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Abstract

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers new and thriving opportunities, but introduces also new risks and ethical issues that should be dealt with. We argue that the introduction of AI contents at schools through practical, hands-on, projects is the way to go to educate conscientious and critical citizens of the future, to awaken vocations among youth people, as well as to foster students’ computational thinking skills. However, most existing programming platforms for education lack some of the required educational features to develop a complete understanding of AI. In this paper we present LearningML, a new platform aimed at learning supervised Machine Learning (ML), one of the most successful AI techniques that is in the basis of almost every current AI application. This work describes the main functionalities of the tool and discusses some decisions taken during its design. For its conception, we have taken into account lessons learned from the research literature on introducing AI in school and from the analysis of other educational tools built with the aim to allow learners to use ML. We offer as well some promising results obtained after a preliminary testing pilot workshop. Finally, the next steps in the development of LearningML are presented, focused on the face and instructional validation of the tool.
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Resumen

El uso de Inteligencia Artificial (IA) ofrece nuevas y prósperas oportunidades, pero también introduce nuevos riesgos y cuestiones éticas que deben abordarse. Sostenemos que la introducción de contenidos de inteligencia artificial en las escuelas a través de proyectos prácticos es el camino a seguir para educar ciudadanos conscientes y críticos, para despertar vocaciones entre los jóvenes, y para fomentar las habilidades de pensamiento computacional de los estudiantes. Sin embargo, la mayoría de las plataformas educativas de programación existentes carecen de algunas características necesarias para desarrollar proyectos completos de IA y, en
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Among all the computer science fields yielded by the AI development, Machine Learning (ML) has emerged as one of the most successful ones, used by all kinds of applications intended to perform classification, prediction and recognition tasks by means of computers. Due to its success, ML and AI are frequently, but wrongly, understood as the same thing. A great part of AI’s recent success has been possible mainly to the power of ML. Examples of this are AlphaGo\(^1\) --a program able to learn to play go and even win the world champion--, autonomous driving, facial and speech recognition, or language translation.

There are lots of problems which can be precisely solved top-down by applying known algorithms. Obtaining the greatest common divisor (GCD) of two numbers is a clear example of this type of problems. A well-defined set of computations, known as *Euclidean algorithms*, will always return the right solution: divide the greatest number by the less one, if the remainder is zero then the smaller number is the GCD, else divide the smaller number by the division remainder and repeat this step until a zero remainder is found, being the last dividend the solution.

This kind of problems, having more or less complexity, are the most suitable to be solved by computers. In fact, they are the type of problems we use in school when teaching to code. However, there are other types of problems that cannot be solved precisely by a finite set of computations; for example, to recognize cats and dogs among a set of images of cats and dogs. It is remarkable that this problem is easily solved by a human brain, however, it has been for decades a very difficult problem to be addressed by computers. For this reason, it is considered as an AI problem.

When we do not have an algorithm able to be applied to our problem, but we have lots of data related with its solution, we can deal with the problem from another perspective: we can use these data to infer possible solutions. This is known as a bottom-up strategy. And this is the essence of ML techniques: to gather a large amount of data from which some useful pattern can be extracted to build a model capable of yielding probable solutions to the problem; for instance, to determine if the image presented as input in our last example represents a cat or a dog, and what the probability is of being correct.

So, ML is the process of programming computers to optimize a performance criterion using example data or past experience. ML is used to create useful approximations for processes to solve tasks that we do not have algorithms for, but that we do have relevant data to learn patterns from (Tang, 2019). The term *learning* is a metaphor which reflects the fact that the rules to solve the problem are found through an intensive analysis of the available data.

The numerous algorithms composing the ML family belong to one of the following types: *supervised learning*, *unsupervised learning* and *reinforcement learning*. In *supervised learning*, data from which an inference model is to be built must be classified manually (by a human being). Afterwards these data are used by the ML algorithm to build a model that serves as well when other data is used.

\(^1\)https://deepmind.com/research/case-studies/alphago-the-story-so-far
Unsupervised learning encompasses those algorithms intended to extract some patterns from a set of unlabeled data. Therefore, a classification “by hand” is not required. Sometimes this kind of algorithms is used to perform an initial automatic data labelling.

Finally, the algorithms belonging to reinforcement learning build their models by testing possible solutions; those that maximize some reward function are maintained while those that score low according to that function are eliminated. Here, too, labeled data is not necessary.

Although current ML research is producing powerful new techniques, its success is mainly due to the large amount of data available and the enormous computing power of today’s computers. It should be noted that most of the ML algorithms are computationally very intensive. However, the number of ML-based applications increases every day and all of us use them regularly being more or less aware of the underlying technology and their consequences. As the results shown by ML models are not necessarily accurate and may even be biased, we must be very critical and attentive to the results obtained. This is one more reason to teach AI content in school.

But, although teaching ML in school would be very suitable to foster critical thinking and to prepare future generations for a highly technological world, can we really do it? We believe so, and this is the main hypothesis that we try to demonstrate with our LearningML tool. Even more, we think that ML practical projects can be a very suitable instrument to foster the development of Computational Thinking (CT) skills.

Related Research

In Rodríguez-García, Moreno-León, Román-González, & Robles (2019), a non-systematic literature review on teaching and learning of AI contents in school is made. This revision shows some works suggesting the introduction of AI content in CT frameworks as well as practical activities intended to reveal fundamentals of AI and ML to students. Afterwards an educational resource developed by the institution of the first author (INTEF) aimed to teach ML in schools with Scratch² and Machine Learning for Kids³ is described. Finally, the testimonials of three educators who have implemented their own version of this resource are depicted.

Brummelen, Shen, & Patton (2019) propose the extension of Brennan-Resnick CT framework (Brennan & Resnick, 2012) adding key elements of ML: classification, prediction and generation are proposed as new concepts, training, validating and testing as new practices and evaluation as a new perspective. A new framework for CT based on a disciplinary perspective which takes into account the practice of CT used in STEM workplaces is suggested in Malyn-Smith, Lee, Martin, Grover, Evans, & Pillai (2018), being ML one of the practices pointed out by the authors.

