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Enzymatic Activities of Bok Choy (Brassica rapa subsp. Chinensis) Grown Soil with the Amendment of Sandwich Compost
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Soil enzymes ensure our food security, yet they are vulnerable to abiotic stresses. Solving the global issues of food waste by amending the Sandwich compost can be a great solution to ensure food security. Food waste Sandwich compost substrate (as soil amendment) and leachate (as seed priming solution and liquid fertilizer) were used to grow Bok Choy for 4 growing cycles, where soil pH, cation exchangeable capacity, moisture content, aggregate stability, and enzyme activity were determined. The Sandwich compost substrate amendment increased soil pH close to neutral and CEC up to 1.5-fold. Anaerobic Sandwich compost substrate-amended soil reduced soil catalase activity. Still, it steadily increased during the growing cycle. The Sandwich compost substrate amendment soil sustained the aggregate stability for 4 growing cycles. On the flip side, aggregate stability without the Sandwich compost substrate amended soil declined from the growing cycle to the next growing cycle. All variables were positively correlated except catalase activity. Henceforward, Sandwich compost substrate is recommended to improve soil quality in the aspects of pH, CEC urease activity, and dehydrogenase activity.

1. Introduction

Soil enzymes are the vital drivers for food security. Starved of soil enzymes, the nutrient cycle will be interrupted due to the failure of the plant nutrients uptake. Soil enzyme activity is valuable to the environment, especially pollution and aeration, in which they work. Soil enzyme activity is closely correlated to the amount of soil organic matter, plant, soil, root, and microbial biomass [1]. Besides, soil enzyme activity is affected by abiotic factors such as pH, temperature, moisture content, and soil cultural management, which is largely affected by anthropogenic pollutants (such as zinc) and commercial fertilizer [2].

Catalase is a hydrogen peroxide oxidoreductase and is deemed an intracellular enzyme [3]. It is typically found in aerobic bacteria and most facultative anaerobes; however, it is absent in obligate anaerobes. The role of catalase is to defend the cells from oxidative damage [4]. Soil catalase activity is a soil pollution indicator. Hence, the studied soil is considered low in pollutants if the range lies between 0.23–0.36 mL and 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) KMnO\(_4\) g\(^{-1}\) [5]; Tang et al [6]. Besides, catalase had a significant correlation to organic carbon and reduced soil depth [5, 7]. Catalase activity in subsoil (50–60 cm) has lowered by 21–43% than surface layer soil. Catalase activity is reduced by both Cr(III) and Cr(VI), however, Cr(III) has stronger inhibition of enzymatic activity than Cr(VI) [8, 18]. Lower catalase activity in urban
soil indicates lower tolerance to oxidative stress and lower soil fertility for plant growth.

Since microorganisms hydrolyze urea enzymatically, and ureases can be found in enormous quantities in biologically active soil. Being an extracellular enzyme, urease is responsible for the nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) cycles [9]. It is also vital for ammonification in the N cycle. Urease catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea to ammonia (NH₃) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). The substrates for ammonification are uric acid, urea, and organic N [9, 10]. High ammonium content is stated to be as high urease content and is persistent in dry soil and low temperature [11]. Urease activity is contributed by the excretion of microbes, root residues, and organic matter [12]. Urease activity is found to be positively correlated with total N [13, 14]. The low total N stored in Beijing urban soil was shown by the low urease activity [15]. Urease activity also reduced with the increased depth from ground level.

Brassica crops like Bok Choy provide a variety of phytonutrients, vitamins, minerals, and fiber to people [16]. Leafy vegetables have short growing cycles (3 to 4 weeks from plantation to harvest) and are demanded by people. Brassica sp. contains health-promoting compounds such as polyphenols, carotenoids, and glucosinolates (a group of sulfur and N-containing secondary plant metabolites [17, 18]). They play an important role in plant defense against herbivorous insects and microbial pathogens [19–21]. In Malaysia, Brassica sp. production was 0.15 Mt in 2019, making it 15% of the total vegetable production [22]. With the high demand and nutrients of Bok Choy, it was selected as the test crop.

