Increasing Growth and Yield of Sweet Basil and Holy Basil by Application of Far-Red Radiation for Indoor Horticulture
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Abstract: The objective of this study is to investigate the interaction of R, B and FR from phosphor-converted LED on the growth and yield of the sweet basil and holy basil. Seedlings were grown in the chamber with a continuous supply of 200 µmolm⁻² sec⁻¹ PPFD of R and B. Several ratios were applied as R:B.3.5 and 7.0 without FR and R:B.3.5 with FR 50 µmolm⁻² sec⁻¹. The photo period was 16/8 h. The results found that R:B.3.5 with FR50 significantly increased the growth rate by 49-114%, the plant height by 64-137%, the stem diameter by 20-25% and the total fresh weight by 98-181% of the crops. R:B.3.5 with FR50 also significantly increased the average leaf area by 59-85% for the holy basil but not in the sweet basil and increased the total dry weight by 110-253% of the sweet basil but not in the holy basil. Additionally, no effects on the leaf thickness of the crops were observed. R:B.7 without FR had the trend to promote the growth of leaf and stem and total fresh weight of the crops than R:B.3.5 without FR. Moreover, the combination of FR with the R and B light could increase the leaf expansion, stem length whole plant photosynthesis and total fresh and dry weight of the sweet basil and holy basil.
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INTRODUCTION

The sweet basil and the holy basil are commonly used in most Thai kitchen because their properties are appropriate for alternative medicine, aromatic and good herbal taste. Thai people conveniently bought them from a supermarket but these merchandises could well receive the chemical contaminations that may have negative effects on their health to the extent of possibly causing cancer. Thai people currently turn to consume the organic vegetable or to grow vegetables to eat on their own. However, in the urban environment, people tend to live in high density homes such as apartments which free space and natural light for the plants are limited in the room.

Indoor horticulture is the key to solve this problem. How can people plant the organic vegetable in their room? What kind of lighting supply would be convenient and perfect for the organic plants? One traditional answer is to use 1 m² of area for planting in the room with the efficient artificial light by using a vertical pot or a vertical hydroponic bed with the artificial light. Most popular indoor horticultural lighting systems are the LEDs. Many types/techniques of the LED horticultural light are Red (R)-Blue (B) LED, red-blue plus UV and Far-Red (FR), mixed-color (RGB) LED and white LED (Watjanatepin et al., 2018). The FR light regulates the phytochrome-mediated morphological and physiological plant responses and increases leaves and total biomass of the high-wire tomato (Zhang et al., 2019). In addition, the FR light also increases total leaves area and shoot dry weight of geranium and snapdragon (Park and Runkel, 2017). Additionally, the FR light promotes flowering in petunia, especially, under a lower light intensity (Park and Runkel, 2018) and red-blue with FR increased the shoot fresh weight, total biomass and leaves length of basil (Meng and Runkle, 2017).

Currently, phosphor-converted horticultural LED (pcH-LED) are well known in the horticultural light industry, for example, LUXEON horticultural LED (Lumiled, 2017) or the full spectrum light of horticultural white-based from SAMSUNG and CREE. The pcH-LEDs could produce the light spectrum that covers the Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR) wavelength (400-700 nm) as well as adding the FR (700-800 nm) radiation. Therefore, this option is very interesting because it is possible to use a single chip of pcH-LED for generating the B, R and FR radiation. This means that it is convenient and easy to apply to the real indoor horticultural environment.

