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ABSTRACT

Purpose To investigate the relationship between macular pigment (MP) and visual function in subjects with early age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

Methods 121 subjects with early AMD enrolled as part of the Central Retinal Enrichment Supplementation Trial (CREST; ISRCTN13894787) were assessed using a range of psychophysical measures of visual function, including best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), letter contrast sensitivity (CS), mesopic and photopic CS, mesopic and photopic glare disable (GD), photostress recovery time (PRT), reading performance and subjective visual function, using the National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire-25 (NEI VFQ-25). MP was measured using customised heterochromatic flicker photometry.

Results Letter CS, mesopic and photopic CS, photopic GD and mean reading speed were each significantly (p<0.05) associated with MP across a range of retinal eccentricities, and these statistically significant relationships persisted after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade. BCVA, NEI VFQ-25 score, PRT and mesopic GD were unrelated to MP after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade (p>0.05, for all).

Conclusions MP relates positively to many measures of visual function in unsupplemented subjects with early AMD. The CREST trial will investigate whether enrichment of MP influences visual function among those afflicted with this condition.

Trial registration number ISRCTN13894787.

INTRODUCTION

The carotenoids (lutein (L), zeaxanthin (Z) and meso-zeaxanthin (MZ)) are found in the human macula, where they are collectively known as macular pigment (MP). MP gives the macula its eponymous yellow appearance (macula lutea). Interestingly, the pigment is captured and normally (typically) distributed at the macula in such a way that it peaks centrally at the foveola (the part of the retina responsible for high acuity and colour vision) and declines with increasing retinal eccentricity.1

MP filters short-wavelength light (thereby passively limiting photo-oxidative damage), and its constituent carotenoids have antioxidant properties (and therefore actively neutralise reactive oxygen species).2

Several hypotheses (eg, the acuity hypothesis,3 glare hypothesis,4 visibility hypothesis5) have been put forward to explain how MP may influence visual function. Indeed, studies have been performed to examine the role of MP for visual function across diverse populations of subjects (eg, healthy subjects free of retinal disease and subjects with age-related macular degeneration (AMD)). In general, cross-sectional studies have shown positive and statistically significant relationships between MP and visual function.6–10

Furthermore, most interventional trials have shown that supplementation with the macular carotenoids impacts positively on visual function in subjects with and without retinal disease,11–16 and it appears that supplementation with all three macular carotenoids offers advantages over formulations containing only two of these three nutrients.13,16 However, we await the outcome of a double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial to confirm this hypothesis.17

The Central Retinal Enrichment Supplementation Trials (CREST), which commenced in 2012 and will be completed in 2016, was designed to investigate the impact of macular carotenoid supplementation on vision in normal healthy subjects with low MP (Trial 1: CREST Normal (ISRCTN68270512)) and subjects with early AMD (the latter representing the study population in the current investigation; Trial 2: CREST AMD (ISRCTN13894787)).17 In this report, we present findings on the relationship between MP and psychophysical (and subjective) measures of visual function in unsupplemented subjects with early AMD, using baseline data from CREST AMD.17

METHODS

Study population

The design and methodology of CREST AMD have been described in detail elsewhere.17 Inclusion criteria included: early AMD (one to eight on AREDS 11-step severity scale18 in at least one eye (the study eye), confirmed by the Reading Centre at the Moorfields Eye Hospital Reading Centre, London, UK); best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 6/12 or better; spherical equivalence of refraction no more than 5 dioptres; no previous consumption of supplements containing the macular carotenoids (L, Z and/or MZ); no retinal pathology beyond AMD; no diabetes mellitus (by self-report). All clinical assessments were conducted by the study investigator (KOA) who was trained in all aspects of the CREST protocol.17 Clinical assessments pertaining to this report are briefly described below.

MP measurement

MP was measured using the Macular Densitometer (Macular Metrics, Providence, Rhode Island, USA)
at 0.25°, 0.5°, 1.0° and 1.75° retinal eccentricity, with a reference point at 7°. This protocol has been validated for subjects with early AMD.19

Visual function assessment
Best corrected visual acuity
BCVA was measured using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR) chart (Test Chart 2000 Xpert; Thomson Software Solutions, UK) viewed at 4 m.

