NNLO QCD × EW corrections to $Z$ production in the $q\bar{q}$ channel
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We present the first results for the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections to the total partonic cross section of the process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z + X$, with the complete set of contributions, that include photonic and massive weak gauge boson effects. The results are relevant for the precise determination of the hadronic $Z$ boson production cross section. Virtual and real corrections are calculated analytically using the reduction to the master integrals and their evaluation through differential equations. Real corrections are dealt with using the reverse-unitarity method. They require the evaluation of a new set of two-loop master integrals, with up to three internal massive lines. In particular, three of them are expressed in terms of elliptic integrals. We verify the absence, at this perturbative order, of initial-state mass singularities proportional to a weak massive virtual boson. The production of an electrically neutral gauge boson at hadron colliders is one of the historical processes for our understanding of QCD. The case of the decay of the $Z$ boson into a pair of high transverse momentum leptons is known as the Drell-Yan (DY) process, and it is particularly important for the setting of several high-precision tests of the electroweak (EW) sector of the Standard Model (SM). It allows for instance a precise measurement of the weak mixing angle and of the properties of the $Z$ boson. The $Z$ boson DY production is one of the processes known with high perturbative accuracy. The pioneering calculations of the next-to-leading order (NLO) [1] and next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) [2,3] QCD corrections to the total inclusive cross section were extended later to the fully differential description of the leptonic final state [4–7]. Finally, the evaluation of the next-to-next-to-leading order QCD corrections at the production threshold is presented for the gauge boson total cross section in Refs. [8–10] and rapidity distribution in Refs. [11,12]. The impact of the NLO EW corrections, studied in Refs. [13–17], is at the $O(1\%)$ level as far as the total cross section is concerned, and it is comparable to that of the NNLO QCD contributions. The NNLO QED corrections to four-fermion scattering are presented in Refs. [18,19]. Kinematic distributions may receive additional enhancements in specific phase-space regions, yielding corrections at the $O(10\%)$ level or more. Since the high-precision determination of EW parameters requires control over the kinematic distributions in some cases at the per mille level (cf. Refs. [20–22] for a discussion on specific examples), the evaluation of the mixed QCD-EW corrections has emerged as necessary for both the study of the gauge boson resonances and of the high-mass/-momentum tails of the kinematic distributions [23,24]. First analytic results are presented in Refs. [25–29] and have been compared with the approximations available via Monte Carlo simulation tools [30,31]; while the bulk of the leading effects, separately due to QCD and QED corrections, can be correctly evaluated for several observables, the remaining subleading QED effects and the genuine QCD-weak corrections are still missing in these tools. Furthermore, a realistic estimate of the theoretical uncertainties must account for several sources of ambiguity related to the recipes used in the matching of separate results for the QCD and EW contributions to the scattering amplitude. For these reasons, an exact calculation of the full set of $O(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections to the DY processes is desirable. In Refs. [32–34], the mixed QCD-QED corrections to the total cross section and transverse momentum

I. INTRODUCTION

The production of an electrically neutral gauge boson at hadron colliders is one of the historical processes for our understanding of QCD. The case of the decay of the $Z$ boson into a pair of high transverse momentum leptons is known as the Drell-Yan (DY) process, and it is particularly important for the setting of several high-precision tests of the electroweak (EW) sector of the Standard Model (SM). It allows for instance a precise measurement of the weak mixing angle and of the properties of the $Z$ boson. The $Z$ boson DY production is one of the processes known with high perturbative accuracy. The pioneering calculations of the next-to-leading order (NLO) [1] and next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) [2,3] QCD corrections to the total inclusive cross section were extended later to the fully differential description of the leptonic final state [4–7]. Finally, the evaluation of the next-to-next-to-leading order QCD corrections at the production threshold is presented for the gauge boson total cross section in Refs. [8–10] and rapidity distribution in Refs. [11,12]. The impact of the NLO EW corrections, studied in Refs. [13–17], is at the $O(1\%)$ level as far as the total cross section is concerned, and it is comparable to that of the NNLO QCD contributions. The NNLO QED corrections to four-fermion scattering are presented in Refs. [18,19]. Kinematic distributions may receive additional enhancements in specific phase-space regions, yielding corrections at the $O(10\%)$ level or more. Since the high-precision determination of EW parameters requires control over the kinematic distributions in some cases at the per mille level (cf. Refs. [20–22] for a discussion on specific examples), the evaluation of the mixed QCD-EW corrections has emerged as necessary for both the study of the gauge boson resonances and of the high-mass/-momentum tails of the kinematic distributions [23,24]. First analytic results are presented in Refs. [25–29] and have been compared with the approximations available via Monte Carlo simulation tools [30,31]; while the bulk of the leading effects, separately due to QCD and QED corrections, can be correctly evaluated for several observables, the remaining subleading QED effects and the genuine QCD-weak corrections are still missing in these tools. Furthermore, a realistic estimate of the theoretical uncertainties must account for several sources of ambiguity related to the recipes used in the matching of separate results for the QCD and EW contributions to the scattering amplitude. For these reasons, an exact calculation of the full set of $O(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections to the DY processes is desirable. In Refs. [32–34], the mixed QCD-QED corrections to the total cross section and transverse momentum
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spectrum of an on-shell $Z$ boson are discussed. The evaluation of all the master integrals (MIs) relevant to compute the full set of QCD-EW mixed corrections to the DY process (including off-resonance terms) is documented in Refs. [35,36].

