INTRODUCTION

With the continuous development of water treatment technology and process, the quality of pipeline feed water meets the standard. However, pipeline corrosion will cause significant degradation of the drinking water quality through the intrusion of pollutants into the pipes, which will have an impact on human health, and bring massive economic losses. Therefore, there is a need to explore new high-efficiency, energy-saving, and environmentally friendly solutions. Pipeline corrosion is a very complicated process with various influencing factors. In addition to the physical conditions of the pipe itself, the characteristics of the water in the pipe are also the main contributing factor to pipe corrosion. This includes pH, alkalinity, hardness, dissolved oxygen, chloride ion, sulfate, nitrate, temperature, bacterial community, etc. (Hu et al. 2018, McNeill & Edwards 2002, Sarin et al. 2004, Slaimana & Hasan 2010, Sun et al. 2014, Wang & Ma 2009, Zhang et al. 2018). At present, pipeline anti-corrosion technology generally includes pipe lining technology (Li et al. 2016), pipe coating technology (Zhu et al. 2018), and cathodic protection technology (Loto et al. 2019). To solve the problems of high cost, poor performance, and secondary pollution in these methods, this paper combines piezoelectric materials with anti-corrosion technology to achieve energy conservation and an environmentally sound approach.

In recent years, the development and usage of piezoelectric materials have witnessed a widespread resurgence. Piezoelectric materials were widely used during the First World War, and at that time, quartz was used as a resonator for the ultrasonic source in SONAR, and submarine detection was achieved by echolocation (Dineva et al. 2014). Piezoelectric materials are currently used in high-tech fields, such as information technology, laser technology, navigation technology, and biology (Duan & Xing 2015), a wide range of applications in transducer technology (Joseph et al. 2018, Kim et al. 2011), sensors (Wang & Chen 2016), filters (Li 2009), etc. Piezoelectric catalytic technology applied to environmental restoration in recent years is also closely related to piezoelectric materials (Zhang et al. 2019), and their piezoelectric effect and sensing effect can be used to apply them in a wider range of fields.

ABSTRACT

The problem of corrosion in water supply pipelines not only poses a considerable threat to the safety of drinking water but also causes a large amount of water wastage due to the leakage of the pipeline network, which can be a chronic problem for a municipal water supply system, as observed in Beijing and other cities in China. In this study, a new piezoelectric PVDF fiber membrane was prepared by electrospinning process, and a piezoelectric anticorrosive film was fabricated based on the internal environment of the pipeline. In the simulated water supply pipeline environment, based on the principle of the piezoelectric effect and the principle of cathodic protection, the electrochemical corrosion protection effect of piezoelectric materials in the water supply pipeline environment, under different water quality conditions, was investigated by a single factor experiment. The results show that piezoelectric anticorrosion tablets have an obvious inhibitory effect on pipeline corrosion under different pH values, ammonium nitrate concentrations, chloride ion concentrations, and sulfate ion concentrations. Furthermore, under the conditions of pH neutral, alkaline, and high ion concentration, the piezoelectric anti-corrosion sheet can protect the pipeline by 100%. Additionally, since the piezoelectric material can convert mechanical energy into electrical energy and does not cause pollution during the experiment, it has good environmental and economic benefits. The use of piezoelectric materials for preventing corrosion of pipelines is a frontier exploration. We believe that the improvement and development of material properties, and the combination of these new materials and traditional techniques, will provide new ideas and methods for pipeline anti-corrosion technology.
Based on the vibration mechanism of piezoelectric materials and the corrosion mechanism of pipes, the principle of piezoelectric materials and electrochemical corrosion protection is applied in pipeline anti-corrosion technology. This paper explores whether the continuously provided electrons by the piezoelectric material can inhibit corrosion and protect the pipeline under different conditions. Not only is this an attempt in the application of new materials, but it is rather a new way of thinking in pipeline anti-corrosion technology, which will further promote the development of electrochemical anti-corrosion technology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Piezoelectric Anticorrosive Sheet Making Process

The manufacturing process of the piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet is divided into two steps: preparation of the electrospun fiber membrane, and preparation of the electrospun piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet.

