Differences Between Interictal and Ictal Generalized Spike-Wave Discharges in Childhood Absence Epilepsy: A MEG Study
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Purpose: To investigate the differences between interictal and ictal generalized spike-wave discharges (GSWDs) for insights on how epileptic activity propagates and the physiopathological mechanisms underlying childhood absence epilepsy (CAE).

Methods: Twenty-five patients with CAE were studied using magnetoencephalography (MEG). MEG data were digitized at 6,000 Hz during the interictal and ictal GSWDs. GSWDs were analyzed at both neural magnetic source levels and functional connectivity (FC) in multifrequency bands: delta (1–4 Hz), theta (4–8 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), gamma (30–80 Hz), ripple (80–250 Hz), and fast ripple (250–500 Hz). Brain FC was studied with the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus (PCC/pC) as the seed region.

Results: The magnetic source of interictal GSWDs mainly locates in the PCC/pC region at 4–8 and 8–12 Hz, while that of ictal GSWDs mainly locates in the medial frontal cortex (MFC) at 80–250 Hz. There were statistically significant differences between interictal and ictal GSWDs (p < 0.05). The FC network involving the PCC/pC showed strong connections in the anterior-posterior pathways (mainly with the frontal cortex) at 80–250 Hz during ictal GSWDs, while the interictal GSWDs FC were mostly limited to the posterior cortex region. There was no significant difference in the magnetic source strength among interictal and ictal GSWDs at all bandwidths.

Conclusions: There are significant disparities in the source localization and FC between interictal and ictal GSWDs. Low-frequency activation in the PCC/pC during inhibition of seizures possibly relates to the maintenance of consciousness during interictal GSWDs. High-frequency oscillations (HFOs) of the MFC during CAE may associate with the inducing or occurrence of GSWDs. Weakened network connections may be in favor of preventing overexcitability and relates to the termination of GSWDs.
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INTRODUCTION

Childhood absence epilepsy (CAE) is a type of idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE) characterized as episodes of unresponsiveness and generalized spike and wave discharges (GSWDs) on scalp electroencephalogram (EEG) of 3–4 Hz (1). When the duration of GSWDs was more than 4 s, the typical symptoms can be observed clinically, whereas the GSWDs lasting <4 s (interictal GSWDs) are usually asymptomatic (2). The seizures are thought to be relative to abnormal interplays in thalamocortical network (3–5), which affects patient awareness (6). The global workspace (GW) theory proposes that information is processed in an unconscious manner when numerous modular cerebral networks are synchronized activity. However, consciousness would be formed if the corresponding neural population is mobilized through top-down attention amplification into a state of self-sustaining activity, which involves many neurons distributed throughout the brain (7). The consciousness system has cortical and subcortical components, most notably being the medial, lateral, and orbital frontal cortex; anterior and posterior cingulate cortex (ACC, PCC); medial parietal (precuneus, pC) cortex; lateral temporal-parietal association cortex; basal forebrain; thalamus; and the upper brain stem activating systems (involved in higher order association) (8). Network inhibition hypothesis proposes that the subcortical arousal systems are inhibited by spread of seizure-related activity, which generates sleep-like or coma-like slow-wave activity in the bilateral frontoparietal association cortex and leads to disturbance of consciousness (1). A subset of these localized in the medial frontal cortex (MFC), PCC/pC, and inferior temporal makes up the default mode network (DMN), a state of resting brain function (9). These brain areas usually show decreased activity during attention-demanding tasks and become increasingly active during inattention to mental tasks or the external environment (10, 11). Also, the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate, and parietal cortex are important in creating the assumption brain-scale workspace. Currently, the most accepted generation mechanisms of SWDs are cortico-reticular theory and cortical focus theory. The former assumes that the SWDs are closely related to the thalamocortical mechanism that generates sleep spindles (5, 12). The latter assumes that there is a focal area (pC, prefrontal and parietal cortex regions) active before occurrence of SWDs (13). Then cortical and thalamic alternating resonance (the cortical and thalamus in turn drives each other) produces SWDs. The integrity of the network is a prerequisite in both theories. Accordingly, the DMN, which integrates cognitive and emotional processing (14), is disturbed during absence seizures (15). Previous works studying GSWDs with EEG-fMRI have shown that the frontal, parietal regions, thalamus, and DMN (9) are changed with blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signals (9, 16–18) in absence seizures (19–23). Disorders of consciousness may thus be caused by selective bilateral cortical and subcortical networks (5, 24) through abnormal patterns of neural activity (1).

