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**ABSTRACT**

Microdialysis is a technique used to measure the unbound antibiotic concentration in the interstitial spaces, the target site of action. *In vitro* recovery studies are essential to calibrate the microdialysis system for *in vivo* studies. The effect of a combination of antibiotics on recovery into microdialysate requires investigation.

*In vitro* microdialysis recovery studies were conducted on a combination of vancomycin and tobramycin, in a simulated *in vivo* model. Comparison was made between recoveries for three different concentrations and three different perfusate flow rates.

The overall relative recovery for vancomycin was lower than that of tobramycin. For tobramycin, a concentration of 20 µg/mL and flow rate of 1.0 µL/min had the best recovery. A concentration of 5.0 µg/mL and flow rate of 1.0 µL/min yielded maximal recovery for vancomycin.

Large molecular size and higher protein binding resulted in lower relative recoveries for vancomycin. Perfusate flow rates and drug concentrations affected the relative recovery when a combination of vancomycin and tobramycin was tested. Low perfusate flow rates were associated with higher recovery rates. For combination antibiotic measurement which includes agents that are highly protein bound, *in vitro* studies performed prior to *in vivo* studies may ensure the reliable measurement of unbound concentrations.
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1. Introduction

Combination antibiotic therapy is commonly used in clinical practice due to an increase in multidrug resistant bacterial infections\cite{1, 2}. Consequently, antibiotic pharmacokinetic data is essential to develop accurate dosing regimens which can achieve effective antibiotic concentrations at the site of infection which is mostly the interstitial fluid of tissue\cite{3, 4}. This principle is fundamental for not only optimal microbiological and clinical outcome, but also to minimise the risk of microbial resistance\cite{5-9}. Moreover, it is the free (unbound) drug concentrations at the site of infection that are relevant with dosing challenges prominent because tissue interstitial space fluid penetration can differ substantially for some drugs\cite{10}. Microdialysis is a minimally invasive sampling technique used to measure unbound drug concentrations in the interstitial space fluid of different tissues\cite{11, 12}, both in animals or humans\cite{13}. The pharmacokinetic data from \textit{in vivo} microdialysis studies can be used to design antibiotic dosing guidelines\cite{14}. The details of the microdialysis technique have been described elsewhere\cite{15-18}. Briefly, the probe has a semipermeable membrane tip, which is perfused with a physiological solution (perfusate) at a slow flow rate. According to the concentration gradient, molecules with a size less than that of the membrane pore size will diffuse from the tissue interstitial space fluid ($C_{\text{tissue}}$) into the perfusate and collect as the microdialysate ($C_{\text{dialysate}}$).

For most substances, the full equilibrium cannot be achieved i.e. $C_{\text{tissue}} > C_{\text{dialysate}}$. The term ‘recovery’ is used to describe the relationship between $C_{\text{tissue}}$ and $C_{\text{dialysate}}$. The ratio of $C_{\text{dialysate}}$ to $C_{\text{tissue}}$ is termed ‘relative recovery’. This factor is then used to calculate the actual drug concentration in the tissue interstitial space fluid. Knowing the drug concentration in the solution, \textit{in vitro} recovery studies could be used to investigate the effect of parameters such
as perfusate flow rate, membrane characteristics, membrane length and drug characteristics on recovery [19]. Furthermore, data from these studies could inform subsequent in vivo studies.

With combination antibiotic therapy a number of issues can affect drug recovery with in vivo studies [15]. In vitro microdialysis recovery studies using combination drugs can provide preliminary data on drug recovery and likely in vivo calibration [15]. Despite this there are very few microdialysis studies investigating relative recovery of antibiotics, let alone a combination of antibiotics, despite how commonly they are used clinically [20]. Furthermore, for combination antibiotics therapy, previous in vitro microdialysis recovery studies have not fully accounted for in vivo conditions [20].

Microdialysis catheters may have individual variation in membrane permeability. Diffusion through the microdialysis membrane follows Fick’s law. Hence, factors such as partition coefficient, particle size and surface area of the substance will affect the drug permeability through the membrane [21]. This necessitates individual probe calibration [22].

