Estimation of Noise in the In Situ Hyperspectral Data Acquired by Chang’E-4 and Its Effects on Spectral Analysis of Regolith
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Abstract: The Chang’E-4 (CE-4) spacecraft landed successfully on the far side of the Moon on 3 January 2019, and the rover Yutu-2 has explored the lunar surface since then. The visible and near-infrared imaging spectrometer (VNIS) onboard the rover has acquired numerous spectra, providing unprecedented insight into the composition of the lunar surface. However, the noise in these spectral data and its effects on spectral interpretation are not yet assessed. Here we analyzed repeated measurements over the same area at the lunar surface to estimate the signal–noise ratio (SNR) of the VNIS spectra. Using the results, we assessed the effects of noise on the estimation of band centers, band depths, FeO content, optical maturity (OMAT), mineral abundances, and submicroscopic metallic iron (SMFe). The data observed at solar altitudes <20° exhibit low SNR (25 dB), whereas the data acquired at 20°–35° exhibit higher SNR (35–37 dB). We found differences in band centers due to noise to be ~6.2 and up to 28.6 nm for 1 and 2 µm absorption, respectively. We also found that mineral abundances derived using the Hapke model are affected by noise, with maximum standard deviations of 6.3%, 2.4%, and 7.0% for plagioclase, pyroxene, and olivine, respectively. Our results suggest that noise has significant impacts on the CE-4 spectra, which should be considered in the spectral analysis and geologic interpretation of lunar exploration data.
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1. Introduction

Visible and near-infrared (VNIR) reflectance spectra are the primary data sources used in analysis of the composition of the materials and processes on the lunar surface. Hyperspectral remote sensing sensors, including the Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M3), the Spectral Profilers, and the Interference Imaging Spectrometer, have allowed the accumulation of extensive spectral datasets describing the entire surface of the Moon [1–3]. The VNIR spectral signature of the lunar surface is a complex function of parameters including surface composition, particle size, scattering property, space weathering, and viewing geometry [4–7]. Previously, the spectral features of lunar regolith were studied in a laboratory setting using the Apollo samples to help decode remote sensing data [6,8]. However, laboratory measurements are typically obtained under controlled conditions (i.e., with fixed incidence
and viewing angles), and differences between the acquired spectra are generally associated with differences in the chemical and physical properties of the target. Moreover, it is difficult to reproduce the undisturbed lunar surface and environment in a laboratory; any such reproduction may have a significant effect on the optical features obtained. These experimental issues can be addressed by conducting in situ measurements of the lunar surface.

On 3 January 2019, the Chang’E-4 (CE-4) spacecraft landed successfully in the South Pole–Aitken (SPA) basin on the far side of the Moon. The visible and near-infrared imaging spectrometer (VNIS) onboard the Yutu-2 rover obtained numerous VNIR hyperspectral images, providing unprecedented insight into the spectrophotometric properties and compositions of lunar regolith and rocks [9–13]. However, the ability of these hyperspectral data to represent the real lunar environment is degraded by noise, which is related to factors such as the instrument system used and the measurement environment [14]. In particular, instrumental noise can introduce uncertainties in spectral bands to varying degrees; moreover, the received radiance can be degraded by imaging conditions such as illumination [14]. Thus, the effect of noise on observed data must be estimated in the spectral analysis of lunar surface characteristics.

Lunar regolith and rocks are composed of varying quantities of dominant minerals, including plagioclase, pyroxene, olivine, and ilmenite. The accurate identification of minerals on the lunar surface is critical to our understanding of the surface processes and the geological history of the Moon [15–18]. Absorption related to electronic transitions, vibrational modes, and charge transfer processes of specific minerals can be used to characterize mineral types [4]. For example, pyroxenes have diagnostic band centers at ~1 and ~2 µm, with wavelength varying as a function of the Ca/Fe/Mg ratio [19,20]. Similarly, absorption occurs at ~1.05 µm for olivine [21]. Additionally, band depth (absorption strength) is related to mineral abundance and space weathering. Noise is known to affect the estimation of spectral features such as band center and band depth. In this context, the estimation of spectral features may be affected by the signal–noise ratio (SNR) of hyperspectral data. Accurate estimation of the abundances of each of these minerals in lunar regolith and rocks would help further constrain the Moon’s evolution [22]. Typically, mineral abundances can be derived from spectra of lunar regolith and rocks using the radiative transfer models. For example, the Hapke model is used widely to estimate mineralogical information from VNIR reflectance spectra of lunar materials [9,11,22–24]. However, the deconvolution of such spectra is known to be sensitive to noise. Therefore, quantitative assessment of how noise affects spectral data, and the mineralogical information derived from such data, is important for robust geologic interpretation.

