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ABSTRACT
This study aimed to manufacture particleboards from Casuarina cunninghamiana (C), Eucalyptus camaldulensis (E), and Pinus halepensis (P) trees irrigated with treated wastewater and their mixtures (E-C, E-P, and C-P) with 50%:50% for each individual raw material. Two urea formaldehyde resin levels (9% and 13%) were used. Modulus of rupture (MOR), modulus of elasticity (MOE), and internal bond strength (IB) as mechanical properties and physical properties (water absorption and thickness swelling) were determined. The C-P panels with 9% and 13% resin levels showed the best mechanical and water resistant performance. All the 13% panels satisfy the minimum requirement of the European standard for the MOE, MOR, and IB except for the MOE of (P) panels, which was very close to the recommended value. The mixtures improved the water absorption and thickness swelling compared with the other produced panels especially for the 13% resin content panels. The (E-C) with 9% resin content showed the lowest mechanical properties and water resistance values. The three furnish types and their mixtures showed its suitability for particleboard production using the board processing conditions specified in this study.
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INTRODUCTION
Egypt imports a large quantity of particleboard and oriented strand board (OSB). In 2018, the imported quantity of particleboard reached 47961 m³ versus 18016 m³ for production (FAO, 2020). This of course is due to the growing demands for wood-based panels. In general, the major problem that faces the particleboard production in Egypt is the lack of raw materials. Usually, low-density wood species are preferred in the particleboard industry where it provides high strength products due to the high compaction ratio (Xu et al., 2004).

The commercial production of the particleboard in Egypt is based on Eucalyptus and Casuarina wood species, in addition to some agricultural residues such as, flax shives and bagasse fragments. The genus Eucalyptus is native to Australia, this genus contains over 700 species (Doughty, 2000 and Hudson et al., 2015). According to (Coppen, 2003), the genus of Eucalyptus is a fast-growing tree; several tree species of this genus can be utilized effectively for lumber production and paper production.

In Egypt, Casuarina species are utilized for windbreaks and shelterbelts and its wood can be utilized for pulp and paper production (Kandeel et al., 1982). Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) is indigenous to the countries around the Mediterranean Sea (Gindel, 1944) and this species has the ability to withstand drought stress (Cortina et al., 2011). Several adhesives can be used for particleboard production such as urea formaldehyde, melamine formaldehyde, and melamine urea formaldehyde. From these, urea formaldehyde adhesive is the most common resin type that it is widely used around the world due to the low production costs (Ansell, 2015; Wang et al., 2018). It is worth mentioning that the particleboard industry is highly dependent on urea formaldehyde adhesives (Dinwoodie, 1978). In order to examine the quality of the produced particleboards some properties need to be determined, such as physical (density, water absorption and thickness swelling), mechanical (static bending properties (MOE and MOR) and internal bond strength), and chemical (volatile organic compounds and formaldehyde emissions) (Ansell, 2015).

Recently, there is a tendency to reuse treated wastewater efficiently instead of polluting the environment through its disposal. In Egypt, the awareness about reusing wastewater has been increased significantly during the last two decades through planting man-made forests with various tree species irrigated with treated wastewater.

In general, the major problem that faces the particleboard production in Egypt is the lack of the raw materials. The commercial production of the particleboard in Egypt is based on Eucalyptus and Casuarina wood species, in addition to some agricultural residues such as, flax shives and bagasse fragments. Regarding the shortage of raw materials used in particleboard production in Egypt, the fast growing tree
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species that irrigated with treated wastewater can play a major role in providing raw materials for the production of wood composites.

Lack of information on the performance of the particleboard produced using these raw materials with different production parameters limits the expansion in the commercial production of these panels with various characteristics. Therefore, this research aimed to investigate the feasibility of producing particleboards using three tree species irrigated with treated wastewater (Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehn., Casuarina cunninghamiana Miq., and Pinus halepensis Mill.). In addition, the mechanical behavior (modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, and internal bond strength) and physical properties (water absorption and thickness swelling) were evaluated.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this study, three tree genera (Casuarina cunninghamiana, Eucalyptus camaldulensis and Pinus halepensis) were chosen randomly from the Egyptian / Chinese Friendship Forest, Sadat City, Monoufia Governorate, Egypt. The trees were irrigated with treated wastewater and the age ranged from 15 to 16 years old.

The density of raw materials was determined based on oven-dried mass and green volume. The extractives content of wood were determined according to the (ASTM D1105 – 96, 2000).

