The inorganic fraction of fine particles affects numerous physicochemical processes in the atmosphere. However, there is large uncertainty in its burden and composition due to limited global measurements. Here, we present observations from eleven different aircraft campaigns from around the globe and investigate how aerosol pH and ammonium balance change from polluted to remote regions, such as over the oceans. Both parameters show increasing acidity with remoteness, at all altitudes, with pH decreasing from about 3 to about −1 and ammonium balance decreasing from almost 1 to nearly 0. We compare these observations against nine widely used chemical transport models and find that the simulations show more scatter (generally $R^2 < 0.50$) and typically predict less acidic aerosol in the most remote regions. These differences in observations and predictions are likely to result in underestimating the model-predicted direct radiative cooling effect for sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium aerosol by 15–39%.
Atmospheric aerosols affect human health, climate, cloud formation, nutrient availability for biota, and atmospheric chemistry. Globally, submicron particulate matter (diameters <1 μm; PM$_1$) accounts for an important fraction of aerosol mass concentration and radiative forcing. Inorganic species are an important and highly variable fraction of the PM$_1$ mass. The inorganic PM$_1$ is mostly secondary, formed from oxidation of precursors such as NO$_x$ (NO + NO$_2$) and SO$_2$ to form HNO$_3$ and H$_2$SO$_4$, respectively, and partitioning of total ammonia ($NH_3 = NH_4^+ + NH_4^+$) between the gas- and aerosol-phases. Sulfate is the dominant component of inorganic PM$_1$, and, thus, has been most studied. For polluted urban regions, there is still a debate about the chemistry that leads to the observed rapid sulfate formation and mass concentration. Outside polluted urban regions, comparisons of chemical transport models (CTMs), with both each other and with observations, provide more insight into how well CTMs capture the processes that control sulfate. Generally, the processes controlling sulfate are better understood in these regions, and show better agreement with observations, along with a reduced intermodel spread for sulfate. Larger discrepancies are observed in both cases for the semi-volatile species nitrate and ammonium.

These differences for PM$_1$ nitrate and ammonium between observations and model predictions indicate a larger uncertainty in the emissions, chemistry, and lifetime that control their concentrations and that of their precursor gases, nitric acid (HNO$_3$) and ammonia (NH$_3$). However, the inorganic nitrate contribution to global PM$_1$ aerosol is minor in most environments. Exceptions to this include near combustion sources, such as biomass burning (BB) plumes, urban areas, as well as in deep convection over polluted regions. This is due to the volatility of nitrate and the decrease of aerosol pH with distance from sources, which leads to partitioning of particle-phase nitrate into the gas-phase. Uncertainty in gas-phase ammonia and particle-phase ammonium impacts the models’ capability to predict important aerosol properties, such as aerosol pH and nitrate increases over oceanic basins, as there are fewer observational constraints over the oceans versus over continental regions (especially in the northern hemisphere). This confirms on a global scale that there is more uncertainty in ammonium and nitrate, which influence the comparisons between predicted and observed NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH. There has been a long-standing over-prediction of nitrate in CTMs (e.g., Zakoura et al. and references therein); however, due to the negligible nitrate mass concentration observed during the ATom campaigns, nitrate will have minimal influence on the calculated NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH.

Results

Simulations show important differences in ammonium balance and pH versus observations. The wide spatial coverage of the eleven aircraft campaigns (Supplemental Fig. 1 and Supplemental Tables 2 and 3; using the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) provides an opportunity to investigate the performance of nine CTMs for representing NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH (“Methods” and Supplemental Table 4). The nine CTMs include four models that were part of a large collaborative model intercomparison study, used to investigate differences in model results with similar emissions, herein called the AeroCom-II models, and five CTMs that were implemented and ran several years after the AeroCom-II study, herein called post-AeroCom-II models (see SI Supplemental Table 4 for more information). Regional CTMs have been used to investigate NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH and have in general found a large spread in the predicted NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH. As this study focuses on global observations and trends, only global models are used here. As shown in Fig. 2, the comparison between observations and post-AeroCom-II model simulations shows better agreement for sulfate (similar to prior studies) than for nitrate and ammonium. The discrepancy for ammonium and nitrate increases over oceanic basins, as there are fewer observational constraints over the oceans versus over continental regions (especially in the northern hemisphere). This confirms on a global scale that there is more uncertainty in ammonium and nitrate, which influence the comparisons between predicted and observed NH$_4$$_{bal}$ and pH.
Overall, the (older) AeroCom-II models show larger biases both in sulfate, ammonium, and nitrate (Supplemental Fig. 2). Since all concentrations tend to be underestimated in AeroCom-II models outside the BL, examining intensive properties, such as NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ and pH, should still be useful to at least assess source biases in these models.

