LOFAR Measures the Hotspot Advance Speed of the High-Redshift Blazar S5 0836+710
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ABSTRACT

Context. The emission and proper motion of the terminal hotspots of AGN jets can be used as a powerful probe of the intergalactic medium. However, measurements of hotspot-advance speeds in active galaxies are difficult, especially in the young universe, due to the low angular velocities and the low brightness of distant radio galaxies.

Aims. Our goal is to study the termination of an AGN jet in the young universe and to deduce physical parameters of the jet and the intergalactic medium.

Methods. We use the LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR) to image the long-wavelength radio emission of the high-redshift blazar S5 0836+710 on arcsecond scales between 120 MHz and 160 MHz.

Results. The LOFAR image shows a compact unresolved core and a resolved emission region about 1.5 arcsec to the southwest of the radio core. This structure is in general agreement with previous higher-frequency radio observations with MERLIN and the VLA. The southern component shows a moderately steep spectrum with a spectral index of about $\sim 0.8$ while the spectral index of the core is flat to slightly inverted. In addition, we detect for the first time a resolved steep-spectrum halo with a spectral index of about $\sim 0.1$ surrounding the core.

Conclusions. The arcsecond-scale radio structure of S5 0836+710 can be understood as an FR II-like radio galaxy observed at a small viewing angle. The southern component can be interpreted as the region of the approaching jet’s terminal hotspot and the halo-like diffuse component near the core can be interpreted as the counter-hotspot region. From the differential Doppler boosting of both features, we can derive the hotspot advance speed to $(0.01 - 0.036)c$. At a constant advance speed, the derived age of the source would exceed the total lifetime of such a powerful FR II-like radio galaxy substantially. Thus, the hotspot advance speed must have been higher in the past in agreement with a scenario in which the originally highly relativistic jet has lost collimation due to the growth of instabilities and has transformed into an only mildly relativistic flow. Our data suggest that the density of the intergalactic medium around this distant ($z = 2.22$) AGN could be substantially higher than the values typically found in less distant FR II radio galaxies.
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1. Introduction

Radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGN) can eject powerful double-sided relativistic jets (Blandford et al. 2018) into the intracluster medium that emit synchrotron emission and can reach distances of Megaparsecs. The most powerful of these sources exhibit so-called FR II morphologies (Fanaroff & Riley 1974) in which the jets are terminated in high surface-brightness regions called hotspots, where the jets interact with the surrounding medium. FR II radio galaxies have been studied extensively at centimeter wavelength with the Very Large Array (VLA; e.g., O’Dea et al. 2009), estimating ages, velocities, magnetic fields, total lifetime, ambient gas densities, and other quantities.

Blazars are a subclass of AGN, whose jets are aligned at a small angle to the line of sight towards Earth. They can be classified into BL Lac objects and flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). According to the AGN unified scheme (Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995), FSRQs are the beamed counterparts of FR II radio galaxies. Because of relativistic bulk motion of plasma at small inclination angles, the compact (i.e., parsec scale) emission of blazar jets gets drastically Doppler boosted and can be observed out to very high redshifts.

According to synchrotron theory, electrons with Lorentz factor $\gamma$ emit at frequencies $\nu \sim 10^{-6} \gamma^2 B^2$ GHz (with $B$ in mG). High radio-frequency observations thus typically probe emission from electrons at $\gamma > 1000$. The emitted spectrum of large-scale components associated with AGN jets (unbeamed lobe emission and moderately beamed hotspot emission) can be described by a power law, $F_\nu \propto \nu^{\alpha}$, with the spectral index $\alpha$ typically in the range $-0.5$ to $-1$, while the beamed emission from the central jet in blazars is typically characterized by flat spectral indices $\alpha \sim 0$. Consequently, blazars have been studied extensively at high radio frequencies, where the jet dominates and Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) techniques offer unprecedented angular resolution of the inner jet region (Zensus 1997), while the low-frequency properties of blazar lobe-emission have received less attention in the past decades.

Several further constraints affect the study of large-scale blazar observational data. Due to the strong projection effects at the small inclination angles involved, the emission of the two
lobes associated with the jet and counterjet can blend. Moreover, the hotspots of jet and counterjet are subject to noticeable differential Doppler boosting due to the mildly relativistic advance speeds of hotspots in the intergalactic medium (O’Dea et al. 2009). Light-travel time differences between both jets can affect the observed arm ratios and cause differential aging of hotspot and counter-hotspot.

