Thermal Control of Spin Excitations in the Coupled Ising-Chain Material RbCoCl₃
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We have used neutron spectroscopy to investigate the spin dynamics of the quantum (S = 1/2) antiferromagnetic Ising chains in RbCoCl₃. The structure and magnetic interactions in this material conspire to produce two magnetic phase transitions at low temperatures, presenting an ideal opportunity for thermal control of the chain environment. The high-resolution spectra we measure of two-domain-wall excitations therefore characterize precisely both the continuum response of isolated chains and the “Zeeman-ladder” bound states of chains in three different effective staggered fields in one and the same material. We apply an extended Matsubara formalism to obtain a quantitative description of the entire dataset, Monte Carlo simulations to interpret the magnetic order, and finite-temperature density-matrix renormalization-group calculations to fit the spectral features of all three phases.
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Quantum systems that display one-dimensional (1D) nature [1] and Ising exchange [2] exhibit a very rich variety of phenomena. These include a gapped excitation continuum [3–5], excited bound states with emergent E₈ symmetry [6], quantum criticality [7–9], and topological excitations [10]. Recent intense interest in coupled Ising-chain physics was sparked by the ferromagnetic (FM) material CoNb₂O₆ [6,11–13], whose spectrum of magnetic excitations is divided between a kinetic bound state and a two-domain-wall continuum, which itself splits into confined (E₈) bound states. The antiferromagnetic (AFM) ACo₂V₂O₈ (A = Ba, Sr) compounds [14–17] have spiraling Ising chains with significant Heisenberg interactions, not only separating the transverse and longitudinal bound-state excitations [18,19] but also realizing both uniform and staggered, longitudinal and transverse applied fields [15] in which to measure different types of bound-state and critical behavior [10,20–22]. However, both the response of a truly decoupled Ising chain and distinguishing the temperature dependences of all these features remain as challenging problems.

A paradigm for Ising-chain physics is provided by the ACoX₃ hexagonal perovskites [space group P6₃/mmc, shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)], which include CsCoCl₃ [3,4,23–25], CsCoBr₃ [4,5,23,24], RbCoCl₃ [24,26], and TlCoCl₃ [27]. Their in-chain interactions are AFM and their spectra provided early examples of the continuum arising from pairs of moving domain walls (also referred to as “kinks” and “solitons”). Their special feature is that the Ising chains form a triangular lattice [Fig. 1(b)], frustrating the AFM interchain interactions. They typically show two magnetic ordering transitions, which in RbCoCl₃ occur at Tₙ₁ = 28 K and Tₙ₂ = 12 K [28]. Thus, in contrast to CoNb₂O₆ and ACo₂V₂O₈, different chains experience different environments at different temperatures. Treating the neighboring chains as an effective staggered field, when this is zero one expects the spectrum of the isolated chain, a continuum with cosinusoidal boundaries [29], whereas for finite staggered fields the spectrum is a Zeeman ladder of bound states [30].

In this Letter, we study the magnetic excitations of RbCoCl₃ in unprecedented detail by combining state-of-the-art instrumentation for neutron spectroscopy with a systematic thermal control of the different effective-field contributions. This allows an unambiguous separation of isolated-chain and staggered-field physics. Rising temperature causes both a band narrowing and a broadening of
bound and continuum features, as well as strong changes to the interchain coupling effects. These we explain by combining an extended Matsubara description with finite-temperature, time-dependent density-matrix renormalization-group (DMRG) calculations.

Three high-quality RbCoCl$_3$ single crystals of total mass 7.6 g were grown by the Bridgman technique in a moving vertical furnace [28] and coaligned in the (HHL) plane. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments were performed on the direct-geometry time-of-flight spectrometer LET (ISIS, UK) [31]. High-statistics data were collected with incident energy $E_i = 25$ meV and (00L) perpendicular to the incident beam, at temperatures of 4, 18, and 35 K, i.e., in each magnetically ordered phase and above both. Lower-statistics data were collected at 8, 10.5, and 23 K with $E_i = 20$ meV. The data were corrected for detector efficiency and outgoing-to-incoming wave-vector ratio $k_f/k_i$ using the program MANTID [32]. Datasets for the scattered intensity $S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$ were analyzed with the HORACE software package [33].

