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Abstract

In this paper we study the cross section at leading order in $1/Q$ for polarized Drell-Yan scattering at measured lepton-pair transverse momentum $Q_T$. We find that for a hadron with spin $1/2$ the quark content at leading order is described by six distribution functions for each flavor, which depend on both the lightcone momentum fraction $x$, and the quark transverse momentum $k_T^2$. These functions are illustrated for a free-quark ensemble. The cross sections for both longitudinal and transverse polarizations are expressed in terms of convolution integrals over the distribution functions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The measurements of unpolarized structure functions in deep inelastic scattering (DIS) of leptons off nucleons and nuclei and those of polarized structure functions in scattering of longitudinally polarized electrons off longitudinally polarized nucleons have yielded the lightcone momentum distributions $f_1(x)$ for quarks in various targets and the helicity distributions $g_1(x)$ in protons and neutrons. These measurements, and particularly their interpretation, have shown the importance of understanding the relation of these distributions to the structure of the target. The distributions $f_1(x)$ and $g_1(x)$ characterize the response of the hadron in inclusive DIS at leading order in the transferred momentum $Q$. In inclusive deep inelastic lepton-hadron ($\ell H$) scattering the quark transverse momentum is not observable, since it is integrated over. In the Drell-Yan (DY) process at measured lepton-pair transverse momentum $Q_T$, however, quark transverse momentum does enter in observables, notably in the angular distribution of the lepton pairs. The main point of this paper is the discussion of quark transverse momentum in polarized Drell-Yan scattering. We will restrict ourselves to leading order and discard contributions which are suppressed by orders of $1/Q$. We will also not discuss QCD radiative corrections, giving rise to logarithmic corrections.

For inclusive deep inelastic $\ell H$ scattering, assuming only one flavor, the hadron tensor is given as the imaginary part of the forward virtual Compton amplitude, for large virtual photon momentum $q$ ($Q^2 \equiv -q^2$ large) given by the sum of the quark and antiquark handbag diagrams of Fig. 1. The basic object, encoding the soft physics of the quarks inside the hadron, is the correlation function

$$\Phi_{ij}(PS; k) = \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik\cdot x} \left(\overline{\psi}_j(0)\psi_i(x)\right)|PS\rangle_c,$$

(1.1)

where $k$ is the momentum of the quark. The vectors $P$ and $S$ are the momentum and spin vector of the target hadron. Evaluating the hard part, the scattering of the virtual photon off the quarks, it turns out that the structure functions in the cross section become proportional to $f_1(x_{bj})$ and $g_1(x_{bj})$, where $x_{bj} = Q^2/2P \cdot q$. The function $f_1$ is given by

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int dk^- d^2k_T \text{Tr} \left[\gamma^+ \Phi(PS; k)\right],$$

(1.2)

where $x = k^+/P^+$. It can be interpreted as the longitudinal (lightcone) momentum distribution of quarks. The function $g_1$ appears as

$$\lambda g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int dk^- d^2k_T \text{Tr} \left[\gamma^+ \gamma_5 \Phi(PS; k)\right],$$

(1.3)

and can be interpreted as the quark helicity distribution in a longitudinally polarized nucleon (helicity $\lambda = 1$). The functions $f_1$ and $g_1$ are specific projections of $\Phi$. Which projections of

\[1\text{Another often used notation is } q(x) \text{ for the lightcone momentum distribution and } \Delta q(x) \text{ for the helicity distribution } (q = u, d, s, \ldots).\]
Φ contribute in hard scattering processes in leading order can be investigated by looking at the operator structure, including the Dirac and Lorentz structure, of the correlation function. Such an analysis requires some physical constraints on the range of quark momenta. The analysis of Φ (integrated over $k^-$ and $k_T$) shows that there is one more leading function, the transverse polarization or transversity distribution $h_1$. It is related to a bilocal quark-quark matrix element through

$$S_T h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int dk^-d^2k_T \text{Tr} [i\sigma^{i\cdot\gamma_5} \Phi(PS;k)]$$

which shows that $h_1$ can be interpreted as the quark transversity distribution in a transversely polarized nucleon. This is a chiral-odd distribution, which is not observable in inclusive $\ell H$ scattering. It needs to be combined with some other chiral-odd structure, e.g., the fragmentation part in semi-inclusive leptoproduction of hadrons or the antiquark distribution part of DY scattering.

In this paper we discuss one possible way to extract more information from the correlation function Φ. We are after the dependence on the transverse momentum $k_T$. One way to study this dependence is the observation of a hadron in the outgoing quark jet, e.g., in semi-inclusive $\ell H$ scattering. This process, however, also requires consideration of the fragmentation functions. In this paper we study the process that is sensitive to intrinsic transverse momentum and involves only quark distribution functions, namely, massive dilepton production or the Drell-Yan (DY) process.

About fifteen years ago Ralston and Soper (RS) published a pioneering paper on the polarized Drell-Yan process. Because we take it as our starting point, we briefly sketch its content. RS write down a covariant expansion for $\int dk^- \Phi$, which is the quantity that is relevant in the hadron tensor for the DY process. To determine this expansion they use symmetry arguments and an infinite-momentum-frame analysis. They find five independent distribution functions, divided in one momentum probability distribution $P(x, k_T^2)$, two functions describing the quark helicity, and two describing its transverse polarization. With these they calculate the polarized Drell-Yan cross section with the virtual photon transverse momentum $Q_T \equiv \sqrt{q_T^2}$ put to zero. In that case they are sensitive to four of the five distribution functions. When they integrate over the transverse momentum they are only sensitive to three distribution functions.

We extend on these results in two ways. First, we show that RS left out one transverse momentum distribution, needed to describe the quark transverse polarization. This additional function is obtained using general symmetry arguments. It also shows up in a model that we are going to employ later and that describes a gas of free partons. Our second extension is the calculation of the polarized DY cross section without constraints on $Q_T$ [other than it being of $O(\Lambda)$], thereby becoming sensitive to all six distribution functions.

\footnote{The authors of [5] use the name ‘transversity distribution’ in order to make clear that a quark of definite transversity is not in an eigenstate of the transverse spin operator but of the Pauli-Lubanski operator projected along a transverse direction. The authors of [6] object to this nomenclature, because of the pre-existence of the term, and prefer to call it transverse polarization distribution.}
We end this introduction with a remark on possible QCD corrections affecting transverse momenta and factorization. A difficulty of the extra scale \( Q_T \) is the Sudakov effect. Soft gluon radiation gives rise to radiative transverse momentum. However, the large logarithms connected with this effect can be summed and exponentiated to Sudakov form factors \[11\]. From these it becomes clear that if \( Q_T \) is sufficiently low, i.e., of hadronic scale \( \Lambda \), as compared to \( Q \), the transverse momentum governing the process is predominantly intrinsic.

Factorization means that the process can be written as a convolution of renormalized distribution functions and a perturbatively calculable short-distance part. For polarized DY at measured \( Q_T \lesssim \Lambda \) factorization has not been proven yet \[12,13\]. We will not further address this problem here, but use the diagrammatic expansion proposed by Ellis, Furmanśki, and Petronzio (EFP) \[14\] to study the DY process. In this diagrammatic expansion Green functions appear, incorporating the long-range QCD physics. These correlation functions are connected by ordinary Feynman graphs with quarks and gluons, the hard scattering piece.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. \[II\] we give the one-photon exchange picture for massive dilepton production. We specify the notation in a frame where the two hadrons are collinear, and the axes are given with respect to which the lepton angles are defined. In Sec. \[III\] we analyze the quark correlation function, and find six leading distribution functions. In Sec. \[IV\] we discuss the free-quark ensemble as an example. In Sec. \[V\] we calculate the leading-order hadron tensor and present cross sections for various combinations of polarizations. We end with a discussion of these results.

**II. THE DRELL-YAN PROCESS**

In this section we want to discuss the cross section, kinematic aspects, and structure functions, for polarized Drell-Yan scattering. For a complete overview we refer for the unpolarized process to Lam and Tung \[15\], and for polarized DY scattering to Donohue and Gottlieb \[16\], who make use of the Jackob-Wick helicity formalism.

**A. The DY cross section**

We consider the process \( A + B \rightarrow \ell + \bar{\ell} + X \), where two spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) hadrons with momenta \( P_A^\mu \) and \( P_B^\mu \) interact and two outgoing leptons are measured with momenta \( k_1^\mu \) and \( k_2^\mu \). The leptons are assumed to originate from a high-mass photon with momentum \( q = k_1 + k_2 \), with \( Q^2 \equiv q^2 > 0 \). We consider the case of pure incoming spin states, characterized by the spin vectors \( S_A^\mu \) and \( S_B^\mu \), i.e., \( S_A^2 = S_B^2 = -1 \). In the deep inelastic limit \( Q^2 \) and \( s = (P_A + P_B)^2 \) become large compared to the characteristic hadronic scale of order \( \Lambda^2 \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2 \), while their ratio \( \tau = Q^2/s \) is fixed. The phase space element for the lepton pair can be written as \( d^4q \, d\Omega \), where the angles are those of the lepton axis in the dilepton rest frame with respect to a suitably chosen Cartesian set of axes. The cross section can be written as

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d^4q \, d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2s \, Q^4} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu},
\]

where the lepton tensor is given by (neglecting the lepton masses)

\[
L^{\mu\nu} = 2k_1^\mu k_2^\nu + 2k_2^\mu k_1^\nu - Q^2 \, g^{\mu\nu},
\]
and the hadron tensor can be written as

$$W^{\mu\nu}(q; P_A S_A; P_B S_B) = \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P_A S_A; P_B S_B | [J^\mu(0), J^\nu(x)] | P_A S_A; P_B S_B \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

Since the lepton tensor (2.2) is symmetric in its indices, we will from now on only consider the symmetric part of $W^{\mu\nu}$.

