NDF, a nucleosome-destabilizing factor that facilitates transcription through nucleosomes
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Our understanding of transcription by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) is limited by our knowledge of the factors that mediate this critically important process. Here we describe the identification of NDF, a nucleosome-destabilizing factor that facilitates Pol II transcription in chromatin. NDF has a PWWP motif, interacts with nucleosomes near the dyad, destabilizes nucleosomes in an ATP-independent manner, and facilitates transcription by Pol II through nucleosomes in a purified and defined transcription system as well as in cell nuclei. Upon transcriptional induction, NDF is recruited to the transcribed regions of thousands of genes and colocalizes with a subset of H3K36me3-enriched regions. Notably, the recruitment of NDF to gene bodies is accompanied by an increase in the transcript levels of many of the NDF-enriched genes. In addition, the global loss of NDF results in a decrease in the RNA levels of many genes. In humans, NDF is present at high levels in all tested tissue types, is essential in stem cells, and is frequently overexpressed in breast cancer. These findings indicate that NDF is a nucleosome-destabilizing factor that is recruited to gene bodies during transcriptional activation and facilitates Pol II transcription through nucleosomes.
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In the eukaryotic nucleus, transcription of protein-coding genes is carried out by RNA polymerase II (Pol II). Our understanding of Pol II transcription is limited, however, by our knowledge of the factors that mediate this essential process. In spite of considerable progress, many important elements of Pol II transcription remain unsolved.

One particularly intriguing aspect of transcription by Pol II is the ability of the enzyme to traverse the nucleosomal template. A key protein that promotes transcription and DNA replication in chromatin is FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription/transactions) [for example, see Reinberg and Sims 2006; Formosa 2008]. FACT binds to nucleosomes and can remove an H2A–H2B dimer or reorganize the nucleosome into an altered structure. In humans, however, the SSRP1 subunit of FACT is not detectable in many tissue types (Supplemental Fig. S1A; Garcia et al. 2011; Uhlén et al. 2015). It thus appears that critical components of the human transcription elongation machinery have yet to be identified.

In our studies of chromatin dynamics, we became drawn to the study of Pol II elongation in chromatin through our analysis of the prenucleosome, a conformational isomer of the nucleosome that associates with ~80-base-pair (bp) DNA rather than ~147-bp DNA as in a canonical nucleosome (Torigoe et al. 2011, 2013; Fei et al. 2015; Khuong et al. 2015). Notably, H3K56, which is located at the DNA entry and exit points of the nucleosome, can be acetylated by p300 in prenucleosomes but not in canonical nucleosomes (Fei et al. 2015). This observation is consistent with the partial unwrapping of the DNA in a prenucleosome relative to a nucleosome.

The properties of the prenucleosome led us to consider whether there might be factors that could partially disassemble a nucleosome and facilitate the acetylation of nucleosomal H3K56. These studies resulted in the identification of a protein that we term NDF [nucleosome-
destabilizing factor), which destabilizes nucleosomes, is enriched in the transcribed regions of many active genes, facilitates transcription by Pol II through nucleosomes, and is present in all tested human tissue types. The identification of NDF reveals a new Pol II elongation factor that is structurally and functionally distinct from FACT.

Results

Identification and purification of NDF, a novel nucleosome-destablizing factor

To identify factors that destabilize or disassemble nucleosomes, we used an assay that was based on our observations of H3K56 accessibility in prenucleosomes versus nucleosomes [Fei et al. 2015]. Specifically, we tested for activities that would enable nucleosomal H3K56 to be acetylated by purified p300. In principle, this assay would reveal factors that either destabilize nucleosomes or unwrap DNA from the ends of nucleosomes. As shown in Figure 1A, we identified a heat-sensitive activity in a nuclear extract from Drosophila embryos that stimulates the ability of p300 to acetylate H3K56 with a chromatin substrate. This activity was purified by six column chromatography steps (Fig. 1B). The final Source 15Q column yielded a major ∼75-kDa polypeptide as well as Drosophila yolk proteins (Fig. 1C), which are among the most abundant proteins in embryos. Mass spectrometry revealed that the ∼75-kDa polypeptide is the CG4747 protein. To test whether the presence of CG4747 correlates with the biochemical activity, we synthesized full-length Drosophila CG4747 in bacteria and generated polyclonal antisera in rabbits. Western blot analysis with the antibodies revealed that CG4747 mostly correlates with the stimulation of nucleosomal H3K56 acetylation (Fig. 1C).

CG4747 was identified previously by ChIP-mass spectrometry as a factor that associates with the Drosophila MSL (male-specific lethal) complex and was found to facilitate the targeting of MSL to active genes in a manner that is dependent on H3K36 trimethylation [H3K36me3] by Set2 [Wang et al. 2013]. The human homolog of the CG4747 gene is GLYR1 [glyoxylate reductase 1 homolog; also known as N-PAC and NP60]. The GLYR1 protein was identified in a screen for factors that preferentially bind to H3K36me3 relative to unmethylated H3K36 [Vermeulen et al. 2010] and is present at high levels in the nuclei of all tested tissue types [Supplemental Fig. S1A]. The GLYR1 gene appears to be essential in human HUES62 stem cells but not in human A375 melanoma cells [Shalem et al. 2014]. GLYR1 was observed to stimulate H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 demethylation by LSD2 [KDM1b] [Fang et al. 2013]; however, the region of human GLYR1 that interacts with LSD2 is not present in Drosophila CG4747 [Supplemental Fig. S1B]. Both CG4747 and GLYR1 proteins contain a PWWP domain that binds to methylated lysines [Qin and Min 2014] and a dehydrogenase domain [Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S1B] that does not appear to be enzymatically active in human GLYR1 [Fang et al. 2013]. In addition, human GLYR1 has a putative DNA-binding AT-hook motif, but Drosophila CG4747 does not [Supplemental Fig. S1B]. Based on the shared biochemical activities of CG4747 and GLYR1 (as described in this work), we designated these factors as NDF.