The feasibility of using microdialysis for different drugs depends on the physico-chemical characteristics of the substance, e.g. lipophilic and high molecular weight compounds are less likely to diffuse through the microdialysis catheter membrane and may be less feasible for microdialysis[23]. High molecular weight is associated with lower diffusion coefficients through the microdialysis membrane thus resulting in decreased recovery[23]. Vancomycin has protein binding of approximately 55% [24] and a molecular weight of ~1.5 Da. The molecular weight of tobramycin is 467 Da and with low serum protein binding (<30%) [25]. Both drugs are also hydrophilic and suited for microdialysis studies.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the relative recovery of concomitant vancomycin and tobramycin in an in vitro model simulating in vivo conditions. The study
assessed the effect of different perfusate flow rates and the concentrations of the antibiotic solutions on the relative recoveries.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and standards

Vancomycin hydrochloride was obtained from Aspen Pharmacare (St Leonards, Australia), tobramycin sulphate was obtained from Pfizer (Perth, Australia) and compound sodium lactate IV solution was obtained from Baxter (Old Toongabbie, Australia). The chemical structures for these compounds are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Structure of vancomycin (A) and tobramycin (B).](image)

Acetonitrile was HPLC-gradient grade (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), while dichloromethane (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), formic acid (Ajax, Taren Point, Australia), heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA, Fluka, Castle Hill, Australia) and trichloroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Castle Hill, Australia) were analytical grade. Ultrapure water was obtained using a Permutit system (resistivity at 25°C at least 18 MΩ.cm). Drug-free human plasma was obtained from the Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital blood bank (Brisbane, Australia).

2.2 Microdialysis in vitro model

Commercially available microdialysis probes CMA 63 (CMA Microdialysis AB, Stockholm, Sweden) with a molecular weight cut-off of 20 kDa; an outer diameter of 0.6 mm and a membrane length of 30 mm were used. Probes were perfused with lactated Ringer’s solution at flow rates of 1 and 2 µL/min by using a precision microinfusion pump CMA 107 (CMA Microdialysis AB, Stockholm, Sweden). To enable perfusion at 1.5 µL/min, a Cole-Parmer
two-syringe infusion pump 230 VAC CE (John Morris Group, Chatswood, Australia), was used.

2.3 Stock and standard solution preparation

A stock solution was freshly prepared by dissolving tobramycin in compound sodium lactate IV solution at 2 mg/mL and stored at –80 °C. A stock solution was freshly prepared by dissolving vancomycin in compound sodium lactate IV solution at 2 mg/mL and stored at –80 °C. These stock solutions were serially diluted with compound sodium lactate IV solution to produce a standard solution containing 200 µg/mL of both vancomycin and tobramycin, and a standard solution containing 20 µg/mL of both vancomycin and tobramycin.

2.4 Plasma sample solutions

The study plasma solutions were prepared using the stock solutions containing both vancomycin and tobramycin and drug-free plasma, to yield plasma sample solutions containing vancomycin and tobramycin at 0.5, 5.0 and 20 µg/mL.

2.5 Recovery experiments.

Microdialysis probes were fully immersed in four separate 100 mL beakers. The beakers contained either 0.5, 5 or 20 µg/mL of vancomycin and tobramycin plasma sample solution, or drug-free plasma. A magnetic stirrer was used to simulate in vivo conditions as previously described [26]. Temperature and pH of each of the study solutions were recorded to ensure consistency of these variables.

The microdialysis probe was connected to the precision pump and perfused at 5 µL/min with compound sodium lactate IV solution for 10 mins to flush the air out of the system. Following this, the probe was perfused at 1 µL/min for 1 hr to enable equilibration. At the end of the equilibration period the following perfusate flow rates were used for 100 mins
each, with sampling occurring at 20-min intervals (n=5 sampling points): 1.0, 1.5 and 2 µL/min. Samples were then stored at –80 °C for analysis.

The percent relative recovery was calculated using the recovery-by-gain formula as follows:

Relative recovery (%) = \( \frac{C_{\text{dialysate}}}{C_{\text{solution}}} \times 100 \)

Where \( C_{\text{dialysate}} \) is the mean (n=5) concentration in the microdialysate; \( C_{\text{solution}} \) is the mean concentration (n=5) in the study solution.

2.6 Instrument and analytical method

Vancomycin and tobramycin in plasma and microdialysate matrices were measured using validated Liquid Chromatography- tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods. Drug-free compound sodium lactate IV solution and drug-free plasma solution were used to prepare calibration standards used in the assay.

The LC-MS/MS used two Perkin Elmer LC-200 micro pumps and a CTC PAL autosampler equipped with an Applied Biosystems API2000 mass spectrometer detector. An electro-spray ionization (ESI) source interface operating in positive-ion mode was used for the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) LC-MS/MS analysis. The interface settings consisted of the nebulizing gas flow of 40 L/min, turbo gas of 50 L/min, curtain gas 30 L/min, ion-spray voltage of 4500 V, a turbo-gas temperature of 400°C, and the interface heater on. Two MRM s were monitored and summed for vancomycin, m/z of 725 to 144 and 725 to 99, whilst tobramycin was monitored at m/z of 468 to 163.

