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Abstract

Background: Severe dengue is a life-threatening complication; rapid identification of these cases, followed by adequate management is crucial to improve the clinical prognosis. Therefore, this study aimed to identify risk factors and predictors of severe dengue.

Methods: A literature search for studies reporting risk factors of severe dengue among individuals with dengue virus infection was conducted in PubMed, Scopus and Web of Science database from inception to December 31, 2020. Pooled odds ratios (ORs) for patients’ demographic characteristics, co-morbidities, and warning signs were estimated using an inverse variance heterogeneity model.

Results: We included 143 articles in the meta-analysis from a total of 13,090 articles retrieved from the literature search. The risk factors of severe dengue were: being a child [OR = 1.96; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.22–3.13], secondary infection [OR = 3.23; 95% CI: 2.28–4.57], and patients with pre-existing diabetes [OR = 2.88; 95% CI: 1.72–4.81] and renal disease (OR = 4.54; 95% CI: 1.55–13.31). Warning signs strongly associated with severe disease were increased haematocrit with a concurrent decrease in platelet count (OR = 5.13; 95% CI: 1.61–16.34), abdominal pain (OR = 2.00; 95% CI: 1.49–2.68), lethargy (OR = 2.73; 95% CI: 1.05–7.10), vomiting (OR = 1.80; 95% CI: 1.43–2.26), hepatomegaly (OR = 5.92; 95% CI: 3.29–10.66), ascites (OR = 6.30; 95% CI: 3.75–10.60), pleural effusion (OR = 5.72; 95% CI: 3.24–10.10) and melena (OR = 4.05; 95% CI: 1.64–10.00).

Conclusions: Our meta-analysis identified children, secondary infection, diabetes and renal disease(s) as important predictors of severe dengue. Our finding also supports the predictive ability of the WHO warning signs to identify severe dengue. These findings are useful for clinicians to identify severe dengue for management and timely interventions.
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Background
In 2010, it was estimated that there were 390 million dengue infections, of which 96 million manifested clinically [1] with severe dengue resulting in 21,000 deaths worldwide [2]. Asia bears 70% of this global burden [1]. The incidence of dengue has surged dramatically with an eightfold increase over the last two decades, from 505,430 cases in 2000 to over 2.4 million in 2010, and 4.2 million in 2019 [3]. The increase in dengue incidence has been associated with explosive outbreaks and geographical expansion to new areas [3].

Dengue is an arboviral infection caused by a dengue virus (DENV) belonging to the Flaviviridae family. Four antigenically and genetically distinct DENV serotypes (DENV1–4) have been described to co-circulate around the world.
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the world and cause human infections [4]. The infection leads to a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations from asymptomatic infection to life-threatening severe dengue or dengue shock syndrome (DSS) [1]. In many Asian countries, severe dengue is the leading cause of hospitalization among children and the case fatality rate (CFR) is about 5% on average [5].

There is no specific treatment and the dengue vaccine [CYD-TDV (Dengvaxia®)] is licensed only in 20 countries [6]. The vaccine is not yet approved for younger children due to low efficacy and safety reasons [7]. In a randomized controlled, multicentre, phase III trial, the efficacy of CYD-TDV was reported at ~56% against virologically confirmed dengue among children in countries in the Asia–Pacific region [8]. Only adults aged 9–45 years living in an area of ≥70% dengue prevalence, and whose serostatus is positive for past dengue infection are recommended for immunization [6]. Due to the challenges associated with the need to collect information on the burden and seroprevalence profiles of the local population, and the recent reports of vaccine-related severe dengue and deaths, the use of the dengue vaccines is not widespread [9]. Therefore, rapid identification of severe cases and appropriate clinical management remains the mainstay to avoid dengue-related case fatalities. This includes monitoring for plasma leakage and initiating intravenous fluid replacement to prevent shock and death [10]. A rational approach of case management through proper understanding of the determinants of severe dengue is key to improving clinical outcomes [11].

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to identify predictors of severe dengue. Such knowledge will be useful to clinicians for targeting at-risk groups of severe dengue for initiating prompt interventions to save lives.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

The methods and results of the systematic review and meta-analysis are reported in accordance with the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Additional file 1) [12]. No protocol was registered for this systematic review and meta-analysis.

