Direct optical probe of magnon topology in two-dimensional quantum magnets
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Controlling edge states of topological magnon insulators is a promising route to stable spintronics devices. However, to experimentally ascertain the topology of magnon bands is a challenging task. Here we derive a fundamental relation between the light-matter coupling and the quantum geometry of magnon states. This allows to establish the two-magnon Raman circular dichroism as an optical probe of magnon topology in honeycomb magnets, in particular of the Chern number and the topological gap. Our results pave the way for interfacing light and topological magnons in functional quantum devices.

The study of topological states of matter has recently been extended to systems with bosonic quasiparticles such as magnons, photons, excitons and polaritons [1–10]. The large interest in topological states stems from the hope of utilizing their properties to realize fault-tolerant quantum information devices for large-scale quantum computing. Several predictions exist of how to realize paradigmatic models of topological matter such as the quantum Hall and quantum anomalous Hall effects with magnons [9–12]. In electronic systems, where the edge state population can be controlled by shifting the chemical potential, e.g., through electrostatic gating, it is straightforward to experimentally verify the topological nature of a given state via conductivity measurements. In contrast, magnon systems lack a chemical potential, and the ground state is usually a Bose-Einstein distribution centered around zero momentum. In order to harness magnon edge states for the realization of stable spintronics devices, it is therefore necessary to find other means of probing the topology of the magnon bands.

The topology of two-dimensional band structures is quantified by their Chern numbers, which are given by an integral of the Berry curvature over the Brillouin zone [13]. The Berry curvature can in turn be viewed as the imaginary part of the more general quantum geometric tensor, which endows the Hilbert space of quantum states with a Riemannian structure [15]. Both the Berry curvature and the quantum metric, the real part of the quantum geometric tensor, are crucial for the understanding of a plethora of physical effects, such as flat band superfluidity [16], superconductivity [17], orbital magnetic susceptibility [18, 19] and the non-adiabatic anomalous Hall effect [20]. Recently, a connection was found between the quantum geometric tensor and the light-matter coupling in non-interacting fermionic systems [21], as well as between the Berry curvature and angle-resolved photo-emission spectra [22–25]. Although a similar connection for bosonic systems would allow to optically address the topology of magnon bands, the generalization of these results to boson systems is non-trivial due to the different exchange statistics and transformation properties of the boson operators.

Here, we specifically show that the magnon topology of canted honeycomb antiferromagnets can be probed at zero temperature by the two-magnon Raman circular dichroism (RCD). We demonstrate that the frequency-integrated RCD is tied to the Chern number of the magnon bands, while frequency-resolved measurements of the RCD give access to the size of the topological magnon gap. More generally, we show that the connection between the RCD and the magnon topology follows from a fundamental relation between the light-matter coupling and the quantum geometric tensor for non-interacting boson systems, which we derive. Our results are relevant for a large class of van der Waals (vdW) honeycomb magnets, where the magnon band structure is topological [26, 27].

The low-energy magnetic properties of monolayer vdW transition-metal (phosphorous) trichalcogenides, such as CrI3, CrCl3, MnPS3 and MnPSe3, are described by a short-range spin Hamiltonian on the honeycomb lattice [28, 29]. To lowest order, this Hamiltonian reads

\[ H_0 = J_{xy} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y) + J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z \]  

\[ + D \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \nu_{ij} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j - \mathbf{B} \cdot \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i, \]  

where \( J_{xy} \) and \( J_z \) are the in-plane and perpendicular
nearest-neighbor exchange interactions, $D$ is the strength of the next-nearest neighbor Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI), and $B$ is an external magnetic field. The coefficients $\nu_{ij}$ arise from electronic virtual hopping processes along isosceles triangles, and take values $\nu_{ij} = \pm 1$ as illustrated in Fig. 1. Although the intrinsic strength of the DMI might be small, a synthetic scalar spin chirality interaction can be induced via circularly polarized lasers [10, 30, 31], and the DMI can be enhanced through the application of out-of-plane electric fields [32, 33].

