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Abstract In this article, we study the possibility of sustaining static and spherically symmetric traversable wormhole geometries admitting conformal motion in Einstein gravity, which presents a more systematic approach to search a relation between matter and geometry. In wormhole physics, the presence of exotic matter is a fundamental ingredient and we show that this exotic source can be dark energy type which support the existence of wormhole spacetimes. In this work we model a wormhole supported by dark energy which admits conformal motion. We also discuss the possibility of the detection of wormholes in the outer regions of galactic halos by means of gravitational lensing. Studies of the total gravitational energy for the exotic matter inside a static wormhole configuration are also performed.

1 Introduction

In last two decades, there has been a considerable interest in the field of wormhole physics after seminal work by Morris and Thorne [1]. They proposed the possibility of traversable wormholes in the theoretical context of the general relativity as a teaching tool. Topologically, wormholes act as tunnels in the geometry of space and time that connect two spacetimes of the same universe or of different universes altogether by a minimal surface called the throat of the wormhole, satisfying the flare-out condition [2], through which a traveler can freely traverse in both directions. Today, most of the efforts are directed to the study of the necessary conditions to ensure their traversability. The most striking of these properties is a special type of matter that violates the energy condition, called exotic matter, which is necessary to construct traversable wormholes.

Recent astronomical observations have confirmed that the universe is undergoing a phase of accelerated expansion which was conformed by the measurements of supernovae of type Ia (SNe Ia) and the cosmic microwave background anisotropy [3–5]. It has been suggested that dark energy is still an unknown component with a relativistic negative pressure, is a possible candidate for the present cosmic expansion, and our universe is composed of approximately 70% of it. The simplest candidate for explaining the dark energy is the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ [6], which is usually interpreted physically as a vacuum energy, with $p = -\rho$. Another possible way to explain the dark energy is by invoking an equation of state, $p = \omega \rho$ with $\omega < 0$, where $p$ is the spatially homogeneous pressure and $\rho$ the energy density of the dark energy, instead of the constant vacuum energy density. The particular range of the $-1 < \omega < -1/3$ is a widely accepted result known as quintessence; it is often considered. The ratio $\omega < -1$ has been denoted phantom energy, corresponding to violation of the null energy condition, thus providing a theoretically supported scenario for the existence of wormholes [7–12]. The presence of phantom energy in the universe leads to peculiar properties, such as Big Rip scenario [13], the black hole mass decreasing by phantom energy accretion [14]. Therefore, the dark energy plays an important role in cosmology and naturally makes us search for a local astrophysical manifestation of it. In the present work we consider a wormhole solution containing dark energy as an equation of state.

Gravitational lensing (GL) is a very useful tool of probing a number of interesting phenomena of the universe. In particular, it can provide us with rich information as regards the structure of compact astrophysical objects like e.g., black holes, exotic matter, super-dense neutron stars, wormholes etc. Out of this, the observation of Einstein rings and the
double or multiple mirror images are powerful examples of the gravitational lensing effect [15,16]. In earlier work, the GL phenomenon has been studied in the weak field case (see [17]), but success leads one to explore another extreme regime; namely, the GL effect in a strong gravitational field has been studied by [18–21]. Out of various intriguing objects mentioned above, recently it was proposed that wormholes can act as gravitational lenses and induce a microlensing signature on a background source studied by Kim and Sung [22] and Cramer et al. [23] and lensing by negative mass wormholes have been studied by Safonova et al. [24]. Related with the issue of GL the effects on wormholes have been studied by [25–30]. Recently, the possibility of the detection of traversable wormholes in noncommutative-geometry is studied by Kuhfittig [31] in the outer regions of galactic halos by means of gravitational lensing. The possible existence of wormholes in the outer regions of the halo was discussed in Ref. [32], based on the NFW density profile. One of the aims of the current paper is to study the effect of the lensing phenomenon for the wormhole solutions in the presence of exotic matter such as phantom fields admitting conformal motion. In the present work a more systematic approach is considered to find the exact solutions and study the natural relationship between geometry and matter. For instance, one may adopt a more systematic approach (see Refs. [33–35]) by assuming spherical symmetry and the existence of a non-static conformal symmetry. Suppose that a conformal Killing vector \( \xi \) is defined on the metric tensor field \( g \) defined by the action of the Lie infinitesimal operator \( L_\xi \), which leads to the following relationship:

