Cannabis is the most widely abused illicit substance in the world. In 2013, the World Health Organization estimated that over 180 million people used cannabis for recreational purposes worldwide. Current estimates are expected to be much higher as more countries head toward legalization, and medicinal use continues to grow.

In recent decades, the mean delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) content within cannabis has increased. In the United States, the level was reportedly less than 2% in 1980 and had increased to over 20% in some instances by 2015. The current THC content is likely much higher.

In parallel with increasing cannabis consumption and rising THC concentrations, a growing number of published case reports have described cannabis-induced adverse cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction (MI). Such cases have been predominantly reported in young, otherwise healthy adults who present shortly after use.

Despite these statistics, there is a perception that cannabis is “safe” for public consumption. But in fact, there is a paucity of data on the short- and long-term effects of cannabis, especially with regard to the cardiovascular system. Canada legalized cannabis in 2018, yet reports no information on the Canadian national website about the potential cardiovascular side effects of cannabis use. As a result, physicians and other health care professionals have very little information on this topic and are thus unable to appropriately counsel their patients.

With the current trend toward decriminalization and increased use for medicinal or recreational purposes, health care professionals are going to encounter more patients in their practice who are active cannabis users. Although previous published reviews have broadly highlighted the cardiovascular implications of cannabis use, few studies have focused on cannabis-induced MI. Thus, this review provides an in-depth analysis of cannabis-induced MI, highlighting age of presentation, method and timing of cannabis ingestion, clinical outcomes, and proposed pathophysiological mechanisms. This review implicates cannabis as a risk factor for MI and provides practical recommendations for health care professionals in their day-to-day practice. This review does not focus on other previously described cardiovascular effects, including ischemic stroke, acute heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease.

Methodology
A comprehensive literature search of the MEDLINE, Cochrane, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and Turning Research into Practice...
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(TRIP) PRO databases was conducted for English-language
and human-only studies conducted between 2000 and
2018. Search terms for cannabis included the following:
cannabis, marijuana, cannabinoids, weed, THC, delta-9-
tetrahydrocannabinol, tetrahydrocannabinol, CBD (for
cannabidiol), and cannabidiol. Search terms for myocardial
infarction included the following: myocardial infarction, MI,
acute coronary syndrome, ACS (for acute coronary syn-
drome), and heart attack. A total of 237 publications were
identified. After exclusion of duplicate and nonrelevant arti-
cles (eg, studies examining noncardiovascular or non-MI
cardiovascular adverse events), 92 articles were included in
this scoping review. These included 1 randomized control
trial, 4 systematic reviews, 19 literature reviews, 11 large
database reviews, and 42 case reports/series; the remaining
articles were focused largely on in vitro lab studies and cross-
sectional data.

Cannabis, Cannabinoid Receptors, and the
Endocannabinoid System

Cannabis, also known as marijuana, is a naturally growing
plant from the family Cannabaceae. Currently, there are 3
naturally growing strains of cannabis that have been recog-
nized—cannabis sativa, indica, and ruderalis.1 In recent
years, there has been significant inbreeding among sativa,
indica, and ruderalis that has resulted in numerous hybrid
strains. Each strain differs in its content level of THC and
cannabidiol (CBD), the 2 main active ingredients in
cannabis.2 There are also numerous synthetic cannabinoids
(SCs) that are biochemically manufactured, adding to the
overall high number of formulations currently available to
the public (Fig. 1).

When cannabis is consumed, exogenous cannabinoids—
primarily CBD and THC—bind to similar endogenous
 cannabinoid receptors within the body. This biologic system
is termed the endocannabinoid system.13 This system is
involved in certain physiological processes, including memory,
appetite, mood, cognition, pain sensation, and more.13 THC
specifically is responsible for the psychotropic effects of
cannabis that many users associate with the “high” feeling
after consumption. THC has been implicated as the potential
culprit in many of the adverse cardiac effects and is therefore
the focus of the current review.

