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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to explore the association between sexual violence and neonatal outcomes.

Design: National cohort study.

Setting: Women were recruited to the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) while attending routine ultrasound examinations from 1999 to 2008.

Population: A total of 76 870 pregnant women.

Methods: Sexual violence and maternal characteristics were self-reported in postal questionnaires during pregnancy. Neonatal outcomes were retrieved from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN). Risk estimations were performed with linear and logistic regression analysis. Outcome measures: gestational age at birth, birth weight, preterm birth (PTB), low birth weight (LBW) and small for gestational age (SGA).

Results: Of 76 870 women, 18.4% reported a history of sexual violence. A total of 4.7% delivered prematurely, 2.7% had children with a birth weight <2500 g and 8.1% children were small for their gestational age. Women reporting moderate or severe sexual violence (rape) had a significantly reduced gestational length (2 days) when the birth was provider-initiated in an analysis adjusted for age, parity, education, smoking, body mass index and mental distress. Those exposed to severe sexual violence had a significantly reduced gestational length of 0.51 days with a spontaneous start of birth. Crude estimates showed that severe sexual violence was associated with PTB, LBW and SGA. When controlling for the aforementioned sociodemographic and behavioural factors, the association was no longer significant.

Conclusions: Sexual violence was not associated with adverse neonatal outcomes. Moderate and severe violence had a small but significant effect on gestational age; however, the clinical influence of this finding is most likely limited. Women exposed to sexual violence in this study reported more of the sociodemographic and behavioural factors associated with PTB, LBW and SGA compared with non-abused women.

INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth (PTB) is a common and costly health problem.1–3 Approximately 1 in 10 babies are born preterm worldwide, and prematurity is considered to be the leading cause of death for newborns.2 Low birth weight (LBW) can be a consequence of PTB or intrauterine growth restriction, the latter leading to the birth of small for gestational age (SGA) infants.3 Research has suggested some biological risk factors for PTB and LBW: multiple pregnancies, a previous PTB and uterine or placental abnormalities.1–3 Studies also emphasise other, less understood factors for PTB and LBW.1 These are maternal age, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, maternal weight, substance abuse, stress, depression and violence.1–4

Violence against women is a significant public health problem, and a recent report from the WHO states that 35% of women worldwide have experienced either physical and/or sexual intimate partner violence or non-partner sexual violence.5 A pregnancy does not protect women from violence, and the prevalence of physical or sexual violence during pregnancy ranges from 3.4% to 11% in high-income countries.6 It is recognised that violence has an adverse impact on women’s physical, sexual, reproductive and mental health.5–7

A connection between PTB or LBW and violence against women has been reported, but the association is supported as well as contradicted.8–20 Several pathways between...
sexual violence and adverse pregnancy outcomes are suggested. A direct pathway of sexual violence can result in immediate complications such as bleeding and rupture of membranes, which can lead to a PTB.\textsuperscript{5, 10} Other, more indirect pathways are suggested mediated by stress and stress responses\textsuperscript{18} or by behavioural factors such as smoking or substance abuse, used to cope with the negative consequences of violence.\textsuperscript{5}

Studies have primarily addressed physical abuse during pregnancy and PTB or LBW\textsuperscript{9, 12} or child sexual abuse and PTB/LBW.\textsuperscript{9, 21} Results from a new meta-analysis published in the recent WHO report\textsuperscript{6} have demonstrated an association between intimate partner violence, including physical and sexual abuse, and PTB with an adjusted OR (AOR) of 1.41 (95% CI 1.21 to 1.62) and AOR of 1.16 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.29) with LBW.\textsuperscript{3} However, studies that have investigated the impact of sexual violence on neonatal outcomes specifically are limited and few population-based studies with large sample sizes that enable controlling for confounding variables have been conducted.\textsuperscript{5, 11} The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) is a population-based prospective cohort study of pregnant women, which includes measurements of lifetime sexual violence, sexual violence during pregnancy and other relevant covariates, which makes it suitable to examine associations between sexual violence and neonatal outcome. In this study, we assessed the relationship between sexual violence and gestational age at birth and birth weight. Additionally, we explored the associations between sexual violence and PTB, LBW and SGA.

