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ABSTRACT

Lithium ion batteries are widely used as energy storage devices in a variety of products such as smartphones, tablets, laptops and other portable electronics. Thanks to their high energy density and cyclability, they are currently being used by and developed for electric vehicles. There is a growing need for cost reduction; increase in energy density; wider operating temperature range; and improved safety characteristics of the batteries.

Organic carbonates are the primary solvents used in lithium-ion battery electrolytes along with electrolyte additives. The reversibility of current lithium-ion batteries is dependent upon the electrolyte used in the batteries. During the initial charging cycles of the cell, a solid electrolyte interface (SEI) is formed by reduction of organic carbonates, electrolyte salts and/or electrolyte additives on the surface of the graphitic anode in lithium-ion batteries. The generation of a stable anode SEI prevents continuous electrolyte reduction on the surface of the anode. The SEI functions as a Li ion conductor but an electrical insulator.

The reduction reactions of the electrolytes on the graphitic anode surface have been investigated for many years and it been proposed to contain a complicated mixture of products including lithium oxalate, lithium alkoxides, and lithium oxide from the carbonate solvents and LiF and lithium fluorophosphates from the reduction of LiPF₆. Similar ambiguity exists about the components of SEI formed from electrolyte additives and other electrolyte salts. Despite the extensive investigations, the structure, formation mechanisms and evolution of the SEI are poorly understood. Understanding the mechanisms of the reduction reactions of organic carbonates, electrolyte salts and
electrolyte additives along with the products of the reactions which result in the generation of the SEI is essential for the development of safer lithium-ion batteries with wider operating temperature range.

Lithium naphthalenide has been investigated as a one electron reducing agent for organic carbonates solvents, some of the most robust additives and salts used in lithium ion battery electrolytes. The reaction precipitates have been analyzed by IR-ATR, XPS and solution NMR spectroscopy. The evolved gases and the volatile components have been analyzed by GC-MS. The reduction products of ethylene carbonate and propylene carbonate are lithium ethylene dicarbonate (LEDC) and ethylene and lithium propylene dicarbonate (LPDC) and propylene, respectively. The reduction products of diethyl and dimethyl carbonate are lithium ethyl carbonate (LEC) and ethane and lithium methyl carbonate (LMC) and methane, respectively. Electrolyte additives, FEC and VC reductively decompose to HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, Li$_2$CO$_3$, and polymerized VC. All the fluorine containing salts generate LiF upon reduction. In addition to LiF, LiBF$_4$ generates Li$_x$B$_y$F$_z$ species; LiBOB and LiDFOB generate lithium oxalate and boron-oxalatoesters; LiPF$_6$ yields LiPF$_2$ species and LiTFSI produces lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethylsulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate.

The poor thermal stability of the SEI layer has been attributed to exothermic reactions between lithium alkyl carbonates and LiPF$_6$. While the relationship between capacity fade and SEI instability is clear, and there have been some investigations of SEI component evolution, the mechanism of SEI component decomposition is complicated by the presence of many different components. The thermal stability of Li$_2$CO$_3$, LMC, and LEDC in the presence of LiPF$_6$ in dimethyl carbonate (DMC), a
common salt and solvent, respectively, in lithium ion battery electrolytes, has been investigated to afford a better understanding of the evolution of the SEI. The residual solids from the reaction mixtures have been characterized by a combination of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR), while the solution and evolved gases have been investigated by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and gas chromatography with mass selective detection (GC-MS). The thermal decomposition of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and LiPF$_6$ in DMC yields CO$_2$, LiF, and F$_2$PO$_2$Li. The thermal decomposition of LMC and LEDC with LiPF$_6$ in DMC results in the generation of a complicated mixture including CO$_2$, LiF, ethers, phosphates, and fluorophosphates.
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CHAPTER 1 - BACKGROUND

INTRODUCTION TO LITHIUM ION BATTERIES

A battery is constituted by several electrochemical cells connected in series or parallel. A cell is composed of an anode, a cathode, and an electrolyte. Primary electrochemical cells can convert chemical energy into electrical energy, whereas secondary electrochemical cells can perform reversible chemical/electrical energy conversion in both directions. A variety of consumer electronics, ignition systems, hybrid/electric vehicles utilize secondary batteries for energy storage. The free energy change of the net cell reaction matches the electrical energy generated or consumed by the cell. In other words, the amount of electrical energy stored per kg of the battery depends on the cell potential (V) and the specific capacity (A h kg$^{-1}$), which in turn depends on the cell chemistry.

Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) currently outperform other commercial secondary battery systems, due to their high energy density and long cycle life. Average energy density of a typical lithium battery ranges around 150 Whkg$^{-1}$, with the nominal voltage of 3.7 V, much higher than other commercial secondary battery systems: nickel-metal hydride batteries, ~ 75 Whkg$^{-1}$, 1.2 V; nickel-cadmium batteries, ~ 50 Whkg$^{-1}$, 1.2 V; and lead-acid batteries, ~ 25 Whkg$^{-1}$, 2.1 V. Lithium ion batteries are currently used in consumer electronics, hybrid electric vehicles and developed for grid storage and electric vehicles. Despite the growing dominance as the energy storage technology, LIBs face challenges in cost reduction; increasing energy density; widening the operating temperature range; and improving the safety characteristics.
COMPONENTS OF A TYPICAL LITHIUM ION BATTERY

LIBs are composed of an anode, graphitic carbon or silicon-carbon composite coated on a copper current collector; a cathode, lithium transition metal oxide coated on an aluminum current collector; a separator, porous polypropylene/polyethylene or ceramic-polymer blends; and an electrolyte solution, LiPF$_6$ dissolved in 3/7 v/v mix of ethylene carbonate and ethylmethyl carbonate along with a cocktail of proprietary additives. Active materials on anode and cathode are generally porous and contain a few percent of binders, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose/ styrene butadiene rubber or polyvinylidene fluoride; and conductive carbon, super C.

Common anode active materials are natural graphite, mesocarbon microbeads, and silicon-carbon composite materials and common cathode active materials are LiCoO$_2$, LiNi$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$O$_2$, and LiFePO$_4$. The active materials are responsible for the reversible electrical/chemical energy conversion. Representative half/full reactions are displayed below. New materials are constantly explored and optimized to improve both the cell voltage and energy density.\(^2\)

\[
\text{Anode} \quad \text{Li}_x\text{C}_6 \underset{\text{charge}}{\overset{\text{discharge}}{\leftrightarrow}} \quad x \text{Li}^+ + x \text{e}^- + \text{C}_6
\]

\[
\text{Cathode} \quad x \text{Li}^+ + x \text{e}^- + \text{Li}_{1-x}\text{MO}_2 \underset{\text{charge}}{\overset{\text{discharge}}{\leftrightarrow}} \quad \text{LiMO}_2
\]

\[
\text{Total reaction} \quad \text{Li}_x\text{C}_6 + \text{Li}_{1-x}\text{MO}_2 \underset{\text{charge}}{\overset{\text{discharge}}{\leftrightarrow}} \quad \text{C}_6 + \text{LiMO}_2
\]
Both anode and cathode active materials are porous to improve the rate performance. Binders ensure the mechanical integrity of the active materials and the conductive carbon improves the electronic contact of the active materials with the current collectors. The separator electronically insulates the cathode and anode from short circuit, while facilitating sufficient ionic conductivity. Organic carbonate based electrolytes with LiPF$_6$ exhibit large electrochemical windows compatible with the working potential of the anode/ cathode and conduct lithium ions at high rates.\textsuperscript{3}

**STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND PROPOSED SOLUTIONS**

Organic carbonates are the primary solvents used in lithium-ion battery electrolytes along with electrolyte additives. The reversibility of current lithium-ion batteries is dependent upon the electrolyte used in the batteries.\textsuperscript{4} During the initial charging cycles of the cell a solid electrolyte interface (SEI) is formed by reduction of organic carbonates, electrolyte salts and/or electrolyte additives on the surface of the graphitic anode in lithium-ion batteries. The generation of a stable anode SEI prevents continuous electrolyte reduction on the surface of the anode. The SEI functions as a Li ion conductor but an electrical insulator.\textsuperscript{3}

The reduction reactions of carbonates on the graphitic anode surface have been investigated for many years. Initially a single two electron reduction mechanism of propylene carbonate to generate Li$_2$CO$_3$ and propylene was proposed,\textsuperscript{5} later Aurbach and co-workers proposed two sequential one electron reduction reactions of cyclic carbonates to generate lithium alkyl carbonates and alkenes.\textsuperscript{6} Numerous other researchers have investigated the composition of the SEI on graphitic anodes in lithium ion batteries.\textsuperscript{7-17} In addition to lithium alkyl carbonates and lithium carbonate, the SEI
has been proposed to contain a complicated mixture of products including lithium oxalate, lithium alkoxides, and lithium oxide from the carbonate solvents and LiF and lithium fluorophosphates from the reduction of LiPF$_6$. Similar ambiguity exists about the components of SEI formed from electrolyte additives and other electrolyte salts. Capacity fade at elevated temperature is connected to the exothermic reactions between lithium alkyl carbonates and LiPF$_6$. While the relationship between capacity fade and SEI instability is clear, the characterization of SEI component decomposition is complicated by the presence of many different components. Despite the extensive investigations, the structure, formation mechanisms, and the evolution of the SEI are poorly understood. Mechanistic understanding of the formation and evolution of the SEI components is essential for the development of safer lithium-ion batteries with wider operating temperature range.

The research presented in this thesis focuses on investigating lithium naphthalenide, a well-known one electron reducing agent, as a model compound for the lithiated graphite surface. Various carbonate solvents, electrolyte salts, and additives have been reduced with lithium naphthalenide, generating SEI components in large-scale. Ability to generate the SEI components from isolated sources and in large scale facilitate robust characterization and deduction of formation mechanisms. Large-scale decomposition of three major SEI components (lithium carbonate, lithium methyl carbonate, and lithium ethylene dicarbonate) in isolation with simplified electrolyte at elevated temperature is investigated. The products are analyzed by a combination of solution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy and Infra-Red spectroscopy with Attenuated Total Reflectance (IR-ATR), X-ray Photoelectron
Spectroscopy (XPS) and Gas Chromatography with Mass Selective detection (GC-MS). The results provide significant insights into the formation and decomposition mechanism of the anode SEI.
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CHAPTER 2 - REDUCTION REACTIONS OF CARBONATE SOLVENTS FOR LITHIUM ION BATTERIES

Daniel M. Seo, Dinesh Chalasani, Bharathy S. Parimalam, Rahul Kadam, Mengyun Nie and Brett L. Lucht

Department of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881

The following is published in the ECS Electrochemistry Letters, and is presented here in manuscript format.
ABSTRACT

Lithium naphthalenide has been investigated as a one electron reducing agent for organic carbonates solvents used in lithium ion battery electrolytes. The reaction precipitates have been analyzed by IR-ATR and solution NMR spectroscopy and the evolved gases have been analyzed by GC-MS. The reduction products of ethylene carbonate and propylene carbonate are lithium ethylene dicarbonate and ethylene and lithium propylene dicarbonate and propylene, respectively. The reduction products of diethyl and dimethyl carbonate are lithium ethyl carbonate and ethane and lithium methyl carbonate and methane, respectively. Lithium carbonate is not observed as a reduction product.
INTRODUCTION

Organic carbonates are the primary solvents used in lithium-ion battery electrolytes. The reversibility of current lithium-ion batteries is dependent upon the electrolyte used in the batteries.\(^1\) During the initial charging cycles of the cell a solid electrolyte interface (SEI) is formed by reduction of organic carbonates on the surface of the graphitic anode in lithium-ion batteries. The generation of a stable anode SEI prevents continuous electrolyte reduction on the surface of the anode. The SEI functions as a Li ion conductor but an electrical insulator.\(^2\) Understanding the mechanisms of the reduction reactions of organic carbonates along with the products of the reactions which result in the generation of the SEI is essential for the development of better lithium-ion batteries.

The reduction reactions of carbonates on the graphitic anode surface have been investigated for many years. Initially a single two electron reduction mechanism of propylene carbonate to generate \(\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3\) and propylene was proposed,\(^3\) later Aurbach and co-workers proposed two sequential one electron reduction reactions of cyclic carbonates to generate lithium alkyl carbonates and alkenes.\(^4\) Numerous other researchers have investigated the composition of the SEI on graphitic anodes in lithium ion batteries.\(^5-15\) In addition to lithium alkyl carbonates and lithium carbonate, the SEI has been proposed to contain a complicated mixture of products including lithium oxalate, lithium alkoxides, and lithium oxide from the carbonate solvents and LiF and lithium fluorophosphates from the reduction of \(\text{LiPF}_6\).\(^5-15\) Despite the extensive investigations, the structure and formation mechanisms of the SEI are poorly understood.
A detailed analysis of binder free graphitic anodes cycled in simplified electrolytes composed of a single carbonate solvent and LiPF₆ has been reported.¹⁶,¹⁷ These investigations suggest that the initial reduction reaction of the carbonates generate lithium alkyl carbonates and LiF as the predominant components of the anode SEI. As an expansion of these investigations, lithium naphthalenide, a well-known one electron reducing agent, has been investigated as a model compound for the lithiated graphite surface. Various carbonate solvents including ethylene carbonate (EC), propylene carbonate (PC), diethyl carbonate (DEC), and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) have been reduced with lithium naphthalenide. All reactions result in precipitation and gas evolution. The precipitates have been analyzed by solution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy and Infra-Red spectroscopy with Attenuated Total Reflectance (IR-ATR). The evolved gasses have been analyzed by Gas Chromatography with Mass Selective detection (GC-MS). The results provide insight into the formation mechanism and structure of the anode SEI.

