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Abstract

Background: Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) may occur in patients after exposure to a life-threatening illness. About one out of six patients develop clinically relevant levels of PTSD symptoms after acute myocardial infarction (MI). Symptoms of PTSD are associated with impaired quality of life and increase the risk of recurrent cardiovascular events. The main hypothesis of the MI-SPRINT study is that trauma-focused psychological counseling is more effective than non-trauma focused counseling in preventing posttraumatic stress after acute MI.

Methods/Design: The study is a single-center, randomized controlled psychological trial with two active intervention arms. The sample consists of 426 patients aged 18 years or older who are at ‘high risk’ to develop clinically relevant posttraumatic stress symptoms. ‘High risk’ patients are identified with three single-item questions with a numeric rating scale (0 to 10) asking about ‘pain during MI’, ‘fear of dying until admission’ and/or ‘worrying and feeling helpless when being told about having MI’. Exclusion criteria are emergency heart surgery, severe comorbidities, current severe depression, disorientation, cognitive impairment and suicidal ideation. Patients will be randomly allocated to a single 45-minute counseling session targeting either specific MI-triggered traumatic reactions (that is, the verum intervention) or the general role of psychosocial stress in coronary heart disease (that is, the control intervention). The session will take place in the coronary care unit within 48 hours, by the bedside, after patients have reached stable circulatory conditions. Each patient will additionally receive an illustrated information booklet as study material. Sociodemographic factors, psychosocial and medical data, and cardiometabolic risk factors will be assessed during hospitalization. The primary outcome is the interviewer-rated posttraumatic stress level at three-month follow-up, which is hypothesized to be at least 20% lower in the verum group than in the control group using the t-test. Secondary outcomes are posttraumatic stress levels at 12-month follow-up, and psychosocial functioning and cardiometabolic risk factors at both follow-up assessments.

(Continued on next page)
Background

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is a mental disorder that may occur after the experience of a traumatic event. According to the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV), PTSD is characterized by three distinct clusters of symptoms consisting of 1) re-experiencing of the traumatic event (for example, in thoughts or dreams), 2) avoidance of reminders of the event and emotional numbing and 3) hyperarousal (for example, irritability and sleep problems). These symptoms must last for at least one month and cause significant problems in important areas of daily life [1]. Besides man-made and disaster-related traumatic experiences (for example, combat exposure, motor vehicle accidents, hurricanes), life-threatening illnesses, such as myocardial infarction (MI), can also cause PTSD [2-6]. A meta-analysis on clinically significant PTSD symptoms after acute coronary syndromes (ACS) found a prevalence of 16% (95% CI, 13 to 20%) [7]; two-thirds of patients continue to suffer from PTSD two years after MI [8].

Several sociodemographic and psychosocial risk factors for the risk of MI-triggered PTSD have been identified, including young age [9] and increased distress perceived during MI [10]. While MI-related threat to life, helplessness and pain are predictive of PTSD, objective markers of myocardial damage such as cardiac enzyme levels and left ventricular ejection fraction are not predictive [9,11,12]. Less than optimal care processes like emergency department crowding might contribute to MI patients’ sense of life threat and decreased control, thereby increasing the risk of developing posttraumatic stress [13].

PTSD is associated with impaired quality of life, social functioning, and high economic burden to the society [14-16]. PTSD and posttraumatic stress along a continuum of severity are predictive of incident cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality [17-20]. A meta-analysis on three prospective studies with a total of 609 ACS patients showed that PTSD symptoms also worsen cardiovascular prognosis with a two-fold (95% CI, 1.7 to 2.4) excess risk [7]. Moreover, this relationship is maintained in studies controlling for other prognostic risk factors, including traditional cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, MI severity, and depressive symptoms [21-23]. PTSD might exert its ‘cardiotoxic’ effect by several pathways and mechanisms. In patients with coronary heart disease (CHD), PTSD is associated with poor health behaviors, including low level of physical activity, smoking, and nonadherence with cardiac medications [24]. Commonly observed dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and autonomic nervous dysfunction in PTSD might directly underlie a variety of physiological changes potentially increasing the atherothrombotic risk [25]. Accordingly, several cardiometabolic risk factors and markers are associated with PTSD: endothelial dysfunction [26], dyslipidemia [27], elevated resting blood pressure and heart rate [28], low-grade inflammation [29,30], and increased coagulation activity [31].

