Reconstitution of the recombinant human γ-tubulin ring complex
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Cryo-electron microscopy recently resolved the structure of the vertebrate γ-tubulin ring complex (γ-TuRC) purified from Xenopus laevis egg extract and human cells to near-atomic resolution. These studies clarified the arrangement and stoichiometry of γ-TuRC components and revealed that one molecule of actin and the small protein MZT1 are embedded into the complex. Based on this structural census of γ-TuRC core components, we developed a recombinant expression system for the reconstitution and purification of human γ-TuRC from insect cells. The recombinant γ-TuRC recapitulates the structure of purified native γ-TuRC and has similar functional properties in terms of microtubule nucleation and minus end capping. This recombinant system is a central step towards deciphering the activation mechanisms of the γ-TuRC and the function of individual γ-TuRC core components.

1. Introduction

Microtubules are essential cytoskeletal components with multiple important functions in chromosome segregation, cellular transport, cell organization and cell motility. Microtubules are polymers of the heterodimer αβ-tubulin that dynamically assemble via lateral and longitudinal subunit interactions into a cylindric structure composed of 13 αβ-tubulin protofilaments [1]. Formation of the initial αβ-tubulin oligomers is the rate-limiting step in the de novo assembly of microtubules [2]. In cells, complexes containing the tubulin family member γ-tubulin facilitate this step in a controlled manner and thus determine the sites of microtubule formation [3,4].

In higher eukaryotes, nucleation of microtubules is mediated by the γ-tubulin ring complex (γ-TuRC) [5]. High-resolution structural information on the γ-TuRC was limited to a few isolated components [6,7], until recent advances in cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) facilitated resolving the structure of purified vertebrate γ-TuRCs to near-atomic resolution [8–10]. These analyses showed that the γ-TuRC contains γ-tubulin, five paralogous gamma-ring complex proteins (GCPs, GCP2 to 6), mitotic spindle organizing protein 1 (MZT1), MZT2 and actin in a defined stoichiometry [8–11]. The γ-TuRC comprises 14 asymmetrically arranged spokes (pairs of GCP and γ-tubulin; figure 1a) with the order (GCP2-3)4-GCP4-GCP5-GCP4-GCP6-(GCP2-3)1. The GCP proteins interact with each other via their N-terminal gamma-tubulin ring protein 1 (GRIP1) domains, while their C-terminal GRIP2 domains bind one molecule of γ-tubulin each. A prominent feature of the γ-TuRC is a structural scaffold in the lumen of the complex, formed by two copies of MZT1, actin and the...
N-termini of GCP6 and one copy of GCP3. This ‘luminal bridge’ follows the inner surface of the spiral on the level of the GRIP1 domains from spokes 2 to 11 [12]. Further proteins that have been established to cooperate and co-purify with the γ-TuRC in a defined stoichiometry, such as NEDD1 and NME7 [13,14], could not be detected in the cryo-EM structures, probably because these proteins are flexibly associated [8].

γ-tubulin complexes have been proposed to promote de novo formation of microtubules by acting as structural templates [15]. The arrangement of the first eight γ-tubulin
molecules of the \( \gamma \)-TuRC spiral indeed almost recapitulates the arrangement of \( \alpha \beta \)-tubulin heterodimers in microtubules. However, from spoke 9 onwards, a clear deviation of \( \gamma \)-tubulin arrangement from microtubule symmetry could be observed, possibly ‘intentionally’ limiting the ability of the \( \gamma \)-TuRC to function as a microtubule nucleation template [8–10]. Regulation of \( \gamma \)-TuRC activity thus might be realized by a ‘simple’ conformational change of the \( \gamma \)-TuRC diameter. However, how the \( \gamma \)-TuRC is activated in detail remains unclear.