**METHODS**

This study was a subproject in the MoBa study that was conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public health from 1999 to 2008.\textsuperscript{22} All pregnant women in Norway were eligible to participate in MoBa, and they were recruited during their routine fetal ultrasound examination. Of the invited women, 40.6% consented to participate. Data were obtained through extensive self-administered questionnaires that contained demographic factors, general health, reproductive history and questions about maternal health status during pregnancy. Our analyses were based on questionnaire 1 (Q1), which was completed during (approximately) gestational week 17, and Q3, which was completed during (approximately) gestational week 30. Data from MoBa were linked with data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN), which provided information on pregnancy and birth outcome. The current study is based on version VI of the quality-assured data files released for research in 2011. The MoBa study is described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{22} The questionnaires that were used in MoBa are available at the internet-site: http://www.fhi.no/studier/den-norske-mor-og-barn-undersokelsen/sporreskjemaer

**Study population**

This study included women who had a singleton birth between 22 and 44 weeks of gestation, who completed Q1 and Q3, had MBRN data available and participated for the first time (79 363 women). While a pregnancy is the observation unit in the MoBa study, women are the observation unit in our study; hence, the exclusion of 13 475 pregnancies of women who participated more than once. We excluded 703 women who did not answer the questions on sexual violence. Further, we excluded records with missing data on gestational length (n=297) and birth weight (n=41). We also excluded six children with birth weight <500 g and four children with birth weight >6000 g, leaving a study sample of 76 870 women (figure 1).

**Variables**

**Exposure variable**

The exposure variable was collected from Q1. Women were asked if they had been pressured or forced into sexual relations. There were four possible answer options: (1) No, never; (2) Yes, pressured; (3) Yes, forced with violence; or (4) Yes, raped. A positive answer was defined as having experienced sexual violence. Women with more than one positive answer were classified according to the most severe level reported. The answering options were coded into three levels of severity for the sexual violence: (1) mild (pressured); (2) moderate (forced with violence) and (3) severe (raped). Women could also indicate when the violence had taken place: (1) during this pregnancy; (2) during the last 6 months before pregnancy; or (3) earlier. Approximately 1700 women who filled out the first version of Q1 had the answering options earlier and during the last 12 months when assessing time. We therefore created the variables previous and recent sexual violence, with ‘recent’ containing sexual violence during the last 12 months, including the current pregnancy. Among the women who participated several times we included the first pregnancy only to ensure that the exposure was included only once per woman. More details about the exposure variable can be found in our previous studies\textsuperscript{23, 24} and in online supplementary table S1.

**Outcome variables**

All outcome variables were obtained from the MBRN. Gestational age at birth in days was based on ultrasound at (approximately) gestational week 18. For women with no ultrasound, the gestational age was based on the last menstrual period (1.7%). PTB was defined as a gestational age <37, LBW as a birth weight <2500 g, and SGA was defined as birth weight below the 10th centile for the gestational age at birth. SGA was calculated using Norwegian specific fetal growth tables by Skjaerven et al.\textsuperscript{25}

**Adjusting variables**

Maternal age, parity, socioeconomic status, smoking and body mass index (BMI) were considered as possible
confounding factors and were adjusted for. All adjusting variables were taken from the MoBa. In Q1, age was categorised into five groups: younger than 20 years, 20–24 years, 25–29 years, 30–34 years or 35 years and older. As a proxy for socioeconomic status, we used the woman’s education in years (categorised into 4 groups): primary (<12 years), secondary (12 years), higher education ≤4 years (13–16 years) and higher education >4 years (≥17 years). Parity was dichotomised into nulliparous and multiparous women. Smoking was categorised as no smoking or smoking, which included daily and occasional smoking. BMI was grouped into four categories: <20, 20–24.9, 25.0–29.9 or ≥30.0 kg/m². We also adjusted for mental distress because it is considered to be associated with the exposure as well as the outcome.45 Mental distress was measured using five items from the Hopkins symptoms checklist (SCL-5) with a cut-off at ≥2.0 points, as suggested by Strand et al.26 and obtained from Q3.

Owing to the co-occurrence of different violence types,7 we examined the effect of physical and emotional abuse as a child or as an adult in the multivariable statistical models. Information on adult physical violence was taken from Q3 and consisted of a positive answer to the question “Have you experienced physical violence before the age of 18?” Emotional abuse as a child (<18) or as an adult (≥18) consisted of a positive answer to either, “Someone has over a long period of time systematically tried to subdue, degrade or humiliate you” or “Someone has threatened to hurt you or someone close to you”, or both.

