Abstract

Water availability is an important environmental factor that controls flowering time. Many plants accelerate flowering under drought conditions, a phenomenon called drought escape. Four pathways are involved in controlling flowering time, but which ones participate in drought escape is not yet known. In this study, plants with loss-of-function mutations of GIGANTEA (GI) and CONSTANS (CO) exhibited abnormal drought-escape phenotypes. The peak mRNA levels of GI and FKF1 (Flavin-binding Kelch domain F box protein 1) and the mRNA levels of CO and FT (Flowering locus T) changed under drought stress. The microRNA factor miRNA172E was up-regulated by drought stress, and its up-regulation was dependent on GI, while other miRNA172s were not. Water-loss analyses indicated that gi mutants were more sensitive while miRNA172 over-expressing (miRNA172-OX) plants were less so to drought stress than wild-type plants. Digital gene expression and real-time PCR analyses showed that WRKY44 was down-regulated by GI and miRNA172. The WRKY44 protein could interact with TOE1 (a target of miRNA172) in a yeast two-hybrid system. We proposed that GI–miRNA172–WRKY44 may regulate drought escape and drought tolerance by affecting sugar signaling in Arabidopsis.

Introduction

Unlike most animals, plants are sessile organisms. They cannot move to escape the biotic and abiotic stresses that threaten them throughout their life cycles. To adapt to unfavorable and sometimes unexpected conditions, plants have evolved many flexible survival strategies, one of which is the control of flowering time [1,2]. Flowering time is finely tuned because it is of critical importance to successful reproduction and maximal seed set [3].

Flowering time is regulated by multiple environmental and endogenous factors [4]. In general, these factors can be grouped into four genetic pathways: the photoperiod, phytohormone, vernalization, and autonomous pathways [5,6]. These pathways ultimately crosstalk at common targets, such as Flowering Locus T (FT) and Leafy, to promote the transition from vegetative to reproductive phase [4,7]. Also, several microRNAs (miRNAs) participate in these pathways to maintain homeostasis and accurate flowering time, i.e., miRNA159 in the phytohormone pathway [8], miRNA156 in the autonomous pathway [9,10], and miRNA172 in the photoperiod pathway. Notably, miRNA156 inhibits the transcription of miRNA172b via SPL9 and, redundantly, SPL10 [11].

Beside day length [2], phytohormones [12], and vernalization [13], other environmental pressures affect flowering time, including sub-optimal temperature, light quality, oxidative stress, and osmotic stress, via known genetic factors [14-16]. For example, Blazquez proposed that a thermosensory pathway controls flowering time, in which suboptimal temperatures (i.e., 16°C; the optimumal temperature is 23°C ) can inhibit flowering. He proved that ambient temperature affected flowering dependent on FLC (Flowering Locus C) [17]. Strasser proved that the photoperiod pathway, independently mediated by ELF3 and TFL1 affecting expression of SOC1, also participated in the thermosensory pathway [15].

In this study, we considered the regulation of flowering time under drought stress. As the greenhouse effect causes global climate warming, drought is becoming a major agronomic...
threat to crop yields [18,19]. Under excessively dry conditions, plants must balance drought resistance and escape (via reproduction) to maximize the probability of genetic survival. Thus, water availability affects flowering time in many angiosperms [14]. Many terrestrial plants flower earlier when water is deficient, a phenomenon well studied in wheat, *Brassica*, and *Arabidopsis* [20,21], but which pathway is involved in drought escape is not yet clear. Drought has been reported to alter physiological sugar levels. An increase in soluble sugar with a decrease in leaf osmotic potential was observed during drought [22]. The reduced osmotic potential may prevent moisture loss. Also, sucrose promotes flowering in many plant species [23-25]. Feeding sucrose in photosynthetic amounts reversed the floret abortion induced by drought stress [26]. Accelerated flowering under drought will reduce crop yields. Therefore our study focused on the genetic mechanism of accelerated flowering under drought stress in *Arabidopsis*. We observed and characterized drought escape and defense in different genotypes of *Arabidopsis*. Our results confirmed that photoperiod factor *GIGANTEA* (*gi*, CS181), the phytohormone pathway factor *Gibberellic Acid Insensitive* (*gai*, CS63), and the autonomous pathway factor *Flowering Locus C* (*flc*-3, SALK_140021) were purchased from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (http://abrc.osu.edu/). Mutants of the photoperiod pathway factor *CO* (CONSTANS) was kindly provided by Hongquan Yang (Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences).

Drought treatment began about 10 d before normal (CK) flowering. Specifically, at an age of 10 d for WT, 23 d for *gi* and co, 10 d for *flc*, and 14 d for *gai*. The flowering time was counted in days. Three biological replicates were performed. WT (*Col-0*) was also grown under short day (SD; 8/16 h; 23–25°C, 40–60% humidity) conditions and DR treatment beginning at 35 d of age.