While reconstitution of the simpler heterotetrameric \( \gamma \)-tubulin small complex (\( \gamma \)-TuSC) from lower eukaryotes was achieved [15–18], our structural and functional understanding of the vertebrate \( \gamma \)-TuRC to date exclusively relies on purified native complexes [8–10]. The lack of a recombinant reconstitution system for the \( \gamma \)-TuRC particularly hampers experiments addressing the mechanistic role of \( \gamma \)-TuRC structural determinants in complex assembly, activation and microtubule nucleation. Based on the structural census of \( \gamma \)-TuRC core components obtained from recent cryo-EM studies, we have developed a reconstitution system for the human \( \gamma \)-TuRC that is based on the co-expression of all \( \gamma \)-TuRC subunits in insect cells and a gentle one-step purification strategy. We show that the recombinant \( \gamma \)-TuRC has the same structural organization as the native purified \( \gamma \)-TuRC and is active in microtubule nucleation and microtubule minus end capping. This system is a major step towards closing the gap between structural and functional/mechanistic understanding of \( \gamma \)-TuRC-mediated microtubule nucleation.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Molecular cloning

\( \gamma \)-tubulin cDNA from human (\( \gamma \)-TuG1) and from mouse (\( \gamma \)-TuG2), CTP3 and CTP4 cDNA from D. melanogaster and CTP5cDNA from D. melanogaster. Each of the genes, \( \gamma \)-TuG1, CTP2, CTP3, CTP4, were cloned into expression plasmids for transient transfection experiments. The plasmids were constructed with a 3xFLAG peptide tag (Gentaur) and a Myc epitope (Clontech) to facilitate detection of the recombinant \( \gamma \)-TuRC proteins. The expression plasmids were transiently transfected into insect cells using a calcium phosphate precipitation method. The transfected cells were harvested 48 hours post-transfection and microtubule extracts were prepared. Microtubules were then isolated by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 1 hour.

2.2. Protein expression and purification

Bacmid production was performed as described in the Multibac manual. Bacmids were used for virus production in Sf21 insect cells with cellficient II reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Viruses were amplified in 30 ml (1 × 10^6 cells ml^-1) and diluted 1 : 100 in 100–400 ml (1 × 10^6 cells ml^-1) expression culture in Sf21 or Hi5 cells using SF-900 III medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Insect cells were infected with constructs 1 and 2 (figure 1b) and harvested via centrifugation (800 g for 5 min), flash frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C until protein purification.

For protein purification, cells were resuspended in cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM GTP, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 40 min at 4°C. Anti-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma Aldrich) was equilibrated in lysis buffer and incubated with the centrifuged and filtered lysate (Whatman sterile filters 0.45 µm pore size) for 90 min rotating at 4°C. Afterw​ards beads were washed once with lysis buffer and twice with wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1 mM GTP, pH 7.4). Elution was done with one bead volume of elution buffer (wash buffer plus 0.2 mg/ml 3xFLAG peptide; Gentaur) and 20 min incubation at 4°C. Elution was repeated once, and samples were used for subsequent experiments or flash frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C. For samples which were purified for size exclusion chromatography (SEC), all
buffers contained 5% (w/v) glycerol. Native γ-TuRC purified from *X. laevis* egg extract was performed as described previously [8]. SDS gels were run together with Page Ruler Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on precast gradient gels (4–20% BIO-RAD) and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 (Sigma Aldrich) or used for immunoblot analysis of the γ-TuRC components as described previously [8] with the antibodies listed in electronic supplementary material, table S3 and figures S2 and S3.

### 2.3. Fluorescence-based tubulin polymerization assay

MT nucleation was performed using the fluorescence-based Tubulin Polymerization assay kit (Cytoskeleton, Denver Com cat. no. BK011P) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 5 µl or 10 µl of the samples (buffer control (elution buffer/SEC buffer), human recombinant γ-TuRC, human recombinant γ-TuRC after size exclusion chromatography (SEC), *X. laevis* γ-TuRC or 3 µM paclitaxel (final concentration)) was pipetted into a 96-well microtiter plate, prewarmed and mixed with 45 µl of tubulin buffer (2 mg ml⁻¹ porcine tubulin in 80 mM PIPES pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1 mM GTP, 15% (w/v) glycerol). The concentration of human recombinant γ-TuRC after SEC and native *X. laevis* γ-TuRC were normalized to γ-tubulin signal in immunoblot analysis and diluted in SEC buffer prior to nucleation measurements accordingly. The polymerization reaction was triggered by transferring the plate to the 37°C chamber of the plate reader. Fluorescence was measured at 37°C using the plate reader for 60 min at 1 min intervals (CLARIOstar, BMG Labtech, excitation, F: 360-10, emission, X: 450-10). Data were processed using PRISM software (GraphPad v. 8).

