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ABSTRACT

We prove that any real Lie group of dimension \( \leq 5 \) admits a left invariant flat projective structure. We also prove that a real Lie group \( L \) of dimension \( \leq 5 \) admits a left invariant flat affine structure if and only if the Lie algebra of \( L \) is not perfect.
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1. Introduction

A left invariant flat affine structure (IFAS for short) is a torsion-free affine connection \( \nabla \) on a Lie group \( L \) such that \( \nabla \) is left invariant and flat. A left invariant flat projective structure \( (\nabla) \) (IFPS for short) is a projective equivalence class of an affine connection \( \nabla \) on \( L \) such that the left action of \( L \) is a projective transformation and \( \nabla \) is locally projectively equivalent to some flat affine connection (cf. Definition 2.1).

In this paper we consider the problem whether low dimensional Lie groups admit these geometric structures or not. Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1.1. Any real Lie group of dimension \( \leq 5 \) admits an IFPS.

Theorem 1.2. Let \( L \) be a real Lie group of dimension \( \leq 5 \), and let \( \mathfrak{l} \) be the Lie algebra of \( L \). \( L \) admits an IFAS if and only if \( [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] \neq \mathfrak{l} \).

Note that Lie algebras of dimension \( \leq 5 \) satisfying \( [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] = \mathfrak{l} \) are exhausted by the following: \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \mathfrak{o}(3) \) and \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^2 \).

In dimension 6 there is a Lie group \( \text{SO}(4) \) which does not admit any IFPS. Therefore the minimum dimension of a Lie group which does not admit any IFPS is 6.

Concerning the existence and non-existence of IFPSs and IFASs on Lie groups, there are several previous works. For example simple Lie groups admitting an IFPS are classified by Agaoka, Urakawa, Elduque [1,17,6]. Concerning IFASs, it is
known that any 3-step nilpotent Lie group admits an IFAS (Scheuneman [16]), and any nilpotent Lie group of dimension \( \leq 6 \) admits an IFAS (Fujiiwa [7]). On the other hand, there are nilpotent Lie groups of dimension \( 10 \leq n \leq 12 \), which do not admit any IFAS (Benoist [2], Burde [3,4]).

To prove the above two theorems, we recall a correspondence between the set of IFPSs and the set of certain Lie algebra homomorphisms called \((P)\)-homomorphisms [1] in Section 2, and recall a classification of real Lie algebras of dimension \( \leq 5 \) [13,14] in Section 4. In Section 3 we give some sufficient conditions for a semidirect sum of Lie algebras to admit an IFAS or IFPS. In Sections 5 and 6 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by applying results of Section 3 to the Lie algebras of dimension \( \leq 5 \).

2. IFPS and \((P)\)-homomorphism

Let us review some known facts about IFAS and IFPS. First we define a projective structure. Let \( \mathcal{V} \) and \( \mathcal{V}' \) be torsion-free affine connections on an \( n \)-dimensional manifold \( M \). Affine connections \( \mathcal{V} \) and \( \mathcal{V}' \) are said to be projectively equivalent if there exists a 1-form \( \phi \) on \( M \) such that \( \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X Y = \phi(X)Y + \phi(Y)X \) for any \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \), and we express it as \( \mathcal{V} \sim \mathcal{V}' \).

A projective equivalence is an equivalence relation, and the equivalence class \([\mathcal{V}]\) containing \( \mathcal{V} \) is called a projective structure on \( M \). Now we define IFPS and IFAS on an \( n \)-dimensional Lie group \( L \). We denote by \( \nabla_0 \) the standard affine connection on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) defined by \( \nabla_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = 0 \) for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \).

**Definition 2.1.** \([\mathcal{V}]\) (resp. \( \mathcal{V} \)) is called an IFPS (resp. IFAS) on \( L \) if the following two conditions are satisfied.

(i) (Flatness) For each point \( p \) of \( M \), there exists a neighborhood \( U \) of \( p \), and a diffeomorphism \( f \) from \( U \) into \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( f^* \nabla_0 \sim \nabla \) (resp. \( f^* \nabla_0 = \nabla \)) on \( U \).

(ii) (Left invariance) \( L^*_g \nabla \sim \nabla \) (resp. \( L^*_g \nabla = \nabla \)) for any \( g \in L \).

Note that an IFAS \( \mathcal{V} \) naturally induces an IFPS \([\mathcal{V}]\).

In the following we define a \((P)\)-homomorphism of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{l} \) of \( L \). Then we recall an important theorem concerning the relation between \((P)\)-homomorphisms of \( \mathfrak{l} \) and IFPSs on \( L \), following [1].

Let \( \mathfrak{a}_1 \) be the one-dimensional abelian Lie algebra, and let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be the special linear algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1) \). We fix a basis \( e \) of \( \mathfrak{a}_1 \). For any \( A \in \mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1) \), there exist \( B \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}) \), \( u \in \mathfrak{l} \) and \( \xi \in \mathfrak{l}^* \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
A(x, 0) &= (B(x), \xi(x) \cdot e) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{l}) \\
A(0, e) &= (u, -\text{tr} B \cdot e).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence we can identify \( A \) with \( \begin{pmatrix} B & u \\ \xi & -\text{tr} B \end{pmatrix} \). Then we can decompose \( \mathfrak{g} \) into \( \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathfrak{l} \right\}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{tr} B \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

We can see that formal brackets of matrices give this decomposition a graded Lie algebra structure. The subalgebra \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) can be identified with the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}) \) under the correspondence;

\[
\mathfrak{g}_0 \ni \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{tr} B \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow B + \text{tr} B \cdot I \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}).
\]

If \( f \) is a linear map from \( \mathfrak{l} \) to \( \mathfrak{g} \), then we denote by \( f_i \) the \( \mathfrak{g}_i \)-component of \( f \).

