Optimizing Hemp Fiber Production for High Performance Composite Applications
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Hemp is a sustainable and environmental friendly crop that can provide valuable raw materials to a large number of industrial applications. Traditionally harvested at full flowering for textile destinations, nowadays hemp is mainly harvested at seed maturity for dual-purpose applications and has a great potential as multipurpose crop. However, the European hemp fiber market is stagnating if compared to the growing market of hemp seeds and phytocannabinoids. To support a sustainable growth of the hemp fiber market, agronomic techniques as well as genotypes and post-harvest processing should be optimized to preserve fiber quality during grain ripening, enabling industrial processing and maintaining, or even increasing, actual fiber applications and improving high-added value applications. In this paper, the effect of genotypes, harvest times, retting methods and processing on the yield and quality of long hemp for wet spun yarns was investigated. Conventional green-stem varieties were compared with yellow-stem ones on two harvesting times: at full flower and seed maturity. Scutching was performed on un-retted stems and dew-retted stems, the un-retted scutched fiber bundles were then bio-degummed before hackling. Both scutching and hackling was performed on flax machines. Quality of hackled hemp, with particular reference to its suitability for high performance composites production, was assessed. The results of fiber extraction indicate that yellow-stem varieties are characterized by higher scutching efficiency than green-stem varieties. Composites strength at breaking point, measured on specimens produced with the Impregnated Fiber Bundle Test, was lower with hemp obtained from stems harvested at seed maturity than at full flowering. On average, back-calculated fiber properties, from hackled hemp-epoxy composites, proved the suitability of long hemp fiber bundles for high performance composites applications, having properties comparable to those of high quality long flax.
INTRODUCTION

Hemp is a high yielding, sustainable, and environmental friendly crop that can provide valuable raw materials to a large number of applications (Carus et al., 2013). Hemp has been grown for centuries in Europe for the high quality of its fiber that was used to produce ropes, clothing, and paper (Ranalli and Venturi, 2004). Traditionally harvested at full flowering to optimize long fiber bundle extraction, nowadays hemp is mainly harvested at seed maturity for dual-purpose applications (Tang et al., 2016) and has a great potential as multipurpose crop (Amaducci et al., 2015). The economic value of hemp can be maximized if all plant biomass (stems, inflorescences and seeds) is exploited; delaying harvest until the generative phase is completed (Calzolari et al., 2017). For this, agronomic techniques and genotypes should be adapted to preserve fiber quality during grain ripening, enabling industrial processing and maintaining, or even increasing, actual fiber applications (Amaducci et al., 2008c, 2015; Tang et al., 2016, 2017). Hemp processability, or improved decorticability, was a breeding target for yellow stemmed varieties, as Chamaeleon (Toonen et al., 2004; Bennett et al., 2006) and Carmaleonte (Grassi and McPartland, 2017). In Europe, hemp stems are processed mostly in the “disordered line” (Amaducci and Gusovious, 2010) producing not aligned fiber bundles (often not systematically and incorrectly identified as “technical fiber”) (Carus et al., 2013) used in the automotive industry (Taylor et al., 2005; Pecenka et al., 2009; Müssig, 2010) and for the production of paper (Angelova et al., 2004; González-Garcia et al., 2010) and bio-based composites (Saleem et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2013). Several authors have investigated the production of long hemp (Figure 1) processed with flax scutching and hackling machines, the so-called “longitudinal line” (Amaducci and Gusovious, 2010), for textile and high-added value applications (Amaducci, 2005a; Turunen and van der Werf, 2006; Amaducci et al., 2008b; Müssig, 2010). The possibility to obtain long aligned fiber bundles from hemp is limited by the lack of dedicated harvesting machines that can mow hemp stems, lay them on the field in aligned swaths and cut them in 1 m long portions, so that they can be fed in flax scutching lines (Amaducci, 2003; Venturi et al., 2007; Amaducci and Gusovious, 2010). Further bottlenecks, that hamper the production of long hemp suitable for traditional wet spinning, are the retting process and the availability of processing machines suitable for hemp. Retting is a biochemical process, in which enzymes produced by microorganisms attack the pectins that glue together fiber cells, aiding the separation of fiber bundles within the bast fiber and of shives from bast fiber (Booth et al., 2004; Akin, 2010). Microbiological retting can be carried out in water (water retting) or on the soil (dew retting). In the past, the retting process was carried out in open water basins. Nowadays water-retting is considered to have a high environmental impact, due to high water use (Turunen and van der Werf, 2006) and high oxygen demand (BOD) of the waste waters (Keller et al., 2001). The impact of water retting is reduced in the case of controlled warm water retting, where the retting process is optimized with target bacterial inoculum (Di Candilo et al., 2010) and performing retting on un-retted scutched hemp (Amaducci et al., 2008a; Zatta et al., 2012). Dew retting, instead, is carried out on the field, where the stems are left after harvesting, mainly by fungi without the use of water and only relying on rain or air humidity (dew). This process, widespread in Europe for its low economic impact (Jankauskiene et al., 2015), is strictly dependent on microclimatic conditions (Thomsen et al., 2005) and produces un-homogeneous results. The influence of uncontrollable microclimatic conditions on the dew retting process is extremely high: over-retting and under-retting can occur frequently, affecting hemp fiber quality (Müssig and Martens, 2003; Jankauskiene et al., 2015). In order to avoid degradation of cellulose, a mixture of selected enzymes or fungi can be spread on the stems (Liu et al., 2017) resulting in a reduced dew retting duration characterized by a low cellulosic activity. Harvesting technique (Müssig and Martens, 2003), retting method (Placet et al., 2017) as well as agronomic practice (Amaducci et al., 2002a,b, 2005b, 2008a; Westerhuis et al., 2009) and genotype (Sankari, 2000; Amaducci et al., 2002a,b, 2008b; Westerhuis et al., 2009; Jankauskiene et al., 2015; Müssig and Amaducci, 2018) are important determinant of fiber quality, that affect the possibility to use hemp fiber for high-added value application as textile and high performance bio-based composites. In the frame of the Multihemp project a longitudinal long fiber bundle extraction process (Amaducci and Gusovious, 2010) was investigated, where long hackled hemp was used for high performance composites while the losses of this process...
FIGURE 1 | A schematic overview of the systematic nomenclature used in traditional hemp processing (adapted from Schnegelsberg, 1999).

