Exosomal PD-L1 induces osteogenic differentiation and promotes fracture healing by acting as an immunosuppressant
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A moderate inflammatory response at the early stages of fracture healing is necessary for callus formation. Over-active and continuous inflammation, however, impairs fracture healing and leads to excessive tissue damage. Adequate fracture healing could be promoted through suppression of local over-active immune cells in the fracture site. In the present study, we achieved an enriched concentration of PD-L1 from exosomes (Exos) of a genetically engineered Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cell (HUVECs), and demonstrated that exosomes overexpressing PD-L1 specifically bind to PD-1 on the T cell surface, suppressing the activation of T cells. Furthermore, exosomal PD-L1 induced Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) towards osteogenic differentiation when pre-cultured with T cells. Moreover, embedding of Exos into an injectable hydrogel allowed Exos delivery to the surrounding microenvironment in a time-released manner. Additionally, exosomal PD-L1, embedded in a hydrogel, markedly promoted callus formation and fracture healing in a murine model at the early over-active inflammation phase. Importantly, our results suggested that activation of T cells in the peripheral lymphatic tissues was inhibited after local administration of PD-L1-enriched Exos to the fracture sites, while T cells in distant immune organs such as the spleen were not affected. In summary, this study provides the first example of using PD-L1-enriched Exos for bone fracture repair, and highlights the potential of Hydrogel@Exos systems for bone fracture therapy through immune inhibitory effects.

1. Introduction

Bone fracture healing is a complicated repair process, with impaired healing having a significant impact on quality of life. With 5–10% of bone fracture patients suffering from delayed healing or non-union, this is a severe global public health issue that needs to be addressed [1–5]. Although a variety of advanced therapeutic strategies for bone non-union have been developed, the benefits are not as extensive as expected [4, 5]. At the early stages of bone fracture healing, inflammatory cells and immune cells recruited from neighboring areas or the circulation are gathered at the fracture site. The complement, coagulation components and cytokines produced by these cells help eliminate...
Studies have reported that Exos perform multiple roles in tissue regeneration, mediating the development of pathological tissue regeneration [13]. Prior studies have reported the potential mechanisms of Exos in the inhibition of an overactive immune response [14]. The ability of Exos to suppress the function of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and natural killer cells (NKs), hinder the maturation of dendritic cells (DCs), and promote the activity of regulatory T cells (Tregs) is well documented [15–17]. Thus, Exos have great potential to act as effective factors in the regulation of the immune response and tissue regeneration.

Promoting the proliferation of antigen-specific T cells is a reaction of the immune system against foreign antigens that accumulate in the lymph nodes or spleen [18]. Programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is a protein which can cross the cell membrane [19]. PD-L1, as the ligand of Programmed Cell Death Receptor-1 (PD-1), specifically binds to PD-1 and transmits inhibitory signal transduction to suppress the T cells proliferation [20, 21]. The expression of PD-L1 is triggered by pro-inflammatory factors in various cells, which could be specifically coupled to the PD-1 of T lymphocytes, suppressing the immune recognition function of T lymphocytes [22]. Consequently, PD-L1 may be a promising target in conditions related to an overactive immune response. Interestingly, recent research has suggested that HUVECs can inhibit the Tregs activation via modulation of PD-L1 expression [23]. Exos derived from HUVECs have the potential to induce bone remodeling in a bone-targeted manner [24]. Furthermore, a recent study has shown that HUVEC-derived exosomes with high expression of PDL1 can effectively inhibit the inflammatory response at the wound [25]. However, few studies have focused on the role of PD-L1 in fracture repair. Thus, we set out to investigate if a high concentration of PD-L1 can be obtained from Exos from genetically engineered HUVECs, and whether exosomal PD-L1 is able to modulate the inflammatory and immune response in order to provide adequate immune homeostasis during bone remodeling.

