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Fragile histidine triad (FHIT) gene encodes a putative tumour suppressor protein. Loss of Fhit protein in cancer is attributed to different genetic alterations that affect the FHIT gene structure. In this study, we investigated the pattern of homozygous deletion that target the FHIT gene exons 3 to 9 genomic structure in Egyptian breast cancer patients. We have found that 65% (40 out of 62) of the cases exhibited homozygous deletion in at least one FHIT exon. The incidence of homozygous deletion was not associated with patients’ clinicopathological parameters including patients’ age, tumour grade, tumour type, and lymph node involvement. Using correlation analysis, we have observed a strong correlation between homozygous deletions of exon 3 and exon 4 (P < 0.0001). Deletions in exon 5 were positively correlated with deletions in exon 7 (P < 0.0001), exon 8 (P < 0.027), and exon 9 (P = 0.04). Additionally, a strong correlation was observed between exons 8 and exon 9 (P < 0.0001). We conclude that FHIT gene exons are homoyzogously deleted at high frequency in Egyptian women population diagnosed with breast cancer. Three different patterns of homozygous deletion were observed in this population indicating different mechanisms of targeting FHIT gene genomic structure.

1. Introduction

Fragile histidine triad (FHIT) gene located at chromosome 3p14.2 is a putative tumour suppressor gene involved in the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Both genetic and epigenetic alterations in FHIT have been implicated in breast carcinoma [1–3]. Consistent with its proposed function as a tumour suppressor, homozygous genomic deletions within the FHIT gene have been observed in a large number of human cancers and cancer cell lines. FHIT is a member of histidine triad (HIT) proteins, which represent a small family of nucleotide-binding and hydrolyzing proteins. HIT proteins received attention of cancer biologists because of their downregulated expression in multiple human malignancies [4]. FHIT gene deletion or loss of transcription has been reported in head and neck [5], gastrointestinal [6, 7], cervical [8], lung [9] breast, [10, 11], kidney [12], and hematopoietic tumours [13]. Chromosomal region 3p14.2 is a frequent target for genetic and cytogenetic alterations in a wide range of solid tumors [14], leading to the search for a tumour suppressor gene in this region.

The function of FHIT is directly linked to intracellular signalling and the DNA damage response [15]. FHIT is frequently involved in biallelic loss and other chromosome abnormalities in tumours [16, 17]. FHIT deletions, abnormal transcripts, promoter hypermethylation, and associated loss of expression are common in human malignancies. In cancer cells, these events are often associated with deletions directly within the FRA3B region, centering on exon 5 of FHIT. A high frequency of heterozygous and homozygous deletions with breakpoints within the fragile site region of FHIT has been detected in large number of cancers and cancer cell lines [5, 18–21]. Corbin et al. [22] showed multiple, variable deletions in FRA3B, even in cells derived from the same tumour, suggesting ongoing instability in the region.

The FHIT locus contains the most fragile site in the human genome; FRA3B, the papilloma virus integration site,
and a familial-kidney-cancer-associated breakpoint t(3;8) (p14.2;q24) [16, 35]. FHIT gene has 10 small exons extend over ~2 Mb DNA that make up the 1.1 kb cDNA [23]. Exons 5 to 9 are the coding exons that encode a small protein of 16.8 KD mass. Fhit protein is a typical dinucleoside 5’,5’′-P1, P3-triphosphate (Ap3A) hydrolase which is highly homologous to Ap4A (diadenosine tetraphosphate) hydrolase from Schizosaccharomyces pombe. The Fhit protein exerts its oncosuppressor activity through induction of an apoptotic mechanism that seems to be Fas-associated death domain (FADD) dependent, caspase-8 mediated, and independent from mitochondrial amplification [24]. Loss of Fhit protein in cancer is attributed to different genetic alterations that affect FHIT gene structure. In tumour-derived cell lines, homozygous deletions that result from the loss of genomic regions containing or surrounding the relevant FHIT exons lead to numerous abnormal transcripts that included the absence of various regions between exon 4 and 9, while the mRNAs of the corresponding normal tissues did not exhibit these alterations [16]. None of the truncated transcripts can encode a fully functional protein. In addition to homozygous deletion, FHIT gene structure is also subjected to loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and promoter hypermethylation [25]. The significant association of FHIT mutation and hypermethylation leads to the complete inactivation of FHIT gene in patients with breast cancer. Silencing of the FHIT gene by promoter hypermethylation occurs in breast carcinomas, especially those with the significant amount of mutations in its genomic structure [26]. FHIT gene methylation was also reported in serum of sporadic breast cancer in a CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) screening [27].

