S-phase specificity of cell killing by docetaxel (Taxotere) in synchronised HeLa cells
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Summary  Cell viability following short (1 h) contact with paclitaxel or docetaxel was assayed using synchronised HeLa cells. Docetaxel proved almost totally lethal against S-phase cells. Its toxicity was only partial against cells in mitosis, and declined to a minimum with progression to G₁. For paclitaxel, cytotoxicity increased with progression through S and G₂, peaked at the time of mitosis, and decreased thereafter. Maximum resistance to paclitaxel was in early S. Although lethal, brief exposure to docetaxel in S-phase did not delay progression through S and G₂. Gross damage was detectable immediately after mitosis, with dysfunction in cytokinesis and accumulation of multinucleated, non-viable cells. Arrest of cells at prophase required continuous contact with lethal amounts of docetaxel or reintroduction of drug shortly before mitosis following short treatment in mid-S-phase. Paclitaxel at moderate doses presumably acts mostly via damage to the mitotic spindle. In contrast, the available data suggest that docetaxel primarily targets centrosome organisation, leading to abortive mitosis and cell death.
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Paclitaxel (Taxol) and docetaxel (Taxotere) are the first of a new class of microtubule-targeting anti-tumour diterpenoids currently referred to as taxoids (Figure 1). Paclitaxel (PAC) was first isolated from the bark of the Pacific yew Taxus brevifolia (Wani et al., 1971). Docetaxel (DOC) is a new taxoid obtained from chemical synthesis of 10-deacetylbaccatin III, a taxoid extracted from a renewable source, the needles of the English yew Taxus baccata (Mangatal et al., 1989; Gueritte-Voegelein et al., 1991). PAC and DOC are highly cytotoxic against proliferating mammalian cells in vitro (Hanauske et al., 1992; Kelland and Abel, 1992; Riou et al., 1992). DOC reportedly cures transplantable tumours in mice (Bissery et al., 1991), and both PAC and DOC have proved active in the treatment of ovarian, breast and lung cancer in humans (Exera et al., 1993; Rowinsky et al., 1992).

The elucidation of the mode of action of taxoids is of great interest in consideration of their promising anti-tumour potential. PAC in the micromolar range is a promoter of tubulin polymerisation, thus decreasing the critical concentration of tubulin required for the self-assembly of microtubules (Schiff et al., 1979) in the absence of microtubule-associated proteins and of GTP (Schiff and Horwitz, 1981). PAC also stabilises microtubules against disruption by nocodazole (Amin-Hanjani et al., 1991), by calcium chloride (Thompson et al., 1981) and by cold in the test tube and in cells (Schiff et al., 1979; Schiff and Horwitz, 1980). Finally, PAC binds specifically and reversibly to polymerised forms of tubulin with stoichiometry close to unity (Parness and Horwitz, 1981), promotes the reorganisation of the microtubule network into dense bundles or asters (De Brabander et al., 1981a; Roberts et al., 1989) and induces complex arrays of cross-bridged microtubules and intermediate filaments (Geuen et al., 1983; Green and Goldman, 1983). DOC produces the same effects as PAC on the microtubule system, yet it appears more potent than PAC on a molar basis (Gueritte-Voegelein et al., 1991; Ringel and Horwitz, 1991; Horwitz, 1992; Diaz and Andreu, 1993). These effects have been proposed to play a major role in the antineoplastic activity of PAC (Rowinsky et al., 1988); however, they are not observed unless cells are exposed for extended lengths of time to supralethal amounts of drug (Amin-Hanjani et al., 1991), and attempts at identifying the mode of action of taxoids in living cells from studies in the test tube should take into consideration the capability of cells to accumulate these drugs in large excess over the medium (Jordan et al., 1993; Riou et al., 1994).