In the present study, Exosomal PD-L1 was obtained from genetically engineered HUVECs, which could overexpress PD-L1. Our results suggested that exosomal PD-L1 was capable of inhibiting T cell proliferation, and consequently induced MSCs towards osteogenic differentiation in vitro, and promoted fracture healing in vivo. Furthermore, our results suggested that sustained release of exosomal PD-L1 from the hydrogel led to decrease of CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte in nearby peripheral lymph nodes, but not CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte in spleen of the murine fracture model during the inflammatory phase. Our results indicate a new immuno-suppressive effect of exosomal PD-L1 and offer the first example of using exosome PD-L1 and PD-L1<sup>+</sup> Exosomes for bone regeneration (Scheme 1).

**Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of therapeutic process for fracture healing by using exosomal PD-L1.** The Exos with high concentration of PD-L1 is slowly released from the HA/Gel hydrogel, binds to PD-L1 on the T cell membrane at fracture site and triggers the immune checkpoint mechanism, and thereby suppressing over-active inflammation and promoting fracture healing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of oxidized hyaluronic acid (HA-CHO)

First, 2 g of Sodium hyaluronate (Shandong Focusfreda Biotech Co., China) was dissolved in 200 mL deionized water (DI water). The solution was stirred for 24 h in the dark after addition of 1.2 g of NaOH (Aladdin, China). The resulting solution was purified by dialysis (MWCO: 8–14 kDa) against DI water for four days. In the end, the solution was lyophilized for three days and kept in a desiccator under 4 °C until use.

2.2. Synthesis of hydrazide grafted gelatin(Gel-ADH)

First, 2 g gelatin was dissolved in 200 mL Morpholine ethanesulfonic acid (MES, J&K Scientific) solution (0.5 w/v%, pH 6.5). Then, 2.3012 g of Adipic acid dihydrazide (ADH, J&K Scientific) was added to the gelatin solution and stirred at 37 °C for 2 h. After returning to room temperature, 0.5066 g of N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethyl-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, J&K Scientific) and 0.357 g of 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT, J&K Scientific) was added to the solution and stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The resulting solution was purified by dialysis (MWCO 8–14 kDa) against DI water for five days. Then, the dialyzed solution was freeze dried under vacuum to obtain yield purified product Gel-ADH.

2.3. Fabrication of the injectable HA/Gel hydrogel

The HA/Gel hydrogel was prepared via one-step equal volume mixing between PBS solution of HA-CHO and Gel-ADH. During the experiments, the final concentration of Gel-ADH was fixed in HA/Gel hydrogel as 16 wt%, while adjusting the final concentration of HA-CHO in hydrogel as 4 wt%, 6 wt%, and 8 wt%.

2.4. Morphology characterization

The prepared HA/Gel hydrogel was rapidly freeze with liquid nitrogen to fix its morphology before lyophilization. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to cross section the HA/Gel hydrogel after uniform gold spraying.

2.5. Swelling ratio test

The swelling ratios of the developed hydrogel (n = 3) were determined gravimetrically. The prepared HA/Gel hydrogels were immersed in PBS (pH 7.2). After 24 h, the swelled hydrogels were collected to be weighted after wiping with filter paper to remove excess liquid on the sample surface and the weight was recorded as $W_d$. After this, the collected hydrogel samples were dried in a dryer for 24 h and weighed again and the weight was recorded as $W_d$. The swelling ratios were calculated using the following equation: Swelling ratio (%) = ($W_f - W_d$)/$W_d$ × 100.

2.6. Rheological characterization

Both the rheological time sweep and shear thinning test of the HA/Gel hydrogels was carried out with TA Discovery-2 using 20 mm diameter plates at a 3 mm gap size at 37 °C. For the time sweep test, the
strain and frequency were fixed at 1% and 1 Hz, respectively. As for the shear thinning test, the samples were sheared for 4 cycles of low (1%, 120s) – high (200%, 120s) strain under a frequency of 1 Hz.

2.7. Adhesive strength test

The adhesion property of HA/Gel hydrogels was measured by using a universal mechanics testing machine equipped with a 30 N load cell. In brief two pieces of fresh pigskins, glass or titanium were glued with 100 mL HA/Gel hydrogel and the contact area was fixed as 25 mm × 10 mm. For the whole lap shear testing, the tensile rate was kept as 0.2 mm/s.

