**Abstract.** Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic, progressive type of interstitial pneumonia with unknown causes, poor prognosis and no effective therapy available. Circular RNAs (circRNAs), which serve as potential therapeutic targets and diagnostic biomarkers for certain diseases, represent a recent hotspot in the field of RNA research. In the present study, a total of 67 significantly dysregulated circRNAs were identified in the plasma of IPF patients by using a circRNA microarray. Among these circRNAs, 38 were upregulated, whereas 29 were downregulated. Further validation of the results by polymerase chain reaction analysis indicated that Homo sapiens (hsa)_circRNA_100906, hsa_circRNA_102100 and hsa_circRNA_102348 were significantly upregulated, whereas hsa_circRNA_101225, hsa_circRNA_104780 and hsa_circRNA_101242 were downregulated in plasma samples of IPF patients compared with those in samples from healthy controls. The majority of differentially expressed circRNAs were generated from exonic regions. The host genes of the differentially expressed circRNAs were involved in the regulation of the cell cycle, adherens junctions and RNA transport. The competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network of the circRNAs/micro(mi)RNAs/mRNAs indicated that circRNA-protected mRNA participated in transforming growth factor-β1, hypoxia-inducible factor-1, Wnt, Janus kinase, Rho-associated protein kinase, vascular endothelial growth factor, mitogen-activated protein kinase, Hedgehog and nuclear factor κB signalling pathways or functioned as biomarkers for pulmonary fibrosis. Furthermore, luciferase reporter assays confirmed that hsa_circRNA_100906 and hsa_circRNA_102348 directly interact with miR-324-5p and miR-630, respectively, which were downregulated in IPF patients. The present study provided a novel avenue for exploring the underlying molecular mechanisms of IPF disease.

**Introduction**

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic, progressive, and usually lethal disorder with an unknown etiology, a median survival time of 3-5 years and a mortality rate exceeding those of numerous cancer types (1,2). Current pathogenetic theories suggest that IPF is a result of an aberrant wound healing response and characterized by injured alveolar epithelium, formation of fibroblast/myofibroblast foci and accumulation of extracellular matrix (ECM) (3). Despite the marked increase in research efforts, the exact mechanisms involved in the initiation, maintenance and progression of fibrosis remain largely elusive (4). In recent years, RNA-based regulation, including that involving micro (mi)RNA, long non-coding (nc)RNA or circular (circ)RNA, has been identified in fibrotic disease (5-7).

circRNA represents a novel class of endogenous ncRNA, which is observed in a wide variety of organisms (8,9). Unlike linear RNA, circRNA demonstrates unusual stability due to its unique covalent loop structure with neither 5’ to 3’ polarity nor a polyadenylated tail (10). With the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing technology, circRNA has been identified to be ubiquitously expressed in various tissues and cells types (11). Its biogenesis is influenced by cis elements and transfactors, including Alu repeats, reverse complementary matches and RNA-binding protein (12). Furthermore, tissue, cell type or developmental stage-specific expression
patterns imply that circRNA has important biological functions (13,14). Emerging evidence demonstrates that circRNAs serve as gene regulators in mammals, particularly through functioning as miRNA sponges or mRNA translation templates, facilitating transcription of their host genes by directly associating with RNA polymerase II or forming platforms for protein interactions (15,16). circRNAs have crucial roles in cell homeostasis and are closely correlated with the clinical and pathological features of various human diseases, including atherosclerosis, neurological disorders, fibrosis and cancer; thus, circRNAs have potential as novel clinical diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers (17,18). However, neither the formation mechanism nor the cellular function of circRNA has been completely understood in IPF. In the present study, the dysregulated circRNAs in IPF were identified through microarrays and the potential circRNA-associated competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network was constructed with the aim to provide novel biomarkers for IPF diagnosis and pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Patients and clinical samples. Patients admitted to the Affiliated Hospital of Binzhou Medical University (Binzhou, China) who were diagnosed with IPF between October 2015 and June 2017 (n=10) through combined clinical, radiological and pathological examination based on the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society consensus criteria (19) were included in the present study. Normal controls from healthy volunteers were matched corresponding to the IPF patients' sex and age. The healthy non-smoking volunteers were recruited from individuals undergoing a physical examination at the hospital over the same time period. Individuals with respiratory and rheumatic immune diseases were excluded from the study. Fresh peripheral blood samples were collected in EDTA-containing tubes and then centrifuged (1,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature) to isolate the plasma.