Curtain plots of models and measurements are shown in Fig. 3. The observations show that the maxima in both NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ and pH occur at different locations in the two remote basins (Paciﬁc and Atlantic) (Fig. 3). In the Paciﬁc basin, the maximum is found north of 20°N, corresponding to Asian outﬂow$^{44,45}$ and between 50°S and 30°S, likely corresponding to either the previously reported inﬂuence of pervasive BB$^{46}$ (Supplemental Fig. 3) or oceanic NH$_x$ emissions$^{29}$ (Supplemental Fig. 4). In the Atlantic basin, the maximum is found between 20°S and 30°N, corresponding to a mix of African BB$^{47}$ and North American outﬂow$^{48}$ (Supplemental Fig. 3). This particular region in the Atlantic basin is also associated with consistently high ammonia, as observed in some prior studies$^{49,50}$. Thus, these features appear to be representative for the Atlantic basin. Outside of these...
regions of maximum NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ and pH, the typical tropospheric value over these two ocean basins is <0.3 (NH$_4$$_{Bal}$) and 0 (pH). Over the range of relative humidities (RH) typical for the troposphere (Supplemental Fig. 5), the observed NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ indicates that the aerosols in these regions generally have an HGF > 1.25, except in regions of BB and continental outflow (HGF 1.05–1.20) (Supplemental Figs. 6 and 7; see SI Sect. 2 for a description of the HGF calculation). This is due to more
sulfuric-acid-like aerosol, which increases the water uptake. The higher HGF, and thus water content of the aerosol, along with lower pH, would indicate different chemical and physical processes (Fig. 1) than the lower HGF/higher pH in the regions that are influenced by continental-outflow, an important feature for CTMs to capture.

Finally, the low NH$_4$-Bal and pH in the clean, remote marine boundary layer (MBL; here, defined from surface up to 800 hPa) suggest that both the Atlantic and Pacific Ocean basins, where sampled, have generally low local NH$_x$ emissions. Published NH$_x$ oceanic emission estimates range from 2 to 23 Tg N yr$^{-1}$, and a value of ~8 Tg N yr$^{-1}$ is typically used$^{19}$. However, recent observationally constrained global study suggested that NH$_x$ oceanic emission estimates are closer to ~3 Tg N yr$^{-1}$$^{51,52}$, which is on the lower end of current emission inventories. On the other hand, ~20 Tg SO$_2$ (as S) yr$^{-1}$ is produced from dimethyl sulfide oxidation in oceanic environments$^8$. Low marine NH$_x$ outgassing rates imply limited neutralization of nascent sulfate by ammonium (estimated mole ratio of 0.34:N:S emitted from oceans for NH$_x$ and SO$_2$). The observations in Fig. 3 support this imbalance of the oceanic emissions, with the emissions and oxidation of sulfur being higher than the emissions of NH$_x$ leading to fairly acidic (low NH$_4$-Bal) conditions over the oceanic troposphere. Hence, oceanic emissions act to acidify marine submicron aerosols. CTMs often do not capture this effect. This is especially the case for those models that use too high oceanic NH$_x$ emission estimates.

The model averages generally show similar locations for the maxima in NH$_4$-Bal as the observations for both oceanic basins. However, the spatial extent of the regions with higher NH$_4$-Bal (e.g., >0.4) for the models is much larger than for the observations. Further, the model average does not indicate that the NH$_4$-Bal gets much below 0.4 in either basin. In contrast, observations show large regions of the troposphere with NH$_4$-Bal < 0.2. These contrasts are observed even at the coarse spatial resolution that both models and observations are averaged (100 hPa vertically and 5° latitude). As shown in Supplemental Fig. 7, NH$_4$-Bal > 0.4 leads to a generally lower HGF (<1.3), which would bias the modeled chemical and physical aerosol processes.

Further, unlike the observational data, the model average maximum aerosol pH does not occur in the same regions as the model maximum NH$_4$-Bal. In general, the model averages indicate the maximum aerosol pH occurs in the MBL, and it remains relatively uniform in the MBL in both oceanic basins. Also, the model average does not capture the maximum in aerosol pH in the outflow-influenced regions, especially the BB outflow-influenced regions, even though the models did capture the maximum in NH$_4$-Bal for these regions. Another region where pH and NH$_4$-Bal are at significant variance in the model average is the tropical Atlantic Ocean; NH$_4$-Bal is relatively high, but there is large variability in aerosol pH (~1.0 to 2.0). This can arise from the combination of RH and temperature, which impacts both the aerosol liquid water and the equilibrium distribution of semi-volatile compounds (nitrate and ammonium), impacting the aerosol pH$^{19}$. Using a simple sensitivity study (see SI Sect. 3 for details), the predicted pH shows very high variability for the conditions when NH$_4$-Bal starts decreasing from ~1.0 to ~0.5 (Supplemental Fig. 7) due to changes in RH. Thus, both aerosol composition and RH control the aerosol pH, making direct comparisons of NH$_4$-Bal and pH when NH$_4$-Bal is high in Fig. 3 complicated, as expected.

Unlike remote oceanic basins, the observed NH$_4$-Bal over the continents rarely drops below 0.3 (Fig. 3), in agreement with prior studies$^{32-34}$. This is due to these regions having more ubiquitous and stronger sources of NH$_x$, such as agriculture and BB$^{35}$. Further, ammonia can be efficiently transported through convection$^{56}$, which was observed during a few campaigns (e.g., DC3)$^{37,38}$. The generally higher NH$_4$-Bal observations result in HGF values that are lower than observed over most of the oceanic basins (Supplemental Fig. 7), indicating lower aerosol water content and hence smaller ambient aerosol diameters. Both will influence the physical and chemical properties of the aerosol compared to the more acidic aerosol observed over the oceanic basins.

