Effect of a time dependent stenosis on flow of a second order fluid through constricted tube with velocity slip at wall using integral method

Abstract

The effect of time dependent, axially symmetric constriction in a tube of constant cross section, through which a non-Newtonian fluid is flowing steadily, is modeled and the analysis was made using integral approach. The present article is stationed on second order fluid model. The study is made applicable for mild constriction by using an order of magnitude analysis. The effect of different parameters, non-Newtonian characteristics, Reynolds number and time looming in the model on velocity distribution, wall shear stress, separation and reattachment and pressure gradient are reviewed graphically. It is observed that Reynolds number gives a mechanism to oversite the attachment and de-attachment data. Constricted tube Non-Newtonian fluids Time dependent stenosis Slip velocity Shear stress.
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Introduction

Constriction is the development of arteriosclerotic plaques in the lumen of an artery which produce major circulatory derangement. Fluid dynamic characteristics of blood flow are the curtain-raiser to understand and diagnosis the diseases and their treatment. Blood flow model through constricted tubes are analyzed by many researchers. The fluid flow through infected artery is considered theoretically. At less shear rate blood is treated as Newtonian fluid. Non-Newtonian and steady blood flow through sicked artery is presented by D Biswas analytically and by SR Verma numerically studies the fluid flow through tepid obstructed tube analytically. Few studies considered the no slip property at uniform and constricted walls. A Mirza et al., developed a mathematical model for second order fluid through constricted tube with slip velocity at wall and solved the non-linear differential equation analytically. A Bhatnagar et al., reported the effect of slip velocity on non-Newtonian (Herschel-Bulkely) fluid flow through constricted artery. They derived the non-dimensional results for skin friction, flow resistance, flow rate and axial velocity. NZ khan et al., extended the work of JH Forrester et al., for second order fluid through constricted tube with slip velocity at wall. DF Young & PN Tandon considered the time rate of change of radius. The aim of this work is to study the effect of time dependent constriction with slip effects at wall for second order fluid flow.

Governing equations

The governing equations for an incompressible fluid, where body forces are neglected, given as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0, $$

(1)

where

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \mathbf{V} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{V}) = -\nabla p + \alpha_1 \nabla (\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}) + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{V} + \alpha_2 (\nabla \mathbf{V}) \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{V}) + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{V}) \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{V}) - \alpha_3 \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{V}) \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{V}).$$
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Problem formulation

A steady, laminar and incompressible flow of a second order fluid through constricted tube having transient cosine framed symmetric constriction of height $\delta$ is considered. $R_0$, $R(z)$ are the radii of the normal and constricted tube. The $z$- and $r$-axis are taken along the flow direction and normal to it and $t$ is time. Following the tube boundary is defined as:\(^\uparrow\)

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \begin{cases} R_o - \frac{\delta}{2} (1 - e^{-\delta/T})(1 + \cos(\frac{\pi z}{z_0})), & -z_0 < z < z_0 \\ R_o, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

In Eq. (6), $T$ is the time constant and $z_0$ is the length of the constricted part as shown in the Figure 1. Radius of normal tube can be obtained by taking $t = 0$.

![Figure 1: Geometry of the problem.](image)

The velocity vector $\vec{V}$ for axisymmetric and time independent is taken of the form:

$$\vec{V} = \left[ \hat{u}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{z}), 0, \hat{w}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{z}) \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{w}$ are the velocity components in $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{z}$ directions respectively. According to the geometry of the problem the boundary conditions are:

$$\hat{u} = \hat{v} = v_z \text{ at } \tilde{r} = R(z), \quad \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}} = 0 \text{ at } \tilde{r} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

In view of Eq. (8) the Eqs. (1) and (2) become:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \hat{v} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \hat{w} \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} + \rho \hat{w} \Omega = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} - \alpha \hat{u} (\nabla^2 \Omega - \frac{\Omega}{\tilde{r}^2} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} (\hat{u} \Omega)),$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where:

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}} - \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \tilde{z}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\rho}{2} (\hat{u}^2 + \hat{w}^2) - \alpha_1 \hat{u} \hat{w} \hat{v} + \frac{3}{4} (3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) |\hat{A}|^2 + p,$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

From Eq. (15) using order of magnitude technique, which is also suitable for non-Newtonian fluids, it is notable that $1 \frac{\delta}{R_0} \ll 1,$ $u \ll w,$ $\frac{\delta}{R_0} \ll 1,$ $\frac{R_0}{z_0} \sim O(1)$ then normal axial stress component $\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r \partial z}$ is imperceptible as compared to the gradient of shear. So Eqs. (9) and (13) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial \tilde{t}} = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial \tilde{z}} = \frac{1}{R_o} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right],$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\hat{k} = \frac{w^2}{2} - \alpha \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \tilde{r}^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tilde{r}} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right)^2 + p.$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