These problems can partially be overcome with high-resolution observations at long observing wavelengths as provided by LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013), which offers unprecedented sensitivity at $40 - 240$ MHz and an angular resolution greatly improved over previous instruments. The low-energy electron population ($\gamma < 1000$) responsible for the blazar-lobe emission can give us unique new insights into the large-scale structure of BL Lagoons and therefore a probe of the oldest observable structures in these powerful sources. In particular, while the counterjets of blazars are typically strongly debeam and therefore unobservable, the lobe and hotspot emission associated with these counterjets are expected to be less strongly debeam. Due to their steep radio spectrum and small projected scales, they can be detectable in LOFAR observations, while having remained undetected in previous higher-frequency and/or lower angular-resolution observations.

The powerful high-redshift ($z = 2.218$) blazar S5 0836+710 has been observed with the VLA by Cooper et al. (2007) at 1.4 GHz. At this moderately low frequency, the VLA (in A configuration) did not resolve the kilo-parsec-scale structure of the source. Higher-frequency VLA observations (e.g., O’Dea et al. 1988) and observations with MERLIN at 1.6 GHz (Hummel et al. 1992) have shown a single extended and polarized emission feature about 1.5 arcsec south of the jet core without any visible emission bridge between it and the core and without any apparent counterpart on the other side of the core. Perucho et al. (2012a) and Perucho et al. (2012b) suggested that the jet in S5 0836+710 is subject to the development of Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instability (Perucho et al. 2012a) and that this instability could be the cause of jet disruption and the generation of a decollimated radio structure at arcsecond scales (Perucho et al. 2012b), explaining the prominent extended feature observed by O’Dea et al. (1988) and Hummel et al. (1992). However, Perucho et al. (2012b) pointed out that the disruption site should be associated with intense dissipation of kinetic energy, which is not observed at any point between the inner jet and the putative relic feature. Another problem in the jet-disruption scenario is related to the one-sided kiloparsec-scale morphology because no corresponding relic or lobe associated with the counterjet can be observed. In this paper we present new results from LOFAR observations that solve these problems. The overall extended arcsecond-scale structure can be interpreted as a classical but strongly projected double-sided source morphology in which the southern feature is a hotspot associated with the approaching jet rather than a disrupted jet relic.

The following sections are structured as follows: In Sect. 2 we give observational parameters of the LOFAR observation of S5 0836+710 and describe the data reduction. The resulting images and derived quantities are presented in Sect. 3. Section 4 presents a discussion of the observational data and implications. Throughout the paper, we use the following cosmological parameters: $H_0 = 71 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$.

2. Observation and data reduction

We observed S5 0836+710 on June 17, 2015, with the LOFAR High Band Antenna (HBA) array. The observation time was 4 hours covering the full frequency range between 117.5 MHz to 162.6 MHz. 3C 196, which was used as the primary flux-density calibrator, was observed for 10 minutes at the beginning of the observing run. Data were recorded in 8-bit mode in 231 subbands with a bandwidth of 192 kHz each and averaged into 14 frequency bands, each with 3.12 MHz bandwidth, and correlated with the COBALT (Correlator and Beamforming Application platform for the Lofar Telescope) correlator (Broekema et al. 2018). Given the known highly compact structure of S5 0836+710, we used only the international LOFAR stations, and analyzed the data using standard methods of Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry (e.g., Moran & Dwarakanath 1995 using the AIPS (Astronomical Image Processing System; Greisen 2003) package. In this process, the data were averaged over 16 seconds in time so that the field of view contained only the target source. The resulting (uv)-coverage is shown in Fig. 1 and the measured visibilities as a function of (u,v)-radius are shown in Fig. 2. Images were created using self-calibration techniques using DIFMAP (Shepherd 1997) directly on target, which was possible because of the bright and compact core emission present in S5 0836+710. Four frequency bands had to be discarded due to insufficient data quality, presumably due to the bandpass shape and radio-frequency interference (RFI). The total flux density picked up in the full image was calculated and used to compute a correction factor by comparing to the total flux density of the flux calibrator known from a low-resolution image made with the calibrated LOFAR core stations. This factor was applied to the high-resolution model and the visibilities between the international stations were self-calibrated with this corrected model. This process led to fully calibrated LOFAR VLBI images.

3. Results

Fig. 1. (uv)-coverage of the international-LOFAR data set at 135 MHz.