Space weathering is the primary surface process on the Moon which can produce the submicroscopic metallic iron (SMFe) [25–27]. The estimation of the SMFe abundance in the lunar regolith is undoubtedly important in evaluating space weathering and hence the regolith evolution [27,28]. The existence of SMFe reduces the albedo and band depth of the spectra of the lunar regolith, and physical modeling was developed to derive the SMFe abundance from the acquired spectra [25,29]. However, how noise of CE-4 spectral data affects the estimations of SMFe abundance needs to be quantitatively assessed.

Noise in in situ hyperspectral data and its effects on the spectral features of lunar regolith can be determined by observing the same areas repeatedly. On the tenth and thirteenth lunar days of the CE-4 mission, the VNIS onboard the Yutu-2 rover conducted experiments to measure the same target repeatedly at various sites (Figure 1). In this study, we first estimated the noise level of the VNIS measurements (i.e., the SNR of the hyperspectral images obtained) and analyzed their variations with solar altitude and incident energy. We also analyzed the effect of noise on the estimation of band center and depth. Finally, we assessed variations in mineral abundance and SMFe caused by the noise. These results are critical in the interpretation of the in situ spectral data acquired by CE-4.
2. Data and Methods

2.1. Chang’E-4 Spectral Data and Preprocessing

The VNIS onboard the Yutu-2 rover measures the lunar surface at a height of ~0.75 m (Figure 1). The VNIS uses an acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF), which is a spectroscopic device based on the principle of acousto-optic diffraction, used to discriminate the light wavelength [30,31]. The VNIS detectors acquire the spectral information by rapidly driving frequency scanning on the AOTF, to change the first-order diffraction light dispersed with the wavelength sequentially [30]. The VNIS consists of a complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) imager (450–945 nm, spectral resolution of 2.4–6.5 nm) and a short-wavelength near-infrared (SWIR) detector (900–2395 nm, spectral resolution of 3.6–9.6 nm) [30,31]. The SWIR detector (the material is InGaAs) does not image but acquires a spectrum of the target. The field of view of the SWIR detector is a circle with a diameter of 107.6 CMOS pixels and is centered at sample 98, line 127.5 within the FOV of the CMOS imager. Only a spectrum can be obtained by SWIR detector.

![Figure 1. Schematic of working mode of the Yutu-2 rover at lunar surface and the major specifications of visible and near-infrared (VNIR) imaging spectrometer [30]. The field of view (FOV) of the complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) imager is ~15 cm × 21 cm because of the different resolution in the horizontal and vertical directions. The FOV of the short-wavelength near-infrared (SWIR) detector is a circle with a diameter of 107.6 CMOS pixels and is centered at sample 98, line 127.5 within the FOV of the CMOS imager. Only a spectrum can be obtained by SWIR detector.](image_url)
On the tenth and thirteenth lunar days of mission operations, the Yutu-2 rover conducted two different experiments using the VNIS (Figure 1): (1) measuring the spectra of the same regolith area at different solar altitudes and (2) measuring the spectra of the same rock-bearing area continuously at the same viewing geometry. The measurement angles for each site are listed in Table 1 and the corresponding CMOS images are shown in Figure 2. Regolith characteristics were measured at different solar altitudes for the same area to investigate errors caused by varying illumination conditions (Figure 2a). Similarly, rock outcrops were measured repeatedly at the same viewing geometry (i.e., with little variation in solar altitude) which could help assess the measurement uncertainty. Measurements were obtained for three rock-bearing areas (Figure 2b).

Table 1. Observation angles of the repeated measurements.

| Data ID | Incidence Angle (°) | Solar Altitude (°) | Emission Angle (°) | Phase Angle (°) | Incidence Angle Variation | Phase Angle Variation |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| N0068   | 76.58               | 13.42              | 48.27              | 79.38          | 0.60                     | 0.89                 |
| N0069   | 75.98               | 14.02              | 48.27              | 78.49          | 0.60                     | 0.89                 |
| N0070   | 71.36               | 18.64              | 48.27              | 71.49          | 4.62                     | 7.0                  |
| N0071   | 70.14               | 19.86              | 48.27              | 69.60          | 1.22                     | 1.89                 |
| N0072   | 69.61               | 20.39              | 48.27              | 68.78          | 0.53                     | 0.82                 |
| N0073   | 68.90               | 21.10              | 48.27              | 67.66          | 0.71                     | 1.12                 |
| N0074   | 68.33               | 21.67              | 48.27              | 66.76          | 0.57                     | 0.9                  |
| N0075   | 63.74               | 26.26              | 48.27              | 59.24          | 4.59                     | 7.52                 |
| N0076   | 62.86               | 27.14              | 48.27              | 57.73          | 0.88                     | 1.51                 |
| N0077   | 62.05               | 27.95              | 48.27              | 56.32          | 0.81                     | 1.41                 |
| N0078   | 61.18               | 28.82              | 48.27              | 54.79          | 0.87                     | 1.53                 |
| N0104   | 58.83               | 31.17              | 43.23              | 49.70          | 0.70                     | 1.24                 |
| N0105   | 59.53               | 30.47              | 43.23              | 50.94          | 0.70                     | 1.24                 |
| N0106   | 58.24               | 29.76              | 43.08              | 54.06          | 0.70                     | 1.24                 |
| N0107   | 56.35               | 29.65              | 43.08              | 54.26          | 0.70                     | 1.24                 |
| N0109   | 67.40               | 22.60              | 42.93              | 66.76          | 0.11                     | 0.20                 |
| N0110   | 67.52               | 22.48              | 42.93              | 66.95          | 0.12                     | 0.19                 |