It is well-known that typical GSWDs originate from paroxysmal oscillations in corticothalamic networks, but the underlying mechanism is still unclear (5, 25, 26). The appearance of BOLD changes related to GSWDs in the PCC/pC may imply that this region has an important role in the pathogenesis of CAE (27, 28). This might indicate that alteration of the PCC/pC with levels of activity is relevant to the changes of GSWD. However, the exact interplay between the cortical and subcortical structures should be further explored. The present study aimed to investigate the difference of magnetic source localization and FC between the interictal and ictal GSWDs in various frequency bands in CAE patients using MEG, which has better spatial resolution comparing with EEG and higher temporal resolution than magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (29).

To the best of our knowledge, there have been some studies on the frequency-dependent nature of CAE. The connectivity networks and sources during the evolvement of SWDs with spatial and temporal profiles were described (30). Tenney et al. revealed that different ictal connectivities in pretreatment were related with response to antiepileptic treatment (31). And the changes of the effective connectivity (EC) network in specific frequency during interictal period were reported by Wu et al. (32). However, in our study, we try to investigate the differences between interictal and ictal GSWDs using FC and sources. The findings may contribute to our understanding of the physiopathological mechanism underlying consciousness disorders and mechanisms that sustain epileptic discharge.

METHODS

Subjects

Forty-two CAE patients aged 5–14 years old were recruited from the Department of Neurology at the Nanjing Children’s Hospital and Nanjing Brain Hospital during March 2012–December 2018. The research received approval from the medical ethics committees of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing Brain Hospital and Nanjing Children’s Hospital. The inclusion criteria were (1) typical CAE consistent with the International League Against Epilepsy Seizure Classification (2017) diagnosed by a neurologist; (2) bilaterally synchronous 3–4 Hz SWDs on a normal background EEG; (3) normal physical and neurological examination; (4) no abnormal brain MRI; and (5) head movement <5 mm during MEG recordings. Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: (1) history of other major neurologic or psychiatric diseases or severe systemic disease; (2) having metal implants that interfere with MEG data, such as pacemakers or cochlear devices; (3) incompatibility in keeping head motionless during MRI scans or MEG recordings. Of the 42 CAE patients recruited, 17 were excluded due to excessive head movements or absence of GSWDs. Accordingly, 25 subjects (7 males, 18 females) fulfilled our inclusion criteria and were eligible for the study. This study was approved by the medical ethics committees of the Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing Children’s Hospital, and Nanjing Brain Hospital. All subjects and their guardians were informed about the purpose of this study and signed their written informed consent.

MEG

All participants were asked to reduce sleeping time before MEG recordings to raise the probability of absence of seizures during
data recordings. MEG data were recorded in a magnetically shielded room with a whole-head CTF MEG system with 275 channels (VSM Medical Technology Company, Canada) at the MEG Center at the Nanjing Brain Hospital. Background noises were routinely measured by MEG before the experiment in an empty room. Before data acquisition, three coils were attached to the pre-auricular points and nasion of each subject to aid head localization relative to the MEG coordinate system. All subjects were asked to stay still (avoiding swallowing or teeth clenching) and close their eyes slightly in a supine position. Participants were monitored with an audiovisual system during MEG recording. Tolerance for head movement before and after acquisition was limited to 5 mm for each recording. Head localization was accurate to within 1 mm. The sampling rate was 6,000 Hz with noise cancelation of third-order gradients. A minimum of six consecutive epochs, each with a duration of 2 min, were recorded for each subject. If no GSWDs were recorded in the first three files, we will make the patient blow a slip of paper for a while to hyperventilate, which will increase the chance of seizure.

