Causes and Effects of Sand and Dust Storms: What Has Past Research Taught Us? A Survey
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Abstract: Barren ground and sites with low coverage by vegetation (e.g., dunes, soil surfaces, dry lakes, and riverbeds) are the main source areas of sand and dust storms (SDS). The understanding of causes, processes (abrasion, deflation, transport, deposition), and influencing factors of sandy and dusty particles moving by wind both in the boundary layer and in the atmosphere are basic prerequisites to distinguish between SDS. Dust transport in the atmosphere modulates radiation, ocean surface temperature, climate, as well as snow and ice cover. The effects of airborne particles on land are varied and can cause advantages and disadvantages, both in source areas and in sink or deposition areas, with disturbances of natural environments and anthropogenic infrastructure. Particulate matter in general and SDS specifically can cause severe health problems in human respiratory and other organs, especially in children. Economic impacts can be equally devastating, but the costs related to SDS are not thoroughly studied. The available data show huge economic damages caused by SDS and by the mitigation of their effects. Management of SDS-related hazards utilizes remote sensing techniques, on-site observations, and protective measures. Integrated strategies are necessary during both the planning and monitoring of these measures. Such integrated strategies can be successful when they are developed and implemented in close cooperation with the local and regional population and stakeholders.
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1. Introduction

Due to the existence of a very large number of case studies on SDS problems, including analyses both on-site and using remote sensing detection methods (Furman 2003; Miller 2003; Goudie and Middleton 2006; Walker et al. 2009; Engelbrecht and Derbyshire 2010; Akhlaq et al. 2012; Ginoux et al. 2012; Groll et al. 2013; Lancaster et al. 2013; Rashki et al. 2013; Sissakian et al. 2013; Nabavi et al. 2016; Opp et al. 2016; Middleton 2017; Abbasi et al. 2018; Groll et al. 2018; Groll et al. 2019; Foroushani et al. 2020), the objective of this study is not to repeat these published results. The majority of SDS publications do not focus on a comprehensive approach integrating the causes, the wide range of effects on different natural, economic, and social spheres, and the side-effects associated with SDS consequences. Meteorologists focus mainly on atmospheric dust effects, some of them include climate effects, and only a small number of publications reflect the dust effects on the oceans (Moulin et al. 1997; Tegen 2003, 2016; Schepanski 2018; Ansmann et al. 2019). Other researchers focus on dust and sand deposition amounts per event or per investigation period (Orlovsky et al. 2009; Groll et al. 2013; Foroushani et al. 2019). Many of the publications describe particular effects of dust on land use and infrastructure, while only a minority of SDS publications reflects the health effects (WMO 2021) and mitigation measures for reducing the damaging effects of SDS. The biggest lack of knowledge exists regarding the economic evaluation of damages, as indirect costs are especially difficult
to quantify (Al-Hemoud et al. 2019). Sand and dust storms are natural disasters. They occur not only in traditional drylands, but also in humid climate regions during long-term droughts, with direct and indirect effects of enormous consequences. However, with regard to different publication focuses, the causes, processes, forms, and effects of SDS are not always well-known, especially in areas where they did not occur during the past generations.

Knowing that a single review article cannot possibly cover all relevant aspects of this highly complex topic in equal depth, the overall aim of this literature review is to discuss SDS for those who remain unfamiliar with this phenomenon and its hazards. One objective of this article is to present a fundamental understanding of the prerequisites, processes, and main effects of aeolian sand and dust on sources and sinks in terrestrial and marine areas. Another objective is to summarize the existing knowledge regarding dust and sand storm effects on the environment and human health and to provide some examples of economic evaluations from the literature. The last objective highlights the potential effects of SDS mitigation and hazard reduction measures.

2. Causes, Prerequisites, and Origins of Sand and Dust Storms

Sand and dust storms (SDS) are common hazards, especially in semi-arid and arid regions, caused by thunderstorms or strong air pressure gradients, which increase wind speed over a wide area (WMO 2021). Due to climate change effects, like increasing air pressure differences between the polar and the tropic zones, or longer drought periods, SDS have been occurring more frequently in the temperate climate zone in the recent past (Tegen et al. 2004; Gao 2010; Shao et al. 2011; Schepanski 2018). The UNCCD characterized 151 countries or 77% of all countries as affected by SDS and 45 countries or 23% are classified as SDS source areas (UNCCD 2021).

The essential prerequisites for sand and dust transport are sandy and dusty particles under dry and loose conditions on land surfaces (Chesworth 1992; Mahmoodi et al. 2016), as well as a wind strong enough to mobilize these particles by abrasion and/or deflation from the surface and to transport them (Lancaster et al. 2013).

Loose sandy and dusty particles can occur both as a result of on-site autochthonous parent material weathering (Zepp 2004), and as a result of allochthonous particle transport and deposition or sedimentation processes (Dietze et al. 2016). Some small sandy and dusty particles may also be created as a result of the low-energy, short-distance splashing effect of grains, so-called reptation, caused by high-energy saltation (Baas 2019).

It is possible to separate the aeolian (wind-caused) particle movement into four process-related phases: abrasion, deflation, transport, and deposition (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Wind-related particle movement modes. (draft: C. Opp, design: H. Nödler).](image-url)
Abrasion is the loosening of single particles from fine-grained rock surfaces by wind forces (Goudie 2008). The term abrasion is also widely used for describing the particle release at coasts caused by wave forces (Temmerman et al. 2013). Deflation describes the particle removal from land surfaces by wind forces (Knight 2019). Negative landforms, so-called deflation hollows, can occur as a result of deflation processes. Positive landforms, e.g., yardangs, can also be a result of intensive deflation processes when the surrounding weak resistant materials have been eroded (Knight 2019). Abrasion and deflation processes on exposed soil surfaces are surface-related prerequisites for wind erosion.

The aeolian transport can take place as creep, saltation, reptation, and suspension (Figure 1). Creeping particles (>500 µm grain diameter) remain in close contact to the surface and are moved rolling and sliding by the wind. These gravel or sandy particles are too heavy to go into saltation. Saltation moves particles (70–500 µm grain diameter) by wind effects, ejecting them from the surface and entraining them into the airflow, following a ballistic trajectory until returning to the surface (Baas 2019). After colliding with the surface, the particles can start a new near-surface saltation trajectory. At the same time, during the surface collision, the sandy particles can contribute to the near-surface reptation as a result of particle splashing or sandblasting (Bagnold 1971). Sandblasted or splashed particles of 70–100 µm grain diameter can become part of the so-called modified saltation with longer trajectories (Baas 2019). Smaller silty particles (20–70 µm grain diameter) created by weathering, saltation, or reptation, can become part of a longer airflow, called short-term suspension (Baas 2019), while finest silty and clayey particles (<20 µm grain diameter) go into long-term suspension (Tegen and Fung 1994). Particles > 70 mm grain diameter will be deposited after less than one day. Particles < 70 µm grain diameter can remain in the atmosphere for up to several days and particles < 20 mm grain diameter for up to several weeks (Klose and Shao 2013; Mahowald et al. 2014; Schepanski 2018). It is possible to characterize moving particles by creep and saltation as gravel and sand, and moving particles by short-term and long-term suspension as silt and clay. Silt and clay can be summarized as dust.

