Effect of two types of muscle contraction training on muscle thickness, strength, and delayed onset of muscle soreness in persons with chronic stroke
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Objective: The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of eccentric contraction training (ECT) and concentric contraction training (CCT) on the muscle thickness (MT), muscle strength (MS) and delayed onset of muscle soreness (DOMS) of the lower extremities in persons with chronic stroke.

Design: Randomized controlled trial.

Methods: Thirty persons with chronic stroke were randomly assigned to the ECT or the CCT group. The ECT was performed in a specially designed system of eccentric contraction of both legs and, the CCT was performed using a traditional stepper system for concentric contraction of both legs. The training was performed for 30 min/times, 3 times/wk for 6 weeks. Rehabilitation ultrasound imaging was used to measure MT of the vastus medialis/lateralis (VL), and soleus (SOL), a digital muscle tester was used to measure MS, and a visual analog scale was used to assess DOMS.

Results: In the ECT group, MT was significantly improved except for SOL resting (\(p<0.01\)). In the CCT group, the MT was significantly improved except for VL contraction (\(p<0.05\)). The MS was significantly improved in both groups, especially in the ECT group (\(p<0.01\)). In the ECT group, muscle soreness was highest in the first week after training but gradually decreased, and in the CCT group, it was highest in the second week of training but gradually decreased (\(p<0.01\)).

Conclusions: ECT can improve lower limb MT, MS, and DOMS of chronic stroke survivors. Therefore, it is recommended that ECT be used in the rehabilitation of persons with chronic stroke.
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Introduction

Skeletal muscle contraction can be categorized into concentric or eccentric contraction.

In exercise physiology, the mechanism of muscle contraction occurs according to the cross-bridge theory based on the sliding filament theory. This theory entails small contractile units (sarcomeres), which cause muscle tension. Sarcomeres are composed of thick myosin filaments and thin actin filaments. Myosin attaches to actin proteins which attract each other, shortening the sarcomeres, resulting in shortening of muscles, and generating tension \([1,2]\). Contraction of this kind in which the muscle is shortened and tension is generated is referred to as a concentric contraction \([2]\).

Eccentric contraction, on the other hand, refers to the contraction that occurs when the muscle is stretched because the external force is greater than the tension produced by the muscle \([2]\). In other words, eccentric contraction is a contraction in which the sarcomere is stretched. Here, the myosin moves away from the actin protein, lengthening the sarcomere, thereby generating tension \([2]\). Thus, Lindstedt et
al. [3] defined eccentric contraction as ‘negative work’ because the muscle generates tension with lengthening.

The characteristics of eccentric contraction compared to concentric contraction are as follows: (1) Eccentric contraction generates large muscle tension due to decreased rate of actin-myosin cross-bridge detachment [1]. (2) It generates large tension but uses less energy. In general, a molecule of adenosine tri-phosphate (ATP) is utilized during concentric contraction for cross-bridge separation. However, during eccentric contraction, the cross-bridges are forcibly separated due to stretching of the muscle fibers, resulting in less ATP consumption [4]. (3) It produces a cross-education effect. This means that if eccentric contraction training (ECT) is performed on a healthy uninjured limb, the training effect is also seen on the injured limb [5,6]. (4) Stroke patients have more retentive eccentric contraction ability [7]. Therefore, ECT can be a more effective training method than concentric contraction training (CCT) for persons with chronic stroke with hemiplegic symptoms and decreased strength.

However, despite these advantages, studies on traditional muscle training for rehabilitation of stroke survivors have focused on CCT. One reason cited for this is that eccentric contraction causes delayed onset of muscle soreness (DOMS) [8,9]. Overstretching due to eccentric contraction does not allow for overlap between myosin and actin filaments, thereby exceeding the allowable capacity for connective tissue and other proteins in the sarcomere, and as a result, repetitive eccentric loads can damage muscle cells and cause DOMS [10]. Therefore, ECT has been mainly focused on sports rehabilitation [11,12].

Nevertheless, there has been recent increases in cases where ECT has been used for the rehabilitation of chronic stroke patients. In the study by Fernandez-Gonzalo et al. [13], 32 subjects with chronic stroke participated in eccentric overload flywheel resistance training for 12 weeks with results showing significantly improved balance and gait performance in the training group. In the study by Park et al. [14], 30 chronic stroke participants undertook ECT at different velocities for 8 weeks with results showing improved muscle activation and static balance (limits of stability) at low velocities.