THC binds to the G-coupled protein receptors carbonyl
reductase 1 and 2 (CB1 and CB2) that are widely
expressed within the body. CB1 predominates in the central
nervous system, being primarily responsible for the psycho-
active effects of cannabis. CB2 is found largely within the
immune system (eg, spleen, thymus, tonsils, and immune
cells) and plays an anti-inflammatory role through immune
modulation. THC is also capable of exerting effects
independent of CB1 and CB2.8

Specifically, with respect to the cardiovascular system,
CB1 and CB2 are both found within cardiac myocytes and
vascular endothelium. CB1 is proinflammatory and results
in the upregulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Within
the myocardium, it has been shown to exert negative inotropic
effects.15 In the coronary and cerebral vasculature, they
mediate vasodilation. On the contrary, CB2 is largely anti-
inflammatory and anti-atherogenic.9,15 CB1 and CB2 are
found within cardiac myocytes where they act via immune
cells to limit inflammation and activate cardioprotective
mechanisms.

Due to the proinflammatory nature of CB1, it was
hypothesized that CB1 antagonists could help improve
vascular outcomes by reducing inflammation and improving the overall metabolic profile. This hypothesis was examined by the Comprehensive Rimonabant Evaluation Study of Cardiovascular Endpoints and Outcomes (CRESCENDO) trial, which was published in the Lancet in 2010. Rimonabant (a CBR1 inverse agonist) was compared against placebo in over 18,000 participants at increased risk of vascular disease. Unfortunately, the study was terminated prematurely due to increased serious neuropsychiatric effects in the treatment arm. The Effect of Rimonabant on Progression of Atherosclerosis in Patients With Abdominal Obesity and Coronary Artery Disease (STRADIVARIUS) trial also examined the effect of rimonabant in obese subjects. In this trial, rimonabant reduced total coronary atheroma volume (using intravascular ultrasound) compared with placebo. These 2 studies illustrate that blocking the CBR1 receptor may actually have some benefit on vascular outcomes. Extrapolating from this finding, CBR1 agonism may have harmful effects on our vasculature, but more studies are needed to evaluate this theory.

SCs (commonly sold under the trade names “Spice” and “K2”) are structurally and biochemically very similar to THC, and they therefore bind analogous receptors. However, these drugs have a greater affinity and binding potential to CBR1 compared to THC. This difference leads to a stronger effect of SCs, and therefore a theoretically increased risk of major adverse events. In addition, although they are structurally like THC, they are not equivalent. Therefore, standard drug-screening methods do not always detect SCs, and consequently a negative drug screen does not rule out SC use. There are now specialized tests available for some of the common synthetic formulations, but interpretation is increasingly difficult. The effect of cannabis on our bodies is also driven largely by the method of intake, which has become more extensive. The most common method of intake is inhalation via smoking or vaporization. However, there are also edibles, transdermal patches, sublingual sprays, suppositories, and more. Each method differs in the onset of action, the duration of effect, and the amount of active metabolite that reaches our systemic circulation. Inhalation produces a rapid rise in plasma THC levels, followed by a rapid decline. This process creates a more intense receptor activation, and thus a stronger effect on our bodies. Oral consumption has a slow and unpredictable absorption rate, and a lower bioavailability, creating a prolonged and sustained response that varies considerably by edible. The primary factor that dictates the effect is the concentration, or the amount of THC. The higher the THC concentration, the greater the likelihood of receptor activation and subsequent physiological effects.

**Discussion**

**Evidence supporting cannabis-induced MI**

To date, there have been 51 reported cases describing cannabis-related MI. The first case was reported in 2003, with a significant rise in the number of cases in recent years. Jouanjus et al. published a review on cannabinoid-related adverse events between 2006 and 2010 in the south of France. They reported a tripling of cardiovascular complications, from 1.1% to 3.6%. The majority of these cases resulted from MI, or peripheral arteriopathy. In our scoping review, we highlight the strong prevalence of cannabis-induced MI among young healthy patients. The mean age of presentation was 31 years (range: 15–56 years). Most patients were male (94%), presenting with chest pain within 6 hours of cannabis use (80%). A total of 75% of patients had no other traditional coronary risk factors, including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, previous coronary artery disease, or a positive family history (Table 1).

