Prevalence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C among diabetes mellitus type 2 individuals
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Abstract

Diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2) patients have higher risk to be infected with parenterally transmitted viruses, like hepatitis B or C virus. This study aims to determine HBV and HCV infection prevalence in DM2 patients from Northeast and Southeast Brazil. A total of 537 DM2 patients were included, 194 (36.12%) males and 343 (63.87%) females, with mean age of 57.13 ± 11.49 years. HBV and HCV markers were determined using serological and molecular analysis, and risk factors were evaluated in a subgroup from Southeast (n = 84). Two HBV acute (HBsAg+/anti-HBc -) and one HBV chronic case (HBsAg+/anti-HBc+) were found. Six individuals (1.1%) were isolated anti-HBc, 37 (6.9%) had HBV infection resolved (anti-HBc+/anti-HBs +), 40 (7.4%) were considered HBV vaccinated (anti-HBc-/anti-HBs +). Thirteen patients (2.42%) had anti-HCV and 7 of them were HCV RNA+. In the subgroup, anti-HBc positivity was associated to age and anti-HCV positivity was associated to age, time of diabetes diagnosis, total bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase at bivariate analysis, but none of them was statistically significant at multivariate analysis. As conclusion, low prevalence of HBV and high prevalence HCV was found in DM2 patients.

Introduction

Hepatitis B and C virus infection are major global health problems. All over the world, it has been estimated that 257 million persons are chronically infected with HBV and 71 million of individuals are HCV chronic carriers [1,2]. In Brazil, overall prevalence varies from 0 to 16.8% for Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and 1.38% up to 47% for antibodies against HCV (anti-HCV) according geographic region or specific groups[3–8].

Diabetes mellitus type II (DM2) is a major public health problem in Brazil and is one of the fastest growing diseases around the world. The International Diabetes Federation estimates there are now 425 million adults aged 20–79 with diabetes worldwide, including 212.4 million
who are undiagnosed. In 2045, the estimate is that there are about 629 million of people with DM. Currently, Brazil occupies the first place among the countries of South America, with 13 million individuals with diabetes and occupies the fourth position among the 10 countries with the highest number of adults with DM2 / territory [9].

According to Brazilian National Household Sample Survey (PNAD), the prevalence of diabetes in older individuals has been increased from 10% to 16% in the period of 1998 to 2008 in Brazil [10].

Liver is the principal site of hormone and glucose metabolism and about 30% of patients with cirrhosis have diabetes mellitus type 2 [11]. DM2 patients have higher risk to be infected with parenterally transmitted viruses, like hepatitis B or C virus since they undergo frequent hospitalization and are submitted to blood tests, like blood glucose monitoring [12].

Hepatitis B infection outbreaks were reported in people/patients with diabetes due to misuse of fingerstick devices for monitoring the capillary blood glucose level [13]. HCV infection also could be transmitted due to frequent exposure to invasive procedures [14].

HCV prevalence in DM2 patients vary from 1.6 to 20.8% according geographical regions and presence of comorbidities like hemodialysis [12,14,15–17]. Naing et al.[18] observed high prevalence of diabetes mellitus type 2 in hepatitis C-infected patients compared to uninfected controls.

A study in China demonstrated higher prevalence of HBV infection (13.5%) in diabetes mellitus type 2 compared to controls (10.0%) [19]. Indeed, a recent metanalysis of studies from North America and Asia demonstrated that 8.2% of HBV-infected patients also suffered from DM2 [20].

In Brazil, anti-HCV prevalence varied from 2 to 7% in DM patients from Central West and South region of Brazil [21–23] while DM2 was observed in 10.3% of renal transplant patients infected with HBV in Brazil [24]. At this moment, little is known about the prevalence of these viruses in other regions of Brazil, the risk factors related to HBV and HCV positivity, HBV and HCV viremia and genotypes in these individuals. The objectives of this study were to estimate the seroprevalence rates of hepatitis B and C in DM2 patients from Northeast and Southeast regions of Brazil, to explore the risk factors for hepatitis in a sub group of DM2 patients from Southeast region (Rio de Janeiro State) and to determine HBV and HCV viremia.

