Involvement of NADPH Oxidase 1 in Liver Kinase B1-Mediated Effects on Tumor Angiogenesis and Growth
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The liver kinase B1 (LKB1) gene is a tumor suppressor with an established role in the control of cell metabolism and oxidative stress. However, whether dis-regulated oxidative stress promotes growth of LKB1-deficient tumors remains substantially unknown. Through in vitro studies, we observed that loss of LKB1 perturbed expression of several genes involved in reactive oxygen species (ROS) homeostasis. In particular, this analysis evidenced strongly up-modulated NADPH oxidase 1 (NOX1) transcript levels in tumor cells lacking LKB1. NOX1 accounted in part for enhanced cytotoxic effects of H2O2-induced oxidative stress in A549 LKB1-deficient tumor cells. Notably, genetic and pharmacologic inhibition of NOX1 activity reduced angiogenesis and growth of A549 tumors in mice. These results suggest that NOX1 inhibitors could counteract ROS production and the angiogenic switch in LKB1-deficient tumors.
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INTRODUCTION

Increased oxidative stress is common in cancer cells. In fact, uncontrolled proliferation is connected with profound metabolic remodeling accompanied by altered redox status. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are important biological messengers involved in cell signaling, tumor growth, and metastasis (1). Recent studies suggest that increased oxidative stress could be exploited for therapeutic purposes (2, 3), as tumor cells might be particularly vulnerable to drugs which further increase oxidative stress or otherwise block biochemical buffers of ROS (4).

The liver kinase B1 (LKB1) is a serine threonine kinase, whose hereditary mutations are associated with the Peutz-Jeghers syndrome and is somatically mutated or deleted in certain tumor types, with relatively high frequencies in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and cervical carcinoma (5). LKB1 is involved in energy homeostasis control, as well as control of cell proliferation and polarity (6). LKB1 and its downstream effector AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) have also been recently involved in metabolic adaptation and in the regulation of tumor cell response to oxidative stress by various mechanisms including control of NADPH homeostasis (7) and enhancement of the activity of superoxide dismutase-2 and catalase by a p38-mediated mechanism (8). These studies largely utilized LKB1-deficient tumor cell lines and their matched LKB1-proficient counterpart to
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investigate effects of this kinase on oxidative stress. Although several features and mechanisms associated with altered ROS homeostasis in LKB1-mutant tumor cells have been uncovered by using this experimental system, previous studies did not analyze the transcriptional perturbations of genes involved in redox control in LKB1-deficient cell lines.

Here, we investigated at transcriptional level three pairs of LKB1-deficient cell lines and observed that LKB1 is involved in the regulation of NADPH oxidase 1 (NOX1) transcript expression. Inhibition of NOXI activity attenuated cytotoxic effects of oxidative stress in LKB1-deficient tumor cells in vitro and was an effective strategy to prevent the angiogenic switch and growth of LKB1-deficient A549 tumors in vivo. Although marked heterogeneity in the expression levels of NOXI among LKB1-deficient lung and cervical cancer cell lines does not allow us to generalize these findings, our results hint at NOX1 as a candidate mediator of angiogenesis in a subset of LKB1-deficient tumors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and In Vitro Treatments

A549 cells were provided by Dr. Reuben J. Shaw (Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA). H460, H1650, H1975, HeLa, SiHa, and HEK293T cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). H23, H1437, H2228, H2009, and H1299 cell lines were kindly provided by Dr. Massimo Broggin (Mario Negri Institute, Milan, Italy). HeLa, SiHa, and HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM; EuroClone, Milan, Italy), supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM l-Glutamine, and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic mix. A549 cells were provided by Dr. Reuben J. Shaw (Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA). H460, H1650, H1975, H23, H1437, H2228, H2009, and H1299 cells were grown in RPMI1640 medium (EuroClone) supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% HEPES, 1% Na pyruvate, 2 mM l-Glutamine (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic mix. A549 cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 medium (Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM l-Glutamine, and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic mix. In some experiments, cell lines were treated with the appropriate vehicle or with the following substances: 2 mM hydrogen peroxide (H2O2, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), 2 mM N-acetylcysteine (NAC, Sigma-Aldrich), 100 µM apocynin (Sigma-Aldrich), for the indicated time points.