(hackling tow) were used to investigate the preparation of mid performance bio-based composites.

In this paper, we investigated how hemp fiber quality, with particular reference to its suitability for high performance composites production, is affected by genotype (in particular comparing new yellow stemmed varieties to conventional ones), harvest time and retting method. Impregnated fiber bundle tests (Bensadoun et al., 2017) were carried out to compare composites and back-calculated fiber properties of hackled fiber bundles obtained from contrasting genotypes, harvest times and retting methods, and to compare hemp fiber performance with that of flax (Bensadoun et al., 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Trial, Microclimatic Condition, and Tested Variety

The field trial was carried out in Piacenza, Italy (45°N, 9°E, 60 m a.s.l.) in 2016 with four monoecious varieties (Table 1) planted in large-scale plots (700 m²). Three sub-plot of 20 m² were selected, for each variety, at emergence to carry out analysis relative to plant biometry, biomass yield and to collect the stems needed for lab-decortication measurements. Sowing was carried out on 18th of April using an experimental sowing machine (Hege 90, Hege Maschinen GmbH, Waldenburg, Germany) with an inter-row distance of 15 cm. All the plots were sown with a target density of 120 viable seeds m⁻² and, 35 days after sowing, were fertilized with 60 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen, as recommended in a previous study (Tang et al., 2017). To prevent water stress, irrigation was carried out twice (at the beginning and the end of July), distributing a total amount of 61 mm of water. Average temperature, between April and October, was 18.6°C. The total amount of rainfall in the same period was 255 mm. This research was carried out in the frame of the Multihemp project that promotes the use of hemp as a multipurpose crop, for the production of fiber and seeds. The target harvesting time in Multihemp was therefore at seed maturity, however, two (Carmaleonte and Futura 75) of the four varieties compared in this study (Table 1) were also harvested at full flowering to assess the influence of harvest time on the

| Variety | Breeder | Stem color | Harvest at female full flowering stage (H1) | Harvest at seed maturity stage (H2) |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Carmaleonte | Istituto sperimentale per le colture industriali (CREA-CIN), Bologna (IT) | Yellow | 17/08/2016 | 20/09/2016 |
| Fédora 17 | Fédération Nationale des Producteurs de semences de Chanvre (FNPC), Le Mans (FR) | Green | / | 07/09/2016 |
| Furura 75 | Fédération Nationale des Producteurs de semences de Chanvre (FNPC), Le Mans (FR) | Green | 19/08/2016 | 20/09/2016 |
| Ivory | Vandijke Research BV, Scheemda (NL) | Yellow | / | 07/09/2016 |
suitability of hemp fiber for the production of high performance composites.

**Harvesting and Fiber Processing**

Harvesting was carried out using a mower (FB-940, Gaspardo Campodarsego, Italy) mounted on a tractor (MF-240, Massey Ferguson, Duluth, GA, United States); the harvested plants were parallelized and cut at 10 and 110 cm starting from the base of the stem. 1-m stem portions were divided into two groups. The first group of stems, which will be referred to as "un-retted stems," were air-dried under a roof, paying attention that no retting of the stems occurred. The stems were then scutched and the resulting long scutched fiber bundles were bio-degummed. The second group was left in the field in an ordered windrow for dew retting and field drying. Dew retting, that was only carried out on stems of Carmaleonte and Futura 75, lasted 33 and 46 days after the first harvest (H1) and 21 and 35 days after the second harvest (H2), respectively. Retting degree was determined by expert assessment on the basis of stem color and by observing the level of separation of shives from bast fiber during manual breaking of the stems. Adverse weather condition in October (Figure 2) prevented stem drying and as a consequence stems collected at seed maturity (H2), of both varieties, were over retted and were discarded during the scutching process.

