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Abstract
Ultraviolet blood irradiation (UBI) was used with success in the 1930s and 1940s for a variety of diseases. Despite the success, the lack of understanding of the detailed mechanisms of actions, and the achievements of antibiotics, phased off the use of UBI from the 1950s. The emergence of novel viral infections, from HIV/AIDS to Ebola, from SARS and MERS, and SARS-CoV-2, bring back the attention to this therapeutical opportunity. UBI has a complex virucidal activity, mostly acting on the immune system response. It has effects on lymphocytes (T-cells and B-cells), macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and lipids. The Knott technique was applied for bacterial infections such as tuberculosis to viral infections such as hepatitis or influenza. The more complex extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) is also being applied to hematological cancers such as T-cell lymphomas. Further studies of UBI may help to create a useful device that may find applications for novel viruses that are resistant to known antivirals or vaccines, or also bacteria that are resistant to known antibiotics.
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Introduction
The interaction of light with matter such as proteins, molecules, and atoms, as well as biological samples from viruses to bacteria and cells, is at the core of many fundamental sciences and methods currently used in diagnostics and therapeutics. The electromagnetic spectrum incorporates a large range of frequencies, wavelengths, and photon energies. Ultraviolet (UV) light covers a range of emission wavelengths from 10 to 400 nm, thus with energy higher than the visible light but below X-rays energy. Wavelength and frequency (photons energy) are related through the speed of light, \( \lambda \cdot \nu = c \), where \( c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \) is the speed of light. Table 1 presents the spectral range, frequency, and energy properties of classified UV light.

UV light in the range 240 to 280 nm wavelength is used for disinfection and decontamination of surfaces and water, and it is applied by germicidal lamps. DNA and RNA absorption has a peak at 260 nm, and spectroscopy is commonly used to determine the average concentrations of the nucleic acids DNA or RNA present in a mixture, as well as their purity. The UV germicidal action is a well-known method for killing or inactivating microorganisms by damaging their nucleic acids and unsetting their DNA. UV light is also used in food preparation.

UV light of 300 to 320 nm wavelength is used for light therapy in medical applications. Ultraviolet B (UVB) 311 nm narrowband lamps are used for example in phototherapy to cure psoriasis or T-cell cutaneous lymphoma such as mycosis fungoides.

Here, we specifically examine the possible virucidal activity of UV light for infection such as the SARS-CoV-2 virus, Fig. 1. The SARS-CoV-2 virus is 60 to 100 nm in diameter. UV has been proposed as (1) a tool to inactivate the airborne viruses, as well as (2) a device to inactivate or prevent replication of the viruses in infected patients acting through the blood.

Regarding (1), it has been envisaged that the development of far-UV lamps could be beneficial in the inactivation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus based on [1–18].

Regarding the other aspect (2) of UV light use, the indirect action on the immune system by ultraviolet blood irradiation (UBI) has been considered in the far past [19–99].
While the air disinfection in public buildings via upper-room germicidal far-UV light may reduce the airborne viral transmission of SARS-CoV-2, UBI may be used as a natural antiviral in infected patients. In the case of UBI, the principle of action is different from far-UV, as UV is acting mostly as an enhancer of the immune system rather than a direct killer of the viruses. UBI exposes the blood to UV light to amplify the immune system response and kill the viruses. The ways UBI acts on the immune system are everything but well established, the same as the antiviral effects. The many far past experiences demonstrated the technique was helpful for many pathologies; however, it was administered without a clear detailed understanding of the mechanisms of action.

Viruses absorb much more energy than the red and white blood cells and get more damaged by UV light. The killed viral fragments create a vaccination-like response. This strengthens the immune system response to the specific pathogen. This may explain why treating only a small amount of blood with UBI may induce a significant immune system response. UBI is in principle an immune-modulating therapy, which may help also with viral infections. It has never been tested so far for SARS-CoV-2.

This work aims to review the literature concerning the uses of UV light that could be beneficial for SARS-CoV-2 infection, in air, but especially through the blood via UBI. The more complex extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP), which is under certain aspects an evolution of the Knott UBI being applied to hematological cancers such as T-cell lymphomas, is here only mentioned, but not discussed into details. The mechanism of action of ECP is also not completely understood [100–105]. It is believed that a combination of psoralen and UVA radiation causes apoptosis (programmed cell death) of some of the affected T cells, which returned to the body, trigger the immune system to recognize antigens on the T cells and destroy them. The less-damaged monocytes phagocyte apoptotic T cells. Monocytes exposed to radiation progress into dendritic cells that diminish the immune response.

