Pontic Athens
An Athenian Emporion in Its Geo-Historical Context

Altay COŞKUN

1) Pontic Athens in the High and Later Roman Empire: Literary Evidence and Topography

Stephanos of Byzantion lists altogether nine places called Athens in his *Ethnika* (A 80). The least significant among them was located on the coast of the Pontos Euxeinos. The information the 6th-century lexicographer provides is meagre and nearly confined to mentioning that it had 'a Greek sanctuary' (*hieron Hellenikon*). Stephanos does not call the place a *polis*, but only *chorion* ('place', 'settlement' or 'fort'). His most recent editor, Margarethe Billerbeck, translates this as 'Festung', without giving any further comment. She is thus in good company with most of our ancient sources (see below) and modern authorities, who do not deem worthy Pontic Athens of a mention, let alone discussion.1 However, this Athenai deserves some more scholarly attention, not only because it carries the name of the most famous of all ancient Greek cities2 and hosted a castle in the Roman, Byzantine, Trapezuntine and Ottoman periods,3 but also because it continues to be inhabited to the present day. The town *Pazar* on the north-eastern Black-Sea coast of Turkey, in the province of Rize, appears to be its modern successor, which even maintained its ancient name *Atina* until recently. Pazar is located at a distance of 111 km from Ortahisar (near Trabzon/Trapezunt) or about 40 km from Rize/Rhizaion.4

Since Stephanos explicitly references Arrian as his source, we can avoid speculating about Athenai’s conditions in the early-Byzantine period and immediately turn to the more complete original account. The historiographer and philosopher from Nikomedea served the Emperor Hadrian as the governor of Kappadokia in the 130s AD. The *Periplous Pontou Euxeinou* is his report which resulted from the inspection of the coastline under his supervision, perhaps in AD 132.5 Arrian (*PPE* 3.4-7.3), too, calls *Athenai* a *chorion* and mentions it as the last anchorage af-

---

1 Billerbeck 2006, 69. It is marginalized through the correction of Müller (see below) and missing in the account and notes of Magie 1950 (though figures on his map). It is entirely omitted, e.g., by Kahrstedt 1934, 32-41; Olshausen – Biller 1984; Marek 2003; 2010; Avram – Hind – Tsetskhladze 2004; Braund 2005; Mattingly 2008; Azoulay 2014; BNP. An exception is Kakhidze 2005, 117, who lists Atina among the tax-paying members of the Athenian League (without comment).

2 For a similar argument, see Arr. *PPE* 5.3 (addressing Hadrian), cf. Silberman 1995, 4 n. 26.

3 See Blyer – Winfield 1985, 335-339.

4 Tomaszek 1896, 2022; Blyer – Winfield 1985, 335-339 (also knowing the name variants *Sindena* and *Sentina* on p. 335, to be read with Tomaszek as [εἰς Αθήνας]; Silberman 1995, 26 n. 19; Liddle 2003, 94. Distances in km have been calculated with Google Maps (11 Jan. 2019).

5 See Rémy 1989, 213-217 (AD 131/32-136/37); Braund 1994, 178 (AD 132); Silberman 1995, VII (AD 131 or 132); Tsetskhladze 1998, 15; cf. 49f. (AD 134); Liddle 2003, 5-12 (AD 131/138); Belfiore 2009, 36
Hyssou Limen before Apsaros, a major Roman fortress housing five cohorts (at least 2,000 soldiers) on the southern bank of the Akampsis River near the border to Kolchis. More precisely, he locates it east of Trapezous/Trabzon (720 stades = ca. 108 km), of the Rhizaion River/Rize Çayı (270 stades = ca. 40.5 km) and of the Adienos River (180 stades = ca. 27 km) (see map). The same Athenai is situated 7 stades west of the Zagatis River, the Hemşin Deresi, which merges into the Black Sea in Pazar. The site of this modern settlement is therefore to be distinguished from its ancient predecessor, at least from its harbour, which probably lay a bit more than 1 km further west. This way, the anchorage benefitted from the little nose which is now marked by the Trapezuntine-Ottoman ‘Girl’s Castle’ (Kız Kalesi). According to Arrian, the harbour was to some degree sheltered against the south and east winds, whence he was stuck there for two days with part of his fleet.

The relevant sections of his report read as follows:

For there is upon the Euxine sea a place so called (sc. Athenai), where there is a temple in the Greek style, from which circumstance the place seems to me to have derived its name. There is a ruined castle at this place.

The port is such that, in this season of the year, it cannot indeed contain many ships, but is sufficient to afford them a shelter from the south wind, and even from the south-east. Ships that put in there might indeed be safe from the north-east wind, but not from the north, nor from that wind which is called Thraskias in Pontus, but Skiron in Greece.

(131/32); Rood 2011 (130s). My impression is that the Periplus reports Arrian’s first inspection of the Pontic coast, thus around 132 BC.

6 Arr. PPE 7.1-3. A stade is normally measured at 600 feet or 177.42 m respectively, which would yield ca. 128 km. But Bauslaugh 1979, esp. 5 n. 22 demonstrates that, in practice, there was a significant variance, normally between 150 and 200 m per stade. Depending on where exactly the ancient harbours were located, how closely the coastline was followed (or what distance had to be kept due to cliffs), and how freely numbers were rounded (Arrian mostly uses multiples of 30 stades), the effective distance between Trapezous and Athenai was ca. 100 to 110 km. I suggest that 150 m comes close to Arrian’s average stade. Also compare the 180 stades/27 km between the mouth of the Adienos and the harbour of Athenai with the distance of 16 miles/24 km of the land route from Ardinium, as below. On Apsaros, see below, with n. 57.

7 Named after Google Maps (11 Jan. 2019), whereas Bryer – Winfield 1985, 337 call it Pazar or Susa Dere; cf. Belfiore 2009, 160 for Pazar Dere.

8 Bryer – Winfield 1985, 339 no. 1: ‘Kız Kulesi’. Cf. Belfiore 2009, 161.

9 Tomaschek 1896, 2022 may be exaggerating, but, from Arrian’s perspective (and probably also Ptolemy’s, see below), he is right to view the shelter as the main advantage of the place.