Retrovirus Production and Transduction With Viral Particles

The retroviral vector overexpressing human LKB1\textsuperscript{wt} (RV-LKB1\textsuperscript{wt}; plasmid # 8592) and the corresponding control vector (pBABE, plasmid # 1764) used to transduce LKB1-mutated cells were purchased from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). Viral particles were produced by transfecting HEK293T cells with second-generation packaging plasmids. Virus was harvested 24 and 48 h after transfection and concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 24,000 rpm for 2 h. A549, H460, and HeLa cells were transduced with a VSV-G-pseudotyped retroviral vector encoding LKB1 cDNA (LKB1\textsuperscript{wt}) or with an empty vector (pBABE). Briefly, 0.5 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells were incubated at 37°C overnight and 200 µl of concentrated vector-containing supernatant were layered over target cells. The day after, cells were washed and cultured in fresh medium. Cells expressing the constructs were selected in puromycin-containing medium (8 µg/ml for A549 and H460 cells, 4 µg/ml for HeLa cells) for 10–14 days prior to subsequent analysis.

Reverse Transcription-PCR and Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated using RNAeasy\textsuperscript{®} Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) according to manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 0.3 to 1 µg of total RNA using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher). Expression levels of 20 oxidative metabolism-related genes were analyzed by real-time Ready custom panels (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Real-time PCRs were performed using Platinum\textsuperscript{®} SYBR\textsuperscript{®} Green (Thermo Fisher) in an ABI Prism 7900 HT Sequence Detection System (Thermo Fisher). Results were analyzed using the \(\Delta \Delta Ct\) method with normalization against HMBS gene expression.

Genes included in Custom Panels were selected based on their role in oxidative metabolism and are reported in Table 1. Primers used for qRT-PCR are reported in Table 2.

| TABLE 1 | Genes included in custom panel. |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| Gene ID | Description                  | HGNC ID | Pathway                        |
| GCLC    | Glutamate-cysteine ligase, catalytic subunit | 4311    | Glutathione synthesis          |
| GCLM    | Glutamate-cysteine ligase, modifier subunit | 4312    | Glutathione degradation        |
| GSS     | Glutathione synthetase        | 4624    |                                |
| GG1T6   | Gamma-glutamyltransferase 6   | 26891   |                                |
| GG1T7   | Gamma-glutamyltransferase 7   | 4259    |                                |
| NFE2L2  | Nuclear factor erythroid 2-like 2; nuclear respiratory factor 2 | 7762   | Transcription factors involved in glutathione metabolism |
| JUN     | jun proto-oncogene            | 6204    |                                |
| ABC1C   | ATP-binding cassette sub-family C member 1 | 51     | Glutathione adducts transporters |
| ABC1C2  | ATP-binding cassette sub-family C member 2 | 53     |                                |
| CAT     | Catalase                     | 1516    |                                |
| TXN     | Thoredoxin                   | 12435   |                                |
| SOD2    | Superoxide dismutase-2       | 11180   |                                |
| GPX1    | Glutathione peroxidase 1     | 4353    |                                |
| GSR     | Glutathione reductase        | 4623    |                                |
| MSRA    | Methionine sulfoxide reductase A | 7377   |                                |
| NOXI    | NADPH oxidase 1              | 7889    | Enzymes involved in reactive oxygen species production |
| CBS     | Cystathionine \(\pi\)-synthase | 1550    | Enzymes involved in antioxidant defenses |
| CTH     | Cystathionine \(\gamma\)-lyase | 2505    | Enzymes involved in transsulfuration pathway |
| SLC7A11 | Solute carrier family 7 member 11; \(xCT\) | 11059  |                                |
| SLC7A10 | Solute carrier family 7 member 10; \(ASC1\) | 11058  | Cystine and cysteine transporters |
| HMBS    | Hydroxymethylbilane synthase | 4982    |                                |
| B2M     | Beta-2-microglobulin         | 914     |                                |
| ACTB    | Actin, beta                  | 132     | Reference gene                 |
Annexin-V/Propidium Iodide Assay

Cells were incubated with Annexin-V-FITC in Hepes buffer containing propidium iodide (PI), using the Annexin-V Fluo Staining Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Labeled cells were analyzed on EPICS-XL cytometer fluorimeter using Expo32 software (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). Dot plot images were obtained by using the Kaluza analysis 1.3 software (Beckman Coulter).