However, these studies focused only on functional performance abilities such as balance and gait after muscle contraction training, and there are few studies focusing on muscle characteristics [13,14]. Even if the characteristics of muscle had been analyzed, they were analyzed only by electrical signals from the skin surface, such as surface electromyography [14]. Therefore, there have been no studies on the effects of ECT and CCT on the muscle architecture and characteristics of persons affected by stroke. Due to limited analyses, it is difficult to objectively explain the the direct effects of ECT and CCT on muscles.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate the effects of the 2 types of muscle contraction training on muscle thickness (MT), muscle strength (MS), and DOMS in persons with chronic stroke.

Methods

Participants

Thirty chronic stroke survivors (22 men, 8 women) hospitalized at Saeall Rehabilitation Hospital were recruited for this study. To minimize errors with group allocations, 30 notes have been labeled as either the ‘ECT group’ or the ‘CCT group’ and have been mixed together in a box with no internal view. Participants were blinded to allocation and were divided into two groups by drawing lots. All the allocations were performed by a physical therapist who was not involved in the study. The selected participants were divided by drawing lots into 2 groups, the ECT group (n=15) and the CCT group (n=15). The ECT group consisted of 11 men and 4 women (age mean±standard deviation [SD], 53.47±6.78 years) and the CCT group consisted of 11 men and 4 women (age mean±SD, 55.50±5.82 years). The exclusion criteria for these post-stroke hemiparesis groups were: (1) heart disease or uncontrolled hypertension; (2) hip, knee or ankle surgery within the past year; (3) visual impairments, visual field deficits or hearing impairments; and (4) participation in a recent similar study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sahmyook University in Seoul (IRB No. 2-7001793-AB-N-012018046HR). Participants provided signed consent after receiving verbal and written information about the study.

Procedures

A specially designed training system (Eccentron; Baltimore Therapeutic Equipment, Hanover, MD, USA) was used to perform ECT (Figure 1A) [15]. The knee extensor and ankle plantar flexor muscles were trained using ECT by negatively resisting the Eccentron pedals which are cross-driven at equal speeds using the leg muscles. The intensity of training was determined using dosing tests performed every 2 weeks. The dosing test was conducted to set
the optimal intensity for each participant. After measuring the maximum eccentric MS of both lower limbs, 50% of the second maximum value for the weak (paralyzed) limb was taken as the training intensity [16]. In this study, the speed of training was set based on the findings of a previous study that showed low speed training (18-23 revolution per minute, RPM) was more effective than high speed training (26-40 RPM) for chronic stroke survivors [17].

We used a training system (StepOne; Scifit Systems Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) similar to ECT in posture and motion to perform CCT (Figure 1B) [18]. The patient performed the training by pedaling, utilizing muscle force generated by the legs, and the training intensity was automatically adjusted to a previously set RPM. This CCT recruits the knee extensors and the ankle plantar flexors. The training speed was set at the same RPM as for the ECT.

The participants who provided their written consent performed the training 30 minutes/time 3 times/week for 6 weeks. During the training, 2 professional physiotherapists constantly monitored the participants and were prepared for any emergencies. A pre-test was conducted 1 week before the start of training, and a post-test was conducted within 1 week of completing the 6-week training. The evaluation assessed MT, MS, and DOMS of the lower extremity muscles and was performed 3 times for each variable. The average value was used as the final measurement value.

**Outcome measures**

**Muscle thickness**

A mobile rehabilitation ultrasound imaging system (RUSI; MySono U5, Samsung Medison, Seoul, Korea) was used to evaluate MT. The frequency modulation range of the RUSI was 47-63 Hz, and the gain was fixed and applied to all images in the same way. Imaging using RUSI was performed using a linear probe in B-mode with a generous amount of ultrasonic gel applied on the skin and the probe moved over the gel with minimal pressure, perpendicular to the skin surface.