**Definition 2.2.** (See [1].) Let \( f : \mathfrak{l} \to \mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1) \) be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then \( f \) is called a \((P)\)-homomorphism if \( f_{-1}(x) = x \) for any \( x \in \mathfrak{l} \).

Two \((P)\)-homomorphisms \( f \) and \( f' \) are said to be projectively equivalent if there exists \( \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \) such that \( f'_0 - f_0 = [\xi, f_{-1}] \). We denote this equivalence relation by \( f \sim f' \).

If we fix a basis of \( \mathfrak{l} \), we can easily see that the above definition of \((P)\)-homomorphism is identical with the one in [1]. An IFPS on \( L \) naturally induces a \((P)\)-homomorphism of \( \mathfrak{l} \) [1]. Furthermore we have the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** (See [1].) There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of IFPSs on \( L \) and the set of projective equivalence classes of \((P)\)-homomorphisms of \( \mathfrak{l} \).
Theorem 2.4. (See [1].) There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of IFASs on $L$ and the set of $(P)$-homomorphisms $f$ of $l$ satisfying $f_1 = 0$.

Remark. As a representative of an IFPS on $L$ we can take a left invariant projectively flat affine connection (cf. [1, Proposition 4.5]). Furthermore such a left invariant affine connection $\nabla$ is uniquely determined for a given $(P)$-homomorphism $f$ of $l$ (cf. [1, Theorem 3.5]). In fact we can write explicitly $\nabla$ corresponding to $f$ by $\nabla_x y = f_0(x)y$ for $x, y \in l$. If $f$ satisfies the additional condition $f_1 = 0$, then from Theorem 2.4 $\nabla$ gives an IFAS.

From Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 we can decide whether $L$ admits an IFPS (resp. IFAS) or not by determining whether a $(P)$-homomorphism (resp. $(P)$-homomorphism $f$ satisfying $f_1 = 0$) of $l$ exists or not. If a Lie group $L$ admits an IFPS (resp. IFAS), we say that the Lie algebra $l$ admits an IFPS (resp. IFAS).

Concerning Theorem 2.4, we reformulate the condition of $(P)$-homomorphisms corresponding to IFASs as adapted to our calculation.

Lemma 2.5. Let $f : l \to sl(l \oplus a_1)$ be a linear map such that $f^{-1}(x) = x$ and $f_1 = 0$. Then $f$ is a $(P)$-homomorphism if and only if $f_0 : l \to gl(l)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism satisfying the condition

$$f_0(x)y - f_0(y)x = [x, y]$$

for any $x, y \in l$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a linear map $f : l \to sl(l \oplus a_1)$ such that $f^{-1}(x) = x$ and $f_1 = 0$, i.e. $f$ is of the form

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & x \\ 0 & -\text{tr} A(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Here $A$ is a linear map from $l$ into $gl(l)$. Under this situation, $f$ is a $(P)$-homomorphism if and only if $f$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, i.e. the following holds:

$$f_0(x)y + \text{tr} A(x) \cdot y = [A(x), A(y)]$$

Then it is easy to see that the above condition (a) is equivalent to the next condition

(b) $\begin{cases} f_0(x)y = [x, y] \end{cases}$

Combining Theorem 2.4 with Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following. This result also can be proved by using the formulas in Chapter 10 of [10].

Corollary 2.6. Let $L$ be a Lie group. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of IFASs on $L$ and the set of Lie algebra homomorphisms $g : l \to gl(l)$ satisfying

$$g(x)y - g(y)x = [x, y]$$

for any $x, y \in l$.

Concerning Theorem 2.3, Agaoka [1] has shown a refined result by using the notion of $(N)$-homomorphism.

Definition 2.7. Let $f$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism $f : l \to sl(l \oplus a_1)$. Then $f$ is called an $(N)$-homomorphism if $f(x)(0, e) = (x, 0)$ for any $x \in l$. 

In the following we denote simply by $f(x)e = x$ the equality in the above definition. By the definition any $(N)$-homomorphism gives a $(P)$-homomorphism, but the converse does not hold. When we represent $f$ by matrices through an identification of a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n, e\}$ of $l \oplus a_1$ and the standard basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$ of $R^{n+1}$, $f : l \to sl(n + 1, R)$ is an $(N)$-homomorphism if and only if $f$ is of the form

$$f(X_i) = (\ast e_i)$$

where $\ast$ is an $(n + 1) \times n$ matrix.
It is easy to see that the condition (\(\ast\)) in [17, p. 348] is equivalent to the above definition of \((N)\)-homomorphism.

**Proposition 2.8.** *(See [1].)* Let \(f\) be a \((P)\)-homomorphism. Then there exists a unique \((N)\)-homomorphism \(f'\) such that \(f \sim f'\).

The next lemma is easy but plays an important role in this paper.

**Lemma 2.9.** There exists an IFPS on a Lie algebra \(l\) if and only if there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism \(f : l \oplus a_1 \to gl(l \oplus a_1)\) such that \(f(x)e = x\) for any \(x \in l \oplus a_1\).