EXPERIMENTAL

All reagents were used without further purification. Reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Battery grade EC, PC, DMC and DEC are obtained from BASF. All the reactions and purifications are performed in a nitrogen filled glovebox. The Li-naphthalenide reduction reactions with carbonate solvents utilizes procedures as previously reported.¹⁶ The solids were dissolved in deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99.96 % from sealed vial) in an Ar glovebox and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the solutions were acquired on a Bruker 300 MHz spectrometer. Residual H₂O is used for a reference at 4.8 ppm. The Infrared (IR-ATR) spectra of the solids were acquired in
attenuated total reflection mode on a Bruker tensor 27 instrument equipped with germanium crystal. The gas analysis is performed by evacuating the head space of the reaction flask. The evolved gases are analyzed using a 2.5 mL gas tight GC syringe on Thermo trace GC-Ultra equipped with mass selective detector-ISQ. The mass spectra were compared with NIST library.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NMR Spectra Of Precipitates

The molecular structures of the precipitates formed in the reaction between lithium naphthalenide and various carbonates are analyzed via a combination of $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR and IR-ATR spectroscopy. As previously reported, the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reduction product of EC contains a singlet at 3.6 ppm characteristic of ($\text{-OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}$-) and peaks at 62.5 and 161.1 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum, characteristic of ($\text{-CH}_2\text{O}$-) and a C=O, respectively (Figure 2.1). The resonances match those previously reported for lithium ethylene dicarbonate (LEDC). The reaction produces LEDC in high yield ~95 %, and no other products are observed. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the precipitate formed by the reaction of lithium naphthalenide with PC is provided in Figure 2.1. The $^1$H NMR spectrum contains a doublet at 1.1 ppm characteristic of a CH$_3$ coupled to a single proton and multiplet at 3.4 ppm characteristic of a CH$_2$ and a second multiplet at 3.8 ppm characteristic of a CH. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum contains four peaks located at 18.7, 67.3, 68.6 and 163.5 ppm which are characteristic of a CH$_3$, CH$_2$, CH and C=O, respectively. The peaks are consistent with those previously reported for independently prepared lithium propylene dicarbonate (LPDC). The LPDC is isolated in a similarly high yield ~75 %.
In addition to analysis of the reduction products of cyclic carbonates, the reduction of dialkyl carbonates has been investigated. The $^1$H NMR spectra of the reaction product of the Lithium naphthalenide reduction of DMC is provided in Figure 2.1. A single $^1$H NMR signal is observed at 3.3 ppm consistent with OCH$_3$. The corresponding $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (Figure 2.1) contains two signals at 48.5 and 159.9 ppm characteristic of OCH$_3$ and C=O, respectively. The spectra match those previously reported for lithium methyl carbonate (LMC). The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the precipitate formed in reaction between Lithium naphthalenide and DEC contains a triplet at 1.1 ppm and a quartet at 3.5 ppm characteristic of OCH$_2$CH$_3$ (Figure 2.1), while the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum contains three resonances at 18.73, 69.04 and 154.26 ppm consistent with the presence of OCH$_2$CH$_3$ and C=O. Again, the spectra match those previously reported for lithium ethyl carbonate (LEC).

The NMR spectra of the carbonate reduction products also contain residual solvent, THF or Et$_2$O, from the reaction and purification process. While, complete removal of the solvent and increasing the purity of the lithium alkyl carbonates, has been attempted, the lithium alkyl carbonates have poor stability under the purification conditions and decompose to generate Li$_2$CO$_3$ and lithium alkoxides. This is primarily evidenced by the loss of the C=O peaks of the lithium alkyl carbonates (154-163 ppm) and the appearance of the Li$_2$CO$_3$ at 168.1 ppm. Interestingly, in initial reduction product exclusively contains the lithium alkyl carbonates with no observed lithium carbonate. This suggests that the observation of Li$_2$CO$_3$ on the surface of graphite anodes in lithium ion batteries results from the thermal, Lewis base catalyzed, or Lewis acid catalyzed decomposition of the initially formed lithium alkyl carbonates. It is also
surprising, that despite the sensitivity to decomposition, when lithium alkyl carbonates are dissolved in D$_2$O freshly opened from a sealed ampoule in an Argon filled glove box and stored in a sealed NMR tube, the lithium alkyl carbonates are stable for several days. This suggests that the lithium alkyl carbonates are sensitive to trace Lewis basic or Lewis acidic impurities which initiate decomposition, but relatively stable in pure oxygen free water.

*FTIR Analysis of Precipitates*

FTIR spectra of the precipitates formed during the lithium naphthalenide reduction of carbonate solvents are provided in Figure 2.2. All of the IR spectra contain an absorption at ~1650 cm$^{-1}$ characteristic of LiOCO$_2$R in lithium alkyl carbonates. The remaining spectral features of the products match the independently prepared lithium alkyl carbonates, (LEDC, LPDC, LMC, and LEC), as previously reported.$^7$ In addition to the peaks characteristic of lithium alkyl carbonates, a weak absorption is observed at ~1450 cm$^{-1}$ characteristic of Li$_2$CO$_3$. While there appears to be some Li$_2$CO$_3$ present in all spectra, lithium alkyl carbonates decompose to form Li$_2$CO$_3$, as discussed above. Thus, the thermal, Lewis acid, or Lewis Base catalyzed decomposition of lithium alkyl carbonates is the most likely source of Li$_2$CO$_3$ since Li$_2$CO$_3$ is not observed in the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of fresh reduction products. In addition, all of the samples have increases in the intensity of the absorption of Li$_2$CO$_3$ upon exposure to air.

*GC-MS ANALYSIS OF GASES*

Upon reaction of lithium naphthalenide with carbonates, significant gas evolution is observed. The gases evolved during reaction were analyzed by GC-MS. Analysis of the evolved gas during the reduction of EC confirms that the gas produced
is ethylene. Reaction of PC results in similar gas evolution and the gaseous product is propylene. The two cyclic carbonates have very similar reaction mechanisms which are consistent with those originally proposed by Aurbach and co-workers. The reduction follows two sequential single electron transfer reactions (Scheme 2.1). The first electron generates a radical anion, the second electron generates lithium alkylene dicarbonates and an alkene.

Related gas evolution was observed for the dialkyl carbonates DMC and DEC. However, instead of observing alkenes as the gaseous reduction products, alkanes are observed. The observed gaseous products are methane and ethane for DMC and DEC, respectively. The reduction reaction of the dialkyl carbonates is related to the cyclic carbonates (Scheme 2.1). The first electron generates a lithium alkyl carbonate and an alkyl radical. The next step of the reaction is unclear. The alkyl radical may abstract H or a second electron could result in the generation of a carbanion (R:−) which reacts with residual acidic species to yield an alkane. All of the observed gasses have been previously characterized as gasses evolved during the initial formation cycles of lithium ion batteries.

CONCLUSIONS

A combination of NMR and IR spectral data of the reaction precipitates and the GC-MS analysis of the gaseous products affords the development of reduction mechanisms for the cyclic and dialkyl carbonates (Scheme 2.1). The reduction reactions of the cyclic carbonates result in the generation of lithium alkylene dicarbonates and an alkene while the reduction reactions of dialkyl carbonates generate lithium alkyl carbonates and an alkane. The mechanisms are consistent with those proposed by
Aurbach and co-workers. There is no evidence for the generation of either Li$_2$CO$_3$ or CO$_2$ during the initial reduction reactions. It is well known that the anode SEI changes upon additional cycling and thermal abuse. One component of these changes is likely the thermal, Lewis acid or Lewis base catalyzed decomposition of lithium alkyl carbonates. Significant efforts were expended attempting to investigate the thermal decomposition mechanisms of lithium alkyl carbonates prepared via lithium naphthalenide reduction reactions. Unfortunately, due to the extreme sensitivity of the lithium alkyl carbonates to trace Lewis acidic or Lewis basic impurities, a systematically investigation of the decomposition mechanism was precluded. The thermal, base, or acid catalyzed decomposition of lithium alkyl carbonates results in the generation of Li$_2$CO$_3$, CO$_2$, and lithium alkoxides. These decomposition reactions occur within lithium ion cells upon additional cycling especially at elevated temperature or in the presence of trace water. However, the decomposition reactions can also occur during ex-situ analysis of cycled electrodes.
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Scheme 2.1 Reduction mechanisms of EC, PC, DMC and DEC.
Figure 2.1. $^1$H NMR (top) and $^{13}$C NMR (bottom) spectra of precipitates from the reaction of lithium naphthalenide with carbonate solvents: (a) EC, (b) PC, (c) DMC and (d) DEC. (asterisk mark ‘*’ indicates main product.)
Figure 2.2. FTIR spectra of the precipitates of the lithium naphthalenide reduction of carbonates.
CHAPTER 3 - FLUOROETHYLENE CARBONATE AND VINYLENE CARBONATE REDUCTION: UNDERSTANDING LITHIUM-ION BATTERY ELECTROLYTE ADDITIVES AND SOLID ELECTROLYTE INTERPHASE FORMATION

Alison L. Michan,† Bharathy. S. Parimalam,‡ Michal Leskes,† Rachel N. Kerber,†
Taeho Yoon,‡ Clare P. Grey,‡ and Brett L. Lucht*,‡

†Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, United Kingdom
§Department of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, United States

The following is published in the journal, Chemistry of Materials, and is presented here in manuscript format.
ABSTRACT

We have synthesized the products of fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) and vinylene carbonate (VC) via lithium naphthalenide reduction. By analyzing the resulting solid precipitates and gas evolution, our results confirm that both FEC and VC decomposition products include HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, Li$_2$CO$_3$, and polymerized VC. For FEC, our experimental data supports a reduction mechanism where FEC reduces to form VC and LiF, followed by subsequent VC reduction. In the FEC reduction product, HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and Li$_2$CO$_3$ were found in smaller quantities than in the VC reduction product, with no additional fluorine environments being detected by solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis. With these additives being practically used in higher (FEC) and lower (VC) concentrations in the base electrolytes of lithium-ion batteries, our results suggest that the different relative ratios of the inorganic and organic reduction products formed by their decomposition may be relevant to the chemical composition and morphology of the solid electrolyte interphase formed in their presence.

INTRODUCTION

Additives are widely used to improve performance of Li-ion batteries, offering an economically viable method of performance enhancement compatible with existing manufacturing infrastructure. Generally, the function of additives is sacrificial: they are reduced at different voltage potentials compared to the base electrolytes to which they are added, forming decomposition products that are incorporated into a protective layer on electrodes. This protective layer is called the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). The formation of a stable SEI is essential for all Li-ion batteries, preventing further
electrolyte decomposition, thereby underlying capacity retention.\textsuperscript{1,5–8} The SEI also represents an electronically insulating barrier between the electrodes and electrolyte, with its composition, thickness, and structure influencing the lithium transport across the interphase.\textsuperscript{9,10} The performance enhancement achieved by the use of additives in the base electrolyte of Li-ion batteries is therefore linked to the chemical species formed in their decomposition which are incorporated into the SEI. A fundamental understanding of how specific additives improve and alter the SEI would allow further insight into favorable SEI properties.

Two additives that have been widely studied include fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) and vinylene carbonate (VC). These additives have been used with electrode materials including Si, improving capacity retention.\textsuperscript{5,11–18} Although it is widely accepted that these additives improve performance, there remains some debate regarding their decomposition mechanisms and the resulting SEI. It is believed that one key aspect of their favorable SEI formation is due to their decomposition into semicarbonate or organic species.\textsuperscript{1,4,19–23} Theoretical predictions indicate FEC and VC may yield very similar reduction products,\textsuperscript{24–26} and a key difference between these additives is thought to relate to LiF as a major species in the presence of FEC.\textsuperscript{13,27,28} The typical concentrations used to achieve performance enhancement differ, with VC used in lower concentrations of approximately 2–5\% compared with FEC that can be used in higher concentrations up to 50\%.\textsuperscript{1,5,16,18,29}

Practically, the SEI layer is extremely air-sensitive.\textsuperscript{30,31} Moreover, with a thickness of less than 100 nm, it is very difficult to study experimentally. Here, our strategy is to synthesize the reduction products of FEC and VC in order to
experimentally confirm their reduction products. Lithium naphthalenide (Li-Nap), a well-known reducing agent, is known to react with solvents in a similar manner to those which may occur on lithiated anodes. It is used here to reduce FEC and VC, modeling a reduction process in a similar manner to that which may occur in a lithium-ion battery. Solid products are analyzed with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), solid-state NMR (ssNMR), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Gas evolution is monitored using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The many techniques provide chemical signatures for future work. Viable reactions to form the detected decomposition products are proposed. For FEC, we propose a reduction scheme where FEC reduces to form LiF and VC, followed by further reduction of VC to polymerized VC (poly(VC)). The poly(VC) contains repeating EC units joined by cross-linking sites; our analysis shows no evidence for F–C bonds in the polymer. HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and Li$_2$CO$_3$ are also found in small quantities. For VC, we detect lithium environments of HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and Li$_2$CO$_3$, in addition to poly(VC).

METHODS

Synthesis. All reagents were used as obtained, without further purification. Battery-grade VC and FEC were obtained from BASF. Naphthalene (99+%, Scintillation grade) and THF (Anhydrous, 99.9%) were purchased from Acros organics. Lithium discs were obtained from MTI Corporation. Preparation of the reducing agent and the reduction reaction were carried out inside a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Li-Nap (0.546 M) solution in THF was prepared with 10 mol % excess naphthalene. Lithium foils were added to naphthalene solution of THF and stirred overnight at room temperature. The solution
turned green in a few minutes after the addition of lithium metal and became dark green after stirring overnight.

1.52 g of FEC was reduced with 1 mol equiv of Li-Nap. The solution turned yellowish brown immediately and was left stirring overnight. The overhead gas was analyzed using GCMS. Precipitate was separated with centrifugal separation and washed with diethyl ether. It was further dried under vacuum overnight at room temperature and produced 1.44 g of light yellow powder.