**B. Kinematics**

We define the transverse momentum of the produced lepton pair in a frame where the hadrons are collinear, with the third axis chosen along the direction of hadron $A$. One has $q_T^2 \equiv Q_T^2 \lesssim \Lambda^2$. It is convenient to work in a lightcone component representation, $p = [p^-, p^+; p_T]$ with $p^\pm \equiv (p^0 \pm p^3)/\sqrt{2}$. The momenta of the hadrons and the virtual photon in a collinear frame take the form

$$P_A = \left[ \frac{M_A^2}{2P_T^A}, P_A^+, 0_T \right] \approx \left[ \frac{x_A M_A^2}{\sqrt{2} \kappa Q}, \frac{\kappa Q}{\sqrt{2} x_A}, 0_T \right], \quad (2.4)$$

$$P_B = \left[ P_B^-, \frac{M_B^2}{2P_T^B}, 0_T \right] \approx \left[ \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2} \kappa x_B}, \frac{\kappa x_B M_B^2}{\sqrt{2} Q}, 0_T \right], \quad (2.5)$$

$$q = [x_B P_B^-, x_A P_A^+, q_T] \approx \left[ \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2} \kappa}, \frac{\kappa Q}{\sqrt{2} q_T} \right], \quad (2.6)$$

neglecting corrections of order $1/Q^2$, indicated here and further on by an approximate equal. The parameter $\kappa$ fixes the collinear frame. One has $\kappa = x_A M_A/Q$ for the frame in which hadron $A$ is at rest, $\kappa = \sqrt{x_A/x_B}$ for the hadron center-of-mass frame, and $\kappa = Q/x_B M_B$ for the frame in which hadron $B$ is at rest. The following Lorentz-invariant relations hold:

$$x_A = \frac{q^+}{P_A^+} \approx \frac{Q^2}{2P_A \cdot q} \approx \frac{P_B \cdot q}{P_B \cdot P_A}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$x_B = \frac{q^-}{P_B^-} \approx \frac{Q^2}{2P_B \cdot q} \approx \frac{P_A \cdot q}{P_A \cdot P_B}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$s \approx 2P_A^+ P_B^- \approx \frac{Q^2}{x_A x_B}. \quad (2.9)$$

The above relations also show that all dot products for any pair from the vectors $q, P_A,$ and $P_B$, are of order $Q^2$. As compared to this, the hadron momenta are almost lightlike. We can define the exactly lightlike vectors that in a given collinear frame have the form

$$n_+ \equiv [0, \kappa, 0_T],$$

$$n_- \equiv [\kappa^{-1}, 0, 0_T], \quad (10.10)$$

satisfying $n_+ \cdot n_- = 1$. Given an arbitrary four-vector $a$, and the projector

$$g_T^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - n_+^\mu n_-^\nu - n_+^\nu n_-^\mu, \quad (2.11)$$
we define the spacelike transverse four-vector \( a^\mu_T \equiv g^{\mu \nu} a_\nu \), or, in coordinates in a collinear frame, \( a_T = [0,0,a_T] \). Note that for any transverse vector one has
\[
a_T \cdot P_A = a_T \cdot P_B = 0. \tag{2.12}
\]

For the analysis of the hadronic tensor which satisfies \( q^\mu W_{\mu \nu} = q^\nu W_{\mu \nu} = 0 \), it is important to construct vectors that are orthogonal to \( q \). We will use the projector
\[
\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu} \equiv g^{\mu \nu} - q^\mu q^\nu / q^2
\]
for this, and define
\[
\tilde{a}^\mu \equiv \tilde{g}^{\mu \nu} a_\nu = a^\mu - \frac{a \cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu. \tag{2.14}
\]

As \( q \) is timelike in DY scattering, it is useful to define a set of Cartesian axes. The \( Z \)-direction, known as the Collins-Soper axis \([17]\), is chosen as in \([6]\), but our \( X \) - and \( Y \)-direction are opposite. To be precise, we use (\( \epsilon^{0123} = 1 \))
\[
Z^\mu \equiv \frac{P_B \cdot q}{P_B \cdot P_A} \tilde{P}_A^\mu - \frac{P_A \cdot q}{P_A \cdot P_B} \tilde{P}_B^\mu = \frac{P_B \cdot q}{P_B \cdot P_A} P_A^\mu - \frac{P_A \cdot q}{P_A \cdot P_B} P_B^\mu
\]
\[
X^\mu \equiv -\frac{P_B \cdot Z}{P_B \cdot P_A} \tilde{P}_A^\mu + \frac{P_A \cdot Z}{P_A \cdot P_B} \tilde{P}_B^\mu
\]
\[
Y^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{P_A \cdot P_B} \epsilon^{\mu \rho \sigma} P_{Av} P_{Bv} q^\sigma.
\]

These vectors are orthogonal and satisfy \( Z^2 \approx -Q^2 \) and \( X^2 \approx Y^2 \approx q_T^2 = -Q_T^2 \). They form a natural set of spacelike axes (with in the dilepton rest frame only spatial components). We will denote \( \hat{q}^\mu = q^\mu / Q \), \( \hat{z}^\mu = Z^\mu / \sqrt{-Z^2} \), etcetera. Explicitly, one has in a collinear frame
\[
\hat{q} \approx \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \kappa}, \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{q_T}{Q} \right],
\]
\[
\hat{z} \approx \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \kappa}, \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}}, 0_T \right],
\]
\[
\hat{x} \approx \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \kappa}, \frac{Q_T}{Q}, \frac{Q_T}{Q_T} \right],
\]
\[
\hat{y} \approx \left[ 0, 0, \frac{y_T}{Q_T} \right],
\]
where \( y_T^j = \epsilon^{ij} q_{Tj} \). Note that since \( Z^\mu \) is a linear combination of the hadron momenta, it has in collinear frames no transverse components. The transverse vectors \( a_T \), thus, are orthogonal to \( Z \). They are, in general, not orthogonal to \( q \). One has, for example, \( q_T^\mu \approx X^\mu - (Q_T^2 / Q^2) q^\mu \). Note that the second term is only order \( 1/Q \) suppressed. For an arbitrary four-vector \( a \) we define the perpendicular four-vector \( a_\perp \) as the projection of the transverse vector \( a_T \), using the projector
\[
g^{\mu \nu}_\perp \equiv g^{\mu \nu} - \hat{q}^\mu \hat{q}^\nu + \hat{z}^\mu \hat{z}^\nu, \tag{2.17}
\]
yielding

\[ a_\perp^\mu \equiv g_\perp^{\mu\nu} a_T^\nu = a_T^\mu - \frac{q \cdot a_T}{q^2} q^\mu. \]  (2.18)

Thus, any perpendicular vector satisfies

\[ a_\perp \cdot \hat{q} = a_\perp \cdot \hat{z} = 0. \]  (2.19)

Note that \( X \) is the perpendicular projection of \( q \). The vectors \( n_+ \) and \( n_- \), defined in Eq. (2.10), can be expressed in terms of the set (2.16),

\[ n_+ \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{q} + \hat{z} - \frac{Q_T}{Q} \hat{x} \right), \]
\[ n_- \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{q} - \hat{z} - \frac{Q_T}{Q} \hat{x} \right), \]  (2.20)

Inserting these into the definitions of the projectors \( g_{\perp} \) and \( g_T \), one derives the relation

\[ g_\perp^{\mu\nu} \approx g_T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{(\hat{q}^\mu q_T^\nu + \hat{q}_T^\nu \hat{q}_T^\mu)}{Q}, \]  (2.21)

from which one obtains for a general vector \( a \):

\[ a_\perp^\mu \approx a_T^\mu - \frac{a_T \cdot q_T}{Q} \hat{q}^\mu, \]  (2.22)

provided that \( a_T \cdot q_T = \mathcal{O}(1) \). From this expression it is evident that for two arbitrary vectors \( a \) and \( b \), satisfying this condition,

\[ a_\perp \cdot b_\perp \approx a_T \cdot b_T. \]  (2.23)

Restricting oneself to leading order, the vectors \( a_T \) and \( a_\perp \) can be freely interchanged. In a higher order study, however, the difference will become important [18].

For the spin vectors the above definitions can be illustrated. In a collinear frame the spin vectors, satisfying \( P_A \cdot S_A = P_B \cdot S_B = 0 \), can be written as

\[ S_A = \left[ -\lambda_A \frac{M_A}{2P_A^+}, \lambda_A \frac{P_A^+}{M_A}, S_{AT} \right], \]
\[ S_B = \left[ \lambda_B \frac{P_B^-}{M_B}, -\lambda_B \frac{M_B}{2P_B^-}, S_{BT} \right]. \]  (2.24)

(2.25)

where \( \lambda_A \) and \( \lambda_B \) are the hadron helicities. The two-component vectors \( S_{AT} \), and \( S_{BT} \), give the transverse polarization. Since we consider pure spin states, they obey \( \lambda^2 + S_T^2 = 1 \). For the spin vectors we have in a collinear frame \( S_T = [0, 0, S_T] \). The perpendicular spin vector is given by

\[ S_\perp^\mu \approx S_T^\mu - \frac{S_T \cdot q_T}{Q} \hat{q}^\mu = \left[ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{Q} \right), \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\kappa}{Q} \right), S_T + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) \right], \]  (2.26)
where the longitudinal components follow from the transverse components by demanding Eq. (2.19), and using Eq. (2.16). If the spin vector would have been projected directly onto the $XY$-plane with $g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}$, one would have got

$$
 g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}S_{\nu} = \left[ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa Q} \right), \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\kappa}{Q} \right), S_T - \frac{\lambda}{2 x M} q_T + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) \right]. \tag{2.27}
$$

This differs from $S_T$ in the transverse sector by $\mathcal{O}(1)$, unless $Q_T = 0$.

