Generation of chiral solitons in antiferromagnetic chains by a quantum quench
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We analyze the time evolution of a magnetic excitation in a spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain after a quantum quench. By a proper modulation of the magnetic exchange coupling, we prepare a static soliton of total spin 1/2 as an initial spin state. Using bosonization and a numerical time dependent density matrix renormalization group algorithm, we show that the initial excitation evolves to a state composed of two counterpropagating chiral states, which interfere to yield ⟨S_z⟩ = 1/2 for each mode. We find that these dynamically generated states remain considerably stable as time evolution is carried out. We propose spin-Peierls materials and ultracold-atom systems as suitable experimental scenarios in which to conduct and observe this mechanism.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Pq. 03.75.Lm

I. INTRODUCTION

Thinking about classical nonlinear physics, solitons are peculiar solutions which can be characterized by constant velocity and shape. Recently, Wöllert and Honecker11 pursuing the understanding of the extension of the soliton concept to the quantum regime, chose the easy-axis ferromagnetic XXZ model as the scenario in which to analyze how a localized quantum wave packet evolves in time. They have shown that besides the quantum mechanical delocalization due to the uncertainty principle, they are in qualitative agreement with its classical counterpart. Following this objective of deciphering the quantum soliton term, we tackle an alternative problem in which we study the time evolution of a one-dimensional topological quantum soliton after a quench.

The study of nonequilibrium phenomena in one-dimensional systems has become a very active area of research in recent years due to new advances in the experiments with ultracold atoms in optical lattices3,4 and the latest studies on thermalization after quantum quenches5,6. With these ideas in mind, we propose a frustrated J1-J2 spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain as a suitable framework in which to conduct the analysis. It is know that this model undergoes a phase transition from a quasi-long-range ordered ground state to a product of localized singlets clusters as a function of the next-nearest neighbor parameter. Moreover, this Hamiltonian has an exact ground state for each mode. We find that these dynamically generated states remain quite stable despite the quantum-mechanical spreading. On the other hand, for a highly localized soliton generated in the MG point, the excitation shows quick spreading with time evolution.

We select the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)8,9 and bosonization10 as the numerical and analytical techniques to conduct our study. There are plenty of examples in the literature showing that both methods are convenient for giving a reliable description of spin chain systems, particularly, when the coupling to the lattice is also considered.11 Among different DMRG options,8 we use the algorithm introduced by Manmana et al.2 that allows us to perform non equilibrium simulations for systems with interactions beyond the nearest neighbors. It is worth mentioning that related studies were done on spin transport, even at finite temperature.12

II. THE MODEL AND STRATEGY TO GENERATE EXCITED STATES

In order to generate a soliton-like topological excitation, we introduce a one dimensional antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian with first and second neighbor interactions and spin-lattice coupling, which reads

\[ H = \sum_i \left[ 1 + \delta_1 \right] S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \beta S_{i-1} \cdot S_{i+1}. \]

(1)

\(S_i\) is a spin-1/2 operator for the \(i\)th lattice site, and we have set \(J_1 = 1\) as the energy scale, such that \(\beta = J_2/J_1\) is the second neighbor exchange coupling; \(\delta_1 = \lambda(u_{i+1} - u_i)/J_1\) is the dimensionless bond length variation, where \(u_i\) are the displacements of the magnetic ions from their equilibrium...
We show we metered at site spin pattern given by $\xi = 1$ at a given site (diamonds). The bosonization result for this magnitude is valid. In this approximation, the stable pattern for the continuous fields are $\delta(x) = \delta_0 \tanh(x/\xi)$ and $\phi(x) = \arcsin [\tanh(x/\xi)]$. With the inclusion of an elastic energy term, $\delta_0$ and $\xi$ depend on the values of the microscopic parameters $K$ and $J_{1,2}$. In the previous DMRG calculation we chose $\delta_i$ with arbitrary $\delta_0$ and $\xi$, i.e. not subject to fulfilling an adiabatic equation. In the spirit of a semi-classical quantization we assume the following ansatz for the mean value of the bosonic field: $\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \phi_S(x) = \arcsin [\tanh(x/\xi')]$, where the subindex $S$ refers to a solitonic pattern. In Fig. 1 we show a fitting to the numerical results using the analytical expression, for which we obtain a good agreement with $\xi' = 1.773$.