1.24 g of VC was reduced with 1 mol equiv of Li-Nap. The solution turned dark brown immediately and was left stirring overnight. The overhead gas was analyzed using GC-MS. Precipitate was separated with centrifugal separation and washed with diethyl ether. It was further dried under vacuum overnight at room temperature and produced 1.50 g of brown powder. The synthesis was performed using both deuterated and nondeuterated naphthalene yielding two sets of samples.

**XPS.** XPS spectra of the dried precipitates were acquired using a thermo scientific K-alpha XPS instrument. Samples were made into circular pellets with a press and transferred from the glovebox to the XPS chamber using a vacuum transfer module without exposure to air. C 1s, O 1s, and Li 1s spectra were obtained from the VC precipitate, whereas C 1s, O 1s, Li 1s, and F 1s spectra were acquired from the FEC precipitate. An Argon flood gun was used to avoid surface charge accumulation during sample analysis. The binding energy was corrected on the basis of the C 1s of hydrocarbon at 284.8 eV. The data was processed and analyzed using the Thermo Avantage, XPS Peak 4.1 and the Origin software.
Multinuclear ssNMR spectra were obtained on 16.4 T Bruker Avance III 700 MHz and 11.7 T Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometers. Samples were packed in an Ar glovebox (typically O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O < 0.1 ppm), avoiding any exposure to ambient air, into rotors of 1.3, 3.2, and 4 mm outer diameters. Magic-angle spinning (MAS) frequencies ranged from 10 to 60 kHz, spinning under N\textsubscript{2}. \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C chemical shifts were externally referenced to adamantane (\textsuperscript{1}H 1.9 ppm, \textsuperscript{13}C 38.5 ppm, CH\textsubscript{2}) and \textsuperscript{7}Li and \textsuperscript{19}F to LiF (\textsuperscript{7}Li −1 ppm, \textsuperscript{19}F−204 ppm).\textsuperscript{33,34} The data were processed using the Bruker TOPSPIN software and analyzed using the dmfit software.\textsuperscript{35} Typical radio frequency (RF) field strengths used were (\textsuperscript{1}H) 90–100 kHz, (\textsuperscript{7}Li) 80–125 kHz, (\textsuperscript{19}F) 80–125 kHz, and (\textsuperscript{13}C) 80–120 kHz.

Each of the FEC and VC precipitates were investigated using \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{7}Li, and \textsuperscript{19}F ssNMR, using 1.3 mm rotors and 60 kHz spinning frequency. \textsuperscript{13}C ssNMR experiments were performed using larger 3.2 and 4 mm rotors and spinning frequencies ranging from 10 to 12 kHz. The larger samples provided greater sensitivity. The \textsuperscript{13}C spectra were acquired using sweptfrequency two-pulse phase modulation (swfTPPM)\textsuperscript{36} \textsuperscript{1}H decoupling at 80–100 kHz. Direct excitation \textsuperscript{13}C experiments provided quantitative information. \textsuperscript{1}H–\textsuperscript{13}C, \textsuperscript{7}Li–\textsuperscript{13}C, and \textsuperscript{19}F–\textsuperscript{13}C correlation experiments were used to probe spatial proximity of these nuclei by transferring magnetization from \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{7}Li, and \textsuperscript{19}F nuclei by cross-polarization to C nuclei. Dipolar dephasing (interrupted decoupling) \textsuperscript{1}H–\textsuperscript{13}C crosspolarization experiments allowed differentiation between protonated and nonprotonated environments.\textsuperscript{34,37} Further ssNMR experimental details are given in the Supporting Information.
**FTIR.** FTIR analysis was performed on each of the precipitates prepared with nondeuterated naphthalene. FTIR spectra of the dried precipitates were acquired on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer, equipped with germanium crystal, in attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) mode. Samples were transferred using airtight vials, and the spectrometer was operated inside a nitrogen filled glovebox to avoid sample exposure to ambient air. Each spectrum was acquired with 128 scans from 700 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) at the spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The data was processed and analyzed using the OPUS and Origin software.

**GC-MS Analysis of Gases.** The analysis of evolved gases during the reaction was performed on thermo trace GC-Ultra equipped with Agilent poroplot amines column and a mass selective detector-ISQ. Gas analysis was performed by extracting the head space of the reaction flask with a 10 μL GC syringe. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The initial column temperature was 50 °C, and the temperature was ramped at 10 °C/min to 220 °C and held at that temperature for 20 min with the total run time of 37 min. The mass spectra obtained on these gases were compared to the NIST library to determine their molecular structures.

**Dilute Reduction Reactions.** 8.00 mL of 0.273 M FEC in THF was stirred vigorously, and 1.00 mL of 0.546 M lithium naphthalenide solution in THF was added drop by drop to the solution at room temperature. The dark green color of the lithium naphthalenide disappeared instantaneously as it contacted the FEC solution, and the reaction mixture turned turbid yellow from clear and colorless. The reaction mixture was analyzed with Agilent 6890-5973N GC equipped with an Agilent 5973N mass selective detector. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 24 mL/min. The initial column
temperature was 40 °C, and the temperature was ramped at 10 °C/min to 200 °C and held at that temperature for 2 min with the total run time of 18 min. The mass spectra obtained were compared to the NIST library to determine their molecular structures.

**Computational Methods.** Chemical shifts were calculated using density functional theory (DFT) using Gaussian 09\textsuperscript{38} and estimated using ChemNMR implemented in ChemBioDraw 13.0; see Table S1. ChemNMR approximates \textsuperscript{13}C and \textsuperscript{1}H chemical shifts with respect to TMS. For all DFT calculations, the hybrid functional B3LYP\textsuperscript{39,40} and 6-311G++(d,p) basis sets were used\textsuperscript{41,42} in combination with tight convergence. Frequency calculations were performed to confirm ground state convergence. The absolute NMR shift values were referenced to calculations performed for adamantane and LiF as in the experiment. Further computational details are given in the Supporting Information.

**RESULTS**

**XPS.** The chemical composition of the FEC and VC precipitates is first examined by XPS, with Figure 3.1 showing the XPS spectra of C 1s, O 1s, and F 1s for each of the FEC and VC precipitates. The relative elemental concentrations of the FEC and VC precipitates are summarized in Table 3.1 and show that the FEC precipitate contains smaller relative quantities of species containing C and O than the VC precipitate. Results from previous XPS studies of the SEI\textsuperscript{18,32,43–45} and potential products identified in theoretical studies of the reduction 20,24–26 reactions, are used to help assign the XPS
spectra. We note that the residual naphthalene and THF in the system may contribute to the overall signal seen in the C 1s spectra (C=C, C–C, and C–O).

**F 1s Core Peaks.** For the FEC precipitate, the dominant peak at 684.8 eV in the F 1s spectrum is assigned to LiF. The asymmetry of the peak, extending to 689 eV, suggests the possibility of a minor additional fluorine environment but a more distinct shoulder at approximately 688 eV would be expected if significant amounts of either residual FEC or a fluorinated organic species was present. This XPS assignment is discussed further in the context of the $^{19}$F ssNMR (reported later).

**C 1s Core Peaks.** For both the FEC and VC precipitates, the deconvolutions of the spectra show peaks at approximately 291.0, 290.0, 288.5, 286.8, and 284.8 eV, the relative intensities of these peaks differing between the samples. On the basis of the binding energies, the 291.0 eV peak is assigned to ROCO$_2$R and the 290.0 eV peak to Li$_2$CO$_3$. The peak at 288.5 eV is assigned to CO$_2$ environments contained in HCO$_2$Li and/or Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, while the peaks at lower binding energies of 286.8 and 284.8 eV indicate C–O and C–C bonds, respectively. Li$_2$CO$_3$ has a larger contribution in the VC precipitate compared with the FEC precipitate, also seen by $^7$Li and $^{13}$C ssNMR later. In addition, the relative signal intensity of the HCO$_2$Li/Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ peak is larger in the VC precipitate, these carboxylate environments being confirmed by $^{13}$C ssNMR in the VC sample, discussed further. The combination of the 291.0 eV (ROCO$_2$R) peak and larger contribution at 286.8 eV (C–O) indicate alkyl carbonate environments, similar environments being previously assigned to poly(VC).$	extsuperscript{21,45,47–49}$

**O 1s Core Peaks.** For the FEC precipitate, the dominant peak is centered at 532.8 eV, characteristic of a mixture of C=O and C–O environments. In contrast, the VC
precipitate shows a central peak centered at 532 eV assigned to a carbonate contained in Li$_2$CO$_3$, alkyl carbonate, and/or carboxylate contained in HCO$_2$Li or Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$. The shift between the FEC and VC spectra indicates different relative quantities of local O environments contained in the samples, the shift toward lower binding energy in the VC precipitate being consistent with the C 1s spectrum indicating larger relative amounts of Li$_2$CO$_3$.

*Solid State NMR. Multinuclear ssNMR.* Direct excitation $^1$H, $^7$Li, and $^{19}$F multinuclear ssNMR measurements of the FEC and VC precipitates (Figure 3.2) were performed. The $^1$H ssNMR spectra both show similar overlapping resonances with shifts of 1.5, 3.6, and 4.6/4.8 ppm. These resonances are more easily assigned using the additional information and larger chemical shift dispersion provided by $^{13}$C ssNMR experiments (discussed further). The VC sample shows a minor resonance at 8.3 ppm. This distinctive shift is consistent with an assignment of HCO$_2$Li or similar environment based on previous work by Leskes et al.$^{50}$ We note that residual THF may contribute to the signals of 1.5 and 3.6 ppm. In the $^7$Li ssNMR spectra, each of the samples show a resonance near 0 ppm, the signal being consistent with the presence of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and HCO$_2$Li. The assignment is based on both previous $^7$Li ssNMR measurements of lithium salts$^{50,51}$ and the $^{13}$C ssNMR results of this study. In the FEC precipitate, F ($-203$ ppm) and $^7$Li ($-1.0$ ppm) resonances clearly indicate the presence of LiF. No additional resonances are seen in the $^{19}$F spectrum. In contrast to the XPS spectrum, the larger chemical shift dispersion of the $^{19}$F ssNMR spectrum allows for a definitive assignment of any $^{19}$F environments; the ssNMR result here is consistent with the XPS assignment of LiF in the F 1s spectrum.
For the FEC precipitate, direct excitation $^{13}$C ssNMR experiments (Figure 3.3a-i) show relative quantities of carbon environments, labeled C through F. The large chemical shift dispersion allows the different functional groups to be assigned based on their chemical shifts, with the labeled $^{13}$C spectral peaks summarized in Table 3.2.

Resonance C (155 ppm) is characteristic of a RO$\text{CO}_2$R carbonate environment (also seen in the C 1s XPS spectra). Resonance D (100 ppm) is assigned to a protonated C environment, adjacent to two OR groups. Resonance E (74 ppm) is assigned to a protonated C environment adjacent to a single O. Resonance F (40 ppm) is characteristic of an environment with C not adjacent to O and is assigned to RCH$_2$R', its broad line width being characteristic of a distribution of similar environments. Resonances assigned to residual naphthalene and THF are labeled. Additional experiments (see Supporting Information) were performed at two different magic angle spinning frequencies (10 and 12 kHz) and field strengths (500 and 700 MHz) to confirm the spinning sideband peak positions. These peaks are indicated by asterisks and do not represent distinct resonances.

The $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C cross-polarization experiment, Figure 3.3a-ii, is used to identify chemical environments where $^{19}$F and $^{13}$C are in close spatial proximity: the ssNMR experiment uses through-space magnetization transfer from the former to the latter. Interestingly, only a low intensity resonance (E) at approximately 74 ppm is seen in the $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C cross-polarization experiment (Figure 3.3a-ii): no peaks are observed in the region of 110 ppm where a C–F group may be expected to resonate. The $^{13}$C results are consistent with the $^{19}$F ssNMR and F 1s XPS results showing a single resonance.
assigned to LiF. The signal detection of resonance E indicates LiF is in close proximity to this C environment. A $^7$Li–$^{13}$C experiment was also attempted, but no signal was detected: the null result is in agreement with the $^7$Li ssNMR assignment (Figure 3.2) showing that very little Li$_2$CO$_3$ (or similar environment resonating near 0 ppm in the $^7$Li spectrum) is present in the FEC precipitate. $^1$H–$^{13}$C cross-polarization experiments, Figure 3.3a-iii, were performed, further confirming the $^{13}$C assignments. The signal intensity in these experiments depend on the dynamics of the functional groups and the molecules in the SEI, and spatial proximity of $^1$H and $^{13}$C nuclei. Following a similar strategy used in our previous paper to assign different carbon local environments, a delay time is introduced following the cross-polarization step in the experiment to perform a dipolar dephasing (interrupted decoupling) experiment, the experimental details being described in the Supporting Information. When the delay times are varied in the experiment, different C functional groups can be identified on the basis of their attenuation rates. Protonated C is attenuated more rapidly than non-protonated C. Also, the signal intensities for rigid CH/CH$_2$ environments attenuate more rapidly than signal from mobile species such as rotating CH$_3$ methyl groups (due to a reduced dipolar coupling). The results of the experiments here confirm the assignment of resonance C to ROCO$_2$R, a species that does not have directly bonded protons and is not attenuated in the relatively short delays used in the experiment. In contrast, each of the resonances D, E, and F show pronounced attenuation, these resonances decaying at similar rates (with increased dephasing delay times) confirming that they correspond to CH and CH$_2$ groups.
$^{13}$C ssNMR of VC Precipitates. For the VC precipitate, direct excitation $^{13}$C ssNMR experiments (Figure 3.3b-i) show a similar spectral signature to the FEC precipitate but with additional resonances A, B, G, H, and I detected. Note that the ssNMR spinning sideband (from the deuterated naphthalene, labeled D-Napth.) shifts in the spectrum, compared to the FEC precipitate, as it was acquired at a different field strength. Resonance A (179 ppm) is characteristic of a carboxyl $\text{RCO}_2\text{Li}$ environment. Given that the distinctive $^1$H shift of $\text{HCO}_2\text{Li}$ was detected in the $^1$H ssNMR spectrum of the VC precipitate, the signal is assigned to $\text{HCO}_2\text{Li}$. $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Li}$, if present, would also contribute to the signal. The overlapping carbonate resonances B (172/170 ppm) are assigned to $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$, respectively. The resonance G (36 ppm) is assigned to a distribution of $\text{RCH}_2\text{R'}$ environments. The minor peak H (20 ppm) is characteristic of $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{R}$ or alternatively a $\text{C H}_3\text{CH}^-$ group adjacent to an O as indicated in Table 3.2. The minor peak I (13 ppm) is characteristic of $\text{CH}_3\text{R}$ environments; the resonance likely has some contribution from residual diethyl ether ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}^--\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$) used to rinse the precipitates during synthesis. However, reactions forming these environments in minor quantities may also contribute to the signal.

