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Proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) is a fundamental mechanism important in a wide range of biological processes including the universal reaction catalysed by ribonucleotide reductases (RNRs) in making de novo, the building blocks required for DNA replication and repair. These enzymes catalyse the conversion of nucleoside diphosphates (NDPs) to deoxynucleoside diphosphates (dNDPs). In the class Ia RNRs, NDP reduction involves a tyrosyl radical mediated oxidation occurring over 35 Å across the interface of the two required subunits (\(\beta_2\) and \(\alpha_2\)) involving multiple PCET steps and the conserved tyrosine triad \(Y_{735}/Y_{731}/Y_{730}\). We report the synthesis of an active photochemical RNR (photoRNR) complex in which a \(\text{Re(I)}\)-tricarbonyl phenanthroline ([Re]) photooxidant is attached site-specifically to the Cys in the \(Y_{735}/\beta_2\) subunit and an ionizable, 2,3,5-trifluorotyrosine (2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y) is incorporated in place of \(Y_{731}\) in \(\alpha_2\). This intersubunit PCET pathway is investigated by ns laser spectroscopy on \([\text{Re}356\text{d}^{-2}\text{F}\text{2}\text{Y}731/\alpha_2]\) in the presence of substrate, CDP, and effector, ATP. This experiment has allowed analysis of the photo-injection of a radical into \(\alpha_2\) from \(\beta_2\) in the absence of the interfacial \(Y_{735}\) residue. The system is competent for light-dependent substrate turnover. Time-resolved emission experiments reveal an intimate dependence of the rate of radical injection on the protonation state at position \(Y_{731}(\alpha_2)\), which in turn highlights the importance of a well-coordinated proton exit channel involving the key residues, \(Y_{356}\) and \(Y_{731}\), at the subunit interface.

Introduction

Enzymatic control of coupled proton and electron transfer\textsuperscript{1−4} is critical in managing biological processes ranging from energy storage (photosystem II)\textsuperscript{5−9} and conversion (cytochrome c oxidase)\textsuperscript{10} to the synthesis of DNA precursors (ribonucleotide reductase).\textsuperscript{11−14} To better understand biological PCET, we have undertaken studies of this process in class Ia RNRs, which catalyse the conversion of nucleoside diphosphates (NDPs) to deoxynucleoside diphosphates (dNDPs)—a process required for synthesis and repair of DNA in all organisms.\textsuperscript{15,16} Catalysis by the class I RNRs proceeds by a radical mechanism requiring coupling of radical transport over 35 Å involving PCET across the two subunits to substrate turnover. The long distance, reversibility, and rate-limiting conformational gating of radical transport have made study of this process challenging. To overcome this challenge, we have developed two methodologies: photoRNRs\textsuperscript{17−21} and site-specific incorporation of unnatural amino acids in place of pathway residues.\textsuperscript{22−24}

\textit{E. coli} class Ia RNR has served as the paradigm for this long distance radical transport. It is composed of two homodimeric subunits: \(\alpha_2\) and \(\beta_2\). A docking model for this complex,\textsuperscript{25} substantiated by recent biochemical and biophysical studies,\textsuperscript{26,27} has provided the working model for the radical transport pathway shown in Fig. 1. The active site for NDP reduction resides in \(\alpha_2\), where the cysteine radical \([C439\alpha_2]\) must be transiently generated during each turnover by the essential diferric-tyrosyl radical \((Y_{122}\textsuperscript{\alpha_2})\) cofactor in \(\beta_2\). This long range oxidation requires a multi-step radical hopping mechanism that involves a specific pathway including four tyrosines \((Y_{122}\textsuperscript{\beta_2}\) and \(Y_{731}\) and \(Y_{730}\) in \(\alpha_2)\textsuperscript{11,28} and potentially \(W_{48}\) in \(\beta_2.\textsuperscript{11}\)

Recent attention has focused on the detection of the proposed transient radical intermediates and identification of the operative PCET mechanism at each site. Mössbauer studies have established that \(Y_{122}\textsuperscript{\beta_2}\) reduction in \(\beta_2\) is triggered by binding of substrate and effector to \(\alpha_2\)\textsuperscript{29} and involves proton donation from the water at \(Fe_1\) (Fig. 1). This process involves orthogonal PCET wherein the proton and electron come from different residues. High-field electron paramagnetic resonance (HF EPR) and deuteron electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) have provided atomic level resolution of local hydrogen bond interactions, specifically the co-linearity of the...
PCET within $\alpha_2$. Additionally, significant shifts in $g_z$ values together with the assignment of hyperfine coupling features from the ENDOR spectra of various amino-substituted RNR mutants propose an important role for electrostatics at the $\alpha_2$:$\beta_2$ interface. However, the disordered C-terminal tail of $\beta_2$ where Y356 resides has made interrogation of the chemistry at the subunit interface challenging (Fig. 1).

