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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

We investigate existence and uniqueness of duality solutions for a scalar conservation law with a nonlocal interaction kernel. Following Bouchut and James (1999) [3], a notion of duality solution for such a nonlinear system is proposed, for which we do not have uniqueness. However we prove that a natural definition of the flux allows to select a solution for which uniqueness holds.
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Version française abrégée

Dans cette note, nous nous intéressons à une loi de conservation scalaire faiblement non-linéaire du type (1). Ce système gouverne l’évolution de la densité $\rho$ d’une population sous l’effet d’un champ autoconsistant $u$. De tels systèmes sont obtenus par exemple pour décrire la chimiotactie [6] ou la dynamique d’un gaz de particules [7]. La fonction $a$ dans (1) est une fonction régulière donnée. Il est dorénavant bien connu que pour de tels systèmes, les solutions régulières explosent en temps fini [1], et donc le système doit être étudié dans un cadre mesure. Dans un tel cadre, il faut alors considérer que la fonction $a(u)$ est discontinue ; le produit de $a(u)$ par $\rho$ n’est donc \textit{a priori} pas défini.

La notion de solutions en dualité (voir [2]) permet de donner un sens aux solutions d’une loi de conservation scalaire linéaire (2) à coefficients discontinus. Quelques résultats importants pour la suite de la note sont rappelés dans la section 2.
Il est notamment remarquable de souligner que lorsque la vitesse du flux satisfait la condition dite OSL (3), on peut définir un flot correspondant. Il est alors possible de donner un sens aux solutions de (1) :

**Définition 0.1.** Un couple \((\rho, u), \rho \in C([0, T]; M_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))\) est une solution en dualité de (1) sur \([0, T]\) s'il existe une fonction borélienne \(b\) avec \(\delta_x b \leq a \in L_{loc}^1(0, T)\) telle que

1. (i) pour tout \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < T\), \(\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(b\rho) = 0\) au sens de la dualité sur \([t_1, t_2]\),
2. (ii) on a \(\delta_x u = \rho\) faiblement,
3. (iii) \(b = a(u)\) p.p.

Cependant, nous soulignons dans ce travail que l’unicité de telles solutions n’est pas assurée. Il paraît alors naturel de se fixer le flux i.e. le produit de \(\rho\) par \(a(u)\). Le résultat obtenu dans la section 3 est alors le suivant :

**Théorème 0.2.** Soit \(\rho^0 \in M_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^0 \geq 0\). Il existe une unique solution en dualité \((\rho, u)\) de (1) au sens de la définition 0.1 qui satisfait la relation \(\partial_t \rho = \partial_x A(u)\) où \(A\) est une primitive de \(a\).

Une conséquence importante de ce résultat est que dans le cas où la fonction \(a\) est choisie de sorte que \(b = a(u)\) satisfait la condition OSL (3), nous pouvons alors définir la solution par un flot. Enfin dans la dernière partie de cette note, nous présentons quelques exemples d’applications de ce travail qui permettent de retrouver et d’étendre les résultats de [7] et [3].

1. Introduction

At a continuous level, many physical or biological systems are modelled thanks to scalar conservation laws. In this note we will focus on a weakly nonlinear system of the kind:

\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(a(u)\rho) = 0, \quad \partial_t u = \rho, \tag{1}
\]

where \(a\) is a given smooth function, \(a \in C^0(\mathbb{R})\). This system is complemented with the initial data \(\rho(t = 0) = \rho^0\). We notice that we can rewrite (1) as a single equation since we have \(u = H \ast \rho\) where \(H\) is the Heaviside function and we recover the so-called nonlocal aggregation equation. This model arises in several applications in physics and biology where a self-consistent interaction field \(u\) governs the evolution of a density of population \(\rho\). Then \(u\) is defined as \(u = -\partial_x \phi\) where \(\phi\) is the interaction potential. For instance, in the modelling of cell movement by chemotaxis, \(\phi\) is the concentration of some chemical called chemo-attractant (when \(a\) is non-increasing) or chemo-repellent (when \(a\) is non-decreasing) which drives the dynamics of individuals (bacteria). In gas dynamics, this model can be derived thanks to a high-field limit from the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system [7], a non-increasing (resp. non-decreasing) \(a\) corresponds to the repulsive (resp. attractive) case.

