We use the chiral effective field theory to study the lattice finite-volume energy levels from the meson-meson scattering. The hadron resonance properties and the scattering amplitudes at physical masses are determined from the lattice energy levels calculated at unphysically large pion masses. The results from the $\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$ and $\pi\eta'$ coupled-channel scattering and the $a_0(980)$ resonance are explicitly given as a concrete example.
1. Introduction

Recently, remarkable progresses on the study of meson-meson scattering have been achieved in the lattice calculation. A large amount of the finite-volume energy levels are obtained by introducing various interpolating operators and many moving frames. The rich lattice spectra encode valuable information of the scattering processes in question. For the meson-meson scattering processes that are still lacking any direct experimental measurement, the lattice spectra are specially useful. Nevertheless, most of the available lattice energy levels are calculated at unphysically large pion masses. Therefore the chiral extrapolation is generally needed at present in order to obtain physical observables from those lattice energy levels. The method with flexible algebraic parameterizations of the $K$ matrix popularly used in many lattice analyses [1, 2] is efficient to perform the fits to the precise lattice energy levels, which however may not provide reliable formulas for the chiral extrapolation. In Refs. [3, 4], we have proposed to use the unitarized chiral amplitudes by including the finite-volume effects to fit the lattice energy levels, which turn out to be successful to reproduce the lattice data at unphysically large pion masses. An important advantage is that we can reliably perform the chiral extrapolation using the chiral amplitudes, which enables us to predict the physical scattering amplitudes directly from the lattice energy levels at unphysically large pion masses. In this paper we review the theoretical setups and highlight the important findings of Refs. [3, 4].

2. Theoretical formalism

The basic formula in our study is the unitarized chiral amplitude given by

$$ T(s) = \left[ 1 + N(s) \cdot G(s) \right]^{-1} \cdot N(s), $$

which is an algebraic approximation of the $N/D$ method [5, 6]. By definition $G(s)$ only includes the $s$-channel unitarity/right-hand cuts and $N(s)$ incorporates the crossed-channel contributions. In this way, the $s$-channel unitarity is exactly implemented and the crossed-channel effects are perturbatively included order by order through the $N(s)$ function. One way to give the $G(s)$ function is

$$ G(s) = i \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon)((P - q)^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon)}, \quad s \equiv P^2. $$

The explicit form of the $G(s)$ function, calculated with the dimensional regularization by replacing the divergence by a constant, reads [5]

$$ G(s)_{DR} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ a(\mu) + \ln \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{s - m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2s} \ln \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{\sigma}{2s} \left[ \ln(s - m_2^2 + m_1^2 + \sigma) - \ln(-s + m_2^2 - m_1^2 + \sigma) \right. \\
+ \left. \ln(s + m_2^2 - m_1^2 + \sigma) - \ln(-s - m_2^2 + m_1^2 + \sigma) \right] \right\}, $$

with $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)}$ and $\mu$ the regularization scale. While for the $N(s)$ function in Eq. (2.1), it can be given by the perturbative chiral amplitudes. If only the tree-level results are considered,
$N(s)$ simply equals to the partial-wave chiral amplitudes [3, 4]. When the chiral loops are taken into account, one should remove the contributions from the unitarity cuts, see Refs. [7, 8]. For the coupled-channel case, the $N(s)$ and $G(s)$ should be understood as matrices, spanned in the channel space.

One can include the finite-volume corrections in the unitarized chiral amplitude through the $G(s)$ function. After the integration of the zeroth component $q^0$, the four-momentum integral of Eq. (2.2) reduces to

$$G(s) = \int d^3q |q|^2 f(|q|),$$

where

$$I(|q|) = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2w_1w_2[E^2 - (w_1 + w_2)^2]}, \quad w_i = \sqrt{|q_i|^2 + m_i^2}, \quad E = \sqrt{s}. \quad (2.5)$$

When performing the integration of $q^0$ to obtain Eq. (2.4), it is convenient to work in the center of mass (CM) frame, by taking the total four-momentum $P^\mu$ as $(P^0, \vec{P} = 0)$. In the following, we shall denote the quantity defined in the CM frame with an asterisk. Notice that a three-momentum cutoff $q_{\text{max}}$ is introduced in Eq. (2.4) to regularize the divergent integral.