RNA extraction and characterization. The total RNA of each sample was isolated using TRIzol LS reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA quantity and quality were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). A 260/280 nm absorbance ratio between 1.8 and 2.1, or a 260/230 nm absorbance ratio of >1.8 were acceptable. RNA integrity and genomic DNA contamination were assessed by standard denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis after RNA extraction and prior to sample labelling. DNA contamination was ruled out by the absence of a high molecular-weight smear or band migration above the 28S ribosomal RNA band. RNA integrity was confirmed by the absence of RNA degradation, which was reflected by smearing of ribosomal RNA bands.

Microarray hybridization. Arraystar Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA) developed the world’s first commercial circRNA chip for systematically analysing the expression of circRNA in different physiological and pathological conditions. The Arraystar Human circRNA Array (8x15 K; Arraystar Inc.) analysis was performed by Kangchen Corp. (Shanghai, China) in 3 pairs of IPF samples and normal control samples. In brief, isolated total RNA was treated with RNase R (Epicienter, Madison, WI, USA) to remove linear RNA. Subsequently, the samples were amplified and transcribed into fluorescent complementary (c)RNA by utilizing a random primer method according to the Arraystar Super RNA Labeling protocol. Subsequently, the labelled cRNA was purified using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The concentration and specific activity of the labelled cRNA (pmol Cy3/µg cRNA) was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000. A total of 1 µg of each labelled cRNA was then fragmented by adding 5 µl of 10X blocking agent and 1 µl of 25X fragmentation buffer. The mixture was then heated at 60°C for 30 min, and 25 µl 2X hybridization buffer was then added to dilute the labelled cRNA. Subsequently, 50 µl of the hybridization solution was dispensed into the gasket slide and assembled onto the Arraystar circRNA expression microarray slide. The slides were incubated for 17 h at 65°C in an Agilent hybridization oven (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The slides were washed and the hybridized arrays were scanned using an Agilent G2505C scanner (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The scanned images were imported into the Agilent feature extraction software (version 11.0.1.1) to extract raw data (Agilent Technologies, Inc.).

Microarray data analysis. Quantile normalization of raw data and the subsequent data processing were performed using the R limma package (bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html). After quantile normalization of raw data, low-intensity filtering was performed and circRNA with a minimum of 1 out of 6 samples with flags in ‘present’ or ‘marginal’ (‘all target values’) were retained for further analyses. In the comparison of the two groups, namely disease vs. normal controls, the ‘fold change’ (the ratio of group average values) among groups was computed for each circRNA. Statistical significance of the difference was estimated via a Student's t-test. circRNA with an absolute value of the fold change ≥1.5 and P-values of ≤0.05 were considered to indicate significantly different expression. The final outputs were filtered and the differentially expressed circRNA was ranked based on fold change and P-value, among others, by using the ‘Data/Sort’ and ‘Filter’ functions of Microsoft Excel2013 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). The dataset was deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with the accession no. GSE102660.