C. Structure functions

With the definition (2.15) of a Cartesian set of vectors orthogonal to $q$, we can expand the lepton momenta in the following way:

$$
 k_1^\mu = \frac{1}{2} q^\mu + \frac{1}{2} Q (\sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{x}^\mu + \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{y}^\mu + \cos \theta \hat{z}^\mu),
$$

$$
 k_2^\mu = \frac{1}{2} q^\mu - \frac{1}{2} Q (\sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{x}^\mu + \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{y}^\mu + \cos \theta \hat{z}^\mu). \tag{2.28}
$$

Inserting these into Eq. (2.2), and using some trivial goniometric relations and the completeness relation $g^{\mu\nu} = \hat{q}^\mu \hat{q}^\nu - \hat{z}^\mu \hat{z}^\nu - \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu - \hat{y}^\mu \hat{y}^\nu$, we obtain

$$
 L^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{Q^2}{2} \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) g^{\mu\nu} - 2 \sin^2 \theta \hat{z}^\mu \hat{z}^\nu + 2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}) + \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\theta \cos \phi \hat{z}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \sin 2\theta \sin \phi \hat{z}^\mu \hat{y}^\nu \right] \tag{2.29},
$$

where the symmetrization of indices, $\hat{z}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu \equiv \hat{z}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \hat{z}^\nu \hat{x}^\mu$, is used. The six tensor combinations in Eq. (2.29) are not only orthogonal to $q$, ensuring $q_\mu L^{\mu\nu} = 0$, but also to each other.

It is convenient also to write the hadron tensor as a sum of products of tensors and scalar functions, called structure functions. From the properties of the electromagnetic current, one deduces for the hadronic tensor [Eq. (2.3)] the following conditions:

$$
 q_\mu W^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad [W^{\mu\nu}]^* = W^{\mu\nu} \quad W_{\mu\nu}(\vec{q}; \vec{P}_A - \vec{S}_A; \vec{P}_B - \vec{S}_B) = W^{\mu\nu}(q; \vec{P}_A \vec{S}_A; \vec{P}_B \vec{S}_B) \quad [W^{\mu\nu}(q; \vec{P}_A \vec{S}_A; \vec{P}_B \vec{S}_B)]^* \tag{2.30}
$$

where $\vec{a}^\mu \equiv a_\mu$. The hermiticity condition, for instance, requires that the symmetric part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ is real. In unpolarized scattering the constraints imply the expansion

$$
 W^{\mu\nu} = -(W_{0,0} - \frac{1}{3} W_{2,0}) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + (W_{0,0} + \frac{2}{3} W_{2,0}) \hat{z}^\mu \hat{z}^\nu - W_{2,1} \hat{z}^{\{(\mu,\nu}\} - W_{2,2} (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}), \tag{2.31}
$$

where the four structure functions depend on the (four) independent scalars, or equivalently on $Q$, $x_A$, $x_B$, and $Q_T$. Since we choose to work with the normalized vectors, the structure functions $W_{2,1}$ and $W_{2,2}$ contain kinematical zeros for $X^2 \approx -Q_T^2 = 0$ of first and second order, respectively. In that they differ from the ones in RS [3, Eq. (2.5)]. To be precise:
our $W_{2,1}$ is $\sqrt{X^2Z^2}$ times theirs, and our $W_{2,2}$ is $-X^2$ times theirs. The linear combinations multiplying $-g_{\mu \nu}^i$ and $\hat{z}^\mu \hat{z}^\nu$ are often referred to as $W_T$ and $W_L$, respectively. Inserting Eqs. (2.29) and (2.31) into Eq. (2.1), one has for unpolarized Drell-Yan scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^4q d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2sQ^2} \left[ 2W_{0,0} + W_{2,0} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \cos^2 \theta \right) + W_{2,1} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + W_{2,2} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right]. \quad (2.32)$$

Due to the extra pseudo-vectors $S_A$ and $S_B$, in polarized Drell-Yan there are several more structure functions. We will not give them in general. Later we will simply consider the ones that arise at leading order in $1/Q$ in the cross section.

**III. FORMALISM**

**A. The correlation function**

In order to calculate the hadron tensor, the diagrammatic expansion of EFP is used [14]. Each diagram is composed of soft nonlocal matrix elements, convoluted with a hard cut-amplitude. For example, in DY scattering the simplest diagrams (Born diagrams) are given in Fig. 2. The nonlocal matrix element describing the nonperturbative long-range physics for a quark of flavor $a$ inside hadron $A$, is given by the quark-quark correlation function

$$\langle \Phi_{a/A} \rangle_{ij} (P_A S_A; k) = \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik \cdot x} \langle P_A S_A | \bar{\psi}_j^{(a)}(0) \psi_i^{(a)}(x) | P_A S_A \rangle c, \quad (3.1)$$

diagrammatically represented in Fig. 4. We will suppress the quark label $a$, the hadron label $A$, and the connectedness subscript $c$, whenever they are not explicitly needed. A contraction over color indices is implicit. More general, the correlation functions contain a number of quark and gluon fields, but at lowest order in $1/Q$ only the one above is relevant.

A point that needs to be mentioned is the color gauge invariance of the correlation function defined above. For a proper gauge-invariant definition of a nonlocal matrix element a color link operator, $L_{\mu}(0, x) = \mathcal{P} \exp[i g_{\mu} \int_0^x ds \cdot A(s)]$, must be inserted. As will be seen below, we only need the integral of $\Phi$ over $k^-$, i.e., the nonlocality is restricted to the plane $x^+ = 0$. Going further and integrating also over transverse momenta, one is only sensitive to the nonlocality in a lightlike direction (say $x^-$). With an appropriate choice of path (a straight link) and lightcone gauge ($A^+ = 0$), the link operator becomes just unity. When transverse momentum is observed, this no longer is the case, since one becomes sensitive to transverse separation $x_T$, although still $x^+ = 0$. Fixing the residual gauge freedom that affects $A_T$ can be achieved by imposing boundary conditions [15]. A path from 0 to $x$ can then be constructed such that the link operator becomes unity after gauge-fixing. How the choices of gauge and path connect to the proof of factorization remains an open question.

**B. The Dirac structure of the correlation function**

In order to analyze the diagrams in Fig. 2 for DY scattering, we need to investigate the Dirac structure of the correlation function. This can be done by making an expansion in
an appropriate basis. Constraints on the correlation function come from hermiticity, parity
invariance, and time reversal invariance,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi^\dagger(PS; k) &= \gamma^0 \Phi(PS; k) \gamma^0 \\
\Phi(PS; k) &= \gamma^0 \Phi(P - S; k) \gamma^0 \\
\Phi^* (PS; k) &= \gamma_5 C \Phi(PS; k) C^\dagger \gamma_5
\end{align*}
\]  

[Hermiticity] [Parity] [Time reversal]

(3.2)

where the charge conjugation matrix \( C = i \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \), and \( \bar{k}^\mu = k^\mu \). Choosing the Dirac matrix
basis \( 1, i \gamma_5, \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \), and \( i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \) (note that \( \Gamma^\dagger = \gamma^0 \Gamma^0 \)), the most general structure satisfying these constraints is

\[
\Phi(PS; k) = A_1 1 + A_2 P + A_3 k
\]

\[+ A_4 \gamma_5 \mathcal{S} + A_5 \gamma_5 [P, \mathcal{S}] + A_6 \mathcal{S}[k, \mathcal{S}]
\]

\[+ A_7 \mathcal{S} \gamma_5 P + A_8 \mathcal{S} k \gamma_5 \mathcal{P} + A_9 \mathcal{S} \gamma_5 [P, k].\]

(3.3)

Hermiticity requires all the amplitudes \( A_i = A_i(k \cdot P, k^2) \) to be real. Note the presence of
the amplitude \( A_9 \) which is left out in Eq. (3.4) of Ref. [3].

