“SP-G”, a Putative New Surfactant Protein – Tissue Localization and 3D Structure
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Abstract

Surfactant proteins (SP) are well known from human lung. These proteins assist the formation of a monolayer of surface-active phospholipids at the liquid-air interface of the alveolar lining, play a major role in lowering the surface tension of interfaces, and have functions in innate and adaptive immune defense. During recent years it became obvious that SPs are also part of other tissues and fluids such as tear fluid, gingiva, saliva, the nasolacrimal system, and kidney. Recently, a putative new surfactant protein (SFTA2 or SP-G) was identified, which has no sequence or structural identity to the already known surfactant proteins B and C. This includes also the possibility of interactions with lipid systems and with that, a potential surface-regulatory feature of SP-G. In conclusion, the results indicate SP-G as a new surfactant protein which represents an until now unknown surfactant protein class.
sugars or other proteins. Furthermore, the model can show which parts of the protein are exposed to the solvent and in that way are most likely to carry posttranslational modifications. These are probably essential for the protein function [19], as already described for the known surfactant proteins [15,20,21]. The behavior of a protein in solution and possible interactions with other nearby solutes can be investigated by MD simulations. This method can calculate the time-dependent state of a system and in that way give a hint which dynamic processes a protein could perform. There are already MD simulations described in the literature, which showed the detailed interaction of SP-B with lipid monolayers [22,23] and also demonstrated the crucial role of SP-B and SP-C for the preservation and formation of a stable lipid layer system on air-fluid surfaces [24,25]. Similarly, MD simulations with SP-G could show if this protein can also interact with single lipids or lipid layers and with that, has functions comparable to the already known surfactant proteins.

The objective aim of our work was to combine both computational chemistry and experimental work to get further insights into the character and function of SP-G to show that this protein indeed has the potential to interact with lipid systems and is located in tissues where this functionality is very important (e.g. lung or ocular system). This will suggest SP-G in fact as a surfactant protein itself which represents an until now unknown surfactant protein class. Furthermore, the computational chemistry methods used in our work could assist during the development of potent antibodies to be able to investigate the tissue and organ specific distribution of the protein and therefore help to understand the function of this putative surfactant protein.

Materials and Methods

Tissues

The tissue samples were obtained from cadavers (5 male, 11 female, aged 33–76 years) donated to the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany. These human tissue samples were obtained from body donors that donated their body to the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany by testament. For this, each body donor signed a contract that he or she donates its body to the department mentioned above for research or teaching purpose. The contract was signed when the body donor was still alive. After death the respective body donor was transferred to the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, MLU Halle-Wittenberg and the tissue samples were obtained. This procedure is general practice in Germany and is in accordance with German and EU law. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The used samples were dissected from the cadavers within a time-frame of 5–20 h postmortem. Previous surgical operations were not used in this study. Furthermore, all samples were identified using PCR.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

For conventional PCR, we used conditions as previously described by us with the following primers: SP-G sense 5'-AGCGTGAGCAGGGAAGTTCT-3', antisense 5'-GGCCATGTGAAGAGGCTCT-3' (ca. 250 bp) [11]. For verification and comparison, bacterial plasmids carrying the genes for the investigated protein were used as a reference (German Resource Centre for Genome Research GmbH; SP-G: IR-AKp961J2287Q). PCR products were also confirmed by BigDye sequencing (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). To estimate the amount of amplified PCR product, we performed a β-actin PCR with specific primers [sense 5'-CAA GAG ATG GGC AGG GCT GCT-3', antisense 5'-TCC TTC TGC ATC GTG TGG CCA-3', 275 bp] for each investigated tissue. PCR products were also confirmed by BigDye sequencing (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Generation of Polyclonal Antibody

Anti-peptide antibody was generated against a specific region of the human SP-G sequence (YESFilleLKlCllLlLl). The peptide was synthesized by SeqLab (Göttingen, Germany). After coupling the peptide to keyhole limpet hemocyanin, it was used for the immunization of a rabbit. The polyclonal antibody was separated and enriched from the serum by Protein A-Sepharose. The polyclonal antibody was affinity purified by standard protocols. The specificity of the antibody was shown by Western blot analysis.

