In Vivo and in Vitro Study on Drug-Drug Interaction of Lovastatin and Berberine from Pharmacokinetic and HepG2 Cell Metabolism Studies
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Abstract: Background: We assumed that the pharmacokinetics of lovastatin could be changed by the induction effect of berberine. Methods: An UPLC-MS/MS method was developed and validated for the pharmacokinetics study of lovastatin to investigate the in vivo drug-drug interactions between lovastatin and berberine. SD male rats were random divided into lovastatin group and berberine induced prior to lovastatin group for the in vivo pharmacokinetic studies. Meanwhile HepG2 cells were induced by berberine for three days to study the metabolism of lovastatin. Results: The AUC (p < 0.01) and Cmax (p < 0.01) could be significantly decreased in the berberine-induced group in vivo, and the metabolic activity of HepG2 cell could be increased by berberine induction in vitro. The metabolism parameters of lovastatin such as CL, Vmax and Km were increased after the induction of berberine. From the pharmacokinetic study of lovastatin induced with berberine, we obtained pharmacokinetic parameters which are compliance with the metabolic parameters of lovastatin in HepG2 cells with berberine induction in vitro. Conclusions: From the in vivo pharmacokinetics study and the HepG2 cell metabolism study in vitro, berberine could be an inducer for the metabolism of lovastatin according to our previous research on berberine induction effects on HepG2 cells, which may be relevant to the fact that berberine possesses induction effects through the CYP 450 3A4 enzyme.
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1. Introduction

High cholesterol and diabetes-related diseases are urgent healthy problems with an incidence of more than 10% among the Chinese population [1]. Clinical therapeutics in this area involves prescription of the herbal medicines Monascus and Coptis Chinensis Franch, which contain the main bioactive components of berberine and lovastatin. Lovastatin is a cholesterol-lowering drug isolated from Monascus and astrain of Aspergillus terreus [2–5], that competitively inhibits the biosynthesis of mevalonic acid by HMG-CoA Reductase. This key enzyme catalyzes the conversion of HMG-CoA to mevalonate. An early and rate-limiting tep in the biosynthesis of cholesterol. After oral administration, an inactive lactone is hydrolyzed by carboxyesterases to the corresponding β-hydroxy acid (Figure 1),
where the hydrolyzate is considered to be the main metabolite and active pharmaceutical ingredient of lovastatin [6–9].

![Chemical structures of lovastatin, lovastatin acid, and warfarin sodium](image)

**Figure 1.** A, B and IS are the chemical structures of lovastatin, lovastatin acid and warfarin sodium.

The literature has reported drug-drug interactions between lovastatin and drugs or related products with effect on drugs such as colesevelam, gemfibrozil, itraconazole or dietary supplements determined via pharmacokinetic studies [10–15]. Berberine is an alkaloid available from the medicinal plant *Coptis chinensis* that is widely used clinically in the treatment of gastrointestinal infections, diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. There are numerous drug-drug interaction studies related to berberine with Chinese medicine or drugs such as Jiao-Tai-Wan, verapamil, flavonoids of *Radix Scutellariae*, digoxin, simvastatin, ciprofloxacin, fluconazole, doxorubicin, pravastatin, rhein, cyclosporine A, ketoconazole, losartan, probenecid, cloxacillin, midazolam, quinidine and atorvastatin [16–29]. Combination of simvastatin with berberine improves the lipid-lowering efficacy [20]. Our previously study proved that berberine is an inducer in the CYP 450 3A4 enzyme metabolism by increasing the RNA transcription [30–32], and berberine potentially has a drug-drug interaction which affects the pharmacokinetics of lovastatin in rats. Therefore, the study of the pharmacokinetics ofLovastatin acid after a single dose of lovastatin, or berberine-induced rats prior to lovastatin administration has great significance in the therapeutical control of cholesterol-lowering drugs in the clinic to reduce the adverse effects.

