Photochemical internalization and gemcitabine combined with first-line chemotherapy in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: observations in three patients
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ABSTRACT
Photochemical internalization (PCI) is a technology to induce a localized, intracellular enhancement of therapeutics that are processed through endosomal pathways, including gemcitabine in malignant cells. In addition to a direct phototoxic and tumoricidal effect, PCI specifically disrupts endosomal membranes and, thereby, the compartmentalization of certain cytotoxic compounds to enhance a drug’s intended intracellular target reach within the tissue treated. Non-resectable extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (eCCA) is a common primary tumor and gemcitabine/cisplatin chemotherapy is widely considered standard of care for it. PCI is well suited as an endoscopic intervention, and clinical observations in three subjects participating in a phase I/IIa dose escalation safety trial are described. The trial included patients with perihilar, non-resectable CCA suitable for standard-of-care chemotherapy. Per protocol, a single endoscopic PCI procedure with gemcitabine was conducted at the initiation of standard gemcitabine/cisplatin therapy. Sixteen patients enrolled in the initial dose escalation phase of the trial, which later was extended to explore the safety of a second PCI procedure during chemotherapy.

While limited to a case series, the various clinical observations described here serve to illustrate the effects of localized, perihilar tumor targeting in appropriate patients by any safe methodology, including PCI. As previously indicated by clinical data using other localized treatment modalities, adding a directed, tumor-targeting treatment to systemic therapy to ameliorate the progressively expanding extrahepatic tumor burden can have important effects on the overall outcome of systemic treatment in many patients who have incurable eCCA.

Introduction
Perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is an adenocarcinoma with variable histological characteristics arising from the proximal portion of the common bile duct, including the main left or right hepatic ducts or their confluence. Surgical resection is the only curative option, but because early symptoms are infrequent, most patients present with obstructive jaundice or cholangitis on the basis of a primarily non-resectable, advanced-stage tumor and an expected survival of 6 to 12 months [1,2]. Standard of care is biliary drainage and systemic therapy, most often gemcitabine/cisplatin chemotherapy, resulting in a median overall survival of almost 12 months [3].
Based on recent developments in endoscopic interventions, various local tumor-targeting treatment modalities are used in specialized centers today. The common goal is to achieve tumor control and patency of the main bile ducts, as the consequences of biliary obstruction are a leading cause of both morbidity and mortality in this malignancy. Techniques include local ablation and embolization, brachytherapy, radiofrequency ablation and, significantly, photodynamic therapy (PDT).

PDT has a favorable safety profile and is a viable option for unresectable tumors and has been used as targeted, locoregional therapy for over two decades in CCA. PDT induces reactive oxygen species generation, leading to cell death and microvasculature damage, and it induces an inflammatory reaction locally that can translate to traceable systemic immune effects [4]. In several smaller trials, PDT has been shown to prolong survival in patients with non-resectable CCA and improve quality of life.

Many therapeutic compounds are entrapped in endosomes and further processed there without reaching their intracellular targets within the cytosol or the nucleus. Photochemical Internalization (PCI) is a photochemical technology in clinical development in which a photochemical reaction is used to enhance the effect of such drugs by increasing their intracellular availability and facilitating their ability to reach the target [5]. A specific photosensitizer (TPCS2a, fimaporfin) administered systemically initially localizes to the outside of the cell membrane and thereafter translocates to reside inside the endosomal membrane by endocytosis (Fig. 1a). Laser illumination (652 nm) then induces a photochemical disruption of endocytic vesicles, dispersing its contents within cells, and also has PDT-like effects (Fig. 1b).

The clinical efficacy of PCI was initially demonstrated in a phase I trial of PCI with bleomycin in patients with head and neck cancer [6]. Studies in vivo and in vitro (PCI Biotech, manuscript in preparation) showed that PCI strongly enhances the effect of the gemcitabine in cancer cells. The specific cellular uptake of gemcitabine are deranged in malignant cells, in which the drug is processed via endosomal pathways. Like PDT, PCI can be applied to extrahepatic CCA (eCCA) by use of an optic fiber for endoscopic illumination and localized therapy after systemic administration of the photosensitizer fimaporfin. A phase I dose escalation trial (yet to be published) in 16 patients with non-resectable perihilar CCA was conducted using PCI with gemcitabine on a single occasion at the initiation of standard systemic therapy with gemcitabine/cisplatin for up to eight cycles, as in standard of care. The trial was extended (N = 7) to evaluate the safety of adding a second PCI procedure after four of the eight chemotherapy cycles. Here, the author’s present three cases from this dose escalation trial (yet to be published) in patients with unresectable, perihilar CCA to illustrate clinical observations made in response to the treatment.

