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Abstract

For a unitary, symplectic, or special orthogonal group over a non-archimedean local field of odd residual characteristic, we prove that two intertwining cuspidal types are conjugate in the group. This completes work of the third author who showed that every irreducible cuspidal representation of such a classical group is compactly induced from a cuspidal type, now giving a classification of irreducible cuspidal representations of classical groups in terms of cuspidal types. Our approach is to completely understand the intertwining of the so-called self dual semisimple characters, which form the fundamental step in the construction. To this aim, we generalise Bushnell–Henniart’s theory of endo-class for simple characters of general linear groups to a theory for self dual semisimple characters of classical groups, and introduce (self dual) endo-parameters which parametrise intertwining classes of (self dual) semisimple characters.
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1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a unitary, symplectic or special orthogonal group over a non-archimedean local field $F$ of odd residual characteristic and let $G^+$ be either $G$ in the unitary and symplectic case or the ambient orthogonal group. In previous works, the third author has developed an approach to the smooth dual of $G$ via Bushnell–Kutzko types. In this article, we accomplish an important part of this programme and complete recent work ([12] and [18]) in refining the third author’s construction [22] of all cuspidal representations of $G$ to a classification. Moreover, we develop a natural framework for our results on semisimple characters, the theory of endo-parameters for self dual semisimple characters of $G^+$ (and of $G$), which contains in its core a generalisation of Bushnell–Henniart’s theory of endo-class ([5]).

In [22], the third author explicitly constructs pairs $(J, \lambda)$ consisting of a compact open subgroup $J$ of $G$ and an irreducible representation $\lambda$ of $J$. If these pairs satisfy certain conditions
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then they are called cuspidal types. The main result of ibid. says that every irreducible cuspidal representation \( \pi \) of \( G \) contains a cuspidal type \((J, \lambda)\), and that in this case it is compactly induced \( \pi \cong \text{ind}_J^G \lambda \). If \( \pi \) contains two cuspidal types then the cuspidal types necessarily intertwine, and in the first main result of this paper we show:

**Theorem A (intertwining implies conjugacy).** Cuspidal types intertwine in \( G \) if and only if they are conjugate in \( G \).

As conjugate cuspidal types induce isomorphic representations, this completes a classification by types of the irreducible cuspidal representations of \( G \). This result was expected by analogy with other classifications of cuspidal representations via types for other connected reductive groups (such as Bushnell–Kutzko for \( GL_n \) \([9]\), the third author and Sécherre for inner forms of \( GL_n \) \([16]\), and Hakim–Murnaghan for all connected reductive groups under tame conditions \([11]\)), but our proof has required a substantial amount of work and relies on the main results of a number of papers (recently, \([12]\) and \([18]\)). We expect this theorem to find many applications in arithmetic - and will be useful whenever detailed analysis of cuspidal representations of \( G \) is required.

In the second theme of this paper, we generalise Bushnell and Henniart’s notions of potential simple character and endo-class, as defined in \([5]\), to self dual potential semisimple characters and endo-class for \( G \). As well as appearing in an essential way in our proof of Theorem A, this theory warrants independent study and allows a parametrisation of intertwining classes of (self dual) semisimple characters of \( GL_n, G^+ \) and of \( G \) via endo-parameters which we introduce at the end of the paper.

Potential applications include a local Langlands correspondence for endo-parameters or Ramification Theorem for classical groups (see \([7\), 6.1 Theorem] and, recently, \([8\) for the state of the art for \( GL_F(V) \)), and a decomposition via endo-parameters of the category of all smooth representations of \( G \) (see \([6\), Type Theorem] for simple characters of \( GL_F(V) \)). Both of which are the subject of current work of the authors. Let \( W_F \) denote the Weil group of \( F \), and \( P_F \) the wild inertia subgroup of \( W_F \). We expect the local Langlands correspondence for endo-parameters to take the following form:

**Conjectural form of the LLC for endo-parameters.** Suppose that the defining algebraic group for \( G \) is quasi-split.

(i) There is a unique bijection, compatible with the local Langlands correspondence for \( G \), between the set of endo-parameters of self dual semisimple characters for \( G \) (forgetting Witt type data) and the set of \( W_F \)-conjugacy classes of representations of \( P_F \) which are extendible to a Langlands parameter \( W_F \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^G(\mathbb{C}) \).

(ii) Let \( \phi : W_F \rightarrow L^G(\mathbb{C}) \) be a Langlands parameter for an \( L \)-packet \( \Pi(\phi) \) of \( G \). There is a unique bijection, compatible with the local Langlands correspondence for \( G \), between endo-parameters of representations in \( \Pi(\phi) \) and the irreducible representations of \( CL_G(\text{im}(\phi|_{P_F}))/Z_L^G(\mathbb{C}) \).

For symplectic groups Part \([i]\) of the Conjecture follows from current work of the third author with Blondel and Henniart \([2]\).

Let \( F/F_0 \) be a quadratic or trivial extension with \( \text{Gal}(F/F_0) = \langle \sigma \rangle \). If \( V \) is an \( F \)-vector space with a \( \varepsilon \)-hermitian form \( h \) defining a unitary, symplectic or orthogonal group \( G^+ = U(V, h) \), we let \( \Sigma \) denote the cyclic group of order two generated by the inverse of the adjoint anti-involution of \( h \) so that \( G^+ = GL(V)^\Sigma \). We fix a character of the additive group \( F^+ \) trivial
on $F_0$. Let $E = F[\beta]$ be a sum of field extensions of $F$, and $k$ be an integer satisfying certain bounds (see Section 8) - a semisimple pair. To a quadruple $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ consisting of an $F$-vector space $V$, an embedding $\varphi : E \to \text{End}_F(V)$, a $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}_E)$-lattice sequence in $V$, and an integer $r$ closely related to $k$, using work of Bushnell–Kutzko [9] and the third author [21], we can associate a set $C(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))$ of semisimple characters of a compact open subgroup of $GL(V)$ (whose group level is controlled by $k$). If in this quadruple $V$ is equipped with a hermitian form $h$ and the rest of the data satisfies certain duality properties, $\Sigma$ acts on this set with fixed points, and the subset of characters fixed by $\Sigma$ defines by unique restriction to $G^+$ the set $C_{\Sigma}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))$ of self dual semisimple characters of $G^+$. Moreover, there are natural transfer maps between the sets of characters defined by different quadruples.

A pss-character (resp. self dual pss-character) is a function from the class of all quadruples $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ (resp. $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r)$) to the class of all semisimple characters (resp. class of all self dual semisimple characters) whose values are related by transfer. For two pss-characters (resp. self dual pss-characters), we define comparison pairs in Section 8 these are essentially pairs of quadruples with the same underlying space (resp. hermitian space), where we can test if the values of the pss-characters (resp. self dual pss-characters) intertwine. Note that, in the symplectic case, we need a more restrictive notion of comparison pair a Witt comparison pair (see Section 8). We call pss-characters (resp. self dual pss-characters) endo-equivalent if whenever we can compare their values they intertwine. Our second main theorem is the surprising fact that this definition is equivalent to just having one such intertwining pair of values:

**Theorem B (10.6).** Let $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ be two self dual pss-characters and $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ their lifts. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The self dual pss-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent;

(ii) The lifts $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent.

(iii) There is a comparison pair such that the corresponding realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$.

An important corollary of Theorem B is a transitivity of $G^+$-intertwining of self dual semisimple characters statement in Corollary 10.7 which we extend to an analogous statement for $G$-intertwining of semisimple characters in Corollary 12.3. While intertwining of characters is obviously a reflexive and symmetric relation in general, it is clearly not necessarily transitive, thus the transitivity statement we obtain is a reflection of the structure in the collection of all (self dual) semisimple characters. These statements are key to our proof of intertwining implies conjugacy for cuspidal types (Theorem A).

By a deep result of Bushnell–Henniart [6, Intertwining Theorem], simple characters of general linear groups intertwine if and only if they are endo-equivalent. This not only implies that intertwining of simple characters with same group level is transitive, it also shows that endo-classes parametrise intertwining classes of simple characters of $GL_n$. In the final section we prove a broad generalisation of this result to semisimple and self dual semisimple characters by introducing endo-parameters.

A semisimple character is called full if it lies in a set of semisimple characters $C(\Lambda, 0, \beta)$, and an endo-class is called full if it contains a pss-characters supported on a semisimple pair $(k, \beta)$ with $k = 0$. A GL-endo-parameter is a function from the set of all full simple endo-classes $\mathcal{E}$ to the natural numbers (including zero) with finite support.

**Theorem C (14.7).** The set of intertwining classes of full semisimple characters for $GL_F(V)$
is in bijection with the set of those endo-parameters $f$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{c \in E} \deg(c)f(c) = \dim_F V.$$  

The definition of endo-parameters for classical groups is much more intricate. We associate to a self dual simple character a Witt tower of hermitian spaces over a self dual field extension of $F$, and show that if two skew semisimple characters intertwine then blockwise their Witt towers match. We encode this information into an invariant of a skew semisimple character we call its Witt type, and denote the set of all Witt types for $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ by $W_{\sigma, \epsilon}$. A self dual semisimple character is called elementary if it is skew or its associated indexing set contains two elements. A $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-parameter is a map from the set of full elementary $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-classes $E_-$ to $\mathbb{N} \times W_{\sigma, \epsilon}$ with finite support. Our final main result shows that $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-parameters parametrise intertwining classes of full self dual semisimple characters:

**Theorem D (14.14).** The set of intertwining classes of full self-dual semisimple characters for $G^+$ is in bijection with the set of $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-parameters $f = (f_1, f_2)$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{c \in E_-} \deg(c)(2f_1(c) + \diman(f_2(c))) = \dim_F V,$$

such that the sum of the Witt tower over $F$ of the $f_2(c)$s is equal to the Witt tower of $h$. We extend this theorem to parametrise intertwining classes of self dual semisimple characters of special orthogonal groups in Corollary 14.15.

We now describe the structure of the article. In Section 2, we carefully recast definitions and key results relevant to the sequel. In Section 3, we introduce comparison pairs, allowing us in Section 4 to define endo-equivalence for simple characters for unitary and special orthogonal, but not symplectic groups. The symplectic case requires quite a lot more work. We introduce matching Witt towers in Section 5 and more restrictive comparison pairs where we require the corresponding Witt towers to match called Witt comparison pairs. The main result of Section 5 shows that matching of Witt towers is inherited along defining sequences of simple strata. The additional condition of Witt comparison pairs allows us in Section 7 to define endo-equivalence for simple characters for symplectic groups following a study of intertwining simple characters for symplectic groups in Section 6. In Section 8, we recall definitions of semisimple strata and characters, and define potential semisimple characters. In Section 9, making significant use of recent work of the second and third authors [18], we investigate intertwining semisimple characters. In Section 10, we can finally introduce semisimple endo-equivalence and prove Theorem B. Section 11 generalises results on intertwining and conjugacy of skew characters of the second and third authors [18] to self dual characters, and Section 12 investigates the difference between intertwining under orthogonal and special orthogonal groups. We then deduce Theorem A (intertwining implies conjugacy for cuspidal types) in Section 13, using the work of the previous sections to reduce to the level zero part where it is known thanks to recent results of the first and third authors [12]. Section 14 introduces endo-parameters and proves Theorems C & D on the parametrisation of intertwining classes of (self dual) semisimple characters by endo-parameters.
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2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

Let $F/F_0$ be a trivial or quadratic extension of (locally compact) non-archimedean local fields of odd residual characteristic $p$, and $\sigma$ be the generator of $\text{Gal}(F/F_0)$. If $E$ is any non-archimedean local field, we denote by $\sigma_E$ the ring of integers of $E$, $\mathfrak{p}_E$ its maximal ideal, $k_E = \sigma_E/\mathfrak{p}_E$ the residue field, and $\nu_E$ the additive valuation on $E$, which we will always normalise to have image $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. We fix a uniformiser $\varpi_E \in \mathfrak{p}_E$ such that $\sigma(\varpi_E) = -\varpi_E$ if $F/F_0$ is ramified, and $\sigma(\varpi_E) = \varpi_E$ otherwise. If $E/F$ is an algebraic extension of non-archimedean local fields, we write $E_{tr}$ and $E_{ur}$ for the maximal tamely ramified and maximal unramified subextension of $E/F$, respectively. Let $\psi_0$ be a character of $F_0$, with conductor $\mathfrak{p}_{F_0}$. We put $\psi_E = \psi_0 \circ \text{Tr}_{E/F_0}$.

Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and $V$ be a $N$-dimensional $F$-vector, space equipped with a non-degenerate $\epsilon$-hermitian form $h : V \times V \to F$, defined with respect to the involution $\sigma$. Thus, $h$ is a biadditive form $h : V \times V \to F$, satisfying

$$h(xv, yw) = \sigma(x) \epsilon \sigma(h(w, v)) y,$$

for all $v, w \in V$, and $x, y \in F$. We let $A = \text{End}_F(V)$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}_F(V)$, and $\sigma_h$ denote the adjoint anti-involution induced on $A$ by $h$. Let $\sigma$ denote both the involution $\sigma(g) = \sigma_h(g)^{-1}$, $g \in \tilde{G}$, on $\tilde{G}$ and the involution $\sigma(a) = -\sigma_h(a)$, $a \in A$, on $A$. Let $\Sigma = \{1, \sigma\}$, where $1$ acts as the identity on $\tilde{G}$ and $A$.

We set

$$G^+ = \tilde{G}^\Sigma = \{g \in G : h(gv, gw) = h(v, w), \text{ for all } v, w \in V\},$$

the $F_0$-points of a unitary group (if $F/F_0$ is quadratic, $\epsilon = \pm 1$), a symplectic group (if $F = F_0$, $\epsilon = -1$), or an orthogonal group (if $F = F_0$, $\epsilon = 1$), defined over $F_0$. If $G^+$ is not orthogonal we set $G = G^+$, and in the orthogonal case we let $G$ denote the special orthogonal subgroup of elements of determinant $1$. We call $G$ a classical group. For $\tilde{H}$ a $\sigma$-stable subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, we write $H^+ = \tilde{H} \cap G^+$ and $H = \tilde{H} \cap G$.

We denote set of $\sigma$-skew-symmetric elements of $A$ by $A_-$ and, for any $\sigma$-stable subset $X$ of $A$, we write $X_- = X \cap A_-$ for the skew-symmetric elements and $X_+$ for the symmetric elements.

For a symmetric or skew-symmetric element $a$ of $A$ we define the twist of $h$ by $a$ to be the form $h^a : V \times V \to F$ defined by

$$h^a(v, w) = h(v, aw),$$

for all $v, w \in V$. If $a$ is invertible the adjoint anti-involutions are then conjugate by $a$

$$\sigma_{h^a}(x) = a^{-1} \sigma_h(x) a,$$

for all $x \in A$.

Let $E = F[\beta]$ be a simple field extension of $F$. If $\sigma$ extends to a unique involution $\sigma_E$ on $E$, we call $E$ a self dual extension and in this case we denote by $E_0$ the fixed field of $\sigma_E$. Let $(V, h)$ be an $\epsilon$-hermitian $(F/F_0)$-space. Let $E$ be a self dual field extension of $F$ equipped with an $F$-embedding $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow \text{End}_F(V)$. We call $\varphi$ self dual if $\sigma_h \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \sigma_E$.

An $\sigma_E$-lattice sequence in $V$ is a map $\Lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to \{\sigma_F\text{-lattices in } V\}$ which is decreasing (we have $\Lambda(k) \subseteq \Lambda(k-1)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and periodic (there exists $e(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Lambda(n + e(\Lambda)) = \Lambda(n)$).
Then there exists $m$ moreover, the integer $m$ is an $F$-part of an $\epsilon$-hermitian space with anisotropic part $\sigma$, $\epsilon$ isometric to the anisotropic part of $\epsilon \sigma$ by orthogonal sum of $\epsilon \sigma$ copies of $\epsilon \sigma$. For $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ we call $P$ the parahoric subgroup $P \cap (\Lambda)$ of $P(\Lambda)$ with $G$ gives a decreasing separable filtration $P_n(\Lambda)$ of $P^- \epsilon (\Lambda)$ by compact open pro-$p$ subgroups. The quotient group $M^- \epsilon (\Lambda) = P^- \epsilon (\Lambda)/P_{-1}^- \epsilon (\Lambda)$ is the group of $k_{F_0}$-points of a reductive group $M^-$ defined over $k_{F_0}$. However, the algebraic group $M^-$ need not be connected. We let $P^0(\Lambda)$ denote the inverse image in $P^- (\Lambda)$ of the $k_{F_0}$-points of the connected component of $M^-$, and call $P^0(\Lambda)$ a parahoric subgroup of $G$.

2.2 Witt towers, transfer of forms

First we recall the definition of the Witt group over local fields. Let $a \in F$ be such that $a = \epsilon \sigma(a)$. We write $\langle a \rangle$ for the one-dimensional $E$-vector space with $\epsilon$-hermitian form $h(\alpha, \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \alpha \beta$, for $\alpha, \beta \in F$. We let $H$ denote the hyperbolic plane, and, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $mH$ denote the orthogonal sum of $m$ copies of $H$. We will always choose a basis so that the Gram matrix of $mH$ is anti-diagonal with $\epsilon$’s and 1’s on the anti-diagonal. Let $V$ be an $\epsilon$-hermitian $F$-space, by this we mean an $F$-vector space equipped with non-degenerate $\epsilon$-hermitian form $h$ with respect to $\sigma$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$ and an anisotropic space $V_0$ such that $V$ is isometric to $mH \oplus V_0$; moreover, the integer $m$ and the isometry class of $V_0$ are unique. We call $V_0$ the anisotropic part of $V$ (or of $h$) and write $\diman(V) = \dim(V_0)$, the anisotropic dimension of $V$.

For an $\epsilon$-hermitian form $h$, we call the class of all $\epsilon$-hermitian spaces with anisotropic part isometric to the anisotropic part of $h$ the Witt tower of $h$. The Witt group $W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F)$ is the abelian group formed from the abelian semigroup of Witt towers of $\epsilon$-hermitian forms induced by orthogonal sum of $\epsilon$-hermitian spaces.

(i) Unitary case: If $F/F_0$ is quadratic and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F)$ is of order 4 and is isomorphic to the Klein group if $-1 \in N_{F/F_0}(E^\ast)$, and is cyclic if not.

(ii) Orthogonal case: If $F/F_0$ is trivial and $\epsilon = 1$, $W_{id, 1}(F)$ is of order 16.

(iii) Symplectic case: If $F/F_0$ is trivial and $\epsilon = -1$, $W_{id, -1}(F)$ is trivial.

For $\epsilon$-hermitian spaces $(V, h)$ and $(V', h')$, we will write $h \equiv h'$ if they define the same element of the Witt group, i.e. are in the same Witt tower.
Let $E = F[\beta]/F$ be a self dual field extension with the induced involution $\sigma_E$, in particular $\beta$ is zero or $E \neq E_0$, since $\sigma_E(\beta) = -\beta$, and $\lambda : E \to F$ be a non-zero $F$-linear form on $E$ which is $(\sigma_E, \sigma)$-equivariant. Such forms exist, indeed, we can choose such a linear form $\lambda$ by setting $\lambda(1) = 1$ and $\lambda(\beta) = \lambda(\beta^2) = \cdots = \lambda(\beta^{n-1}) = 0$. We will denote this particular choice by $\lambda_{\beta}$. Let $(V, h)$ be an $\epsilon$-hermitian $E$-space. Then $(V, \lambda \circ h)$ is a non-degenerate $\epsilon$-hermitian $F$-space, called the transfer of $(V, h)$. It is easy to see that the transfer preserves orthogonal sums, isometries, and hyperbolic spaces. Hence it induces a group homomorphism

$$\lambda^* : W_{\sigma_E, \epsilon}(E) \to W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F).$$

In general, it is easy to see that this homomorphism is not bijective (for example, by taking $E/F$ of even dimension). However, we have the following rather surprising theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that if $F/F_0$ is trivial then $\epsilon = 1$ (the non-symplectic case). Then restriction of the transfer homomorphism $\lambda^* : W_{\sigma_E, \epsilon}(E) \to W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F)$ to spaces of the same parity of dimension is injective.