In this article, we present the first results for the total inclusive cross section of production of an on-shell $Z$ boson in the quark-antiquark partonic channel, including the complete set of QCD-EW corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$. We retain the dependence on the massive states exchanged in the loops. As a consequence of that, the calculation involves a set of two-loop phase-space integrals, previously not available in the literature. Their analytic expression will be presented in a forthcoming paper. We also have the occasion to check the infrared structure of the corrections up to NNLO level, including the cases where a massive EW boson is exchanged. We verify the absence of initial-state mass singularities proportional to a weak massive virtual correction to the quark-gluon splitting.

The calculation we are presenting in this article is an important step toward the evaluation of the full set of QCD-EW corrections to the hadronic cross section.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The inclusive production cross section $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ of a $Z$ boson at hadron colliders ($pp \to Z + X$) can be written, using the factorization theorem, as

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\tau) = \sum_{i,j}\int dx_1dx_2\hat{f}_i(x_1)\hat{f}_j(x_2)\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z).$$

(1)

In Eq. (1), $\tau = \frac{m_Z^2}{s}$ and $z = \frac{m_Z^2}{s}$ are the ratio of the squared $Z$ boson mass, $m_Z$, with $S$ and $\hat{s}$ the hadronic and partonic center of mass energy squared, respectively. $S$ and $\hat{s}$ are related by $\hat{s} = x_1x_2S$ through the Bjorken momentum fractions $x_1, x_2$. The bare cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ of the partonic process $ij \to Z + X$ is convoluted with the bare parton densities $\hat{f}_j(x)$. The sum over $i,j$ includes quarks ($q$), antiquarks ($\bar{q}$), gluons ($g$), and photons ($\gamma$). In the SM, we have a double expansion of the partonic cross sections in the electromagnetic and strong coupling constants, $\alpha$ and $\alpha_s$, respectively,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^m\alpha_s^n\hat{\sigma}^{(m,n)}_{ij}(z),$$

(2)

where $\hat{\sigma}^{(m,n)}_{ij}$ is the correction of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^m\alpha_s^n)$ to the lowest-order inclusive total cross section $\hat{\sigma}^{(0,0)}_{ij}$ of the partonic scattering $ij \to Z$. For a given initial state, the inclusive total cross section receives contributions from processes with different final-state multiplicities, due to real parton emissions. In this paper, we focus on the $q\bar{q}$ initiated scattering, and, for definiteness, we treat the case of an up-type quark: $q\bar{q} = u\bar{u}$.

The full set of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections to $\hat{\sigma}_{uu}$ stems from the evaluation of the following scattering processes,

$$u\bar{u} \to Z,$$  

(3)

$$u\bar{u} \to Zg,$$  

(4)

$$u\bar{u} \to Z\gamma,$$  

(5)

$$u\bar{u} \to Zg\gamma,$$  

(6)

$$u\bar{u} \to Zu\bar{u},$$  

(7)

$$u\bar{u} \to Zud,$$  

(8)

where $d$ represents a down-type massless quark. Explicit expressions for the process (6) and QCD-QED contributions to process (3) have been presented in Refs. [37] and [25,38], respectively. The corresponding results for $d\bar{d}$ initiated subprocesses can be derived from our results with the replacements $Q_u \leftrightarrow Q_d$, $I_u^{(3)} \leftrightarrow I_d^{(3)}$, where $Q_f, I_f^{(3)}$ are the electric charge and the third component of the weak isospin, for a fermion $f$, respectively.