NDF destabilizes nucleosomes in an ATP-independent manner

To test the biochemical activities of Drosophila NDF [dNDF] and human NDF [hNDF], we synthesized and purified the full-length recombinant proteins [Fig. 2B].
observed that dNDF and hNDF are able to stimulate the acetylation of nucleosomal H3K56 by p300 in a dose-dependent and ATP-independent manner (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. S2A,B). Purified dNDF and hNDF did not exhibit intrinsic histone acetyltransferase activity (Fig. 2C) and also did not stimulate the acetylation of free histones by p300 [Supplemental Fig. S2C]. Thus, purified recombinant dNDF and hNDF can mediate the stimulation of nucleosomal H3K56 acetylation, as seen in our original assay with the native Drosophila factor (Fig. 1).

We next examined whether NDF has the ability to disassemble nucleosomes. To this end, we investigated the ability of NDF to disrupt nucleosome-mediated supercoiling of covalently closed circular plasmid DNA. The wrapping of DNA around the core histone octamer in a nucleosome causes a change in the linking number of approximately –1 (Germond et al. 1975). Therefore, nucleosome disassembly can be monitored by the loss of negative supercoiling in chromatin in circular plasmid DNA. We reconstituted nucleosomes onto plasmid DNA by using salt dialysis methodology and then treated the chromatin with NDF in the presence of topoisomerase I. The resulting DNA species were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis in the absence or presence of chloroquine, which introduces positive supercoils into the DNA and enables the differentiation between relaxed circular DNA and nicked circular DNA (Fig. 2D, Supplemental Fig. S2D,E). These experiments revealed that hNDF and dNDF are able to mediate the partial disassembly of nucleosomes (as seen by the loss of negative DNA supercoiling) in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Hence, the results from the nucleosomal H3K56 acetylation and the chromatin disruption experiments indicate that hNDF and dNDF can partially disassemble nucleosomes. In addition, because NDF functions in an ATP-independent manner, it appears that the binding of NDF to chromatin provides the energy for the destabilization of nucleosomes.

The recruitment of NDF to gene bodies upon transcriptional induction is accompanied by an increase in transcript levels

To analyze the properties of hNDF, we generated four hNDF knockout lines in HeLa cells as well as rabbit polyclonal antisera against the full-length protein (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. S2F). hNDF appears to be essential in human HUES62 stem cells but not in human A375 melanoma cells (Shalem et al. 2014). The hNDF knockout HeLa cells are viable but exhibit reduced growth rates relative to wild-type cells (Fig. 3B). The hNDF knockout cells were found to have a higher percentage of cells in G1 phase than wild-type cells (Fig. 3C). Single-cell live imaging experiments showed that the hNDF knockout cells have a longer duration of the cell cycle than wild-type cells and no apparent mitotic alterations [Supplemental Fig. S3].

To determine the localization of NDF, we carried out ChIP-seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation [ChIP] combined with high-throughput sequencing) analyses in wild-type and knockout [as background control] cells. We observed that endogenous hNDF is often enriched over the transcribed regions of active genes and colocalizes with H3K36me3 over those genes (Fig. 3E,F; Supplemental Fig. S4A). This distribution of hNDF is similar to that seen with epitope-tagged dNDF in transgenic Drosophila larvae [Wang et al. 2013] and is likely to be due, at least in part, to the binding of the PWWP domain to H3K36me3 [Wang et al. 2013; Qin and Min 2014]. Consistent with the presence of NDF over transcribed genes, hNDF is found predominantly in introns and exons [Supplemental Fig. S4B]. Strikingly, hNDF exhibits a distinct preference for longer genes relative to shorter genes (Fig. 3F).

To investigate the relationship between hNDF [ChIP], H3K36me3 [ChIP], and gene activity [RNA sequencing
[RNA-seq]), we subjected these three variables in 15,407 genes to k-means clustering and generated four clusters of genes (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S4C). Cluster 1 contained active genes that are enriched in NDF and H3K36me3 along the gene body. Cluster 2 had active genes with lower levels of NDF and intermediate to high levels of H3K36me3. Clusters 3 and 4 contained less active or inactive genes with low levels of NDF and H3K36me3. This analysis shows that active genes can be subdivided into a cluster that is enriched in NDF (cluster 1) and a cluster that is not enriched in NDF (cluster 2). It can also be seen that the length distribution of genes in cluster 1 was distinct from that of genes in cluster 2 (Supplemental Fig. S4D).

To determine whether NDF affects gene activity, we performed RNA-seq analyses with wild-type and hNDF knockout cells. These experiments revealed differences in the enrichment of transcriptionally engaged polymerases over gene bodies in wild-type relative to knockout cells (Supplemental Fig. S5). These findings further support a role of NDF in the transcription process.

