Objective To assess the prevalence of child underweight, overweight, and obesity in a Malaysian population according to 3 international references because classification of anthropometric status may differ according to the reference used to express body mass index (BMI).

Study design We assessed data from 6414 children aged 6-18 years, collected by the South East Asia Community Observatory. Child underweight, overweight, and obesity were expressed according to 3 internationally used BMI references: World Health Organization 2007, International Obesity Task Force 2012, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000. We assessed agreement in classification of anthropometric status among the references using Cohen’s kappa statistic and estimated underweight, overweight, and obesity prevalence according to each reference using mixed effects Poisson regression.

Results There was poor to moderate agreement between references when classifying underweight, but generally good agreement when classifying overweight and obesity. Underweight, overweight, and obesity prevalence estimates generated using the 3 references were notably inconsistent. Overweight and obesity prevalence estimates were higher using the World Health Organization reference vs the other 2, and underweight prevalence was up to 8.5% higher and obesity prevalence was about 4% lower when using the International Obesity Task Force reference.

Conclusions The choice of reference to express BMI may influence conclusions about child anthropometric status and malnutrition prevalence. This has implications regarding strategies for clinical management and public health interventions. (J Pediatr 2017;190:63-8).
examine the comparability of the WHO 2007, IOTF, and CDC references in assessing anthropometric status in a general population of children aged 6-18 years in Malaysia.

**Methods**

We used data from a population-wide health survey conducted from 2013 to 2014 by the South East Asia Community Observatory (SEACO), a health and demographic surveillance system in southern peninsular Malaysia. SEACO conducts annual enumerations in 5 subdistricts of the Segamat district in Johor state, and in addition, acts as a platform for focused health surveys and studies. Ethical approval for all data collections undertaken within the SEACO health and demographic surveillance system are obtained from the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee, and informed consent is obtained before data collection from all participants and their parents or guardians where relevant.

All data for the SEACO health survey were collected on encrypted tablets. For this study, we used information collected from children aged 6-18 years on height and weight, sex, age, and ethnicity. Height and weight measurements were taken by trained staff following standardized procedures in accordance with the WHO STEPwise approach to Surveillance manual, using Transtek digital weighing scales with height gauges (model GBS-721; Zhongshan Transtek Electronics, Zhongshan, China); instruments used were calibrated before and after the survey. Measurements were taken with participants wearing light clothing, no shoes, and no headgear where possible and appropriate.

**Statistical Analyses**

Children's anthropometric status (underweight, normal weight, overweight, or obese) was expressed using the Zanthro package in Stata (developers: Suzanna I. Vidmar, Royal Children’s Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; Tim J. Cole, University College London, London, UK; Huiqi Pan, University College London, London, UK) according to 3 BMI references, described below.

The WHO 2007 reference, for children aged 5-19 years, is based upon information collected from 22 917 children from 3 national surveys conducted in the US from 1963 to 1974, with additional data from the WHO Multicenter Growth Reference Study (1997-2003). Underweight, overweight, and obese are defined as BMI-for-age less than 2 SDs below the mean, greater than 1 SD above the mean, and greater than 2 SDs above the mean respectively.

The CDC 2000 reference, for children aged 2-20 years, is based on the US surveys described above, with additional data from further national surveys conducted up until 1994 (total sample size: 32 653). Underweight, overweight, and obesity are defined as BMI-for-age less than the fifth percentile, greater than or equal to the 85th percentile and greater than or equal to the 95th percentile, respectively. To note, the CDC terms the latter 2 cut-offs as “at risk of overweight” and “overweight” respectively.

The IOTF 2012 reference was constructed from national surveys undertaken from 1963 to 1993, in 192 727 children aged 2-18 years from 6 countries (Singapore, Hong Kong, Great Britain, The Netherlands, the US, and Brazil). The reference provides percentile cut-offs corresponding to a BMI of 18.5, 25, and 30 kg/m² at 18 years of age for underweight, overweight, and obesity, respectively. Thus, underweight is defined as BMI-for-age less than the 15.5th percentile in boys and the 16.5th percentile in girls, overweight as BMI-for-age greater than or equal to the 90.5th percentile in boys and the 89.3rd percentile in girls, and obesity as BMI-for-age greater than or equal to the 98.9th percentile in boys and the 98.6th percentile in girls.

