RBM47 is a Critical Regulator of Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation
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Abstract

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are pivotal for regulating gene expression as they are involved in each step of RNA metabolism. Several RBPs are essential for viable growth and development in mammals. RNA-binding motif 47 (RBM47) is an RRM-containing RBP whose role in mammalian embryonic development is poorly understood yet deemed to be essential since its loss in mouse embryos leads to perinatal lethality. In this study, we attempted to elucidate the significance of RBM47 in cell-fate decisions of mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). Downregulation of Rbm47 did not affect mESC maintenance and the cell cycle but perturbed the expression of primitive endoderm (PrE) markers and increased GATA4+ PrE-like cells. However, the PrE misregulation could be reversed by either overexpressing Rbm47 or treating the knockdown mESCs with the inhibitors of FGFR or MEK, suggesting an implication of RBM47 in regulating FGF-ERK signaling. Rbm47 knockdown affected the multi-lineage differentiation potential of mESCs as it regressed teratoma in NSG mice and led to a skewed expression of differentiation markers in serum-induced monolayer differentiation. Further, lineage-specific differentiation revealed that Rbm47 is essential for proper differentiation of mESCs towards neuroectodermal and endodermal fate. Taken together, we assign a hitherto unknown role(s) to RBM47 in a subtle regulation of mESC differentiation.
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Introduction

Mammalian embryogenesis is initiated with the formation of a totipotent single-cell zygote, which embarks on a complex sequence of events that involve the establishment of diverse cellular types, intercellular interactions, mechanical and chemical cues that guide the patterning of tissues and organs to generate an entire individual [1, 2]. Mechanistic errors and perturbations during these events lead to embryo defects that sometimes prove to be lethal. Pre-implantation stage mammalian embryos have been successfully cultured in vitro and used to study cell dynamics of the early days of mammalian development. However, the precise course of events in post-implantation stages where the basic body plan is laid down remains the black box of embryonic development due to inaccessibility and associated experimental intricacies within the uterus [2].

Recent advances in the in vitro stem cell-derived embryo models have posed an exceptional avenue for recapitulating in vivo events of mammalian embryogenesis, lineage specification, tissue, and organ formation [1, 3]. The most widely used models are embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), which divide clonally and differentiate into all cell types. Recent studies have demonstrated the potential of ESCs to self-assemble and self-organize into embryo-like structures that mimic in vivo embryonic morphogenesis [1, 4–6]. In addition to modeling early embryonic development, the genetically modified ESCs are used for developing efficient differentiation protocols to derive clinically relevant cell types, elucidating the significance of novel factors, and understanding the fundamental processes governing pluripotency. Several studies have thus successfully explained transcriptional factors and their networks governing pluripotency [7]. However, there is increasing evidence for the RNA-based regulation...
of pluripotency and differentiation through the coordinated interplay between RBPs, cellular mRNAs, and non-coding RNAs [8, 9]. As RNA binding proteins (RBPs) are involved in every process of RNA regulation, several recent studies have emphasized their essential functions in the regulation of pluripotency and differentiation [10–16].

RNA-binding motif 47 (RBM47) is a novel, vertebrate-conserved RBP that contains three RNA-recognition motifs (RRM) that perform multifaceted roles in RNA editing, early conserved RBP that contains three RNA-recognition motifs of pluripotency and differentiation [10–16]. Several recent studies have revealed a novel role for RBM47 during the interplay between RBPs, cellular mRNAs, and non-coding RNAs [8, 9]. As RNA binding proteins (RBPs) are involved in every process of RNA regulation, several recent studies have emphasized their essential functions in the regulation of pluripotency and differentiation [10–16].

RBM47 expression is differentially regulated in mESC-based lineage specifications. A Schematic representation of in vitro propagation of stem cells from TE, PrE, and ICM/Epi of a developing blastocyst and their lineage specification potential. TE- trophectoderm, ICM- inner cell mass, PrE- primitive endoderm, Epi- epiblast, TSCS- trophoblast stem cells, ESCS- embryonic stem cell, nEnd- naïve endoderm, cXEN- extra-embryonic endoderm, ExE- extra-embryonic ectoderm, EpiSCs- epiblast stem cells. B RT- qPCR measurement of Rbm47 mRNA levels in indicated cell types (MEF- mouse embryonic fibroblast; mESC- AB2.2 mouse embryonic stem cells). Relative mRNA quantification was performed by normalizing data to Actb, Gapdh, and 18 S rRNA expression from three biological replicates; error bar represents the S.E.M. C Western blot analysis of Rbm47 in MEFs quantified by western blotting. GAPDH was used as a cytoplasmic marker and Histone H2A was used as a nuclear marker. D RT-qPCR measurement of Rbm47 mRNA during embryoid body (EB) differentiation at indicated timepoints. Values were normalized to Actb, Gapdh, and 18 S rRNA expression and plotted by assaying three biological replicates. Error bars indicate S.E.M. F Western blot analysis of Rbm47 during EB differentiation. Relative Rbm47/Total β-Tubulin (TUBB) quantification is represented as mean ± S.E.M from three biological replicates. G RT-qPCR measurement of Rbm47 mRNA in indicated lineages derived from mESCs (EpiLC- epiblast-like cells; cXEN- extra-embryonic endoderm; DE- definitive endoderm, MES- mesoderm, and NE- neuroectoderm). Values were normalized to Actb, Gapdh, and 18 S rRNA expression and relative expression was plotted by assaying three biological replicates. Error bars indicate S.E.M. H Western blot analysis of Rbm47 in indicated lineages. Lineage-specific markers were probed to confirm proper differentiation. TUBB was used as the loading control. Relative Rbm47/TUBB quantification is represented as mean ± S.E.M from three biological replicates. Statistical test used for B- unpaired student’s t-test; E, F, G and H- one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison tests, mESC as control sample; ns- non-significant; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001

Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell Culture

AB 2.2 mESC line was a kind gift from the Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK. mESCs were cultured under feeder-free conditions on 0.2% gelatin as attachment factor in serum-free ESC medium: Knockout DMEM (Cat. no. 10829018) supplemented with 15% Knockout Serum Replacement (KOSR; Invitrogen/10828028), 1x Glutamax (Gibco/35050061), 1x MEM-non-essential amino acids (Gibco/11140050), 0.1 mM 2- Mercaptoethanol (Gibco/21985023), 50U/mL Pen Strep (Gibco/15140122) and 10 ng/mL murine Leukaemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF; Peprotech/AF-250-02). Cells were plated at a density of 2.0- 2.5 × 10^4 live cells per cm² for routine cultures. The cultures were fed daily and usually passaged on the third day using StemPro Accutase (Gibco/A1110501) as a cell-dissociation agent. All the experiments described in the study were performed using mESCs grown between passages 18 and 30. TaKaRa PCR mycoplasma detection set (cat. no. 6601) was used to screen cultures for mycoplasma contamination regularly. Before lineage-specific differentiation, wild-type ES cells were grown for at least 2 passages in ESC + 2i medium:
ESCs were plated at an initial density of 2 × 10^4 cells/mL on a fibronectin-coated (15 µg/mL) well of a 6-well plate in ESC + 2i medium. After a day, the ESC + 2i medium was withdrawn and cells were washed with PBS. Cells were allowed to grow in an N2B27 medium supplemented with Activin-A (20 ng/mL) and FGF2 (12 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs. The EBs were collected on day 2 by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 2 min followed by gentle dissociation using StemPro Accutase for 2 min at room temperature (300 µL Accutase used for EBs from one well). Dissociated cells were suspended in 2 mL SFMD and then harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 3 min. Next, the cells were reaggregated with SFMD supplemented with human VEGF (5 ng/mL), human Activin-A (5 ng/mL), and human BMP4 (0.25 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs that were then harvested for RNA and protein and RNA isolation.

Conversion of mESCs to neuroectoderm (NE): Neuroectoderm was derived from mESCs as detailed before [31]. In short, 1 × 10^5 mESCs were seeded onto 0.2% gelatin-coated 6-well dish and cultured in ESC + 2i medium (wild-type ESCs) or ESC medium (shRNA expressing mESCs) for 16–24 h. Next, the cells were washed with PBS and fed with an N2B27-neuro specific medium (Supplementary Table S3 for recipe) for 6–8 days. The medium was renewed every 2 days.

Differentiation of mESCs into embryoid bodies (EBs): mESCs were plated at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/mL on non-treated dishes and aggregated into EBs for six days in the EB medium composed of Knockout DMEM (Cat. no. 10829018), 10% ESC-qualified FBS (Gibco/10439024), 1X Glutamax (Gibco/35050061), 1X MEM-non-essential amino acids (Gibco/11140050), 0.1 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol (Gibco/21985023), and 0.5% Pen Strep. The medium was replenished every alternate day for up to 6 days. Six-day-old EBs were plated onto gelatin-coated dishes and grown for an additional six days with media replenished every alternate day. The cultures were analyzed on day 3, day 6, day 9, and day 12.

Monolayer differentiation of mESCs: mESCs were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/cm² onto gelatin-coated plates overnight in ESC medium. On the next day, cells were

---

**Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation**

Conversion of mESCs to epiblast-like stem cells (EpiLCs): Briefly, 1 × 10^5 mESCs were cultured on a fibronectin-coated well of a 6-well plate in ESC + 2i medium. After a day, the culture was washed with PBS. Cells were allowed to grow in an N2B27 medium supplemented with Activin-A (20 ng/mL) and FGF2 (12 ng/mL) for another two days to form mesodermal EBs. The EBs were collected on day 2 by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 2 min followed by gentle dissociation using StemPro Accutase for 2 min at room temperature (300 µL Accutase used for EBs from one well). Dissociated cells were suspended in 2 mL SFMD and then harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 3 min. Next, the cells were reaggregated with SFMD supplemented with human VEGF (5 ng/mL), human Activin-A (5 ng/mL), and human BMP4 (0.25 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs that were then harvested for RNA and protein and RNA isolation.

Conversion of mESCs to definitive endoderm (DE): mESCs were plated at an initial density of 2 × 10^4 cells/mL in serum-free endodermal differentiation (SFED) medium to form embryoid bodies (EBs) in a non-treated 6-well dish (Himedia/TPG6-1×100NO). The SFMD was prepared by adding the following components: 75% IMDM (Gibco/12440053), 25% Ham’s F12 nutrient solution (Gibco/21700075), 0.05% BSA (MP Biomedicals/160069), 1X Glutamax (Gibco/35050061), 1X B27 supplement without vitamin A (Gibco/12587,010), 1X N2 supplement, 50 µg/mL Ascorbic acid (MP Biomedicals /100769) and 0.45 mM alpha-Monothioglycerol (MP Biomedicals/155723). The EBs were collected on day 2 by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 2 min followed by gentle dissociation using StemPro Accutase for 2 min at room temperature (300 µL Accutase used for EBs from one well). Dissociated cells were suspended in 2 mL SFMD and then harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 3 min. Next, the cells were reaggregated with SFMD supplemented with human VEGF (5 ng/mL), human Activin-A (5 ng/mL), and human BMP4 (0.25 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs that were then harvested for RNA and protein and RNA isolation.