We then examined the dynamics of mouse NDF (mNDF) in primary bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs). Because mNDF is nearly identical to hNDF (Supplemental Fig. S1B), our hNDF antibodies recognize mNDF. In these studies, we used Kdo2-lipid A (KLA), a highly specific TLR4 agonist (Raetz et al. 2006), to activate TLR4-inducible genes in BMDMs (Kaikkonen et al. 2013; Oishi et al. 2017). The gene encoding mNDF is not induced by KLA (Supplemental Fig. S6A). In these experiments, we observed that mNDF is recruited to the gene bodies of >2000 genes after 6 h of KLA activation (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S4D). Overlaid bar graphs of the size distributions of all protein-coding genes (blue) versus NDF-enriched protein-coding genes (pink) are shown. Purple indicates regions of overlap of the two bar graphs.
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Upon addition of hNDF, there was a significant reduction in the pausing of Pol II in the nucleosome. The effects were particularly strong at the nucleosome entry (−80) and dyad (−20) pause sites and could be seen at different reaction times (Fig. 6B) and hNDF concentrations (Supplemental Fig. S8C) as well as in the scanning profiles of the elongation products (Supplemental Fig. S8D). Moreover, in the presence of hNDF, there is a substantial amount of transcription through the nucleosome at the 8- and 16-min reaction times (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. S8D). In addition, NDF reduces Pol II pausing through the naked DNA template (Fig. 6B); hence, it is possible that hNDF has a functional interaction with Pol II that is distinct from its ability to destabilize nucleosomes. We also tested dNDF in the Pol II elongation assay and observed that dNDF, like hNDF, is able to facilitate Pol II transcription through a nucleosome (Supplemental Fig. S8E). These data reveal that both hNDF and dNDF can facilitate Pol II elongation through a nucleosome.

We next examined whether NDF affects Pol II elongation in cells. To this end, we carried out nuclear run-on experiments with wild-type and NDF knockout cells. These experiments showed that the loss of NDF results in a decrease in Pol II elongation in nuclei (Supplemental Fig. S9A). Importantly, when the histones were stripped from the DNA with 1% (w/v) sarkosyl (Green et al. 1975; Scheer 1978), we observed roughly the same amount of Pol II elongation in the wild-type cell nuclei as in the histones at 1000 mM KCl (Supplemental Fig. S8B). Nucleosome-specific pause sites were seen at −80, −73, and −20 nucleotides (nt) relative to the dyad at position 0. When it is considered that the distance from the leading edge of Pol II to the active site is ∼15 nt (Samkurashvili and Luse 1996; Kettenberger et al. 2004), the −80 pause site roughly corresponds to the site of Pol II entry into the nucleosome, and the −20 pause site corresponds to the approach of Pol II to the dyad. These pause sites have also been observed in Drosophila cells (Weber et al. 2014).

To test whether NDF affects transcription through nucleosomes, we performed elongation assays with purified yeast Pol II on templates with a positioned downstream nucleosome. To this end, we used a strategy that is similar to the method of Kireeva et al. (2002). As depicted in Figure 6A, these experiments involved the assembly of a Pol II elongation complex with a 5′-labeled RNA primer and the subsequent ligation of a downstream nucleosome. Transcript elongation was initiated by the addition of ribonucleoside 5′-triphosphates, and the progression of Pol II was followed by analyzing the length of the 5′ end-labeled transcripts.

We reconstituted mononucleosomes by salt dialysis methodology with purified bacterially synthesized core histones and DNA containing the nucleosome positioning sequence from a 5S rRNA gene of Xenopus borealis (Rhodes 1985; Hayes et al. 1990). To analyze the positioning of the nucleosomes, we used a modified version of the histone-directed DNA cleavage method of Flaus et al. (1996). These experiments showed that the reconstituted mononucleosomes were located at a single predominant position in the 5S rDNA (Supplemental Fig. S7).

We then purified yeast Pol II (Supplemental Fig. S8A) and performed the Pol II elongation experiments with the downstream 5S rDNA sequence as a mononucleosome or naked DNA (Fig. 6B). Consistent with well-established data (for example, see Izban and Luse 1991; Kireeva et al. 2002), the downstream nucleosome was observed to inhibit Pol II elongation, and, as a control, the nucleosome-mediated inhibition was lost upon dissociation of the of many genes (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Fig. S4E). These findings, combined with the ability of NDF to destabilize nucleosomes, suggested that NDF may affect transcriptional elongation in chromatin.

NDF facilitates Pol II elongation in chromatin in vitro and in cell nuclei

To test whether NDF affects transcription through nucleosomes, we performed elongation assays with purified yeast Pol II on templates with a positioned downstream nucleosome. To this end, we used a strategy that is similar to the method of Kireeva et al. (2002). As depicted in Figure 6A, these experiments involved the assembly of a Pol II elongation complex with a 5′-labeled RNA primer and the subsequent ligation of a downstream nucleosome. Transcript elongation was initiated by the addition of ribonucleoside 5′-triphosphates, and the progression of Pol II was followed by analyzing the length of the 5′ end-labeled transcripts.

We reconstituted mononucleosomes by salt dialysis methodology with purified bacterially synthesized core histones and DNA containing the nucleosome positioning sequence from a 5S rRNA gene of Xenopus borealis (Rhodes 1985; Hayes et al. 1990). To analyze the positioning of the nucleosomes, we used a modified version of the histone-directed DNA cleavage method of Flaus et al. (1996). These experiments showed that the reconstituted mononucleosomes were located at a single predominant position in the 5S rDNA (Supplemental Fig. S7).

We then purified yeast Pol II (Supplemental Fig. S8A) and performed the Pol II elongation experiments with the downstream 5S rDNA sequence as a mononucleosome or naked DNA (Fig. 6B). Consistent with well-established data (for example, see Izban and Luse 1991; Kireeva et al. 2002), the downstream nucleosome was observed to inhibit Pol II elongation, and, as a control, the nucleosome-mediated inhibition was lost upon dissociation of the histones at 1000 mM KCl (Supplemental Fig. S8B). Nucleosome-specific pause sites were seen at −80, −73, and −20 nucleotides (nt) relative to the dyad at position 0. When it is considered that the distance from the leading edge of Pol II to the active site is ∼15 nt (Samkurashvili and Luse 1996; Kettenberger et al. 2004), the −80 pause site roughly corresponds to the site of Pol II entry into the nucleosome, and the −20 pause site corresponds to the approach of Pol II to the dyad. These pause sites have also been observed in Drosophila cells (Weber et al. 2014).