Note that, the assertion of the theorem would not be true without the assumption that $E = F[\beta]$ is a self dual field extension.

**Proof.** By twisting, and composing with a transfer $W_{\sigma, 1}(F) \to W_{\text{id}, 1}(F_0)$ if necessary, it is sufficient to prove it in the orthogonal case $F = F_0$. For separable extensions the statement with $\lambda = \text{Tr}_{E/F}$ is proved in [13]. In ibid. it is explained that the statement also holds for inseparable extensions (cf. [13, Remark 1.4]), while a different proof for inseparable extensions can be found in the proof of [18, Theorem 4.4] where particular linear forms are chosen. However, the following simple observation shows that if the result holds for one $(\sigma_E, \sigma)$-equivariant linear form then it holds for all such forms:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\lambda, \lambda'$ be non-zero $(\sigma_E, \sigma)$-equivariant $F$-linear forms on $E$, then there exists a unique $\gamma \in E_0$ such that $\lambda(x\gamma) = \lambda'(x)$ for all $x \in E$. Moreover, the image $\lambda^*(W_{\sigma_E, \epsilon}(E))$ of $\lambda^*$ in $W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F)$ is independent of the choice of $\lambda$.

**Proof.** From the bijection of $E$ onto its dual space, there exists a unique $\gamma \in E$ such that $\lambda(x\gamma) = \lambda'(x)$ for all $x \in E$. As $\lambda, \lambda'$ are self dual, one finds $\lambda(\sigma_E(x)\sigma_E(\gamma)) = \lambda(\sigma_E(x)\gamma)$, which implies that $\gamma = \sigma_E(\gamma)$, i.e. $\gamma \in E_0$. (Note that, similarly, for any $\gamma \in E_0$, the form $\gamma \cdot \lambda(x) = \lambda(x\gamma)$ is always self dual.) The second part follows as multiplication by $E(\gamma)$ (given by the tensor product) defines an automorphism of the Witt ring $W_{\sigma_E, \epsilon}(E)$. \hfill \Box

This completes the proof of the theorem. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 2.3** ([18, Theorem 4.4]). The map $\lambda^*$ maps the Witt tower of maximal anisotropic dimension to the Witt tower of maximal anisotropic dimension.

We will need more precise information on the image of the transfer homomorphism in particular instances.

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose $\epsilon = 1$. Let $E = F[\beta]$ be a self dual extension of $F$ of degree $n$, $(V, h_E)$ be an $\epsilon$-hermitian $E$-space, and $\lambda$ be any $(\sigma_E, \sigma)$-equivariant $F$-linear form on $E$.

(i) Granted $p \neq 2$, we have

$$\det(\lambda^*(V)) = \det(\lambda^*(1))^{\dim_E(V)}N_{E/F}(\det(V)).$$
Lemma 2.5. We will need two properties of the norm map:

\[ \lambda^*_\beta((1)) = \begin{cases} (1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ (1) \oplus ((-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}N_{E/F}(\beta)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

Proof. The analogue of these statements for transfer of quadratic forms are proved by Scharlau in \cite[Lemma 5.8, Theorem 5.12]{Scharlau}. The hermitian case follows mutatis. mutandis. being careful of the extra signs which appear, and for this reason we sketch the proof of Part (ii). Suppose \( \beta \) has minimal polynomial \( X^n + \beta_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + \beta_1X + \beta_0 \). Then we can easily calculate the Gram matrices of the form \( \lambda^*_\beta((1)) \):

\[
J(\lambda^*_\beta((1))) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +\beta_0 \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_0 & * \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \ddots & * & * \\
0 & (-1)^{n-1}\beta_0 & * & * & * \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

In particular, if \( n = [E : F] \) is odd, then \( \lambda^*_\beta((1)) \simeq \frac{n-1}{2} \mathbb{H} \oplus (1) \), as it is an orthogonal sum of \( (1) \) with the subspace \( X \) generated by \( \beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^n \); however, \( X \) has a totally isotropic subspace of half its dimension generated by \( \beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^\frac{n-1}{2} \), hence is hyperbolic. Similarly, if \( n = [E : F] \) is even, then \( \lambda^*_\beta((1)) \simeq \frac{n-2}{2} \mathbb{H} \oplus (1) \oplus (\delta) \), with \( \delta = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}N_{E/F}(\beta) \).

We will need two properties of the norm map:

Lemma 2.5. Let \( F \neq F_0 \) and \( E/F \) be a finite field extension and \( \sigma_E \) be a non-trivial involution on \( E \) extending \( \sigma \) and \( \alpha \in E_0 \).

(i) Then \( N_{E/F}(\alpha) = N_{E_0/F_0}(\alpha) \).

(ii) We have \( \alpha \in N_{E/E_0}(F^\times) \) if and only if \( N_{E_0/F_0}(\alpha) \in N_{F/F_0}(F^\times) \).

Proof. Consider all field extensions in a given Galois closure \( \mathfrak{F} \) of \( F_0 \). Let \( m = [E : F]_{\text{sep}} \) be the degree of the separable part of the extension and \( d = [E : F]_{\text{rad}} \) be the degree of the inseparable part of the extension so that \( [E : F] = dm \). Similarly, define \( d_0 \) and \( m_0 \) for the extension \( E_0/F_0 \). Then, as \( p \neq 2 \), we have \( d = d_0 \) and \( m = m_0 \). Let \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m \) denote the \( m \) distinct \( F \)-homomorphisms \( E \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F} \). For each \( i \), the homomorphism \( \tau_i|_{E_0} : E_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F} \) is an \( F_0 \)-homomorphism, and for \( i \neq j \), we have \( \tau_i|_{E_0} \neq \tau_j|_{E_0} \) as \( E = FE_0 \) and they are distinct as \( F \)-homomorphisms \( E \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F} \). The first assertion now follows by definition of the norms. The second assertion for purely inseparable extensions \( E/F \) follows directly by considering valuations, as in this case \( [E : F] \) is necessarily odd. Thus, by transitivity of the norm, we can suppose that \( E/F \) and hence \( E_0/F_0 \) is separable. By local class field theory, for any finite abelian extension \( K/k \) (contained in a given separable closure) of local fields (so, for example as \( p \neq 2 \), \( E/F_0 \) or \( F/F_0 \)), we have an isomorphism

\[ \text{Art}_{K/k} : K^\times/N_K/k(K^\times) \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k). \]

Moreover, the base change property of class field theory applied to the field extensions above, gives on \( E_0^\times/N_{E/E_0}(E^\times) \)

\[ \text{Res}^F_{E} \circ \text{Art}_{E/E_0} = \text{Art}_{F/F_0} \circ N_{E_0/F_0}. \]
We have $\text{Gal}(E/E_0) = \{1, \sigma_E\}$ and $\text{Gal}(F/F_0) = \{1, \sigma\}$, with $\text{Res}_{E/F}^F(\sigma_E) = \sigma$, and the Artin reciprocity maps are isomorphisms. Hence $\text{Art}_{E/E_0}$ is trivial on the class of $\alpha$ if and only if $\text{Art}_{F/F_0} \circ N_{E_0/F_0}$ is also trivial on this class, and the second assertion follows.

**Remark 2.6.** In the quadratic unitary case:

(i) As dimension and determinant modulo the norm group $N_{F/F_0}(F^\times)$ form a complete set of invariants, i.e. characterise $\epsilon$-hermitian spaces up to isometry completely, Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.4 combine to characterise the standard transfer $\lambda_\beta^*$ of the self dual field extension $E = F[\beta]$ completely.

(ii) Let $x$ be a non-zero element of $F_0$:

(a) If $-1$ is a square in $F$, then $x$ is a norm with respect to $N_{F/F_0}$ if and only if $x$ is a square in $F$.

(b) If $-1$ is not a square in $F$, then $x$ is a norm with respect to $N_{F/F_0}$ if and only if either $\nu_{F_0}(x)$ is even and $x$ is a square in $F$ or $\nu_{F_0}(x)$ is odd and $x$ is not square in $F$.

### 2.3 Self dual embeddings and transfer

Let $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow A$ be a self dual embedding. This gives $V$ the structure of an $E$-vector space and we write $V_\varphi$ when we want to make it clear that we are considering $V$ as an $E$-vector space via $\varphi$. The $F$-linear map

$$\text{Hom}_E(V_\varphi, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V, F)$$

$$\psi \mapsto \lambda \circ \psi$$

is an isomorphism of $F$-vector spaces, and the $(F/F_0)$-form $h$ defines an isomorphism $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V, F)$ by $v \mapsto h(v, -)$. We let $\psi_v \in \text{Hom}_E(V_\varphi, E)$ be the unique $E$-linear map such that $h(v, -) = \lambda \circ \psi_v$, then define $h_\varphi : V_\varphi \times V_\varphi \rightarrow E$ by $h_\varphi(v, w) = \psi_v(w)$.

**Lemma 2.7** ([4, Lemma 5.3]). The map $h_\varphi : V_\varphi \times V_\varphi \rightarrow E$ is a nondegenerate hermitian $(E/E_0)$-form. Moreover, it is the unique hermitian $(E/E_0)$-form on $V_\varphi$ such that $h(v, w) = \lambda(h_E(v, w))$ for all $v, w \in V$.

Sometimes, it will be more convenient to take an element $\beta \in A$ generating a field, and consider the embedding to be the inclusion of the subfield of $A$. We denote the form given by the lemma for this embedding by $h_\beta$.

Let $\varphi, \varphi' : E \hookrightarrow A$ be self dual $F$-embeddings and $(V_\varphi, h_\varphi)$ and $(V_{\varphi'}, h_{\varphi'})$ be the hermitian $(E/E_0)$-spaces defined by $(V, h)$ and a fixed $(\sigma_E, \sigma)$-invariant $F$-linear form as in Lemma 2.7 An observation of the second author in [17], we use later without reference, is the following useful corollary of Lemma 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8** ([17, Proposition 1.3]). The hermitian $(E/E_0)$-spaces $(V_\varphi, h_\varphi)$ and $(V_{\varphi'}, h_{\varphi'})$ are isometric if and only if the embeddings $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are conjugate in $U(V, h)$.

### 2.4 Potential simple characters

For the remainder, we fix our quadratic or trivial extension $F/F_0$, $\sigma$ the generator of $\text{Gal}(F/F_0)$, and a choice of sign $\epsilon$. 
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A stratum in $A$ is a 4-tuple $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ where $\Lambda$ is an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence, $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $n \geq r \geq 0$, and $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}_n(\Lambda)$. The fraction $\frac{\nu_{\Lambda}(\beta)}{n_{\Lambda}(\beta)}$ is called the level of the stratum. We call the stratum $[\Lambda, r, r, 0]$ a zero-stratum. A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is called pure if it is zero or $E = F[\beta]$ is a field, $\Lambda$ is an $\mathfrak{o}_E$-lattice sequence, and $\nu_{\Lambda}(\beta) = -n$. Let $n_{\Lambda}(\beta, \Lambda) = \{x \in \mathfrak{a}(\Lambda) : \beta x - x \beta \in \mathfrak{a}_k(\Lambda)\}$ and define the critical exponent $k_0(\beta, \Lambda)$ by

$$k_0(\beta, \Lambda) = \max \{\nu_{\Lambda}(\beta), \sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : n_{\Lambda}(\beta, \Lambda) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}(\Lambda_E) + \mathfrak{a}_1(\Lambda)\}\},$$

for non-zero $\beta$ and $k_0(0, \Lambda) = -\infty$. A pure stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is called simple if $k_0(\beta, \Lambda) < -r$, in particular if $n = r$ then the stratum has to be zero. A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is called self dual if $\Lambda$ is a self dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence and $\nu_{\Lambda}(\beta) = -n$. Let $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ be a self dual simple stratum in $A$ with non-zero $\beta$. Associated to $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ and our initial choice of $\psi_F$, in the work of Bushnell and Kutzko [9] - extended to non-strict lattice sequences by the third author in [21], are a compact open subgroup $H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ of $P(\Lambda)$ and a set of characters $C(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ of $H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ called simple characters. For the stratum $[\Lambda, r, 0]$ we define $C(\Lambda, r, 0)$ to be the singleton consisting only of the trivial character on $H^{r+1}(0, \Lambda)$ which is defined as $P_{-r+1}(\Lambda)$. This trivial character is also called a simple character.

Let $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ be a self dual simple stratum in $A$. Then $H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda) = H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap G$ is a compact open subgroup of $P^-(\Lambda)$, and we can define a set of self dual simple characters $C_.(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ of $H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ by restriction from $C(\Lambda, r, \beta)$. This restriction of characters coincides with the Glauberman correspondence by [20] §2. A character $\theta \in C_.(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ is called $\sigma$-invariant if it is fixed by the involution $x \mapsto \sigma(x) = \sigma_{\beta}(x)^{-1}$, for all $x \in G$, and we write $C_.(\Lambda, r, \beta)_{\sigma}^\theta$ for the subset of $\sigma$-invariant characters. By the Glauberman correspondence, if $\theta, \epsilon \in C_.(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ is a simple character, then there is a unique $\eta \in C_.(\Lambda, r, \beta)_{\sigma}^\theta$ whose restriction to $H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)$ is $\theta_\beta$. We call $\theta$ the lift of $\theta_\beta$.

Let $E = F[\beta]$ be a field extension and denote $n_F(\beta) = -\nu_E(\beta)$. Let $\Lambda(E)$ be the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $i \mapsto p^i_E$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is the unique (up to translation) $\mathfrak{o}_E$-lattice chain in $E$, and $\nu_{\Lambda(E)}(\beta) = \nu_E(\beta)$. For any integer $0 \leq k \leq n_F(\beta) - 1$, the stratum $[\Lambda(E), n_F(\beta), k, \beta]$ in $\text{End}_E(F)$ is pure; and we set

$$k_F(\beta) = \frac{k_0(\beta, \Lambda(E))}{e(E/F)}.$$

**Remark 2.9.** Note that our definition of $k_F(\beta)$ differs by a normalisation from the standard choice of Bushnell–Henniart [5]: we make this change as with this normalisation $k_F(\beta)$ generalizes to the semisimple case.

A simple pair over $F$ is a pair $[k, \beta]$ where $E = F[\beta]$ is a finite field extension of $F$ and $k$ is an integer satisfying $0 \leq k < -k_F(\beta) e(E/F)$. A simple pair $[k, \beta]$ over $F$ is called self dual if $E = F[\beta]$ is a self dual field extension. Given a simple pair $[k, \beta]$, we consider quadruples $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$, consisting of: a finite dimensional $F$-vector space $V$; an embedding $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow A$; a $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}_E)$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ (hence we have $\varphi(E^\times) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}(\Lambda)$); and an integer $r$ such that the group level $\frac{\varphi(\Lambda)}{\mathfrak{a}(\Lambda)}$ is equal to $k$. Given such a quadruple $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$, we obtain a simple stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]$ in $A$, with $n = -\nu_E(\beta) e(\Lambda_E)$, which we call a realisation of the simple pair $[k, \beta]$. (It is simple as $k_F(\beta) = \frac{1}{e(\Lambda_E) e(E/F)} k_0(\beta, \Lambda)$ by [9, 1.4.13]). Let $[k, \beta]$ be a simple pair and $Q(k, \beta)$ denote the class of all such quadruples $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$. Suppose that $[k, \beta]$ is self dual. Let $Q_.(k, \beta)$ denote the class of all quadruples $(V, h, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ where $(V, h)$ is an $\epsilon$-hermitian $F$-space; $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in Q_.(k, \beta)$, and $\varphi, \Lambda$ are self dual with respect to $h$. We note that the set $Q_.(k, \beta)$ just depends on its initial choice of $\sigma, \epsilon$. If $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in Q_.(k, \beta)$, then $[\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]$ is a self dual simple stratum which we call a (self dual) realisation of the self dual simple pair $[k, \beta]$. 
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For realisations $[\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]$ and $[\Lambda', n', r', \varphi'(\beta)]$ of a simple pair $[k, \beta]$ there is a canonical bijection

$$\tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} : \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r', \varphi'(\beta)),$$

by [3] 3.6.14], where despite the dependence of $\tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ on $(\varphi, \varphi', r, r')$ we do not include it in our notation. Let $[k, \beta]$ be a self dual simple pair. By [21] Proposition 2.12], if $[\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]$ and $[\Lambda', n', r', \varphi'(\beta)]$ are self dual realisations of $[k, \beta]$, then $\tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}$ commutes with the involutions defined on $\mathcal{C}(\varphi(\beta), r, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\varphi'(\beta), r', \Lambda')$ and restricts to give a bijection

$$\tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} : \mathcal{C}_-(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_-(\Lambda', r', \varphi'(\beta)).$$

We let $\mathcal{C}(k, \beta)$ denote the class of all simple characters defined by a realisation of a simple pair $[k, \beta]$ and $\mathcal{C}_-(k, \beta)$ denote the class of all self dual simple characters defined by a realisation of a self dual simple pair $[k, \beta]$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}(k, \beta) = \bigcup_{(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta)} \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)), \quad \mathcal{C}_-(k, \beta) = \bigcup_{(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}_-(k, \beta)} \mathcal{C}_-(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)). \quad (2.10)$$

Note that, as introduced by Bushnell and Henniart in [5], the standard notation for $\mathcal{C}(k, \beta)$ is $\mathcal{R}(k, \beta)$.

A potential simple character, or ps-character, supported on the simple pair $[k, \beta]$ is a function,

$$\Theta : \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(k, \beta)$$

such that $\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))$, for $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta)$, and

$$\Theta(V', \varphi', \Lambda', r') = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}(\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)),$$

for $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r), (V', \varphi', \Lambda', r') \in \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta)$. For $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta)$, we call $\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ a realisation of $\Theta$. Thus, a ps-character is determined by any one of its realisations. Let $\Theta$ be a ps-character supported on the simple pair $[k, \beta]$ and $\Theta'$ be a ps-character supported on the simple pair $[k', \beta']$. We say that $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent, denoted $\Theta \simeq \Theta'$, if there exists a finite dimensional vector space $V$, which is part of a quadruple $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta)$ and part of a quadruple $(V', \varphi', \Lambda', r') \in \mathcal{Q}(k', \beta')$, such that $\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ and $\Theta'(V', \varphi', \Lambda', r')$ intertwine in $\text{GL}_F(V)$, i.e. there exist realisations on a common vector space which intertwine. We have the following key results for ps-characters

**Theorem 2.11** ([3] Theorem 1.11] and [5] Corollary 8.10]). Suppose we are given two endo-equivalent ps-characters $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ supported on $[k, \beta]$ and $[k', \beta']$, respectively, and let $\theta$ and $\theta'$ be realisations of $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$, respectively, on the same vector space $V$. Then, $\theta$ and $\theta'$ intertwine over $\tilde{G}$.

**Theorem 2.12** ([3] Corollary 8.3]). This defines an equivalence relation on the class of ps-characters.

The equivalence classes are called simple GL-endo-classes.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let $\theta, \theta'$ and $\theta''$ be simple characters with the same group level. If $\theta$ intertwines with $\theta'$ and $\theta'$ intertwines with $\theta''$ over $\tilde{G}$, then $\theta$ intertwines with $\theta''$ over $\tilde{G}$.