Conversion of mESCs to mesoderm (MES): mESCs were differentiated into mesoderm as per the protocol stated previously [29, 30]. Briefly, 1 × 10^5 mESCs were plated per mL of serum-free mesodermal differentiation (SFMD) medium to form EBs in a non-treated 6-well dish (Himedia/TPG6-1×100NO). The SFMD was prepared by adding the following components: 75% IMDM (Gibco/12440053), 25% Ham’s F12 nutrient solution (Gibco/21700075), 0.05% BSA (MP Biomedicals/160069), 1X Glutamax (Gibco/35050061), 1X B27 supplement without vitamin A (Gibco/12587,010), 1X N2 supplement, 50 µg/mL Ascorbic acid (MP Biomedicals /100769) and 0.45 mM alpha-Monothioglycerol (MP Biomedicals/155723). The EBs were collected on day 2 by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 2 min followed by gentle dissociation using StemPro Accutase for 2 min at room temperature (300 µL Accutase used for EBs from one well). Dissociated cells were suspended in 2 mL SFMD and then harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 3 min. Next, the cells were reaggregated with SFMD supplemented with human VEGF (5 ng/mL), human Activin-A (5 ng/mL), and human BMP4 (0.25 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs that were then harvested for RNA and protein and RNA isolation.

Conversion of mESCs to extra-embryonic endoderm (cXEN) cells: cXEN cells were generated from mESCs as previously described [26, 27]. Briefly, 1 × 10^5 mESCs were plated onto a gelatin-coated well of a 6-well plate and cultured for 5 days in cXEN derivation medium: RPMI 1640 supplemented with 15% FBS, 0.1 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol, 10 ng/mL Activin-A, 0.1 µM all-trans retinoic acid, and 1% Pen Strep. cXEN cells were sub-cultured onto fresh gelatin-coated plates for 2–3 days before being collected for RNA and protein extraction.

Conversion of mESCs to definitive endoderm (DE): mESCs were plated at an initial density of 2 × 10^4 cells/mL in serum-free endodermal differentiation (SFED) medium to form embryoid bodies (EBs) in a non-treated 6-well dish (Himedia/TPG6-1×100NO) as previously described [28]. The composition of SFED medium is as follows: 75% IMDM (Gibco/12440053), 25% Ham’s F12 nutrient solution (Gibco/21700075), 0.5X of both N2 (17502048) and B27 (17504044) supplements, 1% Pen Strep, 0.05% BSA (MP Biomedicals/160069), 1X Glutamax (Gibco/35050061), 0.5 mM Ascorbic acid (MP Biomedicals/100769), and 0.45 mM alpha-Monothioglycerol (MP Biomedicals/155723). The EBs were collected on day 2 by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 3 min followed by gentle dissociation using StemPro Accutase for 2 min at room temperature (100 µL Accutase used for EBs from one well). Dissociated cells were suspended in 2 mL SFED and then harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 3 min. Next, the cells were reaggregated with SFMD supplemented with human VEGF (5 ng/mL), human Activin-A (5 ng/mL), and human BMP4 (0.25 ng/mL) for another two days to form the mesodermal EBs that were then harvested for RNA and protein and RNA isolation.
washed with PBS and allowed to differentiate in the EB medium for six days. The medium was replenished every alternate day.

**Plasmids, Lentivirus Preparation, and Transduction**

Plasmids used for lentivirus preparation were as follows: pLKO.1-TRC cloning vector was a gift from David Root (RRID:Addgene_10878); psPAX2- packaging plasmid & pMD2.G- envelop encoding plasmids were gifts from Didier Trono (RRID: Addgene_12260 and Addgene_12259 respectively). pLKO.1-TRC plasmid was digested with AgeI and EcoRI and the 7 kb fragment was gel purified and quantified. Sequences of shRNA oligos were obtained from The RNAi Consortium (TRC) public portal from Broad Institute (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/). Forward and reverse oligos were reconstituted at a concentration of 0.1nmol/µL and the annealing mixture of 25 µL was prepared by adding 11.25 µL of both the oligos and 2.5 µL 10x annealing buffer (1 M NaCl, 100mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 or NEB Buffer 2). The annealing mixture was placed in boiling water and gradually cooled to room temperature. The annealing mixture was diluted 100 times using 0.5x annealing buffer. 1 µL of 1:100 diluted mixture along with 10–20 ng of linear pLKO.1-TRC was used for 20 µL ligation mixture. Sequences encoding shRNAs were confirmed by Sanger DNA sequencing using U6 promoter-specific primer. Sequence targeting lacZ (shlacZ: 5’-TCGTCGTTACTAAGCAGTGTACT3’) was used as a non-targeting control. Three shRNAs were used to target Rbm47 in mouse ESCs, however, shRbm47#1 (5’-CCCGGCTTACTAACAAGTGTACT3’) and shRbm47#3 (5’-CGGCTGACTGACTCC TGTGTA-3’) showed better knockdown efficiency both at RNA and protein levels and hence considered further. The complete sequence of the oligos used are mentioned in the supplementary Table S1.

Lentiviruses were produced in HEK 293T cells grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS. These cells were reverse transfected with lentiviral plasmids using lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). DNA mix was prepared by diluting 2.5 µg pLKO.1-shRNA plasmid, 2 µg psPAX2 and 1 µg pMD2.G in Opti-MEM (Gibco) or any serum-free basal medium to a volume of 243 µL and followed by 7 µL P3000 reagent. The lipid mix was prepared in a separate tube by diluting 7 µL Lipofectamine 3000 reagent in 243 µL Opti-MEM. DNA-lipid mix was obtained by dropwise addition of the DNA mix to the lipid mix. The contents were gently mixed with a pipette and incubated inside the hood for 10 min. Meanwhile, HEK 293T cells were dissociated with trypsin, harvested, and re-suspended in the complete medium. DNA-lipid mix along with 5–6 × 10⁶ 293T cells were plated per transfection in a 60 mm dish pre-coated with 0.2% gelatin and incubated overnight. The next day, the spent medium was replaced with 3–4 mL fresh complete medium. Supernatants were collected at 24 and 48 h time points and pooled. The pooled supernatant was next passed through a 0.45 µm filter to remove cell debris and concentrated using Lenti-X concentrator (TaKaRa cat. no. 631,231) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The viral pellet was suspended in a 300 µL ESC medium and used for transduction, or aliquots were stored in -80°C.