### Direct UV Antiviral Effects in Air

UV light has significant effects on airborne viruses. Far-UV is capable to deactivate viruses [1]. UV has shown effects on the sterilization of materials. The use of ultraviolet light against airborne disinfection was first demonstrated more than 8 decades ago [2]. Far-UVC may become effective against all types of airborne viruses including new strains. It may also reduce the transmission of influenza and other respiratory viruses. It may work against SARS-CoV-2. Airborne-induced microbial diseases such as tuberculosis may also be targeted. An approach to stop airborne pathogen spread with UVC radiation is being established. The airborne antimicrobial efficacy of UV light has long been recognized through a direct method [3–5]. Germicidal UV light is capable of inactivating both drug-sensitive and multi-drug-resistant bacteria [6] and different strains of viruses [7]. Despite the importance, the use of germicidal ultraviolet light among the public remains limited because of the phototoxicity of the conventional UVC light which is carcinogenic and cataractogenic [8, 9]. In contrast, low-power far-UVC light with a wavelength from 207 to 222 nm efficiently deactivates viruses and bacteria without damaging the skin or the eyes [10–12]. Due to its short wavelength, far-UVC light does not penetrate the nonliving outer layers of the human skin or eyes, while since the sizes of the bacteria and the viruses are much smaller (as previously written, the SARS-CoV-2 virus is 60 to 100 nm in diameter), far-UVC light can penetrate and inactivate such small organism [10–12].

The germicidal efficacy studies of far UVC light [10–15], were performed by exposing bacteria on a surface or in suspension to the irradiation. A major pathway for the spread of influenza A virus is through aerosol transmission. The same is true for SARS-CoV-2. In [1], far-UVC light of 222-nm wavelength efficacy used to inactivate airborne viruses carried by aerosols was also

| Name                     | Abbreviation | Wavelength (nm) | Frequency (THz) | Photon energy (eV) | aJ    |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|
| Ultraviolet A            | UVA          | 400             | 315             | 749               | 952  |
| Ultraviolet B            | UVB          | 315             | 280             | 952               | 1071 |
| Ultraviolet C            | UVC          | 280             | 100             | 1071              | 2998 |
| Near ultraviolet         | NUV          | 400             | 300             | 749               | 999  |
| Middle ultraviolet       | MUV          | 300             | 200             | 999               | 1499 |
| Far ultraviolet          | FUV          | 200             | 122             | 1499              | 2457 |
| Hydrogen Lyman-alpha     | H Lyman-α    | 122             | 121             | 2457              | 2478 |
| Vacuum ultraviolet       | VUV          | 200             | 10              | 1499              | 2997 |
| Extreme ultraviolet      | EUV          | 121             | 10              | 2478              | 2997 |

Table 1 Properties of UV light
compared to conventional 254-nm germicidal lamps used to inactivate airborne microbes. The 222-nm far-UVC light was used to deactivate the influenza A virus (H1N1) carried by aerosols using a UV irradiation chamber. The chamber generated aerosol droplets like those generated by human coughing and breathing. The aerosolized viruses in the irradiation chamber were exposed to UVC lamps.

Inactivation of H1N1 with the 222-nm far-UVC light followed an exponential disinfection model, with an inactivation cross section of $D_{95} = 1.6 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$. For a comparative study, using a similar experimental procedure with a standard 254 nm germicidal UVC lamp, [16] identified a $D_{95}$ value of 1.1 $\text{mJ/cm}^2$ for the H1N1 virus. A 222-nm far-UVC light and 254-nm broad-spectrum germicidal light
had similar results for aerosolized viral inactivation, with the advantage that far-UV is not harmful to humans. Both regions of the spectrum were found to be effective in the inactivation. The exact cause of inactivation may not be identical [17, 18]. Opposite to standard germicidal broadband spectrum UVC light of 254-nm wavelength, far-UV light is not cytotoxic to human cells/tissues in vitro or in vivo [10–12]. Thus, spreading the SARS-CoV-2 virus in open spaces such as halls occupied by a large number of peoples could be prevented safely by using this technique.

