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Abstract: Meningitis is an inflammatory infection of the membranes surrounding the brain and spinal cord, which occurs either as a primary disease or secondarily to disease in some other parts of the body. Its most frequent causes are Neisseria meningitidis, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae. The epidemiological trend of acute meningitis varies with time and geography. Information on the relative frequency of the isolation and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of these pathogens is scarce in Nepal. To analyze the various causative agents of meningitis in all age group patients and to know the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates from the Cerebrospinal Fluids (CSF) of suspected cases of meningitis, were the prime objectives of this paper. In this cross sectional study, a total 356 CSF specimens were collected from patients suspected of meningitis and processed macroscopically, microscopically and microbiologically by standard microbiological methods in Emergency Laboratory of Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital (TUTH) Kathmandu, Nepal over a period of six months, from March to August 2014. Out of 356 CSF samples, 16(4.5%) were found to be culture positive. Among the culture positive isolates, the most common bacterial isolate was Staphylococcus aureus, 4(25%). Isolation rate of Cryptococcus neoformans, the only fungal etiology of meningitis, was 3(18.8%), which was seen in elderly patients indicating increased susceptibility of C. neoformans in immune-compromised status of patients. All bacterial isolates were found to be sensitive to Chloramphenicol. The isolation rate of pathogens causing meningitis from CSF is low (4.5%). Chloramphenicol may be used for the treatment of bacterial meningitis.
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Introduction

Meningitis is one of the medical emergencies related to infectious diseases, which is potentially associated with a high rate of complications. The term Meningitis is used to describe an inflammation of the membranes that surround the brain or the spinal cord. According to Mahmoud and Abd-ElSadik (2013) the World Health Organization (WHO) indicates that each year registres nearly half a million new cases suffering from meningitis and WHO (2005) estimates that bacterial meningitis affects approximately 1.2 million people each year globally and causes almost 170,000 deaths worldwide each year. There is also paucity of objective data on the causative agents of meningitis and their susceptibility in Nepalese population (Ansari and Pokhrel, 2011). Due to the urgency associated with the treatment of meningitis, there is, therefore, the need to have knowledge of the causative agents and their susceptibility profiles to come to better decisions of the empirical treatment. Thus the aim of this paper is to analyze the etiology of meningitis and to determine the antibiotic susceptibility.
pattern of the bacterial isolates. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacterial isolates would help us determine the sensitivity patterns of these isolates, thereby reducing antibiotics misuse and the incidence of microbial drug resistance.

**Etiology of Meningitis**

Generally, the meningitis is of infectious etiology that can be viral, bacterial, fungal, or parasitic in nature, but bacteria remain the common etiological agent (Nagaratha et al., 2012). However, some non-infectious causes of meningitis also exist. Non-infectious meningitis can emerge from administration of certain drugs such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, immunoglobulins or some antibiotics. It can also develop from diseases like sarcoidosis and neoplastic meningitis. Infectious meningitis can be further sub-divided to nonbacterial and bacterial (pyogenic) meningitis. Nonbacterial meningitis is typically caused by viral or fungal infections (Al Bekairy et al., 2014).

The three most common bacterial pathogens causing bacterial meningitis worldwide are *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib), *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, which together account for about 80% of all cases. Over two-thirds of all cases of bacterial meningitis occur in children less than five years old. Meningococcus affects all ages, most cases occurring in children and adolescents. More than 80% of meningitis caused by *H. influenzae* occurs in children less than 5 years old (Andargachew et al., 2005).

In developed countries, endemic of meningitis is generally caused by serogroups B and C of *N. meningitidis*. Epidemics in developed countries are typically caused by serogroup C although epidemics due to serogroup B have also occurred in Brazil, Chile, Cuba, Norway and more recently in New Zealand (CDC, 1998).

*Strep. pneumoniae* is a major cause of childhood bacterial meningitis in countries where Hib disease has been eliminated by vaccination. It is the second most frequently reported cause of septic meningitis in some European and sub-Saharan African countries, after meningococcal cases (Ceyhan et al., 2008). In the United States, for example, after the introduction of *H. influenzae* type b vaccination, the commonest causes of bacterial meningitis in all age groups are pneumococcal, meningococcal, Group B streptococci, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *H. influenzae* type b, in decreasing order of incidence (Schuchat et al., 1997).