The Theorem is proven for the case of strict lattice sequences in [6] Corollary 2].
Proof. The ps-characters \( \Theta \) and \( \Theta'' \) defined by \( \theta \) and \( \theta'' \) are endo-equivalent to each other by Theorem 2.13 and thus \( \theta \) and \( \theta'' \) intertwine by some element of \( \hat{G} \) by Theorem 2.11.

A ps-character supported on a self dual simple pair \([k, \beta]\) is called \( \sigma \)-invariant if for any (or equivalently one) self dual realisation of \([k, \beta]\) the value is \( \sigma \)-invariant. A self dual ps-character is a function, \( \Theta_\sigma : \mathcal{Q}_-(k, \beta) \to \mathcal{C}_-(k, \beta) \) such that \( \Theta_\sigma((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{C}_-(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \), for \(((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in \mathcal{Q}_-(k, \beta) \), and

\[
\Theta_\sigma((V', h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r') = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda', \beta}(\Theta_\sigma((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r)),
\]

for \(((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r), ((V', h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r') \in \mathcal{Q}_-(k, \beta) \). Thus, again, a self dual ps-character is determined by any one of its realisations. By the Glauberman correspondence, every self dual ps-character comes uniquely from the restriction of a \( \sigma \)-invariant ps-character. We call the values of \( \Theta_\sigma \) realisations and the \( \sigma \)-invariant ps-character \( \Theta : \mathcal{Q}(k, \beta) \to \mathcal{C}(k, \beta) \) which restricts to \( \Theta_\sigma \) the lift of \( \Theta_\sigma \).

We will need a proposition on minimal elements in tamely ramified field extensions:

**Proposition 2.14.** Suppose \( E_1/F \) and \( E_2/F \) are two finite field extensions with the first one being tamely ramified. Further, let \( b_i \) be an element of \( E_i^\times \), \( i = 1, 2 \), such that

(i) \( F[b_1] = E_1 \) and \( \nu_{E_1}(b_1) \) is prime to \( e(E_1/F) \) which we denote by \( e \),

(ii) \( \nu_{E_2}(b_1)/e \) is equal to \( \nu_{E_2}(b_2)/e(E_2/F) \), and

(iii) \( b_1^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} + p_{E_1} \) and \( b_2^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} + p_{E_2} \) have the same minimal polynomial over \( k_F \), and

the first element generates the residue field \( k_{E_1} \) over \( k_F \).

Then, there is an \( F \)-embedding \( \phi : E_1 \to E_2 \) such that

\[
\nu_{E_2}(\phi(b_1) - b_2) > \nu_{E_2}(b_2).
\]

Proof. Let \( \overline{P} \) be the minimal polynomial of \( b_1^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \) over \( k_F \), and choose a lift \( P \in \mathfrak{o}_F[X] \) of \( \overline{P} \). Hensel’s lemma guaranties the existence of zeroes \( \gamma_1 \in E_{1,ur} \) and \( \gamma_2 \in E_{2,ur} \) of \( P \) congruent to \( b_1^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \mod p_{E_i} \), respectively. There is an \( F \)-monomorphism from \( E_1 \) into an algebraic closure of \( E_2 \) which maps \( \gamma_1 \) to \( \gamma_2 \), and thus we can assume that \( F = E_{1,ur} \) and \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \). By Bezout’s lemma it is enough to restrict to the case where \( b_1 \) is a uniformiser. Let \( \overline{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{p}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k}^2 \) be an eth power in \( E_1 \). Then \( \overline{b}_1^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \) and \( \overline{b}_1^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \) are eth-th powers. The latter is equal to \( \overline{b}_2^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \) and Hensel’s lemma provides \( \nu \)-th roots \( \lambda_i \) of \( b_i^{\nu_{E_1}(b_1)} \), respectively, such that \( \overline{\lambda}_1 \) is equal to \( \overline{\lambda}_2 \). The \( F \)-monomorphism \( \phi \) which maps \( b_1\lambda_1^{-1} \) to \( b_2\lambda_2^{-1} \) satisfies that \( \phi(b_1)b_2^{-1} \) is an element of \( 1 + \mathfrak{p}_{E_2} \).

**Remark 2.15.** An element \( b_1 \) as in Proposition 2.14 is called a minimal element for \( E_1/F \), see [9] 1.4.14.

3 Comparison pairs

Let \([k, \beta]\) be a self dual simple pair. Let \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) be a self dual simple stratum for \([k, \beta]\) (in particular the group level is \( k \)) in an \( \epsilon \)-hermitian \((F/F_0)\)-space \( V \), and let \( \theta_\sigma \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta) \). This gives \( V \) the structure of a \( \epsilon \)-hermitian \((E/E_0)\)-space defining a class in \( W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(E) \), and we
will say that the Witt group $W_{\sigma,\epsilon}(E)$ is the \textit{Witt group of the stratum}, of $\theta_-$, or of $\beta$, with respect $\epsilon$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ be two self dual ps-characters supported on possibly different simple pairs $[k, \beta]$ and $[k', \beta']$ for the same $k$. We call two elements $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r)$ and $((V', h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r')$ in the domain of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$, respectively, a \textit{comparison pair} if

$$V = V', \ h = h', \ e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda'), \ r = r'.$$

A comparison pair $(((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r), ((V, h), \varphi', \Lambda', r'))$ is called \textit{strong} if $\Lambda = \Lambda'$.

We will formulate several statements like “realisations of $\Theta'_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ with respect to a given comparison pair intertwine over $G^+$$”$. Here, we mean that $G^+$ is the classical group defined by the comparison pair, i.e. it is $U(V, h)$ if the comparison pair is $((V, h), \varphi, r), ((V, h), \varphi', r'))$.

We are mainly interested in the case of Witt groups of two given quadratic extensions $E/E_0$ and $E'/E_0$ which have \textit{similar descriptions} in terms of units and uniformisers, i.e either $W_{\sigma,\epsilon}(E)$ and $W_{\sigma,\epsilon}(E')$ are isomorphic to a cyclic group of order 4 (i.e. when $-1$ is neither a square in $E$ nor $E'$) or both are Kleinian four groups and there are generators $\langle a_1 \rangle_\equiv, \langle a_2 \rangle_\equiv$ for $W_{\sigma,\epsilon}(E)$ and $\langle a'_1 \rangle_\equiv, \langle a'_2 \rangle_\equiv$ for $W_{\sigma,\epsilon}(E')$ such that $\frac{\nu_{E/F}(a_1)}{e(E/F)}$ is equal to $\frac{\nu_{E'/F}(a'_1)}{e(E'/F)}$. The next lemma ensures that this is the case for intertwining positive level self dual simple characters.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that two simple characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_h}$ and $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r, \beta')^{\sigma_h}$ intertwine over $G^+$ and both lattice sequences have the same period. Then, $E/E_0$ is ramified if and only if $E'/E_0$ is ramified.

**Proof.** Let $e$ be the period of $\Lambda$, hence the period of $\Lambda'$ by assumption. Adding $2e$ shifts of $\Lambda$, we obtain the self dual principal lattice chain

$$\Lambda^\dagger = (\Lambda - e + 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\Lambda - 0) \oplus (\Lambda - 0)^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\Lambda - e + 1)^2,$$

with respect to $\epsilon$-hermitian form $h^\dagger = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} h & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & h & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & h \end{array}\right)$ (2e-times). Put $\beta^\dagger = \bigoplus \beta$ (2e-times).

Similarly, define $\Lambda'^\dagger, \beta'^\dagger$. Then $\Lambda'^\dagger$ is also a self dual principal lattice chain in $(V'^\dagger, h'^\dagger)$, with the same jumps in the filtration as $\Lambda^\dagger$; hence $\Lambda^\dagger$ and $\Lambda'^\dagger$ are conjugate in $U(V^\dagger, h^\dagger)$. The characters $\theta^\dagger = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda^\dagger, \beta, \beta^\dagger}(\theta)$ and $\theta'^\dagger = \tau_{\Lambda', \Lambda'^\dagger, \beta', \beta'^\dagger}(\theta')$ are elements of $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda^\dagger, r, \beta^\dagger)^{\sigma_h}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda'^\dagger, r, \beta'^\dagger)^{\sigma_h}$ respectively, which intertwine over $U(V^\dagger, h^\dagger)$ (cf. [12] §3 for more details on constructions like this). Thus we can restrict to the case that both lattice sequences coincide by [17] Proposition 5.2] and that the characters $\theta^\dagger$ and $\theta'^\dagger$ coincide by intertwining implies conjugacy [18 Theorem 10.3]. By [10] 5.2 (i)] the residue fields of $F[\beta]$ and $F[\beta']$ coincide in $a'/a_1$ and thus the induced action of $\sigma_h$ on the residue fields also coincide, which finishes the proof.

4 \textbf{The non-symplectic case}

Here we assume that we are in non-symplectic case, i.e. if $\epsilon = -1$ then $F \neq F_0$.

**Proposition 4.1.** If two pure self dual strata $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n - 1, \beta']$ of the same level intertwine over $\bar{G}$ then they intertwine over $G^+$. 


Proof. As they intertwine, by [18, Proposition 7.1] the stratum $[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', n, n-1, \beta \oplus \beta']$ is equivalent to a simple stratum, and thus by Proposition [20, 1.10] the stratum $[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', n, n-1, \beta \oplus \beta']$ is equivalent to a self-dual simple stratum $[\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', n, n-1, \gamma]$ with $\gamma \in \tilde{G} \times \tilde{G}$. We can therefore replace $\beta$ and $\beta'$ by skew-symmetric elements with the same minimal polynomial over $F$, implying that they are conjugate by an element of $G^+$ by [18, Corollary 5.1] (here we use that $h$ is not symplectic). Thus the strata in the statement intertwine over $G^+$. 

Proposition 4.2. Let $\theta_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ and $\theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta')$ be two simple characters of $G^+$. Then, their lifts (and hence $\theta_-$ and $\theta'_-$) are conjugate over $G^+$ if and only if their lifts intertwine over $\tilde{G}$.

Proof. Instead of $\theta_-$ and $\theta'_-$ we consider lifts $\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_h}$ and $\theta' \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta')^{\sigma_h}$. The proof is by induction on $r$. The minimal case is given by Proposition 4.1. By the induction hypothesis, [18, Theorem 10.3] and the translation principle [18, Theorem 9.18] we can assume that there is a self-dual simple stratum $[\Lambda, n, r+1, \gamma]$ equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, r+1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda, n, r+1, \beta']$ such that $\theta$ and $\theta'$ coincide on $H^{r+1}(\gamma, \Lambda)$. But then there is skew-symmetric element $c$ of $a^{-(r+1)}$ such that $\theta = \theta_0 \psi_{\beta-\gamma+c}$ and $\theta' = \theta_0 \psi_{\beta'-\gamma}$ for some $\theta_0 \in C(\Lambda, r, \gamma)^{\sigma_h}$ and [18, Proposition 9.11 (i)], Proposition 4.1 and [18, Proposition 9.19 (ii)] imply that the $\theta$ and $\theta'$ intertwine over $G^+$. The result now follows from [18, Theorem 10.3].

We give a corollary which will be useful in the next section.

Corollary 4.3. Suppose that two simple characters $\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_h}$ and $\theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta')^{\sigma_h}$ intertwine over $\tilde{G}$ and both lattice sequences have the same period. Then, $E/E_0$ is ramified if and only if $E'/E'_0$ is ramified.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can reduce to the case where $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ coincide. Proposition 4.2 implies that the characters are conjugate over $G^+$, and hence intertwine over $G^+$. Hence we can conclude by Lemma 3.2.

We now define an equivalence relation for self dual ps-characters in the non-symplectic case:

Definition 4.4. Two self dual ps-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ for possibly different simple pairs are called endo-equivalent if for all strong comparison pairs their respective realisations intertwine over $G^+$.

Theorem 4.5. Two self dual ps-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent if and only if there exists a strong comparison pair such that the realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ on this comparison pair intertwine over $G^+$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 2.11.

5 Matching Witt towers

To incorporate the symplectic case we need a new idea because, in contrast to the non-symplectic case, two self dual embeddings of a field extension $E/F$ into $(A, \sigma_h)$ are not necessarily conjugate by an element of $G^+$. In fact, we have two conjugacy classes if $\beta$ is non-zero, i.e. $E \neq E_0$. Thus we need more restrictive comparison pairs in the symplectic case.
Given two self dual field extensions \((E = F[\beta]/F, \sigma_E)\) and \((E' = F[\beta']/F, \sigma_{E'})\) with non-zero skew-symmetric elements \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) there is a unique bijection

\[
w_{\beta,\beta'} : W_{\sigma_E, -1}(E) \to W_{\sigma_{E'}, -1}(E')
\]

which sends the Witt tower of \(\langle \beta \rangle\) to the Witt tower of \(\langle \beta' \rangle\) and preserves the anisotropic dimension. Similarly, there is a unique bijection

\[
w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2} : W_{\sigma_E, 1}(E) \to W_{\sigma_{E'}, 1}(E')
\]

which sends the Witt tower of \(\langle \beta^2 \rangle\) to the Witt tower of \(\langle \beta'^2 \rangle\) and preserves the anisotropic dimension. We first notice exactly when \(w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2}\) coincides with \(w_{1,1}\).

**Lemma 5.1.** We have \(w_{1,1} = w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2}\) if and only if \(-1 \in E^{(2)} \cap E'^{(2)}\) or \(-1 \not\in E^{(2)} \cup E'^{(2)}\) granting \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) to be non-zero.

**Proof.** If \(-1 \in E^{(2)} \cap E'^{(2)}\) then \(\beta^2\) and \(\beta'^2\) are norms and thus \(w_{1,1} = w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2}\). If \(-1 \not\in E^{(2)} \cup E'^{(2)}\) then \(E/E_0\) and \(E'/E'_0\) are both ramified and \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) have odd valuation. Thus \(\nu_E(\beta^2)\) and \(\nu_E(\beta'^2)\) are even, but not divisible by 4. As \(-1 \not\in E^{(2)} \cup E'^{(2)}\) it follows that these squares are not norms and thus \(w_{1,1} = w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2}\). Analogously, if \(-1 \in E^{(2)}\) and not \(-1 \in E'^{(2)}\) then \(w_{1,1} \neq w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2}\). \(\square\)

Let

\[
w = \begin{cases} 
  w_{\beta,\beta'} & \text{if } \epsilon = -1; \\
  w_{\beta^2,\beta'^2} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \(\varphi, \varphi'\) be self dual embeddings of \(E, E'\) into \(A\). Let \(h_\varphi\) (resp. \(h_{\varphi'}\)) be the nondegenerate \(\epsilon\)-hermitian \((E/E_0)\)-form (resp. \(\epsilon\)-hermitian \((E'/E'_0)\)-form) defined as in Section 2.3 from these embeddings using the standard equivariant linear forms \(\lambda_\beta, \lambda_{\beta'}\) defined by \(\beta, \beta'\). For the case of \(\beta = \beta' = 0\) we define \(h_0 := h\) and \(w\) to be the identity of \(W_{\sigma, -1}(F) \cup W_{\sigma, 1}(F)\).

**Definition 5.2.** Let \(F[\beta]\) and \(F[\beta']\) be two self-dual field extension such that \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) are simultaneously zero or non-zero. We say that the Witt towers of \(h_\varphi\) and \(h_{\varphi'}\) match if \(w((h_\varphi)_{\equiv}) = (h_{\varphi'})_{\equiv}\), and similarly we call a comparison pair \(((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r, i), ((V, h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r', i))\) Witt whenever the Witt towers of \(h_\varphi\) and \(h_{\varphi'}\) match.

If we are given a self dual simple stratum \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) then we fix in this section a defining sequence \([\Lambda, n, r + i, \gamma^{(i)}]\) for \(i = 0, \ldots, n - r\) of simple strata, as in \([9, 2.4.2]\). And analogously, for a self dual simple stratum \([\Lambda', n, r, \beta']\) we fix a defining sequence \([\Lambda', n, r + i, \gamma^{(i)}]\). The main result of this section is that matching of Witt towers is inherited along defining sequences.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \(\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_h}\) and \(\theta' \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta')^{\sigma_h}\) be simple characters. Suppose that \(\theta\) and \(\theta'\) intertwine over \(\tilde{G}\), \(e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda')\), and if \(G^+\) is symplectic that the Witt towers of \(h_\beta\) and \(h_{\beta'}\) match. Then the Witt towers of \(h_{\gamma^{(i)}}\) and \(h_{\gamma^{(i)}}\) match for all \(i\).

Let \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\) be two non-zero skew-symmetric elements of \(A\) generating field extensions \(E\) and \(K\) of \(F\).

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume that we are in the non-symplectic case, i.e. \(\epsilon = 1\) or \(F \neq F_0\). Suppose that \(\dim E V\) and \(\dim K V\) have the same parity and either this is even or \(w_{1,1} = w_{\beta^2,\gamma^2}\) and \(\dim F V\) is odd. Then the Witt towers of \(h_{\beta}\) and \(h_{\gamma}\) match.
Proof. By twisting with a skew element of $F$ in the unitary case, if necessary, we can always assume that $\epsilon = 1$. If $\dim E V$ is even and if $\lambda_\beta^* (h_\beta)$ is not hyperbolic then by injectivity of $\lambda_\beta^*$ on the set of classes of even anisotropic dimension and as hyperbolic spaces transfer to hyperbolic spaces, the form $h$ is not hyperbolic and thus $\lambda_\gamma^* (h_\gamma)$ is not hyperbolic. Hence
\[ w_{\beta^2 \gamma^2} ((h_\beta)_\equiv) = (h_\gamma)_{\equiv}.
\]
Similarly, if $\lambda_\gamma^* (h_\beta)$ is hyperbolic we find that $w_{\beta^2 \gamma^2} ((h_\beta)_\equiv) = (h_\gamma)_{\equiv}$.

Next, if $\dim_F V$ is odd, by assumption we have $w_{1, 1} = w_{\beta^2 \gamma^2}$. Thus we have to show that $h_\beta \equiv (1)$ in $W_{\sigma_{E, \nu}} (E)$ if and only if $h_\gamma \equiv (1)$ in $W_{\sigma_{K, \nu}} (K)$. However, as $\dim_F V$ is odd $[E : F]$ and $[K : F]$ are odd and we have
\[ \lambda_\beta^* (1) \equiv \lambda_\gamma^* (1) \equiv (1), \]
by Proposition 2.4. Finally, we conclude by injectivity of both transfers on their sets of classes of odd anisotropic dimension.

Lemma 5.5. Suppose $\epsilon = 1$, $F = F_0$, both $\dim_E V$ and $\dim_K V$ are odd, $w_{1, 1} = w_{\beta^2 \gamma^2}$ and there exists a tamely ramified $\sigma_K$-invariant extension $\tilde{F} / F$ in $E \cap K$ not fixed by $\sigma_N$. Then $\lambda_\beta^* (1) = \lambda_\gamma^* (1)$, and in particular the Witt towers of $h_\beta$ and $h_\gamma$ match.