Anaerobic Sandwich compost is produced with a wide range of beneficial microbes in a short period of time which is in the range of 7–21 days [23]. Sandwich compost enhanced soil urease activity in coffee production [24, 25]. Furthermore, organic matter enhanced soil aggregate stability and eventually brought about the improvement of microbial agents (W. [26]). Soil aggregate stability is affected by moisture content [27]. Therefore, the objective of this study is to determine the effect and relationship between soil pH, cation exchangeable capacity, moisture content, aggregate stability, and enzyme activity, through Sandwich compost amendment on Bok Choy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site. The study was carried out in a net house, Field 10, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) (2°59′31.4″N 101°42′52.1″E), Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. The soil was collected from the study site under the following conditions (Table 1).

2.2. Treatments. The experimental set-up was conducted, where nine (9) treatments with three (3) replications were each carried out for four (4) growing cycles, which is the same as the previous study [28]. Thus, a total of 108 experimental units were involved. The experiment was conducted as destructive sampling. The treatments evaluated are listed in Table 2.

Sandwich compost was prepared with cooked and uncooked food waste [25, 28, 29]. The Sandwich compost consist of substrate and leachate (Table 3). The 280 dwarf types of Bok Choy seed were from the green eagle [30]. One g of seed was primed in 500 mL of overnight tap water (Table 3) with the addition of 1 mL of Sandwich compost leachate (0.2%) for 3 hours [31, 32] before being sown in peat moss. The mixed urban soil with Sandwich compost substrate (1:1 ratio) was filled with a weight of 1.3 kg per polybag in a 10 × 10 cm polybag, covered with 0.7 kg urban soil, and covered with a layer of plastic gunny bag. Sandwich compost substrate amended soil was incubated for 45 days. The seedlings were transplanted into the soil after seven (7) days of emerging. A 0.2% of Sandwich compost leachate [33] was applied at every five-day interval beginning from 8 days after transplanting (DAT).

The oven-dried Sandwich compost sample was ground with an electrical stainless-steel coffee grinder and deposited in a well-packed plastic bag for further analysis. The sample (0.25 g) was digested using a 1:1 ratio of H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ at 350°C until the content turns colorless. After cooling the contents, the volume is made up of the distilled water and filtered through No. 1 filter paper for further analysis including N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Fe, and Al. Nitrogen was determined by using ICP except N. Nitrogen was determined by distillation and titration. A 10 mL sample and 10 mL 30% NaOH were added to the distillation apparatus. An indicator solution, 10 mL of boric acid mixed indicator, was added to an Erlenmeyer flask. The distillation process had changed the color of the 2% boric acid mixed indicator from purple color to green color. About 50 mL of green solution was ready for the next step. The green color solution will be titrated with 0.01 N HCl to give purple color and the used HCl will be recorded.

2.3. Soil Physiochemical Analysis. The soil moisture content was determined gravimetrically [34]. A 20 g of fresh soil was oven-dried in a crucible at 105°C for 24–36 hours, cooled in a desiccator, and weighed. The data were expressed as %. The remaining soil sample was air-dried and crushed using a mortar and pestle in an anticlockwise orientation. The sample was sieved gently with a 2 mm sieve for further analysis.

| Physiochemical parameter | Urban soil |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Texture                  | Clay       |
| pH                       | 4.00 ± 0.0473* |
| Soil moisture content (%) | 12.00 ± 0.286 |
| Cation exchange capacity (cmolc kg⁻¹) | 7.6 ± 0.216 |
| Catalase activity (mL 0.02 mol L⁻¹ KMnO₄ g⁻¹) | 0.525 ± 0.0104 |
| Dehydrogenase activity (g TPF kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) | 2.31 ± 0.338 |
| Urease activity (mg NH₃–H) | 1.330 ± 0.0407 |

*Mean ± standard error.
Soil pH was determined using a 1:2.5 (w/v) soil-water extract [34] with a glass electrode HI2211 pH/ORP meter. A 100 mL plastic vial with a cap was filled with 10 g of soil and 25 mL of distilled water and shaken using an orbital shaker for 30 minutes at 180 rpm. To set down the soil, it stood for 1–24 hour(s) and the PH was tested with a pH meter.