Reverse transcription (RT). cDNA for circRNA and mRNA was generated using the Super Script III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, 3 µg total RNA, 1 µl random primer (N9), 4 µl 5X first-strand buffer, 1.6 µl nucleotide mix, 0.3 µl RNase inhibitor, 1 µl dithiothreitol and 0.2 µl Super Script III reverse transcriptase were added to the system, which was then incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The RT reaction and no-template control were performed simultaneously. RT of miRNAs was performed using the Bulge-Loop miRNA primer set according to the manufacturer’s protocol (RT mir-324-5p primer, cat. no. ssD80923032; RT mir-630
Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). The expression levels of circRNA and miRNA were evaluated via qPCR using 2X PCR master mix (Arraystar Inc.) and SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), respectively, according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR for circRNA was performed in a 10-µl reaction volume, including 2 µl cDNA, 5 µl 2X Master Mix, 0.5 µl forward primer (10 µM), 0.5 µl reverse primer (10 µM) and 2 µl double-distilled water. The reaction was set at 95˚C for 10 min for pre-denaturation, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 10 sec and 60˚C for 60 sec. GAPDH was used for template normalization of circRNA (20). PCR for miR-324-5p and miR-630 entailed initiation at 95˚C for 20 sec, followed by 30 cycles at 95˚C for 10 sec, 60˚C for 20 sec and 72˚C for 10 sec. PCR and analyses were performed with a ViiA7 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The C. elegans miRNA 39-3p was used as a normalization control. The specific primers for miRNA were purchased from Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. and their catalogue numbers had the following sequences: hsa_circRNA_100906 forward, 5'-GAT TTA CCA TGC AGA ACA-3' and reverse, 5'-CCA CAT AAG CAC-3'; hsa_circRNA_101225 forward, 5'-GCA GCC CCA GTA TTA CA-3' and reverse, 5'-ACC TCA GCT ACA TTA-3'; hsa_circRNA_102348 forward, 5'-CTT TTT GGA GCT GCT GAC-3' and reverse, 5'-GGA AAG CCA GGA GTT TTT-3'; hsa_circRNA_104780 forward, 5'-GAA GAA GAA GAA GGA-3' and reverse, 5'-CTT CAC CCA TAA TGG-3'; hsa_circRNA_102348-5p and hsa_circRNA_102348-3p were used to detect direct binding between circRNA and miRNA. 

Detection of putative miRNA seed matches. The miRNA response elements on circRNA and miRNA were scanned using miRanda and Target Scan. The differentially expressed circRNA within all comparisons was annotated based on miRanda and Target Scan. The differentially expressed circRNA profile information. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference from multiple groups were determined using one-way analysis of variance with the Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test. All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software package (version 11.0 for Windows; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Identification of differentially expressed circRNA profiles during IPF development. IPF is clinically characterized by decreased lung function, increased high-resolution computed tomography evidence of honeycombing (but not emphysema), as well as significant microscopic honeycomb and
fibroblastic foci on pathology. The demographic and baseline characteristics of IPF patients and normal controls are presented in Table I. No significant differences in the patient number, age and gender between the normal and IPF groups were present.

A high-throughput microarray assay was used to identify circRNAs with abnormal expression in IPF. A total of 4,731 circRNA targets were detected using microarray probes in plasma samples from IPF patients and healthy individuals. The scatter plot in Fig. 1A displays the variation in the

Figure 1. circRNA expression profile in IPF patients. (A) Variations (or reproducibility) of circRNA expression between the experiment and control groups were visualized in a scatter plot. The values on the X- and Y-axis are the normalized signal values of the samples (log2, scaled). The green lines represent the fold change of 1.5. The circRNAs above the top green line and below the bottom green line indicate circRNAs with a fold change of >1.5 between the two compared samples. (B) Hierarchical clustering reveals the distinguishable circRNA expression profiling between IPF patients and normal human samples. Each column represents a sample and each row represents a circRNA. High or low relative expression is displayed as a red or green strip, respectively. Each group contains three different samples. (C) Volcano plots are useful tools for visualizing differential expression between two different conditions. The vertical lines correspond to a 1.5-fold up- and downregulation, and the horizontal line represents a P-value of 0.05. The red dots in the plot represent the differentially expressed circRNA with statistical significance. (D) Distribution of differentially expressed circRNAs in human chromosomes. Ctrl, control; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; circRNA, circular RNA; NC, normal control; hsa, Homo sapiens.
circRNA expression ratio between the two groups. The heat map of circRNA expression in samples of the two groups in Fig. 1B displays the regulation of several circRNA clusters. An upregulated cluster consisting of 38 circRNAs and a downregulated cluster consisting of 29 circRNAs were detected in the patients (fold change, ≥1.5; \( P<0.05 \)). From the volcano plot in Fig. 1C, differentially expressed circRNAs with statistical significance between IPF and control samples were identified. The distribution of circRNA in human chromosomes is illustrated in Fig. 1D. A total of 21 and 18 of the most highly upregulated and downregulated circRNAs, respectively, according to their fold change, are listed in Table II.

