Dietary mannan oligosaccharide enhances growth and survival of juvenile tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* against experimental challenge with *Vibrio harveyi* and white spot syndrome virus
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ABSTRACT

A 45-days feeding trial was conducted on juvenile tiger shrimps *Penaeus monodon* of size 0.997±0.098 g with diets containing Mannan oligosaccharides (Mos) at three graded levels (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%). Maximum weight gain (1.353 g) was achieved in shrimps fed with 0.3% Mos concentration, followed by 0.2% Mos (1.224 g). Best feed conversion ratio (FCR) of 2.406 was registered in 0.3% Mos groups. Results indicate that there was a significant difference (p<0.01) in weight gain and FCR among the treatments. However, no statistical differences were observed on the specific growth rate (SGR), mean feed intake and survival rate. Feeding trial was followed by a 10 days challenge study with *Vibrio harveyi* by intramuscular injection and white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) by feeding WSSV infected tissues. Significantly higher survival rate was observed in the shrimp groups fed with 0.3% Mos (66.67%) than the other treatments when challenged with *V. harveyi*. Tiger shrimps fed with 0.3% Mos, challenged with WSSV showed a significantly higher survival rate (66.67%), followed by 0.2% Mos (50%), 0.1% Mos (25%) and control (25%), on day 5 post-challenge. However, on day 10 post-challenge with WSSV, except in the groups fed with 0.3% Mos (16.67% survival), 100% mortality was noticed in all other diet groups. Therefore, it is concluded that dietary administration of Mos at 0.3% would enhance the growth and survival of tiger shrimp against *V. harveyi*. With respect to WSSV challenge, it appears that, though Mos at 0.3% had a positive effect on the shrimp, higher survival was not observed. Whether additional increment in Mos supplementation in the diet would positively affect the survival of tiger shrimps post-WSSV challenge, needs to be investigated further.
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Introduction

World production of farmed shrimp has been increasing every year due to increase in farming areas and higher stocking densities. Among the most traded aquaculture products, farmed shrimps have been the second most preferred choice. However, with rapid expansion in shrimp farming, more disease problems occurred that led to decline in production in leading countries (FAO, 2016). These problems caused losses that exceed more than 40% of global capacity impacting socio-economic status (Stentiford et al., 2017). Of the infectious diseases, viral and bacterial infections have been found to cause most of the production loss in different parts of the world (Walker and Mohan, 2009; Saejung et al., 2011; Chang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). During the past decades, various antibiotics and chemotherapeutants were used in shrimp culture to treat bacterial infections. But, the prolonged use of antibiotics created disease-resistant bacteria in the environment and residues in the flesh of cultured animals (Holmstrom et al., 2003; Su et al., 2011). On the other hand, viral infection in shrimp has no effective therapeutic counter measures (Itami et al., 1994).

Vibriosis caused by *Vibrio* spp. has been admitted to be the most significant bacterial infectious disease in farmed fish and shellfish (Egidius, 1987). In recent years, luminescent bacteria, *Vibrio harveyi* has been recognised as an opportunistic pathogen in juvenile and adult shrimp (Peeralil et al., 2020). Among pathogenic viruses, white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) is one of the most virulent one which leads to massive production losses in countries that grow shrimp. It is characterised by rapid disease outbreak and mortality. The problem may be accelerated when proper management practices are not followed like poor water quality, over-crowding of shrimps and elevated temperature (Andrino et al., 2014). One approach to avoid disease problems in shrimp culture is to enhance the health and immunity of shrimps through improved nutrition. This led to increased research on various health-promoting feed additives like prebiotics and immunostimulants, to avoid disease outbreaks and to improve the immune system of cultured animals.

