Non-perturbative fixed points and renormalization group improved effective potential
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Abstract

The stability conditions of a renormalization group improved effective potential have been discussed in the case of scalar QED and QCD with a colorless scalar. We calculate the same potential in these models assuming the existence of non-perturbative fixed points associated with a conformal phase. In the case of scalar QED the barrier of instability found previously is barely displaced as we approach the fixed point, and in the case of QCD with a colorless scalar not only the barrier is changed but the local minimum of the potential is also changed.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of a Higgs-like particle at the CERN-LHC, and the fact that this particle is “lighter” than what could be expected for the Higgs boson in several extensions of the Standard Model (SM) is leading to a deeper investigation of the mass generation mechanism as it is known in the SM. Many recent papers are discussing the Higgs mechanism under new points of view, such as the naturality of the model \cite{1,2,3}, its stability \cite{4,5,6}, and studying possible alternatives or extensions of the model.

Several years ago the possibility that a conformal classical symmetry could be important in the mass generation mechanism was discussed by Meissner and Nicolai \cite{7}. At that time they proposed an extension of the SM where the radiative symmetry breaking calculated with the help of the effective potential, as first suggested by Coleman and Weinberg (CW) \cite{8}, was compatible with the experimental data then available. The example of \cite{7} was already giving an answer to the questions of naturality and stability of the Higgs mechanism, and the possibility that the SM symmetry breaking could be implemented through radiative corrections is still in discussion \cite{9}.

How the CW effective potential calculation is applicable and reliable in a realistic theory is a motive of debate. Meissner and Nicolai discussed the applicability of a renormalization group (RG) improved version of the one-loop CW effective potential in simple models \cite{10}, where the behavior of the coupling constants could be easily calculated. The inclusion of the coupling constants evolution extends the validity range of the effective potential. One of the criteria for applicability of the RG improved CW effective potential proposed in \cite{10} was that the running coupling constants, expressed as functions of the classical field, should stay small. Of course, away from the origin the RG $\beta$ functions will depend on the renormalization scheme and how the coupling is defined. However, we should expect the stability of the effective potential certainly to depend on the coupling constants RG behavior in a much larger range of values \cite{11}.

In the examples of classically conformal theories of \cite{10} the effective potential stability is connected to ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) barriers caused by the presence of a Landau pole in the QED or QCD couplings. However, the existence of a Landau pole in these couplings has been questioned, and instead of a pole they may present a non-perturbative fixed point. Due to the phenomenon of dynamical symmetry breaking in QED and QCD, the coupling constants may freeze after they reach a certain critical value, whereas in the QCD case, as will be discussed later, such critical value is even not so large. It is the effect of non-perturbative fixed points of these types in the RG improved effective potential calculation, applied to the models of \cite{10}, that we want to discuss in this work. Their effect has not been discussed in the context of the CW potential and they may even modify the potential stability conditions.

In QED the non-perturbative fixed point that we referred to above implies a critical coupling $\alpha_c = \pi/3$ \cite{12,13}, where $\alpha_c$ is the UV critical value of the fine structure constant ($\alpha \equiv e^2/4\pi$). This behavior is a consequence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, in a mechanism similar to the fall into the Coulomb center for large charge \cite{14}, with a $\beta$ function that is approximated by

$$\beta_\alpha = -2(\alpha - \alpha_c).$$

(1)

It is not clear whether this fixed point indeed exists, and QED already does not make sense at the physical scale of such critical value, which happens to be above the Planck scale. Nevertheless, the study of such possibility can be instructive.

On the other hand, there are many plausible evidences that QCD develops an infrared non-perturbative fixed point. For instance, the study of dynamical mass generation in QCD indicates that the coupling constant may freeze in the infrared as \cite{15,16}

$$g^2(k^2) = \frac{1}{\beta_0 \ln[(k^2 + 4m^2)/\Lambda^2]},$$

(2)
where $\beta_0 = (11N - 2n_q)/48\pi^2$ with $n_q$ quark flavors. $\Lambda$ is the characteristic QCD scale, and $m_0$ is a dynamically generated "effective mass" for the gluon, whose preferred value is $m_0 \approx 2A$. The IR value of Eq. (3) as well as the compilation of other IR values for the QCD coupling obtained in different phenomenological applications can be seen in [10], and they do not indicate an abrupt transition to the non-perturbative regime. We can also quote theoretical estimates of $\alpha_s(0)$ through the functional Schrödinger equation, which suggest $\alpha_s(0) \approx 0.57$ [19]. The infrared finite effective charge of QCD, in the context of Schwinger-Dyson equations, has also been discussed in [20] and is associated with an infrared finite gluon propagator. Actually, finite IR gluon propagators have been confirmed in lattice simulations [21, 22], and they do lead to a non-perturbative IR fixed point [23]. The effect of such non-perturbative coupling constant has not been explored in the case of a CW potential below. We can also quote theoretical estimates of the renormalization mass scale, where $\hat{\gamma}(\hat{g})$ is an anomalous dimension associated with the coupling constants.

