Superhumps in the Rarely Outbursting SU UMa-Type Dwarf Nova, HO Delphini
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Abstract

We observed the 1994, 1996 and 2001 outbursts of HO Del. From the detection of secure superhumps, HO Del is confirmed to be an SU UMa-type dwarf nova with a superhump period of 0.06453(6) d. Based on the recent observations and the past records, the outbursts of HO Del are found to be relatively rare, with the shortest intervals of superoutbursts being \(\sim 740\) d. Among SU UMa-type dwarf novae with similar outburst intervals, the outburst amplitude (\(\sim 5.0\) mag) is unusually small. HO Del showed a rather rapid decay of the superhump amplitudes, and no regrowth of the amplitudes during the later stage, in contrast to the commonly observed behavior in SU UMa-type dwarf novae with long outburst intervals. We positively identified HO Del with a ROSAT X-ray source, and obtained a relatively large X-ray luminosity of \(10^{31.1\pm0.2}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). We also performed a literature survey of SU UMa-type dwarf novae, and presented a new set of basic statistics. The SU UMa-type dwarf novae with a brightening trend or with a regrowth of superhumps near the termination of a superoutburst are found to be rather tightly confined in a small region on the (superhump period–supercycle length) plane. These features may provide a better observational distinction for the previously claimed subgroup of dwarf novae (Tremendous Outburst Amplitude Dwarf Novae).
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1. Introduction

Dwarf novae (DNe) are a class of cataclysmic variables (CVs), in which the instabilities in the accretion disks cause outbursts (for reviews of dwarf novae, see Osaki 1996). SU UMa-type dwarf novae are a class of DNe, which show superhumps during their long, bright outbursts (superoutbursts) (for basic reviews, see Vogt 1980; Warner 1985; Warner 1995).

SU UMa-type dwarf novae have short orbital periods (\(P_{\text{orb}}\) usually shorter than 0.1 d), qualifying them as the highly evolved population on the standard evolutionary track of CVs (Rappaport et al. 1982; Rappaport et al. 1983; King 1988; Kolb, Baraffe 1999; King 2000). Some objects have been even suggested to have passed the CV minimum period, at which the thermal time scales of the secondary star (Kelvin-Helmholtz time) become comparable to the mass-transfer time scales (Paczyński 1971; King 1988). After passing this period minimum, the mass-losing secondary stars are believed to become degenerate, and will become CVs with brown-dwarf secondaries (Howell et al. 1997; Politano et al. 1998; Patterson 1998; Patterson 2001; Politano 2002). Several works have been extensively made to observationally confirm possibility (Ciardi et al. 1998; van Teeseling et al. 1999; Howell, Ciardi 2001; Mennekent et al. 2001; Steeghs et al. 2001; Littlefair et al. 2003). There seems to be a certain degree of emerging evidence that at least some SU UMa-type dwarf novae look like to have brown dwarf secondaries.

These objects are also intriguing objects from the standpoint of the disk-instability model for dwarf nova outbursts. Historically, the most extreme member (WZ Sge) was selected as the prototype of a small class of SU UMa-type dwarf novae (WZ Sge-type dwarf novae), which are originally characterized by a long (\(\sim 10\) yr) outburst recurrence time and a large (\(\sim 8\) mag) outburst amplitude (Bailey 1979c; Downes, Margon 1981; Patterson et al. 1981; O’Donoghue et al. 1991; Kato et al. 2001c). The origin of such powerful outbursts of WZ Sge has still been one of the central problems of dwarf nova accretion disks (Smak 1993; Osaki 1995; Lasota et al. 1995; Warner et al. 1996; Mineshige et al. 1998;
Meyer-Hofmeister et al. 1998; Osaki et al. 2001; Buat-Ménard, Hameury 2002).

From a slightly different standpoint, Howell et al. (1995a) observationally proposed that some DNe with large outburst amplitudes (mostly SU UMa-type dwarf novae) show unusual properties, and called them Tremendous Outburst Amplitude Dwarf Novae (TOADs). Although there exists an argument against this nomenclature (cf. Patterson et al. 1996), these objects are generally considered to represent a borderline population of DNe between the most unusual WZ Sge-type dwarf novae and usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae. The TOADs and WZ Sge-type stars are also known to show extremely low frequency of normal outbursts (Warner 1995). Howell et al. (1995a) and Howell et al. (1995b) reported that the TOADs have unusual properties of their superoutburst, particularly in that the TOADs sometimes show intermediate outbursts having properties between full superoutbursts and SU UMa-type normal outbursts, and in that some of the superoutbursts of the TOADs are followed by post-superoutburst rebrightenings. The latter property has been subsequently recognized as a common feature with soft X-ray transients (black-hole transients) (Kuulkers et al. 1996). The most dramatic manifestation of this phenomenon was seen in EG Cnc which showed six successive post-superoutburst rebrightenings (Kato et al. 1997; Matsumoto et al. 1998; Patterson et al. 1998). Howell et al. (1995a) proposed that the unusual properties of the TOADs are a result of low mass-transfer rate and low viscosity in quiescence. This possibility has been tested by several authors to more precisely reproduce the observed properties (Osaki 1995; Osaki et al. 1997; Meyer-Hofmeister et al. 1998; Osaki et al. 2001).

From the observational side, both TOADs and WZ Sge-type dwarf novae are known to (almost exclusively) show lengthening of the superhump period during the superoutburst plateau (Semeniuk et al. 1997b; Nogami et al. 1998a; Kato et al. 1998b; Baba et al. 2000; Kato et al. 2001b; Kato et al. 2001e), whose origin is still poorly understood. Baba et al. (2000) showed that a significant deviation from the linear declining trend and a regrowth of the superhumps during the terminal stage of a superoutburst in V1028 Cyg, whose outburst amplitude is comparable to that of TOADs. These phenomena, although still poorly described or understood, may provide an additional clue for understanding the unusual behavior of the accretion disks in large-amplitude, rarely outbursting SU UMa-type dwarf novae, which are sometimes referred to as TOADs or WZ Sge-type.