Aggregate stability (%) was analyzed with the wet sieving method [35]. A 5 g sample of 1 to 2 mm air-dried soil was weighed and placed in the wet sieving sieve. The sample was premoisturized with a water sprayer. The can was then filled with three-quarters of distilled water. The sample was wet sieved for 10 minutes. The sample remaining on the sieve was transferred to a dish with slow and continuous flow of tap water. The sample was oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours and the dry aggregate was weighed (W). The sample was washed under tap water on the 0.25 nm sieve until the color turned clear. The sample remaining on the sieve was oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours and weighed (S). The calculation is as follows:

\[
\text{aggregate stability} \% = \frac{W - S}{S} \times 100. 
\]

Cation exchange capacity was determined by using the leaching method [36]. The leaching tube was layered with glass wool, filter paper, 10 g of air-dried soil, and filter paper. A 100 mL of pH 7 1 N ammonium acetate was leached for 10 ± 3 seconds per drop to a 100 mL volumetric flask. The extractant was made up of pH 7 1 N ammonium acetate. After that, 100 mL of 95% ethanol leached for 10 ± 3 seconds per drop. To deform the cation exchange capacity, 100 mL of 0.05 M K₂SO₄ was leached with speed. The extractant was then determined using a distillation method. A 10 mL of 30% NaOH and 10 mL of extractant were distilled with 10 mL of boric acid with an indicator mixture. The purple color of boric acid with the indicator mixture was then turned green and collected after distilling up to 50 mL. The green color solution was then titrated with 0.01 N HCl. The used HCl was recorded. The calculation is as follows:

\[
\text{CEC} = \frac{\text{volume of titrant (mL)}}{\text{volume of distill (mL)}} \times \text{volume of 0.01N HCl (mL)} \times \text{concentration of HCl (mmol/mL)} \times \frac{1000g}{1kg} \times \frac{1}{10g} \times \frac{1\text{cmol}}{10\text{mmol}}
\]

\(
\text{Moisture} \% = \frac{\text{fresh soil weight} - \text{oven-dried soil weight}}{\text{oven-dried soil weight}} \times 100. 
\)
2.4. Soil Enzyme Activity Analysis. Back-titrating residual 
H$_2$O$_2$ measured catalase activity with KMnO$_4$ [12], p. 323; [5, 37]. A 2 g of soil sample was added to 40 mL of distilled 
water with 5 mL of 0.3% H$_2$O$_2$ solution, shaken for 20 min 
(180 rpm), and then 5 mL of 1.5 mol/L of H$_2$SO$_4$ was added.
The solution was filtered and titrated using 0.02 mol L$^{-1}$ of 
KmNO$_4$. The reacted amount of 0.02 mol L$^{-1}$ of KMnO$_4$
calculated per gram of dry soil, was used to express catalase 
activity.

Dehydrogenase activity was measured using the 
classical triphenyl tetrazolium chloride method [38]. A 5 g of sieved soil, 0.4 g of CaCO$_3$, 1 mL of 1.5% 2,3,5-
triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC), and 2.5 mL of pure 
water were added and mixed well in a test tube. The tubes 
were sealed tightly and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in the 
dark. The product 1,3,5-triphenyl formazan (TPF), from 
the reduction of TTC, was extracted by using methanol, and 
additional methanol was added to make the sample 
volume of 50 mL. The TPF concentration was measured 
by the spectrophotometric method at 485 nm, and 
methanol was used in the reference cell. The DHA activity 
was expressed as $g$ TPF g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$.

Urease activity was determined using urea as the sub-
strate [12], p. 296; [39]. The soil mixture, including 5 g of soil, 
1 mL of toluene, 10 mL of 10% urea solution, and 20 mL of 
citrate buffer pH 6 was incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A 4 mL of 
sodium phenolate (20 mL of phenolate solution, 62.5 mL of 
liquefied phenol, 2 mL of methanol, 187.5 mL of acetone and 
made up with ethanol to 100 mL), 20 mL of 27% NaOH made 
up with H$_2$O in a 100 mL volumetric flask, 3 mL of 
0.9% sodium hypochlorite, and 20 mL H$_2$O were added to 
the 3 mL filtrate, the mixture is left to stand for 20 min until a 
blue color appeared. Ammonium sulfate was used as a 
calibration curve. A 0.4717 g of ammonium sulfate in 
1000 mL contained 0.1 mg of N mL$^{-1}$. The released NH$_3$–N 
was measured spectrophotometrically at 578 nm within an 
hour. The urease release rate was expressed as mg NH$_3$–N.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The recorded data were analyzed 
with a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using 
package “agricolae” under R studio, version 4.2.1 [40]. When 
F was significant at the $p < 0.05$ level, treatment means were 
compared and separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test 
(DMRT). Pearson’s correlation was analyzed by the package 
“corplot” [41]. The results were expressed as a mean ± 
standard error of measurement.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Physicochemical Properties. Soil pH had significant 
interaction between the growing cycle and Sandwich 
compost amendment (Figure 1). Soil pH of Sandwich 
compost substrate amended soil significantly increased and 
was maintained along with the four growing cycles of 
growing.

