Haplotype frequencies of 17 Y-chromosomal short tandem repeat loci from the Cukurova region of Turkey

Aim To investigate the distribution of 17 Y-short tandem repeat (STR) loci in the population of the Cukurova region of Turkey.

Methods In the period between 2009 and 2010, we investigated the distribution of 17 Y-STRs in a sample of 249 unrelated healthy men from the Cukurova region of Turkey. Genomic DNA was extracted with InstaGene matrix and Y-STRs were determined using the AmpFISTR Yfiler PCR amplification kit. Gene and haplotype diversity values were estimated using the Arlequin software. To compare our data to other populations, population pairwise genetic distances and associated probability values were calculated using the Y Chromosome Haplotype Reference Database Web site software.

Results At 17 Y-STR loci we detected 148 alleles. The lowest gene diversity in this region was 0.51 for DYS391 and the highest 0.95 for DYS385a/b. Haplotype diversity was 0.9997 ± 0.0004. We compared our data with haplotype data of other Turkish populations and no significant differences were found, except with Ankara population (Φst = 0.025, P = 0.018). Comparisons were also made with the neighboring populations using analysis of molecular variance of the Y-STR loci genetic structure and our population was nearest to Lenkoran-Azerbaijani (Φst = 0.012, P = 0.068) and Iranian Ahvaz population (Φst = 0.007, P = 0.173), followed by Greek (Φst = 0.026, P = 0.000) and Russian (Φst = 0.048, P = 0.000) population. Other countries like Portugal, Spain, Italy, Egypt, Israel (Palestinian Authority Area), and Taiwan showed a high genetic distance from our population.

Conclusion Our study showed that Y-STR polymorphisms were a powerful discrimination tool for routine forensic applications and could be used in genealogical investigations.
Human Y chromosome short tandem repeats (Y-STR) are tandemly repeated regions of 2-7 base pair units in the non-recombining region of human Y chromosome. Since human Y-STR markers are inherited without recombination, they are transmitted from father to son unchanged. This makes the study of Y chromosome polymorphisms very useful, especially in population histories, forensic applications, and paternity analysis (1,2).

The haplotype frequency of Y-STRs is important in the calculation of match probability in forensic cases. It is also important in anthropology and phylogenetic studies (1-5).

**TABLE 1. Allele frequencies and gene diversities of the 17 Y- short tandem repeat loci in 249 samples from Turkish men**

| Allele | DYS19 | DYS389I | DYS389Ii | DYS390 | DYS391 | DYS392 | DYS393 | DYS438 | DYS439 | DYS437 | DYS448 | DYS456 | DYS458 | DYS635 | GATAH4 | Alleles DYS385 |
|--------|-------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|
| 9      | 0.060 | 0.273   | 0.004    | 0.020  | 9, 11  | 0.004  |
| 10     | 0.634 | 0.028   | 0.434    | 0.112  | 0.056  | 9,15   | 0.004  |
| 11     | 0.004 | 0.297   | 0.635    | 0.020  | 0.145  | 0.418  | 0.450  | 0.917  | 0.004  |
| 12     | 0.008 | 0.209   | 0.040    | 0.522  | 0.145  | 0.357  | 0.325  | 0.104  | 0.004  |
| 12,2   | 0.004 |         |          |        | 0.004  |         |        |        |        |
| 13     | 0.096 | 0.582   | 0.201    | 0.329  | 0.004  | 0.104  | 0.016  | 0.020  | 0.141  | 0.112  | 0.004  |
| 14     | 0.522 | 0.221   | 0.072    | 0.092  | 0.004  | 0.530  | 0.144  | 0.028  | 0.008  | 0.113  | 0.010  |
| 15     | 0.233 | 0.004   | 0.016    | 0.032  | 0.329  | 0.538  | 0.205  |         |        |        |        |
| 15,16  | 0.004 |         |          |        | 11,14  | 0.149  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 16     | 0.104 | 0.004   | 0.004    | 0.137  | 0.213  | 0.233  | 0.119  | 0.008  |        |        |        |
| 16,2   | 0.004 |         |          |        | 11,20  | 0.004  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 17     | 0.036 | 0.004   | 0.004    | 0.004  | 0.004  | 0.036  | 0.040  | 0.008  | 0.068  | 0.123  | 0.032  |
| 17,2   | 0.004 | 0.036   |          |        |        |        | 0.123  | 0.032  |        |        |        |
| 18     | 0.040 | 0.357   | 0.036    | 0.129  | 0.068  | 0.012  | 0.125  | 0.040  |        |        |        |
| 18,2   | 0.068 | 0.024   |          |        |        |        | 0.126  | 0.032  |        |        |        |
| 19     | 0.382 | 0.382   | 0.004    | 0.104  | 0.205  | 0.110  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 20     | 0.020 |         |          |        | 0.128  | 0.020  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 20,2   | 0.116 | 0.012   |          |        | 0.137  | 0.036  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 21     | 0.036 | 0.357   | 0.004    | 0.305  | 0.169  | 0.004  |        |        | 0.129  | 0.008  |        |
| 22     | 0.057 | 0.328   | 0.028    | 0.205  | 0.008  | 0.277  | 0.008  |        | 0.120  | 0.004  |        |
| 23     | 0.261 | 0.004   | 0.004    | 0.060  | 0.004  | 0.004  |        |        |        |        | 0.064  |
| null   | 0.044 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 0.135  | 0.036  |        |
| 25     | 0.116 | 0.012   |          |        | 0.137  | 0.036  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 26     | 0.036 | 0.012   |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 27     | 0.028 |         |          |        | 0.137  | 0.036  |        |        |        |        |        |
| 28     | 0.080 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 29     | 0.357 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 30     | 0.341 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 31     | 0.017 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 32     | 0.032 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 33     | 0.004 |         |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |

**Gene diversity**

| 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.59 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 0.95 |
Y chromosome haplotypes from global populations are stored in the Y Chromosome Haplotype Reference Database (YHRD) (www.yhrd.org). The International Society of Forensic Genetics recommended the use of regional Y-STR haplotype databases to verify that no population sub-structure exists before pooling data from different regions (3). Since there is a lack of Y-STR data on Turkish population in the eastern Mediterranean area, we analyzed 249 unrelated Turkish men using 17 Y-STR markers including DYS19, DYS389I, DYS389II, DYS390, DYS391, DYS392, DYS393, DYS385a/b, DYS438, DYS439, DYS437, DYS448, DYS456, DYS458, DYS635, and Y-GATA H4 from the Cukurova region in the eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Blood samples were collected from 249 unrelated healthy and voluntary donors from the Cukurova region of Turkey. We obtained the samples from the Balcali Hospital staff and from the casework in our forensic laboratory. Genomic DNA was extracted from 6-µL whole blood samples by using recommended protocol with InstaGene matrix (Bio-Rad, Alfred Nobel Dr, Hercules, CA, USA) or Qiagen DNA micro kit (Valencia, CA, USA). Polymerase chain reaction amplification was performed following manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The loci DYS19, DYS389I, DYS389II, DYS390, DYS391, DYS392, DYS393, DYS385a/b, DYS438, DYS439, DYS437, DYS448, DYS456, DYS458, DYS635, and Y-GATA H4 were analyzed using the AmpFISTR Y-filer™ kit (Applied Biosystems). These amplification reactions were performed using a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems). The ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) was used for genetic typing. Allele designations were based on the comparison with the allelic ladders included in the AmpFISTR Y-filer™ kit (Applied Biosystems), using the Gene Mapper software, version 3.2.

Blind testing and evaluation was performed according to the quality assessment scheme provided by the YHRD. After a successful certification, the data from this study were submitted to YHRD, and YA003668 accession number was received. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Cukurova, Faculty of Medicine.

Statistical analysis

Gene and haplotype diversity values were estimated using the Arlequin software (6,7). In order to examine the relationship of the studied population and the neighboring populations, population pair-wise genetic distances (Φst) and associated probability values (P values) were calculated using analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), with an online YHRD tool (8). Pair-wise genetic distance is an analogue of the commonly used Fst that measures the evolutionary distance between individual haplotypes, defined as Φst = (nt-ns)/nt, where nt is the nucleotide sequence diversity across the entire set of populations and ns is the average nucleotide sequence diversity within populations (9,10). To test for significance, P values were calculated (10 000 permutations). The DYS385 marker was not included in the population comparisons, because two alleles were not assigned to the individual locus (ie, DYS385a or DYS385b). Significance level was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

We detected 148 alleles at the 17 Y-STR loci in 249 samples. Using the present set of Y-STR markers, 240 different haplotypes were identified, 232 of which were unique and 7 were found in 14 individuals (each was found in 2 individuals) and 1 was found in 3 individuals. The observed haplotype diversity was 0.9997 ± 0.0004.