mESCs were transduced on a 6-well dish at the time of plating with different doses of virus concentrate suspended in ESC medium containing 6 µg/ mL polybrene and incubated for 16–24 h. Cultures were fed with fresh medium and grown for another day. Next, cells were selected with a medium containing 1 µg/ mL puromycin until the mock-transduced cells (no virus) completely died. MOI was determined by counting the virus-transduced and control cells (no virus/no puromycin) as previously described [32]. The polyclonal population that yielded MOI of ~ 1 was used for further studies.

**RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription-Quantitative Real-Time PCR (RT-qPCR)**

Total RNA was isolated from all the cell types by adding the appropriate amount of TRIzol Reagent (Cat. No. 15596-018; Ambion, life technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantification of isolated total RNA was done using a DeNovix spectrophotometer. Total RNA (0.5 - 1.0 µg) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Verso cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific). RT-qPCR was set up with two technical repeats per biological sample in a reaction volume of 10 µL containing 2.5 µL of 1:25 diluted cDNA as template, 0.4 µM each forward and reverse primer and PowerUp SYBR green master mix (Applied biosystems A25743) and run in Quantstudio 6 Flex (Thermo Scientific). A four-stage thermocycling protocol (fast cycling mode) was employed. Briefly, stage I (hold) – 2 min at 50°C for activation of UDG, Stage II (hold)- 2 min at 95°C for activation of dual-lock DNA polymerase, stage III (40 cycles)- 95°C melt for 3s and 60°C C anneal/extend for 30 s and Stage IV (post-amplification melt curve analysis)- the ramp (auto mode) was initiated from 60°C to 95°C. Melting curve was monitored in each run to determine the specificity of amplification. The details of the primers used in the study are mentioned in the supplementary Table S2. Unless otherwise stated, all the data were normalized to multiple endogenous controls- 18 S rRNA, Actb, and Gapdh and relative quantification (RQ) of target gene expression were performed as described previously [33]. RQ plots were represented as mean ± S.E.M as described in the figure legends.
**Immunocytochemistry**

mESCs were plated in 96-well optical-bottom plates (Nunc 165,305) coated with 0.2% gelatin. The spent medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS. Cells were fixed in 4% phosphate-buffered formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature and washed thrice with PBS. Cells were permeabilized and blocked for 30 min in PBS containing 5% BSA + 0.2% Triton-X. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies (Supplementary Table S3) diluted with antibody dilution buffer (PBS containing 1% BSA + 0.05% Triton-X) for one hour at room temperature. Excess antibodies were removed by rinsing with PBS thrice, cells were incubated with fluorophore-conjugated appropriate secondary antibodies diluted in antibody dilution buffer with PBS and nuclear staining was done with mounting medium containing DAPI and anti-fade agent DABCO (Sigma). Samples were imaged in Thermo Scientific CellInsight CX7 LZR High Content Analysis (HCA) platform using wide-field or confocal applications. Images were processed further using ImageJ software.

**Teratoma Formation**

In vivo differentiation potential of mESCs stably expressing either shlacZ or shRbm47 was tested by subcutaneously injecting 1 × 10⁶ cells suspended in 100 µL knockout-DMEM into the dorsal flank of 8-week old NOD/SCID mice. Mice were regularly monitored for teratoma formation and euthanized between 4 and 5 weeks for harvesting teratomas. Teratoma dimensions were recorded using a Vernier caliper and the ellipsoid volume, \( V = \frac{1}{2} \times \text{Length} \times \text{Width}^2 \) was calculated [34]. Teratomas were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS at 4°C overnight, embedded in paraffin and the sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological analysis.

**Electroporation Using Neon Transfection System**

For Neon transfections, pCAG-EGFP-N1 (A pEGFP-N1 backbone modified by replacing CMV promoter with CAG promoter) and pCAG-Rbm47-EGFP-N1 plasmid DNAs of high purity were prepared at a concentration of 2–4 µg/µL using Purelink HiPure plasmid midiprep kit (K210005). Briefly, mESCs were dissociated with accutase and harvested. The cells were re-suspended PBS and cell count was determined in Countess automated cell counter. For electroporation in 12w format using Neon 10 µL tip, 1 × 10⁵ cells were suspended gently in buffer R containing 1 µg plasmid DNA to obtain cell-DNA mix. The Neon tube was filled with 3 mL electrolytic buffer E and placed in the Neon pipette station. The Neon pipette with a 10 µL tip was then immersed in the cell-DNA mix and slowly aspirated. Next, the Neon pipette was inserted into the neon tube with buffer E and electroporated with the following conditions: 1200 V / 10 ms / 3 pulses. Once the electric pulses are completed, transfer the cells into the gelatin pre-coated 12w plate containing pre-warmed ESC medium. The plate was gently rocked to ensure uniform distribution and incubated for 48 h in a humidified 37°C, 5% CO₂ incubator, before being used for analysis.

**Statistics**

Biological replicates in cell culture experiments refer to samples collected from independent experiments. RT-qPCR reactions were set-up with two technical repeats per biological sample. For western blotting experiments, a representative image from independent blotting experiments with three biological replicates is shown. Graph plots and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.2.1 (441) software. Student’s t-test was employed for comparisons between two groups whereas one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was utilized for comparisons between three or more groups. Each figure legend includes relevant information about precision measures, the number of replicates, and the statistical tests conducted. The statistical significance was defined as follows: \( p > 0.05 \) as non-significant (ns), \( * p < 0.05 \), \( ** p < 0.01 \), \( *** p < 0.001 \), \( **** p < 0.0001 \).

