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ABSTRACT

Active wind noise detection and suppression techniques are a new and essential paradigm for enhancing ASR-based functionality with smart glasses, in addition to other wearable and smart devices in the broader IoT (Internet of things). In this paper, we develop two separate algorithms for wind noise detection and suppression, respectively, operational in a challenging, low-energy regime. Together, these algorithms comprise a robust wind noise suppression system. In the first case, we advance a real-time wind detection algorithm (RTWD) that uses two distinct sets of low-dimensional signal features to discriminate the presence of wind noise with high accuracy. For wind noise suppression, we employ an additional algorithm – attentive neural wind suppression (ANWS) – that utilizes a neural network to reconstruct the wearer speech signal from wind-corrupted audio in the spectral regions that are most adversely affected by wind noise. Finally, we test our algorithms through real-time experiments using low-power, multi-microphone devices with a wind simulator under challenging detection criteria and a variety of wind intensities.

Index Terms— Audio Signal Processing, Noise Detection, Active Noise Suppression, Wearable Devices, Neural Networks.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present work pertains to the detection and suppression of interfering wind in head-worn, wearable devices using multiple microphones. Because wind noise is a predominant source of audio interference, it creates a common – albeit challenging – setting for voice-driven applications for wearable devices, including ASR (automatic speech recognition). Many commercial devices in use today rely heavily on “passive” solutions to mitigating wind noise, including the use of physical dampening devices, buffers and heavy-duty noise cancelling microphones. While these techniques can provide simple, approximate solutions to wind noise reduction, their effectiveness can nevertheless be limited even in moderate wind conditions. We believe instead that more “active” (i.e. software-driven) approaches can also be leveraged, in addition, to achieve state-of-the-art wind noise suppression for wearable devices.

To this end we develop robust, software-driven wind noise detection and suppression algorithms operational in low-energy, multiple microphone regimes. Limitations in computational and memory resources provide a significant challenge for noise detection and signal reconstruction tasks with wearable and smart devices. Because ASR systems are commonly highly sensitive to the presence of interfering noise, we also require our noise suppression system to be both reliable in moderate and even low wind noise regimes and to furthermore minimize the introduction of ectopic, reconstructed signal distortions.

Previous research in active noise detection and related tasks in audio signal processing has chiefly relied on identifying a priori (or conversely: by learning) discriminative features that indicate the presence of interfering noise. Nelke et al. [19], for instance, use short-term mean (STM) features in the time-domain as the basis for a low-dimensional wind indicator. Relying on the assumption that the magnitude of the spectrum of wind noise can be roughly approximated by a linear decay over the frequency, [23] proposes learning a negative slope fit (NSF) model for wind classification. Freeman et al. [7] train a neural network to build a general noise classification system; see also: [32], [21], [25], [38], [28], [23]. In each case, these various approaches violate either the low computational limitations or desired ASR sensitivity threshold for our consumer applications, and/or failed to make genuine use of multi-channel signals.

In general, signal reconstruction and noise reduction tasks typically necessitate even more computational and memory resources than detection and classification tasks. Popular examples include full spectrum neural “denoising” approaches [16], [2], non-negative sparse coding (NNSC) [30], [26], and subspace-based methods [17], [4], [3], [8]. Attempts to “sparsify” signal reconstruction systems to reduce their computational and memory requirements often come at a significant performance cost. While effective against point-wise interference sources, we found, for example, adaptive beamforming (particularly the MVDR and GSC algorithms, see: [11], [29], [35], [15]) approaches to be largely unsuccessful for clean signal reconstruction in the case of diffuse wind, or when the interference signal vector strongly aligned with the source signal. Similarly, spectral subtraction ([33], [34]) and various filtration procedures ([6], [25]) commonly fail for ASR-based signal reconstruction tasks due to the non-stationarity of wind noise.

