Use of an Anthropomorphic Chest Model to Evaluate Multiple Scanning Protocols for High-Definition and Standard-Definition Computed Tomography to Detect Small Pulmonary Nodules
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Background: This study aimed to use the LUNGMAN N1 anthropomorphic chest model to evaluate protocols for high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) and standard-definition CT (SDCT) to detect and compare small pulmonary nodules and determine the most appropriate low-dose scanning protocols.

Material/Methods: HDCT imaging used the Discovery HD750 scanner (80, 100, 120 and 140 kVp; 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, and 20 mA), and SDCT imaging used the Lightspeed VCT scanner (80, 120, and 140 kVp; 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, and 20 mA). The LUNGMAN N1 anthropomorphic chest model contained artificial pulmonary nodules (diameter: 5, 8, 10, and 12 mm). Low-dose scanning protocols were used in image acquisition. Two experienced radiologists evaluated the image quality. The combinations of voltage, tube current, image noise, and radiation dose were recorded. Consistency of the image quality between raters was assessed by kappa statistical analysis.

Results: Seventy CT scans of pulmonary nodules (diameter, 5–12 mm) were performed. There was a high degree of consistency for image quality between the two observers (K=0.929 for 5 mm nodules; K=0.819 for overall image quality). For 8 mm nodules, 100% were detected on both SDCT and HDCT. HDCT outperformed SDCT by 5%, in terms of effective dose. There was no significant difference in image quality between the SDCT and HDCT scanners.

Conclusions: Using an anthropomorphic chest model, the identification and image quality using SDCT was similar to that of HDCT for small pulmonary nodules between 5–12 mm.
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Background

Worldwide, lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer deaths [1]. Lung cancer is often diagnosed at a late stage when patients may not respond to treatment. Therefore, there has been increasing interest in screening for lung cancer using computed tomography (CT) imaging to detect the lung cancer at an early stage [2,3].

Guidelines for the diagnosis of pulmonary nodules and patient follow-up, published by the Fleischner Society in 2017, indicate that regular follow-up is not essential for patients with pulmonary nodules that are low-risk (<6 mm) [4]. However, from 2010 in our hospital, many of the lung nodules measuring <10 mm have been diagnosed histologically as early-stage lung cancer, and these cases have included a large proportion of lung nodules measuring <6 mm in diameter [3]. Therefore, the identification and diagnosis of lung cancer nodules <10 mm in diameter remain an important clinical challenge [4].

Currently, the use of imaging with pulmonary CT scans is recognized as a diagnostic method to improve the survival rate and quality of life for patients with lung cancer [4,5]. As pulmonary CT scans are widely used, the radiation dose may be a concern. Some studies have shown that although the effect of a single radiation dose of routine CT imaging can be negligible, a single high-dose CT and cumulative radiation can be potentially carcinogenic [5-7]. Several published studies have described the potential of low-dose CT imaging in identifying lung nodules with a small diameter and volume, and with accuracy. However, the establishment of lung cancer screening programs using CT imaging has been limited by screening conditions, including scanning devices, scanning modes, and scanning parameters, and the lack of imaging algorithms, and so the selection of the appropriate parameters for pulmonary CT scanning present an important challenge for radiologists [8–11].

Recent reports have shown that many small pulmonary nodules, or ground-glass opacity nodules (GGNs), <5 mm in diameter can be diagnosed histologically as lung cancer [12,13]. With the development of CT scanners, low-dose CT (LDCT) scanning protocols have been applied to lung cancer screening, but not all CT scanners are not suitable for use in screening.

The present study used the 2017 Fleischner guidelines to examine lung nodules >5 mm, and lung nodules <10 mm [4].

This study aimed to use the LUNGMAN N1 anthropomorphic chest model to evaluate protocols for high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) and standard-definition CT (SDCT) to detect small pulmonary nodules and to determine the most appropriate low-dose scanning protocols.

Material and Methods

Materials

The LUNGMAN N1 phantom multi-purpose anthropomorphic chest model (size, 43×40×48 cm, weight 18 kg, and chest circumference 94 cm) designed by Kyoto Kagaku (Kyoto, Japan) was used (Figure 1). The phantom is an accurate life-sized anthropomorphic model of a healthy male thorax. The rates of X-ray absorption of soft tissues and bone are similar to those of human tissues. The internal structures were removable, including the pulmonary vessels, trachea, heart, mediastinum, and some abdominal structures. These models can be used for chest X-ray and computed tomography (CT) scan studies, as the models closely resemble the human chest.