The process (3) receives contributions from the interference between two-loop $2 \to 1$ Feynman diagrams, with the corresponding tree-level expressions; we refer to this as the double-virtual tree-level contributions. The processes (4) and (5) receive contributions from the interference between the one-loop $2 \to 1$ Feynman diagrams and the corresponding tree-level expressions. We refer to them as real-virtual corrections. The last three processes, (6)–(8), receive contributions from tree-level $2 \to 3$ Feynman diagrams, and we refer to them as double-real corrections.

The full set of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections can be organized in two gauge invariant subsets: QCD-QED and QCD-weak contributions. Processes (3)–(7) contribute to the former, and processes (3), (4), (7), and (8) contribute to the latter. While one gluon exchange, real or virtual, is always present, we identify three groups of contributions to the amplitudes depending on the presence of one real or virtual photon, of one virtual $Z$ boson, or of one/two virtual $W$ bosons. We further observe that the last two groups are separately gauge invariant. In our definition of total cross section, we do not include the processes with the emission of one extra massive on-shell gauge boson, as their measurement depends on the details of the experimental event selection. Furthermore, these corrections do not contribute to the infrared structure of the process.

The amplitude of the two tree-level processes (7) and (8) has two components of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\alpha_s})$ (an internal gluon exchange) and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\alpha_s})$ (an internal weak boson exchange), respectively, and their interference is, therefore, of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2\alpha_s)$.

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We follow a diagrammatic approach to obtain all the relevant contributions to the inclusive production cross
section $u\overline{u} \rightarrow Z + X$. A detailed description of the computation will be presented in a dedicated publication. In this paper, we sketch an outline of the procedure. We need to include contributions with two-loop virtual corrections, with one real emission and one loop (real virtual), with two real emissions (double real), and factorizable contributions stemming, e.g., from the interference of two one-loop diagrams. We treat all the processes with the same algorithmic approach. First, we compute all the Feynman diagrams contributing to a given amplitude with FeynArts [39] and QGRAF [40]; we perform algebraic simplifications with FORM [41] and Mathematica; we use integration-by-parts [42–44] and Lorentz-invariance identities [45] to reduce the Feynman integrals to MIs. The reduction to D-dimensions is performed within dimensional regularization procedure. In the latter case, the phase-space delta functions are dealt with via the reverse unitarity technique [38]. In the former one, the phase-space delta function is reduced to MIs with only gluon or photon lines are already known expressions. We have computed all the new MIs, recomputed them and found complete agreement with the known expressions. We have fixed the boundary conditions developed for the present calculation, however, we have also in the EW SM, a QED-like Ward identity, with the consequent cancellation of the UV poles. On the other hand we consider the external Z boson wave function and the lowest-order coupling renormalization corrections; their combination is, order-by-order in perturbation theory, UV finite.

We need to perform the renormalization of the couplings and the fields up to $O(\alpha_s)$ for process (3), while we need only the $O(\alpha)$ renormalization of process (4). One-loop QCD corrections to processes (3) and (5) are UV finite, after field renormalization, again because of a QED-like Ward identity. We remark that the Z boson field and the EW couplings do not receive $O(\alpha_s)$ renormalization corrections. The renormalization of the quark field receives EW corrections, and we consider this in the on-shell scheme.

\[
\delta(p^2 - m^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\eta} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 - i\eta} \right). \tag{9}
\]

It is thus possible to rewrite the phase-space measure of each final-state particle as the difference of two propagators with opposite prescriptions for their imaginary part (where $\eta$ stands for an infinitesimal positive real number). We transform the integration over the full phase space of the additional parton/s for processes (4)–(8), into the evaluation of the cut two-loop integrals with an on-shell condition on the lines that correspond to the final-state particles.

The pure virtual MIs are already available in the literature [59–64], in the case of off-shell Z boson. Since in our case the Z boson is on-shell, we have computed these integrals taking the appropriate on-shell limit. The off-shell integrals and most of their on-shell limit have been checked using FESTA [65–67]. The two- and three-body phase-space MIs with only gluon or photon lines are already available in the literature [58]. To validate our routines developed for the present calculation, however, we have recomputed them and found complete agreement with the known expressions. We have computed all the new MIs, with one or two internal massive lines, with the differential equations method. We have fixed the boundary conditions calculating the soft limit ($z \rightarrow 1$) of the MIs.

A. Ultraviolet renormalization

The calculation is performed in the EW background field gauge (BFG) [83], which allows the identification of two sets of UV-finite amplitudes. On the one hand is the combination of 1 Particle Irreducible (1PI) vertex and external quark wave function corrections, which satisfies, also in the EW SM, a QED-like Ward identity, with the consequent cancellation of the UV poles. On the other hand we consider the external Z boson wave function and the lowest-order coupling renormalization corrections; their combination is, order-by-order in perturbation theory, UV finite.