Cation exchange capacity (CEC) has no significant in-
teraction between the growing cycle and the Sandwich 
compost amendment (Figure 2). CEC has significantly 
decreased in the fourth growing cycle (Figure 2(a)). CEC of 
Sandwich compost amended soil was significantly higher 
than unamended soil (Figure 2(b)).

Soil moisture content has no significant difference be-
tween the growing cycle and Sandwich compost amend-
ment. The second and fourth growing cycles showed 
significantly higher soil moisture content (Figure 3(a)). 
Sandwich compost amended soil showed significantly higher 
soil moisture content (Figure 3(b)) as well.

Soil aggregate stability had significant interaction be-
tween the growing cycle and the Sandwich compost amend-
ment. Aggregate stability of unamended soil signif-
ically decreased along with the growing cycle period 
(Figure 4). Soil aggregate stability of T000 has significantly 
decreased during the growing period, especially during cycle 
4. Soil aggregate stability in commercial fertilized soil (T009) 
also decreased along the growing cycle. Sandwich compost 
amended soil (T100, T101, T110, and T111) has significantly 
stronger aggregate stability along the 4 growing cycles.

3.2. Soil Enzyme Activity. Soil enzyme activity such as cat-
alase, dehydrogenase, and urease activities were affected by 
both Sandwich compost amendment and growing cycles. 
Catalase activity was significantly stable in the soil without 
Sandwich compost substrate amendment along with the 
growing cycles (Figure 5). Sandwich compost substrate 
amended soil has significantly lower catalase activity com-
pared to unamended soil along with the four growing cycles. 
Soil catalase activity of Sandwich compost substrate 
amended soil has significantly increased along with the 
growing cycles.

Urease activity and dehydrogenase activity have signif-
ically increased with the Sandwich compost substrate 
amendment. Nevertheless, urease activity decreased during 
the fourth growing cycle (Figure 6). The application of 
Sandwich compost leachate has not significantly affected soil 
urease activity. Dehydrogenase activity of Sandwich com-
post substrate unamended soil significantly decreased 
compared to preamended soil (Figure 7).

3.3. Correlation of Soil pH, Moisture Content, Aggregate 
Stability, CEC, and Enzyme Activity. All variables were 
positively correlated to one another except for catalase ac-
tivity (Figure 8). Catalase activity was significantly negatively 
correlated to all variables except aggregate stability and soil 
mobility content. Aggregate stability was significantly 
positively correlated to pH, CEC, dehydrogenase activity, 
and urease activity.

4. Discussion

The significant shifting of soil pH between 6.0 and 7.0 makes 
most of the nutrient available to plants [42, 43]. The pre-
amended soil pH (4.0) was not suitable for most plants to 
grow (Table 1). However, organic matter has the potential to 
replace liming in the effort of lowering Al toxicity. Therefore, 
its amendment of the Sandwich compost substrate can be an 
alternative to liming activity. Soil pH with Sandwich
compost substrate amended soil exhibited an optimum plant growth pH range and they sustained four growing cycles [44–46]. Moreover, another soil amendment such as biochar was also able to stabilize the soil pH under drought conditions [47].

Neutralization of pH in Sandwich compost substrate amended soil has improved the efficiency uptake of Fe and Mn in plants [45]. The pH neutralization also reduced the toxicity of the soil. Besides, earthworm survival percentage can be affected by the low pH (pH_{KCl} 3.4) and the high concentration of Al_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} [48]. Thus, the neutralization of pH in the soil will positively impact the survival of earthworms. Besides, soil pH has a positive correlation with microbial biomass [49]. Hence, the shifting of soil pH in Sandwich compost substrate amended soil would increase microbial biomass.

Figure 1: Effect of the growing cycle and Sandwich compost amendments on soil pH. Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at P < 0.05 when using DMRT. The dotted line is referred to as the original soil pH 4. The solid line is referred to as the optimum soil pH of 6.2–7.