Fig. 1 Photochemical internalization (PCI) mode of action. a After administration of the photosensitizer fimaporfin, due to its amphiphilic properties, it is incorporated in the cell membrane. After endocytosis, the molecule stays localized within the endosomal membrane. Upon illumination (652 nM), reactive oxygen species are instantly formed, disrupting the endosome membrane, which allows for the escape into the cytosol of a variety of compounds, including a number of chemotherapeutic drugs. b PCI reaction effect under microscopy, showing disbursement of the Alexa488-marked ovalbumin in vitro (Source: PCI Biotech; Photo: Dr Pål Kristian Selbo).
Patients and methods

PCI procedure

The patients underwent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) 4 days after intravenous administration of fimeaporfirin, and 4 hours after gemcitabine infusion at standard dose on the day of PCI treatment. After removal of previously placed stents within the tumor area and under fluoroscopic guidance, a fiber-optic catheter with two radio-opaque markers delineating the cylindrical diffuser (light emitting) at its tip was advanced through the tumor surrounding the bile duct and retracted to an optimal position for illumination. A laser connected to the catheter was then activated to deliver the monochromatic (652 nm) light for approximately 5 minutes.

Case reports

Case 1

In October 2014, a 61-year-old male patient was diagnosed with unresectable perihilar CCA without metastatic or lymph node involvement. He was eligible for first-line standard gemcitabine/cisplatin chemotherapy and enrolled in the PCI dose escalation trial. In December 2014, he received PCI with fimeaporfirin at the lowest dose investigated in the trial (0.06 mg/kg) followed by gemcitabine and illumination at 30 J/cm, which he tolerated well. Subsequently, he completed eight cycles of gemcitabine/cisplatin as per study protocol with two treatment cycle delays due to cholangitis and neutropenia episodes. After 3 months, the patient’s tumor biomarkers levels were decreased (►Table 1) and computed tomography (CT) scans demonstrated stable disease (SD) per the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST) criteria until study end at Month 6.

In August 2016, a routine stent-exchange ERCP detected an elongation of the man’s hilar stricture. CT scan confirmed progression with extraductal tumor expansion. At the patient’s request, with all study measurements finalized and the study sponsor’s consent, a second PCI treatment was conducted in September 2016, 20.5 months after the first treatment. The highest study dose, by then the recommended phase II dose (0.25 mg/kg fimeaporfirin, light dose 30 J/cm), was used. No adverse events occurred, except an unplanned stent exchange for cholangitis in October 2016. The patient’s disease was stable for another 22 months until July 2018, when tumor progression at the hepatic hilum and peritoneal spreading were detected. The patient received five cycles of gemcitabine/oxaliplatin before October 2018 and died the next month of cholangitis. His left-sided stent was exchanged, while the right-sided internal stent had to be replaced with percutaneous cholangiodrainage. The patient’s cholangitis quickly resolved and 4 weeks later, the percutaneous cholangiodrainage was removed and replaced with two internal stents again. The patient received all eight cycles of gemcitabine/cisplatin as per protocol.

At 3 months, an MRI demonstrated SD of the perihilar target lesion, and he was in partial remission in November 2016 at the end of the study, with decreased cancer antigen (CA) 19–9 levels (►Table 1). After the active study period, ERCPs were performed every 8 to 12 weeks for stent exchange. One episode of cholangitis occurred in November 2017. In December 2018 a metal stent was inserted. In January 2019, the patient’s CA19–9 levels again were highly elevated and on MRI, disease progression with metastatic hepatic and peritoneal lesions was detected. Reintroduction of gemcitabine/cisplatin in April 2019 stabilized his disease, as reflected in MRI and CA19–9 levels. Chemotherapy was continued until July 2019, at which point the patient’s Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was still 0 and his SD was confirmed radiologically. The patient was alive as of April 2020, but deteriorating with progressive disease.

