Dimerization and thiol sensitivity of the salicylic acid binding thimet oligopeptidases TOP1 and TOP2 define their functions in redox-sensitive cellular pathways
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A long-term goal in plant research is to understand how plants integrate signals from multiple environmental stressors. The importance of salicylic acid (SA) in plant response to biotic and abiotic stress is known, yet the molecular details of the SA-mediated pathways are insufficiently understood. Our recent work identified the peptidases TOP1 and TOP2 as critical components in plant response to pathogens and programmed cell death (PCD). In this study, we investigated the characteristics of TOPs related to the regulation of their enzymatic activity and function in oxidative stress response. We determined that TOP1 and TOP2 interact with themselves and each other and their ability to associate in dimers is influenced by SA and the thiol-based reductant DTT. Biochemical characterization of TOP1 and TOP2 indicated distinct sensitivities to DTT and similarly robust activity under a range of pH values. Treatments of top mutants with Methyl Viologen (MV) revealed TOP1 and TOP2 as modulators of the plant tolerance to MV, and that exogenous SA alleviates the toxicity of MV in top background. Finally, we generated a TOP-centered computational model of a plant cell whose simulation outputs replicate experimental findings and predict novel functions of TOP1 and TOP2. Altogether, our work indicates that TOP1 and TOP2 mediate plant responses to oxidative stress through spatially separated pathways and positions proteolysis in a network for plant response to diverse stressors.
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Introduction

Plants are dynamic living systems wherein external and internal signals induce changes over time. Plant cells decode signals from varied and often concurrent stressors in order to mount appropriate defenses. Salicylic acid (SA) is a small phenolic molecule with hormonal properties that plays critical roles in plant stress response to biotic and abiotic factors (Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia, 2011; Denancé et al., 2013). The discovery of SA-binding proteins revealed that SA-mediated signaling and perception involves interactions of SA with multiple protein...
partners (An and Mou, 2011; Moreau et al., 2013). The apparent complexity of SA-mediated immune pathways in regards to the number and regulatory mechanisms of participating components, is evidenced by the diversity of cellular and plant-level physiological outcomes that include oxidative bursts, programmed cell death (PCD), and local and systemic pathogen resistance. Therefore, integrative approaches that merge experimental and analytical approaches applied to the study of SA cellular pathways would be invaluable in uncovering both the mechanistic details of cellular elements or processes under SA regulation and the general rules that govern the functioning of immune pathways and plants response to environment.

Previously, we used a protein microarray screen to identify two SA-binding proteins—the thimet oligopeptidases TOP1 and TOP2 classified in as putative zinc- and thiol-dependent endopeptidases based on homology to the metazoan counterpart (Moreau et al., 2013). Biochemical assays verified that both TOP1 and TOP2 bind SA with distinct affinities and revealed that SA inhibits non-competitively TOP1 and TOP2’s peptidase activities (Moreau et al., 2013). In vitro biochemical evidence suggests that TOP1 is a component of organellar proteolytic machinery; TOP1 is predicted to participate in the degradation of imported proteins’ signal sequences and potentially to play a broader role in general organellar peptide degradation (Kmiec et al., 2013; Moreau et al., 2013). Conversely, TOP2 encodes a cytosolic peptidase; biochemical evidence implicates TOP2 in the proteolytic machinery downstream of the 26S proteasome and TOP2 was hypothesized to prevent the accumulation of free peptides generated from oxidative stress (Polge et al., 2009). The potential functions of plant TOPs in the regulated proteolysis mirrors those of the metazoan TOP which plays active roles in controlling the accumulation of bioactive neuropeptides, hormones, and antigenic peptides (Chu and Orłowski, 1985; York et al., 2003; Shivakumar et al., 2005). Our previous work determined that TOP1 and TOP2 are components of the immune response (Moreau et al., 2013). Altered expression of TOPs inhibited plant response to pathogens that induce effector-triggered immunity and the development of pathogen-triggered PCD. Further exploration into stress-related functions of TOPs established that TOP1 and TOP2 are necessary for plant response to high concentrations of exogenous SA (Moreau et al., 2013), and brought forth new questions about TOPs enzymatic characteristics and their specific roles in the oxidative stress response.

Controlled oxidative bursts—characterized by rapid accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the apoplast, cytosol, and organelles—are a common characteristic of cellular stress caused by biotic and some abiotic factors (Wraczaczek et al., 2013). In both dicots and monocots, SA influences the accumulation of ROS during stress response and consequently, cell survival. SA-mediated oxidative and reductive bursts can lead to redox-based modifications of sensors which are proteins with higher chemical reactivity whose location and ionic state render them sensitive to oxidation by ROS (Mou et al., 2003). Interestingly, metazoan TOPs are described as thiol-dependent peptidases since their activity is markedly altered by thiols such as dithiothreitol (DTT) (Tislar and Barrett, 1990) and are considered as likely participants in the cellular redox reactions where thiols are part of the antioxidant defense and signaling processes (Ferreira et al., 2013). Currently, the identity of plant redox sensors and the mechanistic basis of the complex relationship that exists between SA and ROS homeostasis remain largely unknown (Foyer and Noctor, 2013).

To understand how multiple cellular components cooperate and influence each other to generate appropriate physiological outputs to pathogen infection or environmental stress, it is critical to establish a platform for the system-level study of plant stress response. Computational models that represent the known structure and dynamics of stress-related pathways could uncover novel relationships and facilitate a predictive understanding of plants at molecular level. The complementarity of TOP1-/TOP2-mediated stress responses, their distinct spatial localization, levels of expression, and enzymatic activity, makes the computational study of their system-level dynamics necessary and potentially insightful for unraveling the complexity of SA pathways.

In this study, we describe novel functions of TOP1 and TOP2 and characterize aspects of TOP peptidases that may relate to their cellular regulation by SA and the reduction-oxidation cellular environment in the context of plant response to oxidative stress.

Results

TOP1 and TOP2 Form Dimers in Plant Cells

We examined the propensity of TOP1 and TOP2 to assemble in homo- and hetero-dimers using two distinct methods. First, we utilized a split-luciferase complementation assay (SLCA) that allowed for observation of protein-protein interactions within the context of the plant cell environment (Fujikawa and Kato, 2007). Arabidopsis protoplasts were prepared and co-transformed with pairs of plasmid constructs containing the coding sequences of TOP1 or TOP2, cloned in frame with either the N-terminal or the C-terminal halves of the Renilla luciferase coding sequence (TOP1- or TOP2-NLuc and TOP1- or TOP2-CLuc). Interactions between TOPs-Luc fusions were detected by measuring luminescence released upon the restoration of luciferase enzymatic activity. Protoplasts expressing luciferase terminal fusions with known interactors (M KK5 and HOPF2) (Wang et al., 2010), constituted the positive interaction control, while protoplasts expressing TOP1-Luc or TOP2-Luc in pairs with non-interacting proteins constituted the negative controls (Figures 1A–D). We found that the protoplasts expressing various combinations of TOP1-Luc and TOP2-Luc fusions exhibited significantly greater luminescence intensities than those of the negative control; furthermore, the luminescence intensity of the TOP2-TOP2 interaction was significantly greater (3.4-fold higher in average) than that of TOP1-TOP1 or TOP1-TOP2—both of which showed similar levels of luminescence intensity (Figure 1E). The ability of TOP2 and TOP1 to bind to each other suggests that the binding sites of the two peptidases are conserved. TOP1 and TOP2 are homologs with a high degree of similarity; although their N-termini differ with
TOP1 and TOP2 Form Transient Monomers and Dimers