Measurement of Mitochondrial Membrane Potential (Δψ)

Cells were incubated with tissue culture medium containing 15 nM tetramethylrhodamine, methyl ester (TMRM; Thermo Fisher) and 20 mg/ml Verapamil (efflux pumps inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min at room temperature. Labeled cells were analyzed with an LSRII flow cytometer using the 560 nm laser and the 585/15 nm filter.

RNAi Experiments

Cells (3 × 10⁶) were seeded in six-well tissue culture plates. The following day, Opti-MEM® I Medium with GlutaMAX™ (Thermo Fisher) was mixed separately with 20 nM Stealth RNAi™ siRNA (Thermo Fisher) and with Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher) and incubated 5 min at room temperature. Separated mix were combined and, following additional 20 min of incubation, 500 µl of final mix were added, drop by drop, to adherent cells, along with 1.5 ml of culture medium. Lipofection was blocked after 6 h, through removal of medium with liposomes and addition of culture medium. Sequences of Stealth RNAi™ siRNAs are reported in Table 3.

In Vivo Experiments

Procedures involving animals and their care conformed to institutional guidelines that comply with national and international laws and policies (EEC Council Directive 86/609, OJ L 358, 12 December, 1987) and were authorized by the ethical committee of the University of Padova and the Italian Ministry of Health ( Authorization no. 143/2012-B). For tumor establishment, both dorsolateral flanks of 8-week-old SCID mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA, USA) were injected subcutaneously with 0.5 × 10⁶ LKB1mut A549 tumor cells mixed at 4°C with liquid Matrigel (Becton-Dickinson). Tumor volume (mm³) was measured by caliber and calculated according to the following formula: \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi L I \), where \( L \) is the longest diameter, \( I \) is the shortest diameter, and 0.5 is a constant to calculate the volume of an ellipsoid. In the experiment with NAC, LKB1mut A549 cells were 16 h pretreated with NAC 2 mM before injection and during tumor growth NAC was administrated intraperitoneally at 150 mg/kg every day. Control mice received intraperitoneal injections of PBS. In the experiment in which expression level of NOX1 was reduced by siRNA-mediated silencing, LKB1mut A549 cells were injected 48 h after lipofection. In the experiment with apocynin, LKB1mut A549 cells were 16 h pretreated with apocynin at 100 µM before injection and during tumor growth apocynin was administered via oral gavage at 16 mg/kg every day.

At the end of the experiment, mice were killed by cervical dislocation. For all experiments, five to six mice per group were used. The tumors were harvested by dissection and snap-frozen.

Immunofluorescence Analysis (IFA)

Tumor vessels were labeled with a rat anti-CD31 mAb (1:50 dilution; Becton-Dickinson) followed by staining with a goat anti-rat 546 secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher). Sections of 5 µm were cut from frozen biopsies and microvessel density (MVD) was quantified by screening the CD31-stained sections for the areas of highest vascularity, as previously reported (9). Briefly, nuclei were stained with TO-PRO-3 Iodide (1:1,000 dilution; Thermo Fisher). Immunofluorescence signals were visualized on a Zeiss Axiovert 100 M confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss AG; Oberkochen, Germany), using argon (488 nm) and helium-neon (543–633 nm) laser sources. For each sample, the number of fields analyzed varied between 5 and 10 per sample, depending on the size of sections; at least 4 samples per group were analyzed. Images were collected at a magnification of 200x. MVD was quantified by screening for the areas of highest vascularity. Two independent operators blindly evaluated the images: morphologically identifiable vessels were counted as an individual vessel.

Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean value ± SD. Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney test were used depending on the distribution of values. Differences were considered statistically significant when \( P < 0.05 \). For each cell line, data are expressed as fold change arbitrarily setting controls at 1. If not specified otherwise, the symbol * was used for comparison between LKB1mut and LKB1wt cells, the symbol # for comparison between treated and untreated cells and the symbol § for comparison between treated cells and cells pre-treated with other drugs (NAC, Apocynin) or siRNA.

RESULTS

LKB1 Regulates Expression of Genes Involved in ROS Metabolism in Tumor Cells

To investigate whether LKB1 modulated expression of genes involved in the response to cellular redox stress, we compared...
expression levels of 20 relevant genes (Table 1) by real-time PCR in human tumor cell lines isogenic for LKB1 status and grown in vitro under standard conditions. We performed these experiments using the LKB1-deficient NSCLC cell lines A549 and H460, and cervical carcinoma cell line HeLa transduced with a control retroviral vector (hereafter referred to as LKB1mut) and their LKB1-proficient counterparts obtained by retroviral transduction of wild-type LKB1 cDNA (hereafter referred to as LKB1wt). As expected, LKB1 reconstitution fully restored AMPK and acetyl-CoA carboxylase phosphorylation under glucose starvation—a condition known to trigger LKB1-dependent AMPK activation and associated with oxidative stress ([10] and data not shown). Remarkably, in all cell lines tested, several antioxidant genes were downregulated and others were upregulated following reconstitution of LKB1 (Figure 1). Most of these variations were, however, not shared by the various cell lines analyzed. The most consistently downregulated gene in LKB1wt cells was NOX1, a homolog of the macrophage NOX2, accounting for the respiratory burst in response to pathogens ([11]). NADPH oxidases catalyze the transfer of one electron from NADPH to oxygen, generating superoxide or H2O2, thus further increasing oxidative stress ([12]).

Further analysis of NOX1 mRNA levels in nine NSCLC and two cervical cancer cell lines, with known LKB1 mutational status, showed that almost all cell lines bearing LKB1 mutations presented increased NOX1 expression compared with LKB1 wild-type cells (Figure 2A). However, broad heterogeneity in NOX1 expression was detected in LKB1-mutant cell lines, being NOX1 levels particularly high in A549 cells (Figure 2A). An additional in silico analysis was performed by matching the LKB1 mutational status and NOX1 mRNA transcript data of tumor cell lines, available at the COSMIC and Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia web sites, respectively. Results showed that NOX1 transcripts were significantly increased in LKB1-mutated NSCLC cell lines, thus strengthening our observations (Figure 2B). The relatively high expression of NOX1 in A549 cells led us to focus on these cells to investigate the possible involvement of NOX1 in the regulation of oxidative stress.

**NOX1 Accounts for Increased Sensitivity to Exogenous Oxidative Stress in A549 Cells**

Gene silencing and pharmacologic inhibition approaches were used to analyze whether NOX1 could account for increased sensitivity to oxidative stress in LKB1mut cells. Interestingly, siRNA-mediated NOX1 silencing in A549 cells increased resistance of LKB1mut A549 cells to H2O2-induced cell death, while leaving substantially unaffected response to oxidative stress in LKB1wt cells (Figure 3A). Consistent with this, measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential showed a significant increase in the number of TMRM negative LKB1mut A549 cells upon H2O2-treatment (Figure 3B). This phenomenon in the mitochondrial membrane potential was partially rescued by NOX1 silencing. Similar results were obtained following NOX1 inhibition with apocynin (Figure 3C). It should be noted that despite interference with NOX1 activity, LKB1mut cells showed increased H2O2-induced cell death compared with LKB1wt cells (Figures 3A–C), probably due to other oxidative stress-resistant mechanisms.
due to either incomplete blockade of NOX1 or multifactorial mechanisms of control of oxidative stress by LKB1.

