Analyzing Physico - Chemical Quality Parameters of Abay River Near To Bahir Dar, Ethiopia
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Abstract

Abay River is an important source of domestic and drinking water in Bahir Dar; Ethiopia. To analyze the quality of this river water samples were collected from various points of the river and tested at the dry season for different physicochemical quality parameters. In addition, samples were taken from point sources such as industrial waste and urban sewage to evaluate their impact on the river. The study was conducted at Bahir Dar, Ethiopia from kebele 11 to Sebatamit 17. The study involved assessing surface water physical and chemical parameters at 12 different points upstream, downstream and at mixing sites. Multi Probe and laboratory analysis were used to measure the physical-chemical characteristics of the Abay River. Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, total dissolved solids, electrical conductivity, salinity and pH were measured by a multi meter probe onsite. Whereas the other parameters such as turbidity, Biological oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand and ammonia was measured using laboratory. Water Temperature (22.2 to 25.5°C), Total Dissolved Solids (117 to 753 mg/l), Turbidity (12.72 to 240 NTU), Dissolved Oxygen (2.5 to 7.8 mg/l), pH (6.5 to 7.96), Electric Conductivity (180 to 1158 μS/cm), Salinity (0.11 to 1.5mg/l),Bio-chemical Oxygen Demand (5.3 to 50 mg/l), Chemical Oxygen Demand (16 to 151 mg/l) and ammonia (0.012 to 56 mg/l). Based on the findings the most parameters were above the permissible limit of world health organization and the water was unfit for drinking purpose without treatment.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Clean water is very important for all forms of life to survive. Although water covers three fourth part of the earth's surface, getting of freshwater has become a serious problem in the world. Due to the quality of water deterioration due to different factors result from human development. The major one is the expansion of industrialization and the rapid growth of the world population. The amount of heavy rain that results in high runoff from the agricultural land, lack of soil and water conservation system management also affects the quality of water bodies. As a result, many perpetual rivers become short-lived and even dried out(Thillai Arasu, Hema et al. 2007). Massive physical, chemical and biological interactions result in water quality characteristics of aquatic ecosystems. The water bodies such as rivers and lakes are continuously experiencing a dynamic change in their geological age and geochemical characteristics(Sahoo 2014). This complex equilibrium in the aquatic ecosystem is disrupted by human activities resulting in pollution, which in turn manifests dramatically as fish kill, the bad taste of drinking water, and unregulated aquatic weed growth(Fan, Wang et al. 2012).

Quality of water is now a great concern for people of all parts of the world. There are different sources of pollutants that could damage the quality of water resources(Haider, Al et al. 2016). Similarly, there is no such environmental protection practice in Ethiopia, particularly in Bahir Dar City, as there are a number of polluting sources that have continuously deteriorated the quality of surface water.

The following hazard centers were considered as major categories of pollutant sources in the study area based on information obtained, observations made during site visits and analytical results. These include industrial establishment, agriculture, municipal waste, fuel stations, garages, car washing, hotels, homes, and health centers (Ayalew and 2014).