3 shows a stacked image of the 11 bands (see online material for the images of the individual bands) corresponding to a central frequency of 143 MHz and a bandwidth of 34 MHz.

The general structure is in agreement with previous higher-frequency observations of S5 0836+710 on comparable scales and angular resolution (see especially Fig. 5 and Fig. 1 in Hummel et al. 1992, Perucho et al. 2012b). The source shows a compact unresolved core and a resolved emission region between 1 and 2 arcsec to the southwest of the radio core. The

\footnote{In June 2015 this included 6 stations in Germany (DE601-DE605,DE609), and one station in Sweden (SE607), France (FR606) and the United Kingdom (UK608), each.}
core is known to contain a southward-directed compact VLBI jet with an extent of about 200 mas or 1.5 kpc (Perucho et al. 2012a), that shows signs of growing instabilities with distance downstream. These were thought to lead to a full disruption of the jet before it is able to reach arcsecond scales (Perucho et al. 2012b). In this scenario, the southern component was interpreted as a subrelativistic relic of the disrupted jet that continues propagating downstream and interacting with the intergalactic medium. Such features are generally expected to show steep spectral indices $\alpha$ with $-2 < \alpha < -1$ (Pandey-Pommier et al. 2016).

To test this scenario, we created a spectral-index image using the 11 individual frequency bands and fitting a power-law to each individual pixel (see Fig. 4). The southern component shows a moderately steep spectrum with a spectral index of about $-1$ while the spectral index of the core is flat to slightly inverted. An additional striking feature of the LOFAR spectral-index image is a resolved steep-spectrum halo with a spectral index of about -1 surrounding the core. This halo has not been seen in previous higher-frequency images of S5 0836+710 and is only revealed by the good sensitivity and high angular resolution of LOFAR in the sub-GHz regime.

For comparison, we have produced a second spectral-index map between the LOFAR band at 138 MHz and 1.6 GHz (obtained from the MERLIN observation on March 1, 2008; see Hummel et al. 1992), which is shown in Fig. 5. The core-spectral index is affected by source variability between the LOFAR (2015) and the MERLIN (2008) observation but shows a roughly flat spectrum. The steep-spectrum halo is marginally visible and its spectral slope is consistent with the LOFAR-only spectral-index image (Fig. 4). The southern component shows a spectral index of about -0.7 between 138 MHz and 1.6 GHz and is therefore also consistent with the LOFAR-only data within the given accuracy.

Both spectral-index images consistently suggest that LOFAR resolves the central emission region into a core-halo structure. To test this, we model-fitted the LOFAR visibility data in this region with two superimposed Gaussian components in the image domain. We represented the core with an unresolved bright Gaussian component and modeled the halo with a broader and fainter Gaussian component. For visualization, we subtracted the unresolved core component from the full LOFAR image to obtain the brightness distribution shown in Fig. 6. The residual image reveals the diffuse emission centered at a small offset of $\lesssim 0.01$ arcsec from the core. The size of this second diffuse component is approximately the same as the size of the southern
component and \((1.2 \pm 0.2)\) Jy in flux density, translating to an intrinsic luminosity of \((4.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{29} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}\).

4. Discussion

In this section, we provide circumstantial evidence which shows that the large-scale morphology of S5 0836+710 can be understood as a classical FR II-like radio galaxy seen at a small inclination angle. In this model, the southern component can be understood as a face-on hotspot, hotspot relic, or hotspot-lobe structure of the approaching jet and the halo can be associated with emission of the hotspot and/or lobe on the counter jet side. We use the observational parameters to derive jet parameters and constrain the density of the intracluster medium surrounding the radio source S5 0836+710.

4.1. Interpretation of the southern emission region as a face-on hotspot

The spectral index of the southern component in S5 0836+710 is only moderately steep and does not reach values expected from a bona-fide radio relic of a disrupted jet as seen in other sources (Pandey-Pommier et al. 2016). The magnetic field in this region is circumferential at the south-eastern edge (O’Dea et al. 1988) as it is typical for quasar hotspots (Swarup et al. 1984). The field seems to be aligned with the western region of the large-scale structure. Altogether, it can be interpreted in this respect, as an emission region associated to a hotspot plus a lobe, in which the field is aligned along the shock in the west, as observed in other FRII sources (Kharb et al. 2008). We therefore measured the size of the southern component by model fitting a Gaussian component to the LOFAR data in all 11 bands to test whether its extent is consistent with an active FR II hotspot region seen face on. The full extent of the emission region is about 9 kpc with an average flux density of \((1.4\pm0.3)\) Jy, translating to an intrinsic luminosity of \((5\pm1)\times10^{29} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}\). At a distance of 17.88 Mpc, this is indeed on a scale of typical hotspot diameters in powerful FR II radio galaxies (Jeyakumar & Saikia 2000; Peruch & Martí 2005; Kawakatu & Kino 2006).