Chromatographic separation of vancomycin, tobramycin and the internal standard (teicoplanin) was achieved using a Waters Xterra C18 (5 µm) 2.1 × 150 mm analytical column using a gradient of mobile phases consisting of (a) 0.1% formic acid with 10mM HFBA and (b) 80% methanol in 0.1% formic acid with 10 mM HFBA. The mobile phase was operated using a concentration gradient for methanol, ranging from 5 to 80%. The analytical method for tobramycin was similar to that used in other studies[27-29].
Vancomycin and tobramycin in plasma were assayed separately. For the extraction of vancomycin from plasma, 100 µL of plasma was treated with 400 µL of acetonitrile to precipitate proteins, with 600 µL of dichloromethane subsequently added to remove both the acetonitrile and lipids. For the extraction of tobramycin from plasma, 200 µL of plasma was treated with the addition of 50 µL of 30% trichloroacetic acid. Both vancomycin and tobramycin were assayed simultaneously in microdialysate, with 10 µL of sample being diluted with 40 µL of internal standard (teicoplanin, 100 µg/mL) for direct injection onto the instrumental analysis.

Calibration standards were prepared using sequential dilution using a format of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 µg/mL (abbreviated where necessary). The chromatographic calibration was linear for vancomycin from 0.1 to 50 µg/mL in plasma (LLOQ 0.0917 ± 0.011 (mean ± SD)) and 0.2 to 50 µg/mL in microdialysate (LLOQ 0.196 ± 0.010,) and for tobramycin from 0.2 to 50 µg/mL in plasma (LLOQ 0.205 ± 0.012), and 0.1 to 20 µg/mL in microdialysate (LLOQ 0.111 ± 0.004). Quality control samples were prepared at three concentrations 0.6, 2 and 16 µg/mL with precision and accuracy within 15% for all analyses. All analyses passed the batch acceptance criteria. The assay was validated according to an international FDA guideline[30] in terms of stability, specificity, linearity, precision and accuracy.

2.7 Statistical analysis

A linear regression model was used, with recovery as the dependent variable and flow rate and concentration as independent variables. This allowed us to examine if the recovery changed when the flow rate or concentration were altered. To estimate the variation in recovery we fitted the linear regression model using a Bayesian paradigm and modelled the result of a new test, using the 95% credible interval to estimate the likely range in percent recovery for a new test. As we assume a constant variance across dose, flow rate, and sample number, this credible interval will apply to any mean. We used 10,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations to calculate the posterior distribution of the credible interval.
Carlo iterations with a burn-in of 10,000 thinned by 3. All analyses were made using R version 3.0.2 (www.r-project.org) with the Bayesian analysis in WinBUGS version 3.1.4 [31].

3. Results

The temperature of all the study solutions was constant at room temperature (24.0 °C ± 0.5). The pH of all the study solutions was 7.40 ± 0.04. The mean ± SD concentrations of vancomycin and tobramycin are summarised in Table 1. Table 2 presents the mean ± SD relative recoveries of vancomycin and tobramycin respectively.

3.1 Stability of relative recovery during in vitro microdialysis

There was no significant inter-experiment variation in relative recovery. Relative recovery appeared stable for each microdialysis probe over the 100-min sampling period. The variations were ± 11% for tobramycin and ± 14% for vancomycin (using the Bayesian 95% credible intervals).

3.2 Flow rate dependence on relative recovery

As shown in Table 2, the relative recoveries for vancomycin were higher in the 1.0 µL/min and 1.5 µL/min flow rates (mean 29.7% and 26.4%, respectively), compared with the 2.0 µL/min flow rate group (mean 21.4%). The regression model in Table 3 shows that a flow rate of 2.0 µL/min had a significantly lower relative recovery than the reference flow rate of 1.0 µL/min. The relative recoveries remained stable for the duration of sampling, i.e. 100 mins.

In Table 2, relative recoveries for tobramycin were seen to be comparable in the 1.0 µL/min and 1.5 µL/min flow rates (means 72.0% and 68.5%, respectively), but decreased at 2.0 µL/min (61.4%). There were no significant variations in the results over the duration of the study. Table 3 shows the regression model demonstrating the differences in the effect of flow
rate on the relative recoveries, with a significantly lower relative recovery for flow rates of 1.5 and 2.0 µL/min compared with 1.0 µL/min.

