Default Mode Dynamics for Global Functional Integration
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The default mode network (DMN) has been traditionally assumed to hinder behavioral performance in externally focused, goal-directed paradigms and to provide no active contribution to human cognition. However, recent evidence suggests greater DMN activity in an array of tasks, especially those that involve self-referential and memory-based processing. Although data that robustly demonstrate a comprehensive functional role for DMN remains relatively scarce, the global workspace framework, which implicates the DMN in global information integration for conscious processing, can potentially provide an explanation for the broad range of higher-order paradigms that report DMN involvement. We used graph theoretical measures to assess the contribution of the DMN to global functional connectivity dynamics in 22 healthy volunteers during an fMRI-based n-back working-memory paradigm with parametric increases in difficulty. Our predominant finding is that brain modularity decreases with greater task demands, thus adapting a more global workspace configuration, in direct relation to increases in reaction times to correct responses. Flexible default mode regions dynamically switch community memberships and display significant changes in their nodal participation coefficient and strength, which may reflect the observed whole-brain changes in functional connectivity architecture. These findings have important implications for our understanding of healthy brain function, as they suggest a central role for the DMN in higher cognitive processing.
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Significance Statement

The default mode network (DMN) has been shown to increase its activity during the absence of external stimulation, and hence was historically assumed to disengage during goal-directed tasks. Recent evidence, however, implicates the DMN in self-referential and memory-based processing. We provide robust evidence for this network’s active contribution to working memory by revealing dynamic reconfiguration in its interactions with other networks and offer an explanation within the global workspace theoretical framework. These promising findings may help redefine our understanding of the exact DMN role in human cognition.
dence suggesting (1) changes in the DMN’s spatial extent during task execution (Spreng et al., 2013; Vatansever et al., 2015), (2) positive correlations between DMN connectivity and behavioral measures (Hampson et al., 2006), and (3) DMN interactions with other LSNs during rest (Fox et al., 2005) and task conditions (Spreng et al., 2010). Overall, these findings point to a fundamental cognitive function for the DMN that is yet to be precisely delineated.

Given such involvement in a wide range of tasks, extensive communication with other networks, and its central placement in the brain from the perspectives of both anatomical and functional connectivity (Hagmann et al., 2008; Buckner et al., 2009; van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2013), the DMN may play a role in the global integration of information (van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2011; Braga et al., 2013) necessary for conscious processing during both unconstrained rest and controlled task conditions. This concept overlaps with the theoretical account of a global workspace originally proposed by Baars (Baars, 2002) and may mechanistically involve the DMN and dorsal attention network competing for limited resources facilitated by the frontoparietal network through long-range, flexible connections (Dehaene and Changeux, 2011; Smallwood et al., 2012). As a hub of this global workspace, the DMN may generate the necessary associative information to be retained and manipulated by the frontoparietal network.

From a network organization perspective, the brain is considered to be economically configured into a cost-effective, highly modular, small-world architecture that flexibly adapts a more expensive, yet informatically efficient and integrated global workspace in response to environmental demands (Bullmore and Sporns, 2012). Given our hypothesis about the potential contribution of DMN to the global integration of information, we investigated in this study the alterations in whole-brain interactions in relation to performance during an n-back working-memory task with parametric increase in difficulty, specifically focusing on the DMN’s involvement in whole-brain reconfiguration.

For the purpose of quantifying LSN interactions, we focused on modularity, a graph theoretical metric used to calculate the level of integration and segregation across brain regions in a given system (Newman, 2006; Meunier et al., 2009b), as well as global variable connectivity (Cole et al., 2013), nodal participation coefficient, and nodal strength (Rubinov and Sporns, 2011), which describe the regional contribution of network nodes to global changes in functional connectivity.

Given the association between effortful task performance and modular brain organization (van den Heuvel et al., 2009), we hypothesized that modularity would decrease with increasing cognitive effort. Additionally, based on existing literature on the engagement of DMN regions in a diverse set of goal-directed paradigms and their multisynaptic characteristics with extensive structural and functional connections to the rest of the brain, we predicted that the decrease in modularity and the expansion of global workspace topology would be reflected by the changes in DMNs’ interactions with other LSNs, supporting a potential role for DMN as a global integrator of information.

