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Abstract

In agriculture, although fungi are considered the foremost problem, infections by bacteria also cause significant economical losses. The presence of different diseases in crops often leads to a misuse of the proper therapeutic, or the combination of different diseases forces the use of more than one pesticide. This work concerns the development of a ‘super-Blad’: a chimeric protein consisting of Blad polypeptide, the active ingredient of a biological fungicide already on the market, and two selected peptides, SP10-5 and Sub5, proven to possess biological potential as antibacterial agents. The resulting chimeric protein obtained from the fusion of Blad with SP10-5 not only maintained strong antibacterial activity, especially against Xanthomonas spp. and Pseudomonas syringae, but was also able to retain the ability to inhibit the growth of both yeast and filamentous fungi. However, the antibacterial activity of Sub5 was considerably diminished when fused with Blad, which seems to indicate that not all fusion proteins behave equally. These newly designed drugs can be considered promising compounds for use in plant protection. A deeper and focused development of an appropriate formulation may result in a potent biopesticide that can replace, per se, two conventional chemistries with less impact on the environment.

INTRODUCTION

The quality and yield of crop production have been adversely affected by a large variety of pests, including bacteria, fungi, weeds and insects [1]. Despite the undeniable role posed by fungi and viruses as the most important plant pathogens, bacterial infections are increasing and becoming more severe [2], making them a major threat to agriculture due to the lack of suitable agrochemicals and the absence of resistance and/or immunity in host plants. Moreover, they are usually spread undetected as contaminants or asymptomatic (latent) infections in plant propagation materials [3]. Another problem is common error when identifying a plant disease. Manual identification is a somewhat subjective task and laboratory analyses are often time consuming, leading to an unacceptable lag between observation and identification of the disease. Besides, the symptoms of some diseases are highly heterogeneous, making them difficult to identify. Moreover, the simultaneous presence of different diseases may exhibit a combination of symptoms quite different from the each disease per se [4]. As a whole, these factors often lead to a misinterpretation of the disease which ultimately leads to a misuse of a proper therapeutic agent, or the combination of different diseases forces the use of more than one pesticide.

The abuse and incorrect use of agrochemicals has resulted in pesticide resistance, pest resurgence, outbreaks of secondary pests, and pesticide residues in the produce, soil, air and water [5]. Biopesticides are becoming more appealing because of their advantages in terms of effectiveness, environmental safety, specificity, biodegradability and suitability in integrated pest management (IPM) programmes. Thus, biopesticides are promising alternatives in the management of environmental pollutions. The use of a new generation of microbial biopesticides has registered a steady annual increase of 10 %, and more than 225 microbial biopesticides are registered in 30 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries [6]. Nevertheless, biopesticides are still a young and evolving science where in-depth research is still needed, from production and formulation to delivery and commercialization of these products.

For the past few years, antimicrobial peptides have emerged as promising therapeutic agents in both medicine and agriculture due to their relatively small size (ease of...
their fastness and efficiency, their antimicrobial activity against a wide range of pathogens and their low toxicity for vertebrate cells [7, 8]. However, some studies have demonstrated that the simultaneous use of more than one antimicrobial peptide is usually a better approach toward increasing its effectiveness in plant protection or for pharmaceutical uses. To achieve this, a simple strategy is to fuse two protein sequences with the same specialized [9], or complementary, functions [10, 11], originating a novel protein called a fusion, or chimeric, protein [12].

Blad is the active ingredient of a biological fungicide already on the market and discovered by our team. It is a 20.4 kDa polypeptide, being the major subunit of a 210 kDa glyco-oligomer, termed Blad-containing oligomer (BCO), which accumulates in Lupinus albus cotyledons between days 4 and 12 after the onset of germination. The characteristics that make the BCO a unique, versatile and multi-functional protein [13], as well as its powerful and broad-spectrum antifungal activity towards both plant and human pathogens, have been fully discussed [13, 14]. Its mode of action was also recently described as a multi-site fungicide that disrupts microbial cell homeostasis leading, ultimately, to cell death [15].

In this work, we report the development of a ‘super-Blad’ – a fusion gene consisting of the gene that codes for Blad and genes that code for selected peptides proven to possess biological potential as antibacterial agents. SP10-5 is a 12-amino acid peptide experimentally designed by Zeitler and colleagues, using the scorpion-derived antimicrobial peptide IsCT and the frog-derived peptide mangain II as templates [16]. SP10-5 was tested against several bacteria and presented promising results [16]. Sub5 is a 12-amino acid cationic peptide synthesized from a linearized variant of the bovine peptide bactenecin, Bac2A, that acts on the external membrane of Gram-negative bacteria [17]. Sub5 presents not only antibacterial activity against an array of both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria, but also antifungal activity against Candida albicans [17, 18].