We begin with an overview of the experimental data, which are shown in Figs. 1(c), 2(a), 2(c), 2(d), 3(a), 3(c), 3(d), 4(a), and 4(b). First, we identify a band of excitations in the one-spin-flip (two-domain-wall) sector with a spin gap of 11 meV and a cosinusoidal dispersion [Fig. 1(c)]. Second, our measurements confirm the strongly 1D nature of RbCoCl$_3$, as shown in Sec. S1 of the Supplemental Material (SM) [34]. All data presented here were therefore averaged over $H$ and $K$ and binned as functions of the energy transfer and the momentum along $\mathbf{L}$. In detail, the width of the band we observe at 35 K [Fig. 1(c)] far exceeds the instrumental resolution, and its line shape [Fig. 4(a)] suggests a continuum. The spectrum sharpens at 18 K [Fig. 3(a)], presenting more lines with more intensity and less broadening [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)]. At 4 K, the scattered intensity splits clearly into two different types of feature [Fig. 2(a)], a continuum [Fig. 2(c)] whose maximum disperses between 11 and 13.5 meV and a set of resolution-limited modes, the Zeeman ladder, whose lowest
member [Fig. 2(d)] disperses between 12.5 and 14.5 meV. It is evident that thermal effects go beyond a simple line broadening and include effective control of the staggered field due to the different 3D ordered phases.

To illustrate the influence of magnetic order on the measured Ising-chain dynamics, we have implemented a cluster heat bath (CHB) Monte Carlo algorithm, as detailed in Sec. S2 of the SM [34]. Developed originally for studies of ACoX$_3$ compounds [38–40], CHB simulations below $T_{N2}$ show well-ordered chains aligning to form domains of ferrimagnetic (FI) “honeycomb” planar order [40,41], represented in the inset of Fig. 2(c). Interchain interactions are dominated by the nearest-neighbor (NN) AFM coupling $J_{NN}$ [Fig. 1(b)], whose frustration leads to a massive degeneracy, and the FI order is selected by a weak FM next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) term $J_{NNN}$ [41]. The partially disordered AFM (PDAFM) [25,41] state between $T_{N2}$ and $T_{N1}$ is characterized primarily by a decrease in FI interchain order [inset of Fig. 3(c)], while the staggered magnetization within each chain is also impacted weakly [28] by thermally excited domain walls [42]. Although 3D order is lost above $T_{N1}$, both susceptibility and diffuse scattering measurements [28] suggest that anomalously slow short-range correlations persist up to 60–80 K, and we will quantify this effect.

The Hamiltonian of a single Ising-Heisenberg chain with NN and NNN in-chain interactions [Fig. 1(a)] is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_j \left[ 2J_1 [S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + \epsilon_1 (S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z)] + h_j S_j^z \right] + 2J_2 [S_j^y S_{j+2}^y + \epsilon_2 (S_j^x S_{j+2}^x + S_j^z S_{j+2}^z)],$$

where $|J_2| \ll J_1, \epsilon_1 \ll 1$, and $h_j$ is the effective staggered field due to magnetic order. For this class of compounds, $J_2$ is thought to be FM [24]. An alternative approach [25] using only NN terms and including an anisotropic splitting of the Co$^{2+}$ Kramers doublet is also thought [43] to provide a complete treatment of the orbital terms.

In this formalism, which we term the “Matsubara framework,” the matrix elements of Eq. (1) expressed in terms of the separation $\nu$ of two domain walls are

$$\langle \nu | \mathcal{H}(\vec{Q}) | \nu \rangle = 2J_1 (1 + \epsilon_1^2) + 2J_2 [1 - \epsilon_2 \cos (2\pi \nu L)] + h_j,$$

$$\langle \nu | \mathcal{H}(\vec{Q}) \nu \rangle = 2J_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_1^2 \right] + 4J_2 + \nu h,$$

$$\langle \nu | \mathcal{H}(\vec{Q}) | \nu + 2 \rangle = \epsilon_1 J_1 (1 + e^{\mp 2\pi i \nu L}),$$