3.3 Concentration dependence relative recovery

As shown in Table 2, the relative recoveries for vancomycin were higher for the 5.0 µg/mL concentration (range 21.4 to 35.8%) compared with the 20 µg/mL concentration (range 19.4 to 30.5%). Importantly, the microdialysate in the 0.5 µg/mL group did not yield any results, as the concentrations were less than the lower limit of quantification of the assay (0.2 µg/mL). For tobramycin, the range of relative recoveries was as shown in Table 2 and highest for concentration of 20 µg/mL (range 56 to 71.5%).

3.4 Combined effect of drug concentration and flow rate

For vancomycin (Table 3) there was no statistical difference between the concentrations, but there was a lower relative recovery for flow rate of 2.0 µL/min compared with flow rate of 1.0 µL/min (Mean difference −11.6%, 95% CI: −18.9 to −4.3%, P value= 0.003). The highest relative recovery was for a concentration of 5.0 µg/mL and flow rate of 1.0 µL/min (Mean recovery 30.2%, 95% CI: 24.8% to 35.7%).

For tobramycin (Table 3), there was a higher relative recovery for the concentration of 20 µg/mL compared with 0.5 µg/mL, with a mean increase of 5.7% (95% CI 1.7 to 9.8%, P value = 0.008). Flow rates of 1.5 µL/min and 2.0 µL/min had lower relative recoveries than the flow rate of 1.0 µL/min, P value 0.008 and <0.001 respectively. The highest relative recovery was for a concentration of 20 µg/mL and flow rate 1.0 µL/min (mean 76.8%, 95% CI: 73.0% to 80.6%).

4. Discussion

Though in vitro microdialysis studies have been performed previously to examine probe recovery, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study simulating in vivo conditions and
examining the relative recoveries for a combination of antibiotics in plasma. Knowledge of potential drug effects on microdialysis recovery is essential as combination antibiotic therapy is commonly used clinically and if not accounted for in relative recovery, may risk under- or over-estimating drug concentrations in interstitial space fluid in *in vivo* studies. *In vitro* studies provide an ideal platform to study this effect and thus allow useful calibration for *in vivo* studies. Although there were inter-experiment differences in the relative recovery, its practical relevance is negligible. In general, for a drug, inter-experiment relative recovery variations of 20% are acceptable under *in vivo* conditions [12].

Nosocomial infections due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *Pseudomonas spp* are prevalent [32] and hence most therapies both, empirical and specific, would include vancomycin and tobramycin as part of the regimen [33]. Hence, for our study, we chose these two antibiotics. Interstitial space fluid concentrations of antibiotics could be affected by a number of factors during *in vivo* microdialysis. For Vancomycin, the reported values in the microdialysis samples have been variable with a wide range[34-36]. For tobramycin, there is dearth of data in the microdialysis samples but Bernardi et al.[37] report a lung microdialysis study using tobramycin and Rodvold et al.[38] report a range of lung penetration ratio for tobramycin. Hence, the 3 concentrations chosen for the study would encompass a wide range of possible values.

In comparison to a previous study [20], our study showed that relative recoveries for vancomycin were lower (26% vs. 50%) across all flow rates and concentrations. Considering that MacVane et al [20] performed their study in a non-protein medium, our results could be explained by the protein binding of vancomycin. However, perfusate composition, membrane characteristics and other factors may also play a role in this phenomenon.

For both drugs and all concentrations, we found improved relative recovery at lower flow rates. Hence, where possible, lower microdialysis flow rates should be preferred for optimal
recovery. However, decreasing the flow rate could reduce the ability to sample frequently, due to the increased time required to collect the sample volume required for the assay. Less frequent sampling may adversely affect the temporal resolution of the data. Studies using drugs with narrow therapeutic index or in conditions with temporal fluctuations of drug concentration are likely to produce significant differences. Therefore, choosing a flow rate appropriate for the desired sampling frequency is an important consideration of all studies. In future and with improved analytic techniques, where measurement in a low volume is possible, this may not be an issue.

Careful consideration of the expected interstitial space fluid concentration should be taken into account when performing studies. Here, the lower recovery rates caused the microdialysate concentration to fall below the lower limit of the assay, as we encountered in the group of low vancomycin concentrations. Therefore, in vitro calibration can help prevent loss of clinical samples from the same issue.