The current investigation is in line with the new approach towards producing novel fusion proteins with improved antimicrobial performance, which may represent the future of technological development of biopesticides. Here we report the fusion of two proteins with distinct antimicrobial properties, one fungicidal and the other bactericidal, creating a new ‘super-molecule’ that enables simultaneous treatment of fungal and bacterial infections in plants.

**METHODS**

**Strains and media**

DH5α-competent Escherichia coli cells were used for all routine cloning experiments. For recombinant protein overexpression, C41 (DE3) E. coli strain was used, cultivated in TB (12 g l⁻¹ tryptone, 24 g l⁻¹ yeast extract, 9.4 g l⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 2.2 g l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄ and 4 ml l⁻¹ glycerol) medium.

**Plant material**

Lupinus albus L. seeds were purchased from Inveja SAS (France) and were germinated as described by Pinheiro and colleagues [15].

**Production of BCO**

Blad-containing oligomer (BCO) was extracted and purified from 8-day-old cotyledons as previously described [20], and stored lyophilized at room temperature.

**Design of synthetic genes and cloning procedures**

Synthetic genes were purchased from GeneScript, Hong Kong and cloned into pCoofy plasmids, a bacterial expression vector containing N-terminal 6x His and MBP tags [21]. General cloning procedures were performed as described by Scholz and colleagues [22]. The correct nucleotide sequence of the inserts in all the constructed plasmids was checked by DNA sequencing.

**Expression of the fusion proteins**

Cells harbouring plasmids encoding the different fusion proteins were grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking, in TB medium supplemented with kanamycin. For the initial expression screening, 20 µl of the preculture were inoculated into 2 ml of fresh media on a 24-well plate. For production upscaling, 10 ml of the preculture were used to inoculate 1 l of fresh media. Inoculates were grown at different temperatures (20, 25, 30 and 37 °C) until OD₆₀₀ nm of 0.4 and then induced with three different concentrations of IPTG (isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mM). The cells were pelleted after 6 h by centrifugation at 4000 g, 4 °C, for 20 min.

Strains of E. coli, Salmonella thyphimurium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus were provided courtesy of Instituto Superior de Agronomia (ISA-UL). Strains of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (NCPPB 2878 and NCPPB 3744), X. versicatoria (NCPPB 3801 and NCPPB 3954) and Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (NCPPB 3645 and NCPPB 4369) were purchased from the National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria (NCPPB, UK). All strains were grown at 34 °C for 24 h in tryptone soy agar (TSA) medium [3 % (w/v) tryptone soy broth, 1.5 % (w/v) agar]. For antibacterial susceptibility testing, bacteria were grown in Mueller–Hinton medium.

C. albicans var. albicans (CBS 562) [CBS: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures] and C. glabrata (a kind gift from the Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine of the University of Coimbra [19]) were grown at 34 °C for 24 h in glucose yeast peptone (GYP) medium [1 % (w/v) peptone, 0.5 % (w/v) yeast extract, 2 % (w/v) glucose and 1.5 % (w/v) agar].

Botrytis cinerea was isolated in our laboratory (from tomato) and was grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar for 7 days at 25 °C. For antifungal susceptibility testing, the medium used was PDB [2.4 % (w/v) potato dextrose broth], buffered at pH 7.5.
Fusion protein purification
Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme), submitted to a freeze/thaw cycle and then incubated with DNase (2.5 µg ml⁻¹) and MgCl₂ (5 mM), thus originating the total fraction. The soluble and insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation (3500 g, 10 min, 4 °C).

For purification purposes, a MBPTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) was used, previously equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 2 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), 150 mM NaCl and 10% (v/v) glycerol, and eluted with 10 mM maltose in binding buffer.

Solubilization and re-folding of inclusion bodies
The solubilization and re-folding of inclusion bodies was performed as described by Singh and colleagues [22]. Briefly, the insoluble fraction resulting from the expression of the fusion proteins was resuspended in wash buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)) and centrifuged at 20 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The pellet was resuspended in wash buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5) and centrifuged again under the same conditions. The final pellet was resuspended in milliQ water and kept frozen at −80 °C until used. For solubilization purposes, 5 mg of inclusion bodies were suspended in solubilization buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 8 M urea), mixed by vortexing and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The solubilized proteins were centrifuged at 20 000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. For the re-folding, 1 ml of the supernatant was added in small amounts in regular intervals to 9 ml of freshly cooled re-folding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (w/v), 1 mM PMSF). The re-folded sample was kept at 4 °C for 6 h and then filtered through a 0.45 µm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) filter to remove protein aggregates.

Liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis
In-gel digestion
The gel bands were sliced into small pieces, de-stained and incubated overnight with trypsin for protein digestion. Following digestion, peptides were extracted from the gel using three different solutions of increasing percentages of organic solvent [water/acetonitrile with 1% (v/v) formic acid]. Peptides were resuspended in 30 µl of a solution containing 2% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid and analysed by LC-MS/MS.