Proof. As $\tilde{F}$ is not fixed by $\sigma_K$, the $F$-dimension $\dim_F V$ is even. By Proposition 2.4 we have
\[ a := \lambda_\beta^* (1) \equiv (1) + \langle \pm N_{E/F} (\beta) \rangle, \quad b := \lambda_\gamma^* (1) \equiv (1) + \langle \pm N_{K/F} (\gamma) \rangle, \]
where the signs are determined by Proposition 2.4, however the signs are not important for this proof. If $[E : \tilde{F}]$ is even then the $F$-dimension of $V$ is even, so $[K : \tilde{F}]$ is even. Hence the images of the maps $\lambda_\beta^* : W_{\sigma_{E, \nu}} (E) \to W_{\id, \nu} (F)$ and $\lambda_\gamma^* : W_{\sigma_{K, \nu}} (K) \to W_{\id, \nu} (F)$ coincide, consisting of only two elements, the class of hyperbolic $F$-spaces and the class of the space of maximal anisotropic dimension. If $[E : \tilde{F}]$ is odd, then the transfer $\lambda_\beta^* : W_{\sigma_{E, \nu}} (E) \to W_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}, \nu}} (\tilde{F})$ is a bijection, hence the image of $\lambda_\beta^* : W_{\sigma_{E, \nu}} (E) \to W_{\id, \nu} (F)$ is equal to the image of the trace transfer $\Tr_{\tilde{F}/F}^* : W_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}, \nu}} (\tilde{F}) \to W_{\id, \nu} (F)$ (or any other transfer), by Lemma 2.2. Similarly, the same holds for $\lambda_\gamma^*$. Thus, the transfers $\lambda_\beta^*$ and $\lambda_\gamma^*$ have the same image. Denote by $M$ the common image of $\lambda_\beta^*$ and $\lambda_\gamma^*$ in $W_{\id, \nu} (F)$.

Now, assume for a contradiction that $a$ and $b$ are different. Then $a - b$ is a non-zero element of $M$ of anisotropic dimension $2$. In particular $M$ must have 4 elements, because it contains also the classes of the hyperbolic and the maximal anisotropic space, and hence $M$ has order 4. As $\lambda_\beta^*$ and $\lambda_\gamma^*$ are injective and the image is order 4, the classes $a$ and $b$ must be non-zero and $a - b$ is either $a$ or $b$, as in our image we only have two elements of anisotropic dimension not 0 or maximal. Therefore $a = 2b$, as $b$ is non-zero. Hence $b$ has order 4 and $M$ is cyclic. In particular, $M$ has only one element of order 2. But this is impossible, because the class of the space of maximal anisotropic dimension and $a$ both have order 2.

Lemma 5.6. Let $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']$ be two $G^+$-intertwining self dual pure strata. Then there is an element $u \in G^+$ such that $E \cap u E' u^{-1}$ contains a tamely ramified $\sigma_{\Lambda}$-invariant extension $\tilde{F}$ of $F$ not fixed by $\sigma_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, the field $\tilde{F}$ can be chosen to be $P^-(\Lambda)$-conjugate to the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $F[\gamma^{(n-1-r)}]/F$ i.e. of a minimal stratum equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$, and if $\Lambda = \Lambda'$ then $u$ can be chosen in $P(\Lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $F[\gamma^{(n-1-r)}]/F$ and analogously $\tilde{F}'$ denote the maximal tamely ramified subextension $F[\gamma^{(n-1-r)}]/F$. By Proposition 2.13 and [18, Theorem 5.2] we can assume that $\tilde{F}$ is a subfield of $E$ and that $\tilde{F}'$ is a subfield
of $E'$. By Proposition 2.14 there is an $\sigma_k$-equivariant isomorphism $\phi$ between $\widetilde{F}/F$ and $\widetilde{F}'/F$, and they are conjugate by an element of $G^+$ by [18, Theorem 5.2]. Now assume $\Lambda = \Lambda'$, then it follows from [17, Theorem 1.2] that $\widetilde{F}$ and $\widetilde{F}'$ are conjugate by an element of $P^-(\Lambda)$.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n - 1, \gamma]$ be $\tilde{G}$-intertwining self dual pure strata. Suppose that $F \neq F_0$, $\dim_{E(V)}$ and $\dim_{K} V$ are odd, $\dim_{E} V$ is even, $e(E/F) = e(K/F)$, and $e(E/E_0) = e(K/K_0)$. Then,

(i) $w_{1,1} = w_{\beta_2, \gamma_2}$;

(ii) $\lambda_\beta^\gamma((1)) = \lambda_\gamma^\gamma((1))$;

(iii) the Witt towers of $h_\beta$ and $h_\gamma$ match.

**Proof.** The conditions imply that $(-1)$ is a square in $E$ if and only if it is a square in $K$, and thus $w_{1,1} = w_{\beta_2, \gamma_2}$ by Lemma 5.1.

The strata intertwine over $G^+$ by Proposition 2.1, so after conjugation with the element which intertwines them, we can assume that they intertwine by the identity. By twisting with a skew-symmetric element of $F$ if necessary we can also assume that $\epsilon = 1$. With the same argument as the end of the proof of Lemma 5.4 the first two assertions imply the third. Thus it remains to prove the second assertion.

Let $\pi$ be a skew-symmetric uniformiser of $F$. Then we claim that $\pi^{-1} \beta$ is a norm with respect to $E/E_0$ if and only if $\pi^{-1} \gamma$ is a norm with respect to $K/K_0$. Indeed, the strata intertwine by 1, so

$$\pi^{-\nu_E(\beta)} \pi^{e(E/F)} = \pi^{-\nu_K(\gamma)} \pi^{e(K/F)} (a_1 + a_1')$$

Thus $\pi^{-\nu_E(\beta)} \pi^{e(E/F)} + p_E$ is a square in $k_E$ if and only if $\pi^{-\nu_K(\gamma)} \pi^{e(K/F)} + p_K$ is a square in $k_K$. If $e(E/E_0) = 2$ then $e(E/F)$ is odd as there is a skew-symmetric uniformiser of $F$ which is a power of a skew-symmetric uniformiser of $E$, so the exponent has to be odd. Further, and $\nu_E(\beta)$ is odd as $\beta$ is skew symmetric. Hence $\pi^{-1} \beta$ is a square if and only $\pi^{-1} \gamma$ is a square. Hence, $\pi^{-1} \beta$ is a norm if and only $\pi^{-1} \gamma$ is a norm by Remark (ii)(b). If $e(E/E_0) = 1$ then $\pi^{-1} \beta$ is a norm with respect to $E/E_0$ if and only if it has even valuation in $E$. Now, by our assumptions on the strata and the ramification indices, the integers $\nu_E(\pi^{-1} \beta)$ and $\nu_K(\pi^{-1} \gamma)$ agree, and thus $\pi^{-1} \beta$ is a norm with respect to $E/E_0$ if and only if $\pi^{-1} \gamma$ is a norm with respect to $K/K_0$. This completes the proof of the claim.

By Lemma 2.5, $N_{E/F}(\pi^{-1} \beta) = \pi^{-[E:F]} N_{E/F}(\beta)$ is a norm with respect to $F/F_0$ if and only if $\pi^{-[K:F]} N_{K/F}(\gamma)$ is a norm with respect to $F/F_0$. But the conditions imply that $[E : F]$ and $[K : F]$ are even, hence $-N_{E/F}(\beta)$ is a norm with respect to $F/F_0$ if and only if $-N_{K/F}(\gamma)$ is also a norm with respect to $F/F_0$. Thus, by Proposition 2.4, $\lambda_\beta^\gamma((1))$ and $\lambda_\gamma^\gamma((1))$ coincide.

**Proposition 5.8.** Let $\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_n}$ and $\theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta')^{\sigma_n}$ be simple characters which intertwine over $\tilde{G}$. Suppose that $e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda')$, and if $G$ is symplectic suppose further that $\theta$ and $\theta'$ intertwine over $G^+$. Then, the Witt towers of $h_\beta$ and $h_{\beta'}$ match.

**Corollary 5.9.** Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.8 there is a stratum $[\Lambda', n, r, \beta'']$ such that $\beta''$ is conjugate to $\beta$ by an element of $G^+$ and $C(\Lambda', r, \beta'')$ is equal to $C(\Lambda', r, \beta''')$, there is a lattice sequence $\Lambda''$ normalised by $E^{\times}$ and an element $g$ in of $G^+$ such that $g \Lambda$ is equal to $\Lambda''$.

**Proof.** As all of the arithmetical invariants are the same, we can build a lattice sequence $\Lambda''$ relative to $\beta'$ such that there exists $g \in G^+$ with $g \Lambda = \Lambda''$ as follows: We can find an $E$- and
an $E'$-Witt basis $(v_j)$ and $(v'_j)$ for $h^\beta$ and $h^{\beta'}$ such that Gram matrices $M$ and $M'$ have for every entry the equality of valuations

$$\nu_E(m_{l,k}) = \nu_{E'}(m_{l,k}),$$

and such that $(v'_j)$ splits $\Lambda'$. The latter splitting does mean that for every integer $t$ we have a decomposition

$$\Lambda'_t = \oplus \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{j,t}}_E v_j.$$

We now define $\Lambda''$ via (just by replacing $E'$ by $E$ and $v'$ by $v$)

$$\Lambda''_t = \oplus \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{j,t}}_E v_j.$$

By [17, Proposition 5.2], there is a $g \in G^+$ such that $g\Lambda' = \Lambda''$. We conjugate $\theta'$ to the transfer of $\theta$ to $\Lambda''$ by an element $g' \in G^+$ by [18, Theorem 10.3]. Then, $C(\Lambda', r, \beta')$ is equal to $C(\Lambda'_{\mathbb{R}} r, g'\beta g)$.

During the proof we use that twisting in the unitary case is a bijection and the following two elementary properties of twisting:

$$(h^\beta)_{\beta} = (h^{\beta'})_{\beta}, \quad (\ )\circ w_{\beta,\beta'} \circ (\ )_{\beta^{-1}} = w_{\beta',\beta''}.$$

In particular, thanks to the first property, we can write $h^\beta$ with no ambiguity.

**Proof.** From the intertwining of the two characters we find

(i) $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']$ intertwine over $G^+$ by Proposition 4.1

(ii) $e(E/F) = e(E'/F)$ and $f(E/F) = f(E'/F)$;

(iii) $e(E/E_0) = e(E'/E_0')$, by Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 4.3

Now in the non-symplectic case the Lemmas 5.4, 5.5 and 5.7 imply that the Witt towers of $h_\beta$ and $h^{\beta'}$ match. We are thus left with the symplectic case. The characters intertwine over $G$, so by conjugating if necessary we can assume that they intertwine by the identity. Thus, $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']$ intertwine by the identity. In particular, if we twist by $\beta$ and $\beta'$, then the orthogonal forms $h_\beta$ and $h^{\beta'}$ are isomorphic by an element of $P^1(\Lambda)^1(\Lambda)$ by [18, Corollary 3.2]. Hence, $w_{\beta,\beta'}(h^\beta_{\beta_{\equiv}})$ is equal to $h^{\beta'}_{\beta'_{\equiv}}$ by the previous cases and thus $w_{\beta,\beta'}(h_{\beta_{\equiv}}) = h^{\beta'}_{\beta'_{\equiv}}$.

**Definition 5.10** (Witt comparison pair). A comparison pair, see Definition 3.1, is called Witt, if the corresponding Witt towers match.

**Remark 5.11.** In the non-symplectic case by Proposition 5.8, for two endo-equivalent self dual ps-characters every comparison pair is Witt.

We need two further results for the symplectic case to prove Proposition 5.3

**Lemma 5.12.** Let $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n-1, \gamma]$ be self dual pure strata which intertwine over $G^+$. Suppose that $e = -1$, $F = F_0$, $\dim_E V - \dim_K V$ is even, and either $\dim_E V$ is even, or $w_{1,1} = w_{\beta,\gamma}$. Then, the Witt towers of $h_\beta$ and $h_\gamma$ match.
Proof. We can assume that the strata intertwine by 1, and hence we have an isomorphism from $h^\beta$ to $h^\gamma$ given by an element of $P^1(\Lambda')P^1(\Lambda)$ by [18, Corollary 3.2]. Thus, we can restrict to the orthogonal case, and conclude by Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5.

**Lemma 5.13.** Let $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \gamma]$ be self dual pure strata which intertwine over $G^\pm$. Suppose $\epsilon = -1$, $F = F_0$, $\dim E$ is odd, $\dim K$ is even and $\gamma$ is minimal. Then

(i) If $h^\beta \equiv \langle \beta \rangle$, then $h^\gamma$ is hyperbolic if and only if $-1$ is a square in $E$.

(ii) If $h^\beta \not\equiv \langle \beta \rangle$, then $h^\gamma$ is hyperbolic if and only if $-1$ is not a square in $E$.

Proof. By intertwining implies conjugacy for simple strata with same lattice sequence, by conjugating if necessary, we can assume that the strata are equivalent. We show that if $h^\beta \equiv \langle \beta \rangle$ and $-1$ is a square in $E$ then $h^\gamma$ is hyperbolic. The other cases can be proved in a similar fashion. As $h^\beta \equiv \langle \beta \rangle$, by twisting we have $h^\beta = \langle \beta^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \beta^2 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$ because $-1$ is a square in $E$. However, $h^\beta$ is isomorphic to $h^\gamma$ by an element $u$ of $P^1(\Lambda)$ and $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, u^{-1}\gamma u]$ is a minimal self dual stratum with respect to $h^\beta$ equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$. By Lemma 5.6 we can choose $u$ such that $E \cap u^{-1}Ku$ contains a $\sigma^h$-invariant, but non-fixed, tamely ramified extension $\tilde{F}/F$.

The conditions on the dimensions imply that $[E : \tilde{F}]$ is even and thus $\lambda^h_\gamma(\langle 1 \rangle) = 0$ because of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, i.e. $h^\beta$ and $h^\gamma$ are hyperbolic. Then, $h^\gamma_\gamma$ is hyperbolic, by injectivity of $\lambda^h_\gamma$ on classes of even anisotropic dimension, and thus $h^\gamma_\gamma$ is hyperbolic.

We now can finish the proof of Proposition 5.3.

**Proof of Proposition 5.3.** The non-symplectic statement is given by Proposition 5.8 and the symplectic case follows from Lemmas 5.12 and 5.13 applied to the defining sequences together with the equivalence relation property of matching Witt towers.

6 The symplectic case

In this section we consider only the symplectic case, that is we fix $\sigma = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$. We now define the equivalence relation for the symplectic case using Witt comparison pairs.

**Definition 6.1.** Two ps-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ for possibly different simple pairs are called endo-equivalent if for all strong Witt comparison pairs for $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ the corresponding realisations intertwine over $G$.

We now formulate an analogue of Theorem 4.5 for the symplectic case:

**Theorem 6.2.** Two self dual ps-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent if and only if there exists a strong Witt comparison pair with realisations that intertwine over $G$.

To prove Theorem 6.2 we need an analogue of Proposition 4.1.

**Proposition 6.3.** If two non-zero minimal self dual strata $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, n - 1, \beta']$ of the same level intertwine over $\tilde{G}$ and their Witt towers match then they intertwine over $G$.
Proof. As in the proof of Proposition \[4.1\] we replace \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) with elements with the same minimal polynomial, and Proposition \[5.8\] ensures that the Witt towers still match. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) have the same minimal polynomial. As the Witt towers match, under the isomorphism \(\phi : E \rightarrow E'\), which maps \(\beta\) to \(\beta'\), \(h_\beta\) and \(h_{\beta'}\) match. Thus \(\beta\) is conjugate to \(\beta'\) by an element of \(G\) which finishes the proof.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let \(\theta_- \in C_- (\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta'_- \in C_- (\Lambda, r, \beta')\) be two simple characters of \(G\). Then, \(\theta_-'\) and \(\theta'_-'\) intertwine over \(G\) if and only if their lifts are conjugate over \(\tilde{G}\) and their Witt towers match.

Proof. If \(\theta_-'\) and \(\theta'_-'\) intertwine over \(G\), then their lifts intertwine over \(\tilde{G}\) by the Glauberman correspondence, and hence are conjugate by intertwining implies conjugacy for characters of \(\tilde{G}\). Moreover, by Proposition \[5.8\] their Witt towers match. For the converse, it follows from Proposition \[5.3\] that the Witt towers of the \(i\)-th members of the defining sequences of \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) and \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta']\) match, and the result follows \textit{mutatis mutandis} the proof of Proposition \[4.2\]. Note that, in the argument of \[18\] we use in the proof of Proposition \[4.2\] there is a step to derived characters, which is here fine because \(G_\gamma\) is unitary, i.e. we do not need matching Witt towers for the derived characters (in fact, we know that they still match because of Proposition \[5.3\]).

This proposition gives an analogue to Corollary \[4.3\] for the symplectic case with a similar proof.

**Corollary 6.5.** Suppose that two simple characters \(\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma h}\) and \(\theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta')^{\sigma h}\) intertwine over \(\tilde{G}\), both lattice sequences have the same period, and the Witt towers of \(h_\beta\) and \(h_{\beta'}\) match. Then \(E/E_0\) is ramified if and only if \(E'/E'_0\) is ramified.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary \[4.3\] using Proposition \[6.4\].

7 Simple endo-classes

After Sections \[5\] and \[6\] we can improve Proposition \[4.2\] to allow for non-conjugate lattice sequences, and prove the analogue in the symplectic case using matching Witt towers:

**Proposition 7.1.** Let \(\theta_- \in C_- (\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta'_- \in C_- (\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be self dual simple characters of \(G^+\), and suppose that \(e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda')\).

(i) In the non-symplectic case, the self dual simple characters \(\theta_-'\) and \(\theta'_-'\) intertwine over \(G^+\) if and only if their lifts intertwine over \(\tilde{G}\).

(ii) In the symplectic case, the self dual simple characters \(\theta_-'\) and \(\theta'_-'\) intertwine over \(G^+\) if and only if their lifts intertwine over \(\tilde{G}\) and their Witt towers match.

Proof. Let \(\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma h}\) and \(\theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta')^{\sigma h}\) be the unique lifts of the given self dual simple characters.

Suppose we are in the non-symplectic case. From the \(\tilde{G}\)-intertwining we obtain by Corollary \[4.3\] and Proposition \[5.8\] that under a Witt basis the anisotropic part of \(h_\beta\) has the same dimension and the same number or diagonal elements of odd valuation as the anisotropic part of \(h_{\beta'}\). Thus, there is a self dual \(\sigma_{E'}\)-lattice sequence \(\Lambda''\) which is \(G'^+\)-conjugate to \(\Lambda\). Let \(\theta'' = \tau_{\Lambda'' , \Lambda', \beta}(\theta') \in C(\Lambda'', r, \beta')^{\sigma h}\). The characters \(\theta\) and \(\theta''\) intertwine by some element of \(\tilde{G}\) by Theorem \[2.13\] and
thus are conjugate by some element of $G^+$ by Proposition 6.2. Whence $\theta$ and $\theta'$ intertwine over $G^+$. The converse is clear.

The second statement in the symplectic case is completely analogous using Corollary 6.5 and Proposition 6.4 to show that $\tilde{G}$-intertwining and Witt towers matching implies $G^+$-intertwining, and Proposition 5.8 for the converse.

Using the proposition, we can now prove our main result on endo-equivalence of ps-characters:

**Theorem 7.2.** Let $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ be two self dual ps-characters and $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ their lifts. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent;

(ii) $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent;

(iii) There is a comparison pair such that the realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$;

(iv) For all Witt comparison pairs, the realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$.

In the non-symplectic case these four assertions are equivalent to:

(v) For all comparison pairs, the realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$.

**Proof.** If $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent, it follows from Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 7.1 that $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent. By definition, this implies there is a (strong) comparison pair such that the respective realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$. And, if there is any comparison pair such that the respective realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$, then by the Glauberman correspondence, this implies $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent. Hence the first three properties are equivalent. Property $\text{(iv)}$ implies Property $\text{(iii)}$ and follows from Property $\text{(ii)}$ by Proposition 7.1. In the non-symplectic case Property $\text{(v)}$ follows from the equivalent properties $\text{(ii)}$ and $\text{(iv)}$ from Remark 5.11. Furthermore, Property $\text{(v)}$ implies Property $\text{(iv)}$.

