Abstract: The nucleation and condensation of Magnesium (Mg) vapor carried by argon gas (Ar) were examined. The condensation of Mg vapor at a heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h was analyzed. The result indicated that the condensation temperature is affected by the heat source temperature and Ar flow rate, and the condensation temperature of Mg vapor was 1013.3 K at a heat source temperature of 1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h. The effects of Mg vapor partial pressure and temperature of the condensation zone on the nucleation and condensation of Mg vapor carried by Ar were calculated and analyzed in terms of atomic collisions and critical nucleation radius. Increased vapor oversaturation and decreased condensation temperature were favorable for liquid nucleation growth. The Mg condensation products in Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h at a heat source temperature of 1473 K were analyzed by XRD, SEM, and EDS, which indicated that the condensed product was of high purity and not easily oxidized in Ar flow. In this paper, the quality of Mg vapor condensation was controlled, which provided the theoretical and experimental basis for a continuous Mg production process.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium (Mg) is one of the lightest structural metal materials. Due to its low density and high strength, its alloys are widely used in the automobile industry, electronics, aerospace, and other important fields [1–3]. The main production methods for Mg in the world are thermal reduction and molten salt electrolysis of MgCl$_2$ [4,5]. In the thermal reduction process, the Pidgeon process has become the main method because of its mature and simple process and low cost [6]. More than 80% of the world’s Mg metal is produced by the Pidgeon process in China [7]. However, this process is divided into separate calcination and reduction steps; the production process is taken in vacuum conditions. The vacuum needs to be removed after the reduction, so it is not possible to produce continuously [8,9]. This problem has received much research attention in recent years, and a continuous Mg production process has become a research hotspot.

Zhang et al. have combined calcination and reduction steps to study the kinetics of a one-step Mg reduction by experiment and simulation. They obtained a result that the one-step Mg reduction production process can reduce reduction time and save energy [10–13]. Fu et al. studied the thermodynamics and kinetics of the integration process of calcination and reduction and found that canceling the calcination process can greatly save energy [14–18]. These studies rely on reducing calcination to achieve continuous production of Mg. Other researchers used an inert gas to replace
the vacuum state. For example, Morsi studied the kinetics of the Mg process by using a silicothermic reduction process at 1 L/min argon (Ar) flow and found that the pellet reduction rate was up to 92% in an Ar atmosphere [19,20]. Further kinetics analyses of Mg production in Ar have been performed by Wulandari [21] and Chen [22]. Zhang et al. presented a continuous magnesium production method by combining the method of calcination and reduction. Dolomite or magnesite is added with ferrosilicon and calcium fluoride to make pellets, which are calcined at 1123–1323 K in the argon flow. The calcined high temperature pellets are directly reduced at 1473–1873 K without cooling in the protection of argon, and then the magnesium vapor is carried by argon and condensed in the condenser; this method can greatly shorten the Mg production cycle and achieve the goal of continuous production combined method of calcination and reduction [23]. These results indicated that Mg reduction in Ar was feasible and offered a nonvacuum Mg production technology with low energy consumption and high efficiency.

Mg is highly reactive, so it is necessary to carry Mg vapor generated by reduction reactions to the condensation zone for condensation in an inert gas, which can realize continuous Mg production. Therefore, Mg vapor condensation in an inert gas is important in continuous Mg production. Nucleation plays a decisive role in crystallization and condensation of the initial stage of metal vapor condensation [24]. Through simulation and experimental studies, Xiong et al. have concluded that the condensation temperature of Mg metal in vacuum conditions was 603–733 K and that the higher the temperature in the condensation zone is, the better the condensation quality [25–27]. Yang analyzed the theory of Mg vapor nucleation and growth during the vacuum process from a carbothermal reduction and found that crystallization quality is improved by increasing the Mg partial pressure under appropriate condensation temperature and temperature gradient [28]. Izmailov studied the statistical significance of nucleation [29], Leubner established particle nucleation and growth model [30], Ring studied nanometer cluster nucleation [31], and Lummen used molecular dynamics simulations to study uniform nucleation of supersaturated iron vapor [32], all of their result show the importance of vapor nucleation condensation.

