Staged external and internal locked plating for open distal tibial fractures
A retrospective study of 16 patients
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Background and purpose Based on reported success with staged treatment of distal tibial fractures, we designed a 2-stage protocol including external/internal locked plating. We retrospectively assessed the outcome of open distal tibial fractures treated according to this protocol.

Patients and methods From March 2006 through July 2008, 16 patients who sustained open distal tibial fractures were treated by a two-stage protocol. The first stage consisted of low-profile, locked plates for temporary external fixation after debridement and anatomic reduction, followed by soft tissue reconstruction. The second stage consisted of locked plates for definitive internal fixation, using minimally invasive percutaneous osteosynthesis. All fractures were followed for median 2 (1–3) years.

Results The reduction was classified as being good in 15 patients and fair in 1 patient. All fractures united at a median of 6 (6–12) months. At the latest follow-up, 7 patients had excellent and 9 had good Iowa ankle scores; ankle motion ranged from a median of 10 (5–20) degrees of dorsiflexion to 40 (20–60) degrees of plantar flexion.

Interpretation We believe that the 2-stage external/internal locked plating technique is an effective procedure for treatment of open distal tibial fractures in patients who need a long period of external fixation. We achieved good reduction with immediate ankle-sparing stable fixation. Soft tissue reconstruction and subsequent definitive fixation led to union of all fractures with good function.

In open distal tibial fracture, several authors have demonstrated the benefits of bridging external fixation followed by definitive internal fixation once the soft tissue has healed sufficiently (Pugh et al. 1999, Sirkin et al. 1999, Haidukewych 2002).

Low-profile external fixation without ankle spanning is a helpful adjunct in these fractures, as part of a staged reconstruction (Apivatthakakul and Sananpanich 2007). A locking plate, such as the less invasive stabilization system (LISS) plate, is also a good candidate for external plate fixation (Kloen 2009). Based on the success with staged management of distal tibial fractures (Apivatthakakul and Sananpanich 2007), we designed a 2-stage protocol for open type II and type III distal tibia fractures (Gustilo and Anderson 1976).

We retrospectively evaluated the outcome of using the locked plate as a temporary external fixator for type II and type III open, distal tibial fractures with regard to soft tissue reconstruction, followed by definitive minimally invasive percutaneous fixation.

 Patients and methods
From March 2006 through July 2008, we treated 16 consecutive patients (9 women) with open distal tibial fractures using our staged protocol (Table 1). These patients could not be treated with primary wound closure (n = 5) or had progressive wound necrosis in the first days after primary wound closure (n = 11). All fractures needed long-term external fixation because of severe soft tissue injury initially or progressive soft tissue necrosis. Patients with infections or nonunion following surgery in other institutes and fractures in children were not included. The median age at time of surgery was 56 (26–77) years. The fractures were caused by falls from > 2 meters in height (n = 2), machinery-crushing injury (n = 1), or traffic accidents (n = 13). 5 cases had other organ injuries. 12 were Gustilo and Anderson type III (7 type IIIA, 4 type IIIB, and 1 type IIIC) and 4 were type II (Gustilo and Anderson 1976).
Gustilo et al. (1984). According to the AO/OTA classification (Müller et al. 1990), there were 7 type C fractures (2 type C3 fractures and 5 type C2), and 9 type A fractures (8 type A3 fractures and 1 type A2).

Protocol (Figure 1)

Stage 1. After immediate irrigation and debridement, in unstable patients the fracture was stabilized with standard external fixators. Reduction was done through the wound, with a short extended incision if needed for access. Limited intraarticular fragment fixation was performed using 3.5-mm screws. If necessary, fibular fixation was performed percutaneously with pins, cannulated screws, or plate. Then a non-spanning external fixator was applied, either a LISS-distal femur plate (Synthes, Paoli, PA) or an external locked plate (Kaohsiung, Taiwan). The locked plate was placed on the opposite side of the wound, so as not to interfere with the soft tissue reconstruction to follow. Bicortical fixation was used (Figure 2C).

Although no specific protocol for soft tissue coverage was used, all patients were treated within 7 days of admission. 7 patients had only wound repair, 6 patients had reverse sural artery fasciocutaneous flaps, 2 patients had latissimus dorsi free flaps, and 1 patient had an anterolateral thigh free flap (Figures 2 and 3).

Stage 2. All patients were admitted for definitive fixation using minimally invasive percutaneous osteosynthesis with distal tibial/metaphyseal plates (Synthes, Paoli, PA) when the soft tissue had healed after median 7 (4–14) weeks (Figure 3).

Clinical and radiographic follow-up examinations were performed at 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and at 2 years in 10 patients, and at 3 years in 1 patient.

Clinical assessment

The Iowa ankle questionnaire (Merchant and Dietz 1989) was answered at 1 year and at the final follow-up. This clinical rating system has separate scores for pain and function. A score of 85–100 represents an excellent result, 70–84 a good result, 60–69 a fair result, and less than 60 a poor result.

