Species-specific Typing of DNA Based on Palindrome Frequency Patterns
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Abstract

DNA in its natural, double-stranded form may contain palindromes, sequences which read the same from either side because they are identical to their reverse complement on the sister strand. Short palindromes are underrepresented in all kinds of genomes. The frequency distribution of short palindromes exhibits more than twice the inter-species variance of non-palindromic sequences, which renders palindromes optimally suited for the typing of DNA. Here, we show that based on palindrome frequency, DNA sequences can be discriminated to the level of species of origin. By plotting the ratios of actual occurrence to expectancy, we generate palindrome frequency patterns that allow to cluster different sequences of the same genome and to assign plasmids, and in some cases even viruses to their respective host genomes. This finding will be of use in the growing field of metagenomics.
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1. Introduction

The double helix forms the structural basis of semi-conservative DNA replication.1,2 Less intuitively, it also has implications on the information content of DNA for double-stranded DNA as such only has about half the storage capacity of single-stranded DNA. This is because a given sequence and its reverse complement, while in the same in the double-stranded form, are different entities in single-stranded DNA—except for those sequences which are identical to their reverse complement. Centrally symmetric when double-stranded, such sequences read the same from either 5′ end and are called DNA palindromes (e.g. 5′-GACT-3′). Consider the $4^2 = 256$ different single-stranded sequences of length 4; only the $4^2 = 16$ possible palindromes are unique in the double-stranded form. The remaining 240 form 120 pairs of identical sequences when complemented to the double strands (e.g. 5′-GACT-3’ and 5′-AGTC-3′). Thus, the total number of different double-stranded sequences of length 4 bp is $120 + 16 = 136$. It follows that the generally accepted maximal information content of 2 bit per base pair only holds true if the two sister strands can be distinguished (which requires extra information).

Given that palindromes are the only sequences which are unique in double-stranded DNA, it is not surprising that they are of particular importance in genome biology. Dimeric restriction endonucleases and DNA methyltransferases bind palindromic recognition sites.3,4 The same applies to transcription factors such as the bacterial trp repressor5 or the mammalian oestrogen receptor.6 Palindromes also fulfill an important role as spacers in the prokaryotic CRISPR/Cas system (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats), which forms the basis of immune memory against bacteriophages and
plasmids. Viral and bacterial genomes possess palindromic replication origins. Palindromes also contribute to genome instability: as target sites for insertion sequence elements and for homologous strand invasion during recombination, and by inducing double-strand breaks due to hairpin-specific nucleases.

While statistically, palindromes are expected to occur half as often as non-palindromic sequences in double-stranded DNA, they are even rarer than this in natural DNA sequences. Short palindromes were found to be underrepresented in various genomes including bacteriophages, bacteria, and fungi. In the human genome, palindromes were found to be underrepresented in exons but over-represented in introns and in upstream regions of genes. In bacteria, restriction endonucleases which cleave palindromic DNA recognition sites were proposed as a selective force against palindromes. In vertebrate genomes, the underrepresentation of palindromes is partly attributable to the drift of CG dinucleotides to TG by deamination of methylated cytosine. Other factors accounting for the scarcity of palindromes in genomic DNA sequences are the potential adverse effects of palindromes on chromatin structure, bias of the mismatch repair system, and selection against palindromes to avoid inappropriate binding of transcription factors.

### Table 1. The 16 palindromes of length 4 and an equal number of non-palindromes, their mean ratio $R$ of occurrence to expectancy, and variance of $R$, across 200 genomes