Therefore, based on the theory of micro-atomic collisions and the critical nucleation radius, the condensation nucleation and growth of Mg vapor were analyzed in this paper. The condensation behavior of Mg vapor at 1273–1473 K and 0.1–0.4 m³/h Ar flow was studied using Mg as the raw material. The functional relationship between the heating temperature and condensation temperature of Mg vapor was obtained and the influence of temperature and partial pressure on Mg vapor condensation was analyzed. The relationships between the number of atomic collisions, critical nucleation radius, and Mg deformation and nucleation growth were obtained. The microstructures of Mg vapor condensation products were also observed. From the resulting theoretical and experimental data, the nucleation growth of Mg vapor in an inert gas was studied. The improved conditions for Mg condensation and growth were obtained, which provided a theoretical and experimental basis for Mg vapor condensation in an Ar flow.

2. Experimental Method

2.1. Experimental Setup

The schematic diagram of Mg vapor condensation was shown in Figure 1. A vertical tube furnace (SK–G08165–L, Zhonghuan, Tianjing, China) was used as the experimental device, which consisted of a vertical Al₂O₃ tube, forming two regions, including the Mg evaporation zone and the Mg condensation zone. The Mg vapor evaporation rate was controlled by the heating temperature in the furnace heating zone. A graphite crucible (30 mm in radius and 100 mm in height) containing Mg (99.9%) was placed in the center of the furnace. Ar as the inert gas (99.999%) was introduced from the furnace bottom and evaporated Mg vapor was carried to the condensation area. A movable graphite foil (0.2 mm in thick) was placed inside the condensation area as the condenser, with the upper end of the graphite foil fixed with stainless steel foil, to collect the condensed Mg metal. All parts were connected by a combination of compression ports, KF fittings, and Viton O-rings fittings. Ar flow was controlled by a flowmeter.
2.2. Experimental Procedure

The heat source temperature of furnace was set at 1273–1473 K, which was measured by a fixed thermocouple and was controlled by the furnace control system. Furthermore, axial temperature gradient was measured by the movable thermocouple. The outlet pressure was measured by a vacuum pressure gauge, and the pressure was maintained at 1 atm during the experiment. After reaching the setting temperature, the furnace was purged with 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar gas for 5 min. A 25 ± 0.5 g Mg block in a graphite crucible was placed into the furnace for evaporation for 15 min at 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h, then the furnace was cooled to 773 K, the graphite paper was removed, and the crucible was weighed. The condensation position, condensation product temperature, and condensed Mg collected were assessed. The product was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (D8 Advance, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Zeiss EVO 18, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) and Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS) (Zeiss EVO 18, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).

2.3. Physical Model

Condensation is a typical nucleation process. Mg vapor condenses in argon due to the saturation temperature and accumulates on the solid wall. Because of the different temperature between inside and outside of the Al$_2$O$_3$ tube, the kinetic energy of the gas molecules is on the same order of magnitude as the van der Waals intermolecular forces. Collision of the vapor molecules causes the molecules to temporarily combine and to form clusters. Before the vapor condenses, the vapor molecules or atoms with low temperature form clusters due to the existence of supercooling and first form aggregates of a certain size. When the cluster reaches a critical size, the vapor, with a large number of aggregates, collides and forms a deposition nucleation, and finally adsorbs on the tube wall. The agglomerates deposited on the wall still move along the condensation wall over a certain range due to the gas flow. During the movement, they collide with other clusters and grow, so the condensation nucleation and growth process proceed. Figure 2a shows the physical model of Mg vapor condensation. When Ar is mixed with Mg vapor, the increased inert gas prevents nucleation and growth and affects Mg droplet formation (Figure 2b). Clusters attach to the wall in a spherical shape because of interfacial tension. Ar can adsorb onto the surface to interfere with the movement of Mg agglomerates on the surface. In this case, the numbers of condensable vapor molecules in the gas phase are significantly reduced under the same conditions, such that the Ar affects Mg vapor condensation. Therefore, it was necessary to study the condensation nucleation and growth of Mg vapor carried by Ar gas. 