We will discuss the effective potential of Eq. (3) in the case of massless scalar QED. The scalar self-coupling and the gauge coupling are respectively given by

$$y = \frac{g}{4\pi}, \quad u = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}, \quad (5)$$

and their RG equations are

$$2\frac{dy}{dL} = a_1 y^2 - a_2 y u + a_3 u^2, \quad 2\frac{du}{dL} = 2b u^2, \quad (6)$$

where $a_1 = 5/6, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 9$ and $b = 1/12$. The anomalous dimension is $\gamma(y, u) = cu$, with $c = 3/4$. The solutions of Eq. (6) are

$$u(L) = \frac{u_0}{1 - bu_0 L}, \quad (7)$$

$$y(L) = \frac{(a_2 + 2b)}{2a_1} u(L) + \frac{A u(L)}{2a_1} \tan \left( \frac{A}{8b} \ln u(L) + C \right), \quad (8)$$

where

$$A = \sqrt{4a_1a_3 - (a_2 + 2b)^2}, \quad (9)$$

is a positive quantity and $C$ is a constant chosen to satisfy $y(0) = y_0$.

The RG improved effective potential at one loop is

$$W_{eff} = \frac{\pi^2 \varphi^4 y(L)}{(1 - bu_0 L)^{2c/b}}, \quad (10)$$

Eq. (10) is the result obtained in [10]. This result has one striking difference in relation to the unimproved potential, which is the presence of two barriers, one related to the UV Landau pole and an IR one where the potential becomes unbounded from below.

Let us now suppose that the theory has a fixed point at $L = 5100$. Note that this would be a possibility for QED with fermions as discussed in Refs. [12, 13], but here this is just an "ad hoc" supposition to exemplify what may happen with the effective potential in the case of a possible freezing of the coupling constant. We see in Fig. [1] that the critical point of the perturbative coupling will occur for $L > 5000$, while for much smaller $L$ values the coupling follows Eq. (7). As a consequence, for small values of $L$ we have $u = e^2/4\pi^2$, and at large $L$ the coupling freezes at $u \approx 1/\pi$.

The main difference between this work and previous calculations of the RG improved effective potential is the introduction of an interpolating coupling constant joining the perturbative to the non-perturbative regime. The best interpolation formula between these values is given by a $\tan^{-1}$ function. We make a fit for the gauge coupling assuming the RG standard solution for $L < 4500$, and interpolate it in the region $4500 < L < 5300$ with a $\tan^{-1}$ formula, such that it will be joined to the frozen value of the coupling for $L > 5300$. A fit that is reasonable from $L = 0$ up to $L < 4000$ at 0.1% level is given by

$$u_{f0}(L) = 0.105 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{L - 5100}{110} \right) + 0.165. \quad (11)$$

In Fig. [1] we show the gauge coupling as a function of $L$, where the dashed curve is the ordinary perturbative behavior with the pole and the continuous curve is the one showing a freezing coupling constant above the critical QED coupling. There is no pole associated with the gauge coupling, and with the help of Eq. (11) we can numerically compute the RG solution for the scalar self-coupling shown in Eq. (8), which still has an IR pole. The behavior of this coupling is shown in Fig. [2]. In this figure it is possible to see that the barrier present in the purely perturbative calculation is barely changed, and this is the point where the potential will become unbounded from below.

The behavior of the effective potential is slightly changed for small $L$ as may be seen in Fig. [3]. Note that in the QED
The gauge coupling constant will be redefined in the following as
\[ \alpha_L = \alpha_{\text{QCD}} - \lambda \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \]
where \( \alpha_{\text{QCD}} \) is the physical value of the QCD coupling. For instance, typical values of \( \alpha_{\text{QCD}} \) are found in order to obtain a coupling constant compatible with the experimental data. For example, typical values of the different parameters appearing in these equations are \( a_1 = 6, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 3/2, b_1 = 9/4, b_2 = 4, c = 7/2, \) and \( h = 3/4 \) [24].