\[
L_\xi g_{ik} = \psi g_{ik},
\]

where \( L \) is the Lie derivative operator and \( \psi \) is the conformal factor. Here the vector \( \xi \) generates the conformal symmetry in such a way that the metric \( g \) is conformally mapped onto itself along \( \xi \). For an interesting observation neither \( \xi \) nor \( \psi \) need to be static even though one considers a static metric. For \( \psi = 0 \), Eq. (1) gives the Killing vector, for \( \psi = \text{constant} \). Equation (1) gives a homothetic vector and if \( \psi = \psi(x,t) \) then it gives conformal vectors. Further note that when \( \psi = 0 \) the underlying spacetime is asymptotically flat, which implies that the Weyl tensor will also vanish. Thus we can develop a more vivid idea about the spacetime geometry by studying the conformal Killing vectors. Recently, Bohmer et al. [36] have studied the traversable wormholes under the assumption of spherical symmetry and the existence of a non-static conformal symmetry.

The outline of the present paper is as follows: in Sect. 2 we give a brief outline of the conformal Killing vectors for a spherically symmetric metric, while in Sect. 3 we present the structural equation of phantom energy traversable wormholes and discuss the physical properties of our solution in the outer region of the halo by recalling the movement of a test particles. In Sect. 4 we present the stability of wormholes under the different forces where the total gravitational energy for the exotic matter distribution in the wormhole is discussed in Sect. 5. In Sect. 6 gravitational lensing has been studied and the angles of surplus are calculated. In Sect. 7 the interior wormhole geometry is matched with an exterior Schwarzschild solution at the junction interference. Finally, in Sect. 8 we discuss some specific comments regarding the results obtained in the study.

### 2 Einstein field equations and conformal Killing vector

The spacetime metric representing a static and spherically symmetric line element is given by

\[
ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} \, dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} \, dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2),
\]

where \( \lambda \) and \( \nu \) are functions of the radial coordinate, \( r \). We shall assume that our source is filled with an anisotropic fluid distribution and use the Einstein field equation \( G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} \), for the above metric, which in our case reads (with \( c = G = 1 \))

\[
e^{-\lambda} \left[ \frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} = 8\pi \rho,
\]

\[
e^{-\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\nu'}{r} \right] - \frac{1}{r^2} = 8\pi p_r,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nu'' + \nu' - \frac{1}{2} \lambda' \nu' + \frac{1}{r} (\nu' - \lambda') \right] = 8\pi p_t,
\]

where \( \rho \), \( p_r \), and \( p_t \) denote the matter density, radial, and transverse pressure, respectively, of the underlying fluid distribution. Here \( \partial \) denotes differentiation with respect to the radial coordinate \( r \).

Applying a systematic approach in order to get exact solutions, we demand that the interior spacetime admits conformal motion (but neither \( \xi \) nor \( \psi \) need to be static even for a static metric) and therefore Eq. (1) provides the following relationship:

\[
L_\xi g_{ik} = \xi_{i;k} + \xi_{k;i} = \psi g_{ik},
\]

with \( \xi_i = g_{ik} \xi^k \). The above equation gives the following set of expressions:

\[
\xi^1 \nu' = \psi, \quad \xi^4 = C_1, \quad \xi^1 = \frac{\psi r}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^1 \lambda' + 2 \xi^1 \psi' = \psi,
\]

where \( C_1 \) is a constant and the conformal factor is independent of time i.e., \( \psi = \psi(r) \). Now, the metric (2) and use of Eqs. (6) and (7) provide the following results:
\[ e^\nu = C_2^2 r^2, \]
\[ e^\lambda = \left( \frac{C_3}{\psi} \right)^2, \] (8)
\[ \xi^i = C_1 \delta^i_4 + \left( \frac{\psi r}{2} \right) \delta^i_1, \] (9)
\[ \xi^i = C_1 \delta^i_4 + \left( \frac{\psi r}{2} \right) \delta^i_1, \] (10)

where \( C_2 \) and \( C_3 \) are constants of integrations.