Preparation of electrospun fiber membrane: The electrospinning nanofibers were received by a dual-motor-controlled, rotatable, and reciprocating cylinder receiving device. First, a certain amount of PVDF powder was weighed and mixed in a DMF/acetone (60:40) mixed solvent. The doping amount of FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O was 50 μmol·g$^{-1}$ PVDF, thereby obtaining a PVDF spinning solution having a PVDF concentration of 10%. Then, an appropriate amount of PVDF spinning solution was transferred to a syringe, and the syringe was placed on the syringe pump. The positive electrode of the high-voltage DC power source was connected to the needle, and the negative electrode was connected to the receiving roller. The piezoelectric material was prepared under the conditions of a spinning voltage of 16 kV, a spinning speed of 1.0 mL·h$^{-1}$, and a spinning distance of 15 cm (Ribeiro et al. 2010, Zhao et al. 2005). When the amount of spinning solution reached 2 mL, the high-voltage DC power supply and the syringe pump were turned off, and the aluminum plate was carefully removed. The sample was marked, placed in an oven, dried at 50°C for 30 minutes, and stored for later use. The electrospinning process was carried out at room temperature and relative humidity of 40% to 50%. Fig. 1 represents an SEM image of an electrospun piezoelectric material. The electrospun fiber had a relatively flat surface, a clear shape, a uniform texture, and a relatively uniform fiber diameter distribution.

Preparation of Electrospun Piezoelectric Anticorrosive Sheet: The PVDF piezoelectric material thus produced was subjected to four processes of film cutting, edge treatment, electrode extraction, and adding of a protective layer to prepare a piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet for experiments (Fig. 2).

Film cutting: An area of the prepared electrospun PVDF fiber membrane, slightly larger than the required area, was marked and then cut with sharp scissors, and its edge was marked. In this paper, the fiber membrane is cut to a size of 2.0 cm × 2.0 cm.

Edge treatment: The edges of the cut fiber film were covered with a layer of insulating tape to prevent the upper and lower copper foil electrodes from short-circuiting due to collision. This also had a certain protective effect on the fiber film in use.

Electrode extraction: The conductive copper foil tape was cut to the desired shape and then carefully attached to the cut fiber membrane. The conductive copper foil tape...
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Film cutting: An area of the prepared electrospun PVDF fiber membrane, slightly larger than the required area, was marked and then cut with sharp scissors, and its edge was marked. In this paper, the fiber membrane is cut to a size of 2.0 cm × 2.0 cm.

Edge treatment: The edges of the cut fiber film were covered with a layer of insulating tape to prevent the upper and lower copper foil electrodes from short-circuiting due to collision. This also had a certain protective effect on the fiber film in use.

Electrode extraction: The conductive copper foil tape was cut to the desired shape and then carefully attached to the cut fiber membrane. The conductive copper foil tape acts as an electrode, which improves the operability of the preparation process, and makes the preparation process simple and convenient.

Adding of protective layer: Since the conductive copper foil electrode was directly attached to both sides of the fiber membrane, it was exposed to the outer environment and could have easily been damaged during use, affecting the experimental process. Therefore, it was necessary to add a protective layer on the outside and use insulating tape as a protective layer, which served both as protection and insulation.

Anti-Corrosion Experiment Process

First, to ensure the same corrosion area of cast iron during the experiment, a 1cm×2cm corrosion zone was reserved on the cast iron sheet, and other parts were covered with silicone rubber to isolate the corrosion solution. The height of the corrosive solution was controlled slightly above the corrosion zone and was in contact with the silicone rubber sheet, thus ensuring that all the corrosion zones of the cast-iron sheets were corroded and the corrosion area was consistent throughout the experiment.

Second, this study simulated cast iron corrosion in a beaker environment. In practice, only piezoelectric sheets are built into pipes in this method, not the entire device is put into pipes. The piezoelectric power generation device in Fig. 3 was composed of a vibration experiment platform (ESS-050-120 DongLing Technologies), a piezoelectric sheet, a signal generator, and an oscilloscope. The vibration experiment platform was controlled by a signal generator to provide cyclic bending-releasing external force. Then an external force was applied to the piezoelectric sheet to make the piezoelectric sheet generate electrical energy. At this...
time, the current generated by the piezoelectric sheet was an alternating current, so the alternating current was changed to direct current through a rectifier to meet the basic conditions of cathodic protection. Then the regulated voltage source was passed to keep the current stable. Finally, the protection current generated by the piezoelectric sheet was externally connected to the cast iron sheet to be protected, and the cast iron corrosion test was conducted. As shown in Fig. 3, in the 6 beakers of the corrosion test apparatus, different solutions were placed according to the experiment, and two cast-iron sheets with silicone rubber were placed in a beaker containing a specific solution, and the rotor speed was adjusted to simulate the water flow rate. The anti-corrosion effect of the piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet was analyzed by comparing the corrosion changes of the cast iron with or without the piezoelectric protection device. The black bars in the beaker are anodes which are carbon rods.