To allow for comparability across references, we used data on children aged 6-18 years. We initially used the Cohen kappa statistic to assess the overall agreement between the 3 references when classifying underweight, overweight, or obesity. Kappa <0.6 was defined as poor agreement, 0.6 to <0.8 as moderate agreement, 0.8 to <0.9 as good agreement and ≥0.9 as excellent agreement. To explore whether these differed by population subgroups, we additionally explored agreement across categories of sex, age, and ethnicity. Following this, for each BMI reference, we used mixed effects Poisson regression models to estimate the prevalence of underweight, overweight, and obesity overall and across categories of sex, age, and ethnicity. All regression models were based on analysis of complete records and were adjusted for clustering at the household level.

Analyses were conducted using Stata 13 and 14 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

**Results**

The analyses included 6414 children aged 6-18 years, approximately one-half of whom were boys. The majority of children were of Malay ethnicity, followed by Chinese and Indian ethnicity. There were no differences by sex in the distribution of children across categories of ethnicity and age (Table I).

We initially assessed agreement in classification of anthropometric status between the WHO, IOTF, and CDC references (Tables II and III; available at www.jpeds.com). There was poor to moderate agreement between the 3 references when classifying child underweight, with poorest agreement between the WHO and IOTF references. Between the WHO and IOTF
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Differences in distributions across categories between male and female subjects were compared using the Pearson χ² test.
Table IV. Prevalence of underweight among children in Segamat, Malaysia according to 3 international BMI references

| Ethnicity | WHO (Prevalence, 95% CI) | IOTF (Prevalence, 95% CI) | CDC (Prevalence, 95% CI) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Male      | 4.6 (3.7, 5.5)           | 13.1 (11.8, 14.4)         | 7.9 (6.8, 9.0)           |
| Female    | 5.5 (3.7, 7.3)           | 12.7 (11.5, 14.0)         | 9.4 (8.4, 10.5)          |
| Age, y, n (%)       |                       |                           |                          |
| 6-9     | 7.2 (6.0, 8.5)           | 16.8 (14.8, 18.7)         | 11.8 (10.2, 13.4)        |
| 10-14   | 4.9 (4.1, 5.8)           | 10.6 (8.3, 12.9)          | 6.6 (4.5, 8.7)           |
| 15-18   | 4.6 (2.7, 6.5)*          | 16.5 (14.8, 18.3)         | 10.3 (8.9, 11.6)         |

Estimates are based on mixed effects Poison regression models adjusted for ethnicity (apart from ethnicity-stratified models) and for clustering at the household level.

*Models were not adjusted for ethnicity in order to facilitate convergence.

Table V. Prevalence of overweight among children in Segamat, Malaysia according to 3 international BMI references

| Ethnicity | WHO (Prevalence, 95% CI) | IOTF (Prevalence, 95% CI) | CDC (Prevalence, 95% CI) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Male      | 31.4 (30.0, 32.8)        | 26.5 (25.3, 27.8)         | 26.9 (25.7, 28.2)        |
| Female    | 34.1 (32.1, 36.1)        | 28.4 (26.5, 30.2)         | 29.6 (27.6, 31.5)        |
| Age, y, n (%)       |                       |                           |                          |
| 6-9     | 33.4 (30.7, 36.1)        | 27.5 (25.1, 30.0)         | 30.3 (27.8, 32.9)        |
| 10-14   | 36.2 (33.8, 38.6)        | 29.8 (27.7, 32.0)         | 30.3 (28.2, 32.5)        |
| 15-18   | 24.0 (21.9, 26.1)        | 21.8 (19.8, 23.8)         | 20.1 (18.1, 22.0)        |

Estimates are based on mixed effects Poison regression models adjusted for ethnicity (apart from ethnicity-stratified models) and for clustering at the household level.

