Ultrasound and Doppler US in Evaluation of Superficial Soft-tissue Lesions
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ABSTRACT

Improved developments in digital ultrasound technology and the use of high-frequency broadband transducers make ultrasound (US) imaging the first screening tool in investigating superficial tissue lesions. US is a safe (no ionizing radiation), portable, easily repeatable, and cheap form of imaging compared to other imaging modalities. US is an excellent imaging modality to determine the nature of a mass lesion (cystic or solid) and its anatomic relation to adjoining structures. Masses can be characterized in terms of their size, number, component, and vascularity with US and Doppler US especially with power Doppler US. US, however, is operator dependent and has a number of artifacts that can result in misinterpretation.

In this review, we emphasize the role of ultrasound, particularly power Doppler, in superficial soft-tissue lesions.
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INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of basic anatomy and pathology of joints and soft-tissues is essential for evaluation of soft tissue lesions. Power Doppler Ultrasound (PDUS) device with at least a 10 MHz linear transducer is used for joint assessment. Knowledge of the clinical indications, limitations of the technique, and adequate technical experience in US imaging is essential for proper diagnosis. Reliability of power Doppler technology is an issue and requires standardization of the technique. The results can be improved by reducing the three errors of power Doppler scanning: Machine-related error, operator error, and error in interpretation of the images generated.[1-5] Machine error can be reduced by standardizing machine parameters, such as the power Doppler gain, using a standard pulse repetition frequency (PRF), and by using the same equipment. Operator error can be reduced by having the same operator performing scanning, following the guidelines of musculoskeletal ultrasound scanning, and ensuring that operator uses minimal pressure on the tissue when recording the images for assessment as this minimizes power Doppler blanching.[1-4] Excessive pressure during examination can decrease power Doppler signal.[5] Error in image interpretation can be reduced by adequately training radiologists. During examination, low-wall filters and low PRF should be used for detection of low-velocity flows. If the lesion is located in an arm or a leg of a patient, the operator
must have the patient extend his/her arm/leg on a table. If the lesion is located in the neck or thoracoabdominal region of the patient the operator must have the patient hold his breath for a while to minimize movement artifacts.

SUPERFICIAL NON-TUMORAL LESIONS

The most common nontumoral lesions are benign cysts, posttraumatic, reactive, and inflammatory lesions. These lesions include synovial cysts, ganglion cysts, tenosynovitis, epidermoid cysts, and masses (abscess, necrosis, hematoma) that show cystic transformation.

Benign cysts

Benign cystic masses frequently emerge as localized swelling close to or adjacent to a joint. Synovial cysts are frequently associated with joints. The most frequent synovial cysts are popliteal or Baker’s cysts [Figure 1]. Power Doppler imaging can confirm the absence of vascular flow within the mass to exclude a popliteal artery aneurysm. They can rupture and give rise to symptoms like pain and swelling in the calf region. This clinical condition named as pseudothrombophlebitis may resemble deep venous thrombosis.[6] Baker’s cyst rupture is clinically important because of the difficulty in discriminating the clinical picture from deep vein thrombosis (DVT), which may lead to thromboembolic life-threatening complications. Ruptured Baker’s cyst can be differentiated from DVT by color Doppler ultrasound that shows a patent popliteal vein and artery and duplex Doppler scans that reveal a normal flow pattern. The second most frequent location of synovial cysts is the hip joint.

Ganglion cysts can occur anywhere. They commonly occur in the hand, wrist, foot, and ankle.[9] The most common cause of a palpable mass in the hand and wrist is a ganglion cyst. They are frequently seen in young women and nearly 10% of patients have a history of trauma.[9] These cysts typically contain mucin and approximately 60-70% of ganglion cysts are localized on dorsal part of the wrist. Dorsal ganglia usually originate from dorsal scapholunate ligament.[10] Approximately 20% of the ganglia arise on the volar side of the wrist.[11] Ganglia may extend with a thin neck into the joint space. Ganglia are frequently anechoic on US and demonstrate no vascularization on color Doppler US [Figure 2]. They can be easily aspirated with US guidance under local anesthesia followed by treatment with steroid injection.