**Results**

*Rbm47* is Abundantly Expressed in Pluripotent Stem Cells (PSCs) and Localizes to Both Nucleus and Cytoplasm

We found that *Rbm47* transcript and protein were abundantly expressed in mESCs as compared with differentiated cells such as mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) (Fig. 1B, C). To determine the cellular localization of RBM47 protein, we fractionated mouse ESCs into cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts and analyzed them by immunoblotting (Fig. 1D). RBM47 was found to be expressed as both, a nuclear and a cytoplasmic RBP with significant enrichment in the nucleus of mESCs, indicating its discrete roles in nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA metabolism. Similar data were obtained with human iPS cell lines and human dermal fibroblasts suggesting closely related functions in human counterparts (Supplementary Fig. S1D, E, and F).

*Rbm47* Expression Profile in Specific Lineages Derived In Vitro From mESCs

Early mouse development involves the specification of three lineages at the blastocyst stage. As shown in Fig. 1A, the early blastocyst stage (E3.25) has a fully specified outer
layer of cells called trophectoderm (TE), while the inner cell mass (ICM) retains pluripotency. In the late blastocyst stage (E4.5), ICM undergoes lineage specification into the pluripotent epiblast (Epi) and primitive endoderm (PrE). As the blastocyst enters the subsequent stages of development, TE gives rise to the extraembryonic ectoderm (ExE), and the PrE gives rise to visceral endoderm surrounding both the Epi and ExE. Epi gives rise to tri-lineages of the embryo proper. Fortunately, the self-renewing ability of these cells enabled us to derive and maintain stem cell lines from each of these lineages and utilize them to study blastocyst development in vitro [3]. ESCs can be derived from both the ICM and Epi and cultured indefinitely in vitro under defined growth conditions. Currently, protocols are available to convert mESCs into naive endoderm (nEnd) or extraembryonic endoderm (ExEn; similar to PrE) and epiblast-like cells (EpiLCs, similar to E5.5 epiblast cells) in addition to the traditional germ layer differentiation of embryo proper.

To explore the function of Rbm47 during early embryonic development using mESCs, we subjected mESCs to various differentiation strategies and analyzed Rbm47 expression. First, we differentiated mESCs as embryoid bodies (EBs), which undergo spontaneous differentiation into the three germ layers and mimic post-implantation embryos in vitro. RT-qPCR profiling revealed a 2–3 fold upregulation of Rbm47 expression in differentiating EBs compared with mESCs (Fig. 1E). In contrast, there was a gradual reduction in RBM47 protein levels as EB differentiation progressed. However, the correlation between protein and mRNA levels was seen only in day-3 EBs (Fig. 1F). Expression of Nanog, a core pluripotency marker, significantly reduced both at mRNA and protein levels, served as an indicator for EB differentiation (Fig. 1E, F).

Next, to test whether Rbm47 expression is subjected to any lineage-specific regulation, we directed mESCs into epiblast-like cells (EpiLCs) and extra-embryonic endoderm (cXEN) cells, that mimic the successive cell fates of inner cell mass in the post-implantation embryos in vitro. Furthermore, mESCs were specified into derivatives of definitive endoderm (DE), mesoderm (MES), and neuroectoderm (NE), which mimic the primitive lineages of the embryo proper. To ensure proper differentiation, all the lineage derivatives were profiled for expression of specific-lineage markers besides monitoring Rbm47 expression (Fig. 1G, H and Supplementary Fig. S2). We observed a differential expression of Rbm47 in EpiLCs and cXEN cells, with mRNA being significantly downregulated in EpiLCs, but upregulated nearly 1.6-fold in cXEN cells (Fig. 1G). RBM47 protein level correlated substantially with mRNA level in EpiLC but not in cXEN as protein level was reduced compared to mESCs (Fig. 1H). We speculated that Rbm47 expression might undergo post-transcriptional regulation in cXEN cells. We assessed the half-life of Rbm47 mRNA by treating mESCs and cXEN cells with alpha-amanitin, followed by the determination of mRNA abundance at different time points. We observed only a slight increase in the half-life of Rbm47 mRNA (mESC t1/2 = 10.01 h and cXEN t1/2 = 10.83 h) in cXEN cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). To gain insights into Rbm47 expression in the lineages of the embryo proper, we measured its mRNA and protein expression in DE, MES, and NE derived from mESC differentiation (Fig. 1G, H). We found that Rbm47 expression is indeed subjected to lineage-specific regulation, with its expression remained unchanged in DE but compromised largely in MES and NE compared to mESCs.

Taken together these results suggest that RBM47 is abundantly expressed in mESCs and its expression undergoes lineage-specific regulation. As described in previous studies, Rbm47 expression was strongly detected in the endoderm (primitive gut, liver, and pancreas) and ExEn appendages (yolk sac) in E8.5 mouse embryos, but poorly detected in developing tissues of other lineages [19, 35], demonstrating that our expression analysis utilizing mESCs differentiation has fairly recapitulated the in vivo expression patterns.