Figure 1: Example of multi-microphone placement on smart glass. (All figures are best viewed in color)
2. REAL-TIME WIND NOISE DETECTION

A sufficiently precise detection of wind is the first step towards suppression of wind noise in captured signals. We seek discriminative, low-dimensional features for use in low-computation regimes for wind detection. Features for wind detection typically rely on short-term statistics. In particular, the spectral energy distribution for very low frequencies for wind is discernible from that of speech [19]. Through experiments, we tested a wide range of potential features and approaches for the task of real-time, low-power wind detection, including STM, SSC and coherence-based features, NSF and various neural-model approaches. We found that both SSC and coherence-based features achieved the best balance between low-computation and expressivity for wind detection.

We first consider signal sub-band centroids (SSC) features for wind classification [32]. Samples are captured from wearer voice and segmented into several frames and frequency analysis is performed via FFT. Define the spectral centroid for time frame \( \lambda \) with respect to the bin range \([\mu_1, \mu_2] \):

\[
\bar{\mu}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{\mu=\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \frac{\mu}{X(\lambda, \mu)} \mu^2}{\sum_{\mu=\mu_1}^{\mu_2} X(\lambda, \mu)^2}
\]

Where \( X \) represents the short time spectrum of the signal. We consider the sub-band range: \([0, 100]\), and define the SSC-based wind indicator function (as in [32]) for each signal channel:

\[
I_{SSC}(\lambda) = \frac{\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(\lambda)}{\mu_2} \in [0,1]
\]

Because of the low-dimensional spectral representation used for the SSC method, the wind indicator function tends to be very noisy and frequently unstable. To generate a more robust model, we apply a smoothing procedure (500ms windows), followed by an inverse Gaussian transformation of the ISSC function with graceful thresholding for robust wind classification. An example of the effect of this sequential workflow beginning with a single channel audio signal, SSC indicator function, smoothing and inverse Gaussian thresholding is shown in Figure 2 below for gusts of moderate intensity wind. To improve SSC-based wind classification for multi-channel audio, we additionally apply a max operation to promote robustness in the case of the non-stationarity of wind noise and to safeguard against microphone occlusion in head-worn devices.

In our research, we present a novel and generalizable real-time wind suppression system requiring minimal computational and memory resources for use with wearable and smart devices. We tested our algorithm using a wind tunnel with the algorithm ported to low-power Cirrus DSP across a broad range of wind intensities. Overall, our tests indicate that the present algorithm is strongly competitive with both state-of-the-art wind detection and suppression approaches.

In the subsequent sections we give details of the RTWD and ANWS algorithms, experimental results, and concluding remarks.
and noise. To this end, from the 2-channel coherence, define the magnitude of coherence:

\[ MC(\lambda, \mu) = |\Gamma(\lambda, \mu)| \]

In Figure 3 we show a schematic of the RTWD algorithm in full. In summary: Following the FFT step, SSC-based wind indicator values are computed for each channel, a windowed smoothing procedure (500ms) followed by an inverse Gaussian transformation is performed and subsequently a max operation is applied across the 2-channel signal; at the same time, we compute the 2-channel coherence features and then determine the average MC value for the given time frame; we apply smoothing for robustness. Binary wind classification is finally determined based on a tunable, conjunctive thresholding using the transformed SSC and coherence-based features together (e.g., when both feature values meet specific thresholding criteria, the signal is classified as containing wind). The smoothing, Gaussian transform and thresholding parameters were all determined heuristically to accord with the specific design and geometry of the wearable device used for testing. Aside from this parameter tuning, the RTWD algorithm requires no formal training. Different wearable device modalities can easily be accommodated by the RTWD algorithm by validating the parameter settings for the smoothing, transform and thresholding steps under test conditions.

We accordingly direct the ANWS algorithm toward learning a neural model that reconstructs corrupted speech in the attentive spectral region. This approach bears several distinct advantages for the noise reduction task: (1) the model can be learned with a relatively small amount of data; (2) the data representation is low-dimensional; (3) generally, the speech signal remains largely undistorted by the reconstruction process.