Epidermoid cysts are clinically mostly seen as epithelial-lined cysts arising in the hair bearing areas of the human body. On sonography, epidermoid cysts are most often hypoechoic.[9] Lamellar sonographic pattern is diagnostic in epidermoid cysts.[10] These keratin-filled cysts may sometimes contain calcifications. When epidermal inclusion cysts rupture, they may show color Doppler signal, which can mimic vascularization in a solid mass.[12]

Post-traumatic masses

Muscle ruptures, hematomas, and tendon ruptures are usually identified by clinical history and physical examination. Partial rupture of the muscles can be demonstrated as a separate mass; secondary muscle hypertrophy can be seen in chronic cases.[10] Tendon ruptures in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and in other rheumatologic diseases with chronic synovitis may develop spontaneously or due to trauma [Figure 3]. Spontaneous rheumatoid-related tendon ruptures are almost always due to synovitis/pannus eroding the tendon. In case of rupture, normal tendon paths cannot be followed using US. Fluid collection can be seen in the neighborhood of the torn segment and large hematomas are very rare.[11] US may be helpful in imaging the proximal segment and in determining the location for surgical incision. The sonographic appearance of hematomas may differ according to the stage of the lesions. Myositis ossificans may occur secondary to trauma or surgery. In
myositis ossificans, calcifications are hyperechoic in US images and peripherally located. In these cases, there are no flow signals on PDUS examination.

**Reactive and inflammatory masses**

Inflammatory masses may be infectious or non-infectious in origin. Non-infectious reactive and inflammatory masses are neuromas, giant cell tumors of the tendon sheaths, foreign body granulomas, and rheumatoid nodules. Infectious inflammatory masses are abscesses, phlegmons, pyomyositis, or cellulitis.

Neuromas are a proliferative response to nerve injury. On US, neuromas appear as hypoechoic nodules and on color Doppler US, they typically show minimal vascularity.

Giant cell tumors of the tendon sheaths are the second most common masses after the ganglion cysts in the hand. They tend to be seen on the volar aspect of the fingers. On US, they are hypoechoic masses adjacent to the joints and on Doppler US they are highly vascular structures.

Foreign body granulomas emerge as hard and painful swellings. Penetrating traumas may or may not precede foreign body granulomas. In demonstrating the radiolucent foreign bodies (e.g., tree parts), US is superior to conventional radiography. Foreign bodies are usually hyper-echoic and surrounded by a hyperemic hypoechoic halo. Color Doppler demonstrates an increased vascularization surrounding the foreign body.

Rheumatoid nodules are present in nearly 20% of patients and seen as elongated hypoechoic nodules adjacent to tendons or within the tendon. Abscesses and cellulitis present with similar clinical findings. Differential diagnosis can be made easily by Doppler US. Abscesses are frequently seen as irregular-walled, septated, complex cystic lesions containing pus with debris or internal echoes inside. Prominent flow around the abscess on power Doppler confirms the diagnosis and is useful in differentiation it from organized hematomas. US-guided aspiration can be tested to confirm the diagnosis.

Tenosynovitis is inflammation of the sheath of tendons due to various etiologies. Rheumatoid arthritis is one of the most common inflammatory disease, which causes tenosynovitis. Acute suppurative tenosynovitis is often seen in the case of a penetrating injury and may be hematogenous in origin. Tenosynovitis can be diagnosed sonographically by fluid distending the tendon sheath and/or thickening of the sheath. Thickening of the tendon sheath may be diffuse and smooth or eccentric.
and nodular. During the active phase of inflammation, increased vascularization can be detected on color and PDUS\cite{10} [Figures 7 and 8].