When examined for MI type, 71% of patients suffered from ST elevation MI (STEMI). Of these, two-thirds were revascularized with either percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting. In the one-third of patients who had no revascularization, the STEMI was hypothesized secondary to coronary vasospasm or slowed coronary flow (as discussed below). With regard to clinical outcome, 22% of patients had either presented with an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, or had had an arrest prior to intervention. Half of these patients died. We discuss 2 cases that illustrate the severity of clinical presentations and their outcomes. Casier et al. reported the case of a young healthy 23-year-old man with a history known for only heavy regular cannabis use. He presented with a short out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, and was subsequently found to have widespread ST elevation. Angiogram demonstrated total occlusion of the ostial left anterior descending (LAD) artery and proximal right coronary artery, which were both stented. Due to a poor ejection fraction (12%) and refractory cardiogenic shock, the patient had a biventricular assist device implanted. Three months later, the patient went on to have a cardiac transplant. Velibey et al. reported the case of a healthy 27-year-old man with a history of only regular heavy cannabis use. He presented with acute central chest pain and was found to have a non-ST elevation MI. An angiogram demonstrated total occlusion of his left main coronary artery with retrograde perfusion of his left ventricle via a nondominant right coronary artery. He went on to have urgent coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. There are other similar cases within the literature that highlight the potential negative clinical outcomes that can be associated with cannabis use.
| Reference/first author | Year | Age, y | Gender | CV RFs (HTN, DYS, DM, FamHx) | Tobacco | Regular user | Recent use <6 h ago | Synthetic | Other illicit | STEMI | Intervention (PCI/CABG) | Cardiac arrest | Death |
|-------------------------|------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-------|------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Rezkalla31              | 2003 | 34     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Caldicott22             | 2005 | 21     | M      | N                              | N       | N           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Lindsay42               | 2005 | 48     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | Y     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Lindsay43               | 2005 | 22     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Tatli46                 | 2007 | 24     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Kotsalou42              | 2007 | 53     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Cappelli25              | 2008 | 26     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Divvedi20               | 2008 | 23     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Divvedi87               | 2008 | 50     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Mihaltsi48              | 2008 | 31     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | Y         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Kobay20                 | 2009 | 32     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | Y         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Bailly54                | 2010 | 36     | F      | N                              | N       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Karabulut 30            | 2010 | 35     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Canga6                  | 2011 | 28     | M      | Y                              | N       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Mir3                   | 2011 | 16     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Prates5                 | 2011 | 19     | M      | N                              | U       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Safa5                   | 2011 | 40     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Arora54                 | 2012 | 37     | M      | Y                              | N       | U           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Renard3                 | 2012 | 33     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Yurudi29                | 2012 | 26     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Dehuro5                 | 2013 | 24     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Sayin51                 | 2013 | 30     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | U                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Ayhan3                  | 2014 | 33     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | Y         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Casier3                 | 2014 | 52     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Casier3                 | 2014 | 23     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Casier3                 | 2014 | 28     | M      | N                              | N       | N           | N                   | N         | Y            | Y     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Gunawardena3            | 2014 | 29     | M      | U                              | U       | U           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Hodcroft20              | 2014 | 21     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Ibrahim28               | 2014 | 56     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Te9                     | 2014 | 45     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Jehangir53              | 2015 | 27     | F      | Y                              | Y       | N           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Kodali5                 | 2015 | 31     | M      | N                              | U       | Y           | Y                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Marchetti42             | 2015 | 50     | M      | N                              | Y       | N           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| McKeever26              | 2015 | 16     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Velhuy5                 | 2015 | 27     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Walsh5                  | 2015 | 26     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Yilmaz23                | 2015 | 29     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | N                   | N         | Y            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Keskin5                 | 2016 | 15     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Mellroy24               | 2016 | 39     | M      | N                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Orsini6                 | 2016 | 40     | M      | N                              | Y       | U           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Shah35                  | 2016 | 24     | M      | Y                              | Y       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Tirkey3                 | 2016 | 25     | M      | N                              | N       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Ul Haq3                | 2017 | 31     | M      | N                              | U       | Y           | Y                   | N         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Ul Haq2                | 2017 | 26     | M      | Y                              | U       | U           | Y                   | Y         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Ul Haq2                | 2017 | 47     | M      | Y                              | U       | U           | Y                   | Y         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |
| Hamilton3               | 2017 | 50     | M      | U                              | U       | U           | Y                   | Y         | N            | N     | Y                      | N             | N     |
| Mehra1                 | 2017 | 16     | M      | N                              | N       | N           | Y                   | Y         | N            | N     | N                      | N             | N     |

