1. Introduction

Pošepnýite is a new Cu–Sb–Hg–Se mineral of the tetrahedrite group. It is a part of a complex selenide assemblage at the abandoned Příbram uranium and base-metal ore district, central Bohemia, Czech Republic. It was found in material from mine dump of the shaft No. 16 Háje. Shaft No. 16 mined the Bytíz, Jerusalem and Háje deposits, especially in the middle and deep parts. The Bytíz deposit was the most important deposit within the Příbram uranium and base-metal district; it produced more than 52% of the uranium from the whole district. The Příbram uranium and base-metal district is the largest vein-type uranium deposit in the Czech Republic (Litochleb et al. 2003, 2004).

The new mineral and the name were approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2018-121a). The cotype material (two polished sections) is deposited in the Mineralogical Collection of the Department of Mineralogy and Petrology of the National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic (catalogue number P1P 15/2015) and in the Mineralogical Collection of the Mining Museum Příbram, Příbram, Czech Republic, under the catalogue number 1/2016.

Frantíšek Pošepný (30. 3. 1836–27. 3. 1895) was born in Jilemnice (nowadays in the Czech Republic). After graduating from Příbram Mining College, he worked as a mining trainee while studying at the Imperial Geological Institute in Vienna. In 1870, he was appointed to the position of chief geologist for Hungary and conducted research on the Slovak deposits. Four years later, he returned to Vienna and served as vice-chancellor at the Institute for Geology and Natural Resources Management, University of Copenhagen, Østervolgade 10, DK-1350, Copenhagen K, Denmark.

Keywords: pošepnýite, new mineral, tetrahedrite group, crystal structure, uranium deposit, Příbram

Received: 20 April 2020; accepted: 3 August 2020; handling editor: F. Laufek

The online version of this article (doi: 10.3190/jgeosci.308) contains supplementary electronic material.
former Ministry of Agriculture. In addition to his research in Austria, he visited various deposits in Nevada and California. In 1879 he returned to Příbram, where he headed the School of Economic Geology, and during this period he continued his research around the Příbram district.

He is considered to be a founder of modern economic geology. His most famous work is „The Genesis of Ore Deposits“ (Pošepný 1893). He published about 100 papers especially devoted to gold deposits. The name "Pošepnyt" was used in the past for a poorly defined hydrocarbon from San Francisco (Bayliss 2000), lastly, in 1939.

2. Occurrence

The Příbram ore area (central Bohemia, Czech Republic) is known for deposits of base-metals as well as for uranium ores. It can be divided into two main ore districts: the Březové Hory base-metal ore district and the complex Příbram uranium and base-metal district. The latter represents the most significant accumulation of vein-type hydrothermal U-ores in the Czech Republic and world-class deposits of this type. The hydrothermal U mineralization of late Variscan age is related to a 1–2 km wide and almost 25 km long zone formed by a strongly tectonized series of Upper Proterozoic rocks following the contact with granitoids of the Permo-Carboniferous Central Bohemian Plutonic Complex.

The Příbram uranium and base-metal district can be subdivided into several ore deposits (also termed ore nodes) – among them the most important were Bytíz, Háje and Brod (Ettler et al. 2010). In this ore district, there are four main mineralization stages (1) siderite–sulfidic; (2) calcite; (3) calcite-uraninite; (4) calcite–sulfidic. Selenide mineralization occurs in close association with uraninite of the calcite–uraninite mineralization stage, but selenides are always younger than uraninite. It is uncertain whether the selenides at Příbram formed at the end of the calcite–uraninite stage or the

Fig. 1a: The grain of pošepnyt (light grey) partly replaced by younger Hg-hakite (medium grey), hakite is partly replaced by the youngest Zn-tetrahedrite (dark grey); chemical inhomogeneity of hakite and tetrahedrite is caused primarily by SeS$_2$ substitution. The oldest white inclusions comprise tiemannite and clausthalite. BSE photo; b: the same grain in reflected light.
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beginning of the following calcite–sulfidic stage. The age of the uranium mineralization obtained by U-Pb radiometric age determination of two uraninite samples is middle Permian, 275 ± 4 and 278 ± 4 Ma (Anderson 1987).

