Crosswinds Effect on the Thermal Performance of Wet Cooling Towers Under Variable Operating Conditions

You Liang Chen¹, Yong Feng Shi¹, Jian Gang Hao¹, Hao Chang¹, Feng Zhong Sun²

¹Huadian Electric Power Research Institute, No. 2 Xiyuan Ninth Road, Hangzhou 310030, China
²Shandong University, No. 17923 Jingshi Road, Jinan 250061, China

E-mail: cyl_sdu@163.com

Abstract. In order to quantitatively analyze the influence of the variable operating parameters on the cooling performance of natural draft wet cooling towers (NDWCTs), a hot model test system was set up with adjustable ambient temperature and humidity, circulating water flowrate and temperature. In order to apply the hot model test results to the real tower, the crosswind Froude number is defined. The results show that the crosswind has a negative effect on the thermal performance of the cooling tower, and there is a critical crosswind velocity corresponding to the lowest cooling efficiency. According to the crosswind Froude number similarity, when the ambient temperature decreases, or the circulating water flowrate and temperature increase, the cooling tower draft force will increase, and the critical crosswind velocity will increase correspondingly.

1. Introduction

Natural draft wet cooling towers are widely used to cool the circulating water in thermal power plants. Existing studies have shown that the environmental crosswind could reduce the cooling tower performance, and can even cause the unit trip in extreme cases. Russell [1] pointed out that the crosswind can greatly affect the cooling tower performance through the dry tower and industrial cooling tower research. Grange et al. [2] performed prototype and model tower tests on natural draft cross-flow wet cooling towers. The results show that crosswinds can adversely affect the air flow in the tower, and would lead to an increment by 45% in the windward side. Al-Waked et al. [4] found that when the crosswind velocity was 7.5 m/s, the tower outlet water temperature was increased by 1.7 K. And the main reason was nonuniform distribution of the air flow in the tower caused by crosswinds. Gao et al. [5] found that the crosswind would adversely affect the cooling water temperature difference and the cooling efficiency by hot model tests, both of which could be reduced by a maximum of 6% and 5%. Zhao et al. [6] established a three-dimension numerical model of the cooling tower. It was found that the crosswind increased the lateral air flowrate and enhanced the cooling performance of the rain zone, but the longitudinal air flowrate was reduced, which was not conducive to heat and mass transfer in the fill zone, and finally reduced overall performance of the cooling tower. Zhou et al. [7] performed a numerical analysis on wet cooling towers. The results show that the crosswind has a negative effect on the water temperature of
the tower, and would lead to a maximum water temperature at the crosswind velocity of 6 m/s, which is 1.34 °C higher than that without crosswinds.

In summary, crosswinds effect on the thermal performance of wet cooling towers were studied by means of hot model tests and numerical methods respectively [5, 6]. However, the former literature [5] only discussed the adverse effect of the crosswind on the cooling tower performance, and did not further explore the changing law of the crosswinds effect under various variable conditions. The latter literature [6] only uses the numerical calculation to study the influence of the varying circulating water parameters. In view of this, this paper intends to study the influence and mechanism of the crosswind on the thermal performance of the wet cooling tower when the parameters such as ambient temperature, circulating water flow rate and tower inlet water temperature are changed through the hot model test, so as to guide the actual operation of the cooling tower.

2. Model test

The model cooling tower is made of plexiglass, and the schematic diagram of the entire test system is shown in Figure 1. When the model test begins, the circulating water is heated to a given temperature in the lower tank, pumped to the upper tank by the circulating pump, and then it flows into the tower. Finally, it falls into the water basin after being cooled, and flows back into the lower tank, to complete a cycle. The detailed description of the hot model test system can refer to the previous literature [8].

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the hot model test system](image)

During the test, the ambient temperature is selected as 16, 20 and 24 °C, the tower inlet water temperature is selected as 40, 50 and 60 °C, the circulating water flow rate is selected as 4, 6 and 8 L/min, and the crosswind velocity is selected as 0.0, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7 and 0.8 m/s.

In this test, the main parameters to be measured include atmospheric pressure, dry and wet bulb temperature, crosswind velocity, circulating water flow rate, water and air temperature at the inlet and outlet of the tower. The parameters and measuring instruments are detailed in literature [8].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Cooling efficiency

Cooling efficiency $\eta$ is often used to indicate the thermal performance of NDWCTs. $\eta$ is defined as $\eta=(t_1-t_2)/(t_1-\tau)$, where $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the tower inlet and outlet water temperature respectively, $\tau$ is the wet bulb temperature of the inlet air.