For MT measurements, the vastus medialis (VMO), vastus lateralis (VL), and soleus (SOL) were selected. To assess the VMO, participant laid supine on a bed and an imaginary line was drawn from the anterior superior iliac spine to the center of the patellar. An imaginary line was also drawn parallel to the top of the patellar and the ultrasound probe was placed at the intersection of the 2 lines. The angle of the ultrasound probe was adjusted until it was parallel to the VMO fascicles [19,20]. The VMO MT was measured as the vertical distance at a position 2 cm from the vertex (Figure 2A). To assess the VL, an imaginary line was drawn between the greater trochanter of the femur and the lateral condyle of the tibia, and the ultrasound probe was placed at the intersection of the 2 lines. The angle of the ultrasound probe was adjusted until it was parallel to the VMO fascicles [19,20]. The VMO MT was measured as the vertical distance at a position 2 cm from the vertex (Figure 2A). To assess the VL, an imaginary line was drawn between the greater trochanter of the femur and the lateral condyle of the tibia, and the ultrasound probe was placed at the midpoint of the line [21-23]. The VL MT, taken as the vertical distance between the superior and inferior aponeuroses, was measured (Figure 2B). To assess the SOL, the participant laid prone on a bed and an imaginary line was drawn connecting the popliteal fossa and
calcaneus. The ultrasound probe was moved laterally from the midpoint of the line to approximately the upper 20% point of the line [24,25]. The SOL MT was taken as the vertical distance between the middle and inferior aponeuroses below the gastrocnemius (Figure 2C).

Measurements were taken in the resting and contracted states of the muscle. The intra- and inter-reliabilities of the RUSI were $r=0.98-1.0$ and $r=0.93-0.98$, respectively [26].

**Muscle strength**

A digital MS tester (Commander Power track II; J-Tech medical, Salt Lake City, UT, USA) was used to evaluate lower extremity MS. A digital MS tester can measure strengths up to 56.7 kg. After 3 measurements, the data measured with coefficient of variation values within 15% were used. To measure the strength of the knee extensors (VMO and VL), the participant was instructed to sit on a chair and perform knee extension (KE) with maximum force with the pressure plate of the digital MS tester placed on the anterior aspect of the ankle. To measure the strength of the ankle plantar flexor (SOL), the participant was instructed to perform ankle plantar flexion (APF) with maximum force with the pressure plate of the digital MS tester placed on the forefoot [27]. The intra- and inter-reliabilities of the digital MS test were $r=0.92$ and $r=0.98$, respectively [28].

**DOMS**

At the end of every week of training, the levels of muscle soreness experienced by the participants were recorded using the visual analog scale (VAS). In general, DOMS analyzes creatine kinase or myoglobin levels in the blood through blood sampling [29,30]. However, Komulainen et al. [31] and Sorichter et al. [32] claimed that the activity of creatine kinase and the concentration of myoglobin in the blood were less relevant to muscle damage, and Manfredi et al. [33] reported that muscle damage in the lower limb was caused after eccentric contraction training, but there was no significant difference in the activity of creatine kinase.

---

**Figure 2.** The position of the ultrasound probe and the position of the muscle thickness measurement from the ultrasound image. (A) Vastus medialis (VMO), (B) vastus lateralis (VL), (C) soleus (SOL). VMO: vastus medialis, MT: muscle thickness, GCM: gastrocnemius.
Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagram showing flow of participants through each stage of this randomized trial. Participants were screened and randomly assigned to groups. VAS: visual analog scale.

Moreover, Lavender and Nosaka [34] argued that since muscle damage can affect the performance of daily living activities, it is important to consider symptoms such as muscle pain, and therefore, DOMS was quantitatively analyzed through the VAS [34]. Therefore, this study has analyzed DOMS with VAS referring to the previous studies. The before-training VAS value was set as the baseline (value of zero). VAS was rated on a scale of 1 to 10 with 1 signifying no pain and 10 signifying very severe pain [34,35].

To prepare for emergencies that may occur during the measurement, one physiotherapist was available and ready. The measurements were performed at the end of treatment in an empty room to minimize measurement errors that may originate from the surrounding complex environment.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean and SD) for all outcome measures were calculated. The socio-demographic characteristics of the participants were tested using the t-test and chi-square test. Paired t-test was performed for pre- and post-tests, and the independent t-test was performed to analyze the difference between the groups. The repeated measures analysis of variances was used to compare differences in DOMS over time. The effect sizes were calculated using Cohen’s d. All analyzes were performed using PASW Statistics ver. 18.0 software (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) and a significance level of 0.05 was adopted.

Results

General characteristics of the ECT and CCT groups

All 30 participants completed all tests (pre- and post-tests) and interventions (Figure 3). The characteristics of the 2 groups of participants are listed in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of baseline characteristics and values at the beginning of the study (p<0.05).