The first selenide discovered there, clausthalite, was mentioned by Růžička (1986). Subsequently, additional eight selenides were found during a systematic study of specimens housed in the National Museum (Prague) by Litochleb et al. (2004). Since 2005, the first author (PŠ) collected a large set of samples containing selenides in the dump material of shafts #16, #11A, and #9. The retrieved material contained a rich selenide assemblage (Škácha and Sejkora 2007; Škácha 2015; Škácha et al. 2009, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017a, 2017b, 2018; Sejkora et al. 2017), including the new mineral, here described pošepnýite.

One hand-sized sample with pošepnýte was found in mine dump material of shaft #16 (49°40'41" N, 14°3'38" E), located in the Háje deposit. Shaft #16 exploited mainly the middle and deeper parts of the vein system of the deposits Háje, Bytíz and Jerusalem (from 500 m down to a depth of 1800 m below the surface).

The majority of the selenide-bearing material probably came from the ore deposit Bytíz. The Se–U mineralization is of low-temperature hydrothermal origin and is confined to calcite veins with a thickness varying from tens of centimeters to several meters. The principal ore is represented by uraninite, while younger pyrobitumen predominates in deeper parts of the deposit.

Pošepnýte forms microscopic grains in the close association with antimonselite, dzharkenite, ferroselite, příbramite, tiemannite, hakite-(Hg), tetrahedrite-(Zn) and uraninite in a calcite gangue.

3. Physical and optical properties

Pošepnýte forms idiomorphic to hypidiomorphic grains up to 100 µm in size (Fig. 1). The mineral is steel grey and is opaque in transmitted light; it has a metallic luster. No cleavage nor fracture was observed. The calculated density (Z = 2) for the empirical formula is 6.23 g/cm³. Mohs hardness is assumed to be 3.5–4 by analogy with minerals of the tetrahedrite group. In reflected light, pošepnýte is light brown; pleochroism, anisotropy and internal reflections were not observed. Reflectance percentages (air) for the four COM wavelengths (λ) for pošepnýte from Příbram are: 35.3 (470 nm), 34.8 (546 nm), 34.9 (589 nm) and 34.9 (650 nm). The full set of reflectance data (spectrophotometer MSP400 Tidas at Leica microscope, objective 50×, WTiC standard in the air) is given in Tab. 1 and Fig. 2.

4. Chemical composition

Chemical analyses (n = 62) were performed using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe (National Museum,
Tab. 2 Chemical data for pošepnýite

| Constituent | wt. % | Range | SD  | Probe standard (line) |
|-------------|-------|-------|-----|-----------------------|
| Ag          | 1.21  | 0.77–1.53 | 0.17 | Ag \(L_α\) |
| Cu          | 14.95 | 11.94–19.29 | 1.80 | CuFe\(S\) \(K_α^2\) |
| Hg          | 27.74 | 23.15–30.94 | 2.12 | HgTe \(M_4\) |
| Fe          | 0.03  | 0.00–0.27  | 0.06 | Fe\(S\) \(K_α\) |
| Cd          | 0.12  | 0.00–0.40  | 0.10 | CdTe \(L_α\) |
| Ti          | 0.03  | 0.00–0.36  | 0.08 | Ti\(Br\) \(L_α\) |
| Zn          | 0.08  | 0.00–0.39  | 0.08 | ZnS \(K_α\) |
| Sb          | 19.06 | 17.72–20.60 | 0.61 | Sb\(S\)_2 \(L_α\) |
| As          | 0.37  | 0.00–0.84  | 0.29 | NiAs \(L_α\) |
| Se          | 34.51 | 31.48–36.12 | 0.83 | PbS \(L_α\) |
| S           | 1.66  | 0.83–2.70  | 0.44 | Fe\(S\) \(K_α\) |