As the literature has reported, lovastatin acid sodium was selected as the analyte due to its greater sensitivity than lovastatin in MS/MS detection [33,34]. In the present study, we developed an UPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of lovastatin acid sodium in rat plasma with LOQ at 0.25 ng·mL\(^{-1}\), which is more sensitive than the previously reported LOQ of 1 nM for lovastatin acid. In the application of the validated bio-analysis method to the study of the lovastatin pharmacokinetics induced by berberine, SD male rats were randomly divided into two groups (lovastatin group and berberine-induced lovastatin group). The plasma samples were collected on a schedule and prepared for analysis. Evaluation of the drug-drug interactions between lovastatin and berberine was performed by comparing the significant difference of the main pharmacokinetics parameters between the lovastatin group and berberine-induced lovastatin group. Meanwhile, a comparative evaluation of the metabolic activity of lovastatin was performed in the HepG2 cell line between the lovastatin group and the berberine-induced lovastatin group as well.

The results showed that the validated method could be successfully applied to study the drug-drug interactions between lovastatin and berberine. After induction of berberine, pharmacokinetic parameters such as the AUC (p < 0.01) and C\(_{\text{max}}\) (p < 0.01) were significantly decreased \textit{in vivo}. In the \textit{in vitro} HepG2 cells experiments, berberine could increase the metabolic activity by increasing the metabolic parameters of CL, which was increased from 1.06 to 1.48 mL/10\(^5\)(cell)·h\(^{-1}\) with the induction of berberine, the K\(_{\text{m}}\) was increased three times in berberine induced group as well, which was compliance with the obtained pharmacokinetics parameter from AUC\(_{0-12}\) of lovastatin decreased 2.5 times (approximately three times).
2. Results

2.1. UPLC-MS/MS Method Development

The UPLC-MS/MS conditions were as follows: the mobile phases were 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B) with the following program profile: 0–1.2 min, A (90%–85%) vs. B (10%–15%), 1.3–1.8 min, A (50%–10%) vs. B (50%–90%), 2.1–2.5 min, A (10%) vs. B (90%), 2.5–2.9 min, A (10%–90%) vs. B (90%–10%), 3.0–4.0 min, A (90%) vs. B (10%). The mobile phase was pumped at a flow rate of 0.3 mL·min⁻¹, and the column temperature was 25 °C. The ESI source temperature was optimized at 500 °C, the nitrogen gas was 800 L/h. The multiple reaction monitoring model (MRM) was used to select the precursor ion, production, cone and collision voltage by Intellistart optimization for lovastatin acid and warfarin, with the results shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The optimized UPLC-MS/MS condition in the MRM model for lovastatin acid and warfarin.

| Compound          | Molecular Weight | Precursor Ion (m/z) | Product Ion (m/z) | Cone (V) | Collision (V) | Retention Time (min) |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|
| Lovastatin a cid  | 444.5             | 445.35              | 343.26           | 36       | 18            | 2.3                  |
| Warfarin          | 330.10            | 331.30              | 273.03           | 44       | 22            | 2.1                  |

2.2. Plasma Sample Procedure

Extraction ofLovastatin acid from rat plasma was optimized by means of liquid-liquid extraction and protein precipitation. The result showed that Lovastatin could be transformed intolovastatin acid with a recovery more than 89% at concentration levels of 1, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹, there was no obvious interference forLovastatin acid extraction by the protein extraction method (recovery% ≥ 89.2%). For method validation, 100 µL of blank rat plasma was added into a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube, spiked with 10 µL working solution of IS and Lovastatin acid respectively, then 10 µL of triethylamine was added and vortexed for 5 min. Then the mixture was extracted with 270 µL acetonitrile, vortexed eventually for protein precipitation for 5 min prior to centrifugation at 14,000 × g for 15 min. Finally, 200 µL of the supernatant was placed into a 96 samples well plate for analysis. For true drug plasma procedure, 100 µL of plasma sample was spiked with 10 µL working solution of IS and 10 µL triethylamine prior to vortexing for 5 min, and then extracted with 280 µL acetonitrile for protein precipitation, vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 15 min. Finally, 200 µL of the supernatant was transferred into a 96-well polypropylene plate for analysis.

