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Abstract

Background: 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is the most potent congener of the dioxin class of environmental contaminants. Exposure to TCDD causes a wide range of toxic outcomes, ranging from chloracne to acute lethality. The severity of toxicity is highly dependent on the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR). Binding of TCDD to the AHR leads to changes in transcription of numerous genes. Studies evaluating the transcriptional changes brought on by TCDD may provide valuable insight into the role of the AHR in human health and disease. We therefore compiled a collection of transcriptomic datasets that can be used to aid the scientific community in better understanding the transcriptional effects of ligand-activated AHR.

Results: Specifically, we have created a datasets package – TCDD.Transcriptomics – for the R statistical environment, consisting of 63 unique experiments comprising 377 samples, including various combinations of 3 species (human derived cell lines, mouse and rat), 4 tissue types (liver, kidney, white adipose tissue and hypothalamus) and a wide range of TCDD exposure times and doses. These datasets have been fully standardized using consistent preprocessing and annotation packages (available as of September 14, 2015). To demonstrate the utility of this R package, a subset of “AHR-core” genes were evaluated across the included datasets. Ahrr, Nqo1 and members of the Cyp family were significantly induced following exposure to TCDD across the studies as expected while Aldh3a1 was induced specifically in rat liver. Inmt was altered only in liver tissue and primarily by rat-AHR.

Conclusions: Analysis of the “AHR-core” genes demonstrates a continued need for studies surrounding the impact of AHR-activity on the transcriptome; genes believed to be consistently regulated by ligand-activated AHR show surprisingly little overlap across species and tissues. Until now, a comprehensive assessment of the transcriptome across these studies was challenging due to differences in array platforms, processing methods and annotation versions. We believe that this package, which is freely available for download (http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/tcdd-transcriptomics) will prove to be a highly beneficial resource to the scientific community evaluating the effects of TCDD exposure as well as the variety of functions of the AHR.
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Background

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor [1] activated by small molecule binding. Prior to ligand-activation, the AHR resides in the cytoplasm bound to chaperone proteins, including heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) and the AHR-interacting protein (AIP) [2, 3]. Ligand-activation of this receptor leads to translocation into the nucleus, dissociation of chaperones and dimerization with the AHR nuclear translocator (ARNT) [4]. The AHR: ARNT complex is able to bind DNA at recognized motifs known as aryl hydrocarbon response elements (AHREs) whereby transcription of the associated genes is regulated [5]. Modulation of AHR activity has been linked to various transcriptional alterations evoked by TCDD [35–38].

Despite considerable study into the transcriptomic changes mediated by the TCDD: AHR complex that lead to the observed toxic outcomes, the specific genes and pathways responsible for these outcomes remain unknown. As such, a global resource describing transcriptomic changes following activation of the AHR across a wide variety of tissues and species would prove very useful to the scientific community. Therefore, we have generated such a resource consisting of transcriptomic data from numerous studies in our laboratory and others (a total of 377 samples) and we introduce the freely available TCDD Transcriptomics package for the R statistical environment.

Implementation

Experimental methods

The experimental design, animal handling and sample preparation for individual experiments are described elsewhere [34, 37–43]. Data from human Multipotent Adipose-Derived Stem (hMADS) cells (differentiated and undifferentiated; TCDD treated and control) were downloaded from NCBI’s GEO repository (GSE32026) [42], as were data for primary human and female Sprague–Dawley (SD) rat hepatocyte cell lines (GSE14555) [44]. Array data for DBA/2 J mouse liver were generated in our laboratories as follows: briefly, adult male DBA/2 J mice were bred in the colonies of the National Public Health Institute, Division of Environmental Health, Kuopio, Finland. Study plans were approved by the Finnish National Animal Experiment Board (Eläinkokeiluvirasto, Finnish National Animal Experiment Board (Eläinkokeiluvirasto, ELLA; permit code: ESLH-2008-07223/Ym-23). Animals were housed singly in Makrolon cages with the housing environment maintained as close to control conditions as possible. Animals were provided Altromin 1314 pellet feed (Altromin Spezialfutter GmbH & Co. KG, Lage, Germany) and water available ad libitum. The housing environment was maintained at 21 ± 1 °C, with a relative humidity of 50 ± 10% and a 12 h light cycle. TCDD (5 or 500 μg/kg dissolved in corn oil) or corn oil vehicle alone were administered by oral gavage (10 mL/kg). Animals were euthanized by carbon dioxide, followed immediately by cardiac exsanguination 19 h following treatment. Livers were excised and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue samples were shipped on dry ice to the analytic laboratory and stored at −80 °C.