Material and methods

Population studied

This was a cross-sectional study on DM2 patients recruited during 2007–2013 at two geographical regions in Brazil (Southeast and Northeast Region). Patients were recruited at endocrinology outpatient units in Federal University of State of Rio de Janeiro (UNIRIO-Rio de Janeiro) (n = 84) (Group 1) and from Brazilian Metabolic Syndrome Study (BRAMS), a multicenter survey, which included individuals from three different States in Brazil: Ceará (Northeast region), São Paulo and Minas Gerais (Southeast region) (n = 453) (Group 2). The subjects who were invited to participate were selected from outpatient clinics for the metabolic syndrome and obesity or through local and internet advertisements.

In this study, samples were selected using an intentional non-probabilistic sampling. A total of 537 DM2 patients were enrolled, 194 (36.12%) males and 343 (63.87%) females, with a median age of 57 years, ranged 29–89 years old. Data such as gender and age were collected from the clinical records of each patient from individuals from Ceará, Minas Gerais and São Paulo State. From Rio de Janeiro State, it was administered a questionnaire to collect information concerning gender, age, education level and risk factors for viral hepatitis such as sexual
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behavior and practices, occupational exposure, parenteral exposure to blood on previous transfusion, surgery, intravenous drug use and needle sharing.

The criteria for enrollment for both groups were diagnosis of DM if there was documented use of oral hypoglycemic medication or insulin or according to the American Diabetes Association criteria with random glucose more than 200 mg/dL, or fasting glucose greater than 126 mg/dL on two occasions. The exclusion criteria for both groups were the evidence of hepatocellular carcinoma and absence to consent.

The study protocol was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Campinas University and Federal University of Rio de Janeiro State under the numbers of CAAE: 24930813.0.0000.5248; 24930813.0.3002.5404; 24930813.0.3001.5258.

All participants were given a verbal explanation of the objectives and methodology of the research and were included in the study after obtaining signed informed consent. All individuals who tested positive were sent to public health units to had access to treatment.

**Laboratory tests**

Blood sample was obtained by venipuncture before the attendance at outpatient clinics. Serum samples from all individuals of the study were tested for HBsAg, anti-HBc, anti-HBs, anti-HCV using commercial electrochemiluminescence assay (ECLIA) (Roche, USA) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Samples found to be negative on the preliminary screening were considered seronegative. Samples that initially tested borderline or positive were retested using ECLIA to confirm the results.

Serum aminotransferases (alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]), gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), phosphatase alkaline, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), triglycerides and plasma glucose were measured with an automatic analyzer (Labmax 240 Premium, Labtest, Minas Gerais, Brazil). ALT, AST, GGT and glucose were determined in all individuals while these biochemical data, complete blood count, phosphatase alkaline, cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, Triglycerides, Bilirrubin total, direct and indirect were determined only from individuals from Rio de Janeiro State.

Serum HCV RNA and HBV DNA was detected in anti-HCV and HBsAg positive samples using a standardized automated quantitative assay (COBAS TaqMan HCV Test and HBV Test, Roche, Branchburg, NJ, USA) and expressed as IU/mL. The limit of detection is 3.5 IU/mL and 18IU/mL for serum by PROBIT analysis for COBAS TaqMan HBV Test and HCV Test, respectively.

**Data collection and analysis**

Data are expressed as frequencies and mean with standard deviation. Bivariate analysis was performed using the chi-square (x²) test for independence with Yate’s continuity correction, x² for trend and Fisher’s exact test when appropriate to compare proportions. Student’s t-test was used to analyse normally distributed quantitative variables and non-parametric (Mann-Whitney U test) statistics was used to analyse quantitative variables, which did not pass normality test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Variables selected for their statistical significance (P < 0.05) in the bivariate analysis were entered the multiple logistic regression model in a stepwise fashion. Estimated odds ratio (OR) were also calculated. All calculations, including multiple logistic regression analysis (MLR), were undertaken by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, release 20.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Results

HBV and HCV markers in population studied

Among the 537 DM2 patients, 3 patients (0.55%) had HBsAg but no one had HBV DNA, 44 (8.19%) had previous contact to HBV (anti-HBc reactive), 77 (14.33%) were immune to HBV (anti-HBs reactive). In the group of HBV immune individuals, 40 (7.44%) were vaccinated (only anti-HBs reactive). Thirteen individuals (2.42%) had anti-HCV and seven of them were HCV RNA positive. One individual was HBsAg and anti-HCV reactive. A total of 452 individuals (84.17%) were seronegative for all HBV markers, but 8 of them were anti-HCV reactive. Age, gender and laboratory data from total population and groups 1 and 2 are presented in Table 1.

