Serotoninergic receptor ligands improve Tamoxifen effectiveness on breast cancer cells
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Abstract

Background: Serotonin (5-HT) signals in mammary gland becomes dysregulated in cancer, also contributing to proliferation, metastasis, and angiogenesis. Thus, the discovery of novel compounds targeting serotonin signaling may contribute to tailor new therapeutic strategies usable in combination with endocrine therapies. We have previously synthesized serotoninergic receptor ligands (SER) with high affinity and selectivity towards 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors, the main mediators of mitogenic effect of serotonin in breast cancer (BC). Here, we investigated the effect of 10 SER on viability of MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 BC cells and focused on their potential ability to affect Tamoxifen responsiveness in ER+ cells.

Methods: Cell viability has been assessed by sulforhodamine B assay. Cell cycle has been analyzed by flow cytometry. Gene expression of 5-HT receptors and Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF) has been checked by RT-PCR; mRNA levels of CTGF and ABC transporters have been further measured by qPCR. Protein levels of 5-HT2C receptors have been analyzed by Western blot. All data were statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.

Results: We found that treatment with SER for 72 h reduced viability of BC cells. SER were more effective on MCF7 ER+ cells (IC50 range 10.2 μM - 99.2 μM) compared to SKBR3 (IC50 range 43.3 μM - 260 μM) and MDA-MB231 BC cells (IC50 range 91.3 μM - 306 μM). This was paralleled by accumulation of cells in G0/G1 phase of cell cycle. Next, we provided evidence that two ligands, SER79 and SER68, improved the effectiveness of Tamoxifen treatment in MCF7 cells and modulated the expression of CTGF, without affecting viability of MCF10A non-cancer breast epithelial cells. In a cell model of Tamoxifen resistance, SER68 also restored drug effect independently of CTGF.

Conclusions: These results identified serotoninergic receptor ligands potentially usable in combination with Tamoxifen to improve its effectiveness on ER+ BC patients.
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Background

Serotonin (5-HT) is a biogenic amine acting as neurotransmitter in the nervous system both at central and peripheral level [1–4]. Besides playing a role in several physiological and pathological processes, including circadian rhythms, sexual and feeding behavior, thermoregulation and cardiovascular function [5–9], 5-HT acts as trophic, mitogenic and anti-apoptotic factor for a wide range of normal and tumor cells [10–13]. Indeed, a growth stimulatory effect of 5-HT on prostate, small-cell lung, colorectal, hepatocellular and breast carcinomas, cholangiocarcinoma, glioma, bladder cancer and ovarian tumors has been described [14]. 5-HT also promotes...
cancer cell migration, invasiveness and angiogenesis [15]. The multiple, sometimes opposing, actions of serotonin occur through the interaction with a wide range of receptors. Indeed, with the exception of 5-HT3, the unique receptor involving an ion channel that regulates the flow of sodium and potassium ions, six classes of 5-HT receptors - including additional subclasses - named 5-HT1, 5-HT2, 5-HT3, 5-HT4, 5-HT5 and 5-HT7, are G-protein-coupled [16]. More often the mitogenic effect of 5-HT is mediated by 5-HT1 and 5-HT2 receptors while less frequently through 5-HT4 and 5-HT6 [15]. Serotonin plays a central role in mammary gland ensuring epithelial homeostasis during changes associated with pregnancy, lactation and involution [17]. Thus, an extensive alteration of 5-HT signaling may contribute to breast cancer (BC) phenotype [15]. Of note, BC cells produce and secrete high levels of serotonin that, interfering with mitochondria biogenesis, confers proliferative advantages [18]. BC is the most common cancer in women worldwide [19], with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) BC representing approximately 75% of all diagnosed cancers [20]. About the latter, the predominant treatment strategy consists in the inhibition of ER pathway at various levels, including the use of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), like Tamoxifen, to directly antagonize the receptor [21]. However, Tamoxifen effectiveness may be modulated by the interaction with several drugs, including those acting on serotonin signaling. Nevertheless, serotonin action has also been targeted by using 5-HT antagonists and/or uptake inhibitors to prevent cancer cell growth [15]. Our research group has been involved in the synthesis of serotoninergic receptor ligands (SER) with high affinity and selectivity [22–25]. Here, we analyzed a set of previously synthetized SER with affinity and selectivity binding profile towards 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors, known as mediators of mitogenic effect of serotonin in BC cells [18, 26]. We found that some of these serotoninergic receptor ligands improve Tamoxifen responsiveness in MCF7 BC cells and that such effect occurs through the modulation of CTGF (Connective Tissue Growth Factor) expression. Overall, these results suggest these compounds as new serotoninergic receptor ligands potentially useful to ameliorate Tamoxifen effectiveness in ER+ BC cells.

