CONJUGACY CLASSES OF $n$-TUPLES IN SEMI-SIMPLE JORDAN ALGEBRAS
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Abstract. Let $J$ be a finite-dimensional semi-simple Jordan algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. In this article, the diagonal action of the automorphism group of $J$ on the $n$-fold product $J \times \ldots \times J$ is studied. In particular, it is shown that the orbit through an $n$-tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is closed if and only if the Jordan subalgebra generated by the elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is semi-simple.

1. Introduction

Let $J$ be a finite-dimensional semi-simple Jordan algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Denote by $\text{Aut}(J)$ the automorphism group of $J$, which naturally acts on $J$. In this article, geometric properties of the diagonal action of $\text{Aut}(J)$ on the $n$-fold product of $J \times \ldots \times J$ are studied. In particular, an algebraic characterization of the closed orbits is given.

In the case of a reductive linear algebraic group $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, R. W. Richardson studied geometric properties of the diagonal action of $G$ on the $n$-fold product of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, see [Ric88]. In particular, he showed that the orbit through an $n$-tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is closed if and only if the Lie algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, the closure of $G \cdot x$ contains 0 precisely if $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are contained in a nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which consists of nilpotent elements. These results obtained by R. W. Richardson generalize the results in the case $n = 1$, i.e. the adjoint action of a reductive linear algebraic group on its Lie algebra. There, an orbit through an element $x$ is closed if and only if the element $x$ is semi-simple. Furthermore, if $x = x_s + x_n$ is the decomposition of $x$ into its semi-simple and nilpotent part, then the orbit through $x_s$ is the unique closed orbit in the closure of the orbit through $x$.

Similar results can be obtained in the case of Jordan algebras:

Theorem 1.1 (see Section 6). Let $J$ be a semi-simple Jordan algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, with automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J)$. Consider the diagonal action of $\text{Aut}(J)$ on the $n$-fold product $J \times \ldots \times J$, and let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J$. Then:

1. The $\text{Aut}(J)$-orbit through the $n$-tuple $x$ is closed if and only if the subalgebra $A(x)$ generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is semi-simple.

2. The closure of the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$ contains 0 if and only if $A(x)$ is solvable.

Moreover, the closed orbit in the closure of an orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$ can be described in the following way:

Theorem 1.2 (see Section 7). Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J$, and let $A(x)$ be the subalgebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Moreover, let $R(x)$ be the radical of $A(x)$, $S(x) \subseteq A(x)$ a semi-simple subalgebra with $A(x) = S(x) + R(x)$, and $s_j \in S(x)$, $r_j \in R(x)$ such that
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The dimension of the vector space $J$ then for any $x \in X$ exists and is contained in the unique closed orbit in the closure of $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$.

The methods to prove the above statements are similar to those used by R.W. Richardson in [Ric88] in the case of Lie groups and Lie algebras.

The key ingredient for the proof is the existence of appropriate one-parameter subgroups. Firstly, it is needed that if a reductive linear algebraic group $G$ acts on an affine variety $X$, then for any $x \in X$ there exists a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{K}^* \to G$ such that $\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x$ exists and is contained in the unique closed orbit in the closure of the orbit $G \cdot x$ (see [Bir71]).

Secondly, the following proposition on the existence of one-parameter subgroups in the automorphism group of a semi-simple Jordan algebra $J$ satisfying suitable properties with respect to subalgebras of $J$ is needed:

**Proposition 1.3** (see Section 6). Let $J$ be a semi-simple Jordan algebra. Let $A$ be a subalgebra of $J$, $R$ the radical of $A$, and let $S$ be a semi-simple subalgebra such that $A = S + R$. Then there exists a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{K}^* \to \text{Aut}(J)$ such that

$$A \subseteq J_{\geq 0}(\lambda),$$

$$S \subseteq J_0(\lambda),$$

$$R \subseteq J_{>0}(\lambda),$$

where $J_k(\lambda) = \{ z \in J \mid \lambda(t) \cdot z = t^k z \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{K}^* \}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} J_k(\lambda)$, and $J_{>0}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{k > 0} J_k(\lambda)$.

To prove this proposition, the structure of the Lie subalgebra

$$[A, A] \oplus A = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l [L(a_i), L(b_i)] + L(a) \mid a_i, b_i, a \in A \right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(J)$$

is studied, where $L(z)$ denotes the left-multiplication $J \to J$ with $z$ for any $z \in J$. Then, a result on the existence of one-parameter subgroups in Lie algebra invariant under an involution is applied (see [Ric88], Proposition 12.4).
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2. Basic definitions

In the following, a few basic definitions related to Jordan algebras are recalled, see also e.g. [BK66] and [FK94].

**Definition 2.1** (Jordan algebra). A Jordan algebra is a vector space $J$ (over a field $\mathbb{K}$) together with a bilinear map $J \times J \to J$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \circ y$, satisfying

(i) $x \circ y = y \circ x$ and
(ii) $x \circ (x^2 \circ y) = x^2 \circ (x \circ y)$

for all $x, y \in J$.

For any $x \in V$ let $L(x) : J \to J$ denote the linear map defined by $L(x)(y) = x \circ y$.

The second property in the definition of a Jordan algebra is equivalent to requiring that $L(x)$ and $L(x^2)$ commute for any $x \in J$, i.e. $[L(x), L(x^2)] = 0$.