In group 1, high mean±SD age (64.90±10.24 years) and females (73.8%) were predominant. Previous HBV infection and HBV immunity were more frequent in this group as determined by anti-HBc (19.04%) and anti-HBs (29.76%) positivity, respectively. Anti-HCV prevalence was high in group 1 (7.14%), although only 2 were HCV RNA reactive.

Among group 2 (individuals from Minas Gerais, Ceará and São Paulo state), 172 (37.96%) males and 281 (62.03%) females and mean±SD age of 55.68±11.12 years. In this group, 3 had HBsAg, 28 were anti-HBc reactive, 52 were anti-HBs reactive, 7 were anti-HCV reactive and 5 out 7 were HCV RNA reactive. High mean values of AST, ALT, GGT and blood glucose was observed in this group compared to group 1.

Demographic and risk factor characteristics in group 1

The socio-demographic characteristics and risk factors of the 84 individuals with diabetes from Rio de Janeiro are shown in Table 2. Most of participants in this study were females.

### Table 1. Demographic, laboratory, HBV and HCV markers in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients recruited in groups 1 and 2.

| Variable                          | Total Population (n = 537) | Group 2 (n = 453) | Group 1 (n = 84) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Age, years (mean±SD)              | 57.13±11.49                 | 55.68±11.12       | 64.9±10.24       |
| Gender, Male/Female (n)           | 194/343                     | 172/281           | 22/62            |
| Platelet Count x 10^3/mm, (mean±SD)| 206.60±47.99                | ND                | 206.60±47.99     |
| ALT, IU/L, (mean±SD)              | 25.18±26.26                 | 26.76±27.37       | 14.56±12.72      |
| AST, IU/L, (mean±SD)              | 22.57±13.20                 | 23.24±12.93       | 17.92±14.23      |
| Phosphatase alkaline, IU/L (mean±SD) | 64.90±27.33               | ND                | 64.90±27.33      |
| GGT, IU/L, (mean±SD)              | 42.87±40.00                 | 44.53±41.39       | 31.14±25.63      |
| Cholesterol, mg/dL, (mean±SD)     | 187.77±49.15                | ND                | 187.77±49.15     |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL, (mean±SD) | 42.49±11.12                 | ND                | 42.49±11.12      |
| Triglycerides, mg/dL, (mean±SD)   | 133.16±56.14                | ND                | 133.16±56.14     |
| Total Bilirubin, ng/mL, (mean±SD) | 0.09±0.08                   | ND                | 0.09±0.08        |
| Indirect Bilirubin, ng/mL, (mean±SD) | 0.01±0.10                 | ND                | 0.01±0.10        |
| Direct Bilirubin, ng/mL, (mean±SD) | 0.11±0.09                   | ND                | 0.11±0.09        |
| Blood glucose, ng/mL, (mean±SD)   | 153.72±66.28                | 158.68±66.85      | 126.62±56.13     |
| Haemoglobin, (mean±SD)            | 12.72±1.81                  | ND                | 12.72±1.81       |
| HBsAg reactive, n (%)             | 3 (0.55)                    | 3 (0.66)          | 0 (0.00)         |
| Anti-HBc reactive, n (%)          | 44 (8.19)                   | 28 (6.18)         | 16 (19.04)       |
| Anti-HBs reactive, n (%)          | 77 (14.33)                  | 52 (11.47)        | 25 (29.76)       |
| Anti-HBs titer, IU/mL, (mean±SD)  | 183.30±272.36               | 69.07±35.72       | 407.51±379.53    |
| Anti-HCV, n (%)                   | 13 (2.42)                   | 7 (1.54)          | 6 (7.14)         |

ND: not done

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211193.t001
Thirteen individuals reported a previous history of hepatitis, and six reported HBV vaccination. Regarding risk factors, only two were under hemodialysis and one had tattoo. Fourteen individuals had received a blood transfusion, 8 of which were received before 1994. Most of these individuals visited manicurists (69.04%), but 16 of them (27.3%) used their own nail.

