Synthesis of Magnetite Nanoparticles by Top-Down Approach from a High Purity Ore
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This study attempts to synthesize magnetite nanoparticles from a high purity natural iron oxide ore found in Panvila, Sri Lanka, following a novel top-down approach. Powder X-Ray diffraction, elemental analysis, and chemical analysis data confirmed the ore to be exclusively magnetite with Fe²⁺ : Fe³⁺ ratio of 1:2. Surface modified magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized by destructuring of this ore using a top-down approach in the presence of oleic acid. These oleic acid coated nanoparticles were further dispersed in ethanol resulting in stable nanomagnetite dispersion. Interestingly, the nanoparticles demonstrated a spherical morphology with a particle size ranging from 20 to 50 nm. Magnetic force microscopic data was used to confirm the topography of the nanoparticles and to study the magnetic domain structure.

1. Background

Magnetite nanoparticles and their suspensions have shown widespread applications including wastewater treatment [1], heavy metal removal [2–5], magnetic recording devices [6, 7], magnetic data storage devices [8], toners and inks for electrophotography [9, 10], magnetic resonance imaging [11], bioseparation, targeted drug delivery [12–15], pigments [10], flocculants [16], and catalytic materials [16, 17].

Numerous methods for synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles have been reported. Among them, the most common method is coprecipitation of ferrous and ferric ions in alkaline medium [6, 13, 18–21]. In addition, magnetite nanoparticles can also be synthesized by thermal decomposition [19, 22], sol-gel techniques [23], hydrothermal synthesis [24], electrochemical synthesis [25, 26], microemulsion techniques [27], sonochemical synthesis [28], hydrolysis [29], and microwave assisted synthesis [26, 30].

Although chemical precipitation method has been identified as the most economical and practical method for magnetite nanoparticle synthesis, top-down approach involving crushing, milling, or grinding offers a simple environmentally friendly synthetic route despite the long grinding times involved [31]. Due to that interest, in very recent years, high energy ball milling has extensively been used to study the effect on the particle size, crystal size, and lattice strain in metals, metal oxides, metal alloys, and so forth [32–35]. Physicochemical properties of ball milled boron particles under two grinding techniques such as dry and wet grinding were investigated by Jung et al. [36]. They found that the size distribution, morphology, size reduction rate, and the degree of agglomeration of milled boron particles are affected by milling type as the wet milling process produced boron particles with narrower size distribution, smoother morphology, and less amount of agglomerated particles compared to dry milling process. In another study, Razavi-Tousi and Szpunar...
have investigated the effect of ball size on steady state of aluminum powder and efficiency of impacts during milling and they have concluded that, at a given mill speed and ball to powder ratio, a change in balls’ size can improve milling efficiency [37]. In addition, there are evidences to prove that the milling parameters such as speed and time have much impact on the crystallite size during the ball milling [38].

Almásy et al. have compared the properties and the structure of nanoparticles synthesized by coprecipitation and wet grinding methods. They have concluded that the magnetite nanoparticles resulted in by wet grinding process demonstrated higher saturation magnetization than those obtained by rapid coprecipitation method [31]. We report herein the synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles from a naturally occurring magnetite ore deposit located in Panvila, Sri Lanka, using a wet grinding approach involving significantly low grinding time.

Among several naturally occurring magnetite deposits in Sri Lanka, Panvila deposit is the purest of all recent discoveries with 93–98% magnetite and trace amounts of titanium dioxide as rutile (1.3–3.4%) and other elements [39]. Geologically, Panvila is situated well within the lithological formation of the highland group. The magnetite bands are sandwiched between crystalline highland group rocks running parallel to the Knuckles Massif. Panvila iron ore is found in high crystalline form with an ore size of approximately one million tons of magnetite.

2. Materials and Methods

The natural iron oxide (magnetite) samples were collected from Panvila, with the courtesy of Geological Survey and Mines Bureau (GSMB), Sri Lanka. Table 1 shows the physical properties of the ore deposit. The samples were used as recieved with no chemical modifications, unless stated otherwise. Oleic acid, H$_2$SO$_4$, H$_2$PO$_4$, HCl, KMnO$_4$, and ethanol used were of analytical grade. Deionized water was used for all the solution preparations.