One of the most relevant initiatives carried out to introduce AI contents at school is AI4k12 which is broadly described in Touretzky, Gardner-McCune, Martin, & Seehorn

---

² https://scratch.mit.edu/
³ https://machinelearningforkids.co.uk/
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(2019). Being aware of the importance of AI in our lives, the partners that constitute this initiative wonder what should every child know about AI. Thus, the authors compile a list of curated resources to work with AI in K-12 levels (Touretzkyds/ai4k12, n.d.). Via a collaboration between AI experts and K-12 teachers, the authors develop a helpful framework to teach AI built on top of five ideas: i) computers perceive the world using sensors, ii) agents maintain models/representation of the world and use them for reasoning, iii) computers can learn from data, iv) agents interacting with humans is a substantial challenge for AI developers, and v) AI applications can impact society in both positive and negative ways. These ideas are organized in four grade bands: K-2, 3-5, 6-8 and 9-12.

Every technology has been the source of new ethical issues, and surely AI is one of the most fruitful in this aspect. This is the subject of the fifth idea of the AI4k12 framework and one of the basis for the curricula that Ali, Payne, Williams, Park & Breazeal (2019) are developing to teach AI in school, which emphasize constructionist learning, ethics and creativity. In the authors’ opinion, the platforms that allow children to create their AI projects are focused solely on technical aspects. This is not enough given the important ethical issues yielded by AI. Three different projects that engage primary and middle schools’ students in AI education with ethical, constructionism and creativity as design guidelines, are presented in this paper.

How to address moral, ethical and philosophical issues in designing AI courses is the matter undertaken in Burton, Goldsmith, Koenig, Kuipers, Mattei & Walsh (2017). As instructors we want to develop contents that not only prepares students to be AI practitioners, but also to understand the moral, ethical and philosophical impacts that AI will have in society. In this paper, authors provide some practical case studies and links to resources for use by AI educators. They also provide concrete suggestion on how to integrate AI ethics into a general AI course and how to teach a stand-alone AI ethics course.

In Hitron, Wald, Erel & Zuckerman (2018) a relevant experiment to study whether 10-12 years old children can understand basic ML concepts is carried out. To assess children’s understanding they applied an experimental design including a pretest, a “Wizard of Oz” (WoZ) (Dahlbäck, Jönsson, & Ahrenberg, 1993) based ML activity intended to recognize gestures, and a posttest. The participants were provided with a digital stick-like device and were informed that this device was able to record their hand movement and send the data to a computer. This stage was termed “sampling stage”. The goal of the activity was to build a system able to recognize tennis serves. They were then invited to evaluate their training by testing the accuracy of the system, and informed that they can iterate between sampling and evaluation stages. Obviously during the experiment none of the children was aware about the fake ML system being simulated by researchers. The findings of this experiment suggest that children are able to understand basic ML concepts and can even apply their own examples and evaluate them in an interactive way.

In a posterior investigation, these researchers carried out a similar experiment (Hitron, Orlev, Wald, Shami, Erel & Zuckerman, 2019) including a pretest, a ML activity intended to recognize gestures, and a posttest. However, this time the ML system was improved by shifting from a WoZ simulation to a real ML gesture system. The results were similar; they found that children in the age range of 10-13 could understand basic ML concepts,
apply their knowledge in different contexts and generate accurate and meaningful new examples for real-world ML applications. Furthermore, they revealed that a direct experience with data labeling and evaluation is a key element to achieve the understanding of concepts. Taking into account these findings, the authors recommend ML product designers to integrate direct experience with accessible building blocks, uncovering some black boxes of the ML process and allowing children to collect data by themselves, receive feedback on system’s accuracy, and iterate in a process of trial and error.

“Does the computational experience with basic AI algorithms affect the perception of the capability of AI to affect the lives of common people?” is the research question addressed in Estevez, Garate, & Graña (2019), a follow-up of the work reported in Estevez, Garate, Guede & Graña (2019). Following a design-based research approach (The Design-Based Research Collective, 2003) they propose an educational workshop for undergraduate students in the age range of 16-17, focused on two specific AI tasks: data clustering and artificial neural network (ANN) learning. To solve these tasks, the authors selected two simple enough algorithms to be implemented in Scratch but powerful enough to produce non-trivial results: K-means for the clustering problem and an application of a least-square algorithm and a logic activation function for the ANN. In both cases the mathematical background was chosen taking into account the mathematical curricula of the participants. Students who took part in the workshop changed their opinion and perception about what AI is and how it affects their lives.

Educational ML tools

A large part of the tasks in which current ML applications are being very successful, such as image or speech recognition, language translation or text classification, are solved by means of supervised learning. And, up to our knowledge, all the existing tools intended to teach ML in primary and secondary levels are designed to teach this kind of learning. Consequently, we briefly describe how supervised learning work.

Although several algorithms can be chosen to perform supervised learning, all of them have a similar operating scheme: first, a large enough set of data that a human agent has to label “by hand” is gathered. Second, these labeled data, called dataset, are given as input to some of the supervised ML algorithms, yielding a model able to fit the data correctly, after an intensive computational operation. The model should also be able to give a correct answer when new data is presented. This is called the “generalization power of the model”. The output is not always correct, and some wrong answers have to be expected. Hence, in a third step, the model performance is to be evaluated. This is done by feeding the model with test data, evaluating whether more labeled data needs to be added to improve the model. Last, once the model performs good enough, it can be used to develop an “intelligent” application. These steps resemble the way humans learn and generalize from experience, although this has to be understood as a metaphor helping to understand the process.

Taking into account this operational scheme, we propose the following architecture that describes a general tool for learning and teaching ML.

A ML tool should be composed by two components: the ML Platform and the
Programming Platform.

The ML Platform allows to carry out the above-mentioned ML operating scheme, summarized by the following steps:

1) Build a dataset by adding data examples to be labeled (training),
2) Build a model from this dataset by means of some of the available supervised ML algorithms (learning),
3) Evaluate the performance of the model with test data (evaluating), and
4) Export the model to a programming platform (exporting).

On the other hand, the Programming Platform is needed to code an application that is going to use the ML model created by the ML Platform. The fourth step of the ML operating scheme interfaces both platforms. The scheme will be very useful to describe and compare tools that have been selected for review in this paper.