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of Mg vapor condensation.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Condensation of Mg Vapor at Different Temperatures and Gas Flow Rates

Mg vapor was condensed at heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K and 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar flow (Figure 3). As the axial distance from the heating source increased, the temperature decreased. The Mg vapor pressure was less than its saturation vapor pressure in the uncondensed zone, when the Mg vapor pressure was equal to the saturated vapor pressure, Mg vapor molecules was continuously collided with the condensation surface. After the energy loss, the molecular was absorbed on the condenser surface, surface atoms were gathered and grew continuously, and Mg vapor began to condense. The initial condensation temperature of Mg vapor was $T_{\text{cond}}$.

![Figure 2](image_url) Physical model of Mg vapor condensation: (a) Magnesium vapor condenses without argon flow; (b) Magnesium vapor condenses with argon flow.

Figure 3. Condensation phenomenon of Mg vapor (1273–1473 K).

The Mg condensation behavior at heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h was studied in these experiments. The temperature distribution and condensation zone of the vertical furnace are shown in Figure 4. Mg vapor began to condense as the temperature of condensation zone decreased. Mg vapor at higher heating temperature was condensed at higher temperature of condensation zone, which was due to the higher temperature led to the higher energy and caused the molecules to evaporate violently, resulting in an increased Mg vapor partial pressure.
and a higher temperature condensation zone. Mg vapor with higher partial pressure reached saturated vapor pressure at condensation temperatures. Therefore the condensation occurred at a higher temperature; for example, Mg vapor was condensed at 1013.3 K with heat source temperature of 1473 K in Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h, while it condensed at 976.7 K with 1423 K heating in 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar. The initial temperature of condensation was different in different Ar flow rates at 1473 K. When Ar flow increased, Mg partial pressure was reduced, and the initial condensation temperature was reduced. The initial condensation temperature was 1040.2 K at Ar flow rate of 0.1 m$^3$/h. However, when the Ar flow increased to 0.4 m$^3$/h, the condensation temperature decreased to 993.7 K. Other temperatures followed the same rules. The above analysis showed that the condensation of magnesium was related to the partial pressure and the volatilization temperature of magnesium.

As the Mg vapor condensation behavior was determined by the partial pressure, the Mg partial pressure at the corresponding condensation temperature was calculated. According to Clausius–Clapeyron equation, the saturated Mg vapor pressure formula at different temperatures was as [33]

$$p_{Mg} = 10^A T^{-1} + B \log T + C T + D$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $p_{Mg}$ is the saturated vapor pressure of Mg metal, Pa, and $T$ is the temperature, K.

The evaporation constants of A, B, C, and D required in the calculation process are shown in Table 1, which can be found in references [34,35].

| A     | B   | C     | D     | Temperature Range (K) |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| −7780 | −0.86 | - | 13.54 | 298–923 |
| −7550 | −1.411 | - | 14.92 | 923–1393 |

Figure 4. Relationship between temperature distribution and condensation position: (a) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.1 m$^3$/h Ar, (b) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar, (c) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.4 m$^3$/h Ar.

Table 1. Evaporation constant of Mg.
The actual vapor pressure of magnesium is equal to its saturated vapor pressure at the beginning of condensation and higher than the saturated vapor pressure with the decrease of temperature. According to the condensation temperature of Mg vapor in different conditions, the vapor pressure at the condensation position was calculated. The influence of temperature and Ar flow on the condensation temperature and vapor pressure at the condensation point was shown in Figure 5. The red line is the pressure corresponding to Mg vapor condensation which is the saturated vapor pressure at the starting condensation temperature point. The vapor pressure of Mg vapor increased with the heating temperature increased while it decreased when the Ar flow increased. The high temperature led to the violent thermal movement of magnesium atoms and the increase of its energy, so magnesium atoms had a higher volatilization rate. The higher content of magnesium vapor in the mixed gas, the higher partial pressure, and the more magnesium vapor atoms were favorable for condensation and nucleation. Therefore, the gas–liquid phase transition occurred in the high temperature condensation zone. While the mass fraction of magnesium vapor decreased when the flow rate of argon increased, the phase transition temperature was reduced, which was consistent with the above analysis. The initial vapor pressure was only 21.1 Pa at Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h and heat source temperature of 1273 K. When the heat source temperature reached 1473 K, the vapor pressure increased to 1663.4 Pa. When the initial vapor pressure of condensation was reduced to 15.1 Pa at Ar flow rate of 0.4 m$^3$/h and heat source temperature of 1273 K, the vapor pressure was 1219.0 Pa at 1473 K. According to the law of gas–liquid phase transition, the increase of partial pressure of magnesium vapor would lead to the increase of condensation temperature inevitably. This phenomenon showed that temperature and partial pressure were important factors affecting the condensation of magnesium vapor.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Effects of temperature and Ar flow on condensation temperature and vapor pressure at condensation point: (a) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.1 m$^3$/h Ar, (b) 1273–1473 K heating, 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar, (c) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.4 m$^3$/h Ar.
3.2. Effects of Partial Pressure on Mg Vapor Condensation Nucleation