All these particle moving processes depend on the wind parameters, namely speed, turbulence, and coherent flow structures (Wiggs and Weafer 2012; Semenov 2020), as their driving forces, modified by the cohesion forces between particles and soil surface as well as by the gravitational pull. The mobilization of sand and dust particles depends on the shear stress and the roughness of the surface (Tegen 2003) and is positively correlated to the near-surface wind speed (Bagnold 1971). Particles on the soil surface are moved and raised by wind through non-laminar flow and high wind speeds, which result in higher shear stress. The higher the surface roughness, the lower is the shear stress speed as friction and turbulences reduce the near-surface wind speed (Zepp 2004). Most wind speed and corresponding particle transportation data are based on wind tunnel experiments (Gilette 1967; Tegen 2003; Tieleman 2003; Semenov 2020). Tegen (2003) registered that particles with a diameter between 60 and 100 µm have the lowest critical shear stress speed (0.20 m/s or 0.72 km/h) to be moved by wind. Larger particles have a higher critical shear stress speed due to their increased weight, while smaller particles with a diameter of <10 µm (PM10 and PM2.5) also have a higher critical shear stress speed due to their increased particle cohesion caused by a larger specific surface area of smaller particles in relation to their volume (Tegen 2003). The critical shear stress speed is 0.35 m/s for a particle size of 10 µm and circa 1.10 m/s for a particle size of 2.0 µm. Furthermore, soil moisture greatly reduces soil erodibility, as moist particles are heavier and are interconnected by capillary forces, which increase the cohesion among particles (Willett et al. 2000) and between the particles and the soil surface. That is why the highest amounts of dust are emitted from deserts, semi-deserts, and desiccated lake beds. However, not only does the soil moisture influence the critical shear stress speed, but the wind velocity also modifies the soil moisture as higher wind speeds (and low relative air humidity) accelerate evaporation, and thereby reduce the near-surface moisture, enabling a more intense particle mobilization (Ishizuka et al. 2005; Meng et al. 2018; Matsushima et al. 2020).
The density of the vegetation cover is another parameter influencing the shear stress speed. Following the vegetation gradient from deserts to semi-deserts, short grass steppes (prairies), tundra, long grass steppes (prairies), not irrigated agricultural areas, irrigated agricultural areas, periwinkle and summer green coniferous trees, periwinkle and summer green deciduous trees, and periwinkle and summer green shrubs, the critical shear stress speed gradually increases from low to high values (Wu et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2020).

Soils surfaces can function both as source areas for wind erosion and deflation and as sink areas for deposition or sedimentation of airborne particles (Reiche et al. 2009). The source areas do have a key position in the chain of particle transport (Washington et al. 2003). The following surface features support the deflation process:

- (large) wind-exposed areas (e.g., coasts, lakes, treeless areas, open pit mines);
- drought/dryness (very low soil moisture) of the upper soil or sediment layer;
- low degree of coverage by vegetation;
- low degree of coverage by biological crusts;
- loose surface-near soil structure of agriculturally used land by tillage or trampling.

According to Shao et al. (2011) 90% of the dust in the atmosphere is of natural origin. Besides volcanic eruptions, marine terraces, and coastal dunes, the main sources of suspended dust are low precipitation areas like different kinds of deserts, semi-deserts, and arid grasslands. Dry lake and river beds with limnic and fluvial sediments, glacial and periglacial deposits, and ocean spray are other important natural source areas for the aeolian particle transport. The anthropogenic component of the aeolian particle load (10%) is caused especially by the alteration of soil surface covers, like overgrazed grasslands (Hoffmann et al. 2008), slashed and burned agricultural areas, and open-pit mines (Warren 2019). The land-use-related mobilization of dust and sand can reach quite substantial extents, as can be seen in the well-known dust bowl phenomenon in the central states of the USA (from North Dakota to Texas). Farmers had started transforming the indigenous grassland of the western plains into seasonally vegetation-free arable land during the late 19th and the beginning of the 20th century. This disturbance of the natural vegetation cover led to huge soil moisture deficits, which accelerated wind erosion and led to enormous economic losses for the farmers from the 1930s onward (Worster 1982; Cook et al. 2014). Other anthropogenic emissions of aerosols originate from power plants, traffic, industrial exhaust gases, domestic fuel, and other sources.

Particulate matter (PM) is part of aerosols. These PM particles are of such a small size, that they remain suspended in the air for a while. PMs are classified into different fractions depending on their grain size: PM10 are dusty particles of less than 10 \( \mu \)m; PM2.5, the so-called fine fraction of dust, has a grain size of less than 2.5 \( \mu \)m and is part of PM10. Particles between 2.5 \( \mu \)m and 10 \( \mu \)m represent the coarse fraction of the dust. Particles with a grain size less than 0.1 \( \mu \)m belong to the superfine dust fraction (UBA Umweltbundesamt 2018).

Middleton and Kang (2017) have analyzed the countries affected directly by SDS and have shown that 151 countries worldwide are affected. Moreover, 45 countries were classified as source areas, among them 38 in Africa and Asia (84.4%). In accordance with Shao et al. (2011) and Schepanski (2018) the major dust source areas on Earth are particularly located along the northern (Tropic of Cancer) and the southern (Tropic of Capricorn) tropics. The highest amounts of emitted dust were detected along the Sahara Desert, the Arabian Peninsula, Iraq, Iran, Central Asia, northern China, and Mongolia in the so-called Afro-Asian Dust Belt. The Rub’al-Kali desert on the Arabian Peninsula and the Taklimakan Desert in Northwest China are known as the biggest Sand Seas on Earth. Particles from this dust belt are even transported transcontinental, and the transatlantic dust transport from the Sahara Desert to the Amazon Basin in South America is especially well documented (Goudie and Middleton 2001; Kaskaoutis et al. 2010).

There is also a considerable amount of Sahara dust (80–120 mln tons per year) deposited in Europe (Goudie and Middleton 2001), with the highest seasonal dust concentrations over South Europe being observed during spring and partly during summer (Moulin et al. 1997; Pederzoli et al. 2010). Moreover, the transpacific dust transport from
Inner Asia and Northern China to North America up to Greenland (Shao et al. 2011; Middleton 2017) and the sediment transport from Central Australia to the Australian east coast and New Zealand are well known (Aragnou et al. 2021). Large amounts of dust are also transported within the dust belt from the Arabian Peninsula and Iraq to Iran (Foroushani et al. 2021), from the Central Asian deserts to Iran and Afghanistan (Abassi et al. 2019; Rashki et al. 2021; Karami et al. 2021), from Mongolia and Inner Mongolia (Gobi Desert) to Northern China (Gao 2010). These large continental and transcontinental dust transports follow the global atmospheric circulation patterns. The Saharan and Sahel transatlantic dust transport is particularly active between December and April utilizing the northeasterly Passat winds. The deflation in the Sahel is strongly correlated with low rainfall. Based on dust optical thickness analysis from Meteosat retrievals, relationships between the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the dust transport to the North Atlantic and the Mediterranean were confirmed by Moulin et al. (1997) and by Ina et al. (2013).

During fall and winter, the contribution of Saharan dust to the total dust load, especially in southern and parts of Central Europe reaches up to 30% (Pederzoli et al. 2010). However, even his high amount is only 12% of the total Saharan dust transported globally (Karanasiou et al. 2012). The Southwest Asia dust transport from the Arabian Peninsula, Kuwait, Iraq, and Iran, is closely connected to the Indian monsoon circulation. The Central Asian and the Northeast Asian dust transport, respectively, during spring from the Turan Lowland and Kazakhstan, and from Mongolia and Northern China, respectively, to Korea, Japan, the North Pacific, and North America follows the North Atlantic and Arctic Oscillation (Mao et al. 2011). The Australian dust transport is especially active during El-Nino events when Australia is under dry conditions with less vegetation cover. The dust transport paths can be reconstructed using the HYSPLIT approach (single-particle Lagrangian integrated trajectory) (Yamamoto et al. 2013).

Besides drylands, all areas characterized by wind erosion (Oldeman 1992) are typical source areas of sand and dust storms abrasion, deflation, transport, and deposition of sand and dust.