| Palindrome | $R$ | Var($R$) | Non-palindrome | $R$ | Var($R$) |
|------------|-----|----------|----------------|-----|----------|
| AAAT       | 0.97| 0.06     | AAGC/CCTT      | 1.23| 0.09     |
| ATAT       | 0.85| 0.05     | ACAG/CTGT      | 1.04| 0.08     |
| TATA       | 0.68| 0.07     | ACTG/CAGT      | 0.94| 0.06     |
| TTTA       | 0.84| 0.10     | AGAC/CTGT      | 0.87| 0.05     |
| AGCT       | 0.63| 0.12     | CAAC/GTTC      | 1.10| 0.06     |
| AGCT       | 1.13| 0.13     | CAGA/TCTG      | 1.16| 0.12     |
| CATG       | 0.99| 0.09     | CCAA/TGGG      | 1.24| 0.12     |
| CTTA       | 0.67| 0.14     | CTGA/TCAG      | 1.06| 0.08     |
| GATC       | 0.86| 0.16     | GAGA/TCTC      | 1.14| 0.09     |
| GTCA       | 0.71| 0.05     | CGAA/TTCG      | 1.30| 0.11     |
| TGCA       | 0.84| 0.39     | GTCA/TGAC      | 0.87| 0.04     |
| TGCC       | 1.15| 0.15     | GTGA/TCAC      | 0.94| 0.04     |
| CCGG       | 0.89| 0.24     | TCTT/AGGA      | 1.29| 0.12     |
| CCCG       | 0.62| 0.27     | TGAG/CTCA      | 1.07| 0.07     |
| CGGG       | 0.80| 0.28     | TGTC/ACCA      | 1.13| 0.08     |
|GCCG       | 1.15| 0.32     | TGTG/GACA      | 0.92| 0.04     |
| Overall    | 0.86| 0.19     | Overall        | 1.08| 0.10     |

Values significantly deviating from 1 are given in bold ($P < 0.01$, one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test).

Use of the large number of available sequenced genomes, scanning them for the occurrence of short palindromes and demonstrating that (i) the underrepresentation of short palindromes is ubiquitous and (ii) the frequency distribution of short palindromes lends itself for species-specific typing of DNA sequences.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Genome sequences

Genomic DNA sequences were analysed of 200 species from 10 different phylogenetic groups, 20 species per group: vertebrates, invertebrates, fungi, plants, protozoa, bacteria, archaea, mitochondria, dsDNA viruses, and retroviruses. Complete genomes or chromosomes were analysed if available, otherwise large contigs of at least 100 kb. Twenty was the maximal number of available genome sequences for groups like invertebrates or plants; to be able to compare the variances between the different groups, the number of species per group was therefore fixed to 20 (randomly selected). See Supplementary Table S1 for a complete list of species including accession numbers.

#### 2.2. Calculation of palindrome expectancy

The number $N$ of different DNA palindromes of length $l$ is given by:

$$N(l) = 4^{l/2}$$

since palindromes are centrally symmetric. The expectancy ($E$) of a palindrome (pal) of length $l_{\text{pal}}$ and GC ratio $g_{c_{\text{pal}}}$ in a DNA sequence (seq) of length $l_{\text{seq}}$ and GC ratio $g_{c_{\text{seq}}}$ is:

$$E(\text{pal, seq}) = \left(\frac{g_{c_{\text{seq}}}}{2}\right)^{g_{c_{\text{pal}}}l_{\text{pal}}} \times \left(\frac{1 - g_{c_{\text{seq}}}}{2}\right)^{(1-g_{c_{\text{pal}}})l_{\text{pal}}} \times l_{\text{seq}}$$

The ratio $R$ of palindrome frequency was defined as:

$$R(\text{pal, seq}) = \frac{n}{E(\text{pal, seq})}$$

where $n$ is the actual occurrence of the palindrome (pal) in the sequence (seq).

#### 2.3. Counting of palindromes

The counting of palindromes in DNA sequences (Fasta format) was performed with a Perl script, available on request under the GNU public licence.
DNA sequences were first rid of all perfect repeats (word size four or longer, repeated in tandem for at least five times) to avoid a possible bias from telomeric or centromeric repeats. Then, the occurrence was counted of each of the 16 different palindromes of length 4 (Table 1). To allow for comparison of variance, the same number of control sequences were included that did not contain any palindromic duplets nor compatible ends (Table 1). Each of these controls was counted alongside its reverse complement to render the result independent of the DNA strand searched. The log₂ of the ratios R of occurrence to expectancy for each 4-mer palindrome was plotted as vectors of 16 components, which were clustered by average linkage based on the city-block distance (i.e. the sum of absolute differences in the components of a given pair of vectors). Clustering was performed with the programs Cluster and TreeView from the Eisen lab (http://rana.lbl.gov/eisen/).