Three databases, PubMed, Scopus and Web of Science, were searched from inception to December 31, 2020, for relevant articles. Key search terms were “dengue”, “dengue haemorrhagic fever”, “dengue shock syndrome” or “severe dengue”. The detailed search strategy is provided in Additional file 2. In addition, a backward citation search using the reference lists of relevant studies were reviewed for additional studies that may not have been captured using the search terms.

**Eligibility criteria**

This review was undertaken to identify predictors of severe dengue based on the patient’s demographic characteristics, comorbidities, and presentation of warning signs; therefore, the inclusion criteria were: (1) observational studies (cross-sectional, case control, or cohort study designs) conducted in humans; (2) which compared severe dengue and non-severe dengue cases; and (3) reported patients’ demographic characteristics (i.e., age, sex, ethnicity, socio-economic class, region/location, and primary or secondary dengue infection), co-morbidities [i.e., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), visual impairment, cardiovascular diseases (CVD), diabetes, obesity and overweight, hearing loss, cancer, oral health, alcohol use disorder, and haemoglobin disorders like thalassemia and sickle cell disease], and/or clinical warning signs [i.e., abdominal pain, vomiting, enlarged liver size, pleural effusion, ascites, gum bleeding, epistaxis, lethargy, melena, increase in haematocrit with concurrent decrease in platelet count, gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, hematemesis and skin bleeding]. Exclusion criteria included: (1) case reports, case series, reviews, or letters; (2) in vitro and animal studies; (3) conference presentations; and (4) studies where patient outcomes were not separated into severe and non-severe dengue.

The classification of the severity of dengue of the selected studies was done either with the World Health Organization (WHO) 1997 or the revised WHO 2009 dengue case classification. The WHO 1997 dengue case classification categorized dengue into dengue fever (DF), dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) (i.e., grade I & II) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS) (i.e., grade III & IV) [5]. While the WHO 2009 dengue case classification categorized dengue into dengue without warning signs (DWoWS), dengue with warning signs (DWWS), and severe dengue (SD) [10]. In this review, we defined severe dengue as DSS according to the WHO 1997 dengue case classification and SD according to WHO 2009 dengue case classification. A detailed description of the WHO 1997 and 2009 dengue case classification along with the case definition of severe dengue used in this study are presented in Table 1.

**Selection of studies and data extraction**

All retrieved articles from the three databases (PubMed, Scopus and Web of Science) were imported into EndNote X7.7.1 (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA) and duplicates were removed. Then studies were screened by title and abstract in Rayyan (http://rayyan.qcri.org/). Using Rayyan, articles selected by title and abstract also underwent full text screening for the final selection. The
### Table 1 Dengue severity stratification according to 1997 and 2009 World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines

| Dengue fever | 1997 WHO classification | 2009 WHO classification |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| DHF (Grade 1 and 2) | Following must be all present: Fever or history of fever Haemorrhage tendencies (as manifested by a positive tourniquet test, petechiae/purpura/ecchymoses, mucosal bleeding) | All four criteria of DHF plus evidence of circulatory failure evidenced by: Rapid and weak pulse Narrow pulse pressure (< 20 mm Hg) Hypotension Cold clammy skin and restlessness |
| DSS (Grade 3 and 4) | Fever and two of the following symptoms: Nausea or vomiting Rash | Fever and two of the following symptoms: Nausea or vomiting Rash |
| DWoWS | Thrombocytopenia Plasma leakage (a rise in the haematocrit, pleural effusion, ascites) | Similar features of DWoWS with the following warning signs: Abdominal pain Persistent vomiting Lethargy or restlessness Liver enlargement Increase in HCT and decrease in platelet count |
| DWWS | Patients with any of the following features: Severe plasma leakage leading to Shock, fluid accumulation with respiratory distress Severe bleeding Severe organ impairment |

**DHF** dengue haemorrhagic fever, **DSS** dengue shock syndrome, **DWoWS** dengue without warning signs, **DWWS** dengue with warning signs, **SD** severe dengue, **HCT** haematocrit

*Case definition of severe dengue used in the meta-analysis is shaded in grey*
screening process was conducted by two independent reviewers (TT and RKA) and any discrepancies during the selection of studies were resolved through discussion and consensus following independent evaluation by another author (KW).

