Outcomes of living donor kidney transplantation in diabetic patients: age and sex matched comparison with non-diabetic patients
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Background/Aims: Kidney transplantation (KT) reportedly provides a significant survival advantage over dialysis in diabetic patients. However, KT outcome in diabetic patients compared with that in non-diabetic patients remains controversial. In addition, owing to recent improvements in the outcomes of KT and management of cardiovascular diseases, it is necessary to analyze outcomes of recently performed KT in diabetic patients.

Methods: We reviewed all diabetic patients who received living donor KT between January 2008 and December 2011. Each patient was age- and sex-matched with two non-diabetic patients who received living donor KT during the same period. The outcomes of living donor KT were compared between diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

Results: Among 887 patients, 89 diabetic patients were compared with 178 non-diabetic patients. The incidence of acute rejection was not different between the diabetic and non-diabetic patients. Urinary tract infection and other infections as well as cardiovascular events occurred more frequently in diabetic patients. However, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and infection were not significant risk factors of graft failure. Late rejection (acute rejection after 1 year of transplantation) was the most important risk factor for graft failure after adjusting for diabetes mellitus (DM), human leukocyte antigen mismatch, rejection and infection (hazard ratio, 56.082; 95% confidence interval, 7.169 to 438.702; \( p < 0.001 \)). Mortality was not significantly different between diabetic and non-diabetic patients (0 vs. 2, \( p = 0.344 \) by log-rank test).

Conclusions: End-stage renal disease patients with DM had favorable outcomes with living donor kidney transplantation.
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mon cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in Korea, with an increase from 19.5% in 1992 to 50.6% in 2012 [3].

Kidney transplantation reportedly provides a significant survival advantage over dialysis, and it is considered the best renal replacement option for diabetic ESRD patients [4,5]. In addition, several studies revealed that preemptive transplantation and living donor kidney transplantation were superior to deceased donor transplantation [6,7]. However, kidney transplantation outcome in diabetic patients compared with that in non-diabetic patients remains controversial. Some studies reported poor graft survival and mortality in diabetic patients [8-10], whereas others showed no significant differences in graft survival and mortality between diabetic and non-diabetic patients [11-14].

There are still patients and physicians who consider DM as deleterious to kidney transplantation outcomes. In addition, owing to recent improvements in the outcomes of kidney transplantation and management of cardiovascular diseases, it is necessary to analyze outcomes of recently performed kidney transplantation in diabetic patients. Furthermore, the type of DM is unclear in certain cases. Therefore, in this study, we compared the outcomes of recently performed kidney transplantation in diabetic patients with those in age- and sex-matched non-diabetic patients regardless of the etiology of ESRD and type of DM.

METHODS

Study design
In this retrospective study, we reviewed all patients who received kidney transplants between January 2008 and December 2011. Patients who were diagnosed with DM before transplantation and received living donor kidney transplants were included in this study. Patients who were under the age of 18 years and those who received other organ transplants were excluded. ABO incompatible or human leukocyte antigen (HLA) sensitized kidney transplantation were also excluded. In addition, only patients who were followed up for at least 1 year after transplantation were included. Each patient from the study group was age- and sex-matched with two non-diabetic patients who received living donor kidney transplants during the same period. The outcomes of living donor kidney transplantation were compared between diabetic and non-diabetic patients. The study was approved by Asan Medical Center Institutional Review Board (2016-0208).

Transplantation protocol
Patients received induction therapy with 20 mg of basiliximab on the day of surgery and on postoperative day 4. Maintenance immunosuppressive regimen consisted of a calcineurin inhibitor (cyclosporine or tacrolimus), antimetabolites (mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine), and a corticosteroid. Postoperatively, all patients received oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (80/400 mg) for 6 months for pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) prophylactics were given routinely for CMV immunoglobulin G (IgG)-negative recipients matched with CMV IgG-positive donors. In other cases, preemptive therapy was administered based on serial monitoring for CMV antigenemia. All recipients underwent pre-transplant evaluation of cardiovascular risk by electrocardiogram, echocardiography and thallium-201 myocardial single photon emission computed tomography. When significant coronary artery disease was suspected, coronary angiogram was performed after discussion with cardiologists.

