Freezing of gait in Parkinson’s disease reflects a sudden derangement of locomotor network dynamics
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Freezing of gait is a disabling symptom of Parkinson’s disease that causes a paroxysmal inability to generate effective stepping. The underlying pathophysiology has recently migrated towards a dysfunctional supraspinal locomotor network, but the actual network derangements during ongoing gait freezing are unknown. We investigated the communication between the cortex and the subthalamic nucleus, two main nodes of the locomotor network, in seven freely-moving subjects with Parkinson’s disease with a novel deep brain stimulation device, which allows on-demand recording of subthalamic neural activity from the chronically-implanted electrodes months after the surgical procedure. Multisite neurophysiological recordings during (effective) walking and ongoing gait freezing were combined with kinematic measurements and individual molecular brain imaging studies. Patients walked in a supervised environment closely resembling everyday life challenges. We found that during (effective) walking, the cortex and subthalamic nucleus were synchronized in a low frequency band (4–13 Hz). In contrast, gait freezing was characterized in every patient by low frequency cortical-subthalamic decoupling in the hemisphere with less striatal dopaminergic innervation. Of relevance, this decoupling was already evident at the transition from normal (effective) walking into gait freezing, was maintained during the freezing episode, and resolved with recovery of the effective walking pattern. This is the first evidence for a decoding of the networked processing of locomotion in Parkinson’s disease and suggests that freezing of gait is a ‘circuitopathy’ related to a dysfunctional cortical-subcortical communication. A successful therapeutic approach for gait freezing in Parkinson’s disease should aim at directly targeting derangements of neural network dynamics.
**Abbreviations:** DBS = deep brain stimulation; FOG = freezing of gait; Fstop = post-freezing; Fpre = pre-freezing; Fstart = freezing start; Fstop = freezing stop; FWHM = full-width at half-maximum; LFP = local field potential; MLR = mesencephalic locomotor region; PSD = power spectral density; SMA = supplementary motor area; STN = subthalamic nucleus

**Introduction**

Motor behaviours are associated with highly coordinated neural network dynamics, which are widely distributed over functionally connected brain regions. These synchronized activity patterns are sustained by coherent membrane potential oscillations and represent a mechanism of dynamic brain coordination (Varela et al., 2001; Benda et al., 2006). Advances in neurophysiology have led to the interpretation that some neurological conditions result from disorders of network dynamics, or ‘circuitopathies’ (Lozano and Lipsman, 2013). We envisioned that this circuitry dysfunction could be extended to specific symptoms, such as freezing of gait (FOG). FOG is a sudden and unpredictable failure of gait characterized by the inability to produce effective stepping. The most common cause of FOG is Parkinson’s disease (Perez-Lloret et al., 2014), where it causes falls, mobility restrictions, and poor quality of life. The pathophysiology of FOG remains largely unknown and its understanding is challenged by its sudden and unpredictable nature (Nieuwboer and Giladi, 2013; Snijders et al., 2016). Indeed, the majority of studies have compared patients with and without FOG, thus mainly addressing putative neuronal mechanisms preventing gait freezing rather than its actual pathophysiology.

Recent molecular imaging findings in patients with Parkinson’s disease and FOG during walking have suggested dysfunction of the supraspinal locomotor network (Tard et al., 2015). This network involves mainly the primary motor cortex (M1), the supplementary motor area (SMA), the parietal cortex (PC), the basal ganglia, the subthalamic nucleus (STN), the mesencephalic locomotor region (MLR) and the cerebellum (Fig. 1) (la Fougère et al., 2010; Tard et al., 2015; Snijders et al., 2016; Syrkin-Nikolau et al., 2017; Takakusaki, 2017). The STN in particular can play an essential role in locomotor control (Storzer et al., 2017; Anidi et al., 2018; Arnulfo et al., 2018; Fischer et al., 2018; Hell et al., 2018) being directly connected with the SMA (Nambu et al., 2002) and projecting extensively to the MLR (Takakusaki, 2017). The STN would gate the integration of cortical (feed forward) and cerebellar (feed back) information by activating or inhibiting the MLR via direct glutamatergic projections or basal ganglia GABAergic output (Fig. 1) (Snijders et al., 2016; Takakusaki, 2017). The MLR, in turn, conveys this information to the spinal central pattern generators, which generate the basic rhythms and patterns of motor neuron activation during locomotion (Fig. 1) (Grillner, 2006; Kiehn, 2016; Takakusaki, 2017). Given the role of the STN in speed-accuracy trade-off conditions (Obeso et al., 2014), it can be particularly involved in the modulation of gait velocity during directional changes or obstacle crossing, when freezing episodes usually occur. Also of relevance is that in patients with Parkinson’s disease, the STN activity exhibits excessive neuronal synchronization to cortical beta (β)-rhythms (≈13–35 Hz) (de Hemptinne et al., 2013; Sharott et al., 2018), which may lead to motor impairment (Neumann et al., 2016; West et al., 2016). More recent studies also showed a suppression of the STN β-oscillations (Storzer et al., 2017; Fischer et al., 2018) and connectivity (Arnulfo et al., 2018; Hell et al., 2018) during walking in subjects with Parkinson’s disease (Arnulfo et al., 2018; Fischer et al., 2018; Hell et al., 2018). The STN β-bursts (a feature of β-synchronization) were also shown to be prolonged during freezing episodes when compared to stepping in place (Anidi et al., 2018).