Cloning of SP-G Using E. coli

For protein expression in Escherichia coli, the coding region without signal sequence was cloned into the pET100D vector containing a 6xHis tag using the pET Directional TOPO expression kit. Instructions were provided by the Champion™pETDirectionalTOPO® Expression kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). For the amplification of SP-G we used the following primers: sense 5'-CACCATGGGGTCTGGGCTG-3' and antisense 5'-TCAcATGTCCGAGAACAAT-3'. The PCR products were ligated into the TOPO/TA vector and transformed into TOP100 E. coli cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Positive colonies containing the inserted gene in the proper orientation were identified using PCR.

Western Blot Analysis

For Western blots, lung tissue (standardized ratio: 100 mg wet weight/400 µl buffer containing 1% SDS and 4% 2-mercaptoethanol) was extracted as previously described in detail by Brauer [10]. The protein was measured with a protein assay based on the Bradford dye-binding procedure (BioRad, Hercules, CA). The total protein (30 µg) was then analyzed by Western blot. Proteins were resolved by reducing 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, electrophoretically transferred at room temperature for 1 h at 0.8 mA/cm² onto 0.1 µm pore size nitrocellulose membranes and fixed with 0.2% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline for 30 min. Bands were detected with primary antibody to SP-G (1:250) and secondary antibody (anti-rabbit IgG, respectively, conjugated to horseradish peroxidase, 1:5,000) using chemiluminescence (ECL- Plus; Amersham-Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Human lung was used as the control. The molecular weights of the detected protein bands were estimated using standard proteins (Prestained Protein Ladder, Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) ranging from 10 to 170 kDa.
Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry, tissue specimens from healthy tissues of body donors were embedded in paraffin, sectioned (6 μm) and dewaxed. Immunohistochemical staining was performed with the polyclonal antibody against SP-G. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwave pretreatment for 10 min and non-specific binding was inhibited by incubation with porcine normal serum (Dako) 1:5 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS). Each primary antibody (1:50–1:100) was applied over night at room temperature. The secondary antibodies (1:300) were incubated at room temperature for at least 4 h. Visualization was achieved with diamobenzidine (DAB) for at least 5 min. After counterstaining with hemalum, the sections were mounted in Aquatex (Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany). Two negative control sections were used in each case: one was incubated with the secondary antibody only, and the other one with the primary antibody only. The slides were examined with a Keyence Biorevo BZ-9000 microscope.

Protein Expression and Isolation

For the recombinant expression of SP-G, a system carrying an inducible T7 promoter and an N-terminal 6xHis tag was used. Overnight cultures of LB broth (5 ml) containing 0.02 mg/ml ampicillin (amp+) were used to inoculate the 500 ml LB/amp+ cultures. The cultures were induced with isopropyl-ß-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) in midlog phase (OD 600 0.6–0.8) and harvested by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Ultrasonication. The soluble protein of the cell lysate was isolated and 5 mM imidazole with a pH of 7.9 (1/20 of the initial culture volume) was used directly for Antibody testing.

The cell pellet was resuspended in 20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl, and incubated at room temperature for 18–20 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and the supernatant was discarded. After centrifugation and the supernatant was use directly for Antibody testing.

Protein Structure Model Creation

The first attempts to obtain a 3D-model of the protein structure were done by homology modeling with YASARA [26,27]. Furthermore, the online servers I-TASSER [28,29] and LOOPP [30,31] were used, which follow the so called threading-approach. The final model for SP-G was obtained by the online ab initio folding server ROBETTA [32]. The resulting model was further processed by energy minimization and MD refinement [27] in YASARA to optimize the intramolecular interactions and stereochemistry of the structure model. Following this, PROCHECK [33] was performed to assess the stereochemical quality and PROSA II [34] was used to evaluate the quality of the entire protein fold or a partially misfolded structure. Prosa II contains knowledge based mean fields derived from statistical analysis of well resolved protein X-ray structures. Both validation programs can give clear hints if the structure model resembles a native-like fold. The final SP-G model was accepted by and deposited at the Protein Model DataBase PMDB [35] and received the PMDB id PM0078342 for free download.

To check the stability of the model, a 20 ns MD simulation was performed in YASARA [36,37]. The MD was done in a water box with a physiological NaCl concentration of 0.9% and the YASARA2 force field [27].