Data collection and definition
Baseline characteristics of patients, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), past medical history, dialysis, and medications, were collected. Donor information and data on transplantation procedures was also reviewed. The occurrence of rejection, infection, cardiovascular events, and malignancy were also identified. Graft failure was defined as the recommencement of dialysis or direct second transplantation, and delayed graft function was defined as the use of dialysis in the first postoperative week [15]. Cardiovascular diseases included coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, and cerebral infarction. CMV infection was defined as ≥ 50 CMV (+) cells/200,000 white blood cells using a CMV antigenemia assay and was treated with ganciclovir. When plasma BK virus (BKV) DNA levels were > 10,000 copies/mL, BKV infection was diagnosed regardless of the presence of nephropathy. Protocol biopsies were not performed. Allograft biopsy was performed when rejection was clinically suspected. Renal biopsies were evalu-
ated by light, electron, and immunofluorescence microscopy. C4d staining was performed on all specimens, and rejection was assessed with the Banff classification. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the isotope-dilution mass spectrometry-traceable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation as follows: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 175 × serum creatinine⁻¹·⁵⁴ × age⁻⁰·²⁰³ × (0.742 if patient is female) × (1.212 if patient is black).

Statistical analysis
Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or number (percentage). For categorical variables, the chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used. Continuous variables were compared using the Student t test or Mann-Whitney U test. Graft survival and mortality rates were evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard analyses were used to assess variables associated with graft survival, using hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Variables with a p value of < 0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the initial step of multivariate analysis. All reported p values were two-sided, and p values of < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics
A total of 887 patients received kidney transplantation between 2008 and 2011 at Asan Medical Center (Fig. 1), of which 129 patients were excluded and 112 diabetic patients were included. However, four diabetic patients could not be matched with non-diabetic patients within 5 years of difference in age. In addition, 19 patients who received ABO incompatible or HLA sensitized kidney transplantation were excluded. Therefore, in the final analysis, 89 patients with diabetes were compared with 178 non-diabetic patients. The mean BMI of diabetic patients was higher than that of non-diabetic patients (24.52 ± 3.68 kg/m² vs. 23.38 ± 2.89 kg/m², p = 0.006) (Table 1). Additionally, more diabetic patients than non-diabetic patients were diagnosed with cardiovascular disease before transplantation (21.3% vs. 6.7%, p = 0.001). The number of patients who were taking statin or renin-angiotensin blocker was not different between groups, but more patients were taking aspirin in diabetic patients (31.5% vs. 9.6%, p < 0.001). Other baseline characteristics were not significantly different between the two groups.

Acute rejection and infectious complications
The incidence of acute rejection was not different between the diabetic and non-diabetic patients (Table 2). Delayed graft function occurred at similar rates in both groups (1.1% vs. 1.1%, p = 1). The overall incidence of infectious complications within the first year after transplantation did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 3). However, urinary tract infections and other infections occurred more frequently after the first year of transplantation in diabetic patients than in non-diabetic patients (12.4% vs. 3.9%, p = 0.017; 14.6% vs. 2.8%, p < 0.001, respectively). Finally, diabetic and non-diabetic patients showed similar incidence rates of CMV and BK virus infection.

Graft survival and mortality
The incidence of graft failure was 6.7% in diabetic patients and 2.8% in non-diabetic patients. In addition, graft survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years were 100%, 100%, and 95% in diabetic patients and 100%, 99%, and 98%
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

