Lipid in Chips: A Brief Review of Liposomes Formation by Microfluidics
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Abstract: Liposomes are ubiquitous tools in biomedical applications, such as drug delivery, membrane science and artificial cell. Micro- and nanofabrication techniques have revolutionized the preparation of liposomes on the microscale. State-of-the-art liposomal formation on microfluidic chips and its associated applications are introduced in this review. We attempt to provide a reference for liposomal researchers by comparing various microfluidic techniques for liposomes formation.
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Introduction

Liposomes, or lipid vesicles, are artificially synthesized by one or several phospholipid bilayers entrapping an aqueous core, sizing from nanometers to hundreds of micrometers. First discovered in the 1960s, liposomes have become a practical tool in drug delivery, membrane science or synthesis of artificial cells due to their similarity to cells and natural vesicles and simplicity to form, operate and modify.

The overwhelming success of lipid-based mRNA vaccines has brought a glimpse of hope in addressing the COVID-19 pandemic. Being a safe and efficacious carrier, liposomes can protect loaded materials from external degradation and thus are being rapidly developed as a multifunctional vaccine adjuvant-delivery system with a high capability in inducing desired immune responses for cancer treatment and vaccines. Moreover, breakthroughs in lipid-mediated gene delivery techniques such as targeted genome editing have been reported recently, which have ushered in a promising era for the treatment of genetic diseases. Meanwhile, liposomes have become an indispensable tool in membrane protein studies and synthetic organisms, helping to explore new drugs for cancer and other diseases, as well as elucidating complicated cellular functions.

The prevalence of liposomes in research facilitates the search for preparation methods in an economical and feasible way. In addition to reverse evaporation and ethanol injection, commonly used production methods include thin-film hydration, extrusion, electroformation, freeze-drying and double emulsion. Though commonly utilized because of their usability, these macroscale techniques are criticized for their inability to achieve precise control over the size and polydispersity of liposomes and insufficient exploitation of reagents and materials. For example, artificial cellular compartments require precise shape and size control to emulate living cells, yet it is extremely challenging (almost impossible) to achieve...
such precision using macroscale techniques. It has been acknowledged that a smaller liposome size (≤100 nm) may be suitable for enhancing the activity of an antitumor drug encapsulated in liposomes. The production of “limit size” liposomes with diameters less than 50 nm is only feasible using macroscale techniques such as sonication and homogenization; however, microfluidics easily allows scalable production of SUVs with a size of 20–50 nm. Besides, encapsulated materials within liposomes that dissolve in aqueous solution are sometimes exceedingly expensive (such as mRNA), and bulk methods are inevitably prone to poor reproducibility and material waste. The emergence of microfluidic technology solves this predicament, as liposome formation is narrowed down to a centimeter-level chip, greatly reducing the associated cost and improving liposomes’ production efficiency and maneuverability.

As an updated version of reviews covering a similar topic published earlier, this review focuses on the current state-of-the-art liposomal preparation within microfluidic chips and related applications are introduced. A comprehensive comparative analysis of various methods classified by design rationales is conducted to highlight their advantages and disadvantages. We intend to provide insightful guidance for each method and its specific application by illustrating the strength and limitations in detail.

### Characteristics of Liposome Formation

#### Size and Lamellarity

Lipid vesicles can be classified as unilamellar vesicles (UVs), oligolamellar vesicles (OLVs), multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) and multivesicular vesicles (MVVs) according to their lamellarity. Furthermore, UVs can be divided into small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) with a particle size of <100 nm, large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) with a particle size between 100 and 1000 nm, and giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) with a particle size > 1 μm according to their size (Figure 1). Generally, dynamic light scattering (DLS) and nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) techniques are used to evaluate the size distribution of vesicles. Common methods used to determine the lamellarity of liposomes include nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), to mention a few.

As a drug delivery system, MLVs and MVVs are more commonly used to achieve long-term drug delivery.

---

**Figure 1** Classification of vesicle size and lamellarity. Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) are less than 100 nm in diameter; large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) are between 100 and 1000 nm; and giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) are larger than 1 μm. Oligolamellar vesicles (OLVs) are similar to multilamellar vesicles (MLVs), comprised of between two and five concentric bilayers, whereas MLVs containing more than five. Multivesicular vesicles (MVVs) encapsulate multiple non-concentric bilayer liposomes.
To effectively and efficiently function, liposomes need to be prepared at a certain size to allow absorption into cells. Size is a critical parameter in determining liposome drug encapsulation, as well as half-life-in circulation and a smaller liposome diameter, which might be favorable in terms of optimizing in vivo drug release.\textsuperscript{50} Thus, SUVs are preferred as drug carriers for vaccines and antitumor drugs, to name a few.\textsuperscript{36,51} Since they are similar in size to cells, GUVs are more commonly used in membrane science and artificial cell synthesis.\textsuperscript{52} Differences in size result in differences in properties and applications, but monodispersity, one of the main motivators for utilizing microfluidic chips to prepare liposomes, must be ensured to maintain reproducibility.

**Stability**

The stability of vesicles is not only affected by the size and lipid composition but also by the physicochemical environment (such as pH and temperature) across the vesicles.\textsuperscript{53,54} For instance, interbilayer-crosslinked MLVs made by Moon et al retained ~95\% of their entrapped proteins when stored in PBS at 4°C for over 30 days.\textsuperscript{55} Incorporation of pupylation has also become a popular approach used to enhance stability.\textsuperscript{56,57} Compared to polyethylene glycol (PEG)-modified liposomes that could basically be unchanged after 2 months of storage, conventional liposomes lacking PEG-surfactants showed progressive aggregation and precipitation, suggesting PEG-modification improved the vesicles’ stability.\textsuperscript{57} The surface electrostatic potential of liposomes has been shown to play a key role in the binding constant and is involved in the uptake of active compounds.\textsuperscript{58} Generally, charged liposomes are not prone to aggregation due to electrostatic repulsion.\textsuperscript{59} The Zeta potential of liposomes, used to approximate the surface charge, can be determined by laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV).\textsuperscript{60}

**Encapsulation Efficiency**

Encapsulation efficiency (EE) is defined as the ratio of the drug encapsulated to the initial amount of drug in the system. It can be affected by many factors, such as drug properties, lipid size, and lamellarity and preparation methods. Effective separation of liposomes from the sample is the most critical step in determining EE. Commonly used separation methods for determining EE include centrifugation, dialysis, chromatography and ultrafiltration, to name a few. Quantification can be conducted after the separation and disruption of liposomes. Yet, NMR and fluorometry can directly determine EE without the separation step avoiding errors caused by the process (Table 1).

To effectively and efficiently function, liposomes need to be prepared at a certain size to allow absorption into cells. Size is a critical parameter in determining liposome drug encapsulation, as well as half-life-in circulation and a smaller liposome diameter, which might be favorable in terms of optimizing in vivo drug release.\textsuperscript{50} Thus, SUVs are preferred as drug carriers for vaccines and antitumor drugs, to name a few.\textsuperscript{36,51} Since they are similar in size to cells, GUVs are more commonly used in membrane science and artificial cell synthesis.\textsuperscript{52} Differences in size result in differences in properties and applications, but monodispersity, one of the main motivators for utilizing microfluidic chips to prepare liposomes, must be ensured to maintain reproducibility.

