Survival and Neurologic Outcomes of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Patients Who Were Transferred after Return of Spontaneous Circulation for Integrated Post-Cardiac Arrest Syndrome Care: The Another Feasibility of the Cardiac Arrest Center
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INTRODUCTION

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) remains as a common public health problem and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality (1). Systematic, post-cardiac arrest care after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) can improve the survival and neurologic outcome of OHCA patients (2, 3). In particular, recent studies have demonstrated that therapeutic hypothermia (TH) is an important resuscitation therapy that improves the rate of survival and relieves unfavorable neurological outcomes in cardiac arrest survivors (2, 4). Despite TH being emphasized, the medical community has been slow to adopt TH in the hospital environment (5, 6). This may be due to some barriers, such as a lack of knowledge about TH, financial barriers, and logistic barriers (6, 7). Thus, it is difficult to perform systematic post-cardiac arrest care including TH in all hospitals. Furthermore, the differences in post cardiac arrest mortality between hospitals have been reported (3, 8). In a well-established hospital setting, post resuscitation care including therapeutic hypothermia can improve outcomes among post-cardiac arrest patients (3, 8, 9).

It has been proven that safety and efficiency of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients is transported to specialized hospitals that have the capability of performing therapeutic hypothermia (TH). However, the outcome of the patients who have been transferred after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) has not been well evaluated. We conducted a retrospective observational study between January 2010 to March 2012. There were primary outcomes as good neurofunctional status at 1 month and the secondary outcomes as the survivals at 1 month between Samsung Medical Center (SMC) group and transferred group. A total of 91 patients were enrolled this study. There was no statistical difference between good neurologic outcomes between both groups (38% transferred group vs. 40.6% SMC group, P = 0.908). There was no statistical difference in 1 month survival between the 2 groups (66% transferred group vs. 75.6% SMC group, P = 0.318).

In the univariate and multivariate models, the ROSC to induction time and the induction time had no association with good neurologic outcomes. The good neurologic outcome and survival at 1 month had no significant differences between the 2 groups. This finding suggests the possibility of integrated post-cardiac arrest care for OHCA patients who are transferred from other hospitals after ROSC in the cardiac arrest center.
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Therefore, it has been suggested that the resuscitation center could provide regional post cardiac-arrest care (9-13). Recently, some studies have proven that the transported distance and time did not affect the outcomes of OHCA patients (10-12). However, these studies have focused on the transport of OHCA patients from the field to the hospital. There was a study to evaluate the outcomes of OHCA patients who have been transported to a well-organized regional center after ROSC (14). This study showed that the outcome of inter-hospital transported patients with pre-transferred cooling did not differ from patients who had directly visited the regional center. However, a delay in the initiation of cooling was associated with an increased risk of death.

Despite pre-transferred TH has potential benefit (14), induction of prehospital TH after ROSC in OHCA is not widely used due to a lack of effective equipment, manpower, and specific guidelines (15). Therefore, most resuscitated patients start TH after their arrival at the hospital (15).

For this reason, we hypothesized that the patients transferred after ROSC would have delayed initiation of cooling, which would produce poor outcome in the transferred patients compared to...
directly visited patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the outcome differences between transferred group and the Samsung Medical Center (SMC) group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted protocol-based therapeutic hypothermia on post cardiac arrest syndrome patients since January 2010. For this study, we collected data retrospectively from the Samsung Medical Center (SMC; A 1,960 bed, university-affiliated, tertiary referral hospital in Seoul, Korea) hypothermia database of cardiac arrest between January 2010 to March 2012. Samsung Medical Center has been providing care in about 100 cases of OHCA annually directly from the emergency medical service and about 30 cases of transferred OHCA patient after ROSC annually. The primary outcome was the neurologic outcome and the secondary outcome was the survival at 1 month after cardiac arrest.

The SMC therapeutic hypothermia protocol was composed of 5 parts - enrollment, induction of hypothermia, maintenance, rewarming, and normothermia. We considered therapeutic hypothermia for all patients of out-of-hospital (OHCA) and in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA), who were unresponsive after ROSC regardless of the inter-hospital transfer. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) The cause of arrest is sepsis, progression of malignancy, trauma, and hemorrhagic shock; 2) The expected survival time was less than 3 months before the cardiac arrest; 3) The functional performance scale was < 3 (16); 4) Major Operation (head, chest, abdomen and vascular) occurred within 7 days; 5) Over 12 hr have passed after ROSC; 6) A cardiac arrest was not witnessed and the time of occurrence could not be estimated; 7) Intracranial hemorrhage of the brain was observed on non-contrast computerized tomography (CT); and 8) The patient is pregnant.