**Scar (abdominal wall) endometriosis**
The scar (abdominal wall) endometriosis can be diagnosed easily based on history in patients who have undergone cesarean surgery or pelvic surgical operations. The mass in the region of the operation becomes more prominent during the menstrual period. On US, endometrial implants usually appear hypoechoic but may also appear hyper-vascular or avascular on Doppler US\cite{16}[Figure 9].

**Superficial neoplastic masses**
With US and Doppler US, superficial soft-tissue tumors can be evaluated in terms of echogenicity, size, vascularity, quantity, and relationship with neighboring structures. In some of the cases, specific diagnosis can be made. However, detailed characterization of the tumor requires further imaging with computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

---

**Figure 4:** Patient with Brucellosis. (a) Transverse sonogram shows 2 × 1 cm septated complex cystic mass (arrows) adjacent to 6th anterior rib (R). (b) Power Doppler image shows prominent flow around the lesion.

**Figure 5:** Right submandibular abscess. (a) Longitudinal sonogram shows 1 × 0.5 cm heterogeneous mass containing thick hyperechoic wall and septae in right submandibular gland (M). (b) Power Doppler image shows prominent flow around the lesion.

**Figure 6:** Cellulitis at right femoral region. Transverse sonogram shows thickening of subcutaneous tissues and increase in echogenicity.

---

**Benign neoplastic masses**

**Lipomas**
Lipomas are benign soft-tissue masses frequently localized subcutaneously, intramuscularly, and intermuscularly. They appear hypovascular, homogenous, and predominantly hyper-isoechoic to surrounding fat tissue on US [Figure 10]. They can be seen as encapsulated masses within the subcutaneous fatty tissue. Diagnosis of a lipoma with US should be made carefully because angiolipomas and low-grade liposarcomas containing both benign and malignant fat tissue may mimic lipomas."\cite{10}

**Fibromatosis**
Superficial fibromatosis is a benign condition characterized by fibrous proliferations, which are typically slow-growing subcutaneous lesions. On US, they appear hypoechoic and show variable vascularity.\cite{17}

**Extra-abdominal desmoid tumors**
Extra-abdominal desmoid tumors are locally aggressive fibroblastic tumor arising from the connective tissue of muscle and its overlying aponeurosis or fascia of the extra-abdominal muscles.\cite{18} They do not have metastatic potential, but they have a high incidence (87%) of recurrence and locally aggressive growth pattern.\cite{19} On US, they appear as infiltrative, hard, and heterogeneous masses. Typically, sonography shows variable degrees of vascularity.\cite{18}
Myxomas
Soft-tissue myxomas can be seen in association with fibrous dysplasia. On US, they appear as soft, fluctuating hypoechoic masses. They are encapsulated and often contain cystic component.

Nerve sheath tumors
Benign nerve sheath tumors are schwannomas and neurofibromas. Schwannomas tend to be hypoechoic, round, and well-defined masses on US that taper distally and have an eccentric cystic component. Neurofibromas often appear elongated and parallel to the long axis of the nerve. Both tumors show vascularization on Doppler US.[20,21] [Figure 11].

Pilomatricoma
Pilomatricoma is a benign tumor that is thought to arise from skin appendages. [22] Although pilomatrictoma accounts for less than 1% of skin tumors, it is the most common solid cutaneous tumor in patients aged 20 and younger, [23] with a secondary peak occurrence among mature adults (age: 50-65 years). The most common locations are head, neck, face, and arms. [23,24] On sonography, pilomatricoma frequently appears as a subcutaneous mass containing internal echogenic foci, internal calcifications, and hypoechoic rim. Calcification, which is more typically central, is seen in about 85% of the lesions. Internal vascularity is seen in 50% of these lesions [Figure 12].