MRI
All 25 subjects have informed consent to undergo MRI with a 3.0T scanner (Siemens, Germany). Three fiduciary markers were attached to the same pre-auricular points and nasion used for MEG. All anatomical landmarks digitized during MEG were identifiable in the MRI.

Data Analyses
Informed by previous studies (32–35), MEG data without noise or artifacts (>6 pT) were filtered with a band pass filter of 1–4 Hz. And the MEG waveform segments showing GSWDs indicative of absence epilepsy were thereafter marked. In this study, we try to investigate the differences between interictal and ictal GSWDs in CAE. Only the GSWDs with an interictal period of <4 s and an ictal period of more than 10 s were selected for analysis. For segments longer than 10 s, the audiovisual system recordings were checked for subject unconsciousness to verify ictal periods. Recording the start and end times of seizure, then choosing 3 s in the middle of the seizure, which must be in the state of unconsciousness and applying it to all frequency bands for further study (because sometimes when patients presented with clinical manifestations of absence epilepsy, 3–4 Hz GSWDs would appear on the MEG earlier or later than it, so we choose the middle data of the ictal period, which would ensure that the selected study data were in the ictal period of absence epilepsy). Interictal segments were selected on both clinical observation of consciousness and presence of 3 Hz GSWDs in MEG as per the following three-step protocol: (1) waveform segments with GSWDs of 3 Hz shorter than 4 s were identified; (2) consciousness was observed via audiovisual recordings; (3) checking for the presence of ictal GSWDs before or after the chosen segments. If there was at least 30 s away from ictal segments, then the waveform was selected as interictal GSWDs data for the following analysis. An overview of MEG acquisition is presented in Figure 1.

MEG signals were filtered into bandwidths of 1–4 Hz (delta), 4–8 Hz (theta), 8–12 Hz (alpha), 12–30 Hz (beta), 30–80 Hz (gamma), 80–250 Hz (ripple), and 250–500 Hz (fast ripple). A notch filter of 50 Hz and harmonics were applied to eliminate power-line noise. Bandwidth segments were chosen based on our own and others’ previous studies of epilepsy (35, 36). We calculated the accumulated source localization (ASI) and FC during the interictal and ictal GSWDs for 3 s time window in seven frequency bands from all patients.

ASI
ASI was defined as the volumetric summation of source activity over a period of time. ASI was localized to correlated sources.
using node-beam lead fields (37). Given that each node-beam lead field represents a form of either source-beamformer or subspace solution, the ASI had multiple source beamformers to separate correlated sources. The mathematical algorithms and validations have been described in detail (37, 38). Measurements of brain activity were enhanced by inclusion of the source activity strength. Source location was quantitated in a three-dimensional (3-D) coordinate system where the X, Y, and Z axes represented each of the three MEG fiducial points. A MEG Processor was used in the measurements of neuromagnetic source strength (37).

FC

FC was analyzed at the source level (35, 37). Each source was computed by virtual sensor waveforms using ASI algorithms. The source neural networks were estimated from the signal correlation of each pair of virtual sensors in the interictal and ictal GSWDs. Specifically, by calculating correlation coefficients, the relationship between virtual sensor signals from two source pairs is defined as follows:

\[
R(X_a, X_b) = \frac{C(X_{a}, X_{b})}{S_{xa}, X_{b}}
\]

where \(R(X_a, X_b)\) represents the correlation of a source pair in two locations ("a" and "b"). The \(Xa\) and \(Xb\) represent the signals in two sources, which were paired for connection calculation. \(C(X_a, X_b)\) represents the mean of two source signals. \(S_{xa}\) and \(S_{xb}\) represent the standard deviation of two source signals. To avoid possible bias, we used the source-level analysis to calculate all possible connections for each two-source pair. Each pair of possible FC distributions of all voxel-based virtual sensors is registered in each participant’s MRI (26, 29). To analyze the source connections, MSI-based neural networks were visualized in both axial, coronal, and sagittal views, respectively (39). Red and blue represent the excitatory and inhibitory connections, respectively. A threshold equivalent to \(p < 0.05\) was used as a checkpoint to ensure the quality of the data.

\[
T_p = R \sqrt{\frac{K - 2}{1 - R^2}}
\]

In Equation (2), \(T_p\) is the t value of a correlation, \(R\) is the correlation of a source pair, and \(K\) is the number of data points for the connection. We used the \(T_p\) value of \(p < 0.05\) as the threshold to obtain the FC network. The PCC/pC was the region of interest (ROI). The ROI was visually defined, and the template was verified by coordinates.