Figure 2. The CMOS images of lunar surface observed by Yutu-2 rover. (a) The images of the same regolith area measured at different solar altitudes. (b) The repeated measurements of rock-bearing areas. The size of CMOS image is ~15 × 21cm. The yellow circle is the field of view of the SWIR detector.
2.2. Estimation of Signal–Noise Ratio

The SNR of hyperspectral remote sensing systems can be estimated in two ways: using a complex system of sensors or from data acquired by an imaging spectrometer [35]. Variation in dark current signals can represent system noise in the laboratory [36]. Besides the dark current of the detector, the thermal and readout effects also contribute to the data noise [31]. Furthermore, conditions in the lunar environment are complex which could induce additional noise. Thus, it is important to have an effective way to estimate the noise level in the spectral analysis of the CE-4 data.

Noise in remote sensing images can be divided into two types based on the relationship between noise and signal, multiplicative and additive noises, which exhibit strong and weak correlation with signal strength, respectively [37,38]. In this study, we first assessed noise type for the CMOS images by considering a moving window with 5 × 5 pixels as the local area. The local mean radiance value and local standard deviation were assumed to represent the signal and noise, respectively [38]. The correlation coefficients of the images were found to be <0.3 for all bands, suggesting that the noise in the CMOS images is additive (Figure 3).

Thus, we used the residual-scaled local standard deviations (RLSD) method, which assumes that the noise in hyperspectral images is additive, to estimate the SNR [35]. The RLSD method has been applied successfully to hyperspectral images, including those from the interference imaging...
spectrometer of the Chang’E-1 spacecraft [39]. This method is based on the concept of strong band correlation of hyperspectral images and the local standard deviation (LSD) of small imaging patches. In particular, the RLSD method uses multiple linear regression to obtain noise-like residuals and calculate the distribution of a number of LSDs to estimate the noise of an image. The CMOS images 0104 and 0105 are affected by shadow (Figure 2), and thus the SNR was not calculated for these images.

2.3. Estimation of Band Center and Depth

We estimated the band centers and depths based on continuum-removed spectra, which were obtained using a straight-line segment method [4]. Before continuum removal, the reflectance spectra were smoothed using the Savitzky–Golay algorithm [40] with 31 points and a second-order polynomial function. Then, we used a sixth-order polynomial function to fit the continuum-removed spectra at ~1000 and ~2000 nm to characterize the band centers; these represent the band positions with minimum reflectance/continuum values [15]. The band depth is defined as 1 minus the reflectance at the band center of the continuum-removed spectra. To estimate the error in band center and depth, we used four different band ranges to fit the absorption: 900–1050, 850–1150, 800–1250, and 750–1350 nm for 1000 nm; and 1750–2250, 1700–2300, 1650–2350, and 1600–2395 nm for 2000 nm [41].

2.4. Estimation of Mineral Abundance

The lunar regolith is intimately mixed and the endmembers have nonlinear characteristics in the reflectance space. However, the single-scattering albedo (SSA) of the mixture can be a linear combination of endmembers. The relation between reflectance and SSA, scattering properties, and particle size, etc., can be well described by the Hapke model.

2.4.1. The Hapke Equations

The relation between the reflectance and single-scattering albedo (SSA) is described as [5]:

\[
r = \frac{\omega}{4(\mu_0 + \mu_e)} \{ P(g)[1 + B(g)] + H(\mu_0)H(\mu_e) - 1 \}
\]

where \( r \) is the reflectance of lunar materials; \( \mu_0 \) and \( \mu_e \) are the cosines of the angles of incidence and emission, respectively; and \( g \) is phase angle. \( B(g) \) is the backscattering function; \( P(g) \) is the phase function, which can be expressed with Legendre polynomials; \( H(x) \) is a multiple-scattering function; and \( \omega \) is the average SSA, which is the approximately linear combination of endmembers’ SSAs. The equations and parameters of \( B(g) \), \( P(g) \), \( H(\mu_0) \), and \( H(\mu_e) \) are the same as those in [22]:

\[
P(g) = 1 - 0.4 \cos(g) + 0.25(1.5 \cos^2(g) - 0.5)
\]

\[
B(g) = 1/\left[1 + \tan(g/2)/h\right]
\]

\[
h = -3/8 \ln(1 - \phi)
\]

\[
H(\mu_0) = 1/\left\{1 - (1 - \sqrt{1 - \omega})\mu_0 [r_0 + (1 - 0.5r_0 - r_0\mu_0) \ln(1 + \mu_0)/\mu_0]\right\}
\]

\[
r_0 = (1 - \sqrt{1 - \omega})/(1 + \sqrt{1 - \omega})
\]

where \( h \) is the angular width parameter of opposition effect. The equation for \( H(\mu_e) \) is same as for \( H(\mu_0) \), but \( \mu_0 \) is replaced with \( \mu_e \).