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the growth characteristic and the yield of the sweet basil and the holy basil under the pcH-LED (R:B with FR) compared to R:B without FR. The researches provided...
the R:B ratio that is equal to R:B ratio of pcH-LED (R:B = 3.5 by measured with FR) and R:B = 3.5 and R:B = 7.0 without FR. The secondary aim is to discuss about the specific FR radiation that is emitted from the phosphor-converted LED.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant and growth conditions: Plants in this study included the sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum Linn*) and the holy basil (*Ocimum tenuiflorum L.*). After incubating the plant seeds at 4°C on moistened sponge for 5 days, the germinated seeds were transferred into the plastic pots at one seed per one pot. Each pot was contained with loamy soil, compost, paddy husk charcoals and coconut dust in the same quantity and placed in the growth chamber in the temperature control room. The temperature was maintained at 30/23°C (day/night) and the humidity was at 50-75%. Each group consisted of 3 pots of the sweet basil and 3 pots of the holy basil. Thirty milliliter of tap water was supplied to each pot once a day in the morning. The plants were irradiated with three treatments with different spectral of light that will be described in the next section. The researchers focused on different growth characteristic on the stem system and not the root system because the plants were grown in the soil which difficultly made root separation from the soil and the root might be damaged easily.

Treatments and LED artificial light: PPFD value presented to all experiments is 200±5 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹, the light and dark hour was 14/10. The harvesting time is 42 Days After Sowing (DAS). The researchers designed the artificial light in three different techniques including R:B.7-LED, R:B.3.5-LED and R:B.3.5+FR50 (pcH-LED).

R:B.7-LED

**Experiment 1:** A custom-made R:B = 7 (R:B.7) LED light was a light source. The input voltage was 220 V 50 Hz. The 24 V 300 W of LED drivers with dimming control were used to drive the group of R and B LED. The dimension was 45 cm width×45 cm length. The R:B LED light consisted of two color of narrow band spectral LED which amounted in the 42 pcs of 446 nm red LEDs and 28 pcs of 645 nm of blue LEDs. The total power was 152.5 W. The spectrum distribution of the R:B LED light was a dash line as shown in Fig. 1. The measurement of the spectrum distribution of R:B.7-LED could declare the total PPFD to be about 200.18 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ (measured R:B = 6.95, R = 172.12, G = 3.27, B = 24.77 and FR = 3.1 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹).

R:B.3.5-LED

**Experiment 2:** Using the same custom design, the authors adjusted the R:B ratio close to 3.5. The total power was 160.7 W, the spectrum distribution was a dot line as shown in Fig. 1 and the total PPFD was 198.24 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ (measured R:B = 3.53, R = 151.52, G = 3.74, B = 42.96 and FR = 3.00 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹).

R:B.3.5+FR50(pcH-LED)

**Experiment 3:** The pcH-LED Model YXO-GLC-8001: LED chip dimension was 78×44×1.6 mm. The spectrum distribution was 380-840 nm. LED chips were from Bridgelux, power was 20 W (Shenzhen Yuxinou Technology Co., Ltd., China). This design was also custom-made and it consisted of 6 modules of LED connected in parallel. The total power was 108.5 W. It was installed on the aluminum heat sink. The total light area was 45×27.5 cm. The supply voltage was 220 V 50 Hz with dimming controller that could supply to the LED chip without the need of the external LED driver. The spectrum distribution was a dark line as shown in Fig. 1. The measurement of the spectrum of pcH-LED could declare the total PPFD to be 200.79 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ (R:B = 3.5, R = 149, G = 9.9, B = 42.2 and FR = 49.6 or 50 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹).

Measurements and calculation: The PPFD spectrum distribution and photosynthetic photon flux of the light sources were measured by the Spectroradiometer Lighting Passport Pro Essence (Asensetek Incorporation, Taiwan). The growth and development of the stem and leaf of the sweet basil and the holy basil were investigated by acquiring the plant height, the stem diameter and the leaf number. These parameters were measured and recorded every week after DAS. The Growth Rate (GR) was determined during the lag phase to exponential growth between the first week and the sixth week by measuring the plant height. The growth rate, GR is:
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analyses: Plant samples will be taken from six
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different (p<0.05).