Alternatively, it is possible to find a model representation where only the brightest peak of the southern component is modelled with a Gaussian of about 5 kpc in diameter and a flux density of \((1.2\pm0.2)\) Jy (while residual emission on somewhat larger scales can be represented either by an additional wider Gaussian or by a hybrid model invoking a distribution of CLEAN components). This latter representation would model a physical scenario of a hotspot surrounded by a lobe. Also in this model representation, the size of the high surface-brightness feature is still consistent with typical sizes of hotspots in FR II radio galaxies.

The ‘unusual’ irregular morphology of the putative hotspot might indeed just be an effect of the high angular resolution and the small inclination angle at which the system is observed. If, as suggested by Peruchó et al. (2012b), this southern emission component does represent the relic of the hotspot, after the jet has been transformed into a subrelativistic or mildly relativistic broad flow, then the loss of collimation must have taken place fairly close upstream of the terminal feature, because it obviously has not expanded substantially since then.

4.2. Interpretation of the source morphology as an FR II-like radio galaxy at a small viewing angle

The kiloparsec-scale structure of S5 0836+710 is consistent with a double-sided source, reminiscent of a highly projected radio-galaxy image onto which a strongly beamed unresolved core component is superimposed. In this interpretation, the southern diffuse component can be interpreted to be associated with the hotspot region of the approaching jet and the halo-like diffuse component near the core can be interpreted as the counter-hotspot region. Because the distance to the core is larger for the hotspot than it is for the counter-hotspot, the system cannot be fully symmetric. However, at small inclination angles, intrinsi-
cally small bends or misalignment angles can be increased to substantially larger apparent offsets in projection. A possible geometry of the system is shown in Fig. 7.

![Diagram of the source geometry in S5 0836+710 as an FR II radio galaxy observed at a small inclination angle $\theta$. HS denotes the hotspot region closer to the observer, and CHS denotes the counter-hotspot region. The different arc lengths are due to the different light travel times (see Appendix A). The two jets are misaligned by $\varphi$ from a straight jet/counter-jet axis.](image)

Interpreting the southern emission feature as the hotspot region and the halo-component near the core as the counter-hotspot region we calculate the brightness ratio of the two regions to be $F_h/F_{ch} = (1.19 \pm 0.11)$. This brightness ratio can be used to constrain the parameter space for inclination angle, misalignment and the advance speed $\beta_h$ of the jet head, which we assume to be the same for both hotspots. Advance speeds in FR II radio galaxies are generally assumed to be mildly relativistic with values of up to 0.7. For that, it can be derived that the inclination angle is unlikely to be much larger than 15°, because that would imply an intrinsic opening angle of $\geq 7^\circ$. On the other hand, it is highly improbable that the inclination angle is much smaller than about 1.5° because otherwise the total deprojected source size of $>1$ Mpc would be larger than the maximum known sizes of radio sources (Jeyakumar & Saikia 2000). At the preferred inclination angle of $\theta = 3.2^\circ$ (Pushkarev et al. 2009), the measured apparent opening angle implies an intrinsic opening angle of about 1°, which is consistent with the conclusions of Hummel et al. (1992).

![Graph showing $\varphi$ lines needed to explain the measured flux ratio with respect to a certain $\beta$. $\varphi$ values are represented by the drawn arcs, and increase from 0.02339 (leftmost arc) up to 0.02348 (rightmost arc) in increments of $3.4 \times 10^{-4}$. $\varphi'$ is the minimum needed, and $\varphi''$ is the maximum possible misalignment angle resulting from the geometric argument (see text). The confined green area in between is therefore the possible misalignment in order to blend the counter-hotspot region by the bright core.](image)

The misalignment $\varphi$ needed to explain both the observed morphology and the hotspot regions brightness ratio is thus in the range 2.5° to 5°. Within the range of typical inclinations of blazars towards earth, this leaves a relatively large parameter space (see Fig. 8), that is fully consistent with expectations for the special case of S5 0836+714. Such values are indeed common among powerful FR II radio galaxies. For example, misalignment angles in 3C sources are known to range up to values of about 12° or more (Leahy & Williams 1984). We thus

$$\frac{F_h}{F_{ch}} = \left(\frac{1 + \beta_h \cos \theta}{1 - \beta_h \cos (\theta - \varphi)}\right)^{3-\alpha},$$

where $\theta$ is the inclination angle under which we observe the approaching jet and $\varphi$ is the misalignment angle of the counterjet with respect to the approaching jet (see Fig. 7).