In the LUNGMAN N1 model, two kinds of lung nodules were used, one with a density of –630 Hounsfield units (HU) and diameters of 5, 8, 10, and 12 mm, and another with a density of –800 HU and diameters of 5, 8, 10, and 12 mm. A total of eight nodules were randomly placed in the anthropomorphic chest model (Figures 2, 3). These nodules have been previously used by other researchers to study ground-glass opacity nodules (GGNs), and it has been reported in the literature that the CT values of these nodules are similar to those of GGNs [14–16].

Image acquisition

The scanning range of the anthropomorphic chest model was performed from the lung apex to the lung base. The initial scanning position was marked to ensure the consistency in terms of length and range in each scan. Standard-definition CT (SDCT) and high-definition CT (HDCT) were used in image acquisition.

Figure 1. The LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model.
The anthropomorphic chest model was scanned multiple times using SDCT and the Lightspeed VCT (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA). The tube voltages were set at 80, 120, and 140 kVp, with tube currents being set at 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, and 20 mA. The specification of slice thickness and slice interval each were 5 mm each. The display field of view (DFOV) was 40 cm, the scanning field of view (SFOV) was 50 cm, the spiral pitch was 0.984, and the rotation time was 0.5 s/rot. As SDCT lacked an adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction algorithm (ASIR), a set of filtered back projection (FBP) images with slice thickness and slice interval each of 0.625 mm each, along with a bone reconstruction algorithm (window width of 1500 HU and window level of -500 HU) were reconstructed after screening. Also, a set of FBP images with slice thickness and slice interval of 0.625 mm each and soft tissue window by standard reconstruction (window width of 350 HU and window level of 50 HU) were also reconstructed (Table 1).

The Discovery HD750 HDCT scanner was used (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA). The tube voltages were set to 80, 100, 120, and 140 kVp, whereas the tube currents were set to 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, and 20 mA. The slice thickness and slice interval of the scanning were set at 5 mm each for scanning. However, the display field of view (DFOV) was 40 cm, the scanning field of view (SFOV) was 50 cm, the spiral pitch was 0.984, and the rotate time 0.5 s/rot. On scanning, a set of images with adaptive statistical iteration reconstruction (ASIR), with 40% weighted ASIR was used, which is a recommended level for ASIR, according to previous studies [17–20]. A slice thickness and slice interval of 0.625 mm was applied, using a bone reconstruction algorithm, with a window width of 1500 HU and window level of -500 HU, and a set of images with 40% weighted ASIR, slice thickness of 0.625 mm, slice interval of 0.625 mm, as well as standard reconstruction algorithm, with a window width of 350 HU and a window level of 50 HU, were reconstructed. All the images were processed using the image processing station, ADW4.4 (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA).

Table 1. Scanning parameters.

| Devices | Discovery HD750(HDCT) | Lightspeed VCT(VCT) |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Tube voltage (kVp) | 80 100 120 140 | 80 120 140 |
| Tube current (mA) | 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, 20 | 360, 320, 280, 240, 200, 160, 120, 80, 40, 20 |
| Reconstruction series 1 | ASIR 40% + bone reconstruction algorithm, window width 1 500 HU, window level 500 HU, slice thickness of 0.625 mm and interval of 0.625 mm | FBP + bone reconstruction algorithm, window width 1500 HU, window level -500 HU, slice thickness of 0.625 mm, and interval of 0.625 mm |
| Reconstruction series 2 | ASIR 40% + stand reconstruction algorithm, window width 350 HU, window level 50 HU, slice thickness of 0.625 mm and interval of 0.625 mm | FBP + stand reconstruction algorithm, window width 350 HU, window level 50 HU, slice thickness of 0.625 mm, and interval of 0.625 mm |

Figure 2. Eight spherical nodules with four diameters (5, 8, 10, and 12 mm) and two densities.