Growth and development of leaf and stem
Sweet basil: After 42 days of experiments, the sweet basil
under R:B:3.5+FR50 (pcH-LED) displayed the highest
stem development with the plant high at 29.53 cm
(Fig. 2a). During a 3 week period, the sweet basil showed
a low plant growth rate. During a 6 week period, these
plants indicated the highest growth rate. The sweet basil
under R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 exhibited a low growth rate than
R:B:3.5+FR50 (Fig. 2a). The sweet basil under R:B:7
presented the lowest plant height. In accordance with the
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leaves (Fig. 2b). The leaf number of the sweet basil under
R:B:3.5 was lower than R:B:7. The leaf number of sweet
basil under all treatments displayed the highest
development on the fifth to 6th week of cultivation. The
experimental results indicated that the effects of the FR
light on the sweet basil, when compared to the statistical
analysis, displayed the significant difference (p<0.05).
The plant height under R:B:3.5+FR50 with the maximum
height at 29.53 cm, showed significant difference
(p<0.05) from the R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 (18.00 and 15.87
cm, respectively) as summarized in Table 1. The sweet
basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 increased the plant height by
64% (R:B:3.5) and 86% (R:B:7).
The average GR of the sweet basil, when compared
to the statistical analysis, demonstrated a significant
difference (p<0.05). The highest GR under R:B:3.5+FR50
is 0.82 cm/d which is significantly different (p<0.05) to
R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 (0.47 and 0.41 cm/d, respectively,
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the GR by 74% (R:B:3.5) and 107% (R:B:7).
The average stem diameter of the sweet basil, when
the average is used for the statistical analysis was found
to be significantly different (p<0.05). The stem diameter
under R:B:3.5+FR50 was the highest at 3.67 mm but did
not show a significant difference (p<0.05) with under
R:B:7 at 2.98 mm (Table 1). However, the value was
significantly different (p<0.05) to R:B:3.5 (2.46 mm).
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diameter by 49% (R:B:3.5) and 23% (R:B:7).
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average is compared by the statistical analysis, exhibited
significant difference (p<0.05). The number of the leaves
under R:B:3.5+FR50 has a maximum number at 74.33 but
did not show a significant difference (p<0.05) with under
R:B:7 (59.00). Nevertheless, this value indicated
significant difference (p<0.05) with R:B:3.5 with the leaf
number of 36.00 (Table 1). Sweet basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 increased
leaf area by 106% (R:B:3.5) and 25% (R:B:7).
The average leaf thickness and the average leaf area
of the sweet basil under all treatments when compared
statistically were found not to be significantly different
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(Fig. 3a) as well as displaying values with statistically
significant difference (p<0.05) when compared with those
from R:B:3.5 and R:B.7. However, the leaf thickness and leaf
area of sweet basil under all treatments did not show
any significant difference (p<0.05).

Holy basil: Figure 4 showed the experiment results of
growth rate, development and leaf number of the holy
basil under different light quality. The holy basil under
R:B:3.5+FR50 showed the highest stem development as
(Fig. 3b) as well as displaying values with statistically
significant difference (p<0.05) when compared with those
from R:B:3.5 and R:B.7. However, the leaf thickness and leaf
area of sweet basil under all treatments did not show
any significant difference (p<0.05).
Fig. 2: The height of the plants and the leaf development of the sweet basil during a six week period of investigation (a) The plant height and (b) The number of the leaves

Fig. 3: Comparative growth and development of (a) Sweet basil and (b) Holy basil under R:B.7-LED, R:B.3.5 and R:B.3.5+FR50(pcH-LED) after 42 DAS

3 weeks, the plant had the highest growth rate. The holy basil under R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 exhibited a lower plant growth rate than R:B.3.5+FR50 (Fig. 4a). The plant height curves indicated in the same trend. In accordance
with the leaf development of the holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50, the average leaf number per plant was highest at 60.66 leaves (Fig. 4b). The leaf number of the holy basil under R:B:3.5 was still lower than R:B:7. The leaf number of holy basil under R:B:7, R:B:3.5 and R:B:3.5+FR50 displayed the highest development on the 5-6th week of cultivation.