With the measured spectral index of $\alpha = -0.7$, the measured brightness ratio of the two hotspot regions, and a viewing angle of $\theta = 3.2^\circ$, as estimated by Pushkarev et al. (2009), this relation constrains the allowed parameter space as seen in Fig. 8. For a given flux ratio, the resulting head advance speed does depend only weakly on the geometry. Considering the uncertainty range of the flux-ratio, the advance speed is constrained to the range of 0.010 c to 0.036 c. This is comparable to (albeit on the low end of the distribution of) source advance velocities of distant high-power FR II radio galaxies (O’Dea et al. 2009).

Hotspot advance speeds of active FR II radio galaxies have been commonly assumed to be roughly constant over the lifetime of a source (O’Dea et al. 2009). In that case, the measured advance speed for S5 0836+710 would imply a source age of $2 \times 10^7$ years to $8 \times 10^8$ years, which is exceeding the maximum total source lifetime of such a powerful source by a factor of 2 to 80 (e.g., O’Dea et al. 2009; Perucho et al. 2019). Thus, the hotspot advance speed in S5 0836+710 must have been somewhat higher in the past, in agreement with a scenario in which the originally highly relativistic jet has lost collimation due to the growth of instabilities and has transformed into an only mildly relativistic flow, as suggested by Perucho et al. (2012b).

The hotspot region was modeled with a single Gaussian component for each band. Averaging all bands, we measure an apparent opening angle (core to hotspot) of $(25 \pm 2)^\circ$. From that, it can be derived that the inclination angle is unlikely to be much larger than 15°, because that would imply an intrinsic opening angle of $\geq 7^\circ$. On the other hand, it is highly improbable that the inclination angle is much smaller than about 1.5° because otherwise the total deprojected source size of $>1$ Mpc would be larger than the maximum known sizes of radio sources (Jeyakumar & Saikia 2000). At the preferred inclination angle of $\theta = 3.2^\circ$ (Pushkarev et al. 2009), the measured apparent opening angle implies an intrinsic opening angle of about 1°, which is consistent with the conclusions of Hummel et al. (1992).

An independent additional constraint on the inclination and the misalignment angles follows from the simple geometric argument that we see the hotspot region at a distance of about 1.5 arcsec from the core and the counter-hotspot region is located within about 0.5 arcsec from the core (because at that distance the core and the counter-hotspot start to merge into a blended feature at the beamsize of our LOFAR image). We thus require a misalignment angle $\varphi \geq 2.5^\circ$. 

$$\frac{F_h}{F_{ch}} = \left(\frac{1 + \beta_h \cos \theta}{1 - \beta_h \cos (\theta - \varphi)}\right)^{3-\alpha},$$

where $\theta$ is the inclination angle under which we observe the approaching jet and $\varphi$ is the misalignment angle of the counterjet with respect to the approaching jet (see Fig. 7).
consider the interpretation of the S5 0836+710 large-scale morphology as an FR II-like radio galaxy at a small viewing angle as realistic.

4.3. Derivation of the jet parameters

The total jet power for a relativistic jet is parametrized as (see, e.g., Perucho et al. 2017):

\[
L_j = \left( \rho_j h_j \Gamma_j^2 + \frac{(B^2)^2}{4\pi} \right) v_j A_j, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[ h_j = c^2 + \frac{\gamma_j p_j}{\gamma_j - 1}, \]

is the jet specific enthalpy, \( \Gamma_j \) is the jet Lorentz factor, \( \rho_j \) is the jet rest mass density, \( v_j \) is the jet velocity, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( B^2 \) is the toroidal field in the observer’s frame, \( \gamma_j \) is the ratio of specific heats of the jet gas, and \( A_j \) is the jet cross-section. The first term in Eq. (2) includes the kinetic, internal, and rest-mass energy contributions, while the second term stands for the magnetic energy of the jet.

