The Feasibility of Mechanical Thrombectomy on Single-Plane Angiosuite: An In-Depth Analysis of Procedure Time
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Abstract

Introduction: Mechanical thrombectomy (MT) is usually performed on biplane (BP) angiosuites. When the BP angiosuite is not available, the single-plane (SP) angiosuite may be a substitute. However, the feasibility of MT performed on the SP angiosuite is yet to be elucidated. Therefore, we investigated the alternative effect of the SP angiosuite on the detailed division of procedure time, recanalization rate, and outcome in patients with anterior circulation infarction.

Methods: The subjects included 80 consecutive patients with anterior circulation infarction who underwent MT at our hospital between May 2015 and December 2020. Demographics and characteristics of the BP and SP groups were assessed and compared. The time from puncture to guiding catheter placement (P-G), time from guiding catheter placement to recanalization (G-R), and time from puncture to recanalization (P-R) were also extracted. A good outcome was defined as a modified Rankin scale score ≤2 at 3 months. Results: Of the 80 patients, 67 and 13 were treated with BP and SP angiosuites, respectively. There were no differences in age, sex, complications, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score at onset, occlusion site, rate of recombinant tissue-plasminogen activator administration, stroke subtype, recanalization rate, and complications between the 2 groups. The rate of a good outcome was not different between the 2 groups. P-G was significantly longer in the SP group than in the BP group, whereas there was no significant difference in G-R and P-R between the 2 groups (P-G: BP 29.9 ± 21.8 vs. SP 48.5 ± 43.6 min, p = 0.04). Conclusion: MT performed on the SP angiosuite tended to prolong the time for guiding catheter placement. However, there was no difference in the overall procedure time, recanalization rate, or outcome between BP and SP angiosuites. Therefore, if the BP angiosuite is not available, the use of the SP angiosuite should be encouraged.

Introduction

The efficacy of mechanical thrombectomy (MT) has been established [1–7], and patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) harboring large vessel occlusion should be...
promptly treated with MT [8]. As there is no elective MT procedure, healthcare facilities are not always prepared to perform MT.

Endovascular procedures for the brain are usually performed on a biplane (BP) angiography machine, where simultaneous opacification of a vessel from different views is obtained. As MT is an emergent procedure, the BP angiosuite is always available, resulting in the reluctant use of the single-plane (SP) angiosuite.

Rapid guiding catheter placement is critical for MT [9]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the time for placement of the guiding catheter of MT on the SP angiosuite would be longer than that of MT on the BP angiosuite. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies examining the detailed division of MT procedure time in terms of the type of angiosuite. Herein, we studied the feasibility of MT performed on the SP angiosuite and performed an in-depth analysis of the procedure time.

Materials and Methods

There were 95 consecutive patients with AIS who were diagnosed with AIS and underwent MT between May 2015 and December 2020 at Nagoya City University Hospital. Of the 95 patients, 15 had posterior circulation infarction, all of whom were treated with the BP angiosuite. In terms of analyzing the detailed division of procedure time, we limited our study to 80 patients with anterior circulation infarction to reduce the variability of the procedure. We collected details of baseline demographics, type of angiography suite, vascular risk factors, stroke subtype and severity, type of aorta, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS), occlusion site, recanalization rate, periprocedural complications, and prognosis. A significant recanalization was defined as equal to or greater than thrombolysis in cerebral infarction IIb. A good outcome was defined as a modified Rankin scale (mRS) score of ≤2 at 90 days. Additionally, the time from puncture to guiding catheter placement (P-G), time from guiding catheter placement to recanalization (G-R), and time from puncture to recanalization (P-R) were extracted. The time of contrast imaging when the guiding catheter was first identified in the desired position was defined as the guiding catheter placement time, and the time of contrast imaging when the decision was made to end the procedure was defined as the recanalization time. The reasons for the use of the SP angiosuite were also investigated.