Figure 2: (a) Effect of the growing cycle on cation exchangeable capacity (cmol c kg$^{-1}$). (b) Sandwich compost amendments on cation exchangeable capacity (cmol c kg$^{-1}$). Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at P < 0.05 when using DMRT. The dotted line is referred to as the original cation exchange capacity (7.6 cmol c kg$^{-1}$).
CEC significantly reduced at the fourth growing cycle may be due to soil organic matter (Sandwich compost substrate) content which has reduced after three growing cycles. Sandwich compost substrate is being fully degraded by microbes. In contrast, the longer the composting, the higher the CEC value (51.7–59.8 meq 100 g\(^{-1}\)) [50]. Moreover, CEC decreases with soil depth [51].

CEC of Sandwich compost substrate amended soil showed significantly greater than Sandwich compost substrate unamended ones [52]. CEC was largely affected by the amount of organic matter [53–55] including Sandwich compost substrate. Therefore, large percentages of organic matter contribute to more negative sites (e.g., lignin derivatives including humic-like substances) and thus, a high CEC value [56]. Furthermore, the humification of the Sandwich compost substrate contributed to the phenolic and carboxylic groups and thus increased the CEC [50, 57].

Sandwich compost substrate possibly consisted of high urea for urease to work on it. Nevertheless, the Sandwich compost substrate amendment may be needed to sustain the

---

**Figure 3:** (a) Effect of the growing cycle on soil moisture content (%). (b) Sandwich compost amendments on soil moisture content (%). Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \) when using DMRT. The dotted line is referred to as the original soil moisture content (12%).

**Figure 4:** Effect of the growing cycle and Sandwich compost amendments on soil aggregate stability (%). Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \) when using DMRT.
Figure 5: Effect of the growing cycle and Sandwich compost amendments on catalase activity (mL 0.02 mol L\(^{-1}\) KMnO\(_4\) g\(^{-1}\) soil). Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at \(P<0.05\) when using DMRT. The dotted line is referred to as the original soil catalase activity (0.525 mL 0.02 mol L\(^{-1}\) KMnO\(_4\) g\(^{-1}\) soil).

Figure 6: Effect of the growing cycle and Sandwich compost amendments on urease activity (mg NH\(_3\)-N). Mean ± standard error with different letters is significantly different at \(P<0.05\) when using DMRT. The dotted line is referred to as the original soil urease activity (1.33 mg NH\(_3\)-N).
high urease activity [58, 59]. Organic matter content and microbial biomass improved urease activity [60]. Urease is generally found in intercellular living cells and on extracellular clay organic matter (Sandwich compost substrate) surfaces [61].

Landfill leachate toxicity was negatively correlated to enzyme activity, including urease activity [62]. Yet, Sandwich compost leachate was not significantly affected by urease activity. Therefore, Sandwich compost leachate is believed to be nontoxic to soil enzyme activity. However, high urease activity in the topsoil was immobilized by the microbial biomass due to a surplus of hydrolyzed urea-N [11]. Therefore, the plant root morphology and plant nutrient content were significantly lower than the preamended Sandwich compost substrate.

Dehydrogenase activity was strongly suppressed under soil 1.5–4.5 [63]. Low soil pH of Sandwich compost substrate unamended soil suppressed the potential enzyme activity [64] by damaging ion and hydrogen bonds in the enzyme center [65].

Soil pH was significantly positively correlated to CEC (Figure 8) [66, 67]. Sandwich compost substrate amended soil has high CEC and, thus, it has a high H⁺ buffering capacity. Additionally, urease activity and CEC were positively correlated, which is supported by the previous findings [68, 69]. This would be reduced by the ammonia volatilization from urea [70]. However, these findings were contradictory to wetland urease activity [71].

Soil urease activity and pH were significantly positively correlated (Figure 7). Soil pH that is close to neutral demonstrated significantly high urease activity [2, 72]. Increasing soil pH by liming significantly increased soil urease activity and the growth of urease-producing microbes [73]. In older studies, urease activity and pH were not significantly correlated [71]. Soil urease activity was significantly negatively correlated to catalase activity (Figure 7), which is contrary to the findings of the previous study [2]. This may be due to the anaerobes that were predominant in the Sandwich compost substrate amended soil.
Soil moisture plays a vital role in the hydrological cycle during land surface processes. High soil moisture content promotes plant growth. Hence, soil moisture stress deteriorated the plant physiology parameters [74]. On the other hand, the application of plant growth regulators reversed the plant physiology measurement [74]. Therefore, Sandwich compost substrate was the key to improving soil moisture content.