Similarly, adult male transgenic “AHR-ratized” mice, ages 12–23 weeks, were bred as above. Mice were housed singly in suspended, wire-mesh stainless-steel or Makrolon cages, with the housing environment maintained as...
described above. Animals were provided with R36 pellet feed (Lactamin, Stockholm, Sweden) and tap water available ad libitum. Animals (n = 83) were divided into 5 groups per Ahr isoform (INS/DEL/rWT) and TCDD (125, 250, 500, or 1000 µg/kg dissolved in corn oil) or corn oil vehicle alone were administered by oral gavage (10 mL/kg). Animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation 4 days following exposure. Tissue was collected and stored as above.

Animal handling and reporting comply with ARRIVE guidelines [45]. RNA isolation and microarray hybridization were performed as described elsewhere [37, 38, 46]. Remaining data were generated and deposited in the GEO repository as described in the original publications [34, 37–41, 43].

Computational methods

Human cell lines
For hMADS cells, raw GenePix image data (.gpr files) were loaded into the R statistical environment (v3.2.1) using the limma (v3.24.13) package. Efforts were made to emulate the processing procedures conducted by the original authors: images were first cleaned by filtering out bad spots (flagged as “bad”, “not found” or “absent” or known as −100, −50 and −75 respectively) by assigning those spots a weight of zero. Normalization was performed within arrays by applying global LOESS. Agilent Feature IDs were annotated with EntrezGene IDs and gene names using an annotation table obtained from NCBI's GEO repository for the array type (GPL4133). Linear modelling was conducted to identify genes with statistically significant differential mRNA abundances between TCDD-treated and reference groups. An empirical Bayes method was applied following model fitting to reduce standard error and moderated t-tests were used to assess statistical significance [47]. All p-values were adjusted for multiple testing using a 5% false discovery rate (FDR) [48]. Genes with multiple mapped Agilent Feature IDs were trimmed by keeping the feature ID with the lowest p-value.

For primary human hepatocyte cell line data, CEL files were downloaded from GEO (GSE14555) and loaded into the R statistical environment (v3.3.1) using the affy package (v1.46.1) of the BioConductor library [49]. Due to limited sample size, data for both sexes and all dose points were processed together. Data were normalized using the RMA algorithm [50] with the custom rat2302rnentrezgcdf (v19.0.0) package. Chromosome Y probe filtering was performed as described above. Linear modelling was performed separately for each time point with both male and female samples using the limma (v3.24.13) package. An empirical Bayes moderation of the standard error [47] was applied, and model-based t-tests were used to assess significance, accompanied by FDR correction for multiple testing [48].

Data from the corresponding dose–response study were processed similarly with the following exceptions: male and female samples were preprocessed and modelled separately to avoid masking sex-specific effects at low dose treatments. As such, no additional probe filtering was performed. Similarly, transgenic “AHR-rat-none” mouse data were preprocessed and modelled independently for each AHR genotype with no additional probe filtering. Dose–response data from DBA/2J mouse liver were processed and modelled as a single dataset using the above methods.

Mouse data
Raw CEL files for livers from male and female C57BL/6 mice treated with TCDD or corn oil along a time-course (GSE61037) were loaded in the R statistical environment (v3.2.1) using the affy package (v1.46.1) as described above. Data for both sexes and all time points were preprocessed together and normalized using the RMA algorithm [50]. Probe annotations were obtained using the custom CDF [51] mogene11stmmntrezgcdf (v19.0.0) and database mogene11stmmntrezg_db (v19.0.0) packages. Chromosome Y probe filtering was performed as described above. Linear modelling was performed separately for each time point with both male and female samples using the limma (v3.24.13) package. An empirical Bayes moderation of the standard error [47] was applied, and model-based t-tests were used to assess significance, accompanied by FDR correction for multiple testing [48].