We can assume (see Appendix B) that the jet is kinetically dominated and in the cold regime (i.e., its magnetosonic Mach number is high). Given also the fact that the advance speed as measured by LOFAR is so slow, the velocity of the bulk plasma flowing into the hotspot can be assumed to be only mildly relativistic at most (see also Appendix C for the relativistic derivation). Under these conditions, the magnetic and pressure terms can be neglected and Eq. (2) simplifies to

\[
L_j = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_j^2 \rho_j A_j, \tag{3}
\]

Across a strong shock, the hotspot pressure is (e.g., Landau & Lifshitz 1987)

\[
P_h \approx \frac{4 L_j}{(\gamma_j + 1) v_j A_j}. \tag{4}
\]

In this equation, we need to define the jet radius at the hotspot, \( R_{h,j} \), which can be approximated as the hotspot radius. Because we do not know whether the southern radio-structure includes the hot spot and part of the lobe, or it is the hot spot, we will consider both half of the whole region size as the jet radius (4.5 kpc), and half the size of the fitted component, which represents the brightest region within the hypothetical lobe (2.5 kpc). Although the polarization seems to favour the latter interpretation (see the previous section), we study both cases here. Furthermore, we need an estimate for \( v_j \) at the hotspot, \( v_{j,h} \). As we will show in the following paragraphs, any reasonable input value is sufficient because we define an iterative method to derive its value of convergence. Once the hotspot pressure is obtained, we can use equipartition between the non-thermal particles and the magnetic field, as reported for FR II hotspots (see e.g., Hardcastle & Worrall 2000), to obtain a value of the magnetic field at the interaction site.

The value of the magnetic field prior to the reverse shock \( B_{h,j}^0 \) can be constrained by assuming conservation of the magnetic flux from the 1.6 GHz jet to the interaction site \(^3\). Applying the

\[ 3 \] This assumption is quite reasonable for conically expanding jets at kiloparsec scales (see e.g., Komissarov 2012).

\[ \text{Article number, page 6 of 9} \]
if the mass flux is dominated by pairs or \( n_{h,b} = 0.05 - 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) if it is dominated by protons.

In the case of a leptonic jet, this implies a total particle number flux of \( N_{j,e} = \Gamma_j, v_j n_j \pi R_j^2/h \simeq 1.2 \times 5.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ pairs/s} \) at the hotspot. This flux falls to \( 0.6 - 3.0 \times 10^{31} \text{ s}^{-1} \) in the case of a proton dominated jet at these scales. A pair jet can, nevertheless, be discarded on the basis of energetic argumentation: taking into account that the jet is relativistic at VLBI scales, the energy flux in the form of rest-mass energy must have necessarily changed.

As a conclusion, pollution by protons is required to happen along the jet and the jet is likely to be proton dominated on large scales.

4.4. Implications for the intracluster medium

From ram pressure confinement, we obtain a minimum value of \( \rho_a = P_h/v_h^2 \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-26} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), and a maximum of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) for the possible ranges of \( R_R \) and \( v_h \). The maximum derived (which corresponds to the smallest hotspot advance speed) would imply proton number densities of \( \sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), of the order of interstellar medium values, and it is therefore unrealistically large for the intracluster medium at 240 kpc from the active nucleus.

Increasing the hotspot advance speed up to 0.036 c can yield values as small as \( \sim 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The dependencies of the derived ambient density on the observational parameters thus favour hotspot advance speeds in the upper range of the interval given by brightness asymmetry. This result is, however, still one to two orders of magnitude above the values found in less distant FR II radio galaxies. However, no generalized statement on a systematic redshift dependence can be derived from a single-source study as presented here, because systematic uncertainties and source-specific peculiarities have to be considered.

Our method can be generalized and applied to larger numbers of suitable blazars to yield statistically relevant samples of the density of the intracluster medium as a function of redshift, which is independent and complementary to classical observational methods applied to radio galaxies (e.g., O’Dea et al. 2009). Because of their extreme power and beaming, blazars can be found at higher redshift than radio galaxies so that the method might eventually prove particularly important for studies of the young universe. The weakly beamed components of interest (hotspots, lobes), however, will be very challenging to detect at redshifts much larger than \( z = 2 \) and might have to await the advent of the Square Kilometre Array (SKA).
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5. Conclusion
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Future LOFAR studies of blazar samples will be able to address unsolved questions about the unification of blazars and radio galaxies, such as the occurrence of FR II–typical morphological features in BL Lac objects (Cooper et al. 2007 Kharb et al. 2010).