Angiography Suites
MT was performed either using a BP angiography machine (Philips AlluraXper FD 20/20; Philips, The Netherlands) or an SP machine (Philips AlluraXper FD 20; Philips, The Netherlands). Angiosuites were regularly calibrated and controlled according to national and international standards.

Statistical Analyses
For continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Fisher’s exact test was used for the qualitative variables. The significance level (p value) was set at 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander designed to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics.

Results

Of the 80 patients, 13 and 67 were treated with SP and BP angiosuites, respectively. The reasons for SP angiosuite use included the preoccupation of the BP angiosuite with scheduled procedure in 10 (62%), emergency endovascular treatment in 2 (15%), and machine maintenance in 1 (8%) patient. There were no significant differences in age, sex, comorbidity, ASPECTS, occlusion site, type of aorta, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score at onset, rate of recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator administration, periprocedural complications, and recanalization rate between the 2 groups (Table 1).
Although not significant, the mRS score before stroke onset tended to be slightly higher in the SP group than in the BP group ($p = 0.10$). However, there was no significant difference in a good outcome: BP 35.8% versus SP 23.1% ($p = 0.53$). In the comparison of $\Delta$mRS, which was defined as the difference between mRS at 3 months and mRS before onset, $\Delta$mRS0-2 was not significantly different between the 2 groups: 52.2% for BP and 53.8% for SP ($p = 1.00$) (Fig. 1).

There were no differences in G-R and P-R between the 2 groups (G-R min: BP 70.2 ± 66.3 vs. SP 61.8 ± 35.0 [$p = 0.87$], P-R min: BP 100.0 ± 72.4 vs. SP 110.4 ± 54.7 [$p = 0.30$]). However, P-G was significantly longer in the SP group than in the BP group (P-G min: BP 29.9 ± 21.8 vs. SP 48.5 ± 43.6 [$p = 0.04$]) (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference between the 2 groups in terms of complications (asymptomatic intracranial hemorrhage: BP 15 [22.4%] vs. SP 4 [30.8%]; symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage: BP 6 [9%] vs. SP 0 [0%] [$p = 0.641$]).

### Table 1. Description of the single-plane and biplane groups

| Parameters, Mean ± SD or N (%) | Single plane (N = 13) | Biplane (N = 67) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Age                           | 75.15 ± 14.7         | 76.5 ± 12.0     |
| Sex M:F                       | 10:3                 | 36:31           |
| Hypertension                  | 8 (61.5)             | 42 (62.6)       |
| Diabetes mellitus             | 3 (23.1)             | 11 (16.4)       |
| Dyslipidemia                  | 5 (38.5)             | 24 (35.8)       |
| ASPECTS-DWI                   | 7.00 ± 1.82 (N = 7)  | 7.63 ± 1.30 (N = 19) |
| ASPECTS CT                    | 7.85 ± 1.77 (N = 7)  | 8.64 ± 1.96 (N = 51) |
| Onset NIHSS                   | 14.7 ± 4.23          | 18.5 ± 6.49     |
| IV rtPA                       | 6 (46.2)             | 41 (61.2)       |
| Aorta type                    |                      |                 |
| I                             | 4 (30.8)             | 21 (31.3)       |
| II                            | 4 (30.8)             | 26 (38.8)       |
| III                           | 5 (38.5)             | 20 (29.9)       |
| Stroke etiology               |                      |                 |
| CES                           | 6 (46.2)             | 43 (64.2)       |
| ATBI                          | 2 (15.4)             | 12 (17.9)       |
| ESUS                          | 1 (7.7)              | 8 (11.9)        |
| Others                        | 4 (30.8)             | 4 (6.0)         |
| Vessel of occlusion           |                      |                 |
| ACA                           | 0 (0)                | 2 (3.0)         |
| Ex ICA                        | 5 (38.5)             | 17 (25.4)       |
| In ICA                        | 0 (0)                | 12 (18.0)       |
| M1                            | 5 (38.5)             | 23 (34.3)       |
| M2                            | 5 (38.5)             | 20 (29.9)       |
| Tandem                        | 2 (15.4)             | 6 (9.0)         |
| Recanalization rate (TICI)    |                      |                 |
| 0-2a                          | 2 (15.4)             | 10 (14.9)       |
| 2b-3                          | 11 (84.6)            | 57 (85.1)       |
| Duration of procedure, min    |                      |                 |
| P-G                           | 48.5 ± 43.6          | 29.9 ± 21.8     |
| G-R                           | 61.8 ± 35.0          | 70.2 ± 66.3     |
| P-R                           | 110.4 ± 54.7         | 100.0 ± 72.4    |
| Complications                 |                      |                 |
| No                            | 9 (69.2)             | 46 (68.7)       |
| Asymptomatic ICH              | 4 (30.8)             | 15 (22.4)       |
| Symptomatic ICH               | 0 (0)                | 6 (9.0)         |

* ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; ATBI, atherothrombotic brain infarction; CES, cardioembolic stroke; ESUS, embolic stroke of undetermined sources; G, guiding; ICH, intracranial hemorrhage; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; P, puncture; R, recanalization; rtPA, recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator. * Significant between groups, $p < 0.05$. 
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Illustrative Case

An 84-year-old woman developed AIS. She had persistent atrial fibrillation but was not treated with anticoagulants. She had dementia, knee osteoarthritis, and a premorbid mRS score of 3. On admission, she had right hemiplegia, ocular deviation, and an NIHSS score of 17. Magnetic resonance (MR) diffusion-weighted imaging revealed a subtle ischemic change; her MRI-ASPECTS was 8. Since MR angiography revealed an occlusion of the left M2 segment, she received endovascular treatment. Intravenous infusion of alteplase was not indicated because of the time window. The BP angiosuite was occupied with another elective procedure, and the treatment was performed on the SP angiosuite. MT was performed using the right femoral approach. Intravenous infusion of alteplase was not indicated because of the time window. The BP angiosuite was occupied with another elective procedure, and the treatment was performed on the SP angiosuite. MT was performed using the right femoral approach. A direct aspiration first-pass technique (ADAPT) with 6Fr SOFIAFLOW Plus (MicroVention Terumo, Tustin, CA, USA) was performed, and complete recanalization was achieved by the first pass. The duration times were 35, 10, and 45 min for P-G, G-R, and P-R, respectively. It was difficult to clearly delineate the occlusive lesion due to the overlapping of vessels by a straight posterior-anterior view. The right oblique view was helpful in delineating the bifurcation (Fig. 3).

Discussion

This study showed that MT on the SP angiosuite is feasible and does not prolong P-R. However, P-G tended to be slightly longer in the SP angiosuite than in the BP angiosuite.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been a few reports describing the feasibility of neurointervention on the SP angiosuite [10, 11]. Previous studies have reported that the performance of MT on the SP angiosuite is feasible, and there is no difference in the total procedure time between SP and BP angiosuites [10, 11]. This study is the first to report an in-depth analysis of MT procedure time on the SP angiosuite. Our results showed that the duration of placement of the guide catheter in the SP group tended to be longer than that in the BP group. Generally, neurointervention is divided into the vascular access and treatment phases [12]. Compared with neurointerventions other than MT, such as for aneurysms and dural arteriovenous fistulas, MT is considered to be less technically difficult. A previous study has shown that a short P-G is related to a good outcome in patients with AIS [9]. Thus, P-G is critical for achieving rapid recanalization [9, 13]. Although the vascular access stage is a critical part of the MT procedure, few studies have investigated the detailed distribution of MT procedure time on the SP angiosuite. Generally, placement of a guiding catheter is difficult in relation to the severity of atherosclerotic changes [9]. In such tortuous arteries, the wire ex-

Fig. 2. Comparison of procedure time. P-G was significantly longer in the SP group than in the BP group. G-R and P-R were not different between the 2 groups (Mann-Whitney U test). P-G, puncture to guiding catheter placement; G-R, guiding catheter placement to recanalization; P-R, puncture to recanalization; SP, single plane; BP, biplane.