Prebiotics are a non-digestible food ingredient that undoubtedly affects the host organisms by inciting the
growth and activity of microflora present in the gastrointestinal tract. Many prebiotics have been commercially established like inulin, fructooligosaccharide, transgalactooligosaccharide and mannan oligosaccharide (Mos). Among these, Mos is the most commonly used prebiotics in aquaculture (Sang et al., 2014). It can act as growth and immune stimulants similar to the mode of action of the beta-glucans (Fegan, 2003). Mos has indicated guarantee in smothering enteric pathogens, tempering the immune response and enhancing the integrity of intestinal mucosa in studies with chickens and turkeys (Savage and Zakrzewska, 1997; Spring et al., 2000; Iji et al., 2001). Improved growth, survival and immunity on the addition of dietary Mos application have been reported in Penaeus semisulcatus (Genc et al., 2007a), Pamulirus ornatus (Sang and Fotedar, 2011), Penaeus (=Litopenaeus) vannamei (Zhang et al., 2012) and Penaeus monodon (Sang et al., 2014). A challenge trial in P. monodon fed Mos supplemented diets against V. harveyi, indicated that Mos can enhance the immune competence of P. monodon (SBBU, 2020). Improved growth and immunity on supplementation of Mos and better protection against WSV infection of shrimps have been reported (Genc et al., 2007a; Daniels et al., 2010; Apines-Amar et al., 2014).

As limited reports are available on the effects of Mos on P. monodon, the present study was designed to investigate the effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharides on the growth and survival of juvenile tiger shrimp P. monodon, followed by testing the disease resistance in the experimental groups when challenged with V. harveyi and WSSV.

Materials and methods

Experimental animals

Healthy post-larval tiger shrimp, P. monodon (PL 18) were procured from commercial shrimp hatchery. The seeds were confirmed PCR negative for WSSV and were reared for 2 months in rectangular cement tanks (5000 l) filled with saline water (25±1‰) from a bore well. The shrimps were fed with commercial shrimp feed two times a day (CP Feeds Pvt. Ltd., Thailand). Faecal matter and uneaten feed were removed daily before each feeding. After 2 months, the juvenile shrimps were transferred and acclimatised in 45 l experimental troughs for two weeks prior to start of the experiment.

Bacterial strain and virus

Luminous bacteria V. harveyi and WSSV infected tiger shrimps were used for the challenge trials. Both were obtained from the bacteriology and virology unit of Fisheries College and Research Institute, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India. The identification of V. harveyi was carried out by the biochemical tests as described by West (1984) and confirmed by diagnostic methods described by Ransangan et al. (2012). The WSSV infected shrimps were confirmed by PCR analysis. Infected tissues were maintained at -70°C in ultra-low temperature freezer (New Brunswick Scientific Pvt. Ltd., USA) until used.

Preparation of test diets

Commercial shrimp feed (CP Feeds Pvt. Ltd., Thailand) was used as a basal feed in the experiment. The basal feed contained 38% protein, 5% fat, 4% fibre and 12% moisture. Mannan oligosaccharides (Aqua-Mos) supplied by Alltech Biotechnology Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India was supplemented at three concentrations (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%) to the basal diet as recommended by the manufacturer. The basal diet was coated with Mos using the binder ‘Unigel’ supplied by APC Nutrients Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India at a level of 80 ml kg⁻¹ of feed. Test diets were air-dried at room temperature for 8-12 h and packed in airtight containers. Basal diet without Mos was used as control diet.

Experimental set up

Feeding trial was carried out for 45 days in the laboratory with shrimps of average initial weight 0.99 g in triplicates. The juvenile shrimps were weighed accurately in a digital electronic balance (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) before the start of the experiment. Nursery reared and acclimatised juvenile shrimps of P. monodon were introduced into plastic troughs of 45 l capacity filled with 40 l of saline water (25±1‰). In each plastic trough, 10 animals were stocked and continuous aeration was provided from a Hi-blow air pump (Takasuki, Japan) to maintain dissolved oxygen at >5 ppm in each trough. Water exchange (25%) was carried out daily.

Shrimps of average initial weight 0.99 g were fed with test diets at 10% body weight daily, which was divided into two portions, one fed in the morning and the other in the evening. The feeds were given to the shrimps on a glass plate placed inside the troughs. The left-over feed was siphoned out after every feeding and oven-dried to assess the food conversion ratio (FCR). Faecal matter was removed in the morning, daily before feeding. Survival of the shrimps was observed throughout the experimental period and final body weight of the shrimps was recorded at the end of growth trial for calculation of growth related parameters.

