AUF1 facilitates microRNA-mediated gene silencing
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ABSTRACT
Eukaryotic mRNA decay is tightly modulated by RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and microRNAs (miRNAs). RBP AU-binding factor 1 (AUF1) has four isoforms resulting from alternative splicing and is critical for miRNA-mediated gene silencing with a distinct preference of target miRNAs. Previously, we have shown that AUF1 facilitates microRNA loading to Argonaute 2 (AGO2), the catalytic component of the RNA-induced silencing complex. Here, we further demonstrate that depletion of AUF1 abolishes the global interaction of miRNAs and AGO2. Single-molecule analysis revealed that AUF1 slowed down assembly of AGO2–let-7b–mRNA complex unexpectedly. However, target mRNAs recognized by both miRNA and AUF1 are less abundant upon AUF1 overexpression implying that AUF1 is a decay-promoting factor influencing multiple steps in AGO2–miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. Our findings indicate that AUF1 functions in promoting miRNA-mediated mRNA decay globally.

INTRODUCTION
Mammalian mRNA degradation is mainly regulated by RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and small non-coding RNAs including microRNAs (miRNAs) (1–3). The RBP AU-binding factor 1 (AUF1) has four isoforms: p37, p40, p42 and p45, and is implicated in cellular senescence, myogenesis, inflammation and cancer (4–6). The four isoforms bind similar RNA substrates with different affinities and have distinct subcellular localizations resulting in target mRNA decay (7). Recent transcriptome-wide analysis of AUF1 target RNAs revealed that AUF1 recognizes U/GU-rich sequences in target transcripts and affects their stability either positively or negatively (8). Although the precise mechanism(s) by which AUF1 affects miRNA-mediated gene silencing is unknown, AUF1 may act as a complementary factor in AGO2–miRNA-mediated gene silencing by facilitating target mRNA recognition (9,10). AUF1 also directly regulates DICER1 mRNA, leading repressor of miRNA biogenesis (11), which might be an output of negative feedback from increased mRNA degradation or translational repression by AUF1.

It was recently demonstrated that AUF1 directly binds a subset of mature miRNAs and transfers those miRNAs to AGO2 (12). miRNA loading onto AGO2 is enhanced in the presence of recombinant AUF1 in vitro, implying that AUF1 empowers AGO2-mediated mRNA degradation or selects specific miRNAs for loading on AGO2 in target mRNA degradation. These observations imply that AUF1 participates in miRNA-mediated gene silencing (9–11). However, it is not clear whether AUF1 is also involved in AGO2–miRNA-mediated gene silencing for mRNAs in global scale. Most studies focus on AGO2, limiting our knowledge of the function of AUF1 in gene silencing. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate AUF1’s role in AGO2–miRNA-mediated gene silencing globally.

Single-molecule studies of human, mouse and Drosophila AGO2 revealed the dynamic nature of AGO2 for target mRNA recognition by seed base-paring (13–17). However, we cannot rule out that there is an alternative mode of target mRNA recognition by miRNAs beyond seed-matching mechanism. Correlations between miRNA and mRNA expression in steady state reveal that increased miRNA levels do not always lead to changes in the levels of all target mRNAs (18,19). It is important to identify additional features of target mRNA recognition by AGO2 at a given context. We examined the distance between seed-matched sites located within 3′ UTRs of mRNAs and AUF1 target sites on 3′ UTRs, revealing AUF1 binding sites on 3′ UTRs are found in close proximity to miRNA seed sites or even overlapped with miRNA binding sites (8), which could influence AGO2 binding on target mRNAs.
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Here we report that AUF1 promotes AGO2 interactions with miRNA globally, however, it surprisingly delays the loading of AGO2 and miRNA to target mRNA recognized by AUF1, and decreases the abundance of AGO2 and let-7b target mRNAs. Our findings uncover a novel mechanism by which AUF1 promotes AGO2–miRNA-mediated selective mRNA decay.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture, transfection, small interfering RNAs, microRNAs and plasmids**

Human HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotics. Cells were transfected (Lipofectamine 2000, Invitrogen) with siRNAs (20 nM) either siControl (UUUCUCGUAGUGUACUGdTdT), targeting GFP), siAUF1 (AAAGUCCUAUCAGGGCGAUdTdT), or siHuR (CGUAAGUUAUUUCCUAAdTdT). Plasmids that expressed Flag-tagged AUF1 were described previously (20) and transfected at 1–2 μg [pcDNA, pcDNA–AUF1]. Cells were typically analyzed 48 h after transfection.