LC-MS/MS analysis
Samples were analysed on an AB Sciex 5600 TripleTOF (ABSciex) in information-dependent acquisition (IDA) mode. Peptides were fractionated by liquid chromatography (nanoLC Ultra 2D, Eksigent) on a MicroLC column ChromXPTM C18CL reverse-phase column (300 µm ID×15 cm length, 3 µm particles, 120 Å pore size, Eksigent) at 5 µl min⁻¹ and eluted into the mass spectrometer with an acetonitrile gradient in 0.1% FA (2–30% ACN, in a linear gradient for 30 min), using an electrospray ionization source (DuoSprayTM Source, ABSciex) with a 50 µm internal diameter (ID) stainless steel emitter (New Objective). For information-dependent acquisition (IDA) experiments, the mass spectrometer was set to scanning full spectra (350–1250 m/z) for 250 ms, followed by up to 80 MS/MS scans (100–1500 m/z from a dynamic accumulation time – minimum 30 ms for precursor above the intensity threshold of 1000 – in order to maintain a cycle time of 2.7 s). Candidate ions with a charge state between +2 and +5 and counts above a minimum threshold of 10 counts s⁻¹ were isolated for fragmentation, and one MS/MS spectrum was collected before adding those ions to the exclusion list for 15 s (mass spectrometer operated by Analyst TF 1.6, ABSciex). Rolling collision was used with a collision energy spread of 5.

Protein identification
Protein identification was performed using Protein Pilot software (v 5.1, ABSciex) with the following parameters: search against the theoretical sequences of the recombinant proteins and against the uniprot database from June 2016, with acrylamide alkylation and trypsin digestion. Positive identification was considered for proteins that met the 1.3 unused score value and 95% peptide confidence filtering.

Yeast and bacteria inhibition tests
The susceptibility tests were performed according to the CLSI – Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, guidelines M27-A2, M31-A2 and M38-A2, for yeasts, bacteria and filamentous fungi, respectively [23–25] with minor adjustments.

Bacteria were grown on TSYA medium overnight at 34 °C. The inoculum suspension was then prepared in 5 ml of sterile 0.9% (w/v) saline solution (NaCl) and cell density was adjusted with a spectrophotometer in order to obtain a concentration of 1×10⁸ cells ml⁻¹. The final inoculum suspension was made by a 1:100 dilution with double-strength Mueller–Hinton medium, in order to achieve a concentration of 1×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. The inoculum size was confirmed by enumeration of c.f.u. on TSYA plates.

The susceptibility tests on yeasts and filamentous fungi were performed as previously described [13, 14].

In all cases, each well of the microplate contained 100 µl of the inocula and 100 µl of the diluted drug solution (twofold).

The microplates were incubated at 34 and 25 °C for yeasts and filamentous fungi, respectively, and examined after 72 h. Bacteria were incubated at 30 °C and the results were taken after 24–72 h, according to their growth rate. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) endpoints were recorded visually as the lowest drug concentration that showed absence of growth. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Electrophoresis and immunoblotting
One-dimensional, sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western blotting were performed as previously described [20]. For the dot-blot,
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Production, expression and purification of recombinant peptide-fusion proteins

The genes that code for both SP10-5 and Sub5 peptides were fused with the Blad gene to construct the new multifactorial peptide-fusion proteins. The fusion of multiple proteins has already been extensively discussed for biotechnological applications [26–32]. Both genes were joined, separately, to the C-terminal portion of the Blad gene using a 5-amino acid flexible linker (Gly-Gly-Ser-Gly-Gly). Flexible linkers are usually applied when the joined domains require a certain degree of movement or interaction. The small size of these amino acids provides flexibility, and allows for mobility of the connecting functional domains [33]. The performance of the newly synthesized fusion protein depends largely on the peptide linker that is inserted between the moieties [34–38]. Considering the results obtained in a previous study where recombinant Blad was successfully produced in a soluble form in *E. coli* using MBP (maltose-binding protein) as a solubility enhancement partner (unpublished work), the MBP gene was also added to the construction in the N-terminal end of Blad. MBP has been successfully used as a solubility-enhancing partner, with excellent results [39–41] and the additional benefit that it can also be used as an affinity tag for purification [42, 43]. The design of the peptide-fusion protein construction is shown in Fig. 1 and the final amino acid sequences are shown in Fig. S1 (available in the online version of this article). MBP has a molecular weight of 40.2 kDa, Blad is 20.4 kDa and SP10-5 and Sub5 are 1.5 and 1.7 kDa, respectively. Therefore, the molecular weight of both peptide-fusion proteins was approximately 60 kDa.

