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Abstract. Personal care wastewater contains pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). The compounds were in organic pollutants which have to be treated before water can be discharged. Electrochemical processes such as electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation were used to remove non-biodegradable in wastewater. Electro-coagulation as pretreatment using aluminum electrodes as anode and cathode. Electro-oxidation using Ti/Pt, and Ti/IrO2 as anode electrodes and variation of current 0.6 A, 0.7 A, 0.8 A and 1.0 A. Aluminum electrodes has effectiveness in removing COD, and TSS in electrocoagulation. Using aluminum electrodes remove COD, and TSS 76.1% (5.41 g) and 90.3% (6.10 g). Under initial pH, aluminum electrode does not cause a change in pH from initial pH (4.8-4.9). The removal efficiency of electrooxidation process using aluminum electrocoagulation effluent COD using Ti/Pt and Ti/IrO2 were 34.30% (1.55 g) and 39.71% (1.80 g). Increasing current when using Ti/IrO2 causes the COD removal rate to be more effective than using Ti/Pt. removal COD with 1.0 A gave the optimum COD removal were 34.30% (2.3 Ah/L; 1.55 g) with Ti/Pt, and 39.71% (2.3 Ah/L; 1.80 g) with Ti/IrO2 compared to 0.6 A (1.4 Ah/L), 0.7 A (1.6 Ah/L), and 0.8 A (1.9 Ah/L).
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1 Introduction

The characteristics of Personal Care wastewater are in the categories of pharmaceuticals and Personal Care products (PPCPs) which include drugs, cosmetic ingredients, food supplements, and other similar products [1]. These materials will have an impact as a poison for humans and the environment [2] and can accumulate in ecosystem components[3]. Personal Care wastewater produces organic compounds such as hydrocarbons, proteins, esters, alcohols, and carboxylic acids. Meanwhile inorganic content includes hydroxides, salts, and heavy metal compounds. Personal Care wastewater is estimated to have very high COD> 100,000 mg / L, BOD5 and TOC in high concentrations and the presence of organic nitrogen and organic phosphorus [4].

The biological treatment process is a very economical wastewater treatment process and is used to treat wastewater with biodegradable organic characteristics. Biological process is hard to be implemented this kind of waste. The low ability of biological processes on Personal Care waste is due to the high variability of the composition of the concentration and differences in the compounds in each product used [5]. Personal Care wastewater according to [6]can be processed using an advanced oxidation system, the results are a decrease of 80% COD. Electrochemical wastewater treatment can be used to treat Personal Care wastewater content with characteristics of biodegradable and non-biodegradable organics.

Electrochemical processing in environmental includes Electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation. Electro-coagulation processing is very good in processing suspended solids but is not effective in reducing organic content [7]. To overcome this problem, combined processing can be applied to obtain the efficiency of high pollutants. Electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation processes are electrochemical wastewater treatment systems[8]. Electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation processing results in better reductions than other treatments, this applies to textile wastewater[9]. An important factor in the Electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation process is the use of electrodes. Aluminum electrodes during the reaction process produce three reaction zones, the first zone produces Al(3+) ions at pH 1-3.5, other zones produce hydro complexes such as Al(OH)3, and Al(OH)4 at pH 4-10 [10]. The Aluminum electrode increase of 31.67% COD content and decreases energy 48.03% when compared to Fe electrodes [11]. In electro-oxidation, electrodes function as active surfaces in the oxidation process of organic matter [12].

It is well known that anode material plays a key role in advanced electrochemical oxidation systems, its performance depends upon the nature of coating materials on the electrodes [13]. Dimensionally Stable Anodes (DSAs) electrodes have characteristics and
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capabilities in processing industrial waste and wastewater in general [14]. At the same current density, the energy consumption of Dimensionally Stable Anodes (DSAs) electrodes is more effective than Boron Doped Diamond (BDD) electrodes. COD removal increased significantly with increasing current density on the electrode [15]. Characteristic of COD and TSS and in personal care has a high value. Electrocoagulation used as pretreatment before, because in personal care wastewater contains high TSS. Electrocoagulation is very effective in removing TSS content so that measurement of COD content is very necessary. TSS content is very disturbing in the electrooxidation process so that by reducing TSS at the beginning it will facilitate the electrooxidation process. COD measurements were carried out because to compare the value of the BOD/COD ratio before and already an electrochemical process. For personal care wastewater COD, TSS and Turbidity and pH never been done before for measurement in electrocoagulation and electrooxidation process. The objective of this study was to analyze the effect of aluminum electrodes on electro-coagulation process. The next objective is to analyze the influence of electrode and current in the electro-oxidation process using DSA Ti/Pt and DSA Ti/IrO₂ electrodes.