Despite the extensive analysis of FHIT gene in cancer cells, the studies on primary malignant tissues are limited. Here we aimed to understand the genetic alterations that affect FHIT gene in an Egyptian population of primary breast cancer patients. Recently, we have reported loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in FHIT gene locus and its flanking region on chromosome 3p in Egyptian breast cancer patients [28]. To extend the analysis of FHIT gene locus in this population, we investigated the incidence of homozygous deletion that targets the FHIT gene exons and the correlation between observed deletions. Furthermore, we investigated the association between these deletions and the patients’ clinicopathological data.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients. Paired normal and tumour tissues were obtained from 62 patients diagnosed with breast carcinomas prior to therapy at the National Cancer Institute (Cairo, Egypt). Matched normal tissue samples were obtained from the tumour safety margin. The study was approved by the review board of the institution. The specimens collected and used in the study were obtained under each patient’s consent along with approval. The patients were staged according to the TNM Staging System [29]. The patients’ clinicopathological parameters are summarised in Table 1.

2.2. DNA Extraction. DNA extraction from the tumour and safety margin tissues obtained from patients was performed using QIAamp DNA Minikit method (Qiagen, Germany) as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. This method depends on degradation of the proteins and cell membranes using proteinase K enzyme, precipitation of DNA by ethanol (96–100%) followed by purification of DNA using QIAamp spin columns. The retained DNA is finally eluted from the membrane using TE buffer.

2.3. Homozygous Deletion Analysis. Patients DNAs were examined for homozygous deletion in FHIT exons. In brief, PCRs were performed with 8 different primers corresponding to exons 3 to 9, and β-actin was used as a control. Exon-specific primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. PCR amplification was performed in a 12.5 μL reaction volume using 1× PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 20 ng of each primer, 0.5 unit Taq polymerase (Invitrogen), and 100 ng template DNA. The reaction mixture was amplified using Perkin Elemen thermal cycler. Cycling conditions were 94°C for 2 min followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were then electrophoresed onto 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV transilluminator. Homozygous deletions were evaluated by comparing bands of exons in tumour samples with their matched normals. Exon bands that showed significant reduction compared to normal were considered positive for HD.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. The results were analysed using GraphPad prism computer system (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA). Pearson correlation analysis was used to test the correlation between exons in the context of homozygous deletion. Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used to test the association between or HD deletions with each of patients’ clinicopathological parameters. The association was considered significant when P ≤ 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. FHIT Exons Are Subjected to Homozygous Deletion in Breast Cancer Patients. FHIT gene has 10 small exons that make up the 1.1-kb cDNA [23]. Exons 3, 4, and 5 are present in close proximity to the familial kidney-tumour-associated t (3; 8) translocation break, including the HPV16 integration site identified in cervical carcinoma, and the fragile sites in intron 5. Out of 10 exons, the first four exons and the last exon are noncoding exons; therefore, exon 5 is the first coding exon of the FHIT gene which has the initial methionine codon of the FHIT open reading frame (ORF), that extends to exon 9 and encodes a small protein of 16.8 KD mass. While exon 8 encodes the histidine triad motif [16].

To investigate the incidence of homozygous deletion in FHIT gene exons in Egyptian patients diagnosed with breast cancer, we performed exon-specific PCRs for coding exons 5 to 9. Additionally, we investigated noncoding exons 3 and 4.
Table 1: Patients clinicopathological features.