Because of its association with poor mental and cardiovascular health, the prevention of PTSD development after MI is of high clinical relevance. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of early psychological interventions to prevent symptoms of PTSD in individuals exposed to a specific traumatic event found a benefit for individuals with early symptoms of traumatic stress as opposed to those with no such symptoms and if the intervention was trauma-focused [32,33]. There was no evidence for a harmful effect of such early interventions as long as compulsory psychological debriefing of traumatized patients was avoided [33,34]. To improve adaptation to cardiac events, an approach that uses cognitive (re)structuring and an individual patient’s resources (for example, social support, distraction, structure, helping patients regaining control, building confidence in their future abilities to successfully cope with the MI, enhancing resilience) is warranted [35-37]. It follows from the above literature that to prevent PTSD symptoms after MI, a trauma-focused intervention is needed, whereas an intervention that excludes targeting MI as a trauma is not effective.

The primary aim of our randomized-controlled trial is to examine whether the development of posttraumatic stress can be reduced by a single counseling session of 45 minutes targeting MI-triggered traumatic reactions (that is, the verum intervention). The effect of this counseling session will be tested against a control intervention targeting the general role of psychosocial stress in CHD. The verum and control interventions are both administered to patients at high risk to develop PTSD within a
setting of a coronary care unit (CCU) within 48 hours by the bedside after patients having reached stable circulatory conditions. Secondary aims of our trial are to investigate psychosocial functioning and cardiometabolic risk markers between the two active intervention arms.

Our main hypothesis is that trauma-focused psychological counseling is more effective than non-trauma focused counseling for reducing posttraumatic stress after acute MI. Specifically, we predict that compared with the control group, the verum group will report at least 20% lower posttraumatic stress levels at three months after MI as rated by blinded interviewers (primary outcome). We expect that this group difference will persist for up to 12 months. Compared to the control group, the verum group will additionally show better psychosocial functioning and a more favorable profile of cardiometabolic risk markers after 3 and 12 months of follow-up (secondary outcomes).

Methods/Design
Design
The study uses a randomized controlled two-armed design comparing a psychological counseling intervention (that is, the verum intervention) with an active control intervention after patient inclusion in the study. Sequence generation is done in a 1:1 fashion based on a computer-generated random list with randomly varying blocks of 20. The randomization sequence is generated by the website Research Randomizer. The list is concealed by instructed office personnel in the study center of the Division of Psychosomatic Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland, who is unaware of any patient information and localized off-site from the CCU. Study therapists receive the information to provide either the verum intervention or the control intervention directly from the study center.

Sample size calculation
As opposed to the primary outcome, which is interviewer-rated posttraumatic stress, the sample size calculation is based on self-rated posttraumatic stress levels, which we had previously collected from almost 300 post-MI patients [12]. Based on these data we expect that patients at a high risk to develop PTSD (compare to below for definition) will show a mean score of 15.2 ± 10.1 (range 0 to 51) on the self-rated Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale (PDS) at three months after MI. However, we cannot exclude a non-specific beneficial effect of the control intervention on PTSD symptom development. Therefore, we assume that patients in the control group will decrease their posttraumatic stress level by 1.0 PDS score point to 14.2 score points. We defined a 20% decrease in the posttraumatic stress level in the verum group compared to the control group to be clinically meaningful. Therefore, in order to achieve a 20% difference in posttraumatic stress levels between the verum intervention and the control group, the verum intervention should achieve a reduction of 3.9 PDS score points from 15.2 to 11.3 to show a clinically meaningful effect. Applying an alpha error level of 0.05, a beta error level of 20% and a group difference in the total PDS score of 2.9 ± 10.1, the sample size to detect a significant difference of 20% in posttraumatic stress levels between groups is n = 194 per group. Because of possible drop outs (including post-MI mortality), we will oversample each group by 10% (that is, n = 213 per group), yielding a total sample of n = 426 eligible post-MI patients to be randomly allocated to the two active intervention arms.