Summarizing the causes of SDS, it is useful to distinguish between the prerequisites of loose particles and wind. Loose particles occur as a result of:

- Weathering.
- Splash effects of the grains.
- Saltation (Lancaster et al. 2013; Mahmoudi et al. 2016). The more loose the particles, the higher their erodibility for wind erosion and the higher the SDS potential.

Wind occurs as a consequence of air pressure differences. The higher the wind velocity, the higher the abrasion and deflation forces for wind erosion and the higher the SDS potential (Tegen et al. 2004; Gao 2010; Shao et al. 2011; Schepanski 2018).

The lower the soil moisture and the longer the drought period (Farzanegan et al. 2021) the higher the wind erosion and SDS potential (Abassi et al. 2018; WMO 2021).

A key factor influencing the erodibility of surfaces is the coverage by vegetation and, or biological crusts. The shorter the duration of vegetation cover and the lower the degree of coverage by biological crusts the higher the deflation and the SDS potential (Wu et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2020).

3. Effects of Sand and Dust Storms

3.1. The Atmosphere-Ocean Interaction of SDS Effects

Among the eroded and airborne particles, sandy grains remain on or near the soil surface. Depending on the wind speed they can be subject to a short-time uplift, for instance by saltation, but they soon return to the surface again because of their larger grain diameter and their higher weight (cf. Figure 1). Smaller particles, like silt and clay, which can be summarized as dust, can stay in suspension for prolonged periods. That is why they have a wide range of effects in the higher atmosphere. Their greater spatial reach also means that they can interact with regions far away from their sources and with the oceans.
More than 2000 million tons of dust enter the atmosphere every year. Of that, 75% will be deposited on land and 25% on the ocean (Shao et al. 2011), predominantly as dry and to a much smaller degree as wet deposition. Most of the suspended dust in the atmosphere will be deposited on the same continent from where it originated. Under special air pressure and atmospheric circulation conditions, for instance, the trade wind or Passat circulation, dust can also be transported at elevations of several thousand kilometers across the oceans from one continent to another. Some very fine particulate matter (0.1–20 mm; PM20) can remain in suspension for very long periods and orbit the Earth several times, predominately within the troposphere (Power 2003).

Although most of the dust layers in the atmosphere are a temporal limited phenomenon, they still cause various effects, influencing the energy balance and the global climate. Aeolian dust is a mixture of various mineral species of different shapes, coatings, and mixing states with distinctive chemical, optical, as well as ice- and cloud-nucleating properties (Gassó et al. 2010). The reactions with vapor and trace gases in the atmosphere are depending on these properties. Dark carbonaceous particles from eroded humic soil surfaces, particles emitted from coal or biomass burning (e.g., in rainforests; Levine 1999), or exhaust particles from vehicles (Monna et al. 1997) and urban areas (Vester et al. 2007) heat the atmosphere because 50% of the incoming solar energy will be absorbed by dust (Shao et al. 2011). This energy absorption negatively affects the energy balance at ground surface level, causing a cooling effect approximately three times stronger than the heating effect of the greenhouse gases (Shao et al. 2011). The aeolian transport of humic aerosols also has effects on the global carbon cycle and the regional carbon balance. They are involved in the formation of atmospheric brown clouds (ABC), influencing both global and regional climate systems (Ramanathan et al. 2005; Engling and Gelencsér 2010). The interaction of dust with anthropogenic substances modifies not only their optical properties but also their atmospheric lifetimes (Zhang 2008). Non-dark particles, like sulfates and light-colored organic carbon, reflect incoming solar radiation, and thus counteract warming (Giere and Querol 2010). This was observed after large volcanic eruptions like the 1991 Mount Pinatubo eruption (Prata 2009), causing substantial cooling in the Northern Hemisphere during the summer of 1992 (Durant et al. 2010). Dust in the atmosphere affects cloud formation and thickness because dust particles can function as condensation nuclei or as ice forming nuclei, depending on size, chemical composition, mixing state, and particle concentration (Giere and Querol 2010). Dust acting as ice nuclei in clouds increases cloud thickness and water drop size (Gassó et al. 2010). Therefore, dust also influences rainfall patterns. The direction of this influence depends on various parameter combinations within the cloud and its surrounding. Smaller droplets often do not fall to the ground and an increase in particle numbers may prevent a cloud from raining out (Gassó et al. 2010). Therefore, both more and/or less rain are possible outcomes of dust in the atmosphere, with consequences for the global water cycle and the regional water balance (Ramanathan et al. 2001). However, the interactions between dust and gases, acids, condensation of vapors, and alteration of optical properties during cloud formation are highly complex and our understanding of these dust–atmosphere interactions is still limited (Buseck and Pósfai 1999; Stevens and Feingold 2009).

Another well-known effect of dust and aerosols in the atmosphere is the reduced visibility. This is utilized in some regions, like in Central Asia, to categorize the severity of dust events by the use of optical markers at a specific distance (1000 m) from the meteorological station observing the event (UNEP et al. 2016). However, reduced visibility results not only from the above-mentioned processes of particle abrasion, deflation, and dust transport through the atmosphere. Particle shape, elemental and mineralogical compositions (e.g., the presence of light-absorbing substances such as iron-oxides), and the mixing state affect the optical characteristics of the dust. Reduced atmospheric visibility can be also a result of volcanic eruptions, e.g., the Eyjafjallajökull, in Iceland in 2010 (Gislason and Alfredsson 2010), wildfires, e.g., forest fires in New South Wales, Australia 2019 (Ehsani et al. 2020), peat fires in Alaska 2005 (Poulter et al. 2006) or Russia
2010 (Konovalov et al. 2011), land use activities, e.g., the US Dust Bowl (McLeman et al. 2014), and other reasons. The reduced visibility and the high particle concentration may affect air transport in general. Continuous delivery of high particle concentration in diverse atmospheric layers as it occurs for example in combination with volcanic eruptions furthermore can be especially dangerous for jet planes and interrupt air traffic for several days, as in the case of the Eyjafjallajökul volcano eruption on Iceland in 2010. Reduced visibility through dust storms also occurs in the near-surface boundary layer and affect road traffic, as one of the authors witnessed on a highway south of Rostock, Germany, on 8 April 2011 (Figure 2), where eight people died, 41 were injured, and 150 cars were damaged because of sudden and dramatic reduction of visibility.

A common indicator of dust occurrence is the dust weather frequency (DWF), which expresses the number of days with dust weather events, including dust haze, blowing dust, and storms per year (Fan et al. 2010; Kazakhstan Academy of Sciences 2010). In the northern subzone of Central Asia, on average, 20–30 dust storms occur per year, with 28 such events along the Syr-Darya and Ili river valleys, and 30 dust storms in the Lake Balkhash area. In all these areas dust storms occur predominately between April and October, while the surfaces are mostly covered by snow between November and March. In the southern subzone, dust storms may occur around the year. Thus, in the central Karakum Desert on average 60 dust storms occur, while the averages for the eastern and western Karakum desert are 62 and 67 dust storms per year, respectively (Orlovsky et al. 2009; Opp et al. 2016). However, the plain number of dust storms per year is only one facet of the temporal dust storm variability. Some of these registered storms might only last for less than one hour, while others last for more than 24 h. Moreover, while some dust storms can be categorized as comparatively mild (12.5% of all detected dust storms within the CALTER project deposited less than 1 kg of dust per hectare and hour), others can be severe (10% of the dust storms deposited more than 100 kg/ha/h, for a maximum of 1.87 tons of deposited dust per hectare in a single dust storm event).
The massive layers of aeolian dust with their above-mentioned heating effect in the
atmosphere also cool the ocean’s surface temperature. This cooling can hinder or block
the formation of tropical cyclones. Long-term studies of the hurricane seasons over the
Atlantic Ocean, the Caribbean Sea, and the Southeastern USA show that less dusty seasons
and years over the Atlantic promote hurricanes, as was the case in 2005, 2008, 2017, while
in 2006 a higher amount of Sahara dust in the atmosphere over the Atlantic prevented
the formation of major hurricanes (DMG—Deutsche Meteorologische Gesellschaft 2008).
Besides these ocean reactions to the atmosphere, the deposition of dust can have various
effects on the ocean itself. On the one hand, deposited dust may inhibit phytoplankton
development (Paytan et al. 2009). On the other hand, deposited dust can fertilize the ocean.
The Saharan dust deposition in the Caribbean Sea was identified as one major reason for
coral bleaching and mass mortality of coral reefs there (DMG—Deutsche Meteorologische
Gesellschaft 2008). Furthermore, dust layers in the ocean water can stop the water and gas
exchange with lower deepwater layers, influencing the vertical temperature and nutrient
gradients (Gassó et al. 2010).