In the following, we will assume the field $\mathbb{K}$ to have characteristic $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Moreover, the dimension of the vector space $J$ of a Jordan algebra will be assumed to be finite.
Example 2.2. If $A$ is any associative algebra (over $\mathbb{K}$), then a Jordan algebra structure on $A$ can be defined by setting

$$ x \circ y = \frac{1}{2} (xy + yx). $$

A Jordan algebra $J$ is called special if there exists a finite-dimensional associative algebra $A$ such that $J$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of the Jordan algebra $(A, \circ)$.

Definition 2.3. A derivation of a Jordan algebra $J$ is a linear transformation $D : J \to J$ such that $D(x \circ y) = Dx \circ y + x \circ Dy$ for all $x, y \in J$, which is equivalent to $[D, L(x)] = L(Dx)$ for any $x \in J$.

Let $\text{Der}(J)$ denote the set of derivations of $J$. This is a Lie algebra with respect to the usual bracket $[D_1, D_2] = D_1D_2 - D_2D_1$.

If $x, y \in J$, then the commutator $[L(x), L(y)] : J \to J$ is a derivation of $J$ (see e.g. [FK94], Proposition II.4.1).

Definition 2.4. A derivation $D$ of a Jordan algebra $J$ is called inner if there are elements $x_i, y_i, i = 1, \ldots, l$, such that $D = \sum_{i=1}^l [L(x_i), L(y_i)]$.

Definition 2.5 (quadratic representation). Let $J$ be Jordan algebra. For any $x \in J$ define a linear map $P(x) : J \to J$, $P(x) = 2L(x)^2 - L(x^2)$. The assignment $P : J \to \text{End}(J)$, $x \mapsto P(x)$, is called the quadratic representation of $J$.

3. Structure Theory of Jordan Algebras

In this section, facts about the structure theory of Jordan algebras, which will be needed later, are collected. For more details see [Alb47] and [Jac51].

Using the results of [Alb47] on the structure theory of Jordan algebras, the radical of a Jordan algebra can be defined as follows:

Definition 3.1. The radical of a Jordan algebra $J$ is the greatest ideal of $J$ consisting of nilpotent elements.

Definition 3.2. A Jordan algebra whose radical vanishes is called semi-simple. It is called solvable if it equals its own radical.

Definition 3.3. Let $J$ be a Jordan algebra. Define the trace form $\tau = \tau_J$ on $J$ by setting $\tau(x, y) = \text{Tr}(L(x \circ y))$ for any $x, y \in J$.

Definition 3.4. An inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a Jordan algebra $J$ is called associative if $(x \circ y, z) = (x, y \circ z)$ for all $x, y, z \in J$. This is equivalent to requiring that each left multiplication $L(x)$, $x \in J$, is symmetric with respect to the inner product.

Remark 3.5 (c.f. Proposition II.4.3 in [FK94]). The trace form $\tau$ on a Jordan algebra $J$ is an associative symmetric bilinear form, since

$$ \tau(x \circ z, y) - \tau(x, z \circ y) = \text{Tr}(L((x \circ z) \circ y - x \circ (z \circ y))) = \text{Tr}([[L(y), L(x)], L(z)]) = 0. $$

Theorem 3.6 (see [Alb47], § 8). A Jordan algebra $J$ is semi-simple if and only if its trace form $\tau_J$ is non-degenerate.

Theorem 3.7 (see e.g. [Koe99], Chapter III, Theorem 10). A Jordan algebra $J$ containing an identity element $e$ is semi-simple if there exists a non-degenerate associative symmetric bilinear form on $J$.

Proposition 3.8 (see [Jac51], Theorem 9.2). Let $J$ be a Jordan algebra, and $S$ a semi-simple subalgebra of $J$. Then any derivation $D : S \to J$ can be extended to an inner derivation of $J$, i.e. there exist elements $a_i, b_i \in J$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, such that $D = \sum_{i=1}^l [L(a_i), L(b_i)]$. 

Definition 3.9. Let $J$ be a Jordan algebra. Two subalgebras $A_1$ and $A_2$ of $J$ are called strictly conjugate if there exists nilpotent derivations $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ of $V$ such that
\[
(\exp(D_1) \circ \ldots \circ \exp(D_k))(A_1) = A_2.
\]

For Jordan algebras, there is an analogue of the Levi-Malcev theorem for Lie algebras:

Theorem 3.10 (c.f. [Pen51], and [Jac51], Theorem 9.3). Let $J$ be a Jordan algebra (over an algebraically closed field) with radical $R$. Then there exists a semi-simple subalgebra $S$ of $J$ such that $J = S \oplus R$ as vector spaces, $S \cap R = 0$, and $J/R \cong S$, i.e. $J$ is the semi-direct product of $S$ with $R$.

If $S'$ is any semi-simple subalgebra of $J$, then $S'$ is strictly conjugate to a subalgebra of $S$. Moreover, the automorphism mapping $S'$ onto a subalgebra of $S$ can be chosen to be of the form $\exp(D)$ for an inner nilpotent derivation $D$ of $J$ with
\[
D = \sum_{i=1}^l [L(z_i), L(r_i)], \quad z_i \in J, r_i \in R.
\]

Remark 3.11. If $J = S + R$ is a Jordan algebra with radical $R$ and $S \subseteq J$ semi-simple, then any derivation of the form $D = \sum_{i=1}^l [L(z_i), L(r_i)], \quad z_i \in J, r_i \in R$ is nilpotent.