Figure 3. Introduction of the chest model nodules using the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model.
**Measurement data**

Two senior pulmonary radiologists measured CT values and image noise of the eight spherical pulmonary nodules. The region of interest (ROI) was set at 1 mm²; given that the pulmonary nodules were 5 mm, which implied that the impact of the excessive volume effect could be overcome if the ROI was 1 mm², while measuring the CT values of the pulmonary nodules. The ROI was imaging slice was through the center of the pulmonary nodules. The standard deviation of the air-CT value, 3 cm above the sternal angle was set as the image noise, with the ROI being 200 mm². All the ROIs were placed in a similar manner, using a copy and paste function, to ensure the consistency of their respective position and size. Data represented the mean values of the measurements taken in triplicate.

**Image analysis and evaluation**

For all images, a double-blind evaluation was performed by two radiologists, each with more than 15 years of experience in chest imaging diagnosis. The overall image noise and the detection ability of the pulmonary nodules were assessed.

The radiologists also evaluated the overall image quality and display of the lesions on each image. All the images were scored based on the 5-point scale as follows: 1, unacceptable; 2, barely acceptable; 3, acceptable; 4, good; 5, perfect. The display of lesions was also scored according to the 5-point scale as follows: 1, the lesion could not be identified due to artifact; 2, the lesion may be non-existent and due to artifact; 3, the microstructure of the lesion could be displayed without artifact; 4, the lesion and its boundary was discernible; 5, the lesion and its boundary were displayed clearly. The results obtained from the two radiologists were analyzed statistically.

**Radiation dose**

The dose length product (DLP) parameters were generated automatically on the devices and were recorded. The DLP values were multiplied by K (conversion factor) to obtain the effective dose (effective dose=DLP×K), and the K value was set to 0.014.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The measured pulmonary nodules and air-CT values were compared using the one-sample t-test. Group-wise comparison of image noise was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The CT values of SDCT and HDCT imaging datasets were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. The consistency of the image quality was assessed by kappa analysis. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Evaluation of image quality**

The differences in air-CT detected under various scanning conditions were not statistically significant (P=0.380). The image noise showed a significantly increasing trend with the decrease in the current (P<0.05). The image noise did not alter significantly with the changes in the tube voltage, with a fixed tube current on the Discovery HD750 scanner and fixed tube current on the Lightspeed VCT scanner. The P-values for image noise and tube voltage, obtained by univariate analysis, were 0.055 and 0.882, respectively. The CT values of nodules (5 mm, 100 HU; 5 mm, –630 HU; 5 mm, –800 HU), muscle, descending aorta, and air measured by the Lightspeed VCT scanner and the Discovery HD750 scanner at 80 kV, 120 kV, and 140 kV were compared (Table 2). Significant differences were observed in the CT values of nodules, muscle, descending aorta, and air (P=0.039, P=0.033, P=0.018, and P=0.020).

**Detection of image quality, pulmonary nodules, and evaluation of detection criteria**

The two radiologists achieved high consistency in the assessment of image quality of the pulmonary nodules (K=0.819) (Table 3) and showed high consistency of the detection criteria of the pulmonary nodules (K=0.929) (Table 3).

The detection of pulmonary nodules with a diameter of ≥5 mm and density ≥–630 HU were performed using high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) and standard-definition CT (SDCT). On HDCT, all pulmonary nodules could be detected via the scanning parameters as follows: 140 kVp and 20 mA, 120 kVp and 20 mA, 100 kVp and 20 mA, along with 80 kVp and 40 mA, and the effective dose (ED) was the lowest (0.38 mSv) at 100 kVp and 20 mA. The detection requirements of all the pulmonary nodules could be achieved at the scanning parameters as follows: 140 kVp and 20 mA, 120 kVp and 40 mA, 100 kVp, and 80 mA, along with 80 kVp and 80 mA, while the ED was the lowest (0.81 mSv) at 80 kVp and 80 mA.

On SDCT, all the pulmonary nodules could be detected via the scanning parameters as follows: 140 kVp and 20 mA, 120 kVp and 20 mA, as well as 80 kVp, and 40 mA, while the ED was the lowest (0.40 mSv) at 80 kVp and 40 mA. The detection requirements of all pulmonary nodules could be achieved at the following scanning parameters: 140 kVp and 40 mA, 120 kVp and 80 mA, along with 80 kVp and 120 mA, and the ED was the lowest (1.20 mSv) at 80 kVp and 120 mA (Tables 4, 5 and Figures 4, 5).