Currently, serogroups A and C of *N. meningitides* predominate throughout Asia and Africa, whereas serogroups B and C are responsible for most cases in Europe and North America. In several countries, including the United States, the proportion of disease caused by serogroup Y has increased over the past decade. Serogroup W-135 has also recently emerged in some parts of the world, primarily in the Middle East and Africa (Ceyhan et al., 2008). Among children in England and Wales, the commonest causes are meningococcal, *H. influenzae* type b, pneumococcal, Group B streptococci, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria* species and *staphylococci* (Davison and Ramsay, 2003). In Hong Kong, the commonest cause of non-viral meningitis is tuberculous meningitis, accounting for more than 15% of meningitis in children. Bacterial meningitis, on the other hand, is uncommon (Sung et al., 1997). Similarly, *Streptococcus agalactiae* was the commonest cause in adult bacterial meningitis in Singapore and Hong Kong (Wilders-Smith, 2000).

*E. coli* and Group B *Streptococci* cause the majority of neonatal meningitis in the developed countries, whereas *Klebsiella* species has been the most common CSF bacterial pathogen isolated in studies from Kenya, Jordan and Ethiopia (Laving et al., 2003).

The uncommon pathogens are *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is the less frequent of bacterial meningitis. Many viruses have the ability of causing meningitis such as *Echovirus*, *Coxasackie virus* type A and B, *Herpes simplex virus* type 1 and 2, Epstein barr virus, HIV, *Vricella-zoster* virus and *Cytomegalovirus* (AL-Zubiery, 2001). Non-polio enteroviruses are the most common cause of viral meningitis in the United States (CDC, 2015b).

In addition, to bacterial and viral agents, fungi may also cause meningitis like *Cryptococcus* neoforms and Candida, which are the most common fungi isolated from CSF. Parasite such as Naegleria has also been identified as a causative agent of meningitis (AL-Zubiery, 2001). *Cryptococcus* disease is one of the most common causes of adult meningitis in Africa (CDC, 2015a).

Thus the pathogens causing meningitis varies with time, geography and age of people across different regions of the world.

**Objectives**

- To isolate and identify the etiological agents of meningitis
- To correlate the CSF staining and culture results
- To determine antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

This is a cross sectional study carried out at the Emergency Laboratory in Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital (TUTH) from March to August 2014 over a period of six months.
Identification of Isolates

processed for colony morphology, as well as staining prepared for microscopic examination by centrifugation at precautions and brought to the laboratory immediately. presence of organisms and their morphologies were patient CSF samples who were suspected of meningitis between L4-L5 lumbar vertebrae and the CSF was incubated. Specimens with positive Gram’s stain and 37°C for 2-3 days. All plates were read daily up to 4-5 days and plates with no visible growth were re-incubated. 

Sample Size

No predefined sample size was set. A total 356 patient CSF samples who were suspected of meningitis at TUTH between the periods of March to August 2014, were included in the study.

Specimen Collection

CSF samples were collected in the Hospital by experienced medical officer by lumbar puncture on immediate arrival of the cases with clinical suspicion of meningitis. A sterile wide-bore needle was inserted between L4-L5 lumbar vertebrae and the CSF was allowed to drip into a dry sterile container. About 3 mL of CSF was collected in sterile container with all aseptic precautions and brought to the laboratory immediately. A total 356 CSF samples were collected. Patients unfit for lumbar puncture were excluded from the study.

Sample Processing

The CSF procedures performed included macroscopic observation of CSF appearance and color, Gram staining, culture and antibiotic sensitivity test. CSF specimens were prepared for microscopic examination by centrifugation at 1000 g for 10 min at room temperature.

The sediment was thoroughly mixed and used for Gram staining. After conventional Gram staining, slides were examined by light microscopy at ×40 magnification and then at ×100 magnification under oil immersion. The presence of organisms and their morphologies were reported. Besides Gram staining, India Ink preparation was also carried out to identify fungal organisms.