For $J_{zy} > J_x$ and an out-of-plane magnetic field $B = B\hat{z}$, the ground state of Eq. 1 has a canted antiferromagnetic order (see Fig. 1). The Neél vector of the field-free system is taken to lie along the $x$-axis, and will tilt into the $xz$-plane as $B$ increases [34, 35]. Employing a sublattice-dependent Holstein-Primakoff transformation around the local spin axes, the Hamiltonian is given to leading order in $S^{-1}$ by $H_0 = \sum_{k} \Phi^\dagger_k H_{0k} \Phi_k$ in the basis $\Phi^\dagger_k = (a^\dagger_k, b^\dagger_k, a_{-k}, b_{-k})$. To diagonalize the Hamiltonian, we perform the Bogoliubov transformation $\Phi_k = U_k \Psi_k$, where $U_k$ is a paraunitary matrix, and the resulting magnon energies are denoted $\epsilon_{km}$ ($m = \pm$).

The magnon band structure as a function of $B$ interpolates between that of a collinear AFM ($B = 0$) and that of a collinear FM obtained above the saturation field $B_s = 6JS$ (see Fig. 3).

The Berry curvature of an antiferromagnet can be written as $\Omega_m(k) = \sum_n \Omega^{(n)}_m(k)$, where $\Omega^{(n)}_m$ is the contribution of band $n$ to the Berry curvature of band $m$ and is defined by

$$\Omega^{(n)}_m(k) = -2 \text{Im} \left[ \sum_{n,k} U^\dagger_{nk} \tau_y H_{0k} U_{nk} \right] \left[ \sum_{n,k} U^\dagger_{nk} \tau_y H_{0k} U_{nk} \right]$$

(2)

Here $U_{nk}$ $(\bar{U}_{nk})$ is the $m$th column ($n$th row) of the transformation matrix $U_k$ ($\bar{U}_k = \tau_z U_k \tau_z$), and the energies in the denominator are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\tau_y H_0$. The Chern number of band $m$ is given by the Brillouin zone integral $C_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{BZ} dk \Omega_m(k)$. Except for the lines $D = 0$ and $B = 0$, the magnon bands have non-zero Chern numbers and are topological (see Fig. 2). The dominant contribution to the Berry curvature of the magnon bands comes from the $K$ and $K'$ points, where $\Omega_+ = -\Omega_- \ldots$

In presence of an external electromagnetic field, the Hamiltonian acquires a dependence on the vector potential $A$. In magnetic systems this dependence can arise from a variety of optomagnetic interactions, the most common of which are the Peierls coupling [37–39], the Aharonov-Casher effect (ACE) [40, 41], and the inverse Faraday effect (IFE) [42, 43]. The microscopic processes underlying these interactions are briefly summarized in Tab. I. At optical frequencies the dominant mechanism is two-magnon Raman scattering, where magnon pairs are created at finite $k$ with equal and opposite momenta [38]. In honeycomb antiferromagnets the Raman scattering probability is dominated by contributions from the regions around $K$ and $K'$. In particular, the scattering of right-handed into left-handed photons (left-handed into right-handed photons) mainly generates magnons at $K$ and $K'$ in the lower (upper) branch (see Fig. 1). This leads to a non-zero Raman circular dichroism that is shown below to be directly related to the Berry curvature. Since the Berry curvatures of the lower and upper

| Mechanism | Physical process |
|-----------|-----------------|
| Aharonov-Casher Effect | Phase accumulation of magnetic moment in an electric field |
| Peierls phases | Electric field modulation of virtual electronic hopping processes |
| Inverse Faraday Effect | An effective magnetic field generation by the optical spin density |

**TABLE I. Mechanisms of light-matter coupling.** Summary of the most common light-matter coupling mechanisms and their underlying physical processes.

