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Abstract

Background: The ACTION study (Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder Controlled Trial Investigation Of a Non-stimulant) is a multi-center, double-blind, randomized cross-over trial of the non-stimulant medication, Atomoxetine, in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The primary aims are to examine the efficacy of atomoxetine for improving cognition and emotional function in ADHD and whether any improvements in these outcomes are more pronounced in participants with comorbid anxiety; and to determine if changes in these outcomes after atomoxetine are more reliable than changes in diagnostic symptoms of ADHD. This manuscript will describe the methodology and rationale for the ACTION study.

Methods: Children and adolescents aged 6 - 17 y with ADHD will be enrolled. Clinical interview and validated scales will be used to confirm diagnosis and screen for exclusion criteria, which include concurrent stimulant use, and comorbid psychiatric or neurological conditions other than anxiety. Three assessment sessions will be conducted over the 13-week study period: Session 1 (Baseline, pre-treatment), Session 2 (six weeks, atomoxetine or placebo), and Session 3 (13 weeks, cross-over after one-week washout period). The standardized touch-screen battery, "IntegNeuro™", will be used to assess cognitive and emotional function. The primary measure of response will be symptom ratings, while quality of life will be a secondary outcome. Logistic regression will be used to determine predictors of treatment response, while repeated measures of analysis will determine any differences in effect of atomoxetine and placebo.

Results: The methodology for the ACTION study has been detailed.

Conclusions: The ACTION study is the first controlled trial to investigate the efficacy of atomoxetine using objective cognitive and emotional function markers, and whether these objective measures predict outcomes with atomoxetine in ADHD with and without comorbid anxiety. First enrollment was in March 2008. The outcomes of this study will be a significant step towards a ‘personalized medicine’ (and therefore a more efficient) approach to ADHD treatment.

Trial registration: Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry ANZCTR12607000535471.

Background

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) affects at least one child or young person in every classroom worldwide, with prevalence estimates ranging between 2 and 16% [1]. It is the most common psychiatric disorder in children and adolescents, and continues into adulthood in a majority of cases [2]. Problems experienced by children and adolescents with ADHD include difficulties with sustaining attention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity. These problems impact unfavorably on the young person’s everyday functioning [3], as well as the health-related quality of life of themselves and their families [4].

Stimulant medications are the most common pharmacologic treatment for ADHD [5], acutely improving symptoms in 60 – 90% of patients [2,6-8]. However,
when stimulant drugs are not effective, or when there are contraindications to stimulants, non-stimulant drugs are a viable alternative. Increasingly, non-stimulant medications such as atomoxetine (ATMX) are considered when comorbid conditions, such as anxiety, are present [9]. ATMX is purported to be a viable alternative to stimulant treatment for ADHD, with particular efficacy for children and adolescents with ADHD who are prone to problems with inhibition, anxiety and substance abuse [10].

ATMX has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of ADHD [7], and has a demonstrated response rate of up to 63.5% [11-13], comparable to that of stimulant treatment [14]. Compared to stimulant drugs, ATMX has a lower potential for abuse or misuse [7,15], and has the advantage of once daily dosing and continuous coverage [15]. To date, the focus has been on efficacy studies, to determine if treatment with ATMX produces improvement in diagnostic ADHD symptoms. Symptoms are assessed using well-established rating scales, including the ADHD Rating Scale IV - Parent version (ADHD-RS IV) score [3,11,16-28], Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) score [17,29], and Connors’ Parent and Teacher Rating Scales: Short version [13], and the Parent-rated Hyperactivity/Impulsivity Swanson Nolan and Pelham ratings [29]. In terms of the effect of ADHD medications on objective cognitive outcomes, one cross-sectional study using historical data indicated that young people who were medicated for ADHD (with either ATMX, methylphenidate or amphetamine) performed superiorly on objective cognitive tests compared to their non-medicated ADHD counterparts, although their performance remained poorer than that of non-ADHD controls [30]. To our knowledge, there have been no controlled trials undertaken to determine: 1) if ATMX has efficacy for improving cognition; and 2) if response to ATMX is predicted by other measures of cognition in ADHD, with and without comorbid anxiety. The ACTION study has been designed to address this issue.

