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Abstract: Metal-organic frameworks (MOF(n)) are organic-inorganic hybrid crystalline porous materials that consist of a regular array of positively charged metal ions surrounded by organic ‘linker’ molecules. The metal ions form nodes that bind the arms of the linkers together to form a repeating, cage-like structure. Moreover, in a chemical structure or molecular graph, edges and vertices are known as bonds and atoms, respectively. Metric dimension being a subset of atoms with minimum cardinality is used in the substructuring of the chemical compounds in the molecular structures. Fractional metric dimension is weighted version of metric dimension that associate a numeric value to the identified subset of atoms. In this paper, we have computed the fractional metric dimension of metal organic framework (MOF(n)) for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
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1 Introduction and preliminaries

Metal organic framework (MOF) is a graph that consists of metal atoms. These atoms are linked with the help of organic ligands which acts like a linker, having large pore volume which is known as pores coordination polymer. Therefore, these frameworks led to a new world of remarkable applications. MOF also have large surface area that allow these chemicals compounds to absorb huge quantity of several gases such as carbon dioxide hydrogen and methane acting as a gas storage chemical compounds. These frameworks are also used for environmental protection and cleaning energy with the help of capturing carbon dioxide. Being small density, high surface, structure edibility and tune able pore functionality. Metal organic frameworks also play an important role in liquid phase separation that is industrial step with critical roles in petrochemical, chemical, nuclear industries and pharmaceutical. MOF is also used in heterogeneous catalyst, drugs delivery and sensing conductivity. Graphical nomenclature of MOF that is represented by a graph G, metal atoms and organic ligands are represented by vertices and edges, respectively (Hasan and Jhung, 2015; Jiao et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2014; Pettinari et al., 2017).

Let G be the connected graph and distance is represented by $d_G(u, v)$, which is length of shortest path from $u$ to $v$ in $G$. Define a resolving set such that, for $u, v \in V(G)$, $R_G(u, v) = \{y \in V(G): d(u, y) \neq d(v, y)\}$. A vertex set $W \subseteq V(G)$ is called resolving set of $G$ if $W \cap R_G(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ for any two distinct vertices of $G$. The minimum cardinality among all the resolving set of $G$ is called metric dimension of $G$. A resolving function $g$ is called minimal if any function $f: V(G) \to [0,1]$ such that $f \leq g$ and $f(v) \neq g(v)$ for at least one $v \in V$ is not a resolving function of $G$. The fractional metric dimension of $G$ is denoted by $dim_f(G)$ and defined as $dim_f(G) = \min\{|g|: g$ is minimal resolving function of $G\}$, where $|g| = \sum_{v \in V(G)} g(v)$.

Metric dimension has several applications in chemistry, e.g., the substructures of a chemical compound which can be denoted by a set of functional groups. Moreover, in a chemical structure or molecular graph edges and vertices are known as bonds and atoms, respectively. Furthermore, the sub graphs are simply deliberated as substructures and functional groups. Now after altering the position of functional groups, the formed collections of compounds are distinguished as substructures being similar to each other. Later on using the method of traditional view, we can investigate if any two compounds hold the same functional group.
at the same point, while in drugs discovery comparative statement contributes a critical part to determine pharmacology activities related to the feature of compounds.

Metric dimension initially came under investigation through the works of Harary and Melter (1976). Chartrand et al. (2000) used the concept of metric dimension of graphs for the solution integer programming problem (IPPS). Later Currie et al. (2001) used the concept the fractional metric dimension for the better solution of IPPS. Yu et al. (2020) computed the upper bounds of convex polytopes. Mufti et al. (2020) computed the edge metric dimension of barycentric subdivision of Cayley graphs.