Table 2 indicated that the effect of FR light to growth and development of the holy basil when compared with the statistical analysis, demonstrated the significant difference (p<0.05). The plant height under R:B:3.5+FR50 with the maximum height at 23.53 cm, showed a significant difference (p<0.05) from the values under R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (2.72 and 2.61 mm, respectively, Table 2). The holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 increased the stem diameter by 25 and 20% of R:B3.5 and R:B:7.

The average number of the leaves of the holy basil when compared with the statistical analysis was found to be significantly different (p<0.05). The leaf number under R:B:3.5+FR50 was the highest value at 60.66 but did not show a significant difference (p<0.05) and under R:B:7 with the value of 37.00. However, the significant difference (p<0.05) was observed when compared to R:B:3.5 (15.33, Table 2). Holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 increased leaf number by 259 and 64% of R:B3.5 and R:B:7.

The average leaf thickness of holy basil when compared with the statistical analysis was found not to be significantly different (p>0.05). The leaf thickness under R:B:3.5+FR50 and R:B:7 has shown tendency to highest 0.40 mm equally, average leaf thickness under R:B:3.5 show the lowest at 0.35 mm (Table 2). The average leaf area of the holy basil when compared with the statistical

---

Table 1: Average growth and development of stem, leaf, plant height and growth rate of sweet basil at 42 DAS

| LED     | Plant height (cm) | Growth rate (cm/d) | Stem diameter (mm) | Leaf number (leaf) | Leaf thickness (mm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| R:B.7   | 15.87±4.53       | 0.41±0.12          | 2.98±0.57          | 59.00±19.67        | 0.43±0.05          | 14.42±1.67      |
| R:B.3.5 | 18.00±2.64       | 0.47±0.77          | 2.46±0.45          | 36.00±4.00         | 0.46±0.05          | 13.09±1.29      |
| R:B:3.5+FR50 | 29.53±3.19 | 0.82±0.09          | 3.67±0.51          | 74.33±8.14         | 0.46±0.02          | 14.02±2.79      |

F-test: * * * * ns
* Significant difference (p<0.05) ns: No. significant difference (p<0.05) ab: Followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different (p<0.05)

---

Table 2: Average growth and development of stem, leaf, plant height and growth rate of holy basil at 42 DAS

| LED     | Plant height (cm) | Growth rate (cm/d) | Stem diameter (mm) | Leaf number (leaf) | Leaf thickness (mm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| R:B.7   | 12.50±5.76       | 0.33±0.17          | 2.72±0.21          | 37.00±11.26        | 0.40±0.03          | 8.58±0.99       |
| R:B.3.5 | 10.76±2.09       | 0.29±0.05          | 2.61±0.13          | 15.33±3.05         | 0.35±0.07          | 7.22±1.07       |
| R:B:3.5+FR50 | 23.53±1.69 | 0.65±0.05          | 3.26±0.10          | 60.66±21.58        | 0.40±0.06          | 13.68±2.50      |

F-test: * * * * ns
* Significant difference (p<0.05) ns: No. significant difference (p<0.05) ab: Followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different at p<0.05

---

Fig. 4: The height of the plants and the leaf development of the holy basil during a 6 week period of investigating, a) The plant height and b) The number of the leaves.
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*Fig. 4: The height of the plants and the leaf development of the holy basil during a 6 week period of investigating, a) The plant height and b) The number of the leaves.*

---

*Table 1: Average growth and development of stem, leaf, plant height and growth rate of sweet basil at 42 DAS*

| LED     | Plant height (cm) | Growth rate (cm/d) | Stem diameter (mm) | Leaf number (leaf) | Leaf thickness (mm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| R:B.7   | 15.87±4.53       | 0.41±0.12          | 2.98±0.57          | 59.00±19.67        | 0.43±0.05          | 14.42±1.67      |
| R:B.3.5 | 18.00±2.64       | 0.47±0.77          | 2.46±0.45          | 36.00±4.00         | 0.46±0.05          | 13.09±1.29      |
| R:B:3.5+FR50 | 29.53±3.19 | 0.82±0.09          | 3.67±0.51          | 74.33±8.14         | 0.46±0.02          | 14.02±2.79      |