In the following, we will describe and compare tools according to this scheme.
Machine Learning for Kids

Machine Learning for Kids (ML4K) (Lane, 2018) offers a ML Platform and can export its model to three different Programming Platforms: Scratch, MIT App Inventor and Python.

The ML Platform is a web application accessible from any standard web browser. Although it can be tested without registration, an account has to be created if data and model storage is wanted. It allows to generate models for text, image, sound and numerical recognition. All four tasks (training, learning, evaluating and exporting) are allowed by this tool.

The training step is achieved by defining buckets associated to each of the labels of the classification problem. Once these buckets have been defined, example data (texts, images, sounds or numbers) can be easily added to their corresponding bucket according to their label (see Figure 1). Therefore, gathering and labeling of data are performed by users, uncovering and revealing one of the crucial process of supervised ML. This fact, as justified in Hitron, Orlev, Wald, Shamir, Erel, & Zuckerman (2019), helps the student to better understand ML.

![Daily_MAIL, Daily.Telegram or Independent](https://machinelearningforkids.co.uk/)

Fig 1. ML4K ML Platform training step.

Once the training step has been completed, the dataset is sent off via an HTTP request, to IBM Watson servers to generate the ML model. Watson is the Artificial Intelligence Service offered by IBM. This guarantees the quality of the obtained model, but also entails some disadvantages. First, the service is offered with some limitations which only allows for a small number of models. Second, the learning step requires too much time and is unpredictable, since requests for model generation are queued up and have to wait their turn to be executed. Third, in addition to the account needed for the ML4K administration panel, one more account is required in IBM Watson services and, although

---

4 https://machinelearningforkids.co.uk/
a free plan is enough to use the tool, this last registration process can be too intricate for people without technical training, as Watson is a service aimed at the professional world of software development.

Moreover, the training step is presented as a black box; nothing about the underlying algorithm can be known. This fact, especially once the student has assimilated the operating scheme of supervised ML and wants to gain further insight about the ML algorithm, constitutes a pedagogical drawback.

In the evaluation step, its generalization power is evaluated. The model gives as output the predicted label to which the input belongs, and the confidence value representing the hit probability. In order to gain understanding about ML, it is very helpful to check some test data and even use data somehow different to those that have been used in the training step. This way students can understand a key concept in supervised ML: the model performance is as good as the training data used. Once the evaluation step has finished, the model can be improved if desired by adding new labeled data and rebuilding the model again. That is, iterating through the steps of the operating scheme.

The models are accessed through HTTP requests to Watson servers, where they are hosted. In the exporting step, three different programming platforms can be used: a modified copy of Scratch (fork) with some new blocks able to request Watson models, MIT App Inventor with a custom extension to access those models, and a Python template with the code required to request the models.

In summary, ML4K is a very complete tool to learn ML although the need to create an IBM Watson account and the limitations imposed by that account pose some inconveniences to be used in a school context.

**Cognimates**

Cognimates (Druga, 2018) is a tool that offers both the ML platform, called Cognimates Studio, and the programming platform, called Codelab, which is a Scratch fork.

The ML Platform is a web application that can be used without registration. This is a great advantage in the school context, since it is accessed with just one click. It allows to build models for text and image recognition and to get started with some pretrained ML models. In the latter case, the ML operating scheme is skipped and the application can be directly coded. This tool allows to perform the four tasks of the ML operating scheme.

As in ML4K, the training step is performed by adding labels which lead to buckets where example texts or image have to be added (see Figure 2).

---

5 https://appinventor.mit.edu/
6 http://cognimates.me/home/
The learning step is performed by sending the dataset to a ML cloud service. The uClassify service is used when dealing with text recognition, while the clarifAI service is the ML back-end for image recognition problems. Therefore, creating an account in these services is mandatory if new ML models are to be built. Moreover, one API key is needed to access clarifAI and two API keys (reading/writing) are required to access uClassify, since they work as REST web services. These API keys are requested when the learner enters the ML Cognimate Studio platform. This, as in the case of ML4K, is a big drawback, since i) the registration process is not easy for non-experts, and ii) the API key, being a common term among software developers, is confusing for school students and teachers. As in ML4K, this step is presented as a black box to the learner, who does not know anything about the applied learning algorithm.

![Fig 2. Cognimates ML Platform training step.](image)

The evaluation step is carried out by adding some test images or texts, depending on the type of problem. The model yields as response the predicted label to which the data belongs, along with a confidence score expressed as a percentage.

Finally, once the model is working as expected, it can be exported to the programming platform to code the “intelligent” application that will make use of it. A Scratch fork, Codelab, is used as the programming platform, which includes ML blocks organized in five sections: i) “your text blocks”, ii) “vision training”, iii) “text training”, iv) “emotions”, and v) “twitter”. The blocks of the first three sections are used together with the models built with Cognimates Studio. The “emotion” section includes some blocks that can be used to make sentimental analysis (text classification based on

---

7 https://www.uclassify.com
8 https://www.clarifai.com
sentiment categories) by means of a pretrained model. As there is no need to train and build any model when using the blocks in this section, coding AI applications is easier. However, this hides the entire operating scheme. The “twitter” blocks can be used to get tweets from Twitter users or hashtags.

In summary, Cognimates constitutes a complete solution to work with ML in the classroom, although it requires to register in two cloud ML services to make use of ML platform. This poses a serious drawback when being used by novice people or in a classroom context where every little task can become an unbeatable barrier.

**Machine Learning with Snap!**

In Kahn & Winters (2017) the use of AI cloud services via a web connection, such as IBM Watson, Microsoft Cognitive services and Amazon AI services, is proposed as a pedagogical resource to teach and learn ML and AI contents. In order to be suitable to be used by children, the technical complexity posed by the API of these services has to be overcome somehow. The challenge addressed in the paper is how to provide interfaces that are much easier to use and yet support most of the functionality of these AI services.

Instead of creating a new programming environment for children, the authors chose to enhance an existing one, adding text-to-speech, speech-to-text and image recognition to Snap!9. The implementation performed by the authors, however, does not contain an ML platform, but only a programming platform, where new blocks request through HTTP these new added services. Therefore, no ML operating scheme is available. Both speech and image recognition are performed by means of pretrained models provided by the AI cloud services. This fact, in our opinion, constitutes a serious drawback of this tool, since hiding all the ML process behind black boxes does not help to get insight and to think about how ML works. Nevertheless, it could be a good starting point to introduce ML and AI contents in the classroom.