2.4.2. Single-Scattering Albedo of Mineral Endmember

The endmembers used in this study are pyroxene (PYX), olivine (OL), plagioclase (PLG), and ilmenite (ILM), which are the dominant minerals of the Moon. Considering the spectral variations, at least two spectra of each endmember were used in this study (Figure 4). These endmember
spectra were collected from the Lunar Rock and Mineral Characterization (LRMCC) database [42]. The endmember SSA can be calculated using the Hapke model with given optical constants and grain size:

\[ \omega = S_e + (1 - S_e) \frac{(1 - S_i) \theta}{1 - S_i \theta} \]  

(7)

\[ S_e = \frac{(n - 1)^2 + k^2}{(n + 1)^2 + k^2} + 0.05 \]  

(8)

\[ S_i = 1 - \frac{4}{n(n + 1)^2} \]  

(9)

\[ \theta = e^{-\alpha \langle D \rangle} \]  

(10)

where \( n \) and \( k \) are optical constants of the minerals. \( S_e \) and \( S_i \) are the Fresnel reflectivity for internally and externally incident light, respectively. \( \theta \) is the internal transmission coefficient of the particle without internal scatter. The variable \(<D>\) is the average distance traveled by transmitted rays during one traverse of a particle, which is related to particle size \( D \):

\[ \langle D \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \left[ n^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( n^2 - 1 \right)^{3/2} \right] D \]  

(11)

where \( \alpha = 4\pi k/\lambda \) is the absorption coefficient of the mineral. For considering the effects of space weathering on the lunar surface, the spectral contribution of submicroscopic metallic iron (SMFe) is taken into account by modeling the absorption coefficient:

\[ \alpha = \frac{4\pi k}{\lambda} + \frac{36\pi n z M_{Fe} \rho}{\lambda \rho_{Fe}} \]  

(12)

\[ z = \frac{n^3 n_{Fe} k_{Fe}}{(n_{Fe}^2 - k_{Fe}^2 + 2n^2)^2 + 4n_{Fe}^2 k_{Fe}^2}\]  

(13)

where \( \rho \) is density of host mineral; \( n_{Fe}, k_{Fe}, \) and \( \rho_{Fe} \) are optical constants and the density of SMFe. \( M_{Fe} \) is the mass fraction of SMFe.

The endmember’s optical constant \( k \) was calculated using Equations (1–11) with known reflectance and particle size. The endmembers’ SSAs were calculated by setting the particle size as (low boundary, step, upper boundary) = (10, 5, 60) and SMFe abundance as (0, 0.001, 0.005). Thus, a SSA spectral library was constructed. Theoretically, there are only a small number of materials in a mixture, which is sparse compared with the hundreds of spectra in our spectral library. Therefore, a sparse unmixing algorithm [43] that was applied to Martian hyperspectral data [44–46] was used to obtain the optimal solution. The sparse regression problem is written as [43]:

\[ \min_x ||x||_0 \text{ Subject to } ||Y - Ax||_2 \leq \delta, x \geq 0 \]  

(14)

where \( \delta \geq 0 \) is the modeling error tolerance, \( x \) is the mineral abundance, \( Y \) is the SSA of mixture, and \( A \) is the spectral library. Variable \( ||x||_0 \), the \( L_0 \) norm, denotes the number of nonzero components of \( x \). Due to the non-deterministic polynomial (NP)-hard problem of the \( L_0 \) norm, it is typically replaced with the \( L_1 \) norm [43]. Therefore, the objective function of the optimal problem can be formulated as:

\[ \min_x \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - Y||_2^2 + \gamma ||X||_1 \]  

(15)

where \( \gamma \) is regularization parameter. A sparse unmixing via variable splitting augmented Lagrangian (SunSAL) algorithm proposed by Iordanche et al. [43] was applied to retrieve the mineral abundances.
The particle size as $\gamma$, the $L_{\geq \delta}$, was measured by the Yutu-2 rover at the third lunar day of the mission, which was suggested to be (1–11). The mass fraction of SMFe and particle size of the lunar regolith were then estimated by fitting $n$ The optical constant $\mu$.
this could affect spectral interpretation, particularly for short wavelengths (10–15 dB). The low SNR of the image acquired at low solar altitude was primarily caused by the low solar incident energy and the shadows produced by the uneven lighting. Therefore, we suggest that future measurements should be obtained at solar altitudes >20° to improve the quality of obtained lunar spectra. Compared with the regolith, the rock-bearing areas measured at a similar solar altitude were found to exhibit higher SNR (Figure 6b), suggesting that fresher materials exhibit a higher SNR due to their stronger reflectance.

