Total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH) is one of the most common surgical procedures carried out by gynecologists across the world, and a huge variety of complications may accompany the procedure. Postoperative infections, particularly surgical wound infections (SWI) following TAH, constitute an origin for further complications associated with an increased hospital stay, increased morbidity, repeated surgery, and excessive medical costs. Preoperative prophylactic use of antibiotics and strict following of antisepctic measures have been reported to reduce the rate...
of postoperative SWIs.[2]

Platelets (PLT) are enucleated cells, which are traditionally thought to be solely involved in primary hemostasis and coagulation as a response to various kinds of physiologic triggers. However, emerging evidence supports their additional role in other physio-pathological processes including inflammation, tissue regeneration, and wound healing.[3, 4] Their ability in releasing cytokines and corroborating leukocyte response, in addition to their interaction with bacteria and endothelium, clarify the protective role of these cells in localized infection.[5] However, there are limited data regarding the potential impact of platelet dysfunction and platelet activity on SWIs. In this study, we aimed to assess the predictive value of the mean platelet volume (MPV) and the MPV-to-PLT ratio on surgical wound healing in patients who underwent abdominal hysterectomy and experienced surgical site infections following surgery, despite adequate antimicrobial treatment.

Methods

Subject Selection

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee, and it was performed in accordance with the most recent version of the Helsinki Declaration (October-2013). We retrospectively evaluated abdominal hysterectomy patients, and 100 patients who encountered wound infection after abdominal hysterectomy were enrolled in the study. All patients received preoperative and postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, and SWI was diagnosed according to clinical and laboratory results. Patients were given medical therapy including proper antibiotics and anti-inflammatory agents. Some of the patients fully recovered, and wound infection were resolved. We labeled this group as the standard care group (67 patients). Some patients needed a delayed primary closure (surgical toilet, leave open and close later). We labeled this group the delayed primary closure group (33 patients). We compared the demographic data, preoperative PLT count, mean PLT volume, and MPV-to-PLT between the groups.

Laboratory Findings

Blood samples were drawn from a forearm vein the day before the operation, collected into tubes containing ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid and stored at room temperature until measurement, which was in all cases performed within 1 h after venipuncture. Blood measurements were analyzed by an automated hematology analysis system (CELL-DYN Sapphire) that provided the PLT count (103/µL), and the MPV in the femtoliter (fL) mean corpuscular volume (fL) and red blood cell (RBC) count (106/µL).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS for Windows, version 17 (Chicago, IL, USA). All data were distributed normally. Comparisons among the groups with respect to demographic data, the MCV, RBC count, PLT count, mean PLT volume, and MLT volume/PLT count were evaluated using Student’s t-test, Pearson’s chi-squared test, and Fisher’s exact test. A two-sided p≤0.05 was interpreted as statistically significant. The MLT-to-PLT ratio and MPV values were found to be statistically different between groups, and they were analyzed for their diagnostic value in predicting delayed primary closure using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. The cutoff values were determined.

Results

Demographic variables including age, weight, height, the PLT count, red blood cell, MLV, mean corpuscular volume, and MLT/PLT count are shown in Table 1. The age, weight, height, RBC, and MCV were not significantly different between the groups (p>0.05 for all comparisons). Proportion of smokers and diabetics were also not significant in the two groups. Time to SWI development was 0.8 weeks in the standard care group and 0.6 weeks in the delayed primary closure group (p=0.05). Time to wound healing was significantly longer in the delayed primary closure group compared to the standard care group (9.3 weeks vs. 4.1 weeks, p<0.001). The PLT count was statistically decreased in the delayed primary closure group (319.5±66 103/µL vs. 392±121 103/µL; p<0.05), MPV was statistically increased

