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Background: Immobilization stress is one method of stress induction on experimental animals. It affects the psychology and physical of experimental animals and is the recommended method for assessing changes in histological structure damage. The purpose of research was to analyze the effect of immobilization stress on gastric mucosal in mice.

Materials and Methods: This research was experimental with post-test-only control group design. Twenty white mice (Mus musculus) male Swiss Webster strains were used in this study and divided into 4 groups: control, immobilization stress 14 days, immobilization stress 21 days, immobilization stress 28 days. Mice were given immobilization stress using 50 cc syringes for 2 hours every day for 14 days, 21 days and 28 days. Gastric mucosal damage in mice was analyzed under a microscope with of 10 fields of view in each sample. Data were analyzed using the Kruskal Wallis test and Mann Whitney test.

Results: Gastric mucosal damage score were 0 in control, 1.42±0.265 in 14 days, 1.82±0.265 in 21 days, and 2.54±0.05 in 28 days. There was significant difference between each group (p<0.05), while the greatest damage was found in the 28 days group.

Conclusion: These result indicated that immobilization stress caused gastric mucosal damage and the degree of damage is in accordance with duration of stress.
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Introduction

Stress is a non-specific response from body against pressures from the external and internal environment called stressors. Stressors can trigger various physiological and behavioral responses in the body. General adaptation syndrome (GAS) by Hans Selye is concept of stress received in biomedical science. Chronic stress is known as a risk factor that has a large effect on process of psychological diseases and various diseases of the body such as heart disease, depression,
Digestive system is regulated by the enteric nervous system (ENS). ENS has an important role in regulating the regulation and physiological functions of the gastrointestinal tract such as gastric secretion, motility, release of various neuropeptides and hormones, mucosal blood flow, and normal bacteria in the intestine. Interaction mechanism of the brain with the digestive system through some of pathways. The pathways is autonomic pathway system (ANS) and hypothalamic pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) pathway, or known as the brain-gut axis (BGA).

Stress exposure can cause regulatory disruption in the BGA which results in disruption of the physiological function of digestive system regulated by the BGA pathway which increased gastric secretion, decreased blood flow to the mucosal, reduced ability to proliferate and regenerate damaged mucosal, and motility changes, can cause erosion to mucous ulcers in the stomach.

Stress induction methods in experimental animals consist of various methods such as footshock, corticosterone, and immobilization (restraint). The method of immobilization or restraint is one method to induce physical stress and psychological stress in experimental animals by limiting the movement of experimental animals. This method is recommended because it is very easy, without giving pain and does not cause weakness in animal testing. Immobilization stress is the method most often used to induce acute and chronic stress in experimental animals.

Induction of Immobilization Stress
Stress induction of immobilization was using a vessel in the form of a 50 cc syringe that had been modified, so that the air circulation of mice was well maintained. The immobilization stress was given for 2 hours every day at 9:00 a.m. until 11:00 a.m. After stress induction was given, the mice was returned to the cage. Food and water intake was given by ad libitum. Mice were terminated on the last day of induction immobilization stress using an anesthetic process, then take the gastric tissue through surgical process.

Sample Preparation
Gaistic tissue slides was made in the anatomic pathology laboratory in Pekanbaru. The method of slide preparation was using standard operasional procedure of the anatomic pathology laboratory.

Mucosal Damage Evaluation
The slides were viewed under a microscope with a 40x magnification of 10 view fields in each sample. Determination of the degree of gastric mucosal damage was referring to the Barthel Manja score, with grade 0 meant that there was no pathological changes, grade 1 meant that the epithelium desquamation was characterized by epithelial element release, grade 2 meant that erosion was characterized by the release of superficial epithelial elements, and grade 3 meant that the ulceration was characterized by loss of epithelial elements.

Data Analysis
The data obtained was processed and tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test and then using the Mann-Whitney test.

Results
Histopathological damage of gastric mucosal in mice was assessed using Barthel Manja score. The score of mucosal damage of the four groups presented in Figure 1, meanwhile the degree of gastric mucosal damage was shown in Figure 2.

The results of the comparison test between groups showed that there were significant differences between each group ($p=0.001$). Post Hoc analysis (Mann-Whitney
Figure 1. Comparison of gastric mucosal damage between groups. The highest average score in histopathological images of gastric mucosal (Mus musculus) was found in the 28 days group while the lowest was in the control group. n=5, *p<0.05, ns=not significant; analyzed with Man Whitney test.

test) showed that there were significant differences between the control group and the 14 days stress group (p=0.005), the control group with 21 days stress (p=0.005), the control group with 28 days stress (p=0.005), the group 14 days stress with 28 days stress group (p=0.009), 21 days stress group with 28 days stress group (p=0.012), while in the 14 days stress group with 21 days stress group (p=0.295) it was not significant.

Discussion

Gastric mucosal damage caused by immobilization stress is seen in histopathological images. In the 14 days stress group, 21 days stress and 28 days stress found desquamation of epithelial cells (grade 1) characterized by epithelial element release, and epithelial cell erosion (grade 2) characterized by the release of superficial epithelial elements, and epithelial ulceration (grade 3) namely the loss of epithelial elements. In the 14 days stress group dominated by grade 1 gastric mucosal damage, the 21 days stress group was dominated by grade 2 gastric mucosal damage and the 28 days stress group dominated by grade 3 gastric mucosal damage. This indicates that gastric mucosal damage depends on the duration of stress induction immobilization was given.

Changes in the degree of gastric mucosal damage between treatment groups 14 days and 21 days were not significant (p>0.05). This is because the duration of stress between the two groups is not so different, that there are no significant differences. The body's adaptation of stress exposure did not last long, this was proven by the level of damage between the groups of 21 days and 28 days found significantly (p<0.05). the body's adaptation to stress decreases due to continuous exposure of stress up to 28 days. The immobilization stress causes a disruption in the digestive system. Mast cells will receive stress signals transmitted through the brain-gut axis which will then release various neurotransmitters and inflammatory mediators such as 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), substance P (SP), calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), C-reactive protein (CRP), cholecystokinin (CCK), nitric oxide (NO), norepinephrine (NE) and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP). These peptides caused physiological disorders and motility in the digestive system. Stress also affects the mucous blood flow to the stomach, the gastric mucosal blood flow will decrease and caused impaired on microcirculation of blood flow in the gastric mucosal.

The impaired of microcirculation will cause species oxygen reactive substances (ROS) such as superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, hypochlorous acid, chloramine, and lipid hydroperoxide to be produced quickly and continuously in the body. An increased of ROS will induce inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract by stimulating polymorphonuclear neutrophilic leukocyte (PMN) which will cause damage and process of epithelial
necrosis and ulceration of the gastric mucosal. Induction of stress continuously will cause impaired with the protection factors of gastric mucosal such as NO and prostaglandin I2 (PGI2). NO and PGI2 or prostacyclin which acts as vasodilators and maintains the circulation of mucous blood flow, protecting the gastric mucosal from damage. NO and PGI2 protecting endothelial cells and inhibit platelet and leukocyte adhesion to microvascular resulting in preventing of microiskemia.14

**Conclusion**

These result indicated that immobilization stress for 14 days, 21 days, and 28 days on mice caused gastric mucosal damage and the degree of damage is in accordance with duration of stress. Where 28 days group showed the highest gastric mucosal damage compared to the other groups.
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