Statistical Analyses

The chi-squared test (or Fisher’s exact test) was used to compare the source localization and FC at low-to-high bandwidth. The Student’s t-test and Mann-Whitney test were used to compare source strength between interictal and ictal GSWDs for independent samples. A \(p < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. Bonferroni’s correction was applied to all \(p\)-values derived from multiplicity analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 25.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The average age of the 25 subjects at CAE onset was 7.7 ± 2.08 years. The average seizure frequency was 7.4 ± 5.36 times per day. Of 57 GSWDs recorded by MEG, 17 incomplete GSWD segments were excluded. Thus, 40 MEG segments, including 20 interictal GSWDs (without conscious disorder) and 20 ictal GSWDs (with conscious disorder), were selected from 25 subjects, with at least one segment and at most two segments of MEG data from each subject. Clinical data are presented in Table 1.

Source Localization

Delta (1–4 Hz)

The source of interictal GSWDs was mainly localized in the MFC (\(n = 13\)), PCC/pC (\(n = 9\)), and parietal-occipital-temporal junction (POT, \(n = 7\)). Ictal GSWDs were localized in the MFC (\(n = 12\)), POT (\(n = 5\)), and middle occipital cortex (MOT, \(n = 5\)). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups.

| Subject | Sex/age (F or M/years) | Duration of epilepsy (months) | Seizure frequency (times/day) | AED at the time of recording |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1       | F/7                    | 1                             | 20                          | None                        |
| 2       | F/6                    | 12                            | 10                          | None                        |
| 3       | M/7                    | 25                            | 10                          | VPA and LTG                 |
| 4       | F/10                   | 24                            | 5–7                         | LTG                         |
| 5       | F/8                    | 3                             | 20                          | None                        |
| 6       | F/9                    | 60                            | 2–5                         | None                        |
| 7       | M/8                    | 2                             | 5–8                         | VPA                         |
| 8       | F/7                    | 4                             | 10                          | VPA                         |
| 9       | F/5                    | 2                             | 7–8                         | None                        |
| 10      | F/10                   | 10                            | 4–5                         | None                        |
| 11      | F/9                    | 3                             | 15–20                       | None                        |
| 12      | M/14                   | 6                             | 2–3                         | OXC                         |
| 13      | F/10                   | 11                            | 4–5                         | None                        |
| 14      | F/5                    | 5                             | 1–2                         | None                        |
| 15      | F/6.5                  | 4                             | 5–6                         | None                        |
| 16      | F/5.5                  | 24                            | 6–8                         | OXC and LTG                 |
| 17      | F/5                    | 2                             | 10                          | None                        |
| 18      | F/6                    | 12                            | 8–10                        | VPA                         |
| 19      | F/8                    | 1                             | 4–5                         | VPA                         |
| 20      | M/7                    | 1                             | 0–1                         | VPA                         |
| 21      | M/10                   | 72                            | 4–5                         | VPA                         |
| 22      | F/8                    | 24                            | 0–1                         | VPA                         |
| 23      | F/6                    | 2                             | 5–10                        | None                        |
| 24      | M/7.5                  | 5                             | 2–3                         | VPA                         |
| 25      | M/8                    | 4                             | 10                          | None                        |

F, female; M, male; VPA, valproate; OXC, oxcarbazepine; LTG, lamotrigine; CAE, childhood absence epilepsy; AED, antiepileptic drug.
Theta (4–8 Hz)
The source of interictal GSWDs was mainly localized in the PCC/pC \((n = 12)\), MFC \((n = 9)\), and POT \((n = 9)\). Ictal GSWDs were localized in the MFC \((n = 14)\), thalamus \((n = 6)\), MOC \((n = 4)\), and POT \((n = 4)\). There were statistical differences in the PCC/pC localization of interictal and ictal GSWDs \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 2).