The thermal motion and collisions of Mg vapor atoms are necessary conditions for gas nucleation and the number of collisions between atoms is the direct factor that affects the agglomeration and nucleation rate of Mg atoms [36]. The average number of atomic collisions was calculated as [37]

\[ Z = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{d^2 n}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( n = \frac{kT}{P} \), and thus

\[ Z = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{d^2 \bar{v} P}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( Z \) is the number of collisions; \( d \) is the atomic diameter of Mg vapor (\( 3.2 \times 10^{-10} \) m); \( \bar{v} \) is the average atomic velocity, \( \bar{v} = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{M}} \); \( M \) is the relative atomic mass of Mg (\( 24.3050 \times 10^{-3} \) kg/mol), \( P \) is the vapor pressure at the initial position of condensation (Pa); and \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant (\( 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \) J/K).

There were different Mg vapor pressures at different temperature distributions in the same conditions. When the metal vapor pressure generated by evaporation at the heat source temperature was \( P_1 \), the corresponding temperature was \( T_1 \). Furthermore, when the initial temperature of condensation was \( P_2 \), the corresponding temperature was \( T_2 \), as the formula [24]

\[ P_1 = \frac{P_2}{T_2 T_1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The experimental results and vapor pressure at the initial condensation zone were calculated using Formulas (1), (3) and (4). The relationship between temperature and the average collision times of Mg vapor was obtained (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Relationship between temperature and average collision times of Mg vapor: (a) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.1 m³/h Ar, (b) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.2 m³/h Ar, (c) 1273–1473 K heating and 0.4 m³/h Ar.
Taking the logarithm of the number of collisions in Figure 6, \( Z^* \) was the average number of atomic collisions corresponding to the standard saturated vapor pressure; \( Z \) is the number of atomic collisions of Mg vapor at different temperature. The Mg atomic collision number at different temperatures increased exponentially with increased heat source temperature. Increased temperature resulted in intense atomic thermal motion, and the collisions were increased. As the atomic kinetic energy decreased with the condensation zone temperature decreased from 1473 to 623 K at 0.2 m³/h of Ar, the number of atomic collisions decreased from \( 6.14 \times 10^7 \) to \( 2.88 \times 10^7 \). When \( P_{Mg}^* \) was less than \( P^* \), which was to the right of the intersection of \( \log(Z^*) \) and \( \log(Z) \) (Figure 6), the \( Z^* \) was more than \( Z \), although the Mg vapor had greater kinetic energy and more collisions, it was less than the collisions of a saturated state of Mg vapor, which was conducive to Mg evaporation and not to aggregation. When \( P_{Mg}^* \) was more than \( P^* \), the number of collisions was larger than the collision number in a saturated Mg vapor, and it was conducive to Mg vapor condensation. The difference between the collisions of Mg vapor and collisions in the saturated state was the driving force of nucleation. When collisions in Mg vapor and the saturation state were significantly different, magnesium vapor was condensed and nucleated rapidly when the temperature gradient was large. On the contrary, Mg vapor nucleated, collided, and slowly grew. Yang also analyzed the magnesium vapor in the vacuum condition of 40 Pa, and if the condensing temperature is too low and \( Z \) reduces too fast, the rapid growth of the nucleation rate will result in a number of vapors nucleating simultaneously and condensing down; it is easy to get magnesium powder if magnesium vapor is not in an extremely cold state and as \( Z \) slowly declines, magnesium vapor will go through a gas–liquid phase change [24], which is consistent with our analysis results. According to the theory of gas dynamics, a large number of atoms move irregularly when the mixture of magnesium vapor and argon flows, the average free path is used to express the distance of atom collision. The average free path of atoms is small when the partial pressure increases. The average number of collisions between atoms increases in unit time, and the atoms are easy to collide. Energy exchange occurs in the collision process, which makes the energy of atoms change and develop to a stable state. While there is no liquid core in the gas phase before nucleation, the liquid core appears and increases when the number of collisions increases, and the vapor atoms tend to condense. Besides collisions between Mg atoms, there are also collisions between atoms and the condensation wall. The direction of the gas molecules colliding on the solid surface is independent of the initial incident direction, and the molecules need to stay on the solid surface for a period of time before continuing to diffuse. This allows the gas molecules and container wall to have sufficient momentum and energy exchange, providing sufficient conditions for Mg vapor condensation and the formation of Mg atom liquid cores. These phenomena indicate that the partial pressure has a great influence on the condensation nucleation of magnesium vapor.

