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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Novel coronavirus named SARS-CoV-2 is one of the global threats and uncertain challenges worldwide. It has spread rapidly around the globe due to viral transmissibility, new variants (strains), and human unconsciousness. Lack of adequate and reliable vaccination and proper treatment, control measures such as self-protection, physical distancing, lockdown, quarantine, and isolation policy plays an essential role in controlling and reducing the pandemic. Decisions on enforcing various control measures should be determined based on a theoretical framework and real-data evidence. We deliberate a general mathematical control measures epidemic model consisting of lockdown, self-protection, physical distancing, quarantine, and isolation compartments. Then, we investigate the proposed model through Caputo fractional order derivative. Fixed point theory has been used to analyze the Caputo fractional-order derivative model's existence and uniqueness solutions, whereas the Adams-Bashforth-Moulton numerical scheme was applied for numerical simulation. Driven by extensive theoretical analysis and numerical simulation, this work further illuminates the substantial impact of various control measures.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

COVID-19, a transmissible respiratory disease, first time reported in Wuhan of Hubei Province, Republic of China [1] in 2019. Furthermore, it has rapidly spread internationally; thus, WHO declared that COVID-19 is a global pandemic [2]. According to health experts, it has found a variety of COVID-19 symptoms [3–6]. Enormous mainstream people experienced mild to moderate respiratory illnesses [7]. However, some of individuals would improve complexities of respiratory disappointment or severe respiratory suffering signs. Further, few studies emphasize that more than 80% of the individuals are asymptomatic infection carriers like they perceive no or mild side effects [8]. Thus, the recognition and control of SARS-CoV-2 disease become considerably more sophisticated. To this, various COVID-19 alleviation procedures have been adjusted so far, for example, self-protection, lockdown, quarantine, or isolation, with the end goal of decreasing community transmission of the disease.

One of the most commonly adopted mathematical epidemiological models is the SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model. It characterizes the epidemic dynamics, predicts possible contagion scenarios, and simulates the time-histories of an epidemic phenomenon. The people who still can not seem to be contaminated by the virus represented by compartment S (susceptible). Infected individuals who showed symptoms and can spread the virus to the susceptible compartment. Finally, R (recovered), individuals who have recovered—beside, expected to have an immune acknowledgment to the virus [9–14]. But SARS-CoV-2 is a novel virus as well as we have exceptionally constrained information about this disease. Many scholars of the entire world investigated this pandemic’s control measures [15–18]. The readers are requested to read some work of covid-19 [19–25]. Lacking proper treatment and vaccination, computational simulation with self-protection, physical distance, the lockdown situation, a great deal of testing, quarantine, and isolation would be played a significant role in analyzing and controlling the current pandemic. Considering such cases, we modified the usual SIR model to the SLTI\textsubscript{a}d\textsubscript{medLdQ}\textsubscript{LdRDP} model.

Furthermore, many researchers from various disciplines have recently given deep concentration to the theory of fractional calculus and fractional differential equations [26–32]. As a measure of fact, it mentioned that fractional derivatives are beneficial for
modeling many real-worlds problems due to memory and the universal properties \([33–36]\). As a result, the importance and potential application enlarged day by day \([37–39]\). The fractional-order differential equations supplement new dimensions in the investigation of epidemiological models. Yadav and Rene’s first time developed the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative model of COVID-19 \([40]\). Subsequently, many studies \([38,41–44]\) address the COVID-19 fractional-order differential model. In this work, we introduce Caputo fractional derivative \([45]\) approach to our proposed epidemic model.

This research aims to model and analyze a modified SIR mathematical epidemic model by considering all possible control measures. Beside, we represent the proposed model through a Caputo fractional order derivative. Fixed point theory has been used to analyze the Caputo fractional-order derivative model’s existence and uniqueness solutions. Also, for numerical simulation, we applied the Adams–Bashforth–Moulton numerical scheme. The analysis of thirteen compartments and the concentration of COVID-19 in the surrounding circumstances concerning time for several fractional-order derivative values have been theoretically investigated and graphically manifested.

The development of this work is as follows. The formulation of the model is elaborately discussed in \textbf{Section 2}. In \textbf{Section 3}, we present a fractional model using Caputo fractional derivatives, where the fractional order of differentiation is \(p\). Calibration of the epidemic model is given in \textbf{Section 4}. In \textbf{Section 5}, we offer some numerical results through the graphs. The concluding words are given in \textbf{Section 6}.

2. Mathematical model formulation

The proposed model displays the dynamics of thirteen compartments (Fig. 1), namely susceptible \((S(t))\), lockdown \((L(t))\), tested state \((T(t))\), infected \((I(t))\) (asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic-infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected), asymptomatic infected with detected \(A_g(t)\), symptomatic infected with not detected \(I_{sd}(t)\), symptomatic infected with detected \(I_{sd}(t)\), quarantine \((Q(t))\), isolated or hospitalized \((J(t))\), life-threatening condition \((L(t))\), recovered \((R(t))\), death \((D(t))\), and self-protected \((P(t))\). [The proposed model has a lot of recovery and death parameters, and their determination is more complicated. As a result, the recovered and death compartments are absent in the Schematic flow diagram.]

\[
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -p_1\beta S(t)\{o\lambda(t) + \varepsilon A_g(t) + \tau I_{sd}(t) + I_{sd}(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)\} -I_0 S(t) + I_0 L(t) - t \omega S(t) T(t) + h T(t) + \eta Q(t) - \alpha S(t),
\]

\[
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \beta S(t)\{o\lambda(t) + \varepsilon A_g(t) + \tau I_{sd}(t) + I_{sd}(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)\} - (\gamma + q + r_0)I(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)
\]

\[
\frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} = \tau_1 T(t) - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1)A_g(t).
\]

\[
\frac{dI_{sd}(t)}{dt} = \gamma I(t) - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2)I_{sd}(t).
\]

\[
\frac{dI_{sd}(t)}{dt} = \tau_2 T(t) - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3)I_{sd}(t).
\]
\[
\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = q(t) - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4)Q(t),
\]
(1.8)

\[
\frac{dj(t)}{dt} = j_1A(t) + j_2I_{ld}(t) + j_3I_{d}(t) + j_4Q(t) - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5)j(t).
\]
(1.9)

\[
\frac{dl(t)}{dt} = \lambda_1A(t) + \lambda_2I_{ld}(t) + \lambda_3I_{d}(t) + \lambda_4Q(t) + \lambda_5j(t) - (r_6 + d_6)l(t).
\]
(1.10)

\[
\frac{dr(t)}{dt} = r_1A(t) + r_2I_{ld}(t) + r_3I_{d}(t) + r_4Q(t) + r_5j(t) + r_6l(t),
\]
(1.11)

\[
\frac{dD(t)}{dt} = d_1A(t) + d_2I_{ld}(t) + d_3I_{d}(t) + d_4Q(t) + d_5j(t) + d_6l(t).
\]
(1.12)

\[
\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \alpha S(t).
\]
(1.13)

The total population

\[
N(t) = S(t) + L(t) + T(t) + I(t) + A(t) + I_{ld}(t) + I_{d}(t) + Q(t) + j(t) + L(t) + R(t) + D(t) + P(t).
\]
(1.14)

Now, if the vector of the state variable is,

\[x = \begin{pmatrix} S(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} L(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{ld}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{d}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Q(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} j(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} L(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} R(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} D(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} P(t) \end{pmatrix}\]

and \(f: R^{13} \rightarrow R^{13}\)

Then the right side of the proposed model (Eqs. (1.11)-(1.13)) is a continuously differentiable function on \(R^{13}\). Necessarily, a novel clarification of (1.11-1.14) exists in \(\Omega\) for any initial condition and remains for its maximal existence interval [46]. Therefore, the proposed model is well-defined in biological meaning. Also, according to Nabi et al. [43], the model’s solution is positive for all \(t \geq 0\) and bounded by the total population \(N(t)\) (Eq. (1.14)). Thus, at any time, each compartment is considered to be in one of the following thirteen possible states.

(i) Susceptible individuals, \(S(t)\): Initially, the susceptible is the fraction of the total population subject to the infected individuals (Eq. (1.11)). The suspected susceptible population is increased by the net inflow of people from quarantine and other compartments and diminished by self-protected and natural death rates. The susceptible populations likewise decrease the following disease, obtained by contact between susceptible and infected people, who might be contacting asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected, asymptomatic infected (detected), symptomatic infected (not detected), symptomatic infected (detected) and isolated individuals. The transmission coefficient for these classes of infected individuals is \(\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_\tau, \beta, \beta_\phi\) and \(\beta_\sigma\) respectively. Here, the primary transmission coefficient of infectious and contact rates is \(\beta\). The change parameter \(\phi\) represents different levels of hygiene precautionary measures during quarantine.

(ii) Lockdown individuals, \(L(t)\): These are the people who have followed lockdown policies. The lockdown compartment refers to susceptible individuals staying at home and staying safe from the virus. Here, we quantify the lockdown open and close mechanism by using the Heaviside function.

\[
l_0[d_1, d_2] = \begin{cases} 0, & t \notin [d_1, d_2] \\ 1, & t \in [d_1, d_2] \end{cases}
\]
(2.1)

where, \(d_1 = \) lockdown starting time and \(d_2 = \) lockdown ending time.