The ACTION study will be a double-blind, randomized, controlled cross-over trial, to assess ADHD at baseline, and after treatment with either ATMX or placebo. At each assessment point clinical ratings of symptoms will be acquired. The ACTION study will assess cognition using a standardized, computerized battery called ‘IntegNeuro™’ (Brain Resource Ltd., Sydney, Australia and San Francisco, USA). IntegNeuro™ has been validated and established in ADHD [1,31,32], thereby providing a basis for future studies seeking to extend or replicate our findings. Cognitive markers from IntegNeuro™ have been found to identify ADHD with high sensitivity and specificity [1]. These markers also correlate with brain function assessed by both EEG and heart rate variability [1].

The findings from the ACTION study will help support a more personalized approach to treatment options available for children and adolescents with ADHD by examining the efficacy of ATMX in improving both subjectively-rated symptom measures and objectively-assessed performance in ADHD. It will also provide evidence about which objective cognitive markers relate to ATMX response in ADHD, and how anxiety moderates ATMX response. An evidence-base of this kind may help support clinicians in making decisions about if and when to consider non-stimulants as an alternative to stimulants or non-pharmacologic treatments in each individual child or adolescent.

The primary aims of the ACTION study are to:

1. Assess whether ATMX has efficacy in improving cognition and emotional function in children and adolescents with ADHD.
2. Assess whether presence of co-morbid anxiety influences the response to ATMX in children and adolescents with ADHD in relation to cognition and emotional function.
3. Ascertain the reliability of cognitive and emotional function changes after ATMX compared to clinical symptoms of ADHD, and how these correlate with symptom changes. Please refer to Section 5 for more detail.

The methodology for the ACTION study will be detailed in this manuscript.

**Methods/Design**

1. **Study regimens**

Eligible and consenting participants will complete rating scales and a computer-based test battery to assess cognitive performance. Figure 1 shows the schema for the ACTION study, which is a randomized double-blind cross-over study. After baseline assessment, participants will be randomized to receive either ATMX (brand name: Strattera) or placebo (starch powder with silicone 5% in a gelatin capsule) first, for six weeks (Phase A), before undergoing a one-week “washout” period (no capsules). Cross-over will occur after this washout period, and participants will receive the other compound (i.e., the compound they did not receive during Phase A) over a second six-week period (Phase B). Baseline (Session 1) assessments will be repeated at all time-points. ATMX doses will be based on the participant’s weight, according to five pre-determined weight groups, covering the predicted weight ranges from 18 to 80 kg (Figure 2). Both the ATMX and the placebo capsules...
will look identical, and were purchased from Eli Lilly Australia.

Randomization will be performed by a hospital biostatistician using a centralized, pre-determined pair-wise randomization technique, ensuring an even allocation of participants to receiving ATMX or placebo first; while also taking into account the expected ratios across the five weight groups (Figure 2). Once the randomization list has been generated, the hospital biostatistician will forward a copy of the master list to the compounding chemist responsible for packaging and labeling the blister cards for the study. The investigators, referring clinicians, and the participants will remain blinded to group allocation. All capsule packages will be prepared prior to the commencement of the trial, and packages will be dispensed according to the pre-determined randomization master list. As each participant enters the study, they will be allocated a study ID code in the order of numerical sequence. The corresponding blister cards will be labeled with the ID codes and corresponding study phase only. The participating clinicians, investigators, and the participants will be blinded to group allocation until the completion of the study.