$$\langle \nu | \mathcal{H}(\vec{Q}) | \nu + 4 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_1^2 J_1 (1 + e^{\mp 4\pi i \nu L}),$$

and $\langle \nu | \mathcal{H}(\vec{Q}) | \nu ' \rangle = 0$ otherwise [24,29,43]. As noted above, the spectrum of this Hamiltonian forms a continuum when $h = 0$ [dashed orange lines in Figs. 1(d), 2(b), and 3(b)] and a Zeeman ladder when $h > 0$. With $h = 0$ but $J_2$ nonzero, an additional bound mode separates from the continuum around half-integer values of $L$ (solid orange lines), while the intensity in the continuum shifts towards its lower edge. By calculating the spectral weights from the Green function [29], the full dynamical structure factor shown in Fig. 2(b) was computed using Eq. (1) with the parameters discussed below.

Because $J_{NNN}$ is much smaller than the instrumental resolution, at 4 K we model the effective staggered field by taking $h = mJ_{NN}$ with $m \in \{0,2,4,6\}$. For perfect FI order, 2/3 of the sites experience a vanishing field, $h = 0$, and 1/3 a field $h = 6J_{NN}$; in practice, planar domain boundaries between different FI regions [inset Fig. 2(c)] produce small numbers of chains subject to the two intermediate fields. At 18 K, the PDAFM regime presents a mix of all four staggered fields [inset of Fig. 3(c)]. At 35 K, more detailed considerations are required as the chain environment becomes increasingly random.

Concerning in-chain dynamics at finite temperatures, the domain-wall pair excited by the scattered neutron is itself scattered by thermally excited domain walls [39,42]. This increases the effective localization of both continuum and
bound modes, as well as reducing their lifetimes, which changes the line shapes into Voigt functions with a constant Gaussian width of 0.32 meV (FWHM instrument resolution) and a temperature-dependent Lorentzian width $\Gamma(T)$, which we take to be $Q$ independent. In the Matsubara framework we model the band flattening due to the increased localization by a temperature-driven decrease of $\epsilon_1$ in Eq. (1) [44], taking $\epsilon_2$ as a constant. Below, we compute the spectral functions at all temperatures from DMRG calculations based only on the low-$T$ parameters, and use the effective $\Gamma(T)$ and $\epsilon_1(T)$ as an aid to physical interpretation.

A fully quantitative account of continuum and bound-state energies, of line shapes, and of the overall scattered intensities [color contours in Figs. 2(b), 3(b), and 1(b)] is obtained with the parameter values $J_1 = 5.89(1)$ meV, $J_2 = -0.518(1)$ meV, $J_{NN} = 0.129(1)$ meV, and $\epsilon_2 = 0.605(1)$, valid at all temperatures, and with $\epsilon_1(T) = 0.126(1)$ at 4 K, 0.112(1) at 18 K, and 0.101(2) at 35 K [Fig. 4(c)]; $\Gamma(T) = 0.102(8)$ meV at 4 K and 0.25(1) meV at 18 K [Fig. 4(d)], and is not defined at 35 K (below).

Figure 4(e) shows the optimized weights associated with chains in different effective fields at each temperature.

The separation of isolated-chain from staggered-field physics is clearest at 4 K [Fig. 2(a)]. The former is responsible for the 11 meV peak in Fig. 2(c), whose continuum tail extends to 17 meV, and the latter, with $h = 6J_{NN}$, for the sharp mode at 12.5 meV. The separation of these two primary contributions is emphasized by the small $\Gamma$ and the near absence of the intermediate staggered fields. We fit the relative weights of the staggered fields as 67(3), 27(5), and 31(3)% for $h$ from 0 to $6J_{NN}$, and thus the 0 and $6J_{NN}$ components are rather close to the ideal 2:1 ratio of the FI phase.

The most marked difference at 18 K [Fig. 3] is the strong shift toward contributions from the staggered fields $2J_{NN}$ and $4J_{NN}$, whose spectra overlap due to their proximity in energy and to the increased $\Gamma$. The populations of the four staggered fields are 39(5), 35(5), 27(5), and 0(5)%), which lie close to our optimal CHB Monte Carlo results of 39, 35, 20, and 6%.