Figure 5. The rock measured by Yutu-2 rover. (a) The CMOS image of the rock. The red regions are the fresh rock surface. (b) The average reflectance spectrum of the fresh rock surface.

Figure 6. Signal–noise ratio (SNR) of the CMOS image. (a) The SNR versus wavelength at different solar altitude. (b) The SNR versus solar altitude. (c) The SNR versus irradiance of solar energy at 0.75 μm.
We found differences in the paired measurements between 0106 and 0107, as well as between 0109 and 0110, despite that these observations were collected for the same areas and with similar viewing geometries. Note that the differences in incidence angles were smaller than 0.12°. We attribute these differences to measurement error or noise. We found considerable variability in the spectra at wavelengths longer than 1.8 μm (Figure 7), suggesting that variability could affect the 2 μm spectral features. This variability is particularly pronounced for the two observations collected at the lowest solar altitudes (Figure 7b,d).

Figure 7. The spectra and their differences acquired from the same rock-bearing area. (a) The spectra of 0106 and 0107. (b) The spectra of 0109 and 0110. (c) The difference of the spectra between 0106 and 0107. (d) The difference of the spectra between 0109 and 0110.

3.2. Effects of Noise on Band Center and Depth

The effects of noise on band center and band depth were also investigated. Spectral variations in the continuum-removed reflectance spectra between measurements acquired under the same conditions are evident (Figure 8), particularly for the 2 μm absorption. This is consistent with the large differences observed at ~2 μm in the reflectance spectra. We observed the following differences in band centers. For 0106 and 0107, differences were 2.6 and 10.2 nm for the 1 and 2 μm bands, respectively. For 0109 and 0110, differences were 2.7 and 1.7 nm for the 1 and 2 μm bands, respectively. Finally, for 0104 and 0105, differences were 1.9 and 16.7 nm for the 1 and 2 μm bands, respectively. These findings suggest that the effects of noise on band center estimation are random, with variation of 1.9–2.7 and 1.7–16.7 nm for the 1 and 2 μm absorptions, respectively. Moreover, the noise caused by solar altitude was found to have significant effects on the band centers, with uncertainties of 9.6 and 46.0 nm for the 1 and 2 μm bands, respectively. Removal of measurements acquired at solar altitudes <20° reduced these uncertainties to 6.2 and 28.6 nm for the 1 and 2 μm absorptions, respectively. Band depth, which is related to mineral abundance, was also affected by noise (Figure 8d). Similarly, we found noise to
induce variations in estimation of band depth, and these variations are 0.001–0.004 and 0.002–0.006 for
the 1 and 2 μm absorptions, respectively. The tie points of the spectral continuum were changed by the
noise and thus introduced significant variations when estimating the band center and depth.

Figure 8. The continuum-removed spectra and band centers and depths of rock-bearing areas. (a) The continuum-removed spectra of the paired measurements with small difference of solar altitudes. (b) The continuum-removed spectra of the paired measurements with large difference of solar altitudes. (c) The positions of the band centers. (d) The band depths. The lines in (c) and (d) are error bars.

The CE-4 landing site is located in Von Kármán crater of the SPA basin. Von Kármán crater was
filled with mare basalts [47]. However, the ejecta blanket from Finsen crater, which is to the northeast
of the CE-4 landing site, can be seen from the orbital images [9,11–13,47]. In this case, the regolith at
the landing site could represent the original materials of the SPA basin floor excavated by an asteroid
impact [9]. It is critical to determine the source of the materials around the landing site because their
formation conditions would be varied. We estimated the band centers of numerous regolith spectra
acquired by the Yutu-2 rover and compared our results with the Apollo samples from the Lunar Soil
Characterization Consortium (LSCC) database [8,48]. As shown in Figure 9, the maximum shifts of
the band centers of each regolith spectrum affect the determination of mineral types, thus such shifts
caused by the noise need to be considered in the geologic interpretation.
The noise changed the spectral shapes (band centers, band depths, and spectral slopes) and thus could affect the estimation of compositions, as the composition derived from the spectra relies on the fitting of the spectral shape and absorption features. Therefore, we analyzed the influence of noise on the interpretation of FeO content, optical maturity (OMAT), and mineral abundances, as well as the SMFe abundance. The spectra obtained were photometrically corrected with photometric functions derived using the CE-4 spectra, which were acquired on the fourth lunar day of the mission [10]. Then, FeO content and OMAT were calculated using spectral parameters [10,49,50]. The variation in OMAT (i.e., absolute difference/OMAT value) between the two measurements acquired under the same conditions was found to be 0.9%–2.7%, whereas the uncertainty induced by noise related to illumination was found to be 6.8%. The variation in FeO content (i.e., absolute difference/FeO content) between two measurements acquired under the same conditions was found to be 3.9%–8.1%. In contrast, variation in FeO content caused by illumination-related noise was found to be 11.2%.