Continued
An analysis of the methods and patterns of cannabis use highlights some interesting findings. Although the majority of cases reported inhalation use via smoking or vaporization, there were case reports of MI following edible cannabis use. More recently, the incidence of MI following the use of SCs has increased, accounting for 37% of cases. This increase is likely due to the growth in SC availability. Similarly, although 69% of patients reported regular cannabis use prior to their presentation of MI, 31% of patients had not used cannabis regularly. In fact, a few cases described patients who presented with chest pain shortly after their first cannabis ingestion. Mehta et al. outlined a case of an obese 16-year-old boy with a positive family history for coronary artery disease (MI in father at age 50 years). He had acute left-sided chest pain, shortly after using a vape pen for the first time, that presented as non-STEMI. An echocardiogram revealed distal septal and apical wall hypokinesis that was thought to be secondary to LAD coronary vasospasm. Caldicot et al. reported a case of a healthy 21-year-old man who presented to the emergency department in the early morning with central chest and epigastric pain. He admitted to consuming a large amount of alcohol and marijuana the night before. He had smoked marijuana once, 6 months before, and did not use any tobacco. He was found to have ST elevation in II, III, and arteriovenous fistula. A subsequent angiogram demonstrated a large proximal clot and 100% distal blockage of his LAD artery. Percutaneous coronary intervention was performed, and the patient was discharged on day 5. Such cases illustrate that the risk of cannabis-induced MI may not always result from the additive effect from prolonged use, as we see with tobacco use, but may occur at any time following use.

With respect to additional substance use, 2 cases reported concomitant cocaine use, and a significant proportion of cases (49%) reported additional tobacco use (in the form of cigarettes, or mixed in with cannabis). Concomitant substance use was a potential confounding factor, suggesting, for instance, that some of the cardiac risk may be attributable to tobacco use. Given that the patients were young and otherwise healthy, tobacco use itself may not explain all of this risk. The potential for harmful amplification when tobacco and cannabis are used together requires further exploration.

Several larger studies have also demonstrated a probable link between cannabis use and MI. Mittleman and colleagues examined for the self-reported acute effects of marijuana in over 3800 patients. They found an 4.8-fold increase in the likelihood of having an MI in the hour following cannabis ingestion. A similar analysis by Mittleman et al. on cocaine use found a 24-fold increased risk of having an MI after intake. Although cannabis use accounts for only one-fifth of the risk of MI, it should still pose significant clinical concern. In a multicentre database of over 10 million patients in the United States, Chami and Kim showed that the 5-year incidence of MI was significantly higher among cannabis users. This outcome was found even when analyses were adjusted for age, hypertension, smoking, diabetes, alcohol use, and cocaine use. The highest relative risk was seen in young adults aged 25-34 years. Desai et al. showed similar results using the national inpatient sample (NIS) database which includes more than 2 million patients. They found that marijuana use was a significant risk factor for acute MI after adjusting for age, sex, race, smoking, and cocaine use.
Lee and colleagues\(^\text{67}\) retrospectively examined patients from 2013-2017 who presented to the coronary care unit at an urban city in the United States. They found that among patients with MI, those who smoked marijuana were younger and had significantly fewer cardiovascular risk factors than those who did not smoke marijuana. They concluded that marijuana use may be a risk factor itself, and/or may accelerate other traditional risk factors in the pathogenesis of MI. Afshar et al.\(^\text{70}\) looked at all patients aged younger than 55 years who were admitted to a New York hospital between 2012 and 2014. In those with a primary diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome, they found no difference in incidence of MI between marijuana users and nonusers. However, when they stratified groups according to age, they found that users aged 18-36 years had a significantly increased risk for acute coronary syndrome compared to nonusers, with an odds ratio of more than 5, illustrating that young patients may have an increased risk.