For any ideal $A \subseteq J$ define $A^{(1)} = A$, $A^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}A^{(k-1)} = \{ \sum a_i b_i | a_i, b_i \in A^{(k-1)} \}$. Since $R$ is the radical of $J$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $R^{(n)} = \{0\}$. The chain $A = A^{(1)} \supseteq A^{(2)} \supseteq \ldots$ is a chain of subalgebras of $J$, but $A^{(k)}$ is in general not an ideal in $J$ due to the non-associativity of $J$. Therefore, one cannot expect to have $D(R^{(k)}) \subseteq R^{(k+1)}$ in general, and the nilpotence of $D$ does not directly follow from the existence of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $R^{(n)} = \{0\}$.

For a proof of the nilpotence of $D$ see e.g. Corollary 8.4 in [Jac51].

4. Automorphism and Structure Group

Definition 4.1. Define the automorphism group of a Jordan algebra $J$ to be $\text{Aut}(J) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(J) | g(x \circ y) = (gx) \circ (gy) \text{ for all } x, y \in J \}$.

The automorphism group of any Jordan algebra $J$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}(J)$.

Remark 4.2. The Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(J)$ is the Lie algebra $\text{Der}(J)$ of derivations.

Moreover, in the case of a semi-simple Jordan algebra all derivations are inner.

Definition 4.3. Let $J$ be a semi-simple Jordan algebra with trace form $\tau$. For any invertible linear transformation $g \in \text{GL}(J)$, let $^tg$ denote the transpose of $g$ with respect to $\tau$. Define the structure group $\text{Str}(J)$ of $J$ to be $\text{Str}(J) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(J) | P(gx) = gP(x)^tg \text{ for any } x \in J \}$, and let $\text{str}(J)$ denote its Lie algebra.

Remark 4.4. If $J$ is semi-simple, then $\text{Aut}(J)$ and $\text{Str}(J)$ are reductive subgroups of $\text{GL}(J)$, see e.g. [Jac58], Chapter VIII, Theorem 3.

Remark 4.5. For the Lie algebra $\text{str}(J)$ of the structure group $J$ we have
\[
\text{str}(J) = \text{Der}(J) \oplus J
\]
since every element $X \in \text{str}(J)$ can be written uniquely as $X = D + L(a)$, where $D \in \text{Der}(J)$ and $a \in J$ (see e.g. [FK91], Proposition VIII.2.6). The Lie algebra structure on $\text{Der}(J) \oplus J$ is thus given by
\[
[D_1 + a_1, D_2 + a_2] = ([D_1, D_2] + [L(a_1), L(a_2)]) + (D_1(a_2) - D_2(a_1))
\]
for $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(J)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in J.$
Remark 5.2. For any one-parameter subgroup \( \lambda \) following subspaces of \( \mathfrak{h} \) non-degenerate associative symmetric bilinear form and the identity \( \text{GL}(\mathfrak{h}) \) decomposition \( \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x = y \in Y \).

Let \( J \) be a semi-simple Jordan algebra and let \( \lambda : \mathbb{K}^\times \to \text{Aut}(J) \) be a one-parameter subgroup. As the irreducible representations of \( \mathbb{K}^\times \) are given by \( t \mapsto t^k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have the decomposition

\[ J = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J_k(\lambda), \]

where \( J_k(\lambda) = \{ z \in J \mid \lambda(t) \cdot z = t^k z \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{K}^\times \} \). This defines a grading of the Jordan algebra \( J \) in the sense that \( J_k(\lambda) \circ J_l(\lambda) \subseteq J_{k+l}(\lambda) \). We set

\[ J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} J_k(\lambda) = \{ z \in J \mid \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z \text{ exists} \}, \]

\[ J_0(\lambda) = \{ z \in J \mid \lambda(t) \cdot z = z \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{K}^\times \}, \]

and

\[ J_{> 0}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{k > 0} J_k(\lambda) = \{ z \in J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) \mid \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z = 0 \}. \]

Remark 5.2. Note that the subspace \( J_{\geq 0}(\lambda), J_{> 0}(\lambda) \), and \( J_0(\lambda) \) are subalgebras of \( J \).

The subalgebra \( J_0(\lambda) \) is semi-simple since the trace form \( \tau_J \) of \( J \) restricted to \( J_0(\lambda) \) is a non-degenerate associative symmetric bilinear form and the identity \( e \) is contained in \( J_0(\lambda) \).

Furthermore, \( J_{> 0}(\lambda) \) is the radical of \( J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) \) and \( J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) \) is the semi-direct product of \( J_0(\lambda) \) and \( J_{> 0}(\lambda) \).

Definition 5.3. For any one-parameter subgroup \( \lambda : \mathbb{K}^\times \to \text{Aut}(J) \), define moreover the following subspaces of \( \mathfrak{h} = \text{str}(J) = \text{Der}(J) \oplus J \):
\[ p(\lambda) = h_{\geq 0}(\lambda) = \{ X \in h \mid \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot X \text{ exits} \} \]
\[ h^\lambda = h_0(\lambda) = \{ X \in h \mid \lambda(t) \cdot X = X \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{K}^* \} \]
\[ u(\lambda) = h_{> 0}(\lambda) = \{ X \in p(\lambda) \mid \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot X = 0 \} \]

6. Closed orbits of the automorphism group

In the following, let \( J \) denote a semi-simple Jordan algebra over \( \mathbb{K} \), where \( \mathbb{K} \) is algebraically closed.