**Rbm47 Depletion Doesn't Affect In Vitro mESC Maintenance**

We used the RNAi approach for loss-of-function studies to investigate the significance of Rbm47 expression in mESCs. We transduced ESCs with lentivirus that expresses shRNAs targeting either Rbm47 mRNA or lacZ mRNA (non-targeting control) and established stable cell lines (Rbm47 depleted mESCs designated as shRbm47#1 and shRbm47#3; control ESCs as shlacZ in the figures). There was an efficient knockdown of Rbm47 at mRNA (~80%) as well as protein levels (~50%) (Fig. 2A and B). Rbm47-depleted mESCs displayed no apparent change in the undifferentiated state as they expressed similar levels of pluripotency markers as control mESCs as demonstrated by RT-qPCR, western blotting, and immunocytochemistry (Fig. 2E, F, and G). Furthermore, shRbm47 mESCs could be propagated continuously (for at least 15 passages) in the ESC medium supplemented with leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and retained the ability to form colonies. Rbm47 knockdown mESCs had a cell profile that was indistinguishable to control mESCs, as demonstrated by cell cycle analysis (Fig. 2H). In terms of morphology and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, shRbm47#3 mESCs were comparable to control mESCs, whereas shRbm47#1 mESCs showed a slightly diffused morphology with a reduced intensity of ALP staining (Fig. 2C and D). However, these cells display a similar pluripotency marker profile as control mESCs. Overall, our data suggest that Rbm47 is not necessary to maintain the pluripotent state of mESCs.
Downregulation of Rbm47 Increases Primitive Endoderm (PrE)-Like Cells in mESC Culture

Since Rbm47 depletion did not affect the pluripotency and self-renewal of mESCs, we next considered analyzing the expression of differentiation markers specific to PrE, mesendoderm, TE, and neuroectoderm. Previously, it was established that mESC cultures display heterogeneity and comprise a subpopulation of lineage-committed cells [36, 37]. RT-qPCR revealed a significant upregulation of PrE markers Gata6, Gata4, Sox17, Dab2, Pdgfra, and Foxa2 in shRbm47 mESCs as compared with control.
mESCs (Fig. 3A and B). In agreement to RT-qPCR data, immunostaining with GATA4 antibody revealed that Rbm47 knockdown mESCs had a greater fraction of PrE-like cells (shRbm47#1 mESCs: 6.2% ± 1%; shRbm47#3 mESCs: 6% ± 0.4%) than control mESCs (shlacZ mESCs: 2.2% ± 0.5%) (Fig. 3C and D). The expression of mesendodermal markers remained mostly unchanged, but the expression of a few NE markers (Nestin, Pax6 and Emx1) was downregulated marginally.

**FGF-ERK Pathway Inhibitors or Overexpression of Rbm47 Can Reverse the PrE Priming in Rbm47 Knockdown mESCs**

Since ESCs are in vitro models of the ICM, these cells are routinely cultured in a medium supplemented with leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF). However, they can be converted to a hypomethylated ground state of pluripotency (similar to preimplantation ICM) by culturing in a medium containing inhibitors of GSK3β and MEK1/2 (termed as ‘2i’) [38]. To determine whether a return to the ground state would reverse Rbm47-depletion induced priming towards the PrE lineage, we cultured control and Rbm47-depleted mESCs for two passages in ‘2i’ supplemented ESC medium and analyzed these for PrE marker expression. We indeed observed that culture condition capturing the ground state was sufficient to reverse the PrE priming in Rbm47-depleted mESCs (Supplementary Fig. S4).

It is well-documented that FGF4-ERK signaling is the central pathway in cell-fate determination of the ICM into NANOG-positive Epi and GATA6-positive PrE at the E4.5 stage of the mouse blastocyst (Fig. 3E). Blocking FGF signaling with inhibitors of FGF receptor (FGFR) and ERK is reported to convert ICM into Epi [39]; in contrast, overactivation of FGF signaling can transform ICM to PrE [40]. To assess the possible effect of Rbm47 depletion in modulating the FGF-ERK pathway, we treated shRbm47 mESCs with PD0325901 (MEK1/2 inhibitor, MEKi) or PD173074 (Pan-FGFR inhibitor, FGFRi) for 48 h and profiled for expression of PrE markers by RT-qPCR and GATA4 + PrE-like cell population by immunostaining. The use of either inhibitor reduced the PrE marker levels significantly, suggesting the involvement of FGF-ERK signaling in increasing PrE-like subpopulation in Rbm47 knockdown mESCs (Fig. 3F and G). Further, to confirm the activation of this pathway, we quantified the expression of Fgfr1, Fgfr2, and Fgf4 mRNAs in Rbm47-depleted mESCs; however, there was no significant change in the mRNA levels of the FGF receptors and the ligand as compared with control cells (Supplementary Fig. S5). Additionally, we did not observe a spike in phospho-ERK1/2 levels in Rbm47-depleted mESCs, a direct measure of FGF signaling (Supplementary Fig. S5).

To overrule any off-target effect of Rbm47 knockdown, we overexpressed Rbm47 in mESCs. We electroporated shRbm47#1 mESCs (we did not use shRbm47#3 as it targets CDS) with pCAG-EGFP-N1 (empty vector) and pCAG-RBM47-EGFP-N1 using Neon transfection system and cultured for 48 h. We then measured the expression of PrE markers in these cells. We found that overexpression of RBM47 in knockdown cells significantly reduced the expression of PrE markers and GATA4 + PrE-like cells, indicating the rescue of the phenotype (Fig. 3H, I and J). Collectively, our findings demonstrate that Rbm47-depleted mESCs displayed upregulated PrE related genes and contained an increased population of GATA4 + cells as compared with control mESCs. Overexpression of Rbm47 in knockdown cells or supplementing them with either 2i, MEKi, or FGFRi could reverse the priming towards PrE, suggesting the involvement of RBM47 in regulating the FGF-ERK pathway in mESCs. However, further determining the direct targets of RBM47 that are associated with the FGF-ERK pathway would provide more insights into its mechanism of action.