**Proof.** Suppose that \(l\) admits an IFPS. Then we have the corresponding \((N)\)-homomorphism \(g\) of \(l\). We can extend \(g\) to \(\tilde{g} : l \oplus a_1 \to gl(l \oplus a_1)\) by setting \(\tilde{g}(e) = id\), the identity transformation of \(l \oplus a_1\). Then \(\tilde{g}\) is a Lie algebra homomorphism and satisfies \(\tilde{g}(x)e = x\) for any \(x \in l \oplus a_1\). Conversely if \(f : l \oplus a_1 \to gl(l \oplus a_1)\) satisfies the above condition, then \(f|l - tr(f|l)/\dim(l \oplus a_1)\) id gives a \((P)\)-homomorphism of \(l\), and hence \(l\) admits an IFPS. \(\square\)

**Remark.** Let \(f\) be a homomorphism in Lemma 2.9. Then from the equality \(f([x, y])e = ([f(x), f(y)])e\) for \(x, y \in l \oplus a_1\), we have \(f(x)y - f(y)x = [x, y]\). Thus if \(l\) admits an IFPS, then \(l \oplus a_1\) admits an IFAS. This gives another proof of Theorem 4.7 in [1] for the case of Lie groups. Furthermore the equality \(f(x)y - f(y)x = [x, y]\) induces \(f(e)x - f(x)e = 0\) for \(x \in l \oplus a_1\). From the assumption of \(f(x)e = x\), we have \(f(e) = id\).

3. Semidirect sum of Lie algebras admitting an IFAS

In this section we show that if a Lie algebra \(h\) admits an IFAS and if a nilpotent Lie algebra \(t\) satisfies some graded condition, then the semidirect sum \(h \ltimes t\) also admits an IFAS *(Proposition 3.2)*. By using this proposition, we can show that most Lie algebras of dimension \(\leq 5\) admit an IFAS.

In the following we denote by \(Z(t)\) the center of \(t\), and by \(h \ltimes t\) a semidirect sum of \(t\) by \(h\). Let \(g : l \to gl(l)\) be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then we express \(g(x)y\) simply as \(x \cdot y\) for \(x, y \in l\).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(t\) be a Lie algebra which has a direct sum decomposition \(t = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} t_i \oplus Z'(t)\) as vector spaces such that \([t_i, t_j] \subset t_{i+j} \oplus Z'(t)\), and \(Z'(t)\) is a subspace of \(Z(t)\). Then \(t\) admits an IFAS.

**Proof.** Let us define a linear map \(g : t \to gl(t)\) by

\[
x \cdot y = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i+j}[x, y], & x \in t_i, \ y \in t_j, \\ 0, & x \in t_i, \ y \in Z'(t), \\ 0, & x \in Z'(t), \ y \in t. \end{cases}
\]

Then \(g\) satisfies the condition \((\ast)\) in Corollary 2.6: \(x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y]\) for \(x, y \in t\).

We show that \(g\) is a Lie algebra homomorphism, i.e. \(x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) - [x, y] \cdot z = 0\). We have to check three cases,

1. \(x \in t_i, \ y \in t_j, \ z \in t_k\), (2) \(x \in t, \ y \in t, \ z \in Z'(t)\), (3) \(x \in t, \ y \in Z'(t), \ z \in t\). We can verify (2) and (3) easily, thus we check only the case (1).

   (1) Because of the condition \([t_i, t_j] \subset t_{i+j} \oplus Z'(t)\), we can decompose \([y, z]\) into \([y, z]\) in \([y, z]_a + [y, z]_b \in t_{i+k} \oplus Z'(t)\). Note that \([x, [y, z]] = [x, [y, z]]\).

   \[
x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) - [x, y] \cdot z
   = x \cdot \frac{k}{j+k}([y, z]_a + [y, z]_b) - y \cdot \frac{k}{i+k}([x, z]_a + [x, z]_b) - ([x, y]_a + [x, y]_b) \cdot z
   = \frac{j+k}{i+j+k} [x, y]_a - \frac{k}{j+i+k} [y, z]_a - \frac{k}{i+j+k} [x, y]_a z
   = \frac{k}{i+j+k} [x, [y, z]] - \frac{k}{i+j+k} [y, [x, z]] - \frac{k}{i+j+k} [x, y, z]
   = 0.
\]

Hence \(t\) admits an IFAS. \(\square\)

**Remark.** A Lie algebra \(t\) which satisfies the condition in Proposition 3.1 is necessarily nilpotent. By Proposition 3.1 we can verify that any three-step nilpotent Lie algebra \(t\) admits an IFAS *(Scheuneman [16])* as follows. We define an ideal \(C^1t\) of \(t\) by \(C^1t := [t, C^{-1}t]\) \((i = 2, 3, \ldots)\), \(C^1t = t\) \((i = 1)\). Let us denote complementary subspaces \(C^{i+1}t\) in \(C^i t\) by \(t_i\), i.e., \(C^i t = t_i \oplus C^{i+1}t\). Now we take \(Z'(t) = t_3\). Then the decomposition \(t = t_1 \oplus t_2 \oplus Z'(t)\) satisfies the condition in Proposition 3.1.
Moreover, this proposition improves the following result of Burde [5]: If a Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{t} \) is graded by positive integers, then \( \mathfrak{t} \) admits an IFAS. We can verify that a Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{t} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \) satisfies the condition \( [\mathfrak{t}_i, \mathfrak{t}_j] \subset \mathfrak{t}_{i+j} \oplus Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \) if and only if \( \mathfrak{t}/Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \) is graded by positive integers.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \mathfrak{h} \) be a Lie algebra which admits an IFAS. Let \( \mathfrak{t} \) be a Lie algebra which has a decomposition \( \mathfrak{t} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \) such that \( [\mathfrak{t}_i, \mathfrak{t}_j] \subset \mathfrak{t}_{i+j} \oplus Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \), and \( Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \subset \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{t}) \). Then any semidirect sum \( \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{t} \) satisfying \( [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t}_i] \subset \mathfrak{h} \oplus Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \) and \( [\mathfrak{h}, Z'(\mathfrak{t})] \subset Z(\mathfrak{t}) \) admits an IFAS.