Subsequently, six differentially expressed circRNA (hsa_circRNA_100906, hsa_circRNA_102100, hsa_circRNA_102348, hsa_circRNA_101225, hsa_circRNA_104780 and hsa_circRNA_101242) were selected for further validation and analysis due to their high fold-changes and low P-values. The expression levels were quantified via RT-qPCR with circRNA-specific divergent primers calibrated using standard curves with housekeeping genes as normalization standards. The RT-qPCR results validated the differential expression of these circRNAs by using 10 independent samples. The results indicated that, hsa_circRNA_100906, hsa_circRNA_102100 and hsa_circRNA_102348 were significantly upregulated, whereas hsa_circRNA_101225, hsa_circRNA_104780 and hsa_circRNA_101242 were significantly downregulated in the IPF vs. normal control samples (Fig. 2). The changes in the expression of certain circRNAs identified from the overall array data were therefore in accordance with the results of the RT-qPCR measurements.

Genomic location of differentially expressed circRNAs in IPF disease. circRNA is typically generated at the expense of canonical mRNA isoforms, thereby indicating its possible function as an important regulator of mRNA production (22). To predict the function of the circRNAs, their location in the human genome was first detected. Differentially expressed circRNA was typically derived from annotated exons (86.6%). Its splice sites typically span 1-11 exons and overlap the coding exon. Only small fractions of circRNA originated from introns or were aligned antisense region to known transcripts (Fig. 3A).

Several differentially expressed circRNAs originated from known protein-coding genes with pivotal roles in fibrosis [e.g. zinc-finger-DHHC-type with 4 (ZDHHC4)]. Kyoto
Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis revealed that genes that produced dysregulated circRNAs were involved in the pathways of cell cycle, adherens junctions, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, and RNA transport and degradation (Fig. 3B and C).

As presented in Fig. 3D-I, the tested circRNAs all belonged to exons. In addition, exonic circRNAs may result from splicingosomal action, e.g. the exon structure of the human ankyrin repeat domain 42 locus, encompassing a 797-nt region that includes exons 3-8.

### Predicted ceRNA network of circRNAs/miRNAs/mRNAs in IPF

A considerable number of studies have reported that circRNAs act as ‘miRNA sponges’ to regulate gene expression.