Letter contrast sensitivity
Letter contrast sensitivity (CS) was assessed using the LogMAR ETDRS (Test Chart 2000 PRO; Thomson Software Solutions, UK) chart viewed at 4 m.

CS with the Functional Vision Analyzer
CS was also assessed using the Functional Vision Analyzer (Stereo Optical Co., Chicago, Illinois, USA). The test was conducted under four simulated conditions: (1) mesopic (3.0 candela per metre square (cd/m²)), (2) photopic (85 cd/m²), (3) mesopic with glare (28 Lux, mesopic glare disability (GD)), (4) photopic with glare (135 Lux, photopic GD).

Photostress recovery time
Photostress recovery time (PRT) (in seconds) was assessed by measuring the time of recovery (time taken to see the 6/24 letterset ETDRS (Test Chart 2000 PRO) at a specified contrast threshold) after exposure to a 300 W tungsten spotlight (ARRI 300 Plus lamp, ARRI Lighting Solutions, GmbH, Germany) with a low-pass glass dichroic filter.

Reading performance
Reading performance was assessed using the English version of the Radner reading chart at 40 cm.

Subjective visual function
Subjective visual function was assessed using the National Eye Institute Visual Function questionnaire-25 (NEI VFQ-25).

Cataract grading
Cataract grading was performed using the Haag-Streit BM 900 Slit lamp biomicroscope (Haag-Streit AG, Switzerland) adhering to the Lens Opacities Classification System III (LOCS III)20 within the first year of this study by a trained and certified grader (KOA).

Statistical analysis
One eye (the study eye) of each subject comprised the unit of analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, V21.0 (Armonk, New York, USA). Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used to investigate bivariate relationships between MP and visual function parameters. General linear models were used to control for variables such as age, sex and cataract grade (while excluding subjects with pseudophakia). We used the 5% level of significance throughout, without adjusting for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS
Table 1 presents the demographic and lifestyle characteristics of all subjects included in this report. Table 2 presents the relationship between MP and visual function, as assessed by the bivariate Pearson correlation coefficients. General linear models were used to assess which visual function variables are statistically related to MP, controlling for age, sex and cataract grade; these results are reported in the text, separately for each visual function variable.

Table 1  Demographic, lifestyle, visual function and MP of subjects included in this report

| Variables                  | n (%)       |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Sex                        |             |
| Male                       | 40 (33.1)   |
| Female                     | 81 (66.9)   |
| Education                  |             |
| Primary                    | 18 (14.9)   |
| Secondary                  | 57 (47.1)   |
| Tertiary                   | 46 (38.0)   |
| Age (years)                | 64.77±9.03  |
| Cataract*                  |             |
| NO                         | 1.64±0.81   |
| NC                         | 2.41±0.95   |
| C                          | 0.88±1.08   |
| PSC                        | 0.37±0.6    |
| BCVA                       |             |
| Study eye                  | 99.97±5.88  |
| Fellow eye                 | 95.34±11.45 |
| Letter CS (cpd)            |             |
| 1.5                        | 1.81±0.17   |
| 2.4                        | 1.80±0.20   |
| 6                          | 1.52±0.23   |
| 9.6                        | 1.27±0.28   |
| 15.15*                     | 0.90±0.32   |
| CS mesopic (cpd)           |             |
| 1.5                        | 1.57±0.22   |
| 3                          | 1.65±0.21   |
| 6                          | 1.27±0.35   |
| 12                         | 0.81±0.27   |
| 18                         | 0.32±0.11   |
| CS photopic (cpd)          |             |
| 1.5                        | 1.49±0.17   |
| 3                          | 1.76±0.21   |
| 6                          | 1.63±0.31   |
| 12                         | 1.22±0.37   |
| 18                         | 0.57±0.34   |
| GD mesopic (cpd)           |             |
| 1.5                        | 0.95±0.31   |
| 3                          | 1.15±0.35   |
| 6                          | 0.93±0.24   |
| 12                         | 0.64±0.13   |
| 18                         | 0.30±0.03   |
| GD photopic (cpd)          |             |
| 1.5                        | 1.43±0.19   |
| 3                          | 1.70±0.20   |
| 6                          | 1.54±0.32   |
| 12                         | 1.15±0.37   |
| 18                         | 0.54±0.33   |
| PRT                        | 15.98±8.23  |
| Reading                    |             |
| RA Acuity                  | 0.10±0.12   |
| Mean RS                    | 154.68±27.36|
| Max RS                     | 199.79±33.02|
| SVF                        |             |
| VFQ Tscore                 | 88.95±9.84  |
MP and BCVA
There is a positive and significant relationship between central MP (0.25° up to 1°) and BCVA (table 2). In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, there is no statistically significant relationship between MP at (any eccentricity) and BCVA (p>0.05, for all).