2 Experiment

2.1 Wastewater Samples

The wastewater used is personal care X wastewater in Semarang City. Wastewater collected from facial care (cleansing and peeling), skincare (body treatment), and hair care (hair treatment) at beauty clinics. Characteristics of Personal care wastewater show in Table 1, ratio BOD/COD in personal care is 0.2.

| No | Parameter | Concentration (mg/L) |
|----|-----------|---------------------|
| 1  | COD       | 4.662,46            |
| 2  | TSS       | 6.172,00            |
| 3  | BOD       | 920,00              |
| 4  | pH        | 4,77                |
| 5  | Turbidity | 1,047,00            |
| 6  | TDS       | 517,00              |
| 7  | N Total   | 0,99                |
| 8  | Oil & Fat | 13,16               |
| 9  | Total Coliform | -      |
| 10 | Cl⁻       | 0,001               |

2.2 Electro-coagulation-Sedimentation

The electrochemical cell used in electro-coagulation step was an acrylic container with dimensions of 12 x 6 x 20 cm, as shown in Figure 1. Aluminum electrodes, with dimensions of 13 cm x 9 cm x 1 mm were used in reactor as an anode electrode and a cathode electrode. Spacing between electrodes was 3 cm. All the runs were performed with 1 liters reactor of personal care wastewater with flow rate 20 ml/minutes in the electrochemical cell. Constant voltage was controlled by DC Powers supply MBB 305 PS with 20 volt constant voltage. Samples of the liquid were taken periodically and analyzed for COD, TSS, and pH. For made 3 liters supernatant for electro-oxidation, electrocoagulation running for 5 hours and continue to sedimentation processes. Electro-coagulation processes will be taken periodically for CODₙₐᵢₙ, CODₑₓ, TSSₙₐᵢₙ, TSSₑₓ, and pH initial and pH in time for 80 minutes of contact time. The sedimentation tank step was an acrylic container with dimensions of 10 x 5 x 20 cm, as shown in Figure 1. Samples of the liquid were taken periodically and analyzed for TSS.

2.3 Electro-oxidation

The electrochemical cell used in electro-oxidation step was an acrylic container with dimensions of 20 x 4 x 12,5 cm, as shown in Figure 2. DSA Ti/Pt electrodes and Ti/IrO₂ with dimensions of 1 cm x 5 cm were used in reactor as an anode electrode. Carbon active electrode dimensions of 10 cm x 5 cm were used in reactor as a cathode electrode. All the runs were performed with 3 liters of personal care wastewater in the electrochemical cell with flow rate 12,5 ml/minute with batch recirculation system. Constant current was controlled by DC Powers supply MDB 305 PS with 0,6 A, 0,7 A, 0,8 A and 1,0 A constant current. Power supply using MDB PS-305DM. Samples of the liquid were taken periodically and analyzed for COD and pH. Na₂SO₄ 0,1 M used as electrolyte in electro-oxidation to increase current initial of personal care wastewater.

2.4 Method of Analysis

The effect of the electro-coagulation treatment was determined by analysis of the chemical oxygen demand (COD), TSS, turbidity, and pH at different time intervals were determined to use using standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [16]. COD value determined through oxidation by the mixture of chromic-sulfuric acid in closed reflux and spectrophotometric reading while turbidity. TSS was determined using vacuum equipment and dry in the oven for at least 1 hour at 103°C to 105°C, after that, using a desiccator to balance the temperature and weigh it. Measuring pH using a digital pH measurement tool. However, once the optimal conditions were found, the raw and treated wastewater samples were also analyzed for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) as indicated in standard methods for examination of water and wastewater. Electrocoagulation will be interspersed with EC and electrooxidation will be abbreviated with EO.
2.5 Method of Calculation

Determination of the optimum COD removal in the electro-coagulation and electro-oxidation process by looking at the efficiency of COD in electrochemical process using aluminium electrodes in electro-coagulation, and Ti/Pt, Ti/IrO₂ in electro-oxidation. The equation for the efficiency to be written as:

\[ \text{Efficiency} = \frac{(\text{COD}_t - \text{COD}_{\text{init}})}{(\text{COD}_{\text{init}})} \times 100\% \] (1)

Where COD, was COD taken periodically (t), COD_{init} was COD in fed tank or COD inlet to reactor. Electro-coagulation will be analyzed for kinetic reaction of COD, and TSS removal using first-order kinetic. For analyzing the kinetic needed concentration COD in and COD out from electro-coagulation. Efficiency of removal COD, TSS, and turbidity will be analyzed to determining the effective of aluminium electrodes in electrolysis using electro-coagulation.