Soil moisture content can directly reflect the soil water holding capacity and indirectly reflect the field capacity [75]. The selected soil type was clay. Clay theoretically holds large amounts of water. Nonetheless, the presence of Sandwich compost substrate in high amounts will shift the key player of water retention. The amount of soil clay content and organic matter (Sandwich compost) is the major control of water retention. For instance, large amounts of clay content with low amounts of organic matter significantly affected the soil water retention and vice versa [76–78]. Sandwich compost substrate as a soil amendment may be able to increase water retention under high soil degradation [76].

Soil aggregate stability provides water storage and filtering, nutrient storage and recycling, as well as physical support and stability [79]. Continuous harvesting affects the soil aggregate. In general, field production soil has significantly lower aggregate stability compared to forest soil [80]. Sandwich compost substrate amended soil showed stronger aggregate stability because of the increased soil organic matter storage by the development of soil aggregate [79, 81]. Amendment of organic matter and plant root length density enhanced soil aggregate stability [82, 83]. However, the low root length of Bok Choy in Sandwich compost substrate amended soil has significant aggregate stability.

Low catalase activity may be due to the production of Sandwich compost substrate in anaerobic conditions. Thus, the anaerobes were predominant in the soil. Moreover, the amendment of organic matter in low doses will not improve salt catalase activity [84]. One-third of the Sandwich compost substrate may be deemed a low dose for improving catalase activity. However, catalase activity was increased with organic matter [60]. The aeration of compost production will affect the catalase activity.

High soil catalase activity of Sandwich compost substrate amended soil during the growing cycles may be due to the soil air increasing along with the growing cycles. High air-filled porosity, oxygen diffusion rate and redox potential, soil air increasing along with the growing cycles. High air-amended soil during the growing cycles may be due to the production will affect the catalase activity.

However, catalase activity was increased with organic matter [60]. Soil aggregate stability provides water storage and filtering, nutrient storage and recycling, as well as physical support and stability [79]. Continuous harvesting affects the soil aggregate. In general, field production soil has significantly lower aggregate stability compared to forest soil [80]. Sandwich compost substrate amended soil showed stronger aggregate stability because of the increased soil organic matter storage by the development of soil aggregate [79, 81]. Amendment of organic matter and plant root length density enhanced soil aggregate stability [82, 83]. However, the low root length of Bok Choy in Sandwich compost substrate amended soil has significant aggregate stability.

Low catalase activity may be due to the production of Sandwich compost substrate in anaerobic conditions. Thus, the anaerobes were predominant in the soil. Moreover, the amendment of organic matter in low doses will not improve salt catalase activity [84]. One-third of the Sandwich compost substrate may be deemed a low dose for improving catalase activity. However, catalase activity was increased with organic matter [60]. The aeration of compost production will affect the catalase activity.

High soil catalase activity of Sandwich compost substrate amended soil during the growing cycles may be due to the soil air increasing along with the growing cycles. High air-filled porosity, oxygen diffusion rate and redox potential, and low water amount and Fe²⁺ content resulted in high soil catalase activity [85, 86]. Moreover, soil water-filled pore space and soil pore size distribution indirectly affect the soil enzyme activity because they affect the fungal and bacterial biomass [87].

Catalase activity was significantly negatively correlated to pH (Figure 8) [88]. Nevertheless, catalase activity was significantly negatively correlated to CEC, which contrasts with the aforementioned findings [88] because catalase may be predominantly present in aerobic organisms [89]. Soil aggregate stability was positively correlated to soil enzyme activity [90].

There were some limitations in this study. Firstly, the soil incubation environment was aerobic without any disturbance, such as tillage, before growing Bok Choy. Thus, the catalase activity was low under the Sandwich compost substrate amendment. Second, the soil texture was limited to clay soil. Third, the tested crop (Bok Choy) was a short-term crop that is demanded by people.

5. Conclusion

The crucial player in soil quality was the Sandwich compost substrate amendment. Aggregate stability was positively correlated to pH, CEC, dehydrogenase activity, urease activity, and soil moisture content. Nevertheless, catalase activity was negatively correlated to aggregate stability, pH, CEC, dehydrogenase activity, urease activity, and soil moisture content. Aggregate stability was reduced with the growing cycle since continuous harvesting disturbs the soil structure significantly. Soil urease activity, dehydrogenase activity, pH, and CEC were significantly improved with the Sandwich compost substrate amendment. Hence, Sandwich compost substrate is proposed to improve soil quality.