**Teachable Machine**

Teachable Machine10 is a web-based tool aimed to create fast and easy ML models that are accessible to everyone. This application only provides a ML platform and does not require user registration to be used. Two different versions are available.

The first one is designed to quickly show how supervised ML works, allowing learners to create image models able to classify into three classes. The user interface has three different buckets that represent three labels respectively with one button each (as shown in Figure 3). The images, which come from the webcam, are added to each bucket by pressing the corresponding button. Although limited to three labels, the training step is thus explicitly carried out by the learner.

As the images are being labeled, the application is training the new model. Hence the learning step is taken place continuously and without the learner intervention which, once again, has no knowledge or control over the ML algorithm. Finally, when no button is

---

9 https://snap.berkeley.edu/
10 https://teachablemachine.withgoogle.com/
pressed, the frames taken by the webcam are used as test images. By means of three bar gauges, the application shows the probability of an image belonging to each of the labels. This constitutes the evaluation step. No exporting step is available.

Since there is no programming platform, nothing can be done with this model, just evaluating and experimenting with the tool to better understand the fundamentals of ML, especially becoming aware of the main role played by data. A little drawback is that the three ML steps --training, learning and evaluating-- take place almost simultaneously, not being clearly separated. This can be a bit confusing when trying to understand the operating scheme of ML. In any case, it is an extremely easy to use and a highly recommendable tool to start learning about ML and AI.

Fig 3. Teachable Machine version 1.

The second version (shown in Figure 4) allows to build images, sounds and body postures recognition models. As pointed out in the own application, more types of tasks will be “coming soon”. There is no overlap among the ML steps in this second version, which are taking place step by step, clearly revealing the ML operating scheme. In the training step the learner can add as many labeled buckets as she wants. Images can be added from the webcam, while the microphone can be used to gather sound. File uploading is also available as an input method, both for images and sounds.

The learning step starts when a button labeled as “Train Model” is pressed. Before launching this step, some typical parameters of the underlying algorithm (epoch, batch size and learning rate) can be optionally changed by the learner to explore how they affect the learning process. What these parameters do is clearly explained by means of help boxes. Furthermore, a modal window with some graphic representations of the training process over time is shown when the button labeled "under the hood" is pressed. This is a powerful pedagogical feature to gain insight into the ML process, since some parts of the learning algorithm is revealed to the student. This uncovering of the black box is an optional feature which can be exploited by learners once they have a clear understanding of the whole ML operating scheme. Another advantage of this tool is that the learning
process takes place locally in the learner web browser -- registration to some AI cloud service is not required. This avoids having to deal with technical concepts such as API key and taking away the registration process. This feature has been possible thanks to the use of Tensorflow.js\textsuperscript{11} (Simmons, & Holliday 2019), a javascript companion library of the popular ML Python library Tensorflow\textsuperscript{12}.

After the training step has been performed, some test images or sounds can be added using the webcam, the microphone or uploading a file to evaluate the performance of the model. The results are given, as in version 1, by means of a set of bar gauges, one for each label, which indicates the probability that the test data belongs to the class.

Finally, although version 2 of Teachable Machine does not provide a programming platform either, the possibility of exporting the model is available. Once again this has been possible thanks to the use of Tensorflow.js. As it is an open source javascript library, anyone willing to develop a javascript application, could import Tensorflow.js to it, and load the model created and exported with Teachable Machine. Furthermore, the models can be converted to Tensorflow and therefore, can also be used in Python projects. Although this is not a suitable task to be done by novice learners, it may allow ML educational tool designers to develop in the future the ML programming platform that Teachable Machine lacks.

\textbf{Fig 4. Teachable Machine version 2.}

In summary, Teachable Machine is a perfect election to start with ML, both in the first and the second version. Although it lacks a programming platform to use the generated model in a creative way, it is the most complete and carefully designed ML platform of the whole revision. Since no registration process is required and only a standard web browser is needed to run the tool, students can start using it without any distracting elements and get their first results quickly.

\textsuperscript{11} https://www.tensorflow.org/js
\textsuperscript{12} https://www.tensorflow.org/
The Personal Image Classifier

The ML tool described in Tang (2019) allows to build image models and provides both the ML platform and the programming platform implemented as an MIT App Inventor extension.

The ML platform consists of a web application showing at all times and by means of a timeline every phase of the ML operating scheme (see Figure 5). The current step being executed is pointed out in the timeline and the graphical user interface changes according to the task carried out. As happens with Teachable Machine, this tool also makes use of Tensorflow.js as the ML backend, and no registration is required.

The training step is performed, as usual, by adding labeled buckets to which images are gathered from the webcam or by file uploading. Previously exported models can also be uploaded in this step.

![Personal Image Classifier ML Platform](image)

Fig 5. Personal Image Classifier ML Platform *training* step.

Some control over the underlying ANN, which is the ML algorithm that is used, is offered during the learning step. Adding or removing layers of the ANN and changing the parameters as desired can be done. The learner can also change some algorithm parameters, such as the learning rate, epochs, the training data fraction and the type of optimizer used in the learning process. However, the myriad of possibilities comes with too many form input elements, which in addition are labeled in difficult to understand

---

13 https://classifier.appinventor.mit.edu/
technical terms. This fact, together with a graphical user interface that is not very friendly, may lead to children and beginners to feel overwhelmed.

The *evaluation* step allows to add at once a bunch of test images to each label. This way the application is able to build a correctness table and a confidence graph as powerful tools to evaluate and analyze the results. The correctness table shows all the testing images and whether they were correctly classified or not. This allows the learner to infer why specific images were correctly classified or not. The confidence graph shows prediction results by label. Three buckets, each one associated with a level of confidence (medium, high and very high) are set, and the predicted images are put into one of these buckets according to the confidence given by the prediction.

Once the model is performant enough, it can be exported as a local downloaded file. Afterwards, it can be imported by uploading the file to MIT App Inventor through the `PersonalImageClassifier.aix` extension, which has been developed to create mobile apps using the image generated models. This constitutes the programming platform of the *Personal Image Classifier* tool.