| Sample no. | Age | Tumour type | Grade (G) | Lymph node status |
|------------|-----|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1          | 77  | IDC         | G2        | 0/5               |
| 2          | 47  | IDC         | G2        | 0/9               |
| 3          | 52  | IDC         | G2        | 0/12              |
| 4          | 62  | IDC         | G2        | 0/8               |
| 5          | 40  | ILC         | NI        | 18/18             |
| 6          | NI  | Angiosarcoma| G3        | 3/19              |
| 7          | 52  | IDC         | G2        | 6/6               |
| 8          | 50  | ILC         | NI        | 7/9               |
| 9          | 42  | IDC         | G2        | 3/12              |
| 10         | 35  | IDC         | G2        | 2/13              |
| 11         | 40  | IDC         | G2        | 5/14              |
| 12         | 40  | ILC         | NI        | 3/14              |
| 13         | 55  | IDC         | G2        | NI                |
| 14         | 38  | IDC         | G2        | 5/8               |
| 15         | 50  | IDC         | G2        | 17/19             |
| 16         | 42  | IDC         | G2        | 0/17              |
| 17         | NI  | IDC         | G3        | NI                |
| 18         | 50  | ILC         | NI        | 0/13              |
| 19         | 58  | IDC         | G3        | 14/15             |
| 20         | 41  | IDC         | G2        | 4/11              |
| 21         | 46  | IDC         | G3        | 0/19              |
| 22         | 42  | IDC         | G2        | 2/13              |
| 23         | 50  | ILC         | NI        | 7/7               |
| 24         | 44  | IDC         | G3        | 0/12              |
| 25         | 55  | IDC         | G2        | 5/12              |
| 26         | 41  | IDC         | G3        | 0/24              |
| 27         | 34  | IDC         | G2        | 9/12              |
| 28         | 53  | IDC         | G2        | 0/9               |
| 29         | 75  | IDC         | G2        | 0/7               |
| 30         | 55  | Comedocarcinoma | NI | NI    |
| 31         | NI  | IDC         | G2        | NI                |
| 32         | 60  | IDC         | G2        | 0/16              |
| 33         | 50  | IDC         | G3        | 11/13             |
| 34         | 42  | IDC         | G3        | 6/22              |
| 35         | 70  | IDC         | G2        | 3/17              |
| 36         | 45  | Adenocarcinoma | NI  | 1/14          |
| 37         | 61  | IDC         | G2        | 5/18              |
| 38         | NI  | IDC         | NI        | 0/10              |
| 39         | 58  | IDC         | G3        | 0/8               |
| 40         | 52  | IDC         | G2        | 1/7               |
| 41         | 42  | IDC         | G2        | 1/15              |
| 42         | 55  | IDC         | G2        | 1/13              |
| 43         | 35  | IDC         | G2        | 15/15             |
| 44         | 45  | IDC         | G2        | 0/12              |
| 45         | 40  | IDC         | G2        | 11/18             |
| 46         | 55  | IDC         | G2        | 6/22              |
| 47         | 40  | IDC         | G3        | 11/20             |
| 48         | 35  | IDC         | G3        | 6/10              |
A total of 62 breast cancer patients were investigated for homozygous deletion (HD) in FHIT exons. Tumour DNA was compared to its matching normal DNA samples isolated from the tumour safety margin. We found that 65% of the cases (40 out of 62) showed HD in at least one of the seven examined exons. Percentage of HD in the examined exons is as follows: exon 3: 32.3% (20/62), exon 4: 27.4% (17/62), exon 5: 29% (18/62), exon 6: 34% (21/62), exon 7: 29% (18/62), exon 8: 29% (18/62), exon 9: 30.6% (19/62). We have observed that the samples which exhibited HD in exon 8 were also positive for HD in exon 9. Furthermore, 95% of samples that showed HD in exon 4 were also positive for HD in exon 3. Moreover, 29% of the total samples exhibited deletions in the exons cluster from 5 to 7.

Figure 1(a) shows representative samples that exhibited HD in the investigated exons. Cases no. 7, 10, and 12 showed HD in exons 5 and 7 in tumour samples compared to their normal counterparts, while cases 13 and 14 showed intact exons in normal and tumour samples. Cases no. 30, 31, 32, and 37 exhibited HD in exons 8 and 9 in tumour samples compared with their normal counterparts. Case no. 37 exhibited HD in exons 3 and 4, while exon 6 is intact compared to its matched normal. Case no. 32 showed intact exons 3, 4 and 6. Figure 1(b) shows the incidence of HD in FHIT exons in individual breast cancer patients. A summary of HD percentages in FHIT exons is shown in Figure 1(c).