(iii) Tested individuals, \(T(t)\): One of the powerful tactics to control the spread of the disease is testing the susceptible population. Lack of plethora of testing undetected infected people generously the asymptomatic individual who sustains the environment and spreads the epidemic. The people of this compartment that is healthy again is susceptible, and detected individuals tested positive at the rate \(r_1\) and \(r_2\).

(iv) Asymptomatic infected, mild-symptomatic infected, and minor or moderate infected but not detected individuals, \(I(t)\): The asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected individuals are the entire populations. They are infected by the SARS-CoV-2 virus but have no apparent substantial clinical side effects yet. This period is known as the latent phase, and at this juncture, a disease can be infectious or partially infectious. This compartment population is lessened by an infected (symptomatic and asymptomatic, which is identified by the test), symptomatic infected (not detected), quarantine and recover at the rates \(r_3\) and \(r_6\).

(v) Asymptomatic infected, detected individuals, \(A(t)\): It is one of the most hazardous components of any transmissible disease. Generally, people are not apparent by the clinical symptoms of COVID-19. As a result, the disease spreads smoothly. Furthermore, one is confirmed by testing at the rate \(r_1\). Finally, the isolation rate \(j_1\), life-threatening \(\lambda_1\), recovery rate \(r_2\), and disease-induced death \(d_1\) decreased this compartment population.

(vi) Symptomatic infected, not detected individuals, \(I_{ld}(t)\): These are the people of symptomatic infected but not detected. They have mild clinical symptoms of COVID-19 after the latent period. But did not detect due to scarcity of testing, financial crisis, and lack of knowledge of the disease. The isolation rate \(j_2\), life-threatening \(\lambda_2\), recovery rate \(r_2\), and disease-induced death \(d_2\) decreased this compartment population.

(vii) Symptomatic with detected individuals, \(I_{d}(t)\): These are the people who have been apparent the growth of clinical symptoms of COVID 19 after the latent period and confirmed by testing at the rate \(r_2\). The isolation rate \(j_3\), life-threatening \(\lambda_3\), recovery rate \(r_3\), and disease-induced death \(d_3\) decreased this compartment population.

(viii) Quarantined individuals, \(Q(t)\): These are the people who have been contracting with a source of SARS-CoV-2 virus at rates \(q\) asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected. The practical reality is that sometimes a few
uninfected characters also entered the quarantined compartment, which substantially lessens the model. The population of this class diminished by the improvement of clinical side effects at a rate \( j_4 \) with removal to the isolated compartment, recovery rate \( r_5 \), life-threatening rate \( \lambda_4 \), and disease-induced death \( d_4 \).

(ix) **Isolated individuals, \( I(t) \):** The isolation or hospitalization compartment simply represents people who are self-isolated in-home, institute or occupy a bed in a hospital. These are the people who have been established clinical symptoms and isolated like hospitalization. These originate from asymptomatic infected (detected), symptomatic infected (not detected), symptomatic infected (detected), quarantine class at rates \( j_1, j_2, j_3 \) and \( j_4 \) respectively. Life-threatening rate \( \lambda_5 \), recovery rate \( r_5 \) and disease-induced death rate \( d_5 \) decreased this compartment population.

(x) **Life-threatening individuals, \( L(t) \):** In the life-threatening compartment, \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \) and \( \lambda_5 \) respectively denote the rate at which asymptomatic infected (detected), symptomatic infected (not detected), symptomatic infected (detected), quarantine, isolated subjects develop life-threatening symptoms, respectively. Recovery rate \( r_6 \) and disease-induced death rate \( d_6 \) decreased this compartment population.

(xi) **Recovered individuals, \( R(t) \):** It is assumed that recovered people have permanent immunity against the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Asymptomatic, mild-symmetric infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected, asymptomatic infected (detected), symptomatic infected (not detected), symptomatic infected (detected), quarantine, isolated, and life-threatening individuals are recovered from the disease at rates \( r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5 \) and \( r_6 \) respectively.

(xii) **Dead individuals, \( D(t) \):** Asymptomatic infected (detected), symptomatic infected (not detected), symptomatic infected (detected), quarantine, isolated, and life-threatening individuals are passing at rates \( d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5 \) and \( d_6 \) respectively.

(xiii) **Self-protected individuals, \( P(t) \):** In this compartment, individuals who have been conscious performed self-protection measures against viral diseases by using virus protecting tools at the rate \( \alpha \).

### 2.1. Basic reproduction number \( R_0 \)

The disease-free equilibrium’s local stability and instability depend on the value of the reproduction number \( R_0 \). Also, it identifies the threshold for the disease-free equilibrium local stability. Furthermore, it plays an essential role in controlling the disease and leading epidemiological indicators of disease. When \( R_0 < 1 \), the disease-free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable; a small amount of infection into the population may cause it to evolve into an endemic prevalence. On the other hand, when \( R_0 > 1 \), the disease-free equilibrium is locally unstable; a sufficiently small number of infected people will generate an outbreak. Here, \( R_0 \) is deduced from the system of non-linear ODE’s (Eqs. (11)-(14)) by the next generation matrix approach [47].

Based on the above proposed system of non-linear ODE’s model, the disease-free equilibrium point is \((0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\).
\[ a_{22} = \frac{p_d \beta \omega}{v_2} + \frac{p_d \beta \tau \gamma}{v_2 v_4} + \frac{p_d \beta \phi q}{v_2 v_6} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma (j_4 q v_1 v_3 v_5 v_6 + \gamma j_2 v_1 v_3 v_5 v_6 v_8)}{v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8} \]

\[ a_{23} = \frac{p_d \beta \epsilon}{v_3} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma j_1}{v_3 v_7} \]

\[ a_{24} = \frac{p_d \beta \tau}{v_4} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma j_2}{v_4 v_7} \]

\[ a_{25} = \frac{p_d \beta}{v_5} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma j_3}{v_5 v_7} \]

\[ a_{26} = \frac{p_d \beta \phi}{v_6} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma j_4}{v_6 v_7} \]

\[ a_{27} = \frac{p_d \beta \sigma}{v_7} \]

According to the next-generation matrix approach, the basic reproduction number \( R_0 \) is the largest Eigenvalue of \( |FV|^{-1} \).

Thus, \( R_0 = \rho(|FV|^{-1}) \), where \( \rho \) is the spectral radius of \( |FV|^{-1} \).

\[ R_0 = \frac{p_d \beta \omega}{v_2} + \frac{p_d \beta \tau \gamma}{v_2 v_4} + \frac{p_d \beta \phi q}{v_2 v_6} + \frac{p_d \beta \sigma (j_4 q v_1 v_3 v_5 v_6 + \gamma j_2 v_1 v_3 v_5 v_6 v_8)}{v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8} \quad (2.5) \]

2.2. Strength number

The concept of the reproduction number discussed above has been widely used in epidemiology for assessing whether or not the spread will exist. However, a reproduction number could not specify whether a model has formed the waves or not. To determine the complications in the epidemic spread and assist in detecting the waves, we consider a new number approach termed the strength number, derived using the next-generation matrix by taking the second derivative of infectious classes.

For determining the strength number (SN) of the proposed model, we assume the total population is finite (N). Then the mass and standard action incidence have no difference.

Thus,

\[ p_d \beta \omega SI = \frac{p_d \beta \omega SI}{N} \quad (2.6) \]

Now, according to Atangana et al. \([48,49]\)

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{p_d \beta \omega SI}{N} \right) = p_d \beta \omega S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( N - \dot{N} t \frac{N}{N^2} \right) \quad (2.7) \]

\[ = - \frac{p_d \beta \omega S}{N^2} \]

Similarly,

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{p_d \beta \epsilon SA_d}{N} \right) = - \frac{p_d \beta \epsilon S}{N^2} \quad (2.8) \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{p_d \beta \tau SI_{ind}}{N} \right) = - \frac{p_d \beta \tau S}{N^2} \quad (2.9) \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{p_d \beta \sigma S}{N} \right) = - \frac{p_d \beta \sigma S}{N^2} \quad (2.11) \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{p_d \beta \phi SQ}{N} \right) = - \frac{p_d \beta \phi S}{N^2} \quad (2.12) \]

In this case,

\[
F_{SN} = \begin{bmatrix}
  r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & -\frac{p_d \beta \omega}{N} & -\frac{p_d \beta \epsilon}{N} & -\frac{p_d \beta \tau}{N} & -\frac{p_d \beta \sigma}{N} & -\frac{p_d \beta \phi}{N} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (2.13)
\]
Then
\[ \text{Det}(F_N V^{-1} - \lambda I_k) = 0 \] (2.14)

Therefore, strength number,
\[ SN = -\left[p_d \beta \omega \frac{N^2 \nu_2}{N^2 \nu_2 v_2} + p_d \beta \gamma \frac{N^2 \nu_2 v_4}{N^2 \nu_2 v_2} + p_d \beta \phi q \frac{(j_4 q v_1 v_1 v_1 v_2 + \gamma j_2 v_1 v_1 v_1 v_2 v_5)}{N^2 \nu_1 v_1 v_1 v_1 v_2 v_2 v_2 v_2} \right] < 0 \] (2.15)

\( SN = 0 \) indicates that the spread will not renew and will hence have a single magnitude and die out. \( SN > 0 \) suggests sufficient strength to initiate the renewal phase, implying that the spread will have more than one wave. On the other hand, biologists will offer a clear explanation of the quantity, as mentioned earlier, which will be proven when the second derivative of infectious classes is studied.