Table 2. Demographic and risk factors related to anti-HBV antibodies (total anti-HBc and anti-HBs) and anti-HCV positivity among person with diabetes in group 1 (n = 84).

| Items                                | Total n = 84 (%) | Anti-HBc positive n = 16 (%) | Anti-HBs positive n = 25 (%) | Anti-HCV positive n = 06 (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age, mean±SD                         | 64.99±10.24     | 67                           | 64                           | 56                          |
| Gender, Female/Male                  | 62/22           | 10/6                         | 19/6                         | 5/1                         |
| Marital Status, married              | 44 (52.38)      | 10 (62.5)                    | 13 (52.00)                   | 2 (33.33)                   |
| Scholarity                           | 4 (4.76)        | 0 (0.0)                      | 0 (0.00)                     | 0 (0.00)                    |
| Pre-school                           | 31 (36.90)      | 13 (81.25)                   | 12 (48.00)                   | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Primary School                       | 28 (33.33)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 8 (32.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Secondary School                     | 15 (17.85)      | 0 (0.0)                      | 1 (4.00)                     | 1 (16.66)                   |
| College                              | 6 (7.14)        | 0 (0.0)                      | 3 (12.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Family Income                        | 2 (2.38)        | 1 (6.25)                     | 1 (4.00)                     | 0 (0.00)                    |
| US$ 294.78–884.38                    | 35 (41.66)      | 8 (50.0)                     | 12 (48.00)                   | 2 (33.33)                   |
| More than US$ 884.38                 | 9 (9.52)        | 1 (6.25)                     | 3 (12.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Dark urine and hepatitis confirmed   | 13 (15.47)      | 4 (25.00)                    | 6 (24.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| HBV Vaccine                          | 06 (7.14)       | 0 (0.00)                     | 3 (12.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Blood transfusion                    | 14 (16.66)      | 5 (31.25)                    | 7 (28.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Haemodialysis                        | 02 (2.38)       | 0 (0.00)                     | 1 (4.00)                     | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Acupuncture                          | 18 (21.42)      | 2 (12.5)                     | 6 (24.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Sharing razors/blade                 | 07 (8.33)       | 1 (6.25)                     | 3 (12.00)                    | 0 (0.00)                    |
| Sharing Toothbrushes                 | 15 (17.85)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 6 (24.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Venous access                        | 69 (82.14)      | 14 (87.5)                    | 20 (80.00)                   | 5 (83.33)                   |
| Manicure                             | 58 (69.04)      | 10 (62.5)                    | 18 (72.00)                   | 4 (66.66)                   |
| Number of sexual partners            | 43 (51.19)      | 5 (31.25)                    | 13 (52.00)                   | 5 (83.33)                   |
| Regular                              | 28 (33.33)      | 7 (43.75)                    | 7 (28.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| No sexual partner                    | 13 (15.47)      | 4 (25.00)                    | 5 (20.00)                    | 0 (0.00)                    |
| Condom usage                         | 11 (13.09)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 3 (12.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Rarely                               | 50 (59.52)      | 7 (43.75)                    | 12 (48.00)                   | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Never                                | 6 (7.14)        | 1 (6.25)                     | 3 (12.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Oral sexual intercourse              | 33 (39.28)      | 6 (37.5)                     | 7 (28.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Anal sexual intercourse              | 13 (15.47)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 4 (16.00)                    | 3 (50.00)                   |
| Contact with person with hepatitis   | 21 (25.00)      | 0 (0.00)                     | 0 (0.00)                     | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Infection Sexually transmitted (IST) | 14 (16.66)      | 6 (37.5)                     | 5 (31.25)                    | 0 (0.00)                    |
| Drinking alcohol                     | 16 (19.04)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 7 (28.00)                    | 1 (16.66)                   |
| Time of Diabetes diagnosis           |                 |                              |                              |                             |
| <1 year                              | 03 (3.57)       | 0 (0.00)                     | 1 (4.00)                     | 2 (33.33)                   |
| 1–5 years                            | 21 (25.00)      | 3 (18.75)                    | 7 (28.00)                    | 0 (0.00)                    |
| 5–10 years                           | 15 (17.85)      | 4 (25.00)                    | 6 (24.00)                    | 0 (0.00)                    |
| >10 years                            | 41 (48.80)      | 9 (56.25)                    | 10 (40.00)                   | 3 (50.00)                   |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211193.t002

(73.8%), married (52.38%), had preschool (36.90%), received from 294.78–884.38 US dollars, had mean age of 64.99±10.24 years and more than 10 years of diabetes diagnosis (48.80%).

