Effects of ultrasound-assisted vacuum tumbling on the oxidation and physicochemical properties of pork myofibrillar proteins
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Abstract

The present research aimed to investigate the effects of high-intensity ultrasound (HIU, 20 kHz, 0 W, 100 W, 300 W and 500 W)-assisted vacuum tumbling (UVT) for 60 min and 120 min on the oxidation and physicochemical properties of the pork myofibrillar proteins (MPs). Compared with the vacuum tumbling (VT) groups without the HIU assistance, the carbonyl content increased, while the total sulfhydryl (SH) content was reduced with the increase of HIU power and treatment time (P < 0.05). The reactive SH content was increased significantly after treated by UVT with 300 W compared with the VT group (P < 0.05) regardless of the treatment time. Similarly, the surface hydrophobicity (S0), the intrinsic tryptophan intensity, and the solubility in the UVT group (300 W) were remarkably higher than those of the VT group (P < 0.05). In contrast, the α-helix content and the particle size of MPs significantly decreased when the HIU power was at 100 W and 300 W (P < 0.05). The results suggest that UVT treatment could change the structure and physicochemical properties of MPs accompanied by protein oxidation.

1. Introduction

As a green and efficient technique, high-intensity ultrasound (HIU) is defined as the low ultrasonic frequency (20–100 kHz) with high ultrasonic intensity (10–1000 W/cm²) [1]. In a liquid medium, the sound wave of HIU could cause the growth and the collapse of vapor bubbles and thus generate cavitation [2,3]. During food brining, the cavitation could destroy the microstructure of food, which in turn accelerates the brining process [4,5]. Protein is an important component of the food matrix [6], and the physicochemical properties of protein largely determine the quality of food products. Many researchers have proved that HIU could positively modify the physicochemical properties of food proteins. Jiang et al. [7] reported that ultrasonic waves significantly contributed to unfolding the black-bean protein structure and caused the secondary structure to be flexible. Amir et al. [8] also found that the turbulence from cavitation could increase the exposure of the active regions and improve the solubility, the emulsifying properties, and the gel strength of myofibrillar proteins (MPs).

Tumbling exerts the mechanical force to roll the meat through the rotation of paddles or drums and is widely used in food product processing [9]. Krause et al. [10] indicated that tumbling treatment could destroy the meat tissue, stimulate the brine diffusion into muscle, and further enhance the tenderness, juiciness and palatability of the cured meat product. Besides, vacuum tumbling (VT), as the specific tumbling mode, could result in negative pressure in the inner cavity of the drum. Marriott et al. [11] proved that vacuum tumbling boosted the brine transformation and kept the stable color of dry-cured ham. Similarly, Chen et al. [12] observed that by applying the vacuum tumbling (-0.06 MPa, totally 8 h) during curing duck meat samples, the actomyosin dissociation increased compared to the samples cured by static brining. The traditional single vacuum tumbling treatment still consumes time in the practical process, particularly for large meat pieces [14]. Moreover, no published studies have focused on the usage of HIU in combination with vacuum tumbling and its impacts on the physicochemical properties of MPs. Therefore, our work aimed to evaluate the effects of UVT treatment on the protein oxidation and the physicochemical properties of MPs with measuring the content of carbonyl and sulfhydryl (SH) groups, the secondary and tertiary structure, the particle size of MPs significantly decreased when the HIU power was at 100 W and 300 W (P < 0.05). The results suggest that UVT treatment could change the structure and physicochemical properties of MPs accompanied by protein oxidation.
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Pork leg meat (*mesoglutaeus*) with the pH range of 5.6 to 5.8 was collected from Sushi Meat Processing Company (Huai’an, Jiangsu province, China) at 24 h of slaughter. All the visible fat and connective tissue of meat samples were trimmed. Then, these lean meat samples were cut with the size of 100 × 40 × 40 mm³ with the weight of 200 ± 5 g and placed at −20 °C after being vacuum-packaged.

2.2. Tumbling process

The ratio of brine and meat samples was set as 35:100 (v/w). The brine contained 3% NaCl and 0.3% composite sodium phosphates (the adding percentage referred to the weight of additive in relation to the weight of meat). The formulated brine was cooled at 4 °C before usage.

