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As bromodomains have no other known functions, the reading of the acetyl-lysine marks is inconsequential beyond blocking access of competing binders. Indeed, bromodomains usually perform their role as part of large multidomain proteins. It is assumed that they help in the recruitment and assembly of multiprotein complexes that regulate transcription and/or modification (write, erase) the histone code itself (7, 11–13). Based on this role, it is useful to formulate some likely properties of their binding to acetyl-lysine marks on histone tails. 1) The binding should be such that the residence times of the fully assembled complex do not add lag to the regulatory pathways involved. The speed of regulation at the gene level implies residence times of seconds or less (14–18). Moreover, most acetylation marks in the tails are turned over in minutes to hours themselves (19, 20). This means that the binding of the histone tail to the bromodomain is likely to be weak with fast on- and off-rates. 2) Because histone tails are positively charged under physiological conditions, electrostatic steering (21–24) can be a viable mechanism to accelerate binding, in particular to aid in the formation of productive encounter complexes. 3) Histone tails are completely disordered in solution, which has been argued to enable fast binding rates (25, 26). Because the tails are not known to fold upon binding, it is a reasonable conjecture that their in vivo interaction partners are not completely rigid either (27, 28). 4) Transcriptional regulation is an inherently noisy system due to the low concentrations of many of the molecular players involved (29). Redundancy in function, which translates to low overall specificity (30), and ultrason sensitivity to regulation (28) are both properties that can be conferred by the formation of disordered complexes between two solvent-accessible entities (e.g. loops and tails). The epigenetic code is one example of a regulatory system likely to use both properties to generate predictable outcomes. Consequently, it...
has been difficult to rationalize the often wide impact of pharmaceutical interference with bromodomain–histone interactions (31).

Here we ask what the molecular details allowing for such a set of properties could be. To further our mechanistic understanding of the reading of histone acetylation marks, we resort to MD simulations of a model system (viz. the bromodomain of ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 2 (ATAD2)). There is considerable interest in this bromodomain (ATAD2A) as it is overexpressed in many types of cancer and its expression level correlates with poor survival probability (32–35). Although many insights have been obtained from comparisons of crystal structures in the apo-form with those complexed with small inhibitors or N-terminal histone tail fragments (36, 37), these analyses remain largely “static.” Similarly, the search for potent inhibitors through fragment-based screening (38–41) has yielded impressive results, but no clear rationale for peptide potent inhibitors through fragment-based screening (38–41) offer two alternative starting conformations with either Kac5 or Kac12 inserted into the binding pocket (Fig. 1b). Supplemental Movie S1 offers a detailed look at the Kac5-inserted structure and its surface properties. In the remainder of the paper, we compare the two binding modes and their impact on ATAD2A conformation with a particular focus on loop disorder. The peptide is stably bound during these holo-simulations, and we additionally analyze MD data of the unbound states of both ATAD2A (apo-simulations) and histone tail for comparison (see summary in Fig. 1c and under “Experimental procedures”). We conclude by discussing the implications of our results for the ability of bromodomains to read the histone code.

**Results**

Below, we first analyze the binding mode of the two histone marks (viz. H4(1–16)K5acK12ac with buried Kac5 or Kac12) and then investigate to what extent they influence the flexibility of the ZA loop with respect to each other and to the apo-form.

**Bromodomain binding does not strongly restrict the peptide’s conformational space**

The distributions of the radii of gyration (supplemental Fig. S2) and two-dimensional histograms of radius of gyration versus asphericity (Fig. 2) show that the H4(1–16) peptide exhibits similar average behavior irrespective of whether it is bound and, when bound, irrespective of which Kac is inserted. The dominant states are always those with small values for both parameters, indicating that the peptide prefers to fold back onto itself. This is somewhat unexpected, given the sequence of the H4 tail, which is dominated by positively charged and glycine residues. The average radii of gyration are not different in a statistically reliable way (supplemental Fig. S2). Whereas the shape of the distributions for the unbound peptide indicates a slightly broader conformational envelope, this is a weak effect. It thus appears as if the peptide is solvated similarly well by either the protein or aqueous buffer. The most important implication of this is that the histone tail’s disorder can be maintained in the bound state, which means that binding may be entropically neutral or even favorable if one also considers the contribution of the solvent.