The basic assumption made for the correlation function is that in the hadron rest frame
the quark momentum \( k \) is restricted to a hadronic scale \( \Lambda \), explicitly \( k^2 \) and \( k \cdot P \) are of \( \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2) \). In a frame where the hadron has no transverse momentum, the momentum \( k \) is
written as

\[
k = \left[ \frac{k^2 + k_T^2}{2xP^+}, xP^+, k_T \right],
\]

(3.4)

with the lightcone momentum fraction \( x = k^+ / P^+ \). The restrictions on \( k^2 \) and \( k \cdot P \) imply
that also \( k_T^2 = -k^2 + 2xk \cdot P - x^2M^2 \) is of \( \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2) \). Considering diagram \( 2a \), one sees easily that momentum conservation on the hard vertex implies \( q^- = k^- + k_b^- \). However,
\( k_b^- \sim P_B^- \), whereas \( k_a^- \sim M_A^2 / P_A^+ \), which is down by a factor \( \sim M^2 / Q^2 \) in any collinear frame.
Therefore, for hadron \( A \), one is led to study \( \int dk^- \Phi(PS; k) \), or equivalently its projections
\( \int dk^- \text{Tr}[\Gamma \Phi] \). These latter quantities do not carry Dirac indices anymore, but because of
the \( \Gamma \)-matrices, they \textit{do} have a specific Lorentz tensor character. Defining the projections

\[
\Phi[\Gamma](x, k_T) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \text{Tr}[\Gamma \Phi]
\]

(3.5)

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dx^- d^2 \mathbf{x}_T}{(2\pi)^2} \exp[i(xP^+x^- - k_T \cdot x_T)] \langle PS|\bar{\psi}(0)\Gamma\psi(0, x^-, \mathbf{x}_T)|PS\rangle,
\]

(3.6)

one has for instance the vector projection

\[
\Phi[\gamma^+] = \int d(2k \cdot P)dk^2 \delta \left( k_T^2 + k^2 - 2xk \cdot P + x^2M^2 \right) (A_2 + xA_3),
\]

(3.7)

which is \( \mathcal{O}(1) \). Other projections, e.g., the scalar

\[
\Phi[1] = \frac{1}{P^+} \int d(2k \cdot P)dk^2 \delta \left( k_T^2 + k^2 - 2xk \cdot P + x^2M^2 \right) A_1,
\]

(3.8)

contain an integral of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) multiplied by a factor \( 1/P^+ \). In the cross section, this factor will
give rise to a suppression of order \( 1/Q \). In this way it is seen that the leading contributions
come from the Dirac structure where the number of $+$-components minus the number of
$-$-components is largest (that is, 1). They are parametrized as
\[
\Phi[\gamma^+] = f_1(x, k_T^2), \\
\Phi[\gamma^+\gamma_5] = g_{1L}(x, k_T^2)\lambda + g_{1T}(x, k_T^2)\frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M}, \\
\Phi[i\sigma^{++}\gamma_5] = h_{1T}(x, k_T^2)S_T^+ + \left[h_{1L}(x, k_T^2)\lambda + h_{1T}(x, k_T^2)\frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M}\right]k_T^2/M,
\]
(3.9)
defining six real distribution functions per flavor, depending on $x$ and $k_T^2$. These encode the
leading behavior of the quark correlation function. An expansion can be made in terms of
local operators which have twist two and higher.

In the diagrammatic expansion for the DY hadron tensor (with $A^+ = 0$ for the lower
blob), correlations will appear with, in addition to the quark fields, transverse gluon fields
in the soft part, $\bar{\psi}(0)A_T^a(y)\psi(x)$, etc. They can be analyzed in the same way, and turn out
to be suppressed by one order of $1/Q$ for each additional gluon field.

In summary, for a leading-order DY calculation, one is to use
\[
\int dk^- \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\Phi[\gamma^+]\gamma^- + \frac{1}{2}\Phi[\gamma^+\gamma_5]\gamma_5\gamma^- + \frac{1}{2}\Phi[i\sigma^{++}\gamma_5]i\gamma_5\sigma^- i + \ldots,
\]
(3.10)
where $i$ is a transverse index (i.e., $i = 1, 2$), and the dots represent projections that will
come in only at $\mathcal{O}(1/Q)$. At the leading-order level, one is left with only three projections,
which have vector, axial-vector, and axial-tensor character, respectively, and which can be
parametrized by six distribution functions.

C. Antiquarks

The antiquark correlation function, describing the antiquarks of flavor $a$, is given by
(contracting over color indices)
\[
(\overline{\Phi}_{a/A})_{ij}(P_AS_A; k) = \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} \, e^{ik \cdot x} \langle P_AS_A|\psi_{i}^{(a)}(0)\overline{\psi}_{j}^{(a)}(x)|P_AS_A\rangle_c.
\]
(3.11)
Also the antiquark momentum $k$ can be written as in Eq. (3.4). Its Dirac structure can be
analyzed likewise. We define the antiquark projections
\[
\Phi[\Gamma](x, k_T) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \text{Tr} \left[ \Gamma \Phi \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dx^- d^2x_T}{2\pi (2\pi)^2} \exp[i(xP^+x^- - k_T \cdot x_T)] \langle PS|\text{Tr} \left[ \Gamma\psi(0)\overline{\psi}(0, x^-, x_T) \right]|PS\rangle.
\]
(3.12)
Using the charge conjugation properties of Dirac fields and hadron states, we deduce
\[
\overline{\Phi}_{a/A} = -C^{-1} \left( \Phi_{a/A} \right)^T C.
\]
(3.14)
Upon demanding charge conjugation invariance of the distribution functions, i.e., the quark
distributions in the antihadron $\bar{A}$ are the same as the corresponding antiquark distributions
in $A$, we obtain the expressions
\[ \Phi[\gamma^+] = f_1(x, k_T^2), \]  
\[ \Phi[\gamma^+ \gamma_5] = -\bar{g}_{1L}(x, k_T^2) \lambda - \bar{g}_{1T}(x, k_T^2) \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M}, \]  
\[ \Phi[i\sigma^+ \gamma_5] = \bar{h}_{1T}(x, k_T^2) S_T^i + \left[ \bar{h}_{1L}^i(x, k_T^2) \lambda + \bar{h}_{1T}^i(x, k_T^2) \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M} \right] \frac{k_i^T}{M}. \]

We note that the anticommutation relations for fermions can be used to obtain the symmetry relation

\[ \Phi_{ij}(PS; k) = -\Phi_{ij}(PS; -k). \]  

For the distribution functions this gives the symmetry relation

\[ \bar{f}_1(x, k_T^2) = -f_1(-x, k_T^2), \]  

and identically for \( g_{1T}, h_{1T} \) and \( h_{1T}^i \), whereas

\[ \bar{g}_{1L}(x, k_T^2) = g_{1L}(-x, k_T^2), \]  

and identically for \( h_{1L}^i \).

Finally, we note that hadron \( B \) can be treated in the same fashion. However, since we have chosen to work in the collinear frames where the third axis lies opposite to the direction of hadron \( B \), the role of the \( + \) and \( - \)-components for \( B \) must be interchanged as compared to \( A \). So, in Fig. 2b the upper blob reduces to \( \int dk_T^a \Phi(P_B S_B; k_b) \), for which one has to use the gauge \( A^- = 0 \).

**IV. FREE-QUARK ENSEMBLE**

The leading \( k_T \)-integrated distributions \( f_1(x), g_1(x), \) and \( h_1(x) \), have a parton model interpretation as the longitudinal momentum, helicity, and transversity distribution, respectively. In this section we show how, for a free-quark ensemble, this identification can be generalized to the \( k_T \)-dependent distributions.

It is instructive to calculate the correlation function for a free-quark target of flavor \( a \). This is given by

\[ (\Phi_{a/a})_{ij}(p; s; k) = \delta^4(k - p) \delta^4(k - p) \frac{(1 + \gamma_5 \slashed{s})}{2} \right]_{ij}, \]

where the momentum and spin vector are parametrized as

\[ k = \left[ \frac{m^2 + k_T^2}{2k^+}, k^+, k_T \right], \]

\[ s = \lambda_a n_k + s_{at} = \lambda_a \left[ \frac{k_T^2 - m^2}{2m k^+}, \frac{k_T}{m}, 0, s_{at} \right]. \]

We identify the lightcone helicity \( \lambda_a \), helicity vector \( n_k \), and transverse polarization \( s_{at} \) (transverse in the sense that \( s_{at} \cdot k = s_{at} \cdot n_k = 0 \)). Note that the lightcone helicity vector
that leading projections for a free-quark target with non-zero transverse momentum, 

\[ \Phi_{ij}(PS; k) = 2 \delta(k^2 - m^2) \left[ \theta(k^+) u_i^{(\beta)}(k) P_{\beta \alpha}(k) u_j^{(\alpha)}(k) - \bar{\theta}(-k^+) v_i^{(\beta)}(-k) \bar{P}_{\beta \alpha}(-k) \bar{u}_j^{(\alpha)}(-k) \right]. \] (4.5)

The functions \( P \) and \( \bar{P} \) are given by

\[ P_{\beta \alpha}(k) = \mathcal{P}_{\beta \alpha}(x, k_T) \equiv \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2k_T}{(2\pi)^3 2x^2} \langle PS| b_\alpha(k') b_\beta(k)|PS \rangle, \] (4.6)

\[ \bar{P}_{\beta \alpha}(k) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\beta \alpha}(x, k_T) \equiv \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2k_T}{(2\pi)^3 2x^2} \langle PS| d_\beta(k') d_\alpha(k)|PS \rangle. \] (4.7)