**Remark 7.3.** As endo-equivalence of ps-characters for general linear groups is an equivalence relation, see Theorem 2.13 (cf. [5, Corollary 8.10]), from Theorem 7.2 we deduce that endo-equivalence of self dual ps-characters for classical groups is an equivalence relation, and we call the equivalence classes of self dual ps-characters for this relation simple $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-classes or simple classical endo-classes with respect to $(\sigma, \epsilon)$.

As already known for $\bar{G}$-intertwining of simple characters, see Theorem 2.13 (cf. [6 Corollary 8.10]), we also obtain that $G^+$-intertwining of self dual simple characters is a transitive relation, hence an equivalence relation:

**Corollary 7.4.** Let $\theta_-$, $\theta'_-$ and $\theta''_-$ be self dual simple characters with the same group level. If $\theta_-$ intertwines with $\theta'_-$ and $\theta'_-$ intertwines with $\theta''_-$ over $G^+$, then $\theta_-$ intertwines with $\theta''_-$ over $G^+$.

**Proof.** The corresponding self dual ps-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ and $\Theta''_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent by Theorem 7.2. Hence $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta''_-$ are endo-equivalent as endo-equivalence is an equivalence relation, by Remark 7.3 and thus $\theta_-$ and $\theta''_-$ intertwine over $G^+$, by Proposition 5.8 and again Theorem 7.2.
8 Semisimple pairs

We recall definitions of the third author of semisimple strata and characters before defining semisimple pairs and potential semisimple characters.

8.1 Semisimple strata and characters

Let \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) be a stratum in \(A\). Suppose \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) is a decomposition of \(V\) into \(F\)-subspaces. We let \(\Lambda^i = \Lambda \cap V^i\) and we let \(\beta_i = e^i \beta e^i\), where \(e^i : V \to V^i\) is the projection with kernel \(\bigoplus_{j \neq i} V^j\). The decomposition \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) of \(V\) is called a splitting of \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) if \(\beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i\) and \(\Lambda(k) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda^i(k)\), for all \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\).

**Definition 8.1.** A stratum \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) in \(A\) is called semisimple if it is a zero-stratum or if \(\nu_{\Lambda}(\beta) = -n\) and there exists a splitting \(\bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) for \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) such that

1. for \(i \in I\), the stratum \([\Lambda^i, n_i, r, \beta_i]\) in \(\text{End}_F(V^i)\) is simple, where \(n_i = -\nu_{\Lambda^i}(\beta_i)\);
2. for \(i, j \in I\) with \(i \neq j\), the stratum \([\Lambda^i \oplus \Lambda^j, \max\{n_i, n_j\}, r, \beta_i + \beta_j]\) is not equivalent to a simple stratum in \(\text{End}_F(V^i \oplus V^j)\).

We write \(E = F[\beta]\) and \(E_i = F[\beta_i]\), hence \(E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i\) is a sum of fields, and we write \(B_\beta = C_A(\beta)\). If \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) is self dual with associated splitting \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) then, for each \(i \in I\), there exists a unique \(\sigma(i) = j \in I\) such that \(\overline{\beta_i} = -\beta_j\). We set \(I_0 = \{i \in I : \sigma(i) = i\}\) and choose a set of representatives \(I^+\) for the orbits of \(\sigma\) in \(I \setminus I_0\). Then we let \(I_- = \sigma(I^+)\) so that we have a disjoint union \(I = I_+ \cup I_0 \cup I_-\). A semisimple stratum \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) is called skew if it is self-dual and the associated splitting \(\bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) is orthogonal with respect to the \(e\)-hermitian form \(h\), i.e. \(I = I_0\) in the notation above. By abuse of notation, we call a sum of \(e\)-\(E_i\)-lattice sequences in \(V\) (such as \(\Lambda\) above), an \(e\)-\(E\)-lattice sequence.

Let \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) be a semisimple stratum in \(A\). Associated to \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) (and our initial choice of \(\psi_F\)) in [21] Section 3.2 are a compact open subgroup \(H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) of \(P(\Lambda)\), and a set of characters \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) called semisimple characters. For each \(i \in I\), there is a natural embedding \(H^{r+1}(\beta_i, \Lambda^i) \hookrightarrow H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) and hence a map \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \to C(\Lambda^i, r, \beta_i)\) which we write \(\theta \mapsto \theta_i\). We call the \(\theta_i\) the simple block restrictions of \(\theta\).

Suppose that \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) is self dual. Then \(H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) is invariant under \(\sigma\), and we define the group \(H^{r+1}(\Lambda, \Lambda)\) as the intersection of \(H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) with \(G\). We call the restrictions of semisimple characters in \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) to \(H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) self dual semisimple characters, and denote the set of all such characters by \(\overline{C(\Lambda, r, \beta)}\); this restriction coincides with the Glauberman correspondence (cf. [21], Section 3.6). As in the simple setting, we write \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma h}\) for the subset of \(\sigma\)-invariant semisimple characters. If \(\theta_- \in \overline{C(\Lambda, r, \beta)}\) is a self dual semisimple character, then there is a unique \(\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma h}\) whose restriction to \(H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)\) is \(\theta_-\) by the Glauberman correspondence; we call \(\theta\) the lift of \(\theta_-\).

**Definition 8.2.** Let \([\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]\) be a non-zero semisimple stratum. We let

\[k_0(\beta, \Lambda) = -\min\{r \in \mathbb{Z} : [\Lambda, n, r, \beta] \text{ is not semisimple}\}\]

denote the critical exponent of \([\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]\) and \(k_F(\beta) := \frac{1}{e(\Lambda)} k_0(\beta, \Lambda)\); by [21] §3.1, this is independent of \(\Lambda\). For the zero-stratum we keep \(k(0, \Lambda) = k_F(0) = -\infty\).
Remark 8.3. It is possible to generalise the critical exponent to all pairs \((\beta, \Lambda)\) where \(\beta\) generates a product of fields, and \(\Lambda\) decomposes into \(\sigma_E\)-lattice sequences, in the following way: Assume \(\beta\) is non-zero, then there is a negative integer \(l\) such that \([\Lambda, -\nu\Lambda(\beta) - l\sigma(\beta)], 0, \varpi^l\beta\) is a semisimple stratum. We can define \(k_0(\beta, \Lambda)\) as \(k_0(\varpi^l\beta, \Lambda) - l\sigma(\beta)\). This is not used in the sequel.

8.2 Semisimple pairs and potential semisimple characters

Definition 8.4. A semisimple pair is a pair \([k, \beta]\) where \(E = F[\beta]\) is a sum of pairwise non-isomorphic fields \(E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i\), and \(k\) is an integer satisfying

\[
0 \leq \frac{k}{e} < -k_F(\beta),
\]

where \(e = \text{lcm}_{i \in I}(e(E_i/F))\) is the lowest common multiple of the ramification indices. A semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\) is called self dual if we can extend \(\sigma\) to an involution \(\sigma_E\) on \(E\) which maps \(\beta\) to \(\overline{\beta}\).

Let \([k, \beta]\) be a self dual semisimple pair, and write the minimal polynomial of \(\beta\) as \(\Psi(X) = \prod_{i \in I} \Psi_i(X)\) with \(\Psi_i(X)\) irreducible, so \(E_i \cong F[X]/(\Psi_i(X))\). The action of \(\sigma_E\) on the primitive idempotents defines an action on \(I\). We let \(I_0 = \{i \in I : \sigma(i) = i\}\), and choose a set of representatives \(I^+\) for the orbits of \(\sigma\) in \(I \setminus I_0\). Then we let \(I_\pm = \sigma(I_0)\) so that we have a disjoint union \(I = I_+ \cup I_0 \cup I_-\). A self dual semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\) is called skew if \(I = I_0\) in the notation above.

Given a semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\) with non-zero \(\beta\), let \(Q(k, \beta)\) denote the class of quadruples \((V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)\) consisting of: a finite dimensional \(F\)-vector space \(V\); an embedding \(\varphi : E \hookrightarrow A\); a \(\varphi(\sigma_E)\)-lattice sequence \(\Lambda\) in \(V\); and an integer \(r\) such that the group level \(\left\lfloor \frac{r}{e(\Lambda_E)} \right\rfloor\) is equal to \(k\), where \(e(\Lambda_E)\) is defined to be the greatest common divisor of all \(e((\Lambda_i^\prime)_{E_i})\). Given such a quadruple \((V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)\), we obtain a semisimple stratum \([\Lambda, -\nu\Lambda(\varphi(\beta)), r, \varphi(\beta)]\) with splitting \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) where \(V^i = \ker(\Psi_i(\beta))\); we call this semisimple stratum a realisation of the semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\). For the semisimple pair \([k, 0]\) we take the notation of section 2.4.

Given a self dual semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\) with non-zero \(\beta\), let \(Q_-(k, \beta)\) denote the class consisting of all quadruples \((V, h, \varphi, \Lambda, r)\) where \((V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)\) is an element of \(Q(k, \beta)\) and \(V\) is equipped with an \(\epsilon\)-hermitian \(F\)-form; and \(\varphi, \Lambda\) are self dual with respect to this form. Given such a quadruple \((V, h, \varphi, \Lambda, r)\), we obtain a self dual semisimple stratum \([\Lambda, -\nu\Lambda(\varphi(\beta)), r, \varphi(\beta)]\) with splitting \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) where \(V^i = \ker(\Psi_i(\beta))\).

For realisations \([\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]\) and \([\Lambda', n', r', \varphi'(\beta)]\) of a semisimple pair \([k, \beta]\) there is a canonical bijection

\[
\tau_{\Lambda, N, \beta} : C(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow C(\Lambda', r', \varphi'(\beta)),
\]

by [21] Proposition 3.26. By [21] Proposition 3.32, if \([\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]\) and \([\Lambda', n', r', \varphi'(\beta)]\) are self dual realisations of a self dual \([k, \beta]\), then \(\tau_{\Lambda, N, \beta}\) commutes with the involutions defined on \(C(\varphi(\beta), r, \Lambda)\) and \(C(\varphi'(\beta), r', \Lambda')\) and restricts to give a bijection

\[
\tau_{\Lambda, N, \beta} : C_-(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \rightarrow C_-(\Lambda', r', \varphi'(\beta)).
\]

Thanks to this result and with the definition of semisimple pairs, we can now define (self dual) potential semisimple characters. At first we define \(C(k, \beta)\) and \(C_-(k, \beta)\) as in (2.10).

Definition 8.5. Let \([k, \beta]\) be a semisimple pair.
Let $\Theta$ with the decomposition above, we have

\[ \Theta : Q(k, \beta) \rightarrow C(k, \beta) \]

such that $\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in C(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))$, for all $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in Q(k, \beta)$, and

\[ \Theta(V', \varphi', \Lambda', r') = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda', \beta}(\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r)), \]

for all $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r), (V', \varphi', \Lambda', r') \in Q(k, \beta)$.

Moreover, writing $M_{\alpha}$, $\sigma$, $a$ as in the simple setting, every self dual pss-character comes uniquely from the restriction of every self dual pss-character.

Moreover, as in [1, 4.3 Lemma 1], where

We record these observations, along with the decomposition of a pss-character into ps-characters in the following lemma:

(i) A potential semisimple character, or pss-character, supported on $[k, \beta]$ is a function $\Theta : Q(k, \beta) \rightarrow C(k, \beta)$.

(ii) Suppose that $[k, \beta]$ is self dual. A pss-character supported on a self dual simple pair $[k, \beta]$ is called $\sigma$-invariant if for any (or equivalently one) self dual realisation of $[k, \beta]$ the value is $\sigma$-invariant.

(iii) Suppose that $[k, \beta]$ is self dual. A self dual potential semisimple character, or self dual pss-character, supported on the self dual simple pair $[k, \beta]$ is a function $\Theta : Q_{+}(k, \beta) \rightarrow C_{+}(k, \beta)$ such that $\Theta(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in C_{+}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))$, for all $(V, \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in Q_{+}(k, \beta)$, and $\Theta_{-}((V', h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r') = \tau_{\Lambda, \Lambda', \beta}(\Theta_{-}((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r))$, for all $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r), ((V', h'), \varphi', \Lambda', r') \in Q_{-}(k, \beta)$.

As in the simple setting, every self dual pss-character comes uniquely from the restriction of a $\sigma$-invariant pss-character, we call the values of a pss-character realisations, and we call the unique $\sigma$-invariant pss-character restricting to a self dual pss-character its lift.

Let $[k, \beta]$ be a self dual semisimple pair, whose indexing set splits as a disjoint union $I = I_{+} \cup I_{0} \cup I_{-}$ as above, and $((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r) \in Q_{-}(k, \beta)$. Then we can write

\[ V = \bigoplus_{i \in I_{+}} (V^{i} \oplus V^{\sigma(i)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_{0}} V^{i}. \]

Moreover, writing $M$ for the Levi subgroup of $G^{+}$ stabilising this decomposition, as in [14, §3.11] we have

\[ H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap M \simeq \prod_{i \in I_{+}} H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta_{i}, \Lambda_{i}) \times \prod_{i \in I_{0}} H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta_{i}, \Lambda'). \]

Let $\Theta_{-}$ be a self dual pss-character supported on $[k, \beta]$. Then, after identifying $H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap M$ with the decomposition above, we have

\[ \Theta_{-}((V, h), \varphi, \Lambda, r)|_{H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap M} = \bigotimes_{i \in I_{+}} \Theta_{i}(V^{i}, \varphi_{i}, \Lambda_{i}, r)^{2} \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in I_{0}} \Theta_{i,-}((V^{i}, h_{i}), \varphi_{i}, \Lambda_{i}, r) \]

where

(i) For $i \in I_{+}$, $\Theta_{i}$ is a ps-character supported on the simple pair $[k, \beta_{i}]$.

(ii) For $i \in I_{0}$, $\Theta_{i,-}$ is a self dual ps-character supported on the self dual simple pair $[k, \beta_{i}]$.

Moreover, as in [1, 4.3 Lemma 1],

\[ H^{r+1}_{-}(\beta_{i}, \Lambda_{i}) = H^{r+1}_{-}(2\beta_{i}, \Lambda_{i}), \quad \Theta_{i}(V^{i}, \varphi_{i}, \Lambda_{i}, r)^{2} \in C(\Lambda_{i}, r, 2\beta_{i}), \quad \text{for } i \in I_{+}. \]

We record these observations, along with the decomposition of a pss-character into ps-characters in the following lemma:
Lemma 8.6. Let \([k, \beta]\) be a semisimple pair with index set \(I\) and \(\beta = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \beta_i\), and let \(\Theta\) be a pss-character supported on \([k, \beta]\).

(i) If \(\beta_i\) is non-zero, the pair \([k, \beta_i]\) is a simple pair. Moreover, the map \(\Theta_i\), defined by restricting \(\Theta\) to its \(i\)-th component, is well defined and is a pss-character supported on \([k, \beta_i]\).

(ii) Suppose \([k, \beta]\) is self dual with \(I = I_+ \cup I_0 \cup I_-\), and \(\Theta_-\) is a self dual pss-character supported on \([k, \beta]\) with lift \(\Theta\).

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{for } i \in I_+\text{, the pair } [k, \beta_i] \text{ is a simple pair, and the map } \Theta^2_i, \text{ defined by restriction of } \Theta_- \text{ to its } (i \cup \sigma(i))-\text{th component, is well defined and when the domain is identified with the domain of the } i\text{-th component this restriction is the square of a pss-character } \Theta_i \text{ relative to } [k, 2\beta_i], \text{ and is a pss-character relative to } [k, \beta_i]. \\
&\text{for } i \in I_0\text{, the pair } [k, \beta_i] \text{ is a self dual simple pair, and the map } \Theta_{-i}, \text{ defined by restriction of } \Theta_- \text{ to its } i\text{-th component, is well defined and is a self dual pss-character.}
\end{align*}
\]

9 Matchings for intertwining semisimple characters

In this section we consider two semisimple strata \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta]\) and \([\Lambda', n, r, \beta']\) with the associated splittings \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i\) and \(V' = \bigoplus_{i \in I'} V'^i\), respectively. The starting point for this section is the following result of the second and third authors:

Theorem 9.1 \([18, \text{Theorem } 10.1]\). Let \(\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be intertwining semisimple characters. Then there is a unique bijection \(\zeta : I \to I'\) and an element \(g\) of \(\tilde{G}\) such that, for all \(i \in I\),

(i) we have \(gV^i = V'^{\zeta(i)}\);

(ii) the characters \(g\theta_i\) and \(\theta'^{\zeta(i)}\) intertwine.

Moreover, any element which satisfies the first property also satisfies the second property.

A map between splittings of two semisimple strata which satisfies Properties \((i)\) \((ii)\) of the theorem is called a matching. Let \(\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be semisimple characters. We denote by \(I(\theta, \theta')\) the set of elements of \(\tilde{G}\) which intertwine \(\theta\) with \(\theta'\), i.e. those \(g \in \tilde{G}\) such that

\[
\text{Hom}_{H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda) \cap H^{r+1}(\beta', \Lambda')}((\theta, \theta')) \neq 0.
\]

We put \(I_G(\theta, \theta') = I(\theta, \theta') \cap G\). Given a semisimple character \(\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and a subset \(J\) of the index set \(I\) we denote by \(\theta_J\) the restriction of \(\theta\) to the intersection of its domain with \(\text{Aut}_F(\bigoplus_{i \in J} V^i)\).

Corollary 9.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 9.1, we have

\[
I(\theta, \theta') = S(\Lambda', \beta') \left( \prod_{i \in I} I(\theta_i, \theta'_i, \zeta_i) \right) S(\Lambda, \beta)
\]

where \(S(\Lambda, \beta)\) is a subset of \(P_1(\Lambda)\) and of the normaliser of each element of \(\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) which only depends on \(\Lambda\) and \(\beta\) (cf. \([19, (3.5.1)]\)). Assume further that for \(i \in I_0\), \(V^i\) and \(V'^{\zeta(i)}\) are isomorphic \(\epsilon\)-hermitian spaces and both characters are self dual. Then, we have

\[
I_G(\theta, \theta') = (S(\Lambda', \beta') \cap G^+) \left( \left( \prod_{i \in I_+ \cup I_0} I(\theta_i, \theta'_i, \zeta_i, \sigma(\zeta_i))) \right) \cap G^+ \right) (S(\Lambda, \beta) \cap G^+).
\]
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For the proof of Corollary 9.2 we need a generalisation of [21, 3.22], i.e. the description of the intertwining of transfers.

**Proposition 9.3.** (i) Let \( \theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta) \) be the transfer of \( \theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) where both lattice sequences have the same period. Then,

\[
I(\theta, \theta') = S(\Lambda', \beta)B^\times_{\beta}S(\Lambda, \beta).
\]

(ii) Let \( \theta'' \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \beta) \) be the transfer of \( \theta \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) where both lattice sequences have the same period. Then,

\[
I(\theta, \theta'') \cap G^+ = (S(\Lambda', \beta) \cap G^+)(B^\times_{\beta} \cap G^+)(S(\Lambda, \beta) \cap G^+).
\]

See [21, Theorem 3.22] for the case \( \Lambda = \Lambda' \).

**Proof.** This proof is analogous to the proof of [12, Theorems 3.9 & 3.10].

(i) Let us at first assume that both lattices sequences are blockwise principal lattice chains of the same block size. There is an element \( g \) in \( B^\times_{\beta} \) such that \( g\Lambda \) is equal to \( \Lambda' \) and the conjugation with \( g \) realises the transfer from \( C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) to \( C(\Lambda', r, \beta) \). Thus we can reduce to the case where \( \theta \) is equal to \( \theta' \) which follows from [21, Theorem 3.22].