From these data, we conclude that homozygous deletion or HD of FHIT gene exons occurs at high frequency in...
Figure 1: FHIT gene exons are subjected to homozygous deletions in Egyptian neoplastic and preneoplastic breast tissues. DNA was extracted from breast cancer and matched normal tissue samples then subjected to PCR analysis of FHIT exons. (a) Amplification of exons 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9. β-actin was used as a control for equal amount of DNA used in the amplification. Intensity of amplified band in tumor (T) samples was compared with its relative one in normal sample (N). Samples that showed significant reduction or loss of the amplified exons were considered positive for exon homozygous deletion (HD). (b) Cumulative data of HD in individual patients. (C) Incidence of HD of FHIT exons in breast cancer patients. (d) Association between HD of FHIT exons and breast cancer patients’ clinicopathological parameters.

3.2. Association between Homozygous Deletions of FHIT Exons and Patients’ Clinicopathological Parameters. FHIT exons deletion in breast cancer samples were compared with patients’ clinicopathological parameters including tumour type, tumour grade, patient’s age at diagnosis, and lymph node status. Results were tested for statistical significance difference using Chi-square and Fisher exact test.

In breast cancer set, no significance association was observed between HD in FHIT exons and patients clinicopathological data. Patients younger than 50 years exhibited HD in 18 out of 29 cases (62%), while 18 out of 27 cases (67%) showed deletions in patients of ages above 50 years.
old (\(P = 0.7849\)). Among the 50 samples diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma type, 32 samples (64%) showed HD, whereas 8 samples out of 12 (67%) of 12 patients diagnosed with invasive lobular carcinoma and other types showed HD (\(P = 0.8624\)). Samples’ tumour grades varied from grade II to III. Out of 35 cases with grade II, 23 (66%) showed HD while, and 8 out of 14 cases (57%) of grade III showed HD (\(P = 0.57\)). With lymph node status, 10 out of 17 (59%) showed HD in lymph node negative set, while in lymph node positive cases 24 out of 37 samples (65%) showed HD (\(P = 0.6694\)).

Taken those data together, we conclude that FHIT gene could play an important role in breast carcinogenesis, and its alterations are not restricted with certain features of the disease. This means that the allelic loss in FHIT gene structure can occur in breast cancer patients regardless of patient’s age, tumour grade, tumour type, and lymph node involvement.

### 3.3. Classification of FHIT Gene Exons Homozygous Deletions in Breast Cancer Samples

Having identified the incidence of HD at each exon in individual patients, we then investigated the pattern of detected deletions. To achieve that, we performed statistical analysis of correlation between all exons and the incidence of HD using Pearson and spearman correlation tests. The spearman correlation coefficient and \(p\) values among investigated exons are shown in Figure 2.

Interestingly, a strong positive correlation was detected between the HD incidence in the non-coding exons 3 and 4 (\(r = 0.7991, P < 0.0001\)), while there was no correlation between HD incidence in any of those exons and exons 5, 7, 8, and 9. This can be considered as the first deletion cluster.

The second deletion cluster involved exons 5, 6, and 7 and a strong positive correlation was detected between exons 5 and 7 (\(r = 0.9564, P < 0.0001\)) but not 6. Exon 5 deletions were also positively correlated with deletions in exon 8 and exon 9 (\(P = 0.02, P = 0.04\)). The third exon deletion cluster includes exons 8 and 9 which showed a significant positive correlation in HD incidence (\(r = 0.9579, P < 0.0001\)).

From those data we can classify the observed deletions of FHIT exon into three different classes: class I includes exons 3 and 4, class 2 includes exons 5 and 7, and class III includes the distal exons 8 and 9. In some samples we can see deletions of exons from 5 to 9. Taken all those observations together we conclude that FHIT gene exons are targeted by three different mechanisms that cause homozygous deletions in the exon clusters.