2.3. The first derivative of the Lyapunov function

The endemic equilibrium \( E^* \) for the endemic Lyapunov function is \( \{S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{ndd}, I_{d}, Q, J, L_t, R, D, P \} \). \( L_f < 0 \).

**Theorem 1.** The endemic equilibrium points \( E^* \) in the SLTAQ\( _{\text{ndd}} \)QQLRDP model are globally asymptotically stable when the reproductive number \( R_0 > 1 \).

**Proof.** For proof of the theorem, the Lyapunov function can be expressed as follows

\[
L_f(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{ndd}, I_d, Q, J, L_t, R, D, P) = \left( S - S^* - S^* \log \frac{S}{S^*} \right) + \left( L - L^* - L^* \log \frac{L}{L^*} \right) + \left( T - T^* - T^* \log \frac{T}{T^*} \right) + \left( I - I^* - I^* \log \frac{I}{I^*} \right) + \left( A_d - A_d^* - A_d^* \log \frac{A_d}{A_d^*} \right) + \left( I_{ndd} - I_{ndd}^* - I_{ndd}^* \log \frac{I_{ndd}}{I_{ndd}^*} \right) + \left( I_d - I_d^* - I_d^* \log \frac{I_d}{I_d^*} \right) + \left( Q - Q^* - Q^* \log \frac{Q}{Q^*} \right) + \left( J - J^* - J^* \log \frac{J}{J^*} \right) + \left( L_t - L_t^* - L_t^* \log \frac{L_t}{L_t^*} \right) + \left( R - R^* - R^* \log \frac{R}{R^*} \right) + \left( D - D^* - D^* \log \frac{D}{D^*} \right) + \left( P - P^* - P^* \log \frac{P}{P^*} \right)
\] (2.16)

Differentiating both sides concerning \( t \) yields

\[
\frac{dL_f}{dt} = L_f = \left( \frac{S - S^*}{S} \right) \frac{dS}{dt} + \left( \frac{L - L^*}{L} \right) \frac{dL}{dt} + \left( \frac{T - T^*}{T} \right) \frac{dT}{dt} + \left( \frac{I - I^*}{I} \right) \frac{dI}{dt} + \left( \frac{A_d - A_d^*}{A_d} \right) \frac{dA_d}{dt} + \left( \frac{I_{ndd} - I_{ndd}^*}{I_{ndd}} \right) \frac{dI_{ndd}}{dt} + \left( \frac{I_d - I_d^*}{I_d} \right) \frac{dI_d}{dt} + \left( \frac{Q - Q^*}{Q} \right) \frac{dQ}{dt} + \left( \frac{J - J^*}{J} \right) \frac{dJ}{dt} + \left( \frac{L_t - L_t^*}{L_t} \right) \frac{dL_t}{dt} + \left( \frac{R - R^*}{R} \right) \frac{dR}{dt} + \left( \frac{D - D^*}{D} \right) \frac{dD}{dt} + \left( \frac{P - P^*}{P} \right) \frac{dP}{dt}
\] (2.17)

Applying the values of \( S, I, T, I, \dot{A}_d, \dot{I}_{ndd}, \dot{I}_d, Q, J, L_t, \dot{R}, \dot{D}, \dot{P} \) in Eq. (2.17), then we get,

\[
\frac{dL_f}{dt} = \left( \frac{S - S^*}{S} \right) \left\{ -p_d \beta S (\sigma L + \epsilon A_d + \xi I_{ndd} + \eta I_d + \phi Q + \sigma J) - p_d S L - l_t S T + h T + \eta Q - \alpha S \right\} + \left( \frac{L - L^*}{L} \right) \left\{ (\alpha + \epsilon + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) \right\} A_d + \left( \frac{T - T^*}{T} \right) \left\{ (h + \tau_1 + \tau_2) \right\} T + \left( \frac{I - I^*}{I} \right) \left\{ p_d S (\sigma L + \epsilon A_d + \xi I_{ndd} + \eta I_d + \phi Q + \sigma J) - (\gamma + q + r_0) \right\} I + \left( \frac{A_d - A_d^*}{A_d} \right) \left\{ \tau I - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) \right\} A_d + \left( \frac{I_{ndd} - I_{ndd}^*}{I_{ndd}} \right) \left\{ \gamma I - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) I_{ndd} \right\} + \left( \frac{I_d - I_d^*}{I_d} \right) \left\{ \tau_2 I - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) I_d \right\} + \left( \frac{Q - Q^*}{Q} \right) \left\{ \eta I - (j + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) Q \right\} + \left( \frac{J - J^*}{J} \right) \left\{ j_4 A_d + j_2 I_{ndd} + j_3 I_d + j_4 Q - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) \right\} J + \left( \frac{L_t - L_t^*}{L_t} \right) \left\{ \lambda_1 A_d + \lambda_2 I_{ndd} + \lambda_3 I_d + \lambda_4 Q + \lambda_5 J - (r_6 + d_6) \right\} L_t + \left( \frac{R - R^*}{R} \right) \left\{ r_0 I + r_1 A_d + r_2 I_{ndd} + r_3 I_d + r_4 Q + r_5 J + r_6 L_t \right\}
\]
Putting \( S = S - S', L = L - L', T = T - T', I = I - I', A_d = A_d - A_d', I_{\text{ind}} = I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}', I_d = I_d - I_d', Q = Q - Q', J = J - J', L_e = L_e - L_e', R = R - R', D = D - D', P = P - P' \) in Eq. (2.18), then

\[
\frac{dL_f}{dt} = \left[ -p_d b(S - S') \left\{ \omega (I - I') + \varepsilon (A_d - A_d') + \tau (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + (I_d - I_d') + \phi (Q - Q') + \sigma (J - J') \right\} \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{L - L}{L} \right) \left( l_{\text{eff}} (S - S') - l_d (L - L') \right) \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{T - T}{T} \right) \left( l_{t} (S - S') (T - T') - (h + \tau_1 + \tau_2) (T - T') \right) \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{I - I}{I} \right) \left[ p_d b (S - S') \left\{ \omega (I - I') + \varepsilon (A_d - A_d') + \tau (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + (I_d - I_d') + \phi (Q - Q') + \sigma (J - J') \right\} - (\gamma + q + r_0) (I - I') \right. \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{A_d - A_d'}{A_d} \right) \left\{ t_1 (T - T') - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) (A_d - A_d') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}'}{I_{\text{ind}}} \right) \left\{ \gamma (I - I') - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{I_d - I_d'}{I_d} \right) \left\{ t_2 (T - T') - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) (I_d - I_d') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{Q - Q'}{Q} \right) \left\{ q (I - I') - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) (Q - Q') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{I - I}{I} \right) \left[ j_1 (A_d - A_d') + j_2 (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + j_3 (I_d - I_d') + j_4 (Q - Q') - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) (J - J') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{L_e - L_e'}{L_e} \right) \left\{ \lambda_1 (A_d - A_d') + \lambda_2 (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + \lambda_3 I_d + \lambda_4 (Q - Q') + \lambda_5 (J - J') - (r_6 + d_6) (L_e - L_e') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{R - R'}{R} \right) \left\{ r_0 (I - I') + r_1 (A_d - A_d') + r_2 (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + r_3 (I_d - I_d') + r_4 (Q - Q') + r_5 (J - J') + r_6 (L_e - L_e') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{D - D'}{D} \right) \left\{ d_1 (A_d - A_d') + d_2 (I_{\text{ind}} - I_{\text{ind}}') + d_3 (I_d - I_d') + d_4 (Q - Q') + d_5 (J - J') + d_6 (L_e - L_e') \right\} \right. \]

\[
+ \left. \left( \frac{P - P'}{P} \right) \left\{ \alpha (S - S') \right\} \right. \]

\[
(2.19)
\]