### Expression Analyses of Photoperiod Pathway Genes

Real-time PCR analysis of rhythmic expression of photoperiod pathway-related genes. Rhythmic expression of four photoperiod pathway genes, *GI*, *FKF1* (Flavin-binding Kelch domain F box protein 1), *CO* (CONSTANS), and *FT*, was detected by real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Expression of *ACTIN11* was the control for all the qRT-PCR. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

WT (*Ler-0*) plants were grown under LD. CK and DR treatments were performed as described above. Drought treatment began at 10 d of age and continued for 10 d. Leaf samples were collected at 4-h intervals for 72 h. Then the DR plants were recovered by watering for 5 d. Leaf samples were again collected every 4 h for 24 h. From each sample, total RNA was isolated and treated with RNase-free DNase (Promega, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Then, 2 µg RNA was used in a reverse transcription reaction (M-MLV RTase cDNA Synthesis Kit; Takara, Kyoto, Japan) with an oligo(T) primer. For qRT-PCR, 1.5 µL of diluted cDNA (1:10) was used as template in 20-µL PCR mixtures according to the manufacturer’s instructions for evaluating gene expression. The Suppression of WRKY44 by GIGANTEA-miR172

### Table 1. Primers of photoperiod pathway genes for real time PCR.

| Gene       | AGLs (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative) | Forward                      | Reverse                       |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| GI         | AT1G22770                           | GGTGCAGCTTTATCCAA TCTA       | CGGACTATTCATCGGTTCCT[64]      |
| CO         | AT5G15840                           | CAGGGACTCTACAAACGACTG      | TCCGGCACAAACACAGATT[65]       |
| FT         | AT1G65480                           | AGATTGCGGAGAAG ACC          | CCAGTTTGAAGAGGATA             |
| FKF        | AT1G68050                           | GAAGTCTCCTACGTGCTATCG       | GATCAACAAATGGTGACG            |
| ACTIN11    | AT3G12110                           | GTCTTTCCCTCTACGCTT          | CTTCAGTTTACGCTCT             |

Note: ACTIN11 was used as the control.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.t001

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant Materials

The *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes *Col-0* and *Ler-0* were used as wild types (WT). Mutants *gi*, co, *gai* were in the *Ler-0* background, and *flc*-3 was in the *Col-0* background. The miRNA172s-OX lines were in the *Col-0* background.

#### Growth Conditions and Drought Treatment

WT and mutant plants were grown in a climate-controlled culture room at 23–25°C with a relative humidity of 40–60% under long day (LD) conditions (16 h light/8 h dark). The plants were grown on a medium containing 9:3:1 vermiculite: sphagnum peatmoss: perlite. The medium was saturated with tap water containing diluted (1000-fold) Hyponex during the first watering. Thereafter, the plants were irrigated with tap water. For a control (CK), plants were thoroughly watered every 4 d without water-logging the soil. For the drought (DR) treatment, the plants were not watered until samples were collected.

#### Flowering Time Estimation

WT plants (*Col-0* and *Ler-0*) and four loss-of-function mutants were used to estimate the flowering time under drought stress. Mutants of the photoperiod pathway factor *GIGANTEA* (*gi*, CS181), the phytohormone pathway factor *Gibberellic Acid Insensitive* (*gai*, CS63), and the autonomous pathway factor *Flowering Locus C* (*flc*-3, SALK_140021) were purchased from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (http://abrc.osu.edu/). Mutants of the photoperiod pathway factor *CO* (CONSTANS) was kindly provided by Hongquan Yang (Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences).
Control. Primers for the following PCRs are shown in Table 3.

The reaction conditions were: 3 min at 94°C; 28× (40 s at 94°C; 40 s at 99°C, decreasing to 60°C.

GTC GGC AAT TGC ACT GGA TAC GAC CTG CAG 3'; and U6 was the internal

Cycle parameters were: 2 min at 95°C and 40× (15 s at 95°C; 60 s at 60°C; 15 s at 55°C; 40 s at 72°C). Three biological replicates were performed.

Expression of miRNA172s. Ler-0 plants were DR treated beginning at 14 d of age. For semi-quantitative RT-PCR of primary miRNA pri-miRNA172, leaf samples were harvested 4 h after dawn at 2 d intervals for 8 d. There were three biological replicates. RNA preparation and cDNA analysis were carried out as described above using the primers listed in Table 2. The cycle conditions were: 3 min at 94°C; 26× (40 s at 94°C; 40 s at 55°C; 40 s at 72°C); and 5 min at 72°C.

To assay mature miRNAs, treatments were as for semi-quantitative analysis of pri-miRNA172, and samples were collected after 8 d of DR treatment. Both Ler-0 and the gi mutant were examined. Each treatment was replicated twice, with three samples per replicate. RNA was prepared as described above. cDNA was synthesized using gene specific primers: U6 F:5’CGATAAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172A/B F:5’CGATAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172C/D F:5’CGATAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172E F:5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172A/B 5’ATT TGG ACC ATT TCT CGA TTT GT 3’; miRNA 172C/D 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172E 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’.

Note: GSP, Gene specific primer; R: Reverse primer.