10 My translation. Cf. Falconer 1805: ‘a port, which in the summer season cannot indeed contain many ships’. This would imply a higher overall capacity than the translation by Silberman 1995, 3 or Liddle 2003, 55: ‘The mooring at the right time of the year can accommodate a few ships’. The Greek is somewhat unclear, and I wonder if a demonstrative pronoun has fallen out before ὥρᾳ. Cf. Belfiore 2009, 140: ‘accogliere nella buona stagione non molte navi’.
During the night, there came on a violent storm of thunder and lightning; nor did the wind continue in the same direction, but came about to the south, and soon after from the south-west, and the anchorage was no longer safe for our ships.11

Therefore, before the sea had begun to rage violently, we drew up into the harbour of Athenai as many of our ships as it would contain, excepting the one trireme, which, having found a convenient shelter under cover of a rock, rode there in safety.

It seemed proper also to send the larger part12 of our vessels to the neighbouring shores to be drawn aground. This succeeded so well that they all escaped safe, except for one, which, upon entering the bay, exposed its side improperly to the wind, and the swell of the sea drove it ashore and wrecked it.

Everything on board, however, was saved, not only the sails, nautical instruments and men, but also the bolts.13 We even scraped off the wax, so that nothing else was needed for the construction than ship-building timber, of which there is, as You (sc. Hadrian) know, a great quantity in the district of Pontos.

The storm continued two days, and necessarily detained us during that time. It would indeed have indicated a want of respect to have passed by Athenai,14 even the one of that name in Pontos, as if it were some deserted and nameless port.15

---

11 My translation. Falconer 1805 may have read a slightly different Greek text: 'which rendered the bay, or road, in which we lay, no longer a safe station'. The description of the winds follows a literary tradition, but may still be realistic; see Belfiore 2009, 159-161.

12 Cf. Belfiore 2009, 140. Misunderstood by Liddle 2003, 55 as 'the large ships'.

13 My translation, following Silberman 1995, 3f. and cf. Belfiore 2009, 141. Falconer seems to have read a partly different Greek text, but also changed the word order to imply Arrian’s greater concern for the men than for the bolts.

14 Thus, the free but fitting translation by Falconer 1805. More literal would be: ‘It was thus not permitted to us to sail by Athens in Pontos as if it were an abandoned and anonymous anchorage.’

15 Arr. PPE 4f.: (4.1) ἔστιν γὰρ τοι καὶ ἐν Πόντῳ τῷ Ἑυξείνῳ χωρίον οὕτω καλούμενον, καὶ τι καὶ Αθηναῖας ἵερόν ἐστιν αὐτοῦ Ἑλληνικόν, ὥθησον μοι διόκει καὶ τὸ ὅρμον εἶναι τοῦτο τῷ χωρίῳ. καὶ φρουρίῳ τι ἐστὶν ἡμελημένον. (4.2) ὁ δὲ ὄρμος οἷος ἄρα ἐστὶν ἄσφαλτον καὶ σκέπη ταύταις παρέχειν ἀπὸ νότου ἄνεμου καὶ αὐτὸ τοῦ εὔρου: σφέκτοι δὲ τοῦ ὅρμου ὁρκὰς ἐν τῷ ἑλλάδι καὶ τῇ Ἑλληνικῇ· καὶ διὰ ὄρμου τοῦ τοῦ Θρᾳσκίου ἡμελημένου νομίζειν. (4.3) ἐν δὲ τῇ νύκτε ὅρμον ἠμελημένην καὶ βρονταί τε σκληραὶ καὶ ἀστραπαὶ κατείχον, καὶ τὴν ἄνω τοῦ νότου μεθειστήκει, καὶ διὰ τοῦ ὅρμου ὅρμον τοῦ ὅρμου τοῦ Θρᾳσκίου μεθειστὴκεν, καὶ τοῦτο ἡμελημένον. (4.4) ἐν δὲ τῇ νύκτε ὥρᾳ τοῦ ὅρμου ἠμελημένην καὶ βρονταί τε σκληραὶ καὶ ἀστραπαὶ κατείχον, καὶ τὴν ἄνω τοῦ νότου μεθειστήκει, καὶ διὰ τοῦ ὅρμου τοῦ Θρᾳσκίου μεθειστήκεν. (4.5) τὰς δὲ πολλὰς εὐκαρπίας τοῖς ναῦσιν οὕτως καλούμενὰς, καὶ τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις ἵεροις ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ Ἑλληνικὸν· καὶ τοῖς ναυσιδημοῦσιν ὁ ὅρμος ἡμελημένον. (5.1) τὰς δὲ πολλὰς εὐκαρπίας τοῖς ναῦσιν οὕτως καλούμενὰς, καὶ τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις ἵεροις ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ Ἑλληνικὸν· καὶ τοῖς ναυσιδημοῦσιν ὁ ὅρμος ἡμελημένον. (5.2) ἐν δὲ τῇ νύκτε τοῦ τοῦ Θρᾳσκίου μεθειστήκεν, καὶ τοῦτο τοῦ νότου μεθειστήκεν. (5.3) τὰς δὲ πολλὰς εὐκαρπίας τοῖς ναῦσιν οὕτως καλούμενὰς, καὶ τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις ἵεροις ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ Ἑλληνικὸν· καὶ τοῦτο τοῦ νότου μεθειστήκεν.
That the nose was the defining feature of the place may also be implied by the *Geography* of Arrian’s contemporary, Claudius Ptolemy (5.6.6), who locates a certain Athenōn Akron after Rhizous Limen and Chordybe. This said, his coordinates demonstrate that he conceived Pontic Athens as a clear-cut promontory, standing out more pronouncedly from the coastline than the actual nose does.\(^{16}\) It would thus seem to me that this little Athens on the Euxine coast was significant enough to extend its name to the whole cape between the city of Çayeli to its west and Beyaztaş, an eastern quarter of Pazar, to the east. The diameter of this promontory, beside whose nose Athenai sits, is about 20 km.\(^{17}\) However, to understand Ptolemy’s perspective, we need to turn to older editions of his *Geography*, which have not yet changed the transmitted Ἀθηνῶν ἄκρον to Ἀδιηνὸν ἄκρον.\(^{18}\) Adienos has been mentioned above as a river and the last station before Athenai, probably now the Büyük Çayı, which merges into the Black Sea at the west end of the aforesaid Çayeli. The latter, in turn, seems to be the predecessor to the station Ardinium, which the *Tabula Peutingeriana* locates 16 miles (ca. 24 km) before Athenai.\(^{19}\) The ancient tradition that reads Ἀθηνῶν ἄκρον appears sufficiently consistent to me, so that I do not see a reason for ‘correcting’ it to Ἀδιηνὸν ἄκρον.