For avoiding complexity, the above equation can be written in the following form

\[
\frac{dL_f}{dt} = \Pi - \Omega
\]

\[
(2.20)
\]

where

\[
\Pi = p_d b (\omega I + \varepsilon A_d + \tau I_{\text{ind}} + I_d + \phi Q + J + \sigma J) \left( \frac{S - S'}{S} \right)^2 + l_d L + l_d S \frac{S}{L} L
\]

\[
+ t_l (S - S')^2 T - h T + h S \frac{T}{S} T + \eta Q + \eta S \frac{S}{Q} Q + l_u S + l_u \frac{L}{S} S + t_l (T - T')^2 T
\]

\[
+ \left( p_d b S + p_d b \frac{L}{T} \right) (\omega I + \varepsilon A_d + \tau I_{\text{ind}} + I_d + \phi Q + \sigma J) + t_l T
\]

\[
+ \frac{A_d}{A_d} T + \gamma I + I_{\text{ind}} I + I_d T + I_{\text{ind}}^2 T + q I + q S \frac{S}{Q} Q + j_1 A_d + j_2 I_{\text{ind}}
\]

\[
+ j_3 I_d + j_4 Q + j_1 T A_d + j_2 T I_{\text{ind}} + j_3 T I_d + j_4 T Q + \lambda_1 A_d + \lambda_2 I_{\text{ind}}
\]

\[
+ \lambda_3 I_d + \lambda_4 Q + \lambda_5 J + \lambda_1 \frac{L}{L} A_d^2 + \lambda_2 \frac{L}{L} I_{\text{ind}}^2 + \lambda_3 \frac{L}{L} I_d^2 + \lambda_4 \frac{L}{L} Q^2
\]

\[
+ \lambda_5 \frac{L}{L} \frac{L}{L} + r_0 + r_1 A_d + r_2 I_{\text{ind}} + r_3 I_d + r_4 Q + r_5
\]

\[
+ r_6 L_e + d_1 A_d + d_2 \frac{D}{D} A_d^2 + d_3 \frac{D}{D} I_{\text{ind}}^2 + d_4 \frac{D}{D} I_d^2 + d_5 \frac{D}{D} Q^2
\]

\[
+ d_6 \frac{D}{D} \frac{L}{L} + \alpha S + \frac{P}{P} \alpha S
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
\Omega &= p_d \beta (\sigma \omega + e A_d + \tau I_{nd} + I_d + \phi Q + \sigma f) \left( \frac{(S - S')^2}{S} + \frac{(S - S')^2}{S} + l_i L + l_d L + l_i L' L 
+ \frac{e}{l_i} \left( \frac{(S - S')^2}{S} + h S' T + \eta T^* + \eta S' Q + \frac{\alpha (S - S')^2}{S} + l_i S' + l_d L' S 
+ \frac{l_i (T - T')^2}{L} + \frac{l_d (T - T')^2}{L} + \frac{(h + \tau_1 + \tau_2) (T - T')^2}{T} \right) \right) \\
&+ \left( p_d \beta S' + p_d \beta \frac{T'}{T} \right) (\sigma \omega + e A_d + \tau I_{nd} + I_d + \phi Q + \sigma f) + (\gamma + q + r_0) \left( \frac{(I - I')^2}{I} \right) \\
+ \frac{h + \tau_1 + \tau_2}{T} (T - T') \right) \right) \\
&+ (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) \left( \frac{(I_{nd} - I_{nd})^2}{I_{nd}} \right) + \tau_2 T^* + \tau_2 \frac{P_{nd}}{I_{nd}} T \\
&+ (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) \left( \frac{(I_{nd} - I_{nd})^2}{I_{nd}} \right) + q I^* + \frac{Q^*}{Q} \\
&+ (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) \left( \frac{(Q - Q')^2}{Q} \right) + j_1 A_d + j_2 I_{nd} + j_3 I_d + j_4 Q^* \\
&+ j_1 \frac{T'}{T} A_d + j_2 \frac{I_{nd}}{I_{nd}} + j_3 \frac{T'}{T} I_d + j_4 \frac{T'}{T} Q + (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) \left( \frac{(J - J')^2}{J} \right) \\
&+ \lambda_1 A_d^* + \lambda_2 I_{nd}^* + \lambda_3 I_d^* + \lambda_4 Q^* + \lambda_5 J^* + \lambda_6 \frac{I_{nd}^*}{I_{nd}} A_d + \lambda_7 \frac{T'}{T} I_{nd} \\
&+ \lambda_8 I_{nd}^* I_d + \lambda_9 \frac{I_{nd}^*}{I_{nd}} Q + \lambda_9 \frac{I_{nd}^*}{I_{nd}} J + (r_6 + d_6) \left( \frac{(I - I')^2}{I} \right) + r_6 J^* + r_1 A_d^* \\
&+ r_2 I_{nd}^* + r_3 I_d^* + r_4 Q^* + r_5 J^* + r_6 L^* + r_0 \frac{R^*}{R} + r_1 \frac{R^*}{R} A_d + r_2 \frac{R^*}{R} I_{nd} \\
&+ r_3 \frac{R^*}{R} I_{nd}^* + r_4 \frac{R^*}{R} Q + r_5 \frac{R^*}{R} J + r_6 \frac{R^*}{R} L^* + d_1 A_d^* + d_2 \frac{D^*}{D} I_{nd} \\
&+ d_3 I_{nd}^* + d_4 Q^* + d_5 J^* + d_6 L^* + d_7 \frac{D^*}{D} A_d + d_8 \frac{D^*}{D} I_{nd} \\
&+ d_9 \frac{D^*}{D} I_{nd}^* + d_10 \frac{D^*}{D} Q + d_11 \frac{D^*}{D} J + d_12 \frac{D^*}{D} L^* + \alpha S^* + \frac{p^*}{P} \alpha S \\
\end{align*} \]

Taking everything into consideration, if \( \Pi < \Omega \), then \( \frac{dI}{dt} < 0 \). Therefore, when \( S = S', L = L', T = T', I = I', A_d = A_d', I_{nd} = I_{nd}', I_d = I_d', Q = Q', J = J', L_i = L_i', R = R', D = D', P = P' \) Eq. (2.20) can be written as

\[ 0 = \Pi - \Omega \]  

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \]  

Thus, the most prominent compact invariant set for the proposed model

\[ \{ S', L', T', I', A_d', I_{nd}', I_d', Q', J', L_i', R', D', P' \} \in \Gamma : \frac{dI}{dt} = 0 \]  

is the endemic equilibrium of the suggested model at the point \( E^* \). It follows that \( E^* \) is globally asymptotically stable in \( \Gamma \) if \( \Pi < \Omega \) according to Lasalle’s invariance.

2.4. The second derivative of the Lyapunov function

The first derivative of the Lyapunov function is used to assess the global stability of endemic equilibrium points. The first derivative analysis provides essential information that the second derivative analysis may supplement without loss of generality. For example, the second derivative of these Lyapunov functions tells us the curvature according to its sign, and the first derivative offers us information on the disease’s progress. We are confident that the second derivative will provide further insights.

\[ \frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{S'}{S}\right)S + \left(1 - \frac{L'}{L}\right)L + \left(1 - \frac{T'}{T}\right)T + \left(1 - \frac{I'}{I}\right)I + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}ight)A_d + \left(1 - \frac{I_{nd}}{I_{nd}}\right)I_{nd} + \left(1 - \frac{I_d}{I_d}\right)I_d + \left(1 - \frac{Q^*}{Q}\right)Q \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{J^*}{J}\right)J + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\right)I_i + \left(1 - \frac{R^*}{R}\right)R + \left(1 - \frac{D^*}{D}\right)D + \left(1 - \frac{P^*}{P}\right)P \right\} \\
= \left( \frac{S}{S} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{L}{L} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{T}{T} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{I}{I} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{A_d}{A_d} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{I_{nd}}{I_{nd}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{I_d}{I_d} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{Q^*}{Q} \right)^2 \]
Thus, 

$$\frac{dl_f}{dt} = \left(\frac{S}{\dot{S}}\right)^2 \dot{S} + \left(\frac{i}{L}\right)^2 \dot{L} + \left(\frac{T}{\dot{T}}\right)^2 \dot{T} + \left(\frac{i}{\dot{I}}\right)^2 \dot{I} + \left(\frac{A_d}{\dot{A}_d}\right)^2 \dot{A}_d + \left(\frac{i_{\text{ind}}}{l_{\text{ind}}}\right)^2 \dot{l}_{\text{ind}} + \left(\frac{i_{\text{ad}}}{l_{\text{ad}}}\right)^2 \dot{l}_{\text{ad}} + \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 \dot{R} + \left(\frac{\dot{D}}{D}\right)^2 \dot{D} + \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\dot{P}}\right)^2 \dot{P}$$ 

(2.23)