Measurement of Transpiration Rate and Water Loss

Arabidopsis lines (Col-0 ecotype) over-expressing miRNA172 were used in this study. The primers for gene amplification and enzymes for cloning are listed in Table 4. The miRNA172 fragments were cloned into pCAMBIA1301 expression vector and transgenesis was carried out by the floral-dip method mediated by Agrobacterium [27]. Seeds of transgenic lines over-expressing miRNA172 (miRNA172-OX) were selected on MS agar medium with 20 mg/l hygromycin. E1-2 (miRNA172e over-expressing), D6-3 (miRNA172d over-expressing), and A1-10 (miRNA172a over-expressing) were transgenic homozygote lines.

Ler-0, gi, A1-10 (miRNA172a-OX), D6-3 (miRNA172d-OX), and E1-2 (miRNA172e-OX) were either CK or DR treated at 10 d of age. Samples were collected after 10 d. Leaves of similar developmental stage (3rd–5th true rosette leaves) were collected and placed abaxial-side up on open Petri dishes. Transpiration rate and water loss were measured according to Kang et al. [28]. Briefly, the leaves were weighed at hourly intervals. The transpiration rate was represented by the change in weight over time for CK-treated plants, i.e., weight/fresh weight), while water loss was represented by the lost weight for the DR-treated plants, i.e., (fresh weight – weight)/(fresh weight). Three biological replicates were performed.

Digital Gene Expression Analysis of gi under Drought

WT (Ler-0) plants and gi mutants were CK or DR treated as described above for the qRT-PCR analyses. Samples were collected from two independent treatments. Then digital gene expression (DGE) analysis was performed with all four combinations of genotype and treatment. In detail, we extracted 6 μg of total RNA, purified mRNA via Oligo(dT) magnetic bead adsorption, then used Oligo(dT) to guide

---

### Table 2. Primes for semi-quantitative RT-PCR of pri-miRNA172s.

| Gene     | Forward          | Reverse          |
|----------|------------------|------------------|
| miRNA172A| TCTGTTTTTTGCCTCCCCT | TGGGATTTGGAAACATAAG |
| miRNA172B| TCCAGGCTCTAAATACAGAA | TCAAGTCAAGACTAAGGC |
| miRNA172C| AACGGTTTACAGTCTTTTG | AATCTAAAAATAGGAAGCAG |
| miRNA172D| GCAAGGTTTAATGCTTGTTGGTACTAC | CAACAGACATATACAGTCCT |
| miRNA172E| CTTTGGCTTCTTCCTGCAG | TCTTCTCGGCTAAGTAAATAT |
| ACTIN 11 | TGTTGGATGGGACAAAAAG | AGGTAATCAGTAAGTGCAAG |

---

### Table 3. Primers for microRNA assay of mature miRNA172s.

| miRNA | sequences | Product length (bp) |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|
| U6    | F:5’CGATAAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’ | 82 |
| ath-miRNA172A/B | GSP:5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’ | 65 |
| ath-miRNA172C/D | GSP:5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’ | 65 |
| ath-miRNA172E | GSP:5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’ | 64 |

Note: GSP, Gene specific primer; R: Reverse primer.

---

(SYBR Premix Ex Taq™, Takara) in a 384-well quantitative PCR thermocycler (7900-HT; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Cycle parameters were: 2 min at 95°C and 40× (15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 55°C; 20 s at 72°C). Three biological replicates were performed.

Expression of miRNA172s. Ler-0 plants were DR treated beginning at 14 d of age. For semi-quantitative RT-PCR of primary miRNA pri-miRNA172, leaf samples were harvested 4 h after dawn at 2 d intervals for 8 d. There were three biological replicates. RNA preparation and cDNA analysis were carried out as described above using the primers listed in Table 2. The cycle conditions were: 3 min at 94°C; 26× (40 s at 94°C; 40 s at 55°C; 40 s at 72°C); and 5 min at 72°C.

To assay mature miRNAs, treatments were as for semi-quantitative analysis of pri-miRNA172, and samples were collected after 8 d of DR treatment. Both Ler-0 and the gi mutant were examined. Each treatment was replicated twice, with three samples per replicate. RNA was prepared as described above. cDNA was synthesized using gene specific primers: U6 F:5’CGATAAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172A/B F:5’CGATAAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172C/D F:5’CGATAAAAATTGGGACATGAGA3’ R:5’ATTGGACCATATTCTCAGATTTG3’; pri-miRNA172E F:5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172A/B 5’ATT TGG ACC ATT TCT CGA TTT GT 3’; miRNA 172C/D 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172E 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172A/B 5’ATT TGG ACC ATT TCT CGA TTT GT 3’; miRNA 172C/D 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’; miRNA 172E 5’GGGGGAATCTTGATGATG3’ R:5’CAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGT3’.

Note: GSP, Gene specific primer; R: Reverse primer.

Measurement of Transpiration Rate and Water Loss

Arabidopsis lines (Col-0 ecotype) over-expressing miRNA172 were used in this study. The primers for gene amplification and enzymes for cloning are listed in Table 4. The miRNA172 fragments were cloned into pCAMBIA1301 expression vector and transgenesis was carried out by the floral-dip method mediated by Agrobacterium [27]. Seeds of transgenic lines over-expressing miRNA172 (miRNA172-OX) were selected on MS agar medium with 20 mg/l hygromycin. E1-2 (miRNA172e over-expressing), D6-3 (miRNA172d over-expressing), and A1-10 (miRNA172a over-expressing) were transgenic homozygote lines.