The UVT equipment in the study was mainly composed of a tumbling system, a HIU system and a temperature control system, which could ensure vacuum tumbling and HIU work simultaneously at a preset temperature (Fig. 1). The VT treatment was used as the control group. Meat samples and brine were put into the tumbler drum together. The tumbling time was set as 60 min and 120 min respectively (a cycle of 20 min work and 10 min rest). The working temperature was 4 °C, the rotation speed was 10 rpm, the applied vacuum pressure was −0.08 MPa, and the angle between the tumbler and the ground was 35°. For UVT treatment, the tumbling parameters were the same as the VT treatment, and the HIU was set to be turned on or off synchronously according to whether the tumbling unit worked or not. HIU power was replicated 4 times.

2.3. MPs extraction

MPs were prepared from meat samples after the tumbling process following the method of Han et al. [15]. Briefly, the meat samples were homogenized at 10,000 rpm with 4 volumes (w/v) of precooled buffer A (0.1 M KCl, 1 mM EGTA, 20 mM KH₂PO₄, 2 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.0). The mixture was centrifuged at 2,000 × g and 4 °C for 15 min (Beckman Avanti J-E, Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). After pouring the supernatant, the procedures were repeated twice using buffer A. Then, the precipitate was clarified twice with 4 volumes (w/v) of buffer B (0.1 M NaCl). The clean precipitates were filtered with single gauze to discard connective tissues before the last time of centrifugation, and the pellets were collected. All the above processes were kept in an ice box. Finally, MPs pellets were dissolved in buffer C (0.6 M NaCl, 20 mM KH₂PO₄, 20 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.0) for further analysis. The protein concentration was measured by the Biuret method [16].

2.4. Carbonyl content

The carbonyl content was determined by adopting the method of Li et al. [17] with proper change. Accurately, the 1 mL protein suspension was diluted by the buffer C and mixed with 4 mL of 2,4 dinitrophenyl hydrazine (DNPH) and incubated at 25 °C for 30 min after vortexed for 60 s. Furtherly, 5 mL of 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to stop the reaction, and then the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min (4 °C). The precipitation was washed three times by using 4 mL ethanol: ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v). Subsequently, the pellets were dissolved in 2 mL guanidine hydrochloride (6 M) and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Afterward, the absorbance of the supernatant obtained from centrifugation was tested at 370 nm (NanoDrop Technologies, Rockland, DE). The carbonyl content was calculated via the absorption coefficient of 22,000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹, and the carbonyl content was analyzed as nmol mg/int protein.

2.5. SH content

The 500 μL MPs solutions (1 mg/mL) were mixed with 4.5 mL buffer (8 M urea, 10 mM EDTA, pH 6.0). The reaction was then conducted at 40 °C for 25 min avoiding light after adding 100 μL Ellman’s reagent (0.1 M KH₂PO₄ buffer containing 10 mM DTNB, pH 6.0). For determining the contents of the reactive SH group, 4 mL MPs solutions (1 mg/mL) were mixed with 100 μL Ellman’s reagent, and the mixture was placed at 4 °C for 1 h. The solution was immediately read at 412 nm, and the absorbance was used to calculate the SH groups by the extinction coefficient of 13,600 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ [18].

2.6. MPs secondary structure

MPs secondary structure of was measured by Raman spectrometer (Horiba Jobi Yvon S.A.S., Longjumeau, France). MPs pellets extracted from section 2.3 were put on a quartz slide, and the spectra of the secondary structure under the emit wavelength with 532 nm were figured out using Peak Fit 4.12 software (Sea Solve Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) as mentioned by Zhou et al. [19].

2.7. MPs tertiary structure

2.7.1. Surface hydrophobicity (S_h)

The method of fluorescence probe (1-anilino-8-naphthalene-sulfonate, ANS) was used for determining the S_h. Accurately, 20 μL ANS buffers (8 mM ANS, 10 mM KH₂PO₄) were added to 4 mL MPs solution (1 mg/mL). The mixtures were kept at 25 °C in the dark for 25 min after being thoroughly vortexed. Fluorescence intensity was measured at emissions from 410 to 570 nm with the excitation wavelength at 370 nm [20].

2.7.2. Intrinsic tryptophan intensity

MPs solution (1 mg/mL) was read at an excitation wavelength of 295 nm and an emission wavelength in the range of 300 to 400 nm according to the description of Zou et al. [21].
2.8. Particle size analysis

The particle size of MPs solution (1 mg/mL) was measured using a Mastersizer 3000 (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK), and the D₅₀ obtained by the Malvern SOP software was regarded as the average size.