Vascular malformations
Hemangiomas are frequently seen vascular malformations and constitute 7% of all soft-tissue masses. They are seen more frequently in women. Most commonly diagnosed soft-tissue tumors in pediatric age group are hemangiomas.[25] Capillary hemangiomas are the most common form and often spontaneously regress.[10] Cavernous hemangiomas are larger than capillary hemangiomas and often localized intramuscularly. They occur in the later stages of life and no spontaneous regression is observed. On US, prominent vascular channels, phleboliths and fat can be seen [Figures 13 and 14]. Arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) can be differentiated from hemangiomas as they show high vascularization on Doppler US. Mean peak venous velocity in hemangiomas is significantly lower than in AVMs.[26]

Malignant masses
Malignant superficial soft-tissue masses are primary or metastatic neoplasms and the incidence of sarcomas

Figure 8: Nonspecific tenosynovitis of flexor carpi radialis. (a) Longitudinal and (b) transverse Doppler US images show vascularization in and around the heterogeneous soft tissue mass. There is also extensive synovitis and fluid (f) and around the tendon.

Figure 9: Abdominal wall scar endometriosis. (a) Transverse sonogram shows irregular shaped, hypoechoic solid mass in the subcutaneous fat. (b) Power Doppler sonography shows absence of vascularization.

Figure 10: Lipoma. The patient had a painless soft-tissue mass over the right neck. Doppler US shows a 3 × 1 cm soft-tissue mass (arrowheads) within the subcutaneous fat with similar echogenicity to the adjacent fat, without vascularization.
increases with age. The most common malignant sarcoma in first two decades is rhabdomyosarcoma and in fifth to seventh decades is malignant fibrous histiocytoma.\textsuperscript{[27]} On US, malignant soft-tissue tumors commonly appear hypoechoic and hyper-vascular and can be well-defined. Cystic components, areas of necrosis, and dystrophic calcifications may also be seen. Tumor vascularity correlates with the degree of neoangiogenesis. Many authors...
have suggested that color and PDUS may be useful in differentiation of benign and malignant tumors and in staging of malignant tumors \cite{28-30}. Absence of flow are observed only in the benign lesions and the negative predictive value is high. The presence of flow is not very discriminative and can be seen in both benign and malignant lesions. Hyperemia is not a constant feature of all malignant tumors. Again, absence of hyperemia is not a decisive feature for all benign tumors. Linear and regular course of the vessels are more suggestive of benignity, whereas scattered and irregular course of the vessels and sudden change in diameter of the vessel might be associated with irregular tumor angiogenesis and suggestive of malignancy.\cite{31}

The use of resistive index (RI) was not found to be successful in differentiation of malignity and benignity.\cite{32} Also, diastolic and venous velocities and pulsatility index (PI) were not found to be useful in distinguishing between malignant and benign lesions. Mean systolic peak flow velocity was found to be higher in malignant tumors.\cite{28,33,34} Mean peak velocity was found to 27 cm/s in benign lesions, whereas mean peak velocity in malignant lesions was 55 cm/s. Flow velocities greater than 50 cm/s have been reported to be useful in distinguishing benign from malignant lesions.\cite{31} Although spectral analysis is not beneficial all the time, it is correlated with overall vascularization of the tumor. Color
Doppler US is an important imaging modality in follow-up of response to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. On color Doppler US, the presence or absence of Doppler flow, number of vessels in the mass, orientation, and course of the vessels in the lesion (regular or irregular, linear or tortuous) and the presence or absence of sudden change in diameter of vessel are used as a criterion. Increased vascularization (three or more), irregular distribution of tumoral vessels, tortuosity, the presence of spotted flow, and the presence of sudden change in vessel diameter are indicative of malignancy. Diagnosis of malignant soft-tissue tumor is done when at least two of these findings are present. Using these criteria, color Doppler US provided 85% sensitivity, 88% specificity, 91% negative predictive value, 80% positive predictive value, and 87% accuracy in distinguishing malignant tumors from benign soft-tissue tumors. Flow was observed in all of the 20 malignant lesions, and 3 or more vessels were present in 17 of them (85%) in the study by Belli et al. Another study reported that color Doppler US could not distinguish malignant masses from benign masses, but spectral analysis was found to be useful for distinguishing acute inflammatory diseases (that show reduced impedance) from neoplasms (that show increased impedance). Ultrasonographic appearance of benign and malignant lesions may show similar features. Color Doppler and spectral Doppler data are more useful in differentiating these lesions [Figure 16]. US plays an important role in biopsy of malignant lesions. A study has demonstrated that percutaneous core needle biopsy with sonographic guidance yields highly concordant histopathologic findings of tumors as compared with surgical biopsy. Sonography can also be used for following the therapeutic response and recurrence with a relatively high accuracy.

