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Chitinase Genes \textit{LbCHI31} and \textit{LbCHI32} from \textit{Limonium bicolor} Were Successfully Expressed in \textit{Escherichia coli} and Exhibit Recombinant Chitinase Activities
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The two chitinase genes, \textit{LbCHI31} and \textit{LbCHI32} from \textit{Limonium bicolor}, were, respectively, expressed in \textit{Escherichia coli} BL21 strain. The intracellular recombinant chitinases, inr\textit{CHI31} and inr\textit{CHI32}, and the extracellular exr\textit{CHI31} and exr\textit{CHI32} could be produced into \textit{E. coli}. The exr\textit{CHI31} and exr\textit{CHI32} can be secreted into extracellular medium. The optimal reaction condition for inr\textit{CHI31} was 5 mmol/L of Mn$^{2+}$ at 40°C and pH 5.0 with an activity of 0.772 U using \textit{Alternaria alternata} cell wall as substrate. The optimal condition of inr\textit{CHI32} was 5 mmol/L of Ba$^{2+}$ at 45°C and pH 5.0 with an activity of 0.792 U using \textit{Valsa sordida} cell wall as substrate.

The optimal reaction condition of exr\textit{CHI31} was 5 mmol/L of Zn$^{2+}$ at 40°C and pH 5.0, and the activity was 0.921 U using the \textit{A. alternata} cell wall as substrate. Simultaneously, the optimal condition of exr\textit{CHI32} was 5 mmol/L of K$^{+}$ at 45°C and pH 5.0, with \textit{V. sordida} cell wall as the substrate, and the activity was 0.897 U. Furthermore, the activities of extracellular recombinant enzymes on fungal cell walls and compounds were generally higher than those of the intracellular recombinant enzymes. Recombinant exr\textit{CHI31} and exr\textit{CHI32} have better hydrolytic ability on cell walls of different fungi than synthetic chitins and obviously showed activity against \textit{A. alternata}.

1. Introduction

Fungal phytopathogens are one of the major constraints in global food production as they cause many of the world's most notorious plant diseases [1]. Chitin is the main component of the cell walls of fungal plant phytopathogens and can be decomposed by chitinase. Plant chitinases expressed during plant and phytopathogen interactions are involved in defense responses of the host plant against pathogens [2].

The role of plant chitinases in protecting plants against a variety of fungal pathogens is well characterized. For instance, the overexpression of a chitinase gene (\textit{McCHI1}) from \textit{Momordica charantia} dramatically increased intercellular and intracellular endochitinase activities and significantly enhanced resistance to the plant pathogenic fungus, \textit{Phytophthora nicotianae}, in transgenic \textit{N. benthamiana} plants and against \textit{Verticillium} wilt in transgenic cottons [3]. Overexpression of the chitinase gene, \textit{BbCHI1}, from \textit{Beauveria bassiana} enhanced disease resistance to \textit{C. chrysosperma} in transformed poplar plants, which indicated that this gene is potentially useful in protecting these trees against fungal diseases [4]. The plants that expressed the endochitinase \textit{CHI42} gene from \textit{Metarhizium anisopliae} were consistently resistant to the soil-borne pathogen, \textit{Rhizoctonia solani}, which suggests a direct relationship between enzyme activity and a reduction in the foliar area affected by fungal lesions [5]. The rice chitinase gene, \textit{RiCHII}, was transferred into \textit{Taro} (\textit{Colocasia esculenta}), and the resulting transgenic lines exhibited improved tolerance to the fungal pathogen \textit{Sclerotium rolfsii} [6]. A chitinase gene \textit{CHI30} from \textit{Streptomyces olivaceoviridis} ATCC 11238 was transformed into pea and the transgenic pea inhibited the development of \textit{T. harzianum in vitro} [7]. Transgenic wheat that expressed a barley class II chitinase exhibited enhanced resistance against \textit{Fusarium graminearum} (\textit{Fusarium} head blight) [8]. These studies suggest that plant chitinases are involved in plant resistance to...
pathogens; therefore, chitinase genes have potential uses in plant engineering programs to protect against fungal diseases.