Rate limiting conformational gating in RNR obscures radical transport across the subunit interface, prompting us to develop photoRNRs to trigger radical initiation with light to avoid this gating and to potentially enable the observation of Y at the interface. Radical injection kinetics were initially made possible using a 19mer peptide photoRNR, which corresponded to the identical 19 residues of the C-terminal tail of $\beta_2$ along with a modification that appended a photooxidant (rhenium phenanthroline [Re]) adjacent to Y356 or fluorinated derivatives. This peptide photoRNR enables nucleotide reduction in the presence of $\alpha_2$ and light and allows for observation of radical injection into $\alpha_2$. Radical injection was only realized in the presence of an intact Y731–Y730 dyad within $\alpha_2$, providing important support for co-linear PCET within this subunit.

More recently, photoRNRs have been generated in which the peptide with the photooxidant is replaced by the full-length $\beta_2$ containing a site-specifically incorporated [Re] photooxidant at residue 355 using a S55C-$\beta_2$ mutant. Transient absorption spectroscopy on the active [Re355]$\beta_2$ complex in comparison to the control [Re355]Y356F-$\beta_2$ complex allowed for the assignment of a photogenerated Y356 $\gamma$. In addition, further modification of [Re355]$\beta_2$ by installation of an unnatural 2,3,5-F$_3$Y in place of Y356 in $\beta_2$ yielded the first direct measurement of Y propagation kinetics through the active RNR complex. Comparison of Y decay transient kinetics in the [Re355]$\alpha_2$:$\beta_2$-$\alpha_2$ complex in the presence of substrate, either [3'-H]-CDP or [3'-2H]-CDP, and effector ATP, revealed an observed rate constant of 1.4 × 10$^4$ s$^{-1}$ and unmasked for the first time an isotope effect on cleavage of the 3' C–H of the substrate. The photoRNRs thus circumvent the masking of radicals by conformational gating and thus have provided insight regarding radical transport and nucleotide reduction chemistry not accessible by any other method.

In this work, the [Re] photooxidant is attached to Cys in the Y356C-$\beta_2$ mutant and this modified [Re356]$\beta_2$ subunit is associated to $\alpha_2$ in which Y731 is site-specifically replaced with 2,3,5-F$_3$Y. This [Re356]$\beta_2$:$\beta_2$,$\alpha_2$ complex together with [Re356]$\beta_2$,$\alpha_2$, and [Re356]$\beta_2$,$\alpha_2$ control complexes are studied in the presence of CDP and ATP. In contrast to previous photoRNR systems, installation of [Re] at position 356 enables direct interfacial generation of a tyrosyl radical at position 731. Additionally, by leveraging the greater acidity of 2,3,5-F$_3$Y to enable deprotonation at neutral pH, this residue furnishes an ionizable reporter that varies with experimental pH. In turn, site-selective removal of a single proton at position Y731($\alpha_2$) provides the first protein:protein scaffold of RNR that permits the investigation of the effect of a modified proton microenvironment on radical transport on transient time scales (sub μs) at the interface. In the absence of Y356, radical injection is only achieved when position Y731 is deprotonated. In addition to confirming the complexity of RNR in maintaining a well-organized PCET pathway, this work introduces and highlights the importance of a well-defined proton exit channel out of $\alpha_2$ involving the key pathway residues, Y356 and Y731, at the subunit interface.

**Experimental**

Modified RNR subunits were constructed, expressed, purified, modified, and characterized as previously reported or with...
minor modification.\textsuperscript{19,21,24,31,35} Protein concentrations were measured by absorbance at 280 nm using: \(\varepsilon_{280} = 189\ 000\ \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\), \(\varepsilon_{320\text{apo}} = 121\ 000\ \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\), \(\varepsilon_{320\text{holo}} = 131\ 000\ \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\), and \(\varepsilon_{320\text{re}} = 189\ 000\ \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\). Purity of protein constructs was assessed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. S1\dagger). All measurements were conducted in assay buffer at pH 7.6 (50 mM HEPES, 15 mM EDTA; unless otherwise specified). Measurement of the dissociation constant (\(K_D\)) between [Re\textsubscript{356}\textsubscript{β2}] and wt-α2 was performed by a spectrophotometric competitive inhibition assay as previously reported.\textsuperscript{21,24} Measurement of the \(pK_a\) of the phenolic proton of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} within the assembled construct is illustrated in Fig. 2. These two subunit modifications allow for direct oxidization of Y\textsubscript{731} by a photoreactive species of each subunit were required. To directly target the assay as previously reported.\textsuperscript{17} Assays were performed according to published methods.\textsuperscript{17,19,32} Error bars represent \(2\sigma\) resulting from photolysis on ≈three independent samples.