2.8. In vitro degradation

Briefly, HA/Gel hydrogels with known weight (W0) were soaked in three different solutions: (1) pure PBS; (2) PBS solution of 0.0025% trypsin; and (3) PBS solution of 0.01% trypsin (n = 3). At definite time points (30, 60, 90, 120 min), the weight of the HA/Gel hydrogel was recorded as Wt. The degradation rate (DR) curve was calculated using the following equation: \( DR(\%) = \frac{(W_0 - W_t)}{W_0} \times 100\% \).

2.9. HUVEC culture and transfection

HUVECs were incubated with RPMI 1640, which containing 10% exosome-depleted FBS. Lipofectamine 3000 was applied for cell transfection according to the instructions. HUVECs were cultured with 95% humidity and 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The PD-L1 plasmid and PD-L1 siRNA were constructs from GenePharma (Shanghai). The cells expressing PD-L1 were transfected with PD-L1 plasmid (GenePharma, Shanghai). Likewise, PD-L1 in cells were detected by western blotting. A large number of HUVECs were transfected with the PD-L1 plasmid or siRNA, and the cell supernatant was collected within 48 h after successful transfection for the extraction of exosomes. This was to minimize reduction of the siRNA or plasmid.

2.10. Exos isolation and identification

Cell supernatant was collected into centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 3000 × g for 15 min. Centrifuged again to remove remaining cell fragments. The supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for half an hour, followed by ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g for 70 min. Exos were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for three times, then ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g for another 70 min. The Exos were then re-suspended in PBS and filtered with a 0.2 μm filter. Next, Exos were ultrafiltered with a 15 mL Centrifugal Filter at 4 000 g for 30 min, and then submitted to the copper grid, which were stained with 3% uranyl acetate. PD-L1-overexpressing exosomes (HUVEC–PD-L1) were detected by western blotting using a specific antibody for PD-L1 (Abcam, UK). Blots were incubated with secondary antibodies at 4 °C for 4 h, conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP, Aspen). At the end, the proteins were observed by chemiluminescence examination system.

2.11. Western blot

The cell samples were lysed by buffer containing 1% protease inhibitor (Aspen). The SDS-PAGE-separated protein samples were then transferred to NC membranes (Millipore). Afterwards, NC membranes blocked with 5% skim milk and then incubated at 4 °C overnight with homologous primary antibodies for collagen I, ALP, OCN, and β-actin (Abcam, UK). Blots were incubated with secondary antibodies at 4 °C for 4 h, conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP, Aspen). At the end, the proteins were observed by chemiluminescence examination system.

2.12. qRT-PCR analysis

TRIZol® was applied to extract the total RNA from cells and callus. RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA with HiScript III RT SuperMix (Vazyme, China), and quantified using 2x SYBR Green qPCR Mix. Relative mRNA expression folding alteration was calculated with the 2–ΔΔCt approach and the primer sequences are as follow: Human PD-L1, forward, TCCACTAACTGGCTCAAT, reverse, GAAGACCTCACA-GACTCAA; Human β-actin, forward, CCACACATGCCCATTACT, reverse, AGGATCTCATGGAGGATGCTAGC; Mouse TNF-α, forward, CATCCTTGGAGTGTACGTTGA, reverse, GCTTCACTCACTACATCTCAT; Mouse IL-6, forward, CTCCTGTCTGTGGAGTACC, reverse, ACTCTTCTGTTGACCTCCAGG; Mouse Granzyme B, forward, TCCTGACCTACATGGCCTTA, reverse, TCTGTTCCTTGTAGGTTGG; Mouse TNF-α, forward, GGCCTGTATTCCCCTCACTG, reverse, CCAGTTGGTAAACATGCGCATGT.

2.13. MSCs culture and transfection

MSCs were extracted from healthy donor’s marrow. The extracted cells were stimulated and cultured in the specific media for mesenchymal stem cells (#MUXMA-90021, Cyagen) at 37 °C. Cells were cultured and used for the in vitro assay in three passages.