Previous PTB and inadequate antenatal care are considered to be associated with the exposure and the outcomes.2 3 5 As a previous PTB may be a result of sexual violence prior to the related pregnancy, we did not control for a previous PTB. In Norway, the majority of women attend antenatal care, a free and well-integrated part of the public health system; therefore, we did not control for antenatal care. Ethnicity was not considered a relevant covariate in our study because the majority of the MoBa participants are ethnic Norwegian.

**Statistical analysis**

Characteristics were presented as percentages within the entire sample and the different outcomes. Linear regression was performed to assess differences in birth weight and gestational age for children born to women with and without a history of mild, moderate and severe sexual violence. The association between sexual violence
and PTB, LBW and SGA was estimated with crude and AORs using logistic regression analysis. Sexual violence was analysed as a categorical variable: 1=mild sexual violence, 2=moderate sexual violence and 3=severe sexual violence with no sexual violence as the reference group. All analyses were adjusted for maternal age, parity, education, smoking, BMI and mental distress in the first step. Birth weight was additionally adjusted for gestational age. We further adjusted for other types of violence in the second step. We initially tested the correlation between other types of violence and sexual violence because of co-occurrence, and all Pearson’s correlation coefficients were below the generally accepted cut-off of <0.4 for use as a covariate in the regression analyses. Post protocol, we stratified the sample into spontaneous start of birth and provider-initiated start of birth (induced start of birth or elective caesarean section) for gestational age because a provider-initiated start could influence the time point of birth. Information on how the birth started was taken from MBRN. We additionally performed a sensitivity analysis in which we examined the association between sexual violence and SGA and LBW among women who had a spontaneous birth at term (≥37 weeks) because we wanted to examine the effect of violence in a group of women who were considered to be low risk according to gestational age and start of birth. When we examined the timing of the sexual violence, we compared women who were exposed to recent sexual violence (within the last 12 months) and those exposed to previous sexual violence to non-abused women. We also examined the timing among women reporting recent and previous severe sexual violence (rape) for all outcomes. The prevalence of missing data was generally low with 2.5% for BMI, 3.7% for education and 0.7% for smoking during pregnancy. Owing to this, no imputing methods for missing data were used, except for the missing data for the SCL-5 (3.2%), which were replaced by the series mean. The results of the logistic regression analyses remained approximately the same when performed with the complete exclusion of missing data compared with using the imputed missing data for SCL-5.

The comparison group for all analyses was women not reporting any sexual violence. All analyses were performed with the statistical package SPSS for WINDOWS (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) V18. p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean gestational age at birth in the total sample was 279.6 days (SD 11.9 days), and the mean birth weight was 3592.7 g (SD 547.1 g). Table 1 displays the characteristics in the total sample and by the different outcomes.

The prevalence of adverse neonatal outcomes was generally highest in the youngest (<20 years) and the oldest age groups (≥35 years) among smokers and women with primary school education. A BMI ≥30 was associated with PTB and LBW and BMI <20 with SGA. Women who reported mental distress also reported more PTB, LBW and SGA.

Among the 76 870 women enrolled, 9263 (12.1%) reported a history of mild sexual violence, 2102 (2.8%) moderate and 2746 (3.5%) severe. Women with a history of sexual violence were significantly younger and were more likely to have had primary school education. Additionally, these women more frequently reported smoking, a BMI ≥30 and mental distress. These women more often experienced other types of violence as children and also as adults (data not provided in tables).

A lower gestational age at birth was observed for newborns from women who reported moderate and severe sexual violence of approximately 2 days when birth was provider-initiated (table 2).

Among women with a spontaneous start of birth, the gestational age was approximately one half of a day shorter when women reported severe sexual violence. These findings were significant in an adjusted analysis. A crude analysis showed that women who reported a history of severe violence delivered on average 38.3 g lighter children, a difference that disappeared when controlling for gestational age, mother’s age, parity, education, smoking, BMI and mental distress. There were no differences regarding birth weight between women with a history of mild or moderate sexual violence compared with non-abused women.

Results from the logistic regression analysis are presented in table 3.

Women who reported severe sexual violence had higher odds of PTB, LBW and SGA in a crude analysis, an association that was attenuated and no longer significant when adjusted for maternal age, parity, education, smoking, BMI and mental distress. Other types of violence, as a child and as an adult, had small attenuating effects on the ORs and were not included in the final models.