**NOX1 Promotes Angiogenesis and Growth of LKB1-Deficient A549 Tumors**

High levels of ROS have been involved in induction of angiogenesis and tumor growth (13). To investigate whether oxidative stress could play a role in LKB1mut tumor formation, we co-injected LKB1mut A549 cells along with administration of the antioxidant NAC. LKB1mut A549 cells formed tumors (Figure 4A), and co-injection with NAC resulted in significant reduction of tumor growth and reduction of tumor vascularization, assessed 7 days after tumor cell injection (Figure 4A). Notably, chronic administration of apocynin significantly reduced tumor growth and MVD of LKB1mut tumors (Figure 4A), suggesting that blockade of NOX
Fig 3 | NADPH oxidase 1 (NOX1) increases sensitivity to exogenous oxidative stress in vitro. (A) A549 LKB1mut and LKB1wt cells were transiently transfected with siRNA targeting NOX1 and cell death was measured upon H2O2 treatment (only statistically significant differences between silenced and control cells are indicated, *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.001). A representative dot plot diagram of Annexin-V/PI stained cells is shown (right panel). (B) Measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential in A549 LKB1mut and LKB1wt cells transiently silenced for NOX1 expression (only statistically significant differences between silenced and control cells are indicated, *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.001, ***P** < 0.001). (C) Induction of cell death in A549 LKB1mut or LKB1wt cells treated with the NOX pharmacological inhibitor apocynin 100 µM upon H2O2 treatment (only statistically significant differences between apocynin-treated cells and control cells are indicated, *P* < 0.05).

Activity impairs angiogenesis and tumor growth. These results were confirmed and strengthened by siRNA-mediated silencing of NOX1 in A549 cells prior to their injection in mice (Figure 4B). Analysis of expression levels of NOX1 in samples recovered 7 days after tumor cell injection showed, as expected, marginal silencing of the target gene, in contrast to the >80% reduction of NOX1.
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**FIGURE 4 | Continued**
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- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> + vehicle**
- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> + NAC (150 mg/kg/die)**
- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> + apocynin (16 mg/kg/die)**

B

- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> siRNA**
- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> siNOX1**

C

- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> siRNA**
- **A549 LKB1<sup>+/+</sup> siNOX1**

---
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levels at time of injection (Figure 4C). This suggests that NOX1 could play a key role in the initial phases of the angiogenic switch in the A549 LKB1mut tumor xenograft model.

DISCUSSION

This study disclosed for the first time an effect of LKB1 on NOX1 expression in tumor cells. According to our results, LKB1 acts as a suppressor of NOX1 expression at the RNA level. Among a set of 20 genes involved in redox homeostasis, NOX1 transcript was consistently down-regulated following forced expression of LKB1 cDNA in LKB1-deficient cancer cells. Other genes were also perturbed, presumably as a direct consequence of LKB1 loss or, alternatively, as adaptive response to compensate for loss of protective mechanisms. In this respect, Kaufman et al., reported that LKB1 loss leads to activation of the nuclear respiratory factor 2 transcription factor, which regulates oxidative stress response genes (14).

NADPH oxidase 1 belongs to a family of NADPH oxidase (11) and cancer cells generally express more NOX isoforms. In the case of A549 cells utilized in our study, NOX2 was expressed at a much lower baseline level compared to NOX1 and NOX4 was not expressed (data not shown). Therefore, although apocynin is not an isoform-selective NOX inhibitor (11), effects measured following treatment of A549 cells with this compound are likely to be accounted for by NOX1. A second consideration regards NOX1 levels in tumor cells. In fact, real-time PCR experiments showed marked differences in the relative expression levels of NOX1 among the NSCLC and cervical cancer cell lines analyzed (Figure 2A). It can, therefore, be speculated that the biological consequences of NOX1 inhibition might also depend on the amount of NOX1 in tumor cells. In line with this hypothesis, we indeed observed marked reduction of H2O2-induced cell death following apocynin treatment of A549 cells but not HeLa cells, which express substantially less NOX1 transcripts (Figure 2 and data not shown). Therefore, although LKB1 acted as suppressor of NOX1 expression in all cell lines analyzed, other endogenous factors are likely to modulate NOX1 expression in tumor cells. According to previous studies, one of these factors could be KRAS, as its activating mutations were shown to correlate with NOX1 overexpression in human colon cancer samples (15) and in cancer cells (16). In this regard, analysis of NSCLC cancer cell lines showed increased NOX1 mRNA levels in cell lines bearing LKB1 and KRAS mutations (Figure 2). This heterogeneity may also have precluded the inclusion of NOX1 among top ranking genes regulated by LKB1 in other genome-wide studies which previously investigated transcriptional profiles in LKB1-mutant cells and clinical samples (5, 14, 17, 18).