Exposure of human cells to PAC brings about a sustained block at the metaphase–anaphase boundary. This again requires prolonged, continuous contact with lethal amounts of drug, and large differences in the efficiency of the mitotic block occur among cell lines (Gupta, 1985; Roberts et al., 1990); in some instances drug-treated cells escape the mitotic block without cytokinesis and give rise to multinucleated, non-viable cells (Jordan et al., 1993). We thought that using pulse (1 h) exposure to amounts of drugs in the range of the IC₅₀ values might shed some light on the mode of action of taxoids, in particular on the cell cycle phase dependence of the cytotoxicity of drugs. HeLa cells were chosen because of
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Figure 1  Chemical structure of paclitaxel and docetaxel.
the ease with which they can be synchronised at G1–S using a double-thymidine block technique (Bjursell and Reichard. 1973). We show here that PAC and DOC exhibit pronounced differences in their cell cycle phase specificity for cell killing.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Thymidine, 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine, propidium iodide and vinblastine were from Sigma. Rat monoclonal antibody directed against 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine and fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG were from Cera-Lab and Southern Biotechnology Associates respectively. PAC (NSC 125973) and DOC (RP 56976; NSC 628503) were obtained from Rorer and stored as 10 mM sterile solutions in absolute ethanol at −20°C. Both drugs were adjusted extemporaneously at the required concentrations by sequential dilutions in pure dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and finally in growth medium with vortexing. The final concentration of DMSO was kept low enough (≤ 0.5%) in order not to affect the growth of cells. [Propionyl-3-14C]DOC (Département des Molecules Marquées, CEA; specific activity 47 mCi mmol−1) was supplied as an ethanolic solution by Rhône-Poulenc Rorer and was stored at −80°C. All products for cell culture were from Gibco-BRL.

Cell cultures

Human cervix carcinoma HeLa cells were subcultured and grown as monolayers in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle minimal essential medium as described elsewhere (Hennequin et al. 1994).

Synchronisation of HeLa cells was achieved using a double-thymidine block technique in the same way as described by Tsao et al. (1992).

Treatments

For colony formation assays, 600–1200 cells from 4-day-old mid-log phase subcultures were plated in triplicate or more in 25 cm² flasks, and allowed to adhere and spread for 4 h in the incubator before treatment. Following drug exposure, the flasks were rinsed and cells returned to normal growth medium for 10–11 days. Colonies were then dislodged by trypsin, cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended and scored with a model 256 Coulter counter.

Exposure to PAC or DOC was carried out in dim light to prevent photodegradation of the drugs. Contact with either drug was for 1 h, unless otherwise stated. Drugs were carefully removed by two washes with Hanks’ balanced saline (37°C) at 3–4 min intervals and cells were returned to normal growth medium.

Uptake and effect of DOC

Incorporation and release of DOC were probed using [propionyl-3-14C]DOC at concentrations ranging between 20 nM (0.94 nCi ml⁻¹) and 200 nM (9.4 nCi ml⁻¹) and cell densities of 4 × 10⁴ to 8 × 10⁴ cells cm⁻² (25 cm² flasks). Following contact with drug for the desired times (up to 4 h), the flasks were carefully rinsed twice with 10 ml of warm Hanks’ balanced saline solution, and once with complete growth medium. Flasks were rinsed again before lysis. Lysis was achieved by addition of 2 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 2% lauryl sulphate solution, pH 7.8, and the lysates counted by scintillation. Cells were scored using replicate samples grown and treated in parallel.

Where required, depolymerisation of microtubules before contact with DOC was achieved in the same way as described for P-388 cells (Riou et al. 1994), namely vinblastine (3 µg ml⁻¹) was introduced 2 h before DOC, and was present during DOC treatment (1 h). Cell survival was determined for each treatment applied alone or in combination.

Cytotoxicity studies in asynchronous cultures

To establish a cytotoxic range for PAC and DOC, mid-log proliferating HeLa cells were exposed to either drug and the cell viability was assessed using clonogenic assays. The results for 1 h drug exposure are shown in Figure 4. Cell survival followed an exponential dose-effect relationship with both drugs. The IC₅₀ value, i.e. the amount of drug that reduces the proliferation of treated cells to 50% of that of controls, was in the range of 10 nM (DOC) to 225 nM (PAC).

Increasing the length of contact with drug resulted in a steep fall in cell survival. For example, the IC₅₀ for 24 h exposure to DOC was reduced by as much as 35-fold compared with that for a 1 h contact.

Cytotrophometric analysis and data handling

Cell cycle progression was monitored by bivariate flow cytometry as previously described (Hennequin et al. 1994). In experiments using synchronised cells, corrections for cellular multiplicity were performed for the same reasons and in the same way as reported previously (Hennequin et al. 1994).