For each experiment, raw CEL files were loaded in the R statistical environment (v3.2.1) using the affy package (v1.46.1) of the BioConductor library [49]. Rat adipose data (GSE18301) were preprocessed as a whole, including both strains and time points, using the RMA algorithm [50] with the custom rat2302rnentrezgcdf (v19.0.0) package [51]. Probes were further annotated with gene symbols and named using the rat2302rnentrezg_db (v19.0.0) package. Linear modelling was performed on all samples using the limma (v3.24.13) package, with contrasts fit to specify individual comparisons for both strains. Experiments at the day-1 time-point evaluated TCDD relative to corn oil, whereas the 4-day experiment compared TCDD with feed-restricted, corn oil treated controls. As above, an empirical Bayes moderation of the standard error [47] was applied, and model-based t-tests were used to assess significance,
accompanied by FDR correction for multiple testing [48]. Identical processing was performed for data generated from rat hypothalamus at 23 h (GSE61039) and rat liver at 4 and 10 day time points (GSE13513). Data from rat liver, 3 and 19 h time points (GSE10083), were processed together as described above using the custom rae230arnentrezgcdf (v19.0.0) package [51].

CEL files for the primary rat hepatocyte cell line dataset were downloaded from GEO (GSE14555) and loaded into the R statistical environment (v3.3.1) using the affy package (v1.48.0) of the BioConductor library [49]. Data were normalized using the RMA algorithm [50] and probe annotations were obtained using the custom CDF rgu34arnentrezgcdf (v19.0.0) and database rgu34arnentrezg_db (v19.0.0) packages. As this specific array type does not include probes for genes located on chromosome Y, no additional filtering was performed. Linear modelling was performed using the limma (v3.28.21) package, with contrasts fit to identify differences between treatment and control groups for each treatment dose. An empirical Bayes moderation of the standard error [47] was applied, and model-based t-tests were used to assess significance, accompanied by FDR correction for multiple testing [48].

**Interspecies comparisons**

All interspecies comparisons may be facilitated using homologene IDs provided by NCBI. A function is provided in the TCDD.Transcriptomics package to load filtered HomoloGene data (build 68) for comparison of mouse, rat and human transcriptomic responses to TCDD.

**Package access**

The TCDD.Transcriptomics package is available for download from http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/tcdd-transcriptomics, along with instructions for direct download and installation within the R statistical environment. TCDD.Transcriptomics is designed for use with the R statistical environment (≥ v2.10.1) and is dependent on

### Table 1 Summary of TCDD Datasets

| Species | Tissue | Strain/AHR Genotype | Sex | Number of Samples | Dose(s) (μg/kg) | Time(s) (hours) | GEO Accession |
|---------|--------|---------------------|-----|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Human   | adipose-derived stem cells | hWT | N/A | 8 | 25nM | 48 | GSE32026 |
|         | primary hepatocyte cell line | hWT | male and female | 3 | 0.00001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 316 (nM) | 48 | GSE14555 |
| Mouse   | kidney | C57BL/6 | male | 12 | 0, 1000 | 19 | GSE15857 |
|         | liver | C57BL/6 | male | 62 | 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 | 6, 19, 24, 72, 96, 144 | GSE15858 |
|         |       |         | female | 47 | 6, 24, 72, 96, 144 | GSE61037 |
|         |       | DBA/2 | male | 12 | 0, 5, 500 | 19 | GSE61038 |
|         |       | AHR-KO | male | 6 | 0, 1000 | 19 | GSE15858 |
|         |       | rWT (L-E) | male | 17 | 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000 | 96 | GSE72270 |
|         |       | DEL (H/W) | male | 21 | | | |
|         |       | INS (H/W) | male | 22 | | | |
| Rat     | adipose | L-E | male | 20 | 0, 100 | 24, 96 | GSE18301 |
|         |         | H/W | male | 8 | | 24 | |
|         | hypothalamus | L-E | male | 7 | | 23 | GSE61039 |
|         |         | H/W | male | 8 | | | |
|         | liver | L-E | male | 39 | 3, 19, 96, 240 | GSE10083 |
|         |         | H/W | male | 30 | | GSE13513 |
|         |         | Ln-A (H/W) | male | 8 | | GSE10083 |
|         |         | Ln-C (L-E) | male | 8 | | | |
|         | primary hepatocyte cell line | rWT (SD) | female | 14 | 0.00001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 316 (nM) | 48 | GSE14555 |

A total of 63 datasets are included in this package consisting of 377 samples across 3 species, 4 tissue types and a wide range of AHR genotypes, TCDD exposure times and doses.
the BoutrosLab.plotting.general (BPG) package (≥ v3.10.2) (P'ng et al., submitted) for production of plotting covariates and easy integration with data visualizations. The BPG package can be found here: http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/software/bpg.