Upon addition of hNDF, there was a significant reduction in the pausing of Pol II in the nucleosome. The effects were particularly strong at the nucleosome entry (−80) and dyad (−20) pause sites and could be seen at different reaction times (Fig. 6B) and hNDF concentrations (Supplemental Fig. S8C) as well as in the scanning profiles of the elongation products (Supplemental Fig. S8D). Moreover, in the presence of hNDF, there is a substantial amount of transcription through the nucleosome at the 8- and 16-min reaction times (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. S8D). In addition, NDF reduces Pol II pausing through the naked DNA template (Fig. 6B); hence, it is possible that hNDF has a functional interaction with Pol II that is distinct from its ability to destabilize nucleosomes. We also tested dNDF in the Pol II elongation assay and observed that dNDF, like hNDF, is able to facilitate Pol II transcription through a nucleosome (Supplemental Fig. S8E). These data reveal that both hNDF and dNDF can facilitate Pol II elongation through a nucleosome.

We next examined whether NDF affects Pol II elongation in cells. To this end, we carried out nuclear run-on experiments with wild-type and NDF knockout cells. These experiments showed that the loss of NDF results in a decrease in Pol II elongation in nuclei (Supplemental Fig. S9A). Importantly, when the histones were stripped from the DNA with 1% (w/v) sarkosyl (Green et al. 1975; Scheer 1978), we observed roughly the same amount of Pol II elongation in the wild-type cell nuclei as in the histones at 1000 mM KCl (Supplemental Fig. S8B). Nucleosome-specific pause sites were seen at −80, −73, and −20 nucleotides (nt) relative to the dyad at position 0. When it is considered that the distance from the leading edge of Pol II to the active site is ∼15 nt (Samkurashvili and Luse 1996; Kettenberger et al. 2004), the −80 pause site roughly corresponds to the site of Pol II entry into the nucleosome, and the −20 pause site corresponds to the approach of Pol II to the dyad. These pause sites have also been observed in Drosophila cells (Weber et al. 2014).

Upon addition of hNDF, there was a significant reduction in the pausing of Pol II in the nucleosome. The effects were particularly strong at the nucleosome entry (−80) and dyad (−20) pause sites and could be seen at different reaction times (Fig. 6B) and hNDF concentrations (Supplemental Fig. S8C) as well as in the scanning profiles of the elongation products (Supplemental Fig. S8D). Moreover, in the presence of hNDF, there is a substantial amount of transcription through the nucleosome at the 8- and 16-min reaction times (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. S8D). In addition, NDF reduces Pol II pausing through the naked DNA template (Fig. 6B); hence, it is possible that hNDF has a functional interaction with Pol II that is distinct from its ability to destabilize nucleosomes. We also tested dNDF in the Pol II elongation assay and observed that dNDF, like hNDF, is able to facilitate Pol II transcription through a nucleosome (Supplemental Fig. S8E). These data reveal that both hNDF and dNDF can facilitate Pol II elongation through a nucleosome.

We next examined whether NDF affects Pol II elongation in cells. To this end, we carried out nuclear run-on experiments with wild-type and NDF knockout cells. These experiments showed that the loss of NDF results in a decrease in Pol II elongation in nuclei (Supplemental Fig. S9A). Importantly, when the histones were stripped from the DNA with 1% (w/v) sarkosyl (Green et al. 1975; Scheer 1978), we observed roughly the same amount of Pol II elongation in the wild-type cell nuclei as in the
knockout cell nuclei [Supplemental Fig. S9B]. Hence, the reduced levels of transcription in the NDF knockout cell nuclei appear to be due to blockage by nucleosomes. In addition, the transcription was sensitive to 4 µg/mL α-amanitin and was thus mediated by Pol II [Supplemental Fig. S9]. These findings therefore indicate that NDF facilitates Pol II transcription through nucleosomes in vitro as well as in cell nuclei.

**NDF interacts with nucleosomes in the vicinity of the dyad**

To gain a better understanding of the function of NDF in nucleosome destabilization and transcription elongation in chromatin, we examined the interaction of NDF with naked DNA and nucleosomes. First, we performed gel mobility shift analyses. (In these studies, we used dNDF instead of hNDF because the hNDF-DNA and hNDF-mononucleosome species were not stable under the gel electrophoresis conditions.) These experiments revealed that NDF binds to 147-bp DNA-containing mononucleosomes and to naked DNA as well as to nucleosomes. In addition, the binding of NDF to 147-bp DNA-containing mononucleosomes was sensitive to α-amanitin, indicating that the binding was mediated by Pol II. These findings therefore indicate that NDF facilitates Pol II transcription through nucleosomes in vitro as well as in cell nuclei.
A schematic diagram of Pol II transcription through a positioned nucleosome. A transcriptionally engaged elongation complex (Pol II EC) was assembled with purified yeast Pol II and a 5′ end-labeled 10-nucleotide (nt) RNA primer. This complex was attached to streptavidin beads and then ligated to a downstream mononucleosome positioned on the 5S rDNA sequence from *Xenopus borealis*. Transcription elongation was initiated by the addition of ribonucleoside 5′-triphosphates (rNTPs). Where indicated, NDF was added after the ligation step but before the addition of the NTPs. In parallel experiments, naked DNA (same 5S rDNA sequence) was ligated downstream from the elongation complex instead of a mononucleosome. The distance (~15 nt) from the leading edge of Pol II to the 3′ end of the transcript is also shown.