The mineral abundances derived from the VNIR spectra using the Hapke model are shown in Figure 10, showing that the abundance values vary between two sets of measurements acquired under the same conditions. In particular, we found the abundances could vary as much as 0%–6%, 1%–5%, and 0%–4% for pyroxene, plagioclase, and olivine, respectively. Furthermore, we found the mineral abundances derived from CE-4 spectra using the Hapke model are also affected by noise caused by illumination conditions (N0068–N0078), and the corresponding standard deviations for plagioclase, pyroxene, and olivine are 6.3%, 2.4%, and 7.0%, respectively. Figure 10 shows that errors of mineral abundances caused by noise may affect the determination of lithology (Figure 10).
The mean SMFe abundance of the regolith is 0.096 wt. %, with standard variation of 0.025 wt. % (Figure 11a). Removal of measurements acquired at solar altitudes <20° reduced this standard variation to 0.011 wt. %. Because we have photometrically corrected the spectra to the standard viewing geometry, this standard variation is attributed primarily to the noise. Similarly, our modeling results show that the mean particle size of the regolith is 45.73 μm, with a standard deviation of 4.75 μm (Figure 11b).

Figure 10. The variation of mineral abundances caused by the noise in the hyperspectral data acquired by CE-4.

Figure 11. The variations of (a) SMFe abundance and (b) particle size of lunar regolith caused by the noise in the hyperspectral data acquired by CE-4.
4. Conclusions

The Yutu-2 rover of the CE-4 mission has explored the far side of the Moon since 3 January 2019, providing a unique perspective on the composition of the lunar surface. The rover conducted a series of in situ spectral measurements in two specific areas. We estimated the noise inherent in the obtained hyperspectral data, analyzed its effects on the band centers and band depths, and discussed the effects of the noise on the derived FeO content, OMAT, and mineral abundances of lunar regolith and rocks. The main findings can be summarized as follows:

(1) Data observed at solar altitudes <20° exhibit low signal–noise ratio (SNR) (25 dB). In contrast, those acquired at 20°–35° exhibit higher SNR (35–37 dB).

(2) The band centers of the spectra measured by the Yutu-2 rover were affected significantly by noise, with variations of ~6.2 nm and up to 28.6 nm for 1 and 2 µm absorption, respectively.

(3) Variations in FeO content and OMAT for two sets of measurements acquired under the same conditions were found to be 3.9%–8.1% and 0.9%–2.7%, respectively. Variations in FeO content and OMAT attributed to noise due to weak illumination were found to be 11.2% and 6.8%, respectively.

(4) The standard deviations of mineral abundances derived using the Hapke model are 6.3%, 2.4%, and 7.0% for plagioclase, pyroxene, and olivine, respectively. We attribute these variations primarily to noise.

(5) The standard deviations of the estimated SMFe abundance and particle size from the different spectral measurements of the same lunar regolith are 0.025 wt. % and 4.75 µm, respectively.

In summary, our analysis provides a quantitative assessment of the effects of noise on spectral data, and we have demonstrated that the effect of noise on estimating band centers, band depths, FeO content, OMAT, and mineral abundances is significant and could mislead the geologic interpretation of the evolution history of the CE-4 landing site.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.L. (Yangting Lin) and H.L.; methodology, H.L.; formal analysis, H.L. and Y.L. (Yangting Lin); writing—original draft preparation, H.L.; writing—review and editing, Y.L. (Yangting Lin), Y.W., S.H., Y.Y., and Y.L. (Yang Liu), W.Y.; supervision, Y.L. (Yangting Lin); data acquisition, Z.H. and R.X.; funding acquisition, H.L., Y.L. (Yangting Lin), Y.W., and Y.L. (Yang Liu). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 41902318, 41490631, 11941001, and 41525016; the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, CAS, grant number QYZDJ-SSW-DQC001 and the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission, grant number Z181100002918003. Honglei Lin was also supported by the Key Research Program of the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, CAS, grant number IGGCAS-201905.

Acknowledgments: The Chang‘E-4 mission was carried out by the Chinese Lunar Exploration Program, and the data were provided by the Science and Application Center for Moon and Deep Space Exploration, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The authors are grateful to the editors and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive reviews. The data reported in this work will be archived at http://moon.bao.ac.cn/searchOrder_dataSearchData.search.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Pieters, C.M.; Boardman, J.; Buratti, B.; Chatterjee, A.; Clark, R.; Glavich, T.; Green, R.; Head, J., III; Isaacson, P.; Malaret, E. The Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M3) on Chandrayaan-1. Curr. Sci. 2009, 96, 500–505.

