A novel insertion pathway of mitochondrial outer membrane proteins with multiple transmembrane segments
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Introduction

More than 99% of mitochondrial proteins are encoded by the nuclear genome, synthesized in the cytoplasm as precursor proteins, and imported posttranslationally into the mitochondria (Koehler, 2004; Bohnert et al., 2007). Most proteins destined for the matrix and several proteins destined for the inner membrane or intermembrane space (IMS) possess a cleavable N-terminal pre-sequence that functions for the mitochondrial matrix targeting. Unlike these proteins, the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) proteins are synthesized as mature proteins, and the targeting signals are contained within the mature protein sequence (Rapaport, 2003). MOM contains three distinct protein types: proteins embedded in the membrane in a β-barrel structure such as porin and Tom40 (Paschen et al., 2005), proteins anchored to the membrane through one or several α-helical hydrophobic transmembrane segments (TMSs), and proteins peripherally associated with the MOM such as Sam35/Tom38/Tob38 (Ishikawa et al., 2004; Waizenegger et al., 2004; Bohnert et al., 2007). The preprotein import receptors Tom70 and Tom20 are anchored to the MOM through their single-spanning N-terminal TMS, whereas other proteins such as Bak and Bcl-XL are anchored to the membrane through a single-spanning C-terminal TMS (C-terminal tail anchor [C-TA] proteins; Setoguchi et al., 2006). Additionally, there are several examples of proteins with multiple TMSs. Yeast Fzo1 (or mammalian mitofusin 1 [Mfn1] and Mfn2) is anchored to the MOM through two TMSs localizing at the C-terminal segment and mediates mitochondrial fusion (Fritz et al., 2001). The peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR) is anchored to the MOM through five TMSs and is involved in cholesterol import (Joseph-Liauzun et al., 1998). A mitochondrial ubiquitin ligase (MITOL; also named MARCH-V) is embedded in the MOM with four putative TMSs and regulates mitochondrial dynamics (Nakamura et al., 2006; Yonashiro et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2007).

It is generally thought that the preprotein import machinery of the outer membrane (translocase of outer membrane [TOM] complex) is responsible for the import of virtually all of the nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins (Rapaport, 2005).
Indeed, β-barrel proteins porin and Tom40 synthesized in the cytoplasm are delivered to the import receptors Tom70 and Tom20 and are transferred through the Tom40 channel into the IMS, where they are integrated into the MOM through the sorting and assembly machinery (SAM; also named TOB [topogenesis of MOM β-barrel proteins]) complex assisted by IMS-localizing small Tim proteins (Koehler, 2004; Bohnert et al., 2007). The N-terminal signal anchor proteins, yeast Tom70 and Tom20, are integrated into the MOM independently of surface receptors but dependent on a region of Tom40 other than its pore segment (Ahting et al., 2005).

To our knowledge, the import pathway of the TMS-containing MOM proteins has been analyzed only for the components of the TOM complex, and little is known for proteins other than the TOM components as to which import receptor is responsible for the initial recognition and whether the Tom40 channel is involved in their membrane insertion.

In this study, we analyzed the membrane insertion of MOM proteins with multiple TMSs using in situ immunofluorescence microscopy and import into mitochondria isolated from TOM or SAM component–depleted semi-intact and intact cells. These experiments revealed a novel MOM insertion pathway.
Results and discussion

In vitro assay for integration of PBR into the MOM

Membrane topology of PBR as deduced from yeast mitochondria harboring human PBR revealed that it assumes a five-transmembrane topology extruding the N-terminal five amino acid residues into the IMS, whereas the C-terminal 14-residue segment remains in the cytoplasm (Joseph-Liauzun et al., 1998). We analyzed membrane orientation of the exogenously expressed rat PBR (N- or C-terminal HA-tagged versions) in cultured cells using a proteinase K–induced size-shift assay and confirmed the reported terminal orientation (Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702143/DC1).

We established an in vitro assay for MOM integration of PBR, taking advantage of the proteinase K sensitivity, which removes surface-adsorbed PBR and trims the C-terminal segment of the correctly inserted form (Fig. S1, C–E).