Prediction of Protein Modifications

Statistical sequence based prediction tools were used to analyze SP-G in silico for posttranslational modifications. Therefore, different programs were used which are linked over the ExPASy bioinformatics resource portal [38]. The protein sequence was scanned for acetylation, N-glycosylation, O-glycosylation with N-Acetyl-glucosamine (GlcNAc) or N-Acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) and phosphorylation with NetAcet [39], NetNGlyc [40], NetO-Glyc [41], YinOYang [42] and NetPhos [43], respectively. Moreover, CSS-Palm [44] was used to check if there is the possibility of palmitoyl chains bound to the two available cysteine residues. Predicted modifications were added manually to the protein structure model, followed by an energy minimization in YASARA. The resulting modified SP-G model was accepted by and deposited at the Protein Model DataBase PMDB [35] and received the PMDB id PM0078342 for free download. The stability of the modified 3D model was checked by a 20 ns MD simulation in YASARA similar to the calculation for the unmodified structure model (water box, 0.9% NaCl, YASARA2 force field).

Molecular Dynamics Simulations

The system required for investigating possible interactions between the protein model and a lipid environment should be as close as possible to the native state. For this reason, dipalmitoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DPPC) was chosen for the MD simulations, since it is the major component of the lung surfactant [45]. To meet the present picture of the lung surfactant lipid system [46], the DPPC molecules were arranged as a monolayer patch with the polar head groups facing a liquid phase and the alkyl chains facing the air.

The protein-lipid simulations were carried out with the GROMACS package version 4.5.4 [47,48]. The united-atom G53αf force field [49] was modified after Kuikol [50] to produce reasonable data for a DPPC-lipid system. To allow the simulation of the modified protein models, the force field was extended by residues for phosphorylated serine, threonine and tyrosine, palmitoylated cysteine, serine or threonine residues which are O-glycosylated with GlcNAc or GalNAc and N-glycosylated asparagine. The residue for the N-glycosylation consists of a pentasaccharide core with two GlcNAc and three mannose moieties (- GlcNAc-GlcNAc-mannose-(mannose)2 ). Parameters for all these groups were taken from building blocks of the original G53αf force field and in the case of the phosphorylated amino acids from the G43a1p force field [51]. The CELLmicrocosmos MembraneEditor 2.2 [52] was used to build the initial simulation system (Figure 1). It consists of two DPPC monolayers with 128 molecules each which are separated on the polar head group side by a water phase. On the side of the lipid alkyl chains the two layers are divided by a vacuum phase since periodic boundary conditions are applied in all three dimensions. For every simulation, one copy of the protein model was placed in different orientations in the water phase between the two lipid layers and was neutralized with Na+ or Cl- ions, if necessary. This resulted in systems with a total size of approximately 60,000 atoms.

After a short equilibration period (500 ps), the simulations were carried out for 50 ns with the Nosé-Hoover thermostat [53,54] at 323 K and the Parrinello-Rahman barostat [55,56] with semi-isotopic coupling and a reference pressure of 1 bar. To maintain the simulation setup, the compressibility of the system in z direction was set to 0. The LINCS constraint algorithm [57,58] was used to fix the stretching of bonds involving hydrogen atoms, allowing a time step of 2 fs. Electrostatic interactions were calculated with the particle mesh Ewald (PME) algorithm [59,60] as implemented in GROMACS with a cutoff at 1.2 nm, the van der Waals potential was switched off between 1.2 and 1.3 nm. The neighbor list was updated every 10 steps and no dispersion correction was applied. The analysis of the simulations was done with the tools integrated in the GROMACS package.
Visualization of the structures and trajectories was done with VMD [61] and YASARA.

Results

Modeling the Protein Structure of SP-G

The full length protein sequence (including the N-terminal 19 amino acid signal peptide) was used for the protein model generation because there are no data available which indicate in which form SP-G is present at its site of action. First attempts to obtain the 3D structure by homology modeling failed because there were no entries in the PDB with a sufficiently high sequence homology to SP-G. Also the threading method did not lead to satisfying results since the sequence of SP-G contains no conserved domains and the secondary structure prediction for a sequence with only 78 amino acids is very complicated. Therefore, the sequence was submitted to the online server Robetta. It applies ab initio folding to obtain a structural model in a very time consuming process. But for the short SP-G sequence, results were expected in reasonable time. Indeed, the obtained model showed a very promising quality and needed only minor optimizations. After energy minimization and MD refinement in YASARA, the PROCHECK evaluation shows a very good stereochemical quality of the protein model. From the 78 amino acids, 95.5% are in the most favored regions, the remaining 4.5% show dihedral angle values in the additional allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. The evaluation with PROSA shows a very good model quality as well. The plot of the combined pair and surface potential (Figure 2) is clearly negative for all regions of the protein and the combined Z-score of -6.16 is close to the average value (-7.77) for proteins of this length. These validation results indicate a good native-like fold of the protein structure model.