F-test: * * * * ns
* Significant difference (p<0.05) ns: No. significant difference (p<0.05) ab: Followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different (p<0.05)

---

**Table 2: Average growth and development of stem, leaf, plant height and growth rate of holy basil at 42 DAS**

| LED     | Plant height (cm) | Growth rate (cm/d) | Stem diameter (mm) | Leaf number (leaf) | Leaf thickness (mm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| R:B.7   | 12.50±5.76       | 0.33±0.17          | 2.72±0.21          | 37.00±11.26        | 0.40±0.03          | 8.58±0.99       |
| R:B.3.5 | 10.76±2.09       | 0.29±0.05          | 2.61±0.13          | 15.33±3.05         | 0.35±0.07          | 7.22±1.07       |
| R:B:3.5+FR50 | 23.53±1.69 | 0.65±0.05          | 3.26±0.10          | 60.66±21.58        | 0.40±0.06          | 13.68±2.50      |

F-test: * * * * ns
* Significant difference (p<0.05) ns: No. significant difference (p<0.05) ab: Followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different at p<0.05

---
analysis was found to have a significant difference (p<0.05). The leaf area under R:B:3.5+FR50 showed the highest area at 13.68 cm² as well as showing a significant difference (p<0.05) with under R:B.7 and R:B:3.5 (8.58 and 7.22 cm², respectively, Table 2). The holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 increased the total fresh weight of the sweet basil by 210 and 98% (R:B:3.5 and R:B:7). Additionally, the FR at 50 µmolm⁻²sec⁻¹ increased the total dry weight of the sweet basil by 253 and 110% (R:B:3.5 and R:B:7) which are significantly different (p<0.05).

**Yields**

**Sweet basil:** The mean of the yield of the sweet basil under different LED light demonstrated that the leaf fresh weight showed a significant difference (p<0.05). The leaf fresh weight under R:B:3.5+FR50 indicated the highest yield of 10.97 g/plant which is significantly different from the sweet basil under R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 (4.12 and 6.20 g/plant, respectively, Table 3).

The leaf dry weight showed the same trend of the leaf fresh weight. The leaf dry weight under R:B:3.5+FR50 indicated the highest yield of 0.92 g/plant but did not show any significant difference (p<0.05) from leaf dry weight under R:B:7 (0.92 g/plant). However, the value exhibited significant difference (p<0.05) to sweet basil under R:B:3.5 (0.52 g/plant, respectively, Table 3).

Stem fresh weight of sweet basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 was the highest at 6.34 g/plant and also showed a significant difference (p<0.05) from R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (2.51 and 1.46 g/plant, respectively, Table 3). In the same way with stem dry weight, the highest stem dry weight of the sweet basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 was highest at 1.22 g. This value showed significant difference (p<0.05) from R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 (0.28 and 0.04 g/plant, respectively, Table 3).

The highest total fresh weight of the sweet basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 was indicated as the highest yield at 17.31 g/plant and showed significant difference (p<0.05) from R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (8.71 and 5.58 g/plant, respectively). The total dry weight of the sweet basil under this experiment demonstrated the same tendency with the total fresh weight (Table 3).

In summary, the effect of FR at 50 µmolm⁻² sec⁻¹ from R:B:3.5+FR50 increased the total fresh weight of the sweet basil by 210 and 98% (R:B:3.5 and R:B:7). Additionally, the FR at 50 µmolm⁻²sec⁻¹ increased the total dry weight of the sweet basil by 253 and 110% (R:B:3.5 and R:B:7) which are significantly different (p<0.05).