### 2.4. In vitro microtubule nucleation assay for electron microscopy analysis

Thirty micromolar of porcine brain tubulin containing 4% Cy3-labelled tubulin in 1x BRB80 buffer (80 mM PIPES/ KOH pH 6.8, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA and 12.5% (w/v) glycerol) was centrifuged (5 min, 352 860 g, 4°C) with S100-AT3 rotor (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Supernatant was mixed with γ-TuRC premix (1:20 dilution of γ-TuRC, 1 mM GTP in 1x BRB80, 12.5% (w/v) glycerol) in ratio 1:1. Samples were incubated for 15–30 min on ice and transferred to a 37°C water bath for 3 min for MT polymerization. After MT polymerization samples were immediately cross-linked with 1% glutaraldehyde and used for negative stain EM.

### 2.5. Size exclusion chromatography

SEC was performed either with ‘Superose 6 (10/300) GL’ or ‘Superose 6 Increase (10/300) GL’ (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with SEC buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM DTE, 0.1 mM GTP, 5% glycerol (v/v), pH 7.4). Only for runs with the ‘Superose 6 (10/300) GL’ column, FLAG elutions were concentrated (Amicon, 30 K) before injection into the column. Runs with size markers thyroglobulin (669 kDa) and aldolase 158 kDa) were performed separately. Peak fractions were aliquoted and flash frozen in liquid N₂ for storage at −80°C. Samples of peak fractions were also used for ethanol precipitation (90% EtOH, −20°C, overnight) for subsequent immunoblot analysis.

### 2.6. Negative stain electron microscopy grid preparation and data collection

Five microliters of sample was applied on glow-discharged copper-palladium 400 EM mesh grids covered with an approximately 10 nm-thick continuous carbon layer. After 30 s incubation at 23°C, grids were blotted with a Whatman filter paper 50 (cat no. 1450-070) and washed on 3 drops of water. Sample on grids was stained with 3% uranyl acetate in water. Grids with nucleated microtubules were imaged at a Jeol JE-1400 (Jeol Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operating at 80 kV equipped with a 4 k × 4 k digital camera (F416, TVIPS, Gauting, Germany). Micrographs were adjusted in brightness and contrast using ImageJ. Negative stain EM data for two- and three-dimensional class averaging of native *X. laevis* γ-TuRC [8] and recombinant human γ-TuRC were acquired on a Talos L120C TEM equipped with 4 k × 4 k Ceta CMOS camera (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To compensate for the preferred orientation of γ-TuRC particles on the continuous carbon grids, data were partially acquired at a stage tilting angle of 20 degrees. Data were acquired using EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a nominal defocus of −3 µm and an object pixel size of 0.4125 nm.