Alpha (8–12 Hz)
The source of interictal GSWDs was mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 11)\), thalamus \((n = 9)\), PCC/pC \((n = 8)\), and POT \((n = 8)\). Ictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 12)\), POT \((n = 7)\), and temporal cortex \((n = 7)\). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups.

Beta (12–30 Hz)
The source of interictal GSWDs was localized in the MFC \((n = 10)\), thalamus \((n = 10)\), and POT \((n = 8)\), whereas ictal group mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 13)\), POT \((n = 7)\), thalamus \((n = 7)\), and temporal cortex \((n = 7)\). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups.

Gamma (30–80 Hz)
Interictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 12)\), thalamus \((n = 6)\) and PCC/pC \((n = 3)\). Ictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 15)\), temporal cortex \((n = 3)\), thalamus \((n = 2)\), and POT \((n = 2)\). There were no statistically significant differences in the localization of interictal and ictal GSWDs.

Ripple (80–250 Hz)
Interictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the deep brain area (DBA) \((n = 8)\), the MFC \((n = 4)\), and temporal cortex \((n = 4)\). Ictal GSWDs were localized in the MFC \((n = 11)\), DBA \((n = 5)\), and temporal cortex \((n = 5)\). There were statistical differences in the MFC localization of interictal and ictal GSWDs \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 3).

Fast Ripple (250–500 Hz)
Interictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the DBA \((n = 11)\), the MFC \((n = 7)\), and temporal cortex \((n = 2)\). Ictal GSWDs were mainly localized in the MFC \((n = 12)\), temporal cortex \((n = 6)\), and DBA \((n = 2)\). There were statistically significant differences between interictal and ictal GSWDs \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 3).

Tables 2, 3 show that the neural magnetic sources were localized to PCC/pC during interictal GSWDs at 4–8 Hz \((p < 0.05)\) and 8–12 Hz \((p < 0.005)\). In comparison, ictal GSWDs localized in the MFC were mainly observed at 80–250 Hz \((p < 0.05)\). There was no statistical difference between the two groups at all other frequency bands (1–4, 12–30, 30–80, 250–500 Hz). Moreover, the strength of brain activity shows that there is no significant difference between the two groups. The measurements of neuromagnetic peak source strength are presented in Table 3. The strength of activity in both groups decreased non-linearly as the frequency bands increased. The strength of activity decreased.
Magnetic source images show the locations of generalized spike-wave discharges (GSWDs) in patients at 30–80, 80–250, and 250–500 Hz. At the 80–250 Hz, medial frontal cortex (MFC) are always activated during ictal GSWDs, while during interictal GSWDs, the locations are almost in the deep brain area (DBA). There are more DBA locations during interictal GSWDs when comparing with ictal GSWDs at 250–500 Hz. The yellow arrows show the differences between the two groups.

### TABLE 2 | Magnetic source localization during interictal and ictal GSWD.

| Frequency band (Hz) | 1–4 | 4–8 | 8–12 | 12–30 | 30–80 | 80–250 | 250–500 |
|---------------------|-----|-----|------|-------|-------|--------|---------|
| Group               | A   | B   | A    | A     | A     | B      | A       |
| MFC                 | 13  | 12  | 9    | 14    | 11    | 12     | 13      |
| TC                  | 5   | 3   | 7    | 3     | 3     | 4      | 6       |
| PCC/pC              | 3   | 5   | 4    | 12    | 3     | 8      | 0       |
| MOC                 | 1   | 4   | 1    | 1     | 2     | 4      | 1       |
| TH                  | 6   | 0   | 8    | 6     | 9     | 0      | 10      |
| POT                 | 2   | 2   | 1    | 3     | 0     | 2      | 0       |
| CE                  | 1   | 2   | 2    | 3     | 4     | 1      | 0       |
| DBA                 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 1      | 0       |

