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ABSTRACT

The nuclear crisis of Democratic People’s Republic of Korea is difficult to be settle down in a peaceful way due to the negative effects of nuclear proliferation, unacceptable not only for the Northeast Asia but also for the international community. South Korea, United States of America, China, Japan, and Russia have clear different point of views on the resolution of North Korea’s nuclear matter. The expansion of nuclear weapons is directly becoming a high security risk in Northeast Asian region. Each state has different strategy how to tackle this issue and best policies that may cause change on Korean Peninsula. So, this research study gives the result that nuclear crisis of North Korea cannot be decided immediately under the mistrust and reservations. It will take a long duration, to overcome these deep-rooted reservations through talks, constancy and understanding. This study also highlights the strategies of South Korea (ROK), Japan, United States of America (USA) and China towards the crisis of North Korea’s nuclear weapons development program.
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Introduction

The North Korea’s nuclear crisis is hard to settle down in a peaceful manner due to the negative factors of nuclear expansion, not acceptable only for the Korean Peninsula but international community also don’t want to accept this nuclear proliferation. Democratic people’s Republic of Korea(DPRK), United States of America (U.S.A), South Korea, China, Japan, and Russia have different point of views on the settlement of Pyongyang’s nuclear crisis. In detail, according to the history bilateral and multilateral talks have small progress, which shows that U.S.A. and DPRK cannot fulfill the mistrust gap between them. So, this study conclude that this nuclear crisis cannot be settled until there is mistrust between countries since last fifty years, and also when these countries consider them as rivals of each other.
Although this mistrust will overcome through proper talks, negotiations and cooperation it is more realistic choice for both sides but still it will take a long time. Leadership changing in the DPRK, U.S.A., South Korea, China, and Japan, will provide an opportunity to related parties and open another chapter to end North Korean nuclear crisis. The North Korean nuclear crisis is the most difficult and unpredictable factor for Northeast Asia’s regional security. Now this issue is become a most highlighted matter in Asia pacific not only for Asia but also at world level everyone has focused on this issue. Now, as this crisis becoming continuously hot, one regularly asked question is: Why can’t China play their important role in this issue and take responsibility to stop North Korea’s nuclear program? China also hosted talks and play a role as mediator in nuclear crisis issue on the request of U.S.A. in 2003. As a mediator, China gave five principles of peaceful cooperation. On North Korean nuclear program, which has a direct threat to regional security, China strictly oppose nuclear program of North Korea.

As a mediator, China strongly requested to the North Korea to stop its nuclear program development as well other countries also requested. The agreement has main five principles as: every state has its territorial integrity and sovereignty so other countries should give respect to each other, no state take any aggressive action against other state, no interference in the internal matters of a state, all states are equal and prefer mutual benefits, and peaceful cooperation. But the main problem to agree on a mutual agreement is very hard between North Korea and U.S.A due to the deep mistrust between them. So, no agreement implemented effectively which is done during the years of negotiations. China faced very trouble to play a dual role in this crisis as a party of U.N. sanctions committee and also as mediator. The North Korea’s security concerns, China has no strong argument to convince this independent nation to stop its nuclear weapon program. The North Korea sees the U.S.A. as the source of threats to its security concerns while U.S don’t want to respond North Korea’s security reservations regarding him. As both sides reached at the stuck point, the North Korea took this chance and speed up its nuclear program and since 2006; they claim 6 nuclear tests and many missile tests.

In the same time, the United Nations’ Security Council has put up sanctions on Pyongyang, and then ROK (Republic of Korea) and U.S. carry out mutual military exercises to overcome the nuclear program pressure of North Korea. As a result, tensions between them are now at peak and talks are stopped, and this situation becoming more dangerous day by day. This is the reason that still no proper solution has been made till the date because the failure of agreements implementation which got through negotiations. China and other countries still focused on Korean Peninsula free from nuclear weapons.

It will be very good to safeguard regional stability and peace. China is the only country who stands for negotiations to put this matter on right track. DPRK and ROK are connected with each other geographically and both share their borders with
China. Any kind of military action or instability in that region will disturb the peace and security.

North Korean Nuclear Program and its Implications for Regional Security

North Korea's fifth atomic test flagged that it was a handy atomic state. Be that as it may, the North's atomic limit was not overstated. North Korea's denuclearization requires a reasonable evaluation of its atomic abilities influencing relations between South Korea and the provincial security arrange. Albeit North Korea's atomic weapons make it more hazardous and risky for the Korean Peninsula and Northeast Asia, the present soundness of ban between atomic forces holds on, including its effect on the aims, activities and choices of the two Koreas. The US non-legislative and non-administrative ROK approach must address North Korea's security concerns specifically by making measures solidify North Korea's atomic program. It will likewise feature the approaches of South Korea, China, Japan and the United States over the issue of North Korea's atomic program.