2.14. Alizarin red staining

MSCs were continuously cultured in 24-well plates in an osteogenic medium for 21 days to induce osteogenesis. MSCs were washed three times with PBS, after which 10% tissue fixative was used to fix the cells. Then, 0.5% alizarin red staining dye was applied to stain cells. And the red mineralized nodules were observed using a charge-coupled device microscope and the absorbance was evaluated at 570 nm.

2.15. ALP staining

An ALP staining kit was used for MSCs staining. MSCs were washed twice, and 10% tissue fixative was used to fix the cells. BCIP/NBT substrate was applied to incubate cells for 24 h. Colorimetric alteration was calculated with a charge-coupled microscope and absorbance was evaluated at 405 nm.

2.16. Immunoassaying

To visualize PD-L1 on the cell membrane or on exosomes, the GFP–PD-L1 encoding plasmid (Genechem, Shanghai) was transfected into HEK 293T cells for 6 h. PD-L1 on the cell membrane was labelled with green fluorescent. PD-L1-overexpressing exosomes (HUVEC–PD-L1/GFP, 50 μg/ml) were stained by PKH26 and excess dye was removed. Cells were cultured with PKH26-labelled Exos, followed by seeded on the top of glass coverslips. Images were collected using a confocal microscope with multiple objectives.

2.17. Lymphocyte isolation and proliferation

Lymphocytes were harvested from the C57BL/6 mouse’s spleen. Firstly, the spleen was obtained under aseptic conditions, and placed on a 75 μm filter. The spleen was minced using the bottom end of a sterile syringe while simultaneously washing with PBS. The washed suspended cells were collected in a centrifuge tube. After centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 10 min, cells were resuspended in 3 ml red blood cell lysis solution, and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. After being centrifuged again, cells were washed three times with PBS. Finally, the cells were resuspended in a 1640 medium and transferred to a culture flask. After 24 h of culture, lymphocytes were obtained. Lymphocyte (1 × 10^6) were stained with carboxyfluorescin succinimidyl ester (CFSE, BioLegend) (5 mM). Lymphocyte were cultured with Exos (100 μg/ml)
extracted from HUVECs, HUVEC^{PD−L1−} cells, and HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-} cells for 4 days, respectively. As a contrast, lymphocytes were also incubated with HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-}@Exos-PD−L1− (100 µg/ml). Lymphocytes were stained with CFSE and incubated with 1640 culture medium for 4 days as control groups (PBS). Meanwhile, phorbol ester (PMA, 10 ng/mL) was added to the culture medium of each group, in order to stimulate lymphocyte proliferation. The proliferation of lymphocyte was assessed by flow cytometry (FCM) analysis, and T cells were labelled with antibodies of PE anti-mouse CD3 (BioLegend) to observe the proliferation of T cells.

2.18. In vitro cytotoxicity

The Calcein-AM/PI double staining kit (Sigma-Aldrich) was applied to evaluate the viability of hBMSCs seeded on hydrogels for 96 h. Fluorescence images were acquired using a microscope (IX53, Olympus, Japan).

2.19. Exos uptake by MSCs in hydrogel condition

Exos in PBS were stained with PKH26 (#MINI26-1 KT, Sigma, MO, USA). 20% HA/GEL hydrogel-containing PKH26-stained Exos (50 µg/ml) were incubated for 10 min, followed by adding to the MSCs layer. In the negative control groups, same volume of serum-free medium was used and incubated at 37 °C for 1, 4, 8, 24 and 36 h. After three washing steps, cells were stained with 4% paraformaldehyde, and the nuclei were stained with DAPI at 0.5 µg/mL for 15 min (blue), and images were captured using confocal microscopy.

2.20. Co-immunoprecipitation

After incubation with exosomes, HEK-293T cells were lysed with HC buffer (150 mM NaCl; 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5; 1% NP40; 1.0 mM EDTA and protease inhibitors) and incubated on ice for 30 min. Then lysates were centrifuged at 14000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was pre-cleaned when pPD-L1 plasmid (HUVEC-PD−L1-) or PD-L1 siRNA (HUVEC-PD−L1−/-) were firstly stained with CFSE and incubated with 1640 culture medium for 4 days, respectively. As a contrast, lymphocytes were also incubated with 1640 culture medium for 4 days as control groups (PBS). Meanwhile, phorbol ester (PMA, 10 ng/mL) was added to the culture medium of each group, in order to stimulate lymphocyte proliferation. The proliferation of lymphocyte was assessed by flow cytometry (FCM) analysis, and T cells were labelled with antibodies of PE anti-mouse CD3 (BioLegend) to observe the proliferation of T cells.