3.3. Effects of Temperature on Mg Vapor Nucleation

In the process of nucleation, although the life cycle of clusters is short, with the formation and decomposition of a large number of clusters, some clusters merge to the critical nucleation radius and condensation occurs spontaneously. From homogeneous nucleation, most liquid nuclei are spherical or hemispherical because of surface tension. According to the Kelvin equation [38]

\[
\ln \frac{P}{P^*} = \frac{2\gamma V_m}{r_k RT}
\]

where \( V_m = \frac{M}{\rho} \), the Mg critical nucleation radius \( r_k \), expressed as

\[
r_k = \frac{2\gamma_{Mg} M}{\rho RT \ln \left( \frac{P_r}{P^*} \right)}
\]

where \( \gamma_{Mg} \) is the surface tension coefficient of Mg, \( \rho \) is the liquid Mg density, \( P_r \) is the surface vapor pressure (\( P_r = P \)); and \( P^* \) is the saturated Mg vapor pressure at the corresponding temperature.
Here, $\gamma_{Mg}$ was known from the literature as [39]

$$\gamma_{Mg} = 721 - 0.149T$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\rho$ was also known from the literature [40]

$$\rho = 1.834 - 2.647 \times 10^{-4}T$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Examining the experimental results, the density, surface tension, and temperature in Equation (6), the logarithm of the critical nucleation radius, and the calculated results of temperature were obtained (Figure 7). When $P_*$ was less than $P^*$, $\ln\left(\frac{P_*}{P^*}\right)$ was less than 0, $r_k$ did not exist at this time, because the Mg vapor was not saturated and could not form a liquid core, which would have been conducive to the evaporation of Mg vapor. When the heat source temperature increased, the Mg vapor evaporation rate increased, resulting in increased vapor pressure. Therefore, the surface vapor pressure increased, the critical nucleation radius of Mg vapor decreased, and the number of atomic nucleations decreased. Thus, increase of heat source temperature was beneficial to Mg vapor condensation at a higher condensation temperature. Moreover, the gas phase with highly disordered structure has higher entropy, and the free energy of the liquid phase is higher than the gas phase at high temperature. The gas–liquid phase transition occurs when the free energy of liquid phase is lower than gas with the decrease of temperature, so the low temperature is conducive to metal nucleation. For any heat source temperature, with increased axial distance, the condensation zone temperature decreased, and the critical nucleation radius decreased. It was seen from Equation (9) that the number of atoms required for nucleation was also decreased. In the process of magnesium vapor movement with Ar flow, the temperature difference increased, which promoted the increase of driving force for nucleation, and then promoted nucleation.

$$N = \frac{4\pi r_k^3 \rho}{M N_A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Figure 7. Relationship between the critical nucleation radius and temperature: (a) 1273–1473 K heating, 0.1 m$^3$/h Ar, (b) 1273–1473 K heating, 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar, (c) 1273–1473 K heating, 0.4 m$^3$/h Ar.
The critical nucleation radius and the number of atoms required for nucleation are listed in Table 2 at Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h and heat source temperature of 1473 K.