### 4. Discussion

Consistent with its proposed function as a tumour suppressor, homozygous genomic deletions within the FHIT gene have been observed in a large number of human cancers and cancer cell lines. FHIT is one of the several tumour-suppressor genes on chromosome 3, when working normally, keeping any potentially cancerous cells from growing out of control. However, when mutations or alterations eliminate its function have been heavily accumulated. FHIT knockout

| Exon no. | Exon 4     | Exon 5     | Exon 6     | Exon 7     | Exon 8     | Exon 9     | Correlation parameters |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------------|
| Exon 3   | 0.7991     | 0.2147     | 0.8827     | 0.1627     | 0.1312     | 0.1831     | \(r\)                  |
|          | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(0.2308\) | \(0.3351\) | \(0.1768\) | \(P\) value            |
| Exon 4   | 0.1250     | 0.8485     | 0.06376    | 0.0375     | 0.09825    |             | \(r\)                  |
|          | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(0.6406\) | \(0.7838\) | \(0.4713\) |             | \(P\) value            |
| Exon 5   | 0.1886     | 0.9564     | 0.3000     | 0.2702     |             |             | \(r\)                  |
|          | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(<0.0247^*\) | \(<0.0440^*\) |             | \(P\) value            |
| Exon 6   | 0.1383     | 0.1061     | 0.1563     |             |             |             | \(r\)                  |
|          | \(0.3095\) | \(0.4366\) | \(0.2500\) |             | \(P\) value            |
| Exon 7   | 0.3315     | 0.3022     |             |             | \(0.0126^*\) | \(0.0236^*\) | \(P\) value            |
|          |             |             |             | \(r\)                  |
| Exon 8   | 0.9579     |             |             |             | \(<0.0001^{***}\) | \(P\) value            |

**Figure 2**: Correlation analysis between FHIT exons homozygous deletions. Correlation analysis was done using Pearson and Spearman correlation tests; "\(r\)" is correlation coefficient. Highlighted values represent significant positive correlation between the two variables. *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.001\).
mice developed spontaneous tumours and are more susceptible to cancer than wild-type mice. Additionally, Fhit suppresses tumourigenicity in cancer cells which implies conclusively that FHIT is a bona fide tumour suppressor gene [30]. The presence of the most common fragile site FRA3B within FHIT suggests that the fragility of this gene makes it susceptible to rearrangements induced by a variety of environmental carcinogens and cancer susceptibility reviewed in [31].

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the frequency of exon deletions that affect the FHIT gene in a series of primary breast cancer tumours in Egyptian population. We then investigated the correlation between all exons deletions. Furthermore, we sought after the association of these alterations with patients’ clinicopathological data.

Here, we found that 65% of the breast cancer patients investigated showed homozygous deletions (HDs) in at least one FHIT exon (40 out of 62). Deletions percentages in the coding exons 5–9 were as follows: exon 5: 29% (18/62), exon 6: 34% (21/62), exon 7: 29% (18/62), exon 8: 29% (18/62), exon 9: 30.6% (19/62). Deletions in the noncoding exons were observed as follows; exon 3: 32.3% (20/62), exon 4: 27.4% (17/62). This means that coding exons were deleted in 63% of the investigated samples while noncoding exons were deleted in about 32% of the cases. The incidence of HD in our samples was relatively higher than what is reported in previous studies. This can be attributed to higher frequency of rearrangements and breaks in the FHIT locus in this population.

We have noticed that 58% of HD detected in FHIT gene exons are discontinuous deletions. Simply, deletions in these samples exhibited a specific pattern, for example, in some cases exon 4, 6, 8, and 9 were deleted, while exons 5 and 7 were intact. Discontinuous homozygous deletions in FHIT gene were reported in previous studies. Various authors observed this discontinuity of HD in some breast cell lines [1, 32, 33] which is consistent with our results in primary breast cancer. Ohta et al. (1996) and Druck et al. (1997) observed that homozygous deletions can occur in three or four discontinuous segments in FRA3B, and frequently involve loss of both copies of specific FHIT exons [16, 34]. Overall, this discontinuity can be explained by the possibility that the mechanism of breakage of the FRA3B, frequently allows multiple gaps to form on the same chromosome 3p, which when repaired leave multiple deletions simultaneously. Most of the deletions described here are predicted to lead to the truncation of Fhit protein [35]. It is not necessary that deletions have to occur in all the FHIT exons, but deletions in any of these exons will encode a truncated protein which might be functionless [20].

In the study of Campiglio et al. 1999, alterations in FHIT transcripts were detected in 31% of the patients but the reduction or absence of FHIT protein occurred in 69% of the breast carcinoma samples [2].