Knowing that the overall quality of the protein model is appropriate for further studies, a 20 ns MD simulation in a water box was performed with YASARA which showed the model stability. There are no significant changes to the secondary structure visible and no hints for an unfolding of the protein structure can be observed. The results of the validation programs thereby are comparable to the aforementioned. The plot of the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) over the simulation time as a measure for the distance between the starting and the resulting
structure of the simulation also shows the stability of the 3D model (black plot, Figure 3). The RMSD reaches a plateau after about 10 ns over two clearly distinguishable phases. From this point, there are only very small fluctuations and the model can be considered as equilibrated.

With this, we are the first who can present a three dimensional model of the SP-G structure. The model structure (Figure 4) is dominated by an α-helix of the amino acids 42–56 and an antiparallel β-sheet structure spanning the residues 63–68 and 72–78. The hydrophobic part of the N-terminal signal peptide is modeled as a short α-helix (8–13). This helix as well as the rest of the 19 N-terminal amino acids are loosely attached to the surface and cover the hydrophobic core of the protein. The fixation on the protein is not very strong so that it could fold out at any time to interact with or get embedded into a lipid system due to its hydrophobic character. The α-helix 42–56 also contains many hydrophobic residues (seven leucines and one phenylalanine). But in addition, it contains two glutamates and one lysine which could interact with the polar head groups of lipid moieties. Furthermore, the structure model shows that the two available cysteine residues are about 10 Å apart. This drastically reduces the possibility of an intramolecular disulfide bond. However, one of the cysteines (Cys76) is located on the surface of the protein and could be able to form an intermolecular disulfide bond, which would lead to a covalently connected protein dimer. Although there is no surface region predestined for interactions with another monomer, also a non-covalent oligomerization of SP-G cannot be excluded on the basis of the protein structure model.

Protein Model with Posttranslational Modifications

Since it is known that posttranslational modifications are very important for the function of the already known surfactant proteins, SP-G was also analyzed for such modifications with different sequence based prediction tools. NetAcet shows no potential acetylation sites. NetN Glyc predicts an N-glycosylation on Asn37, whose occurrence is already noted in the SP-G UniProtKB entry. NetOGlyc predicts an O-glycosylation with GlcNAc on the C-terminal Thr78. Overall, five O-glycosylations with GlcNAc as sugar moiety are predicted by YinOYang, whereas the probabilities for a modified Ser38, Ser39, Ser62 and Ser70 are quite moderate, but for a modification of Thr78 it is quite high. Given the results of NetPhos, the serines 17, 38 and 39 have a high phosphorylation potential, as well as Tyr40. Finally, the CSS-Palm server shows a potentially palmitoylated Cys76. On this point it is noticeable that there is only one prediction for the N-terminal signal peptide. This is a phosphorylation of Ser17, which is already very close to the signal peptide cleavage site. After all, the predicted posttranslational modifications were added manually to the structure model of SP-G, following two necessary conventions: 1. if there were several modifications predicted for the same position, only the modification with the highest probability was considered. 2. Only surface accessible amino acids were modified, since the addition of a bulky glycosylate moiety for example would have caused noticeably changes in the protein structure. The results of all predictions are summarized in Table 1, showing only the actually added posttranslational modifications. To examine if this extended model is stable, a MD simulation comparable to the one of the unmodified protein model was performed, i.e. with YASARA in a water box with 0.9% NaCl for 20 ns. The RMSD plot (red plot, Figure 3) clearly shows that the post-translationally modified model is very robust in this simulation system, reaching an equilibrium phase after 8 ns with only small RMSD fluctuations thereafter. As for the unmodified protein model, no significant secondary structure change or hints for an unfolding of the protein structure was observed. This indicates that the added modifications have no influence on the stability of the protein structure.