Scutching of un-retted and dew-retted stems was performed in collaboration with the companies Linificio e Canapificio Nazionale (Villa d’Almè, Italy) and Térre de Lin (Saint-Pierre-le-Viger, France) with a modern flax scutching line. After scutching, un-retted fibers bundles were warm water retted in the laboratories of the company Gruppo Fibranova (Pisa, Italy), with an innovative bio-degumming process (Amaducci et al., 2008a). This method is based on the creation and maintenance of a microbial retting population. Un-retted fiber...
batches were immersed in the retting liquor and bio-degummed for about 90 h keeping the liquor at \(30^\circ\text{C}\). The degree of fiber bundles separation was determined from visual and tactile evaluation by Gruppo Fibranova operator. The bio-degumming system was paired with a monitoring system for temperature, pH and oxidation-reduction potential of the liquor; average, minimum, and maximum for main bio-degumming parameters are summarized in Table 2. After bio-degumming, fiber bundles were washed with tap water to remove retting liquor residues (cleaning).

Hackling of dew-retted and bio-degummed fiber bundles was carried out in collaboration with Linificio e Canapificio Nazionale and Lietilinen (Kaunas, Lithuania) in an industrial discontinuous hackling system for flax (Linmack machine of Mackie International).

Ten stems, in three replicates per each sample, were processed using a lab-scaled hemp stem decorticator (Worthmann Maschinenbau GmbH, Bad Eltville-Harkebrügge, Germany) following the methodology described in Wang et al. (2018). All samples were conditioned at 20 ± 3°C and a relative humidity of 60 ± 5% for 48 h, before processing. Each stem was passed six times through the decorticator, composed by four pairs of profiled rolls. Sample mass was recorded before breaking \(m_0\), after two and six decortication steps \(m_1\) and \(m_6\) and after manual removing of residual shives attached to fiber bundles \(m_f\). Recorded data were used to calculate bast fiber content after decortication (BCD), shives content after decortication \(\chi\) and two decortication efficiency indexes relative to the amount of shives, on the total shives content, detached after two \(\eta_{\text{dec1}}\) and six \(\eta_{\text{dec2}}\) passages through the breaker (Wang et al., 2018).

### Fiber Analysis

Fineness of the scutched and hackled fiber bundles was measured using the Fineness Maturity Tester (FMT-Shirley) (Shirley Developments, Ltd., Stockport, England) (Müssig, 2001; Linger et al., 2002). Indirect fineness measurement with the FMT-Shirley device is carried out by extraction of the fiber surface by a flow of air. Shirley values are low when measuring coarse objects and high with fine objects. Before measurements, fiber bundles were conditioned for 24 h at 20°C and 65% relative humidity. A random sample of 4 g was weighted and tested twice with the FMT-Shirley at low-pressure (1 l min\(^{-1}\)) compression stage (PL). For each sample, three specimens were tested. A subsample of hackled hemp fiber bundles, was parallelized and cut for scanning electron microscope (SEM) preparation according to Slootmaker and Müßig (2010). Examinations were carried out using a JSM-6510 SEM (JEOL GmbH, Eching, Germany) at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. All specimens were mounted on aluminum holders using double-sided electrically conducting carbon adhesive and sputtered for 1 min, with approximately 50 mA, with a layer of gold prior to SEM observations using a Bal-Tec sputter coater type SCD 005 (Bal-Tec AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein).

### High Performance Composites Preparation and Test

The “impregnated fiber bundle test” (IBFT) (Bensadoun et al., 2017) was carried out using hackling hemp (Figure 1) samples from the varieties Carmaleonte and Futura 75. The IBFT was performed according to ISO 10618:2004 standard for carbon fiber following the modification proposed by Bensadoun et al. (2017) for long flax. The hackled fiber bundles were cut into a length of 25 cm in the middle part of the hackled fiber bundles. The samples were pre-dried at 60°C for 18 h and divided into six subsamples, each weighing 3.7 g. This value was calculated using a hemp fiber density of 1.48 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Li and Pickering, 2009) and a target fiber volume fraction of 50%. The fiber samples were placed in the mold cavity, where a vacuum film was previously laid to create a unidirectional composite (Bensadoun et al., 2017). The resin, 50 g of injection resin EPIKOTE® RIM 135 and 15 g of hardener EPIKURE® RIMH 137 (Lange + Ritter GmbH, Gerlingen, Germany), was poured on the top of the fibers. The vacuum film was then folded and the counter-mold was placed on the top and fixed using spacers for determining the sub-samples’ thickness. After 48 h of curing at room temperature, the sub-samples were demolded and post-cured in the heating oven (Memmert, Schwabach, Germany) at a temperature of 60°C for 18 h. Mass and dimensions of the rectangularly shaped composites were recorded to calculate the real fiber volume fraction. The measured fiber volume fraction (29.6 ± 1.6%) was normalized to 30%, after biometric measurements, using the rule of mixture (Gibson, 2016). The sub-samples length was reduced to 20 cm, cutting away the extremities, and a glass fiber reinforcement, 2 mm thick, was glued on each extremity to avoid slippage and to distribute the force applied on the sample by the clamps. These were cured for 24 h at room temperature followed by 14 h at 50°C. Tensile tests were performed along the longitudinal direction of the sub-sample after a conditioning period of 24 h at 23 ± 1°C and 50% RH ± 2%. Tensile properties of the composites were tested with a Zwick/Roell universal testing machine (Z200) equipped with a 20 kN load cell (Zwick/Roell, Ulm, Germany) with a displacement speed of 2 mm/min. The gauge length was 150 mm. Tests were followed by video extensometer (VideoXtens, Zwick, Ulm, Germany). The stiffness was calculated between 0 and 0.1% strain \(E_1\) and 0.3 and 0.5% strain \(E_2\) as reported by Bensadoun et al. (2017) for flax-composites tests. A SEM investigation was used to study the fracture surface of the composite samples.