Through reference to various literature studies, and based on the characteristics of environmental conditions in closed water pipes, experiments were carried out using four corrosion factors: pH, ammonium nitrate, sodium chloride, and sodium sulfate. Hydrogen ions participate in the cathodic reaction of iron corrosion. The pH is related to the solubility and form of the iron compound in the scale. And the change in pH also affects the formation of various oxides and hydroxides of iron. This in turn affects the corrosion rate of iron and the release of iron. In an acidic solution, nitrate reacts with zero-valent iron to form an ammonium-contaminated water body (Zhang & Edwards 2007), and ammonium causes rapid consumption of dissolved oxygen; for most bacteria, ammonium is a better source of nitrogen relative to nitrate, which can cause microbial growth and iron corrosion. Chloride and sulfate ions interfere with the formation of calcium and iron protective layers and can replace the hydrogen bonds in the passivation layer metal ions, thereby destroying the passivation film and chemically reacting with the scale to form dissolved ferrous ions. The passivation layer attached to the pipe scale layer is replaced by rust, which accelerates the corrosion rate of the pipe and increases the release of iron from the pipe network (Hu et al. 2018). Sulfate ions also participate in microbial reactions in the pipe network (Yang et al. 2014). Moreover, pH value, nitrate concentration, chloride ion concentration, and sulfate concentration are the typical influencing factors in the current corrosion process of cast iron. Therefore, the four influencing factors were selected as the optimization conditions for this study.

Deionized water was added to a 250 mL beaker to adjust the pH or to add different concentrations of the solution (see Table 1 for details) to obtain a 200 mL sample solution for the single factor impact test. In the experiment, other influencing factors were strictly controlled. In the experiment of pH influencing factors, NH₄NO₃, NaCl, and Na₂SO₄ were not added to the solution. When the NH₄NO₃, NaCl, or Na₂SO₄ concentration analysis experiment was carried out, the pH was controlled at around 7. For each of the NH₄NO₃, NaCl, and Na₂SO₄ solutions that were prepared, none of the other two compounds were added to the solution. For instance, the NH₄NO₃ solutions did not contain any NaCl or Na₂SO₄. The iron sheets were weighed daily to ensure accurate measurement of changes on each day. Three parallel experiments were performed.

### Table 1: Details of the solution under different conditions (Room temperature 20°C–25°C).

| Influence condition | pH       | Details            | NH₄NO₃ concentration (mg.L⁻¹) | NaCl concentration (mg.L⁻¹) | Na₂SO₄ concentration (mg.L⁻¹) |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| pH                  | 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11 |                   | 0, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000  | 0, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000  | 0, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000   |

### Analytical Methods

The pH of the solution was measured using a pH meter (Mettler-Toledo 320). The weight-loss method was adopted. That is, the mass change of the cast iron sheet before and after the experiment is weighed to judge the corrosion rate of the cast iron and the protective effect of the piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet. The corrosion products on the cast-iron sheets were cleaned with deionized water, then the cast iron sheets were placed in an oven, dried at 50°C for 5 hours, then weighed and recorded (Yang et al. 2018). The voltage and current values in the environmental system during the whole experimental period were recorded by the voltage and current collector. The power consumption is obtained by voltage value and current value and is used to analyze the protection of cast iron in a corrosive environment under different power consumption conditions. The corrosion morphology of the cast iron was obtained by operating an electron scanning microscope (SEM (LEO-1450 Carl Zeiss)) at 20.00 kV. The surface area of the SEM image was analyzed using Image-Pro Plus software.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Effect of Initial pH

The initial pH of the solution has an important impact on the corrosion protection of the pipeline. This experiment explored the corrosion of cast iron with and without current protection at different pH.