2.21. Mice fracture model

All animal experiments have obtained consent from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST). Pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg) was utilized (i.p.) to anesthetize mice, then the femoral fracture model was constructed as previously reported [26]. The femur was break using a diamond disk to achieve a mid-diaphysis fracture line, and a 25-gauge intramedullary needle was inserted into the medullary cavity to provide adequate stability for the fractured bone.

2.22. Animal administration

The groups were treated with HA/Gel hydrogel alone (Control) or HA/Gel hydrogel containing HUVEC^{PD−L1−}, HA/Gel hydrogel containing HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-}@Exos (HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-}@Exos groups) injected to the fracture sites over the 21 days. Animals were treated every three days from the third day post-injury. On day seven, half of the mice were sacrificed and the formed callus, spleen and lymph nodes were collected for further analysis. The remaining mice were sacrificed and the bone tissue collected at day 21 post injury. At the same time, the blood and organ were collected for the comprehensive safety evaluation.

2.23. X-rays of the fracture mice

On days 5, 9, and 14 post injury, mice were detected by X rays with an In-Vivo FX PRO imaging system (BRUKER, Karlsruhe, Germany).

2.24. Micro-computer tomography (Micro-CT) examination

This process was performed as a prior study [25]. Briefly, the micro-CT system (BRUKER 1276, Karlsruhe) was taken to capture pictures of femur fracture. The own software was applied to evaluate segmentation, construct 3-dimensional morphometric pictures, and analyze related parameters, including total volume (TV), bone volume (BV), BV/TV, bone mineral density (BMD).

2.25. Histological analysis

Following micro-CT analysis, femurs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and demineralized for two weeks. 7 µm paraffin-embedded tissue samples were subjected to Alcian Blue/hematoxylin-eosin (HE)/orange G staining as previously described [27].

2.26. FCM analysis

To calculate the CD8 (+) and CD4 (+) T cell ratio in the lymph nodes and mouse spleen during fracture repair, the lymph nodes and spleen were collected in a sterile environment and gilter on a 0.75-µm sieve. Cells were cultured by antibodies of APC anti-mouse CD4, CD8, and CD3 and finally evaluated using FCM analysis.

2.27. Statistical analysis

Data were displayed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test (two groups) or ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test (over two groups). Significance was considered when p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. PD-L1 enriched in HUVEC^{PD−L1−} Exos

To verify whether overexpression or knockout of PD-L1 in HUVECs affects the secretion of PD-L1 in Exos, we transfected the HUVECs with PD-L1 plasmid (HUVEC^{PD−L1+}) or PD-L1 siRNA (HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-}) to obtain exosomes. The DLS analysis indicated that Exos from HUVECs, HUVEC^{PD−L1+}, and HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-} have a similar size distribution (40–150 nm) (Fig. 1A). Next, the TEM results showed that the obtained nanosized vesicles were membrane-bounded and round-shaped (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, we purified Exos from the constructed cells and tested the presence of PD-L1 protein and exosomal markers CD81 and TSG 101 in these Exos (Fig. 1C). Moreover, the qRT-PCR results suggested a marked increase of PD-L1 level in HUVEC^{PD−L1+} and a marked decrease of PD-L1 level in HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-} compared to the non-treated HUVECs (Fig. 1D).