**Direct and Indirect UV Antiviral Effects in Blood**

In addition to the use of the antiviral properties in the air mentioned above, the UV UBI is certainly a fascinating opportunity to work against viral infections in patients [19]. This technique has been popular, but then it has been forgotten in time. UBI was already used 80 years ago on a routine basis to treat numerous diseases. These diseases included asthma, septicemia, tuberculosis, pneumonia, arthritis, and poliomyelitis. The discovery of antibiotics of higher commercial values and the poorly understood mechanisms of action of UBI overlooked the benefits of UBI. The initial studies were performed by numerous physicians in the USA starting from 1928. Then, studies were also conducted by scientists in Russia and East European countries when the technique was dismissed in the West during the 1950s. The use of UBI remains mostly controversial. Due to the development and usefulness of antibiotics, the application of UBI declined rapidly. Although very crucial, it has now been almost completely forgotten. However, UBI is an attractive approach to treat infections. This is used as a therapy for immune-modulation and as a parameter for normalizing the blood parameters. The resistance of microorganisms in the blood to UV irradiation is not fully understood. A low and mild dose of UV is effective in killing microorganisms by inhibiting their DNA synthesis. The important observation is that any type of DNA damage in the host cells is repaired by the DNA repair enzymes. But, it is understood that the action of UBI to manage septicemia is not due to UV-induced killing of bacteria in the blood only. An approximately 5–7% of blood is necessary for the treatment with UV to have the optimum benefit. UBI enhances the phagocytic ability of numerous neutrophils and dendritic cells, inhibits lymphocytes, and oxidizes the blood lipids [19]. Thus, the oxidative power of UBI has mechanisms in common with other therapies such as ozone therapy or oxygen therapy. Similarities between ECP with psoralens and oxygen therapy. Significant differences between UBI and ECP are found in their mode of action. For example, UBI stimulates the immune system, while the ECP process is immunosuppressive. The UBI method should be investigated in detail as a separate method to treat infections and immunity-related medical disorders [19].

The first human treatment using UBI was performed in 1928. Due to this process, the case of hemolytic streptococcus septicemia was successfully treated [20]. A similar success was observed in a patient who had advanced hemolytic streptococcal septicemia [21]. UBI was then investigated for pneumonia patients. It was crucial to see that all the 75 patients of the trial responded well to UBI. The time of hospital stay was decreased, and the acceleration of convalescence was observed [22].

It is important to state the specific UBI technique developed by Knott. This process involved removing approximately 3.5 mL/kg of venous blood, citrating it as an anticoagulant, and then passing it through a radiation chamber. The exposure time per unit of blood was selected at 10 s. The wavelength of light was set at 253.7 nm. The UVC was obtained by a quartz burner of mercury. The blood was re-perfused as quickly as possible [20]. This method was applied to many diseases including thrombophlebitis, staphylococcal septicaemia, peritonitis, botulism, poliomyelitis, non-healing wounds, and asthma as shown in [21–35]. Twenty-nine other different medical disorders were also treated successfully, including infectious arthritis, septic abortion, osteoarthritis, tuberculosis glands, chronic blepharitis, mastoiditis, uveitis, furunculosis, chronic paranasal sinusitis, acne vulgaris, and secondary anaemia [36, 37]. UB was also applied to Escherichia coli septicaemia, post-abortion sepsis, puerperal sepsis, peritonitis, and typhoid fever [38–42]. Efficacy for biliary disease, pelvic cellulitis, and viral hepatitis was also demonstrated [43–45].

The mechanisms of how UBI works against infections and modulates the immune system are discussed in [19]. Although known for the past 90 years, the detailed description of the mechanisms of action UBI is not available yet. Therefore, there has been always confusion in the use of this radiation for medical purposes. As stated before, UV demonstrated effects in the sterilization of water and surgical instruments. On this basis, it was believed that the use of UV against infection also depended on the direct destruction of the pathogens. Confusion also exists since UBI was used to treat a wide diversity of diseases.

The UBI is capable of altering many properties of blood as well as leukocytes as demonstrated by in vitro results. For example, the UVI increases the percentage of stimulating cells in leukocytes and controls mitogen-induced media. Importantly, the UVI can reverse the production of cytokine and block its release. It also disturbs cell membrane stabilization. The UBI has numerous effects on red blood cells. Anaerobic situations can inhibit the process because UV light can induce the removal of potassium ion from the
cells. Kabat et al. [46] had proved that UV radiation can alter the osmotic characteristics of red blood cells, their submicroscopic structures, and the breakdown of specific nucleotides. Different irradiation periods ranging from 1 to 5 h were chosen to investigate these roles. This study showed that a decreased value of ATP increases the values of other nucleotides. The UV radiation also increased the hypotonic sodium and potassium cation values and the ratio of the red blood cells [46].