Total 99.74

SD – standard deviation

Tab. 3 Representative set of analyses for pošepnýite (in wt. %)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| Ag | 1.27 | 1.25 | 1.30 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.43 | 1.53 | 1.20 | 1.26 | 1.52 | 1.25 |
| Cu | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| Cd | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| Ti | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| Zn | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Hg | 30.33 | 29.94 | 29.85 | 29.76 | 28.74 | 28.70 | 28.59 | 28.12 | 24.70 | 27.69 | 27.31 | 23.97 | 23.61 |
| Cu | 13.52 | 13.21 | 14.10 | 14.22 | 15.30 | 13.56 | 13.09 | 13.66 | 18.14 | 15.56 | 15.45 | 18.17 | 18.27 |
| Sb | 18.94 | 18.48 | 19.13 | 18.94 | 18.98 | 18.22 | 17.88 | 18.15 | 18.15 | 19.56 | 19.80 | 19.52 | 19.66 |
| As | 0.46 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| Se | 34.61 | 35.97 | 34.45 | 34.34 | 34.93 | 35.93 | 35.74 | 35.17 | 33.91 | 34.55 | 33.77 | 33.93 | 35.46 |
| S  | 1.39 | 1.28 | 1.57 | 1.51 | 1.80 | 1.26 | 1.15 | 1.21 | 1.29 | 1.83 | 2.18 | 2.33 | 1.81 |

Total 100.67 101.07 101.07 101.38 102.00 99.93 98.84 98.73 100.93 100.68 100.40 100.37 100.87 100.97

Tab. 3 Chemical data for pošepnýite

Prague) operating in wavelength-dispersive mode (25 kV, 20 nA and 2 μm wide beam). The following standards and X-ray lines were used to minimize line overlap: Ag \(\text{AgL}_α\), Au \(\text{AuM}_{α}\), Bi \(\text{BiM}_{β}\), CdTe \(\text{CdL}_α\), Co \(\text{CoK}_α\), chalcopyrite \(\text{CuK}_α\), Fe\(S\) \(\text{FeK}_α\), \(\text{SK}_α\), HgTe \(\text{HgM}_α\), NiAs \(\text{NiK}_α\), \(\text{AsL}_α\), PbS \(\text{PbM}_α\), PbSe \(\text{SeL}_α\), PbTe \(\text{TeL}_α\), Sb\(S\)_2 \(\text{SbL}_α\), Tl(Br) \(\text{TlL}_α\) and ZnS \(\text{ZnK}_α\). Peak counting times were 20 s for all elements and one half of the peak time for each background. Other elements, such as Au, Bi, Cd, Co, Ni, Pb, Te, Tl, and Zn were found to be below the detection limits (0.02–0.05 wt. %). Raw intensities were converted to the concentrations of elements using the automatic „PAP“ (Pouchou and Pichoir 1985) matrix-correction software. Analytical data are given in Tab. 2, and representative analyses covering all variations of Hg contents are given in Tab. 3.
Pošepnýite, a new member of the tetrahedrite group crystal structure. A small deficit against the ideal value can be explained by analytical uncertainty, also seen in many published tetrahedrite analyses (Repstock et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018).

Vacancies are not very common in minerals of the tetrahedrite group but have been confirmed through structural studies (e.g., Maske and Skinner 1971; Makovicky and Skinner 1979; Rozhdestvenskaya et al. 1993; Makovicky et al. 2005; Welch et al. 2018). The chemical variability encompasses anions too, with the substitution of S by Se, or with the occurrence of vacancies (Biagioni et al. 2020a).

The results of the chemical study of pošepnýite, as well as the crystal structure study, indicate that it is a solid solution which can be expressed by the ideal formula (Cu$^{3+}$)$_x$□$_{3–x}$Σ6(Hg$^{4–}$)$_x$(Cu$^{2+}$)$_x$Σ6Sb$^4$(Se$^{12.5}$□$^{0.5}$)Σ13 with $x$ restricted to the range 0 ≤ $x$ < 2; results of the

The general structural formula of the tetrahedrite group minerals can be best defined as $M^2D_4A_6B_{4}C_{2}X_3D_4Y^{12}Z_{32}$ (Biagioni et al. 2020a). Pošepnýite is the first member of the tetrahedrite group with the content of divalent metal (Hg) significantly higher than 2 apfu. The Hg contents were found in the range 2.73–4.10 apfu (Fig. 3) and total contents of divalent metals in the range 2.75–4.23 apfu, respectively (Fig. 4). The observed low contents of Cu (+Ag) 5.02–7.50 apfu indicate the presence of vacancies in the triangular A position in the range 1.73–3.06 pfu (Fig. 5), which was also confirmed by the results of our single-crystal study (see below). The presence of vacancies also enables the charge balancing of the pošepnýite formula. Published structural studies confirmed the possibility of the presence of vacancies in the triangular A site due to the heterovalent substitution Te$^{4+}$–Sb$^{3+}$ in goldfieldite (Moëlo et al. 2008).

