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ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic can no longer be mitigated by a nationwide approach of individual nations alone. Given its scale and accelerating expansion, COVID-19 requires a coordinated and simultaneous Whole- of-World approach that galvanizes clear global leadership and solidarity from all governments of the world. Considering an ‘all hands-on deck’ concept, we present a comprehensive list of tools and entities responsible for enabling them, as well a conceptual framework to achieve the maximum impact. The list is drawn from pandemic mitigation tools developed in response to past outbreaks including influenza, coronaviruses, and Ebola, and includes tools to minimize transmission in various settings including person-to-person, crowd, funerals, travel, workplace, and events and gatherings including business, social and religious venues. Included are the roles of individuals, communities, government and other sectors such as school systems, health, institutions, and business. While individuals and communities have significant responsibilities to prevent person-to-person transmission, other entities can play a significant role to enable individuals and communities to make use of the tools. Historic and current data indicate the role of political will, whole-of-government approach, and the role of early introduction of mitigation measures. There is also an urgent need to further elucidate the immunologic mechanisms underlying the epidemiologic characteristics such as the low disease burden among women, and the role of COVID-19 in inducing Kawasaki-like syndromes in children. Understanding the role of and development of anti-inflammatory strategies based on our understanding of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1, IL-6) is also critical. Similarly, the role of oxygen therapy as an anti-inflammatory strategy is evident and access to oxygen therapy should be prioritized to avoid the aggravation of COVID-19 infection. We highlight the need for global solidarity to share both mitigation commodities and infrastructure between countries. Given the global reach of COVID-19 and potential for repeat waves of outbreaks, we call on all countries and communities to act synergistically and emphasize the need for synchronized pan-global mitigation efforts to minimize everyone’s risk, to maximize collaboration, and to commit to shared progress.
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‘All Hands on Deck’ is an expression of naval origin. Facing a storm or other life-threatening emergency, the ship’s captain calls out the command for all sailors (hands) to leave their posts and come to the deck to help navigate the storm (Barrett, 2019). As public health professionals around the world navigate the maelstrom of COVID-19 that is already a global pandemic reaching over 190 nations and accelerating, the time for “All Hands on Deck” has now arrived.

Previous Public Health Emergencies of International Concern have been geographically distinct, affording safe havens outside of the outbreak hotspot (World Health Organization, 2016). For example, at the height of the recent 2018–19 Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo, life in the capital Kinshasa was near normal save occasional public health messages on billboards seen in the streets. Outbreaks were contained with a national “whole-of-government” approach, where individual countries sought to overcome the limitations of compartmentalized public institutions to advance public policies (Christensen and Lægreid, 2007).

In terms of its reach, contagion and economic impact, the COVID-19 pandemic places unprecedented pressure on individuals, societies, health care systems, businesses and institutions and governments around the world (Abiad et al., 2020; McKinsey and Company, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic can no longer be mitigated by a nationwide approach of individual nations alone. (Box 1) Given its scale and accelerating expansion, COVID-19 requires a coordinated and simultaneous Whole-of-World approach that galvanizes clear global leadership and solidarity from all governments of the world. This is particularly relevant for the interconnected 21st Century world where a specific disease threat in one location can disseminate globally in as little as 36 h, evolving into a global public health security risk (World Health Organization, 2007).

Pandemic mitigation tools currently available for the suppression of episodic or sustained outbreaks

In the absence of pathogen-specific medical measures, the current COVID-19 scenario demands agile, adaptive, multifaceted, layered, and timely interventions throughout repeat waves of outbreaks defining the entire pandemic period that need to be synchronized among and across countries, regions and the world (Ebrahim et al., 2020; Ferguson et al., 2020; Wilder-Smith and Freedman, 2020; Fisher and Wilder-Smith, 2020; Zastro, 2020). We provide a summary of available tools and responsibilities (Tables 1 and 2). These measures do not include routine health care system interventions for COVID-19 affected persons including isolation and supportive treatment.

