INTRODUCTION

The maxillo-mandibular unit (MMU) is fundamental in the reconstruction of the mid-third and lower-third facial structure. However, management of comminuted maxillo-mandibular arch interruption is difficult, resulting in inadequate bone reduction and malocclusion. Traditionally, a good quality dental splint is helpful, but difficult to obtain in acute trauma. We apply a computer-assisted design and three-dimensional printing model surgery algorithm and applied it in selected patients. An occlusal splint was created as a surgical guide to enhance the maxilla-mandibular unit repair by taking care of the bone reduction and occlusion. All included patients were followed up to assess the functional outcome and patients suitable for this method.

RESULTS: From Jan 2015 to Aug 2020, 10 patients (eight men and two women) with comminuted facial fractures were included. The average time of surgery was 9.2 days. The average follow-up time was 8.6 months. There was no patient who needed major revision to correct malocclusion or facial asymmetry.

Conclusions: A computer-assisted design splint decreases intraoperative inaccuracies and difficulty in comminuted maxillo-mandibular fractures. It is a useful and reliable alternative. Collaboration with an experienced engineer and patient selection are indispensable in delivering successful outcomes. Patients who have more than three bone fragments in a single dental arch or more than four bone fragments in the entire maxillary-mandibular unit appear to be excellent candidates for this method. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2022;10: e4149; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000004149; Published online 21 February 2022.)
techniques have revolutionized craniofacial surgery.\textsuperscript{6,7} We aimed to demonstrate their utility for treating acute comminuted facial fractures in the production of an accurate and reliable occlusal splint, treatment outcome examination, and eligible patient population selection.

\textbf{PATIENTS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Patient Selection}

We carried out a retrospective review of records from two medical institutions between January 2015 and August 2020. All patients with comminuted maxillary and mandibular fractures treated using CAD and 3D printing models for surgical planning were included. Edentulous patients, patients with gunshot injury, and those who lacked fine image quality or adequate long-term follow-up were excluded. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the E-DA hospital.

\textbf{Computer-assisted Reversed Planning and 3D Printed Model Surgery}

Each patient underwent computed tomography (CT) before the surgery. Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) data were processed using the software (Mimics, Materialist NV, Leuven, Belgium) to create a 3D virtual facial bone structure. Each fracture fragment was well segmented to eliminate possible metallic artifacts from the teeth (Fig. 1). Following this, a plaster model bearing the maxillary and mandibular segments was created using a 3D printer. These printed “mock” fragments were assembled and restored to their anatomical positions, according to the bone fracture lines and dental occlusion. These assembled fragments were fixed with dental wax to obtain a 3D model of the MMU. We digitally scanned the model back into the 3D virtual facial bone structure to check for condyle position, facial profile, and symmetry. Orthodontists ensured that the occlusion was functional. Dental wax fixation allowed readjustments to be made on the model. Once the final relative position of the maxilla and mandible was determined, an occlusal splint was made according to the model (Fig. 2). During the operation, the occlusal splint served as a guide to restore the maxillary and mandibular arches (Fig. 3). Finally, the reduced MMU served as a reference for the reduction of the rest of the face, based on general principles. If the patient encountered a condylar or subcondylar fracture, an open reduction and internal fixation would be performed routinely. We kept intermaxillary fixation with an elastic rubber band for 2 weeks after the surgery. All the patients were followed up at our plastic and orthodontic clinics to assess wound conditions, stability of bone reduction, occlusion, and masticatory function.

\textbf{RESULTS}

From January 2015 to August 2020, 10 patients (eight men and two women) with comminuted facial fractures were included in the study. Their mean age was 27 years (range 18–47 years). Eight patients had comminuted panfacial fractures, and two patients had comminuted maxillary and palatal fractures. Eight of the patients had dental injuries and massive tooth loss (Table 1). The average time from injury to operation was 9.2 days.

\textbf{Takeaways}

\textbf{Question:} How to obtain quality occlusal splint and management of acute comminuted maxillo-mandibular fractures?

\textbf{Findings:} Through a retrospective review of records, we selected 10 patients who had undergone comminuted maxillary and mandibular fracture treatment, virtually designed and printed occlusal splints, which served as guides for surgical correction of the entire face. We found out that the models decreased intraoperative inaccuracies and improved aesthetic appearance.