**Western blot analysis**

Whole-cell lysates, prepared in RadioImmunoPrecipitation Assay (RIPA) buffer, were separated by Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-PolyAcrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen iBlot Stack). Primary antibodies recognizing HuR, Tubulin, and Actin were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The AUF1 antibody was from Millipore. AGO1, AGO2, AGO3 and AGO4 antibodies were from Abcam. Horse Radish Peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were from GE Healthcare.

**RNP analysis**

For immunoprecipitation (IP) of endogenous Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes [RIP analysis (21, 22)] from whole-cell extracts cells were lysed in 20 mM Tris–HCl at pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.5% NP-40 for 10 min on ice and centrifuged at 10 000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants were incubated with protein A-Sepharose beads coated with antibodies that recognized AGO1, AGO2, AGO3, AGO4 (Abcam) or AUF1 (Millipore), or with control IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at 4°C. After the beads were washed with NT2 buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 0.05% NP-40), the complexes were incubated with 20 units of RNase-free DNase I (15 min at 37°C) and further incubated with 0.1% SDS/0.5 mg/ml Proteinase K (15 min at 55°C) to remove DNA and proteins, respectively. The RNA isolated from the IP materials was further assessed by reverse transcription (RT)-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis using the primers listed (supplementary Table S4). Normalization of RIP results was carried out by quantifying in parallel the relative levels of U6 snRNA in each IP sample. These abundant RNAs are non-specific contaminants present in the IP components (such as microfuge tube and beads).

**RNA analysis**

Trizol (Invitrogen) was used to extract total RNA and acidic phenol (Ambion) was used to extract RNA for RIP analysis (21, 22). RT was performed using random hexamers and reverse transcriptase (Maxima, Thermo Scientific) and real-time, qPCR using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1) and SYBR green master mix (Kapa Biosystems) using an Applied Biosystems 7300 instrument. miRNA quantitation was performed after RNA extraction from immunoprecipitated samples (with anti-AGO2 or control IgG), polyadenylation (System Biosciences QuantiTmIR kit) and hybridization with oligo-dT adapters. After RT, cDNAs were quantitated by qPCR with miRNA-specific primers or with primers to detect the control transcript U6 snRNA, along with a universal primer.

**Single-molecule Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) assay**

This study was performed based on modifications to a previously described method (23). Quartz slides and cover slips were cleaned in piranha solution (3:1 solution of concentrated sulfuric acid and 30% [v/v] hydrogen peroxide) for 20 min, and coated with 40:1 mixture of polyethylene glycol (MPEG-SVA-5000; Laysan Bio) and biotinylated polyethylene glycol (Biotin-PEG-SVA-5000; Laysan Bio). The flow cell was assembled by sandwiching double-sided sticky tape (3M) between a quartz slide and a cover slip. Polyethylene tubings (PE50: Becton Dickinson) were connected to inlet and outlet of the flow cell for stable buffer exchange during the fluorescence measurement. For the surface immobilization of target RNAs (Supplementary Table S1), streptavidin (0.2 mg/ml, Invitrogen) and target RNA (50 pM) were sequentially injected into the flow cell and incubated for 2 min. let-7b RNA (40 nM) was incubated with excessive human AGO2 (1 μM) at 23°C for 1 h to form AGO2–let-7b complex. Fluorescence measurement was carried out with imaging buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 8.0] with KCl [135 mM], MgCl₂ [1 mM], Trolox [1 mM; Sigma], glucose oxidase [1 mg/ml; Sigma], catalase [0.04 mg/ml; Sigma], glucose [0.4% (w/v); Sigma] and RNase inhibitor [2000 U/ml Promega]). Single-molecule fluorescence image was taken using a home-built prism-type total internal reflection fluorescence microscope equipped with an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera (IXON DV597ECS-BV; Andor Technology) at a frame rate of 1 Hz (23) with alternating laser excitation. Temperature of the flow cell and solution for was maintained at 37°C via temperature control system (Live Cell Instruments).

