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Abstract

Free massless fermionic fields of arbitrary spins $s > 0$ corresponding to totally (anti)symmetric tensor-spinor representations of the $SO(d - 1)$ compact subgroup and in $d$-dimensional anti-de Sitter space are investigated. We propose the free equations of motion, subsidiary conditions and corresponding gauge transformations for such fields. The equations obtained are used to derive the lowest energy values for the above-mentioned representations. A new representation for equations of motion and gauge transformations in terms of generators of anti-de Sitter group $SO(d - 1, 2)$ is found. It is demonstrated that in contrast to the symmetric case the gauge parameter of the antisymmetric massless field is also a massless field.
The long term motivation of our investigation of higher-spin massless field theory in
the anti-de Sitter space of higher dimensions is to study the equations of interacting gauge
fields of all spins suggested in \[1\]. Because these equations are formulated in terms of
wavefunctions which depend on usual spacetime coordinates and certain twistor variables
it is not clear immediately what kind of fields they describe. At present because of \[1\],
it is known that in four dimensions \((d = 4)\) they describe unitary dynamics of massless
fields of all spins in anti-de Sitter space. It is expected that for the case of higher
dimensions \(d > 4\) they also describe unitary dynamics. The case of half-integral spins,
presented here is a necessary step in our study of massless higher spins of all spins for
arbitrary \(d\). For \(d = 4\) the integral spins have been studied in \[2\] and for arbitrary \(d\) in
\[3\]. We also hope that our results may be of wider interest, especially to anti-de Sitter
supergravity theories.

First let us formulate the main problem that we will solve in this letter. The
positive-energy lowest weight irreducible representation of \(so(d - 1, 2)\) algebra denoted
as \(D(E_0, m)\), is defined by \(E_0\), the lowest eigenvalue of the energy operator, and by
\(m = (m_1, \ldots, m_\nu), \nu = \left[ \frac{d-1}{2} \right]\), which is the weight of the \(so(d - 1)\) representation. For the
case of the four dimensional anti-de Sitter space \((d = 4)\) it has been discovered \[3\] that
for fermionic massless fields \(E_0 = s + 3/2, m = (m_1 = s + 1/2)\) (see also \[3,3\]). In the
present work we generalize this result for the case of arbitrary \(d\) and for the fermionic
denote the following two representations of the \(so(d - 1)\) algebra. The first representation has
\(m_{sym} = (s + 1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2)\), which is a totally symmetric representa-
tion and the second has \(m_{as} = (3/2, \ldots, 3/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2)\) (where the \(3/2\) occurs
\(s\) times in this sequence) which is a totally antisymmetric one. For gauge fields labeled
by \(m_{sym}\) and \(m_{as}\) the integer \(s\) satisfies \(s > 0\) and \(1 < s \leq \nu\), respectively. In this paper
we restrict ourselves to the case of even \(d\).

Now let us describe our conventions and notation. We describe the anti-de Sitter
space as a hyperboloid \(\eta_{AB} y^A y^B = 1\) in a \(d + 1\)-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space
with metric tensor \(\eta_{AB} = (+, -, \ldots, -, +)\), \(A, B = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1, d + 1\). To simplify our
expressions we will drop the metric tensor \(\eta_{AB}\) in scalar products.

The generators of the \(SO(d - 1, 2)\) group \(J^{AB}\) satisfy the commutation relations
\[
[J^{AB}, J^{CD}] = i\eta^{BC} J^{AD} + \text{three terms}.
\]