Data Availability
The figure data used to support the findings of this study have been deposited in the figshare repository (10.6084/m9.figshare.20493879).

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References
[1] W. Zhou, X. Sun, S. Li, T. Du, Y. Zheng, and Z. Fan, “Effects of organic mulching on soil aggregate stability and aggregate binding agents in an urban forest in Beijing, China,” Journal of Forestry Research, vol. 33, no. 3, 2022.
[2] A. S. Olkova and E. Tovstik, “Comparison of natural abiotic factors and pollution influence on the soil enzymative activity,” Ecological Engineering and Environmental Technology, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 42–48, 2002.
[3] D. Santos, R. Vieira, A. Luzio, and L. Félix, “Zebrafish early life stages for toxicological screening: insights from molecular and biochemical markers,” Advances in Molecular Toxicology, vol. 12, pp. 151–179, 2018.
[4] S. Choudhury, D. Moulick, D. Ghosh et al., “Drought-induced oxidative stress in pearl millet (Cenchrus americanus L.) at seedling stage: survival mechanisms through alteration of morphophysiological and antioxidants activity,” The Life, vol. 12, no. 8, 2022.
[5] Z. Stpniewska, A. Wolińska, and J. Ziomek, “Response of soil catalase activity to chromium contamination,” Journal of Environmental Sciences, vol. 21, no. 8, 2009.
[6] B. Tang, H. Xu, F. Song, H. Ge, and S. Yue, “Effects of heavy metals on microorganisms and enzymes in soils of lead-zinc tailing ponds,” Environmental Research, vol. 207, 2022.
[7] W. Shu, A. Ming, J. Zhang et al., “Effects of close-to-nature transformation on soil enzyme activities and organic carbon fractions in cuninghamia lanceolata and pinus massoniana plantations,” Forests, vol. 13, 2022.
[8] M. Ao, X. Chen, T. Deng et al., “Chromium biogeochemical behaviour in soil-plant systems and remediation strategies: a critical review,” Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 424, Article ID 127233, 2022.

[9] N. Neemisha and S. Sharma, “Soil enzymes and their role in nutrient cycling,” Structure and Functions of Pedosphere, vol. 173, 2022.

[10] J. S. Strock, “Ammonification,” 2008, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780080454054002561?via%3Dihub.

[11] R. G. Burns, A. H. Pukite, and A. D. McLaren, “Concerning the location and persistence of soil urease,” Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 308–311, 1972.

[12] S. Y. Guan, “Soil Enzymes and their research methods,” 1986, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038071186901159.

[13] W. T. Frankenberger and W. A. Dick, “Relationships between soil enzyme activities and microbial growth and activity indices in soil,” Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 945–951, 1983.

[14] F. C. Siman, F. V. Andrade, R. R. Passos, M. Littig, and F. d. O. Machado, “Nitrogen fertilizers and volatilization of ammonia, carbonic gas emissions and urease activity,” Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 426–438, 2022.

[15] T. Li, L. Meng, U. Herman, Z. Lu, and J. Crittenden, “A survey of soil enzyme activities along major roads in Beijing: the implications for traffic corridor green space management,” International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 12, no. 10, 2015.

[16] X. Li, W. Pang, and Z. Piao, “Omics meets phytonutrients in vegetable brassicas: for nutritional quality breeding,” Horticultural Plant Journal, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 247–254, 2017.

[17] M. del Carmen Martínez-Ballesta, D. A. Moreno, and M. Carvajal, “The physiological importance of glucosinolates on plant response to abiotic stress in Brassica,” International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 14, no. 6, 2013.

[18] A. Praveen, A. Pandey, and M. Gupta, “Nitric oxide alters nitrogen metabolism and PIN gene expressions by playing protective role in arsenic challenged Brassica juncea L,” Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, vol. 176, pp. 95–107, 2019.

[19] R. Augustine and N. C. Bisht, “Biotic elicitors and mechanical damage modulate Glucosinolate accumulation by co-ordinated interplay of glucosinolate biosynthesis regulators in polyploid Brassica juncea L,” Phytochemistry, vol. 117, no. 1, pp. 43–50, 2015.

[20] B. A. Halkier and J. Gereshnzon, “Biology and biochemistry of glucosinolates,” Annual Review of Plant Biology, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 303–333, 2006.

