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Abstract. This paper uses noncommutative resolutions of non-Gorenstein singularities to construct classical deformation spaces, by recovering the Artin component of the deformation space of a cyclic surface singularity using only the quiver of the corresponding reconstruction algebra. The relations of the reconstruction algebra are then deformed, and the deformed relations together with variation of the GIT quotient achieve the simultaneous resolution. This extends work of Brieskorn, Kronheimer, Grothendieck, Cassens–Slodowy and Crawley-Boevey–Holland into the setting of singularities \( C^2/H \) with \( H \leq \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), and furthermore gives a prediction for what is true more generally.

1. Introduction

Noncommutative resolutions control many geometric processes, especially in dimension three and for Calabi–Yau (CY) geometry [V2] [DW]. This paper restricts to dimension two, but considers the much more general setting of rational surface singularities. These need not be CY. In the case of cyclic quotients, it extracts from a noncommutative resolution, namely the reconstruction algebra, a classical invariant, called the Artin component. Furthermore, by introducing a deformed version of the reconstruction algebra, simultaneous resolution is achieved.

1.1. Motivation and Background. When \( H \leq \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), the quotient singularities \( C^2/H \) are exactly the Kleinian singularities (equivalently, rational double points), and these all have embedding dimension \( e=3 \). Grothendieck and Brieskorn [B2] [B3] construct the deformation space for these singularities and relate it to the Weyl group \( W \) of the corresponding simple simply-connected complex Lie group. The versal deformation \( D \to h_{\mathbb{C}}/W \) of a rational double point was constructed in [B3], and after base change via the action of the Weyl group as in the diagram below, the resulting space \( \text{Art} \) resolves simultaneously [B3].

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Art} \\
\downarrow \\
h_{\mathbb{C}}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
D \\
\downarrow \\
h_{\mathbb{C}}/W
\end{array}
\]

Kronheimer [K] and Cassens–Slodowy [CS, §3] use the McKay quiver to construct the semiuniversal deformation of Kleinian singularities and their simultaneous resolutions, of type \( A_n \), \( D_n \), \( E_6 \), \( E_7 \) and \( E_8 \). This was later reinterpreted by Crawley-Boevey–Holland [CH] in terms of the deformed preprojective algebra.

The deformation theory of non-Gorenstein surface quotient singularities, namely those \( C^2/H \) for small finite groups \( H \leq \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) that are not inside \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), is more complicated. Artin [A] constructed a particular component (the Artin component) which is irreducible and admits a simultaneous resolution, again after a finite base change by some appropriate Weyl group \( W \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Art} \\
\downarrow \\
H_1^C
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
D \\
\downarrow \\
H_1^C/W
\end{array}
\]

Riemenschneider [R1] computed the Artin component \( \text{Art} \) for cyclic quotient singularities, then later in [R4, §5] he used the McKay quiver and special representations as
described by Wunram [W2] to give an alternative description. The Artin component can be described as a factor of a polynomial ring \( \mathbb{C}[z] \) with respect to some quasideterminantal relations \( \text{QDet}(z) \), but Riemenschneider’s method recovers this only after ignoring a very large number of variables. Simultaneous resolution is also not obtained using the McKay quiver perspective.

In this paper we use the reconstruction algebra of [W1], which is strictly smaller than the McKay quiver, to both construct the Artin component on the nose, and extract its simultaneous resolution.

1.2. Main Results. For any cyclic group \( \frac{1}{r}(1, a) \), the quiver of the corresponding reconstruction algebra is recalled in §2.1, and will be written \( Q \). With dimension vector \( \delta = (1, \ldots, 1) \), consider the co-ordinate ring of the representation variety \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\mathbb{C}Q, \delta)] \), which carries a natural action of \( G := \prod_{q \in Q} \mathbb{C}^* \). As shown in §3.2, \( R^G \) is generated by cycles. These generate a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra \( \mathbb{C}[z] \), and they further satisfy quasideterminantal relations (recalled in §4.1) which we will denote \( \text{QDet}(z) \). The following is our first main result.

**Theorem 1.1** (4.29). For any group \( \frac{1}{r}(1, a) \), there is an isomorphism \( R^G \cong \mathbb{C}[z]/\text{QDet}(z) \).

In particular \( R^G \), which is constructed using only the quiver of the reconstruction algebra, precisely gives the Artin component of \( \frac{1}{r}(1, a) \). Since the reconstruction algebra exists for any rational surface singularity, this gives a prediction for what can be expected much more generally.

Simultaneous resolution is then achieved by introducing the deformed reconstruction algebra (see 5.1), which generalises the work of Crawley-Boevey–Holland [CH] on deformed preprojective algebras. In §5.3, we construct a map \( \pi: R^G \to \Delta \), where \( \Delta \) is an affine space defined in (5.A). The following is our second main result, where \( \delta \) is a particular choice of stability condition explained in §5.2.

**Theorem 1.2** (5.12). For any cyclic group \( \frac{1}{r}(1, a) \), the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Rep}(\mathbb{C}Q, \delta)/\delta \text{GL} & \longrightarrow & R^G \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\Delta & & \\
\end{array}
\]

is a simultaneous resolution of singularities, in the sense that the morphism \( \phi \) is smooth, and \( \pi \) is flat.

The smoothness of the fibres is achieved using moduli spaces of the deformed reconstruction algebra \( A_{r,a,\lambda} \). These are introduced in §5.1, and may be of independent interest. As a final remark, we note in Remark 5.13 that in general the particular choice of \( \delta \) in Theorem 5.12 is important, and cannot be generalised to arbitrary generic stability parameters.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 recalls the reconstruction algebra associated to any cyclic subgroup of \( \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), and recalls quasideterminantal form. Section 3 proves that the invariant representation variety associated to the quiver of this reconstruction algebra is generated by certain cycles \( z_{i,j} \). In Section 4 the Artin component is obtained. Section 5 introduces the deformed reconstruction algebra, and uses this to achieve simultaneous resolution.

**Conventions.** Throughout we work over the complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \). For quivers, \( ab \) denotes \( a \) followed by \( b \).
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2. Preliminaries

This section recalls the reconstruction algebra of Type \( A \), and introduces some combinatorics that will be used later.

2.1. The Reconstruction Algebra of Type \( A \). Consider, for positive integers \( \alpha_i \geq 2 \), the following labelled Dynkin diagram of Type \( A_n \):

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
& & -\alpha_n & -\alpha_{n-1} & \cdots & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \\
& \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}
\]

We call the vertex corresponding to \( \alpha_i \) the \( i \)th vertex. To this picture we associate the double quiver of the extended Dynkin quiver, with the extended vertex called the 0th vertex:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
& & -\alpha_n & -\alpha_{n-1} & \cdots & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \\
& \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}
\]

Denote this quiver \( Q' \), and we remark that for \( n = 1 \) \( Q' \) is

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
& & -\alpha_n & -\alpha_{n-1} & \cdots & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \\
& \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}
\]

In the case that some \( \alpha_i > 2 \), add an additional \( \alpha_i - 2 \) arrows from the \( i \)th vertex to the 0th vertex. The resulting quiver is denoted \( Q \), and we label its arrows as follows:

For \( n = 1 \), we write
- \( c_1, c_2 \) for the two arrows from 0 to 1 in \( Q' \).
- \( a_1, a_2 \) for the two arrows from 1 to 0 in \( Q' \).
- \( k_1, \ldots, k_{\alpha_1 - 2} \) for the extra arrows if \( \alpha_1 > 2 \).

For \( n \geq 2 \), we write the
- clockwiose arrow in \( Q' \) from \( i \) to \( i - 1 \) as \( c_{i-1} \) (and \( c_m \))
- anticlockwise arrow in \( Q' \) from \( i \) to \( i + 1 \) as \( a_{i+1} \) (and \( a_0 \))
- extra arrows as \( k_1, \ldots, k_{\sum(\alpha_i - 2)} \), reading from right to left (see Examples below).

The notation \( a_{12} \) is read ‘anticlockwise from 1 to 2’. Below, we furthermore write \( A_{ij} \) for the composition of anticlockwise paths \( a \) from vertex \( i \) to \( j \), and \( C_{ij} \) as the composition of clockwise paths. Note that by convention \( C_{ii} \) (resp. \( A_{ii} \)) is not an empty path at vertex \( i \) but rather the path from \( i \) to \( i \) round each of the clockwise (resp. anticlockwise) arrows precisely once. Lastly, for convenience write \( c_{10} := k_0 \) and \( a_{n0} := k_{1 + \sum(\alpha_i - 2)} \).

Example 2.1. For \( [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3] = [3, 2, 2] \), the labelled quiver \( Q \) is

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
& & -\alpha_n & -\alpha_{n-1} & \cdots & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \\
& \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}
\]
Example 2.2. For $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7] = [2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 2, 2]$, the labelled quiver $Q$ is

![Quiver Diagram](image)

2.2. Cyclic Groups and Combinatorics. A reconstruction algebra can be associated to any cyclic subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.3. For $r, a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(r, a) = 1$ and $r > a$, the group $\frac{1}{r}(1, a)$ is defined to be

$$\frac{r}{r}(1, a) := \left\langle \zeta := \left( \begin{array}{cc} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-a} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle \leq \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a primitive $r$th root of unity. The Hirzebruch–Jung continued fraction expansion of $\frac{r}{a}$ is then denoted

$$\frac{r}{a} = \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_3 - \cdots}} := [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]$$

with each $\alpha_i \geq 2$. For $\frac{r}{r-a}$, the Hirzebruch–Jung expansion is written

$$\frac{r}{r-a} = \beta_1 - \frac{1}{\beta_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_3 - \cdots}} := [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m]. \quad (2.A)$$

Write $e$ for the the embedding dimension of the singularity $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{1}(1, a)$. Then by [R2, §3] there is an equality $e = m + 2 = 3 + \sum(\alpha_i - 2)$.

