Effect of Perioperative Glucose-Insulin-Potassium Therapy in Patients Undergoing On-Pump Cardiac Surgery: A Meta-Analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The role of glucose-insulin-potassium (GIK) infusion during cardiac surgery has held interest for so many years without a clear answer. The aim of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the effect of GIK therapy on outcomes in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery.

Methods: A comprehensive online review was performed in The Web of Science, Embase, Medline, PubMed, and The Cochrane Library databases from 2000 to 2019. Eligible studies included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared GIK treatment with placebo or standard care during on-pump cardiac surgery. Risk ratios (RR) were used for binary outcomes and mean difference (MD) was used for continuous variables; both with their 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: A total of 18 RCTs involving 2,131 patients met the inclusion criteria. Compared with the control group, the GIK treatment significantly reduced in-hospital mortality (RR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.32–0.97; P = .04), postoperative myocardial infarctions (MI) (RR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.56–0.91; P = .006), the use of inotropic support (RR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.45–0.63; P < .00001), and length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU) (MD = -0.33, 95% CI: -0.52–-0.14; P = .0007). Moreover, GIK treatment seemed to be associated with fewer postoperative atrial fibrillation (AF) (RR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.64–1.03; P = .09).

Conclusions: In patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery, GIK infusion has a beneficial role in mortality during hospital stay and demonstrates superior efficacy versus standard care for reduction in postoperative MI, AF, ICU length of stay as well as inotropic agent requirements.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease is one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality in the developed world and more than 1.5 million cardiac surgery are performed each year worldwide [Benjamin 2018; Laslett 2012]. The use of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is required in many cardiac surgeries. However, it is obvious that on-pump cardiac surgery inevitably causes many kinds of postoperative complications, including atrial fibrillation (AF), myocardial infarction (MI), and infection, which is associated with prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stays and a patient’s long-term prognosis [Giomarelli 2003; Mathew 2004]. Nevertheless, the role of GIK solution in cardiac surgery has a long and controversial history since the first introduction in 1962 in the setting of ischemic heart diseases [Cole 2015]. Over the past decades, a number of clinical studies reported that GIK could improve postoperative cardiac function, reduce need for inotropic support, lower the incidence of AF and shorten time ICU and hospital, whereas others failed to report any benefit [Koskenkari 2005; Lazar 2004; Smith 2002; Visser 2005]. In a previous meta-analysis, it showed there is no difference in the incidence of AF and mortality with the use of GIK in cardiac surgery [Ali-Hassan-Sayegh 2015; Rabi 2010]. So, there still is uncertainty regarding the efficacy and safety of GIK infusion in improving more clinically relevant end points. Recently, some new clinical trials suggested that the administration of GIK has a beneficial role in myocardial protection and resulted in better post-operative outcomes in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery [Ahmad 2017; Ellenberger 2018; Licker 2019], but these have not, so far, been comprehensively and systematically reviewed.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to perform a meta-analysis of the available evidence reporting the efficacy and safety of GIK infusion on clinical outcomes in adult patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery.

METHOD

Literature search strategy: All published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared GIK administration with placebo or standard care in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery were eligible for inclusion. The
PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases were searched by two independent reviewers, using the following keywords or search terms: glucose-insulin-potassium, GIK, polarizing solution, cardiac surgery, heart surgery, on-pump, cardiopulmonary bypass, CPB, and randomized controlled trial. All eligible articles written in English were published between June 2000 and June 2019. Diverse combinations of free terms also were used, and various search strategies were developed for each database.

Study selection: Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) RCTs; (2) all adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery requiring CPB; (3) comparison of GIK infusion versus placebo, or standard care; and (4) reporting data at least one of the primary and secondary outcomes. The primary end points were in-hospital mortality, postoperative AF, and postoperative MI; the secondary outcomes of interest were infections, length of ICU stay, and postoperative use of inotropic support. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) non-human study, comments, reviews, letters, and case reports; (2) studies not reporting any of the outcomes mentioned above; (3) the data of studies had been duplicated in other publications; and (4) participants underwent transplantation or off-pump cardiac surgery.

Data extraction and quality assessment: The data from eligible articles were independently and separately abstracted by two reviewers, and discrepancies that arose during this process were resolved by consensus among co-authors. The potential moderator variables included the following: study design, patient numbers and characteristics, GIK protocols, type of surgical procedure, timing of solution infusion, and infusion methods.

