Diffusible signal factor signaling regulates multiple functions in the opportunistic pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*
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**Abstract**

**Objective:** *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is a Gram-negative bacterium commonly isolated from nosocomial infections. Analysis of the genome of the clinical *S. maltophilia* isolate K279a indicates that it encodes a diffusible signal factor (DSF)-dependent cell–cell signaling mechanism that is highly similar to the system previously described in phytopathogens from the genera *Xanthomonas* and *Xylella*. Our objective was to study the function of DSF signaling in the clinical strain *S. maltophilia* K279a using genetic and functional genomic analyses.

**Results:** We compared the wild-type strain with a mutant deficient in the *rpfF* (*regulation of pathogenicity factors*) gene that is essential for the synthesis of DSF. The effects of disruption of DSF signaling were pleiotropic with an impact on virulence, biofilm formation and pathogenesis. The phenotypic effects of *rpfF* mutation in *S. maltophilia* could be reversed by addition of exogenous DSF. Taken together, we demonstrate that DSF signaling regulates factors contributing to virulence, biofilm formation and motility of this important opportunistic pathogen.
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**Introduction**

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is a Gram-negative bacterium that is found ubiquitously in the environment and which has become an important opportunistic pathogen [1–3]. *S. maltophilia* infections occur in cystic fibrosis and burn patients and are common in individuals with compromised immune systems who are inclined to opportunistic infections [1–3]. The organism is commonly isolated from clinical specimens and is involved in urinary and respiratory tract infections, endocarditis and in catheter-related bacteraemia and septicaemia [1–3]. Isolates are resistant to the majority of clinically useful antibiotics making the treatment of *S. maltophilia* infections problematic [4]. A number of laboratories have been addressing the molecular bases for virulence and antibiotic resistance in *S. maltophilia* [1–3, 5, 6].

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is related to bacteria from the genera *Xanthomonas* and *Xylella* [7]. In Xanthomonads, cell–cell signaling mediated by molecules of the diffusible signal factor (DSF) family control virulence factor synthesis, development of biofilms as well as disease in plants (reviewed in Ryan et al. [8]). Furthermore, these signal molecules have been shown to play a role in *Xylella* interaction with its insect vector (reviewed in Ryan et al. [8]). DSF family signal molecules are *cis*-unsaturated fatty acids, the first of which to be identified was *cis*-11-methyl-2-dodecenoic acid from *Xanthomonas campestris* [9]. The synthesis and perception of the signals require products of the *rpf* gene cluster; DSF synthesis is dependent on RpfF, which has some amino acid sequence similarity to enoyl-CoA hydratases, whereas DSF perception involves a two-component regulatory system, including the complex sensor RpfC and response regulator RpfG [8–11]. In *X. campestris*, the *rpfG* and *rpfC* genes are co-transcribed as the *rpfGHC* operon,
although RpH has no clear role in signaling and is not conserved in other Xanthomonas species or in Xylella [12]. The rpfB gene, which encodes a long-chain fatty acyl coenzyme A ligase, is linked to rpfF in X. campestris and has been implicated in DSF turnover [13]. The relatedness of S. maltophilia to these plant pathogens prompted us to examine this organism for the existence and role of a DSF-dependent signaling system. In 2007, it was reported that mutation of rpfF had effects on different phenotypes in S. maltophilia [14]. However, this paper was recently retracted due to errors in data presentation [15]. Here we report on the outcomes of repeated key experiments that indicate the pleiotropic nature of rpfF mutation and show that DSF signaling controls factors contributing to virulence, motility and biofilm formation of this nosocomial pathogen.

Main text
Methodology

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

For the experiments, NYGB medium was used as growth media for S. maltophilia strains, which contains 20 g/L glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich, UK), 3 g/L yeast extract (Difco, UK) and 5 g/L bacteriological peptone (Oxoid, UK). The measurement bacterial biofilm formation was carried out in L medium, which comprises of sodium chloride, 5 g/L; yeast extract, 5 g/L; Bactotryptone (Difco, UK), 10 g/L and D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, UK), 1 g/L.

The strain of S. maltophilia used was K279a [4]. In this study to create a disruption of the rpfF gene in S. maltophilia, an internal fragment of the gene was amplified using the primers PEX18RPFF-F: 5′-TGAGCAGTCTCGTGACGACTACAGC-3′ and PEX18RPFF-R: 5′-GGCGTTCTTGATACCTGT-3′ and was cloned into the TOPO (Invitrogen) vector. This fragment was excised with EcoRI and ligated into the suicide plasmid pEX18Tc [16]. This construct was introduced into S. maltophilia K279a by triparental mating. The mating mixture was plated on NYGA medium containing tetracycline (125 µg/mL) to select for mutants. S. maltophilia K279a has low intrinsic level of tetracycline tolerance. Candidate strains were visualized after incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

Motility assays

Bacterial motility assays were carried out on NYGB medium that was solidified using 0.6% Eiken agar (Eiken Chemical, Tokyo). A sterile 200-µL tip was used to inoculate S. maltophilia strains to the centre of the plate. Plates were visualized after incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

Biofilm formation assay

Bacterial strains were assessed for biofilm formation by aggregation in L medium as described previously [18]. Here log-phase-grown bacteria were diluted to OD600 nm = 0.02 in L media broth, and 5 mL was incubated at 30 °C for 24 h in 14 mL glass tubes.

