Circular orbits in the extreme Reissner-Nordstrom dihole metric
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We study the motion of neutral test particles in the gravitational field of two charged black holes described by the extreme Reissner-Nordstrom dihole metric where the masses and charges of the black holes are chosen such that the gravitational attraction is compensated by the electrostatic repulsion. We investigate circular orbits in the equatorial plane between the two black holes with equal masses as well as the case of circular orbits outside this symmetry plane. We show that the first case reduces to an effective two-body problem with a behavior similar to a system described by the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime. The main focus is directed to the second case with circular orbits outside the equatorial plane.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of binary black hole systems is of great importance in the context of the emission of gravitational waves. The direct proof of the existence of such waves is still lacking and is one of the central goals in modern astrophysics. Their discovery would result in another great piece of support for the validity of Einstein’s general relativity. Indirect evidence of gravitational waves has already been obtained in radio observations of binary pulsars, such as the famous binary pulsar PSR 1913+16, for which Hulse and Taylor won the Nobel Prize in physics; see [1]. Furthermore, pairs of black holes could play an important part in the evolution of galaxies and the whole Universe; see for example Pallerola [2].

This motivates a methodical analysis of multi black hole systems. However, for such systems no general analytic expression exists, in contrast to a single black hole. In addition, because of their character, these systems have to be described by nonstatic spacetimes. The efforts of many authors to find numerical solutions of Einstein’s field equations also shows the relevance of this issue. There are, for example, approximated metrics for binary black hole systems in a circular orbit with widely separated, nonrotating black holes by Alvi [3] or a global approach by Gourgoulhon et al. [4].

The extreme Reissner-Nordström (RN) dihole metric describes a system of two charged black holes with compensating gravitational and electrostatic forces and is a version of the two-center problem in general relativity. Thus, a static and analytic spacetime for this special case of a double black hole system exists, which can be used as a comparatively simple model metric to analyze multi-black hole systems.

A first step in the study of curved spacetimes is to consider the motion of test particles. The large diversity of null geodesics in the meridian plane was already pointed out by Chandrasekhar [5]. In contrast to a corresponding Newtonian system, the relativistic motion can be chaotic as was shown by Yurtsever [6]. Here, we are particularly interested in the existence of lightlike and timelike circular geodesics. Because of their simplicity, they provide a good starting point for exploring a metric. In general, they play an important role in analyzing a spacetime, as indicated by numerous publications on this topic, including Pugliese et al. [7], Chowdhury et al. [8], or Bini et al. [9].

The compensating attractive and repelling forces in the dihole system are motivated by classical mechanics. However, a strict general relativistic calculation shows the consistency of this picture with the Einstein-Maxwell equations; see [5, 10]. Thus, in this context, it is also of interest how far the dynamics of the classical and the general relativistic systems differ. In this paper, we analyze circular orbits in the general relativistic case. A brief overview of the classical analogon for massive particles is given in Appendix B and shows a remarkable relationship to its general relativistic counterpart, but also some significant differences.

For discussing the dynamics in the extreme RN dihole spacetime, we distinguish between two cases. The first one is the motion in the equatorial plane of the extreme RN dihole metric for equal masses. In this case, we have an effective two-body problem similar to the case of a naked singularity of the simple Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime, which we will show with calculations related to the paper by Pugliese et al. [11]. They studied the existence of circular orbits in the RN metric as well as their stability for black holes and naked singularities by discussing the effective potential. They also investigated the motion of a charged particle in the RN metric [12]. Our main contribution is the discussion and analysis of the second case, namely circular orbits outside the equatorial plane, which are, to the best of our knowledge, not covered in previous literature.
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II. EXTREME REISSNER-NORDSTROM DIHOLE METRIC

The extreme RN dihole metric reads

\[ ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\frac{dt^2}{U^2} + U^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \]  

with

\[ U(x, y, z) = 1 + \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - 1)^2}} + \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z + 1)^2}} \]  

(see [3]) and describes a system of two extreme RN black holes with masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) at positions \( r_1 = (0, 0, z_1 = 1) \) and \( r_2 = (0, 0, z_2 = -1) \) and charges of the same sign. The gravitational attraction is compensated by the electrostatic repulsion. For the form and properties of the RN metric, see for example [10] [13] [14]. The metric [1] is given in geometric units, where the speed of light \( c \) and Newton’s gravitational constant \( G \) are normalized to unity. The extreme RN dihole metric is a special case of the Majumdar-Papapetrou spacetimes (see for example [10]), which describe an arbitrary number of extreme RN black holes with compensating gravitational and electrostatic forces. This interpretation goes back to Hartle and Hawking [15].

Since the system [1] is axisymmetric with respect to the \( z \) axis, we use cylindrical coordinates. The metric then transforms to

\[ ds^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{U^2} + U^2 (d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\varphi^2 + dz^2) \]  

with

\[ U(\rho, z) = 1 + \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - 1)^2}} + \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + 1)^2}}. \]  

(4)

We call \( z = 0 \) the equatorial plane. A plane containing both singularities is denoted a meridian plane.

From Eq. (3), we obtain the Lagrangian of a neutral test particle

\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\dot{t}^2}{U^2} + U^2 (\dot{\rho}^2 + \rho^2 \dot{\varphi}^2 + \dot{z}^2) \right]. \]  

(5)

Here, the dot denotes differentiation with respect to an affine parameter \( \lambda \), which in the case of a timelike particle can be identified with its proper time. Additionally, the constraint \( g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = \kappa \) has to be fulfilled, with \( \kappa = 0 \) for null geodesics and \( \kappa = -1 \) for timelike geodesics. In this paper, the metric signature sign(\( g_{\mu\nu} \)) = +2 is used.