RESULTS

Identification of eligible studies: A total of 1,086 citations were identified during the comprehensive literature search of all major databases. Of these citations, 633 studies were excluded based on titles and abstract review, and 27 studies were excluded after full-text review. Ultimately, 18 RCTs enrolling 2,131 participants met the inclusion criteria and were used for our meta-analysis [Ahmad 2017;
Barcellos 2007; Ellenberger 2018; Foroughi 2012; Howell 2011; Koskenkari 2005; Koskenkari 2006; Licker 2019; Quinn 2006; Ranasinghe 2006; Roh 2015; Rujirojindakul 2014; Seied-Hosseini 2010; Smith 2002; Straus 2013; Tsang 2007; Visser 2005}. Figure 1 shows the details of the study selection process in the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Characteristics of eligible studies: The characteristics of eligible RCTs are presented in the Table, including age, patient number, surgery type, and GIK intervention. The meta-analysis involved 2,131 total patients: 1,074 received GIK infusion around the time of cardiac surgery, and 1,057 received placebo or standard care (control group). All studies stated that patients were undergoing cardiac surgery requiring CPB. The majority of trials were conducted in the setting of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery, 6 trials were performed using isolated valve or combined CABG/valve surgery.

Risk of bias in eligible studies: The risk of bias of the included studies are presented in Figure 2A and the percentage of studies for which we judged each item evaluated at as high, low, or unclear risk of bias are summarized in Figure 2B. Overall, the study quality was stable, with low risk of bias accounted for > 75% in most of the included studies. Based on a detailed evaluation of each studies, we observed that 12 studies could be considered as high quality because no high risk of bias was found. Three studies were classified as moderate quality due to unknown risk based on identification of potential selection bias and performance bias. The remaining 3 studies exhibited low quality because of multiple “high risk of bias” owing to lack of randomization and blind method (Figure 2).

Postoperative MI: Thirteen studies including 1,700 patients presented data for postoperative MI. The incidence rate of postoperative MI was 10.0% (85 out of 854) in the GIK group and 13.9% (118 out of 846) in the control group. GIK treatment was associated with decreased the incidence of postoperative MI (RR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.56 – 0.91; \(P = .006\)) in the fixed model (Figure 3B). There was no significant heterogeneity seen (\(P = .34, I^2 = 10\%\)).

Postoperative AF: Thirteen studies including 1,603 patients reported outcomes regarding postoperative AF. GIK treatment was associated with decreased the incidence of postoperative AF (RR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.64 – 1.03; \(P = .09\)) in the random-effect model (Figure 3C). A moderate level of statistical heterogeneity was found.
among the RCTs ($P = .004, \Gamma = 59\%$). Hence, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding the two studies [Ranasinghe 2006; Quinn 2006] and repeated the analysis for this endpoint on remaining studies, yielding a pooled RR of 0.67 (95% CI: 0.55 – 0.81; $P < .0001$), with a reduction in heterogeneity ($P = .24, \Gamma = 21\%$) (Figure 3).

Inotropic support: The inotropic support data was reported in 10 studies including 1,431 patients. The requirement for inotropic support was 20.5% (147 out of 717) in the GIK group and 38.8% (277 out of 714) in the control group. There was a significant reduction in the use of inotropic support for patients receiving GIK infusion (RR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.43 – 0.63; $P < .000001$) in the fixed model (Figure 4A). The heterogeneity was low in the analysis ($P = .12, \Gamma = 35\%$).

Infection: 1,066 patients from 6 studies reported outcomes regarding infection after cardiac surgery. Among the RCTs, 107 of 534 patients (20.0%) in the GIK group developed infection compared with 98 of 532 patients (18.4%) in the control group. There was no significant difference between both groups, regarding the incidence of postoperative infection, with RR of 1.09 (95% CI: 0.86 – 1.39; $P = .47$) in the fixed model (Figure 4B). Low statistical heterogeneity was observed in the analysis ($P = .17, \Gamma = 36\%$).

ICU length of stay: 1,647 patients from 13 studies presented data for the ICU length of stay after cardiac surgery. Following the exclusion of 5 RCTs with incomplete data, 596 patients were analyzed. The mean length of ICU stays was 2.12 days in the GIK group and 2.28 days in the control group. There was a significant reduction in the length of ICU stay with patients receiving GIK treatment (MD = -0.33, 95% CI: -0.52 – -0.14; $P = .0007$) in the fixed model (Figure 4C). No significant heterogeneity was observed ($P = .19, \Gamma = 30\%$) (Figure 4).

Publication bias: Publication bias was visualized through funnel plots. The funnel plots for all causes of mortality in hospital showed that all points basically were symmetrical distribution, suggesting that no significant publication bias was found (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

With the popularity of GIK infusion in patients undergoing cardiac surgery, a growing number of effects for this drug have been found. However, there still are many controversies that need to be resolved. Our meta-analysis of 18 RCTs involving more than 2,131 patients assess the safety and efficacy of GIK treatment in patients undergoing cardiac surgery requiring CPB, demonstrating that the perioperative use of GIK infusions is associated with reduction in in-hospital mortality, postoperative MI, AF, the use of inotropic support and the length of ICU stay, as compared with a control group. All the included studies are based on a design of randomized, multicenter, double-blind trials, which relatively offered us concordant models.