### Table II. Most differentially expressed circRNA ranked by FC vs. NC group in microarray data.

| circRNA       | P-value    | FC (abs)  | Change vs. NC | Alias   | circRNA_type | Gene symbol |
|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| hsa_circRNA_100906 0.00028136 | 1.8654768 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0023858 | Exonic | ANKRD42  |
| hsa_circRNA_102380 0.000400941 1.5656011 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0047841 | Exonic | ATIC  |
| hsa_circRNA_102913 0.000949382 1.5911579 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0058058 | Exonic | OAT  |
| hsa_circRNA_100705 0.001874312 2.4096969 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0008898 | Exonic | DENND5A |
| hsa_circRNA_100759 0.002180791 2.4327399 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0044226 | Exonic | CDC27 |
| hsa_circRNA_102348 0.010380106 2.1353111 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0007535 | Exonic | ELP2 |
| hsa_circRNA_102100 0.053608302 2.1090716 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0044234 | Exonic | CDC27 |
| hsa_circRNA_101550 0.043316607 2.3059601 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0035796 | Exonic | HERC1 |
| hsa_circRNA_100657 0.011363912 1.8185249 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0006520 | Exonic | MMS19 |
| hsa_circRNA_103712 0.02802823 1.8542931 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0007540 | Exonic | TBCK |
| hsa_circRNA_104211 0.03050202 2.1839174 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0007762 | Exonic | STXB5 |
| hsa_circRNA_104532 0.04588836 1.8495971 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0001772 | Exonic | CREBBP |
| hsa_circRNA_102038 0.034423041 1.541653 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0043082 | Exonic | LIG3 |
| hsa_circRNA_104840 0.013111444 1.5986307 | Upregulation | hsa_circ_0002780 | Exonic | CDC14B |
| hsa_circRNA_101487 0.003102427 1.6784173 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0008926 | Exonic | NUSAP1 |
| hsa_circRNA_103626 0.04907511 1.5692823 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0007308 | Exonic | PDS5A |
| hsa_circRNA_101691 0.009068437 1.6051068 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0007637 | Exonic | CREBBP |
| hsa_circRNA_100082 0.014303719 1.6414589 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0022378 | Exonic | CPSD1 |
| hsa_circRNA_101272 0.012972779 1.6474556 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0005783 | Exonic | KLF12 |
| hsa_circRNA_101225 0.000635765 2.5487789 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0029633 | Exonic | ZMYM2 |
| hsa_circRNA_101025 0.002349167 1.6461626 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0002563 | Exonic | LMP3 |
| hsa_circRNA_104780 0.00453198 2.421486 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0001861 | Exonic | GRHPR |
| hsa_circRNA_101004 0.006100264 1.9569623 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0000375 | Exonic | IFIO1 |
| hsa_circRNA_102049 0.011284484 4.1574829 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0043278 | Exonic | TADA2A |
| hsa_circRNA_101192 0.020134914 2.2308587 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0005465 | Exonic | CLIP1 |
| hsa_circRNA_102470 0.0264833 2.2000704 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0049888 | Exonic | ESR1L1 |
| hsa_circRNA_100093 0.030740567 1.9542266 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0001887 | Intragenic | STRBP |
| hsa_circRNA_101242 0.03320098 1.9118807 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0029853 | Exonic | PAN3 |
| hsa_circRNA_104477 0.005705005 1.6533506 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0016667 | Exonic | COG2 |
| hsa_circRNA_100476 0.007246795 1.8857807 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0016863 | Exonic | COG2 |
| hsa_circRNA_100116 0.006309977 1.9935227 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0001556 | Intragenic | ERGIC1 |
| hsa_circRNA_103225 0.009486195 1.8307713 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0063331 | Exonic | DDX17 |
| hsa_circRNA_104310 0.016308893 1.7897346 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0079385 | Exonic | ZDHHC4 |
| hsa_circRNA_100424 0.003435538 1.8528501 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0001549 | Antisense | FABP6 |
| hsa_circRNA_103977 0.04667432 1.5710154 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0074362 | Exonic | ARHGAP26 |
| hsa_circRNA_103178 0.029174857 1.6035583 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0006277 | Exonic | CABIN1 |
| hsa_circRNA_102910 0.041706263 1.5017013 | Downregulation | hsa_circ_0058051 | Exonic | BARD1 |