To be consistent with [W1, 3.5], consider the $i$ and $j$-series of (2.A), which is defined to be:

$$i_0 = r, \quad i_1 = r - a, \quad i_t = \beta_{t-1}i_{t-1} - h_{t-2} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq t \leq m + 1,$$

$$j_0 = 0, \quad j_1 = 1, \quad j_t = \beta_{t-1}j_{t-1} - \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq t \leq m + 1. \quad (2.B)$$

It is well known that the collection $x^ti^j$ for all $t$ such that $0 \leq t \leq m + 1$ generate the invariant ring [R3, Satz1].

Definition 2.4 ([W1, §2]). The reconstruction algebra $A_{r, a}$ associated to the group $\frac{1}{r}(1, a)$ is the path algebra of the quiver $Q$ in §2.1 associated to the Hirzebruch–Jung continued fraction expansion of $\frac{r}{a}$, subject to the relations given in Definition 5.1 with all $\lambda$s equal to zero.

For our purposes, we shall not require the relations until §5, and so we defer introducing them until then.

Example 2.5. Since $\frac{7}{3} = [3, 2, 2]$ the quiver of the reconstruction algebra $A_{7, 3}$ associated to the group $\frac{1}{7}(1, 3)$ is precisely the quiver in Example 2.1. The relations can be found in Example 3.3, after setting all $\lambda$s equal to zero.

Example 2.6. Since $\frac{165}{104} = [2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 2, 2]$ the quiver of the reconstruction algebra $A_{165, 104}$ associated to the group $\frac{1}{104}(1, 104)$ is precisely the quiver in Example 2.2. The relations can be found in Example 5.4, after setting all $\lambda$s equal to zero.

2.3. Quasideterminantal form. Consider a $2 \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \ldots & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$
together with \( n - 1 \) further entries \( W_1, \ldots, W_{n-1} \). We then write these entries in the middle row, as follows.

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & W_1 & a_2 & W_2 & \ldots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \ldots & b_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix}
\]

Following Riemenschneider [R4, §5], consider the \( 2 \times 2 \) quasiminors of this \( 2 \times n \) quasimatrix, which for all, \( i < j \) are defined to be

\[
a_i \cdot b_j - b_i \left( \prod_{t=i}^{j-1} W_t \right) a_j.
\]

Write \( \text{QDet}(X) \) for the set of all \( 2 \times 2 \) quasiminors of \( X \).

**Example 2.7.** If

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & W_1 & a_2 & W_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix},
\]

then

\[
\text{QDet}(X) = \{a_2b_2 - b_1W_1a_2, a_1b_3 - b_1W_1a_2a_3, a_2b_3 - b_2W_2a_3\}.
\]

### 3. The Representation Variety

This section considers the invariant representation variety associated to the quiver of any reconstruction algebra of Type \( A \), and finds its generators in terms of cycles.

#### 3.1. Generalities

Consider the dimension vector \( \delta = (1, \ldots, 1) \) and the representation variety \( \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta) \), where \( Q \) is an arbitrary (finite) quiver. Here \( \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta) \) is just an affine space, and we write \( \mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)] \) for its coordinate ring, which we identify with the polynomial ring in the number of arrow variables. The coordinate ring carries a natural action of \( G := \prod_{q \in Q_0} \mathbb{C}^* \) where \( Q_0 \) denotes the set of vertices of \( Q \). The action is via conjugation, namely \( \mu \in G = \mathbb{C}^* \times \ldots \times \mathbb{C}^* \) acts on an arrow \( p \in \mathcal{R} \) as \( \mu \cdot p = \mu_{(p)}^{-1} \mu_{(p)} \mu_{(p)}(p) \).

Below, we say that arrows \( p_1, \ldots, p_n \) are *composable* if \( h(p_i) = t(p_{i+1}) \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \).

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( Q \) is an arbitrary (finite) quiver, then \( \mathcal{R}^G \) is generated by cycles in \( Q \).

**Proof.** Choose a monomial \( p = p_1 \ldots p_n \in \mathcal{R} \), where \( p_i \)'s are arrows. We claim that \( \mu \cdot p = p \) for all \( \mu \Leftrightarrow p \) is a cycle. First observe that \( \mu \cdot p = (\mu_{(p_1)} \cdots \mu_{(p_n)})^{-1} p(\mu_{(p_1)} \cdots \mu_{(p_n)}) \).

\(( \Leftarrow )\) If \( p \) is a cycle, in particular it is composable. Thus for all \( \mu \in G \),

\[
\mu \cdot p = \mu_{t(p_1)}^{-1} p_1 \mu_{h(p_1)} \mu_{t(p_2)}^{-1} p_2 \mu_{h(p_2)} \cdots \mu_{t(p_n)}^{-1} p_n \mu_{h(p_n)}
\]

\[
= \mu_{t(p_1)}^{-1} \mu_{h(p_1)} p_1 \mu_{h(p_1)} \cdots \mu_{t(p_n)}^{-1} \mu_{h(p_1)} p_n
\]

\[
= \mu_{h(p_1)}^{-1} \mu_{h(p_1)} p
\]

\[
= p.
\]

(since \( t(p_1) = h(p_n) \))

Hence \( p \in \mathcal{R}^G \).

\(( \Rightarrow )\) Suppose that \( p \in \mathcal{R}^G \) such that \( \mu \cdot p = p \) for all \( \mu \). Then \( \mu_{h(p_1)} \) must cancel some \( \mu_{t(p_i)}^{-1} \) for some \( i \), so \( h(p_1) = t(p_i) \). Now consider \( \mu_{h(p_i)} \). It must cancel \( \mu_{t(p_j)}^{-1} \) for some \( j \), so \( h(p_i) = t(p_j) \). Continuing like this, we can assume \( p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \) where \( p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \) is composable. But then \( \mu \cdot p = \mu_{t(p_1)} \cdot p \cdot \mu_{h(p_m)} \) and so since \( \mu \cdot p = p \), \( t(p_1) = h(p_m) \), and \( p \) is a cycle. \( \square \)

#### 3.2. Reconstruction Algebras

We now specialise to the case where \( Q \) is the quiver of the reconstruction algebra of §2.1. By Lemma 3.1, \( \mathcal{R}^G \) is generated by cycles and this subsection finds a finite generating set.

To set notation, for \( h \) such that \( 0 \leq h \leq 1 + \sum (\alpha_i - 2) \), write \( l_h \) for the number of the vertex associated to the tail of the arrow \( k_h \). In Example 2.2 above, \( l_2 = 4 \), \( l_3 = 4 \) and \( l_4 = 5 \) are associated to the tail of the arrows \( k_2 \), \( k_3 \) and \( k_4 \) respectively.
Consider
\[ z_{0,0} = C_{00} \]
for \( 1 \leq i \leq e - 2 \)

\[
\begin{cases}
  z_{i,0} = C_{ii} k_i \\
z_{i,j} = \epsilon_{l_i - (j-1), l_i - j} a_{l_i - j, l_i - (j-1)} & \forall 1 \leq j \leq l_i - l_i - 1 \\
z_{i,l_i - l_i - 1 + 1} = A_{l_i - 1_{l_i - 1}} k_{l_i - 1} \\
z_{e-1,0} = A_{00}
\end{cases}
\] (3.A)

**Proposition 3.2.** For any group \( \mathfrak{g}(1,\alpha) \), \( \mathcal{R}^G \) is generated as a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra by the set
\[
S = \{ z_{0,0}, z_{i,j}, z_{e-1,0} \mid i \in [1, e - 2], j \in [0, l_i - l_i - 1 + 1] \}.
\]

Before proving the Proposition, we illustrate the set \( S \) in the two running examples.

**Example 3.3.** The quiver of the reconstruction algebra associated to \( \mathfrak{g}(1, 3) \) is given in Example 2.1. The set \( S \) is

**Example 3.4.** The quiver of the reconstruction algebra associated to \( \mathfrak{g}(1, 104) \) is given in Example 2.2. The set \( S \) is
With the above notation set, the proof of Proposition 3.2 is a relatively simple induction. In what follows, for two paths \( p, q \in \mathbb{C}Q \), we write \( p \sim q \) if \( p = q \) in \( \mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\mathbb{C}Q, \delta)] \) where \( \delta = (1, \ldots, 1) \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1, \( \mathcal{R}^G \) is generated by cycles. Hence consider a cycle \( p \), then the proof is complete if we show that \( p \) is generated by elements in \( S \). We induct on the lengths of cycles, since all cycles of length two (the \( \alpha_c \)'s) are already in the generating set.

For any vertex \( v \), consider a non-trivial cycle \( p \), then it must leave the vertex. According to the quiver, there are three options:

**Case 1.** The path \( p \) starts with a \( k \) arrow \( (p = kp') \). Since \( p \) is a cycle then \( p' : 0 \rightarrow v \), so we have the following subcases:

(a) \( p' \) starts clockwise. If \( p' \) moves in the clockwise direction indefinitely to vertex \( v \) \( (p' = C_0v) \), then \( p = k_tC_0vp'' \sim zp'' \) and by induction \( p \in \langle S \rangle \). Hence we can assume that, at some stage \( p' \) stops travelling clockwise before vertex \( v \). At that stage, either we continue anticlockwise so

\[
p = k_tC_0wa_{w+1}p'' = k_tC_0w+1c_{w+1}a_{w+1}p'' \sim z(\text{cycles of length smaller than } p),
\]
or we continue via some $k_j$ so
\[ p = k_t C_0 u k_j p'' = k_t C_{uv} k_j p'' \sim z(\text{cycles of length smaller than } p). \]

In either case, by induction $p \in \langle S \rangle$.

(b) $p'$ starts anticlockwise. This subcase is similar to (a), interchanging the clockwise paths and the anticlockwise paths.

Case 2. The path $p$ starts with a clockwise arrow, so $p = c_{vw-1}p'$. Since $p$ is a cycle then $p': v-1 \to v$. If $p'$ continues clockwise indefinitely, then we can write $p = C_{vw} p'' \sim z_0 p''$, and by induction we are done. Otherwise, at some stage $p'$ stops travelling clockwise and we can write $p = C_{vw} p'$ for some $p': w \to v$. According to the quiver, there are two options.