**Table 2.** Nucleation radius and number of atoms required for nucleation (1473 K heating, 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar, 900–1000 K).

| T/K | $r_n$/m   | N       |
|-----|-----------|---------|
| 1000| 1.08543×10$^{-8}$ | 2.08105×10$^5$ |
| 980 | 4.33604×10$^{-9}$ | 1.3311×10$^4$  |
| 960 | 2.70944×10$^{-9}$ | 3.259×10$^3$   |
| 940 | 1.97041×10$^{-9}$ | 1.258×10$^3$   |
| 920 | 1.57239×10$^{-9}$ | 6.41×10$^2$    |
| 900 | 1.27381×10$^{-9}$ | 3.42×10$^2$    |

When the heat source temperature was 1473 K and the Ar flow rate was 0.2 m$^3$/h, the critical nucleation radius decreased from 1.09×10$^{-8}$ to 1.27×10$^{-9}$ from 1000 to 900 K, which was decreased by nearly 10-fold. Furthermore, the number of nucleated atoms decreased from 208,105 to 342, the number of nucleating particles was larger than the critical number of nucleating particles, the liquid cores were in a stable state, and a large number of nucleation formed, which could obviously promote the nucleation and growth of magnesium vapor. While Tian calculated the nucleation radius in vacuum at 770–790 K as follow in Table 3, which was consistent with our results.

**Table 3.** Critical radii atoms contained in critical fluid core at different temperatures (770–790 K, 40 Pa) [24].

| T/K | $r_n$/m |
|-----|---------|
| 770 | 1.02×10$^{-8}$ |
| 790 | 2.95×10$^{-9}$ |

After a part of Mg vapor condensed, the thermal movement and collision of vapor atoms in the container did not stop, which provided conditions for the continuous growth of the liquid core, allowing the metal vapor to condense and grow into a spherical shape by surface tension. However, when the initial condensation temperature was less than 923 K, a gas–solid phase transition occurred, which made it easier to form Mg powder. When the initial condensation temperature was higher than the melting point temperature, a gas–liquid–solid phase transition occurred, which allowed easy nucleation and growth [23].

3.4. Condensation Phenomenon and SEM Analysis at Ar Flow Rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h and Heat Source Temperature of 1473 K

The morphology and composition of Magnesium condensate were observed by XRD, EDS, and SEM were used. The analysis results were shown in the Figures 8 and 9.
Figure 8. XRD analysis of magnesium condensation at different positions (1473 K heating and 0.2 m³/h Ar): (a) Mg product at 12.8–18 cm, (b) Mg product at 17–22 cm, (c) Mg product at 22–50 cm.

XRD images of different condensation zones at an Ar flow rate of 0.2 m³/h and heat source temperature of 1473 K were shown in Figure 8 which corresponded to the condensation products in Figure 9. The crystalline morphology of droplet magnesium or powder magnesium had high purity. Magnesium condensation product had a preference for the (101) orientation than others. The preferred orientation did not change with the condensation temperature, which was different from the Xiong’s XRD results [25]. Magnesium vapor condensed in vacuum directly by Xiong’s experiment, while our experiment was carried out in argon. In our experiment, we considered that it was beneficial to the (101) orientation of magnesium condensation products in the flow of argon of a single direction flow of mixed gas.