MP and letter CS
MP is not significantly related to letter CS, except for MP at 1° and letter CS at 1.2 cpd (table 2). In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, letter CS at 1.2 cpd remains positively and significantly related to MP at 1° (p=0.023; figure 1A).

MP and measures of CS using the Functional Vision Analyzer
A range of mesopic CS variables are positively and significantly related to MP (table 2). In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, the following relationships remained statistically significant: (a) the relationship between MP at 0.5° and mesopic CS at 3 cpd (p=0.047) and 6 cpd (p=0.033) (figure 1A); (b) the relationship between MP at 1° and mesopic CS at 1.5 cpd (p=0.033; figure 1A). However, MP at 1.75° is now positively and significantly related to mesopic CS at 1.5 cpd (p=0.041) (figure 1A).

For photopic CS and MP, correlations similar to those between MP and mesopic CS are observed (table 2). In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade: (a) there is a significant relationship between MP at 0.25° and photopic CS at 12 cpd (p=0.013; figure 1A); (b) there is a significant relationship between MP at 0.5° and photopic CS at 6 cpd (p=0.006) and 12 cpd (p=0.003) (figure 1A); (c) there is a significant relationship between MP at 1° and photopic CS at 6 cpd (p=0.039; figure 1A) and 12 cpd (p=0.025; figure 1B). However, MP at 1.75° is now positively and significantly related to photopic CS at 12 cpd (p=0.049; figure 1B).

MP and GD
No significant correlations between MP and mesopic GD are observed (table 2), even after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade (p>0.05, for all).

In a general linear model for photopic GD controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, the following relationships remain statistically significant: (a) the relationship between MP at 0.25° and photopic GD at 6 cpd (p=0.006; figure 1B); (b) the relationship between MP at 0.5° and photopic GD at 3 cpd (p=0.023) and 6 cpd (p=0.004) (figure 1B); (c) the relationship between MP at 1° and photopic GD at 3 cpd (p=0.030) (figure 1B). However, MP at 1.75° is now positively and significantly related to photopic GD at 3 cpd (p=0.037) (figure 1B).

MP and reading performance
Correlations between MP and reading performance are shown in table 2. In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, there is a significant relationship between mean reading speed and MP at eccentricities 1.0° (p=0.046) and 1.75° (p=0.034) (figure 1B).

Of note, these reading performance variables are also significantly related to education (p<0.05, for all). Therefore, we repeated the analyses controlling for age, sex, cataract grade and education. In a general linear model, and after controlling for age, sex, cataract grade and education, only the significant and positive relationship between MP at 1.75° and mean reading speed persisted (p=0.048).

MP and subjective visual function
Correlations between MP and subjective visual function are shown in table 2. In a general linear model controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, MP (at any eccentricity) is not related to subjective visual function (p>0.05, for all).

MP and PRT
MP (at any eccentricity) is not related to PRT, either in the correlation analyses (table 2) or in the general linear model analyses controlling for age, sex and cataract grade (p>0.05, for all).

DISCUSSION
This study presents findings on the relationship between MP and visual function in subjects with early AMD who are not yet using supplements (CREST AMD baseline data).

Our main findings show that MP relates to several measures of visual function, even after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade. Indeed, we report that MP is positively associated with a range of CS measures in both mesopic (nighttime) and photopic (daytime) conditions. A possible explanation for the role that MP plays in optimising CS may rest on the visibility hypothesis of MP. This hypothesis posits that MP can enhance detail of a target by the absorption of blue haze.5 Blue haze is produced by short-wavelength light (blue light) that produces a veiling luminance when we view objects at a distance.5 MP accentuates the luminance of an object relative to its background by attenuating this scattered (veiling) short-wavelength visible blue light and, by consequence, extends the
Table 2  Relationship between MP and visual function using baseline data in the Central Retinal Enrichment Supplementation Trial (CREST) age-related macular degeneration study

