Association of Biomarker Cutoffs and Endoscopic Outcomes in Crohn’s Disease: A Post Hoc Analysis From the CALM Study
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Background: CALM was a randomized phase 3 trial in patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) that demonstrated improved endoscopic outcomes when treatment was escalated based on cutoffs for inflammatory biomarkers, fecal calprotectin (FC), C-reactive protein (CRP), and CD Activity Index (CDAI) remission vs CDAI response alone. The purpose of this post hoc analysis of CALM was to identify drivers of treatment escalation and evaluate the association between biomarker cutoff concentrations and endoscopic end points.

Methods: The proportion of patients achieving CD Endoscopic Index of Severity (CDEIS) <4 and no deep ulcers 48 weeks after randomization was evaluated according to CRP <5 mg/L or ≥5 mg/L and FC <250 µg/g or ≥250 µg/g. Subgroup analyses were performed according to disease location, and sensitivity analyses were conducted in patients with elevated CRP and/or FC at baseline. The association between endoscopic endpoints and biomarker cutoffs was performed using χ² test.

Results: The proportion of patients who achieved the primary end point CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers was significantly greater for those with FC <250 µg/g (74%; P < 0.001), with an additive effect for CRP <5 mg/L. The association of FC <250 µg/g with improved endoscopic outcomes was independent of disease location, although the greatest association was observed for ileocolonic disease. Fecal calprotectin <250 µg/g, CRP <5 mg/L, and CDAI <150 gave a sensitivity/specificity of 72%/63% and positive/negative predictive values of 86%/42% for CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers 48 weeks after randomization.

Conclusion: This post hoc analysis of CALM demonstrated that a cutoff of FC <250 µg/g is a useful surrogate marker for mucosal healing in CD.
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to treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) antibodies in patients with CD. However, serologic markers are less sensitive and specific for intestinal inflammation than fecal markers such as lactoferrin or fecal calprotectin (FC). Several studies have demonstrated a correlation of FC with endoscopic disease activity; however, these studies used different cutoff values of FC concentration, with a wide range of reported specificities for CD (approximately 50%–100%), although all reported relatively high sensitivity (>70%) for CD. The CALM study was a randomized phase 3 trial in patients with CD that evaluated 2 treatment algorithms—one based on a “tight control” (TC) algorithm that monitored biomarkers (CRP and FC), symptoms (Crohn’s Disease Activity Index [CDAI]), and prednisone use, and the other based on clinical management (CM) that monitored only symptoms and prednisone use. The CALM study demonstrated that patients whose treatment was...
escalated based on biomarkers, symptoms, and prednisone use achieved improved clinical and endoscopic outcomes compared with those whose treatment was escalated based on symptoms and prednisone use alone.\textsuperscript{16} However, the relationship between biomarker cutoff levels and mucosal improvements has not been fully established. The purpose of this post hoc analysis was to demonstrate the association of the normalization CRP and FC with mucosal healing using data from CALM.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design and Patients**

Details of the CALM study (NCT01235689) were reported previously.\textsuperscript{16} CALM was a multicenter, randomized, open-label, active-controlled, 48-week phase 3 trial to assess TC versus CM algorithms in adult patients with moderate to severe CD.\textsuperscript{16} After ≤8 weeks of prednisone induction therapy and mandated taper, patients were randomly assigned to the TC or CM groups in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by smoking status, weight, and disease duration. If failure criteria (Supplementary Table 1) were met at scheduled study visits, treatment was escalated stepwise from no treatment to adalimumab 160 mg at week 0, 80 mg at week 2, followed by 40 mg every other week, to adalimumab 40 mg every week, to adalimumab 40 mg every week plus 2.5 mg/kg azathioprine per day. Patients who did not meet a failure criterion remained on the same treatment option.

Starting at weeks 23 and 35 after randomization, patients receiving weekly adalimumab could de-escalate to the previous treatment option if failure criteria were not met. Ileocolonoscopies were performed at study screening and at 48 weeks after randomization and were locally read for Crohn's Disease Endoscopic Index of Severity (CDEIS) by site readers trained to assess endoscopies in a standardized manner. Fecal calprotectin concentrations were measured using a Calprotectin PhiCal enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay test (Genova Diagnostics, Asheville, NC, USA); CRP concentrations were only quantitated if below 250 μg/g. Therefore, specific values of FC >250 μg/g were not available for analysis. Data were analyzed for all patients regardless of randomized group. Subgroup analyses by disease location at baseline and sensitivity analyses in patients with elevated CRP (≥5 mg/L) at baseline, elevated FC (≥250 μg/g) at baseline, and both CRP and FC elevated at baseline were performed.

