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Abstract

Language model is a vital component in modern automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems. Since “one-size-fits-all” language model works suboptimally for conversational speeches, language model adaptation (LMA) is considered as a promising solution for solving this problem. In order to compare the state-of-the-art LMA techniques and systematically demonstrate their effect in conversational speech recognition, we develop a novel toolkit named Chameleon, which includes the state-of-the-art cache-based and topic-based LMA techniques. This demonstration does not only vividly visualize underlying working mechanisms of a variety of the state-of-the-art LMA models but also provide an interface for the user to customize the hyperparameters of them. With this demonstration, the audience can experience the effect of LMA in an interactive and real-time fashion. We wish this demonstration would inspire more research on better language model techniques for ASR.

1 Introduction

In recent years, conversational speech recognition attracts much research attention in both academia and industry, since it is the very premise of building intelligent conversational applications. In contemporary ASR systems, language model plays an essential role of guiding the search among the word candidates and has a decisive effect on the quality of results (Jurafsky, 2000; Xu et al., 2018). However, most commercial ASR products simply rely on a “one-size-fits-all” language model. The mismatch between training and testing scenarios becomes a huge obstacle to high-quality ASR of conversational speeches in practice. Despite its simplicity and reliability, the widely used \textit{n}-gram language model suffers the drawback of limited capacity of capturing the long-distance dependencies and richer semantic information, which greatly motivates the development of LMA techniques (Gandhe et al., 2018).

Although LMA techniques are increasingly considered as promising solutions for aforementioned limitation of \textit{n}-gram language model, their effectiveness in real-life ASR tasks has never been systematically investigated so far. In this demonstration, we categorize the existing LMA models as two paradigms: the cache-based and the topic-based. The cache-based paradigm exploits historical observation by caching the previously used words in recent history, and then increases the probability of these words when predicting new words (Kuhn and De Mori, 1990; Lau et al., 1993; Chen, 2017). The topic models-based paradigm relies on the latent semantics discovered by probabilistic topic models, which are known for their ability to capture the semantic correlation between words and proven promising performance when applied to ASR systems (Chen et al., 2010; Wintrode and Khudanpur, 2014). Besides ASR, the topic models are also widely used in various applications such as word analysis (Kennedy et al., 2017), RFID data modeling (Kennedy et al., 2017), urban perception (de Oliveira Capela and Ramirez-Marquez, 2019) etc. We include a wide range of LMA techniques in Chameleon and some of them are specialized for ASR system. In Chameleon, the LMA techniques from the above two paradigms are implemented by conforming to the same APIs. Hence, the users could seamlessly switch between different LMA techniques and observe their real-time impact on ASR results. The ultimate goal of our demonstration is to provide a unique opportunity for the users to customize and experience the working mechanisms of a variety of the state-of-the-art LMA techniques in a vivid and interactive approach. We wish it will inspire more research on LMA in the field of ASR.

The rest of this paper is organized as fol-
lows. In Section 2, we describe the details of the Chameleon toolkit. In Section 3, we quantitatively demonstrate the performance of Chameleon, followed by the demonstration description in Section 4. Finally, we conclude this paper in Section 5.

2 Toolkit Description

In this section, we first describe the pipeline of LMA used in Chameleon, then we introduce the cache-based LMA paradigm and the topic-based LMA paradigm respectively.

2.1 Language Model Adaptation Pipeline

The pipeline of LMA used in Chameleon is illustrated in Figure 1. In the 1st-pass decoding, the system generates a word lattice containing the candidate results, which are further digested by LMA. Then the adapted language model rescores the word lattice and generate the 2nd-pass word lattice, in which the final decoding result can be straightforwardly obtained.

