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Color signals, including ultraviolet (UV) signals, are widespread throughout the animal kingdom and color changes can be influenced by reproductive and motivational state. However, studies on dynamic changes of UV signals are scarce. Threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) that show intraspecific UV communication were used to study dynamic UV signaling in females. Reflectance measurements were taken from the distended abdomen, which serves as signal of female fecundity and readiness to spawn for courting males, and the melanized dorsal region. Scans were taken during egg maturation as well as before and after stimulation with a male to investigate context-dependent color changes. We used a physiological model of vision to determine how females might be perceived by conspecifics and quantified chromatic contrasts among both body regions and between body regions and the background for all stages. Females showed a significant increase in abdominal UV intensity during egg maturation and in response to a courting male. Measures of chromatic contrast among body regions (abdomen vs. dorsal region) and against the background (abdomen vs. background) were also increased during egg maturation and in response to the male stimulus (abdomen vs. background). Our results provide evidence for dynamic UV signaling in females in a reproductive context.

Throughout the animal kingdom manifold elaborate ornamental traits can be found, which are often the result of sexual selection. Fishes show an extraordinarily huge variation in coloration. The diversity of colors found in nature is based on complex, three-dimensional structures, which often contain multiple pigment types or have different structural properties. Two types of chromatophores (color cells) are distinguished: pigmented and structural cells. There are five pigmented chromatophore types in fish: melanophores (black color), xanthophores (yellow color), erythrophores (red color), cyanophores (blue color) and leucophores (white color). Iridophores (metallic or iridescent color) are the only structural color cell in fish and responsible for the silvery sheen of many fish species. These structural cells, unlike pigment-based cells that work on the basis of pigment granules moving towards the cell periphery to produce the respective colors, contain guanine crystals that produce different colors depending on arrangement.

Color signals are most often dynamic and may vary depending on context and color change can be seasonal or ephemeral. Seasonal color changes are promoted morphologically by changing the number of chromatophores, while ephemeral color changes (physiological color change) can be accomplished very rapidly either through an aggregation or dispersal of the pigment-containing organelles within the chromatophores or through a change of reflective capacities of iridophores. Conspicuous coloration and color changes are widespread during the reproductive season, and often changes are influenced by factors such as body condition, motivational state, social status or reproductive status and intention during courtship and vary with respect to structure and time.

The development of a conspicuous courtship coloration in general is most often attributed to males, however, female coloration is widespread. Courtship coloration has been shown to be dynamic, e.g. during certain stages of the breeding cycle, and may as well be enhanced or diminished to signal aspects of the female reproductive status or female quality. The threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus), used as model organism in this study, is a small cold-water fish exhibiting a pronounced sexual dichromatism during the reproductive season. Outside the breeding season males and females are mottled black on a silvery-gray ground, although there exists genetic variation of patterns between populations. At the beginning of the breeding season males develop a characteristic carotenoid-based red-orange throat coloration. In contrast, breeding females are characterized by a silvery flank and abdominal
of an intersexual stimulus on female color expression. Computer animations work very well in sticklebacks and body size\(^5\), symmetry\(^5\) and red throat\(^5\). We thus used a computer animation to standardize tests on the influence were tested and families were never used twice.

In total, sixteen females again. Three measuring points were later taken into account: a) after stripping, b) during the ripening process (as the egg-ripening cycle). Right after stripping, reflectance measurements were conducted at the abdomen and in the melanized dorsal region below the second spine (see Fig. 1 and below for details). Afterwards females were moved to individual tanks (30 cm $\times$ 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm). Reflectance measurements were continued every second day until females were ripe again. Three measuring points were later taken into account: a) after stripping, b) during the ripening process (as the mean of all measurements between stripping and being ripe again), and c) being ripe. In total, sixteen females were tested and families were never used twice.

