Breast carcinomas rarely occur in young women. About 2% of the patients with breast cancer are below 35 years. Breast cancer at young age has been reported to have a more aggressive behavior and unfavorable prognosis compared to the older patients. In breast cancer, young refers to women 40 years and below. In young women, the incidence of the disease is low (less than 17 cases per 100,000) women or less than 6% of all breast cancers among women of any age. However, accumulating evidence suggests that breast cancer in this age group is more aggressive and associated with poorer outcome than in their older counterparts. Although some reports have identified young age at diagnosis as an adverse prognostic indicator, this could be ascribed to a combination of factors, including delayed presentation, advanced disease stage, and unfavorable tumor characteristics.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the clinicopathological characteristics of breast carcinoma below 40 years in comparison to older patients in Manipur, India.

Materials and Methods
This was a retrospective study done from the period of January 2005 to December 2010 in the Department of Pathology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur.

Results
Out of the total 507 mastectomy specimens, 160 cases (31.56%) were below 40 years and 347 (68.44%) were above 40 years of age. Stage III was the commonest stage (47% versus 18%) in the younger group; while in the older group, Stage II was the commonest (64% versus 34%).

Conclusion: This study shows that young females with breast cancer have higher stage of tumor.
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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer among young women is a rare pathology, but is known to have a more aggressive behavior and poorer outcome. Aims: The objective of this study was to evaluate the clinicopathological characteristics of breast carcinoma below 40 years in comparison to that over 40 years in Manipur, India. Setting and Design: This retrospective study from the period of January 2005-December 2010 was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur. Materials and Methods: All cases of mastectomy specimens of carcinoma breast from the period of January 2005-December 2010 were included in the study. Clinical and histopathological data of all cases were reviewed and correlated. Results: A total of 507 mastectomy specimens were received, among which, 160 cases (31.56%) were below 40 years; whereas 347 cases (68.44%) were above 40 years of age. Stage III was the commonest stage (47% versus 18%) in the younger group; while in the older group, Stage II was the commonest (64% versus 34%). Conclusion: This study shows that young females with breast cancer have higher stage of tumor.
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Introduction

Breast cancers rarely occur in young women. About 2% of the patients with breast cancer are below 35 years. Breast cancer at young age has been reported to have a more aggressive behavior and unfavorable prognosis compared to the older patients. In breast cancer, young refers to women 40 years and below.

In young women, the incidence of the disease is low (less than 17 cases per 100,000) women or less than 6% of all breast cancers among women of any age. However, accumulating evidence suggests that breast cancer in this age group is more aggressive and associated with poorer outcome than in their older counterparts. Although some reports have identified young age at diagnosis as an adverse prognostic indicator, this could be ascribed to a combination of factors, including delayed presentation, advanced disease stage, and unfavorable tumor characteristics.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the clinicopathological characteristics of breast carcinoma below 40 years in comparison to older patients in Manipur, India.

Materials and Methods
This was a retrospective study done from the period of January 2005 to December 2010 in the Department of Pathology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur.

All the patients who were diagnosed as breast carcinoma and underwent mastectomy were included. All cases were reviewed and the relevant clinical data were collected and the histopathology slides were reviewed. Patients were grouped in two age groups as below and above 40 years. All data including family history, clinical presentation, pathological type, and TNM stages were compared. Exclusion criteria included (a) the patients who were diagnosed as in situ cases, (b) patients who were diagnosed as breast carcinoma on fine needle aspiration cytology, but were lost to follow up, and (c) male breast cancer. Ethical clearance from the institutional ethical committee was obtained.

The data were analyzed using tables and percentages and the results obtained formed the basis of the discussion. The outcomes of breast cancer between the two age groups were analyzed using Pearson Chi-square test with the help of the statistical software “SPSS-20”.