**Proof.** A given IFAS on \( \mathfrak{h} \) corresponds to a Lie algebra homomorphism \( g : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h}) \) satisfying the condition \( (\ast) \) in Corollary 2.6: \( x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y] \) for \( x, y \in \mathfrak{h} \). Let \( h : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{t}) \) be the homomorphism constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.1. By using \( g \) and \( h \) we define a linear map \( f : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}) \) by the following

\[
\begin{align*}
    x \cdot y &= g(x)y, \quad x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{h}, \\
    h(x)y, \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}, y \in \mathfrak{h}, \\
    [x, y], \quad x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{t}, \\
    0, \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}, y \in \mathfrak{h}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( f \) satisfies the condition \( (\ast) \).

We show that \( f \) is a Lie algebra homomorphism, i.e. \( x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) - [x, y] \cdot z = 0 \). Then it is enough to check six cases: (1) \( x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{h}, z \in \mathfrak{h} \), (2) \( x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{t}, z \in \mathfrak{h} \), (3) \( x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{t}, z \in \mathfrak{l} \), (4) \( x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{t}, z \in Z'(\mathfrak{t}) \), (5) \( x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in Z'(\mathfrak{t}), z \in \mathfrak{t} \), and (6) \( x \in \mathfrak{t}, y \in \mathfrak{t}, z \in \mathfrak{l} \).

Here we verify only (3).

\[
(3) \quad x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) - [x, y] \cdot z = x \cdot \left( \frac{j}{i+j} [x, y, z] \right) - y \cdot [x, z] - [x, y] \cdot z
\]

\[
= \frac{j}{i+j} [x, [y, z]] - \frac{j}{i+j} [y, [x, z]] - \frac{j}{i+j} [x, [y, z]]
\]

\[
= 0.
\]

The other cases also can be proved by the straightforward computation. Thus \( \mathfrak{l} \) admits an IFAS. \( \square \)

**Remark.** There are several preceding results related to Proposition 3.2 as follows: If \( H \) is a solvable affinely flat Lie group and \( K = \mathbb{R}^n \), then the semidirect product \( L = H \rtimes K \) admits an IFAS (Mizuhara [12]). If \( \mathfrak{h} \) is affinely flat and \( \mathfrak{t} \) is an abelian Lie algebra, then \( \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{t} \) admits an IFAS (Burde [4]). Moreover if \( \mathfrak{t} \) is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, then \( \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{t} \) admits an IFAS (Fujiwara [7]). Suppose that \( \mathfrak{h} \) is abelian, and \( \mathfrak{t} \) is graded by positive integers. If \( \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{t} \) satisfies \( [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t}_i] \subset \mathfrak{t}_i \), then \( \mathfrak{l} \) admits an IFAS (Yamaguchi [18]). As can be easily seen, Proposition 3.2 is an extension of these results.

Next we consider a direct sum of Lie algebras admitting an IFAS or IFPS. It is well known that from two affine manifolds \( (\mathfrak{M}_1, \nabla_1) (i = 1, 2) \) we can construct the product affine manifold \( (\mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2, \nabla_1 \times \nabla_2) \). Moreover if \( (\mathfrak{M}_i, \nabla_i) \) are torsion-free flat affine manifolds, the product is also torsion-free flat affine manifold. Thus the next proposition is immediately obtained from this fact. However we prove this from the viewpoint of representation.

**Proposition 3.3.** If each Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \) and \( \mathfrak{l}_2 \) admits an IFAS, then the direct sum \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \) also admits an IFAS.

**Proof.** From Corollary 2.6 there exist Lie algebra homomorphisms \( g_i : \mathfrak{l}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}_i) \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) such that \( g_i(x)y - g_i(y)x = [x, y] \) \( (x, y \in \mathfrak{l}_i) \). Let us define a map \( g : \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}_2) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2) \) by \( g(x_1, x_2) = (g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2)) \). Then it follows easily that \( g \) is a Lie algebra homomorphism and satisfies \( g((x_1, x_2))(y_1, y_2) - g((y_1, y_2))(x_1, x_2) = [(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] \). Hence by Corollary 2.6 \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \) admits an IFAS. \( \square \)

Even if each \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \) and \( \mathfrak{l}_2 \) admits an IFPS, the direct sum \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \) does not necessarily admit an IFPS. Indeed there exists a counterexample. Although \( \mathfrak{o}(3) \) admits an IFPS (cf. Section 5), the direct sum \( \mathfrak{o}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(3) \) does not admit any IFPS. Because if \( \mathfrak{o}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(3) \) admits an IFPS, then the Lie group \( S^3 \times S^3 \) admits an IFPS. But this contradicts the following result by Kobayashi and Nagano [9]: A compact simply connected manifold with flat projective structure is diffeomorphic to \( S^n \). Thus \( \mathfrak{o}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(3) \) does not admit any IFPS. However we have the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose that each \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \) and \( \mathfrak{l}_2 \) admits an IFPS. Then \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \) admits an IFPS.

