Abstract. Background/Aim: The occurrence of an incisional hernia after liver transplantation consists of a potential complication that may seriously affect the postoperative course and the quality of patient’s life in general. The purpose of this study was to report the current epidemiological data, analyze the risk factors, evaluate the role of laparoscopic repair in this condition, and present the technical difficulties in the management of this special patient group. Materials and Methods: A literature search was performed through PubMed. Our criteria included all studies published from March 1982 to February 2019 in English, regarding incisional post-transplant hernias and open or laparoscopic repair. Finally, we collected 19 relevant studies. Results: Incisional hernia may occur independently of the type of abdominal incision. Risk factors are both patient- and technique-related. Conclusion: Well-organized randomized controlled studies are needed, in order to estimate the best treatment strategy for these patients.

An incisional hernia may complicate any abdominal incision, regardless of the type of incision (Figure 1A and B). However, median abdominal incisions have been documented to be more prone to incisional hernia formation, compared with other abdominal incisions (1, 2). According to a systematic review, median incisions carry a significantly higher risk of herniation compared to transversal [relative risk (RR) 1.77, 95%CI=1.09-2.87], and paramedian incisions (RR=3.41, 95%CI=1.02-11.45), respectively (3).

Risk factors predisposing to incisional hernia are both patient- and technique-related. Patient-related factors that increase such a risk include obesity, smoking, malnutrition, connective tissue disorders, preoperative chemo-radiation and immunosuppressive therapy (4, 5). As for technique-related factors, excess wound tension, suturing material failure, poor surgical technique, as well as the need for emergency surgery, are amongst the most important factors predisposing to the development of incisional hernia.

The development of an incisional hernia following liver transplantation (LT) comprises a potential complication that may seriously affect the postoperative course and the quality of patient’s life. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the current epidemiological data, analyse the risk...
factors predisposing to the development of incisional hernia following LT, and evaluate the feasibility and safety of laparoscopic techniques in the surgical management of these technically demanding cases.

Materials and Methods

A detailed search in PubMed and Medline, using the algorithm ([Liver] AND [transplantation] AND [incisional] AND [hernia]) revealed 94 studies. After an initial review of these studies, papers written in languages other than English or missing full-text, were excluded from the analysis. Finally, 19 studies matched our criteria, and a review of these reports was conducted (Figure 2, Table I).

Results

Epidemiology - risk factors. The lifetime risk for incisional hernia formation in liver transplant recipients ranges from 1.7% (6) to 43% (7), even though lower rates have been reported (6, 8-10).

In 2002, a group of German investigators studied 290 liver transplant patients for 10 years and reported a 17% rate of incisional hernia formation (11). The same group concluded that acute rejection (with steroid treatment), post-surgery thrombocytopenia and Mercedes type incision were risk factors.

The type of abdominal incision is an important risk factor contributing to the development of incisional hernia. A large study including almost 1000 patients, with an exclusive use of a Mercedes incision, reported an incisional hernia rate below 5% (12). In the study published by Gastaca et al., with more than 600 patients having been operated with a bilateral subcostal incision, the incisional hernia rate was reported to be 1.7%, much lower than the rate associated with the Mercedes incision (6). Another study published by Montalti et al., has reported an incisional hernia rate of 32.4%, and mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (Sirolimus), male sex, high BMI, and MELD score >22 were identified as risk factors (9). Kahn et al. has also reported on a high hernia rate exceeding 23%, and considered Sirolimus (prescribed in almost 20% of transplanted patients suffering of hernias), along with end stage cirrhosis as major risk factors (13).