III. QUENCH AND TIME EVOLUTION OF THE SOLITON

We now turn off the spin-lattice coupling and study the dynamics of this magnetic excitation, which will be conducted by a homogeneous Heisenberg Hamiltonian $H' = H[\delta_i = 0]$. Once the quantum soliton is constructed, the time-dependent DMRG (t-DMRG) algorithm enables us to evolve in time under this new homogeneous Hamiltonian. As a gapless phase is stable for $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_c = 0.245$, we analyze different tuned solitons in this zone where the bosonization analysis is valid. In Fig. 2 we show the dynamics of two witness cases which are defined by $\{\beta = 0, \delta_0 = 0.3, \xi = 1\}$ and $\{\beta = 0.24, \delta_0 = 0.3, \xi = 3\}$. One can observe that the excitations behave in a subtle way. In both cases, the time evolution shows how the original soliton evolves in such a way that, at a given time, two spin clouds are observed, each of them carrying the $S^z = \frac{1}{2}$ subspace the field goes from $\phi(-\infty) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ to $\phi(\infty) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, the expression for $M_I$ is

$$M_I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \phi(X = Ia) \rangle + \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3)$$

As the bosonized version of the Hamiltonian is not exactly solvable for general displacements $\delta_i$, we resort to a semiclassical solution in order to calculate the mean value of the field in the corresponding subspace and compare with the DMRG results. This solution can be obtained by adding an elastic energy term $\frac{c}{2} \sum_i \delta_i^2$ to the Hamiltonian and treating $\delta_i$ in the adiabatic approximation. The classical solutions for the continuous fields are $\bar{\delta}(x) = \delta_0 \tanh(x/\xi)$ and $\bar{\phi}(x) = \arcsin [\tanh(x/\xi)]$. With the inclusion of an elastic energy term, $\delta_0$ and $\xi$ depend on the values of the microscopic parameters $K$ and $J_{1,2}$. In the previous DMRG calculation we chose $\delta_i$ with arbitrary $\delta_0$ and $\xi$, i.e. not subject to fulfilling an adiabatic equation. In the spirit of a semi-classical quantization we assume the following ansatz for the mean value of the bosonic field: $\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \phi_S(x) = \arcsin [\tanh(x/\xi')]$, where the subindex $S$ refers to a solitonic pattern. In Fig. 1 we show a fitting to the numerical results using the analytical expression, for which we obtain a good agreement with $\xi' = 1.773$. 

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Collective excitation obtained by DMRG in a finite lattice of $N_s = 99$ sites, in the absence of frustration ($\beta = 0$). The soliton, represented by $\{S_i^z\}$ (circles), was tuned by selecting $\delta_i$ in a given configuration (squares). The parameters are $\xi = 1, \delta_0 = 0.3$. We also show the cumulative magnetization up to a given site (diamonds). The bosonization result for this magnitude is represented by the solid line.
The time evolution of the mean value of $\phi$ is given by

$$\langle \phi(x,t) \rangle = \langle e^{-iHt}\phi_R(x)e^{iHt} \rangle + \langle e^{-iHt}\phi_L(x)e^{iHt} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [\phi_S(x-v_s t) + \phi_S(x+v_s t)]$$

To obtain the previous expression, we have taken into account that, in the initial state, the field $\phi$ is split into its left and right parts $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_R + \phi_L)$ originating from the left and right fermions in the bosonization procedure. For a time-independent state, $\langle \phi_R \rangle = \langle \phi_L \rangle = \frac{\phi_0}{2}$ as in the initial situation discussed above. On the other hand, the time evolution of $\phi_L$ is independent of that of $\phi_R$, and it is given by a simple shift in $v_s t$ to the left and to the right, respectively. In Fig. 3 we show the cumulative magnetization for different times compared to the DMRG results. We used the fitted value to the static solution for $\xi'$ in $\phi_S$, as shown for the $\beta = 0$ case in Fig. 1. A similar procedure gives $\xi' = 2.781$ for the $\beta = 0.24$ case. We also fix $v_s$ to the spin-wave velocity of the homogeneous chains as previously discussed. We observe a fairly good comparison between the DMRG and bosonization results, especially when $\beta$ is near the critical value $\beta_c$. This is due to the reduction of the finite-size effect in the latter case: as the evolution of the resulting excitations is slower as $\beta$ increases, they do not reach the edge of the chain for the last time, $t = 20$, obtained in our calculation. The improved fitting between both results could also be due to the fact that the marginal irrelevant term neglected in the bosonized Hamiltonian could play some role in the short-distance correlations which, nonetheless, vanishes at $\beta_c$. As in the numerical results, we see that the original soliton does not propagate in a particular direction but the state splits into two counterpropagating modes as a consequence of the chiral symmetry of the Hamiltonian. As this pattern arises as a sum of two topological protected excitations, one on the right sector and one on the left sector of the theory, we can assume that the global excitation is also protected and remains stable with the time evolution. The jump in $M_I$ indicates that each chiral mode carries $\langle S^z \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$. This can be interpreted as a superposition $\langle |L\rangle + |R\rangle \rangle / \sqrt{2}$ of two $S^z = 1/2$ quantum soliton states, such that $|L\rangle$ ($|R\rangle$) is a state propagating to the left (right). The system retains the memory of the initial state, but the original soliton state evolves into two chiral states as the time evolution is carried out with a Hamiltonian whose left and right modes are independent. However, the elementary excitations of the uniform Heisenberg model are not these type of solitons, notably the dynamical states preserve coherence and disperse quite slowly.