Thirteen individuals reported a previous history of hepatitis, and six reported HBV vaccination. Regarding risk factors, only two were under hemodialysis and one had tattoo. Fourteen individuals had received a blood transfusion, 8 of which were received before 1994. Most of these individuals visited manicurists (69.04%), but 16 of them (27.3%) used their own nail.
pler. Most of individuals had history of venous access (82.14%) and no one reported drug use. Regarding sexual orientation, 76 (90.47%) of these individuals were heterosexual, 1 (1.19%) was bisexual and 07 (8.33%) did not informed. Forty-three (51.19%) had a regular partner, 61 (63.0%) individuals never or rarely used condoms during sexual intercourse, 33 (39.28%) reported engaging in oral sex and 13 (15.47%) in anal sex, 14 (16.66%) had a history of IST, and four (4.76%) had a sexual partner infected with HIV or viral hepatitis.

Demographic and laboratorial data according HCV infection in group 2

Anti-HCV positivity was evaluated regarding gender, age, and biochemical characteristics (Table 3). In this group, high mean values of GGT, AST, ALT were found among HCV reactive individuals. In addition, anti-HCV cases were from São Paulo and Ceará States (Southeast and Northeast region). Anti-HCV was associated to ALT values in bivariate analysis (p = 0.017).

HBV and HCV prevalence and risk factors in group 1

Anti-HBc and anti-HBs prevalences were 19.04% and 29.76%, respectively. Thirteen individuals (15.47%) were HBV vaccinated, 12 (14.3%) individuals were resolved HBV infection and four were anti-HBc isolated (4.8%). Using anti-HBc as dependent variable in bivariate analysis, only age was statistically significant.

In group 1, anti-HCV prevalence was 7.14%. In bivariate analysis, anti-HCV positivity was associated with age, time of diabetes diagnosis, total bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, but none of them was found to be statistically significant in the multivariate analyses (Table 4).

Discussion

This study showed prevalence rates of HBsAg and anti-HCV in DM2 patients of 0.55% and 2.42%, respectively. HBsAg prevalence was low compared to studies among DM patients in

Table 3. Bivariate analysis of factors associated to anti-HCV positivity in group 2.

| Variable                        | anti-HCV | Bivariate analysis P Value |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
|                                | Negative (n = 446) | Positive (n = 07) |
| Age, mean±SD years             | 55.68 ±11.18 | 55.57 ±7.57 | 0.928 |
| Gender                         |           |                            |
| Female                         | 279       | 02                         |
| Male                           | 167       | 05                         |
| Glucose (mg/dL), (mean±SD)     | 158.89 ±67.05 | 146.14±56.11 | 0.612 |
| Insulin (μU/mL), (mean±SD)     | 15.48 ±19.85 | 10.19 ±7.70 | 0.181 |
| GGT (IU/L), (mean±SD)          | 44.05 ±41.04 | 75.57 ±54.98 | 0.057 |
| AST (IU/L), (mean±SD)          | 23.15±12.86 | 29.14 ±17.31 | 0.167 |
| ALT (IU/L), (mean±SD)          | 26.57 ±7.49 | 39.14 ±15.24 | **0.017** |
| PCR, (mean±SD)                 | 0.55 ±0.79 | 0.14 ±0.12 | 0.141 |
| State of Brazil                |           |                            |
| Ceará                          | 240       | 03                         |
| Minas Gerais                   | 120       | 00                         |
| São Paulo                      | 86        | 04                         |

NA: Not available.
Values in bold indicate significant values (p < 0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211193.t003
China (13.5%) [19], Gana (5.5%) [25] and Ethiopia (3.7%) [26], but similar prevalence was reported in general population in Brazil [3,6] suggesting that DM2 patients is not at higher risk to infection by HBV than the general population in this region. In addition, no individual had HBV DNA detected in HBsAg positive samples what could be the result of HBV treatment at the time of collection or transportation of samples from distinct regions from Brazil to Southeast region where molecular assays were conducted.

A total of 14.33% of DM2 individuals were immune to HBV (anti-HBs reactive) as it was found in DM2 patients from Southeast region of Brazil (13.7%) [27]. The importance of HBV vaccination in diabetes patients is not much disseminated, although public health policies recommend vaccination through educational campaigns to patients and public health professionals. In 2016, HBV vaccination was extended to all age groups in public health units what could increase the burden of vaccinated individuals in near future.