| Characteristic                              | DM patients (n = 89) | Non-DM patients (n = 178) | p value |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Male sex, %                                 | 74.2                 | 74.2                      | 1.000   |
| Age, yr                                     | 50.36 ± 8.63         | 49.38 ± 7.33              | 0.334   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                      | 24.52 ± 3.68         | 23.38 ± 2.89              | 0.006   |
| HbA1c at 1 year after KT, %                 | 8.0 ± 1.37           |                           |         |
| Medical history, %                          |                      |                           |         |
| DM                                          | 100                  | 0                         | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension                                | 92.1                 | 90.4                      | 0.821   |
| Cardiovascular disease                      | 21.3                 | 6.7                       | 0.001   |
| Hepatitis B                                 | 7.9                  | 7.3                       | 1.000   |
| Hepatitis C                                 | 0                    | 1.7                       | 0.553   |
| Dialysis prior transplantation, %           | 83.1                 | 79.2                      | 0.514   |
| Dialysis modality, %                        |                      |                           | 0.277   |
| Hemodialysis                                | 85.1                 | 78.0                      |         |
| Peritoneal dialysis                         | 14.9                 | 22.0                      |         |
| Dialysis duration, mon                      | 20.26 ± 21.35        | 26.58 ± 35.55             | 0.105   |
| KT ≥ 2 times, %                             | 3.4                  | 3.9                       | 1.000   |
| HLA mismatches > 3, %                       | 41.6                 | 34.3                      | 0.282   |
| PRA ≥ 10%                                   | 6.8                  | 10.1                      | 0.493   |
| Immunosuppressant, %                        |                      |                           |         |
| Tacrolimus                                  | 57.3                 | 48.9                      | 0.242   |
| Cyclosporine                                | 42.7                 | 51.1                      | 0.242   |
| Mycophenolate                               | 78.7                 | 73.6                      | 0.452   |
| Azathioprine                                | 11.2                 | 14.0                      | 0.570   |
| Cyclophosphamide                            | 7.9                  | 8.4                       | 1.000   |
| Everolimus                                  | 2.2                  | 3.9                       | 0.722   |
| Other medications, %                        |                      |                           |         |
| Statins                                     | 51.7                 | 60.1                      | 0.193   |
| Renin-angiotensin blocker                   | 14.6                 | 11.2                      | 0.436   |
| Aspirin                                     | 31.5                 | 9.6                       | < 0.001 |
| Diabetes management, %                      |                      |                           |         |
| Insulin                                     | 51.7                 |                           |         |
| Insulin + OHA                               | 39.3                 |                           |         |
| OHA                                         | 9.0                  |                           |         |
| Donor sex (male), %                         | 46.1                 | 50.6                      | 0.518   |
| Donor age, yr                               | 41.17 ± 12.29        | 41.13 ± 10.39             | 0.979   |
| Related donor, %                            | 53.9                 | 64.0                      | 0.114   |
| Follow-up duration, mon                     | 64.46 ± 15.13        | 66.77 ± 15.03             | 0.239   |

Values are presented as mean ± SD.

DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; KT, kidney transplantation; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; PRA, panel reactive antibody; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agents.
The cause of graft failure was rejection in five of six graft failures in diabetic patients and four of five graft failures in non-diabetic patients. One patient in diabetic group experienced graft failure due to calcineurin inhibitor toxicity and rejection. The cause of graft failure was unknown in one patient in non-diabetic group. Both death-censored graft survival and non-death-censored graft survival were not significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.103 and p = 0.257 by log rank test) (Fig. 2). DM was not a significant risk factor for graft failure in univariate analysis (HR, 2.589; 95% CI, 0.789 to 8.490; p = 0.117) (Table 4). As summarized in Table 4, univariate analysis revealed that the risk of graft failure was significantly increased with HLA mismatches (4 to 6 mismatches) (HR, 4.794; 95% CI, 1.272 to 18.074; p = 0.021), acute rejection within the first year after transplantation (HR, 4.889; 95% CI, 1.280 to 18.666; p = 0.020) and acute rejection after the first year of transplantation (HR, 59.684; 95% CI, 7.169 to 466.342; p < 0.001). However, in multivariate analysis, a significantly higher risk of graft failure was observed with only acute rejection after the first year of transplantation (HR, 56.082; 95% CI, 7.169 to 438.702; p < 0.001) and high HLA mismatches (HR, 4.159; 95% CI, 1.100 to 15.722; p = 0.036).

DM, diabetes mellitus; KT, kidney transplantation.
rank test) (Fig. 3). One non-diabetic patient died due to progression of small cell lung cancer complicated with pneumonia 5 years and 9 months after transplantation, and the other died due to PCP complicated with candida sepsis 1 year and 2 months after transplantation.