Regarding the circRNA type, circRNA is classified into four categories: Exonic, intronic, antisense and intergenic. The P-value was calculated using an unpaired Student's t-test. FC (abs), absolute fold change; Alias, circRNA ID in the circ Base database (circbase.mdc-berlin.de); circRNA, circular RNA; NC, normal control; hsa, Homo sapiens.
The present study evaluated the biological role of differentially expressed circRNAs by drawing and analysing an miRNA-mediated regulatory network. The number of predicted miRNA binding sites for all miRNAs (deposited in miR Base version 19) was determined. Interactions between circRNAs and miRNAs were theoretically predicted according to their conserved seed-matching sequences. The analysis revealed that all 67 of the differentially expressed circRNAs contained response elements for their respective target miRNAs. The top five miRNAs for each 67 circRNAs were displayed as a network delineated using Cytoscape software (version 3.1.0; cytoscape.org) (Fig. 4A). A sub-network displaying 10 circRNAs and their target miRNAs is displayed in Fig. 4B. Numerous associated miRNAs have pivotal roles in the progression of fibrotic disease (including miR-326, -361-5p, -338-3p, -9-5p, -136-5p, -145-5p, and -877-3p). On the basis of the number of binding sites and target mRNAs, miR-330-5p/miR-324-5p, miR-532-5p, miR-630, miR-326, miR-650 and miR-338-3p/miR-21-3p were selected as potential miRNA targets for the six selected circRNAs (hsa_circRNA_100906, hsa_circRNA_10242, respectively). The sequence analysis of the miRNA response elements for the six selected differentially expressed circRNAs and their potential complementary binding miRNAs is displayed in Fig. 5.
Figure 5. Detailed annotation for circRNA/miRNA interaction based on TargetScan and miRanda. The ‘2D Structure’ column presents the sequences of circRNA and miRNA. The ‘Local AU’ column displays 30 nucleotides upstream and downstream of the seed sequence. The ‘Position’ column indicates the probable position of the miRNA response element on the circRNA. (A and B) Interaction between hsa_circRNA_101242 and hsa_miR‑21‑3p or hsa_miR‑338‑3p, respectively. (C and D) Interaction between hsa_circRNA_100906 and hsa_miR‑330‑5p or hsa_miR‑324‑5p, respectively. (E‑H) Display of the interaction of (E) hsa_circRNA_101225 and hsa_miR‑326, (F) hsa_circRNA_102348 and hsa_miR‑630, (G) hsa_circRNA_102100 and hsa_miR‑532‑5p and (H) hsa_circRNA_104780 and hsa_miR‑650. miR/miRNA, microRNA; circRNA, circular RNA; UTR, untranslated region; hsa, Homo sapiens.
For instance, it was revealed that in hsa_circRNA_100906, the 154 to 159th and the 611 to 616th nucleotides starting from the 5' terminus were completely complementary to the miR‑324‑5p seed region in the 8mer or 7mer‑m8 binding mode.

Subsequently, circRNA_100906/miR‑324‑5p and circRNA_102348/miR‑630 were selected to confirm the predicted interaction between circRNA and miRNA by luciferase reporter assays. The expression levels of miR‑324‑5p and miR‑630 were significantly downregulated in IPF patients conversely to those of their associated circRNAs (Fig. 6A and B). The luciferase intensity decreased by >50% with the co‑transfection of luciferase reporters containing the circRNA_100906 or circRNA_102348 full length sequence and mimics of miR‑324‑5p or miR‑630, respectively. To confirm the direct interaction, the miRNA response elements (MREs) in the luciferase reporter were mutated. Co‑transfection of miRNA mimics and luciferase reporter vector with the mutated sequence did not considerably affect the luciferase activity (Fig. 6C‑F).

miR‑330‑5p/miR‑324‑5p, miR‑532‑5p, miR‑630, miR‑326, miR‑650 and miR‑338‑3p/miR‑21‑3p were predicted as target genes and the regulatory network of circRNAs/miRNAs/mRNAs is presented in Fig. 7A. Numerous fibrosis‑associated genes [e.g. SMAD3, ECM protein 1 (ECM1), vimentin, suppressor of cytokine signalling 1 (SOCS1), rho‑associated kinase (ROCK) 1, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP1), integrin subunit β like 1 (ITGBl1), ADAM metallopeptidase domain 17 and hypoxia‑inducible factor 1 subunit α (HIF1α) inhibitor] may be targeted by the previously mentioned miRNAs. The circRNA/miRNA regulatory networks act on target genes significantly involved in transforming growth factor (TGF)‑β1, HIF‑1, Wnt, Janus kinase (JAK), ROCK, vascular endothelial growth factor, mitogen‑activated protein kinase, Hedgehog and nuclear factor κB signalling pathways, which are associated with cell proliferation, migration and collagen synthesis (Fig. 7B). For instance, circRNA_100906 may trigger the upregulation of SOCS1, ROCK1, SP1, nemo‑like kinase, MMP1, bromodomain containing 2, ECM1, ITGBl1, phosphoinositide‑3‑kinase regulatory subunit 3 and fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 by sequestering miR‑324‑5p and miR‑330‑5p in the network. The ceRNA network and associated pathway components may be novel clinical markers and therapeutic targets for IPF.
Discussion