The $^7\text{Li}--^{13}$C cross-polarization experiment (Figure 3.3b-ii) indicates the $\text{Li}^+$ coordination environments by the carboxyl and carbonate groups. The broad resonance of A is consistent with the $\text{HCO}_2\text{Li}$ assignment. The majority of the signal contributing to the asymmetric peak at B is assigned to $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$, the small shoulder being assigned to $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$. The $^7\text{Li}$ ssNMR spectra are consistent with these assignments (Figure 3.2).

In the dipolar dephasing experiment (Figure 3.3b-iii), the carbonate resonances B (assigned to $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$), which do not have directly bonded protons, are not
attenuated in the experiment. In contrast, resonances D, E, and G show pronounced attenuation, at similar rates of decay (with increased dephasing times), indicating CH and CH$_2$ groups, confirming the protonated C assignments (Table 3.2). The two sharp resonances H (at the same position as a naphthalene spinning sideband, as indicated by an asterisk) and I show signal attenuation consistent with their assignments in Table 3.2, the CH$_3$R environment being expected to attenuate more slowly. The Supporting Information contains a $^1$H$^{-}$$^{13}$C CP spectrum, performed at lower field, which helped separate the isotropic resonances and sidebands and confirmed the presence of peaks H and I. No significant attenuation of resonance A is seen in the dipolar dephasing experiment (Figure 3.3b-iii), which is not consistent with its assignment solely to HCO$_2$Li. This spectrum was collected at a higher magnetic field strength than the spectra shown in Figure 3.3b-i,-ii, and there is now a severe overlap with the now much more intense D-Napth. spinning sideband (labeled with an asterisk), this signal not being attenuated in the dephasing experiment. Similarly, no attenuation is expected for an acetate resonance.

**FTIR.** FTIR spectra of the precipitates obtained on reduction of FEC and VC are displayed in Figure 3.4, confirming chemically bonded groups assigned in our XPS and ssNMR spectra. Our assignment here is based on comparison of the spectra to related studies.$^{18,32,44,47}$ The FEC and VC reduction products have similar FTIR signatures, with some relative intensity differences at approximately 1300, 1400–1500, and 1750 cm$^{-1}$. In addition to the VC/FEC reduction products, some residual naphthalene is seen (788, 3064 cm$^{-1}$). In each of the samples, the previously assigned Li$_2$CO$_3$ is again observed.
As also seen by \(^{13}\text{C}\) ssNMR (Figure 3.3b-ii) and the C 1s XPS spectra (Figure 3.1), the Li\(_2\text{CO}_3\) is more prevalent in the VC sample.

In the FEC reduction product, peaks for carbonate C=O (1795 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–O (1080, 1171 cm\(^{-1}\)) bonds are seen. These peaks are assigned to bonds contained in ROCO\(_2\text{R}\) environments, resembling those assigned to a poly(VC) product in our previous study.\(^{18}\) Peaks for carboxylate C=O (1598 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–O (1402 cm\(^{-1}\)) bonds are also seen, these absorptions being consistent with a mixture of HCO\(_2\text{Li}\) and/or Li\(_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4\).\(^{44}\) In the VC reduction product, similar peaks for carbonate C=O (1793 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–O (1077, 1172 cm\(^{-1}\)) bonds and carboxylate C=O (1619 cm\(^{-1}\)) and C–O (1428 cm\(^{-1}\)) bonds are assigned to poly(VC) and HCO\(_2\text{Li}/\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4\), respectively. Recall that the signature for HCO\(_2\text{Li}\) was also seen in the \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) ssNMR (Figures 3.2 and 3.3) and XPS C 1s (Figure 3.1) spectra. With HCO\(_2\text{Li}\) only being detected in the VC sample by ssNMR and the stronger peak intensity at 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) in the VC precipitate, the HCO\(_2\text{Li}\) likely resonates at the higher frequency of 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) and Li\(_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4\) at a lower frequency of 1600 cm\(^{-1}\).

To gain further insight into the decomposition mechanisms, an additional experiment was performed with a half molar equivalent of Li-Nap, providing FTIR spectra comparable to that of Figure 3.4 (see Supporting Information). The spectra show much weaker intensities for the peaks assigned to Li\(_2\text{CO}_3\), Li\(_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4\), and HCO\(_2\text{Li}\), relative to the peaks assigned to ROCO\(_2\text{R}\) environments assigned to poly(VC), revealing a Li concentration dependence in the formation of these inorganics.

\textbf{GC-MS Analysis of Gases.} GC-MS analysis was performed, providing more insight for the reduction mechanisms of FEC and VC, resulting in the solid precipitates. For FEC,
the reduction with Li-Nap yields a mixture of CO and CO$_2$. The ratio of CO to CO$_2$ peak areas is 1:4.4. For VC, the reduction with Li-Nap yielded carbon monoxide as the only gaseous product (i.e., no CO$_2$ was detected), CO$_2$ having been detected previously.$^{45,47}$ The absence of CO$_2$ detection was attributed to its consumption in further reactions, the experiment being performed in a closed system, with an abundance of Li.

*Proposed Reduction Products.* On the basis of the above analysis, we propose that the reduction product poly(VC) is present in the precipitates of both FEC and VC, as well as Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, Li$_2$CO$_3$, and HCO$_2$Li, Figure 3.5. The relative ratio of these products differs for VC and FEC.

The ROCO$_2$R environment observed in the XPS, ssNMR (Table 3.2, fragment C), and FTIR spectra is assigned to the repeating EC units of the poly(VC). The C–O environments seen by XPS and corresponding protonated C environments adjacent to one O seen by $^{13}$C ssNMR (Table 3.2, fragment E) are also assigned to the repeating EC units of poly(VC). The repeating EC units of the poly(VC) may terminate with a CH$_3$ group and contribute to the $^{13}$C ssNMR signal of resonance H (Table 3.2). $^{13}$C ssNMR resonance D, assigned to protonated C environments adjacent to two OR groups, indicates the possibility of a cross-linking site for poly(VC) (seen in Figure 3.5). The cross-linking site may also contain C environments adjacent to one O, contributing to resonance E. The signals from the distribution of carbons not adjacent to O (Table 3.2, fragments F–I) are assigned to the cross-linking site; the assignment for these peaks would vary according to the crosslinking terminations. Note that the broad peaks of the $^{13}$C ssNMR spectra (Figure 3.3) indicate a distribution of local environments. A labeled structure and calculated $^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the proposed poly(VC)
structure that support the assignment are included in Figures S4–S6. Additional structures with similar functional groups that are consistent with the NMR chemical signatures and assigned fragments (Table 3.2) cannot be ruled out completely. Note that while the direct excitation $^{13}$C ssNMR spectra is a quantitative result (Figure 3.3-i), an accurate deconvolution was not attainable due to combined factors of weak resonances, strong background signal, and the presence of residual solvents.

DISCUSSION

Overall, our ssNMR, XPS, and FTIR experiments show complementary evidence for the presence of poly(VC) in the reduction products of both FEC and VC (possible reactions in Scheme 3.1-i,-ii). While similar species were seen in each of the precipitates, a clear difference was seen with respect to the relative quantities of inorganic Li environments. In particular, we detected HCO$_2$Li, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and Li$_2$CO$_3$ in higher concentrations in the VC precipitate than in the FEC precipitate. For the FEC precipitate, the majority of Li was contained in LiF, with the relative quantities of the Li environments being confirmed by the quantitative $^7$Li ssNMR spectrum (Figure 3.2). Definitive assignments for C-groups and fluorinated-species contained in the reduction products were aided by the large chemical shift dispersion of the $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F ssNMR spectra. ssNMR spectra was complementary to the XPS analysis, which has higher sensitivity but contained overlapping peaks in the spectra. The carboxylate environments was only observed in the VC precipitate (and not the FEC precipitate) by ssNMR, this result being attributed to the small concentrations of the carboxylate environments in the FEC precipitate. This is consistent with the XPS (see Table 3.1), showing smaller relative quantities of species containing C and O in the FEC precipitate.
than in the VC precipitate. In contrast, carbonate resonances were seen in both the VC and FEC precipitate by ssNMR, supporting the presence of poly(VC) in each of the samples (the proposed poly(VC) structure containing repeating EC units and a cross-linking site, Figure 3.5). The carboxylate and carbonate assignments in the $^{13}$C ssNMR and C 1s/O 1s XPS spectra were further supported by the detection of these chemical bonds in the FTIR spectra. We note that the results in this study only show the presence of an LiF fluorine environment, contradicting the results in previous XPS studies where additional organo-fluoride environments were seen and attributed to the reduction of FEC; for example, Etacheri et al. observed a central F 1s peak assigned to LiF at approximately 685 eV and organic fluorides at approximately 688 eV. Our XPS result shows a single resonance assigned to LiF, with no clear shoulder in the spectra. Our $^{19}$F ssNMR results, which have the advantage of a larger chemical shift dispersion, are in agreement with our XPS results. Moreover, we have performed $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C ssNMR cross-polarization experiments and have not detected any signal in the region where C–F bonds would be expected in the ssNMR spectrum (Figure 3.3a-ii). In addition, we have also not seen any evidence for volatile fluorine-containing hydrocarbons by GCMS.

Proposed Reduction Mechanisms. Possible reaction schemes to form the products proposed on the basis of the experimental data are outlined in Scheme 1. We stress that there are likely multiple competing pathways and viable reactions also leading to reduction products with similar chemical signatures.

For the reduction of VC, Scheme 3.1-i, a possible first step of the reaction is the reduction of VC to generate a radical anion followed by loss of CO$_2$ and generation of the vinyloxy radical anion. The vinyloxy radical anion could initiate the polymerization
of VC but more likely scavenges H+ to generate the vinyloxy radical which has been reported as the intermediate species in the FEC reduction by Shkrob et al.\textsuperscript{53} The reactive vinyloxy radical can initiate the polymerization of VC to generate poly(VC) via a radical polymerization reaction. The presence of the residual vinyl group from the vinyloxy radical can then polymerize via a radical polymerization mechanism to generate the cross-linked polymer.

With the reduction products detected being nearly identical to FEC, the difference being the LiF product, similar reduction mechanisms are expected. One possible mechanism for the reaction of FEC is nearly identical to the reaction of VC, except that the first step of the reaction involves the reduction of FEC to generate VC, LiF, and 1/2 \( \text{H}_2 \), Scheme 3.1-ii. We note that LiF was generated nearly quantitatively via the Li-Nap reduction of FEC in our experiments. While we were unable to observe \( \text{H}_2 \) generation, as the mass of \( \text{H}_2 \) is below the detection limit of our GC-MS, the detection of \( \text{H}_2 \) during the reduction of FEC was previously reported as part of the 4 electron reduction mechanism of FEC by Jung et al.\textsuperscript{54} The rapid polymerization of the VC generated from FEC can be explained by VC being more reactive under the reductive conditions than FEC. Additional experiments to confirm the FEC reduction mechanism were performed; when the Li-Nap reduction of FEC was conducted under very dilute conditions, with a large excess of FEC, trace quantities of VC (in addition to the previously reported products) were observed, supporting the reductive conversion of FEC to VC. The reaction mixtures obtained from reduction of dilute FEC with lithium naphthalenide contain a new peak in the GC trace at 3.10 min. The MS of the new peak matches the MS in the NIST library for VC (see Supporting Information). The intensity of the VC
peak increased with decreasing FEC concentration: when the FEC concentration was 0.134 M, the intensity of the VC peak was $1.0 \times 10^4$ (total ion current); after the concentration of FEC decreased to 0.055 M, the intensity of the VC peak increased to $1.1 \times 10^5$ (total ion current). The systematic increase in the VC concentration, with a decrease in the FEC concentration, is consistent with the trapping of a reactive intermediate. Interestingly, when 0.5 equiv of Li-Nap was reacted with FEC, only 0.5 equiv of FEC was reduced; in contrast, addition of 0.5 equiv of Li-Nap to VC resulted in the reduction of all of the VC. These observations are consistent with a stoichiometric reduction of FEC and a catalytic reduction of VC. We also note that the approximate 2:1 ratio of CO$_2$ to H$_2$ previously observed by Jung et al.\textsuperscript{54} correlates well with our proposed mechanisms in (i) and (ii). Further work is ongoing to explore the reduction mechanisms in greater detail.

We note that Shkrob et al.\textsuperscript{53} have detected the vinyloxy radical in our proposed reaction Scheme 3.1-i in their radiolysis experiments and have concluded that the single-electron reaction of FEC + Li + e$^-$ → LiF + CO$_2$ + ·CH$_2$CHO is viable. However, the reaction is inconsistent with our observation of a VC intermediate and the observation of H$_2$ evolution by Jung et al.\textsuperscript{54} In addition, the absence of C–F environments detected in our study (albeit on chemically reduced FEC) contradicts subsequent reactions they have proposed. We note however that the conditions by which reduction occurs, radiolysis vs chemical reduction, may result in different reaction products.