Six kinds of single-layer particleboards were produced: (1) panels with 100% *E. camaldulensis* wood particles denoted as (E), (2) panels with 100% *C. cunninghamiana* denoted as (C), (3) panels with 100% *P. halepensis* wood particles denoted as (P), (4) panels with 50% *E. camaldulensis* and 50% *C. cunninghamiana* denoted as (E-C), (5) panels with 50% *E. camaldulensis* and 50% *P. halepensis* denoted as (E-P), and (6) panels with 50% *C. cunninghamiana* and 50% *P. halepensis* denoted as (C-P).

The particles size used for all board types was 20-40 mesh. Urea formaldehyde (50 % solid content) was used as a binder with two levels (9% and 13%) and 2% ammonium chloride (30% solid content) were added based on the oven-dried weight of particles. The target density of all the manufactured panels was 680 kg/m³ (corresponded to 10 mm thickness of finished boards). The pressure conditions were 2.5 N/mm² pressure and 160 °C for hot pressing temperature for 5 min pressing time.

In order to evaluate the quality of the produced panels, mechanical and physical tests were conducted. The mechanical performance of the produced panels was modulus of elasticity (MOE) modulus of rupture (MOR) according to the European standard (EN 310, 1993), and internal bond strength (IB) according to (EN 319, 1993). The physical tests were water absorption and thickness swelling after 2h and 24h water immersion (EN 317, 1993)

The experimental design used for the present study was complete randomized design (CRD). All data were subjected to analysis of variance tests (ANOVA test at 95% confidence level) to determine the differences among the determined characteristics. In addition, Fisher’s LSD method was used to compare all possible pairs of means.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The basic density values of the three raw materials used for the particleboard manufacturing in this study were presented in (Figure 1). The mean values were found to be 0.58, 0.53, and 0.46 kg/m³ for *E. camaldulensis, C. cunninghamiana*, and *P. halepensis*, respectively. The mean comparisons using the Fisher’s LSD method showed that the three density values were significantly different at 95 % probability level.

The mean values of total extractive content for the three raw materials are presented in (Figure 2). The values were found to be 9.26%, 4.32%, and 3.16% for *E. camaldulensis, C. cunninghamiana*, and *P. halepensis* respectively; it is clear that *E. camaldulensis* had the highest value. The Fisher’s LSD test showed that the three density values were significantly different at 95 % confidence level.

The mean values of the modulus of rupture (MOR), modulus of elasticity (MOE), and internal bond strength (IB) for the produced panels are presented in (Table 1).

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the mechanical properties tested in this study revealed that there were significant differences for the resin levels, furnish type and the interaction between resin levels and furnish type at 95% confidence level. Fisher’s LSD test was applied to compare the differences among the means of resin levels and furnish types (Table 1). The European standard (EN 312, 2005) recommends a minimum MOR value of 12.5 N/mm² for the particleboards manufactured for general-purpose use in dry conditions. All particleboards produced with 9 % and 13 % UF glue level satisfied the minimum requirement indicated by the European standard except the (P) and (E-C) panels with 9 % resin content.

Upon the obtained results, the (C-P) panels with 13 % urea formaldehyde (UF) resin content gave the highest MOR values compared to the other produced panels. The lowest MOR values were observed in (E-C) panels with 9 % resin content.
Fig. 1. The average values of density for the three wood species.

Fig. 2. The average values of total extractives content for the three wood species.

Table 1. Mean values of modulus of rupture (MOR), modulus of elasticity (MOE), and internal bond strength (IB) of the produced particleboards.