These bodies of surface water are the source for disposal of industrial effluent and household waste. As a result, the natural dynamic equilibrium between the environmental segments is disturbed leading to the condition of polluted rivers(Mahananda, Mohanty et al. 2010). Food for consumers should be free of pathogenic organisms and harmful substances(Thillai Arasu, Hema et al. 2007), according to the decision of the World Health Organization (WHO), Ethiopia faces persistent problems of floods and droughts and high contamination of aquatic resources, despite large water resources in lakes and rivers(Tamiru, Dagnachew et al. 2004). River Abay is also one of the water resources that have been affected by point sources effluent generated by textile(Mehari, Gebremedhin et al. 2015), tannery(Ayalew and 2014) and municipal (Mehari, Gebremedhin et al. 2016) wastewater sources. As a result, there will be a high concentration of nutrients that enhance the growth of floating plants such as hyacinth(Stålnacke, Grimvall et al. 2003); the higher growth of such plants reduce the amount of...
dissolved oxygen inside the river (Haider, Al et al. 2016). This reduction of the amount of dissolved oxygen will affect the life of aquatic life such as fish (Miller 2005, Dudgeon, Arthington et al. 2006) that cannot survive below 4 mg/l amount of oxygen (Mehari, Gebremedhin et al. 2016). The present study analyzed various parameters (Water Temperature, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Dissolved Solids (TS), Turbidity, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Salinity and Chloride content) of water samples from twelve sites which are very important in assessing water quality.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS
2.1 Study area
In the Amhara region Ethiopia, the Abay river is situated between latitude 11° 8’ N and longitudes 39° 38’ E. After it joins different tributaries it becomes Blue Nile River that flows from Ethiopia to Egypt and Sudan. It originates from Gish Abay, Sekela woreda, west Gojam zone. For Ethiopia, Sudan and Egypt, the Abay River is very important. For Bahir Dar people, Ethiopia particularly it is used for several purposes such as domestic and agricultural purposes. Even though Bahir Dar city is a very beautiful place as you have observed from the above definition the wastewater generated from this beautiful city and industries effluent become a risk for Abay river and lake tana. To alleviate such problems effective water quality is required by appropriate measurements for the water quality that can be described by Physico-chemical and microbiological characteristics (Akkaraboyina and Raju 2012), (Mahananda, Mahananda et al. 2005).

2.2 Sampling sites
Water samples from the river mouth, middle and mouth of the river was taken. Sampling stations at four sources of wastewater effluent that contributes to the river water pollution where considered. 12 sampling stations (4 from
a mixing point of effluent from the point sources such as textile, municipal ditch and tanneries, 4 upstream parts of the mixing points and the other 4 are after mixing sites) were chosen as shown on table 2.

### Table 1 Water Quality Sampling Station of Abay River

| Station Number | Location of sampling points | Description |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1              | Upstream of the river      | Reference point near |
| 2              | At mixing of Bahirdar textile effluent | At Textile effluent mixed mix the river |
| 3              | After mixing               | 200m after mixing |
| 4              | Upstream of municipal source | A reference point for municipal mix impact |
| 5              | At mixing of municipal waste effluent | Municipal wastewater effluent mix with the river |
| 6              | After mixing               | 100m after mixing |
| 7              | Upstream of Bahir Dar tannery | Before tannery effluent joins the river |
| 8              | Bahirdar tannery mixing point | When tannery effluent mix with the river |
| 9              |                             | 200m below the point of mixing |
| 10             | Upstream of Habesha tannery | Reference point to Habesha tannery impact |
| 11             | Mixing of habesha tannery  | Mixing point effluent with river |
| 12             | After mixing               | 200m after mixing |

### Table 2 Sampling Points with its Coordinate System

| Sampling Points | Longitude       | Latitude         |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1               | 37°24'28.11"E  | 11°36'23.99"N   |
| 2               | 37°24'29.84"E  | 11°35'47.93"N   |
| 3               | 37°24'33.42"E  | 11°35'34.55"N   |
| 4               | 37°24'21.01"E  | 11°34'11.25"N   |
| 5               | 37°24'18.03"E  | 11°34'6.82"N    |
| 6               | 37°24'15.90"E  | 11°34'2.40"N    |
| 7               | 37°23'38.60"E  | 11°33'6.05"N    |
| 8               | 37°23'36.80"E  | 11°33'2.30"N    |
| 9               | 37°23'36.18"E  | 11°33'0.84"N    |
| 10              | 37°23'35.80"E  | 11°32'54.62"N   |
| 11              | 37°23'34.31"E  | 11°32'53.27"N   |
| 12              | 37°23'35.60"E  | 11°32'52.36"N   |

### Table 3 the most upstream part, point of mix and the most downstream

| ID  | X (m)   | Y (m)     | Site description       |
|-----|---------|-----------|------------------------|
| 1   | 326539.8 | 1283514.726 | Upstream site          |
| 2   | 326539.7 | 1282441.347 | Textile mixing         |
| 3   | 325716.3 | 1279274.98  | Municipal ditch        |
| 4   | 324871.3 | 1277789.498 | Bahir Dar Tannery      |
| 5   | 324801.2 | 1277231.358 | Habesha Tannery        |
| 6   | 324923.2 | 1276773.83  | Down stream            |