2.3. Method Validation

Method validation was strictly conducted according to the FDA guidance for method validation for bio-analysis [35]. For specification, the result showed that there was no interference with the analysis of Lovastatin acid owing to the fact the blank showed no response at the sample retention time and quantification of MRM ions, while Lovastatin acid could be determined after rat administration of Lovastatin for 1 h (Figure 2). For LLOQ, six replicates were analyzed with the S/N ratio of 10 with the precision of RSD% less than 20% at concentration of 0.25 ng·mL⁻¹. For linearity, Lovastatin acid was linear at the concentration ranged from 0.25~100 ng·mL⁻¹ with the correlation coefficient prior to 0.99 weighed by 1/x, the linear equations were y = (1.125 ± 0.02)x + (0.52 ± 0.15), where y is the relative response area of Lovastatin acid versus the relative response area of IS, x is the concentration of Lovastatin acid. The quality control was calculated according to the linear equation by accuracy in the range of 88.6%~105.4% during all the analysis. The inter-day and inner-day precision and accuracy were determined and the results showed that the precisions were in the range of 89.2%~105% with a relative standard deviation (RSD%) less than 10% at concentration levels of 1, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹. The RSD% for recovery, matrix effect and the stability were less than 10% at concentration levels of 1, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹ as well. All the method validation data, which is compliance with method validation for bio-analysis and approval for the pharmacokinetic study, is shown in Table 2.
Figure 2. The chromatography for specification of lovastatin acid in rat plasma. (A–C) are the representation of the rat blank plasma, the reference spiked into blank plasma (1.0 ng·mL\(^{-1}\)) and the true plasma samples at 1 h.

Table 2. UPLC-MS/MS method validation data for the determination of lovastatin acid in rat plasma (\(n = 6\)).

| Content                | Concentration (ng·mL\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                        | Nominal  | 1     | 10    | 100   |
| Recovery (%)           | Accuracy | 89.2  | 92.5  | 94.6  |
|                        | RSD      | 6.8   | 5.7   | 4.3   |
| Matrixeffect (%)       | Accuracy | 95.8  | 96.4  | 102.7 |
|                        | RSD      | 6.4   | 4.1   | 6.9   |
| Precision (%)          | Inter-day| Accuracy | 92.5  | 94.6  | 101.5 |
|                        |         | RSD    | 9.6   | 6.1   | 4.8   |
|                        | Inner-day| Accuracy | 89.6  | 98.3  | 104.2 |
|                        |         | RSD    | 6.5   | 7.2   | 4.4   |
| Stability (%)          | 1cycle  | Accuracy | 92.2  | 96.8  | 102.3 |
|                        |         | RSD    | 8.2   | 4.6   | 3.5   |
|                        | 3cycles | Accuracy | 90.6  | 93.1  | 95.9  |
|                        |         | RSD    | 7.8   | 6.3   | 5.4   |
|                        | 12 h post-procedure | Accuracy | 95.3  | 97.9  | 103.4 |
|                        |         | RSD    | 5.9   | 4.8   | 3.3   |
|                        | 12 h under sampler | Accuracy | 94.6  | 97.5  | 101.6 |
|                        |         | RSD    | 6.8   | 4.2   | 4.1   |
2.4. Pharmacokinetic Study