**Proof.** Let \( \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \) be the one-dimensional abelian Lie algebras, and let \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) be bases of \( \mathfrak{a}_1 \) and \( \mathfrak{a}_2 \). We define two subalgebras \( \mathfrak{l}' \) and \( \mathfrak{a} \) of \( \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \) by
\[
\mathcal{V} = \{(x, k_1, y, -k_2) \mid x \in l_1, y \in l_2, k \in R\}
\]
\[
\mathcal{A} = \{(0, k_1, 0, k_2) \mid k \in R\}.
\]

Here we have obviously \(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{A} = l_1 \oplus a_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus a_2\). From Lemma 2.9 we have homomorphisms \(f_i : l_i \oplus a_i \rightarrow \text{gl}(l_i \oplus a_i)\) such that \(f_i(x_i) e_i = x_i\) for any \(x_i \in l_i \oplus a_i\) \((i = 1, 2)\). We show that \(f = f_1 \oplus f_2 : (l_1 \oplus a_1) \oplus (l_2 \oplus a_2) \rightarrow \text{gl}(l_1 \oplus a_1) \oplus \text{gl}(l_2 \oplus a_2) \subseteq \text{gl}(l_1 \oplus a_1) \oplus (l_2 \oplus a_2)\) gives an IFPS on \(\mathcal{V}\). If we choose \((0, e_1, 0, e_2)\) as a basis of \(\mathcal{A}\), then \(f : \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{gl}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{A})\) satisfies the condition in Lemma 2.9. Hence \(\mathcal{V}\) admits an IFPS. Since \(\mathcal{V}\) is isomorphic to \(l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus a_1\), it follows that \(l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus a_1\) admits an IFPS. \(\square\)

### 4. Classification of Lie algebras of dimension \(\leq 5\)

Mubarakzyanov has classified real Lie algebras of dimension \(\leq 5\) in [13, 14]. This result is restated in Patera, Sharp, Winternitz and Zassenhaus [15], which we recall below, and we use this classification in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Note that in the following list Lie algebras are denoted by the same symbol \(A_{n,1}\) as in [15], and the direct sums of Lie algebras are also omitted. Hence the Lie algebras which are not direct sums of lower dimensional Lie algebras (or indecomposable Lie algebras, for short) are classified. We denote by \([X_1, \ldots, X_n]\) a basis of an \(n\)-dimensional Lie algebra, and \([X_i, X_j]\) \((i < j)\) its bracket. We omit the bracket \([X_i, X_j]\) when \([X_i, X_j] = 0\). The basis \([X_1, \ldots, X_n]\) is different from the one \([e_1, \ldots, e_n]\) in [15], and the relation is given by \([X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] = [-e_n, e_{n-1}, \ldots, e_1]\) except for \(A_{1,1}, A_{2,1}\) and \(A_{3,8}\) (see 2, 8). For example \([X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = \{e_4, e_3, e_2, e_1\}\).

| Dimension | Lie algebra | Description |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| 1         | \(A_{1,1}\) | abelian Lie algebra |
| 2         | \(A_{2,1}[X_1, X_2] = X_2\) (solvable) |
| 3         | \(A_{3,1}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_3\) |
|           | \(A_{3,2}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = X_3\) |
|           | \(A_{3,3}, A_{3,4}, A_{3,5}^g\) | \([X_1, X_2] = aX_2, [X_1, X_3] = X_3\) \((0 < |a| \leq 1)\) |
|           | \(A_{3,6}, A_{3,7}^g\) | \([X_1, X_2] = aX_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = -X_2 + aX_3\) \((a \geq 0)\) |
|           | \(A_{3,8}(\text{sl}(2, R))\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2, [X_1, X_3] = -X_3, [X_2, X_3] = X_1\) |
|           | \(A_{3,9}(\text{so}(3))\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2, [X_1, X_3] = X_3, [X_2, X_3] = X_1\) |

\(A_{3,1}\) is nilpotent, and \(A_{3,2} \sim A_{3,7}^g\) are solvable.

| Dimension | Lie algebra | Description |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| 4         | \(A_{4,1}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2, [X_1, X_3] = X_4, [X_1, X_4] = aX_4, (a \neq 0)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,2}^b\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = aX_3, [X_1, X_4] = X_4\) \((a \neq 0, b \geq 1)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,3}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_3, [X_1, X_4] = X_4\) |
|           | \(A_{4,4}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = X_3 + X_4, [X_1, X_4] = X_4\) |
|           | \(A_{4,5}^b\) | \([X_1, X_2] = bX_2, [X_1, X_3] = aX_3, [X_1, X_4] = X_4\) \((ab \neq 0, -1 \leq a \leq b \leq 1)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,6}^b\) | \([X_1, X_2] = 2X_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = -X_2 + bX_3, [X_1, X_4] = aX_4\) \((a \neq 0, b \geq 0)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,7}\) | \([X_1, X_2] = X_2 + X_3, [X_2, X_3] = -X_4, [X_1, X_4] = 2X_4\) \((a \neq 0, b \geq 0)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,8}^b, A_{4,9}^b\) | \([X_1, X_2] = bX_2, [X_1, X_3] = X_3, [X_1, X_4] = (1 + b)X_4\) \((-1 \leq b \leq 1)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,11}^b\) | \([X_1, X_2] = aX_2 + X_3, [X_1, X_3] = -X_2 + aX_3, [X_1, X_4] = 2aX_4, (a \geq 0)\) |
|           | \(A_{4,12}\) | \([X_1, X_3] = X_4, [X_2, X_4] = -X_4, [X_1, X_4] = -X_3, [X_2, X_3] = -X_3\) |

\(A_{4,1}\) is nilpotent, and \(A_{4,2}^b \sim A_{4,12}\) are solvable.