The individual trajectories of the bound peptide are on the submicrosecond time scale (see Fig. 1c), and each one samples a restricted area of phase space as is apparent from supplemental Fig. S2 and will become clear in general below. This is not the case for the simulations of the unbound peptide, where the S.E. values are much smaller. The differences in sampling quality
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Figure 1. Schematic views of ATAD2A. a, the helix bundle architecture of the domain is shown in green with the four helices (A, B, C, and Z) labeled. The two important loops connecting αZ with αA (ZA loop, gray) and αB with αC (BC loop, yellow) are indicated. The (slightly truncated) backbone of the simulated peptides with Kac12 (orange) and Kac5 (pink) inserted is depicted along with a stick representation of this residue. In both cases, the peptide structure is taken from the parts resolved in 4QUU and 4QUT along with an example reconstruction for the remainder. The protein corresponds to the first stored snapshot from one of the Kac5-inserted simulations (see also supplemental Movie S1). b, we present a focused view of the interaction surface with a number of residues highlighted. Residues Tyr1021, Asn1064, and Ile1074 on the BC loop and Tyr1021 on the ZA loop form the immediate environment of the Kac side chain. The putative gatekeeper residue is Ile1074. c, simulation inventory. Starting from crystals 4QUU and 4QUT, we added the residues missing in the ligand and generated 10 starting structures from each crystal by Monte Carlo sampling (see supplemental Methods). We started one simulation from the crystal 3DAI, from which we saved one snapshot every 20 ns starting at 120 ns, for a total of 10 structures. These were used as starting points of the additional apo-runs. Starting conformations for the unbound peptide runs were chosen such that they covered the envelope of the holo-runs in Fig. 2. The superposed schematic images of the peptides represent the actual starting conformations in all cases.

highlight that the rates of conformational fluctuations are significantly reduced for the bound peptide, although the envelope may be similar. We do emphasize that the similar average behavior extracted from the 10 trajectories in each case is unlikely to be mere coincidence.

In light of this, there is a conceptual caveat that we sample orientations of the truncated C terminus of the H4 peptide, which may well be incompatible with the presence of the full histone. To address this concern, we heuristically calculated the fraction of peptide-bound conformations in which the C-terminal N-methyl amide group is buried (see supplemental Fig. S3). The fractions of nonrepresentative conformations calculated this way are 8% (Kac5 inserted) and 13% (Kac12 inserted), respectively. Although obviously an approximation, this suggests to us that the observed peptide conformations are fair representatives also in the context of the entire histone. In addition, we tested the hypothesis that a change in secondary structure content of the histone tail is masked by a lack of shape and size changes. However, regular elements of secondary structure are almost never observed in the simulations (supplemental Fig. S4), which is expected because 8 of 16 peptide residues are glycines. The invariance in Fig. 2 and supplemental Fig. S2 is not an inherent property of the sequence, however, and it has been shown that the addition of acetylation marks does have a significant influence on the free energy landscape (45).

The binding is characterized by a consensus mean interaction pattern for both acetyl marks

The peptide versus ATAD2A and peptide versus peptide residue-level contact maps in Fig. 3 highlight that the mean interaction profile is largely identical if the inserted Kac residue is used as a reference point along the sequence of the histone tail. The S.E. values for these data per cell are shown in supplemental Fig. S5. Supplemental Fig. S6 reveals that the interaction profiles are indeed nearly superimposable if peptide residues
are shifted accordingly. This is not completely unexpected, given that the sequence context is similar (i.e. 1SGRGKacG-GKG9 and 8KGLGKacGGAK16). The largest differences are encountered where residue properties differ most (i.e. Arg3 versus Leu10). It is important to point out that many of the contextual interactions are not present simultaneously (i.e. the pattern results from the disordered ensembles of peptide and ZA loop, which retain their disorder upon binding (see below)). This structural ambiguity is a hallmark of fuzzy complexes (46), and it here involves two disordered binding partners.

The intermolecular contact patterns in Fig. 3 allow the following observations. First, the buried Kac is always involved in the largest number of contacts with ATAD2A, and these include the expected specific interactions with Asn1064, Tyr1021, and the gatekeeper, Ile1074 (Fig. 1b). Second, the contacts between the residues immediately adjacent to the inserted Kac and the residues in the ZA and BC loops (residues Asp1003–Asp1030 and Tyr1063–Asp1068, respectively) are preserved (i.e. no reversal of peptide orientation occurs). Third, the following up/downstream residues with a positive charge (Ser1/Lys8 and Lys8/Lys16 for Kac5 and Kac12, respectively) are involved in favorable electrostatic interactions with the aspartate triad of the BC loop (viz. Asp1066, Asp1068, and Asp1071). There appears to be an inversion of contact preference for this triad, and it seems that Kac5 insertion favors the upstream position (Ser1/Lys8) over Lys16 for Kac5 and Kac12, respectively) are involved in favorable electrostatic interactions with the aspartate triad of the BC loop (viz. Asp1066, Asp1068, and Asp1071). There appears to be an inversion of contact preference for this triad, and it seems that Kac5 insertion favors the upstream position (Ser1/Lys8), whereas Kac12 insertion favors the downstream position (Lys16 over Lys8). A possible reason is that both of the favored residues are terminal in the construct we used (excluding the C-terminal cap), but this result may not be significant (see supplemental Fig. S5).