Methods
Materials
Media, sera and antibiotics for cell culture were from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland). Reagents and substituted piperazines for synthesis of SER, Estradiol and Tamoxifen for cell treatments and all other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). TRIzol solution for RNA isolation, SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase with oligo dT primers for RNA reverse transcription and AmpI Taq Gold for RT-PCR were from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix for Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) was from Biorad (Hercules, CA, USA). 5HT2C and Vinculin antibodies for Western Blot were from Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX, USA). Secondary antibody (Anti-mouse 1:2000) was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA).

Synthesis of serotoninergic receptor ligands and in vitro receptor binding
All reactions were monitored by TLC, carried out on Merck 60G F254 plates with fluorescent indicator and the plates were visualized with UV light (254 nm). Each final compound and intermediate was purified by silica gel column chromatography (Macherey-Nagel 60 0,063–0,2 mm/70–230 mesh). Some final compounds were obtained in a pure form after conversion in the corresponding hydrochloride salts. 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury Plus 400 MHz instrument. Unless otherwise stated, all spectra were recorded in CDCl3. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm using Me4Si as internal standard. The following abbreviations are used to describe peak patterns when appropriate: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet), q (quartet), qt (quintet), dd (double doublet), ddd (double dd), bs (broad singlet). Mass spectra of the final products were performed on LTQ Orbitrap XL™ Fourier transform mass spectrometer (FTMS) equipped with ESI ION MAX™ source (Thermo Fisher, San José, USA). Melting points were determined using a Buchi B-540 hot-stage instrument and are uncorrected. Where analyses are indicated only by the symbols of the elements, results obtained are within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. Solutions were dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated with Buchi R-114 rotavapor at low pressure. Once synthesized, SER were tested for in vitro affinity for serotonin 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors by radioligand binding assays. The more active compounds on serotonin receptors have been selected and evaluated for their affinity for dopaminergic (D1 and D2) and adrenergic (α1 and α2) receptors. All the compounds were dissolved in 5% DMSO. The following specific radioligands and tissue sources were used: (a) serotonin 5-HT1A receptor, [3H]-8-OH-DPAT, rat brain cortex; (b) serotonin 5-HT2A receptor, [3H]ketanserin, rat brain cortex; (c) serotonin 5-HT2C receptor, [3H]mesulergine, rat brain cortex. Non-specific binding was determined as described in the experimental section, and specific binding as the difference between total and non-specific binding. Blank experiments were carried out to determine the effect of 5% DMSO on the binding and no effects were observed. Competition experiments were analyzed by PRISM 5
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(GraphPadPrism®, 1992–2007, GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) to obtain the concentration of unla-
beled drug that caused 50% inhibition of ligand binding (IC50), with six concentrations of test compounds, each
performed in triplicate. The IC50 values obtained were
used to calculate apparent inhibition constants (Ki) by
the method of Cheng and Prussof [27], from the follow-
ing equation: 

\[
Ki = \frac{IC50}{1 + S/KD}
\]

where S represents the concentration of the hot ligand used and KD its
receptor dissociation constant (KD values, obtained by
Scatchard analysis [28], were calculated for each labeled
ligand). Radioligand binding assays for 5-HT1A were per-
formed following a published procedure [29]. 5-HT2A
and 5-HT2C binding assays were performed reported by
Herndon et al. [30].