We now consider the general case. Applying the \( \dagger \)-construction of [12, §3.1], we obtain semisimple characters \( \theta^\dagger \in C(\Lambda^\dagger, r, \beta) \), \( \theta'^\dagger \in C(\Lambda'^\dagger, r, \beta) \), where \( \Lambda^\dagger \) and \( \Lambda'^\dagger \) are principal lattice chains of the same block size in a direct sum of \( e \)-copies of \( V \) (where \( e = e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda') \)). Hence, as above we have the formula (i) for \( I(\theta^\dagger, \theta'^\dagger) \). As in [19, Corollary 4.14] we deduce that this formula behaves well under intersection with the Levi group \( M \) attached to the \( \dagger \) construction, i.e.

\[
I(\theta^\dagger, \theta'^\dagger) \cap M = (S(\Lambda'^\dagger, \beta^\dagger) \cap M)(B^\times_{\beta^\dagger} \cap M)(S(\Lambda^\dagger, \beta^\dagger) \cap M),
\]

by [12, Theorem 2.7 (ii) (b)], using the group \( \Gamma = \{ \pm 1 \}^e \), if we have the intersection property

\[
S(\Lambda^\dagger, \beta^\dagger)xS(\Lambda'^\dagger, \beta'^\dagger) \cap B^\times_{\beta^\dagger} = (S(\Lambda'^\dagger, \beta'^\dagger) \cap B^\times_{\beta^\dagger})x(S(\Lambda^\dagger, \beta^\dagger) \cap B^\times_{\beta^\dagger}),
\]

for all \( x \in B^\times_{\beta^\dagger} \). The proof of the intersection property follows mutatis mutandis to the proof of [12, Lemma 3.6]. We restrict to the first block of \( M \) to obtain the desired description of \( I(\theta, \theta') \).

(ii) This follows from (i) and a cohomology argument [12, Theorem 2.7 (ii) (b)]; see [12, Corollary 3.7].

---

**Proof of Corollary 9.2.** By [9, 3.5.1], using the \( \dagger \)-construction on the \( i \)-th component of Lemma 3.2, we obtain for every index \( i \) that \( E_i/F \) and \( E'_{(i)}/F \) have the same ramification index and inertia degree. Thus there is an \( \mathfrak{a}_F \)-lattice sequence \( \Lambda'' \) which is \( \tilde{G} \) conjugate to \( \Lambda' \) by an element which maps \( \Lambda'' \) to \( \Lambda'^{(i)} \). Let \( \theta'' = \tau_{\Lambda'', \Lambda', \beta}(\theta) \) be the transfer of \( \theta \) to \( C(\Lambda'', r, \beta) \) which, by intertwining implies conjugacy [18, Theorem 10.2], is conjugate to \( \theta' \) by an element.
which maps $\Lambda'$ to $\Lambda''$. Thus we can assume that $\theta'$ and $\theta''$ are equal. We can further assume that $\beta$ and $\beta'$ have the same associated splitting and that the matching $\zeta$ is the identity, see Theorem 9.1. By Proposition 9.3

$$I(\theta, \theta') = S(\Lambda''', \beta)B_{\beta'} S(\Lambda, \beta) = S(\Lambda'', \beta)n(\Lambda''')B_{\beta'} S(\Lambda, \beta) = S(\Lambda', \beta')n(\Lambda'')B_{\beta'} S(\Lambda, \beta),$$

the last equality as $S(\Lambda'', \beta)n(\Lambda'')$ and also $S(\Lambda', \beta')n(\Lambda'')$ is the normaliser of every element of $C(\Lambda'', r, \beta)$. The second assertion follows from a cohomology argument as in [11, 4.14] (cf. also [12, 2.4]).

We can now prove a conjecture of the second and third authors, cf. [18, Conjecture 10.4].

**Theorem 9.4.** Let $\theta_\gamma \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ and $\theta'_\gamma \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta')$ be intertwining self dual semisimple characters. Then, for $i \in I_0$, the spaces $V^i$ and $V^{\zeta(i)}$ are isometric and the characters $\theta_{-i}$ and $\theta'_{-i}$ intertwine by an isomorphism from $(V^i, h_i)$ to $(V^{\zeta(i)}, h_{\zeta(i)})$, where $h_i, h_{\zeta(i)}$ denote the restrictions of $h$ to $V^i, V^{\zeta(i)}$, respectively. Moreover, the Witt towers of $h_i, \beta_i$ and $h_{\zeta(i)}, \beta'_{\zeta(i)}$ match (via the isometry).

**Proof.** We work with the lifts of $\theta_\gamma$ and $\theta'_{\gamma'}$. By Corollary 9.2 it is enough to show the first assertion, and we proceed by induction. For minimal strata this result is known by [18, Proposition 7.10], and having done the proof for $r-1$ then using the Corollary 9.19 and the translation principle (see [18, Theorem 9.18]) we can assume that both strata share the same $\gamma$ in the first member of a defining sequence, and that $\theta|_{H^{r+2}(\gamma, \Lambda)}$ and $\theta'|_{H^{r+2}(\gamma, \Lambda)}$ intertwine by 1, i.e. they are transfers. Now a modification of [18, Proposition 9.19] for different lattice sequences shows that there is a $c \in a_{-(r+1)} \cap \prod_i A_{ii}$ such that $\psi_{s(\beta-\gamma+c)}$ intertwines with $\psi_{s(\beta'-\gamma)}$ via 1, and thus applying the result for strata of $[18$ Proposition 7.10] blockwise gives the result. The final assertion follows from Proposition 7.11.

**Corollary 9.5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 9.1, suppose that $\beta$ and $\beta'$ have the same characteristic polynomial, then $\beta_i$ and $\beta'_{\zeta(i)}$ have the same characteristic polynomial for all $i \in I$.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 9.2, we can restrict to the case where all $\Lambda'$ are principal by adding up $e(\Lambda)$ shifts of $\Lambda$ and similarly for $\Lambda'$. Conjugate $\beta$ to $\beta'$ by an element $g_1$ of $G$, $g_1\Lambda$ to $\Lambda'$ by an element $g_2$ of $B_{\beta'}$, and then $g_2g_1\theta$ to $\theta'$ by an element of $P(\Lambda)$ using [18, Theorem 10.2]. In fact, the last conjugation can be done by an element $u$ of $P(\Lambda)$ which follows by induction and [18, Proposition 9.11]. By [18, Proposition 9.7], knowing that $S(\beta', \Lambda')$ is contained in the normaliser of $\theta'$, we can take $u$ in $\prod_i A_{ii'}$. The element $ug_2$ does not permute the blocks of the associated splitting of $\beta'$ and therefore the matching from $\theta$ to $\theta'$ is induced by $g_1$.

Finally, we can deduce a semisimple Skolem–Noether result:

**Corollary 9.6.** Let $\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)^{\sigma_h}$ and $\theta' \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta')^{\sigma_h}$ be two $G^+$-intertwining semisimple characters and suppose that $\beta$ and $\beta'$ have the same characteristic polynomial. Then $\beta$ and $\beta'$ are conjugate in $G^+$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 9.3, we can assume that the matching $\zeta$ is the identity of $I$. Now, the characters $\theta_i$ and $\theta'_i$ intertwine over $G^+$ and the characteristic polynomials of $\beta_i$ and $\beta'_i$ are equal by Corollary 9.5. Hence, by [18, Theorem 5.2], $\beta_i$ and $\beta'_i$ are conjugate by an element of $U(V^i, h_i)$. Thus $\beta$ and $\beta'$ are conjugate in $G^+$. 
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10 Semisimple Endo-classes

We continue with the notation of Section 8.

**Definition 10.1.** We call semisimple pairs \([k, \beta]\) and \([k, \beta']\) comparable if there are realisations \([\Lambda, n, r, \varphi(\beta)]\) and \([\Lambda', n', r', \varphi'(\beta')]\) in \(A\), and semisimple characters \(\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta))\) and \(\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r, \varphi'(\beta'))\) which intertwine in \(\tilde{G}\).

By Theorem 9.1, we then have a bijection \(\zeta: I \to I'\) between the indexing sets of the semisimple pairs provided by the matching from \(\theta\) to \(\theta'\).

**Lemma 10.2.** This bijection is independent of the choice of semisimple characters.

**Proof.** Having a second pair \(\tilde{\theta}\) and \(\tilde{\theta}'\) with \(\tilde{\zeta}\) then adding up shifts of lattice sequences and cutting down parts of block together with conjugation reduces the problem to the case \(\theta, \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \beta)\), \(\theta', \tilde{\theta}' \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda', r, \beta')\). Further we can assume that we can conjugate \(\theta'\) to \(\theta\) by [18, Theorem 10.2], say via \(g \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) and \(\tilde{\theta}'\) to \(\tilde{\theta}\) via an element \(\tilde{g}\) of \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\). Thus,

\[
\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, g\beta g^{-1}) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, r, \tilde{g}\beta\tilde{g}^{-1}).
\]

Further we can chose the elements \(g\) and \(\tilde{g}\) such that they map the splitting of \(\beta'\) to the splitting of \(\beta\), by [18, 9.8]. Now, Corollary 9.5, applied only for \(\theta\), states that \(\zeta^{-1}\) is equal to \(\tilde{\zeta}^{-1}\). \(\square\)

We can now define the generalisation of comparison pairs defined in the simple case (see Definition 3.1). In fact, we only need to add the dimensions of the spaces which occur in the splitting.

**Definition 10.3.**

(i) Let \(\Theta\) and \(\Theta'\) be two pss-characters supported on comparable semisimple pairs \([k, \beta]\) and \([k, \beta']\) with matching \(\zeta: I \to I'\). We call a pair

\[
((V, \varphi, \Lambda, r), (V', \varphi', \Lambda', r'))
\]

of quadruples \((V, \varphi, \Lambda', r') \in Q(k, \beta)\) and \((V', \Lambda', r', \varphi') \in Q(k, \beta')\) a semisimple comparison pair if

\[
V = V', \quad r = r', \quad e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda'), \quad \dim_F V^i = \dim_F V'^{\zeta(i)}
\]

such that

\[
k = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{e(\Lambda)} \right\rfloor.
\]

(ii) A semisimple comparison pair is called strong if \(\Lambda = \Lambda'\) as \(\sigma_F\)-lattice sequences and, for all \(i \in I\) and all integers \(j\), we have

\[
\Lambda^i_j / \Lambda^i_{j+1} \cong \Lambda'^{\zeta(i)}_j / \Lambda'^{\zeta(i)}_{j+1}.
\]

(iii) Let \(\Theta_-\) and \(\Theta'_-\) be self dual pss-characters supported on comparable self dual semisimple pairs. A comparison pair for \(\Theta_-\) and \(\Theta'_-\) is a comparison pair

\[
(((V, \varphi, \Lambda, r), ((V, \varphi, \Lambda', r))
\]

where \(V\) is equipped with an \(\epsilon\)-hermitian form \(h\) which splits under both splittings of \(V\) and such that \((V^i, h_i)\) is isometric to \((V'^{\zeta(i)}, h_{\zeta(i)})\), for all \(i \in I_0\).
(iv) A comparison pair for self dual pss-characters is called Witt if it is blockwise Witt on $I_0$, see Lemma \ref{lem:8.6}.

(v) The pss-characters $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are called *endo-equivalent* if for all comparison pairs the corresponding realisations of $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ intertwine in $\tilde{G}$.

(vi) The self dual pss-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are called *endo-equivalent* if for all Witt comparison pairs the corresponding realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine in $G^+$.

**Proposition 10.4.** Two pss-characters $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ supported on comparable semisimple pairs, say $[k, \beta]$ and $[k, \beta']$, with matching $\zeta : I \to I'$, are endo-equivalent if and only if the ps-characters $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta'_{\zeta(i)}$ defined by componentwise restriction are endo-equivalent, for all $i \in I$.

**Proof.** Suppose that the ps-characters $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta'_{\zeta(i)}$ are endo-equivalent, for all $i \in I$ for which $\beta_i$ is non-zero. We can assume by conjugation with an automorphism of $V$ that $V^i$ is equal to $V^{\zeta(i)}$, for all $i$. Taking a comparison pair for $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$, by restriction to the $i$-th block we have a comparison pair for $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta'_{\zeta(i)}$, whose realisations intertwine as $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta'_{\zeta(i)}$ are endo-equivalent (the case where $\beta_i$ is zero is trivial). We thus obtain a block diagonal intertwiner of the realisations of $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$. For the converse, take intertwining realisations of $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$. It follows from Corollary \ref{cor:9.2} that the simple characters realising $\Theta_i$ and $\Theta'_{\zeta(i)}$ intertwine, and we conclude by Theorem \ref{thm:7.2}.

We now prove transitivity of endo-equivalence of pss-characters, showing that endo-equivalence of pss-characters is an equivalence relation.

**Proposition 10.5.** For pss-characters endo-equivalence is an equivalence relation, and two pss-characters are endo-equivalent if and only if there is a comparison pair such that the corresponding realisations of the pss-characters intertwine in $\tilde{G}$.

**Proof.** This follows now directly from Proposition \ref{prop:10.4} and the fact that endo-equivalence is an equivalence relation for ps-characters, by Theorem \ref{thm:2.11}.

We call the equivalence classes GL-endo-classes. Since we consider the zero strata $[\Lambda, r, r, 0]$ to be simple strata, this definition includes the so-called *zero-endo-class*, for each $k \geq 0$: this consists of the unique ps(s)-character supported on $[k, 0]$, whose realisations are all trivial characters.

Now we can gather all the results of the previous sections to get the following:

**Theorem 10.6.** Let $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ be two self dual pss-characters and $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ their lifts. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The self dual pss-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ are endo-equivalent;

(ii) The lifts $\Theta$ and $\Theta'$ are endo-equivalent.

(iii) There is a comparison pair such that the corresponding realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine over $G^+$.

**Proof.** As in Lemma \ref{lem:8.6} the pss-characters $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ decompose into ps-characters and self dual ps-characters. Suppose that there is a comparison pair such that the corresponding realisations of $\Theta_-$ and $\Theta'_-$ intertwine then Theorem \ref{thm:9.4} and Corollary \ref{cor:9.2} ensure that there
are comparison pairs such that the corresponding realizations of the ps-characters and self dual ps-characters intertwine. Hence, via Theorem 7.2 point (iii) implies both (i) and (ii). The other implications follow similarly using Theorem 7.2.

From the Theorem 10.6 and Proposition 10.5, we obtain transitivity of endo-equivalence as a consequence, for example the as an analogue to Corollary 7.4, we obtain the rather surprising result that intertwining of self dual semisimple characters with same group level is transitive, and hence equivalence relation:

**Corollary 10.7.**

1. For self dual pss-characters endo-equivalence is an equivalence relation.
2. Intertwining over $G^+$ is an equivalence relation on the class of self dual semisimple characters with the same group level.
3. Intertwining over $\tilde{G}$ is an equivalence relation on the class of semisimple characters with the same group level.

**Proof.** The first and then last assertion follow directly from Theorem 10.6 and Proposition 10.5. The proof of the second assertion is analogous to the simple case, using Theorem 10.6 and the first assertion of this corollary in place of their simple counterparts.

**Definition 10.8.** We call the equivalence classes of self dual pss-characters $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-classes or classical endo-classes.

### 11 The translation principle for self dual characters

This section is written for a generalisation of the “Intertwining and Conjugacy” Theorem for skew characters [18, Theorem 10.3] to the case of self dual characters. The strategy is completely the same if one substitutes the following generalised version of the “Translation Principle” [18, Theorem 9.18].

**Theorem 11.1.** Let $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \gamma]$ and $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \gamma']$ be self dual semisimple strata with the same associated splitting such that

$$C(\Lambda, r + 1, \gamma) = C(\Lambda, r + 1, \gamma').$$

Let $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ be a self dual semisimple stratum, with splitting $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^i$, such that $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \beta]$ is equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \gamma]$ and $\gamma$ is an element of $\prod_{i \in I} A^i$. Then, there exists a self dual-semisimple stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta']$, with splitting $V = \bigoplus_{i' \in I'} V^{i'}$, such that $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \beta']$ is equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \gamma']$, with $\gamma' \in \prod_{i' \in I'} A^{i', \beta'}$ and

$$C(\Lambda, r, \beta) = C(\Lambda, r, \beta').$$

**Proof.** Let $\theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta)$ be a lift of an element of $C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)$. Then there is a skew-symmetric element $b$ of $a_{-m}$ such that $\theta \psi_{-b}$ is an element of $C_-(\Lambda, m, \gamma')$. By the translation principle for semisimple characters [18, 9.10] there is a semisimple stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta'']$ equivalent to $[\Lambda, n, r, \gamma' + b]$ such that $C(\Lambda, r, \beta'')$ is equal to $C(\Lambda, r, \beta)$. By self duality the latter is also equal to $C(\Lambda, m, -\sigma(\beta''))$. Let $I''$ be the index set of $\beta''$ and $\sigma(I'')$ the index set of $\sigma(\beta'')$. The matching (coming from [18, Lemma 7.17], since the strata $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta'']$ and $[\Lambda, n, m, -\sigma(\beta'')]$ are equivalent) gives a map $\tau$ from $I''$ to $\sigma(I'')$ such that for every $i$ the idempotent $1^{(i)}$ is congruent to $1^{(\tau(i))}$ modulo $m_{-(k_0 + m)}$. The indexing set $I''$ decomposes as the union of the two sets:
(i) \( I''_0 \) which is the set of all the indexes \( i \) which satisfy \( \sigma(i) = \tau(i) \) and

(ii) \( I''_\pm \) which is the complement of \( I''_0 \) in \( I'' \).

The action of \( \tau^{-1} \sigma \) on \( I''_0 \) decomposes into orbits all of length two; we choose a representative for each orbit and let \( I''_+ \) be this set of representatives. We also write \( I''_+ = \{ \tau^{-1} \sigma(i) \mid i \in I''_+ \} \), the complement of \( I''_+ \) in \( I'' \).

We recall the following idempotent lifting lemma from [IS]:

**Lemma 11.2** ([IS Lemma 7.13]). Let \( (\xi_r)_{r \geq 0} \) be a decreasing sequence of \( \sigma_F \)-lattices in \( A \) such that \( \xi_r \xi_s \subseteq \xi_{r+s} \), for all \( r, s \geq 0 \), and \( \bigcap_{r \geq 1} \xi_r = \{0\} \). Suppose there is an element \( \alpha \) of \( \xi_0 \) which satisfies \( \alpha^2 = \alpha \). Then there is an idempotent \( \tilde{\alpha} \in \xi_0 \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha \in \xi_r \). Moreover, if \( \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \) then we can choose \( \tilde{\alpha} \) such that \( \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} \), while if \( \alpha + \sigma(\alpha) = 1 \) then we can choose \( \tilde{\alpha} \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha} + \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}) = 1 \).

**Proof.** The only part not proved in [IS Lemma 7.13] is the very final assertion. If \( \alpha + \sigma(\alpha) = 1 \) then \( \alpha \) and \( \sigma(\alpha) \) commute and it is easy to check that \( \alpha' := 3\alpha^2 - 3\alpha^3 \) also satisfies \( \alpha' + \sigma(\alpha') = 1 \) and the result follows in the same way as in loc. cit.

We will apply Lemma 11.2 to find a decomposition

\[
1 = \sum_{i \in I''_+} e_i
\]

as a sum of idempotents \( e_i \) such that the set of all the \( e_i \) is stable under the action of \( \tau^{-1} \sigma \) and \( 1^i \) is congruent to \( e_i \) modulo \( m_{-(k_0+m)} \), for each \( i \in I''_+ \). We proceed as follows:

(i) At first we apply the lemma find \( \sigma \)-fixed idempotents congruent to the \( 1^i \) with \( i \in I''_0 \): Start by taking \( \alpha = \frac{1^i + \sigma(1^i)}{2} \) to obtain \( e_1 \) by Lemma 11.2 and then \( \alpha := (1 - e_1)^{1^i + \sigma(1^i)}(1 - e_1) \) and continue inductively. Having found all \( e_i \), \( i \in I''_0 \), we denote their sum as \( e_0 \).