Radiographic assessment

Reduction of the articular surface was classified according to the modified Burwell and Charnley system (Marsh et al. 1995). In the extraarticular fractures, displacement was classified as excellent (< 2 mm), good (< 5 mm), fair (< 10 mm) and poor (≥ 10 mm). The Johnson angle was measured in both planes (Möller 1999). More than 5 degrees deviation was defined as malunion. We defined union as more than 50% visible bridging callus across the fracture on conventional radiographs (Panjabi et al. 1985). At final follow-up, any ankle arthrosis was radiographically graded (Marsh et al. 1995).
Results (Table 2)

The median hospital stay was 21 (14–49) days; it was 19 days for patients who had orthopedic injuries only and 30 days for those who had other injuries.

Clinical outcome

At final follow-up, the median Iowa ankle score was 83 (73–89). 7 patients had excellent scores and 9 had good scores. Mean ankle motion ranged from 10 (5–20) degrees of dorsiflexion to 40 (20–60) degrees of plantar flexion.

Radiographic outcome

15 patients had good articular or fracture reduction. 1 patient had fair articular reduction. At the final follow-up, 1 patient had 5 degrees of angulation. 11 patients had grade 1 ankle arthrosis and 2 patients had grade 2 ankle arthrosis. All fractures had united at a median of 6 (6–12) months.

Complications

Postoperative complications included minor screw track infections in 2 patients, which resolved with oral antibiotic treatment and care of screw sites. There was no loosening of external fixators due to screw track infection. 2 patients had superficial infections that resolved with parenteral antibiotic treatment. No deep infections or flap failures occurred.

Discussion

Two main external fixation devices have been developed for the treatment of distal tibial fractures. One is the ankle-sparing system, a hybrid of the unilateral frame and the Ilizarov system, and the other is the ankle-spanning system, a unilateral frame with pins in the medial tibial shaft and the talus and calcaneus.

An Ilizarov-type device maintains the reduction of fractures, eliminates the need for implanted hardware, and provides a stable platform for soft tissue reconstruction (Ristinemi et al. 2007, Bozkurt et al. 2008). The main disadvantage of the Ilizarov method is the long treatment time.

Patients treated with spanning frames more often have loss of reduction than patients treated with sparing frames (Pugh et al. 1999, Papadokostakis et al. 2008). However, the open distal tibial fracture is often associated with multiple organ injuries, which prolong the time before definitive internal fixation can be done. Thus, the spanning external fixators have to stay in
rehabilitation could be started early. The total duration of hospitalization was relatively short. Replacement of temporary external fixators with definitive internal fixators was fast and easy, because reduction was already done. The patients stayed in the hospital for around 1 week.

The use of locked plates as temporary external fixators is not a generally acknowledged technique, and there is little experience with it in the literature. We found a high rate of union with a low complication rate when using staged external and internal locked plating for open distal tibial fractures.

Apivatthakakul T, Sananpanich (2007) and Kloen (2009) described locked plates as external fixators for the treatment of infected nonunion and open fractures. This technique was well tolerated by patients. The authors suggested that it could be a useful adjunct in the staged treatment of complex reconstructive cases. The design of the LISS plate is suitable to stabilize short metaphyseal segments because many metaphyseal screw holes can be chosen, depending on the fracture pattern.

We achieved a high rate of anatomic reduction of the ankle joint and fracture site by reduction through the open wound or a short extended incision. Since the locked plate provided enough stability and did not cross the ankle joint, rehabilitation could be started early. The total duration of hospitalization was relatively short. Replacement of temporary external fixators with definitive internal fixators was fast and easy, because reduction was already done. The patients stayed in the hospital for around 1 week.

The use of locked plates as temporary external fixators is not a generally acknowledged technique, and there is little experience with it in the literature. We found a high rate of union with a low complication rate when using staged external and internal locked plating for open distal tibial fractures.
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Table 2. Clinical and radiographic results

|   | Case | Follow-up (months) | Soft tissue reconstruction | Articular reduction | Temporary fixation (days) | Duration of hospitalization: first + second stage (days) | Complications | Time to union (months) | Axis | Iowa ankle score | Range of motion (degrees) |
|---|------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 24   | LD               | Good                      | 86                  | 48 + 8                   | Screw track infection 12 | 90/85          | Excellent           | 10–40|                   |                          |
| 2 | 24   | RS               | Good                      | 36                  | 13 + 7                   | –                          | 89/78          | Excellent           | 15–50|                   |                          |
| 3 | 24   | Repair           | Good                      | 27                  | 9 + 5                    | Superficial infection 6   | 91/80          | Excellent           | 10–30|                   |                          |
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| 10| 24   | Repair           | Good                      | 32                  | 22 + 8                   | –                          | 6              | 90/80               | Good  | 10–40             |                          |
| 11| 24   | Repair           | Good                      | 27                  | 21 + 8                   | Screw track infection 6   | 91/79          | Good                | 20–50|                   |                          |
| 12| 12   | Repair           | Good                      | 47                  | 9 + 6                    | –                          | 12             | 95/81               | Good  | 10–30             |                          |
| 13| 12   | Repair           | Good                      | 31                  | 13 + 9                   | –                          | 6              | 90/80               | Good  | 10–40             |                          |
| 14| 24   | LD               | Good                      | 54                  | 26 + 7                   | –                          | 6              | 93/80               | Excellent | 10–50             |                          |
| 15| 24   | RS               | Good                      | 97                  | 11 + 9                   | –                          | 6              | 87/84               | Good  | 10–40             |                          |
| 16| 12   | Repair           | Good                      | 51                  | 27 + 11                  | –                          | 12             | 90/75               | Good  | 10–20             |                          |
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