**GSWD, generalized spike-wave discharge; A, interictal GSWDs; B, ictal GSWDs; MFC, medial frontal cortex; TC, temporal cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; pC, precuneus cortex; MOC, medial occipital cortex; TH, thalamus; TPJ, temporal-parietal junction; POT, parieto-occipito-temporal junction; CE, cerebellum; DBA, deep brain area.**

Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

Functional Network

There were no significant differences in the functional networks of interictal and ictal GSWDs at all frequency bands in the whole brain network when we chose PCC/pC (32) as the ROI. Notably, during interictal GSWD, the majority of CAE patients (12 of 20 segments) showed limited FC in the posterior brain region at 80–250 Hz, whereas the ictal GSWDs (15 of 20 segments) showed mostly strong connections between anterior (particularly in frontal cortex) and posterior regions at the same frequency band. The FC was significantly different between interictal and ictal GSWDs ($p < 0.05$; Figure 5). However, in the other six frequency bands, both the interictal and ictal GSWDs showed FC involving anterior and posterior brain regions. There were no remarkable differences between the two groups in these bandwidths (Figure 6).

### DISCUSSION

We herein studied the frequency-dependent neuromagnetic activities and FC during the interictal and ictal GSWDs within CAE patients using MEG. Our findings have revealed that the magnetic source localization of interictal and ictal GSWDs differs at low and high bandwidths. The FC network involving the PCC/pC showed significant differences at 80–250 Hz between interictal and ictal GSWDs. However, during
FIGURE 4 | Comparison of neuromagnetic peak source strength for seven frequency bands in interictal and ictal generalized spike-wave discharges (GSWDs).
*Statistically significant at $p < 0.005$.

FIGURE 5 | There was significant difference in the functional connectivity (FC) [posterior cingulate cortex (PCC)/precuneus (pC) as the region of interest (ROI)] between interictal and ictal generalized spike-wave discharges (GSWDs) at 80–250 Hz. Interictal GSWDs had FC almost exclusively in the posterior cortex, whereas ictal GSWDs had strong connections in the anterior-posterior pathways (mainly with the frontal cortex).

the preliminary experiment, we found that there was no significant difference between the two groups when the frequency band was over 500 Hz, so the frequency band over 500 Hz was not included in the follow-up study. And there was no statistically significant clinical correlation between the course of epilepsy, drug therapy, and seizures frequency with the corresponding magnetic source localization and FC network in the study.

**Source Localization**
Recent EEG-fMRI studies have shown that the frontal cortex, pC, and thalamus are critical for generating absence seizures (31). Our study found significant PCC/pC involvement at 4–8 and 8–12 Hz during interictal GSWDs (Table 2; Figure 2), while there was less PCC/pC involvement during ictal GSWDs at the same bandwidths, thereby suggesting that the interictal neural activities in PCC/pC are higher than ictal at low-frequency
bandwidths. A previous EEG study on resting state with low frequency revealed a decreased connectivity with the PCC in temporal lobe epilepsy (40). More recently, a MEG study of CAE patients reported that PCC/pC is markedly decreased in the low-frequency bandwidth in comparison to healthy controls (32). Furthermore, decreased BOLD signaling during GSWDs in the lateral parietal cortices was linear to the duration of EEG discharges (41). These are in agreement with our study. The PCC/pC is involved with rapidly engaging and bilaterally distributed networks for absence seizure (42), as well as the initialization of epileptic activity (36). In a related EEG-fMRI study, IGE showed that the GSWDs and functional change of the PCC may affect one other (27). Moreover, it is also a reportedly critical node in the network that correlates with consciousness in both humans and animals (43). The levels of consciousness may be relative to changes in the activity of the pC. PCC was thought to be playing a key role in the DMN, and the behavioral impairment may relate to the deactivation of pC (44). In addition, the longer the discharge, the more likely the disturbance of consciousness (45). Thus, the neural activity involving the PCC/pC may be associated with the maintenance of consciousness during interictal GSWDs and the disorder of consciousness during ictal GSWDs. Moreover, the lower-frequency pC region is necessary for a sustained and pathological oscillatory state, which is then perpetuated by the corticothalamic network. It is nonetheless possible that the lower-frequency parietal region is representative of inhibition, which has been reported during absence seizures (44).