Combining this constraint and Eq. (2) immediately yields \( \mathcal{L} = \kappa/2 = \text{const} \). Further constants of motion follow from the cyclic coordinates \( t \) and \( \varphi \):

\[ E := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{t}} = -\frac{\dot{t}}{U^2}, \quad L_z := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \rho^2 U^2 \dot{\varphi}. \]  

(6)

\( E \) represents the energy of a particle and \( L_z \) its angular momentum with respect to the \( z \) axis. With these constants, Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

\[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\rho}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{z}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\rho, z) = \frac{E^2}{2} \]  

(7)

with the effective potential

\[ V_{\text{eff}}(\rho, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L_z^2}{\rho^2 U^4(\rho, z)} - \frac{\kappa}{U^2(\rho, z)} \right) \]  

(8)

and \( U(\rho, z) \) from Eq. (4). We choose the initial direction of a particle with respect to a local tetrad. For the metric [9], the tetrad vectors can be chosen as

\[ e_{(t)} = U \partial_t, \quad e_{(\rho)} = \frac{1}{U} \partial_\rho, \quad e_{(\varphi)} = \frac{1}{\rho U} \partial_\varphi, \quad e_{(z)} = \frac{1}{U} \partial_z. \]  

(9)

Then, an initial direction \( \mathbf{u} \) can be given by

\[ \mathbf{u} = \pm u^{(t)} e_{(t)} + \psi \mathbf{n} \]  

(10)

with \( \mathbf{n} = \sin \chi \cos \xi e_{(\rho)} + \sin \chi \sin \xi e_{(\varphi)} + \cos \chi e_{(z)} \). The sign in front of \( u^{(t)} \) determines the direction of time. For a lightlike particle, we have \( u^{(t)} = \psi = 1 \), and for a timelike particle \( u^{(t)} = \gamma \), \( \psi = 1 \), with the local velocity \( \beta \) and the corresponding Lorentz factor \( \gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \). In the case of a timelike particle, \( \mathbf{u} \) can be identified with its four-velocity.

With respect to the initial direction [10] the constants of motion \( E \) and \( L_z \) can also be written as

\[ E = -\frac{\psi}{U}, \quad L_z = \psi \sin \chi \sin \xi \rho U. \]  

(11)

It is a priori obvious that there is a point of equilibrium \( z_{\text{eq}} \) on the \( z \) axis, where the gravitational forces of the two masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) on a timelike test particle \( (\kappa = -1) \) compensate each other. A timelike particle with vanishing initial velocity at this point remains at rest, which is impossible for a lightlike particle. We can calculate \( z_{\text{eq}} \) from Eq. (11) by considering a test particle with initial conditions \( \rho(0) = \rho(0) = 0 \). In this case, \( L_z \) from Eq. (11) vanishes and thus we have

\[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{z}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(z) = \frac{E^2}{2} \quad \text{with} \quad V_{\text{eff}}(z) = \frac{1}{2U^2(z)} \]  

(12)

and

\[ U(z) = 1 + \frac{M_1}{|1 - z|} + \frac{M_2}{|1 + z|}. \]  

(13)

For null geodesics, the effective potential would vanish. Figure [1] shows \( V_{\text{eff}}(z) \) for some combinations of the two masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). The point of equilibrium \( z_{\text{eq}} \) is given by the local maximum in the interval \((-1; 1)\).

The condition \( \partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial z = 0 \) yields

\[ z_{\text{eq}}(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + q - 2\sqrt{q}}{1-q} & : q \neq 1 \\ 0 & : q = 1 \end{cases} \]  

(14)
III. CIRCULAR ORBITS IN THE EQUATORIAL PLANE

Since the extreme RN dihole spacetime is axisymmetric, we define a circular orbit as an axisymmetric closed orbit. Therefore, all circular geodesics fulfill \( \partial V_{\text{eff}} / \partial z = 0 \) and \( \partial V_{\text{eff}} / \partial \rho = 0 \) with \( V_{\text{eff}}(\rho, z) \) from Eq. (8). The first condition leads to \( \partial U / \partial z = 0 \), both for null and timelike geodesics. This results in the expression

\[
M_1 = M_2 = 1 + z \left[ 1 - \frac{4z}{\rho^2 + (z + 1)^2} \right]^{3/2},
\]  

which shows that circular orbits can only exist in the range \( z \in (-1; 1) \), because both masses have to be positive. Furthermore, for \( z = 0 \), Eq. (15) becomes independent of the radius \( \rho \), and it follows \( M_1 = M_2 \). Therefore, a particle in the equatorial plane \( (z = 0) \) with zero initial velocity components normal to this plane remains there, if the masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are equal. In this case, we define \( M := M_1 = M_2 \) and Eq. (15) simplifies to

\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = \frac{E^2}{2}
\]

with

\[
V_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L^2}{\rho^2 U^4(\rho)} - \frac{\kappa}{U^2(\rho)} \right)
\]

and

\[
U(\rho) = 1 + \frac{2M}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 1}}.
\]