3.2. Effects of Airborne Particle Input on Land

Due to long-term satellite observations, the Saharan dust transport was identified as
a source of fertilizing the tropical rainforest in Latin America. The seven years average
dust deposition in the Amazon basin was estimated to be 28 (8–48) Tg/a or 29 (8–50)
kg/ha-a (Yu et al. 2015). The North African dust is an important substitute, especially
for the phosphorus (P) losses within the Amazon basin, because 90% of the soils in the
Amazon basin are P deficient (Sanchez et al. 1982). Yu et al. (2015) conclude that African
dust plays an important role in preventing phosphorus depletion on timescales of decades and centuries.

While the deposition areas benefit from such dust transport, a geo-chemical deficit
at the source areas is the flip side of this process. The deflation of the upper soil surface
layers exposes the often non-humic subsoil layers. In some cases, even in (semi)arid areas,
the newly exposed soils are often salty. Salinized soils, surfaces, and in some cases salt
pans often occur in arid and semi-arid areas because of a negative water balance and/or
because of wrong irrigation management. Drainless inland lakes are salt accumulation
pans. Due to high evaporation amounts, the water of such lakes becomes brackish or salty
(Aladin and Potts 1992). If the evaporation exceeds the water inflow, these water bodies
desiccate and the exposed lake beds act as sources of salty sand and dust storms. Famous
examples of such processes are the Aral Sea (Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan; (Groll et al. 2013)
and Lake Urmia (Iran) (Opp 2007; Opp et al. 2017).

Typical phenomena of deflation processes in source areas are stony desert pavement
surfaces (Figure 3) as residuals of wind erosion (Dietze et al. 2016) and aeolian landscapes
with so-called yardangs (Halimov and Fezer 1989), in the shape of rocks and rocky ridges
formed predominately by wind (Figure 4).

Better known, in general, are the SDS effects on deposition areas. Dunes are a result
of the short-term and short-distance sand transport (Bagnold 1941; Livingstone et al. 2007;
Semenov 2020; Wiggs 2019). They can form dune systems and whole sand seas (Hesse
2019; Abbasi et al. 2020). After Wiggs (2019) only 20% of desert surfaces are covered by
wind-blown sand, and of this, only 60% is integrated into dunes. Regarding SDS, it is
necessary to distinguish between active (free) and stabilized (anchored) dunes (Abbasi et al.
2020). Typical dune forms like Linear dunes, Star dunes, Transverse dunes (Barchans) are
results of mobile or active sand transport. They act as both recent sink or deposition areas
and as sources of SDS. Stabilized or anchored dunes were subject to active dune forming
in the past, but since then have been covered by vegetation, biological crusts or became
subject to man-made dune stabilization actions. Most dunes in the North European Sand
Belt are inland residuals from the Pleistocene, and near the coasts of the North and Baltic
Sea, they are residuals from the Holocene.
with so-called yardangs (Halimov and Fezer 1989), in the shape of rocks and rocky ridges formed predominately by wind (Figure 4).

Better known, in general, are the SDS effects on deposition areas. Dunes are a result of the short-term and short-distance sand transport (Bagnold 1941; Livingstone et al. 2007; Semenov 2020; Wiggs 2019). They can form dune systems and whole sand seas (Hesse 2019; Abbasi et al. 2020). After Wiggs (2019) only 20% of desert surfaces are covered by wind-blown sand, and of this, only 60% is integrated into dunes. Regarding SDS, it is necessary to distinguish between active (free) and stabilized (anchored) dunes (Abbasi et al. 2020). Typical dune forms like Linear dunes, Star dunes, Transverse dunes (Barchans) are results of mobile or active sand transport. They act as both recent sink or deposition areas and as sources of SDS. Stabilized or anchored dunes were subject to active dune forming in the past, but since then have been covered by vegetation, biological crusts or became subject to man-made dune stabilization actions. Most dunes in the North European Sand Belt are inland residuals from the Pleistocene, and near the coasts of the North and Baltic Sea, they are residuals from the Holocene.

Typical phenomena of (coarser) sand deposition in sink or deposition areas are:

- overlaying or sanding up of topsoils, including traffic lanes (Figure 5);
- formation of dune hills as a result of long-term sedimentation;
- deformation of vegetation, especially of their leaves and stomata, as a result of abrasion processes;
- filling up of river and channel beds due to additional sediment input;
- contamination of open drinking water pipes;
- impairment of technical infrastructure (e.g., turbines) due to the high fine sediment load in the air;
- blocking of transportation networks (roads, railroads) and thereto related higher maintenance costs.

Figure 3. Stony desert pavement as a result of deflation in Southwest Iran, south of Ahwaz (photo: Ch. Opp).

Figure 4. Yardangs in the so-called Devils City, west of Hami, Xinjiang, China (photo: Ch. Opp).

The corresponding effects of wind-blown deposited (finer) dust are the same as for sand, with exception of the abrasion effects on the vegetation. Instead, the finer dust can congest the vegetation stomata and reduce functionality by covering leaves with a layer of fine sediment. Hirano et al. (1995) have shown for beans and pickles that dust deposition amounts of 1 g/month can already have significant negative effects on plant physiology. Dust on leaves may increase the leaves' temperature because of the increased absorption of infrared radiation. Hirano et al. (1995) expressed that the absorption intensity depends on the color of the dust. However, they also described a shading effect, which increases with decreasing grain size. This shading also negatively affects the photosynthesis efficiency and the primary production of the vegetation. Leaves' stomata can get blocked by fine dust particles as well, which prevents them from fully closing during the day increasing transpiration losses and lowering water use efficiency, amplifying the vegetation's water stress. Contrary to these findings, Sharifi et al. (1997) detected reduced transpiration rates caused by dust-covered leaves. These opposite effects between dust cover and transpiration require more detailed comparative analyses.

Furthermore, dust also acts, in most cases, as additional fertilizer, influencing the nutrient balance in the sink area.

Dust deposited on snow and ice decreases the surface albedo, thus modifying the energy and mass balance, and accelerates melting processes.

Dust measured in human environments, even in highly industrialized ones, is often a mixed composition of different local, regional, and global dust sources, including man-made emissions from industry, traffic, and agricultural areas as well as various natural sources.
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Figure 5. Sanding up of traffic lanes, here: Kyzyl Kum transit road, 120 km north of Bukhara, Uzbekistan (photo: Ch. Opp ).