The changes of graft function and proteinuria after transplantation

Allograft function was not significantly different between diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients until 6 years after transplantation. More patients had proteinuria ≥ 2+ on dipstic in diabetic group after 5 years of

Figure 2. (A) Death-censored graft survival (B) non-death-censored graft survival. DM, diabetes mellitus.

Table 4. Unadjusted and adjusted risk factors for graft failure

| Variable                                | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                          | HR (95% CI)         | p value              |
|                                          |                     | HR (95% CI)         | p value              |
| Diabetes mellitus                        | 2.589 (0.789–8.490) | 0.117                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Body mass index                          | 1.097 (0.952–1.265) | 0.201                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| History of cardiovascular disease        | 0.805 (0.103–6.293) | 0.836                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Dialysis modality                        | 1.168 (0.230–5.336) | 0.898                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Dialysis duration                        | 1.010 (0.995–1.024) | 0.199                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| HLA mismatch                             | 4.794 (1.272–18.674) | 0.021            |
|                                          | 4.359 (1.160–15.722) | 0.036                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Donor gender                             | 1.789 (0.523–6.119) | 0.354                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Donor age                                | 1.039 (0.980–1.093) | 0.202                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Unrelated donor                          | 2.948 (0.863–10.077) | 0.085                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Acute rejection within 1 year of KT      | 4.889 (1.280–18.666) | 0.020                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Acute rejection after 1 year of KT       | 59.684 (7.638–466.342) | < 0.001         |
|                                          | 56.082 (7.169–438.702) | < 0.001            |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Infectious complication within 1 year of KT | 1.307 (0.381–4.483) | 0.671                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Infectious complication after 1 year of KT | 2.791 (0.816–9.546) | 0.102                |
|                                          |                     |                      |
| Cardiovascular complications             | 3.979 (0.509–31.106) | 0.188                |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; KT, kidney transplantation.

aUnivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis was used.

bMultivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis with a backward stepwise method was used. Variables with p < 0.10 in the univariate analysis (HLA mismatch, acute rejection within 1 year of transplantation, acute rejection after 1 year of transplantation, unrelated donor) and diabetes mellitus were included in the initial step of multivariate analysis. Variables included in the final model are displayed.
transplantation, but no significant difference was shown in other periods. Allograft function and proteinuria in each group are summarized in Table 5.

**Cardiovascular events and malignancy**

More diabetic patients had cardiovascular disease before transplantation than non-diabetic patients. Likewise, diabetic patients experienced cardiovascular events more often than non-diabetic patients after transplantation (5.6% vs. 0.6%, \( p = 0.017 \)). Three of the five diabetic patients and one non-diabetic patient who experienced cardiovascular events after transplantation already had past histories of cardiovascular disease before transplantation. Two of the diabetic patients had coronary artery disease and three had both coronary artery disease and peripheral artery disease. In contrast, a non-diabetic patient had only coronary artery disease. None of the patients in this study experienced stroke after transplantation. Finally, the incidence of malignancy of any type was not different between the two groups (4.5% vs. 2.8%, \( p = 0.487 \)).

**Subgroup analysis of NODAT patients**

Among 178 non-diabetic patients, new-onset diabetes after transplantation (NODAT) developed in 62 patients (34.8%) during follow-up. Graft survival of diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients with or without NODAT was not significantly different (\( p = 0.142 \) and \( p = 0.217 \) by log rank test) (Fig. 4). In the subgroup analysis of diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients without NODAT, the incidence of rejection and infection showed similar pattern with the results of overall analysis. The incidences of acute rejection within 1 year and after 1 year of transplantation were not different between the two groups (\( p = 0.623 \) and \( p = 0.855 \)). In addition, although total incidence of infection within 1 year did not show significant difference (32.6% vs. 26.7%, \( p = 0.439 \)), sepsis occurred in more patients in diabetic patients compared with non-diabetic patients without NODAT (9.6% vs. 0.9%, \( p = 0.011 \)). After 1 year of transplantation, the difference of overall incidence of infection between the two groups did not reach statistical significance (25.6% vs. 13.8%, \( p = 0.098 \)). However, urinary tract infection and other infections occurred more often in diabetic patients after 1 year of transplantation (12.4% vs. 3.4%, \( p = 0.027 \) for urinary tract infection; 14.6% vs. 3.4%, \( p = 0.005 \)). However, the incidence of CMV and BKV infection was not different between two groups.