Several studies have dealt with the dynamic expression of male courtship signals in the human-visible wavelength range indicating temporal changes in the red mosaic signal\(^4\)–\(^6\). Moreover, changes in sensitivity for red coloration with changing reproductive status have been reported for both stickleback sexes\(^5\)–\(^8\). However, the dynamics of UV signals have been overlooked thus far and nothing is known about changes in UV signaling during the egg-ripening cycle of females as well as about short-term dynamics of UV signaling. Overall, in comparison to studies focusing on male color changes, only a limited amount of studies have taken dynamics of female coloration\(^9\)–\(^11\) and the dynamics of signals in the UV spectral range into account\(^12\)–\(^18\). A recent study by White \textit{et al.}\(^1\) on the butterfly \textit{Hypolimnas bolina} showed dynamics in male UV coloration of the dorsal wing that were not dependent on changes in structural coloration, but on changes in viewing angle during courtship. Kasukawa \textit{et al.}\(^1\) and Mäthger \textit{et al.}\(^1\) demonstrated immediate color changes in the UV spectral region, while Ornborg \textit{et al.}\(^1\), for example, showed seasonal changes in UV structural color for both sexes in the blue tit (\textit{Parus caeruleus}). However, the studies dealing with the dynamics of UV signals did not explicitly consider short-term changes in a reproductive context.

In the present study, we thus tested for color changes in the UV spectral range both during the egg-ripening cycle of female threespine sticklebacks and for short-term changes in coloration in response to a standardized computer-animated male in an interspecific courtship context.

**Methods**

**Ethics statement.** The study conforms to the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour Guidelines for the use of animals in research as well as to the legal requirements of Germany. The parental generation of the F1 sticklebacks used in this study was purchased from a commercial fisherman (Texel, the Netherlands), who has the permission to catch the fish. The study was approved by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (Project No. BA 2885/1-5). No further licenses were needed.

**Experimental subjects.** Threespine sticklebacks of an anadromous population were caught during their spring migration in April 2011 on the island of Texel, the Netherlands. Fish from this population possess UV vision as they discriminated between light habitats differing only in UV spectral content\(^1\). Test fish were the F1 generation of random crosses of these wild-caught ancestors. Eggs were laid between May and August 2011 and were taken out of the males’ nests shortly after fertilization. In total, 26 families were produced. Each clutch was kept in an 1-l plastic box aerated with an airstone and illuminated by fluorescent tubes, which provided light with a proportion of UV similar to natural daylight (TRuelight, T8/18W, T8/36W, T8/58W) in an air-conditioned room (17 ± 1°C) under summer conditions (day/night 16 h/8 h). All fish were fed to excess with \textit{Artemia nauplii} until they were 20 weeks old. At 20 weeks of age fish were transferred to larger holding tanks measuring L 50 cm $\times$ W 30 cm $\times$ H 30 cm, equipped with an internal filter and were fed from thereon to excess with frozen mosquito larvae (\textit{Chironomus spec.}). The light regime was changed to winter conditions (day/night 8 h/16 h) on October 15\(^{th}\) 2011 and on June 15\(^{th}\) 2012 light conditions were changed back to a standardized summer light regime (day/night 16 h/8 h) in order to simulate the beginning of the breeding season four weeks prior to experiments.

**Experimental design.** Two different experiments were conducted to test whether UV color signals of stickleback females vary during the course of an egg-ripening cycle (experiment 1) and as short-term response to an intersexual stimulus, before and after being visually exposed to a standardized computer-animated courting male (experiment 2).

1. **Egg-ripening cycle.** Receptive females were caught from their holding tanks and were then stripped of all eggs. Right after stripping, reflectance measurements were conducted at the abdomen and in the melanized dorsal region below the second spine (see Fig. 1 and below for details). Afterwards females were moved to individual tanks (30 cm $\times$ 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm). Reflectance measurements were continued every second day until females were ripe again. Three measuring points were later taken into account: a) after stripping, b) during the ripening process (as the mean of all measurements between stripping and being ripe again), and c) being ripe. In total, sixteen females were tested and families were never used twice.

2. **Short-term response.** Stickleback females react to multiple visual traits of potential mating partners (e.g. body size\(^2\), symmetry\(^3\) and red throat\(^4\)). We thus used a computer animation to standardize tests on the influence of an intersexual stimulus on female color expression. Computer animations work very well in sticklebacks and
have been used frequently. The computer-animated male stimulus was adopted from a previous study and the video colors of the stimulus presentation were modified according to the spectral characteristics of the ‘natural’ red breeding signal from reproductively active males as perceived through the stickleback visual system. The RGB values (R = 238, G = 61, B = 8) assigned to the red courtship coloration of the artificial male correspond to intensely red colored males from the present study population. The basic computer animation used was constructed by Künzler & Bakker and lasts 150 seconds in total. The sequence starts with the display of a gray-colored landscape (5 s), followed by the entrance of the computer-animated red-colored male on the left, which shows fanning and zig-zagging movements (courtship behavior) (28 s). The whole sequence is repeated four times after which the male leaves the scene and an empty background is visible again.

