Nanoparticle exposure in animals can be visualized in the skin and analysed via skin biopsy
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The increasing use of nanomaterials raises concerns about the long-term effects of chronic nanoparticle exposure on human health. However, nanoparticle exposure is difficult to evaluate non-invasively using current measurement techniques. Here we show that the skin is an important site of nanoparticle accumulation following systemic administration. Mice injected with high doses of gold nanoparticles have visibly blue skin while quantum dot-treated animals fluoresce under ultraviolet excitation. More importantly, elemental analysis of excised skin correlates with the injected dose and nanoparticle accumulation in the liver and spleen. We propose that skin analysis may be a simple strategy to quantify systemic nanoparticle exposure and predict nanoparticle fate in vivo. Our results suggest that in the future, dermal accumulation may also be exploited to trigger the release of ultraviolet and visible light-sensitive therapeutics that are currently impractical in vivo due to limits in optical penetration of tissues at these wavelengths.
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Although the specific mechanisms are debated, most researchers agree that continuous intentional or unintentional exposure to nanomaterials can lead to chronic nanoparticle toxicity. The measurement of nanoparticle exposure has been difficult because current quantification techniques require the isolation or sampling of internal organs. These invasive and endpoint measurement methods are inappropriate for assessing nanoparticle accumulation in humans. Techniques using fluorescent and radio imaging modalities, such as whole-animal imaging, raman spectroscopy, multi-photon spectroscopy and confocal microscopy, have been employed in academic research for noninvasive measurement of nanoparticles in the skin. Unfortunately, such methods only provide a semi-quantitative measure of the pharmacokinetic distribution of nanoparticles in organs and are limited to particles with specialized labels or properties that allow for detection. Furthermore, detecting nanoparticles with such techniques has been difficult clinically due to the physical limitations of the required optical equipment.

Quantitative and endpoint invasive techniques such as elemental analysis are capable of measuring nanoparticle biodistribution in small animals. Application of such modalities to humans has been limited. To date, biodistribution analysis has been used to identify specific organs that are heavily exposed to nanoparticles. Such studies have shown that nanoparticles have a high propensity for accumulation in the liver and spleen. Despite being the largest vascularized organ of the body and an important component of the immune system, nonspecific skin accumulation of blood-circulating nanoparticles has only been mentioned in a handful of biodistribution studies. Rather, skin nanomaterial research has been focused on assessing skin penetration and accumulation of topically applied nanoparticles composed of titanium oxide, zinc oxide and quantum dots (Fig. 1a). In these studies, nanoparticles were applied to the skin and monitored by dermal microscopy. This body of work has clearly shown that nanoparticles can permeate through hair follicles and transiently extravasate from dermal blood vessels in vitro. However, a thorough examination of the visual appearance and accumulation kinetics of blood-circulating nanoparticles in the skin after systemic injection (Fig. 1b) has yet to be conducted.

Here, we show that intravenously administered inorganic nanoparticles at high doses are visible on the skin of mice under ambient light or through the use of inexpensive handheld devices such as ultraviolet lamps or dermatoscopes. We further show that elemental analysis of small skin biopsies can be used as a generalized approach to quantify the accumulation of gold nanoparticles and quantum dots within the body without the need of fluorescent or radioactive labels.

Results
Detection of gold nanoparticles in mouse skin. Gold nanoparticles are commonly used in molecular diagnostics and drug delivery applications. These nanomaterials were selected for our initial studies as they are easily synthesized, have a distinct ruby colour and can be quantified by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). CD-1 nude athymic mice were tail-vein injected 15-nm gold nanoparticles functionalized with the anti-fouling polymer—methoxy-terminated polyethylene glycol (mPEG) and monitored for 21 days post injection (DPI). Coatings of mPEG were selected to lengthen plasma retention of injected nanoparticles by minimizing the adsorption of serum proteins and for its ubiquitous use in nanomedicine. Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1 summarize the characteristics of the functionalized nanoparticles used in our study. We observed that the complexion of mice injected with a minimum of 6.64 pmol of gold nanoparticles per gram body weight (gBW) turned from pink to blue after 24 h and persisted for 21 days (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2, and Supplementary Movie 1). A similar change in skin colour was seen for nanoparticles coated with transferrin (Fig. 2b) as well as for 100 nm nanoparticles (Fig. 2c), indicating that the accumulation was not a size- or mPEG-specific phenomenon. Repeated dosing with 0.07 pmol gBW−1 of nanoparticles every other day also led to a change in skin colour 5 DPI (Supplementary Fig. 3). This further implies that the nanoparticle-related changes in complexion were

