Histone Tail Dynamics in Partially Disassembled Nucleosomes During Chromatin Remodeling
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Nucleosomes are structural units of the chromosome consisting of DNA wrapped around histone proteins, and play important roles in compaction and regulation of the chromatin structure. While the structure and dynamics of canonical nucleosomes have been studied extensively, those of nucleosomes in intermediate states, that occur when their structure or positioning is modulated, have been less understood. In particular, the dynamic features of partially disassembled nucleosomes have not been discussed in previous studies. Using all-atom molecular dynamics simulations, in this study, we investigated the dynamics and stability of nucleosome structures lacking a histone-dimer. DNA in nucleosomes lacking a histone H2A/H2B dimer was drastically deformed due to loss of local interactions between DNA and histones. In contrast, conformation of DNA in nucleosomes lacking H3/H4 was similar to the canonical nucleosome, as the H2A C-terminal domain infiltrated the space originally occupied by the dissociated H3/H4 histones and restricted DNA dynamics in close proximity. Our results suggest that, besides histone chaperones, the intrinsic dynamics of nucleosomes support the exchange of H2A/H2B, which is significantly more frequent than that of H3/H4.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic genomic DNA is compacted into a hierarchical chromatin structure that is confined within the nucleus. Basic units constituting chromatin are complexes of DNA and histone proteins, called nucleosomes (Davey et al., 2002). A nucleosome consists of approximately 147 base pairs (bps) of DNA and 8 histone proteins, namely H2A, H2B, H3, and H4. In the canonical nucleosome structure, two H2A/H2B and two H3/H4 hetero-dimers form a histone octamer (Davey et al., 2002). The DNA wraps around the histone proteins in the nucleosome, forming a spool-like structure. Amino-acid sequences of these histones are highly conserved among eukaryotes. The nucleosomal structures are stable and are supported by electrostatic interactions between DNA and histone.

Nucleosomes are strongly associated with chromatin structure formation and the genome function. Gene expression involves nucleosomal rearrangement. In turn, changes in nucleosome positioning regulate the accessibility of proteins to DNA and hence modulate gene expression,
which is also regulated based largely on cell species and cell cycle
(Hogan et al., 2006; Jiang and Pugh, 2009; Bai and Morozov,
2010). Eviction of histones and reconstruction of nucleosomes
occur frequently upon rearrangement. These structural changes
have been associated with the interaction of nucleosome and
histone chaperones (Loyola and Almouzni, 2004; Mazurkiewicz
et al., 2006; Formosa, 2008), and often occur upon transcription.
FACT (FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription) is a well-known
histone chaperone and is highly conserved among eukaryotes
(Formosa, 2008; Hondele et al., 2013; Kemble et al., 2015). Recent
reports have postulated mechanisms of histone chaperones
interacting with nucleosomes (Vlijm et al., 2015; Aguilar-Gurrieri
et al., 2016).
In these processes, nucleosomes may transiently lack some
histone proteins (e.g., hexasome, Arimura et al., 2012). For
example, during transcription, nucleosomes must at least be
repositioned (Kujirai et al., 2018). Consequently, structural
conflicts between neighboring nucleosomes may result in
partial histone dissociation, manifesting in vitro as overlapping
dinucleosomes (Kato et al., 2017). These partially disassembled
nucleosomes (intermediate nucleosomes; or “partially assembled
nucleosome” coined by Rychkov et al., 2017) have been
reported in nucleosome structure modulation, histone assembly
and disassembly (Mazurkiewicz et al., 2006; Formosa, 2008;
Arimura et al., 2012; Aguilar-Gurrieri et al., 2016). For example,
the histone variant H2A.Z is widely distributed in higher
eukaryotic genomes and regulates genome dynamics (Fan et al.,
2002; Li et al., 2005). H2A.Z is inserted into H2A/H2B-
lacking nucleosomes with the help of histone chaperones.
In some cases, partially disassembled nucleosomes facilitate
binding of proteins to DNA (Kireeva et al., 2002). Such
attachment and detachment of histones occur over the entire
chromosomes, and partially disassembled nucleosomes may
occur upon detachment of any of the constituent histones
(Zlatanova et al., 2009). In fact, the dissociation frequency of
histone H2A/H2B is significantly higher than that of H3/H4
(Kimura and Cook, 2001), and nucleosomes lacking only
H3/H4 have not been experimentally observed. Therefore, most
intermediate nucleosomes are considered to be hexasomes
lacking H2A/H2B (Arimura et al., 2012).
Considering their importance, the structures of these
intermediate nucleosomes have been extensively explored of late
by crystallography and cryo-EM methods (Zlatanova et al., 2009;
Arimura et al., 2012). However, their structural dynamics have
not been fully elucidated. In this research, we focused on the
intermediate nucleosome dynamics using molecular dynamics
(MD) simulation. We considered nucleosome structures that
lack one histone dimer, as well as the canonical nucleosome,
and analyzed the differences in their dynamics. Our aim
is to investigate the intrinsic dynamics of the intermediate
nucleosomes at the configuration close to the intact nucleosome
(octasome), not the equilibrium distribution. Hence, we
constructed hexasome models by artificially deleting an
H2A/H2B dimer from the canonical nucleosome structure. We
also studied nucleosome models lacking an H3/H4 dimer for
comparison, although hypothetical, to characterize the temporal
behavior caused by the loss of histones. We found that deletion
of a histone dimer had only little influence on other histones.