Mineralogical phase identification of the natural ore and the synthesised samples was carried out using powder X-Ray diffraction (PXRD) technique using Bruker D8 Focus X-Ray powder diffractometer, using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm) over a 2θ range of 15–85° with a step size of 0.01° and a step time of 2 s. Morphological studies were carried out using HITACHI SU6600 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The morphology of the samples was studied using the secondary electron mode and scanning transmission mode. The solid samples were mounted on a carbon tape and coated with a thin layer of Au for SEM imaging. A drop of the magnetite dispersion in ethanol was placed on a carbon coated Cu mesh and dried for STEM analysis.

The elemental composition was recorded for powdered samples using Philips PW 2400 X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (XRF).

Magnetic force microscopic (MFM) imaging was performed using Park Systems, XE-100 atomic force microscope with a magnetic force microscopic attachment. Magnetite dispersion was mounted onto a mica substrate and dried at 100°C before microscopic observations. The scans were carried out on XY plane (Z-decoupled) in noncontact mode.

Particle size distribution and the zeta potential of the dispersions were determined using Malvern Zetasizer; nano ZS (size range, 0.6 nm–6 μm). Bruker Vertex 80 coupled with Ram-FT module (RAM II) Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (FTIR) in the range of 400 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$ was used to study the functional groups present in resulted oleic acid coated magnetite nanoparticles. The data was measured in transmittance mode using KBr pellet method with the ratio of 100 : 1 KBr to magnetite sample.

2.1. Determination of Fe$^{2+}$:Fe$^{3+}$ Ratio in the Ore. In order to determine the ratio between ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) to ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) ions in the magnetite ore, 0.25 g of powdered raw magnetite sample was dissolved in conc. HCl (10.00 cm$^3$) under an inert atmosphere (N$_2$). The stock solution was made up to 250.00 cm$^3$ using deionized water. Syrupy H$_3$PO$_4$ (5.00 cm$^3$) and 1 mol dm$^{-3}$ H$_2$SO$_4$ (28.00 cm$^3$) were added to the stock solution (25.00 cm$^3$) and titrated with 0.01 mol dm$^{-3}$ KMnO$_4$ to determine the Fe$^{2+}$ content in the ore according to (1). Zinc granules (~3 g) were added to 30 cm$^3$ of the above stock solution and stirred for 30 min. Then Fe$^{3+}$ ions will reduce into Fe$^{2+}$ ions (see (2)). A volume of 25.00 cm$^3$ of the resulting solution was treated with syrupy H$_3$PO$_4$ (5.00 cm$^3$) and H$_2$SO$_4$ (28.00 cm$^3$) and titrated with 0.01 mol dm$^{-3}$ KMnO$_4$ for the determination of total Fe$^{2+}$ ions. By that, the ratio between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ was calculated:

\[
5\text{Fe}^{2+} (aq) + \text{MnO}_4^- (aq) + 8\text{H}^+ (aq) \rightarrow 5\text{Fe}^{3+} (aq) + \text{Mn}^{2+} (aq) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} (l)
\]  

(1)

\[
2\text{Fe}^{3+} (aq) + \text{Zn} (s) \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} (aq) + \text{Zn}^{2+} (aq)
\]  

(2)

2.2. Synthesis of Surface Modified Magnetite Nanoparticles. A sample of 20 g of magnetite ore (MAG-Ore) was crushed into a powder using a mortar and a pestle resulting in crushed magnetite powder (Mag-Ore-Crush). The resulted magnetite particles were separated from the ore magnetically using a neodymium block magnet, with a 13,000 Gauss magnetic field strength (MAG-Ore-Sep), and were subjected to grinding in the presence of oleic acid (20 cm$^3$) using...
a FRITSCH Planeten-Mikromühle PULVERISSETTE 7 premium line grinder in an inert atmosphere (N₂). The grinding procedure was continued in a sequential manner as explained below:

(a) Sample of MAG-Ore-Sep was ground using 15 mm size tungsten carbide grinding balls and the resulted sample is MAG-Ole-Grd-1.