**Stability**

The stability of vesicles is not only affected by the size and lipid composition but also by the physicochemical environment (such as pH and temperature) across the vesicles.\textsuperscript{53,54} For instance, interbilayer-crosslinked MLVs made by Moon et al retained ~95\% of their entrapped proteins when stored in PBS at 4°C for over 30 days.\textsuperscript{55} Incorporation of pupylation has also become a popular approach used to enhance stability.\textsuperscript{56,57} Compared to polyethylene glycol (PEG)-modified liposomes that could basically be unchanged after 2 months of storage, conventional liposomes lacking PEG-surfactants showed progressive aggregation and precipitation, suggesting PEG-modification improved the vesicles’ stability.\textsuperscript{57} The surface electrostatic potential of liposomes has been shown to play a key role in the binding constant and is involved in the uptake of active compounds.\textsuperscript{58} Generally, charged liposomes are not prone to aggregation due to electrostatic repulsion.\textsuperscript{59} The Zeta potential of liposomes, used to approximate the surface charge, can be determined by laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV).\textsuperscript{60}

**Encapsulation Efficiency**

Encapsulation efficiency (EE) is defined as the ratio of the drug encapsulated to the initial amount of drug in the system. It can be affected by many factors, such as drug properties, lipid size, and lamellarity and preparation methods. Effective separation of liposomes from the sample is the most critical step in determining EE. Commonly used separation methods for determining EE include centrifugation, dialysis, chromatography and ultrafiltration, to name a few. Quantification can be conducted after the separation and disruption of liposomes. Yet, NMR and fluorometry can directly determine EE without the separation step avoiding errors caused by the process (Table 1).

**Yamamoto** et al developed nanoparticle exclusion chromatography for determining EE without pretreatment. Only 5 μL of a liposomal suspension and 3 min of analysis time were required to quantify the amount of unencapsulated drugs.\textsuperscript{67} Variance in separation methods may lead to different results. Therefore, it is necessary to choose a suitable method to obtain reliable EE. Notably, high EE does not imply better performance. Bioactive molecules such as proteins may be compromised by various physicochemical factors during the encapsulation, resulting in only a few liposomes that ultimately function normally.\textsuperscript{39}

**Microfluidic-Assisted Formation of Liposomes**

**Hydrodynamic Flow Focusing**

This method was first proposed by Jahn et al to prepare nanoscale lipid vesicles in microfluidic chips (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{68} The central channel of the lipids dissolved in alcohol was vertically connected to the two side channels with aqueous solutions. As the alcohol was mixed and diluted by the aqueous solutions to a critical concentration, the lipids self-assembled into liposomes spontaneously. Hydrodynamic flow focusing (HFF) can prepare monodisperse liposomes,
and their size can be tuned by adjusting the flow rate ratio (FRR) of the water phase to the lipid phase. Subsequent research confirmed that liposomes with similar size distribution could be prepared by adjusting FRR with different chip geometries and a decrease in FRR increases the vesicle size.\textsuperscript{69–71} The lipids composition and concentration are also identified as the primary factors influencing the size of the liposomes and polydispersity index.\textsuperscript{72,73} To further study the self-assembly processes of liposomes, Jahn et al further combined an HFF device with propane jet-freezing. Disclike intermediate structures formed at the interface of lipid–alcohol and buffer, revealing more details about the formation of nonequilibrium liposomes.\textsuperscript{74}

Balbino et al designed a double hydrodynamic focusing (DHF) device by adding an additional pair of channels based on HFF to produce unilamellar cationic liposomes.\textsuperscript{75} DHF doubled the production rate compared to HFF and can also adjust FRR to form liposomes possessing a smaller diameter, while the polydispersity index (PDI) was no different from HFF.\textsuperscript{71,76}

To further increase the production rate, Hood et al fabricated a three-dimensional microfluidic hydrodynamic focusing (3D-MHF) device using a concentric capillary array consisting of seven identical glass capillaries collectively fused in a circular pattern.\textsuperscript{77} Poly(ether-ether-ketone) (PEEK) tubings served as the lipid feed line and were threaded through the center of the array (Figure 3A). The 3D-MHF device enabled the synthesis of nanoscale liposomes with low PDI at a production rate of four orders of magnitude higher than previous HFF methods due to the entirely radially symmetric diffusion of ethanol-lipid solution. FRR and intra-annular capillary orifice size play important roles in the size control of liposomes prepared by 3D-MHF. However, the usability was compromised due to the complex assembly process of capillary arrays.

Soon after, a vertical flow focusing (VFF) device was introduced by the same team. This device was made of a precisely aligned multilayer thermoplastic device with surprisingly low aspect ratios of 1:100 (Figure 3B). Monodisperse liposomes (80 to 200 nm in diameter) were prepared at production rates as high as 95 mg/h using this method.\textsuperscript{78} Based on the VFF design, Michelon et al and Chen et al used soft lithography or 3D printing to fabricate similar microfluidic chips for high-throughput synthesis of liposomes, respectively.\textsuperscript{79,80} Although using PMDS to fabricate VFF is a clever alternative to enhance the throughput of liposome synthesis, patterning microscale features becomes increasingly difficult as the aspect ratio increases in conventional planar microfabrication processes like soft lithography. Therefore, the throughput of liposomes was curbed as maximum aspect ratios were constrained to 6:1 in the study by Michelon et al.\textsuperscript{79} The VFF device
manufactured by Hood et al. overcomes these limitations and is more impressive and practical. Meanwhile, Chen et al. successfully fabricated VFF chips using stereolithography, but the minimal widths of the channel were only at 200 μm due to the limited resolution of the commercial printer. Still, it is believed that 3D printing technologies offer great potential for realizing future improvements in tunable and high-throughput synthesis of nanoscale liposomes.

Considerable efforts have been made to utilize HFF design to generate liposomes for drug delivery. For example, liposomes encapsulating plasmid DNA synthesized by HFF could efficiently deliver genes into HeLa cells. Ran et al. successfully prepared liposomes of various formulations by HFF, which were then further studied for physicochemical properties. Although high-throughput HFF-based methods like VFF can perform rapid and robust large-scale preparations of liposomes in chips, they suffer from unavoidable low EE. It was reported that EE of both methotrexate-loaded liposomes prepared by DHF or β-carotene-loaded liposomes prepared by VFF were around 60%. Hood et al. integrated purification and remote drug loading elements into the HFF device. Though this device reduced the total on-chip residence time of drug-loaded liposomes to 3 minutes unprecedentedly, EE was approximately 72%, which was less compared to conventional protracted remote loading (>95%). Since the formation of vesicles is determined by mixing and diluting solvent into the aqueous solution, solvents such as ethanol cannot be completely removed from liposomes, which might affect the stability of the membranes. Last but not least, HFF-based devices, while practical, show limitations in terms of the sizes and amounts of material generated. For instance, liposomes with diameters less than 50 nm produced by HFF are only achieved at flow rate ratios of 30 or higher, resulting in substantial material dilutions. The emergence of a microfluidic staggered herringbone mixer (SHM) could solve the above problem.