**FIGURE 1** | Nucleosome structure and histone indices. PDB ID: 1KX5. Histones H3/H4 (Chain A and B), H2A/H2B (Chain C and D), H3’/H4’ (Chain E and F), and H2A’/H2B’ (Chain G and H) are shown in blue, red, green, and purple, respectively. Following these indices, we named each nucleosome lacking one of the histone-dimers as ΔH3/H4, ΔH2A/H2B, ΔH3’/H4’, and ΔH2A’/H2B’.
In contrast, DNA dynamics was drastically affected, based on the eliminated histone type. These results are consistent with previous findings in nucleosome modulation, and suggest the importance of transient dynamics of intermediate nucleosomes in chromatin remodeling.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Nucleosome Models and Simulation Procedures

We employed all-atom MD simulations for nucleosome dynamics in solution. We constructed 5 nucleosome models using the crystal structure of a nucleosome (PDB ID: 1KX5) (Davey et al., 2002). The canonical nucleosome corresponds to the entire crystal structure. The others are models of partially disassembled nucleosomes, constructed by removing one of the two H2A/H2B hetero-dimers or one of the two H3/H4 hetero-dimers from the canonical nucleosome; which we named \( \Delta H3/H4 \), \( \Delta H2A/H2B \), \( \Delta H3'/H4' \), and \( \Delta H2A'/H2B' \) (Figure 1; these histones are indexed as chains A to H in the PDB file). Then, these models were soaked into a 153 Å \( \times \) 197 Å \( \times \) 103 Å water box, neutralized by K\(^+\), and added 150 mM KCl. TIP3P water model was employed. All histidine residues were configured as \( \epsilon \)-protonated. VMD (Humphrey et al., 1996) was used to infer missing atom coordinates, solvate the model, and visualize the structure throughout the study. Note that the nucleosome structure is viewed from the side of chains A to D (H3, H4, H2A, and H2B) in Figures 1, 4, 5, and from the side of chains E to H (H3', H4', H2A', and H2B') in Figure S3.

All simulations were carried out using NAMD (version 2.12 multi-core with CUDA) (Phillips et al., 2005). CHARMM36 force-field (July 2017 update) was used. Periodic boundary condition with Particle-Mesh Ewald electrostatics was employed. Cutoff 12 Å (with switching from 10 Å) was used for non-bonded interactions. Temperature and pressure were set at 310 K and 1 atm, respectively; Langevin thermostat (damping coefficient:

| TABLE 1 | Residue IDs of core region and tail region for each histones. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Histone (Chain ID) | Core region | Tail region |
| H3 (A) and H3' (E) | 45 – 135 | 1 – 44 |
| H4 (B) and H4' (F) | 25 – 102 | 1 – 24 |
| H2A (C) and H2A' (G) | 18 – 98 | 1 – 17 (N-terminal) |
| H2B (D) and H2B' (H) | 35 – 122 | 99 – 128 (C-terminal) |

Each chain ID and residue ID refer to PDB ID: 1KX5 (Davey et al., 2002). Only histone H2A has a C-terminal tail.

FIGURE 2 | Secondary structure stability of histones in each nucleosome. Averaged over 10 trials (each trial is shown in Figure S1). Horizontal and vertical axes of each graph show amino acid index and ratio of \( \alpha \)-helix formation in respective histones as indicated in figure.
5/ps) and Langevin-piston barostat were adopted. After energy minimization with harmonic restraints on C\text{\textalpha} of amino acids and C1' of nucleotides (spring constant: 100 pN/Å; 10,000 steps), the system was simulated in equilibrium for 100 ns (time-step: 2 fs). The structures were sampled at 10 ps intervals, and each nucleosome model was simulated 10 times.