Analysis of FHIT gene in a series of human primary breast cancer revealed an allelic loss in 25% [1] and abnormal transcripts in approximately 30% of the cases [36]. FHIT homozygous deletions in samples with 3p14.2 aberrations were also found in the benign breast lesions of two women with familial tendency to breast cancer [37]. Another study of normal breast epithelium, breast preneoplastic lesions, and invasive tumors reported the loss of heterozygosity of the FHIT locus in two patients with intraductal hyperplasia [38]. We have studied a series of benign breast lesions and observed a high frequency rate of homozygous deletions in the noncoding exons (data not shown).

Campiglio et al. [2] analyzed 29 cases of primary breast tumours for normal and abnormal FHIT transcripts and for the level of expression of FHIT protein in the normal breast epithelia and breast epithelia tumour of the same patient. Downmodulation or absence of FHIT protein was also evaluated in a series of 156 consecutive patients with primary breast carcinomas. In both groups, FHIT protein levels were reduced or absent in almost 70% of the breast cancer samples, whereas aberrant FHIT transcripts were detected in only 31% of the samples. Moreover, down-regulation of FHIT protein expression is associated with highly proliferative and large tumours. Yang et al. suggested that FHIT gene therapy may potentially be a clinically useful tool for the treatment of breast cancer [39].

Carefully observing the deletion patterns of FHIT exons in our samples, we identified three different deletion clusters based on correlation analysis in this population. First cluster includes the noncoding exons 3 and 4. We have also observed that the cluster containing exons 3, 4 was deleted in 29% of the breast cancer patients. A positive correlation was detected between those two exons \( r = 0.7991, P < 0.0001 \) this indicates that this cluster is highly subjected to deletions in this population. It was reported that this exon cluster is present in close proximity to the familial-kidney-tumour-associated \( t (3;8) \) translocation break, the cluster of fragile sites identified by aphidicolin-induced chromosome breaks in human-hamster hybrid cells. This also includes the HPV16 integration site identified in cervical carcinoma and the fragile sites in intron 5 in aphidicolin-treated hybrid cells [16]. The deletions we observe could be due to breaks or viral integration in that region. Investigation of HPV16 infection incidence in this primary tumour series could explain why this region is subjected to deletions. The second deletion cluster includes exons 5 and 7 which are deleted in 27% of all samples. We observed a strong positive correlation was observed between deletions in exon 5 and exon 7 \( r = 0.9564, P < 0.0001 \) but not exon 6. Deletions in exon 5 are expected to affect the gene transcription since it has the initial methionine codon of the FHIT open reading frame (ORF), resulting in the loss of the intact ORF [16]. Deletions in this region could be attributed to the rearrangements and breaks events that happen due to the presence of the fragile site FRA3B. The third deletion cluster includes the distal coding exon 8 and 9 which showed HD in 29% of the total breast cancer samples investigated. Interestingly, all samples that showed deletions in exon 8 were also positive for exon 9 deletions \( r = 0.9579, P < 0.0001 \). The mechanism of deletion involving both exons together indicates their common importance especially exon 8 which flanks the histidine triad motif. Since exon 8 contains the histidine triad domain, it is suggested that this exon deletions could result in a nonfunctional protein. As a target of deletion, exon 8 could
contain an essential function that is lost in carcinogenesis process. The presence of these three distinguishable deletion patterns may reflect the diverse mechanisms that could target the FHIT gene exons in tumourigenesis.

We also have investigated the association between patients’ clinicopathological parameters and the HD incidence in FHIT gene exons. No significant association was between HD in breast cancer patients and their clinicopathological features as age, lymph node involvement, tumour type, and tumour grade. This indicates that the deletions if FHIT exons are not restricted to subcategories of those parameters.

Overall, we show here that FHIT genomic structure is subjected to extensive allelic alterations represented by exon homozygous deletion in a series of Egyptian primary breast cancer. The presence of homozygous deletion of FHIT exons in breast cancer samples implicates that alteration in FHIT gene is an important event in breast pathogenesis in this population. There was no association between observed deletions and the patients clinicopathological parameters. Additionally, we have identified three different patterns of homozygous deletion which could reflect different mechanisms that target exon deletion in FHIT structure. FHIT gene is a crucial tumour suppressor gene whose inactivation may derive clonal expansion of preneoplastic and neoplastic cells in the breast. The present findings highlight the FHIT gene as an interesting target for extensive analysis in Egyptian breast cancer patients.
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