**Micromixer**

Similar to HFF, liposomes can also be formed by using a microfluidic micromixer. As a powerful and general approach to generate liposomes with smaller sizes, SHM designed by Stroock et al. was used to mix streams of flows effectively in microchannels. The special staggered herringbone patterns on the channel floor greatly improve mixing efficiency, which can be altered by the asymmetry of the herringbones and the number of herringbones per half cycle. Zhigaltsev et al. pioneered SHM (Figure 4A) as a scalable manufacturing method to produce limit size lipid

---

**Figure 3** Schematic of modified HFF devices. (A) An example of 3D microfluidic hydrodynamic focusing (3D-MHF). This device consists of a narrow bore capillary tubing surrounded by a glass multicapillary array. Liposomes are prepared as an alcohol-solubilized lipid solution continuously injected into the intra-annular capillary tubing and hydrodynamically focused in three-dimensions by a flow of aqueous buffer. Not to scale. Republished with permission of Royal Society of Chemistry from Hood RR, DeVoe DL, Atencia J, Vreeland WN, Omiatek DM. A facile route to the synthesis of monodisperse nanoscale liposomes using 3D microfluidic hydrodynamic focusing in a concentric capillary array. Lab Chip. 2014;14(14):2403–2409. Permission conveyed through the copyright clearance center, Inc. (B) Vertical flow focusing (VFF) technique. In the VFF design (not to scale), the focusing axis is perpendicular to that of a conventional MHF device (top). Photographs of a VFF device with a focusing channel aspect ratio of 100:1 (bottom). Reproduced Hood RR, DeVoe DL. High-throughput continuous flow production of nanoscale liposomes by microfluidic vertical flow focusing. Small. 2015;11(43):5790–5799. doi:10.1002/smll.201501345. With permission from © 2015 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
nanoparticles (LNPs) as small as 20 nm by millisecond mixing of lipids dissolved in ethanol with an aqueous stream. They demonstrated the excellent ability of nanoscale liposomes synthesized by SHM to load and retain doxorubicin and confirmed the bilayer structure of SUVs by $^{31}$P NMR and cryogenic TEM (cryo-TEM) 

They further reported that by using SHM, limit size SUVs with a diameter of around 20 nm could be produced at FRR of only 3 (1.5 mL/min for the flow rates of the aqueous channel). Kastner et al found that the increase of FRR results in increased PDI but decreased size of liposomes prepared by SHM. However, the results of Maeki et al showed that the size and PDI of liposomes prepared by SHM decreased with the increase of FRR simultaneously. Besides, the SHM cycle numbers and the position of the first SHM are also significant factors for the production of vesicles. For example, the size of the liposomes gradually increased with decreasing the SHM cycle numbers and increased distance from where the first SHM was located. Due to insufficient mixing of the aqueous and lipid solutions observed under the high FRR condition, Maeki et al assumed that rather than complete mixing of the solutions, the rapid dilution of ethanol to a critical concentration was essential to form vesicles. In addition to the parameters of SHM itself, the lipid composition, concentration and even solvent selection are all considered key parameters in liposomes formation. It should be pointed out that not all LNPs prepared by SHM constitute a continuous bilayer that qualifies them as liposomes; some LNPs are micelle-like structures (micelles) with a non-aqueous core. Unfortunately, techniques such as cryo-TEM were unaffordable or just unreported in some studies to confirm the structure of LNPs prepared by micromixer. According to those reported results, the formation of liposomes or micelles in SHM might depend mainly on lipid composition and synthesis parameters.

Instead of SHM, Kimura et al designed an invasive lipid nanoparticle production (iLiNP) device consisting of 20 sets of zigzag-shaped mixer structures (Figure 4B). iLiNP devices enabled the LNPs size tuning at 10 nm intervals in size ranging from 20 to 100 nm precisely by
various baffle parameters, flow rate and FRR, which could not be achieved by conventional SHM.\textsuperscript{95} Notably, encapsulated materials such as mRNA and siRNA dissolved in aqueous solution are expensive so the LNP must be produced at low and constant FRR conditions. iLiNP device could produce LNPs in size ranging 20–40 nm at flow rates of 50, 100, and 500 μL/min and an FRR of 9.

To further enhance the speed and homogeneity of the mixing, Rasouli et al introduced an acoustically driven microfluidic micromixer (Figure 4C).\textsuperscript{96} The acoustic microstreaming reinforced by integrating sharp edges and bubbles in this device triggered higher throughput of liposomes with lower PDI. Compared to passive micromixers, the intense and pervasive acoustic micro-streams in this device prevented the formation of large nanoparticle aggregates and clogging of the channel. However, the vibrational amplitude of sharp edges rapidly diminished as the flow rate increased, limiting the throughput. Besides, the fabrication of the whole device was somehow complicated for non-professionals; thus, the usability was compromised.

Subsequently, the same team applied an electrohydrodynamic micromixer to prepare liposomes with a narrower size distribution at a throughput of 1–2 × 10\textsuperscript{10} min\textsuperscript{−1} (Figure 4D).\textsuperscript{97} The liposome size was determined mainly by the initial lipid concentration and the FRR in this device. Compared to conventional micromixers that require soft lithography to fabricate grooves, this novel device is easier to implement with only an additional metal deposition step. It is worth mentioning that although the electric field can avoid contaminant clogging or liquid leakage, liposome electroporation, as well as lipid oxidation may occur, affecting the size and stability of liposomes and even the passive permeability of the lipid bilayers.\textsuperscript{98,99}

SHM can apparently produce high quantities of limit size liposome/LNPs at lower FRR, avoiding substantial material dilutions and waste. However, problems with relatively low EE have been reported. In the studies by Joshi et al, EE of liposomes prepared by SHM loading a hydrophilic drug (metformin) or a lipophilic drug (gliplizide) was around 20% or 40%, respectively. When used to encapsulate highly lipophilic propofol, EE was approximately 40% which is significantly higher than EE in liposomes prepared via sonication (15%).\textsuperscript{100} It is worth mentioning that LNPs with micellar structures produced by SHM had higher EE when encapsulating biological molecules such as siRNA.\textsuperscript{101} Belliveau et al used a device with six parallel SHM elements to produce limit size LNPs composed of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC)/cholesterol to encapsulate siRNA at an extraordinary throughput (72 mL/min or 580 mg LNPs/min), while EE of siRNA was in excess of 95% and PDI was below 0.1.\textsuperscript{102} These LNPs exhibited an electron-dense core in cryo-TEM, suggesting micellar structures. Such morphology was distinctly different from bilayer LNPs, which exhibited an electron-dense ring and less dense interior associated with unilamellar vesicle systems with an aqueous interior. It was further proved by Leung et al that high siRNA encapsulation levels for siRNA in LNP systems were inconsistent with bilayer structure since encapsulation was dependent on the presence of cationic lipid.\textsuperscript{103}

Generally, to prepare liposome/LNPs for drug delivery, micromixers may be a better choice because of their relatively high EE, easy usability and full use of encapsulated materials. The throughput of micromixers had been exponentially scaled up by incorporating an array of numerous mixing channels that operate simultaneously.\textsuperscript{104} In fact, commercial devices based on micromixers such as NanoAssembler\textsuperscript{TM} platforms have been universally used in many studies to prepare liposome/LNPs for different applications, such as CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing for cancer therapy\textsuperscript{105} and in utero mRNA delivery for monogenic fetal diseases.\textsuperscript{106} Notably, COVID-19 vaccine nanoparticles manufactured by Pfizer are reported to be prepared by microfluidic mixers.\textsuperscript{93}

**Emulsion Templates**

Unlike HFF and micromixers, discrete droplets with defined sizes generated by immiscible fluids serve as templates for the formation of liposomes in this method. Generally, these liposomes with diameters measured in micrometers are more used in membrane science and artificial cell research in contrast to drug delivery.