However, having a closer look on the now obtained model it is obvious that the posttranslational modifications can have a significant influence on the properties of the protein. The numerous predicted glycosylations for example could improve the solubility of the protein by masking hydrophobic spots on the protein surface and shielding the “hydrophobic core” of the protein from the polar environment in the case of an aqueous solvation. But they could also guide the protein to the surface of a lipid (mono-) layer via interactions with the polar lipid head.
groups. In the case of the simulation in a water box, the palmitoylation on Cys76 naturally tries to evade the water contact by entering the hydrophobic protein core. But in a lipid environment, this palmitoylation could point away from the protein surface, functioning as a membrane anchor similar to the signal peptide. This could stabilize the protein on the surface of a lipid layer or mediate its absorption into a membrane.

Expression of Specific RNA Amplification Products

Tissue of the lacrimal gland, eyelids, conjunctiva and the cornea show presence of SP-G mRNA (Figure 5A). Tissue of lung, eyelids, heart, kidney, testis, umbilical cord and trophoblast show at least weak presence of SP-G mRNA (Figure 5B). In contrast, the tissues obtained from samples of stomach, spleen, nasal mucosa and salivary gland show no presence of SP-G mRNA. The β-actin control PCR is positive for all samples (data not shown).

A special plasmid containing the full length gene served as a positive control for RT-PCR. The detected PCR bands are in accordance to the expected sequences within the gene bank data.

Generation of a Specific SP-G Antibody

The protein structure model was used to identify a peptide sequence with the most promising specific protein-antibody interaction. For that, the peptide sequence should be as unique as possible in the proteome, on the surface of the protein and without any predicted posttranslational modification. Two areas of the protein could be identified which fulfill these criteria by looking at the 3D-model (Figure 6). The first suggestion comprises a beta-strand of the amino acids 60 to 70 (GTSVTLHHARS). This section is rather short and contains only one arginine and two histidines which could interact considerably with an antibody. The rest of this sequence part contains mainly hydrophobic amino acids. For this reason, this peptide sequence was not considered as a potent antigen. The second suggestion covers an a-helix ranging from position 40 to 57 (YESSFLELLEKLCLLLHL). It contains not only a lysine and a histidine, but also three negatively charged glutamates. These residues are very likely to form ionic interactions or hydrogen bonds with an antibody. Only the second peptide sequence was suggested for the antibody production.

The specificity of the resulting antibody was tested with protein from lung tissue (30 μg) and with the recombinantly synthesized SP-G protein (not purified, 30 μg) (Figure 7). The purified antibody shows distinct protein bands in lung for SP-G at 11 kDa, 20 kDa and 30 kDa and a distinct protein band for recombinantly synthesized SP-G at about 12 kDa. We used lung tissue as specific positive control for surfactant proteins.

Detection and Distribution of SP-G in Human Tissue Samples by Means of Immunohistochemistry

All investigated tissue samples show antibody reactivity against SP-G (Figure 8A, B, C, D, E). Paraffin-embedded 6-μm sections from lung, eyelid, conjunctiva, meibomian glands, lacrimal gland, kidney, sebaceous gland and testis were analyzed. Control sections (secondary antibody only) were negative (unstained) for each
tissue. The insets of the figures show magnifications for the respective tissue. For all tissues, red staining reveals positive antibody reaction for surfactant protein G.

**Lung.** In the lung, SP-G could be detected as a superficial layer of the epithelium of the bronchioles. The alveolar membrane, especially the alveolar cells (I & II) are negative (Figure 8A, B).

**Epithelium of the eyelid.** SP-G was detected in the epithelium of the eyelid nearly equally distributed within the layers of the epithelium (Figure 8C). The subcutaneous tissue shows no or only weak reactivity against the antibody.

**Conjunctiva.** The multilayer epithelium of the conjunctiva shows only weak reactivity (Figure 8D). In goblet cells of the conjunctiva, no presence of SP-G could be demonstrated.

**Meibomian glands:** The meibomian glands in the investigated eyelids show reactivity against SP-G antibody, especially intracytoplasmatically within acinar cells and the excretory duct system (Figure 8E).

**Lacrimal gland.** Antibody reactivity displays the presence of SP-G intracytoplasmatically within acinar cells and in cells near the lumen of the intralobular duct system (Figure 8F).