In the list above some different Lie algebras are defined together by using parameters \(a, b\). For example \(A_{3,3}, A_{3,4}\) and \(A_{3,5}^g\) is distinguished by \(a = 1, a = -1\) and \(0 < |a| < 1\) in the above definition. In this case \(A_{3,5}^g\) denotes a family of Lie
algebras. In dimension 5, indecomposable Lie algebras consist of 40 families $A_{5,1} \sim A_{5,40}$ (see [15] for their definitions). Among them six Lie algebras $A_{5,1} \sim A_{5,6}$ are nilpotent, and $A_{5,40}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect sum $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ which is perfect. The remaining Lie algebras $A_{5,7} \sim A_{5,39}$ are all solvable.

5. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (indecomposable case)

In this and next sections, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. If a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ admits an IFAS, then $\mathfrak{l}$ admits an IFPS. So we study first the existence of IFAS and next the existence of IFPS. In this section we classify indecomposable Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 5$ admitting IFASs (IFPSs). For the remaining case, i.e. direct sums of Lie algebras, we study them in the next section.

Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be an indecomposable Lie algebra of dimension $\leq 5$. Then we may suppose that $\mathfrak{l}$ is isomorphic to one of the Lie algebras in the list of Section 4 if $\dim \mathfrak{l} \leq 4$, or the list in [15] if $\dim \mathfrak{l} = 5$. First let us examine IFASs. If $\mathfrak{l}$ is perfect, i.e. $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] = \mathfrak{l}$, then $\mathfrak{l}$ admits no IFAS (Helstetter [8]). In the following we assume $\mathfrak{l}$ is not perfect. Then we can show that $\mathfrak{l}$ has a semidirect sum structure $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{k}$ satisfying the condition in Proposition 3.2. Each semidirect sum structure is given in Table 1.

Table 1
Non-perfect Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 5$ and their semidirect sum structures.

| dim | Lie algebra | $\mathfrak{h}$ | $\mathfrak{k}$ | $\mathfrak{t}_1$ | $\mathfrak{t}_2$ | $\mathfrak{t}_3$ |
|-----|-------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1   | $A_{1,1}$   | $\mathfrak{h}$ | $X_1$         |                 |                 |                 |
| 2   | $A_{2,1}$   | $X_1$         | $X_2$         |                 |                 |                 |
| 3   | $A_{3,1} \sim A_{4,3,7}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3$ |                 |                 |                 |
| 4   | $A_{4,1} \sim A_{4,6}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3, X_4$ |                 |                 |                 |
|     | $A_{4,7} \sim A_{4,11}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3$ |                 | $X_4$           |                 |
|     | $A_{4,12}$ | $X_1, X_2$ | $X_3, X_4$ | $X_5$           |                 |                 |
| 5   | $A_{5,1}, A_{5,2}, A_{6,1} \sim A_{6,18}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5$ | $X_4$           | $X_5$           |                 |
|     | $A_{5,3}, A_{5,4}, A_{5,30}, A_{5,31}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3$ | $X_4$           | $X_5$           |                 |
|     | $A_{5,5}, A_{5,6}, A_{6,19} \sim A_{5,29}$ | $X_1$ | $X_2, X_3, X_4$ | $X_5$           |                 |                 |
|     | $A_{6,32} \sim A_{5,37}$ | $X_1, X_2$ | $X_3, X_4$ | $X_5$           |                 |                 |
|     | $A_{5,38}, A_{5,39}$ | $X_1, X_2, X_3$ | $X_4, X_5$ |                 |                 |                 |

We have two comments about Table 1. First we note that the above bases $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $A_{n,1}$ is different from the one $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ in [15], and there is the common relation for $n \geq 3$: $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} = \{-e_n, e_{n-1}, \ldots, e_1\}$. Thus for $n = 5$ we have $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\} = \{-e_5, e_4, e_3, e_2, e_1\}$. Secondly the last non-zero $\mathfrak{t}_i$ of each row stands for a subspace $Z^t(\mathfrak{t})$ of the center $Z(\mathfrak{h})$. For instance $A_{5,3} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2 \oplus Z^t(\mathfrak{t})$, where $Z^t(\mathfrak{t}) = \langle X_5 \rangle$.

Here let us examine the decomposition in the table by taking up the Heisenberg Lie algebra $A_{3,1}$. In this case $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_1 \rangle$ is abelian, so $\mathfrak{h}$ admits an IFAS. The ideal $\mathfrak{t} = \langle X_2, X_3 \rangle$ is also abelian, and obviously we have $[\mathfrak{h}, Z(\mathfrak{t})] \subset Z(\mathfrak{t})$. Hence $A_{3,1}$ admits an IFAS. Next we take up $A_{5,39}$ defined below.

$A_{5,39} = [X_1, X_2] = -X_3, \quad [X_1, X_4] = -X_5, \quad [X_1, X_5] = X_4,$
$[X_2, X_4] = -X_4, \quad [X_2, X_5] = -X_5.$

Then $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to $A_{3,1}$, so $\mathfrak{h}$ admits an IFAS. The ideal $\mathfrak{k} = \langle X_3, X_4 \rangle$ is abelian, and satisfies $[\mathfrak{h}, Z(\mathfrak{k})] \subset Z(\mathfrak{k})$. Hence $A_{5,39}$ is an extension of $A_{3,1}$ by a semidirect sum satisfying the condition in Proposition 3.2. In this way for all Lie algebras in the list of Section 4 and [15], we can check that they have decompositions in the table and satisfy the condition in Proposition 3.2. Hence any non-perfect indecomposable Lie algebra of dimension $\leq 5$ admits an IFAS.