In brief, this is also a very interesting tool to introduce children to ML. However, it is better oriented for high school students, since the graphical user interface is not very user friendly, the *evaluating* step requires some analysis capabilities, the programming platform is more suitable for high school students, and the process of exporting and importing the model requires some training with the file system handling.

---

14 [https://mit-cml.github.io/extensions/data/extensions/PersonalImageClassifier.aix](https://mit-cml.github.io/extensions/data/extensions/PersonalImageClassifier.aix)
Comparison of the tools

Table 1 summarizes and compares the features of the reviewed tools in terms of features of the ML platforms.

|                    | ML4K | Cognim. | Snap! | T.M. 1 | T. M. 2 | PIC |
|--------------------|------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|
| User Registration  | Yes  | No      | No    | No     | No      | No  |
| ML Backend         | Watson IBM | uClassify / Clarifai | Pretrained cloud models | Tensorflow.js | Tensorflow.js | Tensorflow.js |
| API Keys           | Yes  | Yes     | No    | No     | No      | No  |
| Save data          | Yes in cloud | Yes in cloud | No | Yes locally | Yes locally | Yes locally |
| Save model         | Yes in cloud (limited) | Yes in cloud | No | Yes locally | Yes locally | Yes locally |
| ML Algorithm control | No  | No      | No    | No     | Yes     | Yes |
| Evaluation analysis tool | No  | No      | No    | No     | Yes     | Yes |
| Types of Problems  | Text, images, sounds, numbers | Text, images | Images, sounds | Images | Images, sounds, poses | Images |

Table 1. Comparison among features of ML Platforms.

Table 2 summarizes the ML programming platforms allowed by each studied ML tool.

|                | ML4K | Cognim. | Snap! | T.M. 1 | T. M. 2 | PIC |
|----------------|------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|
| Scratch        | Yes  | Yes     | No    | No     | No      | No  |
| MIT App Inventor | Yes | No      | No    | No     | No      | Yes |
| Python         | Yes  | No      | No    | No     | Yes     | No  |
| Snap!          | No   | No      | Yes   | No     | No      | No  |
| Javascript     | No   | No      | No    | No     | Yes (custom) | No |

Table 2. Allowed Programming Platforms.
Introducing LearningML

LearningML is a free/open source web-based platform aimed to bring ML fundamentals in a practical way to children or people interested in the growing field of AI. This tool provides a ML Platform intended to build ML models from example data, and a Programming Platform allowing to code creative applications using those models.

This project started as a proof of concept to explore whether ML algorithms could be executed locally in the browser in order to avoid the use of an AI cloud service when designing a tool to learn ML. The development took place after designing at INTEF (the Spanish National Institute for Educational Technologies and Teacher Training) an educational resource aimed to learn ML with ML4K (Rodríguez-García, Moreno-León, Román-González, & Robles, 2019).

During the design of the education resource, some drawbacks were detected: firstly, creating an account in whatever AI cloud service was not exempt of difficulties, at least from a classroom context point of view. Secondly, this service is outside the control of the application creators; for instance, today there is a free (as in gratis) plan that can be enough for the purposes of the tool, but conditions may change in the future. Also, even though the service account has been successfully created, password and API keys have to be maintained by the learner, and this is not the best scenario to engage children nor teachers. Last but not least, free plans in AI accounts have some limitations such as the maximum number of projects that can be saved.

Once the proof of concept was finished, a research looking for similar tools was carried out. The results have been summarized in previous sections of this work. Taking into account the pros and cons of those tools, a new tool, described in this section, is proposed. This tool is a work in progress, therefore many of the features described are not yet available. The final part of this section is devoted to indicating the current status of the tool, pointing out the list of implemented features at current time.

When designing LearningML, “low floor, high ceiling and wide walls” principles (Resnick et al., 2009) has been taken as a guideline. That is, the tool should be very easy to start with (low floor) but also provide opportunities to create increasingly complex projects over time (high ceiling). Moreover, it should offer the possibility to support different types of projects in order to engage a wide range of interests and learning styles (wide walls). These principles have served as a guideline for the design of the most known block programming platforms such as Scratch, Snap! and MIT App Inventor, and constitute one of the keys for their success. Therefore, we argue that they should be also applied when designing a tool to teach and learn ML.

The Machine Learning Platform

The ML Platform15 is a web-based application developed with Angular16, a powerful javascript/typescript framework. The tool allows to build text, image, sound

---

15 https://learningml.org/editor
16 https://angular.io/
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and number recognition models. Two operation modes, beginner and advanced mode, can be chosen.

User registration is not required, as one of the desired goals is to allow a quick start (low floor). Nonetheless, the platform allows the learner to register if desired. Registering provides some extra functionalities, but it is not needed to have a complete learning experience. Cloud project storage and sharing are the additional features registration offers (Figure 6). In the future, we want to foster the creation of a community where learners can share their projects. In case the learner decides to register, the only required data are username, email address, gender and birthdate as shown in Figure 7. When registering, learners are recommended to choose a username that has nothing to do with their actual name. Email address is required because the account must be activated and to have some form of communication with the learner in case of problems. Finally, gender and birthdate are asked for research purposes. If a learner decides not to register, she still can download the project to her disk (and in the future load it back to the application).

Fig. 6 LearningML shared projects window.
Each of the four tasks allowed by the ML platform --training, learning, evaluating and exporting-- are carried out step by step, and pointed out in a timeline that is always visible and that serves both as a reminder of the ML operating scheme and as an indicator of the current step. The learner can navigate this timeline back and forth to make as many iterations as needed to obtain a satisfactory model.

**Training step**

The *training* step allows the learner to create labeled buckets where data, gathered as examples to feed the ML algorithm, can be added according to the class or label they represent (see Figure 8). Both the buckets and the examples added to them can be removed in case of error. Texts are provided through the keyboard or by means of the microphone using the speech-to-text feature of modern web browsers. Images are gathered from the webcam or by file uploading. In this last case, a bunch of images can be added at once to speed up the process. Sounds can be recorded from the microphone or by file uploading. Once again, several files can be uploaded at once. Finally, number datasets are entered from the keyboard or by uploading a CSV (comma separated values) file.