(b) MAG-Ole-Grd-1 is further ground using 5 mm size tungsten carbide balls resulting in MAG-Ole-Grd-2.

(c) MAG-Ole-Grd-2 is further ground using 3 mm zirconium oxide balls resulting in MAG-Ole-Grd-3.

(d) MAG-Ole-Grd-3 is further ground using 1 mm zirconium oxide balls resulting in MAG-Ole-Grd-4.

(e) MAG-Ole-Grd-4 is further ground using 0.5 mm zirconium oxide balls resulting in MAG-Ole-Grd-5.

Each grinding step was continued for 1 hr duration and the grinding was carried out at 700 rpm.

Large particles were separated from the oleic acid-nanomagnetite dispersion by centrifugation at 9000 rpm using Sigma 3–18 laboratory centrifuge for 30 min after each step. The particle size and the zeta potential of the MAG-Ole-Grd-5 dispersion were measured using Zetasizer. Ethanol was added dropwise into 1 cm³ of MAG-Ole-Grd-5 dispersion until a clear and stable solution was obtained prior to analyzing the particle size and zeta potential. SEM imaging, STEM imaging, and MFM imaging of MAG-Ole-Grd-5 were carried out for morphological analysis and magnetic structure studies of the resulting magnetite nanoparticles.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Magnetite Ore (MAG-Ore-Crush).

Figure 1(a) shows the PXRD pattern of the crushed magnetite sample obtained from the ore prior to magnetic separation. The major phase of the ore was identified as magnetite by referring to the ICDD data base (PDF number 01-089-0691). As shown in Figure 1(b), the product obtained after grinding, according to the suggested method, resulted in a solid material rich in magnetite. There are no detectable crystalline impurities in the final product. However, the intensity of major 311 diffraction peak has significantly reduced as a result of reduction in the crystallite size. Referring to the Scherrer formula, crystallite size of the magnetite powder resulting in after final grinding stage (MAG-Ole-Grd-5) was found to be 11 nm, where that of the initial powder sample (Mag-Ore-Crush) was 36 nm. Therefore, it is noteworthy to mention that the utilization of kinetic energy of the high density balls has affected the crystallite size reduction. Creation of large numbers of linear defects resulting in high dislocation density regions will lead to the reduction in crystallite size. During further milling, the sample volume exhibiting small grains extends throughout the entire specimen, hence decreasing the effective crystallite size [40]. However, the crystallite size of a crystalline material does not necessarily represent the particle size. In other words, a particle is made up with the combination of crystallites with grain boundaries to form polycrystalline material. It is further confirmed by Figure 3(a). Even though the crystallite size is 36 nm according to the Scherrer equation, the particle size of Mag-Ore-Crush in Figure 3(a) is in micron range. Table 2 shows the average percentages of major elements in five replicates of MAG-Ore-Crush. As evidenced by XRF analysis, the ore was rich in iron oxide.

According to the data obtained from the titrimetric method, the molar ratio between Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ was 1:2, which was in agreement with the molar ratio present in the magnetite (Fe₃O₄).

3.2. Oleic Acid Modified Magnetite Nanoparticles. Oleic acid, a long chain carboxylic acid, was used as a surface modifier during the wet grinding process in order to avoid any particle agglomeration. Unexpectedly, oleic acid coated magnetite nanoparticles with a size distribution of 20–50 nm were obtained by this approach. In aqueous systems of iron oxides, the surface oxygen atoms bound to Fe atoms undergo protonation with water to form surface hydroxyl groups. Surface hydroxyl groups are amphoteric and may react with either acids or bases. In aqueous dispersions, the surface of magnetite will either be positive or negative, depending on pH of the solution. In this study, oleic acid molecules react with the surface hydroxyl groups of the magnetite forming a stable coating around nanoparticles, thus preventing their agglomeration.