Similar to the oceanic regions, the continental regions with higher NH$_4$-Bal observations generally coincide with regions of higher aerosol pH$^{14}$. Overall, the variability of aerosol pH is not as extensive as for NH$_4$-Bal, though, due to the modulation of pH by RH and temperature$^{19}$. There are large spatial gradients observed in pH, for polluted versus cleaner/higher latitude regions (pH being generally ~0.5 south of 50°N and less than 0 north of 50°N). Boreal forests are not a large source of ammonia except for BB events$^{55}$, hence a low pH is observed, while air masses over the more polluted continental US, Mexico, and South Korea have an average pH ~2–3 units higher. This implies that aerosol processes between these regions would be very different (Fig. 1).

Unlike the oceanic basins, the averages of the CTMs over the continents predict a constant NH$_4$-Bal regardless of location and altitude, and anions that are nearly always charge-balanced by ammonium (Fig. 3). The models miss the low NH$_4$-Bal over boreal Canada and the upper troposphere over Canada and US, leading to a lower modeled HGF, and thus less modeled aerosol water. The consistently higher NH$_4$-Bal for model output >60°N most likely arises from the models having too much ammonia throughout the troposphere, where it is 2–4 orders of magnitude higher than observationally constrained ammonia (Supplemental Fig. 9).

On the other hand, the model-averaged aerosol pH generally does better in capturing the observed aerosol pH maximum over the continental regions and the influence of convective transport$^{56}$ on pH above the BL. As described above and shown in Supplemental Fig. 8, the variability in pH with nearly constant NH$_4$-Bal is due to the non-linear response of pH in aerosol composition, RH, and temperature. Also, the models partially capture the differences in aerosol pH over polluted (< ~50°N) versus boreal (> ~50°N) continental regions. However, the models predict higher aerosol pH in the boreal forest BL, compared to observationally constrained pH. This could stem from over-predicted ammonia emissions from soils or BB, or from an underestimation of BB NO$_3$ emissions in the models. As this is a region of active biogenic organic photochemistry and secondary organic aerosol chemistry$^{59}$ (e.g., organic epoxide uptake in Fig. 1a), differences in the aerosol pH of 0.5–1 pH unit can affect the uptake of organic gases to aerosol and the phase state of the aerosol, changing the predicted aerosol properties and chemistry. The difference in pH spans the sensitive region of potential organic phase separation (Fig. 1e), implying very different predicted versus observed physical properties for PM$_1$ in this region. Note that not only pH, but also aerosol composition, including organic mixtures, can impact the phase separation$^{60}$.

Ammonium balance and pH decrease with decreasing aerosol mass concentration. We use chemical coordinates, such as NH$_4$-Bal or pH (y-axis) versus inorganic mass concentration (x-axis), to investigate potential reasons for the differences between the CTMs and the observations. Chemical coordinates provide a way to investigate chemical processes and emissions while minimizing the influence of the transport and other meteorological parameters (e.g., RH, T, and BL height)$^{37,38}$.

For the observations, there is a robust correlation ($R^2$ range for all fits is between 0.54–0.76) for NH$_4$-Bal and inorganic dry PM$_1$
mass concentration (with comparable results for pH). This result holds for all three tropospheric altitude regions (Fig. 4) and has not been previously reported, to our knowledge. This further supports that oceans promote acidifying submicron aerosols due to the imbalance between the emissions of NH$_x$ and those of sulfate precursors. The decrease in PM$_{1}$ is a proxy for the gradual dilution and transformation of polluted air masses during global-scale transport and mixing. A recent study suggested that the two largest factors controlling aerosol pH were aerosol liquid water (potentially caused by different species concentrations) and temperature$^{36}$. These factors create a buffer that maintains a relatively constant pH for a given region; however, this focused on areas near emission sources. Much of our study is for regions removed from emission sources. As shown in Supplemental Fig. 8, pH has a non-linear response to ammonium, RH, temperature, and aerosol liquid water. This indicates more factors control the pH away from emission sources. The simple parameterization suggested in Zheng et al.$^{36}$ may not apply for the observations investigated here, in agreement with those authors’ conclusion that only ~40% of the continental surface was in the regime that buffered aerosol pH with aerosol liquid water. Finally, the slopes are statistically similar at all three levels for NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ and for aerosol pH at the 95% confidence level (Supplemental Tables 5 and 6).

Figure 4 shows important differences among campaigns that were not apparent in Fig. 3. First, there are clear differences in NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ and pH for aerosols in influenced by BB (ARCTAS-B and SEAC$^4$RS) versus not. NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ is a factor of 1.2–2.2 higher, and pH is 0.4–3 units higher for BB-dominated air masses versus non-BB-dominated air masses (see SI material). Note that BB is a source of organic acids (e.g., pyruvic acid)$^{61}$, which can react with NH$_x$ to form salts$^{62}$. Though the pH may be lower than the pKa values for various organic acids, the aerosol system is a non-ideal solution$^{36,52,63}$. As there has been little research in regard to the partitioning and thermodynamics of these organic acids at low pH in non-ideal solutions, it is not certain whether these organics are present as ammonium salts or not. This may explain why the NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ exceeds 1.0 in some BB measurements (Fig. 4). Also, the possible presence of organic acids leads to some uncertainty in the estimated pH for the BB plumes, though, this effect is likely small$^{64}$ due to offsetting effects (e.g., organic acids also increase aerosol liquid water and ion activity, leading to a negligible