(a) $p'$ starts with an anticlockwise arrow ($p' = ap''$), so then
\[ p = C_{vw} a_{ww+1} p'' = C_{vw+1} (c_{w+1w} a_{ww+1}) p'' \sim z(\text{cycles of length smaller than } p), \]

thus by induction, $p \in \langle S \rangle$.

(b) $p'$ starts with a $k$ arrow ($p' = kp''$), and we repeat a similar procedure as in Case 1 applied to $p'$. By induction, $p \in \langle S \rangle$.

Case 3. The path $p$ starts with an anticlockwise arrow. This is very similar to Case 2, after interchanging the clockwise and the anticlockwise arrows.

\[ \Box \]

4. The Artin Component

This section recovers the Artin component directly from the quiver of the reconstruction algebras, using the representation variety.

4.1. QDet and First Properties. By Riemenschneider duality (see e.g. [W1, 2.11]), for all $t$ such that $1 \leq t \leq m$ there is an equality $\beta_t = l_t - l_{t-1} + 2$. Set
\[ s_t = \begin{cases} \beta_t - 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq m \\ 0 & \text{if } t = m + 1, \end{cases} \]

Recalling the notation in §2.3, consider the description of the Artin component of $\frac{1}{t}(1, a)$ due to Riemenschneider [R4], which in its quasideterminantal form is as follows:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
z_{0,0} & z_{1,0} & \cdots & z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & \cdots & z_{m,0} \\
z_{1,1} & z_{2,2} & \cdots & z_{2,3} & \cdots & z_{m+1,0} \\
z_{1,2} & z_{2,3} & \cdots & z_{2,4} & \cdots & z_{m+2,0} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

As in §2.3, QDet($z$) is defined to be the set of all quasiminors of the above matrix.

Example 4.1. The Artin component of the group $\frac{1}{3}(1, 3)$ in Example 3.3 has quasideterminantal form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
z_{0,0} & z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} \\
z_{1,1} & z_{2,1} & z_{3,0} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

thus QDet($z$) is the set
\[ \{ z_{0,0}z_{2,3} - z_{1,0}z_{1,1}, z_{0,0}z_{3,0} - z_{2,0}z_{2,1}z_{2,2}z_{1,1}, z_{1,0}z_{3,0} - z_{2,0}z_{2,1}z_{2,2}z_{3,3} \}. \]

Example 4.2. The Artin component of the group $\frac{1}{104}(1, 104)$ in Example 3.4 has quasideterminantal form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
z_{0,0} & z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} & z_{3,0} & z_{4,0} & z_{5,0} \\
z_{1,1} & z_{2,2} & z_{2,1} & z_{3,0} & z_{4,1} & z_{5,2}z_{5,1} \\
z_{1,2} & z_{2,3} & z_{3,1} & z_{4,2} & z_{5,3} & z_{6,0} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and in this case QDet($z$) consists of 15 relations.
For the group \( \frac{1}{k}(1, a) \), recall from §3.2 that \( \mathcal{R}^G \) is constructed only from the quiver of the reconstruction algebra. Consider the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{C}[z] \) which has as variables elements in the set \( S \) of Proposition 3.2. There is a natural homomorphism
\[
\mathbb{C}[z] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{R}^G,
\]
defined by sending \( z_{i,j} \) to the corresponding cycle in \( (3.\mathcal{A}) \).

**Proposition 4.3.** For any group \( \frac{1}{k}(1, a) \), the homomorphism \( \varphi: \mathbb{C}[z] \to \mathcal{R}^G \) is surjective, and \( \text{QDet}(z) \) belongs to the kernel.

**Proof.** Surjectivity follows from Proposition 3.2. We just need to show that the quasiminors are sent to zero. An arbitrary quasiminor is determined by

- First choosing \( z_{i,0}, 0 \leq i \leq m - 1 \).
- Then choosing \( z_{j,s}, i + 2 \leq j \leq m + 1 \).

With these choices,
\[
\varphi(z_{i,0}z_{j,s}) = C_{0l}k_i \cdot A_{0l+1}k_{i+1} = C_{0l+1}(c_{l+1,i+1} - \cdots - c_{l+1,1})k_i \cdot A_{0l}(a_{l+1} \cdots a_{l+1-i+1})k_{i+1} \quad \text{(since elements in} \ \mathbb{C}[z] \text{commute)}
\]
\[
= A_{0l}k_i \cdot (c_{l+1,i+1} - a_{l+1} \cdots a_{l+1-i+1}) \cdot C_{0l+1}k_{i+1}
\]
\[
= A_{0l}k_i \left( \prod_{p=1}^{l+1} a_{l+1-i+p} \right) C_{0l+i+1}k_{i+1}
\]
\[
= \varphi \left( z_{i+1,s+1}, \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} z_k, 1 \right) z_{j-1,0}
\]
\[
= \varphi \left( z_{i+1,s+1}, \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} z_k, 1, z_{j-1,0} \right).
\]
This shows that the quasiminor relation
\[
z_{i,0}z_{j,s} = z_{i+1,s+1}, \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} z_k, 1 \right) z_{j-1,0}
\]
belong to the kernel of \( \varphi \), as required. \( \square \)

The remainder of this section will prove that \( \text{QDet}(z) \) generates the kernel, but this involves significant work.

### 4.2. Toric ideals generalities

To compute the kernel of the homomorphism \( \varphi \) in Proposition 4.3, we will rely on its description as a toric ideal of \( \mathbb{C}[z] \), as explained in [S, §4].

Let \( \mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\} \), where each \( a_i \) is considered as a column vector, and consider the Laurent polynomial ring \( k[t^\pm 1] := k[t_1, \ldots, t_d, t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_d^{-1}] \). Set \( A = [a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n] \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d \times n} \) to be the corresponding \( d \times n \) matrix, and consider the map \( k[x] \to k[t^\pm 1], x_i \mapsto t^{a_i} \).

The toric ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \), denoted by \( I_\mathcal{A} \), is by definition the kernel. It is possible to compute this using an elimination method, however this is computationally hard in general. A more efficient algorithm to compute \( I_\mathcal{A} \) is given in [S, Algorithm 12.3], and proceeds as follows:

1. Find any lattice spanning set \( L \) for \( \ker(A) \).
2. Consider the ideal \( I_L := (x^u - x^{-u} \mid u \in L) \), and compute the saturation of \( I_L \), \( (I_L : (x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n)^\infty) \) with respect to the indeterminates \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). Then \( (I_L : (x_1 \ldots x_n)^\infty) = I_\mathcal{A} \).

Part (2) is the most difficult step.
4.3. **Step 1: Lattice Spanning Set.** This section explains how to view the homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[z] \to \mathbb{R}^G$ in the toric language of the previous section, then in Corollary 4.12 computes a lattice spanning set for the kernel.

**Example 4.4.** For the group $\frac{1}{7}(1, 1)$, the homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[z] \to \mathbb{R}^G$ sends $z_0, 0 \mapsto c_1 a_1, z_3, 0 \mapsto c_2 k_1$, and

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_{1,0} &\mapsto c_1 a_2 & z_{2,0} &\mapsto c_1 k_1 \\
    z_{1,1} &\mapsto c_2 a_1 & z_{2,1} &\mapsto c_2 a_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Each of $z_0, 0, z_1, 0, z_2, 0, z_2, 1, z_3, 0$ gives rise to a column vector, where the entries in the column corresponding to $z_{i,j}$ record the exponents of the variables $k_1, a_2, a_1, c_1$ and $c_2$ that appear in the (monomial) image of $z_{i,j}$ under the map $\varphi$. Hence

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
    z_{3, 0} & z_{2, 1} & z_{0, 0} & z_{1, 0} & z_{2, 0} \\
    k_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    a_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    c_2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The kernel of the map $\varphi$ is by construction, the toric ideal of the matrix $M$.

**Notation 4.5.** In the case $\frac{1}{7}(1, 1)$, in a similar way to Example 4.4 each $z_{i,j}$ gets mapped under $\varphi$ to a monomial in the arrows, and thus we can build a matrix $M$ where the columns record the exponents. To do this requires us to fix an order on the columns and rows, which we do now. Consider the following diagram.

```
| 2r |
|----|
| 0  |
|     |
| 1  |
```

Following the arrow, we label the columns $1, \ldots, 2r$ of the matrix $M$ by

$z_{m, 1}, \ldots, z_{1, 1} \mapsto z_{0, 0}, \ldots, z_{m - 1, 0}, z_{m, 0}$

and the rows of $M$ by $k_{r, \ldots, k_1, a_2, a_1, c_1, c_2}$. With this ordering,

\[
M = r \begin{pmatrix}
    \text{Id}_r & \text{Id}_r^\ast \\
    0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where $\text{Id}_r$ is the $r \times r$ identity matrix, and $\text{Id}_r^\ast$ is the anti-diagonal identity matrix.

For the general case $\frac{1}{7}(1, a)$ with $a \neq 1$, there is also a matrix $M$ whose entries are similarly the powers of the variables. To describe the matrix $M$ requires us to set notation, which we do now.

**Notation 4.6.** Consider the following diagram.

```
2
| 4 |
|---|
| 2 |
| 1 |
```

Following the above arrows as numbered, we label the columns $1, \ldots, \ell + n + 1$ of $M$ by

$z_{m, s_m}, \ldots, z_{1, s_1}, z_{1, s_1 - 1}, \ldots, z_{1, 1} \mapsto z_{2, s_1}, \ldots, z_{2, 2}, \ldots, z_{2, 2}, \ldots, z_{m, s_m - 1}, \ldots, z_{m, 1}, z_{m, 0}$

The kernel of the map $\varphi$ is by construction, the toric ideal of the matrix $M$. 
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Then column \( \ell + n + 2 \) will be labelled \( z_{0,0} \), column \( \ell + n + 3 \) labelled \( z_{m+1,s_{m+1}} \), and columns \( \ell + n + 4, \ldots, 2\ell + n + 3 \) will be labelled \( z_{1,0}, \ldots, z_{m-1,0} \).