1.1. Treatment delivery
The dose schedule for ATMX will be based on recommendations from clinical practice and previous literature (Figure 2) [18,33]. In addition to the drug blister packs, a personalized “Take Home Package” booklet will be provided to parents/guardians of the participants, which will include a Day Counter log book to help parents keep track of their child’s compliance (via daily tick boxes parents can mark off whenever their child takes their daily dose). Participants will be asked to take their capsules at the same time each morning. Drug blister packs and “Take Home Package” booklets for use during Phase A and B will be given to the parents at the end of Session 1 and Session 2 respectively. At the subsequent appointment, parents will be required to return their completed “Take Home Package” booklet, along with the drug blister packs regardless of their child’s compliance, to enable the investigators to record any missed doses.

| Group | week 1 | weeks 2-6 |
|-------|--------|-----------|
|       | gWG (kg) | mg | capsules | mg/kg | range | mg | capsules | mg/kg | range | exp. age range | exp. N |
| Alpha | 18 | 25 | 10 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 25 | 1.30 | 1.00 | 8 | 9 | 20 |
| Beta  | 26 | 35 | 20 | 0.77 | 0.57 | 35 | 1.35 | 1.00 | 10 | 11 | 40 |
| Chi   | 36 | 48 | 25 | 0.66 | 0.52 | 50 | 1.39 | 1.04 | 12 | 14 | 60 |
| Delta | 49 | 59 | 35 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 65 | 1.33 | 1.10 | 14 | 15 | 40 |
| Epsilon | 60 | 80 | 40 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 80 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 15 | 16 | 20 |

Figure 2 Atomoxetine dosage schedule. Week 1 is the initiation dose, with approximately 0.5 mg.kg⁻¹ for each patient group; weeks 2-6 reach the target dose of approximately 1.2 mg.kg⁻¹ for each group (with minimum dose of 1.0 mg.kg⁻¹ and maximum dose of 1.4 mg.kg⁻¹).
1.2. Study participants

Figure 3 lists the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the ACTION study. Eligibility criteria include normal body mass for age and gender [34], age of 6 to 17 years (inclusive), and a primary diagnosis of ADHD. Both boys and girls will be enrolled into the study. The presence of any of the exclusion criteria listed in Figure 3 will preclude the participant from enrolling into ACTION.

2. Participant study visits

2.1. Screening (pre-Baseline)

Potentially eligible patients will undergo a clinical examination by a participating clinician. A primary diagnosis of ADHD will be based on Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Fourth edition criteria [35]. The ADHD-RS IV will be used to confirm ADHD diagnosis, ADHD subtype, and to assess symptom severity. Additionally, the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule for Children (ADISC) [36] will be used to assess the presence of comorbid conditions. The ADHD-RS IV and ADISC scales are detailed in Section 4. They have been shown to have excellent reliability [36], validity [37], and medium-to-high specificity and sensitivity [38] in children and adolescents. The purpose of the Screening visit will be to confirm a primary diagnosis of ADHD, to determine symptom ratings, and eligibility for the study, as determined by participating clinicians.

Participants deemed eligible for the ACTION study will undergo a two-week washout period prior to Baseline testing (Session 1) if they are taking any ADHD medication at the time of Screening. Subsequent visits occur at weeks six and 13. Appointments will be confirmed over the telephone at least one day prior.

| Inclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|
| • Aged 6 – 17 years |
| • Primary diagnosis of ADHD (based on DSM-IV criteria by a participating clinician) |
| • Normal body mass for age and gender |
| • Fluent in English |

| Exclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|
| • Concurrent stimulant use |
| • Any medical condition or disease which might interfere with the assessments (e.g., hearing impairment) or put the participant at increased risk when exposed to Atomoxetine (e.g., cardiac abnormalities) |
| • Any psychotic or neurologic condition, e.g., seizure disorder, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia (not including ADHD with common comorbidities such as internalizing, externalizing, and learning disorders) |
| • Consumption of two or more standard alcoholic drinks per day |
| • Other drug abuse or extensive drug use |
| • Any experimental drug within the past four months (prior to the study) |
| • Drug hypersensitivity or anaphylaxis |
| • Heavy caffeine use (more than four cups of coffee or equivalent per day) |
| • Users of nicotine |
| • Inability to comprehend and follow study procedures and instructions, based on the investigator’s opinion |
| • Not prepared to sign the informed consent documents (with parents/guardians) prior to study entry |
| • Refusal to agree to participation information and preparation requirements |

