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We prove a height estimate (distance from the tangent hyperplane) for $\Lambda$-minimizers of the perimeter in the sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group. The estimate is in terms of a power of the excess ($L^2$-mean oscillation of the normal) and its proof is based on a new coarea formula for rectifiable sets in the Heisenberg group.

1. Introduction

We continue the research project started in [Monti and Vittone 2012; Monti 2014] on the regularity of $H$-perimeter minimizing boundaries in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$. Our goal is to prove the so-called height estimate for sets that are $\Lambda$-minimizers and have small excess inside suitable cylinders; see Theorem 1.3. The proof follows the scheme of the median choice for the measure of the boundary in certain half-cylinders together with a lower-dimensional isoperimetric inequality on slices. For minimizing currents in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the principal ideas of the argument go back to [Almgren 1968] and are carried over in [Federer 1969, Theorem 5.3.4]. The argument can be also found in the Appendix of [Schoen and Simon 1982] and, for $\Lambda$-minimizers of perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^n$, in [Maggi 2012, Section 22.2]. For minimizers of $H$-perimeter, the decay estimate of excess of Almgren and De Giorgi is still an open problem; see [Monti 2015].

Our main technical effort is the proof of a coarea formula (slicing formula) for intrinsic rectifiable sets; see Theorem 1.5. This formula is established in Section 2 and has a nontrivial character because the domain of integration and its slices need not be rectifiable in the standard sense. The relative isoperimetric inequalities that are used in the slices reduce to a single isoperimetric inequality in one slice that is relative to a family of varying domains with uniform isoperimetric constants. This uniformity can be established using the results on regular domains in Carnot groups of step 2 in [Monti and Morbidelli 2005] and the isoperimetric inequality in [Garofalo and Nhieu 1996]; see Section 3A.

The $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group is the manifold $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, endowed with the group product

$$(z, t) * (\zeta, \tau) = (z + \zeta, t + \tau + 2 \Re(z, \zeta)),$$

(1-1)

where $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\langle z, \bar{\zeta} \rangle = z_1 \bar{\zeta}_1 + \cdots + z_n \bar{\zeta}_n$. The Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields in $\mathbb{H}^n$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + 2y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - 2x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

(1-2)
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with \( z_j = x_j + iy_j \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). We denote by \( H \) the horizontal subbundle of \( T\mathbb{H}^n \). Namely, for any \( p = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \) we let

\[
H_p = \text{span}\{X_1(p), \ldots, X_n(p), Y_1(p), \ldots, Y_n(p)\}.
\]

A horizontal section \( \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H) \), where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) is an open set, is a vector field of the form

\[
\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j X_j + \varphi_{n+j} Y_j,
\]

where \( \varphi_j \in C^1_c(\Omega) \), i.e., each coordinate \( \varphi_j \) is a continuously differentiable function with compact support contained in \( \Omega \).

Let \( g \) be the left-invariant Riemannian metric on \( \mathbb{H}^n \) that makes orthonormal the vector fields \( X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, T \) in (1-2). For tangent vectors \( V, W \in T\mathbb{H}^n \), we let

\[
\langle V, W \rangle_g = g(V, W) \quad \text{and} \quad |V|_g = g(V, V)^{1/2}.
\]

The sup norm with respect to \( g \) of a horizontal section \( \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H) \) is

\[
\|\varphi\|_g = \max_{p \in \Omega} |\varphi(p)|_g.
\]

The Riemannian divergence of \( \varphi \) is

\[
\text{div}_g \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \varphi_j + Y_j \varphi_{n+j}.
\]

The metric \( g \) induces a volume form on \( \mathbb{H}^n \) that is left-invariant. Also, the Lebesgue measure \( \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \) on \( \mathbb{H}^n \) is left-invariant, and by the uniqueness of the Haar measure the volume induced by \( g \) is the Lebesgue measure \( \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \). In fact, the proportionality constant is 1.

The \emph{H-perimeter} of an \( \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \)-measurable set \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) in an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) is

\[
\mu_E(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \text{div}_g \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} : \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H), \|\varphi\|_g \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

If \( \mu_E(\Omega) < \infty \) we say that \( E \) has finite H-perimeter in \( \Omega \). If \( \mu_E(A) < \infty \) for any open set \( A \in \Omega \), we say that \( E \) has locally finite H-perimeter in \( \Omega \). In this case, the open sets mapping \( A \mapsto \mu_E(A) \) extends to a Radon measure \( \mu_E \) on \( \Omega \) that is called the \emph{H-perimeter measure} induced by \( E \). Moreover, there exists a \( \mu_E \)-measurable function \( v_E : \Omega \to H \) such that \( |v_E|_g = 1 \mod E \)-a.e. and the Gauss–Green integration by parts formula

\[
\int_\Omega \langle \varphi, v_E \rangle_g \, d\mu_E = -\int_\Omega \text{div}_g \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}
\]

holds for any \( \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H) \). The vector \( v_E \) is called the \emph{horizontal inner normal} of \( E \) in \( \Omega \).

The Korányi norm of \( p = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \) is \( \|p\|_K = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4} \). For any \( r > 0 \) and \( p \in \mathbb{H}^n \), we define the balls

\[
B_r = \{ q \in \mathbb{H}^n : \|q\|_K < r \} \quad \text{and} \quad B_r(p) = \{ p \ast q \in \mathbb{H}^n : q \in B_r \}.
\]
The measure-theoretic boundary of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is the set
\[
\partial E = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{H}^n : \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(E \cap B_r(p)) > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(B_r(p) \setminus E) > 0 \text{ for all } r > 0 \right\}.
\]

For a set $E$ with locally finite $H$-perimeter, the $H$-perimeter measure $\mu_E$ is concentrated on $\partial E$ and, actually, on a subset $\partial^* E$ of $\partial E$; see below. Moreover, up to modifying $E$ on a Lebesgue-negligible set, one can always assume that $\partial E$ coincides with the topological boundary of $E$; see [Serra Cassano and Vittone 2014, Proposition 2.5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be an open set, $\Lambda \in [0, \infty)$, and $r \in (0, \infty]$. We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ with locally finite $H$-perimeter in $\Omega$ is a $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizer of $H$-perimeter in $\Omega$ if, for any measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, $p \in \Omega$, and $s < r$ such that $E \Delta F \subset B_s(p) \subset \Omega$,
\[
\mu_E(B_s(p)) \leq \mu_F(B_s(p)) + \Lambda \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(E \Delta F),
\]

where $E \Delta F = E \setminus (F \cup F \setminus E)$.

We say that $E$ is locally $H$-perimeter minimizing in $\Omega$ if, for any measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and any open set $U$ such that $E \Delta F \subset U \subset \Omega$, there holds $\mu_E(U) \leq \mu_F(U)$.

We will often use the term $\Lambda$-minimizer, rather than $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizer, when the role of $r$ is not relevant. In Appendix A, we list without proof some elementary properties of $\Lambda$-minimizers.

We now introduce the notion of cylindrical excess. The height function $\xi : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\xi(p) = p_1$, where $p_1$ is the first coordinate of $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The set $\mathbb{W} = \{ p \in \mathbb{H}^n : \xi(p) = 0 \}$ is the vertical hyperplane passing through $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and orthogonal to the left-invariant vector field $X_1$. The disk in $\mathbb{W}$ of radius $r > 0$ centred at $0 \in \mathbb{W}$ induced by the Korányi norm is the set $D_r = \{ p \in \mathbb{W} : \| p \|_K < r \}$. The intrinsic cylinder with central section $D_r$ and height $2r$ is the set
\[
C_r = D_r \ast (-r, r) \subset \mathbb{H}^n.
\]

Here and in the sequel, we use the notation $D_r \ast (-r, r) = \{ w \ast (se_1) \in \mathbb{H}^n : w \in D_r, s \in (-r, r) \}$, where $se_1 = (s, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The cylinder $C_r$ is comparable with the ball $B_r = \{ \| p \|_K < r \}$. Namely, there exists a constant $k = k(n) \geq 1$ such that, for any $r > 0$, we have
\[
B_{r/k} \subset C_r \subset B_{kr}. \tag{1-3}
\]

By a rotation of the system of coordinates, it is enough to consider excess in cylinders with basis in $\mathbb{W}$ and axis $X_1$.

**Definition 1.2** (cylindrical excess). Let $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a set with locally finite $H$-perimeter. The cylindrical excess of $E$ at the point $0 \in \partial E$, at scale $r > 0$, and with respect to the direction $\nu = -X_1$ is defined as
\[
\text{Exc}(E, r, \nu) = \frac{1}{2r^{2n+1}} \int_{C_r} |\nu_E - \nu|^2 d\mu_E,
\]
where $\mu_E$ is the $H$-perimeter measure of $E$ and $\nu_E$ is its horizontal inner normal.
Theorem 1.3 (height estimate). Let \( n \geq 2 \). There exist constants \( \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n) > 0 \) and \( c_0 = c_0(n) > 0 \) with the following property: if \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) is a \((\Lambda, r)\)-minimizer of \( H \)-perimeter in the cylinder \( C_{4k^2r, \Lambda r} \), \( \Lambda r \leq 1 \), \( 0 \in \partial E \), and
\[
\text{Exc}(E, 4k^2r, \nu) \leq \varepsilon_0,
\]
then
\[
\sup\{|\hat{\xi}(p)| \in [0, \infty) : p \in \partial E \cap C_r\} \leq c_0 r \text{ Exc}(E, 4k^2r, \nu)^{1/(2(2n+1))}. \tag{1-4}
\]

The constant \( k = k(n) \) is the one in (1-3).

The estimate (1-4) does not hold when \( n = 1 \). In fact, there are sets \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^1 \) such that \( \text{Exc}(E, r, \nu) = 0 \) but \( \partial E \) is not flat in \( C_{\varepsilon r} \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \). See the conclusions of Proposition 3.7 in [Monti 2014]. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 3.

Besides local minimizers of \( H \)-perimeter, our interest in \( \Lambda \)-minimizers is also motivated by possible applications to isoperimetric sets. The height estimate is a first step in the regularity theory of \( \Lambda \)-minimizers of classical perimeter; we refer to [Maggi 2012, Part III] for a detailed account of the subject.

In order to state the slicing formula in its general form, we need the definition of a rectifiable set in \( \mathbb{H}^n \) of codimension 1. We follow closely [Franchi et al. 2001], where this notion was first introduced.

The Riemannian and horizontal gradients of a function \( f \in C^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \) are, respectively,
\[
\nabla f = (X_1 f)X_1 + \cdots + (Y_n f)Y_n + (T f)T, \\
\nabla_H f = (X_1 f)X_1 + \cdots + (Y_n f)Y_n.
\]

We say that a continuous function \( f \in C(\Omega) \), with \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) an open set, is of class \( C^1_H(\Omega) \) if the horizontal gradient \( \nabla_H f \) exists in the sense of distributions and is represented by continuous functions \( X_1 f, \ldots, Y_n f \) in \( \Omega \). A set \( S \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface if, for all \( p \in S \), there exist \( r > 0 \) and a function \( f \in C^1_H(B_r(p)) \) such that \( S \cap B_r(p) = \{ q \in B_r(p) : f(q) = 0 \} \) and \( \nabla_H f(p) \neq 0 \). Sets with \( H \)-regular boundary have locally finite \( H \)-perimeter.

For any \( p = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \), let us define the box norm \( \| p \|_\infty = \max\{|z|, |t|^{1/2}\} \) and the balls \( U_r = \{ q \in \mathbb{H}^n : \| q \|_\infty < r \} \) and \( U_r(p) = p \star U_r \) for \( r > 0 \). Let \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a set. For any \( s \geq 0 \) define the measure
\[
\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf \left\{ c(n, s) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i^s : E \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{r_i}(p_i), \ r_i < \delta \right\}.
\]

Above, \( c(n, s) > 0 \) is a normalization constant that we do not need to specify here. By Carathéodory’s construction, \( E \mapsto \mathcal{H}^s(E) \) is a Borel measure in \( \mathbb{H}^n \). When \( s = 2n + 2 \), it turns out that \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+2} \) is the Lebesgue measure \( \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \). Thus, the correct dimension to measure hypersurfaces is \( s = 2n + 1 \). In fact, if \( E \) is a set with locally finite \( H \)-perimeter in \( \mathbb{H}^n \), then we have
\[
\mu_E = \mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \ll \partial^* E, \tag{1-5}
\]
where \( \ll \) denotes restriction and \( \partial^* E \) is the \( H \)-reduced boundary of \( E \), namely the set of points \( p \in \mathbb{H}^n \) such that \( \mu_E(U_r(p)) > 0 \) for all \( r > 0 \), \( \int_{U_r(p)} v_E d\mu_E \to v_E(p) \) as \( r \to 0 \), and \( |v_E(p)|_g = 1 \). The validity
of formula (1-5) depends on the geometry of the balls $U_r(p)$; see [Magnani 2014]. We refer the reader to [Franchi et al. 2001] for more details on the $H$-reduced boundary.