Evaluation of growth performances

On termination of the experiment, growth performance of the shrimps were calculated using the following formulae (Kumar et al., 2015):
Feed consumed \( (g) = \) Total amount of feed given \( (g) \) - Total amount of uneaten feed \( (g) \)

Mean feed intake \( (g) = \) Total feed consumed \( (g) \) / [Initial number of animals + Final number of animals] / 2

Growth in terms of wet weight gain = Final wet weight of shrimps \( (g) \) after 45 days of rearing - Initial wet weight of shrimps \( (g) \)

Food conversion ratio (FCR) = Total feed consumed \( (g) \) / Wet weight gained \( (g) \)

Percentage survival = [No. of shrimps survived at the end of the experiment / No. of shrimps stocked at the start of the experiment] x 100

Specific growth rate (SGR) = [(ln of final mean wet weight - ln of initial mean wet weight) / Days of culture] x 100

**Challenge trial**

Shrimps from each treatment after the growth experiment were separated into two groups, one to challenge against *V. harveyi* and the other against WSSV, to assess the disease resistance of shrimps fed on Mos supplemented feeds.

**Median lethal dose (LD50) determination**

To determine the dose level of *V. harveyi* for challenging the shrimps fed on the feeds supplemented with Mos, LD50 value was estimated using juvenile shrimps with mean body weight of 2.5±0.13 g. They were stocked at a rate of 6 nos. per trough in 45 l capacity plastic troughs.

*V. harveyi* cultures were grown in trypticase soya broth supplemented with 2% NaCl for 16-17 h (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 1994) and then inoculated into brain heart infusion agar in Roux bottles. The bacterial cultures were grown overnight and harvested in sterile saline. The cells were then washed in sterile saline and serially diluted to get cell concentrations of 10^2, 10^3, 10^4 and up to 10^8 cfu ml^-1.

Shrimps were injected with 100 \( \mu l \) of serially diluted stock \( (10^0, 10^1, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4 \) and sterile saline) between the fifth and sixth abdominal segment after immobilisation using the anaesthetic and sixth abdominal segment after immobilisation using the anaesthetic MS-222 (Sigma-Aldrich Inc.) at a concentration of 100 mg l^-1 (Gunkel and Lewbart, 2008). The LD_{so} value was calculated by observing the mortality of shrimps for 10 days. They were observed every 1 h for the first 6 h post-injection. Subsequent monitoring was done every 12 h until termination of the trial. The LD_{so} value was calculated following the method of Reed and Muench (1938):

\[
\text{Proportionate distance between 2 dilutions @where in the 50% end point lies} = \frac{\text{Mortality above 50%} - \text{50%}}{\text{Mortality above 50%} - \text{Mortality below 50%}}
\]

The LD_{so} value assessed was 1.86x10^4 cfu per shrimp

**Challenge study with V. harveyi**

To study the disease resistance of shrimps fed on diets supplemented with Mos, the shrimps from respective treatments of the growth trial were restocked in 45 l plastic troughs at a stocking density of 6 shrimps per trough on the 46\textsuperscript{th} day. The challenge trial was conducted in duplicate along with control. All the shrimps from each test diet were injected intramuscularly with the LD_{so} dose (1.86x10^4 cfu per shrimp) at 100 \( \mu l \) per animal between the 5\textsuperscript{th} and 6\textsuperscript{th} abdominal segment. Survival was monitored for 10 days (Apines-Amar et al., 2014).

**WSSV challenge**

The WSSV challenge test was conducted on the 46\textsuperscript{th} day after the completion of the growth trial. Shrimps were challenged with WSSV by oral infection. They were fed minced WSSV tissue following the methods of Wang et al. (1999) and Tang et al. (2003) at 10% of their body weight twice daily. Shrimps fed with 2-step PCR negative shrimp tissues served as control group. Mortality was recorded for 10 days and dead shrimps were removed and frozen at -70°C for PCR analysis.

