Successful approach to mitigate the asphaltenes precipitation problems in ESP oil wells
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Abstract
This study introduces a comprehensive and cost-effective approach to diagnose and treat the asphaltenes precipitation problems in different downhole conditions. The proposed approach has been successfully applied in two oil wells (Well-I, and Well-II) located in the Western Desert of Egypt. The two wells produce oil of moderate to high oil gravity with low asphaltenes content using Electrical Submersible Pumps (ESP). In such operating conditions, solid deposits caused blockage at the pump intake and within the pumping stages in the two wells. This blockage led to a sharp decrease in oil production rate and a significant increase in the operating cost. The existing failure analysis procedure was not able to accurately identify the reasons for the blockage; accordingly, the treatment operations were unsuccessful. On the contrary, applying the proposed approach accurately (1) identified the type of the solid deposits, (2) solved the problem using proper treatment option with minimum cost, and (3) improved the oil production rate. The laboratory tests of the fluid and solid deposits showed that (1) the asphaltenes were unstable in the crude oil and acted as a glue for other minerals, and (2) the blockage was successfully diagnosed to confirm that the two wells had asphaltenes precipitation problem. The laboratory tests were extended to select optimum asphaltene dispersant for wells treatments. The field application results showed a significant increase in the oil production rate from 700 to 1600 STB/D in Well-I and from 470 to 1500 STB/D in Well-II. Moreover, the operating cost decreased considerably from 2.01 to 0.43 $/STB in Well-I, and from 4.37 to 0.52 $/STB in Well-II after applying the proposed approach.
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Abbreviations
AD Asphaltenes dispersant
ADT Asphaltenes dispersion test
AOP Asphaltenes onset pressure
API American petroleum institute
ASTM American society for testing and materials
BHFP Bottom-hole flowing pressure
CCE Constant composition expansion
CII Colloidal instability index
CPA Cubic plus association
CSI Colloidal stability index
DBAR Density-based asphaltenes/resins
DBCII Density-based colloidal instability index
DBSAr. Density-based saturates/aromatics
DHT Down hole temperature
DIFA Dismantle inspection and failure analysis
DSC Differential scanning calorimetry
EoS Equation of state
ESP Electrical submersible pump
IR Infrared
IP Institute of petroleum
LAOP Lower asphaltenes onset pressure
NIR Near-infrared
OBMC Oil base mud contamination
OPEX Operating expenditure
PC-SAFT Perturbed-chain statistical association fluid theory
POOH Pull out of hole
A/R Asphaltenes/resins
S/A$_r$ Saturates/aromatics
SARA Saturates, aromatics, resins, and asphaltenes
SSB Single-phase sample bottle
VSC Variable speed controllers
UAOP Upper asphaltenes onset pressure
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Asphaltenes are molecular substances that are found in crude oil along with resins, aromatics, and saturates (i.e. saturated hydrocarbons such as alkanes). Speight (1998) defined the asphaltenes structure as a hypothetical model characterized by high molecular weight which is the most polar and aromatic fraction in the crude oil. This polar nature of asphaltenes is imparted by heteroatoms like nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen present in their structure. The asphaltenes molecules tend to self-associate even at low concentrations due to the high polarity of asphaltenes, and they precipitate at a certain temperature, pressure, and composition (Arya et al. 2017; El-hoshoudy et al. 2020). Usually, asphaltenes exist in the oil reservoir and act as cholesterol in the blood. The deposit of asphaltenes leads to several operation problems including formation damage and equipment failure. These problems cause a reduction in the oil production rate (Guan et al. 2018; Shoukry et al. 2020).

The asphaltenes deposition during the production of crude oil is problematic and costly. The most susceptible places of the asphaltenes deposition are around the wellbore region, perforation tunnels, well tubing, flowlines, separators, pumps, tanks, and other production equipment. The factors contributing to asphaltenes precipitation include (1) the changes of the pressure, temperature, and crude oil composition during the production stage, (2) the presence of CO$_2$, and (3) the existence of tubing restrictions (Man-soori, 1997). The asphaltenes deposition can cause damage to ESP components (pump motor, pump intake, power cable, gas handling equipment, and downhole sensors). It reduces the lifetime of the ESP. The centrifugal forces of the ESP increase the quantities of the asphaltenes precipitation (Limanowka et al. 1999; Al-Qasim et al. 2018).