2.4. Random controls
Random sequences of variable length were generated with the program makenucseq of the EMBOSS package. Randomly selected, non-overlapping 10 kb fragments of bacterial genomes were generated with a self-made Perl script using srand(time) as the random number seed.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Palindrome occurrence across the tree of life
We counted the occurrence of the 16 palindromic words of length 4 (Table 1), along with an equal number of non-palindromic words of length 4 (Table 1), in DNA sequences of selected genomes.

Figure 1. Frequency of palindromes throughout a diverse selection of genomes. Palindrome frequency is expressed as the ratio \( R \) of occurrence to expectancy. Palindromes are underrepresented \( (R < 1) \), dotted line in all kinds of genomes, most strongly in vertebrates, plants, and viruses, and they exhibit about twice the inter-species variance in frequency (error bars) than non-palindromes. Twenty different genomes were analysed per group (see Section 2).

3.2. Clustering of DNA based on palindrome frequency
Here, we represent a given DNA sequence by a vector of 16 numbers: for each of the 16 palindromes in prokaryote genomes cannot solely be explained by the selective pressure exerted by restriction enzymes. Additional selective forces against palindromes might comprise their impact on DNA structure or their role as transcription factor-binding sites. Whatever the underlying forces, short palindromes are underrepresented even in mitochondrial genomes, demonstrating that the infrequency of palindromes in prokaryote genomes might comprise their impact on DNA structure or their role as transcription factor-binding sites. Whatever the underlying forces, short palindromes are underrepresented in all kinds of genomes (Fig. 1). Exactly which palindromes and how strongly depend on the source of the DNA. Interestingly, the inter-genome frequencies of short palindromes exhibit more than twice the variance of the non-palindromic control sequences (22 versus 9%; Table 1), whereas the intra-genome frequencies, e.g. between different chromosomes of the same organism, are uniform (Figs 2–4). This renders short palindromes optimally suited for the typing of DNA.
was equally apparent from the analysis of the complete set of 200 genomes (Supplementary Fig. S1). The resolution of palindrome frequency clustering would increase further by using the 64 different palindromes of length 6. However, this would also require the input sequences to be longer. On the basis of the random sequences included in Fig. 2, the present approach appeared to work for sequences longer than about 10 kb. To obtain a better estimate on the minimally required size of input DNA, we analysed randomly generated sequences of increasing length (Fig. 3). Above 9 kb, the average variance of $R$ per palindrome dropped below the value obtained for different vertebrate chromosomes (0.025, dashed grey line in Fig. 3). For comparison, the average variance of $R$ per palindrome across human chromosomes was 0.00005 (Fig. 3).

**Figure 2.** Examples of palindrome frequency patterns. Frequency of the 16 palindromes of length 4 in selected genomes, expressed as log$_2$ of ratio ($R$) of actual to expected occurrence. Hierarchical clustering was performed based on the city-block distance.23 (Top) Mean and variance by palindrome. (Bottom) The signals from three random sequences are shown for comparison.

**Figure 3.** Variance of palindrome frequencies in random DNA sequence of different lengths ($n = 20$ for each). The mean variance for each palindrome of length 4 across the 20 different sequences is compared with those across the first 20 human chromosomes (dotted grey line) and across the 20 different vertebrate chromosomes analysed in Fig. 1 (see Supplementary Table S1).
chromosomes was 0.0008 (dotted grey line in Fig. 3), demonstrating again that the variance of palindrome frequency is much lower intra- than inter-genome.

Invertebrates exhibiting the smallest inter-genome variance of palindrome frequency (Fig. 1), we chose Caenorhabditis species to challenge its power of discrimination. The complete nuclear genomes of C. briggsae and C. elegans were compared as described above and all the chromosomes were correctly resolved in spite of the weak patterns (Fig. 4A). Clustering based on palindrome frequency also segregated different mammalian chromosomes which, in contrast to invertebrate DNA, showed the characteristic pattern caused by strong...
underrepresentation of palindromes containing a CG dinucleotide (ACGT, TCGA, CCGG, GCGC, and CGCG; Fig. 4B). This is in agreement with the model that in vertebrates, DNA methylation is restricted to cytosines followed by guanine (CpG), whereas in invertebrates, cytosines are methylated in a wider context.\textsuperscript{25} Spontaneous mutation of the palindromic CG to the non-palindromic TG by deamination of methylated cytosine thus eliminates short palindromes from vertebrate DNA. The limit of resolution

Figure 5. Palindrome frequency patterns of host genomic DNA (A, E. coli; B, Homo sapiens; labelled in black) and associated viruses (colour-coded according to nucleic acid type of the genome) or plasmids (grey).
of palindrome frequency clustering was reached with a data set of highly similar sensu stricto yeasts. The different chromosomes of the closely related species Saccharomyces cerevisiae, S. bayanus, S. mikatae, and S. kudriavzevii did not segregate perfectly; those of the more distantly related S. castelli did (Fig. 4C).