### Statistical Analysis

Data recorded with the lab-scaled stem decorticator were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA, with a confidential interval of 95%. Because of the unbalanced dataset design (two varieties on four were harvested two times and only plants harvested at full flower were dew retted), comparison of mean between the
eight groups was carried out. Differences between each group were evaluated using the Tukey multiple comparison test. Bast fiber content, fineness and composites properties, measured on Carmaleonte and Futura 75, were analyzed with a two-way ANOVA with a confidential interval of 95%. The post hoc single-step multiple comparisons were done with Tukey method if the effect of one factor on a dependent variable was significant. Two separated analysis were carried out. (i) Harvest time effect was investigated on un-retted scutched fiber bundles that were subsequently bio-degummed, while (ii) retting treatment effect was assessed on plant material harvested at full flower (H1). The calculations were performed using the software R (R Core Team, 2012).

RESULTS

Average plant height was in all cases above 2 m. The shortest variety at seed maturity was Fédora 17, while Futura 75 was the tallest one (2310 and 3100 mm respectively). Stem length increased between harvest times, by 18.0 and 16.7% in Carmaleonte and Futura 75 respectively. Stem diameters ranged between 7 and 10 mm, the thinnest stems were found in Carmaleonte at full flowering and in Fédora 17 at seed maturity. Futura 75 had the thickest stems at seed maturity (10.3 mm). Stem biomass yield was highest in the 1st harvest, at full flowering (H1), for both varieties harvested at this stage (Carmaleonte and Futura 75, 13.5 and 12.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ respectively). At seed maturity, when the second harvest was carried out, total biomass ranged from 5.8 to 11.2 Mg ha$^{-1}$ while stem biomass ranged 3.9 to 8.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for Futura 75 and Ivory respectively. A decrease of plant density from the first to the second harvest time was observed in Carmaleonte and Futura 75 as a consequence of a severe attack of Fusarium wilt, which caused the premature senescence of the affected plants. The disease spread during the phase of seed ripening and the senescent plants remained in a standing position. Plants affected by wilt were discarded after harvesting and quality measurements were carried out on healthy stems only. Bast fiber content (%), separated from the shives with the lab-scaled hemp stem decorticator, was significantly different between groups ($p < 0.001$). The highest bast fiber content after decortication (BCD) was recorded in Ivory and the lowest in Fédora 17, both harvested only at H2 (Table 3, dotted bars in Figure 3). Initial decortication efficiency ($\eta_{\text{dec1}}$), namely the percentage of removed shives on total shives content after two decortication steps, ranged between 47.4 and 79.1% (Table 3). $\eta_{\text{dec1}}$ was lower in Fédora 17 than in Ivory, Carmaleonte (H2) and Futura 75 (H1) ($p < 0.001$). Total decortication efficiency ($\eta_{\text{dec2}}$), or the highest efficiency of mechanical decortication, ranged between 80.1 and 95.9%. The lowest $\eta_{\text{dec2}}$ was registered in Fédora 17 ($p < 0.001$). Shives content after decortication ($\chi$) ranged between 9.1 and 32.8% ($p < 0.001$). Despite total decortication efficiency not being significantly different between retting treatments in Futura 75 (H1), shives percentage on decorticated fiber was lowest in dew retted hemp (Table 3).

The yield of scutching hemp (hatched bars in Figure 3) on un-retted stems ranged between 3.5 and 14.7%, while it ranged from 2.1 to 15.6% in dew retted stems. The yield of scutched fiber bundles was higher for dew retted specimens of Carmaleonte compared to bio-degummed specimens, while it was the other way round for Futura 75.

Long scutched hemp obtained from un-retted stems was bio-degummed (dark gray bars in Figure 3). No differences were observed among varieties and between harvest times regarding the relative bio-degumming losses. On average, the loss of primary fiber mass and secondary fiber during bio-degumming was 27.8 ± 1.6%.

In order to refine and parallelise fiber bundles and make them suitable for high-value applications (bio-composites and textiles) all bio-degummed and dew retted fiber samples were hacked. The range of hackling yield was quite large with the highest value obtained for Carmaleonte H1 dew-retted and the lowest for Fédora 17 H2. Hackling yield (percentage of hackled hemp over feeding scutched retted hemp into the hackling device) was not influenced by variety and harvest time but only by retting treatment. On average bio-degummed hemp had a much lower hackling yield (25.0 ± 3.7%) than dew-retted hemp (48.5 ± 2.0%).