| Items                            | MOR                      | MOE                      | IB                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  | UF resin content (%)     | UF resin content (%)     | UF resin content (%)     |
|                                  | 9% 13%                   | 9% 13%                   | 9% 13%                   |
| C. cunninghamiana (C)             | 13.56<sup>ab</sup> 18.02<sup>bc</sup> 1376.3<sup>ab</sup> 2123.8<sup>b</sup> 0.94<sup>a</sup> 1.34<sup>a</sup> |
|                                  | (1.1) (1.74) (99.8) (80.32) (0.11) (0.11) |
| E. camaldulinsis (E)              | 12.98<sup>ab</sup> 19.38<sup>abc</sup> 1493.4<sup>a</sup> 2151.9<sup>ab</sup> 0.99<sup>a</sup> 1.37<sup>a</sup> |
|                                  | (0.71) (0.86) (94.4) (116.5) (0.09) (0.05) |
| P. halepensis (P)                 | 10.63<sup>cd</sup> 17.29<sup>c</sup> 1281.9<sup>b</sup> 1725.6<sup>c</sup> 0.68<sup>b</sup> 1.06<sup>b</sup> |
|                                  | (0.47) (1.73) (59) (66.67) (0.12) (0.05) |
| E-C                              | 9.46<sup>d</sup> 20.35<sup>ab</sup> 842.4<sup>c</sup> 2221.4<sup>ab</sup> 0.45<sup>c</sup> 1.40<sup>a</sup> |
|                                  | (0.71) (1.76) (83.3) (77.98) (0.12) (0.1) |
| E-P                              | 12.14<sup>bc</sup> 17.35<sup>c</sup> 1332.1<sup>b</sup> 1830.4<sup>c</sup> 0.93<sup>a</sup> 1.31<sup>a</sup> |
|                                  | (1.45) (0.56) (150.1) (63.71) (0.07) (0.2) |
| C-P                              | 14.23<sup>a</sup> 20.44<sup>a</sup> 1399.1<sup>ab</sup> 2282.5<sup>a</sup> 1.07<sup>a</sup> 1.45<sup>a</sup> |
|                                  | (1.11) (0.72) (91.2) (36.11) (0.06) (0.09) |

* Values in N/mm<sup>2</sup>; Means in the same column which do not share a common letter are significantly different at 95 % confidence level.
There were no significant differences between (C) and (E) particleboards in this study. Moreover, the MOR values for the mixtures except for the (E-C) panels with 9% resin level showed the ability to produce panels with acceptable ultimate breaking strength.

For modulus of elasticity (MOE), the (C-P) panels with 13 % UF resin content showed the highest value compared with the other produced panels. The lowest MOE value was observed in (E-C) panels with 9 % resin content. The European specifications (EN 312, 2005) recommends a minimum MOE value of 1800 N/mm² for particleboards for interior fittings (including furniture) for use in dry conditions. According to this study, all particleboards produced using 13 % UF glue satisfied the minimum conditions required by standards except for (P) panels which was very close to this value. On the other hand, almost particleboards produced with 9 % UF resin level does not satisfy the minimum requirement of the (EN 312, 2005). Generally, the boards having lower mechanical properties tested in this study can be used as insulating material in buildings, because such materials would not be subjected to any mechanical load.

The (C-P) panels with 13 % UF resin content gave the highest value for the internal bond strength (IB) in comparison with the other produced panels. The lowest IB value was observed in (E-C) panels with 9 % resin content. The (IB) strength of the (E) and (C) panels were better than those (P) panels. Generally, the (IB) strength increased with the increase in the level of urea formaldehyde resin. The European standard (EN 312, 2005) recommends a minimum (IB) value of 0.28 N/mm² for the particleboards manufactured for general-purpose use in dry conditions. Thus, all particleboards produced with 13 % and 9 % UF resin achieved the minimum values recommended by the (EN 312, 2005) standard.

Extractives may play an important role in the properties of the panels especially the mixtures. According to (Foster, 1967), cold and hot water extractives, such as acetic and uronic acids, destroy the bond between the wood and resin during hot pressing. They decrease the pH of wood and cause early UF resin setting.

The obtained results were in agreement with (Zheng et al. (2006); Pan et al. (2007); Hassan, (2009)) since they found that with increasing UF resin levels the values of MOE and MOR of the panels were increased. Ashori and Nourbakhsh (2008), reported that the resin level was the main parameter affecting the static bending properties (MOE and MOR) and the (IB). In addition, Pan et al. (2007) and Saad and Kamal, (2012) concluded that boards with higher resin contents had higher MOR, MOE, and IB values. In contrast to the majority of studies, Ayrilmis et al. (2012) investigated the effect of resin content on the mechanical properties of single-layer particleboards made from a mixture of wood particles (70 %) and rice husk particles (30%). Urea formaldehyde (UF) and phenol formaldehyde (PF) resin types with three different resin levels (8, 10, and 12 %) were used. Their results showed a slight improvement in the MOE and MOR when the UF and PF resin increased from 8 % to 10%, however, there were significant improvements for the 12 % resin content panels.

The mean values of water absorption and thickness swelling after 2 and 24 hours of water immersion for the produced panels are presented in (Table 2).