**Kidney.** In the parenchyma of human kidneys we were able to detect antibody reactivity mostly in the cells of the ascending as well as the descending limb. Nephrons and glomerular cells show no presence of SP-G (Figure 8G).

**Sebaceous glands.** Sebocytes surrounding hair follicles show intense intracytoplasmic antibody reactivity against SP-G, whereas the follicles itself do not react with the antibody (Figure 8H).

**Testis.** Within seminiferous tubules, SP-G was only detected in spermatocytes and on the surface of the sperms (Figure 8I). Sustentacular cells of Sertoli, interstitial cells of Leydig as well as the epididymal tissue show no immunohistochemical evidence of SP-G (Figure 8J).

**Figure 5. Expression of specific SP-G mRNA amplification products.** The following samples were used: A) lacrimal gland [1], eyelids [2], conjunctiva [3], cornea [4]; B) lung [1], eyelid [2], heart [3], kidney [4], testis [5], stomach [6], spleen [7], nasal mucosa [8], salivary gland [9], umbilical cord [10], trophoblast [11], liver [12]; (−) negative control without template cDNA and (+) positive control – plasmid DNA carrying the ORF for the respective SP-G. All RT-PCR analyses show cDNA amplification for the corresponding SP in comparison with β-actin.

**Figure 6. Two sections of SP-G suggested as potentially useful antigen regions.** The sections are highlighted on the protein structure model. Suggestion 1 in green comprises a short β-strand, suggestion 2 in red covers an α-helix on the protein surface.
Simulation of the Protein Models in a Lipid Environment

Four MD simulations of 50 ns length each were performed for the protein model without posttranslational modifications, starting from different orientations of the protein between the monolayers. The protein model moved in direction to one of the monolayers in all simulations, mostly interacting with the N-terminal signal peptide first. Thereby, the signal peptide is aligned parallel to the lipid surface after reaching the polar lipid head bands. It has to be noted that the α-helical conformation of this part is lost during this process. This position of the protein also allows the interaction of the α-helix 42–56 with the monolayer in a parallel orientation. This agglomeration process took between 2 and 10 ns of simulation time. The interactions between protein and lipids are stabilized during the remaining simulation time, leading to a very stable complex of protein and lipids. This stability is visible in both the protein backbone RMSD (black plot, Figure 9) and area per lipid plot (black plot, Figure 10). During this steady phase after about 15 ns, the hydrophobic part of the signal peptide is penetrating deeper into the lipid layer (Figure 11). There, the clearly visible interaction contact between the protein model and the lipid layer after 50 ns of MD simulation is shown.

Simulations with the same conditions were started for the SP-G model carrying posttranslational modifications as well. But in this case, a totally different interaction site between protein and lipids was obtained. First calculations indicated that the attached palmitoylation could interact with the lipid surface. For this reason, a simulation was started where the palmitoyl chain was already interacting with the monolayer at the beginning. After 50 ns MD simulation, the resulting protein-monolayer complex was analyzed (Figure 12). The α-helix 42–56 is on the surface of the complex, interacting with the solvent and not with the lipid surface as for the unmodified model. Instead, the palmitoylation on Cys76 and the protein part ranging from position 15 to 30 are interacting considerably with the lipids, the latter even immersing deep into the monolayer. The α-helical character of the signal peptide is maintained over the whole simulation. It is covering the hydrophobic protein core and is nicely stabilized in this conformation. The position of the whole protein on the lipid surface is fixed on three points by the attached glycosylations, which can interact significantly with the lipid head groups and act like anchors. This is also the reason why the protein RMSD plot for this simulation (red plot, Figure 9) is very stable. However, this strong interaction and the deep immersion of the protein into the lipid system affect the monolayer behavior. As for example, the area per lipid (red plot, Figure 10) is still essentially stable, but on average significantly lower than for the protein model without modifications.

In summary, it can be noted that with the means of the performed MD simulations, two potential poses for a possible interaction of SP-G with a lipid system could be identified. One scenario, where the interaction between protein and lipids is driven by the N-terminal signal peptide and the slightly hydrophobic α-helix 42–56 and another scenario, where the attached palmitoylation determines the interaction site and the resulting protein-lipids complex is stabilized by glycosylations. Both situations are until now valuable suggestions, but will have to be further investigated by experimental studies. However, these results suggest that SP-G has a great potential to interact with or have an influence on lipid systems, as it is already described for known surfactant proteins. Furthermore, the simulations showed that the posttranslational modification pattern of the protein can have a huge influence on the possible interactions of the protein and with that, is of great importance for the protein function.