\textbf{Meaning:} Computer-assisted reverse planning model surgery decreases intraoperative inaccuracies in the reduction of difficult comminuted maxillo-mandibular fractures.
(range 4–15 days), and the time to create a model for each patient ranged from 4–7 days. The average number of total arch bone fragments was 4.7 (range 3–7 pieces) (Table 2). The average follow-up time was 8.6 months (range 6–13 months). After six months of follow-up, no patient needed orthognathic surgery to correct malocclusion or facial asymmetry. We did not encounter a delayed union or malunion in this study. Two patients received orthodontic treatment for better aesthetic dentition (Table 3). Minor wound infections were noted in two patients and were treated successfully by minor debridement and antibiotics.

Case Presentation

Case I

A 22-year-old man, victim of a road accident, suffered from multiple traumas and comminuted facial fractures (Fig. 1). We prepared a 3D printed model and an occlusal splint. The 3D printed teeth bearing fracture segments provided a real 3D structure without a soft tissue envelope, which overcame the visual limitation in real surgery (Fig. 2). We arranged the occlusal splint while awaiting management of his associated trauma; thus, treatment timing was not delayed. Fracture repair was performed on the 11th day of hospitalization. The occlusal splint allowed complete simultaneous reduction of the maxillary and mandibular arches. The well-fixed MMU structure provided a stable 3D base for other facial fracture repairs. At 6 months post operation, the CT scan showed good bone union, facial symmetry (Fig. 4), and stable occlusion, matching almost exactly with the preoperative 3D model design (Fig. 5). The patient was followed up for 7 months without further complications or secondary surgery.

Case II

A 19-year-old patient, victim of a road accident, suffered a comminuted mid-facial fracture with multiple segmental maxillary arch fractures (Fig. 6). The traditional dental impression was encumbered with multiple missing teeth, gingival mucosal lesions, and alveolar bone exposure (Fig. 7). Resetting the premorbid occlusion was not our primary goal because this case involved multiple missing teeth. We prepared an occlusal splint to provide the stable dental arch and proper dental alignment for further dental treatment. The splint was designed based on the best maxillary fracture reduction, facial width control, and better relative mandibular position. An occlusal splint provided dental arch support and surgical guide during the maxillary bone reduction and maintained postoperative stability. The gingival and palatal mucosae were treated without interference from the occlusal splint (Fig. 8). We achieved good facial symmetry and bone union without secondary surgery (Fig. 9A). The patient opted for further orthodontic and prosthodontic treatments (Fig. 9B).

DISCUSSION

In panfacial fracture management, controversy still exists in the sequencing of fixation. Bottom-top or top-bottom operative sequence cannot apply to all situations and should depend on patient presentation. No matter which sequence is followed, the stable MMU restoration provides 3D fundamentals for further facial reconstruction and stable mastication function. However, in severe comminuted facial fractures, where maxillary and mandibular arches are interrupted, there are no reliable dental templates or bone references to restore the MMU.

The mandible symphysis can be used as the starting point in panfacial fracture repair because of its strength and relatively simpler fractures in panfacial injuries. The larger bone mass and relatively simple fracture pattern are more reliable guides for anatomic reduction than the maxilla. However, without reference to the complete
maxillary arch form, inadequate reduction of the lingual surface of the mandible causes widening of the gonion in the transverse dimension.

Chen et al proposed a maxillary trans-molar wiring method to adjust the maxilla width to the mandible when setting the MMU back to the premorbid position in the sagittal split maxilla fracture. However, in a comminuted palatal-alveolar fracture, it is difficult to achieve precise anatomic reduction with wiring in multiple fragile maxillary segments. The splint-assisted method was proposed as a guide to assist bone reduction by positioning the teeth in the splint. The splint design mimics the premorbid occlusion prepared before the surgery by re-assembling post-traumatic cast segments of the maxillary and mandibular bone.