Fig. 4 Scatter plot of observed ammonium balance and pH for all campaigns. Scatter plot of the average NH$_4$$_{Bal}$ (top row) and aerosol pH (calculated from E-AIM) (bottom row) versus inorganic PM$_{1}$ (NH$_4$ + SO$_4$ + NO$_3$) for boundary layer (a, d, defined as surface to 800 hPa), free troposphere (b, e, defined as 800–400 hPa), and upper troposphere (c, f, defined as 400–250 hPa). Each point represents the average observed value for each campaign at the specified pressure level and latitude zone (see Supplementary Data 1). These include ARCTAS-A (orange triangle), ARCTAS-B impacted by BB (biomass burning; green open triangle), ARCTAS-B not impacted by BB (green solid triangle), CalNex (gray triangle), DC3 (light blue triangle), INTEX-B (light purple triangle), KORUS-AQ (dark green triangle), MILAGRO (black triangle), SEAC$^4$RS impacted by BB (purple open triangle), SEAC$^4$RS not impacted by BB (purple solid triangle), WINTER (pink triangle), and ATom-1 (blue) and -2 (red) >50°N (circle), 25°N to 50°N Pacific Ocean (square), 25°S to 25°N Pacific Ocean (upside-down triangle), 50°S to 25°S Pacific Ocean (left sideways triangle), >50°S (right sideways triangle), 50°S to 25°S Atlantic Ocean (sideways thin diamond), 25°S to 25°N Atlantic Ocean (thin diamond), and 25°N to 50°N Atlantic Ocean (normal diamond). a,b Data filtered by BB markers (HCN < 350 pptv and CH$_3$CN < 225 pptv = Not Biomass Burning and HCN > 350 pptv and CH$_3$CN > 225 pptv = Biomass Burning)$^{116}$. 
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change in pH\textsuperscript{65}. The higher pH for aerosol influenced by BB emissions is similar to the results from Bougiatioti et al.\textsuperscript{64} and consistent with BB being a stronger source of NH\textsubscript{4} compared to other natural emissions (~2 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} for soils under natural vegetation versus ~5 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} for BB)\textsuperscript{65}. Further, for regions influenced by BB, urban pollution, and deep convection, NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} and pH are higher in the free and upper troposphere than in BL regions without major sources, as convection can efficiently transport NH\textsubscript{4} to the free and upper troposphere\textsuperscript{55}. In absence of deep convection near NH\textsubscript{4} emissions, ammonia quickly decreases with distance from sources (Supplemental Fig. 9), reducing the amount of ammonium in the aerosol phase relative to the amount of sulfuric acid produced from the oxidation of SO\textsubscript{2} and dimethyl sulfide. The deep convection (continental observations between 15° and 50°N) observed during DC3, compared to SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS (similar location but less deep convection sampled), led to aerosol with large differences in NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} (0 versus 0.77 for SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS versus DC3, respectively) and pH (~0.93 versus 1.35 for SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS versus DC3, respectively) in the upper troposphere.

Unlike the observations, simulated NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} by the nine CTMs have a large spread in the correlation of NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} and inorganic PM\textsubscript{1} (Fig. 5). Further, even for models that produce statistically similar slopes to observations for NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} (Supplemental Table 5), most of the trends show much lower correlation than observations (R\textsuperscript{2} < 0.5). This generally lower R\textsuperscript{2} suggests either uncertainty in NH\textsubscript{4} or nitrate for the post-AeroCom-II models or in NH\textsubscript{4}, nitrate, and sulfate for the AeroCom-II models. Though there is a large spread in model versus observed nitrate (Fig. 2 and Supplemental Fig. 2), the combination of generally low nitrate mass concentration due to low pH\textsuperscript{19} and NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} from models being higher than observations (Figs. 3 and 5d–f) indicates that the spread and difference between models and observations are mostly due to uncertainty in NH\textsubscript{4}. This is further explored in the SI (SI Sect. S1 and Supplemental Fig. 9). In general, CTMs have higher ammonia mixing ratios than observationally constrained ammonia mixing ratios, further supporting models having too much ammonia.

Numerous factors could lead to these differences in NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} between observations and models. Observations of NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} above the BL previously used in the evaluation of CTMs have typically been based on aerosols collected onto Teflon filters and analyzed off-line\textsuperscript{21}. However, as discussed in Nault et al. (and references therein)\textsuperscript{66}, acidic aerosols collected onto filters will react with ammonia in the aircraft cabin, biasing the ammonium mass.