Expanding these findings to a network level, we investigated cortical-subthalamic coupling and subthalamic power spectral densities, β-bursts and interhemispheric coupling. We compared (effective) walking with ongoing episodes of gait freezing in seven patients with Parkinson’s disease chronically implanted for deep brain stimulation (DBS). Multisite brain recordings were combined with kinematic and molecular brain imaging findings.

**Materials and methods**

**Subjects and surgery**

We tested seven subjects with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease, diagnosed according to the UK Parkinson Disease Brain Bank criteria, and treated with bilateral STN-DBS. Five subjects suffered from FOG (FOG+; Patients wue03, wue04, wue10, wue11 and wnk01) and two patients had never experienced gait freezing (FOG−; Patients wue06 and wue07). All patients but one (Patient wnk01) were implanted at the University Hospital Würzburg (Würzburg, Germany) with the ‘Activa PC+5’ system (Medtronic, PLC). For Patient wnk01, the electrodes were externalized and connected to a portable device (‘AlphaDBS’, Newronika S.r.l.) at battery replacement. Both systems allow therapeutic DBS as well as on-demand local field potential (LFP) recordings from the chronically-implanted STN electrodes (Canessa et al., 2016; Arlotti et al., 2018). The ‘Activa PC+5’ and the ‘AlphaDBS’ systems and the related hardware and software for programming and readout were provided by Medtronic, PLC and Newronika S.r.l., respectively, under a request for application agreement. The companies had no impact on study design, patient selection, data analysis, or reporting of the results.

The surgical procedure has previously been described (Steigerwald et al., 2008; Arlotti et al., 2018). In brief, the
DBS electrode used was model 3389 (Medtronic, PLC) with four platinum-iridium cylindrical contacts of 1.5 mm each and a contact-to-contact separation of 0.5 mm (contacts 0/8 were the lowermost, whereas contacts 3/11 were the uppermost; E0–3 refers to the right hemisphere and E8–11 to the left hemisphere). The intended coordinates for STN (i.e. 12 mm lateral, 2 mm posterior, 4 mm ventral to the midcommissural point) were adjusted according to individual delineation of the STN on T2-weighted and susceptibility-weighted images (Magnetom Trio or Skyra, Siemens Healthcare) and verified by intraoperative microelectrode recordings and stimulation, and intraoperative CT scan. The precise localization of the active (and recording) contacts was also confirmed by image fusion of pre- and postoperative scans (SureTune™, Medtronic, PLC) (Reich et al., 2016).

At the time of the experiment, all patients were on stable dopaminergic treatment (for at least 2 months) and chronically stimulated (i.e. unchanged DBS parameters for at least 2 months). All subjects were tested 4 years after surgery (±3 months), except for Patient nwk01, who was tested 7 years after DBS. To verify the correct placement of the electrodes, we calculated the percentage improvement due to DBS (Arnulfo et al., 2018):

\[
\frac{(a - b)}{a} \times 100
\]  

where \(a\) is Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS)-III medication OFF score and \(b\) is UPDRS-III medication ON score or UPDRS-III medication OFF/stimulation on score (Table 1). This measure describes the therapeutic improvement due to DBS and (best) levodopa treatment. Demographic and clinical details are reported in Table 1.

The local Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital Würzburg and of the Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico di Milano approved the study and all patients gave written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Figure 1** The supraspinal locomotor network. This schematic drawing displays the supraspinal network for locomotor control. Cortical signals convey motor commands to the mesencephalic locomotor regions (MLR) via the basal ganglia, through the striato-pallidal and the striato-subthalamic-pallidal pathways, and via the hyper-direct pathway that directly links the SMA with the STN. Locomotor plans reach the MLR, which represents a cross-point of information coming from the basal ganglia and the cerebellum, and further descend to the pontomedullary reticular formation (PMRF) and to the spinal central pattern generators (CPGs). The investigated nodes are highlighted in yellow. The pathways of feedforward motor commands are displayed as red (activating) and blue (inhibiting) arrows, while those of sensory feedback are displayed as grey arrows. CRB = cerebellum; GPe = globus pallidus pars externa; Gpi = globus pallidus pars interna; M1 = primary motor cortex; PC = parietal cortex; SN = substantia nigra; STR = stria- tum; TH = thalamus.

**SPECT imaging**

All patients underwent a single-photon computed tomography (SPECT) with \(^{123}\text{I}-\text{N-ω-fluoropropyl-2β-carbomethoxy-3β-}[\text{4-iodophenyl}]\text{nortropane} (FP-CIT) to measure the dopamine reuptake transporter (DAT) density. SPECT data acquisition and analysis have been described previously (Isaias et al., 2006, 2008; Arnulfo et al., 2018). Striatal DAT binding measurements of the patients were compared with normal values of 15 healthy subjects [four males, 11 females, age mean: 62 ± 9 standard deviation (SD) years, range: 44–68 years]. Based on the DAT binding values, we identified the brain hemisphere with more (+) or less (−) dopaminergic innervation and the asymmetry index (AI) calculated as in Arnulfo et al. (2018).