Composite properties are directly dependent on the mechanical properties of their constituents (fibers and matrix). The quality key figures of cellulosic fibers for high-added values applications are low density, fineness, high specific tensile strength, and stiffness (Placet, 2009). Considering the statistical analysis carried out on samples from Carmaleonte and Futura

### TABLE 3 | Bast fiber content (BCD in %), initial decortication efficiency ($\eta_{\text{dec1}}$ in %), total decortication efficiency ($\eta_{\text{dec2}}$ in %) and shives content after decortication ($\chi$ in %).

| Variety   | Harvest | Retting treatment | BCD   | $\eta_{\text{dec1}}$ | $\eta_{\text{dec2}}$ | $\chi$ |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Carmaleonte| H1      | Un-retted         | 31.1 ± 3.4 b | 59.4 ± 23.7 ab | 89.2 ± 10.8 bc | 17.0 ± 14.4 bc |
| Carmaleonte| H1      | Dew-retted        | 29.5 ± 3.6 bc | 61.2 ± 22.0 ab | 91.6 ± 7.9 bc | 15.3 ± 12.2 bc |
| Carmaleonte| H2      | Un-retted         | 30.4 ± 3.3 b | 63.0 ± 25.6 b | 91.8 ± 8.8 bc | 14.3 ± 12.8 bc |
| Fédora 17 | H2      | Un-retted         | 25.8 ± 3.5 d | 47.4 ± 23.2 a | 80.1 ± 14.5 a | 32.8 ± 19.1 a |
| Futura 75 | H1      | Un-retted         | 29.3 ± 4.1 bc | 63.9 ± 18.9 b | 89.0 ± 8.4 bc | 19.6 ± 12.3 b |
| Futura 75 | H1      | Dew-retted        | 27.6 ± 3.1 cd | 79.1 ± 11.7 b | 95.9 ± 4.1 c | 9.1 ± 8.1 c |
| Futura 75 | H2      | Un-retted         | 28.6 ± 4.0 bc | 60.6 ± 10.7 ab | 86.6 ± 9.2 b | 23.1 ± 13.1 ab |
| Ivory     | H2      | Un-retted         | 35.5 ± 3.3 a | 65.2 ± 19.7 b | 91.2 ± 6.2 bc | 13.4 ± 9.5 bc |

Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the $p = 0.05$ level by Tukey post hoc test.
FIGURE 3 | Yields of the different fiber extraction step in percentage of the 1-m hemp stem portion: bast fiber content (BCD), scutched fiber yield, bio-degummed fiber yield, and hacked fiber yield.

### TABLE 4 | Results of the two-way ANOVA used to investigate the effect of variety, harvest time, retting treatment and the interactions "variety × harvest" and "variety × retting treatment" on: bast fiber content (BCD), fineness of the scutched fiber bundles (\(F_s\)), fineness of the hackled fiber bundles (\(F_h\)), composites strength (\(\sigma\)), composites Young’s modulus in the interval of elongation 0–0.1% (\(E_1\)), composites Young’s modulus in the interval of elongation 0.3–0.5% (\(E_2\)), back calculated fiber strength (\(\sigma_f\)), back calculated fiber Young’s modulus in the interval of elongation 0–0.1% (\(E_{1f}\)) and back calculated fiber Young’s modulus in the interval of elongation 0.3–0.5% (\(E_{2f}\)).

| Variety | Harvest | VxH | Retting treatment | VxR |
|---------|---------|-----|------------------|-----|
| BCD     | 6.93–0.01 ** | 1.05–0.31 ns | 0.0–0.98 1.0 ns | 6.94–0.01 ** | 0.07–0.79 ns |
| \(F_s\) | 0.84–0.37 ns | 4.06–0.06 ns | 12.21–0.00 ** | 11.10–0.00 ** | 4.93–0.04 * |
| \(F_h\) | 24.32–8e–05 *** | 2.46–0.13 ns | 1.26–0.28 ns | 0.62–0.44 ns | 2.20–0.15 ns |
| \(\sigma\) | 0.43–0.52 ns | 6.95–0.02 * | 0.19–0.67 ns | 0.48–0.50 ns | 1.13–0.30 ns |
| \(E_1\) | 8.96–0.01 ** | 3.35–0.08 ns | 1.17–0.29 ns | 0.40–0.54 ns | 5.56–0.03 * |
| \(E_2\) | 31.71–1.6e–05 *** | 5.44–0.03 * | 3.30–0.08 ns | 3.69–0.07 ns | 7.66–0.01 * |
| \(\sigma_f\) | 0.43–0.52 ns | 6.95–0.02 * | 0.19–0.67 ns | 0.48–0.50 ns | 1.13–0.30 ns |
| \(E_{1f}\) | 8.96–0.01 ** | 3.35–0.08 ns | 1.17–0.29 ns | 0.40–0.54 ns | 5.56–0.03 * |
| \(E_{2f}\) | 31.71–1.6e–05 *** | 5.44–0.03 * | 3.30–0.08 ns | 3.69–0.07 ns | 7.66–0.01 * |