Fig.1. Acrylic container with dimensions of 12 x 6 x 20 cm

3 Result and Discussion

3.1 Preliminary Test of Electro-coagulation

Constant voltage that used in this electro-coagulation is 20V related to research [17]. Preliminary study of electro-coagulation with aluminium electrodes was carried out continuously with wastewater discharge of 20 ml/minute. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) characteristics of personal care wastewater are > 4500 mg/L. The electro-coagulation system uses aluminium electrodes to has removal COD 76%. Maximum efficiency, in the 60th minutes the electrolysis process produces an efficiency of 80.88% COD (Fig.3). Personal care wastewater contains Total Suspended Solids (TSS) >6000 mg/L. Electro-coagulation with aluminium electrodes produced an efficiency of 57.67% TSS in 20 minutes, whereas in the 80 minutes this system produced an efficiency of 94.86% (Fig.3). Turbidity decreased by 39% in 20 minutes, then increased by 84% at 60-120 minutes (Figure 3).

The pH of treated wastewater (Figure 4) does not change significantly when compared with the pH of the initial wastewater. For 120 minutes the electrolysis process using Aluminium electrodes, the resulting pH changed at 10 minutes to 4.9. However, the pH decreases when entering minutes 20 to 120 minutes.
Figure 3. Show that $C_t/C_{init}$, influence of contact time for COD, TSS, and Turbidity removal. When contact time increases, the organic content will decrease due to the contact time between wastewater and aluminum ions in reactor. Analysis result of $C_t/C_{init}$ COD value in the effect of operation time and constant voltage, as the duration of the electrolysis increases, the highest removal COD is obtained. While electrolysis increases from 5 to 40 minutes, the COD removal and TSS removal by using aluminum electrode increase to 79% and 81%. In 60 to 120 minutes $C_t/C_{init}$ TSS removal increase 81% to 93.4%. Turbidity removal increase from 5 minutes to 60 minutes, the removal was 85%. Steady state condition from preliminary Electro-coagulation was in 40 to 120 minutes.

In the main Electro-coagulation COD and TSS, peridically has taken in 0 to 80 minutes. pH using aluminum electrode stable in 4.6-4.8 Figure 4. pH effective in electro-coagulation using aluminum electrode was in 4-9. Effluent from electro-coagulation will settle in sedimentation. From sedimentation produce 3 liters supernatant for 5 hours process and gives flow rate for oxidation was 12.5 ml/min.

3.2 Electrocoagulation

Electro-coagulation of personal care wastewater, efficiency removal COD was 70% for 40 minutes. The average COD allowance at the 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 80 minute aluminum electrodes as follows: 4438.8 ± 526.23 mg/L, 3139.1 ± 309.50 mg/L, 2051.0 ± 264.11 mg/L, 1543.1 ± 134.19 mg/L, 1083.9 ± 99.03 mg/L, 1088.8 ± 52.22 mg/L, and 1058.7 ± 44.30 mg/L. The efficiency that occurred during the Electro-coagulation treatment using aluminum electrodes is equal to 53.8% in the 10 minute, 65.2% in the 20 minute, and 75% in the 40 and 60 minutes, while at the 80 minute there is a 76% removal COD.

Using aluminum electrodes, aluminum hydroxide formed during the electrolysis process results in the production of sludge in the reactor. Al$^{3+}$ ions will interact in the hydrolysis reaction (H$_2$O) and the reaction of aluminum hydroxide produces excess sludge production resulting in color efficiency, and COD is very significant [18] (Figure 5). The trend of COD or TSS removal using personal care wastewater in Electro-coagulation shows in (Figure 7). It may, therefore, be appropriate to assume that the residual COD could be related by a simple power kinetic model. The first-order kinetics in terms of residual of COD or TSS can be written as:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = ka$$

(1)

Where ($y$) = residual COD or TSS (mg/L) and (k) is the rate constant. The integration of e.q (16) gives.

