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Plasmonic Temperature-Programmed Desorption

Colin J. Murphy, Ferry Anggoro Ardy Nugroho, Hanna Härelind, Lars Hellberg, and Christoph Langhammer*

ABSTRACT: Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) allows for the determination of the bonding strength and coverage of molecular mono- or multilayers on a surface and is widely used in surface science. In its traditional form using a mass spectrometric readout, this information is derived indirectly by analysis of resulting desorption peaks. This is problematic because the mass spectrometer signal not only originates from the sample surface but also potentially from other surfaces in the measurement chamber. As a complementary alternative, we introduce plasmonic TPD, which directly measures the surface coverage of molecular species adsorbed on metal nanoparticles at ultrahigh vacuum conditions. Using the examples of methanol and benzene on Au nanoparticle surfaces, the method can resolve all relevant features in the submonolayer and multilayer regimes. Furthermore, it enables the study of two types of nanoparticles simultaneously, which is challenging in a traditional TPD experiment, as we demonstrate specifically for Au and Ag.
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Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) spectroscopy along with temperature-programmed reaction probe adsorbate–surface interactions through examining the thermodynamics and kinetics of molecular desorption from a surface and find application in fundamental surface science and catalysis. For all of these areas, interactions between molecules and nanoparticles may be of particular interest due to the enhanced reactivity of the nanoparticles, as well as their unique optical properties. In traditional mass spectrometry-based TPD studies (MS-TPD), a linear temperature ramp induces desorption of adsorbed species from a surface in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) environment, and the resulting rise in the localized partial pressure is used to infer the initial surface coverage and configuration of the adsorbates. However, despite TPD being a workhorse in surface science for decades, the MS-TPD approach has a number of shortcomings. For example, any information retrieved about what is occurring on the surface during the temperature ramp must be inferred indirectly from the kinetics and thermodynamics of desorption of previously adsorbed species on the surface and detected by the MS. In other words, the method does not provide any direct information about the surface or adsorbates on it. Second, mass spectrometers are not inherently selective to desorbed species stemming only from the target surface since desorption of molecules from other surfaces in the chamber, such as heating wires, can be difficult to deconvolute. This nonselective readout also prohibits the use of MS-TPD to study more than one target surface simultaneously. Finally, the MS-TPD technique is also blind to adsorption and desorption events onto the target surface that might occur during the temperature ramp.

Here, we present an alternative and complementary solution, plasmonic TPD (P-TPD) based on localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), with which adsorbates at (sub)monolayer coverage on a surface can be directly measured by continuously recording the optical absorbance spectra of plasmonically active nanoparticles at UHV conditions in a TPD experiment. To validate the methodology, we examined the desorption of two chemical species, namely, methanol (CH₃OH) and benzene (C₆H₆), from nanofabricated arrays of Au nanoparticles. These species have previously been shown to reversibly desorb from Au(111) surfaces using traditional MS-TPD, and their monolayer desorption behaviors are different from one another, allowing benchmarking of the capabilities of our approach. As the final step we then demonstrate that desorption from two chemically different types of nanoparticle surfaces can be tracked simultaneously.
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and analyzed independently, constituting a significant step beyond the capabilities of traditional MS-TPD.

To set the stage for P-TPD, we remind ourselves that desorption of adsorbates from a surface follows Arrhenius behavior and can be precisely described by the Polanyi–Wigner equation:  

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = v\theta^n \exp \left( -\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\theta$ = surface coverage, $t$ = time, $v$ = pre-exponential factor, $n$ = kinetic order of desorption, and $E_{\text{des}}$ = activation energy of desorption. Furthermore, when the sample temperature is increased linearly from an initial temperature, $T_i$, at heating rate $\beta = \frac{dT}{dt}$, then  

$$T = T_i + \beta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If the used UHV-systems’ pumping speed is fast enough such that essentially no readsoption of desorbed species takes place, the desorption rate, $R_{\text{des}}$, is directly proportional to the partial pressure of the desorbing species. In a traditional MS-TPD experiment this partial pressure is recorded by means of a pressure gauge or mass spectrometer ideally positioned close to the surface, yielding the mass signal, $I(T)$. Furthermore, the rate of desorption is proportional to the decrease in surface coverage with respect to temperature, $-d\theta/dT$. Combining eq 1 and eq 2, we thus obtain an expression that relates these parameters as  