**Rbm47 Depleted mESCs Do Not Retain a Complete Multi-lineage Differentiation Potential**

To evaluate the role Rbm47 on differentiation potential of mESCs in vivo, we xenografted shlacZ and shRbm47 mESCs subcutaneously into NSG mice for teratoma formation. Rbm47-depleted mESCs formed significantly smaller teratomas (mean teratoma volume: shRbm47#1 = 251.63 mm³; shRbm47#3 = 324.6 mm³) as compared with control mESC-derived teratoma (mean teratoma volume: 2081.36 mm³), indicating that Rbm47 might be necessary for self-renewal during the differentiation of mESCs (Fig. 4A and B). We then subjected the harvested teratomas for sectioning...
Fig. 3 Rbm47 depletion primes mESCs towards a PrE fate which can be reversed by FGF-ERK pathway inhibition or Rbm47 overexpression. A Relative mRNA expression of indicated markers in control and shRbm47 mESCs. Log2 normalized values from three biological replicates were used for heatmap generation. B Relative mRNA levels of PrE markers in linear scale, the plotted values represent mean ± S.E.M. C Quantification of GATA4+ cell population in indicated cultures using cell counter plugin of ImageJ software. Mean cell percentage ± S.E.M were plotted by analyzing images from three immunostaining experiments with >1000 nuclei (DAPI) analyzed per experiment. D Widefield fluorescence images of mESCs immunostained for GATA4 (Scale-100 μm). E Schematic representation of the development of early blastocyst to late blastocyst. Auto-crine FGF4-ERK signaling is majorly responsible for the salt-pepper distribution of NANOG+ Epi and GATA6+ PrE specification. 

and histological observation following HE staining to look for any skews in the formation of primitive tissues from tri-lineage. Despite the notable gross reduction in the size of Rbm47 knockdown teratoma, we did not find any conclusive changes as primitive structures belonging to all three germ layers were identified (Fig. 4C). Because teratoma assays cannot solely explain the multi-lineage differentiation potential of PSCs due to inconsistencies in injection sites and data reporting formats, as well as the difficulty of quantifying in vivo differentiation kinetics, alternative assays in the form of spontaneous and directed in vitro differentiation have been suggested [41]. We employed an in vitro spontaneous differentiation assay where mESCs were differentiated in the presence of serum for six days as monolayer cultures and the expression of many lineage-specific markers was analysed. We observed a consistent upregulation of extraembryonic endoderm (ExEn) markers such as Gata6, Gata4, Pdgfra, Sox17, and Foxa2 in shRbm47 differentiation cultures as shown in Fig. 4D. Likewise, the neuroectoderm precursor markers Nestin, Pax6 and Cdh2 were downregulated; however, the expression of mesodermal markers was inconsistent when compared to control mESC differentiation (Fig. 4D). Together, results from in vitro spontaneous differentiation experiment indicate that Rbm47 knockdown enhances the tendency of mESCs to differentiate into ExEn lineage with compromised neuroectodermal fate, thereby affecting their multi-lineage differentiation capacity.

Lineage-Specific Differentiation Reveals Rbm47 is Essential of Neuroectoderm and Endoderm Fate of mESCs

To further probe the effects of Rbm47 depletion on differentiation, we profiled the expression of lineage-specific markers in shRbm47 and control mESCs differentiated into definitive endoderm (DE), mesoderm (MES), and neuroectoderm (NE). As shown in Fig. 1G, H, Rbm47 expression undergoes lineage-specific modulation, and the mRNA and protein levels were notably remained stable in wild-type mESC-derived DE. To determine whether Rbm47 is essential for DE formation, we plated control and shRbm47 mESCs to form EBs for 2-days in the serum-free formulation and then directed to DE lineage by supplementing the medium with Activin A. We observed that Rbm47-depleted EBs were significantly smaller and irregularly shaped than the control EBs (Fig. 5A and B). RT-qPCR profiling revealed a consistent downregulation of definitive endoderm markers (Sox17, Foxa2, and Hhex) (Fig. 5E), suggesting Rbm47 is necessary for proper differentiation of mESCs to DE.

We next specified Rbm47-depleted mESCs to MES by treating 2-day old EBs with Activin A, VEGF, and BMP4 for two days. A role for Rbm47 in the mesodermal specification was not expected since it was significantly downregulated in wild-type mESC-derived MES (Fig. 1G, H) and undetected in mesodermal tissues embryo and adult mouse [35]. However, Rbm47-depleted mESCs not only formed significantly smaller EBs (Fig. 5C and D) but also displayed enhanced expression of mesodermal markers such as Mixl1, Kdr, Gsc, Mesp1, and Hand1 (Fig. 5F).

On the other hand, the involvement of Rbm47 in neuroectodermal differentiation was indicated since critical NE progenitor markers (Pax6, Nestin, and Cdh2) were suppressed upon Rbm47 knockdown (Fig. 3A and D), we expected to be necessary for proper NE differentiation. As expected, we observed a significant decrease in self-renewal of Rbm47-depleted mESCs on day 2 of NE induction with N2B27-high insulin medium, and the effect persisted throughout the duration (day 8) (Fig. 5G). RT-qPCR analysis of NE-differentiated shRbm47 mESCs revealed a significant downregulation of most NE markers, with a clear bias towards ExEn markers as compared with control mESCs (Fig. 5I). Immunostaining of these cultures with NE markers PAX6 and TUBB3 (B-3-Tubulin) and ExEn marker GATA4 correlated with the RT-qPCR data (Fig. 5H). Collectively, these findings from the lineage-specific differentiation models successfully demonstrated
that Rbm47 is essential for fine-tuning the cell-fate decisions and lineage specification of mESCs. Rbm47 knockdown strongly affects the DE and NE differentiation programs.