**Definition 1.4.** A set $R \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable if there exists a sequence of $H$-regular hypersurfaces $(S_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}\left(R \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j\right) = 0.$$ 

By the results of [Franchi et al. 2001], the $H$-reduced boundary $\partial^* E$ is $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable. Definition 1.4 is generalized in [Mattila et al. 2010], which studies the notion of an $s$-rectifiable set in $\mathbb{H}^n$ for any integer $1 \leq s \leq 2n + 1$.

An $H$-regular surface $S$ has a continuous horizontal normal $\nu_S$ that is locally defined up to the sign. This normal is given by the formula

$$\nu_S = \frac{\nabla_H f}{|\nabla_H f|_g},$$

where $f$ is a defining function for $S$. When $S = \partial E$ is the boundary of a smooth set, $\nu_S$ agrees with the horizontal normal $\nu_E$. Then, for an $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable set $R \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, there is a unit horizontal normal $\nu_R : R \to H$ that is Borel regular. This normal is uniquely defined $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-a.e. on $R$ up to the sign; see Appendix B. However, (1-8) below does not depend on the sign.

In the following theorem, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is an open set and $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a smooth function. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\Sigma^s = \{p \in \Omega : u(p) = s\}$ the level sets of $u$.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $R \subset \Omega$ be an $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable set. Then, for a.e. $s \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a Radon measure $\mu^s_R$ on $R \cap \Sigma^s$ such that, for any Borel function $h : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$, the function

$$s \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_R,$$

is $\mathcal{L}^1$-measurable and we have the coarea formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Omega} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_R ds = \int_{R} h \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2_g - \langle \nu_R, \nabla_H u \rangle^2_g} d\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}. \quad (1-8)$$

Theorem 1.5 is proved in Section 2. When $R \cap \Sigma^s$ is a regular subset of $\Sigma^s$, the measures $\mu^s_R$ are natural horizontal perimeters defined in $\Sigma^s$.

Coarea formulas in the Heisenberg group are known only for slicing of sets with positive Lebesgue measure; see [Magnani 2004; 2008]. Theorem 1.5 is, to our knowledge, the first example of slicing of lower-dimensional sets in a sub-Riemannian framework. Also, Theorem 1.5 is a nontrivial extension of the Riemannian coarea formula, because the set $R$ and the slices $R \cap \Sigma^s$ need not be rectifiable in the standard sense; see [Kirchheim and Serra Cassano 2004]. We need the coarea formula (1-8) in the proof of Theorem 1.3; see Section 3C.

We conclude the introduction by stating a different but equivalent formulation of the coarea formula (1-8) that is closer to standard coarea formulas. This alternative formulation holds only when $n \geq 2$: when $n = 1$, the right-hand side in (1-9) might not be well defined; see Remark 2.11.
Theorem 1.6. Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \) be a smooth function, and \( R \subset \Omega \) be an \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable set. Then, for any Borel function \( h : \Omega \to [0, \infty) \),

\[
\int_R \int h \, d\mu_R \, ds = \int_R h |\nabla u|_g \sqrt{1 - \langle v_R, \nabla H u / |\nabla H u|_g \rangle^2_g} \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1},
\]

where \( \mu_R \) are the measures given by Theorem 1.5.

2. Proof of the coarea formula

2A. Horizontal perimeter on submanifolds. Let \( \Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a \( C^\infty \) hypersurface. We define the horizontal tangent bundle \( H \Sigma \) by letting, for any \( p \in \Sigma \),

\[
H_p \Sigma = H_p \cap T_p \Sigma.
\]

In general, the rank of \( H \Sigma \) is not constant. This depends on the presence of characteristic points on \( \Sigma \), i.e., points such that \( H_p = T_p \Sigma \). For points \( p \in \Sigma \) such that \( H_p \neq T_p \Sigma \), we have \( \dim(H_p \Sigma) = 2n - 1 \).

We denote by \( \sigma_\Sigma \) the surface measure on \( \Sigma \) induced by the Riemannian metric \( g \) restricted to the tangent bundle \( T \Sigma \).

Definition 2.1. Let \( F \subset \Sigma \) be a Borel set and let \( \Omega \subset \Sigma \) be an open set. We define the \textit{H-perimeter of} \( F \) in \( \Omega \),

\[
\mu^\Sigma_F(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_F \div_g \varphi \, d\sigma_\Sigma : \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H \Sigma), \|\varphi\|_g \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

We say that the set \( F \subset \Sigma \) has locally finite H-perimeter in \( \Omega \) if \( \mu^\Sigma_F(A) < \infty \) for any open set \( A \Subset \Omega \).

By the Riesz theorem, if \( F \subset \Sigma \) has locally finite H-perimeter in \( \Omega \), then the open sets mapping \( A \mapsto \mu^\Sigma_F(A) \) extends to a Radon measure on \( \Omega \), called the \textit{H-perimeter measure} of \( F \).

Remark 2.2. If \( F \subset \Sigma \) is an open set with smooth boundary, then, by the divergence theorem, we have, for any \( \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H \Sigma) \),

\[
\int_F \div_g \varphi \, d\sigma_\Sigma = \int_{\partial F} \langle N_{\partial F}, \varphi \rangle_g \, d\lambda_{\partial F},
\]

where \( N_{\partial F} \) is the Riemannian outer unit normal to \( \partial F \) and \( d\lambda_{\partial F} \) is the Riemannian \((2n-1)\)-dimensional volume form on \( \partial F \) induced by \( g \).

From the sup definition (2-10) and from (2-11), we deduce that the \textit{H}-perimeter measure of \( F \) has the representation

\[
\mu^\Sigma_F = |N_{\partial F}^H \Sigma|_g \lambda_{\partial F},
\]

where \( N_{\partial F}^H \Sigma \in H \Sigma \) is the g-orthogonal projection of \( N_{\partial F} \in T \Sigma \) onto \( H \Sigma \).

This formula can be generalized as follows. We denote by \( \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}_g \) the \((2n-1)\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure in \( \mathbb{H}^n \) induced by the metric \( g \).
Lemma 2.3. Let $F, \Omega \subset \Sigma$ be open sets and assume that there exists a compact set $N \subset \partial F$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{g}^{2n-1}(N) = 0$ and $(\partial F \setminus N) \cap \Omega$ is a smooth $(2n-1)$-dimensional surface. Then, we have

$$\mu_{F}^{\Sigma} \cap \Omega = |N_{\partial F_{H}}|_{g} \lambda_{\partial F_{N}} \cap \Omega. \quad (2-12)$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist points $p_{i} \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$ and radii $r_{i} \in (0, 1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, M$, such that

$$N \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{M} r_{i}^{2n-1} < \varepsilon,$$

where $B_{g}(p, r)$ denotes the ball in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ with centre $p$ and radius $r$ with respect to the metric $g$. By a partition of unity argument, there exist functions $f^{\varepsilon}, g_{i}^{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1])$, $i = 1, \ldots, M$, such that:

(i) $f^{\varepsilon} + g_{1}^{\varepsilon} + \cdots + g_{M}^{\varepsilon} = \chi_{\Omega}$;

(ii) $f^{\varepsilon} = 0$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i}/2)$;

(iii) for each $i$, the support of $g_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ is contained in $B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i})$;

(iv) $|\nabla g_{i}^{\varepsilon}|_{g} \leq Cr_{i}^{-1}$ for a constant $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon$.

Hence, for any horizontal section $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega; H\Sigma)$, we have

$$\int_{F} \text{div}_{g} \varphi \, d\sigma_{\Sigma} = \int_{F} \text{div}_{g}(f^{\varepsilon} \varphi) \, d\sigma_{\Sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{F \cap B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i})} \text{div}_{g}(g_{i}^{\varepsilon} \varphi) \, d\sigma_{\Sigma}$$

$$= \int_{\partial F_{N}} \langle f^{\varepsilon} \varphi, N_{\partial F} \rangle_{g} \, d\lambda_{\partial F_{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{F \cap B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i})} \text{div}_{g}(g_{i}^{\varepsilon} \varphi) \, d\sigma_{\Sigma}, \quad (2-13)$$

where, by (iv),

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{F \cap B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i})} \text{div}_{g}(g_{i}^{\varepsilon} \varphi) \, d\sigma_{\Sigma} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{B_{g}(p_{i}, r_{i})} (\| \text{div}_{g} \varphi \|_{L^{\infty}} + Cr_{i}^{-1}) \, d\sigma_{\Sigma} \leq C' \sum_{i=1}^{M} r_{i}^{2n-1} \leq C' \varepsilon \quad (2-14)$$

with a constant $C' > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon$.

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $f^{\varepsilon} \to 1$ pointwise on $\partial F \setminus N$, by (i) and (iii). Then, from (2-13) and (2-14), we obtain

$$\int_{F} \text{div}_{g} \varphi \, d\sigma_{\Sigma} = \int_{\partial F_{N}} \langle \varphi, N_{\partial F} \rangle_{g} \, d\lambda_{\partial F_{N}}$$

and claim (2-12) follows by standard arguments. \qed

2B. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ be an open set and $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. By Sard’s theorem, for a.e. $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the level set

$$\Sigma^{s} = \{ p \in \Omega : u(p) = s \}$$

is a smooth hypersurface and, moreover, we have $\nabla u \neq 0$ on $\Sigma^{s}$. 
Let \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a Borel set such that \( E \cap \Sigma^s \) has (locally) finite \( H \)-perimeter in \( \Omega \cap \Sigma^s \), in the sense of Definition 2.1. Then on \( \Omega \cap \Sigma^s \) we have the \( H \)-perimeter measure \( \mu^s_{E \cap \Sigma^s} \) induced by \( E \cap \Sigma^s \). We shall use the notation

\[
\mu^s_E = \mu^s_{E \cap \Sigma^s}
\]
to denote a measure on \( \Omega \) that is supported on \( \Omega \cap \Sigma^s \).

We start with the following coarea formula in the smooth case, which is deduced from the Riemannian formula.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set and \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \). Let \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set with \( C^\infty \) boundary in \( \Omega \) such that \( \mu_E(\Omega) < \infty \). Then we have

\[
\int_{R} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^s_E \, ds = \int_{E} \sqrt{|\nabla u|_g^2 - \langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle} \, d\mu_E,
\]

where \( \mu_E \) is the \( H \)-perimeter measure of \( E \) and \( v_E \) is its horizontal normal.

**Proof.** The integral in the left-hand side is well defined, because for a.e. \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) there holds \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \).