Discussion

By means of genome analysis, Zhang was able to identify the putative surfactant protein G (SP-G or SFTA2) [17] for the first time. This small protein is located and encoded on human chromosome 6p21.33. Its primary translation product comprises 78 amino acids leading to a premature peptide with a predicted molecular weight of approx. 8 kDa. Our results reveal that SP-G seems to be an amphiphilic protein which is able to switch between a hydrophilic and a more hydrophobic state. Furthermore, it has similar physicochemical properties like the surfactant proteins B or C, but without sequential and structural identities to the known surfactant proteins.

The objective of this study was the detection and characterization of SP-G within different human tissues (e.g. ocular surface, lung, kidney and testis). Because of lacking antibodies and structural information of the protein, we combined computational chemistry (protein modeling and MD simulation) and molecular-biological methods and were for the first time able to present a 3D protein structure model of the putative surfactant protein G. The obtained 3D-model indicated that SP-G seems to have hydrophobic properties and is most likely posttranslationally modified with phospholipids, glycosylations and palmitoylations, similar to the known surfactant proteins SP-B and SP-C [62,63]. Our RT-PCR results show presence of SP-G mRNA within tissues of the ocular system and in the lung, kidney, heart, testis, umbilical cord, and trophoblast. Furthermore, with the 3D structural model in our hands, a specific peptide sequence of the protein was identified (YESSFLLEELKC(LLLH)l) that showed promising antibody binding features because it is located on the surface of the protein and lacks any predicted posttranslational modifications.

The suggested sequence (YESSFLLEELKC(LLLH)l) was chosen as a target peptide for the development of antibodies. For testing the antibodies, we performed Western blot analysis using human lung tissue, because all known surfactant proteins were identified and characterized also in the lung [1,2,13,64,63]. Furthermore, a very recent study of Mittal et al. also demonstrated the presence of SP-G within lung tissue [66]. The corresponding Western blot analysis show specific bands at 11 kDa, 20 kDa and 30 kDa. Considering that the protein might be posttranslationally modified due to glycosylation, phosphorylation and palmito-
tion, the distinct protein band at 11 kDa seems to represent the mature protein. Furthermore, the calculated molecular weight of the modified protein model confirms this observed value.

From literature, it is known that the surface active properties of SP-B and SP-C result from their intense posttranslational modifications [67]. To see if this is also the case for SP-G, sequence-based prediction tools were used to scan the SP-G sequence for modifications. The results suggest that SP-G is indeed highly posttranslationally modified as well. Furthermore, the performed MD simulations showed that the function of SP-G could be influenced by these modifications significantly.

For SP-B and SP-C, the modifications and additional intermolecular disulfide bonds allow the oligomerization of the proteins resulting in different molecular weights and different functions [62,68–70]. The molecular weights for SP-B vary from 8 kDa and 25 kDa in the lung up to 35 kDa in tissues of the ocular system [16]. Also SP-C shows strong posttranslational modifications resulting in molecular weights differing from 7 kDa [71], 21 kDa [9] up to 26 kDa [72].

To exclude the possibility of non-specific antibody reactivity (cross reactions), we pre-incubated the antibody using the SP-G-

Figure 8. Immunohistochemical detection of SP-G in human tissue samples. Sections from lung [A–B], eyelid [C], conjunctiva [D], meibomian glands [E], lacrimal gland [F], kidney [G], sebaceous gland [H], and testis [I] were analyzed. Red stained areas within the tissues indicate SP-G expression. Insets in the figures show magnifications for the respective tissue. Control sections (secondary antibody only) were negative (unstained) for each tissue. Scale bars in [A, C, E, I] 50 μm, in [B, D, F, G, H] 100 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047789.g008
peptide to block all antibody-binding sites. The corresponding Western blot analysis showed no protein bands (data not shown).

Within lung tissue, we could demonstrate that SP-G is distributed as a superficial layer of the epithelium of the bronchioles, which additionally indicated surface activity of the protein.

The existence of SFTA2 in type 2 pneumocytes was shown by the group of Mittal [66]. We cannot confirm this result but it is possible that cryo-slides are more suitable.