Although a detailed explanation of the mechanism connecting LKB1 to NOX1 is lacking and goes beyond the aim of our study, some hypothesis can be advanced. First, although LKB1 is not a transcription factor it is localized both in the cytoplasm and the nucleus of cells (17, 19). Therefore, suppression of NOX1 by LKB1 could be accounted for by its still poorly characterized nuclear functions. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that LKB1 forms a nuclear complex with the transcription regulatory factor LMO4, the transcription factor GATA-6 and Ldb1, and it has been suggested that this complex is involved in the regulation of GATA-mediated gene expression (20). Intriguingly, GATA-binding sites have been identified within the NOX1 promoter (21). It is thus possible that LKB1 could be involved in the regulation of NOXI mRNA transcript through a GATA-6 mediated mechanism. Second, among indirect mechanisms, it has been shown that loss of LKB1 especially combined with KRAS mutations (as is the case in A549 cells) triggers the serine–glycine-one-carbon pathway, leading to increased S-adenosylmethionine generation, increased activity of DNA methyltransferases and elevation in DNA methylation (22). Hypothetically, this might silence some unknown repressor and thus unleash NOX1 expression. Hence, alterations of NOX1 expression might occur as consequence of changes in epigenetic marks following vector-mediated re-expression of LKB1 in tumor cells. Further studies are required to validate these hypothesis.

The second innovative observation of this study regards the anti-angiogenic effects of drugs affecting ROS homeostasis in the context of LKB1-deficient tumors. Previous studies reported a link between angiogenesis and LKB1 expression in tumor models. Zhuang et al. described reduction of MVD accompanied by reduced expression of the angiogenic factors basic fibroblast growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in LKB1-deficient MDA-MB435 xenografts when LKB1 cDNA was added back, but further mechanistic insights were not provided (23). Along this line, it was known that NOX1 can promote the angiogenic switch in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts converting them into tumorigenic cells by a mechanism involving generation of ROS and increased expression of VEGF mRNA (24). Therefore, in view of our findings, it is conceivable that some of the effects on VEGF expression that follow interventions on LKB1 might depend on modulation of NOX1 levels in tumor cells. Interestingly, Okon et al. reported that LKB1 functions as a
RAB7 effector and suppresses angiogenesis by promoting the cellular trafficking of neuropilin-1 from the RAB7 vesicles to the lysosomes for degradation (25). Neuropilin-1 is an angiogenic receptor that can be expressed both by endothelial and tumor cells (26). When expressed by tumor cells, neuropilin-1 seems to increase VEGF-mediated angiogenesis. Therefore, it can be speculated that adding LKB1 back to tumor cells triggers complex changes in the tumor microenvironment involving NOX1, ROS, and neuropilin-1 that impact on VEGF/VEGFR-2 signaling and angiogenesis with negative rebounds on tumor growth that largely exceed the modest effects on tumor cell proliferation, which can be detected in vitro. As the contribution of each component of this envisioned model could vary in individual tumors, additional studies are required to validate this hypothesis both in preclinical models and in patients.

In conclusion, our study disclosed for the first time that LKB1 controls NOX1 expression in vitro. Perturbing ROS homeostasis or inhibition of NOX1 reduced tumor growth and angiogenesis in vivo and could represent a new possible therapeutic approach in LKB1-deficient KRAS-mutated tumors.
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