$$I(T) \propto -\frac{d\theta}{dT} = -\frac{d\theta}{dt} \times \frac{dt}{dT} = \frac{v\theta^n}{\beta} \exp \left( -\frac{E_{\text{des}}}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As the main hypothesis of the present work, we will show below that similar information can be obtained directly from the surface of nanoparticles with submonolayer resolution by relying on the plasmonic sensing capabilities of metal nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{7–11} Of particular interest here are three factors that potentially influence the LSPR wavelength of a metal nanoparticle and thus the appearance and spectral position of the characteristic resonance peak obtained in an optical extinction spectrum: (i) the temperature of the nanoparticle,\textsuperscript{12} (ii) chemical interface damping,\textsuperscript{13,14} and (iii) the formation of a dielectric layer with higher refractive index on the nanoparticle. In the first case, the LSPR wavelength of the nanoparticle is changing linearly with temperature.\textsuperscript{12,17} In the second case, chemisorption of molecules onto the nanoparticle surface provides additional relaxation pathways for the dephasing of the LSPR either via electron transfer or via electric dipoles induced inside the metal that act as additional scattering centers, which typically leads to a broadening of the resonance peak, as well as a spectral shift.\textsuperscript{13,14} In the third case, addition of a layer with a high refractive index induces a spectral red-shift. This third mechanism forms the basis for the P-TPD method presented here, since the first one easily can be eliminated by proper calibration, and the second one can be ruled out on the basis that the molecules considered in our study are only physisorbed on the surface.

To demonstrate the P-TPD concept, we nanofabricated quasi-random arrays of Au nanodisks with 120 nm diameter and 20 nm thickness onto a sapphire substrate\textsuperscript{15,16} (Figure 1a, also see Methods). The sample was then mounted in an UHV system with optical access to enable online optical extinction measurements during linear temperature ramps and controlled exposure to the desired molecular species to form (sub-)

monolayers. For the P-TPD experiment, the system was first heated to 500 K for 1 h to desorb any residual species from the sample and render a clean Au nanoparticle surface. Subsequently, after cooling, the sample temperature was ramped linearly from 100 to 300 K at a rate of $\beta = 0.2$ K/s while the optical extinction spectra of the clean surface as a function of temperature were simultaneously recorded (Figure 1b). This initial clean run was performed as a calibration to exclude the temperature dependence response of the Au nanoparticles from the P-TPD spectrum. The corresponding spectral position of the LSPR peak maximum, $\lambda_{\text{peak}}$, as obtained by fitting a Lorentzian to the measured spectra, is depicted in Figure 1c and exhibits a linear dependence on temperature, in good agreement with earlier reports for similar Au nanoparticle arrays.\textsuperscript{15,18} This linearity stems predominantly from the thermal expansion of the Au nanoparticles upon heating.\textsuperscript{12,17}

As the first example to illustrate our P-TPD methodology based on this setup, we studied the thermal desorption of methanol from the nanofabricated Au nanoparticle surfaces. We chose methanol as the adsorbent since molecular mono- or multilayers can be formed depending on the exposure and, accordingly, because methanol has been previously shown to adopt three distinct adsorption phases on Au(111).\textsuperscript{4} Specifically, for a heating rate of 0.5 K/s, two low-temperature desorption peaks have been reported to correspond to methanol desorbing from a crystalline ($\sim$134 K) and an amorphous structure ($\sim$143 K) formed in methanol multilayers. For low exposure only a single desorption peak was observed, corresponding to complete monolayer desorption at 155 K, which shifts to even higher temperature for decreasing coverage in the submonolayer regime.\textsuperscript{4}