$$y(t) = y(0) \exp (-kt)$$

(2)

where, ($y(0)$ and ($y(t)$) are the initial COD and COD at any time (t). Thus, $y$ plot of $\ln(a(t)=y(0)$ against t should give a straight line for a particular current density.
Figure 7 shows such a plot as a rate of COD and TSS removal. The kinetic constants for COD removal is 0.0398 min⁻¹ and the R square of the kinetic rate is 0.7316 (Figure 7). Contrast in TSS removal, removal TSS during electro-coagulation is 93% TSS show in (Figure 6) for 80 minute electrolysis shown in (Figure 7). For the kinetic constants of removal rate of TSS is 0.0405 for the 80 minutes electro-coagulation. Based on the Figure 7 provides information that the electro-coagulation process is very dependent on the time of electrolysis, in addition to the voltage and current strength. The longer the electrolysis time process will result in high TSS removal efficiency. In 40 until 80 minutes give the best result of TSS removal. pH is a vital parameter in electro-coagulation, the electrolysis process is very dependent on the type of wastewater and the original pH of wastewater [19]. Personal care wastewater has the pH characteristics of acidic pH with a pH range of 4.7-5.0 (Figure 8). To determine the effect of pH value, [20] explained that at pH above 6 in the Electro-coagulation process, more than 84% COD was removal, 90% TSS was removal and 91% of the color was changed. In the application of the electro-coagulation process with aluminum electrodes, there was an increase in pH value in the electro-coagulation process. However, the increase was not significant. Process of electrocoagulation show in Figure 9.

During electro-coagulation operation various reaction takes place in the electrochemical cell. The first initiation of electro-coagulation was the oxidation of sacrificial aluminum anode yielding Al³⁺ ions. At the cathode, the hydroxyl ion is produced by water hydrolysis. The Al³⁺ ions combined with OH⁻ ions to form aluminum metal ion hydroxides create a network of gelatinous mass and particulates and initiates formation of flocs. With passage of time, the floc size will increase and the smaller flocs float due to buoyancy created by hydrogen bubbles generated at the cathode and the participate settles down [21].

At anode, Al³⁺ ions are generated by the following reaction (3)

\[ \text{Al (s)} \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} (aq) + 3e^- \]  

(3)

At the cathode, Hydrogen is generated due to hydrolysis of water. Hydrolysis production is pH-dependent.

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 (g) + 2(\text{OH}^-) (aq) \]  

(4)

Oxygen evolution can compete with aluminum dissolution at the anode via the following reaction:

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \rightarrow \text{O}_2 (g) + 4\text{H}^+ (aq) + 4e^- \]  

(5)

The Al³⁺ and OH⁻ ions produced at the anode and cathode, respectively, react to form various aluminum hydrolyzed products. The concentration of the hydrolyzed aluminum species depends on the aluminum concentration and the solution pH. The Al³⁺ products can be calculated from the following stability constants:

\[ \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}^2^+ + \text{H}^+ \text{ pK}_1 = 4.95 \]  

(6)

\[ \text{Al(OH)}^2^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \text{ pK}_2 = 5.6 \]  

(7)

\[ \text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_4^- + \text{H}^+ \text{ pK}_3 = 6.7 \]  

(8)

\[ \text{Al(OH)}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_5^- + \text{H}^+ \text{ pK}_4 = 5.6 \]  

(9)

Aluminum species behave like coagulants and the destabilization of colloidal particles is achieved through the adsorption of these Al species on colloidal particles neutralizing the colloidal particle charge [22]. Hydrogen bubbles formed at the cathode can adsorb on coagulated suspended particulates and droplets forming flocs.
inducing their flotation [23]. Initial pH gives the first formation for in situ coagulation using aluminum electrodes. Based analysis characteristic of EDX (Figure 10) and SEM (Figure 11) of sludge in electrocoagulation using aluminum electrodes give result that during electrocoagulation in personal care has formation Al₂O₃ (Figure 11) in the sludge, Concentration of Al₂O₃ is 19.89%.

**Fig. 10.** Result of EDX oxide of sludge electrocoagulation.

**Fig. 11.** SEM of sludge electrocoagulation using aluminium electrode (a 3000x, b 5000x, c 7500x, d 10.000x).

### 3.3 Sedimentation

After electro-coagulation process, sedimentation process was needed for removal of TSS in the personal care wastewater. The result of sedimentation is.