To further investigate the dimerization potential of TOPs, we used gel filtration chromatography that separates proteins on the basis of mass. We first developed a uniform purification procedure for TOPs using His-tagging; full length TOP1-His, and TOP2-His were expressed in the E. coli BL21 strain and total

respect to the transit peptide in TOP1, the overall TOP1-TOP2 similarity is approximately 93%. Despite distinct patterns of subcellular localization, it is possible that TOP1 in transit to the organelles binds to TOP2 and the interaction may have a functional significance. Overall, we conclude that both TOP1 and TOP2 have the capacity to dimerize, albeit with distinct affinities.
lysates were run through a His-tag cobalt column to separate TOP1-His and TOP2-His protein preparation which were then chromatographed through a Superdex 200 gel filtration column. Each of the proteins was eluted with two distinct peaks from the gel filtration columns. Protein fractions spanning the elution profiles from both preparations were analyzed by SDS/PAGE and Coomassie staining; TOP1-His and TOP2-His were detected only in the fractions corresponding to the major peaks in the elution profiles (Figures 2A,B). The apparent MW of the elution peaks was estimated by interpolation using the elution profile of conalbumin and aldolase. With the shorter-retention time elution peak, both TOP1-His and TOP2-His eluted at a volumes with approximate MWs 2-fold higher that their actual MWs; by contrast, with the longer retention time peak TOP1-His and TOP2-His eluted at their actual MW. We concluded that the two peaks correspond to the dimeric and monomeric forms, respectively, of TOP1 and TOP2. Based on the total absorption units of each peak, we calculated that the dimer:monomer ratio was approximately 1:3 in the case of each protein, indicating that under the experimental conditions used, the monomeric forms were favored.

Our results indicate that both TOP1 and TOP2 exist as both monomers and dimers; in the case of both TOPs, the monomers are more abundant than dimers in solution.

**SA and Dithiothreitol Influence the Dimer-Monomer Balance of TOP1 and TOP2**

Next, we were interested to investigate a possible functional relevance of the observed TOP dimerization. First, we tested the effect of SA on TOPs homodimerization. SA concentrations and incubation times used in our assays were optimized to maintain protoplast viability. Concentrations of SA beyond 200 µM resulted in significant protoplast lysis during incubation and the lethal effects of SA amounts beyond 500 µM were readily apparent even when the incubation time was shorter. Extending incubation time to over 3 h, in the presence of 100, 200 µM SA or higher SA concentrations, caused significant cell death (data not presented).

To test SA’s effects on TOPs interactions, protoplasts expressing various pairs of TOP-Luc fusions were incubated with 100 or 200 µM SA for 3 h and restoration of luciferase activity was measured over time in increments of 1 min. SA treatment significantly lowered the intensity of the reconstituted luciferase in the case of TOP2-TOP2 and TOP1-TOP2 interactions compared to the no-SA condition (Figure 1F). The SA-dependent decrease in the luciferase activity occurred in a concentration dependent manner; 100 µM SA reduced luciferase intensity of TOP2-Luc dimers by approximately 30% and of TOP1-TOP2 dimers by 50%, while 200 µM SA reduced it by 75 and 80%, respectively. On the other hand, SA had a much reduced effect on TOP1-Luc dimerization compared to TOP2-TOP2 and TOP1-TOP2. While 100 µM SA did not significantly impair TOP1 interactions, 200 µM SA slightly increased the luciferase intensity of TOP1-Luc over the no-SA condition within the time interval with the maximum interaction strength. We can’t preclude the possibility that the null/low SA sensitivity of the TOP1 dimer in this system is a result of its localization in chloroplasts and mitochondria or that the exogenous SA influences the cytosolic TOP1 in transit to the chloroplasts. The amount of the exogenously applied SA that may be transported into the organelles within our experimental timeframe is unknown; it may be that SA does not accumulate to corresponding to the sizes of the monomer and dimer. (B) Size-exclusion elution profile of TOP2 with corresponding fractions subjected to SDS-PAGE indicate that TOP2 elutes at two peaks corresponding with the dimer and monomer size. (C) The elution profile of TOP2 in the absence or after incubation with of dithiothreitol.
a threshold high enough to elicit an effect on TOP1 dimerization. The intensity of protoplasts expressing the positive interaction pair was decreased to similar levels (75%) in presence of 100 or 200 µM SA compared to the no-SA control. We conclude that TOP1 in vivo dimerization is more resistant to exogenous SA than TOP2 dimerization and both were more resistant than the control interaction pair.

The above results suggest that SA-induced shifts in the reducing-oxidative (redox) environment of the protoplasts might interfere with TOP monomer↔dimer shifts. To test the possibility of potential redox modulation of TOPs, we investigated the effects of dithiothreitol (DTT) which is a strong thiol-based reductant capable of modulating the activity of many redox-sensitive proteins (Cleland, 1964). Purified TOP1- and TOP2-His were incubated with 500 µM dithiothreitol (DTT) and passed through the Superdex 200 gel filtration column. TOPs elution profiles showed a dramatic shift toward the monomeric fractions—the approximate dimer:monomer ratio shifted to 1:15, with the second peak corresponding to the dimer being eliminated almost completely after incubation with the thiol-based reductant (Figure 2C). By comparing the total absorbance (A280) intensities of the monomer and dimer peaks before and after DTT treatment, we found that the total amount of TOP1 or TOP2 did not change after incubation with DTT, suggesting that the reduced amount of the dimer was a result of the reduction of redox-sensitive disulfide bonding. We conclude that thiol-based reducing conditions have the ability to directly modulate TOP dimerization, reductive conditions facilitating an increase in the monomer/dimer ratio.

Altogether, our results suggest that variations in redox conditions alter TOPs monomer/dimer ratio through, possibly, disruption of disulfide bonds.

**Dithiothreitol Inhibits the Enzymatic Activity of TOP1 and TOP2**

Our results so far indicate that TOP1 and TOP2 monomers and dimers co-exist in an approximately 3:1 equilibrium under physiological conditions and that the monomer/dimer ratio is modulated by the thiol-based reductant DTT. We were interested to investigate the peptidase activity of TOP1 and TOP2 monomeric and dimeric fractions and the potential effect of thiol bonds on their activity.

The activity of freshly eluted TOP1-His and TOP2-His monomers and dimers was tested on a fluorogenic peptide substrate (Moreau et al., 2013) in the absence (control) or in the presence of increasing concentrations of DTT (reductive environment). Testing both the monomeric and dimeric fractions under control conditions reached the same level of activity after 10 min; however, their specific activities differed significantly over the 25 min recorded, with the dimers showing lower activity values than the monomers. Addition of 50 or 250 µM DTT inhibited the activity of TOP1 fractions up to 50% and notably, TOP1 dimers retain the lower average activity than the monomers at both DTT concentrations (Figures 3A,B,G). It may be that the inhibitory effect of the initially dimer TOP1 fraction in tandem with the inhibitory effects of DTT results in less substrate cleaved over the incubation time.

TOP2 monomers and dimers exhibited similar levels of activity under both control and reductive conditions, suggesting that unlike TOP1, TOP2 more readily achieves the monomer:dimer 3:1 equilibrium in non-reducing or reducing conditions and that the retained difference is a product of the initial inhibitory effect of the dimer on the enzyme activity in tandem with the inhibition by DTT. As with TOP1, both TOP2 monomeric and dimeric fractions were inhibited by the thiol-based reductant; TOP2 fractions maintained a higher level of activity at both 50 and 250 µM DTT—93 and 66%, respectively (Figures 3D,E,G). Further, to test TOP1 and TOP2 activity in a highly reductive environment, monomeric preparations were tested with 5 and 10 mM DTT. TOP1 maintained 10 and 7% activity, respectively, and TOP2 retained 33 and 18% activity respectively, compared to controls (Figures 3C,F,G). The difference in activity between the DTT treated monomer and untreated monomer indicates that potential intramolecular bonds may be affected by the reducing agent.