One can find particular solutions for \( z(L) \) and \( x(L) \) as follows
\[ z(L) = \frac{z_0}{1 + c z_0 L/2}, \]
\[ x(L) = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} z(L)(1 + K z(L)^{1-2b_1/c} + \cdots), \]
where \( K \) is chosen to satisfy \( x(0) = x_0 \). The parameter \( z_0 \) is found in order to obtain a coupling constant compatible with physical values of the QCD coupling. For instance, typical values in [10] were assumed to be \( x_0 = 0.120 \) and \( z_0 = 0.249 \). The \( x(L) \) can be also written as
\[ x(L) = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} z(L)(1 - \frac{K}{b_1} z(L)^{1-2b_1/c} + \cdots), \]
and since \( b_2 > c \) the power of \( z(L) \) in the denominator will be negative and at the weak coupling limit \( x(L) \) has the following behavior
\[ x(L) \to \beta z(L), \]
where the values of the different parameters appearing in these equations are \( a_1 = 6, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 3/2, b_1 = 9/4, b_2 = 4, c = 7/2, \) and \( h = 3/4 \) [24].

One can find particular solutions for \( z(L) \) and \( x(L) \) as follows
\[ z(L) = \frac{z_0}{1 + c z_0 L/2}, \]
\[ x(L) = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} z(L)(1 + K z(L)^{1-2b_1/c} + \cdots), \]
where \( K \) is chosen to satisfy \( x(0) = x_0 \). The parameter \( z_0 \) is found in order to obtain a coupling constant compatible with physical values of the QCD coupling. For instance, typical values in [10] were assumed to be \( x_0 = 0.120 \) and \( z_0 = 0.249 \). The \( x(L) \) can be also written as
\[ x(L) = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1} z(L)(1 - \frac{K}{b_1} z(L)^{1-2b_1/c} + \cdots), \]
and since \( b_2 > c \) the power of \( z(L) \) in the denominator will be negative and at the weak coupling limit \( x(L) \) has the following behavior
\[ x(L) \to \beta z(L), \]
where the values of the different parameters appearing in these equations are \( a_1 = 6, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 3/2, b_1 = 9/4, b_2 = 4, c = 7/2, \) and \( h = 3/4 \) [24].
where
\[ \beta = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1}. \]  
(21)

With the \( x(L) \) solution and the differential equation \[ \text{Ref. [16]} \), we obtain \( y(L) \) at the weak coupling limit,
\[ y(L) = \rho \tau(L), \]  
(22)
where \( \rho \) is a constant and the effective potential is
\[ W_{eff}(L) = \sqrt{2} \alpha^2 y(L) \rho a \left( \frac{b_1 \tau(L) a - c}{b_1} - K \right)^{2b} \rho \tau(L). \]  
(23)

We now depart from what was done in \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \] and assume an \textit{ad hoc} fixed point like the one shown in Eq. \[ \text{(2)} \]. The QCD IR frozen behavior described in Eq. \[ \text{(2)} \] was obtained for pure gauge QCD, and the fixed point of Eq. \[ \text{(2)} \] is not modified in the presence of a small number of fermions \[ \text{Ref. [16]} \]. Here we assume that the presence of the colorless scalar boson does not change such behavior. Moreover, since the model is not realistic, the region where the freezing of the coupling constant occurs will be described by an extra parameter, that we will vary in order to see the general behavior of such fixed point.

The QCD coupling constant will be modified in the IR according to
\[ z^{\text{eff}}(L) = \frac{z^{\text{pp}}_0}{1 + A \ln \left( \frac{\phi^2}{\eta^2} \right)}, \]  
(24)
where \( L \equiv \ln(\phi^2/\eta^2) \). The parameter \( a \) in Eq. \[ \text{(24)} \] plays the same role of the dynamical gluon mass in Eq. \[ \text{(2)} \], and \( \eta \) is a renormalization scale that can be related to the QCD scale. Note that the coupling is frozen for \( \phi/\eta < a/\eta \), where \( a \) is a free parameter in the effective potential calculation. The change is basically what would be expected in actual QCD with dynamical gluon mass generation.