2.2 Cache-based Paradigm

There widely exists a phenomena named “word burstiness” in natural language such as conversational speech: if a word appears once, the same word and its semantically related words tend to appear again in the same speech (Madsen et al., 2005). Compared with basic $n$-gram model, the cache-based paradigm stores the recent historical information constructed by the 1st-pass decoded word lattices. Hence, it has the ability to emphasize the local context and boost the probabilities of recently seen words. The cache-based paradigm is widely used in language model, e.g. the cache model (Kuhn and De Mori, 1990) and the self-trigger models (Lau et al., 1993). In Chameleon, we implement the Trigger-based Discriminative Language Model (DLM) proposed in (Singh-Miller and Collins, 2007), which aims to find the optimal string $w^*$ for a given acoustic input, denoted as $a$, by the following equation:

$$w^* = \arg \max (\alpha \log P_{LM}(w) + \log P_{AM}(a|w) + \langle \beta, \phi(a, w, h) \rangle)$$

where $P_{LM}$ represents a back-off $n$-gram language model, $P_{AM}$ is an acoustic model, $\phi(a, w, h)$ maps the tuple $(a, w, h)$ into a feature-vector, and $h$ is the history of $a$ (represented as $h = \{v_1, \cdots, v_{i-1}\}$). The parameter $\beta$ is estimated using discriminative method such as perception. By caching the history of $a$ and the trigger features such as the times word $w$ appears in history $h$, the trigger-based DLM aims to make full
2.3 Topic-based Paradigm

The topic-based paradigm is mathematically defined as below:

$$P(w|c) = \sum_z P(w|z)P(z|c)$$ (2)

where $z$ is the latent topic, $P(w|z)$ is word probability given the topic and $P(z|c)$ is topic probability given the context $c$. Compared with the basic $n$-gram language models and cache-based models, the topic-based adaptation is able to predict word probability based on a long-term history and capture the long dependencies from the semantic perspective. A variety of topic models are included in Chameleon and their corresponding graphical models are illustrated in Figure 2:

- **PLSA** (Hofmann, 1999)
- **LDA** (Blei et al., 2003)
- **Word Vicinity Model (WVM)** (Chen et al., 2010)
- **Conversational Speech Topic Model (CSTM)** (Song et al., 2019)

The CSTM model is a newly designed topic model that is specialized for conversational speech. From the graphical model of CSTM in Figure 2(d), we can see that CSTM represents the words in a speech dialogue corpus $D$ as mixtures of $K$ “topics” and each “topic” is represented as a multinomial distribution over vocabulary of size $V$ with Dirichlet prior $\beta$. The topic distribution $\theta$ for each speech dialogue is multinomial from a Dirichlet prior with parameter $\alpha$, and each word $w$ in a speech dialogue is drawn from a multinomial distribution of topic assignment $z$ of the sentence it belongs to. Compared with traditional topic models such as LDA, CSTM has the ability to capture the utterance boundaries by constraining all the words in the same sentence sharing the same topic. In addition, CSTM explicitly models the “word burstiness” phenomena by allowing the word probability in the same topic varies in different documents, which is quite different from traditional topic models since their word probability in the same topic is usually fixed. The model learning process of CSTM is inspired by the inductive transfer learning mechanism (Pan and Yang, 2010) to make use of currently parallel training frameworks for LDA (Yuan et al., 2015) and well-trained open-sourced topic models (Jiang et al., 2018).

We conduct a linear interpolation between the conventional $n$-gram language model and the un-
igram model produced by the topic-based or the cache-based LMA techniques as below:

\[ p_d(w|C) = \lambda P_{TM}(w|c) || P_{Cache}(w|c) + (1 - \lambda) P_{LM}(w|c) \]  

where \( P_{LM}(w|c) \), \( P_{TM}(w|c) \) and \( P_{Cache}(w|c) \) are the probability given by \( n \)-gram language model, topic-based language model and cache-based language model respectively. \( \lambda \) is a trade-off parameter and empirically set by users. More sophisticated interpolation method such as (Della Pietra et al., 1992) can also be adopted for better performance.