Clustering based on palindrome frequency also worked for prokaryotes, generating species-specific patterns for archaea as well as bacteria. Prokaryote genomes exhibited highly diverse patterns (Supplementary Fig. S1). Natural plasmids of Escherichia coli clearly clustered with the host DNA (Fig. 5A). The same applied to certain dsDNA bacteriophages such as Lambda or P2. However, other dsDNA phages such as T3, as well as all analysed ssDNA phages, did not exhibit the same palindrome frequency patterns as E. coli (Fig. 5A). An interesting picture emerged when comparing human viruses: while all ssRNA minus-strand viruses and the retro-transcribing HIV clustered with human DNA, dsDNA viruses and ssRNA plus-strand viruses did not (Fig. 5B).

3.3. Potential application to metagenomics

The quickly developing field of environmental shotgun sequencing allows metagenomic analyses of communities of microorganisms, the majority of which cannot be cultured in the lab and have therefore remained undetected until recently. A key challenge in interpreting environmental shotgun sequencing data is the binning of non-overlapping DNA scaffolds into groups which, ideally, correspond to the different species of microorganisms present. Standard methods such as similarity searches to known genomes or phylogenetic analysis of marker genes are of limited use when dealing with DNA fragments sampled from previously undescribed species. Di-, tri- and tetra-nucleotide frequencies have been proposed to provide DNA signatures. Palindrome frequencies carrying a species-specific signal (Figs 2 and 4), the ratios of occurrence to expectancy as applied here may also be useful to bin environmental shotgun sequencing data, provided that the contigs to be analysed are longer than 9 kb (Fig. 3). From the 2007 Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling Expedition, which at that time predominantly produced novel sequences, the hundred largest contigs, sized between 11 and 59 kb, were analysed as described above. This revealed a diverse picture of palindrome frequency patterns with several major clusters (Supplementary Fig. S2). However, the analysed sequences still did not return high-quality hits when searched with blastn against the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide collection, with only one exception of 99% identity to Prochlorococcus phage P-SSM4 (GenBank accession no. AY940168). Thus, it was not possible to assess the benefit of palindrome frequency clustering with this data set. To nevertheless test the potential of the method, we randomly selected 10 non-overlapping fragments of length 10 kb from each of the 20 different bacterial genomes analysed in Fig. 1 (Supplementary Table S1). When these 200 sequences were clustered according to palindrome frequency patterns, over 90% of them correctly assembled according to species of origin.

4. Conclusion

Accustomed to reading DNA as linear sequences, we tend to forget that it is double-stranded in nature. In double-stranded DNA, the only sequences which are unique are palindromes. Here, we confirm the notion that short palindromes are underrepresented across all different kinds of genomes. The frequency distribution of short palindromes exhibits highest inter-species but low intra-species variance. We take advantage of this to type DNA based on palindrome frequency, generating highly specific patterns which discriminate the DNA from different species, clustering together sequences from the same species. The method allows for the assignment of plasmids and certain viruses to their respective host genomes. Although the underlying selective forces are not fully understood, these patterns are highly useful for analysis of DNA sequences of unknown origin, such as those generated by the gigabase in metagenomic high-throughput sequencing surveys. Palindrome frequency ratios as presented here could be incorporated into more sophisticated classifiers such as self-organizing maps, Bayesian classifiers, or support vector machines. Concentrating on palindromes may help to estimate the diversity of microbial communities and to bin different, non-overlapping sequences originating from the same genome, to classify sequences by comparison to reference patterns, and to assign plasmids and bacteriophages to their respective host genomes.

Supplementary data: Supplementary data are available at www.dnaresearch.oxfordjournals.org.
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