Materials and Methods

Participants. After the study proposal was approved by the local ethics committee, informed consent was obtained from 22 right-handed healthy participants (age range, 19–57 years; mean age, 35.0 years; SD = 11.2; female-to-male ratio, 9/13), all of whom took part in the n-back working-memory experiment as well as four other cognitive paradigms that are not reported in this study. The average score for the measure of premorbid IQ via the National Adult Reading Test was 117.1 (SD = 5.76). Meanwhile, results of the Mini Mental State Exam averaged 29.33 (SD = 0.85). Thus, no signs of memory problems were detected. In addition, no history of drug or alcohol abuse, psychiatric or neurological disorders, or head injury was recorded in any of the participants.

Image acquisition. The experiment was conducted in a Siemens Trio 3T scanner at the Wolfson Brain Imaging Centre, Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge. The imaging session began with a localizer, followed by a high-resolution, T1-weighted, magnetization-prepared, 180° radio-frequency pulses and rapid gradient-echo structural scan [TR = 2300 ms; TE = 2.98 ms; TA = 9.14 min; flip angle, 9°; field-of-view (FOV) read, 256 mm; voxel size, 1.0 × 1.0 × 1.0 mm; slices per slab, 176]. Whole-brain echo planar imaging was used for the n-back paradigm [TR = 2000 ms; TE = 30 ms; flip angle, 78°; FOV read, 192 mm; voxel size, 3.0 × 3.0 × 3.0 mm; volumes, 345; slices per volume, 32].

Paradigm specifications. In the n-back working-memory paradigm, three fixation blocks were pseudorandomly interleaved with five cycles of four n-back blocks ranging in difficulty between 0-back and 3-back. Single letters in white font were presented serially on a black background for 500 ms, each followed by 2500 ms fixation on a cross. While in the 0-back trials, participants were requested to press a button with their left index finger on the appearance of the letter Z in a string of random letters. More difficult levels of n-back required the same button press in response to a match between the current and one previous letter (1-back), two previous letters (2-back), or three previous letters (3-back). The participants also responded to nontargets by pressing a button under their right-hand middle finger. Each trial, including the fixation and task blocks, lasted 36 s, and 10–s-long instructions were presented before each block.

Spatial and temporal preprocessing. The preprocessing and image analysis were performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) Version 8.0 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) and Matlab Version 12a platforms (http://www.mathworks.co.uk/products/matlab/). The first six volumes were removed to eliminate saturation effects and achieve steady-state magnetization. The remaining data were slice-time adjusted, motion corrected, normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space by using the segmented high-resolution gray matter structural image and a gray matter template. The final preprocessing step involved smoothing the images with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. The resulting data were used for statistical modeling.

A strict temporal preprocessing pipeline of nuisance regression included motion and CompCor components attributable to the signal from white matter and CSF (Behzadi et al., 2007), as well as a linear detrending term, eliminating the need for global signal normalization (Murphy et al., 2009; Chai et al., 2012). The subject-specific six realignment parameters, the main effect of task condition, and their first order derivatives were also included in the analysis as potential confounds (Fair et al., 2007). Moreover, a temporal filter of 0.009 and 0.08 Hz was applied to focus on low-frequency fluctuations (Fox et al., 2005).

Functional connectivity and graph theoretical analyses. The main objectives of our study were to examine the whole-brain connectivity changes in response to increasing task difficulty and to assess the alterations in the interaction of DMN regions with other LSNs. Thus, we initially used a whole-brain approach, in which average correlation matrices based on 264 ROIs (Power et al., 2011), corresponding to 10 well-established LSNs, formed the basis of our functional connectivity and subsequent modularity analyses. The results, visualized via circular and novel alluvial representations (Rosvall et al., 2009), aimed to explicate the modular organization of the brain across task difficulty, but also were intended to clarify the change in communities formed by the LSNs and possible behavioral correlations. While the flexibility of the 264 nodes was assessed using the global variable connectivity measure, the DMN regions’ nodal participation coefficient and strength were further scrutinized for a full characterization of DMNs’ contribution to the global connectivity dynamics.