HO Delphini (= S 10066) is a dwarf nova discovered by Hoffmeister (1967). Hoffmeister (1967) reported two outbursts in 1963 October and 1966 September. Although the object was given the permanent variable star designation (Kukarkin et al. 1968), virtually no observation had been reported until recent years. This lack of observation was partly because of the 1° error in the original discovery report by Hoffmeister (1967). The error was corrected in the third volume of the fourth edition of the GCVS (Kholopov et al. 1985). Downes, Shara (1993) gave a finding chart and Kato (1999) reported precise coordinates. Munari, Zwitter (1998) recorded relatively strong Balmer and He i emission lines, confirming the CV classification. The He ii 4686Å emission line was probably weakly present.

The object has been regularly monitored by the VSNET members since 1994. In spite of the monitoring, only three confirmed outbursts have been recorded (1994 August–September, 1996 August–September, 2001 August–September). The relatively low occurrence of the outbursts is consistent with the initial finding by Hoffmeister (1967).

We observed HO Del during the two outbursts in 1994 and 2001. We also performed several snapshot observations during the 1996 outburst.

2. Observation

The 1994 and 1996 observations were performed using a CCD camera (Thomson TH 7882, 576 × 384 pixels, on-chip 2 × 2 binning adopted) attached to the Cassegrain focus of the 60-cm reflector (focal length = 4.8 m) at Ouda Station, Kyoto University (Ohtani et al. 1992). An interference filter was used which had been designed to reproduce the Johnson V band. The frames were first corrected for standard de-biasing and flat fielding, and were then processed by a microcomputer-based photometry package developed by one of the authors (TK). The 2001 observations were performed at Nyrölä Observatory using a 40-cm Schmidt–Cassegrain telescope and an unfiltered ST-7E CCD camera. The images were analyzed with the AIP4WIN aperture photometry package. The Ouda observations used GSC 1100.64 (GSC V magnitude 12.76) as the comparison star, and GSC 1100.213 (V = 13.28) and GSC 1100.98 (V = 13.76) as the check stars. The Nyrölä observations used GSC 1100.324 (V = 12.16) as the primary comparison star and GSC 1100.213 as the check star. The constancy of the comparison stars during the runs was confirmed by comparisons with the check stars.

Barycentric corrections to the observed times were applied before the following analysis. The log of observations is summarized in table 1.

3. Results

3.1. Course of Outburst

The early stage of the 2001 August–September outburst (as shown in subsection 3.2, both 1994 August–September outburst and 2001 August–September outburst were superoutbursts) was relatively well followed by the VSNET observers. The two superoutbursts in 1996 and 2001 are shown in figure 1. Although the start and the termination of each superoutburst were not severely constrained, the apparent duration (~13 d) is characteristic to that of an ordinary SU UMa-type superoutburst.

Figure 2 shows the light curve of the 1994 August–September superoutburst. The duration of the outburst...
Table 1. Journal of CCD photometry.

| Date       | Start–End* | Filter | Exp(s) | N  | Mean mag† | Error | Obs‡ |
|------------|------------|--------|--------|----|-----------|-------|------|
| 1994 August 26 | 49591.015–49591.119 | V     | 60     | 120 | 1.146     | 0.005 | O    |
| 27   | 49592.014–49592.219 | V     | 60     | 141 | 1.224     | 0.006 | O    |
| 28   | 49593.065–49593.174 | V     | 90     | 91  | 1.412     | 0.003 | O    |
| 29   | 49594.021–49594.233 | V     | 90     | 122 | 1.529     | 0.003 | O    |
| 30   | 49595.006–49595.217 | V     | 120    | 59  | 1.607     | 0.010 | O    |
| 31   | 49596.184–49596.218 | V     | 120    | 20  | 1.565     | 0.020 | O    |
| September 1 | 49597.024–49597.240 | V     | 120    | 99  | 1.674     | 0.004 | O    |
| 2    | 49598.137–49598.170 | V     | 120    | 7   | 1.843     | 0.084 | O    |
| 1996 September 8 | 50334.972–50334.976 | V     | 90     | 5   | 2.132     | 0.032 | O    |
| 15   | 50341.904–50341.905 | V     | 30     | 3   | 3.550     | 0.585 | O    |
| 2001 August 28 | 52150.296–52150.461 | none  | 13     | 706 | 2.104     | 0.004 | N    |

* BJD−2400000.
† Differential magnitudes to the comparison star.
‡ O (Ouda), N (Nyrölä)

Fig. 1. Light curve of the 1996 August–September and 2001 August–September superoutbursts. The large and small dots represent visual positive observations and upper limit observations, respectively, reported to VSNET.

was longer than ∼10 d. Based on the V-band CCD observations, the object linearly faded at a rate of 0.14 mag d$^{-1}$ between BJD 2449591 and 2449594. This rate is quite characteristic to an SU UMa-type superoutburst plateau (cf. Warner 1985; Patterson et al. 1993; Kato et al. 2002d). The rate of decline became smaller toward the late stage of the superoutburst. The rate reached a minimum of 0.03 mag d$^{-1}$ between BJD 2449595 and 2449597. Such a phenomenon was observed in V1028 Cyg (Baba et al. 2000).