The detection of pulmonary nodules with diameter ≥5 mm and density ≥–800 HU on HDCT showed that all pulmonary nodules were visible in chest imaging.
Table 2. Comparison of CT value of VCT and HDCT image data.

| 5 mm, 100 HU | 5 mm, –630 HU | 5 mm, –800 HU | Muscle | Descending aorta | Air |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----|
| HDCT         | VCT          | HDCT         | VCT    | HDCT            | VCT |
| 135.33       | 181.33       | –618.50      | –570.17| –771.50         | –33794|
| 128.78       | 192.78       | –634.75      | –665   | –760.67         | –33794|
| 118.56       | 178          | –625.00      | –763.67| –815.11         | 47.75 |
| 110.56       | 190          | –652.00      | –632   | –771.17         | –839  |
| 112.67       | 133.89       | –629.25      | –600.5 | –770.17         | –955.17|
| 134.44       | 118          | –661.50      | –630.33| –771.33         | –68   |
| 133.22       | 110.83       | –608.25      | –641.89| –748.5          | –44.44|
| 93.22        | 106.17       | –635.50      | –654.78| –790.00         | 40.00 |
| 78.89        | 138.86       | –623.25      | –658   | –783.17         | –55.50|
| 18.22        | 121.14       | –638.25      | –688   | –782.67         | –57   |
| 102.17       | 113.5        | –632.25      | –640.22| –781.17         | –77.12|
| 102          | 114.67       | –634.5       | –640   | –778.83         | –884.44|
| 93.33        | 104.6        | –637.75      | –618.83| –770.83         | –752.5|
| 104.33       | 106.33       | –625.75      | –636.89| –785.67         | –775.22|
| 102          | 101.5        | –633.25      | –643.44| –789.67         | –760  |
| 96.00        | 101.67       | –635.75      | –646   | –800.00         | –743.67|
| 114.11       | 131.5        | –647.00      | –641.56| –784.75         | –795.11|
| 82.44        | 92.33        | –645.75      | –651.11| –801.83         | –795.89|
| 86.00        | 101.67       | –638.00      | –673.44| –788.17         | –803.22|
| 86.33        | 150          | –618.25      | –696.59| –830.33         | –820.67|
| 94.00        | 100.29       | –642.67      | –642.28| –782.17         | –783.25|
| 96.67        | 110.33       | –642.25      | –648.5 | –789.83         | –773  |
| 97.67        | 101.33       | –650.25      | –658.75| –786            | –786.5|
| 127.67       | 98.56        | –651.50      | –651   | –786.17         | –748.5|
| 102          | 80.89        | –643         | –656.75| –773.67         | –749.5|
| 105.5        | 103.33       | –644.75      | –636.5 | –777.67         | –767.75|
| 90.50        | 86.33        | –648.25      | –653.75| –762.17         | –761.5|
| 105.5        | 56.78        | –637.50      | –650.25| –789.33         | –758  |
| 78.83        | 61.22        | –645.00      | –673   | –752.50         | –764.5|
| 78.33        | 84.11        | –648.285     | –648.5 | –786.5          | –784.75|
| 0.039        | 0.033        | 0.018        | 0.02   | 0.21            | 0.688|
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nODULES COULD BE DETECTED VIA THE SCANNING PARAMETERS AS FOLLOWS: 140 KVp AND 20 mA, 120 KVp AND 20 mA, 100 KVp AND 40 mA, ALONG WITH 80 KVp AND 120 mA, AND THE ED WAS THE LOWEST (0.60 mSv) AT 120 KVp AND 20 mA. THE DETECTION REQUIREMENTS OF ALL PULMONARY NODULES COULD BE ACHIEVED VIA SCANNING PARAMETERS THAT INCLUDED 140 KVp AND 40 mA, 120 KVp AND 80 mA, 100 KVp AND 120 mA, AND 80 KVp AND 200 mA, AND THE ED WAS THE LOWEST (1.72 mSv) AT 140 KVp AND 40 mA.