We next specify the labelling of the rows of \( M \). The first \( \ell \) rows will be \( k_{\ell}, \ldots, k_1 \), then the next rows labelled \( a_{01}, \ldots, a_{n0} \), then the next rows \( c_{01}, \ldots, c_{10} \).

**Example 4.7.** For the group \( \mathbb{Z}/(1,2) \), the homomorphism \( \varphi: \mathbb{Z}[z] \to \mathbb{R}^G \) sends \( z_{0,0} \mapsto c_{02}c_{21}c_{10}, z_{4,0} \mapsto a_{01}a_{12}a_{20}, \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
z_{1,0} &\mapsto c_{02}c_{21}k_1 \\
z_{1,1} &\mapsto c_{10}a_{01}
\end{align*}
\]

The exponents of \( z_{0,0}, z_{1,0}, z_{1,1}, z_{2,0}, z_{2,1}, z_{3,0}, z_{3,1}, z_{3,2} \) and \( z_{4,0} \) lead to the column vectors with each entry of any corresponding column vector being the power of the variables \( k_2, k_1, a_{01}, a_{12}, a_{20}, c_{02}, c_{21} \) and \( c_{10} \) respectively. Hence

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

With the above ordering of the columns and rows, we now give a general block decomposition of \( M \) which explains the boxes in Example 4.7.

**Lemma 4.8.** With the ordering on rows and columns as in Notation 4.6,

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
\ell & n+1 & \ell \\
& & \Id_{\ell} & 0 & \Id_{\ell} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
&&&&&&&
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Proof.** In the \( z \)'s, the \( k \)'s only appear as illustrated below.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
z_{0,0} & z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} & \cdots & z_{m-1,0} & z_{m,0} \\
z_{1,1} & z_{2,1} & z_{3,1} & \cdots & z_{m,s_m} & z_{m+1,s_{m+1}} \\
z_{1,s_1} & z_{2,s_2} & k_1 & k_2 & k_3 & \cdots & k_{s_m}
\end{array}
\]

Due to the ordering on rows and columns, the first \( \ell \) rows of \( M \) are thus

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell & n+1 & \ell \\
& & \Id_{\ell} & 0 & \Id_{\ell} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
&&&&&&&
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where $\text{Id}_\ell$ is the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix, and $\text{Id}_\ell^*$ is the anti-diagonal identity matrix. Similarly, in the $z$’s, the $a$’s only appear in the following region.

![Diagram of matrix regions]

Furthermore, along the green arrow, out of all the $a$’s, the first $z_{1,s_1}$ contains only $a_{01}$, the second entry $z_{1,1}$ contains only $a_{12}$, etc until the last entry $z_{m,0}$ on the green line, which contains only $a_{n0}$. It follows that the next $n+1$ rows of $M$ are

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell & n+1 \\
A & \text{Id}_{n+1} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

for some matrix $A$ (see Remark 4.9 below).

Lastly, in a very similar way the only place the $c$’s exist in the $z$’s are in the following region

![Diagram of matrix regions]

where again following the green line, among all the $c$’s, the first $z_{m,0}$ contains only $c_{0m}$, the second entry contains only $c_{m-1}$, etc until the last entry $z_{1,s_1}$ on the green line, which contains only $c_{10}$. It follows that the next $n+1$ rows of $M$ are

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell & n+1 \\
0 & \text{Id}_{n+1}^* & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

for some matrix $B$. The result follows.

**Remark 4.9.** Although not required, it is possible to explicitly describe both the matrices $A$ and $B$. For $A$, there are $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 - 2$, $\beta_3 - 2 \ldots \beta_m - 2$, $\beta_m - 1$ rows each containing \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1, 1\}, \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1, 0\}, \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, 0\}, \ldots, \{0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, 0\} respectively.

For $B$, there are $\beta_m - 1$, $\beta_m - 1 = 2$, $\beta_{m-2} - 2$, $\beta_{m-2} - 2$, $\beta_{m-2} - 2$, $\beta_1 - 1$ rows, each containing \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1, 1\}, \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1, 0\}, \{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, 0\}, \ldots, \{0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, 0\} respectively.

Now consider the $2 + \sum \beta_i = 2\ell + n + 3$ square matrix

$$
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
\ell+1 & 0 & \ell+n+2 \\
\text{Id}_{\ell+n+2} & K & 1 \\
\ell+1 & 0 & 2\ell+n+3
\end{pmatrix}
$$
where $K$ is the $(2\ell + n + 3) \times (\ell + 1)$ matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix}
\ell
& -\text{Id}_\ell \\
& \ell \\
& V \\
& n+1 \\
& -1 \\
& -1 \\
& n+1 \\
& 1 \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

and the matrix $V$ has $\beta_1 - 1$, $\beta_2 - 2$, $\beta_3 - 2$, ..., $\beta_{m-1} - 2$, $\beta_m - 1$ rows, each containing $\{1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1\}$, $\{0, 1, \ldots, 1, 1\}$, $\{0, 0, 1, \ldots, 1, 1\}$, ..., $\{0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0\}$ respectively. The matrix $K$ encodes the QDet relations starting from $z_{00}$, namely

$$z_{0,0}z_{m+1,s+1} = z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \ldots z_{1,1} \cdot z_{2,s_2-1} \ldots z_{2,1} \ldots z_{m,s_m-1} \ldots z_{m,1} \cdot z_{m,0}$$

$$z_{0,0}z_{2,s_2} = z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \ldots z_{1,1} \cdot z_{1,0}$$

$$z_{0,0}z_{3,s_3} = z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \ldots z_{1,1} \cdot z_{2,s_2-1} \ldots z_{2,1} \cdot z_{2,0}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_{0,0}z_{m,s_m} = z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \ldots z_{1,1} \cdot z_{2,s_2-1} \ldots z_{2,1} \ldots z_{m-1,s_{m-1}-1} \ldots z_{m-1,1} \cdot z_{m-1,0}.$$

**Example 4.10.** Continuing Example 4.7, here $\beta_1 = 2$ and $\beta_2 = 3$, so the associated matrix $K$ is

$$K = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

**Lemma 4.11.** $Q$ is invertible and further

$$MQ = \begin{pmatrix}
\ell & \text{Id}_\ell \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0 \\
\ell & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

**Proof.** $Q$ is invertible since any unitriangular matrix has determinant one. For the second statement, since QDet $\subseteq \text{Ker} Z$, it follows that $MK = 0$. This justifies the last $\ell + 1$
columns above. The first $\ell + n + 2$ columns are clear, since multiplying $M$ on the right by the unit matrix $\text{Id}_{\ell+n+2}$ with zero underneath picks out the first $\ell + n + 2$ columns of $M$ only. Thus the first $\ell + n + 2$ columns of $M$ are the first $\ell + n + 2$ columns above.  \[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.12.** \( \text{Ker}_Z \) is generated by the columns of $K$.

**Proof.** By the form of $MQ$ in Lemma 4.11, it is clear that it is possible to obtain Smith Normal Form from $MQ$ using only row operations. This gives an invertible matrix $R$ for which

\[
RMQ = \begin{pmatrix}
\ell+n+2 \\
n \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id}_{\ell+n+2} \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell+1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It follows from Smith Normal Form that \( \text{Ker}_Z \) is generated by the last $\ell + 1$ columns of $Q$, which are precisely the columns of $K$.  \[ \square \]

**Remark 4.13.** In the case $a = 1$, equivalently for the groups $\mathbb{Z}(1,1)$, consider the matrix $Q$ defined as

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \text{Id}_r & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \text{Id}_r
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
r-1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This gives Smith Normal Form, in a similar way to Lemma 4.11, with

\[
MQ = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id}_{r+1} & 0 \\
1 & \ldots & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
2r \\
r+2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In particular, this shows that Corollary 4.12 also holds for $a = 1$.

Returning to the notation of §4.2, write $L$ for a spanning set for the kernel of $\varphi_Z$, which by Corollary 4.12 can be taken to be the columns of the above matrix $K$. As calibration, and again in the notation of §4.2, the associated $I_L$ in Example 4.10 is

\[
I_L = (z_0z_4 - z_1z_3, z_0z_2 - z_1z_1, z_0z_3, z_0z_2 - z_1z_1).
\]

Now we saturate the ideal $I_L$, in general.
4.4. Step 2: Saturation. According to [BSR, §1], $I_L$ can be saturated by first introducing a new indeterminate $t$, then calculating a Gröbner basis of $H := I_L + (tx_1x_2 \ldots x_n - 1)$, and then afterwards eliminating the variable $t$. However, this approach makes the ideal inhomogeneous. Instead, following [BSR, §1], we introduce a homogeneous variable $u$ whose degree is equal to the sum of the degrees of the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, and then calculate the Gröbner basis of the ideal $H := I_L + (x_1x_2 \ldots x_n - u)$ using the graded reverse lexicographic order.

Most importantly, the two main benefits of this approach are:

(a) If $J$ is an ideal such that $I_L \subseteq J \subseteq I_M$, then instead of saturating $I_L$, we may saturate $J$, since $(I_L : (x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = I_M = (J : (x_1, \ldots, x_n))$, see [BSR, §1].

(b) Often we do not need to saturate ideals with respect to all the indeterminates, in our case we will find a much smaller subset.

Lemma 4.14. $I_L \subseteq \text{QDet}(z) \subseteq I_M$.

Proof. Since $I_L$ are some of the QDet($z$) relations starting with $z_{0,0}$ only, then $I_L \subseteq \text{QDet}(z)$. By Proposition 4.3, $\text{QDet}(z) \subseteq I_M$. □

We will therefore saturate QDet($z$) instead of $I_L$, writing this (QDet : $P^\infty$), where $P$ is the product of all the $z_{ij}$ variables. The ideal (QDet : $P^\infty$) will be obtained by calculating the DegRevLex–Gröbner basis of the ideal $H = \text{QDet}(z) + (P - u)$.

The set of the monomials $N$ in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[z]$, considered as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. So any nonzero polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is given as the linear combination $f = \sum_{m \in N} \mu_m m$ of monomials, where $S \subseteq N$, $S$ is finite, and $\mu_m$ are all nonzero constants. Set $x^a := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ and $x^b := x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$.