The corresponding effects of wind-blown deposited (finer) dust are the same as for sand, with exception of the abrasion effects on the vegetation. Instead, the finer dust can congest the vegetation stomata and reduce functionality by covering leaves with a layer of fine sediment. Hirano et al. (1995) have shown for beans and pickles that dust deposition amounts of 1 g/month can already have significant negative effects on plant physiology. Dust on leaves may increase the leaves’ temperature because of the increased absorption of infrared radiation. Hirano et al. (1995) expressed that the absorption intensity depends on the color of the dust. However, they also described a shading effect, which increases with decreasing grain size. This shading also negatively affects the photosynthesis efficiency and the primary production of the vegetation. Leaves’ stomata can get blocked by fine dust particles as well, which prevents them from fully closing during the day increasing transpiration losses and lowering water use efficiency, amplifying the vegetation’s water stress. Contrary to these findings, Sharifi et al. (1997) detected reduced transpiration rates caused by dust-covered leaves. These opposite effects between dust cover and transpiration require more detailed comparative analyses.

Furthermore, dust also acts, in most cases, as additional fertilizer, influencing the nutrient balance in the sink area.

Dust deposited on snow and ice decreases the surface albedo, thus modifying the energy and mass balance, and accelerates melting processes.

Dust measured in human environments, even in highly industrialized ones, is often a mixed composition of different local, regional, and global dust sources, including man-made emissions from industry, traffic, and agricultural areas as well as various natural sources.

3.3. Effects of Sand and Dust Storms on Human Health

Environmental studies in industrial areas have shown that enormous amounts of pollutants, emitted by chimneys and domestic fuel, are entering the atmospheric circulation (Haase et al. 1990), impairing the air quality and causing a wide range of health effects. Dust can carry spores, bacteria, viruses, and persistent inorganic and organic pollutants (WHO 2006; Spriggs et al. 2014). Foroushani et al. (2019) detected high heavy metal concentrations in airborne dust samples from South and West Iran. Rezaei et al. (2019) showed that light density microplastic particles are transported by wind without but also together with dust. Airborne dust may penetrate the human body in different ways. Inhalation of dust-loaded
air is the most common way of exposure. However, airborne dust can also enter the human body by drinking liquids containing dissolved dust, or by consuming food with dusty components (Cook et al. 2005). Dust particles in the food chain can cause different kinds of sicknesses, such as cardiovascular diseases, diseases of the respiratory organs, hypertension, and others (UBA 2017). In the bloodstream, they can even cause genetic changes (Sacks et al. 2011). Problems of the cardiovascular system, such as strokes, high blood pressure, and high blood plasma viscosity, might also be connected with high dust concentrations in the breathing air (Yang et al. 2005). If airborne dust enters the respiratory organs it can significantly reduce the lung capacity and functionality (Hong et al. 2010) and cause chronic sicknesses of the respiratory tract (Hsieh and Liao 2013) and pneumonia (Kang et al. 2012). Increased rates of airborne diseases, such as Meningitis or Valley Fever, were observed, e.g., in the Sahel (Sultan et al. 2005) and the southwestern United States (Tong et al. 2017).

The particle size is a key factor of the dust and its effects on human health. Particles of less than or equal to 10 microns (PM10) are respirable according to the US Environmental Agency. Particles of less than 2.5 microns (PM2.5) may enter the bloodstream with serious consequences. Particles of that size in the respiratory tract can cause asthma, tracheitis, pneumonia, aspergillosis, allergic rhinitis, and nonindustrial silicosis, known as “desert lung” syndrome (Derbyshire 2007). The grain size and the grain size distribution of the inhaled dust are crucial for the distribution and deposition of dusty particles in the respiratory system. The smaller the particle, the bigger the probability of deposition. However, bigger inhaled particles (PM10–2.5) are connected to a higher mortality rate (Mallone et al. 2011). During high Sahara dust concentrations in South Europe, significant casualty numbers were related to respiratory illnesses, cardiovascular and vessel diseases, as well as strokes (Perez et al. 2012; Mallone et al. 2011). Meanwhile, the severeness of the health impacts of SDS is even greater in the arid source regions. Drylands (hyper-arid, arid, semi-arid, dry subhumid) are not only the ecosystems, which are most affected by SDS but also do they show the highest percentage of infant mortality compared to other ecosystems (MEA 2005).

The duration of the exposition to dust and the mass or dose of dust are crucial factors determining the health risk. The dose of the inhaled dust is determined by the dust concentration in the air (in µg/m³), the duration of the exposition, and the breathing rate, which is on average 0.38 m³/h. The higher the dust concentration in the air and the exposition duration, and the smaller the inhaled particles, the higher the dose (Hsieh and Liao 2013).

The health effects of inhaled dust depend not only on quantitative parameters, but also on the chemical composition of the dust. Besides the dust composition determined by the source area, airborne dust particles often contain additional components from different sources, including anthropogenic emissions. Sulfates, nitrates, and ammonium are common components of dust coming from the land areas, while sea salts originate from oceans (Tsai and Chen 2006). Most dust samples are dominated by silica (SiO2), which can cause health problems in the respiratory tract. Different studies have shown that dust samples with high metal concentrations affect especially children (Chen et al. 2004; Hong et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2013). High arsenic and mercury concentrations in inhaled dust may be responsible for inflammation of the sinuses. Inflammations, bronchitis, asthma, pulmonary edema, and fibrosis can be a consequence of high metal concentrations in inhaled dust (Cook et al. 2005). Furthermore, dust particles can also absorb pollutants during transport, creating more complex and potentially more harmful aerosols (Onishi et al. 2012).

Areas without a dense vegetation cover, like desiccated floodplains and lakes, act as sources of sand and dust storms. The Aral Sea is the most famous example of this phenomenon, made public by the drastic changes of the former fourth biggest lake on Earth and labeled the “Aral Sea Syndrome”. This severe ecological disaster has equally severe side effects on human health.
The relations between sand and dust storms and the illnesses of the respiratory tract and the inflammation of the eyes are known for a long time. However, because of the sensitive nature of detailed public health information, most of these conducted studies on this topic have not been made public. However, some information about health effects in connection with the Aral Sea crisis during the late 1980s and early 1990s has been published (Tables 1–4) (Elpiner 1999; Opp 2007). While the average infant mortality in Central Asia was 3.5% during that period, it was 4.7% in Turkmenistan, which lies south of the Aral Sea and receives an above-average amount of dust from the desiccated lake bed (Groll et al. 2013), while in Kharakalpakstan, an autonomous region in Uzbekistan surrounding parts of the Aral Sea, an infant mortality of 7.0% was determined. Along the lower sections of the Amu-Darya and the Syr-Darya Rivers, near the Aral Sea, the infant mortality was 11%, the highest value in the former Soviet Union. Many babies in the Aral Sea region were born with an open fontanelle and most of those remained handicapped later. Moreover, 90% of the pregnant women suffered from anemia in this region and oesophageal cancer was diagnosed seven times more often than in the Uzbekistan average. Each fifth inhabitant of Kharakalpakstan suffered from open tuberculosis and two-thirds of the population suffered from hepatitis, typhus, stomach, or thyroid cancer (Elpiner 1999; Opp 2007). Because children are more affected by near-surface SDS than adults, a case study of their health risks was carried out in the 1980s in two administrative units of Kazakhstan (Tables 1, 3, and 4).

Table 1. Diseases of respiratory tracts in children in two Kazakh Rayons of the Aral Sea basin 1989.

| Study Area      | Rayon Kazalinsk | Rayon Zhanakorgan |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Quantity of tested children | 2030 | 100% | 1979 | 100% |
| Quantity of diseases, including | 229 | 11.3% | 239 | 11.9% |
| Acute pneumonia | 145 | 63.0% | 153 | 65.1% |
| Chronic bronchitis | 63 | 27.5% | 68 | 29.4% |
| Chronic pneumonia | 21 | 9.5% | 13 | 5.5% |

Source: State Medical Institute, Almaty, Kazakhstan.

Table 2. Number of carcinoses per 1000 inhabitants and year in Kharakalpakstan, Uzbekistan.

| Year | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|      | 4.5  | 5.8  | 7.1  | 7.2  | 7.1  | 7.4  | 8.7  | 9.5  | 7.7  | 9.9  | 7.8  |

Source: Elpiner (1999).