**WSSV diagnostic PCR**

WSSV challenged shrimp samples from each treatment were randomly selected and screened for single-step PCR to detect WSSV (Takahashi et al., 1996). Positive and negative controls were also run along with the samples. DNA was extracted from shrimp tissues using a DNA extraction kit (Bangalore Genei Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India).

**Water quality analysis**

The water quality parameters such as salinity, pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, ammonia and nitrite were recorded once in a week for 45 days in growth trial and twice weekly during the 10 days challenge trials (APHA, 2005). The water quality parameters recorded are given in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using one-way analysis of variance and significance of the difference between treatments were assessed by Duncan’s multiple range tests (Hoshmand, 1994). Data were expressed as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM) of three replicates.

**Results**

**Growth, survival and food conversion ratio**

The results of growth-related performance of tiger shrimp *P. monodon* fed diets supplemented with Mos for 45 days are presented in Table 2. Shrimps fed Mos at 0.3%
Table 1. Water quality parameters recorded during the experimental period

| Parameters              | Growth trial | V. harveyi challenge | WSSV challenge |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Salinity (‰)            | 25±1         | 26±1                 | 26±1           |
| pH                      | 7.6±0.4      | 7.4±0.4              | 7.4±0.4        |
| Temperature (°C)        | 27±2         | 27.5±1               | 26.5±1         |
| Dissolved oxygen (ppm)  | 6.1±0.6      | 6.2±0.5              | 5.4±0.4        |
| NH₃-N (ppm)             | 0.024±0.004  | 0.027±0.004          | 0.025±0.007    |
| NO₂⁻-N (ppm)            | 0.031±0.003  | 0.033±0.003          | 0.041±0.008    |

Table 2. Growth related performance of *P. monodon* fed with mannan oligosaccharides (Mos) supplemented diets

| Diet                | Control     | 0.1% Mos | 0.2% Mos | 0.3% Mos |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Initial mean weight (g) | 0.910±0.013 | 0.933±0.016 | 1.018±0.033 | 1.127±0.041 |
| Final mean weight (g)  | 1.904±0.060 | 1.969±0.047 | 2.262±0.049 | 2.48±0.074  |
| Mean weight gain (g)    | 0.994±0.073 | 1.036±0.060 | 1.244±0.080 | 1.353±0.066 |
| SGR                  | 1.639±0.103 | 1.658±0.086 | 1.774±0.118 | 1.753±0.079 |
| Mean feed intake (g)   | 3.912±0.094 | 3.028±0.048 | 3.131±0.114 | 3.056±0.099 |
| FCR                  | 3.140±0.013 | 3.132±0.038 | 2.790±0.134 | 2.406±0.068 |
| Survival (%)          | 93.33±4.71  | 93.33±4.71   | 93.33±4.71  | 93.33±4.71  |

Values are expressed as mean±SEM (n=3) and values with different superscripts indicate significant differences (p<0.01) as determined by Duncan’s multiple range test.

attained maximum weight gain of 1.353 g followed by Mos at 0.2% (1.244 g). The minimum weight gain of 0.994 g was observed in shrimps fed the control diet. Significant difference (p<0.01) in weight gain was observed between control and groups fed Mos supplemented diets.

Mos at 0.2% showed the highest specific growth rate (SGR) of 1.774 followed by Mos at 0.3% (1.753). The lowest SGR of 1.639 was observed in the control group. However, no significant difference in SGR was observed among the groups. The mean feed intake was maximum (3.131 g) in shrimps fed on diets containing 0.2% Mos followed by 0.1% Mos (3.028 g). The control group showed a minimum feed intake of 2.912 g. Analysis of variance showed that there was no significant difference in mean feed intake between treatments. Mos at 0.3% showed an FCR of 2.406. The control group showed poor FCR of 3.140 and it was closely followed by 0.1% Mos (3.132). A significant difference (p<0.01) among treatments in FCR was observed. Similar survival of shrimps (93.33%) was observed in all groups.