The form of quantization one can choose to be instant-front, as well as light-front quantization, since for free fields they are equivalent [19]. As for the choice of coordinates, the use of lightcone coordinates is convenient, because of the integration over \( k^- \) that is needed in deep inelastic processes. The Dirac structure can be parametrized as

\[ u^{(\beta)}(k) P_{\beta \alpha}(k) u^{(\alpha)}(k) = \mathcal{P}(k)(k + m) \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5 s(k)}{2} \right), \] (4.8)

\[ v^{(\beta)}(k) \bar{P}_{\beta \alpha}(k) \bar{u}^{(\alpha)}(k) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}(k)(k - m) \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5 s(k)}{2} \right), \] (4.9)

in terms of positive definite quark and antiquark probability densities \( \mathcal{P}(k) \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{P}}(k) \), and spin vectors \( s^\mu(k) \) and \( \bar{s}^\mu(k) \). Inserting the free-field expansion in the current expectation value \( \langle PS| \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^\mu \psi(0)|PS \rangle = 2P^\mu(N - \bar{N}) \), where \( N \) and \( \bar{N} \) are the total number of quarks and antiquarks, respectively, one obtains from the + component the normalizations \( \int_0^1 dx f d^2k_T \mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) = N \) and \( \int_0^1 dx f d^2k_T \bar{\mathcal{P}}(x, k_T^2) = \bar{N} \). The average quark spin vector \( s^\mu(k) \) is parametrized by the helicity density \( \lambda_\alpha(x, k_T) \) and transverse polarization density \( s_{aT}(x, k_T) \) by expanding \( s^\mu \) as in Eq. (4.3). A similar parametrization is used for \( \bar{s}^\mu(k) \) in terms of \( \lambda_\alpha(x, k_T) \) and \( s_{aT}(x, k_T) \).
Integrating Eq. (4.3) over \( k^- \) one obtains the result for a free-quark ensemble,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \Phi(k) = \theta(x) \frac{\mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2)}{2k^+} (\slashed{k} + m) \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5 \slashed{k}(x, k_T)}{2} \right) - \theta(-x) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(-x, k_T^2)}{2k^+} (\slashed{k} + m) \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5 \slashed{k}(-x, -k_T)}{2} \right).
\]

This gives (for \( x > 0 \))

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \text{Tr}[\gamma^+ \Phi(PS; k)] = \mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \text{Tr}[\gamma^+ \gamma_5 \Phi(PS; k)] = \mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) \lambda_a(x, k_T),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int dk^- \text{Tr}[i \sigma^{ij} \gamma_5 \Phi(PS; k)] = \mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) s^i_{\alpha T}(x, k_T).
\]

A comparison with Eq. (3.9) yields

\[
\mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) = f(x, k_T^2),
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) \lambda_a(x, k_T) = g_{1L}(x, k_T^2) \lambda + g_{1T}(x, k_T^2) \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M},
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) s^i_{\alpha T}(x, k_T) = h_{1T}(x, k_T^2) S^i_T + \left[ h^1_{1L}(x, k_T^2) \lambda + h^1_{1T}(x, k_T^2) \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M} \right] \frac{k^i_T}{M},
\]

which shows how for \( x > 0 \) the functions \( g_{1L}, g_{1T}, h_{1T}, h^1_{1L}, \) and \( h^1_{1T} \), are to be interpreted as quark longitudinal and transverse polarization distributions. The function \( h^1_{1T} \) was omitted in the paper of RS. Specifically for non-zero transverse momenta of the quarks, it becomes relevant. For the antiquarks the same relations hold between the antiquark probability density \( \overline{\mathcal{P}} \), helicity density \( \overline{\lambda}_a \), and transverse polarization density \( \overline{s}_{\alpha T} \), on the one hand, and the antiquark distributions on the other hand. Extending to all \( x \), results are obtained in accordance with the symmetry relations in the previous section, e.g.,

\[
f(x, k_T^2) = \theta(x) \mathcal{P}(x, k_T^2) - \theta(-x) \overline{\mathcal{P}}(-x, k_T^2).
\]

For on-shell quarks, relations exist between the distributions due to constraints from Lorentz invariance [14]. The scalar \( \mathcal{P} \) can only depend on \( 2k \cdot P = m^2/x + M^2x + k_T^2/x \) and the pseudovector \( s^\mu \) can be parametrized by two functions depending on the same combination of \( x \) and \( k_T^2 \).

We note that for the leading-order matrix elements the free-field results can be used to provide a parton interpretation, even in the interacting theory, because the distribution functions can be expressed as densities for specific projections of the so-called ‘good’ components of the quark field; \( \psi_\perp \equiv \Lambda_\perp \psi \), where \( \Lambda_\perp = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^- \gamma^+ \). In light-front quantization a Fourier expansion for the good components (at \( x^+ = 0 \)) can be written down in which the Fourier coefficients can be interpreted as particle and antiparticle creation and annihilation operators [14]. The different polarization distributions involve projection operators that commute with \( \Lambda_\perp [14] \).

At subleading order, the analysis of the quark-quark correlation functions leads to a number of new distribution functions. For free quarks, they can also be expressed in the quark densities and thus they can be related to the leading distribution functions. However, it turns out that the presence of nonvanishing quark-quark-ghoon correlation functions causes deviations from the free-field results [18].
V. RESULTS

A. Hadron tensor

Using the EFP-expansion, the leading-order Drell-Yan hadron tensor in the deep inelastic limit, and with \( Q_T = \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \), can be written as the sum of the quark and antiquark Born diagrams in Fig. 3. First, we will calculate diagram \( \Phi_\text{quark} \), in which a quark of hadron \( A \) annihilates an antiquark of \( B \). It reads

\[
W_{\text{quark}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{a,b} \delta_{ba} e_a^2 \int d^4 k_a d^4 k_b \delta^4(k_a + k_b - q) \text{Tr} \left[ \Phi_{a/A}(P_A S_A; k_a) \gamma^\mu \Phi_{b/B}(P_B S_B; k_b) \gamma^\nu \right],
\]

(5.1)

where \( a (b) \) runs over all quark (antiquark) flavors, and \( e_a \) is the quark charge in units of \( e \). The factor \( 1/3 \) comes from the fact that the quark fields in both the correlation functions are traced over a color identity operator, which is appropriate since only color-singlet operators can give non-zero matrix elements between (color-singlet) hadron states (Wigner-Eckart theorem). However, since the diagrams we consider have only one quark loop, one has only one color summation, leading to a color factor \( 1/3 \). Using the boundedness of quark momenta in hadrons as discussed before, one finds \( k_a^+ \gg k_b^+ \) and \( k_b^- \gg k_a^- \). Thus, the delta function can be approximated by

\[
\delta^4(k_a + k_b - q) \approx \delta(k_a^+ - q^+) \delta(k_b^- - q^-) \delta^2(k_a T + k_b T - q T).
\]

(5.2)

It follows that indeed we are sensitive to \( f dk^+ \Phi_A(k) \) and \( f dk^+ \Phi_B(k) \). Furthermore, the trace in Eq. (5.1) can be factorized by means of the Fierz decomposition

\[
4(\gamma^\mu)_{jk}(\gamma^\nu)_{li} = \left[ 1_{ji} 1_{lk} + (i\gamma_5)_{ji} (i\gamma_5)_{lk} - (\gamma^\alpha)_{ji} (\gamma^\alpha)_{lk} - (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5)_{ji} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_5)_{lk} + \frac{i}{2} (i\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5)_{ji} (i\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5)_{lk} \right] \delta_{\mu\nu}
\]

\[+ (\gamma^\mu)_{ji} (\gamma^\nu)_{lk} + (\gamma^\nu)_{ji} (\gamma^\mu)_{lk} + (i\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5)_{ji} (i\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5)_{lk} + \ldots, \]

\]

(5.3)

where the dots denote structures antisymmetric under the exchange of \( \mu \) and \( \nu \). We keep only the leading projections, as they where found in Sec. [11]. This leads to

\[
W_{\text{quark}}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{a,b} \delta_{ba} e_a^2 \int d^2 k_a T d^2 k_b T \delta^2(k_a T + k_b T - q T)
\]

\[
\left( \Phi_{a/A}[\gamma^+] \Phi_{b/B}[\gamma^-] + \Phi_{a/A}[\gamma^+ \gamma_5] \Phi_{b/B}[\gamma^- \gamma_5] \right) \delta_{\mu\nu}
\]

\[+ \Phi_{a/A}[i\sigma^{ij} \gamma_5] \Phi_{b/B}[i\sigma^{ij} \gamma_5] \left( g_{Ti} \{\mu, g_{Tj} \nu \} - g_{Ti} g_{Tj} \delta_{\mu\nu} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right),
\]

(5.4)

where the \( \Phi_{a/A} \)-projections are taken at \( x = x_A \) and \( k_a T \), the \( \Phi_{b/B} \)-projections at \( x = x_B \) and \( k_b T \). The next step would be to insert Eq. (3.9) and their hadron-\( B \) antiquark counterparts. In this leading-order calculation we may interchange the subscript \( T \) by a \( \perp \) where we wish, because of Eqs. (2.21), (2.22), and (2.23). The resulting expression contains convolutions like
\[ \int d^2k_{aT} d^2k_{bT} \delta^2 (k_{aT} + k_{bT} - q_T) F(k_{aT}^2, k_{bT}^2) k_{a\perp}. \] (5.5)

They are not of the desired form, since the (perpendicular) Lorentz index is carried by a convolution variable. In order to write the hadron tensor in terms of structure functions, the Lorentz indices must be carried by external vectors, like \( X^\mu \). In the appendix we describe the method we used to project perpendicular Lorentz tensors, like \( \langle 5.5 \rangle \), onto the XY-basis. For instance, \( \langle 5.5 \rangle \) can be written as (up to order \( 1/Q^2 \))

\[ \hat{x}^\mu \int d^2k_{aT} d^2k_{bT} \delta^2 (k_{aT} + k_{bT} - q_T) F(k_{aT}^2, k_{bT}^2) \left( \frac{Q_T^2 + k_{aT}^2 - k_{bT}^2}{2Q_T} \right). \] (5.6)

