On static quark anti-quark potential at non-zero temperature

A. Bazavov and P. Petreczky

Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11793, USA

Abstract

We study Wilson loops at non-zero temperature and extract the static quark potential from them. The extracted potentials are larger than the singlet free energies and do not show screening for $T < 190$ MeV.

1. Introduction

Quarkonium suppression was proposed by Matsui and Satz as a signature of formation of deconfined medium in heavy ion collisions [1]. The basic idea behind this proposal is that color screening in the deconfined medium will modify the heavy quark potential, eventually leading to the dissolution of the heavy quarkonium states. The problem of dissolution of quarkonium states at high temperatures could be formulated in terms of spectral functions. Early attempts to calculate spectral functions on the lattice have been presented in Refs. [2]. However, extraction of the spectral functions from lattice results on Euclidean correlation functions is quite difficult [3] and one should also be careful with cutoff effects in the spectral functions extracted from the lattice [4]. Furthermore, the Euclidean time correlators may not be sensitive to the melting of the bound states at high temperatures due to the fact that the Euclidean time extent is limited to $< 1/(2T)$ (see e.g. discussions in Ref. [5]). The effective field theory framework for heavy quark bound states, namely pQNRCD could be a useful tool for calculating quarkonium spectral functions [6]. The effective field theory approach allows to rigorously define the concept of the static quark anti-quark potential both at zero and non-zero temperatures. One of the main outcomes of the effective field theory analysis is the finding that at non-zero temperature the potential has also an imaginary part, which has important consequences for the dissolution of the quarkonium states. While pNRQCD is formulated in the weak coupling framework it is possible to extend it to the non-perturbative regime. For example, if the binding energy is the smallest scale in the problem all the other scales, like the thermal scales, the inverse size of the bound state and $\Lambda_{QCD}$ can be integrated out. In this case the potential should be determined non-perturbatively and is identical to the energy of a static $Q\bar{Q}$ pair. If one further neglects the dipole interactions one gets the generalization of the simple potential model to the case of high temperatures [7]. However, one still needs to specify the potential. In the past model considerations based on lattice calculations of the so-called singlet free energy have been used (see e.g. discussion in Ref. [7]). In Ref. [8] it has been suggested to extract the energy of a static $Q\bar{Q}$ pair using the spectral decomposition of the temporal Wilson loops at non-zero temperature. In this contribution we attempt to extract the static quark anti-quark energy in 2+1 flavor QCD based on this idea.
2. Numerical results

In lattice QCD calculations the static $Q\bar{Q}$ energy is extracted from Wilson loops $W(r, \tau)$. At large Euclidean time separations the exponential decay of the Wilson loops is governed by the static energy or potential, $W(r, \tau) \sim \exp(-V(r)\tau)$. More generally one can write a spectral decomposition for the Wilson loops [8]

$$W(r, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sigma(r, \omega)e^{-\omega\tau}.$$ (1)

At zero temperature the spectral function is proportional to $\delta(\omega - V(r))$ plus a sum of delta functions corresponding to the excited states (hybrid potentials). At non-zero temperature the delta function becomes a Lorentzian with the width related to the imaginary part of the potential. In Ref. [8] the potential at non-zero temperature was extracted by inverting Eq. (1) via the maximum entropy method (MEM) which gives reasonably accurate determination of the real part of the potential. At the same time it is hard to estimate the imaginary part of the potential. The problem is similar to the problem of extracting meson spectral functions [2, 3], where the width of the bound state peaks is mostly an artifact of MEM. Another problem that appears in the calculation of the potential is that the Wilson loops become noisy at large spatial separations $r$. To deal with this problem smeared gauge fields are used in spatial links when constructing Wilson loops on the lattice. Alternatively, one can fix the Coulomb gauge and calculate the correlation functions of two temporal Wilson lines separated by distance $r$ without connecting them by spatial links [9]. At zero temperature where one only interested in the energy levels both choices are equally good, and merely correspond to different choices of static meson interpolating operators. The same should be true at non-zero temperature provided the imaginary part of the potential is not too large. We have calculated the correlation functions of temporal Wilson