Results

Cell cycle redistribution by DOC

Alteration of the cell cycle progression of asynchronous growing HeLa cells upon continuous contact with DOC was first investigated by bivariate flow cytometry for comparison with data published on PAC. Some of the results are shown in Figures 2 and 3. At large enough doses, DOC induced accumulation of cells at a premitotic stage with depletion of the G1 and S-phase compartments. At 20 nM DOC, G1 depletion was completed after 14 h of treatment, but integral S-phase depletion and mitotic arrest required 24 h incubation. Few cells only escaped the mitotic block. This picture did not change appreciably after 30 h of contact with drug, but substantial amounts of cell debris accumulated after 36 h. Virtually all cells, therefore, were blocked (prometaphase) within one doubling time (Figure 3). However, the concentration of DOC required to reach this effect (20 nM) was about 20-fold as large as the IC₅₀ value determined from clonogenic assays (data not shown), and no survivor was left after treatment.

Incorporation and release of DOC

HeLa cells were found to accumulate large amounts of [propionyl-3-14C]DOC, up to 400-fold in excess over the medium. Uptake and release were half over in c. 35 min and 5.2 ± 0.3 h respectively. Drug efflux was biphasic, with a minor (c. 15%) component lasting less than 30 min and a major component (c. 85%) with t₁/₂ = 6.8 ± 0.4 h (data not shown). Once corrected for cell multiplicity, the uptake of DOC (1 h exposure) showed only minor changes with progression of synchronised HeLa cells through the cell cycle for one doubling time following release from double thymidine block.

Microtubule depolymerisation by pretreatment with vinblastine (see Materials and methods) reduced DOC uptake to 15% of controls. Residual survival, however, was equal to the product SDOC × SLB of the surviving fractions determined for each treatment applied alone.

In summary, the documentation of the specificity of the effects of PAC and DOC demonstrates that while PAC is exclusively a S-phase poison, DOC affects many cell compartments.
Cell cycle phase dependence of drug cytotoxicity

PAC and DOC, each at doses close to the IC₅₀ values determined above (Figure 4), showed dissimilar responses in assays using synchronised HeLa cells (Figure 5). DOC proved almost totally lethal against S-phase cells. Its toxicity was not as absolute against mitotic cells, and declined to a minimum as cells escaped M and progressed to G₁ phase. The toxicity of PAC was comparatively low in early S, then increased gradually as cells progressed through S and G₂, peaked at the time of mitosis and decreased again in the next G₁ phase.

Pulse-chase treatment by DOC in early S phase entailed no delay in progression through S and G₂ compared with controls, but the bulk of DOC-treated cells concertedly experienced aberrant mitosis without cytokinesis, ending in formation of multinucleated, rounded cells which rapidly detached from the surface of the flasks and died. This appears to be a major characteristic of the lethal mechanisms induced by DOC. Among cells exposed to PAC in mid-S phase, only some, in proportion to the cytotoxic potential of the drug at the stage considered, experienced the same fate.

Thus, although fatal to cells, brief treatment with 10–30 nM DOC in mid-S-phase was not able to bring about the premitotic block observed upon permanent contact with drug. This was achieved, however, when DOC (20 nM) was reintroduced 1 h before mitosis and subsequently left permanently in the medium.

Discussion

Permanent contact with DOC blocks cell cycle progression of growing HeLa cells at prometaphase. The time dependence of this process (Figures 2 and 3) matches exactly that known for PAC (Jordan et al., 1993; Lopes et al., 1993), in spite of the large difference in the IC₅₀ range for both drugs (Figure 4). In contrast, PAC and DOC given in short incubation demonstrate large differences in the cell cycle phase specificity of their cytotoxic potential against synchronised HeLa cells (Figure 5). The toxicity of DOC against S-phase cells is nearly absolute, with characteristic formation of multinucleated cells hours after drug exposure, at the time cells traverse mitosis. Hence, short contact with DOC in S phase

Figure 3 Time and drug concentration dependence of arrest at prometaphase in HeLa cells incubated in the presence of docetaxel. The proportion of arrested cells was determined by flow cytometry in the same way as described in the legend to Figure 2.