---

**Fig. 5 Comparison of the observed and modeled average slopes and probability distribution function for ammonium balance and hygroscopic growth factor.** Comparison of observations (black solid line) and averages of AeroCom-II (red dashed-dot) and post-AeroCom-II (blue dashed-dot) model results for (a–c) NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} versus log\textsubscript{10}(inorganic PM\textsubscript{1}) slopes, (d–f) normalized probability distribution function (PDF) of NH\textsubscript{4,Bal} and (g–i) normalized PDF of estimated HGF for observations. For (g–i), the HGF values are from Supplementary Fig. 5, and for average values of RH from observations (~50%, ~35%, and ~35% for the boundary layer, free troposphere, and upper troposphere, respectively). For all data from models in comparison with observations, see Supplemental Figure 10. The model bands shown represent the range in the model results. The respective composite R\textsuperscript{2} are shown in (a–c).
concentration and \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\). Another potential factor is overestimated oceanic\(^{29,31}\) and/or continental\(^{35,50}\) \(\text{NH}_3\) emissions in models. Decreasing the oceanic emissions, from 8 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) (GEIA\(^{68}\)) to observationally constrained emissions of 2.4–3.2 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) (Paulot et al.\(^{26,31}\)), together with a reduction in the continental \(\text{NH}_3\) emissions of 25%, better captures the observations in the BL and the acidification of submicron aerosol with remoteness (Supplemental Fig. 11). The improved BL probability distribution function (PDF) is due to the continued sulfuric acid production that occurs over remote oceans from the oxidation of \(\text{SO}_2\), with minimal \(\text{NH}_3\), leading to more acidic sulfate aerosol.

An additional potential factor, as discussed in Bian et al.\(^{13}\), is that models may underestimate the pH-dependent wet deposition of \(\text{NH}_3\). As demonstrated in Supplemental Fig. 11, reducing the Henry’s constant of ammonia, which decreases the total amount of ammonia in GEOS-Chem (“increased \(\text{NH}_3\) lifetime”), to make it more similar to other models\(^{13}\), shifts the BL \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) to higher values (more similar to CCSM4, GISS-MATRIX, and GISS-ModelE). Finally, there may be a temperature dependence on the strength of continental \(\text{NH}_3\) emissions\(^{49}\) and, thus, there is a temperature dependence on the \(\text{NH}_3\) partitioning in the aerosol\(^{34}\); however, as most of the campaigns presented here focused on spring- and summer-time, exploration of this dependence was not possible.

The upper troposphere shows less sensitivity to \(\text{NH}_3\) emissions and more sensitivity to increased \(\text{NH}_3\) lifetime compared to the BL (Supplemental Fig. 11). This would imply that a shorter lifetime for \(\text{NH}_3\) would be necessary to improve the agreement. However, the Henry’s law constant of ammonia, which strongly influences its wet deposition, already uses a high default value (3.3 x 10\(^6\) M atm\(^{-1}\)), limiting further removal of ammonia\(^{34}\). Thus, at this time, it is unclear what is needed to reconcile the differences in upper troposphere \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) between observations and CTMs, although errors in the spatiotemporal patterns of precipitation might play a role.

Post-AeroCom-II models show generally less deviation from observations for pH versus inorganic PM\(_1\) (Fig. 6), especially outside of the BL; whereas, the AeroCom-II models show large deviations throughout the troposphere. For the post-AeroCom-II models, the largest error occurs in the BL, specifically for GEOS-Chem v10 and v12 (Fig. 6d). Overall, the reduced error of models versus observations compared to those for \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) (Fig. 5 versus Fig. 6) (outside of the BL and not including AeroCom-II models) may partially stem from nee ding large changes in ammonia concentrations for effecting a unit change in pH\(^{14}\) and potentially from calculating aerosol pH similarly to observations for some models (Supplemental Table 4) (e.g., not including sea-salt).

It should be noted that the models that calculate the aerosol pH online use ISORROPIA (GEOS-Chem v10, GEOS-Chem v12, and AM4.1). ISORROPIA is not as explicit of a model as E-AIM\(^{20,70}\), but they generally produce similar results\(^{20}\).

The potential impact of non-volatile cations (NVC), specifically sodium from sea-salt and potassium from dust, on PM\(_1\) pH has been investigated and discussed in detail in the SI (Section S4 and Supplemental Figs. 13–15). To summarize, other aerosol measurements showed that there were two main aerosol populations: (1) fine aerosol, within the AMS size range, dominated by sulfate and organics, and with very little NVC, and (2) coarse aerosol, or larger particles, dominated by NVC (mostly sea-salt and dust), of which only a very small fraction is within the AMS size range (Supplemental Fig. 13). These two populations might have a different pH and thus different chemical and physical properties; however, the focus of this paper and comparisons with models are for population (1), the fine aerosol. The models generally calculate aerosol pH for fine aerosol internally mixed with submicron sea-salt as a single value, leading to higher pH. By not treating the particle populations separately, the models are missing different important chemical reactions due to missing these different populations. Further, as discussed in Hodzic et al.\(^7\) and Murphy et al.\(^{31}\), ATom-2 had significantly higher sea-salt than ATom-1 (~20% versus 2% of data in ATom-2 versus ~1 had sea-salt comprising >20% of fine aerosol composition). Removing the ATom-2 observations from the results in Fig. 4 did not statistically change the slopes at a 95% confidence interval. Finally, as shown in Hodzic et al.\(^7\) and Murphy et al.\(^{31}\), sea-salt is negligible outside the MBL. Thus, NVCs are negligible for the sulfate-organic dominated fine mode (Supplemental Fig. 13) and hence do not impact fine mode aerosol pH.