A. Null geodesics

The effective potential (17) for null geodesics is shown in Fig. 3 for different values of the mass \( M \) and the angular momentum \( L_z \). The radii of circular orbits follow from the extremal points of that effective potential. The condition \( \partial V_{\text{eff}} / \partial \rho = 0 \) for \( \kappa = 0 \) leads to the expression

\[
(\rho^2 + 1)^{3/2} = 2M(\rho^2 - 1),
\]

which has solutions only for \( \rho \geq 1 \). Substituting \( \eta := \rho^2 + 1 \) in Eq. (19) yields the cubic equation

\[
\eta^3 - 4M^2 \eta^2 + 16M^2 \eta - 16M^2 = 0
\]

with the constraint \( \eta \geq 2 \) because of \( \rho \geq 1 \). This cubic equation can be solved using Cardano’s formulas. Taking \( \eta \geq 2 \) into account, we obtain

\[
\eta_1(M) = \frac{4}{3} \left[ M^2 + 2M \sqrt{M^2 - 3} \cos \left( \frac{\Phi}{3} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\eta_2(M) = \frac{4}{3} \left[ M^2 - 2M \sqrt{M^2 - 3} \cos \left( \frac{\pi + \Phi}{3} \right) \right]
\]

with

\[
\Phi(M) := \arccos \left( \frac{27 - 36M^2 + 8M^4}{8M \sqrt{(M^2 - 3)^3}} \right).
\]

The quantities \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined only for \( M \geq \tilde{M} \) with

\[
\tilde{M} := \sqrt{\frac{27}{8}} \approx 1.83712,
\]

which follows from the roots of the discriminant of the cubic (20). The two corresponding photon orbits with
radii \( \rho_{p1} \) and \( \rho_{p2} \) then follow from \( \rho = \sqrt{\eta - 1} \). The radius \( \rho_{p1}(M) \) is a local maximum of \( V_{eff}(\rho) \) and thus the orbit is an unstable circular orbit. The radius \( \rho_{p2}(M) \) is a local minimum of \( V_{eff}(\rho) \) and thus the orbit is stable in a local sense along the \( \rho \) direction. Both photon orbits are shown in Fig. 4.

The existence of a local maximum in the effective potential implies the existence of an asymptotic trajectory to this photon orbit. To see this we consider an observer at distance \( \rho_0 \) in the equatorial plane that emits a light ray at angle \( \xi \) (see Fig. 5). Such an asymptotic orbit has to fulfill

\[
V_{\text{max}} := V_{\text{eff}}(\rho_{p1}) = \frac{E^2}{2}
\]  

[see also Fig. 3(c)]. The constants of motion \( E \) and \( L_z \) can be expressed with respect to the local tetrad according to Eq. \ref{eq:const_1} with \( z = 0 \) and \( \chi = \pi/2 \). Equation \ref{eq:const_2} then reads

\[
\frac{\rho_0^2 U^2(\rho_0) \sin^2 \xi}{2 \rho_{p1}^2 U^4(\rho_{p1})} = \frac{1}{2U^2(\rho_0)}
\]  

and from this, we obtain

\[
\xi(\rho_0) = \begin{cases} 
\arcsin \left( \frac{\rho_{p1} U^2(\rho_0)}{\rho_0 U^2(\rho_{p1})} \right) & : \rho_0 \geq \rho_{p1} \\
\pi - \arcsin \left( \frac{\rho_{p1} U^2(\rho_0)}{\rho_0 U^2(\rho_{p1})} \right) & : \rho_0 \leq \rho_{p1},
\end{cases}
\]

with \( U(\rho) \) from Eq. \ref{eq:mu}. Typical curves of \( \xi(\rho_0) \) are shown in Fig. 6. The function is only defined if the photon orbit \( \rho_{p1} \) exists. For an observer at this orbit, the angle is \( \xi = 90^\circ \) in accordance with the similar situation.

FIG. 3. (color online) Effective potentials for a lightlike particle with different angular momenta \( L_z \) for three different values of \( M \). (a) \( M = 1 \): There are no extremal points. (b) \( M = \bar{M} \approx \sqrt{27/8} \): There is one photon orbit. (c) \( M = 2.5 \): There are two photon orbits. The radius \( \rho_{p1} \) with \( V_{\text{eff}}(\rho_{p1}) = V_{\text{eff}}(\rho_{p1}) \) and \( \rho_{p1} \neq \rho_{p2} \) is also shown.
FIG. 5. (color online) A light ray is emitted in the equatorial plane from $\rho_0$ at an angle $\xi$ and asymptotically approaches the photon orbit $\rho_{p1}$.

FIG. 6. (color online) The angle $\xi(\rho_0)$ from Eq. (26) in degrees for $M = \bar{M} = \sqrt{27}/8$ and $M = 2.5$.

FIG. 7. (color online) Effective potentials for a timelike particle in the case $M = 1$ with different angular momenta $L_z$. In this and the following figures, the radii $\rho_{lsco}$ and $\rho_{lusco}$ stand for the last radially stable and unstable circular orbits, and are obtained from Eq. (29). Further information on their nomenclature is in the text.

FIG. 8. (color online) Effective potentials for a timelike particle in the case $M = 1.5$ with different angular momenta $L_z$. Further information on their nomenclature is in the text.

FIG. 9. (color online) Effective potentials for a timelike particle in the case $M = \bar{M} = \sqrt{27}/8 \approx 1.837$ with different angular momenta $L_z$.

FIG. 10. (color online) Effective potentials for a timelike particle in the case $M = 2.5$ with different angular momenta $L_z$. Further information on their nomenclature is in the text.
in the Schwarzschild metric (compare with Müller [16]), which can also be easily expanded to the RN metric.