*Comparison with SEI Studies.* Our results show strong similarities to previous SEI studies where these additives have been used in the electrolyte of cycled cells, the similarities validating the technique of using the naphthalene reduced products as a
model to study the SEI formed in Li-ion cells. For example, in a study by El Ouatani et al., the degradation of VC was analyzed by using XPS analysis of LiCoO$_2$/graphite electrodes prepared in a Li-ion cell with LiPF$_6$ in 1 mol L$^{-1}$ pure VC electrolyte. Their C 1s XPS spectra showed degradation products at 291.3 eV (CO$_3$), 287.8 eV (CO$_2$), and $\sim$286.6 eV (CO), the peak positions agreeing with those observed in this study. Here, we have assigned the VC degradation products to poly(VC) (291.0 and 286.8 eV) and HCO$_2$Li/Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ (288.5 eV), the latter assignment being supported by our $^{13}$C ssNMR results. We note that the differences in intensity for the peaks at 290 and 287.8 eV, for our data compared with that of El Ouatani et al., are likely due to differences in the concentration of the CO$_2$ reduction products (Li$_2$CO$_3$, HCO$_2$Li, and Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$) under the conditions of their experiments compared to the conditions of our experiments (reactions in a Li-ion cell versus a closed system with an abundance of Li). In another example, Ota et al. have used FTIR to analyze VC-derived SEI layers formed on graphite in Li half-cells, including cells prepared with 1 mol dm$^{-3}$ LiPF$_6$/pure VC electrolyte. Their spectrum showed absorption peaks assigned to poly(VC) (1817, 1147, 1080, 758 cm$^{-1}$), carboxylates (1580, 1413 cm$^{-1}$), and carbon double bonds (1620, 972 cm$^{-1}$). The absorption peaks strongly resemble those seen in the VC reduction product in this study. However, carbon double bonds were not detected in large quantities in our VC reduction products by any of our spectroscopy analysis, ruling out the presence of unsaturated lithium alkyl dicarbonate salts such as lithium vinylene dicarbonate (LVD) and lithium divinylene dicarbonate (LDVD), these SEI decomposition products being suggested by prior theoretical investigations (see Supporting Information for our estimated NMR shifts of these predicted products). They observed similar $^{13}$C NMR
peaks at $\sim 154$ and $\sim 70-80$ ppm in $^{13}$C liquid NMR spectra of the SEI formed on the graphite electrode, dissolved in DMSO-$d_6$, which they assigned to an oligomer of VC and/or poly(VC), the poly(VC) assignment in agreement with the ssNMR results here (see Table 3.2, peaks C and E); they also observed the distinctive $^{13}$C ssNMR resonance at $\sim 100$ ppm, seen in this study (see Table 3.2, peak D) which they assigned to an oligomer of VC. Here, we have assigned the 100 ppm resonance to a cross-linking site of poly(VC) (see Scheme 3.1-i, Figure 3.5). We have also observed broad peaks at 36 and 40 ppm, indicating a distribution of RCH$_2$R’ environments (see Table 3.2, peaks F and G), assigned to the cross-linking site. Finally, in our previous study of the SEI composition on Si anodes formed in the presence of FEC and VC additives,$^{18}$ we have observed an FTIR adsorption peak at $\sim 1800$ cm$^{-1}$ increasing with additive concentration, the adsorption peak being assigned to poly(VC), as in this study.

The absence of the production of CO$_2$ during the reduction of VC, seen by GC-MS, is in contrast to the literature. For example, the study by Ota et al.,$^{47}$ which used pure VC as an electrolyte solvent, observed CO$_2$ as the major gaseous product and a small amount of CO. Similarly, CO$_2$ has been reported as the major gaseous product, when VC is used as an electrolyte additive.$^{45,49}$ The discrepancy is likely due to the reduction of CO$_2$ by excess Li napthalenide to generate CO, Li$_2$CO$_3$, and Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ (see Scheme 3.1iii).$^{55}$ In the reduction of FEC, most of the Li-Nap is consumed to convert FEC to VC and LiF (see Scheme 3.1-ii). Thus, there is less residual Li-Nap present to reduce the CO$_2$ (Scheme 3.1-iii), resulting in the mixture of CO and CO$_2$ measured by GC-MS in a ratio of 1:4.4, respectively. In contrast, VC is directly reduced by Li-Nap, and thus, there is excess Li-Nap present to reduce all of the CO$_2$ to CO (Scheme 3.1-iii),
resulting in more Li$_2$CO$_3$ in the VC precipitate compared with the FEC precipitate. The increased concentration of Li$_2$CO$_3$ in the VC precipitate was observed by XPS, ssNMR, and FTIR (Figures 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4). Furthermore, additional experiments (see Supporting Information) showed that decreasing to a half molar Li-Nap concentration decreased the FTIR peak intensities of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and HCO$_2$Li, relative to the peaks assigned to poly(VC), indicating decreased reduction of CO$_2$ (by the reactions of Scheme 3.1-iii).

While this study does not show the reduction reactions on an anode in a Li-ion cell, the chemical signatures of the reduction products seen here may serve as a useful reference for future studies where an SEI has been formed in a Li-ion cell in the presence of FEC and VC. We acknowledge that reactions in the cell may differ due to many factors such as the presence of additional cosolvents and the reactivity at the surface of the lithiated anode; under these considerations, it is interesting to reflect on the practical use of these additives in the context of the results here. FEC and VC have been shown to improve Si electrode capacity retention, $^{5,12,13,16-18,29}$ Si systems suffering from uncontrolled SEI growth due to the large volume expansion of the Si particles during lithiation, thought to result in cracking in the SEI. $^{52}$ The poly(VC) formed by each of these additives would likely aid SEI elasticity helping to solve the problem. However, elasticity is not the only design requirement; Li$^+$ transport across the SEI to access the Si particles during electrochemical cycling is also critical. Therefore, increasing the polymer content may not be an adequate solution if the resulting SEI cannot facilitate transport. Grain boundaries and the mixture of polymerized chains with inorganic products may for example play a role in Li$^+$ transport across the SEI. With improved
capacity retention being achieved by using FEC in higher concentrations vs VC (10–25 wt % FEC and 3–6 wt % VC in our previous study\textsuperscript{18}), the relative mix of inorganics and organics may be an important SEI design parameter to consider. These results suggest that more FEC is required to form the same amount of poly(VC); further work is ongoing to explore these ideas.

CONCLUSIONS

We have prepared reduction products of FEC and VC, capturing their spectral signatures by ssNMR, XPS, and FTIR. Our results indicate similar reduction products for FEC and VC but in differing relative quantities of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, HCO$_2$Li, and poly(VC). We have proposed a reaction scheme for the formation of poly(VC), the poly(VC) containing a cross-linking site. While the reaction scheme is a reasonable proposal, it is not definitive. Additional species may also be formed and lead to similar chemical signatures.

For the case of FEC reduction, the results suggest a mechanism where FEC reduces to form LiF and VC, followed by subsequent VC reduction; when monitoring the reaction under dilute conditions by GC-MS, we observed the formation of VC in trace quantities. Interestingly, we did not detect any fluorinated organic species in large enough quantities for their definitive assignment in either of the $^{19}$F ssNMR or F 1s XPS spectra. With the majority of Li being consumed in the formation of LiF, only small quantities of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and HCO$_2$Li were subsequently formed.

For the case of VC reduction, greater quantities of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$C$_2$O$_4$, and HCO$_2$Li were seen. The different relative quantities of inorganic Li environments in the reduction products of VC and FEC may relate to their practical use in lower and higher
concentrations as electrolyte additives, the relative quantities of inorganic and organic environments of the SEI formed in the presence of these additives likely having an impact on Li$^+$ transport.
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Figure 3.1. XPS spectra of the (a) FEC and (b) VC precipitates obtained through reduction of FEC and VC using deuterated naphthalene. Deconvolutions of the spectra are shown in black.
| Element | FEC precipitate | VC precipitate |
|---------|----------------|----------------|
| O 1s    | 16%            | 35%            |
| C 1s    | 41%            | 52%            |
| Li 1s   | 27%            | 12%            |
| F 1s    | 16%            |                |

Table 3.1. Relative Elemental Concentrations from XPS Analysis
Figure 3.2. ssNMR spectra of the precipitates obtained through reduction of (a) FEC and (b) VC using deuterated naphthalene. $^1$H Hahn echo, $^7$Li single pulse, and $^{19}$F Hahn echo ssNMR experiments were performed. The spectra were acquired with 60 kHz MAS and are scaled by maximum signal height. A simulated fit and deconvolution are presented under the experimentally obtained spectra. The asterisks in the $^{19}$F spectrum indicate ssNMR spinning sidebands.
Figure 3.3. $^{13}$C ssNMR experiments performed on (a) FEC and (b) VC precipitates. (a-i) $^{13}$C single pulse, (a-ii) $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C cross-polarization, CP, with contact time of 1000 µs, (a-iii) dipolar dephasing (interrupted decoupling) contact time of 1000 µs and interrupted delay times of $d = 40, 20, 10$ µs. (b-i) $^{13}$C single pulse, (b-ii) $^7$Li–$^{13}$C CP with contact time of 2000 µs, (b-iii) dipolar dephasing with contact time of 1000 µs and delay times of $d = 60, 20, 10, 0$ µs. When delay times are varied in the dipolar dephasing experiment, different C functional groups can be identified on the basis of their attenuation rates. See Supporting Information for ssNMR pulse sequence details. Spectra were acquired with 10 kHz MAS at 500 MHz (b-i, b-ii) and 700 MHz (a-i, a-ii, a,b-iii) and are scaled by maximum intensity. All of the experiments were measured on samples prepared with deuterated naphthalene with the exception of (a-ii). Additional experiments confirm the residual naphthalene assignment and are available in the Supporting Information.
| Resonance | Shift/ppm | Fragment                                      |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| A: VC     | 179       | $\text{HCO}_2\text{Li}$                      |
| B: VC     | 170/172   | $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ |
| C: VC/FEC | 155       | $\text{ROCO}_2\text{R}$                     |
| D: VC/FEC | 100       | $-\text{CH}^\prime\text{OR}$                |
| E: VC/FEC | 74        | $\text{CH}^\prime\text{O}^\prime$           |
| F: FEC    | 40        | $\text{RCH}_2\text{R}'$                     |
| G: VC     | 36        | $\text{RCH}_2\text{R}'$                     |
| H: VC     | 20        | $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{R}$ or $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}^\prime\text{O}^\prime$ |
| I: VC     | 13        | $\text{CH}_3\text{R}$                       |

Table 3.2. $^{13}$C ssNMR Assignment for Peaks A–I Labeled in Figure 3.3
Figure 3.4. FTIR spectra of the precipitates obtained through reduction of (a) FEC and (b) VC using nondeuterated naphthlene.
Figure 3.5. Proposed FEC/VC reduction products. A possible structure for a cross-linking site of poly(VC) is indicated.
Scheme 3.1. Possible Reaction Schemes Consistent with the Chemical Signatures Detected by XPS, ssNMR, FTIR, and GC-MS
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ABSTRACT

Lithium naphthalenide has been investigated as a reducing agent for electrolyte salts used in lithium ion batteries. The solids obtained through reduction were analyzed with solution NMR, FTIR-ATR and XPS. Number of electrons transferred for the reduction and molar equivalents of LiF generated were estimated through quantitative NMR analysis. All the fluorine containing salts generate LiF upon reduction. In addition to LiF, LiBF₄ generates LiₓBFₙ species; LiBOB and LiDFOB generate lithium oxalate and oxalatoborate oligomers; LiPF₆ yields LiPF₂; and LiTFSI produces lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethylsulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate upon reduction.

INTRODUCTION

A typical lithium-ion battery contains a graphite anode, a lithiated transition metal oxide cathode, and an electrolyte solution composed of inorganic lithium salts dissolved in a mixture of organic carbonate solvents.¹ The long-term cyclability of the lithium-ion battery is dependent upon the anode SEI(solid electrolyte interphase), formed due to the electrochemical reduction of the electrolyte solution.² Understanding the mechanisms of the reduction reactions along with the products of the reactions is essential for the development of better lithium-ion batteries and it has been investigated for many years. The SEI has been proposed to contain lithium alkyl carbonates, lithium carbonate, lithium oxalate, lithium alkoxides, and lithium oxide from the carbonate solvents and LiF, fluorophosphates, fluoroborates, lithium oxalate, various oligomers, lithium sulfide, lithium sulfites and lithium nitride from the reduction of electrolyte salts, depending upon the salt utilized.³⁻¹⁹ Despite the efforts, the formation of the SEI is not well understood. We have reported a detailed analysis of binder free graphitic anodes
cycled in simplified electrolytes and the results suggest that the initial reduction reaction of the carbonates generate lithium alkyl carbonates and LiF as the predominant components of the anode SEI.\textsuperscript{20} Synthesis of initial SEI components from carbonate solvents in high yield through reduction of the solvents with lithium naphthalenide has been reported. Reduction of cyclic carbonates result in lithium alkylene dicarbonates and alkenes, whereas the reduction of dialkyl carbonates result in lithium alkyl carbonates and alkanes.\textsuperscript{21} As an expansion of these investigations, some of the most robust electrolyte salts have been reduced with lithium naphthalenide. All reactions result in precipitation. The precipitates have been analyzed by solution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy, solid-state Infra-Red spectroscopy in Attenuated Total Reflectance Mode (IR-ATR) and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). The results provide insight into the formation mechanism of the anode SEI.

EXPERIMENTAL

Battery-grade lithium tetrafluoroborate (LiBF$_4$), lithium bis(oxalato)borate (LiBOB), lithium difluoro(oxalato)borate (LiDFOB), lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF$_6$) and lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) (Figure 4.1) were obtained from BASF. Diethyl ether (Et$_2$O), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and naphthalene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Lithium discs were obtained from MTI corporation. All the reagents were stored in argon filled glove box at room temperature and used without further purification. Lithium naphthalenide solution (Li[NAP]) in THF or Et$_2$O was prepared with 10 mol\% excess naphthalene. Lithium foils were added to naphthalene solution of THF/ Et$_2$O and stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The
solution turned green/purple in a few minutes after the addition of lithium metal and became dark green/purple after stirring for 3 days.