#### 2.3 Sampling and Laboratory analysis

During the measurement of physicochemical concentration, 24 water samples from 12 sampling stations were collected in March and May 2017 (table2). Water samples were collected from the Abay River using Acid washed polyethylene bottles for chemical analysis based on standard procedures. The samples, which were collected were transported to the lab by keeping them in a cooled icebox and later stored in a refrigerator below 4 °C until use. Some of the parameters were measured on-site with the Multimeter Prob by dipping the Probe about 20cm below the water surface. The physicochemical properties such as total dissolved solids (TDS), water temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and salinity were measured using Multimeter Prob and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), ammonia Measured and chemical oxygen demand (COD) were analyzed in this study.

#### 2.4 Data Analysis

The obtained dates were analyzed by comparing and contrast the results with WHO guideline standards for surface water sources, using a graph using Microsoft word 2016.
3 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

During dry seasons, water samples have been collected from the Abay River to taste physico-chemical parameters. The essential parameters of water quality were temperature, pH, TDS, BOD, COD, DO, turbidity, EC and salinity. Assessment of contaminated water samples is achieved by comparing the measured values of all physico-chemical parameters with the relevant criteria recommended by the World Health Organization for drinking water.

| Sampling station | pH     | Temperature °C | TDS mg/l | E.C µS/cm |
|------------------|--------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| 1                | 7.73±0.11 | 23.5±0.6 | 132±0.8  | 203.08±1.3 |
| 2                | 7.8±0.08  | 25.5±0.8  | 247.8±2.3 | 261.54±1.9 |
| 3                | 7.96±0.20 | 25±0.2    | 132.1±1.3 | 203.23±0.3 |
| 4                | 7.4±0.16  | 23.6±0.2  | 157.4±2.0 | 242.15±1.6 |
| 5                | 6.6±0.17  | 25.2±0.0  | 312±2.6  | 480±0.8   |
| 6                | 7.8±0.05  | 24.6±0.6  | 127.1±1.3 | 195.54±1.8 |
| 7                | 7.38±0.01 | 23.3±0.6  | 117±1.2  | 180±3.7   |
| 8                | 7.3±0.08  | 24.6±0.4  | 176±0.8  | 270.77±2.3 |
| 9                | 7.16±0.09 | 23.2±0.4  | 156±2.2  | 240±2.1   |
| 10               | 7.96±0.03 | 23.9±0.5  | 294±2.6  | 452.31±2.2 |
| 11               | 7.87±0.15 | 26±0.8    | 265±2.9  | 407.69±1.8 |
| 12               | 6.5±0.14  | 24.6±0.6  | 130.8±2.4 | 201.23±2.1 |
Table 5 Water quality parameters versus sampling station

| Sampling station | Salinity mg/l | Ammonia mg/l | Turbidity NTU | BOD mg/l | COD mg/l | DO mg/l |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1               | 0.11±0       | 0.13±0       | 20.62±0.6     | 5.3±0.2 | 16±0.2  | 6.8±0.3 |
| 2               | 0.11±0       | 0.06±0       | 31.23±0.7     | 33±1.2  | 99.1±1.2| 3.37±0.5|
| 3               | 0.11±0       | 0.03±0.2     | 25.5±0.4      | 8.2±0.1 | 24.6±0.1| 5.3±0.4 |
| 4               | 0.11±0       | 0.01±0       | 20.39±0.4     | 16±0.6  | 48±0.6  | 7.6±0.4 |
| 5               | 0.65±0.2     | 0.02±0       | 23.6±0.4      | 42±0.8  | 126±0.8 | 2.5±0.1 |
| 6               | 0.11±0       | 0.08±0       | 20.5±0.8      | 20±0.8  | 60±0.8  | 7.2±0.1 |
| 7               | 0.11±0       | 0.3±0        | 35±0.8        | 12.6±0.2| 30±0.2  | 7.8±0.1 |
| 8               | 0.11±0       | 15±4         | 38±2.2        | 75±2.2  | 225±2.2 | 3.4±0.1 |
| 9               | 0.11±0       | 10±1.7       | 40±2.2        | 50±1.2  | 151±1.2 | 4.1±0.1 |
| 10              | 0.9±1.2      | 8±1.2        | 12.72±0.2     | 35.4±0.3| 106.2±0.3| 3.2±0.1 |
| 11              | 1.5±0.9      | 0.18±0       | 14.75±0.4     | 40±0.8  | 120±0.8 | 3.5±0.1 |
| 12              | 0.11±0       | 0.04±0       | 10.45±0.6     | 15.5±0.6| 46.5±0.6| 5±0.4   |