**miRNA sequencing**

Briefly, in a total reaction volume of 20 μl, 2 μg total RNA was ligated to 100 pmol adenylated 3’ adapter containing a unique pentamer barcode (App- Barcode)TCGTATGCGCTTCTCTGTTGTCGTA, 1 μg Rnl2 (1–249) K227Q (plasmid for expression of recombinant ligase is available at [www.addgene.org]) in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mg/ml acetylated bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma, St Louis,
MO, USA) and 15% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 16 h on ice. Following 3’ adapter ligation, 21 barcoded samples were pooled and products were purified on a 15% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Small RNAs, measuring 45–50 nt in length, were excised from the gel, eluted and ligated to 100 pmol 5’ oligoribonucleotide adapter (guuc agaguccuacaguccagcauc) in a 20 μl reaction volume using 1 μg T4 RNA ligase 1 (Rnl1) (Thermo Fisher, Glen Burnie, MD, USA) in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mg/ml acetylated BSA, 0.2 mM adenosine triphosphate and 15% DMSO for 1 h at 37°C. Ligated small RNAs were purified on a 12% polyacrylamide gel, reverse transcribed using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and amplified by PCR using appropriate primers (forward primer: AATGATACGGCGACCA CCGACAGGTTCAGAGTTCTACAGTCCGA; reverse primer: CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGA). cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 instrument at the Rockefeller University Genomics Resource Center.

RESULTS

Previous reports of AUFI and AGO2 (9,10) provide limited definitions of the general role of AUFI in AGO2–miRNA-mediated mRNA translation repression or decay due to targeted approach with selective miRNAs. Anisotropy of AUFI and miRNAs in vitro showed that all isoforms of AUFI bind to selective miRNAs containing UU- and UG-rich sequences with different affinities (12). This prompted us to utilize data from AUFI Photoactivatable Ribonucleoside-Enhanced Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) to investigate global function of AUFI in miRNA-mediated gene silencing (Supplementary Figure S1A) (8), and we identified 14 miRNAs shared by all isoforms of AUFI. To determine whether capacity of AUFI in miRNA binding influences miRNA-mediated gene silencing positively or negatively, we first investigated whether the binding of AGO2 to all miRNAs changes after AUFI depletion. To this end, we employed AGO2 RIP-seq upon AUFI depletion in HeLa.

Forty-eight hours after silencing AUFI using small interfering (si)RNA, sequencing of miRNAs present in AGO2 IP material indicated that AUFI-target miRNAs (Supplementary Figure S1A) showed less binding to AGO2 when AUFI was silenced (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table S2, P < 2.2e-16, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). For the normalization of miRNA sequencing, Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) of annotated miRNAs from AGO2 IP was divided by FPKM of miRNAs from total RNA after transfection of siRNAs targeting GFP, AUFI or HuR mRNA respectively. We checked siRNA efficiency using the same lysates to validate our AGO2 RIP and miRNA sequencing (Supplementary Figure S1B). When AUFI is depleted more than 50% compared to control, miRNA-AGO2 interaction is similarly reduced in each experiment (Supplementary Figure S1C). HuR siRNA also depleted its protein level more than 50% compared to control (Supplementary Figure S1B), indicating differences observed in AUFI and HuR knockdown are not due to differences in their siRNA efficiency. Moreover, either AUFI or HuR knock-down did not affect AGO2 protein level and AGO2 IP efficiency was similar across different samples (Supplementary Figure S1B).

Decline in miRNA-AGO2 interaction did not reflect lower levels of these miRNAs in the cell after AUFI silencing, since the levels of miRNAs in the IP samples were normalized to total miRNA levels in the corresponding ‘input’ materials. Thus, this data represents the relative enrichment of each miRNA compared to total expression level. We also performed RIP followed by RT-qPCR analysis as an independent measure to evaluate miRNAs enrichment in AGO2 IP material (Supplementary Figure S1C). In addition, HuR silencing did not have this robust effect (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table S2), indicating that the reduced binding of these miRNAs to AGO2 occurred specifically when AUFI was silenced (Supplementary Table S2) and was unlikely to arise from non-specific loading of miRNAs onto AGO2. This result implies that each miRNA-binding protein has a distinct preference for its recognition of target miRNAs and may affect miRNA-mediated gene expression positively or negatively.