It is not entirely clear whether Arrian’s *Periplous* implies that there existed a settlement however small at Athenai. Its representation or its neglect in modern scholarship conveys the impression that the place was entirely abandoned. In accordance with this view, Arrian does not refer to any interpersonal encounters with locals during his involuntary visit.\(^{20}\) But I would rather assume that there was a village at his time, because he only calls the ‘fort neglected’ (rather than abandoned) and speaks of the ‘anchorage’ (*hormos*) ‘as if it were abandoned and without name’. That this is a contrary-to-fact comparison should be obvious, because Arrian does give us a name for

---

16 The transmitted coordinates are οα˚ - μγ˚ Lδ’ = 71˚ - 43˚ 45’. Stückelberger – Graßhoff 2006, vol. 2, 514f. correct in οα˚ (δ’) - μγ˚ Lδ’ = 71˚ (15’) - 43˚ 45’, but their map on p. 847 (‘Karte Asien 1’) represents the original location, whereas the ‘correction’ would yield a relatively even coastline. Also see next n.

17 I recommend the satellite images of *Google Maps*, to gain an impression of the coastline and the location of Pazar.

18 The reading of the *codd.* is maintained by Nobbe 1843-45/1966, 27; cf. Miller 1916, 648. Stückelberger – Graßhoff 2006, vol. 2, 514 reference the ed. by Müller 1883/1901, which is inaccessible to me. But Wikipedia.com s.v. Athenae (Pontus) may give us a clue, since it refers to the geographer Konrad Mannert (ca. 1800) for the assumption that Ps.-Skylax, *Asia* 83 meant to denote Pontic Athens as *Odeinios*, but this is called a *polis* and probably relates to Adienios/Ardinium, on which see below.

19 Cf. Miller 1916, 648 and Silberman 1995, 28 for some minor variation and further ancient sources; ‘Kanlıdere’ or rather Kanlıdere seems to be an older name for the town, which is still maintained in the name of a minibus station, see *Google Maps* for Çayeli Kanlıdere Minibüs Durağı. I could not verify the name ‘Kemer Burun’, which Stückelberger – Graßhoff 2006, vol. 2, 515 propose. It may relate to the much larger promontory east of Çayeli, on whose tip Athenai/Pazar is located.

20 Cf. Counillon 2004/14, 61; Belfiore 2009, 160; Dewing – Kaldellis 2014, 465 n. 741: ‘Arrian ... who says that it was abandoned.’
the place, and further derives it from the sanctuary of Athena located there. He does not, however, explain who had established the sanctuary in the first place.

After Arrian and Ptolemy, our record is silent again for about two centuries. While not yet mentioned in the 3rd-century *Itinerarium Antonini*, Athenai figures again in the *Tabula Peutingeriana* (10.3f.), where it is located in the middle of the route from Trapezous to Apsaros, in-between *Reila/Rhizaion and Ardinium* to its left and *Abgabes* to its right. It also shows up in the Late-Roman anonymous *Periplous*, which reproduces much of Arrian’s text, and the Byzantine *Cosmographia Ravennatis*. Accordingly, Pontic Athens must have received a relay station of the *cursus publicus* by the 4th century.

2) Tracing the Origin of Pontic Athens

There is one further mention of Athenai at the end of antiquity. In the 6th century, Prokopios writes:

> Beyond Rhizaion are found the boundaries of independent peoples, who live between the Romans and the Lazoi. There is a certain village named Athenai, not, as some suppose, because Athenian colonists settled there, but because a certain woman named Athenaia ruled over the land in early times. Her tomb is still there even to my day.

Although Prokopios dedicates no more than this short paragraph to the ‘village’, as he now classifies the settlement, he feels the need to contradict rumours that it derived from Attika. But his recourse to an eponymous Lady Athenaia carries little weight. He seems to have fallen prey to a local legend, apparently a Christian reinterpretation of the Athena cult. At any rate, claiming one’s origin from erstwhile glorious Athens a millennium after its naval thalassocracy had collapsed is not in itself a strong argument either. At least, some compelling traces in the material remains or literary evidence would be desirable to support such a connection. Due to their absence, scholars agree with Prokopios on rejecting the villagers’ lofty pretensions. But we need to be cautious not to jump too quickly to a conclusion that is methodologically unsound: we cannot, on the one hand, deny an Athenian settlement because of the lack of archaeological data from the Classical period, while admitting on the other hand that there is no evidence for a Ro-

---

21 There does not yet seem to have existed an imperial road along the coast connecting Trapezous with other cities, let alone Athenai. The former was connected by land only to Satala via the Zigana Pass: *Itin. Ant.* 216.4-217.4; cf. Erciyas 2007, 1199.

22 Anon. *PPE* 8r40-43 ed. Diller 1952, 126. Cf. Tomaschek 1896, 2022; Miller 1916; 648f.

23 On the Late-Roman *cursus publicus*, a much-enhanced version of the high-imperial communication system, see Lemcke 2016.