At first, ripe females (N = 23, families were never used twice) were gently netted from the holding tanks and were isolated in single aquaria (30 cm × 20 cm × 20 cm). The following day, reflectance measurements were conducted at the distended UV-reflecting abdomen and in the melanized dorsal region below the second spine (see Fig. 1 and below for details). Right afterwards females were transferred back to their home aquarium, which also served as experimental aquarium to reduce stress due to handling for the females. The aquarium was positioned in front of a computer monitor (ViewSonic G90fB, Model VS10794) and was elevated to match the height of the computer screen. The screen was covered up by black wallpaper, so that the fish was only able to view the computer animation through a 10 cm × 6 cm viewing window cut into the black paper but not the rest of the screen. The animation was controlled via a laptop (Fujitsu Siemens V5535). The lighting conditions (illumination provided by Truelight T8/36W fluorescent tubes) during testing resembled those during rearing and during the short isolation phase of the females. Fish were allowed to acclimatize for ten minutes, during which the empty landscape was visible on the computer screen. After this acclimation time, the computer animation was started and repeatedly shown for ten minutes. Afterwards, reflectance measurements were taken again.

Reflectance measurements. All reflectance measurements were taken using an Avantes AvaSpec 2048 fiber-optic spectrophotometer in the abdominal region and in the dorsal region below the second spine (see Fig. 1). The dorsolateral bar-like pattern found in some stickleback populations was absent in our study population. Light was provided by a deuterium-halogen-light source (Avantes AvaLight-DHS Deuterium-Halogen Light Sources, 200–1100 nm). Reflectance scans were taken relative to a 98% Spectralon white standard (300–700 nm) and conducted with a bifurcated 200 micron fiber-optic probe and a fitted black cap (angle: 45°), which was held to the body surface in a distance of 3 mm. The software Avantes AvaSoft 7.5 was used to record individual scans (20 per measurement), which were exported to Microsoft Excel (see Fig. 2 for mean reflectance spectra of ripe females).
Results

(A) Egg-ripening cycle. In the abdominal region, females differed significantly with respect to achieved chroma $r_A$ ("lmem", $N = 16, \chi^2 = 10.116, df = 2, p = 0.006$) and UV chroma ("lmem", $N = 16, \chi^2 = 41.675, df = 2, p < 0.001$) over the complete course of the egg-ripening cycle (stripped, ripening, ripe). Pairwise comparisons between the measuring points "stripped" and "ripening" revealed a significantly enhanced UV chroma during the ripening process (Table 1). The achieved chroma $r_A$ and the UV chroma were furthermore significantly higher in "ripe" females than in "stripped" females (Table 1). Comparing "ripening" and "ripe", achieved chroma $r_A$ and UV chroma were significantly higher in ripe females (Table 1).
Chromatic contrast between abdomen and background ("lme", N = 16; χ² = 11.912, df = 2, p = 0.003; Fig. 4) and between abdomen and dorsal region ("lme", N = 16; χ² = 9.143, df = 2, p = 0.010; Fig. 4) differed significantly between the different stages of the egg-ripening cycle. The chromatic contrast between the dorsal region and the background did not differ significantly between stages ("lme", N = 16; χ² = 2.574, df = 2, p = 0.276; Fig. 4). Pairwise comparisons showed the chromatic contrast between abdomen and background was significantly higher in ripe females than in stripped or ripening females (Table 1; Fig. 4). Furthermore, chromatic contrast between abdomen and the dorsal region was significantly higher in ripe females than in stripped females (Table 1; Fig. 4).

Table 1. Results of the pairwise analysis of female color variables during the egg-ripening cycle. Given are achieved chroma rₐ, UV chroma and chromatic contrasts (CC) (abdomen vs. background; abdomen vs. dorsal region; dorsal region vs. background) calculated from reflectance spectra from females' abdomen at three points of time during the female egg-ripening cycle: 1) female stripped of eggs (stripped), 2) throughout the ripening process (ripening) and 3) ripe females (ripe). Tests of significance were based on likelihood-ratio tests (LRT) that follow a χ²-distribution, hence, degrees of freedom always differed by one. Significant results (p < 0.05) are printed in bold, tendencies (0.05 < p < 0.10) are printed in italics. SD = standard deviation. N = 16.