![Figure 1: The correlation function of Wilson lines as function of the time extent $\tau$ calculated for $48^3 \times 12, \beta = 7.5$ (left) and $24^3 \times 6, \beta = 6.8$ (right).](image-url)
should be noted that for $\tau T = 1$ the correlator gives the so-called singlet free energy [12]. The temperature dependence of the singlet free energy obtained in our calculations is very similar to the temperature dependence of the singlet free energy obtained earlier with the p4 action [13, 14]. In Fig. 1 we show our numerical results for the correlator of Wilson lines as function of the

Figure 2: The static quark anti-quark potential extracted from $24^3 \times 6$ lattices at different temperature compared to the zero temperature result as well as to the singlet free energy.

Euclidean time extent $\tau$ for different distances calculated on $48^3 \times 12$ lattice at $\beta = 7.5$ and $24^3 \times 6$ lattice at $\beta = 6.8$. These gauge couplings correspond to temperatures 300 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. As one can see from Fig. 1 the $\tau$-dependence of the correlators is consistent with simple exponential decay, except close to $\tau T \approx 1$, where the correlators show a slight increase. This increase is due to the contribution of a backward propagating state $\sim \exp(-E_{\text{back}}(1/T - \tau))$, that arises from the fact that static quarks propagate in gauge field background that is periodic in $\tau$. Similar behavior has been observed in the Wilson loop calculations at non-zero temperature in pure gauge theory [8] as well as in full QCD calculations of bottomonium spectral functions within the non-relativistic formulation [15]. For the largest two values of $N_\tau$ considered here the backward propagating state does not cause any problem and we get stable results for the potential by performing single exponential fits in the $\tau$-interval around the mid-point $\tau T = 1/2$. However, the results are quite noisy for $\tau T > 1$ in this case. Thus to explore the potential at larger distances
we use $24^3 \times 6$ lattices for which statistical errors are small. Here the results are sensitive to how the fits are done. We performed three type of fits. First we used only $\tau T = 1/3$ and $\tau T = 1/2$ to extract the potential. Then we determined the backward propagating contribution by performing fits with $\tau T = 1$ and $\tau T = 2/3$. Subtracting the backward propagating contribution from the correlator we extracted the potential using $\tau T = 1/2$ and $\tau T = 2/3$ points. This gives our central value of the extracted potential. Finally, we performed single exponential fits for $\tau T = 1/2$ and $\tau T = 2/3$ points. This gave us the lower value of the potential.

Our numerical results for the static quark anti-quark potential at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. The errors for the temperature dependent potential shown in the figure are mostly systematics and are estimated as described above. We also compare the static quark anti-quark potential with the corresponding zero temperature results as well as with the singlet free energy. For the lowest temperature both the potential and the singlet free energy agree with the zero temperature result. For $T = 178$ MeV the singlet free energy is very different from the zero temperature potential, while the difference is small for the finite temperature potential. Furthermore, the in-medium potential does not show screening at this temperature. Screening effects become apparent in the potential at $T = 194$ MeV and happen at distances of about 1 fm. At the same temperature the screening effects in the singlet free energy set in at distance of about 0.6 fm. At higher temperatures screening effects in the potential set in at smaller and smaller distances and the difference between the potential and the singlet free energy becomes smaller. This is expected as at very high temperatures the singlet free energy should be equal to the potential [6]. At all temperatures the potential is larger than the singlet free energy, i.e. it seems to approach the singlet free energy from above.

### 3. Conclusion

We have calculated the correlation functions of Wilson lines at non-zero temperature for different $\tau$ and extracted the temperature dependent potential. The temperature dependent potential is always larger than the singlet free energy, approaching it from above. We do not see screening effects present in the potential for $T < 190$ MeV. The value of the extracted temperature potential at large distance is very close to the value of the phenomenological potential used in Ref. [7].
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