**B. Timelike geodesics**

The circular orbits of a timelike test particle follow from the extremal points of the effective potential in Eq. (17) for \( \kappa = -1 \). This function is shown in Figs. 7–10 for different masses \( M \) and angular momenta \( L_z \).

Here, the position of circular orbits depends on \( L_z \) in contrast to the lightlike case. In the potential, \( L_z \) appears only quadratic; thus, when considering \( L_z^2 \), we do not have to distinguish between the circumferential direction on the circular geodesics. For the search of extremal points, we have to solve the equation \( \partial V_{\text{eff}} / \partial \rho = 0 \), which can be resolved with respect to \( L_z^2 / 2 \). Again, we substitute \( \eta := \rho^2 + 1 \) and obtain

\[
L_z^2(\eta) = \frac{M \left[ \eta^3 + 4M\eta^{3/2} + (4M^2 - 2)\eta^2 - 8\eta^{3/2} \right]}{\eta(\eta^{3/2} - 2M\eta + 4M)} + \frac{M \left[ (1 - 8M^2)\eta + 4M\eta^{1/2} + 4M^2 \right]}{\eta(\eta^{3/2} - 2M\eta + 4M)}.
\]

(27)

Next, we replace \( L_z^2 / 2 \) in the effective potential from Eq. (17). Using \( \dot{\rho} = 0 \), we obtain from Eq. (16) the expression

\[
\frac{E^2(\eta)}{2} = \frac{\eta(\eta^{3/2} + 2M)}{2(\eta^{1/2} + 2M)^2(\eta^{3/2} - 2M\eta + 4M)}.
\]

(28)

These two functions give the angular momentum and the energy of a particle on a circular orbit with radius \( \rho = \sqrt{\eta - 1} \). They are plotted in Figs. 11–14 as functions of \( \rho \) for different masses \( M \). The functions have singularities at the photon orbits \( \rho_{\text{ph}1/2} \) and are negative in between. The angular momentum has the root \( \eta = 1 \), which corresponds to the radius \( \rho = 0 \). This value represents the circular orbit at the center point of the two masses with vanishing radius and coincides with the point of equilibrium from Eq. (14).

From Figs. 11–14 it is obvious that there is a different number of circular orbits for different values of \( M \) and \( L_z^2 / 2 \). For an exact analysis, the extremal points have to be calculated. Both \( \partial L_z^2 / \partial \eta = 0 \) and \( \partial E^2 / \partial \eta = 0 \) lead to the condition

\[
f(\eta) := \eta^3 - 6M\eta^{5/2} + \eta^2 + 22M\eta^{3/2} + 16M^2 = 0.
\]

(29)

Additionally, as in the lightlike case, we will analyze the stability of the circular orbits in the \( \rho \) direction. To do so, we consider \( \partial^2 V_{\text{eff}} / \partial \rho^2 = 0 \) and again use the substitution \( \eta = \rho^2 + 1 \) and Eq. (27). The result is also the expression from Eq. (29). Thus, the extremal points of \( L_z^2 / 2 \) and \( E^2 / 2 \) are linked directly to the reflection points.
of $V_{\text{eff}}(\rho)$, and we obtain the radial stability of a circular orbit from the sign of their derivative (such as those shown in the plots of $E^2/2$ in Figs. 11–14).

In Fig. 13 we present $f(\eta)$ of Eq. (29) for several values of $M$. The existence of roots depends on the explicit value of $M$. As shown in Fig. 15 there is a characteristic value $M_*$ that divides the $M$ range in an interval with no roots of $f(\eta)$ and in an interval with two roots. For $M = M_*$, there is exactly one root. This mass $M_*$ follows from $f(\eta) = 0$ and $f'(\eta) = 0$ and is given by

$$M_* = \frac{(13 + \sqrt{129})\sqrt{710 + 70\sqrt{129}}}{50(7 + \sqrt{129})} \approx 1.02949.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Equation (29) is discussed numerically. If roots exist ($M \geq M_*$), they are called $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$ and $\rho_{\text{luco}}$, and are shown in Fig. 16. The subscript “lsco” (last stable circular orbit) is motivated by the analogy to the corresponding radius known from the Schwarzschild [17] or the RN spacetime [11]. Here, however, we refer only to the radial stability. Furthermore, $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$ is the boundary where the radial stability character changes (compare with Figs. 12–14). For $\rho \leq \rho_{\text{lsco}}$, the circular orbits are unstable, and for $\rho > \rho_{\text{lsco}}$, they are radially stable. The radius $\rho_{\text{luco}}$ exhibits the opposite behavior, and for this reason we use the subscript “luco” (last unstable circular orbit).

In fact, for $\rho < \rho_{\text{luco}}$, there again appears a domain with radially stable orbits, and the innermost stable circular orbit is given by $\eta = 1$, which corresponds to $\rho = 0$. Nevertheless, we will call the local minimum of $E^2/2$ and $L_2^2/2$ the last stable circular orbit. For $M \geq \bar{M}$, the numerical solution of Eq. (29) corresponding to $\rho_{\text{luco}}$ is between the two photon orbits $\rho_{p1}$ and $\rho_{p2}$; thus $\rho_{\text{luco}}$ does not exist in this $M$ range. The radii $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$ and $\rho_{\text{luco}}$ are also marked in Figs. 8–10 and Figs. 12–14.