The mixtures improved the water absorption and thickness swelling after (2h. and 24h.) of water immersion for the panels with 9% UF resin content, except for the (E-C) panels. Moreover, both properties were improved when the resin content increased to 13%. The mixtures for the 13% UF resin content panels improved the water absorption and thickness swelling and even for the (E-C) panels. According to (Maloney, 1993), there are some factors that controls the water resistance of particleboards not only resin level or raw material density, such as different particle sizes, densities, pressing conditions (temperature, pressure, and press time, etc.)

The high values of water absorption and thickness swelling for the E-C panels with 9 % (UF) resin content may be attributed to the extractive content of the mixture which lower the bonding between the particles and adhesive. In contrast, when increasing the resin content with 13 % both properties in the (E-C) were improved, as the higher percentage of resin overcome this problem. To improve the characteristics of the 9% (E-C) panels in this study, pre-treatments to remove the extractives such as presoaking of particles in hot or cold water may be applied and this point needs to be investigated in further studies.

The reason for decreasing the thickness swelling with increasing resin content to the greater area of particles is covered with adhesive. When voids are filled with resin that also indicates that particles are more bonded together, consequently, less water can enter between particles (Kelly, 1977; Schneider et al., 1996).

This study was in agreement with other studies on different wood species as raw materials. For example, Taş and Sevinçli (2015), reported that the values of thickness swelling after 24h soaking in water of Pinus brutia were, 57.18, 47.16, and 36.14 for 6 %, 10%, and 12% resin content, respectively.
In this study, wax was not added to improve the water resistance of the panels. Therefore, the addition of paraffin wax will improve the physical properties. There are other additives that may improve the performance such as use of phenolic resins, coating of the particleboard surfaces and acetylation of particles can improve the water repellency of the panels (Rowell and Norimoto, 1988; Nemli et al., 2005; Guntekin et al., 2008 and Ayrilmis et al., 2009).

Based on the findings of this research, there is a need to expand in tree plantations establishment especially fast-growing trees irrigated with treated wastewater and this is important to provide continuous raw materials for manufacturing wood-based panels such as particleboard.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Single layer particleboards were manufactured using *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (E), *Pinus halepensis* (P), and *Casuarina cunninghamiana* (C) wood particles from trees irrigated with treated wastewater and their mixtures among the three genera (E-C, E-P, and C-P) with two levels (9% and 13%) of urea formaldehyde (UF) adhesive. The result of this study showed that all particleboards produced with 9% and 13% UF glue level satisfied the minimum requirement indicated by the European standard for MOR except the (P) and (E-C) panels with 9% resin content. The mechanical properties of the 13% resin content panels were above the minimum requirement of the European standard (EN 312, 2005) for general-purpose boards for use in dry conditions. Almost particleboards produced with 9% UF resin level does not satisfy the minimum requirement of the European standard for MOE. All particleboards produced with 13% and 9% UF resin achieved the minimum values recommended by the European standard for IB. Based on the result of this study the 13% UF resin level panels had lower values of water absorption and thickness swelling than those observed in the 9% UF resin panels. It was clear that the mixture improved the physical properties (water absorption and thickness swelling). The obtained data showed that the (E-C) with 9% UF resin level panels had the highest values of water absorption and thickness swelling. The (C-P) panels had the best mechanical and physical properties compared with the other produced panels. The particleboards with low mechanical properties could be used for insulation purposes. The results showed the suitability of the three species and their mixtures which irrigated with treated wastewater as raw material for particleboard industry in Egypt.
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**Table 2. Mean values of water absorption and thickness swelling after 2h and 24 h water immersion.**