Table VI. Prevalence of obesity among children in Segamat, Malaysia according to 3 international BMI references

| Ethnicity | WHO (Prevalence, 95% CI) | IOTF (Prevalence, 95% CI) | CDC (Prevalence, 95% CI) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Male      | 13.1 (11.8, 14.4)        | 9.1 (7.9, 10.2)           | 12.6 (11.3, 13.9)        |
| Female    | 17.1 (15.7, 18.6)        | 11.4 (10.2, 12.6)         | 16.0 (14.6, 17.4)        |
| Age, y, n (%)       |                       |                           |                          |
| 6-9     | 18.3 (16.3, 20.3)        | 13.9 (12.1, 15.6)         | 18.1 (16.1, 20.1)        |
| 10-14   | 16.1 (14.5, 17.6)        | 9.5 (8.3, 10.7)           | 14.6 (13.1, 16.1)        |
| 15-18   | 8.7 (6.3, 11.1)          | 7.8 (5.4, 10.2)           | 9.2 (6.6, 11.7)          |

Estimates are based on mixed effects Poison regression models adjusted for ethnicity (apart from ethnicity-stratified models) and for clustering at the household level.

References, agreement was particularly poor among girls, children aged 15-18 years, and those of other ethnicity. When classifying child overweight, there was good to excellent agreement between the references, especially between the IOTF and CDC references. Agreement was similar when examining child obesity, particularly between the WHO and CDC references, overall and across population subgroups. Agreement between the other references was more variable across subgroups (Table II).

We then examined estimates for underweight, overweight, and obesity prevalence obtained using the 3 references. Overall, there was a notable prevalence of underweight, and a high prevalence of overweight and obesity in this population, according to all 3 references. Estimates for underweight prevalence varied widely between the 3 references. Estimates for overweight prevalence were similar when using the IOTF and the CDC references, but estimates using the WHO reference were markedly higher. Obesity prevalence was also highest when using the WHO reference and comparable with estimates using the CDC reference, while prevalence estimates using the IOTF reference were notably lower (Figure; available at www.jpeds.com, Tables IV-VI).

We also observed differences in the distribution of child underweight across population subgroups when using the 3 references. Although underweight prevalence was marginally higher among boys vs girls when using the WHO and CDC references, it was notably lower among boys when using the IOTF reference. When using the WHO reference, underweight prevalence was slightly lower among children aged 10-14 and 15-19 years vs those aged 6-9 years, but when using both IOTF and CDC references, it was markedly lower in children aged 10-14 years (Table IV). In contrast, there was greater consistency in the distribution of overweight and obesity across population subgroups when using any of the 3 references. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was highest among boys and children of Chinese ethnicity, and lowest among children aged 15-18 years and children of Indian ethnicity (Tables V and VI).

Discussion

In this study of Malaysian children aged 6-18 years, we observed a high prevalence of overweight and obesity and a notable burden of underweight among children, regardless of the BMI reference used. Although there was good to excellent agreement between the references, especially between the IOTF and CDC references, overall and across population subgroups. Agreement was similar when examining child obesity, particularly between the WHO and CDC references, overall and across population subgroups. Agreement between the other references was more variable across subgroups (Table II).

We then examined estimates for underweight, overweight, and obesity prevalence obtained using the 3 references. Overall, there was a notable prevalence of underweight, and a high prevalence of overweight and obesity in this population, according to all 3 references. Estimates for underweight prevalence varied widely between the 3 references. Estimates for overweight prevalence were similar when using the IOTF and the CDC references, but estimates using the WHO reference were markedly higher. Obesity prevalence was also highest when using the WHO reference and comparable with estimates using the CDC reference, while prevalence estimates using the IOTF reference were notably lower (Figure; available at www.jpeds.com, Tables IV-VI).

We also observed differences in the distribution of child underweight across population subgroups when using the 3 references. Although underweight prevalence was marginally higher among boys vs girls when using the WHO and CDC references, it was notably lower among boys when using the IOTF reference. When using the WHO reference, underweight prevalence was slightly lower among children aged 10-14 and 15-19 years vs those aged 6-9 years, but when using both IOTF and CDC references, it was markedly lower in children aged 10-14 years (Table IV). In contrast, there was greater consistency in the distribution of overweight and obesity across population subgroups when using any of the 3 references. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was highest among boys and children of Chinese ethnicity, and lowest among children aged 15-18 years and children of Indian ethnicity (Tables V and VI).
agreement between references when classifying child overweight or obesity, prevalence estimates were consistently higher for both when using the WHO reference, and lower for obesity when using the IOTF reference. There was poor to moderate agreement between the references when classifying child underweight, and prevalence estimates varied notably across all three. Our results suggest that the choice of BMI reference may notably influence the decision to offer clinical advice or management, and estimates regarding the health resources required to address malnutrition, in this and similar populations in low- and middle-income countries.