**CONCLUSION**

Ultrasound and power Doppler ultrasound (PDUS) are practical and useful imaging modalities, which also have the advantage of being low in cost, easily available, and highly efficient in the assessment and monitoring of rheumatic disease and other soft tissue lesions.

**REFERENCES**

1. Joshua F, de Carla R, Raymont M, Bryant C, Shnier R, Edmonds J, et al. Power Doppler ‘blanching’ after the application of transducer procedure. Australs Radiol 2005;49:18-21.
2. Brown AK, O’connor PJ, Roberts TE, Wakefield RJ, Karim Z, Emery P. Recommendations for musculoskeletal ultrasonography by rheumatologists: Setting global standards for best practice by ecepr consensus. Arthritis Rheum 2005;53:83-92.
3. Bachaus M, Bumreseter GR, Gerber T, Grass W, Machold KP, Swen WA, et al. Guidelines for musculoskeletal ultrasound in rheumatology. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:641-9.
4. Naredo E, Bijlisma JW, Conaghan PG, Abebes C, Balint P, Bernet-Hammer H, et al. Recommendations for the content and conduct of European League against rheumatism (EULAR) musculoskeletal courses. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1017-22.
5. Toprak H, Kìle E, Serter A, Kocakoc A, Ozgocmen S. Doppler US in rheumatic disease with special emphasis on rheumatoid arthritis and spondyloarthritis. Diagn Interv Radiol 2014;20:72-7.
6. Taurino M, Rizzo L, Stella N, Mastroddi M, Conteduca F, Maggiore C, et al. Doppler ultrasonography and exercise testing in diagnosing a popliteal artery adventitial cyst. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 2009;7:23.
7. Ozgocmen S, Kaya A, Kocakoc E, Kamanli A, Ardicoglu O, Ozkurt-Zengin F. Rupture of Baker’s cyst producing pseudothrombophlebitis in a patient with Reiter’s syndrome. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2004;20:600-3.
8. Ozgocmen S, Kocakoc E, Kiris A, Ardicoglu O. Pseudothrombophlebitis in a patient with Behcet’s syndrome: Doppler ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging findings. Clin Rheumatol 2002;21:60-2.
9. Teh J, Whiteley G. MRI of the soft tissue masses of the hand and wrist. Br J Radiol 2007;80:47-63.
10. Hwang S, Adler RS. Sonographic evaluation of the musculoskeletal soft tissue masses. Ultrasound Q 2005;21:259-70.
11. Middleton WD, Teevey SA, Boyer ML. Sonography of the hand and wrist. Ultrasound Q 2001;17:21-36.
12. Lee HS, Joo KB, Song HT, Kim YS, Park DW, Park CK, et al. Relationship between sonographic and pathologic findings in epidermoid inclusion cysts. J Clin Ultrasonogr 2001;29:374-83.
13. Suresh SS, Zaki H. Giant cell tumor of tendon sheath: Case series and review of literature. J Hand Microsurg 2010;2:67-71.
14. Fornage BD. Soft tissue masses: The underutilization of sonography. Semin Musculoskeletal Radiol 1999;3:115-34.
15. Pisetsky DS, Ward MM. Advances in the treatment of inflammatory arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2012;26:251-61.
16. Hensen JH, Van Breda Vriesman AC, Puylaert JB. Abdominal wall endometriosis: Clinical presentation and imaging features with emphasis on sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008;186:616-20.