Time-resolved spectroscopic measurements were performed using a home-built nanosecond laser system previously described.\textsuperscript{17,19,32} Each sample was prepared prior to photolysis and measurements were performed in triplicate. The calculation of the uncertainty in experimental measurements to 95% confidence limits (2σ) is described in the ESI (eqn S1–S5).

Results and discussion

PhotoRNRs: [Re\textsubscript{356}\textsubscript{β2}]-α2 and [Re\textsubscript{356}\textsubscript{β2}]-2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731}-α2

To probe radical initiation across the α2:β2 interface, specific variants of each subunit were required. To directly target the intersubunit radical transport step of Y\textsubscript{731} oxidation and subsequent radical injection into α2, we chose to circumvent Y\textsubscript{356} oxidation entirely. In contrast to previous systems where photoinitators were placed adjacent to Y\textsubscript{356} at position 355, [Re]-Br absorption spectrum, as expected for a construct with two [Re] molecules per β2 dimer (Fig. S3\dagger).

Construction of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731}-α2 was achieved using in \textit{vivo} nonsense suppression methodology previously developed to install fluorotyrosine reporters in class Ia RNR.\textsuperscript{24} The specific activity of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731}-α2 under catalytic conditions (375(5) U) is diminished relative to wt-α2 (1800–2500 U), while under single-turnover activity (2.75(4) equiv. dCDP/α2) is comparable to wt-α2 (≈3 dCDP equiv./α2). This decrease in catalytic activity, though comparable to that of wild-type (wt), is consistent with previous reports of this mutant.\textsuperscript{37}

The deprotonation of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} is expected to perturb the rate of radical generation at position Y\textsubscript{731}. The kinetic penalty associated with proton transfer is alleviated by removal of the proton when experimental pH \(> pK_a\), thus requiring measurement of the precise \(pK_a\) of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} in the assembled construct. As previously reported,\textsuperscript{19,21,32,33} the increase in the rate of photooxidation for tyrosinate relative to tyrosine,\textsuperscript{39} makes [Re] emission a reporter of the protonation state of nearby tyrosine residues. Fluorometric titration of the [Re\textsubscript{356}\textsubscript{β2}]-2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731}-α2 complex reveals the \(pK_a\) of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} to be 6.7(1), Fig. 3. Accordingly, ≈90% of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} residues are deprotonated under experimental conditions at the optimal operating pH for RNR (pH 7.6). Given the thermodynamically unfavourable acidity of the tyrosyl radical cation (\(pK_a = 7.5\)), the PCET process managing both the electron and proton transfers is mandated.\textsuperscript{40,41}

Photoinitiated substrate turnover

To establish that the photoRNR construct is competent to generate dCDP, the [Re\textsubscript{356\textsubscript{β2}}]-2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731}-α2 complex in the presence of [\textsuperscript{1}H]-CDP and ATP was photolyzed for 10 min (\(λ > 313\) nm) and dCDP was measured by scintillation counting. The results of this single turnover experiment are shown in Fig. 4. Perturbation of the enzyme by the introduction of [Re] results in a reduced level of turnover that is 5–10% relative to wt-RNR under the same pH conditions. Notwithstanding, the presence of photogenerated products establish the relevance of

*Fig. 2* PhotoRNR design schematic depicting the intersubunit photochemical oxidation of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y\textsubscript{731} by [Re\textsubscript{356\textsubscript{β2}}], incorporation of 2,3,5-F\textsubscript{3}Y at position Y\textsubscript{731} allowed radical generation to be examined in the absence of a proton at this residue.
Fig. 3 Measurement of the pH of the phenolic proton of 2,3,5-F3Y731 in the [Re356]-β2:2,3,5-F3Y731-α2 complex by steady-state emission. Fluorometric titrations of 2.5 μM 2,3,5-F3Y731-α2, 3.75 μM [Re356]-β2, 1 mM CDP, and 3 mM ATP were carried over a pH range of 5 to 9. Samples were illuminated with λexc = 315 nm, scanned from 420–700 nm at 0.5 nm intervals, integrated for 1 s at each data point, and averaged from three scans. The collected emission plots were integrated for fluorescence intensity and plotted against pH. Data were fit to an internal sigmoidal logistic function in OriginPro 8.5. The inflection point of the monoprotic pH-titration curve (x0) is the pH of the phenolic proton of 2,3,5-F3Y731.