The automorphism group \( \text{Aut}(J) \) acts diagonally on the \( n \)-fold product \( J \times \cdots \times J \) of \( J \):
\[ \text{Aut}(J) \times (J \times \cdots \times J) \to J, \ g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (gx_1, \ldots, gx_n). \]
The goal is now to characterize the closed orbits in \( J \times \cdots \times J \) under this action. An \( \text{Aut}(J) \)-orbit through an \( n \)-tuple \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is closed if and only if the orbit of the connected group \( G = \text{Aut}(J)^o \) through \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is closed since \( \text{Aut}(J) \) is an algebraic subgroup of \( \text{GL}(J) \) and hence has finitely many connected components.

Let \( H \) denote the connected component of the structure group \( \text{Str}(J) \) of \( J \), and \( h = \mathfrak{str}(J) \) its Lie algebra.

**Definition 6.1.** For any \( n \)-tuple \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) in \( J \times \cdots \times J \), define \( A(x) \) to be the subalgebra of \( J \) generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). Moreover, let \( l(x) \) be the Lie subalgebra
\[ [A(x), A(x)] \oplus A(x) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} [L(y_i), L(y'_i)] + z \mid y_i, y'_i, z \in A(x) \right\} \subseteq \text{Der}(J) \oplus J = h. \]

**Example 6.2.** Let \( J = \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \). We have \( G = \text{Aut}(J)^o = \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( H = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) where \( G \) and \( H \) act via \( g \cdot X = gXg^{-1} \) for \( X \in \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \).

(i) Let \( n = 2 \), and \( x = \left( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) \). Then \( A(x) = \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \), and \( l(x) = \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \).

Remark that \( A(x) \) is a simple Jordan algebra even though both \( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) and \( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) are nilpotent elements of \( \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \).

(ii) Let \( n = 2 \), and \( x = \left( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) \). Then \( A(x) = \mathbb{C} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) and since
\[ [L \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), L \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)] = 0 \]
we also get \( l(x) = \mathbb{C} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \).

**Definition 6.3.** Let \( G \) be a linear algebraic group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). An algebraic Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{c} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a Lie subalgebra such that there exists an algebraic subgroup \( C \) of \( G \) with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{c} \).

**Definition 6.4.** Let \( G \) be a reductive linear algebraic group, and \( \mathfrak{g} \) its Lie algebra. A Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{c} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \) is called reductive in \( \mathfrak{g} \) if the adjoint representation of \( \mathfrak{g} \) restricted to \( \mathfrak{c} \) is completely reducible.

**Remark 6.5.** A Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g} \) is reductive in \( \mathfrak{g} \) if and only if its algebraic hull, the smallest algebraic Lie subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \) containing \( \mathfrak{c} \), is reductive in \( \mathfrak{g} \); c.f. [Hoc81], § VIII.3.

Define an involution \( \Theta \) on \( H \) by setting
\[ \Theta : H \to H, \ \Theta(h) = t^h - 1, \]
where the transpose \( t^h \) of the element \( h \) is taken with respect to the trace form \( \tau = \tau_J \) of \( J \). This map \( \Theta \) is a group automorphism of \( H \). The differential of \( \Theta : H \to H \) is given by
\[ \theta : h \to \Theta(h) = -^t X. \]
Its fixed point set is exactly $\text{Der}(J)$, which is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J)$, and moreover we have $\theta|_J = -\text{id}_J$. Therefore, the connected component of the fixed point group $H^\theta$ is precisely the connected component $G$ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J)$.

Let $A$ be any subalgebra of $J$ and $A = S + R$ be a Levi decomposition of $A$, where $S$ is a semi-simple subalgebra of $A$ and $R$ is the radical (c.f. Theorem 5.10). Next, the structure of $[A, A] \oplus A = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k [L(y_i), L(y'_i)] + L(z) \mid y_i, y'_i, z \in A \right\}$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{h} = \text{str}(J) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ is examined. Note that $[A, A] \oplus A$ is $\theta$-invariant.

The centre $Z_S$ of $S$ is defined to be the subset of $S$ consisting of the elements which operator-commute with all other elements of $S$ in $S$, i.e. $s \in Z_S$ if and only if the derivation $[L(s), L(t)]$ vanishes (on $S$) for every $t \in S$.

**Remark 6.6.** Let $S = \bigoplus_i S_i$ a decomposition of $S$ into simple subalgebras $S_i$ (c.f. Theorem 11 in [Alb47]). By Satz 5.1, Kapitel I, of [BK66] the centre $Z_{S_i}$ of each simple subalgebra $S_i$ is $\mathbb{K}e_i$, where $e_i$ is the identity element of $S_i$. Therefore, the centre of $S$ is $Z_S = \bigoplus_i Z_{S_i} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{K}e_i$.

The structure Lie algebra $\text{str}(S) \cong \text{Der}(S) \oplus S$ of $S$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{gl}(S)$, all derivations $X \in \text{Der}(S)$ are inner, and its centre is $Z_S$.