Study sample
Based on the recruitment experience from previous studies on PTSD in post-MI patients and other trials of the study CCU, we predict to consecutively enroll the required number of 426 eligible patients for the study within 24 months (between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2014). Figure 1 details the recruitment and participant flow. In brief, patients with ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) and non-STEMI and referred to the CCU, Department of Cardiology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, Switzerland, are invited to participate in the study. The department's cardiologists make a diagnosis of STEMI or non-STEMI per standard criteria as previously described [38]. The average biennial admission rate of patients with STEMI and non-STEMI to the CCU is approximately 3,000. Following a secondary analysis of a previous data set [12], about 900 (approximately 30%) of these will be ‘high risk patients’ in terms of perceiving a substantial amount of distress during MI (compare to. paragraph below for precise definition). Of these, we predict that one third will not be accessible, mainly because they are transferred to other hospitals early after coronary interventions or will not provide consent, leaving 600 patients meeting inclusion criteria. One fourth of these will not be eligible because they meet exclusion criteria or drop out early.

MI-triggered distress to define ‘high risk’ patients is assessed within 6 to 36 hours of hospital referral with three single-item questions asking about the intensity of pain, fear of dying and helplessness to be rated on a numeric scale ranging from 0 to 10. Very similar single-item questions referring to perceived distress during ACS have been used in previous studies showing good reliability and predictive value for psychosocial adaptation and cardiovascular prognosis [39,40]. Those scoring at least five points for ‘pain during MI’ plus at least five points for ‘fear of dying until admission to the CCU’ and/or ‘worrying and feeling helpless when being told about having MI’ are ‘high risk patients’.
Timing of assessments
Patients will be assessed at three time points: on the CCU within 48 hours after MI (T0), at three months after discharge from the CCU (T1), and at 12 months after discharge from the CCU (T2). It is critical to approach patients at the earliest possible time after referral because they will usually stay for only 48 hours in the CCU before discharge. Therefore, within 12 to 24 hours of admission to the CCU (T0), all patients with STEMI/non-STEMI, aged 18 and older, and with stable circulatory condition will be informed about the planned study and are given a few hours to consider their participation. The study therapist will approach all eligible patients to ask about their willingness to participate in a single counseling session about the role of psychological stress in CHD and the possibilities to self-manage stress. Patients will be told that the provided information might be useful for good mental functioning while building up their life after MI. The patient will be told that if he/she agrees to participate he/she will be randomized to either counseling session A, targeting the more sudden and unexpected psychological stress which may occur in the aftermath of MI (that is, the verum intervention) or to counseling session B, targeting more general aspects about the role of psychosocial stress in MI (that is, the control intervention). During this information, study therapists avoid any terminology specifically pertaining to trauma. After having provided informed consent, and if reporting a sufficient amount of distress from MI, they will undergo medical and psychometric data assessment as specified below.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Patients are included in the study if they are aged 18 or older, have STEMI or non-STEMI, are in a stable circulatory condition according to the treating cardiologist, and had perceived substantial distress during MI based on the three screening questions targeting pain, fear of dying, and helplessness. Patients are excluded from the study if they have undergone emergency coronary artery bypass graft surgery, suffer from a serious comorbid disease likely to cause death within one year, currently have current severe depression (per the cardiologist’s history and clinical impression), are not fully oriented and/or cognitively impaired, have insufficient knowledge of German,
affirm suicidal ideation in the last two weeks, or are participating in another randomized controlled trial in the Cardiology Department.

**Intervention**

Study therapists with a master’s degree in clinical psychology deliver the intervention within 48 hours of admission, as a single session of 45 minutes with the therapist by the bedside. Therapists are trained and supervised by senior clinical psychotherapists with degrees in psychology or psychiatry from the Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Department of Psychology, University of Bern and Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland. Study therapists undergo at least 30 hours of training prior to beginning the trial. Two independent experts will rate 30 audiotaped intervention sequences to monitor adherence of study therapists to the intervention protocol. In addition, 50 patients from each intervention group will be asked to indicate their perception as to whether they belong to the intervention group A (that is, the verum group) or B (that is, the control group).