3.2. Crosswinds effect on the cooling efficiency under different ambient temperatures

This section discusses three conditions with the ambient temperature $\theta_1=16$ °C, 20 °C and 24 °C, the circulating water flow rate $Q$ and the tower inlet water temperature $t_1$ are set as 6 L/min and 50 °C respectively, and the relative humidity $\phi$ is maintained at 58 %.
Figure 2 shows crosswinds effect on cooling efficiency under different ambient temperatures. When the crosswind velocity $v_c=0$ m/s, $\eta=25.7\%$, 25.1\% and 24.4\% under three conditions with $\theta_1=16^\circ$C, 20$^\circ$C and 24$^\circ$C. It can be seen that the higher $\theta_1$ is, the lower $\eta$ will become. This is because when the ambient temperature increases, the temperature difference between the air in the tower and the circulating water will decrease, the contact heat dissipation will decrease and finally the cooling performance will reduce. Therefore, the summer temperature is high, the cooling tower performance is poor, and the winter temperature is low, and is favorable to the cooling performance.

Figure 2 also shows that the trend of crosswinds effect on cooling efficiency is basically the same at different ambient temperatures. In the absence of crosswind, $\eta$ is higher. When the crosswind increases, $\eta$ will decrease first and then increase, and there exists the minimum value of $\eta$.

Based on the above, a new concept is given to describe this characteristic, that is, for a certain type of cooling tower at a certain structural parameters, environmental parameters and circulating water parameters, $v_c$ corresponding to the minimum $\eta$ is defined as critical crosswind velocity $v_{cr}$. $v_{cr}$ corresponds to the minimum $\eta$ under crosswind conditions, that is, when $v_c$ is lower than $v_{cr}$, $\eta$ will gradually reduce, and when $v_c$ is higher than $v_{cr}$, $\eta$ will gradually improve.

Figure 2 also reflects the effect of the ambient temperature on the critical crosswind velocity. It can be seen that when $\theta_1$ is 16$^\circ$C and 20$^\circ$C, $v_{cr}$ is 0.5 m/s and 0.4 m/s, while the corresponding $v_{cr}$ at $\theta_1=24^\circ$C is between 0.3 m/s and 0.4 m/s. This shows that the higher $\theta_1$ is, the smaller $v_{cr}$ will become, and the cooling tower is more susceptible to the adverse effect of environmental crosswinds, that is, the anti-crosswind capacity of cooling tower in the winter is stronger than that in the summer.

3.3. Crosswinds effect on the cooling efficiency under different circulating water flowrates

This section discusses three conditions with $Q=4$ L/min, 6 L/min and 8 L/min. And $t_1=50^\circ$C, $\theta_1=20^\circ$C and $\varphi=58\%$.

Figure 3 shows crosswinds effect on $\eta$ at different circulating water flowrates. When $v_c=0$ m/s, $\eta=32.0\%$, 25.1\% and 21.4\% under three conditions with $Q=4$ L/min, 6 L/min and 8 L/min. Obviously, when the other conditions are constant, the smaller $Q$ is, the higher $\eta$ will become.

It can be seen that there is a critical crosswind velocity in a variety of variable circulation water conditions. It is worth noting that the critical crosswind velocities corresponding to variable circulating water flowrate conditions are not the same. When $Q=4$ L/min and 6 L/min, the corresponding $v_{cr}$ are 0.3 m/s and 0.4 m/s. And at the case of $Q=8$ L/min, the corresponding $v_{cr}$ is between 0.5 m/s and 0.6 m/s. It can be seen that $v_{cr}$ will change along with the change of $Q$, and the larger $Q$ is, the bigger $v_{cr}$ will become. This shows that in the cooling tower operating process, the greater $Q$ is, the stronger the cooling tower will be to withstand the crosswinds effect, but the circulating pump power consumption will increase correspondingly. Therefore, in the actual operation of the cooling tower, it should deal with the relationship between the two factors, so that the cooling tower can meet the needs of cold end while achieving higher operating performance.
3.4. Crosswinds effect on the cooling efficiency under different tower inlet water temperatures

This section discusses three conditions with $t_1 = 40 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, 50 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 60 $^\circ\text{C}$. And other operating parameters are constant, with $Q = 6$ L/min, $\theta_1 = 20 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $\phi = 58 \, \%$.