Even the specific binding motif of the acetyl mark is subject to distinct subpopulations, as shown in supplemental Fig. S7, which resolves two major states differing in whether Asn1064 or Tyr1021 form a direct hydrogen bond to the oxygen atom of the acetyl mark. The typical conformation found in crystals (direct hydrogen bond to Asn1064) (9) is well-populated in the simulations irrespective of which Kac is inserted. Importantly, neither Fig. 3 nor supplemental Fig. S6 reveal dramatic differences between the two holo data sets, and the relative deviations in the superimposed contact patterns (supplemental Fig. S6) never exceed ~50%

The main results are thus that the interaction surface covers a significant portion of the bromodomain, that the average contact patterns are preserved irrespective of the inserted Kac side chain, and that preferential interactions exist to histone peptide residues as far as four positions away from the acetyl mark. Because many of the contacts do not occur simultaneously, it is not possible to conclude that ATAD2A recognizes a particular sequence motif. Instead, it seems as if the complex must be viewed as a broad ensemble of bound states. In this view, sequence context is likely to contribute by coarser parameters, such as glycine content or the rough spacing of positive charges, to both the preferred orientation of binding and to net affinity, which remains moderate (36).

The binding interface is partially disordered in both bound and unbound states

Fig. 3 shows that the histone peptide makes significant interactions with ATAD2A’s ZA loop (Fig. 1b, residues 1003–1030) as well as the BC loop and its surroundings (residues 1056–1074). Across space groups, crystallographic B factors provide conflicting information regarding the residual heterogeneity in the crystalline state (supplemental Fig. S1b). We hypothesize that the ZA loop is the major site of disorder, and the coordinate-based root mean square fluctuation profiles of the Cα atoms in supplemental Fig. S8 seem to support this claim. However, the root mean square fluctuation values also implicate the BC loop region and both termini as flexible. It is a caveat that...
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these values are influenced by the requirement to align structures, which is difficult to do in a way that treats all segments of the protein equally. We thus show in Fig. 4 a complementary measure of heterogeneity based on unique strings of backbone dihedral angles across 5-residue segments and 5-ns intervals. This analysis assumes a 3-state model per residue (see legend to Fig. 4 for details). Fig. 4 gives a result that is qualitatively consistent with a visual inspection of the trajectories, which, aside from the ZA loop, reveals ATAD2A to be surprisingly rigid. The most disordered stretch is the same in both the holo- and apo-forms (residues 1008–1022) and coincides exactly with the dominant interaction surface on the protein involving ZA loop residues (Fig. 3). This is a stunning result as it means that the ZA loop retains most of its disorder upon binding of the histone tail. This type of fuzzy complex (28, 46) is likely to facilitate fast binding as no disorder-to-order transition occurs. Furthermore, the segment from residue 1025 to 1030 loses some heterogeneity in the holo-forms, which is surprising, given that it does not often form direct contacts with the peptide (Fig. 3). Last, Fig. 4 reveals the rest of the bromodomain to be essentially free of significant (anharmonic) conformational fluctuations at the backbone level.

We thus conclude that partial disorder for both partners and binding are not mutually exclusive even when no disorder-to-order transition occurs. This is somewhat similar to prior observations of the disordered binding of small molecules to a disordered peptide (47). The 3-state model for backbone dihedrals (supplemental Fig. S9) also allows us to quantify the dynamics of conformational transitions in the bound partners. Because the Kac anchor has to be inserted, it is presumably beneficial for transient binding if the surrounding sequence context does not add slow steps to the (un)binding. Although we do not observe spontaneous (un)binding events, Fig. 5 depicts the total transition rates for each residue in the peptide and in the loop regions in the bound and unbound states. On average, rates for the peptide are roughly 1 order of magnitude faster than for ATAD2A (nanosecond time scale). As implied by Fig. 4, the BC loop as well as the N-terminal section of the ZA loop do not undergo any backbone conformational transitions.