Median lethal dose (LD₅₀)

Challenge with *V. harveyi* resulted in mortalities of shrimp depending on injected doses and time of exposure. Shrimps challenged with doses of 1.86 x 10⁶ CFU per shrimp showed 100% mortality within 12 h and 1.86 x 10⁵ CFU per shrimp showed 83% mortality within 24 h. At a challenge dose of 1.86 x 10⁴ CFU per shrimp, 50% mortality was observed and this was considered as the LD₅₀ value.

Survival rates of shrimps against *V. harveyi* challenge

*P. monodon* fed with Mos supplemented diets for 45 days were challenged with *V. harveyi* approximating to that of LD₅₀ value. All the shrimp groups fed the control and mannan oligosaccharides supplemented diets showed survival from 33.33 to 66.67% after challenge with *V. harveyi* at the end of 10 days. The highest survival of 66.67% was recorded in 0.3% Mos supplemented feed while the lowest survival of 33.33% was recorded both in 0.1% Mos supplemented diet and control groups, at the end of 10 days. A significant difference (p<0.01) in survival of shrimps was observed among treatments.

Fig. 1 shows the survival rates of *P. monodon* through the challenge period of 10 days. The mortality of shrimps began on day 1 itself in all the groups fed Mos supplemented diets and control. The highest survival of 83.33% was recorded in 0.3% Mos while the lowest survival of 58.34% was recorded in the control group on day 1. The highest survival of 83.33% was stabilised in 0.3% Mos groups until day 4. Survival of shrimps fed on 0.1 and 0.2% Mos were less compared to 0.3% Mos throughout the period.

The clinical signs observed in challenged shrimps were erratic swimming, lethargy and shrimps swam near the water surface of the experimental containers. Besides these clinical signs, discolouration was also observed in challenged shrimps.
Survival rates of shrimps against WSSV

The survival rates of *P. monodon* fed on diets supplemented with Mos after exposure to WSSV is presented in Fig. 2 and 3. Survival rates of the shrimps fed on feed supplemented with Mos at 0.2 and 0.3% were higher than that of the 0.1% Mos and control on day 5 after viral challenge. By day 5, 0.3% Mos showed highest survival of 66.67% followed by 0.2% Mos (50%). The lowest survival rate of 25% was registered both in 0.1% Mos and control diet. By day 6, 100% mortality was observed in shrimps fed on diets supplemented with 0.1% Mos and control diet. Shrimps fed on diet supplemented with 0.2% Mos died by day 7. At the end of 10 days experiment, survival of shrimps was observed only in 0.3% Mos fed shrimps and shrimps in none of the other treatments survived. The percentage of survival of shrimps fed 0.3% Mos was only 16.67%. Though mortalities were observed in WSSV challenged groups, none in the control group (fed with 2-step PCR negative shrimp tissues) died until day 10. A significant difference in survival was observed among treatment groups exposed to WSSV for 5 days.

Confirmation of WSSV infection

Live shrimps from each treatment were randomly selected and tested for WSSV PCR diagnosis before the start of the challenge trial. It was found that shrimps in all the treatment groups were 2-step PCR negative for WSSV. Similarly, after WSSV challenge, the dead shrimps were tested for WSSV infection by PCR diagnosis and found that the shrimps in all the treatments were first step positive (Fig. 4). The clinical signs observed in challenged shrimps were lethargy, red discolouration and loss of appetite. However, they did not develop any white spots on their exoskeleton.