Discussion

RBM47 is a multifunctional RNA-binding protein conserved in vertebrates, which has been suggested to be essential for...
development, C to U RNA editing, and tumor suppression
[17]. Amongst these, previous investigations have clearly defined the role of RBM47 as a tumor suppressor and as a cofactor for APOBEC1-mediated C to U RNA editing in mammals [35, 42]. However, its role in mammalian development is poorly elucidated. In mouse embryos, Fossat et al. showed that one functional allele of Rbm47 is necessary for viability and postnatal growth of the embryo proper [19]. Inactivation of both Rbm47 alleles resulted in loss of embryos due to fetal resorption after mid-gestation.

The present study used mouse ESCs and lineage-specific differentiation methods as in vitro tools to understand the principal causes for perinatal lethality in Rbm47-inactivated mouse embryos. Profiling of Rbm47 expression in mESC-derived lineages indicated downregulation of both Rbm47 mRNA and protein in EpiLCs, while in cXEN cells, mRNA level increased significantly, but protein level did not correlate with mRNA, suggesting a possibility post-transcriptional/translational regulation in ExEn derivatives that needs further exploration. Differentiation of mESCs to lineages of embryo proper revealed that Rbm47 expression remained high in definitive endoderm but downregulated in mesoderm and neuroectoderm derivatives. In earlier studies with E8.5 embryos, Rbm47 mRNA was strongly expressed in endoderm and ExEn appendages like foregut and yolk sac but weakly detected in other developing tissues [35], indicating that our study using mESCs indeed recapitulated in vivo expression patterns. Furthermore, this spatial expression also correlated with specific adult mouse tissues as this protein was highly detected in the small intestine, pancreas, and liver but undetected in the brain, heart, and skeletal muscles [35]. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) data (43), accessed on 2021-08-13) suggests that this tissue-specific expression pattern is conserved for human Rbm47.

By generating Rbm47 knockdown mESCs, our study demonstrated that insufficient levels of RBM47 did not affect mESC maintenance as the cells displayed a similar profile for pluripotency markers and cell cycle. However, profiling these cells for lineage-specific differentiation markers indicated a dysregulation of PrE lineage marker expression. It is well-known that mESC cultures are heterogeneous and express a small fraction of lineage-committed metastable cells [36, 37]. We observed that Rbm47 depletion induced a significant increase in GATA4+ PrE-like cells. There is clear evidence that FGF4-ERK signaling is the central pathway that converts pluripotent Epi (NANOG+) to PrE (GATA6/ GATA4+) in developing embryos [39, 40]. We thus tested whether this pathway is implicated in Rbm47 depleted mESCs. These cells indeed were responsive to FGFRi, or MEKi supplemented medium and displayed reduced PrE markers and GATA4+ cells in culture upon treatment. Further, overexpression of Rbm47 in these cells rescued them from PrE bias by significantly reducing the levels of PrE-related mRNAs and GATA4+ PrE-like cells, asserting the implication of RBM47 in regulating FGF-ERK pathway in mESCs. To understand this implication we searched RBP-RNA interactome databases like ATTRACT [44] and RBPDB (http://rbpdb.ccbr.utoronto.ca/) to find potential targets of RBM47 that are associated with the FGF-ERK pathway. However, there is no study conducted yet to determine the targets in silico. Since there is a lack of clear information on the specific binding motifs that RBM47 recognizes on RNA, a de novo motif discovery approach is required to identify targets associated with developmentally crucial pathways such as the FGF-ERK signaling, which will be a step ahead in unraveling the mechanisms of action of RBM47 [17].

Since Rbm47 knockdown did not affect mESC maintenance in vitro, we sought whether its depletion would affect multi-lineage differentiation potential. Although Rbm47 knockdown severely reduced the gross size of teratomas, there were no overt skews among lineages and the knockdown teratomas contained primitive tissues from all three lineages. It is possible that the abnormalities or skews were not conclusively defined as we did not obtain knockdown teratoma of similar size and maturation as control. Nevertheless, in vitro multi-lineage differentiation experiments hinted that Rbm47 depletion affects multi-lineage potential of mESCs. Knockdown cells showed a predisposition towards ExEn lineage with an increased number of GATA4+ ExEn-like cells but affected neuroectodermal fate by decreasing the generation of PAX6+ neural progenitor cells. Further, by applying a definitive endoderm conversion protocol, we proved the relevance of Rbm47 in the endoderm. As it is expressed mainly in endodermal lineages in vivo, we found that a sufficient level of RBM47 is necessary for endoderm cell-fate choice. Conversely, mesoderm conversion revealed that Rbm47 knockdown could enhance the expression of mesodermal markers, suggesting its crucial role in fine-tuning cell fate decisions during mESC differentiation.

Our study effectively recapitulated the significance of RBM47 in early mammalian development using mESCs. We demonstrated its critical role in mESC differentiation into neuroectoderm and endoderm lineages. Further, in vivo studies are required to thoroughly understand the underlying aberrations that led to the fetal resorption and perinatal lethality due to Rbm47 inactivation. Although our study is limited to deciphering its role in cell-fate decisions of mESCs, these additional insights would open new avenues for future investigations. RBM47 is a classical RNA-binding protein known to exert its function by regulating cellular mRNAs post-transcriptionally in various biological contexts. It is a novel regulator of alternative splicing besides stabilizing mRNA by binding to 3’-UTRs. Earlier studies have reported that undifferentiated ESCs possess a surplus of free ribosomes and subunits but are relatively poor in polysome abundance [45]. However, ESCs display a rapid surge in translation rate correlated with increased polysome activity in response to differentiation cues. Consequently, ESCs contain a reserve of lineage-related mRNAs that are not translated but are poised...
for a rapid translational leap. We believe RBM47 might play an essential role in regulating the quality of this mRNA pool and fine-tunes ES cell-fate decisions to the differentiation milieu. We envisage future studies that determine the target mRNA network of RBM47 in ESCs for a better understanding of critical molecular events it regulates during early embryonic development.
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