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Abstract

Background: Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony occurs when the phases of breath delivered by the ventilator do not match that of the patient and it is largely attributed to patient pathophysiologic factors and the precision of ventilator settings. Common patterns of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony include trigger delay, inspiratory flow mismatch, short or prolong cycling, double triggering, ineffective inspiratory effort during the expiratory phase, and auto-triggering. In rare circumstances, dyssynchronous breathing can also arise when faults occur in the different parts of the breathing circuit, ventilator, or scavenging system, in particular when the types of dyssynchrony are unusual. We report a patient showing an unusual pattern of dyssynchronous breathing due to a blocked scavenging system related to the failure of its rod valve to open. Specifically, during pressure support (PS) ventilation, our patient manifested as failure to trigger with the development of high PEEP and paradoxical pressure changes in the presence of expiratory flow obstruction.

Case description: A 44-year-old man with right vocal cord palsy and recurrent aspiration was admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for respiratory failure due to carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia. He was put on mechanical ventilator support and the ventilator (Servo-U, Maquet) was connected to a scavenging system (EVAC 180) as an additional infection control measure in face of the COVID-19 epidemic. On the fifth ICU day, the heat moisture exchange (HME) in the breathing circuit was changed to a heated humidifier (Fisher and Paykel MR850) due to copious amount of sputum. On the sixth ICU day, his condition continued to improve and he was on PS mode with PS of 10 cmH2O above a PEEP of 6 cmH2O. Twenty-eight hours after he was put on PS mode, he became dyssynchronous with the ventilator, and labored breathing was observed. Despite the patient’s respiratory effort, the backup pressure control (PC) was activated with a backup mandatory breath rate of 15 breaths/minute (bpm). Despite low inspiratory flow being delivered at a rate of 15 bpm, neither triggered nor mandatory breath could be recorded (Fig. 1A). Paradoxical changes in airway pressures were observed with a decrease in pressure from 28 to 20 cmH2O during the inspiratory phase and an increase in pressure from 20 to 28 cmH2O during the expiratory phase. The ventilator wrongly regarded the expiratory pressure of 28 cmH2O as peak pressure and the inspiratory pressure of 20 cmH2O as PEEP. Ten to 20 seconds afterward, PS breaths were seen to be superimposed on a similar pattern of paradoxical pressure changes. Specifically, PS breaths of 10 cmH2O (with airway pressure up to 17 cmH2O) were triggered by flow when the airway pressure dropped below 8 cmH2O (Fig. 1B). End-tidal CO2 (ETCO2) varied between 75 and 90.

Conclusion: Water condensation distal to the ventilator exhaust gas outlet may not be immediately apparent. Clinicians should remain alert with patient-ventilator dyssynchrony, especially with an unusual pattern, as it may signify equipment faults, such as expiratory gas flow obstruction within the scavenging system.
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It was also noted the reservoir bag of the scavenging system was hyperinflated (Fig. 1D) and water condensate was seen in the tubing connecting the ventilator exhaust outlet and the scavenging system. The reservoir bag was removed for inspection and was found filled with water condensate. Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony was abolished immediately after the water condensate was drained (Fig. 1C). Such an event did not recur when the emptied reservoir bag was reinstalled into the scavenging system (Fig. 1D).

The patient was weaned and extubated on the 8th ICU day. On the 15th ICU day, he developed right pneumothorax for which did not require drainage. He underwent further rehabilitation and was discharged from ICU after 29 days.

**Discussion**

*EVAC 180* is a scavenging system with a valve rod mechanism. The valve is closed most of the time to protect the ventilator from the excessive negative pressure of the suction source until the reservoir bag collects enough expired gas and become distended. The reservoir bag long axis shortened when it becomes distended and this will raise the rod, which then opens the valve to allow the evacuation of the collected gas through the suction source (Fig. 2). It is used most commonly for scavenging waste gases, such as, anesthetic gases and nitric oxide but has also been used as an infection control measure during the 2003 SARS epidemic. Of note, tubing and devices distal to the ventilator exhaust outlet are not usually heated and are prone to water condensation. This is usually not a problem when HME is used because it traps a significant portion of the moisture. However, the change to the heated humidifier in our case exacerbated water condensation by increasing the humidity of the expired gas. We postulated the reservoir bag was dragged by the weight of water condensate, thereby stretching along its length and abolishing the vertical upward movement of the rod valve even when the reservoir bag was filled. The failure of the valve to open led to obstruction to gas flow through the exhaust outlet of the ventilator and builds up back pressure in the expiratory limb.