---

**Fig. 1.** Magnon band structure and two-magnon Raman scattering in a canted honeycomb antiferromagnet. a, b. Illustration of a canted antiferromagnet on the honeycomb lattice. Panel a shows a side view of the system indicating the in-plane Neél order, out-of-plane ferromagnetic order and canting angle $\theta$. Panel b shows a top view illustrating the direction of the out-of-plane Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction on each next-nearest neighbor bond. c. Probabilities $P_{\alpha/\beta}$ for magnon pair creation in the lower/upper magnon branch, via Raman scattering of right- to left-hand polarized light, and vice versa. d. Schematic of the two-magnon Raman processes leading to a non-zero circular dichroism: Incident photons of right- or left-handed polarization (red/blue wiggly lines) are scattered into left- or right-handed photons, respectively, while simultaneously creating a magnon pair at the $K$ or $K'$ points (solid lines). For right- to left-handed (left- to right-handed) scattering magnons are predominantly created in the lower (upper) band.
branches are opposite, this also leads to a sign reversal of the RCD when the frequency crosses the gap.

Expanding the total Hamiltonian in powers of $\mathbf{A}$ defines the nth-order light-matter couplings (LMCs) $\mathbf{L}^{(n)}$ as [21]

$$H(\mathbf{A}) = H_0 + \mathbf{L}^{(1)}_\mu A_\mu + \mathbf{L}^{(2)}_\mu A_\mu A_\nu + O(\mathbf{A}^3).$$  (3)

The nth order LMC is a tensor of rank $n$ given by the nth order derivative of $H(\mathbf{A})$ with respect to $\mathbf{A}$. When the dependence of the Hamiltonian on $\mathbf{A}$ is of the form $H(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{A}) = H(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{A})$, the derivatives with respect to $\mathbf{A}$ can be replaced by derivatives with respect to $\mathbf{k}$. In this case the linear and quadratic LMCs can be written as

$$\mathbf{L}^{(1)}_\mu = -\partial_\mu H_0$$ and $$\mathbf{L}^{(2)}_\mu = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu H_0.$$

The relationship to the quantum geometric tensor is established by evaluating the matrix elements of the LMCs in the magnon basis. The magnon Hamiltonian for the canted honeycomb AFM has the general form $H = \sum_k \Psi^\dagger_k \mathcal{H}_k \Psi_k$, where $\Psi^\dagger = (\alpha^\dagger_k, \beta^\dagger_k, \alpha^{-k}, \beta^{-k})$ [44]. Since the geometry of the quantum states is encoded in the matrices $\mathcal{H}_k$ connecting the magnon basis $\Psi$ to the spin-flip basis $\Phi$, it is useful to rewrite this as $H = \sum_k \Psi^\dagger_k (\mathcal{U}_k \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{U}_k)^\dagger \Psi_k$, where $\mathcal{H}_k$ is the Hamiltonian in the $\Phi$ basis. The magnon Hamiltonian has a block structure, with matrix elements belonging to either of two categories: The first category corresponds to interband processes $(\alpha_k | H | \beta_k) = (\mathcal{U}_k \mathcal{H} \mathcal{U}_k)^\dagger \alpha_\beta$, which are the only types of transitions allowed in the FM phase and will be denoted as FM processes. The second category corresponds to pair creation or annihilation processes $(\alpha_k | H | \beta^{-k}) = (\mathcal{U}_k \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{U}_k)^\dagger \alpha_\beta$, which are the only types of transitions allowed in the AFM phase and will be denoted as AFM processes. In the canted phase both FM and AFM processes contribute to the LMCs, and to distinguish them we use a bar over the index corresponding to a state with negative momentum.