MOM integration of PBR depends on Tom70 but not on other TOM components

We then analyzed the import pathway of PBR using TOM component–depleted semi-intact cells. HeLa cells were treated with 25 μg/ml digitonin to selectively permeabilize the plasma membrane, cells were incubated with reticulocyte lysate–synthesized PBR-HA, and intracellular localization was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy. The imported PBR-HA colocalized with mitochondrial Tom22 (Fig. 1 A), exposing the C-terminal HA to the cytoplasm (Fig. 1 B). We then analyzed the effect of knockdown of TOM components on PBR import. This manipulation efficiently reduced the level of the target proteins (Fig. 1 C), and immunofluorescence microscopy (not depicted) and a proteinase K–induced size-shift assay (Fig. 1 D) revealed that PBR import was compromised by the Tom70 knockdown. Knockdown of other components, including the import receptors Tom20, Tom22, the central channel Tom40, and Sam50 had little effect on PBR import (Fig. 1 D).

These results were confirmed using intact cells. PBR-HA was exogenously expressed in TOM or SAM component–depleted HeLa cells, and its intracellular localization was examined by in situ immunofluorescence microscopy (unpublished data) and cell fractionation. Knockdown of Tom70 but not other TOM components significantly inhibited PBR import (Fig. 1 E). As controls, the import of both Su9-GFP and Tim23, the inner mitochondrial membrane protein with uncleavable targeting signal and four TMSs, was clearly compromised by the knockdown of Tom20, Tom22, or Tom40, whereas Tom70 knockdown had no inhibitory effect (Fig. 1 F; and not depicted for Tim23). Double expression of Su9-GFP and PBR-HA in the TOM-component knockdown cells further confirmed these results (Fig. 1 G).

Membrane integration of PBR occurs in the absence of Tom40

We examined whether the membrane integration of PBR depends on the import channel of Tom40. Mitochondria were isolated from Tom40 knockdown HeLa cells (Fig. 2 C), and we examined whether import of PBR would be affected by blocking
Figure 3. Analysis of import steps of PBR. (A and B) Reticulocyte-lysatet–synthesized PBR-HA, Su9-DHFR-HA, or T7–Bcl-XL was incubated with FLAG-tagged Tom proteins or FLAG-GFP-Tom70∆N purified from HeLa cells. They were subjected to pull-down reaction and subsequent immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. (C) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized PBR-HA was incubated with 100 U/ml apyrase, 10 mM AMP-PNP, or 1 mM novobiocin (NB). The reaction mixtures were subjected to pull-down assay as in B. (D) Rat liver mitochondria were subjected to BN-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using anti-PBR antibodies. (E and F) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA was incubated with control or Tom70-knockdown mitochondria under the indicated conditions. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by BN-PAGE and subsequent digital autoradiography. Band intensities of stage I and the mature form are shown, setting those of stage I (25°C for 15 min) and the mature form (25°C for 90 min plus 33°C for 60 min; E) or that of control (30 min) at 100% (F). Results obtained from three independent experiments are shown. Error bars represent SD. (G) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA was passed through a spin column and subjected to import in the absence or presence of 1 mM ATP or AMP-PNP under the indicated conditions. Other conditions were set as in E. (H) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA was subjected to mitochondrial import at 25°C for 15 min (binding). The mitochondria were reisolated...
the channel with tightly folded Su9–dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR). Mitochondrial import of the matrix-targeted preprotein pAd was inhibited by Tom40 knockdown, and the residual activity was further inhibited by the channel blockage (Fig. 2, A and B). As an additional control, Tom40 knockdown efficiently inhibited MOM integration of Tom40-dependent substrates VDAC2 and rat Tom5 (Setoguchi et al., 2006). In contrast, neither of these manipulations affected the import of PBR. We concluded that Tom40 is not involved in any mode in the MOM integration of PBR.

**Binding of PBR to Tom70**

We examined binding of PBR to Tom70 by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 3, A and B). Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized PBR-HA and Su9-DHFR–HA were separately incubated with FLAG-tagged Tom20, Tom22, Tom40, or Tom70 purified from HeLa cells followed by immunoprecipitation using anti-FLAG beads. Tom70 but not other TOM components specifically bound PBR-HA. Conversely, Tom20, Tom22, and Tom40 recognized Su9-DHFR but not PBR-HA. Furthermore, FLAG-GFP-Tom70ΔN, the fusion construct between the cytoplasmic fragment of Tom70 and FLAG-GFP, specifically bound PBR-HA (Fig. 3 B). Confirming a previous study (Young et al., 2003), FLAG-GFP-Tom70ΔN also bound Hsp90 present in reticulocyte lysate. Because Hsp90 delivers phosphate carrier and peptide transporter to Tom70 before translocation through the Tom40 channel in mammalian mitochondria, we analyzed whether Hsp90 is involved in PBR binding to Tom70. Novobiocin, a coumarin antibiotic, binds to the C-terminal domain of Hsp90 to inhibit its dimerization and substrate binding and, as such, inhibits the docking of phosphate carrier and peptide transporter to Tom70 (Fan et al., 2006). However, it did not inhibit the binding of PBR to Tom70 (Fig. 3 C). Although overall MOM integration of PBR depended on ATP hydrolysis (Fig. 3, G and H), binding of PBR to Tom70 was not affected by ATP depletion or by non-hydrolyzable ATP analogue AMP-PNP (Fig. 3 C). As a control, depletion of cytoplasmic ATP completely blocked the mitochondrial import of Su9-DHFR (unpublished data). Thus, Hsp90 and ATP hydrolysis were not required for the docking of PBR onto Tom70.