2.9. MPs solubility

MPs solubility was determined by the centrifugation method following Dong et al. [22]. Briefly, 5 mL of 2 mg/mL MPs solutions were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Protein solubility was calculated by the percentage of supernatant protein concentration compared to the initial suspension protein concentration [16].

2.10. Statistical analysis

The significance between different treatments was analyzed using SAS 9.2 for Windows. Fisher’s LSD (Least Significant Difference) was selected by Bonferroni correction for analyzing the factors including HIU power, treatment time and their interaction. The differences in the results were regarded as significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Carbonyl content

Carbonyl derivatives could be readily formed after proteins are attacked by hydroxyl radicals, and the carbonyl content can be employed to assess the level of protein oxidation [23]. According to Table 1, the carbonyl content was significantly affected by the HIU power, treatment time and their interaction \( (P < 0.05) \). No evident changes were observed in the VT group when the treatment time increased from 60 min to 120 min \( (P > 0.05) \). However, the carbonyl content of all UVT groups tended to increase along with the HIU power and treatment time and reached a maximum at HIU power of 500 W for 120 min \( (P < 0.05) \). This phenomenon indicates that UVT treatment induced protein oxidation, and it might attribute to the fact that the ultrasonic cavitation can produce highly reactive free radicals (\( \cdot \text{H} + \cdot \text{OH} \)) by the sonolysis of H₂O molecules [24], which can oxidize susceptible amino acid residues. Previous research by Kang et al. [25] has reported similar results which showed that a significant increase in the carbonyl content of beef samples after being sonicated by high HIU intensities \( (>2.39 \text{ W/cm}^2, 20 \text{ kHz}) \).

3.2. SH content

Table 1 also shows the total and reactive SH content in different treatment groups at various treatment time. As for the total SH content, it was significantly affected by the HIU power, the treatment time and their interaction \( (P < 0.05) \). Compared to the VT group, the total SH content of all UVT groups had downtrends with the increase of treatment time and HIU power. Nevertheless, a significant reduction could be observed only when HIU power was higher than 300 W at each treatment time \( (P < 0.05) \). On the other hand, regarding the reactive SH content (Table 1), it was greatly influenced by the HIU power, treatment time and the interaction of HIU power and treatment time \( (P < 0.05) \). Compared with the VT treatment, an upward trend could be observed in the reactive SH content after moderate HIU powers were used \( (100 \text{ W and } 300 \text{ W}) \). The reactive SH contents of the UVT groups at 100 W and 300 W were higher than that of the VT group at 120 min \( (P < 0.05) \). However, when meat samples were subjected to higher UVT treatment \( (500 \text{ W}) \), the reactive SH content was significantly reduced in comparison with the UVT group of 300 W at each treatment time \( (P < 0.05) \). The reactive SH refers to the SH groups distributed on the surface of the protein network, while the total SH refers to the SH groups spread both inside and surface of the protein network [8]. The previous studies have reported that hydroxyl radicals generated by ultrasonic cavitation were responsible for oxidizing the highly susceptible SH groups and caused a reduction of total SH content \([25–27]\). Therefore, in the present study, it could be speculated that the decrease in the total SH content possibly resulted from the formation of S-S bonds.

The increased reactive SH content suggested that the moderate power of UVT \( (100 \text{ W and } 300 \text{ W}) \) treatment could cause the MPs structure to unfold and expose the buried SH groups to the surface of the proteins [26]. Interestingly, as mentioned above, the reactive SH content was reduced when higher HIU power \( (500 \text{ W}) \) was applied. According to the results of carbonyl content (Table 1), it was known that the protein oxidation at the level UVT group \( (500 \text{ W}) \) reached the highest level. Therefore, the excess protein oxidation in the UVT group \( (500 \text{ W}) \) might result in a decrease in the reactive SH content.