ON SDCT, THE PULMONARY NODULES COULD BE DETECTED VIA THE SCANNING PARAMETERS OF 140 KVp AND 40 mA, 120 KVp AND 80 mA, AND 80 KVp AND 280 mA, AND THE ED WAS THE LOWEST (1.68 mSv) AT 140 KVp AND 40 mA. THE DETECTION REQUIREMENTS OF ALL PULMONARY NODULES COULD BE ACHIEVED AT 140 KVp AND 120 mA AND 120 KVp AND 200 mA, WHILE THE ED WAS THE LOWEST (5.06 mSv) AT 140 KVp AND 120 mA (TABLES 6, 7 AND FIGURES 6, 7).

**Discussion**

Worldwide, low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening of the lung has become increasingly used. Currently, studies from follow-up data of CT screening for lung cancer have shown that there is a risk of lung cancer for pulmonary nodules <10 mm (usually 4–6 and 6–8 mm) [21,22]. Also, some studies have shown that the detection and diagnosis of lung nodules could be detected via the scanning parameters as follows: 140 KVp and 20 mA, 120 KVp and 20 mA, 100 KVp and 40 mA, along with 80 KVp and 120 mA, and the ED was the lowest (0.60 mSv) at 120 KVp and 20 mA. The detection requirements of all pulmonary nodules could be achieved via scanning parameters that included 140 KVp and 40 mA, 120 KVp and 80 mA, 100 KVp and 120 mA, and 80 KVp and 200 mA, and the ED was the lowest (1.72 mSv) at 140 KVp and 40 mA.

Table 3. Results of consistency analysis between the two observers.

| Value          | Progressive standard error | Approximate Tb | Approximate significance |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Measurement    | Kappa                      |                |                          |
| a. Without false assumptions | 0.929 | 0.029 | 15.548 | <0.001 |
| b. Using progressive standard error with false assumptions | |

Table 4. Radiation doses satisfying the screening of nodules (5 mm, –630 HU).

| mA  | 360 | 320 | 280 | 240 | 200 | 160 | 120 | 80  | 40  | 20  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 80  | 3.66| 3.25| 2.84| 2.44| 2.03| 1.63| 1.22| 0.81| 0.41|     |
| 100 | 6.85| 6.09| 5.33| 4.57| 3.80| 3.04| 2.28| 1.52| 0.76| 0.38|
| 120 | 10.87| 9.66| 8.45| 7.25| 6.04| 4.83| 3.62| 2.41| 1.21| 0.60|
| 140 | 15.51| 13.78| 12.06| 10.34| 8.61| 6.89| 5.17| 3.44| 1.72| 0.86|

**Detection of mini-modules at (5 mm, –630 HU) with fixed tube current by HDCT and ED (mSv)**

**Detection of small nodules at (5 mm, –630 HU) with fixed tube current by VCT and ED (mSv)**
Table 5. Radiation doses for diagnosis of small nodules (5 mm, –630 HU).

| mA | 360 | 320 | 280 | 240 | 200 | 160 | 120 | 80  | 40  | 20  |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| kVp |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 80  | 6.85 | 6.09 | 5.33 | 4.57 | 3.80 | 3.04 | 2.28 | 1.52 |     |     |
| 120 | 10.87 | 9.66 | 8.45 | 7.25 | 6.04 | 4.83 | 3.62 | 2.41 | 1.21 |     |
| 140 | 15.51 | 13.78 | 12.06 | 10.34 | 8.61 | 6.89 | 5.17 | 3.44 | 1.72 | 0.86 |

Detection of small nodules at (5 mm, –630 HU) with fixed tube current by VCT and ED (mSv)

| mA | 80  | 120 | 140 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|
| kVp |     |     |     |
| 80  | 3.62 | 3.21 | 2.81 |
| 120 | 10.75 | 9.53 | 8.33 |
| 140 | 15.24 | 13.51 | 11.81 |

Figure 4. Detection of small lung nodules (5 mm, –630 HU) in the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model. The left panel (A) is high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) image, using the Discovery HD750 scanner at 100 kVp and 20 mA. The right panel (B) is the standard-definition CT (SDCT) image, using the Lightspeed VCT scanner at 80 kVp and 40 mA.