Implications for South Korea

After a few occurrences and emotional last exchanges, North Korea's atomic emergency is a long way from a tranquil arrangement. For whatever length of time that the atomic weapons program stays uncertain, the improvement of relations between the two Koreas cannot be ensured. In a circumstance in which North Korea and the United States are the principle players in atomic weapons arrangements, South Korea is attempting to adjust its approach amongst Pyongyang and Washington all together not to demonstrate a section (Khan, 2015). Glancing back at the administration of Kim Young-sam, one can perceive how North Korea's atomic weapons program is harming the connection between Korea. On the other hand, a few people trust that the connection between the two Koreas should constantly be cultivated, at any rate to avert pressures heightening on the Korean landmass. The contention is that, keeping in mind the end goal to lessen Washington's energetic position against Pyongyang and abstain from utilizing positive measures to determine the weapons program, relations between South Korea must be enhanced to solidify peace.

North Korea's atomic program restricted South Korea's atomic weapons program, a serene answer for the issue this and South Korea assume a key part in tending to the issue. So far, in any case, the execution has not been predictable with the underlying vision. This distinction is because of the administration their rule did not legitimately address the idea of the North Korean atomic issue. At the end of the day, the three standards plan to end an intense emergency, when, truth be told, the issue is between North Korea and the United States, requiring a more extensive approach, notwithstanding considering normalizing the United States-North Korea. Roh's three-advance system for peace and thriving expects to understand North Korea's atomic weapons program, bring durable tranquility on the Korean landmass, and inevitably construct a business focus for North East Asia. The three standards of the atomic weapons program have been proposed as a fleeting procedure to address
the atomic risk (Buszynski, 2013). The objective of this medium-term system is to bring durable tranquility on the Korean Peninsula, including activities to standardize relations amongst Pyongyang and Washington (Pyongyang and Tokyo) make another worldwide environment. The administration has defined the underlying objective of halting North Korea's atomic weapons program, and subsequent to achieving that objective, Roh wants to standardize relations between North Korea and the United States. Along these lines understanding long haul vision of tranquility on promontory. On a fundamental level, for the time being, center on halting North Korea's atomic weapons improvement for the time being, arrangements should center on making Pyongyang relinquish its weapons advancement. For this situation, the essential standard is to counteract North Korea's atomic improvement. Others, for example, settling peace and currently growing the part of Korea, are just conceivable ways to deal with accomplishing the rule.

After two positive moves by Pyongyang around President Roh's introduction (rocket dispatches and a North Korean military aircraft moving toward an American surveillance plane), He demanded tending to peace issues through discourse. The president's reaction to the US ask for to send troops to the war against Iraq, against solid resistance from urban associations in South Korea, was likewise proposed to facilitate the US position on the war atomic emergency. In any case, the administration's arrangement of peace settlement through discourse appears have debilitated since South Korea. Specifically, President Roh has concurred with US President Bush to take following stages to get ready for extra dangers from North Korea and to take into consideration the burden of approvals on North Korea Washington's extra power. Some contend that if the understanding comes to by the two pioneers at the US-South Korea summit is proportional to the United States. Helping as a feature of the three standards, it can likewise be accepted that in any future choice on military activity against Korea, Seoul should underwrite different US individuals notwithstanding stressing Afraid that since going to the summit, President Roh has surrendered once reliable place on the determination of peace under the South Korean-American union.

North Korea's atomic weapons program was engaged with trades and participation between South Korea at the summit, the legislature has an unmistakably unique position with its past compromise position. For instance, at the Fifth Korea-South Economic Cooperation Promotion Council, held soon after the summit, South Korea reacted to a North Korean reference on "calamity", by suggesting that it will break the transactions. This is likewise a reason for feedback, Roh sent a center level government official as opposed to a hotly anticipated groundbreaker to re-associate the Seoul-Shinuiju and Bo East. What's more, another oversight is that Roh did not issue an administration articulation on June 15, the commemoration of the 2001 North Korean summit (Manyin, 2014). Such occurrences were viewed as negative as the primary signs Roh is less keen on accommodating and collaborating with North Korea. A few people say President Roh's promise to compromise and collaboration has started to blur even before Korea-US. The
summit, when he acknowledged an uncommon prosecutor's investigation into assertions that the assets were exchanged to North Korea under the legislature of Kim Dae-jung. A year ago, when President Roh was called to veto the Special Prosecutor's Investigative Act, was affirmed by the National Assembly, he chose to support the Act in the wake of thinking about the political circumstance around them. Therefore, the significance of the between Korean summit and the Joint Declaration has been lessened once the uncommon examination affirms the affirmations.