Both synthetic genes that code for the new peptide-fusion proteins, hereby termed His$_6$MBPBladSP10-5 and His$_6$MBPBladSub5, were cloned into pCoofy plasmids, a bacterial expression vector for parallel sequence and ligation independent cloning (SLIC) containing N-terminal 6x His and MBP tags [21]. After induction of overexpression of the genes that code for both peptide-fusion proteins, cells were collected by centrifugation and analysed by both SDS-PAGE and immunoblot, using an anti-His tag antibody, which demonstrated that the genes that code for both peptide-fusion proteins were correctly over-expressed and at the expected molecular weight (~60 kDa) (data not shown). To assess the solubility of both peptide-fusion proteins, both soluble and insoluble fractions were loaded onto a 12 % (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Fig. 2 shows that both peptide-fusion proteins were present mainly in the insoluble fraction under the growth conditions tested.

Another attempt was made to overcome the insolubility issues. Several expression conditions were tested, including a combination of four different temperatures (20, 25, 30 and 37 °C) with three IPTG concentrations (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mM). After expression under these conditions, both soluble and insoluble fractions were spotted directly onto a nitrocellulose membrane for dot-blot analysis, using an anti-histag antibody (Fig. 3). The results obtained revealed that the majority of the signal is in the insoluble fraction, despite the temperature or the IPTG concentration tested. The strong signal observed in the insoluble fraction of both peptide-fusion proteins is representative of high levels of expression. However, the fusion proteins were synthesized as inclusion bodies, meaning that none of the constructs led to significant accumulation of the fusion protein in a soluble form. Despite the accumulated evidence indicating that MBP is a very promising solubility-enhancing partner [39–41], no affinity tag is universally ideal and many have the same downside of yielding different performances with different partner proteins [43, 44]. Fusing an insoluble protein to a solubility-enhancing partner does not guarantee a soluble fusion protein [39].

There are multiple factors that contribute towards the formation of protein aggregates during heterologous expression in *E. coli*. Under normal circumstances, one protein chain is released from the ribosome of *E. coli* every 35 s and the macromolecule concentration can reach 300–400 mg ml$^{-1}$. Under these conditions the correct folding of a protein can be considered an extraordinary event [45]. In addition, the newly synthesized recombinant protein is being synthesized in an environment that may be quite different from that of its original source in terms of pH, osmolarity, redox potential, co-factors and folding mechanisms [46]. Finally, it may also be the case that insolubility is an intrinsic property of a particular protein [41]. Either way, if a given protein fails to rapidly achieve its native conformation
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**Fig. 1.** Production of the recombinant peptide-fusion proteins in *E. coli*. Design of both peptide-fusion proteins.
there are two possible consequences: partial or complete deposition into inclusion bodies [45]. Tight control of a variety of parameters, including temperature of expression, expression rate and inducer concentration, might help to reduce the formation of aggregates [37, 43]. In many cases, the overexpression of genes in \textit{E.coli} remains an unsolved problem.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{SDS-PAGE analysis of both soluble (S) and the insoluble (I) fractions resulting from the expression of fusions His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5 (a) and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 (b). Molecular masses of standards are indicated in kDa.}
\end{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{Dot-blot analysis of both the soluble (S) and insoluble (I) fractions resulting from the expression of fusions His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5 (a) and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 (b) at four different temperatures (1–20°C; 2–25°C; 3–30°C and 4–37°C) with three IPTG concentrations (a – 1 mM, b – 0.5 mM and c – 0.1 mM).}
\end{figure}
problem as the proteins continue to be synthesized as inclusion bodies.

Considering the impossibility of producing both fusion proteins in a soluble form, even with the MBP in the construction as a solubility-enhancing tag, the next step was to solubilize and re-fold the inclusion bodies, as described in Methods. The solubilized peptide-fusion proteins were analysed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4) and the results obtained revealed that, despite both His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP-10 and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 being synthesized as inclusion bodies and thus only soluble in denaturant buffers, it is possible to refold them by slow dilution in a renaturing buffer. Moreover, Fig. 4 shows that compared to His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5, His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 was recovered at a higher yield considering the thickness of the respective bands.

The next step was to purify the peptide-fusion proteins. As mentioned above, MBP not only is a powerful solubility-enhancing tag that often helps folding of fusion proteins [47], but also can be used as a detection and a purification tag [48]. Considering the addition of the MBP into the constructs, the purification was performed by affinity chromatography using a MBPTrap HP, a column prepacked with dextrin, a resin for which MBP has high affinity. The solubilized peptide-fusion proteins were applied to the prepacked column, washed and eluted with 10 mM maltose in binding buffer and the eluted fractions of both peptide-fusion proteins were analysed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. S2). Analysis of both the chromatogram and SDS-PAGE revealed that both fusion proteins were purified to a high level, which is in accordance with previous studies claiming that purification by capture affinity step on amylose columns resulted in a protein that is often 70–90 % pure [48, 49].