Figure 6. NDF facilitates transcription elongation through a nucleosome. (A) Schematic diagram of Pol II transcription through a positioned nucleosome. A transcriptionally engaged elongation complex (Pol II EC) was assembled with purified yeast Pol II and a 5′ end-labeled 10-nucleotide (nt) RNA primer. This complex was attached to streptavidin beads and then ligated to a downstream mononucleosome positioned on the 5S rDNA sequence from *Xenopus borealis*. Transcription elongation was initiated by the addition of ribonucleoside 5′-triphosphates (rNTPs). Where indicated, NDF was added after the ligation step but before the addition of the rNTPs. In parallel experiments, naked DNA (same 5S rDNA sequence) was ligated downstream from the elongation complex instead of a mononucleosome. The distance (~15 nt) from the leading edge of Pol II to the 3′ end of the transcript is also shown. (B) NDF reduces the inhibition of Pol II elongation by a nucleosome. Transcription elongation reactions were performed as described in A in the presence or absence of purified hNDF for the indicated times. Experiments with nucleosomal templates included a 75-fold molar excess (0.3 µM) of free unligated mononucleosomes, which stabilize the low concentration of immobilized nucleosomes. Where indicated, hNDF was added at a concentration of 1.5 µM. The reaction products were resolved by 8% polyacrylamide–urea gel electrophoresis. The diagram shows the locations of the positioned nucleosomes, the sites of Pol II pausing, the runoff product, the ligation junction, and the 10-nt primer RNA. The sizes of the RNA species were estimated by comparison with a 25-nt radiolabeled DNA ladder.

NDF facilitates transcription elongation through a nucleosome. A transcriptionally engaged elongation complex (Pol II EC) was assembled with purified yeast Pol II and a 5′ end-labeled 10-nucleotide (nt) RNA primer. This complex was attached to streptavidin beads and then ligated to a downstream mononucleosome positioned on the 5S rDNA sequence from *Xenopus borealis*. Transcription elongation was initiated by the addition of ribonucleoside 5′-triphosphates (rNTPs). Where indicated, NDF was added after the ligation step but before the addition of the rNTPs. In parallel experiments, naked DNA (same 5S rDNA sequence) was ligated downstream from the elongation complex instead of a mononucleosome. The distance (~15 nt) from the leading edge of Pol II to the 3′ end of the transcript is also shown. NDF reduces the inhibition of Pol II elongation by a nucleosome. Transcription elongation reactions were performed as described in A in the presence or absence of purified hNDF for the indicated times. Experiments with nucleosomal templates included a 75-fold molar excess (0.3 µM) of free unligated mononucleosomes, which stabilize the low concentration of immobilized nucleosomes. Where indicated, hNDF was added at a concentration of 1.5 µM. The reaction products were resolved by 8% polyacrylamide–urea gel electrophoresis. The diagram shows the locations of the positioned nucleosomes, the sites of Pol II pausing, the runoff product, the ligation junction, and the 10-nt primer RNA. The sizes of the RNA species were estimated by comparison with a 25-nt radiolabeled DNA ladder.

suggests that the nucleosomal binding does not require linker DNA.

Next, to investigate the binding of NDF to DNA and nucleosomes at higher resolution, we performed DNase I footprinting experiments. With naked DNA, neither hNDF nor dNDF exhibited sequence-specific interactions [Fig. 7B; Supplemental Figs. S10B, S11A,B]. With mononucleosomes, DNase I digestion yielded the characteristic 10-bp digestion ladder [Noll 1974], and both hNDF and dNDF were observed to protect DNA in the vicinity of the dyad [Fig. 7B; Supplemental Figs. S10B, S11]. Moreover, in the forward strand (i.e., the nontemplate strand in the transcription experiments), we observed DNase I hypersensitivity in the flanking ends of the nucleosomal DNA [Fig. 7B; Supplemental Fig. S11A,C]. This hypersensitivity may reflect the destabilization of the nucleosomes by NDF and is consistent with the ability of NDF to enhance p300-mediated acetylation of H3K56, which is normally protected by nucleosomal DNA. Thus, the DNase I footprinting experiments revealed an interaction of NDF at the dyad and an alteration in histone–DNA contacts at the flanking nucleosomal DNA.

The interaction of NDF at the nucleosome dyad led us to ask whether the effects of NDF on nucleosomal transcription are similar to those of Sin (SWI-independent) mutations in histone H4. The *SIN* genes were identified in yeast on the basis of their ability to provide partial relief of the requirement of *SWI* genes for HO transcription [Sternberg et al. 1987]. It was later found that some mutant alleles of the histone H4 gene have a Sin− phenotype [Kruger et al. 1995]. We were specifically interested in the histone H4R45A Sin mutation. H4R45A is located near the nucleosome dyad. The H4R45A mutation results in a Sin− phenotype [Hodges et al. 2015] but has only a minimal effect on nucleosome structure [Muthurajan et al. 2004]. H4R45A and the related H4R45C mutation reduce Pol II pausing on nucleosomal templates in vitro [Hsieh et al. 2010; Bintu et al. 2012]. In addition, the H4R45H Sin mutation causes an increase in nucleosome sensitivity as well as a reduction in nucleosome-mediated DNA supercoiling [Wechsler et al. 1997]. Somewhat strikingly, the effects of the H4R45 Sin mutations (i.e., reduction of Pol II pausing on nucleosomes, loss of DNA supercoiling, and increased nucleosome sensitivity) are similar to the effects of NDF on chromatin.