Arumugam et al. (2012) introduced many characteristics of the fractional metric dimension for a graph regarding its order. Various results regarding fractional metric dimension of the Cartesian, hierarchical, corona, lexicographic, and comb products of connected graphs, are studied by Feng and Kong (2018), Feng and Wang (2013), and Yi (2015). Feng et al. (2014) find the metric dimension and fractional metric dimension of graphs. Liu et al. (2019) computed the fractional metric dimension of generalized Jahangir graph. Javaid et al. (2020) and Liu et al. (2020) calculated local fractional metric dimensions of different connected graphs.

Let MOF(n) for n ≥ 2 be a metal organic framework graph with the V(MOF(n)) = \{uk; 1 ≤ k ≤ n\} U \{vi; 1 ≤ i ≤ 2n\} and E(MOF(n)) = \{ukuk+1; 1 ≤ k ≤ n - 1\} \cup \{vi, vi+1; 1 ≤ i ≤ 2n - 1\} \cup \{vnvn+1, v2n+1\} \cup \{ukvi, ukvi+1, 1 ≤ k ≤ n, 1 ≤ i ≤ 2n\} are vertices and edges set, respectively, as shown in Figure 1 and 2, where |V (MOF (n))| = 3n and |E (MOF (n))| = 5n.

In this paper, we have followed the sequence that first section includes introduction and preliminaries, second section having resolving neighborhood of each possible pair of vertices of (MOF (n)) for n = 0(mod 2). Section 3 consists of main results. The final section of the paper is conclusion.

2 Resolving neighborhoods of metal organic frame works (MOF)

Resolving neighborhood of the metal organic framework (MOF (n)) for n ≥ 8 and n ≡ 0(mod 2).

Lemma 1
Let MOF (n) be the metal organic framework for n ≥ 8, n ≡ 0(mod 2). Then, for 1 ≤ k ≤ n, j = i + 1, i ∈ [2k - 1], and 1 ≤ t ≤ n:

|R_t| = |R_i, j| = 8.

Moreover, \(\bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i = \{v_m; 1 ≤ m ≤ 2n\}\) and \(β = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i = 2n\).

Proof: The resolving neighborhoods of metal organic framework for 1 ≤ k ≤ n, 1 ≤ t ≤ n, j = i + 1, i ∈ [2k - 1] is:

R (vi, vj) = \{vi; 2k - 1 ≤ l ≤ k - 3\}

with,

|R_t| = 8

\(\bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i = \{v_p; 1 ≤ p ≤ 2n\}\) and \(\bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i = 2n\).
Lemma 2
Let MOF (n) be the metal organic framework for \( n \geq 8 \) (\( n \equiv 0(\text{mod} \, 2) \)). Then, for \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \), and \( 1 \leq t \leq n \):

(a) \( |R_t| < |R(u_i, u_j)| \) and \( |R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| \geq |R_t| \),

for \( j - i \equiv 1(\text{mod} \, 2), j \in (i + k) \),

(b) \( |R_t| < |R(u_i, u_j)| \) and \( |R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| \geq |R_t| \),

for \( j - i \equiv 0(\text{mod} \, 2), j \in (i + k) \).

Proof:
(a) The resolving neighborhood for \( j - i \equiv 1(\text{mod} \, 2) \):

\[
R(u_i, u_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n \\quad v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n 
  
end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(u_i, u_j)| = 3n > 8 = |R_t| \) and \( R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t = \{
  v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{2n}\} \),

therefore, \( |R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n > |R_t| \).

(b) The resolving neighborhood for \( j - i \equiv 0(\text{mod} \, 2) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, j \in (i + k), 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \):

\[
R(u_i, u_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + j}{2} = m \text{ and } p \neq \frac{n + i + j}{2} = r, \\quad v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1 \text{ and } q \neq 2r, 2r - 1 
  \end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(u_i, u_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t| \) and \( R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t = \{
  v_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1 \text{ and } q \neq 2r, 2r - 1 \} \),

therefore, \( |R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 4 > |R_t| \).