The most polymorphic marker was DYS385a/b with a genetic diversity (GD) value of 0.95 and the least polymorphic was DYS391 with a GD value of 0.51. Apart from DYS385, the loci DYS458, DYS635, and DYS456 showed the highest GD values. Different loci had a different number of alleles, with the most recorded alleles at DYS458.

A number of intermediate alleles was seen at DYS458 – 12.2, 16.2, 17.2, 18.2, 19.2, and 20.2. The frequency of intermediate alleles at DYS458 was 16.8% of the samples. Only one duplicate allele (allele 15 and allele 16) was observed at DYS19 locus. One null allele and one intermediate allele (17.2) were observed at DYS448 (Table 1).

Due to the limited number of markers reported in other publicly available Turkish population databases, the comparative analysis was performed with a minimal European Y-STR haplotype comprising 7 loci – DYS19, DYS389I, DYS389II, DYS390, DYS391, DYS392, DYS393. Three groups of Turkish men: from Germany, Bulgaria, and Ankara (Turkey) were available for comparison. When these data were compared using AMOVA with each other and with our results from the Cukurova region, there was no significant difference among the results from Bulgaria, Germany, and the Cukurova region. When they were com-
pared to the results from the Ankara region, there was a significant difference between Ankara population and the groups from Bulgaria ($\Phi_{st} = 0.033$, $P = 0.025$) and the Cukurova region ($\Phi_{st} = 0.025$, $P = 0.018$) (Table 2) (11-13).

Our data were compared with the data for Russia, Egypt, Portugal, Israel (Palestinian Authority Area), Italy, Spain, Iran (Lenkoran-Azerbaijani-North Talysh), Greece, and Taiwan, for which the same set of Y-STR loci was available (8,14-21). Among the neighboring countries, Turkish population samples were nearer to Lenkoran-Azerbaijani ($\Phi_{st} = 0.012$, $P = 0.068$) and Iranian-Ahvaz ($\Phi_{st} = 0.007$, $P = 0.173$) than Greek ($\Phi_{st} = 0.026$, $P = 0.000$) and Russian ($\Phi_{st} = 0.048$, $P = 0.000$) population samples. A high genetic distance was observed between our population and other geographically more distant countries like Portugal, Spain, Italy, Egypt, Israel (Palestinian Authority Area), and Taiwan. Egypt ($\Phi_{st} = 0.055$, $P = 0.034$) was closer than Spain ($\Phi_{st} = 0.074$, $P = 0.000$), Portugal ($\Phi_{st} = 0.069$, $P = 0.000$), Italy ($\Phi_{st} = 0.095$, $P = 0.000$), Israel ($\Phi_{st} = 0.089$, $P = 0.000$), and Taiwan ($\Phi_{st} = 0.375$, $P = 0.00$) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis of genetic polymorphisms of 17 Y-STR loci in the Cukurova population showed a high degree of haplotype diversity in the Cukurova population. It also found DYS385 to be one of the most informative markers.

In the last fifteen years, genetic structure of Y chromosome has been determined in several countries (14-24). A few studies including 7, 9, or 11 Y-STRs were also conducted in Turkey (13,25-27). However, our study presents the first population data for 17 Y-STR loci in eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey.

It seems more complex to construct a Y-STR database than that of unlinked autosomal markers, since the whole haplotype must be a type for each sample. The practical value of Y-STR databases will be greatly increased with typing of each individual at as many loci as possible, as opposed to typing a great number of individuals at a small number of loci. In order to increase the discrimination power of Y chromosome haplotypes, an increased number of Y-STRs is used. Our results are important since we examined a large number of loci and had a big sample size. Such information is of crucial importance for genetic epidemiology and population genetic purposes (28,29).

The most striking locus in our population data was DYS458, at which a number of intermediate alleles were observed. Intermediate alleles of DYS458 were most frequently found in Northern and the Eastern Africa and the Caucasus (24,30,31). They have been reported to be less common in

### TABLE 2. Analysis of molecular variance pairwise distance based on $\Phi_{st}$ values between the Turkish population samples*

| Population          | Ankara, Turkey | Bulgaria (Turks) | Germany (Turks) | Cukurova, Turkey |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Ankara, Turkey      |                | 0.028†           | 0.139           | 0.018†           |
| Bulgaria (Turk)     | 0.033          |                 | 0.541           | 0.469            |
| Germany (Turks)     | 0.009 -0.002   | -                | 0.460           |                  |
| Cukurova, Turkey    | 0.025 -0.001   | -0.000           |                 |                  |

*P values are shown above and $\Phi_{st}$ values below the diagonal.
†The level of significance is $P < 0.05$.