**Table 2.** The Result of Sedimentation

| EC   | COD (mg/L) | TSS (mg/L) | Turbidity | pH  |
|------|------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| EC 1 | 1081,25    | 696        | 103,0     | 4,75|
| EC 2 | 1068,75    | 696        | 103,0     | 4,83|
| EC 3 | 1018,75    | 696        | 85,6      | 4,90|
| EC 4 | 1003,12    | 536        | 105,6     | 4,87|
| EC 5 | 1084,37    | 816        | 156,3     | 4,87|
| EC 6 | 1087,50    | 772        | 160,3     | 4,97|
| EC 7 | 1018,75    | 696        | 85,6      | 4,90|
| EC 8 | 1003,12    | 536        | 105,6     | 4,87|

Note: EC 1 is electrocoagulation with aluminum electrodes. Sedimentation for the effluent Electro-coagulation has a function for determining the flow rate supernatant from sedimentation to electrooxidation process. The result of sedimentation is 3 liter supernant for the electro-oxidation with 5 hour process electro-coagulation and sedimentation. The rate of TSS removal only has 20%-30% removal of TSS in sedimentation.

### 3.4 Electro-oxidation DSA Ti/Pt and Ti/IrO₂

The use of Dimensionally Stable Anodes (DSA) electrodes in wastewater treatment processes is a technology that can reduce operational costs and investment costs [24]. Supernatant result from electro-coagulation and sedimentation continues to electro-oxidation. Electrode material has an important role in electrochemical processes. The usual electrodes used are anode electrodes Electro-oxidation for the effluent Electro-coagulation using aluminum electrode are using DSA Ti/Pt and DSA Ti/IrO₂. The constant current used in the system are 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, and 1.0 A. These electrodes provide high electocatalyst activity, stability to corrosion on the anode and have excellent mechanical properties. By using these electrodes the oxidation process can occur through direct oxidation such as electron exchange between contaminants and electrode surfaces or with indirect processes with active species which have high oxidizing power such as peroxide, O₃, and active chlorine.

Ti/Pt electrodes produce a removal COD (Figure 12) show that 0.43 g (1,4 Ah/L), 0.83 g (1.6 Ah/L), 0.92 g (1.9 Ah/L) and 1.55 g (2.3 Ah/L) or 34% in 7 hours treatment. Low efficiency in removal of COD in Ti/Pt from electro-coagulation-aluminum electrodes can be caused due to the oxidation process of anode material in acidic media which is very reactive to the reaction process of organic matter by the process of releasing hydroxyl radicals to oxygen [25]. pH effects for performance of Ti/Pt electrodes. initial pH in wastewater is 4.7-4.9 (Figure 13). Based on [26] the pH value of 6.0 can provide a COD removal efficiency value that increases up to 82% in 120 minutes of wastewater by electro-oxidation process.

**Fig. 12.** OD₅/COD₀ in electro-oxidation using Ti/Pt
The oxidation process wastewater treatment includes active oxidant species such as active chlorine, persulfate, and Ozone [27]. The increasing current (Figure 11) will cause the acceleration of the reaction and increase the production of active chlorine species capable of oxidizing organic matter faster with the occurrence of organic reduction competition with M (*OH) formed from the oxidation process. Thus, in the case of electrooxidation using personal care waste, there is a slow oxidation process of organic content because this type of waste is aromatic waste and does not contain the initials of active chlorine species so that the oxidation process will only be carried out by relying on the formation of M (*OH).

The result using DSA Ti/IrO₂ is contrasting with DSA Ti/Pt for removal COD in electrooxidation. Ti/IrO₂ electrodes in the process provide treatment for COD removal of 0,38 g (1,4 Ah/L), 0,66 g (1,6 Ah/L), 1,01 g (1,9 Ah/L), and 1,80 g (2,3 Ah/L), or 39,7% COD (Figure 13) in 7 hours electrolysis. The best effect for personal care wastewater achieved in current 1,0 A using Ti/Pt electrode.

The increase in current strength has a very significant influence on the oxidation process of the organic content of personal care wastewater. Increasing current 0,6 A to 0,7 A using DSA Ti/Pt give removal of 0,38 g (1,4 Ah/L) of COD within 7 hours is successfully carried out within 4 hours in 0,7 A. 1,01 g of COD was successfully remove with a current of 0,8 A within 7 hours (1,9 Ah/L), but with current 1,0 A 1,01 gram COD was successfully degradation within 5 hours (1,6 Ah/L).