Fig. 3. Ingenuity of performing mechanical thrombectomy on a single-plane angiosuite. In the anteroposterior view, the M2 branches are overlapped and are difficult to delineate. By tilting the X-ray projection slightly toward the affected side, the delineation of the branches becomes clearer (arrow). The tip of the guiding catheter is within the imaging range (black arrowhead), and treatment is performed using the image enlargement function as appropriate.
change technique can be helpful. In fact, in both of the 2 patients in the SP group in which the P-G was particularly long, a wire exchange technique from the femoral artery was attempted and failed, resulting in an alternative approach: a brachial approach. The unavailability of simultaneous imaging of the cranial vessels and aortic arch could be attributable to the failure. Additionally, frontal images are useful when selecting aortic branches, and lateral images are useful when selecting carotid bifurcation when we manipulate a catheter. With the SP angiosuite, it is necessary to change the angle of the X-ray at each time, which should be partly attributable to the prolonged P-G in the SP group.

The overall median P-G in this study was longer than that in a previous report (20 min vs. 26 min) [9]. Ribo et al. [9] have studied the effect of difficult catheter access in patients with AIS on clinical outcomes and reported that it was associated with a worse clinical outcome. According to their study, variables, including older age (age >75 years), hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and left carotid catheterization, were associated with difficult access [9]. In this study, the percentage of subjects aged ≥75 years was as high as 59%. This can be partly attributed to the prolongation of P-G.

Compared with neurointerventions on the BP angiosuite, those on the SP angiosuite can have some drawbacks: difficulties in obtaining the three-dimensional recognition of a vessel, especially in the cavernous segment of the internal carotid artery and bifurcation of the middle cerebral artery at M2, and limitation of view. An oblique position, such as the Haughton view, can compensate for the drawbacks and is useful for selecting artery branches such as the middle cerebral artery M2 bifurcation [14]. In addition, for distal lesions, including M3, it can be difficult to place the tip of the microcatheter and guiding catheter in a single radiation view. In such circumstances, an intermediate catheter can represent the movement of the guiding catheter.

Since a good prognosis is more likely to be unproportionate to P-R in patients with AIS harboring large vessel occlusion, the effort to shorten P-R should be a critical issue [15]. The drip and ship triage has been advocated for non-MT-capable facilities; however, there should be some delay when patients are transferred. Previous studies comparing direct transfer to a comprehensive stroke center for thrombectomy versus secondary transfer have reported no difference in outcome [16], whereas others have reported better outcomes for the direct transfer group [17, 18]. Our study showed that there was no significant difference in P-R, recanalization rate, complications, and good outcome (mRS0-2) between SP and BP angiosuites. Therefore, clinicians should be encouraged to perform MT on SP instead of transferring patients if the BP angiosuite is not available. As it has not been conclusive that the BP angiosuite is absolutely necessary for MT and the SP angiosuite entails a lower cost of installing and maintaining equipment than the BP angiosuite [19], clinicians should not be hesitant to perform MT on the SP angiosuite.

**Limitations**

This was a retrospective study and not a prospective randomized controlled trial. Additionally, the MT procedure time is influenced by many factors, including the experience of the operator, device, and angiosuites. Therefore, to unify the conditions as much as possible, we decided to conduct a single-center study. In addition, for ethical reasons, SP cannot be used in preference to BP, and as a result, the number of SP cases may not be sufficient.

Other variables have not been examined, including the amount of radiation exposure and contrast medium used and interoperator changes. In addition, patients with posterior circulation infarction were excluded. Further studies are necessary in the future.

**Conclusion**

In patients with anterior circulation infarction, MT on the SP angiosuite tended to take a slightly longer time to place the guiding catheter than that on the BP angiosuite. However, there were no significant differences in recanalization rate, outcome, or safety between SP and BP angiosuites. Therefore, MT can be sufficiently performed on the SP angiosuite when the BP angiosuite is not available.
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