Let $S'$ be the subspace of $S$ defined by $S' = \text{Der}(S)(S) = \{ \sum_i [L(s_i), L(t_i)](s) \mid s_i, t_i, s \in S \}$. Since $\text{Der}(S) \oplus S$ is a reductive Lie algebra, its derived algebra $[\text{Der}(S) \oplus S, \text{Der}(S) \oplus S] = \text{Der}(S) \oplus (\text{Der}(S)(S)) = \text{Der}(S) \oplus S'$ is semi-simple and $\text{Der}(S) \oplus S = (\text{Der}(S) \oplus S') \oplus Z_S$, which implies $S = S' \oplus Z_S$, and $\text{Der}(S) = [S' \oplus Z_S, S' \oplus Z_S] = [S', S'] = \{ \sum_i [L(r_i), L(s_i)] \mid r_i, s_i \in S' \}$, we have $Z_S = (Z_S)_{\perp} = \{ z \in J \mid \tau_f(z, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in Z_S \}$.

**Example 6.7.** Let $S = \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then $[\text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C})] \oplus \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $[S, S] \cong \mathfrak{so}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The centre of $S = \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is $Z_S = \mathbb{C} \langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \rangle$, $S' = \{ x \in \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Tr}(x) = 0 \} = \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and $[S', S'] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, $S' \subset S$ is not a subalgebra of the Jordan algebra $S$.

**Lemma 6.8.** The Lie subalgebra $[S, S] \oplus S \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{gl}(J)$.

**Proof.** The structure Lie algebra $\text{str}(S) \cong \text{Der}(S) \oplus S$ of $S \subset J$ is reductive. The inclusion map $S \hookrightarrow J$ extends to an injective homomorphism of Lie algebras $\varphi : \text{str}(S) \hookrightarrow \text{str}(J) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$, where an element $X + s \in \text{Der}(S) \oplus S$, $X = \sum_i [L(r_i), L(s_i)]$, $r_i, s_i \in S$, is mapped to $\sum_i [L(r_i), L(s_i)] + L(s) \in \mathfrak{sl}(J)$, now considered as a transformation of the Jordan algebra $J$ (c.f. [Jac51], Lemma 8.3). The image of $\varphi$ is $[S, S] \oplus S$.

Using the preceding remark, it follows that $[S', S'] \oplus S'$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra. It thus remains to show that the centre $Z$ of $[S, S] \oplus S$ consists of semi-simple elements. If $S = \bigoplus_i S_i$ is again a decomposition of $S$ into simple subalgebras $S_i$, and $e_i$ denotes the identity element of $S_i$, the centre $Z$ is spanned by the left multiplications $L(e_i) \in \mathfrak{gl}(J)$. Since the element $e_i$ is the identity of $S_i$, it is in particular idempotent, i.e. $e_i^2 = e_i$, as an element of $J$. This implies that $L(e_i) : J \rightarrow J$ is diagonalisable and the only possible eigenvalues of $L(e_i)$ are $1, \frac{1}{2}, 0$ (see e.g. [Alb47], § 5, Lemma 5). In particular, the transformation $L(e_i) : J \rightarrow J$ is semi-simple. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 6.9.** The proof of the lemma shows moreover that $[S, S] \oplus S$ is an algebraic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(J)$.

**Remark 6.10.** Using the notion of a representation of a Jordan algebra (see e.g. [Jac51]), the lemma could also be proven in the following way:
Since $S$ is a semi-simple Jordan algebra, the representation $S \to \text{End}(J)$, $x \mapsto L(x)$, is completely reducible (c.f. [Jac51], § 8). Consequently, the representation of $[S, S] \oplus S \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ on $J$ is also completely reducible and thus $[S, S] \oplus S$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{gl}(J)$.

**Lemma 6.11.** The subspace $[A, R] \oplus R$ is a nilpotent ideal in $[A, A] \oplus A \subset \mathfrak{str}(J)$ and is nilpotent on $J$, i.e. there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{ f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m \mid f_i \in [A, R] \oplus R \} = 0$

**Proof.** We have $[A, R] \subset [A, R] \oplus R$ and for $a, b \in A$, $r \in R$ we have $[a, [L(b), L(r)]] = -(b(ra) - r(ba)) \in R$ since $R$ is an ideal in $A$. Therefore, $[A, [A, R] \oplus R] \subset [A, R] \oplus R$ and consequently $[[A, A], [A, R] \oplus R] \subset [A, R] \oplus R$. Hence, $[A, R] \oplus R$ is an ideal in $[A, A] \oplus A$.

To show that $[A, R] \oplus R \subseteq \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{str}(J)$ is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra consisting of nilpotent endomorphisms, similar arguments can be used as are needed to show that a derivation of $A$ of the form $\sum_i [L(a_i), L(r_i)]$, $a_i \in A$, $r_i \in R$, is nilpotent (c.f. Remark 8.11). A proof is given for example in [Jac51], Corollary 8.2. $lacksquare$

As a corollary of the preceding lemmata on the structure of $[A, A] \oplus A$ we get the following:

**Corollary 6.12.** The Lie subalgebra $[A, A] \oplus A \subseteq \mathfrak{str}(J) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ might be written as $[A, A] \oplus A = ([S, S] \oplus S) \oplus ([A, R] \oplus R)$, for $A = S + R$, where again $R$ is the radical of $A$ and $S$ is a Levi factor. The Lie subalgebra $[S, S] \oplus S$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{gl}(J)$ and $[A, R] \oplus R$ is an ideal which is nilpotent on $J$.