| Variables          | MP at 0.25 | MP at 0.5 | MP at 1.0 | MP at 1.75 |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| BCVA               | r=0.241, p=0.009 | r=0.243, p=0.008 | r=0.210, p=0.022 | r=0.107, p=0.248 |
| Letter CS (cpd)    | r=0.101, p=0.278 | r=0.113, p=0.225 | r=0.219, p=0.017 | r=0.102, p=0.272 |
| 1.2                | r=0.094, p=0.310 | r=0.116, p=0.210 | r=0.171, p=0.064 | r=0.020, p=0.829 |
| 2.4                | r=0.166, p=0.073 | r=0.157, p=0.089 | r=0.153, p=0.098 | r=0.016, p=0.859 |
| 6                  | r=0.113, p=0.221 | r=0.096, p=0.302 | r=0.082, p=0.375 | r=0.014, p=0.883 |
| 9.6                | r=0.106, p=0.257 | r=0.106, p=0.256 | r=0.080, p=0.393 | r=0.037, p=0.690 |
| CSmesopic (cpd)    | r=0.103, p=0.267 | r=0.123, p=0.185 | r=0.194, p=0.035 | r=0.131, p=0.157 |
| 1.5                | r=0.139, p=0.133 | r=0.217, p=0.018 | r=0.165, p=0.074 | r=0.038, p=0.680 |
| 3                  | r=0.169, p=0.067 | r=0.203, p=0.028 | r=0.148, p=0.111 | r=0.111, p=0.230 |
| 6                  | r=0.259, p=0.005 | r=0.220, p=0.017 | r=0.209, p=0.023 | r=0.159, p=0.086 |
| 12                 | r=0.175, p=0.058 | r=0.170, p=0.066 | r=0.071, p=0.447 | r=0.228, p=0.013 |
| GDmesopic (cpd)    | r=0.051, p=0.580 | r=0.068, p=0.463 | r=0.069, p=0.461 | r=0.002, p=0.982 |
| 1.5                | r=0.077, p=0.407 | r=0.113, p=0.225 | r=0.070, p=0.454 | r=0.010, p=0.916 |
| 3                  | r=0.041, p=0.656 | r=0.098, p=0.293 | r=0.032, p=0.733 | r=0.096, p=0.303 |
| 6                  | r=0.023, p=0.758 | r=0.060, p=0.520 | r=0.067, p=0.474 | r=0.034, p=0.713 |
| 12                 | r=0.047, p=0.611 | r=0.080, p=0.390 | r=0.042, p=0.654 | r=0.031, p=0.740 |
| GDphotopic (cpd)   | r=0.051, p=0.580 | r=0.083, p=0.374 | r=0.081, p=0.382 | r=0.061, p=0.515 |
| 1.5                | r=0.164, p=0.076 | r=0.256, p=0.005 | r=0.231, p=0.012 | r=0.153, p=0.098 |
| 3                  | r=0.233, p=0.011 | r=0.242, p=0.008 | r=0.186, p=0.043 | r=0.116, p=0.211 |
| 6                  | r=0.222, p=0.016 | r=0.225, p=0.014 | r=0.164, p=0.077 | r=0.085, p=0.359 |
| 12                 | r=0.166, p=0.073 | r=0.180, p=0.051 | r=0.070, p=0.450 | r=0.069, p=0.458 |
| PRT                | r=0.073, p=0.433 | r=0.153, p=0.097 | r=0.068, p=0.464 | r=0.001, p=0.990 |
| Reading            | r=−0.183, p=0.047 | r=−0.187, p=0.042 | r=−0.113, p=0.152 | r=−0.056, p=0.547 |
| RAcuity            | r=0.177, p=0.056 | r=0.170, p=0.065 | r=0.222, p=0.016 | r=0.132, p=0.153 |
| Mean RS            | r=0.135, p=0.146 | r=0.119, p=0.201 | r=0.164, p=0.076 | r=0.040, p=0.664 |
| Max RS             | r=0.188, p=0.042 | r=0.132, p=0.155 | r=0.124, p=0.181 | r=0.025, p=0.787 |

Bold typeface signifies statistically significant p value (p<0.05).