For the confirmation of the fusion proteins in this study, the corresponding bands were individually cut from the gel and analysed by LC-MS/MS. The results obtained from mass spectrometry analysis were somewhat inconclusive. Taking into consideration the specific regions for the peptides SP10-5 and Sub-5 (‘LRIIK KilKlKl’ and ‘RRKIV-VlRWR’, respectively), it was difficult to obtain a good tryptic peptide for protein identification (trypsin cleaves after lysines and arginines) and thus to be able to identify the recombinant peptides. Both Blad and MBP were positively identified with a 95 % confidence level.

Despite the high purity level obtained when purifying both His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP-10 and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 fusion proteins, the yields obtained, considering the need to re-fold the inclusion bodies by diluting them into a re-folding buffer, were relatively low. In fact, though formation of inclusion bodies renders protein purification easier, there is no guarantee that in vitro re-folding will generate large amounts of biologically active products [50].

**In vitro antimicrobial activity of fusion proteins**

In a new set of experiments, the antimicrobial activity of the fusion proteins His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5 and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 was evaluated and compared to that of each individual active compound (SP10-5, Sub-5 and BCO). The results shown in Table 1 reveal that BCO has a potent antifungal activity against both yeasts and filamentous fungi, as expected [13, 14]. However, it was also demonstrated that BCO has some antibacterial activity, although not strongly and not against all species. By fusing Blad, the active ingredient of BCO, to a molecule proven to possess biological potential as an antibacterial agent [16, 17], its spectrum of action should, theoretically, be enhanced.

Bacterial susceptibility to SP10-5 alone was variable, but its potent effect towards *Xanthomonas* spp. and *P. syringae* was confirmed [16]. Although Sub5 is described as having considerable antibacterial activity against several species [17, 18], in our study MICs were somewhat higher than expected, but its efficacy and wide spectrum range were confirmed. When fused, the activity of SP10-5 (His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5) was highly enhanced for all bacteria, becoming particularly efficient against *Xanthomonas* spp. and *P. syringae*. The most extraordinary results were obtained for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* because Blad and SP10-5 alone were inactive against these species, but their fusion inhibited bacterial growth. The same occurred with Sub5 for these species as well as for *S. typhimurium*. Furthermore, the antibacterial activity of the fusion protein His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 towards the remaining species was always lower than the corresponding activity of the individual compounds alone, suggesting a synergistic effect between these molecules. Comparing the antifungal activity of both fusion proteins to that of BCO alone, the
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**Fig. 4.** SDS-PAGE analysis of the solubilized inclusion bodies of His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSP10-5 (a) and His\textsubscript{6}MBPBladSub5 (b). Molecular masses of standards are indicated in kDa.
construction was able to retain the ability to inhibit the growth of both yeasts and filamentous fungi. This was corroborated by the MIC values of Sub-5 and SP10-5 alone for these strains, which were much higher than those observed when fused to Blad but similar to the values of BCO alone, thus confirming that the antifungal activity of the hybrid proteins derives exclusively from Blad. The antimicrobial activity of the isolated MBP was tested and revealed no influence on the results (data not shown).

Fusion proteins are now extensively used in the biomedical field as an important tool to detect and purify antibodies [51], and to design and produce bifunctional enzymes [52]. In this work, we designed and investigated the antimicrobial activity of the fusion proteins His₆MBPBladSP10-5 and His₆MBPBladSub5 against important plant pathogens. Both fusions were constructed with the peptide genes fused to the C-terminal portion of Blad using a 5-amino acid flexible linker (oligopeptide) that is widely used to construct functional fusion proteins. As the name implies, flexible linkers are composed of flexible amino acid residues such as glycine, serine and proline, which allows contiguous proteins to move independently [10].

It is difficult to express antimicrobial proteins in bacteria, simply because the active antimicrobial proteins will kill the host. One possible approach is to force the expression of the target protein as an inclusion body [53, 54] which, unwittingly, was the case here from the beginning. Thus, we were able to express a bactericidal peptide in E. coli without compromising its viability. However, it is well known that even after successful expression of antimicrobial fusion proteins, they constantly present diminished or absent antimicrobial activity [9]. In addition, our results seem to indicate that not all fusion proteins behave equally; when fusing proteins, they constantly present diminished or absent antimicrobial activity of the isolated MBP was tested and revealed no influence on the results (data not shown).

In this work, both constructions retained the ability to inhibit the growth of both yeasts and filamentous fungi and, most importantly, both showed increased antibacterial activity, probably due to a synergistic effect between Blad and SP10-5 and Sub5. Hongbiao et al. [9] and Lee et al. [10] had already successfully engineered hybrid proteins from two antibacterial peptides. However, the originated fusion proteins presented inferior antibacterial activity compared to the individual parental ones. In a similar study published recently by Kovalskaya et al. [11], a hybrid protein, named SAP, was also successfully produced but, in this case, it showed distinct and enhanced biological activities. The results indicate that the performance of the hybrid protein was better than or equal to that of the parental proteins. The work reported by Kovalskaya et al. [11] also demonstrated that the chimeric protein SAP produced in tobacco and potato plants had a plant-protective role against Colletotrichum coccodes, the casual fungal agent of potato anthracnose, and Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. sepedonicus, which is the bacterium that causes potato ring rot disease.

