Outcomes of Cataract Surgery Complicated by Retained Lens Fragments Requiring Pars Plana Vitrectomy
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Objective: To analyze outcomes and complications related to cataract surgery complicated by retained lens fragment (RLF) requiring pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) in a county hospital where procedures are performed by trainees.

Methods: Retrospective study of consecutive patients who met inclusion criteria and underwent PPV for RLF in the vitreous cavity at an urban teaching hospital between January 2010 and January 2016 (N=20).

Main Outcomes/Measures: Visual acuity was recorded pre- and post-operatively over a follow-up period of 3 to 12 months. Complications and patient factors contributing to outcomes were assessed using paired and unpaired t-tests and multiple linear regression.

Results: The average rate of cataract surgery with RLF requiring PPV was 0.75%. Twenty patients met inclusion criteria. Mean pre-operative visual acuity (VA) was logMAR 1.7 (Snellen 20/1000). Nearly half (8/20) had nuclear cataracts grade 3+ or higher. The majority (14/20) had factors predisposing them to cataract surgery complications. Most patients underwent PPV within 1 week (median 6.5 days). At 12-month follow-up, significant (p=0.001) visual acuity (VA) improvement from initial VA was observed, with final mean logMAR 0.6 (± 0.75; Snellen 20/80) and median logMAR 0.35 (Snellen 20/45). Nearly half of the patients had a final Snellen VA ≥20/40. Factors associated with less VA improvement were older age and greater proportion of lens dropped (p<0.01). Complications following PPV included hypotony (5 patients), corneal edema (4), elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) (3), and cystoid macular edema (3).

Conclusions/Relevance: Despite patients with advanced pathology and trainee surgeons, rates of cataract surgery-associated RLF requiring PPV at a large tertiary care teaching hospital are similar to reported rates in the literature.
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Introduction

Cataract surgery is the most frequently performed surgical procedure in the world, with an estimated 19–20 million surgeries done annually.1,2 This number continues to rise as a result of the aging population and expansion of ambulatory surgical facilities.3–5 While the risk of intraoperative complications related to cataract surgery is quite low with modern surgical approaches and technology, it does exist. One such complication is posterior capsule rupture with “dropped” lens particles into the vitreous cavity.6 The reported incidence of intravitreal retained
lens fragments (RLF) ranges from 0.1%-1.5%.\textsuperscript{7-13} Rupture of the posterior lens capsule is estimated to significantly increase the risk of post-operative endophthalmitis by four- to ten-fold.\textsuperscript{14} Furthermore, this complication often requires a subsequent pars plana vitrectomy with lens fragment retrieval or pars plana lensectomy (collectively referred to as PPV from this point forward), although some cases can be managed medically.\textsuperscript{12,15-17} Nevertheless, post-operative visual outcomes are relatively good with 44–65% of affected patients achieving a visual acuity of 20/40 or better.\textsuperscript{10,17-19} The ideal timing (early versus late) of vitrectomy is a subject of debate, and studies have yielded mixed or inconclusive results.\textsuperscript{19-21} One systematic meta-analysis of 27 studies concluded that vitrectomy should be performed 3–7 days post-cataract surgery, with the first two days reserved for recovery.\textsuperscript{12}

In this study, we seek to evaluate surgical outcomes of patients who underwent PPV for RLF at a public teaching hospital in Houston, Texas. Although outcomes of vitrectomy for RLF have been evaluated as aforementioned, to the authors’ knowledge, no studies have yet been conducted in the focused setting of a public teaching hospital where the vast majority of surgeries are performed by trainees. Analysis on this specific cohort of patients carries significance for two reasons. First, the patient population in public teaching hospitals often presents with more advanced disease, has more comorbidities, and faces socioeconomic barriers which challenge patient compliance. All of these could presumably increase the rate of intraoperative complications or negatively impact post-operative outcomes. Second, studies have corroborated that increased surgeon experience correlates with reduced complication rates.\textsuperscript{7,8,22} Therefore, we sought to examine whether trainees (residents and fellows) would have complication rates and outcomes comparable to those of more experienced surgeons.