South Korea’s pandemic mitigation shows us that the success of these measures depends heavily on political and public will (Fisher and Wilder-Smith, 2020; Zastro, 2020). Disease control efforts based on case identification require both wide availability of tests to affected people and access to functioning health systems. This can only succeed with societal, fiscal and governmental solidarity (Figure 1).

Because an infected individual remains the major source of infection in a community, the benefit of individual, family, and community contributions to mitigation (Table 1) will serve as the foundation for the health sector to implement specific disease control activities. Sharply tailored mitigation strategies – including tailored quarantine – can only be achieved with deep engagement of the public health infrastructure at the household level. Further, compliance with individual, family, and community responsibilities must remain feasible throughout the pandemic period, although the national and global and opportunity costs are not fully known (Photo 1).

Box 1. Why COVID-19 mandates whole-of-world pandemic mitigation

- SARS-CoV-2 is the most infectious of the corona viruses which have crossed species from animal host to human host including SARS and MERS.
- SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted via the respiratory and fecal-oral route, survives in droplets for 3 h and on contaminated surfaces for up to 3 days, with viral shedding prior to onset of symptoms and continuing for days after testing for active infection becomes negative (Lan et al., 2020).
- SRS-CoV-2 affects both young adults and elderly. Case fatality rates among young adults are lower but can show intense morbidity in response to infection. Case fatality rates have been higher in the elderly and all persons with underlying comorbidities. This broad demographic profile means its public health burden has enormous economic impacts on both developed and developing nations alike (Guan et al., 2020).
- Herald waves of outbreaks followed by repeat waves may become the norm when a pandemic of this scale is already global and the pathogen can be repeatedly reintroduced to previously mitigated areas. Waves of outbreaks occur as a result of geographic variation in response measures, testing, and quarantining, leading to repeat seeding of infections (Global News, 2020; Neher et al., 2020).
- When an outbreak achieves pandemic status, mitigation is the preferred option to sustain societal functions (Madhav et al., 2017) and may require an all-government and all-societal approach including lock-down for a limited time to enable the full range of nonpharmaceutical intervention tools.
- Medical countermeasures whether deploying repurposed or novel agents – all require time for development, implementation and evaluation of their impact, safety and efficacy.
- The demands of a global pandemic exert enormous public health burdens on health care infrastructure and personnel, leading to health workers who themselves become infected, and intense shortages of already scarce and tightly guarded critical medical materiel. Together, these and the inability to care for routine health means that health systems exert a rapid and dramatic contraction in even the most powerful economies (Nuclear Threat Initiative, 2019).
- The 54% of the world population who live in urban areas (The World Bank, 2018) depend on a complex globally interconnected supply chain dynamic to secure necessities. These supply chains are becoming severely strained both internationally where the products are consumed and in the feeder countries that manufacture them.
- Over 168 million people across 50 countries (28 countries in conflict) are estimated to need humanitarian assistance in 2020 (Poole et al., 2020) and many of these countries have suboptimal surveillance and response capabilities.
- 24 countries have an adult HIV prevalence of >2% and in such populations COVID-19 may be exacerbated due to the prevailing immunodeficiency (World Health Organization, 2019).
## Table 1