We split \(J^{AB}\) into an orbital part \(L^{AB}\) and a spin part \(M^{AB}\): \(J^{AB} = L^{AB} + M^{AB}\). The
realization of \(L^{AB}\) in terms of a differential operator defined on the hyperboloid
\(y^A y^A = 1\) is
\[
L^{AB} = y^A p^B - y^B p^A, \quad p^A \equiv i\theta^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^B}, \quad \theta^{AB} \equiv \eta^{AB} - y^A y^B,
\]
where \(p^A\) is a momentum operator. The \(p^A\) has the properties \(y^A p^A = 0\), \(p^A y^A = id\) and
satisfies the commutation relations \([p^A, y^B] = i\theta^{AB}\) and \([p^A, p^B] = iL^{AB}\). A form for
\(M^{AB}\) depends on the realization of the representations. We will use the tensor realization
of representations. As the carriers for \(D(E_0, m)\) we use totally (anti)symmetric tensor-
spinor fields \(\Psi^A_{\alpha \ldots \alpha s(sym)}\) over the hyperboloid \(y^A y^A = 1\). Note we do not show explicitly
spinor index of \(\Psi\). Sometimes it will be convenient to use the generating function
\(|\Psi_{as\text{(sym)}}\rangle \equiv (s!)^{-1} a_{as\text{(sym)}}^{A_1} \cdots a_{as\text{(sym)}}^{A_s} \Psi_{as\text{(sym)}}^{A_1 \cdots A_s} |0\rangle \),

where |0\rangle is the Fock vacuum (i.e. \(\bar{a}^{A}|0\rangle = 0\)) and \(a^A\) and \(\bar{a}^A\) satisfy the (anti)commutation relations

\[
\{\bar{a}^A_{as}, a^B_{as}\} = -\eta^{AB}, \quad [\bar{a}^A_{sym}, a^B_{sym}] = -\eta^{AB},
\]

and \(\{a^A_{as}, a^B_{as}\} = 0, [a^A_{sym}, a^B_{sym}] = 0\). The subscripts \(as\) and \(sym\) will often be dropped in the following when there is no ambiguity. For realizations given by (1), \(M^{AB}\) has the form

\[
M^{AB} = M_b^{AB} + \sigma^{AB},
\]

where

\[
M_b^{AB} = -i(a^A \bar{a}^B - a^B \bar{a}^A), \quad \sigma^{AB} = \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^A \gamma^B - \gamma^B \gamma^A)
\]

and \(\gamma^A\) are gamma matrices: \(\{\gamma^A, \gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB}\).

Being the carriers for \(D(E_0, m)\), the fields \(\Psi\) satisfy the equations

\[
(Q - \langle Q \rangle)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \tag{2},
\]

and allow the following subsidiary covariant constraints:

\[
y^{A_1} \Psi^{A_1 \cdots A_s} = 0 \quad \text{(transversality)} \tag{3},
\]

\[
\gamma^{A_1} \Psi^{A_1 \cdots A_s} = 0 \tag{4},
\]

\[
p^{A_1} \Psi^{A_1 \cdots A_s} = 0 \quad \text{(divergencelessness)} \tag{5},
\]

\[
\Psi_{sym}^{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_s} = 0 \quad \text{(tracelessness)} \tag{6}.
\]

In equation (2) \(Q\) is the second-order Casimir operator of the \(so(d-1,2)\) algebra

\[
Q = \frac{1}{2} J^{AB} J^{AB},
\]

while \(\langle Q \rangle\) are its eigenvalues for totally (anti)symmetric representations

\[
\langle Q_{as} \rangle = E_0(E_0 + 1 - d) + s(d - s) + \frac{1}{8}(d - 1)(d - 2),
\]

\[
\langle Q_{sym} \rangle = E_0(E_0 + 1 - d) + s(s + d - 2) + \frac{1}{8}(d - 1)(d - 2).
\]

The \(\langle Q \rangle\) can be calculated according to the well known procedure [7]. \(E_0\) is the lowest eigenvalue of \(J^{0d+1}\). The expression for \(Q\) can be rewritten as

\[
Q = p^2 + L^{AB} M^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} M^{AB} M^{AB}, \quad p^2 \equiv p^A p^A.
\]