**Methods**

This retrospective study was conducted at Ben Taub General Hospital (BTGH) in Houston, Texas, a large tertiary referral and public teaching hospital that is affiliated with Baylor College of Medicine. Records of all phacoemulsification cataract surgeries (CEIOL) performed between January 2010-January 2016 were identified using CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) codes 66850, 66852, 66840, ICD (International Classification of Diseases)-9 code 998.82, and ICD-10 code H59.029. All cases that subsequently required PPV for RLF were included, while cases with retained lens material due to trauma or those managed solely with anterior segment surgery (e.g., anterior vitrectomy) were excluded. Patients with fewer than three months of follow-up data post-PPV were excluded. All surgical cases were primarily performed by faculty-supervised PGY (post-graduate year)-3 and PGY-4 ophthalmology residents, PGY-5 cornea/refractive fellows (who performed the CEIOL), or PGY-6 surgical retina fellows (who performed the PPV). While specific patient management was surgeon-dependent, many patients received an injection of subconjunctival dexamethasone, were started on oral or intravenous acetazolamide, and were treated with topical steroids in the post-cataract period.

Patient demographics and pre-operative (both pre-CEIOL and pre-PPV), peri-operative, and post-operative measures for each case were recorded. Primary outcome measured was best-corrected logMAR visual acuity (VA) at the final timepoint, defined as the most recent measurement available in the record at least three months post-PPV and at most twelve months post-PPV. Secondary outcomes included post-operative complications. Unpaired and paired t-tests were conducted to identify differences in mean outcomes. A multiple linear regression model that incorporated eight independent continuous and dummy variables of pre-operative and peri-operative datapoints (age, gender, Hispanic race, history of intraocular intervention, comorbid hypertension, fraction of lens dropped, days between CEIOL and PPV, NSC (nuclear sclerotic cataract) grade) was utilized to predict the dependent variable of overall VA change. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05.

This study was approved by the institutional review boards of Baylor College of Medicine, the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, and the Harris Health System; it complied with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

**Results**

Within the study period, over 400 cataract surgeries were performed at BTGH annually; of these, 1–4 cases of RLF required PPV each year. On average, the annual incidence of RLF requiring PPV was 0.75%. A total of twenty consecutive eyes of twenty patients were included in this study.

**Pre-Operative data**

Patient demographic information is summarized in Table 1. Mean age was 61.9 years (range 12 to 81 years), 55% of patients were male, and the majority (60%) identified as Hispanic/Hispanic American. A significant proportion of this cohort had systemic comorbidities (e.g., diabetes...
mellitus) or ocular comorbidities (e.g., prior vitrectomy (4)
or pseudoexfoliative syndrome (2)). Nearly half (40%) of
patients had nuclear cataracts of grade 3+ (scale of 1
– 4) or that were designated as brunescent or white. Additionally,
several patients were affected by other factors that predis-
posed them to cataract surgery complications, such as axial
length >25mm (4), alpha-blocker medication use (1), or
known zonular compromise (2).

The mean pre-CEIOL VA was logMAR 1.7 (Snellen VA
equivalent of 20/1000); median was logMAR 1.5 (Snellen
20/630), and range was logMAR 0.3 – 3.0 (Snellen 20/40-
HM). 40% of patients had hand motion VA. Lens drop
occurred during the phacoemulsification phase in 84% of
cases. Fraction of lens dropped was 1 (entire lens) in 39%
of cases, with the average being 0.70 (70% of the lens
volume). Anterior vitrectomy was performed in 14/20
patients. 11/20 patients were left aphakic, 7 had a sulcus
IOL, and 2 had an IOL placed in the capsular bag. Mean
cataract surgery duration was 68 mins, with 56% of cases
lasting between 60 and 90 mins.