Fig. 5b highlights a few ZA loop residues displaying major deviations between apo- and holo-forms within the structure. For some of these (e.g. Thr1010, Pro1015, and Glu1017) values in the apo-runs are comparable with those of peptide residues, highlighting 1) the disordered nature of the ZA loop and 2) that the presence of the histone peptide is of course not entirely inconsequential. The segment Pro1015–Asp1020 in particular forms the highest peak in Fig. 4. As will become clear below, it tends to extend much more into solution in the apo-form, and this constitutes a substantial departure from the crystal structure. Glu1017 engages in salt bridges with Lys8 of the peptide (Fig. 3), which is likely to cause the slowdown of its dynamics in the holo-runs. Last, Asp1020 makes it clear that a disordered binding partner can even enhance the rate of spontaneous fluctuations of the protein for a residue in direct contact (Fig. 5b).

**Holo-trajectories return to the crystallographic structures more often than apo-trajectories**

To quantify the mutual similarity and level of overlap between the apo- and holo-runs, we turn to a powerful analysis and visualization strategy called a SAPPHIRE plot (48). Briefly, all snapshots are arranged by geometric criteria that use as the only input a definition of pairwise distance between conformations. The resultant sequence (termed progress index) is annotated with structural information, times of occurrence (kinetic trace), and a cut function able to highlight basins and barriers (see “Experimental procedures” and Ref. 49 for details).

Fig. 6 shows a SAPPHIRE plot constructed with the coordinate RMSD of the N, O, and Cα atoms of residues of ATAD2A’s ZA and BC loops (see supplemental Methods for the full list) while aligning on the Cα atoms of the four α-helix bundle. Focusing first on the cut function (solid lines), a number of large and many smaller metastable states are easily identifiable. The dot pattern gives the sampling times with individual trajectories offset from each other. It is clear that most basins are predominantly sampled by either apo- or holo-runs but not both. The most populated metastable states are visited multiple times (recurrently), which is in contrast to many of the smaller ones. Whereas there are a few states unique to individual holo-trajectories (e.g. around progress index values of 4 × 105), no major state emerges for which the following holds: It is sampled recurrently within the set of trajectories with Kac5 inserted but is not visited when Kac12 is inserted (and vice versa). This suggests that, aside from individual trajectory divergence, the holo-simulations are a relatively homogeneous set that differs clearly from the apo data set.

This result is corroborated by the joint clustering of all snapshots (see “Experimental procedures”). We obtained 4251 clusters of size 20 or more representing 93% of all snapshots. There are 748 among these to which both holo-conditions contribute at least 10% of the snapshots, and this includes 51 of the 100
largest clusters. Conversely, the overlap numbers for Kac5 inserted with apo and Lys12 inserted with apo are 139 and 189, respectively, and here the shared clusters are never among the 100 largest overall. A high level of separation between apo- and holo-runs may be suggestive of a binding mechanism tending more toward the classical “induced fit” model, but this inference is weakened by the fact that divergence of individual trajectories does occur (see supplemental Figs. S2 and S5).

Up to progress index values of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^5$, Fig. 6 predominantly shows basins sampled recurrently in holo-runs irrespective of which Kac is inserted. Both DSSP (50) and dihedral angle annotations reveal these states to differ from each other in the joint state of ZA loop residues Pro1019 and Asp1020 (see annotation). The snapshots from the apo-runs are also concentrated in a recurrent sampling region, which is located at $4.8 \times 10^5$ to $6.4 \times 10^5$. These basins appear quite homogeneous, and there are clear differences between basins (e.g. again in residues Pro1019 and Asp1020). Supplemental Fig. S11 uses histograms of data in annotations in Fig. 6 to illustrate that heterogeneity in these two residues occurs similarly in all three simulation sets. Importantly, many other features deviate strongly from all three crystal structures, and the higher similarity of holo- than apo-runs to crystal structures is best illustrated using the small segment Pro1015–Glu1017, where the tight turn is consistently lost in the apo-runs (DSSP assignment is empty or “bend”). Indeed, supplemental Fig. S11 clearly shows a split between apo- and holo-runs for Asp1016.