Now taking into account the easily derived equalities \(\sigma^{AB} \sigma^{AB} = d(d+1)/4\) and
\[ L^{AB} M^{AB}_b |\Psi\rangle = -2s |\Psi\rangle , \quad L^{AB} \sigma^{AB} |\Psi\rangle = i\gamma \not{p} |\Psi\rangle , \quad \sigma^{AB} M^{AB}_b |\Psi\rangle = s |\Psi\rangle , \]

\[
M^{AB}_b M^{AB}_b |\Psi_{(as)\text{sym}}\rangle = \begin{cases} 
2s(d + 1 - s) |\Psi_{as}\rangle, \\
2s(s + d - 1) |\Psi_{sym}\rangle, 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\hat{p}^2 = p^2 + i\gamma \not{p}, \quad \not{p} \equiv \gamma^A p^A \quad \text{and} \quad y^A \equiv \gamma^A y^A , \quad \{\not{p}, y\} = id , \quad \text{one can rewrite equation (2) as}
\]

\[
(p^2 - m^2) |\Psi\rangle = 0 , \quad \text{(7)}
\]

where we introduce formally the “mass” term

\[
m^2 \equiv (E_0 + \frac{1}{2})(E_0 + \frac{1}{2} - d) . \quad \text{(8)}
\]

To define \( E_0 \) corresponding to massless fields we should respect gauge-invariant equations of motion for \( \Psi \). For the case of totally symmetric representations we could use the interesting results of [8] (see also [9]), where the gauge-invariant equations were formulated in terms of linear curvatures. However, we prefer to use a simpler and as it seems to us more adequate procedure to derive \( E_0 \). We proceed in the following way.

First we write the most general gauge transformations for \( \Psi^{A_1...A_s} \)

\[
\delta \Psi^{A_1...A_s} = \sigma(A) \left( p^{A_1} \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} + y^{A_1} R^{A_2...A_s} + \gamma^{A_1} S^{A_2...A_s} \right) + \text{cyclic permutations} \quad \text{(9)}
\]

where \( \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} , R^{A_2...A_s} , \) and \( S^{A_2...A_s} \) are the totally (anti)symmetric tensor-spinor fields. In equation (9) and below ‘cyclic permutations’ indicates the terms which are obtainable by making cyclic permutations of indices \( A_1, ... , A_s \). For totally symmetric representations \( \sigma_{sym}^A(A) = 1 \) while for the antisymmetric one we have \( \sigma_{as}^A(A) = 1 \) for even cyclic permutations of \( (A_1, ... , A_s) \) and \( \sigma_{as}^A(A) = (-1)^{s-1} \) for odd cyclic permutations of \( (A_1, ... , A_s) \). On the gauge parameter fields \( \Lambda^{\cdots} , R^{\cdots} \) and \( S^{\cdots} \) we impose the algebraic constraints like (3)–(6):

\[
y^{A_2} \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} = y^{A_2} R^{A_2...A_s} = y^{A_2} S^{A_2...A_s} = 0 , \quad \text{(10)}
\]

\[
\gamma^{A_2} \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} = \gamma^{A_2} R^{A_2...A_s} = \gamma^{A_2} S^{A_2...A_s} = 0 , \quad \text{(11)}
\]

\[
p^{A_2} \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} = p^{A_2} R^{A_2...A_s} = p^{A_2} S^{A_2...A_s} = 0 , \quad \text{(12)}
\]

\[
\Lambda_{sym}^{A_1A_2...A_s} = R_{sym}^{A_1A_2...A_s} = S_{sym}^{A_1A_2...A_s} = 0 . \quad \text{(13)}
\]

Secondly, we require that subsidiary conditions (3)–(6) and (7) be invariant with respect to the gauge transformation (9). Note that due to (10)–(13) the invariance requirement of (5) is already satisfied. From the invariance requirement of (8) (i.e. \( y^{A_1} \delta \Psi^{A_1\cdots} = 0 \)) it follows

\[
R^{A_2...A_s} = \mp i(s - 1) \Lambda^{A_2...A_s} - y S^{A_2...A_s} . \quad \text{(14)}
\]
In equation (14) and in the following upper and lower signs correspond to antisymmetric and symmetric cases, respectively. Thus at this stage we have the gauge transformations

$$\delta \Psi^{A_1\cdots A_s} = \sigma(A) \left( (p^{A_1} \mp i(s-1)y^{A_1}) \Lambda^{A_2\cdots A_s} + \theta^{A_1B} \gamma^B S^{A_2\cdots A_s} \right) + \text{cyclic permutations}$$ (15)