We need to perform the renormalization of the couplings and the fields up to $O(\alpha_s)$ for process (3), while we need only the $O(\alpha)$ renormalization of process (4). One-loop QCD corrections to processes (3) and (5) are UV finite, after field renormalization, again because of a QED-like Ward identity. We remark that the Z boson field and the EW couplings do not receive $O(\alpha_s)$ renormalization corrections. The renormalization of the quark field receives EW corrections, and we consider this in the on-shell scheme.
The EW gauge sector of the SM Lagrangian depends on three parameters \(g, g', v\), the two gauge couplings and the Higgs-doublet vacuum expectation value. After the introduction of counterterms and renormalized parameters, we express the latter as a combination of \((G_u, m_W, m_Z)\) [84], respectively the Fermi constant and the W and Z boson masses.

A subset of the EW corrections can be reabsorbed in a redefinition of the weak mixing angle that appears in the vector coupling of the Z boson to fermions. These corrections are split, in the EW BFG, in two UV-finite groups, one due to vertex corrections and the other due to external \(\gamma - Z\) corrections and to the weak mixing angle counterterm (a shortcut for a combination of \(W\) and \(Z\) mass counterterms). In BFG, the second group vanishes, because of a Ward identity [83] satisfied by the \(\gamma - Z\) wave function correction.

An alternative scheme where the effective leptonic weak mixing angle appears as an input parameter is discussed in Ref. [86].

**B. Infrared singularities and mass factorization**

The \(O(\alpha_s)\) corrections are organized in two gauge-invariant subsets: QCD-QED and QCD-weak contributions. The former involve the exchange of two massless bosons, yielding the maximal degree of infrared singularity at the second perturbative order, i.e., \(\varepsilon^{-1}\). The latter have only the poles due to a soft and/or collinear gluon. The cancellation of the soft singularities takes place separately in the two subsets, once the contributions of virtual corrections and of the corresponding soft real emissions are combined. To be more precise, for the QCD-QED subset, the process (7) does not yield soft singularities, so that the cancellation takes place when the processes (3)–(6) are combined. In the case of the QCD-weak subset, soft singularities appear only in processes (3) and (4) and cancel when the two are summed. When we consider the combination of the cross sections of the processes (3)–(8), we are thus left with initial-state collinear singularities only. The processes (3)–(7) contribute to initial-state collinear singularities within the QCD-QED subset, while in the QCD-weak case, only processes (3)–(4) have initial-state collinear singularities of QCD origin. These singularities can be removed by mass factorization. The physical parton densities \(f_j(x, \mu_F)\) are defined, at the factorization scale \(\mu_F\), by introducing the mass factorization kernel \(\Gamma_{ij}\), which subtracts the initial-state collinear singularities

\[
\hat{f}_i = f_j \otimes \Gamma_{ij},
\]

where \(\Gamma_{ij}^{(1, 0)}\) is the QCD leading order splitting kernel, \(\Gamma_{ij}^{(0, 1)}\) is its QED analog, and \(\Gamma_{ij}^{(1, 1)}\) is the mixed QCD-QED contribution to the splitting kernels, recently presented in Ref. [87]. After the replacement of Eq. (10) in Eq. (1), we obtain the total cross section expressed in terms of subtracted, finite, partonic cross sections \(\sigma_{ij}(z, \mu_F)\):

\[
\sigma_{tot}(z) = \sum_{i,j=q, g} dx_1 dx_2 f_i(x_1, \mu_F) f_j(x_2, \mu_F) \sigma_{ij}(z, \mu_F).
\]

The \(\sigma_{ij}\) admit a perturbative expansion in powers of \(\alpha_s\) and \(\alpha_s\), in analogy to Eq. (2). In this paper, we present the results for \(\sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(1, 1)}\).

In processes (3) and (4), the weak virtual correction to the splitting vertex \(q \to qg\) might induce an additional contribution to the subtraction kernel \(\Gamma_{ij}^{(1, 1)}\). However, we have checked that such a term vanishes, in the massless quark case, as a consequence of the conservation of the vector and axial-vector currents.