For culture, approximately 1 mL of the deposit of the centrifuged CSF specimen were inoculated onto plates of Chocolate Agar (CA), Blood Agar (BA), MacConkey Agar (MA), Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA), Nutrient Agar (NA) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA). For bacterial isolates, BA and CA plates were incubated in candle jar (5-10% CO2) at 37°C for overnight. MA plates were incubated at 37°C in incubator for overnight. SDA plates were used for culture of fungal isolates and incubated at 37°C for 2-3 days. All plates were read daily up to four days and plates with no visible growth were re-incubated. Specimens with positive Gram’s stain and negative culture were held for one week.

Identification of Isolates

Firstly, pure form of the culture was obtained from the primary culture by using purity plate and then it was processed for colony morphology, as well as staining and different biochemical tests following standard microbiological procedures to identify the organisms.

For Gram-positive organisms, following biochemical tests were done: Catalase, Oxidase and Coagulase tests. Optochin disc and Bacitracin discs were also placed on the subcultured plate in order to differentiate Pneumococcus from other Gram-positive cocci. Bile Solubility Test was also done for the identification of Strep. pneumoniae.

The biochemical tests used for the identification of Gram-negative bacterial isolates included Catalase Test, Oxidase Test, Indole Test, Methyl Red Test, Voges-Proskauer Test, Citrate Utilization Test, Triple Sugar Iron Test, Urease Test, Motility Test, Oxidation-Fermentation Test and Gas Production Tests.

‘X’ and ‘V’ factor was used to identify the colony of H. influenzae. The presence or absence of growth was observed around the paper disc impregnated with ‘X’ factor alone, ‘V’ factor alone or ‘X+V’ factor, placed on a nutrient agar.

C. neoformans were identified based on colonial morphology, microscopic morphology of yeast cells and biochemical tests. Seventy-two h after incubation, the isolates were subcultured to obtain single colonies on SDA plate. Presence of a capsule on India ink preparation and Urease Test were also used to confirm C. neoformans.

Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was done using standard Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. The antibiotics disc used in this study were Ampicillin (10 µg), Amikacin (30 µg), Cefotaxime (30 µg), Erythromycin (15 µg), Ceftriaxone (30 µg), Ofloxacin (5 µg), Chloramphenicol (30 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Cotrimoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), Ceftazidime (30 µg), Gentamicin (10 µg) and Meropenem (10 µg) (Hi Media Laboratory Ltd, Mumbai, India).

The pure culture of the isolates were inoculated into Nutrient broth and incubated for about four h at 37°C and then using a sterile swab the organism was swabbed on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) surface plate. For the isolates like Strep. pneumoniae, MHA containing 10% of sheep blood was used for testing its antibiotic susceptibility.

The culture was lightly and uniformly inoculated by cotton swab. Prior to inoculation, the swab stick was dipped into bacterial suspension having visually equivalent turbidity to 0.5 McFarland standards. The swab stick was then took out and squeezed on the wall of the test tube to discard extra suspension. The plate was left to dry before application of discs. The antibiotic discs were applied to the agar surface using a disc dispenser. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for overnight. MHA containing 10% BA plates and CA plates were incubated anaerobically in candle jar incubator for overnight. Results were scored as
susceptible, intermediate susceptible or resistant, according to the CLSI criteria.

Control strains of *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), *Staph. aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Ps. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) were also tested as a part of quality control.

**Data Collection and Statistical Analysis**

The demographic details (age and sex) and clinical data were recorded on standardized forms at admission and data collection was entirely embedded into the clinical routine.

Data analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 and excel was used for the graphs. For each pathogens their frequencies and percentages among all CSF cultures and antimicrobial susceptibility profile were determined. As inferential statistics, Chi square test was performed in order to identify the association between cases of meningitis and the age groups and sex of patients, if any relationship between the variables could be identified. All tests of significance were two-tailed and a P-value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

There was a slight male dominance in the sex ratio (1.3:1) with males contributing 56.5% and females 43.5% among the total 356 processed CSF samples (Fig. 1). The mean age of the patients was 27.8 years.