Bernhard et al. and Khoor et al. demonstrated that SP-B and SP-C are present in small concentrations in the secretions of the upper respiratory tract [73,74]. In this context, other proteins originally not belonging to the group of surfactant proteins but also assigned to surface regulatory functions have been identified within secretions of the upper respiratory tract. One of these proteins is the PLUNC protein (Palate Lung Nasal Clone), which is a strong hydrophobic secretory product of the bronchial epithelium, of the lung and the upper respiratory tract [75,76,77]. At least theoretically, PLUNC shows a posttranslational modification comparable to SP-G, assuming similar physicochemical properties and features.

Furthermore, similar to the other known surfactant proteins, SP-G could be detected within epithelia of the ocular surface, amongst them conjunctiva, meibomian glands, accessory lacrimal glands, sebaceous glands and epidermis of the eyelids [9,16]. The detection of SP-G within the lipid-containing sebaceous glands

Figure 9. RMSD plots of SP-G models during 50 ns MD simulation. A representative simulation for the unmodified and posttranslationally modified SP-G model is shown in black and red, respectively. Both structure model variants are stable with respect to the RMSD plots of the backbone atoms. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047789.g009

Figure 10. Plot of the occupied area per DPPC molecule. The calculation was done for the x-y-plane of the monolayer and the whole simulation time. The simulation for the unmodified (black) as well as for the posttranslationally modified (red) SP-G model shows a stable occupied area. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047789.g010
and within the meibomian glands enhances the theoretically evidenced palmitoylation and the resulting hydrophobic properties of SP-G. In contrast, the presence of SP-G within the acini of the serous lacrimal gland may reveal a hydrophilic character of the protein. This would be in accordance to the results of Mittal et al., who postulated that SP-G (SFTA2) seems to have hydrophilic properties [66]. In this context, we suppose that, similar to SP-B and SP-C, also SP-G is an amphiphilic protein, showing both hydrophobic as well as hydrophilic properties depending on the posttranslational modifications. This behavior could also be managed by a dynamic process, as could be observed during the MD simulations of the posttranslationally modified protein model. The palmitoylation for example could be embedded into the hydrophobic core of the protein, changing the protein surface properties significantly. Also the hydrophobic N-terminal signal peptide is an important factor, since it can protrude from the protein surface or can be tightly bound to it. In that way, not only the shape of the protein is altered, but also the position of hydrophobic spots on the protein surface.

Furthermore, the MD simulations reveal the formation of domains within the SP-G protein that have the capability to interact with lipid phases. These domains and the palmitoylation of the protein also support the hypothesis that SP-G may be integrated into and anchored within a lipid phase, as can be found within actual accepted models of the tear film [78,79]. Our calculations showed that SP-G is able to reside in vicinity of lipid systems and may also interact with them.

Inside the lacrimal gland, SP-G was demonstrated in the superficial cells of the excretory duct system, assuming that the protein might have also rheological properties and promotes the flow of tears. Similar effects of other surfactant proteins have already been demonstrated within the nasolacrimal duct [16], the salivary gland system [10] and Eustachian tube [80,81].

SP-G could also be detected in the parenchyma of the kidney, mostly within the ascending as well as descending limb. Putative rheological functions of SP-G with respect to the tubular system of the kidney can only be supposed. So far, only the immunological surfactant proteins A and D have been detected in the kidney [81]. In this context, SP-G could be a new surfactant protein, performing rheological functions within the kidneys.

SP-G was also detected in human testes, but in this special case within spermatozoa. The function of the protein within testes and spermatozoa is quite speculative. Recent findings of Annalaura et al. demonstrated the presence of SP-B and SP-C in spermatozoa of whales [82]. Considering these findings and the proposed putative rheological properties, SP-G could be a new surfactant protein within testes that could assist during transport and facilitation of spermatozoa through the testicular duct system.

In summary, we have identified SP-G (SFTA2) as a novel secretory surfactant protein expressed in different tissues (lung, eyelid, kidney, and testis) on mRNA and protein level. The physicochemical similarity to the surfactant proteins B and C and the performed protein modeling studies and MD simulations indicate surface-regulatory properties of SP-G. A role of the
protein in inflammation and immunological defense is speculative, because immune regulatory domains could not be identified, neither with the applied computational methods nor with the performed molecular-biological methods.
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