**Single Emulsion Templates**

Weiss et al developed an approach that merges vesicles and water-in-oil (W/O) droplets to generate cell-like compartments.\textsuperscript{107} In this method, LUVs prepared by extrusion were first encapsulated in copolymer-stabilized W/O droplets. By introducing Mg\textsuperscript{2+} solution during droplet production, a contiguous lipid bilayer at the inner interface of the droplet was formed due to the transformation of the encapsulated LUVs, which they named droplet-stabilized GUVs (dsGUVs). Assembled lipid compartments could be
released from the droplets into the aqueous phase by another microfluidic device (Figure 5). Before demulsification, various proteins and molecules could be loaded sequentially into dsGUVs using pico-injection technology (inspired by Weitz lab designs) to form synthetic cells. By combining different microfluidic technologies, copolymer-stabilized W/O droplets were used as templates for high-throughput preparation of GUVs ($10^3$/s) with unique and precise compositions. This elegant technique ensured high EE, and no traces of remaining oil could be detected in the released GUVs under a Raman microscope. A bottom-up reconstitution of the actin cytoskeleton, microtubules or even FoF1-ATP synthase within dsGUVs was successfully obtained by this technology, overcoming fundamental limitations for the design of complex synthetic cells.

The same team further reduced the diameter of dsGUVs to ~2 μm by a microfluidic droplet splitter, paving the way towards a targeted delivery of advanced cargos such as microparticles, viruses or macromolecular DNA-robots.
They investigated interactions between differently charged GUVs and cells and proved small GUVs to be a practical alternative for transporting and delivering very large, complex cargos such as baculovirus to specific cells, which is not possible by conventional SUV-based delivery. The problem was that droplet-split GUVs was not mechanically stable enough, thus leading to a low yield of daughter GUVs. Nonetheless, their sharp control over the GUVs composition and functionalization allowed the construction of GUVs with functional diversity and high targeting specificity. However, the shortcomings of single emulsion templates include the challenging requirement for soft lithography (the minimum width of a microchannel of droplet splitter is less than 2 μm) and the special demand of pico injection devices.

**Ice Droplet Hydration**

First presented by Sugiura et al., lipid-coated ice droplet hydration method was used to prepare monodisperse W/O droplets between 4 and 20 μm in diameter as templates. After freezing, the droplets precipitated and separated, followed by the replacement of hexane solution containing lipid. Next, the solvent was evaporated, while the water droplets were still frozen, and an aqueous solution was added, resulting in the formation of oligolamellar or multilamellar giant vesicles. However, UVs must be yielded by extrusion in this method, and EE decreases from 35% to 12% after extrusion, which restrains the practicability. This method was applied successfully for the entrapment of an enzyme (α-chymotrypsin), but the problem of low

---

**Figure 6** Methods using droplet emulsion transfer to prepare liposomes. (A) Droplet emulsion transfer method for vesicle formation. Reproduced from Pautot S, Frisken BJ, Weitz DA. Engineering asymmetric vesicles. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2003;100(9):5718. doi:10.1073/pnas.193105100. With permission of (2003) National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. (B) Giant liposome formation device using W/O emulsion. Reprinted with permission from Hu PC, Li S, Malmstadt N. Microfluidic fabrication of asymmetric giant lipid vesicles. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2011;3(5):1434–1440. doi:10.1021/am101191d. copyright 2011 American Chemical society. (C) Integrated microfluidic device by droplet transfer method for vesicle formation. Reproduced from Matosevic S, Paegel BM. Stepwise synthesis of giant unilamellar vesicles on a microfluidic assembly line. J Am Chem Soc. 2011;133(9):2798–2800. doi:10.1021/ja109137s. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ja109137s with permission of American Chemistry Society. (D) Asymmetric giant liposome formation device. Reproduced from Karamdad K, Law RV, Seddon JP, Brooks NJ, Ces O. Studying the effects of asymmetry on the bending rigidity of lipid membranes formed by microfluidics. Chem Commun. 2016;52(30):5277–5280. doi:10.1039/C5CC10307J. (E) Schematic of the layer-by-layer cell membrane assembly. Phospholipid-stabilized water-in-oil droplets were first formed and trapped in the static droplet array. Lipid monolayer deposition proceeded as oil/water-phase boundaries pass over the droplets, leading to the formation of a double-bilayer vesicle after three-phase exchanges. Reprinted by permission from Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, Nature Chemistry, Matosevic S, Paegel BM. Layer-by-layer cell membrane assembly. Nat Chem. 2013;5(11):958–963. Copyright 2013.
EE was not solved since partial leakage from the initially formed droplets could not be prevented.111

**Droplet Emulsion Transfer**

Pautot et al first described the droplet emulsion transfer method.34,112 W/O emulsion prepared by stirring the mixture of aqueous solution and lipid suspension was again transferred to the aqueous phase. Droplets in the emulsion pulled the second monolayer from the oil–water interface to complete the bilayer, resulting in the formation of UVs (Figure 6A). They successfully encapsulated various macromolecules like G-action into GUVs as microbioreactors with up to 98% EE. Asymmetric GUVs with a controllable composition of each leaflet independently could also be prepared using this preparation technique. However, these GUVs were polydisperse since the emulsion was prepared by stirring. Further refinements utilizing microfluidic devices then solved the problem (Figure 6B). Monodisperse GUVs could be prepared from lipid-stabilized W/O droplets using microfluidic droplet formation techniques such as T-junction and flow focusing. However, droplets must be recollected to transfer across the oil–water interface.113–115 Importantly, it was reported by Pautot et al that only a fraction of the larger emulsion droplets would pass through the interface to form liposomes because most were likely to break at the interface.112 They also observed that asymmetric vesicles required several hours of equilibration time to achieve complete lipid coverage of the second monolayer to convert droplets into unilamellar vesicles. Unfortunately, shorter equilibration times (<2 h) led to insufficient coverage and uncontrolled lipid composition, while longer equilibration times (>3 h) resulted in an accumulation of lipid in multilayer structures, creating a crucial limitation in the technique.

Successful integration of the generation and transfer of droplets into a microfluidic chip was achieved by Matosevic et al (Figure 6C).116 They used a triangular post to drive lipid-stabilized droplets to transfer through the interface resulting in the deposition of a second lipid monolayer. However, assembly yield was only 5% as droplets were easily lost due to premature contacts with the aqueous flow. EE also decreased to 83% consequently. Karamdad et al further optimized the device to enhance liposome yield and EE.117,118 The device contained a micro-step junction manufactured by double-layer photolithography, where W/O droplets were transferred across the oil–aqueous interface to construct monodisperse asymmetric vesicles at high throughput (Figure 6D). It is worth

---

**Figure 7** Formation of cell-sized liposomes by the droplet shooting and size-filtration (DSSF) method. (A) Capillary-based microfluidic device. (B) Generation of cell-sized liposomes (within the rectangle shown in (A)). Reproduced from Morita M, Onoe H, Yanagisawa M, et al. Droplet-shooting and size-filtration (DSSF) method for synthesis of cell-sized liposomes with controlled lipid compositions. ChemBioChem. 2015;16(14):2029–2035. doi:10.1002/cbic.201500354 with permission of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.120.
noting that double-layer photolithography requires high professional skills.

In addition, the Paegel group cleverly integrated a static array on a microfluidic chip for lipid-stabilized W/O droplets trapping.\(^{119}\) By introducing oils and water alternately, each oil/water boundary crossing would deposit a new monolayer of lipids on the immobilized droplets, suggesting that a phospholipid membrane could be assembled layer-by-layer on these droplets using this platform (Figure 6E). Asymmetric multi-bilayers vesicles were successfully assembled within this chip, and this was considered a new tool for studying lipid biosynthesis enzymes and transporters.