2. Wu, Y.Z.; Zheng, Y.C.; Zou, Y.L.; Chen, J.; Xu, X.S.; Tang, Z.S.; Xu, A.A.; Yan, B.K.; Gan, F.P.; Zhang, X. A preliminary experience in the use of Chang‘E-1 IIM data. Planet. Space Sci. 2010, 58, 1922–1931. [CrossRef]

3. Yamamoto, S.; Matsunaga, T.; Ogawa, Y.; Nakamura, R.; Yokota, Y.; Ohtake, M.; Haruyama, J.; Morota, T.; Honda, C.; Hiroi, T.; et al. Calibration of NIR 2 of Spectral Profiler Onboard Kaguya/SELENE. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2014, 52, 6882–6898. [CrossRef]

4. Clark, R.N.; Roush, T.L. Reflectance Spectroscopy—Quantitative-Analysis Techniques for Remote-Sensing Applications. J. Geophys. Res. 1984, 89, 6329–6340. [CrossRef]

5. Hapke, B. Bidirectional reflectance spectroscopy: I. Theory. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 1981, 86, 3039–3054. [CrossRef]
6. Pieters, C.M.; Taylor, L.A.; Noble, S.K.; Keller, L.P.; Hapke, B.; Morris, R.V.; Allen, C.C.; McKay, D.S.; Wentworth, S. Space weathering on airless bodies: Resolving a mystery with lunar samples. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 2000, 35, 1101–1107. [CrossRef]

7. Johnson, J.R.; Shepard, M.K.; Grundy, W.M.; Paige, D.A.; Foote, E.J. Spectrogoniometry and modeling of martian and lunar analog samples and Apollo soils. Icarus 2013, 223, 383–406. [CrossRef]

8. Taylor, L.A.; Pieters, C.M.; Keller, L.P.; Morris, R.V.; McKay, D.S. Lunar Mare Soils: Space weathering and the major effects of surface-correlated nanophase Fe. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2001, 106, 27985–27999. [CrossRef]

9. Lin, H.; He, Z.; Yang, W.; Lin, Y.; Xu, R.; Zhang, C.; Zhu, M.-H.; Chang, R.; Zhang, J.; Li, C. Olivine-norite rock detected by the lunar rover Yutu-2 likely crystallized from the SPA impact melt pool. Natl. Sci. Rev. 2019. [CrossRef]

10. Lin, H.; Xu, R.; Yang, W.; Lin, Y.; Wei, Y.; Hu, S.; He, Z.; Qiao, L.; Wan, W. In situ photometric experiment of lunar regolith with visible and near-infrared imaging spectrometer onboard the Yutu-2 lunar rover. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2020, 125, e2019JE006076. [CrossRef]

11. Hu, X.; Ma, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, M.H.; Jiang, T.; Lucey, P.G.; Sun, L.; Zhang, H.; Li, C.; Xu, R. Mineral Abundances Inferred From In Situ Reflectance Measurements of Chang’E-4 Landing Site in South Pole-Aitken Basin. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2019, 46, 9439–9447. [CrossRef]

12. Li, C.L.; Liu, D.W.; Liu, B.; Ren, X.; Liu, J.J.; He, Z.P.; Zuo, W.; Zeng, X.G.; Xu, R.; Tan, X.; et al. Chang’E-4 initial spectroscopic identification of lunar far-side mantle-derived materials. Nature 2019, 569, 378–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Gou, S.; Di, K.; Yue, Z.; Liu, Z.; He, Z.; Xu, R.; Lin, H.; Liu, B.; Peng, M.; Wan, W. Lunar deep materials observed by Chang’e-4 rover. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 2019, 528, 115829. [CrossRef]

14. Rasti, B.; Scheuners, P.; Ghamisi, P.; Licciardi, G.; Chanussot, J. Noise Reduction in Hyperspectral Imagery: Overview and Application. Remote Sens. 2018, 10, 482. [CrossRef]

15. Horgan, B.H.N.; Cloutis, E.A.; Mann, P.; Bell, J.F. Near-infrared spectra of ferrous mineral mixtures and methods for their identification in planetary surface spectra. Icarus 2014, 234, 132–154. [CrossRef]

16. Wu, Y.; Hapke, B. Spectroscopic observations of the Moon at the lunar surface. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 2018, 484, 145–153. [CrossRef]

17. Moriarty, D.; Pieters, C.; Isaacson, P. Compositional heterogeneity of central peaks within the South Pole-Aitken Basin. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2013, 118, 2310–2322. [CrossRef]

18. Moriarty, D.P.; Pieters, C.M. The Character of South Pole-Aitken Basin: Patterns of Surface and Subsurface Composition. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2018, 123, 729–747. [CrossRef]

19. Adams, J.B. Visible and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra of pyroxenes as applied to remote sensing of solid objects in the solar system. J. Geophys. Res. 1974, 79, 4829–4836. [CrossRef]

20. Klima, R.L.; Dyar, M.D.; Pieters, C.M. Near-infrared spectra of clinopyroxenes: Effects of calcium content and crystal structure. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 2011, 46, 379–395. [CrossRef]

21. Sunshine, J.M.; Pieters, C.M. Determining the composition of olivine from reflectance spectroscopy. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 1998, 103, 13679–13688. [CrossRef]