Number of electrons required for the complete reduction of electrolyte salts was evaluated with quantitative NMR analysis. Electrolyte salts dissolved in THF/Et₂O were reacted with different molar equivalents of Li[NAP], a one electron reducing agent, at room temperature overnight. The resulting reaction mixtures were transferred into clean dry NMR tubes along with capillaries. The capillaries were filled with deuterated DMSO and one of the internal standards: LiTFSI; hexafluoro benzene; or LiBF₄. The internal standards were chosen carefully to avoid any overlapping peak with the products/ starting materials. The samples were analyzed with ¹⁹F/¹¹B NMR spectroscopy and the concentrations of the unreacted electrolyte salts were estimated in reference to the internal standard.

Electrolyte salts (LiBF₄, LiBOB, LiDFOB, LiPF₆ & LiTFSI) were dissolved in Et₂O and reduced with appropriate molar equivalents of Li[NAP] in larger scale. The evolved gasses and volatiles in the reaction mixtures were analyzed with GC-MS. The solid residues were washed with Et₂O three times, dried overnight at room temperature, and analyzed with FTIR, solution NMR and XPS. All the reactions were conducted inside a nitrogen filled glovebox. XPS and FTIR analyses were conducted with no exposure to air. NMR, GC-MS were conducted with minimal exposure to air.

GC-MS analyses were conducted on an Agilent 6890-5973N GC equipped with an G973N mass selective detector. Liquid samples were diluted with dichloromethane, mixed with distilled water to remove the residual electrolyte salts and non-volatile inorganic components, and the organic phases were utilized for the analyses. Helium
was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 24 mL/min. The initial column temperature was 40°C and the temperature was ramped at 10°C/min to 200°C and held at that temperature for 2 minutes with the total run time of 18 minutes. The mass spectra obtained were compared to the NIST library to determine their molecular structures. THF, Et2O (solvents) and naphthalene (starting material) and were the only volatile components present in the reaction mixtures. The gas analyses were performed by sampling the head spaces of the reaction mixtures in RB flasks with a 10 µL GC syringe. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The initial column temperature was set to 40 °C, and the temperature was ramped at 1°C/min to 43°C and held at that temperature for 2 min with the total run time of 5 min. The mass spectra obtained were compared to the NIST library to determine their molecular structures.

IR-ATR spectra of the dried solid residues were acquired on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer equipped with a germanium crystal in attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) mode. Samples were transferred using air-tight vials and the spectrometer was operated inside a nitrogen filled glovebox to avoid air exposure. Each spectrum was acquired with 128 scans from 700 cm⁻¹ to 4000 cm⁻¹ at the spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The data were processed and analyzed using the OPUS and Originlab software.

NMR spectra of the samples were collected with a Bruker Avance III 300 MHz NMR spectrometer at room temperature. The solids were dissolved in D₂O in the nitrogen filled glovebox and ¹⁹F, ³¹P, ¹¹B, & ¹³C NMR spectra of the solutions were acquired. The spectra were processed and analyzed using MestReNova 10.0.2.

XPS spectra of the dried precipitates were acquired using a Thermo Scientific K-alpha XPS. Samples were made into circular pellets with a press or stuck on a
conductive carbon tape as a thin layer and transferred from the glovebox to the XPS chamber using a vacuum transfer module without exposure to air. An argon flood gun was used to avoid surface charge accumulation during sample analysis. The binding energy was corrected based on the C 1s of hydrocarbon at 284.8 eV. The data were processed and analyzed using the Thermo Avantage, XPS Peak 4.1 and the Originlab software.

RESULTS

Reduction of electrolyte salts

The number of electrons required for the complete reduction of electrolyte salts was investigated with quantitative NMR analysis. Electrolyte salts dissolved in THF/Et₂O were reduced with different molar equivalents of Li[NAP] at room temperature overnight. Addition of one molar equivalent of Li[NAP] to LiBOB, LiDFOB and LiTFSI solutions results in immediate discoloration of Li[NAP] and precipitation of solid products, however discoloration in LiBF₄ and LiPF₆ samples take roughly an hour suggesting slower reduction kinetics, as the color change is due to the consumption of Li[NAP] in the reduction of the electrolyte salts. Upon incorporation of higher concentrations of Li[NAP], > 1 molar equivalent, similar discoloration is observed. However, upon color retention for more than 24 hours, the quantity of Li[NAP] required to complete reduce the salt has been exceeded. The reaction mixtures were transferred into NMR tubes and a capillary, filled with hexafluoro benzene or LiBF₄/DMSO-d₆, was added into each tube. The samples were analyzed with ¹⁹F/¹¹B NMR spectroscopy and the concentration of the remaining electrolyte salts were determined via integration of the NMR peaks compared to hexafluoro benzene or LiBF₄.
Reduction of LiBF$_4$ with 1, 2, and 3 equivalents of Li[NAP] results in consumption of approximately 40, 69, and 96 ± 4% of the LiBF$_4$, respectively, suggesting 3 e\textsuperscript{-} are required for quantitative reduction. Similarly, numbers of equivalents of Li[NAP] required for the reduction of LiBOB, LiDFOB, and LiTFSI were estimated to be 2 e\textsuperscript{-}, 2e\textsuperscript{-}, and 12 e\textsuperscript{-}, respectively. The number of equivalents of Li[NAP] required for complete reduction of LiPF$_6$ could not be measured reliably with quantitative NMR spectroscopy. However, in all cases low concentrations of residual salt are observed after the reduction reactions and some of the reduction products may precipitate prior to complete reduction, so the number of electrons required for reduction of the different salts should be viewed as approximate.

The electrolyte salts were then treated with a sufficient quantity of Li[NAP] to fully reduce the salt. All reactions result in a significant quantity of precipitate. The remaining solution was analyzed by GC-MS and NMR spectroscopy. The only component remaining in solution is a low concentration of the unreacted salt. In addition, analysis of the headspace of the samples detected no gaseous products resulting from the reduction reactions. The results suggest that all of the reduction products of the lithium salts are insoluble. Thus, the Li[NAP] reduction of all lithium salts investigated results in quantitative conversion to organic solvent insoluble components.

*NMR analysis of the solids*

The residual organic solvent insoluble solids have been analyzed via a combination of solution NMR spectroscopy in D$_2$O, Infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR), and X-ray photo electron spectroscopy. The
residual solids have been dissolved in D$_2$O for NMR analysis. While most of the residual solids dissolve in D$_2$O, some of the solid does not readily dissolve. Some of the reduction products may react with water to generate subsequent hydrolysis products. The dissolved solids were analyzed via a combination of $^{11}$B, $^{13}$C, $^{19}$F, and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. Representative NMR spectra of the solids are provided in Figure 4.2.

The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of the reduction product from LiPF$_6$ displays a strong singlet corresponding to LiF at -122 and a medium singlet at -128.5 ppm corresponding to HF. While LiF is frequently reported as a reduction product of LiPF$_6$, HF is mostly likely to be generated from the hydrolysis of unreacted LiPF$_6$ in D$_2$O. In addition, a doublet is observed at at -81.3 ppm in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum which has a corresponding triplet at -15.7 ppm in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum. The chemical shifts of these peaks and a coupling constant, 962 Hz, is characteristic of LiPO$_2$F$_2$. The presence of LiPO$_2$F$_2$ likely results from the hydrolysis of LiPF$_2$ upon addition of the residual solid to D$_2$O, since no extractable oxygen is present in the reaction media. The XPS data, as discussed below, provides further support for this assignment.

The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of the residual solids from the reduction of LiBF$_4$ contains a strong singlet at -122 ppm characteristic of LiF. In addition, the sample exhibits a weak set of peaks at -149 ppm in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum characteristic of residual LiBF$_4$. A single peak is observed in the $^{11}$B NMR spectrum peak at 1.5 ppm characteristic of residual LiBF$_4$.

The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of the residual solids obtained from reduction of LiBOB displays a strong singlet at 173.2 ppm characteristic of in lithium oxalate. The other peaks observed in the $^{13}$C NMR spectra are characteristic of residual solvents, THF and
Et₂O, used for the reduction reaction. The peaks at 67.8 and 25.0 ppm are characteristic of residual THF while the peaks at 66.0 and 14.1 ppm are characteristic of residual Et₂O. There are no peaks observed for residual LiBOB in either the ¹¹B or ¹³C NMR spectra consistent with quantitative reduction of LiBOB under the reaction conditions.

The NMR spectrum of the residual solid from the reduction of LiDFOB is similar to the reduction products of LiBF₄ and LiBOB. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum is dominated by LiF at -122 ppm, but also contains small sets of peaks at -147 and -149 ppm characteristic of residual LiDFOB and LiBF₄, respectively. The corresponding peaks characteristic of LiDFOB and LiBF₄ are observed in the ¹¹B NMR spectra at 2.9 ppm and 1.5 ppm, respectively. The ¹³C NMR spectrum contains a strong peak at 173.2 ppm characteristic of lithium oxalate, along with peaks characteristic of residual THF and Et₂O. However, unlike LiBOB some residual LiDFOB is observed at 161.1 ppm.

The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the solids from the reduction of LiTFSI shows a strong singlet corresponding to LiF. In addition, two strong peaks at -75.6 ppm and -72.7 ppm with peak areas in 2:1 ratio. The integrated peak area of 2:1 is independent of the quantity of Li[NAP] added suggesting that they arise from a single molecular species. The spectral data is consistent with lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethylsulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiOS(CF₃)(NSO₂CF₃)₂ as previously reported. No residual Li TFSI is observed at -79.4 ppm in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum.

**FTIR analysis of the solids**

In an effort to further understand the composition of the solids obtained from reduction, the reduction products of salts have been analyzed with IR-ATR. The IR-
ATR spectra of the solids generated from the reduction of LiBOB and LiDFOB are provided in Figure 4.3. IR-ATR spectra of the residual solids for the other salts were also acquired, but the spectra were dominated by residual solvent and naphthalene since the decomposition products do not contain any functional groups which strongly absorb IR radiation, consistent with the observation of LiF, in NMR analysis.

The reduction product of LiBOB exhibits strong absorptions around 1670, 1330 and 780 cm\(^{-1}\) characteristic of lithium oxalate. The twin peaks at 1805 and 1770 cm\(^{-1}\) are characteristic of -CO\(_2\)-B-CO\(_2\)- oscillations and the peak at 1250 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to combination of O-C-C asymmetric stretching and O-B-O bending, suggesting the presence of boron-oxalato-ester species, likely a combination of residual LiBOB and oligomeric borates as previously reported.\(^{23,24}\) A weak broad absorption is also observed between 1400 and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), consistent with the presence of Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\). In addition to the reduction products, absorptions corresponding to residual THF at 1070 cm\(^{-1}\) & 910 cm\(^{-1}\) are also observed. Reduction product of LiDFOB displays IR absorptions very similar to the solids from LiBOB consistent with the presence of lithium oxalate, borane-oxalato-ester species, and Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\), except the intensity of the broad absorption characteristic of Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is greater.

**X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy of the solids**

The solids generated from the reduction of LiBF\(_4\) and LiPF\(_6\) were analyzed with XPS and the spectra are displayed in Figure 4.4. XPS analysis of the other residual solids was attempted, but the insoluble reduction products contain residual solvent and naphthalene which cannot be removed which resulted in long term contamination of the
XPS analysis chamber. Thus, we were unable to obtain XPS spectra of the other reduction products.

The F1s spectrum of the residual solid from the reduction of LiPF$_6$ is dominated by a peak at 685 eV characteristic of LiF. The shoulder at 688.3 is characteristic of P-F species in LiPF$_2$ and Li$_x$PO$_y$F$_z$. The P2p spectrum contains a strong peak at 130.0 eV corresponding to LiPF$_2$ species and the small peak at 136.0 eV is characteristic of Li$_x$PO$_y$F$_z$. The low concentration of Li$_x$PO$_y$F$_z$ most likely results from reaction of LiPF$_2$ with trace oxygen. The Li1s spectrum exhibits a broad peak around 56.3 eV corresponding to combination of LiF, LiPF$_2$, and Li$_x$PO$_y$F$_z$. No residual LiPF$_6$ (F1s, 687.6 eV; P2p, 137.8 eV) is observed.

The F1s spectrum of the residual solids from the reduction of LiBF$_4$ is dominated by a peak at 685 eV characteristic of LiF. A shoulder is observed at 687.5 is characteristic of B-F species in Li$_x$BF$_y$ and residual LiBF$_4$. The B1s spectrum is dominated by a peak at 190.5 eV corresponding to Li$_x$B$_y$F$_z$ species with a small peak at 195.7 eV is characteristic of residual LiBF$_4$. The Li1s spectrum exhibits a broad peak around 56.3 eV corresponding to combination of LiF, residual LiBF$_4$ and Li$_x$BF$_y$.