Before presenting the results, we mention that the antiquark diagram \( \bar{p}p \) can be obtained from the quark diagram \( pp \) by making the replacements \( a \leftrightarrow \bar{a} \), \( f \leftrightarrow f \), \( g \leftrightarrow -g \), \( h \leftrightarrow \bar{h} \), where \( g \) is generic for the quark axial-vector distributions, etcetera. It is convenient to define the convolution of two arbitrary distributions \( d_1(x, k_{1T}^2) \) and \( d_2(x, k_{2T}^2) \) (possibly multiplied by an overall function of \( k_{1T}^2 \) and \( k_{2T}^2 \))

\[ I[d_1 d_2] \equiv \frac{1}{3} \sum_{a,b} \delta_{ba} e_a^2 \int d^2k_{aT} d^2k_{bT} \delta^2 (k_{aT} + k_{bT} - q_T) d_1(x, k_{aT}^2) d_2(x, k_{bT}^2), \] (5.7)

summing over quark and antiquark flavors, with the prescription that if its argument is of antiquark (quark) nature, then \( d_1 \) (\( d_2 \)) is to be replaced, either by \( \bar{d}_1 \) (\( d_2 \)) if it concerns a vector or axial-tensor distribution, or by \(-d_1 \) \((-d_2\)) if it concerns an axial-vector distribution. We split up the general leading-order hadron tensor \( W^{\mu\nu}(S_A, S_B) \) in four cases, corresponding to unpolarized, longitudinal-longitudinal, transverse-longitudinal, and transverse-transverse scattering,

\[ W^{\mu\nu}(0, 0) = -W_T g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}, \] (5.8)

\[ W^{\mu\nu}(\lambda_A, \lambda_B) = -\left( W_T + 4V_T^{LL} \lambda_A \lambda_B \right) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} V_T^{LL} \lambda_A \lambda_B (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}), \] (5.9)

\[ W^{\mu\nu}(S_{AT}, \lambda_B) = -\left[ W_T - V_T^{TT} (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) \lambda_B \right] g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + V_T^{TT} (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) \lambda_B (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}) \]

\[ -U_T^{TT} \lambda_B \left[ \hat{x}^{\mu} S_{A\perp}^{\nu} - (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \right], \] (5.10)

\[ W^{\mu\nu}(S_{AT}, S_{BT}) = -\left[ W_T - V_T^{TT} (S_{A\perp} \cdot S_{B\perp}) + V_T^{TT} (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) (\hat{x} \cdot S_{B\perp}) \right] g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \]

\[ + U_T^{TT} (\hat{x} \cdot S_{B\perp}) \left[ \hat{x}^{\mu} S_{A\perp}^{\nu} - (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \right] \]

\[ + U_T^{TT} (\hat{x} \cdot S_{A\perp}) \left[ \hat{x}^{\mu} S_{B\perp}^{\nu} - (\hat{x} \cdot S_{B\perp}) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \right] \]

\[ - U_T^{TT} \left[ S_{A\perp}^{\mu} S_{B\perp}^{\nu} - (S_{A\perp} \cdot S_{B\perp}) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \right], \] (5.11)

where the structure functions, depending on \( x_A, x_B \), and \( Q_T \), are given by

---

\(^3\)It is seen from Eq. \( 5.4 \) that this amounts to replacing \( A \leftrightarrow B \), rendering a result, symmetric under the exchange of the two hadrons.
\begin{align}
W_T &= I[f_1 \bar{f}_1], \\
V_{1,2}^{LL} &= -4I[g_{1L} \bar{g}_{1L}], \\
V_{2,2}^{LL} &= I(\alpha + \beta \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}) \frac{4h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1L}^+}{M_AM_B}, \\
V_{1,2}^{TL} &= I(-Q_T^2 - \alpha + \beta) \frac{g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1L}}{2M_AQ_T}, \\
V_{2,2}^{TL} &= I(\beta Q_T^2 + \alpha^2 + \alpha \beta - 2\beta^2 - \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^3}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1L}^+}{M_AM_BQ_T}, \\
U_{2,2}^{TL} &= I[(Q_T^2 - \alpha + \beta) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2MQ_T} \\
&+ (Q_T^2(\alpha - \beta) - 2\alpha^2 - 2\beta^2 + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^3}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{4M_A^2M_B}], \\
V_{1,T}^{TT} &= I(-Q_T^2 + 2\alpha + 2\beta - \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}) \frac{g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1T}}{4M_A}, \\
V_{2,T}^{TT} &= I(-\alpha - \beta + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}) \frac{g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1T}}{2M_A}, \\
V_{2,2}^{TT} &= I(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2} + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^4}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{M_A^2M_B^2}, \\
V_{2,2}^{TT} + U_{2,2}^{ATT} + U_{2,2}^{BTT} = & \quad I[Q_T^2 - 2\alpha + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}] \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2M_B^2} + (Q_T^2 - 2\beta + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2M_A^2} \\
&+ (Q_T^2(\alpha + \beta) - 4\alpha^2 - 4\beta^2 + \frac{5(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2} - 2(\alpha - \beta)^4) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{4M_A^2M_B^2}], \\
U_{2,2}^{ATT} - U_{2,2}^{BTT} = & \quad I[Q_T^2 - 2\alpha + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}] \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2M_B^2} - (Q_T^2 - 2\beta + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2M_A^2} \\
&+ (Q_T^2(\alpha - \beta) - 2\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2 + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^3}{Q_T^2}) \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{4M_A^2M_B}], \\
U_{2,2}^{TT} + \frac{1}{2}U_{2,2}^{ATT} + \frac{1}{2}U_{2,2}^{BTT} = & \quad I[h_{1T} \bar{h}_{1T} + \alpha \frac{h_{1T}^+ \bar{h}_{1T}^+}{2M_A^2} + \beta \frac{h_{1T} \bar{h}_{1T}}{2M_B^2} \\
&\quad - (\alpha - \beta)^2 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha - \beta)^2}{Q_T^2} + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^4}{8M_A^2M_B}],
\end{align}

where $\alpha = k_{1T}^2$, and $\beta = k_{1T}$. In the last three equations we only gave the combination of structure functions that will show up independently in the cross section, to be discussed in the next subsection. As for the nomenclature, we based ourselves on the RS-notation, except that we always denote both hadron polarizations by the $TL$-superindices. So, for instance, their $V_{0,0}^{TL}$ corresponds to our $V_{0,0}^{LL}$, and their $U_{2,2}$ equals our $U_{2,2}^{TT}$. The subindex $T$ stands for the linear combination $(0, 0) - \frac{1}{\beta}(2, 0)$. Note that the hadron tensors (5.8)-(5.11) manifestly conserve the electromagnetic current, i.e., $q_{\mu}W_{\mu} = 0$. 
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B. Cross sections

It is now a straightforward matter to contract the hadron tensor with the angle-dependent leptonic tensor \((2.29)\). For the four combinations of polarization we deduce the following leading-order differential cross sections:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\sigma(0,0)}{d^4qd\Omega} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2sQ^2} W_T(1 + \cos^2 \theta), \\
\frac{d\sigma(\lambda_A, \lambda_B)}{d^4qd\Omega} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2sQ^2} \left[ (W_T + \frac{1}{4} V_{LL}^2 \lambda_A \lambda_B \right) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{8} V_{2,2}^2 \lambda_A \lambda_B \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right], \\
\frac{d\sigma(S_{AT}, \lambda_B)}{d^4qd\Omega} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2sQ^2} \left[ (W_T + V_{TL}^2 \lambda_B \cos \phi_A) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{2} V_{2,2}^2 \lambda_B \cos \phi_A \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} V_{2,2}^2 \cos \phi_A \cos \phi_B \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (V_{2,2}^2 + U_{2,2}^2 + U_{B,2}^2) \cos(\phi_A - \phi_B) \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (U_{2,2}^2 - U_{B,2}^2) \sin(\phi_A - \phi_B) \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi \\
&\quad + (U_{2,2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} U_{ATT}^2 + \frac{1}{2} U_{BTT}^2) \sin^2 \theta \cos(2\phi - \phi_A - \phi_B) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\phi_A (\phi_B)\) is the azimuthal angle of \(S_A \perp (S_B \perp)\), i.e., \(\cos \phi_A = -\hat{x} \cdot S_A \perp \approx q_T \cdot S_A \perp / Q_T\) for pure transverse polarization \((|S_A \perp| = 1)\). Eq. \((5.16)\) shows that the structure functions \(V_{2,2}^{1TT}, U_{ATT}^2, U_{BTT}^2\), and \(U_{BTT}^2\) cannot separately be extracted from the experiment, but only in three particular combinations. This is due to the fact that the corresponding tensor structures in Eq. \((5.11)\) are not independent, because of the relation

\[
g_{11}^{\mu} (2\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + g_{11}^{\mu}) - \hat{x}^\rho (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{g}_{11}^{\rho} - \hat{x}^\sigma \hat{g}_{11}^{\mu} - \hat{x}^\nu \hat{g}_{11}^{\mu}) - (\hat{g}_{11}^{\rho} \hat{g}_{11}^{\nu} - \hat{g}_{11}^{\rho} \hat{g}_{11}^{\mu}) = 0,
\]

which can be proven by using \(g_{11}^{\mu} = -\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu - \hat{y}^\mu \hat{y}^\nu\). One easily checks that the angular functions of Eqs. \((5.13)\)-\((5.16)\) have no further dependencies.