Table 1 Primer pairs for qPCR

| Forward                      | Reverse                        | Size |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| 5-HT2A 5’ CAGAATCCCATCCACCCAG 3’  | 5’ AAGAGGCGATCAGGACAAAG 3’   | 187bp |
| 5-HT2C 5’ GGCAGTAAGCATGGAAGAAAG 3’  | 5’ AAGAAATCCAGACGGGGCA 3’   | 171bp |
| Rps23 5’ TCGTGGACTCTGTACTGT 3’  | 5’ GCTGTGATTCTTCTTGCATCTC 3’ | 237bp |
| CTGF 5’ GGAAATGCTGGAGAGAGT 3’  | 5’ GATAGGCTTGAGATTTGG 3’  | 237bp |
| ABCC1 5’ GTGAAACCGTTCTCCAGGA 3’  | 5’ GGAAGCAGTGAGACTCTC 3’  | 192bp |
| ABCG1 5’ CAGTTTCTCAGCACTTCTCG 3’ | 5’ TTCTCCTCCAGACACCCAC 3’  | 150bp |
| ABCG2 5’ GGACCTCGCTGAATGGACATC 3’  | 5’ GGCCACAACTCACCAC 3’  | 208bp |

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of serotoninergic receptor ligands (SER)
Cell cultures
MCF7 (ER⁺, PR⁺, HER2⁻), SKBR3 (ER⁻, PR⁻, HER2⁻) and MDA-MB231 (ER⁺, PR⁻, HER2⁻) human BC cells and MCF10A non-cancer breast epithelial cells were available in our laboratory. MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cells were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 units/ml streptomycin. MCF10A cells were cultured in MEBM, supplemented with 0.4% BPE, 0.1% hEGF, 0.1% Insulin, 0.1% Hydrocortisone and 0.1% GA-1000. Cultures were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Treatment with SER were carried out in culture conditions. Treatment with Tamoxifen and/or SER were carried out upon 48 h estrogen starvation in phenol-red free medium supplemented with 10% Charcoal Stripped (C/S) FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 units/ml streptomycin. MCF10A cells were cultured in MEBM, supplemented with 0.4% BPE, 0.1% hEGF, 0.1% Insulin, 0.1% Hydrocortisone and 0.1% GA-1000. Cultures were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Treatment with SER were carried out in culture conditions. Treatment with Tamoxifen and/or SER were carried out upon 48 h estrogen starvation in phenol-red free medium supplemented with 10% Charcoal Stripped (C/S) FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 units/ml streptomycin.

Cell survival assay
Cells were fixed with 50% trichloroacetic acid for at least 2h at 4°C, washed with distilled and de-ionized water, air-dried and stained 30 min with 0.4% sulforhodamine B in 1% acetic acid. Unbound dye was removed and 10 mM tris-HCl solution (pH7.5) was added to dissolve the protein-bound dye. Cell survival was assessed by optical density determination at 510 nm using a microplate reader [31].

Establishing of Tamoxifen-resistant model (MCF7-R)
MCF7 cells were cultured for 4 months in phenol-red free medium supplemented with 10% (C/S) FBS and continuously exposed to Tamoxifen (1 μM). At the end, the acquisition of drug resistance was measured treating the cells with increasing concentration of Tamoxifen (100 nM to 6 μM) for 72h before measuring cell survival by sulforhodamine B assay. To further validate the degree of drug resistance, the expression levels of ABCC1, ABCG1 and ABCG2 – members of ABC transporter family known as involved in multi-drug resistance – were evaluated by Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR; see below) upon cell treatment with 5 μM Tamoxifen for 72h.

Cytofluorimetric analysis
Cells were collected and fixed in 70% (v/v) ethanol for at least 2h at −20°C. Washed pellets were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing RNase A (1 μg/1 μL) and Propidium Iodide (1 μg/1 μL). The incubation was carried for 30 min at room temperature in a dark environment. Samples were analyzed for emission in the PE-Texas Red channel using BD LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and by BD FACS Diva software. 10⁴ events for each sample were acquired in all analyses.

RNA isolation, RT-PCR and qPCR
Total RNA was isolated from cells, quantified (NanoDrop spectrophotometer, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and reverse transcribed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Specific primers pairs used for RT-PCR and qPCR assays were designed using Oligo 4.0. and listed in Table 1. Semiquantitative PCR and qPCR assays were performed according to manufacturer’s instructions for Bio-Rad T100 thermal cycler and CFX Connect Real Time system (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA), respectively. Relative gene expression quantification was measured by 2^−ΔΔCt method normalizing for the reference sample using Rps23 (Ribosomal Protein S23) as housekeeping gene.