circRNAs are regarded as novel clinical diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic biomarkers that may provide novel approaches for the treatment of diseases (23). For instance, the expression of circular ANRIL is correlated with the risk for atherosclerotic vascular disease (24). However, whether circRNAs have a role in IPF has remained elusive. In the present study, circRNA expression profiling was performed in IPF patients and 67 aberrantly expressed circRNAs were identified. Furthermore, it was unveiled that certain circRNAs (hsa_circRNA_100906, hsa_circRNA_102100 and hsa_circRNA_102348) were upregulated, while others (hsa_circRNA_101225, hsa_circRNA_104780 and hsa_circRNA_101242) were downregulated in IPF.

circRNA originates from exons, introns or is aligned with antisense regions to known transcripts, intergenic sequences or unannotated regions of the genome (25). Linear splicing and circRNA production compete against each other for splicing sites and assign a regulated function to circRNA in their hosted gene (26). Chao et al (27) reported that circRNA originating from the mouse formin (Fmn) gene functioned as an ‘mRNA trap’ by leaving a non-coding linear transcript, thereby reducing the expression levels of the Fmn protein. circRNA to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit J and poly(A) binding protein interacting protein 2 are predominantly localized in the nucleus, interacting with U1 small nuclear ribonucleoproteins and enhancing the transcription of their parental genes in a cis-acting manner (28). In the present study, numerous parental genes of differentially expressed circRNAs associated with the biological process of fibrosis were identified. Yang et al (29) reported that ZDHHC4, which causes a significant downregulation of circRNA hsa_circ_104310, is a significant methylation marker to regulate the expression of large groups of genes in a trans-acting manner in IPF. André et al (30) demonstrated that BRCA1-associated RING domain 1, the host gene of hsa_circRNA_102910, regulates lung epithelial cell damage and fibroblast proliferation by acting as a mediator of hypoxia and TGF-β may be a novel target for IPF treatment. hsa_circRNA_102348 is spliced from the elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 2 gene, which encodes a general binding partner or chaperone for the activation and nuclear translocation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)3 (31) and may regulate the JAK/STAT signalling pathway. hsa_circRNA_102100 and 102101 align with the gene cell division cycle (CDC)27, which encodes a core component of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome. Furthermore, aberrant expression of CDC27 may result in chromosomal aneuploidy integrity and improper cell cycle progression, which may have roles in cell proliferation in IPF (32). The parental gene of hsa_circRNA_101225 encodes a zinc finger MYM type 2, which apparently binds specifically to fibroblast growth factor receptor-1 or proteins with added small ubiquitin-like modifiers, including histone deacetylase-1 (33). KEGG analysis supported the concept of target genes that may regulate crucial biological processes during the development of IPF.

The highly complex regulatory network of circRNA/miRNA/mRNA represents another important layer of epigenetic control over gene expression in health and disease, involving a variety of cellular processes, including the cell cycle, apoptosis and adherens junctions (34,35). At present, sponging activity is the major function of certain circRNAs. A 1.2-kb single-exon circRNA produced from
the mammalian sex determination gene may function as a miR-138 sponge for 16 target sites (36). The circRNA/miRNA interaction analysis of the present study indicated that abnormally expressed circRNAs in IPF patients possess abundant miRNA target sites that have important roles in fibrotic disease. Zhang et al (37) reported that the miR-338-5p/lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 axis may be regarded as a target of tectorigemin in bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. In the present study, miR-338-5p was matched with hsa_circRNA_102101 and hsa_circRNA_102100, which originate from the same coding gene (CDCC27). hsa_circRNA_101996 possessed MREs for miR-9 and -145, which regulated fibrosis by targeting platelet-derived growth factor receptor β/extracellular signal-regulated kinase signalling or the TGF-β receptor 2/SMAD3/TGF-β pathway (38,39).