The Kum kang tourism venture was restarted, and the social and social trades between the two Koreas were restarted, including occasions, for example, the fifteenth August Liberation Day. Specifically, the likelihood of tranquil determination of the atomic issue raised after the six-party talks in August demonstrated that the Roh government by and by favored keeping up and reinforcing relations with Pyongyang. Obviously, government-level talks have created more useful and harvest time results, trades and NGO collaboration than already. At the point when the North uncovered out of the blue that it had a mystery atomic program including uranium advancement, keeping Pyongyang from creating atomic weapons was not by any means the only issue. Initially, it included North Korea endeavoring to create atomic weapons and the United States was attempting to stem the ascent of atomic weapons. The issue, notwithstanding, is firmly connected with the redefinition of relations between North Korea and the United States in the post-Cold War period and, further, it includes realizing enduring tranquility on the Korean landmass (Jiyoon, 2013). Thus, the genuine aim was to make the United States secure the North Korean administration, and in the end to enhance US-North Korean relations.

Determination on the atomic issue ought to be first looked for in light of this acknowledgment. On the off chance that the current issue is essentially to square North Korea and end its atomic weapons program, the prompt arrangement is utilizing every single conceivable mean: authorizes, weight and activity of military. Nonetheless, as the genuine idea of this issue is at last about reclassifying relations and elements in Northeast Asia, a more far reaching approach is required. With this comprehension, the main guideline is to lead the North to destroy its atomic program. North Korea's renunciation of the program is an essential for enhancing North-South relations and presenting another peace arrange in Northeast Asia (O'Neil, 2013). It is the most essential, since there will be no perpetual standardization or tranquility on the Korean landmass as long as the atomic risk keeps going. Second, the standardization of relations and the presentation of a peace arrange in Northeast Asia ought to be looked for while tending to the atomic emergency. Some may contend that the peace administration can be accomplished regardless of whether the crumple of the North Korean administration or change of political power, however this is bothersome and additionally unimaginable.

A long haul approach might be to apply the administration's last crumple and fall, however in comparative circumstances a nation has define an alternate objective that once in a while accomplishes its strategy targets. Also, due to the
moral dilemma before the fall, new principal difficulties will surface as sever of relations between Korea or escalate strain on the Korean landmass. In this manner, it is inappropriate to endeavor to utilize the atomic issue to supplant the power structure or to focus on the administration's defeat (Jasanoff, 2009). On the off chance that the current atomic issue not just constrained North Korea to desert its weapons program yet additionally rethink relations between North Korea and the United States, the arrangement requires a large group of issues, for example, the acknowledgment of the North Korean administration Fairy, North Korea - United States relations and the presentation of long-standing peace in Northeast Asia. Third, political measures and methods for peace, instead of military activity, ought to be utilized to drive North Korea to end its atomic weapons program. Military activity or the most exceedingly bad situation, the war, could end the atomic weapons program, however would decimate all potential outcomes of typical or tranquil relations on the Korean landmass.

As indicated by a recent report, when North Korea's atomic weapons program initially showed up and the United States was thinking about military activity, it was assessed that inside 12 hours, in excess of 5,000 bombs would arrive in Seoul, and Within three months the losses would incorporate 490,000 Korean troops and 52,000 US troops, also nonmilitary personnel setbacks and property damage. Such expectations completely exhibit that a war isn't just unwanted, yet an inadmissible decision for Koreans living on the promontory (Shultz, 2007). Traditionalists in Korea and Washington most likely won't propose military activity as the principal arrangement. In any case, even direct weight on Pyongyang can make a durable impact and incite military activity.