**DISCUSSION**

The reduction products of some of the most common electrolyte salts have been investigated by a combination of NMR, GC-MS, IR-ATR, and XPS analyses. All the fluorine containing salts generate LiF upon reduction. Reduction of LiPF$_6$ yields primarily LiF and LiPF$_2$ species. LiF is the dominant fluorine species observed in NMR and F1s XPS analyses. LiPF$_2$ is observed as the dominant phosphorous containing species observed in the P2p XPS spectrum and the small amount of observed Li$_x$PO$_y$F$_z$,
is most likely resulting from the reaction of LiPF$_2$ with trace water or oxygen. Upon preparation of the samples for NMR analysis the LiPF$_2$ is converted to LiPO$_2$F$_2$ via hydrolysis. The observations are consistent with and complementary to previous reports.$^{10}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LiPF}_6 & \xrightarrow{3 \text{ e}^-} 4 \text{ LiF + LiPF}_2 \\
\text{LiPF}_2 & \xrightarrow{2[O]} \text{LiPO}_2\text{F}_2
\end{align*}
\]

LiBF$_4$ is estimated to undergo $3$ e$^-$ electron reduction via quantitative solution NMR, with LiF being the predominant product as observed in solution NMR and XPS spectra. In addition, reduced fluoroboron species (Li$_x$BF$_y$) is observed with XPS analysis. Li$_x$BF$_y$ is likely a crosslinked, insoluble compound as it is not observed in $^{11}$B NMR spectrum, despite exhibiting a strong signal in B1s XPS spectrum. Observations are consistent with and complementary to previous reports.$^{25,26}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LiBF}_4 & \xrightarrow{3 \text{ e}^-} (4-y) \text{ LiF + Li}_x\text{BF}_y
\end{align*}
\]

Reduction of LiBOB results in primarily lithium oxalate and small amounts of lithium carbonate as observed in NMR spectroscopy and IR spectroscopy. Roughly two electrons are consumed in the reduction process as estimated through quantitative solution NMR. Boron-oxalatoeester species observed in the IR spectrum is likely crosslinked hence insoluble as it is not observed with solution NMR spectroscopy. Observations are consistent with and complementary to previous reports.$^{27}$ CO$_2$ was not
observed by GC-MS analysis, but the presence of Li$_2$CO$_3$ in the solid residue is likely the result of CO$_2$ reduction.$^{28}$

![Chemical structure](image1)

Reduction of LiDFOB results in lithium oxalate, LiF and small amounts of lithium carbonate as observed in NMR spectroscopy and IR spectroscopy. Roughly two electrons are consumed in the reduction process as estimated through quantitative solution NMR. Boron-oxalatoester (possibly fluorinated) species is likely crosslinked hence insoluble, as it is not observed with solution NMR spectroscopy, despite exhibiting detectable peaks in IR spectroscopy. Again, no CO$_2$ was observed, but the presence of Li$_2$CO$_3$ likely results from CO$_2$ reduction.$^{28}$

![Chemical structure](image2)

Reduction of LiTFSI results in primarily lithium fluoride and lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethylsulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate as observed in NMR spectroscopy. lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethylsulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate is likely be formed through reductive cleavage of N-S bond, consistent with previous theoretical predictions.$^{14,15}$ Li$_2$S, Li$_2$S$_2$O$_4$, Li$_2$SO$_3$, Li$_3$N, LiF, and C$_2$F$_x$Li$_y$ have been reported to result from reduction of LiTFSI on negative electrode surfaces.$^{14,29}$ Number of electron required for the complete reduction of LiTFSI is estimated to be ~ 12 with quantitative NMR analysis. The estimate is consistent with cleavage of N-S bond.
followed by step by step reduction of fragments yielding, various sulfites, sulfide and nitride species. The source of Li₂CO₃ is not identified, but unlikely to result from the reduction of LiTFSI.

CONCLUSIONS

Reduction reactions of some of the most robust electrolyte salts for lithium-ion batteries were investigated and significant new insights were gained. LiBF₄ undergoes a three-electron reduction mechanism and generates LiF and insoluble LiₓBFᵧ species. LiBOB and LiDFOB undergo two-electron reduction and generate lithium oxalate and boron-oxalato-esters. In addition, LiDFOB generates LiF as well. Reduction of LiPF₆ results in LiF and LiPF₂ species. LiₓBFᵧ and LiPF₂ could abstract oxygen from carbonate solvents and form fluoroborates and fluorophosphates, respectively. LiTFSI undergoes a twelve-electron reduction. LiF and lithium bis[N-(trifluoromethyl)sulfonylimino)] trifluoromethanesulfonate are observed with NMR spectroscopy. Other likely products include, lithium sulfur oxides, lithium sulfides, lithium nitrides and lithium oxide.
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Figure 4.1. Structures of the electrolyte salts
Figure 4.2. Solution NMR spectra of the solids from the Li[NAP] reduction of electrolyte salts.
Figure 4.3. FTIR spectra of the solids from the Li[NAP] reduction of LiBOB and LiDFOB
Figure 4.4. XPS spectra of the solids from the Li[NaP] reduction of LiBF₄ and LiPF₆
CHAPTER 5 - DECOMPOSITION REACTIONS OF ANODE SOLID ELECTROLYTE INTERPHASE (SEI) COMPONENTS WITH LiPF₆

Bharathy S. Parimalam, Alex D. MacIntosh, Rahul Kadam and Brett L. Lucht*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI, 02881 USA

The following is published in the Journal of Physical Chemistry C, and is presented here in manuscript format.
ABSTRACT

The anode solid electrolyte interface (SEI) on the anode of lithium ion batteries contains lithium carbonate (Li$_2$CO$_3$), lithium methyl carbonate (LMC), and lithium ethylene dicarbonate (LEDC). The development of a strong physical understanding of the properties of the SEI requires a strong understanding of the evolution of the SEI composition over extended timeframes. The thermal stability of Li$_2$CO$_3$, LMC, and LEDC in the presence of LiPF$_6$ in dimethyl carbonate (DMC), a common salt and solvent, respectively, in lithium ion battery electrolytes, has been investigated to afford a better understanding of the evolution of the SEI. The residual solids from the reaction mixtures have been characterized by a combination of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) while the solution and evolved gasses have been investigated by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and gas chromatography with mass selective detection (GC-MS). The thermal decomposition of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and LiPF$_6$ in DMC yields CO$_2$, LiF, and F$_2$PO$_2$Li. The thermal decomposition of LMC and LEDC with LiPF$_6$ in DMC results in the generation of a complicated mixture including CO$_2$, LiF, ethers, phosphates, and fluorophosphates.
INTRODUCTION

Lithium-ion batteries (LIB) are widely used as energy storage devices in portable electronics\(^1\) and increasingly in electric vehicles due to their high energy density. However, LIB exhibit poor capacity retention at moderately elevated temperatures,\(^2\) which is undesirable for many of the intended applications. Impedance growth and loss of cyclable lithium are reported to be the main contributors to capacity fade.\(^3\)–\(^5\)

Lithium-ion batteries typically contain a graphite negative electrode, a lithiated transition metal oxide positive electrode, and an electrolyte composed of LiPF\(_6\) dissolved in a mixture of organic carbonate solvents.\(^6\) The SEI (solid electrolyte interphase) is formed on the surface of the anode from the electrochemical reduction of the electrolyte and plays a crucial role in the long-term cyclability of LIB.\(^7\) While the SEI has been reported to be a complex mixture of compounds, the initially formed components of the SEI are dominated by LiF, Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\), lithium ethylene dicarbonate (\((\text{CH}_2\text{OCO}_2\text{Li})_2\), LEDC) and lithium alkyl carbonates (ROCO\(_2\)Li).\(^7\)–\(^11\) The poor thermal stability of the SEI layer has been attributed to exothermal reactions between lithium alkyl carbonates and LiPF\(_6\).\(^12,13\) While the relationship between capacity fade and SEI instability is clear,\(^12\)–\(^14\) and there have been some investigations of SEI component evolution\(^15,16\) the mechanism of SEI component decomposition is complicated by the presence of many different components. The limited understanding of the evolution of the SEI components over time has significantly limited efforts to understand the mechanism of ion transport through the SEI via computational modeling.\(^17\)–\(^25\) A more comprehensive understanding of the decomposition reactions will aid computational
scientists to develop a better physical understanding of the evolution of ion conducting mechanisms in the SEI and will help to improve the calendar life of lithium-ion batteries.

The SEI components lithium ethylene dicarbonate (LEDC), and lithium methyl carbonate (LMC) were independently synthesized by reduction of EC and DMC with lithium naphthalenide.\textsuperscript{10} The decomposition reactions of Li$_2$CO$_3$, LEDC and LMC in the presence of LiPF$_6$ have been investigated. The decomposition products were analyzed via a combination of nuclear resonance spectroscopy (NMR), infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and gas chromatography with mass selective detection (GC-MS).

Experimental

Battery-grade DMC and LiPF$_6$ were obtained from BASF. Li$_2$CO$_3$ was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. LMC and LEDC were synthesized and purified as previously described.\textsuperscript{26} All the reagents were stored in nitrogen filled glove box at room temperature and used without further purification.

The concentrations of LiPF$_6$ and lithium carbonates were fixed at 0.65 mmol/mL in DMC. Samples were prepared inside the nitrogen filled glovebox. The samples were added to dry NMR tubes with DMSO-d$_6$ capillaries, sealed with rubber septa, transferred out of the glovebox, flame sealed without air exposure, and analyzed by NMR spectroscopy. The samples were then stored at 55°C for 48 hours in an oil bath followed by analysis with NMR and GC-MS. Comparable samples were prepared on larger scale in glass ampules for the analysis of the solid resides. The solid residues were washed with DMC three times, dried overnight at room temperature, and analyzed
with IR-ATR and XPS. Comparable samples were prepared in a Schlenk tube for overhead gas analyses by GC-MS. Comparable samples were prepared in stainless steel coin cells with comparable results to confirm that the glass containers play no role in the observed reactions.

NMR spectra of the samples were collected with a Bruker Avance III 300 MHz NMR spectrometer at room temperature before and after the high-temperature storage with and without proton decoupling. $^{19}$F NMR resonances were referenced to LiPF$_6$ at -72.4 ppm and $^{31}$P NMR spectra were referenced to LiPF$_6$ at -146.1 ppm. The chemical shifts and coupling constants of OP(OCH$_3$)$_3$, F$_2$PO$_2$Li, and LiF were confirmed through NMR analyses of the corresponding pure compounds dissolved in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC. The spectra were processed and analyzed using MestReNova 10.0.2.

GC-MS analyses were conducted with Agilent 6890-5973N GC equipped with an Agilent G973N mass selective detector. Liquid samples were diluted with dichloromethane, quenched with water to remove inorganic components, and the organic phase was utilized for the analysis. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 24 mL/min. The initial column temperature was 40°C and the temperature was ramped at 10°C/min to 200°C and held at that temperature for 2 minutes with the total run time of 18 minutes. The gas analyses were performed by sampling the head spaces of a Schlenk tubes with a 10 µL GC syringe. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The initial column temperature was set to 40 °C, and the temperature was ramped at 1 °C/min to 43 °C and held at that temperature for 2 min with the total run time of 5 min. The mass spectra obtained were compared to the NIST library to determine their molecular structures.
FTIR spectra of the dried solid residues were acquired on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer equipped with a germanium crystal in attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) mode. Samples were transferred using air-tight vials and the spectrometer was operated inside a nitrogen filled glovebox to avoid air exposure. Each spectrum was acquired with 128 scans from 700 cm\(^{-1}\) to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) at the spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The data were processed and analyzed using the OPUS and Originlab software.

XPS spectra of the dried precipitates were acquired using a Thermo Scientific K-alpha XPS. Samples were made into circular pellets with a press or stuck on a conductive carbon tape as a thin layer and transferred from the glovebox to the XPS chamber using a vacuum transfer module without exposure to air. An argon flood gun was used to avoid surface charge accumulation during sample analysis. The binding energy was corrected based on the C 1s of hydrocarbon at 284.8 eV. The data were processed and analyzed using the Thermo Avantage, XPS Peak 4.1 and the Originlab software.

RESULTS

*Reactivity of lithium carbonates with LiPF\(_6\) in DMC.*

In an effort to better understand the stability and decomposition products of components of the anode SEI with the electrolyte, the reactions of three different lithium carbonates, Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\), LMC, and LEDC with LiPF\(_6\) have been investigated in DMC. LEDC and LMC have been independently prepared via the chemical reduction by lithium naphthalenide while Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is commercially available.\(^9\)\(^{-11}\)

The stability of Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) has been investigated in DMC with and without added LiPF\(_6\). Upon incorporation of Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) into DMC very little Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) appears to dissolve
in the DMC and the solvent remains colorless. Upon storage of the sample at 55°C for two days there is no visible change to the sample. Initial incorporation of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and LiPF$_6$ in DMC is similar to that observed for Li$_2$CO$_3$ in DMC. Very little Li$_2$CO$_3$ is dissolved and the solution remains clear. Very different results are observed upon storage at 55°C for two days. The sample becomes dark brown and cloudy.

Very similar visual observations are made with both LMC and LEDC. Upon incorporation of either LMC or LEDC into DMC very little LMC or LEDC appear to dissolve in the DMC and the solvent remains colorless upon storage at 55 °C for two days. Incorporation of LMC or LEDC and LiPF$_6$ in DMC followed by storage at 55 °C for two days results in the generation of a cloudy dark brown mixture. In order to develop a better understanding of the changes to the mixtures, the soluble portion was analyzed by solution NMR spectroscopy and GC-MS, the residual solids were analyzed by XPS and IR-ATR, and the headspace gas was analyzed by GC-MS.

**NMR Spectroscopy of the solutions**

All of the samples were analyzed by $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy before and after storage at elevated temperature. The NMR spectra after storage at 55°C are provided in Figure 5.1 and the spectral data listed in Table 5.1. The $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectra of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC contains a doublet at -72.4 ppm (706 Hz) in the $^{19}$F spectrum and a septet at -146.1 ppm (706 Hz) in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum characteristic of LiPF$_6$. The $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectra of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC with added LEDC, LMC, and Li$_2$CO$_3$ before storage at 55 °C are all identical to the sample of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC. Upon storage of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC at 55 °C there is no change to the NMR spectra and the same resonances characteristic of LiPF$_6$ are observed (Figure 5.1).
Upon storage of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC with added Li$_2$CO$_3$ at 55 °C, in addition to the peaks characteristic of LiPF$_6$ new peaks are observed in both the $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectra. The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum contains a new doublet at -83.6 ppm (940 Hz) coupled to a new triplet in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum at -20.4 ppm (940 Hz). The resonances match the NMR spectra of lithium difluoro phosphate, F$_2$PO$_2$Li. In addition, a singlet is observed at -153.9 ppm in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum corresponding to LiF. Interestingly, integration of the $^{31}$P NMR spectra reveal that when the molar ratio of Li$_2$CO$_3$:LiPF$_6$ is 1:1, ~50 % of the LiPF$_6$ is converted to F$_2$PO$_2$Li.

