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Abstract: The aim of this paper is to apply the Said Ball curve (SBC) to find the approximate solution of fractional differential-algebraic equations (FDAEs). This method can be applied to solve various types of fractional order differential equations. Convergence theorem of the method is proved. Some examples are presented to show the efficiency and accuracy of the method. Based on the obtained results, the SBC is more accurate than the Bezier curve method.
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1. Introduction

Algebraic and differential equations have important roles in many mathematical and engineering problems [1]. Particularly, in recent years, we can find many problems and mathematical models based on fractional calculus (FCs) in the form of fractional order derivatives [1–6].

Fractional modeling has become applicable in different sciences during the past three decades or more. In addition, many physical and engineering topics such as dynamics of earthquakes, electromagnetic theory, fluid flow, and viscoelastic materials are related to differential-algebraic equations (DAEs). As we know, in general, form finding the exact solution of FDAEs is impossible. Thus, finding numerical methods for solving these problems is among the challenging topics in applied mathematics.

Applying the classical derivatives, we can discuss the changes in a neighborhood of a point but, in the fractional derivative, we can discuss the changes in an interval. Because of this property, we can model many physical, mathematical and also natural phenomena using the fractional derivative.

By a system of DAEs, many physical problems are governed. The homotopy analysis method (HAM) is among the semi-analytical methods which have been presented by Liao [7]. Zurigat et al. has applied the HAM to solve the class of FDAEs [8]. For more applications of the HAM see [9–12]. Ford and Connolly [13] and Diethelm et al. [14] have studied many techniques and stated their respective strengths and weaknesses. For numerical and analytical schemes to solve FDEs, the readers can study [15–22].

A cubic polynomial curve described mathematically during the eminent aircraft design system for the conic lofting surface program CONSURF ([23]). It is extended to three further distinct generalizations called Said Ball curves (SBCs), DP Ball curves, and Wang Ball curves for higher degree polynomials.

Some advantages of the Ball functions (BFs) are identified. Cubic BFs can be reduced to the quadratic Bezier curves (BCs) when the interior control point of the BFs combine with the Ball basis function. The BF is more efficient in term of computation when generalized representations of Ball curves is used [24]. Meanwhile, the BF is more competent in terms of computation compared to the BC and the shape preservative construction properties are
similar between the Bernstein Bezier basis and the Said Ball basis [24]. For other advantages of the BFs, see [25].

This point is imperative when it comes to data transfer among Computer Aided Design (CAD) systems.

In this paper, the BFs are applied to solve the following FDEs

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{D}^\alpha x_i(r) = f_i(r, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, \quad 0 < a_i \leq 1, \quad (1)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
g(r, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
x_i(0) = x_{i,0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\end{equation}

where \(x_{i,0}\) are given known numbers, also \(f_i(\cdot)\) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1)\) and \(g(\cdot)\) are given continues functions.

Some papers have solved this problem [26–28]. For example, the numerical solution of FDEs was considered by Haar wavelet functions [27]. They derived the Haar wavelet operational matrix of the fractional order integration [27]. In [26], the Bezier curves method (BCM) was implemented to give approximate solutions for FDEs.

Our strategy is utilizing the Said Ball function (SBF) for solving the FDEs in form (1) by the least square method. The least squares objective function in LSM was developed to find the approximate solutions of FDEs based on the control points of BCM [26].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Basic preliminaries are stated in Section 2. Section 3 introduces the SBCs (Said Ball curves) and their properties. The technique based on the control points of SBF is stated in Section 4. The convergence of SBF is introduced in Section 5. Section 6 states the applicability and accuracy of this method. Finally, in Section 7 conclusions are drawn.

2. Some Preliminaries

In this section, some main definitions of the fractional order derivative are presented.

**Definition 1.** The FD of \(x(r)\) in the Caputo sense of a function \(x \in C_\mu, \mu \geq -1\) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{D}^\alpha x(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^r (r - z)^{n-\alpha-1} x^{(n)}(z) \, dz, \alpha > 0, \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n - 1 < \alpha \leq n.
\]

**Definition 2.** For \(x \in C_\mu, \mu \geq -1\), the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of order \(\alpha \geq 0\) can be defined as follows

\[
I^\alpha x(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^r (r - z)^{\alpha-1} x(z) \, dz, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad t > 0,
\]

\[
I^0 x(r) = x(r).
\]