The exact solution of Eq. 21 is not possible. We can find the approximate solution by assuming fourth order polynomial which is called Karman-Pohlhausen method.\(^\uparrow\)
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Using Eq. (19) in (21) to get

\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{w}{r^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{w}{r^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{w}{r^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \]

(33)

And in order to get closed form of solution it is assumed that velocity profile is parabolic, i.e

\[ w = U \left[ 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right] \]

(34)

As discussed by JH Forrester et al., if we neglect the non-linear terms the flow through obstruction becomes Poiseuille. Substitution of Eqs. (34) and (29) into Eq. (19) and (33) yields generalized pressure and pressure gradient

\[ \frac{dh}{dz} = 48 \alpha^* \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{dp}{dz} - 25 \sqrt{\frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{dp}{dz} + 24 \beta^* \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{dp}{dz} + dp} \]

(35)

\[ dh \]

(36)

In order to get velocity \( w \), we put Eqs. (32) and (33) in Eq. (29) and (28) to get

\[ w = \frac{2}{R^2} \frac{Q}{\pi} \left[ 2 \eta - \eta^2 \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{dr}{dz} \left[ -11 \eta + 43 \eta^2 - 45 \eta^3 + 15 \eta^4 \right] \]

\[ \left[ \frac{4}{225} \frac{Q}{\pi} \frac{dr}{dz} - \frac{4}{25} \frac{R}{\alpha^*} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \frac{dr}{dz} + \frac{64}{75} \frac{R}{\alpha^*} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{128}{75} \beta^* \frac{R}{\pi^2} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \right] \]

\[ + \nu \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{16975} \left( 75422 \eta - 110311 \eta^2 + 73540 \eta^3 - 18855 \eta^4 \right) \right] \]

(37)

where \( \eta = 1 - r/R \). Velocity for normal tube can be obtained by substituting \( t = 0 \). The volume flow flux in normal tube is \( Q = \pi R^2 U_0 \), which gives non-dimensional flux \( Q = \frac{Q}{R^2 U_0} = \pi \)

which is same for obstructed tube. \(^{41-44}\) So the expressions for the velocity \( w \) and pressure gradient \( dp/dz \) becomes

\[ w = \frac{2}{R^2} \frac{Q}{\pi} \left[ 2 \eta - \eta^2 \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{dr}{dz} \left[ -11 \eta + 43 \eta^2 - 45 \eta^3 + 15 \eta^4 \right] \]

\[ \left[ \frac{4}{225} \frac{Q}{\pi} \frac{dr}{dz} - \frac{4}{25} \frac{R}{\alpha^*} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \frac{dr}{dz} + \frac{64}{75} \frac{R}{\alpha^*} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{128}{75} \beta^* \frac{R}{\pi^2} \frac{Q^2}{\pi^2} \right] \]

\[ + \nu \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{16975} \left( 75422 \eta - 110311 \eta^2 + 73540 \eta^3 - 18855 \eta^4 \right) \right] \]

(38)
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As a special case the velocity profile can be obtained by taking 
\[ \alpha^* = \beta^* = 0 \] in Eq. (38).

**Pressure distribution**

Pressure distribution at any sector z along the constriction can be obtained when Eq. (39) is integrated using boundary condition that is \( p = p_0 \) at \( z = z_0 \).

\[
\frac{dp}{dz} = \sum \left( \frac{8}{225} \pi \alpha \right) \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 + \frac{2608}{75} \frac{\beta}{R^2} \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 \frac{dV}{dz} \frac{436}{25} \left( \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 \right).
\]

\( \alpha^* = \beta^* = 0 \) in Eq. (38).

\[
\frac{dp}{dz} = \sum \left( \frac{8}{225} \pi \alpha \right) \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 + \frac{2608}{75} \frac{\beta}{R^2} \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 \frac{dV}{dz} \frac{436}{25} \left( \frac{dR}{dz} R_0^2 \right).
\]

For normal tube i.e. \( t = 0 \) or \( \delta = 0 \) and \( f(\delta) = g(\delta) = 1 \), the pressure distribution is given by

\[
\left( \Delta p \right)_p = -\frac{16 z_0}{R_0 R_0} + \frac{v_0}{R} \frac{z_0}{R_0}.
\]

In unobstructed tube the Poiseuille flow is defined by subscript \( P \) . If tube length is \( 2L \), then the pressure across the whole length of the constricted artery can be expressed as

\[
[\Delta p] = \frac{1}{R^2} - \frac{1}{R_0^2} + \frac{8}{\pi R_0} \frac{(2L - 2z_0)}{R_0} f(\delta^*) - \frac{2L - 2z_0}{R_0} g(\delta^*). \quad (53)
\]

For normal tube, \( z_0 = 0 \) the expression for the pressure distribution will become

\[
[\Delta p] = \frac{2L}{\pi R_0^2} f(\delta^*) - \frac{2L}{\pi R_0} g(\delta^*). \quad (54)
\]

We note that Eqs. (48) and (50) carry the results of (10) as a special case for \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \).