To test this scenario with the P-TPD method, we applied five different methanol exposures to our Au nanoparticle array (2.0 $\times$ 10$^4$ to 2.4 $\times$ 10$^5$ L) and applied a heating rate of $\beta = 0.33$ K/s. We note that our Langmuir deposition numbers are larger than those typically seen in other works due to the fact that, in our system, deposition is taking place via an adjacent chamber of the UHV system, rather than via direct line-of-sight. When plotting the induced $\Delta\lambda_{\text{peak}}$ for the different exposures as a function of temperature during the TPD experiment, we notice that the $\Delta\lambda_{\text{peak}}$ is positively correlated to exposure at 100 K (Figure 2a). This indicates that molecular
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**Figure 1.** (a) SEM image of the nanofabricated Au nanoparticle array (particle $\sigma = 120$ nm, $h = 20$ nm). (b) Extinction spectra obtained from the array at different temperatures (i.e., 100–300 K, 50 K steps). Note that an increase in temperature shifts the LSPR peak to a longer wavelength. (c) Continuous change in the LSPR peak spectral position, $\Delta\lambda_{\text{peak}}$, as a function of temperature. The dashed line is a linear fit to the experimental data.
layers with different thickness are formed, since in the thin film regime the $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ signal is proportional to film thickness, as we will discuss for the methanol system at hand in more detail further below.

Taking the negative first derivative of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ reveals a clear dependence of desorption temperatures on initial coverage (Figure 2b). At the lowest initial deposition of $2.0 \times 10^3$ L, a small peak centered at ca. 150 K is present. This peak shifts to higher temperature as the initial deposition is increased to $2.4 \times 10^3$ L, consistent with first-order desorption with strong intramolecular binding. These characteristics are consistent both with previous results for monolayer methanol desorption and with the expected behavior of a molecule capable of hydrogen bonding since this additional intermolecular interaction stabilizes the monolayer. A second desorption peak starts to emerge for $4.2 \times 10^4$ L exposure at 130 K and shifts to 140 K for further increased initial coverage. Hence, this peak indeed corresponds to the desorption observed from the crystalline and amorphous methanol multilayer structures, as discussed in detail further below.

To test the P-TPD method on a second and more challenging system, we study benzene for which previous work has examined desorption from Au(111) with a heating rate of $\beta = 1$ K/s. The corresponding P-TPD traces are shown in Figure 3a. In analogy to the methanol case, we immediately again notice the wide range of absolute $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ at 100 K for the different initial exposures, corroborating the formation of benzene multilayers with different thickness. Taking the negative first temperature derivative for the two highest exposure traces, 6.0 $\times$ $10^3$ L and $1.8 \times 10^4$ L, reveals a peak at ~140 K, which increases in intensity and shifts to higher temperature for increasing coverage (Figure 3b), in agreement with previous MS-TPD data from Au(111) for benzene multilayer desorption. Specifically, the shape of these peaks indicates zeroth-order desorption in view of the observed leading edge overlay and the sharply declining higher edge.

Now focusing on the low coverage regime ($1.5 \times 10^2$ to $1.8 \times 10^4$ L at a similar heating rate of $\beta = 1$ K/s), we observe desorption peaks that display the characteristics of a monolayer with repulsive interactions between molecules (Figure 3b). Specifically, they are symmetrical with the peak position shifting to lower temperature with increasing coverage. At the lowest coverage the monolayer desorption peak is centered at ~175 K, and as the coverage increases, it shifts to ~155 K. This is in good agreement with a conventional MS-TPD study, where at the lowest initial coverage examined (lower exposure than the $1.5 \times 10^2$ L we used here), the monolayer peak centers on 219 K and is shifted to ~160 K at the completion of the monolayer.