We conclude that in a thiol-driven reductive environment the activities of both TOPs are inhibited, both of the dimeric and monomeric fractions. Our data suggests that potential thiol-sensitive intra- and inter-molecular disulfide bonds in TOPs are critical for both the activity and the monomer-dimer oscillations of the proteins.

**TOP1 and TOP2 Maintain their Enzymatic Activity in a Wide Range of pH**

The transit peptide of TOP1 facilitates the dual transport of the protein to the chloroplast and mitochondria (Kniec et al., 2013; Moreau et al., 2013). The function and activity of organelar enzymes is strongly influenced by pH changes in their environment caused by fluctuations in the light quality and quantity (Buchanan, 1980; Scheibe, 1991). The stroma and mitochondrial matrix typically represent an alkaline environment. The pH of the stroma fluctuates from 6.2 to 4.6 in light versus darkness (Smith and Raven, 1979). In contrast, the pH of the cytosol is more stable and centers around 7.1 (Gout et al., 1992).

To determine whether pH changes represent a potential regulatory mechanism of TOP activity, we examined the activity of recombinant TOP1- and TOP2-His under a range of pH conditions. We found that TOPs activity on the fluorogenic substrate is impervious to changes of pH both toward more acidic or basic values. TOP1 or TOP2 activity at pH 7.5 does not significantly differ from their activity at pH 6.5 or 8.5 (Figures 4A,B).

Thus, TOPs are robust enzymes with the capability of being functional in a range of pH values including values outside of the physiological pH span of the cytosol or organelles.

**TOP1 and TOP2 Participate in the Plant Response to Oxidative Stress Induced by Methyl Viologen**

Prior evidence suggests that TOP1 and TOP2 peptidases contribute to plant defense against oxidative stress triggered by...
FIGURE 3 | The activity of TOP1 and TOP2 monomer or dimer in the presence or absence of dithiothreitol. 0.1 μg of purified recombinant TOP1 or TOP2 was incubated in the reaction buffer solution containing 20 μM of the MCA-peptide. MCA-peptide emit detectable fluorescence upon cleavage. The activity was assessed at λ excitation of 328 nm and λ emission (Continued)
pathogens or abiotic factors (Polge et al., 2009; Moreau et al., 2013). We further explored the functions of TOPs to gain insight into the potential role of TOP1/TOP2-mediated pathways in ROS-triggered PCD.

Various treatments or abiotic stress conditions induce higher rates of ROS synthesis and drive their accumulation. We tested the phenotypes of top mutant seedlings to a panel of ROS-inducing factors including methyl viologen (MV), selenite, cadmium, antimycin A, exogenous hydrogen peroxide treatment, and salinity stress. With the exception of MV treatments, the phenotypes of top mutants partially rescued top1 top2 or top2 mutants, was significantly inhibited compared to MV. The emergence of radicles in top1 mutant, but not top1 or top1 top2 mutants, was significantly inhibited compared to Col-0. The germination rates of top mutants on control medium (no-MV condition) showed no significant differences from that of Col-0 seedlings. MV applications on fully mature rosettes produced no significant differences between top mutants and Col-0. We conclude that TOP2 positively modulates tolerance to MV exposure during seed germination; TOP1 may potentially act in an opposite manner since combining top1 and top2 mutations partially rescued top2 radicle hypersensitivity to MV.

MV impairs photosynthesis by interfering with electron transport of the photosystems and by generating toxic superoxide anions (Farrington et al., 1973; Härtel et al., 1992; Krieger-Liszkay et al., 2011), induces lipid peroxidation and interferes with electron transport in mitochondria (Dodge, 1971; Palmeira et al., 1995). The generation of ROS further damages the photosystems which inhibits growth, chloroplast homeostasis, and leads to PCD (Farrington et al., 1973).

To test the effect of MV on top mutants, seeds were sown on medium containing MV, stratified for 2 days at 4°C in darkness and grown in long-day (16 h) light conditions; the radicle and cotyledon emergence was assessed in both mutants and Col-0 control. First, we optimized our assay by testing multiple concentrations of MV (0.65, 7.5, 0.9, 0.95, 1, 1.5, and 2 µM). While radicle emergence could be seen at MV concentrations above 0.9 µM, green cotyledon emergence was strongly impeded at concentrations greater than 1 µM. The 0.9 µM MV condition became our established standard, as the differential effects of MV on radicle emergence was most apparent. In our assays, the percentages of radicle emergence after 2 days of growth on 0.9 µM MV-containing medium were assessed in mutants and Col-0 (Figure 5A). In the presence of MV, the emergence of radicles in top2 mutant, but not top1 or top1 top2 mutants, was significantly inhibited compared to Col-0. The germination rates of top mutants on control medium (no-MV condition) showed no significant differences from that of Col-0 seedlings. MV applications on fully mature rosettes produced no significant differences between top mutants and Col-0. We conclude that TOP2 positively modulates tolerance to MV exposure during seed germination; TOP1 may potentially act in an opposite manner since combining top1 and top2 mutations partially rescued top2 radicle hypersensitivity to MV.

MV induces ROS production during seed dormancy—short term MV treatment on dormant seeds within 6 h resulted in improved germination rates by breaking dormancy (Farrington...
Altogether, our data indicates that TOP1 and TOP2 specifically mediate plant responses to MV during early development via, at least partially, distinct pathways; also, it suggest that TOPs do not have broad, unspecific, roles in mediating plant’s oxidative stress response.

**Exogenous SA Alleviates the MV Toxicity in a TOP-independent Manner**

Low levels of exogenous SA lessened the damage caused by oxidative stress through the modulation of antioxidant-related activities (Lee et al., 2010) and alleviated the effects of MV on photosynthesis (Ananieva et al., 2002). In addition, our previous work indicated a connection between TOP1, TOP2, and SA-mediated signaling (Moreau et al., 2013). Thus, the possibility emerged that TOPs may contribute to the plant response to MV through an SA-mediated pathway.

To test this hypothesis, we sowed mutants and Col-0 seedlings on plates in the presence or absence of 10 µM SA and/or...
0.95 \mu{M} MV and quantified their effects on the germination rate (Figure 5C). The quantification of cotyledon emergence instead of radicle emergence was done to assess the recovery effect of SA on photosynthesis. Seedlings were grown only in the presence of SA to determine its independent effect on germination. We found that all lines exhibited normal germination rates in the presence of 10 \mu{M} SA, as determined by measuring the emergence of green cotyledons indicating that, at this low concentration, exogenous SA does not impede germination. Next, we examined whether SA-induced signaling is functional in a top background by measuring the percentages of green cotyledons of seedlings grown in the presence of both 0.95 \mu{M} MV and 10 \mu{M} SA. We found that SA alleviated the negative effect of MV on photosynthesis on all lines to a similar extent; in average, the green cotyledon emergence rate of all lines increased by 45%. Thus, the SA-mediated signaling triggered by low amounts of exogenous SA is unaffected in top mutant background.

Taken together, our results suggest that the SA-mediated pathways activated by MV exposure function independently or are genetically downstream of the TOP pathways.