**Statistical analysis**

The association between the endoscopic end points and biomarker cutoffs at 48 weeks after randomization was analyzed using the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher exact test if ≥20% of the cells had expected cell count <5. Data were summarized as observed in patients who had both endoscopy and biomarker information at 48 weeks after randomization. Univariate logistic regression analyses were used to assess the associations between stool concentrations of FC and serum concentrations of CRP as predictive biomarkers measured at 11, 23, or 35 weeks after randomization in the treatment period, and to determine the odds ratio of achieving CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers 48 weeks after randomization. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to assess CRP and CDAI in addition to FC as predictors of achieving CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers at weeks 11, 23, and 35. Absolute values for CRP, CDAI, and FC were used for logistic regression analyses. R version 3.4.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for the regression analyses.

**Ethical Considerations**

The CALM study was conducted under a protocol approved by relevant ethics committees and institutional review boards, in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and applicable local regulations.\textsuperscript{16}

**RESULTS**

**Study Population**

A total of 244 patients were randomized in the CALM trial (CM, n = 122; TC, n = 122).\textsuperscript{16} The numbers of patients with available CRP, FC, and endoscopy data for the present analyses are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. From week −1 to week 35, the proportion of patients who did not meet any failure criteria increased from approximately 10% to 80% (Supplementary Fig. 2A). At weeks 11 and 23 after randomization, treatment escalation decisions were primarily driven by the FC criterion, followed by CRP and CDAI criteria (Supplementary Fig. 2B). When multiple failure criteria were met, the most frequent reasons to escalate treatment included FC, CRP, or both (Supplementary Table 2).
Association of Biomarkers and Endoscopic Outcomes at Week 48 After Randomization

Because FC and CRP were the most common drivers of treatment escalation for patients in the TC group, we evaluated the association between endoscopic outcomes and FC and CRP cutoffs at week 48 after randomization for all patients in the TC and CM groups who completed the study and had available FC, CRP, and endoscopy data (n = 167). A significantly greater proportion of patients with CRP <5 mg/L at week 48 after randomization achieved the primary end point of mucosal healing (CDEIS <4) and no deep ulcers than those with CRP ≥5 mg/L at week 48 (66% vs 30%; P < 0.001; Fig. 1A). The odds ratio for achieving the primary end point was 4.5 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.3–8.7) with CRP <5 mg/L. A significantly greater proportion of patients with FC <250 µg/g at week 48 after randomization achieved CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers than those with FC ≥250 µg/g at week 48 (74% vs 14%; P < 0.001; Fig. 1B).

The odds ratio for achieving the primary end point was 18.4 (95% CI, 7.7–44.0) with FC <250 µg/g. Results for patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline or FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline were similar to those reported for all patients (Fig. 1C, D).

The association of endoscopic response (CDEIS decrease >5 points from baseline) with CRP or FC cutoffs was similar to that observed for the primary end point. More patients with CRP <5 mg/L at week 48 after randomization achieved endoscopic response compared with those with CRP ≥5 mg/L at week 48 (75% vs 44%; P < 0.001; Fig. 2A). The odds ratios for achieving endoscopic response were 3.8 (95% CI, 2.0–7.3) with CRP <5 mg/L and 6.2 (95% CI, 3.0–12.6) with FC <250 µg/g. A significantly greater proportion of patients with FC <250 µg/g at week 48 after randomization achieved endoscopic response than those with FC ≥250 µg/g at week 48 (77% vs 36%; P < 0.001; Fig. 2B). As observed for the primary end point, results for endoscopic response for patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline or FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline were similar to those reported for all patients (Fig. 2C, D).

FIGURE 1. Proportion of patients achieving mucosal healing (CDEIS <4) and no deep ulcers in (A) all patients by CRP cutoff at week 48 after randomization, (B) all patients by FC cutoff at week 48 after randomization, (C) patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline, and (D) patients with FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline. P values were calculated using the χ² test or Fisher exact test if ≥20% of the cells had expected cell count <5.
≥5 mg/L at baseline or FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline were similar to those reported for all patients (Fig. 2C, D).