Results and Discussion
In recent years, activation of the AHR has become the focus of myriad studies across a wide range of fields. In particular, significant effort has gone into elucidating the mechanism by which TCDD activation of the AHR leads to a diversity of toxic outcomes. As the ligand-activated AHR is a transcription factor, the transcriptome has been the primary focus of these studies. As such, we have collated a number of transcriptomic datasets arising from various TCDD exposure studies in rats, mice and human cell lines (Table 1, Additional file 1) into a datasets package for the R statistical environment. Specifically, microarray data from 12 separate studies covering 63 unique experimental conditions were collected. Data were generated using two microarray platforms and seven unique array types: Agilent’s Whole Human Genome Microarray and Affymetrix’s Human Genome U133A Array, Rat Expression Array (230A), Rat Genome U34 and 230 2.0 Arrays, Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array and Mouse Gene 1.1 ST Array. Data from Affymetrix arrays were processed using the RMA algorithm with the most recent probe to EntrezGeneID map (as described in Materials and Methods), analyzed using linear modelling, FDR-corrected for multiple testing and results format-standardized.

Each dataset is labelled with a highly descriptive title, indicating the species, strain, tissue type, length of exposure (hours), TCDD dose (μg/kg) and sex (male if unlabelled) from which the data were generated. Furthermore, each dataset contains three parts: the sample information, pre-processed data and analysis results. First, the sample information file outlines the type and treatment conditions for each sample in the experiment. The second file contains the expression data and consists of the normalized array data for all samples listed in the sample information file. Finally, the results file outlines the magnitude and significance of change as determined by linear modelling for each gene available on the array. In this file, all genes are annotated with EntrezGene IDs, gene symbol and full gene name.

To provide an example of the usefulness of this R package, a set of widely recognized “AHR-core” genes, genes with mRNA transcription known to be regulated by the AHR transcription factor in a wide range of species and tissues [52–57], were visualized across the available datasets (Fig. 1). Data was extracted according to Homologene ID in order to ensure the suitability of comparisons across species. Interestingly, while most of the selected genes
show some degree of TCDD-mediated change in transcript levels across the datasets, some genes demonstrate a species and/or tissue specific effect. In particular, ALDH3A1 appears to be induced only in rat liver and primary human hepatocytes. Similarly, INMT is repressed in livers of rats and TCDD-sensitive “AHR-ratized” mice. The variability in response to the “AHR-core” genes highlights the need for further study into the effects of TCDD on various organisms.

While the TCDD-Transcriptomics package provides access to a wide range of datasets, it is by no means fully comprehensive. The GEO repository contains a number of additional datasets relating to array-based mRNA abundance studies of TCDD-mediated transcriptional regulation, however these typically pertain to gestational exposure to a wide range of datasets, it is by no means fully comprehensive. The GEO repository contains a number of additional datasets relating to array-based mRNA abundance studies of TCDD-mediated transcriptional regulation, however these typically pertain to gestational exposure in mice and rats, human cancer-derived cell lines and zebrafish – all of which are beyond the current scope of this package but may be incorporated at a later date.

Conclusion
Here we have produced a standardized compendium of TCDD-mediated transcriptional changes for use in the R statistical environment. This package, titled TCDD-Transcriptomics, contains data from 63 experiments including 377 samples, incorporating data from 3 species, 4 tissue types, both sexes and a wide range of AHR genotypes, TCDD exposure times and doses, along with an up-to-date HomoloGene dataset for interspecies comparison, and is freely available for download (http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/tcdd-transcriptomics). This datasets package will provide a significant resource for the scientific community encompassing a variety of fields of study, from toxicological studies concerning TCDD and dioxins to studies of the AHR and its role in normal physiology and human disease.

Availability and requirements
Project name: TCDD Transcriptomics
Project home page: http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/tcdd-transcriptomics
Operating system(s): tested on Ubuntu and Debian
Programming language: R
Other requirements: depends on BPG (http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/software/bpg)
Licence: GPL-2

Additional file

**Additional file 1:** Overview of included TCDD Datasets. (XLSX 16 kb)

Abbreviations
AHR: Aryl hydrocarbon receptor; H/W: Han/Wistar (Kuopio); hMADS: Human Multipotent Adipose-Derived Stem; L-E: Long-Evans (Turku/A9); SD: Sprague Dawley; TCDD: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

Acknowledgements
The authors thank all members of the Boutros Lab, as well as Dr. Patricia Harper, Kirsten Bielefeld and Hanbo Chen, for excellent technical assistance and support.