From the invariance requirement of (4) with respect to (15) (i.e. $\gamma^{A_1} \delta \Psi^{A_1\cdots} = 0$) we get

$$
(p \mp i(s-1)y) \Lambda^{A_2\cdots A_s} + (d \mp (2s-2)) S^{A_2\cdots A_s} = 0.
$$
(16)

From the invariance requirement of (3) (i.e. $p^{A_1} \delta \Psi^{A_1\cdots} = 0$) we get

$$
\left( p^2 - (s-1)(s-1 \mp (d-1)) \right) \Lambda^{A_2\cdots A_s} + (p \pm i(s-1 \mp d)y) S^{A_2\cdots A_s} = 0.
$$
(17)

From the invariance requirement of (7) (i.e. $(p^2 - m^2) \delta \Psi^{\cdots} = 0$) we get

$$m_{\text{as}}^2 = s(s - d), \quad m_{\text{sym}}^2 = (s - 2)(s + d - 2).$$ (18)

In deriving (18) we use the commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
[\gamma^2, p^A] &= (1 - d)p^A - 2iy^A p^2 + \gamma^A \gamma, \\
[\gamma^2, y^A] &= 2ip^A + (d - 1)y^A + \gamma^A y, \\
[\gamma^2, \theta^{AB} \gamma^B] &= y^A (-2ip - (d + 1)y) + \gamma^A.
\end{align*}
$$

Now comparing (18) and (8) it is seen that there is a quadratic equation for $E_0$, whose solutions read

$$E_0^{(1,2)} = \begin{cases} 
  d - s - 1/2 \quad \text{or} \quad s - 1/2 & \text{for } \Psi_{\text{as}} \\
  s + d - 5/2 \quad \text{or} \quad -s + 3/2 & \text{for } \Psi_{\text{sym}}
\end{cases}$$ (19)

As seen from (19) there exists an arbitrariness of choosing $E_0$. Thus we can conclude that the gauge invariance by itself does not uniquely determine the physical relevant value of $E_0$ (in this regard the situation is similar to the $d = 4$ case, see [2]). To choose a physical relevant value of $E_0$ one can exploit the unitarity condition, that is, (i) Hermiticity $(J^{AB})^\dagger = J^{BA}$; (ii) the positive norm requirement. Making use of this unitarity condition one can prove that the $E_0$ should satisfy the inequalities $E_0 \geq d - s - 1/2$ for the case of $\Psi_{\text{as}}$ and $E_0 \geq s + d - 5/2$ for the case of $\Psi_{\text{sym}}$. Comparing these inequalities with (19) we find the following physical relevant values of $E_0$

$$E_0 = \begin{cases} 
  d - s - 1/2, & 1 \leq s \leq (d - 2)/2, \quad \text{for } \Psi_{\text{as}} \\
  s + d - 5/2, & s > 0, \quad \text{for } \Psi_{\text{sym}}
\end{cases}$$ (20)

where we recall domains of values of $s$. Thus the analysis anti-de Sitter gauge transformations in combination with unitarity enables us to fix the $E_0$, i.e. gauge invariance and unitarity complement each other. For the case of $d = 4$ and $\Psi_{\text{sym}}$ the solution
\[ E_0 = s + 3/2 \] is the well known result of [4]. Note that our result for \( E_0 \) (24) cannot be extended to cover the case of one-half spinor representation \( (s = 0) \) because the \( E_0 \) obtained are relevant only for gauge fields. This case should be considered in its own right and the relevant value \( E_0 \) for \( s = 0 \) = \((d - 1)/2\) can be obtained by using requirement of conformal invariance (see [11]). The case of \( s = 0, d = 4 \) has been investigated in [12].