It is worth remarking that working with an odd number of sites is not a necessary condition for these results. An even number of sites will sustain a pair of soliton and antisoliton

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Time evolution of $\langle S^z_i \rangle$ in the collective excitation obtained by DMRG with and without frustration for the two witness cases, (a) $\beta = 0, \delta_0 = 0.3, \xi = 1$ and $\beta = 0.24, \delta_0 = 0.3, \xi = 3$. In (a), the black line corresponds to the slope in the time-space diagram of the spin velocity $v_s = \frac{\phi_0}{2}$ obtained by the Bethe ansatz for a homogeneous Heisenberg chain. In (b), the black line shows the spin velocity renormalized by the frustration. In both cases one observes the splitting of the soliton into two chiral modes.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Time evolution of the cumulative magnetization as given by DMRG (symbols) and bosonization (solid lines) in the (a) non frustrated and (b) frustrated ($\beta = 0.24$) cases. The observed jump to $M_I = 1/4$ as time evolves, signals the quantum interference of left and right soliton states.
excitations. For long enough separation between them in order to prevent interaction effects, the conclusion remains the same, so that each soliton will split into two left and right modes as time evolves.

One wonders if in the limiting case of a soliton of zero width, i.e., at the MG point ($\beta = 1/2$), such mechanism could come into effect.

IV. SOLITON OF ZERO WIDTH: A FREE SPIN

The MG point is a good scenario to create such localized excitation, and as it has an exact eigensolution built of singlets, we analyze the case in order to compare our numerical calculations with the variational approach of Ref. 6. To recreate this situation we use $\delta_i = 0$ and $\beta = 1/2$ in Eq. (1), and set to zero the exchange parameters connecting to site $i = (N_s + 1)/2$ as well. Thereby, we establish a free spin at the center of the lattice, separating two MG domains [\ldots] with singlets $\langle \ldots \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\uparrow \downarrow] - [\downarrow \uparrow]$. Since the physics we want to describe is localized, we choose a lattice of $N_s = 41$ in the $S^z = \frac{1}{2}$ subspace without worrying about the edge effects.

In Fig. 4, we show the time evolution of $\langle S^z \rangle$ once the uniform zigzag Heisenberg model is restored, from which we can appreciate two things. First, we have appropriate agreement with the variational approach where elementary excitations are described by $\omega(k) = 2\beta [\frac{1}{2} + \cos(2k)]$. The slope of the solid lines in Fig. 4 agrees with the maximum group velocity that results from the variational dispersion relation. We are not aware of other numerical calculations showing this in the literature. Secondly, we have qualitative agreement with the effective Hamiltonian description proposed in Ref. 19 for the dynamics of a free spin hopping between next nearest neighbors. It is easy to appreciate that the initial free spin reduces its module at the center site with the time evolution, transferring its spin component to the next nearest neighbor. The spin uses the mechanism of exchange mediated by $J_2$ to avoid breaking the dimers, which would result in a loss of magnetic energy. Different from the mechanism previously described in which we found a separation into chiral modes, here the time evolution of $\langle S^z \rangle$ shows the expected dispersion of the original individual excitation moving in a singlet sea. The initial excitation cannot remain highly localized because of the uncertainty principle. As the quasiparticle is localized in real space, it involves a broad range of momenta in the reciprocal space, in which case the excitation spreads very quickly.

V. POSSIBLE EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATIONS

Two experimental realizations of the previous mechanism are envisaged. One is on spin-Peierls materials such as CuGeO$_3$ (Ref. 7) or TiOX ($X = Cl, Br, I$) at low temperatures, below a critical value $T_{SP}$, these materials undergo a magneto structural transition in which the lattice dimerizes and a spin gap opens in the magnetic spectrum. Applying a magnetic field larger than the critical one $H_c$, a soliton lattice is generated which has been characterized by x-ray scattering measurements. For not too strong a magnetic field above $H_c$, the magnetic chains realize our initial state because the solitons are far apart. Then, the field should be turned off and the temperature raised above $T_{SP}$. The system should now be in the uniform phase and the magnetism should be described by a homogeneous Heisenberg Hamiltonian, which will conduct the time evolution as well. Another possible realization is in recent experiments of ultracold atoms trapped in a one-dimensional optical lattice. Recently, the bosonic repulsive Hubbard model has been successfully accomplished [21] to study the dynamics of spin excitations on a ferromagnetic background. On the other hand, the fermionic version has been realized [22] and once the limitations related to the temperature are overcome, it is expected that the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model can be simulated by tuning the Feshbach resonances for large on-site repulsion.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We described a mechanism based on the preparation of a soliton, which after a quench of the interaction drives the system to the formation of a state composed of two counterpropagating components as times evolves, producing two-well dispersion for large on-site repulsion. On the other hand, the situation for the MG point is
different. As the initial excitation is local in real space, its distribution in momentum space is very broad, leading to a rapid dispersion of the excitation as time evolves. The most natural candidates to observe those phenomena would be spin-Peierls materials and ultracold atoms in optical lattices.
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