Change of three principles and policies and capabilities as noted over, three standards address clashing atomic issues. Hence, all together for the Korean government to keep up a steady arrangement on North Korea's atomic weapons later on and effectively grow its part in such manner, the three existing standards should be changed. At the end of the day, the logical inconsistency that exists amongst prevention and serene determination must be killed. Rather, the objective ought to be keep North Korea from denying its atomic weapons program calmly. When growing the part of the Korean government is auxiliary to the atomic issue, It must be supplanted by "giving the force to normalizing relations between North Korea and the United States" in connection to the idea of North Korea's atomic weapons program. Furthermore, as noted over, this guideline appears fit the atomic issue. In a similar vein, the administration's tranquility and thriving strategy should be altered in its approach. In the initial step, the heading of the atomic issue should be set up, and the following stages will look to assemble a structure for enduring tranquility on the Korean landmass and to manufacture a monetary focus in the Northeast Asian (Hill C. R., 2013). Such an approach would finish the rationale Korea's relationship couldn't be enhanced, nor would it be conceivable to anticipate enduring peace without tending to North Korea's atomic weapons program. Therefore, the approach of peace and flourishing should be overhauled with a
specific end goal to locate a reasonable determination of the atomic issue, present a structure for long haul tranquility on the Korean promontory, and build up an inside and upper East Asia in the meantime.

This is plainly more powerful than the steady approach in which one stage will be settled before continuing to the following step. Only such amendments enable the Korean government to keep on seeking the relationship. The connection is amongst Korea and peace stalemate. South Korea was not permitted to effectively take an interest in settling North Korea's atomic emergency. In any case, if the issue is all the more extensively settled, including disassembling North Korea's atomic weapons program calmly and furthermore to enhance relations between North Korea and the United States, South Korea may play a more imperative and helpful part. On the off chance that strong activities are made at the same time to determine the atomic issue and enhance relations amongst Korea, and the vision of peace and thriving is plainly spread out, Seoul can assume a part. Bigger in managing is to North Korea's atomic weapons program (Santoro, 2015). While North Korea's atomic weapons program and its relations might be counterproductive, Korea must keep up a reliable approach and attempt to make an ethical cycle between the two. At exactly that point will it enhance the connection between the two Koreas adding to a tranquil arrangement? Indeed, even in case of an increased strain over the atomic issue, the ROK government must keep up a predictable position: working towards enhanced relations with North Korea, and going about as a middle person to decrease strife. What's more, if relations enhance between North Korea and the United States, the administration ought to urge Pyongyang to effectively take part in arrangements with Washington, by utilizing relations amongst Korea and reinforcing correspondence.

Japan

Currently Japan is not much influencing actor on Korean Peninsula. But its historical background enters it in influencing global powers (Mustafa, Bashir, & Liaqat, 2018). Since the finish of the Cold War, Japan has sought after a standardization of relations with the North with expectations of serving peace and soundness in the district and completion post-war settlement issues. Thus, North Korea appears concede that enhanced relations with Japan will be pivotal to resuscitating its fizzled economy. Accordingly, the standardization of Japan-North Korea propelled the motivating forces for the two sides, and standardization is viewed as a key to open up the north for the universal network and an awesome consolation to the surrendered its atomic program. Accordingly, the Japanese government has clarified that it won't enhance relations with North Korea without tending to the atomic issue and in addition the kidnapping issue by forbidding moves from Koreans in Japan (Bong, 2016). Furthermore, obstruct the North Korean ship entering the Japanese port. The energy that was worked by Pyongyang claims dispersed in the solid breezes of negative general assessment in Japan as atomic related strains spread crosswise over Northeast Asia. It has been accounted for that the study demonstrates that 70% of Japanese individuals bolster sanctions.
When looking all the more generally on the issue of North Korea, cautious thought must be given to one viewpoint other. North Korea's advancement of atomic and rocket weapons postures dependable dangers to Japan's security as well as to the peace and dependability of the locale, and the United States has supported Japan has gone up against more worldwide duties, Japan has worked tirelessly to enhance its military stance, to modernize its military, and to endeavor to extend its global part. To help this exertion, despite the fact that the "restrictive protection situated approach" (EDOP) required military powers unfit to perform until the point when the equipped assault started, Japan pushed the new laws of a progression of earnest laws, altering laws and reciprocal bargains, and notwithstanding updating the constitution in regards to Japan's duty inside the system of the US-Japan organization together, and all the more extensively in the UN exercises. In the present circumstance, Japan is not any more reluctant to settle on intense strategy decisions for the North. Japan is collaborating with the US to additionally improve the cooperation of the PSI and rocket resistance, while likewise upholding one-sided assents to weight more grounded against North Korea to become an ordinary state. Leader Yasuo Fukuda said he would surrender antagonistic approaches against North Korea prior because of his ancestor, Abe (Bong, 2016). In a discourse to the strategy his first in Congress October 1, 2007, Fukuda stated: "In accordance with endeavors to restore the hijackers Japan, I will do my best to determine verifiable question and normalizing relations with North Korea. While a few investigators say Japan can at last control the pace of its approach toward North Korea, Some Korean media additionally revealed that the Fukuda government would expand monetary authorizations, which terminate on October 13, 2007.