The same two reviewers (TT and RKA) extracted the data of the eligible articles. Differences in the extracted data were resolved by consensus between the reviewers. The following information was extracted: name of the first author, WHO dengue case classification type (guideline 1997 or 2009), country name, recruitment time, study design/size, study population (children, adults or mixed), median/mean age, infection type (primary or secondary), warning signs (i.e., abdominal pain, persistent vomiting, clinical fluid accumulation, mucosal bleed, lethargy, liver enlargement, and increase in haematocrit with a concurrent decrease in platelets), co-morbidities (i.e., asthma, COPD, CVD, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, cancer, sickle cell disease), and the severity of disease (severe and non-severe dengue). When available, adjusted estimates were extracted, otherwise unadjusted estimates were calculated.

Quality assessment

The quality of the studies was assessed using the Methodologic AI STandards for Epidemiological Research (MASTER) scale [13]. This scale has 36 bias safeguards that were categorized into seven methodological standards or equivalence [13]. These standards reflect initial and ongoing equivalence in equal recruitment, equal retention, equal ascertainment, equal implementation, equal diagnosis, sufficient analysis and temporal precedence. The studies were rated as “1” or “0” depending on the presence or absence of each of these safeguard items. Safeguards not relevant to the studies were rated “0”. Similar to the screening and data extraction process, two independent reviewers (TT & RKA) conducted the assessment and any discrepancies were resolved by the consensus and involvement of another author (KW).

Data analysis

The pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) comparing severe and non-severe dengue for each predictor was estimated using the inverse variance heterogeneity (IVhet) model [14]. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Cochran Q and the I² test statistics. Levels of heterogeneity were categorized according to the I² index as low (< 25%), low to moderate (25% to < 50%), moderate to high (50% to < 75%) or high (≥ 75%). The same Cochran Q statistic was used to assess heterogeneity in the sub-group analysis.

Sub-group analyses were conducted to compare the differential effect based on (1) WHO dengue case classification of disease severity (1997 vs 2009), and (2) children and adults to identify risk factors specific to an age group. We defined participants under the age of 20 years as children and as adults otherwise. This classification was based on the definition in the studies, with some studies reporting 19 years as children. Studies reporting only children or adults were excluded from the age predictor analysis. A minimum of four studies per strata was required for sub-group analysis.

For sensitivity analysis, a bias-adjusted (quality-effect model) meta-analysis was performed using the score generated from the MASTER Scale. The scores of all safeguards generated as described above were added and converted into a relative rank between 0 and 1 by dividing the cumulative score of each study by the highest score. We included these quality ranks into the model to estimate bias-adjusted pooled effect sizes as a sensitivity analysis [15].

The publication bias was assessed using the Doi plot and LFK index bias [16]. LFK values beyond ±1 were considered to be indicative of asymmetry and suggest the presence of publication bias [16]. The analysis was performed in the statistical program Stata 16 (College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC) using metan and lfk modules.

Results

Literature search

A total of 13 090 records were retrieved from the initial search. After removing 3629 duplicates, 9461 records were screened by titles and abstracts. Subsequently, 501 articles were included for full-text review, of which 143 articles remained and were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis (Fig. 1). Studies included in this study are presented in Additional file 3.

Characteristics of the studies

Included studies were reported from the WHO regions as follows: South-East Asia (n = 74, 51.8%), Western Pacific (n = 34, 23.1%), Americas (n = 26, 18.2%), Eastern Mediterranean (n = 7, 4.9%), Europe (n = 2, 1.4%) and Africa (n = 1, 0.7%), respectively. Most of the studies were cross-sectional (n = 81, 56.6%) followed by cohort (n = 36, 25.2%) and case–control studies (n = 26, 18.2%). In 59 studies, only children were included, while 36 studies reported only adults, both children and adults were reported in 47 studies, and one study did not provide information on the age of the participants. Dengue severity was classified using the WHO 2009 dengue case classification in 85 (59.4%) studies, while the rest used the WHO 1997 dengue case classification (Table 2).

Socio-demographic predictors including sex, age and primary/secondary infection variables were reported in 114, 87, and 29 studies, respectively. Diabetes was the
most reported co-morbidity in 10 studies, followed by hypertension in nine studies, obesity in five studies, and one each of CVD and renal disease in four studies. Other co-morbidities including asthma, pulmonary disease or sickle cell disease were not adequately reported to be analysed further. Finally, warning signs of severe dengue were reported as follows: abdominal pain \((n=55\) studies), vomiting \((n=53\) studies), enlarged liver size \((n=47\) studies), pleural effusion \((n=25\) studies), ascites \((n=22\) studies), gum bleeding \((n=12\) studies), epistaxis \((n=11\) studies), lethargy \((n=10\) studies), melena \((n=9\) studies), increase in haematocrit with concurrent decrease in platelet count \((n=7\) studies), gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding \((n=5\) studies), hematemesis \((n=5\) studies) and skin bleeding \((n=4\) studies).