Up to now we analysed second-order equations for \( \Psi \). Now we would like to derive first-order equations. To do that we use the relations

\[ p / = -i \gamma \kappa, \quad [\kappa, \gamma] = \gamma (-2 \kappa - d), \quad \gamma^2 = 1, \]

and rewrite equation (7) as follows:

\[ (\kappa + E_0 + \frac{1}{2})(\kappa - E_0 - \frac{1}{2} + d)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \]

Thus we could use the following two first-order equations of motion:

\[ (\kappa - E_0 - \frac{1}{2} + d)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad (22) \]

\[ (\kappa + E_0 + \frac{1}{2})|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle = 0. \quad (23) \]

Due to relation

\[ (\kappa - E_0 - \frac{1}{2} + d)|\Psi\rangle = -\gamma (\kappa + E_0 + \frac{1}{2})\gamma |\Psi\rangle \]

it is clear that \(|\Psi\rangle \) and \(|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle \) are related by

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \gamma |\tilde{\Psi}\rangle \]

i.e. equations (22) and (23) are equivalent. We will use the equation of motion given by (22). Now we should verify gauge invariance of the first-order equation (22) with respect to gauge transformations (15). It turns out that the invariance requirement of (22) with respect to (15) leads to

\[ S^{A_2 \ldots A_s} = 0. \quad (24) \]

In deriving (24) we use the commutation relations

\[ [\kappa, p^A] = \gamma^A p^A - p^A, \quad [\kappa, y^A] = \gamma^A y^A - y^A, \]

\[ [\kappa, \theta^{AB} \gamma^B] = 2i p^A y^A + (d - 1)y^A \gamma^A + \gamma^A. \]

Thus the final form of gauge transformations is

\[ \delta \Psi^{A_1 \ldots A_s} = \sigma (A) \left( (p^{A_1} \mp i(s - 1)y^{A_1}) A^{A_2 \ldots A_s} \right) + \text{cyclic permutations} \quad (25) \]
which can be rewritten in a simpler form
\[ \delta |\Psi\rangle = a^A \left( p^A \mp i(s-1)y^A \right) |\Lambda\rangle \]
or in terms of \( E_0 \) (see equation (20)) as follows:
\[ \delta |\Psi\rangle = a^A \left( p^A \pm i E_0 + \frac{3}{2} - d \right) y^A |\Lambda\rangle , \]
where we use the notation
\[ |\Lambda\rangle = \left((s-1)\right)^{-1} a^{A_2} \ldots a^{A_s} \Lambda^{A_2 \ldots A_s} |0\rangle . \]
The equations of motion for \( \Lambda \) can be obtained from (16) and (24)
\[ (\kappa \pm (s-1)) |\Lambda\rangle = 0 \] (27)
which can also be rewritten in terms of \( E_0 \)
\[ (\kappa - E_0 - \frac{3}{2} + d) |\Lambda\rangle = 0 . \] (28)
Making use of (24) and (27) one can make sure that equation (17) is also satisfied.