Concerning the anions, the minor contents of S (0.67–2.02 apfu) correlate negatively with Hg contents (Fig. 6), but the determined range of SSE$_1$ substitution in pošepnýite is distinctly more limited than in the coexisting Hg-hakite (up to 4.03 apfu S, Škácha et al. 2017b). The observed total occupation of (Y + Z) positions 12.12 (Se + S) apfu (range 11.28–12.75) is below, but close to, the ideal value of 12.5 apfu (Fig. 7) which is required by charge balance and confirmed by our study of the

Fig. 3 Graph Cu + Ag (apfu) vs. Hg (apfu) for pošepnýite.

Fig. 4 Graph Cu + Ag (apfu) vs. Hg + Fe + Zn + Cd (apfu) for pošepnýite.
chemical study indicate a range from –0.09 to 1.27. The empirical formula of pošepnýite, based on an average of 62 electron-microprobe analyses, is (Cu\textsubscript{3.33}Ag\textsubscript{0.28})\textsubscript{Σ3.61} (Hg\textsubscript{3.43}Cu\textsubscript{2.50}Zn\textsubscript{0.03}Cd\textsubscript{0.03}Fe\textsubscript{0.01})\textsubscript{Σ2.58} (Sb\textsubscript{3.88}As\textsubscript{0.12})\textsubscript{Σ4.00} (Se\textsubscript{10.83}S\textsubscript{1.29})\textsubscript{Σ12.12}. The ideal formula is (Cu\textsubscript{3+}x□\textsubscript{3–}x)\textsubscript{Σ6} (Hg\textsubscript{2+}4–xHg\textsuperscript{2+}Cu\textsuperscript{2+}x)\textsubscript{Σ6} S\textsubscript{4} (Se\textsubscript{12.5}□\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{Σ13}, 0 ≤ x << 2, which requires Cu 12.25, Hg 30.93, Sb 18.77 and Se 38.05, total 100.00 wt. % (for x = 0) or Cu 17.65, Hg 23.87, Sb 19.32, Se 39.16, total 100.00 wt. % (for x = 1).

5. Crystal structure study

The first investigations, which determined relation of the crystal structure of pošepnýite to other minerals of the tetrahedrite group, were carried out by the electron back-scatter diffraction (EBSD) method (Škácha et al. 2017b). A zoned aggregate of this mineral overgrown by hakite-(Hg) and tetrahedrite-(Zn) (Fig. 1) was selected for the EBSD study. The fit of all three sets of EBSD data from the studied aggregate not only supports them having the tetrahedrite type of structure, but also the identical crystallographic orientation of all three phases (Škácha et al. 2017b). Close relations between the crystal structure of pošepnýite and hakite-(Hg) were also confirmed by the results of Raman spectroscopy (Škácha et al. 2017b).

The fragment for single-crystal diffraction study was hand-picked from a polished section of an aggregate pre-analyzed utilizing electron microprobe (Fig. 8); this aggregate was free of other members of tetrahedrite group. Dimensions of the investigated fragment were 0.022–0.012 mm, approximating when corrected for absorption to a sphere with a diameter equal to 0.02 mm. The absorption coefficient μ is 43.90 mm\textsuperscript{–1}. Diffraction data were obtained on a Rigaku SuperNova single-crystal diffractometer equipped with Atlas S2 CCD detector and microfocus X-ray tube using MoKα radiation. Other details about the data-collection are given in Tab. 4. Crystal structure determination is based on 334 independent reflections, with 270 of them above the threshold \( I > 3\sigma(I) \). Refinement (on \( F^2 \), with anisotropic displacement parameters) was concluded at

![Graph Hg (apfu) vs. S (apfu) for pošepnýite.](image)
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the $R$-value of 0.0510 ($wR$ 0.1083) for the above selected reflections, \textit{i.e.}, at $R$ equal to 0.0681 ($wR$ 0.1141) for all reflections, 18 refined parameters and 6 constraints. Refined atom coordinates and anisotropic displacement parameters for pošepnýite are given in Tabs 5 and 6, and selected interatomic distances are given in Tab. 7. The following unit-cell data for space group $I-43m$ were obtained: \textit{a} 10.9636(11) Å, and \textit{V} 1317.8(2) Å$^3$ (Tab. 4). The paucity of pošepnýite did not allow us to collect powder X-ray diffraction data. Therefore, calculated powder diffraction data are given in Tab. 8. The CIF file, also containing a block with the reflections, is deposited at the Journal’s webpage www.jgeosci.org.