Funding
This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant number MOP-57903 to ABO and PCB), the Academy of Finland (grant number 261232 to RP), and with the support of the Ontario Institute for Cancer Research to PCB through funding provided by the Government of Ontario. PCB was supported by a Terry Fox Research Institute New Investigator Award and a CIHR New Investigator Award. The study sponsors had no role in the study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Availability of data and material
The TCDD Transcriptomics datasets package is freely available for download (http://labs.oicr.on.ca/boutros-lab/tcdd-transcriptomics). Additionally, the original datasets supporting the results of this article are publicly available on NCBI’s GEO repository: L-E and H/W rat hypothalamus (GSE61039), adipose (GSE18301) and liver at 3 and 19 h (GSE10083), 4 and 10 days (GSE13513); human multipotent adipose-derived stem cells (GSE32026); AHR-null and wild-type mouse liver (GSE15858) and kidney (GSE15857); male and female C57BL/6 mice along a time-course (GSE61037) and dose response (GSE61038); AHR-ratized mice (GSE72270); primary human hepatocyte and primary rat hepatocyte cell lines (GSE14555).

Authors’ contributions
JL, SL and RP carried out and supervised animal experiments. IDM, JDW, SDP and ABS performed sample preparation for mouse and rat transcriptomic analyses. SDP, KEH, RXS and JL performed statistical and bioinformatics analyses. SDP wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CQY, CP, RPang, LCC and NJH generated tools and reagents. ABO, RP and PCB initiated the project and supervised research. All authors approved the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable

Author details
1Informatics and Bio-computing Program, Ontario Institute for Cancer Research, 661 University Avenue, Suite 510, Toronto, ON M5G 0A3, Canada.
2Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.
3Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
4Department of Biology of Physical Activity, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
5Department of Physical Activity, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland.
6Department of Environmental Health, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Kuopio, Finland.
7Laboratory of Toxicology, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Kuopio, Finland.
8Department of Food Hygiene and Environmental Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
9Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

Received: 23 July 2016 Accepted: 20 December 2016
Published online: 13 January 2017

References
1. Hahn ME, Karchner SI, Shapiro MA, Perera SA. Molecular evolution of two vertebrate aryl hydrocarbon (dioxin) receptors (AHR1 and AHR2) and the PAS family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(25):13743–8.
2. Howland JC, Gustafson JA. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated signal transduction. Crit Rev Toxicol. 1997;27(2):109–34.
3. Petulis JR, Peredov GH. The role of chaperone proteins in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor core complex. Chem Biol Interact. 2002;141(1–2):25–40.
4. Reyes H, Reiz-Ponzas S, Hankinson O. Identification of the Ah receptor nuclear translocator protein (Arnt) as a component of the DNA binding form of the Ah receptor. Science. 1990;250(5001):1193–5.
5. Shen ES, Whistock JR. JP. Protein–DNA interactions at a dioxin-responsive enhancer. Mutational analysis of the DNA-binding site for the liganded Ah receptor. J Biol Chem. 1992;267(10):6815–9.

6. Goode GD, Ballard BR, Manning HC, Freeman ML, Kang Y, Etom SE. Knockdown of aberrantly upregulated aryl hydrocarbon receptor reduces tumor growth and metastasis of MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell line. Int J Cancer. 2013;132(12):2769–80.

7. Saito R, Miki Y, Hata S, Takagi K, Iida S, Oba Y, Ono K, Ishida T, Suzuki T, Ouchi N, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor in breast cancer—a newly defined prognostic marker. Horm Cancer. 2014;5(1):11–21.

8. Salisbury TB, Tomblin JK, Primeraano DA, Boskovic G, Fan J, Mehmi I, Fletcher J, Santanaram N, Hum E, Morris GZ, et al. Endogenous aryl hydrocarbon receptor promotes basal and inducible expression of tumor necrosis factor target genes in MCF-7 cancer cells. Biochem Pharmacol. 2014;91(3):390–9.

9. Qu XL, Wang SY, Jia YJ, Guan Y. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor exogenous ligand 3-methylcholanthrene inhibited endometrial cancer cells proliferation. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2014;18(8):1269–76.