Irradiation of Rh-positive blood with UV light increases the immune adsorption activity. To identify the immune adsorption activity, a varying degree of UV irradiation was applied to the cells of red blood and leukocyte-thrombocyte [47]. Immunoabsorption activity was increased spontaneously when irradiation was conducted in these systems. But, this was not a permanent effect since this property was decreased in the leucocyte system after 2 days.

Poly-dextran was used to determine the decreased level of erythrocytes of the cell surfaces during UV irradiation. This helped transfused erythrocytes for better survival [48]. To identify the superior effect of auto-transfused blood after the exposure of UV irradiation, modifications of the structures of the erythrocytes was helpful [49]. They demonstrated that UV irradiation can change the volume of the cell and the potential of the cell membrane of erythrocytes. However, a high dose of UV can decrease the production of hydrogen peroxide [50].

UBI has effects on neutrophils. It has been found that a small dose of UV (0.1 J/cm²) enhances the concentration of hydrogen peroxide by the biggest polymorphonuclear leukocytes. The function of UBI through the assistance of neutrophils to accelerate the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) can be stopped using numerous molecules including arachidonic acid, lysophosphatidylcholine, and α-tocopherol [51]. The high amount of IC-IgG and IgM or low IC-IgM demonstrated an opposite property in inflammatory diseases when an increased UBI was given to auto-transfusion blood [52].

Due to the iNOS enzyme triggering, the production of nitric oxide (NO) by neutrophils was realized [53]. A fresh synthesis of NO is possible of UV irradiation, and this method generates TNF-alpha. UV irradiation with a small dose of 75.5 J/m² maintained the physiological homeostasis. A high dose of 755 to 2265 J/m² given to neutrophils caused damage by enhancing the amount of the breakdown product of NO. Cycloheximide because of its protein inhibition properties can stop the activation of iNOS and NO in the presence of UV irradiation. A direct relationship exists between NO and TNF-alpha concentration, and this can be found from experiments on a high dose of UV-irradiation (755 J/m²) against neutrophils [53].

An experiment on UV for 30 days was performed in rabbits [54]. It was seen that the generated chronic stress is due to a combination of hydrodynamic and UBI affecting neutrophils and eliminating coagulation. UBI helped to improve the body’s performance against hydrodynamic and unpredictable stress. UBI helped to enhance the organization and process structure in the activation of neutrophils stopped the coagulation of blood and changed the atherogenic condition [54].

UBI has effects on lymphocytes, T-cells, and B-cells. UBI generally helps to decrease lymphocyte viability. The UVC irradiation is believed to be the most efficient among three UV spectral ranges. The cell budding activity can be stopped by the UVB and UBC radiation. These radiations can also minimize antigen-producing ability of the component of the cells. Numerous properties including cell-surface interaction, calcium mobilization method, cytokine production/release, and a few sub-cellular processes can be modified by UV irradiation [55].

The “Comet assay” was used to identify DNA-strand breakage because of single-cell gel electrophoresis. This was an evidence of DNA repair, indicating that lymphocytes were highly fragile to this UVB irradiation. The effects of UVB radiation on this observation indicated the possibility that UVB may contribute to immune-suppression via a significant effect on extracapillary T-lymphocytes [56].

Interestingly, either the Th1 or Th2 or the CD4 or CD8 T-cells can be affected by the UBV irradiation. The photoirradiation is not seen. However, a significant number of T-cells are killed within 48 to 72 h with a low dose of UVB irradiation (LD50 0.5–1 mJ/cm²) [57]. A compatible dose-dependent reduction of cytokinesis noted after 3 days of irradiation. This is because of a direct relationship between the loss of viability and the production of cytokine. Interestingly, the pattern and ratio of CD4 and CD8 are not altered compared to the non-radiated control group. This suggests that the T-cell subsets were not selectively affected.

