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Abstract

This paper shows that in the context of statistical weblog classification for splog filtering based on n-grams of tokens in the URL, further segmenting the URLs beyond the standard punctuation is helpful. Many splog URLs contain phrases in which the words are glued together in order to avoid splog filtering techniques based on punctuation segmentation and unigrams. A technique which segments long tokens into the words forming the phrase is proposed and evaluated. The resulting tokens are used as features for a weblog classifier whose accuracy is similar to that of humans (78% vs. 76%) and reaches 93.3% of precision in identifying splogs with recall of 50.9%.

1 Introduction

The blogosphere, which is a subset of the web and is comprised of personal electronic journals (websites) currently encompasses 27.2 million pages and doubles in size every 5.5 months (Technorati, 2006). The information contained in the blogosphere has been proven valuable for applications such as marketing intelligence, trend discovery, and opinion tracking (Hurst, 2005). Unfortunately in the last year the blogosphere has been heavily polluted with spam weblogs (called splogs) which are websites used for different purposes, including promoting affiliated websites (Wikipedia, 2006). Splogs can skew the results of applications meant to quantitatively analyze the blogosphere. Sophisticated content-based methods or methods based on link analysis (Gyöngyi et al., 2004), while providing effective splog filtering, require extra web crawling and can be slow. While a combination of approaches is necessary to provide adequate splog filtering, similar to (Kan & Thi, 2005), we propose, as a preliminary step in the overall splog filtering, a fast, lightweight and accurate method merely based on the analysis of the URL of the weblog without considering its content.

For quantitative and qualitative analysis of the content of the blogosphere, it is acceptable to eliminate a small fraction of good data from analysis as long as the remainder of the data is splog-free. This elimination should be kept to a minimum to preserve counts needed for reliable analysis. When using an ensemble of methods for comprehensive splog filtering it is acceptable for pre-filtering approaches to lower recall in order to improve precision allowing more expensive techniques to be applied on a smaller set of weblogs. The proposed method reaches 93.3% of precision in classifying a weblog in terms of spam or good if 49.1% of the data are left aside (labeled as unknown). If all data needs to be classified our method achieves 78% accuracy which is comparable to the average accuracy of humans (76%) on the same classification task.

Sploggers, in creating splogs, aim to increase the traffic to specific websites. To do so, they frequently communicate a concept (e.g., a service or a product) through a short, sometimes non-grammatical phrase embedded in the URL of the weblog (e.g., http://adult-video-mpegs.blogspot.com). We want to build a statistical classifier which leverages the language used in these descriptive URLs in order to classify weblogs as spam or good. We built an initial language model-based classifier on the tokens of the URLs after tokenizing on punctuation (., -,
We ran the system and got an accuracy of 72.2% which is close to the accuracy of humans—76% (the baseline is 50% as the training data is balanced). When we did error analysis on the misclassified examples we observed that many of the mistakes were on URLs that contain words glued together as one token (e.g., dailyfreeipod). Had the words in these tokens been segmented the initial system would have classified the URL correctly. We, thus, turned our attention to additional segmenting of the URLs beyond just punctuation and using this intra-token segmentation in the classification.

Training a segmenter on standard available text collections (e.g., PTB or BNC) did not seem the way to proceed because the lexical items used and the sequence in which they appear differ from the usage in the URLs. Given that we are interested in unsupervised lightweight approaches for URL segmentation, one possibility is to use the URLs themselves after segmenting on punctuation and to try to learn the segmenting (the majority of URLs are naturally segmented using punctuation as we shall see later). We trained a segmenter on the tokens in the URLs, unfortunately this method did not provide sufficient improvement over the system which uses tokenization on punctuation. We hypothesized that the content of the splog pages corresponding to the splog URLs could be used as a corpus to learn the segmentation. We crawled 20K weblogs corresponding to the 20K URLs labeled as spam and good in the training set, converted them to text, tokenized and used the token sequences as training data for the segmenter. This led to a statistically significant improvement of 5.8% of the accuracy of the splog filter.

## 3 Slog filtering

The weblog classifier uses a segmenter which splits the URL in tokens and then the token sequence is used for supervised learning and classification.

### 3.1 URL segmentation

The segmenter first tokenizes the URLs on punctuation symbols. Then the current URL tokens are examined for further possible segmentation. The segmenter uses a sliding window of $n$ (e.g., 6) characters. Going from left to right in a greedy fashion the segmenter decides whether to split after the current third character. Figure 1 illustrates the processing of www.dietthatworks.com when considering the token dietthatworks. The character ‘o’ indicates that the left and right tri-grams are kept together while ‘•’ indicates a point where the segmenter decides a break should occur. The segmentation decisions are based on counts collected during training. For example, during the segmentation of dietthatworks in the case of diet•thais we essentially consider how many times we have seen in the training data the 6-gram ‘iettha’ vs. ‘iet_tha’. Certain characters (e.g., digits) are generalized both during training and segmentation.

![Figure 1: Workings of the segmenter](image)
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2 Engineering of splogs

Frequently sploggers indicate the semantic content of the weblogs using descriptive phrases—often noun groups (non-recursive noun phrases) like adult-video-mpegs. There are different varieties of splogs: commercial products (especially electronics), vacations, mortgages, and adult-related.