Western Blot
RIPA buffer (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) was used for proteins’ extraction. Lysates (50–80 mg protein/sample) were blotted with anti-5HT²C (1:500). Total lysates were normalized using anti-Vinculin (1:10000). The autoradiographs shown were obtained by ECL kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 2 Effect of SER on MCF7 cell viability. MCF7 cells were treated with raising concentration (1 μM, 5 μM, 15 μM, 50 μM, 100 μM) of SER137, SER142, SER167, SER195, SER196, SER198, SER79, SER177, SER31, SER68. After 48 and 72 h, cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to positive control (untreated cells), considered as maximum viability (100%). Data represent the mean ± SD of at least five independent triplicate experiments. * denotes statistically significant values compared with positive control (*adjp<0.05,**adjp<0.01,***adjp<0.001)
Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)
Statistical analysis
All the statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism 7. Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn's correction was applied for multiple comparisons. Wilcoxon signed rank tests was assessed for comparison to a hypothetical value. Mann-Whitney test was used for pairwise comparisons. P-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Synthesis and in vitro receptor binding of serotoninergic receptor ligands
The synthetic strategy used for SER preparation (Fig. 1) was previously described [22–25]. Synthesized SER showed affinities in the nanomolar range towards 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors (Table 2). Besides the outstanding 5-HT2A receptor affinity and selectivity of compound SER142 (0.046 nM), other interesting Ki values were those of compounds SER137 (1.07 nM), SER196 (1.68 nM), SER195 (45.3 nM), SER167 (48.5 nM), and SER198 (77.8 nM) a picolinic derivative linked to bis(4-propyl chain spacer. Instead, the analogue derivative characterized by a shorter ethyl chain spacer (SER177) showed affinities in the nanomolar range towards 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2C receptors in SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cells. Indeed, SER137, SER79, SER31, SER68 at 100 μM dose were able to significantly reduce MCF7 cell viability upon 72 h treatment. None effect of vehicle (DMSO) on cell viability was observed (Fig. S1a). All SER at 100 μM dose (except for SER196) significantly reduced MCF7 cell viability upon 72 h (60 to 80%, adjp<0.05). Of note, the effectiveness of SER79 and SER198 on reducing MCF7 cell viability was also observed at lower dose 50 μM (~70%; adjp<0.05). Consistently, IC50 values were 24.11 μM and 10.25 μM for SER79 and SER198, respectively. For the other compounds IC50 ranged between 53.28 μM and 99.2 μM. Finally, IC50 value estimated for SER196 was 123.8 μM, out of concentration range tested (Fig. 2).

We also evaluated the impact of SER137, SER142, SER167, SER195, SER196, SER198, SER79, SER177, SER31, SER68 on BC cell lines with different molecular features: SKBR3 (ER–, PR–, HER2–) and MDA-MB231 (ER–, PR+, HER2–). We observed that all SER at 100 μM dose significantly reduced SKBR3 cell viability. On the other hand, 50 μM SER79 and SER198 were able to significantly reduce SKBR3 cell viability (~50%; adjp<0.05; Fig. 3). None effect of vehicle was observed (Fig. S1b). Such results highlighted that SER79 and SER198 were the most effective in reducing not only MCF7 but also SKBR3 cell viability. Of note, IC50 value estimated for SER79 and SER198 in SKBR3 cells was 53.56 μM and 67.74 μM, respectively. In parallel, we evaluated the effect of SER on triple negative MDA-MB231 cells. We found that only SER137, SER79, SER31, SER68 at 100 μM dose were able to inhibit triple negative MDA-MB231 cell growth. In the same condition, none effect of vehicle was observed (Fig. S1c). Notably, IC50 value estimated for SER79 in MDA-MB231 cells was 116.9 μM, higher than those obtained for both SKBR3 and MCF7 cells (Fig. 4). IC50 values estimated for SER in MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cells were listed in Table 3.