Muscle thickness

The MT was measured at resting and contraction states of the muscles. The VMO and VL MT of the ECT group showed significant improvements (p<0.01) at rest and during contraction. The SOL MT showed significant improvements (p<0.01) only during contraction. The VMO and SOL MT of the CCT group showed significant improvements (p<0.05) at rest and during contraction. The VL MT showed significant improvements (p<0.01) only at rest. Taken together, significant differences (p<0.01) and large effect sizes (Cohen’s d>1.0) were found in both groups only in MT during VMO and VL contractions (Table 2).
**Table 1.** Characterization of the sample by groups (ECT and CCT) (N=30)

| Characteristic                  | ECT (n=15) | CCT (n=15) | \( \chi^2 / t (p) \) | Cohen’s d |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Sex                            |            |            |                       |          |
| Male/female                    | 11/4 (73.3/26.7) | 11/4 (73.3/26.7) | 0.000 (1.000)          | -        |
| Paralyzed side                 |            |            |                       |          |
| Left/right                     | 6/9 (40.0/60.0) | 8/7 (53.3/46.7) | 0.536 (0.715)          | -        |
| Cause of onset                 |            |            |                       |          |
| Infarction/hemorrhage          | 9/6 (60.0/40.0) | 7/8 (46.7/53.3) | 0.536 (0.715)          | -        |
| Age (y)                        | 53.20 (7.14) | 56.33 (4.94) | -1.397 (0.173)         | 0.502    |
| Height (cm)                    | 167.40 (7.51) | 170.73 (6.09) | -1.335 (0.193)         | 0.481    |
| Weight (kg)                    | 64.73 (8.31) | 67.53 (7.89) | -0.946 (0.352)         | 0.346    |
| Duration (mo)                  | 24.20 (9.72) | 23.13 (8.48) | 0.342 (0.735)          | 0.126    |
| MMSE-K score                   | 26.00 (1.00) | 25.67 (0.98) | 0.924 (0.363)          | 0.335    |
| Muscle thickness (cm)          |            |            |                       |          |
| VMO rest                       | 0.55 (0.06) | 0.56 (0.09) | -0.275 (0.785)         | 0.102    |
| VMO contraction                | 0.75 (0.10) | 0.77 (0.07) | -0.452 (0.655)         | 0.167    |
| VL rest                        | 0.92 (0.08) | 0.94 (0.08) | -0.694 (0.493)         | 0.255    |
| VL contraction                 | 1.16 (0.07) | 1.19 (0.08) | -1.230 (0.229)         | 0.446    |
| SOL rest                       | 1.07 (0.07) | 1.06 (0.78) | 0.357 (0.723)          | 0.133    |
| SOL contraction                | 1.16 (0.09) | 1.15 (0.08) | 0.191 (0.850)          | 0.071    |
| Muscle strength                |            |            |                       |          |
| KE                             | 10.33 (2.26) | 10.27 (1.75) | 0.090 (0.929)          | 0.030    |
| APF                            | 8.40 (1.06) | 8.80 (1.21) | -0.966 (0.342)         | 0.353    |

Values are presented as n (%) or mean (SD).

ECT: eccentric contraction training, CCT: concentric contraction training, MMSE-K: Mini Mental State Examination-Korean, VMO: vastus medialis, VL: vastus lateralis, SOL: soleus, KE: knee extensor power, APF: ankle plantar flexor power.

**Muscle strength**

The MS was assessed by measuring KE and APF strengths. The KE and APF strengths of the ECT group showed significant improvements \((p<0.01)\). The KE and APF strengths of the CCT group showed significant improvements \((p<0.01)\). Taken together, the KE and APF strengths of both groups showed significant differences \((p<0.01)\) and large effect sizes (Cohen’s d >0.9) (Table 3).

**DOMS**

Muscle soreness was similar in the ECT and CCT groups but was significantly lower in the ECT group. Figure 4 shows changes in muscle soreness after training. ECT muscle soreness peaked in the first week after training and gradually decreased thereafter. In contrast, CCT muscle soreness peaked in the second week after training and then decreased gradually.

**Discussion**

In this study, chronic stroke participants performed 2 types of muscle contraction training for the evaluation of MT, MS, and DOMS. The study results revealed significant increases in MT and MS with reduced DOMS in the ECT group compared to the CCT group.