Although this proved to be a very interesting study, the ultimate purpose of the authors was to develop a genetic strategy to express the hybrid protein SAP as a self-cleavage protein in plant cells to allow the production of individual antimicrobial proteins and, in this way, increase plant pathogen resistance. Our work aimed at generating a new molecule to be used as an active ingredient for plant protection as a plant foliar biopesticide. For a new biopesticide to be of plant protection interest, high efficiency needs to be combined with low toxicity. Although the toxicological profile of the hybrid proteins was not assessed in this study, both the BCO and the individual peptides were previously demonstrated to be safe.

**Table 1.** In vitro susceptibility of various species of bacteria, yeasts and filamentous fungi to the fusion proteins His₆MBPBladSP10-5 and His₆MBPBladSub5 and to SP10-5, Sub5 and BCO, as determined by MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration)

| Species* (no. of strains) | MIC range (µM) |
|--------------------------|----------------|
|                           | SP10-5         | His₆MBPBladSP10-5 | Sub5 | His₆MBPBladSub5 | BCO |
| *X. arboricola* (2)      | 1.3–5.3        | 0.065             | 0.6–2.4 | 1.032–0.516    | 1.2–2.4 |
| *X. vesicatoria* (2)     | 1.3–5.3        | 0.065             | 0.6–1.2 | 1.032           | 2.4   |
| *E. coli* (1)            | >13            | 0.516             | 4.7     | NA             | NA    |
| *S. typhimurium* (1)     | 13             | 0.258             | 9.4     | NA             | NA    |
| *P. aeruginosa* (1)      | >13            | 0.516             | 9.4     | NA             | NA    |
| *P. syringae* (2)        | 1.3            | 0.065             | 1.2     | 1.032          | 0.6–1.2 |
| *S. aureus* (1)          | 13             | 1.032             | 9.4     | 1.032          | 2.4   |
| *C. albicans* (1)        | 13             | 0.065             | 37.6    | 0.065          | 0.074 |
| *C. glabrata* (1)        | 42.7           | 0.065             | >37.6   | 0.032          | 0.074 |
| *B. cinerea* (1)         | 42.7           | 0.065             | 37.6    | 0.065          | 0.149 |

NA: No activity within the range of concentrations tested.

* B. Botrytis, C. Candida, E. Escherichia, P. Pseudomonas, S. Salmonella, X. Xanthomonas.
recognized as compounds of low toxicity. In the study recently published by Pinheiro et al. [15], BCO showed no evidence of topical toxicity, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity towards mammalian cells after acute or short-term exposure. In addition, BCO safety as a plant protection product has been corroborated by the national regulatory entities of all countries where the product has already been approved. Zeiter et al. [16] showed that SP-10 peptide was highly active against a broad spectrum of bacteria, but showed low haemolytic activity and a very low phytotoxicity to plant protoplasts and therefore seemed to be well suited as a plant protection agent. Ebbensgaard et al. [18] reported that Sub-5 peptide had no minimal haemolytic activity, indicating that it might be safe to use at the concentrations needed to exert antibacterial activity. In conjunction with both the high efficacy and low toxicity profile, allied to a future deeper and more focused development of an appropriate formulation, this may result in a potent protein-based biopesticide for use in agriculture.

The results presented in this study are an important breakthrough and may represent a new approach in the design of new bi-functional antimicrobial peptides with major potential for use in medicine, food safety and agriculture. In conclusion, we designed, engineered and produced fusion proteins with antimicrobial activity against a range of human, spoilage and plant-pathogenic bacteria, yeasts and filamentous fungi.

Funding information
This work was funded by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia: grant number SFRH/BD/87561/2012. The funder, CEV SA, provided support in the form of salaries for authors Sara Monteiro and Alexandra Carreira, but had no additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest
The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be constructed as a potential conflict of interest.