We also verified that the different effect of SER on BC cells was not attributable to a lack of expression of 5-HT receptors in SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cells. Indeed, HT2A and HT2C receptors were detected in all cell lines suggesting that the different effect of SER on MCF7 (ER+,
Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)
Effect of serotoninergic receptor ligands on MCF7 cell responsiveness to Tamoxifen

The effect of SER on cell viability was further investigated by analyzing cell cycle. At first, we observed that all SER, except for SER198, at dose corresponding to IC_{50} values, were able to induce cell cycle perturbation in MCF7 cells, causing a significant increase in the percentage of cells in G0/G1 phase, paralleled by a decrease of S phase (pval<0.05). Thus, all SER, except for SER198, affected cell viability and perturbed cell cycle (Figs. 2 and 6a). Therefore, we investigated their effect on MCF7 cell responsiveness to Tamoxifen by treating estrogen-starved cells with Tamoxifen and E2 in presence of SER. Notably, SER79 and SER68 further decreased cell viability compared with cells treated with Tamoxifen alone (≈15% with SER79 and ≈30% with SER68; pval<0.05; Fig. 6b). Then, we analyzed CTGF mRNA levels in MCF7 cells treated with SER. Interestingly, we found that in presence of SER79 and SER68, while not of the other compounds, CTGF mRNA levels were significantly lower compared with those in untreated cells (≈60% with SER79 and ≈80% with SER68; pval<0.05; Fig. 6c). Of note, we found that none of SER compounds (IC_{50} values) significantly affected SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cell cycle. In addition, CTGF was also expressed in SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cells and, at variance with MCF7 cells, no significant SER-induced change was observed (Fig. S2).

To further study the effectiveness of SER79 and SER68 in ameliorating MCF7 responsiveness, we treated the cells with Tamoxifen in presence of SER doses lower than IC_{50} values (5μM SER79; 20μM or 5μM SER68). We found that 5μM SER79 and 20μM SER68 further decreased cell viability compared with cells treated with Tamoxifen alone (≈15% with SER79 and ≈20% with SER68; pval<0.01; Fig. 7a). No further effect was elicited by 5μM SER68. Interestingly, we observed that both 5μM SER79 and 20μM SER68 were able to significantly reduce CTGF expression (≈30% with SER79 and ≈50% with SER68; pval<0.05; Fig. 7b). We also tested the effect of these compounds on non-cancer breast epithelial cells MCF10A. No effect was detected on cell viability (Fig. 7c). Overall, these data suggested that SER79 and SER68 improve Tamoxifen responsiveness of MCF7 cells without affecting non-cancer cells (Fig. 7).

Effect of SER on Tamoxifen responsiveness of drug-resistant MCF7 cells

To further investigate the effect of SER79 and SER68 on Tamoxifen responsiveness and CTGF expression in MCF7 cells, we obtained - by a continuous treatment of 10 days with 1μM Tamoxifen – a cellular model less sensitive to the drug (Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7). We observed that 20μM SER79, 20μM and 40μM SER68, while not 5μM SER79, reduced viability of Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 cells by about 40% (adjp<0.01; Fig. 8a). Tamoxifen treatment alone did not affect viability of these cells. However, in the presence of 20μM SER79, 20μM and 40μM SER68, Tamoxifen elicited a further 40% reduction of viability, similar to that achieved in Tamoxifen-responsive MCF7 cells (adjp<0.01; Fig. 8b). No Tamoxifen effect was observed in the presence of 5μM SER79 (Fig. 8b). In parallel, CTGF mRNA levels were significantly increased in Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 cells (pval<0.001; Fig. 8c). Both SER68 and SER79 – at doses able to restore Tamoxifen responsiveness - significantly reduced CTGF to levels similar (for SER79) or significantly lower (for SER68) than those detected in Tamoxifen-responsive MCF7 cells (pval<0.05; Fig. 8c).

Next, we obtained Tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cells (MCF7-R; see Materials and Methods). As reported in Supplementary Fig. 3, Tamoxifen did not reduce MCF7-R cell viability up to 6mM. Moreover, Tamoxifen-treated MCF7-R cells displayed higher mRNA levels of ABCC1, ABCG1 and ABCG2, markers of multidrug resistance [32] (Fig. S3). If used alone, neither 20μM SER79 neither 20μM SER68 had effect onto MCF7-R cells (Fig. 9a). In co-treatment with 5μM Tamoxifen, SER68 significantly reduced viability of MCF7-R cells (pval<0.01; Fig. 9b). No significant effect was achieved by co-treatment with Tamoxifen and SER79 (Fig. 9b). At variance with Tamoxifen-cultured cells, MCF7-R cells displayed a significant reduction of CTGF levels compared with Tamoxifen-responsive MCF7 cells (adjp<0.05; Fig. 9c). However, treatment with SER68 did not further reduce CTGF mRNA content (Fig. 9).