An important note of these solutions that is immediately ruled out is that the conformal factor is zero by taking into account Eq. (9), at the throat of the wormhole i.e., \( \psi(r_0) = 0 \), where \( r_0 \) stands for location of the throat of the wormhole. Now, using Eqs. (8)–(10), one can obtain the expressions for the Einstein field equations as

\[ \rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \psi_0 (2\omega + 3) r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right], \] (16)
\[ p_r = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{2\omega}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \psi_0 (2\omega + 3) r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right], \] (17)
\[ p_t = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{\omega + 1}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \frac{3\psi_0}{\omega} r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right]. \] (18)

Plugging into the expression for \( \psi^2 \) given in Eq. (9) the dimensionless parameter, the expression for the metric potential is obtained:

\[ e^{-\xi} = \frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 3} + \psi_0 r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega}. \] (19)

Thus, taking into account the relation between the metric potential and the shape function of the wormhole, using \( e^\xi = \frac{1}{1-b(r)/r} \), we obtain the form of the shape function as

\[ b(r) = \frac{2r}{\omega + 3} - \psi_0 r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega}. \] (20)

From the expression of \( b(r) \), we see that \( b(r) \) tends to a finite value as \( r \to \infty \) and the redshift function does not approach zero as \( r \to \infty \), so spacetime is not asymptotically flat due to the conformal symmetry.

Now, we will concentrate on the verification of whether the obtained expression for the shape function \( b(r) \) satisfies all the physical requirements to maintain a wormhole solution. For this purpose we are trying to describe the fundamental property of wormholes with the help of a graphical representation. The profile of the shape function \( b(r) \) is plotted in Fig. 1 for the values of \( \psi_0 = 0.09 \) and \( \omega = -1.58 \), where the flaring out condition has been checked in Fig. 1 (right panel). We observe that the shape function is decreasing with increase of the radius, and \( \frac{db(r)}{dr} < 0 \) for \( r > 10.45 \). From the left panel of Fig. 2, we observe that the throat of the wormhole occurs where \( b(r) = \frac{r}{5.39} \) at a distance \( r = 5.39 \). Therefore the throat of the wormhole occurs at \( r = 5.39 \) km for our present model. Consequently, we observe that \( b(5.39) = 0.632 < 1 \), and for \( r > r_0 \) we see that \( b(r) = \frac{r}{5.39} \), which implies \( \frac{b(r)}{r} < 1 \) for \( r > r_0 \), strongly indicate that our solution satisfy all the physical criteria for a wormhole solution. The slope of \( b(r) \) is positive up to \( r = 10.45 \), from which one concludes that the wormhole cannot be arbitrarily large. The same situation occurred in a previous work [37]. Moreover, we consider the energy conditions and the violation of the null energy condition (NEC) i.e., \( \rho + p_r < 0 \), which is a necessary property for a static wormhole to exist. In Fig. 2 (right panel), we have graphically studied all types of energy conditions (using Eqs. (16)–(18)), and observed that our solution violated the NEC to hold a wormhole open.

3 Solution for phantom wormhole and physical analysis

According to Morris and Thorne [1], for constructing a wormhole solution one requires an unusual form of matter known as ‘exotic matter’, which is the fundamental ingredient to sustain a traversable wormhole. The characteristic of such matter is that the energy density \( \rho \) may be positive or negative but the radial pressure \( p_r \) must be negative. Theoretical advances show that the expansion of our present universe is accelerating and dark energy is a suitable candidate to explain this cosmic expansion. In this context, we study the construction of traversable wormholes, using the phantom energy equation of state by the following relationship:

\[ p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \psi_0 (2\omega + 3) r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right], \] (16)
\[ p_r = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{2\omega}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \psi_0 (2\omega + 3) r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right], \] (17)
\[ p_t = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{\omega + 1}{r^2 (\omega + 3)} - \frac{3\psi_0}{\omega} r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega} \right]. \] (18)

Thus, taking into account the relation between the metric potential and the shape function of the wormhole, using \( e^\xi = \frac{1}{1-b(r)/r} \), we obtain the form of the shape function as

\[ b(r) = \frac{2r}{\omega + 3} - \psi_0 r^{-3(\omega+1)/\omega}. \] (20)

From the expression of \( b(r) \), we see that \( b(r) \) tends to a finite value as \( r \to \infty \) and the redshift function does not approach zero as \( r \to \infty \), so spacetime is not asymptotically flat due to the conformal symmetry.