Here,

$$\dot{S} = -p_d \beta S(\omega I + \epsilon A_d + \tau l_{\text{ind}} + l_{\text{ad}} + \phi Q + \sigma f) - p_d \beta S(\omega I + \epsilon A_d + \tau l_{\text{ind}} + l_{\text{ad}} + \phi Q + \sigma f) - l_0 \dot{S} + l_p \dot{L} - t_0 \dot{S} - t_0 \dot{T} + h \dot{T} + \eta \dot{Q} - \alpha \dot{S}$$

$$\dot{L} = l_0 \dot{S} - l_p \dot{L}$$

$$\dot{T} = t_0 \dot{S} + t_0 \dot{T} - (h + t_1 + t_2) \dot{T}$$

$$\dot{I} = p_d \beta S(\omega I + \epsilon A_d + \tau l_{\text{ind}} + l_{\text{ad}} + \phi Q + \sigma f) + p_d \beta S(\omega I + \epsilon A_d + \tau l_{\text{ind}} + l_{\text{ad}} + \phi Q + \sigma f) - (\gamma + q + r_0) \dot{I}$$

$$\dot{A}_d = \tau_1 \dot{T} - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) \dot{A}_d$$

$$\dot{l}_{\text{ind}} = \gamma \dot{I} - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) \dot{l}_{\text{ind}}$$

$$\dot{l}_{\text{ad}} = \tau_2 \dot{T} - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) \dot{l}_{\text{ad}}$$

$$\dot{Q} = q \dot{I} - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) \dot{Q}$$

$$\dot{f} = j_1 \dot{A}_d + j_2 \dot{l}_{\text{ind}} + j_3 \dot{l}_{\text{ad}} + j_4 \dot{Q} - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) \dot{f}$$

$$\dot{L}_t = \lambda_1 \dot{A}_d + \lambda_2 \dot{l}_{\text{ind}} + \lambda_3 \dot{l}_{\text{ad}} + \lambda_4 \dot{Q} + \lambda_5 \dot{f} - (r_6 + d_6) \dot{L}_t$$

$$\dot{R} = r_0 \dot{I} + r_1 \dot{A}_d + r_2 \dot{l}_{\text{ind}} + r_3 \dot{l}_{\text{ad}} + r_4 \dot{Q} + r_5 \dot{f} + r_6 \dot{L}_t$$

$$\dot{D} = d_1 \dot{A}_d + d_2 \dot{l}_{\text{ind}} + d_3 \dot{l}_{\text{ad}} + d_4 \dot{Q} + d_5 \dot{f} + d_6 \dot{L}_t$$

$$\dot{\rho} = \alpha \dot{S}$$
\[
\begin{align*}
+ \left(1 - \frac{I_{\text{rad}}}{I_{\text{rad}}^*}\right) \{\gamma I - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2)I_{\text{rad}}\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{I_{\text{ld}}}{I_{\text{ld}}^*}\right) \{\tau_2 \dot{T} - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3)I_{\text{ld}}\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{Q_s}{Q}\right) \{q \dot{I} - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4)Q\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{F}{T}\right) \left(\hat{J}_d \dot{A}_d + j_1 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + j_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + j_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + j_4 \dot{Q} - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5)J\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{L^*}{L}\right) \left(\lambda_1 \dot{A}_d + \lambda_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + \lambda_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + \lambda_4 \dot{Q} + \lambda_5 I - (r_6 + d_6)I\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{R^*}{R}\right) \left(r_0 I + r_1 \dot{A}_d + r_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + r_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + r_4 \dot{Q} + r_5 J + r_6 \dot{S}\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{D^*}{D}\right) \left(\hat{J}_d \dot{A}_d + d_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + d_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + d_4 \dot{Q} + d_5 J + d_6 \dot{S}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{P^*}{P}\right) \alpha S \\
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\frac{d^2 L_I}{dt^2} = \dot{\Pi} (S, \dot{L}, \dot{I}, \dot{J}, \dot{A}_d, \dot{I}_{\text{rad}}, \dot{I}_{\text{ld}}, \dot{Q}, \dot{J}, \dot{L}, R, D, P)
\]

\[
+ \left(1 - \frac{S^*}{S}\right) \{-p_0 \dot{S} (\omega I + \varepsilon \dot{A}_d + \tau I_{\text{rad}} + I_{\text{ld}} + \phi \dot{Q} + \sigma J) \right) \\
- p_0 \dot{S} (\omega I + \varepsilon \dot{A}_d + \tau I_{\text{rad}} + I_{\text{ld}} + \phi \dot{Q} + \sigma J) - l_0 \dot{S} - I_0 \dot{L} - t \dot{S} T \\
- t_0 \dot{S} T + h \dot{T} + \eta \dot{Q} - \alpha S + \left(1 - \frac{L^*}{L}\right) (l_0 \dot{S} - l_0 \dot{L}) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{L^*}{L}\right) (t_0 \dot{T} + t_0 \dot{S} T - (h + t_1 + t_2) \dot{T}) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{L^*}{L}\right) \left(p_0 \dot{S} (\omega I + \varepsilon \dot{A}_d + \tau I_{\text{rad}} + I_{\text{ld}} + \phi \dot{Q} + \sigma J) - (\gamma + q + r_0) \dot{I}\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{A^*_d}{A_d}\right) \left(t_1 \dot{T} - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) \dot{A}_d\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{I^*_{\text{rad}}}{I_{\text{rad}}^*}\right) \{\gamma I - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2)I_{\text{rad}}\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{I^*_{\text{ld}}}{I_{\text{ld}}^*}\right) \{\tau_2 \dot{T} - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3)I_{\text{ld}}\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{Q^*_s}{Q}\right) \{q I - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4)Q\} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{F}{T}\right) \left(\hat{J}_d \dot{A}_d + j_1 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + j_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + j_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + j_4 \dot{Q} - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5)J\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{L^*}{L}\right) \left(\lambda_1 \dot{A}_d + \lambda_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + \lambda_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + \lambda_4 \dot{Q} + \lambda_5 I - (r_6 + d_6)I\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{R^*}{R}\right) \left(r_0 I + r_1 \dot{A}_d + r_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + r_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + r_4 \dot{Q} + r_5 J + r_6 \dot{S}\right) \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{D^*}{D}\right) \left(\hat{J}_d \dot{A}_d + d_2 \dot{I}_{\text{rad}} + d_3 \dot{I}_{\text{ld}} + d_4 \dot{Q} + d_5 J + d_6 \dot{S}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{P^*}{P}\right) \alpha S \\
\]

(2.24)

Now substitute \(S, \dot{L}, \dot{I}, \dot{J}, \dot{A}_d, \dot{I}_{\text{rad}}, \dot{I}_{\text{ld}}, \dot{Q}, \dot{J}, \dot{L}, \dot{R}, \dot{D}, \dot{P}\) with their respective formulas and combine positive and negative components, we have

\[
\frac{d^2 L_I}{dt^2} = \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2
\]

(2.26)

As a result, it is clear that, if \(\Sigma_1 > \Sigma_2\) then \(\frac{d^2 L_I}{dt^2} > 0\)

if \(\sum_1 < \sum_2\) then \(\frac{d^2 L_I}{dt^2} < 0\)

(2.27)

if \(\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2\) then \(\frac{d^2 L_I}{dt^2} = 0\).

After that, the interpretation associated with the second-order sign is as follows.
2.5. Existence and uniqueness

This section presents a detailed analysis of the existence and uniqueness of the system of equations that describes classical calculus's survival. The following theorem must be proved to do this.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that there exists a positive constant $\vartheta_i$, $\bar{\vartheta}_i$ such that

(i) $\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 13\}$

$|f_i(x_i, t) - f_i(x_i, t)|^2 \leq \vartheta_i |x_i - x_i|^2$. \hfill (2.28)

(ii) $\forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{13} \times (0, T)$

$|f_i(x_i, t)|^2 \leq \bar{\vartheta}_i (1 + |x_i|^2)$. \hfill (2.29)

The proposed model can be written as

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -p_d \beta S(t) (\omega I(t) + \epsilon A_d(t) + \tau I_{nd}(t) + l_d(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma j(t)) - l_0 S(t) + l_0 L(t)$$

$$-t, S(t)T(t) + hT(t) + \eta Q(t) - \alpha S(t) = f_1(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dL(t)}{dt} = l_0 S(t) - l_0 L(t) = f_2(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dT(t)}{dt} = t, S(t)T(t) - (h + t_1 + t_2)T(t) = f_3(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dl(t)}{dt} = p_d \beta S(t) (\omega I(t) + \epsilon A_d(t) + \tau I_{nd}(t) + l_d(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma j(t)) - (\gamma + q + r_0)l(t) = f_4(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dA_d(t)}{dt} = t_1 T(t) - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1)A_d(t) = f_5(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dI_{nd}(t)}{dt} = \gamma I(t) - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2)I_{nd}(t) = f_6(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dI_d(t)}{dt} = t_2 T(t) - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3)I_d(t) = f_7(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = q I(t) - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4)Q(t) = f_8(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dj(t)}{dt} = j_1 A_d(t) + j_2 I_{nd}(t) + j_3 I_d(t) + j_4 Q(t) - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5)j(t) = f_9(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dL_d(t)}{dt} = \lambda_1 A_d(t) + \lambda_2 I_{nd}(t) + \lambda_3 I_d(t) + \lambda_4 Q(t) + \lambda_5 j(t) - (\gamma + q + r_0)l_d(t) = f_{10}(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = r_1 A_d(t) + d_2 I_{nd}(t) + r_3 I_d(t) + r_4 Q(t) + r_5 j(t) + r_6 l_d(t) = f_{11}(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dD(t)}{dt} = d_1 A_d(t) + d_2 I_{nd}(t) + d_3 I_d(t) + d_4 Q(t) + d_5 j(t) + d_6 l_d(t) = f_{12}(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \alpha S(t) = f_{13}(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$$

Firstly, for the function $f_1(t, S, I, T, I, A_d, I_{nd}, I_d, Q, J, J, L, R, D, P)$ we will prove that

$$|f_1(S_1, t) - f_1(S_2, t)|^2 \leq \vartheta_1 |S_1 - S_2|^2.$$ \hfill (2.30)