From a mathematical viewpoint, it is well known that in the attractive case, i.e. when \(a\) is non-increasing, finite time blow-up of regular solutions for such system occurs (see e.g. [1] and references therein). Therefore one has to look for solutions \(\rho\) which are measure-valued in space, which generates several difficulties, because the velocity \(a(u)\) turns out to be discontinuous, so that the product in the divergence term is not well defined, and the corresponding flow has to be defined cautiously. A recent approach consists in using techniques from optimal transport, see [4]. Another possibility is to define a priori the product. For the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system, this has been done in [7].

The aim of this note is to interpret (2) as a linear conservation equation solved in the duality sense [2], the product being defined afterwards, following the strategy introduced in [3] for pressureless gases. Therefore we recall in the next section the notion of duality solutions and some useful results. In Section 3 we state and prove the main result concerning existence and uniqueness of duality solutions of system (1). Section 4 is devoted to some examples of applications of this result.

2. Duality solutions for linear equations

The notion of duality solutions was introduced in [2] to give a sense to linear conservation equations

\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(b\rho) = 0, \tag{2}
\]

when the coefficient \(b\) can be discontinuous but satisfies the so-called one-sided Lipschitz (OSL) condition

\[
\partial_x b(t, \cdot) \leq \beta(t) \quad \text{for } \beta \in L^1(0, T) \text{ in the distribution sense.} \tag{3}
\]

Duality solutions are defined as weak solutions, the test functions being specific Lipschitz solutions to the backward linear transport equation

\[
\partial_t p + b(t, \cdot) \partial_x p = 0, \quad p(T, \cdot) = p^T \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4}
\]
Definition 2.1.

(i) We say that a Lipschitz solution \( p \) to (4) is a reversible solution if \( p \) is locally constant on the set
\[
\mathcal{V}_e = \{(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}; \exists \rho \in \mathcal{E}, \ p_x(t, x) \neq 0\}.
\]

(ii) We say that \( p \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) - \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})) \) is a duality solution to (2) if for any \( 0 < \tau \leq T \), and any reversible solution \( p \) to (4) with compact support in \( x \), the function \( t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t, x) \rho(t, dx) \) is constant on \([0, \tau]\).

The most important facts for our purpose concerning duality solutions are gathered in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. (See Bouchut and James [2].)

(i) Given \( \rho^0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \), under the assumptions (3), there exists a unique \( \rho \in C([0, +\infty[, \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})) \), duality solution to (2), such that \( \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho^0 \).

Moreover, if \( \rho^0 \) is nonnegative, then \( \rho(t, \cdot) \) is nonnegative for a.e. \( t \geq 0 \). And we have the mass conservation \( |\rho(t, \cdot)|(\mathbb{R}) = |\rho^0|(\mathbb{R}) \), for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \).

(ii) Backward flow and push-forward: the duality solution satisfies
\[
\forall t \in [0, T], \ \forall \phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \rho(t, dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(X(t, 0, x)) \rho^0(dx),
\]
where the backward flow \( X(s, t, x) \) is defined as the unique reversible solution to
\[
\partial_t X + b(t, x) \partial_x X = 0, \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad X(s, s, x) = x.
\]

(iii) There exists a bounded Borel function \( \hat{b} \), called universal representative of \( b \), such that \( \hat{b} = b \) almost everywhere, and for any duality solution \( \rho \),
\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\hat{b} \rho) = 0 \quad \text{ in the distributional sense.}
\]

Remark 1. A similar notion of duality solution for the transport equation \( \partial_t u + b \partial_x u = 0 \) is available, and \( \rho \) is a duality solution of (2) iff \( u = f^\rho \) is a duality solution to transport equation (see [2]).

We shall need also the following result whose proof can be found in [3] (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2):

Theorem 2.3. Let \( f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \). Let \( M \) be an entropy solution to the conservation equation
\[
\partial_t M + \partial_x f(M) = 0,
\]
with non-decreasing initial datum \( M^0 \). Then \( \rho := \partial_x M \) is a duality solution to
\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (b \rho) = 0
\]
where we can choose \( b = f'(M) \) a.e. Moreover, for all \( t \in [0, +\infty[, \partial_x b \leq 1/t \) and its universal representative \( \hat{b} \) satisfies \( \partial_x f(M) = \hat{b} \partial_x M \).

3. Duality solutions for weakly nonlinear equations

We introduce the following notion of duality solution for the coupled system (1), inspired by the strategy used in [3] for pressureless gases (see also Section 4.2 below):

Definition 3.1. We say that \((\rho, u)\) is a duality solution of (1) on \([0, T]\) if there exists a bounded Borel function \( b \) with \( \partial_x b \leq a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(0, T) \) such that

(i) for all \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T \), \( \partial_t \rho + \partial_x (b \rho) = 0 \) in the sense of duality on \( [t_1, t_2] \).