By the coarea formula for Riemannian manifolds — see, e.g., [Burago and Zalgaller 1988] — for any Borel function \( h : \partial E \to [0, \infty] \) we have

\[
\int_{R} \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma^s} h \, d\lambda_{\partial E \cap \Sigma^s} \, ds = \int_{\partial E} h|\nabla^E u|_g \, d\sigma_{\partial E},
\]

where \( \nabla^E u \) is the tangential gradient of \( u \) on \( \partial E \). Then we have

\[
\nabla^E u = \nabla u - \langle \nabla u, N_{\partial E} \rangle g N_{\partial E} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla^E u|_g = \sqrt{|\nabla u|_g^2 - \langle \nabla u, N_{\partial E} \rangle^2}.
\]

**Step 1.** Let us define the set

\[
C = \left\{ p \in \partial E \cap \Omega : \nabla u(p) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\partial E}(p) = \pm \frac{\nabla u(p)}{|\nabla u(p)|_g} \right\}.
\]

If \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) is such that \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \), then \( C \cap \Sigma^s \) is a closed set in \( \Sigma^s \). Using the coarea formula (2-16) with the function \( h = \chi_C \), we get

\[
\int_{R} \lambda_{\partial E \cap \Sigma^s}(C) \, ds = \int_{C} |\nabla^E u|_g \, d\sigma_{\partial E} = 0,
\]

because we have \( \nabla^E u = 0 \) on \( C \). In particular, we deduce that

\[
C \cap \Sigma^s \quad \text{is a closed set in} \quad \Sigma^s \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{\partial E \cap \Sigma^s}(C \cap \Sigma^s) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

If \( p \in \Sigma^s \) is a point such that \( \nabla u(p) \neq 0 \) and \( p \notin C \), then \( \Sigma^s \) is a smooth hypersurface in a neighbourhood of \( p \) and \( E^s = E \cap \Sigma^s \) is a domain in \( \Sigma^s \) with smooth boundary in a neighbourhood of \( p \). Moreover, we have \( (\partial E \cap \Sigma^s) \setminus C = \partial E^s \setminus C \). Then, from (2-18) and Lemma 2.3 we conclude that for a.e. \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\mu^s_E = |N_{\partial E^s}|_g \lambda_{\partial E^s}.
\]
By (2-18) and (2-19),

\[
\mu_E'(C \cap \Sigma^s) = \int_{C \cap \Sigma^s} |N_{\partial\Sigma^s}^H|_g \, d\lambda_{\partial E^s} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2-20}
\]

**Step 2.** We prove (2-15) by plugging into (2-16) the Borel function \( h : \partial E \to [0, \infty] \),

\[
h = \begin{cases} 
|N_{\partial E}^H|_g \sqrt{\langle \nabla u \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}, \nabla_{H^s} u \rangle^2_g} & \text{on } \partial E \setminus (C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\}), \\
|\nabla u|_g \sqrt{1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle^2_g} & \text{on } C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\}.
\end{cases}
\]

Above, \( N_{\partial E}^H \) is the projection of the Riemannian normal \( N_{\partial E} \) onto \( H \) and \( v_{E^s} \) is the horizontal normal. Namely, we have

\[
N_{\partial E}^H = N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, T \rangle_g T \quad \text{and } \quad v_{E^s} = \frac{N_{\partial E}^H}{|N_{\partial E}^H|_g}.
\]

The \( H \)-perimeter measure of \( E \) is

\[
\mu_E = |N_{\partial E}^H|_g \sigma_{\partial E}. \tag{2-21}
\]

Using (2-17) and (2-21), we find

\[
\int_{\partial E^s} h \, |\nabla_{\partial E^s} u| \, d\sigma_{\partial E} = \int_{\partial E \setminus (C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\})} |N_{\partial E}^H|_g \sqrt{\langle \nabla u \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}, \nabla_{H^s} u \rangle^2_g} \, d\sigma_{\partial E} \\
= \int_{\partial E \setminus (C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\})} \sqrt{\langle \nabla H u \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}, \nabla_{H^s} u \rangle^2_g} \, d\mu_E \\
= \int_{\partial E} \sqrt{\langle \nabla H u \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}, \nabla_{H^s} u \rangle^2_g} \, d\mu_E. \tag{2-22}
\]

where the last equality is justified by the fact that if \( p \in C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\} \) then

\[
\sqrt{\langle \nabla H u(p) \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}(p), \nabla_{H^s} u(p) \rangle^2_g} = 0.
\]

For a.e. \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), we have \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \). Using (2-21) and the fact that \( h = 0 \) on \( C \cup \{\nabla u = 0\} \), letting \( \Lambda^s = (\partial E \cap \Sigma^s) \setminus (C \cup \{\nabla H u = 0\}) \), we obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma^s} h \, d\lambda_{\partial E^s} \, ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda^s} \frac{|N_{\partial E}^H|_g \sqrt{\langle \nabla H u \rangle^2_g - \langle v_{E^s}, \nabla_{H^s} u \rangle^2_g}}{|\nabla u|_g \sqrt{1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle^2_g}} \, d\lambda_{\partial E^s} \, ds \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda^s} |\nabla H u|_g \, \check{\vartheta}^s \, d\lambda_{\partial E^s} \, ds, \tag{2-23}
\]

where we let

\[
\check{\vartheta}^s = \sqrt{\langle N_{\partial E}^H \rangle^2_g - \langle N_{\partial E}^H, \nabla_{H^s} u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle^2_g} / \sqrt{1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle^2_g}.
\]

We will prove in Step 3 that, for any \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \),

\[
\check{\vartheta}^s = |N_{\partial E}^H|_g \quad \text{on } \Lambda^s. \tag{2-24}
\]
Using (2.24), (2.19), and (2.20), formula (2.23) becomes

\[
\int_{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma'} h \, d\lambda_{\partial E \cap \Sigma'} \, ds = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\Lambda'} \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \left| N_{\partial E}^{H \Sigma'} \right|_g \, d\lambda_{\partial E} \, ds \\
= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\Lambda'} \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^s_E \, ds \\
= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma'} \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^s_E \, ds.
\] (2.25)

The proof is complete, because (2.15) follows from (2.16), (2.22), and (2.25).

**Step 3.** We prove claim (2.24). Let us introduce the vector field \( W \) in \( \Omega \setminus \{ \nabla H u = 0 \} \),

\[
W = \frac{T u \, \nabla H u}{|\nabla u|_g \, |\nabla H u|_g} - \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, T.
\]

It can be checked that \( |W|_g = 1 \) and \( Wu = 0 \). In particular, for a.e. \( s \) we have \( W \in T \Sigma' \). Moreover, \( W \) is \( g \)-orthogonal to \( H \Sigma' \) because any vector in \( H \Sigma' \) is orthogonal both to \( \nabla H u \) and to \( T \). It follows that

\[
N_{\partial E}^{H \Sigma'} = N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, W \rangle_g
\]

and, in particular,

\[
|N_{\partial E}^{H \Sigma'}|_g^2 = 1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, W \rangle_g^2.
\]

Starting from the formula

\[
N_{\partial E} = \frac{N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle_g \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g}{|N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle_g \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g|_g} = \frac{N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle_g \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g}{\sqrt{1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle_g^2}},
\]

we find

\[
|N_{\partial E}^{H \Sigma'}|_g^2 = \frac{M}{1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \nabla u/|\nabla u|_g \rangle_g^2},
\]

where we let

\[
M = 1 - \langle N_{\partial E}, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g} \rangle_g^2 - \langle N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g} \rangle_g \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g} \rangle_g \langle \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g}, W \rangle_g^2.
\]

We claim that, on the open set \( \{ \nabla H u \neq 0 \} \),

\[
M = |N_{\partial E}^{H \Sigma'}|_g^2 - \left( \frac{N_{\partial E} \, \nabla H u}{|\nabla H u|_g} \right)_g^2
\]

and formula (2.24) follows from (2.26). Using the identity \( \nabla u = \nabla H u + (Tu)T \) and the orthogonality

\[
\langle N_{\partial E} - \langle N_{\partial E}, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g} \rangle_g \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g}, \nabla u \rangle_g = 0,
\]
we find
\[ M = 1 - \left( \eta \varphi, \frac{\nabla_H u + (T u)T}{|\nabla u|^g} \right)_g^2 - \left( \frac{T u}{|\nabla u|^g} \right)_g \left( \eta \varphi, \frac{\nabla_H u}{|\nabla u|^g} \right)_g \left( \eta \varphi, \langle \eta \varphi, T \rangle_g \right)_g^2 \]
\[ = 1 - \left( \eta \varphi, \frac{\nabla_H u}{|\nabla u|^g} \right)_g^2 - \left( \eta \varphi, \langle \eta \varphi, T \rangle_g \right)_g^2 \]
\[ = 1 - \left( \eta \varphi, \langle \eta \varphi, T \rangle_g \right)_g^2 \]
\[ = |H\varphi|_g^2 - \left( N_{\eta \varphi} \right)_g^2. \quad (2-27) \]
This ends the proof. □

We prove a coarea inequality:

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( \Omega \subset H^n \) be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \) a smooth function, \( E \subset H^n \) a set with finite \( H \)-perimeter in \( \Omega \), and let \( h : \partial E \to [0, \infty) \) be a Borel function. Then we have
\[ \int_{H} h(\varphi) \frac{\nabla_H u}{|\nabla u|^g} \, d\mu_E \leq \int_{\Omega} h \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu. \quad (2-28) \]

**Proof.** The coarea inequality (2-28) follows from the smooth case of Lemma 2.4 by an approximation and lower semicontinuity argument.

**Step 1.** By [Franchi et al. 1996, Theorem 2.2.2], there exists a sequence of smooth sets \( (E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \Omega \) such that
\[ \chi_{E_j} \xrightarrow{L^1(\Omega)} \chi_E \text{ as } j \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega). \]
By a straightforward adaptation of the proof of [Ambrosio et al. 2000, Proposition 3.13], we also have that \( v_{E_j} \mu_{E_j} \to v_E \mu_E \) weakly* in \( \Omega \). Namely, for any \( \psi \in C_c(\Omega; H) \),
\[ \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle \psi, v_{E_j} \rangle_g \, d\mu_{E_j} = \int_{\Omega} \langle \psi, v_E \rangle_g \, d\mu_E. \]

Let \( A \Subset \Omega \) be an open set such that \( \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(A) = \mu(A) \). By Reshetnyak’s continuity theorem (see, e.g., [Ambrosio et al. 2000, Theorem 2.39]), we have
\[ \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{A} f(p, v_{E_j}(p)) \, d\mu_{E_j} = \int_{A} f(p, v_E(p)) \, d\mu_E \]
for any continuous and bounded function \( f \). In particular,
\[ \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{A} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_{E_j} = \int_{A} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E. \quad (2-29) \]
Step 2. Let \((E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) be the sequence introduced in Step 1. Then, for a.e. \(s \in \mathbb{R}\), we have
\[
\nabla u \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{E_j} \to \chi_E \quad \text{in } L^1(\Sigma^s, \sigma_{\Sigma^s}) \text{ as } j \to \infty.
\]
In particular, for any such \(s\) and for any open set \(A \subset \Sigma^s \cap \Omega\),
\[
\mu^E_s(A) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \mu^E_{E_j}(A).
\]
From Fatou’s lemma and the continuity of \(|\nabla H u|_g/|\nabla u|_g\) on \(\Sigma^s\), it follows that
\[
\int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_s = \int_0^\infty \mu^E_s \left( \left\{ p \in A : \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g}(p) > t \right\} \right) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^\infty \liminf_{j \to \infty} \mu^E_{E_j} \left( \left\{ p \in A : \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g}(p) > t \right\} \right) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \mu^E_{E_j} \left( \left\{ p \in A : \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g}(p) > t \right\} \right) \, dt
\]
\[
= \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_{E_j}.
\]
Using again Fatou’s lemma and Lemma 2.4,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_s \, ds \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_{E_j} \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_{E_j} \, ds
\]
\[
= \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_A \sqrt{|\nabla H u|_g^2 - \langle v_{E_j}, \nabla H u \rangle_g^2} \, d\mu_{E_j}.
\]
This, together with (2-29), gives
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_A \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_s \, ds \leq \int_A \sqrt{|\nabla H u|_g^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla H u \rangle_g^2} \, d\mu_E.
\]
Step 3. Any open set \(A \subset \Omega\) can be approximated by a sequence \((A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) of open sets such that
\[
A_k \Subset \Omega, \quad A_k \subset A_{k + 1}, \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k = A \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_E(\partial A_k) = 0.
\]
In particular, for each \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), we have
\[
\liminf_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(A_k) \leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(\widetilde{A}_k) \leq \mu_E(\widetilde{A}_k) = \mu_E(A_k) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(A_k).
\]
Hence, the inequalities are equalities, i.e., \(\mu_E(A_k) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu_{E_j}(A_k)\). By Step 2, for any \(k \in \mathbb{N}\),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{A_k} \frac{|\nabla H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} \, d\mu^E_s \, ds \leq \int_{A_k} \sqrt{|\nabla H u|_g^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla H u \rangle_g^2} \, d\mu_E.
\]
By monotone convergence, letting \( k \to \infty \) we obtain, for any open set \( A \subset \Omega \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_A \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_E d s \leq \int_A \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E.
\]

By a standard approximation argument, it is enough to prove (2-28) for the characteristic function \( h = \chi_B \) of a Borel set \( B \subset \partial E \). Since the measure \( \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \mu_E \) is a Radon measure on \( \partial E \), there exists a sequence of open sets \( B_j \) such that \( B \subset B_j \) for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{B_j} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E = \int_B \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E.
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_B \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_E d s \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{B_j} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_E d s
\leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{B_j} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E = \int_B \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E,
\]
and this concludes the proof. \( \square \)

In the next step, we prove an approximate coarea formula for sets \( E \) such that the boundary \( \partial E \) is an \( H \)-regular surface.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \) a smooth function, \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) an open set such that \( \partial E \cap \Omega \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface, and \( \bar{\rho} \in \partial E \cap \Omega \) a point such that
\[
\nabla_H u(\bar{\rho}) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_E(\bar{\rho}) \neq \pm \frac{\nabla_H u(\bar{\rho})}{|\nabla_H u(\bar{\rho})|^g}.
\]

Then, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \bar{r} = \bar{r}(\bar{\rho}, \varepsilon) > 0 \) such that \( B_{\bar{r}}(\bar{\rho}) \subset \Omega \) and, for any \( r \in (0, \bar{r}) \),
\[
(1 - \varepsilon) \int_{B_r(\bar{\rho})} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{B_r(\bar{\rho})} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^g}{|\nabla u|^g} d\mu^s_E d s \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{B_r(\bar{\rho})} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^g - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} d\mu_E.
\]

**Proof.** We can, without loss of generality, assume that \( \bar{\rho} = 0 \) and \( u(0) = 0 \). We divide the proof into several steps.