A Model of TOP-mediated Cellular Functions in Oxidative Stress

To understand how TOP1- and TO2-mediated pathways operate and influence each other in the context of SA signaling and stress response, we undertook an analytical approach to study their system-level dynamics. We developed a systems biology model that characterizes TOP1 and TOP2 functions in the context of the SA- and redox-triggered PCD (Figure 6A). The model was built by integrating experimental observations from the analysis of top mutants, biochemical analysis of TOPs and current knowledge on SA and the oxidative stress response pathways; the measurable cellular phenotype integrated in the model is the PCD. The architecture of the model relies on the relation between five main components: SA, ROS (H$_2$O$_2$), antioxidants (AOX), and TOP1/TOP2. Following is a description of the model development that includes the rationale for selecting molecular species, reactions, rate equations, and parameters.

SA in the Context of Redox Homeostasis and PCD Signaling

The core of the model is constituted by SA, ROS (H$_2$O$_2$), and AOX and their relationships. We included in the model the functions of SA in rapport to TOPs from Moreau et al. (2013) and the present study, pathways that represent the biochemical reactions related to production, degradation, and signaling functions of H$_2$O$_2$, and the cellular activities of antioxidants as symbolized by a generic AOX molecule. A large number of reactions related to antioxidant activities are known, however we omitted biochemical activities of specific AOX on TOPs as they are not yet understood. The main pathways that drive the SA, ROS, and AOX activities are described by the following reactions:

(i) The central regulators of the cellular redox homeostasis are antioxidant enzymes and small MW species (such as glutathione, ascorbate, and tocopherol), which participate in cellular detoxification through scavenging of ROS, reducing oxidized thiols, and functioning as redox buffers (re33 and re41). Catalases constitute an important part of the plant’s antioxidant system; SA inhibits the activity of catalases (Conrath et al., 1995; Rüffer et al., 1995) (re43, re33). ROS signaling mediates the activation of the antioxidant system (re29, re42).

(ii) SA synthesized in the chloroplasts (cSA) is transported into the cytosol (SA) (re1) (Fragniere et al., 2011; Serrano et al., 2013); H$_2$O$_2$ the most abundant ROS species produced from superoxide during photosynthesis diffuses and/or is transported across chloroplastic membranes (re8) (Bienert et al., 2006, 2007; Mubarakshina et al., 2010). The reduced form of glutathione (GSH) maintains a reductive environment in the cell (Han et al., 2013). GSH-dependent Glutathione Peroxidase catalyzes hydrogen peroxide detoxification and forms GSSG, the oxidized form of glutathione. Glutathione reductase (GR) catalyzes the reduction of GSSG to GSH and helps maintain a reducing cellular milieu (Meyer et al., 2012; Deponte, 2013). Accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ regulates increases GSH/GSSG which in turn activates the Isochorismate syntase1 (ICS1)-dependent SA synthesis (Han et al., 2013) (re31).

(iii) Redox signals that drive the development of PCD (re29, re37, and re5) may be transduced via thiol-driven post-translational modifications in sensor proteins with higher chemical reactivity (Mou et al., 2003; Apel and Hirt, 2004; Buchanan and Balmer, 2005; Temple et al., 2005; D’Autreaux and Toledano, 2007). Such sensors contain residues whose location and ionic state render them sensitive to oxidation (Ghezzi et al., 2005; Nagy, 2013). Re29 models the positive effect of ROS accumulation on the reversible oxidation of protein sensors (ProtOx), while antioxidant enzymes, such as the GSH-dependent glutaredoxins, catalyze the reverse reaction (Roulier, 2010) (re41). Under high oxidative stress or in mutants with a defective proteasome pathway, the accumulation of a partially degraded peptide (re37) triggers PCD through (re5). An independent SA-driven PCD pathway (re46), involves a transcriptional response via NPRs (Hoeberichts and Woltering, 2003; Jayakannan et al., 2015).

(iv) Antioxidant production is enhanced by SA (re42) though a transcriptional pathway (re44). This simulates the SA modulatory effect on the GSH/GSSG ratio—SA increases GSH cellular content by enhancing the transcription of enzymes in the glutathione cycle (Li et al., 2013).

TOP1/TOP2 Pathways

(i) TOPs are nuclear-encoded genes; in the model, the respective mRNAs (mTOP1 and mTOP2) are translated into proteins (re20 and re19).

(ii) TOP proteins have distinct subcellular localizations; re20, re22, and re50 summarize the maturation, import from cytosol into the chloroplast and degradation of TOP1, while re19 and re49 describes the synthesis and degradation of cytosol-localized TOP2 (Kmiec et al., 2013; Moreau et al., 2013).
(iii) We hypothesized that SA and AOX modulate the enzymatic activities of TOP1 and TOP2 by adjusting the monomer/dimer ratios of TOP1 and TOP2. Specifically, the chloroplastic SA binds TOP1 and inhibits its activity by decreasing the monomer/dimer ratio (Figure 1; Moreau et al., 2013) (re12) while the AOX’s reducing activity increases TOP1’s monomer/dimer ratio (re15) by promoting monomerization. Likewise, TOP2 activity is modulated by shifts in the AOX redox status whereby a reductive environment favors monomerization (re16) and increases TOP2 activity, while an oxidative environment favors TOP2 dimerization (re13) and inhibits its proteolytic activity (re47) (Figures 2, 3).

(iv) TOP1 and TOP2 sustain organelle- and cytosol-specific proteolytic pathways respectively. We postulate that TOP1 activity sustains normal levels of ROS accumulation in chloroplasts (re8), possibly by participating in chloroplasts import of antioxidant enzymes (e.g., GPX) or degradation of oxidized proteins (Kniec et al., 2013). We postulate that TOP1 activity facilitates SA accumulation by participating in the import of enzymes that catalyze SA synthesis (re31). On the other hand, TOP2 may modulate the execution of PCD as part of a cytosolic proteolytic pathway activated by MV or other factors causing oxidative stress (Polge et al., 2009). We hypothesize that TOP2 controls the accumulation of a signaling peptide—a positive regulator of PCD (re5); thus, the irreversible oxidation of proteins leads to their degradation via the proteosomal machinery (re37) and TOP2 (re47).

**Species, Reactions, and Selection of Parameters**

The model contains 22 molecular species (3 mRNAs, 8 small molecules, and 11 proteins), 25 reactions and 44 reaction parameters, described in Supplemental Tables S1–S3. We selected the species’ initial values based on the published literature: SA (cSA) basal levels were selected in the interval (0.05–1 µM) (Enyedi et al., 1992; Abreu and Munné-Bosch, 2009); H$_2$O$_2$ levels within (1–100 µM) (Veljovic-Jovanovic et al., 2002) about 40-Jovanmol g$^{-1}$ FW; and AOX levels within (1–100 µM). TOP1 and TOP2 expression was normalized to maintain a ratio of 1/2, with TOP1 expressed at 1/10 level in comparison to the large PS-I complex as a baseline, based on Genevestigator data (Hruz et al., 2008). The initial monomer:dimer ratio of TOPs was chosen to be 3:1 as observed in our assays. Molecules that are consumed during the simulation of the cellular stress phenotype (mTOP1, mTOP2, mProt, Pre-SA, Pre-AOX, Prot) were selected to the normalized value of 1 to limit their impact on the dynamics of TOPs pathways. The concentration of superoxide (O$_2^{•-}$) was the input variable for controlling the oxidative stress. The remaining species (Pep, X, Y) were initialized to zero or low concentrations.