Definition 4.15. [MTFGJKP, §1] A term order $\succ$ on $S$ is a total order on the monomials of $S$ such that

1. $x^a \succ x^b$ implies that $x^a x^c \succ x^b x^c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and
2. $x^a \succ x^b = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

There are various different term orders on $S$, with respect to a fixed ordering of the variables, such as $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \ldots \succ x_n$. In the lexicographic (lex) order, $x^a \succ x^b$ if and only if the first nonzero entry in the vector $a - b$ is positive.

Example 4.16. If $x \succ y \succ z$, then with respect to lexicographic order,

$$x^4 \succ x^2 y^2 \succ x^2 yz \succ xy^3.$$

Further, if the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is graded, there are additional term orderings. Suppose $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is graded by $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ where $\deg(x_i) = d_i$. Set $[a] := \sum a_i d_i$ and $[b] := \sum b_i d_i$. In the graded reverse lexicographic order, $x^a \succ x^b$ if and only if either $[a] > [b]$, or $[a] = [b]$ and the last nonzero entry in the vector $a - b$ is negative.

Example 4.17. If $x \succ y \succ z \succ w$ and weight vector $(1, 1, 1, 1)$, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order

$$x^2 y^2 z^3 w \succ x^2 y^2 z^2 w^2 \succ x z^4 \succ x^3.$$

The total degree comes first and the lower power of $w$ breaks the tie between the two monomials of degree 8.

When a monomial order $\succ$ has been chosen, the leading monomial of $f = \sum_{m \in S} \mu_m m$ is the largest $m \in S$ with respect to $\succ$. The leading coefficient is the corresponding $\mu_m$, and the leading term is $\mu_m m$.

Example 4.18. For the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t, a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, c_3, d]$ with the weighting vector $(13, 5, 4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 5)$, consider the polynomial $ac_3 - b_1 b_2$. Since it is homogeneous, we look for the lower power of $c_3$ to break the tie, thus $b_1 b_2 \succ ac_3$ and therefore $-b_1 b_2$ is the leading term.

Recall that QDet($z$) consists of the quasiminors of the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
2z_{0,0} & 2z_{1,0} & \cdots & 2z_{1,1} & 2z_{1,2} & \cdots & 2z_{2,1} & 2z_{2,2} & \cdots & 2z_{m,1} & \cdots & 2z_{m,m-1} & z_{m,0} \\
2z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} & \cdots & 2z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & \cdots & 2z_{3,1} & z_{3,2} & \cdots & z_{m,1} & \cdots & z_{m,2} & z_{m+1,m+1}
\end{pmatrix}
$$
and we are aiming to compute a Gröbner basis of the ideal \((\text{QDet} : P^\infty)\), where \(P\) is the product of all the \(z_{ij}\) variables. The next Lemma allows to replace the full product \(P\) with a smaller product.

**Lemma 4.19.** \((\text{QDet} : P^\infty) = (\text{QDet} : E^\infty)\) where \(E = z_{0,0}z_{2,s_2}z_{3,s_3} \cdots z_{m+1,s_{m+1}}\).

**Proof.** Since \(E\) contains only some of the variables \(z_{i,j}\), and \(P\) contains them all, write \(P = EG\). The claim is that \((\text{QDet} : (EG)^\infty) = (\text{QDet} : E^\infty)\). But this follows from [BSR, 2.6(1)] provided we can show that \(G\) is invertible in the localisation

\[(\mathbb{C}[z]/\text{QDet})_E = \mathbb{C}[z]/\text{QDet}_E.\]  

(4.A)

By definition \(G\) contains all the \(z_{i,j}\) which are not in \(E\). Now for the quasiminors in \(\text{QDet}(z)\), if we invert \(E\) we invert all variables \(z_{0,0}, z_{2,s_2}, z_{3,s_3}, \ldots, z_{m+1,s_{m+1}}\) in \(E\), this implies that all variables in the left hand side monomials of the quasiminor relations starting from \(z_{0,0}\), namely

\[
\begin{align*}
20,0z_{2,s_2} &= z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \cdots z_{1,1} \cdot z_{1,0} \\
20,0z_{3,s_3} &= z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \cdots z_{2,1} \cdot z_{2,0} \\
&\vdots \\
20,0z_{m,s_m} &= z_{1,s_1} \cdot z_{1,s_1-1} \cdots z_{2,1} \cdots z_{m-1,s_{m-1}-1} \cdots z_{m-1,1} \cdot z_{m,0}
\end{align*}
\]

are invertible modulo \(\text{QDet}(z)\). But this implies that all the variables in the right hand side monomials become invertible in (4.A). But the monomials in the right hand side contain all variables, hence \(G\) is invertible in (4.A), as required.

**Example 4.20.** For the group \(\varphi(1,2)\), \(\text{QDet}(z)\) consists of the quasiminors of the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
20,0 & 21,0 & 22,0 & 23,0 \\
21,1 & 22,1 & 23,2 & 24,0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We saturate \(\text{QDet}(z)\) with respect to \(E = z_{0,0}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}z_{4,0}\), which is only the coloured \(z\)‘s.

The kernel of \(\varphi\), which is the toric ideal \(I_M\), is thus obtained from the saturation \((\text{QDet} : P^\infty) = (\text{QDet} : E^\infty)\) of Lemma 4.19, which in turn will be obtained by eliminating \(u\) in the Gröbner basis of the ideal \(H = \text{QDet}(E - u)\).

**Definition 4.21.** Let \(f, g \in \mathbb{C}[z]\) be nonzero polynomials.

1. Write \(\text{LM}(f), \text{LM}(g)\) for the leading monomial of \(f\) and \(g\) respectively, and \(\text{LT}(f), \text{LT}(g)\) for the leading terms (i.e. with coefficients). Define \(\gamma = \text{LCM}(f, g)\) to be the least common multiple of the monomials \(\text{LM}(f)\) and \(\text{LM}(g)\).

2. The \(S\)-polynomial of \(f\) and \(g\) is the combination

\[
S(f, g) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\text{LT}(f)}\right) f - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\text{LT}(g)}\right) g.
\]

Recall that \(H = \text{QDet}(E - u)\) is generated by the quasiminors \(f_{ij}\), together with \(f := E - u\). We next grade the polynomial ring \(\mathbb{C}[u, z]\). Recalling the \(i\) and \(j\) series in (2.B), for any \(i\) such that \(0 \leq i \leq m + 1\), we declare

\[
\text{deg}(z_{i,j}) := i_j + j_i,
\]

which does not depend on \(j\). The variable \(u\) is graded so that the equation \(E - u\) is homogeneous, thus

\[
\text{deg}(u) := I_0 + J_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} (I_i + J_i).
\]

**Example 4.22.** Consider \(\varphi(1,2)\), and \(f_{12} := z_{0,0}z_{2,1} - z_{1,0}z_{1,1}, f_{34} := z_{0,0}z_{4,0} - z_{3,0}z_{3,1}z_{4,2}\). We calculate \(S(f_{12}, f_{34})\) with respect to \(\text{DegRevLex}\) order, to calibrate the reader. The degree of both terms in \(f_{12}\) is twelve, so the leading term is thus \(-z_{1,0}z_{1,1}\), since the last nonzero entry in

\[
(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
\]
is negative. Similarly, the leading term of \( f_{34} \) is \(-z_3z_1z_3\), since the degree of both terms in \( f_{34} \) is twelve, and the last nonzero entry in 
\[
(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0) - (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1)
\]
is negative. Thus \( S(f_{12}, f_{34}) \) equals
\[
\frac{z_1z_1z_1z_3z_3}{f_{12} - z_1z_1z_3z_3} = -z_3z_1z_3z_3.
\]
To ease notation in the following Proposition, as in §2.3 write
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0, 0 & 1, 1, 0 & 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1, z_1, \ldots, z_1, z_1 - 1 & 1, z_0, z_2, \ldots, z_2, z_2 - 1 & 1, z_0, \ldots, z_m, z_m - 1 & 1, z_0, \ldots, z_m, z_m + 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Further, for any \( i < j \) set \( m_{i,j} := \prod t_{i,j}, W_t \), where as above \( W_t = z_{t,1} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_t, z_{t,i-1}. \)

**Proposition 4.23.** With respect to the \( \text{DegRevLex} \) order on \( \mathbb{C}[u, z], \)
\[
S(f_{ij}, f_{k\ell}) = \begin{cases}
-b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_i a_j + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_i a_k b_{\ell} & \text{if } i < j < k < \ell, \\
-b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_i a_j + b_i m_{[i, k-1]} a_k b_a a_j & \text{if } i < k \leq j < \ell, \\
-m_j m_{[\ell-1]} a_i a_k b_{\ell} + a_j a_k b_{\ell} & \text{if } i = k < j < \ell, \\
m_{j, k-1} a_k b_{\ell} - b_k a_j b_{\ell} & \text{if } i < k < j = \ell, \\
m_{j, k-1} m_{(j-1, \ell)} a_i a_k b_{\ell} - b_k a_i a_j b_j & \text{if } i < k < \ell < j.
\end{cases}
\]
Furthermore, for any \( i, j \)
\[
S(f_{ij}, f) = -u a_i b_j + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j E.
\]
**Proof.** In the case \( i < j < k < \ell \), the \( S \)-polynomial \( S(f_{ij}, f_{k\ell}) \) equals
\[
\frac{b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j \cdot b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k \ell}{-b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j} f_{ij} - \frac{b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j \cdot b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k \ell}{-b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k} f_{k\ell}
\]
\[
= -b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k f_{ij} + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j f_{k\ell}
\]
\[
= -b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k \ell (a_i b_j - b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j) + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j (a_k b_j - b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k)\]
\[
= -b_k m_{[k, \ell-1]} a_k b_j + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j a_k b_{\ell}.
\]
All other cases are similar. For the final claim, the \( S \)-polynomial \( S(f_{ij}, f) \) equals
\[
\frac{u b m_{[i, j-1]} a_j}{-b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j} f_{ij} - \frac{u b m_{[i, j-1]} a_j}{-u} f
\]
\[
= -u f_{ij} + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j f
\]
\[
= -u (a_i b_j - b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j) + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j (E - u)
\]
\[
= -u a_i b_j + b_i m_{[i, j-1]} a_j E.
\]

**Definition 4.24.** A polynomial \( f \) is reducible by \( g \) to \( r \), written \( f \xrightarrow{g} r \), if \( \text{LM}(g) \) divides some monomial \( m \) in \( f \) and
\[
r = f - \frac{\text{LT}(m) \cdot \text{LT}(g)}{\text{LT}(g)} \cdot g.
\]
We say this is lead reducible if \( \text{LM}(g) | \text{LM}(f) \), and
\[
r = f - \frac{\text{LT}(f) \cdot \text{LT}(g)}{\text{LT}(g)} \cdot g.
\]
Definition 4.25. A polynomial $f$ is reducible or lead reducible by a set $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$, denoted by $f \overset{G}{\to} r$, if
\[
f = f_1 \overset{g_1}{\to} f_2 \overset{g_2}{\to} \ldots \overset{g_m}{\to} f_m = r,
\]
and if $r$ cannot be reduced any further, then we call $r$ the normal form or remainder of $f$ modulo $G$.