Figure 5. Diagnosis of the small lung nodules (5 mm, –630 HU) in the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model. The left panel (A) is high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) image using the Discovery HD750 scanner at 80 kVp and 80 mA. The right panel (B) is the standard-definition CT (SDCT) image, using the Lightspeed VCT scanner at 80 kVp and 120 mA.
Table 6. Radiation doses for detecting mini-nodules (5 mm, ~800 HU).

| mA | kVp | 360 | 320 | 280 | 240 | 200 | 160 | 120 | 80 | 40 | 20 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| Detection of mini-nodules (5 mm, ~800 HU) with fixed tube current by HDCT and ED (mSv) |
| 80  | 3.66 | 3.25 | 2.84 | 2.44 | 2.03 | 1.63 | 1.22 |
| 100 | 6.85 | 6.09 | 5.33 | 4.57 | 3.80 | 3.04 | 2.28 | 1.52 | 0.76 |
| 120 | 10.87 | 9.66 | 8.45 | 7.25 | 6.04 | 4.83 | 3.62 | 2.41 | 1.21 | 0.60 |
| 140 | 15.51 | 13.78 | 12.06 | 10.34 | 8.61 | 6.89 | 5.17 | 3.44 | 1.72 | 0.86 |

Table 7. Radiation doses for diagnosis of detecting small nodules (5 mm, ~800 HU).

| mA | kVp | 360 | 320 | 280 | 240 | 200 | 160 | 120 | 80 | 40 | 20 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| Diagnosis of mini-nodules (5 mm, ~800 HU) with fixed tube current by HDCT and ED (mSv) |
| 80  | 3.66 | 3.25 | 2.84 | 2.44 | 2.03 |
| 100 | 6.85 | 6.09 | 5.33 | 4.57 | 3.80 | 3.04 | 2.28 |
| 120 | 10.87 | 9.66 | 8.45 | 7.25 | 6.04 | 4.83 | 3.62 | 2.41 |
| 140 | 15.51 | 13.78 | 12.06 | 10.34 | 8.61 | 6.89 | 5.17 | 3.44 | 1.72 |

Figure 6. Detection of small lung nodules (5 mm, ~800 HU) in the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model. The left panel (A) is high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) image using the Discovery HD750 scanner at 120 kVp and 20 mA. The right panel (B) is the standard-definition CT (SDCT) image, using the Lightspeed VCT scanner at 140 kVp and 40 mA.
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DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES
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Figure 7. Detection of small lung nodules (5 mm, –800 HU) in the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model. The left panel (A) is high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) image using the Discovery HD750 scanner at 140 kVp and 40 mA. The right panel (B) is the standard-definition CT (SDCT) image, using the Lightspeed VCT scanner at 140 kVp and 120 mA.

In this study, various tube currents and voltages were set for high-definition computed tomography (HDCT) and standard-definition CT (SDCT). The ability to detect the spherical pulmonary nodules with different sizes and densities in the LUNGMAN N1 anthropomorphic chest model were objectively evaluated by the investigators to identify the most appropriate scanning conditions. In 2013, the use of the Siemens 64-row CT was reported, and the tube voltages were set to 80, 100, and 120 kVp, while the tube currents were set to 10, 20, 40, 75, and 110 mAs [8]. Pulmonary nodules of 5 mm diameter were identified, but the density of the nodules was not specified [8].

The identification of non-solid nodules is more difficult when compared with solid nodules, which means that if lung cancer screening is performed based on the scanning parameters of solid nodules alone, malignant tumor nodules may be missed. Most early-stage lung adenocarcinomas, including atypical adenomatous hyperplasia (AAH), adenocarcinoma in-situ (AIS), and minimally-invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA) do not form solid nodules. In this study, the sizes of the nodules were 5, 8, 10, and 12 mm, which satisfied the threshold recommended in the treatment guidelines of pulmonary nodules by Fleischer Society in 2017 [4]. The CT values of pulmonary nodules were set at –630 HU and –800 HU, which almost satisfied the display requirements for pulmonary nodules with different densities. Furthermore, the CT density should be extended to the non-solid pure ground-glass opacity nodules (GGNs), as the detection and diagnosis of non-solid small GGNs are important in the study of lung cancer. An in-depth investigation of this kind of pulmonary nodule suggests that it is important to detect and treat the lung cancer at the earliest stage, based on the histopathology results obtained from examining the Chinese population with pulmonary nodules. The present study used multiple sets of screening parameters, including a tube voltage of 80–140 kVp and a tube current of 20–360 mA, and the CT scanning conditions were more comprehensive when compared with those in previous studies.