**IV. RESULTS**

In order to discuss the sizes of the different sets of radiative corrections, we define

\[
\alpha_s \delta \sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(1, 1)} = \sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(0)} (\Delta_{u\bar{u}, \gamma} + \Delta_{u\bar{u}, Z} + \Delta_{u\bar{u}, W})
\]

where \(\sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(0)} = 4 \sqrt{2} G_F (\pi/N_c) (C^2_{1, u} + C^2_{2, u}) \delta(1 - z)\) is the Born cross section of the process \(u\bar{u} \to Z\), with \(N_c\) the number of colors and \(C_{1/2, u}\) the vector/axial-vector couplings of the Z boson to the up quark. In the processes (3)–(8), there are radiative corrections due to the exchange in the internal lines of a photon, a Z boson, and of one or two \(W\) boson/s, which can be identified and grouped according to the respective charges. In addition to these diagrammatic contributions, we take into account the counterterms necessary for the renormalization of the overall proportionality factor \(g/\cos \theta_W\) of the lowest order process; we group the latter together with the diagrammatic contributions due to the exchange of one or two \(W\) boson/s. We introduce the symbols \(\Delta_{u\bar{u}, K}\) with \(K = \gamma, Z, W\) to represent these three groups of corrections. The splitting in three subsets has both a technical and physical interest: besides the check of Ward identities, which hold separately for each group, we have the possibility to show the dependence on the value of the EW charges of the initial-state quarks. For the sake of comparison, we introduce the NLO-QCD correction to the same partonic process, defined as \(\alpha_s \delta \sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(1, 0)} = \sigma_{u\bar{u}}^{(0)} \Delta_{u\bar{u}}^{(1, 0)}\). In Fig. 1, we present, as a function of the partonic variable \(z\), the contribution of the different subsets of diagrams, \(\Delta_{u\bar{u}, K}\)
FIG. 1. Corrections factors $\Delta^{(1,0)}_{\mu t}$ and $\Delta^{(1,1)}_{\mu t}$ with $K = \gamma, Z, W$, as a function of the partonic variable $z$. The NLO-QCD correction $\Delta^{(1,0)}_{\mu t}$ (gray dashed) is divided by a factor 10. We show the $O(\alpha_s)$ total correction (black solid), and the contributions of the different subsets $K = \gamma, Z, W$ in blue, red, and magenta, respectively.

with $K = \gamma, Z, W$, and their sum. We also plot $\Delta^{(1,0)}_{\mu t}$, divided by a factor 10. We exclude from the plot all the contributions proportional to $\delta(1-z)$, while we keep all the plus-distribution terms, limiting the plot at $z = 0.99$.

For the numerical evaluation, we use the following input parameters: $m_W = 80.385$ GeV, $m_Z = 91.1876$ GeV, $G_\mu = 1.1663781 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$, $m_t = 173.5$ GeV, $m_H = 125$ GeV, and $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$. $m_t$ and $m_H$ are the top quark and Higgs boson mass, respectively. We set the factorization scale $\mu_F = m_Z$.

We observe that, in the high-energy limit ($z \to 0$), the cross sections are damped by the incoming flux factor, proportional to $z$. The divergent behavior for $z \to 1$, due to the exchange of at least one massless boson, is also evident for all the contributions. The values of the EW charges, in the two subsets with one $Z$ (red) or with one/two $W$s exchange (magenta), are responsible for the different sizes and for the opposite signs of the two contributions, visible in the $z \to 1$ limit. We observe that in the case of the $d\bar{d} \to Z + X$ process, the contributions with one/two $W$s exchange have similar size but opposite sign. The total contribution to the hadron-level cross section from this subset of diagrams of the two partonic processes is expected to undergo an important cancellation, modulated by the convolution with the proton PDFs. The QCD-QED corrections, shown in blue in Fig. 1, are not monotonic, contrary to the NLO-QCD ones, and have a maximum for $z \sim 0.85$. They are smaller than the QCD-weak contribution for $z \in [0.8, 0.9]$, but become larger in absolute size when $z \to 1$, because of the higher power of the threshold logarithms. The possibility of having a second $Z$ boson in a resonant configuration yields the kink of the $\Delta^{(1,1)}_{\mu t, Z}$ curve (red) at $z = 1/4$, as can be observed in the inset of Fig. 1.

In conclusion, we have presented the first results for the total inclusive partonic cross section for the process $q\bar{q} \to Z + X$, including the exact $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections, with both photon and $W/Z$ boson exchanges. The results are analytic and are expressed in terms of GPLs, but also contain three elliptic MIs, which have been computed with a series expansion around $z = 1$. The complete solution of the infrared structure of the process and the exact evaluation of all the relevant virtual corrections represent an important step toward the evaluation of the hadron-level cross section for $Z$ production at this perturbative order.
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