The mean post-CEIOL VA was logMAR 1.4 (Snellen VA
equivalent of 20/500), with median VA logMAR 1.2 (Snellen
20/320) (Figure 1). The median time lapse between
CEIOL to PPV was 6.5 days, with a range of 0 to 113
days and one outlier at 3166 days. Timing of vitrectomy
was not signifi-
cantly associated with age, prior ocular
history, or post-cataract complications.

In the interim period between CEIOL and PPV, patients
experienced a variety of complications such as corneal
edema (1), ocular hypertension (11, defined as intraocular
pressure (IOP) >21 mm Hg), hypotony (1), anterior chamber
inflammation (7), cystoid macular edema (2), or retinal tear/
detachment (2) (Table 1).

### Intraoperative Data

Lens fragment removal was performed using the fragmatome
in 95% of cases. In addition to pars plana vitrectomy with
RLF removal, concurrent procedures were performed in some cases: secondary intraocular lens (IOL) implantation in 4 cases, endolaser in 3 cases (for retinal tear or for prophylaxis), endolaser with gas tamponade in 3 cases (2 retinal tears, 1 localized retinal detachment), and endolaser with silicone oil tamponade in 1 case for retinal detachment. The differences in pre-PPV and intraoperative PPV retinal tear rates are addressed later. Of note, two patients had secondary IOLs placed in the post-PPV period, but before the final VA was measured. Five patients were aphakic at the final VA timepoint; most had been fitted with an aphakic contact lens.

Post-Operative Data
Significant improvement in vision from pre-cataract VA to VA at final follow-up (p=0.005) was observed (Figure 2). From a baseline pre-cataract VA average of logMAR 1.7 (Snellen 20/1000), mean improvement from pre-cataract VA was −0.2 logMAR units at 1 week, −0.7 logMAR units at 1 month, −0.9 logMAR units at 3 months, and −1 logMAR units at 6 months post-PPV. Average final best-corrected visual acuity was logMAR 0.6 (Snellen 20/80), median was logMAR 0.35 (Snellen 20/45), and range was logMAR 0.0–3.0 (Snellen 20/20-HM). Median follow-up period was 324 days following PPV.

Multiple linear regression analysis (assuming fixed weights) revealed that certain factors were associated with the overall change in visual acuity. Older age (coefficient of −0.037) and fraction of lens dropped (−2.67) were associated with less VA improvement (p<0.01). An increased initial cataract grade was significantly associated with greater VA improvement (coefficient of 1.071), likely due to worse initial pre-cataract VA.
Post-PPV complications include corneal edema (4), ocular hypertension (3), hypotony (5), anterior chamber inflammation (3), and cystoid macular edema (3) (Table 1). Most of these were transient and 50% resolved within the first month post-PPV. Hypotony (defined as <5 mm Hg) was noted to be the most common complication, although there was a statistically significant association between decreased IOP and acetazolamide (Diamox) administration post-cataract extraction, which remained significant even when glaucoma patients were excluded. T-tests of post-PPV complications did not show any significant association with final VA outcomes. Hypotony seemed to correlate with poorer final VA (mean final VA logMAR 1.08±1.12 with hypotony vs 0.53 ±0.87 without hypotony), although this finding did not reach statistical significance.

Discussion
This study aimed to evaluate the outcomes of resident-performed cataract surgery requiring PPV for RLF in the setting of a large county hospital. Interestingly, the rate of RLF is similar to those reported from non-teaching hospitals where the surgeries are performed by experienced surgeons (0.75% compared with 0.1–1.5%). This differs from other studies that have suggested that the incidence of RLF is likely increased for less experienced surgeons. However, in contrast to those studies, these studies focused on inexperienced surgeons in a training environment while supervised by skilled surgeons. The rate of post-PPV complications is comparable to rates described in previous studies including corneal edema (22% compared with 20–50.8%), cystoid macular edema (17% compared with 6–27%), and retinal detachment (0% compared with 0–12%) (Table 2). It should be noted that the studied patient population was younger with higher rates of prior ocular interventions (45%) or other potentially complicating comorbidities (65%) compared to those included in similar studies. Thus, these results are especially encouraging for teaching hospitals, as they suggest that even with occurrence of post-cataract surgery complications in cases performed by trainees, visual outcomes are ultimately quite good. However, these findings are not intended to understmate the sequelae of a cataract surgery complicated by RLF, which includes subsequent surgery, additional resources (e.g., time, cost, medications), and potential limitations in visual prognosis.