Figure 9. Macroscopic phenomenon of Mg vapor condensation in different condensation zones (1473 K heating and 0.2 m³/h Ar): (a) Mg product at 12.8–18 cm, (b) Mg product at 17–22 cm, (c) Mg product at 22–50 cm, (a1) SEM image of Mg product at 12.8–18 cm, (b1) SEM image of Mg product at 17–22 cm, (c1) SEM image of Mg product at 22–50 cm.
Observation of condensed Mg vapor on graphite foil at a heat source temperature of 1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$/h showed that the Mg vapor products could be divided into three parts according to the axial distance (Figure 9) with distance and condensation temperature of (a) 12.8–17 cm and 1013.3–837.4 K, (b) 17–22 cm and 837.4–694.7 K, and (c) 22–50 cm and 694.7–482 K. SEM analyses of the condensation products of the three parts were carried out, which showed that there were significant differences in the Mg particle sizes in the different regions (Figure 9). In the region of higher temperature condensation, the heat exchange and heat loss of Mg atoms were relatively small in the collision process with each other and the wall (Figure 9a,a$_1$) and the collision number between Mg atoms in the system was relatively large, which provided the necessary conditions for atomic aggregation and nucleation. At the higher condensation temperatures region, particles were spherical or hemispherical and the liquid particles shrank under the action of surface tension to obtain spherical droplets, with the radius of the spherical condensed Mg being much larger than the critical nucleation radius at the corresponding temperature. This was caused by the collisions between droplets, which was consistent with the effects of temperature and pressure on the phase deformation process of Mg atoms. When the temperature of the condensing zone decreased under the forced Ar convection, Mg atoms moved from the high to low temperature zone before they could nucleate and grow (Figure 9b,b$_1$,c,c$_1$). There was much heat exchange and heat loss in the collision process between Mg atoms and the wall, which led to the direct phase transition of Mg vapor from gas to solid phase. In the process of continuous Mg vapor evaporation, a large amount of Mg powder was obtained by condensation in the lower temperature zone, where all kinds of agglomerates came together. Using Equation (1) for calculating the phase diagram of the single metal Mg, when the partial pressure of Mg vapor was greater than 363.8 Pa, Mg vapor condensed in line a and the gas–liquid–solid phase transition occurred (Figure 10). If the Mg vapor condensed below the melting point, the vapor condensed directly from the gas to solid (Figure 10, line b). The condensation zone temperature decreased, and both critical nucleation radius of the atoms and the required nucleation atom number decreased. However, lower temperature would lead to a gas–solid phase transition. For metallic Mg, the gas–solid phase transition would have led to formation of Mg powder, which easy to combustion or even explosion. Therefore, the minimum condensation zone temperature should be kept higher than 923 K. The closer the temperature of the condensing zone was to 923 K, the fewer atoms were needed for nucleation, which was conducive to gas–liquid phase deformed cores. However, from the experimental results, the blue ellipse line in Figure 10 was the position where Mg droplets appeared, and the yellow ellipse line was the position where a powdery condensed product appeared. It was seen here in experiments that at 837.4–923 K, there were still Mg droplets with nucleation and growth. The reason for this could have been that, Mg vapor was carried to the zone below 923 K after condensation nucleation occurred in space under the effects of Ar flow, such that there was a difference between the condensation area and the analysis.

![Figure 10. Three-phase diagram and experimental results Mg (1473 K heating and 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar).](image-url)
The mapping scanning and EDS analysis of the corresponding position in Figure 9 were carried out. The results are shown in Figure 11. The Mg, C and O were found in the mapping scanning. Mg mainly existed in the condensation products (Figure 11a1), and the result showed that both large and small particles were mainly composed of Mg. O mainly existed on the surface of magnesium particles (Figure 11a2); the reason was that the magnesium particles were active, was because a small part of magnesium was oxidized, and the presence of C in other positions was due to the basement, which was composed of carbon (Figure 11a3–c3). EDS analysis was carried out on the surface and back of spherical particles with darker color areas. A was the surface of condensed magnesium particles, and B was the back of condensed particles which was the contact surface between condensed and graphite foil. The mass percent of Mg at points A, C, D, and F were 88.3%, 90.9%, 88.9%, and 93.7% shown in Figure 11 and Table 4, respectively. It also contained a small amount of C and O. The reason for the existence of C is that the graphite foil was used for condenser, and the part of C was bonded during the collection process, while the content of O was very low. It could be inferred that the particle was mainly composed of Mg, a small amount of C was attached, and the surface was not oxidized. In the position of B and E, the contents of C were 43.7% and 39.5% respectively. The source of C was mainly from the surface of condensate and the contact surface of graphite foil, which carried a large amount of C. This phenomenon was more common on the back of the spherical surface. The content of O in E point was 14.4%, which indicated that some condensed magnesium had been oxidized when the particle sizes were small. However, for all condensation products, the results showed that the product of magnesium vapor condensation was of high purity at heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h and not easily oxidized, which also provides a method for the continuous magnesium vapor condensation process in Ar flow.