| Action items | Individual | Communities | School systems | Health sector | Business sector | Institutions | Governments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| A. Protect yourself | | | | | | | |
| Hand hygiene | R | | | | | | |
| Avoid touching mouth nose eyes with unwashed hands | R | | | | | | |
| Avoid close personal contact | R | | | | | | |
| Physical distancing of 6 feet from other persons | R | E | | | | | |
| Limit social interactions outside home | R | E | | | | | |
| Healthy living (food, rest, exercise) | R | | | | | | |
| Take your medications, keep adequate supply of medicines (e.g.: diabetes) | R | | | | | | |
| If sick, seek direct or telemedical care and follow health authority advisory | R | R | R | | | | |
| B. Protect others | | | | | | | |
| Practice cough hygiene, use facemask if sick | R | | | | | | |
| Stay home if you have nonemergency illness from non-COVID-19 illness | R | | | | | | |
| Clean and disinfect frequently touched surfaces | R | | | | | | |
| Launder all washables (clothes, toys) with hot water | R | | | | | | |
| If exposed to sick persons, isolate yourself in separate room, use facemask | R | R | | | | | |
| C. Protect children elderly and the vulnerable | | | | | | | |
| Be a role model for children to practice protection of self and others | R | | | | | | |
| Interact with school and teachers to make home learning fun | R | R | | | | | |
| Create a schedule for at home learning | R | E | | | | | |
| Help children stay socially connected with friends without in person contact | R | E | R | | | | |
| Keep children healthy—provide healthy meals, watch for signs of illness, and —promote active outdoor activities without person-to-person contact | R | E | R | | | | |
| Avoid kids’ contact with the elderly, and chronically ill persons | R | | | | | | |
| Limit exposure of elderly and vulnerable persons to others while providing them care or provisions including in institutionalized settings | R | R | R | R | | | |
| D. Protect pregnant and breastfeeding women | | | | | | | |
| Promote practice of personal protection measures listed above | R | E | | | | | |
| E. Protect your home | | | | | | | |
| Clean and disinfect surfaces frequently touched (tables, doorknobs, light switches, phones, keyboards, faucets, toilets etc.) | R | | | | | | |
| Do not mix clothes from sick people with that of others | R | | | | | | |
| Do not eat with sick individuals | R | | | | | | |
| Use gloves when handling materials from sick persons | R | | | | | | |
| Plan and prepare for outbreaks and related movement restrictions | R | | | | | | |
| Choose a room in your home to separate sick persons from others | R | | | | | | |
| Stock non-perishable essential food supplies and necessities for two weeks to 30 days, replenish frequently | R | E | | | | | |
| F. Manage anxiety and stress | | | | | | | |
| Access credible sources, including health authorities and institutions rather than social media posts (health authority, non-profits, CDC, WHO) | R | | E | E | | | |
| Stay virtually connected with friends and relatives | R | | | | | | |
| Develop community networks for information sharing | R | R | | | | | |
| Avoid excessive alcohol, tobacco, or other drugs | R | | | | | | |
| Taking care of yourself and people close to you can help cope with stress | R | | | | | | |
| Continue outdoor activities that avoid person to person contact | R | | | | | | |
| Seek professional support when available | R | | E | | | | |
| Avoid activities that put self or family in harm through non-socially distanced activities | R | E | | | | | |
| G. Safe burial practices (in countries with home based burial practice) | | | | | | | |
| Avoid contact with dead bodies | R | R | | | | | |
| Delegate burials to professionals or health authorities | R | E | E | R | | | |

*includes nonprofit and for profit, Universities, research.

*bLocal, state, national.

*duration of these measures will depend on epidemic dynamics in each jurisdiction. The earlier the adherence, the lower the epidemic progression. Early discontinuation may lead to repeat waves of outbreaks.

*R = key responsibility E = Enabler.

Closure of school and childcare systems is most impactful in enabling community level mitigation efforts. Enabling teachers to sustain learning opportunities using remote, including online, platforms and continuation of school-based meal programs enables pupils to be engaged, enhances community resilience, and reduces population movements from neighborhoods to school buildings where some of the highest population densities in communities are found- inside classrooms and assembly rooms and playgrounds ([Public Health Agency of Canada, 2020; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2020](https://www.cdc.gov)).