Fig. 4 shows that the average rate of corrosion of cast iron decreases with increasing pH. The corrosion rate is relatively small and the difference is minimal when the pH...
is neutral and alkaline and is lower than the daily average rate. In the acidic environment with pH=3, the corrosion rate is the highest on the first day, reaching 278 g.(m².d⁻¹), and finally stabilized at around 146 g.(m².d⁻¹). On the seventh day, the corrosion rate of the pH=3 solution is 122.9 g.(m².d⁻¹) higher than that of the pH=7 solution (22.9 g.(m².d⁻¹)), which is mainly due to the hydrogen evolution corrosion of cast iron in an acidic environment. This is consistent with the study of Yang et al. (2017). The average corrosion rate of the cast iron sheet is sharply reduced with the protection device when compared with the corrosion rate without the protection device. In comparison, the corrosion rate drops to zero in neutral and alkaline solutions. In the acidic environment with pH=3 and pH=5, the corrosion rate is zero in the first four days, and slight corrosion occurs in the last two days. The highest corrosion rate is 7.08 g.(m².d⁻¹). The protection current makes the cast iron in a state of excessive electrons so that the electron migration of metal corrosion is suppressed, and the occurrence of corrosion is avoided or reduced (Meng & Wang 2016).

As shown in Fig. 5, with the presence of the protection device’s current, the protection degree in all solution systems is above 90%. Among them, in a neutral and alkaline environment, the protection degree can reach 100% for all seven days; however, it can reach 100% only in the first 4 days at pH=3 and pH=5. The cast iron without a protective device has obvious corrosion: the surface has rust and corrosion pit, and in contrast, the surface of the cast iron with protection device has no rust, is smooth, and less corroded, which indicates that the external protection device plays a good anti-corrosion role. Regarding power consumption, in different pH set-ups, the average daily electricity consumption gradually eases with time. The lowest power consumption (709.73 mW•h)
at pH 7 is compared with the acidic conditions of the same time period, and the power consumption is shown to be reduced by about 50%.

**Effect of Ammonium Nitrate Concentration**

Nitrate ions have a great influence on the corrosion of cast iron. This experiment explored the corrosion of cast iron with and without current protection at different ammonium nitrate concentrations.

As shown in Fig. 6, in the ammonium nitrate solution environment system, the average corrosion rate increases with the increase of experiment time in the 5-day corrosion cycle. However, the corrosion rate of cast iron does not change regularly with the increase of nitrate ion concentration. Except for the 0 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution, the corrosion rate was the lowest for the 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution, which was 47.7 g.(m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)). The corrosion rate was the highest for the 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solutions, which exceeded the average, and reached their maximum levels on the fifth day: 59.3 g.(m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) and 54.8 g.(m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) respectively. When the protective current is applied, the average corrosion rate of the cast iron is greatly controlled in the ammonium nitrate solution system, and the corrosion rate is reduced to zero. In the deionized water environment, where there is poor electrical conductivity, the protection current is small and the corrosion is not effectively controlled.

From Fig. 7, the corrosion of cast iron is well controlled with the addition of the protective device, and the protection of cast iron is 100% except for the solution without ammonium nitrate. In the ammonium nitrate solution systems, the amount of electricity consumption is proportional to the concentration of the solution (except 0 mg.L\(^{-1}\)). Therefore, without protection, the solution has a minimum corrosion rate comparable to that of about 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\). In the case of protection, combined with the corrosion rate and power consumption, the concentration of the solution is controlled at about 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\). Its power consumption is reduced by 55% to 85% when compared to 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\), respectively. The minimum power consumption is 924.41 mW.h, although the power consumption is about 50% higher than that of 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\). However, since the corrosion rate on the fourth day is about 18% lower than that of the 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution, controlling the solution concentration to 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\) can completely protect the cast iron and save electricity.

**Effect of Sodium Chloride Concentration**

The chloride ion concentration has a large effect on the corrosion of cast iron. This experiment explored the corrosion of cast iron with or without current protection at different chloride ion concentrations.