According to Smith et al. (10), obesity is an independent risk factor for hernias. The mechanism underlying this phenomenon includes an increased intraabdominal pressure, setting the incision under mechanical stress. Moreover, obese patients are more prone to surgical site infections, a fact which favors incisional hernia development. In univariate analysis, obesity was among the most important risk factors for hernia development, while in multivariate analysis, obesity remained an important risk factor only for patients subjected to LT (10).
Immunosuppressive therapy seems to be an important and modifiable risk factor for the development of incisional hernia, with several studies yielding a wide variety of outcomes. The negative impact of steroids on the wound healing process has been well documented, not only in LT patients, but in all types of incisions (6, 14). Apart from steroids, MMF (mycophenolate mofetil) administration has been documented to be an additional risk factor for hernia formation (15). Finally, immunosuppressive therapy with the use of mTOR inhibitors, such as Sirolimus, has been associated with higher rates of incisional hernia development (9, 13, 16).

Laparoscopic vs. open repair. Traditionally, surgical repair of incisional hernias, especially the large ones, was performed in an open fashion, either with mesh implementation in an inlay or onlay fashion, or with primary closure (Figure 3A, B, C and D). Several studies reviewing post-transplantation open incisional hernia repair have claimed that mesh implementation diminishes the risk of hernia recurrence, without amplifying the risk of infection. The same results have also been observed regarding other abdominal transplant recipients (11, 12).

Nowadays, the extensive use of laparoscopic techniques has dramatically altered the surgical repair options of these
| Author                      | Type of study | Number of liver transplantation patients | Post liver transplantation median follow-up in months (Range) | Number of incisional hernia patients (% Cohort) | Risk factors for incisional hernia formation | Type of surgical repair | Recurrence rate (%) | Post hernia repair median follow-up in months (Range) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Gomez et al., 2001 (14)     | Retrospective | 465                                      | NR                                                          | 54 (11.6%)                                      | • Age • Steroids • Male gender • Mesh repair (n=37) | • Primary repair (n=3) | 15%                 | 42 (NR)                                        |
| Andreoni et al., 2002 (24)  | Retrospective | NR                                       | NR                                                          | 21 (NR)                                         | • Steroids • Transverse incision with midline extension • Post - transplantation thrombocytopenia | NR                     | 33%                 | NR                                            |
| Janssen et al., 2002 (11)   | Retrospective | 290                                      | NR                                                          | 50 (17%)                                        | • Male gender • Immunosuppression • BMI>25 • Severe ascites • Virus correlated cirrhosis • Transverse incision with midline extension | • Primary repair (n=30) • Mesh repair (n=14) | 22%                 | NR                                            |
| Piazzese et al., 2004 (8)   | Retrospective | 623                                      | NR (2-140)                                                  | 31 (4.9%)                                       | • Living donor graft • Primary repair (n=15) • Mesh repair (n=29) | • Primary repair (n=15) • Mesh repair (n=2) | 6.4%                | NR                                            |
| Vardanian et al., 2006 (12) | Retrospective | 959                                      | 14 (2-51)                                                   | 44 (4.6%)                                       | • Cirrhosis (End stage) • Immunosuppression | • Primary repair (n=26) • Mesh Repair (n=1) Mesh repair (n=21) | 18%                 | >44 (NR)                                      |
| Kahn et al., 2007 (13)      | Retrospective | 90                                       | 13 (1-44)                                                   | 17 (23.3%)                                      | • Re-laparotomy • Steroids • Transverse incision with midline extension | • Primary repair (n=15) • Mesh repair (n=1) Mesh repair (n=21) | 18.5%               | NR (1.8-79)                                   |
| Mekeel et al., 2007 (17)    | Retrospective | 365                                      | NR                                                          | 27 (7.6%)                                       | • Male gender • BMIs>25 | • Open repair (n=31) • Laparoscopic repair (n=13) • Primary repair (n=6) • Mesh repair (n=38) | 25%                 | NR (6-157)                                   |
| Harold et al., 2009 (25)    | Retrospective | NR                                       | NR                                                          | 21 (13.2%)                                      | • Re-laparotomy • Steroids • Transverse incision with midline extension | • Primary repair (n=31) • Mesh repair (n=13) • Primary repair (n=1) Mesh repair (n=21) | 7.9%                | NR (1.1-41)                                   |
| Gastaca et al., 2010 (6)    | Retrospective | 626                                      | NR                                                          | 11 (1.7%)                                       | • Preoperative ascites • Preoperative diabetes mellitus • Preoperative COPD | • Primary repair (n=5) • Mesh repair (n=31) | 11%                 | 50.5 (NR)                                     |
| Kurmann et al., 2010 (26)   | Prospective   | 225                                      | 17 (5-138)                                                  | 57 (25%)                                        | • Male gender • BMIs>25 | • Open repair (n=31) • Laparoscopic repair (n=13) • Primary repair (n=6) • Mesh repair (n=38) | 25%                 | NR (6-157)                                   |
| Piardi et al., 2010 (22)    | Retrospective | 422                                      | 17.6 (5-60)                                                 | 36 (8.5%)                                       | • Male gender • BMIs>25 • Preoperative ascites • Preoperative diabetes mellitus • Preoperative COPD | • Primary repair (n=5) • Mesh repair (n=31) | 11%                 | 50.5 (NR)                                     |