The finite-volume correction can be introduced by discretizing the integral of Eq. (2.4). By imposing the periodical boundary conditions to the cubic box with length $L$, one can replace the three-momentum integral in Eq. (2.4) with the discrete sum [9]

$$\tilde{G} = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{n}} I(|\vec{q}|), \quad \vec{q}^* = \frac{2\pi}{L} \vec{n}, \quad \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \quad (2.6)$$

For a quantity defined in the finite volume, we put a tilde on top of it. Then the finite-volume correction $\Delta G$ to the $G(s)$ function in the infinite volume takes the form

$$\Delta G = \tilde{G} - G^{\text{cutoff}} = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{n}} I(|\vec{q}|) - \int |\vec{q}| < q_{\text{max}} \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} f(|\vec{q}|). \quad (2.7)$$

We have explicitly shown that the three-momentum cutoff $q_{\text{max}}$ dependence of the $\Delta G$ is weak in Ref. [3]. In the finite-volume analysis, the corresponding expression of the function $G(s)$ is then given by

$$\tilde{G}^{\text{DR}} = G^{\text{DR}} + \Delta G. \quad (2.8)$$

In the finite box, the Lorentz invariance does not hold any more and one needs to explicitly calculate the corresponding expressions in different moving frames [10–14]. For the two-body scattering with the total four-momentum $P^\mu = (P^0, \vec{P})$, let’s denote $\vec{q}_1$ and $\vec{q}_2$ as the three-momentum of the two particles, with $\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 = \vec{P}$. The energy of the two-body system in the CM frame is $E = \sqrt{s} = \sqrt{(P^0)^2 - |\vec{P}|^2}$. Via the Lorentz boost from the moving frame to the CM frame, one can obtain the relations between $\vec{q}_i$ and $\vec{q}_i^*$

$$\vec{q}_i^* = \vec{q}_i + \left( \frac{E}{P^0} - 1 \right) \frac{\vec{q}_i \cdot \vec{P}}{|\vec{P}|^2} - \frac{q_{i0}^2}{P^0} \vec{P}, \quad (2.9)$$
with
\[ q_1^* = \frac{E^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2E}, \quad q_2^* = \frac{E^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2E}. \]  
(2.10)

The corresponding formula of the discrete sum (2.6) in the moving frame is then given by [10]
\[ \widetilde{G}^{MV} = \frac{E}{P^0L^3} \sum_{\vec{q}} |\vec{q}^*|^2 I(|\vec{q}^*|), \quad \vec{q} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \vec{n}, \quad \vec{P} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \vec{N}, \quad (\vec{n}, \vec{N}) \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \]  
(2.11)

The expression enters in the moving frame for the finite-volume analysis reads
\[ \widetilde{G}^{DR, MV} = G^{DR} + \Delta G^{MV}, \quad \Delta G^{MV} = \widetilde{G}^{MV} - G^{\text{cutoff}}. \]  
(2.12)

For the case of the S-wave meson-meson scattering, the finite-volume energy levels correspond to the solutions
\[ \det[I + N(s) \cdot \tilde{G}^{\text{DR}}] = 0, \]  
(2.13)
and
\[ \det[I + N(s) \cdot \tilde{G}^{\text{DR, MV}}] = 0, \]  
(2.14)
for the CM and moving frames, respectively. When including higher partial waves, there will be mixing between different partial waves and the mixing patterns vary in different irreducible representations and different moving frames. We refer to Ref. [4] and references therein for details.