The LMCs are obtained from the Schrödinger equation $\mathcal{H}_k \Psi_k = \varepsilon_k \Psi_k$, where $\varepsilon_k$ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of $\tau_z \mathcal{H}_k$ and $\tau_z$ is the third Pauli matrix in Bogoliubov space. Differentiating this equation and multiplying by $\mathcal{U}_k$ from the left gives $\mathcal{U}_k \partial_\mu \mathcal{H}_k \Psi_k = (\partial_\mu \varepsilon_k) \mathcal{U}_k \Psi_k + (\mathcal{E}_k - \mathcal{E}_k) \mathcal{U}_k \partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_k$, where $\mathcal{E}_k$ and $\mathcal{E}_k$ are used to distinguish energies corresponding to columns (rows) of $\mathcal{U}_k$ ($\mathcal{U}_k^\dagger$). The quadratic LMCs are similarly obtained from the second derivatives of the Schrödinger equation, and the matrix elements of the linear and quadratic LMCs are summarized in Tab. II. In particular, the quadratic LMCs are found to be related to the quantum geometric tensor $\mathbf{T}^n_{\mu\nu} = (\langle \partial_\mu \varepsilon_k | (1 - |\varepsilon_k|) \langle \partial_\nu \varepsilon_k \rangle \rangle \langle \partial_\nu \varepsilon_k \rangle \langle \partial_\mu \varepsilon_k \rangle)$ [14] expressed in terms of the matrix $\mathcal{U}_k$. Since the above argument only relies on the form of the Hamiltonian and the relation $H(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{A}) = H(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{A})$, the expressions in Tab. II hold for any quadratic bosonic Hamiltonian with this property.

The general relations in Tab. II can be used to establish a connection between the quantum geometric tensor and the Raman circular dichroism (RCD) [45, 46]. The RCD is defined as normal incidence as $\chi = \mathcal{P}^R - \mathcal{P}^L$. It measures the difference in total scattering cross-section between an incident laser with right- and left-handed polarization, denoted by $\mathcal{P}^R$ and $\mathcal{P}^L$, respectively. The scattering cross-section due to the Raman Hamiltonian $H_R$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}^s = \sum_{n,s'} |\langle \Psi_n | H_R^{(2)} | \Psi_{n'} \rangle|^2 \delta(\hbar \omega + E_0 - E_{n'}),$$  (4)

where $H_R \propto (\mathbf{e}_s \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ij})(\mathbf{e}_{s'} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ij})$, $\mathbf{e}_s$ is a photon polarization vector, and $\mathbf{d}_{ij}$ is the vector between spins $i$ and $j$. The incident polarization is denoted by $s = R$ ($s = L$) for right-handed (left-handed) light, and the scattered polarization $s'$ is summed over. Further, $|\Psi_n\rangle$ are eigenstates of the equilibrium Hamiltonian $H_0$, and the Raman energy $\hbar \omega = \hbar \omega_{in} - \hbar \omega_{sc}$ is the difference between incident and scattered photon energies. The RCD can be written in terms of the LMCs as $\chi = 8 \text{Im} \langle \mathbf{L}^{(2)}_x \mathcal{P}^R - \mathcal{P}^L \rangle^{2(L^{(2)}_y)}$, where $\mathbf{L}^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\mathbf{L}^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$.
is the component of the Hamiltonian proportional to the Pauli matrix $\sigma_i$. However, for the collinear AFM both the Berry curvature and the RCD are independent of the DMI, and the system is topologically trivial. This follows from the fact that the AFM LMCs depend on the sum $\epsilon_{mk} + \epsilon_{nk}$ (cf. Tab II), so that any dependence on $D$ cancels. The relation between $\chi$ and $\Omega$ further shows that frequency integrated RCD of a collinear AFM vanishes. The fit frequency $B > B_s$ the the Berry curvature and RCD are related by $\Omega = -\chi/(2a^2d^2_k + \rho_k/(2d^2_k))$, where $d_k = (h_x, h_y, h_z)$ and $\rho_k$ is a term linear in $D$. For $D/J \lesssim 0.1$ this term is small, and the circular dichroism is approximately given by $\chi \approx -(2a^2) \int d{\bf k} d^2_k \Omega f(\epsilon_k)$, where $f(\epsilon_k)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution. For the typical low-temperature scenario $f(\epsilon_k) = \delta(k)$ the circular dichroism vanishes, while at finite temperature a non-zero value might be assumed.