2.2. Root Mean Square Displacement (RMSD)
The coordinate of atom \(i\) is denoted by \(x_i(t)\). We used heavy atoms except the histone tails (Table 1) for calculation, as these tails are highly disordered (same for RMSF and PCA). First, we aligned the nucleosome by eliminating the translational and rotational motions to minimize RMSD (i), and then calculated RMSD. Additionally, to evaluate the structural changes of DNA, we aligned the nucleosome according to only the core regions of histones (i.e., except DNA) (ii), and calculated RMSD likewise. Below, the coordinates after the alignment in the case of (i) and (ii) are denoted by \(\bar{x}_i(t)\) and \(\hat{x}_i(t)\), respectively.

2.3. Root Mean Square Fluctuation (RMSF) of DNA
Root mean square fluctuation of nucleotide \(i\) was defined as \(<(|\hat{x}_i - \langle\hat{x}_i\rangle_{\text{time}}|^2)_{\text{time}}\). In this case, \(\hat{x}_i\) is the C1' coordinate of nucleotide \(i\) after alignment. Note that \(<\cdot>_{\text{time}}\) denotes averaging over the latter half of the simulation (50.01–100 ns) and 10 trials for the same nucleosome model.

2.4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of DNA
Principal component analysis was employed to analyze histone-wrapped DNA dynamics. PCA is often used in similar cases to evaluate protein dynamics (Balsara et al., 1996). In the current case, each nucleotide was represented by the C1' coordinate. Displacement \(S(t, \text{mode}) = \langle X(t) - \langle X\rangle_{\text{time}} \rangle \cdot \psi_{\text{mode}}\) was evaluated where \(X(t) = (\hat{x}_1^T(t), \hat{x}_2^T(t), \ldots, \hat{x}_N^T(t))^T\), and \(\psi_j\) is \(j\)-th eigenvector of covariance matrix of \(X\). As stated in section 2.3, \(<\cdot>_{\text{time}}\) denotes averaging over the latter half of the simulation and 10 trials for the same model.

2.5. Secondary Structure Determination
To determine the secondary structures in the histones, we employed the DSSP program (Kabsch and Sander, 1983). In the canonical nucleosome, each histone is composed of only several \(\alpha\)-helices (Davey et al., 2002). We determined \(\alpha\)-helix formation and the occurrence at each residue was calculated for the latter half of the simulation trajectory.

**FIGURE 3** | Time series of RMSD of histone and DNA in each nucleosome. Colors show different simulation trajectories (total 10).
2.6. Contact Frequency of Nucleotides and Amino Acids

Contact frequency between DNA and histone tails were evaluated as follows. The distance between C1 of each nucleotide and Cα of each amino acid was examined. The molecules were regarded in contact if the distance was less than 10 Å. The contact frequency, defined as the ratio of samples in which the pair was in contact, was averaged over the latter half of the simulation and 10 trials for each nucleosome model.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Structures of Histone Proteins

First, we analyzed the effects of partial histone deletion on the secondary structure of other histones. The occurrence ratio of α-helix formation is shown in Figure 2 and Figure S1. Each nucleosome lacking one histone dimer shows a profile of secondary structure formation similar to the canonical nucleosome. Removal of a histone dimer has little influence on the secondary structure of other remaining histone proteins. On the other hand, α-helix formation was occasionally observed in histone tail residues; which agrees with previous reports suggesting that histone-tail regions show transient α-helix formation when interacting with DNA (Wang et al., 2000; Moriwaki et al., 2016).

Next, we evaluated the time series of RMSD of histones (except the histone tails; see section 2.2) in each nucleosome, to analyze their tertiary structures (Figure 3 and Figure S2). In this case, RMSD was defined as ⟨|\bar{x}_i(t) − \bar{x}_i(0)|^2⟩_atom, where ⟨⟩_atom means averaging over the constituent heavy atoms. Similar to the results of secondary structure analysis, each histone displayed similar profiles across all nucleosome models. Again, the absence of a histone dimer induced negligible effect on the other histones, which is consistent also with experimental observations (Arimura et al., 2012; Bilokapic et al., 2018).

3.2. Structural Deformation of Nucleosomal DNA

In contrast, as shown in Figure 3, dynamics of nucleosomal DNA was dramatically affected. RMSD of DNA in nucleosomes lacking any histone dimer was increased, compared to the canonical nucleosome; i.e., DNA was deformed from the native structure by the partial disassembly.