**Step 1: preliminary considerations.** The horizontal vector field \( V_{2n} = \nabla_H u / |\nabla_H u|^g \) is well defined in a neighbourhood \( \Omega_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) of \( 0 \). For any \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), the hypersurface \( \Sigma^s = \{ p \in \Omega : u(p) = s \} \) is smooth in \( \Omega_\varepsilon \) because \( \nabla_H u \neq 0 \) on \( \Omega_\varepsilon \).

There are horizontal vector fields \( V_1, \ldots, V_{2n-1} \) on \( \Omega_\varepsilon \) such that \( V_1, \ldots, V_{2n} \) is a \( g \)-orthonormal frame. In particular, we have \( V_j u = 0 \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, 2n-1 \), i.e.,
\[
H_p \Sigma^s = \text{span}\{V_1(p), \ldots, V_{2n-1}(p)\} \quad \text{for all} \quad p \in \Sigma^s \cap \Omega_\varepsilon.
\] (2-30)
Possibly shrinking $\Omega_\varepsilon$, reordering $\{V_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,2n-1}$, and changing the sign of $V_1$, we can assume (see [Vittone 2012, Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4]) that there exist a function $f : \Omega_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ and a number $\delta > 0$ such that:

(a) $f \in C^1_H(\Omega_\varepsilon) \cap C^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \partial E)$;

(b) $E \cap \Omega_\varepsilon = \{p \in \Omega_\varepsilon : f(p) > 0\}$;

(c) $V_1 f \geq \delta > 0$ on $\Omega_\varepsilon$.

By [Vittone 2012, Remark 4.7], we also have $v_E = \nabla_H f / |\nabla_H f|_g$ on $\partial E \cap \Omega_\varepsilon$.

**Step 2: change of coordinates.** Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $(2n-1)$-dimensional smooth submanifold such that:

(i) $0 \in S$.

(ii) $S \subset \Sigma^0 \cap \Omega_\varepsilon$. In particular, $\nabla u$ is $g$-orthogonal to $S$.

(iii) $V_1(0)$ is $g$-orthogonal to $S$ at $0$.

(iv) There exists a diffeomorphism $H : U \to \mathbb{H}^n$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is an open set with $0 \in U$, such that $H(0) = 0$ and $H(U) = S \cap \Omega_\varepsilon$.

(v) The area element $JH$ of $H$ satisfies $JH(0) = 1$. Namely,

$$JH(0) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\lambda_S(H(B_r^E))}{\mathcal{L}^{2n-1}(B_r^E)} = 1,$$

where $B_r^E = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} : |p| < r\}$ is a Euclidean ball and $\lambda_S$ is the Riemannian $(2n-1)$-volume measure on $S$ induced by $g$.

For small enough $a, b > 0$, and possibly shrinking $U$ and $\Omega_\varepsilon$, the mapping $G : (-a, a) \times (-b, b) \times U \to \mathbb{H}^n$,

$$G(v, z, w) = \exp(vV_1) \exp\left(z \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|_g^2}\right)(H(w))$$

is a diffeomorphism from $\widetilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon = (-a, a) \times (-b, b) \times U$ onto $\Omega_\varepsilon$. The differential of $G$ satisfies

$$dG\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) = V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad dG(0)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \frac{\nabla u(0)}{|\nabla u(0)|_g^2}.$$

Moreover, the tangent space $T_0S = \text{Im} dH(0)$ is $g$-orthogonal to $V_1(0)$ and $\nabla u(0)/|\nabla u(0)|_g^2$. We denote by $G_z$ the restriction of $G$ to $(-a, a) \times \{z\} \times U$, i.e., $G_z(v, w) = G(v, z, w)$. From the above considerations, we deduce that the area elements of $G$ and $G_0$ satisfy

$$JG(0) = \frac{1}{|\nabla u(0)|_g} \quad \text{and} \quad JG_0(0) = 1.$$

Then, possibly shrinking $\widetilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon$ further, we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)JG(v, z, w) \leq \frac{JG_z(v, w)}{|\nabla u \circ G(v, z, w)|_g} \leq (1+\varepsilon)JG(v, z, w) \quad (2.31)$$

for all $(v, z, w) \in \widetilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon$. 
For $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, we define on $\tilde{\Omega}_e$ the vector fields $\tilde{V}_j = (dG)^{-1}(V_j)$. By the definition of $G$, we have $\tilde{V}_1 = \partial/\partial v$. We also define $\tilde{u} = u \circ G \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}_e)$, $\tilde{f} = f \circ G : \tilde{\Omega}_e \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\tilde{E} = G^{-1}(E)$. Then:

1. $\tilde{E} = \{q \in \tilde{\Omega}_e : \tilde{f}(q) > 0\}$.
2. $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}_e \setminus \partial \tilde{E})$.
3. The derivative $\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f}$ is defined in the sense of distributions with respect to the measure $\mu = JG\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}$.

Namely, for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}_e)$, we have

$$
\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_e} (\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f}) \psi \, d\mu = -\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_e} \tilde{f} \tilde{V}_j^\ast \psi \, d\mu,
$$

where $\tilde{V}_j^\ast$ is the adjoint operator of $\tilde{V}_j$ with respect to $\mu$. Then $\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f} = (V_j f) \circ G$ and so $\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f}$ is a continuous function for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. In particular, $\tilde{V}_1 \tilde{f} = \partial_0 \tilde{f} \geq \delta > 0$.

**Step 3: approximate coarea formula.** We follow the argument of [Vittone 2012, Propositions 4.1 and 4.5]; see also Remark 4.7 therein.

 Possibly shrinking $\tilde{\Omega}_e$ and $\Omega_e$, there exists a continuous function $\phi : (-b, b) \times U \to (-a, a)$ such that:

A. $\partial \tilde{E} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_e$ is the graph of $\phi$. Namely, letting $\Phi : (-b, b) \times U \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, $\Phi(z, w) = (\phi(z, w), z, w)$, we have

$$
\partial \tilde{E} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_e = \Phi((-b, b) \times U).
$$

B. The measure $\mu_E$ is

$$
\mu_E \ll \Omega_e = (G \circ \Phi)_\# \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{V}_1 \tilde{f}}{\tilde{V}_1 \tilde{f}} \right) JG \right) \circ \Phi \mathcal{L}^{2n} \ll ((-b, b) \times U),
$$

where $(G \circ \Phi)_\#$ denotes the push-forward and

$$
|\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f}| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\tilde{V}_j \tilde{f})^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Using $V_1 u = 0$ and $u \circ H = 0$ (this follows from $H(U) = S \cap \Omega_e \subset \Sigma^0 \cap \Omega_e$), we obtain

$$
\tilde{u}(v, z, w) = u(G(v, z, w)) = u \left( \exp(v V_1) \exp \left( \frac{\nabla u}{||\nabla u||_g^2} \right) (H(w)) \right) = u \left( \exp \left( z \frac{\nabla u}{||\nabla u||_g^2} \right) (H(w)) \right) = z + u(H(w)) = z.
$$

In particular, from $\tilde{u} = u \circ G$, we deduce that

$$
G^{-1}(\Sigma^s \cap \Omega_e) = (-a, a) \times \{s\} \times U.
$$

We denote by $JG_s$ the Jacobian (area element) of $G_s$. We also define the restriction $\Phi_s : U \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, $\Phi_s(w) = \Phi(s, w)$, for any $s \in (-b, b)$.

By (2-30), for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the measure $\mu^s_E = \mu^s_{E \cap \Sigma_s}$ is the horizontal perimeter of $E \cap \Sigma^s$ with respect to the Carnot–Carathéodory structure induced by the family $V_1, \ldots, V_{2n-1}$ on $\Sigma^s$. We can repeat the
argument that led to (2-32) to obtain
\[ \mu_E^g \leq \Omega_g = (G \circ \Phi_s)_g \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{V}' f}{V_1 f} J G_s \right) \circ \Phi_s \right) L^{2n-1} \cup U, \]  
(2-33)
where \( \tilde{V}' \tilde{f} = (\tilde{V}_1 \tilde{f}, \ldots, \tilde{V}_{2n-1} \tilde{f}) \). We omit the details of the proof of (2-33). The proof is a line-by-line repetition of Proposition 4.5 in [Vittone 2012] with the sole difference that now the horizontal perimeter is defined in a curved manifold.

Let us fix \( r > 0 \) such that \( B_r \subset \Omega_g \) and, for any \( r \in (0, \bar{r}) \), let
\[ A_{s,r} = \{ w \in U : G(0, s, w) \in B_r \} \quad \text{and} \quad A_r = \{ (s, w) \in (-b, b) \times U : w \in A_{s,r} \}. \]

By the Fubini–Tonelli theorem and (2-33), the function
\[ s \mapsto \int_{B_r} \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} d\mu_E^g \]
(2-34)
is \( L^1 \)-measurable. Here and hereafter, the composition \( \cdot \circ \Phi_s \) acts on the product. Thus, from the Fubini–Tonelli theorem and (2-31), we obtain
\[
\int_R \int_{B_r} \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} d\mu_E^g \, ds \\
= \int_R \int_{A_{s,r}} \left( \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} \circ G \right) \left( \frac{\tilde{V}' \tilde{f}}{V_1 \tilde{f}} J G_s \right) \circ \Phi_s(w) \, d\mu^{2n-1}(w) \, ds \\
= \int_{A_r} \left( \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} \circ G \right) \left( \frac{\tilde{V}' \tilde{f}}{V_1 \tilde{f}} \right) \left( J G_s \circ \Phi(s, w) \right) \, d\mu^{2n}(s, w) \\
\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{A_r} \left( \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} \circ G \right) \left( \frac{\tilde{V}' \tilde{f}}{V_1 \tilde{f}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{(\tilde{V}_{2n} \tilde{f})^2}{(\tilde{V} \tilde{f})^2} J G \right) \circ \Phi(s, w) \, d\mu^{2n}(s, w). \]  
(2-35)

From the identity
\[ \frac{\tilde{V}_{2n} \tilde{f}}{\mid \tilde{V} \tilde{f} \mid} = \frac{V_{2n} f}{\mid \nabla H f \mid} \circ G = \left( \frac{\nabla_H u}{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g} , \frac{\nabla f}{\mid \nabla f \mid_g} \right) \circ G = \left( \frac{\nabla_H u}{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g} , v_E \right) \circ G \]  
(2-36)
and from (2-32), we deduce that
\[
\int_R \int_{B_r} \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} d\mu_E^g \, ds \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{B_r} \mid \nabla_H u \mid_g \sqrt{1 - (\nabla_H u / \mid \nabla_H u \mid_g , v_E)^2} \, d\mu_E \\
= (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{B_r} \sqrt{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g^2 - (v_E , \nabla_H u)^2} \, d\mu_E. \]  
(2-37)
In a similar way, we obtain
\[
\int_R \int_{B_r} \frac{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g}{\mid u \mid_g} d\mu_E^g \, ds \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{B_r} \sqrt{\mid \nabla_H u \mid_g^2 - (v_E , \nabla_H u)^2} \, d\mu_E. \]
This concludes the proof. \( \square \)
We can now prove the coarea formula for \( H \)-regular boundaries.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \), and let \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open domain such that \( \partial E \cap \Omega \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface. Then

\[
\int_R \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla_H u|^2}{|u|^2} \, d\mu_E^s \, ds = \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E. \tag{2-38}
\]

**Proof.** Let us define the set

\[
A = \left\{ p \in \partial E \cap \Omega : \nabla_H u(p) \neq 0 \text{ and } v_E(p) \neq \pm \frac{\nabla_H u(p)}{|\nabla_H u(p)|_g} \right\}.
\]

The set \( A \) is relatively open in \( \partial E \cap \Omega \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be fixed. Since the measure \( \mu_E \) is locally doubling on \( \partial E \cap \Omega \) (see, e.g., [Vittone 2012, Corollary 4.13]), by Lemma 2.6 and the Vitali covering theorem (see, e.g., [Heinonen 2001, Theorem 1.6]) there exists a countable (or finite) collection of balls \( B_{r_i}(p_i), i \in \mathbb{N} \), such that:

(i) for any \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( p_i \in A \) and \( 0 < r_i < \bar{r}(p_i, \varepsilon) \), where \( \bar{r} \) is as in the statement of Lemma 2.6;

(ii) the balls \( B_{r_i}(p_i) \) are contained in \( A \) and pairwise disjoint;

(iii) \( \mu_E(A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)) = 0 \).