Figure 3 Inclusion and Exclusion criteria for the ACTION study. ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; DSM-IV = Diagnosis and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Edition 4.
2.2. Session 1 (Baseline; 0 weeks)
Prior to attending assessment sessions, participants will be asked to refrain from consuming caffeine that day (including coffee, chocolate milk, caffeinated soft-drinks).

Assessments will include cognitive and emotional function tasks using IntegNeuro™, body mass, and validated parent-reported scales for ADHD symptom severity and self-report questionnaires (Section 4). On average, assessments will take the participants approximately two hours to complete. Upon completion of Session 1, parents will be given their child’s first course of study capsules and a “Take Home Package” booklet as mentioned above in 1.1.

2.3. Session 2 (6 weeks; post-Phase A)
The assessments undertaken at Baseline (Session 1) will be repeated at Session 2. Parents will be reminded to return their child’s capsule blister packs and “Take Home Package” booklet, so that the investigators can record compliance to the study drugs during each study phase. As with Session 1, on completion of Session 2 parents will be provided with their child’s next course of study capsules and a second “Take Home Package” booklet for use during Phase B of the study.

2.4. Session 3 (13 weeks; post-Phase B)
The assessments undertaken at Session 2 will be repeated at Session 3, with the exclusion of the provision of capsule blister packs and “Take Home Package” booklets.

2.5. Ethical considerations
The ACTION study will be conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki 2008, and International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. Investigators ensure that “Good Clinical Practice” principles will be adhered to, as outlined in 21 FDA Code of Federal Regulations CFR 312, subpart D, “Responsibilities of Sponsors and Investigators,” 21 CFR, part 50, 1998, and 21 CFR, part 56, 1998.

Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval is obtained prior to patient enrolment at any clinical site. All protocol modifications will be submitted to each IRB for approval before implementation. Prior to undertaking any study-related procedures, investigators will obtain written informed consent from each study participant after verbal and written explanation of study aims, methods, and potential hazards and benefits.

3. Study organization
3.1. Organizational structure
The ACTION study will be conducted across three Australian sites

- Sydney: Brain Dynamics Centre laboratory located at Westmead Hospital (lead site). It is a center of the University of Sydney Medical School and has a partnership with the Centre for Research into Adolescents’ Health (Department of Adolescent Medicine at the Children’s Hospital at Westmead (CHW) and Westmead Hospital).
- Adelaide: Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory located at School of Psychology, Flinders University. It has a partnership with a large community adolescent mental health practice in Adelaide.
- Melbourne: Department of General Paediatrics at the Royal Children’s Hospital, Melbourne.

Clinical Trial Coordinators (CTCs) will be appointed at all three sites.

3.2. Site selection/training/recruitment
Clinical sites were selected based on the likelihood of meeting recruitment goals and executing the protocol. During a site initiation visit, CTCs at each site will be trained in protocol implementation and data collection methods. CTCs will work closely with participants and clinicians, ensure that all instruments are completed by participants, and function as study coordinators (i.e., liaise among sites, data management at their own site, and ensure the smooth operations of the study at their site).

3.3. Enrolment/randomization
Patients are referred to the ACTION study by participating clinicians, by faxing completed Clinical Package forms (containing basic demographic details, body mass; and completed ADHD-RS IV and ADISC) to their local CTC. The site’s CTC will contact the interested patient’s parent to formally invite them to the study, and provide additional information and consent forms.