Virulence assay

Virulence was tested in Galleria mellonella larvae [19], which were stored at 4 °C in wood shavings. G. mellonella were injected with 10 µL of successively diluted bacteria (1 × 10⁶ CFU). Infected G. mellonella were placed on Whatman paper lined Petri dishes and incubated at 37 °C. The G. mellonella were monitored for their survival after a 24 h period. Four separate tests were conducted consisting of 10 larvae for each strain. The control groups for each experiment consisted of G. mellonella injected with PBS alone.
Results and discussion

Initial evidence for the occurrence of the DSF signaling system in *S. maltophilia* clinical isolate K279a was provided by bioinformatic analysis. Interrogation of the genome sequence of this organism (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_maltophilia/) using with the RpfF amino acid sequence of *X. campestris* in tBLASTn revealed a homolog of RpfF. Further analysis of a DNA sequence of approximately 8 Kb (to include flanking genes) indicated the presence of an *rpfBFCG* gene cluster, related to that found in *X. campestris* (Fig. 1). No homologue of *rpfH* was identified in *S. maltophilia* (Fig. 1). The *S. maltophilia* proteins showed very high amino acid sequence similarity to their homologues in *X. campestris*; in BLASTP comparisons, E values were lower than $10^{-127}$.

These bioinformatic studies were supported by experimental studies to examine the production of DSF by the K279a strain. DSF in extracts of culture supernatants was assayed by measuring the restoration of endoglucanase activity to an *X. campestris rpfF* mutant (see “Methodology”). Using this bioassay, DSF activity was detected in culture supernatants of *S. maltophilia* K279a (Fig. 1). Further evidence that the product of the *S. maltophilia* K279a *rpfF* gene directs DSF production was obtained from experiments in which the cloned gene was introduced into the *rpfF* mutant of *X. campestris*. The transconjugant produced detectable DSF production and the production of the extracellular enzymes endoglucanase and protease was concomitantly restored (Fig. 1). Furthermore, inactivation of *rpfF* in *S. maltophilia* K279a by use of the pEX18Tc suicide vector (see “Methodology”) led to a loss of DSF synthesis as (Fig. 1).

The effects of disruption of DSF signaling through inactivation of *rpfF* in *S. maltophilia* K279a were pleiotropic. The *rpfF* mutant had severely reduced motility (Fig. 2), decreased levels of extracellular protease (Fig. 2) and formed aggregates or biofilms when grown in L medium (Fig. 2). Importantly, *in trans* expression...
of the rpfF gene in the mutant could restore phenotypes towards wild-type in all cases.

The above findings demonstrated the impact of DSF signaling on aggregative behavior and protease synthesis in S. maltophilia, which are functions that are believed to be involved in virulence. This motivated us to test the effect of rpfF mutation on S. maltophilia virulence using the Galleria mellonella larvae model (see “Methodology”). Under the assay conditions used, the wild-type S. maltophilia K279a killed the majority of larvae after 24 h, whereas the rpfF mutant of S. maltophilia K279a produced no killing (Fig. 2). These findings suggest that DSF signaling contributes to the virulence of S. maltophilia. The pleiotropic effects of loss by mutation rpfF are consistent with previous observations in different strains of S. maltophilia and species of Stenotrophomonas such as S. rhizophilia, where rpfF mutants have altered biofilm formation, extracellular polysaccharide synthesis and virulence [5, 6].

The phenotypic effects of rpfF mutation in S. maltophilia could be reversed by addition of exogenous DSF. Addition of synthetic DSF from X. campestris at 1 mM or extracts from wild-type S. maltophilia to cultures of the S. maltophilia rpfF mutant of an equivalent volume restored wild-type planktonic growth in L medium and restored motility towards wild-type levels (Fig. 3). The work is consistent with recent studies that identify the importance of RpfF proteins and DSF signaling in regulation in other environmental strains of S. maltophilia [5, 6].

**Conclusions**

The work in this paper suggests that DSF signaling in S. maltophilia has a role in the regulation of a number of functions that contribute to aggregation or biofilm formation and to the virulence of this organism. Our findings thus add to a body of work that indicates a role for cell–cell signaling in the virulence of diverse bacterial pathogens. Interference with such signaling processes affords a rational approach to aid the treatment of bacterial infections. However, one limitation of such an approach is that differences in the operation of Rpf–DSF mediated cell–cell signaling have been reported in different strains of S. maltophilia to include both clinical and environmental isolates. In this context, a detailed study of DSF signaling and its role in a wider number of S. maltophilia isolates is very much warranted.

---

**Fig. 2** Loss of DSF signaling through mutation of rpfF has a pleiotropic effect in S. maltophilia. The rpfF mutant shows reduced motility in 0.6% Eiken agar (a); reduced production of extracellular protease (b); aggregation when grown in L medium (c), where the wild-type grows in a dispersed fashion; d ability to cause disease to Galleria mellonella (Wax moth larvae). In trans expression rpfF gene in the S. maltophilia rpfF mutant could restore phenotypes towards wild-type in all cases.
Limitations
- The study focuses on a single sequenced strain of S. maltophilia.
- The molecular mechanism for how the DSF signal is perceived by S. maltophilia and regulates the specific phenotypes observed is not investigated.
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