Ler-0, gi, A1-10 (miRNA172a-OX), D6-3 (miRNA172d-OX), and E1-2 (miRNA172e-OX) were either CK or DR treated at 10 d of age. Samples were collected after 10 d. Leaves of similar developmental stage (3rd–5th true rosette leaves) were collected and placed abaxial-side up on open Petri dishes. Transpiration rate and water loss were measured according to Kang et al. [28]. Briefly, the leaves were weighed at hourly intervals. The transpiration rate was represented by the change in weight over time for CK-treated plants, i.e., weight/fresh weight), while water loss was represented by the lost weight for the DR-treated plants, i.e., (fresh weight – weight)/(fresh weight). Three biological replicates were performed.

Digital Gene Expression Analysis of gi under Drought

WT (Ler-0) plants and gi mutants were CK or DR treated as described above for the qRT-PCR analyses. Samples were collected from two independent treatments. Then digital gene expression (DGE) analysis was performed with all four combinations of genotype and treatment. In detail, we extracted 6 μg of total RNA, purified mRNA via Oligo(dT) magnetic bead adsorption, then used Oligo(dT) to guide
reverse transcription to synthesize double-stranded cDNA. NlaIII was used to cut the CATG sites in the cDNA, then cDNA fragments with 3' ends were purified with magnetic-bead precipitation, and Illumina adapter 1 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was added to their 5' ends. The junction of Illumina adapter 1 and the CATG site is the recognition site of MmeI, which cuts 17 bp downstream of the CATG site, producing tags with adapter 1. After removing 3' fragments via magnetic-bead precipitation, Illumina adapter 2 was introduced at the 3' ends of tags, producing tags with different adapters at their ends to form a tag library. After 15 cycles of linear PCR amplification, 85 base strips were purified by 6% TBE polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. These strips were then digested, and the single-chain molecules were fixed onto the Solexa Sequencing Chip (flowcell). Each molecule grew into a single-molecule cluster sequencing template through in situ amplification. Then, labeled nucleotides were added and sequencing by synthesis was performed. Each tunnel generated millions of raw reads 35 bp length. The raw data were normalized by the number of tags per million. The main reagents and supplies were Illumina Gene Expression Sample Prep Kit and Solexa Sequencing Chip (flowcell), and the main instruments were Illumina Cluster Station and Illumina Genome Analyzer System.

We focused on genes that showed log₂ ≥ 1 (the relative expression levels between WT and gi under DR) with false discovery rate values ≤ 0.001.

Expression Analysis of WRKYs

The expressions of WRKY family members including WRKY19, 20, 44, 51, 54, 65, 72, and 74 were examined by qRT-PCR as described above. The primers are listed in Table 5.

Phylogenetic Analysis

We performed phylogenetic analysis on the sequences listed in Table 6. Sequences were aligned using ClustalX 1.8 [29] and a phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA5 [30] using neighbor joining method [31].

Yeast Two-hybrid System

The yeast host strain Y2H Gold (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) was transformed with pGBKT7-TOE1 as the bait. The Y187 strain was transformed with the plasmid pGADT7 with a full-length open reading frame of WRKY20, WRKY44, or WRKY74; an empty pGBKT7 was the control. Transformants with BD (Binding Domain) and AD (activation domain) were mated on 2× YPDA medium at 30°C [32]. Mated colonies were picked and mixed with 5 mL 0.9% NaCl, then spotted on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade/X-α-gal/AbA agar media. The plates were cultured at 30°C and photographed after 2–3 d.

ABA Treatment

The two-weeks old seedlings were treated with ABA (50 μM) or ddH2O. Samples were collected every 12hr, from 0 to 48hr. FT was analyzed by relative-quantitative RT-PCR. PCR conditions were as following: 3 min at 94°C; 28× (40 s at 94°C; 30 s at 55°C; 15 s at 72°C); 5 min at 72°C. The primer for FT and ACTIN11 were the same as that for the real time PCR.

Table 4. Primers of miRNA172 amplification for transgenic plants.

| Forward            | 5’ → 3’                      | Reverse                  |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| miRNA172D-F(HindIII) | GCAAGCTTTAATGCTTGTGGGCTACG | CGACTTTGTGTATCCCATTC    |
| miRNA172D-R(BamHI)  | GCGGATCCCAACAGACATATACATGCTCC | TGAAGCTGCGCCTCTCC       |
| miRNA172E-F(HindIII) | GCAAGCTCCTTGGCCTTCTGTCGAC | CCTGTGGTATGTTGCTCGT    |
| miRNA172E-R(SacI)   | GCGGACTCTTCTCTCCTGTCAATGAAACTAT | GCCGAGCTGGTGTTTGTGGCCCTCCC |
| miRNA172A-F(BamHI)  | GCAAGCTCTGGTCTTTTGCTCTC | GCCGAGCTGGTGTTTGTGGCCCTCCC |
| miRNA172A-R(PstI)   | GCCGAGCTGGTGTTTGTGGCCCTCCC | GCCGAGCTGGTGTTTGTGGCCCTCCC |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.t004