---

**Figure 3. Mortality.** DM, diabetes mellitus.

**Figure 4.** Graft survival of patients (A) with and (B) without new-onset diabetes after kidney transplantation. DM, diabetes mellitus; NODAT, new onset diabetes after transplantation.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, kidney transplantation outcomes (graft survival, mortality, acute rejection, and delayed graft function) in diabetic patients were comparable with those in non-diabetic patients. Urinary tract infection and other infections as well as cardiovascular events occurred more frequently in diabetic patients. However, DM, cardiovascular disease, and infection were not significant risk factors of graft failure. Late rejection (acute rejection after 1 year of transplantation) was the most important risk factor for graft failure after adjusting for DM, HLA mismatch, rejection and unrelated donor.

Both death-censored graft survival and non-death-censored graft survival were not different between the two patient groups. Although late rejection was the most important risk factor for graft failure, the incidence of acute rejection was not different between diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients. Regarding acute T cell mediated rejection, there was no significant difference in the Banff grade within 1 year of transplantation versus after 1 year of transplantation in this study. Further studies are necessary to elucidate whether diabetes increases rejection severity or refractoriness to the treatment of rejection. In addition, diabetic patients had higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease and other infections such as diabetic foot in this study. Therefore, other factors which were not evaluated in this study, such as more frequent exposure to radiocontrast agents or metabolic effects, might have contributed to renal function. Previous studies reported increased mortality related to cardiovascular disease in diabetic patients after kidney transplants [8]. However, in this study, no patient died from cardiovascular disease, and non–death-censored graft survival was comparable between the two groups. Improvements in pre-transplantation evaluation and management of cardiovascular disease might have contributed to these results. We have summarized the previous studies that have compared transplant outcomes between recipients with diabetes and without diabetes in Table 6 [8-14,16,17].

In order to evaluate if the outcomes of kidney transplant recipients with diabetes have improved, we additionally analyzed recipients in 1995 to 1998. There were 25 diabetic patients who received living donor kidney transplantation between 1995 and 1998. The 1-, 3- and

### Table 5. Graft function and proteinuria after transplantation

| Variable                  | DM patients (n = 89) | Non-DM patients (n = 178) | p value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| eGFR (no. of patients)    |                      |                           |         |
| 1 Year after KT (89/178)  | 62.40 ± 13.20        | 65.22 ± 15.81             | 0.148   |
| 2 Years after KT (89/175) | 62.05 ± 15.97        | 65.01 ± 14.62             | 0.133   |
| 3 Years after KT (87/173) | 65.40 ± 20.40        | 64.26 ± 15.49             | 0.616   |
| 4 Years after KT (70/155) | 64.76 ± 21.79        | 64.78 ± 16.58             | 0.995   |
| 5 Years after KT (51/119) | 64.49 ± 18.68        | 66.34 ± 17.86             | 0.541   |
| 6 Years after KT (32/73)  | 65.49 ± 20.98        | 66.98 ± 15.54             | 0.720   |
| 7 Years after KT (8/24)   | 73.50 ± 15.54        | 59.54 ± 14.557            | 0.028   |
| Proteinuria ≥ 2+ on dipstick,% |                      |                           |         |
| 1 Year after KT (88/177)  | 0                    | 1.7                       | 0.553   |
| 2 Years after KT (89/174) | 1.1                  | 0                         | 0.338   |
| 3 Years after KT (87/172) | 3.4                  | 2.3                       | 0.690   |
| 4 Years after KT (70/154) | 4.3                  | 1.3                       | 0.178   |
| 5 Years after KT (51/118) | 9.8                  | 1.7                       | 0.027   |
| 6 Years after KT (32/73)  | 6.3                  | 0                         | 0.091   |
| 7 Years after KT (8/24)   | 0                    | 4.2                       | 1.000   |

