The origin of primary nitrogen in galaxies
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Abstract. We investigate the role of stellar axial rotation on the nitrogen nucleosynthesis at low metallicities Z. For this purpose, we have calculated models with initial masses between 2 and 60 M⊙ at Z=0.00001 from the zero age sequence to the phase of thermal pulses for models below or equal to 7 M⊙, and up to the end of central C–burning for the more massive stars. The models include all the main physical effects of rotation. We show that intermediate mass stars with rotation naturally reproduce the occurrence and amount of primary nitrogen in the early star generations in the Universe. We identify two reasons why rotating models at low Z produce primary 14N: 1) Since the stars lose less angular momentum, they rotate faster. Simultaneously, they are more compact, thus differential rotation and shear mixing are stronger. 2) The H–burning shell has a much higher temperature and is thus closer to the core, which favours mixing between the two.
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1. The context: observations and models

For more than 20 years, the nucleosynthetic origin of primary nitrogen, which is one of the most abundant and important elements in the Universe, for life in particular, has remained a deep mystery in astrophysics (Edmunds and Pagel 1978; Barbuy 1983; Carbon et al. 1987; Thuan et al. 1993; Izotov & Thuan 1999; Henry et al. 2000). Nitrogen is mainly produced by the CN cycle of the CNO reactions which catalyze hydrogen burning in stars. In the CN cycle, the reaction 14N(p,γ)15O which destroys nitrogen has a very small cross section, which allows 14N to accumulate with time (e.g. Arnett 1996). Thus, 14N is usually the daughter element, hence a secondary element, of the CNO initially present in stars. If nitrogen is secondary, the increase in the abundance of 14N should be proportional to the initial CNO content and consequently the 14N–content will be proportional to the square of the CNO and metal content in a galaxy. If 14N comes from a primary production, i.e. is produced from the original hydrogen and helium, the 14N–abundance is proportional to that of the other primary heavy elements (Talbot & Arnett 1974).

The first evidence of a primary production of 14N in the early phases of the evolution of our Galaxy came from the study of the very old and low metallicity stars. Several authors (Edmunds & Pagel 1978; Barbuy 1983; Tomkin & Lambert 1984; Matteucci 1984; Carbon et al. 1987; Henry et al. 2000) have shown that the ratio N/O of nitrogen to oxygen remains constant with a plateau at log N/O ≃ -1.6 in the early evolution of the Galaxy, thus implying a primary origin of nitrogen. Later when the metal abundance has reached about 1/5 of the solar abundance, the N/C and N/O ratios grow rapidly, as expected for secondary elements. Thus, primary production seems to occur only in the very early phases of galactic evolution, while secondary production appears later, when the metal content of a galaxy is higher. A second piece of compelling evidence is provided by the study of the N/O ratios in ionized HI regions of blue compact dwarf galaxies (Thuan et al. 1993; Izotov & Thuan 1999; Izotov & Thuan 2000). There also N/O is observed to be constant at very low metallicities Z. The blue compact galaxies are in general galaxies where the average star formation rate has been very low in the past and which are therefore still in an early stage of their chemical evolution. An example is the galaxy IZw 18, which has the lowest known metallicity (1/50 of solar), and which shows indications of primary nitrogen (Kunth et al. 1993; Izotov & Thuan 1999).

A third indication for the presence of primary nitrogen comes from the observed N/O gradient in spiral galaxies. If nitrogen is a purely secondary element, the N/O gradient should be equal to the gradient of heavy elements, and in particular to the gradient of O/H in these galaxies. The fact that the N/O gradient is relatively flat at low metallicity Z indicates that production of nitrogen is dominated by primary processes at low Z and secondary processes at high Z (Garnett et al. 1997; Ferguson et al. 1998; Henry & Worthey 1999). A problem has arisen some years ago, because some low Z damped Lyα systems, which are likely...
protogalactic structures at relatively high redshifts, have N/O ratios lower than those observed in the HII regions of blue compact dwarf galaxies of the same Z (Pettini et al. 1995). However, the apparent discrepancy has been resolved by models of damped Lyα systems which account for both ionized and neutral regions (Izotov et al. 2001).