Fig. 2 shows the zero temperature RCD of the canted AFM as a function of DMI, external magnetic field and photon energy. Clearly, the integrated RCD is closely related to the Chern number of the magnons. It vanishes in the topologically trivial state but is non-zero otherwise, and thus constitutes an optical probe of the magnon band topology in canted AFMs. In this sense the Berry curvature determines the circular dichroism of a canted honeycomb AFM in a manner strongly reminiscent of the relationship between the circular dichroism and Berry curvature found in electronic systems [47].

Fig. 3 further shows that performing frequency-resolved measurements of the RCD provides direct access to the topological gap $\Delta_g$ at $K$. The dominant Raman processes create magnon pairs at $K/K'$, and thus the RCD is dominated by the Berry curvature at these points. Since $\Omega_+ = -\Omega_-$ at $K$ and $K'$ the RCD changes sign as $\omega$ traverses the gap, and the distance $\Delta_{RCD}$ between the negative and positive peaks of the RCD gives a direct measurement of the topological gap $\Delta_g$. As the sign of the Berry curvature is determined by the direction of the magnetic field $B$, the RCD changes sign when the magnetic field direction is inverted.

In addition to probing the topological gap, Fig. 2d shows that the RCD gives a measure of the two-magnon density of states (2DOS) weighted by Berry curvature, defined as $\Lambda = \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\alpha\beta} + \Omega_{\beta\alpha} \rho_{\beta\alpha}$ where $\rho_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon) = \sum_k \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu)$. Assuming the 2DOS can be independently probed, the shape of the RCD (peak width and intensity) provides information about the $k$-space distribution of the magnon Berry curvature. In particular, it shows that for the canted AFM the main sources of Berry curvature are located at $K$ and $K'$, and that the Berry curvature changes sign between the magnon bands.

We have shown that the magnon band topology of canted antiferromagnets is probed by the circular dichroism of the dominant two-magnon Raman scattering process. In particular, frequency-resolved RCD measurements give direct access to the topological gap as well as to the $k$-space distribution of the magnon Berry curvature, while the integrated signal is tied to the Chern number of the magnon bands. Since band topology in magnon systems is notoriously hard to validate, due to the bosonic exchange statistics of the quasiparticles and the lack of a chemical potential, these findings provide an important step towards utilizing topological magnon excitations in functional spintronics devices.

Our results are of relevance for a wide range of vdW magnetic insulators described by spin Hamiltonians such as Eq. 1. These systems present diverse magnetic orders
including collinear out-of-plane ferromagnetism (monolayer CrI$_3$, CrCl$_3$ and VI$_3$), collinear out-of-plane and in-plane AFM order (MnPS$_3$ and MnPSe$_3$, respectively), and zigzag AFM order (FePS$_3$, FePSe$_3$ and NiPSe$_3$). A canted AFM can thus be realized by applying an in-plane or out-of-plane magnetic field to MnPS$_3$ or MnPSe$_3$, respectively. Out of the above mentioned materials, CrI$_3$ in particular has been argued to display substantial out-of-plane DMIs of the appropriate form [26, 27].

To establish the connection between the Raman circular dichroism and the magnon Berry curvature we have derived a general relation between the magnon LMCs and the quantum geometric tensor. Utilizing this relation we have shown that the magnon band topology of canted AFMs is probed by the RCD. However, since these relations hold for general quadratic boson Hamiltonians, our results pave the way for probing the quantum geometry of diverse bosonic quasiparticles such as magnons, photons, excitons and polaritons. In addition to probing magnon states, the two-magnon Raman processes studied can be used to generate magnons at the K and K’ points. Since this is where topological edge modes are expected to appear in a finite geometry, it is likely that such processes can be used to generate magnon edge currents with tunable propagation [39]. Our work thereby opens vast possibilities for interfacing light and topological magnon modes in functional spintronics devices.
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