6. Description of crystal structure

As in the crystal structure of (ideal) tetrahedrite, the cubic structure of pošepnýite is a tetrahedral framework with cavities and a part of the tetrahedra replaced by SbSe$_3$ coordination pyramids (Fig. 9). It has one type of pyramidal Sb position, one type of tetrahedrally coordinated cation position $M_1$ occupied by (Hg, Cu), and one type of partly vacant triangular cation site $M_2$ (Tab. 5–7). The main anion site Se1 participates in all of these cation coordination polyhedra, and there is a minority anion ‘Se2’ tying the six coordination triangles of Cu2 in the cavity of the tetrahedral framework into one cluster. In tetrahedrite, the triangular sites are $M^+$ (Cu and Ag), Sb (and As) are $M^{3+}$, which dictates the valence of the tetrahedrally coordinated site to be a mixed one, $2/3 M^+$ (4 atoms) and $1/3 M^{2+}$ (2 atoms). This is preferably achieved by partial substitution of tetrahedrally coordinated Cu by a divalent metal, and Fe, Zn, and Hg are the preferred cations. Formal Cu$^{2+}$ is avoided whenever they are available. Thus, ideal hakite-(Hg) is Cu$_6$Cu$_4$Hg$_2$Sb$_4$Se$_{13}$ (e.g., Škácha et al. 2016). It is

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig7.png}
\caption{Graph Se (apfu) vs. S (apfu) for pošepnýite; solid line represents Se + S = 12.5; dashed line Se + S = 13.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig8.png}
\caption{BSE photo of pošepnýite (P) used for the SXRD (left grain). The association is represented by antimonselite (A), příbramite (Pt) and tiemannite (tiny white grains).}
\end{figure}
interesting to compare the \( a \) value of pošepnýite with that of hakite-(Hg) from the same locality, equal to 10.878 Å (Škácha et al. 2016). This hakite-(Hg) has 1.61 of hakite-(Hg) from the same locality, equal to 10.878 Å interesting to compare the

In respect to the ideal tetrahedrite model, pošepnýite is a variant with a defect tetrahedrite-like structure so that the structural constraints present in tetrahedrite are not valid for pošepnýite. Our structural refinement indicates a substantial amount of cation vacancies in the triangular cation \( M2 \) site of pošepnýite. Thus, the tetrahedral cation site was refined as Cu and Hg in mutual substitution, and with full resulting occupancy, the latter needed for the preservation of structural stability. The Sb site is fully occupied as well, and the small amount of Ag indicated by chemical analysis was ascribed to the copper-occupied triangular \( M2 \) sites, in agreement with the results of numerous studies of the tetrahedrite-tennantite group (e.g., Riley 1974; Sack and Loucks 1985; Lynch 1989; Biagioni et al. 2020a). These assignments avoid any problems of Se1 anions without sufficient cation neighbors, which would lead to a structure collapse. The tetrahedral Hg1 and Cu1 cations are in the same special position (Tab. 5). Their initial atomic proportions were approximated using the refined typical bond-length to the tetrahedral ligands. This was followed by a free refinement, constrained to a combined full occupancy of the site. Cu2 and Ag2 in the triangular sites were refined with the same geometric coordinates, with the ratio given by the chemical analysis because the total occupancy of the \( M2 \) site was a refined parameter. Anisotropic displacement parameters (ADPs) were refined as common for the two cations in each of these two sets.

The problem outstanding in the chemical analyses is the occupancy of the Se2 site. The Cu2/Ag2-Se2 distance suggests that the Se2 site can either be a mixed (Se, S) site, or there is some vacancy. A more plausible explanation seems to be that the vacancy is present at the
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Se2 site rather than at the Se1 site, taking into account the significant displacement parameter of Cu2/Ag2 site atoms coordinated by “empty” Se2 (having large Ueq itself). While considering a vacancy present at the Se2 site (Se2 occupancy ~60%), the Ueq value slightly decreases to 0.06 Å² (from about 0.09 Å²). This agrees with the coordination point of view because four (Cu, Ag)2 atoms can hardly be expected to maintain their M2 positions with a central Se2 anchoring them only in about 50% of cases. The Ueq value refined for Cu2 looks very plausible, typical for a tetrahedrite-like structure. Structure refinement cannot further improve this situation because of correlations between occupancy, ADP, and an unknown Se/S ratio in the Se2 site.