The asphaltenes stability depends on the asphaltenes to resins ratio rather than the absolute percentage of the asphaltenes in the crude oil. If there are not enough resins to stabilize the asphaltenes, the light crude oil can be subject to asphaltenes precipitation, flocculation, and deposition (Haskett and Tartera 1965; Ali et al. 2021a, b). Leontaritis and Mansoori (1988) highlighted that the Algerian Hassi-Messaoud crude oil has asphaltenes depositional problems with the presence of only 0.062 wt% of the asphaltenes content, while Venezuela-Boscan crude oil has no asphaltenes precipitation problem despite the high asphaltenes content of 17.2%.

The precipitation of asphaltenes can be controlled by modifying the production techniques and applying chemical treatment methods. The modifications of the production techniques include reducing the shear rate, eliminating the incompatible material with asphaltic crude oil, and minimizing the mixing of lean feedstock liquids into asphaltic crude streams. However, the most common chemical treatment methods are the injection of Asphaltenes Dispersant (AD) or aromatic solvents. The function of the asphaltenes inhibitors is similar to the behavior of the resins activity in the natural environment. It covers the asphaltenes molecules to avoid their flocculation and deposition. The ADs act as surfactants by dispersing the asphaltenes particles in the crude oil. The polar head-groups in ADs interact with asphaltenes aggregations, and the long alkyl chains on the periphery of the asphaltenes aggregate. It is helps to change the polarity of the aggregate and make it dispersible in the crude oil (Kelland 2016; Limanowka et al. 1999). However, the aromatic solvents are used to reinstate productivity by removing such deposits from the reservoir or tubing through the non-producing string. The popular aromatic solvents include xylene, benzene, toluene, and ethylbenzene. Generally, xylene is used in the well-stimulation and workover operations to minimize the severity of the deposition problems. The solvents dissolve the organic deposits till reaching the saturation level. At the same time, the xylene should be removed from the well promptly to prevent precipitation of xylene and asphaltenes. The delay in removing xylene leads to severe formation damage due to the accumulation of the deposits. Accordingly, solvents should be handled with care and removed at the right time (Campen et al. 2020; Khormali et al. 2021).

Limanowka et al. (1999) proposed new production techniques for inhibiting asphaltenes precipitation in the ESP completion. The developed techniques include (1) using Variable Speed Controllers (VSC) combined with oversized pumps; (2) increasing the vein height of the pump impellers; (3) coating the internal surface of the pump intake, stages and motor; and (4) reducing the pressure drop at pump intake. It should be highlighted that using VSC combined with oversized pumps and higher impeller vein heights can reduce asphaltenes deposition, improve the pump performance, and increase ESP running time. In addition, coating the internal surface of the pump stages, pump intake, and pump discharge contribute significantly to successful combat of asphaltenes precipitation. It is used to eliminate casting surface imperfections and produce internal surfaces with low friction and good properties. Moreover, it should
be highlighted that using production tubing with a rough surface generates a turbulent flow that can increase the pressure drop in the tubing. Therefore, increasing the screen area of the pump intake and using high-quality production tubing minimize the pressure drops in the wellbore which reduce the asphaltene deposition. It is rare to have a considerable improvement in the ESP running time by applying one of the previous techniques but through a combination of them. The production techniques are selected on a case-by-case basis to improve the pump performance, maximize the production rate, extend the pump lifetime, and decrease the operating cost (Limanowka et al. 1999).

This paper presents a comprehensive and integrated approach including experimental work to diagnose and treat the asphaltene precipitation problem in ESP oil wells. The integrated approach is applied in several wells, and the field results are reported.

**Asphaltene identification methods**

Once the well is plugged with solid deposits, the type of the deposits should be identified to accurately select proper treatment option. This section presents the identification methods for the solid deposits chemical composition and the severity of asphaltene precipitation, together with the Asphaltene Dispersant Test (ADT) results.