Among the total processed specimen (N = 356), only 16(4.5%) CSF cultures were positive. Of the 16 culture positive cases, bacterial pathogens were isolated in 13(81.3%) cases whereas *C. neoformans* was the only fungal isolate isolated in 3(18.8%) cases. Among 13 bacterial isolates, *Staph. aureus* was the predominant organism 4(30.8%), followed by *E. coli* 3(23.1%), *Strep. pneumoniae* 3(23.1%), *H. influenzae* 1(7.7%), *Ps. aeruginosa* 1(7.7%) and *Kl. pneumoniae* 1(7.7%) (Table 2).

The incidence of meningitis was not found to be statistically significant (p>0.05) with the age groups (≤14 and >14 years) and also across the sex of the patients included in this study (p>0.05) (Table 1).

**Gross Appearance and Culture Positive Isolates**

The isolation rate of pathogens from purulent CSF samples was highest 75% (3/4) among all colored CSF samples, followed by cloudy samples 53.8% (7/13), slightly turbid 7.7% (3/39) and bloody samples 3.5% (2/57) (Table 3). One pathogen was also isolated from clear colored CSF samples.

**Staining and Culture Results**

More number (19) of isolates was identified on Gram staining than on the CSF culture (16). CSF culture didn’t show the growth of *N. meningitidis*.

Similarly *Staph. aureus* and *Kl. pneumoniae* each, in one case, was not identified on CSF culture (Table 4). However a high degree of positive correlation (r = 0.933) was found in between the isolates identified from CSF culture and Gram staining technique.

**Antibiotic Susceptibility Test of Bacterial Isolates**

Among the 12 antibiotics used against bacterial isolates, Chloramphenicol showed 100% efficacy followed by Ciprofloxacin 61.5% (Table 5 and 6).
H. influenzae was found to be resistant against Ampicillin whereas susceptible against Ceftriaxone, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin, Cotrimoxazole and Erythromycin.

Chloramphenicol was found to be highly sensitive 3(100%) against E. coli, followed by Ceftriaxone 2(66.7%) and equally susceptible against Amikacin, Cefotaxime, Cotrimoxazole and Ofloxacin 1(33.3%) each. Cefotaxime, Chloramphenicol, Cotrimoxazole and Ofloxacin were 100% effective against Kl. pneumoniae. Amikacin and Ciprofloxacin were ineffective against Kl. pneumoniae. Ps. aeruginosa was found to be susceptible against Amikacin, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin, Meropenem and Ofloxacin but resistant against Gentamicin.

Amikacin, Cefazidime and Chloramphenicol were 100% effective against Staph. aureus followed by Cefotaxime, Ciprofloxacin and Ofloxacin (75%) each. Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin and Erythromycin were found to be highly 3(100%) effective against Strep. pneumoniae followed by Cefotaxime 2(66.7%) and Cotrimoxazole was ineffective in 2(66.7%) cases against Strep. pneumoniae.

Table 1. Association of meningitis with age groups and sex

| Age groups | Patients with meningitis | Patients without meningitis | Total |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 0-14 years | 8                        | 130                         | 138   |
| >14 years  | 8                        | 210                         | 218   |
| Total      | 16                       | 340                         | 356   |

(x² = 0.891, df = 1, p = 0.345, ns)

| Sex       | Patients with meningitis | Patients without meningitis | Total |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Male      | 9                        | 192                         | 201   |
| Female    | 7                        | 148                         | 155   |
| Total     | 16                       | 340                         | 356   |

(x² = 0.000, df = 1, p = 0.986, ns)

(df = degree of freedom, ns = not significant)

Table 2. Laboratory confirmed cases of meningitis and their etiology

| Etiologies         | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------|---------------|
| Bacterial meningitis (N = 13) |               |
| Staph. aureus      | 4 (25.0)      |
| E. coli            | 3 (18.8)      |
| Strep. pneumoniae  | 3 (18.8)      |
| H. influenzae      | 1 (6.3)       |
| Kl. pneumoniae     | 1 (6.3)       |
| Ps. aeruginosa     | 1 (6.3)       |
| Fungal meningitis  |               |
| C. neoformans      | 3 (18.8)      |
| Total              | 16 (100)      |