22. Li, S.A.; Li, L. Radiative transfer modeling for quantifying lunar surface minerals, particle size, and submicroscopic metallic Fe. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2011, 116. [CrossRef]

23. Denevi, B.W.; Lucey, P.G.; Sherman, S.B. Radiative transfer modeling of near-infrared spectra of lunar mare soils: Theory and measurement. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2008, 113. [CrossRef]

24. Zhang, H.; Yang, Y.Z.; Yuan, Y.; Jin, W.D.; Lucey, P.G.; Zhu, M.H.; Kaydash, G.V.; Shkuratov, V.Y.G.; Di, K.C.; Wan, W.H.; et al. In situ optical measurements of Chang’E-3 landing site in Mare Imbrium: 1. Mineral abundances inferred from spectral reflectance. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2015, 42, 6945–6950. [CrossRef]

25. Hapke, B. Space weathering from Mercury to the asteroid belt. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2001, 106, 10039–10073. [CrossRef]

26. Pieters, C.M.; Noble, S.K. Space weathering on airless bodies. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2016, 121, 1865–1884. [CrossRef]

27. Wang, Z.; Wu, Y.; Blewett, D.T.; Cloutis, E.A.; Zheng, Y.; Chen, J. Submicroscopic metallic iron in lunar soils estimated from the in situ spectra of the Chang’E-3 mission. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2017, 44, 3485–3492. [CrossRef]

28. Gou, S.; Yue, Z.Y.; Di, K.C.; Wan, W.H.; Liu, Z.Q.; Liu, B.; Peng, M.; Wang, Y.X.; He, Z.P.; Xu, R. In situ spectral measurements of space weathering by Chang’e-4 rover. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 2020, 536. [CrossRef]
29. Lucey, P.G.; Noble, S.K. Experimental test of a radiative transfer model of the optical effects of space weathering. Icarus 2008, 197, 348–353. [CrossRef]
30. Li, C.; Xu, R.; Lv, G.; Yuan, L.; He, Z.; Wang, J. Detection and calibration characteristics of the visible and near-infrared imaging spectrometer in the Chang’e-4. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2019, 90, 103106. [CrossRef]
31. He, Z.; Li, C.; Xu, R.; Lv, G.; Yuan, L.; Wang, J. Spectrometers based on acousto-optic tunable filters for in-situ lunar surface measurement. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 2019, 13, 027502.
32. Guymard, C.A. The sun’s total and spectral irradiance for solar energy applications and solar radiation models. Sol. Energy 2004, 76, 423–453. [CrossRef]
33. Gao, L.; Wen, J.; Ran, Q. Residual-Scaled Local Standard Deviations Method for Estimating Noise in Hyperspectral Images. In Proceedings of the MIPPR 2007: Multispectral Image Processing, Wuhan, China, 15–17 November 2007; p. 678713.
34. Li, C.L.; Wang, Z.D.; Xu, R.; Lv, G.; Yuan, L.Y.; He, Z.P.; Wang, J.Y. The Scientific Information Model of Chang’e-4 and mineral characterization consortium: Deconstruction and integrated mineralogical, petrologic, and spectral analyses of mare basalts. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 2011, 46, 228–251. [CrossRef]
35. Iordache, M.D.; Bioucas-Dias, J.M.; Plaza, A. Sparse Unmixing of Hyperspectral Data. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2011, 49, 2014–2039. [CrossRef]
36. Lin, H.L.; Mustard, J.F.; Zhang, X. A methodology for quantitative analysis of hydrated minerals on Mars with large endmember library using CRISM near-infrared data. Planet. Space Sci. 2019, 165, 124–136. [CrossRef]
37. Lin, H.L.; Zhang, X. Retrieving the hydrous minerals on Mars by sparse unmixing and the Hapke model using MRO/CRISM data. Icarus 2017, 288, 160–171. [CrossRef]
38. Huang, J.; Xiao, Z.Y.; Flahaut, J.; Martinot, M.; Head, J.; Xiao, X.; Xie, M.G.; Xiao, L. Geological Characteristics of Von Karman Crater, Northwestern South pole-Aitken Basin: Chang’E-4 Landing Site Region. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2018, 123, 1684–1700. [CrossRef]
39. Pieters, C.; Shkuratov, Y.; Kaydash, V.; Stankevich, D.; Taylor, L. Lunar soil characterization consortium analyses: Pyroxene and maturity estimates derived from Clementine image data. Icarus 2006, 184, 83–101. [CrossRef]
40. Lucey, P.G.; Blewett, D.T.; Jolliff, B.L. Lunar iron and titanium abundance algorithms based on final processing of Clementine ultraviolet-visible images. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2000, 105, 20297–20305. [CrossRef]
41. Lucey, P.G.; Blewett, D.T.; Taylor, G.J.; Hawke, B.R. Imaging of lunar surface maturity. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 2000, 105, 20377–20386. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).