Our results are consistent with the double burden of malnutrition in this Malaysian population. Although underweight and stunting have not been the focus of recent research, overweight and obesity among children is gaining recognition as a public health issue in Malaysia. However, there has been little consistency in the use of references and cutoffs in child malnutrition research and clinical practice guidelines in the country. Our findings highlight the need for the consistent use of at least 1 reference and associated cutoffs across guidelines and studies to allow for streamlined clinical action and facilitate meaningful comparisons of malnutrition prevalence estimates across studies.

Most studies to date have not systematically compared both undernutrition and overnutrition classification using the 3 international references examined here. Some have compared older references previously recommended by the WHO and IOTF, many have assessed the WHO growth standards in children aged 0-5 years, including measures such as weight-for-height, and yet others have also explored the comparability of locally constructed BMI references. Much of this evidence is based on European and American populations, and research on the current references in Asian populations of wide age ranges has been limited. Certain studies examining the references compared here have reported broadly similar patterns: agreement was greater between the IOTF and CDC references, the IOTF reference underestimated obesity prevalence compared with the other 2, and overweight and obesity prevalence was generally higher and underweight prevalence lower when using the WHO reference. Our study provides support for these trends and additionally suggests that differences between references in assessing BMI status are not always consistent between demographic subgroups.

An understanding of the comparability of the 3 anthropometric references is important in the context of their inherent limitations. Unlike adult anthropometric cut-offs, which are based on mortality or disease outcomes, cut-offs for children’s anthropometric references are defined statistically (ie, based on deviation from the mean). Furthermore, the WHO and CDC references are based exclusively on data from children in the US, with no other populations represented. Finally, these references describe BMI distribution across age in all children from general populations, regardless of health status. Only the widely adopted WHO 2006 child growth standards provide prescriptive standards of how BMI should change in healthy children aged 0-5 years. These were based on the Multicenter Growth Reference Study, which found growth among healthy children in unconstrained environments to be very similar across 6 diverse populations.

In light of such limitations, multiple studies, including 1 from Malaysia, have reported the construction of anthropometric references based upon data collected from local populations. Such studies argue that a universal reference cannot be applied to children from different populations because their growth patterns are too distinct. Yet, to our knowledge, there is no definitive evidence to support this claim. Results from the Multicenter Growth Reference Study imply the contrary for children less than 5 years of age, and there is little evidence on the comparability of growth patterns of healthy older children living in unconstrained environments across diverse populations. Assessing the suitability of population-specific vs international references is difficult because there is no gold standard to compare against, and limited research has explored the utility of these references in predicting adverse outcomes in adulthood. Methodologically, locally developed references may not always be constructed with as much rigor and/or statistical power as international references.

Regardless, consensus on a single international reference is required to allow for accurate comparison of child malnutrition burden across studies worldwide. Among younger children, the WHO 2006 standards are suitable for this age. For older children, our results and other published data are less conclusive about the superiority of any one international reference. Apart from initial consensus on a single reference at present, longitudinal research examining adverse outcomes in adulthood is needed to assess the comparative predictive utility of these references among older children in diverse populations. Given the recognition that measurement of optimal growth and development of prescriptive universal standards may be logistically highly difficult for older children, such research is essential to inform the identification of the most suitable reference to effectively monitor malnutrition, or to clearly establish the potential need for improved references for this age group.

Importantly, the clinical diagnosis of malnutrition in a child should take into account other relevant factors additional to anthropometry, such as body composition, other signs of clinical undernutrition, or potential genetic syndromes. Similarly, high-level decisions to adopt a particular reference should be based on consultation with appropriate clinical, public health, and other experts, keeping in mind both setting-specific aspects and the general issues considered here. Despite standardization and training of staff in this study, the potential for measurement error remains because instruments were not calibrated during the survey. This may have some implications in terms of misclassification of anthropometry but is not expected to affect the relative differences and measures of agreement between references. Moreover, although patterns observed here were similar to previous evidence, our exact findings may not be fully generalizable to other populations. Nonetheless, our findings highlight the need for a better-informed, harmonized approach to
assessing anthropometric status among older children across populations.