Based on droplet emulsion transfer, Morita et al developed the droplet-shooting and size-filtration (DSSF) method to prepare monodisperse GUVs (10–20 µm) with controlled asymmetric leaflets in lipid bilayers.\(^{120}\) Polydisperse W/O droplets were generated from the tip of a glass capillary by centrifugation, and only 10–20% droplets with the smallest diameter were transferred through the oil–water interface selectively by kinetic size filtration (Figure 7), as previously observed by Pautot et al.\(^{121}\) A 1 µL sample solution was sufficient to generate hundreds of liposomes by DSSF without any wasted material. However, a limited amount of solution in the capillary makes it difficult to prepare liposomes efficiently. In addition, residual oil between the leaflets was confirmed, though the authors claimed that it was low enough not to affect the physicochemical and biochemical properties.\(^{120,121}\)

The main superiority of the droplets emulsion transfer method based on microfluidic devices is the preparation of monodisperse GUVs with asymmetry and high EE, making it suitable for membrane science as well as encapsulation of a diversity of bioactive macromolecules. Adir et al prepared GUVs by the emulsion transfer method and constructed a cell-free expression system by encapsulating bacterial lysate inside GUVs. The obtained synthetic cells were then used to investigate protein/RNA production and activity in an isolated environment.\(^{122}\) However, the problem of equilibration time, as discussed above, is still unsolved, and controversies arise over the presence of oil residues between leaflets of liposomes since droplets are transferred from oil to the aqueous phase. Kubatta et al demonstrated that an oil layer was accumulated at the top of the giant vesicles (3–5 mm) prepared by bulk transfer method, and oil remnants were also observed in the bilayer by Hu et al\(^{114,123}\) Yet the results of the study by Matosevic et al and Pautot et al disagreed since they both detected no trace of oil the bilayer by a thin-layer chromatography analysis or quantitative chemical probing, respectively. Inhibition of membrane protein insertion and function was also not observed.\(^{112,119}\) Squalene was recommended by Pautot et al due to a good continuous phase of emulsion and is immiscible in lipid bilayers.\(^{112}\) Nevertheless, the possibility of oil residues cannot be excluded due to the sensitivity limit of the techniques they used. It seems that different protocols could lead to contradictory conclusions. Imaging based on cryo-TEM or highly sensitive techniques, such as Raman microscopy, is required to verify membrane morphology.

**Double Emulsion Templates**

First proposed by Shum et al, they prepared lipid-stabilized water-in-oil-in-water (W/O/W) double emulsion droplets using glass microcapillary devices as templates. The inner phase consisted of an aqueous solution containing materials used for encapsulation. The middle phase was phospholipids dissolved in a mixture of toluene and chloroform, while the outer phase was poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and glycerol solution (Figure 8A).\(^{124}\) As the solvent layer became thinner during evaporation, the phospholipids were concentrated and then forced to rearrange on the double emulsion templates, thereby forming GUVs. Although monodisperse GUV with defined size and high EE could be prepared by this method, a small amount of organic solvent remained between lipid leaflets after dialysis. To eliminate the presence of undesirable solvents, the same team used another glass capillary microfluidic device to produce W/O/W droplets with ultrathin oil shell (<1 µm) as templates to prepare GUVs containing minimal residual solvent within their membrane (Figure 8B).\(^{125,126}\) This time, the inner phase was replaced by PEG and PVA solution, and the oil phase was a mixture of chloroform and hexane. Dewetting occurs since the chloroform evaporates more rapidly than the hexane. The hexane floated as an oil pocket at the top of droplets then evaporated eventually, thus forming GUVs with little residual oil.\(^{126}\) GUVs prepared by this device were used as a cell-free platform for synthesizing green fluorescent proteins, suggesting that PVA in the inner aqueous phase might not influence the bioactivity of liposomes.\(^{127}\) Using this technique, a therapeutic enzyme (Cu, Zn-Superoxide dismutase) was successfully encapsulated into liposomes to treat a mice ear edema model. An EE
**Figure 8** Double emulsion templates method. (A) Formation of phospholipid-stabilized W/O/W double emulsion in a glass microcapillary device. The double emulsion drops have an aqueous core surrounded by a solvent shell containing phospholipid (top). As the solvent layer becomes thinner during evaporation, the phospholipids are concentrated, forming GUVs (bottom). Reprinted with permission from Shum HC, Lee D, Yoon I, Kodger T, Weitz DA. Double emulsion templated monodisperse phospholipid vesicles. Langmuir. 2008;24(15):7651–7653. doi:10.1021/la801833a. Copyright 2008 American Chemical Society. (B) Preparation of water-in-oil-in-water double emulsion drops with ultrathin shells (top). The hexane floats as an oil pocket at the top of droplets then evaporate eventually, thus forming GUVs with little residual oil (bottom). Reproduced with permission of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim from Arriaga LR, Datta SS, Kim SH, et al. Ultrathin shell double emulsion templated giant unilamellar lipid vesicles with controlled microdomain formation. Small. 2014;10(5):950–956. doi:10.1002/smll.201301904. (C) Schematic and microscope images of double emulsion formation in PDMS chips for liposomes preparation. Reprinted from Teh S-Y, Khnouf R, Fan H, Lee AP. Stable, biocompatible lipid vesicle generation by solvent extraction-based droplet microfluidics. Biomicrofluidics. 2011;5(4):44113–4411312. doi:10.1063/1.3665221, with the permission of AIP publishing. (D) Schematic illustration of the device to produce water-in-oil-in-oil-in-water (W/O/O/W) triple emulsion droplets. Cross-section pictures correspond to the position of the dashed line in the horizontal view. Republished with permission of Royal Society of Chemistry from Arriaga LR, Huang Y, Kim S-H, et al. Single-step assembly of asymmetric vesicles. Lab Chip. 2019;19(5):749–756. doi:10.1039/C8LC00882E. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry. (E) Images of the process for forming the asymmetric vesicles in the PDMS microfluidic device. (a) Once W/O droplets were formed at the first flow-focusing region, the emulsions travel through a serpentine channel. (b and c) Images are taken at the first and the last triangular posts with fluorescent images of lipid-1 (red) with lipid-2 (green). They confirm the “dual-pinching” separation strategy for replacing lipid-1 (red) with lipid-2 (green) in the oil solution that surrounds the droplets. The excess oil/lipid-1 solution flows to the waste outlet. (d) The formation of W/O/W double emulsions (indicated by the dotted red arrow) and the excess oil droplets (indicated by the solid blue arrow) at the second flow-focusing region. Reprinted with permission from Lu L, Schertz JW, Chiarot PR. Continuous microfluidic fabrication of synthetic asymmetric vesicles. Lab Chip. 2015;15(17):3591–3599. doi:10.1039/C5LC00520E. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.
of 59 ± 6% and an enzyme activity of 82 ± 3% were obtained.\textsuperscript{128}

Double emulsion droplets were also generated in PDMS chips.\textsuperscript{129–131} Unlike the capillary microfluidic device, these W/O/W droplets comprised of an internal phase of an aqueous solution, a middle phase of oleic acid and an outer phase containing ethanol (Figure 8C). Notably, ethanol served to extract the oleic acid from the middle layer and then evaporated away at last. GUVs are formed by self-assembling of the phospholipids at the oil/water interfaces. Most GUVs prepared by this method remained stable for a long time (>3 months) and could be used for cell-free protein expression as synthetic cells.\textsuperscript{129} There might be residual oleic acid or excess phospholipid left in the lipid shell, but this method was more biocompatible than those described above since toxic solvents such as chloroform were not used. Also, this device did not require any sophisticated design principles and treatments other than an extra step of hydrophilisation of the external channel.