4. Data collection
4.1. Screening measures
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV (ADHD-RS IV) [39] The ADHD-RS IV will confirm DSM-IV diagnosis and subtype of ADHD, indicated by a score ≥1 in six or more items in the Inattentive and/or Hyperactive-Impulsive sections of the scale. If a DSM-IV diagnosis cannot be confirmed using the ADHD-RS IV, the participant will be excluded. Total scores will be used as a measure of symptom severity.

Clinicians will also undertake the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule for DSM-IV: Child Version (ADISC: Parent Interview Schedule) [36]. Scores of ≥4 for any of the domains are indicative of a positive diagnosis. Participants will be categorized as having either ADHD + comorbid anxiety (i.e., Separation anxiety disorder, Obsessive compulsive disorder, and/or Generalized anxiety disorder [36]); or ADHD without anxiety (which includes those with other comorbidities excluding anxiety). The number of diagnosed comorbidities in total, including anxiety and others, will also be recorded.
4.2. Baseline (Session 1), Session 2 and Session 3 measures
4.2.1. ADHD symptom severity
At each testing session parents will complete the ADHD-RS IV, as a measure of ADHD symptom severity. Parents will also rate symptom severity on the Conners’ Parent Rating Scale - Revised (Long version; CPRS-R) [40]. The CPRS-R ratings will provide a second assessment of symptom severity, providing a within-study check on the consistency of symptom ratings. T-scores for all 13 subscales will be recorded, where larger T-scores indicate greater impairment.

The secondary outcomes of ACTION will be the relationships between the primary cognitive measures and ratings obtained from the ADHD-RS IV and CPRS-R.

4.2.2. Self-reported negative feelings and self regulation
Negative feelings of anxiety, depression and stress will also be assessed via self-report, using previously established scales. The Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) [41] has been established in 6 to 18 year olds with ADHD [42], and a child-worded version of this scale has been validated against the original in the same children completing both original (with parental help as needed to understand questions) and child version, in a randomized within-subjects design [43]. The DASS questionnaire will be scored for three domains: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress.

To assess state as well as trait anxiety, the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [44] or STAI for Children (STAIC) [45] will be used. The STAIC is suitable for use in children aged 6 to 14 years, while the STAI is suitable for those aged >14 years. The questionnaires provide scores on both State anxiety and Trait anxiety and will be used at all three laboratory-based sessions.

To complement the scales assessing negative feelings, an established measure of self-regulation (the BRISC) will be used, which captures risk for brain health versus resilience and capacity for seeking social support [47]. The BRISC has been normed in 6 to 92 year olds [47], and there is good correspondence between child and adult-worded versions [41]. The BRISC score of Negativity Bias identifies a spectrum of brain health disorders (particularly anxiety and depression) with 87% sensitivity; and it is inversely related to Emotional resilience and social skills capacity (data not yet published). The validation study also established the convergence between this scale and a child-worded version in the same randomized within-subjects design [43]. The three BRISC scores are Negativity bias, Emotional resilience and Social skills.

4.3. Other symptom measures
To further explore the secondary aims of the study, other measures of ADHD symptoms will be included at each assessment session.

Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scale [48] The CGI Severity scale will be used at Session 1, based on the opinion of the investigator; while the CGI Improvement scale will be used at both Session 2 and Session 3, taking into account the opinions of both the investigator and the parent. Rating scores for each time-point will be recorded.

Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) - Parent report, Version 4.0 [49] The PedsQL - Parent report is suitable for young children (8 to 12 years old) and adolescents (13 to 18 years old) were utilized at all three time-points to assess the health-related quality of life, based on the opinion of the parent. The two summary (mean) scores of Physical health (Physical health items) and Psychosocial health (Emotional, Social, and School functioning items) will be recorded.