In this paper, we have demonstrated that blazar observations with LOFAR can also be used to probe the intracluster medium out to cosmological distances. Our results suggest that the density of the intergalactic medium around the distant (\( z = 2.22 \)) blazar S5 0836+710 might be substantially higher than the values found in less distant FR II radio galaxies. However, no generalized statement on a systematic redshift dependence can be derived from a single-source study as presented here, because systematic uncertainties and source-specific peculiarities have to be considered.

Our method can be generalized and applied to larger numbers of suitable blazars to yield statistically relevant samples of the density of the intracluster medium as a function of redshift, which is independent and complementary to classical observational methods applied to radio galaxies (e.g., O’Dea et al. 2009). Because of their extreme power and beaming, blazars can be found at higher redshift than radio galaxies so that the method might eventually prove particularly important for studies of the young universe. The weakly beamed components of interest (hotspots, lobes), however, will be very challenging to detect at redshifts much larger than \( z = 2 \) and might have to await the advent of the Square Kilometre Array (SKA).
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Table 1. Jet parameters at the hot-spot. The intervals in the parameters correspond to the values derived for \( n_h = 4.5 \) and 2.5 kpc.

| \( \beta_h \) | \( \beta_{j,b} \) | \( \rho_{j,b} \) | \( P_{h,b} \) | \( P_h \) | \( B_{h,b} \) | \( B_h \) | \( \rho_a \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.01 | 0.23 | 1.2 \times 3.8 \times 10^{-27} | 0.04 \times 0.08 | 0.4 \times 1.3 \times 10^{-7} | 1.0 \times 1.8 | 0.5 \times 1.5 \times 10^{-24} |
| 0.036 | 0.54 | 0.9 \times 2.9 \times 10^{-28} | 0.04 \times 0.08 | 1.8 \times 5.7 \times 10^{-8} | 0.7 \times 1.2 | 1.5 \times 5 \times 10^{-26} |
Appendix A: Light-travel effects on the symmetry between hotspot and counter-hotspot

Because the jet in S5 0836+710 is observed at a small angle to the line of sight, we expect a travel time delay between the photons arriving to us from the counter-hotspot and from the hotspot itself. We can estimate the relative observed hotspot to counter-hotspot age and distance to the core by taking into account that the age of the counter-hotspot is \( t_{ch} = D_{ch}/v_h \) (see Fig. 7), assuming that the head of the jet propagates at a similar speed for long distances. Furthermore, we can also claim that \( t_{ch} = t_h - D/c \); i.e., the counter-hotspot is seen at a younger age than the hotspot, with the difference due to the time the photons need to travel the total distance between the two hotspots, \( D = D_h + D_{ch} \). By combining these expressions, we get:

\[
D_{ch} = t_h - \left( D_h + \frac{D_{ch}}{c} \right),
\]  
(A.1)

and thus:

\[
D_{ch} = \frac{D_h - D_h}{c} \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{v_h} + \frac{1}{c} \right)},
\]  
(A.2)

which, for \( v_h = 0.023 \, c \) and \( D_h \approx 240 \text{kpc} \left( \theta = 3^\circ \right) \), result in \( D_{ch} = 229 \text{kpc} \), and an age of about 5 Million years younger then the southern hotspot.

Appendix B: Jet power

In the case of a non-relativistic jet, Eq. 2 becomes

\[
L_j = \left( \frac{\gamma_j P_j}{(\gamma_j - 1)} + \frac{1}{2} 2\beta_j^2 \right) v_j A_j.
\]  
(B.1)

Vega-García (2018) has provided an estimate of the mean magnetic field along the 1.6 GHz jet of S5 0836+710 by using the cooling time of the electrons emitting at this frequency together with the distance along which the jet is observed, resulting in \( B \leq 0.01 \, \text{G} \). This represents an upper limit of the field strength, as it obviates adiabatic cooling on the scales observed. Using this value, the jet radius at half the jet extension, and the estimated Lorentz factor for the VLBI jet (\( \Gamma_j = 12 \)), Vega-García (2018) derive a jet Poynting flux \( P = \frac{(\gamma_j^2 - 1) B_j^2 \pi R_j^2}{\gamma_j^2} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{25} \text{erg/s} \), assuming that the dominating field is toroidal.