To conclude, we observed notable differences between 3 international BMI references in the classification of child underweight, overweight, and obesity, and subsequent estimates of prevalence. Our results indicate the need for the universal use of at least 1 reference to ensure comparability across populations, and for further longitudinal studies to assess the comparative ability of both international and local references to predict the future risk of cardiometabolic and other outcomes. Clearer consensus on the use of anthropometric references to measure malnutrition will be important to inform and guide global initiatives which drive national policy, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.97

References
1. UNICEF, ASEAN. Regional report on nutrition security in ASEAN, Vol. II. Bangkok: UNICEF EAPRO; 2016.
2. WHO. Action plan to reduce the double burden of malnutrition in the western pacific region (2015–2020). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
3. Cheah WL, Wan Muda WA, Mohd Hussin ZA, Thon CC. Factors associated with undernutrition among children in a rural district of Kelantan, Malaysia. Asia Pac J Public Health 2012;24:330-42.
4. Naidu BM, Mahmud SZ, Ambak R, Sallehuddin SM, Mutalip HA, Saari I, et al. Overweight among primary school-age children in Malaysia. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2013;22:408-15.
5. Sumarni Mohd G, Muhammad Amir K, Ibrahim Md S, Mohd Rodi I, Izzuna Mudla MG, Nurziyani I. Obesity among schoolchildren in Kuala Selangor: a cross-sectional study. Trop Biomed 2006;23:148-54.
6. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014;384:766-81.
7. UNICEF, ASEAN. Regional report on nutrition security in ASEAN, Vol. I. Bangkok: UNICEF EAPRO; 2014.
8. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. Nutr Rev 2012;70:3-21.
9. Bhargava SK, Sachdev HS, Fall CH, Osmond C, Lakshmy R, Barker DJ, et al. Relation of serial changes in childhood body-mass index to impaired glucose tolerance in young adulthood. N Engl J Med 2004;350:865-75.
10. de Onis M, Martinez-Costa C, Nunez F, Nguefack-Tsague G, Montal A, Brines J. Association between WHO cut-offs for childhood overweight and obesity and cardiometabolic risk. Public Health Nutr 2013;16:625-30.
11. Lobstein T, Baur L, Uauy R. Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health. Obes Rev 2004;5(Suppl 1):4-104.
12. Norris SA, Osmund C, Gigante D, Kuzawa CW, Ramakrishnan L, Lee NR, et al. Size at birth, weight gain in infancy and childhood, and adult diabetes risk in five low- or middle-income country birth cohorts. Diabetes Care 2012;35:72-9.
13. Kuzawa CW, Hallal PC, Adair L, Bhargava SK, Fall CH, Lee N, et al. Birth weight, postnatal weight gain, and adult body composition in five low and middle income countries. Am J Hum Biol 2012;24:5-13.
14. de Onis M, Onyango A, Borghi E, Siyam A, Blossner M, Lutter C. World-wide implementation of the WHO Child Growth Standards. Public Health Nutr 2012;15:1603-10.
15. Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. Pediatr Obes 2012;7:284-94.
16. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85:660-7.
17. Kuczynski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, et al. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. Vital Health Stat 11 2002:1-190.
18. Borghi E, De Onis M, Garza C, Van den Broeck J, Frongillo E, Grummer-Strawn L, et al. Construction of the World Health Organization child growth standards: selection of methods for attained growth curves. Stat Med 2006;25:247-65.
19. Garg P, Kaur S, Gupta D, Osmond C, Lakshmy R, Sinha S, et al. Variability of thinness and its relation to cardio-metabolic risk factors using four body mass index references in school-children from Delhi, India. Indian Pediatr 2013;50:1025-32.
20. Khadilkar VV, Khadilkar AV, Cole TJ, Chiplonkar SA, Pandit D. Overweight and obesity prevalence and body mass index trends in Indian children. Int J Pediatr Obes 2011;6:e216-24.
21. Ko GTC, Ozaki K, Wong GWK, Kong APS, So W-Y, Tong PCY, et al. The problem of obesity among adolescents in Hong Kong: a comparison using various diagnostic criteria. BMC Pediatr 2008;8:10.
22. Mathur MU, Gull S, Musttak K, Abdullah HM, Khurshid U, Shahid U, et al. Height, weight and BMI percentiles and nutritional status relative to the international growth references among Pakistani school-aged children. BMC Pediatr 2012;12:31.
23. Stigler MH, Arora M, Dhavan P, Tripathy V, Shrivastav R, Reddy KS, et al. Measuring obesity among school-aged youth in India: a comparison of three growth references. Indian Pediatr 2011;48:105-10.
24. Alloete P, Reidpath DD, Devarajan K, Rajagobal K, Yasirn S, Arunachalam D, et al. Cohorts and community: a case study of community engagement in the establishment of a health and demographic surveillance site in Malaysia. Glob Health Action 2014;7:10.3402/gha.v7i21376.
25. WHO. The STEPS instrument and support materials. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 http://www.who.int/chp/steps/instrument/en/.
26. Vidmar SI, Cole TJ, Pan H. Standardizing anthropometric measures in children and adolescents with functions for egen: update. Stat J 2013;13:366-78.
27. WHO. Growth reference 5-19 years - application tools. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 http://www.who.int/growthref/tools/en/.
28. CDC. Overview of the CDC growth charts. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control; 2015 https://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpa/growthcharts/training/modules/module2/text/module2print.pdf.
29. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med 2012;22:276-82.
30. Bong Y, Shariff AA, Mohammed AM, Merican AF. Malaysian growth references to measure malnutrition will be important to inform and guide global initiatives which drive national policy, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.97