**Chemical composition of the solid deposits**

The chemical composition of the solid deposits can be identified by several methods including:

1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD),
2. X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF),
3. Infrared (IR) Spectrum, and
4. Saturates, Aromatics, Resins, and Asphaltene (SARA) analysis (for organic scale deposits)

The XRD method is a non-destructive test used to analyze the structure of crystalline materials. It is used to evaluate the chemical composition of minerals, polymers, corrosion products, and unknown materials. The quantification is achieved by comparison with standard minerals samples. The common minerals which are identified by the XRD techniques include the following: the total clays, K-feldspar, quartz, plagioclase, calcite, dolomite, pyrite, anhydrite, and halite. On the other hand, the XRF method is an elemental analysis technique that provides quantitative chemical information about the materials. It identifies the major elements in weight percent and the trace elements in parts per million (ppm). However, the objective of the IR-spectroscopy technique is to characterize the inorganic and organic scale deposits using IR-spectrometer. The electromagnetic spectrum infrared region allows the symmetric bonds to stretch, bend, and vibrate. The IR-spectroscopy can be analyzed in three ways by measuring absorption, emission and reflection. This relationship can be tested to better explain the chemical structure of the material (Peksoz et al. 2011).

Moreover, the SARA analysis techniques are used for characterizing the organic deposit and group composition of crude oils. Its results are the fractions of saturates, aromatics, resins, and asphaltenes. The SARA fractionating is a chromatographic procedure based on the difference in solubility and polarity of the four fractions with respect to certain solvents (Ekholm et al. 2002). There are several approaches for separating SARA components including (1) gravity-driven chromatography separation, (2) thin-layer chromatography, (3) high-pressure liquid chromatography, and (4) microfluidic SARA analysis (Maze) (Sieben et al., 2017). The SARA analysis is performed by standard methodologies of the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) and the Institute of Petroleum (IP) (Ekholm et al. 2002; ASTM D4124–09). To perform SARA analysis, ASTM 2007 is employed to separate crude oil into Asphaltene, Saturates, Aromatics and Resins. In this analysis, a certain amount of crude oil is weighted out. Then, the asphaltene is separated from the sample by adding n-pentane or n-heptane. The asphaltene is obtained from the residue on the filter paper, while the maltenes (saturates, aromatics and resins) are obtained from the filtrate. The maltenes are charged into the top of a glass chromatographic column packed with activated silica gel and alumina. The n-alkanes and toluene are added to separate the saturates and aromatics, respectively. Afterward, methanol is added to separate the Resins as summarized in Fig. 1 (ASTM 2007–2007).

**Severity of the asphaltene precipitation**

Several methods and techniques are used to assess the severity of the asphaltene precipitation. The main methods are (1) de Boer plot, (2) Colloidal Instability Index (CII) and Colloidal Stability Index (CSI) correlations, and (3) oil density correlations. These methods provide screening criteria for defining the tendency of asphaltenes to precipitate from crude oil.

De Boer et al. (1995) proposed the first quantitative method to screen the reservoir fluids for their tendency of asphaltene precipitation. This method includes a cross-plot between (1) the in situ oil density on the X-axis, and (2) the difference between the reservoir and saturation pressures in the Y-axis. The de Boer plot includes two curves that identify the boundary between the asphaltene precipitation zone and the stable zone. It is a global plot, and it is an extremely conservative prediction method (Gonzalez et al. 2016). The
data of any reservoir fluid can be imposed into the plot to check the tendency of the asphaltenes precipitation based on the location of the reservoir fluid data against the location of the asphaltenes precipitation and stable zones on the plot (Fakher et al. 2020; Guzmán et al. 2017).

The CII method considers crude oil as a colloidal structure. The calculations of the CII method are based on oil composition. It is the ratio of the sum of saturates and asphaltenes fractions (flocculent elements) to the sum of the aromatics and resins fraction (absorption elements) as shown in Eq. 1. When the calculated CII value is less than 0.7, the reservoir fluid is located in the stable conditions zone. However, when the calculated value ranges between 0.7 and 0.9, the reservoir fluid is considered in mild problem conditions. If the CII value is greater than 0.9, the reservoir fluid is located in the unstable conditions (Ashoori et al. 2017; Guzmán et al. 2017).

\[
CII = \frac{(\text{Asphaltenes in wt\%}) + (\text{Saturates in wt\%})}{(\text{Resins in wt\%}) + (\text{Aromatics in wt\%})}
\]  