3.2. Exosomal PD-L1 suppressed the activation of T cells, enhanced osteogenic differentiation

To check if PD-L1-overexpressing Exos were capable of interacting with PD-L1 on the cell surface, HUVEC^{PD−L1−@Exos} were firstly stained with PKH26 dye to show the existence as small-red dots. Expectably, colocalized of pre-stained HUVEC^{PD−L1−/-@Exos} and exogenous GFP-PD-1 on the cell surface of HEK 293T cells (Fig. 2A). The results of co-immunoprecipitation also clarified the interaction (Fig. 2B). In tumor microenvironment, it is well-documented that exosomal PD-L1 is closely associated with the immunosuppression [28,29]. Thus, we then checked whether exosomal PD-L1 was capable of acting similarly as
cell-surface PD-L1 in inhibition of T cell function. Our data demonstrated that HUVEC
PD-L1 overexpressed HUVECs, and PD-L1 knockout HUVECs. PD-L1 significantly
suppressed the proliferation of lymphocyte compared with HUVEC
PD-L1, as confirmed by the reduced ratio of cells containing CFSE dye (Fig. 2C; Fig. S1). This result provides supporting evidence that the immune-suppression of Exos was contributed by PD-L1 rather than other proteins in Exos. Furthermore, to investigate whether this T cell suppression would affect fracture healing, we performed in vitro assays of MSCs by exploring conditional medium isolated from HUVEC
PD-L1, HUVEC
PD-L1, HUVEC
PD-L1, and HUVEC
PD-L1 incubated with lymphocyte for 96 h. As shown in Fig. 2D, the osteogenic-related markers were significantly enriched in HUVEC
PD-L1 and HUVEC
PD-L1 treated groups compared with the PBS and HUVEC
PD-L1 treated groups. Interestingly, when adding exogenous PD-L1 to the HUVEC
PD-L1 treated group, the osteogenic-related markers could be partially reversed. In addition, to study the impact of exosomal PD-L1 on extracellular matrix mineralization, MSCs among the different groups were continuously cultured for 7 or 21 days, then stained with ALP or alizarin red, indicating enhanced mineral deposition in HUVEC
PD-L1 and HUVEC
PD-L1, and the most prominent enhanced mineral deposition was in HUVEC
PD-L1 treated group (Fig. 2E–F).

3.3. Preparation and characterization of HA/Gel hydrogel

To guarantee delivery efficiency of Exos to the bone fracture, we designed an injectable hydrogel based on natural polymer hyaluronic acid (HA) and gelatin (Gel) as the Exos carrier. We successfully synthesized oxidized hyaluronic acid (HA-CHO) and hydrazide grafted gelatin (Gel-ADH; Fig. S2). Then, the hydrogel carrier for Exos could be easily formed by equal volume mixing with HA-CHO solution and Gel-ADH solution via dual syringe as shown in Fig. 3A. The fast gelation of our HA/Gel hydrogel is due to the fast Schiff base reaction between the aldehyde groups of HA-CHO and hydrazide groups of Gel-ADH. In the following test, we carefully explored the influence of HA-CHO concentration on the prepared HA/Gel hydrogel. The SEM micrographs of the HA/Gel hydrogel cross section showed that all three groups of HA/Gel hydrogel had interconnected porous structure, while a higher concentration of HA-CHO could lead to a denser pore distribution (Fig. 3B). We believed that these connected pores were appropriate for the loaded Exos releasing. The swelling ratio measurements (Fig. 3C) showed that increasing the HA-CHO concentration resulted in a decrease of the swelling ratio of HA/Gel hydrogel. This data should be consistent with our SEM results, as the dense pore structure hindered water absorption. As for the rheological mechanical test (Fig. 3D) demonstrated that the HA-CHO concentration had no significant impact on the modulus of the HA/Gel hydrogel. Therefore, the high swelling ratio of the hydrogel was favorable for the absorption of exudate and guaranteed effective filling of the defect while the rheological modulus of our HA/Gel hydrogel was not affected by increasing the HA-CHO concentration.