From Fig. 8, in the chloride ion environment system, the average corrosion rate decreases gradually with the experiment time, and the higher the concentration, the faster the corrosion rate, indicating that the increase of Cl\(^{-}\) concentration will accelerate the release of iron and promote the corrosion of cast iron (Hu et al. 2018). This can be observed at chloride concentrations of 0 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\), where the average rate of corrosion of cast iron is always below

![Fig. 6: Average rate change of cast iron under different ammonium nitrate concentrations.](image-url)
Due to the presence of ionic substances in tap water, the corrosion rate of tap water is between the corrosion rates observed for the 100 mg.L$^{-1}$ and 200 mg.L$^{-1}$ solutions of sodium chloride. There is no ionic substance in the deionized water, and the corrosion rate is relatively low. We observe it first increasing, then falling, and then gradual. When the current is applied, the average corrosion rate of cast iron in the sodium chloride solution system is greatly reduced, especially for the solutions of 1000 mg.L$^{-1}$ and 500 mg.L$^{-1}$, where the corrosion rate is almost zero. This is because the solution concentration is high and the protection current is large, so the average corrosion rate is very low, and the overall corrosion rate tends to decrease. When the current is applied, the average corrosion rate of cast iron in

![Graph showing changes in protection degree and power consumption of cast iron under different ammonium nitrate concentrations.](image)

**Fig. 7**: Under the protection device, the changes in protection degree and power consumption of cast iron under different ammonium nitrate concentrations.

![Graph showing average rate change of cast iron under different chloride ion concentrations.](image)

**Fig. 8**: Average rate change of cast iron under different chloride ion concentrations.
In a sodium chloride solution, the degree of protection is positively correlated with the concentration of the solution. The corrosion rate tends to decrease. When the current is applied, the average corrosion rate is almost zero. This is because the solution concentration is high and the cathodic protection effect is obvious. Therefore, from the perspective of anti-corrosion, it is advisable to try to control the lower concentration of sodium chloride without using a protection device. In the case of protection, the sodium chloride concentration is controlled at about 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\), and the power consumption is 25% to 50% lower than that of the 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution, while the minimum power consumption is 2301.41 mW\(\cdot\)h. This means that the system can save electricity and achieve a good anti-corrosion effect.

As shown in Fig. 9, under tap water conditions, the degree of protection of the protected cast iron in the first two days was not ideal, the degree of protection was 60% and 78.2% respectively. While in a sodium chloride solution system with a protective current applied, the cast iron is well protected, especially at high concentrations (1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\), 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\)). When the conductivity of the solution increases, the protection current also increases, and the degree of protection is over 99.3%. Therefore, from the perspective of the corrosion rate and degree of protection of cast iron, piezoelectric materials are more suitable for corrosion protection of cast iron in high-concentration solutions. Meanwhile, the daily electricity consumption is relatively stable. The power consumption is roughly positively correlated with the concentration of the solution. The corrosion rate of cast iron is also positively correlated with the solution concentration. Given that the protection degree can reach 100% at high concentrations, the cathodic protection effect is obvious. Therefore, from the perspective of anti-corrosion, it is advisable to try to control the lower concentration of tap water was higher than the corrosion rate of cast iron in high-concentration solutions. The maximum value of the average corrosion rate of cast iron in tap water reached 17 g.(m\(^2\).d\(^{-1}\)), while the maximum value in high-concentration solutions was 0.33 g.(m\(^2\).d\(^{-1}\)). However, due to the poor conductivity of deionized water, the corrosion rate in deionized water (0 mg.L\(^{-1}\)) is not significantly reduced, and the cathodic protection is not obvious.

By visually comparing Fig. 10 (a~d) and (e~h) and using Image-Pro Plus software to analyze the SEM images, the proportion of the corrosion area can be obtained, defined as the degree of corrosion (Table 2). It can be seen from Fig. 10 that at 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) without protection, the corrosion morphology is very detailed. So the scale was positioned to 10 μm. According to the actual situation at that time, the magnification was appropriately increased to better show the appearance of cast iron corrosion. Whether in the tap water solution or the sodium chloride solution, the corrosion of the cast iron without the protective device is serious, and the surface has obvious dense corrosion pits, and the products of corrosion can be clearly seen after the magnification. The corrosion degree of cast iron in tap water is 37.04%, the diameter of the corrosion pit is about 140 μm, and the corrosion degree of cast iron in sodium chloride solution is 50.72%. After the protection device is added, although certain corrosion occurs, the corrosion degree of cast iron in the tap water is 19.70%, and the corrosion degree of the cast iron in the sodium chloride solution is 22.86%. The corrosion condition is greatly improved compared to the results obtained.