Following an independent line of derivation, we use the intrinsic hotspot plus counter hotspot luminosities at 150 MHz (9.0 \( \times 10^{30} \text{W Hz}^{-1} \), altogether) and the relation given by Daly et al. (2012) between the source luminosity at 178 MHz and the jet kinetic power to estimate the latter, and we obtain \( L_j \approx 10^{47} \text{erg/s} \). Although this value is affected by considerable uncertainties, it yields a number corresponding to that expected for powerful FR II jets, as expected for this bright and distant quasar. By comparing the total energy flux with the Poynting flux, we can deduce that the jet is likely to be kinetically dominated at these scales.

Stability analysis of this jet confirms, in addition, that its Mach number is large or, in other words, that the jet is in the cold regime (\( h_i = c^2 \) and implying \( \gamma_j = 5/3 \), Vega-García et al., submitted), albeit kinetically relativistic at VLBI scales. Table B.1 contains a summary of the jet parameters that are relevant to our study (see Vega-García 2018).

Appendix C: The relativistic case

In Sect. 4.3, we used the approximation of a sub-relativistic jet flow close to the hot-spot, which proved to be valid because of the limiting flow velocity obtained (\( \beta_{h,j} \leq 0.54 \)). For reference, we also considered the case of a relativistic flow, where the conservation of momentum flux across the shock implies (e.g., Landau & Lifshitz [1987]; Marti & Müller [1994]):

\[
\rho_h h_i \Gamma_j^2 \beta_{h,j}^2 v_j^2 + P_j = \rho_h h_j \Gamma_j^2 \beta_{h,j}^2 v_j^2 + P_h.
\]  
(C.1)

For the particle dominated (cold) jet of S5 0836+710 at these scales (see Appendix B), \( P_j \) is much smaller than \( \rho_h c^2 \) (implying that \( h_i \approx c^2 \)). Taking into account that for a strong shock \( v_h \ll v_j \), it follows that \( P_h \gg P_j \) and the previous expression results in

\[
P_h \approx \rho_h \Gamma_j^2 \beta_{h,j}^2 v_j^2.
\]  
(C.2)

Comparing Eqs. (2) and (C.2), subtracting the rest mass energy of the particles, and neglecting the magnetic field contribution, we obtain, for the hotspot pressure:

\[
P_h \approx \frac{\Gamma_j L_j \beta_{j,h} h_j}{(\Gamma_j - 1) \pi R_j^2 c}. \]  
(C.3)

We approximate the jet radius at the hotspot, \( R_{h,j} \), to the hotspot radius. As we did before, we now begin an iterative process: we start with \( \beta_{j,h} \approx 1 \) to find a first value for the hotspot pressure \( P_h \). Equipartition between the non-thermal particles and the magnetic field can then be used to derive the hotspot magnetic field, \( B_h \approx \sqrt{8\pi P_h} \). We note that the values derived from Eq. (C.3) lead to upper limits of the hotspot pressure and magnetic field because there is no total transfer of kinetic energy into internal energy across the shock. This is caused by the approximation of the first term in the right hand side of Eq. (C.1) \( \approx \rho h v_j^2 \), to zero.

Then, with the value of the magnetic field derived for the jet at VLBI scales and applying conservation of the magnetic flux (which is a reasonable assumption for conical jets), we derive an estimate of the field intensity right before the hotspot. Finally, we can apply the RMHD jump conditions at the reverse shock giving rise to the hotspot (e.g., Amle 1989).

\[
\Gamma_{h,j} \beta_{h,j} R_{h,j}^2 = \Gamma_h \beta_h R_h^2,
\]  
(C.4)

which, using the value derived for \( \beta_h \), \( \Gamma_h \approx 1, \beta_h^2 \), and \( R_{h,j}^2 \), allows us to obtain \( \Gamma_{h,j} \beta_{h,j} \). From the value of \( \Gamma_{h,j} \beta_{h,j} \), we get \( \beta_{h,j} \) and bring it back to Eq. (C.3) to continue the iterative method.

In the case of S5 0836+710 with its very low measured head velocity \( \beta_h \), the relativistic expression necessarily leads to the classical approximation, because the kinetic energy, \((\Gamma_{j,h} - 1) \rho_j c^2 v_j^2 \), tends to zero. The Taylor expansion of the Lorentz factor results in the classical kinetic energy.
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