Lemma 3
Let MOF (n) be the metal organic framework for \( n \geq 8 \) and \( n \equiv 0(\text{mod} \, 2) \). Then, for \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, |R_t| < |R(u_i, u_j)| \) and \( |R(u_i, u_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| \geq |R_t| \):

(a) \( j \in (2i - 1, 2i) \)  \hspace{1cm} (b) \( j \in (2i + 1) \)  \hspace{1cm} (c) \( j \in (2i + 2) \)

(d) \( j \in (2i + 3, 2i + 4) \)  \hspace{1cm} (e) \( j \in (2i + 5) \)  \hspace{1cm} (f) \( j \in (2i + 6) \)

(g) \( j \in (2i + 7) \)  \hspace{1cm} (h) \( j \in (2i + 8) \)  \hspace{1cm} (i) \( j \in (2i + 9) \)

(j) \( j \in (2i + 10) \)

(k) \( j \in (2i + 10 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 22 \text{ where } k = 4y - 3,4y - 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq y \leq \frac{n}{2} - 5 \) \)

Proof:
(a) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, \) and \( j \in (2i - 1, 2i) \):

\[
R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n, \quad v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq j + 1, j + 2, j - 2, j - 3 \quad \text{when } j \in (2i - 2), 
  \end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 4 > 8 = |R_t| \) and \( R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t = \{
  v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq j + 1, j + 2, j - 2, j - 3 \} \) when \( j \in (2i - 2) \) \( q \neq j + 2, j + 3, j - 1, j - 2 \) when \( j \in (2i) \),

therefore, \( |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 4 > |R_t| \).

(b) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, \) and \( j \in (2i + 1) \):

\[
R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq m, \quad j - i - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}, 
  \end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 13 > 8 = |R_t| \) and \( R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t = \{
  v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq j - 1, q \neq t \} \) and \( j + 3 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 3 \),

therefore, \( |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 10 > |R_t| \).

(c) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, \) and \( j \in (2i + 2) \):

\[
R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq m, \quad j - i - 1 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}, 
  \end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 13 > 8 = |R_t| \) and \( R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t = \{
  v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq s \} \) and \( 2(j - i + 1) \leq s \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1 \),

therefore, \( |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 7 > |R_t| \).
The resolving neighborhood for
\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n \\ v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq j - 2 \end{cases} \]
with \(|R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 1 > 8 = |R_t|\) and
\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t = \{v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2n, 2s - 1\}, \]
therefore, \(|R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 2 > |R_t|\).

The resolving neighborhood for
\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq i + 2, p \neq \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, j \end{cases} \]
with \(|R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 5 > 8 = |R_t|\) and
\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, j + 1\}, \]
therefore, \(|R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 3 > |R_t|\).

The resolving neighborhood for
\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq i + 3, p \neq \frac{n + j - 5}{2} \\ v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, j - 4 \end{cases} \]
with \(|R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t|\) and
\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n\}, \]
therefore, \(|R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 4 > |R_t|\).

The resolving neighborhood for
\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq i + 4, p \neq \frac{n + j - 5}{2} \end{cases} \]
with \(|R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 7 > 8 = |R_t|\) and
\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n\}, \]
therefore, \(|R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_t| = 2n - 5 > |R_t|\).
therefore, \[ |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n > |R_t|. \]

(l) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8 \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), \( 1 \leq t \leq n \) and \( j \in \{2i + 10 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 22 \text{ where } \}

\[ k = 4t - 1, 4t \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} - 6 \}, \]

\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + 9}{2} = s, p \neq \frac{n}{2} + i + 3 = m \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, 2m, 2m - 1 \end{cases} \]

with \( |R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, 2m, 2m - 1 \}, \]

therefore, \[ |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 4 > |R_t|. \]

Corollary

Let MOF (n) be the metal organic frame work for \( n \geq 8 \) for \( n \equiv 0 \text{mod} 2 \). Following is the symmetry of cardinalities of resolving neighbourhoods of metal organic framework.