The refined (Cu,Hg)1–Se1 distance is 2.532 Å, whereas the four SbSe4 pyramids facing into the framework cavity have the Sb–Se1 bond length equal to 2.553 Å. This creates quite a regular structure framework. The distance Sb–Se2, in the direction of the lone electron pair of Sb2 is equal to 4.463 Å, whereas those to six Se1 atoms on the surface of the cavity fan out in a flat manner, all equal to 4.239 Å.

The U value refined for Cu2 looks very plausible, typical for a tetrahedrite-like structure. When their highly anisotropic displacement ellipsoid is not counted, the (Cu, Ag)2 atoms are at 2.383 Å from the two Se1 atoms and at 2.239 Å from the average position of the (Se,S)2 atom. Without ADPs, the three ’static’ Sb–(Cu, Ag)2 distances from each Sb atom are 3.661 Å (the angle between them is 51.24°), the adjacent Cu2 positions across the cavity are 3.167 Å apart. With the ADPs taken into account, the (Cu, Ag)2 positions in two adjacent wings of the ’propeller’ are 3.12 Å apart. The split of one Cu2 site, expressing its elevated ADP value perpendicular to its coordination triangle, is 0.08 Å. It might be mentioned that the preferred Cu–Cu distances in copper-rich sulfosalts are shorter, of the order of 2.7 Å (Makovicky 2006).

The (Hg, Cu)Se1 tetrahedra are not regular, the Se–Hg–Se angle being 110.80°. The same is true for the coordination triangle of Cu2, the Se1–Cu2–Se1 angle being 93.97° and the Se1–Cu2–Se2 angle 133.01°. The angle comprised of two short Sb–S bonds is 93.66°. All these values are typical for the tetrahedrite-type structures (Foit and Hughes 2004; Karanović et al. 2003, and references therein).

The chemical formula, Cu6.67Hg2.922Ag12.576Se40.42O6Sb4Se51.2576, was obtained from the results of the structure refinement. It indicates that the structurally investigated fragment is close to the copper-rich, low-vacancy limit of the solid solution Cu4.(Hg−x Cu2−x)2×Sb4Se4−2x.SbSe12.5.

7. Relationship to known species

Pošepnýite is a new member of the tetrahedrite group with dominant divalent Hg in the tetrahedral M(1) site and a significant content of vacancies in the triangular M(2) site. Its chemical composition can be expressed by the ideal formula \((\text{Cu}^{2+}_{x} \text{Ag}^{2+}_{y} \text{Sb}^{3+}_{z} (\text{Hg}^{2+}_{x} \text{Ag}^{2+}_{y} \text{Se}^{4+}_{w} (\text{Se}^{2−}_{12.5−2x−y−z} \text{□}_{x}))_{215})\) with the x value restricted to the range 0 ≤ x <= 2; results of chemical studies indicate a range from −0.09 to 1.27. A comparison of crystallographic parameters and physical properties of pošepnýite and similar phases is shown in Tab. 9. The general structural formula of

| i | d_{calc} | h | k | l |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8.6 | 7.7524 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.4 | 5.4181 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.6 | 4.4759 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 8.6 | 3.8762 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 100.0 | 3.1649 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

*Only calculated lines with \(I \geq 1\) are shown.*
the tetrahedrite group minerals has been formulated as 
\[ M^{(2)}_6 A^{(1)}_6 (B^{(4)}_4 C^{(2)}_2) D^{(3)}_{12} X^{(3)}_{12} S(1) Y_{12} S(2) Z \] (Biagioni et al. 2020a).

Pošepnýite, however, has a unique \( B : C \) ratio, reaching \( M^{(1)}(B^{(2)}_2 C^{(4)}_4) \) at the Cu-poor end of the solid-solution. It is distinct from that of the general formula, and is different from the ratio displayed by the \textit{sensu-stricto} members of the tetrahedrite group. In the recent classification of the members of the tetrahedrite group (Biagioni et al. 2020a), pošepnýite will be classified as an unassigned member, similar to the position of goldfieldite.

