Embedding High-Level Knowledge into DQNs to Learn Faster and More Safely
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Abstract
Deep reinforcement learning has been successfully applied in many decision making scenarios. However, the slow training process and difficulty in explaining limit its application. In this paper, we attempt to address some of these problems by proposing a framework of Rule-interposing Learning (RIL) that embeds knowledge into deep reinforcement learning. In this framework, the rules dynamically effect the training progress, and accelerate the learning. The embedded knowledge in form of rule not only improves learning efficiency, but also prevents unnecessary or disastrous explorations at early stage of training. Moreover, the modularity of the framework makes it straightforward to transfer high-level knowledge among similar tasks.

1 Introduction
Deep reinforcement learning (Mnih et al. 2013) has been successfully applied in many dynamic decision making scenarios. However, like deep learning, it suffers from problems like being brittle and not easily explainable. The training time is also often very long and suffers from “cold start” - performing very badly at the beginning. Furthermore, for applications in robotics and critical decision support systems, the lack of a guarantee that the system won’t do anything disastrous is also of concern.

There have been many related approaches proposed. In (Zahavy, Zrihem, and Mannor 2016), the behaviors of neural network is visualized to increase the transparency. Some other combine symbolic methods or high-level knowledge with deep reinforcement learning, such as Hierarchical Deep Reinforcement Learning (Kulkarni et al. 2016) and DSRL. Imitation Learning approaches learn directly from human. Related works can be found in (Zhang et al. 2019). We omit many other references due to the space limit.

Different from previous work, we propose a new framework named Rule-Interposing Learning (RIL) to embed human knowledge into the deep reinforcement learning. We have implemented our framework and tried it on some well-known games such as Flappy Bird, Space War, Breakout, and Grid World. The results show that good heuristic rules work as accelerators that make DQN learn faster and safety rules work as guards that make DQN learn more safely.

To be specific, in RIL, the model randomly gets a sample from the replay memory for training, and calculates the predicted Q-value for every valid action:

\[ Q^*(s, a) = E_{s' \sim T} \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(s', a') | s, a \right] \] (1)

The agent selects a random action with probability \( \varepsilon \), otherwise select the action with maximal Q-value. But unlike original DQN, before the execution of selected action, RIL passes the action into rule set. The rule set maintains a pool of legal actions for each rule in knowledge base \( R \). If the selected action violates the knowledge, RIL rejects the action and suggest a new one under probability \( P_t = p_0 \cdot \gamma^t \), where \( p_0 \) is a given initial probability, \( \gamma \) is the decay rate, and \( t \) is the timestamp. After the rejection, a random legal action is selected to be executed. We demonstrate RIL’s performance under two rule-interposing schemes:

**Acceleration rules:** the rules with probability \( P_t = p_0 \cdot \gamma^t \) where \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \). Given existing knowledge about the task, some explorations are unnecessary and can be pruned. As a consequence, under the instruction of these rules as a priori, a DQN learns faster.

**Safety rules:** the rules with probability \( P_t = p_0 \cdot \gamma^t \) where \( p_0 = 1 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \). In this case, the rule will be always on, overseeing the training process. Once the decision made by DQN is considered dangerous by the safety rules, it’ll be rejected and replaced to a safe one given by knowledge base.

Formally, for a given domain, the knowledge base \( R \) consists of rules of form \((\eta, \delta)\) where \( \eta \) is a first-order logic proposition indicating some environmental condition, and \( \delta \) is a set of conditionally recommended actions, which is a subset of action space. For convenience, the two parts of a given rule \( r \in R \) are written as functions in the rest of the paper, denoted respectively by \( \eta(r) \) and \( \delta(r) \). Denote activation set of rule \( r \) at timestamp \( t \) as

\[ \alpha(r, t) = \begin{cases} \delta(r) & \text{if } \eta(r) \text{ is true at timestamp } t \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

The activation set \( \alpha(r, t) \) contains all actions suggested by rule \( r \) at time \( t \), and it is obviously also a subset of action
2 Experiments

We implement our framework on several games as shown in Figure 1. DQN model is used to compare with. For the sake of fairness, we use the same hyper-parameter setting and neural network implemented among the RIL and DQN. The network consists of three convolution layers, one hidden layer and the output layer.

In Flappy Bird, we use a rule set to tell the bird not to fly too high or too low, when it is flying across a pair of pipes. Formally, knowledge base in Flappy Bird $R_{fb} = \{r_1, r_2\}$, where $\eta(r_1) =$ crossing($p_u, p_l$) $\land$ less(distance(bird, $p_u$), size(bird)), and $\delta(r_1) = \{\text{flap}\}$, and $\eta(r_2) =$ crossing($p_u, p_l$) $\land$ less(distance(bird, $p_u$), size(bird)), and $\delta(r_2) = \{\text{null}\}$, where ($p_u$, $p_l$) is the pair of pipes that the bird is flying across.

In Space War, a greedy strategy is used: always move to the horizontally nearest enemy jet. Formally, knowledge base in Space war is $R_{sw} = \{r_3, r_4\}$, where $\eta(r_3) =$ on_left(nearest_jet, agent) and $\eta(r_4) =$ on_right(nearest_jet, agent) and $\delta(r_3) = \{\text{move_left}\}$, and $\delta(r_4) = \{\text{move_left}\}$.

In Breakout, we use following strategy: if the ball is on the left-hand side of the paddle, then the paddle should move left, the similar when it is on the right-hand side of the paddle. Formally, the knowledge base for Breakout is $R_{bo} = \{r_5, r_6\}$, where $\eta(r_5) =$ on_left(ball, paddle) and $\delta(r_5) = \{\text{move_left}\}$, and $\eta(r_6) =$ on_right(ball, paddle) and $\delta(r_6) = \{\text{move_right}\}$.

In Grid World, we use the knowledge base $R_{gw}$ with a single safety rule $r_7$, which takes effect when the agent is in the neighbor of a trap, where $\eta(r_7) =$ near_trap $\land$ trap_in(directions), and $\delta(r_7) = \mathcal{A} - \{\text{move}(dir) : \text{dir} \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

The criterion that we use to evaluate the agent’s performance is the average reward the agent gains in training episodes. The performance is shown in Figure 2. The plot of average reward of training episodes indicates obvious improvement on learning efficiency and exploration safety.

3 Conclusion

In this demonstration, we briefly introduce the RIL framework for integrating high-level rules and deep Q-learning and demonstrate the corresponding experiment result that support our idea. We believe that RIL is a general enough to be used in other deep learning algorithms.
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