BCVA, best corrected visual acuity measured with Thompson Test Chart 2000 Xpert and recorded in visual acuity rating (VAR); cpd, cycles per degrees; CS, contrast sensitivity; CSmesopic, contrast sensitivity measured under nighttime conditions (3.0 candela per metre square (cd/m²)) using the Functional Vision Analyzer and recorded in logarithm of contrast sensitivity (LogCS) units; CSphotopic, contrast sensitivity measured under daytime conditions (85 cd/m²) using the Functional Vision Analyzer and recorded in logarithm of contrast sensitivity (LogCS) units; GD, glare disability; GDmesopic, glare disability measured under nighttime conditions (28 Lux) using the Functional Vision Analyzer and recorded in logarithm of contrast sensitivity (LogCS) units; GDphotopic, glare disability measured under daytime conditions (135 Lux) using the Functional Vision Analyzer and recorded in logarithm of contrast sensitivity (LogCS) units; LetterCS, letter contrast sensitivity assessed using Thompson Test Chart 2000 PRO and recorded in logarithm of contrast sensitivity (LogCS) units; maxRS, maximum reading speed; meanRS, mean reading speed calculated as the average of the reading speed scores recorded for each of the standardised sentences; MP, macular pigment measured at 0.25°, 0.5°, 1.0° and 1.75° eccentricities using customised heterochromatic flicker photometry; p, level of statistical significance set at p<0.05; PRT, photostress recovery time recorded in seconds (reading assessed using the English version of the standardised Radner reading chart); r, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; RAcuity, reading acuity (Reading acuity is recorded in logarithm of the reading acuity determination (LogRAD). The formula (LogRAD=total number of incorrectly read syllables /w0.005 is used to calculate the LogRAD-score); RS, reading speed (the time taken to read the number of words in a sentence) measured in words per minute (w/min) with a stop watch for each standardised sentence (14 words=60 s divided by reading time in seconds); SVF, subjective visual function assessed using the National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire-25 (NEI VFQ-25; scores range from 0 (worst) to 100 (best); VFQ_Tscore, NEI VFQ-25 overall vision score.

Visual range. The visibility hypothesis has been tested empirically and is supported by two studies which demonstrate the beneficial effect of MP on simulated blue haze conditions. Furthermore, Hammond and Renzi propose that MP may improve CS by the differential absorption of chromatic edges (ie, the ability of MP to absorb the short-wavelength (blue) component of an isoluminant edge).7 8 9 Of note, we found significant associations between MP and CS at 6 cpd, which is the primary outcome measure of the prospective arm of the current study.10 The importance of our findings with respect to the relationship between MP and CS rests on the observation that CS has been shown to be an important determinant of quality of life, which has important implications for the population studied here.

In our study, we also found that reading performance is related to MP levels (table 2). For example, we found that
higher MP at 1° is associated with better reading speed, even after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade. However, we believe that this finding is not solely attributable to the optical filtration properties of MP at the macula, as it is possible that the role of carotenoids in brain health and cognition may have contributed to our finding (and therefore that MP simply represents a biomarker for concentrations of these nutrients in brain). Indeed, this notion is consistent with our finding that education is also related to reading performance in the current study, although it is noteworthy that MP at 1.75° eccentricity was positively related to mean reading speed even after correction for education. Indeed, and for instance, we know that L and Z concentrations at the macula correlate with their respective concentrations in the frontal cortex and cerebellum (brain areas engaged in reading performance) in primates. It has also been reported that reading speed is positively related to visual processing in persons with AMD. These carotenoids, and their putative contribution to optimal neural processing and efficiency, may contribute positively to reading performance. Reading performance is also a measure of subjects’ ability to perform tasks related to near-vision, with important and positive implications for quality of life.

Although the current study did not find any relationship between MP and PRT or mesopic GD, we found a significant and positive relationship between MP and photopic GD at some spatial frequencies (even after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade). Some previous cross-sectional studies have shown that MP is inversely related to GD and PRT, and that augmentation of MP results in improvements in GD and PRT, whereas others have found no association with GD (or PRT). These inconsistencies may be attributable to the differences in study design, including stimulus conditions. Of note, glare is an important clinical symptom, and reducing GD would be beneficial for patients with early AMD, especially for driving.