We therefore generated chromatin with H4R45A and tested the effect of the R45A mutation on Pol II elongation [Fig. 7C]. In this manner, we could compare the effects of NDF-mediated nucleosome destabilization with the effects of the H4R45A mutation. These experiments revealed that the H4R45A mutation resulted in the loss of the −20 dyad pause site and an accumulation of a downstream pause site but did not affect the −80 nucleosome entry) or −73 pause sites. The addition of NDF facilitated Pol II transcription through the −80, −73, and other pause sites. These findings indicate that the H4R45A mutation acts specifically at the nucleosomal dyad, whereas NDF functions throughout the entire nucleosome.
Discussion

In this study, we describe the properties of NDF, a nucleosome-destabilizing factor that facilitates Pol II transcription through nucleosomes. We initially identified and purified NDF on the basis of its ability to facilitate the acetylation of nucleosomal histone H3K56 by the p300 acetyltransferase (Fig. 1). Both hNDF and dNDF can mediate the partial disassembly of nucleosomes, as detected by the loss of DNA supercoiling in circular chromatin templates (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. S2D,E). hNDF is localized over gene bodies (Figs. 3D,E, 4A,B; Supplemental Fig. S4A). These results are consistent with the properties of epitope-tagged dNDF (CG4747) (Wang et al. 2013). Clustering analysis revealed that active genes can be subdivided into a cluster that is enriched in NDF and a cluster that is not enriched in NDF (Fig. 4A,B; Supplemental Fig. S4C). Thus, NDF is a nucleosome-destabilizing factor that is present in the transcribed regions of a subset of active genes.

Importantly, upon induction of transcription by KLA in mouse primary BMDMs, we found that NDF is recruited to gene bodies and that this recruitment is accompanied by an increase in the transcript levels of many of the NDF-enriched genes (Fig. 5). We also observed that the global loss of hNDF results in a decrease in the RNA levels of many genes (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Fig. S4E). NDF may thus have a direct role in gene activation. Intriguingly, NDF is preferentially associated with longer genes rather than shorter genes (Fig. 3F; Supplemental Fig. S4D). In this regard, it may be more advantageous for NDF to be located at longer genes than at shorter genes because more nucleosomes would have to be disassembled during transcription of longer genes than during transcription of shorter genes. Moreover, if there is some three-dimensional clustering of actively transcribed genes, then there may be a higher local concentration of NDF at longer transcription units than at shorter transcription units.

We directly tested whether NDF affects Pol II elongation through nucleosomes and found that hNDF and dNDF can relieve nucleosome-mediated Pol II pausing, such as at the nucleosome entry site and at the dyad (Fig. 6A,B; Supplemental Fig. S8). Moreover, in the presence of NDF, there is efficient Pol II elongation through the nucleosome (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. S8D). We then performed nuclear run-on experiments to test...
whether NDF contributes to Pol II elongation in cells and found that NDF is important for Pol II elongation through chromatin in cell nuclei (Supplemental Fig. S9).

To gain further insight into this activity, we examined the interaction of NDF with chromatin and found that both hNDF and dNDF interact with nucleosomes in the vicinity of the dyad [Fig. 7A,B; Supplemental Figs. S10, S11]. Comparison of the effects of NDF with those of the H4R45A Sin mutation revealed that H4R45A affects transcription at the dyad, whereas NDF affects transcription throughout the nucleosome (Fig. 7C). Altogether, these data indicate that NDF is a nucleosome-binding and -destabilizing factor that is recruited to gene bodies upon induction of transcription and facilitates Pol II elongation in chromatin (Fig. 7D).

NDF is present in most animals and perhaps in plants but does not appear to have a homolog in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. NDF contains a conserved N-terminal PWPP motif and a conserved C-terminal dehydrogenase domain [Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S1B], but hNDF does not exhibit dehydrogenase activity [Vermuelen et al. 2010]. The PWPP methyl lysine-binding domain [Qin and Min 2014] is likely to be involved in the binding of NDF to H3K36me3. However, it appears that H3K36me3 is necessary but not sufficient for the recruitment of NDF to chromatin. The proper localization of dNDF in chromatin is dependent on the Set2 histone H3K36 methyltransferase [Wang et al. 2013]; however, many genes with moderate to high levels of H3K36me3 are not enriched in NDF (Fig. 4A,B; Supplemental Fig. S4C), and the sharp increase in NDF occupancy at gene bodies upon transcriptional induction is not mirrored by a similarly sharp increase in H3K36me3 [Fig. 5A,B]. Thus, H3K36me3 does not appear to be sufficient for the recruitment of NDF. It is also notable that the biochemical activities of NDF, such as the destabilization of nucleosomes and relief of nucleosome-mediated Pol II pausing, do not absolutely require H3K36 methylation, as our experiments were performed with nucleosomes that were reconstituted with unmodified bacterially synthesized histones. These findings suggest that, once recruited to its site of action, NDF can destabilize nucleosomes and facilitate transcription by a mechanism that is not strictly dependent on H3K36me3.