To further support our hypothesis, we compared the result of AGO2 RIP-seq with miRNAs identified from AUFI PAR-CLIP. Globally, AUFI silencing suppressed AGO2 interactions with AUFI-target miRNAs (defined as those containing >10 AGO2 RIP-seq reads) more severely than it suppressed AGO2 binding to miRNAs that were not AUFI targets, as measured by RIP-seq analysis. This preferential suppression occurred when we considered all AUFI isoforms together (Figure 2, ‘ALL’, top) or each isoform separately (Figure 2, bottom) with statistical significance (P < 2.2e-16, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). Our results support the notion that AUFI facilitates the AGO2–miRNA interaction (12) and all AUFI isoforms act as a complementary factor that promotes their target miRNA loading to AGO2.

We next tested whether AUFI also influences miRNA loading onto other AGO family proteins. For this, we performed AGO RIP to determine enrichment of individual miRNAs in AGO1-4 IP material upon AUFI depletion. We chose let-7b as a candidate mRNA since it is one of the target miRNAs recognized by all AUFI isoforms. Interestingly, AGO2 and AGO3 were most affected by AUFI depletion in their affinity to bind let-7b, but AGO1 and AGO4 were not (Supplementary Figure S1C) (24). Our results suggested that AUFI might selectively promote AGO2 and AGO3–miRNA interactions.

Next we tested if the presence of AUFI facilitates AGO2 and miRNA loading to target mRNA at a single-molecule level. Specifically, we measured the kinetics of AGO2 and let-7b loading to the immobilized POLR2D mRNA 3’ UTR fragment as performed previously (15,25). Two tether RNAs were tested: one with a let-7b site and the other with a let-7b site having seed-mutation to AUGGA (Figure 3A); both had a linker region to prevent steric hindrance. Using the tether RNA without AUFI binding site, the binding rate to the AGO2–let-7b complex was unexpectedly decreased (42%) in the presence of AUFI (p37) (100 nM) (Figure 3B and C). Addition of HuR did not change the loading rate (Figure 3D) and seed mutation blocked the assembly of AGO2–let-7b-POLR2D RNA completely (Figure 3E).
Figure 1. AU-binding factor (AUF1) promotes AGO2–miRNA interactions. (A and B) Forty-eight hours after transfection of HeLa cells with AUF1-directed (A), HuR-directed (B) siRNA or Control siRNA, the relative abundance of miRNAs forming complexes with AGO2 was determined by RIP analysis followed by miRNA sequencing after normalization to input RNA. Data in graphs represent the means and Standard Deviation (S.D.) from two independent experiments.
These results demonstrate that AUF1 slows down assembly of AGO2–let-7b-target RNA in single-molecule level.

We also tested target RNA with let-7b site and AUF1-binding region (Figure 4A). At this time, we also introduced mutation in seed-sequence and/or AUF1-binding region by randomization (Figure 4A). Our Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) assay revealed that with the tether RNA that had both an AUF1 binding site and let-7b site, the binding was hindered more (78%) in the presence of AUF1 (100 nM) (Figure 4B and C). These results imply that AUF1 declines AGO2–miRNA-target–RNA assembly more strongly if the mRNA is also a AUF1 target (Figures 3 and 4C). Introduction of random sequence neither affected AGO2–let-7b assembly nor influenced the loading rate after addition of AUF1 or HuR (Figure 4D). In addition, mutation of UACCU to AUGGA in seed-sequence completely repressed assembly of AGO2–let-7b on target RNA (Figure 4E). These results indicate that AUF1 suppresses AGO2–let-7b-target RNA assembly further when it is bound to target RNAs and non-specific RNA-binding activity is not in-
Figure 3. AUF1 slows down AGO2–let-7b:target RNA assembly. (A) Sequence of target mRNA construct containing let-7b and linker. 3′-end is biotinylated and 5′-end is labeled with Cy3 for POLR2D target. UACCU is mutated to AUGGA in seed-sequence. The 18th Uracil of let-7b is labeled with Cy5. (B and C) Single-molecule FRET assay to determine the binding rate constant of AGO2–let-7b on target RNA bearing let-7b site only. (D and E) Single-molecule FRET assay with recombinant HuR or target RNA containing seed-mutation. N.D. indicates data with no detectible signal from FRET. Error bars represent S.D. from three independent measurements.
Figure 4. AUF1 represses assembly of AGO2–let-7b on target RNA containing AUF1-binding site. (A) Sequence of target mRNA construct containing let-7b, spacer, AUF1 site and linker. 3′ end is biotinylated and 5′ end is labeled with Cy3 for POLR2D target. AUGGA mutation is introduced in the seed-sequence and/or randomization of AUF1-binding sequence is performed. The 18th Uracil of let-7b is labeled with Cy5. (B and C) Single-molecule FRET assay to determine the binding rate constant of AGO2–let-7b on target RNA bearing let-7b site and AUF1 binding site. AUF1 was pre-incubated before injecting AGO2–let-7b and AUF1. (D and E) Single-molecule FRET assay with seed-mutation and/or randomization of AUF1-binding sites in the presence of AUF1 or HuR. N.D. indicates data with no detectible signal from FRET. Error bars represent S.D. from three independent measurements.
promotes miRNA-mediated global mRNA decay.