The following parameters were collected by conventional treatment before induction of hypothermia: mean arterial pressure of 60 mmHg, peripheral oxygen saturation of less than 85%, and coagulopathy (prothrombin time > 3 International normalized ratio [INR], platelet counts < 5 × 10⁹/L, activated partial thromboplastin time [aPTT] < 80 sec).

The hypothermia induction was started by 4°C cold saline infusion (about 30 mL/kg) for over 30 min. After esophageal temperature probe insertion, we used the commercial temperature regulation system of hydrogel pad (Artic Sun®; Medivance Corp, Louisville, CO, USA). If the commercial device was not available, we used the conventional methods like the commercial cold blanket, 4°C cold saline bladder irrigation, and traditional ice packs placed on the groin, armpits, around the neck and the head. The target temperature of the induction was 33.5°C. The maintenance lasted for 24 hr. During the maintenance period, the temperature was maintained within 33 ± 0.5°C. After the maintenance period, the patient was rewarmed to 36.5°C with 0.15°C/hr on Arctic Sun® and with less than 0.25°C/hr on cooling blanket. During the period of normothermia, after the temperature had reached 36.5°C, we maintained the temperature at less than 37.5°C until 72 hr after ROSC.

During the hypothermia therapy, we used continuous intravenous midazolam for sedation (start 2 mg/hr; maximum 5 mg/hr), continuous intravenous fentanyl (100 µg/hr) for pain control, and intermittent intravenous pethidine (25 mg; maximum 100 mg/day) for shivering control. The midazolam and fentanyl were tapered during the rewarming period and stopped fully until the normothermia period. The neuromuscular block agents were used based on the decision of the attending physicians.

The hemodynamic optimization was performed based on the early goal-directed therapy (EGDT) for sepsis (17). The hemodynamic optimization target was as follows: central venous pressure (CVP) ≥ 12 mmHg, mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥ 75 mmHg, peripheral oxygen saturation (SaO₂) > 94%, and PaCO₂ > 40 mmHg. For targeting, we used dopamine as first line vasopressor (start 10 µg/kg/min; maximum 30 µg/kg/min) and norepinephrine (start 5 µg/min; maximum) as second line. Since then, the use of vasopressin, intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP), or percutaneous cardiopulmonary support (PCPS) device was decided by attending physicians.

If the first electrocardiography (ECG) after ROSC had ST segment elevation or left bundle branch block, or the cause of arrest was suspected to be myocardial infarction, an emergency coronary angiography (CAG) was performed on the patient. The CAG and hypothermia therapy were performed at the same time. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was performed if required. If the first CAG was normal, a secondary CAG was performed for identification of coronary spasm after the completion of therapeutic hypothermia. SMC emergency CAG protocols regulated door to balloon time within 90 min for MI patients, but not for OHCA patients. Thus, we defined the emergency CAG as within 6 hr after cardiac arrest.

The neurological assessment was conducted 30 days after cardiac arrest in hospitalization or during follow-up for outpatients. We used the cerebral performance category (CPC) score, which grades the level of neurofunctional status after cardiac arrest as follows: CPC 1 is good; CPC 2 is moderate disability; CPC 3 is severe disability; CPC 4 is comatose or vegetative state; and CPC 5 is death (16). If the patients were transferred out to rehabilitation hospitals, we collected the information from his or her attending physicians by phone.

The data collection

SMC hypothermia database contained the following hypothermia treatment information using standardized case forms following the Utstein style (18): Initial tympanic temperature time, cooling method, and sequential organ fail-
Statistical analysis

To compare both groups, we used the chi-square test for nominal variable, t-test for continuous variable with normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables without normal distribution. In addition, we used the log-rank test for survival of both groups. To analyze the relationships between the ROSC to induction time, the induction time, and good neurologic outcomes, we categorized the time variables to quartile format and used multivariable logistic model with the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test.

For statistical analysis, we used STATA 11.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and considered P value of less than 0.05 as statistically significant difference. In this study, we described the nominal data as number of case with percentage, the continuous variable with normal distribution as mean ± standard deviation, the continuous variable without normal distribution as a median with an inter quartile range, and the odds ratio as odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval.

Ethics statement

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of Samsung Medical Center (IRB No. 2012-05-013-001). Informed consent was waived by the board.