**Figs 1A to D:** (A) Paradoxical airway pressure changes during inspiratory phase (white bar) and expiratory phase. PS mode was switched to back up PC as there was no triggered breath. Note the inspiratory flow of the mandatory breath started when the airway pressure drop to approximately 21 cmH₂O (red dash vertical line). There was no tidal volume generated by the mandatory PC breath; (B) Similar pattern of paradoxical airway pressure changes was noted. However, when the airway pressure decreases to approximately 8 cmH₂O (red dash vertical line), flow triggered PS breath of 10 cmH₂O was delivered (white arrow). The PS breath was rapidly cycled off followed by a continuation of rise in expiratory pressure; (C) Flow triggered PS breath after the water condensate in the reservoir bag of EVAC 180 was drained and refitted into the scavenging system; (D) Left: distended reservoir bag filled with water condensate (red arrow). Tubing connecting the ventilator exhaust outlet and scavenging was filled with water condensate, Right: reservoir bag partially filled with gas after the water condensate was emptied.
As such, the patient’s forced expiration against the backpressure caused a paradoxical rise in the airway pressure during the expiratory phase and vice versa. In the presence of the high backpressure and hyperinflation of the chest, the patient could not generate the pressure drop nor the flow necessary to trigger the PS breath. Failure of triggering the PS mode resulted in activation of the backup PC mode with a PC of 21 cmH₂O and a mandatory rate of 15 bpm. As the set pressure of 21 cmH₂O was lower than the backpressure of 28 cmH₂O, the ventilator cannot generate any effective inspiratory flow and no tidal volume could be measured. In Figure 1B, when the patient’s inspiratory force was large enough to overcome the intrinsic PEEP and the airway pressure decrease to 8 cmH₂O, PS breath of 10 cmH₂O was triggered by the patient’s flow. However, the expiratory flow obstruction and paradoxical pressure rise persist during the expiratory phase. The ETCO₂ of 75–90 mm Hg supported the hypothesis of exhaust outlet obstruction leading to CO₂ rebreathing. It was unclear whether the subsequently developed pneumothorax was related to the event or not.

External lateral dislocation of the valve rod in EVAC 180 causing expiratory gas outlet obstruction has been reported during aortocoronary bypass surgery. We are the first to report such gas outlet obstruction due to the weight drag of water condensate in the reservoir bag. To verify our hypothesis, we attempted to reproduce our findings by instilling water in 50 mL increments into the 3 L reservoir bag of EVAC 180 and we observed the pressure-time, flow-time, volume-time curve of the ventilator (Servo-I) connected to a test lung. Volume control (TV 500, RR 15, PEEP 6, FIO₂ 0.4) was used. The peak pressure was 18 cmH₂O at the beginning of the test. A tidal volume of 496 mL, RR 15, and PEEP 6 cmH₂O were recorded. There was no change until 700 mL of water had been instilled when the rod valve started to chatter without changes to ventilator pressures. The reservoir bag was moderately distended. At 800 mL of water instillation, the reservoir bag had...
further distension. The peak pressure rose to 38 cmH2O, tidal volume dropped to 279 mL and PEEP 10 cmH2O was recorded despite the setting of 6 cmH2O PEEP (Fig. 3A). A gush of air was found on the reservoir bag removal and all the ventilator parameters restored to its original values immediately (Fig. 3B). Although we did not fully replicate the condition of spontaneous ventilation, there was evidence that expiratory flow obstruction occurred when 800 mL of water was collected inside the 3-L reservoir bag of EVAC 180.

**Conclusion**

We report an unusual manifestation of dyssynchronous breathing, namely failure to trigger with high PEEP and paradoxical pressure changes, which signifies fault within the scavenging system. The shape deformation of the reservoir bag caused by the weight of water condensate was found to be the mechanism of failure of its rod valve. The simultaneous use of a heated humidifier and scavenging system was postulated to be an important risk factor.

**Clinical Significance**

Water condensation distal to the ventilator exhaust gas outlet may not be immediately apparent. The clinician should remain alert when an unusual pattern of patient-ventilator dyssynchrony occurs, as this may signify equipment faults, such as, expiratory gas flow obstruction within the scavenging system. We also recommend that a heated humidifier should not be used simultaneously with the EVAC 180 scavenging system, if possible. Alternatively, a moist trap in the connection tubing between the ventilator exhaust outlet and the scavenging system should be placed and frequently be inspected and changed.
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