We found that higher central MP (ie, at 0.25°, 0.5°, 1°) is associated with better BCVA in our study group (table 2). However, after controlling for age, sex and cataract grade, there was no significant relationship between MP (at any eccentricity) and BCVA. The acuity hypothesis posits that MP is necessary for optimal visual acuity by reducing the effects of chromatic aberration by attenuating the penumbra/blur circle formed as a result of this phenomenon. However, studies have tested this hypothesis, and the results have been mixed, perhaps, explained at least in part, by differences in study design and outcome.
For example, our results are consistent with those of a study by Engles \textit{et al}, \textsuperscript{34} who assessed resolution acuity and hyperacuity using a customised experimental setup (i.e., two solid black lines on either white or yellow background), whereas they are not consistent with those reported by Loughman \textit{et al}, \textsuperscript{6} who used a methodology similar to the current study, although in normal subjects free of retinal disease.

In this study we performed multiple statistical tests (e.g., \textit{table 2} presents \(p\) values for more than 120 different tests of bivariate correlations). For any one such test, the level of significance is, conventionally, set at 5%. For correlation analysis, this means that we start with the null hypothesis (‘the correlation between the two variables is zero in the population’), then calculate the sample correlation and then reject the null hypothesis if the probability is less than 5% of getting this sample correlation when the null hypothesis is actually true. For multiple tests, however, the recommendation is sometimes made that the level of significance should be reduced well below 5%, so as to reduce the probability of a type I error—rejecting null hypotheses which we should accept. This, to us, is the more serious error, failing to report a relationship that does, in fact, exist. In the current study, therefore, we followed our usual practice and used the 5\% level of significance in all statistical analyses, without adjusting for multiple comparisons. It must be conceded, however, that the reported significance for some of our 27 correlations may well, therefore, be spurious.

It must also be conceded that the significant correlations in \textit{table 2} are, in general, weak. However, there is remarkable consistency in the direction and location of many of the significant correlations in \textit{table 2}. In particular, many of the correlations between central MP and CS, when significant at 6 cpd, are significant, or nearly so, at 3 cpd and 12 cpd also. Furthermore, all significant correlations between MP and visual function are, without exception, positive—higher MP is associated with better vision. While the importance of a single correlation of this magnitude should not be exaggerated (and might well be explained as a consequence of multiple tests), we believe that the frequency and consistent directionality of these significant correlations, in our study, do constitute a substantial body of evidence that MP and visual function are positively correlated.

Average central MP (see \textit{table 1}) may be considered higher than expected in this study, given that subjects were recruited only if they had not previously taken supplements containing the macular carotenoids and, accordingly, all subjects were supplement-naive. The eligibility criteria did not include a threshold for MP at a specific retinal eccentricity. In other words, subjects with a range of MP values were enrolled into
the study. Of note, MP is augmented either through the diet or by taking nutritional supplements containing the macular carotenoids. Given that subjects in the current study were supplement-naive patients with early AMD, it is more likely that the high average central MP may reflect healthy dietary habits in our study population. Indeed, results from the dietary carotenoid (L/Z) screener used in the current study (see detailed description in Akuffo et al17) show that subjects had, on average, medium dietary intake of L and Z (which reflects 3–13 mg/day (data previously reported by Kelly et al23)). Furthermore, there was a positive and statistically significant correlation (r=0.343, p<0.005) between this diet score and MP at 0.25°—evidence that high MP is indeed associated with better diet in this study.

AMD is a multifactorial disease, which causes degenerative changes at the macula and consequential central vision impairment, thereby adversely affecting normal daily activities (eg, reading, driving, watching television and recognising faces) and, ultimately, leading to an overall loss of social independence and reduced quality of life among sufferers of this condition.36 Overall, our results highlight the role of MP in vision-related quality of life, and, by extension, the potential benefits of supplementation with the macular carotenoids for improved quality of life through optimised visual function.

Strengths of this study include: (1) MP was measured using a validated technique at four different retinal eccentricities; (2) the outcome measures for the current study are known and important determinants of quality of life in patients afflicted with AMD. Limitations of this study include its cross-sectional design, and therefore its findings are associative. However, the impact of supplementation with the macular carotenoids on visual function in non-advanced AMD is currently under investigation as part of the prospective arm of the CREST trial, which is designed to investigate whether enrichment of MP impacts on visual function in these subjects.17

In conclusion, we report that MP relates positively to visual function in AMD-affected eyes of unsupplemented subjects, suggesting that augmentation of MP may enhance vision in patients with this condition.
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