Figure 4 shows crosswinds effect on the cooling efficiency at different tower inlet water temperatures. When $v_c = 0 \, \text{m/s}$, $\eta = 21.6\%$, 25.1% and 30.7% under the three conditions with $t_1 = 40 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, 50 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 60 $^\circ\text{C}$. Obviously, when the other parameters are constant, the higher $t_1$ is, the higher $\eta$ will become.

It can be seen from Figure 4 that there is a critical crosswind velocity $v_{cr}$ at different tower inlet water temperatures, corresponding to the minimum $\eta$ under crosswind condition, similar to the variable ambient temperature and circulation water flowrate conditions above.

The critical crosswind velocity $v_{cr}$ corresponding to the operating conditions $t_1 = 40 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, 50 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 60 $^\circ\text{C}$ is 0.3 m/s, 0.4 m/s and 0.5 m/s, respectively. It can be seen that the higher $t_1$ is, the bigger $v_{cr}$ will become. This indicates that a higher $t_1$ makes it easier to eliminate the adverse effect of crosswinds. But on the other hand, the higher $t_1$ also can deteriorate the condenser performance. Therefore, in the actual operation of the cooling tower, it should first ensure the safe and economical operation of the condenser, on this basis, it can be appropriate to improve the tower inlet water temperature. If you want to eliminate crosswinds effect, you should seek other effective wind protection measures.

3.5. Inferences about the critical crosswind velocity and dimensionlessness of the crosswind velocity

$Fr_c$ is defined as the crosswind Froude number, and that is $Fr_c = v_c / \sqrt{\Delta \rho g H_e / \rho}$. When a hot model test conforms to the kinematic similarity and thermo-dynamic similarity in the same time, the crosswind Froude number similarity will be satisfied naturally. According to the definition, $Fr_c$ reflects the relative relationship between the crosswind inertia force and the tower draft force, which characterizes the crosswind effect on the cooling performance. Each $Fr_c$ represents a cooling tower operating state under the effect of a crosswind, and the cooling tower operating state corresponding to $v_{cr}$ is recorded as $Fr_{cr}$. 

![Figure 3. Crosswinds effect on cooling efficiency under different circulating water flowrates](image)

![Figure 4. Crosswinds effect on cooling efficiency under different tower inlet water temperatures](image)
According to $Fr_c$ similarity, $v_c$ should proportionally vary with the tower draft force to keep the same cooling tower operating state. As mentioned above, when $\theta_1$ decreases, $Q$ and $t_1$ increases, the draft force of the cooling tower will increase, $v_c$ will increase correspondingly, which is required to achieve the same air flow state in the tower. And that is the fundamental reason for the change of critical crosswind velocity.

The crosswind velocity $v_c$ is dimensionless to the ratio of the crosswind velocity $v_c$ to the air velocity $v_f$ above the fill, denoted as the dimensionless crosswind velocity $V_c$. It can be found that $\eta$ achieves minimum value when $V_c=4$ at all cases, and the cooling performance becomes worst, that is, the dimensionless critical crosswind velocity $V_{cr} = v_{cr}/v_f = 4$. This conclusion has a strong theoretical and practical significance, that is, for a cooling tower, if you can get the air velocity above the fill, you can get the critical crosswind velocity corresponding to the worst cooling performance of the tower operating conditions.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the crosswinds effect on the cooling performance of cooling tower is studied based on hot model test when the ambient temperature, circulating water flowrate and tower inlet water temperature changes. Specific conclusions are as follows:

1) The crosswind has a negative effect on the cooling tower thermal performance. There is a critical crosswind velocity $v_{cr}$ corresponding to the lowest cooling efficiency, that is, when the crosswind velocity is lower than $v_{cr}$, the cooling performance will gradually reduce, and when the crosswind velocity is higher than $v_{cr}$, the cooling performance will gradually improve.

2) Cooling tower operation satisfies the crosswind Froude number similarity. When the ambient temperature decreases, the circulating water and the tower inlet water temperature increases, the cooling tower draft force will increase, the crosswind velocity will increase correspondingly, which is required to achieve the same flow state in the cooling tower. And that is the fundamental reason for the change of critical crosswind velocity.

3) At the dimensionless critical crosswind velocity $V_{c}=4$, the cooling efficiency $\eta$ achieves the minimum value, and the cooling tower has the worst performance. For a cooling tower, if you can get the air velocity above the fill, you can get the critical crosswind velocity corresponding to the worst cooling performance of the tower operating conditions.
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