**Proposition 6.13.** The Lie subalgebra $[A, A] \oplus A \subseteq \mathfrak{str}(J) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ is algebraic.

**Proof.** As remarked before, the Lie subalgebra $[S, S] \oplus S \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ is algebraic. Moreover, the Lie subalgebra $[A, R] \oplus R \subset \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ is an algebraic Lie subalgebra since it is nilpotent on $J$.

As $[A, A] \oplus A = ([A, R] \oplus R) \oplus ([S, S] \oplus S)$, and both $[A, R] \oplus R$ and $[S, S] \oplus S$ are algebraic, it follows that $[A, A] \oplus A$ is an algebraic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{str}(J) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(J)$ (c.f. [Hoc81], Chapter VIII, Theorem 3.4). $lacksquare$

**Proposition 6.14.** For any subalgebra $A \subseteq J$, $A = S + R$, where $R$ is the radical of $A$ and $S \subseteq A$ is a semi-simple subalgebra, there exists a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{K}^\times \to \text{Aut}(J)$ such that

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \subseteq J_{\geq 0}(\lambda), \\
S & \subseteq J_0(\lambda), \text{ and} \\
R & \subseteq J_{> 0}(\lambda).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Consider the Lie algebra $I = [A, A] \oplus A \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(J)$. By Corollary 6.12, it might be written as $I = ([S, S] \oplus S) \oplus ([A, R] \oplus R)$, $[S, S] \oplus S$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{gl}(J)$ and $[A, R] \oplus R$ is a nilpotent ideal whose action on $J$ is nilpotent.

Let $H$ denote again the connected component of the structure group $\text{Str}(J)$, and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{str}(J)$ its Lie algebra. The Lie algebra $I \subseteq \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{str}(J)$ is $\theta$-invariant and by Proposition 12.4 in [Ric88] there exists a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{K}^\times \to G = H^\mathfrak{B}$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{h}_{\geq 0}(\lambda)$, $[S, S] \oplus S \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^\lambda = \mathfrak{h}_0(\lambda)$, and $[A, R] \oplus R \subseteq \mathfrak{u}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{h}_{> 0}(\lambda)$, and the statement of the proposition follows. $lacksquare$

**Theorem 6.15.** Let $J$ be a complex semi-simple Jordan algebra and consider the diagonal action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J)$ on the $n$-fold product $J \times \ldots \times J$. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J$. Then the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$ is closed if and only if $A(x)$ is a semi-simple Jordan algebra.
Proof. Let the orbit \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot x \), or equivalently the orbit \( G \cdot x \) of the connected component \( G = \text{Aut}(J)^0 \), be closed. Assume now that \( A(x) \) is not semi-simple, and let \( A(x) = S(x) + R(x) \) be a decomposition of \( A(x) \) such that \( S(x) \) is semi-simple and \( R(x) \neq 0 \) is the radical of \( A(x) \). By Proposition 5.14, there is a one-parameter subgroup \( \mathbb{K}^* \to G \) such that \( A \subseteq J_{=0}(\lambda) \), \( S \subseteq J_0(\lambda) \), and \( R \subseteq J_{>0}(\lambda) \). Since \( A(x) \subseteq J_{=0}(\lambda) \), we have \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in J_{=0}(\lambda) \) and the limit \( \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x \) exists. As we assumed that the orbit through \( x \) is closed, we get
\[
y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G \cdot x.
\]
Consider the map
\[
\psi_\lambda : J_{>0}(\lambda) \to J_0(\lambda), \quad \psi_\lambda(z) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z.
\]
Remark that \( \psi_\lambda|_{J_{=0}(\lambda)} = \text{id}_{J_0(\lambda)} \) and \( \psi_\lambda(r) = 0 \) for all \( r \in J_{>0}(\lambda) \). By definition we have \( \psi_\lambda(x_j) = y_j, j = 1, \ldots, n \), and the subalgebra \( A(x) \) is contained in \( J_{=0}(\lambda) \). The subalgebra \( A(x) \) is semi-simple by assumption and by Theorem 5.14, \( A(x) \) is strictly conjugate to a subalgebra of \( J_0(\lambda) \) and there exists an inner nilpotent derivation \( D = \sum_{i=1}^n [L(z_i), L(u_i)], z_i \in J_{=0}(\lambda), u_i \in J_{>0}(\lambda) \), of \( J_{>0}(\lambda) \) such that \( \exp(D)(A(x)) \subseteq J_0(\lambda) \). Note that \( D(J_k(\lambda)) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i>k} J_i(\lambda) \) for any \( k \), which yields \( \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot (D(z)) = 0 \) for arbitrary \( z \in J_{>0}(\lambda) \).

Consider again the map \( \psi_\lambda : J_{=0}(\lambda) \to J_0(\lambda), \quad z \mapsto \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z \). The sum \( \exp(D) \) is a finite sum since \( D \) is nilpotent and we have
\[
\psi_\lambda(\exp(D)(z)) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{k!} D^k(z) \right) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z = \psi_\lambda(z).
\]
Using that \( \exp(D)(z) \in J_0(\lambda) \) for any \( z \in A(x) \), and thus \( \psi_\lambda(\exp(D)(z)) = \exp(D)(z) \) for all \( z \in A(x) \), we obtain \( \psi_\lambda(z) = \exp(D)(z) \) for arbitrary \( z \in A(x) \). The derivation \( D \) of \( J_{>0}(\lambda) \) can be extended to a derivation \( D \) of \( J \) because it is an inner derivation. Hence \( \exp(D) \in G \).