[21] A. J. Touw, A. Verdecia Mogena, A. Maedicke, R. Sontowski, N. M. van Dam, and T. Tsunoda, “Both biosynthesis and transport are involved in glucosinolate accumulation during root-herbivory in Brassica rapa,” Frontiers of Plant Science, vol. 10, 2019.

[22] Jabatan Pertanian Malaysia, “Statistik tanaman sayur-sayuran dan tanaman ladang,” 2019, https://cupdf.com/document/statistik-tanaman-sayur-sayuran-dan-tanaman-ladang.html?page=1.

[23] M. Quiroz and C. Céspedes, “Bokashi as an amendment and source of nitrogen in sustainable agricultural systems: a review,” Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 237–248, 2019.

[24] D. A. Gómez-Velasco, J. D. Álvarez-Solís, V. M. Ruiz-Valdiviezo et al., “Enzymatic activities in soil cultivated with coffee (Coffea arabica L. cv. ‘Bourbon’) and amended with organic material,” Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, vol. 45, no. 19, 2014.
N. Khan, A. M. D. Bano, and A. Babar, "Impacts of plant growth promoters and plant growth regulators on rainfed agriculture," PLoS One, vol. 15, no. 4, Article ID e0231426, 2020.

T. Pan, S. Hou, S. Wu et al., “Variation of soil hydraulic properties with alpine grassland degradation in the eastern Tibetan Plateau,” Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, vol. 21, no. 4, 2017.

L. Dai, X. Guo, X. Ke, Y. Du, F. Zhang, and G. Cao, "The variation in soil water retention of alpine shrub meadow under different degrees of degradation on northeastern Qinghai-Tibetan plateau," Plant and Soil, vol. 458, no. 1–2, pp. 231–244, 2021.

K. E. Saxton and W. J. Rawls, “Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic matter for hydrologic solutions,” Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 70, no. 5, 2006.

F. Yang, G. L. Zhang, J. L. Yang et al., “Organic matter controls of soil water retention in an alpine grassland and its significance for hydrological processes,” Journal of Hydrology, vol. 519, no. PD, 2014.

E. Rabot, M. Wiesmeier, S. Schlüter, and H. J. Vogel, “Soil structure as an indicator of soil functions: a review,” Geoderma, vol. 314, pp. 122–137, 2018.

R. Fokom, S. Adamou, M. C. Teugwa et al., "Glomalin related soil protein, carbon, nitrogen and soil aggregate stability as affected by land use variation in the humid forest zone of south Cameroon," Soil and Tillage Research, vol. 120, pp. 69–75, 2012.

Z. Yu, Y. Zheng, J. Zhang et al., "Importance of soil interparticle forces and organic matter for aggregate stability in a temperate soil and a subtropical soil," Geoderma, vol. 362, Article ID 114088, 2020.

C. N. Daynes, D. J. Field, J. A. Saleeba, M. A. Cole, and P. A. McGee, “Development and stabilisation of soil structure via interactions between organic matter, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant roots,” Soil Biology and Biochemistry, vol. 57, pp. 683–694, 2013.

S. A. Materechera, A. R. Dexter, and A. M. Alston, "Formation of aggregates by plant roots in homogenised soils," Plant and Soil, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 69–79, 1992.

J. A. Pascual, T. Hernandez, C. Garcia, and M. Ayuso, "Enzymatic activities in an arid soil amended with urban organic wastes: laboratory experiment," Bioresource Technology, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 131–138, 1998.

J. Glinski, Z. Stepniewska, and M. Brzezinska, "Characterization of the dehydrogenase and catalase activity of the soils of two natural sites with respect to the soil oxygenation status," Polish Journal of Soil Science, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 47–52, 1986.

J. Glinski, W. Stepniewski, Z. Stepniewska, T. Włdarczyk, and M. Brzezińska, "Characteristics of aeration properties of selected soil profiles from Central Europe," International Agrophysics, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 17–31, 2000.

Q. Xia, N. Zheng, J. L. Heitman, and W. Shi, "Soil pore size distribution shaped not only compositions but also networks of the soil microbial community," Applied Soil Ecology, vol. 170, Article ID 104273, 2022.

L. Qiu, X. Zhang, L. Li, and J. Gao, "Changes in soil properties with vegetation types in highland grassland of the Loess Plateau, China," African Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 10, no. 71, 2011.