3.3. MPs secondary structure

The amide I band is one of the most informative Raman bands. The wavelength at the range of 1,600–1,700 cm \(^{-1}\) could reflect the secondary structural changes of proteins since different peaks correspond to different secondary structures [19]. The content of varying secondary structures of MPs is exhibited in Table 2. The HIU power, treatment time and their interaction had a significant influence on the \( \alpha \)-helix content, \( \beta \)-sheet content and random coil content \( (P < 0.05) \), while the \( \beta \)-turn content was not significantly affected by those effects \( (P > 0.05) \). The VT treatment for 120 min caused a decrease in the \( \alpha \)-helix content from 42.58% to 32.74%, whereas a raise in the \( \beta \)-sheet content from 17.23%

| Treat time (min) | Ultrasound power (W) | SE | \( P \)-Values \(^{1}\) |
|----------------|---------------------|----|---------------------|
| 0 | 100 | 300 | 500 |
| Carbonyl group (nmol mg/ protein) | 0.19 ±0.07 | 0.19 ±0.08 | 0.19 ±0.06 | 0.20 ±0.13 | 0.067 <0.001 | -0.001 | 0.0001 |
| 120 | | | | | | |
| Total SH content (nmol /mg protein) | 84.72 ±0.45 | 84.45 ±0.56 | 84.92 ±0.72 | 84.43 ±0.90 | 2.26 <0.001 | -0.001 | 0.0102 |
| Reactive SH content (nmol /mg protein) | 21.71 ±0.62 | 22.61 ±0.75 | 22.01 ±0.58 | 21.58 ±0.79 | 1.25 <0.001 | -0.001 | 0.0262 |

\(^{1}\) -d in the same row indicate a significant difference between ultrasound power \( (P < 0.05) \).

\(^{2}\) -z in the same column indicate a significant difference between treatment time \( (P < 0.05) \).

\(^{3}\) -x in the same row and column indicate significant difference between treatment time \( (P < 0.05) \).

\(^{4}\) Indexes at the 0 min express the initial value of myofibrillar proteins before treatment.

\(^{5}\) \( P \)-Values indicate the level of significance including high-intensity ultrasound power, treatment time and their interaction.

SE: standard error.
Effects of different ultrasound power and treatment time on the surface hydrophobicity (α) to 23.80% was observed (P < 0.05). However, no significant changes in the β-turn content and the random coil content were found (P > 0.05) compared with the native protein structure (0 min). As HIU power (100 W and 300 W) and treatment time were increased, the modifications in the secondary structure became more evident. Compared with the VT group, the α-helix content in the UVT groups (100 W and 300 W) was further decreased at each treatment time. Meanwhile, the β-sheet content was increased drastically after treated by UVT with 300 W (P < 0.05). In addition, the random coil content of all UVT groups was further decreased at each treatment time. Meanwhile, the random coil content of all UVT groups was further decreased at each treatment time. Whereas, the β-sheet content (60 min) and the random coil were decreased (60 min).

The change of secondary structure under the 100 W and 300 W indicated that appropriate HIU could promote the transformation of α-helix into β-sheet and random coil. Many reports have pointed that the reduction of α-helix regions and the construction of β-sheet facilitated the exposure of the internal active groups in the protein and promoted protein-protein connection, which was conducive to MPs gelation and emulsion stability [28]. The α-helix structure is deemed to be stabilized by the hydrogen bonds, which are formed by C=O and N–H in the protein [29]. The decrease of α-helix content in the study suggested that UVT treatment was able to disrupt hydrogen bonds. Similar results were observed by Li et al. [28] when researched the effects of single HIU treatment on the chicken MPs. However, the content of α-helix increased when the high power UVT was applied (500 W), which might attribute to the refolding and aggregation of MPs [30].

### 3.4. MPs tertiary structure

The value of Sd as an indicator refers to the content of hydrophobic groups distributed on the surface of proteins, which contacts with the aqueous polar environment [31]. The value of Sd could indicate the changes in protein conformation and denaturation in the samples. As shown in Table 3, the HIU power, treatment time and their interaction all displayed apparent effects on the Sd values (P < 0.05). The Sd values of UVT groups (100 W and 300 W) were significantly increased compared with VT groups (P < 0.05) regardless of tumbling treatment time. In addition, the Sd values reached the maximum in the UVT group with 300 W at 60 min. According to the previous study, Li et al. [26] demonstrated that ultrasonic cavitation could expose hydrophobic regions to the surface that were originally embedded within the MPs molecule. Additionally, Cao et al. [32] illustrated that protein oxidation exerted the effects to expose the initially buried hydrophobic groups to the protein surface. Therefore, the increase of the Sd values in this work was possibly due to the combined impacts of ultrasonic cavitation and protein oxidation. However, compared with the UVT group (300 W), the Sd values decreased slightly upon further UVT treatment (500 W) at 60 min and 120 min. Similarly, Zou et al. [21] confirmed that excessive HIU intensity decreased the Sd values, which could be due to the refolding and the aggregation of proteins, thus reburied the hydrophobic groups.