Table 1 details the components and topics of the two interventions. Each intervention consists of a counseling session of 45 minutes plus delivery of a colored information booklet for patients to deepen the oral information. Text and figures of the two booklets are specific to each mode of intervention and also serve as the basis for interacting with the patient. For the first half of the session, therapists will primarily cover topics that are of most immediate concern to the individual patient. For instance, when asking about previous stressful situations (in the control intervention) and the patient mentions a difficult situation at work, the discussion will be on job stress. Other forms of stressful life circumstances will not be covered but are dealt with in the information booklet. Nevertheless, during the course of counseling, therapists are required to inform about key topics, for instance, in the verum intervention, that it is a normal and common experience to perceive MI as stressful.

The 45-minute verum intervention uses an educational and resource-oriented approach targeting individual patient resources and cognitive (re)structuring to specifically prevent MI-triggered traumatic reactions. The study therapist will introduce the concept of psychological trauma and PTSD and how MI patients may deal and cope with posttraumatic stress symptoms should these occur after MI [36,37,41,42]. The focus of the 45-minute control intervention is to inform patients about the role of psychosocial stress in CHD in general and how this information might be considered in re-building a life after MI for the sake of proper mental functioning [43-47]. Most importantly, the control intervention will completely avoid any terminology specifically pertaining to ‘trauma’, including the MI as a trauma.

**Measures**

Table 2 shows all health outcomes in the MI-SPRINT study with the timing of their assessment. All psychometric questionnaires used in the MI-SPRINT study are validated in the German language [48-77]. We will apply the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) to assess our primary outcome (that is, interviewer-rated posttraumatic stress level at three months after the intervention) [48]. Assessors will be blinded to the type of intervention and asked to indicate their guess as to whether the patient had received the verum intervention or the control intervention. The German version of the CAPS shows good internal consistency for the severity score of all 17 PTSD symptom items (Cronbach’s $\alpha$ = 0.88 to 0.92) as defined by the DSM-IV [49]. The frequency and intensity of each
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**Table 1 Topics and questions covered by the two interventions**

| Verum group | Control group |
|-------------|---------------|
| a) What is a trauma? Why can acute MI be understood as a traumatic experience? | a) What is psychosocial stress and when can it become dangerous? |
| b) Why can MI have a psychological impact? | b) Why do not all people react the same way to psychosocial stress? |
| c) How do patients cope with and adjust to their MI experience? | c) Which types of psychosocial stress are known to potentially have an influence on CHD and cardiac prognosis? |
| d) What are the most common reactions to traumatically experienced MI? | d) How can psychosocial stress affect a healthy life style, adherence to cardiac therapy, and cardiovascular biology? |
| e) Other reactions to traumatically experienced MI. | e) What can be done to reduce psychosocial stress? |
| f) What is PTSD, in general and related to MI? | |
| g) Why do not all patients react in the same way to MI? | |
| h) Coping with the trauma, tackling avoidance, coping with safety behaviors, anxiety, anger/irritability, sleeping problems, alcohol and medication | |
| i) How to get professional help | |

CHD, coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarction; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder.
Table 2 Measures at hospital referral (T0), three-month (T1) and twelve-month (T2) follow-up