To attempt a direct translation of the optical signal, $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$, into molecular surface coverage, $\theta$, in the submonolayer regime, we select the corresponding P-TPD data for benzene desorption in the range where no multilayer desorption peaks.
are present and match the peak desorption temperature for the complete monolayer with the literature value. This procedure enables us to assign the exposure of $1.5 \times 10^3$ L benzene to the coverage of one complete monolayer. Accordingly, the integrated area under the desorption peak for this exposure can then be related to the peak area of desorption peaks measured at lower exposures to obtain the fractional monolayer coverage of each deposition. Specifically, we take the initial $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ induced by benzene adsorption at 110 K (i.e., just below the desorption threshold temperature, cf. Figure 3d) and scale it with the area under the corresponding desorption peak for each exposure. In this way, we can directly translate the measured $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ values into molecular surface coverage expressed as a fraction of a monolayer. For the benzene system at hand, this analysis shows a linear dependence between $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ and the correspondingly obtained coverage (Figure 4), in good agreement with the plasmonic biosensing literature for submonolayer adsorption/binding of biomolecular systems. Furthermore, this analysis corroborates the ultrahigh sensitivity of the P-TPD method introduced here, which is able to detect fractions of monolayers, thanks to its spectral resolution of 0.01 nm for $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ (Figure S1).

Attempting a similar analysis for the methanol system is slightly more complicated since the overlap of the multilayer and monolayer peaks in the P-TPD spectra adds additional complexity to the analysis. Nevertheless, we can select a target temperature where desorption from the multilayer is observed to be complete, in this case at 147 K. At this temperature it is then reasonable to assume that all $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ observed is solely due to molecular coverage of a monolayer. Hence, the fraction of monolayer methanol coverage on the surface at 147 K for lower exposures can be determined by normalizing the remaining integrated area under the corresponding $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ curve to the trace defined to have one monolayer coverage. Applying this procedure, we find similar linear dependence of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ on methanol coverage in the submonolayer regime but with a higher slope compared to the benzene case. The different slopes observed for methanol and benzene can be speculatively explained as the consequence of benzene being a planar molecule physisorbed flatly on the surface, whereas methanol is more symmetric. Therefore, from an electrodynamics perspective, a dielectric layer formed by benzene will be thinner compared to methanol and therefore will give rise to a smaller $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ per molecule.

As a final comment, we tentatively attribute the observed nonzero value of the $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ when extrapolating to zero coverage to different surface structures at different coverage. For example, methanol adopts a planar hexamer configuration in the very low coverage regime, whereas a long-range chain...
configuration is formed at higher coverage.\textsuperscript{22} In the context of an interface refractive index (IRI) as recently introduced by Zhan et al.,\textsuperscript{23} this means that the IRI for the two configurations will be different. Consequently, at the transition between these two configurations the scaling between $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ and coverage will be different and nonlinear. Therefore, linear extrapolation of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ for zero coverage from the high coverage regime as we do here is likely to yield a nonzero $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$.

Last, we demonstrate an additional trait of the P-TPD method that enables experiments not accessible with traditional MS-TPD, namely to simultaneously measure and deconvolute desorption from two different nanoparticle types made from different metals. Specifically, we apply the same concept as recently demonstrated for multispectral nano-plasmonic sensing,\textsuperscript{24} in that plasmonic nanoparticles with spectrally separated resonances can be simultaneously and individually addressed spectroscopically. Here, we used a sample with an array of Ag and Au nanoparticles, nano-fabricated separately, on either side of a sapphire substrate (Figure 5a). Hence, the two particle types are spatially widely separated to avoid any chemical “cross-talk” but can still be probed simultaneously from an optical extinction measurement. In this experiment, the Au nanoparticles have an average diameter of 60 nm and the Ag nanoparticles of 195 nm (Figure S2). The particle thickness of 20 nm was identical for both systems. This results in an optical extinction spectrum with a short-wavelength peak attributed to the Au nanoparticles and a long-wavelength peak attributed to the larger Ag nanoparticles (Figure 5b), since increasing the diameter of plasmonic nanodisks spectrally red-shifts their plasmon resonance wavelength.\textsuperscript{25}