RESULTS

During the study period, therapeutic hypothermia was performed on a total of 107 patients. Among these, 15 IHCA patients were excluded. In addition, one patient transferred after the hypothermia induction was excluded. Finally, a total of 91 patients were enrolled in this study; 41 patients (SMC group) visited SMC directly after their cardiac arrest, and 50 patients (transferred group) were transferred from other hospitals to SMC after ROSC.

Figure 1. Diagram of defined time variables for both groups. CPR, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ROSC, Return of spontaneous circulation; ED, Emergency department; SMC, Samsung medical center; BT, Body temperature.
Table 2. Therapeutic hypothermia and treatment process of both groups

| Therapy process                        | Transferred group (n = 50) | SMC group (n = 41) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Initial tympanic temperature at induction (°C) | 36.0 ± 1.4                 | 35.1 ± 1.0        | < 0.001 |
| Cooling method                         |                             |                   |         |
| Hydrogel pad                           | 36 (72.0)                   | 27 (65.8)         | 0.527   |
| Conventional method                    | 14 (34.2)                   | 14 (28.0)         |         |
| ROSC to induction time (median, min)   | 220 (IQR 139-336)          | 72 (IQR 43-100)   | < 0.001 |
| Stay time (median, min)                | 77 (IQR 31-176)            |                   |         |
| Transfer time (median, min)            | 24 (IQR 17-54)             |                   |         |
| Enrollment time (median, min)          | 75 (IQR 24-110)            |                   |         |
| Induction time (min)                   | 195.9 ± 101.5              | 168.1 ± 111.8     | 0.212   |
| Emergency CAG                          |                             |                   |         |
| > 50% of stenosis                      | 22 (44.0)                  | 20 (48.8)         | 0.649   |
| Spasm                                  | 7 (14.0)                   | 9 (21.9)          | 0.746   |
| < 50% stenosis and no spasm            | 7 (14.0)                   | 9 (21.9)          |         |
| IABP                                   | 2 (4.9)                    | 4 (6.6)           |         |
| PCPS                                   | 1 (2.0)                    | 6 (15.6)          | 0.024   |
| Status epilepticus in EEG              | 11 (22.0)                  | 14 (34.2)         | 0.197   |

ROSC, Return of spontaneous circulation; IQR, Interquartile range; CAG, Coronary angiography; IABP, Intra-aortic balloon pump; PCPS, Percutaneous cardiopulmonary support; EEG, Electroencephalography.

Table 3. Primary and secondary outcomes of both groups

| Outcomes                             | Transferred group (n = 50) | SMC group (n = 41) | P value |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| CPC score at 1 month (%)             |                             |                   | 0.908   |
| 1 or 2                               | 19 (38.0)                   | 17 (40.6)         |         |
| 3                                    | 4 (8.0)                     | 6 (14.6)          |         |
| 4                                    | 11 (22.0)                   | 6 (14.6)          |         |
| 5                                    | 16 (32.0)                   | 11 (26.8)         |         |
| Survival at 1 month (%)              | 33 (66.0)                   | 31 (75.6)         | 0.318   |

CPC, cerebral performance category.

There were no statistical differences in age, gender, underlying disease, and cause of arrest between both groups. The no-flow time of transferred group was slightly longer than that of SMC group. However, there was no statistically significant difference (Table 1). The individual organ failure rate had no significant difference between both groups. In addition, the total SOFA score except for GCS had no significant difference (Table 1).

The ROSC to induction time of transferred group was longer than that of the SMC group with statistical significance. However, there was no difference in enrollment time between both groups. The initial tympanic temperature at induction of the transferred group was higher with statistical significance than that of SMC group. The induction time of the transferred group was longer than that of the SMC group. However, it was not statistically significant (Table 2). The emergency CAG rate and CAG results were similar in both groups. The application of PCPS was greater in the SMC group (Table 2).

There was no statistical difference in good neurologic outcomes between the two groups (38% in transferred group vs. 40.6% in SMC group) (Table 3). The 1 month survivals had no statistical difference in the Log rank test in both groups (P = 0.333) (Fig. 2).