Then, we evaluated the deformation in each part of DNA, to determine which part was affected by the loss of histones. RMSF profiles of DNA are shown in Figure 4. Fluctuations were increased only in nucleotides adjacent to the removed histone.

FIGURE 4 | Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of DNA. Each graph shows RMSF profiles of respective nucleosomal DNA. Horizontal axis shows nucleotide indices i. Colors show different simulation trajectories (total 10). The nucleotide indices are indicated in the bottom-left panel (each particle represents a nucleotide or an amino acid); the indices in chains I and J (−73 to 73 each in the PDB file) are shown in blue and red, respectively.
Consistently, drastic deformations (superior modes of PCA) were observed in the region that lost interactions with histones (Figure 5 and Figure S3). These deformations mostly correspond to the breathing motion of DNA outward from the nucleosome.

To capture effective structural changes of DNA, we estimated free energy profiles projected to principal modes obtained from PCA analysis (see section 2.4). We also focused on trajectories projected onto this space, to evaluate DNA dynamics (Figure 6).

Contrasting results depending on the removed histone dimer were obtained in the PCA. In the case of nucleosomes lacking H2A/H2B (ΔH2A/H2B and ΔH2A'/H2B'), the initial structure (magenta point) was distant from the area with high probability density, and each simulation trajectory drifted from the initial structure (Figure 6). This suggested that nucleosomes lacking H2A/H2B could not maintain their canonical histone-wrapped conformation, and deformed drastically. In contrast, in the case of H3/H4 lacking nucleosomes (ΔH3/H4 and ΔH3'/H4'), the initial coordinate (magenta point) locates around the high probability density area, and the trajectories were distributed around the initial coordinate (Figure 6). While the loss of H3/H4 affects the nucleosome structure stability (Figure 3), conformational changes often occur only around the initial structure.

3.3. Interactions Between Histone Tails and DNA

We considered that the difference in the free energy profiles of DNA deformation was induced by physical restraints on DNA from histone proteins, and thus focused on physical interactions between DNA and histones. However, as shown in Figure 3, structures of core histones changed only slightly. We also confirmed that the interaction between DNA and core histones did not show a clear difference. Hence, we evaluated contact frequency between the DNA and histone tails (Figure S4). It is to be noted that the histone tails were not counted in the analysis of RMSD, RMSF, and PCA above (see section 2).

Comparing the contact matrices of the canonical nucleosome and others, no significant changes were observed in the majority of components. It is consistent with a previous study by MD simulations showing that histone tails were trapped by the adjacent DNA (Li and Kono, 2016). However, in the nucleosomes lacking H3/H4 (ΔH3/H4 and ΔH3'/H4'), H2A C-terminal region adjacent to the dissociated H3/H4 showed enhanced contact with DNA (Blue rectangles in Figure S4), which were not observed in the nucleosomes lacking H2A/H2B. Therefore, we concluded that the difference of free energy profiles (Figure 6) were closely related to these histone tails.
Increase of contact frequency of nucleosomes lacking H3/H4 corresponds to the invasion of H2A C-terminal region to internal space originally occupied by the removed H3/H4 (Figure 7). The H2A C-terminal interacts with the H3/H4 in the canonical nucleosome (Davey et al., 2002), and the contact frequency between the H2A C-terminal and DNA was increased by the invasion upon loss of H3/H4. These additional interactions restrict DNA deformation and breathing motion, and consequently the histone-wrapping DNA conformation was retained (Figure 6). In contrast, in the nucleosomes lacking H2A/H2B, DNA neighboring the removed histone dimer shows drastic deformations (Figure 7). The behavior of the H3/H4 tails is similar to previous study (Kono et al., 2015), and not altered by the loss of H2A/H2B.

4. DISCUSSION

In the partially disassembled nucleosomes, the core histones showed no significant deformation. Indeed, RMSD of the hexasome (ΔH2A/H2B and ΔH2A'/H2B'), which was also experimentally observed, was very small (Figure 3). We could not find any clear difference between the hexasome part in the overlapping di-nucleosome structure obtained by experiment (PDB ID: 5GSE) (Kato et al., 2017), and the nucleosome lacking H2A/H2B composed from the canonical nucleosome (PDB ID: 1KX5). RMSD between them is 2.554 Å. Therefore, in partially disassembled nucleosomes, drastic structural deformation occurs only in the DNA, while histone structures are similar to the canonical crystal structure during attachment and detachment of histones. Note that unlike coarse-grained models depending on a reference structure, the force-field used in the all-atom simulations does not depend on a specific reference conformation.