Table 3. ENT (Ear-Nose-Throat) diseases of the inhabitants of the Rayon Kazalinsk, District Kyzylorda, Kazakhstan, 1989.*.

| Diseased on                                      | Number of Tested People *) | % of Si People | % of Tested People |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| chronic throat-tonsil-inflammation               | 185                        | 31.5           | 11.4               |
| chronic angina-inflammation                      | 92                         | 15.6           | 5.7                |
| chronic tonsil-inflammation                      | 77                         | 13.1           | 4.8                |
| chronic ear-inflammation                         | 75                         | 12.7           | 4.6                |
| chronic paranasal sinus disease                  | 47                         | 8.0            | 2.9                |
| Other acute ENT disease                          | 112                        | 19.1           | 6.9                |
| ENT diseases altogether                          | 588                        | 100            | 36.4               |

*A total of 1,617 persons were tested (80% of the population), 588 of them suffered an ENT disease; Source: State Medical Institute, Almaty, Kazakhstan.
Table 4. ENT diseases of children from Sovkhoz School “Engels”, Rayon Kazalinsk, District Kyzylorda, Kazakhstan, 1989.

| Children at the Age of | 6–10 Years | 11–15 Years | 16–18 Years | Sum |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| number of tested children | 167        | 168         | 152         | 487 |
| sick children among them: |            |             |             |     |
| chronic nose-throat-inflammation | 57        | 34          | 20          | 123 |
| chronic ear-inflammation | 26        | 30          | 22          | 78  |
| chronic tonsil-inflammation | 31        | 11          | 20          | 62  |
| other ENT-inflammation | 11        | 32          | 17          | 60  |
| Total sick children | 125        | 107         | 91          | 323 |

Source: State Medical Institute, Almaty, Kazakhstan.

Rayon Kazalinsk is part of the Kyzylorda district in Central Kazakhstan, along the Syr-Darya River, east of the former Aral Sea. The Syr-Darya River valley is among the areas most affected by sand and dust storms in Kazakhstan. The surface water from the Syr-Darya River is used for irrigation agriculture in this semi-arid and semi-desert area and soil salinization is a widespread phenomenon. Wind deflation exposes the salinized soil layers and enables their mobilization as airborne salty dust.

Zhanakorgan is also part of the Kyzylorda district and is located in the Syr-Darya River valley southeast of Kyzylorda. Because the river water did not reach the Aral Sea for many years, most of the delta branches dried up. Former agricultural areas had to be abandoned because of the lack of water, accelerating the desertification processes and enlarging the source area of sand and dust storms.

Although the distance between the two Rayons is, at several hundred kilometers, considerable, the percentages of sick children are comparable. 65% of the 1,979 tested children in Rayon Zhanakorgan (c.f. Table 1) suffer from acute pneumonia although their homes are 400–500 km away from the desiccated Aral Sea. Salty dust is one of the main factors causing pneumonia in Central Asia and the sources of such salty dust can be both the Aral Sea via long-distance dust transport and local dust sources within the Rayon. So-called Takyrs, or “salty hollows”, and surface and subsurface salinizations are widespread phenomena and small-scale sources for salty dust transport.

The increasing number of carcinoses in Karakalpakstan (Table 2) goes along with the most rapid desiccation phase of the Aral Sea during the late 1980s and an increasing number of sand and dust storms in the Aral Sea region (Elpiner 1999). Karakalpakstan is located in the largely desiccated delta of the Amu-Darya, between the Karakum Desert in the west and the Kyzylkum Desert in the east. Dust samples from this region, collected between 2003 and 2012, showed that the dust deposited in Karakalpakstan mainly originated from both deserts and the desiccated Aral Sea (Groll et al. 2013; Opp et al. 2016). As long as there was enough water for irrigation in the Aral Sea tributaries, cotton cultivation was the main mode of irrigation farming in that area. Before harvesting the cotton in late summer and fall, huge amounts of pesticide were applied in order to devitalize the leaves of the cotton plants for easier mechanized harvesting. This was a common practice during the Soviet era, but it also greatly increased the pollution of the top-soils and tributaries.

Remarkably, more than 36% of the tested persons in the Rayon Kazalinsk suffered from different kinds of ENT (ear–nose–throat) diseases (Table 3). The high values of chronic throat-tonsil-inflammations also indicate high rates of impaired respiratory organs. Patients who became affected by different kinds of tonsil, angina, and ear inflammations often suffer from side effects of these diseases too and become more susceptible to other kinds of illnesses.
Table 4 illustrates that young children aged 6 to 10 are more affected by ENT inflam-
mations than older children. This could be a result of their smaller size, as they are more
severely affected by larger particles. Young children who are often and longer sick because
of respiratory organ dysfunctions may be handicapped in their further development, re-
sulting in hard to pin-down long-term impairments caused by aeolian dust (UNEP et al.
2016). More detailed information regarding medico-ecological problems of the Aral Sea
crisis has been described by Elpiner (1999).

The percentage of sick probands in Tables 1–4 cannot be described only by the effects
of sand and dust storms. Many other subjective and objective environmental factors, such
as the age, the size, the frequency of direct exposition to SDS, the quality of the drinking
water, the protein-vitamin deficiency (Elpiner 1999), general health status, the status quo of
the health care system, and the testing methods all influence the results.

Breathing air with high particulate matter (PM2.5) concentrations, including pollu-
tants, weakens the human immune system. This is not a new research result, but it becomes
more relevant in the light of the current Coronavirus pandemic. More deaths related to
SARS-COV-2 are detected in areas with high particulate matter pollution. Indeed, 15% of
the worldwide deaths caused by Corona are related to particulate matter, while in Asia,
this percentage reaches 27% (Gardiner 2021). Worldwide, about seven million people die
annually because of air pollution, which is twice as much as by alcohol, and five times
more than by traffic accidents (WMO 2021; Gardiner 2021).

Air pollutions and related impacts on health caused by increasing SDS frequency or other
reasons are often the cause of migration (WHO 2006; Gholipour et al. 2020; UNCCD 2021).

3.4. Economic Effects of Sand and Dust Storms

SDS have significant socio-economic impacts on human health, agriculture, industry,
transportation, water, and air quality (UNCCD 2021).

In accordance with Al-Hemoud et al. (2019) our review of SDS publications has
confirmed the huge lack of knowledge in the field of the economic evaluation of SDS effects.
Al-Hemoud et al. (2019) discuss, in their introduction section and in Table 1, nine different
literature sources about economic impact studies related to sand and dust storm events.
They compiled data of SDS events of different durations with related economic damages
in industry, agriculture, transportation, domestic households, and the health sector with
costs ranging between 23 million Australian Dollars and 1.404 billion US Dollars. Based on
the estimation of economic impacts on ten different sectors of the oil and gas industry in
Kuwait, the authors also carried out a risk assessment associated with SDS events. They
selected risk indices describing the relation between the probability of SDS hazards (very
unlikely, unlikely, possible, likely, very likely) and the severity of economic consequences
(very unlikely, unlikely, possible, likely, very likely). In a final step, Al-Hemoud et al. (2019)
propose recommendations for sustainable prevention and control techniques to mitigate
the damage effects of SDS and reduce the economic losses.