A sensitivity run in GEOS-Chem, where sea-salt (accumulation mode, as coarse mode is already not included in the thermodynamic calculations\(^{72}\)) was removed from the calculation of aerosol pH to be reflective of externally mixed sulfate-organic-dominated aerosol population described above. This leads to the model better representing the trend in pH versus inorganic PM\(_1\) (Supplemental Fig. 16a). Also, the exclusion of sea-salt from the GEOS-Chem aerosol pH calculation leads to a normalized distribution more similar to the observations (Supplemental Fig. 16b). Accumulation-mode sea-salt included in CTMs is mostly outside the AMS size-range (Supplemental Fig. 13), is closer to 1 \(\mu\)m in diameter, and is mostly externally mixed, similar to the conclusion of prior studies\(^7\). Thus, accumulation-mode sea-salt should be treated separately for pH calculations.

**Impacts on modeled direct radiative effects due to uncertainty in ammonia emissions, aerosol composition, and inorganic phase.** Prior studies have indicated that \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) is an important parameter in predicting the direct radiative effect (DRE) due to its impact on water uptake and HGFs\(^{21,22}\). However, this important parameter, \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\), may not be included in HGF estimations needed to determine the effective radius of the aerosol to in turn calculate the DRE in CTMs (e.g., see “Methods”). Further, as discussed above and shown in Supplemental Fig. 11, differences in lifetime and/or emissions of \(\text{NH}_3\) impact \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) which would impact the models’ predictions of the DRE. Thus, the impact of HGF related to RH and \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) and of \(\text{NH}_3\) lifetime and emissions on predicted DRE is explored.

The impacts of acidity-dependent (e.g., \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\)-dependent) HGF on DRE calculations compared to the base case (constant HGF per RH value and independent of acidity) are investigated with GEOS-Chem v12. The calculated annual average DRE becomes more negative (more cooling) for all updated cases explored here compared to the Base Case (Fig. 7, Supplemental Table 7). The main contribution to the decrease in DRE is due to the updated HGF table (Supplemental Fig. 5). As an example, the Base Case HGF at 50% RH is 1.17 (see “Methods”); however, as shown in Supplemental Fig. 5, the HGF ranges from 1.06 to 1.59, depending on \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\) at the same RH. Continental regions generally have higher ammonia emission rates than oceanic regions, leading to less acidic (higher \(\text{NH}_4\)\(_{\text{Bal}}\)) aerosols over continents (Fig. 3). Hence, acidity-dependent HGFs lead to less cooling in polluted regions relative to the base-case (Supplemental Fig. 17). The higher HGF over oceanic regions due to lower ammonia emissions leads to more water uptake and thus a larger effective radius and more scattering. This leads to DRE becoming more negative for the updated cases.

Overall, the DRE estimates become 13–30% more negative for all sky and 25–39% more negative for clear sky compared to the base case. The strong cooling effect is related to the large areas of DRE becoming more negative over remote regions compared to the areas of DRE becoming more positive over polluted
continental regions (Supplemental Fig. 17). The changes in DRE due to switching to acidity-dependent HGFs and NH₄ lifetime and emissions emphasizes the importance of properly predicting NH₄_Bal and its properties in estimating and understanding DRE.

**Summary.** The inorganic fraction of PM₁ affects many chemical and physical processes of ambient aerosol. However, there is large uncertainty in the chemical composition of inorganic PM₁, due to uncertainty in emissions and lifetime of the precursor gases (specifically ammonia) and lack of measurements covering large swaths of the troposphere. Here, we use observations of the inorganic PM₁ collected during eleven aircraft campaigns to investigate the trends of NH₄_Bal and aerosol pH from polluted to the most pristine locations. We found a strong correlation of NH₄_Bal and pH with inorganic PM₁ mass concentration, indicating that as the air parcels are transported away from strong ammonia source regions (BB, agriculture, and anthropogenic activities), the continued production of sulfuric acid dominates the inorganic aerosol composition, leading to lower NH₄_Bal and

---

**Fig. 6 Comparison of the observed and modeled average slopes and probability distribution function for pH.** Comparison of observations (black solid line) and averages of AEROCOM-II (red-dashed line) and post-AEROCOM-II (blue dashed-line) model results for (a–c) pH versus log₁₀(inorganic PM₁) slopes and (d–f) normalized probability distribution function (PDF) of pH. For all data from models in comparison with observations, see Supplemental Fig. 12. The model bands shown represent the range in the model results. The respective composite $R^2$ are shown in (a–c).

**Fig. 7 Comparison for calculated annually averaged direct radiative effect with different emissions and hygroscopic growth factor assumptions.** Annual, global average direct radiative effect (DRE) for SO₄⁺NO₃⁺NH₄ (and associated aerosol water) for all sky (a) and clear sky (b) for Base Case (blue) and the various updated cases (see Supplemental Table 7 for description of each updated case; gray). See Supplemental Fig. 17 for annually average DRE Base Case and absolute differences with each updated case. Here, increased/decreased NH₄ H refers to Henry’s law constant, which controls NH₄ wet deposition (and thus lifetime), and Cont. refers to continental.
more acidic aerosol. However, the comparison of these observations with nine different CTMs indicates the models generally do not capture these trends due to numerous reasons, including (1) too high ammonia emissions in the CTMs, especially over oceanic environments, (2) inefficient removal of ammonia leading to modeled lifetimes that are too long, and/or (3) assumption of internal mixing state of inorganic aerosol with sea-salt. Note that another potential reason the CTMs may not capture these trends is due to model resolution50. However, (1) average values for a campaign are compared against the comparable averaged value from CTMs, (2) we do not expect many of the processes to be non-linear, and (3) none of the features we discuss here are small compared to the resolution of the CTMs. Thus, we do not believe any potential impacts from resolution affect the results here.