24 Prokop. *Bell.* 8.2.2.10: Μετὰ δὲ τὸ Ριζαῖον αὐτονόμων ἀνθρώπων ὅροι ἐκδέχονται, οἱ δὲ Ῥωμαίων τε καὶ Λαζών μεταξὺ θητοῦνται καὶ κέρμα τις, Ἀθηναι ὄνομα, ἐνταῦθα οἰκεῖται, οὐχ ὅτι Ἀθηναίων ἄποικοι, ὡσπερ τινὲς οἴονται, τίδε ἅρόσαντο, ἀλλὰ γνώτῃ τις Ἀθηναι ὄνομα ἐν τοῖς ἄνω χρόνοις κυρία ἐγγέγονε τῆς χώρας, ἢστερ ὁ τάφος ἐνταῦθα καὶ εἰς ἐμὲ ἔστη. The Greek text follows the ed. by Dewing 1914-1928; cf. Veh 1978. The translation has been adapted from Dewing – Kaldellis 2014, 465.

25 Belfiore 2009, 160 gives more credit to Prokopios’ explanation.
man imperial temple, harbour or settlement. Applying the *argumentum e silentio* would ultimately compel us to reject much of Arrian’s report as fabricated.  

And yet, skepticism seems to be well founded, given that Xenophon does not mention Pontic Athens in his *Anabasis*, which, among other exploits, reports the campaigns of the 10,000 Greek mercenaries in the area around Trapezous in 400 BC. The silence of Pseudo-Skylax (4th cent. BC) and Strabo of Amaseia (late-1st cent. BC) may raise further suspicion. On closer inspection, however, I wonder if we should not give more credit to the rumours which Prokopios rejects too easily. First of all, it would be difficult to explain otherwise the existence of a temple of Athena in such a remote place on the fringes of the Greek world, if not beyond. Athena was none of the well-known emanations of the Anatolian, Armenian or Kolchian version of the Mother Goddess, who was venerated under the names of Kybele, Artemis, Demeter, Aphrodite, Anaitis or Phasiane. The latter was a typical city goddess, but no one has so far claimed that Pontic Athens ever was a *polis* in antiquity. Arrian’s description reveals its nature as a fortified harbour place, perhaps originally an *emporion*. Since the massive mountain range blocks it from extensive trade with its hinterland, its orientation must have been maritime. In fact, Athena, was also venerated as protectress of sailors, in which capacity she is attested as of the days of Homer. Her role as patron deity of the Athenian League after the transfer of its treasury from Delos to the Athenian Akropolis in 454 BC further strengthened her maritime profile.  

Arrian does not directly address the Greekness of the place or its population, although I assume he took this for granted, since the place boasted a 'Hellenic' temple and called itself *Athenai*. Anthony Bryer – David Winfield have put forward an alternative explanation. Positing a Laz etymology (i.e. descent from a language related to Georgian or Kolchian), they suggest reading *Athenai* as ‘Shady Place’. But, if so, the name would have come first, and the choice of the cult goddess would have resulted from a Greek reinterpretation of the toponymic.
While folk etymologies may indeed play an important role in ethnic constructs, I do not see why we should concede a Laz etymology to a place so far away from Kolchis or the Laz Kingdom proper. The idea of a prehistoric Greater Kolchis extending from the Caucasus to the territory of Trapezous is no more than modern wishful thinking. But even if this is granted, Herodotos locates the Kolchians (as vassals of the Achaemenid kings) north of the Lesser Caucasus. The Kolchians mentioned by Xenophon in the environs of Trapezous appear disconnected from the actual Kolchian territory, and their name is best explained as an ideological construct of the Sinopeans or Trapezuntines, which barely corresponded to a reality on the ground. It is telling that both Pliny and Prokopios locate the Lazoï in the Kolchian plain north of the Phasis, and Strabo implicitly tries to reject the existence of a little Kolchis around the city of Trapezous. We thus do not have to speculate when in the course of antiquity the Lazian ethnic was extended to the peoples of the eastern-Pontic mountains.

In contrast, Athens in Attica could provide a much more plausible context. Its citizens began showing interest in Black Sea trade from the late-6th century onwards. While the activities of Miltiades (and probably also of the ancestors of the historiographer Thukydides) concentrated on and around the Propontis, the defeat of Xerxes and the conquest of the Hellespont and Byzantion reopened the Bosporos for them (479-478 BC). In the subsequent decades, Attic ware poured into the Euxine. That Athenian activities went beyond trade is best documented in Plutarch’s Life of Perikles, under whom settlers were sent to Sinope. Probably around the same time, Amisos received new colonists from Athens under a certain Athenokles, as Strabo attests. Russell Meiggs has convincingly established the years 438/435 as the most plausible timeframe, to coincide with the settlement of Amphipolis in southern Thrace by the strategos Hagnon (437/36 BC). Athenian engagement in the Black Sea resulted in ‘abundant supplies from the Euxine, which flowed freely until the Sicilian disaster crippled Athenian naval power’. More recently, David Braund elaborated on Plutarch’s testimony, arguing convincingly that we should conceive

---

30 Xen. Anab. 4.8.8-23, esp. § 22, which locates Trapezous within Kolchis; cf. Arr. PPE 7.1; 11.1, who calls the Trapezuntines neighbours of the Kolchoi. See Janssens 1967, 36f. for my interpretation. For an advocate of a Greater Kolchis in the later Bronze and early Iron Ages, see Lordkipanidze 1996, 153-158. For a sober distinction between early Kolchian culture and the assumption of a unified kingdom, see Braund 1994, 89-92; cf. Tsetskhadze 1998, 115-129; 191, who sees the beginning of a Kolchian kingdom in Vani in the 6th or 5th century, but considers it relatively weak.

31 Plin. Nat. Hist. 6.4.12; Prokop. Bell. 8.2.1-4; with Coşkun, forthcoming a, pace Braund 1991.

32 Strab. Geogr. 12.3.18 (548f.C) avoids the name Kolchis for the immediate environs of Trapezous, whereas other instances still reflect the tradition represented by Xenophon: Strab. Geogr. 7.4.3 (309C) and 12.3.13 (547C). See Coşkun in preparation b for discussion; also Podossinov 2012.