(ii) we have \( \partial_x u = \rho \) in the weak sense.

(iii) \( b = a(u) \) almost everywhere.

We underline at once the fact that this definition does not lead to uniqueness as it stands. Indeed, assume that \( a \) is a non-increasing \( C^1 \) function on \( \mathbb{R} \) and take for initial data \( \rho^0 = \delta_{x_0} \), a Dirac measure in \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \). Looking for a solution as a Dirac mass \( \delta_{x(t)} \), thanks to Remark 1 we solve the transport equation with coefficient \( a(H(x - x_1(t))) \), where \( H \) denotes
the Heaviside function. Then \((\delta_{x_1(t)}, H(x - x_1(t)))\) is a duality solution of (1) in the sense of Definition 3.1, provided that \(x_1(0) = x_0\) and that the admissibility condition \(a(1) < x_1'(t) < a(0)\) holds. Thus we have an infinite family of duality solutions.

Therefore the main result of this note is to explain how a more precise description of the product \(b\rho\) in the scalar conservation equation allows to recover uniqueness. It is actually given in a very naive way by writing \(a(u)\rho = a(u)\delta_u = \delta_u(A(u))\), where \(A\) is an antiderivative of \(a\). This choice can be justified in a more rigorous way when the system (1) is obtained as the hydrodynamic limit of a kinetic system, as it is the case both in [6] and [7]. It turns out that the previous formal computation is correct at the kinetic level, so that the flux \(f_\rho := \int f\, f_\rho(\xi)\, d\xi\), where \(f_\rho\) is the distribution function of particles, actually converges to \(J = \delta_u(A(u))\), which defines the flux of the conservation equation. The point now is to justify that this can be used to solve the conservation equation in the duality sense.

\textbf{Theorem 3.2.} Let \(\rho^0 \in \mathcal{M}_{Log}(\mathbb{R})\), \(\rho^0 \geq 0\). There exists a unique duality solution \((\rho, u)\) to the nonlocal interaction equation (1) in the sense of Definition 3.1, which satisfies \(b\rho = \delta_u(A(u))\) where \(A\) is an antiderivative of \(a\).

Moreover, if \(a\) is a non-increasing function (i.e. in the attractive case), there exists a flow associated to \(a(u)\), in other words, there exists a Lipschitz function \(X\) such that \(\rho(t) = X(t)\#\rho^0\).

\textbf{Proof.} Let us denote by \(u\) the entropy solution of equation

\[ \partial_t u + \partial_x A(u) = 0, \]

with initial data \(u^0 := \int a(x)\rho^0\, dx\). From Theorem 2.3, \(\rho := \delta_u u\) is a duality solution of \(\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (b\rho) = 0\) where we can choose \(b = a(u)\) almost everywhere and it is the unique solution satisfying \(b\rho = \delta_u A(u)\). Indeed if we have two such nonnegative solutions \(\rho_1 = \delta_u u_1\) and \(\rho_2 = \delta_u u_2\), then \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) are monotinous solutions of (7). Thus they are entropy solutions of this scalar equation and \(u_1 = u_2\).

In the attractive case, the entropy solution \(u\) is non-decreasing. Therefore \(\rho := \delta_u u\) is nonnegative and \(\partial_u a(u) = a'(u)\partial_u u \leq 0\) since in the attractive case \(a\) is non-increasing. Thus the velocity field \(a(u)\) satisfies the OSL condition (3) and from Theorem 2.2(ii) there exists a backward flow \(X\) such that (5) is satisfied.

In the general case, we can apply the classical Oleinik entropy condition and get that \(\partial_u b \leq 1/t\). Then the solution is defined on all \([t_1, t_2]\) for \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < T\) and the flow cannot be defined up to 0. □

4. Examples

4.1. Positive chemotaxis

Eq. (1) for a non-increasing function \(a\) can be obtained from a hydrodynamical limit of a kinetic model describing positive chemotaxis (see e.g. [5,6]). Thus from Theorem 3.2, there exists a flow \(X\) such that \(\rho = X\#\rho^0\). Let us first come back to the example in Section 3.1: we assume that \(a\) is a given non-increasing C1 function and take \(\rho^0 = \delta_{x_0}\). Then we solve the Riemann problem

\[ \partial_t u + \partial_x A(u) = 0, \quad u(t = 0, x) = H(x - x_0), \]

where \(A\) is a concave function. Then the entropy solution is given by \(u(t, x) = H(x - x_1(t))\) where the Rankine–Hugoniot condition implies \(x_1'(t) = A(1) - A(0)\). Thus the unique duality solution in the sense of Theorem 3.2 is given by \((\rho, u) = (\delta_{x_1(t)}, H(x - x_1(t)))\) where \(x_1(t) = x_0 + (A(1) - A(0))t\).