Figure 1 | Illustration of the routes of nanoparticle entry into the skin. (a) Nanoparticles applied topically to the outer surface of the mouse have been shown in previous studies to diffuse through the epidermis to reach the dermal (De) and subcutaneous (Sc) layers of the skin. (b) Systemic administration of nanoparticles by tail-vein injection was found in this study to enter the skin from blood vessels and diffuse into the De and Sc layers of the skin. Our study is focused on the systemic administration and not on the topical application as systemic administration is the most common method for introducing nanoparticle-based drugs and contrast agents into the body.
associated with retention of nanoparticles within the skin and not a byproduct of an excessively large bolus injection.

Complementing our qualitative observation of nanoparticle accumulation, ICP-AES measurements seen in Fig. 2d of excised skin 24 h post injection (HPI) indicated that nanoparticle accumulation was linearly related to injection dose for concentrations between 0.07–6.64 pmol gBW⁻¹. Measurements of nanoparticle content in blood (Supplementary Fig. 4) also confirmed that the nanoparticles measured in skin samples were representative of skin accumulation and not nanoparticles circulating in superficial blood vessels. The kinetics for our highest injection dose revealed that skin retention of our blood-circulating nanoparticles peaked at 24 HPI and decreased to a plateau concentration of 1.7 ± 0.6% dose per g skin over 21 days (Fig. 2e). This decrease coincided with a cumulative increase of nanoparticles in the axillary, brachial and inguinal lymph nodes at 72 HPI (Fig. 2f). As dendritic cells are replaced from the dermis every 72 h (ref. 20), our findings imply that skin-accumulating nanoparticles functionalized with mPEG after 24 and 504 h post injection (HPI). 

Table 1: A summary of the physical properties of methoxy-terminated polyethylene glycol (mPEG)-functionalized nanoparticles.

|                        | Gold nanoparticle | Quantum dot |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Core diameter (nm)     | 15.2 ± 5.4        | 6.5 ± 2.6   |
| Hydrodynamic diameter (nm) | 37.2 ± 3.3 | 11.8 ± 1.7 |
| Zeta potential (mV)    | −11.3 ± 1.6       | −4.6 ± 1.2  |
| Blood half-life (hours)| 9.3 ± 4.0         | 1.9 ± 0.6   |

Identification of skin layers that retain nanoparticles. To further understand how gold nanoparticles became visible in the skin, histopathology was employed to visualize the location of nanoparticle accumulation. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the skin is a stratified tissue that consists of an outer epidermal layer, a vascularized dermal layer and an insulating subcutaneous layer. Skin samples were harvested from mice at different time points between 4 and 504 HPI, histologically sectioned, and silver stained for visualization of nanoparticles. Using immunohistochemical stains for the F4/80 membrane marker for phagocytic cells (Fig. 3a), we confirmed that nanoparticles accumulated in dermal macrophages and dendritic cells at low administration doses (0.07 pmol gBW⁻¹). At higher treatments (0.67–6.67 pmol gBW⁻¹), nanoparticles were seen in the pericellular space of the dermis and subcutaneous tissue (Fig. 3b). Figure 3c–e provide consecutive illustrations of the dose-dependent accumulation of nanoparticles within the skin. The visualization of nanoparticles in phagocytic cells and the pericellular space helps to explain the blue skin colour seen in mice as the high-packing density of gold nanoparticles in such regions and macrophage vesicles can cause gold nanoparticle absorbance to visibly shift from red to blue. Our histology also suggests that nanoparticle accumulation in the pericellular space occurs after cellular uptake of nanoparticles becomes saturated.
Using both bright field microscopy (Fig. 3b) and transmission electron microscopy (Supplementary Fig. 5) of skin sections, we determined and confirmed that the nanoparticles accumulating in the skin were not degraded in the dermis and did not penetrate into the epidermis of this skin. Nanoparticles are known to cross from the epidermis to the dermis when topically applied to the skin. Our results suggest that nanoparticle transport across the basal membrane is unidirectional whereby systemically administered nanoparticles do not cross the stratum basale for shedding during epidermal turnover39,30 in the absence of physical damage to the skin or inflammation after skin accumulation31,32.