Weekly Parent Rating of Evening and Morning Behavior - Revised (WPREMB-R) This questionnaire was developed by Eli Lilly Pty. Ltd. for monitoring morning and late afternoon/evening behavior in children and adolescents with ADHD who are being treated using ATMX [50]. Six copies of the WPREMB-R will be included in the “Take Home Package 1” booklet (Phase A), and seven will be included in the “Take Home Package 2” booklet (Phase B), so that parents can complete the questionnaire at the start of each week during these phases of the study. The maximum possible score obtainable is 33, which is indicative of poorer ADHD behavior. Mean WPREMB-R scores will be calculated and recorded for both Phase A and Phase B. Missing responses in any week will exclude the participant’s data for that study phase, since the scoring method of this questionnaire does not permit adjustment for missing information.

4.4. IntegNeuro™battery
The IntegNeuro™ cognitive test battery is made up of 13 different tasks which require a total of approximately 50 minutes to complete. The 13 tasks which make up the IntegNeuro™ test battery were designed and validated to challenge participants in six different cognitive domains: i) Sensori-motor; ii) Learning and memory; iii) Language; iv) Attention and working memory; v) Executive function/planning; and vi) Emotion identification [1,31,32]. Table 1 lists the specific markers which will be investigated in ACTION for cognitive and emotional function and the tasks and measures used in IntegNeuro™ to ascertain them. Each marker is a composite
of up to three tasks, and have a combined sensitivity of 88% in children and adolescents with ADHD [1]. The decision to focus on the Inhibition and Emotion identification markers as primary outcomes for the ACTION study was due to recent investigations [42,51], which led us to hypothesize that these markers would be more likely to improve after non-stimulant treatment in patients with ADHD and comorbid anxiety. Research into the cognitive markers in ADHD and their response to different ADHD treatments is still in the early stages so it would be premature to limit our investigations to only two of six markers which have been validated in ADHD. Hence, all six markers will be assessed in ACTION due to the novelty of this study. Marker scores are the focal dependent measures of interest, calculated as standardized scores for the tasks each marker comprises (Table 1). More detailed scores for each individual task will also be available for secondary analyses. Williams et al. (2010) provides a clear description of the tasks which will be used in ACTION [1].

In the IntegNeuro™ cognitive battery, participants will hear the test instructions through a pair of headphones, and respond to the tasks by either speaking into the microphone (attached to the headphones) or using the touch-screen for non-verbal tasks. Participants will be supervised throughout the test via CCTV (closed-circuit television) from an adjacent room. Additional instructions will be given during the test if necessary. Participants will provide consent to be monitored via CCTV by signing a Surveillance Authority Form. The CCTV will only be used for the purpose of monitoring the patient during the visit and no recordings will be made.

To minimize the effect of familiarization and practice effects at subsequent testing sessions, IntegNeuro™ has a set of parallel forms for use in repeat testing sessions, whereby the sequences/words/patterns used in each of the tasks have been programmed to be different between the time-points. IntegNeuro™ will be set up to have the participant complete the test battery corresponding to their ACTION time-point.

### 5. Research endpoints

The primary endpoint will be clinically significant improvement in cognition and emotional function after ATMX treatment assessed using IntegNeuro™ (Table 1); and in ADHD symptoms (ADHD-RS IV, CGI, WPREMB, and CPRS-R subscales: Cognitive problems/inattention, Hyperactivity, Conners’ ADHD index, CGI restless-impulsive, CGI total, DSM-IV inattentive, DSM-IV hyperactive-impulsive, and DSM-IV total). Further analyses will be undertaken to compare the differences in ATMX treatment effects between participants with and without comorbid anxiety; and between ADHD subtypes.