References
1. Kumar S, Singh A. Biopesticides: present status and the future prospects. J Fertil Pestic 2015;6:6129.
2. Eichenlaub R, Gartemann KH, Burger A. Clavibacter michiganensis, a group of gram-positive phytopathogenic bacteria. In: Gnanam-nickam SS (editor). Plant-Associated Bacteria. Dordrecht: Springer; 2007. pp. 385–421.
3. van der Wolf J, de Boer SH. Phytopathogenic bacteria. In: Lugtenberg B (editor). Principles of Plant-Microbe Interactions: Microbes for Sustainable Agriculture. Leiden: Springer; 2015. pp. 65–78.
4. García J, Barbedo A. A review on the main challenges in automatic plant disease identification based on visible range images. Biosyst Eng 2016;144:52–60.
5. Al-Zaidi AA, Elhag EA, Al-Otaibi SH, Baig MB. Negative effects of pesticides on the environment and the farmers awareness in Saudi Arabia: a case study. J Anim Plant Sci 2011;21:605–611.
6. Hubbard M, Hynes RK, Erlandson M, Bailey KL. The biochemistry behind biopesticide efficacy. Sustain Chem Process 2014;2:1–8.
7. Bang K, Park S, Yoo JY, Cho S. Characterization and expression of attacin, an antibacterial protein-encoding gene, from the bee armyworm, Spodoptera exigua (Hubnerl) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Mol Biol Rep 2012;39:5151–5159.
8. Hancock RE, Brown KL, Mookherjee N. Host defence peptides from invertebrates—emerging antimicrobial strategies. Immunobiology 2006;211:315–322.
9. Hongbiao W, Bao-long N, Meng-kui X, Li-hua H, Weifeng S et al. Biological activities of cecropin B-thanatin hybrid peptides. J Pept Res 2005;66:382–386.
10. Lee M, Bang K, Kwon H, Cho S. Enhanced antibacterial activity of an attacin-coleopterin hybrid protein fused with a helical linker. Mol Biol Rep 2013;40:3953–3960.
11. Kovalskaya N. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of a snakin-defensin hybrid protein expressed in tobacco and potato plants. The Open Plant Science Journal 2011;5:29–42.
12. Ingham AB, Moore RJ. Recombinant production of antimicrobial peptides in heterologous microbial systems. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 2007;47:1–9.
13. Monteiro S, Carreira A, Freitas R, Pinheiro AM, Ferreira RB. A nontoxic polypeptide oligomer with a fungicide potency under agricultural conditions which is equal or greater than that of their chemical counterparts. PLoS One 2015;10:e0122095.
14. Pinheiro AM, Carreira A, Rollo F, Fernandes R, Ferreira RB et al. Blad-containing oligomer fungicidal activity on human pathogenic yeasts. from the outside to the inside of the target cell. Front Microbiol 2016;7:1803.
15. Pinheiro AM, Carreira A, Prescott TAK, Ferreira RB, Monteiro SA. Bridging the gap to non-toxic fungal lupinus-derived blad-containing oligomer as a novel candidate to combat human pathogenic fungi. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1182.
16. Zeiter B, Herrera Díaz A, Dangel A, Thellmann M, Meyer H et al. De-novo design of antimicrobial peptides for plant protection. PLoS One 2012;8:e71687.
17. Hilpert K, Volkmann-Engert R, Walter T, Hancock RE. High-throughput generation of small antibacterial peptides with improved activity. Nat Biotechnol 2005;23:1008–1012.
18. Ebbensgaard A, Mordhorst H, Overgaard MT, Nielsen CG, Aarestrup FM et al. Comparative evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of different antimicrobial peptides against a range of pathogenic bacteria. PLoS One 2015;10:e0144611.
19. Paulo C, Mourão C, Veiga PM, Marques JM, Rocha G et al. Retrospective analysis of clinical yeast isolates in a hospital in the centre of Portugal: spectrum and revision of the identification procedures. Med Mycol 2009;47:836–844.
20. Monteiro S, Freitas R, Rajasekhar BT, Teixeira AR, Ferreira RB. The unique biosynthetic route from lupinus beta-conglutin gene to blad. PLoS One 2010;5:e8542.
21. Scholz J, Besir H, Strasser C, Suppmann S. A new method to customise protein expression vectors for fast, efficient and background free parallel cloning. BMC Biotechnol 2013:13:12.
22. Singh A, Upadhyay V, Panda AK. Solubilization and refolding of inclusion body proteins. In: Garcia-Fruitós E (editor). Insoluble Proteins: Methods and Protocols. New York: Humana Press; 2015. pp. 283–292.
23. NCCLS. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing Of yeasts, Approved standard—2nd ed. NCCLS document M27-A2 [ISBN 1-56238-469-4]. Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 2002.
24. NCCLS. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated from Animals, Approved Standard—2nd ed. NCCLS document M31-A2 (ISBN 1-56238-469-4). Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 2002.
25. CLSI. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi, Approved Standard—2nd ed. CLSI document M38-A2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.
26. Elleuche S. Bringing functions together with fusion enzymes—from nature’s inventions to biotechnological applications. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2015;99:1545–1556.
27. Gong Z, Walls MT, Karley AN, Karlsson AJ. Effect of a flexible linker on recombinant expression of cell-penetrating peptide.
fused proteins and their translocation into fungal cells. Mol Biotechnol 2016;58:838–849.

28. Trujillo M, Duncan R, Santi DV. Construction of a homodimeric dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase bifunctional enzyme. Protein Eng 1997;10:567–573.

29. Hong SY, Lee JS, Cho KM, Math RK, Kim YH et al. Assembling a novel bifunctional cellulase-xylanase from Thermotoga maritima by end-to-end fusion. Biotechnol Lett 2006;28:1857–1862.