![Fig. 9: Under the protection device, the changes in protection degree and power consumption of cast iron under different sodium chloride concentrations.](image-url)
As shown in Fig. 9, under tap water conditions, the degree of protection of the protected cast iron in the first two days was not ideal, the degree of protection was 60% and 78.2% respectively. While in a sodium chloride solution system with a protective current applied, the cast iron is well protected, especially at high concentrations (1000 mg. L⁻¹, 500 mg. L⁻¹). When the conductivity of the solution increases, the protection current also increases, and the degree of protection is over 99.3%. Therefore, from the perspective of the corrosion rate and degree of protection of cast iron, piezoelectric materials are more suitable for corrosion protection of cast iron in high-concentration solutions. Meanwhile, the daily electricity consumption is relatively stable. The power consumption is roughly positively correlated with the concentration of the solution. The corrosion rate of cast iron is also positively correlated with the solution concentration. Given that the protection degree can reach 100% at high concentrations, the cathodic protection effect is obvious. Therefore, from the perspective of anti-corrosion, it is advisable to try to control the lower concentration of sodium chloride without using a protection device. In the case of protection, the sodium chloride concentration is controlled at about 500 mg. L⁻¹, and the power consumption is 25% to 50% lower than that of the 1000 mg. L⁻¹ solution, while the minimum power consumption is 2301.41 mW • h. This means that the system can save electricity and achieve a good anti-corrosion effect.

Table 2: Cast iron corrosion degree table (data from images at 50 times magnification).

| Solution condition | Tap water, no protection | Tap water, protection | 500 mg. L⁻¹, no protection | 500 mg. L⁻¹, protection |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Corrosion degree (%) | 37.04                    | 19.70                 | 50.72                       | 22.86                    |

Fig. 10: SEM image of cast iron in different concentrations of sodium chloride solution, at 50, 200, or 250 times magnification.
without the use of the protection device. This shows that the protection device greatly improves the corrosion protection of cast iron. Without the protection device, the corrosion of cast iron in 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) sodium chloride solution is very high, the corrosion pit is dense, and the surface is very rough. Its corrosion degree to cast iron (50.72%) is stronger than that of tap water (37.04%), which corresponds to the conclusion of Fig. 8.

**Effect of Sodium Sulfate Concentration**

This experiment also explored the effect of sulfate ions on the corrosion of cast iron.

From Fig. 11, in the experimental sodium sulfate environment systems, the average corrosion rate is below 80 g.(m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)). The corrosion rate drops first and then increases in the solution of 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\) concentration of sodium sulfate. At other concentrations, the corrosion rate increases first, then decreases and then increases again. When the concentration of sodium sulfate is 0 mg.L\(^{-1}\), the average rate of corrosion of cast iron is lower than the average value. At a concentration of 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\), the average rate on the third day and after is below the average. Among all tested concentrations, cast iron has the fastest corrosion rate in a 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution.

This indicates that the increase of SO\(_2\)-4 concentration will accelerate the release of iron and promote the corrosion of cast iron (Hu et al. 2018, Yang et al. 2014). Comparingly, after the current is applied, the average corrosion rate of cast iron is greatly controlled in the sodium sulfate environment systems. Deionized water poses an exception, since the electrical conductivity is poor and the protection current is small, the corrosion rate is still large after the current is applied. The cast iron in other concentrations did not corrode in the first four days, while it was slightly corroded at 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) after four days, and the maximum rate on the sixth day was 7.9 g.(m\(^{2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) and 1 g.(m\(^{2}\).d\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

From Fig. 12, cast iron is well protected in a sodium sulfate solution with a protective current. For the concentrations of 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\), 250 mg.L\(^{-1}\), and 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution, the protection can reach 100%. In the solutions with concentrations of 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\), some corrosion began to appear after the 4th and 5th days, however, the degree of protection was still above 88.4%. In the deionized water system, the degree of protection is poor. The power consumption decreases first and then increase with the experiment time. The 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 250 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solutions consume a large amount of electricity, while the 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) solution

| Solution condition       | Tap water no protection | Tap water protection | 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) no protection | 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) protection |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Corrosion degree (%)     | 37.04                   | 19.70                | 50.72                           | 22.86                         |

Fig. 11: Average rate change of cast iron under different sulfate ion concentrations.
consumes less power (390.70 mW•h), which is 5% to 90% lower than the other two solutions. The cast-iron protection of these three solution systems can reach 100%. Therefore, it is advisable to control the solution at a low concentration of about 100 mg.L\(^{-1}\) without protection. In the case of protection, the solution concentration should be controlled at about 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\).