We have \( x_i \in A(x) \) by definition and now get
\[
y_i = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x_i = \psi_\lambda(x_i) = \exp(D)x_i.
\]
Therefore,
\[
y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \exp(D)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G \cdot x,
\]
which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

Definition 6.16. An \( n \)-tuple \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J \) is called unstable if \( 0 = (0, \ldots, 0) \in J \times \ldots \times J \) is contained in the closure \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot x \) of the orbit \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot x \).

Proposition 6.17. An \( n \)-tuple \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J \) is unstable if and only if \( A(x) \) is a solvable subalgebra of \( J \).

Proof. Let \( x \) be unstable. Then \( 0 \in \overline{\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x} \) and 0 is the unique closed orbit in the closure of \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot x \). By Theorem 5.14, there exists a one-parameter subgroup \( \lambda : \mathbb{K}^* \to \text{Aut}(J) \) with \( \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x = 0 \). Therefore, \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) and thus also \( A(x) \) are contained in \( J_{>0}(\lambda) \). Since
$J_{\geq 0}(\lambda)$ is the radical of $J_{\geq 0}(\lambda) \subset J$, it is a solvable subalgebra of $J$. Hence, $A(x)$ is also solvable.

Now, let $A(x)$ be solvable. Then $A(x)$ is equal to its radical $R(x)$, and by Proposition 6.14 there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(J)$ such that $A(x) \subseteq J_{\geq 0}(\lambda)$. Consequently, $\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot y = 0$ for any $y \in A(x)$ and in particular $\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (0, \ldots, 0) = 0 \in \text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$.

**Remark 6.18.** Consider the case $n = 1$. Every element $x \in J$ can be written as $x = x_s + x_n$, $x_s, x_n \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, where $x_s$ is semi-simple and $x_n$ is nilpotent, and this decomposition is unique (see e.g. [BK66], Kapitel 1, § 3). It can be shown that the Jordan subalgebra $A(x)$ generated by $x$ is semi-simple (solvable) if and only if $x$ is semi-simple (nilpotent). Thus, the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x$ is closed (unstable) if and only if $x$ is semi-simple (nilpotent).

**Remark 6.19.** Let again $n = 1$ and consider the isomorphism $\text{Der}(J) \oplus J \rightarrow \text{str}(J)$, $D + z \mapsto D + L(z)$. It already follows from [KR69] (Proposition 3 and Theorem 4) that the $\text{Aut}(J)$-orbit through an element $L(z) \in L(J) \subset \text{str}(J)$ is closed (unstable) in $L(J) \cong J$ if and only if $L(z) \in \text{str}(J) \subseteq \text{gl}(J)$ is a semi-simple (nilpotent) endomorphism. Using Peirce decomposition in $J$ it follows that the element $z \in J$ is semi-simple as an element of the Jordan algebra if and only if $L(z) \in \text{str}(J)$ is semi-simple, and by Theorem 5, Chapter III, [Koe99], $z \in J$ is nilpotent precisely if the endomorphism $L(z)$ is nilpotent.

**Remark 6.20.** The statement in the case $n = 1$ could also be shown as follows: Consider again the isomorphism of Lie algebras $\text{Der}(J) \oplus J \rightarrow \text{str}(J) \subseteq \text{gl}(J)$, $D + z \mapsto D + L(z)$. As mentioned before, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by automorphisms on the structure group $\text{Str}(J)$ via the involution $\Theta : \text{Str}(J) \rightarrow \text{Str}(J)$, $\Theta(h) = h^{-1}$, where $h$ denotes again the transpose of $h$ with respect to the trace form $\tau_J$ of $J$. Let $\theta : \text{str}(J) \rightarrow \text{str}(J)$ again be the differential of $\Theta$. The Lie subalgebra fixed by $\theta$ is $\text{Der}(J)$. Note that the Lie algebra spanned by $L(z)$ is stable under the involution $\theta$. It is reductive in $\text{str}(J)$ if and only if $L(z)$ (or equivalently $\Theta z$) is semi-simple, and it is a Lie algebra acting nilpotently on $J$ if and only if $L(z)$ (or equivalently $z$) is nilpotent. Since the orbit of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J)$ through $L(z)$ is contained in the subspace $L(J) \cong J$, the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot L(z) \subset \text{str}(J)$ is closed (unstable) if and only if the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot z \subset J$ is closed (unstable). Thus, by Theorem 13.2/13.3 in [Ric88], the orbit $\text{Aut}(J) \cdot z$ is closed (unstable) precisely if $z$ is semi-simple (nilpotent).

**Remark 6.21.** Let again $n = 1$, let $J$ be a complex semi-simple Jordan algebra, and let $J_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Euclidean real form of $J$, $J = J_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus iJ_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote the complex conjugation of an element $x \in J$ with respect to this decomposition by $\overline{x}$. If $\tau$ denotes the trace form on $J$, which is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of the trace form of $J_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $(\langle x, y \rangle) = \tau(x, y)$ defines a positive-definite Hermitian product on $J$. This Hermitian product is invariant under the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J_{\mathbb{R}})$ of $J_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(J_{\mathbb{R}})$ and denote again by $K$ the connected component of $\text{Aut}(J_{\mathbb{R}})$ and by $G = K^\mathbb{C}$ the connected component of $\text{Aut}(J)$. Then $\mu : J \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, $\mu^\xi(x) = \mu(x)(\xi) = \langle \xi(x), x \rangle$ for $x \in J$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, defines a $K$-equivariant moment map.