miRNAs including miR-324-5p, miR-330-5p, miR-532-5p, miR-630, miR-650, miR-21-3p and miR-326 reportedly participate in cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and cytoskeleton remodelling regarding as tumour markers (40,41). However, limited information is available regarding their role in fibrotic disease. Pulmonary fibrosis is a neoproliferative disorder of the lung that exhibits several cancer-like pathogenic features, including abnormal activation, uncontrolled proliferation, resistance to apoptosis and high migration rates of myofibroblasts. These miRNAs were perfectly matched with the six circRNAs selected in the present study. MMP1, Snai2 and vimentin, which are the predicted target genes of miR-324-5p, miR-532-5p and miR-630, respectively, are mostly accepted as biomarkers for fibrosis. Early growth response 4, which contains binding sites for miR-326, reportedly counteracts autocrine TGF-β signalling and abolishes myofibroblast function (42). As a co-receptor for Wnt, low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 5 and -6 are predicted targets of miR-532-5p, transmitting the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signalling and appearing independently associated with fibrotic disease progression (43). KEGG analysis further demonstrated the involvement of the target genes in fibrosis-associated signalling pathways.

circRNA_000203 is regarded as a ceRNA of collagen type I alpha 2 chain and connective tissue growth factor by interacting with miR-26b-5p in cardiac fibrosis (44). Furthermore, Zhou and Yu (45) reported that the profibrotic function of circRNA_010567 is partly mediated by the miR-141/TGF-β1 pathway. However, differential expression of the two circRNAs and the associated miRNAs was not observed in the present study. circRNA_101242, which harbours two binding sites for miR-21-3p, has been reported to be abnormally expressed in gastric cancer (46). In the present study, circRNA_101242 was also verified to be downregulated, whereas miR-21-3p was significantly upregulated in IPF. The direct binding and target mRNA require verification in further studies. A previous study reported that circRNA_100269 prevents gastric cancer proliferation by sponging miR-630 (47). However, in the present study, no evident change of circRNA_100269 was noted, whereas miR-630 was significantly downregulated in IPF patients. In addition, circRNA_102348, which harbours two MREs for miR-630, was upregulated and demonstrated to directly interact with miR-630. Previous studies have only identified a small number of circRNAs or ceRNAs with multiple binding sites for a particular miRNA; most circRNAs or ceRNAs were identified to contain only one or two miRNA binding sites (48). The predicted number of miRNA binding sites in differentially expressed circRNAs in the present study was three at the most as determined by Arraystar’s prediction software. Furthermore, the interaction of circRNA_109096/miR-324-5p was identified in the present study. As a predicted target gene of miR-630, E1A binding protein p300 was identified as a co-activator of HIF1A, which is responsible for lung fibrosis (49). Li et al (50) reported that the H19/miR-630/enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) signalling pathway has a considerable role in nasopharyngeal carcinoma metastasis. The activation of ROCK1 and -2, which are targeted by miR-324-5p according to the present analysis, is involved in actin filament assembly, actomyosin contraction, cell adhesion and motility, proliferation and apoptosis, and the remodelling of the ECM in lung fibrosis (51). miR-324-5p suppresses ECM degradation in hepatocellular carcinoma by post-transcriptionally downregulating ETS proto-oncogene 1, transcription factor and SP1 (52). Furthermore, ITGBL1, the predicted target gene of miR-324-5p, was identified to be significantly overexpressed in the lung tissue of bleomycin-injured mice and TGF-β1-treated cells, which inhibited EMT, myofibroblast migration and collagen synthesis (data not shown). The direct target genes of miR-630 and miR-324-5p in IPF will be further explored in future studies by our group.

In conclusion, the present study indicated that dysregulated circRNAs function as important regulators for pro- or anti-fibrotic signalling pathways in IPF by sequestering miRNAs or regulating their host genes. The important roles of circRNAs in IPF will be considered for further studies in our group. Ongoing efforts will be made to provide additional fundamental information for improving the understanding of the regulatory mechanisms in IPF and to provide novel approaches for the diagnosis, treatment and prevention of the disease.
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