**Quality of the studies**

The quality of the studies was assessed against each of the 36 safeguard items. Accordingly, the studies met all the pre-defined eligibility criteria and were from the same population and timeframe. Similarly, the attrition rate and missing values were either below 20% or non-existent in 143 studies. The procedures for data collection of covariates and outcomes were reliable and objective in 142 studies. Overall, the least deficient standards across studies were equal prognosis (88.6%), equal implementation (64.6%) and equal retention (59.4%). Temporal precedence was the most deficient standard across the studies (1.5%) (Additional file 4). This might be because most of the studies included in the review used cross-sectional designs where there is no temporal dimension.
Quantitative analysis

Demographic characteristics

Children were positively associated with the development of severe disease as compared to adults ($OR = 1.96$, 95% $CI$: 1.22–3.13). Progression to severe dengue did not show a significant difference by sex ($OR = 1.20$, 95% $CI$: 0.79–1.82). Secondary dengue infection was found to be significantly associated with the development of severe disease ($OR = 3.23$, 95% $CI$: 2.28–4.57) (Table 3).

Secondary dengue infection was found to be significantly associated with the development of severe disease ($OR = 3.23$, 95% $CI$: 2.28–4.57) (Table 3). The definition of warning signs varied across the studies. Only one study defined abdominal pain as severe enough to warrant medical attention [17]. Persistent vomiting was defined in four ways: vomiting with signs of dehydration [18–20], ≥ 2 episodes of vomiting associated with fatigue or requiring intravenous fluid [17], at least six episodes of vomiting in 24 h [21] or vomiting during ≥ 2 consecutive days [22].

### Table 2
Characteristics of the studies included to assess the demographic characteristics, co-morbidities and clinical warning signs of severe dengue

| Parameters                        | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| WHO Classification type           |               |                |
| 1997                              | 58            | 40.6           |
| 2009                              | 85            | 59.4           |
| WHO region                        |               |                |
| South-East Asia                   | 74            | 51.8           |
| Western Pacific                   | 33            | 23.1           |
| American                          | 26            | 18.2           |
| Eastern Mediterranean             | 7             | 4.9            |
| European                          | 2             | 1.4            |
| Africa                            | 1             | 0.7            |
| Study design                      |               |                |
| Case control                      | 26            | 18.2           |
| Cohort                            | 36            | 25.2           |
| Cross-sectional                   | 81            | 56.6           |
| Study population                  |               |                |
| Children                          | 59            | 41.3           |
| Adults                            | 36            | 25.2           |
| Mixed                             | 47            | 32.9           |
| No information                    | 1             | 0.7            |
| Recruitment year                  |               |                |
| 1990–2010                         | 78            | 54.6           |
| 2011–2019                         | 64            | 44.8           |
| No information                    | 1             | 0.7            |
| Laboratory diagnosis              |               |                |
| ELISA                             | 96            | 67.1           |
| PCR                               | 49            | 34.3           |
| RDT                               | 27            | 18.9           |
| HI                                | 10            | 7.0            |
| Viral culture                     | 4             | 2.8            |
| Immunohistochemistry              | 2             | 1.4            |
| Immunofluorescence assay          | 1             | 0.7            |
| Neutralization test               | 1             | 0.7            |
| Dotblot immuno assay              | 1             | 0.7            |
| No information                    | 13            | 9.1            |