Particle size analysis obtained from Malvern Zetasizer nano ZS device showed that MAG-Ole-Grd-5 produced a single narrow peak within the range of 20–50 nm (See Figure 2).

Surprisingly and interestingly, such uniform particle size distribution for stable magnetite nanoparticles synthesized through top-down approach with reasonably reduced grinding time had not been previously reported. The uniform particle size distribution and the size range resulted due to the selection of proper organic medium to stabilize the nanoparticles, the grinding ball size, and ball material. This method
4 Journal of Nanomaterials

Table 2: Elemental compositions of the MAG-Ore-Crush obtained using Philips PW 2400 X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer.

| Element in oxide form | Composition (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| SiO₂                  | 0.36            |
| TiO₂                  | 2.57            |
| Al₂O₃                 | 2.91            |
| Fe₂O₃                 | 93.73           |
| MnO                   | 0.11            |
| MgO                   | 0.20            |
| CaO                   | <0.01           |
| Na₂O                  | <0.01           |
| K₂O                   | 0.07            |
| P₂O₅                  | 0.01            |

Figure 2: Size distribution of MAG-Ole-Grd-5 dispersed in ethanol obtained using Malvern Zetasizer; nano ZS.

has therefore led to exploration of a commercially viable top-down process for synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles from a natural ore.

SEM images given in Figures 3(a)–3(d) illustrate the particle size and the morphology obtained with grinding balls of different sizes. According to these figures, after the final grinding step with 0.5 mm zirconia balls (MAG-Ole-Grd-5), majority of the particles with spherical morphology and particle size less than 50 nm were produced. These evidences were further confirmed by the STEM image given in Figure 4(a), while Figure 4(b) shows the size distribution of 112 particles in few STEM images and also provides evidence to prove the particle sizes are ranging between 20–50 nm.

Magnetic domain structure and the topography image of the magnetite nanoparticles are shown in Figure 5. As shown in the topography image well defined magnetite particles are observed.

MFM images are formed by scanning the attractive and repulsive magnetostatic field with the interactions between the magnetic tip in vertical direction and the surface of a sample in horizontal position. Magnetic tip represents a magnetic dipole. In the image well-defined walls and domains can be observed where negative shifts (repulsions) appear as dark areas while positive shifts (attractive forces) appear bright in the MFM image. Regular shaped uniformly distributed domains (circular) are observed.

Zeta potential was measured for MAG-Ole-Grd-5 and the average zeta potential was +42 mV. Generally, for nanoparticles, a high zeta potential confers stability; that is, the solution or dispersion resists forming agglomerated particles. Accordingly, the above stated dispersions are in the highly stable range. It was also observed that these dispersions were stable over six months.

Figure 6(a) shows the FTIR spectrum of the magnetite nanoparticles coated with oleic acid. Here, the characteristic absorption of Fe–O bond appeared at 578 cm⁻¹, which is in close agreement with those reported previously [6, 41, 42]. Figure 6(b) shows the FTIR spectrum of pure oleic acid. Two sharp bands appearing at 2923 and 2852 cm⁻¹ were attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching frequencies of CH₂ groups of oleic acid, respectively. The intense peak at 1710 cm⁻¹ was due to the presence of C=O stretching and the band at 1285 cm⁻¹ was due to C–O stretching. In surface modified magnetite nanoparticles (Figure 6(a)), the asymmetric CH₂ stretching and the symmetric CH₂ stretching had shifted to 2920 and 2850 cm⁻¹, respectively. This observation confirmed that the adsorbed oleic acid molecules are in a closed pack crystalline state as a monolayer arrangement around the magnetite nanoparticles [22, 43]. Moreover, the C=O stretching band of the carboxyl group, which occurred at 1710 cm⁻¹ in Figure 6(b), was absent in Figure 6(a). Instead, there were two bands appearing at 1540 and 1410 cm⁻¹ which were characteristic of the asymmetric νₐ(COO⁻) and the symmetric νₛ(COO⁻) stretching [43] revealing that the oleic acid was chemisorbed onto the magnetite nanoparticles as a carboxylate.