The sensitivity analysis, in which we examined the association between a history of sexual violence and SGA and LBW in a subsample of women who had a spontaneous term birth, showed the same pattern as in the total sample reported in table 3. Women who reported severe sexual violence had higher odds of LBW and SGA in a crude analysis but not in the adjusted analysis (data not provided in tables).

A crude analysis was used to examine if the timing of the violence was associated with adverse outcome. Women who reported recent sexual violence had a higher risk for LBW (OR 1.60 95% CI 1.04 to 2.17) compared with non-abused women. The association was no longer significant in the adjusted analysis. In our study, 684 (0.9%) women reported recent sexual violence (mild, moderate and severe) and 13 487 (17.5%) previous sexual violence (see online supplementary table S2).
There was no association between recent severe sexual violence (rape) and adverse neonatal outcome (see online supplementary Table S3). There were 66 (0.1%) women who reported recent rape in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

**Main outcome**

We found that moderate and severe sexual violence were associated with a reduction in gestational age at birth. The largest effect was observed when birth was provider-initiated among women exposed to moderate or severe violence. These women had an approximately two-day reduction in gestational age. There was no significant association between sexual violence and PTB, LBW or SGA in the adjusted analysis.

**Strength**

This study, based on information from a large population-based study, the MoBa, which is linked to the MBRN, gave a unique opportunity to assess the association between sexual violence and outcome for newborns. The validity of the data in MoBa has in earlier research been described as sufficient for large-scale

| Table 1 | Background characteristics in the total sample and by PTB: gestational age <week 37, LBW: weight <2500 g and SGA weight below the 10th percentile by gestational age at birth in the Mother and Child Cohort |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
|         | Total N=76 870                                  | PTB N=3620 | LBW N=2107 | SGA N=6257 |
| Age     | %                                               | %   | %   | %   |
| <20     | 1.5                                             | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 20–24   | 12.2                                            | 12.7| 13.8| 13.2|
| 25–29   | 36.2                                            | 34.6| 34.8| 36.4|
| 30–34   | 35.7                                            | 33.7| 33.2| 34.2|
| ≥35     | 14.4                                            | 16.7| 15.6| 14.3|
| Education |                                               |     |     |     |
| Primary | 2.4                                             | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Secondary | 34.8                                         | 38.5| 38.8| 35.0|
| Higher ≤4 years | 37.8                     | 35.6| 37.3| 36.1|
| Higher >4 years | 21.3                      | 19.2| 20.5| 22.2|
| Missing | 3.7                                             | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| Parity  |                                               |     |     |     |
| Nulliparous | 54.9                      | 61.7| 68.2| 70.7|
| Multiparous | 45.1                        | 38.3| 31.8| 29.3|
| Smoking |                                               |     |     |     |
| No      | 90.9                                            | 89.3| 85.8| 85.6|
| Yes     | 8.5                                             | 10.1| 13.6| 13.6|
| Missing | 0.7                                             | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Prepregnancy body mass index |                                 |     |     |     |
| <20     | 12.4                                            | 12.8| 15.6| 19.1|
| 20–24.9 | 54.9                                            | 49.9| 50.8| 55.6|
| 25–29.9 | 21.0                                            | 22.0| 19.0| 15.2|
| ≥30     | 9.2                                             | 12.6| 11.8| 7.6 |
| Missing | 2.5                                             | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Mental distress |                                           |     |     |     |
| No      | 93.3                                            | 92.3| 91.3| 92.3|
| Yes     | 6.8                                             | 7.7 | 8.7 | 7.7 |
| Adult physical violence |                                               |     |     |     |
| No      | 85.5                                            | 83.9| 83.6| 84.7|
| Yes     | 14.5                                            | 16.1| 16.4| 15.3|
| Child physical violence |                                         |     |     |     |
| No      | 94.5                                            | 94.4| 94.1| 94.3|
| Yes     | 5.5                                             | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
| Adult emotional abuse |                                               |     |     |     |
| No      | 83.6                                            | 82.1| 81.3| 82.5|
| Yes     | 16.4                                            | 17.9| 18.7| 17.5|
| Child emotional abuse |                                           |     |     |     |
| No      | 86.2                                            | 85.1| 84.7| 85.5|
| Yes     | 13.8                                            | 14.9| 15.3| 14.5|

LBW, low birth weight; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small for gestational age.
epidemiological studies. Our study was strengthened by the fact that the information on the different outcome variables was collected prospectively from the quality-assessed MBRN. The outcomes in this study are part of a complex phenomenon that has several different risk factors. The setting in this study, with small social and health inequalities, may therefore be suitable to isolate the effect of sexual violence on adverse neonatal outcomes.