Assume now that $J_{\mathbb{R}}$ is simple. Using Lemma VI.1.1 of [FK94], there is a positive constant $\kappa$ such that

$$\tau(Dx, y) = \kappa \text{Tr}(L(Dx) \circ L(y))$$

for any derivation $D$ and $x, y \in J$. Therefore,

$$\mu^\xi(x) = \tau(\xi(x), \overline{x}) = \kappa \text{Tr}(L(\xi(x) \circ L(\overline{x})) = \kappa \text{Tr}(\langle \xi, L(x) \rangle \circ L(\overline{x})) \circ \text{Tr}(\langle \xi \circ [L(x), L(\overline{x})] \rangle).$$

The bilinear form on $\text{Der}(J)$ defined by $(D_1, D_2) \mapsto \text{Tr}(D_1 \circ D_2)$ is non-degenerate (c.f. [BK66], Kapitel IX, Lemma 3.2), and identifying $\mathfrak{k}^*$ with $\mathfrak{k}$ via a multiple of this bilinear form.
we get \( \mu(x) = [L(x), L(\bar{x})] \).

The zero level set of \( \mu \) is

\[ \mu^{-1}(0) = \{ x \in J \mid [L(x), L(\bar{x})] = 0 \}. \]

Writing \( x = x_1 + ix_2 \) with \( x_j \in J_\mathbb{R}, [L(x), L(\bar{x})] = 0 \) is equivalent to \([L(x_1), L(x_2)] = 0\).

If two elements \( x_1, x_2 \in J_\mathbb{R} \) operator-commute, i.e. \([L(x_1), L(x_2)] = 0\), then \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are simultaneously diagonalisable, meaning that there exists a Jordan frame \( c_1, \ldots, c_k \) of \( J_\mathbb{R} \), and \( \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[ x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j c_j \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j c_j, \]

see e.g. [FK94], Lemma X.2.2.

Let \( c_1, \ldots, c_k \) be a fixed Jordan frame of \( J_\mathbb{R} \), and define the following subspace of \( J \):

\[ R_c = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j c_j \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \]

Then,

\[ \mu^{-1}(0) = K \cdot R_c, \]

since \( K \) acts transitively on the set of Jordan frames of \( J_\mathbb{R} \).

Using that an orbit \( G \cdot x \) is closed if and only if it meets the zero level set \( \mu^{-1}(0) \) (see e.g. [KN79]), this also shows that the orbit \( G \cdot x \) is closed if and only if \( x \in J \) is a semi-simple element.

7. Orbit closures

For an \( n \)-tuple \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in J \times \ldots \times J \), let \( A(x) \) denote again the subalgebra generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). Let \( R(x) \) denote the radical of \( A(x) \) and let \( S(x) \) be a semi-simple subalgebra of \( A(x) \) satisfying \( A(x) = S(x) + R(x) \). Then there are unique elements \( s_j \in S, r_j \in R \) such that \( x_j = s_j + r_j \), and writing \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n), r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \) we get the decomposition \( x = s + r \). This can be regarded as a “Levi-decomposition” of the \( n \)-tuple \( x \).

**Remark 7.1.** Note that the decomposition of the \( n \)-tuple \( x \) into \( x = s + r \) is in general not unique, but depends on the choice of the semi-simple subalgebra \( S(x) \).

However, in the case \( n = 1 \) the subalgebra \( A(x) \) is generated by one element and is thus associative. Consequently, decomposition \( x = s + r \) is unique and is the usual decomposition of \( x \) into its semi-simple and nilpotent part.

More generally, the decomposition \( x = s + r \) is unique whenever the elements of the radical \( R(x) \) operator-commute with all elements in \( A(x) \), i.e. \([A(x), R(x)] = 0\).

**Proposition 7.2.** Let \( x = s + r \) be a decomposition of an \( n \)-tuple \( x \in J \times \ldots \times J \) as above. Then \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot s \) is the unique closed orbit in the closure \( \overline{\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x} \) of the \( \text{Aut}(J) \)-orbit through \( x \).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda: \mathbb{K}^\times \to \text{Aut}(J) \) be a one-parameter subgroup such that \( s \in J_0(\lambda) \) and \( r \in J_{>0}(\lambda) \) (c.f. Proposition 6.14). Then \( s = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x \) is contained in \( \overline{\text{Aut}(J) \cdot x} \).

The subalgebra \( A(s) \) generated by \( s_1, \ldots, s_n \) is contained in \( S(x) \) since \( s_j \in S(x) \) for each \( j \). Moreover, \( x_j = s_j + r_j \in A(s) + R(x) \). As \( S_1 \oplus R(x) \) is a subalgebra of \( J \) for any subalgebra \( S_1 \) of \( S(x) \), we get \( A(s) = S(x) \), and \( A(s) \) is semi-simple. It follows that the orbit \( \text{Aut}(J) \cdot s \) is closed. \( \square \)
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