33 Cf. Janssens 1967, 49f.

34 Plut. Perikl. 20.1f.; Strab. Geogr. 12.3.14 (547C); cf. Nollé 1997; Surikov 2001 (reconstruction of Perikles’ campaign and extended bibliography); Summerer 2005, 149f., 152 (defending the date in the mid-430s BC); Belfiore 2009, 160 (though dating it to ca. 423 BC [which, however, is six years after Perikles’ death] and denying a connection with Pontic Athens); Roller 2018, 702. Also see Ehrhardt 1999; Dan 2009, 84 (Sinope); Avram 2013, 26-30 and 39 (Amisos); 257-265 (Sinope).

35 Thuk. 4.102.3 and Plut. Perikl. 32.2 on Amphipolis; see Meiggs 1972/1999, 197-199; cf. Nollé 1997, 162f.; Lehmann 2008, 194f.; Braund 2005, 81-83; also de Boer 2005, 168-172, who, however, prefers a date shortly after 450 BC for Perikles’ mission (without engaging with Meiggs’ arguments).
of Perikles’ campaign as a major feat which established effective Athenian hegemony over the entire Black Sea region.\footnote{Braund 2005, 80: ‘Moreover, while Thucydides had no overwhelming reason to relate the Pontic expedition, Plutarch’s narrative is impressively matter-of-fact: there is no great moralizing or rhetorical point here, but a narrative which, in itself, gives scant reason for suspicion as to its accuracy.’ Previously, some scholars questioned the historicity of Plutarch’s allegation or downplayed Athenian interest in the Black Sea, e.g., Ferrarese 1972; Mattingly 1996; cf. Welwei 1999, 383 n. 209. But see below for more positive assessments.}

By the time the Peloponnesian War broke out, Sinope, Peiraios (Amisos) and Nymphaion were members of the Athenian League; Herakleia, Olbia and Apollonia possibly had the same status, and the Spartokid rulers of Pantikapaion were loyal friends of Athens. Athenian hegemony was not confined to those big poleis, but also included less important settlements. Many of them escaped the historical record, knowledge of others only barely came down to us, such as of Athenaiōn Limen near Theodosia on the Crimea, which is only attested in a Late Antique source.\footnote{Anon. \textit{PPE} 12v5 (52); cf. Belfiore 2009, 217; Diller 1952, 133 reads εἰς Ἀθηναιῶνα λιμένα; since she does not comment on this form, I assume that it is her slip. Less certain is the case of Agathopolis/Buatium/Achtopoli on the Bulgarian coast, which is discussed by de Boer 2005, 173-177. Meiggs 1972/1999 does not mention Olbia, Apollonia, Pontic Athens, Trapezous or Kolchian cities, but adds (p. 199) the take-over of Astakos in the Propontis, a former Megarite colony, and (p. 329, with Aischines 3.171 and Krateros, FGH 342 F 8) Nymphaion.}

Based on the material evidence, we can be sure that Athens also entertained close connections along the Kolchian coast, not only with Phasis, but also with Pichvnari and other sites,\footnote{On Athenian presence or dominance in the Kolchian area of Pichvnari and in Phasis, see Tsakhladze 1994, 87-89; also 1998, 104-106, listing Sinope, Peraia (correct to Peiraios), Herakleia (see below, n. 40), Apollonia, Olbia and Nymphaion as new members of the Athenian League around 436); 107f. (Athenian settlement in Kolchis aided by Sinope); 178-180 (Athenian engagement as of 470s); 193. Also see Tsakhladze 1999, 103-108; Kakhidze 2005, 116-118 (identifying Pichvnari as centre of Athenian trade in the area); Vickers – Kakhidze 2008. Cf. Marek 2003, 30.} including Gyenos and Dioskouri(a)s, which also figure in the \textit{Periplous} of Pseudo-Skylax.\footnote{Ps.-Skylax, \textit{Asia} 81, ed. Müller 1855 (cf. Counillon 2004/14, 59 for variants): ΚΟΛΧΟΙ. Μετά δὲ τούτων Κόλχοι ἔθνος καὶ Διοσκουρίς πόλις καὶ Γυηνός πόλις Ἑλληνις καὶ Γυηνός ποταμός καὶ Χερόμιος ποταμός, Χόρος ποταμός, Ἀριός ποταμός, Φάσις ποταμός, Διοσκουρίς Ἑλληνις πόλις, καὶ ἀνάπλους ἀνὰ τὸν ποταμὸν σταδίων ρπ’, εἰς πόλιν (μάλην) μεγάλην βάρβαρον, δένθεν η Μήδεια ἦν ἔνταφος ἑστι Ρις ποταμός, Ἀριός ποταμός, Διοσκουρίς ποταμός, Νικόπολις ποταμός, Φάσις ποταμός, Διοσκουρίς ποταμός. Dioskourias/Sebastopolis is traditionally located near modern Sukhumi, Gyenos at Ochamchire and Phasis near Poti. To be added is Pityous, normally identified with modern Pitsunda/Bitchvinta: Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 11.2.14 (496C); \textit{Plin. Nat. Hist.} 6.5.16; \textit{Ptol. Geogr.} 5.6.6. We have no literary or epigraphic evidence for the settlement (polis?) at Pichvnari in southern Kolchis. Most of these places were founded by the Milesians or at least under their authority, although Sinope seems to have taken over control of some of them after the fall of their mother city, though yielded to Athens for much of the 5th century. Cf. esp. Tsakhladze 1998 and 1999; also Ehrhardt 1988; Braund 1994; Lordkipanidze 1996; Nollé 1997. I shall propose different locations for Pityous, Dioskourias, Sebastopolis and Gynenos/Kygnos elsewhere (Coşkun in preparation a); cf. Kießling 1913, 1916.} By implication, this would result in the need to establish safe harbour places along the northern coast of Asia Minor. David Braund has added many observations drawn from literary and epigraphic
sources to prove how densely the whole of the Propontis and Black Sea littoral was integrated into the Athenian Empire in the third quarter of the 5th century BC, probably starting with Pericles. He corroborates his argument by showing that interest in Black Sea topics and Argonautic themes increased significantly in Athenian literature during the late 430s and 420s BC.