Limitations
There are also limitations to our study. The participation rate of 40.6% in MoBa is low, and MoBa suffers to some extent from selection bias. The women included in the study are older, have more education, smoke less and are less likely to be of a non-Norwegian origin than the Norwegian population. Although it is likely that there is a socioeconomic gradient that influences prevalence estimates, a recent study by Nilsen et al found no evidence that the exposure-outcome associations in the MoBa study were affected by selection bias. This socioeconomic gradient may also limit the generalisability of our findings. The lack of a validated instrument for measuring the exposure is a limitation to this study, and violence measured in modules as part of a larger questionnaire, as that in MoBa, may achieve a lower

Table 2 Differences in gestational age for spontaneous and provider-initiated start of birth and birth weight for non-exposed women and women exposed to mild, moderate or severe sexual violence

|                      | N=76 870 (%) | Mean (β (95% CI)) | Adjusted estimate β (95% CI)* |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| **Gestational age**  |             |                   |                              |
| No sexual violence   | 62 699 (81.6) 279.7 days | 1 | 1 |
| Mild sexual violence | 9263 (12.1) 279.9 days | 0.09 (−0.16 to 0.33) | 0.22 (−0.04 to 0.48) |
| Provider-initiated start | 1940 (12.5) 280.3 days | 0.36 (−0.37 to 1.08) | 0.64 (−0.11 to 1.39) |
| Moderate sexual violence | 2162 (2.8) 279.3 days | −0.40 (−0.87 to 0.06) | −0.28 (−0.78 to 0.21) |
| Provider-initiated start | 492 (3.2) 277.6 days | −2.13 (−3.41 to −0.84) | −2.02 (−3.39 to −0.67) |
| Severe sexual violence | 2746 (3.6) 278.7 days | −0.91 (−1.37 to −0.44) | −0.65 (−1.15 to −0.16) |
| Provider-initiated start | 698 (4.5) 277.5 days | −2.24 (−3.47 to −1.01) | −1.92 (−3.22 to −0.62) |
| **Birth weight**      |             |                   |                              |
| No sexual violence   | 62 699 (81.6) 3594 g | 1 | 1 |
| Mild sexual violence | 9263 (12.1) 3597 g | 2.03 (−9.26 to 13.32) | 0.04 (−9.16 to 9.23)† |
| Provider-initiated start | 1940 (12.5) 3582 g | −13.61 (−34.74 to 7.51) | 6.11 (−11.30 to 23.52)† |
| Moderate sexual violence | 2162 (2.8) 3582 g | −13.61 (−34.74 to 7.51) | 6.11 (−11.30 to 23.52)† |
| Severe sexual violence | 2746 (3.6) 3556 g | −38.33 (−59.17 to −17.49) | −0.76 (−18.05 to 16.53)† |

*Adjusted for maternal age, parity, education, smoking, body mass index and mental distress.
†Additional adjustment for gestational age.

Table 3 Odds of PTB, LBW and SGA with 95% CIs according to the different levels of sexual violence