Finally, we verified that the effect of SER79 and SER68 on MCF7, either responsive or resistant to Tamoxifen was not attributable to changes of PR^{+}, HER2^{+}, SKBR3 (ER^{−}, PR^{+}, HER2^{+}) and MDA-MB231 (ER^{−}, PR^{+}, HER2^{+}) cells should be attributable to their different molecular features, which also give them a different degree of aggressiveness (Fig. 5).
Fig. 4 (See legend on previous page.)
expression of HT2C receptor. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 4, no difference in protein levels of HT2C receptors was detected upon SER treatment in all cell types (Fig. S4).

Discussion
New biological insights highlighted a role of serotonin in virtually all major organs outside the central nervous system [33]. Thus, 5-HT has numerous important peripheral functions in humans [18]. Among them, it is integral part of mammary epithelial homeostatic system in ensuring normal tissue function and becomes dysregulated in human breast tumor [34, 35]. 5-HT signaling has been related with cancer cell growth, differentiation, angiogenesis and metastasis, suggesting an association between its levels and tumor aggressiveness and/or prognosis [15, 18, 35]. Physiological responses to serotonin include both tumor-suppressing and tumor-promoting activities. Indeed, while controlling homeostatic regulatory mechanisms in normal mammary epithelium, 5-HT signaling appears to favor malignant progression of human BC [17]. Differences in the components of serotonin system, including the ability to synthesize 5-HT and/or specific receptors, may explain these opposite effects [15, 17]. Of note, transcriptomic and metabolomic data from breast tumor specimens highlighted the correspondence between poor prognosis and increased tumor-specific serotonin production [36]. Seven distinct families of 5-HT receptors are expressed in a tissue-specific manner across a variety of normal and tumor cells [37]. In BC, serotonin confers proliferative advantage to tumor cells by increasing proliferation rate and decreasing programmed cell death, mainly through 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors [18, 26].

We previously synthesized serotoninergic receptor ligands with high affinity (in the nanomolar range) and selectivity binding profile towards 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors [22]. Here, we analyzed the effect of such compounds on BC cell survival. Interestingly, we observed that their different ability to affect MCF7 (ER+, PR+, HER2−), SKBR3 (ER−, PR+, HER2+) and MDA-MB231 (ER−, PR−, HER2−) BC cell growth was not due to a lack of expression of 5-HT2 receptors but eventually attributable to their different molecular features, which also give them a different degree of aggressiveness. In addition, considering that structural analogies exist among serotoninergic receptors and that SER were selective, while not exclusive, for binding 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C, a possible involvement of other components of the serotoninergic receptor pattern, could not be excluded. It should also be noticed that several factors may influence the effect of SER on cell viability, including the ability to reach the receptor site and the intrinsic activity. Thus, the measure of receptor affinity not necessarily coincides to that of intrinsic activity of compounds. In line

| Table 3 | IC_{50} values (μM) of SER in MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 BC cell lines |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|         | MCF7             | SKBR3            | MDA-MB231        |
| SER137  | 58.42 ± 8.9      | 106.7 ± 15.8     | 213.7 ± 57.43    |
| SER142  | 69.33 ± 14.4     | 203.1 ± 27.6     | 246.1 ± 84.01    |
| SER167  | 54.19 ± 10.0     | 151.6 ± 21.5     | 223 ± 154.12     |
| SER195  | 53.28 ± 6.29     | 150.1 ± 26.0     | 322.4 ± 118.0    |
| SER196  | 12.08 ± 30.99    | 201.7 ± 31.2     | 306.4 ± 65.78    |
| SER198  | 10.25 ± 2.498    | 67.74 ± 8.02     | 91.28 ± 18.58    |
| SER79   | 4.11 ± 4.83      | 53.56 ± 10.37    | 16.9 ± 23.81     |
| SER177  | 66.6 ± 16.19     | 43.26 ± 11.09    | 198 ± 66.69      |
| SER31   | 9.2 ± 32.99      | 100.3 ± 30.82    | 35.9 ± 38.61     |
| SER88   | 54.19 ± 10.16    | 260 ± 94.89      | 170.9 ± 37.34    |