\[
[pH]_0 = \frac{A_2 - A_1}{1 + \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^a - 1}
\]

[Re356]-β2:2,3,5-F3Y731-α2 complex to the natural enzyme. Attenuated enzymatic activity is also detected at pH > 7.6 which is consistent with the observed pH rate profiles for the wt enzyme and fluorotyrosine derivatized RNR constructs.\textsuperscript{a}

Radical injection kinetics

The photogeneration of product for the [Re356]-β2:2,3,5-F3Y731-α2 complex prompted us to undertake radical injection kinetics studies. Using the [Re]\textsuperscript{+} emission lifetime as a reporter for radical injection, ns TA laser spectroscopy on the [Re356]-β2:α2 complexes in the presence of CDP and ATP was conducted. The emission decay lifetimes for each construct were measured at pH = 7.6, where maximum turnover was observed, and are summarized in Table 1; representative traces are included in Fig. S4.\textsuperscript{†} As previously observed for [Re355]-β2,\textsuperscript{32} the [Re]\textsuperscript{+} lifetime in [Re356]-β2 (τ = 507(3) ns) increases upon binding to Y731F-α2 (τ0 = 652(4) ns), consistent with the more hydrophobic environment engendered by the protein environment. The lifetime τ of the Y731F-α2 variant provides a reference for excited-state decay of [Re]\textsuperscript{+} when it is located at the interface, but in the absence of quenching by the tyrosine located at position 731. Upon introduction of Y731, the [Re]\textsuperscript{+} emission (τ = 629(4) ns) is quenched relative to Y731F-α2 according to the following equation:

\[
k_q = \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau_0}
\]

Accordingly, this quenching rate constant, kq, is equivalent to the radical generation rate, and from eqn (1) it is calculated to

Table 1 Radical injection rates from [Re356]-β2 to α2 variants modified at position 731 at pH = 7.6

| [Re356]-β2: X731-α2 | τ652 nm $\text{s}^{-1}$ | $k_q/10^4 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| F                   | 652(4)              | —                   |
| Y                   | 629(4)              | 5.6 (1.4)           |
| 2,3,5-F3Y731-α2     | 593(6)              | 15.4 (2.2)          |

\textit{a} Lifetime of emission decay measured on 10 μM [Re356]-β2, 25 μM α2 (as indicated), 1 mM CDP, 3 mM ATP in assay buffer (pH 7.6), λexc = 355 nm, λobs = 600 nm. Errors shown in parentheses represent 2σ resulting from measurement on ≥3 independent samples. \textit{b} Emission quenching rate constant, kq, determined from eqn (1). Error in quenching rate constants calculated as shown in ESI.
be $5.6(1.4) \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$. In comparison to previously observed photooxidation of Y$_{356}$ in [Re$_{355}$]$_2$ (k$_q$ = 4.1(1) $\times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$), the direct oxidation of Y$_{731}$ by [Re$_{356}$]$_2$ is slower possibly owing to an increased charge transfer distance, which is occurring across the subunit interface vs. at adjacent positions in previous investigations. Further analysis of the emission decay kinetics of [Re]$^*$ reveal a dependency on the rate of radical injection and the protonation state of tyrosine at position Y$_{731}$($\alpha_2$). Replacement of Y$_{731}$ with 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$ enhances the quenching rate constant by a factor of three ($\tau = 593(7)$ ns ($k_q = 15.4(2.2) \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$)). This acceleration of radical injection into $\alpha_2$ is in accordance with the differing protonation states of tyrosine in the two constructs. Under the experimental conditions of pH = 7.6, 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$ is deprotonated and hence quenching occurs by ET rather than PCET, which results in faster tyrosine oxidation, despite being ~50–100 mV more difficult to oxidize than native tyrosine at this pH (as determined from solution peak potentials ($E_p$) of N-acetyl and C-amide protected fluorotyrosines measured by differential pulse voltammetry). For clarity, obtaining precise single residue midpoint potentials in protein constructs is extremely challenging, and thus thermodynamic considerations must be guided from these measured $E_p$ values, despite recent findings that suggest significant deviations of the formal reduction potential and solution $E_p$ values.