| Demographic characteristics and blood parameter results | Standard care group (n=67) | Delayed primary closure group (n=33) | p |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Age mean (years)                                       | 49.7±9.3                  | 49.1±5.8                             | NS|
| Weight (kg)                                            | 79.7±7.7                  | 78.6 ±7.9                           | NS|
| Height (cm)                                            | 170.1±8.1                 | 169.1±9.1                           | NS|
| Smoking (n, %)                                         | 8 (12)                    | 7 (21)                               | 0.081|
| Diabetes (n, %)                                        | 6 (9)                     | 8 (24)                               | 0.063|
| MCV (fL)                                               | 81.1±7.9                  | 92.6±9.3                             | NS|
| RBC (106/µL)                                           | 4.1±1.3                   | 3.8±0.7                              | NS|
| PLT (103/µL)                                           | 392±121                   | 319±66                               | 0.002|
| MPV (fL)                                               | 8.2±1.5                   | 9.2±1.3                              | 0.004|
| MPV/PLT                                               | 0.024±0.014               | 0.03±0.006                           | 0.039|
| Time to SWI (weeks)                                    | 0.8                       | 0.6                                  | NS|
| Time to wound healing (weeks)                          | 4.1                       | 9.3                                  | <0.001|

n: number of patients; NS: not significant; kg: kilogram; cm: centimeter; fL: femtoliter; MCV: mean corpuscular volume; RBC: red blood cell; PLT: platelet; MPV: mean platelet volume; SWI: surgical wound infection.
(9.2±1.3 fL vs. 8.2±1.5 fL; p<0.05) in the delayed primary closure group, and the MPV-to-PLT ratio (0.030±0.006 vs. 0.024±0.014; p<0.05) was statistically increased in the delayed primary closure group. A correlation analysis revealed that the MPV (r=0.256, p=0.024) and MPV-to-PLT (r=0.232, p=0.031) were significantly correlated with poor wound healing. To determine whether the MPV and MPV-to-PLT value was appropriate in predicting delayed primary closure, ROC curves were drawn, and the specificity and sensitivity values were calculated. The highest cut-off points for the sensitivity and specificity were determined (Figs. 1, 2). The value of 8.28 fL as a cut-off value for MPV had an AUC of 0.647, and 72% sensitivity and 52% specificity. The value of 0.025 was a cut-off value for the MPV-to-PLT ratio and had an AUC of 0.750, and 75% sensitivity and 67% specificity.

The MPV and MPV-to-PLT measured 4 weeks following the wound healing were similar to preoperative measurements in the delayed primary closure group (9.2±1.3 vs. 9.0±1.6, p>0.05 for MPV and 0.030±0.006 vs. 0.028±0.005, p>0.05 for MPV-to-PLT), although they were lower compared to preoperative measurements in the standard care group (8.2±1.5 vs. 7.4±1.1, p=0.034 for MPV and 0.024±0.014 vs. 0.019±0.006, p=0.044 for MPV-to-PLT).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that a lower PLT count, higher MPV value, and higher MPV-to-PLT ratio predict the need for a delayed primary closure in patients experiencing SWI following TAH. This study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to demonstrate the predictive value of the MPV and the MPV-to-PLT ratio in SWI.

As the technical improvement limited the complications of major surgery, SWIs still constitute a major problem following TAH. Surgical site infections have the third highest incidence of the infections that necessitate hospitalization, comprising 14%–16% of total infections. Poorly controlled diabetes, tobacco use, prolonged steroid use, high body mass index, malnutrition, low socioeconomic status, prolonged hospital stay, and coincidental infections are risk factors for SWI. In addition, inadequate skin preparation, not to pay required attention to antisepsis measures, poor surgical technique and suboptimal use of prophylactic antimicrobial agents may give rise to the development of SWI. Total abdominal hysterectomy carries a higher risk for SWI compared to minimal invasive hysterectomy and laparoscopic hysterectomy. Cautious patient selection and correction of retrievable risk factors for preceding surgery may be helpful in minimizing postoperative complications, including SWI. Moreover, antibiotic therapy should be employed preoperatively and postoperatively in

---

**Figure 1.** Receiver operating characteristic curve for mean platelet volume value for delayed primary closure. Diagonal segments are produced by ties. Area under the curve 0.64, 8.28 fL as a cut off value for MPV, sensitivity 72% and specificity 52%.