Against this background, it is a plausible assumption that the Athenians tried to control as much of the littoral as possible. Their indirect control of Amisos and Trapezous would follow naturally from the league membership of Sinope, which had become the hegemonial power in the area after the destruction of its mother city Miletos by the Persians. Amisos was refounded or at least enhanced under Athenian auspices by the abovementioned Athenokles. Safeguarding an anchor place half-way between Trapezous and the mouth of the Akampsis respectively would have been a most plausible step around the same time, given the scarcity of fortified settlements on the littoral in-between Trapezous and Phasis on the one hand and the bad reputation of the mountain-dwellers in the Paryadres, Skydises and Moschian Mountains as dangerous barbarians on the other.

The silence of our literary tradition prior to the days of Arrian is, in fact, not too difficult to explain. To start with the Periplous of Pseudo-Skylax, this is normally considered a 4th-century-BC compilation of older periploi, possibly also containing materials of the late-6th-century-BC periegetes Skylax of Karyanda. Since nothing in the Euxine sections implies autopsy, the second half of the 6th century may indeed be the best fit for his information on the south-eastern littoral. On the one hand, the ancient name of the sizeable polis of Pichvnari is not yet mentioned (and thus

---

40 Braund 2005 emphasizes an interest of Euxine cities to become allies of Athens, but questions local enthusiasm for receiving settlers (pp. 81f.). Further relevant are the Black Sea poleis catalogued in the highly fragmentary Tribute List of 425/24 BC (pp. 83f., 87, specifying the number of 44, although not a single name can be read for sure, with IG I 71.1V = PHI 72; cf. Meiggs 1972/1999, 328f., speaks of 40 to 50 cities; Mattingly 2008, 84). Also see Braund’s considerations regarding the relations between Olbia, Sinope and Athens (pp. 83f.); Nymphaion and Pantikapaion, even wondering about Athenian influence on the replacement of the Archaianaktids by the Spartokids (pp. 85f.; cf. Mattingly 2008, 82f.); Kolchis, with Pichvnari, Dioskourias and King Aietes, who could be a contemporary of Perikles, since his grandson is mentioned by Xen. Anab. 5.6.37 (p. 86f., cf. 90 with reference to Euripides’ Medea in 431 BC); Lamachos’ campaign to Herakleia (pp. 87-89, with Thuk. 4.75; Just. 16.3.9-12; cf. Mattingly 2008, 82-84). Cf. Kakhidze 2005, 117 for listing Atina among the league members; Brock 2009, 159, also referencing Aristot. Pol. 1305b1-12 for Histria; Azoulay 2014, 73-76 for the Bosporan campaign in the context of Perikles’ long-term imperial agenda. Cf. de Boer 2005; Summerer 2005, 149f.; Sens 2009, 165; Samons II 2016, 128, 134. It may further be of some bearing that Perikles’ father Xanthippos had held a naval command in the Hellespontic area (Hdt. 8.131) and possibly concluded friendship relations (xeniai) there (Samons II 2016, 48 and 242 n. 48).

41 Braund 2005, 91-98. One may add the innovation of the Medea myth. It was probably around this time that she was fabricated to have moved from Iolkos or Korinth or Thebes to Athens, to become the wife of Aigeus, before returning to Kolchis with her son Medos. She is then said to have killed the usurper Perses, and her son to have conquered the Medes. See Apollod. Bibl. 1.9.28 (147) and Hygin. Fab. 27; according to Strab. Geogr. 11.13.10 (526C); cf. 11.2.18 (498C), Medea returned together with Jason, and they were succeeded by their son Medos. For discussion, see Gantz 1993, 372f. and De Siena 2001, 90-93; cf. Mariotta – Magnelli 2012, 195.

42 See below, n. 50, on Sinope.

43 See von Arnim 1927, 635-646, esp. 644; cf., e.g., Tsetskhadze 1998, 15f.
escapes us entirely); on the other hand, Pseudo-Skylax lists three poleis in-between the Arion River (Apsaros?/Akampsis?) and Trapezous: Limne, Odeinios, Becheiria, names that vanished from our records thereafter.⁴⁴ There is a good chance that Alain Silberman is right and Odeinios is related to the abovementioned river Adienos or town Ardiniun.⁴⁵ If so, then Limne could point to a harbour nearby, possibly a former apoikia of the Milesians or Phokaians that the Athenians resettled and renamed after their own home city.⁴⁶ Limne is classified as a polis, which probably reflects its status not long after its first foundation. That this city did not live on may be due to the repercussions of the Ionian Revolt (499-494 BC). This leaves open whether the sanctuary at Athenai had been dedicated to Athena (Polias?) before the Athenians took over the place.⁴⁷ This is possible, but a foundation under Athenian auspices in the 430s BC is the most likely.⁴⁸

3) Pontic Athens after the End of Athenian Thalassocracy

Athenian hegemony in the Euxine Sea was shortlived and probably disintegrated in the aftermath of the Sicilian disaster in 413 BC. Alkibiades could briefly re-establish the Athenian ‘life-line’ or ‘wheat-route’ through the Aegean, to reconnect Attica with the grain producers in the Black Sea, but it is unlikely that the Athenians had the resources to reassert their imperial rule in the area. Any hopes of a revival of their archē were dashed at Aigospotamoi in 405 BC. When Xenophon joined Prince Cyrus for his Persian campaign in 401 BC, Athenian thalassocracy was history. Cyrus’ death at Ktesiphon forced the 10,000 Greek mercenaries to withdraw ingloriously. In his Anabasis, Xenophon reports their march along the Tigris through Armenia to Trapezous. Although they campaigned in the immediate environs of this city, there is no reason to assume that they went more than three days east, whereas Athenai lay at least five or six days east. As a whole, the Anabasis reflects that Xenophon had no interest in geo-historical explorations that exceeded his immediate itinerary or potential strategical considerations. Indeed, one might even gain the impression that his conception of Trapezous before his physical arrival there had been very limited, and that he is not assuming any detailed knowledge among his readers in the Greek motherland either, when he wrote his account a few decades after the