**Protocol, set-up and biomechanical data processing**

Patients were investigated in the morning at least 12 h after their last dose of antiparkinsonian medication and 2 h after pausing the stimulation (i.e. medication OFF/stimulation off condition). Patients walked overground and barefoot at a self-selected speed over a 15 m path that included passing through one turning door (1 m wide) in the gait laboratory and two common doors (1.2 m and 1.6 m wide) outside the gait laboratory (Fig. 2A). We chose this pathway to mirror a daily-life situation of adapting gait to different environmental conditions. All patients performed at least four trials (range 4–8), according to their clinical conditions. Due to the severity of akinetic-rigid symptoms, Patient nwk01 performed only recordings in the gait laboratory.

Throughout the entire walking path, kinematics of lower limbs was measured using two inertial recording units (IMU, Opal, APDM), with a sampling rate of 128 Hz, placed on the outer anklebones. A representation of the complete set-up is shown in Fig. 2A. To detect gait freezing episodes, we computed the wavelet spectrum of the ankle angular velocity around the medial-lateral axis with respect to the walking direction (Fig. 2B). Gait freezing was identified by a switch to higher frequency compared to (effective) walking as in Moore et al. (2008). In particular, we defined for each time \(t\) a ‘freezing index’ (FI) as the ratio between the square of the power spectra in the ‘freezing’ band (3–8 Hz)
and in the ‘locomotion’ band (0.5–3 Hz), calculated in a 6-s window centred in \( t \). For each subject, a specific freezing gait threshold was defined as the mean \( + 1 \) SD of the peak FI from volitional standing (Moore et al., 2008).

Walking trials were also video-recorded by two synchronized cameras (VIXTA) and two independent raters (N.G.P. and I.U.I.) clinically verified all freezing episodes (Shine et al., 2014).

We selected five time frames of 1.5 s each: (i) (effective) gait (walking, time epochs free of gait freezing); (ii) pre-freezing (\( \text{FP}_{\text{PRE}} \), the 1.5-s time epoch immediately preceding a freezing episode); (iii) freezing start (\( \text{FS}_{\text{START}} \), first 1.5 s of a freezing episode); (iv) freezing stop (\( \text{FS}_{\text{STOP}} \), last 1.5 s of a freezing episode); and (v) post-freezing (\( \text{FP}_{\text{POST}} \), the 1.5-s time epoch after the resolution of a freezing episode) (Fig. 2B). The epochs were defined based on the shortest freezing episode, which lasted 3 s, and never overlapped. The total time of (effective) walking was 592 s and it was analysed with 395 epochs of 1.5 s. These epochs were automatically extracted by custom scripts developed in MATLAB\textsuperscript*} ambient (MATLAB 2017b, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and then checked by visual inspection. We computed the stride length, duration, and velocity (expressed as percentage of subject’s height) and the stance and double-support duration. Temporal parameters (i.e. stance and double-support) were time-normalized as a percentage of the stride duration. For each subject and condition, all variables were averaged over the trials (Arnulfo et al., 2018). These findings were compared with data obtained with the same experimental set-up from 11 healthy control subjects (nine males, two females, mean age: 58 \( \pm \) 5 SD years, range: 50–66 years) matched for age and anthropometric measurements (Table 2).

### Electrophysiological signal recording and analysis

The subthalamic LFP recordings were combined with measures of the cortical electrophysiological activity using a 64-channel portable EEG (MOVE, Brain Products). EEG signals were acquired with the sampling frequency at 1000 Hz and resampled at 400 Hz to match the STN LFP sampling frequency and optimize signals alignment for connectivity analyses. Low frequency and high frequency were eliminated using a bandpass Kaiser windowed FIR filter [pass band (1–80) Hz, stop band (0.5–84) Hz, attenuation 60 dB]. Power line noise (50 Hz) was eliminated using a bandstop fourth order Butterworth filter. EEG channels affected by bad scalp-electrode were visually identified and replaced with spherical spline interpolation. Stereotypical artefacts (e.g. blinks, heartbeat, and muscle tension) were removed by independent component analysis (Jung et al., 2000; Onton et al., 2006; Onton and Makeig, 2006). Laplacian montage was applied to reduce muscular artefacts (Fitzgibbon et al., 2013). We ruled out the effect of movement artefacts by comparing the wavelet transformation [Morlet wavelet, \( f_c = 1 \), full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) = 3; frequency resolution = 1 Hz] of epochs of (effective) gait, with epochs of gait freezing, focusing on the 1–3 Hz band. For example, in this frequency band, the epochs of (effective)

### Table 1 Demographic and clinical data

| Patient | FOG+ | FOG− |
|---------|------|------|
| wue03 M | wue04 M | wue10 M | wue11 M | nwk01 M | wue06 M | wue07 M |
| Sex     | M    | M    | F    | M    | M    | M    | M    |
| Age at onset, years | 43   | 47   | 46   | 42   | 41   | 36   | 51   |
| Disease duration at experiment, years | 20   | 9    | 12   | 13   | 14   | 14   | 12   |
| UPDRS-III pre-DBS, score | Medication OFF | 40   | 26   | 69   | 55   | 40   | 46   |
| UPDRS-III post-DBS, score | Medication ON | 9    | 8    | 14   | 4    | 9    | 11   |
| UPDRS-III post-DBS, score | Medication OFF/stimulation off | 45   | 27   | 65   | 51   | 66   | 48   |
| UPDRS-III post-DBS, score | Medication ON/stimulation off | 23   | 9    | 20   | 13   | 18   | 11   |
| UPDRS-III post-DBS, score | Medication ON/stimulation on | 17   | 5    | 25   | 9    | 15   | 12   |
| UPDRS-III post-DBS, score | Medication ON/stimulation on | 14   | 8    | 5    | 14   | 9    | 6    |
| LEDD pre-DBS, mg | 2975 | 658  | 1200 | 1300 | 960  | 1133 | 650  |
| LEDD post-DBS, mg | 600  | 400  | 550  | 460  | 680  | 180  | 220  |
| Epochs of (effective) walking, s | 118  | 116  | 101  | 147  | 30   | 40   | 40   |
| Epochs of gait freezing, s | 69   | 10   | 8    | 7    | 33   | 0    | 0    |

Patients are grouped as suffering (FOG + ) or non-suffering from FOG (FOG − ). All patients were evaluated using the UPDRS-III within 1 month prior to implantation (pre-DBS) after overnight (>12 h) suspension of all dopaminergic drugs (medication OFF) and upon receiving 1 to 1.5 times (range 200–300 mg) the levodopa-equivalent of the morning dose (medication ON). After surgery (post-DBS), patients were assessed in four conditions: (i) stimulation off for at least 2 h (stimulation off); (ii) bilateral STN stimulation (stimulation on); (iii) medication ON (as pre-DBS); and (iv) medication ON and stimulation on.

F = female; LEDD = levodopa equivalent daily dose; M = male; UPDRS-III = Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale motor examination.
walking and gait freezing showed similar spectral profiles, thus further excluding a confounding effect due to movement artefacts.

Subthalamic LFPs were recorded using a single bipolar contact configuration for each STN and amplified by 1000. The selection of the contacts for bilateral STN recordings was based on the clinical efficacy and confirmed by anatomical targeting. We selected the contact used for chronic DBS, with a bipolar montage surrounding the stimulation contact (Devos et al., 2006; Quinn et al., 2015). The proper positioning of the DBS electrodes in the dorsolateral portion of the STN was then verified with SureTune™ (Medtronic, PLC) (Reich et al., 2016). We performed simultaneous bilateral STN recordings to investigate also interhemispheric connectivity. The sampling frequency for STN LFP signals was 422 Hz, resampled at 400 Hz for further analysis. Signal synchronization and artefacts management have previously been described (Canessa et al., 2016; Arnulfo et al., 2018). In brief, synchronization was achieved by means of a transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) artefact [median amplitude 4 V (range: 3–7 V); frequency: 130 Hz] that was introduced on
As in Shine et al. (2014), we defined six regions of interest (three for each hemisphere): SMA_L [F1,F3,FC1,FC3], SMA_R [F2,F4,FC2,FC4], M1_L [C1,C3,CP1,CP3], M1_R [C2,C4,CP2,CP4], PC_L [P1,P3] and PC_R [P2,P4], which overlay cortical areas involved in locomotor control (Tard et al., 2015; Snijders et al., 2016). We also selected two control regions of interest positioned over the temporal cortex (TC) and outside the locomotor network: TC_L [FT10,T8,TP10]. The PSDs for the cortical and the STN recordings are shown in Fig. 2C. For each patient, we identified the most prominent peak of the averaged PSD across walking epochs in the low frequency (i.e. 0–4 Hz) and the β-frequency (13–35 Hz) bands. For each peak, we then defined a specific band with the FWHM method. These bands were used for subsequent analyses.

We first computed the power in the specific 0–2 Hz and 2–4 Hz bands of the two STN (STN+ and STN−, separately) and compared it between (effective) walking and the above-mentioned time frames of gait freezing.

To study the temporal characteristics of the STN β-oscillations, we also investigated the β-bursts. We decomposed the raw LFPs using wavelet transformation (Morlet wavelet, fc = 1, FWHM = 4) into frequency components with the frequency resolution of 1 Hz. We computed the wavelet amplitude envelope and we selected the β-peak frequency (single frequency bin of 1 Hz) in the walking condition of each subject. To normalize data between subjects and conditions we calculated the z-score of the wavelet amplitudes by subtracting and dividing them for the mean and the standard deviation of the walking envelope, respectively. The β-bursts were selected according to an amplitude threshold. To select the amplitude threshold we followed a recent empirical method based on the fact that burst occurrence is correlated with total power (integral of the PSD between 4 Hz and 80 Hz), separately for all channels:

\[
PSD(f) = \frac{\sum\limits_{f_0}^{\infty} PSD(f)}{df}
\]

As in Shine et al. (2014), we defined six regions of interest (three for each hemisphere): SMA_L [F1,F3,FC1,FC3], SMA_R [F2,F4,FC2,FC4], M1_L [C1,C3,CP1,CP3], M1_R [C2,C4,CP2,CP4], PC_L [P1,P3] and PC_R [P2,P4], which overlay cortical areas involved in locomotor control (Tard et al., 2015; Snijders et al., 2016). We also selected two control regions of interest positioned over the temporal cortex (TC) and outside the locomotor network: TC_L [FT9,T7,TP9] and TC_R [FT10,T8,TP10]. The PSDs for the cortical and the STN recordings are shown in Fig. 2C. For each patient, we identified the most prominent peak of the averaged PSD across walking epochs in the low frequency (i.e. 0–4 Hz) and the β-frequency (13–35 Hz) bands. For each peak, we then defined a specific band with the FWHM method. These bands were used for subsequent analyses.