Pošepnýite is identical with an unnamed Hg–Cu–Sb selenide from Příbram (Škácha et al. 2017b) and probably also with an unnamed mineral phase \((Cu,Hg)_{1-x}Sb_x(Se, S)\) (in the range 0.27–0.33), from Guizhou Province, China (Chen et al. 1995). The published chemical data on the later phase, however, show a large scatter, and homogeneity of the investigated material is in doubt. Pošepnýite is a member of Nickel–Strunz class 2.GB.05: Sulfides and Sulfosalts; Sulfarsenites, sulfantimonites, sulfbismuthites; Neso-sulfarsenites, etc. with additional S.

8. Discussion concerning the independence and place of pošepnýite among complex sulfides

In the current classification scheme, a natural group consists of isotypes to which usually several related homeotypes are added, \textit{i.e.}, structures with symmetry lowered by minor distortions, or by having some sites split into two or more subsites occupied by distinct species, and similar instances. Inclusion of homeotypes may be a weak point of definition, and sometimes inclusion of merotypes or even plesiotypes (Ferraris et al. 2004 and reprint of the book) may be needed, although it may quickly become questionable.

Moreover, the phylogenetic moment of zoo- and phytoclassifications is absent in mineral classification. Therefore, a mineral (a given structure) may be a member of more than one group according to what criteria are chosen – even the entire tetrahedrite group is a member of ‘sphalerite-derived’ structures and the ‘sodalite-principle’ structures, \textit{i.e.}, of two categories at a sufficiently high level of classification.

As stated above, pošepnýite has a general tetrahedrite-like structure, with a geometry of tetrahedrally coordinated cations, antimony, and cages analogous to that in the tetrahedrite-family compounds. The principal difference from the majority of tetrahedrite species is in the population of cages and their envelopes, and the charge compensation connected with it. Because of its outstanding unique character, the homeotypic pošepnýite is a member of the tetrahedrite group \textit{sensu-lato} but not a member of the tetrahedrite \textit{sensu-stricto} (sub)group. What is typical for members of the \textit{sensu-stricto} subgroup is the strictly maintained rule of maximally two divalent atoms pfu, \textit{i.e.}, maximally two divalent atoms \( Me^{2+} \) for \( Me^+ \) (including complications with \( Fe^{3+} \) along the substitution
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Stable configurations of triangularly coordinated Hg and Cu atoms in the cavity. Thus, a working subgroup of 'defect/modified tetrahedrites' (Biagioni et al. 2020b), the group of five isostructural phases: Hg-rich tetrahedrites sensu-stricto and Hg-rich tetrahedrites sensu-lato. The problem just outlined touches on the elegant currently developed classification of 'tetrahedrites' (Biagioni et al. 2020b). The inner half-pairs of (Hg, Cu), in other words, includes tetrahedrite-like structures, which represents an exciting structural and symmetrical refinement of the tetrahedrite structural principle. The problem just outlined touches on the elegant currently developed classification of 'tetrahedrites' (Biagioni et al. 2020b).

Tab. 9 Comparative data for the relevant minerals

| locality         | reference     | ideal composition                  | Hg wt. % | Hg at. % | symmetry | space group | a [Å]    | c [Å]    | V [Å³]   | Z  | strongest lines in XRD | powder | pattern |
|------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Příbram          | this paper    | Cu₃ₓ(Hgₓ,Cu₁₋ₓ)Sb₄Se₁₂.₅            | 27.74    | 13.32   | cubic    | I-43m      | 10.963(11)| 10.783(3) | 10.507(8) | 2  | 3.165/100*              | 2.930/24| 1.938/65 | 1.653/31 |
| Guizhou province | Chen et al. (1995) | Cu₃Cu₄Hg₁₀Sb₁₀Se₁₃          | 21.63 (17.18-25.50) | 9.81   | cubic?   | I-43m      | 13.80(3) | 13.15(3) | 17.68(3) | 2  | 1.940/100**             | 2.910/70| 1.925/90 | 1.639/80 |
| Predbořice       | Johan, Kváček (1971) | Cu₃Cu₄Hg₁₀Sb₁₀Se₁₃          | 6.25     | 5.56    | cubic    | I-43m      | 10.88(1) | 10.878(3) | 10.507(8) | 2  | 3.140/100**             | 2.908/8 | 1.923/6 | 1.640/10 |
| Skácha et al. (2016) | Příbram | Cu₃Cu₄Hg₁₀Sb₁₀Se₁₃          | 5.66     | 5.66    | cubic    | I-43m      | 13.90(2) | 13.90(2) | 13.90(2) | 3  | 3.035/100**             | 2.626/3 | 1.860/3 | 1.584/9 |
| Buca della Vena  | Biagioni et al. (2020b) | Cu₃Cu₄Hg₁₀Sb₁₀Se₁₃          | 12.00    | 12.00   | cubic    | I-43m      | 11.59(5) | 11.59(5) | 11.59(5) | 2  | 3.16/100**              | 1.929/90| 1.645/8 | 1.251/50 |
| Chauvai          | Spiridonov et al. (1981) | Cu₃Cu₄Hg₁₀Sb₁₀Se₁₃          | 32.73    | 32.73   | cubic    | I-43m      | 15.78(2) | 15.78(2) | 15.78(2) | 3  | 3.16/100**              | 1.929/90| 1.645/8 | 1.251/50 |