Now

$$|f_1(S_1, t) - f_1(S_2, t)|^2 = \left| -p_d \beta (\omega I(t) + \epsilon A_d(t) + \tau I_{nd} + l_d + \phi Q + \sigma j)(S_1 - S_2) - l_0 (S_1 - S_2) - t, T(S_1 - S_2) - \alpha (S_1 - S_2) \right|^2$$

$$= \left| -p_d \beta (\omega I(t) + \epsilon A_d + \tau I_{nd} + l_d + \phi Q + \sigma j) - l_0 - t, T - \alpha (S_1 - S_2) \right|^2$$

$$\leq \left\{ 2p_d^2 \beta^2 (\omega^2 |I|^2 + \epsilon^2 |A_d|^2 + \tau^2 |I_{nd}|^2 + |l_d|^2 + |\phi|^2 |Q|^2 + \sigma^2 |j|^2) + 2t_0^2 + 2t_1^2 |T|^2 + \alpha^2 \right\} |S_1 - S_2|^2$$
\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq \left\{ 2p^2_0\beta^2 \left( \alpha^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |A|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I_{ind}|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I_{ad}|^2 + \phi^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Q|^2 + \sigma^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |J|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 2l^2_0 + 2\tau^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |T(t)|^2 + 2\alpha^2 \right\}|S_1 - S_2|^2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ 2p^2_0\beta^2 \left( \alpha^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \varepsilon^2 |A(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 |I_{ind}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \phi^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \sigma^2 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 \right) + 2l^2_0 + 2\tau^2 |T(t)|_{\infty}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \right\}|S_1 - S_2|^2
\]

where, \( \theta_1 = 2p^2_0\beta^2 (\alpha^2 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \varepsilon^2 |A(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 |I_{ind}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \phi^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \sigma^2 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 \)

Analogously, we can prove that the remaining compartments hold the above inequality.

Secondly, we will prove that

\[
|f_1(S, t)|^2 \leq \tilde{\theta}_1 \left( 1 + |S|^2 \right). \tag{2.31}
\]

Then

\[
|f_1(S, t)|^2 = | - p_0\beta (\alpha I_{ind} + \varepsilon A + \tau I_{ad} + I_{ad} + \phi Q + \sigma J) S - I_{ad} - \alpha S + 2l_0T + \eta Q |^2
\]

\[
= \left\{ 2p^2_0\beta^2 \left( \alpha^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |A|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 |I_{ind}|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}|_{\infty}^2 + \phi^2 |Q|^2 + \sigma^2 |J|^2 \right) + 2l^2_0 + 2\tau^2 |T|^2 + 2\alpha^2 \right\}|S|^2 + 2l^2_0 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I|^2 + 2\eta^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Q|^2
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ 2p^2_0\beta^2 \left( \alpha^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \varepsilon^2 |A(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 |I_{ind}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \phi^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \sigma^2 |J(t)|_{\infty}^2 \right) + 2l^2_0 + 2\tau^2 |T(t)|_{\infty}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \right\}|S|^2
\]

\[
+ 2l^2_0 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I(t)|^2 + 2\eta^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Q(t)|^2
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{\theta}_1 \left( 1 + |S|^2 \right).
\]

such that

\[
\left\{ 2p^2_0\beta^2 \left( \alpha^2 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \varepsilon^2 |A(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \tau^2 |I_{ind}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + |I_{ad}(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \phi^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \sigma^2 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 \right) + 2l^2_0 + 2\tau^2 |T(t)|_{\infty}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \right\}/
\left\{ l^2_0 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + h^2 |T(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \eta^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 \right\} < 1.
\]

where, \( \tilde{\theta}_1 = l^2_0 |I(t)|_{\infty}^2 + h^2 |T(t)|_{\infty}^2 + \eta^2 |Q(t)|_{\infty}^2 \).

Similarly, we can prove that the remaining compartments hold the above inequality.

In conclusion, the solution of our system exists and is unique under the maximality condition, detailed in [48].

3. Epidemic model based on Caputo fractional derivative

By implementing the well-known Caputo fractional-order derivative [45], we intend to modify our proposed epidemic dynamics as follows,

\[
\mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - p)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(\eta)}{(t - \eta)^{p-n}} d\eta, \quad t > 0, \quad p > 0, \quad n - 1 < p \leq n, \quad n \in N \tag{3}
\]

where, \( \Gamma \) is the well-known Gamma function, \( p \) is the order of the Caputo fractional derivative operator \( \mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta \).

Although integer derivative-based mathematical models have been implemented in the modern decades with tremendous progress, sometimes such models cannot perfectly replicate the real-world phenomenon due to the scarcity of information or exactness in transforming reality into a mathematical formula. Therefore, their use is essential to humanity for prediction, which helps humans understand what could happen soon, such that to avoid worst-case situations, they can take some control measures. Thus, in the current section, a Caputo fractional derivative-based mathematical model is devised, predicting the outbreak of covid-19 for the Italian populations. In this regard, Caputo fractional derivative [50–55] has been applied in the conventional proposed mathematical model (Eqs. (1.1)–(1.14)). Then the system of the nonlinear fractional-order differential equation is as follows:

\[
\mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta S(t) = - p_0\beta S(t) |I_{ad}(t) + \alpha A(t) + \tau I_{ind}(t) + I_{ad}(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)|
\]

\[-I_0 S(t) + I_0 L(t) - t_0 S(t) T(t) + hT(t) + \eta Q(t) - \alpha S(t) \tag{4.1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta L(t) = I_0 S(t) - I_0 L(t) \tag{4.2}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta T(t) = t_0 S(t) T(t) - (h + t_1 + t_2) T(t) \tag{4.3}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_p^\alpha D_t^\beta I(t) = p_0\beta S(t) |I_{ad}(t) + \alpha A(t) + \tau I_{ind}(t) + I_{ad}(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)| - (\gamma + q + \nu_0) I(t) \tag{4.4}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0_{da} = \tau_1 T(t) - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) A_d(t)
\] (4.5)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0_{I_{nd}} = \gamma I(t) - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) I_{nd}(t)
\] (4.6)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0_{I_d} = \tau_2 T(t) - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) I_d(t)
\] (4.7)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0 Q(t) = qI(t) - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) Q(t)
\] (4.8)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J^0 = j_1 A_d(t) + j_2 I_{nd}(t) + j_3 I_d(t) + j_4 Q(t) - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) J(t)
\] (4.9)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0 L_d = \lambda_1 A_d(t) + \lambda_2 I_{nd}(t) + \lambda_3 I_d(t) + \lambda_4 Q(t) + \lambda_5 J(t) - (\eta_6 + \eta_6) L_d(t)
\] (4.10)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0 R(t) = r_0 I(t) + r_1 A_d(t) + r_2 I_{nd}(t) + r_3 I_d(t) + r_4 Q(t) + r_5 J(t) + r_6 L_d(t)
\] (4.11)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0 D(t) = d_1 A_d(t) + d_2 I_{nd}(t) + d_3 I_d(t) + d_4 Q(t) + d_5 J(t) + d_6 L_d(t)
\] (4.12)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^0 P(t) = \alpha S(t)
\] (4.13)

As the above mathematical model (Eqs. (4.1)–(4.13)) of covid-19 outbreak predicts a real-world problem’s characteristic, [38] helps analyze the model’s positivity. Then

\[ R^1_3 = \{ \xi \in R^1_3 : \xi \geq 0 \} . \]

\[ \zeta(t) = (S(t), I(t), T(t), I_0(t), A_d(t), I_{nd}(t), I_d(t), Q(t), J(t), L_d(t), R(t), D(t), P(t)) \] (4.11)

\[ \text{Since summation of all Eq. of the system (4.1–4.13) gives zero, the system is classified and exhibits the preservation characteristic of mass. Directly,} \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum (S(t) + L(t) + T(t) + I(t) + A_d(t) + I_{nd}(t) + I_d(t) + Q(t) + J(t) + L_d(t) + R(t) + D(t) + P(t)) = 0 \]

which signifies that the total population is constant.

As the all-state variables imply the whole population portions, we can suppose that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta(i) = 1 \), where 1 denotes the total population \( N(t) \).