We first computed the power in the specific 0–2 Hz and 2–4 Hz bands of the two STN (STN+ and STN−, separately) and compared it between (effective) walking and the above-mentioned time frames of gait freezing.

To study the temporal characteristics of the STN β-oscillations, we also investigated the β-bursts. We decomposed the raw LFPs using wavelet transformation (Morlet wavelet, fc = 1, FWHM = 4) into frequency components with the frequency resolution of 1 Hz. We computed the wavelet amplitude envelope and we selected the β-peak frequency (single frequency bin of 1 Hz) in the walking condition of each subject. To normalize data between subjects and conditions we calculated the z-score of the wavelet amplitudes by subtracting and dividing them for the mean and the standard deviation of the walking envelope, respectively. The β-bursts were selected according to an amplitude threshold. To select the amplitude threshold we followed a recent empirical method based on the fact that burst occurrence is correlated with total power (integral of the PSD between 4 Hz and 80 Hz), separately for all channels:

\[
PSD(f) = \frac{\sum\limits_{f_0}^{\infty} PSD(f)}{df}
\]

As in Shine et al. (2014), we defined six regions of interest (three for each hemisphere): SMA_L [F1,F3,FC1,FC3], SMA_R [F2,F4,FC2,FC4], M1_L [C1,C3,CP1,CP3], M1_R [C2,C4,CP2,CP4], PC_L [P1,P3] and PC_R [P2,P4], which overlay cortical areas involved in locomotor control (Tard et al., 2015; Snijders et al., 2016). We also selected two control regions of interest positioned over the temporal cortex (TC) and outside the locomotor network: TC_L [FT9,T7,TP9] and TC_R [FT10,T8,TP10]. The PSDs for the cortical and the STN recordings are shown in Fig. 2C. For each patient, we identified the most prominent peak of the averaged PSD across walking epochs in the low frequency (i.e. 0–4 Hz) and the β-frequency (13–35 Hz) bands. For each peak, we then defined a specific band with the FWHM method. These bands were used for subsequent analyses.

We first computed the power in the specific 0–2 Hz and 2–4 Hz bands of the two STN (STN+ and STN−, separately) and compared it between (effective) walking and the above-mentioned time frames of gait freezing.

To study the temporal characteristics of the STN β-oscillations, we also investigated the β-bursts. We decomposed the raw LFPs using wavelet transformation (Morlet wavelet, fc = 1, FWHM = 4) into frequency components with the frequency resolution of 1 Hz. We computed the wavelet amplitude envelope and we selected the β-peak frequency (single frequency bin of 1 Hz) in the walking condition of each subject. To normalize data between subjects and conditions we calculated the z-score of the wavelet amplitudes by subtracting and dividing them for the mean and the standard deviation of the walking envelope, respectively. The β-bursts were selected according to an amplitude threshold. To select the amplitude threshold we followed a recent empirical method based on the fact that burst occurrence is correlated with total power (integral of the PSD between 4 Hz and 80 Hz), separately for all channels:

\[
PSD(f) = \frac{\sum\limits_{f_0}^{\infty} PSD(f)}{df}
\]
for each peak, we identified its FWHM. This makes the identification of burst duration independent of the threshold used as cutoff. Small peaks that lay inside the duration of a higher one were excluded and considered as a single β-burst (Fig. 2E). We then compared the β-burst duration between effective walking and gait freezing. Only for this analysis (i.e. duration of β-burst), we considered FSTART and FSTOP time frames together and compared them with randomly selected time frames of (effective) walking of equal length, thus accounting for a possible confounding effect due to different recordings duration.

To study the cortico-subthalamic and the interhemispheric subthalamic coupling, we computed the cross power spectral density (CPSD) between the LFP signals of the cortical areas and the STN, as well as between the two STN (Shine et al., 2014; Canessa et al., 2016). For the computation of the CPSD, we adopted the same normalization procedure used for PSD estimation, separately for all pairs of channels:

$$\text{CPSD}(f)_i = \frac{\text{CPSD}(f)_i}{\sqrt{\int_a^b \text{PSD}(f)_i} \sqrt{\int_a^b \text{PSD}(f)_i} } (3)$$

To assess for differences in the cortico-subcortical coupling across the five conditions, we integrated the CPSD in the specific θ-α band, obtaining an index $\theta_\alpha CC_{\text{CX/STN}}$ to describe the cross coupling between each cortical region of interest $\text{CX} = \{\text{SMA}_+\text{-}, \text{M}1_+\text{-}, \text{PC}_+\text{-}, \text{TC}_+\text{-}\}$ and its own ipsilateral STN for all epochs $k = \{\text{Walking, FPRE, FSTART, FSTOP, FPOST}\}$. To assess the differences in the subcortical cross-coupling in the five conditions, we then integrated the CPSD in the specific β-band obtaining an index $\beta CC_{\text{STN-}/\text{STN+}}$ to describe the coupling between the two STN for all epochs $k = \{\text{Walking, FPRE, FSTART, FSTOP, FPOST}\}$. We then normalized the θ-α and the β indices for each patient, computing the percentage relative change with respect to the Walking epochs:

$$\theta_\alpha CC_{\text{CX/STN}} = \frac{\theta_\alpha CC_{\text{CX/STN}} - \theta_\alpha CC_{\text{Walking/STN}}}{\theta_\alpha CC_{\text{Walking/STN}}} \times 100 (4)$$

$$\beta CC_{\text{STN-}/\text{STN+}} = \frac{\beta CC_{\text{STN-}/\text{STN+}} - \beta CC_{\text{Walking/STN+}}}{\beta CC_{\text{Walking/STN+}}} \times 100 (5)$$

where $\theta_\alpha CC_{\text{Walking/STN}}$ and $\beta CC_{\text{Walking/STN+}}$ are the averages across the ‘Walking’ epochs for the θ-α and the β cross-coupling indices of each subject, respectively.