---

⁴⁴ Ps.-Skylax, Asia, ed. Müller 1855 (cf. Counillon 2004/14, 60 for variants): (82) ΒΥΖΗΡΕΣ. Μετά δὲ τοὺς Κόλχους Βύζηρες ἔθνος καὶ Δαραανῶν ποταμός καὶ Ἀρίων ποταμός. (83) ΕΚΕΧΕΙΡΙΕΙΣ. Μετά δὲ Βό-ζηρας Ἐκεχειρεῖς ἔθνος, καὶ ποταμός Πορδάνις, καὶ Ἀραβὶς ποταμός. Λίμνη πόλις, Ὡδείνιος πόλις Ἑλλη-νίς. (84) ΒΕΧΕΙΡΙΚΗ. Μετὰ Βέχειροι ἔθνος, Βεχειρικὸς λιμήν, Βεχειριὰς πόλις Ἑλληνίς. (85) ΜΑΚΡΟΚΕΦΑΛΟΙ. Μετὰ δὲ Βεχειριάς Μακροκέφαλοι ἔθνος, καὶ Ψωρῶν λιμήν, Τραπεζοῦς πόλις Ἑλληνίς.

⁴⁵ Counillon 2004/14, 60 reads Hodeinios. See above, with n. 18.

⁴⁶ The identity of Limne and Athenai is also suggested by Belfiore 2009, 160. Without this assumption, Wikipedia.com s.v. Pazar, Rize is the only reference I could find so far for the assumption of a Milesian origin of Athenai, though the 8th century BC is certainly too early; cf. Ehrhardt 1988 for context.

⁴⁷ Athena Polias played an important role in Miletos, Phokaia and Teos as well as in their colonies, although Ehrhardt 1988, 162-164 points out that her cult lost prominence after Miletos quitted the Athenian League in 412 BC. Pontic Athens is not considered by Ehrhardt. A possible hint at Phokaian presence in the area could be the Leukotheion in Kolchis, see Coşkun, forthcoming b.

⁴⁸ This is not to say that the Athenians actively pursued the spread of Athena’s cult, other than that they compelled the league members to contribute to the cult expenses for Athena Parthenos at Athens, and perhaps that either Athenian settlers or allies were sometimes inclined to establish cults for ‘Athena who rules in Athens’, as attested on Samos, Chalkis and Kos; see Parker 2008, 146-150; cf. Giovannini 2008.
events.\textsuperscript{49} Hence, Xenophon’s \textit{Anabasis} might not even have mentioned Pontic Athens, had it been an average-sized \textit{polis} at the time.

The evidence that is currently available does not permit us to know whether Athenai was still a functional \textit{polis} or at least \textit{polichnion} around 400 BC or had been abandoned by most of its inhabitants. It may have been no more than an isolated anchorage, possibly not yet taken over by Sinope. At any rate, the latter city-state was already established as the new power in the area when Xenophon sojourned in Trapezous in 400 BC.\textsuperscript{50} We hear little about the Pontic coast over the next centuries, but Sinope may have maintained its lead until it gradually ceded control to the Mithradatic rulers. Eventually, King Pharmakes I of Pontos captured the city in 183 BC, to turn it into his main residence.\textsuperscript{51}

But the best days of the Sinopean eastern trade network may well have been over by this time. There are various indications that point to a regional climate change, which resulted in the rise of the sea level and the gradual conversion of large parts of the coast into swamp land. Kolchian harbours in particular became ever more difficult to access and thus costly to maintain. According to Gocha Tsetskhladze, this is the main reason why Pichvnari was ultimately abandoned. This reconstruction aligns with Strabo’s descriptions of the sandy estuaries along the Kolchian coast, and possibly also with Prokopios’ opaque remark on the difficulty to navigate along the south-eastern edge of the Black Sea.\textsuperscript{52}

Most likely, then, natural and economic reasons induced Sinope to concentrate on fewer anchorages, which would have been easier to run while providing access to more profitable busi-
ness partners. Gradually, the larger trade fleets would bypass Athenai just as Odeinios or Pichv-nari and commute directly from Sinope, Amisos or Trapezous to Phasis, Dioskourias or Pityous. These are the routes repeatedly mentioned by Strabo and Arrian.\textsuperscript{53} Trapezous, too, must have suffered substantially when the economy was shrinking. Although Strabo mentions this city frequently, he addresses it as the last outpost of Greek civilization in north-eastern Pontos and once even as the last station before Phasis. He has surprisingly little to say about its harbour or urban centre.\textsuperscript{54} Likewise, the attention that Arrian pays this city is largely homage to Xenophon’s \textit{Anabasis}.\textsuperscript{55} At least, Trapezous had fertile plains in its hinterland so that it could sustain itself much better than others.

This reconstruction would sufficiently explain why Strabo was unaware of any settlements between Trapezous and Phasis City.\textsuperscript{56} In the same light, we should read the silence of Pseudo-Skymnos, whose \textit{Periplus} was written sometime in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} half of the 2\textsuperscript{nd} century BC, as well as the lack of \textit{poleis} in Arrian’s account of the area. This does, of course, not exclude the continued existence of some villages along the coast, such as the one around the sanctuary of Athena, but it required a serious storm for Arrian even to take note of Pontic Athens.

Not even the fortification of the eastern \textit{limes} under the Flavian Emperors brought about a change for the better to the eastern Pontic and southern Kolchian coast, as indirectly attested by Arrian and perhaps also implied by Claudius Ptolemy. Since Athenai does not resurface in our literary evidence before the \textit{Tabula Peutingeriana}, just as Ardinium/Odeinios, the intensified infrastructure of the Later Roman Empire may have led to a limited surge. Prokopios can be adduced as a witness for this development: in the 6\textsuperscript{th} century: he is the first to mention the village Susurnena at the eastern end of Trapezuntine territory, close to the mouth of the Rhizaion, where there was also a \textit{chorion}. Athenai is finally addressed as a ‘village’ (\textit{kome}), and Apsaros, which Arrian had mentioned as an important garrison, had developed into a larger city.\textsuperscript{57}

\textsuperscript{53} Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 11.2.14 (496C) for Bata (between the Sindians and Caucasian Achaians) - Sinope; 11.2.16 (498C) for Dioskourias - Phasis; 11.2.17 (498C) for Phasis - Amisos and Phasis - Sinope; 12.3.17 (548C) for Amisos - Trapezous - Phasis (also Kolchis). Also Arr. \textit{PPE} 10.4 for Trapezous - Sebastopolis/Dioskourias, although this summarizes the more detailed preceding \textit{periplus} (\textit{PPE} 3-10); 17.1 for Trapezous - Diskourias/Sebastopolis; 18.1 for Dioskourias - Pityous. A minor change is indicated by Plin. \textit{Nat. Hist.} 6.4.12-14, who gives the distance for Trapezous - Apsaros - Phasis - Sebastopolis. Apsaros (modern Gonio) on the bank of the Akampsis had developed into an important military fortress, see below, n. 57 for further references.