Consistency between the different couplings implies the same behavior of \( z(L) \) and \( z^{\text{pp}}(L) \) for \( L \gg 1 \) and \( \eta \gg 1 \) (or \( a^2 / \eta^2 \ll 1 \)), i.e. away from the fixed point we must have a perfect match between Eq. \[ \text{(24)} \] and the perturbative tail of the gauge coupling in Eq. \[ \text{(19)} \]. In order to have this agreement of the couplings in the perturbative regime we can adjust the \( \eta \) of Eq. \[ \text{(19)} \] as a function of \( x(L) \) better than 1% are
\[ Z_{0}^{\text{pp}} = 0.272, \quad A = 0.48. \]  
(25)
We can see how Eq. \[ \text{(24)} \] behaves as we change the ratio \( \frac{\xi}{\eta} \), in Fig. \[ \text{(4)} \], where it is possible to observe the freezing of the coupling in the infrared region. For comparison, the result of \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \] is also shown, appearing in the region where \( \xi/\eta \ll 1 \).

The scalar coupling \( y(L) \) can be obtained in two ways. In the first one we can approximate \( x(L) \) in Eq. \[ \text{(19)} \] as
\[ x(L) \rightarrow \beta z^{\text{pp}}(L), \quad \beta = \frac{b_2 - c}{b_1}, \]  
(26)
then we find
\[ y^{\text{pp}}(L) \approx C z^{\text{pp}}(L), \]  
(27)
where \( C > 0 \) is a constant. This result is the equivalent of Eq. \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \], i.e. an approximation for the scalar coupling in the very weak coupling regime. Therefore the scalar coupling behavior will be similar to the QCD gauge coupling, since the only difference is the constant \( C \). In the second case we consider \( x(L) \) given by Eq. \[ \text{(19)} \] and solve numerically Eq. \[ \text{(15)} \] obtaining the full non-perturbative behavior of the coupling \( y^{\text{pp}}(L) \). The numerical results using the same initial conditions of \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \] at large \( \xi/\eta \) are shown in Fig. \[ \text{(5)} \]. We noticed that the scalar coupling is quite independent of the ratio \( \xi/\eta \). The result of \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \] at large \( L \) is obtained with \( \xi/\eta = 0.67 \) and changes as we move away from this value.

Finally we can consider the effective potential given by Eq. \[ \text{(23)} \]. This one is shown in Fig. \[ \text{(6)} \] where it is possible to see that the effective potential of \[ \text{Ref. [10]} \] at small \( L \) is recovered for \( \xi/\eta \approx 0 \). However, this potential has a different behavior as we slowly increase the ratio \( \xi/\eta \) what can be observed in Fig. \[ \text{(7)} \]. The striking fact is that the presence of the fixed point changes the position of the minimum of the potential when compared to the one shown in Fig. \[ \text{(6)} \].

4. Conclusions

The stability and reliability of the CW potential can be better studied in the RG improved effective potential approach.
However, it is clear that a full analysis of the stability condition of the SM can imply in new physics [7] as well as on the non-perturbative analysis of the Higgs potential [11]. Here we consider the simple examples of scalar QED and QCD with a colorless scalar in order to verify the effect of possible non-perturbative fixed points in the RG effective potential calculation. In [10] the existence of UV and IR barriers describe the validity of the effective potential in these models and we verify that the existence of the fixed point we referred to above changes the barriers location.

We consider scalar QED and assume the existence of a conformal behavior at some critical value of the coupling constant. This is a totally ad hoc assumption, however this possibility has been extensively considered in the case of QED with fermions and with possible non-trivial four-fermion interactions [12, 13, 14]. This case is just one example to show the procedure that is going to be applied in the case of QCD with a colorless scalar. The main result is that the barriers found in [10] basically do not change, and the minimum of the potential is not modified, since it happens at small values of the gauge coupling constant, away from the critical coupling characterizing the non-perturbative fixed point.

Our second example is QCD with a colorless scalar. Here we assume that the QCD gauge coupling has a non-trivial IR fixed point similar to the one that is expected when QCD exhibits the phenomenon of dynamical gauge boson mass generation [15, 15, 23]. In this case the gauge coupling and scalar coupling barriers found in [10] are modified, due to the non-existence of a Landau pole in the IR behavior of the QCD gauge coupling. However, the most interesting fact is that the minimum value of the potential is changed, as can be observed in Fig. 8, because in this case the minimum is located in one region of the coupling constant that is governed by the non-perturbative fixed point. Of course, these are non-realistic examples but they may indicate that such effect may also affect the calculation of the RG improved effective potential in realistic models. We believe this is a possibility that deserves further study.
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