Observationally, it is still uncertain whether the observed primary nitrogen originates from massive or from intermediate mass stars. The claims that primary nitrogen is made in massive stars are mainly based on the low scatter of the observed N/O ratios at low Z (Matteucci 1986, Thuan et al. 1995, Izotov & Thuan 1999, Izotov & Thuan 2000). The argument is that, if nitrogen is synthesized in massive stars, there is no time delay between the injection of nitrogen and oxygen and thus a rather small scatter would result. On the contrary, if the primary nitrogen is made in intermediate mass stars, the N/O ratio increases with time, since these stars release their nitrogen much later than the oxygen made in massive stars. This would lead to a larger scatter in the observations of galaxies at various stages of their evolution. Some recent studies found that a significant scatter does exist (Garnett 1990, Skillman et al. 1997). Also, Henry et al. (2000) have calculated models which support the view that intermediate mass stars between 4 and 8 M⊙, with an age of about 250 Myr, are likely to dominate the nitrogen production.

Let us examine what stellar models tell us. The conditions needed for the production of primary nitrogen are very simple. In a star which has both a helium burning core and a hydrogen burning shell, some amount of the new carbon synthesized in the core must be transported into the hydrogen burning shell, where the CNO cycle will convert it into primary 14N. For massive stars, only models with ad hoc hypotheses are able to do this. For example, in some models (Timmes et al. 1995), mixing has been arbitrarily “permitted” between the helium– and hydrogen–burning zones. Without any physical explanation, it is difficult to understand why the production of primary nitrogen only occurs at low metallicities. Models of metal free Population III stars (Umeda et al. 2001) produce some primary nitrogen, but in too low quantities. Up to the phase of thermal pulses, asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars produce no primary nitrogen. Only during thermal pulses, some helium products might be transported into the hydrogen burning shell to produce primary nitrogen. However, the existing AGB models (Renzini & Voli 1984, Marigo 1988) are “synthetic” models, which means that the model parameters follow some analytical relations that have been fitted to the observations. While this may be useful for some purposes, it cannot be claimed that it represents consistent physics leading to primary nitrogen production.

2. Primary nitrogen production in rotating models

We construct stellar models with axial rotation and very low metallicity Z, typical of the early star generations. Rotation is clearly important, because there are indications from studies in the Magellanic Clouds that stars may on the average rotate faster at lower Z (Maeder et al. 1999). Our models include the main effects of rotation on stellar evolution: the hydrostatic effects, the meridional circulation which transports both angular momentum and chemical elements (Maeder & Zahn 1998), the effects of horizontal turbulence (Zahn 1992), the effects of shear mixing (Zahn 1992), Maeder & Meynet 2001) and also the effects of rotation on the mass loss rates (Maeder & Meynet 2001). Such model physics has already been applied to stars of solar composition and to the Magellanic Clouds. In both cases these models have resolved major discrepancies (Meynet & Maeder 2000, Maeder & Meynet 2001).

We have calculated models of 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 15, 20, 40 and 60 M⊙ at Z =0.00001 from the zero age sequence to the phase of thermal pulses for models below or equal to 7 M⊙, and up to the end of central C–burning for the more massive stars. Fig. 1 shows an example of the evolution of angular velocity Ω as a function of the distance to the center in a 20 M⊙ star with an average velocity of 230 km s⁻¹ and Z=0.00001. Xc is the hydrogen mass fraction at the center. The dotted line shows the profile when Xc=0. The dashed line shows the same at Z=0.004 when Xc=0.28.

Fig. 1. Evolution of the distribution of angular velocity Ω as a function of the distance to the center in a 20 M⊙ star with an average velocity of 230 km s⁻¹ and Z=0.00001. Xc is the hydrogen mass fraction at the center. The dotted line shows the profile when Xc=0. The dashed line shows the same at Z=0.004 when Xc=0.28.
Fig. 2. Distribution of the main elements in the region of the helium- and hydrogen-burning shells during the TP–AGB phase of an initial non-rotating 3 $M_\odot$ star at $Z=0.00001$.

Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2 for a 3 $M_\odot$ rotating model during the TP–AGB phase. The average rotational velocity during the Main Sequence is 230 km s$^{-1}$.

the Milky Way fully support the presence of more mixing at lower $Z$ (Venn 1999; Maeder & Meynet 2001).