It follows that we have

\[
\int_R \int_{\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^2}{|u|^2} \, d\mu_E^s \, ds \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E
\]

\[
= (1 + \varepsilon) \int_A \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E
\]

\[
= (1 + \varepsilon) \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E. \tag{2-39}
\]

The last equality follows from the fact that \( \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} = 0 \) outside \( A \). In the same way, one also obtains

\[
\int_R \int_{\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^2}{|u|^2} \, d\mu_E^s \, ds \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E. \tag{2-40}
\]

Moreover, by Proposition 2.5,

\[
\int_R \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^2}{|u|^2} \, d\mu_E^s \, ds \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E = 0.
\]

In particular, the integral on the left-hand side of the last inequality is 0 and, by (2-39) and (2-40), we obtain

\[
(1 - \varepsilon) \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E \leq \int_R \int_{\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{r_i}(p_i)} \frac{|\nabla_H u|^2}{|u|^2} \, d\mu_E^s \, ds \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u \rangle^2} \, d\mu_E.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is arbitrary, this concludes the proof. \( \Box \)
By a standard approximation argument, we also have this extension of the coarea formula (2-38):

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \), and let \( E \) be an open domain such that \( \partial E \cap \Omega \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface. Then, for any Borel function \( h : \partial E \to [0, \infty) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Omega} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu^E_s ds = \int_{\Omega} h \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|_g^2 - \langle \nu_E, \nabla_H u \rangle_g^2} d\mu_E.
\]

Our next step is to prove the coarea formula for \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable sets.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \( R \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable set. Then, there exists a Borel \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable set \( R' \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) such that \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1}(R \Delta R') = 0 \).

**Proof.** By assumption, there exist a \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-negligible set \( N \) and \( H \)-regular hypersurfaces \( S_j \subset \mathbb{H}^n \), \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), such that

\[
R \subset N \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j.
\]

It is proved in [Franchi et al. 2001; Ambrosio et al. 2006] that (up to a localization argument), for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), there exist an open set \( U_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \), a homeomorphism \( \Phi_j : U_j \to S_j \), and a continuous function \( \rho_j : U_j \to [1, \infty) \) such that \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1}(S_j) = \Phi_j \# (\rho_j \mathcal{L}^{2n} \upharpoonright U_j) \). Since the Lebesgue measure \( \mathcal{L}^{2n} \) is a complete Borel measure, for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists a Borel set \( T_j \subset U_j \) such that

\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n}(T_j \Delta \Phi_j^{-1}(R \cap S_j)) = 0.
\]

In particular, the Borel set

\[
R' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_j(T_j)
\]

is \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-equivalent to \( R \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.5. Step 1.** We prove (1-8) when \( R \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface. Then, \( R \) is locally the boundary of an open set \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) with \( H \)-regular boundary. Moreover, we have (locally) \( \mu_E = \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \upharpoonright R \) and \( \nu_E = \nu_R \), up to the sign.

We define the measures \( \mu^s_R = \mu^s_E \) for any \( s \) such that \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \). The measurability of the function in (1-7) follows from the argument (2-34). Formula (1-8) follows from Proposition 2.8.

**Step 2.** We prove (1-8) when \( R \) is an \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable Borel set. There exist an \( \mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \)-negligible set \( N \) and \( H \)-regular hypersurfaces \( S_j \subset \mathbb{H}^n \), \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), such that

\[
R \subset N \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j.
\]

Each \( S_j \) is (locally) the boundary of an open set \( E_j \) with \( H \)-regular boundary. We denote by \( \mu^s_{E_j} \) the perimeter measure on \( \partial E_j \cap \Sigma^s \) induced by \( E_j \).
We define the pairwise disjoint Borel sets \( R_j = (R \cap S_j) \setminus \bigcup_{h=1}^{j-1} S_h \) and we let

\[
\mu_R^s = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_j}^s \mathbb{1}_{R_j}.
\]

The definition is well posed for any \( s \) such that \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^s \). We have \( v_R = \pm v_{E_j} \), \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \)-a.e. on \( R_j \) and the sign of \( v_R \) does not affect (1-8). From Step 1, for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) the function

\[
s \mapsto \int_{R_j} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu_{E_j}^s
\]

is \( \mathcal{L}^1 \)-measurable; here, we were allowed to utilize Step 1 because \( \chi_{R_j} \) is Borel regular. Thus also the function

\[
s \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu_R^s = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_j} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu_{E_j}^s
\]

is measurable. Moreover, we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Omega} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu_R^s d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{R_j} h \frac{|\nabla_H u|_g}{|\nabla u|_g} d\mu_{E_j}^s d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_j} h \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_R, H u \rangle^2} d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h \sqrt{|\nabla_H u|^2 - \langle v_R, H u \rangle^2} d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}.
\]

**Step 3.** Finally, if \( R \) is \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable but not Borel, we set \( \mu_R^s = \mu_{R'}^s \), where \( R' \) is a Borel set as in Lemma 2.9. Again, this definition is well posed for a.e. \( s \in \mathbb{R} \). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

**2C. Proof of Theorem 1.6.** In this subsection we assume \( n \geq 2 \).

**Lemma 2.10.** For \( n \geq 2 \), let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be an open set, \( u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \) a smooth function, \( R \subset \Omega \) an \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \)-rectifiable set. Then

\[
\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}\left( \{ p \in R : \nabla_H u(p) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla u(p) \neq 0 \} \right) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the lemma when \( R \) is an \( H \)-regular hypersurface. Let

\[
A = \{ p \in R : \nabla_H u(p) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla u(p) \neq 0 \}.
\]

We claim that \( \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(A) = 0 \).

Let \( p \in A \) be a fixed point and let \( v_R(p) \) be the horizontal normal to \( R \) at \( p \). Since \( n \geq 2 \), we have

\[
\dim \{ V(p) \in H_p : \langle V(p), v_R(p) \rangle_g = 0 \} = 2n - 1 \geq n + 1.
\]

Thus there exist left-invariant horizontal vector fields \( V \) and \( W \) such that

\[
\langle V(p), v_R(p) \rangle_g = \langle W(p), v_R(p) \rangle_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [V, W] = T.
\]
From $\nabla_H u(p) = 0$ and $\nabla u(p) \neq 0$, we deduce that $Tu(p) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$VWu(p) - WVu(p) = Tu(p) \neq 0$$

and, in particular, we have either $VWu(p) \neq 0$ or $WVu(p) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $VWu(p) \neq 0$. Then the set $S = \{q \in \Omega : WU(q) = 0\}$ is an $H$-regular hypersurface near the point $p \in S$. Since we have

$$\langle V(p), v_R(p) \rangle_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle V(p), v_S(p) \rangle_g = \frac{VWu(p)}{|\nabla_H u(p)|_g} \neq 0,$$

we deduce that $v_R(p)$ and $v_S(p)$ are linearly independent. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that the set $R \cap S \cap B_r(p)$ is a 2-codimensional $H$-regular surface (see [Franchi et al. 2007]). Therefore, by [Franchi et al. 2007, Corollary 4.4], the Hausdorff dimension in the Carnot–Carathéodory metric of $A \cap B_r(p) \subset R \cap S \cap B_r(p)$ is not greater than $2n$. This is enough to conclude. □

Remark 2.11. Lemma 2.10 is not valid if $n = 1$. Consider the smooth surface $R = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 : x = 0\}$ and the function $u(x, y, t) = t - 2xy$. We have

$$\nabla u = -4xy + T \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_H u = -4xy.$$

Then we have

$$\{p \in R : \nabla_H u(p) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u(p) \neq 0\} = R$$

and $\mathcal{S}^3(R) = \infty$.

If $n \geq 2$ and $\Omega, u,$ and $R$ are as in Lemma 2.10, then the function

$$|\nabla u|_g \sqrt{1 - \langle v_E, \nabla_H u/|\nabla_H u|_g \rangle_g^2}$$

is defined $\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}$-a.e. on $R$. We agree that its value is 0 when $|\nabla u|_g = 0$. Notice that, in this case, $\nabla_H u/|\nabla_H u|_g$ is not defined.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then (1-9) can be obtained by plugging the function $(|\nabla u|_g/(\varepsilon + |\nabla_H u|_g)) h$ into (1-8), letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and using the monotone convergence theorem. □

3. Height estimate

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We discuss first a relative isoperimetric inequality on slices. Then we list some elementary properties of excess, and finally we proceed with the proof.

We assume throughout this section that $n \geq 2$.

3A. Relative isoperimetric inequalities. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the level sets of the height function,

$$\mathbb{H}^n_s = \{p \in \mathbb{H}^n : \xi(p) = s\}.$$

Let $H^s$ be the $g$-orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the tangent space of $\mathbb{H}^n_s$. Since the vector field $X_1$ is orthogonal to $\mathbb{H}^n_s$, while the vector fields $X_2, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ are tangent to $\mathbb{H}^n_s$, at any point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n_s$
we have
\[ H_{p}^s = \text{span}\{X_2(p), \ldots, X_n(p), Y_1^s(p), Y_2(p), \ldots, Y_n(p)\}, \]
where \( X_2, Y_2, \ldots, X_n, Y_n \) are as in (1-2) and
\[ Y_1^s = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - 2s \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \]
The natural volume in \( \mathbb{H}^n_s \) is the Lebesgue measure \( \mathcal{L}^{2n} \). For any measurable set \( F \subset \mathbb{H}^n_s \) and any open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n_s \), we define
\[ \mu_F^s(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_F \text{div}_g \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n} : \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega; H^s), \|\varphi\|_g \leq 1 \right\}, \]
where \( \text{div}_g \varphi = X_2 \varphi_2 + \cdots + X_n \varphi_n + Y_1^s \varphi_{n+1} + \cdots + Y_n \varphi_{2n}. \) If \( \mu_F^s(\Omega) < \infty \) then \( \mu_F^s \) is a Radon measure in \( \Omega. \)

By Theorem 1.6, for any Borel function \( h : \mathbb{H}^n \to [0, \infty) \) and any set \( E \) with locally finite \( H \)-perimeter in \( \mathbb{H}^n \), we have the coarea formula
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n_s} h \, d\mu_E^s, \, ds = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} h \sqrt{1 - \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g} \, d\mu_E, \]
(3-41)
where \( E^s = E \cap \mathbb{H}^n_s \) is the section of \( E \) with \( \mathbb{H}^n_s \). Notice that \( \nabla_H f^s = X_1. \)

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we need a relative isoperimetric inequality in each slice \( \mathbb{H}^n_s \) for \( s \in (-1, 1). \) These slices are cosets of \( \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{H}^n_0 \) and the isoperimetric inequalities in \( \mathbb{H}^n_s \) can be reduced to an isoperimetric inequality in the central slice \( \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{H}^n_0 \) relative to a family of varying domains.