The regions with different numbers of circular orbits are bounded by $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$ and $\rho_{\text{luco}}$ or $\eta_{\text{lsco}}$ and $\eta_{\text{luco}}$, respectively. Inserting these numerical values into Eq. (27), we

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure13.png}
\caption{(color online) The functions $E^2(\rho)/2$ and $L_2^2(\rho)/2$ for $M = M = \sqrt{27}/8 \approx 1.837$. There can be one, two, or three circular orbits. The area for $L_2^2/2$ with three circular orbits no longer has an upper boundary (see also Fig. 9). The singularity is given by the two degenerate photon orbits $\rho_{p1/2}$ and the local minimum by $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$, which follows from solving Eq. (29).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure14.png}
\caption{(color online) The functions $E^2(\rho)/2$ and $L_2^2(\rho)/2$ for $M = 2.5$ as an example of the interval $M > \bar{M}$. The behavior is qualitatively similar to the case $M = \bar{M}$. The corresponding effective potential is shown in Fig. 10. Between the two photon orbits $\rho_{p1}$ and $\rho_{p2}$, there cannot be any circular orbits. The local minimum is given by $\rho_{\text{lsco}}$ and follows from solving Eq. (29).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure15.png}
\caption{(color online) The function $f(\eta)$ from Eq. (29) for different mass values. The number of roots depends on $M$.}
\end{figure}
obtain corresponding boundary lines in the \((M, L_z^2/2)\) plane. These are displayed in Fig. 17. The figure shows the number of circular timelike orbits with dependence on the parameters \(M\) and \(L_z^2/2\) of the effective potential from Eq. (17).

To remain on a circular orbit a particular velocity is necessary. From Eq. (11), we obtain the angular momentum \(L_z\) of a timelike particle. We restrict ourselves to circular orbits \((\xi = \pi/2)\) in the equatorial plane \((\chi = \pi/2)\) and obtain

\[
\frac{L_z^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta - 1) \left(1 + \frac{2M}{\sqrt{\eta}}\right)^2 (\gamma^2 - 1),
\]

with \(\eta := \rho^2 + 1\). Comparing this relation with Eq. (27) leads to the necessary local velocity \(\beta(\eta)\) on the circular orbit with radius \(\rho(\eta)\) depending on the parameter \(M\).

\[
\beta(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{2M\rho^2}{2M + (\rho^2 + 1)^{3/2}}}
\]

This function is shown for several masses \(M\) in Fig. 18. At \(\rho = 0\), we have \(\beta = 0\), which again is the degenerated circular orbit at the point of equilibrium. At the photon orbits \(\rho_{p1/2}\), the velocity is \(\beta = 1\), and in between it becomes \(\beta > 1\). Thus, there are no timelike circular orbits in the range \(\rho_{p2} \leq \rho \leq \rho_{p1}\). This agrees with \(L_z^2/2\) and \(E_z^2/2\) from Eqs. (27) and (28), which are singular at the photon orbits and undefined in between.

### IV. Circular Orbits outside the Equatorial Plane

In Sec. 11, we have shown, by means of Eq. (15), that lightlike and timelike circular orbits only exist for \(z \in (-1; 1)\). For \(z = 0\), this equation was independent of \(\rho\) and implied \(M_1 = M_2\). For \(0 < |z| < 1\), Eq. (15) can be rearranged to

\[
\rho^2 = \frac{4z}{1 - \left(\frac{M_1}{M_2}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2/3} - (z + 1)^2},
\]

which now shows a direct dependence on the radius \(\rho\) and the height \(z\) for the motion with \(\dot{z} = 0\). The existence of circular orbits outside the equatorial plane can be understood classically, at least for massive particles, by analyzing the gravitational forces; see Appendix 13. Photon orbits are a purely relativistic effect.

The radius \(\rho(z)\) depends only on the mass ratio \(q := M_1/M_2\), and is shown as a function of \(z\) in Fig. 19 for several values of \(q\). For equal masses \((q = 1)\), all heights \(z\) with \(0 < |z| < 1\) are possible candidates for circular orbits. In the case of unequal masses \((q \neq 1)\), there is a domain without allowed radii \((\rho \geq 0)\), which is bounded by the two characteristic heights \(z_{\text{sing}}(q)\) and \(z_{\text{equiv}}(q)\). The boundary \(z_{\text{equiv}}(q)\) agrees with the point of
equilibrium from Eq. (14) (see also Fig. 2) and results from root-finding of $\rho(z)$. The singularity $z_{\text{sgn}}(q)$ follows from the root of the denominator in Eq. (33) and is given by

$$z_{\text{sgn}}(q) = \frac{q-1}{q+1}, \quad (34)$$

a quantity already shown in Fig. 2. The more the ratio $q$ of the two masses differs from unity, the more extended the interval between $z_{\text{equ}}$ and $z_{\text{sgn}}$ becomes.

Equation (33) follows from $\partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial z = 0$ with the effective potential (8). For a circular orbit, we also have to fulfill $\partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \rho = 0$.