(ii) Then we find idempotents \( e_+ \) and \( e_- \) such that their sum is \( 1 - e_0 \) and such that \( e_+ \) is congruent to the sum \( 1^{+i} \) of the \( 1^i \) where \( i \) runs through \( I''_+ \) and similarly for \( e_- \). We apply the Lemma to \( \alpha = (1 - e_0)(1 + i^+ - \sigma(1^+)) \) to find \( e_+ \) and we define \( e_- \) to be \( 1 - e_0 - e_+ \).

(iii) Similar to part (i) we obtain for all indexes \( i \) of \( I''_+ \) idempotents \( e_i \), congruent to \( 1^i \) modulo \( m_{-(k_0+m)} \), which all sum up to \( e_+ \) and as a consequence the idempotents \( e_{-i} := \sigma(e_i) \) have the same properties with respect to \( e_- \).

We are now ready to construct the element \( \beta' \):

(i) For \( i \in I''_0 \), there is a simple self dual stratum \([\Lambda^i, n_i, r, \beta''_i] \) equivalent to \([\Lambda^i, n_i, r, e_i\beta''_i e_i] \).

(ii) for \( i \in I''_+ \), we take for a simple stratum \([\Lambda^i, n_i, r, \beta'_i] \) equivalent to \([\Lambda^i, n_i, r, e_i\beta''_i e_i] \).

(iii) for \( i \in I''_+ \), we define \( \beta'_i \) to be \(-\beta'_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma(i))} \).

Thus, we have the desired element \( \beta' = \sum_{i \in I''} \beta'_i \), since \([\Lambda, n, r, \beta'] \) is a self-dual semisimple equivalent to \([\Lambda, n, r, \gamma' + b] \).

\[\square\]
We will need later the following “Intertwining implies conjugacy” property for self dual non-skew characters:

**Theorem 11.3.** Let \( \theta \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) and \( \theta' \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta') \) be two semisimple self dual characters which intertwine over \( G^+ \) such that the matching \( \zeta : I \to I' \) satisfies

\[
\Lambda_j^i/\Lambda_{j+1}^i \cong \Lambda_{j'}^{\zeta(i)}/\Lambda_{j'+1}^{\zeta(i)}
\]

for all indexes \( i \in I \) and integers \( j \). Then, there is an element of \( P^-(\Lambda) \) which conjugates \( \theta \) to \( \theta' \).

**Proof.** The proof is mutatis mutandis the proof of [IS Theorem 10.3] following a strata induction, see [IS after Remark 7.2], if we know the result for minimal strata. Thus, we suppose that we have two strata \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]\) and \([\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']\) which intertwine over some element of \( G^+ \). We only need to consider the case that the first stratum is a self dual non-skew stratum whose index set \( I \) has cardinality two. By the matching theorem Theorem 9.4 the index set \( I' \) of the second stratum has also two elements interchanged by the adjoint involution. By [IS Theorem 10.2] there is an isomorphism \( g \) from \( V^1 \) to \( V_{\zeta(1)} \) such that \( ga_1 \) is equal to \( \Lambda_{\zeta(1)} \) and \([\Lambda_{\zeta(1)}, n, n-1, g_1b_1g^{-1}] \) is equivalent to \([\Lambda_{\zeta(1)}', n, n-1, \beta'_{\zeta(1)}]\). The self duality of the strata imply the equivalence of \([\Lambda_{\zeta(2)}, n, n-1, \sigma(g)^{-1}\beta_2\sigma(g)] \) and \([\Lambda_{\zeta(2)}', n, n-1, \beta'_{\zeta(2)}]\). \( \square \)

**12 Intertwining under special orthogonal groups**

Here we consider endo-equivalent pss-characters \( \Theta_- \) and \( \Theta'_- \) for self-dual semisimple pairs \([k, \beta]\) and \([k, \beta']\) in the orthogonal setting \( \sigma = 1, \epsilon = 1 \). Let \( \theta_\in C_-(\Lambda, r, \varphi(\beta)) \) and \( \theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \varphi'(\beta')) \) be realisations of \( \Theta_- \) and \( \Theta'_- \) respectively on an orthogonal space \((V, h)\). In this section we analyse the intertwining classes of an orthogonal endo-class. Let us assume that \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) have the same period, i.e. \( e(\Lambda) = e(\Lambda') \).

**Proposition 12.1.** (i) Assume that all \( \varphi(\beta_i) \) have the same valuation for the lattice sequence \( \Lambda \), i.e. \( \nu(\varphi(\beta_i)) = -q \) for all indexes \( i \). The realisations \( \theta_- \) and \( \theta'_- \) intertwine over \( G \) if and only if the symplectic forms \( h^\varphi(\beta) \) and \( h^\varphi'(\beta') \) are isometric by an automorphism of \( V \) of determinant congruent 1 modulo \( p_F \).

(ii) Assume that there is an index \( i_0 \) such that \( \beta_{i_0} \) is zero. Then, \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \) intertwine by an element of \( G \).

**Proof.** (i) The assumption on the \( \beta_i \) is also true for the \( \beta'_i \) by the matching theorem, Theorem 9.4. As \( \Theta_- \) and \( \Theta'_- \) are endo-equivalent, there is an element \( g \) of \( G^+ \) which intertwines \( \theta_- \) and \( \theta'_- \). Then, the fundamental strata \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \phi(\beta)] \) and \([\Lambda', n, n-1, \phi'(\beta')] \) intertwine under \( g \) and the twists of \( h^\varphi(\beta) \) and \( h^\varphi'(\beta') \), are isometric by an element of the form \( u'gu \) with elements \( u \in 1 + a_1(\Lambda) \) and \( u' \in 1 + a_1(\Lambda') \), by [IS Proposition 3.1]. The element \( u'gu \) has determinant congruent to the determinant of \( g \) modulo \( p_F \). The fact that an isometry of a symplectic space has determinant 1 finishes the proof.

(ii) By Theorem 9.4 we can assume that both characters have the same associated splitting. The characters \( \theta_{i_0} \) and \( \theta'_{i_0} \) are trivial, and therefore intertwine under any element of the group \( U(V^{i_0}, h_{i_0}) \), and in particular by an element of determinant \( -1 \). Thus, there is an element of \( G \) which intertwines the semisimple characters. \( \square \)
Theorem 12.2. (i) If there is an index $i$ such that $\beta_i$ is zero, then $\theta_-$ and $\theta'_-$ intertwine under an element of $G$.

(ii) If $\beta$ has no zero component, then $\theta_-$ and $\theta'_-$ intertwine under an element of $G$ if and only if $h\varphi(\beta)$ and $h\varphi'(\beta')$ are isometric by an automorphism of $V$ of determinant congruent 1 modulo $p$. In this case every element of $G^+$ intertwining $\theta_-$ and $\theta'_-$ is in $G$.

Proof. (i) See Proposition 12.1.

(ii) Without loss of generality we can assume that the matching is the identity, because there is an element of $G$ which maps the decomposition of $V$ for $\varphi(\beta)$ to the decomposition of $V$ for $\varphi'(\beta')$. Write the index set $I$ in the coarsest way as the union of subsets $S$ such that the elements $\varphi(\beta_s)$ have all the same valuation with respect to $\Lambda$, for all $s \in S$. We now apply Proposition 12.1 to all blocks $S$ and we get the result.

\[\square\]

As a corollary we have for semisimple characters an analogue of Corollary 19.7.

Corollary 12.3. Let $\theta_-$, $\theta'_-$ and $\theta''_-$ be self dual semisimple characters with the same group level. If $\theta_-$ intertwines with $\theta'_-$ and $\theta'_-$ intertwines with $\theta''_-$ over $G$, then $\theta_-$ intertwines with $\theta''_-$ over $G$.

The next theorem is about intertwining and conjugacy of semisimple characters over special orthogonal groups. There are examples of $\theta$ and $\theta'$ which intertwine over $G$ and are conjugate over $G^+$, but are not conjugate under $G$. This, can not happen if $\beta$ has no zero-component. But if $\beta$ has a zero-component $\beta_{i_0} = 0$ such that there is a lattice sequence in $\Lambda^{i_0}$ which has no element of determinant $-1$ in its normaliser, then take an element $g_{i_0}$ of determinant $-1$ in $U(h_{i_0})$ and define $\theta'' := \text{diag}(i, g_{i_0})\theta$, then $\theta$ and $\theta''$ are not conjugate by an element of $G$, but intertwine by an element of $G$, by Theorem 12.2. We fix this problem in the following way:

Theorem 12.4. Suppose $\theta$ and $\theta'$ intertwine under an element $g$ of $G$ and there is an element $g'$ of $G^+$ such that $g'\Lambda$ is equal to $\Lambda^{\mathbb{C}(i)}$. We write $g = u \text{diag}(g_i | i \in I_+ \cap I_0)v$ using Corollary 9.2. Suppose one of the following three assertions:

(i) The element $\beta$ has a zero component, say $\beta_{i_0}$, $\Lambda^{i_0}$ and $\theta_{i_0}$ have the same normaliser in $U(h_{i_0})$, $g' \in G$ and $g_{i_0}^{-1}g'_{i_0}$ is an element of $SU(h_{i_0})$.

(ii) The element $\beta$ has a zero component, say $\beta_{i_0}$, and the normaliser of $\Lambda^{i_0}$ contains an element of $U(h_{i_0})$ with determinant $-1$.

(iii) The element $\beta$ has no zero component.

Then $\theta$ is conjugate to $\theta'$ by an element of $G \cap P^-(\Lambda)$.

Remark 12.5. Unfortunately the theorem is very unsatisfying, because in the first part we have the condition that $\Lambda^{i_0}$ and $\theta_{i_0}$ have the same normaliser in $G^+$. In general this is a proper condition, i.e. there are many examples where this fails. As an example consider the lattice sequence $\Lambda$ on the Iwahori in $O(1,1)(F)$ but with three lattices in one period, such that for only one index $j$ the lattice $\Lambda_j$ is self dual. Then, $a_2$ is the radical of a maximal order and thus the trivial characters on $P_2(\Lambda)$ has a normaliser in $O(1,1)(F)$ bigger than the normaliser of $\Lambda$. But, in spite of the example, the normaliser condition holds if $r = 0$, we call this later full, see Section 14 because $a_1$ is the radical of $\Lambda$ and both have the same normaliser.
Proof of Theorem 12.4. (i) We can assume $g'$ is the identity. Therefore $\zeta$ is the identity and $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^g$ equal. In particular, on the block for the zero component of $\beta$ the characters equal, i.e. $\theta_{i_0} = \theta'_{i_0}$. There is nothing to prove if $\Lambda^g$ has an element of determinant $-1$ in its normaliser in $U(h_{i_0})$. Thus let us assume the opposite, which by assumption implies that the $U(h_{i_0})$-normaliser of $\theta_{i_0}$ is also contained in $SU(h_{i_0})$. By Theorem 11.3 $\theta$ and $\theta'$ are thus conjugate by an element of $P^-(\Lambda)$. Corollary 9.2 and Theorem 12.2 now imply that this conjugating element must have determinant 1.

(ii) This follows directly from Theorem 11.3.

(iii) The determinant of the conjugating element and the intertwining element have to coincide by Proposition 12.1.

Remark 12.6. The second assumption of Theorem 12.4 is satisfied if the lattice sequence $\Lambda^{b_0}$ represents a vertex in the Bruhat Tits building of $U(l)$, there is an index $l$ such that

$$\varpi_F^l \Lambda_{i_l} \subset \Lambda_l \subseteq \Lambda_{i_l}^\#,$$

and the image of $\Lambda$ only consists of $F^\times$-multiples of $\Lambda_l$ and its dual $(\Lambda_l = \Lambda_{i_l}^\#)$ is possible.

Proposition 12.7. Let $G$ be an $F = F_0$-form of a special orthogonal group on an $F$-vector space $V$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a self dual lattice sequence which corresponds to a vertex in the Bruhat Tits building of $G^+$. Then there is an element of $G^+ \setminus G$ in the normaliser of $\Lambda$.

Proof. We have two cases. Let us at first assume that there is a positive anisotropic dimension. Then every lattice sequence $\Lambda$ is normalised by an orthogonal element of determinant $-1$, because we just have to take a Witt basis whose apartment contains the point corresponding to $\Lambda$, i.e. a Witt basis which splits the lattice sequence. Then the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, -1)$ is an element of $P^-(\Lambda')$. Let us now assume that the anisotropic dimension is zero. We take a Witt basis which splits $\Lambda$, i.e. a basis $(v_j)_{j \in J_+ \cup J_-}$ with $-J_+ = J_-$ and $h(v_j, v_{j'}) = \delta_{j, -j'}$ (Kronecker symbol) for all $j \in J_+$. We denote $M := \Lambda_1$, see Remark 12.6. Then,

$$M = \bigoplus_{j \in J_+ \cup J_-} p_j^{\nu_j} v_j,$$

and we can rescale the basis the way that $\nu_j = 0$ for all $j \in J_+$. Then the condition on $M$ rephrases as $\nu_j$ is 1 or 2, for $j \in J_-$, such that at least one exponent has to be 1, say $\nu_{-1} = 1$. We define an element of $G^+$ by

$$g(v_j) := v_j, \ j \neq 1, -1, \ g(v_1) := v_{-1} \varpi_F, \ g(v_{-1}) := v_1 \varpi_F^{-1},$$

and $g$ normalises $\Lambda$ and has determinant $-1$.

13 Intertwining implies conjugacy for cuspidal types

We recall definitions of the third author in [22] of cuspidal types in $G$, then prove our main theorem that two intertwining cuspidal types are conjugate in $G$.

A skew semisimple stratum $[\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ is called cuspidal if $G_E$ has compact centre and $P^n(\Lambda_E)$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup in $G_E$. By [21] §3.2, associated to $[\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ are compact open subgroups $J(\beta, \Lambda) \supseteq J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \supseteq H^1(\beta, \Lambda)$ of $G$. The quotient $J(\beta, \Lambda)/J^1(\beta, \Lambda) \simeq$
Let $[\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]$ be a cuspidal skew semisimple stratum. Let $\theta \in C_-(\Lambda, 0, \beta)$ be a self dual semisimple character. By [21] Corollary 3.29, there exists a unique irreducible representation $\eta$ of $J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$ containing $\theta$. The representation $\eta$ extends to $J(\beta, \Lambda)$ and we choose a particular type of extension $\kappa$ as in [22] Theorem 4.1, called a $\beta$-extension. Let $\tau$ be an irreducible representation of $J(\beta, \Lambda)/J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$ with cuspidal restriction to $J^0(\beta, \Lambda)/J^1(\beta, \Lambda)$. Put $J = J(\beta, \Lambda)$ and $\lambda = \kappa \otimes \tau$. A pair $(J, \lambda)$, constructed as above, is called a cuspidal type for $G$. The main result of [22] (noting the correction of [14] Appendix A) can be stated as follows:

Theorem 13.1 ([22] Corollary 6.19 & Proposition 7.13). Let $(J, \lambda)$ be a cuspidal type for $G$. Then the representation $\text{ind}_{J}^{G}(\lambda)$ is irreducible and cuspidal. Moreover, every irreducible cuspidal representation of $G$ appears in this way.

Thus, it remains to determine when two cuspidal types $(J, \lambda)$, $(J', \lambda')$ induce isomorphic cuspidal representations. Notice that, if $\text{ind}_{J}^{G}(\lambda) \simeq \text{ind}_{J'}^{G}(\lambda')$ then $(J, \lambda)$, $(J', \lambda')$ intertwine in $G$.

Main Theorem (intertwining implies conjugacy). Cuspidal types intertwine in $G$ if and only if they are conjugate in $G$.

Proof. As the cuspidal types intertwine so do the underlying skew semisimple characters $\theta, \theta'$. Suppose that $\theta \in C_-(\Lambda, 0, \beta)$ and $\theta' \in C_-(\Lambda', 0, \beta')$. By Theorem [21, 3.29] there is a matching $\zeta : I \to I'$; and there exists $g \in G$ such that $gV' = V'^{(\zeta)}$ and the characters $\theta_{i,-}^{\theta_{i,-}}$ and $\theta'_{i(-)}$ intertwine in $G_{\zeta(i)}$. By conjugating by an element of $G$, if necessary, we can assume that $V = V'$, $\zeta = 1$, and

\[ I_{G_{i}}(\theta_{i,-}, \theta'_{i,-}) \neq 0. \]

As all of the arithmetical invariants are the same, we can build a lattice sequence $\Lambda''$ relative to $\beta'$ such that there exists $g \in G^+$ with $g\Lambda' = \Lambda''$ respecting the block structure, as follows: For every index $i$ there is an $E_i'$-lattice sequence $\Lambda''_i$ and an element $g_i \in U(h_i)$ such that $g_i\Lambda' = \Lambda''_i$ by Corollary [5, 3.9] and [17] Proposition 5.2. And at the end we define $\Lambda''$ as the sum of the $\Lambda''_i$ and $g_* := \bigoplus g'_i$. If there is some $\beta''_0$ equal to zero and we are in the special orthogonal case then there is an element of determinant $-1$ in $P^-(\Lambda''_0)$ by Proposition [12, 6.19] and Theorem [12, 7.1] ensures the existence of an element $g$ of $G$ which conjugates $\Lambda$ to $\Lambda''$.

We let $\theta'' = \tau\Lambda', \Lambda'' \cdot \beta'(\theta')$, which intertwines with $\theta$ by Corollary [10, 7.1] and [12, 3.1]. By conjugating, see [18] Theorem 10.3] and Theorem [12, 7.1] we can assume $\theta = \theta''$, and hence $\theta$ is a semisimple character for $\beta'$. We can now conclude by [12] Theorem 11.3.}

Remark 13.2. In fact, due to [12], we have the analogous result in the greater generality of representations with coefficient field any algebraically closed field of characteristic prime to $p$.

14 Parametrisation of the intertwining classes of semisimple characters

This section is about the classification of intertwining classes of (self dual) semisimple characters. But before we start we need the following definition of endo-equivalent semisimple characters.

Definition 14.1. Two (self dual) semisimple characters are called endo-equivalent if their corresponding (self dual) pss-characters are endo-equivalent.
14.1 GL-endo-parameters

In this section we parametrise the intertwining classes of semisimple characters of \( \tilde{G} \). We say that a semisimple character is full if it lies in \( C(\Lambda, 0, \beta) \), for some semisimple stratum \([\Lambda, n, 0, \beta]\). Similarly, a pss-character is full if it is supported on a semisimple pair of the form \([0, \beta]\), while an endo-class of pss-characters is full if it consists of full pss-characters; note that this includes the full zero-endo-class \( 0 \).

**Definition 14.2.** We define \( \mathcal{E} \) to be the set of all full simple endo-classes of ps-characters. A **GL-endo-parameter** for \( \tilde{G} \) is a function from the set \( \mathcal{E} \) to the set of non-negative integers with finite support.

The goal of this section is to prove that a natural set of endo-parameters parametrise \( \tilde{G} \)-intertwining classes of semisimple characters of \( \tilde{G} \). Let \( \mathcal{E}^{fin} \) be the set of finite subsets of \( \mathcal{E} \).

**Proposition 14.3.** There is a bijection between \( \mathcal{E}^{fin} \) and the set of all full GL-endo-classes.

For the proof we need the following lemmas:

**Lemma 14.4.** Let \( \theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) and \( \theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta') \) be two endo-equivalent simple characters with the same period. Then, there is an element \( \beta'' \) with the same minimal polynomial as \( \beta \) and a simple character \( \bar{\theta} \in C(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'') \) such that \( \bar{\theta}|_{H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda)} = \theta \) and \( \bar{\theta}|_{H^{r+1}(\beta', \Lambda')} = \theta' \).