Based on this we selected the HA/Gel hydrogel (4% HA-CHO and 16% Gel-ADH) as the Exos carrier for all subsequent experiments. We observed shear-thinning property of the HA/Gel hydrogel. Fig. 3E displays that the HA/Gel hydrogel could switch between “Sol” (high strain: 200%, G’ > G”) and “Gel” (low strain: 1%, G’ > G”) circularly, demonstrating the dynamic balance between the break and reform of the reversible hydrazone crosslinking inside the HA/Gel hydrogel. Therefore, the HA/Gel hydrogel had a good self-healing property (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4A, two independent wing-shaped HA/Gel hydrogels could be reassembled into a new whole hydrogel after cutting. Moreover, the HA/Gel hydrogel showed good adhesion strength in different materials, especially for tissue (pigskin as the model tissue) (Fig. 4C and 4D). This excellent bio-adhesive property was due to the reaction of the aldehyde groups in HA/Gel hydrogel and the native amino groups of tissue. We believed that the rapid self-healing property and good bio-adhesive property should help our HA/Gel hydrogel carrier adhere to the surrounding native bone tissue and then maintain integrity after filling the bone defects to support Exos releasing and bone repair. In addition, the degradation property of HA/Gel hydrogel was also tested. Gelatin is a natural polymer that is degradable-sensitive to matrixmetalloproteinase (MMP). Previous studies have shown that the function of
trypsin and MMP is similar in some extent, so we chose easily acquired trypsin to test the enzyme-sensitivity of our HA/Gel hydrogel degradation. Fig. 4E shows that even a low concentration of trypsin could significantly increase the degradation rate of the HA/Gel hydrogel. Therefore, we speculated that in the beginning of the bone defect repair as the MMP, especially MMP-9 expression was higher than normal state, the HA/Gel hydrogel exhibited a faster degradation to release Exos to reach a fast control of the inflammation inside the bone defect.

3.4. Timed-release of Exos from HA/Gel hydrogel

With the aim to enhance the therapeutic effects of Exos, we embedded Exos into HA/Gel hydrogel to release Exos in a timed-release manner. Next, to check if HA/Gel hydrogel was able to enhance the...
stability of Exos in vitro, 10 μg of PKH 26-labelled Exos were incorporated in 200 μL HA/Gel hydrogel. After culturing for different time points, we evaluated the release of hydrogel-incorporated Exos by quantitating PKH26 signals. The PKH26 signal was gradually enhanced with the elongation of incubation time, and peaked after 36 h incubation (Fig. 5 A–B), indicating Exos were constantly released from HA/Gel hydrogel into the surrounding cells. Furthermore, we performed a cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay to examine the viability of the cultured MSCs, finding no obvious growth difference among the experimental groups on 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after incubation. The MSCs proliferated well in the different groups, with or without hydrogel, suggesting that the applied hydrogel including HA/Gel@Exos hydrogel, was not harmful to MSCs (Fig. 5 C). Moreover, cell status was checked using live/dead cell staining at 0, 3 and 5 days after culture. All the cells were morphologically similar, either in the presence of cell culture medium or hydrogel treatment (Fig. 5 D–E). The H&E staining of vital organs and the detection of blood biochemical indicators was performed in a C57BL/6 mouse (Fig. 5 F and Figs. S3A–C). All these results indicated a good cell viability of HA/Gel hydrogel and HA/Gel@Exos hydrogel treatments.

3.5. Exosomal PD-L1 accelerates fracture healing in mice

Based on the findings in cellular models relevant to the fracture-healing process, we investigated whether exosomal PD-L1 is a significant determinant of fracture healing. To test how exosomal PD-L1 affects fracture healing in vivo, we exposed different treatments to different treatments. The negative group was incubated with 20% HA/Gel hydrogel alone (Control), 20% HA/Gel hydrogel containing HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos, 20% HA/Gel hydrogel containing HUVEC

PD-L1-/-@Exos (HUVEC

Exos, HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos, HUVEC

PD-L1-/-@Exos groups) were administrated to the fracture sites over the 21 days. The fractures were monitored using X-rays and micro-CT. A marked decreased fracture gap and a larger callus volume was seen in the HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos group, compared to the HUVEC

PD-L1-/-@Exos group. On day 21 post-fracture, the animals in the HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos group no longer showed a clear border between hardened callus and cortical bone. However, when excluding PD-L1 from HUVEC

Exos, the beneficial effects of Exos on fracture healing were significantly hindered (Fig. 6 A–B). Besides, on day 14, the mice in the HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos group showed greater total and bone callus volume and BMD compared to the other treatments groups, remaining significant on day 21 post-injury (Fig. 6 C). In addition, H&E staining on day 21 showed increased bone formation area at the fracture site when mice were treated with 20% HA/Gel hydrogel containing HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos, while removal of PD-L1 from the Exos impaired this pro-fracture-healing effect (Fig. 6 D–E). These evidences demonstrated that exosomal PD-L1 effectively accelerates fracture healing in mice.