Table 5. Primers of WRKY genes for real time PCR.

| Gene   | AGIs  | Forward            | Reverse                  |
|--------|-------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| WRKY19 | AT4G12020 | CGATTATGCCTCCGGAG | CGACTTTGTGTATCCCATTC    |
| WRKY20 | AT4G26640 | CGGCGGAACCTGGGTGATG | TGAAGCTGCGCCTCTCC       |
| WRKY40 | AT1G80840 | TCACATTGCGCTTTACTGATG | CCTGTGGTATGTTGCTCGT    |
| WRKY74 | AT5G28650 | AACAGATGTCGCACTACC | GCCTCTGATGATGTTGCGATCG |
| WRKY72 | AT5G15130 | TGTGTAGAGCAGTAGT7G | CATAGTGTTGAGTTAGT7GAGC |
| WRKY65 | AT1G29280 | ACCAAATCTCCACCTTTTACG | TTGTTGCGGAGATCTCCCT    |
| WRKY51 | AT5G64810 | ATCTCATCTCGCAGAAGCAGT | AACCATCATCCATACATCAACATC   |
| WRKY54 | AT2G40750 | CGGGGCGGCTCTGTGTCG | TCTCGTCTTCTGAGTCAAG |
| WRKY44 | AT2G37280 | CGAGATTGTAGACGTCTGATATAAG | AGAGACGTTGGTCTTTGGAGAC |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.t005
### Statistical Analyses

Because we compared two treatments (CK and DR) with small sizes and equal variances, t-tests were used for all statistical tests of differential gene expression.

### Results

#### The Photoperiod Pathway Mediated by GIGANTEA Might Be Involved in Early Flowering under Drought Stress

We examined the drought escape of Arabidopsis carrying mutations in genes of different flowering pathways. Flowering time (mean ± SE of three replicates, with three samples per replicate) was calculated as days after germination. Plants were either watered normally (CK) or deprived of water (DR) beginning about 10 d before normal (CK) flowering. Relative humidity throughout the experiment ranged from 40–60%.

In this experiment, WT Col-0 and Ler-0 plants under LD conditions flowered significantly earlier (P<0.05) under DR than under CK (Figure 1A,D). The gai and flc mutants also flowered significantly earlier (P<0.05) under DR, but flowering of the gi and co mutants was not induced by drought (Figure 1B,D). The gi and co plants withered after 10 d of DR. Because the onset and duration of drought treatment (lasting 10 d and beginning 10 d before normal flowering) of gi and co was the same as that of WT and other plants, these results indicated that the photoperiod pathway might be involved in early flowering under drought. WT plants did not flower earlier under DR and SD conditions (Figure 1C), indicating that day length is important for early flowering under drought.

### Expressions of Photoperiod Pathway Genes Changed under Drought Conditions

Genes in the photoperiod pathway are transcribed rhythmically. Several important genes, including GI, CO, and FT, are the main factors in this pathway. A recent study indicated that FKF1 cooperated with GI to activate CO [33]. Therefore, changes in the mRNA levels of GI, CO, FT, and FKF1 were detected by qRT-PCR (Figure 2). The peak levels of GI and FKF1 mRNAs were up-regulated, while the expressions of CO and FT were reduced. GI expression peaked 4 h before dusk under both CK and DR (Figure 2A). The maximum level under DR was significantly higher than that of CK. After recovery for 5 d, the level of FKF1 under DR was substantially higher than that under CK, which may indicate that DR accelerated aging. For CO under CK, there was one peak within a 24-h cycle (Figure 2C). The rhythm was not changed under DR during the first 2 d of sample collection (days 11 and 12 of DR), but on the 13th day of DR treatment, the expression at the peak (late) time was reduced. After recovery, the circadian expression of CO was recovered. FT was apparently down-regulated with the
Figure 1. Flowering times of *Arabidopsis* wild-type (WT) and mutants of different flowering pathways under drought stress. (A) Early flowering of WT (Col-0 and Ler-0) plants under drought stress and long-day conditions. (B) Flowering times of mutants of the photoperiod (gi, co), autonomous (fli-3), and phytohormone (gai) pathways under drought stress and long-day conditions. (C) Flowering times of WT (Col-0) plants under drought stress and short-day conditions. (D) Counted flowering times (days) of plants with different genotypes under CK and DR conditions. * flowering significantly earlier under DR condition than under CK condition. DR: Drought treatment began from 10 days before flowering.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g001
intensified DR condition (Figure 2D). There were two expression peaks for \( \text{FT} \) during one 24-h cycle. These two peaks were reduced and ultimately disappeared under DR. When water was restored, the transcription of \( \text{FT} \) was recovered.

\( \text{GI} \) Promoted the Level of \( \text{miRNA172E} \) under Drought Conditions

Because the expression of \( \text{CO} \) was not promoted under DR as was that of \( \text{GI} \), we focused on \( \text{miRNA172} \), a factor downstream of \( \text{GI} \). The pri-miRNA level of \( \text{miRNA172E} \) was reduced under DR (Figure 3A), while its mature miRNA level increased (Figure 3B). These data suggested that the processing efficiency of \( \text{miRNA172E} \) was enhanced under drought stress.