Health systems of all categories and their diverse governing and regulating entities will vary in their responses depending on the severity of outbreak in geographic areas. Paramount for all healthcare systems must be keeping the health care staff COVID-19-free: without a robust healthcare force, the crisis deepens and perpetuates ([Gawande Atul, 2020; World Health Organization, 2020a](https://www.who.int)).
Classic disease control strategies such as early detection, case isolation, contact testing, health care infection control, and clinical care remain the responsibility of the health care system. However, when the system is overwhelmed or when public health reach has been chronically underfunded, in the pandemic setting, not only health care systems but also governments may restrict services to providing care for the critically ill while delegating the isolation of contacts and less severe infections to the individuals, individual care providers, and communities. A transparent system, at times of large outbreaks, contact testing may not be sustainable, and testing may be confined to the confirmation of the severely ill, leading to exaggerated case fatality rates and an increased number of COVID-19 suspects, which itself depletes the health care system capacity. The role of home-managed funerals in sustaining disease transmission emerged during the 2014 Ebola outbreak, and is relevant for many developing countries (Pandey et al., 2014). Joint efforts by anthropologists and religious leaders were crucial in engaging communities to minimize such risk.

We have used the arbitrary term “institutions” to reflect a broad range of not-for-profit and non-governmental entities (academic, advocacy, funding, research) and may include health, economy, commerce, policy, politics, and technology sectors. Institutional contributions may include redirection of and supplementing pandemic mitigation efforts of the health system, academic institutions or governments. Such institutions can advance hybrid governmental and private sector responses. Universities can help seamless information flow, dedicated information sites, and support to corporate telehealth initiatives, and can participate in research. The Johns Hopkins University COVID-19 Resource Center is an example. In May the Task Force for Global Health convened a virtual meeting across 46 global entities representing pharmaceutical commodity donors, implementing partners and shippers, under WHO leadership to assess and respond to anticipated interruptions in the critical supply chain of deworming drugs. This rapid consultation across multilaterals and private sector entities helped rapidly access existing platforms such as the DHL Control Tower for Humanitarian Supply Chain to assist the movement of medical supplies and other essential drugs from China to the rest of the world.
The business sector is a critical determinant of modern societal functions worldwide and has huge stakes in making pandemic mitigation successful. For example, N-95 masks may be made in Cambodia by a U.S. owned corporation but sold in Canada or Chile. Virtual inventories can make it possible to divert shipments to countries where they are most needed. Currently there is no cohesive data base of critical medical materials across governmental and private sector producers (existing inventories are diverse and un-linked).

The business sector can enhance service delivery (for example rapid test kit availability), innovation, or production of countermeasures such as pharmaceuticals, vaccines, and novel delivery systems including drone and robotics assets in multiple countries. Elsewhere, online and drive-through delivery options may need massive upscaling, directly contributing to mitigation while augmenting social distancing. Repurposing factories to fill in gaps for commodities and pandemic-specific products for fast-moving mitigation efforts can ease the impact of the pandemic on communities while creating jobs.

Whole-of-government approaches are aimed at maintaining social order, activating or enforcing social distancing measures and crowd control, reducing travel opportunities to minimize import or export of infections while allowing essential travel, movement of products and essential personnel, the redirection of essential services (including of health) to triage and treat seriously ill patients, and enhancing national capacity for contact testing surveillance and testing.

Additional government efforts focusing on the economic security of respective countries include investments in research, economy boosters and incentives as has been proposed in the USA. These include reduction of interest rates or extension of tax deadlines and suspension of taxes, job creation, and unemployment benefits.

The World Health Organization’s convening role (in addition to the implementation of the International Health Regulations) to guide the strategic and tactic actions to contain, mitigate and suppress the pandemic becomes even more important. Irrespective of their lack of enforcement authority, multilateral and multinational entities can be powerful stewards of convening governments and experts with varying priorities and capacities to provide unbiased scientific and policy direction on pandemic mitigation.

The peacekeeping missions of the United Nations (World Health Organization, 2016) and the African Union are examples of international stewardship for security. Such options must be explored for mitigation efforts where needed. For example, if a
country’s defense or health sector is severely affected by absenteeism from illness or lacking resources to maintain mitigation measures or maintain law and order, multilateral peacekeeping missions and staff may be deployed for assistance.