| Variables                                                                 | T0 | T1 | T2 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|
| Sociodemographic and medical variables                                    |    |    |    |
| Demographics: for example, gender, age, marital status                     |    |    |    |
| Medical history: for example, family history of coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension | x  |    |    |
| Lifestyle and health behavior: smoking history, alcohol consumption, physical exercise, sleep quality | x  | x  | x  |
| Prescribed medication before CCU referral                                  |    |    |    |
| Medication administered during CCU stay                                    |    |    |    |
| Medication at discharge from CCU                                          |    |    |    |
| Context of hospital referral: for example, patient agitation state at arrival on CCU, hectic conditions on CCU at patient arrival | x  |    |    |
| Clinical presentation at time of arrival at CCU: for example, total number of stents implanted, number of diseased coronary vessels, left ventricular function, Killip classification, pain onset |    |    | x  |
| Psychometric data                                                          |    |    |    |
| Clinician-administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) [48,49]                          |    | x  | x  |
| Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale (PDS) [50,51]                              | x  | x  | x  |
| PTSD screening with three items from the structured clinical interview for DSM-PTSD [52,53] | x  |    |    |
| Acute Stress Disorder Scale (ASDS) [54,55]                               |    |    | x  |
| Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised (IPQ-R) [56,57]                   |    |    | x  |
| Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [58-60]                                   | x  | x  | x  |
| Type D Scale (DS14) [61,62]                                                |    | x  |    |
| Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) [63,64]                                |    |    | x  |
| Resilience Scale [65,66]                                                   | x  |    |    |
| EuroQol group five dimension questionnaire (EQ-SD) [67,68]                |    | x  | x  |
| Symptom Checklist-9 (SCL-9-K) [69,70]                                     |    | x  | x  |
| Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Social Support Inventory (ESSI) [71,72] |    | x  |    |
| Global Mood Scale (GMS) [73]                                               |    | x  | x  |
| Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS) [74,75]                  |    | x  |    |
| Heart drawing [76,77]                                                     | x  |    |    |
| Laboratory Analysis                                                       |    |    |    |
| Metabolic factors: total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides, glucose, HbA1c Routine laboratory measures: alanine transaminase, creatinine, hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocytes, thrombocytes | x  | x  | x  |
| Inflammation markers: hs-CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-4                            | x  | x  | x  |
| Hemostasis markers: fibrinogen, D-dimer, WF antigen                       | x  | x  | x  |
| Stress hormones: plasma cortisol, norepinephrine, epinephrine              | x  | x  | x  |
| Heart rate variability: total power, high frequency power, low frequency power, low-to-high frequency power ratio | x  | x  | x  |
| Resting hemodynamics: heart rate, systolic/diastolic blood pressure        | x  | x  | x  |
| Anthropometric measurements: body weight, height, body mass index, waist and hip circumferences, waist-to-hip ratio | x  | x  | x  |
| Developments since discharge from CCU/three-month assessment               |    |    |    |
| Vitality status                                                           |    | x  | x  |
| Hospital referrals since discharge (including recurrent cardiovascular events) |    | x  | x  |
| General practitioner visits                                               |    | x  | x  |
| Specialist visits                                                         |    | x  | x  |
| Cardiac rehabilitation                                                    |    | x  | x  |
| Psychotherapy since discharge                                             |    | x  | x  |
| Recurrent cardiac symptoms                                                |    | x  | x  |
| Medication adherence                                                      |    | x  | x  |
| Functional status                                                         |    | x  | x  |
symptom are rated between 0 (never) and 4 (almost always). A symptom is given when frequency is at least one point and intensity is at least two points. One of five symptoms is required for criterion B (reexperiencing cluster), three of seven symptoms for criterion C (avoidance cluster), and two of five symptoms for criterion D (hyperarousal cluster). The severity of PTSD is obtained by adding up symptom scores of criteria B+C+D. Patients will be diagnosed with syndromal PTSD if meeting criteria B+C+D [1].

**Cardiometabolic assessments**

Fasting blood samples will be obtained at 6 am, within 24 hours after hospital referral, and directly sent to accredited laboratories at the Bern University Hospital to be processed within clinical routine on the same day. For catecholamine and cytokine analyses, ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA) samples are centrifuged once at 2,000 g for ten minutes at room temperature; obtained plasma is frozen in CryoPure Tubes (Sarstedt, Numbrecht, Germany) at -80°C until analysis.

A Modular P800 system with ion selective electrodes and Cobas standard test kits (all Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) will be used to determine levels of blood lipids, glucose, alanine transaminase, and creatinine from heparin plasma samples. Hemoglobin A1c will be determined in whole blood using high-performance liquid chromatography on a HLC-723 G7 analyzer (Tosoh Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocyte and thrombocyte counts will be determined from EDTA plasma samples using the LH 750 analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL, USA).

Heparin plasma samples will be analyzed for high-sensitivity C-reactive protein by a latex particle immuno-turbidimetric assay on the Roche Modular P800 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). Levels of tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-6, and interleukin-4 will be measured in EDTA plasma samples using luminex technology (Bio-Plex Pro™ Cytokine Assays, Bio-Rad Laboratories AG, Cressier, Switzerland). A quantitative, automated immunoassay will be used to determine von Willebrand factor antigen (Siemens, Marburg, Germany). A quantitative, automated immunoassay will be used to determine von Willebrand factor antigen (Siemens, Marburg, Germany).