Values are presented as mean ± SD.
DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; KT, kidney transplantation
Table 6. Previous studies which compared the outcomes of kidney transplant recipients with diabetes and without diabetes

| Study                        | Study period                  | Study population                              | Result                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Comparable outcomes of diabetic patients** |                               |                                               |                                                                        |
| Boucek et al. (2002) [12]    | Jan 1998–Dec 1998             | Recipients of deceased donor kidney transplantation - 64 Type 2 diabetic patients - 64 Non-diabetic patients | Graft survival at 1 and 5 years post-transplant: DM = non-DM (84% and 77% vs. 82% and 77%, p = 0.52) Patient survival at 1 and 5 years post-transplant: DM = non-DM (85% and 69% vs. 84% and 74%, p = 0.43) |
| Bittar et al. (2006) [11]    | May 1994–Dec 2003              | Total 523 patients - 35 Diabetic patients - 488 Non-diabetic patients | Graft survival at 1, 3, and 5 years: DM = non-DM (82.7%, 70.9%, and 63.0% vs. 87.6%, 79.0%, and 72.5%, p = 0.6) Patient survival at 5 years: DM = non-DM (90.5% vs. 89.0%, p = 0.9) |
| Son et al. (2009) [14]       | Mar 1998–Aug 2008              | Total 425 patients - 70 Diabetic patients - 355 Non-diabetic patients | Graft survival: DM = non-DM (p = 0.36) Patient survival: DM = non-DM (p = 0.66) Cardiovascular events: DM > non-DM (16% vs. 3%, p < 0.001) |
| Maamoun et al. (2013) [16]   | Jan 2001–Dec 2010              | Total 1,211 patients - 33% with pretransplant diabetes - 67% without pretransplant diabetes | Survival rates: DM = non-DM Freedom from rejection at 3 years: DM = non-DM (75.2% vs. 76.8%; p = 0.57) Creatinine clearance: DM < non-DM (44.2 ± 11.4 vs. 56.0 ± 18.2, p = 0.01) Infection rate within 6 months: DM > non-DM (9% vs. 5%; OR, 6.25) |
| Noguchi et al. (2015) [13]   | Feb 2008–Mar 2013              | Total 290 patients who received living donor kidney transplantation - 65 Patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy - 225 Patients with non-diabetic nephropathy | 5 Years graft survival: DM = non-DM (96.8% vs. 98.0%, p = 0.52) 5 Years patient survival: DM = non-DM (96.6% vs. 98.7%, p = 0.35) Cumulative incidence of cardiovascular events: DM > non-DM (8.5% vs. 0.49% at 5 years, p = 0.002) |
| **Unfavorable outcomes of diabetic patients** |                               |                                               |                                                                        |
| Lee et al. (2002) [17]       | Jan 1987–Dec 1998              | Total 1,417 patients - 31 Diabetic patients - 1,386 Non-diabetic patients | Non-death censored graft survival: DM < non-DM (80.6% vs. 85.8% at 5 years and 273% vs. 68.6% at 10 years, p = 0.04) Death censored graft survival: DM = non-DM (95% vs. 91.7% at 5 years and 63.3% vs. 79.5% at 10 years, p = 0.96) Patient survival: DM < non-DM (92.3% vs. 98.7% at 1 year and 84% vs. 93.4% at 5 years, p = 0.002) |
| Cosio et al. (2008) [8]      | Jan 1998–Jun 2006              | Total 933 patients - 212 Diabetic patients - 721 Non-diabetic patients | Patient survival: DM < non-DM (70% vs. 93% at 5 years, p < 0.0001) Cardiovascular events: DM > non-DM (37% vs. 9%, p < 0.0001) |
| Tokodai et al. (2012) [9]    | 1989–2011                      | Total 462 patients - 23 Diabetic patients - 439 Non-diabetic patients | Graft survival: DM < non-DM (82.4% vs. 94.9% at 3 years [p < 0.05] and 66.7% vs. 90.3% at 5 years [p < 0.01]) Patient survival: DM = non-DM (p = 0.43) Rejection and infection: DM = non-DM |
| Tomita et al. (2014) [10]    | 1998–2011                      | Total 678 patients - 89 Type 2 diabetic patients - 32 Type 1 diabetic patients - 557 Non-diabetic patients | Graft survival: worst rate (50%) in type 2 diabetic patients (p = 0.039) Patient survival: no difference |
5-year graft survival were 96%, 88%, and 88% in patients of 1995 to 1998 and 100%, 99%, and 95% in patients of 2008 to 2011. Three-year graft survival showed significant difference between the two groups ($p = 0.033$), but 5-year graft survival was not different ($p = 0.177$). The mean follow-up duration were different between the two groups, and the number of patients was small. Therefore, longer follow-up of this study population is necessary.