Figure 4 Cytotoxic effect of pulse (60 min) treatment of asynchronous, log-phase HeLa cells by PAC (○) or DOC (○, ●). Cells were either plated 4 h before drug exposure for colony formation assays (○, ●) or treated with the drug 5 days before trypsinisation and counting for determination of growth inhibition (○). The IC₅₀ was found to be 25.2 nM (DOC, ○) or 224 nM (PAC, ○) from the colony formation assay. The IC₅₀ for DOC in the growth inhibition experiment (○) was 9.7 nM. Curves have been drawn for best fit of experimental data to a single exponential model. Bars = s.d.
is lethal but not sufficient to block cells at a premitotic stage: the block is not operating unless DOC is reintroduced shortly before mitosis. This suggests that cell killing and arrest of cell cycle progression at prometaphase by DOC proceed from distinct time-related mechanisms. Maximum toxicity of PAC is at mitosis, in agreement with the findings of others (Donaldson et al., 1994; Geard and Jones, 1994; Long and Fairchild, 1994), and consistent with the mitotic spindle apparatus forming the main target of PAC. This occurs irrespective of whether synchronisation of cells is achieved using serum stimulation of quiescent cells (Donaldson et al., 1994), mitotic shake-off selection (Geard and Jones, 1994), nocodazole treatment (Long and Fairchild, 1994) or double thymidine block (Figure 5).

HeLa cells incorporate very large amounts of DOC in excess over the medium, in the same way as reported with PAC (Jordan et al., 1993). We consequently determined the kinetics of drug uptake and efflux in order to address the possibility that slow drug release would skew the sensitivity profile of synchronised HeLa cells progressing through the cell cycle. We found that the efflux of DOC is biphasic, with a rapid, comparatively minor (15%) phase preceding slow release of the major (85%) drug fraction. We tentatively assign rapid efflux to a membrane compartment. The major compartment presumably corresponds to tight binding of the drug to microtubules (Riou et al., 1994); in fact, it disappears after treatment of cells with vinblastine to induce depolymerisation of the microtubule network. Whichever mechanism, the time for efflux of 50% of incorporated [propionyl-3-14C]DOC out of HeLa cells is in the range of 5.2 ± 0.3 h. It is thus conceivable that, in cells treated in S-phase, DOC persists in large enough amounts as the time cells reach mitosis for it to alter the integrity of the mitotic spindle apparatus and cause aberrant mitosis. The same effect would not hold in the case of PAC, simply because the efflux of PAC is rapid compared with that of DOC (Riou et al., 1994).

However, this efflux model appears unable to account for the S-phase specificity of DOC from several lines of evidence, namely:

1. Pulse exposure (1 h) to DOC at the concentrations used in our experiments is not sufficient to induce gross alteration of the microtubule network and of the mitotic spindle (Jordan et al., 1993), yet binding of taxoids seems to occur preferentially on microtubules (Farness and Horwitz, 1981; Riou et al., 1994) and could alter their dynamics (Jordan et al., 1993).

2. As shown in Figure 5, the toxicity of DOC introduced at the time of mitosis, or shortly before, is not as absolute as during S-phase.

3. There is no change in the susceptibility to DOC throughout S-phase.

4. Drug uptake does not vary appreciably with progression of cells through the cell cycle.

5. Pulse-chase treatment with DOC at any time from early to late S-phase, does not result in alteration of the cell cycle progression for up to prometaphase.

Furthermore, despite slow drug efflux, S-phase-treated cells will not arrest at prometaphase unless DOC is reintroduced shortly before mitosis. We suggest, therefore, that in addition to slower efflux kinetics, DOC targets more efficiently and more specifically than PAC some crucial system or organelle whose expression or maturation occurs in S-phase, and whose integrity would be essential to orderly progression through mitosis and cytokinesis.

Centrosome, whose elongation in synchronised HeLa cells extends throughout S-phase following separation of the parent procentrioles in late G1 (Robbins et al., 1968; Kuriyama and Borisy, 1981), appears to be the best candidate to meet these requirements (for a review see Tournier and Bornens, 1994). Centrosome targeting was already proposed, years ago, to account for the S-phase specificity of mitotic cell death induction by vinblastine (Madoc-Jones and Mauro, 1968). Vinblastine and vincristine are inducers of microtubule depolymerisation, but they may also promote the formation of tubulin paracrystals (Na and Timasheff, 1982; Prakash and Timasheff, 1983; Skoda et al., 1983), and other authors have suggested that the cytotoxic effects of taxane alkaloids could be brought about by mechanisms upstream of the mitotic spindle formation (Jordan et al., 1991). Damage to kinetochore is another possibility (De Brabander et al., 1981b). In a forthcoming paper direct evidence will be given, based on immunofluorescence staining in synchronised HeLa cells, of hindered centrosomal function by DOC in relation to cell cycle progression.
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PAC, paclitaxel; DOC, docetaxel.
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