These uncertainties impact predicted aerosol properties (e.g., aerosol phase and aerosol-related processes, including aerosol chemistry (e.g., epoxide uptake to aerosol) and the aerosol direct radiative effect. These uncertainties, along with assumptions and simplifications used by some models concerning HGF, can affect the predicted global mean average change in radiative impact of sulfate, sea-salt, and ammonium PM1, with 13 to 39% more cooling (more negative DRE) than in the base case. These uncertainties will be potentially more important in the future, where ocean acidification is predicted to further decrease ocean NH3 emissions53, leading to more acidic aerosol. We conclude that reducing the ammonia uncertainties will lead to better model predictions of inorganic aerosol composition and its chemical and physical properties.

### Methods

#### Campaigns and Instrumentation

The campaigns used for this analysis are listed in Supplemental Table 2, along with the references that describe the campaigns, locations, and general goals. All the campaigns are airborne campaigns flown on the NASA DC-8 (ARCTAS-A and -B, DC3, SEAC4RS, KORUS-AQ, and ATom-1 and -2), NSF/NCAR C-130 (MILAGRO, INTEX-B, and WINTER), and NOAAA P-3 (CalNex) research aircraft. In general, the MILAGRO, CalNex, WINTER, and KORUS-AQ campaigns sampled polluted, urban locations; the INTEX-B, ARCTAS-A and -B, DC3, and SEAC4RS campaigns sampled continental background locations (including some BB sampling for ARCTAS-B and SEAC4RS); and ATom-1 and -2 and part of INTEX-B sampled remote oceanic background over the Pacific, Southern, Atlantic, and Arctic Oceans.

The instrument methods for analysis are listed in Supplemental Table 3, along with references describing the instrument and its configuration and performance for each campaign. For the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (AMS), the measurements were typically compared with other aerosol measurements to ensure confidence in the performance and mass concentrations for each campaign. The effect of organic interference on total ammonium, nitrate, and sulfate is summarized below and described in detail in the SI. Nitric acid was measured with one of four methods: (a) CF3O− chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS)79, (b) iodide CIMS80,81, (c) SiF− CIMS82, or (d) mist chamber ion chromatography (MCIC), which measures total nitrate (gas-phase HNO3, and particle-phase NO3−)83. The CF3O− CIMS and MCIC flew on multiple campaigns together (ARCTAS-A and -B, DC3, SEAC4RS, KORUS-AQ, and ATom-1 and -2), as did the high-resolution time-of-flight AMS operated by the University of Colorado Boulder group. The agreement between the MCIC and CF3O− CIMS varied for each campaign, due to differences in response32 and potential reagent issues at high altitudes due to colder temperatures. Thus, as described below, both methods were used to calculate (and minimize) potential biases in the calculated aerosol pH.

Other measurements that were used in the analysis from the ATom campaigns include the NOAA Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometer84 for fraction of BB, the University of California, Irvine, Whote Air Sample85, (aerodynamic diameter ≤ 1 μm) for exchangeable methyl; the NOAA aerosol microphysical properties (AMP) suite of aerosol size spectrometers77,86 for particle number concentration; and, the NOAA single-particle soot photometer (SP2)97. The NASA Langley diode laser hygrometer (DLH)98 was used for water vapor to calculate RH and was used in all of the DC-8 campaigns listed.

#### Thermodynamic calculation of aerosol pH

In this work, we are studying the acidity of fine mode aerosol, in which sulfate and organics are typically internally mixed, versus the coarse mode, which includes sea-salt and dust and is typically externally mixed from the fine aerosol. The Extended Aerosol Inorganic Models (E-AIM) is the thermodynamic model89–92 used here to calculate gas-liquid equilibrium in the aqueous aerosol systems and pH for both observations and for CTMs that did not calculate aerosol pH on-line. Here, it is assumed that the aerosol represents the metastable state of the deliquesced inorganic atmospheric conditions.14,19,20,39, E-AIM is considered one of the reference models for the thermodynamic predictions of aerosol pH86, as the model is based upon thermodynamic data for pure aqueous solutions and mixtures over a wide range of temperatures. Laboratory studies have shown that E-AIM pH predictions generally agree well with observed aerosol pH for synthetic aerosols94. In order to predict pH, E-AIM calculates the ionic activities in terms of interactions between pairs and triplets of solute species94. E-AIM uses the Pitzer-Simonson-Clegg equations89,95,96 to calculate the solute activity coefficient, in single-ion values, and the solvents in the aerosol phase, on a mole fraction scale. The model was used in this work97 and included the following ions and gases in the calculation: H+, NH4+, NO3−, SO42−, HSO4−, NO2−, HNO3, and NH3. Inputs into the model included SO42−, NH4+, total nitrate (HNO3 + NO3−), RH, temperature, and estimated H+ (from charge balance). Since gas-phase ammonia was not measured in most campaigns, similar to prior studies98,99, gas-phase ammonia was estimated by running the model iteratively until convergence (minimal changes in overall NH3) occurred. Depending on location and total aerosol mass concentration, about 20–50 iterations were needed. The model was run in the “forth order” mode100. This has been shown to be the most stable mode and reduces the impact of measurement uncertainty in the calculation of pH89,99. Cl− is not included in the models, as (a) inclusion of Cl− limits the temperature range and the metastable assumption that can be used to calculate pH97 and (b) it forms a small fraction of the total inorganic PM, mass concentration and is mostly associated with sea-salt100,101 (see Impacts of Nonvolatile Cations and Aerosol Mixing State for further discussion). Further descriptions about the chemical system and equilibria that are solved can be found in Pye et al.97 and references therein.