3.2. Superhump Period

Superhumps were clearly present both in 1994 and 2001 observations, clarifying the SU UMa-type nature of HO Del. Figure 3 shows the best representative light curve of the superhumps observed on 2001 August 28. Since the 1994 observations had shorter nightly coverages, we first determined an approximate superhump period ($P_{SH}$) from this longest and highest quality run, and refine the period using the 1994 observation. A period analysis of the 2001 August 28 with the Phase Dispersion Minimization (PDM: Stellingwerf 1978), after removing the linear trend, yielded a period of 0.0642(2) d. The error of the period was estimated using the Lafler–Kinman class of methods, as applied by Fernie (1989).

The well-observed portion of the 1994 observation (August 26–29) were analyzed in a similar way, after removing the linear decline trend of the outburst, and corrected for a small nightly deviations from the linear trend. The resultant theta diagram is shown in figure 4. Among the possible one-day aliases, the frequency 15.496±0.013 d$^{-1}$, corresponding to $P_{SH} = 0.06453(6)$ d, is the only acceptable period in comparison with the 2001 observa-
4. Superhump Profile

The superhump profile on 2001 August 28 (the observation was performed within 2 d of the outburst rise)\(^2\), was quite characteristic of fully developed SU UMa-type superhumps (Vogt 1980; Warner 1985). The profiles were, however, different during the 1994 outburst (figure 5).\(^3\)

Fig. 4. Phase Dispersion Minimization analysis of the 2001 observation (August 26–29). See text for the selection of the period.

The superhump signal decayed rather rapidly. After BJD 2449594 (1994 August 29, \(\sim 5\) d after the initial outburst detection), the superhump signal almost disappeared.

5. Discussion

5.1. General Properties of Outbursts

In spite of the monitoring by the VSNET members, no confirmed normal outbursts have been recorded between 1994 and 2002. Since the maximum of the superoutbursts reached a magnitude of 13.6, at least some of normal outbursts, which are expected to have maximum magnitude of 14.1–14.6 (Warner 1985), should have been recorded by modern instruments. The lack of such detections seems to suggest that normal outbursts are indeed rare in HO Del. The shortest intervals of the recorded superoutbursts was \(\sim 740\) d. This interval suggests that superoutbursts are also relatively rare, although some of the outbursts or superoutbursts were reported for a month preceding this detection. The reported magnitude, however, suggests that the outburst was detected during its relatively early stage.
peroutbursts should have been missed during the unavoidable seasonal gaps. The small number of the recorded outbursts (likely superoutbursts) inferred from the literature (Hoffmeister 1967) also seems to suggest a low outburst frequency.

Among well-observed SU UMa-type dwarf novae, a small number of objects have comparable intervals of superoutbursts: UV Per (~960 d), VY Aqr (350–800 d), WY Cet (~1000 d), SW UMa (459–954 d), V1028 Cyg (380–450 d), V1251 Cyg (~1160 d), EF Peg (1000–1400 d, VSNET; Kato et al. 2001e), BC UMa (>1800 d or ~1040 d, Kato et al. 2001e), DV UMa (~770 d, Nogami et al. 2001b), V725 Aql (>1000 d, Uemura et al. 2001). Most of these objects are large-amplitude dwarf novae, sometimes classified as TOADs (Howell et al. 1995a).

Other less well-documented systems with low occurrence of normal outbursts and intervals of superoutbursts likely comparable to HO Del include: PU Per (Kato, Nogami 1995; Kato, Matsumoto 1999b), V844 Her (Kato, Uemura 2000; Thorstensen et al. 2002b), QY Per (Kato, Nogami 1995; Kato, Matsumoto 1999b), V844 Her (Kato et al. 2002f, 2002g; Cannizzo 1998), we use a likely orbital period (SH) as a substitute for orb in applying to other less well-documented systems. Although this period seems to be consistent with the maximum visual magnitude of 13.6 for the recent superoutbursts, the distance is estimated to be ~600 pc. Given the above uncertainties, the error of the distance modulus is expected to be 0.3 mag, which makes a range of the likely distance to be 400–800 pc.

In order to study a possible proper motion, we examined the available astrometric catalogs and the scanned images (Table 2). The errors are typically less than 0". Although the literal values of declination were slightly different, no distinct proper motion was detected in a comparison of DSS 1 and 2 scans. This indicates that the proper motion of HO Del is smaller than 0". Monet et al. (2003) shows zero proper motion for this object. This lack of a detectable proper motion is consistent with the above distance estimate.

HO Del is quite reasonably identified with a ROSAT source 1RXS J203654.3+140301 (Voges et al. 2000), which has a 52–201 keV count rate of 0.030 count s~1. By following the formulation by (Verbunt et al. 1997), we obtain an X-ray luminosity of 10^{31.1±0.2} erg s~1 (the error includes both errors of the count rate and the distance estimate). Although we will need future different ways of, or an even direct, distance estimates, this value would potentially make HO Del one of the luminous X-ray sources among dwarf novae (Verbunt et al. 1997). This luminosity would suggest that the white dwarf of HO Del may be weakly magnetic, as in intermediate polars (IPs) (Verbunt et al. 1997). If the inner disk is truncated by the magnetized white dwarf in an IP, the apparently low occurrence of outbursts in HO Del (subsection 5.1) may be a result of resulting suppression of the disk instability (Angelini, Verbunt 1989). Although the strength of the quiescent He ii emission is not as striking as in other typical intermediate polars, a search for IP-type coherent oscillations would be meaningful.

### 6. Statistics and Late-Time Superhump Evolution in SU UMa-Type Dwarf Novae

HO Del showed a relatively rapid decay of the superhump amplitudes. A similar phenomenon was observed in V1028 Cyg (Baba et al. 2000) and DM Dra (Kato et al. 2002e). The subsequent behavior was different between HO Del and V1028 Cyg in that no regrowth of the superhumps during the later stage, during which the rate of decline became temporarily slower, was observed in contrast to the V1028 Cyg case (Baba et al. 2000). Since it has been becoming evident that the regrowth
Fig. 6. Brightening trend near the termination of a superoutburst. [Upper: with brightening (V1028 Cyg: data from Baba et al. 2000). Lower: without brightening (CC Cnc: data from Kato et al. (2002f), the first night data were omitted because of a different instrument employed)]. The dashed lines represent linear fits to the earlier stage of the plateau portion. The upward deviation in V1028 Cyg is evident.