In this study, the use of HDCT resulted in a 5% lower radiation dose, while maintaining comparable image quality for imaging small pulmonary nodules (5–12 mm). This finding is supported by the findings from previously reported studies [32,33]. Previous studies have shown the optimization of low-dose scanning images using an adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction algorithm (ASIR), which compensated for the image quality. In this study, a 40% weighted ASIR was used for HDCT, which is a commonly used default iterative weighting that verified the scope of the scanning conditions. Using the filtered back projection (FBP) algorithm and ASIR has been previously shown to provide convincing results [34–36], which may explain the differences in CT values of pulmonary nodules (5 mm, 100 HU; 5 mm, –630 HU; 5 mm, –800 HU) under same scanning condition between two scanners, which increased when the CT values decreased. The measurements from HDCT were
close to the real CT values of the nodules. When the same low dose scanning protocol was applied, some deviation may occur using earlier versions of the CT scanner or CT scanners without iterative reconstruction.

The results of this study showed that among the detection requirements of the eight small lung nodules used in the anthropomorphic chest model, the scanning conditions were the highest for those with a CT value -800 HU and size 5 mm. This phenomenon indicated that the detection requirements of all other small lung nodules could be achieved if the scanning conditions of these small lung nodules were achieved. Therefore, we recommend 140 kVp and 40 mA in the mode of fixed tube voltage and tube current using HDCT, while 120 kVp and 200 mA were preferable in the mode of fixed tube voltage and tube current on the SDCT in clinical practice.

The present study confirmed the small lung nodules of 5 mm diameter (–630 HU) can be detected at different energy levels (80, 100, 120, and 140 kVp in HDCT; 80, 100, and 120 in SDCT) with 40 mA. The minimum radiation dose was 0.41 mSv. Therefore, it may be speculated that 40 mA was the lowest tube current when the tube voltage was not limited. While observing the details of the small lung nodules with the size of 5 mm and CT value –630 HU, 80 mA was the lowest tube current on HDCT if there was no limitation in tube voltage, while 120 mA was the lowest tube current for SDCT. To detect the pulmonary nodules with 5 mm diameter and –800 HU CT value, 120 mA was the lowest tube current on HDCT, while 280 mA was the lowest tube current on SDCT if there the tube voltage was unlimited. For detecting the pulmonary nodules with the diameter of 5 mm and CT value of –800 HU, 200 mA was the lowest tube current on HDCT if there was no limitation on tube voltage. For SDCT, the detection requirements could not be achieved under all tube currents if the tube voltage was 80 kVp. However, the tube voltage at 120 kVp and/or tube current ≥200 mA could fulfill all the detection requirements.

In the case that other parameters were fixed, the radiation dose on HDCT at 100 kVp and 20 mA was similar to that for SDCT at 80 kVp and 20 mA. However, HDCT with 40% weighted ASIR showed a better image quality than FBP using SDCT. Therefore, a low radiation dose could be used for HDCT for pulmonary screening.

This study had several limitations. An average or standard body mass index (BMI) was used to design the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model used in this study. The scanning parameters should be adjusted appropriately based on the BMI values of the patients in clinical practice. However, this study investigated the effects of various tube parameters with respect to the display of pulmonary nodules only on SDCT and HDCT. Therefore, scanning parameters should be converted based on the image quality if LDCT screening of the lung is conducted using other devices.

Conclusions

This study used the LUNGMAN N1 phantom multipurpose anthropomorphic chest model with artificial pulmonary nodules (diameter: 5, 8, 10, and 12 mm) and showed that with computed tomography (CT) scanning conditions at 100 kVp and 20 mA, high-definition CT (HDCT) could detect pulmonary nodules with the diameter ≥5 mm and density ≥–630 HU. Compared with the lowest scanning conditions using standard-definition CT (SDCT) the dose decreased by 5%. However, the lowest scanning parameters were 80 kVp and 80 mA on HDCT for detection of the nodules, which decreased by 32.5% when compared with SDCT. The pulmonary nodules with ≥5 mm diameter and ≥–800 HU density could be detected at 120 kVp and 20 mA on HDCT, which reduced the dose by 64.3% when compared with the SDCT. Detection of the nodules could also be performed at 140 kVp and 40 mA by HDCT, which decreased the dose by 66% when compared with the SDCT.
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