### TABLE 3. Analysis of molecular variance pairwise distance based on $\Phi_{st}$ values between Cukurova (Turkey) and 10 other populations**

| Population samples          | Ahvaz, Iran | Alpujarra de la Sierra, Spain | Archangelsk, Russian Federation | Aswan, Egypt | Central Portugal, Portugal | Palestinian Authority Area, Israel, Azerbaijan | Lenkoran, Modena, Italy | Northern Greece, Greece | Taiwan | Cukurova, Turkey (Turkish) |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| Ahvaz, Iran                 | -          | 0.000                       | 0.000                         | 0.049       | 0.000                    | 0.000                                         | 0.104                  | 0.000                  | 0.173  |                       |
| Alpujarra de la Sierra, Spain| 0.139      | -                           | 0.001                         | 0.527       | 0.000                    | 0.000                                         | 0.433                  | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 0.000                  |
| Archangelsk, Russian Federation| 0.080      | 0.111                       | -                             | 0.001       | 0.000                    | 0.000                                         | 0.000                  | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 0.000                  |
| Aswan, Egypt                | 0.049      | 0.112                       | 0.173                         | -           | 0.009                    | 0.018                                         | 0.174                  | 0.005                  | 0.006  | 0.034                  |
| Central Portugal, Portugal  | 0.130      | -0.002                      | 0.123                         | 0.094       | -                        | 0.000                                         | 0.016                  | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 0.000                  |
| Israel, Palestinian Authority Area | 0.049 | 0.168                       | 0.170                         | 0.074       | 0.166                    | -                                             | 0.000                  | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 0.000                  |
| Lenkoran, Azerbaijan        | 0.017      | 0.099                       | 0.125                         | 0.027       | 0.081                    | 0.090                                         | -                      | 0.000                  | 0.000  | 0.068                  |
| Modena, Italy               | 0.178      | -0.001                      | 0.142                         | 0.132       | 0.009                    | 0.217                                         | 0.114                  | -                      | 0.000  | 0.000                  |
| Northern Greece, Greece     | 0.024      | 0.137                       | 0.086                         | 0.079       | 0.152                    | 0.127                                         | 0.066                  | 0.159                  | -      | 0.000                  |
| Taiwan                      | 0.422      | 0.433                       | 0.479                         | 0.436       | 0.403                    | 0.469                                         | 0.359                  | 0.429                  | 0.427  | -                      |
| Cukurova, Turkey (Turkish)  | 0.007      | 0.074                       | 0.048                         | 0.055       | 0.069                    | 0.089                                         | 0.012                  | 0.095                  | 0.026  | 0.375                  |

*P values are shown above and $\Phi_{st}$ values below the diagonal.
†The level of significance is $P < 0.05$. 
Europe (8). One intermediate allele named 17.2 was also observed at DYS448. YHRD search confirms that this allele has been reported in only 2 individuals. Such partial repeat variants occur at low frequencies but may be useful for better understanding of diversity within the Y chromosome gene pool (30). This is considered particularly useful for evaluating regional Y-chromosome variation or migrations occurring in the recent past. Moreover, it increases the discrimination power of DNA evidence. Therefore, forensic community needs to share information on the occurrence of these variants.

We compared our results with 3 Turkish population groups (Bulgaria, Germany, and Ankara) (11-13). We could not make a full comparison because these populations had available data on fewer loci than our population. Therefore, we compared only minimal European Y-STR haplotype and found significant differences only when Ankara group was compared to samples from Bulgaria and the Cukurova region (8).

We also compared it to the neighboring populations by using 15 loci haplotypes. The greatest similarities were found with the Lenkoran-Azerbaijani and Iranian-Ahvaz population, followed by Russian and Greek population. This similarity may be a result of historical and demographic reasons. Lack of similarity with other neighbors may be a result of genetic differences and/or a small number of studied individuals. Significant differences were observed from nearly all populations from the Far East.

This study does not reflect the genetic structure of whole Turkey, which could be considered a limitation. Furthermore, the comparison with the other populations was based on the samples available in the YHRD, which were occasionally rather small and thus perhaps not representative of their entire populations. Further studies are needed to determine the genetic structure of all Turkish populations, so that these data can be used for forensic and genealogical investigation and to gain understanding of some of the major demographic and historical events in this region. However, the analysis of Y-STR polymorphisms in our population using Yfiler provides a powerful discrimination tool for routine forensic applications and may serve in genealogical investigations.
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