**Analysis of the import steps of PBR**

Mitochondrial PBR is organized in clusters of four to six molecules, as revealed by immunogold electron microscopy (Papadopoulos et al., 1997). Consistent with this study, digitonin-solubilized mitochondrial PBR migrated in blue-native (BN) PAGE more slowly than the SDS-solubilized monomeric form of ~18 kD (Fig. 3 D). Therefore, we analyzed the PBR assembly process using BN-PAGE and found that PBR matured through the monomeric stage I intermediate; it was formed during import at 20–25°C and could be chased to mature form upon incubation at 30–33°C (Fig. 3, E and F). Moreover, we found that (1) the PBR assembly was strongly compromised by removing mitochondrial surface proteins by trypsin (Fig. 3 F); (2) the level of stage I intermediate was markedly decreased by Tom70 knockdown (Fig. 3 F); (3) production of stage I intermediate depended on ATP hydrolysis (Fig. 3 G); (4) maturation of stage I intermediate to the oligomeric form did not require ATP hydrolysis (Fig. 3 H); (5) PBR in stage I but not the mature form was sensitive to externally added protease K, indicating that stage I PBR is exposed to the mitochondrial surface (Fig. 3 I); and (6) stage I PBR as well as the mature form was resistant to alkali extraction, indicating that PBR in both stages was inserted into the membrane through hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 3 J).

Together, these results suggested that PBR in stage I had left the receptor Tom70, which is associated with the surface of MOM through hydrophobic interactions and is migrated as a monomer in BN-PAGE. Considering that PBR binding to Tom70 occurred in the absence of ATP, these results suggested that the transfer of PBR from Tom70 to the following steps required ATP hydrolysis, although its function in maintaining the nascent PBR in the import-competent state was not ruled out.

**PBR partly shares the MOM integration pathway with C-TA proteins**

We previously demonstrated that MOM integration of C-TA proteins follows the TOM component–independent pathway (Setoguchi et al., 2006); the requirements were similar to those of PBR except for the Tom70 dependency. Therefore, we examined whether PBR and C-TA proteins have an overlapping import pathway using competition assays in semi-intact cells. Surprisingly, the import of PBR-HA, like HA-Bak, was inhibited by an excess amount of 6myc-Bak (Fig. 4, A–C). In contrast, the import of Tom40-HA (Fig. 4, B and C) and Su9-GFP (not depicted) was not affected. As a control, GFP-BakΔBH3, in which the BH3 domain of Bak was deleted, also inhibited the import, indicating that the proapoptotic activity of Bak is not involved in this inhibition (Fig. 4 D). Furthermore, proapoptotic factor tBid permeabilized MOM to release cytochrome c from mitochondria, whereas no such effect was observed with 6myc-Bak (Fig. 4 E), indicating that 6myc-Bak did not perturb MOM integrity but specifically inhibited MOM integration of PBR. We concluded that PBR shared the import pathway with the C-TA proteins after the initial docking on Tom70.

We noticed that PBR behaved like C-TA proteins in several additional aspects. PBR import was compromised at 4°C, very much like C-TA proteins, whereas the import of Tom40 and Su9-DHFR was less sensitive to the lower temperature (Fig. S2, A and C; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702143/DC1). Moreover, the import of PBR and C-TA and incubated at 33°C for 60 min (chase) in the import buffer with or without 1 mM ATP or AMP-PNP. Other conditions were set as in E. (f) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA was imported into mitochondria under the indicated conditions. The reaction mixtures were treated with or without proteinase K and analyzed by BN-PAGE and digital autoradiography. (g) Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA was subjected to mitochondrial import. The mitochondria were treated with 100 mM Na2CO3, pH 11.5, and centrifuged to separate the supernatant (S) and membrane (P) fractions, which were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and subsequent digital autoradiography.
proteins was significantly stimulated by the knockdown of VDAC1, the most abundant MOM protein, whereas no such stimulation was detected for the import of Tom40, VDAC2, and Su9-DHFR (Fig. S2, B and D; and not depicted for Su9-DHFR). These results might reflect the importance of the phospholipid phase as a rate-limiting step for the import of PBR and C-TA proteins, which is in contrast to import of the TOM complex–dependent substrates.