### A. Null geodesics

First, we consider the case in which both masses are equal, $M := M_1 = M_2$. The prerequisite $\partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \rho = 0$ leads to

$$U + 2\rho \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho} = 0. \quad (35)$$

Using $U(\rho, z)$ from Eq. (4) and $\rho^2(z)$ from Eq. (33), the expression (35) yields the root-finding problem

$$f_e(z) := [4A_e(z) - (1 + z)^2] \left( \frac{M}{[A_e(z)]^2} + \frac{M}{[A_e(z) - z]^2} \right) - 2 \left( 2 + \frac{M}{[A_e(z)]^2} + \frac{M}{[A_e(z) - z]^2} \right) = 0 \quad (36)$$

with

$$A_e(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \left( \frac{1}{1+z^2} \right)^{2/3}}. \quad (37)$$

The index “e” designates the case of equal masses. Figure 20 shows $f_e(z)$ for several $M$. In the range $0 < M < M'$, with a characteristic mass $M'$, there are always two circular orbits at heights $z_{p\pm}$. The numerical value of $M'$ is given by

$$M' \approx 2.598076. \quad (38)$$

![FIG. 19. (color online) Radius $\rho(z)$ of a circular orbit at height $z$ above the equatorial plane for several mass ratios $q$; see Eq. (33). In the definition range $z \in (-1; +1)$, there is an additionally forbidden domain for $q \neq 1$ between $z_{\text{equ}}$ and $z_{\text{sgn}}$.](image1)

![FIG. 20. (color online) The function $f_e(z)$ from Eq. (36) for several masses $M$. The heights of the photon orbits are given by the roots. Their number depends on the concrete value of $M$.](image2)
For $M > M'$, there are no intersections with the abscissa and no circular orbits. In the limiting case $M = M'$, the only root is $z_{p\pm} = 0$, which here does not lie in the range of definition. The results of the numerical analysis of Eq. (36) are shown in Fig. 21. The corresponding radii $\rho_{p\pm}$ follow from Eq. (33). For each $z$ with $0 < |z| < 1$, there is exactly one $M \in (0, M')$ such that $z$ is the height of a photon orbit. This is also confirmed by the comparison of Fig. 21(b) with Fig. 19(a).

For unequal masses $M_1$ and $M_2$, we find the same equation (36), but with

$$A_u \left( z, \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right) = \frac{z}{1 - \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^{2/3}}, \quad (39)$$

instead of $A_u(z)$ from Eq. (37). In this case, we will abbreviate the root-finding problem with

$$f_u(z) = 0. \quad (40)$$

The solutions will be called $z_{p_i}$ with an enumerating index $i$. The corresponding radii are $\rho_{p_i}$. Figure 21(b) shows $f_u(z)$ for several significant combinations of $M_1$ and $M_2$. The function depends on both masses, not only on their ratio as $\rho(z)$ from Eq. (33). Because of the symmetry we can restrict ourselves to $M_1 > M_2$ at first; thus, we have $z_{\text{equ}} < 0$ and $z_{\text{sing}} > 0$, without loss of generality.

For $f_u(z)$, we find the following limiting values:

$$\lim_{z \to z_{\text{sing}}^+} f_u(z) = -4, \quad \lim_{z \to 0^-} f_u(z) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{z \to \pm 1} f_u(z) = \infty. \quad (41)$$

Consequently, there are at least two photon orbits. In the interval $(-1; 0)$, the function is monotonic but not necessarily for $z \in (z_{\text{sing}}; +1)$. Thus, up to four roots can exist. Here, the two-dimensional parameter space and the complexity of Eq. (40) make exact analysis difficult. Significant aspects, however, become clear on the basis of the following two examples.

First, we solve Eq. (40) numerically for variable $M_1$, where $M_2 = 1$ is fixed (see Fig. 23). There are two photon orbits at $z_{p1}$ and $z_{p2}$ with corresponding radii $\rho_{p1}$ and $\rho_{p2}$. For $M_1 = 1$, the masses are equal and $z_{p1} = -z_{p2}$ in agreement with the already discussed case with equal masses (see also Fig. 21).

As a further example, we fix $M_2 = 1.8$, and $M_1$ remains variable. The missing monotonicity of $f_u(z)$ for $z \in (z_{\text{sing}}; +1)$ for some combinations of $M_1$ and $M_2$ leads to an area of $M_1$ with four photon orbits. Figure 24 shows the numerical analysis of Eq. (40).
B. Timelike geodesics

As in the lightlike case, Eq. (33) has to be fulfilled. To stay on a circular orbit the test particle must have the local velocity

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{U}{U + \rho \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho}}} - 1; \quad (42)$$

See Appendix A. Here, we have to use $\rho(z)$ from Eq. (33) and $U(\rho(z), z)$ from Eq. (4). For $\beta = 1$, Eq. (42) consistently simplifies to Eq. (33). As in the lightlike case, we distinguish between the cases with equal and unequal masses. The function $\beta(z)$ is shown in Fig. 25 for some values of $M := M_1 = M_2$. Since $\beta < 1$, we can see that there are regions of $z$ where no timelike circular orbits are possible. The boundaries ($\beta = 1$) are given by the photon orbits, with $z_{p \pm}$ calculated in Sec. IV A. Because of the absence of photon orbits outside the equatorial plane for $M \geq M^\prime$ [Eq. (38)], there are also no timelike circular orbits outside.