Now, we will concentrate on the verification of whether the obtained expression for the shape function \( b(r) \) satisfies all the physical requirements to maintain a wormhole solution. For this purpose we are trying to describe the fundamental property of wormholes with the help of a graphical representation. The profile of the shape function \( b(r) \) is plotted in Fig. 1 for the values of \( \psi_0 = 0.09 \) and \( \omega = -1.58 \), where the flaring out condition has been checked in Fig. 1 (right panel). We observe that the shape function is decreasing with increase of the radius, and \( \frac{db(r)}{dr} < 0 \) for \( r > 10.45 \). From the left panel of Fig. 2, we observe that the throat of the wormhole occurs where \( b(r) = \frac{r}{5.39} \) at a distance \( r = 5.39 \). Therefore the throat of the wormhole occurs at \( r = 5.39 \) km for our present model. Consequently, we observe that \( b(5.39) = 0.632 < 1 \), and for \( r > r_0 \) we see that \( b(r) = \frac{r}{5.39} \), which implies \( \frac{b(r)}{r} < 1 \) for \( r > r_0 \), strongly indicate that our solution satisfy all the physical criteria for a wormhole solution. The slope of \( b(r) \) is positive up to \( r = 10.45 \), from which one concludes that the wormhole cannot be arbitrarily large. The same situation occurred in a previous work [37]. Moreover, we consider the energy conditions and the violation of the null energy condition (NEC) i.e., \( \rho + p_r < 0 \), which is a necessary property for a static wormhole to exist. In Fig. 2 (right panel), we have graphically studied all types of energy conditions (using Eqs. (16)–(18)), and observed that our solution violated the NEC to hold a wormhole open.
Fig. 1 The shape function $b(r)$ is plotted against $r$ left panel. The values of the parameters are $\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0.09$ and $\omega = -1.58$. The slope of shape function of wormhole is plotted in the right panel with the same parameter values. The figure indicates that the slope of $b(r)$ is still positive within the range of $r = 10.45$.

Fig. 2 The profile of $b(r) - r$ is plotted against $r$ in the left panel with the same values of parameters as stated earlier. The throat of the wormhole occurs where the graph of $b(r) - r$ cuts the $r$ axis and for our model the throat occurs at $r = 5.39$ Km. The energy conditions are plotted in the right panel. The figure indicates the null energy condition is violated for wormhole model.

Since the wormhole spacetime is non-asymptotically flat and hence the wormhole spacetime should match at some junction radius $r = R$, to the exterior Schwarzschild spacetime given by the following metric:

$$\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 \\
&\quad + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (21)
\end{align*}$$

Here the matching occurs at a radius greater than the event horizon which gives

$$\left(\frac{R}{b_0}\right)^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - b(R) = 1 - \frac{2M}{R}, \quad (22)$$

and using Eq. (8) with the expression $e^{\nu(R)} = c_2^2 R^2$, we determine the values of the constants $c_2^2, b_0$, and the total mass $M$ is as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
M &= \frac{R}{\omega + 3} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_0}{2} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (23) \\
c_2^2 &= \frac{1}{R^2} \left[\frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 3} + \tilde{\psi}_0 R^{-\frac{3+\omega}{\omega}}\right], \quad (24) \\
b_0 &= \frac{R}{\omega + 3} + \tilde{\psi}_0 R^{-\frac{3+\omega}{\omega}}. \quad (25)
\end{align*}$$

4 TOV equation

An important step is to examine the stability of our present model under different forces, namely gravitational, hydro-
static, and anisotropic forces. This is simply by considering the generalized Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkov (TOV) equation according to Ponce de León [38],

\[ \frac{M_G(r)(\rho + p_r)}{r} e^{\frac{\nu(r)}{2}} \frac{dp_r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r) = 0, \quad (26) \]

where \( M_G(r) \) represents the effective gravitational mass within the radius \( r \), which can be derived from the Tolman–Whittaker formula and the explicit expression is given by

\[ M_G(r) = \frac{1}{2} re^{\frac{\mu(r)}{2}}. \quad (27) \]