For multivariate polynomials, the remainder is not unique and this leads us to the Gröbner basis theory. We will compute the Gröbner basis of $H = \text{QDet} + (E - u)$ using Buchberger’s algorithm. Write $\mathcal{S}$ for the set of generators of $\text{QDet}$ given by all the quasiminors $f_{ij}$, together with $f = E - u$.

Example 4.26. For the group $\mathbb{Z}(1, 2)$, with matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\
3 & 4 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
the ideal $H = \text{QDet} + (E - u)$ is generated by
\[
\begin{align*}
f_{12} &:= z_{0,0}z_{2,1} - z_{1,1}z_{1,0} \\
f_{13} &:= z_{0,0}z_{3,2} - z_{1,1}z_{2,0} \\
f_{14} &:= z_{0,0}z_{2,4} - z_{1,1}z_{3,2}z_{3,0} \\
f_{23} &:= z_{1,0}z_{3,2} - z_{2,1}z_{2,0}
\end{align*}
\]
and so $\mathcal{S} = \{f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{14}, f_{23}, f_{24}, f_{34}\}$.

Corollary 4.27. The $S$-polynomials in Proposition 4.23 are reduced to zero by the set $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. In the case $i < j < k < \ell$, by 4.23 $S(f_{ij}, f_{\ell k}) = -b_km_{[k,\ell-1]}a_ia_\ell b_j + b_km_{[i,\ell-1]}a_ja_\ell b_i$, which has leading term $-b_km_{[k,\ell-1]}a_ia_\ell b_j$. This leading term is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_{ij})$ so
\[
S(f_{ij}, f_{\ell k}) \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} S(f_{ij}, f_{\ell k}) - (a_ib_j)f_{\ell k} = b_km_{[i,\ell-1]}a_ja_\ell b_i - a_\ell a_jb_k.
\]
The leading term of the right hand side is $b_km_{[i,\ell-1]}a_ja_\ell b_i$, which is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_{ij})$, and thus
\[
S(f_{ij}, f_{\ell k}) \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} b_km_{[i,\ell-1]}a_ja_\ell b_i - a_\ell a_jb_k \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} 0.
\]
The next four cases in Proposition 4.23 are very similar, and are summarised by
\[
\begin{align*}
S(f_{ij}, f_{kl}) &\overset{f_{jk}}{\to} b_km_{[k,k-1]}a_\ell a_k b_i - a_\ell b_\ell a_k b_k \overset{f_{jk}}{\to} 0 & \text{if } i < k < j < \ell \\
S(f_{ij}, f_{\ell k}) &\overset{f_{ik}}{\to} 0 & \text{if } i < k < j < \ell \\
S(f_{ij}, f_{k\ell}) &\overset{f_{ik}}{\to} 0 & \text{if } i < k < j < \ell \\
S(f_{ij}, f_{k\ell}) &\overset{f_{ik}}{\to} -a_\ell b_\ell a_k b_k + a_\ell b_\ell b_{\ell k}m_{[\ell,j-1]}a_j \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} 0 & \text{if } i < k < \ell < j.
\end{align*}
\]
Furthermore, the final case $S(f_{ij}, f) = -u_ia_\ell b_j + b_km_{[i,j-1]}a_jE$ has leading term $-u_ia_\ell b_j$. This is divisible by $\text{LT}(f)$, and so
\[
S(f_{ij}, f) \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} S(f_{ij}, f) - (a_ib_j)f = b_km_{[i,j-1]}a_jE - a_\ell b_\ell E.
\]
The leading term of the right hand side is $b_km_{[i,j-1]}a_jE$, which is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_{ij})$, and thus
\[
S(f_{ij}, f) \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} b_km_{[i,j-1]}a_jE - a_\ell b_\ell E \overset{f_{ij}}{\to} 0.
\]

Corollary 4.28. $\mathcal{S}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\text{QDet} + (E - u)$.

Proof. Since by Corollary 4.27 all the $S$-polynomials between elements of $\mathcal{S}$ reduce to 0 modulo $\mathcal{S}$, this follows as an immediate consequence of Buchberger’s criterion [B1, §2].
4.5. Recovering the Artin component. For any group $\frac{1}{2}(1,a)$, the quiver of the reconstruction algebra is denoted $Q$. Recall from §3 that $\delta = (1,\ldots,1)$, and further $R := \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(CQ,\delta)]$ carries a natural action of $G := \prod_{Q \in Q_0} C^*$. The following shows that $RG$, which is constructed using only the quiver of the reconstruction algebra, is precisely the Artin component of $\frac{1}{2}(1,a)$.

**Theorem 4.29.** For any group $\frac{1}{2}(1,a)$, there is an isomorphism $RG \cong \frac{C[z]}{QDet(z)}$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.3 there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[z] \twoheadrightarrow RG$. By [S, §4], the kernel of $\varphi$ is a toric ideal $I_M$ of $\mathbb{C}[z]$. By Corollary 4.12, the columns of $K$ are a spanning set $L$ for the kernel $\varphi_2$ so $I_M = (IL : P^\infty)$. By Corollary 4.14, $(IL : P^\infty) = (QDet : P^\infty)$, and further $(QDet : P^\infty) = (QDet : E^\infty)$ by Lemma 4.19. As explained above Definition 4.21, the toric ideal $I_M$ is thus obtained from eliminating $u$ from a Gröbner basis of $QDet + (E - u)$, and thus by Corollary 4.28 by eliminating $u$ from $S$. Therefore, $I_M = (QDet : E^\infty) = S \cap \mathbb{C}[z] = QDet(z)$. \hfill \Box

5. Simultaneous Resolution

In this section, the deformed reconstruction algebra is introduced, and is used to achieve simultaneous resolution.

5.1. The Deformed Reconstruction Algebra. In what follows, write $l_\varphi$ for the number of the vertex associated to the tail of the arrow $k_\varphi$, and set $d_\varphi = l_\varphi - l_{\varphi-1}$. Recall that by convention $k_0 = c_{10}$ and $k_{e-2} = a_{n0}$.

**Definition 5.1.** Given $r,a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r > a > 1$ such that $(r,a) = 1$, and scalars $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_{e-2}}$, write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{e-2})$ with $\lambda_i = (\lambda_{b_i-1}, \ldots, \lambda_{b_i}, \lambda_0)$. Then the deformed reconstruction algebra $A_{r,a,\lambda}$ is defined to be the path algebra of the quiver $Q$ associated to the Hirzebruch–Jung continued fraction expansion of $\frac{r}{a}$, subject to the following relations (which below, we refer to as the step $i$ relations) for all $i$ such that $1 \leq i < e - 2$.

If $d_i = 0$, then

\[ k_iC_{0l_i} - k_{i-1}A_{0l_i-1} = \lambda_{i,1} \]
\[ A_{0l_i-1}k_{i-1} - C_{0l_i}k_i = \lambda_i,0. \]

If $d_i > 0$, then

\[ k_iC_{0l_i} - c_{l_i-1}a_{l_i-1}l_{i-1} = \lambda_{i,1} \]
\[ a_{l_i-1}c_{l_i-1}l_{i-1} - c_{l_i-1}a_{l_i-2}l_{i-1} = \lambda_{i,1} \]
\[ : \]
\[ a_{l_i-1}c_{l_i-1}l_{i-1} - k_{i-1}A_{0l_i-1} = \lambda_{i,1} \]
\[ A_{0l_i-1}k_{i-1} - C_{0l_i}k_i = \lambda_i,0. \]

To simplify, write

\[ \Delta := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\oplus b_{e-2}} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{b_i-1} \lambda_{i,j} = 0, \forall i = 1, \ldots, e - 2 \}. \quad (5.\lambda) \]

Below we will be most interested in the case where the parameters $\lambda$ in Definition 5.1 belongs to $\Delta$. This will correspond to the case $\lambda \cdot \delta = 0$ in [CH], equivalently to the case $t = 0$ in symplectic reflection algebras [EG].