For the case of unequal masses $M_1$ and $M_2$, the velocity $\beta(z)$ is plotted for several combinations of the mass values in Fig. 26. Again, we have an invalid domain between the two characteristic values $z_{\text{equ}}$ and $z_{\text{sing}}$. At the point of equilibrium, we have $\beta(z_{\text{equ}}) = 0$ and $\rho(z_{\text{equ}}) = 0$ with $\rho(z)$ according to Eq. (33), i.e., a circular orbit that is degenerated to a point at $z_{\text{equ}}$, consistent with Sec. II. The appearance of $z_{\text{sing}}$ with $\beta \to 0$ for $z \to z_{\text{sing}+}$ has a special reason. For $z \to z_{\text{sing}+}$, we have $\rho \to \infty$; thus, in this limit, there is a circular orbit with infinite radius at this height. The velocity decreases with growing radial distance, which yields a vanishing velocity in the limiting case. These two characteristic heights and the photon orbits delimit the domain with possible timelike circular orbits. The number of photon orbits can vary between two and four (see also Sec. IV A).
FIG. 23. (color online) Numerical analysis of Eq. (40) for fixed $M_2 = 1$ and variable $M_1$. Shown are (a) the heights $z_{p1/2}$ and the corresponding radii $\rho_{p1/2}$ obtained from Eq. (33) depending on (b) the heights $z$ and (c) the mass $M_1$. For all $M_1$, there are two photon orbits.

FIG. 24. (color online) Numerical analysis of Eq. (40) for fixed $M_2 = 1.8$ and variable $M_1$. Shown are (a) the heights $z_{p1/2/3/4}$ and the corresponding radii $\rho_{p1/2/3/4}$ obtained from Eq. (33) depending on (b) the heights $z$ and (c) the mass $M_1$. There is a range of $M_1$ with four photon orbits (shaded domain).
FIG. 25. (color online) Local velocity $\beta(z)$ from Eq. (42) for a circular orbit at height $z$. Shown are plots for equal masses $M := M_1 = M_2$. The domain with existing photon orbits is bounded by the photon orbits discussed in Sec. IV.A.

FIG. 26. (color online) Local velocity $\beta(z)$ from Eq. (42) for a circular orbit at height $z$. Shown are plots for several combinations of the masses $M_1$ and $M_2$. The domain with existing photon orbits is bounded by the photon orbits discussed in Sec. IV.A and the characteristic heights $z_{\text{equ}}$ and $z_{\text{sing}}$.

FIG. 27. (color online) Edge-on view of circular orbits for $M_1 = M_2 = 1.5$. The photon orbits are located at $z_{p}\pm \approx \pm 0.80324$ with $\rho_{p}\pm \approx 0.95502$. In the plane $z = z_{\text{sing}} = z_{\text{equ}} = 0$, there are no photon orbits but an arbitrary number of timelike orbits (compare with Secs. III.A and III.B.).
FIG. 28. (color online) Edge-on view of circular orbits for $M_1 = 2$ and $M_2 = 1.5$. The photon orbits are located at $z_{p1} \approx 0.832490$ with $\rho_{p1} \approx 0.80124$ and $z_{p2} \approx 0.35591$ with $\rho_{p2} \approx 1.89345$. The plane with $z = z_{\text{sing}} \approx 0.14286$ marks the orbit with infinite radius and vanishing velocity. The point on the $z$ axis at $z = z_{\text{equ}} \approx -0.07180$ is the circular orbit degenerated to a point.

FIG. 29. (color online) Edge-on view of circular orbits for $M_1 = 2$ and $M_2 = 1.8$. There are four photon orbits with heights $z_{p1} \approx -0.7290$, $z_{p2} \approx 0.08139$, $z_{p3} \approx 0.16681$, and $z_{p4} \approx 0.55673$, and radii $\rho_{p1} \approx 1.00515$, $\rho_{p2} \approx 2.72863$, $\rho_{p3} \approx 1.81819$, and $\rho_{p4} \approx 1.31671$. The plane with $z = z_{\text{sing}} \approx 0.05263$ marks the orbit with infinite radius and vanishing velocity. The point on the $z$ axis at $z = z_{\text{equ}} \approx 0.02633$ is the circular orbit degenerated to a point. In this case, there are three $z$ domains, where timelike circular orbits are possible inside.

C. Some explicit cases

We conclude with the presentation of three examples that show the three-dimensional structure of lightlike and timelike circular orbits outside the equatorial plane of the extreme RN dihole metric. Figures 27, 29 were created using the GeodesicViewer by Müller and Grave [18]. This interactive visualization tool numerically integrates the geodesic equation with initial conditions with respect to a local tetrad system, Eq. (9). The heights $z_{p1}$ of the photon orbits follow from the numerical solutions of Eqs. (36) or (40), respectively, and the corresponding radii $\rho_{p1}$ from Eq. (33). For the timelike orbits, a $z$ value from an allowed domain is given; the corresponding radius $\rho$ and velocity $\beta$ then follow from Eqs. (35) and (12).

V. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed the existence and structure of circular orbits in the extreme Reissner-Nordström dihole metric for lightlike and timelike test particles and found big differences between the different cases. We have also shown that such orbits can only exist in heights $z$ between the two singularities.

In the classification of the circular orbits, at first, we had to distinguish between two cases. First, we have considered a test particle restricted to the equatorial plane of the dihole system with equal masses. In this case, the dynamics reduces to an effective two-body problem and the structure is similar to a single Reissner-Nordström naked singularity investigated by Pugliese et al. [11]. The number of photon orbits depends on the concrete mass value: There are no orbits for $M < M$ and two for $M > M$. For $M = M$, we have exactly one photon orbit. Time-like circular geodesics are possible for all radii, except in the domain between the photon orbits, if such orbits exist. Furthermore, we have analyzed the stability behavior in the radial direction. In future work, this could be extended to a global stability analysis.