Substituting the above expression in Eq. (26), we obtain the simple expression

\[ -\frac{\nu'(r)}{2}(\rho + p_r) - \frac{dp_r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r) = 0. \quad (28) \]

Therefore, one can write it in a more suitable form to generate the simpler equation

\[ F_g + F_h + F_a = 0, \quad (29) \]

where \( F_g = -\frac{\nu'(r)}{2}(\rho + p_r) \), \( F_h = -\frac{dp_r}{dr} \), and \( F_a = \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r) \) represents the gravitational, hydrostatics and anisotropic forces, respectively. Using Eqs. (16)–(18), the above expression can be written as

\[ F_g = -\frac{(\omega + 1)}{8\pi r} \left[ \frac{2}{r^2(\omega + 3)} - \frac{\psi_0(2\omega + 3)}{\omega} r^{-\frac{3(\omega + 1)}{\omega}} \right], \quad (30) \]

\[ F_h = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{4\omega}{r^3(\omega + 3)} - \frac{3\psi_0(2\omega + 3)(\omega + 1)}{\omega} r^{-\frac{4(\omega + 3)}{\omega}} \right]. \quad (31) \]

\[ F_a = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \left[ \frac{1 - \omega}{r^2(\omega + 3)} + \frac{\psi_0(2\omega^2 + 3\omega - 3)}{3} r^{-\frac{3(\omega + 1)}{\omega}} \right]. \quad (32) \]

The profiles of \( F_g \), \( F_h \), and \( F_a \) for our present model of a wormhole are shown in Fig. 3, by assigning the same value of \( \omega = -1.58 \) and \( \psi_0 = 0.09 \) as we used in Fig. 1. It is clear from Fig. 3 that the hydrostatic force \( (F_h) \) is dominating compared to gravitational \( (F_g) \) and anisotropic forces \( (F_a) \), respectively. The interesting feature is that \( F_h \) takes the negative value, while \( F_g \) and \( F_a \) are positive, which clearly indicate that the hydrostatic force is counterbalanced by the combined effect of gravitational and anisotropic forces to hold the system in static equilibrium. There exist many excellent reviews on this topic, having been studied in-depth by Rahaman et al. [39] and Rani and Jawad [40].

5 Active mass function and total gravitational energy

The active mass function for our wormhole ranging from \( r_0 \) to \( (r_0 + r) \) is the throat of the wormhole) up to the radius \( R \) can be found as

\[ E_g = Mc^2 - E_M, \quad (34) \]

where the total mass-energy within the region from the throat \( r_0 \) up to the radius \( R \) can be provided as

\[ Mc^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^{R} r^2 dr + \frac{r_0}{2}. \quad (35) \]

and the energy in other forms like the kinetic energy, the rest energy, the internal energy etc. are defined as

\[ E_M = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^{R} \sqrt{g_{rr}} r^2 dr. \quad (36) \]

Note that here \( \frac{4\pi}{8\pi r} \) yields the factor \( \frac{1}{2} \). By taking into account Eqs. (34)–(36), we obtain
The obtained values of $E_g$ for the choices of the parameters $r_0^+ = 5.6$ km, $\psi_0 = 0.09$, and $\omega = -1.58$

| $r$  | $E_g$         |
|------|--------------|
| 6    | 2.777766660  |
| 0.5  | 2.711356872  |
| 7    | 2.618496680  |
| 7.5  | 2.506836836  |
| 8    | 2.380794614  |
| 8.5  | 2.243339402  |
| 9    | 2.096641966  |
| 9.5  | 1.942376899  |
| 10   | 1.781885447  |
| 10.45| 1.633036240  |
Let us proceed to discuss at the turning points (44), the derivative of the radial vector with respect to the affine parameter vanishes, which in turn leads to $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} = 0$. Consequently the turning points are denoted by $r_\Sigma = 1/u_\Sigma$ and given by

$$r_\Sigma = \left(-\frac{\psi_0}{\omega} + \frac{3}{\omega + 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\omega + 1}}. \quad (45)$$