A globally synchronized application of mitigation measures is needed to get ahead of the virus

Although most of the tools presented in Tables 1 and 2 are local and national actions, to achieve full benefit of these efforts, these should be coordinated internationally. Russia and Saudi Arabia are some of the countries that did not wait for cases to emerge as a benchmark for initiating emphatic mitigation measures, and the subsequent spread of COVID-19 in those countries has been slow compared to countries that waited for infections to peak before embarking on mitigation. Experience in Taiwan also demonstrates the value of early introduction of nonpharmaceutical interventions and disease control strategies (Wang et al., 2020).

Pandemic mitigation measures in nations seeking to escape escalating pandemics should ideally begin before clinical cases are identified. Given the asymptomatic shedding of SARS-CoV-2, and limited test availability, non-recognition of a case or slow spread in one geographical location should not lead to complacency (Madhav et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020; Knaus and Doherty, 2020; Baird, 2020).

The aphorism, “A case anywhere is a threat everywhere” must be the overriding impetus for the activation of definitive mitigation measures. A patchwork of different timings of mitigation measures in multiple countries will only help SARS-CoV-2 maintain reservoirs awaiting reintroduction to nations that lift mitigation efforts prematurely. China and Hong Kong may be in the early stages of successive waves. It is premature to discern if all countries will have a similar trajectory. As such, while extreme measures may be relaxed based on data, they should not minimize the importance of continuity of individual and community measures.

If countries are financially and organizationally capable and have reached an interval between pandemic waves, some of these skills and resources can be shared with more challenging countries, as has been done by China in Italy. There is a need to simplify international procedures for countries communicating and collaborating to repatriation of stranded citizens (consider the U.S. and U.K. citizens marooned in Peru), the sharing of relevant epidemiic data, sharing of transparent outcome data in real-time including both successes and challenges with mitigation measures, and the leveraging of nation-specific capacities (Hamzah et al., 2020; O’Carroll, 2020; Kelly, 2020).

Stringent measures will no doubt lead to economic hardships for all. Our resolve will be judged not by how we prevented the economic impact, but how we mitigated the pandemic, related illness and deaths (Abiad et al., 2020; Anzai et al., 2020; United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, 2020; World Health Organization, 2020b).

Prepare for an adaptive, not fixed, duration of mitigation

An adaptive rather than a fixed strategy for restrictive mitigations strategies is required, one that is sufficient to avoid repeat waves of outbreaks. Based on the incubation period, countries are using a 14 to 21 day period as rule of thumb for extreme measures such as “lockdown”, “shelter-in-place” or declaration of emergency”, yet it is vital to note that at least two incubation cycles or longer would provide reasonable time to flatten the epidemic curve, and most countries expanded the time frame. Social distancing measures would need to be practiced even after extreme measures. For Ebola, the current practice is to continue mitigation efforts for two cycles of 21 days each (42 days total) after the detection of the final case. However, an exit plan is necessary at the end of the lock-down. Successive waves of infections must be anticipated and prepared for (Ferguson et al., 2020; Anzai et al., 2020; United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, 2020; Ebrahim and Memish, 2020).

Integrate research to response to further elucidate the natural history of COVID-19

Although we have discerned substantial knowledge on SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 in the past few months in pandemic speed, additional epidemiological, virologic, and clinical data on SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 would help consolidate and verify the known facts. The severity of the disease depends on the efficiency of the immune system (Conti and Younes, 2020; Conti et al., 2020a,b; Ronconi et al., 2020). Clinical and epidemiological data suggest that women are less likely to acquire SARS-CoV-2. This may be due to variation in immunologic response in men and women and should be confirmed. The immune regulatory genes encoded by the X chromosome in the female gender cause lower viral load levels, and less inflammation than in men; the levels of activation of the immune cells are higher in women than in men; women generally produce higher levels of antibodies which remain in the circulation longer; and testosterone in males predisposes them to cardiovascular inflammation (Conti and Younes, 2020). There is an urgent need to study the role of pro-inflammatory cytokines, an important modulator of COVID-19, and drugs that bind to IL-1, IL-1R, IL-37, IL38 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (Conti et al., 2020a; Ronconi et al., 2020). Recent evidence suggests an urgent need to assess the impact of COVID-19 on child health. Inflammatory cytokines may play role in the reported aggravation of Kawasaki disease in children by COVID-19, and also in the development of SARS-CoV-2 induced disease similar to Kawasaki illness (Conti et al., 2020b). Along with home quarantine and other community mitigation measures, Conti et al. have called for better understanding of the role of oxygen therapy and the mode of action of O3 that has been proven to be beneficial to inhibit viral replication and improvement of lung function. Access to oxygen therapy should be assured to reduce aggravation of clinical symptoms. Understanding these immunological paradigms evidenced among women and children by epidemiological data will also help inform our efforts to develop antiviral agents and vaccine research.

