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ABSTRACT

TFIID is a large protein complex required for the recognition and binding of eukaryotic gene core promoter sequences and for the recruitment of the rest of the general transcription factors involved in initiation of eukaryotic gene protein transcription. Cryo-electron microscopy studies have demonstrated the conformational complexity of human TFIID, where one-third of the mass of the complex can shift its position by well over 100 Å. This conformational plasticity appears to be linked to the capacity of TFIID to bind DNA, and suggests how it would allow both the recognition of different core promoter elements and the tuning of its binding affinity by regulatory factors.
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Introduction

Eukaryotic transcription initiation requires the assembly of a large transcription preinitiation complex (PIC) at the core promoter of each protein gene. The PIC includes, in addition to RNA Pol II, a number of Pol II-associated general transcription factors (GTFs) whose role is to bring the polymerase to the core promoter, in some cases positioning it very precisely with respect to the transcription start site, as well as helping Pol II with the opening of the duplex DNA and the stabilization of the initial transcription bubble. Among the GTFs are TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIF, TFIIE and TFIIF. TFIID, the largest GTF (~1.2 MDa), is composed of the TATA-box binding protein (TBP) and 13 TBP-associated factors (TAFs). The roles of TFIID include the recognition and binding of all gene core promoter sequences. Core promoter sequences vary widely throughout the genome, although a set of core promoter motifs seems to be present, in different combinations, in a significant number of gene core promoters. These often include a combination of the TATA box, the Initiator motif (Inr), the downstream promoter element (DPE) and/or the Motif Ten Element (MTE). The Kadonaga lab designed a super core promoter (SCP) by combining optimal sequences and spacing for TATA, Inr, DPE and MTE. This SCP has a significantly higher affinity for TFIID and leads to improved transcriptional output via increased promoter usage. The SCP has now been used to facilitate biophysical studies of the process of TFIID binding and transcription initiation, such as single molecule experiments or cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) studies.

The size of TFIID, its poor biochemical stability and the complexity of its subunit stoichiometry, are among the factors that have so far precluded the development of expression systems for purification of large amounts of this complex. Thus, in vitro studies still rely on purification from endogenous sources, which are very limited. This limitation has severely hampered the structural characterization of TFIID by X-ray crystallography, and even challenged other techniques with simpler sample requirements, like cryo-EM. Human TFIID is typically produced by immunopurification from HeLa cells, with yields of around 5–10 µg per 10 Ls of cells.

Initial structural studies of TFIID

The first structural glimpses of TFIID came from early EM studies of both human and budding yeast TFIID...
using negatively stained samples. At resolutions of 30–40 Å, these studies showed TFIID to be composed of three main lobes, termed A, B and C, surrounding a central cavity. Antibody labeling studies led to a proposal of subunit distribution within those lobes that included two copies of some of the TAFs in different regions of the complex. More functional studies followed, investigating the structure of different TFIID isoforms, its interaction with activators and/or its binding to DNA. Biochemical efforts lead to the reconstitution of TFIID subcomplexes, including a symmetrical complex containing two copies each of TAF-4, −5, −6, −9, and −12, and an asymmetrical one after addition of TAF8–TAF10, both of which were characterized by cryo-EM.

An important realization was that TFIID is a very flexible complex, but how this flexibility related to the mechanism of action of TFIID was not initially clear. Recent cryo-EM studies have shed new light onto the complex conformational landscape of TFIID and its functional relevance in the binding of core promoters.

**Conformational states of TFIID and DNA binding**

Through careful EM image analysis of both negatively stained and frozen hydrated samples, it became possible to determine that the extreme conformational heterogeneity of human TFIID was due to changes in the position of lobe A with respect to a more stable BC core. TFIID transitions in a continuous fashion between two broadly defined states, referred to as “canonical” and “rearranged.” While in the former, lobe A is in contact with lobe C, in the rearranged state it has moved by more than 100 Å to contact lobe B (Fig. 1). Given that lobe A is always present in our TFIID images, it is clear that it never detaches completely from the BC core, but needs to remain covalently attached. The fine details of this connection are not yet known.

What could be the possible biological relevance of such dramatic structural reorganization? A clue came from the quantitative comparison of lobe A positions obtained from cryo-EM images of apo TFIID versus samples also containing TFIIA and SCP. Such comparison showed that the percentage of complexes in the rearranged state increased significantly in the presence of DNA and TFIIA. Indeed, 3D reconstruction later showed that the DNA-bound complexes corresponded to the rearranged state (Fig. 1), thus defining such conformation as the one capable of core promoter engagement. The position of the density in the 3D reconstruction ascribed to DNA explained the

---

**Figure 1.** Conformational rearrangement of TFIID. 3D cryo-EM reconstructions of apo TFIID in the canonical state (left) and of the TFIID–TFIIA–DNA complex in the rearranged state (right) revealed that TFIID binds to core promoter DNA in the rearranged state. The density for the stable BC-core, outlined on the bottom for either structure (dotted black line), stays relatively consistent between the two states, while the flexible lobe A (yellow) transits from one side of the BC-core to the other between the two states. In the rearranged state, lobe A can be further divided into lobe A1 (orange), which contains TBP and TFIIA and binds the TATA-containing upstream promoter DNA (see Fig. 2), and lobe A2 (yellow).
discrimination by the core promoter DNA of the conformational state of TFIID. Contacts with the upstream and downstream core promoter elements involved, respectively, the simultaneous interaction of the relocated lobe A and lobe C, which, therefore, need to be at a significant and fixed distance from one another. Furthermore, the position of lobe A in the canonical state which is very close, if not overlapping, with the surface of lobe C interacting with the downstream segments – seems incompatible with a simultaneous engagement with DNA by lobe C.