Serum cortisol will be measured by competitive immunoassay using Roche Modular E170 (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). Norepinephrine and epinephrine concentration will be determined from EDTA plasma samples by means of HPLC and electrochemical detection after liquid-liquid extraction [78].

Heart rate and frequency domain measures of heart rate variability will be assessed from five-minute electrocardiogram recordings with the Finometer using customized software (TPD Biomedical Instruments, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and averaged across the recording period. Blood pressure will be measured twice with an interval of ten minutes with the automatic Omron M6W Blood Pressure Monitor (Omron Healthcare Europe BV, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands); the average systolic and diastolic blood pressure will be computed for analysis.

The hip circumference measurement will be taken around the widest portion of the buttocks. The waist circumference will be measured at the approximate midpoint between the lower margin of the last palpable rib and the top of the iliac crest. The measurement of body weight will be performed with participants wearing no shoes and light clothing to the nearest 0.1 kg with a digital balance (Modell W41, Firma Migros, Switzerland) at follow-up assessments, and to the nearest 0.5 kg by history at hospital referral, because of potential fluid overload. Height will be measured with a reference tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body mass index will be calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by height in meters squared.

**Ethical considerations**

The study complies with the declaration of Helsinki. The ethics committee of the State of Bern, Switzerland, approved the study protocol. All potentially eligible patients (that is, those meeting the inclusion criteria) receive written information about the aim of the study, benefits and risks of participation and the exact study procedure before giving their written informed consent to participate in the study that includes two follow-up assessments. All participants are informed that they can
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**Table 2 Measures at hospital referral (T0), three-month (T1) and twelve-month (T2) follow-up (Continued)**

| Treatment adherence with information booklet | x | x |
| Major life events | x | x |
| Onset of new diagnosis | x | x |
| Current medication | x | x |

CCU, coronary care unit; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; hs-CRP, high-sensitive C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; IL-4, interleukin-4; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; WVF, von Willebrand factor.
withdraw themselves from the study anytime. All patients are encouraged to first contact their primary care physician in case they feel a need for mental health treatment at any time during follow-up. However, these patients will remain in the study, as it would be highly unethical to ask them to refrain from seeking professional help during follow-up. Patients who are diagnosed with syndromal PTSD at follow-up investigations are told their diagnosis. Moreover, patients and their primary care physicians are informed about the possibility of a referral to the Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Switzerland to receive treatment for PTSD.

To identify adverse reactions to the verum intervention, two interim safety analyses will be performed after subgroups of 130 and 260 patients on the primary efficacy endpoint, that is, interviewer-rated posttraumatic stress level at three months after the intervention. The spending function approximating O’Brien-Fleming boundaries [79] will be used to determine criteria for the interim and final analyses to protect the overall false positive rate of the trial at $P = 0.05$. The study will be stopped for inequality in outcome between the verum and control group if results reach a predefined level of statistical significance at the two-sided alpha level of 0.001 and 0.014, respectively. The nominal two-sided significance level for the final evaluation will be 0.045. This provides us with a 3.3% and 44.2% chance of stopping the trial after the first or second interim analysis, respectively.

**Statistical analyses**

Data will be analyzed using the latest version of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) with a significance level of $P < 0.05$ (two-tailed). Before analysis, all outcome variables will be tested for normality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and, if necessary, transformed to obtain a normal distribution. Differences in variables between the two intervention groups will be calculated using the $t$-test for continuous data and the chi-squared test for categorical data. The primary outcome is the severity of posttraumatic stress levels rated by the CAPS interview at the three-month follow-up. Secondary outcomes are measures of psychosocial functioning and cardiometabolic biomarkers at three months follow-up (primary analysis). Key secondary outcomes are quality of life, plasma levels of C-reactive protein, D-dimer, cortisol and norepinephrine, as well as high-frequency heart rate variability. These outcomes are important indicators of mental health functioning, low-grade inflammation and coagulation activity as well as hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal axis and autonomic nervous system function. Repeated measures analysis of variance will be applied to test for a change in posttraumatic stress levels and in measures of psychosocial functioning and cardiometabolic biomarkers between the two follow-up visits (secondary analysis). The relative risk for developing a diagnosis of PTSD during follow-up in the control group compared to the verum group will be calculated by means of logistic regression analysis. For a complementary sensitivity analysis, we will apply univariate, multivariate and repeated measures analysis of covariance taking into account sociodemographic, medical and psychosocial covariates, and received psychotherapy during follow-up.