When analyzing the association of endoscopic outcomes with the combination of CRP and FC, a majority of patients (64%–79%) who achieved CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers had either FC <250 µg/g or both FC <250 µg/g and CRP <5 mg/L at week 48 after randomization (Fig. 3A), indicating a small additive effect of CRP. Similar results were observed in sensitivity analyses of patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline (Fig. 3B), FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline (Fig. 3C), and both CRP ≥5 mg/L and FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline (Fig. 3D).

In subgroup analyses by disease location at baseline, a significant difference in the proportion of patients achieving CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers or endoscopic response (CDEIS decrease >5 from baseline) at week 48 after randomization by CRP cutoffs was only observed for patients with ileocolonic disease (CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers, 69% vs 26%, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 4A; CDEIS decrease >5 from baseline, 82% vs 45%, $P < 0.001$, Supplementary Fig. 3A). Results were similar when only patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline were included in the analysis (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Fig. 3B). A significantly greater proportion of patients with FC <250 µg/g at week 48 achieved endoscopic response compared with those with FC ≥250 µg/g for patients with ileocolonic disease.
Predictive Performance of Biomarkers in Relation to Endoscopic Outcomes

Univariate regression analyses revealed an approximately linear association between increasing levels of FC and CRP at weeks 11, 23, and 35 and decreasing likelihood of achieving CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers at week 48 after randomization (Fig. 5). Multivariate logistic regression analyses showed a significant contribution of CRP to FC for weeks 11 (P = 0.02) and 23 (P = 0.04) as a predictor of CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers—but not at week 35. No significant contribution of CDAI was observed. For all patients combined, FC <250 µg/g, CRP <5 mg/L, and CDAI <150 at week 11 gave a sensitivity of 70%, a specificity of 63%, a positive predictive value of 85%, and a negative predictive value of 42%, respectively, in predicting CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers at week 48 after randomization. Similar sensitivity/specificity and predictive values were observed for FC <250 µg/g, CRP <5 mg/L, and CDAI <150 at weeks 23 and 35 (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Primary results from the CALM study showed that patients with CD achieved better endoscopic outcomes when decisions to escalate treatment were based on biomarkers of inflammation, clinical symptoms, and prednisone use rather than on clinical symptoms and prednisone use alone.6 This post hoc analysis of CALM showed that FC and CRP were the main drivers of treatment escalation for patients in the TC group. Additionally, this analysis demonstrated that achieving FC <250 µg/g was strongly associated with the primary endoscopic outcome of mucosal healing, defined by CDEIS <4 and no deep ulcers in CALM. This association was independent of disease location. The proportion of patients who achieved the primary endoscopic outcome, when evaluated by both FC and
Biomarkers in Crohn’s Disease

CRP cutoffs at week 48 after randomization, was significantly greater for patients with FC <250 µg/g, with an additive effect of CRP <5 mg/L.

The Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE) consensus recommended use of biomarkers, including FC and CRP, only to facilitate patient monitoring, as the available evidence was insufficient to recommend treatment optimization based solely on biomarkers. Although increased levels of CRP have been associated with increased disease activity, not all patients with active disease have elevated CRP levels. In this study, approximately 30% of patients with elevated CRP achieved mucosal healing at week 48, indicating that CRP should not be used in isolation to guide treatment decisions. Fecal biomarkers such as FC may be more useful surrogate markers of endoscopic activity. Several studies have reported correlation of FC concentration with endoscopic disease activity in patients with CD receiving anti-inflammatory medications and in those receiving anti-TNF therapies. Elevated FC levels have been suggested as a predictor of relapse in patients with CD; the STORI trial demonstrated that FC ≥300 µg/g was an independent risk factor for relapse. Additionally, changes in FC levels before and after surgery were sensitive enough to monitor patients for recurrence of CD after intestinal resection.

Recently, it was proposed that the STRIDE treat-to-target recommendations should be updated to include FC as a target for IBD. However, the appropriate cutoff point to define endoscopic activity has not been established. Several studies of FC in CD have evaluated different assays with cutoffs that