United States of America

The exposure in September 2004 of uranium enhancement tests like the Korean bomb and plutonium-based atomic research in the mid-1980s added to this worry. Japan is believed to be equipped for creating atomic weapons rapidly and effortlessly on the off chance that it does as such. Both these activities will essentially diminish the security of the United States (KIM, 2016). The Bush organization, or the following majority rule government, is by all accounts partitioned on the best way to accomplish these objectives, with one gathering backing talks went for molding North Korea's conduct and another promotion gathering. Forestall and debilitate the administration crumple.

The choices are underneath give choices in which the components of a few systems can be consolidated. How extending US cooperation could keep North Korea from supporting fear based oppression? Examiners bolster the commitment arrangement that "US exchange pioneers can assemble a coalition of countries. Open markets are essential to creating nations, huge numbers of which they are delicate. In view of the global economy to conquer neediness and make openings; Analysts say that monetary change enables fascism to stay legitimate according to the general population since it is viewed as conveying expanded thriving to the country. After
some time, the focused weights innate in the progression of the economy prompt expanded joining with free enterprise. Reform and monetary transparency make financial change without real progression (Glosserman, 2015). To put it plainly, yet they additionally lessen the social protection from change, purchase Beijing Juche belief system can purchase Kim Jong-un mode more opportunity to adjust showcase opening pace and framework Its governmental issues, however in the end opening up the economy will gradually move North Korea towards more positive by the powers of the market. North Korea’s primary security concern was a US military strike expected to change the administration.

Authorizations appear to have influenced North Korean universal exchange and honest to goodness trade. Because Executive Order 13382 targets WMD descendants and their supporters, the assents focus of The United States is available to translation by the official branch of the United States. Military advocates of this alternative bring up three primary reasons why hard power is the correct strategy of interest in North Korea. Initially, North Korea’s financial or calming commitment puts a visually impaired eye on North Korea’s capacity to change and does not manufacture a coalition with its capacity to react to North Korea as required. Pick a procedure of interest, for example, South Korea’s "Sun oriented approach" for North Korea purchasing time for the administration and not uncovering North Korea’s constant expectations. Second, if North Korea comes back to battle strategies and "eye catching" conduct, the US reaction could be a punishment (Kim, 2014). It is smarter to look for the goals of the North and manage them as opposed to sitting around idly. Third, if and when all other intuitive systems come up short, military reaction as need activity, significant reprisal strikes (in light of the dispatch of North Korean rocket or big guns), The nourishment circulation focus off the drift and the North Korean outskirt. Exiles ought to be made. Supporters of military activity to determine the North Korean issue feel the time has come to join the North Korean military to stop any open door for North Korea to send out innovation toward the North Korea fear mongering.

A debilitated North Korean military, with a ravenous and penniless populace, indicates open doors for military action. For the situation of North Korea's atomic material, the utilization of power the avoidance, as the expansion of atomic weapons or materials for a fear based oppressor gathering might be the best risk to US security. Even in this way, an assortment of military choices might be considered. is an immediate activity, however a risk in light of the fact that most investigators are worried about the likelihood of wrecking North Korea's striking back against South Korea or Japan, the vulnerability of China’s response, the weight on the US military and the cost of worldwide fighting in a lively economy. At the point when the Clinton organization took a gander at military activity against North Korea's atomic offices in 1993 (Rozman, 2018), it assessed human losses from an intrusion of an aggregate of 52,000 US troops and almost a large portion of a million South Korean troops. Countries have kicked the bucket or been harmed, with no mortality.
A few analysts have proposed that the US military is overpowered, so they ought to step by step withdraw at a pace with the vital difference in the United States. As such, they focused on that South Korean powers ought to be withdrawn to surrender North Korea's atomic desire. Be that as it may, regardless of whether the United States keeps up its powers in Japan and somewhere else in Asia, the United States won't have the capacity to stop its present initiative in the Korean Peninsula. A few experts say North Korea will never surrender its atomic weapons, so tolerating North Korea as an "atomic club" and demanding to wind up a grain compel. Mindful faculty is the most pragmatic alternative. In this situation, North Korea will be pushed to execute non-expansion duties and to create non-military atomic advancement extends under the IAEA examination (Christoph, 2011). In any case, in perspective of America's profound doubt of North Korea, the United States won't acknowledge North Korea's atomic status, similar to the case with India, Pakistan and Israel.