Several studies suggested that hyperglycemia might be associated with an increased risk of acute allograft rejection in diabetic patients [7,18]. However, in the present study, the incidence of acute rejection was not higher in diabetic patients either in or after the first year of transplantation. Maamoun et al. [16] also reported that between diabetic and non-diabetic recipients, there were no significant differences in rejection rates at 1 year (16% vs. 22%) or in freedom from rejection at 3 years (75.2% vs. 76.8%, $p = 0.57$). Strict glycemic control might be important for graft survival. Further studies to elucidate any relationship between DM and rejection are necessary in diabetic patients with transplants.

The incidence of infectious complications varies among studies. Lansang et al. [19] reported that the incidence of infections requiring hospitalizations, including sepsisemia, pneumonia, and urinary tract infection, was higher in diabetic patients with kidney transplants. In addition, Abbott et al. [20] reported that DM in transplant recipients was the only independent risk factor for fungal infections. However, several other reports showed that the infection rate was not different between diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients [9,13]. In a study by Tokodai et al. [9], there were no significant differences in total infection or urinary tract infection rates. In our study, the overall incidence of infection was not different between groups. However, sepsis (within 1 year of transplantation) and urinary tract infection and other infections (after 1 year of transplantation) occurred more frequently in diabetic patients, albeit with no significant impact on graft survival.

Several studies reported that transplant recipients with DM had a higher incidence of cardiovascular events [7,8,13,21]. Cosio et al. [8] compared the cardiovascular risk between diabetic and non-diabetic recipients and showed that diabetic patients had reduced 5-year survival rate (70% vs. 93%, $p < 0.001$) and higher incidence of cardiovascular events (37% vs. 9%, $p < 0.001$). In non-diabetic patients, the incidence of post-transplant cardiovascular events was related to traditional cardiovascular risk factors; only the pre-transplant cardiovascular history was related to this outcome in diabetic patients. In the present study, more diabetic patients had a history of cardiovascular disease, and cardiovascular events occurred more frequently in diabetic patients after transplantation. However, the causes of death were malignancy progression and uncontrolled infections and no patient died from cardiovascular events. Long-term follow-up of these patients will allow for more definite results. This study has several limitations. First, this is a retrospective study based on patient medical records, so not all information could be obtained. Second, the follow-up period of patients was relatively short (mean, approximately 5 years); longer follow-up periods will allow for accumulation of more information on the outcomes of kidney transplantation in diabetic patients.

In conclusion, ESRD patients with DM had favorable outcomes with living donor kidney transplantation. The presence of DM, infection, and cardiovascular disease were not significant contributors of graft failure in patients with recently performed transplantation. Therefore, clinicians should consider recommending kidney transplantation to ESRD patients with diabetes. The incidence of cardiovascular events was still high in diabetic patients; thus, close preoperative evaluation and postoperative monitoring are necessary.

**KEY MESSAGE**

1. End-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) had favorable outcomes with recently performed living donor kidney transplantation.
2. Acute rejection was the most important risk factor for graft failure after adjusting for DM, human leukocyte antigen mismatch, rejection, and types of donors.
3. Clinicians should consider recommending kidney transplantation to ESRD patients with diabetes.
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