Results
Out of the total 507 mastectomy specimens, 160 cases (31.56%) were below 40 years and 347 (68.44%) were above 40 years. The year-wise distribution of cases in both the groups is shown in Table 1. Ductal carcinoma was the most common tumor followed by lobular carcinoma in both age groups. The various histopathological types of tumor in both the age groups are enumerated in Table 2. Comparing the nodal involvement and the size of the tumor mass between the two age groups, we found that the younger patients had greater number of nodes involved and a larger size of the tumor mass. TNM stage in both the age groups are compared and shown in Table 3. TNM stage III was the commonest stage in younger patients followed by stage II. However in contrast, older age groups showed lower stage at
presentation with maximum cases in stage II followed by stage III.

Patients attending the Regional Cancer Center of our institute were followed-up from the case files. Out of the 507 cases, 337 cases registered and the rest of the 170 cases were lost to follow-up. The reasons for lost to follow-up could be due to poor socioeconomic status or seeking treatment outside the state. Younger patients consisted about 122 cases and older patients constituted 215 cases. Among the younger patients, 24 cases (19.67%) had succumbed to the disease and 11 cases (9.02%) developed locoregional recurrence which included recurrence in the ipsilateral mammary glands, chest wall, or regional lymph nodes. Out of the 215 cases of older patients, 47 cases (21.86%) succumbed to the disease and 15 cases (6.97%) developed locoregional recurrence. However, statistical analysis between the two groups using Chi-square test showed no statistical significance \( (P = 0.431) \) [Table 5].

**Discussion**

Breast cancer in adolescence and early adulthood is a rare condition.\(^1\) While the median age at presentation is around 63 years in the US and western Europe, the median age at presentation in Lebanon is 48-52 years, similar to Mexico, Saudi Arabia, and the Palestinians; with an age standardized rate (ASR) of 46.7 per 100,000 in 1998.\(^2\) Because of continuing rise in breast cancer incidence, an urgent need to develop strategies for prevention is very much required. Younger age has been generally accepted as an independent adverse prognostic indicator of survival in breast cancer.\(^{13‑16}\)

Nevertheless, many reports suggest that the poor outcomes associated with this age group are complicated by several additional factors.\(^8,17,18\) Given the lack of routine screening programs for women younger than 40 years, it is not surprising that women in this age group are more likely to present with a palpable mass and that their tumor tends to be larger and are more likely to have nodal involvement, than tumors detected by screening.\(^8,17,19\) It has been determined that underlying tumor pathology such as higher tumor stage, nodal status, and presence of distant metastasis at diagnosis contribute to the worse outcome in breast cancer in women less than 40 years of age.\(^7,8,20,21\)

In this study, histopathological analysis showed that the majority of younger women were diagnosed with advanced stage tumors. Invasive ductal carcinoma was common in both groups. We found that 423 cases of the carcinomas were of ductal carcinoma (not otherwise specified [NOS]) type, 36 cases of lobular carcinomas, and eight cases were of medullary type. Invasive lobular carcinoma was more common in older women, a finding similar to that published in the literature.\(^22,23\)

A large proportion of the patients (85%) in this study presented with advanced disease.\(^3\) A review of the US National Cancer Database revealed that patients younger than 35 years had more advanced disease at diagnosis and a poorer 5-year survival rate than older premenopausal patients.\(^24\) Similar findings have been reported in the past.\(^1,5\)

Many studies across the globe have demonstrated that most of the breast tumors in young women are estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) negative indicating a poorer prognosis.\(^9,17,18\) More over the percentage of the known aggressive triple negative (ER, PR, human epidermal

---

**Table 1: Age grouping of female patients with breast cancer**

| Sl. No. | Year | Below 40 years \((n=160)\) | Above 40 years \((n=347)\) | Total  |
|--------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| 1      | 2005 | 27              | 54              | 81    |
| 2      | 2006 | 22              | 50              | 72    |
| 3      | 2007 | 36              | 61              | 97    |
| 4      | 2008 | 24              | 64              | 88    |
| 5      | 2009 | 24              | 53              | 77    |
| 6      | 2010 | 27              | 65              | 92    |
|        |      | 160 (31\%)      | 347 (68\%)      | 507   |