The dramatic conformational plasticity of TFIID makes a lot of functional sense for a molecular hub involved in the integration of signals from cofactors, gene-specific activators and inhibitors, and epigenetic marks. All of those signals need to be read by TFIID and then translated into a gene expression outcome related to the capacity of the complex to bind core promoter sequences and nucleate PIC assembly. The structural plasticity of TFIID allows for its tuning by additional factors, and the relationship between conformational state and core promoter binding would link the allosteric effect of factor binding to TFIID to its capacity to engage the promoter, and perhaps even select certain core promoters with different promoter elements architecture. To dissect how such potential allosteric mechanisms act will require visualization of TFIID binding to different promoters in the presence and absence of regulatory factors.

**Human TFIID subunit architecture**

Our cryo-EM studies described above enabled the detection of the complex conformational mixtures present in human TFIID samples, and, through initial image classification strategies, allowed the partitioning of the images into two main states for 3D reconstruction. However, the resolution of those cryo-EM reconstructions was very limited due to the fact that in reality there is a continuum of states that were still being mixed. We recently obtained significantly better resolution for the cryo-EM structure of TFIID engaged with DNA thanks to an improved experimental strategy, and higher quality cryo-EM images that then allowed for more sophisticated image analysis.

In order to reduce the conformational complexity of the TFIID samples, we purified DNA-bound complexes using a strategy we previously developed in our studies of the human TBP-based transcription PIC. We biotinylated the SCP and bound it to streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. This allowed us to incubate with excess TFIID and TFIIA, then wash the excess protein and purify the DNA-bound complexes via release from the beads using a restriction enzyme. This strategy eliminated canonical states. The sample was mildly cross-linked and imaged using a direct detector. The latter improved both contrast and resolution in our images. Data processing using maximum likelihood principles within the RELION software allowed us to remove images of damaged complexes and to systematically deal with regions that were more flexible. As a result, it was ultimately possible to identify all the protein components involved in DNA binding within TFIID’s A and C lobes.

While most of lobe A remains very flexible, we could assign a subregion – lobe A1 – at one end of the DNA density, to the TBP–TFIIA–TATA subcomplex by fitting the available crystal structure (Fig. 2) (notice that the rest of lobe A, referred to as lobe A2 (Fig. 1 left, yellow region), remains very flexible, even in the DNA-bound state, and had to be “masked out” for any further analysis). Accurate positioning of the TATA box within lobe A1 then permitted placement of the other core promoter elements in the SCP (Fig. 2). The subnanometer resolution we obtained for lobe C allowed us to assign the protein regions

![Figure 2. Structure of the promoter-bound core of TFIID.](image-url)
contacting the DPE and MTE elements by fitting of the TAF1–TAF7 crystal structure35 and a homology model of the aminopeptidase-like domain of TAF2. Most of the remaining lobe C corresponds to a tandem of two HEAT repeats that were assigned to this region of TAF6 by docking of a corresponding crystal structure29 (Fig. 2). More tentatively, based on biochemical evidence and the docking of a large \( \alpha \)-helix, the region connecting one of the TAF6 copies with TAF2 was assigned to a segment of TAF8 important for the assembly of TFIID.33

The recent cryo-EM results just described have localized over one-third of the TFIID structure expected to be structured (regions predicted to be disordered abound within TFIID), but a large number of TAFs are still unaccounted for and must correspond to lobe B and the remaining lobe A. Future studies with improved resolution are needed in order to identify these remaining components and describe in more detail the structural rearrangements of TFIID.

**An emerging model of the TFIID-based PIC and larger assemblies**

Parallel cryo-EM efforts have recently aimed to describe the structure of the human and budding yeast PIC,11,21,23,12,27 where TFIID had been substituted by TBP in an effort to simplify a very molecularly complex assembly. For the human system, we have been able to combine our structure of TFIID–TFIIA–DNA with that of the TBP-based PIC to arrive at a synthetic model of a full, TFIID-based PIC.20 The two cryo-EM structures show remarkable shape complementarity (Fig. 3). The DNA, as it is being held by TFIID, is presented to the Pol II cleft, while lobe B is positioned next to TFIIF and TFIIE, and lobe C is proximal to TFIIH and the Pol II jaws. Small regions of overlap are indicative of a required conformational change in TFIID upon Pol II recruitment, a process that has previously been detected using time-course footprinting analysis.36 Release of the downstream elements by the lobe C of TFIID while TBP remains engaged with the rest of the PIC is likely to be part of that change. Once again, the plasticity of TFIID may play an essential role in this stage of the initiation process.

While efficient complex generation will be challenging, cryo-EM may allow us in the future to directly visualize the complete transcriptional preinitiation complexes containing full TFIID, as well as regulatory factors that affect its assembly, recruitment and function.

![Figure 3. Model of the TFIID-based PIC. Superposition of the common elements in the TBP-based PIC (upper left) and the TFIID–IIA–DNA complex (lower left). Superposition of TBP, TFIIA and promoter DNA (shown in ribbon representation) result in a synthetic structural model for the TFIID-based PIC (right). The coloring scheme for the PIC components is shown on the bottom, with the TFIID subunits colored similarly as in Fig. 2.](image-url)
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