It has been reported that, during grinding process, inelastic collisions between the particles and the balls destruct the particles leading to the formation of fresh interfaces between the reaction phases. Such processes involve dynamic deformation, fracturing, and cold welding. The frictional heat thus dissipated over collision areas is transferred from balls to particles that empowered the exposed Fe ions to react with oleic acid molecules. As a result, oleic acid is absorbed onto these freshly appeared surfaces through different modes of bonding such as H-bonding and van der Waals bonding. The successful synthesis of uniform sized magnetite nanoparticles by mechanical grinding process could be related particularly to the appropriate selection of the grinding medium, ball size, ball material, and milling time. This observation could be further explained by referring to Almásy et al. [31]. They have concluded that the deformation size in a powder particle that is trapped between balls during high impact milling process could be expressed as a function of the distance from the particle center to the contact area, the relative impact speed, the ball density, and the hardness of the particle [31]. When the grinding was performed using a given ball size at a particular grinding step, it was observed that the particle size reaches an equilibrium where the further grinding with grinding balls of the same size will not affect the size of
the particles. Therefore, the balls with less diameter compared to previous balls were introduced for the next grinding step. Then the particle size was further reduced and again reached equilibrium. Therefore, the sequential application of grinding with grinding balls of different size tends to form dispersion with narrow distribution of particle size.

4. Conclusions

Stable dispersion of oleic acid coated magnetite nanoparticles with uniform particle size distribution has been synthesized through top-down approach using a high purity ore available in Sri Lanka. The ore was wet-ground using
the FRITSCH Planeten-Mikromühle PULVERISETTE 7 premium line grinder in an inert atmosphere in the presence of oleic acid. By considering all the analytical methods such as particle size analysis, SEM imaging, STEM imaging, and AFM imaging, the particle size of the magnetite nanoparticles which resulted after final grinding step (MAG-Ole-Grd-5) is within the range of 20–50 nm and has a zeta potential value of +42 mV. This experiment was repeated three times and the results were reproducible. Significantly, this synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles through top-down approach significantly reduced the grinding time to 5 hrs compared to what was previously reported.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge Geological Survey and Mines Bureau (GMB), Sri Lanka, for providing magnetite ore samples and Mr. Sunanda Gunasekara for the technical support given throughout the experiment.

**References**

[1] A. A. Gungor, H. Nadaroglu, and N. Celebi, "Fenton process for basic red 9 degradation: immobilized apolaccase on a nanomagnetite system," Environmental and Experimental Biology, vol. 12, pp. 121–129, 2014.

[2] D. Mohan and C. U. Pittman Jr., "Arsenic removal from water/wastewater using adsorbents—a critical review," Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 142, no. 1-2, pp. 1–53, 2007.

[3] M. Hua, S. Zhang, B. Pan, W. Zhang, L. Lv, and Q. Zhang, "Heavy metal removal from water/wastewater by nanosized metal oxides: a review," Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 211-212, pp. 317–331, 2012.

[4] J. W. Farrell, J. Fortner, S. Work et al., "Arsenic removal by nanoscale magnetite in Guanajuato, Mexico," Environmental Engineering Science, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 393–402, 2014.

[5] C. T. Yavuz, J. T. Mayo, C. Suchecki et al., "Pollution magnet: nano-magnetite for arsenic removal from drinking water," Environmental Geochemistry and Health, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 327–334, 2010.