**Figure 2.** Receiver operating characteristic curve for mean platelet volume/Platelet for delayed primary closure. Diagonal segments are produced by ties. Area under the curve 0.750, 0.025 as a cut of value for MPV/PLT sensitivity 75% and specificity 67%.
Platelets initiate inflammation by releasing various kinds of cytokines and adhesion molecules, directly activating responses for monocytes, neutrophils, and T-lymphocytes. They also activate the complement system that augments the inflammatory responses. In patients with severe sepsis, lower platelet counts have been reported in a substantial number of studies. The mean PLT volume is a measurement of the average size of platelets found in the blood stream, which is universally available with routine blood counts. A higher MPV indicates an increased activity of platelets independent of the technique used to measure MPV. Previously, the role of platelets and MPV as a surrogate marker of platelet activity has been shown in several studies. An elevation in the MPV level has been shown in many clinical conditions that are explicit with chronic inflammation. MPV levels are elevated in chronic inflammatory diseases, including ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic cardiovascular diseases, and hypertension and dyslipidemia, and all usually represent a chronic inflammatory state. The MPV is increased in low-grade inflammation, whereas lower MPV values have been reported in high-grade inflammation. MPV is also increased in a wide variety of clinical conditions, including smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes, which might complicate tissue healing in the perioperative period. The MPV-to-PLT ratio, as an emerging marker of inflammation, has also been studied in the diagnosis of patients with sepsis and SIRS.

Previous studies investigating the role of platelets in miscellaneous types of major infections and sepsis have shown that MPV values were increased and PLT counts were decreased in those clinical conditions. The MPV also proved to be a good predictor of the outcome in patient with sepsis. Guclu et al. have found that a level of MPV >8 fl was associated with moderate sensitivity (53.47%) and excellent diagnostic specificity for the diagnosis and prognosis of sepsis. With these substantial data, it’s evident that a higher MPV value and a lower MPV-to-PLT ratio are indicative of an increased PLT activity and thus more intense inflammation. Although the literature contains extensive data regarding the role of MPV and MPV-to-PLT ratio in chronic inflammatory diseases, data addressing the role of these markers in SWI remain limited.

In our study, we found a higher MPV, lower PLT count, and higher MPV-to-PLT ratio in patients who developed surgical site incisional infections and did not respond to standard care and antimicrobial agents. We suppose that a higher MPV and higher MPV-to-platelet ratio measured preoperatively might indicate a preexisting chronic inflammatory state in addition to the presence of unfavorable risk factors for postoperative wound healing such as smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes. Also, when the primary responsive role of platelets in wound healing was kept in mind, lower levels of platelets in the delayed primary closure group is consistent with previous data. It is also remarkable that in the delayed primary closure group, the high MPV and MPV-to-PLT ratio measured preoperatively continued to be high even 4 weeks following wound healing. With this background in mind, we suggest that a high MPV and MPV/PLT ratio are the indicators of proinflammatory state, may predict poor wound healing following TAH, and may be a warning for postponing the operation until the modifiable risk factors are corrected.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. The present study is a retrospective, single-center study, and it does not have a follow-up period for further complications. MPV values were not recorded serially in the preoperative period, and a single MPV and PLT count were used for statistical analysis. However, given its pivotal role in SWI, this study might constitute a core for future studies in this area.

Conclusion

Increased preoperative MPV values and MPV-to-PLT ratios may predict poor wound healing following TAH. Strict compliance with the guidelines and utilization of less-invasive surgical techniques might be required in these patients.
Modifiable unfavorable risk factors influencing wound healing should also be corrected in these patients before scheduling surgery.
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