It is well known that GIK provides glucose and insulin to stimulate myocardial glucose uptake and utilization. Augmentation of glucose utilization may be beneficial to myocardium because it needs a lower rate of oxygen consumption compared with fatty acid oxidation, thus improving cardiac efficiency and systolic function [Stanley 2002]. On the other hand, GIK infusion may play a role in cardioprotective effects in cardiac surgery owing to reducing free fatty acid oxidation, promoting glucose as the primary myocardial energy substrate, stabilizing myocardial membrane electrical gradient, and enhancing cell survival while decreasing hyper/hypoglycemic events [Ng 2012]. Moreover, GIK also has a role in insulin signaled K-ATP channels activation. These channels are a vital regulator of ischemic preconditioning that have strong protective effects on MI and ischemia reperfusion injury [Ichinomiya 2012; Prendes 2014]. In a preclinical large animal model, treatment with GIK exerted obviously cardioprotective effects as evidenced by improved cardiac function and coronary blood flow, reduced myocardial apoptosis and infarct size [Zhang 2006]. Several experimental studies reported that GIK infusion improves regional left ventricular function and allows the detection of myocardial viability to a similar extent as low-dose dobutamine in patients shortly after infarction [Klein 2006]. These important potential benefits in cardiovascular diseases and cardiac surgery explain why GIK has held interest for so many years.

Interestingly, contrary to our positive findings of GIK treatment in patients undergoing cardiac surgery, the large, international randomized trial (CREATE-ECLA trial) of GIK treatment for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) demonstrates that high-dose GIK infusion had a neutral effect on mortality, cardiogenic shock, and cardiac arrest in patients with acute STEMI [Mehta 2005]. Besides a recent meta-analysis of 25 RCTs has reported that, in patients undergoing GABG, GIK did not have considerable cardioprotective effects including the incidence of postoperative AF, MI, and infection [Ali-Hassan-Sayegh 2015]. Another meta-analysis of 20 RCTs also has concluded that the perioperative use of GIK or GIK without potassium does not significantly reduce mortality or AF in patients undergoing CABG surgery [Rabi 2010]. There are some potential explanations for this conflicting evidence, and the main reason may be the fundamental differences in GIK dose, timing, composition, or...
duration of administration. Another important reason might be that the adverse effects of hyperglycemia may offset the benefits from GIK infusion [LaDisa 2004].

Our meta-analysis includes the latest RCTs in the past 20 years. Compared with early clinical studies, most of RCTs were double blinded and high quality, decreasing performance and detection bias and the statistical analysis showed significant difference. Based on our findings, it can be concluded that GIK infusion was beneficial to reduce postoperative MI, the risk of death, use of inotropic support as well as length