To investigate how the proton at position Y$_{731}$ affects interfacial PCET and subsequent interfacial radical injection kinetics, emission quenching of the [Re]$^*$ within the [Re$_{356}$]$_2$:2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$:2$\alpha_2$ complex was monitored over the activity accessible pH region of RNR. A plot of the [Re]$^*$ decay lifetimes for [Re$_{356}$]$_2$ alone and in the three [Re$_{356}$]$_2$:2$\alpha_2$ variant complexes monitored as a function of pH are shown in Fig. S5;† representative emission decay traces are provided in Fig. S4.† The quenching rate constants, k$_q$, as a function of pH may be determined from eqn (1); these rate constants are plotted in Fig. 5. Quenching by Y$_{731}$(wt):$\alpha_2$ (red circles, ●) and 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$:2$\alpha_2$ (light blue squares, ■) are referenced to the control, Y$_{731}$F:2$\alpha_2$. Within our error limits, little to no dependence of k$_q$ is observed for the [Re$_{356}$]$_2$:2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$(wt):2$\alpha_2$ complex (●), as native tyrosine is protonated throughout the pH window. Conversely, a large pH dependence is observed for k$_q$ when [Re$_{356}$]$_2$:2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$:2$\alpha_2$ is compared to Y$_{731}$F (■). Guided by our measurement of the pK$_a$ of 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$:2$\alpha_2$, shown in Fig. 3, we ascribe the observed differences in quenching to the relative ratio of deprotonated:protonated forms of 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$ as pH is varied. The large k$_q$s at high pH is expected owing to deprotonation of F$_3$Y$_{731}$ whereas quenching at low pH approaches that of Y$_{731}$ where both of the tyrosines are protonated.

Scheme 1 summarizes the decay pathways for [Re]$^*$ in the different variants. The presence of tyrosine introduces an excited-state decay pathway via radical generation. For the case of Y$_{731}$(wt):$\alpha_2$, oxidation occurs by PCET whereas for 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$:2$\alpha_2$ occurs by ET. These differing mechanisms of tyrosine oxidation for the two variants in the absence of Y$_{356}$ introduce the possibility that Y$_{356}$ may be involved with facilitating proton removal at the interface, though future experiments are needed to establish its specific role. While a co-linear Y$_{356}$–Y$_{731}$ π-stacked mode where Y$_{356}$ acts directly as the proton acceptor for Y$_{731}$ is unlikely in light of recent HF EPR/ENDOR data on amino-substituted tyrosine derivatives at various pathway positions, the strongly perturbed $g_x$ values of NH$_2$Y$_{356}$ indicate that Y$_{356}$ may communicate with Y$_{731}$ through a network of water molecules. Additional evidence supporting the involvement of Y$_{356}$ in modulating Y$_{731}$ oxidation was also observed in [Re$_{355}$]$_2$ construct.† The photooxidation kinetics of Y$_{731}$, which are summarized in Table S1,† indicate that Y$_{731}$ radical generation is enhanced by the presence of Y$_{356}$ as [Re$_{355}$]$_2$ oxidation of Y$_{731}$ is 2.1(1.2) times faster for Y$_{356}$ than for F$_{356}$. The presence of Y$_{356}$ may facilitate proton removal from $\alpha_2$ via the interface, thus assisting in PCET.

In this directed study, whereby Y$_{356}$ is absent by virtue of its replacement with [Re$_{356}$], efficient injection of a radical into $\alpha_2$ is realized only when a proton is removed from the pathway by the introduction of 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$. While this result does not implicate Y$_{356}$ directly as the proton acceptor for Y$_{731}$, it supports the contention that Y$_{356}$ is in communication with Y$_{731}$ at the $\alpha_2$:$\beta_2$ subunit interface and that Y$_{356}$ enables the PCET required for efficient radical transport. Further investigations of this contention are underway along with studies to assess the role of possible contributions from other residues, or perhaps metal ions that may also be involved in managing protons at the interface.

**Conclusions**

Replacement of Y$_{356}$ by a [Re] photooxidant and installation of 2,3,5-F$_3$Y at position 731 in $\alpha_2$ furnishes a photoRNR that specifically targets intersubunit radical transport. This construct supports photoinitiated substrate turnover, confirming its fidelity to the natural system. Time-resolved emission studies reveal that 2,3,5-F$_3$Y$_{731}$ is oxidized at a rate 3 times faster than native Y$_{731}$ even though the non-native amino acid is thermodynamically more difficult to oxidize at pH 7.6 ($\Delta$$E_p$ $\sim$ 50–100 mV). These results emphasize the enzymatic imperative for coupling the proton and electron to allow for efficient
radical transport. In conjunction with the parallel studies of [Re₃55]²⁺, these results suggest the importance of a well-coordinated proton exit channel involving Y₂₁₆ and Y₂₃₁ as key interfacial residues for radical transport across the α₂β₂ interface.
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