**Different states can have different binding apertures**

The analyses in Figs. 4 and 5 revealed the stretch of residues 1015–1020 in the ZA loop to be the most flexible one in the entire domain. There is a cluster of closely spaced negative charges (Asp1014, Asp1016, Glu1017, Asp1020), and Glu1017 and Asp1020 engage in frequent contacts with a number of residues of the peptide in the holo case (Fig. 3). In the absence of the peptide, the structure opens up to become more solvent-exposed. This reshaping of the ZA loop is documented by an annotation with selected intramolecular distances in Fig. 6 (bottom of structural annotations). Distances between C$_\alpha$ atoms of the ZA loop and the gatekeeper residue, Ile1074, are shown. For example, the aforementioned localized dihedral change of Pro1019 and Asp1020 in the holo case coincides with a small but noticeable difference in the distance of Glu1017–Ile1074. For the most homogeneous apo-basin located at progress index values of $\sim 6 \times 10^5$, the same distance adopts large values not encountered in holo-simulations, which is revealed clearly by histograms (supplemental Fig. S11). This contrast between apo- and holo-states indicates that peptide binding tends to pull the ZA loop in to recruit additional binding interfaces, which is intuitive. Consistent with the disorder of the peptide–protein interaction, not all bound states show a fully contracted ZA loop (e.g. the basins near $3.3 \times 10^5$ and $6.6 \times 10^5$).

Fig. 7 shows a juxtaposition of two conformations that are either “closed” or “open” according to this simplified definition. Taken together, Figs. 6 and 7b provide evidence for the claim made previously that the segment Pro1015–Asp1020 within the ZA loop can extend far into solution. From Fig. 6 and supplemental Fig. S11, it is also clear that this happens predominantly, but not exclusively, in the apo-state. The suggestion that this provides a mechanism of increasing the on-rate for histone tail
binding is obvious, in particular if we consider the net negative charge of this segment.

The analysis is robust

One may be tempted to speculate that the split in Fig. 6 into so many distinct states for a domain that remains folded throughout is an artifact of the properties and dimensionality of the metric we use. Here, we provide evidence against this notion. First, the three crystal structures (purple) superimpose and co-localize with many of the first snapshots of the holo-runs (orange) and the first snapshot of the first apo-trajectory (cyan) but not with any first snapshots of the 10 apo-runs started from MD snapshots (dark blue). This matches the expected memory of the initial conditions we used. With an uninformative distance function, we would expect these points to be scattered across the plot. Second, we obtain a generally good partitioning of dihedral angle states in Fig. 6, although the metric is based on RMSD. For comparison, supplemental Fig. S12 presents a complementary SAPPHIRE plot from the data using the dihedral angles in the ZA and BC loops to define distance (see the supplemental material for the full list). This plot demonstrates that most of the snapshots from basins delineated in Fig. 6 also form basins in this new representation, thus confirming the robustness of the partitioning. Third, we show in Fig. 8 explicit projections of reduced dimensionality.

Fig. 8 (a–c) uses two geometric distances between the gatekeeper and ZA loop residues (viz. Glu1017–Ile1074 and Tyr1021–Ile1074). Despite the dimensionality now being 2 instead of 297 as in Fig. 6, the holo- and apo-runs sample only a marginal overlap region away from the respective minima of the projected energy surface (Fig. 8c). Conversely, the two holo-sets largely overlap. Consistent with the prior analysis, the main basin of the two holo-runs but not the apo-runs includes the crystal structures (Fig. 8, a and b). To further corroborate the results derived from Fig. 6, Fig. 8d utilizes a projection of the snapshots onto the first two principal components of the
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Figure 7. Illustration of changes to binding site aperture. a, a closed state from the main basin of the holo-simulations (peptide omitted). The domain is shown as schematics with the acidic residues mentioned in “Results” highlighted as sticks along with Lys1011, Tyr1021, and Ile1074. The coloring of the schematic is the same as in Fig. 1 (a and b). The aperture distances (black dashed lines) shown in Fig. 6 and supplemental Fig. S12 use the reference points indicated by the orange spheres. These residues are additionally identified with text labels. b, an open state from the main basin of the apo-simulations. Note how far Glu1017 can extend into solution. The Cα, RMSD between the two conformations is 3.6 Å when including the ZA loop and 1.2 Å when ignoring it.

dihedral angles of the ZA loop. Again, the three sets of simulations appear to reside in overlapping regions only for the two holo data sets. We are therefore confident that there is a robust difference in the states sampled by apo- and holo-runs. This is in stark contrast to the negligible differences between the holo-runs with either Kac5 or Kac12 inserted.