The following table (Table 5) summarizes a great variety of SDS impacts on humans,
animals, plants, agriculture, and infrastructure. Some of these impacts occur immediately
after strong SDS events. Other impacts might not be immediately noticeable but only
become apparent in the long term. Furthermore, the economic damages also depend on
the frequency and the duration of SDS. In case of regular SDS occurrences, inhabitants and
organizations would be better prepared, having adapted their behavior and structures,
which would lower the direct and indirect economic effects. The monetary effects of SDS
damages also depend on the level of economic activities. Al-Hemoud et al. (2019) have
mentioned that cities with major infrastructure or transport hubs will be more impacted
by SDS than locations with less infrastructure or less population. Regardless of such
singular studies (Al-Hemoud et al. 2019), economic impacts and costs related to SDS are
not thoroughly researched, even though the available studies show the huge economic
damages caused by SDS and the enormous challenges to prevent SDS effects (Table 6).
Table 5. Immediate and long-term effects of Sand and Dust Storms.

| Immediate SDS Effects                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Immediate human health problems (e.g., respiratory problems) and mortality;            |
| Annual and perennial crop damage;                                                     |
| Livestock mortality;                                                                   |
| Infrastructural damage (e.g., to buildings, electricity and telecommunication structures, power facilities, solar farms, machinery, greenhouses); |
| Costs for removing sand and dust from infrastructure (e.g., roads, airports, dams, irrigation canals, flood control structures, ditches, power facilities); |
| Interruption of transport (air, road, rail) and communications (radio); air and road traffic accidents; |
| Long-Term SDS Effects                                                                  |
| Cumulative human health problems (e.g., bronchitis, cardiovascular, and other chronic disorders); |
| Soil erosion and reduced soil quality and fertility;                                   |
| Soil pollution through deposition of toxic biological substances (fungi, bacteria), heavy metals, salts, and microplastics; |
| Disruption of the global climate, CO$_2$, and nutrient cycles through various feedbacks involving global warming, glacier melting, cloud dynamics, precipitation changes, vegetation cover, sea level, and ocean productivity. |

Source: UNEP et al. (2016): Global Assessment of Sand and Dust Storms; summarized from Goudie and Middleton (2006).

Table 6. Costs of removal of blown sand from infrastructure in the Middle East in selected years.

| Area                 | Reference          | Year | Costs (USD) per Cubic Meter |
|----------------------|--------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Kuwait               | (Al-Dousari et al. 2019) | 1993 | 1.8                         |
| Kuwait               | (Al-Dousari et al. 2019) | 2013 | 5.33                        |
| Hafouf, Saudi Arabia | (Alghamdi and Kahdani 2005) | 2004 | 0.5                         |
| Sistan, Iran         | (Pahlavanravi et al. 2012) | 2000 | 2.0                         |
| Sistan, Iran         | (Pahlavanravi et al. 2012) | 2004 | 0.5                         |

Source: UNEP et al. (2016): Global Assessment of Sand and Dust Storms.

All these effects do have economic consequences, but even if these consequences are enormous in terms of their importance for our societies and their monetary value, it is almost impossible to properly price the consequences of SDS (O’Riordan 1996). Besides the general challenge to determine the economic values of environmental and human disasters, it is easier to determine short-term losses than long-term damages. However, Williams and Young (1999) list 11–56 million AUD per year (8.5–43.5 million USD) of so-called off-site costs for SDS health impacts in Australia. They characterized health costs as the most significant contributor to the overall costs, followed by household maintenance costs and losses caused by canceled flights. Huszar and Piper (1986) place the off-site costs associated with wind erosion in New Mexico, USA during the 1980s, at 466 million USD and the on-site costs at 10 million USD. Tozer and Leys (2013) conducted an exemplary study based on specific dust events in Australia. They detected that the off-site costs were higher than the on-site costs because of the level of economic activities affected by the dust. They also state that the economic and monetary values of SDS effects depend on the population size and the infrastructure density in large urban areas. On average, smaller rural communities are less affected than middle-sized rural centers, while larger cities suffer the most economic damage.

For determining the costs of SDS impacts, data on the dust event severity and frequency along with economic data on the costs of interruptions and damages are required (Al-Hemoud et al. 2019). For the so-called Red Dawn Dust storm in Australia, on 23 September 2009, Tozer and Leys (2013) have determined the total damage to the New South Wales economy to be 299 million AUD (361 million USD). Of that, the biggest costs by far (255 million AUD) were incurred by individual households for cleaning and as-
associated activities. Commercial activities, including retail and service industries, were also significantly affected (10.1 million AUD) along with air transport (10.8 million AUD) and construction (2.4 million AUD). Because of a lack of data, Tozer and Leys did not consider health and traffic costs as well as follow-up costs, such as for the installation of dust monitoring and early warning systems. However, such costs are mostly another logical consequence to improve the protection against SDS.

In their Global Assessment of Sand and Dust Storms, the UNEP et al. (2016) list the annual costs for the removal of blown sand from infrastructure in the Middle East (Table 6) at sink or deposition areas. In Iran, these costs were 1 billion USD, in Iraq 1.4 billion USD, in the USA 9.6 billion USD, and in the Chinese capital Beijing 665 million USD.

The World World Bank (2019) published data on the mean annual PM2.5 concentration, total deaths, and losses in million USD from 18 countries in the Middle East and North Africa. For most of the countries close relations between high PM2.5 concentrations, the number of deaths, and economic losses were detected. Pakistan was the country with the most deaths and the highest losses. Bahrain, Kuwait, and the UAE were countries with low death numbers and relatively low economic losses, although their PM2.5 values were among the highest concentrations. This indicates that other factors, like the public health care system or the GDP, also influence the preparedness for SDS and the impacts these events can have.

Furthermore, 56% of enterprises in South Korea are negatively affected by SDS (Choo et al. 2003). The Korean air transportation industry reported annual sale losses because of SDS-related flight cancellations of about 0.6 million USD. In addition, China reported huge economic losses caused by SDS (UNEP et al. 2016).

Besides these costs occurring in deposition or sink areas, SDS also cause economic losses in the source areas. A simple approach for the determination of the economic effects of SDS in the source areas comes from wind erosion research. Quantitative amounts of eroded soil are specified in tons per hectare per year (t/ha\(^y\)) or in mm/y soil loss of the soil profile thickness. Adding a monetary value to the eroded soil is not quite so easy. This value depends, for example, on the value of the soil type and the land use of the affected soil. Was the area used for growing specific crops, the economic value of SDS effects might be determined by the monetary loss of the harvest. Reduced soil fertility might result in an increased need for artificial fertilizers, which not only add to the costs but also have a long-term negative effect on soil productivity and salinity (Kulmatov et al. 2015). Diminished harvests might also result in the necessity to import additional food or other agricultural products for higher prices. These indirect expenses still need to be considered part of the SDS impact costs. Other indirect costs include measures to prevent wind erosion and dust mobilization in the source areas. Such preventive measures, like planting of trees for an increase in the surface roughness and thus increasing the threshold velocity for particle mobilization, the increase of vegetation cover by planting aridity and salinity tolerant shrubs in desertified areas, or soil cohesion retaining tillage techniques are often very costly, but like most prevention measures, it is economically more efficient to extend the prevention measures as the costs for repairing SDS impacts would be even higher. Most preventive measures also have additional ecological or societal benefits as well.

Finally, it is also necessary to take the positive effects of SDS into account, for example, the fertilization at the sink or deposition areas. For the Amazon rainforest Yu et al. (2015) expect that without the phosphorus input from African dust, the hydrological loss by leaching would greatly deplete the soil phosphorus reservoir over a timescale of decades or centuries and severely affect the health and productivity of the Amazon rainforest. The climatic cooling effects both on sea and land surfaces is another advantage in a world affected by climate change. These benefits can offset at least a small part of the costs, even though they are very difficult to quantify.
4. Consequences for SDS Mitigation and Hazard Reduction

Having access to detailed data on the spatial and temporal characteristics of sand and dust storms are essential prerequisites for designing measurements for hazard reduction and SDS impact mitigation. There are several methodical approaches for gathering the required data.