The result is presented in table 3. The “Yes” classification in the “Rise” field (brightening near the termination of a superoutburst) generally corresponds to more than 0.1 mag deviation from the linear decline trend. The “Yes” classification in the “Regrowth” field (regrowth of the superhumps during the terminal stage of a superoutburst) corresponds to a detectable regrowth of the superhumps of the superhumps is rather commonly seen in SU UMa-type dwarf novae with infrequent outbursts, we performed a literature survey of SU UMa stars in order to see the relation between this phenomenon, as well as the brightening trend near the termination of a superoutburst, and the other parameters. Figure 6 shows a comparison of systems with and without brightening trend near the termination of a superoutburst. Ishioka et al. (2003) also provides a well-recorded presentation of the same phenomenon in HV Vir. Figure 7 shows a representative example of regrowth of superhumps near the termination of a superoutburst (Baba et al. 2000).

Fig. 7. Example of regrowth of superhumps near the termination of a superoutburst [reproduced from Figure 6 in Baba et al. (2000) by permission].
before the start of the final, rapid decline. A question mark (?) follows if observations did not sufficiently covered the late stages of a superoutburst. Since it is not well-known whether the same star exhibits the same evolution of late-time outburst behavior and superhumps during different superoutbursts, we tried to examine each superoutburst separately whenever possible. In some cases, a combined result from a set of less favorably covered different superoutburst is presented. In the table and the following discussion, we, in principle, used $P_{\text{SH}}$ values, which were better determined than $P_{\text{orb}}$ values in many systems.

In examining correlations between $P_{\text{SH}}$ and other parameters, we predicted the $P_{\text{SH}}$’s of these objects based on the empirical relation (Molnar, Kobulnicky 1992). In ER UMa stars (ER UMa, V1159 Ori, RZ LMi, DI UMa and IX Dra: see Kato, Kunjaya 1995; Nogami et al. 1995c; Kato et al. 1999c), we did not examine the evolution of the superhumps of these objects in the same manner, because there is emerging evidence of unusual superhump evolution at least in one of these systems (Kato et al. 2002b), suggesting that superhumps in ER UMa (and possibly in other ER UMa stars) are different in nature from other SU UMa-type dwarf novae.

So-called helium dwarf novae (CR Boo: Wood et al. 1987; Patterson et al. 1997; Provencal et al. 1997; Kato et al. 2000b; Kato et al. 2001d, V803 Cen: O’Donoghue et al. 2000; O’Donoghue, Kilkenny 1989; Patterson et al. 2000c; Kato et al. 2000c; Kato et al. 2001f, CP Eri: Abbott et al. 1992; Groot et al. 2001, KL Dra: Wood et al. 2002) were not included in this survey, although two unusually short-period, hydrogen rich systems (V485 Cen and El Psc = 1RXS J232953.9+062814) were included.

The typical superhump period and supercycle length ($T_s$) are given in the initial line of each object. These data are usually taken from Nogami et al. (1997b), supplemented with new measurements based on the references listed.

6.1. Distribution of Superhump Periods

Since table 3 presents the most up-to-date and complete statistics of SU UMa-type dwarf novae, we first reexamined the basic statistics of SU UMa-type dwarf novae. Figure 8 shows a distribution of the superhump periods ($P_{\text{SH}}$) based on this new material. This figure supersedes the previously published statistics (e.g. Fig. 6 of Kolb, Baraffe 1999). Even with the new samples, a discrepancy still exists between the observed and theoretically predicted distributions. This new statistics confirms the claimed deficiency of the shortest period systems (Kolb, Baraffe 1999; Barker, Kolb 2003).

6.2. Distribution of Supercycles

We reexamined the distribution of supercycles, whose importance has been discussed by a number of authors (Hellier 2001; Nogami 1998; Warner 1995). From the standpoint of the disk-instability theory, this distribution is considered to reflect the distribution of mass-transfer rates (Ichikawa, Osaki 1994).

Figure 9 shows the resultant distribution of supercycle lengths in SU UMa-type dwarf novae. When there are different possibilities of supercycle lengths are present, we chose the most likely period. When a range of supercycle lengths is presented, we took a logarithmic mean of the extreme values. When only a lower limit of supercycle lengths is available, we adopted the lower limit as a representative of the supercycle lengths. This figure supersedes Fig. 1 in Hellier (2001), who used Warner 1995. The increase of the number of samples since 1995 is remarkable. Most of SU UMa-type dwarf novae have $2.0 < \log T_s < 3.0$. The objects below $\log T_s < 1.8$ are ER UMa stars, except for Var 73 Dra ($\log T_s \sim 1.78$: Nogami et al. (2003b)), whose relation with the ER UMa stars is still unclear. Despite intensive efforts to search for transitional objects between ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa stars, any attempt has not yet been successful (Kato et al. 2003a). Many of the objects with $\log T_s > 3.5$ are WZ Sge-type stars (Kato et al. 2001c). Although there have been a suggestion that SU UMa-type dwarf novae and WZ Sge-type stars comprise a continuous entity (e.g. Patterson et al. 1996), the most up-to-data seem to illustrate a gap around $\log T_s \sim 3.5$. The reality of the gap needs to be verified by future studies.