| Items                  | Water absorption | Thickness swelling |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                        | UF resin content (%) | Thickness swelling |
|                        | 2h  | 24h | 13% | 2h  | 24h | 9%  | 13% |
| *C. cunninghamiana* (C) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 56.29<sup>a</sup> | 67.23<sup>c</sup> | 40.69<sup>b</sup> | 52.61<sup>b</sup> | 16.04<sup>cd</sup> | 24.17<sup>cd</sup> | 13.5<sup>c</sup> | 21.97<sup>b</sup> |
| 13%                    | (2.53) | (0.79) | (0.94) | (1.35) | (0.69) | (0.56) | (0.5) | (0.59) |
| *E. camaldulensis* (E)  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 56.80<sup>c</sup> | 68.01<sup>c</sup> | 42.25<sup>b</sup> | 52.95<sup>b</sup> | 16.68<sup>c</sup> | 24.67<sup>c</sup> | 13.54<sup>b</sup> | 21.63<sup>b</sup> |
| 13%                    | (0.34) | (1.86) | (2.02) | (2.98) | (0.68) | (1.27) | (0.50) | (0.40) |
| *P. halepensis* (P)     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 78.42<sup>b</sup> | 88.36<sup>b</sup> | 63.80<sup>a</sup> | 74.08<sup>a</sup> | 18.65<sup>b</sup> | 26.80<sup>b</sup> | 14.46<sup>a</sup> | 24.55<sup>a</sup> |
| 13%                    | (0.68) | (1.12) | (4.01) | (1.99) | (1.1) | (0.17) | (0.36) | (0.69) |
| E-C                    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 87.97<sup>a</sup> | 98.49<sup>a</sup> | 34.86<sup>d</sup> | 45.62<sup>d</sup> | 22.35<sup>a</sup> | 28.99<sup>a</sup> | 9.32<sup>d</sup> | 15.91<sup>d</sup> |
| 13%                    | (2.74) | (5.02) | (1.08) | (1.03) | (0.42) | (0.46) | (0.32) | (0.34) |
| E-P                    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 54.81<sup>c</sup> | 62.07<sup>d</sup> | 37.62<sup>c</sup> | 48.76<sup>c</sup> | 14.75<sup>d</sup> | 22.61<sup>d</sup> | 12.14<sup>c</sup> | 19.94<sup>c</sup> |
| 13%                    | (2.24) | (1.02) | (0.97) | (0.54) | (0.52) | (0.87) | (0.14) | (1.64) |
| C-P                    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9%                     | 46.52<sup>d</sup> | 56.35<sup>c</sup> | 30.72<sup>c</sup> | 41.76<sup>c</sup> | 13.11<sup>c</sup> | 20.82<sup>ce</sup> | 8.82<sup>d</sup> | 14.49<sup>d</sup> |
| 13%                    | (2.50) | (3.01) | (1.29) | (0.67) | (0.31) | (0.49) | (0.35) | (0.44) |

* Values in percentage; Means in the same column which do not share a common letter are significantly different at 95% confidence level.
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الملخص العربي
الخواص الفيزيائية والميكانيكية للخشب الحبيبي المصنوع من بعض الأشجار الخشبية المروية بمياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة

خالد طه سليمان حسن، إبراهيم السيد خير الله، أحمد عمار السقاوى، هبة محمود عبد الله

تهدف هذه الدارسة إلى تصنيع ألواح الخشب الحبيبي من أشجار الكافور والكازوزارينا والصنوبر النامية في مصر والمروية بمياه الصرف الصحي من غابة الصداقية المصرية الصينية وهي إحدى أهم الغابات الصناعية في جمهورية مصر العربية. وفي هذه الدارسة تم تصنيع ألواح من الأنواع/shrubby محل الدراسة وكذلك تم تصنيع ألواح من الخشب الحبيبي بتوليفات من تلك الأنواع وبعضها بنسبة 50% لكل مادة. تم استخدام مستوي من غراء البورماليد 50% و13% و12% لجميع الألواح用于无光纤维和水力与其他因素的比较 من كل نوع/الассماح الصناعية المستخدمة مع بعضها في صورة توليفات قد حمست من الخواص الفيزيائية وهي مقارنة للألواح لاصطدام الماء والانفاخ في السماك من الألواح التي تم تصنيعها.

أظهرت الأنواع الشجرية محل الدراسة صلاحيتها لتصنيع ألواح الخشب الحبيبي وذلك باستخدام ظروف التصنيع المحددة في تلك الدراسة. وتم تقييم جودة الألواح الناتجة عن طريق اجراء اختبارات ميكانيكية على الألواح المصنعة تمثلت في تحديد معامل المرونة وتحمل الكسر وقوة الربط الداخلية وكذلك تم اجراء اختبارات فيزيائية وهي الانفاخ في السماك ومقاومة الألواح لاصطدام الماء بعد التصنيع. أظهرت نتائج تلك الدارسة ان الألواح المصنعة بتوليفات من الكازوزارينا والصنوبر معك متعاً مستوي غراء 9% و10% أعطت أفضل خواص ميكانيكية وأعلى مقاومة لاصطدام الماء ومن النتائج المتحصل عليها في تلك الدراسة أن جميع الألواح المصنوعة باستخدام مستوي 13%