In order to circumvent normalization problems, in spin experiments one usually considers the asymmetries

\[
A_{S_A S_B} = \frac{\sigma(S_A, S_B) - \sigma(S_A, -S_B)}{\sigma(S_A, S_B) + \sigma(S_A, -S_B)}.
\]

For example, the longitudinal-longitudinal asymmetry follows from Eq. \((5.14)\),

\[
A_{\lambda_A \lambda_B} = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_A \lambda_B \left[ \frac{V_{LL}^2}{W_T} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \frac{1}{2} V_{2,2}^2}{1 + \cos^2 \theta W_T} \right],
\]

where the structure functions can be found in Eqs. \((5.12a), (5.12b)\), and \((5.12c)\). The angle-independent term is a generalization of the \(q_T\)-integrated longitudinal-longitudinal asymmetry \([21]\).
VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this last section we will look more closely into the results we derived, first by comparing the cross sections with those integrated over $q_T$, secondly by considering the limit $Q_T \to 0$, and finally by considering a Gaussian $k^2_T$-dependence of the distribution functions.

A. $Q_T$-integrated results

If the $Q_T$-dependence is eliminated by integrating over the transverse momentum of the produced lepton pair, one recovers the lightcone momentum, helicity, and transversity distributions of Eqs. (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4);

$$f_1(x) = \int d^2k_T f_1(x, k^2_T),$$

$$g_1(x) = \int d^2k_T g_1(x, k^2_T),$$

$$h_1(x) = \int d^2k_T \left[ h_1T(x, k^2_T) + \frac{k^2_T}{2M^2} h_{1T}(x, k^2_T) \right],$$

and similar antiquark distributions. This can be seen in two ways. It is easiest to return to Eq. (5.4) and observe that the delta function is absorbed by the $q_T$-integration, so that the transverse integrations over $k_{aT}$ and $k_{bT}$ separate. One is left with three independent structure functions,

$$W_T(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_a e_a^2 f_1(x_A) \bar{f}_1(x_B),$$

$$V_{LL}^{TT}(x_A, x_B) = -\frac{4}{3} \sum_a e_a^2 g_1(x_A) \bar{g}_1(x_B),$$

$$U^{TT}_{2,2}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_a e_a^2 h_1(x_A) \bar{h}_1(x_B),$$

with the by now well-known prescription that if $a$ is an antiflavor, the vector and axial-tensor distributions have to be replaced by their charge conjugated partners, the axial-vector distributions by minus their anti-partners. The names of the structure functions in Eq. (6.4) refer to the Lorentz tensor structure they multiply in the hadron tensor (and hence the angular distribution in the cross section). That is, $W_T$ in the hadron tensor is the coefficient of $-g_\mu^\nu$, etcetera. The same expressions are obtained if one integrates the full results in Eqs. (5.8)-(5.11) over $q_T$. It is then seen that $W_T$ and $V_{LL}^{TT}$ are the integrals of $W_T$ and $V_{LL}^{TT}$, respectively, but that $U_{2,2}^{TT}$ originates from the combination $U_{2,2}^{TT} + \frac{1}{2} U_{2,2}^{AT} + \frac{1}{2} U_{2,2}^{BT} + \frac{1}{8} V_{2,2}^{TT}$.

Contraction of the hadron tensors with the lepton tensor leads to the following leading-order four-fold differential cross sections [3]:

$$\frac{d\sigma(0,0)}{dx_A dx_B d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} W_T(1 + \cos^2 \theta),$$

$$\frac{d\sigma(\lambda_A, \lambda_B)}{dx_A dx_B d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \left( W_T + \frac{1}{4} V_{LL}^{TT} \lambda_A \lambda_B \right)(1 + \cos^2 \theta),$$
\[
\frac{d\sigma(S_{AT}, \lambda_B)}{dx_A dx_B d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \mathcal{W}_T (1 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad (6.7)
\]

\[
\frac{d\sigma(S_{AT}, S_{BT})}{dx_A dx_B d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \left[ \mathcal{W}_T (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \mathcal{U}_{2,2}^{TT} \sin^2 \theta \cos (2\phi - \phi_A - \phi_B) \right]. \quad (6.8)
\]

Note that no absolute azimuthal angles occur, but only the relative angles \(\phi - \phi_A \) and \(\phi - \phi_B\). Two remarks are in place here. Comparing with the full cross sections \((5.13, 5.19)\), we observe the disappearance of the \(\sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi\)-term in the longitudinal-longitudinal cross section, and hence in the asymmetry \((5.19)\). Secondly, we see no polarization-dependence in the integrated transverse-longitudinal cross section, and hence no asymmetry. Indeed this asymmetry is suppressed by a factor \(\sim 1/Q^2\).

### B. \(Q_T = 0\)

Only a few of the structure functions are nonvanishing at \(Q_T = 0\), the other ones having kinematical zeros (see also \((5.7)\)). Therefore, it is worthwhile to consider the limit \(Q_T \to 0\) of the structure functions in Eq. \((5.12)\). In general we can do this, rewriting the convolutions in Eq. \((5.7)\) by making a transformation to the momenta \(k_T = \frac{1}{2}(k_aT - k_bT)\) and \(K_T = k_aT + k_bT\),

\[
I[d_1 d_2] = \frac{1}{3} \sum_a c_a^2 \int d^2k_T \, d_1(x_A, (k_T + \frac{1}{2}q_T)^2) \, d_2(x_B, (k_T - \frac{1}{2}q_T)^2) \quad (6.9)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{3} \sum_a c_a^2 \int d^2k_T \, d_1(x_A, k_T^2) \, d_2(x_B, k_T^2) + \mathcal{O}(Q_T^2) \equiv I_0[d_1 d_2] + \mathcal{O}(Q_T^2), \quad (6.10)
\]

assuming the distribution functions to be sufficiently well-behaved to justify the Taylor expansion of the integrand. For instance, for \(V_{2,2}^{LL}\) of Eq. \((5.12a)\) one finds in the limit \(Q_T \to 0\) (omitting the flavor sum)

\[
V_{2,2}^{LL} \propto \int d^2k_T \left( \frac{k_T^2 - (q_T \cdot k_T)^2}{Q_T^2} + \frac{1}{2}Q_T^2 \right) h_{1L}^T(x_A, (k_T + \frac{1}{2}q_T)^2) \tilde{h}_{1L}^T(x_B, (k_T - \frac{1}{2}q_T)^2)
\]

\[
= \frac{q_T^i q_T^j}{Q_T^2} \int d^2k_T \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} k_T^2 - k_T \cdot k_T \right) h_{1L}^i(x_A, k_T^2) \tilde{h}_{1L}^j(x_B, k_T^2) + \mathcal{O}(Q_T^2) = \mathcal{O}(Q_T^2). \quad (6.11)
\]

So \(V_{2,2}^{LL}\) has a kinematical zero of second order, which is the natural behavior, because it multiplies a tensor that is quadratic in \(\hat{x}\) and \(\hat{y}\). In the same fashion all structure functions can be treated. Since this is a rather cumbersome procedure, we will illustrate below the behavior by considering a Gaussian \(k_T^2\)-dependence, from which the order of the kinematical zeros is simply read off. We obtain only four structure functions without a kinematical zero, in agreement with the results of RS,

\[
W_T\big|_{Q_T=0} = I_0[f_1, f_1], \quad (6.12a)
\]

\[
V_T^{LL}\big|_{Q_T=0} = -4I_0[g_{1L}, \bar{g}_{1L}], \quad (6.12b)
\]

\[
V_T^{TT}\big|_{Q_T=0} = I_0[k_T^2 \frac{g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1T}}{2M_A M_B}], \quad (6.12c)
\]

\[
\left( U_{2,2}^{TT} + \frac{1}{2}U_{2,2}^{ATT} + \frac{1}{2}U_{2,2}^{BBT} \right)\big|_{Q_T=0} = I_0[(h_{1T} + \frac{k_T^2}{2M_A^2} h_{1T}^T) h_{1T} + \frac{k_T^2}{2M_B^2} h_{1T}^T]. \quad (6.12d)
\]
An easier way to obtain this result is to start from Eq. (5.4), and put $Q_T = 0$ from there. One then never picks up the other structure functions in the first place. The cross sections at $Q_T = 0$ can be obtained by insertion of these structure functions into the explicit expressions given for the cross sections in Eqs. (5.13)-(5.16).