The Use of Different International References to Assess Child Anthropometric Status in a Malaysian Population 67
37. Twells LK, Newhook LA. Obesity prevalence estimates in a Canadian regional population of preschool children using variant growth references. BMC Pediatr 2011;11:21.

38. Maalouf-Manasseh Z, Metallinos-Katsaras E, Dewey KG. Obesity in preschool children is more prevalent and identified at a younger age when WHO growth charts are used compared with CDC charts. J Nutr 2011;141:1154-8.

39. Padula G, Seoane AI, Salceda SA. Variations in estimates of underweight, stunting, wasting, overweight and obesity in children from Argentina comparing three growth charts. Public Health Nutr 2012;15:2086-90.

40. Rifas-Shiman SL, Gillman MW, Oken E, Kleinman K, Taveras EM. Similarity of the CDC and WHO weight-for-length growth charts in predicting risk of obesity at age 5 years. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2012;20:1261-5.

41. Shields M, Tremblay MS. Canadian childhood obesity estimates based on WHO, IOTF and CDC cut-points. Int J Pediatr Obes 2010;5:265-73.

42. Yang Z, Duan Y, Ma G, Yang X, Yin S. Comparison of the China growth charts with the WHO growth standards in assessing malnutrition of children. BMJ Open 2015;5:e006107.

43. Baya Botti A, Perez-Cueto FJ, Vasquez Monllor PA, Kolsteren PW. International BMI-for-age references underestimate thinness and overestimate overweight and obesity in Bolivian adolescents. Nutr Hosp 2010;25:428-36.

44. Ma J, Wang Z, Song Y, Hu P, Zhang B. BMI percentile curves for Chinese children aged 7-18 years, in comparison with the WHO and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention references. Public Health Nutr 2010;13:1990-6.

45. Li L, Pinot de Moira A, Power C. Predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors in midadulthood from childhood body mass index: utility of different cutoffs for childhood body mass index. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;93:1204-11.

46. Kovalskys I, Rausch Herscovici C, De Gregorio MJ. Nutritional status of school-aged children of Buenos Aires, Argentina: data using three references. J Public Health (Oxf) 2011;33:403-11.

47. Ahn Y, Choi S, Sohn M. Adiposity of Korean school-age children measured by national and international growth charts. Res Nurs Health 2013;36:16-25.

48. Bovet P, Kizirian N, Madeleine G, Blossner M, Chiolero A. Prevalence of thinness in children and adolescents in the Seychelles: comparison of two international growth references. Nutr J 2011;10:65.

49. Meyer E, Carrillo R, Roman EM, Bejarano IF, Dipierri JE. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in students from different altitudinal zones of Jujuy according to three international references (IOTF, CDC and WHO). Arch Argent Pediatr 2013;111:516-22.