Table 1. Fracture Types, Dental Injury, and Interval from Injury to Surgery

| No. | Age | Gender | Fracture Site | Teeth Loss | Interval† (d) |
|-----|-----|--------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| 1   | 22  | Man    | Bil. Subcondylar Symphysis | LeFort I+II, Palate type III | + 10 |
| 2   | 38  | Man    | Right subcondylar Symphysis | LeFort I+II+palate type I | + 10 |
| 3   | 47  | Man    | Bil condylar head, Symphysis | LeFort I-left zygoma +palate type I | + 8 |
| 4   | 27  | Woman  | —               | LeFort I+ II+NOE+right zygoma+palate type III | – 10 |
| 5   | 22  | Man    | Symphysis | Maxilla fx+palate type I | + 11 |
| 6   | 19  | Man    | —               | LeFort I+II | + 4 |
| 7   | 18  | Man    | Parasympysis | LeFort I+II+III, Palate type I | – 9 |
| 8   | 30  | Woman  | Bil condylar, Angle, body | LeFort I, Palate type III | + 10 |
| 9   | 24  | Man    | Bilateral condylar fx, symphysis | LeFort I+II, Palate type III | + 15 |
| 10  | 24  | Man    | Parasympysis | LeFort I+II, / palate type III | + 5 |

*Chen’s palatal fracture classification.*
†Interval: time from injury to operation day.

Table 2. Fragments over Teeth Bearing MMU

| Case No. | No. Fragments of MMU* |
|----------|-----------------------|
|          | Mandible | Midface | Total |
| 1        | 2        | 3       | 5     |
| 2        | 3        | 2       | 5     |
| 3        | 3        | 3       | 6     |
| 4        | No fracture | 3     | 3     |
| 5        | 2        | 2       | 4     |
| 6        | No fracture | 3     | 3     |
| 7        | 2        | 2       | 4     |
| 8        | 4        | 3       | 7     |
| 9        | 2        | 3       | 5     |
| 10       | 2        | 3       | 5     |

*Fragment of MMU: fragment over teeth bearing part of maxilla-mandibular bone.

Table 3. Follow-up Periods and Complications

| Case No. | Follow-up Time (mo) | Need Orthodontic Treatment | Need OGS* | Complication |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1        | 9                   | –                         | –         | –            |
| 2        | 8                   | –                         | –         | –            |
| 3        | 6                   | –                         | –         | –            |
| 4        | 8                   | –                         | –         | –            |
| 5        | 7                   | –                         | –         | Wound infection |
| 6        | 13                  | +                        | –         | –            |
| 7        | 8                   | –                         | –         | –            |
| 8        | 7                   | –                         | –         | Wound infection |
| 9        | 13                  | –                         | –         | –            |
| 10       | 7                   | –                         | –         | –            |

*OGS: Orthognathic surgery.

Fig. 4. Computerized tomography 6 months later.
Fig. 5. Stable occlusion 6 months after the operation.
arches. However, precision of the splints made from tradi-
tional dental impressions is not always reliable or feasible, 
possibly because of the difficult production processes and 
numerous intraoral complications due to severe trauma. 11
The subsequent dental cast and model surgery would not 
be reliable, rendering a suboptimal splint. In comminuted 
palatal-alveolar fracture, there are still high complications 
and malocclusion rates even with splint assistance. 8,12,13
Technologies such as CAD and 3D printing have been 
widely applied in craniofacial reconstruction to provide 
good facial symmetry and functional outcomes in most dif-
ficult clinical cases. Life-sized 3D printed anatomical models
and customized designed surgical prostheses or guides can 
be created to assist planning and surgery. Optic oral scan 
provides high-resolution dental images and improves accu-
ry of the digital splint manipulation. Virtual simulation 
surgical planning and 3D printed surgical guides have 
superseded traditional 2D planning, improving surgical pre-
cision and satisfaction, and shortening operation time. 14–18
Tepper et al and Kongsong et al completely reduced 
the entire MMU reduction virtually, and manufactured 
the occlusal splint as a surgical guide. 11,19 Compared with 
our method, it seems simpler and more environmentally 
friendly. However, the movement and rotation of the bone 
segment to fit the irregular fracture surface is very diffi-
cult. Achieving proper virtual reduction necessitates high-
quality image data acquisition, fine segmentation, and a 
good software engineer. The variability can result in large 
discrepancies between the virtual simulation and actual 
surgery. Compared with Tepper et al, our technique allows 
intuitive fragment adjustment with real haptic tactile feed-
back and importantly, adjustment of maximum inter-cusp 
dental contact to achieve premorbid occlusion.