17. Wang Y, Tang J, Luo Y. Sonographic diagnosis of fibromatosis. J Clin Ultrasonogr 2008;36:330-4.
18. Lee JY, Kim SM, Fessell DP, Jacobson JA. Sonography of the benign palpable masses of the elbow. J Ultrasound Med 2011;30:113-9.
19. Robin M, Murphey MD, Temple HT, Krasdorf MJ, Choi JJ. Imaging of musculoskeletal fibromatosis. Radiographics 2001;21:585-600.
20. Tsai WC, Chioi HJ, Chou YH, Wang HK, Chious SY, Chang CY. Differentiation between schwannomas and neurofibromas in the extremities and superficial body: J Ultrasound Med 2008;27:161-6.
21. Reynolds DL, Jacobson JA, Inampudi P, Jamadar DA, Ebrahim FS, Heyes CW. Sonographic characteristics of peripheral nerve sheath tumors. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2004;182:741-4.
22. Wickremaratchi T, Collins CM. Pilomatrixoma or calcifying epithelioma of Malherbe invading bone. Histopathology 1992;21:79-81.
23. Marrogi AJ, Wick MR, Dehner LP. Pilomatrixical neoplasms in children and young adults. Am J Dermatopathol 1992;14:87-94.
24. Choo HJ, Lee SJ, Lee YH, Lee JH, Oh M, Kim MH, et al. Pilomatrixomas: The diagnostic value of ultrasound. Skeletal Radiol 2010;39:243-50.
25. Olsen KI, Stacy GS, Montag A. Soft-tissue cavernous hemangioma. Radiographics 2004;24:849-54.
26. Paltiel HJ, Burrows PE, Kozakewich HP, Zurakowski D, Mulliken JB. Soft tissue vascular anomalies: Utility of US for diagnosis. Radiology 2000;214:747-54.
27. Blacksin MF, Ha DH, Hameed M, Aisner S. Superficial soft-tissue masses of the extremities. Radiographics 2006;26:1289-304.
28. Dock W, Grabenwöger F, Metz V, Einberger K, Farres MT. Tumor vascularization: Assessment with Duplex sonography. Radiology 1991;181:241-4.
29. Giovagnorio F, Andreoli C, De Cicco ML. Color Dopplersonography of focal lesions of the skin and subcutaneous tissue. J Ultrasound Med 1999;18:89-93.
30. Iovane A, Midiri M, Caruso G, Princiotta C, Lagalla R. Potential uses of color Doppler in periskeletal soft tissue neoplasms. Radiol Med 1997;94:583-90.
31. Belli P, Constantini M, Mirk P, Maresca G, Priolo F, Marano P. Role of color Doppler sonography in the assessment of musculoskeletal soft tissue masses. J Ultrasound Med 2000;19:823-30.
32. Kaushik S, Miller TT, Nazarian LN, Foster WC. Spectral Doppler sonography of musculoskeletal soft tissue masses. J Ultrasound Med 2003;22:1333-6.
33. Schick S, Steiner E, Ghaletnner A, Böhm P, Helbich T, Ba-Ssalamah A, et al. Differentiation of benign and malignant tumors of the parotid gland: Value of pulsed Doppler and color Doppler sonography. Eur Radiol 1998;8:1462-7.
34. Hata K, Hata T, Kitao M. Intratumoral peak systolic velocity as a new possible predictor for detection of adnexal malignancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1995;172:1496-500.
35. Ozbek SS, Arkun R, Killi R, Memis A, Dagdeviren A, Sevinc E. Image-directed color Doppler ultrasonography in the evaluation of superficial solid tumors. J Clin Ultrasound 1995;23:233-8.
36. Liu JC, Chiou HJ, Chen WM, Chou YH, Chen TH, Chen W, et al. Sonographically guided core needle biopsy of the soft tissue neoplasms. J Clin Ultrasound 2004;32:294-8.