50. de Onis M, Garza C, Victora CG, Onyango AW, Frongillo EA, Martines J. The WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study: planning, study design, and methodology. Food Nutr Bull 2004;25:155-265.

51. Khadilkar VV, Khadilkar AV. Revised Indian Academy of Pediatrics 2015 growth charts for height, weight and body mass index for 5-18-year-old Indian children. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2015;19:470-6.

52. Sachdev HP, Osmond C, Fall CH, Lakshmy R, Ramji S, Dey Biswas SK, et al. Predicting adult metabolic syndrome from childhood body mass index: follow-up of the New Delhi birth cohort. Arch Dis Child 2009;94:768-74.

53. Garza C, de Onis M. Rationale for developing a new international growth reference. Food Nutr Bull 2004;25:55-14.

54. de Onis M, Lobstein T. Defining obesity risk status in the general childhood population: which cut-offs should we use? Int J Pediatr Obes 2010;5:458-60.

55. Butte NE, Garza C, de Onis M. Evaluation of the feasibility of international growth standards for school-aged children and adolescents. Food Nutr Bull 2006;27:S169-74.

56. Barendregt K, Soeters PB, Allison SP, Kondrup J. Basic concepts in nutrition: diagnosis of malnutrition; screening and assessment. ESPEN J 2008;3:e121-5.

57. WHO. Report of the commission on ending childhood obesity. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
Figure. Overall prevalence of underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity among children in Segamat, Malaysia according to 3 international references: CDC reference, IOTF reference, and WHO reference.

Table II. Relative classification of child BMI in accordance with 3 international BMI references (N = 6414)

|                  | IOTF          |                | CDC          |                |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                  | Underweight   | Normal         | Overweight   | Obese         |
| WHO              |               |                |              |                |
| Underweight, n (%) | 373 (100.0)   | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)        |
| Normal, n (%)     | 551 (13.7)    | 3479 (86.3)    | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)        |
| Overweight, n (%) | 0 (0.0)       | 308 (28.5)     | 772 (71.5)   | 0 (0.0)        |
| Obese, n (%)      | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)        | 264 (28.4)   | 667 (71.6)     |
|                  |               |                |              |                |
| IOTF             |               |                |              |                |
| Underweight, n (%) | 600 (64.9)    | 324 (35.1)     | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)        |
| Normal, n (%)     | 0 (0.0)       | 3721 (98.3)    | 66 (1.7)     | 0 (0.0)        |
| Overweight, n (%) | 0 (0.0)       | 42 (4.1)       | 767 (74.0)   | 227 (21.9)     |
| Obese, n (%)      | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)      | 667 (100.0)    |

Table III. Agreement between international references in classification of underweight, overweight, and obesity

|                  | Underweight |                | Overweight |                | Obese |                |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
|                  | WHO-IOTF    | WHO-CDC        | IOTF-CDC   | WHO-IOTF       | WHO-CDC | IOTF-CDC       |
| Overall          | 0.54        | 0.75           | 0.76       | 0.88           | 0.89   | 0.96           |
| Sex              |             |                |            |                |        |                |
| Male             | 0.60        | 0.75           | 0.83       | 0.87           | 0.89   | 0.94           |
| Female           | 0.49        | 0.75           | 0.70       | 0.90           | 0.89   | 0.97           |
| Age (y)          |             |                |            |                |        |                |
| 6-9              | 0.56        | 0.74           | 0.80       | 0.86           | 0.93   | 0.93           |
| 10-14            | 0.58        | 0.82           | 0.75       | 0.86           | 0.87   | 0.98           |
| 15-18            | 0.48        | 0.70           | 0.73       | 0.94           | 0.89   | 0.95           |
| Ethnicity        |             |                |            |                |        |                |
| Malay            | 0.55        | 0.77           | 0.76       | 0.88           | 0.90   | 0.96           |
| Indian           | 0.56        | 0.74           | 0.80       | 0.90           | 0.89   | 0.96           |
| Chinese          | 0.45        | 0.70           | 0.70       | 0.88           | 0.89   | 0.94           |
| Bumiputera/Orang Asli | 0.44 | 0.55  | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.92 |
| Other            | 0.36        | 0.58           | 0.70       | 0.81           | 0.81   | 1.00           |

Agreement was calculated using the Cohen kappa coefficient.