To study the properties of recombinant plant chitinases, chitinase genes have been expressed in E. coli and yeast. The barley chitinase gene was expressed in E. coli and the purified chitinase exerted broad-spectrum antifungal activities against different phytopathogens, including Botrytis cinerea (blight of tobacco), Pestalotia theae (leaf spot of tea), Bipolaris oryzae (brown spot of rice), Alternaria sp. (grain discoloration of rice), Curvularia lunata (leaf spot of clover), and Rhizoctonia solani (sheath blight of rice) [9]. An acidic class VII chitinase gene from wheat has also been expressed in E. coli BL21. Purified chitinase exerted a wide antifungal activity against Colletotrichum falcatum (red rot of sugarcane), Pestalotia theae (leaf spot of tea), Rhizoctonia solani (sheath blight of rice), Sarocladium oryzae (sheath rot of rice), Alternaria sp. (grain discoloration of rice), and Fusarium sp. (scab of rye) [10]. A class IV chitinase gene CpCHI from papaya expressed in E. coli can completely inhibit spore germination in Alternaria brassicicola and also showed antibacterial activity [11]. Pichia-expressed BjCHI1 from Brassica juncea showed antifungal activities against phytopathogens, Colletotrichum truncatum, C. acutatum, Botrytis cinerea, and Ascochyta rabiei, and also inhibited spore germination of C. truncatum [12]. These studies showed that plant chitinase genes could be expressed in E. coli or yeast and the resulting recombinant chitinases display high antifungal activities. Therefore, recombinant plant chitinases produced by E. coli or yeast have potential antifungal uses.

In the present study, LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 were, respectively, cloned into a prokaryotic expression vector pET52b and transformed into E. coli BL21 strain. Four different recombinant chitinases, intracellular inCHI31 and inCHI32 and extracellular exCHI31 and exCHI32, were produced with transgenic E. coli. The properties of these four recombinant chitinases were studied. Furthermore, the properties of LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 were analyzed. Our studies suggested that LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 have high levels of activity against fungal cell walls and may have antifungal applications in plants.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strains and Plasmids. Escherichia coli strain Top10 (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) was used for the genetic manipulation; E. coli BL21 strain and pET-52b (+) vector (Novagen, Madison, USA) were employed for the prokaryotic expression experiments. The six kinds of fungal/oomycete plant phytopathogens were Rhizoctonia solani (rice sheath blight), Fusarium oxysporum (soybean root rot), Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (sclerotium disease on soybean), Alternaria alternata (poplar leaf wither), Valsa sordida (poplar bark rot), and Phytophthora sojae (soybean root rot).

The protein families of the LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 genes were analyzed using the Pfam program (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/). The three-dimensional structures of the LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 proteins were identified by Geno3d (http://geno3d-pbil.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/geno3d_automat.pl?page=/GENO3D/geno3d_home.html).

2.2. Primer Design. The two chitinase genes, LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 (GenBank numbers: DQ431248 and DQ431249), from L. bicolor were cloned into pET52b vector and transferred into E. coli BL21 strain. The primers for the construction of prokaryotic intracellular and extracellular expression vectors were designed and are shown in Table 1. For extracellular expression, signal peptide sequence of LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 has also been cloned into pET52b vector, respectively.

2.3. Vector Construction and E. coli Transformation. The ORFs of the two chitinase genes, LbCHI31 and LbCHI32, were amplified using the corresponding primers and digested with double enzymes (Table 1), ligated into the expression vector of pET52b, and transferred into E. coli Top10F” competent cells using the heat shock method. This resulted in four kinds of recombinant vectors that were designed as pET-inCHI31 (harboring intracellular expressed LbCHI31), pET-exCHI31 (harboring extracellular expressed LbCHI31), pET-inCHI32 (harboring intracellular expressed LbCHI32), and pET-exCHI32 (harboring extracellular expressed LbCHI32). The recombinant vectors were, respectively, transferred into E. coli BL21 strain to induce their respective expression.

2.4. SDS-PAGE Analyses. Four kinds of E. coli transformants (named BL21-inCHI31, BL21-exCHI31, BL21-inCHI32, and BL21-exCHI32) and control transformant BL21-pET52b (E. coli BL21 transformed with empty plasmid pET52b) were induced following the procedures in the Novagen manual (Cat. No.: 71554-3), respectively. IPTG was added into the LB medium at a final concentration of 1.0 mM to induce exogenous gene expression. The supernatants of the transformants BL21-exCHI31 and BL21-exCHI32 and the cells of the transformants BL21-inCHI31 and BL21-inCHI32 were harvested after they were cultured for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 h at 30°C. After the addition of 1 × loading buffer, the supernatants or cells were boiled for 5 min, centrifuged for 10 min at 8,000 rpm, and loaded into a 12% slab gel.