Table I. Continued
patients. Even large ventral and incisional hernias in nontransplant patients are more frequently repaired laparoscopically than in the past. Laparoscopic repair of ventral hernias has demonstrated fewer recurrences as well as infections, compared with an open repair (17), and has become the procedure of choice in experienced centers. Despite the prolonged operative time, in experienced hands, laparoscopic repair has been proven to be a safe and feasible alternative for post-transplantation hernia patients, demonstrating an outcome superior to its open counterpart, in terms of shorter length of hospital stay (LOS), and lower recurrence and complication rates. Recurrence rates for transplanted patients range from 3-10%, while infection rates lie between 1 and 3% (17, 18). Surprisingly, though, a meta-analysis of 8 studies comparing open vs. laparoscopic repair, concluded that short-term complications were half as likely to occur (14% vs. 27%) after a laparoscopic repair (19).

From another aspect, large incisions together with the administered immunosuppressive therapy in transplanted patients, often result in the development of large and/or multiple incisional hernias. The study by Raftopoulos et al. has reported on 72 patients suffering from large ventral or incisional hernias with an average hernia surface area of 125 cm² and examined the outcomes of hernia repair. Major complication rate as well as hernia recurrence rate were significantly reduced in the laparoscopic repair arm of the study (20).

Mekeel et al. have analyzed the outcomes of 27 patients who underwent incisional hernia repair following LT. Patients treated laparoscopically (n=13), demonstrated a low risk of mesh contamination and hernia recurrence, however, no significant difference was observed between the open and laparoscopic repair group. It should be noted, however, that the open repair patients had undergone more abdominal operations before transplantation than their laparoscopic

---

**Table I. Continued**

| Author                  | Type of study | Number of liver transplantation patients | Post liver transplantation median follow-up in months (Range) | Number of incisional hernia patients (% Cohort) | Risk factors for incisional hernia formation | Type of surgical repair | Recurrence rate (%) | Post hernia repair median follow-up in months (Range) |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Montali et al., 2012 (9) | Retrospective | 373                                     | 47 (1-107)                                                   | 121 (32.4%)                                   | Male gender, MELD score ≥22, HBV infection, mTOR inhibitors | Mesh repair (n=109)      | 3.7%                | NR                                                |
| Fikatas et al., 2013 (15) | Prospective   | 810                                     | 21.1 (4.6-76.7)                                              | 77 (9.5%)                                     | Age>50, BMI>25, Re-laparotomy                  | NR                     | 15.6%               | 7.9 (4.8-46.8)                                             |
| Ozgor et al., 2014 (27) | Retrospective | 173                                     | 17.6 (8-31)                                                  | 44 (25.4%)                                    | Relaparotomy                                  | Mesh repair (n=14)      | 0%                  | 19.2 (13-36)                                              |
| De Goede et al., 2014 (7) | Cross-sectional | 140                                     | 33 (NR)                                                      | 60 (43%)                                      | Advanced age, Prolonged ICU stay, Surgical site infection | Mesh repair (n=10)      | NR                  | NR                                                |
| Weiss et al., 2015 (16) | Retrospective | 755                                     | 31 (7-55)                                                    | 54 (7.15%)                                    | Increased BMI, Sirolimus                       | Mesh repair (n=54)      | 17%                 | 90 (NR)                                               |
| Smith et al., 2015 (10) | Retrospective | 718                                     | 50 (NR)                                                      | 136 (19%)                                     | BMI>25, Surgical site infection                | NR                     | NR                  | 120 (NR)                                              |
| Hegab et al., 2016 (18) | Retrospective | 488                                     | 48.3 (6.4-60.1)                                              | 33 (6.9%)                                     | Ascites>4L, Male gender, BMI>28, Age>60 years, Reoperation | Mesh repair (n=25)      | 4%                  | NR                                                |
| Lee et al., 2018 (28) | Retrospective | 1044                                    | NR (42-122)                                                  | 79 (7.6%)                                     | Age>55 years, Increased BMI                    | NR                     | NR                  | NR                                                |