Part of the novelty of this trial lies in our utilization of objective assessments for cognition and emotional function. As such, we will also determine if our objective measures predict response to ATMX in ADHD, and in

---

### Table 1 Six cognitive and emotional function markers, and their contributing measures

| Marker                          | Summary definition                                                                 | Task         | Measure                                           |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Cognitive:**                  |                                                                                    |              |                                                  |
| 1. Sustained attention (vigilance) | To maintain attention over time during continuous and repetitive activities.         | Continuous   | Reaction time, total errors                      |
| 2. Impulsivity                  | To initiate a behavior without adequate forethought; the inability to suppress automatic responses when they are inappropriate. | Continuous   | Errors of commission Errors of commission        |
| 3. Intrusions                   | The repetition of erroneous responses, even in the absence of interfering stimuli. | Maze         | Errors of commission Errors of commission Errors (digits and letters) Total intrusion errors |
| 4. Inhibition                   | The inability to suppress task-irrelevant information.                             | Verbal       | Errors (word), errors (color), errors (interference) |
| 5. Response variability         | The consistency of response time.                                                  | Continuous   | Variability of reaction time Variability of reaction time |
| 6. Emotion identification        | The capacity to identify the facial expressions of basic emotion displayed by others. | Emotion      | Percentage correct, response time for each expression (fear, anger, sadness, happiness, disgust, neutral) |

See Williams et al. (2010) for more detail about the tasks [1].
patients with comorbid anxiety. Treatment response is defined in Section 7.3.2.

The secondary endpoints will be improvements after ATMX treatment in quality of life (PedsQL - self and parent); emotional states and self-regulation (DASS-BRISC); and state and trait anxiety (CPRS-R subscales: Anxious-shy, STAI and STAIC). Previous ADHD treatment with stimulant medication and comorbid anxiety will be included as covariates.

6. Adverse events and safety monitoring
Parents are advised to contact the CTC in the case of any adverse events - defined as any physical, behavioral/psychological, or physiological problems potentially related to the study treatment or testing sessions. In the case of mild adverse reactions, the parent will be asked to continue on the study treatment and monitor the condition, informing the CTC if the problem persists or worsens. The study clinician will also be available for the CTC to obtain a sound medical opinion if required. If the adverse reaction is deemed an emergency, the parents will be advised to take the participant to the Emergency department of their nearest hospital. In these situations, the participant’s group allocation will be revealed in order to inform the hospital of what the participant was administered. All adverse events will be recorded.

The investigators will be responsible for monitoring the safety of study participants and to take appropriate action concerning any event that seems unusual. They will ensure that appropriate medical care is maintained throughout the study and after the study to follow adverse events.

7. Statistical analysis
7.1. Sample size
The data used for the power calculation of the inhibition function primary outcome measures are from a sample of 175 ADHD individuals assessed off and then on stimulant medication (methylphenidate or dexamphetamine; data not published). The power calculation of the emotional function primary outcome measures are based on a sample of 30 ADHD participants from the same sample.

Power was set at 0.9, alpha level at 0.05, and the mean difference (change in off-versus on-medication) and standard deviations for the mean of the n = 175 group was used. The means for the n = 30 group were set at 0’, since there was no change expected in these outcome measures for the placebo group. After applying the pilot data means from each primary outcome measure the highest sample size estimate we obtained was n = 152 for each group under two-tailed conditions. Therefore, a minimum total of 152 participants are required in this cross-over study to achieve the desired level of probability in detecting a statistically significant difference across the two primary outcome measures.

7.2. Data upload and reports
A computerized protocol will quantify cognitive data in a standardized manner. Responses obtained from the questionnaires will be paper-based, and entered into computer-based scoring spreadsheets which have been set up to automatically score each questionnaire. Raw and composite IntegNeuro™ data for all sites will be extracted and stored by the Brain Resource Company Ltd., which will be periodically forwarded to the Sydney site (once per month).

IntegNeuro™ reports (from Brain Resource Company Ltd.) will be generated and sent to the referring study clinicians after Session 1 and Session 3 testing. These reports will present performance at Session 1 compared to normative data, and after Session 3 (comparing performance after Session 2 and Session 3, including comparisons to normative data).