Figure 4 Water quality parameters versus sampling station

**Temperature and pH**

**Figure 5 pH at sampling points**

As it is shown on figure 3 the temperature was 23.2 to 26 (°F) which is within WHO and EPA limits of 25 and
40 °C and values of pH concentration of pH values at all sampling points was (5.5 to 7.96) was within WHO and Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) limit of 9.0.

**DO, BOD and COD**

![Figure 7 DO, BOD and COD values at different sampling points](image)

In the case of dissolved oxygen (DO), the maximum tolerance is 3 mg / l for surface waters used as raw water and swimming, and 4 mg / L for aquatic sustainability. In comparison, it is 6 mg / L for drinking purposes. The Abay River DO level ranges from 2.5 to 7.8 mg / L. There water has lower DO value at all sampling points except 1,3,4,6 and 12 than the recommended value by world health organization. Therefore, the contents do not satisfy the public water supply needs. The biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) standard for drinking purposes is 0.2mg/L, which was exceeded to the permissible value shown by the mean values of 3.88 mg/L. A standard for drinking purposes is 4 mg/L, which is not acceptable in terms of the Abay river water sample analyzed. The values of COD were also a standard for drinking purposes is 4 mg/L, which is not acceptable in terms of the Abay river water sample analyzed.

**Total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity**

![Figure 8 Total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity](image)

The TDS content of water samples obtained at the selected stations was well below the 500mg / L WHO limit value. The allowable maximum for TDS as per WHO is 2000mg / L in the absence of a good supply of drinking water. Increasing TDS and conductance indicates that water is unsafe to drink due to domestic and industrial wastewater discharge. The electrical conductivity value at sampling points 10 and 11 was above the permissible limit of WHO of 300mg/L.
Salinity

![Salinity graph](image)

Figure 9 salinity values at sampling points

Ammonia

![Ammonia graph](image)

Figure 10 ammonia values at sampling points

Turbidity

![Turbidity graph](image)

Figure 11 turbidity values at sampling points
The mean Salinity of the Abay River was found with a range from 0.11 to 1.5 mg/L. The salinity content in the Abay River was found within the EPA standard for fish <100mg/l (Thomas G. Cam 1999). The value of turbidity at all sampling points except at 2,7,8,9 all sampling points were within the WHO standard of 30mg/L. NH₃-N values were less when compared with the WHO standard of 30 mg/L at all the sampling stations for surface water and EPA limit of 20mg/l for surface water. The high NO₃-N with the low amount of NH₂-N enhanced the self-purification activities of surface water, by increasing the rate of the nitrification-de-nitrification transformation process in river water.

4 CONCLUSION
The data analysis results showed that the water is definitely unfit for drinking purposes without any form of treatment recommended by the World Health Organization, but it could still be considered relatively suitable for various other uses of surface water. However, as it is known, once a pollution trend sets in, it usually speeds up causing more deterioration. Increasing industrialization and urbanization over time could result in a severe deterioration in water quality. The variations in laboratory findings may be due to the experiment preservation methodology followed by laboratories, the nature of chemicals used, the test method applied or the technicians or test performers’ training or expertise. For the management of freshwater sources, continuous monitoring of the monitoring of water quality across all seasons over a period of time is important. Therefore, more work on this river and alerting the population to the environmental pollution issue is also an important need.
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