**MOM integration of PBR depends on IMS components**

Membrane integration of mitochondrial inner membrane proteins with multi-TMSs such as ADP/ATP carrier and Tim23 and β-barrel MOM proteins depends on small Tim proteins in the IMS, which function as the chaperones to transfer incoming membrane proteins to the Tim22 complex or to the SAM complex (Koehler, 2004). Thus, we examined this point for MOM

---

**Figure 4. Inhibition of MOM integration of PBR by an excess amount of Bak.** (A) The reticulocyte lysate–synthesized proteins used (4 µl each) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting (IB) using the indicated antibodies. (B) The indicated proteins were subjected to mitochondrial import in semi-intact cells in the presence or absence of an excess amount of 6myc-Bak (70 µl; import substrates, 20 µl each) and subsequent immunofluorescence microscopy. Imported proteins and 6myc-Bak are shown in green and red, respectively. (C) The extent of import was quantified using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Each graph indicates the mean ± SD (error bars) of three independent experiments of at least 100 cells. The fluorescence intensities of 6myc-Bak (−) cells were set at 100%. (D) Mitochondrial import of PBR-HA and Tom40-HA was performed as in B in the presence or absence of GFP-BakΔBH3. (E) Semi-intact cells were treated with tBid or 6myc-Bak, and the cells were analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy using the antibodies against cytochrome c (green), Tom22 (red), or myc-tag (red). Asterisks indicate cytochrome c–released cells.
integrated PBR and found that depletion of IMS components by swelling the mitochondria strongly compromised the reaction (Fig. 5 A). MOM was not dissociated from the mitoplasts because levels of Tom40/Tom70 and Tim17 as the outer and inner membrane markers, respectively, were not changed after swelling the mitochondria (Fig. 5 E). The assembly of Tom22 to the TOM complex and matrix import of Su9-DHF were not or were only slightly affected by this manipulation (Fig. 5, B–D), indicating that PBR import was specifically compromised by the depletion of IMS proteins.

Polytopic MOM proteins Mfn1, Mfn2, and MITOL are integrated into the membrane through a similar pathway as PBR

We addressed the integration pathway of MOM proteins with multiple TMSs other than PBR, including Mfn proteins and MITOL, and found that mitochondrial import of all of these proteins depended on Tom70 but not on any other TOM components (Fig. S3 D; and not depicted for Mfn1). Furthermore, MOM integration of Mfn2 as assessed by BN-PAGE was severely compromised by the depletion of IMS factors (Fig. 5, B–D), indicating that PBR import was specifically compromised by the depletion of IMS proteins.

Tom70 has a chaperone function for several classes of membrane proteins. Similarly, IMS proteins that facilitate MOM integration of multi-TMS proteins remain to be identified. They might bind to the IMS-exposed loop and the flanking hydrophobic regions of multi-TMS proteins and function as a ratchet to promote membrane insertion, or they might be involved in releasing the insertion intermediate from the putative import conduit into the lipid bilayer.

Materials and methods

Materials

Antibodies against HA (Covance), FLAG (M2; Sigma-Aldrich), Hsp60 (Assay Designs), mHsp70 (Assay Designs), Tom20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), Tom22 (Sigma-Aldrich), Tim8 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), cytochrome c (BD Biosciences), and rat calnexin (Assay Designs) were purchased from the indicated vendors. Antibodies against Tim17 and Tim23 (Ishihara and Mihara, 1998), Tom40 (Suzuki et al., 2000), and Tom70 (Suzuki et al., 2002) were described previously. Polyclonal antibodies against rat mitochondrial Sam50 was prepared by raising purified recombinant rat Sam50 in rabbits. Expression plasmids for Mfn1- and Mfn2-FLAG were constructed as described previously (Eura et al., 2003). MITOL-FLAG expression vector was a gift from S. Yanagi (Tokyo University of Pharmacology and Life Science, Tokyo, Japan).