**Table 2: Histological types of all cases**

| Tumor types                      | Below 40 years \((n=160)\) | Above 40 years \((n=347)\) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Ductal carcinoma (not otherwise specified [NOS]) | 137 (85.62\%) | 290 (83.57\%) |
| Lobular carcinoma                | 13 (8.13%)      | 29 (8.36%)      |
| Medullary carcinoma              | 5 (3.12%)       | 8 (2.31%)       |
| Metaplastic carcinoma            | 2 (1.25%)       | 6 (1.73%)       |
| Malignant phylloides             | 2 (1.25%)       | 5 (1.44%)       |
| Papillary carcinoma              | 1 (0.6%)        | 4 (1.15%)       |
| Mucinous carcinoma               | -               | 3 (0.86%)       |
| Malignant spindle tumor          | -               | 2 (0.57%)       |

**Table 3: Table showing tumor size and nodal status of patients**

| Tumor size | Below 40 yrs \((n=160\)) (%) | Above 40 yrs \((n=347\)) (%) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| T1         | 19 (11.87)                    | 53 (15.27)                    |
| T2         | 40 (25.0)                     | 241 (69.45)                   |
| T3         | 93 (58.13)                    | 47 (13.55)                    |
| T4         | 8 (5.0)                       | 6 (1.73)                      |
| Nodal status |                               |                               |
| N0         | 21 (13.12)                    | 85 (24.49)                    |
| N1         | 38 (23.75)                    | 150 (43.23)                   |
| N2         | 61 (38.13)                    | 67 (19.31)                    |
| N3         | 40 (25)                       | 45 (12.97)                    |

**Table 4: TNM staging of all cases**

| TNM stage | Below 40 yrs \((n=160\)) (%) | Above 40 yrs \((n=347\)) (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| I         | 17 (11)                       | 52 (15)                       |
| II        | 55 (34)                       | 221 (64)                      |
| III       | 75 (47)                       | 64 (18)                       |
| IV        | 13 (8)                        | 10 (3)                        |
growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) neu) tumors were reported to be higher in young patients.\textsuperscript{[25]} Younger breast cancer patients have been reported to have germ line BRCA-1 or BRCA-2 mutations in 15-20% of the cases.\textsuperscript{[26,27]} These mutations are believed to be associated with higher grade, lack of ER receptor, and a higher proliferative rate.\textsuperscript{[21]} Poorer prognosis in younger patients could be due to lack of proper screening, delayed diagnosis, and advanced stage of the disease as also reported by Corpron et al.\textsuperscript{[28]} Data suggests that breast carcinoma in young women have different transcriptomic profile with about 63 genes specific tumors and integrative genomic analysis can pinpoint biomarkers for the detection of disease progression in young women.\textsuperscript{[29]} Assi et al., also highlighted that breast cancer in young women is associated with high grade tumors, hormone receptor negativity, and HER-2 neu overexpression. All these factors finally have a significant negative impact on the prognosis. Thus, more aggressive treatment modalities and follow-up needs to be considered for these groups of patients.\textsuperscript{[30]} The prevalence of triple negative in young women is reported to be low in a study in south India and these groups of patients are likely to be less responsive to the conventional hormonal and targeted antibody treatment.\textsuperscript{[31]} Few modifiable risk factors like rural residence, illiteracy, and low socioeconomic status have been reported. Other factors like late onset of menarche, late onset of menopause, ever oral contraceptive (OCP) usage, breast feeding for 1-2 years, and age of first childbirth between 20-30 years were thought to be protective factors.\textsuperscript{[32]}

A larger studies considering the immunomarker status and genetic studies is the need of the hour. This confirms the fact that young females can have breast cancer; hence the need to give serious attention to breast lumps in all females. Late presentation in cancer is common in our environment, but this is more pronounced in young patients with breast cancer. In conclusion, this study has shown a significant proportion of young females (those less than 40 years) with breast cancer presented with advanced stage. Breast cancer education, free breast cancer detection camps can help in creating awareness on this disease. Proper awareness and screening programs can promote early access to the treatment and thus the mass may give more insight in future.
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