**Remark 5.2.** Let $r > 1$, and $a = 1$, and consider scalars $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2})^{\oplus e-2}$. Then the deformed reconstruction algebra $A_{r,1,\lambda}$ is defined to be the path algebra of the quiver $Q$ for $n = 1, a_1 = r$ in §2.1, subject to the following relations

\[ a_2c_1 - a_1c_2 = \lambda_{1,1} \text{ and } c_1a_2 - c_2a_1 = \lambda_{1,0} \]
\[ k_1c_1 - a_2c_2 = \lambda_{2,1} \text{ and } c_1k_1 - c_2k_2 = \lambda_{2,0} \]
\[ k_{i-1}c_1 - k_{i-2}c_2 = \lambda_{i,1} \text{ and } c_1k_{i-1} - c_2k_{i-2} = \lambda_{i,0} \forall 3 \leq i \leq e - 2. \]
Example 5.3. In the case $\lambda \in \Delta$, the reconstruction algebra of Type $A_{7,3,\lambda}$ associated to $[3,2,2]$ is the path algebra of the quiver in Example 2.1 subject to the relations

$$
k_1c_{01} = c_{10}a_{01} + \lambda_{11} \quad \quad a_{30}c_{03} = c_{32}a_{23} + \lambda_{23} \quad \quad a_{23}c_{32} = c_{21}a_{12} + \lambda_{22}
$$

$$
a_{12}c_{10} = k_1a_{01} + \lambda_{21} \quad \quad a_{01}k_1 = c_{03}a_{30} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{2,j}.
$$

Example 5.4. In the case $\lambda \in \Delta$, the reconstruction algebra of Type $A_{165,107,\lambda}$ associated to $[2,3,2,4,3,2,2]$ is the path algebra of the quiver in Example 2.2 subject to the relations

$$
k_1c_{02} = c_{21}a_{12} + \lambda_{12} \quad \quad k_3c_{04} = k_2a_{40} + \lambda_{31} \quad \quad a_{70}c_{07} = c_{76}a_{67} + \lambda_{53}
$$

$$
a_{12}c_{10} = c_{10}a_{01} + \lambda_{11} \quad \quad A_{04}k_2 = C_{04}k_3 - \lambda_{31} \quad \quad a_{67}c_{76} = c_{65}a_{56} + \lambda_{52}
$$

$$
 a_{01}c_{10} = C_0a_{k1} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{1,j} \quad \quad a_{56}c_{65} = k_4A_{05} + \lambda_{51} \quad \quad A_{05}k_4 = c_{07}a_{70} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{5,j}.
$$

$$
k_2c_{04} = C_{43}a_{34} + \lambda_{23} \quad \quad a_{45}c_{54} = k_4a_{30} + \lambda_{41} \quad \quad k_4c_{05} = c_{54}a_{45} + \lambda_{12} \quad \quad A_{05}k_4 = c_{07}a_{70} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{5,j}.
$$

$$
a_{34}c_{43} = c_{32}a_{23} + \lambda_{22} \quad \quad a_{04}c_{10} = C_0a_{k3} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{4,j} \quad \quad A_{04}k_3 = C_{05}k_4 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{4,j}
$$

$$
a_{23}c_{32} = k_1A_{02} + \lambda_{21} \quad \quad a_{23}c_{23} = k_1a_{02} + \lambda_{21} \quad \quad A_{02}k_1 = C_0a_{k2} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{2,j}
$$

5.2. Moduli of Deformed Reconstruction Algebras. With respect to the ordering of the vertices as in Section 2, fix for the rest of this paper the dimension vector $\delta = (1,1,\ldots,1)$, and fix the generic King stability condition $\theta = (-n,1,\ldots,1)$. Recall that

$$\Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)/\delta \GL := \Proj \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[\Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)]^G \delta^n \right).$$

Remark 5.5. If $\lambda \notin \Delta$, then $\Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta) = \emptyset$. Indeed, given $\lambda \notin \Delta$, some $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_{i,j} \neq 0$. Now if $M \in \Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)$, then its linear maps between vertices are scalars, which have to satisfy the relations for $A_{r,a,\lambda}$. Now scalars commute, and thus summing the step $i$ relations gives $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \lambda_{i,j} = 0$, which is a contradiction. This is why below we always assume that $\lambda \in \Delta$.

Definition 5.6. Let $\lambda \in \Delta$, and $a > 1$. For $0 \leq t \leq n$, define the open set $W_t$ in $\Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)/\delta \GL$ as follows: $W_0$ is defined by the condition $k_{01} \neq 0$, $W_n$ by the condition $A_{0n} \neq 0$, and for $1 \leq t \leq n - 1$, $W_t$ is defined by the conditions $C_{0t} \neq 0$ and $A_{0t} \neq 0$. In the degenerate case when $a = 1$, define the open set $W_1$ by the condition $a_{11} \neq 0$, and $W_2$ by the condition $a_{22} \neq 0$.

As in [W1, 4.3], $\{W_t \mid 0 \leq t \leq n\}$ forms an open cover of $\Rep(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)/\delta \GL$.

Proposition 5.7. For any $A_{r,a,\lambda}$ with $a > 1$ and $\lambda \in \Delta$, the following statements hold

1. Each representation in $W_0$ is determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.
2. Each representation in $W_t$ is determined by $(c_{t+11}, a_{tt+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.
3. Each representation in $W_n$ is determined by $(c_{nn}, a_{nn}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Thus every open set $W_t$ in the cover is just affine space $k^2$.

Proof. (1) As in [W1, 4.3], we can set $c_{0n} = c_{nn-1} = \ldots = c_{21} = 1$. First, consider the Step 1 relations.

If $d_1 = 0$, then the relations become

$$
k_1 - c_{10}a_{01} = \lambda_{11} \quad \quad a_{01}c_{10} - k_1 = -\lambda_{1,1}.$$
Since $a_{01}, c_{10}, k_1$ are scalars, the bottom follows from the top and $k_1$ is in terms of $(c_{10}, a_{01})$ with no further relations between $c_{10}$ and $a_{01}$. If $d_1 > 0$, then

$$
k_1 - a_{l_1 - 1}l_1 = \lambda_{l_1}b_{l_1 - 1}
$$
$$
a_{l_1 - 1}l_1 - a_{l_1 - 2}l_1 - 1 = \lambda_{l_1}b_{l_1 - 2}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$
$$
a_{12} - c_{10}a_{01} = \lambda_{l_1}
$$
$$
a_{10}c_{10} - k_1 = -\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{l_1 - 1}} \lambda_{l_1,j}.
$$

The last relation follows by summing the other relations. It is furthermore clear that $k_1$ and all the anticlockwise arrows between vertex 1 and $l_1$ are determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01})$.

By induction, we can assume that all the anticlockwise arrows between vertex 0 and $l_i$ are determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01})$, as are $k_1, \ldots, k_i$ and furthermore the Step 1, \ldots, $i$ relations hold with no further relations between $c_{10}$ and $a_{01}$.

We next establish the induction step, by considering the Step $i + 1$ relations. If $d_{i+1} = 0$ then the Step $i + 1$ relations become

$$
k_{i+1} - k_i A_{0l_i} = \lambda_{i+1,1}
$$
$$
A_{0l_i} k_i - k_{i+1} = -\lambda_{i+1,1}.
$$

The bottom comes from the top and $k_{i+1}$ is in terms of $A_{0l_i}$ and $k_i$, which by induction are determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01})$. If $d_{i+1} > 0$, then

$$
k_{i+1} - a_{l_{i+1} - 1}l_{i+1} = \lambda_{l_{i+1}}b_{l_{i+1} - 1}
$$
$$
a_{l_{i+1} - 1}l_{i+1} - a_{l_{i+1} - 2}l_{i+1} - 1 = \lambda_{l_{i+1}}b_{l_{i+1} - 2}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$
$$
a_{l_1,l_{i+1}} - k_i A_{0l_i} = \lambda_{i+1,1}
$$
$$
A_{0l_i} k_i - k_{i+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{l_{i+1} - 1}} \lambda_{i+1,j}.
$$

The last relation follows by summing the other relations. It is furthermore clear that $k_{i+1}$ and all the anticlockwise arrows between vertex $l_i$ and $l_{i+1}$ are determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01})$. Thus by induction, all arrows are determined by $(c_{10}, a_{01}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

(2) As in [W1, 4.3], we can set $c_{0n} = \ldots = c_{t+2t+1} = 1 = a_{01} = \ldots = a_{t-t}$ and show that all the arrows are determined by $(c_{t+1}, a_{t+1})$. Let $s - 1 := \max\{j \mid l_j \leq l\}$, and $s := \min\{j \mid l_j \geq t + 1\}$. We start with the anticlockwise direction from vertex $l_s$ to vertex 0, and then clockwise from vertex $l_{s-1}$ to vertex 0 in the diagram below.
First consider the Step $s$ relations. We claim that $k_{s-1}$, $k_s$ and all the arrows in between $l_{s-1}$ and $l_s$ are determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$. Since $d_s > 0$, the relations become

$$k_s - a_{l_{s-1}l_s} = \lambda_s, \beta_{s-1}$$
$$a_{l_{s-1}l_s} - a_{l_s-2l_{s-1}} = \lambda_s, \beta_{s-2}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_{t+1t+2} - c_{t+1t}a_{tt+1} = \lambda_{s,(t+1)-t_{s-1}+1}$$
$$a_{tt+1c_{t+1t}} - a_{tt-1} = \lambda_{s,(t+1)-t_{s-1}}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_{l_{s-1}+2l_{s-1}+1} - a_{l_{s-1}+1l_{s-1}} = \lambda_{s,2}$$
$$a_{l_{s-1}+1l_{s-1}} - k_{s-1} = \lambda_{s,1}$$
$$k_{s-1} - k_s = - \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{s-1}} \lambda_{s,j}.$$

The last relation follows by summing the other relations. It is furthermore clear that $k_s$, $k_{s-1}$ with all the anticlockwise and clockwise arrows between vertex $l_s$ and $l_{s-1}$ are determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$, and there are no additional relations between $c_{t+1t}$ and $a_{tt+1}$.

**Anticlockwise.** Hence by induction, we can assume that all the anticlockwise arrows between vertex $l_s$ and $l_{s-1}$ are determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$, as are $k_s$, $k_{s-1}$, and furthermore the Step $s, \ldots, p$ relations hold with no further relations between $c_{t+1t}$ and $a_{tt+1}$.