The UV irradiation is capable of inducing phosphorylation of tyrosine in B cells through a cross-attachment with the immunoglobulin of the surface. This observation is, in fact, similar to the production of calcium ion in T cells. On this basis, it indicates that UV irradiation of lymphocytes activates phosphorylation of tyrosine and calcium ion signals. Therefore, the calcium ion channels in the membrane of the lymphocytes are affected due to UV irradiation. This irradiation destroys DNA by activating cellular signal-transduction pathways. On the other hand, based upon the dose, the UV irradiation activates phosphorylation of tyrosine in lymphocytes and controls calcium ion signal in Jurkat T cells. Moreover, the picture immunoglobulin of the surface remains identical to the UV-promoted tyrosine phosphorylation in B cells. It is shown that CD + and CD8 + T cells can react during UV irradiation [58].
Following a similar study, Spielberg et al. [59] showed that UV-induced lymphocyte inhibition indicated an alteration of calcium ion homeostasis when comparing UV with gamma irradiation. Gamma radiation has different properties on lymphocyte membranes [59]. Besides, the presence of calcium channels in the membrane of lymphocyte can be found by the indo-1 staining and cytofluorometry technique. Intracellular calcium ion \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) kinetics was estimated in human peripheral blood leukocytes during UVC or UB irradiation. Jurkat cells were used as a standard in this functional assay. The UV-induced concentration increase of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) was mainly possible because of incoming of extracellular calcium. The T-cells were more affected than non-T-cells due to this process. The concentration of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) was increased within 2 to 3 h of irradiation. A dose-dependent behavior was observed in this experiment, and a maximum point was reached for both UVB and UVC. UV induced a significant \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) growth in T-cells than in non-T cells, and this increase is because of the activity of the extracellular calcium. The intensity of UV irradiation on the plasma membrane decreased its sensitivity to react with certain lectins (phytohemagglutinin) in the cultures of mixed leukocytes.

Several studies indicated that lymphocytes irradiated by UVR were unable to create genetically different cells in lymphocyte culture [60–62]. For lymphocyte activation, clusters obtained after allogeneic or mitogenic method with dendritic cells were necessary. UV irradiation of dendritic cells was able to prevent the creation of clusters and inhibit lymphocyte production [63].

It was found that the induction of the DNA repair process required UVC of 2 and 16 J/cm\(^2\) [64]. An evaluation was performed with lymphocytes that were irradiated or without any irradiation among 51 people. Repair synthesis by UVC irradiation at various doses was measured by evaluating \([\text{H}^3\text{H}]\) thymidine along with hydroxyurea. The results became identical irrespective of the age of the donors.

UV was able to create differentiation in lymphocytes and activates DNA repairing process [65]. A subjection to UV irradiation had superior effects than methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) concerning the synthesis of unscheduled DNA. This method became efficient when MMS was given before UV irradiation. It was believed that MMS caused DNA repair through the alkylation of polymerase [66]. Light-induced modification of HLA-D/DR antigens was involved in the increased function of immune component cells. Photo-altered lymphocytes were obtained from a variety of origins including irradiated and non-irradiated blood [67].

Before transfusion, the UBI is capable of inhibiting the immune system and stopping organ rejection in an animal model. A specific amount of total body irradiation and donor marrow cells in the presence of blood was used for this experiment. This in vivo test was conducted in dogs. The control group of animals that was permeated with blood failed to accept the bone marrow grafts. But, acceptance was seen in the group that was given UV-mediated blood before the transplantation. UV irradiation on blood prevented the activation of lymphocyte by eliminating a crucial DC-dependent signal [68].

Transfusion of UV-irradiated blood to patients before heart transplantation can be performed provided there is a deficiency in immune response, and to minimize lymphocyte-mediated rejection [69]. Different rat species (ACI, Lewis, and W/F) were studied to execute heart transfer. The ACI rats received Lewis’s heart, and it was transfused with donor type of blood in the presence or absence of UV irradiation at different weeks period. The occurrence of a lymphocyte reaction indicated that the ACI group was weaker compared to Lewis counterpart. A consistent result was observed in the other two groups. Also, children who have rhesus-conflict hemolytic disorder respond positively to the presence of UV irradiation [70].

The UBI has effects on the members of the mononuclear phagocyte system. Four varieties of deoxyribonucleosides are used to improve the phagocytic activity of UVB-irradiated mononuclear cells [71].