Given the normalization procedure, we compared (effective) ‘walking’ epochs against all freezing gait epochs (i.e. FPRE, FSTART, FSTOP and FPOST), pooling the normalized indices for all epochs across all patients. With the same procedure, we also compared the epochs of FOG (i.e. FSTART and FSTOP) against two reference conditions as control: (i) successful passing through a door; and (ii) voluntary stop. Of relevance, we also repeated all analyses for the β-frequency band with epochs of 500 ms to account for possible fast β-activity changes that might be missed using 1.5 s epochs. We measured statistical significance by means of Wilcoxon rank sum test; all results were corrected for multiple comparisons by means of Bonferroni’s correction. The significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

### Data availability

Because of privacy law, data are available upon personal request. Enquiries can be sent to the data access point manager or to the corresponding author.

### Results

#### Clinical, molecular imaging and biomechanical data

Detailed demographic and clinical data are listed in Table 1. All subjects showed a sustained improvement from DBS (69.60 ± 9.93%, average ± SD), which was similar to the benchmark positive response from levodopa (73.85 ± 14.85%, average ± SD). This further supports the correct placement of the electrodes that were used for the recording of the STN neural activity.

In comparison with healthy controls, all patients showed a significant bilateral reduction of striatal DAT binding, with one hemisphere (H) more dopamine-depleted than the opposite one (H−: 67 ± 11%; H+: 58 ± 12%, average ± SD). The average asymmetry index of the stratum was 27 (±16 SD). The H− was contralateral to the clinically most impaired body side in each patient. The individual values of striatal DAT binding were previously reported for all patients (Arnulf et al., 2018) except Patient nwk01 (left caudate: 1.31, left putamen: 0.95, right caudate: 1.17, right putamen: 0.72).

Biomechanics measurements showed reduced stride length and maximal velocity in subjects with Parkinson’s disease with respect to healthy control subjects (Table 2). All five subjects suffering from FOG showed gait freezing episodes during the study (Table 1). We recorded 14 freezing episodes (total time 127 s), clinically and biomechanically defined. All freezing episodes occurred while approaching a door (none by turning or approaching the end of the walking-path).

#### Subthalamic oscillatory activity and connectivity during gait freezing

To investigate the role of the STN neural activity in gait freezing, we compared the STN oscillations between (effective) walking and ongoing freezing episodes. We first studied power changes in low frequency (i.e. θ-α rhythms) and in the β-frequency band of the two STN and did not find any differences during gait freezing in comparison to (effective) walking (Fig. 3A and B). We also assessed the duration of β-burst and did not find a difference between (effective) walking and gait freezing (Fig. 3C). We finally investigated the subthalamic interhemispheric coupling in the β-band and showed an increase of β-coupling (FSTART) followed by a reduction in the synchronization between the two STN (FSTOP) during gait freezing.
However, this change did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 3D).

**Cortical-subthalamic network during gait freezing**

To assess the cortical-subcortical network communication in gait freezing, we compared the cortical-subthalamic coupling between (effective) walking and ongoing freezing episodes. We showed that during (effective) walking the cortex and the STN were coupled in a low frequency band (i.e. 0-3 rhythms). In contrast, gait freezing was characterized by a low frequency cortical-subthalamic decoupling in the hemisphere with less striatal dopaminergic innervation (H−) (Fig. 4A). This desynchronization started at the transition from (effective) walking into gait freezing [i.e. pre-freezing (FPRE)], was maintained during the freezing episode [from freezing start (FSTART) to freezing stop (FSTOP)], and resolved with recovery of the effective walking pattern [freezing end (FEND)] (Fig. 4A). This pattern was present in all patients (Fig. 5). Results were specific for locomotor cortical areas (i.e. SMA, M1 and PC), as not present for the control regions of interest on the temporal cortex, and controlled for movement artefacts.

To ensure that low frequency cortical-subthalamic decoupling was exclusively related to gait freezing and not to more general walking stops, we compared gait freezing with (i) successful passing through a door; and (ii) voluntary stop (Fig. 4B and C). We found that the low frequency cortical-subthalamic decoupling was specific for gait freezing as successful passing through a door and voluntary stop were not associated with a cortical-subthalamic desynchronization (Fig. 4B and C).

We also assessed whether these neural network dynamics were specific for subjects with FOG. We computed the low-frequency cortical-subthalamic coupling during walking (unperturbed and though a door) in two subjects not suffering from FOG (Patients wue06 and wue07). These subjects mirrored the network dynamics (i.e. low frequency cortical-subthalamic coupling) of patients suffering from FOG during (effective) walking and successful passing through a door (Fig. 4D).