The significance values are indicated in bold. *\(p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

75 (for which all the combinations of treatments were available), the effect of genotype influenced most of the fiber quality traits analyzed in our research; only fineness of scutched fiber bundles (\(F_s\)), composites strength (\(\sigma\)) and back-calculated fiber strength (\(\sigma_f\)) were not significantly different between the two varieties (Table 4). The effect of harvest time was low and it was significant only for the following parameters: fineness of the scutched fiber bundles (\(F_s\)), strength (\(\sigma\), \(\sigma_f\)) and stiffness (\(E_1\), \(E_{1f}\) and \(E_2\), \(E_{2f}\)). The interaction variety × harvest time was significant only for the fineness of scutched fiber bundles (\(F_s\)) and stiffness (\(E_2\), \(E_{2f}\)). The retting treatment significantly affected BCD, fineness of the scutched fiber bundles (\(F_s\)) and stiffness (\(E_2\), \(E_{2f}\)). Variety × retting treatment interaction significantly affected fineness of the scutched fiber bundles and stiffness (\(E_1\), \(E_{1f}\) and \(E_2\), \(E_{2f}\)).

Bast fiber content after decortication for Carmaleonte and Futura 75 harvested at full flowering was affected by variety and retting treatment (Table 4). Carmaleonte had the highest BCD values: 31.1 ± 3.4% in un-retted stems and 29.5 ± 3.6% in dew retted stems. The effect of the retting treatment on BCD, described for Carmaleonte, was found also in Futura 75, with un-retted stems having BCD 6.5% higher than dew retted stems (29.3 ± 4.1 and 27.4 ± 3.4%, respectively). BCD was not significantly affected by harvest time.

The fineness of scutched and hackled fiber bundles, measured with the FMT-Shirley confirmed that scutched fiber bundles were...
coarser than hackled ones. Finesses of scutched fiber bundles increased significantly (higher PL values) in the second harvest only in Carmaleonte while no significant differences among harvest times were observed in Futura 75 (VxH, p < 0.05, Table 4). Dew-retted scutched fiber bundles were on average finer than un-retted scutched fiber bundles, especially in Carmaleonte. The fineness of hackled fibers bundles was significantly affected by variety, with the highest values found in Carmaleonte, in particular in dew retted fiber bundles, but it was not influenced by retting treatment and harvest time (Table 5).

The stress-strain curves of three composite samples, one for each combination of treatments [bio-degummed, harvested at full flowering (1) and at seed maturity (2) as well as dew retted, harvested at full flowering stage (3)] are presented for Carmaleonte and for Futura 75 (Figure 4). The stress intervals used for stiffness calculation (slope of the stress-strain curve) are highlighted, too (E1, E2).

The analysis of the strength (σ) at breaking point shows a significant effect of harvest time (Table 6) with an average value of 155 and 146 MPa in the first and in the second harvest, respectively. No significant differences were detected between varieties and retting treatments. Both stiffness values (E1 and E2) were significantly different between varieties. Carmaleonte had the highest stiffness in both of the strain intervals observed (E1 and E2). Harvest time significantly affected stiffness measurements in E2, it was higher in the 1st harvest than in the 2nd one. Stiffness was not affected by retting treatment; however, E1 and E2 in Futura 75 bio-degummed samples were significantly lower than in dew-retted ones (“variety × retting treatment,” p < 0.05). The same trends described for bio-composites properties were found in the back-calculated fiber properties (Table 7).

The comparison between the two sets of SEM pictures of hackled fiber bundles from Carmaleonte (Figure 5) and Futura 75 (Figure 6) confirmed the results of the FMT-Shirley analysis, which indicated that the finest fiber bundles are found in Carmaleonte. The analysis of composites fracture surface highlights the presence of air bubbles entrapped in the matrix, recognizable as dark-gray light edge spots; while the dark-black spots are the holes left by fiber bundles detached from the matrix.

**TABLE 5** Results of the statistical analysis on PL values of scutched fiber bundles (F1) and hackled fiber bundles (F2): “variety × retting treatment” (Bio-degummed and Dew retted) and “variety × harvest time” (H1: full flowering stage, H2: seed maturity stage).

| Variety | Retting treatment | F1 (± SE) | F2 (± SE) |
|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Carmaleonte | Bio-degummed | 5.1 ± 0.6 b | 10.6 ± 0.8 a |
| Futura 75 | Bio-degummed | 5.7 ± 0.5 ab | 9.2 ± 1.0 b |
| Average | Bio-degummed | 5.4 ± 0.6 b | 9.9 ± 1.1 ns |
| Carmaleonte | Dew-retted | 6.3 ± 0.4 a | 12.1 ± 1.4 a |
| Futura 75 | Dew-retted | 5.9 ± 0.7 ab | 9.0 ± 1.5 b |
| Average | Dew-retted | 6.1 ± 0.6 a | 9.0 ± 2.1 ns |

Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the p = 0.05 level by Tukey post hoc test.