Discussion

We simulated, using explicit solvent canonical MD, the complex of the bromodomain of ATAD2A with a diacetylated N-terminal tail of histone H4, specifically H4(1–16)K5acK12ac, in two different binding modes (i.e. with buried Kac5 and Kac12). Together with simulations of the unbound protein and peptide, the following picture emerged. On the time scale of the single simulation runs (~0.55 μs), we did not observe any unbinding events or interconversions between the two acetylated marks. The two binding modes involve an almost identical pattern of interactions with the bromodomain (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S6), which implies that they prefer the same orientation. The peptide, as expected based on sequence, does not fold upon binding (51); nor does it exhibit polymeric properties that are strongly altered (Fig. 2 and supplemental Fig. S2). Peptide binding has a mild influence on local heterogeneity (Fig. 4). As expected, rates of interconversion of backbone dihedral angles are slowed down for both binding partners in the bound state (supplemental Figs. S2, S5, and S10). There are no obvious and statistically meaningful differences in the sampled protein conformational states of the two different binding modes (supplemental Figs. S6, S11, and S12). Conversely, apo-states of the protein deviate further from both holo-states and all deposited crystal structures, and this is particularly manifest in an acidic stretch of the ZA loop (Figs. 6–8). In light of these results, we formulate the following tentative conclusions.

Fast rates are prioritized over ligand specificity

The overall results of the simulations reveal that the ATAD2A-histone H4 complex is highly dynamic and can be reasonably classified as a fuzzy complex (46) involving two disordered binding partners. This suggests that the bulk of the interaction free energy, which represents a modest affinity, is carried by the acetylated marks while allowing for fast on- and off-rates. This is essential because, for example, the H4KAc5 modification has an estimated half-life of only 2 h in HeLa cells (19). The binding goes beyond the classical typology of conformational selection as both binding partners sample a range of conformations continuously. We hypothesize that a number of these are mutually compatible or are separated from compatible states by low barriers, thus enabling rapid productive encounters.

The primary known function of bromodomains is to recognize and bind acetylated lysine residues. For different acetylation marks, specificity, which is often weak but documented (30, 44, 52, 53), must be conferred by sequence context. Our results let us conclude that the primary design constraint on the interaction surface of ATAD2A is adaptability and not specificity. In both binding modes, the peptide makes numerous and somewhat changeable interactions with ATAD2A, which form a consistent average pattern that is nearly identical for Kac5 and Kac12 (Fig. 3). The adaptability results in a weak coupling between protein conformational states and peptide binding, and the ZA loop of ATAD2A itself can be considered as intrinsically disordered. From ongoing MD simulations, other bromodomains, such as CREBBP, BAZ2A, and BRPF1, preserve this general trend but to a lesser extent. A comprehensive understanding of adaptability to peptide ligands across the bromodomain family is part of ongoing work. This includes the use of chemical probes to expose heterogeneities in binding modes (54–56).

In general, it is not unreasonable that speed is prioritized over affinity to allow fast signaling and adjustments to cellular needs (57). Binding promiscuity is a hallmark of intrinsically disordered proteins and regions (IDPs and IDRs) (58, 59), and the histone code appears to regulate this property for the tails. Promiscuous binding of histone tails to bromodomains is well-documented (8, 30). IDRs often function by transient interactions and are frequently subject to post-translational modifications (60). The properties of IDRs are particularly amenable to the editing and use of these modifications, which first and foremost require accessibility.

Disorder and electrostatics work in concert for recruitment

It is interesting that the ZA loop in its apo-state is able to extend far into solution (~2 nm from the binding site for a domain whose long dimension is only ~5 nm), thus providing a clear mechanism for increasing the on-rate. This type of fly-casting mechanism (61, 62) is well-characterized for IDPs, albeit less so for loops in an otherwise folded domain. The increased range is likely to benefit from utilizing long-range attractions, and this is exactly the case for ATAD2A. The most mobile part of the ZA loop is net acidic, and this property may play an important role in “capturing” net positively charged histone tails during binding. This interaction mechanism may be utilized elsewhere, and an example in the literature is the binding of a 17-residue neuropeptide, which is net positively charged, to a highly acidic, 26-residue disordered loop of the
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k-opioid receptor with ∼32 µM affinity and with little influence on loop conformation as inferred from NMR (63). It should be pointed out that ATAD2A is, as expected, negatively charged under physiological conditions but that the excess charge is located largely at the opposite end of the domain (i.e. distal from the binding site in the ∼25 C-terminal residues) (see Movie S1). This hints at a role of the C-terminal helix in recruitment and/or position of the domain relative to the histone core.