Users don’t want to see splogs in their results and marketing intelligence applications are affected when data contains splogs. Existing approaches to splog filtering employ statistical classifiers (e.g., SVMs) trained on the tokens in a URL after tokenization on punctuation (Kolari et al., 2006). To avoid being identified as a splog by such systems one of the creative techniques that sploggers use is to glue words together into longer tokens for which there will not be statistical information (e.g., businessopportunitymoneyworkathome is unlikely to appear in the training data while business, opportunity, money, work, at and home are likely to have been seen in training). Another approach to dealing with splogs is having a list of splog websites (SURBL, 2006). Such an approach based on blacklists is now less effective because bloghosts provide tools which can be used for the automatic creation of a large quantity of splogs.
3.2 Classification

For the weblog classification a simple Naive Bayes classifier is used. Given a token sequence $T = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$, representing the segmented URL, the class $\hat{c} \in C = \{\text{spam, good}\}$ is decided as:

$$\hat{c} = \arg\max_{c \in C} P(c|T) = \arg\max_{c \in C} \frac{P(c) \cdot P(T|c)}{P(T)}$$

$$= \arg\max_{c \in C} P(c) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(t_i|c)$$

In the last step we made the conditional independence assumption. For calculating $P(t_i|c)$ we use Laplace (add one) smoothing (Jurafsky & Martin, 2000). We have also explored classification via simple voting techniques such as:

$$a = \sgn \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sgn (P(t_i|\text{spam}) - P(t_i|\text{good}))$$

$$\hat{c} = \begin{cases} \text{spam,} & \text{if } a = 1 \\ \text{good,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Because we are interested in having control over the precision/recall of the classifier we introduce a score meant to be used for deciding whether to label a URL as unknown.

$$\text{score}(T) = \left| \frac{P(\text{spam}|T) - P(\text{good}|T)}{P(\text{spam}|T) + P(\text{good}|T)} \right|$$

If $\text{score}(T)$ exceeds a certain threshold $\tau$ we label $T$ as spam or good using the greater probability of $P(\text{spam}|T)$ or $P(\text{good}|T)$. To control the precision of the classifier we can tune $\tau$. For instance, when we set $\tau = 0.75$ we achieve 93.3% of precision which implied a recall of 50.9%. An alternate commonly used technique to compute a score is to look at the log likelihood ratio.

4 Experiments and results

First we discuss the segmenter. 10,000 spam and 10,000 good weblog URLs and their corresponding HTML pages were used for the experiments. The 20,000 weblog HTML pages are used to induce the segmenter. The first experiment was aimed at finding how common extra segmentation beyond punctuation is as a phenomenon. The segmenter was run on the actual training URLs. The number of URLs that are additionally segmented besides the segmentation on punctuation are reported in Table 1.

| # of splits | # of spam URLs | # of good URLs |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1           | 2,235          | 2,274          |
| 2           | 868            | 459            |
| 3           | 223            | 46             |
| 4           | 77             | 7              |
| 5           | 2              | 1              |
| 6           | 4              | 1              |
| 8           | 3              | –              |
| Total       | 3,412          | 2,788          |

Table 1: Number of extra segmentations in a URL

The multiple segmentations need not all occur on the same token in the URL after initial segmentation on punctuations.

The segmenter was then evaluated on a separate test set of 1,000 URLs for which the ground truth for the segmentation was marked. The results are in Table 2. The evaluation is only on segmentation events and does not include tokenization decisions around punctuation.

| Precision | Recall | F-measure |
|-----------|--------|-----------|
| 84.31     | 48.84  | 61.85     |

Table 2: Performance of the segmenter

Figure 2 shows long tokens which are correctly split. The weblog classifier was then run on the test set. The results are shown in Table 3.

| cash · for · your · house |
| unlimited · pet · supplies |
| jim · and · body · fat |
| weight · loss · product · info |
| kick · the · boy · and · run |
| bringing · back · the · past |
| food · for · your · speakers |

Figure 2: Correct segmentations
accuracy 78%
prec. spam 82%
rec. spam 71%
f-meas spam 76%
prec. good 74%
rec. good 84%
f-meas good 79%

Table 3: Classification results

The performance of humans on this task was also evaluated. Eight individuals performed the splog identification just looking at the unsegmented URLs. The results for the human annotators are given in Table 4. The average accuracy of the humans (76%) is similar to that of the system (78%).

|               | Mean | σ   |
|---------------|------|-----|
| accuracy      | 76%  | 6.71|
| prec. spam    | 83%  | 7.57|
| rec. spam     | 65%  | 6.35|
| f-meas spam   | 73%  | 7.57|
| prec. good    | 71%  | 6.35|
| rec. good     | 87%  | 6.39|
| f-meas good   | 78%  | 6.08|

Table 4: Results for the human annotators

From an information retrieval perspective if only 50.9% of the URLs are retrieved (labelled as either spam or good and the rest are labelled as unknown) then the spam/good decisions 93.3% are correct. This is relevant for cases where a URL splog filter is in cascade followed by, for example, a content-based one.

5 Discussion

The system performs better with the intra-token segmentation because the system is forced to guess unseen events on fewer occasions. For instance given the input URL www.ipodipodipod.com in the system which segments solely on punctuation both the spam and the good model will have to guess the probability of ipodipodipod and the results depend merely on the smoothing technique.

Even if we reached the average accuracy of humans we expect to be able to improve the system further as the maximum accuracy among the human annotators is 90%. Among the errors of the segmenter the most common are related to plural nouns (‘girls’ vs. ‘girls’) and past tense of verbs (‘dedicated’ vs. ‘dedicated’). The proposed approach has ramifications for splog filtering systems that want to consider the outward links from a weblog.

6 Conclusions

We have presented a technique for determining whether a weblog is splog based merely on analyzing its URL. We proposed an approach where we initially segment the URL in words and then do the classification. The technique is simple, yet very effective—our system reaches an accuracy of 78% (while humans perform at 76%) and 93.3% of precision in classifying a weblog with recall of 50.9%.
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