Activation of phagocytic (PhA) functions is a crucial pathway in immunocorrection by UV irradiation of blood treatment. A mixture of irradiated and non-irradiated blood was examined for PhA functions [72]. This study indicated an increase of 1.4–1.7 times of PhA. This suggests that monocytes and granulocytes can be improved by mixing UV-irradiated blood to people. The increased phagocytic functions are dependent on the initial amount and may change simultaneously due to structural alterations of the components present in the cell surface [72].

UV irradiation can increase the phagocytic power of human monocytes and granulocytes. The “integrated phagocytic index” increases based upon the proportion of the irradiation dose. A lower starting level may increase subsequently accumulating UV irradiation [73].

The UVB can change Langerhans cell (LC) or splenic adherent cells from immunogenic morphology to a tolerogenic morphology. This is possible when the antigen-presenting cells were LC or SAC [74]. Irradiation of 200 J/cm\(^2\) was given to LC and AC. Consequently, non-responsiveness was noted when UV-LC or UV-SAC are mixed with Th1 cells. It should be noted that the loss of responsiveness was not because of the generation of soluble suppressor factors. This was long-lasting, Ag-specific, and MHC-restricted. This finding was explained due to the failure of a costimulatory signal which was possible by UVB irradiation. This explanation was supported because UVB-LC or UVB-SAC did not help unresponsiveness.

UBI has effects on platelets. Low UV doses are associated with low hydrogen peroxide production in platelets. The
hydrogen peroxide production increases as the dose increase above 0.4 J/cm². Pamphilon et al. [75] demonstrated that platelet concentrates (PC) become non-immunogenic following UVR and 5 days of storage in sterilized cell containers. The concentration of lactate, β-thromboglobulin, and platelet factor increase after UV. But, the glucose level becomes lower with a 3000 J/m² irradiation dose of 310 nm mean wavelength [75]. UVB irradiation of PC decreases the CD14 and increases the loss of monocytes. This observation may be due to an inhibition process that up-regulates ICAM-1 and HLA-DR [76]. But, UV irradiation of PC reduced the immunological response in a cell suspension [77–79].

UBI has effects on low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and lipids. The UV irradiation is relevant to lipid peroxidation of blood cells [80]. This occurred at the membrane of the cells. UV irradiation of blood can activate arachidonic acid to get metabolized by cyclooxygenase. This process can initiate the lipid autoperoxidation towards free radicals and the photolysis of the photooxidants. The lipid photoperoxidation method produces lipid hydroperoxides.

UV-irradiated lipid emulsion increases ROS by monocytes. This process creates greatly atherogenic oxidized LDL in the blood. Rabbits were injected with a UV light-oxidized parenteral lipid emulsion, lipofundin. Then, blood samples were taken with EDTA after a specific time of the lipofundin treatment. The UV-oxidized lipofundin showed reduced chemiluminescence from monocytes relative to a Fe³⁺-oxidized lipofundin. But, the effect of lipofundin treated with UV light lasted 2.3 times longer. UV-oxidized lipofundin can effectively activate H₂O₂ production than monocyte-oxidized LDL under identical conditions. The lipid peroxide amount was increased after the injection of oxidized lipofundin. In contrast, such a difference was not observed in monocyte-oxidized human LDL [81].

Salmon et al. [82] observed that UBV 280 to 315 nm wavelength irradiation can damage the LDL and the tryptophan (Trp) in high-density lipoprotein (HDL). The TBARS method was used to identify the photooxidation of tryptophan which is associated with the peroxidation of low-density and high-density lipoprotein unsaturated fatty acids. UVB is also able to destroy vitamin E and carotenoids. But, UVA radiation is unable to destroy tryptophan.

The lipoproteins contained in the suction blister fluid of healthy humans were oxidized by UV radiation of 290 to 385 nm wavelength. This experiment well simulates the interstitial fluid feeding of the epidermal cells. It was found that apolipoprotein B of LDL and apolipoprotein A-I and II of HDL undergone modifications underneath UV irradiation. Similar irradiation with wavelengths 290 to 385 nm modified the Trp (tryptophan) residue of serum albumin required to photo-oxidation. UVA irradiation of undiluted suction blister fluid produced A-I aggregation. The lipoproteins were not broken. UV irradiation of suction blister fluid was able to make a fragment of antigenic apolipoprotein B, and then, it was polymerized. Reactive oxygen radicals in the suction blister fluid resulted from the lipid peroxidation occurred in the HDL. UV light irradiation may be relevant to produce inflammation and degeneration by inducing photo-oxidation of lipoprotein. This may lead to systemic effects [83].