The combination of the effects of low $Z$ and rotation enables primary nitrogen production during the core H–burning phase, in the zone between the core and the H–burning shell. Later, on the AGB, the zone between the He– and the H–burning shells, enriched in primary nitrogen, shrinks, but at the same time more and more primary $^{14}\text{N}$ is entering the outer convective zone. This primary $^{14}\text{N}$ in the outer envelope will escape from the star, either in the stellar wind or during the formation of a planetary nebula. This mode of nucleosynthesis is quite different from the classical scenarios in which the primary nitrogen is produced during the thermal pulses (TP) AGB phase. Fig. 2 and 3 show the abundances of some nuclei in the intershell region in TP–AGB models of 3 $M_\odot$ models, with and without rotation, at the luminosity of the 5th thermal pulse. We notice first that the CO core is slightly larger with rotation and that the chemical gradients at its outer edge are also shallower. The most remarkable difference is the much higher $^{14}\text{N}$–content in the intershell region (i.e. where helium has its highest plateau) and in the adjacent external deep convective zone. This $^{14}\text{N}$–content is orders of magnitude higher than the total abundance of original CNO elements and it is thus of primary origin. The models between 2 and 7 $M_\odot$ show a similar behaviour. We emphasize also that similar models with rotation at $Z=0.020$ do not produce any primary nitrogen (Meynet & Maeder 2000). The mechanism which produces primary nitrogen only works efficiently for $Z$ less than $\sim 1/5$ of solar.

There are two physical reasons for this production of primary $^{14}\text{N}$ in rotating models at low $Z$. 1) As explained above, the $\Omega$–gradients are steeper, while the $\mu$–gradients are shallower at lower $Z$. These effects are present during the whole evolution and enhance shear mixing. Thus, at very low $Z$ with rotation, there is a very efficient transport of new $^{12}\text{C}$ from the core to the H–burning shell, where the CNO cycle turns it to primary $^{14}\text{N}$. 2) The second reason is that at lower $Z$ the CNO burning occurs at much higher temperature $T$. Indeed, the amount of energy produced in TP–AGB stars is of the same order of magnitude, whatever the value of $Z$. In order to maintain the rate of energy production when, as in the present models, the CNO content is about $2 \cdot 10^3$ times smaller than solar, $T$ has to be about $(2 \cdot 10^3)^{(1/9)} = 2.3$ times higher, because the energy production of CNO is proportional to $T^9$ at the typical $T = 8 \cdot 10^7$ K, where H–shell burning occurs in TP-AGB stars of very low Z. Since the H–burning shell needs to be at much higher $T$ in lower Z stars, it is much closer to the edge of the He–burning shell, which is sitting on the outer edge of the CO core and which produces $^{12}\text{C}$. The value of $T$ in the CO–core is not very sensitive to the initial composition. Thus, the intershell distance is much shorter at very low $Z$ (by about an order of magnitude in the present case) and the transport of $^{12}\text{C}$ from one shell to the other is easier.

3. The nitrogen stellar yields at low metallicity

Fig. 4 shows the amount of nitrogen, the so–called stellar yields $m_{\text{PN}}^{14}$ as a function of the initial stellar masses. We notice that intermediate stellar masses of 5 to 7 $M_\odot$ have the largest stellar yields of primary nitrogen. When these
There are ejected by supernovae at much higher velocities of 10<sup>4</sup> km s<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen is ejected mainly by AGB stars with a velocity of 300 km s<sup>-1</sup> while oxygen is ejected by supernovae at much higher velocities of 10<sup>4</sup> km s<sup>-1</sup> or more. Thus, a fraction of the oxygen produced may escape from the parent galaxy, leading to a higher N/O ratio than in the simple estimate made here.

4. Conclusion

For the first time, we present models of star evolution with rotation and very low metallicities, typical of the first stellar generations in the Universe. We show that stellar rotation in very low Z models leads to the production of primary nitrogen in amounts that are in global agreement with those observed. The main sources are intermediate mass stars with initial masses between 2 and 5 M<sub>⊙</sub> (see Fig. 4). The yields of s-process elements at low metallicity will also be deeply affected by rotation (Langer et al. [1999]). Indeed, as can be seen from Figs. 2 and 3, the abundance of the main neutron source C is considerably enhanced in the intershell region of the rotating model. Finally, we emphasize that a certain amount of primary Ne should also be synthesized as a result of the burning of primary N in the He–burning shell. However, contrary to primary N, the exact yields in Ne are difficult to predict, since they critically depend on the mass loss and mass cut leading to the final remnants.
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