Fig. 5 Representative gel images of RT-PCR assays for the 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C genes in MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB231 cell lines. Rps23 was used as reference gene. Images have been cropped to improve the clarity of presentation.
with this, even though the measure of receptor affinities were in nanomolar range, the effect of SER on cell viability has been observed at micromolar doses. This is also in agreement with a previous publication in a different cell type [37]. Interestingly, inhibition of cell viability in MCF7 cells is paralleled by cell cycle changes. For instance, upon treatment with different SER, MCF7 cells accumulate in G0/G1 phase and fail to proceed to S phase. Such effect does not occur in both SKBR3 and MDA-MB231. Hormone receptor-positive tumors obtain substantial benefit from treatment with Tamoxifen [20, 21]. We previously reported that Tamoxifen responsiveness of ER\textsuperscript{+} BC cells inversely correlates with

---

**Fig. 6** Effect of SER on MCF7 cell cycle and Tamoxifen response. a MCF7 cells were treated with SER137, SER142, SER167, SER195, SER196, SER198, SER79, SER177, SER31, SER68 at IC\textsubscript{50} doses. After 72 h, cell cycle was assessed by Propidium Iodide staining (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of cells in G0/G1, S and G2/M cell cycle phase. b Estrogen-starved MCF7 cells (48h) were treated with Tamoxifen (5 μM) in presence of E\textsubscript{2} (100nM) and selected SER (SER137, SER142, SER167, SER195, SER196, SER198, SER177, SER31, SER68) at IC\textsubscript{50} dose. As positive control, the cells were treated with E\textsubscript{2} and SER alone (without Tamoxifen, dotted line). After 72 h, cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to positive control, considered as maximum viability (100%). c Estrogen-starved MCF7 cells (48h) were treated with selected SER at IC\textsubscript{50} doses in presence of E\textsubscript{2} (100nM). After 72 h, mRNA levels of CTGF were determined by qPCR (see Methods and Table 1). Data were normalized on Ribosomal Protein S23 (Rps23) gene as internal standard and were reported as CTGF mRNA levels in MCF7 treated with SER relative to those in untreated cells (dotted line). a-c Data represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent triplicate experiments. * denotes statistically significant values compared with (a) percentage of untreated cells in G0/G1, S and G2/M cell cycle phase (*pval<0.05,**pval<0.01), (b) positive control (*adjp<0.05,**adjp <0.01,***adjp<0.001), (c) untreated cells (pval<0.05); # denotes statistically significant values compared with cells treated with Tamoxifen in absence of SER (#pval<0.05)

---

**Fig. 7** Effect of low doses of SER79 and SER68 on MCF7 and MCF10A cells. a Estrogen-starved MCF7 cells (48h) were treated with Tamoxifen (5 μM) in presence of E\textsubscript{2} (100nM) and SER79 (5 μM) or SER68 (20 μM, 5 μM). As positive control, the cells were treated with E\textsubscript{2} and SER alone (without Tamoxifen, dotted line). After 72 h, cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to positive control, considered as maximum viability (100%). b Estrogen-starved MCF7 cells (48h) were treated with SER79 (5 μM) or SER68 (20 μM) in presence of E\textsubscript{2} (100 nM). After 72 h, mRNA levels of CTGF were determined by qPCR (see Methods and Table 1). Data were normalized on Ribosomal Protein S23 (Rps23) gene as internal standard. Bars represent CTGF mRNA levels in MCF7 treated with SER relative to those in untreated cells (dotted line). c MCF10A non-cancer breast epithelial cells were treated with SER79 (5 μM) or SER68 (20 μM). After 72 h, cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to untreated cells (dotted line), considered as maximum viability (100%). a-c Data represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent triplicate experiments. * denotes statistically significant values compared with (a) positive control (*adjp<0.05,**adjp<0.01), (b, c) untreated cells (*pval<0.05, *pval<0.01); # denotes statistically significant values compared with cells treated with Tamoxifen in absence of SER (#pval<0.01)
Connective Tissue Growth Factor, providing additional clues to the hypothesis of its contribution to drug sensitivity in BC [31]. It has been described that inhibitors of serotoninergic pathway components reduced sphere-forming activity of breast tumor cell lines in dose-dependent fashion and synergized with docetaxel to shrink breast tumor xenografts [36]. In line with this concept, we provide evidence that some serotoninergic receptor ligands, namely SER79 and SER68, improve the effectiveness of Tamoxifen treatment on ER$^+$ MCF7 BC cells modulating CTGF expression. CTGF may be triggered by serotonin and their association has been already described [38, 39].