**Holy basil:** The yield of the holy basil under different LED light demonstrated that the leaf fresh weight showed a significant difference (p<0.05). The leaf fresh weight under R:B:3.5+FR50 indicated the highest weight of 6 g/plant that differed from the holy basil under R:B:3.5 and R:B:7 (2.43 and 2.45 g/plant, respectively, Table 4).

Statistical results of average leaf dry weight of the holy basil under all treatments were found to be not significantly different (p>0.05). Trends of leaf dry weight of holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 were the highest at 0.54 g/plant followed by R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (0.28 and 0.24 g/plant, respectively, Table 4).

Table 4 summarized the stem fresh weight of holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 which was the highest value at 3.09 g/plant. This finding showed significant difference (p<0.05) from R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (1.13 and 0.80 g/plant, respectively). However, the stem dry weight of holy basil under all treatments did not show significant difference (p>0.05). The experimental results showed that the trend of the yield of stem dry weight under R:B:3.5+FR50 was highest at 0.79 g/plant and higher than under R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (0.41 and 0.32 g/plant, respectively).

The total fresh weight of the holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 was indicated to be the highest at 9.09 g/plant and showed a significant difference (p<0.05) from R:B:7 and R:B:3.5-LED (3.58 and 3.23 g/plant, respectively). The total dry weight yield of the holy basil under R:B:3.5+FR50 was the highest at 0.79 g/plant but did not show any significant difference (p<0.05) from the total dry weight under R:B:7 and R:B:3.5 (0.41 and 0.32 g/plant, respectively).

In summary, the yield of the holy basil indicated by total fresh and dry weight from this experiment could say that the FR radiation from R:B:3.5+FR50 could increase the total fresh weight by 158 and 181% (R:B:7 and R:B:3.5) with significant difference (p<0.05). The FR radiation can increase the total dry weight of holy basil by 92 and 147% (R:B:7 and R:B:3.5) but was not.
The sweet basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the GR by 75 and 107% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7, respectively, as well as increasing the plant height by 64 and 86% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7. In accordance with the report of Park and Runkle (2017), the FR radiation (700-800 nm) has major affected on extension growth, length of stem and ultimately the height of plants. Similarly, the FR adding to R:B light at the end of day and FR enriched spectrum indicated that the tomato seedling was taller than without FR.

R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the stem diameter of the sweet basil by 49 and 25% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7. This finding confirms to the report of Fallstrom where the tomato seedling growth under R:B with FR showed 3.3% (Kaiser) and 3.5% (Emperoidor) thicker stem than seedling grown without FR.

R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the leaves number of the sweet basil by 106% and 25% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7, respectively. Although, the leaves area of the sweet basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 was not significantly different (p<0.05) from R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 but photosynthetic potential was increased because of the larger total leaves area of the plant (by many leaves number). The photosynthetic rate improved and would affect the promotion of the length of stem, extension growth and total plant biomass (Zhang et al., 2019; Park and Runkel, 2017; Heliospectra, 2019).

The sweet basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the total fresh weight by 210 and 90% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 and increased the total dry weight by 253 and 110% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7. This is in accordance to study of Park and Runkle (2017) on snapdragon and geranium under R:B with FR that could increase shoot dry weight by 28-50%. This is further confirmed by Zhang et al. (2019) who provided the study results that the R:B LED (R 640 nm, B 450 nm) in combination with FR (735 nm) at 43 μmolm⁻² sec⁻¹ could increase the total plant biomass of high-wire tomato plants by 16% better than sole R:B LED (Zhang et al., 2019). Meng and Runkle (2017) reported that the B and R did not influence the biomass of basil. However, adding FR (30 μmolm⁻² sec⁻¹) to R:B (90:90 μmolm⁻² sec⁻¹) could increase the shoot fresh and dry weight of basil.