NR: Not reported; BMI: body mass index; ICU: intensive care unit.
counters, which may explain the increased risk of hernia recurrence in open repair patients, due to the presence of weaker abdominal fascia. Despite this fact, the postoperative LOS in the laparoscopic group was significantly longer, compared with the open repair (17).

Nowadays, several studies have shed more light on the optimal type of surgical repair for incisional hernias, apart from post-transplantation incisions. Al Chalabi et al. have completed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials regarding the management of incisional hernia, and concluded that laparoscopic repair is safe and reliable, requiring a longer intra-operative time compared to open repair, without any statistically significant difference between them. The LOS between the two arms of the study was equal, as was also the recurrence rate, with a post-operative infection rate being higher in the open hernia repair group (21). In the same way, larger prospective controlled trials are required to clarify exactly the role and potential benefits of laparoscopic surgery, over an open repair, in the management of post-transplantation incisional hernias.

**Technical difficulties, special considerations.** Surgeons handling this patient group are confronted with some specific challenges. Technical difficulties arise mainly due to the large extent of incision, the different types of incisions, especially near the xiphoid process and costal margin, the large hernia surface and the multiple re-operations. Due to the variability in hernia cases, surgeons must be experienced in performing various types of surgical repair, with or without implementation of a mesh. Polypropylene mesh may be the first choice of surgical treatment of post-transplantation patients (22), but biological prosthesis is another useful and safe option in abdominal wall repair surgery in these patients (23).

Undoubtedly, dense intraabdominal adhesions are found in most cases. Prolonged or impaired healing, due to the immunosuppressive therapy, are expected to cause considerable morbidity (18). Surgeons must be familiar with the variety of combinations of the administered immunosuppressive agents and to consider their temporary adjustment or even discontinuation perioperatively. Moreover, liver transplantation itself, as well as its impact on body homeostasis, may strongly affect the postoperative LOS and hospital care. Treatment of a perioperative graft dysfunction or an abnormality discovered from an intraoperative biopsy of the liver graft may also be encountered (18, 24). Finally, vulnerability of immunosuppressed patients to various infections, not exclusively related to the prosthetic mesh, may complicate and prolong the postoperative course.

Figure 3. Intraoperative findings during open hernia repair. A: Hernia sac; B: Hernia content; C, D: Hernia repair using intraperitoneal mesh.
Conclusion

The incidence of incisional hernia after LT varies among published studies. It is a fact that liver transplant patients have most of the reported risk factors predisposing to such a complication. Although the traditional approach consists of an open surgical repair with mesh implementation, some recent reports have favored the role and effectiveness of laparoscopic surgery, which has demonstrated a competitive, if not equivalent, outcome in selected cases. Moreover, the pre- and post-operative management of these cases is usually very demanding, due to conditions related either to immunosuppressive therapy or graft function. Considering all the aforementioned data, these patients should be better managed in transplant centers with appropriate experience. Finally, randomized controlled studies are needed, in order to estimate the best treatment strategy for these patients.
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