**Learning step**

The *learning* step can be started once some example data have been labeled (see Figure 9). The ML algorithm to build a model from example data is executed locally in the learner’s browser. The Tensorflow.js library is used for this purpose. An ANN has been chosen as the underlying ML technique. Besides, for text, image and sound recognition, a technique known as *transfer learning* is used to obtain better results.
empowering the classification abilities of the model being constructed. In brief, with transfer learning a model developed for a task is reused as the starting point for a model on a second task. There exist open accessible models, such as mobilenet (Howard et al., 2017) for image recognition, which have been pre trained with thousands or even millions of labeled data. While these models probably will not fit well with the problem being solved, some of their internal layers can be used as the input of a second model. As this filtered input has been somehow discriminated by the first powerful model, the second model can be designed to give as output the labels chosen by the learner and also have a much simpler architecture. If the advanced mode has been chosen, the parameters of this second simpler model are revealed to the learner. The learner can try to modify them to see their effect on the performance of the model. The following parameters can be changed: number of internal layers, number of neurons in those layers, epoch, batch size and learning rate. In the beginner mode, the learning process is achieved transparently.

![LearningML](image)

**Fig. 9. LearningML learning step.**

**Evaluation step**

In the evaluation step the learner can check how well the model is performing by providing it with test data (see Figure 10). These data are provided by means of the same input devices used in the training step: keyboard, microphone, webcam or file uploading according to the type of task. When the beginner mode has been chosen, the classification result is provided by means of a phrase expressing what label has been selected by the model together with its degree of confidence. For instance, in a cats and dogs image classification problem, possible output results could be: “I’m not sure at all, but it could be a dog”, “I think it is a dog, isn’t it?”, “it is probably a dog” or “I am almost sure it is a dog”. The goal is to make the probabilistic nature of ML explicit, even for children who have not yet learned probability concepts formally. Indeed, this could be a good starting point to introduce probability to children. On the other hand, when the advanced mode is activated, a set of gauge bars are added to the previous sentences indicating the numerical probability, expressed as a percentage, given by the model that the entry belongs to. This numerical expression of the confidence can be also used to deepen the concept of probability and to reinforce the idea that ML solutions are not infallible.
A confusion matrix is also shown in the *advanced* mode. It offers a clear, easy and intuitive way to visualize the performance of a supervised learning algorithm. The confusion matrix consists of a table where columns represent the predicted labels on a test dataset and the rows the real labels. Non-negative values in non-diagonal places of the matrix indicate the presence of prediction errors. In order to build a confusion matrix, a bunch of test data are needed, therefore multiple file uploading can be used to provide them all at once. Evaluation is a very important step to gain insight about how ML works, since the probabilistic nature of inference methods is revealed, and to reinforce the idea that ML models are as good as the example data used to train them. This step also promotes iterations as a means to improve the results, which according to constructivist school (Hein, 2016), has a profound effect on the child learning process.

![LearningML evaluating step](image)

**Fig. 10.** LearningML *evaluating* step.

**Exporting step**

LearningML projects can be locally downloaded to disk and also, when the learner is logged-in, stored in the cloud for later retrieval. A project is composed of the dataset gathered by the learner during the training step and, if the *learning* step has been executed at least once, by the built model. Tensorflow.js is used to export the model. This will allow any programming platform capable of loading and managing Tensorflow.js models, such as MIT App Inventor with the Personal Image Classifier extension or a custom javascript application, to import models that have been built with LearningML, being in line with the “wide walls” principle.

However, as described in the next subsection, LearningML has its own Programming Platform to code AI applications. The normal working flow with LearningML is to export the model directly to that platform (Figure 11). At the press of a button, the programming platform is launched with the model automatically loaded, and with specific programming blocks aimed to manage and make use of that model. This process is
transparent to the learner who can quickly start to code the ML application. This way, the file export/import procedure is avoided and “the floor of the tool is lowered”.
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Fig. 11. LearningML exporting step.

The Programming Platform

Scratch 3.0 has been developed as a javascript web application made with the React\(^{17}\) framework. Furthermore, it has been released with a free (as in libre) BSD license and the code can be publicly downloaded from a GitHub repository\(^{18}\). There is no doubt that Scratch is nowadays the most-used block programming platform. Therefore, it has been chosen as the programming platform for LearningML.

In any case, the official instance of Scratch does not provide programming blocks aimed to work with Tensorflow.js models, or to perform any kind of ML coding. Hence, a copy (fork) of Scratch has been made\(^{19}\) and the required ML features have been added to it. This section is devoted to describing these new programming blocks.

Text recognition blocks

Table 3 offers a list of blocks aimed for code texts recognition applications.

| Block                              | Description                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ![Classify text](image) text       | Reporter block that returns the label assigned by the ML model to the argument text. |
| ![Confidence for text](image) text | Reporter block that returns the confidence, expressed as a percentage, associated with the classification of argument text. |
| ![Add text](image) text1 to label turn on | Command block that adds the first argument as an example belonging to the label given by the second argument. This block allows gathering more texts to the dataset. |

Table 3. Texts recognition blocks

\(^{17}\) https://es.reactjs.org/
\(^{18}\) https://github.com/LLK
\(^{19}\) https://learningml.org/scratch
### Image recognition blocks

Table 4 offers a list of blocks aimed for code images recognition applications.

| Block               | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ![Current costume](image) | Reporter block that returns the image corresponding to the current costume object.                                                            |
| ![Video image](image)  | Reporter block that returns the last image taken by the webcam. It only works when the webcam is on.                                         |
| ![Turn video ON](image) | Command block to turn on/off the webcam.                                                                                                     |
| ![Classify image](image)  | Reporter block that returns the label assigned by the ML model to its argument, which can be the current costume or the last frame taken by the webcam. Therefore, the argument of this reporter must be one of the two first blocks of this table. |
| ![Confidence for image](image)  | Reporter block that returns the confidence, expressed as a percentage, associated with the classification of the image given by its argument. As in the previous block the argument must be one of the two first blocks of this table. |
| ![Add image](image)  | Command block that adds the image given by the first argument as an example belonging to the label given by the second argument. This block allows gathering more images to the dataset. |

Table 4. Images recognition blocks.