3.6. Administration of PD-L1-enriched Exos in mice exerts a local immunosuppressive effect

Finally, we examine the immune-related cytokines in the fractured regions. The qRT-PCR and Western Bolt results suggested significantly lower level of IL-6, TNF-a, and granzyme B in the HUVEC

PD-L1@Exos group compared to the other treatment groups (Fig. 7 A–D). Consistently, FCM analysis and immunohistochemical staining suggested fewer CD8
The lymph nodes and callus, but not observed in the spleens in the HUVEC
PD
L1@Exos group when compared to the control and HUVEC
PD
L1-/-@Exos groups, indicating that local administration of PD-L1-enriched Exos at the fractured sites exhibited a negative regulation
on T cell activation in the nearby peripheral lymphatic tissues (Fig. 7 E–H; Fig. S4).

4. Discussion

Taken together, our results suggest that exosomal PD-L1 coupling to PD-1 on the T cell surface, which significantly accelerated fracture healing in mice. We also demonstrate that Exos carrying active factors can be embedded into a novel hydrogel applied locally to the fracture sites, providing a new potential for enhancing tissue repair and regeneration through immunotherapy.

An overactive inflammatory phase is characterized by continued release of cytotoxic enzymes, inflammatory mediators, and free radicals that cause extensive tissue injury [30]. It has been suggested that suppression of the inflammatory response can enhance callus formation and accelerate fracture healing [31]. The PD-L1/PD-1 immune checkpoint is capable of transmitting inhibitory signals and preventing T cell proliferation [20,21,32]. In the present research, we focus on this immune checkpoint during the inflammation phase of fracture healing. Studying the roles of other immune inflammatory transducers, such as CTLA-4/CD80 and IM3-Galectin9, may provide further information on the modulation of fracture healing.

Exos have the ability to cross barriers, such as the cytoplasmic membrane and the blood-brain barrier, making them ideal molecular carriers [33]. Furthermore, due to their natural material transport ability, inherent long-term circulation capacity and excellent biocompatibility, Exos have great potential as drug delivery vehicles [34,35]. In the present research, we successfully embedded exogenous PD-L1 into HUVECs to construct an enriched concentration of exosomal PD-L1, identifying potential function as a therapeutic alternative in fracture healing. Exos, derived from HUVECs overexpressing PD-L1, exerted a negative regulation in T cell activation.

To minimize the potential infection risk of anti-inflammatory treatments, we utilized various strategies. Firstly, HUVEC
PD
L1@Exos mainly target cytotoxic T cells, but not macrophages and neutrophils, which are the main inflammatory cells working against microbial infection after injury during bone remodeling [36]. Furthermore, Exos administration was initiated on the third day post-fracture, as the first three days are important for immune cells to eliminate cell debris and beat against infection. Moreover, the HA/Gel hydrogel allowed sustained delivery of Exos and displayed self-healing properties against microbial infections. However, our murine fracture model has limitations. For example, linear fractures, small bone defects and large bone defects display differences both in physiopathological conditions as well as molecular mechanisms. In addition, in the present research, we constructed a fracture model rather than a chronic bone non-union model, in which the exosomal PD-L1 may exert robust effects in ameliorating the immune inflammatory response. Multiple fracture models would be constructed to investigate the cross-talk between the immune inflammatory response and bone regeneration in the future study.

5. Conclusions

We introduce a novel application of exosomal PD-L1 as an immunosuppressive therapy for fracture healing. Our findings provide the first example of Exos-based activation of the PD-L1/PD-1 immune checkpoint and subsequent enhancement of bone repair and regeneration. This study offers new theoretical evidence and establishes an experimental platform for Exos-based immunotherapy to treat diseases related to an overactive immune response or immune rejection.
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