### 2.7. Negative stain electron microscopy data processing

In total, we acquired approximately 1600 micrographs of the recombinant human γ-TuRC (957 micrographs untitled, 640 micrographs at 20° stage tilt), approximately 1100 micrographs of the native *X. laevis* γ-TuRC (500 micrographs not tilted, 600 micrographs at 20° stage tilt) and 500 micrographs of recombinant human γ-TuRC after SEC without tilt. Image processing for all datasets was performed in Relion 3.1 Beta [23]. The contrast transfer function (CTF) of micrographs was estimated using gCTF [24]. For all datasets, approximately 1000 particles were selected manually and extracted at a pixel size of 0.825 nm with a box size of 64 pixels. Extracted particles were subjected to two-dimensional classification with 50 classes, a translational search range of 20 pixels at 2 pixels increment and a mask diameter of 450 Å. Two-dimensional classes unambiguously representing γ-TuRCs were selected and used as references for automated particle picking on all micrographs. The overall number of picked particles was 374 389 for the recombinant human γ-TuRC, 192 845 for the native *X. laevis* γ-TuRC and 38 139 for the recombinant human γ-TuRC after SEC. Extracted particles from each dataset were subjected to several rounds of to two-dimensional classification with 100–200 classes, a translational search range of 20 pixels at 2 pixels increment and 450 Å mask size. For three-dimensional classification particles included in true-positive classes were merged, yielding 12 402 particles of the recombinant human γ-TuRC and 12 271 particles of the native *X. laevis* γ-TuRC. Next, particles were aligned in three-dimensional using three-dimensional classification with 1 class and a translation search range of 20 pixels at 2 pixels increment. As a reference, we used a strongly low-pass filtered cryo-EM density of the γ-TuRC [8], from which we removed the GRIP2 domains and γ-tubulins of spokes 5 and 6. The aligned particles
were subjected to the second round of three-dimensional classification into 6 classes without image alignment, focused on the spikes that were artificially removed from the reference in the first classification run. This allowed us to separate correctly aligned true-positive particles (with density for spikes 5 and 6) from false positive or incorrectly aligned particles (without density for spikes 5 and 6). The retained particles (6253 particles for the recombinant human γ-TuRC; 6827 particles for the X. laevis γ-TuRC) were submitted to another round of three-dimensional classification into 3 classes with image alignment and the best classes were selected for further structural analysis (2064 particles of the recombinant human γ-TuRC; 2490 particles of the native X. laevis γ-TuRC).

3. Results

3.1. A recombinant system for the expression of gamma-ring complex proteins, γ-tubulin, actin and mitotic spindle organizing protein 1 in insect cells

At least eight different proteins assemble in defined stoichiometry to form the γ-TuRC [25]. In order to achieve expression of all subunits, we decided to subclone human γ-TuRC component genes into a modified MultiBac expression system [20]. Instead of using the multiple cloning site, we followed an InFusion cloning strategy with vectors carrying the polyhedrin (polH) expression cassette (figure 1b) [21]. Either two gene cassettes were combined into one vector, or single gene vectors were used for subsequent Cre-recombination. This strategy allows for easy modification and shuffling of the expressed genes (figure 1b). As GCPs are large proteins, genes of interest were arranged on two final plasmids to avoid construction of one oversized plasmid. The two plasmids were used for virus production: construct 1 contained 2xFLAG-GCP5, GCP4, GCP6, TIIBG1 (coding for γ-tubulin) and ACTB (coding for β-actin), which were suggested to form an initial stable core complex during the assembly of γ-TuRC [8,26]; construct 2 contained MZT1, GCP2 and GCP3, the ‘minimal set’ of additional subunits required to reconstitute the 14- spoke γ-TuRC including the luminal bridge as observed in cryo-EM studies [8–10,12].

For protein expression, insect cells were infected with equal amounts of viruses and harvested after 60 h. One of the GCP subunits, GCP5, was tagged with 2xFLAG at its N-terminus for gentle single-step affinity purification of the complex from a relatively small cell culture volume (see methods) (figure 1c). Negative stain EM showed regular ring-shaped structures on the micrographs (figure 1d). As observed in other studies before [8,10], the signal intensity of the γ-tubulin band in Coomassie Blue-stained SDS gels does not reflect its high stoichiometry in the complex. The identity of several γ-TuRC components was further confirmed by immunoblotting (figure 1f). In conclusion, we have established an insect cell-based recombinant expression system for reconstitution of the human γ-TuRC, which allows complex purification in a one-step protocol.

3.2. Recombinant and native γ-tubulin ring complex are structurally indistinguishable by negative staining electron microscopy

To verify the integrity and correct assembly of the reconstituted γ-TuRC, we performed negative stain EM. The structures of recombinant human and native X. laevis γ-TuRCs were compared by two-dimensional (figure 2a) and three-dimensional class averaging (figure 2b). The EM densities recapitulate the structure of the γ-TuRC as previously determined using cryo-EM, including the 14-spoke overall organization of the complex and the luminal bridge on the inner surface of the γ-TuRC (figure 2b). Visibility of the ordered ‘luminal bridge’ structure (figure 2c) indicates that MZT1 and actin were correctly integrated into the complex. Importantly, the native and recombinant γ-TuRCs were structurally indistinguishable in the resolution range accessible with negative stain EM, as judged by the fit of atomic models into the two densities (figure 2b).