**DISCUSSION**

To promote the use of hemp as a multipurpose crop, harvesting must be carried out at seed maturity, when the production of seeds can be coupled to that of fiber and shives (Amaducci...
FIGURE 5 | Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of the samples of the variety Carmaleonte: (A) H1 – bio-degummed, fiber bundle transverse section; (B) H1 – bio-degummed, composites fraction surface; (C) H2- bio-degummed, fiber bundle transverse section; (D) H2 – bio-degummed, composites fraction surface; (E) H1 – dew-retted, fiber bundle transverse section; (F) H1 – dew-retted, composites fracture surface.
FIGURE 6 | SEM micrograph of the samples of the variety Futura 75: (A) H1 – bio-degummed, fiber bundle transverse section; (B) H1 – bio-degummed, composites fraction surface; (C) H2 – bio-degummed, fiber bundle transverse section; (D) H2 – bio-degummed, composites fraction surface; (E) H1 – dew-retted, fiber bundle transverse section; (F) H1 – dew-retted, composites fraction surface.
et al., 2008b; Tang et al., 2016, 2017). Delaying stem harvesting until seed maturity poses issues related to stem processability and fiber quality (Keller et al., 2001; Amaducci et al., 2008a). Hemp fiber, obtained at seed maturity, is generally destined for lower value applications such as paper and pulp production (Berbert et al., 2001; Prade et al., 2012; Carus et al., 2013) or in fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites (Wötzel et al., 1999; Shahzad, 2011) using needle felts or felts as technical textiles (Carus et al., 2013). To sustain high-value fiber applications from multipurpose hemp, genotypes that can maintain superior fiber quality throughout the seed ripening phase are needed. In this study, yellow genotypes, that were bred to have improved processability and superior fiber traits (van den Broeck et al., 2008; Chandra et al., 2017) were compared to conventional commercial varieties. Comparison between yellow and conventional genotypes was carried out at lab scale, using a lab decorticator (Wang et al., 2018) and on an industrial scutching/hackling line that operates on flax.

The analysis carried out with the lab-scaled hemp stem decorticator indicates that yellow varieties had higher bast fiber content than the conventional ones, that in this study are represented by Futura 75 and Fédora 17 (Figure 3). BCD was higher in Carmaleonte than in Futura 75 (Table 4), while no differences were found between harvest times. In Fédora 17 bast fiber content and decortication efficiency were the lowest (Table 3); these results from lab-scaled decortication validate low scutching yield observed for this variety at industrial scale (Figure 3). On the contrary, the highest decortication efficiency of dew retted stems from Futura 75 is in contrast with the lowest scutching yield at industrial scale. During decortication, the rolls impress force on the shives causing their breaking, while the work of the scutching turbine system affects both shives and bast fiber.

### Table 6

| Variety | Retting treatment | σ | E₁ | E₂ |
|---------|------------------|---|----|----|
| Carmaleonte | Bio-degummed | 163 ± 19.7 ns | 19.5 ± 1.3 a | 12.6 ± 1.0 a |
| | Dew-retted | 176 ± 7.9 ns | 18.2 ± 1.4 a | 12.3 ± 1.0 a |
| Futura 75 | Bio-degummed | 173 ± 25.2 ns | 16.8 ± 1.6 b | 10.2 ± 0.7 b |
| | Dew-retted | 170 ± 12.3 ns | 19.2 ± 2.9 a | 11.9 ± 0.9 a |
| Average | Bio-degummed | 168 ± 22.1 ns | 18.2 ± 2.0 ns | 11.4 ± 1.5 ns |
| | Dew-retted | 173 ± 10.3 ns | 18.7 ± 2.2 ns | 12.1 ± 0.9 ns |

Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the p = 0.05 level by Tukey post hoc test.

### Table 7

| Variety | Retting treatment | σ | E₁ | E₂ |
|---------|------------------|---|----|----|
| Carmaleonte | Bio-degummed | 420 ± 65.5 ns | 58.1 ± 4.4 a | 34.9 ± 3.4 a |
| | Dew-retted | 462 ± 26.3 ns | 53.5 ± 4.7 a | 33.9 ± 3.2 a |
| Futura 75 | Bio-degummed | 452 ± 84.0 ns | 49.0 ± 5.4 b | 26.9 ± 2.3 b |
| | Dew-retted | 443 ± 41.1 ns | 56.8 ± 9.7 a | 32.6 ± 3.0 a |
| Average | Bio-degummed | 436 ± 73.7 ns | 53.5 ± 6.7 ns | 30.9 ± 5.0 ns |
| | Dew-retted | 453 ± 34.4 ns | 55.2 ± 7.5 ns | 33.2 ± 3.0 ns |

Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the p = 0.05 level by Tukey post hoc test.
Industrial scutching of un-retted stems from two yellow varieties (Carmaleonte and Ivory) and two conventional varieties (Futura 75 and Fédora 17), confirmed that the trait yellow stem is an efficient indicator of stem processability. In particular, the yield of scutched fiber bundles was higher in yellow varieties than in conventional ones. These results are in line with those reported by Toonen et al. (2004). The decrease of long scutched hemp yield observed between harvests in Carmaleonte and Futura 75 is not in line with the results of BCD analysis. The decrease in scutched fiber yield from the first to the second harvest time could be related to the development of secondary fibers resulting in increased mechanical resistance of the stems (Liu et al., 2015).