For any \( s \in (-1, 1), \) let \( \Omega_s \subset \mathbb{W} \) be the set \( \Omega_s = (-se_1) \ast D_1 \ast (se_1). \) This is the left translation by \( -se_1 \) of the section \( C_1 \cap \mathbb{H}^n_s. \) See p. 1423 in the introduction for the definition of \( D_1 \) and \( C_1. \) With the coordinates \((y_1, \hat{z}, t) \in \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}, \) we have
\[ \Omega_s = \{(y_1, \hat{z}, t) \in \mathbb{W} : (y_1^2 + |\hat{z}|^2)^2 + (t - 4sy_1)^2 < 1\}. \]
The sets \( \Omega_s \subset \mathbb{W} \) are open and convex in the standard sense. The boundary \( \partial \Omega_s \) is a \((2n-1)\)-dimensional \( C^\infty \) embedded surface with the following property: There are \( 4n \) open convex sets \( U_1, \ldots, U_{4n} \subset \mathbb{W} \) such that \( \partial \Omega_s \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{4n} U_i \) and, for each \( i, \) the portion of the boundary \( \partial \Omega_s \cap U_i \) is a graph of the form \( p_j = f_i^s(\hat{p}_j) \) with \( j = 2, \ldots, 2n + 1 \) and \( \hat{p}_j = (p_2, \ldots, p_{j-1}, p_{j+1}, \ldots, p_{2n+1}) \in V_i, \) where \( V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \) is an open convex set and \( f_i^s \in C^\infty(V_i) \) is a function such that
\[ |\nabla f_i^s(\hat{p}_j) - \nabla f_i^s(\hat{q}_j)| \leq K|\hat{p}_j - \hat{q}_j| \quad \text{for all } \hat{p}_j, \hat{q}_j \in V_i, \]
(3-42)
where \( K > 0 \) is a constant independent of \( i = 1, \ldots, 4n \) and independent of \( s \in (-1, 1). \) In other words, the boundary \( \partial \Omega_s \) is of class \( C^{1,1} \) uniformly in \( s \in (-1, 1). \)

By Theorem 3.2 in [Monti and Morbidelli 2005], the domain \( \Omega_s \subset \mathbb{W} \) is a nontangentially accessible (NTA) domain in the metric space \((\mathbb{W}, d_{\text{CC}}), \) where \( d_{\text{CC}} \) is the Carnot–Carathéodory metric induced by the horizontal distribution \( H^0_p. \) In particular, \( \Omega_s \) is a (weak) John domain in the sense of [Hajłasz and
Koskela 2000]. Namely, there exist a point $p_0 \in \Omega_s$, e.g., $p_0 = 0$, and a constant $C_J > 0$ such that, for any point $p \in \Omega_s$, there exists a continuous curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \Omega_s$ such that $\gamma(1) = p_0$, $\gamma(0) = p$, and

$$d_{\text{CC}}(\gamma(\sigma), \partial \Omega_s) \geq C_J d_{\text{CC}}(\gamma(\sigma), p), \quad \sigma \in [0, 1]. \quad (3-43)$$

By Theorem 3.2 in [Monti and Morbidelli 2005], the John constant $C_J$ depends only on the constant $K > 0$ in (3-42). This claim is not stated explicitly in Theorem 3.2 of [Monti and Morbidelli 2005] but it is evident from the proof. In particular, the John constant $C_J$ is independent of $s \in (-1, 1)$. Then, by Theorem 1.22 in [Garofalo and Nhieu 1996], we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.22.** Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a constant $C(n) > 0$ such that, for any $s \in (-1, 1)$ and any measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{W}$,

$$\min\{\mathcal{L}^{2n}(F \cap \Omega_s), \mathcal{L}^{2n}(\Omega_s \setminus F)\}^{2n/(2n+1)} \leq C(n) \frac{\text{diam}_{\text{CC}}(\Omega_s)}{\mathcal{L}^{2n}(\Omega_s)^{1/(2n+1)}} \mu_F^0(\Omega_s). \quad (3-44)$$

An alternative proof of Theorem 3.1 can be obtained using the Sobolev–Poincaré inequalities proved in [Hajłasz and Koskela 2000] in the general setting of metric spaces.

The diameter $\text{diam}_{\text{CC}}(\Omega_s)$ is bounded for $s \in (-1, 1)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{2n}(\Omega_s) > 0$ is a constant independent of $s$. Then we obtain the following version of (3-44):

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $n \geq 2$. For any $\tau \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $C(n, \tau) > 0$ such that, for $s \in (-1, 1)$ and any measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{W}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}^{2n}(F \cap \Omega_s) \leq \tau \mathcal{L}^{2n}(\Omega_s),$$

we have

$$\mu_F^0(\Omega_s) \geq C(n, \tau) \mathcal{L}^{2n}(F \cap \Omega_s)^{2n/(2n+1)}. \quad (3-45)$$

3B. **Elementary properties of the excess.** We list here, without proof, the most basic properties of the cylindrical excess introduced in Definition 1.2. Their proofs are easy adaptations of those for the classical excess; see, e.g., [Maggi 2012, Chapter 22]. Note that, except for property (3), they hold also in the case $n = 1$.

1. For all $0 < r < s$, we have

$$\text{Exc}(E, r, \nu) \leq \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2n+1} \text{Exc}(E, s, \nu). \quad (3-46)$$

2. If $(E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of sets with locally finite $H$-perimeter such that $E_j \to E$ as $j \to \infty$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then we have, for any $r > 0$,

$$\text{Exc}(E, r, \nu) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \text{Exc}(E_j, r, \nu). \quad (3-47)$$

3. Let $n \geq 2$. If $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is a set such that $\text{Exc}(E, r, \nu) = 0$ and $0 \in \partial^*E$, then

$$E \cap C_r = \{p \in C_r : \xi(p) < 0\}. \quad (3-48)$$

In particular, we have $\nu_E = \nu$ in $C_r \cap \partial E$. See also [Monti 2014, Proposition 3.6].
(4) For any \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( r > 0 \), we have
\[
\text{Exc}(\lambda E, \lambda r, \nu) = \text{Exc}(E, r, \nu),
\]
where \( \lambda E = \{(\lambda z, \lambda^2 t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : (z, t) \in E\}\).

3C. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** The following result is a first, suboptimal version of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( n \geq 2 \). For any \( s \in (0, 1) \), \( \Lambda \in [0, \infty) \), and \( r \in (0, \infty] \) with \( \Lambda r \leq 1 \), there exists a constant \( \omega(n, s, \Lambda, r) > 0 \) such that, if \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) is a \((\Lambda, r)\)-minimizer of \( H\)-perimeter in the cylinder \( C_2 \), \( 0 \in \partial E \), and \( \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu) \leq \omega(n, s, \Lambda, r) \), then
\[
|\xi(p)| < s \quad \text{for any } p \in \partial E \cap C_1,
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}\left(\{p \in E \cap C_1 : \xi(p) > s\}\right) = 0,
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}\left(\{p \in C_1 \setminus E : \xi(p) < -s\}\right) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** By contradiction, assume that there exist \( s \in (0, 1) \) and a sequence of sets \((E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) that are \((\Lambda, r)\)-minimizers in \( C_2 \) and such that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \text{Exc}(E_j, 2, \nu) = 0
\]
and at least one of the following facts holds:
\[
\text{there exists } p \in \partial E_j \cap C_1 \text{ such that } s \leq |\xi(p)| \leq 1,
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}\left(\{p \in E_j \cap C_1 : \xi(p) > s\}\right) > 0,
\]
or
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}\left(\{p \in C_1 \setminus E_j : \xi(p) < -s\}\right) > 0.
\]
By Theorem A.3 in Appendix A, there exists a measurable set \( F \subset C_{5/3} \) such that \( F \) is a \((\Lambda, r)\)-minimizer in \( C_{5/3} \), \( 0 \in \partial F \), and (possibly up to subsequences) \( E_j \cap C_{5/3} \to F \) in \( L^1(C_{5/3}) \). By (3-46) and (3-45), we obtain
\[
\text{Exc}(F, \frac{4}{3}, \nu) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \text{Exc}(E_j, \frac{4}{3}, \nu) \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2n+1} \lim_{j \to \infty} \text{Exc}(E_j, 2, \nu) = 0.
\]
Since \( 0 \in \partial F \), by (3-47) the set \( F \cap C_{4/3} \) is (equivalent to) a halfspace with horizontal inner normal \( \nu = -X_1 \), namely,
\[
F \cap C_{4/3} = \{p \in C_{4/3} : \xi(p) < 0\}.
\]
Assume that (3-49) holds for infinitely many \( j \). Then, up to a subsequence, there are points \((p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \( p_0 \) such that
\[
p_j \in \partial E_j \cap C_1, \quad |\xi(p_j)| \in (s, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad p_j \to p_0 \in \partial F \cap \bar{C}_1.
\]
We used again Theorem A.3 in Appendix A. This is a contradiction because \( \partial F \cap \bar{C}_1 = \{p \in \bar{C}_1 : \xi(p) = 0\} \).

Here, we used \( n \geq 2 \). Therefore, there exists \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
\{p \in \partial E_j \cap C_1 : s \leq |\xi(p)| \leq 1\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0.
and hence
\[
\mu_{E_j}(C_1 \setminus \{ p \in \mathbb{H}^n : |\xi(p)| \leq s \}) = 0.
\]
This implies that, for \( j \geq j_0 \), \( \chi_{E_j} \) is constant on the two connected components \( C_1 \cap \{ p : \xi(p) > s \} \) and \( C_1 \cap \{ p : \xi(p) < -s \} \). Since the sequence \((E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) converges in \( L^1(C_1) \) to the halfspace \( F \), for any \( j \geq j_0 \) we have
\[
\chi_{E_j} = 0 \quad L^{2n+1}\text{-a.e. on } C_1 \cap \{ p : \xi(p) > s \},
\]
and
\[
\chi_{E_j} = 1 \quad L^{2n+1}\text{-a.e. on } C_1 \cap \{ p : \xi(p) < -s \}.
\]
This contradicts both (3-50) and (3-51) and concludes the proof. \( \square \)

Let \( \pi : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{W} \) be the group projection defined, for any \( p \in \mathbb{H}^n \), by the formula
\[
p = \pi(p) \ast (\xi(p)e_1).
\]
For any set \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), we let \( E^s = E \cap \mathbb{H}^n_s \) and we define the projection
\[
E_s = \pi(E^s) = \{ w \in \mathbb{W} : w \ast (se_1) \in E \}.
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( n \geq 2 \), let \( E \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a set with locally finite \( H \)-perimeter and \( 0 \in \partial E \), and let \( s_0 \in (0, 1) \) be such that
\[
|\xi(p)| < s_0 \quad \text{for any } p \in \partial E \cap C_1, \tag{3-52}
\]
\[
L^{2n+1}(\{ p \in E \cap C_1 : \xi(p) > s_0 \}) = 0, \tag{3-53}
\]
\[
L^{2n+1}(\{ p \in C_1 \setminus E : \xi(p) < -s_0 \}) = 0. \tag{3-54}
\]
Then, for a.e. \( s \in (-1, 1) \) and any continuous function \( \varphi \in C_c(D_1) \), we have, with \( M = \partial^*E \cap C_1 \) and \( M_s = M \cap \{ \xi > s \} \),
\[
\int_{E_s \cap D_1} \varphi \; dL^{2n} = - \int_{M_s} \varphi \circ \pi \; \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \; d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}. \tag{3-55}
\]
In particular, for any Borel set \( G \subset D_1 \), we have
\[
L^{2n}(G) = - \int_{M \cap \pi^{-1}(G)} \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \; d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}, \tag{3-56}
\]
\[
L^{2n}(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M \cap \pi^{-1}(G)). \tag{3-57}
\]
**Proof.** It is enough to prove (3-55). Indeed, taking \( s < -s_0 \) in (3-55) and recalling (3-52) and (3-54), we obtain
\[
\int_{D_1} \varphi \; dL^{2n} = - \int_{M} \varphi \circ \pi \; \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \; d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}. \tag{3-58}
\]
Formula (3-56) follows from (3-58) by considering smooth approximations of \( \chi_G \). Formula (3-57) is immediate from (3-56) and \(|\langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g| \leq 1\).
We prove (3.55) for a.e. \( s \in (-1, 1) \), namely, for those \( s \) satisfying the property (3.61) below. Up to an approximation argument, we may assume that \( \varphi \in C_c^1(D_1) \). Let \( r \in (0, 1) \) and \( \sigma \in (\max\{s_0, s\}, 1) \) be fixed. We define

\[
F = E \cap (D_r \ast (s, \sigma)) = E \cap \{w \ast (\rho e_1) \in \mathbb{H}^n : w \in D_r, \varphi \in (s, \sigma)\}.
\]

We claim that, for a.e. \( r \in (0, 1) \) and any \( s \) satisfying (3.61), we have

\[
\langle v_F, X_1 \rangle_g \mu_F = \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \mathcal{L}^{2n+1} E \cap (D_r \ast (s, \sigma)) + \mathcal{L}^{2n} E \cap D_r^s.
\]

(3.59)

Above, we let \( D_r^s = \{w \ast (se_1) \in \mathbb{H}^n : w \in D_r\} \). We postpone the proof of (3.59). Let \( Z \) be a horizontal vector field of the form \( Z = (\psi \circ \pi) X_1 \). We have \( \text{div}_g Z = 0 \) because \( X_1(\psi \circ \pi) = 0 \). Hence, we obtain

\[
0 = \int_F \text{div}_g Z \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} = -\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \varphi \circ \pi \langle v_F, X_1 \rangle_g \, d\mu_F,
\]

i.e., by the Fubini–Tonelli theorem and (3.59),

\[
-\int_{E \cap D_r} \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n} = -\int_{E \cap D_r^s} \varphi \circ \pi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n} = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \varphi \circ \pi \langle v_F, X_1 \rangle_g \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1}.
\]

Formula (3.55) follows on letting first \( r \nearrow 1 \) and then \( \sigma \nearrow 1 \).