3. The Said Ball Curves

The Said Ball curves (SBCs) with arbitrary degree of \(m\) is \(x(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j \mathcal{B}_j^m(r)\) where \(a_j\) \((j = 0, 1, \ldots, m)\) are \(m + 1\) control points. If \(m\) is odd, then

\[
\mathcal{B}_j^m(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{m+1-j}{m} r^j (1-r)^{m-1+j}, & 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ \frac{m+1+j}{m-j} r^{m-1-j}(1-r)^{m-j}, & \frac{m+1}{2} \leq j \leq m \end{cases}
\]

if \(m\) is even, then

\[
\mathcal{B}_j^m(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{m+j}{m} r^j (1-r)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}, & 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ \frac{m+j}{m-j} r^{m-1-j}(1-r)^{m-j}, & \frac{m+1}{2} \leq j \leq m \end{cases}
\]
Some properties of Said Ball function (SBF) are:

- SBF is non-negative
  \[ B_m^j(r) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1, \]

- Partition of SBF is unity
  \[ \sum_{j=0}^{m} B_m^j(r) = 1, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1. \]

The stated properties of the SBF indicated the convex combination of its control points. Therefore, the SBC is in the convex hull of its control polygon with control points (see [24]).

4. The Technique Based on the Control Points of the SBF

Without lose of generality, we consider the following form:

\[ D^\alpha x(r) = f(r, x, x'), \quad g(r, x) = 0, \quad x(0) = x_0, \]  

(4)

We substitute \( x(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r) \) in Equation (5), and we define the following objective functions for control points of SBF:

\[ J_{\text{objective}} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j^2. \]

Now, we solve the following constrained optimization problems:

\[ \min J_{\text{objective}} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j^2, \]

\[ \text{such that} \quad D^\alpha \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r) \right) = f \left( r, \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r), \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r) \right)' \right), \]

\[ g \left( r, \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r) \right) = 0, \]

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(0) = x_0, \]

where \( D^\alpha \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_m^j(r) \right) \) is defined in Definition 1.

5. Convergence of the SBF

Suppose that \( H = L^2[0,1] \) be the Hilbert space and \( \{ B_0^m, B_1^m, \ldots, B_m^m \} \) the polynomials of degree \( m \) on \([0,1]\) [29]. We define \( Y = \text{Span}\{ B_0^m, B_1^m, \ldots, B_m^m \} \). Assume that \( x \) is an arbitrary element in \( H \). We know that \( Y \) is a finite dimensional subspace of the space \( H \), thus the best unique approximation \( x_m \in Y \) can be found as

\[ \exists x_m \in Y \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall y \in Y, \quad \| x - x_m \|_2 \leq \| x - y \|_2 \]
where \( \|x\|_2 = \langle x,x \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle \) denotes the inner product. Since \( x_m \in Y \), \( x_m \) is a linear combination of the spanning basis of \( Y \), which means that there are \( m + 1 \) coefficients \( A = [a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_m] \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
x(r) \approx x_m(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j B_j^m(r) = A^T \phi_m(r),
\]

where \( \|x - x_m\|_2 \to \min \), then \( A \) can be obtained by \( A = W^{-1}(x(r),\phi_m(r)) \), where \( W = \langle \phi_m(r),\phi_m(r) \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} \phi_m(r)\phi_m^T(r)dr \).

The Proof of the Convergence

We consider the following problem

\[
D^a x(r) = A_1(r)D x(r) + B_1(r)D x_1(r) + C_1(r)x(r) + G_1(r)x_1(r),
\]

\[
x_1(r) = H_1(r), \quad r \in [0,1], \quad x(0) = x_0 = a, \quad x_1(0) = x_{1,0} = b,
\]

then

\[
L(x(r),x_1(r),D^a x(r),D x(r),D x_1(r)) = D^a x(r) - \left( A_1(r)D x(r) + B_1(r)D x_1(r) + C_1(r)x(r) + G_1(r)x_1(r) \right) = F_1(r),
\]

\[
x_1(r) = H_1(r), \quad r \in [0,1], \quad x(0) = x_0 = a, \quad x_1(0) = x_{1,0} = b,
\]

where \( x(r),x_1(r) \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a,b \) are given real numbers, and \( A_1(r), B_1(r), C_1(r), G_1(r), H_1(r) \) and \( F_1(r) \) are known polynomials on \( r \in [0,1] \).

**Theorem 1.** If \( x,x_1 \in C^1 \) are the unique continuous solutions of the problem (5), then the obtained approximate solutions are converge to the exact solution \( (x,x_1) \).