The redox status of this system is important. It is discovered that, depending on the dose, the UV irradiation can stimulate the myeloperoxidase (MPO) and the NADPH-oxidase enzymes in donor blood [84]. Two doses of UV light chosen were 75.5 and 151.0 J/m². The higher dose triggered much more free radicals and H₂O₂. Two groups were divided based on MPO function and UV light dose. In group 1, the low enzyme activity increased following UV exposure at 75.5 and 151.0 J/m². In group 2, the MPO activity conversely decreased. Increasing the dose, the activity of MPO did not increase. Lipid peroxidation (LPO) was also evaluated after UV exposure of the blood. Two groups were separated based on the blood content of LPO products and the dose of UV exposure. UV irradiation at low concentration (75.5–151.0 J/m²) decreased initially the high LPO values but increased initially low LPO values. In phagocytes, NADPH-oxidase works as a photo-acceptor for UV light. Irradiation produces augmented superoxide production and decreased intracellular pH activating an enzyme complex. UBI may prevent damage by free radicals by improving the activity of numerous antioxidants. After spinal cord injury in rabbits, 186 rabbits were divided into control, blood transfusion, injured, and UV treatment groups. UV irradiation of wavelength 253.7 nm and dose 5.68 mW/m² was used for the treatment group over 48 to 72 h following surgery for spinal cord injury. Measurements were taken of free radical signals (FR) as well as enzymatic activity of malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX). It has been found that superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase became higher in the treatment group. But, the FR and MDA of this group decreased compared to the other subdivisions. This suggests that UBI decreased the content of MDA and FR in the spinal cord tissue. These two factors also responsible for higher SOD activity and increased GSH-PX [85].

The mechanisms of UBI have always created confusion for the general public and medical professionals. It is because germicidal UV light (UVC) is employed to maintain the sanity of many items. It is used to sterilize water, or disinfect surfaces, to control infection [19]. Therefore, many believe that the UBI acts by killing the pathogens, bacteria, viruses, or other microorganisms that may be present in the body. But, no evidence supports this belief. Therefore, the mechanisms of action of UBI must be found through some other actions UV has on the various components of blood.
Of course, the mechanisms of action of UBI are many and complex. After having proposed some of the ways UBI affects the various components of the blood, we attempt to draw a few general conclusions. First, UBI exemplifies “hormesis” or “biphasic dose-response” techniques. This phenomenon is well-reviewed [86, 87]. In principle, any toxic chemical substance, any toxic drug, or any other attack, such as ionizing radiation, hyperthermia, or oxidative stress, may become beneficial, protective, and therapeutic, if the dose is low enough. If the dose is high, the benefits or effects may disappear. This is established in the Knott’s original experiments on dogs where only 5 to 7% of the total blood volume was the optimal amount irradiated.

UBI may have three different types of effects on different types of blood. In the case of neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, UBI activates phagocytosis, increases the secretion of NO and reactive nitrogen species, and converts the DC phenotype from immunogenic to tolerogenic. Perhaps this reduces the effects of a cytokine storm. In the case of lymphocytes, the actions of UBI is to inhibit/kill various types of lymphocytes. This is established because the cell-death pathways and the apoptotic signaling are found in lymphocytes. The killing of the lymphocytes can also reduce inflammation. UBI can also oxidize blood lipids and lipoproteins. This increases oxidative stress. A short burst of oxidative stress may be beneficial. A continued chronic level of oxidative stress is detrimental. Many antioxidant defenses become up-regulated after brief exposure to oxidative stress. This is one of the fundamental mechanisms responsible for many aspects of hormesis. The oxidative function of UBI has similarities with ozone therapy and oxygen therapy.

Discussion and Conclusions

UV to disinfect may certainly be used also for SARS-CoV-2, and far-UV lamps may certainly be used to deactivate airborne viruses in rooms, offices, and walkways of shopping centers, hospitals, universities, public buildings, companies, or airports. Specific safety and efficacy for SARS-CoV-2 are however still unproven.