The best effect for personal care wastewater achieved in current 1,0 A using Ti/IrO₂ electrode. Anode Ti/IrO₂ electrode is an electrode which is an indirect oxidation type. This electrode will provide an increase in COD removal in the presence of an electrolyte solution or the presence of free oxidant in solution. Anode Ti/IrO₂ electrodes were conductive oxidation electrodes that function at low voltages for high electrochemical activity processes to lead to the process of oxygen evolution, but this type of electrode has a low chemical reactivity to oxidation of organic matter in water [28]. With the direct oxidation process, using anode Ti/IrO₂ electrodes with personal care wastewater provides very small COD removal results, which only reaches 39,83% COD removal in 7 hours. This is based on the basic nature of the electrode which has a low chemical reactivity against oxidation of organic matter. In addition, based on [29], modified Ti/IrO₂ electrodes with TiO₂ gave more hydroxyl radical results of 55% compared to Ti/IrO₂ electrodes in the oxidation process in a certain time.

Ti/IrO₂ electrodes are independent of the pH value of wastewater during the electrolysis process. This shows that these electrodes perform well in a wide pH range, making pH effect in the electrolysis process not necessary. Many factors for electrochemical processes using Ti/Pt and Ti/IrO₂ electrode. pH, current, and characteristic of initial personal care wastewater mostly factors effect in oxidation process. personal care wastewater contains polycyclic musks and personal care wastewater do not have any chlorine in solution. Chlorin mostly effects in DSA electrodes in oxidation process.

### 3.5 Ratio BOD/COD

The results of COD removal using Ti/Pt, and Ti/ IrO₂ electrodes have a tendency according to the results of the oxygen evolution potential (BDD> DSA> Ti/Pt) [28].

The tendency to use the type of effluent from EC-AI wastewater will produce the best COD efficiency for Ti/IrO₂ sequentially is Ti/IrO₂>Ti/Pt. Based on the study
of the BOD/COD ratio generated from the electrodissolution process with Ti/Pt and Ti/IrO₂. BOD/COD ratio results from Ti/IrO₂ electrodes were better than the Ti/Pt electrodes in practice for personal care wastewater. Both of these electrodes give a BOD/COD ratio of 0.3. Anode Ti/IrO₂ produces a better BOD/COD ratio than Ti/Pt when using EC-Al wastewater which is an increase of 0.3-0.45, while Ti/Pt is 0.3-0.37.

BOD₅ was measured to evaluate the electrochemical oxidation process of personal care waste. After 7 hours of processing, Electro-oxidation with a strong current of 1.0 A gives an increase in the biodegradability index (Figure 15). An increase biodegradability index occurred with the use of DSA Ti/Pt, and DSA Ti/IrO₂ electrodes. [30] explained that using electrodes made from Metal Oxide can increase the BOD/COD ratio during the electro-oxidation process. There are two types of oxidation mechanisms for organic matter in an electrochemical system. (i) an electrochemical conversion process in which the organic content will be transformed to become biodegradable organic content. (ii) the electrochemical combustion process to the organic content into CO₂ and H₂O [31].

BOD₅ was measured to evaluate the electrochemical oxidation process of personal care waste. Electrooxidation with a strong current of 1.0 A gives an increase in the biodegradability index. An increase in the biodegradability index occurred with the use of DSA Ti/Pt, and DSA Ti/IrO₂. Furthermore [30] explained that using electrodes made from Metal Oxide can increase the ratio of BOD/COD during the electrooxidation process.

4 Conclusion

Aluminum electrodes have effectiveness in removing COD, and TSS from personal care wastewater. Aluminum electrodes remove COD, and TSS 76.1% (5.41 g) and 90.3% (6.10 g). Under initial pH, aluminum electrode does not cause a changes pH from the initial pH (4.8-4.9). Removal efficiency of aluminum electrocoagulation effluent COD using Ti/Pt and Ti/IrO₂ were 34.30% (1.55 g) and 39.71% (1.80 g). The increasing current when using Ti/IrO₂ causes the COD removal rate to be more effective than using Ti/Pt. Removal for COD with 1.0 A gave the optimum COD allowance of 34.30% (2.3 Ah/L; 1.55 g) with Ti/Pt, and 39.71% (2.3 Ah/L; 1.80 g) with Ti/IrO₂ compared to 0.6 A (1.4 Ah/L), 0.7 A (1.6 Ah/L), and 0.8 A (1.9 Ah/L) using electrocoagulation effluent of aluminum electrodes.
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