In group 2, prevalence of anti-HBc and anti-HBs were higher compared to group 1. However, anti-HBs prevalence was still lower than found in Chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients at Northeast region [7] and HIV/HCV infected individuals from Southeast region [28] demonstrating that prevention programs for HBV vaccination should be increase in DM2 patients. Anti-HBc presence was high among older groups showing statistical significance as found in CKD patients, beauticians and general population in Brazil [3,6,7]. The finding of age as a significant variable is probably observed due to the implementation of compulsory vaccination in younger groups in Brazil.

Considering total population, high anti-HCV prevalence (2.42%) was found as the same as demonstrated in DM2 patients from Central West region of Brazil (2 to 2.6%) [21,22], but lower than found in DM2 patients from South region of Brazil (12.9%) [23]. High anti-HCV prevalence was found in group 1 (7.14%) compared to group 2 (1.54%) what could be the reflect of different settings of recruitment or the high frequency of venous access reported by group1 what could be the result of insulin administration in emergency situations, since more than 56% of individuals that reported venous access had more than 10 years of diagnosis of diabetes. We did not know if this venous access was made under universal precaution what could explain the high HCV prevalence in this group. Studies conducted in DM2 patients from Taiwan (6.8%) [29] and Egypt (18%) [17] also observed high anti-HCV prevalence probably due to parenteral exposures from reused needles for vaccinations in these countries.

Anti-HCV reactivity was associated to ALT values in group 2. Korkmaz et al.[30] showed elevated prevalence of HBV and HCV in DM2 patients with increased compared to normal aminotransferase levels (23.1% versus 2.3% for HBV and 19.2% versus 2.0%). In the same

### Table 4. Bivariate and multivariate analysis of demographic and laboratorial data associated with anti-HCV prevalence in group 1.

| Variable                          | Total Anti-HCV | Bivariate analysis | Multivariate analysis | P value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Reactive (n = 06)                 | Non reactive  (n = 78) |                   |                       |         |
| **Age, years, mean±SD**           |                | 0.028              | 0.345                 | 0.998   |
| <1 year                           | 2              | 1                  | 0.007                 | 2.485   |
| 1–5 years                         | 0              | 21                 | 0.000                 | 0.000   |
| 5–10 years                        | 0              | 15                 | >10 years             | 0.000   |
| Total Bilirubin, ng/mL, mean±SD   | 0.24±0.10      | 0.09±0.08          | 0.003                 | 0.000   |
| Indirect Bilirubin, ng/mL, mean±SD| 0.13±0.03      | 0.02±0.10          | 0.010                 | 1.340   |
| Alkaline Phosphatase, IU/L, mean±SD| 124±00         | 63±25.56           | 0.001                 | 1.947   |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211193.t004
group, anti-HCV was not associated to local of residence, although there were not HCV infected individuals from Minas Gerais State (Southeast region).

Anti-HBc and anti-HCV positivity were not associated to risk factors, like history of blood transfusion, sharing razors, hemodialysis and sexual habits in contrast to other studies [15,16,30,31]. On the other hand, anti-HCV seropositivity was associated to high mean age and high mean values of alkaline phosphatase, indirect bilirubin and total bilirubin. Ocak et al. [16] also demonstrated that age was related to HCV seropositivity among DM2 patients on haemodialysis. In this group, individuals were not previously HCV diagnosed cases, but they could have some liver dysfunction, like cirrhosis, since bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase elevations were found in this group and associated to anti-HCV positivity. Unfortunately, no information regarding cirrhosis was obtained in this group.

Diabetes duration over 5 years increased the risk of HCV infection in the present study as the same as demonstrated among DM2 patients in Saudi Arabia [12]. A long duration of diabetes may lead to the performance of more medical interventions, like exposure to venous access during emergency situations, and may increase the risk of HCV infection.

In this study, most of DM2 patients also presented HCV viremia what could increase the risk of hepatic steatosis, fibrosis and hepatocarcinoma. In addition, these disorders associated with metabolic syndrome, independently increase the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease and mortality [32].

This study presents some limitations, such as, the small number of individuals in group 1 for multivariate analysis, absence of information regarding hospitalization and comorbidities and absence of risk factors information and previous HBV treatment in group 2. The design of the study (cross sectional study) cannot demonstrate cause and effect, but it can be used to prove and/or disprove assumptions; captures a specific point in time; contains multiple variables at the time of the data snapshot and the findings and outcomes can be analyzed to create new theories/studies or in-depth research.

In conclusion, low prevalence of HBV and high prevalence of HCV were observed in DM2 patients.
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