Figure 10 shows the relation between $P_{\text{SH}}$ and $T_s$. Although there is a tendency of a slight increase of typical $T_s$ toward shorter $P_{\text{SH}}$, the new statistics revealed that many of SU UMa-type dwarf novae have a fairly typical value of $\log T_s \sim 2.5$ ($T_s \sim 300$ d) regardless of the superhump period (or orbital period). There is, however, a wide-spread distribution of $T_s$ around the period $0.055 < P_{\text{SH}} < 0.065$ d. The systems with short supercycle lengths ($\log T_s < 1.8$) are ER UMa stars, and those with long supercycle lengths ($\log T_s \geq 3.6$) are WZ Sge-type stars.

Although a concentration of these objects around the shortest $P_{\text{SH}}$ has been widely discussed (cf. Nogami 1998;
Table 3. Outburst parameters and superhump regrowth in SU UMa-type stars.

| Object         | $P_{SH}$ | $T_s$ | Rise | Regrowth | References |
|----------------|----------|-------|------|----------|------------|
| V485 Cen (1997)| 0.04216  | 320:  | No   | No       | 1          |
| El Psc (2001)  | 0.04637  | ...   | No   | No       | 2, 3, 4    |
| DI UMa (1996)  | 0.05529  | 25–45 | No   | ER       | 5          |
| (1998)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| V844 Her (2000+) | 0.05592 | 220–290 | Yes? | ...      | 7, 8, 9    |
| V2176 Cyg (1996) | 0.0561  | >2000 | No   | No?      | 10         |
| V592 Her (1998) | 0.056498 | 4000: | Yes? | Yes?     | 11, 12     |
| (1968)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| UW Tri (1995)  | 0.0569   | 4000: | ...  | ...      | 15         |
| LL And (1993)  | 0.057006 | 5000  | No?  | ...      | 16, 17     |
| WZ Sge (2001)  | 0.05721  | 8200–11000 | No? | No       | 18, 19, 20 |
| V1141 Aql (1995) | 0.05735 | 7000  | No?  | No?      | 21, 22, 23, 24 |
| MM Hya (2001)  | 0.05785  | 380   | Yes  | ...      | 25         |
| PU CMa (2003+) | 0.05789 | 362–391 | No   | No?      | 26, 27, 28 |
| HV Vir (1992)  | 0.05820  | 3800  | Yes? | ...      | 29, 30     |
| (2001)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| SW UMa (1996)  | 0.05833  | 459–954 | Yes? | Yes?     | 32, 33, 34 |
| (2002)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| AL Com (1995)  | 0.05735  | 7000  | No?  | No?      | 21, 22, 23, 24 |
| MM Hya (2001)  | 0.05785  | 380   | Yes  | ...      | 25         |
| PU CMa (2003+) | 0.05789 | 362–391 | No   | No?      | 26, 27, 28 |
| HV Vir (1992)  | 0.05820  | 3800  | Yes? | ...      | 29, 30     |
| (2001)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| SW UMa (1996)  | 0.05833  | 459–954 | Yes? | Yes?     | 32, 33, 34 |
| (2002)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| V1141 Aql (1995) | 0.05735 | 7000  | No?  | No?      | 21, 22, 23, 24 |
| MM Hya (2001)  | 0.05785  | 380   | Yes  | ...      | 25         |
| PU CMa (2003+) | 0.05789 | 362–391 | No   | No?      | 26, 27, 28 |
| HV Vir (1992)  | 0.05820  | 3800  | Yes? | ...      | 29, 30     |
| (2001)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| SW UMa (1996)  | 0.05833  | 459–954 | Yes? | Yes?     | 32, 33, 34 |
| (2002)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| T Leo (1993)   | 0.0602   | 420   | ...  | ...      | 47, 48, 49 |
| EG Cnc (1996)  | 0.06038  | 7000  | No?  | No?      | 50, 51, 52 |
| RX Vol (2003)  | 0.06117  | ...   | Yes  | Yes      | 53         |
| MM Sco (2002)  | 0.06136  | 298–497 | ... | ...      | 54         |
| V1028 Cyg (1995) | 0.06154 | 380–450 | Yes | Yes      | 55         |
| UZ Boo (1994)  | 0.0619   | 5800? | No?  | ...      | 30, 56, 57, 58 |
| V1040 Cen (2002) | 0.0622  | 211   | No?  | No       | 59, 60     |
| AQ Eri (1991)  | 0.06225  | 300   | ...  | ...      | 61         |
| CI UMa (1995,2003) | 0.06264 | 140   | No?  | ...      | 62, 63     |
| XZ Eri (2003)  | 0.06281  | 396   | Yes  | Yes      | 64, 65     |
| GO Com (2003)  | 0.06297  | (2900:/N?) | Yes? | No?      | 66         |
| V402 And (2000,1985) | 0.06339 | ...   | Yes? | ...      | 67, 68, 69 |
| CG CMa (1999)  | 0.0636   | ...   | Yes? | ...      | 70, 71     |
| V136 Cen (1978) | 0.06383 | 630   | Yes? | ...      | 72, 73     |
| V1159 Ori (1995+) | 0.0641  | 44.6–53.3 | No? | ER       | 39, 74, 75, 76, 77 |
| V2051 Oph (1998) | 0.06423 | 227   | No?  | No?      | 78, 79     |
| VY Aqr (1986)  | 0.0645   | 350–800? | Yes? | Yes?     | 80         |
| BC UMa (2000)  | 0.06452  | >1800 | ...  | ...      | 81         |
| HO Del (1994+)  | 0.06453 | 740   | Yes  | No        | 82         |
| OY Car (1980)  | 0.064544 | 346   | Yes? | Yes?     | 83, 84     |
| (1985)         |          |       |      |          |            |
| EK TrA (1985)  | 0.0649   | 485   | Yes  | ...      | 85         |
| 1RX J113123+4322.5 (2002) | 0.06495 | 370   | Yes? | ...      | 87, 88     |
| TV Crv (1994)  | 0.0650   | 390–450 | Yes? | Yes?     | 89         |
| (2001)         |          |       |      |          | 90         |

*a* Year of the outburst in parentheses.