Cell culture, transfection, and immunofluorescence microscopy

HeLa cells were cultured on coverslips in 35-mm dishes in 2 ml DME with 10% FCS at 37°C overnight under an atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air. Transfection was performed using FuGene 6 reagent (Roche). When mitochondria were to be stained, 40 nM MitoTracker Red CMX Ros (Invitrogen) was added to the medium and incubated for 20 min before fixation. For immunocytochemistry analysis, HeLa cells were seeded onto glass slides in mounting medium and observed by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS at room temperature for 5 min, and immunostained with the appropriate antibodies. Antigen–antibody complexes were detected using AlexaFluor488- or 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG antibody (Invitrogen). Importantly, in these experiments, no AlexaFluor568 signal was detected in the 488-nm (green) AlexaFluor488 channel and vice versa. Immunofluorescence images were captured with the same detection sensitivity and were processed with Photoshop 8.0.1 (Adobe). Preparation of semi-intact HeLa cells and preprotein import using semi-intact cells were conducted as described previously (Setoguchi et al., 2006).
siRNA treatment and mitochondrial protein import in vivo

The following RNA oligonucleotide pairs were used to create siRNA duplexes: GFF′(5′-CUACAAAGCCACACACGCGTdT3′) and GFF′(5′-GAUUGUCGUUUGUCGATdT3′) and Sam50′(5′-GCUGAAGAUGUAAACCAGGAdTdT3′) and 5′-CGACUUUCAUUUGUCUAdA3′. Validated specific siRNAs from QIAGEN (sequence not available) were used for the knockdown of Tom70, Tom40, Tom22, Tim20, and Tim10.

For microscopical assay of mitochondrial protein import in siRNA-treated cells, HeLa cells were transfected with the target siRNAs twice within a 24-h interval. At 72 h after the initial treatment, cells were fractionated using expression plasmids for Su9-GFP, PBR-HA, Mn2-FLAG, or MITOL-FLAG. 16 h after the DNA transfection, the cells were processed for double indirect immunofluorescence microscopy. Cell fractionation was performed as described previously (Otera et al., 2005). Fractionated samples were assessed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using the appropriate antibodies.

Preparation of antibodies against rat PBR

The DNA fragment encoding full-length PBR was amplified by RT-PCR using rat liver poly(A)′RNA as the template and the following oligonucleotides as the primers: 5′-CCGGATCCTGTCTCAATCTGGTACC3′ and 5′-GGCAGATCCCTGTCAGGCGGAC3′, where underlining indicates the EcoRI and BamHI sites, respectively. The PCR fragment thus obtained was digested with EcoRI and BamHI and cloned into the EcoRI–BamHI sites of p3xFLAG–cytomegalovirus (Sigma-Aldrich). The DNA fragment encoding PBR-HA. The N-terminal HA-tagged PBR protein (HA-PBR) was constructed as the primers: 5′-CTCGGATATGCTGAATCTCTGGTACC3′ and 5′-GGCAGATCCCTGTCAGGCGGAC3′, where underlining indicates the HindIII and BamHI sites, respectively. The obtained fragment was subcloned into the pET28a vector (EMD) to create pET28a-NH4-His-PBR, where the (His)6-tag was added to the N terminus of the expressed protein. His-tagged PBR was expressed in BL21 cells as inclusion bodies, which were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the Coomasie Brilliant blue–stained band was excised from the gel and used to raise antibodies in rabbits using the Ribiti Adjuvant system (Rini Immunocological Research).

Construction of expression plasmids for rat PBR

The expression vector for the N-terminal HA-tagged PBR protein (PBR-HA) was constructed as follows. The coding region of rat PBR cDNA was amplified by PCR using rat PBR cDNA as the template and the following oligonucleotides as the primers: 5′-GCACAGCTTCCACACCTCTGTACACGAGCC-3′ and 5′-GGCAGATCCCTGTCAGGCGGAC3′, where underlining indicates the HindIII and BamHI sites, respectively. The obtained fragment was subcloned into HindIII–BamHI-digested pcDNA3.1 to create pcDNA3.1–PBR-HA. All constructs were recloned into pSP64 vectors.

Preparation of rat liver mitochondria and submitochondrial fractionation

Rat liver mitochondria were prepared according to the method of Sakaguchi et al. (1999). Rat liver mitochondria were prepared by differential centrifugation. Mitochondria were diluted into 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10% [wt/vol] sucrose. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml of 10 mM Hepes-KOH buffer, pH 7.5, containing 1 mM EDTA at 10% [wt/vol] sucrose. The cells were then centrifuged at 5,000 g for 5 min to obtain a postnuclear supernatant. The supernatant fraction was centrifuged at 6,000 g for 15 min to obtain the mitochondria.