Differentiating Eq. (42) with respect to $\phi$, we get

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = Q(u), \quad (46)$$

where $Q(u) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dP(u)}{du}$, and we define

$$Q(u) = \frac{u}{\omega + 3} \left(2 + \frac{E_2^2b_0^2(\omega + 1)}{c^2L^2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{3\psi_0(\omega + 1)}{2}\frac{2\omega + 3}{2\omega} u = \frac{E_2^2b_0^2(\omega + 1)}{c^2L^2} - 1. \quad (47)$$

Now, if the deflective sources are absent, then Eq. (46) gets modified to

$$u = \frac{\cos(\phi)}{R}, \quad (48)$$

which is a straight line with $R$ being the distance of closest approach to the wormhole. This solution can treated as a first approximation. Furthermore, we use this solution as the first approximation to get the general solution. This yields the following form of Eq. (47):

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = A \cos \phi + B(\cos \phi)^{\frac{2\omega + 3}{\omega}}, \quad (49)$$

where

$$A = R(\omega + 3) + \frac{E_2^2b_0^2(\omega + 1)}{Rc^2L^2(\omega + 3)}$$

$$B = \frac{3\psi_0(\omega + 1)}{2}\frac{E_2^2b_0^2(\omega + 1)}{c^2L^2} - 1. \quad \text{and}$$

With the aid of Eq. (49), the general solution is given by

$$u = \frac{\cos \phi}{R} + \frac{A}{2}(\cos \phi + \phi \sin \phi)$$

$$+ B \sin \phi \int \cos \frac{3(\omega + 1)}{\omega} \phi d\phi + B \frac{\omega}{3(\omega + 1)} \cos \frac{4\omega + 3}{\omega} \phi. \quad (50)$$

The light ray approaches from infinity at an asymptotic angle $\phi = -\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon\right)$ and goes back to infinity at an asymptotic angle $\phi = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon\right)$. However, the point of transition of the light ray from the Schwarzschild spacetime to the phantom spacetime is given by the turning points defined in (45). The solution of the equation $u \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon\right) = 0$ yields the angle $\varepsilon$. The total deflection angle of the light ray can be obtained: $\delta = 2\varepsilon$. In the case of our wormhole, we have calculated the deflection angles for different values of $\omega$ that are tabulated in Table 2. One can note that rather finding the angle of deficit, we have found an angle of surplus.

Lastly we must remember that the phantom spacetime under consideration, unlike Schwarzschild, is basically non-flat. Hence strictly speaking, an asymptotically straight line trajectory, where $r \to \infty$, does not make sense. To resolve this issue we can consider the angles which the tangent to the light trajectory makes with the coordinate planes at a given point. Following Rindler and Ishak [45] we can find

$$\tan(\psi) = \frac{r[\sin(\rho)]^{1/2}}{\frac{dr}{d\phi}}, \quad (51)$$

and using Eq. (42) we have

$$\tan(\psi) = \frac{1}{R} \left[\frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 3} + \frac{\psi_0R^{-(3+\omega)/\omega}}{\omega + 3 - \omega(\omega + 1)/\omega}\right] \sqrt{-\frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{2B_0}{3(\omega + 1)}R^{-3(\omega + 1)/\omega}}. \quad (52)$$

Assuming the bending angle to be small we can take $\tan(\psi) \to \psi$, $\sin(\phi) \to \phi$, and $\cos(\phi) \to 1$. Thus from Eq. (50) we can write the actual light deflection angle given by $|\varepsilon| = |\psi - \phi|$ as follows:

$$|\varepsilon| = \frac{1}{R} \left[\frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 3} + \frac{\psi_0R^{-(3+\omega)/\omega}}{\omega + 3 - \omega(\omega + 1)/\omega}\right] \sqrt{-\frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{2B_0}{3(\omega + 1)}R^{-3(\omega + 1)/\omega}} - \left[\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{2} - \frac{B_0}{2B} \frac{\omega}{\omega + 1}\right]. \quad (53)$$