The H+ and inorganic aerosol liquid water calculated from E-AIM is used to calculate the aerosol pH for observations and models. To be consistent with the models that calculate aerosol pH on-line, and to be comparable with prior studies, the pH definition is used, where pH is defined by the mobility of H+, excluding activity (mH+):
Investigation of and minimal impact due to changing emissions, changing meteorology, and organic fragmentation. The campaigns used in our study range over a period of 10 years; however, this generally does not impact the comparison of NH₄₋₄, and aerosol pH. A large change in the ammonia mixing ratio is necessary to change from ammonium sulfate-like aerosols into sulfatic acid-like aerosols and to change the aerosol pH. Observations have shown small to minimal decreases in NH₄₋₄ and aerosol pH per year during the past decade, while ammonia has been constant or slightly increasing in the troposphere. Although there is substantial uncertainty in the representation of this variable in CTMs, several sensitivity runs for NH₄₋₄ and pH using GEOS-Chem showed no changes in the last decade, neither with changing (Supplemental Figs. 25 and 26) nor with constant (Supplemental Figs. 27 and 28) emissions, in agreement with observations.

An important aspect of the AMS measurements is that the functional group in organic nitrates, sulfates, and organic reduced nitrogen compounds (e.g., amines and pyridine) are by default assigned to inorganic nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, although the extent of these organic interferences can be quantified or estimated. A detailed analysis concerning each type of compound can be found in SI Sect. 5. Briefly, although the inclusion of these organics into the total nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium measurements can increase the scatter in aerosol pH (Supplemental Figs. 29 and 30) the effect is generally small and within the uncertainty of the predicted aerosol pH. Also, changes in NH₄₋₄ are minimal (typically <5%). These organics are a small amount of the total mass concentration, especially for ammonium (Supplemental Fig. 31 and Supplemental Table 8). Thus, for the observations used here, these small organic interferences do not change the trends, comparisons, and conclusions discussed.

Chemical transport models. The CTMs (atmospheric chemistry components of global model suites, such as CAMx, which has been grouped with CTMs throughout the rest of paper for simplicity) used in this study are described in the SI (Supplemental Table 4). Here, the analysis approach for the models and the sensitivity experiments are discussed.

For the models, areas encompassing each campaign (Supplemental Table 2) were averaged for each tropospheric pressure zone (BL = surface to 800 hPa, FT = 800–400 hPa, and UT = 400–250 hPa). This was done instead of analyzing the models for the flight path of each campaign to minimize the influence of potential biases on the modeled transport of air masses versus the observations. Further, average monthly model results for the same months as the campaigns are compared. The average results were then used to compare the trends in the modeled NH₄₋₄ and aerosol pH versus inorganic mass concentration (see SI Material). This method of analysis further minimizes the impacts of transport and meteorology on the comparison of observations with modeled results. For models that did not calculate aerosol pH on-line (CCSM4, GISS-ModelE, GISS-MATRIX, GEOS-S, and GEOS-Chem-TOMAS), the outputs from the model were used to calculate the aerosol pH off-line with E-AIM, as described above. One model, TM4-ECLIPSE, lacked the output necessary to calculate aerosol pH.

Direct radiative effect calculation. GEOS-Chem v12.1.1 was used to calculate the contribution of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium to DRE. In the base case (the default in GEOS-Chem), GEOS-Chem calculates aerosol optical depth, single scattering albedo, and Ångström parameter of each aerosol based on the pre-calculated Mie table with spherical shape assumption. GEOS-Chem describes the hygroscopic growth of aerosols with 7 discrete RH bins, and prescribed HGF (wet/dry radius ratio) of sulfate-nitrate-ammonium are [1.0, 1.17, 1.34, 1.52, 1.86, 2.33, 3.95] at RH = [10%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 99%]. GEOS-Chem linearly interpolates the optical parameters when RH does not exactly match the look-up table RHs. The DRE of each aerosol is then calculated by GEOS-Chem using the rapid radiative transfer model for GCMs (RTMG) (for all-sky and clear-sky conditions. Calculations are based on the radiation difference between runs with and without aerosol species included of interest while other conditions (e.g., meteorological conditions, gases, and aerosols) are the same.

For the updated cases (Supplemental Table 7), the basic calculation remains the same, except new HGFs are used based on Supplemental Fig. 5, with a new pre-calculated Mie parameter table for each corresponding HGF in Supplemental Fig. 5 grid spaces, not accounting for differences in ammonium sulfate versus ammonium nitrate. Since the HGF sensitivity to temperature is smaller than those for RH and NH₄₋₄, the parametrization for the GEOS-Chem HGF calculation only includes the latter (Supplemental Fig. 5).

Code availability. Aircraft observations and chemical transport model output can be found at https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1857. The data used to estimate condensational sink and volume contribution between non-volatile and all aerosol can be found at https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1671.
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