\[ R(u_i, v_j) = |R(u_i, v_j)|, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \]

\[ \in \{2i - 1, 2i, \ldots, 2i + 10 \} \text{ and } j \in \{2i - 2, 2i - 3, \ldots, 2i - 13 \}. \]

**Lemma 4**

Let MOF (n) be the metal organic frame work for \( n \equiv 0 \text{mod} 2 \). Then for \( 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in \{2k - 1 \}. \)

\[ |R_t| < |R(v_i, v_j)| \text{ and } |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| \geq |R_t|. \]

(a) \( j \in \{i + 2, i + 6\} \) \hspace{1cm} (b) \( j \in \{i + 3, i + 7\} \)

(c) \( j \in \{i + 4, i + 8\} \) \hspace{1cm} (d) \( j \in \{i + 5\} \).

**Proof:**

(a) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq k \leq n, \)

\[ 1 \leq t \leq n \in \{2k - 1\}, j \in \{i + 2i + 6\}. \]

\[ R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{2} \end{cases} \]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[ R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{2} \}, \]

therefore, \[ |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 4 > |R_t|. \]

(b) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq k \leq n, \)

\[ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in \{2k - 1\}, \text{ and } j \in \{i + 3, i + 7\}. \]

\[ R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{n}{2} + i + 3 = m \end{cases} \]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[ R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, 2m, 2m - 1 \}, \]

therefore, \[ |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 4 > |R_t|. \]

(c) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq k \leq n, \)

\[ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in \{2k - 1\}, \text{ and } j \in \{i + 4, i + 8\}. \]

When \( j \in \{i + 4\}:

\[ R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + j + 2}{4}, \frac{n + j - 1}{2} = s \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{3}, 2m, 2m - 1 \end{cases} \]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 5 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[ R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{3}, 2s, 2s - 1 \text{ when } j \in \{i + 8\}. \]

therefore, \[ |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 3 > |R_t|. \]

(d) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, 1 \leq k \leq n, \)

\[ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in \{2k - 1\}, \text{ and } j \in \{i + 5\}. \]

\[ R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + j + 1}{2} = s, p \neq \frac{n + j - 4}{2} = m \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, 2t, 2t - 1 \end{cases} \]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[ R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1, 2t, 2t - 1 \}, \]

therefore, \[ |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 4 > |R_t|. \]
Lemma 5
Let MOF (n) be the metal organic framework for \( n \geq 8 \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \). Then for \( 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in (2k) \).

(a) \( j \in \{i + 1\} \)
(b) \( j \in \{i + 2, i + 6\} \)
(c) \( j \in \{i + 4, i + 8\} \)
(d) \( j \in \{i + 3, i + 7\} \)
(e) \( j \in \{i + 4, i + 8\} \).

Proof:
(a) The resolving neighborhood for
\( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n \), and \( i \in (2k) \):

\[
R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n 
\end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[
R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n\},
\]

therefore, \( |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| = 2n > |R_t| \).

(b) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq k \leq n, \ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in (2k) \), and \( j \in \{i + 2, i + 6\} \):

\[
R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{2}
\end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 1 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[
R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n, q \neq \frac{i + j}{2}\},
\]

therefore, \( |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| = 2n - 1 > |R_t| \).

(c) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq k \leq n, \ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in (2k) \), \( j \in \{i + 3, i + 7\} \):

\[
R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + j + 1}{4}, p \neq \frac{n + j - 4}{2} = t \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2t, 2t - 1
\end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 8 > |R_t| \) and

\[
R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2t, 2t - 1\},
\]

therefore, \( |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| = 2n - 2 > |R_t| \).

(d) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq k \leq n, \ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in (2k) \), and \( j \in \{i + 4, i + 8\} \):

\[
R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + j}{4}, p \neq \frac{n + j - 4}{2} = m \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1, \frac{i + j}{2}
\end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 5 > 8 = |R_t| \) and

\[
R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1, \frac{i + j}{2}\},
\]

therefore, \( |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| = 2n - 3 > |R_t| \).