2.5. The Detection of Recombinant Chitinase. To measure chitinase activity, the E. coli transformants BL21-inCHI31, BL21-inCHI32, BL21-exCHI31, and BL21-exCHI32 were induced by 1.0 mM IPTG at 30°C for 2 to 8 h, at 1 h intervals. The culture solution was centrifuged and the supernatant (enzyme solution) was used to measure the chitinase activity. The supernatant used as a control was boiled for 20 min at 100°C. To study whether the E. coli strain transformed with the empty pET52b also displayed chitinase activity, the strain transformed with empty pET52b (BL21) was induced by 1% (v/v) methanol at 30°C for 1 to 8 h at 1 h intervals as controls. Chitinase activities were measured according to the Schales procedure [13] with some modifications. In brief, the reaction mixture, consisting of 1 mL of colloidal chitin (1%, w/v) as the substrate and 1 mL of enzyme solution, was incubated at 37°C for 20 min, boiled...
Table 1: The primers used in cloning the LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 genes into prokaryotic intracellular and extracellular expression vectors.

| Primers names  | Primers sequences (5'-3') | Underlined enzymatic sites |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| inCHI31-R      | ATCGGGTACCAGGAGCAGTGCGGTTCTCAAGCCGGGT | KpnI |
| inCHI31-L      | CGATGAGCTAGCAAGAGCCCTCTGGATATTCG | SacI |
| inCHI32-R      | ATCGCCGGCGCTTGACCTGACCGACCAGCTGCTT | SmaI |
| inCHI32-L      | CGATGACCTGTCAGTGACGAGCCGGGATGGGT | BamHI |
| exCHI31-R      | ATCGGAGACTGCAATGAAAGACGACTCATTACAGGG | BssI |
| exCHI31-L      | CGATGGTACCAGCAAAAGGCCTCTGGCTATTGC | KpnI |
| exCHI32-R      | ATCGCCATGGGAGGCAATTGGAGACTGGTAATC | NcoI |
| exCHI32-L      | CGATGGTACCCTCAATTATGACGATGAGGCGGGAT | KpnI |

Figure 1: Protein families and the three-dimensional structures of LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 chitinases from L. bicolor. (a) Protein family of the LbCHI31 chitinase; (b) protein family of the LbCHI32 chitinase; (c-d) three-dimensional structure of LbCHI31 chitinase; (e-g) three-dimensional structure of the LbCHI32 chitinase.

for 5 min with the addition of 2 mL of 0.05% (w/v) KFe(CN), and then boiled again for 10 min. After cooling, the reducing sugars that were released as a response to chitinase activity were measured at 420 nm. One unit of chitinase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that produced 1 μg of reducing N-acetyl-D-glucosamine per minute. The optimal temperature and pH for chitinase activity, thermal stability, and the effects of ions on enzyme activity, and the rate of decomposition of fungal cell walls of recombinant chitinases inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32 were investigated according to the technique described by Liu et al. [14, 15].
All of the above experiments were performed in triplicate, at a minimum, and the average values were calculated based on the results of three independent experiments.

2.6. Antifungal Activity Analyses of the Two Recombinant exrCHI31 and exrCHI32. Recombinant exrCHI31 and exrCHI32 were mixed to PDA medium with the final concentration of 20 \( \mu \)g/mL compared to the original concentration. Further, five millimeter mass of \( \text{A. alternata} \) was inoculated at the center of medium. And then, we are noted to inhibit affection by taking picture.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of the Structures of LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 Chitinases. The LbCHI31 and LbCHI32 chitinases both belong to the chitinase-glyco-hydro-19 family, and they both contain three chitin catalytic residues and six putative sugar binding sites (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). However, only LbCHI31 was found to contain a chitin binding region (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)).