Definition of ROIs. We adopted a set of 264 brain regions based on both resting (Cohen et al., 2008) and task (Power et al., 2011) functional connectivity meta-analyses that have been shown to produce reliable network topologies (Dosenbach et al., 2007; Power et al., 2011; Cole et al., • 15255
Correlation matrices. We used the Conn functional connectivity toolbox (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012) to construct task-specific (Fixation, 0-back, 1-back, 2-back, 3-back) functional connectivity matrices. For this purpose, the BOLD time series were first divided into block-specific scans as indicated by the onsets and durations of each task block. The delay in hemodynamic response was accounted for by convolving the block regressors for each task condition with a hemodynamic box (10 seconds) to minimize the potential cross talk between adjacent task blocks.

This procedure not only adds the expected hemodynamic delay to different task blocks, but also de-weights the initial and final scans within each task block when computing functional correlation measures to avoid spurious jumps in BOLD signal at the points of concatenation and to minimize the potential cross talk between adjacent task blocks (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012).

Following this concatenation procedure, undirected and weighted matrices (264 × 264) of Fisher z-transformed bivariate correlation coefficients (Pearson’s r) were constructed for each experimental condition (Fixation, 0-Back, 1-Back, 2-Back, and 3-Back) and each subject using the average signal from the 6 mm spheres placed on the MNI coordinates for all 264 ROIs described above. The matrices reflected both positive and negative correlations. The arbitrary thresholding and binarization processes in graph theoretical analysis often lead to loss of information, especially in the case of negative correlations (Rubinov and Sporns, 2011), which is why we focused on the fully connected weighted-correlation matrices.

Modularity analysis and behavioral correlation. Following the ROI selection and matrix construction steps, the correlation matrices with 264 ROIs as nodes and the weighted correlation coefficients as edges were first converted from Matlab to Pajek (Program for Large Network Analysis) format (Nooy et al., 2001). For the whole-brain, group-level modularity analysis, the correlation matrices were first averaged across all trials and all blocks for each subject, separately for each level of task difficulty (0-back, 1-back, 2-back, and 3-back). The data were assessed for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and Q–Q plots. Power et al., 2011. To make a statistical inference on the change in modularity with increasing task difficulty, the 0-Back control (low demand) and 3-Back task were used as the reference conditions to assess the behavioral significance of modularity (corrected for age). The reaction times to correct responses were chosen to represent task performance, in line with current literature (Kitzbichler et al., 2011), we have also calculated the d’ metric based on the signal detection theory for performance accuracy (Green and Swets, 1974) and performed paired t tests to assess the expected decrease in d’ and increase in reaction time to correct responses between 0-Back and 3-Back conditions and to confirm greater task difficulty with increasing n-back levels.

Nodal flexibility, participation coefficient, and strength. Having investigated the changes in modularity and the possible behavioral correlations across 22 subjects, our next objective was to clearly visualize the changes in community memberships responsible for the reconfiguration of the global brain modular architecture. The calculated communities were represented here using an alluvial diagram (Rosvall et al., 2009), which clearly outlines the interaction between LSNs at different difficulty levels, thus highlighting the flexible nodes that change community memberships. The 264 ROIs partitioning into 10 well established networks was color coded to aid the visualization of changes in community membership across the five distinct experimental conditions.

In addition, a novel graph theoretical metric called global variable connectivity (GVC) was used to assess each node’s flexibility score across the five experimental conditions (Cole et al., 2013). GVC, calculated as the SD of a given node’s connectivity strength, indicates the node’s tendency to shift functional connections with other nodes across multiple contexts. To further characterize the alterations in the DMN regions’ contribution to the reconfiguration of global functional connectivity, we calculated the participation coefficient and nodal strength for positive and negative weights and compared them with paired t tests between 0-Back (low demand) and 3-Back (high demand) conditions, controlling for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni’s correction. While the participation coefficient assesses the diversity of intermodular links established by a given node, the nodal strength metric calculates the sum of weights and number of positive/negative connections.