In the \( \text{gi} \) mutant, up-regulation of mature \( \text{miRNA172E} \) (Figure 3D) and down-regulation of pri-\( \text{miRNA172E} \) (Figure 3C) under DR were not detected, indicating that the enhanced processing efficiency of \( \text{miRNA172E} \) was dependent on \( \text{GI} \).

\( \text{GI} \) Inhibited the Expression of \( \text{WRKY44} \) under Drought Conditions

In addition to the abnormal drought escape of the \( \text{gi} \) mutant, we observed that \( \text{gi} \) was more sensitive to drought stress than WT (Figure 4A). Although their transpiration rates were similar (Figure 4B), the \( \text{gi} \) plants lost more water than WT in the early stages of dehydration (Figure 4C). The difference was most stark after 0.5 h, while water loss in \( \text{gi} \) and WT was similar at later stages (after 1 h). However, miR172s-OX plants lost much less water than both WT and \( \text{gi} \). The levels of primary and mature \( \text{miRNA172s} \) in the transgenic plants, as well as their phenotypes, are shown in Figure S1 and flowering times of the transgenic plants were calculated in Table S1. Given the higher drought tolerance of \( \text{miRNA172} \) over-expression plants, we can conclude that \( \text{GI}–\text{miRNA172} \) may be involved in drought tolerance of \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) (Figure 4A,C).

DGE analysis was carried out to probe the differentially-transcribed genes in \( \text{gi} \) mutants under DR to gain insight into the relationship between drought defense and escape (Figure 5A). The resulting Venn diagram (Figure 5B) identified cross-talk and differential gene expression between WT and \( \text{gi} \) under CK and DR. Under DR, 1,218 genes were up-regulated in WT but not in \( \text{gi} \), while 407 were down-regulated in WT but not in \( \text{gi} \). At the same time, 785 genes were specifically up-regulated
and 798 were specifically down-regulated in gi under DR. These data implied that some factors were differentially regulated by GI under drought stress.

According to DGE analysis, several WRKY (WRKY DNA-BINDING PROTEIN) family members exhibited significantly differential expression between gi and WT (Figure 5C). Under CK conditions, 20 WRKY genes were up-regulated more than two-fold in gi compared with WT, while 16 were down-regulated. However, after DR, only four WRKY genes had fold increases of two or more in gi, while 23 were expressed less (Figure 5C).

The expressions of nine WRKY family members, representing different subfamilies [34,35], were further examined by qRT-PCR (Figure 6). WRKY44, WRKY 20, WRKY 40, and WRKY 51 were maintained at much higher levels in gi mutants than in WT plants under DR. This finding indicated that GI suppressed the expression of these genes under DR. WRKY44 was unique in that it was also greatly up-regulated (= 100-fold) in WT under DR compared to CK, although not as much as in the gi mutant (= 200-fold). In other words, WRKY44 was constitutively suppressed by GI, while WRKY20, WRKY40, and WRKY51 were suppressed only under DR. In contrast, WRKY54 and WRKY72 were positively activated by GI under CK, while WRKY74 was positively regulated under both CK and DR. Regulation of WRKY19 and WRKY65 seemed to be independent of GI.

Phylogenetically, the Arabidopsis WRKYs were classified into two main subfamilies (Figure 7): one included subgroups 1 and 2c and the other the remaining subgroups (2a, 2b, 2d, 2e, 3). According to the qRT-PCR analysis, the genes in subgroups 1 and 2c (e.g., WRKY20, 44, and 51) were suppressed by GI while genes in subgroups 2a, 2b, 2d, 2e, and 3 (e.g., WRKY54, 72, and 74) were activated by GI.

Figure 3. Up-regulation of miRNA172E under drought conditions. Each experiment was done triple with similar results.

(A) Change in pri-miRNA172 levels under drought conditions (Ler-0).
(B) Change in mature miRNA172 levels under drought conditions in wild-type plants. * P<0.05.
(C) RT-PCR analysis of Pri-miRNA172A and Pri-miRNA172E in the gi mutant under drought and control conditions.
(D) Changes in mature miRNA172A/B and miRNA172E levels under drought conditions in the gi mutant.

DR: Drought treatment began from the 14 day age. For the mature miRNA assay, samples were collected at the 8th day of DR treatment.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g003
Interaction between WRKY Protein and TOE1

Because a previous report indicated that subgroup 1 was involved in sugar signaling [36], we detected the interaction of members of this subgroup (i.e., WRKY44 and WRKY20) and a member of another subgroup (WRKY 74) with TOE1 (Target of EARLY ACTIVATION TAGGED 1), a target of miRNA172 and suppressor of flowering. In a yeast two-hybrid system, WRKY44, which was suppressed by GI, was able to interact with TOE1, while WRKY20 and WRKY74 were not (Figure 8).