Remark. The existence of IFAS on the above non-perfect Lie algebras can be showed by using the results of [7] and [18] which we stated in the remark after Proposition 3.2. But without Proposition 3.2 it becomes harder to verify the existence of IFAS, since the number of case by case examinations increases. Moreover consider the following 6-dimensional Lie algebra $n6_{20,1}$ (cf. [11, p. 156]):

$n6_{20,1} = \{e_1, e_2\} = e_3, \quad \{e_1, e_5\} = e_2, \quad \{e_1, e_6\} = e_5,$
$\{e_4, e_6\} = e_2, \quad \{e_5, e_6\} = e_4.$

We can show the existence of an IFAS on $n6_{20,1}$ by using Proposition 3.2 as follows: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, $\mathfrak{t}_1 = \langle e_5, e_6 \rangle$, $\mathfrak{t}_2 = \langle e_4 \rangle$, $Z(\mathfrak{t}) = \langle e_2 \rangle$. But it seems impossible to show the existence of an IFAS without using Proposition 3.2.

Next we shall study the existence and non-existence of IFPSs for the remaining perfect indecomposable Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 5$. Such Lie algebras are exhausted by $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{o}(3)$ and $A_{5,40}$. Aagaoka [1] has shown that each $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{o}(3)$ admit an IFPS. The corresponding (N)-homomorphisms are given by the following:
The representation \( f \) is isomorphic to the matrix Lie algebra defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & v \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \).

The Lie algebra \( A_{5,40} \) is isomorphic to the matrix Lie algebra defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
through the correspondence between bases \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\} \) and
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Thus the Lie algebra \( A_{5,40} \) has a semidirect sum structure \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \).

From the above definition of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \) by matrices, we obtain the standard representation \( \iota : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R}) \).

Formalizing the idea of \( \iota \), we define a Lie algebra representation \( \iota \circ \iota : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(6, \mathbb{R}) \) by \( \iota \circ \iota (\zeta \xi) \eta = (\iota (\zeta) \xi) \eta \). Here we identify \( \mathbb{R}^6 \) with the set of \( 3 \times 2 \) real matrices.

Thus the algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \) does not give an \((N)\)-homomorphism, however in this case its contragredient representation \((\iota \circ \iota)^*\). We denote it by \( f \), i.e., \( f(\zeta \xi) \eta = (\iota (\zeta) \xi) \eta \). We denote by \( a_1 \) a one-dimensional vector space, and denote by \( e \) its basis. Then we extend \( f \) to a representation \( \tilde{f} : (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2) \oplus a_1 \to \mathfrak{gl}(6, \mathbb{R}) \) by mapping \( e \) into the identity map of \( \mathbb{R}^6 \). When we set \( 3 \times 2 \) real matrix
\[
v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\( v \) satisfies \( \tilde{f}((\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2) \oplus a_1) v = \mathbb{R}^6 \). Because of this equality, we can identify \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus a_1 \) with \( \mathbb{R}^6 \) by the correspondence \( x \leftrightarrow f(x)v \). Under this identification we can regard \( f \) as a representation
\[
f : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2) \oplus a_1)
\]
Then \( f \) satisfies \( f(x)e = x \) and \( \text{tr} f(x) = 0 \) for \( x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2 \), which implies that \( f \) is an \((N)\)-homomorphism.

The corresponding left invariant projectively flat affine connection is given by \( \nabla_{x}y = f_{0}(x)y = -xy + \text{tr}(yx)/2 \) \( L_x \) for \( x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{v}_{X}x = -xw \) for \( x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), u \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), and \( \nabla = 0 \) for other cases. Thus this connection does not coincide with the connection \( \nabla_{x}y = 1/2[\mathbb{I}, y] \). Note that the above construction can be naturally extended to \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n \) \( n \geq 2 \), namely \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n \) admits an IFPS.

From the above considerations, we complete the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for indecomposable Lie algebras.

6. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (decomposable case)

In this section we shall show that every decomposable Lie algebra of dimension \( \leq 5 \) admits an IFAS. For this purpose we prove Proposition 6.2. First we introduce the notion of reducible IFAS.
Definition 6.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is an IFAS on a Lie algebra $h$, and $g : h \to \mathfrak{gl}(h)$ is the corresponding Lie algebra homomorphism. An IFAS $\mathcal{V}$ is said to be reducible if there exists a semidirect sum decomposition $h = a \times h'$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\dim a = 1$,
(ii) $g(h')a = 0$,
(iii) $h'$ is invariant under the action of $g$, i.e. $g(h)h' \subset h'$.

If $g$ and $h$ satisfy the above condition of reducible IFAS, then $g$ induces the homomorphism $g' : h \to \mathfrak{gl}(h')$. Then we have $g'(X)Y = [X, Y]$ for $X \in a$ and $Y \in h'$. Furthermore the restriction of $g'$ to $h'$ gives the homomorphism $g'|_{h'} : h' \to \mathfrak{gl}(h')$, which corresponds to an IFAS on $h'$.

Proposition 6.2. Suppose that a Lie algebra $l$ admits an IFPS, and a Lie algebra $h$ admits a reducible IFAS. Then $l \oplus h$ admits an IFAS.