3.3. Size exclusion chromatography enables separation of recombinant γ-tubulin ring complex from sub-complexes

To further optimize γ-TuRC reconstitution, we repeated the recombinant expression of γ-TuRC components with additional co-expression of C-terminal tagged (Myc-His6) human γ-tubulin [7] (figure 3a) that appeared substoichiometric in figure 1. In addition, we included size exclusion chromatography (SEC) as an analysis step to judge the ratio of fully assembled γ-TuRC relative to sub-complexes (figure 3b; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The size exclusion chromatogram of the recombinant γ-TuRC (figure 3b) showed an early high molecular weight peak (figure 3b,i), which contained γ-TuRC components, as judged by immunoblotting (figure 3c,d). Adjacent lower molecular weight peak fractions (figure 3d,ii) also contained γ-TuRC components but due to their running behaviour probably correspond to γ-TuRC sub-complexes (electronic supplementary material, figure S1a,b). The presence of intact γ-TuRC in early SEC elution fractions was further confirmed by negative stain EM from another γ-TuRC preparation that after concentration contained fewer medium to low molecular weight γ-tubulin sub-complexes (electronic supplementary material, figure S1c–e). SEC analysis thus confirms the integrity of the recombinant γ-TuRC and additionally suggests the presence of stable γ-TuRC assembly intermediates that will be central for dissecting the assembly pathway of this megadalton complex.

3.4. The recombinant γ-tubulin ring complex has microtubule nucleation activity and caps the minus ends of microtubules

Having confirmed the structural integrity of the recombinant γ-TuRC, we next aimed at characterizing its functional properties. The γ-TuRC initiates assembly of microtubules by forming a structural template for the recruitment of the initial αβ-tubulin subunits and then remains stably associated with the minus end of the growing microtubule [10,27–29].
**Figure 2.** Negative stain EM analysis of recombinant human and native *X. laevis* γ-TuRCs. (a) Comparison of representative two-dimensional classes from the native *X. laevis* (left) and recombinant human γ-TuRC (right) in two different views, as indicated in the cartoons. Number of particles in each class is given. Scale bar: 10 nm. (b) Three-dimensional EM densities of (i,iii) the native *X. laevis* (2490 particles) and (ii,iv) recombinant human γ-TuRC (2064 particles) in two different views. The atomic model of the human γ-TuRC (PDB-6V5 V) [9] was docked as a rigid body and superposed to the EM densities. Colouring as in figure 1a. (c) Zooms focused on the luminal bridge density of the native *X. laevis* (i) and recombinant human γ-TuRC (ii). The same colouring as in figure 1a.

**Figure 3.** Size exclusion chromatography of recombinant human γ-TuRC. (a) For SEC experiments, construct 1 (2xFLAG-GCP5, GCP6, GCP4, TUBG1 and ACTB) and construct 2 (MZT1, GCP2 and GCP3) were co-expressed with C-terminal Myc-His tagged γ-tubulin and purified via FLAG purification. (b) Chromatogram of a SEC run with ‘Superose 6 Increase (10/300) GL’ column. Peak fractions (i (γ-TuRC peak), ii, iii) were analysed via immunoblotting (c,d). Red, brown and blue markers on x-axes (b) indicate borders of analysed fractions (i, ii, iii) in (d). Size markers thyroglobulin 669 kDa (V_t) and aldolase 158 kDa (V_a) are indicated. (c) FLAG elution left and ‘γ-TuRC peak’ fraction (i, b) were probed by immunoblotting against the indicated antibodies. (d) Immunoblot analysis of ethanol precipitated peak fractions (i, ii, iii) from SEC experiment shown in (b). Equal amount of fraction volumes was loaded. See electronic supplementary material, figure S2 for uncropped images.
Consistently, when analysing microtubules nucleated by the recombinant γ-TuRC via negative stain EM, we frequently observed a cap-like structure representing the γ-TuRC on one of the microtubule ends (figure 4a). The opposite end of these microtubules always had a frayed or open appearance as typically observed for the microtubule plus end.
suggesting that the $\gamma$-TuRC is localized on the microtubule minus end (figure 4a). Furthermore, to determine microtubule nucleation activity of the recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC, we used a fluorescence-based microtubule nucleation assay (figure 4b,c). Upon the addition of the recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC after FLAG purification, microtubule assembly was clearly increased compared to elution buffer without $\gamma$-TuRC, which served as a negative control (figure 4b). Next, we analyzed the nucleation activity of the recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC after FLAG elution and SEC (figure 4c) and—as an additional control—compared it to the nucleation activity of affinity-purified *X. laevis* $\gamma$-TuRC [8], normalized to the $\gamma$-tubulin content of the two preparations. We observed that the microtubule nucleation activity of the recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC was comparable to the affinity-purified *X. laevis* $\gamma$-TuRC. Collectively, these data confirm that the recombinantly expressed and purified $\gamma$-TuRC has microtubule nucleation activity.