We examined the expressions of WRKY44 and its co-members in subgroup 1, including WRKY20 and WRKY51, in co mutant and miRNA172-OX line(Figure 9). The level of WRKY44 was significantly reduced in miRNA172-OX plants ($P = 0.015$ under CK; $P = 0.027$ under DR) (Figure 9). The levels of WRKY51 and WRKY20 were unchanged in miRNA172-OX. The down-regulation of WRKY44 in miRNA172-OX plants was consistent with its up-regulation in gi mutants, indicating that GI and miRNA172 were in the same pathway suppressing WRKY44. But in co, the level of WRKYs was similar to WT under both CK and DR conditions (Figure 9).

Discussion

Water deficit affects flowering time in many angiosperms [14]. Many plants accelerate flowering under drought conditions, a phenomenon well studied in wheat, Brassica and Arabidopsis [20,21]. Given that earlier flowering under drought will reduce crop yields, we examined the genetic mechanism of this acceleration in Arabidopsis. Our results indicated that the photoperiod factor GI might be involved in drought-induced early flowering in Arabidopsis. Loss-of-function mutants of GI and CO could not flower under drought stress. Drought led to

Figure 4. The gi mutant is sensitive to drought stress. (A) The phenotypes of wild-type plants (Ler-0) and gi mutants under drought stress. (B) Transpiration rates of wild type, gi and miRNA172A (A1-10)/D (D6-3)/E (E1-2, E38-6) over-expressing plants. (C) Water loss in wild type, gi mutants, and plants over-expressing miRNA172A (A1-10)/D (D6-3)/E (E1-2, E38-6).

DR treatment began from 10 day age and maintained for 10 days.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g004
increased peak levels of GI and FKF1. No previous paper has reported a correlation between drought stress and circadian rhythm. But TOC1 (Time of CAB expression 1), an important gene in circadian control, has been reported to be a molecular switch connecting the circadian clock with plant drought responses via mutual regulation with ABAR (ABA-Related gene) [37]. GI and TOC1 are both circadian regulators, with GI activating TOC1 and TOC1 repressing GI [38,39]. The changed expression of GI and its related genes under drought might have resulted from the interaction between GI and TOC1.

Drought reduced the peak levels of FT, which was unexpected. The down-regulation of FT as drought conditions...
worsened may be related with the increased concentration of endogenous abscisic acid (ABA). We performed an ABA treatment and analyzed the expression of \textit{FT} as described above and found that ABA (50 μM) inhibited the level of \textit{FT} (Figure S2). This finding was consistent with our rhythmical expression data. For example, the reduction of \textit{FT} was not so apparent at the 10th day of DR treatment (i.e., the first day of sample collection). But beginning on the 11th day, \textit{FT} levels declined day by day (Figure 2). Nevertheless, by the time samples were collected, the DR-treated plants had flowered, so the reduction of \textit{FT} did not affect the flowering time of \textit{Arabidopsis}. Thus, the suppression of \textit{FT} by DR may result from increased ABA levels in the plant.

We further investigated \textit{miRNA172}, an important non-coding RNA in the photoperiod pathway that is controlled by \textit{GI} [40]. Although both level and function of \textit{miRNA172} are reported to be enhanced during drought in maize, \textit{Arabidopsis}, and potato (\textit{Solanum tuberosum}) [41-45], differential expression of its family members and other regulating mechanisms have not been studied. In this study, genetic and molecular analyses

Figure 6. Transcriptional levels of \textit{WRKY} genes in wild type (Ler-0) and \textit{gi} mutants under standard (CK, white rectangles) and drought (DR, black rectangles) conditions. Results are averages of three biological replicates. *, significantly different (\(P<0.05\)) expression levels between \textit{gi} mutants and wild-type plants under CK or DR. DR treatment began from 10 day age and maintained for 10 days.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g006
indicated that miRNA172E exhibited the greatest response to drought, with enhanced processing efficiency because of the decreased precursor levels and more mature miRNA172E under DR in WT plants. The changes in precursor and mature miRNA172E levels in the gi mutant indicated that enhanced miRNA172E processing under drought was dependent on GI.

The photoperiod pathway senses light via plant aerial parts, especially leaves [41,46,47]. However, water availability is assessed by roots [14]. Water availability signals may be transmitted from roots to leaves. Another group of photoperiod genes, cryoporphins (CRY), have been indicated to be related to drought tolerance [48]. In addition, CRY2 positively regulates GI in the photoperiod pathway [49]. The involvement of cryoporphins may explain why GI–miRNA172 was implicated in drought response.

According to our observations, the gi mutant was more sensitive and miRNA172-OX was less sensitive to drought than WT plants, indicating that GI–miRNA172 affects drought defenses other than escape. GI is known to protect plants from several abiotic stresses, including cold [50] and oxidative stress [50-52]. The involvement of GI in drought defense may be related to oxidative stress resulting from dehydration.

Interestingly, some WRKY genes, which belong to a defense-related gene family, were characterized as downstream factors of the GI–miRNA172 pathway. The most significant was WRKY44, which was significantly suppressed by GI and miRNA172.

Among the targeted genes of miRNA172, TOE1 is the most influential because a single mutant of toe1 exhibited early flowering [40,53]. We performed yeast two-hybrid screening to detect the interaction of WRKYs and TOE1. WRKY44 could interact with TOE1, a target of miRNA172. This further confirmed the regulation of WRKY44 by GI–miRNA172.