Table 3. Appearances of total CSF specimen and culture positive isolates

| Appearances of CSF | Total CSF samples (N = 356) | Culture positive isolates (N = 16) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Clear              | 243.0                        | 68.3                              | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Slightly turbid    | 39.0                         | 11.0                              | 3.0 | 7.7 |
| Cloudy             | 13.0                         | 3.7                               | 7.0 | 53.8|
| Purulent           | 4.0                          | 1.1                               | 3.0 | 75.0|
| Bloody             | 57.0                         | 16.0                              | 2.0 | 3.5 |

Table 4. Correlation between isolated pathogens on CSF staining and culture

| Organisms observed on | Gram staining | India ink staining |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
|                       | No. of isolates on staining | No. of isolates on culture |
| Staph. aureus         | 5              | 4                 |
| E. coli              | 3              | 3                 |
| Strep. Pneumoniae     | 3              | 3                 |
| Strep. pneumoniae     | 2              | 1                 |
| H. influenzae         | 1              | 1                 |
| Ps. aeruginosa        | 1              | 1                 |
| N. meningitidis       | 1              | 0                 |
| C. neoformans         | 3              | 3                 |
| Total                 | 19             | 16                |
Table 5. Antibiotic susceptibility test of gram negative isolates

| Organisms/antibiotics used | Susceptible | Intermediate | Resistant |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| **H. influenzae (N = 1)** |             |              |           |
| Ampicillin                | 0           | 0            | 1         |
| Ceftriaxone               | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Cotrimoxazole             | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Erythromycin              | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| **E. coli (N = 3)**       |             |              |           |
| Amikacin                  | 1           | 0            | 2         |
| Ceftriaxime               | 1           | 1            | 1         |
| Ceftriaxone               | 2           | 0            | 1         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 3           | 0            | 0         |
| Cotrimoxazole             | 1           | 0            | 2         |
| Ofloxacin                 | 1           | 1            | 1         |
| **Kl. pneumoniae (N = 1)**|             |              |           |
| Amikacin                  | 0           | 0            | 1         |
| Cefotaxime                | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 0           | 0            | 1         |
| Cotrimoxazole             | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Ofloxacin                 | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| **Ps. aeruginosa (N = 1)**|             |              |           |
| Amikacin                  | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Gentamicin                | 0           | 0            | 1         |
| Meropenem                 | 1           | 0            | 0         |
| Ofloxacin                 | 1           | 0            | 0         |

Table 6. Antibiotic susceptibility test of gram positive isolates

| Organisms/antibiotics used | Susceptible | Intermediate | Resistant |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| **Staph. aureus (N = 4)** |             |              |           |
| Amikacin                  | 4           | 0            | 0         |
| Cefotaxime                | 3           | 0            | 1         |
| Cefazidime                | 4           | 0            | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 4           | 0            | 0         |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 3           | 1            | 0         |
| Ofloxacin                 | 3           | 0            | 1         |
| **Strep. pneumoniae (N = 3)** |         |              |           |
| Ampicillin                | 3           | 0            | 0         |
| Cefotaxime                | 2           | 1            | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol           | 3           | 0            | 0         |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 3           | 0            | 0         |
| Cotrimoxazole             | 0           | 1            | 2         |
| Erythromycin              | 3           | 0            | 0         |

Discussion

There is a need for periodic review of meningitis worldwide since the pathogens responsible for infection vary with time, geography and patient’s age. Increase in awareness and availability of vaccines may also reflect a change in the epidemiological pattern of these pathogens. Thus, this paper attempts to explore the etiological agents of meningitis and the antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates identified.

Only 16 (4.5%) pathogens were isolated from 356 processed CSF samples. This isolation rate was similar to various studies conducted in Nepal. A study conducted by (Shaw et al., 2007) in Manipal Teaching
Hospital, Nepal from 2000 to 2005 demonstrated 4.58% growth on CSF culture. Similarly in a study carried out in between 2004 to 2008 by (Rijal et al., 2010), 4.4% growth on CSF culture was observed.

But in contrary to these findings, (Bhagawati et al., 2014) reported 16.14% pathogens (fungal and bacterial) from CSF culture. A very high proportion (63.3%) of culture positive result was also reported by (Ataee et al., 2011). A study carried out by (Adhikari and Wijesinghe, 2008-2009) in Kanti Children Hospital and TUTH, Nepal under South Asian Pneumococcal Alliance Network showed 8.2% CSF culture growth positive. The isolation rate of pathogens was found to be comparatively low in this study. This may be due to fastidious nature of the organism and/or intake of antibiotics before presentation for treatment at the hospital.