| Dependent variable | Explanatory variable | χ² | df  | p   | First point of time | Second point of time |
|--------------------|----------------------|----|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------------|
|                    |                      |    |     |     | mean   | SD     | mean   | SD     |
| achieved chroma rₐ (abdomen) | stripped vs. ripening | 0.585 | 1   | 0.444 | 0.124 ± 0.042 | 0.140 ± 0.074 |
| UV chroma (abdomen) | stripped vs. ripening | 21.64 | 1   | <0.001 | 0.220 ± 0.038 | 0.298 ± 0.043 |
| CC (abdomen vs. background) | stripped vs. ripening | 0.137 | 1   | 0.711 | 0.119 ± 0.031 | 0.116 ± 0.019 |
| CC (abdomen vs. dorsal region) | stripped vs. ripening | 2.576 | 1   | 0.109 | 0.083 ± 0.039 | 0.107 ± 0.048 |
| CC (dorsal region vs. background) | stripped vs. ripening | 2.743 | 1   | 0.098 | 0.096 ± 0.014 | 0.089 ± 0.019 |
| achieved chroma rₐ (abdomen) | stripped vs. ripe | 4.459 | 1   | 0.035 | 0.124 ± 0.042 | 0.195 ± 0.072 |
| UV chroma (abdomen) | stripped vs. ripe | 6.109 | 1   | 0.014 | 0.220 ± 0.038 | 0.339 ± 0.047 |
| CC (abdomen vs. background) | stripped vs. ripe | 6.395 | 1   | 0.011 | 0.119 ± 0.031 | 0.148 ± 0.031 |
| CC (abdomen vs. dorsal region) | stripped vs. ripe | 9.322 | 1   | 0.002 | 0.083 ± 0.039 | 0.136 ± 0.058 |
| CC (dorsal region vs. background) | stripped vs. ripe | 1.394 | 1   | 0.238 | 0.096 ± 0.014 | 0.089 ± 0.021 |
| achieved chroma rₐ (abdomen) | ripening vs. ripe | 10.287 | 1   | 0.001 | 0.140 ± 0.074 | 0.195 ± 0.072 |
| UV chroma (abdomen) | ripening vs. ripe | 35.659 | 1   | <0.001 | 0.298 ± 0.043 | 0.339 ± 0.047 |
| CC (abdomen vs. background) | ripening vs. ripe | 10.794 | 1   | 0.001 | 0.116 ± 0.019 | 0.148 ± 0.031 |
| CC (abdomen vs. dorsal region) | ripening vs. ripe | 2.374 | 1   | 0.123 | 0.107 ± 0.048 | 0.136 ± 0.058 |
| CC (dorsal region vs. background) | ripening vs. ripe | 0.007 | 1   | 0.935 | 0.089 ± 0.019 | 0.089 ± 0.021 |

Figure 4. Chromatic contrasts between body regions and background during egg-ripening cycle. Shown are chromatic contrasts (abdomen vs. background, abdomen vs. dorsal region and dorsal region vs. background) of females during the egg-ripening cycle after being stripped (white bars), during the ripening process (light gray bars) and finally when ripe again (dark gray bars). Plotted are means and standard deviations. n.s. p > 0.10; (*): 0.05 < p < 0.10; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

(B) Short-term response. The achieved chroma rₐ as well as the UV chroma of females was significantly higher after being exposed to the standardized computer-animated reproductive male than before (Table 2; Fig. 2). The chromatic contrast between the abdominal region and the experimental background was significantly enhanced after the animation (Table 2; Fig. 5), while the chromatic contrast between the abdomen and dorsal
region as well as between the dorsal region and the background did not change significantly after the presentation of the male stimulus (Table 2).

Discussion

The present study shows that the intensity of UV reflections in the abdominal region of female sticklebacks varied considerably during the course of the egg-ripening cycle. The two measures used for color intensity in the UV spectral range, achieved chroma $r_A$ and UV chroma, significantly increased throughout the ripening process and reached their maximum expression when females were ripe. A similar pattern was shown for the short-term response: achieved chroma $r_A$ and UV chroma were significantly enhanced after the animation with a standardized reproductively-active courting male. UV coloration has previously been shown to be important in male mate-choice decisions in sticklebacks\textsuperscript{36}. The varying intensities of abdominal UV reflections, peaking when females were ripe, hint at a role of UV in signaling female fecundity and readiness to spawn. There exist several possibilities of enhancing conspicuousness for conspecifics; either the UV reflection itself, contrast among ornaments or contrast against the background serves to enhance conspicuousness\textsuperscript{69}. Studies on sticklebacks have shown that contrast among ornaments\textsuperscript{70} and against the background\textsuperscript{24} serve to increase conspicuousness in social contexts.