The WRKY superfamily can be divided into seven subgroups according to the number of WRKY domains and features of their zinc-finger-like motifs [54]. WRKY44 belonged to subgroup 1 according to our phylogenetic analysis. One recent study indicated that this group of barley (Hordeum vulgare) WRKYS were involved in sugar signaling [36]. GI–miRNA172 may be involved in sugar signaling by inhibiting WRKY44. Consistent with this hypothesis, excess starch accumulation has been observed in leaves of the gi mutant [55], and research indicated that sugar deficiency was responsible for

Figure 7. Phylogenetic analysis of Arabidopsis WRKY genes used in this study and WRKY genes from Hordeum vulgare. Data were analyzed by the neighbor joining method. Annotations indicate the regulation of Arabidopsis WRKY genes by GI. The number above each branch-point referred to the bootstrap value (maximum is 100), which implied the reliability of existing clades in the tree. The system has performed 1000 replicates to construct the phylogram. The number in each clade represented the percentages of success for constructing the existing clade. 0.1 means 10% substitution rate between two sequences.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g007
the sensitivity of the gi mutant to freezing [56]. GI–miRNA172 may affect sugar concentration by inhibiting WRKY44.

According to the qRT-PCR, expression of WRKYs was not changed in the co mutant. Because co exhibited a similar drought phenotype to that of gi, and CO and miRNA172 are two independent factors downstream of GI [40], CO may affect drought escape by regulating other factors.

In conclusion, plants prepare to survive increasing drought stress via two strategies. One is to adjust the osmotic potential to defend against impending dehydration. The other is to bloom early to ensure the perpetuation of their genes. Sugar is an ideal signal that can link both strategies, because of its role in both osmotic adjustment [57,58] and the transition from vegetative to reproductive development [59]. This study indicated that GI–miRNA172 and WRKY may be factors connecting these two pathways. Figure 10 summarizes a working model of this hypothesis. In the LD and DR condition, increasing peak expression of GI promoted the processing of

Figure 8. Yeast two-hybrid system analysis of WRKY and TOE1. Using TOE1 as bait identified WRKY44 as a potential protein interactor. Selective plates lacking adenine, histidine, tryptophan, and leucine (–Ade, –His, –Trp, –Leu) and control plates lacking only tryptophan (–Trp) are shown. Empty vectors (BD) and expressed proteins (TOE1) are indicated. Plates were photographed after 4 d. Potential interactors exhibited positive galactosidase activity (blue).

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g008

Figure 9. Transcriptional level of WRKY20, WRKY44, and WRKY51 in co and miRNA172–over-expressing plants (miRNA172-OX) under standard (CK, white rectangles) and drought (DR, black rectangles) conditions. Controls for the co mutant and miRNA172-OX was Col-0, the wild type in their respective ecotype backgrounds. Results are averages of three biological repeats. * Significantly different (P<0.05) expression between miRNA172-OX and WT under both CK and DR conditions. E1-2 line was used as miRNA172-OX. DR treatment began from 10 day age and maintained for 10 days.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073541.g009
miRNA172. MiRNA172 could suppress the levels of WRKY44 and TOE1, which encode interactive proteins. Because WRKY44 is involved in sugar metabolism and signaling, GI-miRNA172 might function in drought escape and defense by affecting sugar signaling. In future studies, the mechanism of interaction between WRKY44 and TOE1 should be examined to investigate the function of TOE1, an important photoperiod factor, in sugar signaling.

Another important drought-induced factor, ABA, may be involved in both drought responses. ABA can promote drought tolerance in plants [60]. LD conditions promoted ABA levels, indicating that ABA was regulated by the photoperiod pathway [61], but high ABA level will delay flowering [62]. Considering that LD favors flowering, this inconsistency suggests that the concentration of ABA may be a signal for both drought tolerance and drought escape. This is similar to sugar, in that sugar at photosynthetic amounts will promote the floral transition [26], but will inhibit it at excess concentrations [63].

Supporting Information

Figure S1. The level of pri-miRNA172s and mature miRNA172 in miRNA172-OX plants. (A) The level of pri-miRNA172a in miRNA172a-OX plants. (B) The level of pri-miRNA172d in miRNA172d-OX plants. (C) The level of pri-miRNA172e in miRNA172e-OX plants. (D) The level of mature miRNA172A in miRNA172a-OX plants. (E) The level of mature miRNA172D in miRNA172d-OX plants. (F) The level of mature miRNA172E in miRNA172e-OX plants. (G) The phenotype of miRNA172s-OX plants. A1-10: miRNA172a-OX plants; D6-3: miRNA172d-OX plants; E1-2: miRNA172e-OX plants.

Figure S2. Suppression of FT by ABA treatment. CK0: Two-week seedling; W12: water-treated seedlings for 12 hr; A12: ABA-treated seedlings for 12 hr; W24: water-treated seedlings for 24 hr; A24: ABA-treated seedlings for 24 hr; and so on.

Table S1. The flowering time of miRNA172-OX transgenic lines.
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