In the pharmacokinetic study, the drug plasma concentration-time profile for a rat’s single dose of lovastatin and berberine induced 3 days prior to dose of lovastatin is shown in Figure 3 (n = 6). The obtained pharmacokinetic compartment model was calculated according to minimum the value of Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC), which pertains to the two-compartment model for the pharmacokinetic parameters calculation. The obtained first-order absorption constant (\(t_{1/2\alpha}\)) was 3.75 h for the berberine-induced group and 2.20 h for the lovastatin group, and the first-order elimination constant (\(t_{1/2\beta}\)) was 16.60 h for the berberine-induced group and 2.93 h for the lovastatin group. The mean AUC\(_{0-24}\) for lovastatin acid was 91.97 ng/mL·h, the C\(_{\text{max}}\) was 47.40 ng·mL\(^{-1}\) and the \(t_{\text{max}}\) was 1 h. After berberine induction for 3 days, the means of AUC, C\(_{\text{max}}\) and \(t_{\text{max}}\) for lovastatin acid were 37.28 ng/mL·h, 11.13 ng·mL\(^{-1}\) and 0.92 h, respectively. When an independent sample T test was used for intergroup comparison to obtain the significant difference pharmacokinetic parameters between two groups, it was found that berberine could decrease the pharmacokinetic parameters such as C\(_{\text{max}},\) AUC\(_{0-24}\) and AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) significantly (Table 3, \(p < 0.01\)), while the \(t_{\text{max}}\) was not delayed by the induction, and the mean retention time (MRT) and \(t_{1/2\beta}\) were prolonged.

![Figure 3. The drug plasma concentration (ng·mL\(^{-1}\))-time (hour) profile of lovastatin acid obtained from berberine induced lovastatin and lovastatin, respectively.](image)

| Parameter              | Berberine Induced Prior to Lovastatin | Lovastatin |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| \(t_{1/2\alpha}\) (h)  | 3.75 *                               | 2.20       |
| \(t_{1/2\beta}\) (h)   | 16.60 **                             | 2.93       |
| V (L)                  | 0.24                                 | 0.09       |
| CL/F (L/h)             | 0.05                                 | 0.03       |
| AUC\(_{0-12}\) (ng/mL·h) | 37.28 **                            | 91.97      |
| AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) (ng/mL·h) | 41.17 **                           | 93.66      |
| Lagtime (h)            | 0.03                                 | 0.02       |
| MRT\(_{0-12}\) (h)     | 7.42                                 | 4.37       |
| \(t_{\text{max}}\) (h) | 1.03                                 | 0.92       |
| C\(_{\text{max}}\) (ng/mL) | 11.13 **                           | 47.40      |

where *: is the in representation of \(p < 0.05\); **: is the in representation of \(p < 0.01\). The comparisons between two groups are berberine-induced lovastatin group and lovastatin group.
2.5. Evaluation of Lovastatin Metabolism Induced by Berberine

According to the UPLC-MS/MS analyzed data of lovastatin, the linearity and the QC meet the sample analysis requirements for precision at the range of 90.5%–112.7%. The drug concentration time profile is shown in Figure 4, where the lovastatin metabolic rate was plotted with V (metabolic rate) vs. S (lovastatin concentration) according to the Michaelis-Menten equation \( V = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times S}{K_m + S} \), where \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) were calculated using the “Solver Options” of the Microsoft Excel software 2007, and the data was obtained from the lovastatin group and the berberine-induced group. The results showed that \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) for lovastatin were 6.60 ng/10^6 (cell)·h\(^{-1}\) and 0.62 ng·mL\(^{-1}\) for a single dose in the lovastatin group, and the \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) were 19.94 ng/10^6 (cell)·h\(^{-1}\) and 1.35 ng·mL\(^{-1}\) in the berberine-induced group. The intrinsic clearance of HepG2 was the ratio between \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) for lovastatin (CL), which were 1.06 and 1.48 mL/10^5 (cell)·h\(^{-1}\) in the lovastatin group and berberine-induced group, respectively.

![Figure 4. HepG2 cell metabolism of lovastatin plotted by drug concentration-time profile.](image)

3. Discussion

Lovastatin is effective but has adverse effects on the cardiovascular system and risk factors for myopathy [5]. Consequently, the bio-availability study of lovastatin by AUC and \( C_{\text{max}} \) has great significance to reduce its adverse effects in the clinic when combined with berberine. This experimental study developed a highly sensitive and accurate UPLC-MS/MS method with a simple sample handling protocol for the pharmacokinetic study of lovastatin acid. Lovastatin acid is a hydrolysis product and active compound of lovastatin in vivo. Meanwhile, lovastatin acid is more sensitive than lovastatin for analysis on MS/MS, which is more accessible for the pharmacokinetic study of lovastatin. After induction of berberine for three days prior to administration of a single oral dose of lovastatin, the compartment model was evaluated as the two-compartment model. The pharmacokinetic parameter \( t_{1/2\beta} \) was significantly increased with the berberine induction, while the AUC and \( C_{\text{max}} \) were significantly decreased compared to the single oral dose ofLovastatin. Those result revealed a potential drug-drug interaction between berberine and lovastatin.