**Lemma.** The proposed model (Eqs. (4.1)–(4.13)) solution \( \zeta(t) \) is positive, unique, and lies in \( R^1_3 \).

**Proof.** Since the proposed model deals with the population model, all the ingredients are confined in the positive quadrant to analyze its positivity. Therefore, the vector field tends to \( R^1_3 \), then

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(t) = l_0 L(t) + hT(t) + \eta Q(t) \geq 0
\] (5.1)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I(t) = l_0 S(t) \geq 0
\] (5.2)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} T(t) = 0
\] (5.3)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{nd}(t) = p_0 \beta S(t) \{ eA_d(t) + \tau I_{nd}(t) + I_d(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t) \} \geq 0
\] (5.4)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_d(t) = \tau_1 T(t) \geq 0
\] (5.5)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{nd}(t) = \gamma I(t) \geq 0
\] (5.6)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_d(t) = \tau_2 T(t) \geq 0
\] (5.7)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(t) = qI(t) \geq 0
\] (5.8)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J(t) = j_1 A_d(t) + j_2 I_{nd}(t) + j_3 I_d(t) + j_4 Q(t) \geq 0
\] (5.9)
\[ \begin{align*}
\zeta_0 D^p_t L_t &= \lambda_1 A_d(t) + \lambda_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + \lambda_3 J(t) + \lambda_4 Q(t) + \lambda_5 J(t) \geq 0 \\
\zeta_0 D^p_t R(t) &= r_1 I(t) + r_1 A_d(t) + r_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + r_3 Q(t) + r_4 J(t) + r_5 L(t) \geq 0 \\
\zeta_0 D^p_t D(t) &= d_1 A_d(t) + d_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + d_3 J(t) + d_4 Q(t) + d_5 J(t) + d_6 L(t) \geq 0 \\
\zeta_0 D^p_t P(t) &= \alpha S(t) \geq 0.
\end{align*} \]

3.1. Existence of uniformly stable solution

Assume that
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t S(t) = -p_d \beta S(t) \{oI(t) + \varepsilon A_d(t) + \omega I_{\text{ind}}(t) + \omega Q(t) + \phi J(t)\} - I_r S(t) + L_d(t) \]
\[ -t_r S(t) + h(t) + \eta Q(t) - \alpha S(t) = f_1(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t L_t = I_r S(t) - L_d(t) = f_2(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t T(t) = t_r S(t) + (h + \tau_1 + \tau_2) T(t) = f_3(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t I(t) = p_d \beta S(t) \{oI(t) + \varepsilon A_d(t) + \omega \tau I_{\text{ind}}(t) + \omega I_d(t) + \phi Q(t) + \phi J(t)\} - (\gamma + q + r_0) I(t) = f_4(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t A_d(t) = t_1 T(t) - (j_1 + \lambda_1 + r_1 + d_1) A_d(t) = f_5(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t I_{\text{ind}}(t) = \gamma I(t) - (j_2 + \lambda_2 + r_2 + d_2) I_{\text{ind}}(t) = f_6(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t I_d(t) = t_2 T(t) - (j_3 + \lambda_3 + r_3 + d_3) I_d(t) = f_7(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t Q(t) = q I(t) - (\eta + j_4 + \lambda_4 + r_4 + d_4) Q(t) = f_8(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t J(t) = f_1 A_d(t) + j_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + j_3 I_d(t) + j_4 Q(t) - (\lambda_5 + r_5 + d_5) J(t) = f_9(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t L_d(t) = \lambda_1 A_d(t) + \lambda_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + \lambda_3 J(t) + \lambda_4 Q(t) + \lambda_5 J(t) - (r_6 + d_6) L_d(t) = f_10(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t R(t) = r_0 I(t) + r_1 A_d(t) + r_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + r_3 Q(t) + r_4 J(t) + r_5 L_d(t) = f_11(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t D(t) = d_1 A_d(t) + d_2 I_{\text{ind}}(t) + d_3 J(t) + d_4 Q(t) + d_5 J(t) + d_6 L(t) = f_12(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]
\[ \zeta_0 D^p_t P(t) = \alpha S(t) = f_13(S, L, T, I, A_d, I_{\text{ind}}, I_d, Q, J, L_r, R, D, P) \]

Assume for the total population \(N(t)\) that
\[ \Phi = \{S(t) + L(t) + T(t) + I(t) + A_d(t) + I_{\text{ind}}(t) + I_d(t) + Q(t) + J(t) + L_d(t) \}
\[ + R(t) + D(t) + P(t) \} \in \mathbb{R}^{13}; \ l(i) \leq N(t) \text{ and } t \in [0, T]. \]

Then over the \(\Phi\), we have
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S} = -p_d \beta \{oI(t) + \varepsilon A_d(t) + \omega \tau I_{\text{ind}}(t) + \omega I_d(t) + \phi Q(t) + \phi J(t)\} - I_r - L_d - \alpha \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S} \leq a_{11}; \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial L} = I_d \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial L} \leq a_{12}; \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial T} = h - t_r S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial T} \leq a_{13}; \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial T} = -p_d \beta \varepsilon S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial T} \leq a_{14}; \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial A_d} = -p_d \beta \varepsilon S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial A_d} \leq a_{15}; \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I_{\text{ind}}} = -p_d \beta \tau S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I_{\text{ind}}} \leq a_{16}; \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I_d} = -p_d \beta S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I_d} \leq a_{17}; \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial Q} = \eta - p_d \beta \phi S(t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial Q} \leq a_{18}; \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial j} = -p_4 \beta \sigma S(t) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial j} \right| \leq a_{10}; \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(L) = a_{110}; \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(R) = a_{111}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(D) = a_{112}; \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(P) = a_{113}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial S} = t_{sr} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial S} \right| \leq a_{21}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} = -I_d \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} \right| \leq a_{22}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(T) = a_{23}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial l} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(l) = a_{24}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial A_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(A_d) = a_{25}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial I_{nd}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(I_{nd}) = a_{26}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial I_{ld}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(I_{ld}) = a_{27}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(Q) = a_{28}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(J) = a_{29}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(L) = a_{210}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(R) = a_{211}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(D) = a_{212}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(P) = a_{213}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial S} = t_s T(t) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial S} \right| \leq a_{31}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(L) = a_{32}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} = -h - r_1 - r_2 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} \right| \leq a_{33}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial l} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(l) = a_{34}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial A_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(A_d) = a_{35}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial I_{nd}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(I_{nd}) = a_{36}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial I_{ld}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(I_{ld}) = a_{37}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(Q) = a_{38}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(J) = a_{39}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(L) = a_{310}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(R) = a_{311}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(D) = a_{312}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_3(P) = a_{313}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial S} = p_4 \beta \omega \tau(S(t) + \varepsilon A_d(t) + \tau I_{nd}(t) + l_{ld}(t) + \phi Q(t) + \sigma J(t)) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial S} \right| \leq a_{41}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(L) = a_{42}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(T) = a_{43}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial A_d} = p_4 \beta \omega S(t) - \gamma - q - r_0 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial A_d} \right| \leq a_{44}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial D} = p_4 \beta \sigma S(t) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial D} \right| \leq a_{45}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial Q} = p_4 \beta \phi S(t) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial Q} \right| \leq a_{48}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial Q} = p_4 \beta \sigma S(t) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial Q} \right| \leq a_{49}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(L) = a_{410}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(R) = a_{411}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(D) = a_{412}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(P) = a_{413}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial S} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(S) = a_{51}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(L) = a_{52}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial T} = \inf A_d \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial T} \right| \leq a_{53}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial I_{nd}} = \gamma \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial I_{ld}} \right| \leq a_{54}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial A_d} = -j_1 + \lambda_1 - r_1 - d_1 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial A_d} \right| \leq a_{55}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial I_{nd}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(I_{nd}) = a_{56}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial I_{ld}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(I_{ld}) = a_{57}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(Q) = a_{58}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial J} = a_{59}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(L) = a_{510}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(R) = a_{511}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(D) = a_{512}; \quad \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(P) = a_{513}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial S} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(S) = a_{61}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(L) = a_{62}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(T) = a_{63}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial I_{nd}} = \gamma \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial I_{ld}} \right| \leq a_{64}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial A_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(A_d) = a_{65}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial I_{nd}} = -j_2 - \lambda_2 - r_2 - d_2 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial I_{ld}} \right| \leq a_{66}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial I_{ld}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(I_{ld}) = a_{67}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(Q) = a_{68}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial J} = a_{69}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(L) = a_{610}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(R) = a_{611}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(D) = a_{612}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(P) = a_{613}. \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(S) = a_{11}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(L) = a_{12}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} = \inf I_{ld} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} \right| \leq a_{13}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(l) = a_{14}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial A_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_5(A_d) = a_{15}; \quad \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \iota_{ld}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_6(l_{\iota}) = a_{16}; \quad \frac{\partial f_7}{\partial \iota_{ld}} = f_3 - \lambda_1 - r_3 - d_3 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_7}{\partial \iota_{ld}} \right| \leq a_{17}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_8}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_8(Q) = a_{18}; \quad \frac{\partial f_9}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_9(R) = a_{19}; \quad \frac{\partial f_{10}}{\partial \rho} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{10}(P) = a_{20}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S} = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(S) = a_{21}; \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_2(L) = a_{22}; \quad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} = a_{23}; \quad \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_4(l) = a_{24}. \]
\[ \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial Q} = d_4 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial Q} \right| \leq a_{138}; \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial J} = d_5 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial J} \right| \leq a_{129}; \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial L} = d_6 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial L} \right| \leq a_{1210}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{12}(R) = a_{1211}; \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{12}(D) = a_{1212}; \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{12}(P) = a_{1213}. \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial S} = \alpha \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial S} \right| \leq a_{131}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(L) = a_{132}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial T} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(T) = a_{133}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial I} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(I) = a_{134}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial A_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(A_d) = a_{135}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial I_{ind}} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(I_{ind}) = a_{136}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial I_d} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(I_d) = a_{137}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(Q) = a_{138}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial J} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(J) = a_{139}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial L} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(L_e) = a_{1310}; \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial R} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(R) = a_{1311}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial D} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(D) = a_{1312}; \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial P} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{13}(P) = a_{1313}. \]

where \( a_{ij} (i \geq 1 \text{ and } j \leq 13) \) all are the positive constants. Then each of the thirteen functions \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{13} \) agreed with the Lipchitz condition \([56, 57]\). Concerning the above thirteen arguments, it is clear that all functions are absolutely continuous.