Several reactions (re12, re13, re15, re16, re19, re20, re29, re41, re47, re48, re31, re42, and partially re33) follow a Michaelis-Menten rate law; the inhibitor has the rate equation:
The synthesis of cSA from (k^1 \text{E}^* \text{S}/(k_{-2} + \text{S})) (E-enzyme, S-substrate); the PCD-trigger reactions (r5 and r46) were modeled with a Hill dynamics (k^1 \text{S}^*/(k_{-2}^* + \text{S}^*)) and the Hill coefficient (n = 2). Simple reactions (protein production, degradation, and transport) follow a mass action rate law (r1, r22, r37, r43, r44, r49, r50, r51, r352). The rate law for TOP1 inhibition of H_2O_2 production (r68) and catalysis of H_2O_2 reduction to H_2O (r33) is: k^1 \text{S}^*/(1 + E/k_{2}^*). The production of AOX (r42) was modeled by the addition of two independent reactions, one driven by SA and the other by the level of cellular oxidative stress (ProtOx). The reduction of H_2O_2 to H_2O (r33) was also obtained through two independent pathways—one driven by AOX and another inhibited by SA through a transcriptional pathway involving an unknown protein species (X) (Rao et al., 1997). The synthesis of cSA from precursors was modeled as a single pathway regulated by both H_2O_2 through the GSH/GSSG system and TOP1, probably through its proteolytic activity necessary to import and mature enzymes involved in SA synthesis. A background rate of SA synthesis independent of TOP1 activity was also necessary to explain the experimentally observed mild MV phenotype in top1.

Reactions parameters were selected such that enzymatic reactions occur at high rates (normalized to k = 1.0 s^{-1} or k_{\text{cat}} = 1.0 s^{-1}) while reactions involving transcriptional control have one order of magnitude slower rates; the Michaelis constant was selected by default (k_M = 1 \text{µM}) and was varied between 1 and 10 \text{µM} subsequently. The rate constants for the mass action kinetics describing protein production/degradation were chosen two orders of magnitude lower than the enzymatic reactions (k = 0.01 s^{-1}). Since quantitative time series data for the reactions were not available, the parameters were initially selected as described above. Subsequently, a parameter scan was performed to observe the dynamics of species and reaction fluxes; the parameters were adjusted to fit the observed dynamics of the stress phenotypes of top mutants, of the ROS-AOX inhibition and SA-ROS amplification loops, and the hallmark aspects of the oxidative stress response—an initial oxidative wave followed by a strong reductive phase. We adjusted the reaction rates to establish the observed monomer/dimer ratio and to equilibrate the production and degradation of species. 

Supplemental Table S3 lists the parameters for which PCD, ROS, AOX, and SA dynamics is robust and correlates with top phenotypes.

**TOP Model Dynamics and Analysis of Oxidative Stress Phenotypes**

The computational model in Figure 6A was summarized in a logical diagram (Figure 6B). The diagram contains the SA-ROS amplification loop driving the oxidation wave, the AOX wave stimulated by the SA accumulation, and the ROS-AOX inhibitory loop driving the redox reductive wave and the connected TOP1/TOP2 pathways. The expected activity and dynamics associated with the TOPs is as follows. We hypothesize that in the oxidative burst phase TOP1 has a positive control over the SA-ROS amplification loop, driving a higher SA accumulation while limiting the ROS accumulation in the chloroplast; in the reductive phase, TOP1 activity is enhanced via monomerization under the enzymatic activity of antioxidants—SA drives antioxidants that increase TOP1 activity which reduce ROS accumulation. On the other hand, in the oxidative burst phase the amount of TOP2 monomers decreases and, in consequence, TOP2 activity is inhibited which may trigger PCD via accumulation of oxidized products; the amplitude of the PCD correlates with the amplitude of the oxidative burst; in the reductive phase TOP2 increases in activity (via monomerization) suppressing the SA-independent pathway leading to PCD.

Using the model shown in Figure 6A, we simulated the dynamics of SA, H_2O_2, AOX, and the resultant PCD in Col-0, top2, and top1 top2 backgrounds in conditions of MV-induced oxidative stress or the stress-free control (Figure 7A). Increased superoxide levels resulted in a rapid oxidative wave, which increased SA amount via the SA-ROS amplification loop and subsequently triggered an increase in the AOX accumulation and a longer reductive wave. The intensity of PCD caused by oxidative stress is determined by the level of SA accumulation—which is lower in top1 (Figure 7B), and the accumulation of un-degraded peptide Pep—which reaches a higher level in top2. Figure 7C details the dynamics of ROS-AOX inhibition loop under oxidative stress (MV challenge): the initial fast increase in ROS accumulation triggers a gradual increase in the AOX level which negatively regulates the ROS and suppresses the oxidative burst. Furthermore, simulation outputs reproduce the observed top2 MV hypersensitivity; accumulation of partially degraded peptides in top2 results in a stronger PCD phenotype (Figure 7D). Thus, the model explains the milder top1 top2 MV phenotype compared to top2 by predicting lower levels of SA-ROS amplification in top1 top2 where the TOP1-amplified oxidative burst does not function at full capacity and thus, partially alleviates the higher PCD levels of top2.

Taken together, we demonstrated that the expected dynamics and stress phenotypes of top mutants were correctly predicted by this qualitative model of TOP1 and TOP2 pathways.

**Discussion**

In this study, we investigate the characteristics, enzymatic properties, and cellular roles of TOP1 and TOP2 as they relate to the plant oxidative stress response. We generated a theoretical model of the functions of TOP1 and TOP2 in the context of an essential set of cellular elements and processes, deduced by integrating experimental data from the analysis of top mutants and the biochemical characterization of TOP proteins.

We determined that TOP1 and TOP2 are capable of self- and hetero-dimerization using two distinct systems: the in vivo protoplast system, which accounts for factors that may modulate TOPs interactions such as the cellular redox environment and potential post-translational modifications, and in vitro gel chromatography, a method using purified proteins which allows for fine adjustments of the assay conditions (Figures 1, 2). Interestingly, TOP2 dimers showed a higher interactions affinity than TOP1 dimers in this assays. It is notable that TOP2 has one additional Cys residue in the peptidase domain compared to the chloroplastic TOP1; it is possible, that similar to the case of the poplar thioredoxins (Chibani et al., 2012), this Cys residue may be essential for TOP2's ability to form tighter dimers, and
may represent an evolutionary advantage in the highly reducing conditions of the cytosol.

TOP2 dimerization was influenced by treatments with SA and the thiol-based reductant DTT. We demonstrated that incubation of protoplasts with exogenous SA destabilized the TOP2 dimer. This result could be the effects of direct binding of TOP2 to SA, however this hypothesis is unlikely as we previously showed that TOP2-SA association has a low affinity and thus, is probably functionally irrelevant (Moreau et al., 2013). Alternatively, SA’s effects on TOP2 self-association may be explained by the indirect consequences of SA treatment on the redox homeostasis of the protoplasts, akin to NPR1 monomerization and thioredoxins activation (Mou et al., 2003; Tada et al., 2008). Several observations strengthen the latter hypothesis: (1) we observed a concentration-dependent effect of SA on TOP2 dimerization suggesting that the inhibition of TOP2 dimerization may occur via disruption of multiple intra- or intermolecular disulfide bonds by the SA-mediated increase in the reductive potential of the protoplasts; (2) DTT treatment of purified TOP2 shifted the monomer/dimer ratio from 3:1 to 15:1 (Figure 2), indicating that a reductive environment destabilizes disulfide bridges and favors accumulation of TOP monomers; and (3) The effects of SA on TOPs dimerization is in contrast to its effect on the MKK5-HOPF2, an interaction not known to be mediated by disulfide bridges and which was drastically reduced by the lowest SA amount tested.