[6] J. Sun, S. Zhou, P. Hou et al., "Synthesis and characterization of biocompatible Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles," Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 333–341, 2007.
[7] A. G. Roca, D. Niznansky, J. Poltierova-Vejpravova et al., “Magnetite nanoparticles with no surface spin canting,” Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 105, no. 11, Article ID 114309, 2009.
[8] B. Duong, H. Khurshid, P. Gangopadhyay et al., “Enhanced magnetism in highly ordered magnetite nanoparticle-filled nanohole arrays,” Small, vol. 10, no. 14, pp. 2840–2848, 2014.
[9] M. Ataeefard, E. Ghasemi, and M. Ebadi, “Effect of microand nanomagnetite on printing toner properties,” The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2014, Article ID 706367, 7 pages, 2014.
[10] M. Shabanian, M. Khoobi, F. Hemati et al., “New PLA/PEI-functionalized Fe3O4 nanocomposite: preparation and characterization,” Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, vol. 24, pp. 211–218, 2015.
[11] H. B. Na, I. C. Song, and T. Hyeon, “Inorganic nanoparticles for MRI contrast agents,” Advanced Materials, vol. 21, no. 21, pp. 2133–2148, 2009.
[12] J. Chomoucka, J. Drbohlavova, D. Huska, V. Adam, R. Kizek, and J. Hubalek, “Magnetic nanoparticles and targeted drug delivering,” Pharmaceutical Research, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 144–149, 2010.
[13] R. Y. Hong, T. T. Pan, and H. Z. Li, “Microwave synthesis of magnetic Fe3O4 nanoparticles used as a precursor of nanocomposites and ferrofluids,” Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, vol. 303, no. 1, pp. 60–68, 2006.
[14] A. Z. Wilczewska, K. Niemirowicz, K. H. Markiewicz, and H. Car, “Nanoparticles as drug delivery systems,” Pharmaceutical Reports, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1020–1037, 2012.
[15] F. Dong, W. Guo, J.-H. Bae, S.-H. Kim, and C.-S. Ha, “Highly porous, water-soluble, superparamagnetic, and biocompatible magnetite nanocrystal clusters for targeted drug delivery,” Chemistry—A European Journal, vol. 17, no. 45, pp. 12802–12808, 2011.
[16] M. Mohapatra and S. Anand, “Synthesis and applications of nano-structured iron oxides/hydroxides—a review,” International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 127–146, 2011.
[17] M. B. Gawande, P. S. Branco, and R. S. Varma, “Nano-magnetite (Fe3O4) as a support for recyclable catalysts in the development of sustainable methodologies,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 3371–3393, 2013.
[18] K. S. Wilson, L. A. Harris, J. D. Goff, J. S. Rüffle, and J. P. Dailey, “A generalized method for magnetite nanoparticle steric stabilization utilizing block copolymers containing carboxylic acids,” European Cells and Materials, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 206–209, 2002.
[19] W. Wu, Q. He, and C. Jiang, “Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis and surface functionalization strategies,” Nanoscale Research Letters, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 397–415, 2008.
[20] Y.-K. Jeong, D.-K. Shin, H.-J. Lee, K.-S. Oh, J.-H. Lee, and D.-H. Riu, “Nano magnetite particles prepared under the combined addition of urea and ammonia,” Key Engineering Materials, vol. 317–318, pp. 203–206, 2006.
[21] Z. Li, Q. Sun, and M. Gao, “Preparation of water-soluble magnetite nanocrystals from hydrated ferric salts in 2-pyrrolidone: mechanism leading to Fe3O4,” Angewandte Chemie—International Edition, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 123–126, 2004.
[22] L. Zhang, R. He, and H.-C. Gu, “Oleic acid coating on the monosilicate magnetite nanoparticles,” Applied Surface Science, vol. 253, no. 5, pp. 2611–2617, 2006.
[23] A. Khodabakhshi, M. M. Amin, and M. Mozaffari, “Synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles and evaluation of its efficiency for arsenic removal from simulated industrial wastewater,” Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 189–200, 2011.
[24] S. Xuan, L. Hao, W. Jiang, X. Gong, Y. Hu, and Z. Chen, “Preparation of water-soluble magnetite nanocrystals through hydrothermal approach,” Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, vol. 308, no. 2, pp. 210–213, 2007.
[25] H. Karami and E. Chidar, “Pulsed-electrochemical synthesis and characterizations of magnetite nanorods,” International Journal of Electrochemical Science, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 2077–2090, 2012.