Case 2

In January 2016, a 47-year-old male patient was diagnosed with perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (Bismuth Type IV) without radiologically metastatic disease or lymph node involvement. An explorative laparotomy showed peritoneal carcinomatosis, but as the man was otherwise healthy with functioning stents in both hepatic main ducts, he was enrolled in the PCI trial. Treatment was performed in March 2016 with fimeaporfirin at 0.25 mg/kg, followed by gemcitabine and illumination at 30 J/cm. After the procedure, the patient had right-sided abdominal pain necessitating treatment with opioids, which resolved completely within 2 days. Six weeks after PCI treatment, he was readmitted and hospitalized with an episode of cholangitis. His left-sided stent was exchanged, while the right-sided internal stent had to be replaced with percutaneous cholangiodrainage. The patient’s cholangitis quickly resolved and 4 weeks later, the percutaneous cholangiodrainage was removed and replaced with two internal stents again. The patient received all eight cycles of gemcitabine/cisplatin as per protocol.

After a confirmatory cholangioscopy 1 week later (►Fig. 2d and Patient 3, ►Video 1) the patient’s ducts were left without drainage, with no signs of obstruction. Prescheduled ERCPs revealed a moderate stenosis recurrence, which led to reintroduction of biliary endoprosthesis 5 weeks after the balloon ex-
|                | Case 1                  | Case 2                  | Case 3                  |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gender         | M                       | M                       | M                       |
| Age            | 61                      | 47                      | 68                      |
| Lesion location| Perihilar: Bismuth IIIb  | Perihilar: Bismuth IV   | Perihilar: Bismuth IIIa |
| TNM stage      | T4N0M0                  | TxNxM1                  | T2BN0M0                 |
| Tumor marker   | CA 19–9                 | CEA                     | CA 19–9                 |
| Baseline       | 67.8                    | 1.2                     | 1898                    |
| 3 months       | 19.9                    | 1.1                     | 608                     |
| Study end: 6 months | 22.5                  | 0.8                     | 136                     |
| Follow-up (month: result) | 9 m: 18.5               | 9 m: 1.6                 | 134                     |
|                | 21 m: 112.7             | 21 m: 1.4                | NR                      |
|                | 25 m: 105.8             | 25 m: 1.9                | NR                      |
|                | 30 m: 67.2              | 30 m: 1.2                | 25 m: 4766              |
|                | 39 m: 315               | 39 m: 2.5                | 37 m: 3329              |
|                | 44 m: 662.9             | 44 m: 2.4                | 49 m: >10000            |
|                | 46 m: 160.3             |                         |                         |
| Chemo cycles on study: |                       |                         |                         |
| Gemcitabine    | 1000 mg/m²: 6 750 mg/m²: 2 | 1000 mg/m²: 7           | 1000 mg/m²: 3           |
| Cisplatin      | 25 mg/m²: 8             | 750 mg/m²: 1            | 25 mg/m²: 3             |
| Therapies after study inclusion (months) | Month 21: PCI with gemcitabine 1000 mg/m² | Months 35–42: gemcitabine/cisplatin | No |
| PCI treatment(s) | 1st                     | 2nd (off study)²        | 1st                     |
| Light dose     | 30 J/cm                 | 30 J/cm                 | N/A                     |
| Fimaporfin dose| 0.06 mg/kg              | 0.25 mg/kg              | 0.25 mg/kg              |
| RECIST outcomes at 3/6 months | SD/SD                   | SD/PR                   | PR/SD                   |
| Survival, months from study inclusion | 47                      | 50 (alive; April 2020)  | 14                      |
| No. stent exchanges |                       |                         |                         |
| Planned        | 10                      | 17                      | 4                       |
| Unplanned      | 4                       | 1                       | 4                       |
| Serious adverse events on study (no., severity) | Cholangitis: 1 (grade 3) | Cholangitis: 1 (grade 3) | Cholangitis: 3: (2 grade 3, 1 grade 2) |
| SAE related to PCI | No                      | No                      | 1 of 3 (see Case 3)     |

CA, cancer antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; NR, not recorded; N/A, not applicable; PCI, photochemical internalization; RECIST, Response evaluation criteria in solid tumors; SD, stable disease; PR, partial response; SAE, serious adverse event.