### Table 3
Pooled estimates of odds ratio and corresponding 95% confidence intervals of patient demographic characteristics and severe dengue

| Predictors                        | Number of studies | Pooled OR (95% CI) | Heterogeneity test |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Demography                        |                   |                   |                    |
| Children                          | 22                | 1.96 (1.22–3.13)   | 90.00 < 0.001      |
| Female                            | 114               | 1.20 (0.79–1.82)   | 80.3 < 0.001       |
| Secondary infection               | 29                | 3.23 (2.28–4.57)   | 33.20 0.044        |
| Co-morbidities                    |                   |                   |                    |
| Diabetes                          | 10                | 2.88 (1.72–4.81)   | 40.9 0.085         |
| Cardiovascular disease            | 4                 | 2.27 (0.38–13.71)  | 70.8 0.016         |
| Obesity                           | 5                 | 0.76 (0.41–1.40)   | 32.9 0.202         |
| Renal disease                     | 4                 | 4.54 (1.55–13.31)  | 45.1 0.162         |
| Hypertension                      | 9                 | 1.82 (0.98–3.37)   | 63.1 0.006         |
| Warning signs                      |                   |                   |                    |
| ↑Hct & ↓Plt*                      | 7                 | 5.13 (1.61–16.34)  | 88.1 < 0.001       |
| Abdominal pain                    | 55                | 2.00 (1.49–2.68)   | 70.9 < 0.001       |
| Vomiting                          | 53                | 1.80 (1.43–2.26)   | 62.8 < 0.001       |
| Lethargy                          | 10                | 2.73 (1.05–7.10)   | 85.1 < 0.001       |
| Hepatomegaly                      | 47                | 5.92 (3.29–10.65)  | 89.3 < 0.001       |
| Ascites                           | 22                | 6.30 (3.75–10.60)  | 67.7 < 0.001       |
| Pleural effusion                  | 25                | 5.72 (3.24–10.10)  | 76.3 < 0.001       |
| Gum bleeding                      | 12                | 2.00 (0.86–4.66)   | 56.4 0.008         |
| Epistaxis                         | 11                | 1.85 (0.72–4.70)   | 64.4 0.002         |
| Hematemesis                       | 5                 | 12.35 (4.97–30.72) | 52 0.080           |
| Melena                            | 9                 | 4.05 (1.64–10.00)  | 78.1 < 0.001       |
| Skin bleeding                     | 4                 | 1.38 (0.47–4.06)   | 73.5 0.010         |
| GI bleeding                       | 5                 | 0.46 (0.25–0.83)   | 78.4 < 0.001       |

*Increase in haematocrit values with concurrent decrease in platelet count

OR odd ratio, CI confidence interval

Co-morbidities

Diabetes ($OR = 2.88$, 95% $CI$: 1.72–4.81) and renal disease(s) ($OR = 4.85$, 95% $CI$: 1.08–21.66) were associated with severe dengue. However, other co-morbidities including hypertension ($OR = 1.82$, 95% $CI$: 0.98–3.37), CVD ($OR = 2.27$, 95% $CI$: 0.38–13.71), and obesity ($OR = 0.76$, 95% $CI$: 0.41–1.40) were not significantly associated with the severe disease (Table 3).

Warning signs

The definition of warning signs varied across the studies. Only one study defined abdominal pain as severe enough to warrant medical attention [17]. Persistent vomiting was defined in four ways: vomiting with signs of dehydration [18–20], ≥ 2 episodes of vomiting associated with fatigue or requiring intravenous fluid [17], at least six episodes of vomiting in 24 h [21] or vomiting during ≥ 2 consecutive days [22]. Similarly, liver
enlargement was defined as > 2 cm in the midclavicular line in three studies [23–25]. No studies provided a definition of lethargy.

Progression to severe dengue was associated with a concurrent increase in haematocrit and decrease in platelet count compared to normal values \((OR = 5.13, 95\% CI: 1.61–16.34)\), abdominal pain \((OR = 2.00, 95\% CI: 1.49–2.68)\), lethargy \((OR = 2.73, 95\% CI: 1.05–7.09)\), vomiting \((OR = 1.80, 95\% CI: 1.43–2.26)\) and enlarged liver \((OR = 5.92, 95\% CI: 3.29–10.65)\) (Table 3).