3.2. SDS-PAGE Analysis. SDS-PAGE analysis was conducted to determine whether the \( \text{E. coli} \) transformants, BL21-inCHI31, BL21-exCHI31, BL21-inCHI32, and BL21-exCHI32, could synthesize recombinant chitinase inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32, respectively. Compared with the control transformant BL21-pET52b, those transformants all showed a clear protein band with a molecular mass of approximately 31 kDa (Figure 2). This result indicated that inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32 proteins had been successfully synthesized in \( \text{E. coli} \) BL21 strain, and exrCHI31 and exrCHI32 were also secreted into the culture medium. The four recombinant chitinases had also successfully been purified (Figure 2).

3.3. Enzymatic Properties. The activities of chitinases inrCHI31 and exrCHI31 in \( \text{E. coli} \) both showed a peak activity at 5 h following IPTG induction (Figure 3(a)). Moreover, the activities of chitinases inrCHI32 and exrCHI32 in \( \text{E. coli} \) showed a peak activity at 4 and 5 h following IPTG induction, respectively (Figure 3(a)). Chitinase activity was not detected in the culture medium of control \( \text{E. coli} \) BL21-pET52 after IPTG induction, which indicated that the chitinase activity displayed by \( \text{E. coli} \) cells was due to the expression of exogenous \( \text{LbCHI31 or LbCHI32} \).

The optimal reaction temperature and pH for intracellular recombinant inrCHI31 was 40°C at a pH of 5.0. Simultaneously, the optimal reaction condition for the activity of prokaryotic extracellular exrCHI31 was 40°C at pH 5.0. Correspondingly, the optimal condition was 45°C at pH 5.0 for recombinant inrCHI32 and 45°C at pH 5.0 for recombinant exrCHI32.
3.4. The Effects of Different Ion Levels on Enzymatic Activity. The activities of recombinant inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32 were all strongly inhibited by Co$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, and Mg$^{2+}$ (Figure 4). At the same time, inrCHI31 and exrCHI31 were also inhibited by Cu$^{2+}$, and inrCHI32 and exrCHI32 were inhibited by Zn$^{2+}$. In particular, the activity of exrCHI31 was stimulated by Li$^+$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively (Figure 4(b)). The activity of inrCHI32 was stimulated by K$^+$, Ba$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$ (Figure 4(c)) and the activity of exrCHI32 was stimulated by K$^+$ (Figure 4(d)).

3.5. The Decomposing Ability to Different Substrates. The activities of four different recombinant chitinases, inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32, towards different substrates were measured using Schales method [15]. While four recombinant chitinases demonstrated activity as a response to all test substrates, analysis of variance showed that their decomposing activities towards different substrates were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 5). As shown in Figure 5, the decomposed abilities of recombinant chitinases exrCHI31 and exrCHI32 to different substrates were generally higher than those of inrCHI31 and inrCHI32 higher than those of inrCHI31 and inrCHI32. Furthermore, the abilities of four recombinant chitinases to decompose fungal cell walls (Figure 5, lines 6, 7, 9, and 10) were also obviously higher than those of the plant oomycete phytopathogen P. sojae (Figure 5, line 8) and chitin derivatives (Figure 5, lines 1–5).

The optimal reaction system of inrCHI31 appears to occur when the temperature was 40°C (Figure 3(b)), the pH was 5.0 (Figure 3(d)), and Mn$^{2+}$ was present at 5 mmol L$^{-1}$.
to occur when the temperature was at 45 ∘C. The optimal reaction system of recombinant exrCHI32 appeared to occur when the temperature was at 40 ∘C and pH was 5.0 (Figure 3(b)), and Ba^{2+} was present at 5 mmol L^{-1} (Figure 4). According to this reaction system, the highest activity achieved by exrCHI31 was 0.921 U when cell wall chitin of the fungal pathogen A. alternata was used as a substrate. The optimal reaction system of inrCHI32 appeared to occur when the temperature was at 45 ∘C (Figure 3(b)), the pH was 5.0 (Figure 3(d)), and Ba^{2+} was present at 5 mmol L^{-1} (Figure 4). According to this reaction system, the highest activity achieved by inrCHI32 was 0.792 U using cell wall chitin of the fungal pathogen V. sordida as a substrate. The optimal reaction system of recombinant exrCHI32 appeared to occur when the temperature was at 45 ∘C (Figure 3(b)), the pH was 5.0 (Figure 3(d)), and K^{+} was present at 5 mmol L^{-1} (Figure 4). According to the reaction system, the highest activity achieved by exrCHI32 was 0.897 U when cell wall chitin of the fungal pathogen V. sordida was used as the substrate.