In the HepG2 cells metabolism experiment, the results showed that the \( V_{\text{max}} \) of lovastatin was increased from 6.60 to 19.94 ng/10^6 (cell)·h\(^{-1}\) after the induction with berberine, and the \( K_m \) was increased from 0.62 to 1.35 ng·mL\(^{-1}\) with the berberine induction as well. The intrinsic clearance CL was increased from 1.06 to 1.48 mL/10^5 (cell)·h\(^{-1}\) with the induction of berberine. The HepG2 cell metabolism activity of lovastatin was increased significantly by the berberine induction, the \( K_m \) was increased three times in the berberine-induced group, which was compliance with the fact the AUC\(_{0-12}\) for lovastatin decreased 2.5 times (approximately three times), while the \( C_{\text{max}} \) of lovastatin decreased 2.5 times after induction with berberine. The data suggests that berberine may slow the adverse effects caused by continuous dosing ofLovastatin.

Lovastatin is an substrate of the CYP 450 3A4 enzyme and P-glycoprotein [32,36], while berberine is an inducer of CYP 450 3A4 enzyme activity via an increase in its mRNA expression [30], but
there is no mention in the literature of a relevant induction effect of berberine on P-glycoprotein. The metabolism parameter $K_m$ increased rate, was less than the pharmacokinetic parameter $C_{\text{max}}$ decreased rate, a result that could be explained by the absorption and metabolism of lovastatin with the involvement of P-glycoprotein and other CYP 450 enzymes. Our previous study found that berberine could increase CYP 450 3A4 enzymem RNA expression more than 2-fold in vitro, which was in agreement with the fact the AUC of lovastatin acid decreased more than 2-fold in vivo. According to the FDA guidance on drug development and drug interactions, berberine could decrease the AUC$_{0-t}$ of lovastatin by 59.5%, which corresponds to a moderate inducer by decreasing the AUC in the range of 50%–80% by inducing the CYP 450 3A4 enzyme [37]. Accordingly, there is a literature report on a drug-drug pharmacokinetic interaction study of simvastatin combined with berberine after oral administration in rats [38], in which it was found that berberine could decrease the AUC$_{0-t}$ of simvastatin acid by 60.9% (from 7258.3 ± 3995.6 µg/L·h decreased to 4421.7 ± 1384.3 µg/L·h). The pharmacokinetic study combined with the pharmacology research of simvastatin with berberine improvement of the lipid-lowering efficacy, suggest this may be a promising drug-drug combination to overcome its adverse effects and to improve its lipid-lowering efficacy in clinical use.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. Reagent and Chemicals

Acetonitrile and methanol of HPLC grade was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Formic acid and ammonium acetate was purchased from TEDIA Inc. (Fairfield, OH, USA). Berberine and trimethylamine were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Ultra purified water was prepared in our lab using a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Caco2 cell line was obtained from Cell Culture Center of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China). DMEM low glucose medium and fetal bovine serum were obtained from Gibco (GrandIsland, NewYork, NY, USA).

4.2. Instrumental

The UPLC-MS/MS system was consisted of a Waters Xevo Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI) (Waters, Milford, MA, USA), a quaternary pump, an UPLC Acquity BEH C$_{18}$ column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 µm) and the software Masslynx V 4.1 ChemStation.