By visually comparing Fig. 13 (a-d), and using Image-Pro Plus software to analyze the SEM image, the proportion of corrosion area is obtained, which is the corrosion degree (Table 3). Being under the protection of the device and being unprotected by the device have little effect on the corrosion of cast iron in deionized water, where the corrosion degree is between 60% and 70%. This is mainly due to the conductivity of deionized water being poor and not meeting the needs of cathodic protection. Comparing Fig. 13 (e-h), it can be observed that the corrosion without protective current is very evident: the surface has obvious corrosion products, and the corrosion degree is 73.71%. While under the protection current, the cast iron is slightly corroded, its surface is smooth, and the corrosion degree is 22.10%. This demonstrates that the protection device can greatly improve the corrosion protection of cast iron.

### CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the corrosion behavior of cast iron in different environmental systems was studied with and without protective current, and the influencing factors were analyzed. The results show that during the protection of cast iron, when the cast iron is protected by electricity, in a neutral (pH=7) environment, the ammonium nitrate concentration is 200 mg.L\(^{-1}\), the sodium chloride concentration is 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\), or the sodium sulfate concentration is 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and the protection can reach 100%, with the double effect of complete protection and power saving. In this study, a cathodic protection method similar to an applied current is used. That is, a protected metal is used as a cathode, and a piezoelectric anticorrosive sheet acting as an external power source is continuously vibrated to supply electrons to the cast iron sheet so that the cathode is always in an electron excess state. Only the reduction reaction occurs on the surface so that the corrosion of cast iron is suppressed. This pipeline anticorrosion method does not require external chemicals, it has better environmental protection characteristics, and has a demonstrable protective effect on the environment. The PVDF piezoelectric film has a low production cost and is easy to process. When put into use, it plays a protective role.
control the solution at a low concentration of about 100 mg·L\(^{-1}\) without protection. In the case of protection, the solution concentration should be controlled at about 1000 mg·L\(^{-1}\).

(a) Deionized water, no protection
(b) Deionized water, no protection
(c) Deionized water, protection
(d) Deionized water, protection
(e) 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), no protection
(f) 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), no protection
(g) 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), protection
(h) 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), protection

Fig. 13: SEM image of corrosion of cast iron in different concentrations of sodium sulfate solution, at 50, 250, or 500 times magnification.

Table 3: Cast iron corrosion degree table (data from images at 50 times magnification).

| Solution condition | Deionized water, no protection | Deionized water, no protection | 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), no protection | 500 mg·L\(^{-1}\), protection |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Corrosion degree (%) | 63.41                         | 66.37                         | 73.71                           | 22.10                        |

By visually comparing Fig. 13 (a ~ d), and using Image-Pro Plus software to analyze the SEM image, the proportion of corrosion area is obtained, which is the corrosion degree (Table 3). Being under the protection of the device and being unprotected by the device have little effect on the corrosion of cast iron in deionized water, where the corrosion degree is between 60% and 70%. This is mainly due to the conductivity of deionized water being poor and not meeting the needs of cathodic protection. Comparing Fig. 13 (e~h), it can be observed that the corrosion without protective current is very evident: the surface has obvious corrosion products, and the corrosion degree is 73.71%. While under the protection current, the cast iron is slightly corroded, its surface is smooth, and the corrosion degree is 22.10%. This demonstrates that the protection device can greatly improve the corrosion protection of cast iron.
on the pipeline, saves the cost of pipeline replacement, and has good economic benefits. The combination of this new material and traditional technology has advantages such as it is environment-friendly, conserves energy, has economic efficiency, and will certainly contribute to China’s pipeline anti-corrosion process in the future.
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