To demonstrate the principle, we exposed this sample to $4 \times 10^{5}$ L of methanol at 100 K and applied a linear heating ramp of 0.17 K/s while tracking the position of the two plasmonic peaks in the extinction spectrum (Figure 5c). We chose methanol because it is nonreactive on both metals under the conditions of the experiment.\textsuperscript{26,27} As the data show, the initial absolute $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ upon methanol exposure at 100 K is different for the Ag and Au nanoparticles. This is due to the higher sensitivity of the Ag nanodisks because of (i) their larger field enhancement and (ii) their spectrally more red-shifted resonance.\textsuperscript{28,29} Furthermore, and most importantly, taking the negative derivative of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ with respect to temperature, $d \Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}/dT$ reveals distinctly different desorption peaks along the temperature axis for the two metal nanoparticle types measured simultaneously (Figure 5d). At the same time, qualitatively, both metals exhibit similar features, i.e., a lower temperature peak corresponding to multilayer desorption at 125–145 K for Ag and at 140–145 K for Au. Furthermore, for both systems a smaller peak is observed at higher temperature, which can be assigned to desorption from the bilayer, e.g., at 155 K for Au in agreement with the literature\textsuperscript{7} and at 152 K for Ag.\textsuperscript{28} Finally, a broad peak centered at 160 K for Ag and 162 K for Au is attributed to desorption from the monolayer on each surface, as reported from previous work assigning desorption from the monolayer of MeOH on Ag(111) in the range of 163–167 K.\textsuperscript{30}

This experiment demonstrates the capability of the P-TPD method to directly discern multilayer and monolayer molecular desorption events from two different types of nanoparticles in the same experiment to uniquely enable direct comparison. This is a significant advance compared to traditional MS-TPD since in such experiments the desorption trace data from complex multiparticle samples need to be deconvoluted into their constitute parts. Specifically, any peaks in traditional MS-TPD data need to be assigned to desorption from the different surfaces, with additional care taken when such peaks overlap.

In conclusion, the P-TPD method demonstrated uses the plasmonic peak shift response from arrays of metal nanoparticles to directly measure the coverage of adsorbed molecular species with submonolayer resolution during temperature ramps at UHV conditions. Specifically, to validate the approach, we examined the desorption of methanol and benzene from an array of nanofabricated Au nanoparticles. We found excellent agreement with the literature using traditional MS-TPD for both systems in resolving both the coverage-dependent mono- and multilayer desorption peaks and the specific different monolayer desorption features of the respective system. Furthermore, we identified a linear dependence of the P-TPD response with coverage in the submonolayer coverage regime, indicating a detection limit of only fractions of a monolayer. Finally, we demonstrated the capability of P-TPD to simultaneously track and analyze desorption from nanoparticles with different chemical composition on the example of Ag and Au, an experiment not possible with traditional MS-TPD.

Looking forward, we highlight that P-TPD will also enable studies of other metals and alloys besides Au and Ag considered here, since all metallic elements exhibit LSPR, as explicitly demonstrated for systems like Pt,\textsuperscript{31} Pd,\textsuperscript{31} Cu,\textsuperscript{32} Ni,\textsuperscript{33} Al,\textsuperscript{34,35} Sn,\textsuperscript{36} Mg,\textsuperscript{37} and/or AuPd and CuPd alloys.\textsuperscript{38} If nonplasmonic surfaces are of interest, the so-called indirect nanoplasmonic sensing concept may be applied.\textsuperscript{39} It places an inert/encapsulated plasmonic antenna close to the system of interest, which it then probes via the enhanced plasmonic near field. This approach also efficiently enables studies of very small nanoparticles down to the (sub) 10 nm size range.

As key limitations of the P-TPD method, it may require rather complex sample preparation if nanofabrication methods are used (however, simple drop-casting of colloidal nanoparticles may also be considered), and the measured optical signal $\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}}$ \textit{a priori} neither provides absolute surface coverage information without prior calibration for a specific molecular system nor chemical information in the sense that different species present on the surface could be discriminated. Therefore, we postulate that its combination with traditional MS-TPD may provide interesting applications beyond their independent operation. For example, as illustrated by preliminary data presented in Figure S4, the P-TPD method is able to discern readsorption of molecules on the sample surface by molecules desorbing from, for example, the sample holder or the chamber walls during the TPD temperature ramp. Such effects are not distinguishable in traditional MS-TPD due to the indirect nature of the measurement, whereas P-TPD can resolve them due to it directly measuring surface coverage. In this way, the combination of the two methods has the potential to resolve this long-standing limitation of temperature-programmed desorption and temperature-programmed reaction experiments.
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