Results
Global brain modularity decreases with increasing cognitive load
The connectivity matrices of bivariate correlation coefficients (Pearson) clearly illustrated the 10 well established LSNs with strong intranetwork connectivity profiles (Fig. 1). However, correlation matrices alone do not quantify the dynamic changes in internetwork interactions with increasing task difficulty. When assessing such architectural reconfiguration of brain dynamics, modularity has been a metric of choice to characterize network connections that transiently change their configurations in response to task demands (Bassett et al., 2006). Using this metric, we found that the modularity of global brain connectivity decreases with increasing cognitive load, in line with results from an MEG study (Kitzbichler et al., 2011). At Fixation, 0-Back, and 1-Back conditions, the whole-brain connectivity profile revealed four stand-alone communities. This number decreased down to three major communities at the 2-Back condition and to two communities at the 3-Back condition (Fig. 1). Paired t tests between the 0-Back (low demand) and 3-Back (high demand) con-
ditions, over 10 randomized groups, suggested a significant decrease in modularity with increasing task load ($p = 0.013$). This outcome alludes to greater long-range interaction between LSNs and changes in brain topography toward a global workspace configuration (Baars, 2002) at the 3-Back condition. In other words, the brain adopts a more efficient, yet more costly organization in response to increasing cognitive demands (Kitzbichler et al., 2011).

Change in modularity correlates with reaction time to correct responses
Given the observed decrease in group-level modularity, our next objective was to investigate the individual differences in modularity changes and their potential correlation with behavioral scores obtained during task execution. For this purpose we first correlated the Louvain modularity $Q$ score at 0-Back condition with the change in $Q$ score between 3-Back and 0-Back conditions ($r = -0.631, R^2 = 0.425, p = 0.003$). The change (3-Back minus 0-Back) in subject-level $Q$ scores positively correlated with the change in the reaction time to correct responses between the two selected high-demand and low-demand $n$-back conditions ($r = 0.469, R^2 = 0.223, p = 0.037$). Both linear regressions were corrected for age. Using the outlier identification technique, data from one volunteer were removed, as it was higher than the upper limit of the reaction time distribution. However, the same analyses with the outlier did not change the significance of the results ($A: r = -0.617, R^2 = 0.405, p = 0.003; B: r = 0.558, R^2 = 0.313, p = 0.009$).

Figure 1. Global brain modularity decreases with increasing task demands. The correlation matrices denote bivariate (Pearson) correlation coefficients (red-blue scale, max $= 1.0$, min $= -0.5$) for the five distinct experimental conditions of Fixation, 0-Back, 1-Back, 2-Back, and 3-Back, averaged across all subjects. The boxes with strong intranetwork correlations correspond to 10 well established LSNs from the existing literature (Cole et al., 2013). For modularity analysis, the Fisher-transformed $Z$ values were significance clustered ($p < 0.05$) over 1000 bootstrap resampling and 10 partitioning iterations. The resulting modules are displayed using the circular visualization on the right-hand corner of the correlation matrices. The circle size and the line thickness of the links between the modules are representative of the average weights of the nodal connections.

Figure 2. Individual differences in the change in modularity and their corresponding behavioral correlation. A, Participants with higher modularity $Q$ score at 0-Back control condition demonstrated a smaller change in their modularity between 3-Back and 0-Back conditions ($r = -0.631, R^2 = 0.425, p = 0.003$). B, The change (3-Back minus 0-Back) in subject-level $Q$ scores positively correlated with the change in the reaction time to correct responses between the two selected high-demand and low-demand $n$-back conditions ($r = 0.469, R^2 = 0.223, p = 0.037$). Both linear regressions were corrected for age. Using the outlier identification technique, data from one volunteer were removed, as it was higher than the upper limit of the reaction time distribution. However, the same analyses with the outlier did not change the significance of the results ($A: r = -0.617, R^2 = 0.405, p = 0.003; B: r = 0.558, R^2 = 0.313, p = 0.009$).
responses \((p = 5.10E-5)\) when comparing 0-Back (mean: \(d' = 3.45\); reaction time, 619.26 ms) with 3-Back conditions (mean: \(d' = 2.19\); reaction time, 958.12 ms), confirming greater task difficulty at higher levels of \(n\)-back. Subsequently, the change in modularity \(Q\) scores were correlated with the change in the reaction time to correct responses between 3-Back and 0-Back conditions for each subject, corrected for age. The results suggested that the subjects who displayed a higher change in modularity also showed a higher change in their reaction time \((r = 0.469, R^2 = 0.223, p = 0.037; \text{Fig. 2B})\), indicating a behavioral significance of the observed alterations in brain architecture. In other words, slower response in the high-demand 3-Back versus low-demand 0-Back condition was associated with greater brain modularity. Such results imply that worse performance may be linked to limited long-range integration among distant brain regions, thus a smaller global workspace configuration. Similar correlations with behavior and modularity were previously reported using the \(d'\) metric between 1-Back and 2-Back conditions (Stanley et al., 2014).