Mitochondrial protein import in vitro

The reaction mixtures containing 5-25 μg mitochondrial protein and 5 μl of rabbit reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S-labeled pAd, Su9-DHFR, Tom22, PBR, or Mn2 were incubated in 50–100 μl of 10 mM Hepes-KOH buffer, pH 7.5, containing 1 mM ATP, 20 mM sodium succinate, 5 mM NADH, 0.5 mM magnesium acetate, and a protease inhibitor mixture at 30°C for 30 min. After import, the mitochondria were isolated, and the reaction mixtures were incubated with 100 μl of protease K at 30°C for 30 min and precipitated with TCA (PBR-HA) or left untreated. The mitochondria were then isolated by centrifugation and were analyzed by SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting using anti-HA antibody or digital autoradiography. The ATP requirement was determined as follows. Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA or 35S–pAd was passed through a spin column and used for mitochondrial import in the presence or absence of 1 mM ATP or AMP-PNP. Import of PBR-HA or pAd into the Tom40-depleted mitochondria in the presence or absence of recombinant Su9-DHFR precursor (Fig. 2) was performed as follows. ~0–10 μg recombinant Su9-DHFR precursor was preincubated at 0°C for 10 min in the import buffer (total volume of 45 μl containing 1 mM methotrexate, 1 mM NADPH, and 5 μg HeLa cell mitochondria) and 5 μl recitocule lysate–synthesized PBR-HA or pAd was added to the reaction mixture and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE and subsequent digital autoradiography.

BN-PAGE

50 μg mitochondria was solubilized in 50 μl of solubilization buffer, and insoluble materials were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 15 min. The supernatant was mixed with 5 μl of sample buffer [5% Coomassie Brilliant blue G-250, 100 mM Bis-Tris, pH 7.0, and 500 μM ε-aminocaproic acid] and electrophoresed through a 5–16% polyacrylamide gradient gel.

Purification of recombinant Su9-DHFR-His6

5 ml of 16-h culture of Escherichia coli BL21 expressing Su9-DHFR-His6 protein (in pET28a) was diluted with 100 vol yeast extract tryptone medium. After 3 h of culture at 37°C, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1 mM. After 3 h at 37°C, the cells were harvested, resuspended in 20 ml of ice-cold suspension buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitor mixture and were sonicated. The lysates were centrifuged to remove cell debris. The resulting supernatant was applied to a metal affinity column (TALON). The column was washed with 10 mM Hepes-KOH buffer, pH 7.4, containing 1 mM ATP, and 20 mM sodium succinate, 5 mM NADPH, and 0.5 mM magnesium acetate, and a protease inhibitor mixture at 30°C for 30 min. After import, the mitochondria were isolated, and the reaction mixtures were incubated with 100 μ/ml protease K at 30°C for 30 min and precipitated with TCA (PBR-HA) or left untreated. The mitochondria were then isolated by centrifugation and were analyzed by SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE and subsequent digital autoradiography. The ATP requirement was determined as follows. Reticulocyte lysate–synthesized 35S–PBR-HA or 35S–pAd was passed through a spin column and used for mitochondrial import in the presence or absence of 1 mM ATP or AMP-PNP. Import of PBR-HA or pAd into the Tom40-depleted mitochondria in the presence or absence of recombinant Su9-DHFR precursor (Fig. 2) was performed as follows. ~0–10 μg recombinant Su9-DHFR precursor was preincubated at 0°C for 10 min in the import buffer (total volume of 45 μl containing 1 mM methotrexate, 1 mM NADPH, and 5 μg HeLa cell mitochondria) and 5 μl reticulocyte lysate–synthesized PBR-HA or pAd was added to the reaction mixture and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE and subsequent digital autoradiography.

Analysis of Tom70–PBR interaction using immunoprecipitation

HeLa cells cotransfected with PBR-HA and FLAG-GFP-Tom70LN were lysed with the binding assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, containing 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM PMSF) and centrifuged to remove cell debris. The resulting supernatant was mixed with anti-FLAG IgG-conjugated agarose beads, and beads were washed three times with the binding assay buffer. Coimmunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting using the appropriate antibodies.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the membrane topology of PBR and in vitro import of PBR into mitochondria. Fig. S2 shows stimulation of mitochondrial import of PBR and CT4A proteins in VDAC1-depleted semi-intact cells. Fig. S3 shows that swelling of mitochondria inhibits the mitochondrial import of Mn2. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702143/DC1.
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