### Table 2

| Treat time (min) | Ultrasonic power (W) | SE² | P-Values¹ |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|
|                 | 0        | 100  | 300  | 500  | Power | Time | Time × Power |
| α-Helix (%)     |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 42.58⁴   | 42.22⁴ | 42.22⁴ | 42.94⁴ | 2.61  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 60              | 38.96⁴   | 30.36⁴ | 19.93⁴ | 31.70⁴ | 2.54  | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.0052 |
| 120             | 32.74⁴   | 26.95⁴ | 18.05⁴ | 32.67⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| β-Sheet (%)     |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 17.23⁴   | 18.46⁴ | 18.49⁴ | 17.45⁴ | 2.54  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 60              | 23.28⁴   | 24.89⁴ | 31.44⁴ | 23.51⁴ | 2.81  | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.0454 |
| 120             | 23.80⁴   | 25.69⁴ | 32.97⁴ | 19.70⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| β-Turn (%)      |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 17.72⁴   | 16.67⁴ | 15.90⁴ | 18.01⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| 60              | 17.55⁴   | 18.58⁴ | 16.47⁴ | 18.30⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| 120             | 19.54⁴   | 20.14⁴ | 19.77⁴ | 19.65⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| Random coil (%) |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 22.48⁴   | 22.61⁴ | 23.45⁴ | 26.49⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| 60              | 20.21⁴   | 20.14⁴ | 22.16⁴ | 25.32⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |
| 120             | 23.92⁴   | 27.23⁴ | 29.21⁴ | 27.98⁴ | 3.22  | 0.803  | 0.076  | 0.985  |

1a – d in the same row indicate a significant difference between ultrasound power (P < 0.05).
2x – z in the same column indicate significant difference between treatment time (P < 0.05).
3indexes at the 0 min express the initial value of myofibrillar proteins before treatment.
1 P-Values indicate the level of significance including high-intensity ultrasound power, treatment time and their interaction.
2 SE: standard error.

### Table 3

| Treat time (min) | Ultrasonic power (W) | SE² | P-Values¹ |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|
|                 | 0        | 100  | 300  | 500  | Power | Time | Time × Power |
| α-Helix (%)     |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 1383.20⁷ | 1385.48⁷ | 1375.16⁷ | 1357.25⁷ | 95.24 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 60              | 1499.52⁷ | 1681.74⁷ | 2056.57⁷ | 1487.41⁷ | 102.99 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 120             | 1551.85⁷ | 1717.46⁷ | 1834.44⁷ | 1464.00⁷ | 102.99 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| β-Sheet (%)     |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 1790.0⁹ | 1792.5⁹ | 1746.7⁹ | 1750.0⁹ | 102.99 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 60              | 1865.95⁹ | 615.65⁹ | 402.42⁹ | 747.32⁹ | 3.33  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 120             | 817.73⁹ | 344.14⁹ | 662.71⁹ | 1181.18⁹ | 3.33  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Solubility (%)  |          |      |      |      |       |      |             |
| 0               | 31.92⁷   | 32.31⁷ | 33.09⁷ | 32.81⁷ | 3.33  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 60              | 37.61⁷   | 42.17⁷ | 48.43⁷ | 27.14⁷ | 3.33  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 120             | 43.29⁷   | 48.80⁷ | 52.22⁷ | 28.76⁷ | 3.33  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

1a – d in the same row indicate a significant difference between ultrasound power (P < 0.05).
2x – z in the same column indicate significant difference between treatment time (P < 0.05).
3indexes at the 0 min express the initial value of myofibrillar proteins before treatment.
1 P-Values indicate the level of significance including high-intensity ultrasound power, treatment time and their interaction.
2 SE: standard error.
The tertiary structural change of MPs also can be investigated by the fluorescence of tryptophan/tyrosine residues due to intrinsic fluorescence chromophores from those aromatic amino acids [33]. As shown in Fig. 2, the maximum fluorescence intensity of the VT group (60 min) was slightly increased compared with the initial fluorescence intensity of native protein (untreated raw meat), accompanied by the maximum emission wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) red-shift from 342 to 344 nm. After UVT treatment at HIU powers of 100 W and 300 W, the maximum fluorescence intensity increased compared with the VT group. The maximum intensity in the spectrum was the UVT group (300 W), which was treated for 60 min and then followed by the same group treated for 120 min. The spectrum illustrated that UVT treatment could lead to further unfolding and expose the previously buried hydrophobic groups of MPs compared with the VT treatment. However, at each treatment time, the fluorescence intensity of the UVT group (500 W) was decreased in comparison with the UVT group (300 W). In addition, with 60 min UVT (500 W) treatment, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ was blue-shifted to 342 nm. These results suggest that high power UVT treatment could induce MPs aggregation through the hydrophobic interactions, which is in accordance with the outcome of $S_0$ (Table 3).