Importantly, these experiments (Figure 4) were performed in the presence of excess AUFI p37 pre-bound to the immobilized tether RNA that imitates the case where AUFI recognizes long RNAs more strongly than small RNAs. AUFI PAR-CLIP data support this idea that binding sites are observed more in the region of miRNAs than small RNAs implying that AUFI prefers a longer RNA substrate. Therefore, we conclude that AUFI p37 slows down the loading rate of AGO2–let-7b to target RNA. However, this may not actually delay target RNA decay by miRNA in mammalian cells since the activity of AUFI in facilitating miRNA loading to AGO2 (12) could offset the delay occurring in the intermediate step when AGO2–let-7b complex recognizes target RNA.

Inhibition of AGO2–let-7b loading to target RNA prompted us to test if AUFI globally affects miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. We first assessed the distance between AUFI sites (defined by PAR-CLIP), (8) and AGO sites (defined by PAR-CLIP), (26) on 3′ UTRs of AUFI target mRNA (Figure 5A and Supplementary Table S3, \( P < 1.1 \times 10^{-16} \), the hypergeometric test), suggesting that AUFI binding sites on 3′ UTRs are found in close proximity to AGO binding sites. Based on the close proximity of these sites, we hypothesized that AUFI might influence the abundance of both AGO2 and AUFI target mRNAs on a transcriptome-wide scale. The abundance of AGO and AUFI target mRNAs decreased when all isoforms or each isoform of AUFI were overexpressed in HEK 293 cells (8) compared to non-target mRNAs (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S3).

Although AGO2 may bind directly to a small subset (<10%) of mRNAs independently of a miRNA (26), AGO2 generally binds mRNAs guided by a miRNA. We performed a similar analysis to determine the distance between AUFI PAR-CLIP sites on target 3′ UTRs and neighboring miRNA seed sites (predicted from miRTCat), (27) which gave us a comprehensive view on AUFI’s role in AGO2–miRNA-mediated gene silencing. Similarly, when the AUFI and let-7 sites on 3′ UTR of AUFI target mRNA were in close proximity (<50 nt) (Figure 5C and Supplementary Table S4, \( P < 1.1 \times 10^{-16} \), the hypergeometric test) we observed a decrease of let-7 and AUFI target mRNAs after AUFI overexpression (Figure 5D and Supplementary Figure S4). To further support our observation, we performed RIP analysis after transfection of Firefly luciferase reporter containing POLR2D 3′ UTR (12), revealing that AUFI silencing decreased the enrichment of reporter mRNA whereas the enrichment of mutant reporter lacking 3′UTR seed-sequence was not responsive on change of AUFI level (Figure 5E). This implies that AGO2 might be working in concert with other miRNA-binding factors that might stabilize AGO2 binding to target mRNAs or surveil proper target RNA recognition by AGO2 at a given context. Taken together, our results demonstrate that AUFI promotes miRNA-mediated global mRNA decay.