The 3D printed “mock” fragments of the maxilla and 
mandible were life-sized, direct vision without the skin and 
soft tissue. This simulation overcame the real-life intraop-
erative challenge of reduction due to inadequate visualiza-
tion of the entire 3D structure of the fracture fragments. 
Sometimes, when dealing with a comminuted fracture 
segment with multiple teeth missing, the most optimal 
position is a compromise between the bone structure and 
teeth contact, as in case II. This trial-and-error adjustment 
preoperatively relieves intraoperative stress for the sur-
geon and saves operating time.

Fig. 6. Computerized tomography scan data was transformed and segmented by software. A, Preoperation computerized tomography, 
showing comminuted mid-facial fracture with segment maxilla dental arch. B, Fracture pieces were well segmented by the software.

Fig. 7. Poor oral condition with missing teeth, mucosal injury, and bone exposure.
There are some different concepts of the splint design in our study compared with the orthognathic surgery or other reconstruction with stable jaw and good dentition. Facing the complicated traumatic oral environment, we could not have high-resolution dental images, such as dental impression or optic oral scan. We did not replace the dental image from medical CT scan. Preoperation fitness of the occlusal splint was not possible either. We picked up the suitable cases, for which fine CT image of the teeth is available after hard work by a computer engineer. The occlusion setting in such a severe segmented dental arch injury should be compromised between the best inter-cusp dental contact and bone reduction. The goal is to provide a good facial symmetry, profile, stable dental arch, and proper dental alignment for further dental treatment. The use of CAD and 3D printing in the management of acute facial fractures does not delay surgical timing, as presurgical procedures can be done immediately after the CT scan is obtained. In our clinical setting, presurgical CAD and 3D printing algorithms can be completed within 1 week. This allows ample time for the treatment or stabilization of the associated trauma. The average time between admission and definite facial bone surgery in this series was 9.2 days. There were no CAD or 3D printing-related delays.

All our patients had stable occlusion and easy oral mucosa wound care, with neither fistulas nor severe complications. A high wound complication rate (9%–42%) has been reported in complicated palatal fractures treated with the traditional splint method.8,10,11 CAD splints provided stable occlusion and bone reduction, following the rigid bone fixation. This could reduce the possibility of prolonging intermaxillary fixation and decreasing oral mucosal complications. In some cases, we used the orthodontic bracket instead of the traditional arch bar or tooth wiring method. It prevented further gingival mucosa injury in trauma patients, and provided an alternative choice, as multiple teeth were missing. There would be no adequate teeth to wire the arch bar to the dental arch of the maxilla or mandible.

Fig. 8. Occlusal splint serves as a surgical guide and decreases mucosa complication. A, Occlusal splint could provide good support and surgical guide during bone reduction and fixation. B, Gingiva mucosa can be well repaired and protected, decreasing wound complication post operation.

Fig. 9. Postoperative follow-up. A, Computerized tomography 6 months later. B, Patient received orthodontic treatment to enhance the dental morphology.
To our knowledge, this is the largest series of complete treatment algorithms for complicated facial fractures with interrupted maxillary and mandibular arches. However, our technique had some limitations. Firstly, we need a fine cut (<1 mm) CT scan and the elimination of intraoral metallic artifacts using software manipulation. Cooperation with experienced engineers and learning curves is important. Secondly, extra computer-designed and 3D printer models incurred additional healthcare costs for patients. Finally, some errors between the virtual simulation and real conditions were possible in the whole process. We suggest applying only suitable patients. Based on our limited experience of 10 cases, we recommend using our method for patients with more than three bone fragments in one dental arch or more than four bone fragments in the entire MMU. Lack of a control group was another limitation in this study. Further study is needed to make a more comparative conclusion and validate this claim.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Computer-assisted reverse planning model surgery decreases intraoperative inaccuracies in the reduction of difficult comminuted maxillo-mandibular fractures. It is a useful and reliable alternative, delivering good surgical outcomes and shortening the surgical time. Collaboration with an experienced software engineer and proper patient choice are indispensable to ensure more effective practice.
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