In the second case, we have focused on circular orbits outside the equatorial plane for arbitrary combinations of the two mass values. For both lightlike and timelike geodesics, their radii are determined by the same expression depending on the height of the orbit and on the mass ratio. We have found big differences for equal and unequal masses. In the case of equal masses, there are two nonequatorial photon orbits for $M < M’$. Their heights delimit the range where timelike circular orbits are possible. For $M \geq M’$, there are no photon orbits and thus no timelike circular geodesics. For unequal masses, in general, there is a forbidden domain between the characteristic heights $z_{\text{equ}}$ and $z_{\text{sing}}$. Depending on the combination of the two masses, we find between two and four photon orbits. Here, $z_{\text{equ}}$, $z_{\text{sing}}$, and the heights of the photon orbits are the boundaries of the ranges with possible timelike orbits. In the case of nonequatorial circular orbits, further stability analysis would also be of interest. The extreme Reissner-Nordström dihole metric is a static axisymmetric spacetime, and thus the paper of Bardeen [19] could be helpful in this context.

In this article, we have studied neutral particles. Because of the charges of the two centers of the dihole system, trajectories of charged test particles would give an even deeper insight into the structure of this spacetime. Moreover, the Majumdar-Papapetrou spacetimes offer the possibility to study geodesics in more complex multi black hole configurations. For such discussions, the results of this work could be very helpful as reference values. Even though such multi black hole spacetimes are unlikely to be realized in nature, they could demonstrate the diversity of particle orbits in general relativity which we will consider in future work.
Appendix A: Timelike circular worldline in the extreme Reissner-Nordstrøm dihole metric

The four-velocity \( u \) of a timelike particle can be given with respect to a local tetrad [see Eq. (10)]. We consider a circular worldline (\( \xi = \pi/2 \)) with no component in the \( e_z \) direction (\( \chi = \pi/2 \)). Therefore, the components \( u^\rho \) and \( u^z \) have to vanish. The four-velocity then reads

\[
\mathbf{u} = c\gamma (\mathbf{e}_\xi + \beta \mathbf{e}_\phi) \equiv \mathbf{u}_\xi = \left( u^\rho, u^\phi, 0, 0 \right) \tag{A1}
\]

with \( U(\rho, z) \) from Eq. (4). The four-velocity \( \mathbf{u} \) can be formally integrated to the circular worldline \( x^\mu(\lambda) \) parametrized by the affine parameter \( \lambda \). The four-velocity \( \mathbf{u} \) is independent of \( \lambda \) because \( \rho \) and \( z \) are constant on the circular worldline. The four-acceleration can be calculated according to

\[
a^\mu = \frac{du^\mu}{d\lambda} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta \tag{A2}
\]

with the Christoffel symbols of the second kind \( \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \). This calculation yields \( a^\rho = a^z = 0 \) and

\[
a^\rho = -\frac{\gamma^2}{\rho U^3} \left[ \rho (1 + \beta^2) \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho} + \beta^2 U \right], \tag{A3a}
\]

\[
a^z = \frac{1}{U^3} \left( 1 - 2 \gamma^2 \right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}. \tag{A3b}
\]

As we are interested in geodesic worldlines, the four-acceleration has to vanish. From \( a^z = 0 \), we obtain \( \partial U/\partial z = 0 \), which leads to the general condition for circular orbits, Eq. (13). Equation (A3a) can be solved to give

\[
\beta = \sqrt{\frac{U}{U + \rho \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho}}} - 1 \tag{A4}
\]

which is an expression for the local velocity \( \beta \) to remain on a circular orbit with radius \( \rho \). With \( U(\rho) \) from Eq. (18), we also obtain the formula for the local velocity in the equatorial plane from Eq. (32).

Appendix B: Classical analog for a massive test particle

In this section, we give a brief overview of the classical analogon to the motion of a neutral and massive test particle in the extreme RN dihole spacetime. In Fig. 30 there is a sketch of this classical system. The masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) have charges \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of the same sign. Both have the ratio \( Q_1/M_1 = 1 \) (Gaussian and geometric units); thus, the gravitational attraction is exactly compensated by the electrostatic repulsion. The mass \( m \) of the test particle is negligible compared to the other masses. Therefore, \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) can be considered as fixed.

The test particle is attracted by the forces \( \mathbf{F}^{(1)} \) and \( \mathbf{F}^{(2)} \) of the two fixed masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). For describing a circular orbit, the \( z \) components of the attracting forces have to compensate each other and the \( \rho \) components have to act as centripetal force \( \mathbf{F}_z = F_\rho^z \mathbf{e}_\rho \).

FIG. 30. (color online) A neutral test particle with mass \( m \) is attracted by the forces \( \mathbf{F}^{(1)} \) and \( \mathbf{F}^{(2)} \) of the two fixed masses \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). For describing a circular orbit, the \( z \) components of the attracting forces have to compensate each other and the \( \rho \) components have to act as centripetal force \( \mathbf{F}_z = F_\rho^z \mathbf{e}_\rho \).
In this equation, $\rho(z)$ and $U(\rho(z), z)$ from Eqs. (33) and (4) have to be used. Figure 31 shows the velocity $v(z)$ on a circular orbit at height $z \neq 0$ for several combinations of $M_1$ and $M_2$. These functions show a behavior qualitatively similar to the relativistic ones of Figs. 25 and 26 but with the important difference that the speed of light, in this classical system, is not an upper boundary of the velocity. Thus, in the case of equal masses, there are circular orbits for all $M$ and, in the case of unequal masses, there are two areas with circular orbits for all $M_1$ and $M_2$.
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