**Effect of gold nanoparticles on animal toxicity.** We next sought to determine whether the doses required for visible detection of gold nanoparticles in mouse skin were associated with animal toxicity. The health of mice injected with gold nanoparticles at a dose of 6.67 pmol gBW\(^{-1}\) was monitored at 7 and 21 DPI to assess the upper threshold of nanoparticle toxicity for our study. Mouse health was closely monitored for signs of distress and changes to body weight. By appearance, mice administered with gold nanoparticles were normal and did not significantly drop in body weight compared with control animals injected with phosphate-buffered saline (Supplementary Fig. 6). Blood biochemistry and haematological analysis were also performed to assess systemic toxicity in our mice. A brief description of the parameters used for blood biochemistry and haematological analysis is summarized in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

White blood cell count, monocyte, neutrophil and lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig. 7), were universally below the health range specified by the breeder, Charles River laboratories (www.criver.com/files/pdfs/rms/cd1-nude/rm_rm_r_cd-1_nude_mouse_clinical_pathology_data.aspx). However, the similarity between nanoparticle-treated and untreated mice suggests that the sub-standard readings were likely related to mouse age and stress versus nanoparticle exposure33. Acute liver toxicity was estimated by quantification of haematological enzyme levels (Supplementary Fig. 8). Once again, our values were below those reported by the breeder specifications but not statistically different from control groups. Acute liver toxicity is typically associated with significant elevation in bilirubin34, alkaline phosphatase33, alanine aminotransferase35 and aspartate aminotransferase35. These enzyme levels can fluctuate due to an animal’s level of physical activity as well as the time of day in which blood was sampled33. Hence, we concluded that the universally lower values for both treatment and control groups were likely not associated with nanoparticle toxicity. We, however, would like to note that although gold nanoparticle toxicity was not observed at the reported doses, our toxicology results may not predict the long-term impact of nanoparticle exposure on healthy animals and may not be generalizable to other nanoparticle types as particle composition and surface chemistry may yield different biological effects.

**Influence of quantum dots on mouse skin.** Building on our gold nanoparticle observations, we explored whether skin...
Quantum dots in the skin was also linearly related to injection dose at 72 HPI. Error bars denote s.e.m. for measurements (Supplementary Fig. 9) of skin biopsies, which demonstrated visual inhomogeneity of skin accumulation, nanoparticle content in skin samples taken from the central region on the dorsal side of mice could be used to measure internal organ exposure to nanoparticles. This limit of detection in nanoparticles in the skin by ICP-AES was evaluated by spiking standard dilutions of gold nanoparticles and quantum dots with mouse skin. We identified that gold nanoparticles and quantum dots could be detected down to 0.04 and 0.028 pmol, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 10). Interestingly, liver and spleen accumulation of gold nanoparticles (Fig. 5a,b) at 24 HPI and quantum dots (Fig. 5c,d) at 72 HPI were linearly related to the injected dose. We further noticed that nanoparticle content in these organs could be predicted by multiplying the measured nanoparticle content in the skin by a constant for all administered doses (Fig. 5e,f). This relationship between the skin, liver and spleen implies that skin biopsies can be used as an easily accessible and minimally invasive surrogate measure of reticuloendothelial organ exposure to nanoparticles. This may conceivably be useful for clinical identification of the type and degree of nanoparticle exposure in the future.

Effect of tissue origin on nanoparticle quantification. As a final task, we validated whether nanoparticle quantification of the skin by ICP-AES was consistent across different regions of the body and independent of species. Nanoparticle content in skin samples taken dorsally from gold nanoparticle-treated mice at the cranial, central and caudal regions and was compared by ICP-AES. Despite the visual inhomogeneity of skin accumulation, nanoparticle content was statistically uniform (Supplementary Fig. 11). This both indicated that (i) the patchy pigmentation was likely caused by nanoparticle accumulation at deeper layers of the skin and (ii) skin...
biopsies can be taken from anywhere on the body for ICP-AES measurement. Measurement of nanoparticle content in the skin versus blood (Supplementary Fig. 4) further confirmed that the concentration of nanoparticles in the skin was reflective of tissue accumulation and not nanoparticle presence within superficial blood vessels of the skin. We also examined whether the measurements of excised skin were biased by the animal species to ensure that ICP-AES measurement of skin samples could be translated for use with nonmouse tissues. Standard dilutions of gold nanoparticles and quantum dots were spiked with samples of porcine, rabbit and mouse skin. Our results demonstrated that nanoparticle measurement was unaffected by the presence of skin from different animals (Supplementary Fig. 10) and validated that ICP-AES analysis of skin biopsies could potentially be used for the assessment of nanoparticle exposure in human tissues.