Because NDF relieves nucleosome-mediated inhibition of Pol II elongation, it is essential to compare NDF with FACT, the well-studied factor that facilitates transcription and DNA replication in chromatin (for example, see Reinberg and Sims 2006; Formosa 2008). FACT is present in many eukaryotes, including S. cerevisiae, Drosophila, and humans. FACT and NDF are not related in terms of their primary amino acid sequences or protein domains. Moreover, FACT binds to the histones and exhibits core histone chaperone activity, whereas NDF does not appear to be a core histone chaperone (data not shown). In yeast, the Spt16 subunit of FACT is enriched over gene bodies and depleted over promoter regions of active genes [True et al. 2016]. In contrast, both subunits of mouse FACT were found to be strongly enriched in the vicinity of transcription start sites but also present over gene bodies [Mylonas and Tessarz 2018]. These findings suggest that there are substantial differences in the biological functions of FACT in yeast versus mice. The localization of mNDF and hNDF [Figs. 3E, 5B] is more similar to that of yeast FACT than mouse FACT. It is also notable that hNDF was observed to be present at high levels in the nuclei of 44 out of 44 tissue types tested, whereas the levels of the SSRP1 subunit of FACT were found to be low [16 out of 43] or not detectable [nine out of 43] in the same tissue types [Supplemental Fig. S1A; Garcia et al. 2011; Uhlen et al. 2015]. In addition, it appears that NDF is essential in human HUES62 stem cells, whereas subunits of FACT are not [Shalem et al. 2014]. Thus, in mammals, both NDF and FACT are able to destabilize nucleosomes and facilitate transcription in chromatin, but they function by distinct mechanisms and in different tissues.

It is possible and perhaps likely that there is at least one other factor that is related to NDF. The existence of an NDF-related factor is suggested by the observation that NDF is not essential for the viability of HeLa cells or A375 cells. In addition, the absence of NDF does not result in a general loss of transcription of genes that are enriched in NDF [Fig. 4]. These findings could be explained by the presence of an NDF-like factor that is recruited to mostly the same genes as NDF [cluster 1 genes] [Fig. 4], such as via a PWPP motif. This NDF-like factor would then largely compensate for the absence of NDF, especially at genes that are enriched for both factors. The identification and characterization of an NDF-related factor would lead to significant new insights into the transcription process.

Based on the effects of NDF on transcription and gene expression, it is also important to consider whether alterations in the protein sequence or levels of NDF might be relevant to human diseases. In this regard, the NDF/GLYR1 gene is overexpressed in ~21% of breast cancers [Supplemental Fig. S12A,B; Forbes et al. 2017] and mutated in ~51% of microsatellite unstable colorectal cancers [Alhopuro et al. 2012]. The up-regulation of NDF/GLYR1 also correlates with a reduced survival probability in breast cancer patients [Supplemental Fig. S12C; Uhlen et al. 2017]. The knowledge of the biochemical activities of NDF would be useful in assessing the potential roles that this factor may have in breast cancer or other diseases.

In conclusion, the properties of NDF indicate that it has a key role in the transcription of chromatin by Pol II in animals. The further analysis of NDF should reveal additional factors and mechanisms that orchestrate the procession of Pol II through active genes.

Materials and methods
To ensure the reproducibility of the results, each experimental condition was performed independently at least twice.

Antibodies
Rabbit polyclonal antisera against dNDF and hNDF were generated with purified full-length Escherichia coli synthesized proteins at Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory. The following commercial antibodies were used in this study: anti-H3K56ac [1:450 dilution,
Millipore Sigma, 07-6771), anti-H3K56ac [1:1000 dilution, Active Motif, 39281], anti-H3K56ac [1:1000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, 4243], anti-H3 [1:4000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, 4499], anti-H3K9ac [1:1000 dilution, Abcam, ab8898], anti-H3K36me3 [1:1000 dilution, Abcam, ab9050], anti-his [1:1000 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-803], and anti-Pol II [1:1000 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-9001].

Purification of native NDF from Drosophila embryos

Native dNDF was purified from the 0.1 M KCl version of the soluble nuclear fraction [Kamakaka et al. 1991] from Drosophila embryos by using the scheme shown in Figure 1B. Buffer N [20 mM HEPES K+ at pH 7.5, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF] was used throughout the purification. Proteins in the peak activity fractions of the final Source 15Q chromatography step were identified by mass spectroscopy (Bio-molecular/Proteomics Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California at San Diego).

Purification of recombinant proteins

The cDNAs for dNDF (CG4747, Drosophila Genomics Resource Center) and hNDF (GLYR1, Dharmacon) [note that three mismatches in the cDNA were corrected] were subcloned into pET21b with N-terminal His6 tags to give pET21b-His6-dNDF and pET21b-His6-hNDF, and the integrity of the sequences was confirmed by DNA sequence analysis. The N-terminally His6-tagged dNDF and hNDF proteins were purified by the following method. Freshly transformed E. coli BL21(DE3) was grown in 2 L of LB medium containing 40 µg/mL ampicillin at 37°C to an A₆₀₀ₐₚ of ~0.6, and the synthesis of the recombinant NDF was induced by the addition of IPTG to 0.4 mM final concentration. The culture was then incubated for an additional 16–18 h in an 18°C shaking water bath, and the bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 10 min at 22°C [Fiblerite E9-4x1000y rotor]. Unless stated otherwise, all subsequent operations were performed at 4°C. The bacterial pellets were resuspended in 30 mL of lysis buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 0.2% [v/v] nonidet P-40, 1 M NaCl, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 15 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.2 mM PMSF, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail [Millipore Sigma, P8399]]. The suspension was subjected to sonication on ice four times for 30 sec [Branson Sonifier 450 with a 0.25-in microtip at 20% output]. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 30 min [Fiblerite F215-8x50y rotor], and the supernatant was incubated with 3 mL [pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer] of Ni-NTA Superflow [Qiagen] for 3 h on a nutator. The mixture was transferred into a Bio-Rad Econo-Pac chromatography column, and the flow-through, which contained ~80% of the His6-NDF proteins, was collected and incubated with 2 mL [pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer] of Ni-NTA agarose [Qiagen] for 2 h on a nutator. The mixture was transferred into a Bio-Rad Econo-Pac chromatography column, and the resin was washed twice with 10 mL of buffer A [10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 0.2% [v/v] nonidet P-40, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 15 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol] containing 1 M NaCl followed by twice with 10 mL of buffer A containing 0.2 M NaCl. The His6-NDF was eluted four times with 0.5 mL of elution buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 0.2% [v/v] nonidet P-40, 0.2 M NaCl, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 250 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol]. With His6-dNDF, it was necessary to remove a contaminating nuclease by dialysis into buffer A containing 0.1 M NaCl followed by chromatography on a 1-mL Source 15Q (GE Life Sciences) anion exchange column with linear gradient elution from 0.1 M to 1.0 M NaCl. The His6-dNDF eluted at ~0.16 M NaCl. The purified NDF proteins were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at ~80°C.