Studies have used different definitions for mucosal bleeding and clinical fluid accumulation. Some studies used specific conditions like epistaxis [26, 27] or gum bleeding [28, 29] to refer to mucosal bleeding, while others have grouped them as mucosal bleeding [30, 31]. Similarly, clinical fluid accumulation was defined as ascites [24, 32] or pleural effusion or combined as clinical fluid accumulation [17, 33]. Here, we presented only specific conditions rather than the grouped variable. In terms of clinical fluid accumulation, both ascites \((OR = 6.94, 95\% CI: 3.75–10.60)\) and pleural effusion \((OR = 5.72, 95\% CI: 3.24–10.10)\) were significantly associated with severe dengue. In terms of mucosal bleeding, hematemesis \((OR = 12.35, 95\% CI: 4.97–30.72)\) was significantly associated, while gum bleeding \((OR = 2.00, 95\% CI: 0.86–4.66)\) and epistaxis \((OR = 1.85, 95\% CI: 0.72–4.70)\) were not significantly associated with severe dengue. In addition, GI bleeding \((OR = 9.49, 95\% CI: 2.75–32.70)\) and melena \((OR = 4.05, 95\% CI: 1.69–10.00)\) were also found to be positively associated with severe disease (Table 3). The forest plots are presented in additional file 5.

**Subgroup analysis**

All predictors that were significantly associated in the main analysis also showed similar results in the stratified analysis using the WHO 1997 and 2009 dengue case classifications. These included age groups, secondary infection, abdominal pain, vomiting, enlarged liver size, ascites, pleural effusion, hematemesis and melena. Similar to the main analysis, sex, epistaxis and gum bleeding were not significant in the stratified analysis (Additional file 6).

In the subgroup analysis by age, only adult females were significantly associated with severe dengue (relative to adult males, \(OR = 2.12, 95\% CI: 1.13–3.97\)) (Additional file 7). Due to a limited number of studies, sub-group analysis could not be performed for all predictors in the co-morbidities category, GI bleeding, and increase in haematocrit values with a concurrent decrease in platelet count.

**Sensitivity analysis**

In the sensitivity analysis, when using the quality effects model, all pooled estimates were found to be consistent with the main analysis (Additional file 8).

**Publication bias**

The Doi plot and LFK index revealed major asymmetries for the estimates of age group \((LFK = 3.83)\), CVD \((LFK = 2.92)\), renal disease(s) \((LFK = -3.13)\), hypertension \((LFK = 5.05)\), vomiting \((LFK = 1.94)\), lethargy \((LFK = 3.7)\), gum bleeding \((LFK = 2.04)\), melena \((LFK = 2.4)\), skin \((LFK = 5.47)\) and GI bleeding \((LFK = -2.05)\). A moderate to high heterogeneity of the studies might have accounted for asymmetries in these estimates (Table 3 and Additional file 9).

**Discussion**

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we found that the main predictors for severe dengue were being a child, secondary dengue infection, pre-existing co-morbidities [i.e., diabetes and renal disease(s)] and the presence of warning signs (i.e., increase in haematocrit with concurrent decrease in platelet count, abdominal pain, lethargy, vomiting, hepatomegaly, ascites, pleural effusion and melena). Most of these studies were reported from countries in the WHO-South-East Asia region.

Although there has been a shift in the incidence of DF towards older age groups [34], severe dengue continues to be an important cause of significant morbidity and mortality in children since it was first reported in the 1950s in South-East Asia [35]. Previous studies have demonstrated an increased risk of severe dengue or dengue shock syndrome in children and these conditions have been known to be common causes of hospitalization and mortality in tropical regions [36, 37]. The risk of severe dengue can be explained by greater vascular permeability in children [38]. Dengue shock results from a sudden generalized increase in microvascular permeability with less microvascular reserve to accommodate extraneous factors [38]. Therefore, clinicians should pay special attention to children in recognizing the severity of the disease and providing appropriate interventions on time. Such a strong positive association of severe disease with children also supports the delivery of future vaccines and therapeutics to pre-school and school-going children to achieve the greatest impact on disease burden.

Similar to the other reported studies [39], we found a strong association between secondary dengue infection and severe dengue. This pathogenesis might be related to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) in secondary infection with a different DENV serotype, where the pre-existing heterotypic antibodies bind to form immune
complexes with virions without neutralizing it [40]. These virus-immune complexes facilitate virus entry and enhanced virus replication in the FcγR (fragment crystallizable gamma receptors)-bearing cells, such as monocytes, dendritic cells and macrophages. The internalized DENV particles then initiate an immune cascade which results in the evasion of innate immunity, such as the inhibition of type-1 interferon, and subsequently leads to vascular leakage and severe disease [40, 41]. Further, cytokine levels are also assumed to be elevated in secondary dengue infection [42]. Cytokines like vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) facilitate chemotaxis by mediating the adhesion of lymphocytes and cells of the innate immune system to the vascular endothelium [43]. Other cytokines such as vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) enhance vascular permeability and activate the coagulation system by upregulating the production of tissue factors [44, 45]. Finally, biosynthesis of other pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukins (IL-6, IL-7, IL-8 and IL-10) facilitates an increased synthesis of DENV RNA (ribonucleic acid) and suppresses the host mediated and adaptive immune responses [41, 46]. However, it is important to note that the severity may be affected by certain DENV serotypes; the other meta-analysis study reported severe disease in secondary infection with DENV-2, 3 and 4 [39]. To provide accurate management of dengue, clinicians should rely on tests that detect both recent and past infections.