10. Ishida M, Mikami S, Shinojima T, Mizo no R, Kikuchi E, Miyajima A, Oka ya Y, Oya M. Activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor promotes invasion of clear cell renal cell carcinoma and is associated with poor prognostic and cigarette smoke. Int J Cancer. 2015;137(2):299–310.

11. Su JM, Lin P, Chang H. Prognostic value of nuclear translocation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor for non-small cell lung cancer. Anticancer Res. 2013;33(9):3953–61.

12. Spink BC, Bloom MS, Wu S, Sell S, Schneider E, Ding X, Spink DC. Analysis of the Ah receptor promoter GGGCC-repeat polymorphism in lung, breast, and colon cancer. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2015;282(2):130–41.

13. Richmond G, Ghotbiadini M, Allen C, Walker A, Zahir S, Powell JB. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor is constitutively active in advanced prostate cancer cells. PLoS One. 2014(9), e95508.

14. Kim HO, Kim JH, Chung BY, Cho MG, Park CW. Increased expression of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in patients with chronic inflammatory skin diseases. Exp Dermatol. 2014;23(4):278–81.

15. Furumatsu K, Nishiumi S, Kawano Y, Oka M, Yoshie T, Shiomi Y, Kutsumi H, Ashida H, Fujii-Kuriyama Y, Azuma T, et al. Role of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in attenuation of colitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2011;56(9):2372–88.

16. Heath-Pagliuso S, Rogers WJ, Tullis K, Seidel SD, Cenijn PH, Brouwer A, Hiroi Y, Sogawa K, Yasuda M, Katsuki M, et al. Loss of teratogenic response to dioxin-induced toxicity. Toxicol Applic Pharmacol. 1996;140(1):173–80.

17. Chapman DE, Schiller CM. Dose-related effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in C57BL/6 J and DBA/2 J mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1985;78(1):147–57.

18. Okey AB, Vella LM, Harper PA. Detection and characterization of a low affinity form of cytosolic Ah receptor in livers of mice nonresponsive to induction of cytochrome P450 by 3-methylcholanthrene. Mol Pharmacol. 1989;35(6):823–30.

19. Okey AB, Ohe N, Suzuki M, Mimura J, Sogawa K, Iwao S, Fujii-Kuriyama Y. Dioxin binding activities of polymorphic forms of mouse and human arylhydrocarbon receptors. J Biol Chem. 1994;269(4):2737–43.

20. Okey AB, Wong JM, Li W, Harper PA, Tuomisto J, Okey AB. Point mutation in intron sequence causes altered carboxyl-terminal structure in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor of the most 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin-resistant rat strain. Mol Pharmacol. 1998;54(1):86–93.

21. Tuomisto JV, Vlkusula M, Pohjanvirta R, Tuomisto J. The Ah receptor and a novel gene determine acute toxic responses to TCDD: segregation of the resistant alleles to different rat lines. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1999;155(1):71–81.

22. Bowlsong CJ, Stakal DF, Gollapudi B, Budinsky R. The human AHR: identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms from six ethnic populations. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2010;20(5):283–90.

23. Pohjanvirta R, Vartainen T, Uusi-Rauva A, Monkonnen J, Tuomisto J. Tissue distribution, metabolism, and excretion of 14C-TCDD in a TCDD-susceptible and a TCDD-resistant rat strain. Pharmacol Toxicol. 1990;66(2):93–100.

24. Hualahan KE, Prokopec SD, Sun RX, Moffat ID, Lindern J, Lensus S, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Transcriptional profiling of rat white adipose tissue response to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2015.

25. Enan E, Overstreet JU, Matsumura F, Vandevoort CA, Lasley BL. Gender differences in the mechanism of dioxin toxicity in rodents and in nonhuman primates. Reprod Toxicol. 1996;10(5):401–11.

26. Okey AB. Transgenic mouse lines expressing rat Ah receptor variants—a new animal model for research on Ah receptor function and dioxin toxicity mechanisms. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2009;236(2):166–82.

27. Prokopec SD, Watson JD, Lee J, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Sex-related differences in murine hepatic transcriptional and proteomic responses to TCDD. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2015;284(2):188–96.

28. Neel J, Prokopec SD, Watson JD, Sun RX, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Male and female mice show significant differences in hepatic transcriptional response to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(1625).

29. Boutos PC, Bielefeld KA, Pohjanvirta R, Harper PA. Dioxin-dependent and dioxin-independent gene batteries: comparison of liver and kidney in AHR-null mice. Toxicol Sci. 2009;112(1):245–56.

30. Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC, Chen H, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-regulated transcriptomic changes in rats sensitive or resistant to major dioxin toxicity. Pharmacol Toxicol. 1999;85(2):107–12.

31. Pohjanvirta R, Wong JM, Li W, Harper PA, Tuomisto J, Okey AB. Point mutation in intron sequence causes altered carboxyl-terminal structure in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor of the most 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin-resistant rat strain. Mol Pharmacol. 1998;54(1):86–93.

32. Chapman DE, Schiller CM. Dose-related effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in C57BL/6 J and DBA/2 J mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1985;78(1):147–57.

33. Okey AB, Vella LM, Harper PA. Detection and characterization of a low affinity form of cytosolic Ah receptor in livers of mice nonresponsive to induction of cytochrome P450 by 3-methylcholanthrene. Mol Pharmacol. 1989;35(6):823–30.

34. Okey AB, Ohe N, Suzuki M, Mimura J, Sogawa K, Iwao S, Fujii-Kuriyama Y. Dioxin binding activities of polymorphic forms of mouse and human arylhydrocarbon receptors. J Biol Chem. 1994;269(4):2737–43.

35. Hualahan KE, Prokopec SD, Sun RX, Moffat ID, Lindern J, Lensus S, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Transcriptional profiling of rat white adipose tissue response to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2015.

36. Enan E, Overstreet JU, Matsumura F, Vandevooort CA, Lasley BL. Gender differences in the mechanism of dioxin toxicity in rodents and in nonhuman primates. Reprod Toxicol. 1996;10(5):401–11.

37. Prokopec SD, Watson JD, Lee J, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Sex-related differences in murine hepatic transcriptional and proteomic responses to TCDD. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2015;284(2):188–96.

38. Neel J, Prokopec SD, Watson JD, Sun RX, Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC. Male and female mice show significant differences in hepatic transcriptional response to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(1625).

39. Boutos PC, Bielefeld KA, Pohjanvirta R, Harper PA. Dioxin-dependent and dioxin-independent gene batteries: comparison of liver and kidney in AHR-null mice. Toxicol Sci. 2009;112(1):245–56.

40. Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC, Chen H, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-regulated transcriptomic changes in rats sensitive or resistant to major dioxin toxicity. Pharmacol Toxicol. 1999;85(2):107–12.

41. Pohjanvirta R, Boutos PC, Chen H, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-regulated transcriptomic changes in rats sensitive or resistant to major dioxin toxicity. Pharmacol Toxicol. 1999;85(2):107–12.
49. Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, Ellis B, Gautier L, Ge Y, Gentry J, et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol. 2004;5(10):R80.

50. Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics. 2003;4(2):249–64.

51. Dai M, Wang P, Boyd AD, Kostov G, Athey B, Jones EG, Bunney WE, Myers RM, Speed TP, Akil H, et al. Evolving gene/transcript definitions significantly alter the interpretation of GeneChip data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33(20), e175.

52. Boutros PC, Yan R, Moffat ID, Pohjanvirta R, Okey AB. Transcriptomic responses to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in liver: comparison of rat and mouse. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:419.

53. Forgacs AL, Dere E, Angrish MM, Zacharewski TR. Comparative analysis of temporal and dose-dependent TCDD-elicited gene expression in human, mouse, and rat primary hepatocytes. Toxicol Sci. 2013;133(1):54–66.

54. Nebert DW, Roe AL, Dieter MZ, Solis WA, Yang Y, Dalton TP. Role of the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor and [Ah] gene battery in the oxidative stress response, cell cycle control and apoptosis. Biochem Pharmacol. 2000;59(1):65–85.

55. Tijet N, Boutros PC, Moffat ID, Okey AB, Tuomisto J, Pohjanvirta R. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates distinct dioxin-dependent and dioxin-independent gene batteries. Mol Pharmacol. 2006;69(1):140–53.

56. Yeager RL, Reisman SA, Aleksunes LM, Klaassen CD. Introducing the “TCDD-inducible AhR-Nrf2 gene battery”. Toxicol Sci. 2009;111(2):238–46.

57. Watson JD, Prokopec SD, Smith AB, Okey AB, Pohjanvirta R, Boutros PC. TCDD dysregulation of 13 AHR-target genes in rat liver. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2014;274(3):445–54.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit