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ABSTRACT: Thermokinetic parameters and transport parameters are of great importance to the combustion model and the reaction rate rules are of great importance to construct the combustion reaction mechanism for hydrocarbon fuels. The HO₂ elimination reaction class for hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals is one of the key reaction classes for olein, for which the rate coefficients are lacking. Therefore, the rate coefficients and rate rules of the HO₂ elimination reaction class for hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals are studied in this work. The reaction class transition state theory (RC-TST) is used to calculate the rate coefficients. In addition, the HO₂ elimination reaction class of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals is divided into four subclasses depending upon the type of H—Cβ bond that is broken in the reactant molecules, and the rate rules are calculated by taking the average of rate coefficients from a representative set of reactions in a subclass. The calculated kinetics data would be valuable for the construction of the combustion reaction mechanism for olein.

1. INTRODUCTION

A detailed understanding of the combustion model of hydrocarbon fuels plays a key role in exploring the reduction of the emissions from pollutants during combustion and may lead to cleaner and more efficient strategies in automotive vehicle and fuel design. Meanwhile, the detailed kinetic model of combustion or pyrolysis for hydrocarbon fuels is of great significance to study the ignition phenomena, reactive flows, and so on. However, there has been little kinetic modeling for unsaturated hydrocarbon fuels compared to the extensive studies for saturated hydrocarbon fuels. It is valuable to study the impact of the C≡C double bond on the reaction mechanism of unsaturated hydrocarbon fuels through theoretical and experimental studies. In general, a complete reaction mechanism is composed of two parts: one is the core mechanism, which involves reactions of small molecules with no more than 4 carbon atoms and are common for all hydrocarbons. The other part is the expanded mechanism, which involves reactions of large molecules; these reactions are always divided into different reaction classes according to the similarities of the potential energy surfaces along their reaction coordinates and are constructed in a systematic way by software on the basis of the rate estimation rules. In the generation of the reaction mechanism, a complete and reasonable reaction list, accurate thermokinetic parameters, and transport parameters are essential. It is widely accepted that the reaction mechanism in the low-temperature region is complex due to the large number of species and elementary reactions.

Olefins are one of the important components of transportation fuels and important intermediates during the combustion process for alkanes. Analogous to the low-temperature oxidation process for alkanes, olefins first undergo hydrogen extraction reaction to form alkyl radicals (R'), followed by oxidation to produce alkenylperoxy radicals (ROO'). The hydroperoxyalkenyl radicals (QOOH) are produced through the intramolecular H-shift of alkylperoxy radicals (ROO¹). Then, the QOOH radicals add to a second oxygen molecule to produce O₂QOOH (hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy) radicals. The main reaction paths for olefin are schemed in Figure 1, where R, R', and Q represent the olefin molecules (C₆H₁₄), alkyl radicals (C₆H₁₃), and a chain with 2 H-atoms replaced by olefin molecules (C₆H₁₂), respectively. Nowadays, experimental measurements and mechanism studies for ethylene, propene, butene, and other large olefins are being undertaken, including low- and high-temperature kinetic schemes. Up to now, there have been some...
Figure 1. Reaction pathways of olefin.

Figure 2. Types of C–H bonds in a reactant molecule: (a) secondary allylic C–H bond; (b) secondary alkylic C–H bond; (c) 1-tertiary vinylic C–H bond; and (d) 2-tertiary vinylic C–H bond.

For the HO₃ elimination reaction of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals, the C≡O and H–C=O bonds are broken. Similar to the work on olefins and their radicals, the H–C bonds in this work are divided into allylic C–H bonds (i.e., the C–H bond is attached to the α position of the C=C double bond) and vinylic C–H bonds (i.e., the C–H bond on the C=C double bond). Analogous to the classification method of alkanes and their radicals, a carbon atom that connects with three hydrogen atoms is defined as a primary (“p”) section, and a carbon atom that connects with two hydrogen atoms and one hydrogen atom is defined as secondary (“s”) and tertiary (“t”) sections, respectively. The types of C–H bonds studied in this work include the secondary allylic C–H bonds, secondary allylic C–H bonds, and tertiary vinylic C–H bonds, which are shown in Figure 2. It is worth noting that the tertiary vinylic C–H bonds are artificially divided into 1-tertiary vinylic C–H bonds and 2-tertiary vinylic C–H bonds to distinguish the different transition states formed from the tertiary vinylic C–H bonds. Among them, the 1-tertiary vinylic C–H bond has a CH₂ group between it and the OO radicals, and the 2-tertiary vinylic C–H bond is directly linked to the vinyl CH group.

It is well known that the reaction mechanisms are usually developed automatically by software based on the reaction rate rules. In order to reduce the uncertainty of the rate rules, the reaction class is usually divided into different reaction subclasses according to the reaction characteristics for the subclasses. Then, the rate rules are calculated by taking the average of the rate coefficients from a representative set of reactions for each subclass.

In this work, 38 reactions for the HO₂ elimination reaction class of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals are chosen; the reaction list is listed in Table 1. It is worth noting that only the number of carbon atoms involved in the reactions ranging from four to six are studied in this work. In addition, these 38 reactions are divided into “secondary allylic”, “secondary alkylic”, “1-tertiary vinylic”, and “2-tertiary vinylic” reaction subclasses. The terms “s-allylic”, “s-alkylic”, “1-tv”, and “2-tv” are used to represent the different reaction subclasses in the below discussion of tables and figures, and their descriptions will not be repeated.

2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS AND METHODS

2.1. Computational Details. All ab initio calculations are done using the Gaussian 09 package. The geometry optimization and frequency calculation are performed at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level of theory. The Gaussian-4(G4) method is used as the high-level ab initio method. In order to validate the reliability of the G4 method, the single-point energies for reactants, products, and transition states for reactions R21 and R22 are calculated by the benchmark CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ method. In addition, a scaling factor of 0.95 is used to scale the wavenumbers obtained from the frequency analysis. The intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) analysis is used to confirm the reaction process and the corresponding reactants and products of the transition state belonging to the reaction. All of the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) plots are provided in Figure S1 in the supporting information. The illustration of the reaction coordinate changes from IRC for reaction R1 is shown in Figure 4.
frequency vibrations corresponding to the torsions of the single bonds for reactants, transition states, and products are considered in the calculation of the rate coefficients, wherein the one-dimensional (1-D) hindered internal rotors are used to treat the low-frequency vibrations.62,64,65 The potentials are performed at the B3LYP/6-31+G (d,p) level by a relaxed scan with an interval of 10° for each internal rotation of the reactants and products. For the transition states, the internal rotor scans are only treated with the torsions of single bonds that are not fixed in the transition states. It is worth noting that when the torsion potential is greater than 10 kcal/mol, it is treated as harmonic vibration for the single bonds.66 The ChemRate software67 is used to calculate the rate coefficients, and they are fitted to three parameters \((A, n, E)\) over the temperature range of 500 to 2000 K in increments of 100 K according to the modified Arrhenius expression \(k = A \cdot T^n \exp \left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)\), wherein \(T, R, E, n,\) and \(A\) are the temperature, gas constant, activation energy, temperature coefficient, and pre-exponential factor, respectively. For reactions with high reaction barriers, tunneling correction is often necessary, especially at low temperature. In this work, tunneling correction factors are calculated with an asymmetric Eckart potential.68–70

2.2. Computational Methods. The rate coefficients in the transition state theory can be written as

\[
k(T) = k_0 \cdot T \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{E + \Delta V^b}{RT}\right)
\]

wherein \(k(T)\), \(\sigma\), \(k_0\), \(T, h, Q^b, \Delta V^b,\) and \(R\) are the tunneling coefficient, reaction symmetry number, Boltzmann constant, temperature, Planck constant, partition functions of the transition state and the reactant, reaction barrier (i.e., the difference of the electronic energies between the transition state and the reactant), and ideal gas constant, respectively.

The reaction class transition state theory (RC-TST) is widely used to calculate the rate coefficients at modest levels using the \textit{ab initio} method for each reaction class.66,72–76 The basic idea of the RC-TST theory is that the smallest size reaction in a reaction class is regarded as the main reaction, and its accurate rate coefficient \(k_m\) can be obtained by a high-level \textit{ab initio} method or an experimental value; the other reactions in the reaction class are regarded as representative reactions and their rate coefficients \(k_n\) need to be calculated. In RC-TST, the relationship

| Table 1. List of Reactions |

| reaction subclass | reaction | reaction equation |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|
| s-allylic          | R1       | cis-HOOCH=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOOCH=CHCH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R2       | trans-HOOCH=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOOCH=CHCH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R3       | cis-HOOCH=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOOCH=CHCH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R4       | trans-HOOCH=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOOCH=CHCH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R5       | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R6       | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R7       | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R8       | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R9       | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R10      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R11      | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R12      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R13      | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R14      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R15      | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R16      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R17      | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R18      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R19      | cis-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |
|                   | R20      | trans-HOO=CH(CH2)2OO* → HOO=CH=CH(CH2)+HO2* |

1-tv

| reaction subclass | reaction | reaction equation |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|
|                   | R21      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R22      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R23      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R24      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R25      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R26      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R27      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R28      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R29      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R30      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R31      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R32      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R33      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R34      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R35      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R36      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R37      | cis-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
|                   | R38      | trans-HOOC=CH=CHCH2OO* → HOOCH=CH2=CH+HO2* |
between \( k_r \) and \( k_m \) can be expressed as \( f(T) = \frac{k_r(T)}{k_m(T)} = f_T f_σ f_Q f_v f_{HR} \), wherein \( f_T \), \( f_σ \), \( f_Q \), \( f_v \), and \( f_{HR} \) are the transmission, symmetry number, partition function, potential energy, and hindered rotor factors, respectively. These factors are the ratio of the corresponding factors for representative reaction and main reaction. Truong and co-workers observed that the vibrational imaginary frequency of the

**Figure 3.** Reaction process for different reaction subclasses: (a) s-allylic subclass; (b) s-alkylic subclass; (c) 1-tv subclass; and (d) 2-tv subclass.
transition state is a conserved quantity for different reactions in a reaction class and the partition function can be accurately calculated by a low-level *ab initio* method. More importantly, they found that the accurate potential energy factor can be calculated by a low-level *ab initio* method. Meanwhile, in the work of Wang et al., they propose an interpretation of the dependence of potential energy factor on the level of the *ab initio* method and extend the isodesmic reaction to the calculation of reaction barriers, reaction enthalpies, and rate coefficients when the main reaction and representative reaction can be combined into a single isodesmic reaction. They point out that the accurate reaction barriers and reaction enthalpies for a representative reaction are the sum of reaction barriers and reaction enthalpies calculated by a low-level *ab initio* method and the correction value, wherein the correction value is the difference of the reaction barrier and reaction enthalpy for the main reaction calculated by the high- and low-level *ab initio* methods, respectively. Similarly, the accurate rate coefficient for a representative reaction is equal to the rate coefficient of the representative reaction calculated by a low-level *ab initio* method time correction factor; the factor is exp \[ \frac{(-\Delta \Delta V^p)}{RT} \], in which $\Delta \Delta V^p$ is the correction scheme for the reaction barrier from the main reaction.

**Figure 4.** Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) analysis for reaction R1.

**Figure 5.** Geometries of the reaction center for the transition states (TS) of different reaction subclasses: (a) s-allylic subclass; (b) s-alkylic subclass; (c) 1-tv subclass; and (d) 2-tv subclass.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Geometries of the Reaction Center for the Transition States. The reaction center for the HO₂ elimination reaction is a five-membered ring in the transition states, and the atoms and bonds involved in the reaction center are shown in Figure 5, wherein “d” represents the bond length, “a” represents the bond angle, “R” represents the hydrogen or substituent group, C₁ and C₂ are reactive atoms, and “n” (n = 0,1,2,3,4,5) and “m” (m = 0,1,2,3,4,5) represent the number of methylene radicals attached to the carbon atoms at the left and right ends of the C=C double bond in the reactant molecules, respectively. The optimized geometrical parameters of the reaction centers for the transition states are listed in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The difference values of the geometrical parameter between the main reaction and representative reaction are also listed in Table 2.

From Table 2, it can be seen that the maximum absolute deviations for each subclass of bond lengths and bond angles are 0.06 Å and 2.50°, 0.01 Å and 0.61°, 0.23 Å and 4.75°, and 0.01 Å and 0.63°, respectively. The maximum absolute values of the difference between the main reaction and representative reaction are 0.03 Å and 1.35°, 0.01 Å and 0.59°, 0.22 Å and 6.06°, 0.01 Å and 0.63°. These results show that the geometrical parameters between the main reaction and representative reaction are also listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Geometrical Parameters of the Reaction Center for the Transition States of Each Subclass

| subclass | d₁/Å | a₁/(°) | d₂/Å | a₂/(°) | d₃/Å | a₃/(°) | d₄/Å | a₄/(°) | d₅/Å | a₅/(°) |
|----------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|
| s-allylic | 0.72 | 5.97   | 0.75 | 3.45   | 1.37 | 2.51   | 0.77 | 1.34   | 1.27 | 91.10  |
| s-allylic | 0.72 | 5.97   | 0.75 | 3.45   | 1.37 | 2.51   | 0.77 | 1.34   | 1.27 | 91.10  |
| 1-tv     | 0.72 | 5.97   | 0.75 | 3.45   | 1.37 | 2.51   | 0.77 | 1.34   | 1.27 | 91.10  |
| 2-tv     | 0.72 | 5.97   | 0.75 | 3.45   | 1.37 | 2.51   | 0.77 | 1.34   | 1.27 | 91.10  |

The average value of the geometrical parameters for the transition states of all reactions in each subclass. "The maximum absolute deviation between the different reactions in each subclass. "The maximum absolute value of the difference between the main reaction and representative reaction.

Table 3. Reaction Barriers by the G4 Method and CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ Method (kcal/mol)

| reaction | ΔV₀ | ΔV₀ (G4) | ΔV₀ (CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ) |
|----------|-----|----------|------------------------|
| R21      | 45.53 | 45.17   | 0.36                   |
| R22      | 45.74 | 46.36   | 0.62                   |

"ΔV₀": the difference of reaction barriers between the G4 and CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ methods. "ΔV₀ (G4) - ΔV₀ (CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ)."
of the reaction centers for the transition states of “s-alkylic” and “2-tv” subclasses are conserved.

3.2. Reaction Barriers and Enthalpies. 3.2.1. Validation of the Reaction Barriers. In this work, the G4 method is chosen as the high-level ab initio method in the correction scheme. To validate the reliability of the reaction barriers, reactions R21 and R22 from Table 1 are selected to compare the reaction barriers by the G4 method and the benchmark CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ method. The results are listed in Table 3. It can be seen from Table 3 that the reaction barriers for reactions R21 and R22 by the G4 method are close to those of the CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ method, where the difference of reaction barriers is 0.36 and −0.62 kcal/mol, respectively.

3.2.2. Reaction Barriers and Enthalpies for the Main Reaction of Each Subclass. In this work, reactions R1, R9, R21, and R31 are chosen as the main reactions for each subclass and the other reactions in Table 1 are chosen as representative reactions. The reaction barriers and enthalpies for the main reactions of each subclass are shown in Table 3.
reaction at B3LYP and G4 levels, and the difference between the B3LYP and G4 methods of each subclass are listed in Table 4. It can be seen from Table 4 that the corrected values of the reaction barrier for each subclass are 2.50, 3.19, 3.76, and 0.44 kcal/mol, respectively. The corrected values of the reaction enthalpy for each subclass are 3.49, 2.36, 3.53, and $-1.37$ kcal/mol, respectively.