### 3.5. Particle size

The aggregation behavior of MPs was estimated by particle size as shown in Table 3. The HIU power, treatment time and their interaction significantly affected the particle size ($P < 0.05$). At 60 min, the particle size of MPs in the VT group was apparently decreased compared with the MPs initial particle size at 0 min ($\mu m$) to 402.42 $\mu m$ (300 W) and then increased to 747.32 $\mu m$ (500 W). The particle size of all UVT groups was significantly smaller than that of the VT group ($P < 0.05$), while it tended to increase when HIU power increased to 500 W in comparison with the particle size under 300 W treatment. Similarly, at 120 min, the particle size obtained the minimum value under 100 W treatment. However, it was considerable increased as the HIU power was further increased to 300 W and 500 W ($P < 0.05$). The effects of HIU on particle size were also observed by Li et al. [34]. They indicated that lower HIU power treatment decreased the particle size of sea cucumber gonad protein. The reduced particle size might be related to that the moderate ultrasound cavitation could break the protein agglomerates into smaller particles and dissociate the noncovalent forces through turbulence and shear force [8]. In contrast, the increased particle size might attribute to protein oxidation, which led to protein aggregation by disulfide bonds and cross-linking according to the results of SH content (Table 1) and the tertiary structure (Table 3 and Fig. 2) in the UVT group (500 W).

### 3.6. MPs solubility

Solubility is one of the most applicable indexes to reflect the denaturation, aggregation and oxidation of protein [35]. According to Table 3, the solubility was significantly affected by HIU power, treatment time and their interaction. Moreover, under the HIU power at 100 W and 300 W, the solubility was increased with the HIU power and treatment time compared with the VT group ($P < 0.05$). According to Zhang et al. [26], the ultrasonic cavitation could destroy the hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions that existed in the aggregates of natural protein and lead to increased protein solubility. Amir et al. [8] also reported that HIU treatment could increase the solubility of protein by reducing the particle size of MPs. Our results are in accordance with the previous findings. The ultrasonic cavitation resulted from low power (100 W and 300 W) UVT treatment reduced the protein particle size, which was beneficial to the interaction of protein-water. In addition, the effects of cavitation combined with mild protein oxidation could unfold the structure of MPs, which further promoted the enhancement of solubility. Nevertheless, in the present work, when the HIU power was at 500 W, the solubility was lower than that of the VT group at each treatment time ($P < 0.05$). The results indicated that the excessive UVT (500 W) treatment may cause the refold of MPs structure and lead to a larger agglomere, thus resulting in the reduction of solubility. Zou et al. [21,25] found similar results that HIU treatment could decrease the protein solubility though negatively promoting protein assemble into agglomerates and generate cross-links. The solubility of MPs plays a key part in the functional properties of MPs. Since better solubility could ensure enough protein to form protein gel or emulsified with oil droplets, moderate UVT treatment (100 W and 300 W) may be further applied to improve protein functional properties.

### 4. Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that UVT treatment could induce protein oxidation and modify the physicochemical properties of MPs. The carbonyl content increased while the total SH content decreased with the HIU power being increased from 100 W to 500 W suggesting the increased levels of protein oxidation. The results of reactive SH content and the tertiary structure indicated that appropriate UVT treatment (100 W and 300 W) could unfold the MPs structure, and thus expose the SH groups and hydrophobic regions to the surface of the protein. Also, the orderly secondary structure was destroyed and the particle size was reduced by ultrasonic cavitation. While the solubility of MPs was improved especially treated by UVT treatment (100 W and 300 W). Overall, this study revealed that UVT treatment was able to induce protein oxidation. Under the moderate HIU power applied (100 W and 300 W), UVT treatment could change the structure of MPs and reduce the particle size to expand the protein-water interaction, thus positively improving the solubility.
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