Lastly, we found no specific changes for cortical-subthalamic coupling in the β-frequency band during gait freezing (Fig. 6). Indeed, the increase of the cortical-subthalamic β-coupling at freezing onset (FSTART) (Fig. 6A) was also observed during successful passing through a door and voluntary stop (Fig. 6B and C).

**Discussion**

Unravelling the functional activity of neuronal networks in relation to specific behavioural acts is among the biggest challenge in neuroscience. In particular, our knowledge of the oscillation dynamics of the supraspinal locomotor network during gait is very limited (Snijders et al., 2016). The framework of supraspinal control of human locomotion starts in the SMA, reaches the basal ganglia for refinement, and then the MLR, where the cerebellar inputs converge to descend to the medullary and pontine reticular formations and the spinal cord (Takakusaki, 2017). Dynamic synchronization and desynchronization across these regions can play a relevant role in the adaptation of gait to environmental challenges (Varela et al., 2001; Benda et al., 2006). Indeed, synchronized oscillatory activity in distinct frequencies may provide a means of segregating task-specific neural processing through common effector pathways (Fogelson et al., 2005).

Only one study in rats investigated cortico-subcortical neural processing during gait (running) and it showed a cortico-striatal 0-phase coupling that correlated with running speed (von Nicolai et al., 2014). In line with this study, we described a cortical-subcortical low frequency (i.e. 0- and a-band) synchronization during (effective) walking in both parkinsonian patients with and without FOG (Fig. 4A and D). The disruption of this cortical-subcortical coupling anticipated and specifically characterized the freezing episodes, to recover when the effective walking pattern was regained (Fig. 4A). The origin of these dysfunctional dynamics is unclear. One possibility is that the deficient cortical-subcortical communication in gait freezing relies on a defective engagement of the SMA (Hanakawa et al., 1999; Iseki et al., 2010; Tard et al., 2015; Matar et al., 2018, 2019) in the context of basal ganglia pathology (de Hemptinne et al., 2013; Brittain and Brown, 2014; Lewis and Shine, 2016). Indeed, the cortical-subthalamic decoupling was limited to the hemisphere with greater dopaminergic denervation in a context of unbalanced dopaminergic loss between the two hemispheres. The SMA is thought to directly contribute to human locomotion by updating and sequencing motor plans as well as for its role in internally cued movements, response inhibition and task switching (Nachev et al., 2008). The SMA also contributes to the generation of anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs) (Takakusaki, 2017) and its poor engagement during gait could impair the production of feed-forward (internal) motor programs, such as APAs (Jacobs et al., 2009), challenging the timely adaptation of gait to environmental challenges (obstacles, distractions, etc.) and leading to unsuccessful attempts at forward stepping (Schlenstedt et al., 2018). Recent findings with functional MRI have revealed that doorway-provoked gait freezing (in virtual reality) was associated with selective hypoactivation of the (pre)SMA (Matar et al., 2018, 2019). In this study, the authors also showed a cortical-subthalamic decoupling during gait freezing thus further supporting our real-life results (Matar et al., 2018, 2019). Such a deficiency in cortical activity may combine in Parkinson’s disease with exaggerated and persistent subthalamic β-oscillations, possibly favouring the inhibition of brainstem nuclei (i.e. MLR) via indirect activation of the basal ganglia (i.e. the globus pallidus and the substantia nigra) GABAergic output (Lewis and Shine, 2016; Takakusaki, 2017). Despite the
Figure 3 Subthalamic oscillatory activity and coupling during walking and gait freezing. (A) STN low-frequency power. No difference was found for the relative change of STN low-frequency power during gait freezing with respect to (effective) walking (i.e. zero line) for the two STNs and among them (STN+ versus STN−). (B) STN β-power. The relative change of STN β-power during gait freezing did not differ with respect to (effective) walking (i.e. zero line) for the two STNs and among them (STN+ versus STN−). (C) β-burst duration. No difference was found in the distribution of the β-burst duration during gait freezing with respect to (effective) walking for both STN and among them (STN+ versus STN−). (D) Interhemispheric STN coupling. Differences between the two STNs during gait freezing and (effective) walking did not reach statistical significance. STN+ and STN− refers to the side with more and less striatal dopaminergic innervation, respectively.
Figure 4 Cortical-subthalamic coupling in the low-frequency band. (A) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic low frequency (i.e. \( \theta-\alpha \) band, 4–13 Hz) coupling during gait freezing versus (effective) walking. During gait freezing \( (F_{\text{START}} - F_{\text{STOP}}) \), the cortex and the STN decoupled selectively in the hemisphere with less striatal dopamine \( (H-) \). The decoupling was already evident before gait freezing \( (F_{\text{PRE}}) \) and vanished with the recovery of a normal locomotor pattern \( (F_{\text{POST}}) \). (B) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic low-frequency coupling during gait freezing versus successful passing through a door. The cortex and the STN in \( H- \) decoupled only during gait freezing (as in A) and not during successful passing through a door. (C) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic low-frequency coupling during gait freezing versus voluntary stop. The cortex and the STN in \( H- \) decoupled only during gait freezing (as in A) and not during voluntary stop. (D) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic low-frequency coupling during passing through a door in subjects with versus without FOG. Subjects with and without FOG showed the same cortical-subthalamic coupling during (effective) walking and successful passing through a door. Cx = cortex (i.e. SMA, M1 and PC); H = hemisphere (\( H+ \) and \( H- \) refer to the side with more and less striatal dopaminergic innervation, respectively); STN+ and STN− refers to the side with more and less striatal dopaminergic innervation, respectively; FOG+ and FOG− refers to the patients suffering or not suffering from FOG, respectively. The horizontal bars indicate statistical significance \( (P < 0.05) \). Of note, the statistical horizontal bars in A are not replicated in B and C, for clarity of the text.
lack of subthalamic activity changes during gait freezing in our study, exaggerated STN $\beta$-synchronization may still influence dynamic motor processing in favour of gait freezing development (Anidi et al., 2018).