Working along the lines of Bhadra et al. [46] we can then calculate the total deflection angle in terms of location of the source ($d_{LS}$, $\phi_S$) and the observer ($d_{OL}$, $\phi_O$) as
7 Junction condition

In the previous section we matched our interior wormhole spacetime with the Schwarzschild exterior spacetime at the boundary \( r = r_\Sigma \). Since the wormhole spacetime is not asymptotically flat we use the Darmois–Israel [47,48] formulation to determine the surface stresses at the junction boundary. The intrinsic surface stress energy tensor \( S_{ij} \) is given by the Lanczos equations in the following form:

\[
S^i_j = -\frac{1}{8\pi} (\kappa^i_j - \delta^i_j \kappa^k_k). \tag{55}
\]

The second fundamental form is represented by

\[
K^\pm_{ij} = -n^\pm_i \left[ \frac{\partial^2 X^v}{\partial \xi^i \partial \xi^j} + 2 \Gamma^v_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial X^\beta}{\partial \xi^j} \right] \bigg|_S,
\]

and the discontinuity in the second fundamental form is written as

\[
\kappa_{ij} = K^+_{ij} - K^-_{ij}, \tag{57}
\]

where \( n^\pm_i \) are the unit normal vectors defined by

\[
n^\pm_v = \pm \sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X^\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X^\beta}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X^v} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{58}
\]

with \( n^v n^v = 1 \). Here \( \xi^i \) is the intrinsic coordinate on the shell. + and − correspond to exterior i.e., Schwarzschild spacetime and interior (our) spacetime, respectively.

Considering the spherical symmetry of the spacetime surface the stress-energy tensor can be written as \( S^i_j = \text{diag}(-\sigma, \mathcal{P}) \). Here \( \sigma \) and \( \mathcal{P} \) are the surface energy density and surface pressure, respectively. The expression for the surface energy density \( \sigma \) and the surface pressure \( \mathcal{P} \) at the junction surface \( r = r_\Sigma \) are obtained:

\[
\sigma = -\frac{1}{4\pi r_\Sigma} \left[ e^{-\varphi} \right]_+ = -\frac{1}{4\pi r_\Sigma} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r_\Sigma}} - \sqrt{\frac{\omega + 1}{\omega + 3}} + \psi_0 r_\Sigma \right] \tag{59}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{8\pi r_\Sigma} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{av_0}{\omega} \right) e^{-\varphi} \right]_+.
\]

Hence we have matched our interior wormhole solution to the exterior Schwarzschild spacetime in the presence of a thin shell.

8 Discussion

By the confirmation of various observational data that the universe is undergoing a phase of accelerated expansion dark energy models have been proposed for this expansion. In the framework of GR, the violation of NEC, namely ‘exotic matter’, is a fundamental ingredient of static traversable wormholes. In this work, we investigated some of the characteristics needed to support a traversable wormhole specific exotic form of dark energy, denoted phantom energy, admitting a conformal motion of Killing vectors. We analyzed the physical properties and characteristics of these wormholes with the help of a graphical representation. In the plots of Fig. 2 (left panel), we obtain the throat of wormholes where \( b(r) - r \) cuts the r-axis, being located at \( r = r_0 = 5.39 \text{ km} \), which implies that \( b(r)/r < 1 \), meeting the flare-out condition. Another fundamental property of the wormhole is the violation of the null energy condition (NEC), also satisfied for our model given in Fig. 2 (right panel), which provides a natural scenario for the existence of traversable wormholes. We discuss the possibility of the detection of wormholes by means of gravitational lensing and we have found the angle of deflection to be negative, i.e. the angle of surplus. Additionally in order to give a physically feasible meaning to light deflection in a nonflat phantom spacetime, we have computed the angles that the tangent to the light trajectory makes with the coordinate planes in terms of the location of the observer and the source. Further we investigated the total gravitational energy content in the interior of exotic matter distribution for the wormholes by Lyndell-Bell et al. [41] and Nandi et al. [43] perception. This lensing phenomenon, i.e. deflection of light by the wormhole, offers a good possibility to detect the presence of a wormhole. The present study offers a clue to the possible detection of wormholes and may encourage researchers to seek observational evidence for wormholes.
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