(e) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq k \leq n, \ 1 \leq t \leq n, i \in (2k) \) and \( j \in \{i + 5\} \):

\[
R(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n
\end{cases}
\]

with \( |R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n - 8 > |R_t| \) and

\[
R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n\},
\]

therefore, \( |R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| = 2n > |R_t| \).

Lemma 6
Let MOF (n) be the metal organic framework for \( n \geq 8 \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \). Then for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq t \leq n \):

(a) \( |R_t| < |R(u_i, v_j)| \) and \( |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| \geq |R_t| \), with \( j \in \{i + 9 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 18 \text{ where } k = 4t - 3At - 2, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} - 4\} \),

(b) \( |R_t| < |R(u_i, v_j)| \) and \( |R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{r=1}^{n} R_r| \geq |R_t| \), with \( j \in \{i + 9 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 18 \text{ where } k = 4t - 1At - 2, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} - 5\} \).

Proof:
(a) The resolving neighborhood for \( n \geq 8, \ 1 \leq i \leq 2n, \ 1 \leq t \leq n, \) and \( j \in \{i + 9 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 18 \text{ where } k = 4t - 3At - 2, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} - 4\} \):

\[
R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
  u_p: & 1 \leq p \leq n \\
  v_q: & 1 \leq q \leq 2n
\end{cases}
\]
with \(|R(v_i, v_j)| = 3n > 8 = |R_i|\) and
\[ R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n\}, \]
therefore, \(|R(v_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n > |R_i|\).

(b) The resolving neighborhood for \(n \geq 8\), \(1 \leq i \leq 2n\), \(1 \leq t \leq n\), \(j \in \{i + 9 + k: 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 18\}\): \(k = 4t - 1, 4t + 1\) for \(1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} - 5\):

\[ R(u_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 
 u_p: 1 \leq p \leq n: p \neq \frac{i + 7}{2} = s, p \neq \frac{n}{2} + i + 3 = m \\
 v_q: 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2m, 2m - 1, 2t, 2t - 1 \end{cases} \]

with \(|R(u_i, v_j)| = 3n - 6 \geq 8 = |R_i|\) and
\[ R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i = \{v_q, 1 \leq q \leq 2n: q \neq 2s, 2s - 1, 2m - 1, \} \]

therefore, \(|R(u_i, v_j) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_i| = 2n - 4 > |R_i|\).

**Corollary**

Let MOF (n) be the metal organic frame work for \(n \geq 8\) for \(n \equiv 0(mod\ 2)\). Following is the symmetry of cardinalities of resloving neighbourhoods of metal organic framework.

(i) If \(1 \leq k \leq n, i \in \{2k - 1\} R(v_i, v_j)| = |R(v_i, v_j)|\), where \(j \in \{i + 2, \ldots, i + 8\}\) and \(j \in \{i - 2, \ldots, i - 8\}\).

(ii) \(|R(v_i, v_j)| = |R(v_i, v_j)|\), for \(1 \leq k \leq n, i \in \{2k\}\)
\(j \in \{i + 2, \ldots, i + 7\}\) and \(j \in \{i - 2, \ldots, i - 7\}\).

### 3 Fractional metric dimension of metal organic frame work

In this section fractional metric dimension metal organic frame work MOF (n) for \(n \equiv 0(mod\ 2)\) is calculated.

**Theorem 1**

The fractional metric dimension of metal organic frame work MOF(n) for \(2 \leq n \leq 6\) is

\[ \dim_f(MOF(n)) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } n = 2 \\
 2 & \text{if } n = 4 \\
 \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } n = 6 
\end{cases} \]

**Proof:**

Case 1: When \(n = 2\), then resolving neighbourhoods are as presented in Tables 1 and 2.

For \(1 \leq t \leq 12\), each resloving neighbourhood \((R_t)\) having cardinality 4 which is less than all other cardinalities of resloving neighbourhood \(R^m\) of MOF(2), where, \(1 \leq m \leq 3\). Moreover, \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{12} R_i = V(MOF(2)) \) which implies that \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{12} R_i = 10 \) and \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{12} R_i = 2n - 4 \geq |R_i| = 8 \).