In this study, we observed that the MT of the knee extensors (VMO and VL) and ankle plantar flexor (SOL) increased significantly after the 2 types of muscle contraction training, with particularly more MT increase noted in the ECT than in CCT group. This result is different from those of previous studies. Cadore et al. [36] reported a similar increase after 6 weeks of ECT and CCT in the VL MT of twenty-two healthy adults. In the study by Norrbrand et al. [37], 15 healthy adults performed ECT and CCT for 5 weeks and MT increases of the quadriceps in the ECT group was reported, though the increase was not significant. Both studies examined healthy participants but our study was different from previous studies in that they targeted chronic stroke patients with selective atrophy of type II fibers due to changes in the fiber properties of paralyzed muscles [38]. In addition, metabolic damage of myosin and actin protein and sarcocmere degeneration cause decrease of muscle mass and MT [39]. It has been reported, however, that ECT increases mus-
### Table 2. Mean (1 SD) values of muscle thickness and results for statistical comparisons (N=30)

| Measure       | ECT (n=15)       | CCT (n=15)       | t (p)       | Cohen’s d |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|
| **VMO MT (cm)** |                  |                  |             |           |
| Resting       |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 0.55 (0.06)      | 0.56 (0.09)      |             |           |
| Post          | 0.76 (0.22)      | 0.70 (0.17)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.21 (0.23)     | −0.14 (0.15)     | 0.853 (0.401) | 0.313     |
| t (p)         | −3.448 (0.004)** | −3.675 (0.002)** |             |           |
| Contraction   |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 0.76 (0.10)      | 0.77 (0.07)      |             |           |
| Post          | 1.25 (0.31)      | 0.94 (0.09)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.49 (0.32)     | −0.18 (0.11)     | 3.784 (0.001)** | 1.144     |
| t (p)         | −5.913 (<0.001)** | −6.339 (<0.001)** |             |           |
| **VL MT (cm)** |                  |                  |             |           |
| Resting       |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 0.93 (0.07)      | 0.94 (0.07)      |             |           |
| Post          | 1.11 (0.17)      | 1.04 (0.13)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.18 (0.17)     | −0.10 (0.13)     | 1.222 (0.232) | 0.443     |
| t (p)         | −4.026 (0.001)** | −3.117 (0.008)** |             |           |
| Contraction   |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 1.16 (0.07)      | 1.19 (0.08)      |             |           |
| Post          | 1.38 (0.14)      | 1.22 (0.07)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.23 (0.15)     | −0.05 (0.13)     | 4.031 (<0.001)** | 1.192     |
| t (p)         | −5.639 (<0.001)** | −0.920 (0.373)   |             |           |
| **SOL MT (cm)** |                 |                  |             |           |
| Resting       |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 1.06 (0.07)      | 1.06 (0.08)      |             |           |
| Post          | 1.16 (0.18)      | 1.16 (0.13)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.11 (0.20)     | −0.10 (0.15)     | 0.092 (0.927) | 0.035     |
| t (p)         | −2.063 (0.058)   | −2.621 (0.020)*  |             |           |
| Contraction   |                  |                  |             |           |
| Pre           | 1.15 (0.08)      | 1.15 (0.08)      |             |           |
| Post          | 1.40 (0.22)      | 1.32 (0.09)      |             |           |
| Difference    | −0.26 (0.25)     | −0.17 (0.13)     | 1.319 (0.198) | 0.476     |
| t (p)         | −3.913 (0.002)** | −4.981 (<0.001)** |             |           |

Values are presented as mean (SD).
ECT: eccentric contraction training, CCT: concentric contraction training, VMO: vastus medialis, MT: muscle thickness, VL: vastus lateralis, SOL: soleus.
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01.

The muscle proportions and sizes of type II fibers [36,40,41]. It was also reported that slow-velocity ECT, when performed by those with chronic stroke, increases the binding force between myosin and actin and induces simultaneous contraction of type I and type II muscle fibers [17]. Therefore, it is considered that the low-velocity ECT used in this study significantly increased the MT in chronic stroke participants. Increase in MT can be considered as occurring with a corresponding increase in MS.