Russia

Russia will keep on making each push to limit the impact of the United States, China or Japan on the Korean Peninsula. Russia's new remote approach adjusting in April 2000 issued an uncommon articulation that "Russia's endeavors will center on guaranteeing our nation's full and equivalent cooperation in the push to determine it. Handle the issue of Korea and keep up an adjusted association with both South Koreans. "Peace and strength on the Korean landmass. Russia does not need security shakiness since it will without a doubt disturb Russia's endeavors to build up the economy and execute changes at home. Specifically, the bedlam caused by North Korean atomic weapons and the convergence of Korean displaced people into Russia would truly debilitate Russia's endeavors to

China

Beijing is so wary and tolerant of Pyongyang and self-restricting in the background endeavors that influence the mystery discretionary kingdom, which isn't willing to get stuck in an unfortunate situation and utilize genuine use. China and the DPRK have adulated their proceeded with solidarity in their long stretches of conciliatory relations. However, a reasonable and clear move is that China and the United States have entered another century in the Middle East respective relations. In the battle against fear based oppression. Secretary General Colin Powell portrayed the province of US-China relations in 2006 as the best in more than 30 years (Kydd, 2015). Although some may ponder what Beijing practices in Pyongyang, the truthfulness of the Middle China is a key answer for the atomic emergency.
Antagonistic vibe and doubt between the United States and North Korea. As of late, China has all of a sudden surrendered from its low-level tact on the Korean Peninsula with a reasonable message that North Korea must end its atomic weapons program. This choice conspicuous difference an unmistakable difference to Beijing's non-impedance approach, held amid North Korea's first atomic emergency, featuring the issue as an immediate issue amongst North and North Korea. Be that as it may, worries about trilateral security along the northeastern outskirt have provoked Beijing's more dynamic strategy ten years after the fact (Cha, 2018). Since a North Korean core can genuinely undermine the dependability of the locale. China's relations with different neighbors have enhanced, encouraging its financial and key interests.

China has made an amicable helpful association with the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Shanghai Cooperation Organization with Russia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan in a joint push to counter fear based oppression. It additionally advances financial collaboration with India, enhancing relations once in a while prickly with its southwestern neighbors. China's improvement system intends to accomplish a formal society, directed by Deng Xiaoping in 1984, or a total national output of $ 800 for each capita by 2020. Focal cannot remove 10 years from the emergency in the nation or universally.

China cautions that North Korea accomplishes monetary independence and attempts to change chimneys. The multilateral talks give a stage to uncovering North Korea with this thought and advancing it through connections with different gatherings who want to see changes in Pyongyang (Han, 2016). Having indicated Kim Jong-un, the advantages of monetary change occurring in China, Beijing must persuade him that the best oversaw advertise economy can lessen political and social dangers. Gathering keeps up the administration of North Korea itself.

**Conclusion**

There are three important factors that may use to settle down the guideline of North Korean nuclear crisis. In future North Korea will change its' nuclear policy, USA stop their aggressive behavior against the North Korea third one and last China plays an important role as an affective third party to overcome this crisis. However, Russia and Japan also has small but critical role to solve this issue peacefully. The nuclear crisis phases, history of these crisis and six-party talks, we can predict what will be the results of negotiations in future. Hence lots of efforts made through one to one and party talks in past, on which many analysts predict that there is no progress in talks and negotiations were on deadlock mode on the issue of denuclearization of North Korea. This all is because there is huge mistrust between related parties so this crisis still goes on. U.S.DPRK Joint Statement in 1993, USA agreed to give guarantee to Pyongyang that America not uses any kind of force and no use of nuclear weapons against DPRK. In another joint statement of U.S.A.-DPRK in 2000, America and North Korea both decides that no more negative thoughts or wishes against each other but there should be healthy and positive relations between them. All cooperation is free from past disputes. United States of America also
confirmed and ready to give security guarantee to DPRK in six party talks and also own its all pervious joint statements. However, DPRK still has huge security problems. Due to lack of trust many North Korean officials thought USA administration is want to stop Kim Jong-un. In this nuclear crisis DPRK faces the trust problem to solve this issue. And DPRK also demands for a light water reactor (LWR) from U.S.A that will provide a new base for trust.
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