Given our results, it is plausible that redox changes induced by SA can potentially influence the TOPs monomer-dimer equilibrium. We postulate that SA-mediated redox shifts may lead either to dimerization during the oxidizing burst or monomerization during the reductive bursts. It is possible that direct or indirect effects of SA on TOPs’ monomerization/dimerization state are further regulated by additional factors such as the SA local concentrations, TOPs sub-cellular localization and the temporal and compartment-specific redox environments following stress driven by the major glutathione-ascorbate antioxidant systems—all aspects that require further investigation. Altogether, our results support the hypothesis that TOPs are regulated on the basis of cellular redox state.

We examined the activity of TOP1 and TOP2 monomers and dimers to understand if TOPs self-associations and thiol-sensitivity have regulatory roles. Notably, TOP1 and TOP2 show a similar trend of sensitivity to the metazoan TOP toward high concentrations of DTT (5 and 10 mM DTT) indicating that akin to the proposed effects for the metazoan TOP (Lew et al., 1995; Shrimpton et al., 1997), high levels of DTT inhibit TOP1 and TOP2 by interfering with the zinc co-factor binding and/or disrupting intramolecular bonds. Remarkably, plant TOPs differ from the metazoan counterpart in one important aspect; unlike metazoan TOP, TOP1, and TOP2 activity is inhibited by low DTT concentrations (below 1 mM). While metazoan TOPs are...
Cys-rich proteins, the comparatively lower number of Cys in TOP1 and TOP2 may potentially result in fewer intra- and intermolecular disulfide bonds, or, alternatively, form bonds that may be more accessible to reduction; in either case, the reduction of the intramolecular bonds may be deleterious to the structure of the monomeric TOPs and DTT able to limit their activity even at low concentrations. Altogether, our results on the activity levels displayed by various TOP1/TOP2 fractions in the absence or presence of DTT, alongside published studies on metazoan TOPs, lead us to hypothesize that TOPs contain disulfide bonds and that monomers are the active forms, while the dimers and potential multimers are inactive enzymatically.

TOPs functions in modulating pathogen-induced PCD (Moreau et al., 2013) and the newly-uncovered biochemical characteristics of TOPs motivated us to investigate their particular contributions to the plant oxidative stress response. The oxidative triggers modifications in the proteome composition and activity and TOPs unique attributes and functions render them likely participants in the redox-mediated signaling. A prior study on plant TOPs suggested their role in limiting oxidative damage following heavy metal stress (Polge et al., 2009). Although we have not detected any unusual responses of top mutants to heavy metal stress, we provide evidence that functional TOPs are required to mediate the damage caused by MV, a potent inducer of photo-oxidative stress. MV readily interferes with the photosystems resulting in severe chloroplastic-derived oxidative stress (Babbs et al., 1989). ROS accumulation is known to promote seed germination (Marino et al., 2012) and specifically, short term exposure to MV increased ROS levels and interrupted dormancy in Arabidopsis and Helianthus seeds (Oracz et al., 2007; Leymarie et al., 2012). Interestingly, top2 responded with increased sensitivity to MV when scoring for radicle emergence indicating that the cytosolic TOP2, rather than the chloroplastic TOP1, is the critical TOP for MV tolerance in this organ. The importance of the cytosolic TOP2, alongside the chloroplastic TOP1, for the plants' MV tolerance is in line with studies indicating that chloroplastic stress induces expression of both cytosolic and chloroplastic antioxidant enzymes and supporting the role of cytosol as a major site for detoxification systems associated with photosynthesis (Mullineaux et al., 2000; Yabuta et al., 2004).

SA alleviated the germination of top mutants and Col-0 seeds grown in the presence of MV. The protective properties of treatments with physiological concentrations of SA are considered a general consequence of SA's antioxidant activities as evidenced by lowered cellular ROS or nitric oxide levels in Arabidopsis and crops, monocots and dicots, subjected to abiotic or biotic stress upon exogenous SA application (Lee et al., 2010; Gémes et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Wang and Liu, 2012). It is possible that a similar mechanism is responsible for relieving MV toxicity in top seeds. While the precise function of TOPs in modulating MV tolerance is unclear, it is likely that TOPs have ROS-protective roles by cleaving oxidized peptides and preventing their accumulation in the cytosol or chloroplast; in parallel, TOPs may indirectly participate in the ROS-mediated signaling by cleaving/degrading specific peptides with signaling properties that modulate PCD.

A qualitative model was constructed describing TOP1 and TOP2 functions in the development of PCD associated with oxidative stress, in the context of known SA- and redox-mediated signaling pathways (Figures 6, 7). TOP1/TOP2 functions are described within the SA-ROS-Antioxidant framework. Perception of both abiotic and biotic stress triggers shifts in the redox potential of the cellular milieu, primarily driven by increases in the endogenous concentration of SA and ROS, which are critical for the downstream signal transduction and induction of defense transcriptome and metabolome. Two distinct redox phases have been described: (1) the oxidative burst, driven by fast reactions initiated by ROS, is controlled by the interplay between SA and ROS synthesis (Leon et al., 1995; Rao et al., 1997; Shirasu et al., 1997); (2) the reductive burst, which is a consequence of the oxidative burst and is regulated by SA and synthesis of antioxidants (Mou et al., 2003; Tada et al., 2008). We postulate that TOP1 activity of attenuating ROS increase is repressed by high SA accumulation during the oxidative phase and enhanced by the antioxidant actions during the reductive phase; on the other hand, TOP2—less active during the oxidative phase and more active during the reductive phase—is regulated by, but not a contributor to, the SA-ROS-Antioxidant driven redox oscillations.

Altogether, our study that combines analytical and experimental approaches supports the hypothesis that the interplay between TOP1-controlled chloroplastic events and the cytosolic TOP2 modulates the development of PCD through the ROS-SA-AOX axis.

Further studies of TOP1 and TOP2 effects on the plant oxidome alongside identification of their respective peptide substrates are required to validate or reject the hypotheses presented in the qualitative model. Undeniably, the SA signaling network during the biotic/abiotic stress comprises numerous elements with complex interactions; it would be a challenging task to assemble a quantitative model comprising all components and biochemical reactions and to demonstrate their precise spatial and temporal control.

Materials and Methods

Germination Treatments
The germination experiments were done on petri plates containing 35 mL of MS agar containing MV and/or SA. Germination on MS agar plates containing no MV or SA was used as controls. Seeds were either stratified directly on plates unless indicated otherwise. After stratification of 2 days in darkness, the seed were germinated under 16h light/8h dark conditions. Germination was counted by either assessing radicle emergence after 2 days or green cotyledon emergence after 5 days.

Split-luciferase Complementation Assays
Coding sequences of TOP1 and TOP2 were cloned into the pENTR vector. Fusion to N-terminal or C-terminal luciferase protein was done by their subsequent sub-cloning into pDuEx-DC6 and pDuEx-AC6 (Fujikawa and Kato, 2007). Vectors were transformed into DH5 alpha E. coli. MKK5 and HOPF2 were
cloned similarly and utilized as a positive control. Plasmid was extracted using Zymo’s Zyppy Maxi Plasmid Prep kits (Zymo Research). Protoplast co-transformation with plasmids was done in 96 well plates using a modified protoplast extraction and PEG transformation protocol derived from Yoo et al. (2007), Wu et al. (2009) and Singh et al. (2014). At 12–16 h post-transformation, the protoplasts were incubated with the luciferase substrate in the presence or absence of SA. Luminescence was detected in a Synergy Microwell plate reader.