### Pathogenic mechanisms contributing to cannabis-induced MI

There have been many proposed mechanisms of how cannabis leads to acute coronary syndrome. The most commonly reported mechanisms include increased autonomic stimulation, altered platelet function, direct toxic effect of smoke constituents, and vasospasm/altered coronary flow.

Many studies have shown that increased autonomic stimulation—namely increased heart rate and blood pressure—may play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of MI. Weiss et al. found that after administering THC, there was an increase in both urine and plasma catecholamine levels. Hemodynamic assessment showed an acute increase in heart rate as well as mean arterial blood pressure after administration.\(^\text{67}\) Johnson and Domino\(^\text{70}\) examined a small sample of young healthy volunteers. They found a dose-related increase in heart rate shortly after cannabis use. The maximum heart rate was attained 30 minutes after use, and it persisted for 90 minutes. One subject who was a daily chronic user seemed extremely tolerant of the psychic effects; however, his heart rate increased from 55 to 120 beats per minute after a 30-mg dose.\(^\text{70}\) Benowitz et al.\(^\text{71}\) exposed subjects to intravenous THC and found a similar increase in heart rate following inhalation, a larger puff volume, and a significantly longer breath-holding time, which they believed may have accounted for the differences observed. Moir et al. examined the chemical composition of both marijuana and cigarette smoke. They found a nearly 5-fold increase in carboxyhemoglobin levels after marijuana smoking compared to tobacco smoking. They also showed that inhalation techniques differed quite substantially between the 2 substances. With marijuana smoking, they noted a greater depth of inhalation, a larger puff volume, and a significantly longer breath-holding time, which they believed may have accounted for the differences observed. Moir et al. examined the chemical composition of both marijuana and cigarette smoke. Apart from the obvious nicotine difference, marijuana smoke contained many of the same compounds that cigarette smoke contained. Surprisingly, marijuana also contained significantly more ammonia, hydrogen cyanide, and aromatic amines compared to cigarettes. These compounds have been implicated as some of the carcinogenic particles in smoke.\(^\text{80}\) Given this, it is likely that marijuana smoke is at least as toxic as cigarette smoke to the coronary vasculature. Therefore, it may lead to similar effects of acute plaque rupture and thrombosis.

Finally, an increase in ROS has also been implicated as a cause for myocardial injury. The ROS system has been shown to have a significant interaction within the endocannabinoid system. Lipina et al. found that endocannabinoid-induced ROS signalling can be both stimulatory and inhibitory depending on the cell stimulus, and cell type.\(^\text{81}\) Although the interaction is quite complex and not fully understood, they concluded that if CBR1 was upregulated more than CBR2 within a cell, it would induce ROS formation. This result is compared to CBR2 being activated more than CBR1,
resulting in inhibition of ROS, and overall decreased inflammation. Given the many proposed mechanisms for cannabis-induced MI, it is likely that the true pathogenesis is complex and multifactorial in nature, with more research in the area required.