3 Performance of Chameleon

In this section, we briefly describe the performance of some of the aforementioned LMA techniques in terms of perplexity and Word Error Rate (WER). We use a custom service dataset in Mandarin Chinese with around 1000 hours dialogue speech in the experiments. 80% of speech data is used to train a full-fledged ASR system using Kaldi “chain” model, and the rest 20% of data is reserved for development and testing.

3.1 Perplexity

Figure 3 compares the perplexity (PPL) of the LMA techniques in Chameleon on testing data. In order to ensure the fairness of the comparison, all methods under study are trained based upon the transcript of the training data. We further adapt the \( n \)-gram language model with PLSA, LDA, Trigger-based DLM, WVM and CSTM respectively, which results in the following adapted language models: \( n \)-gram+PLSA, \( n \)-gram+LDA, \( n \)-gram+Trigger-based DLM, \( n \)-gram+WVM, \( n \)-gram + CSTM.

From Figure 3, we can observe that all the LMA techniques in Chameleon are effective at reducing the perplexity of testing data, indicating that they are helpful in predicting the words in testing data. Among all LMA techniques, CSTM achieves much lower perplexity than the other topic-based methods. This confirms the assumption that the latent topics discovered by CSTM provides valuable long-range dependency information of words. The superiority of CSTM over LDA shows that CSTM provides better fit for conversational data.

3.2 Lattice-rescoring

Since the ultimate goal of LMA is to improve ASR results, we further examine and compare the effectiveness of the LMA techniques in Chameleon in term of WER. Figure 4 presents the WER of all LMA techniques in Chameleon. This result shows that the LMA techniques in Chameleon is effective to reduce the errors in ASR results, indicating that utilizing the long-distance dependencies and richer semantic information is critical for ASR systems.

4 Demonstration Description

In this section, we describe the testbed ASR system and user interface of this demonstration. The goal of the demonstration is to provide a interactive approach for the users to experience the working mechanisms of a variety of the state-of-the-art LMA techniques mentioned above.

4.1 Testbed ASR System

The whole system is deployed on a machine with 314GB memory, 72 Intel Core Processor (Xeon), Tesla K80 GPU and CentOS. We trained a full-fledged ASR system based on conversational
speeches collected from real-life customer service in Mandarin Chinese using the Kaldi toolkit\(^1\). The Kaldi “chain” model is used for the acoustic model. As for conventional language models, the back-off \(n\)-gram language models are trained by SRI Language Modeling Toolkit (SRILM) (Stolcke, 2002).

4.2 User Interface

We proceed to exhibit the three steps of using Chameleon with a screenshot of the user interface illustrated in Figure 5.

**Step 1:** The users can either upload recorded audio files or record conversational speech in real-time through the microphone provided by our system. Optionally, the groundtruth transcript can be provided by the user for the system to evaluate the WER of different LMA techniques.

**Step 2:** The baseline \(n\)-gram language model together with various LMA techniques described in Section 2 can be freely chosen by the users. A horizontal slider is also provided for the users to customize the interpolation weight \(\lambda\). In order to facilitate the comparison of WER and decoding results of different LMA techniques, Chameleon supports applying two LMA techniques and presents their results simultaneously in a side-by-side fashion.

**Step 3:** When the user clicks the “Start Decoding” button, the decoding process starts. The decoded results will be presented to the corresponding text area after decoding completes. If the groundtruth transcript is provided and the “Calculate WER” button is clicked, the WER of the decoded results will be calculated and presented in the interface.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this demonstration, we show a novel language model adaptation toolkit named Chameleon that reveals the effectiveness and differences of the state-of-the-art LMA techniques. Through this demonstration, the audience will have a unique journey of experiencing how LMA improves the ASR performance. In the future, we plan to include more LMA techniques and investigate new topic models dedicated for conversational speech recognition. In addition, the hyperparameter tuning step can be combined with current Automatic Machine Learning (AutoML) techniques (Quanming et al., 2018) to achieve better performance and user experience.
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