Human p300 protein was synthesized in S9 cells and purified as described in Kraus and Kadonaga [1998]. Recombinant Drosophila melanogaster core histones were synthesized in E. coli and purified by the method of Khuong et al. [2017]. The catalytic domain of Drosophila topoisomerase I was synthesized and purified as described by Fyodorov and Kadonaga [2003]. The S. cerevisiae strain that expresses protein A-tagged Rbp3 subunit of Pol II was the generous gift of Dr. Craig Kaplan [Texas A&M University], and the Pol II was purified as described [Kaplan et al. 2008].

Nucleosome reconstitution

The X. borealis 5S rDNA and Drosophila hsp70 [87A] nucleosome positioning sequences were amplified by PCR and purified by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and gel extraction [Qiagen gel-extraction kit, Qiagen]. The resulting fragments were then reconstituted into mononucleosomes by salt dialysis [Stein 1989]. In the transcription elongation studies, the PCR-amplified DNA was digested with TspRI restriction enzyme [New England Biolabs] for 2 h at 65°C prior to agarose gel electrophoresis, gel extraction, and mononucleosome reconstitution. Nucleosomes were reconstituted onto CsCl-purified pGIE-0 plasmid DNA [Pazin et al. 1994] by salt dialysis [Stein 1989, Fei et al. 2015] at a core histone to DNA mass ratio of 0.8:1.

H3K56 acetylation assay

The H3K56 acetylation assays were performed with either nucleosomes reconstituted onto plasmid DNA by salt dialysis [1.4 µM concentration of nucleosomes] or free core histones [1.4 µM concentration of core histone octamers] along with 1 µg of purified human p300, 30 µM acetyl-CoA, and 1.4 µg of bovine serum albumin in buffer K [25 mM HEPES K+ at pH 7.6, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% [v/v] glycerol] in a total volume of 50 µL. The mixture was incubated for 1 h at 30°C. The histones were precipitated with 25% [w/v] trichloroacetic acid, subjected to 15% polyacrylamide–SDS gel electrophoresis, and detected by Western blot analysis.

Transcription elongation assay

The transcription elongation assay is depicted in Figure 6A and is a modified version of the method of Kireeva et al. [2002]. In our experiments, the 10-nt 5'-32P-labeled primer RNA [1.6 pmol = 8 µL of a 0.2 µM stock] was annealed to an equimolar amount of template strand DNA. Purified Pol II [4 pmol] was then added to 1.6 pmol of the RNA–template strand complex, and the components were incubated for 10 min at 30°C. Next, 1.6 pmol of the 5'-biotin-labeled nontemplate strand was added and incubated for 15 min at 30°C to form the elongation complex, which was then immobilized onto 10 µL of Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1 magnetic beads [Invitrogen]. The beads were washed twice with 50 µL of EB1000 buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 1 M KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT] followed by twice with 50 µL of EB400 buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 40 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT] and then resuspended in 16 µL of EB40 buffer. The immobilization efficiency of the elongation complex was estimated with a Geiger counter. Typically, ~60% of the elongation complex was immobilized onto the Dynabeads. Next, 3 pmol of either mononucleosomes or the corresponding naked DNA fragments (both with complementary TspRI overhangs) was ligated to the immobilized elongation complex by using 2000 U of T4 DNA ligase [New England Biolabs] for 2 h at 30°C.
Analysis of mNDF in primary BMDMs

Bone marrow was isolated from 8-10-wk-old C57Bl/6 [Harlan Laboratories] female mice. BMDMs were generated in DMEM supplemented with M-CSF for 7 d and activated with KLA for 6 h as described [Kaikkonen et al. 2013]. RNA-seq libraries were prepared from poly(A)-enriched mRNA as described previously [Kaikkonen et al. 2013]. For ChIP-seq, 5 million BMDMs were cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. ChIP-seq was performed as described previously [Kaikkonen et al. 2013] with 2 µL of hNDF antisera 7 or 2 µL of H3K36me3 antibodies (Abcam, ab9050). [We also performed ChIP-seq experiments with hNDF antisera 6 and obtained results essentially identical to those with hNDF antisera 7 [data not shown].] ChIP-seq libraries were prepared from ChIP DNA and inputs by blunt-end, A-tailing, and adapter ligation as described previously [Heinz et al. 2010] by using NextFlex barcode adapters. Libraries were amplified, size-selected by gel extraction, and subsequently sequenced on the Illumina Hi-Seq 4000 sequencer by using single-end 75-bp reads. Sequencing data were aligned to the mm10 genome by using Bowtie2, and all data were subsequently analyzed with HOMER and visualized in the University of California at Santa Cruz genome browser. Pathway analysis was performed by using Metascape [http://metascape.org].

Additional Materials and Methods are included in the Supplemental Material.

The genome-wide data have been deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus [accession no. GSE109690].
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