*b* Typical supercycle length (d), mainly taken from Nogami et al. (1997b).

The others are estimates from VSNET observations.

*c* Brightening near the termination of superoutburst.

*d* Regrowth of the superhumps during the terminal stage of superoutburst.

ER: ER UMa stars, not examined (see text)

∥ Before the “dip” fading in WZ Sge-type dwarf novae.
Table 3. (continued)

| Object          | $P_{SH}$  | $I_t$ | Rise  | Regrowth | References |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|------------|
| ER UMa (1995+)  | 0.06556   | 43    | No?   | ER       | 39, 91, 92 |
| AQ CMi (1996+)  | 0.06625   | 410?  | ...   | ...      | 93, 94, 95 |
| UV Per (2001)   | 0.06641   | 960   | Yes?  | Yes?     | 96         |
| (1989)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| CT Hya (1999)   | 0.06643   | 280   | No?   | ...      | 98         |
| (1995)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| (2000)          |           |       | No?   | No?      | 99         |
| (2002)          |           |       | No?   | No?      | 100        |
| IX Dra (2001+)  | 0.06700   | 53    | No?   | ER       | 101, 102   |
| DM Lyr (1996)   | 0.0673    | 250   | No?   | ...      | 103        |
| (1998)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| AK Cnc (1995)   | 0.06749   | 210–390| Yes?  | Yes?     | 104        |
| (1992)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| SS UMi (1998)   | 0.06778   | 84.7  | No    | No       | 106, 107, 108 |
| SX LMi (1994)   | 0.06850   | 279   | Yes?  | No?      | 109, 110   |
| V701 Tau (1995) | 0.0689    | 380   |       | ...      | 112        |
| V550 Cyg (2000) | 0.0689:   | ...   | No?   | ...      | 113        |
| V1504 Cyg (2001)| 0.0690    | 137   | No    | ...      | 106, 114, 115 |
| KS UMa (1998,2003) | 0.07009 | 254   | No?   | ...      | 116, 117, 118 |
| RZ Sge (1996)   | 0.07042   | 266   | No    | No       | 119        |
| (1994)          |           |       | No?   | No?      | 120        |
| (1981)          |           |       | No?   | Yes?     | 121        |
| V1208 Tau (2000)| 0.07060   | ...   | No?   | No?      | 122        |
| IR Gem (1982)   | 0.07076   | 183   | ...   | ...      | 106, 123   |
| (1991)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| TY Psc (2001)   | 0.0708    | 210   | No?   | ...      | 125, 126   |
| CY UMa (1999)   | 0.07210   | 300   | No?   | ...      | 127        |
| (1995)          |           |       |       |          |            |
| PU Per (1998)   | 0.0733    | >500  | ...   | ...      | 129        |
| FO And (1994)   | 0.07411   | 100–140| No?   | No?      | 106, 130   |
| VW CrB (1997+)  | 0.0743    | 240–370| No?   | ...      | 131, 132, 133 |
| KV And (1994)   | 0.07434   | 240   | No?   | No?      | 134        |
| (2002)          |           |       | No?   | No?      | 135        |
| AW Sge (2000)   | 0.0745    | ...   | ...   | ...      | 136        |
| NSV 10934 (2003)| 0.07478   | ...   | No?   | No?      | 54         |
| V638 Peg (1999,2000)| 0.075   | 390?  | No?   | No?      | 137, 138, 139 |
| OU Vir (2003+)  | 0.07505   | 410;/N| No?   | No?      | 140, 141, 142 |
| CC Cnc (2001)   | 0.075518  | 370   | No    | No       | 143        |
| (1996)          |           |       | No?   | ...      | 144        |
| DM Dra (2000,2003)| 0.07567  | 440:  | No?   | No?      | 145, 146, 147 |
| VZ Pyx (1996,1998)| 0.07568  | 270   | No?   | ...      | 148, 149   |
| IY UMa (2000)   | 0.07588   | 285.5 | No    | No       | 79, 150, 151, 152 |
| (2002)          |           |       | No?   | No?      | 152        |
| AY Lyr (1987)   | 0.07597   | 210   | No    | No       | 153, 154   |
| (1977)          |           |       | No    | No       | 155        |
| V1251 Cyg (1991)| 0.07604   | 1160  | ...   | ...      | 156        |
| HT Cas (1985)   | 0.076077  | >450? | ...   | ...      | 157, 158, 159 |
| QY Per (1999)   | 0.07681   | >1800?| No    | No       | 160        |
| QW Ser (2002)   | 0.07709   | 230–276| Yes?  | ...      | 161, 162, 163 |
| (2000)          |           |       | No?   | ...      | 161        |
| BE Oct (1996)   | 0.07711   | ...   | ...   | ...      | 164        |
Table 3. (continued)