### 4. Numerical simulation

In this section, the proposed model is generalized via applying the fractional Caputo derivative and numerically simulated based on parameter values presented in Table 1. Numerical simulations were carried out by the Adams-Bashforth-Moulton algorithm \([58]\).

First, let us recall the primary method produced to solve initial value problems with Caputo derivatives (Eqs. (4.1)–(4.13)). The technique extends the familiar Adams-Bashforth-Moulton integrator that is well known for the numerical simulation of first-order differential equations \([56]\). This method relies on the feature that the initial value problem is equal to the Volterra integral equation. The fractional Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method is fully described by the following Equations (all other states can be found same as S). Let \([0, T] \) is the domain of the solution and, \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N, h = T/N, t_n = nh \):

\[ S(t_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]-1} \frac{[\alpha]!}{k!(\alpha - k)!} h^\alpha f_1(t_{n+1}, S(t_{n+1})); \]

\[ S^p(t_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{k!(\alpha + 1)!} h^\alpha f_1(t_{n+1}, S(t_{n+1})). \]

where

\[ A_{j,n+1} = \begin{cases} n^{\alpha + 1} - (n - \alpha)(n + 1)^\alpha, & \text{if } j = 0, \\
(n - j + 2)^{\alpha + 1} + (n - j)^{\alpha + 1} - 2(n - j + 1)^{\alpha + 1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
1, & \text{if } j = n + 1, \end{cases} \]

and

\[ B_{j,n+1} = \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha} (n + 1 - j)^\alpha - (n - j)^\alpha. \]

For more details about the method, the reader can see \([56]\).

The initial values \([19]\) of the thirteen compartments are taken as follows:

\[ L(0) = 0, T(0) = \frac{200}{N(0)}, I(0) = \frac{200}{N(0)}, A_{sd}(0) = \frac{20}{N(0)}, I_{ind}(0) = \frac{1}{N(0)}, I_d = \frac{2}{N(0)}. \]

\[ Q(0) = 0, J(0) = 0, L_e(0) = 0, R(0) = 0, D(0) = 0, P(0) = 0 \]

\[ S(0) = N(0) - L(0) - T(0) - I(0) - A_{sd}(0) - I_{ind}(0) - I_d(0) - Q(0) - J(0) - L_e(0) - R(0) - D(0) - P(0). \]
5. Results and discussion

To study the sensitivity of fractional-order-based epidemic dynamics along time-elapsed around the steady-state situation called equilibrium, we present line graphs for $p \in [0.9, 0.95, 1.0]$, depicted in Fig. 2. It displays the fraction of susceptible, lockdown, quarantine, infected (asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic-infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected), symptomatic infected with not detected, symptomatic infected detected, Tested, asymptomatic infected with detected, isolated or hospitalized, life-threatening condition, self-protected and recovered individuals from (i) to (xii), respectively. According to the simulated results, the fractional-order can significantly influence the changing pattern of different epidemic compartments. Thus, we can confer that the decreasing of the fractional-order $p$, lessened the portion of susceptible, quarantined, infected, and tested individuals, as expected.

Fig. 3 displays the effect of $S(t)$ and $L(t)$ to illustrate the susceptibility and lockdown state concerning the lockdown success rate more profoundly. It seems that the increase of lockdown success rate lessens the amount of suspected susceptible individuals. However, the rise in lockdown rate increased the fraction of individuals in lockdown compartments, reducing infection risk.

Next, we inspect the relation of test rate vs. time (i–iii) and lockdown success rate vs. time (iv–vi) for a fraction of $S(t)$, $T(t)$, and $I(t)$ individuals depicted in Fig. 4. As a general tendency, we can confirm that the fraction of infected and tested individuals is lessened for increasing of both test rate and lockdown success rate.

6. Conclusion

Motivated by the current COVID-19 situation, we proposed the protecting measures-based epidemic models by incorporating the fractional-order approach to study the disease behavior. Model investigation and analysis are carried out by presuming the Caputo fractional-order derivative notion to generate the fractional-order mathematical epidemic model. Further, the numerical simulation of the suggested system is carried out by consuming the Adams-Bashforth-Moulton algorithm. It is observed that irrespective of introducing a vaccine policy, the combined effect of several self-protecting measures helps to reduce the disease risk.

Furthermore, because a first derivative analysis does not always offer an apparent indication of function change a priori, a second derivative analysis is necessary. The study of the second derivative discloses infection points, as well as local maximum and minimum values. These fundamental analyses may be employed in epidemiological modeling to understand dissemination patterns better. A new concept called Strength number was recently proposed. It is derived by taking the second derivative of the nonlinear section of a particular infectious disease model, then applying the next generation matrix approach to obtain the strength number. Such numbers, it was suggested, may aid in detecting waves or instability in

| Parameter | Value/Range | Current Model | Refs. |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| $N(t)$    | 60,403,693  | 60,403,693    | [19]  |
| $\beta$   | $(1/6-1/3)/t^{-1}$ | 1/3           | [25]  |
| $\alpha$  | 0.57        | 0.57          | [19]  |
| $\epsilon$| 0.0114      | 0.0114        | [19]  |
| $\tau$    | 0.456       | 0.456         | [19]  |
| $\phi$    | 0.114       | Estimated     |       |
| $\sigma$  | 0.014       | Estimated     |       |
| $\eta$    | $(1/4-1/3)\text{days}^{-1}$ | 1/13          | [61],[62] |
| $l_0$     | 16          | 16            | [63]  |
| $l_1$     | 0.0251      | 0.0251        | [64]  |
| $\gamma$  | 0.9829      | 0.9829        | [64]  |
| $\tau_1$  | 0.171       | 0.0171        | [19]  |
| $\tau_2$  | 0.3705      | 0.03705       | [19]  |
| $\gamma$  | 0.264       | 0.264         | [19]  |
| $\eta$    | 0.114       | Estimated     |       |
| $\lambda_1$| 0.08         | 0.08          | [19]  |
| $\lambda_2$| 0.0171      | 0.0171        | [19]  |
| $\lambda_3$| 0.0274      | 0.0274        | [19]  |
| $\lambda_4$| 0.05         | 0.05          | [19]  |
| $\lambda_5$| 0.08         | 0.08          | [19]  |
| $\lambda_6$| 0.4          | 0.4           | [19]  |
| $\tau_5$  | 0.0342      | 0.0342        | [19]  |
| $\tau_6$  | 0.0171      | 0.0171        | [19]  |
| $\tau_7$  | 0.02         | 0.02          | [61],[66],[67] |
| $\tau_8$  | 0.0239      | 0.0239        | [61]  |
| $\tau_9$  | $(1/30-1/3)\text{days}^{-1}$ | 1/30          | [66],[67] |
| $\tau_10$ | 0.0171      | 0.0171        | [19]  |
| $\delta_1$| 0.05        | Estimated     |       |
| $\delta_2$| 0.001–0.1   | 0.005         | [65]  |
| $\delta_3$| 0.001–0.01  | 0.003         | [68],[69] |
| $\delta_4$| 0.001–0.01  | 0.001         | [68],[69] |
| $\delta_5$| 0.001–0.1   | 0.005         | [65]  |
| $\delta_6$| 0.01        | 0.01          | [19]  |
| $\omega$  | 0.5         | Estimated     |       |
Fig. 2. Impact of different fractional order $p \in [0.9, 0.95, 1.0]$ on (i) susceptible ($S(t)$), (ii) lock-down ($L(t)$), (iii) quarantine, (iv) infected $I(t)$ (asymptomatic, mild-symptomatic-infected, minor or moderate infection but not detected), v) symptomatic infected with not detected $I_{und}(t)$, (vi) symptomatic infected with detected $I_d(t)$, (vii) Tested state ($T(t)$), (viii) asymptomatic infected with detected $A_d(t)$, (ix) isolated or hospitalized ($J(t)$) (x) life threatening condition ($L(t)$), (xi) self-protected ($P(t)$) (xii) recovered ($R(t)$).

Fig. 3. The sensitivity of different lockdown success rate $l_p$ on (i) susceptible ($S(t)$), and (ii) lockdown ($L(t)$) for fractional-order $p = 0.95$. 
a model. This paper uses a similar technique in conjunction with second derivative analysis in a complex SLTIAQ_model_QIL_RDP problem. The computed strength number was negative, implying that the model with second derivatives could only produce one wave before dying out.

In the current work, we only developed and analyzed our proposed model theoretically. In practice and reality, the successful model should depend on actual data fitting and deliberate numerical analysis. Such a complex phenomenon and numerical analysis contain meaningful suggestions to develop health policy and public health measurement to explore future studies.
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