* – calculated from the structure data; ** – measured powder X-ray data
tetrahedral cations in the cage walls, and of their B/C ratio in the structure. We think so far nobody considered this aspect of tetrahedrite-like structures, although with the incorporation of large tetrahedra, like those of Hg, the spatial distribution of the new atomic species may be a problem, which must be solved for a Cu-based structure. Arranging two larger tetrahedra per every six tetrahedra or four larger tetrahedra per 6 tetrahedra (i.e., two smaller tetrahedra per 6 tetrahedra) are intuitively the two best solutions for placing Hg tetrahedra among Cu tetrahedra. Three larger and three smaller tetrahedra offer another combination – an alternating arrangement of the two types (sizes). The routhierite-type structures are examples of known tetrahedron ordering in tetrahedrite-like frameworks.

As a consequence, we consider cation ordering to be present and essential in pošepnýite. The pošepnýite solid-solution has one limit as ideal Cu,(Hg,Cu)SbSe_{12.5}, which represents the best tetrahedral solution as described above. Five Hg atoms plus one Cu atom per 6 tetrahedra would distort the ‘ring of tetrahedra’, and make structure building difficult. The opposing limit of the pošepnýite solid-solution starts at (ideally) Cu,(Hg,Cu)SbSe_{12.5}, which represents the best-fit accommodable 4-member ‘Cu propeller’ in the cavity and also secures the possibility of regular Cu–Hg alternation around each ring of six tetrahedra. A slight excess of Cu above the ideal value of four in the cavity, suggested by microprobe data, is more likely to accommodate than a deficit would be. There is a composition gap (confirmed by EPMA data) between hakite-(Hg) (which has a convenient arrangement of (2 Hg + 4 Cu) tetrahedra per 6 tetrahedra, and a ‘6-member propeller’) and pošepnýite with a four-member propeller and the Hg and Cu tetrahedra which are arranged otherwise. Both the different occupation schemes for the cavity and the contradiction of two dissimilar Cu–Hg arrangements in the tetrahedral framework cause the interval between hakite and pošepnýite to remain unoccupied.

Orientation variants of the local pošepnýite cation motifs will occur as local domains. Their orientation disorder will yield a bulk with cubic symmetry. In routhierite and relatives, such ordering extends over the entire structure. It gives a tetragonal result, which is conveniently connected with the fixed orientation of the Tl–Tl pair in the cavity (Fig. 34 in the overview by Makovicky 2018).

In this way, the compositional limits of pošepnýite appear to be connected with ordered accommodation of Hg and Cu tetrahedra and proper distribution/accommodation of configurations created by triangularly coordinated Cu. This does not agree with a simple extension of the hakite formula beyond the Cu : 2 Hg ratio in the tetrahedral site. Such an extension does not recognize the importance of the cation ordering aspect of the Hg-rich structure. Thus, the observed gaps and solid-solution have valid crystal-chemical reasons.

A hypothetical end-member with empty cages (vacant A sites) is unrealistic. Cage-like crystal structures are always built around something of appropriate size (and fitting charge) instead of growing by themselves and being only secondarily occupied. Such a structure would only be built around neutral molecules, in a way analogous to, e.g., melanophlogite; it would form a clathrate with the neutral molecules entering its chemical formula.
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