The MS was significantly increased after training in both groups, with greater increases observed in the ECT group than in the CCT group. The greater MS observed in the ECT group can be explained by the following theories: (1) ECT causes enhanced neural stimulation within the muscle as it increases the stretch of muscle spindles. The muscle spindle stretch, which stimulates the nerves that transmit neural impulses to the muscle, in turn increases the concentric contraction force of the muscle [42]; (2) ECT has been reported to generate greater force during concentric contraction by storing elastic energy in muscle fibers and tendons [43]; (3) It has been reported that ECT leads to muscle hypertrophy, especially of type II muscle fibers, which increases the con-
Table 3. Mean (1 SD) values of muscle strength and results for statistical comparisons (N=30)

| Measures       | ECT (n=15) | CCT (n=15) | t (p)       | Cohen’s d |
|----------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| KE strength (kg) |            |            |             |           |
| Pre            | 10.33 (2.26) | 10.27 (1.75) |             |           |
| Post           | 19.13 (2.64) | 15.67 (1.84) |             |           |
| Difference     | −8.80 (2.04) | −5.40 (2.47) | 4.171 (<0.001)* | 1.215    |
| t (p)          | −16.687 (<0.001)* | −8.458 (<0.001)* |       |           |
| APF strength (kg) |            |            |             |           |
| Pre            | 8.40 (1.06)  | 8.80 (1.21)  |             |           |
| Post           | 12.60 (1.06) | 11.20 (1.61) |             |           |
| Difference     | −4.20 (0.41) | −2.40 (1.12) | 2.813 (0.009)* | 0.923    |
| t (p)          | −39.287 (<0.001)* | −8.290 (<0.001)* |       |           |

Values are presented as mean (SD).
ECT: eccentric contraction training, CCT: concentric contraction training, KE: knee extension, APF: ankle plantar flexion.
*p<0.01.

Figure 4. Graph of VAS measurement results of eccentric contraction training group and concentric contraction training group after 6 weeks of intervention. VAS: visual analog scale, ECT: eccentric contraction training, CCT: concentric contraction training.

centric contraction force [40]. In this study, we could not experimentally demonstrate the enhanced nerve stimulation nor increases in stored elastic energy. However, MT increase was confirmed with the RUSI. Therefore, it is considered that ECT produces greater KE and APF strengths than CCT due to MT increases caused by increased type II fiber size.

It is generally known that DOMS is more likely to occur during eccentric contraction. Bubbico and Kravitz [2] showed that overstretching during eccentric contraction causes excessive release of calcium ions from the sarcoplasmic reticulum which contribute to DOMS by disrupting muscle voltage regulating sensors. Furthermore, overstretching due to eccentric contraction does not cause overlap between myosin and actin filaments but results in excess allowable capacity of connective tissue and other proteins in the sarcomere. Eventually, repetitive eccentric loads damage muscle cells and lead to DOMS [10]. ECT at a strength close to maximum resistance is also known to induce DOMS [2]. In this study however, ECT produced a significantly lower VAS than CCT. This is considered to be due to the ECT range and intensity. In this study, the ECT range was between 15 and 75 degrees of knee joint angle [15]. The training intensity was determined using a dosing test performed every 2 weeks and based on the strength of the paralyzed lower limb. The training posture can prevent overstretching and appropriate training intensity can lower DOMS. This is similar to the findings of an earlier study by Lavender and Nosaka [34] where elderly and young adult participants performed ECT for 6 weeks with the elderly subjects reporting less DOMS than the young adults. The researchers explained that the elderly participants had less DOMS because their range of motion while performing ECT was slightly less than that of the young adults. The researchers further explained that the lesser DOMS was also because ECT was performed at submaximal intensities. CCT can be performed at a similar range as ECT, but as dosing tests are not used in CCT, optimal training intensity cannot be determined for each participant. This means that there is a possibility that the CCT intensities in this study were not ideal. In this study, it is considered that the relatively high DOMS recorded in the CCT group was due to this unstable MS.

This study had the following limitations: (1) only muscle-related properties were considered as dependent
variables. It is recommended that future studies investigate the effects of ECT through functional evaluation; (2) there was a necessity to study related variables through analysis of the correlation between dependent variables; (3) more participants should be recruited in future studies to better represent characteristics of the contraction training types for chronic stroke survivors.

In this study, 6 weeks of ECT for persons with chronic stroke showed significantly greater improvements in MT, MS, and decreased DOMS compared to CCT. Therefore, ECT is more effective in the rehabilitation of chronic stroke survivors than CCT.
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