The role of cannabis in post-infarct outcomes

It is evident from the literature that cannabis may have a critical role in precipitating MI. However, there were a few studies that also examined the effect of cannabis on outcomes post-MI. The determinants of MI onset (MIOS) database enrolled patients with MI from 45 US hospitals during 1989-1996. Mukamal et al. initially followed these patients for 3.8 years, and they found that there was an increased mortality rate associated with marijuana users compared to nonusers. Frost et al. then continued this study and followed these patients for a total of 18 years. Although they found a higher mortality in marijuana users, the mortality difference was no longer statistically significant.

Johnson-Sasso et al. published a large database review in the United States comprising over 1.2 million patients admitted with acute MI. Their primary finding was contrary to their hypothesis. They found that marijuana users had better short-term outcomes than nonusers in the post-MI setting. Specifically, they had lower in-hospital mortality. They also found that marijuana users on average were 10 years younger and had fewer comorbidities than nonusers. Therefore, they concluded that this finding may have been secondary to the fact that patients in the marijuana group were younger and healthier and thus had better outcomes. This concept is very similar to the “smoker’s paradox,” a well-documented phenomenon. This paradox refers to the improved short-term outcomes seen in smokers post-MI. On the same basis, many believe this result is due to initially healthier patients on presentation.

There have been a few studies looking at the potential therapeutic role of cannabis post-MI. This idea has come largely from the possible benefit of CBR2, which has been shown to have significant anti-inflammatory effects. There have been studies to suggest that CBR2 contributes to ischemic preconditioning and thus protects against ischemic reperfusion injury. There may also be a beneficial effect on atherogenesis, through control of inflammation and tissue injury. Although these data have come largely from laboratory and animal studies, this area could be a potential target for therapeutic options to be considered in the future. As CBD has a higher affinity for CBR2, it has been studied more in this area.

Limitations

This review identified a number of gaps within the published literature, with a major limitation being the overall quality of data. First, as most studies are observational in nature, it is difficult to establish a true cause-and-effect relationship between cannabis use and MI. Second, many of the studies did not state explicitly how cannabis use was measured or how MI was diagnosed. Given that most studies used self-reported cannabis use (rather than laboratory drug-screening methods), and most studies were published when cannabis use was illegal and more socially unacceptable, it is likely there was significant underreporting of overall cannabis use, which in turn may have affected any positive result observed. Third, concomitant tobacco use was very prevalent, and therefore may be a potential confounding factor in the analysis. Lastly, the extensive variability in the method of intake, the amount of THC used, the type of strain involved, and whether it was synthetic all make the analysis of the true risk of cannabis use more difficult to discern.

Conclusion

We are now within an era of rapidly growing recreational and medicinal cannabis use. However, there remains a paucity of knowledge on the adverse effects of cannabis use, specifically as it relates to the cardiovascular system. Rising THC concentrations, and a substantial increase in the number of strains available to the public, pose a significant health concern.

The literature suggests that cannabis use plays a role in inducing MI, particularly in young, otherwise healthy adults who present shortly after use. Increased autonomic stimulation, altered platelet aggregation, vasospasm, and toxic smoke constituents have all been proposed as explanations of this effect. However, it is likely that the true pathogenesis is multifactorial.

Based on the current evidence, there is definite need for concern regarding cannabis use and risk of MI. As a result, we make the following recommendations. First, we as health care providers need to recognize the potential risk for MI among cannabis users. Therefore, a higher pretest probability for MI should be sought when cannabis users present with chest pain. Second, in patients with a history of coronary artery disease, especially those with stable angina, providers should recommend against cannabis use. Third, when counselling about potential cardiovascular side effects, health care workers should provide the evidence we have thus far, and together with the patient, weigh the risk versus benefit. If patients are adamant about continued use, emphasis should be focused on using the lowest possible dose of THC, recommendation to avoid smoking cannabis, and recommendation against concomitant tobacco and cannabis use.

Further large-scale clinical trials are needed to determine the full impact of cannabis use as a risk factor for MI. With increasing legalization and decriminalization, these studies will now be much more feasible. There should also be in vivo trials done to elucidate concrete pathophysiological mechanisms of cannabis use that may lead to MI.
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