4.3. Preparation of Reference Solution and Working Solutions

Lovastatin and warfarin sodium was purchased from the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). Lovastatin acid sodium was purchased from Merck Millipore (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany). The working solutions for lovastatin acid were prepared in the range of 5–10,000 ng·mL$^{-1}$ in a series concentration level. Warfarin sodium was selected as the internal standard (IS) and prepared at a concentration of 1000 ng·mL$^{-1}$. All the standard solutions were stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator before use. The chemical structures of lovastatin, lovastatin acid sodium and warfarin sodium were shown in Figure 1.

4.4. Plasma Sample Procedure

Lovastatin transformation into lovastatinaicid by basic hydrolysis was performed in the plasma sample procedure. The extraction of lovastatin acid from rat plasma procedures were optimized by means of liquid-liquid extraction by ethyl acetate added with formic acid or triethylamine and protein precipitation by methanol or acetonitrile added with formic acid or triethylamine.
4.5. UPLC-MS/MS Method Optimizing and Validation

The UPLC condition was optimized on an Acquity BEH C18 column for analysis. Selection of the mobile phase investigated the composition, flow rate, and addition of formic acid and ammonium acetate in various concentrations to obtain high sensitivity and ionization. The column temperature was 25 °C. The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) model for parent to daughter ion (m/z) was used to optimize the energy of collision and cone by the procedure of Intellistart in combination with the mobile phase. The ESI source parameters were optimized with drying gas temperature and the gas flow rate as well.

The method validation included specificity, linearity, lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), precision and accuracy. The specificity was to verify the interference from blank sample for the determination of IS and lovastatin acid with each retention time and MRM ions, drug-free rats' plasma sample was collected and processed under sample procedure, and then analyzed according to the developed UPLC-MS/MS method. Linearity of the calibration curve prepared for a lovastatin acid concentration range of 0.25 to 100 ng·mL⁻¹ in eight levels, was studied, using warfarin as internal standard. The LLOQ was based on the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of more than 10:1 and coefficient of variation less than 20% with multiple analysis (n = 6).

Quality control (QC) samples were prepared and analyzed at final concentrations of 0.5, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹ along with procedure samples at intervals of each run, which accuracy in the range of 80%~120% was considered to be a qualified analysis batch.

Precision and accuracy studies were conducted by spiking lovastatin acid and IS into matrices (drug-free blank plasma). Both the inner-assay and inter-assay (on three sequential day) reproducibility was studied to evaluate the precision and accuracy, using working solutions prepared at concentration levels of 1, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹.

Recovery and matrix effects were examined according to the sample procedure at concentration levels of 1, 10 and 100 ng·mL⁻¹. Recovery was studied by preparing samples from prior-spiking and post-spiking of the standard into drug-free plasma to prepare samples, and the calculation was conducted by comparing the prior-spiking analysis to the post-spiking samples as a percentage (%). The matrix effect was determined by preparing samples from post-spiking of the standard into drug-free plasma to prepare samples, and then a calculation was conducted by the analysis of the post-spiking samples compared to the reference standard by percentage (%). For the transformation of lovastatinin to lovastatin acid, the production rate (percentage) was investigated as well as the recovery of lovastatin acid.

The stability was used to verify the stability of lovastatin acid in plasma. Drug-free samples were spiked with lovastatin acid, and then kept for 10 days, or a month in a frozen state for three melt-thaw cycles inrefriger at or under −40 °C prior to the sample procedures. The stability of the post procedure was investigated in the samples using the auto-sampler at room temperature.