30. Quilis J, López-Garcia B, Meynard D, Guiderdoni E, San Segundo B. Inducible expression of a fusion gene encoding two proteinase inhibitors leads to insect and pathogen resistance in transgenic rice. Plant Biotechnol J 2014;12:367–377.

31. Rothen HA, Bahrami H, Shankar EM, Rahman NA, Yusof R. Inhibitory effects of a peptide-fusion protein (Latarcin–PAP1–Thanatin) against chikungunya virus. Antiviral Res 2014;108:173–180.

32. Yu K, Liu C, Kim BG, Lee DY. Synthetic fusion protein design and applications. Biotechnol Adv 2015;33:155–164.

33. Chen X, Zaro JL, Shen WC. Fusion protein linkers: property, design and functionality. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2013;65:1357–1369.

34. Lu P, Feng MG. Bifunctional enhancement of a beta-glucanase-xylanase fusion enzyme by optimization of peptide linkers. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2008;79:579–587.

35. Zhao HL, Yao XQ, Xue C, Wang Y, Xiong XH et al. Increasing the homogeneity, stability and activity of human serum albumin and interferon-α2b fusion protein by linker engineering. Protein Expr Purif 2008;61:73–83.

36. Klein JS, Jiang S, Galimidi RP, Keeffe JR, Bjorkman PJ. Design and characterization of structured protein linkers with differing flexibilities. Protein Eng Des Sel 2014;27:325–330.

37. Shamriz S, Olofgh H, Moazami N. Effect of linker length and residues on the structure and stability of a fusion protein with malaria vaccine application. Comput Biol Med 2016;76:24–29.

38. Li G, Huang Z, Zhang C, Dong BJ, Guo RH et al. Construction of a linker library with widely controllable flexibility for fusion protein design. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2016;100:215–225.

39. Kapust RB, Waugh DS. Escherichia coli maltose-binding protein is uncommonly effective at promoting the solubility of polypeptides to which it is fused. Protein Sci 1999;8:1668–1674.

40. Fox JD, Routzahn KM, Bucher MH, Waugh DS. Maltodextrin-binding proteins from diverse bacteria and archaea are potent solubility enhancers. FEBS Lett 2003;537:53–57.

41. Hewitt SN, Choi R, Kelley A, Crowther GJ, Napuli AJ et al. Expression of proteins in Escherichia coli as fusions with maltose-binding protein to rescue non-expressed targets in a high-throughput protein-expression and purification platform. Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun 2011;67:1006–1009.

42. Banex F. Recombinant protein expression in Escherichia coli. Curr Opin Biotechnol 1999;10:411–421.

43. Esposito D, Chatterjee DK. Enhancement of soluble protein expression through the use of fusion tags. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2006;17:353–358.

44. Waugh DS. Making the most of affinity tags. Trends Biotechnol 2005;23:316–320.

45. Banex F, Mujacic M. Recombinant protein folding and misfolding in Escherichia coli. Nat Biotechnol 2004;22:1399–1408.

46. Rosano GL, Ceccarelli EA. Recombinant protein expression in Escherichia coli: advances and challenges. Front Microbiol 2014;5:172.

47. Needle D, Waugh DS. Rescuing aggregation-prone proteins in Escherichia coli with a dual His 6-MBP Tag. In: Giannone RJ, Dijkstra AB (editors). Protein Affinity Tags: Methods and Protocols. New York: Humana Press; 2014, pp. 81–94.

48. Lebendiker M, Danieli T. Purification of proteins fused to maltose-binding protein. In: Walls D, Loughran ST (editors). Protein Chromatography: Methods and Protocols. New York: Humana Press; 2011. pp. 281–293.

49. Liu D, Zou L, Li W, Wang L, Wu Y. High-level expression and large-scale preparation of soluble. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 2009;54:141–147.

50. Khow O, Suntrakachorn S. Strategies for production of active eukaryotic proteins in bacterial expression system. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2012;2:159–162.

51. Dong J, Kojima T, Ohashi H, Ueda H. Optimal fusion of anti-body binding domains resulted in higher affinity and wider specificity. J Biosci Bioeng 2015;120:504–509.

52. Tian L, Dixon RA. Engineering isoflavone metabolism with an artificial bifunctional enzyme. Plant Sci 2006;224:496–507.

53. Haught C, Davis GD, Subramanian R, Jackson KW, Harrison RG. Recombinant production and purification of novel antisense antimicrobial peptide in Escherichia coli. Biotechnol Bioeng 1998;57:55–61.

54. Lee JH, Kim JH, Hwang SW, Lee WJ, Yoon HK et al. High-level expression of antimicrobial peptide mediated by a fusion partner reinforcing formation of inclusion bodies. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000;277:575–580.

Edited by: A. Alassruy-Izquierdo and V. J. Cid

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review – average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as ‘excellent’ or ‘very good’.
5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.