Storage of LiPF$_6$ in DMC with added LMC or LEDC results in much more complicated $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectra (Figure 5.1). Upon incorporation of LMC and LiPF$_6$ in DMC followed by storage at 55°C, new peaks characteristic of OP(OCH$_3$)$_3$ ($^{31}$P, -0.6 ppm, s), OPF(OCH$_3$)$_2$ ($^{19}$F, -85.9 ppm, d; $^{31}$P, -9.4 ppm, d; 965 Hz), OPF$_2$(OCH$_3$) ($^{19}$F, -86.1 ppm, d; $^{31}$P, -20.1 ppm, t; 1007 Hz), LiF ($^{19}$F, -153.9 ppm), and HF ($^{19}$F, -189 ppm, s) are observed, in addition to LiPF$_6$. Storage of LEDC with LiPF$_6$ in DMC at 55°C produces new peaks corresponding to a more complicated mixture of compounds including OP(OCH$_3$)$_3$, OPF(OCH$_3$)$_2$, OPF$_2$(OCH$_3$), F$_2$PO$_2$Li, HF, and LiF. In addition, broad $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P peaks with similar coupling constants and patterns are observed consistent with the presence of fluourophosphates with oligoethylene oxide substituents, such as OPF$_2$(OCH$_2$CH$_2$O)$_n$CH$_3$.

**GCMS analyses of volatiles and evolved gases**

All samples were analyzed by GC-MS after storage at 55°C for two days. Both the headspace was analyzed for evolved gases and the solution was investigated for volatile compounds. There were no detectible gasses observed in the headspace of the
LiPF₆ in DMC samples after storage while the only detectible volatile compound in the solution is DMC. These results suggest that there is no reaction of LiPF₆ in DMC under the storage conditions. Upon storage of LiPF₆ in DMC with added Li₂CO₃, carbon dioxide is detected in the headspace, consistent with previous reports.²⁸,²⁹ DMC is the only volatile component observed in the solution for Li₂CO₃ and LiPF₆ in DMC samples, consistent with the NMR results since F₂PO₂Li is not volatile.

Upon storage of LiPF₆ and LMC in DMC, the headspace contains CO₂ and dimethyl ether (CH₃OCH₃). The solution phase of LiPF₆ and LMC in DMC contains OP(OCH₃)₃ and OPF(OCH₃)₂, as observed by NMR spectroscopy, along with CH₃OCH₃. Upon storage of LiPF₆ and LEDC in DMC, the headspace contains CH₃OCH₃ and CO₂. We should note that ethylene, a possible decomposition product from LEDC and LiPF₆ in DMC, may go undetected if present in small quantities since the molar mass is the same as atmospheric nitrogen which is observed under our sampling protocol. The solution phase of LiPF₆ and LEDC in DMC contains OP(OCH₃)₃ and OPF(OCH₃)₂, as observed by NMR spectroscopy, along and fluourophosphates with oligoethylene oxide substituted ethers or phosphate esters, as previously reported for the thermal decomposition of EC in LiPF₆ containing electrolytes.¹⁹

**FTIR Spectroscopy of the solid residues**

The IR-ATR spectra of Li₂CO₃, LEDC, and LMC before and the residue after reaction of the different lithium carbonates with LiPF₆ in DMC are provided in Figure 5.2. The IR spectrum of Li₂CO₃ contains two strong peaks centered at 1490 and 1450 cm⁻¹ and a weak peak at 858 cm⁻¹. After storage of Li₂CO₃ in the presence of DMC for
2 days at 55°C, the insoluble residue was isolated. The IR-ATR spectrum exhibits essentially the same absorbance patterns as of pure Li$_2$CO$_3$ starting material, indicating little reactivity between lithium carbonate and DMC under the storage conditions. However, after storage of Li$_2$CO$_3$ in the presence of 0.65 M LiPF$_6$ in DMC under similar conditions, the residue does not exhibit any peaks associated with Li$_2$CO$_3$ and instead contain several weak absorbances at 1300, 1162, and 758 cm$^{-1}$. The structure of the compound associated with these IR absorbances is unclear, but the absence of Li$_2$CO$_3$ in the residual solid is very clear. Similarly, the characteristic peak of lithium alkyl carbonates, corresponding to C=O bonds, is observed at 1650 cm$^{-1}$. The residues obtained from samples containing LMC or LEDC in DMC exhibit IR absorptions similar to the starting material, whereas IR-ATR spectra of the residues obtained from samples containing LMC or LEDC and LiPF$_6$ in DMC contain no peaks associated with LMC or LEDC, respectively. Absorptions are observed in the 720-740 cm$^{-1}$ region which remain unidentified, but the absence of the lithium alkyl carbonates is clear. The absence of LMC or LEDC in the precipitate suggests that the majority of the lithium carbonates react with LiPF$_6$ in DMC during storage at 55°C.

*X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of the residues*

The XPS spectra of the residues after reaction of the different lithium carbonates with LiPF$_6$ in DMC are provided in Figure 5.3. The XPS spectrum of the residue from the reaction of Li$_2$CO$_3$ with LiPF$_6$ has a very high concentration of Li and F, 38 and 42 %, respectively, and very low concentrations of C, O, and P 8, 6, and 6 % respectively. The F 1s spectrum is dominated by a peak at 685 eV and the Li 1s spectrum is dominated by a peak at 56.4 eV coupled with the ~ 1:1 ratio of F to Li suggest that the residue is
predominantly LiF. The F1s spectrum also contains a small shoulder at 687.5 eV along with related O 1s and P 2p peaks at 533 and 136 eV, respectively, consistent with the presence of a low concentration of Li$_3$PF$_5$O$_2$. The C 1s spectrum is dominated by a peak at 285 eV which likely results primarily from residual naphthalene or hydrocarbon contamination. There is no evidence for any residual Li$_2$CO$_3$ at ~290 eV in the C1s XPS spectra, consistent with the IR-ATR spectra.

The XPS spectra of the residue from the reactions of LEDC or LMC with LiPF$_6$ are very similar to the XPS spectra of the residue from the reaction of Li$_2$CO$_3$. The XPS spectra are dominated by F 1s and Li 1s peaks characteristic of LiF. However, the concentrations of C and O are slightly higher suggesting that there may be a higher concentration of lithium alkoxides or related organic species. The XPS peak characteristic of the –CO$_3$ group in LMC and LEDC at ~290 eV is not observed in any of the residual precipitates.

DISCUSSION

The thermal stability of common SEI components, Li$_2$CO$_3$, LMC, and LEDC, in the presence of the most common salt for lithium ion battery electrolytes, LiPF$_6$, has been investigated by a combination of IR-ATR, XPS, solution NMR, and GC-MS. In all cases the presence of LiPF$_6$ significantly decreases the stability of the lithium carbonates. While the products of the reactions are similar for all lithium carbonates investigated the thermal decomposition of Li$_2$CO$_3$ is the most straightforward.

The reaction of Li$_2$CO$_3$ with LiPF$_6$ results in the quantitative decomposition of the Li$_2$CO$_3$. A single gas, CO$_2$, is observed by GC-MS. The residual solid from the reaction is predominantly LiF, as supported by XPS and IR-ATR. The solution phase
contains a single decomposition product, F₂PO₂Li consistent with previous reports.³⁰
The low concentration of P in the residual solid is consistent with the generation of a
soluble P containing species, F₂PO₂Li. The generation of soluble P containing species
is the likely reason that the composition of the SEI typically has a much higher ratio of
F:P than the 6:1 expected for LiPF₆. When a 1:1 stoichiometry of Li₂CO₃ to LiPF₆ is
used, ~50 % of the LiPF₆ is converted to F₂PO₂Li and LiF. This reaction is consistent
with the equation 1.

\[
\text{LiPF}_6 + 2 \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightarrow 2 \text{CO}_2 + 4 \text{LiF} + \text{F}_2\text{PO}_2\text{Li} \quad (1)
\]

A similar, but slightly more complicated, decomposition reaction is observed for
LMC with LiPF₆. In addition to CO₂ and LiF, phosphates and fluorophosphates,
OP(OCH₃)₃, OPF(OCH₃)₂, and OPF₂(OCH₃), are observed in solution by NMR and
GC-MS instead of F₂PO₂Li. Since the transesterification reactions are not observed for
Li₂CO₃, the presence of the alkoxy group is required to initiate transesterification.
Dimethyl ether is also observed from the decomposition of LMC with LiPF₆ in DMC,
suggesting competitive acid mediated ether exchange reactions. It is important to note
that no methyl fluoride (CH₃F) is observed suggesting that the reaction does not involve
an Arbuzov rearrangement. The reaction is consistent with equation 2. The initially
formed OPF₂(OCH₃) continues further transesterification reactions with LMC to
generate OPF(OCH₃)₂ and OP(OCH₃)₃ along with more LiF and CO₂, as depicted in
equation 3. The importance of the alkoxy group was verified via the reaction of LiPF₆
with Li₂CO₃ and LiOCH₃, which results in the generation of the decomposition products
of both LMC and Li₂CO₃ with LiPF₆. The presence of LiPF₆ and dissociation into LiF
and the strong Lewis acid PF₅ likely mediates the generation of the alkoxide involved
in the transesterification and ether formation reactions (equation 4). A detailed mechanistic investigation of the reactions is beyond the scope of this manuscript, but the reactions depicted in Eq 2-4 are likely combinations of well-known organic chemistry reactions: transesterification, etherification, and decarbonylation.\textsuperscript{31}

\begin{align*}
\text{LiPF}_6 + 3 \text{LiO}O\text{OCH}_3 & \rightarrow 4 \text{LiF} + 3 \text{CO}_2 + \text{F}_2\text{OP(OCH}_3)_2 \text{OCH}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{C}O\text{OCH}_3 \quad (2) \\
\text{F}_2\text{OP(OCH}_3)_2 \text{OCH}_3 + \text{LiO}O\text{OCH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{LiF} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{COF(OCH}_3)_2 \text{OCH}_3 \quad (3) \\
\text{LiO}O\text{OCH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{OLi} \quad (4)
\end{align*}

Similar, but more complicated, decomposition reactions are observed for the reaction of LEDC with LiPF\textsubscript{6}. All of the species observed from the decomposition reactions of Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} and LMC with LiPF\textsubscript{6} (CO\textsubscript{2}, LiF, CH\textsubscript{3}OCH\textsubscript{3}, OP(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}, OPF(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}, OPF\textsubscript{2}(OCH\textsubscript{3}), and F\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{2}Li) are observed for the decomposition of LEDC with LiPF\textsubscript{6}, suggesting similar reactions to those depicted in equations 1-4. This is consistent with transient generation lithium alkoxides leading to transesterification and ether exchange reactions of the methoxy substituents of the DMC solvent. The presence of oligoethylene oxide substituted phosphates and oligoethylene oxide ether ethers suggests that the LEDC decomposes via equation 5, which is analogous to equation 2 for LMC.
Finally, HF is observed with both LEDC and LMC but not with Li$_2$CO$_3$. While HF generation could result from decomposition of the alkoxy substituent, the deprotonation of a methyl group in LMC or DMC would be unusual. Unfortunately, the source of the HF remains unclear at this time.

CONCLUSIONS

The stability of lithium carbonates, Li$_2$CO$_3$, LMC, and LEDC, in the presence of LiPF$_6$ in DMC has been investigated by a combination of NMR, GC-MS, IR-ATR and XPS. All of the lithium carbonates are stable upon storage in DMC for 48 hours at 55 °C. Addition of LiPF$_6$ to lithium carbonates in DMC results in quantitative decomposition of the lithium carbonates upon storage at 55°C for 48 hours. The decomposition of Li$_2$CO$_3$ generates only three products in high yield, CO$_2$, LiF, and F$_2$PO$_2$Li. The decomposition reactions of LMC and LEDC are more complicated due to the presence of the alkoxy substituent of the lithium alkyl carbonates. The decomposition generates a complicated mixture of CO$_2$, LiF, ethers, phosphates, and fluorophosphates. The LiPF$_6$ mediated decomposition of lithium carbonates, a common component of the SEI on the anode of lithium ion batteries, provides insight into the mechanism of changes of the anode SEI upon long term cycling of lithium ion batteries.
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Figure 5.1. $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectra of sample (a) 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC (b) Li$_2$CO$_3$ in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC (c) LMC in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC and (d) LEDC in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC s after 48 hours of storage at 55°C
| Species          | $^{19}$Fδ (mult, $J_{FP}$) | $^{31}$Pδ (mult, $J_{FP}$) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LiPF$_6$         | -72.4 (d, $J= 706$ Hz)     | -146.1(sept, $J= 706$ Hz)  |
| F$_2$PO$_2$Li    | -83.6 (d, $J= 940$ Hz)     | -20.4 (t, $J= 940$ Hz)     |
| O=P(OMe)$_3$     | -                           | -0.6 (s)                    |
| O=PF(OMe)$_2$    | -85.9 (d, $J= 965$ Hz)     | -9.4 (d, $J= 965$ Hz)      |
| O=PF$_2$(OMe)    | -86.1 (d, $J=1007$ Hz)     | -20.1 (t, $J=1007$ Hz)     |
| LiF              | -153.9 (s)                  | -                           |
| HF               | -189 (s)                    | -                           |

Table 5.1. $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P NMR spectral data of the decomposition products
Figure 5.2. FTIR spectra of the pure Li₂CO₃, LMC, LEDC, and dried precipitates obtained from (a) Li₂CO₃ in 0.65M LiPF₆/DMC (b) LMC in 0.65 M LiPF₆/DMC and (c) LEDC in 0.65 M LiPF₆/DMC samples after 48 hours of storage at 55°C.
Figure 5.3. F1s, P2p, C1s and O1s XPS spectra of the residues obtained from (a) Li$_2$CO$_3$ in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC (b) LMC in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC and (c) LEDC in 0.65 M LiPF$_6$/DMC after 48 hours of storage at 55°C