On the other hand, DNA dynamics of nucleosomes lacking any histone dimer were statistically different depending on dissociated histones (Figure 6). Individual histones did not show obvious structural deformation (Figure 3). When an H2A/H2B was removed (ΔH2A/H2B and ΔH2A'/H2B'), N-terminal long tails of H3 were trapped around the dyad part and the ends of nucleosomal DNA (Figure 7). In previous MD studies, it was shown that histone tails keep the DNA from being peeled off in the canonical nucleosome (Biswas
et al., 2011; Ettig et al., 2011; Voltz et al., 2012; Li and Kono, 2016). Particularly, the role of N-terminal tail of H3 in the stabilization was discussed. Our result is consistent with these studies. Due to the H3 tail trapping, free space induced by histone deletion was not filled, and DNA adjacent to the space was highly variable (Figure 7). Similar DNA-histone interactions may exist after the H2A/H2B release induced by spontaneous breathing and partial unwrapping (Bilokapic et al., 2018; Gansen et al., 2018; Winogradoff and Aksimentiev, 2019), which may play a role in further nucleosome deformation and histone exchange. Furthermore, asymmetric unwrapping of nucleosomal DNA was suggested by previous studies (Chen et al., 2014, 2017). Although our simulation without H2A/H2B corresponds only to a short time, our finding might be related to those results (Chen et al., 2014, 2017; Bilokapic et al., 2018; Gansen et al., 2018; Winogradoff and Aksimentiev, 2019). The DNA fluctuations observed in our study may help histone chaperones to intrude between DNA and histones, and hence may be relevant to chaperone-assisted disassembly and reassembly. Single-molecule experiments using e.g., Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) (Gansen et al., 2009, 2018) would be effective for verification of such changes in DNA dynamics.

Note that our aim is to consider the intrinsic and transient dynamics around the intact octasome configuration. The hexasome structure may show further transitions to more stable conformations, e.g., with more tightly (~110 bp) wrapped DNA as previously suggested (Arimura et al., 2012; Rychkov et al., 2017) by sliding of DNA. It is however expected to be beyond the time-scale of our simulation; although large breathing motion of DNA observed in our study is analogous to the broad distribution of the inter-dye distance in FRET (Gansen et al., 2009; Rychkov et al., 2017), they are not directly comparable.

In contrast, when an H3/H4 was removed (ΔH3/H4 and ΔH3'/H4'), negligible deviation from the initial structure was observed (Figure 6). DNA that originally interacted with the H3/H4 in turn interacted with the H2A C-terminal region adjacent to the H3/H4, which restricted the DNA dynamics by electrostatic interaction (Figure 7). Due to the invasion and transient interaction of the H2A C-terminal, the nucleosome showed conformation relatively close to the canonical nucleosome.

From the results above, the following scenario for nucleosome remodeling was suggested. The lack of H2A/H2B induces only DNA deformation. Then, reinsertion of H2A/H2B into such a nucleosome would be relatively easy, as DNA may become more accessible because of its structural flexibility (Figure S5). In contrast, without H3/H4, additional interaction between DNA and H2A C-terminal tail is induced (Figure 7). This interaction prevents DNA deformation, and keeps the structure similar to the canonical one, which makes reinsertion difficult due to the structural restriction of DNA. For reversible attachment and detachment of histone proteins, H2A/H2B has a dynamic advantage. In fact, nucleosomal structure modulation by histone chaperones has been experimentally observed only for the case of H2A/H2B dissociation. Such selectivity may arise from the physical property. It may also be relevant to localization of histone variants, as the exchange (i.e., attachment and detachment) of H2A/H2B seems relatively easy. Although it requires further investigation, the observed nucleosome dynamics may relate to the selectivity of histones and acquisition of biochemical functions.

5. CONCLUSION

We analyzed dynamical behavior of partially disassembled nucleosomes using MD simulations. We observed that removal of an H2A/H2B dimer from the canonical nucleosome induces large fluctuations of nucleosomal DNA, while keeping the space for the histone dimer...
unoccupied. In contrast, when an H3/H4 was removed, the space for the dimer was invaded by the C-terminal tail of H2A, which mitigated the DNA fluctuations. The observed behavior may support frequent exchange of H2A/H2B, as well as specific molecular mechanisms such as histone chaperones.
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