A Learning Set Up for Detecting Minimally Conscious State (MCS)

Eun Joo Kim, M.D., Jeong Mi Park, M.D., Wan Ho Kim, M.D., Kwang Lai Lee, M.D., Han Na Kim, M.D., Ko Eun Lee, M.D., Jeong Joon Park, M.D., Kwang Ok Ahn, Ph.D.

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, National Rehabilitation Hospital, and National Rehabilitation Research Institute, Seoul 141-070, Korea

 Detecting signs of learning in persons diagnosed to be in a post-coma vegetative state and minimally conscious state (MCS) may modify their diagnosis. We report the case of a 65-year-old female in a vegetative state. We used microswitch-based technology that is based on patient response to eye-blinking. We followed an ABABCB design, in which A represented baseline periods, B intervention periods with stimuli contingent on the responses, and C a control condition with stimuli presented non-contingently. We observed the level of response during the B phases was higher than the level of A and C phases. This indicated the patient showed signs of learning. This state was confirmed by an evaluation through the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRSR) score, and after completion of this study her CRSR score changed from 4 to 10. We believe microswitch technology may be useful to make a diagnosis of MCS and offer new opportunities for education to MCS patients.
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INTRODUCTION

In a patient suffering with severe disorders of consciousness, it is important to evaluate the condition of consciousness properly. Since a patient in a vegetative state (VS) is characterized by the return of arousal without responses to peripheral stimulation, being incapable of conscious action, they have been excluded from rehabilitation that is intended for regaining consciousness through repetitive training or learning. Minimally conscious state (MCS) differs from persistent vegetative state in that the patient is capable of conscious actions more than reflexes, which includes following some orders or chasing after something with eyes. Such a level of consciousness may be a phased standard that would eventually develop into a better condition of consciousness or rather a case of marking time without change of state, which requires an active approach to change the diagnosis of consciousness. Occasionally patient confirmed to be in a vegetative state could be rediagnosis as MCS, which propose a positive prognosis in a patient. If it were possible to investigate an indication of potential learning in a patient who has been determined to be in a vegetative state, we could also confirm the change of consciousness level via Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRSR) on the state of consciousness, and accordingly we should be able to select a proper rehabilitation scheme for treating...
the patient.\(^3\) Thus, by performing training using micro-switch which can be controlled by tiny movement the authors were able to re-diagnose a patient to have MCS and observed improved progress.

CASE REPORT

A patient, in this case a 65-year-old female, with traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage and intracranial hemorrhage in the right frontal lobe and temporal lobe-parietal lobe due to a traffic accident in 2009, underwent a craniectomy as well as a hematoma and was in a coma for 2 months after the accident. The patient awoke from the coma and experienced a sleep-wake cycle but was diagnosed to be in a vegetative state for 10 months until she was admitted to this hospital.

The patient scored 6 in the Glasgow coma scale (GCS) and 4 in the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised (CRSR) at the time of hospitalization, demonstrating eye blinks to stimuli for visual and auditory startle reflexes but not to a pain stimulus. Our subject’s sleep cycle remained in the form of wake in presence of light but sleep in absence of light. The patient had a percutaneous gastrostomy for nutrition, retaining a suprapubic foley catheter and also underwent tracheostomy. On visual evoked potential, the right side was normal, however a delayed response was observed from the left side. On auditory evoked potential, since there was a response only on the left side with 50db SPL intensity, the right eyesight of the patient was used assuming that she could hear sound because of left-side hearing ability.

Microswitch-based technology identifies patient response to diverse sensory stimuli. In this case, we used it analyze blink response of the patient to visual and auditory stimuli. Since a patient with decreased motivation can be underestimated\(^3\) and the stimuli introduced were comprised of items particular to her liking. Pictures of granddaughters and recordings of her voices as well as certain hymns of the patient’s liking were made into a video to give visual and auditory stimuli simultaneously. The patient was fitted with eyeglasses that contained a sensor which determines blink by sensing infrared rays reflecting off the patient’s eyeball, attached on the right-side rim of the eyeglasses. This was fixed for sensing from the same position throughout the study. An electronic machine, which converts the blink sensed by the sensor into an electrical signal, was connected to computer to measure the frequency of blinks and receive feedback (Fig. 1). The video was set to be reproduced both at a certain time interval in addition to each time it received feedback. Eye blinking, a scale for evaluating the level of response based on its frequency, can also be a switch for reproducing the video on the basis of setting (Fig. 2).

To invoke the patient’s response prior to actual test-

![Fig. 1. A picture of the microswitch including the optic sensor connected on the eyeglasses.](image)

![Fig. 2. A diagram of the optic microswitch.](image)

| Table 1. The Average Frequency of Responses per Phase |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Phases | A1 | B1 | A2  | B2  | C  | B3  |
|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Frequency | 8.8 | 14.39 | 10.91 | 25.03 | 8.73 | 20.9 |
Eun Joo Kim, et al.

In our study, CRSR score was measured before and after the experiment to evaluate if there was a sign in the patient’s consciousness to be determined as MCS, which resulted in a significant increase in the score. Of these, movement of the right hand and foot response to pain and visual pursuit are the reflections of both the motional function scale and visual function scale, and correlate with the result of this study in that CRSR score can also be a useful scale in determining MCS.

According to the literature, it is reported that in 43% of patients diagnosed as being in a vegetative state, conscious movements requiring re-diagnosis have been observed. Regardless of brain damage mechanism, misdiagnosis is more often in chronic than acute patients, while the most frequent misdiagnosis was caused by failing to sense the patient’s conscious eye blink. This suggests difficulty in distinguishing whether a patient’s eye blink is an awareness movement or not. Natural course or long-term prognosis for patients in MCS is not well known yet, but they seem to offer better a prognosis than those in the vegetative state, and a recent report claims that in many cases improvement occurred...
even one year after attack. Thus, in the case of misdiagnosis as a vegetative state, it can have a negative effect on determining patient prognosis, often leading to neglect of rehabilitation. In this study we were able to re-diagnose vegetative patient as MCS by revealing her blink is conscious acting.

Unlike this case report which showed the effectiveness of learning, another study suggested that an increase of responsiveness during stimulation is significant itself even without a feedback response. Even if sign of learning like a feedback response has not been found in a patient, increase in times of response to stimulus suggests the presence of consciousness in a patient. Despite the difference, those studies share the common aspect that the presence of consciousness determines MCS.

Further study is needed to universally apply this learning practice using microswitch-based technology. In this case, it is difficult to ascertain whether improvement of the patient’s condition and increase of CRSR score were the result of a natural course or rather of the learning practice. Nonetheless, this case will prove to have a positive effect on the progress of the patient as a starting point for suggesting a new rehabilitation scheme because it diagnoses patients for MCS more positively and performs learning practice on those with limited rehabilitation.
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