3.2.3. Reaction Barriers and Enthalpies for the Representative Reaction. In this part, the reaction barriers and enthalpies for 10 representative reactions are calculated at B3LYP, G4 levels and the corrected value based on RC-TST. The results are listed in Tables 5 and 6. All corrected reaction barriers and enthalpies are listed in Table S3 in the Supporting Information.

It can be seen from Tables 5 and 6 that the absolute values of differences for the reaction barriers and enthalpies by the B3LYP and G4 methods are between $0.14 - 4.04$ and $1.66 - 3.53$ kcal/mol, respectively. However, the differences between the corrected reaction barriers and enthalpies by the RC-TST and G4 methods are reduced to $0.15 - 0.66$ and $0.04 - 0.34$ kcal/mol, respectively.

3.3. High-Pressure-Limit Rate Coefficients and Rate Rules. 3.3.1. High-Pressure-Limit Rate Coefficients. In this paper, the rate coefficients are compared between the G4 method and RC-TST, which are among the high-level ab initio methods and are widely used in the study of the thermochemical properties of different compounds and the study of the kinetics of different reactions. The rate coefficients for reactions R22, R23, R24, and R32 are listed in Table S4 in the Supporting Information. For illustration, Figure 6 shows the difference of the rate coefficients for reactions R22, R23, R24, and R32.

![Figure 9. Comparison of the average rate coefficients of cis- and trans-hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals.](image)

![Figure 10. Comparison of the average rate coefficients for different subclasses at 500–2000 K.](image)
unsaturated hydroperoxyalkylperoxy radicals in this work are compared. The results are listed in Table S5 in the Supporting Information. Figure 7 shows the difference of the rate coefficients.

It can be seen from Figure 7 that the rate coefficients for the HO₂ elimination reaction of saturated hydroperoxyalkylperoxy radicals are larger than the rate coefficients for unsaturated hydroperoxalkylperoxy radicals. The rate coefficients show a similar trend with temperature for the HO₂ elimination reactions of saturated hydroperoxyalkylperoxy radicals and unsaturated hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals, in which the rate coefficients increase with increase in the temperature. However, the difference is that the rate coefficients of the unsaturated hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals increase more slowly than those of saturated hydroperoxyalkylperoxy radicals when the temperature is higher than 1000 K.

In addition, the rate coefficients in the temperature range of 500–2000 K of the HO₂ elimination reaction between the alkenylperoxy radicals from reference 7 and the hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals in this work are also compared. The results are listed in Table S6 in the Supporting Information. Figure 8 plots the comparison of the rate coefficients. In Figure 8, the CH₃=CHCH₂OO⁻ and CH₃=CH(CH₂)₂OO⁻ radicals from reference 7 are represented by 1-RO₂ and 2-RO₂, respectively. The HOOCH=CHCH₂OO⁻ and HOOCH=CH(CH₂)₂OO⁻ radicals in this work are represented by 1-O₂QOOH and 2-O₂QOOH, respectively.

It can be seen from Figure 8 that the rate coefficients for the HO₂ elimination reaction of alkenylperoxy radicals are larger than the rate coefficients of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals. However, the impact of the molecular size on the rate coefficients of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals is different from that for the rate coefficients of alkenylperoxy radicals. When the temperature is higher than 1500 K, the rate coefficients tend to change gently with the molecular size. Therefore, the study of the HO₂ elimination reaction for hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals will be of great significance for understanding the impact of molecular size on the reaction reactivity between one-step and two-step oxygenation in the low-temperature reaction mechanism of alkenyl radicals.

In this work, the impact of configurations of the reactant molecules on the rate coefficients is also considered; the comparison of the average rate coefficients for the cis- and trans-configuration reactant molecules of each subclass at 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 K is shown in Table S7 in the Supporting Information. For illustration, Figure 9 shows the impact of configurations of the reactants on the rate coefficients.