FIGURE 4. Proportion of patients achieving mucosal healing (CDEIS <4) and no deep ulcers by disease location in (A) all patients by CRP cutoff at week 48 after randomization, (B) patients with CRP ≥5 mg/L at baseline, (C) all patients by FC cutoff at week 48 after randomization, and (D) patients with FC ≥250 µg/g at baseline. P values were calculated using the χ² test or Fisher exact test if ≥20% of the cells had expected cell count <5.
Different FC assays also have different sensitivity and specificity in detecting stool FC concentrations. In 77 patients with CD, an FC concentration of 200 μg/g had 70%/92% sensitivity/specificity for predicting endoscopically active disease (CDEIS ≥3). A post hoc analysis of FC levels in patients in the STORI trial suggested that FC ≤250 μg/g was the best cutoff to define mucosal inflammation; and a study of patients with CD also proposed a cutoff value of 250 μg/g with 94%/62% sensitivity/specificity for predicting endoscopic remission. Although exploration of cutoff levels for FC and CRP to indicate mucosal healing was not the original objective of the CALM study, the results of this post hoc analysis of CALM support the cutoff proposed by earlier studies, as few patients with FC >250 μg/g at week 48 achieved the primary end point, and 79% of patients with baseline FC ≥250 μg/g achieved the primary end point if FC was <250 μg/g and CRP <5 mg/L 48 weeks after randomization. Additionally, the predefined combination of the biomarker cutoffs and CDAI <150 demonstrated some prognostic potential, with a positive predictive value of up to 86% for the primary end point. In this
analysis, multivariate logistic regression showed no significant contribution of CDAI in prediction of endoscopic outcomes; this finding is supported by recent evidence that CDAI may not provide sufficient correlation with endoscopic scores and that the addition of biomarkers provides better predictive accuracy than CDAI alone.27, 28

The post hoc analysis was limited by the design of the CALM study, in which actual values of FC levels above 250 µg/g were not captured and were only classified as >250 µg/g. Therefore, FC levels were quantitated only when they were ≤250 µg/g, and no optimal FC cutoff using receiver operating characteristic analysis could be determined. Furthermore, because escalation decisions were made throughout the trial using the 250 µg/g cutoff, it could not be determined whether more patients would have met the primary end point if a lower cutoff was used. Other commercially available tests for FC may have different cutoff values; consequently, the results of the present study may not be generalizable. Another limitation of this post hoc analysis was that the predictive performance model did not take treatment escalation or de-escalation changes into account. However, as the goal of the predictive performance analysis was to show predictive values of specific thresholds (CDAI <150, FC <250 µg/g, and CRP <5 mg/L) at each time point, the results would not have been impacted by treatment changes. Finally, comparisons with other studies may be challenging, as there was a lack of an accepted definition of mucosal healing at the time of the CALM study, and the definition of mucosal healing is still evolving.29

The correlation of biomarker cutoffs with endoscopic response is important for future management of IBD, specifically CD. The goal of CD treatment is to induce and maintain deep remission (symptomatic and endoscopic remission) while avoiding long-term use of corticosteroids and immunomodulators, which are associated with increased risk of side effects.9 As treatment recommendations are updated to include biomarkers such as FC, identification of specific concentration cutoffs will be important for setting appropriate treatment goals.

CONCLUSION

The results of the CALM study demonstrated that use of a TC strategy including short-term normalization of inflammatory biomarkers was associated with improvements at 48 weeks after randomization in patients with CD. In the present analyses, cutoffs of CRP <5 mg/L at week 48 or FC <250 µg/g at week 48 after randomization accurately classified mucosal healing at week 48 in 66% and 74% of patients, respectively. Ultimately, these findings provide further support for the use of FC as a surrogate marker for mucosal inflammation when implementing a treat-to-target strategy for patients with CD. Nonetheless, a proportion of patients (FC <250 µg/g, 26%) were not classified correctly in terms of mucosal healing as defined in the CALM study. This implies that, although these biomarkers might be useful for guiding short- and medium-term treatment decisions, longer-term management should still involve endoscopic evaluation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data is available at Inflammatory Bowel Diseases online.

**DATA SHARING**

AbbVie is committed to responsible data sharing regarding the clinical trials it sponsors. This includes access to anonymized, individual, and trial-level data (analysis data sets), in addition to other information (eg, protocols and clinical study reports), as long as the trials are not part of an ongoing or planned regulatory submission. This includes requests for clinical trial data for unlicensed products and indications. This clinical trial data can be requested by any qualified researchers who engage in rigorous, independent scientific research, and will be provided after review and approval of a research proposal and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) and execution of a Data Sharing Agreement (DSA). Data requests can be submitted at any time, and the data will be accessible for 12 months, with possible extensions considered. For more information on the process or to submit a request, visit the following link: https://www.abbvie.com/our-science/clinical-trials/clinical-trials-data-and-information-sharing/data-and-information-sharing-with-qualified-researchers.html.
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