Thus we have constructed equations of motion (22) which respect gauge transformations (26), where the gauge parameter fields \( \Lambda \) satisfy the constraints (10)–(13) and equations of motion (28). The relevant values of \( E_0 \) are given by (20). Note that the equations of motion are written in terms of the operator \( \kappa \) (see equation (21)). The \( \kappa \) was introduced in [10] while constructing the equation of motion for the field associated with the representation labeled by \( D(E_0,1/2) \). The \( \kappa \) is expressible in terms of the orbital part \( L^{AB} \) (see equation (21)). Now we would like to rewrite our equations of motion in terms of complete angular momentum \( J^{AB} \). In our opinion such a form is more promising. To do that let us first multiply equations (22) and (28) by \( y / \). Then we use the following equalities:
\[ y^A a^B J^{AB} = (ap) \mp \frac{1}{2} a^A g^{AB} \gamma^B \gamma \]
\[ y^A \gamma^B J^{AB} = -i y (\kappa + \frac{1}{2} d) + y^A \gamma^B M_b^{AB} , \]
where the expressions like \((ab)\) indicate the scalar product \( a^A b^A \). Now making use of the equalities \((a\bar{a})|\Psi\rangle = -s|\Psi\rangle , (a\bar{a})|\Lambda\rangle = -(s-1)|\Lambda\rangle \) which tell us that \( \Psi \) and \( \Lambda \) are tensors of rank \( s \) and \( s-1 \), respectively, and the equalities \((y\bar{a})|\Psi\rangle = 0 , (y\bar{a})|\Lambda\rangle = 0 \) which are the constraints (5) and (14) we rewrite the equations of motion (22) as
\[ \left( y^A \gamma^B J^{AB} + i (E_0 - \frac{d-1}{2}) y \right) |\Psi\rangle = 0 , \] (29)
the gauge transformations (26) as
\[ \delta |\Psi\rangle = y^A \left( a^B - \frac{a^C \theta^{CD} \gamma^D}{2E_0 + 3 - d} \right) J^{AB} |\Lambda\rangle , \] (30)
and equations of motion for gauge parameter fields (28) as

\[
(y^A \gamma^B J^{AB} + i(E^\Lambda_0 - \frac{d-1}{2})y)|\Lambda\rangle = 0, \tag{31}
\]

where we introduce lowest energy value for \(\Lambda\): \(E^\Lambda_0 = E_0 + 1\)

\[
E^\Lambda_0 = \begin{cases} 
d - s + 1/2 & \text{for } \Lambda_{as} \\
s + d - 3/2 & \text{for } \Lambda_{sym}
\end{cases}
\tag{32}
\]

In deriving (30) we use the equations of motion for \(\Lambda\) (28). Note also that in deriving of (29)–(31) it is necessary to use the relevant values of \(E_0\) given by (20).

With the values for \(E^\Lambda_0\) at hand we are ready to provide an answer to the question: do the gauge parameter fields meet the masslessness criteria? As expected, by analogy with \(d = 4\) case the answer for the case of \(\Lambda_{sym}\) is negative. As for \(\Lambda_{as}\) the answer is positive. Indeed the inter-relations between of spin value \(s\) and energy value \(E_0\) for massless fields are given by (20). Therefore the energy values for spin \(s - 1\) massless fields are obtainable by making substitutions \(s \rightarrow s - 1\) in (20). Because of the relations

\[
E^\Lambda_0 = E_0(s \rightarrow s - 1) \quad \text{for } \Lambda_{as} \tag{33}
\]

\[
E^\Lambda_0 \neq E_0(s \rightarrow s - 1) \quad \text{for } \Lambda_{sym} \tag{34}
\]

we conclude that the \(\Lambda_{as}\) is a massless field while the \(\Lambda_{sym}\) is a massive field. The result that \(\Lambda_{sym}\) is a massive field is a generalization of well known results for \(d = 4\).

To our knowledge the masslessness of \(\Lambda_{as}\) has not been referred to previously in the literature. Note that this fact was not discovered in \(d = 4\) because for this case there are no essentially totally antisymmetric representations, i.e. for the totally symmetric case one exhausts all representations.

In conclusion, let us formulate the results of this letter. For massless fermionic fields of arbitrary spins \(s > 0\) corresponding to totally (anti)symmetric tensor-spinor representations of the \(SO(d - 1)\) compact subgroup and in \(d\)-dimensional anti-de Sitter space we have constructed: (i) free equations of motion (22), subsidiary conditions (3)–(6), corresponding gauge transformations (26), and constraints (10)–(13) and equations of motion for the gauge parameter fields (28); (ii) the energy lowest values (20); (iii) the new representation for equations of motion (29),(31) and gauge transformations (30) in terms of the generators of the anti-de Sitter group \(SO(d - 1, 2)\). It is demonstrated that in contrast to the symmetric case the gauge parameter of the antisymmetric massless field is also a massless field (see equations (33) and (34)).
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