### Sound recognition blocks
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Table 5 offers the blocks aimed to code sounds recognition applications.

| Block                | Description                                                                 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Current sound        | Reporter block that returns the last played sound.                          |
| Listen and wait      | Reporter block that turns on the microphone and records a sound. The recorded sound is added to the object list of sound and referenced as “current sound”. |
| Classify sound sound1| Reporter block that returns the label assigned by the ML model to the sound referenced by its argument. |
| Confidence for sound sound1 | Reporter block that returns the confidence, expressed as a percentage, associated with the classification of the sound referenced by its argument. |
| Add sound sound1 to label guitarra | Command block that adds the sound referenced by the first argument as an example belonging to the label given by the second argument. This block allows gathering more images to the dataset. |

Table 5. Sounds recognition blocks.

Number recognition blocks

Table 6 shows the blocks aimed to code numbers recognition applications.

| Block                        | Description                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Classify numbers in list list1 | Reporter block that returns the label assigned by the ML model to the list of numbers referenced by its argument. |
| Confidence for number in list list1 | Reporter block that returns the confidence, expressed as a percentage, associated with the classification of the list of numbers referenced by its argument. |
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| Table 6. Numbers recognition blocks. |
|-------------------------------------|
| **Block**                          | **Description**                                                                 |
| Add numbers in list list1 to label iris setosa | Command block that adds the list of numbers referenced by the first argument as an example belonging to the label given by the second argument. This block allows gathering more lists of numbers to the dataset. |

### General ML blocks

Table 7 lists the blocks aimed to control the ML algorithm.

| Block                                      | Description                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ![Set epoch parameter to 50](image)       | Command block to set the *epoch* parameter of an ML algorithm.              |
| ![Set batch size to 16](image)            | Command block to set the *batch size* parameter of an ML algorithm.         |
| ![Set learning rate parameter to 0.001](image) | Command block to set the learning *rate* parameter of an ML algorithm.     |
| ![Learn from dataset and wait](image)     | Command block that executes the learning algorithm providing a new model.   |

Table 7. General ML blocks.

Combining these new blocks with the ones Scratch provides by default, awesome AI applications and video games can be coded. The code presented in Figure 12 shows a very easy and direct application in which the object movement is controlled by the images coming from the webcam. The model should have been trained with four types of images, each type representing one of the four directions: up, down, left and right.

### Current status of LearningML

At the time of writing this paper, only texts and image recognition features have been implemented, which is enough to start testing the tool in school settings. Also,
beginner/advanced modes are not yet available. A web page devoted to promoting the tool, which provides help tutorials and AI activities with the intention of encouraging teachers to introduce practical AI content in their classrooms, has also been developed.

![Scratch program to control an object by means of images captured by the webcam.]

**Fig. 12.** Scratch program to control an object by means of images captured by the webcam.

A preliminary intervention: testing a pilot workshop with students

In order to test whether LearningML can be a useful tool to teach AI, ML and its societal implications, a preliminary intervention was carried out. This was organized in two small workshops, with a total of 14 participating students. The workshops were held at two Computer Science technical colleges in Seville (Spain) between December 2019 and February 2020. Participating students were adults about 20 years old with prior programming experience but no previous training on AI.

20 https://learningml.org
The structure of the workshops was the same in both cases: first, a pre-test questionnaire on AI knowledge was filled out by students; second, an introduction about AI was presented by one of the researchers; third, students programmed a project with LearningML to solve a challenge; fourth and finally, the post-test was filled by the students. The total length of the workshops was 2 hours.

The results of the pre and post-tests are discussed in the following subsections. Both the questionnaire and CSV files with the anonymized pre and post students' answers are provided in the replication package of the paper\(^{21}\).

**First set of questions**

Questions 2, 3, 4 and 5 in the questionnaire are Likert-style. Therefore, two new variables -Total pre 1 and Total post 1- were computed as the sum of the scores in those four questions in the pre and post-test, respectively. Since each question was scored with 1-5 points, in terms of its proximity to the right answer, the variation range for both new variables is thus, between 4 and 20.

The count, mean, standard deviation, minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum values are shown in Table 8, and illustrated in the boxplot in Figure 13. As can be seen, there was an increase in the results, as the mean in the pre-test is 12.79, while the mean in the post-test is 14.50.

|                  | Before LML (Total pre 1) | After LML (Total post 1) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| count            | 14                       | 14                       |
| mean             | 12.786                   | 14.500                   |
| std              | 2.723                    | 2.345                    |
| min              | 9.000                    | 11.000                   |
| 25%              | 10.250                   | 12.500                   |
| 50%              | 13.000                   | 14.500                   |
| 75%              | 14.750                   | 16.000                   |
| max              | 17.000                   | 18.000                   |

Table 8. Results in the first set of questions before and after the intervention with LearningML.

---

\(^{21}\) https://github.com/kgblll/kgblll-ReplicationPackage-2020-RED
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Since the difference between the two conditions is normally distributed, according to the Shapiro-Wilk test ($W = 0.956$, $p$-value $= 0.672$), we performed a t-test for paired samples, establishing a 95% confidence level ($\alpha = 0.05$) for our statistical decisions. The results of the t-test for paired data (statistic $=-3.618$, $p$-value $= 0.003$) indicate that the null hypothesis of equality of means is rejected and we can therefore state that there are significant differences between the pre-test and post-test.

This shows that the use of LearningML helped the participating students to enhance their knowledge on AI. But in order to assess the real impact of using LearningML, the effect size of the experiment must also be calculated (Cohen, 1990). For this set of questions, the effect size was 0.675, which is considered a moderate effect (Ellis, 2010) that according to the “influence barometer” (Hattie, 2009) indicates that the educational intervention has fulfilled the desired goals.

Although this analysis involves a small number of students, the results are promising and provide a strong stimulus to continue working on the validation and improvement of the tool.

**Second set of questions**

Questions 7, 8 and 9 in the questionnaire are multiple choice with only one correct answer. Consequently, we computed two new variables -**Total pre 2** and **Total post 2**- as the sum of the scores in these three questions in the pre and post-test, respectively. Since each question was scored with 0 or 1 points, the variation range for both new variables is therefore between 0 and 3.