In the present study, the results of the comparisons of chromatic contrast indicate that color contrast between the distended abdomen and the visual background is an important factor in female signaling. A higher contrast was detected for the abdomen against the experimental background (during the course of the egg-ripening cycle and as short-term response) and against the darker dorsal region (only over the course of the egg-ripening cycle) when females were ripe respectively had encountered a potential mating partner. The increase in color intensity in the UV spectral range respectively the contrast with the visual background most likely serves to attract males, thus underlining the importance of male mate-choice in this species\textsuperscript{24,33,36,71}.

In the present study, we found evidence for seasonal as well as ephemeral color changes in the UV spectral range. Seasonal changes in UV reflections have, for example, been shown in Balkan moor frogs\textsuperscript{48}. Furthermore, an

| dependent variable | explanatory variable | $\chi^2$ | df | p   | before animation | after animation |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------|----|-----|------------------|-----------------|
| achieved chroma $r_A$ (abdomen) | before vs. after      | 4.113  | 1  | 0.043 | 0.175 ± 0.061 | 0.204 ± 0.065 |
| UV chroma (abdomen) |                      | 7.308  | 1  | 0.007 | 0.330 ± 0.038 | 0.351 ± 0.042 |
| CC (abdomen vs. background) |                    | 6.406  | 1  | 0.011 | 0.138 ± 0.026 | 0.152 ± 0.028 |
| CC (abdomen vs. dorsal region) |                   | 1.328  | 1  | 0.250 | 0.130 ± 0.048 | 0.142 ± 0.039 |
| CC (dorsal region vs. background) |                 | 0.147  | 1  | 0.701 | 0.078 ± 0.017 | 0.077 ± 0.020 |

Table 2. Results of the analysis of female color variables before and after the presentation of a computer animation. Given are achieved chroma $r_A$, UV chroma and chromatic contrasts (CC) (abdomen vs. background; abdomen vs. dorsal region; dorsal region vs. background) calculated from reflectance spectra taken at females’ abdomen before and after being animated by a computer animation of a reproductively active male. The models were compared with likelihood-ratio-tests (LRT) that follow a $\chi^2$-distribution. Significant results ($p < 0.05$) are printed in bold. SD = standard deviation. N = 23.
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influence of season has been reported in blue tits\textsuperscript{47}; the authors found the blue tit UV/blue ornament to vary over the course of a year, also proposing a function in social signaling. Furthermore, Ornborg et al.\textsuperscript{5} found substantial variation in the structural color signal between populations. Population differences with regard to UV reflections of the female color signal in the abdominal region can be found in threespine sticklebacks as well, as reflectance measurements of German freshwater sticklebacks revealed a different spectral composition\textsuperscript{46}. However, reflectance in the UV spectral range was generally found in all tested populations. The change in UV reflections after being confronted with the male animation was accomplished quite fast in the present study (less than 10 minutes). However, that lies well within the range of structural color changes that have been reported to take from one second only\textsuperscript{11} to several seconds or minutes\textsuperscript{12,72}. Changes in structural coloration elicited by altered properties in iridophores have been shown frequently\textsuperscript{11,12,14–16}. However, only very few studies have shown ephemeral changes with respect to UV coloration\textsuperscript{12,13}.

In summary, UV reflections have been found to be highly dynamic in reproductively active female sticklebacks suggesting that changes in UV coloration seem to play an important role during courtship and in finding mating partners. We provide evidence that UV signals in females are dynamic throughout a reproductive cycle and as short-term response in an intersexual context.
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Erratum: Context-dependent dynamic UV signaling in female threespine sticklebacks

Meike Hiermes, Theo C. M. Bakker, Marion Mehlis & Ingolf P. Rick

Scientific Reports 5:17474; doi: 10.1038/srep17474; published online 10 December 2015; updated 08 September 2016

In the original version of this Article, “threespine stickleback” was incorrectly given as “three spine stickleback”.

This error has now been corrected in the PDF and HTML versions of the Article.
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