**Proof.** For \( \varepsilon > 0 \), by the Weierstrass Theorem [30], we can find the polynomials \( W_{1,V_1}(r) \) and \( W_{2,V_2}(r) \) of degrees \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) such that

\[
\frac{\|d^iW_{1,V_1}(r) - d^i x(r)\|_\infty}{d^i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16},
\]

\[
\frac{\|d^iW_{2,V_2}(r) - d^i x_1(r)\|_\infty}{d^i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \quad i = 0,1.
\]

We note that: \( \|\cdot\|_\infty \) is the \( L_\infty \)-norm, hence

\[
\|a - W_{1,V_1}(0)\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16},
\]

\[
\|b - W_{2,V_2}(0)\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.
\]

We know that \( W_{1,V_1}(r) \) and \( W_{2,V_2}(r) \) do not satisfy in the boundary conditions. Thus, making perturbation on \( W_{1,V_1}(r) \) and \( W_{2,V_2}(r) \), the following polynomials are obtained

\[
S_{1,V_1}(r) = W_{1,V_1}(r) + \beta,
\]

and

\[
S_{2,V_2}(r) = W_{2,V_2}(r) + \gamma,
\]
where \(S_{1,V_1}(0) = a\) and \(S_{2,V_2}(0) = b\). Therefore \(W_{1,V_1}(0) + \beta = a\) and using Equation (6) we get

\[
\|a - W_{1,V_1}(0)\|_{\infty} = \|\beta\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16}.
\]

We obtain \(b = S_{2,V_2}(0) = W_{2,V_2}(0) + \gamma\), hence

\[
\|b - W_{2,V_2}(0)\|_{\infty} = \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16},
\]

so

\[
\|S_{1,V_1}(r) - \xi(r)\|_{\infty} = \|W_{1,V_1}(r) - \xi(r)\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \|W_{1,V_1}(r) - \xi(r)\|_{\infty} + \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} < \frac{\epsilon}{5},
\]

\[
\|\mathcal{D}S_{1,V_1}(r) - \mathcal{D}\xi(r)\|_{\infty} = \left\| \frac{dS_{1,V_1}(r)}{dr} - \frac{d\xi(r)}{dr} \right\|_{\infty} \\
= \left\| \frac{dW_{1,V_1}(r)}{dr} - \frac{d\xi(r)}{dr} \right\|_{\infty} \\
< \frac{3\epsilon}{16} < \frac{\epsilon}{5},
\]

\[
\|\mathcal{D}^nS_{1,V_1}(r) - \mathcal{D}^n\xi(r)\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-a)} \int_0^r \| (r - z)^{m-a-1} \|_{\infty} \\
\times \| W_{1,V_1}^{(m)}(z) - \xi^{(m)}(z) \|_{\infty} dz \right\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-a)} \max_{0 \leq z \leq 1} \| (r - z)^{m-a-1} \| \\
\times \frac{\epsilon}{16} \max_{0 \leq z \leq 1} \| (r - z)^{m-a-1} \| + 1 \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{16} < \frac{\epsilon}{5},
\]

\[
\|S_{2,V_2}(r) - \xi_2(r)\|_{\infty} = \|W_{2,V_2}(r) - \xi_2(r)\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \|W_{2,V_2}(r) - \xi_2(r)\|_{\infty} + \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} < \frac{\epsilon}{5},
\]

\[
\|\mathcal{D}S_{2,V_2}(r) - \mathcal{D}\xi_2(r)\|_{\infty} = \left\| \frac{S_{2,V_2}(r)}{dr} - \frac{\xi_2(r)}{dr} \right\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \left\| \frac{dW_{2,V_2}(r)}{dr} - \frac{\xi_2(r)}{dr} \right\|_{\infty} + \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} < \frac{\epsilon}{5}.
\]

Assume that

\[
LS_V(r) = L(S_1, V_1(r), S_2, V_2(r), \mathcal{D}^nS_1, V_1(r), \mathcal{D}S_{1,V_1}(r), \mathcal{D}S_{2,V_2}(r)) \\
= \mathcal{D}S_{1,V_1}(r) - A_1(r)\mathcal{D}S_{1,V_1}(r) - B_1(r)\mathcal{D}S_{2,V_2}(r) - C_1(r)S_{1,V_1}(r) - G_1(r)S_{2,V_2}(r) = F_1(r),
\]

thus \(V \geq \max\{V_1, V_2\}\) and we have
\[ \|LS_V(r) - F_1(r)\|_\infty = \|L(S_{1,V_1}(r), S_{2,V_2}(r), D^a S_{1,V_1}(r), D S_{1,V_1}(r), D S_{2,V_2}(r)) - F_1(r)\|_\infty \]
\[ \leq \|D^a S_{1,V_1}(r) - D^a \bar{x}(r)\|_\infty + \|A_1(r)\|_\infty \|D S_{1,V_1}(r) - D \bar{x}(r)\|_\infty + \|B_1(r)\|_\infty \|D S_{2,V_2}(r) - D \bar{x}_1(r)\|_\infty + \|C_1(r)\|_\infty \|S_{1,V_1}(r) - \bar{x}(r)\|_\infty + \|G_1(r)\|_\infty \|S_{2,V_2}(r) - \bar{x}_1(r)\|_\infty \]
\[ \leq C_1(\frac{\epsilon^2}{\delta}) = C_1 \epsilon, \]