| Object                  | $P_{SH}$ | $T_s$ | Rise | Regrowth | References |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------|----------|------------|
| VW Hyi (1972)           | 0.07714  | 179   | No   | No       | 165        |
| (1974)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| (1978)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| (1995)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| WX Hyi (1977)           | 0.07737  | 174   | No   | No       | 169        |
| (1979)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| (1978)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| (1995)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| Z Cha (1984+)           | 0.07740  | 287   | No   | No       | 173        |
| (1987)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| TT Boo (1993)           | 0.07811  | 245   | ...  | ...      | 175        |
| WY Tri (2001)           | 0.07848  | ...   | ...  | ...      | 177        |
| RZ Leo (2000)           | 0.078529 | 5800+ | Yes  | No?      | 178        |
| V630 Cyg (1996,1999)    | 0.0789   | 145–290 | No? | ...      | 179        |
| SU UMa (1989)           | 0.07904  | 180   | No   | No?      | 180        |
| V1113 Cyg (1994)        | 0.0792   | 189.8 | No?  | ...      | 182        |
| SDSSp J173008.3+624754.7 (2001) | 0.07941 | 327   | No?  | ...      | 181, 182   |
| AW Gem (1995)           | 0.07943  | 300   | No?  | ...      | 186        |
| CU Vel                  | 0.07999  | 700–900 | ... | ...      | 187, 188   |
| DH Aql (2002)           | 0.08003  | 300   | No   | No       | 189        |
| (1994)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| PV Per (1996)           | 0.0805   | 180?  | ...  | ...      | 190        |
| HS Vir (1996)           | 0.08077  | 186 or 371 | No? | ...      | 191, 192   |
| V359 Cen (2002+)        | 0.08092  | 307–397 | ... | ...      | 193, 194   |
| V660 Her (1999,1995)    | 0.081    | 380   | No?  | ...      | 194        |
| V503 Cyg (1994,1998)    | 0.08101  | 89    | No?  | ...      | 195        |
| BR Lup (1986–2003)      | 0.08220  | 140   | No?  | ...      | 196        |
| NSV 09923 (2003)        | 0.08253  | ...   | ...  | ...      | 197        |
| RX Cha (1998)           | 0.0839   | 430   | ...  | ...      | 198        |
| V877 Ara (2002)         | 0.08411  | 285–374 | No? | No?      | 199        |
| AB Nor (2002)           | 0.08438  | 880/1N | ...  | ...      | 200        |
| CP Dra (2001+)          | 0.08474  | 400?  | No?  | ...      | 201        |
| V369 Peg (1999)         | 0.08484  | 320   | No?  | ...      | 202        |
| TU Crt (1998,2001+)     | 0.0854   | 380–530 | No? | ...      | 203        |
| HV Aur (1994,2002)      | 0.08559  | ...   | ...  | ...      | 204        |
| V589 Her (2002)         | 0.0864   | ...   | ...  | ...      | 205        |
| EF Peg (1991)           | 0.08705  | 1000–1400 | No? | No?      | 206        |
| (1997)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| TY PsA (1982,1984)      | 0.08765  | 220   | No?  | No?      | 207        |
| BF Ara (2002+)          | 0.08797  | 84.3  | No   | No       | 208        |
| KK Tel (2002)           | 0.08803  | 394   | ...  | ...      | 209        |
| V452 Cas (1999)         | 0.0881   | 320/N? | No   | ...      | 210        |
| DV UMa (1999)           | 0.08869  | 770   | Yes? | No?      | 211        |
| (1997)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| V419 Lyr (1999)         | 0.0901   | 340?  | No?  | No?      | 212        |
| UV Gem (2002)           | 0.0902   | 144?  | ...  | ...      | 213        |
| V344 Lyr (1993)         | 0.09145  | 109.6 | No?  | ...      | 214        |
| YZ Cnc (1978,1988+)     | 0.09204  | 134   | No   | No       | 215        |
| GX Cas (1994)           | 0.09297  | 307   | No   | No       | 216        |
| (1999)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| V725 Aql (1999)         | 0.09909  | ≥1000 | Yes? | Yes?     | 217        |
| (1995)                  |          |       |      |          |            |
| MN Dra (2001–2003)      | 0.1055   | 60    | No   | No?      | 218        |
| NY Ser (1996+)          | 0.1064   | 70–100 | No   | No       | 219        |
| TU Men (1980)           | 0.1262   | 600?  | Yes? | No?      | 220        |
Warner 1998; Hellier 2001), the present study more clearly illustrated the segregation between these populations. A previously proposed picture of a continuous entity between usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae and WZ Sge stars (cf. Nogami et al. 1996; Baba et al. 2000) seems to have become less concrete. There are also a small number of objects with long \( T_s \) and long \( P_{SH} \), whose existence was not clear in the past studies. One of these objects (RZ Leo) has been studied thoroughly (Mennickent, Diaz 2002; Ishioka et al. 2001b), and has been shown to have a normal lower main-sequence secondary, rather than a brown dwarf. The expected low mass-transfer rate in such a system should therefore be understood as a result of a wide variety of systems even below the period gap. On the other hand, extremely short \( T_s \) systems seem to be concentrated in the short \( P_{SH} \) range, supporting the earlier finding.

6.3. Brightening near Termination of Superoutburst Plateau

We first inspected the objects with multiple superoutburst observations in order to check the possible variation of the brightening feature near the termination of a superoutburst plateau. Table 4 shows the summary. This result indicates that whether or not there is a brightening feature near the termination of a superoutburst plateau is primarily dependent on the object, rather than individual superoutbursts. DV UMa and QW Ser are the only examples among the well-observed objects which probably showed different types of superoutbursts. These objects apparently need further full-superoutburst observations on every possible occasions.

Figure 11 shows a distribution of objects with or without brightening near the termination of the superoutbursts. The open and filled circles represent no brightening and with brightening (No/No? and Yes/Yes? in table 3), respectively, including suspicious cases. (DV UMa

Table 4. Brightening near Termination of Superoutburst Plateau in Different Superoutbursts.