Few studies have assessed electrophysiological activity during gait freezing, but limited the recordings to only one brain region (i.e. cortex or STN) (Shine et al., 2014; Syrkin-Nikolau et al., 2017; Anidi et al., 2018). With a four-channel wireless EEG system, Shine et al. (2014) showed increased $\theta$-activity in the frontal midline during freezing episodes in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Anidi et al. (2018) reported instead an increased duration of STN $\beta$-burst during gait freezing. The discrepancy with our findings may be related to the different settings of the studies. In our case, the freezing episodes impaired forward walking, whereas previous works assessed freezing evoked by turning (Shine et al., 2014) or by repetitive stepping while seated (Anidi et al., 2018). Differences between our result and previous studies may indeed account for diverse activity derangements in gait freezing according to different environmental contingencies (Nieuwboer and Giladi, 2013). Furthermore, it is unclear whether different clinical presentations of gait freezing (e.g. trembling in place, shuffling forward, etc.) share a common electrophysiological substrate (Nieuwboer and Giladi, 2013). Still, besides using a high-density EEG and two different STN recording devices (Activa PC+S$^\beta$ and AlphaDBS), in our study we improved the visual detection of freezing episodes with objective kinematic recordings, thus increasing the temporal resolution and alignment of our measurements.

Our study has limitations. Because of the advanced stage of the disease, we were able to collect useful recordings only in six of nine patients who received the Activa PC+S$^\beta$ system and one patient with the AlphaDBS system of six recruited. Notably we could use the AlphaDBS device only at battery replacement thus limiting the recruitment to patients with long disease duration and therefore severely impaired in the medication OFF/stimulation off condition. Still, the replication of our findings in all patients and the consistency of the recordings with two different devices reinforce the validity of our results. The severe motor symptoms of our patients also limited the pausing of DBS (from 2 h before the beginning and until the end of the experiment). This stimulation-off time was, however, sufficient to induce in all patients a motor impairment similar to the pre-DBS condition (Table 1). The sporadic occurrence of freezing gait resulted in the recording of few episodes, thus preventing the computation of elaborate analyses. Hence, we limited our analyses to robust and well-established electrophysiological metrics that were applied in other studies on FOG (Shine et al., 2014; Anidi et al., 2018). Finally, we could not co-register the EEG electrodes with individual MRIs, therefore caution is required in interpreting our results as they may not precisely reflect the activity of specific cortical areas (e.g. SMA).

**Figure 5 Individual low frequency cortical-subthalamic coupling during gait freezing.** Individual percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic low frequency (i.e. $\theta$-$\alpha$ band, 4–13 Hz) coupling during gait freezing versus (effective) walking for each episode of gait freezing. Subjects are ordered according to the percentage of striatal dopaminergic innervation loss (H$^-\$). All subjects and gait freezing episodes showed a similar neurophysiological pattern that was characterized by low frequency cortical-subthalamic decoupling, independent of the duration (in s) of the episode. Cx$^-\$ = cortex (i.e. SMA, M1 and PC) of the hemisphere with less striatal dopaminergic innervation; H = hemisphere (H$^-\$ refers to the side with less striatal dopaminergic innervation).
In conclusion, our findings provide direct evidence of the locomotor network dynamics during effective gait and we showed that gait freezing in Parkinson’s disease involves a cortical-subthalamic network derangement. These findings represent direct evidence of a ‘circuitopathy’ underlying a neurological symptom in Parkinson’s disease and will foster new therapeutic strategies (e.g. neuromodulation techniques) (Valentino et al., 2014) to improve gait freezing in parkinsonian patients.

**Figure 6** Cortical-subthalamic coupling in the β-frequency. (A) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic β-coupling during gait freezing versus (effective) walking. At gait freezing start (F_{START}) the cortical-subthalamic coupling in the β-frequency showed a significant increase in comparison to (effective) walking selectively in the hemisphere with less striatal dopamine (H−). (B) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic β-coupling during gait freezing versus successful passing through a door. The increase in β-coupling between the cortex and the STN (in H−) at gait freezing start (F_{START}) was similar to successful passing through a door. (C) Percentage relative change of cortical-subthalamic β-coupling during gait freezing versus voluntary stop. The increase in β-coupling between the cortex and the STN (in H−) did not differ between freezing onset and voluntary stop. Cx = cortex (i.e. SMA, M1 and PC); H = hemisphere (H+ and H− refer to the side with more and less striatal dopaminergic innervation, respectively); STN− refers to the hemisphere with less striatal dopaminergic innervation. Statistical significance is shown with a horizontal bar (P < 0.05). Of note, the statistical horizontal bars in A are not replicated in B and C, for clarity of the text.
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