This study demonstrated no any statistically significant difference between the age groups (<14 years and ≥14 years) and the incidence of meningitis. This might be due to low number of positive cases encountered in this study. But in contrary, (Farag et al., 2005) showed that acute bacterial meningitis has a significant association with children of age group 1-9 years and aseptic meningitis was also found to be significantly associated with children aged 3-15 months. Statistically significant difference in the occurrence of bacterial meningitis with age group up to two years was also observed in a study of (AL-Zubiery, 2001). Similarly, no significant association between the sex of the patients and incidence of meningitis was established in this study. This finding is in agreement with the findings of (AL-Zubiery, 2001) where no significant association was observed between males and females less than two years of age and incidence of bacterial meningitis.

Upon CSF examination, the culture results revealed only two types of etiologies of meningitis; bacterial and fungal. The bacterial isolates accounted for 13(81.3%) and fungal isolates 3(18.8%) where C. neoformans was the only fungal isolate identified in this study. This finding is in agreement with the findings of a similar hospital based study conducted by (Mengistu et al., 2011) conducted in Ethiopia where they found 84.6% bacterial isolates and 15.4% fungal isolates in which C. neoformans was the only fungal isolate.

In this study the most common organisms responsible for bacterial meningitis were Staph. aureus (25%), followed by Strep. pneumoniae (18.8%) and E. coli (18.8%), H. influenzae (6.3%), Ps. aeruginosa (6.3%) and Kl. pneumoniae (6.3%). This finding also showed a clear difference in the etiological agents of meningitis between children and adults. For children, bacterial were more dominant whereas C. neoformans was dominant among patients aged ≥30 years old.

C. neoformans was confirmed in two cases in the old aged patients (>60 years) and in one case, in patient aged between 30-60 years of age in this study. This may not mean that this is the most vulnerable group but may actually be a reflection of the fact that most of the hospital based population in this study falls in that age category. However, it could also be agreed with the view of (Bicanic and Harrison, 2004) that quotes that cryptococcal meningitis is a common opportunistic infection in AIDS patients, particularly in Southeast Asia and Africa. Such cases also occur in patients with other forms of immune-suppression and in apparently immune-competent individuals. But due to the low occurrence of C. neoformans in our sample series we cannot comment on its incidence by age group.

Staph. aureus remains the leading etiological agent isolated from CSF culture for bacterial meningitis over the study period. Staph. aureus was found mostly in the children of the age group 1-14 years and adults of the age group 14-30 years. This finding is in agreement with the finding of (Bhagawati et al., 2014) where the predominant organism isolated from CSF culture, was found to be Staph. aureus 15(29.41%). Higher prevalence of meningitis due to Staph. aureus may be due to predisposing factors as well as co-morbidities associated with the patient.

The isolation rate of pathogens was recorded highest among the purulent (75%) and cloudy (53.8%) CSF sample in this study. Cloudy CSF indicates higher levels of protein, white and red blood cells and/or bacteria and therefore may suggest bacterial meningitis (Tunkel et al., 2004). Thus the initial appearance of the CSF may provide an indication of the nature of the infection.

Gram’s stain identified more (19 isolates 5.3%) isolates than culture (16 isolates, 4.5%) of total clinically suspected cases of meningitis. This finding is similar to the finding of Rao et al. (1998) in which more isolates on Gram staining 85.7% (66 out of 77 cases) than on CSF culture (48 isolates) was found. The lower rate of the culture result of the pathogens in this study may be due to the fastidious and delicate nature of the organisms.

Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin and Erythromycin were found to be 100% effective against Strep. pneumoniae in the present study which is comparable with the findings of (Bhagawati et al., 2014) where Strep. pneumoniae was found to be 50, 100 and 100% susceptible towards Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin and Erythromycin respectively. But the remarkable finding of this study is the level of Cotrimoxazole resistance and the result is in accordance with the findings of the study carried out in Bangladesh by Saha et al. (1999). The increased resistance to Cotrimoxazole can possibly be correlated with the wide use this antibiotic in the communities because of its dose convenience, cost effectiveness and easy availability over the counter.
All antibiotics used for \textit{Staph. aureus}, in this study, were found to be sensitive in greater than 65% cases in the present study. This finding is almost comparable to the finding of Chugh \textit{et al.} (2011) except for Chloramphenicol (where Amikacin-87.5%, Cefotaxime-50%, Ceftazidime-75% effective against \textit{Staph. aureus}). \textit{H. influenzae} was found to be resistant to only Ampicillin in the present study. In a study, carried out by Nag \textit{et al.} (2001) in India, \textit{H. influenzae} was found to be resistant against both Cotrimoxazole (33.3%) and Ampicillin (21.7%). The differences in antibiotic resistance pattern may be due to geographical variations, local antibiotics prescribing habits and selection of cases.

Chloramphenicol was 100% effective for \textit{E. coli} which is comparable to the finding of Mengistu \textit{et al.} (2013). In a similar study by (Kibret and Abera, 2011), Chloramphenicol was found to be 63.2% effective against \textit{E. coli}. \textit{E. coli} was found to be 33.3% resistant to Ceftriaxone and 66.7% susceptible with Ceftriaxone in the present study which is exactly similar to the findings of a study carried out in Nigeria by Iregbu \textit{et al.} (2013). \textit{E. coli} was resistance to Amikacin (66.7%) and Cotrimoxazole (66.7%) in this study. Kibret and Abera (2011) also reported 62.9% resistance of \textit{E. coli} against Cotrimoxazole. Because antimicrobial resistance patterns are continually evolving and \textit{E. coli} invasive isolates undergo progressive antimicrobial resistance, continuously updated data on antimicrobial susceptibility profiles will continue to be essential to ensure the provision of safe and effective empirical therapies.

\textit{Kl. pneumoniae} was found to be resistant against Amikacin and Ciprofloxacin in this study and other antibiotics such as Cefotaxime, Chloramphenicol, Cotrimoxazole and Ofloxacin were found to be effective against \textit{Kl. pneumoniae}. In contrary to this result, Shaw \textit{et al.} (2005) reported Amikacin (58.3%) and Ciprofloxacin (91.7%) sensitive against \textit{Kl. pneumoniae}. They also found that Cefotaxime (83.3%) and Ofloxacin (91.7%) were sensitive as comparable to the present study. Due to low incidence of \textit{Kl. pneumoniae} in this study, we cannot comment on the contradictory result about the susceptibility pattern of this pathogen.

All the antibiotics used against \textit{Ps. aeruginosa} in this study were found to be sensitive except Gentamicin. Taneja \textit{et al.} (2009) also reported that Meropenem (70%), Amikacin (70%) and Ofloxacin (40%) were effective against \textit{Ps. aeruginosa} which is comparable to this study.

**Implications**

Due to absence of appropriate surveillance, poor bacterial detection methods and social and health care barrier to disease reporting, the cases of meningitis may be underrecognized in some regions of the world like Nepal. Thus the findings of this study possess a worthy move in the direction of periodic update of the etiology of meningitis. The paper also emphasizes on the antibiotics susceptibility profile of bacterial isolates. Such type of study is vital in developing countries like Nepal where local antibiotics prescribing habit exists very often. As a result of which, antimicrobial patterns are continually evolving. So such study is beneficial for local medical practitioners to ensure the provision of safe and effective empirical therapies and reducing antibiotics misuse.

**Conclusion**

The isolation rate of pathogens causing meningitis is low (4.5%). This may be probably due to the fastidious nature of the organisms or prior exposure to antibiotics. \textit{Staph. aureus} is the prevalent bacterial isolate and \textit{C. neoformans} is the only leading cause of fungal meningitis in this study. If bacterial meningitis is suspected on admission or at any later stage of management of patients, initial antibiotics therapy with Chloramphenicol may be undertaken as it is found sensitive against all bacterial isolates identified in this study. However, empirical treatment with such antibiotics is recommended only in life threatening cases under medical doctor’s prescription due to its fatal side effect.
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