**Protein Purification**

His-tagged TOP1 was expressed in pET-28(a) vector in BL21. His-Tagged TOP2 was expressed in pET-32 vector in BL21. After the induction of His-tagged protein production, a crude extract of proteins were subjected to HisPur Cobalt Column purification (Thermo Scientific). The proteins were further purified using size-exclusion chromatography. Additional purification and concentrations were done using the Amicon Ultra 50 k Centriffuge Filter (EMD Millipore) in the case of the monomer, Pierce Concentrators 150 k MWCO (Thermo Scientific) was used to further purify and concentration the dimer. Small aliquots were stored or utilized in the same day.

**Enzymatic Activity**

Measurements of TOP activity was done by utilizing Synergy 4 micro well reader with the capacity of λ excitation of 328 nm and λ emission of 393 nm. 0.1 μg purified peptide was incubated in a 99 μL reaction solution containing TRIS-HCl buffer and 20 μM of the fluorogenic peptide substrate. The fluorogenic substrate, Mca-Arg-Pro-Pro-Gly-Phe-Ser-Ala-Phe-Lys (Dnp)-OH (Enzo Life Sciences), emits detectable fluorescence upon cleavage. Unless stated otherwise, the pH of the reaction mix was 7.5.

**Gel Filtration Chromatography**

Proteins eluted from cobalt column was subjected to size exclusion chromatography. Maximum volume of 4 mL of the eluted extract were injected to the gel filtration apparatus into the Superdex 200 column. The filtration apparatus facilitated the elution of cobalt purified protein based on size. UV at absorbance 280 nm while elution the respective fractions allowed the quantification of protein concentration within the sample. In the case of the DTT treated TOP samples, the cobalt TOP extractions were incubated with 500 μM DTT overnight and then subjected to size-exclusion chromatography.

**Systems Biology Modeling and Simulation**

The model was described in System Biology Mark-up language (SBML) format and analyzed using Cell Designer 4.4 (Funahashi et al., 2006) simulation tools. Model stability was assessed by observing stability over a range of parameters for species concentrations and reaction constants. The model was stable in the parameter range studied. The SBML model is available upon request.

**Acknowledgments**

We gratefully acknowledge Amanda Gray, Gary Horvath, Dr. Hyong Woo Choi, and Dr. Murli Manohar, the high school students Anna Knapp and Julia Wang for their assistance with various aspects of this study, and Dr. Nabil Elrouby for valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (project IOS-1025662 to SCP) and by NCN-CIS-UEFISCDI and MEN-CDI (project number PN-II-PT-PCCA-2011-3-1-1350 and NUCLEU-PN0939/2009 to GVP).

**Supplemental Material**

The Supplemental Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2015.00327/abstract

Table S1 | Model variables.

Table S2 | Model reactions.

Table S3 | Model parameters.

**References**

Abreu, M. E., and Munné-Bosch, S. (2009). Salicylic acid deficiency in NahG transgenic lines and sid2 mutants increases seed yield in the annual plant Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 1261–1271. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern363

An, C., and Mou, Z. (2011). Salicylic acid and its function in plant immunity. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 53, 412–428. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2011.01043.x

Ananieva, E. A., Alexieva, V. S., and Popova, L. P. (2002). Treatment with salicylic acid and its function in plant immunity. F. M. E. A. 685–693. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M603761200

Bienert, G. P., Babbs, C. F., Pham, J. A., and Coolbaugh, R. C. (1989). Lethal hydroxyl radical. Biochemistry 28, 1183–1192. doi: 10.1021/bi003761200

Bienert, G. P., Schoeerring, J. K., and Jahn, T. P. (2006). Membrane transport of hydrogen peroxide. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1758, 994–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.02.015

Buchanan, B. B. (1980). Role of light in the regulation of chloroplast enzymes. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 31, 341–374. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.31.060180.002013

Buchanan, B. B., and Balmer, Y. (2005). Redox regulation: a broadening horizon. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 56, 187–220. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144246

Chibani, K., Tarreg, L., Gualberto, J. M., Wriggles, G., Rey, J.-P., Jacquot, P., et al. (2012). Atypical thioredoxins in poplar: the glutathione-dependent thioredoxin-like 2.1 supports the activity of target enzymes possessing a single redox active cysteine. Plant Physiol. 159, 592–605. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.197723

Chu, T. G., and Orlowski, M. (1985). Soluble metalloendopeptidase from rat kidney and liver. J. Biol. Chem. 260, 1183–1192. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M603761200

Cleland, W. (1964). Dithiothreitol, a new protective reagent for SH groups*. Biochemistry 3, 480–482. doi: 10.1021/bi00892a002

Conrath, U., Chen, Z., Ricigliano, J. R., and Klessig, D. F. (1995). Two inducers of plant defense responses, 2, 6-dichloroisonicotinic acid and salicylic acid, inhibit

Frontiers in Plant Science | www.frontiersin.org
May 2015 | Volume 6 | Article 327

14
catalase activity in tobacco. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 7143–7147. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.16.7143

D’Auteux, B., and Toledano, M. B. (2007). ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8, 83–88. doi: 10.1038/nm1525

Dennamé, N., Sánchez-Vallet, A., Goffner, D., and Molina, A. (2013). Disease resistance or growth: the role of plant hormones in balancing immune responses and fitness costs. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:155. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00155

Deponte, M. (2013). Glutathione catalysis and the reaction mechanisms of glutathione-dependent enzymes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1830, 3217–3266. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2012.09.018

Dodge, A. (1971). The mode of action of the bipyridyl herbicides, paraquat and diquat. *Endeavour* 30, 130–135. doi: 10.1016/0169-9027(71)90039-1

Enyedi, A. J., Yalpani, N., Silverman, P., and Raskin, I. (1992). Localization, conjugation, and function of salicylic acid in tobacco during the hypersensitive reaction to tobacco mosaic virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 89, 2480–2484. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.6.2480

Farrington, J., Ebert, M., Land, E. J., and Fletcher, K. (1973). Bipyridylium herbicides. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 314, 372–381. doi: 10.1016/0005-2728(73)90121-7

Ferreira, J. C., Icimoto, M. Y., Marcondes, M. F., Oliveira, V., Nascimento, O. R., and Nantes, I. L. (2013). Recycling of the high valence states of heme proteins by cysteine residues of Thimet-Oligopeptidase. *PLoS ONE* 8:e79102. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079102

Foyer, C. H., and Noctor, G. (2013). Redox signaling in plants. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 18, 2087–2090. doi: 10.1089/ars.2013.5278

Fragnieri, C., Serrano, M., Abou-Mansour, E., Metraux, J.-P., and L’Haridon, F. (2011). Salicylic acid and its location in response to biotic and abiotic stress. *FEBS Lett.* 585, 1847–1852. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.04.039

Fujikawa, Y., and Kato, N. (2007). Split luciferase complementation assay to study protein-protein interactions in Arabidopsis protoplasts. *Plant J.* 52, 185–195. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.02314.x

Funahashi, A., Matsuoka, Y., Jouraku, A., Kitano, H., and Kikuchi, N. (2006). CellDesigner 3.5: a modeling tool for biochemical networks. *Proc. IEEE* 96, 1254–1265. doi: 10.1109/IPROCSS.2008.925458