It can be seen from the results that the size of the condensed particles in the magnesium vapor decreased with the temperature decreased in the condensation zone, and the condensed products changed from spherical or hemispherical particles to small particles. Due to the high temperature in the condensation zone, the number of collisions increases greatly, which provides the necessary conditions for the aggregation, nucleation, and growth of magnesium atoms. The number of collisions decreased at low temperature, but the nucleation rate increased due to the fact that the radius of nucleation was small. A large amount of magnesium vapor was condensed at the same time, and small particles of condensed magnesium were obtained, which were consistent with the calculation results. When the mixture of gas moved in the tube, the partial pressure of magnesium vapor in the high temperature condensation zone was far greater than the saturated vapor pressure. There were more condensation masses and larger particles. The magnesium vapor condensed continuously at a lower temperature zone, and the partial pressure of the magnesium vapor decreased in the mixed gas. When the partial pressure of the magnesium vapor was close to the saturated vapor pressure, it was not easy to form large particles of condensed magnesium, which was consistent with the analysis of the effect of the partial pressure on the condensation nucleation of the magnesium vapor.

| Composition/(Mass Percent) |
|---------------------------|
| No. | Mg  | O   | C   | Ca |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| A   | 88.3| 1.6 | 10.1| -  |
| B   | 52.7| 3.6 | 43.7| -  |
| C   | 90.9| 0.7 | 8.4 | -  |
| D   | 88.9| 0.8 | 10.3| -  |
| E   | 45.3| 14.4| 39.5| 0.8|
| F   | 93.7| 0.5 | 5.8 | -  |
particle sizes were small. However, for all condensation products, the results showed that the product of magnesium vapor condensation was of high purity at heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K and Ar flow rate of 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h and not easily oxidized, which also provides a method for the continuous magnesium vapor condensation process in Ar flow.

![Figure 11. Mapping and EDS of Mg vapor condensation in different condensation zones (1473 K heating and 0.2 m$^3$/h Ar): (a) Mapping of Mg product at 12.8–17 cm, (b) Mapping of Mg product at 17–22 cm, (c) Mapping of Mg product at 22–50 cm, (a$_1$–a$_3$) Mg, O and C component of Mg product at 12.8–18 cm, (b$_1$–b$_3$) Mg, O and C component of Mg product at 17–22 cm, (c$_1$–c$_3$) Mg, O and C component of Mg product at 22–50 cm; (A–F) are EDS analysis results in mapping.](image)

### Table 4.

| Composition/(Mass Percent) | No. | Mg | O | C | Ca |
|----------------------------|-----|----|---|---|----|
| A                          | 88.3| 1.6| 10.1| - |
| B                          | 52.7| 3.6| 43.7| - |
| C                          | 90.9| 0.7| 8.4 | - |
| D                          | 88.9| 0.8| 10.3| - |
| E                          | 45.3| 14.4| 39.5| 0.8|
| F                          | 93.7| 0.5| 5.8 | - |

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the condensation behavior of Mg vapor at Ar flow rate of 0.1–0.4 m$^3$/h and heat source temperature of 1273–1473 K was studied by theoretical calculation and experiments based on the continuous magnesium production process. The initial condensation temperature of Mg vapor increased when the heat source temperature increased, which showed that temperature and partial pressure were the key factors for magnesium vapor condensation. The magnesium vapor condensed into liquid state when the heat source temperature and partial pressure were high. The number of collisions, the radius of nucleation, and the number of particles needed for nucleation of magnesium vapor particles were calculated by the homogeneous nucleation theory and the Kelvin equation. When the Mg vapor was in supersaturated, the collision number was greater than the saturated Mg vapor, which was conducive to the Mg vapor nucleation formation and growth. The lower the temperature was, the smaller the nucleation radius and the number of atoms required were, which was also conducive to condensation nucleation. However, the gas–solid phase transition was occurred from the phase diagram analysis, which was not conducive to the collection of condensation products when the temperature was lower than 923 K. When the condensation temperature was closer to 923 K, the critical nucleation radius was smaller and the nucleation atoms number was smaller, which was beneficial to the gas–liquid phase transition. The micromorphology results showed that when the condensation zone temperature was more than 837.4 K, droplet condensation products with larger particles were obtained and Mg powders appeared in the low temperature condensation zone.
The condensation products obtained here were of high purity. The nucleation rate and crystallization quality of the liquid phase were improved by small temperature gradient and high partial pressure of Mg vapor.

The experimental results can be used to improve the magnesium production process and design a condenser, in which Ar can be recycled. The nucleation and growth process of magnesium during the condensation process is explained, which provides guidance for the design of magnesium condenser in magnesium production.
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