### 3. Results

![Figure 1: Reproduction of the lattice energy levels, which are taken from Ref. [2], for the cases of 000A and 002A at LO. The pion mass used in the lattice calculation is \( m_\pi = 391 \) MeV [2]. The squares correspond to the central-value results from the best fit by taking \( F_\pi = 105.9 \) MeV, with the surrounding shaded areas the statistical uncertainties at one-sigma level. The upwards \((F_{\pi, up})\) and downwards \((F_{\pi, down})\) triangles, are the results with the upper and lower limits of \( F_\pi = 105.9 \pm 3.6 \) MeV, respectively.](image)

With the setups in Sec. 2, we are ready to fit the lattice energy levels to determine the unknown parameters, including the chiral low energy constants in the \( N(s) \) and the subtraction constants in
the $G(s)^{\text{DR}}$. Here we focus on the $S$-wave $\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$ and $\pi\eta'$ coupled-channel scattering. At leading order (LO), there are only tree-level contributions from chiral perturbation theory and the $S$-wave perturbative chiral amplitudes read [7]

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, \pi\eta \rightarrow \pi\eta}(s) = \frac{(c_\theta - \sqrt{2}s_\theta)^2 m_\pi^2}{3F_\pi^2},
$$

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, \pi\eta \rightarrow K\bar{K}}(s) = \frac{c_\theta(3m_\eta^2 + 8m_K^2 + m_\pi^2 - 9s) + 2\sqrt{2}s_\theta(2m_K^2 + m_\pi^2)}{6\sqrt{6}F_\pi^2},
$$

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, \pi\eta \rightarrow \pi\eta'}(s) = \frac{(\sqrt{2}c_\theta^2 - c_\theta s_\theta - \sqrt{2}s_\theta^2)m_\pi^2}{3F_\pi^2},
$$

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, K\bar{K} \rightarrow K\bar{K}}(s) = \frac{s_\theta^2}{4F_\pi^2},
$$

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, K\bar{K} \rightarrow \pi\eta'}(s) = \frac{s_\theta(3m_\eta^2 + 8m_K^2 + m_\pi^2 - 9s) - 2\sqrt{2}s_\theta(2m_K^2 + m_\pi^2)}{6\sqrt{6}F_\pi^2},
$$

$$
T_{J=0}^{I=1, \pi\eta' \rightarrow \pi\eta'}(s) = \frac{(\sqrt{2}c_\theta + s_\theta)^2 m_\pi^2}{3F_\pi^2},
$$

(3.1)

with $I$ short for isospin, $c_\theta = \cos \theta$, $s_\theta = \sin \theta$ and $\theta$ the LO mixing angle of $\eta$-$\eta'$. If only including the LO results, the matrix elements of $N(s)$ in Eq. (2.1) simply equal to the corresponding expressions in Eq. (3.1). At LO, the reproduction of the lattice data [2] can be seen Figs. [1] and [3]. In the meanwhile, we also include two types of experimental data in the fits, including the $\pi\eta$ invariant mass distributions from Ref [15] and the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\eta\eta'$ cross sections from Ref. [16]. In order to fit the invariant mass distributions and cross sections, we need to introduce several additional parameters [3], which are irrelevant to the $\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$ and $\pi\eta'$ scattering. The fit results of the invariant mass distribution and cross sections can be seen in Fig. [3].

After the determination of the unknown parameters from the fits, we can perform the chiral extrapolation to obtain the scattering amplitudes at physical meson masses. We give the predictions of the phase shifts and inelasticities of the $\pi\eta \rightarrow \pi\eta$ scattering in Fig. [4]. We find that there is a resonance pole on the fourth Riemann sheet that can be identified as the $a_0(980)$, which is $(1037^{+17}_{-14} - i44^{+0}_{-9})$ MeV. The corresponding residue is $3.8^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ GeV for the $\pi\eta$ channel and the ratios of the $K\bar{K}$ and $\pi\eta'$ channels to the $\pi\eta$ channel are $1.43^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ and $0.05^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$, respectively.

In this paper, we have reviewed the recent works in Refs. [3, 4] to demonstrate that the chiral amplitudes with the inclusion of the finite-volume effects are useful to extract physical scattering observables and resonance properties from the discrete lattice energy levels obtained at unphysically large meson masses. This framework can be straightforwardly applied to other scattering processes, and it can provide valuable physical quantities that are not available from the experimental measurements [4].
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