Timing between CEIOL and PPV in cases with RLF has long been a topic of debate. While the majority of patients in this study underwent PPV within one week of CEIOL, there were large variations. In this study, we did not find that the inter-surgery time lapse correlated with final visual outcomes or complication rates. Notably, two patients had a diagnosed retinal tear or detachment post-CEIOL/pre-PPV, and two other patients had a retinal tear or detachment found intraoperatively during the PPV (and one was unclear as to when the detachment occurred). Delay in PPV for RLF was not associated with a greater incidence of retinal tear or detachment, but these observations emphasize the importance of careful retinal surveillance post-cataract and intraoperatively during the vitrectomy. Variables that did seem to be associated with poorer VA outcomes include older age and larger fraction of lens dropped.

One distinct finding of this study was the low frequency of post-operative elevated IOP (17% compared to rates of 46–100% in the literature). In contrast, hypotony was observed more often (5 cases). This may be related to our institutional surgeon practice of administering prophylactic oral acetazolamide in cases where there are retained lens fragments. Doing so may blunt post-operative IOP elevation or even lead to an apparent hypotony. Another possible explanation for the observed hypotony is ischemia of the ciliary body which can result from multiple intraocular surgeries, especially if there were pre-existing ocular comorbidities.

Strengths of this study include its use of longitudinal data that provides patient details which span the entire perioperative course. As this was a retrospective study, it is limited by the historical medical record from which the data were extracted. Thus, any errors or omissions in the record have the potential of being incorporated into this analysis. Additionally, it should be noted that 5 patients were aphakic at the time their final VA was recorded; while several of them did undergo an aphakic contact lens fitting, this still may have negatively impacted VA measurements.