4.1. SDS Observation by Remote Sensing and LIDAR (Summary)

Information about SDS source areas can be frequently surveyed by remote sensing techniques, e.g., with the help of Aerosol Optical Depth data at 550 nm retrieved from the moderate resolution imaging spectrometer (MODIS) onboard NASA’s Terra and Aqua satellite, and if possible, in combination with on-site or in-situ field observations (Abbasi et al. 2018). Westphal et al. (1987) used numerical models to characterize the dust transport for areas without or with only a small number of meteorological stations. Foroushani et al. (2020) used the WRF-Chem model for predicting the dust deposition in Southwestern Iran. A very effective tool for information on SDS on a global scale is the WMO Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) Programme (UNEP et al. 2016). The WMO also launched the Sand and Dust Storm Warning and Advisory Assessment System (SDS-WAS) as an international global network (WMO 2015). The SDS-WAS was extended by a global Dust Health Early Warning System (D-HEWS), dedicated to determining dust-related health risks. The Barcelona Forcast Centre was established in 2014 as an operational component of the SDS-WAS to generate and disseminate operational predictions for North Africa (north of the equator), the Middle East, and Europe (UNEP et al. 2016), while the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) provides operational information on dust, sand, smoke, and volcanic aerosols affecting the save operation of the transport system and the use of solar power (CAMS—Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service 2021).

Ground-based LIDAR measurements are used for the determination of aerosol optical and microphysical properties (Groß et al. 2015; Ansmann et al. 2019) in specific layers of the atmosphere to predict dust concentrations both in the atmosphere and the transport direction of the dust.

4.2. On-Site Observation (Summary)

An effective way of mitigating SDS is to reduce the wind erosion and deflation potentials at the SDS source areas. Because these sources often cover huge areas and the erosion activity is characterized by a high spatial and temporal variability, it is very important to pinpoint the most affected areas so that the right protection measures can be selected for the right place and for the right time.

If the meteorological stations in a country represent a close network, and the stations can detect wind erosion or deflation processes, the observations by these stations can provide overview information both regarding the source and deposition areas. Observations by meteorological stations are often available for many decades, providing consistent long-term records, that satellites cannot offer. Besides meteorological stations, specialized air quality measurement stations, often found in larger cities, play an important role in the observation of the particulate matter and aerosol mass concentration (PM10, PM2.5) in the deposition areas.

4.3. SDS Deflation Mitigation Measures (Summary)

When the exact location of affected areas has been determined, the best suitable protection measures against the deflation of sand and dust can be selected and applied. A permanent vegetation cover would be the best way to fix the surfaces and mitigate the deflation processes. However, especially in desert and semi-desert areas, permanent vegetation cover is not realistic because of the soil moisture deficit and the harsh growing conditions under such circumstances. Additional water supply for irrigation might be possible in some regions, but in most this would not be feasible. Survival and growth rates of planted Saxaul (Haloxylon aphyllum) shelterbelts near Muinyak, on the bottom of the
desiccated Aral Sea, increased when they received additional water during the first year after planting (Matsui et al. 2018). Such planted shelterbelts are often used along both sides of desert roads, for example, the desert roads crossing the Taklimakan Desert in Xinjiang, China. This is made possible by utilizing the huge groundwater aquifers below the sand dunes of the Taklimakan Desert for drip irrigation of the shelterbelts. For improving the initial survival chances of newly planted but locally adapted plants, the application of additional water absorbers can be beneficial. A project in the Aralkum Desert (Kuzmina and Treshkin 2012) used such absorbers, comparable to the material found in baby diapers, in a sand dune area to help the planted vegetation overcome the soil moisture deficit during the dry season and to ensure survival during the first hard years. If planting is not a viable option, then fixating the soil surfaces with oil mulch products (Figure 6a) or water-soluble surfactants like PAMs might be (Genis et al. 2013; Kuldasheva et al. 2020). However, the application of chemical substances can lead to harmful side effects on the soil microorganisms and the desert fauna and needs to be carefully evaluated before implementation.

Another way to reduce the deflation processes is to establish sand catchers (Figure 6b), consisting of a network of barriers made of straw or dead branches. Sand catchers increase the surface roughness and thus increase the wind velocity required for mobilizing the surface particles.

Sand catchers are also used in deposition areas to fix the deposited sand. Different kinds of fences are used in deposition areas (Alghamdi and Kahdani 2005) to promote the deposition and to prevent infrastructure damage. Al-Hemoud et al. (2019) have estimated the functional lifespan of such fences between 4.5–5 years and 25–30 years, depending on the height of the fences and on the frequency and amounts of deposited sand. Besides direct stabilization measures for areas with low vegetation cover, indirect measures can also help to minimize the creation of SDS events. Such indirect measures are:

- Reduction of livestock density on pasturage for preserving the vegetation cover.
- Optimization of crop rotation, for example by intercropping, for the reduction of barren surfaces.
- Reduction or forgoing of tillage for reducing soil disturbances.
- Establishment of stripe cropping and multistrata systems against the dominant wind direction for increased surface roughness.
- Increase of the input of organic residues for surface protection and additional water storage.
- Planting of hedgerows between agricultural plots for wind-breaking and moisture protection.

5. Conclusions

In most of the SDS affected countries, both dust storm frequency and deposition rates have increased during the last two decades (UNCCD 2021). Based on our own analyses, we
could demonstrate such tendencies in Central Asian countries of Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, and Kazakhstan, and in Iran (Opp et al. 2016; Abassi et al. 2019; Foroushani et al. 2019).

Combating sand and dust storms and their impacts is a multifaceted process and there is a wide range of prevention and protection measures for source and sink areas available. This makes selecting the most suitable measures for each case a highly complex task, one that requires expert knowledge, access to comprehensive multi-parameter datasets, systematic documentation, and fully integrated control strategies, including different spatial scales, from local measurement sites to regional or transregional impact considerations. As SDS almost always are transboundary and impact land and ocean systems as well as the atmospheric circulation patterns, the processes of analyzing the problem, planning and implementing protective measures, and monitoring the success of such measures need to be multidisciplinary at least and fully holistic at best. Such integrated and sustainable strategies can be successful when they are developed in close cooperation and participation with the local and regional population and stakeholders. Only then will sustainable development for combating SDS, one of the major environmental and economic challenges in many parts of this world, be possible. UNCCD (United Nation Convention to Compact Desertification) together with other international members of the coalition is the international platform to coordinate the prevention and mitigation of SDS. Their key objectives include:

- Preparing a global response to SDS, including a strategy and action plan, which could result in development of a United Nations system-wide approach to addressing SDS.
- Identifying entry points to support countries and regions affected by SDS in the implementation of cross-sectoral and transboundary risk reduction and response measures for SDS.
- Preparing a platform for engaging with partners and enhancing dialogue and collaboration among affected countries and the United Nations system agencies at global, regional, and subregional levels.
- Providing a common platform for the exchange of knowledge, information, and technical expertise and resources for strengthening preparedness measures and strategies for risk reduction, consolidated policy, innovative solutions, advocacy and capacity-building efforts, and fund-raising initiatives.
- Identifying, mobilizing, and facilitating access to financial resources for joint responses to SDS, including through new and innovative resources and mechanisms (UNCCD 2021).

The UNCCD supports countries in the mitigation of SDS impacts and anthropogenic dust sources by advocating the following three pillars approach:

- Early warning systems
- Preparedness and resilience
- Anthropogenic source mitigation (UNCCD 2021).

Different science disciplines, technology, land users, and decision-makers must work together to prevent SDS and to reduce the vulnerability against SDS. To effectively resolve this huge problem, it is necessary to understand the basics, prerequisites, impacts, effects, and consequences of SDS. Our aim was to make a contribution towards a better understanding of SDS research from different perspectives.
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