The double emulsion templates method can also be used to prepare asymmetric UVs. Arriaga et al further upgraded the glass microcapillary devices. Sophisticated water-in-oil-in-oil-in-water (W/O/O/W) triple emulsion droplets were used as templates for continuous high-throughput preparation of vesicles with asymmetric membranes in a single step (Figure 8D).\textsuperscript{132} Meanwhile, Lu et al. designed an integrated PDMS chip to fabricate asymmetric UVs. By their ingenious design, lipid-stabilized W/O droplets were first formed at the first flow-focusing region, then the oil/inner-leaflet-lipid solution that surrounded the droplets was replaced with the oil/outer-leaflet-lipid solution. After W/O/W droplets formed in the second flow-focusing region, asymmetric vesicles were collected off-chip followed by the extraction of oil between leaflets of the double emulsions (Figure 8E).\textsuperscript{133}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig9.png}
\caption{Schematic of octanol-assisted liposome assembly (OLA). (A) Schematic representation showing the working principle of on-chip production of liposomes using OLA. (B) Corresponding fluorescence images showing each of the steps described above. (C) Temporal-resolution sequences showing the separation of the 1-octanol droplet from the liposome. The first frame of the sequence in c was obtained about 1 min after the double-emulsion droplet formed. Reproduced from Deshpande S, Caspi Y, Meijering AE, Dekker C. Octanol-assisted liposome assembly on chip. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10447. doi:10.1038/ncomms10447.\textsuperscript{135}}
\end{figure}
The oil phase was also extracted by ethanol in this technique, indicating residual oleic acid or excess phospholipid might remain within the lipid shell. It was reported that over 80% of the liposomes remained stable for at least 6 weeks, and the membrane asymmetry was maintained for over 1 day. Compared to W/O/O/W emulsion templates, this method seems easier to handle and produces asymmetric vesicles with monodisperse sizes, high EE and high throughput. However, the choice of solvents was limited to PDMS chips. For example, a solvent typically used in glass capillary devices, chloroform would dramatically swell PDMS and create blockages in the microchannel network.\(^{134}\)

While these results may increase the utility of vesicles with high EE as model biological membranes or for drug delivery applications, there are still some constraints in terms of usability. For instance, the preparation of liposomes by this technique requires careful handling and assembly of multiple glass capillaries or PDMS chips and precise control of several microfluidic parameters simultaneously. Five pumps were used to operate the glass capillary device at the same time in the study by Arriaga et al, not to mention the demanding selections of lipid solvents.\(^{132}\) Secondly, multiple components like PEG, PVA and Pluronic F-68 are also introduced into the aqueous solution during the formation, which may affect the biological properties of liposomes. Importantly, residual solvent remained after the formation of liposomes.

To resolve the oil residues in liposomes once and for all, Deshpande et al developed a microfluidic technique,
namely, octanol-assisted liposome assembly (OLA), to form unilamellar, monodisperse, cell-sized GUVs with an efficient, autonomous and fast solvent-extraction mechanism (Figure 9). They replaced the conventional oil phase with 1-octanol. Within a few seconds after its generation, the W/O/W droplet developed into an inner aqueous core entrapped by a lipid bilayer and an outgrowing pocket of 1-octanol containing excess lipids, which completely separated from the liposome in a few minutes. The unilamellarity of liposomes formed by OLA was confirmed by the insertion of the bilayer-spanning protein pore α-hemolysin. The non-leaky detachment of the oil phase also ensured excellent EE. Moreover, the biocompatibility of OLA-based liposomes was verified by encapsulating bacterial divisome proteins.

Similarly, Deng et al induced spontaneous and complete dewetting of W/O/W droplets to prepare oil-free monodisperse UVs using a surfactant-assisted microfluidic strategy with coaxial glass capillaries. A triblock copolymer surfactant, Pluronic F-68, was added into the outer phase to control the developing interfacial energies, prompting the oil shells to gradually dewet from the interior drops; therefore, liposomes were separated from oil pockets by excess lipids ultimately just like OLA. Floating oil droplets can be easily removed by pipetting or evaporating after injecting the mixtures into the sucrose solution. Multicompartment liposomes could be formed by varying flow rates with an additional droplet generator (Figure 10). This work is the first to realize the controllable production of multicompartment liposomes in microfluidics. However, the lipid was dissolved in a toxic organic solvent mixture of chloroform and hexane here.

Despite concerns that the external aqueous environment where liposomes are collected might be contaminated due to the rupture of oil pockets, and some 1-octanol that might remain in the bilayer, OLA addresses the limitations of other methods to a considerable degree, such as the time-consuming solvent-extraction and remnants of organic solvents in the lipid bilayer. Since the organic solvent used is bio-friendly, OLA is becoming a versatile platform for drug delivery, micro-reactors and synthetic cells. For example, the OLA platform was integrated with an optofluidic transport assay.

Figure 11 Examples of pulsed jet flow method. (A) Conceptual diagram of the “blowing vesicle” method. The green area represents organic solvent. Sequential images of vesicle formation captured by a high-speed CCD camera. The planar membrane was stretched to form a column and broken up into spherical vesicles within 10 ms. Reprinted with permission from Funakoshi K, Suzuki H, Takeuchi S. Formation of giant lipid vesicle like compartments from a planar lipid membrane by a pulsed jet flow. J Am Chem Soc. 2007;129(42):12608–12609. doi:10.1021/ja074029f. Copyright 2007 American Chemical Society. (B) Formation of a lipid microtube from the asymmetric planar lipid bilayer by the jet-flow method. Reproduced from Kamiya K, Kawano R, Osaki T, Akiyoshi K, Takeuchi S. Cell-sized asymmetric lipid vesicles facilitate the investigation of asymmetric membranes. Nat Chem. 2016;8(9):881–889. doi:10.1038/nchem.2537 with permission with permission of Springer Nature. (C) Schematic of the acoustic jetting method. The focused flow generated by the ultrasonic transducer deforms a planar lipid bilayer to form GUVs and time-series images of GUV formation. Reprinted from Armstrong M, Vahey MD, Hunt TR, Fletcher DA. Forming and loading giant unilamellar vesicles with acoustic jetting. Biomicrofluidics. 2020;14(6):064105. doi:10.1063/5.0021742, with permission from AIP publishing.
to create a complete microfluidic total analysis system for quantifying drug permeability. Norfloxac in and ciprofloxacin transport through liposomes was measured at physiological pH and salt concentrations.\textsuperscript{138} Vaezi et al produced liposomes to encapsulate taxotere using the OLA. Combined with a microfluidic cell analysis system, encapsulated liposomes' efficacy was analyzed within a single cell in a trapping chip for quantifying drug permeability and apoptosis assay.\textsuperscript{139} The EE of taxotere-loaded liposome was 65.49 ± 3.08%, as reported.\textsuperscript{139} Niederholtmeyer et al developed porous cell-mimics capable of gene expression and communication via diffusive protein signals utilizing OLA.\textsuperscript{140} In addition, OLA has proven to be a powerful tool for controlling and studying the formation of membrane-less organelles within liposomes, which help facilitate the bottom-up creation of synthetic cells.\textsuperscript{141}

**Pulsed Flow Jetting**

First reported by Funakoshi et al,\textsuperscript{142} this method mimicked the process of blowing soap bubbles. The pulsed jet flow generated from a micro-nozzle was applied to a planar lipid bilayer formed by the droplet contact method, as the bilayer then stretched and formed free vesicles eventually (Figure 11A).\textsuperscript{143} The size of the vesicles produced could be tuned by different jet dispensing times. A large amount of monodisperse GUVs (300 μm–600 μm) can be prepared by repeating this process, accompanied by the formation of a small amount of smaller “satellite vesicles”. However, residual organic solvent (n-decane) was observed inside the membrane. Subsequently, the same team proved that smaller “satellite vesicles” are generated due to the inhomogeneous break-up of the deformed lipid microtubes. Based on the “satellite vesicles”, they prepared asymmetric GUVs with a diameter of 3–20 μm by modifying parameters like the area of the planar lipid bilayer and the jet dispensing time (Figure 11B).\textsuperscript{144} They confirmed little residual amount of oil in these GUVs using a Raman scattering microscope. Flip-flop motions of lipid molecules in the asymmetric GUVs were observed, indicating that the presence of n-decane between the leaflets had little effect on membrane dynamics.\textsuperscript{144}