It can be seen from Figure 9 that the average rate coefficients of cis-hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals are larger than the average rate coefficients of trans-hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals for “s-allylic”, “s-alkylic”, and “1-tv” subclasses. However, the average rate coefficients of hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals are larger than the average rate coefficients of cis-hydroperoxyalkyl-peroxy radicals for the “2-tv” subclass.

In addition, the impact of the types of C–H bonds on the rate coefficients is shown in Figure 10. It can be seen from Figure 10 that when the temperature is lower than 1000 K, the high-pressure-limit rate coefficients exhibit the following tendency: “s-allylic” > “s-alkylc” > “2-tv” > “1-tv”; when the temperature range is 1000–1200 K, the rate coefficients exhibit the following tendency: “s-allylic” > “2-tv” > “s-alkylc” > “1-tv”; when the temperature is larger than 1200 K, the high-pressure-limit rate coefficients exhibit the following tendency: “2-tv” > “s-allylic” > “s-alkylc” > “1-tv”.

3.3.2. High-Pressure-Limit Rate Rules. The reaction rate rules in the high-pressure limit for each subclass are derived by taking the average of the rate coefficients from a representative set of reactions with different numbers of carbon atoms. The fitted (A, n, E) parameters in the high-pressure limit from 500 to 2000 K for all reactions are listed in Table 7. Meanwhile, the ratio f at 1000 K is used to evaluate the uncertainty of the rate rules.

It can be seen from Table 7 that the ranges of the ratio for each subclass are within 0.06–2.48, 0.07–2.66, 0.02–5.70, and 0.01–2.66 for the “s-allylic” subclass, “s-alkylic” subclass, “1-tv” subclass, and “2-tv” subclass, respectively.

Table 7. High-Pressure-Limit Rate Rules for the HO₂ Elimination Reaction

| reaction subclass | modified Arrhenius parameters | 1000 K |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
|                   | A (s⁻¹) | n   | E (kcal/mol) | f |
| s-allylic          |         |     |              |   |
| R1                | 4.90 × 10¹⁴ | −10.71 | 42.50 |
| R2                | 8.32 × 10¹⁴ | −9.67 | 40.54 |
| R3                | 2.34 × 10¹⁴ | −10.39 | 44.13 |
| R4                | 4.79 × 10¹⁴ | −10.78 | 40.90 |
| R5                | 4.68 × 10¹⁴ | −10.66 | 42.48 |
| R6                | 4.57 × 10¹⁴ | −10.92 | 43.41 |
| R7                | 9.33 × 10¹⁴ | −11.23 | 44.04 |
| R8                | 1.45 × 10¹⁴ | −10.96 | 37.64 |
| R9                | 1.20 × 10¹⁴ | −10.90 | 38.53 |
| s-alkylic         |         |     |              |   |
| R10               | 4.68 × 10¹⁴ | −10.77 | 43.42 |
| R11               | 6.31 × 10¹⁴ | −10.51 | 42.47 |
| R12               | 6.48 × 10¹⁴ | −10.53 | 42.82 |
| R13               | 4.79 × 10¹⁴ | −11.10 | 41.23 |
| R14               | 8.91 × 10¹⁴ | −11.07 | 41.98 |
| R15               | 3.32 × 10¹⁴ | −11.01 | 39.44 |
| R16               | 1.10 × 10¹⁴ | −11.15 | 41.24 |
| R17               | 7.59 × 10¹⁴ | −10.97 | 40.06 |
| R18               | 5.01 × 10¹⁴ | −10.91 | 40.87 |
| R19               | 1.10 × 10¹⁴ | −10.93 | 38.58 |
| R20               | 6.31 × 10¹⁴ | −10.94 | 38.58 |
| 1-tv              |         |     |              |   |
| R21               | 5.13 × 10¹⁴ | −10.65 | 50.31 |
| R22               | 7.41 × 10¹⁴ | −8.48 | 53.69 |
| R23               | 4.79 × 10¹⁴ | −7.88 | 51.90 |
| R24               | 3.01 × 10¹⁴ | −10.53 | 53.45 |
| R25               | 4.17 × 10¹⁴ | −10.44 | 54.60 |
| R26               | 4.79 × 10¹⁴ | −10.64 | 50.36 |
| R27               | 7.94 × 10¹⁴ | −10.77 | 52.41 |
| R28               | 7.59 × 10¹⁴ | −11.56 | 49.78 |
| R29               | 2.09 × 10¹⁴ | −11.38 | 50.23 |
| R30               | 1.20 × 10¹⁴ | −11.00 | 45.68 |
| 2-tv              |         |     |              |   |
| R31               | 2.04 × 10¹⁴ | −10.33 | 55.05 |
| R32               | 6.17 × 10¹⁴ | −9.88 | 58.62 |
| R33               | 7.76 × 10¹⁴ | −9.89 | 57.01 |
| R34               | 1.78 × 10¹⁴ | −10.23 | 56.09 |
| R35               | 2.09 × 10¹⁴ | −10.25 | 54.70 |
| R36               | 6.92 × 10¹⁴ | −10.88 | 53.13 |
| R37               | 2.14 × 10¹⁴ | −10.68 | 51.63 |
| R38               | 1.66 × 10¹⁴ | −10.46 | 50.30 |