A second intriguing detail emerging from our study is the fact that the histone tail remains disordered upon binding. If the tail were to be bound rigidly to the bromodomain surface, the orientational freedom required for assembling a stable complex between histone, bromodomain, and either DNA or additional proteins (e.g. the remainder of the ATAD2 gene product) may be compromised. This is particularly relevant as the histone tails are not that long (e.g. 20–25 residues for H4).

Simulations are essential to understand the functional role of disorder

Figs. 6–8 raise the question of the representative value of some crystal structures of ATAD2A, given the exposed nature and high disorder of the ZA loop. Whereas the helix bundle deviates little from the experimental result in the simulations, the ZA loop in the apo-state explores only “new territory.” It appears as if the holo-simulations recur to the crystal structures, including apo ones, more often, and it can be argued that crystal contacts are the primary determinants of ZA loop conformations in several ATAD2A crystals (see supplemental Fig. S1 and Table 1). The MD simulations have the potential to go beyond the description of the binding mode available from the X-ray structures for three main reasons. First, coordinates are reported only for the first five or six histone residues (i.e. 9–13, 3–7, and 1–6) for the complexes with H4(9–16), H4(3–16), and H4(1–20) (PDB codes 4QUT, 4QUU, and 4TT2, respectively). This is expected and consistent with the flexibility observed in the simulations (e.g. schematics in Fig. 2). Second, truncation of constructs can also lead to spurious electrostatic interactions because of charged N or C termini. As an example, in the structure of ATAD2A with the H4(9–16) peptide segment (PDB code 4QUT), the salt bridges between the positively charged amino group of G9 and the aspartate triad on the BC loop are probably artifactual. Third, as shown in prior work, the Kac mark can populate alternative binding modes, which differ in insertion depth, hydrogen-bonded partners, the number of

Figure 8. Two-dimensional projections of the data. a, histograms in two distances measuring binding pocket aperture for the two sets of holo-simulations. Black dots indicate these values for all crystal structures of ATAD2A released until February 28, 2017. Overlaid color densities distinguish points from main basins in Fig. 6 (see legend to Fig. 6). b, this panel is analogous to a except the histogram in the background is derived from apo-simulations alone and two different basins were extracted. c, an overlay in the same 2D distance space as a and b of histogram density estimates split into the three simulation groups together with three contour lines per histogram. Apo data are in blue, and holo data with Kac5 and Kac12 inserted are shown in magenta and cyan, respectively. d, from sine and cosine values of the ZA loop (residues Lys1004–Asp1030), we calculated principal components, and the distribution of the first two is shown as a density and contour plot as in c. Here, the circles are the starting structures of all of the MD runs and use the same color convention as Fig. 6.
water molecules in the binding site, etc. We find this to be the case also for ATAD2A as supplemental Fig. S7 documents. This includes bound states where the Kac binding pocket itself is severely, although reversibly, deformed. Thus, whereas the large number of crystal structures lets Kac binding to bromodomains appear as a homogeneous process with a well-conserved, ordered reference state, the simulations highlight the critical role that disorder plays in this binding, and we argue here that it confers properties that are necessary for function.

**Fuzzy interactions may promote cooperativity between nearby marks**

In the binding of histone tails to bromodomains, the immediate sequence context can be similar or identical. For example, in human histone H4, the sequence GKKacG is encountered for both positions 5 and 12 (and Kac8 would be the same). Given that these motifs can occur multiple times along the sequence, we conjecture here not only that they can bring two different binding partners close to each other in a ternary complex, but that they are also read and understood by a single domain although only a single mark is bound at any given time. A quantitative understanding of the thermodynamic and kinetic implications of multiple acetylations, which are well-known experimentally (e.g. for ATAD2A and histone H4 (44) or BAZ2A and histone H2A (30)) will require a different simulation strategy, most likely relying on enhanced sampling techniques. These are required because the unbinding time exceeds 1 μs, which makes simulations of reversible dissociation and association prohibitively expensive by conventional sampling. The high prevalence of intrinsic disorder in proteins involved in transcriptional regulation (64) makes it seem probable that the recognition of modified histone tails in fuzzy complexes is a general mechanism for this cellular process.