The linear regression model showed that the initial tympanic temperature was positively associated with ROSC to induction time in transferred group (standardized beta coefficients 0.49, P < 0.001) and in SMC group, standardized beta coefficients 0.12, P = 0.438) (Fig. 3).
In the univariate model, the ROSC to induction time and the induction time had no association with the good neurologic outcome. In addition, we made adjustments in the multivariate model for the following variables: age, gender, no-flow time, low-flow time, AED shock, initial rhythms, and cause of arrest. There was no statistical association between these variables (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that there were no significant differences in hospital mortality and neurologic outcome between the patients transferred from other hospital after ROSC and the non-transferred patients in OHCA. Furthermore, this study provided additional evidence that inter-hospital transfer for TH in OHCA patient after ROSC can be achieved in the real world.

Recent studies have evaluated the patients with ROSC or without ROSC transported to the specialized hospitals, which had large volume and were well-organized for post resuscitation care that could improve the patients’ survival rate (10, 12, 20) and neurologic outcome (9). The results emphasized that transportation interval or distance did not appear to influence on the outcome in OHCA patients. These studies suggested the efficiency and feasibility of transporting patients from the field to a specialized hospital (9-12, 20).

However, there are few studies on inter-hospital transport system for TH patients. We evaluated distance and transport interval between the referring hospital and SMC. Mean transferred distance was 39.1 ± 45.7 km (4.1-227.0 km) and median transfer time was 24 (IQR 17-54) min. Transfer time and distance were shorter than the other studies (14). This might have been induced by geographical difference and the differential distribution of the transferred hospital. To clearly demonstrate the effect of inter-hospital transfer distance and time in OHCA, further study will be needed.

Application of PCPS was significantly higher in SMC group. PCPS has been reported that difficult procedures were required and that affording specialized staff was costly (21). Therefore, it is difficult to apply PCPS in all hospitals, and these barriers induced difference in application of PCPS between both groups. Also, this procedure was applied in refractory cardiac arrest (22). Although initial SOFA score and other factors did not differ significantly between transferred group and SMC group, it is possible that patients of transferred group were more stable than patients of SMC group. This problem could have affected on the number of PCPS insertion.

We found that the initial body temperature was significantly higher in transferred group than in SMC group. We presumed that patients in transferred group, who were transported from other hospitals, were not initiated with TH. On the other hand, we applied TH protocol as soon as possible to comatose survivors of OHCA. So, the body temperature of the transferred group was higher than the SMC group. Mooney et al. also reported that there was no difference in survival and neurologic outcome between transferred group and not-transferred group (14). However, this study started TH during transferring to the receiving hospital. So, it is difficult to evaluate the effect of initiating or not initiating TH during inter-hospital transport. Providing education about TH and post-resuscitation care could improve the outcomes of OHCA (13, 14). However, if referral hospital could not perform TH, our data could provide the evidence for suggesting the possibility of inter-hospital transport without pre-hospital TH, which was performed before arriving at the receiving hospital.

The benefits of early initiation of TH have been proved in animal models (23, 24). The studies in humans have been controversial for early induction time of TH. Wolff et al. (25) recommended prompt achievement of core temperature by endovascular cooling for improved neurologic outcomes. Another study demonstrated the association between time to cooling and mortality. They have been proved that 1 hr delay in time to cooling could increase 20% in risk of death (13). On the other hand, early initiation of therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest could increase mortality (26). Nielsen et al. (27) showed that there was no association between time of initiation of TH and neurologic

### Table 4. Odds ratio of good neurologic outcomes in a logistic regression model

| Variables                      | CPC 1 or 2 (No.) | Univariate model | Multivariate model |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Age                           | 0.98 (0.95-1.00) | 0.93 (0.89-0.99) |
| Gender                        |                  |                 |                    |
| Male (n = 55)                 | 24               | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| Female (n = 36)               | 12               | 1.54 (0.64-3.71) blown in. |
| No flow time                  | 0.85 (0.78-0.92) | 0.85 (0.74-0.97) |                    |
| Low flow time                 | 0.98 (0.95-1.00) | 0.93 (0.89-0.97) |                    |
| AED shock                     |                  |                 |                    |
| No (n = 54)                   | 12               | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| Yes (n = 37)                  | 24               | 6.46 (2.54-16.39) blown in. |
| Shockable rhythm              |                  |                 |                    |
| No (n = 51)                   | 11               | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| Yes (n = 40)                  | 25               | 6.06 (2.40-15.27) blown in. |
| Arrest cause                  |                  |                 |                    |
| Cardiac (n = 63)              | 35               | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| Respiratory (n = 27)          | 1                | 0.04 (0.00-0.24) blown in. |
| Other (9/1)                   | 0                | -               | -                  |
| ROSC to induction time        |                  |                 |                    |
| < 63 (n = 23)                 | 10               | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| 64-132 (n = 23)               | 9                | 0.83 (0.26-2.71) blown in. |
| 133-250 (n = 23)              | 8                | 0.69 (0.21-2.27) blown in. |
| > 251 (n = 22)                | 9                | 0.90 (0.27-2.94) blown in. |
| Induction time                |                  |                 |                    |
| < 100 (n = 23)                | 8                | Ref.            | Ref.               |
| 101-170 (n = 23)              | 8                | 1.0 (0.29-3.36) blown in. |
| 171-250 (n = 23)              | 12               | 2.01 (0.62-6.69) blown in. |
| > 251 (n = 22)                | 8                | 1.07 (0.31-3.63) blown in. |