"f = k/kavg for each subclass; kavg is the average rate coefficient for the reactions in each subclass."
subclass, and “2-tv” subclass, respectively. This indicates that the rate rules obtained by taking the average of the rate coefficients from representative reactions have a large deviation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we report the reaction barriers, enthalpies, and rate coefficients for the HO₂ elimination reaction of hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals based on RC-TST. The high-pressure-limit rate coefficients and rate rules at 500–2000 K are calculated for the reaction class. The deviations of the reaction barriers and enthalpies for reactions R2, R10, R22, and R32 between B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) and G4 methods are more than 1 kcal/mol, while the deviations are reduced to less than 1 kcal/mol after correction by the RC-TST method. Meanwhile, the deviation factor of rate coefficients for reaction R32 of “2-tv” subclasses is 16.67, which indicates that the geometries of the reaction centers for the transition states are conserved. In addition, the ranges of the uncertainty factor for the rate rules are within 0.06–2.48, 0.07–2.66, 0.02–5.70, and 0.01–2.66 for “s-allylic”, “s-allylic”, “1-tv”, and “2-tv” subclasses, respectively, indicating that there is a large uncertainty in the rate rules by taking the average of rate coefficients for the representative reactions in the reaction subclass.

Through the comparison of rate coefficients for saturated hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals and unsaturated hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals, the results show that the C=–C double bond on the rate coefficients of unsaturated hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals increases more slowly than that of saturated hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals when the temperature is higher than 1000 K. The impact of the molecular size on the rate coefficients for alkenylperoxy radicals and hydroperoxyalkenylperoxy radicals when the temperature is higher than 1000 K. The impact of the molecular size on the rate coefficients of unsaturated hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals is that the rate coefficients tend to change gently with the molecular size when the temperature is higher than 1500 K. Therefore, it is necessary to study the HO₂ elimination reaction for the hydroperoxalkenylperoxy radicals. In addition, the impact of configurations of the reactants on the rate coefficients exhibits the following tendency: \( k(\text{cis}) > k(\text{trans}) \) for “s-allylic”, “s-allylic”, and “1-tv” subclasses, while \( k(\text{trans}) > k(\text{cis}) \) for the “2-tv” subclass. At the same time, the rate coefficients of the different types of C–H in the different temperature ranges show the following trend: “s-allylic” > “s-allylic” > “2-tv” > “1-tv” (T<1000 K), “s-allylic” > “2-tv” > “s-allylic” > “1-tv” (1000 K<T<1200 K), and “2-tv” > “s-allylic” > “s-allylic” > “1-tv” (T>1200 K).
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