Consequently, \( \dim_f(MOF(2)) = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2} \).

Case 2: When \(n = 4\), then resolving neighbourhoods are as presented in Tables 3 and 4.

For \(1 \leq t \leq 2\), each resloving neighbourhood \((R_t)\) having cardinality 6 which is less than all other cardinalities of resloving neighbourhood \(R^m\) of MOF(2), where, \(1 \leq m \leq 6\). Moreover, \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} R_i = V(MOF(2)) \) which implies that \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} R_i = 10 \) and \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} R_i = 2n - 4 \geq |R_i| = 8 \).

Consequently, \( \dim_f(MOF(2)) = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{1}{6} = 2 \).

Case 3: When \(n = 6\), then resolving neighbourhoods are as presented in Tables 5 and 6.

**Table 1: Resolving neighbourhoods for n = 2**

| Resolving sets n = 2 | Elements |
|----------------------|---------|
| \(R^1 = R(u_i, u_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2))\) |
| \(R^2 = R(v_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2))\) |
| \(R^3 = R(v_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2))\) |

**Table 2: Resolving neighbourhoods with minimum cardinality for n = 2**

| Resolving sets n = 2 | Elements |
|----------------------|---------|
| \(R^1 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^2 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^3 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^4 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^5 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^6 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^7 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^8 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^9 = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^{10} = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^{11} = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
| \(R^{12} = R(u_i, v_i)\) | \(V(MOF(2)) - \{v_j, v_j\}\) |
where \( \beta = 2n \), for \( 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n, j = i + 1 \in [2k-1,1]. \)

Therefore,

\[
\dim_{\text{fr}}(\text{MOF}(n)) < \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{2k-1}.
\]

From Lemma 1.6 for \( n \geq 8 \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, 1 \leq k \leq n, \)

\[
\beta = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} [R(x,v)] \right| \leq |R(x,v)| \text{ for all } x, y \in \text{VMOF}(n) \text{ for } n \geq 8 \text{ such that } x \neq v, \text{ and } x \neq v, \text{ all resolbing sets having minimum cardinalities are disjoint.}
\]

Proof:

Let MOF(\( n \)) for \( n \geq 8 \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \) be metal organic framework. Then, \( \dim_{\text{fr}}(\text{MOF}(n)) < \frac{1}{2}. \)

Consequently, \( \dim_{\text{fr}}(\text{MOF}(n)) < \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{2k-1}. \)

For \( 1 \leq t \leq 6 \), each resolbing neighbourhood \( R(x,v) \) having cardinality \( 8 \) which is less than all other cardinalities of resolbing neighbourhood \( R(x,v) \) of \( \text{MOF}(n) \).

Table 3:

| Resolving sets \( n = 4 \) |
|---------------------------|
| Elements                  |
|----------------------------|
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |

Table 4:

| Resolving sets \( n = 4 \) |
|---------------------------|
| Elements                  |
|----------------------------|
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
| \( R = (R_x, R_v) \)      |
### Table 5: Resolving neighbourhoods for \( n = 6 \)

| Resolving sets \( n = 6 \) | Elements |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, u_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2, v_1\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_2, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{u_1, u_2\} \) |

(continued)

### Table 5: (continued)

| Resolving sets \( n = 6 \) | Elements |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{v_1, v_2\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{v_1\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{v_2\} \) |
| \( R^0 = R(u_1, v_2) \)   | \( V(MOF(6)) - \{\} \) |

(continued)
### 4 Conclusions

In this paper, fractional metric dimension of metal organic framework MOF(n) for \( n \equiv 0 \text{(mod} 2) \) is computed. The problem is still open to compute the FMD of MOF (n) \( n \equiv 1 \text{(mod} 2) \). The details of the obtained results of FMD is given in Table 7.
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