The comparison of scutching yield between un-retted and dew-retted stems confirms that after retting, stems are easier to decorticate (Munder et al., 2004). This is a consequence of the reduction of non-cellulosic components that bond bast fibers to shives and bundles of primary fiber, which in turn decreases the resistance to mechanical processing (Tamburini et al., 2003). However, adverse weather conditions during dew retting can result in over-retting: stems are left too long on the field and cellulolytic enzymes secreted by the microbiota damage fibers reducing long hemp yield (Ribeiro et al., 2015). In this study, for Futura 75, high air humidity, high dew point temperature and low air temperature (Figure 2) favored microbial growth and hampered drying of the stems as a consequence scutching yield was extremely low (2%).

The percentage of hackled fiber bundles obtained from retted samples was similar among varieties, while differences were found between retting treatments. The lower hackling yield obtained with bio-degumming than with dew retting was probably a consequence of the entanglement of fiber bundles that happened during the bio-degumming phase and particularly during the final washing step. The entanglements formed in the fiber bundles reduced the efficiency of the hacking process and decreased significantly hackling yield.

Generally, our investigation confirms the suitability of flax fiber extraction lines for the extraction of long hemp for high-added value applications (Amaducci, 2005a; Turunen and van der Werf, 2006; Amaducci et al., 2008b). In the presented work the scutching and hacking machines were not adjusted for hemp stem; in particular, the scutching line was programmed on a strong set up for flax stem to minimize the presence of residual shives on fiber bundles. A suitable adjustment of the processing machines for hemp stems may improve long hemp yield and quality since hemp stems are thicker compared with flax stems and are subjected to high mechanical stress.

For the production of high-quality fiber for industrial applications, fineness is another desirable trait (Müssig and Martens, 2003; Müssig and Amaducci, 2018). Hackled fiber bundles, as expected, are finer than scutched ones (Table 5). The main purpose of scutching is to remove the shives from the bast fiber bundles, while the refining of bast fiber bundles is carried out during hackling (Bos, 2004; Westerhuis et al., 2009). Fineness of scutched fiber bundles was affected by the retting treatment; in general, dew retted scutched fiber bundles were finer than un-retted one because of the separation among bast fiber bundles provided by the digestion of the middle lamella (Abot et al., 2013). Harvest time affected fineness of scutched specimens only in Carmaleonte (VxH, \( p < 0.01 \)) with finer fiber bundles in the second harvest. The fineness of the hackled fiber bundles was affected by genotype, while no significant differences were observed between harvest times and retting treatments. Fiber bundles from the yellow variety Carmaleonte had higher Shirley values than from Futura 75.

The IFBT for strength at breaking point of the hemp epoxy composites shows a significant effect of harvest time (Table 6) with a decrease of strength at breaking point in the second harvest, in agreement with what was reported by Liu et al. (2015). However, strength at breaking point is more variable at full flowering than at seed maturity in both varieties. Composites and back-calculated fiber properties found in our study were inferior to those obtained in flax using the IFBT method in five different laboratories, as reported by Bensadoun et al. (2017). However, our results are in line with the back-calculated tensile strength of 464 MPa and back-calculated stiffness of 60.5 GPa in the same laboratory and using the same technique for hackled flax fiber bundles (Bensadoun et al., 2017). Moreover, the analysis of the SEM pictures of the hemp-composites fracture surface suggests the possibility to improve composites preparation reducing the bubbles in the matrix and increasing fiber-matrix bounding (Shahzad, 2011). Air-filled cavities in the matrix of the composite (Madsen et al., 2009) can be removed with an under vacuum system, vacuum infusion and compression molding as described by Liu et al. (2016). Interfacial bonding between fiber and matrix can be improved removing non-cellulosic components and increasing fiber bundles separation (Li et al., 2009).

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, a longitudinal hemp line for textile and high-added value applications was investigated. Results obtained at industrial level confirm that yellow stem varieties have higher fiber extraction efficiency than conventional ones. The fineness of hackled fiber bundles was affected by genotype, and was highest in the yellow variety Carmaleonte, but it was not affected by the retting treatment and harvest time. The IFBT technique was used to determine hackled fiber bundle properties by back-calculation from hemp-epoxy composites. Strength and stiffness were higher at full flowering than at seed maturity in both analyzed varieties. The results on composites and back-calculated fiber properties are comparable with those obtained from other authors with long hackled flax and hemp fiber bundles. In this study long hemp fiber bundles, having properties comparable to those of flax, proved to be suitable for high performance composites applications. In particular, these results were underscored for yellow varieties that had the highest decortication efficiency.
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