**Proof.** By endo-equivalence \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \) have equal inertial degrees and equal ramification indexes, and therefore there is an element \( \beta'' \) of \( End_F(V) \) which has the same minimal polynomial as \( \beta \). The transfer of \( \theta \) to \( C(\Lambda', r, \beta'') \) is conjugate to \( \theta' \) and thus we can choose \( \beta'' \) such that \( \theta' \) is a transfer of \( \theta \). But then there is a simple character \( \bar{\theta} \) whose restrictions are \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 14.5.** Let \( \theta \in C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \) be a semisimple character and \( \theta' \in C(\Lambda', r, \beta') \) be a simple character, and suppose \( \Lambda, \Lambda' \) have the same period. Suppose moreover that \( \theta' \) is not endo-equivalent to any simple block restriction \( \theta_i \) of \( \theta \). Then, there is a semisimple stratum \([\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', n, r, \beta + \beta'']\) whose associated decomposition is a refinement of \( V \oplus V' \), and there is a semisimple character \( \bar{\theta} \in C(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'') \) such that the restrictions to \( H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda) \) and \( H^{r+1}(\beta', \Lambda') \) are \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \), respectively. In particular, the groups \( H^{r+1}(\beta, \Lambda) \) and \( H^{r+1}(\beta', \Lambda') \) coincide.

**Remark 14.6.** If the stratum \([\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', n, n-1, \beta + \beta']\) is equivalent to a semisimple stratum whose associated splitting is a refinement of \( V \oplus V' \), then there is already such a character \( \bar{\theta} \) in \( C(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta') \) by definition of the semisimple characters, because in this particular the restriction map from \( C(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta') \) to \( C(\Lambda, r, \beta) \times C(\Lambda', r, \beta') \) is a bijection.

**Proof of Lemma 14.5.** Let \( \bar{q} \) be the maximum of \( q = -\nu_\Lambda(\beta) \) and \( q' = -\nu_{\Lambda'}(\beta') \), and we write \( \tilde{\Lambda} \) for the direct sum of \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \). We prove the result by induction on \( m \). The case \( m = q'' - 1 \) is the case of minimal strata, and for them the result is true by the definition of semisimple strata. Let us now assume that \( m \) is smaller than \( q'' - 1 \). We have to consider three cases:

Case 1: The restrictions \( \theta|_{r+2} := \theta|_{H^{r+2}(\beta, \Lambda)} \in C(\Lambda, r+1, \gamma) \) and \( \theta'|_{r+2} := \theta'|_{H^{r+2}(\beta', \Lambda')} \) satisfy the conditions of the lemma. Then by induction hypothesis there is a semisimple character \( \bar{\theta}|_{r+2} \in C(\tilde{\Lambda}, r+1, \gamma + \gamma'') \) whose restrictions are \( \theta|_{r+2} \) and \( \theta'|_{r+2} \). By the translation principle [18, Theorem 9.10] we can assume that \( \gamma'' \) is a first member of the defining sequence of \([\Lambda', -\nu_{\Lambda'}(\beta'), r, \beta']\). Now by the definition of the semisimple characters there is a semisimple character \( \bar{\theta} \), element of \( C(\tilde{\Lambda}, r, \beta + \beta') \), whose restrictions are \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \).
Case 2: Let us assume that $\theta|_{r+2}$ is simple and that $\theta|_{r+2}$ and $\theta'|_{r+2}$ are endo-equivalent: Thus, by Lemma 14.4 we can find a simple stratum $[A, n, r + 1, \gamma]$ split by $V \oplus V'$ such that $\gamma$ is mod $a_{-(r+1)}$ congruent to $\beta$ on $V$ and a simple character $\theta |_{r+2}$ in $C(A, r + 1, \gamma)$ with restrictions $\theta|_{r+2}$ and $\theta'|_{r+2}$. By the translation principle we can assume that $\gamma|_{V'}$ is a member of a defining sequence for $\beta'$. If the stratum $[A, n, r, \beta + \beta']$ is already semisimple then the inductive definition of semisimple characters provides the desired character $\tilde{\theta} \in C(A, r, \beta + \beta')$. In the opposite case, there is an index $i_1$ such that $[A^{i_1}, n_{i_1}, r, \beta_{i_1}]$ and $[A', n, r, \beta']$ sum up to a stratum equivalent to a simple one. By transfer, we can assume without loss of generality that $\beta'$ is equal to $\beta_{i_1}$. In $\Lambda'=\Lambda$ and $\theta_{i_1}|_{r+2} = \theta'|_{r+2}$. By the first part of Case 2 there is a semisimple character $\tilde{\theta} \in C(A, r, \beta)$ whose restrictions are $\tilde{\theta}_i$ for $i \neq i_1$ and $\theta'$ for the restriction to the $i_1$th block. But then there is an element $b \in a_{-(r+1)}(\Lambda)$ such that $\theta$ is equal to $\tilde{\theta}\psi_b$. But then $[\tilde{\Lambda}, n, r, \beta + (\beta' + b)]$ is equivalent to a semisimple stratum $[\tilde{\Lambda}, n, r, \beta + \beta']$ because $\theta$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ do not intertwine. Thus, $[\tilde{\Lambda}, n, r, \beta + \beta']$ is semisimple and there is a semisimple character $\tilde{\theta}$ with the desired restrictions, by part 1 of Case 2.

Case 3: Let us assume the general case: Let $I$ be the index set of $\beta$ and let $J$ be the subset of $I$ consisting of all indexes $i$ such that $\theta|_{r+2}$ is endo-equivalent to $\theta'|_{r+2}$. We can assume that $J$ is non-empty because of Case 1. Then, by the same argument as in Case 2, there is a semisimple character $\tilde{\theta}_{J'} \in C(A', n, r, \beta')$ such that the restrictions are $\theta_{J'}$ and $\theta'$. Let $[A, n, r + 1, \gamma]$ be the first element in a defining sequence of $[A, n, r + 1, \beta]$ and analogously $[A, n, r + 1, \gamma']$ for $[A', n, r + 1, \beta']$ such that $\gamma$ has the same minimal polynomial as $\gamma'$. Then, $\theta_{J'}|_{r+2}$ is a transfer of $\tilde{\theta}_{J'}|_{r+2}$, and the stratum $[\tilde{\Lambda}, n, r + 1, \gamma + \gamma']$ is semisimple because the set $J$ describes exactly one block of $[\Lambda, n, r + 1, \gamma]$.

Thus, we can take the transfer of $\theta|_{r+2}$ to $[\tilde{\Lambda}, \gamma + \gamma']$ to obtain a characters $\tilde{\theta}|_{r+2}$ whose restrictions are $\tilde{\theta}_{I \setminus J}|_{r+2}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{J'}|_{r+2}$. The inductive definition of semisimple characters ensures now the existence of the desired $\tilde{\theta}$.

**Proof of Proposition 14.3.** By Proposition 10.4, given a semisimple endo-class, the restriction to the blocks gives a tuple of simple endo-classes. Conversely, given a tuple of simple endo-classes, take from every class a value of a ps-character, so that one gets a tuple of simple characters. By Lemma 14.3 there is a semisimple character which has the given simple characters as restrictions. The corresponding ps-character defines a semisimple endo-class.

Recall that the degree of a simple character $\theta \in C(A, r, \beta)$ is defined to be $[F[\beta] : F]$. This is independent of intertwining and transfer. Thus we define the degree of a simple endo-class $c \in \mathcal{E}$ to be the degree of the values of the ps-characters in $c$, and we denote it by $\deg(c)$.

**Theorem 14.7.** The set of intertwining classes of full semisimple characters for $\tilde{G} = GL_F(V)$ is in bijection to the set of those endo-parameters $f$ which satisfy

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{E}} \deg(c) f(c) = \dim_F V. \quad (14.8)$$

**Proof.** For a full semisimple character $\theta \in C(A, r, \beta)$ for $\tilde{G}$, denote by $c_i$ the endo-classes of its simple block restrictions $\theta_i$, and put $f_i = \dim_F V^{i} / \deg(c_i)$ (which are integers), for $i \in I$. The endo-classes $c_i$ and the integers $f_i$ are invariant under intertwining, by Theorem 9.1. Thus we get an endo-parameter $f$ given by

$$f(c) = \begin{cases} f_i & \text{if } c = c_i, \text{ for some } i \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
which depends only on the intertwining class of \( \theta \) and satisfies (14.8).

Conversely, given an endo-parameter \( f \), write \( \text{supp}(f) = \{ c_i \mid i \in I \} \). Then Proposition 14.3 gives us a full semisimple endo-class corresponding to \( \text{supp}(f) \). We pick any pss-character \( \Theta \) in this endo-class, with corresponding semisimple pair \([0, \beta]\) and \( \beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i \) numbered such that the simple block restriction \( \Theta_i \) has endo-class \( c_i \), for each \( i \in I \). Since \( \deg(c_i) = [F[\beta_i] : F] \), we can choose a realisation of each \( \beta_i \) on an \( F \)-vector space \( V_i \) of dimension \( f(c_i) \deg(c_i) \). The sum of these gives us a realisation of the semisimple pair \([0, \beta]\) on (a space isomorphic to) \( V \), and the realisation of \( \Theta \) on this space gives us a full semisimple character.

It is straightforward to check that the two maps described are the inverses of each other. 

### 14.2 Classical endo-parameters

Here we want to describe the intertwining classes of \( G^+ \) and of \( G \). We start at first with \( G^+ \).

Let us fix \((\sigma, \epsilon)\). We call a self-dual semisimple character **elementary** if it is simple (hence skew simple) or non-skew and the index set contains two elements. If a self dual pss-character has an elementary value, then all its values are elementary and if there is a non-skew value then all of its values are non-skew. Thus we call a self-dual pss-character **elementary** if one (equivalently all) of its values is elementary. Moreover, if a self dual pss-character is endo-equivalent to an elementary one then it too is elementary and either both are skew or both are non-skew. Thus, the notions of **skew elementary** and **non-skew elementary** depend only on the endo-class, and we apply them to endo-classes as well. Let \( \mathcal{E}_- = \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \epsilon} \) be the set of full elementary \((\sigma, \epsilon)\)-endo-classes (including the \((\sigma, \epsilon)\)-zero-endo-class).

One invariant of an intertwining class of skew semisimple characters comes from the theory of matching Witt towers, i.e. if two skew semisimple characters \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \) intertwine by an element of \( G^+ \) then blockwise the Witt towers match. We now encode this into the invariants in the following way.

We consider the class of pairs \((\beta, t)\) where \( \beta \) is zero or \( \beta \) generates a self dual field extension \( F[\beta] \) and \( t \) is an element of \( W_{\sigma, \epsilon}(F[\beta]) \). Two such pairs \((\beta_1, t_1)\) and \((\beta_2, t_2)\) are called equivalent if:

(i) \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are hyperbolic;

(ii) \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are not hyperbolic, the \( \beta_i \) are non-zero, \( \lambda_{\beta_1}(t_1) \) is equal to \( \lambda_{\beta_2}(t_2) \), and the Witt towers match;

(iii) \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are not hyperbolic, \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0 \) and \( t_1 = t_2 \).

The equivalence classes are called **Witt types**. The set of Witt types is denoted by \( W_{\sigma, \epsilon} \) and we denote the class of hyperbolic Witt towers by 0.

**Definition 14.9.** A \((\sigma, \epsilon)\)-endo-parameter is a map \( f = (f_1, f_2) : \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \epsilon} \to (\mathbb{N} \times W_{\sigma, \epsilon}) \) of finite support, such that for all non-skew elements \( c \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \epsilon} \) the Witt type \( f_2(c) \) is zero.

The aim now is to prove that a natural set of \((\sigma, \epsilon)\)-endo-parameters parametrises the \( G^+ \)-intertwining classes of self-dual semisimple characters of \( G^+ \) (and similarly for \( G \)). As in the case of \( \tilde{G} \), we write \( \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\text{fin}} \) for the set of finite subsets of \( \mathcal{E}_- \), and we have:

**Proposition 14.10.** There is a bijection between \( \mathcal{E}_{-}^{\text{fin}} \) and the set of all full \((\sigma, \epsilon)\)-endo-classes.
Proof. The proof mimics that of Proposition \[14.3\] Using a completely analogous proof, or by the Glauberman correspondence, we get the following two \(G\)-versions of Lemmas \[14.4\] and \[14.5\]

**Lemma 14.11.** (i) Let \(\theta_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be two endo-equivalent skew simple characters and suppose \(\Lambda, \Lambda'\) have the same period. Then, there is a skew-symmetric element \(\beta''\) with the same minimal polynomial as \(\beta\) and a simple character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C_-(\Lambda + \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'')\) such that \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{H_{r+1}^- (\beta, \Lambda)} = \theta_-\) and \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{H_{r+1}^- (\beta'', \Lambda')} = \theta'_-\).

(ii) Let \(\theta_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) and \(\theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be two endo-equivalent non-skew elementary characters and suppose \(\Lambda, \Lambda'\) have the same period. Then, there is a skew-symmetric element \(\beta''\) with the same minimal polynomial as \(\beta\) and a simple character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C_-(\Lambda + \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'')\) such that \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{H_{r+1}^- (\beta, \Lambda)} = \theta_-\) and \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{H_{r+1}^- (\beta'', \Lambda')} = \theta'_-\).

**Proof.** It is the second assertion of this lemma which needs a new idea in addition to the proof strategy of Lemma \[14.4\] So, we proof the second assertion: At first to save notation we assume that both characters have the same index set \(I\) and that the matching \(\zeta\) is the identity. Then, by Lemma \[14.4\], there is an element \(\tilde{\beta}'\) with the same minimal polynomial as \(\beta\) and a character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C_-(\Lambda + \Lambda^1, \alpha, \beta + \beta')\) such that the restrictions to \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta, \theta_1)\) and \(C(\Lambda^1, r, \beta')\) which gives a self dual character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C_-(\Lambda + \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta')\), where \(\tilde{\beta}'\) is the sum of \(\tilde{\beta}'\) and \(-\sigma(\beta')\), with restrictions \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)}\) \(\theta'_1\) on \(C(\Lambda^1, r, \beta')\) and \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{C(\Lambda, r, \beta, \theta_1)}\) on \(C(\Lambda, r, \beta')\). We can now apply Theorem \[11.3\] to obtain that \(\tilde{\theta}_-|_{H_{r+1}^- (\Lambda, \beta)}\) is conjugate to \(\theta'_-\) by an element of \(U(h')\) where \(h'\) is the given form for the character \(\theta'_-\).

**Lemma 14.12.** Let \(\theta_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) be a self dual semisimple character and \(\theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be a skew-simple character and suppose \(\Lambda, \Lambda'\) have the same period. Suppose moreover that the Glauberman lift of \(\theta'_-\) is not endo-equivalent to any simple block restriction of the Glauberman lift of \(\theta_-\). Then, there is a self dual semisimple stratum \([\Lambda + \Lambda', n, r, \beta + \beta'']\) whose associated decomposition is a refinement of \(V + V'\), and there is a self dual semisimple character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C(\Lambda + \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'')\) such that the restrictions to \(H_{r+1}^- (\beta, \Lambda)\) and \(H_{r+1}^- (\beta', \Lambda')\) are \(\theta_-\) and \(\theta'_-\), respectively.

We need another lemma to add a non-skew elementary character to a self dual semisimple character:

**Lemma 14.13.** Let \(\theta_- \in C_-(\Lambda, r, \beta)\) be a self dual semisimple characters and \(\theta'_- \in C_-(\Lambda', r, \beta')\) be a non-skew elementary self dual character and suppose \(\Lambda, \Lambda'\) have the same period. Suppose moreover that the block restrictions of the Glauberman lift of \(\theta'\) are not endo-equivalent to any block restrictions of the Glauberman lift of \(\theta_-\). Then, there is a self dual semisimple stratum \([\Lambda + \Lambda', n, r, \beta + \beta'']\) whose associated decomposition is a refinement of \(V + V'\) with two blocks in \(V'\), and there is a self dual semisimple character \(\tilde{\theta}_- \in C_-(\Lambda + \Lambda', r, \beta + \beta'')\) such that the restrictions to \(H_{r+1}^- (\beta, \Lambda)\) and \(H_{r+1}^- (\beta', \Lambda')\) are \(\theta_-\) and \(\theta'_-\), respectively.

**Proof.** The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma \[14.5\] using Lemma \[14.11\] instead of Lemma \[14.4\] and we use the self dual version of the translation principle, \[11.4\].

This also completes the proof of Proposition \[14.3\] which follows directly from Lemmas \[14.12\] and \[14.13\].

To state the main theorem we need two more notions: For an elementary endo-class \(c\) we define \(\deg(c)\) to be the degree of the restriction to the first block. Secondly, we attach to a Witt type \(T := [(\beta, t)]\) the Witt tower \(WT_F(T) := \lambda_\beta(t) (\lambda_0 := 1)\) of \(W_{\sigma, e}(F)\).
**Theorem 14.14.** The set of intertwining classes of full self-dual semisimple characters for $G^+$ are in bijection with the set of $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-endo-parameters $f = (f_1, f_2)$ which satisfy

$$
\sum_{c \in \mc{E}_-} \deg(c)(2f_1(c) + \diman(f_2(c))) = \dim_F V
$$

and

$$
\sum_{c \in \mc{E}_-} W\tau_F(f_2(c)) = h_\equiv.
$$

**Proof.** The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 14.7; we just need to keep track of Witt towers, using Theorem 9.4, while the multiplicities for the classical simple endo-classes have the meaning of the $F[\beta]$-Witt index instead of the $F[\beta]$-dimension of the block. \(\square\)

We conclude the classification of the intertwining classes of semisimple characters for a special orthogonal groups $G$. For that let us fix a symplectic form $h_{sym}$ on $V$ if the $F$-dimension is even. We take a system of representatives $\mc{R}$ of $F^\times/(\pm 1 + p_F)$.

**Corollary 14.15.** Suppose $\sigma = id$ and $\epsilon = 1$, then the set of $G$-intertwining classes of maximal self dual semisimple characters is in bijection with the union of the two following sets:

(i) The set of orthogonal endo-parameters whose support contains the orthogonal zero-endo-class, and

(ii) The set of pairs $(f, y)$, where the first coordinate is an orthogonal endo-parameter whose support does not contain the orthogonal zero-endo-class and where the second coordinate is either 1 or $-1$.

The bijection is given in the following way: Let $\Phi$ be the bijection from Theorem 14.14 and let $\theta_- \in \mc{C}_-(\Lambda, 0, \beta)$ be a self dual semisimple character in $V$. Let $[\theta_-]^+$ and $[\theta_-]$ be the $G^+$- and $G$-intertwining class of $\theta_-$, respectively. Then,

(i) if $\beta$ has a zero-component then $[\theta_-]^+ = [\theta_-]$ and is mapped to $\Phi([\theta_-]^+)$, otherwise

(ii) $\beta$ has no zero-component and $[\theta_-]$ is mapped to the pair $(\Phi([\theta_-]^+), y)$ where $y$ equals 1 if only if there is an isometry from $h_{sym}$ to $h^\beta$ of determinant in $(1 + p_F)\mc{R}$.

**Proof.** Theorem 14.14 and Theorem 12.2. \(\square\)

**Remark 14.16.** If $V$ is odd dimensional then $G^+$-intertwining classes are the same as $G$-intertwining classes, in the orthogonal case, because there is an element of determinant $-1$ in the centre. Another way of seeing this is that the support of the corresponding orthogonal endo-parameter always contains the zero-endo-class.
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