UBI has potentially much more rewarding uses, competing with both antivirals and vaccines. However, it suffers from the lack of recent developments, and need specific trials to understand if it may be used. UBI was used first in 1928. It has been used extensively especially during the 1940s and 1950s. In these two decades, it has been employed as a treatment option for many different diseases including tuberculosis, asthma, pneumonia or septicemia, arthritis, or poliomyelitis [88]. With the success of antibiotics, the use of UBI declined to be almost completely forgotten. The best way of action against SARS-CoV-2 must be completely discovered.

UBI increases venous oxygen in the case of depressed blood oxygen values. It improves resistance to acute and chronic viral and bacterial infections. It has rapid detoxifying and anti-inflammatory effects. It has a regulatory effect on the autonomic nervous system. It inactivates viruses while preserving the opportunity to use their fragments as antigens. The photoluminescence therapy in general is an immune system response modulator affecting the antigenic structure in blood cells. Recently, UBI has shown promises for blood cancer treatment (for example, T cell lymphomas [89–92], leukemia [93]), viral infections (for example, hepatitis C [94, 95]), or bacterial infections (for example, tuberculosis [96–99]).

In the latest tests [94, 95], UBI also revealed significant hepatitis C virus (HCV) viral load reduction and improved liver function in a large percentage of the patients in the small trial. Five treatments were administered to a small trial of only 10 patients for 3 weeks [95]. A modified Knott Hemo-Irradiator was used. After treatments in 9 of the patients, the mean viral load reduction was − 56.0% and the mean change in log viral load was − 0.60. Seven of 10 patients demonstrated a > 0.49 log reduction in viral load. No significant adverse event was reported. Two patients who also had psoriasis improved for this other pathology.

UBI recently also showed efficacy in a 2006 animal study still unpublished but mentioned also in [94] on influenza and simian immunodeficiency viral infection. The treatment of influenza-infected animals produced improvement in both the viral load and the pulmonary function. After a high infectious dose of 5000 TCID50, only mild symptoms were observed in the treated group after day 9, while severe symptoms were developed in the untreated group after day 6, unresolved after the 13 days of the study length. Treated infected animals exhibited a better ability to breathe than the untreated animals.

A novel UBI device—the Knott Hemo Irradiator, designed in 1928—should be certainly developed and studied for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infections for which there are few treatment options. Specific trials should be carried out starting from animal models to verify safety and efficacy. The size of the trials should be large enough to be statistically significant, and it should be double-blind, placebo, fully controlled trials. The mechanisms of action must be better studied, as they are presently still supposed more than proven. Definitively, the cure that time forgot may return helpful with novel pathologies, novel viral infections such as SARS-CoV-2, or antibiotic-resistant bacteria. This is a fascinating opportunity for which we may only advocate more fundamental studies on the direct and indirect influence of UV light on the many aspects of blood and specifically to SARS-CoV-2 the ability to build antibodies.
We have reviewed a highly intriguing area of research, which is also a call for action in a time when an old method of treatment of patients with sepsis may have greater importance in the face of new challenges such as SARS-CoV-2. The Knott blood irradiation was used with amazing clinical successes in the 1930s to the 1950s but fell out of favor as antibiotic therapy became increasingly available. Given the current state of antibacterial and antiviral therapies, however, it may indeed be time to re-visit these issues and to do so with the modern tools available to the scientific community to elucidate the mechanism of action and utility in treating septic patients.

We must mention the existence of blood photochemical treatment systems that are commercially available today and are used to prevent transfusion transmission of diseases. These include the Intercept system which employs psoralen and UV light, the MiraSol system which employs riboflavin and UV light, and the Theraflex system which employs UVC light alone. These systems and the findings from work which have been conducted in the past 20 years may be relevant to the reviewed topic and possibly even serve as launching points for the initiation of renewed research efforts in this field.

At present, there is no experience reported in the literature about specific uses of UBI for SARS-CoV-1, MERS, and SARS-CoV-2 viral infections. A search in clinicaltrials.gov for “Ultraviolet Blood Irradiation” does not return any result. However, a search for “Extracorporeal Photopheresis” still returns 69 results. The major reason for this is the skepticism by mainstream media towards “the cure that time forgot” UBI, which has been only used in recent times by the alternative medicine community, and the unawareness of the ECP development, that, opposite, is still used by the orthodox medical community with further improvements still being sought. While there is no reason to propose mass use of UBI or ECP-based protocols against SARS-CoV-2 infection, it is certainly warranted to perform further basic studies about UBI and ECP mechanisms, and then conduct trials for specific applications including SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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