Global brain dynamics reveal flexible default mode regions

Subsequent to the observed decrease in modularity with increasing cognitive load and the corresponding correlation with performance in the scanner, our aim was to scrutinize the exact changes in the global brain connectivity profile and the interaction of the DMN with other LSNs. Our hypothesis was that the DMN, in a global integrator role contributing to the global workspace, would show distributed interactions with a number of LSNs, reflected by the changes in community memberships with increasing task demands. The alluvial representation (Rosvall et al., 2009) provides a unique and informative tool for that purpose. The resulting diagram of whole-brain interactions indicated dynamic realignments in a number of default mode regions, revealing flexible nodes that switch memberships from one community to another, depending on cognitive demands.

Using the average, group-level modularity analysis for community detection discussed above, in the Fixation condition, Community 1 mainly comprised the salience, frontoparietal, and dorsal attention networks; Community 2, the visual network; Community 3, the subcortical, somatomotor, auditory, and cingulo-opercular networks; and Community 4, the ventral attention and default mode networks, respectively (Fig. 3). All 58 default mode regions were part of Community 4 except for a middle temporal gyrus node, which was more functionally similar to Community 1. In addition to the DMN regions, Community 4 also included all the “memory retrieval” nodes, 46% (13 of 28) of the uncertain nodes, and one salience node, namely the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex. Around 62% (8 of 13) of the subcortical nodes, which included the bilateral thalamus, but no striatal regions, also showed functional similarity with Community 4.

However, this partitioning displayed transience with increasing task difficulty. In the 0-Back condition, the four modules remained stable relative to the Fixation condition with a number of salience network ROIs showing greater functional similarity with the DMN. The 1-Back condition displayed the greatest volatility in community membership, in which a portion of DMN regions from Community 4 switched to Community 1 and 2, encompassing the salience, frontoparietal, dorsal attention, and visual networks. In the 2-Back condition, the cingulo-opercular network ROIs were divided between two communities dominated by the frontoparietal and default mode networks, while some subcortical regions formed a separate community. At the 3-Back condition with the highest cognitive load, 17% (10 of 58) of initial DMN regions changed their membership to Community 1, whereas the remaining 48 DMN regions have retained their community membership and formed an extensive Community 2 that included a number of somatosensory, cingulo-opercular, auditory, visual, and subcortical regions.

This qualitative investigation was also supported by the GVC score, which assesses the flexibility of network nodes across task.
conditions and was previously used in a study with 64 task states designating the frontoparietal and default mode as highly volatile networks (Cole et al., 2013). Across the five experimental conditions, the DMN regions showed high flexibility (above the median score of 0.257), a characteristic shared with the frontoparietal, dorsal attention, and visual network nodes (Fig. 4), which are commonly implicated in working-memory tasks with visual stimuli (Owen et al., 2005).

**Diversity of default mode connections decrease with increasing positive strength**

Having established that the modularity of the brain decreases with greater task load and that the DMN regions exhibit flexibility/volatility in community memberships, the subsequent aim of our study was to characterize the changes in DMN functional connectivity with greater task difficulty and to assess its contribution to global functional integration with further graph theoretical measures. For that purpose, we calculated the nodal participation coefficient and strength measures, which indicate the diversity of intermodular links and the number of positive/negative connections of each node, respectively. From 0-Back to 3-Back conditions, the DMN ROIs showed a significant decrease in their participation coefficient for both positive ($p = 0.0006$) and negative ($p = 3.53E-10$) weights (Fig. 5A). However, the nodal strength increased for positive ($p = 0.045$) and decreased for negative ($p = 1.95E-10$) weights, displaying a differential change in bidirectional functional connectivity to the rest of the brain (Fig. 5B).