CPC, cerebral performance category; AED, automated external defibrillator; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.
outcomes. Our studies agreed on this result. Although transferr
ed group took more times to initiate TH, we could not find any
difference in neurologic outcome between transferred group
and SMC group.
In addition, we analyzed this time interval. The time interval
from ROSC to induction time of TH consisted of staying time at
the other hospital, transfer time, and enrollment time. There
fore, median interval from ROSC to induction time of TH was
significantly longer in transferred group. However, there were
no difference in enrollment time in both groups, and this en-
rollment time was similar or shorter than other studies (13, 27).

Hartke et al. (28) studied feasibility of transporting post-car-
diac arrest patients to other hospital, by evaluating the rate of
re-arrest and the rate of critical events. They used highly trained
critical care transport teams (CCTT) for transporting post-car-
diac arrest patients. They showed that repeat cardiac arrest oc-
curred infrequently, and the most critical events occurred dur-
ing the first 60 min via CCTT. Because our study patients were
transported from referral hospital to SMC by emergency medici
al technicians (EMTs) or doctors of the other hospitals, we do
not have detailed information on critical events and re-arrest
during the transport. However, we were able to obtain major
information about the patients, from EMTs or doctors of refer-
ral hospitals. To our knowledge, there were no patients who died
during the transport to the SMC. However, it might still be pos
sible that some patients were transferred to the other hospital
due to cardiac arrest or death during the transfer. More investiga
tions may be needed on the safety of inter-hospital transport
of OHCA patients.
We found that short no-flow time (adjusted OR, 0.85; 95% CI,
0.74-0.97) and short low-flow time (adjusted OR, 0.93; 95% CI,
0.89-0.97) could improve the neurologic outcome. This result
was consistent with past studies (9, 14, 27). Recent study found
that the survival group had longer time to target temperature
than the non-survival group (29). On the other hand, shorten
ing the delay from ROSC to hypothermic target temperature
may significantly improve survival (30); and this issue is still be
ing debated. We did not find any correlation between ROSC to
induction time or induction time and good neurologic outcome.
These results should be interpreted with caution, because early
start of TH is important and the physiological processes of neu
roprotection effect of early initiation of cooling have been prov
en by previous studies (2, 13, 23, 24, 30). Therefore, we could not
interpret our results as evidence of relationship between delay
ed cooling and good neurologic outcome. However, we could
suggest that the neurologic outcome of the transferred patients,
who were referred from the hospital where TH was impossible,
was no different compared to non-transferred patients. It is im
portant that post cardiac arrest patients need TH transfer to re-
suscitation center for improving neurologic outcome.
This observational study has several limitations. First, this is a
single-centered study in Korea. The inter-hospital transport sys
tem in Korea is under rapid development, but TH has not been
widely adopted in Korean medical environment. Therefore, dif
ference in geographical characteristics, hospital settings, and
hospital transport system might be difficult to be compared with
other institutions. Second, our study was retrospective observa
tional study, so selection bias could have influenced the signifi
ance of our results. As mentioned above, the number of PCPS
insertions was higher in SMC group. Also, we could not include
patients who died or had cardiac arrest during transferring to
SMC. These factors might have been influenced as selection
bias in the result. In addition, we were unable to fully adjust for
the effects of unobserved biases. Additionally, the small study
population might have affected our result.
The hypothermia inductions of transferred patients after RO
SC were delayed compared with that of directly visited patients.
The good neurologic outcome and survival at 1 month had no
significant differences between both groups. This finding sug
ests the possibility of integrated post-cardiac arrest care for OH
CA patients who are transferred from other hospitals after ROSC
in the cardiac arrest center.
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