Thus, our results identified new compounds able to target serotonin signaling, and in turn CTGF, and therefore potentially usable in combination with Tamoxifen improving its effectiveness on ER$^+$ BC patients. However, CTGF levels are reduced in a cellular model of

---

**Fig. 8** Effect of SER79 and SER68 on Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 cells. MCF7 cells were cultured in presence of Tamoxifen (1 μM) for 10 days. Estrogen-starved MCF7 and Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 cells (48 h) were (a-c) treated with SER79 (20 μM, 5 μM) or SER68 (40 μM, 20 μM). All treatments were carried out in presence of E$_2$ (100 nM) for 72 h. As positive control, the cells were treated with (a-c) E$_2$ alone or (b) E$_2$ in absence or in presence of SER (as indicated, dotted line). a, b Cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to positive control, considered as maximum viability (100%). c mRNA levels of CTGF were determined by qPCR (see Methods and Table 1). Data were normalized on Ribosomal Protein S23 (Rps23) gene as internal standard and reported as CTGF mRNA levels in Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 relative to those in MCF7 cells (dotted line). a, c Graphs represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent triplicate experiments. * denotes statistically significant values compared with (a, b) positive control (adjp<0.05, ** adjp<0.01) or (c) MCF7 cells (pval<0.05); # denotes statistically significant values compared with (b) cells treated with Tamoxifen in absence of SER or (c) untreated Tamoxifen-cultured MCF7 cells (#pval<0.01, ##pval<0.0001)

---

**Fig. 9** Effect of SER79 and SER68 on Tamoxifen-resistant (MCF7-R) cells. a Estrogen-starved MCF7-R were treated with SER79 (20 μM, 5 μM) or SER68 (20 μM) in presence of E$_2$ (100 nM). b Estrogen-starved MCF7-R were treated with Tamoxifen (5 μM) in presence of E$_2$ and SER79 (20 μM) or SER68 (20 μM). a, b As positive control, the cells were treated with E$_2$ alone, in absence or in presence of SER (dotted line). After 72 h, cell viability was assessed by sulforhodamine B assay (see Methods). The results were reported as percentage of viable cells compared to positive control, considered as maximum viability (100%). c mRNA levels of CTGF were determined by qPCR (see Methods and Table 1) in MCF7-R cells upon treatment with SER68 (20 μM). Data were normalized on Ribosomal Protein S23 (Rps23) gene as internal standard and reported as CTGF mRNA levels in MCF7-R. a-c Graphs represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent triplicate experiments. * denotes statistically significant values compared with (b) positive control or (c) MCF7 cells (*adjp<0.05, **adjp<0.001). # denotes statistically significant values compared with untreated MCF7-R (#pval<0.05)
Tamoxifen resistance. In this same model, SER68 may restore Tamoxifen responsiveness, without further reducing CTGF levels. It should also be pointed out that SER68 and/or other SER exert an inhibitory action also on SKBR3 and MDA-MB231, although at a lower extent. Again, no modulation of CTGF levels have been detected in these cells, suggesting a potential involvement of ER and/or PR in SER-mediated effects on CTGF.

Adjuvant endocrine therapies may contribute to depression and anxiety in patients with cancer [40, 41]. Antidepressant medications, including antagonists of serotonin receptor and/or SSRIs, may be co-prescribed with Tamoxifen in BC [42]. However, some antidepressant agents interfere with Tamoxifen metabolism, compromising its efficacy [43]. In this regard, the use of SSRIs has been associated with increased tumor proliferative index in patients with late-stage BC compared to patients non-users of SSRIs [35]. Nevertheless, drug interactions involving Tamoxifen and antidepressant medications remain controversial [15, 23, 42]. The discovery of novel compounds directly targeting serotonin signaling may contribute to tailor new therapeutic strategies usable in combination with endocrine therapies, improving their efficacy for treating cancer patients.

Conclusions
We identified serotoninergic receptor ligands able to target serotonin signaling, and in turn CTGF, also ameliorating the sensitivity to Tamoxifen in ER+ BC cells. Thus, they represent new compounds potentially usable in combination with Tamoxifen improving its effectiveness on ER+ BC patients.
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