Nodes with a high participation coefficient are believed to facilitate global integration between modules of a system (Guimerà and Amaral, 2005). In this case the significant decrease in the participation coefficient reflects the decrease of global brain modularity for both positive and negative weights. On the other hand, the increase in positive nodal strength alludes to a greater number of positive connections made with DMN regions, with a decrease in negative connections. Although the cognitive significance of anticorrelations is still speculative, recent evidence suggests biological relevance (Fox et al., 2009) and potential behavioral significance (Kelly et al., 2008; Sala-Llonch et al., 2012); however, further empirical evidence is needed.

**Discussion**

Previous studies that aimed to describe the DMNs’ contribution to cognitive processing have reported greater DMN involvement in a range of tasks assessing autobiographical memory retrieval, theory of mind, social cognition, episodic recall, and imagined scenes (Buckner et al., 2008; Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014). Important to consider in parallel are DMN activity/connectivity alterations observed in many neuropsychiatric conditions (Garrity et al., 2007; Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., 2009), traumatic brain injury (Sharp et al., 2011), normal aging (Damoiseaux et al., 2008), and under anesthesia (Stamatakis et al., 2010). Such evidence points toward a fundamental DMN function and necessitates a theoretical framework that can provide a comprehensive explanation for DMN involvement in many different forms of cognition and related disorders.

The aim of this study was to assess global brain connectivity changes with increasing cognitive demands in a working memory task and to determine a potential DMN involvement as a global integrator of information. Specifically, we used graph theoretical measures of modularity, global variable connectivity, and nodal participation coefficient and strength to assess the changing community architecture of the brain across increasing task difficulty in an n-back paradigm. The results showed that brain modularity decreased at higher levels of task load and this change was related to reaction time, indicating that the functional community formation is transient and that it changes in response to cognitive demands. Default mode ROIs displayed high flexibility and volatility in changing community memberships, with decreasing participation coefficient and increasing positive connectivity strength, thereby actively contributing to greater functional integration.

Such results highlight a fine balance between network segregation and integration in meeting task demands. Our findings are not only in line with reports demonstrating functional parcellation of the brain into densely intracoupled LSNs (Power et al., 2011), but also with studies that reveal dynamic internetwork interactions (de Pasquale et al., 2012; Spreng et al., 2013). In fact, a variety of neuroimaging techniques have proposed the economical organization of the brain into a small-world architecture that minimizes the cost of wiring and metabolism by forming and maintaining communities with a high number of local connections and few distant connections (Achard et al., 2006; Bullmore and Sporns, 2009, 2012). In this context, the DMN regions have been shown to represent rich clubs, i.e., areas of high global connectivity (van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2011; de Pasquale et al., 2013) that may serve as hubs for the integration of information. Similarly, the observed decrease in modularity with higher task load may be driven by changing DMN connectivity to the rest of the brain, demonstrated by the alluvial diagram as well as the significant changes in the diversity of intermodular links and the strength of connections made by DMN regions.

The highly stable modular architecture of the brain (Achard et al., 2006) has been previously reported to show transient network reconfiguration in response to changing environmental demands during simple tasks (Bassett et al., 2006). Moreover, modularity of the brain at rest was shown to predict subsequent performance in an n-back task (Stevens et al., 2012) and nodal flexibility was predictive of complex motor learning (Bassett et al., 2011), thus linking functional brain organization, learning, and memory.

![Figure 4. Mean global variable connectivity (GVC) score for the 10 LSNs across the five experimental conditions. GVC measures a given node’s tendency to switch community memberships across different contexts (Cole et al., 2013). The color-coded bars illustrate the 10 well established LSNs’ mean GVC, and the error bars show SE. The results indicate high flexibility in the DMN nodes (above the median score of 0.257), as well as in the frontoparietal, dorsal attention, and visual network nodes. FPN, Frontoparietal network; CON, cingulo-opercular network; SAN, salience network; DAN, dorsal attention network; VAN, ventral attention network.](image-url)
Together with our results, these findings also provide support for a relationship between changes in modularity and performance. Hence, the ability to transiently switch between a crystallized modular architecture to that of a highly integrated global workspace (Baars, 2002) with long-range connections may be related to human cognitive performance and conscious processing, such as in a working-memory task (Kitzbichler et al., 2011). The DMN with its observed flexible nodes across increasing cognitive loads may be facilitating such dynamic changes in global brain topography. As a caveat we need to mention that our study used a block design with low temporal resolution. To provide more conclusive evidence for the mechanism by which DMN nodes interact with other LSNs, future research will need to employ paradigms that occupy finer time scales. We also considered the possibility that the age range of the volunteers in this study may have weakened the overall impact of our findings. To this end, we included age as a confounding variable in our analyses where appropriate, and found that age had no effect on the associations we established between changes in modularity and reaction time to correct responses.