Discussion

Health-promoting additives in feeds help to enhance disease resistance. They can increase non-specific disease resistance and lead to a reduction in mortality (Chang et al., 2000). Our results showed that the dietary administration of Mos could enhance growth and disease resistance in *P. monodon*. In the growth trial, the mean weight gain, SGR and FCR were better in Mos treated shrimp groups at 0.2 and 0.3% levels as compared to control. Mos derived from the yeast cell wall are used as growth promoters (Fegan, 2003) and have been shown to improve feed conversion and reduce production costs in aquaculture systems (Spring, 2003). Similar results of improved weight gain, SGR
and FCR were observed in *P. semisulcatus* (Genc et al., 2007a), *P. ornatus* (Sang and Fotedar, 2010), *Homarus gammarus* (Daniels et al., 2010), *Astacus leptodactylus* (Mazlum et al., 2011), *Cherax destructor* (Sang et al., 2011), *P. vannamei* (Zhang et al., 2012) and *P. monodon* (SBBU, 2002). A similar result of higher haemocyte levels in the Mos fed shrimp groups were observed by Sang et al. (2014). The positive effect of Mos on the indigenous microbial population resulted in the stabilisation of the microbial community in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, thereby suppressing the influx of new microbial communities from the rearing environment (Dimitroglou et al., 2009; Daniels et al., 2010). White et al. (2002) observed that Mos increased lactobacilli counts and higher serum IgG levels in weanling pigs. Rong et al. (2003) reported that Mos increased the number of T lymphocytes and IgG content in weanling pigs. Roman (1999) observed that Mos reduced mortality of Atlantic salmon infected with salmonid rickettsia syndrome (SRS).

The results of the present study confirmed that Mos inclusion enhances immunity in *P. monodon* against WSSV. Only limited studies have been done on Mos inclusion to enhance resistance of fish and crustaceans against viral infection so far. However, there are works carried out with β-glucan, to enhance disease resistance against viral infection. Peptidoglycan administration in the diet extracted from *Bifidobacterium thermophilum* has been observed to improve the resistance of *Penaeus japonicus* against WSSV (Itami et al., 1998). Schizophyllan oral administration (β-1-3 glucan) extracted from *S. commune* has shown to improve *P. monodon* resistance against WSSV infection (Chang et al., 1999; Chang et al., 2003). Apines-Amar et al. (2014) reported that the combined use of peptidoglycan and Mos has a significant effect when used in the diets of *P. monodon* at 0.2% supplementation. Similar results were obtained by Andrino et al. (2014), where the diets supplemented with 0.2% Mos and β-glucan enhanced the survival of juvenile *P. monodon* against WSSV. Chang et al. (2003) reported that all shrimps fed on diets containing beta-glucan at 0.1% level died by day 12. In contrast, the day-12 survival rate of shrimp fed glucan at 1, 0.2 and 2% were 42.2, 30 and 24.4% respectively. Similarly, in the present experiment, shrimps fed on diets at lower concentrations of Mos (0.1 and 0.2%) died by day 10, while the day-10 survival rate of shrimps fed Mos at 0.3% level was 16.67%.

Though there are studies in chickens and pigs with Mos, same related to fish and crustaceans are very limited. In the present study, during bacterial challenge test, shrimps fed Mos supplemented diets (0.3%) showed enhanced disease resistance. It may be due to the prevention of attachment of Gram-negative cells of *V. harveyi* to the cells of the intestine of *P. monodon* and thereby it might have eliminated the colonisation of pathogenic bacteria as reported by Fegan (2003). Another possibility may be due to an increase in granular haemocytes in the haemolymph of the shrimps (SBBU, 2002). A similar result of higher haemocyte levels in the Mos fed shrimp groups were observed by Sang et al. (2014). The positive effect of Mos on the indigenous microbial population resulted in the stabilisation of the microbial community in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, thereby suppressing the influx of new microbial communities from the rearing environment (Dimitroglou et al., 2009; Daniels et al., 2010). White et al. (2002) observed that Mos increased lactobacilli counts and higher serum IgG levels in weanling pigs. Rong et al. (2003) reported that Mos increased the number of T lymphocytes and IgG content in weanling pigs. Roman (1999) observed that Mos reduced mortality of Atlantic salmon infected with salmonid rickettsia syndrome (SRS).
The present study showed that Mos can be used as immunostimulants like β-glucan as it showed a better survival rate of 66.67% against *V. harveyi* and 16.67% against WSSV at the end of 10 days challenge experiments when fed at 0.3% concentration. Since higher survival rates were recorded at the highest concentration studied, further research is required to optimise the dose level of Mos. The present study did not address the mechanism by which Mos afforded protection against *V. harveyi* and WSSV. Hence, further studies are needed in this direction to elucidate the mechanism of action as well as to explore if additional increment in Mos supplementation in the diet would positively affect the survival of tiger shrimps post-WSSV challenge.
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