DISCUSSION

Our findings in this study indicate that AUFI is required for the loading of AGO2 with a variety of miRNAs (Figure 1) preferentially with its target miRNAs (Figure 2), supporting the assertion that AUFI is a master regulator of miRNA loading to AGO2. In contrast to our prediction, single-molecule data revealed that AUFI slows down AGO2–let-7b loading to target RNA, as shown in POLR2D mRNA by single-molecule FRET-based tethering assay (Figures 3 and 4). A possible interpretation of our results is that AUFI actually inhibits AGO2–miRNA–target mRNA assembly resulting in stabilization of target mRNAs. Previous report of transcription regulation by AUFI in the nucleus could be a factor to influence the steady state abundance of miRNA target mRNAs (5).

However, considering our results that AUFI overexpression mainly reduces the abundance of AGO2 and let-7b target mRNAs (Figure 5), a reduced assembly rate of AGO2–let-7b–target mRNA complex represents yet-to-be-understood functions of AUFI in miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. It is possible that each miRNA-binding protein has a distinct role in miRNA-mediated gene silencing, and they may intervene during several steps in AGO2–miRNA-mediated gene silencing. This makes it difficult to draw solid conclusion from one side of observation. Reduced assembly of AGO2–miRNA–target mRNA (Figures 3 and 4) in conjunction with increased miRNA loading to AGO2 by AUFI (12) could destabilize target mRNAs of miRNA and AUFI selectively and accurately (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S2). Recent findings argue that AGO2 phosphorylation cycle regulates interaction of AGO2 and target mRNAs to maintain the global efficiency of miRNA-mediated gene silencing (28). They observed that AGO2 phosphorylation inhibits its binding to target mRNA but inactivation of AGO2 phosphorylation also impairs global miRNA-mediated silencing by reducing AGO2’s availability to silence additional targets. Their findings are in line with our observation that kinetic repression of AGO2–miRNA–mRNA assembly by AUFI in vitro promotes target mRNA decay in mammalian cells. Thus, it is possible that once AGO2 could persist on target mRNAs longer than necessary, AUFI can inhibit AGO2-miRNA-mRNA assembly to increase the active pool of AGO2 on a per-target basis or prevent mischievous AGO2’s binding to targets. Based on this idea, the process reducing the assembly kinetics of AGO2–miRNA–mRNA complex can facilitate global miRNA-mediated mRNA decay.

One of the possible predictions is that AUFI may have a surveillance function in miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. This model proposes that AUFI licenses the proper assembly of AGO2–miRNA–target mRNA complex so that appropriate target mRNA decay can be accelerated by AUFI (Figure 5F). Considering that mouse AGO2 dissociates rapidly from seed-matched targets (29), proper base-paring of miRNA and target mRNA is a key step in miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. In this regard, we propose the existence of multiple functions of AUFI in miRNA-mediated gene silencing. Several RBPs are reported to bind mature miRNAs with different affinities (30–32). Further studies on miRNA-binding proteins should also examine if RBPs
Figure 5. AUF1 globally decreases abundance of AGO and let-7 target mRNAs. (A) Number of AGO target sites (defined by PAR-CLIP) shared with AUF1 sites (defined by PAR-CLIP) on the 3′ UTRs of AUF1 target mRNAs within the distances indicated in the x axis; the analysis parameters, and P-value (using the hypergeometric test) are indicated. (B) Cumulative plots of AGO-target mRNAs (as identified by PAR-CLIP) after AUF1 overexpression. (C) Number of miRNA seed sites (predicted from miRTCat) (27), shared with AUF1 sites (defined by PAR-CLIP) on the 3′ UTRs of AUF1 target mRNAs within the distances indicated in the x axis; the analysis parameters and P-value (using the hypergeometric test) are indicated. (D) Cumulative plots of predicted let-7 target mRNAs after AUF1 overexpression. (E) Forty-eight hours after transfecting HeLa cells with either wild-type or mutant reporter of POLR2D mRNA 3′ UTR with let-7b seed-mutation and the siRNA as indicated, the abundance of reporter (firefly luciferase) mRNAs from AGO2 RIP was assessed by RT-qPCR analysis. (F) Proposed model of AUF1 function in miRNA-mediated mRNA decay.
function as mRNA and/or miRNA binders impacting several stages of gene silencing to maximize the efficiency and fidelity of target mRNA decay.
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