Recently, the team successfully produced cell-sized vesicles containing vesicles, namely MVV, generated by applying pulsed jet flow against two parallel planar asymmetric lipid bilayers formed in a triple-well device, similar to the formation of W/O/W droplets to some extent.\textsuperscript{145} Moreover, by applying pulsed-jet flows of longer duration and higher pressure to an asymmetric planar lipid bilayer, nano-sized asymmetric lipid vesicles were formed. The resulting vesicles, however, were polydisperse, and around 30% were multilamellar.\textsuperscript{146}

Unlike the microdispenser applied by Funakoshi et al, Stachowiak et al used a piezoelectric inkjet nozzle to perform microfluidic jetting.\textsuperscript{147,148} GUVs 10–400 μm in diameter were formed by varying the pulse amplitude, the number of pulses and fluid viscosity. Utilizing this technology, they synthesized asymmetric GUVs with oriented membrane protein incorporation and constructed a synthetic system in which membrane proteins were delivered to the outside of GUVs mimicking aspects of exocytosis.\textsuperscript{149}

The problem with this method is that the formation of the bilayer produced by manual pipetting requires a certain incubation time, the rate of membrane regrowth limits the vesicle production rate. Also, the difficulty in repositioning the micro-nozzle relative to the planar lipid bilayer can hinder repeatability and throughput. As a result, fast and continuous preparation of liposomes could not be prepared in large quantities via this method. The piezoelectric inkjet technology could increase the production rate by up to 200 Hz in a way, but a pause of 2–4 seconds was needed for the lipid bilayer to regrow.\textsuperscript{148} To address this problem, Gotanda et al arranged the six wells on a circular rotation platform to prepare various asymmetric liposomes sequentially by accurately controlling rotation speed parameters and jetting timing through an automated system. Approximately 300 asymmetric cell-sized GUVs (mean diameter of 8.9 ± 6.7 μm) were collected within 2 minutes.\textsuperscript{150} Armstrong et al pioneered the use of focused high-intensity ultrasound instead of micro-nozzles to induce focused flow jets to prepare GUVs (Figure 11C), eliminating stability challenges. GUVs of 100–300 μm in diameter were formed by controlling the numerical aperture of the acoustic lens and the acoustic wavelength.\textsuperscript{151} Notably, ultrasound itself will affect the stability of liposomes. It is crucial to ensure the stability of planar lipid bilayers during the formation of vesicles.

In this method, materials such as DNA, cells, and so on, regardless of their size, concentration, or chemical properties, can be directly encapsulated into liposomes by jetting, similar to pico injection, bringing about a high EE (~90%) as reported.\textsuperscript{144} However, organic solvent remnants were found between leaflets in this method.\textsuperscript{142,144,152} Thus, sophisticated equipment demanding operating conditions with low liposome yield confines its further applications other than membrane science and synthetic cells. Nevertheless,
asymmetric GUVs were stably produced by Kamiya et al using pulsed flow jetting. These asymmetric GUVs successfully emulated a lipid flip-flop corresponding to that of apoptotic cells and showed the flop dynamics influenced by an antibiotic peptide.

Solvent-Free Method
Hydration on Chips
Thin-film hydration is a common method used for the formation of vesicles. The process involves depositing lipid films onto a substrate after evaporation of the organic solvent. The films then swell, peel off, and eventually self-assemble into liposomes in the absence of an aqueous solution. Since there is no control over the hydration process, most of the liposomes prepared are polydisperse MLVs. Subsequent extrusion or other treatments are required to obtain smaller monodisperse UVs.

To handle this problem, Osaki et al focused on the control of lipid deposition process. Arrayed micro apertures were fabricated onto an indium tin oxide (ITO)-glass slide by soft lithography. Following the electrospray deposition (ESD) method, selectively patterned lipid films were formed on the conductive surface (Figure 12). With a simple hydration process of the dried lipid, they succeeded in forming giant liposomes on top of the pattern. Although ESD could prepare monodisperse giant vesicles, the area of the sprayed films is too small at once to obtain a high yield.

One drawback of hydration is the low EE as vesicles cannot be isolated from materials in the external environment during the formation process. Moreover, the process requires the deposition of a lipid film on a substrate followed by time-consuming evaporation, which prevents the continuous preparation of vesicles since lipids cannot be replenished during operation. Other microfluidic methods for preparing liposomes, such as micromixers, are more practical when applied to drug delivery.

Electroformation in Chips
The electroformation method for the production of giant liposomes was first described by Angelova and Dimitrov in 1986. Similar to hydration, dried lipid films were prepared on the surface of electrodes. An electric field was then applied to the hydrated films immersed in an aqueous solution, resulting in the formation of giant vesicles. However, the electroformation method has a low yield of monodisperse vesicles and lacks an efficient collection process of prepared liposomes.

Kuribayashi et al succeeded in integrating the electroformation method within microfluidic chips. Two parallel microfluidic channels formed in the silicone sheet were sandwiched between the ITO glass plates to prepare giant vesicles. Liposomes with different lipid compositions prepared by this method could encapsulate different types of materials. Since they were polydisperse, microcontact printing was applied to control the size distribution of vesicles. The lipid is deposited...
on an ITO glass using a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp of curtain pattern to prepare giant liposomes of narrow size distribution. Also, Diguet et al prepared giant liposomes with controlled size and narrow size distributions by dragging a lipid solution on a microstructured silicon substrate for electroformation.\textsuperscript{163,164} Recently, Wang et al integrated the preparation, separation, and collection of liposomes onto a PDMS chip. After electroformation, liposomes were driven by fresh buffer and trapped by filter membranes in a tailor-made collection chamber, which increased the liposome collection ratio to 40\%.\textsuperscript{165}

In 2013, Bi et al introduced the use of coplanar-interdigitated electrodes for electroformation of liposomes (Figure 13), breaking from the tradition of using two opposite electrodes.\textsuperscript{166} Smaller interdigitated electrodes generate bigger GUVs in this method, which provides a reliable solution for controlling the size distribution of giant liposomes by electroformation.

Apart from those defects discussed in the hydration method, irregular and asymmetric lipid distribution was observed in GUVs formed by electroformation.\textsuperscript{167} The electric field itself may have adverse effects on liposomes described in Micromixer.\textsuperscript{98,99} Recent research has mostly focused on exploring novel microfluidics-based electroformation methods for liposomes preparation, and few related applications have been reported,\textsuperscript{167,168} to some extent implying that applications of electroforming methods are limited due to the shortcomings described above.

**Conclusion and Outlook**

With the successful development of micro- and nanofabrication,\textsuperscript{169} the preparation of liposomes has been transposed from the macro- to the micro-scale. Microfluidic technologies can not only precisely control the size and lamellarity of liposomes but also reduce the volume and cost of reagents required simultaneously. Comparison between various microfluidic methods used to prepare liposomes is briefly summarized in Table 2. Different methods have their pros and cons. Thin-film hydration and electroformation are more biocompatible, avoiding the presence of organic solvents. The issue of low EE is insignificant when applied to membrane science. Although the pulse flow jetting and single emulsion templates with higher EE are more difficult to implement, they are near-perfect techniques for synthetic cells. Double emulsion templates, especially the OLA method, are also useful tools to prepare GUVs to explore new drugs and elucidate cellular functions. For the large-scale production of liposomes/LNPs for drug delivery, micromixers may be preferred due to their high throughput.