Guiding principles for easing mitigation efforts

Effects of both mitigation efforts and unmitigated progression of COVID-19 on human life are real. The primary goal of easing mitigation (reopening the economy to avert unintended consequences of mitigation) should be to maximize the number of lives saved. The impact of prolonged periods of mitigation may vary greatly by socio-economic status of populations. Mitigation efforts may exacerbate or lead to starvation, prolong economic disruption, and increase morbidity and mortality from deferred medical care, mental health problems, domestic violence, and reversal of socio-economic development investments such as in education.

Timing of easing mitigation interventions

- Declining test positivity over a 14-day period where testing is adequate to meet demands of all symptomatic persons and contacts
- Declining hospitalization over a 14-day period
- Declining intensive care utilization over a 14-day period

Key requirements for easing mitigation interventions include
- Surveillance innovation and testing capacity to monitor disease burden
- Strategy, speed, and capacity for contact tracing
- Per-capita hospital bed utilization trends and capacity for potential surge
- Per-capita intensive care utilization trends and capacity for potential surge
- Adequacy of critical health care workforce

SARS-CoV-2 test capacity should be sufficient for symptomatic persons and contacts. Test kits also will be required for population-based surveillance, and surge related testing. Environmental (sewage) sample testing may provide a proxy for population level monitoring. Algorithms can help discern outbreaks through self-reporting of signs and symptoms. Innovation in contact testing includes proximity tracking and other personal electronic tracking concepts subject to ethical considerations and feasibility.

Considerations for prioritization of staggered easing of pandemic mitigation interventions

- Essential nature of the service or entity
- Severity of adverse consequences on human behavior and survival of absence or an activity or event
- Existence or potential for COVID-19 epidemic risk factors in a service or entity
- Availability of potential minimal risk operational options in a service or entity
- Transportation or geographic proximity for inward populations movements
- Population density of target geographic area for easing interventions
- Social well-being and cultural sensitivities such as for burials and family functions
- Opportunity for crowd size restrictions and innovation in hygienic operations

As capacities and epidemic profiles of countries vary, specific recommendations are challenging. The following decision trees can inform local deliberations.

Childcare: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/Childcare-Decision-Tree.pdf

Camps: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/Camps-Decision-Tree.pdf

Schools: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/Schools-Decision-Tree.pdf

Workplaces: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/community/workplace-decision-tree.pdf

Restaurants Bars: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/community/restaurants-and-bars-decision-tree.pdf

Mass Transit: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/pdf/MassTransit-DecisionTree.pdf

The way forward

Pandemic COVID-19 has created a new norm, a challenge to the globalized and interconnected system that defines humanity in the 21st Century. Such challenges can only be effectively addressed through a pan-global mindset. Although all countries may be affected by the time of the publication of this paper, some communities may not have detected their first case. We appeal to all communities to get ahead of the virus and take measures to keep the common enemy out. Not having a huge caseload is no assurance of freedom from COVID-19. The only difference is that those that take early, broad and proactive measures will have the least burden and will be best prepared to weather the pandemic. We emphasize the need for synchronized pan-global mitigation efforts to minimize everyone's risk, maximize collaboration and to commit to shared progress. Such an outcome requires concerted efforts from ‘All Hands on Deck’ - all individuals, communities, governments, systems, each operating in the tightly-woven global framework that is our world.
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