We are left with the proof of (3.59). Let \( \psi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \) be a test function. For any \( w \in \mathbb{W} \), we let

\[
E_w = \{ Q \in \mathbb{R} : w \ast (\rho e_1) \in E \}, \quad \psi_w(Q) = \psi(w \ast (\rho e_1)).
\]

Then we have \( \psi_w \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and, by the Fubini–Tonelli theorem,

\[
-\int_F X_1 \psi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} = -\int_{D_1} \int_s^\sigma \chi_E(w \ast (\rho e_1)) X_1 \psi(w \ast (\rho e_1)) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}(w) = -\int_{D_1} \int_s^\sigma \chi_{E_w}(Q) \psi'_w(Q) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}(w) = \int_{D_1} \left[ \int_s^\sigma \psi_w d\chi_{E_w} - \psi_w(\sigma) \chi_{E_w}(\sigma^-) + \psi_w(s) \chi_{E_w}(s^+) \right] \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}(w),
\]

(3.60)

where \( D \chi_{E_w} \) is the derivative of \( \chi_{E_w} \) in the sense of distributions and \( \chi_{E_w}(\sigma^-), \chi_{E_w}(s^+) \) are the classical trace values of \( \chi_{E_w} \) at the endpoints of the interval \((s, \sigma)\). We used the fact that the function \( \chi_{E_w} \) is of bounded variation for \( \mathcal{L}^{2n} \)-a.e. \( w \in \mathbb{W} \), which in turn is a consequence of the fact that \( X_1 \chi_E \) is a signed Radon measure. For any such \( w \), the trace of \( \chi_{E_w} \) satisfies

\[
\chi_{E_w}(s^+) = \chi_{E_w}(s) = \chi_E(w \ast (se_1)) \quad \text{for a.e.} \ s,
\]

so that, by Fubini’s theorem, for a.e. \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\chi_{E_w}(s^+) = \chi_E(w \ast (se_1)) \quad \text{for} \ \mathcal{L}^{2n} \text{-a.e.} \ w \in D_1.
\]

(3.61)

With a similar argument, using (3.53) and the fact that \( \sigma > s_0 \), one can see that

\[
\chi_{E_w}(\sigma^-) = \chi_E(w \ast (\sigma e_1)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \mathcal{L}^{2n} \text{-a.e.} \ w \in D_1.
\]

(3.62)
We refer the reader to [Ambrosio et al. 2000] for an extensive account on BV functions and traces. By (3-60), (3-61) and (3-62), we obtain

\[-\int_F X_1 \psi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} = \int_{D_r} \int_{s} \psi_w \, dD \chi_{E_u} \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}(w) + \int_{D_r} \psi_w(s) \chi_{E_u}(s) \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}(w)\]

\[= \int_{D_r \ast (s, \sigma)} \psi \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \, d\mu_E + \int_{E \cap D_t} \psi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}\]

\[= \int_{\partial s \cap (D_r \ast (s, \sigma))} \psi \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1} + \int_{E \cap D_t} \psi \, d\mathcal{L}^{2n},\]

and (3-59) follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** Under the same assumptions and notation as Lemma 3.4, for a.e. $s \in (-1, 1)$, we have

\[0 \leq \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M_s) - \mathcal{H}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) \leq \text{Exc}(E, 1, \nu). \tag{3-63}\]

Moreover,

\[\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) - \mathcal{H}^{2n}(D_1) = \text{Exc}(E, 1, \nu). \tag{3-64}\]

**Proof.** On approximating $\chi_{D_t}$ with functions $\varphi \in C_c(D_1)$, by (3-55) we get

\[\mathcal{H}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) = - \int_{M_t} \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1},\]

and the first inequality in (3-63) follows. The second inequality follows from

\[\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M_s) - \mathcal{H}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) = \int_{M_t} (1 + \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_g) \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}\]

\[= \int_{M_t} \frac{|v_E - v|^2}{2} \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}\]

\[\leq \text{Exc}(E, 1, \nu). \tag{3-65}\]

Notice that $\nu = -X_1$. Finally, (3-64) follows on choosing a suitable $s < -s_0$ and recalling (3-52) and (3-54). In this case, the inequality in (3-65) becomes an equality and the proof is concluded.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3. Step 1.** Up to replacing $E$ with the rescaled set $\lambda E = \{(\lambda z, \lambda^2 t) : (z, t) \in E\}$ with $\lambda = 1/2k^2r$ and recalling (3-48), we can without loss of generality assume that $E$ is a $(\Lambda', 1/(2k^2))$-minimizer of $H$-perimeter in $C_2$ with

\[\frac{\Lambda'}{2k^2} \leq 1, \quad 0 \in \partial E, \quad \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu) \leq \varepsilon_0(n). \tag{3-66}\]

Our goal is to find $\varepsilon_0(n)$ and $c_1(n) > 0$ such that, if (3-66) holds, then

\[\sup\{|\xi(p)| : p \in \partial E \cap C_{1/2k^2}\} \leq c_1(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)^{1/(2(2n+1))}. \tag{3-67}\]

We require

\[\varepsilon_0(n) \leq \omega\left(n, \frac{1}{4k}, \frac{1}{2k^2}, \frac{1}{2k^2}\right), \tag{3-68}\]
where \( \omega \) is as given by Lemma 3.3. Two further assumptions on \( \epsilon_0(n) \) will be made later, in (3-80) and (3-85). By (3-66), \( E \) is a \( (2k^2, 1/(2k^2)) \)-minimizer in \( C_2 \). Letting \( M = \partial E \cap C_1 \), by Lemma 3.3 and (3-68) we have

\[
|h(p)| < \frac{1}{4k} \quad \text{for any } p \in M, \quad (3-69)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \left( \left\{ p \in E \cap C_1 : h(p) > \frac{1}{4k} \right\} \right) = 0, \quad (3-70)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n+1} \left( \left\{ p \in C_1 \setminus E : h(p) < -\frac{1}{4k} \right\} \right) = 0. \quad (3-71)
\]

By (3-64) and (3-45), we get

\[
0 \leq \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M) - \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1) \leq \text{Exc}(E, 1, \nu) \leq 2^{2n+1} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu). \quad (3-72)
\]

Corollary 3.5 implies that, for a.e. \( s \in (-1, 1) \),

\[
0 \leq \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M_s) - \mathcal{L}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) \leq \text{Exc}(E, 1, \nu) \leq 2^{2n+1} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu), \quad (3-73)
\]

where, as before, \( M_s = M \cap \{ h > s \} \).

**Step 2.** Consider \( f : (-1, 1) \to [0, \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M)] \) defined by

\[
f(s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M_s), \quad s \in (-1, 1).
\]

The function \( f \) is nonincreasing, right-continuous and, by (3-69), it satisfies

\[
f(s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M) \quad \text{for any } s \in \left( -1, -\frac{1}{4k} \right],
\]

\[
f(s) = 0 \quad \text{for any } s \in \left( \frac{1}{4k}, 1 \right].
\]

In particular, there exists \( s_0 \in (-1/(4k), 1/(4k)) \) such that

\[
f(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M) \quad \text{for any } s < s_0,
\]

\[
f(s) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M) \quad \text{for any } s \geq s_0. \quad (3-74)
\]

Let \( s_1 \in (s_0, 1/(4k)) \) be such that

\[
f(s) \geq \sqrt{\text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)} \quad \text{for any } s < s_1, \quad (3-75)
\]

\[
f(s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n+1}(M_s) \leq \sqrt{\text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)} \quad \text{for any } s \geq s_1.
\]

We claim that there exists \( c_2(n) > 0 \) such that

\[
\xi(p) \leq s_1 + c_2(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)^{1/(2(2n+1))} \quad \text{for any } p \in \partial E \cap C_1/2k^2. \quad (3-76)
\]

The inequality (3-76) is trivial for any \( p \in \partial E \cap C_1/2k^2 \) with \( \xi(p) \leq s_1 \). If \( p \in \partial E \cap C_1/2k^2 \) is such that \( \xi(p) > s_1 \), then

\[
B_{\xi(p)-s_1}(p) \subset B_{1/2k}(p) \subset B_{1/k} \subset C_1.
\]
We used the fact that \( \|p\|_K \leq 1/(2k) \) whenever \( p \in C_{1/2k^2} \); see (1-3). Therefore,
\[
B_{\xi(p) - s_1}(p) \subset C_1 \cap \{ \xi > s_1 \}
\]
and, by the density estimate (A-91) of Theorem A.1 in Appendix A,
\[
k_3(n)(\xi(p) - s_1)^{2n+1} \leq \mu_E(B_{\xi(p) - s_1}(p)) \leq \mu_E(C_1 \cap \{ \xi > s_1 \}) = \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M_{s_1}) = f(s_1) \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{Exc}(E, 2, v)}.
\]
This proves (3-76).

**Step 3.** We claim that there exists \( c_3(n) > 0 \) such that
\[
s_1 - s_0 \leq c_3(n) \operatorname{Exc}(E, 2, v)^{1/(2(2n+1))}. \tag{3-77}
\]
By the coarea formula (3-41) with \( h = \chi_{C_1}, D_1^s = \{ p \in C_1 : \xi(p) = s \} \), and \( E^s = \{ p \in E : \xi(p) = s \} \), we have
\[
\int_{-1}^1 \int_{D_1^s} d\mu_{E^s} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \sqrt{1 - \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_{g}} \, d\mu_E \leq \sqrt{2} \int_M \sqrt{1 + \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_{g}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}.
\]
By Hölder's inequality, (A-91), (3-56), and (3-72), we deduce that
\[
\int_{-1}^1 \int_{D_1^s} d\mu_{E^s} \, ds \leq \sqrt{2} \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) \left( \int_M (1 + \langle v_E, X_1 \rangle_{g}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1} \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq c_4(n)(\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) - \mathcal{H}^{2n}(D_1))^{1/2} \\
\leq c_5(n)\sqrt{\operatorname{Exc}(E, 2, v)}. \tag{3-78}
\]
By Corollary 3.5 and (3-72), we obtain, for a.e. \( s \in [s_0, s_1] \),
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) \leq \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M_s) = f(s) \leq f(s_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) \\
\leq \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1) + 2^{2n+1} \operatorname{Exc}(E, 2, v)) \\
\leq \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1). \tag{3-79}
\]
The last inequality holds provided that
\[
2^{2n+1} \varepsilon_0(n) \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1). \tag{3-80}
\]
Let \( \Omega_s = (-se_1) \ast D_1^s = (-se_1) \ast D_1 \ast (se_1) \) and \( F_s = (-se_1) \ast E^s \). We have
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n}(\Omega_s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1^s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1) \tag{3-81}
\]
and, by (3-79),
\[
\mathcal{L}^{2n}(F_s \cap \Omega_s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n}(E^s \cap D_1^s) = \mathcal{L}^{2n}(E_s \cap D_1) \leq \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{L}^{2n}(D_1). \tag{3-82}
\]
Moreover, by left invariance we have
\[
\mu_{E^s}^0(D_1^s) = \mu_{F_s}^0(\Omega_s). \tag{3-83}
\]
By (3.81)–(3.83) and Corollary 3.2, there exists a constant \( k(n) > 0 \) independent of \( s \in (-1, 1) \) such that

\[
\mu_{E^s}(D^s_1) = \mu_{F_s}(\Omega_s) \geq k(n)\mathcal{L}^n(F_s \cap \Omega_s)^{2n/(2n+1)} = k(n)\mathcal{L}^n(E^s \cap D^s_1)^{2n/(2n+1)}. \tag{3.84}
\]

This, together with (3.78), gives

\[
c_6(n)\sqrt{\text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)} \geq \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \mathcal{L}^n(E^s \cap D^s_1)^{2n/(2n+1)} ds \\
\geq \int_{s_0}^{s_1} (\mathcal{J}^{2n+1}(M_s) - 2^{2n+1} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu))^{2n/(2n+1)} ds \\
\geq \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \left(\sqrt{\text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)} - 2^{2n+1} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)\right)^{2n/(2n+1)} ds \\
\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)^{n/(2n+1)} ds.
\]

In the last inequality, we require that \( \varepsilon_0(n) \) satisfies

\[
\sqrt{z} - 2^{2n+1} z \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{z} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in [0, \varepsilon_0(n)]. \tag{3.85}
\]

It follows that

\[
c_6(n)\sqrt{\text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)^{n/(2n+1)}(s_1 - s_0),
\]

giving (3.77).