Finally, at 35 K one may expect interchain correlations to be lost and the scattering to be dominated by isolated-chain physics, as suggested by Fig. 1(c). However, in Fig. 4(a) it is clear that the isolated chain cannot provide an acceptable fit. To model the effects of thermal disorder, both in localizing the in-chain dynamics and in randomizing the chain environment, we have conducted Monte Carlo simulations of different static domain-wall distributions within the Matsubara framework, as described in Sec. S5 of the SM [34]. Qualitatively, we find a broadening dictated by $2J_{NN}$ that becomes independent of the chain-length distribution, and a shift of weight toward the band center that again is a localization effect reproduced using $\epsilon_1$. Quantitatively, however, a definitive fit of the 35 K data would require a systematic account of domain-wall dynamics.

For such a microscopic analysis of combined quantum and thermal fluctuations in the Ising chain, we have performed time-dependent DMRG calculations [45,46] to obtain the finite-temperature spectral functions $S(Q,\omega, T)$, as described in Sec. S3 of the SM [34]. The spatial and temporal evolution of a spin-flip excitation was computed for 512-site chains and a linear prediction method [47] used to access long effective times despite rapid entanglement growth at the higher temperatures. At 4 K we obtain the optimized DMRG parameters $J_1 = 5.86$ meV, $J_2 = -0.576$ meV, $J_{NN} = 0.128$ meV, $\epsilon_1 = 0.126$, and $\epsilon_2 = 0.559$. By including the different staggered fields and the instrumental resolution, we obtain the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(b), where the DMRG and extended Matsubara results are indistinguishable. For a quantitative visualization of the accuracy with which the experimental peaks and line shapes are reproduced, the DMRG results for all staggered fields are also shown as the solid blue-to-green lines in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), and in detail in Sec. S4 of the SM [34].
DMRG captures all of the thermal broadening effects arising from domain-wall scattering in a single chain. As Fig. 3 makes clear, this provides an excellent account of the 18 K spectrum in all its details, although the fitted chain population distribution of 35, 27, 28, and 10% is somewhat different from the less-constrained Matsubara fit (Sec. S4 of the SM [34]). At 35 K, where the approximation of chains in a well-ordered staggered field is no longer appropriate, we use our DMRG results for the scattered intensity $I_0(\omega)$ of the isolated chain to reproduce the contributions of chains decoupled from their neighbors by thermal fluctuations. In combination with the contribution of chains coupled by the random fields of a thermal distribution of static domain walls, which as above we model in the Matsubara framework, our optimal fit to the measured intensity [Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)] reveals that approximately 63(5)% of the spectral weight, marked in white in Fig. 4(e), is contributed by chain segments in nonvanishing effective fields (Sec. S5 of the SM [34]). Thus we quantify the extent to which interchain correlations remain important at $T > T_N$ [28], i.e., close to but above the regime of finite long-range order.

We comment that higher modes of the Zeeman ladder are observed in the spectrum between 15 and 18 meV. These are rather sharp at 4 K [Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)] but broad and weak at 18 K [Fig. 3(a)]. In our Matsubara and DMRG results [Fig. 2(b)], these modes are present with approximately the measured position, but their dispersion and intensity cannot be fitted with the same quantitative accuracy as the other spectral features. This suggests that higher-energy corrections [25] or an RPA-type extension of the Matsubara formalism may be required.

To summarize, we have studied both the continuum and the bound-state excitations of the quasi-1D AFM Ising chain in a single material, RbCoCl$_3$. By using temperature to control the type of 3D magnetic order, we measure the dynamical response in different effective magnetic fields. We model the continuum of the isolated chain, the Zeeman ladders in all staggered fields, and the broad response in thermally randomized fields by an analytical domain-wall formalism and by DMRG, to obtain a quantitative description of the spectrum in each of the temperature regimes investigated. Our results constitute a frontier in exploring the finite-temperature response of quantum spin systems and highlight the need for systematic theoretical methods to treat this problem in 3D.
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