Discussion
In light of the growing interest in nanomedicine, the observation of nanoparticle accumulation in the skin is significant from a number of perspectives. First, it demonstrates that systemic exposure to inorganic nanoparticles can be detected by visual and elemental analysis of the skin. The ability to use skin to determine exposure provides a noninvasive and rapid determination of nanoparticle exposure that can be further quantified by ICP-AES after skin biopsy. This quantification method addresses the need for an absolute nanoparticle quantification scheme that is unencumbered by the interference from biological tissues which commonly limit noninvasive optical detection techniques such as two-photon or Raman microscopy (see Supplementary Table 1 for comparison). Second, our observations allude to the need for further study of the toxicological impact of nanomaterials on the skin. Skin accumulation of nanoparticles postsystemic administration may result in the adverse generation of reactive oxygen species or heat through low tissue-penetrating ultraviolet or visible light excitation. This will become increasingly important as nanomaterials become incorporated into commercial products and industrial processes. On the bright side, our findings suggest that nanoparticle accumulation in the skin may also be exploited to develop novel strategies for engineering photoresponsive nanomaterials for diagnosing diseases or for controlling drug release via systemic injection (unpublished data).

Although our work demonstrates that nanoparticles accumulate in the skin, the observed differences in the kinetics of skin accumulation between the gold nanoparticles and quantum dots suggest that additional investigation into different nanoparticle sizes, shapes, surface chemistries and routes of administration is required to identify how the physico-chemical properties of a
nanoparticle and modes of exposure may affect their skin accumulation. Such work will provide a better understanding of the biodistribution behaviour of nanoparticles in vivo and will allow for the exploitation of dermal accumulation for practical use. Despite the need for future studies, our current findings shed new light on the accumulation patterns of nanomaterials in vivo and also provide a wealth of new opportunities for engineering novel diagnostics and therapeutics using optical wavelengths with low tissue penetration.

Methods

Nanoparticle synthesis and functionalization. Fifteen-nanometre gold nanoparticles were synthesized and functionalized previously by Frey et al.14 In short, 100 ml of double-distilled water was set to boil with 0.3 mg ml-1 of sodium citrate tribasic (Sigma S4641), followed by the addition of 9.85 mg of gold (III) chloride trihydrate (Sigma G4022) under rapid stirring and reflux for 7 min. Citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles were concentrated and surface functionalized with 5 kDa sulfhydryl-PEG (Layon Bio MEG-5000) at an excess reaction ratio of four methoxy-terminated polyethylene glycol nm-2 under heated conditions (60°C) for 30 min. Trilete Quantum dots with fluorescent emissions of 525, 575 and 667 nm were purchased from CytoDiagnostics, Burlington, Canada and functionalized with 5 kDa sulfphydryl-mPEG groups using a two-step ligand exchange. Briefly, chloroform-solubilized quantum dots were washed with thioglycolic acid (Sigma T3758) under stirring conditions for 5 h at room temperature and washed in acetone containing 1.85% wt-1 tetramethylammonium hydroxide pentahydrate (Sigma T7305). Quantum dots were then reacted with sulfhydryl-mPEG in 50 mM borate buffer at a 1:11250 ratio at 60°C for 1 h at 200 rpm. Mice were warmed under heat lamp illumination for 2 h. Haematoxylin and eosin were used for tissue contrast, while immunohistochemistry was conducted in compliance with the ethical regulations set out by the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy Animal Care Committee, University of Toronto.

Biodistribution analysis. Tissues were digested in trypticum (1 mg ml-1) supplemented aqua regia (75% hydrochloric acid, 25% nitric acid) at 70°C for 2 h. Digested organs were diluted in double-distilled water and filtered through Millipore 0.22 mm PVDF filters (Millipore GV) to remove debris. Gold and cadmium content was quantified via ICP-AES (Perkin-Elmer Optima 3000) to determine organ concentration of gold nanoparticles and quantum dots, respectively. Yttrium was used as a reference to account for sample loss during tissue processing. All measurements were normalized to injection dose and wet tissue mass.

Optical imaging of mice. Whole-animal images were acquired while mice were anesthetized with isoflurane-oxygen using a Canon PowerShot 580 camera. Gold nanoparticles were imaged under normal white light conditions, while quantum dot fluorescence was achieved through illumination with a handheld ultraviolet lamp. Images were acquired at 0.17, 2, 4, 8, 24, 48, 72, 168, 336, and 304 h post nanoparticle injection.
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