C. Gaussian transverse momentum distributions

It is instructive to consider a Gaussian $k_T$-dependence,

$$d(x, k_T^2) = d(x, 0) \exp \left(-r^2 k_T^2\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.13)

where the transverse radius $r$ in principle depends on both the particular distribution function, and on $x$. One can explicitly perform the convolution integral (5.7) (for simplicity we will omit the color factor and flavor summation),

$$I[d_1d_2] = \frac{\pi}{r_A^2 + r_B^2} \exp \left(-Q_T^2 \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2}{r_A^2 + r_B^2}\right) d_1(x_A, 0)d_2(x_B, 0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.14)

being regular in $Q_T = 0$. One can identify an ‘average’ transverse size $r$, given by $r^{-2} = r_A^{-2} + r_B^{-2}$. We find for the structure functions,

$$W_T = I[f_1 \bar{f}_1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15a)
$$V_T^{LL} = -4I[g_{1L} \bar{g}_{1L}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15b)
$$V_T^{LL} = \frac{8Q_T^2}{M_A M_B} \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1L}^1 \bar{h}_{1L}^1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15c)
$$V_T^{TT} = -\frac{Q_T}{M_A} \frac{r_B^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1T}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15d)
$$V_T^{LL} = \frac{2Q_T^3}{M_A^2 M_B} \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^3} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1L}^1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15e)
$$U_T^{LL} = \frac{Q_T}{M_B} \frac{r_A^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1L}^1] + \frac{Q_T}{2M_B} \frac{r_A^2 - r_B^2}{M_A^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1L}^1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15f)
$$V_T^{TT} = -\frac{Q_T^2}{2M_A M_B} \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[g_{1T} \bar{g}_{1T}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15g)
$$V_T^{TT} = \frac{4Q_T^2}{M_A^2 M_B} \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^3} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15h)
$$V_T^{TT} + U_T^{TT} + U_T^{TT} = \frac{Q_T^2}{M_B} \frac{r_A^4}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1] + \frac{Q_T^2}{M_A} \frac{r_B^4}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1]$$
$$+ \frac{Q_T^2}{2M_A^2 M_B^2} \frac{r_A^4 - 4r_A^2 r_B^2 + r_B^4}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^3} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15i)
$$U_T^{TT} - U_T^{TT} = \frac{Q_T^2}{M_B} \frac{r_A^4}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1] - \frac{Q_T^2}{M_A} \frac{r_B^4}{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} I[h_{1T}^1 \bar{h}_{1T}^1]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.15j)
\[ U_{2,2}^{TT} + \frac{1}{2} U_{2,2}^{ATT} + \frac{1}{2} U_{2,2}^{BTT} = I[h_{1T}] + \frac{1}{2M_A^2(r_A^2 + r_B^2)} \left( 1 + Q_T^2 \frac{r_B^4}{r_A^2 + r_B^2} \right) I[h_{1T}^L] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2M_B^2(r_A^2 + r_B^2)} \left( 1 + Q_T^2 \frac{r_A^4}{r_A^2 + r_B^2} \right) I[h_{1T}^L] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2M_A^2M_B^2(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{Q_T^2}{2} \frac{(r_A^2 - r_B^2)^2}{r_A^2 + r_B^2} \right) I[h_{1T}^L]. \]  

These explicit results illustrate the kinematical zeros, and can be used to obtain their order. For instance, Eq. (6.15c) is an illustration of the result in Eq. (6.11). The expressions can also be used to illustrate the expected behavior of the longitudinal-longitudinal asymmetry in Eq. (5.19), which becomes

\[ A_{\lambda_A \lambda_B} = \lambda_A \lambda_B \left[ \frac{I[g_{1L}] \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \cdot Q_T^2}{1 + \cos^2 \theta \cdot M_A M_B} \frac{(r_A^2 + r_B^2)^2}{I[f_1 f_1]} \right]. \]

The second (angle-dependent) term in the asymmetry starts off with \( Q_T^2 \), and is proportional to the average transverse radius squared of the functions \( h_{1L}^L \) and \( h_{1L}^L \). As a second example we note the structure function \( V_{T T}^{LL} \), being directly proportional to the transverse radius squared of the helicity distribution \( g_{1L} \) for hadron \( B \).

Finally, we mention the CERN NA10 experiment [22], which indicates a possible \( \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \)-asymmetry in unpolarized DY scattering at measured \( Q_T \), not suppressed by powers of \( 1/Q \). Such an asymmetry, however, does not appear in the leading-order result (5.13). It is, however, important to note that any kind of polarization in the beams leads to such an asymmetry. Recently, Brandenburg, Nachtmann, and Mirkes [23] have suggested that the nontrivial QCD vacuum structure could be responsible for the asymmetry. Another suggestion is that higher-twist effects are responsible [24].

**D. Conclusion**

In this paper we have investigated the leading-order polarized Drell-Yan cross section at measured transverse momentum of the lepton pair \( Q_T \lesssim \Lambda \). It is sensitive to the intrinsic transverse momentum of the quarks and antiquarks in the colliding hadrons. The results can be written in terms of six quark and six antiquark distributions, depending on longitudinal lightcone momentum fraction \( x \), and transverse momentum \( k_T^2 \). For each flavor they represent the lightcone momentum, helicity, and transverse polarization distributions. Measurements of these distributions require a study of the asymmetries in doubly-polarized DY scattering, using longitudinally and transversely polarized beams.

The quark distributions of Sec. 11 incorporate the intrinsic \( k_T \)-dependence. Although this involves higher-twist operators, the presence of a second scale \( Q_T \) in the process enables one to find observable effects that are not suppressed by the large scale \( Q \). Similarly, \( k_T \)-dependent correlation functions can be used to analyze other deep inelastic processes, particularly semi-inclusive DIS. They will then appear in convolutions with the quark fragmentation functions. At this stage factorization has not been proven, so we do not know if the distribution functions are universal.
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APPENDIX A: PERPENDICULAR PROJECTION METHOD

Consider the following convolutions:

\[ J[k_{1 \perp}^{\mu_1} k_{2 \perp}^{\mu_2} \ldots k_{n \perp}^{\mu_n}] = \int d^2aT \, d^2bT \, \delta^2(k_{aT} + k_{bT} - q_T) F(k_{aT}^2, k_{bT}^2) k_{1 \perp}^{\mu_1} k_{2 \perp}^{\mu_2} \ldots k_{n \perp}^{\mu_n}. \]  

(A1)

The perpendicular vectors \( k_{i \perp} \) are taken from the set \( \{ k_{a \perp}, k_{b \perp} \} \). In practise we only needed the cases where \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \), and \( n = 4 \) with two of the indices symmetrized. We want to project these perpendicular tensors onto a basis of XY-tensors, multiplied with scalar functions of \( Q_T \).

Take the simplest non-trivial case of \( n = 1 \),

\[ J[k_{1 \perp}^{\mu}] = \int d^2aT \, d^2bT \, \delta^2(k_{aT} + k_{bT} - q_T) F(k_{aT}^2, k_{bT}^2) k_{1 \perp}^{\mu}. \]  

(A2)

Since the only perpendicular direction available is \( q_{1 \perp}^\mu \approx X^\mu \), this expression must be proportional to \( \hat{x}^\mu \). The proportionality constant is easily obtained by contracting with \( \hat{x}_\mu \), and using \( \hat{x}^2 = -1 \). We get (discarding corrections of \( O(1/Q^2) \) in this appendix)

\[ J[k_{1 \perp}^{\mu}] = -\hat{x}^\mu J[\hat{x} \cdot k_{1 \perp}] = \hat{x}^\mu J[q_T \cdot k_{1T}]/Q_T, \]  

(A3)

making use of Eq. (2.23). We choose to write the integrand as a function of \( Q_T, k_{aT}^2, \) and \( k_{bT}^2 \), by making use of the relations

\[ q_T \cdot k_{aT} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_T^2 + k_{aT}^2 - k_{bT}^2), \]  

(A4)

\[ q_T \cdot k_{bT} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_T^2 - k_{aT}^2 + k_{bT}^2), \]  

(A5)

\[ k_{aT} \cdot k_{bT} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_T^2 - k_{aT}^2 - k_{bT}^2). \]  

(A6)

For the more intricate cases, \( n > 1 \), the procedure is essentially the same. Instead of \( \hat{x}^\mu \), and \( \hat{y}^\mu \), we will use the more convenient building blocks \( \hat{x}^\mu \), and \( g_{1 \perp}^{\mu \nu} = -\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu - \hat{y}^\mu \hat{y}^\nu \). For \( n = 2 \) we find

\[ J[k_{1 \perp}^{\mu_1} k_{2 \perp}^{\mu_2}] = \left( \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu, \, g_{1 \perp}^{\mu \nu} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{J[(q_T \cdot k_{1T})(q_T \cdot k_{2T})]/Q_T^2}{-J[k_{1T} \cdot k_{2T}]} \]  

(A7)

\[ = (2\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + g_{1 \perp}^{\mu \nu})J[(q_T \cdot k_{1T})(q_T \cdot k_{2T})]/Q_T^2 - (\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + g_{1 \perp}^{\mu \nu})J[k_{1T} \cdot k_{2T}], \]  

(A8)

where the matrix notation of the first line should be clear from the second. In the same notation, the \( n = 3 \)-case reads
Finally, for $n = 4$ and two indices symmetrized, we find

$$J[k_{11}^{\mu} k_{21}^{\nu} k_{31}^{\rho} k_{41}^{\sigma}] = \left( \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu \hat{x}^\rho \hat{x}^\sigma, \hat{x}^\mu g_\perp^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu g_\perp^\nu, \hat{x}^\rho g_\perp^\rho \right) \times \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{array}{c} J[(q_T \cdot k_{1T})(q_T \cdot k_{2T})(q_T \cdot k_{3T})]/Q_T^3 \\ -J[(q_T \cdot k_{3T})(k_{1T} \cdot k_{2T})]/Q_T \\ -J[(q_T \cdot k_{2T})(k_{1T} \cdot k_{3T})]/Q_T \\ -J[(q_T \cdot k_{1T})(k_{2T} \cdot k_{3T})]/Q_T \end{array} \right).$$

(A9)

For the implementation of these rather lengthy formulas we used FORM [25].
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FIGURES

FIG. 1. Quark and antiquark handbag diagrams for inclusive DIS.

FIG. 2. The quark and antiquark Born diagrams for the Drell-Yan process.

FIG. 3. The blob representing the quark correlation function.
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