We next establish the induction step, by considering the Step $p + 1$ relations. If $d_{p+1} = 0$, then the relations become

$$k_{p+1} - k_p a_{lp} = \lambda_{p+1,1}$$
$$A_{lp} k_p - k_{p+1} = -\lambda_{p+1,1}.$$

and therefore $k_{p+1}$ can be determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$. If $d_{p+1} > 0$, then

$$k_{p+1} - a_{l_{p+1-1}l_{p+1}} = \lambda_{p+1,\beta_{p+1-1}}$$
$$a_{l_{p+1-1}l_{p+1}} - a_{l_{p+1-2}l_{p+1-1}} = \lambda_{p+1,\beta_{p+1-2}}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_{lp_{p+1}} - k_p A_{lp} = \lambda_{p+1,1}$$
$$A_{lp} k_p - k_{p+1} = - \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{p+1-1}} \lambda_{p+1,j}.$$

The last relation follows by summing the other relations. It is furthermore clear that $k_{p+1}$ and all the anticlockwise arrows between vertex $l_p$ and $l_{p+1}$ are determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$, and there are no additional relations between $c_{t+1t}$ and $a_{tt+1}$.

**Clockwise.** Similar to the above, we can assume by induction that all the clockwise arrows between vertex $l_{s-1}$ and $l_{s-1}$ are determined by $(c_{t+1t}, a_{tt+1})$, as are $k_{s-1}$, $k_{s-2}$ and furthermore the Step $q, \ldots, s$ relations hold with no further relations between $c_{t+1t}$ and $a_{tt+1}$.

We then establish the induction step, by considering the Step $q - 1$ relations. If $d_{q-1} = 0$, then the relations become

$$k_{q-1} C_{0q_{q-1}} - k_{q-2} = \lambda_{q-1,1}$$
$$k_{q-2} - C_{0q_{q-1}} k_{q-1} = -\lambda_{q-1,1}.$$
and therefore \( k_{q-2} \) can be determined by \((c_{t+1}, a_{tt+1})\). If \( d_{q-1} > 0 \), then
\[
k_{q-1}c_{l_{q-1}} - c_{q-1}l_{q-1} - 1 = \lambda_{q-1, \beta_{q-1} - 1} \\
c_{l_{q-1}l_{q-1} - 1} - c_{l_{q-1}l_{q-1} - 2} = \lambda_{q-1, \beta_{q-1} - 2} \\
\vdots \\
c_{l_{q-2} + 1l_{q-2}} - k_{q-2} = \lambda_{q-1, 1} \\
k_{q-2} - C(l_{q-1}, k_{q-1}) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{q-1} - 1} \lambda_{q-1, j}.
\]
The last relation follows by summing the other relations. It is furthermore clear that \( k_{q-2} \) and all the clockwise arrows between vertex \( l_{q-1} \) and \( l_{q-2} \) are determined by \((c_{t+1}, a_{tt+1})\).

(3) The proof for \( W_n \) is very similar to \( W_0 \) but instead starts at the Step \( e-2 \) relations and work backwards to the Step 1 relations. Thus by induction, all arrows are determined by \((c_{t+1}, a_{tt+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2\). \(\square\)

**Remark 5.8.** In the degenerate case when \( a = 1 \), a similar proof of Proposition 5.7 shows that each representation in \( W_1 \) is determined by \((c_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2\), whilst each representation in \( W_2 \) is determined by \((c_1, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2\). Again, even in the degenerate case \( a = 1 \), each open set \( W_i \) in the open cover is just affine space \( \mathbb{A}^k \).

**Corollary 5.9.** For any \( A_{r, \alpha, \lambda}, \) for the fixed \( \delta = (-n, 1, \ldots, 1) \),
\[
\text{Rep}(A_{r, \alpha, \lambda}, \delta)/\text{GL} \to \text{Rep}(A_{r, \alpha, \lambda}, \delta)/\text{GL}
\]
is a resolution of singularities.

**Proof.** The morphism is projective birational by construction, and the fact that the variety \( \text{Rep}(A_{r, \alpha, \lambda}, \delta)/\text{GL} \) is regular follows from Proposition 5.7, since each chart \( W_i \) in the open cover is regular. \(\square\)

5.3. **Simultaneous Resolution.** Write \((\alpha_0, 0, \alpha_1, 0, \ldots, \alpha_1, \beta_{1-1}, \ldots, \alpha_{e-1}, 0)\) for the point in \( \text{Spec} \left( \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{Q_{\text{Det}(z)}} \right) \) corresponding to the maximal ideal \((z_0, 0 - \alpha_0, 0, \ldots, z_{e-1}, 0 - \alpha_{e-1}, 0)\). Let \( Q \) be the quiver of the reconstruction algebra, and consider the map
\[
\pi: \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{Q_{\text{Det}(z)}} \to \Delta,
\]
defined by taking
\[
(\alpha_0, 0, \alpha_1, 0, \ldots, \alpha_1, \beta_{1-1}, \ldots, \alpha_{e-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\alpha_{i, 0} - \alpha_{i, 1}, \alpha_{i, 1} - \alpha_{i, 2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i, \beta_{1-1} - \alpha_{i, 0}})_{i=1}^{e-2}.
\]

**Example 5.10.** For the group \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z}(1, 3) \) as in Example 2.1 and 3.3, the morphism
\[
\text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} \to \Delta
\]
is given by
\[
(\alpha_0, 0, \alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, 1, \alpha_2, 0, \alpha_2, 1, \alpha_2, 2, \alpha_2, 3, \alpha_3, 0) \rightarrow ((\alpha_1, 0 - \alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_1, 0), (\alpha_2, 0 - \alpha_2, 1, \alpha_2, 1 - \alpha_2, 2, \alpha_2, 2 - \alpha_2, 3, \alpha_2, 3 - \alpha_2, 0)).
\]
The fibre above \(((\lambda_{1,1}, \lambda_{1,0}), (\lambda_{2,3}, \lambda_{2,2}, \lambda_{2,1}, \lambda_{2,0})) \in \Delta\) is the zero locus of
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{1,0} - z_{1,1} &= \lambda_{1,1} \\
z_{1,1} - z_{1,0} &= -\lambda_{1,1} \\
z_{2,0} - z_{2,1} &= \lambda_{23} \\
z_{2,1} - z_{2,2} &= \lambda_{22} \\
z_{2,2} - z_{2,3} &= \lambda_{21} \\
z_{2,3} - z_{2,0} &= -\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{22} - \lambda_{23},
\end{align*}
\]
which is \(\text{Rep}(A_{7,3}\lambda, \delta)/\text{GL}\).

**Remark 5.11.** The fibre above a point \(\lambda \in \Delta\) is precisely \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)/\text{GL}\). Indeed, the fibre above \(\lambda \in \Delta\) is the zero locus of
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{i,0} - z_{i,1} &= \lambda_{i,\beta_i-1} \\
z_{i,1} - z_{i,2} &= \lambda_{i,\beta_i-2} \\
&\vdots \\
z_{i,\beta_i-1} - z_{i,0} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_i-1} \lambda_{i,j}
\end{align*}
\]
for all \(i\) such that \(1 \leq i \leq e-2\). By (3.A) and Definition 5.1, this equals \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\lambda}, \delta)/\text{GL}\).

**Theorem 5.12.** The diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} & \longrightarrow & \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\Delta & \rightarrow & \\
\end{array}
\]
is a simultaneous resolution of singularities in the sense that the morphism \(\phi\) is smooth, and \(\pi\) is flat.

**Proof.** Write \(\phi\) for the composition
\[
\begin{align*}
Y = \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} & \rightarrow \text{Rep}(CQ, \delta)/\text{GL} \\
& \rightarrow \Delta.
\end{align*}
\]
We first claim that \(\phi\) is flat. Since (1) \(\Delta\) is regular, (2) \(Y\) is regular (so Cohen-Macaulay) since \(CQ\) is free, so the analogue of the open charts \(W_i\) in Definition 5.6 are clearly all affine spaces, (3) \(\mathbb{C}\) is algebraically closed so \(\phi\) takes closed points of \(Y\) to closed points of \(\Delta\), and (4) for every closed point \(\lambda \in \Delta\), for the same reason as in Remark 5.11 the fibre \(\phi^{-1}(\lambda)\) is \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\lambda, \delta}/\text{GL}\), which is always two-dimensional by Proposition 5.7, it follows from [M, Corollary to 23.1] that \(\phi\) is flat.

Now as in [L, 3.35] to show that \(\phi\) is smooth, we just require smoothness (equivalently regularity, as we are working over \(\mathbb{C}\)) at closed points of fibres above closed points \(\lambda \in \Delta\). But as above \(\phi^{-1}(\lambda)\) is \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\lambda, \delta})/\text{GL}\), which is regular at all closed points by Proposition 5.7. Thus \(\phi\) is a smooth morphism, as required.

Finally, the above can be adapted to show that \(\pi\) is flat. We have that \(\pi^{-1}(\lambda)\) is \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\lambda, \delta})/\text{GL}\), which is always two-dimensional as a consequence of the resolution of its singularities computed in Proposition 5.7. Thus we can still appeal to [M, Corollary to 23.1].

**Remark 5.13.** The choice of \(\vartheta = (-n, 1, \ldots, 1)\) is important. For Kleinian singularities, it is possible to use any generic stability [CS]. In the more general setting here, other stability parameters do not give simultaneous resolution on the nose, as the following Example demonstrates.

**Example 5.14.** Consider the group \(\frac{1}{2}(1,1)\), with the generic stability condition \(\vartheta_2 = (1, -1)\) and the dimension vector \((1,1)\). Then \(\text{Rep}(A_{r,a,\vartheta}/\text{GL})\) is covered by three affine charts, namely \(U_0 = (a_1 \neq 0)\), \(U_1 = (a_2 \neq 0)\), and \(U_2 = (k_1 \neq 0)\). If we consider the first chart \(U_0\), we can base change such that \(a_1 = 1\), which gives
subject to relations
\[ c_1 a_2 = c_2 \quad a_2 c_1 = c_2 \]
\[ c_1 k_1 = c_2 a_2 \quad k_1 c_1 = a_2 c_2. \]
This chart is parameterised by the variables \( c_1, a_2, k_1 \), subject to the relation \( c_1 k_1 = c_1 a_2^2 \), i.e. \( c_1 (k_1 - a_2^2) = 0 \), which is singular. Thus the fibre \( \text{Rep}(\mathbb{C}Q, \delta)_{/\partial} \text{GL} \) above the origin of the corresponding \( \phi \) is singular, and so is not a simultaneous resolution.
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