Gémes, K., Poór, P., Horváth, E., Kolbert, Z., Szopkó, D., Szepesi, A., et al. (2011). Cross-talk between salicylic acid and NaCl-generated reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in tomato during acclimation to high salinity. *Physiol. Plant.* 142, 179–192. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2011.01461.x

Ghezzi, P., Bonetto, V., and Fratelli, M. (2005). Thiol–disulfide balance: from the concept of oxidative stress to that of redox regulation. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 7, 964–972. doi: 10.1089/ars.2005.7.964

Gout, E., Blumry, R., and Douce, R. (1992). Regulation of intracellular pH values in higher plant cells. Carbon-13 and phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance studies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 13903–13909

Han, Y., Chaouch, S., Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Zechmann, B., and Noctor, G. (2013). Functional analysis of Arabidopsis mutants points to novel roles for salicylic acid oligopeptidasese TOP1 and TOP2 are salicylic acid targets that modulate SA—mediated signaling and the immune response. *Plant J.* 76, 603–614. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12320

Hou, Z., Fan, W., and Dong, X. (2003). Inducers of plant systemic acquired resistance regulate NPR1 function through redox changes. *Cell 113*, 935–944. doi: 10.1080/0160932700300429-X

Mubarakshina, M. M., Ivanov, B. N., Naydov, I. A., Hillier, W., Badger, M. R., and Krieger-Liszkay, A. (2010). Production and diffusion of chloroplastic H2O2 and its implication to signalling. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61, 3577–3587. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq171

Nagy, P. (2013). Kinetics and mechanisms of thiol-disulfide exchange covering direct substitution and thiol oxidation-mediated pathways. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 18, 1623–1641. doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.4973

Oracz, K., Bouteau, H. E. M., Farrant, J. M., Cooper, K., Belghazi, M., Job, C., et al. (2007). ROS production and protein oxidation as a novel mechanism for seed dormancy alleviation. *Plant J.* 50, 452–465. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03063.x

Palmeira, C. M., Moreno, A. J., and Madeira, V. (1995). Mitochondrial bioenergetics is affected by the herbicide paraquat. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1229, 187–192. doi: 10.1016/0005-2728(94)90202-G

Polge, C., Jaquimod, M., Holzer, F., Bourguignon, J., Walling, L., and Broussique, R. (2009). Evidence for the existence in Arabidopsis thaliana of the proteasome proteolytic pathway activation in response to cadmium. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 35412–35424. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.035394

Rao, M. V., Paliyath, G., Ormrod, D. P., Murr, D. P., and Watkins, C. B. (1997). Influence of salicylic acid on H2O2 production, oxidative stress, and H2O2-metabolizing enzymes (salicylic acid-mediated oxidative damage requires H2O2). *Plant Physiol.* 115, 137–149. doi: 10.1104/pp.115.1.137

Rivas-San Vicente, M., and Plasencia, J. (2011). Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth and development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 3321–3338. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err031

Rouiller, N. (2010). Plant glutaredoxins: pivotal players in redox biology and iron–sulfur centre assembly. *New Phytol.* 186, 365–372. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03146.x
Rüffer, M., Steipe, B., and Zenk, M. H. (1995). Evidence against specific binding of salicylic acid to plant catalase. FEBS Lett. 377, 175–180. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(95)01334-2

Scheibe, R. (1991). Redox-modulation of chloroplast enzymes A common principle for individual control. Plant Physiol. 96, 1–3. doi: 10.1104/pp.96.1.1

Serrano, M., Wang, B., Aryan, B., Garcia, C., Abou-Mansour, E., Heck, S., et al. (2013). Export of salicylic acid from the chloroplast requires the multidrug and toxin extrusion-like transporter EDSS. Plant Physiol. 162, 1815–1821. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.218156

Shirasu, K., Nakajima, H., Rajasekhar, V. K., Dixon, R. A., and Lamb, C. (1997). Salicylic acid potentiates an agonist-dependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signals in the activation of defense mechanisms. Plant Cell 9, 261–270. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.2.261

Shrivastava, D. K., Calviño, E. K., Brauer, N., Fernandez-Pozo, S., Strickler, R., Yalamanchili, H., et al. (2014). The tomato kinome and the tomato kinase library ORFeome: novel resources for the study of kinases and signal transduction in tomato and solanaceae species. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 27, 7–17. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-08-13-0218-TA

Smith, F. A., and Raven, J. A. (1979). Intracellular pH and its regulation. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 30, 289–311. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.30.060179.001445

Tada, Y., Spoel, S. H., Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K., Mou, Z., Song, J., Wang, C., et al. (2008). Plant immunity requires conformational changes of NPR1 via S-nitrosylation and thioredoxins. Science 321, 952–956. doi: 10.1126/science.1156970

Temple, M. D., Perrone, G. G., and Dawes, I. W. (2005). Complex cellular responses to reactive oxygen species. Trends Cell Biol. 15, 319–326. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2005.04.003

Tidjar, U., and Barrett, A. J. (1990). Thiol-dependent metallo-endopeptidase characteristics of Pz-peptidase in rat and rabbit. Biochem. J. 267, 531–533.

Veljovic-Jovanovic, S., Noctor, G., and Foyer, C. H. (2002). Are leaf hydrogen peroxide concentrations commonly overestimated? The potential influence of artefactual interference by tissue phenolics and ascorbate. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 40, 501–507. doi: 10.1016/S0981-9428(02)01417-1

Westlake et al. Peptidase-mediated pathways in the oxidative stress response

Wang, Y., Li, X., Hou, S., Wang, X., Li, Y., Ren, D., et al. (2010). A Pseudomonas syringae ADP-ribosyltransferase inhibits Arabidopsis mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases. Plant Cell 22, 2033–2044. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.075697

Wang, Y., and Liu, J.-H. (2012). Exogenous treatment with salicylic acid attenuates occurrence of citrus canker in susceptible navel orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). J. Plant Physiol. 169, 1143–1149. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.03.018

Wrzaczek, M., Brosché, M., and Kangasjärvi, J. (2013). ROS signaling loops—production, perception, regulation. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 16, 575–582. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.07.002

Wu, F.-H., Shen, S.-C., Lee, I.-Y., Lee, S.-H., Chan, M.-T., and Lin, C.-S. (2009). Tape-Arabidopsis Sandwich—a simpler Arabidopsis protoplast isolation method. Plant Methods 5, 1–10. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-5-16

Yabuta, Y., Maruta, T., Yoshimura, K., Ishikawa, T., and Shigeoka, S. (2004). Two distinct redox signaling pathways for cytosolic APX induction under photooxidative stress. Plant Cell Physiol. 45, 1586–1594. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch181

Yoo, S.-D., Cho, Y.-H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nature protoc. 2, 1565–1572. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.199

York, I. A., Mo, A. X., Lemerise, K., Zeng, W., Shen, Y., Abraham, C. R., et al. (2003). The cytosolic endopeptidase, thimet oligopeptidase, destroys antigenic peptides and limits the extent of MHC class I antigen presentation. Immunity 18, 429–440. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00058-X

Zhang, F., Zhang, H., Xia, Y., Wang, G., Xu, L., and Shen, Z. (2011). Exogenous application of salicylic acid alleviates cadmium toxicity and reduces hydrogen peroxide accumulation in root apoplasts of Phaseolus aureus and Vicia sativa. Plant Cell Rep. 30, 1475–1483. doi: 10.1007/s00299-011-1056-4

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Westlake, Ricci, Popescu and Popescu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.