1. CA19–9 (U/mL, highest normal reference among centers < 37), CEA (ng/mL, highest reference < 3.8).

2. A second compassionate-use PCI procedure was conducted 21 months after the PCI conducted in the study.
traction. MRI at 6 months demonstrated SD of the target lesion (15.7 mm × 14.7 mm) around the CBD, and while this local treatment effect persisted, an unscheduled CT scan later revealed ascites and disseminated peritoneal spread. After two PCI procedures and three chemotherapy cycles, the patient died in April 2019, 17 months after the diagnosis of an unresectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma.

Discussion

Unresectable perihilar CCA has a dismal prognosis, with an average survival of less than 12 months after diagnosis when treated with standard-of-care gemcitabine/cisplatin chemotherapy [3]. Locoregional treatments, most notably PDT, have been encouraging in halting disease progression when compared with biliary drainage alone. However, solid prospective data are limited on the combination of PDT with chemotherapy [4].
PCI provides a dual mechanism of action by combining the direct phototoxic, PDT-like effects with facilitation of the intracellular target reach of several cytotoxic agents [5]. In the patients presented herein with unresectable, perihilar CCA treated with PCI with gemcitabine combined with standard-of-care gemcitabine/cisplatin therapy, the clinical findings indicate that local tumor control was achieved. All of them had SD or partial remissions during the 6 months of the trial. The patients in Cases 1 and 2 both were treated with a single PCI procedure followed by eight standard chemotherapy cycles and they had progression-free survival of 17 and 27 months, respectively. In the patient in Case 1, a second “ad hoc” PCI treatment on biliary tumor progression at 20 months from treatment initiation seemed to induce an additional period of local tumor stabilization with a survival of 49 months from diagnosis. In Case 3, the patient’s intraductal biliary stenosis was visually completely ablated by the treatment, and re-stenosis at follow-up was modest.

In Case 2, peritoneal spread was present. PCI and gemcitabine/cisplatin resulted in disease stabilization of the primary tumor, but also of metastatic sites in the peritoneal cavity. Systemic, distal (a.k.a. abscopal effect) responses to localized treatment have been reported with other combination regimens involving cryotherapy, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy, and also described after PDT [4, 7]. A similar immunological response mechanism induced by PCI treatment may possibly have influenced survival in this patient.

Two independent, recently published retrospective analyses found survival benefits by adding PDT to systemic chemotherapy in eCCA compared with chemotherapy alone [8, 9]. Wu et al [8] not only found PDT to significantly improve 5-year overall survival compared with systemic treatment alone, but also that PDT as the only treatment provided had survival similar to chemotherapy treatment. In the dataset by Gonzalez-Carmona et al [9], PDT alone resulted in a higher median survival than chemotherapy alone (15 months vs 10 months). PDT was a significant, independent predictor of prolonged survival on multivariate analysis, interestingly most prominently in metastatic disease treated with PDT combined with chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone, and in further support of systemic effects from local therapies added to systemic.

This case series is limited to three subjects in a cohort of 23 patients included in the phase I/II PCI trial. Accordingly, it has no bearing on the overall safety and efficacy profile of PCI in eCCA and is not intended to construe the compiled trial data and conclusions, yet to be published. However, the clinical findings in these subjects may indicate that local tumor control was induced by a single gemcitabine infusion combined with PCI, reducing the pace of the otherwise difficult-to-treat hilar tumor progression and following liver failure. These observations are aligned with data in the literature suggesting that adding targeted, extrahepatic disease treatment may provide for better standard-of-care treatment results if more widely used, or rather, systematically incorporated as an adjunct to systemic therapies. Irrespective of systemic treatment, progression of the perihilar tumor growth is a hallmark and key factor for morbidity. Furthermore, the observations, such as in Case 1, indicate the potential applicability of PCI in palliative treatment.

Conclusion

Treatment of locally advanced perihilar CCA with PCI followed by gemcitabine/cisplatin represents a treatment modality with a potential to prolong local tumor control, which needs to be verified. If so, PCI with gemcitabine may support the adherence to and completion of systemic treatment regimens and possibly induce immune response sporadically. A global randomized trial to investigate the role of PCI combined with gemcitabine/ cisplatin in the treatment of unresectable perihilar and distal CCA has now been initiated.
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