3.6. Antifungal Activity Analysis of the Recombinant Chitinases. Chitinases exrCHI31 and exrCHI32 obviously showed inhibition of the mycelia growth and sporulation of A. alternata by plate test. Although recombinant exrCHI31 inhibited the mycelia growth (Figure 6(A)), exrCHI32 inhibited sporulation of A. alternate (Figure 6(B)).

4. Discussion

Plant chitinases are pathogenesis-related proteins that are involved in plant defense responses to pathogen infection [16]. The three-dimensional structural model of wheat chitinase showed the presence of 10 α-helices, three β-strands, 21 loop turns, and six cysteine residues that are responsible for the formation of three disulphide bridges. The active site residues (Glu94 and Glu103) may be responsible for its antifungal activity [10]. The chitinase genes LbCHI31 (Glu93 and Glu106) and LbCHI32 (Glu128 and Glu150) (Figure 1) also contain the same structure and active site residues, respectively.

The chitin binding domain (ChtBD1) is a lectin domain found in proteins from plants and fungi that bind N-acetylglucosamine and plant endochitinases. This domain is involved in the recognition and/or binding of chitin subunits; it typically occurs towards the N-terminal of glycosyl hydrolase domains in chitinases, together with other carbohydrate-binding domains or by itself in tandem-repeat arrangements.
Brassica juncea BjCHI1, which is a plant chitinase with two (almost identical) chitin-binding domains, agglutinates Gram-negative bacteria, adversely affecting their growth. In contrast, BjCHI1 derivatives that lack one or both domains do not show agglutination activity, which suggests that both chitin-binding domains are essential for agglutination [17–19]. Usually, the chitinase that contains a chitin-binding domain displays a higher enzyme activity than those without a chitin-binding domain [20]. However, in this study, although recombinant LbCHI31 contains a chitin-binding domain and LbCHI32 does not have this domain, the peak enzymatic activities of these two recombinant chitinases had no visible differences (Figure 5). The reasons that underlie this finding require further investigation.

Chitinase has different antifungal activities on different pathogenic fungi in vitro. For example, Pichia-expressed rice chitinase has a different antifungal activity against four fungi: Rhizopus stolonifer, Botrytis squamosa, Pythium aphanidermamatum, and Aspergillus niger. An analysis with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) showed that this chitinase exhibited different antifungal activities against the four fungi, which was directly correlated to the surface microstructure and the proportion of chitin in the fungal cell wall [11]. In our research, recombinant CHI31 and CHI32 had a high ability to decompose fungal phytopathogen cell walls; however, they had lower decomposing abilities towards oomycota P. sojae. The possible reason for this phenomenon is that these six different phytopathogens have different structure cell wall chitins, which means that the same enzyme displayed different decomposing ability to each.

The expression of chitinase (33 kDa) was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Western hybridization analyses [10]. The yield of purified chitinase was 20 mg/L with a chitinase activity of 1.9 U/mg [10]. As a result of its innate antifungal potential, wheat chitinase can be used to enhance fungal resistance in crop plants [10]. The purified recombinant papaya chitinase CpCHI showed an optimal reaction temperature at 30°C and a broad optimal pH that ranged from 5.0 to 9.0 [11]. In the present study, all the four recombinant chitinases, inrCHI31, exrCHI31, inrCHI32, and exrCHI32, also showed a broad optimal pH that ranged from 3.0 to 9.0, which suggested that chitinases can work well at a wide range of pH.

The enzymatic activity of recombinant intracellular exrCHI31 is higher than that of extracellular inrCHI31 (Figure 5). Similarly, the enzymatic activity of recombinant extracellular exrCHI32 is also higher than that of intracellular inrCHI32 (Figure 5). The probable reason for this phenomenon is that the resolving ability of extracellular recombinant chitinase is higher than that of intracellular recombinant chitinase. Furthermore, extracellular recombinant chitinase
could be purified more easily than intracellular recombinant chitinase. Therefore, extracellular recombinant chitinase may have more application values in the future.

This study will aid our understanding of the antifungal mechanism of recombinant chitinases and further determine their scope of applications on crop protection and the postharvest storage of fruits and vegetables.
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