4. Discussion

Here, we report a strategy for recombinant expression, reconstitution and purification of the human $\gamma$-TuRC from small cell culture volumes of insect cells. This system enables fast and efficient screening of structural and functional properties of genetically modified $\gamma$-TuRC variants in follow-up experiments. The success of this strategy, which is based on FLAG-GCP5 affinity purification, indicates that the N-terminus of GCP5, which has not been completely traced in the recent cryo-EM reconstructions, is accessible for antibody binding and likely not as deeply embedded in the $\gamma$-TuRC as the GCP6 N-terminus [12]. This FLAG-tagged $\gamma$-TuRC variant thus can likely be immobilized in a defined orientation for single-molecule TIRF microtubule nucleation assays [10,29], which require the $\gamma$-tubulin face of the $\gamma$-TuRC to be accessible (figure 1c). Such an approach will allow comparing microtubule nucleation activity of $\gamma$-TuRC species with differing subunit composition or mutations.

SEC as an additional purification step furthermore indicated that our purification strategy yields not only fully assembled recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC, but also sub-complexes that may represent intermediates in $\gamma$-TuRC assembly (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Moreover, our experiments show that expression of TUBG1, GCP2 to GCP6, ACTB and MZT1 with or without the excess of tagged TUBGI1 was sufficient for reconstitution of the $\gamma$-TuRC. Thus, the $\gamma$-TuRC targeting factor NEDD1 and the nucleation regulator NME7 seem to be not required for complex assembly, consistent with previous data [13,14]. Similarly, since the human $\gamma$-TuRC was reconstituted in the absence of MZT2, which is not encoded in insect cells [32], we can conclude that MZT2 is not essential for structural integrity and microtubule nucleation activity of the $\gamma$-TuRC. This is consistent with the recently proposed function for MZT2 as an adaptor of the $\gamma$-TuRC for MTOC binding [33]. By contrast, the two molecules of MZT1 integrated into the luminal bridge scaffold clearly fulfill a structural role [12] and are probably required for the formation of a stable complex. Such a function is consistent with the observation that MZT1 depletion affects the integrity of the $\gamma$-TuRC [17].

Our recombinant expression system now places us in a position to address central questions regarding $\gamma$-TuRC assembly, activation and $\gamma$-TuRC-associated microtubule nucleation from a mechanistic perspective. A prime candidate for detailed mutational analysis is the long insertion domain between the GRIP1 and GRIP2 domains of GCP6, which has been identified to interact specifically with the N-termini of GCP2 and GCP5, and therefore to potentially assist in the assembly of the $\gamma$-TuRC [8]. Our system will allow us to analyse the function and structure of $\gamma$-TuRC variants assembled in the presence of mutated versions of this GCP6 insertion domain. In a similar manner, we will be able to address the structural and functional role of actin in the $\gamma$-TuRC, which has remained elusive so far. Collectively, our recombinant $\gamma$-TuRC expression system opens up many possibilities for structure-function analyses of this microtubule nucleator and its cooperation with additional factors, such as XMAP215 and the augmin complex [34,35].
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