On the other hand, if we look for a solution in the form \(\rho(t) = \delta_{x_1(t)}\), then \(u(t, x) = H(x - x_1(t))\). Integrating Eq. (6) we get that \(b\rho = \partial_u u = \chi_1'(t)\delta_{x_1(t)}\). By deriving in the distribution sense \(A(u)\), we get that the definition of the product in Theorem 3.2 \(b\rho = \delta_u A(u)\) is satisfied if and only if \(\chi_1'(t) = A(1) - A(0)\), thus we recover the Rankine–Hugoniot condition. Hence the definition of the product allows to select one solution among those found in Section 3.1. It gives more generally the dynamic of aggregates, which are modelled by a sum of Dirac masses \(\rho^0 = \sum m_i\delta_{x_i}\). A similar computation gives the velocity \(\chi_1'(t) = (A(\sum m_i) - A(\sum m_i - m_j))/m_i\). Notice that the velocity of each aggregate is defined by a local equation, despite the fact that the initial equation is nonlocal. In the particular case where \(A\) is strictly concave, aggregates collapse in finite time.

4.2. High-field limit of Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck

In [7], the authors prove that solutions to the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system converge in the high-field limit to solutions of (1) where \(a(u) = u\) in the repulsive case and \(a(u) = -u\) in the attractive case. To do so, the authors define a weak product \(\rho u\), which can be proved to coincide with the one used here. Applying Theorem 3.2 we can recover the result stated in Theorem 2 of [7]: there exists a unique global in time solution of (1) in the distribution sense such that the product \(\rho u = \pm u^2/2\). Moreover, in the attractive case, there exists a flow \(X\) such that \(\rho = X\#\rho^0\), and the dynamics of aggregates is similar to the one of chemotaxis. In the general case, the Oleinik entropy condition gives that \(\rho \leq 1/t\). Finally,
we notice that the result of [7] has been extended in two dimensions by Poupaud in [8] by using defect measures to define the product of \( \rho \) by \( u \). However, there is no uniqueness of solutions.

To conclude, we focus on the connection between pressureless gases and the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck limit which is mentioned in [7]. The pressureless gases system reads

\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho v) = 0, \quad \partial_t (\rho v) + \partial_x (\rho v^2) = 0.
\]

Bouchut and James in [3] introduced the notion of duality solution to (8):

**Definition 4.1.** We say that a couple \((\rho, q)\), \( \rho, q \in C([0,T]; M^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})) \), \( \rho \geq 0 \), is a duality solution to (8) if there exists \( b \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \alpha \in L^1_{\text{loc}}([0,T]) \) satisfying \( \partial_x b \leq \alpha \) in \( [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \) such that

(i) for all \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T \), we have in the sense of duality on \([t_1, t_2] \times \mathbb{R}\)

\[
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (b \rho) = 0, \quad \partial_x q + \partial_x (b q) = 0;
\]

(ii) \( \hat{b} = q \).

The existence result for the Cauchy problem with initial data \((\rho^0, q^0)\) strongly exploits the relationships between (8) and the conservation law \( \partial_t \rho + \partial_x A(\rho) = 0 \), where \( \rho = \partial_x u \), \( q = \partial_x A(\rho) \) and \( A \) is determined by \( \rho \) and \( q \). Uniqueness follows if \( A \) can be defined by the initial data, which enforces additional conditions on \((\rho^0, q^0)\).

In the context of Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck, the function \( A \) is given: \( A(u) = -u^2/2 \) (attractive case) or \( A(u) = u^2/2 \) (repulsive case). Therefore we propose the following variant to the results of [3]:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \rho^0 \in M^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \rho^0 \geq 0 \) and \( A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \). Define \( q^0 = \partial_x A(\rho^0) \), where \( u^0 = \int^x \rho^0 \). Then there exists a duality solution \((\rho^0, q^0)\) of the pressureless gases system (8) in the sense of Definition 4.1. Moreover this solution is the unique duality solution which satisfies the relation \( \hat{b} \rho = \partial_x[A(u)] \), where \( u = \int^x \rho \, dx \).

This theorem is proved in the same way as Theorem 3.2. The solution to the high-field limit of the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck system obtained in [7] is therefore the unique duality solution to (8) given by Theorem 4.2 for the corresponding \( A \).
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