At the same time, we also found that remarkable MFC source localization at 80–250 Hz during ictal GSWDs (n = 25, Table 2; Figure 3), while there was no obvious frontal cortex location during interictal GSWDs at 80–250 Hz. In a recent study of ictal GSWDs during CAE, it is thought that neuromagnetic GSWDs originate from the frontal lobe and thalamus (46). High-frequency oscillation (HFO) has become a new biomarker for epilepsy (47–49) because they are highly localized in the epileptogenic region. We, therefore, speculate that the frontal lobe is the focal point of epilepsy during seizure, and that interictal GSWDs diffuse neural activity generated by the interaction between the cortical and thalamus with no specific focal point. The frontal cortex has previously been demonstrated as a critical element in initiating and propagating absence seizures (34, 36, 46, 50–54) in support of the cortical focus theory (55). These findings altogether suggest that the HFOs of the frontal cortex during ictal GSWDs may be related to the generation of GSWDs (55, 56). In addition, different types of connections and/or information integration at different spatial-temporal levels require different frequencies (57). The frequency of oscillations limits the speed of information transmission. HFOs are better suited to neighboring integration, whereas lower frequencies are used to integrate information from large and/or remote areas (58).

**FC Networks**

On the FC networks, there were no obvious differences between the interictal and ictal GSWDs in the whole brain network at different frequency bands. However, when we chose PCC/pC as the seed region, we were surprised to find that during interictal GSWDs, the networks showed limited FC mostly in the posterior brain region (Figure 5) at 80–250 Hz, whereas the ictal GSWDs showed FC mainly in networks having strong connections between anterior (particularly in frontal cortex) and posterior regions at 80–250 Hz. There were significant differences between the two groups (p = 0.001, p < 0.05).

The PCC/pC is an important part of the DMN. A study of dynamic causal modeling, for example, reported that pC has a permissive function in the epileptic network for gating GSWDs in absence seizures (27). The SWDs that are generated by the corticothalamic loop are dependent on the state of the pC region (59). It was therefore proposed that the anterior
and posterior networks oscillate asynchronously in a normal state and synchronously during transition to an ictal state (44). The posterior cortical regions may also contribute to the earliest activity associated with GSWDs (44). This may explain our finding that FC is mostly limited in the posterior cortical region during interictal GSWD. It has previously been demonstrated that weakened network connections may contribute to preventing hyperexcitability and that attenuation of network connection might be a negative feedback mechanism to prevent CAE seizures (60).

The posterior DMN is likely associated with states of awareness (9, 17, 55, 59, 61). Jing et al. reported that a large number of within- and cross-frequency form dynamics was found in secondary generalization of focal seizures (62). It is therefore possible that a cross-frequency coupling (when slow and fast oscillations interact with one another) produces absence seizures. Seizures with impairment have greater physiological intensity in widespread networks involving much of the brain. Also, comparing to seizures with spared behavior, EEG has shown greater power in widespread brain regions in seizures with impaired behavior (63). These may also explain our findings. However, the connectivity analysis of the virtual sensor activity is based on linear correlation analysis, which is one of the drawbacks of this study.

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size is relatively small owing to the difficulty in collecting interictal data within half an hour of each patient. Second, we did not control for variations in antiepileptic drug (AED) taken by subjects, which could confound MEG recordings. Third, the software used to map the brain is not wholly reliable.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings have revealed that the magnetic source localization and FC network differ between the interictal and ictal GSWDs in low- and high-frequency ranges. Maintenance of consciousness during interictal GSWDs may be associated with low-frequency activation in the PCC/pC region. Activation of the MFC at frequencies of 80–250 Hz during ictal GSWDs suggests that the frontal cortex is critically involved in propagating CAE. Weakened network connections in interictal GSWDs may be in favor of preventing overexcitability and relates to termination of CAE. The specific mechanisms underlying GSWDs require further study.
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