5. Limitations

The exact composition of the interstitial space fluid is likely different between individual tissues and could be different in illness[39]. Moreover, in critical illness the increased inflammation could lead to changes in the interstitial space fluid protein content[39]. We were unable to obtain interstitial fluid hence plasma was used for the study as the surrogate medium. Plasma offers a reasonable surrogate for this experiment as, while the protein concentrations are higher at around 60-80 g/L in a healthy adult, compared to interstitial fluid with protein content 24-32 g/l (interstitial fluid to serum protein ratio ~0.4)[40], this offers an insight into the conditions of a patient during critical illness where capillary leak syndrome may elevate the protein content in the interstitial fluid. Although we have attempted to mimic in vivo conditions, our study focussed on only two factors, drug concentration and perfusate flow rate, affecting relative recovery. There is currently no data
on the effect of different concentrations of one antibiotic on the recovery rate of another antibiotic during combined antibiotic therapy. Our study did not investigate this effect but it remains a worthy subject for better characterisation of relative recoveries in this context. Processes such as pressure gradients, extracellular–microvascular exchange, metabolism, and tissue diffusion of the drug can affect the relative recovery of the drugs. *In vivo* recovery may be affected by experimental and/or disease conditions [19]. Besides these, microdialysis probe related factors such as membrane length, material and surface area, perfusate composition and temperature; tissue factors such as blood flow and temperature; the tissue-drug-probe material interactions could affect the drug concentrations resulting in even lower concentrations of the drug in the microdialysate [19]. With this study, we have attempted to establish a minimum set of conditions to be fulfilled for microdialysis based studies. When possible, future studies should include *in vivo* calibration for recovery calculations.

6. Conclusion

In this simulated *in vivo* model, the *in vitro* relative recoveries for vancomycin and tobramycin varied with the perfusate flow rate and drug concentration. We recommend that a low perfusate flow rate ≤ 1 µL/min should be used to achieve optimal relative recovery. Furthermore, we recommend performing *in vitro* recovery studies simulating *in vivo* conditions to accurately calibrate the microdialysis system prior to *in vivo* studies, to establish the most accurate combination of flow rate and drug concentration. Performing studies in plasma for moderate-to-highly protein bound drugs may better replicate *in vivo* conditions. Based on our study results, vancomycin and potentially other molecules of larger size and/or high protein binding need additional consideration for improving the relative recovery.
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Table 1 Mean (± SD) vancomycin and tobramycin concentrations in microdialysate (μg/mL) at different microdialysis flow rate (μL/min).

| Plasma concentrations (μg/mL) | Vancomycin  | Tobramycin |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
|                             | 1 μL/min    | 1.5 μL/min | 2 μL/min    | 1 μL/min    | 1.5 μL/min | 2 μL/min |
| 20                          | 5.36 (± 0.50) | 5.06 (± 0.32) | 4.29 (± 0.50) | 13.7 (± 0.44) | 13.7 (± 0.57) | 11.68 (± 0.31) |
| 5                           | 1.63 (± 0.09) | 1.37 (± 0.09) | 1.03 (± 0.05) | 3.45 (± 0.18) | 3.47 (± 0.09) | 3.03 (± 0.19) |
| 0.5                         | <LLOQ       | <LLOQ      | <LLOQ       | 0.39 (± 0.01) | 0.32 (± 0.01) | 0.32 (± 0.01) |

LLOQ= lower limit of quantification (LLOQ for vancomycin = 1 μg/mL).

Table 2 Mean (± SD) vancomycin and tobramycin relative recovery (%) at different microdialysis flow rate (μL/min).

| Plasma concentrations (μg/mL) | Vancomycin  | Tobramycin |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
|                             | 1 μL/min    | 1.5 μL/min | 2 μL/min    | 1 μL/min    | 1.5 μL/min | 2 μL/min |
| 20                          | 26.8 (±0.50) | 25.3 (±0.32) | 22.2 (±0.050) | 68.5 (±2.23) | 70.0 (±2.89) | 58.4 (±1.55) |
Table 3: Multiple regression analysis for relative recovery rates (mean % and 95% confidence interval) of vancomycin and tobramycin for different concentrations and perfusate flow rates (Reference levels are 1.0 μL/min flow rate and concentration 5.0 μg/mL).

| Parameter      | Vancomycin | Tobramycin |
|----------------|------------|------------|
|                | Mean (%)   | 95% CI     | P value | Mean (%)   | 95% CI     | P value |
| Intercept      | 29.2       | 23.7, 34.7 | <0.001  | 71.0       | 67.2, 74.8 | <0.001  |
| Concentration  |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| 5.0 μg/mL      | 2.2        | -1.9, 6.4  | 0.286   | 5.7        | 1.6, 9.9   | 0.008   |
| 20 μg/mL       | 1.0        | -4.7, 6.7  | 0.712   | 5.7        | 1.6, 9.9   | 0.008   |
| Flow rate      |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| 1.5 μL/min     | -6.1       | -12.7, 0.5 | 0.070   | -5.7       | -9.8, -1.5 | 0.009   |
| 2.0 μL/min     | -11.6      | -18.9, -4.3| 0.003   | -12.0      | -16.2, -7.9| <0.001  |
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