Figure 4: Idealization of attentive spectral reconstruction; the blue portion of the graph represents the section of the original signal that is left unchanged by ANWS; figure credit: [22].

We develop a shallow, low-dimensional, feed-forward NN for wind noise suppression. The input to the network consists of context-expanded frames (see below) of the noisy signal. As in [12], [38], we use the log-power spectra features of a noisy utterance \( n^2 \) for the short-time Fourier transform. Define:

\[ N(t, f) = \log|STFT(n^2)| \]

Let \( n_t \) be the \( t \)-th frame of \( N(t, f) \). We express the multi-channel, context-expanded input vector to the NN as:

\[ y_t = \left[ n_t^{(1)}(r), \ldots, n_t^{(1)}(1), \ldots, n_t^{(2)}(r), \ldots, n_t^{(2)}(1) \right] \]

Where the parameter \( r \) represents the “context-horizon” and the superscripts here indicate the channel identification. Using \( r = 3 \), we train a shallow NN with 150 hidden nodes, using conjugate gradient backpropagation on only 5 minutes of noisy speech and clean audio sample pairings for training. Note that noise-aware NN training [28] and larger microphone vector configurations are straightforwardly accommodated by the ANWS algorithms.

The reconstructed signal \( \hat{s} \) is obtained by applying the following “inverse” operation sequence to the output of the NN, represented by \( y(t, f) \):

\[ \hat{s} = \exp(y(t, f)) \cdot \exp(iN(t, f)) \]
The effectiveness of the ANWS is further illustrated by a spectrogram analysis for both noisy and subsequently reconstructed signals. In Figure 6, below, the spectrogram of a wind corrupted signal is shown to be strongly dominated by extreme low frequencies (i.e. wind noise), whereas the corresponding reconstructed signal displays a more uniform frequency distribution.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We tested our wind noise suppression system, including RTWD and ANWS algorithms, in real-time, under difficult, low-power conditions using a high-end wind simulator. We ported our algorithms to a Cirrus DSP (5.5 MIPS); for FFT we used 200ms audio “chunks”, with 25 frames per chunk, comprising 16ms frames and 8ms overlap. Our smart glass device was affixed with a light, windscreen foam, so that our test conditions reflected the capabilities of a commercial-ready device. We used a competitive, proprietary ASR algorithm for measuring WER (word error rate) as an evaluative metric for wind noise suppression. WER was calculated for test data consisting of approximately one minute of continuous speech.

Despite significant computational limitations – and requiring no ostensible training – the RTWD algorithm experiments yielded a very strong detection accuracy (approximately 90%) in challenging, low wind intensity scenarios (~6 mph) – which is comparable with state-of-the-art active approaches used in wearable devices such as hearing aids. In the case of medium and strong wind (10 mph+) the detection accuracy was nearly perfect; the algorithm furthermore performed very well even in the case of partial or full microphone occlusion (viz., for one channel), as well as in both cases of directed and diffuse wind.

These results augur favorably for wind noise suppression when we consider the nature of ASR degradation with respect to wind intensity (see Figure 7). From our experiments, we observed a negligible decline in WER for wind intensities less than 8 mph. In the range 9-15 mph, WER was moderate (indicating that quality clean speech reconstruction is still achievable); beyond wind speeds of 15 mph, however, WER grows sharply.

WER for ASR was significantly reduced using the ANWS algorithm, showing the considerable potential of this method. In particular, the algorithm performs very well in moderate to strong wind regimes for which ASR degradation is most precipitous: at 12 mph, for example, ANWS reduced WER by 50% – see Figure 8. Although accurate ASR in severe wind conditions (25 mph+) may be generally unfeasible, the ANWS-based reconstructed audio under these extreme conditions is nonetheless still commonly comprehensible to a human listener, indicating the potential further utility of ANWS as a noise suppression method for human-to-human audio communications.
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