From a cognitive perspective, working memory constitutes a multicomponent system that retains and manipulates information for use in executive functions ranging from decision making to planning (Repovs and Baddeley, 2006). Thus, it represents an integral part of our everyday lives, allowing us to solve complex problems. Over the years, this hypothesis has been tested with various paradigms to assess the brain’s response to “on-line” retention, updating, and manipulation of information with varying degrees of difficulty. Frontoparietal areas have been widely shown to activate in response to n-back tasks (Owen et al., 2005); however, growing evidence also highlights the DMNs’ contribution to working memory.

Spreng and colleagues, for example, showed enhanced task performance when the task required access to long-term autobiographical memory stores supported by the DMN (Spreng et al., 2014). Using a novel famous-faces version of the n-back task, they reported greater DMN activity while participants matched famous as opposed to anonymous faces and concluded that the DMN’s contribution may be restricted to accessing internal mental representations to facilitate congruent task goals. Expanding this hypothesis, in a perceptual version of the n-back, Konishi and colleagues showed greater activity in DMN, as well as in salience and frontoparietal networks, during 1-Back in comparison with 0-Back conditions (Konishi et al., 2015). These results reinforced the assertion that regardless of autobiographical memory content, access to memory stores, as opposed to the processing of current perceptual input, was sufficient enough to drive DMN involvement (Smallwood, 2013). In light of these findings, the observed increase in volatility of the DMN regions and their interactions with other LSNs (e.g., salience and frontoparietal) during 1-Back as opposed to the 0-Back condition in our study (Fig. 3) might represent the DMN’s transient retrieval of memory and integration of information for an expanded global workspace. Overall, this evidence suggests that, especially during paradigms that involve memory-based processing, the DMN may actively contribute to human cognition, a role that has not yet been fully defined.

In the context of segregation and integration in the brain, Baars developed the global workspace model related to conscious processing, in which the integration of information provides the necessary associations for reasoning, decision making, and planning (Baars, 2002). The interactions between the default mode, dorsal attention, and frontoparietal networks are hypothesized to engage with such dynamic and integrative processing in which the DMN is thought to provide internal information for global amplification facilitated by the frontoparietal network (Dehaene and Changeux, 2011; Smallwood et al., 2012). Along similar lines, the posterior cingulate has been discussed as an area that facilitates integration across multiple networks (Leech et al., 2012; Braga et al., 2013). Thus, with its extensive structural and functional connections, the DMN may constitute an important global workspace hub, providing associative information (Bar, 2007).
for scrutiny and manipulation by the co-operating frontoparietal network. Such a framework would not only offer an explanation for the involvement of the DMN in a range of self-referential and memory-based tasks (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014), but would also allude to its central importance in wider brain processing (Vatansever et al., 2015) that extends to social cognition and creativity (Wiggins and Bhattacharya, 2014).

A comparable concept was introduced by Baddeley (Baddeley, 2000), who argued for the existence of an episodic buffer, which integrates information from the visuospatial sketchpad, the phonological loop, and long-term memory stores for use by the central executive. Although there is no consensus on the neural correlates of the episodic buffer, the DMN’s high structural and functional connectivity, its involvement in a wide variety of cognitive paradigms, and the potential contribution to the global integration of information, make the DMN a likely candidate for this role. Nevertheless, further research that directly investigates these hypotheses will be required to establish whether the DMN constitutes the neural underpinning of the theoretical global integrator and/or episodic buffer.

In conclusion, the results of our study demonstrate increasing interactions between various LSNs, including the DMN, with increasing cognitive effort during a working-memory task. In contrast to the historically held view on the irrelevance of DMN to goal-directed, attention-demanding tasks, we propose that the DMN actively contributes to task performance, possibly through global integration of information, which might also explain its recently reported involvement in a diverse range of tasks. However, the precise cognitive mechanism that facilitates these processes remains a central question for future research.
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