In the case of single emulsion templates, combining dsGUVs with pico injection is one of the few means of directly encapsulating any desired materials into liposomes with the assistance of pico injection technology, which circumvents dilution or contamination of encapsulated materials. Obviously, LNPs are not suitable for larger macromolecules with radii of gyration as large as 1 μm, which may be required for potential applications, such as drug delivery and gene therapy. Moreover, their various strategies for biofunctionalization of GUVs (charged, bio-ligand-conjugated or PEG-conjugated) widened the horizons in applications of GUVs, such as targeted cellular delivery. Potentially immunogenic cargo could be intracellularly discharged via the lysosomal escape mechanism once the carriers arrive at their destination without

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure13.png}
\caption{Schematic illustration of the GUV electroformation setup by coplanar interdigitated electrode. (A) The interdigitated ITO electrodes (bottom) and a glass coverslip (top) were separated by a PTFE spacer. (B) Side view of the GUV electroformation setup. (C) The actual size of the interdigitated ITO electrodes (top view). Not to scale. Republished with permission of Royal Society of Chemistry from Bi H, Yang B, Wang L, Cao W, Han X. Electroformation of giant unilamellar vesicles using interdigitated ITO electrodes. J Mater Chem A. 2013;1(24):7125–7130. Permission conveyed through the copyright clearance center, Inc.\textsuperscript{166}}
\end{figure}
Table 2 Comparison Between Microfluidic Methods for Liposome Production

| Methods                        | Size Range | Lamellarity/Asymmetry | Size Distribution | Encapsulation Efficiency | Residual Solvent | Usability | Throughput | Post-Processing | Main Applications                                                                 | References |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Hydrodynamic Flow focusing     | SUV-LUV    | Unilamellar/No         | Monodisperse      | Medium                   | Unknown           | Easy      | High       | No             | - Drug delivery               | [78,84]     |
| Micromixers                    | SUV-LUV    | Unilamellar/No         | Monodisperse      | High                     | Unknown           | Easy      | High       | No             | - Drug delivery               | [88,90,92,95] |
| Emulsion templates             |            |                        |                   |                          |                   |           |            |                |                               |             |
| Single emulsion templates      | GUV        | Unilamellar/No         | Monodisperse      | High                     | No                | Hard      | High       | Demulsification     | - Synthetic cells; Drug delivery | [107,109]   |
| Ice droplet hydration          | GUV        | Unilamellar/No         | Monodisperse      | Low                       | Unknown           | Medium    | Medium     | Extrusion        | - Encapsulation              | [110,111]   |
| Droplet emulsion transfer      | GUV        | Unilamellar/Yes        | Monodisperse      | Medium                   | Yes               | Medium    | High       | No             | - Micro-bioreactor; Biosensors; Synthetic cells; Membrane science | [112–114,118] |
| Double emulsion templates      | GUV        | Unilamellar/Yes        | Monodisperse      | High                     | Unknown           | Easy      | High       | Evaporation      | - Drug delivery; Synthetic cells | [135,137,139,140] |
| Pulsed flow jetting            | LUV-GUV    | Unilamellar, Multivesicular/Yes | Monodisperse | High                     | Yes               | Hard      | Low        | No             | - Membrane science; Synthetic cells | [142,144,149] |
| Hydration                      | GUV        | Multilamellar/No       | Polydisperse      | Low                       | No                | Easy      | Low        | No             | - Drug delivery              | [156,157]    |
| Electroformation               | GUV        | Unilamellar, Multilamellar/No | Polydisperse      | Low                       | No                | Medium    | Low        | No             | - Membrane science; Encapsulation | [159,164–168] |

Notes: *As discussed in Stability, lipid nanoparticles encapsulating drug molecules in a non-aqueous core are more likely to have high EE.
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initiating an immune response. Work by Spatz group presented an exquisite model for combining liposomes and microfluidic techniques, motivating further fundamental and applied liposomes research. Coincidentally, several studies have been utilizing other external energies such as acoustic waves and electromagnetics to boost current microfluidic methods by enhancing production efficiency or simplifying the manufacture of equipment. These initiatives have supported the recent efforts to investigate and improve nanotechnology for liposomes formation, but future investigations must critically evaluate whether the properties of liposomes are prone to be disrupted by physical methods like ultrasound and electric field.

No matter which method, bulk or microfluidic, it is necessary to separate and purify liposomes after preparation before further applications. Some microfluidic methods, such as single and double emulsion templates, may require additional post-processing steps (Table 2). For example, the floating oil droplets formed by quick dewetting of the double-emulsion droplets had to be removed by an extra step of pipetting or evaporating. OLA also suffered from the presence of 1-octanol droplets, an inevitable by-product of the production process. To separate the 1-octanol droplets from the liposomes formed by OLA, a one-step density-based separation technique integrated on the same chip had been developed by the same group. A high separation efficiency (~93%) was realized compared to the non-separated samples (~45%). Similarly, Dimov et al introduced a microfluidic system composed of SHM and a tangential flow filtration device for consecutive purification. This on-chip platform can eliminate most non-encapsulated materials and organic solvent (>90%) in less than 4 minutes, offering simultaneous production and purification of liposomes. Although purification steps (described in Encapsulation Efficiency) could be conducted off-chip, retaining the liposomes inside the microfluidic chip is of great significance. It reduces purification time, eliminates the possible loss of material during off-chip handling, and, more importantly, enables downstream on-chip experimentation. In fact, endeavors have been made to establish one-step microfluidic platforms for subsequent observation, purification or manipulation of liposomes, such as capture and immobilization, separations and purification, dynamic monitoring and even functional evaluation. These on-chip efforts will certainly broaden the horizons for the research of liposomes/LNPs.

LNPs, an upgraded version of liposomes, have now been utilized as a versatile nanomedicine delivery platform. Although LNPs are currently in the spotlight because of the success of the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines, viral vectors are more frequently used than LNPs since they are more efficient in delivering DNA. RNA only needs to enter the cytoplasm to function, while gene therapy demands the delivery of DNA into the nucleus through the nuclear membrane. Effectively delivering DNA molecules in vitro and in vivo using LNPs requires more in-depth investigations of lipid nanoparticle–DNA formulations.

Most liposomes/LNPs are undoubtedly used in medical science, but academics who usually use these nanoparticles for drug delivery prefer conventional bulk methods, such as ethanol dilution, solvent injection or homogenization to prepare liposomes. They concentrate on designing and optimizing the nanocarrier itself instead of novel techniques for nanocarrier preparation. Since the production techniques cannot resolve the critical clinical problems in liposomal applications, such as delivery efficacy, organ-specific and cell-specific delivery and toxicity, to mention a few, it was not surprising that these bulk methods were chosen due to simplicity, cost-saving and safety. However, researchers that promote the development of preparation techniques pay more attention to the basic design of microfluidic devices like the geometry or the fundamental physics behind the microfluidics-assisted nanoparticle productions, such as the dynamics of the process. The blossoming of liposomes/LNPs requires the synergistic development of miscellaneous fields, including medical, physics, chemistry and engineering. In light of this review, we encourage researchers to not only choose the most suitable techniques for the desired research but also bravely explore possible applications of newer techniques. This will likely change in the near future as the popularity of commercial micromixers (eg, the NanoAssemblr™ platform) increased. Based on the current progress and success, we firmly believe that microfluidics will soon revolutionize the generation of sophisticated artificial cells and lipid-based nanoencapsulation.
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