7.3. Data analysis
The ACTION study will adopt an all available data analytical approach, meaning that all data obtained will be included in analyses, regardless of adherence to the study. Accordingly, there will be no imputation of missing data. For all analyses, a \( p \) value of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

7.3.1. Baseline analyses Continuous data at baseline will be checked for normality of distribution and log-transformed if required. Logistic regression models will be used to determine whether or not the cognitive and emotion measures (using the composite scores) predict the presence of comorbid anxiety (as determined using the ADISC questionnaire).

7.3.2. Predictors and moderators of response to treatment Simple linear regression models will be used to observe any relationships between cognition/emotion composite scores at baseline and questionnaire scores after ATMX treatment to see if the objectively-measured cognition/emotion measures are related to the subjectively-measured ADHD symptom measures (ADHD-RS IV, CPRS-R). To examine the predictive relationship of cognition/emotion measures on ADHD symptom measures, changes after ATMX treatment in ADHD symptom measures will be categorized depending on whether or not they responded to the treatment. Analyses will be performed using two different definitions of treatment response: 1) \( \geq 25\% \) improvement and 2) \( \geq 40\% \) improvement; while non-response will be defined as 3) <25\% improvement and 4) <40\% improvement [11,17,19,52]. More specifically regarding the primary outcome, six predictors (the composite scores from baseline for the six cognition and emotional function markers, Table 1) will be used in logistic regression.
models to examine if baseline performance in these measures predict response to ATMX. Response in terms of the primary outcome will be defined initially as a ≥25% improvement (versus <25% improvement) in ADHD-RS IV and CPRS-R t scores (see Section 5). A secondary, more stringent definition of response will subsequently be implemented, using a response cut-point of ≥40%. With a planned sample size of >60, these analyses will be sufficiently powered. Further exploratory analyses may be performed at a later date.

Absolute change scores (continuous ADHD symptom data) will be used to see if the cognition/emotion measures moderate response to ATMX in ADHD symptom measures. These investigations will initially be performed on the total ADHD cohort, before examining any differences between those with and without comorbid anxiety.

7.3.3. Post treatment
Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) will be performed on continuous variables (see Section 5), comparing the results obtained between baseline and ATMX, baseline and Placebo, and between ATMX and Placebo. Cohen’s d effect size will be calculated using the mean differences between baseline and ATMX, and baseline and Placebo. Analyses will be performed in the total cohort, and then comparing those with and without comorbid anxiety. Presence/absence of previous stimulant treatment for ADHD, and an interaction term between presence/absence of comorbid anxiety and previous stimulant treatment will be used as covariates.

Results and Discussion
The ACTION study will be a multi-site, double-blinded, randomized cross-over study examining the effects of ATMX on cognitive and emotional functions in children and adolescents with ADHD, whilst also identifying objective predictors of ATMX response in this group, including those with and without comorbid anxiety. The cross-over design of the study enables participants to act as their own controls when testing whether cognitive and emotional function at baseline is able to predict changes in symptom ratings after medication treatment. Enrolment and testing commenced in March 2008. Potential limitations in the study design pertain to the one-week washout period between treatment phases, and the absence of an “anxiety only” arm for examining comorbid anxiety outcomes. Although our selection of a washout period of one-week’s duration was based on previous research [53-55], it is not known if any carry over effects were present. However, it is highly unlikely that the results obtained after six weeks of placebo treatment could be attributed to ATMX in those participants receiving active treatment (ATMX) in Phase A. Future studies may consider observing changes at first response and full response, and to vary the length of their washout periods between treatments. Additionally, an anxiety only arm should also be incorporated if investigating changes in comorbid anxiety outcomes.

Conclusions
The detailed methodology for the ACTION study has been presented. The novelty of this trial lies in its utilization of objective measures for cognition and emotion; and in its combination of objective and subjective, commonly-used assessment tools to determine the efficacy of ATMX in ADHD with and without comorbid anxiety. This research will further our knowledge on the effective use of ATMX in improving symptoms in child and adolescent ADHD; providing additional evidence to aid in personalized treatment approaches.
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