where \( C_1 = 1 + \|A_1(r)\|_\infty + \|B_1(r)\|_\infty + \|C_1(r)\|_\infty + \|G_1(r)\|_\infty \) is a constant. We know \( R(S_V) := LS_V(r) - F_1(r) \) is a polynomial, we have

\[ R(S_V) = \sum_{i=0}^{m_2} d_{i,m_2} B_i^{m_2}(r), \]

hence, there exists an integer \( M(\geq V) \) where for \( m_1 > M \), we can write

\[ \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^{m_1} d_i^2 m_1 < \epsilon + \int_0^1 (R(S_V))^2 dr \]
\[ \leq \epsilon + C^2_1 \epsilon^2. \]

Suppose \( x(r) \) and \( x_1(r) \) are approximation of solution of (5), for \( m_2 (m_2 \geq m_1 \geq M) \)

\[ R(x(r), x_1(r), D^a x(r), D x(r), D x_1(r)) = L(x(r), x_1(r), D^a x(r), D x(r), D x_1(r)) - F_1(r) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{m_2} c_{i,m_2} B_i^{m_2}(r), \]

then

\[ \|(x(r), x_1(r)) - (\bar{x}(r), \bar{x}_1(r))\|^2 = \int_0^1 |D^a x(r) - D^a \bar{x}(r)|^2 dr \]
\[ + \int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{1} \frac{d^j x(r)}{dr^j} - \frac{d^j \bar{x}(r)}{dr^j}|^2 dr \]
\[ + \sum_{j=0}^{1} \frac{d^j x_1(r)}{dr^j} - \frac{d^j \bar{x}_1(r)}{dr^j}|^2 dr, \]

because

\[ \|(x(r), x_1(r)) - (\bar{x}(r), \bar{x}_1(r))\|^2 \leq C \left( |x(0) - \bar{x}(0)| + |x_1(0) - \bar{x}_1(0)| \right. \]
\[ + \left. \|R((x(r), x_1(r), D^a x(r), D x(r), D x_1(r)) - (x(r), x_1(r), D^a x(r), D x(r), D x_1(r)))\|_2^2 \right) \]
\[ = C \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{m_2} (c_{i,m_2} B_i^{m_2}(r))^2 dr \]
\[ \leq \frac{C}{m_2 + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i^2 m_2'. \]
hence
\[ \| (x(r), x_1(r)) - (\xi(r), x_1(r)) \|^2 \leq \frac{C}{m_2 + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{m_2} c_i^2, \]

\[ \leq \frac{C}{m_2 + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{m_2} d_i^2, \]

\[ \leq \frac{C}{m_1 + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{m_1} d_i^2, \]

\[ \leq C(\epsilon + C_1^2\epsilon^2) = \epsilon_2. \]

Now, the proof is complete. \( \square \)

6. Numerical Examples

In this section, we consider some numerical examples to show the efficiency of the method. Furthermore, the numerical results are compared with the Bezier curve method. The results are obtained applying the Maple 14.

Example 1. Consider the following problem \([26,27]\):

\[ \partial_t^\alpha x(r) + x(r) - y(r) = -\sin(r), \]

\[ x(r) + y(r) = e^{-r} + \sin(r), \]

\[ x(0) = 1, \quad y(0) = 0, \]

\[ x_{\text{exact}} = e^{-r}, \quad y_{\text{exact}} = \sin(r), \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1. \]

This example is solved using the stated method for \( \alpha = 0.75 \). Table 1 shows the numerical results of the example. We note that the absolute error is obtained from the difference of exact \((\alpha = 1)\) and approximate solutions \((\alpha = 0.75)\). The computational time to find the results for the SBC is 0.434 and for the Bezier curve method is 0.438.