\textsuperscript{54} The only thing he has to say - beyond describing its environs - is that it was a Greek city: Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 12.3.17f. (548C), where also the rulers of Pontos are listed; cf. 13.3.28 (555C). Trapezous as landmark or end point: Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 7.4.3 (309C); 7.6.2 (320C). Other mention: 7. F21b; 11.2.18 (499C).

\textsuperscript{55} Arr. \textit{PPE} 1f.; on his literary design and his use of Xenophon, see Rood 2011. Cf. Erciyas 2007, 1199 ‘Trapezus was relatively insignificant until at least the 9\textsuperscript{th} century.’

\textsuperscript{56} Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 11.2.17 (498C) and 12.3.13 (547C).

\textsuperscript{57} Prokop. \textit{Bell.} 8.2.12f. Also \textit{Bell.} 8.2.2.11-14; 8.2.4.1 and Arr. \textit{PPE} 6.1 on Apsaros; cf. Plin. \textit{Nat. Hist.} 6.4.12 (as below) and \textit{CIL} 10.1.1202 = \textit{ILS} 2660; and Bryer – Winfield 1985, 350f.; Braund 1994, 181-187; Silberman 1995, 27f.; Tsetskhladze 1998, 117-124; Liddle 2003, 5-12; 95f. But the southern littoral of Kolchis may not yet have recovered in the 6\textsuperscript{th} century AD: Prokop. \textit{Bell.} 8.2.4.29, cf. Strab. \textit{Geogr.} 11.2.17 (498C); Plin. \textit{Nat. Hist.} 6.10.29: \textit{Colchicæ solitudines}; Arr. \textit{PPE} 7-11; Coşkun, forthcoming a, \textit{pace} Braund 1991.
Map: Pontos and Southern Kolchis. From Sinope to Phasis
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Pontos Atina’sı
Kendi Tarihî-Coğrafî İçerÎÎinde bir Atina Emporion’u
Öz

Tüm antik yerleşimler içerisinde en az öneme sahip olan ve Athenai olarak adlandırılan yerleşim Pontos kıysında Trapezous’un doğusunda yer almaktadır. Yerleşim ismini 20. yüzyıl içlerine kadar muhafaza etmiş ve daha sonra Pazar olarak yeniden adlandırılmıştır. Arrianus’un bu kho- rionun (gümüzüze kalan) ilk tasvirini bize sunması, Roma donanmasının bazı böümlerini onun limanına demirlemesini zorunlu kılan bir fırtına sebebiyledir (İS 132). İS 6. yüzyılda Prokopios sadece daha ünlü bir adaşından kurulmuş olduğunu gösteren yerel geleneği yalanlamak adına bu köyden bahseder. Bilim insanları bu şüpheci yaklaşımu paylaşmışlardır ve bundan dolayı Pontos Atina’sını büyük oranda dipnotlara indirgemisler ya da onu tümden unutulmaya mahkûm etmişlerdir. Fakat hiçbir şey, bunu Perikles’in Karadeniz’e yaptığı gezinin (yak. İÖ 437/5) bir sonucu olarak düşünmekten daha mantıklı değildir. Athenai, Limne adındaki bir Miletos apoikiası olarak tarih öncesi bir geçişe sahip olabilir. Atina thalassokrasisinin (deniz hükümranlığının) yıkılmasını (İÖ 405) ardından oynamış olduğu rol ise daha az kesindir. İÖ 2. yüzyıl başlarında Pontos Krallığı’na dahil edilmeden olasılıkla Sinope egemenliğinde yer almıştır. Ancak Pontos Atina’sı bu dönemde Trapezous ve Phasis arasındaki alanda gerçekleşen ekonomik çöküşü yaşamıştır. Her şey rağmen bir köy olarak varlığını sürdürmüş ve bu durum da bir polikhnion olarak varlığını devam ettirme de yüzyıllar boyu tarihi kayıtlardan nasıl gözden kaçabildiğini göstermektedir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Pontos Atina’sı/Atina/Pazar, Atina, Trabzon/Trapezous, Miletos, Perikles, Arrianos, Prokopios, Karadeniz ticareti.

Pontic Athens
An Athenian Emporion in Its Geo-Historical Context

Abstract

The least significant of all ancient settlements called Athenai was located east of Trapezous on the Pontic coast. It maintained its name well into the 20th century, when its successor was renamed Pazar. That Arrian provides us with the first (surviving) description of this chorion is due to a storm that compelled him to anchor parts of the Roman fleet in its little harbour (AD 132).
In the 6th century, Prokopios mentions the village only to refute the local tradition that it had been founded by its more famous namesake. Scholars have shared this skepticism and thus largely relegated Pontic Athens to footnotes or condemned it to complete oblivion. But nothing is more plausible than regarding it as a result of Perikles’ expedition to the Euxine (ca. 437/35 BC). Athenai may have a pre-history as a Milesian apoikia called Limne. Less certain is the role it played after the breakdown of Athenian thalassocracy (405 BC). It probably stood under Sinopean hegemony, before being absorbed into the Pontic kingdom in the early-2nd century BC. By then, however, Pontic Athens had shared the economic downturn of the area between Trapezous and Phasis. It lived on as a village regardless, and thus demonstrates that a polichnion could escape the historical record for centuries without ceasing to exist.

*Keywords:* Pontic Athens/Atina/Pazar, Athens, Trapezous, Miletos, Perikles, Arrian, Prokopios, Black-Sea trade.