**Shear Stress on Constricted Surface**

The shear stress on the obstructed surface is

\[
\tau_w = -\left( \mu \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} \right) + \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} \right).
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right) = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} \right).
\]

The shear stress can be found by substituting Eq. (15) in Eq. (52), i.e

\[
\frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_0^2} = -\left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} \right) \right).
\]

From Eq. (38) and (53), we obtain
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\[ \tau_w = \left[ \frac{4}{A^3} \int_0^1 \frac{dR}{R} \frac{528}{R} \left( \alpha \gamma - \frac{19344}{16975} \alpha \gamma^2 - \frac{44}{225} \right) R^3 \right] \]

\[ \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{dR}{R} \frac{4}{R^2} \left( \frac{528}{R} \left( \alpha \gamma - \frac{19344}{16975} \alpha \gamma^2 - \frac{44}{225} \right) R^3 \right) \right] = 0, \]

For \( \alpha^* = \beta^* = 0 \), the results of (10) can be found. Shear Stress in unobstructed tube will be

\[ \left( \frac{\tau_w}{R} \right)_p = \frac{4}{R^2} \frac{528}{R} \left( \alpha \gamma - \frac{19344}{16975} \alpha \gamma^2 - \frac{44}{225} \right). \]

Separation and reattachment

The separation and reattachment data can be found by taking imperceptible effects of shear stress at the wall, i.e. \( \tau_w = 0 \).

\[ R_e = \frac{A}{4938} \frac{dR}{dz} B \left( \frac{dR}{dz} \right)^2 C + \frac{9.82572236 \times 10^{10}}{59752} B, \]

Where

\[ A = 9 \left( \frac{33959R^2 - 7771R^4 \gamma_{v_{R}} + 14938 \frac{dR}{dz} \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}}{R^2 \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}} \right), \]

\[ B = R^2 + 4 \alpha \gamma + 96 \beta, C = 611100 \frac{dR}{dz} \gamma_{R^2 \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}^2} - 678798 \frac{dR}{dz} \gamma_{R^2 \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}^2} + 26888 \frac{dR}{dz} \gamma_{R^2 \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}^2} \left( \frac{dR}{dz} \right)^2 \gamma_{R^2 \gamma_{v_{R} - \alpha \gamma}^2}. \]

**(58) Results and discussion**

In this theoretical study the blood is considered as second order two-dimensional fluid flowing in a constricted tube of infinite length. The results are applicable on mild constriction.

In (Figures 2) (Figure 3) the change of non-Newtonian parameter \( \alpha^* \) and \( \beta^* \) on the non dimensional velocity profile with and without slip is depicted at \( z = 0.475 \) taking \( R_e = 5, \delta^* = 0.083, \tau^* = 3 \).

It is notable that velocity increases with an increase in non-Newtonian characteristic (with and without slip) which is true in physical phenomena. On the other hand non dimensional velocity increases with slip effects. It is evident from Figure 4 that when Reynolds number boost velocity of the fluid also rise near the throat of the constriction, however, it decline in the diverging region, physically it means that viscous forces are dement over the inertia forces. Effect of Reynolds number for Newtonian fluids can be examined in Figure 5.10

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2** Effect of non-Newtonian parameter \( \alpha^* \) on velocity profile.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3** Effect of non-Newtonian characteristic \( \beta^* \) on velocity profile.

![Figure 4](image3.png)

**Figure 4** Outcomes of \( R_e \) on velocity profile for non-Newtonian fluid.

It is depicted from Figure 6 that with and without slip velocity of the fluid expanded with a rise in time, same behavior for Newtonian fluids can be seen from Figure 7. Moreover, it is noted that enhancement in velocity for non-Newtonian fluid is greater than Newtonian fluid due to slip velocity. The effects of Reynolds number on dimensionless pressure gradient between \( z = 0 \pm 1 \) is shown in (Figure 8) (Figure 9).

It is notable that the pressure gradient raises up to the throat of the constriction and then declines in the diverging portion for both non-Newtonian and Newtonian fluids with and without velocity slip. In the
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Meanwhile it is evident from the (Figure 8) (Figure 9) that the pressure gradient contracted with rise in Reynolds number.

Effects of non-Newtonian parameters on pressure gradient is given in (Figure 10) (Figure 11) that the pressure rises as non-Newtonian parameters boost and the slip velocity declines the pressure gradient.