Table 9 shows the count, mean, standard deviation, minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum values. As can be seen, there was an increase in the results, as the
mean of the pre-test is 1.57, while the mean of the post-test is 2.29. This is illustrated in Figure 14, which presents the boxplot of the statistics.

|                  | Before LML (Total pre 2) | After LML (Total post 2) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| count            | 14                       | 14                       |
| mean             | 1.571                    | 2.286                    |
| std              | 1.016                    | 0.611                    |
| min              | 0.000                    | 1.000                    |
| 25%              | 1.000                    | 2.000                    |
| 50%              | 1.500                    | 2.000                    |
| 75%              | 2.000                    | 3.000                    |
| max              | 3.000                    | 3.000                    |

Table 9. Results in the second set of questions before and after the intervention with LearningML.

Fig. 14. Boxplot of the results in the first set of questions before and after the intervention with LearningML.

In this case the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality (W = 0.796, p-value = 0.004) shows that the difference between the two conditions is not normally distributed. As a consequence, we used the Wilcoxon signed-rank Test (p-value=0.008), which indicates that the null hypothesis of equality of means is rejected and proves significant differences between pre and post-test.

The effect size for the second set of questions was 0.852, which is considered a big effect (Ellis, 2010) and, consequently, indicates that the educational intervention has fulfilled the desired goals (Hattie, 2009).
Open questions

The questionnaire also included two open questions, asking participants to propose a definition of AI and discuss its potential dangers. We planned to perform a text-network analysis (Paranyushkin, 2019) with students’ answers, but the small number of cases stopped us from doing so.

In any case, the results of previous subsections can be illustrated by studying the evolution in the definitions provided by two of the subjects:

Student 1
Pre-test: Artificial intelligence is a type of intelligence that is carried out with robots.
Post-test: Artificial Intelligence is a technology that tries to get machines to learn through experience and trial and error.

Student 2
Pre-test: The ability to emulate the human mind in a machine
Post-test: When a machine has the capacity to perform actions for which we consider it necessary to reason and think like a human.

Conclusions and future work

In order to ensure that the citizens of the future understand the implications of AI and maximize its potential opportunities, it is critical to provide them with the necessary skills to understand AI and its different areas of action. Moreover, given the rising demand in STEM and AI related jobs, the inclusion of AI contents in education might be an effective movement to awaken vocation in young people.

As AI is closely related to programming, the development of hands-on AI projects seems to be a powerful way to foster CT skills as well as a means to learn AI and ML fundamentals. However, existing programming platforms, as Scratch, are not enough to develop complete projects revealing AI techniques. Consequently, researchers and educators have started to develop new tools during the last years, which are mainly focused on teaching and learning of ML and, specifically, on supervised learning.

The nucleus of this paper is constituted by the introduction of LearningML, a new tool, designed and developed by the authors, aimed to teach and learn the supervised learning technique. The tool is composed of an ML platform where ML models from data gathered and labeled by the learner are built, and a programming platform where the learner can develop creative applications able to recognize texts, images, sounds or numbers by means of these ML models. All pros and cons found in previous tools along with the “low floor, high ceiling and wide wall” principles have been taken as design guidelines.

Tools aimed to develop CT skills, as Scratch, help to engage the student with all of the commonly accepted dimensions of CT: concepts, practices and perspectives. Among these dimensions, seven concepts (sequences, loops, events, parallelism, conditionals, operators and data), four sets of practices (being incremental and iterative, testing and debugging, reusing and remixing, and abstracting and modularizing) and three
perspectives (expressing, connecting and questioning) have been identified as essential elements (Brennan & Resnick, 2012). Since developing practical AI projects implies a coding phase, all of them can be exercised with LearningML.

Moreover, the ML model generation performed before coding through the ML platform of LearningML, enables students to engage with some new elements that should be added to this CT framework. Classification, prediction and generation appear as concepts that mean different ways in which results are presented by AI models. Training, validating and testing emerge as new practices, since they represent the necessary tasks to be carried out in order to build ML models while they are being generated. Lastly, evaluating the final product that integrates the AI model, aims at discovering and questioning to what extent such product achieves its goal, thus providing a new perspective (Brummelen, Shen, & Patton 2019).

| Concepts          | Practices                  | Perspectives     |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Brennan & Resnick | Sequences                  | Being incremental and iterative |
| CT Framework      | Loops                      | Testing and debugging |
| dimensions        | Events                     | Reusing and remixing |
|                   | Parallelism                | Abstracting and modularizing |
|                   | Conditionals               |                 |
|                   | Operators                  |                 |
|                   | Data                       |                 |
|                   | Classification             | Training         |
|                   | Prediction                 | Validating       |
| AI extension of   | Generation                 | Testing          |
| Brennan & Resnick |                           |                 |
| CT Framework      |                           | Evaluating       |
| dimensions        |                           |                 |

Table 10. Brennan & Resnick's CT framework (2012) extended for AI (Brummelen, Shen, & Patton 2019).

The results of the intervention seem very promising, especially taking into account that this effect was experienced after just 2 hours of treatment, which highlights the impact that LearningML had on the learners and draws attention to its potential as a tool to foster AI and ML knowledge. However, different results may be achieved with younger students and with learners with no prior programming experience. Therefore, these results may be understood just as a validation of the pilot intervention, but more interventions with a more diverse type of students must be carried out in order to fully validate the tool.

Indeed, at the time of writing this paper, the research group behind LearningML is working on a strategy to validate whether the tool is an appropriate and effective educational resource. First, some practical AI activities ready to be used in the classroom
are being designed and made available to all those who wish to test the tool on the LearningML website. These activities will cover all levels of primary and secondary education and will be implemented in the near future through a series of workshops with the collaboration of some schools. The goals of these workshops are to assess both its face validity, testing whether the tool can be fluently used by children, and its instructional validity, testing if some knowledge about AI and ML contents are acquired by them after the intervention, and if so, to what extent. Therefore, a psychometric test specifically designed to this end has to be elaborated.

If these experimental interventions show positive results, the roadmap for the development of the tool is as follows. We plan to include the possibility of working with both unsupervised and reinforcement learning, the other two members of the ML family. Learners should also be able to choose which learning algorithm, among some of the best known, will be used to build the model, and to tune their main parameters, so learners could study the effect of the changes on the final model.
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