Proof. We shall construct a Lie algebra homomorphism $h : l \oplus h \to \mathfrak{gl}(l \oplus h)$ corresponding to an IFAS as follows. Let $g : h \to \mathfrak{gl}(h)$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism corresponding to the given reducible IFAS on $h$. We can decompose $h$ into $(Z) \ltimes h'$ satisfying the condition of a reducible IFAS above. Let $g' : h \to \mathfrak{gl}(h')$ be the induced Lie algebra homomorphism. Note that the restriction $g'|_{h'}$ satisfies the condition $(\ast)$ in Corollary 2.6.

On the other hand $l$ admits an IFPS from the assumption. Hence from Lemma 2.9 and its remark, there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $f : l \oplus a_1 \to \mathfrak{gl}(l \oplus a_1)$ satisfying $f(X)Y - f(Y)X = [X, Y]$ for $X, Y \in l \oplus a_1$, and $f(e) = l$ for the fixed basis $e$ of $a_1$. In the following we identify $Z$ with $e$. We define a representation $\phi : l \oplus a_1 \to \mathfrak{gl}(h')$ by $\phi(X)Y = 0$, $\phi(e)Y = [Z, Y]$ for $X \in l$ and $Y \in h'$. Since $\phi$ is a derivation, we obtain the semidirect sum $(l \oplus a_1) \ltimes_{h'} h'$. Then two Lie algebras $l \oplus (Z) \ltimes h'$ and $(l \oplus a_1) \ltimes_{h'} h'$ are isomorphic, hence we may identify these two Lie algebras. By using $f$ and $g'$ we define a Lie algebra homomorphism $h : l \oplus ((Z) \ltimes h') \to \mathfrak{gl}((l \oplus a_1) \ltimes_{h'} h')$ by

$$h(X, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} f(X) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (X \in l),$$

$$h(0, Z) = \begin{pmatrix} f(e) & 0 \\ 0 & g'(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h(0, Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g(Y) \end{pmatrix} (Y \in h').$$

Here the first row (column) in matrices stands for the $l \oplus a_1$-component, and the second row (column) stands for the $h'$-component. We can easily check that $h$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. We show that $h$ satisfies the condition $(\ast)$ in Corollary 2.6: $h(X)Y - h(Y)X = [X, Y]$ for $X, Y \in l \oplus h$. For two cases $X, Y \in l$, and $X, Y \in h'$, the condition $(\ast)$ is obviously satisfied. Hence we consider the remaining three cases:

(i) $X = Z, Y \in l$,
(ii) $X = Z, Y \in h'$,
(iii) $X \in l, Y \in h'$.

For $X \in l \oplus a_1$ and $Y \in h'$, we denote by $(X) \in (l \oplus a_1) \ltimes_{h'} h'$. Then note that $(0, Z) \in l \oplus ((Z) \ltimes h')$ is identified with $(e) \in (l \oplus a_1) \ltimes_{h'} h'$. In each case we have the following equality:

(i) $h(0, Z) \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - h(0, Y) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(e)Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} f(Y)e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [e, Y] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = [(0, Z), (Y, 0)].$

(ii) $h(0, Z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y \end{pmatrix} - h(0, Y) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{l \oplus (Z)} & 0 \\ 0 & g'(Z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g(Y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g'(Z)Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ [Z, Y] \end{pmatrix} = [(0, Z), (0, Y)].$

(iii) $h(X, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y \end{pmatrix} - h(X, 0) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 = [(X, 0), (0, Y)].$

It follows that $l \oplus h$ admits an IFAS. □
Section 5, A

Proof. We decompose of Lemma 2.5, g to Lie algebra groups of higher dimension admitting an IFAS. For example in Section 5 we showed that any non-perfect indecomposable subalgebra. We graduate From the proof of indecomposable case in Theorem 1.2 in Section 5, we have the definition of In the position and 0 elsewhere. The decomposition and Recall that A
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By the above discussions Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have been completely proved.

Remark. By virtue of Proposition 6.2 we can prove Proposition 6.4 without constructing representations of sl(2, R) ⊕ A2,1 and o(3) ⊕ A2,1 corresponding to IFASs. There is another purpose for proving Proposition 6.2. It is a construction of Lie groups of higher dimension admitting an IFAS. For example in Section 5 we showed that any non-perfect indecomposable Lie algebra h of dimension ≤ 5 admits an IFAS. We can easily see that it is reducible. Hence for any Lie algebra l admitting an IFPS, l ⊕ h admits an IFAS by Proposition 6.2.

There is another example of a Lie algebra admitting a reducible IFAS. It is the set of upper triangular matrices t(n, R). This Lie algebra t(n, R) decomposes into a semidirect sum h ⊕ t, where h is the set of diagonal matrices and t is the derived subalgebra. We graduate t naturally, i.e. we set t_i = (ε_{i,i+1}, ε_{i,i+2}, ..., ε_{i,n}), where ε_{i,j} is the matrix having 1 in the (i, j) position and 0 elsewhere. The decomposition h ⊕ t satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.2. Hence the Lie algebra t(n, R) admits a representation g : t(n, R) → gl(t(n, R)) corresponding to an IFAS. We denote by a the one-dimensional subspace spanned by In ∈ h, and denote by b its arbitrary complementary subspace in h. Hence we have h = a ⊕ b. From the definition of g in Proposition 3.2 and the fact that h is abelian, we can see the following easily: the IFAS corresponding to g and the decomposition t(n, R) = a ⊗ (b ⊗ t) satisfies the condition of reducible IFAS. Hence for any Lie algebra l admitting an IFPS, the direct sum l ⊕ t(n, R) admits an IFAS.
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