| Object     | \( P_{SH} \) | Number of superoutbursts with/without brightening |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|            |              | Yes | No |
| V592 Her   | 0.056498     | 2   | 0  |
| WX Cet     | 0.05949      | 3   | 0  |
| KV Dra     | 0.060005     | 2   | 0  |
| OY Car     | 0.064544     | 2   | 0  |
| TV Crv     | 0.0650       | 2   | 0  |
| CT Hya     | 0.06643      | 0   | 4  |
| DM Lyr     | 0.0673       | 0   | 2  |
| RZ Sge     | 0.07042      | 0   | 3  |
| CY UMa     | 0.07210      | 0   | 2  |
| KV And     | 0.07434      | 0   | 2  |
| CC Cnc     | 0.075518     | 0   | 2  |
| IY UMa     | 0.07588      | 0   | 2  |
| AY Lyr     | 0.07597      | 0   | 2  |
| QW Ser     | 0.07709      | 1   | 1  |
| VW Hya     | 0.07714      | 0   | 4  |
| Z Cha      | 0.07740      | 0   | 2  |
| EF Peg     | 0.08705      | 0   | 2  |
| DV UMa     | 0.08869      | 1   | 1  |
| GX Cas     | 0.09297      | 0   | 2  |
| V725 Aql   | 0.09909      | 2   | 0  |
and QW Ser were included as systems “with brightening”). There is a strong concentration of systems with brightening in short $P_{SH}$ and long $T_s$ systems, although WZ Sge-type stars usually do not show this type of brightening. Several long-$P_{SH}$ systems showing brightening are clearly confined to the long $T_s$ region. The location of HO Del (with brightening) is consistent with the general tendency.

### 6.4. Regrowth of Superhumps

We inspected the objects with multiple superoutburst observations in order to check the possible variation of the superhump regrowth near the termination of a superoutburst plateau. Table 5 shows the summary. The symbols are as in table 4. As in brightening feature near the termination of a superoutburst plateau, the existence of the superhump regrowth is primarily dependent on the object, rather than individual superoutbursts. RZ Sge is a possible exception which showed both types of superoutbursts.

Figure 12 shows distribution of objects with or without superhump regrowth near the termination of the superoutbursts. The symbols are the same as in figure 11. A stronger preference of short-$P_{SH}$ systems, than in figure 11, is apparent, although the location of the objects with superhump regrowth nearly overlaps the location of the objects with brightening. This figure suggests that the appearance of superhump regrowth and brightening near the termination of the superoutbursts is phenomenologically coupled. This statistical finding may comprise another aspect of positive correlations between brightening and superhump regrowth, which was claimed by Baba et al. (2000) based on the analysis of the 1995 superoutburst of V1028 Cyg.

The location of HO Del is unusual in its apparent absence of the superhump regrowth. This deviation from the general trend may be related to a rather low (~5.0 mag) outburst amplitude of HO Del for a long $T_s$ object. If this is the case, the conditions necessary to manifest the unusual large-amplitude outbursts in some rarely outbursting SU UMa-type dwarf novae, may be somehow responsible for producing the late-time regrowth the superhumps.

We do not discuss whether this argument can be observationally extended to the most extreme WZ Sge-type dwarf novae, which may be a natural extension of so-called TOADs, while recent theoretical interpretations seem to prefer a different entity (Osaki, Meyer 2002; Osaki, Meyer 2003), in which a 1:2 resonance is claimed to be essential for the manifestation of their unusual outbursts. The exclusion of WZ Sge-type dwarf novae from figure 12 may be an artificial result our examination of these phenomena (regrowth of superhumps and brightening) restricted to pre-“dip” observations of WZ Sge-type superoutbursts. If we consider that the rebrightening stage of WZ Sge-type superoutbursts actually corresponds to the late stage of SU UMa-type superoutbursts discussed in this study, it may be possible both WZ Sge-type rebrightenings and late stage brightening (and possibly regrowth of superhumps) have the same underlying mechanism. We leave this an observational open question, partly because individual WZ Sge-type rebrightenings show a wide variety [at least some of which bear more resemblance to normal outbursts (e.g. Kato et al. 1997; Patterson et al. 1998), while some of them even look like a double superoutburst (Nogami et al. 1997a)], and partly because observations are insufficient in most WZ Sge-type stars to judge whether there was a regrowth of superhumps during such a stage.

Although the original TOAD classification has been shown to represent a rather loosely defined class of objects,
the presently discussed features may provide a better observational distinction for SU UMa-type dwarf novae with unusual characteristics.

7. Summary

We photometrically observed the 1994, 1996 and 2001 outbursts of HO Del. From the detection of secure superhumps, HO Del is confirmed to be an SU UMa-type dwarf nova with a superhump period of 0.06453(6) days. Based on the recent observations and the past records, the outbursts of HO Del are found to be relatively rare, with the shortest intervals of superoutbursts being around 740 days.

We also performed a literature survey of SU UMa-type dwarf novae, and presented a new set of basic statistics. This new statistics revealed that many of SU UMa-type dwarf novae have a fairly typical value of supercycle lengths of around 300 days regardless of the superhump period. There is, however, a wide-spread distribution of $T_s$ around the period $0.055 \leq P_{SH} \leq 0.065$ days. A previously proposed picture of a continuous entity between usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae and WZ Sge stars seems to have become less concrete.

The SU UMa-type dwarf novae with a brightening trend or with a regrowth of superhumps near the termination of a superoutburst are found to be rather tightly confined in a small region on the (superhump period–supercycle length) plane. These characteristics seem to provide better criteria for a small, rather unusual population of SU UMa-type dwarf novae, which likely correspond to, and would better define, the objects previously selected by outburst amplitudes (Tremendous Outburst Amplitude Dwarf Novae). HO Del is rather unusual in that it is located in this region while it did not show regrowth of superhumps.

The authors are grateful to many observers who reported observations to VSNET. We are grateful to M. Moriyama, M. Reszelski, and J. Pietz for their prompt announcements of the outburst detections of HO Del. We are grateful to G. Masi, J. Pietz, B. Monard for providing their observations of SU UMa-type dwarf novae prior to publication. This work is partly supported by a grant-in-aid [13640239, 15037205 (TK), 14740131 (HY)] from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. This research has made use of the Digitized Sky Survey produced by STScI, the ESO SkyCat tool, and the VizieR catalogue access tool.
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