Same conduct for constriction height $\delta^*$ on the pressure gradient is observed in (Figure 12) (Figure 13). (Figure 14) (Figure 15) presents the effect of deviation of time on pressure gradient for non-Newtonian and Newtonian fluids. The results found for Newtonian fluids are same as discussed by DF Young.\(^1\)

The analytical distribution of shearing stress along the wall is shown in Figures 16–20.

It is observed from the (Figure 16) (Figure 17) that for any Reynolds number, the shearing stress attains a large value on the throat and then promptly declines in the diverging section. It is notable here that shear stress declines with a rise in Reynolds number and slip velocity decreases the wall shear stress. It means that Reynolds number and slip velocity provide a mechanism to control the wall shear stress. Figure 18 shows that as non-Newtonian parameter $\beta^*$ expanded wall shear stress also rises, which was expected naturally.

(Figure 19) (Figure 20) shows that wall shear stress increases with an increase in time $t^*$ and decreases with slip velocity.

(Figure 21) (Figure 22) shows the effects of constriction on the separation and reattachment points respectively. It is noted, as naturally expected, that separation point intricate with a rise in non-Newtonian parameter $\beta^*$ while reattachment point downward.

It is notable here that the separation point intricate and the reattachment point moves downward with velocity slip $v_s$.
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\caption{Effect of $R_e^*$ on velocity profile for Newtonian fluid.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Outcomes of time $t^*$ on velocity profile for non-Newtonian fluid.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Effect of $t^*$ on velocity profile for Newtonian fluid.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Effect of $R_e^*$ on pressure gradient for non-Newtonian fluid.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Effect of $R_e^*$ on pressure gradient for Newtonian fluid.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image6.png}
\caption{Effect of non-Newtonian parameter $\alpha^*$ on pressure gradient.}
\end{figure}
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\[ R_e = S, \alpha^* = -0.2, \delta^* = 0.083, R_0 = 1, \gamma^* = 3 \]

\[ \beta^* = 0.3, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.3, \nu_s = 0.05 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.4, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.4, \nu_s = 0.05 \]

\[ r^* = 1, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ r^* = 1, \nu_s = 0.05 \]
\[ r^* = 3, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ r^* = 3, \nu_s = 0.05 \]

\[ R_e = S, \alpha^* = 0, \beta^* = 0, R_0 = 1, \delta^* = 3 \]

\[ \beta^* = 0.3, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.3, \nu_s = 0.05 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.4, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ \beta^* = 0.4, \nu_s = 0.05 \]

\[ r^* = 1, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ r^* = 1, \nu_s = 0.05 \]
\[ r^* = 3, \nu_s = 0 \]
\[ r^* = 3, \nu_s = 0.05 \]

Figure 11 Effect of non-Newtonian parameter \( \beta^* \) on pressure gradient.

Figure 12 Effect of \( \delta^* \) on pressure gradient for non-Newtonian fluid.

Figure 13 Effect of \( \delta^* \) on pressure gradient for Newtonian fluid.

Figure 14 Effect of \( \gamma^* \) on pressure gradient for non-Newtonian fluid.

Figure 15 Effect of \( \gamma^* \) on pressure gradient for Newtonian fluid.

Figure 16 Effect of \( R_0 \) on shear stress for non-Newtonian fluid.

Figure 17 Effect of \( R_0 \) on shear stress for Newtonian fluid.

Figure 18 Effect of \( \beta^* \) on wall shear stress.
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Conclusion

In this work an incompressible, steady and laminar flow of a second order fluid through time dependent obstructed tube is modeled and analyzed theoretically. The fluid is taken as blood flowing through the artery and the results are pertinent to mild stenosis. The characteristics of fluid such velocity field, pressure gradient, wall shear stress and separation phenomena for the geometry of the time dependent constriction are presented. An integral momentum method is applied for the solution of the problem. In human body blood flow is laminar so the Reynolds number taken in the present theoretical study is very close to natural phenomena. Usually the slip velocity is taken as the 10 percent of the average velocity. Therefore we have followed this approach. The present study can be summarized as below:

As non-Newtonian parameter increases velocity increases.

1. Viscous forces are dement over inertia forces near the throat of the constriction, however, opposite results is observed in the diverging portion.
2. Reynolds number and non-Newtonian are the parameter to controls the wall shear stress.
3. The separation and reattachment points vary with Reynolds number.
4. Slip velocity has increasing effects on velocity profile while decreasing on pressure gradient and wall shearing stress.

The present study recovers the theoretical and experimental results for the velocity profile, pressure gradient and wall shear stress of (10) as a major case for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$.

variation of time $t$ shows the constriction development
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