|                      | N (%) | Prevalence (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI)* |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| **PTB**              |       |                |                   |                       |
| No sexual violence   | 62 699 (81.6) 3620 (4.7) | 1 | 1 |
| Mild sexual violence | 9263 (12.1) 2931 (4.7) | 0.95 (0.85 to 1.06) | 0.93 (0.83 to 1.03) |
| Moderate sexual violence | 2162 (2.8) 115 (5.3) | 1.15 (0.95 to 1.39) | 1.14 (0.93 to 1.39) |
| Severe sexual violence | 2746 (3.6) 162 (5.9) | 1.28 (1.08 to 1.51) | 1.15 (0.97 to 1.37) |
| **LBW**              |       |                |                   |                       |
| No sexual violence   | 62 699 (81.6) 1681 (2.7) | 1 | 1 |
| Mild sexual violence | 9263 (12.1) 257 (2.8) | 1.04 (0.91 to 1.18) | 0.98 (0.85 to 1.12) |
| Moderate sexual violence | 2162 (2.8) 75 (3.5) | 1.30 (1.03 to 1.65) | 1.19 (0.93 to 1.53) |
| Severe sexual violence | 2746 (3.6) 94 (3.4) | 1.29 (1.04 to 1.59) | 1.07 (0.85 to 1.34) |
| **SGA**              |       |                |                   |                       |
| No sexual violence   | 62 699 (81.6) 6257 (8.1) | 1 | 1 |
| Mild sexual violence | 9263 (12.1) 5061 (8.1) | 1.03 (0.95 to 1.12) | 1.00 (0.91 to 1.08) |
| Moderate sexual violence | 2162 (2.8) 178 (8.3) | 1.02 (0.87 to 1.19) | 0.95 (0.80 to 1.12) |
| Severe sexual violence | 2746 (3.6) 250 (9.1) | 1.14 (1.00 to 1.30) | 1.05 (0.91 to 1.21) |

*Adjusted for maternal age, parity, education, smoking, body mass index and mental distress.
†LBW, low birth weight; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small for gestational age.
disclosure rate.3 However, a similar prevalence to that found in our study was reported in a Nordic study examining sexual violence and health.33 The exposure was measured in gestational week 17, and sexual violence during pregnancy after this is therefore not included. In addition, we have no information on the context and frequency of the violence or information regarding the perpetrator.

To our knowledge, no studies have examined the influence of lifetime sexual violence reported during pregnancy on the gestational age at birth for newborns. There were minor differences in the gestational age between abused and non-abused women in this study, and the clinical importance of our findings for the health of the newborn is most likely limited. However, the difference between the provider-initiated and spontaneous initiation of birth may be of interest. Shorter provider-initiated pregnancies may suggest an increase in elective inductions and elective caesarean sections for those exposed to violence. This is supported by others34 35 and in our previous study on sexual violence in elective inductions and elective caesarean sections for those exposed to violence. However, our study makes it difficult to directly compare our findings for the perpetrator violence among a representative sample of Norwegian women.43 This number reflects the prevalence of sexual violence reported during the last year.

Several pathways between sexual violence and adverse neonatal outcomes are suggested, direct as well as indirect.5 The direct pathway of violence during pregnancy can cause immediate complications such as bleeding, rupture of membranes and PTB, and examples of indirect pathways include more health-risk behaviours, depression and stress/anxiety.54 42 Experienced violence and living in an abusive environment can both cause increased stress levels, which could be on the pathway between abuse and adverse neonatal outcome. Maternal exposure to stress can influence the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis hormones, and it is suggested that changes in these hormones may cause negative outcomes, such as a reduction in gestational age and fetal
growth restriction. It has been proposed that mental distress and symptoms of depression are on the causal pathway between violence and adverse health outcome, yet it has also been suggested that women with mental health difficulties are more likely to be victims of violence. As the relationship may be bidirectional, we chose to control for mental distress in our study. Similarly, the health-risk behaviours, smoking and BMI may be on the pathway between sexual violence and neonatal outcome. Nevertheless, we kept these covariates in the regression analysis because they are especially related to birth weight and PTB.

CONCLUSION
Overall, our findings provide no evidence for an association between lifetime sexual violence and adverse neonatal outcomes. A small significant effect on the gestational age at birth was detected, but the clinical importance of this is most likely limited for the health of the newborn. PTB, LBW and SGA all have complex origins with multiple possible pathways. Although we did not find an association between sexual violence and PTB, LBW or SGA in adjusted analyses, crude analyses in our study suggested that sexual violence may be a risk factor for adverse neonatal outcomes for some women; however, for the majority of women, the relationship was confounded by other risk factors. It is possible that these factors were the result of prior exposure to violence, but this could not be assessed in this study. Antenatal care is one of the most important entry points in which women seek healthcare without necessarily disclosing ongoing exposure to violence or a history of sexual violence. It is recommended that caregivers and clinicians ask women about exposure to violence when assessing conditions that may be complicated by violence. More knowledge about this condition is needed. Additionally, antenatal care may offer opportunities for women to receive help if they are exposed to violence and also in providing assistance to change behavioural factors contributing to adverse outcomes.
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