[26] F. Fajaroh, H. Setyawan, W. Widiyastuti, and S. Winardi, “Synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles by surfactant-free electrochemical method in an aqueous system,” Advanced Powder Technology, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 328–333, 2012.
[27] T. H. Nguyen, H. H. Nguyen, and H. L. Nguyen, “Effects of the conditions of the microemulsion preparation on the properties of Fe3O4 nanoparticles,” Journal of Science VNU, Natural Sciences and Technology, vol. 24, pp. 9–15, 2008.
[28] Y. Mizukoshi, T. Shuto, N. Masahashi, and S. Tanabe, “Preparation of superparamagnetic magnetite nanoparticles by reverse precipitation method: contribution of sonochemically generated oxidants,” Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 525–531, 2009.
[29] N. Chomchoey, D. Bhongsuwan, and T. Bhongsuwan, “Magnetic properties of magnetite nanoparticles synthesized by oxidative alkaline hydrolysis of iron powder,” Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 963–971, 2010.
[30] W.-W. Wang, Y.-J. Zhu, and M.-L. Ruan, “Microwave-assisted synthesis and magnetic property of magnetite and hematite nanoparticles,” Journal of Nanoparticle Research, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 419–426, 2007.
[31] L. Almays, D. Creanga, C. Nadejde, L. Rosta, E. Pomjakushina, and M. Ursache-Opresan, “Wet milling versus co-precipitation in magnetite ferrofluid preparation,” Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 367–376, 2015.
[32] S.-H. Back, G.-H. Lee, and S. Kang, “Effect of cryomilling on particle size and microstrain in a WC–Co alloy,” Materials Transactions, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 105–110, 2005.
[33] S. Vives, E. Gaffet, and C. Meunier, “X-ray diffraction line profile analysis of iron ball milled powders,” Materials Science and Engineering A, vol. 366, no. 2, pp. 229–238, 2004.
[34] A. Mahmoud, H. Walsly, and M. Dohheim, “Studies of crystallite size and lattice strain in Al–Al2O3 powders produced by high-energy mechanical milling,” Journal of Engineering Sciences, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1430–1439, 2014.
[35] A. N. Maratkanova, A. V. Syugaev, D. A. Petrov, and S. F. Lomayeva, “Structural characterization and microwave properties of chemically functionalized iron particles obtained by high-energy ball milling in paraffin-containing organic environment,” Powder Technology, vol. 274, pp. 349–361, 2015.
[36] H. J. Jung, Y. Sohn, H. G. Sung, H. S. Hyun, and W. G. Shin, “Physicochemical properties of ball milled boron particles: dry vs. wet ball milling process,” Powder Technology, vol. 269, pp. 548–553, 2015.
[37] S. Razavi-Tousi and J. Szpunar, “Effect of ball size on steady state of aluminum powder and efficiency of impacts during milling,” Powder Technology, vol. 284, pp. 149–158, 2015.
[38] M. Muslimin and M. Yusof, “The effect of high-energy milling on the crystallite size of alumina,” Journal of Nuclear and Related Technologies, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 95–102, 2009.
[39] A. Senaratne, H. A. D. Dharmagunawardena, and G. W. A. R. Fernando, "Discovery of a new primary magnetite deposit in Wellawaya," in Proceedings of the Annual Research Sessions (PURSE '01), vol. 6, p. 133, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka, November 2001.

[40] H. Ahamed and V. S. Kumar, "A comparative study on the milling speed for the synthesis of nano-structured Al 6063 alloy powder by mechanical alloying," Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization & Engineering, vol. 10, no. 06, pp. 507–515, 2011.

[41] T. J. Daou, S. Begin-Colin, J. M. Grenèche et al., "Phosphate adsorption properties of magnetite-based nanoparticles," Chemistry of Materials, vol. 19, no. 18, pp. 4494–4505, 2007.

[42] A. M. Atta, H. A. Al-Lohedan, and S. A. Al-Hussain, "Synthesis of stabilized myrrh-capped hydrocolloidal magnetite nanoparticles," Molecules, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 11263–11278, 2014.

[43] N. Wu, L. Fu, M. Su, M. Aslam, K. C. Wong, and V. P. Dravid, "Interaction of fatty acid monolayers with cobalt nanoparticles," Nano Letters, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 383–386, 2004.
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com