While not a direct comparison, the outcomes in this cohort of patients with complicated cataract surgery can be discussed alongside a study previously published at our institution with a similar patient and trainee surgeon population, reporting on overall outcomes and complication rates of cataract surgery from January 2012 to June 2015. In that study, the rate of intraoperative or post-operative complications was 14.0%. A dropped nucleus was seen in 8 cases, representing 0.6%. Furthermore, visual outcomes in that study at the post-operative month one visit were reported as average Snellen 20/32 overall and average Snellen 20/46 in cases with complications. These complication rates are similar to our findings. As expected, a worse average visual
| Study (Ref #) | N  | Avg Age | Rate of RLF | Time from RLF to PPV | Pre-Existing Conditions | Initial VA | Final VA | Main Pre/Post-Vitrectomy Complications | Major Conclusions |
|--------------|----|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Present study | 20 | 61      | 0.75%       | Med: 6.5d            | Previous ocular intervention history (9), DM (12), uncontrolled glaucoma (2) | Mean 20/1200, Median 20/1200 | Mean 20/80, Median 20/45 | Corneal edema (1), elevated IOP (11), hypotony (1), CME (3), EDTA (1), retinal edema (4), elevated IOP (3), hypotony (3), CME (3) | Trainee rates of RLF are similar to those previously reported. Older age, larger fraction of lens dropped are associated with less visual improvement. |
| Chen 2008 (ref 20), split groups A, B, C | 78 | 70      | NA          | A: 1d                | 18% DR, 13% ARMD, 12% myopic degeneration, 7% glaucoma, 5% previous RD, 2% macular hole | 100% ≤6/60 | 76% ≥6/12 | None/none | Immediate vitrectomy is associated with better outcomes and fewer complications. |
| Ho 2007 (ref 18) | 82 | Med. 78 | NA          | <1wk                 | Dense nuclei (7), small pupils (5), pseudoxfolliation (2), prior vitrectomy (4), DM (8), primary open-angle glaucoma (8) | 62.2% ≤6/60 | 58.3% ≥6/12 | 46.3% elevated IOP, 42.7% corneal edema, 38.6% severe uveitis, 2.4% hypotony/4.9% RD, 4.9% CME | Anterior vitrectomy associated with lower IOP. |
| Margherio 1997 (ref 10) | 126 | 76.8    | NA          | <7d                  | NA | 73.8% ≤20/40, Mean 20/278 | 44% ≥20/40, Mean 20/40 | 52.4% elevated IOP, 69.6% uveitis, 50.8% corneal edema, 5.6% RD/7.9% elevated IOP, 10.3% RD, 27.0% CME | No significant differences in outcomes between early (<7d) and late (8+d) vitrectomies. |
| Kageyama 2001 (ref 7) | 17 | 71      | 0.20%       | Simultaneous         | DR (2), small pupil (2), posterior lenticonus (2) | 29% ≥20/40 | 82% ≥20/40 | NA/12% RD, 27% CME | Recommend simultaneous vitrectomy at time of lens drop. |
| Modi 2013 (ref 21) | 569 | 71.7    | NA          | Same day             | 25% unspecified | NA | Mean 20/53 | 27% CME, 22% corneal edema, 44% persistent inflammation | Equivalent outcomes for early vs delayed vitrectomy. |
| Schaal 2009 (ref 17) | 12 | 70.3    | NA          | Within 2 wk          | NA | Mean 20/120 | Mean 20/25 | 16% CME, 33% severe corneal edema | Conservative medical management yielded good results. Surgery can be delayed. |
| 15 | 69.0 | NA |     | After 2 wk         | NA | Mean 20/125 | Mean 20/28 | 6% CME, 20% severe corneal edema |     |
| 15 | 72.4 | Medical therapy | | | | | | |
| Aasuri 2001 (ref 8) | 38 | NA      | 0.30%       | Same day (14), within 1 wk (16), after 1 wk (9) | Previous vitrectomy (1) | NA | Mean 20/120 | Mean 20/25 | 16% CME, 33% severe corneal edema | Incidence of dropped lens more often in less experienced surgeons. |

Note: Numbers in () denote number of patients fulfilling that criteria/condition.

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; DR, diabetic retinopathy; ARMD, age-related macular degeneration; RD, retinal detachment; CME, cystoid macular edema; med, median; d, day(s); wk, week; mo, month.
acuity and a longer recovery period are seen in our study cohort, presumably due to posterior involvement and second surgical intervention.

This analysis showed that despite multiple factors that could limit outcomes for patients who undergo complicated cataract surgery in a public teaching hospital—trainee-level surgeons, ocular and systemic comorbidities, more advanced presenting pathology—ultimately, VA outcomes were relatively good (average Snellen 20/80 and median 20/45) and comparable to reported outcomes for similar cases performed by non-trainee surgeons.\(^8,10,21\) This is consistent with several recent studies which have demonstrated similar complication rates and outcomes, when comparing trainees and attendings at academic centers.\(^24,25\) However, the recovery period was prolonged, with the majority reaching significant VA improvement only after post-operative month three. While some did experience post-operative complications, most of these were resolved within the first month after PPV, and the majority had no significant impact on final visual acuity. Time lapse between cataract surgery and pars plana vitrectomy did not have an apparent effect on visual outcomes or complication rates, although large variability in inter-surgery time span and the limited sample size should be taken into consideration.

Rates of cataract surgery-associated RLF requiring PPV at a large tertiary care teaching hospital are similar to published rates in the literature, despite patients with advanced pathology and trainee surgeons in this setting. Although their recovery period may be prolonged, these patients ultimately benefit from significant visual gains and demonstrate outcomes that are comparable to those reported for similar procedures performed by non-trainee surgeons.
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