Table 1. Numerical results of Example 1 for various \( t \).

| \( r \) | Error \( x(r) \) | Error \( y(r) \) | Error \( x(r) \) in [26] | Error \( y(r) \) [26] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.1 | 0.01391401136 | 0.004183397050 | 0.01307884066 | 0.005442098498 |
| 0.2 | \( 4 \times 10^{-11} \) | 0.0 | 0.0180912414 | 0.007186495790 |
| 0.3 | 0.002462239190 | 0.009682662 | 0.01624814654 | 0.006220727630 |
| 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.01084786350 | 0.00958274900 | 0.003512496100 |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 \times 10^{-10} | 1.0 \times 10^{-10} |
| 0.6 | 0.002383492300 | 0.0132212550 | 0.01008137720 | 0.003417438100 |
| 0.7 | 0.01698092 | 0.01505722 | 0.0180750850 | 0.005888358900 |
| 0.8 | 0.04253620650 | 0.00082677884 | 0.02112983790 | 0.006617673400 |
| 0.9 | 0.05332808630 | 0.05332808630 | 0.01618393680 | 0.004874606600 |

Example 2. One may consider the following problem \([26]\):

\[ \partial_t^\alpha x(r) - r \partial_t y(r) + x(r) - (1 + r)y(r) = 0, \]

\[ y(r) - \sin(r) = 0, \]

\[ x(0) = 1, \quad y(0) = 0, \]

\[ x_{\text{exact}} = e^{-t} + t \sin(r), \quad y_{\text{exact}} = \sin(r), \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1. \]

This example is solved by using the stated method with \( \alpha = 0.75 \). The absolute error is presented in Table 2. We note that the absolute error is obtained from the difference of the exact solution for \( \alpha = 1 \) and the approximate solution for \( \alpha = 0.75 \). The graphs of the Said Ball, exact
and Bezier curve for $x(r)$ and $y(r)$ are shown in Figures 1 and 2 for $\alpha = 0.75$. The computational time of the SBC, and the Bezier curve are, respectively, 0.433 and 0.437.
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**Figure 1.** The graphs of Siad Ball, exact, Bezier curve for $x(r)$ of Example 2.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The graphs of Siad Ball, exact, Bezier curve for $y(r)$ of Example 2.

**Table 2.** The absolute errors of $x(r)$ and $y(r)$.

| $r$  | Error $x(r)$        | Error $y(r)$        |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0.1  | 0.01345302853       | 0.00418397050       |
| 0.2  | 0.0                  | 0.00968266200       |
| 0.3  | 0.003105586446       | 0.0010847886350     |
| 0.4  | 0.0                  | 0.010847886350      |
| 0.5  | $3.6787 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.0            |
| 0.6  | 0.003947039374       | 0.0132212550       |
| 0.7  | 0.005528561954       | 0.01505722         |
| 0.8  | 0.0                  | 0.008267884        |
| 0.9  | 0.007268923556       | 0.0150980412       |

**Example 3.** Consider the following problem [31]:

$$D^\alpha x(r) = 1 + 2x(r) - (x(r))^2,$$

$$x(0) = 0,$$

$$x_{\text{exact}} = 1 + \sqrt{2} \tanh\left(\sqrt{2}t + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{\sqrt{2} + 1}\right)\right), \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = 1.$$

We solve the problem using the mentioned method for $\alpha = 0.75$. The numerical results are presented in Table 3. The results are obtained from the difference of the exact ($\alpha = 1$) and
approximate solutions ($\alpha = 0.75$). The computational time of the SBC, and the Bezier curve are, respectively, 0.431 and 0.435.

\[
x_{\text{approx}}(r) = 8.82312663415527 \times 10^{-9} r(1 - r)^4 \\
- 5.34368571679517 \times 10^{-8} r^2 (1 - r)^4 \\
+ 4.6695046964230 \times 10^{-7} r^3 (1 - r)^3 \\
- 2.68981346427866 \times 10^{-7} r^2 (1 - r)^2 \\
+ 3.56483520872054 \times 10^{-8} r^4 (1 - r) \\
+ 4.11389403714848 \times 10^{-17} r^4.
\]

Table 3. The absolute errors of $x(r)$ for Example 3.

| $r$  | Error $x(r)$            |
|------|-------------------------|
| 0.1  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.2  | $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.3  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.4  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.5  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.6  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.7  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.8  | $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$    |
| 0.9  | $8 \times 10^{-10}$     |

7. Conclusions

In this study, an efficient algorithm based on the SBF was discussed to solve the mentioned FDAEs. The main idea of the method is to adopt the SBF as a new approximation instrument. Finding the control parameters, the approximate solution of the problem was obtained. The validity of the stated method which is based on the SBF was verified by proving the convergence theorem. The efficiency of the method was stated by means of some numerical examples. The comparative study shows the efficiency and accuracy of the SBC than the Bezier curve method. Furthermore, we have an acceptable computational cost for the SBC. Solving linear and nonlinear integral equations of the first and second kinds using the mentioned method is among our future plans.
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