---

### Characteristics of the Clinical Trials Included in the Meta-analysis *

| Reference, years | Patients (G/C) | Age (G/C) | Male (G/C) | Surgery method | Infusion method | Timing of infusion | Glucose given | Insulin given | Potassium given |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Smith et al, 2002 | 11/12         | 64.8/67.5 | 9/10       | CABG | IV | Intra-operative + post-operative | 50% | 0.5 IU/kg | 0.25 mmol KCl |
| Lazar et al, 2004 | 72/69         | 63.7/63.5 | 42/26      | CABG | IV | Intra-operative + postoperative | 500mL | 80 U | 40 mEq |
| Koskenkari et al, 2005 | 20/20       | 68.7/71.7 | 14/15      | CABG, VR | IV | Intra-operative + postoperative | 1.5 mL/kg/h | 1 IU/kg/h | 20 mmol KCl |
| Visser et al, 2005 | 10/11         | 63/62     | 8/10       | CABG | IV | Intra-operative + postoperative | 30% | 0.1 IU/kg/h | 80 mmol/L |
| Ranasinghe et al, 2006 | 157/160      | 64.5/63.9 | 137/132    | CABG | IV | Intra-operative + postoperative | 40% | 70 IU/L | 100 mmol/L |
| Quinn et al, 2006  | 142/138       | 64.4/63.6 | 122/112    | CABG | IV | Preoperative + intra-operative + postoperative | 40% | 70 IU/L | 80 mmol/L |
| Koskenkari et al, 2006 | 19/20        | 66.8/67.4 | 13/15      | CABG | IV | Intra-operative + postoperative | 30% | 1 IU/kg/h | 20 mmol KCl |
| Tsang et al, 2007  | 14/17         | 64/67     | 12/15      | CABG | CVC | Preoperative + intra-operative + postoperative | 30% | 50 IU/L | 80 mEq of KCl |
| Barcellos et al, 2007 | 12/12        | 60.3/58.9 | 7/8        | CABG | IV | Preoperative + intra-operative + postoperative | 5% | 80 IU | 40 mEq |
| Seied-Hosseini et al, 2010 | 25/20 | 57.7/61.2 | 9/14       | CABG | IV | Preoperative + intra-operative + postoperative | 5% | 80 IU | 40 mEq KCL |
| Howell et al, 2011 | 110/107       | 71.2/69.9 | 67/77      | CABG, VR | IV | Preoperative + intra-operative + postoperative | NA | NA | NA |
| Foroughi et al, 2012 | 36/30        | 61/59     | 21/17      | CABG | NA | Preoperative + intra-operative | 10% | 80 IU/L | 80 mEq |
| Straus et al, 2013 | 50/50         | 62.5/61.2 | 35/29      | CABG | IV | intra-operative | NA | NA | NA |
| Ruijrojindakul et al, 2014 | 99/100     | 54/54     | 55/57      | CABG, VR | IV | intra-operative | 25% | 0.3 IU/kg/h | 20 mEq |
| Roh et al, 2015    | 53/53         | 61/64     | 27/24      | CABG, VR | IV | intra-operative | 30% | 0.1 IU/kg/h | 80 mmol/L |
| Ahmad et al, 2017  | 80/80         | 55/54.2   | 69/72      | CABG | NA | Preoperative + intra-operative | 5% | 70 IU/L | 70 mEq/L |
| Ellenberger et al, 2018 | 110/112     | 70.9/71.6 | 73/80      | CABG, VR | CVC | intra-operative | 40% | 20 IU | 10 mEq |
| Licker et al, 2019 | 54/46         | 71.8/69.3 | 41/40      | CABG, VR | IV | Preoperative + intra-operative | 40% | 20 IU | 10 mEq |

*GIK, Glucose-insulin-potassium; NA, not available; CABG, Coronary Artery Bypass Graft; VR, Valve Replacement, include Aortic Valve Replacement and Mitral Valve Replacement; IV, intravenously; CVC, central venous catheter.*
of ICU stay in patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery. Of note, there is moderate level of statistical heterogeneity in the results of postoperative AF. Further analysis indicates that the Ranasinghe [Ranasinghe 2006] and Quinn [Quinn 2006] studies might have contributed to heterogeneity existed. The explanation might be that the dose of insulin and potassium in the 2 studies is higher than other studies, and the incidence of complications, such as hyperkalemia and hypoglycemia, might increase what may offset the benefits from GIK infusion. Thus, blood glucose and potassium levels must frequently be monitored. Although there was a significant reduction in the incidence of AF as well as with a reduction in heterogeneity by excluding the 2 studies, this outcome still needs to cautiously be interpreted. Additionally, we found that GIK therapy is not able to decrease the incidence of postoperative infection and this finding is consistent with the prior meta-analysis [Ali-Hassan-Sayegh 2015]. Further studies are required to demonstrate the role of GIK in anti-inflammatory and postoperative infection during cardiac surgery.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

There are several limitations to consider when interpreting the results of our meta-analysis. First, many end points such as AF, MI and mortality were not the primary outcomes in many of the RCTs included in the present study. These RCTs were, in large part, examining the metabolic and physiological consequences of the GIK treatment during cardiac surgery. Thus, it is possible that the tests had inadequate power. Second, several potentially confounding factors exist in the included RCTs, including inclusion of diabetic patients, type of surgical procedure, ingredients of GIK therapy, and timing of the solution infusion, which would affect the outcome of the analysis. Third, although our study found that GIK treatment could significantly reduce the incidence of postoperative MI, AF, risk of death and use of inotropic support, further investigation of the effect of GIK on cardiac index, renal disease and stroke after cardiac surgery is necessary to provide better understanding of the role of GIK infusion. Finally, because of the low event rates and the lack of follow-up, we were unable to access the long-term effects of GIK infusion.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite the potential limitations, this meta-analysis represents strong statistical evidence to support the perioperative use of GIK to decrease the incidence of postoperative MI, AF, and in-hospital mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery requiring CPB. Furthermore, GIK infusion is associated with less requirement for inotropic support and a shorter length of stay in ICU. This may provide a safe efficacy therapy for patients undergoing cardiac surgery requiring CPB. Nevertheless, there remains room for refinement of therapy identification between the total insulin dosage and mean glucose concentration, which could derive greatest benefit.
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