The holy basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the plant height by 118 and 88% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 and increased GR by 124% of R:B.3.5 and 97% of R:B.7. This finding is consistent with some study that confirmed FR (700-800 nm) has a major effect on the extension growth and length of stem (Park and Runkle, 2017) by adding FR to R and B at the end of day 30 min or enriched spectrum. Lastly, FR adding in HPS lamp could increase stem length in the early growth of tomato (Hao et al., 2016).

R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the stem diameter of the holy basil by 25% of R:B.3.5 and 20% of R:B.7. This is close to the findings of Jiang et al. (2017) where the experimental results of tomato plant under deep red+B+FR increased stem diameter by 17.1% better than the plants under deep red+B.

The holy basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 increased the average leaf number by 295 and 64% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 and increased average leaf area by 89% of R:B.3.5 and 59% of R:B.7. FR light clearly indicated the leaf morphological development, FR light (735 nm) at 43 μmolm⁻² sec⁻¹ with R:B produce larger leaf area of tomato when compared to R:B without FR (Zhang et al., 2019). FR (700-800 nm) was an influence on the size of leaves, total leaf area of geranium and snapdragon by 7%. FR light was weakly effective at promoting the photosynthetic reaction but FR promote leaf expansion when the leaf size increased, plant could capture more light and more potential to grow (Park and Runkle, 2017) better than whole-plant photosynthesis (Meng and Runkle, 2017). Adding FR to R:B light could increase the leaf size of lettuce (Black-seeded Simpson) higher than without FR (Heliospectra, 2019).

R:B.3.5+FR50 significantly increased the total fresh weight of the holy basil by 181 and 158% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7. Of course pervious section clearly indicated that the leaf number and leaf area of the holy basil increase in very high percentage. This caused to increase the total fresh weight. Zhang et al. (2019) reported that the R:B with FR increased total plant
biomass of tomato. Moreover, FR increased the total biomass of basil by adding FR (30 μmolm⁻² sec⁻¹) to R:B light more than without FR (Meng and Runkle, 2017). Tomato supplement by deep red+B+FR increased the shoot fresh weight (18.5%) and the shoot dry weight (15.4%) when compared to deep red+B without FR (Jiang et al., 2017). The total dry weight of holy basil under R:B.3.5+FR50 could increase dry weight by 147 and 92% of R:B.3.5 and R:B.7 without FR.

What is interesting is that the FR radiation from phosphor-converted LED does not show the peak wavelength in the range of 700-800 nm but it emits a great amount of FR photon flux in this study which is around 25% of total photon flux. In comparison to many previous studies, the additional of FR into R:B light by using an external FR LED indicated the peak wavelength of 730-735 nm (Park and Runkle, 2017; Meng and Runkle, 2017; Zhen and Van Iersel, 2017; Islam et al., 2014). FR from external light or from pcH-LED offered the similar results. The researchers summarized that the added FR could increase the leaf expansion, stem length, whole plant photosynthesis and total fresh weight/dry weight of the sweet basil and holy basil.

However, the R:B.3.5+FR50 from pcH-LED consumed the electric power less than R:B3.5 and R:B.7 which is about 48 and 40%, respectively. This conveyed that the phosphor-converted LED for horticultural may be the future light source for plant factory and indoor farming. When dimming the phosphor-converted LED, the R:B and FR photo flux will change but the R:B and R:FR radiation ratios does not change. In the next study, the researchers would like to study the indoor plants yield per energy consumption (kg/kWh) under phosphor-converted LED enriched and R:B+FR.

CONCLUSION

Phosphor-converted LED for horticultural that researchers provided emitted big amount of FR included R and B light that appropriate for indoor horticultural. R:B lighting with FR increased leaf area, leaf number, radiation capture, growth rate, plant height, stem diameter and total fresh weight of the sweet basil and the holy basil with significantly higher than R:B combination without FR but it was non-effect to the leaf thickness of the crops.
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