**Conclusions**

The regulation of transcription (and many bromodomains are thought or known to be involved in this) is a surprisingly stochastic process due to the low copy numbers involved (see Introduction). Nonetheless, proper cell function necessitates outcomes that are highly predictable despite this stochasticity (29). We have reported here the binding of histone tail peptides in fuzzy complexes, which allude to three distinct roles that disorder can play in achieving these predictable outcomes. First, disorder can enable binding promiscuity, which leads to functional redundancy in the regulatory network, and this provides robustness. Second, the similarity of average contact patterns suggests a dynamic switching that would enable cooperativity between nearby marks. Such cooperativity would allow an ultrasensitive fine-tuning of the recruitment of bromodomains to histones. Third, the absence of any disorder-to-order transition during binding is likely to impart speed to complex formation, and fast binding and unbinding rates avoid unnecessary lag during cellular responses to regulatory signals. It has not been easy to understand the outcomes of interfering with bromodomain function (31), and we believe that the results of this study provide clues regarding the origins of this difficulty.

**Experimental procedures**

The protocols for preparing the system, running the MD simulations, and analyzing the data are described briefly here. Additional methodological details are provided as supplemental material (supplemental Methods and Table S1).

**Simulation protocols**

The coordinates of the ATAD2A bromodomain in complex with the histone peptides H4(3–16)K5ac and H4(9–16)K12ac were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB codes 4QUU and 4QUT (37), respectively). The sequence of the N-terminal residues 1–16 of the H4 peptide is SGRKKGGKGLGKGGAK (H4(1–16)). All MD simulations were carried out with the diacetylated H4(1–16)K5acK12ac peptide, and the C-terminal residue (i.e. Lys16) was capped with N-methylamide to emulate the linkage to the rest of the histone H4.

Starting from the X-ray structure, the peptid residues that were not found in the crystal were manually built using PyMOL (PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.7.4, Schrödinger, LLC, New York) and relaxed through a broad combination of Monte Carlo moves as implemented in the CAMPARI software package (http://campari.sourceforge.net),

keeping the bromodomain frozen and restraining the resolved peptide residues in the binding pocket (see supplemental Methods and Table S1 in the supplemental material). 10 evenly spaced snapshots were extracted from the run of 10⁶ elementary moves. All of the crystal waters not clashing with the added residues of the peptide were kept. A short NPT and NVT water equilibration was run before the actual production at 310 K in the NVT ensemble.

For either Kac5 or Kac12 inserted, 10 peptide-bound (holo) simulations were run for 0.55 μs each. Simulations of the free (apo) ATAD2A bromodomain were started from the structure with PDB code 3DAI (8). To generate somewhat diverse starting conformations, we ran an initial simulation from the crystal structure (after equilibration), from which, after the first 120 ns, we selected one structure every 20 ns to be used as a starting point for 10 additional independent runs of 0.37 μs each. The first simulation was run for 0.43 μs and is included in the analysis. Last, simulations of the free peptide used a set of 10 starting conformations diverse in size and shape and were run for 100 ns each. Detailed settings are provided as supplemental material (supplemental Methods), and a pictorial summary of all of the MD runs carried out is shown in Fig. 1c.

**Data analysis**

Simple analyses are described in the figure legends, and additional details can be found in the supplemental material. To generate a comprehensive view of the thermodynamics and kinetics of the simulated system, we employed the so-called progress index algorithm (49). In it, snapshots are arranged in such a way that at each step the (geometrically) closest snapshot to any of the prior snapshots in the sequence is added. We rely on a scalable approximate implementation as published. This requires a preorganization step by means of a tree-based clas-
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tering (65). The starting point was always picked as the centroid representative of the largest cluster in this auxiliary clustering. The idea behind the progress index is that geometrically homogeneous states are all resolved without overlap and that the resolution is maximal (snapshot level).

Different annotations of the trajectory can be plotted per snapshot as arranged by the progress index to obtain a SAPPHIRE plot (48), which helps in the interpretation of the discovered basins. The cut function we show here for kinetic partitioning is the so-called local cut in the original publication (49). It corresponds to the inverse of the mean first passage time between two states defined as the 70,000 snapshots to the left or right of the current position in the progress index. As plotted, this annotation creates a pseudo-free energy profile (i.e., low values within basins and high values at barriers). The particular choice for the width of the two states is expected to be sufficient as long as no basins are significantly larger than twice this value. As the distance function for generating the progress index, we used either the RMSD of selected atoms along the ZA and BC loops after alignment of the Cα atoms of α-helices or the dihedral angle distance (65) of the φ and ψ angles of the ZA loop (see supplemental material).
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