Missing values for questionnaire items will be replaced using the expectation maximization algorithm [80]. If patients drop out during follow-up, we will carry forward the last observed data points to impute missing endpoints. Randomized patients who will not undergo the intervention are excluded from the analysis. However, we will perform an additional analysis based on the intention-to-treat principle with all randomized patients.

**Discussion**

The MI-SPRINT study is a randomized-controlled trial to investigate whether a minimal psychological intervention applied shortly after hospital referral may reduce the probability of developing clinician-rated posttraumatic stress levels attributable to MI. If effective, the planned trial will be the first to show that the development of posttraumatic stress can successfully be reduced in MI patients at high risk to develop PTSD through a single 45-minute counseling session that is feasible (for example, not excessively time-consuming) administered in a busy CCU setting.

Cardiac patients with high risk to develop PTSD have generally limited access to early psychological care. Therefore it is important to know whether such an intervention bears the potential to become an integrative part of the post-acute treatment phase of patients with acute MI to improve quality of life and perhaps cardiovascular prognosis as well. Regarding the latter, a relative improvement of the atherothrombotic risk profile in the verum group might stimulate a larger intervention trial to possibly improve cardiac prognosis in patients with high distress after MI, as biologically plausible atherothrombotic mechanisms would seem to be responsive to an early psychological intervention.

There are limitations to the study design. Firstly, only those patients who are willing to participate in the study and to fill in questionnaires will be screened; therefore, it is possible that some severely distressed patients escape the screening, as they may feel too overwhelmed by the actual events for participating. Secondly, for feasibility reasons, we did not include non-German speaking patients, who constitute a significant part of the Swiss population. Therefore, the generalizability of our study findings to non-German speaking residents might be questioned. Nonetheless, the prevalence rates of PTSD in ACS
patients do not vary greatly by study location (United States versus elsewhere, including Europe) [7]. Thirdly, although the control intervention avoids trauma terminology, an indirectly beneficial effect cannot fully be excluded, for instance if patients in the control group learn to better cope with general psychological distress. In this case, however, a group difference in posttraumatic stress levels that remains significant would only substantiate an assumed benefit of the trauma-focused verum intervention.

Several considerations on the design of the MI-SPRINT trial will need to be taken into account for the interpretation of study findings. We will assess posttraumatic stress at three-month follow-up, although ACS-induced PTSD symptoms at one-month might show greater variability and have been associated with recurrent CVD events [22]. Although we plan an exploratory analysis to investigate whether the intervention might offset recurrent CVD events, larger trials with adequate power would be needed for a reliable evaluation of this outcome. For both ethical and methodological reasons, we excluded patients with probably severe clinical depression who should receive standard antidepressant treatment, an imperative which would greatly complicate the protocol. A formal assessment of severe depression with a psychiatric interview is not feasible in this acute setting. A usual care group might yield greater effects for the verum intervention, but such a design could not refute an interpretation that the mere contact with the study therapist was effective. We assess the subjective perception of the environment of acute medical care but for logistic reasons are unable to collect objective data on crowding or acuity of adjacent patients during participants’ CCU stay.

The MI-SPRINT study has several strengths; foremost its external validity, since MI patients are consecutively recruited from the cardiology department of a tertiary center with a wide rural and urban catchment area. In addition, the assessed psychometric and biomedical outcome variables and covariates are both well-established and cutting-edge measures in behavioral cardiology studies. To this extent, they may provide us with important novel insight into the prevention of posttraumatic stress development after MI and its link with psychosocial functioning and cardiometabolic risk, while also allowing us to identify potential moderating and/or confounding factors of these relationships. Finally, the MI-SPRINT study might extend our knowledge about the benefits and limits of psychological treatments for MI patients with a potential to reduce the burden on the health care system.

**Trial status**

Recruitment of participants is ongoing; it began in January 2013 and is expected to end in December 2014. The final follow-up investigation is scheduled for December 2015.
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