Third Molar Iatrogenic Displacement to Maxillary Sinus: Clinical Case Report

Abstract
The relationship of the maxillary posterior teeth to the maxillary sinuses has important clinical connotations. One of the main risks is the rupture or perforation of the sinus membrane (Schneider) and the projection of the tooth involved in the dental extraction and procedures of surgical extraction of the roots. Rescue of a third upper molar within the maxillary sinus requires a sufficient access area, which allows visualization and extraction with ease, for this the surgical technique of the side window Caldwell-Luc is usually the first choice. The present article shows a clinical case of displacement to the maxillary sinus by iatrogeny during the extraction of a third molar, and its surgical resolution.
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Introduction
The maxillary sinus or also known as Highmore antrum is one of the four paranasal sinuses, which is the largest. It is a bilateral pyramidal structure located inside the maxillary body [1].

Physiology
Currently the literature reports specific functions of the maxillary sinus:

a. Resonance: it works as a sound box, however, further studies are needed to analyze the alteration of phonation in the presence of aplasia or sinus hypoplasia.

b. Decrease head weight: although in cases of sinus agenesis no alterations have been reported regarding the weight of the head.

c. Cleaning, humidification and heating: the mucociliary system contributes these characteristics thanks to three components that are the mucus, the ciliary movement and the periciliary fluid that through a movement of 10 to 15 shakes / second renew the mucus present in the respiratory mucosa in an estimated time of 10-15 minutes, in order to carry bacteria and particles present in the inhaled air. Participation in the olfaction process, as well as being part of the pneumatic reserve chambers of the nostrils [2-8].

Anatomical relationship of maxillary sinus regarding the third molar
One of the main risks is the tearing or perforation of the sinus membrane in procedures of dental extraction and surgical extraction of roots, in addition to the possibility of projecting during the procedure into the sinus cavity; the spread of infections of periodontal or endodontic origin and the projection of obturation material or medications towards the antrum of Highmore should be of concern. Another anatomical aspect is the proximity or roots that in some cases would result an expansion of the sinus to the alveolar process, decreasing the bone height and having repercussions for the placement of implants [9-15].

Displacement of third molar in sinusal cavity
Molina VG et al. report an incidence of complications associated with third molars between 4.6-30.9% intraoperative and postoperative, of which the projection to the maxillary sinus presents less frequency in comparison with infectious processes, paraesthesia, alveolitis or bleeding. The two main causes associated with this complication are excessive force and poor surgical technique [16].

Diagnosis
There are different radiographic examinations that allow the observation of a displaced tooth inside the maxillary sinus body, in which we find the occlusal radiographs, lateral cephalic, Waters radiography and first instance orthopantomography that allows to determine the relation of the third molar with the maxillary sinus, but being a two-dimensional image presents some margin of error, throwing imprecise data about the proximity of the tooth in question with the floor of the maxillary sinus, that is why, the support in a computed tomography will always be determinant; through a three-dimensional image, will provide more accurate data that, in the case of displacement of third molars within the maxillary sinus, provides the exact location of the tooth and if it is accompanied by alterations in the mucosa, providing a more specific prognosis and treatment [17-24].

Treatment
Some authors, such as Sverzut et al. [18], point out that it is more appropriate to use the Caldwell-Luc technique in the first surgical act, however, this act can be carried out in a second
attempt, avoiding a long period of time, to avoid symptomatology [18].

Caldwell-Luc window

The technique consists in raising a flap of total thickness, which generally extends from the canine to the first molar with a base in the back of the sac and with the help of a round or piezoelectric bur, a lateral window is made to access the maxillary sinus and to begin the exploration thereof to remove the displaced upper third molar. Once the tooth is removed, the stitches are closed and placed [25-35].

Clinical Case

A 26-year-old female patient with a history of having undergone surgery with an attempt to extract the left upper third molar. It presents with four days of evolution; Physical examination shows significant edema in left hemicara, erythematous teguments on the same side at the expense of inflammation, labial commissure distorted by significant manipulations during the procedure. The patient reported major headache, dysphagia, general malaise, nocturnal fever, and severe pain in the left retromolar zone. Intraorally, severe trismus is seen, pain in the mandibular movements, inflamed and dehydrated mucous membranes, ulcers in the left maxilla posterior; suture material with an entrance orifice that communicates to the sinus zone. In imaging, the left third molar is observed in the ectopic position within the maxillary sinus (Figures 1-5). The patient was admitted to the hospital with a previous 24 hours of impregnation with antimicrobials, anti-inflammatories and muscle relaxants. After asepsis and antisepsis, a Calwell-Luc approach was performed with osteotomy for a 2 cm window, removed hypertrophic mucosa, drained purulent material, And the involved tooth was located, removing it from the maxillary sinus, finishing washing and debriding the inherent mucosa. The flap closes and we move on to communication, debriding the affected mucosa and removing infected granulation tissue. The buccal flap is displaced and sealed. The surgery is terminated; the patient remains for two days with antimicrobial management and on the third day are discharged for improvement.

Figure 1: Orthopantomography showing displacement of the upper third molar.

Figure 2: Approach where the third molar is observed in the sinus floor.

Figure 3: Approach with Calwell-Luc technique.

Figure 4: Rescue of the third molar inside the maxillary sinus.
Figure 5: Management of the surgical bed. Removal of necrotic material and washing of the sinus cavity.

Discussion

Surgical extraction of third molars is a procedure that requires the anatomical knowledge and skills of the operator; the lack of knowledge is a risk factor for the complication of extraction of third molars, as well as excessive force, which is why, these procedures must be carried by the maxillofacial surgeon.

Conclusion

The projection of a third molar to the maxillary sinus is a transoperative complication that can trigger infectious processes such as sinusitis in the maxillary sinus, edema, and pain, among others. The solution of the complications presented in the clinical case was planned with imaging and surgical treatment, using the Calwell-Luc technique, which allows an adequate access to the sinus cavity.
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