4.6. Design of the Study of Lovastatin Pharmacokinetics Induced by Berberine

Commercial lovastatin capsules (20 mg, Lotnumber: 12101812) were obtained from Yangtze River Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd. (Taizhou, China). SD male rats (240 ± 20 g) were purchased from Beijing HFK Bioscience Co.Ltd. (license No: SCXK2009-0007, Beijing, China). The animals were maintained at ambient temperature and humidity on a 12 h light / 12 h dark cycle for a week before use, they were housed with free access to food and water except for fasting for 12 h. Animal household welfare and experimental procedures were strictly conducted according to the Guidance For The Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic China, 2006) and the related ethical regulations of our hospital (Medical Ethics Committee of Guang’anmen Hospital). The ethics committee approved this work (permit number: 2013AE001), and efforts were made to ameliorate animal suffering. The rats were randomly divided into two groups, one group was treated with the oral administration of lovastatin at the dose of 2 mg/kg calculated by body weight (n = 6), and another group was received berberine (10 mg/kg calculated by body weight) for three
sequential days prior to oral administration of lovastatin at a dose of 2 mg/kg. Heparinized blood samples (approximately 0.2 mL) were collected alternately in each edge of every via the oculichorioideae vein under anesthesia by ether at 0.15, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10, 12 and 24 h. Plasma samples were obtained by centrifuge under 4000×g to obtain the decanted supernatant, which was stored at −40°C before analysis.

4.7. Evaluation of the Metabolic Activity of Lovastatin in BER-Induced HepG2 Cells

According to our previously study, BER significantly increased the metabolic activity of the CYP 3A4 enzyme in a dose-dependent manner by increasing of mRNA and protein expression [30]. To investigate the induction effect of BER on HepG2 cells, 200 µL of 1×10^6/mL HepG2 cell suspension was placed in a 6-well plate and incubated with 2 mL of culture medium for 4 days (culture medium was replaced every 2 days). When the cell fusion was approximately 90%, the medium was discarded, and 2 mL of BER (1.0 µg·mL⁻¹ prepared in culture medium) was added into three wells, and 2 mL of drug-free culture medium was added into the other three wells. After incubation for three days, the medium was discarded, 2 mL of lovastatin (1.0 µg·mL⁻¹ prepared in culture medium) was added into each well prior to incubation for 90 min, then 100 µL of the sample were collected at 0, 30, 60 and 90 min, the sample was added with 50 µL of ice-cold sodium acetate buffer (100 mM; pH 4.5 at room temperature) prior to addition of 150 µL cool acetonitrile (containing 100 ng·mL⁻¹ warfarin as internal standard, 4°C), then vortexed for 2 min and centrifuged at 13,500×g for 15 min, the supernatant was placed into a 96-well polypropylene plate for analysis, where the sodium acetate buffer was used to protect the transformation of lovastatin and lovastatin acid [39]. The linearity for the analysis of lovastatin was determined with 100 µL of blank samples (200 µL of HepG2 cell suspension added with 2 mL culture medium) added with 50 µL of ice-cold sodium acetate buffer (100 mM; pH 4.5 at room temperature) and 150 µL cool acetonitrile (containing 100 ng·mL⁻¹ warfarin as internal standard, 4°C) prepared at final concentrations levels of 10, 25, 50, 100, 250 and 500 ng·mL⁻¹, QC samples were prepared at final concentrations of 30, 75, 150, 300 ng·mL⁻¹ as the sample preparation. The UPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed as well as determination of lovastatin acid. MS/MS condition transitions (m/z) for lovastatin were 405.35→285.22, the parameters of cone voltage and collision energy were 16 and 12 V, respectively.

4.8. Data Analysis

The main pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated by the compartment model using the quantitative pharmacology software DASStatistics Version 1.0 (Mathematical Pharmacology Professional Committee of China, Shanghai, China), the mean plasma concentration–time profile was plotted in the figure using a horizontal axis of time (hours) and a vertical axis of plasma concentration (ng/mL, n = 6). All data presentation was in the format of mean and standard deviation (mean ± SD). An independent T test was used for inter-group (lovastatin group and berberine induced group) comparison (SPSS 17.5, IBM SPSSS statistics software, New York, NY, USA). For analysis, a probability value p < 0.05 or p < 0.01 were considered to be significant or very significant in the statistics.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, berberine could be an inducer for the metabolism of lovastatin according to the results of our in vivo pharmacokinetics study and in vitro HepG2 cell metabolism study. According to our previous research on the berberine induction effects on HepG2 cells, it may be relevant to berberine induction of the CYP 450 3A4 enzyme. Meanwhile, these experiments provide an example of a drug metabolism study in vitro in relation to a drug pharmacokinetics study in vivo.
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