Step 4. Recalling (3.76) and (3.77), we proved that there exist \( \varepsilon_0(n) \) and \( c_6(n) \) such that the following holds: if \( E \) is a \((2k^2, 1/(2k^2))\)-minimizer of \( H \)-perimeter in \( C_2 \) such that

\[
0 \in \partial E, \quad \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu) \leq \varepsilon_0(n)
\]

and \( s_0 = s_0(E) \) satisfies (3.74), then

\[
\xi(p) - s_0 \leq c_7(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu)^{1/(2n+1)} \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \partial E \cap C_{1/2k^2}.
\tag{3.86}
\]

Let us introduce the mapping \( \Psi : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n \)

\[
\Psi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n, t) = (-x_1, -x_2, \ldots, -x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n, -t).
\]

Then we have \( \Psi^{-1} = \Psi, \quad \Psi(C_2) = C_2, \quad (X_j, v_{\Psi(F)})_g = -(X_j, v_F)_g \circ \Psi, \quad (Y_j, v_{\Psi(F)})_g = (Y_j, v_F)_g \circ \Psi, \) and \( \mu_{\Psi(F)} = \Psi_# \mu_F, \) for any set \( F \) with locally finite \( H \)-perimeter; here, \( \Psi_# \) denotes the standard push-forward of measures. Therefore, the set \( \tilde{E} = \Psi(\mathbb{H}^n \setminus E) \) satisfies the following properties:

(i) \( \tilde{E} \) is a \((2k^2, 1/(2k^2))\)-minimizer of \( H \)-perimeter in \( C_2 \);

(ii) \( 0 \in \partial \tilde{E} \) and

\[
\text{Exc}(\tilde{E}, 2, \nu) = \frac{1}{2^q} \int_{\partial \tilde{E} \cap C_2} |v_{\tilde{E}} - v|_{g}^2 d\mathcal{H}^{2n+1} = \text{Exc}(E, 2, \nu) \leq \varepsilon_0(n);
\]
(iii) setting $\tilde{M} = \partial^* \tilde{E} \cap C_1 = \Psi(M)$ and $\tilde{f}(s) = \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(\tilde{M} \cap \{\xi > s\})$, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}(s) & \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(\tilde{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) \quad \text{for any} \ s < -s_0, \\
\tilde{f}(s) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(M) \quad \text{for any} \ s \geq -s_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Formula (3-86) for the set $\tilde{E}$ gives
\[
\xi(p) + s_0 \leq c_7(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, v)^{1/(2(n+1))} \quad \text{for any} \ p \in \partial \tilde{E} \cap C_{1/2^2}.
\]
Notice that we have $p \in \partial \tilde{E}$ if and only if $\Psi(p) \in \partial E$ and, moreover, $\xi(\Psi(p)) = -\xi(p)$. Hence, we have
\[
-\xi(p) + s_0 \leq c_7(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, v)^{1/(2(n+1))} \quad \text{for any} \ p \in \partial E \cap C_{1/2^2}. \tag{3-87}
\]
By (3-86) and (3-87), we obtain
\[
|\xi(p) - s_0| \leq c_7(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, v)^{1/(2(n+1))} \quad \text{for any} \ p \in \partial E \cap C_{1/2^2}, \tag{3-88}
\]
and, in particular,
\[
|s_0| \leq c_7(n) \text{Exc}(E, 2, v)^{1/(2(n+1))}, \tag{3-89}
\]
because $0 \in \partial E \cap C_{1/2^2}$. Inequalities (3-88) and (3-89) give (3-67). This completes the proof. \qed

Appendix A

We list some basic properties of $\Lambda$-minimizers of $H$-perimeter in $\mathbb{H}^n$. The proofs are straightforward adaptations of the proofs for $\Lambda$-minimizers of perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Theorem A.1** (density estimates). There exist positive constants $k_1(n)$, $k_2(n)$, $k_3(n)$ and $k_4(n)$ with the following property: if $E$ is a $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizer of $H$-perimeter in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, $p \in \partial E \cap \Omega$, $B_r(p) \subset \Omega$ and $s < r$, then
\[
\begin{align*}
k_1(n) & \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(E \cap B_s(p))}{s^{2n+1}} \leq k_2(n), \tag{A-90} \\
k_3(n) & \leq \frac{\mu(E \cap B_s(p))}{s^{2n+1}} \leq k_4(n). \tag{A-91}
\end{align*}
\]

For a proof, see [Maggi 2012, Theorem 21.11]. By standard arguments, Theorem A.1 implies the following corollary:

**Corollary A.2.** If $E$ is a $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizer of $H$-perimeter in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, then
\[
\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(\partial E \setminus \partial^* E \cap \Omega) = 0.
\]

**Theorem A.3.** Let $(E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizers of $H$-perimeter in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, $\Lambda r \leq 1$. Then there exists a $(\Lambda, r)$-minimizer $E$ of $H$-perimeter in $\Omega$ and a subsequence $(E_{j_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that
\[
E_{j_k} \rightharpoonup E \quad \text{in} \quad L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{E_{j_k}} \mu_{E_{j_k}} \rightharpoonup \nu_E \mu_E.
\]
as $k \to \infty$. Moreover, the measure-theoretic boundaries $\partial E_{j_k}$ converge to $\partial E$ in the sense of Kuratowski, i.e.,

(i) if $p_{j_k} \in \partial E_j \cap \Omega$ and $p_{j_k} \to p \in \Omega$, then $p \in \partial E$;
(ii) if $p \in \partial E \cap \Omega$, then there exists a sequence $(p_{j_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $p_{j_k} \in \partial E_{j_k} \cap \Omega$ and $p_{j_k} \to p$.

For a proof in the case of the perimeter in $\mathbb{R}^n$, see [Maggi 2012, Chapter 21].

Appendix B

We define a Borel unit normal $\nu_R$ to an $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable set $R \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and we show that the definition is well posed $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$-a.e., up to the sign. The normal $\nu_S$ to an $H$-regular hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is defined in (1-6).

**Definition B.1.** Let $R \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be an $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$-rectifiable set such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(R \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j) = 0 \quad (B-92)$$

for a sequence of $H$-regular hypersurfaces $(S_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$. For any $p \in R \cap \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j$, we define

$$\nu_R(p) = \nu_{S_j}(p),$$

where $j$ is the unique integer such that $p \in S_j \setminus \bigcup_{j < j} S_j$.

We show that Definition B.1 is well posed, up to a sign, for $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$-a.e. $p$. Namely, let $(S^1_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(S^2_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of $H$-regular hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^n$ for which (B-92) holds and denote by $\nu^1_R$ and $\nu^2_R$, respectively, the associated normals to $R$ according to Definition B.1. We show that $\nu^1_R = \nu^2_R$ $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$-a.e. on $R$, up to the sign.

Let $A \subset R$ be the set of points such that either $\nu^1_R(p)$ is not defined, or $\nu^2_R(p)$ is not defined, or they are both defined and $\nu^1_R(p) \neq \pm \nu^2_R(p)$. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(A) = 0$. This is a consequence of the following lemma:

**Lemma B.2.** Let $S_1$, $S_2$ be two $H$-regular hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^n$ and let

$$A = \{ p \in S_1 \cap S_2 : \nu_{S_1}(p) \neq \pm \nu_{S_2}(p) \}.$$

Then, the Hausdorff dimension of $A$ in the Carnot–Carathéodory metric is at most $2n$, $\dim_{CC}(A) \leq 2n$, and, in particular, $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}(A) = 0$.

**Proof.** The blow-up of $S_i$, $i = 1, 2$, at a point $p \in A$ is a vertical hyperplane $\Pi_i \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{H}^n$ — see, e.g., [Franchi et al. 2001] — where:

(i) By blow-up of $S_i$ at $p$, we mean the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda(p^{-1} \ast S_i)$$

in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense. Recall that, for $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, we define $\lambda E = \{ (\lambda z, \lambda^2 t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : (z, t) \in E \}$.
Let \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n \) and \( A \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be such that, for any \( p \in A \), the blow-up of \( A \) at \( p \) is contained in \( \Pi_p \times \mathbb{R} \), for some plane \( \Pi_p \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) of dimension \( k \). Then we have \( \dim_{CC}(A) \leq k + 2 \).

**Proof.** We claim that, for any \( \eta > 0 \), we have

\[
\mathcal{L}^{k+2+\eta}(A) = 0. \tag{B-93}
\]

Let \( \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \) be such that \( C \varepsilon^\eta \leq \frac{1}{2} \), where \( C = C(n) \) is a constant that will be fixed later in the proof. By the definition of blow-up, for any \( p \in A \) there exists \( r_p > 0 \) such that, for all \( r \in (0, r_p) \), we have

\[
(p^{-1} \ast A) \cap U_r \subset (\Pi_p)_{\varepsilon r} \times \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( (\Pi_p)_{\varepsilon r} \) denotes the \( (\varepsilon r) \)-neighbourhood of \( \Pi_p \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \). For any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), set

\[
A_j = \{ p \in A \cap B_j : r_p > 1/j \}.
\]

To prove (B-93), it is enough to prove that

\[
\mathcal{L}^{k+2+\eta}(A_j) = 0
\]

for any fixed \( j \geq 1 \). This, in turn, will follow if we show that, for any fixed \( \delta \in (0, 1/(2j)) \), one has

\[
\inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i^{k+2+\eta} : A_j \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{r_i}(p_i), r_i < 2\varepsilon \delta \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i^{k+2+\eta} : A_j \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{r_i}(p_i), r_i < \delta \right\}. \tag{B-94}
\]

Let \( (U_{r_i}(p_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a covering of \( A_j \) with balls of radius smaller than \( \delta \). There exist points \( \tilde{p}_i \in A_j \) such that \( (U_{2r_i}(\tilde{p}_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a covering of \( A_j \) with balls of radius smaller than \( 2\delta < 1/j \). By definition of \( A_j \), we have

\[
(\tilde{p}_i^{-1} \ast A_j) \cap U_{2r_i} \subset ((\Pi_{\tilde{p}_i})_{\varepsilon r_i} \times \mathbb{R}) \cap U_{2r_i}.
\]

The set \( ((\Pi_{\tilde{p}_i})_{\varepsilon r_i} \times \mathbb{R}) \cap U_{2r_i} \) can be covered by a family of balls \( (U_{\varepsilon r_i}(p^i_h))_{h \in H_i} \) of radius \( \varepsilon r_i < 2\varepsilon \delta \) in such a way that the cardinality of \( H_i \) is bounded by \( C \varepsilon^{-k-2} \), where the constant \( C \) depends only on \( n \) and not on \( \varepsilon \). In particular, the family of balls \( (U_{\varepsilon r_i}(\tilde{p}_i \ast p^i_h))_{i \in \mathbb{N}, h \in H_i} \) is a covering of \( A_j \) and

\[
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{h \in H_i} (\text{radius } U_{\varepsilon r_i}(\tilde{p}_i \ast p^i_h))^{k+2+\eta} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{h \in H_i} (\varepsilon r_i)^{k+2+\eta} \leq C \varepsilon^{-k-2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\varepsilon r_i)^{k+2+\eta} = C \varepsilon^\eta \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i^{k+2+\eta} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} r_i^{k+2+\eta}.
\]

This proves (B-94) and concludes the proof. \( \square \)
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