Our study also found a significantly higher risk of severe dengue due to pre-existing co-morbidities like diabetes and renal disease. This finding supports the need for hospitalization and monitoring of dengue patients with pre-existing co-morbidities [10]. Although no clear mechanism was postulated, in diabetes, patients with suboptimal glycaemic control (HbA1c ≥ 7%) were found to be strongly associated with severe dengue than were patients with adequate glycaemic control and without other co-morbidities [47]. In advanced diabetes, micro and macro-vascular functions are impaired, which might lead to increased plasma leakage and subsequently progress to severe dengue [48, 49]. In chronic kidney disease(s), pro-inflammatory cytokines are markedly elevated, which might cause vascular injury in dengue virus infection [50]. In addition, the uraemia associated with kidney disease induces endothelium dysfunction and contributes to greater vascular damage with dengue infection [51].

Patients with warning signs have to be admitted into the hospital for close monitoring and intravenous fluid therapy administration [10]. These interventions can reduce the frequency of patients progressing to severe dengue and deaths. However, none of the studies so far have comprehensively studied all warning signs identified by the WHO. Some studies [52] used thrombocytopenia and elevated thrombocytopenia separately to assess the risk of developing severe disease. However, these parameters have to be interpreted with other concurrent laboratory results. For example, an increase in haematocrit with a concurrent decrease in platelet count is an important warning sign.

As expected, our study found all warning signs to be positively associated with severe dengue excepting cutaneous and mucosal bleeding (epistaxis and gum bleeding). Notably, gastrointestinal bleeding/melena was significantly associated with severe disease. A previous study on the clinical predictors of severe dengue also found similar findings [53]. Similar to a previously published study [52], fluid accumulation, vomiting and abdominal pain was found to be positively associated with the severe disease in this study. In addition, lethargy, abdominal pain, vomiting and hepatomegaly were strongly associated with an increase in haematocrit with a concurrent decrease in platelet count. None of the meta-analyses in the past have pooled this estimate, possibly due to a low number of studies.

The findings of this study should be interpreted in the context of some limitations. We were not able to consider the role of viremia, dengue virus serotypes, genetic, biomarker and other clinical parameters besides warning signs as predictors of severe dengue. Second, we were unable to analyse different co-morbidities such as sickle cell disease and bleeding disorders despite our broad search strategy. These disorders could affect the progression to severe disease and possible outcomes. Third, there was inconsistent reporting of heart diseases which made it difficult to assess these conditions individually as potential predictors, rather we combined different heart conditions into a single group. Fourth, many studies did not report adjusted effect sizes, and we based our pooled result on crude effect sizes. These might have overestimated the pooled effect sizes due to potential confounders. This is of particular concern with smaller studies and therefore our results need to be interpreted with caution. Fifth, limiting papers published in English might have influenced the precision of the pooled estimates. Importantly, most of the studies were from the South-East Asia region and the Pacific region, which bears more than 75% of the global burden of dengue. Sixth, we did not include biomarkers of severe dengue. Finally, we found both heterogeneity and publication bias in the included studies. These might be related to variations in study design, sample sizes, recruitment processes and exposure/outcome measurement across different studies. However, we conducted subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis to account for these variations and tested the robustness of our results.
Conclusions
Our meta-analysis identified children, secondary infection, diabetes and renal disease(s) as important predictors of severe dengue. We also confirmed the predictive ability of all warning signs of severe dengue identified by the WHO. All warning signs were significantly associated with severe disease excepting mucosal and cutaneous bleeding. The knowledge generated from this study will help clinicians to identify early warning signals of severe dengue leading to timely interventions of dengue cases. Future studies using novel biomarkers and point of care methods including ultrasonography will be useful in predicting the onset of severe dengue.
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