Likhatsky and Syunyaev (2010) determined dipole moments for the structure of the asphaltenes molecules. They recognized a change in the polarity of the asphaltenes at the unstable and stable conditions. It was found that the asphaltenes from unstable crude oils are more polar than from stable crude oils. Equation 2 presents the CSI correlation. For the unstable crude oil, the dielectric values (\(\varepsilon\)) of asphaltenes and resins are 18.4 and 3.8, respectively, while the values for stable crude oil are 5.5 and 4.7, respectively. However, the dielectric values (\(\varepsilon\)) of saturates and aromatics are 1.92 and 2.379 in all crude oils (Punase et al. 2016). Accordingly, the CSI method was proposed based on the oil compositions and polarity of the asphaltenes, resins, aromatics, and saturates. If the calculated CSI is less than 0.95, the crude oil is stable with no risk of asphaltenes precipitation. Otherwise, the crude oil is unstable and susceptible to asphaltenes precipitation problems (Ali et al. 2021a, b).

\[
CSI = \frac{(\varepsilon_{\text{Asph}}) (\text{Asphaltenes in wt\%}) + (\varepsilon_{\text{Sat}}) (\text{Saturates in wt\%})}{(\varepsilon_{\text{Res}}) (\text{Resins in wt\%}) + (\varepsilon_{\text{Arom}}) (\text{Aromatics in wt\%})}
\]

Sulaimon et al. (2020a, b) developed three correlations (three plots) based on oil density and the ratio of Asphaltenes/Resins (A/R), the ratio of Saturates/Aromatics (S/Ar), and the CII value. These plots can identify the tendency of the asphaltenes precipitation. In each plot, an envelope between the stable and unstable regions of asphaltenes precipitation is considered. The three asphaltenes stability envelopes are (1) oil density versus the ratio of Density-Based Asphaltenes/Resins (DBAR), (2) oil density versus Density-Based Colloidal Stability Instability Index (DBCII), and (3) DBAR versus the ratio of Density-Based Saturates/Aromatics (DBSAr). The three relationships were developed to predict asphaltenes stability based on oil density. The oil density can be easily determined without the need to wait for the time-consuming SARA analysis or its cost. Without SARA analysis, the new models can produce a dependable, efficient, and accurate assessment of asphaltene precipitation potential (Sulaimon et al. 2020a, b).

**ADT procedures and theory**

The ADT test is a quick and dependable method for determining the effectiveness of asphaltene inhibitors and dispersants. Before and after adding AD to the crude oil, a laser dispersion tester is used to detect the flocculation and precipitation of asphaltene particles. In this technique, a pulsed near-infrared (IR) light source (850 nm) is used to determine a sample’s average transmittance. A fraction of untreated (blank) and treated crude oil is titrated with...
10 mL of pentane. After that, the samples are centrifuged at 2500 rpm for three minutes. Then, the mixture is added to ADT tubes to measure the transmittance. The percent of precipitation due to gravity is recorded against time. Efficient asphaltene dispersant lowers the percent transmission compared to untreated crude oil. It remains relatively unchanged during the full length of the test. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, the treatment levels of a good commercial product are usually between 50 to 400 ppm of AD concentration (Juyal et al. 2011).

### Approach

The main objective of this study is to provide a complete and applicable approach for diagnosing and treating the downhole asphaltene precipitation problems. Figure 2 represents a schematic diagram for the proposed approach. It includes the following main steps:

1. Problem diagnosis
2. Data collection and laboratory analysis (surface and downhole samples)
3. Development and validation of the thermodynamic model
4. Evaluation of the proposed solution options
   a. Changing the operating conditions
   b. Chemical treatment solutions
5. Pilot implementation, well performance monitoring, and economic evaluation
6. Full-field implementation

The diagnosis of the solid deposits problem is based on the changes in the relationship between the production rate and the bottom-hole flowing pressure (BHFP) with time. These relations should be consistent with time in the normal operating conditions. Generally, the BHFP should be declining with the production rate. In case there is a solid deposits problem, the BHFP increases with decreasing the production rate (Ilk et al. 2007; Anderson and Thompson 2014).

Once the solid deposits problem is identified, it is recommended to collect surface oil samples, downhole oil samples, and solid samples. The representative fluid and solid samples are essential to obtain reliable results. The precautions for the downhole samples are performed by (1) using Single-phase Sample Bottle (SSB), and (2) maintaining Oil Base Mud Contamination (OBMC) to be less than 5%. All of these precautions should be considered to avoid any significant effect on the laboratory results (Mullins et al. 2007).

The surface samples are used as preliminary diagnostics in the flow assurance problems. They are inexpensive and easy to be collected. The required laboratory tests for these samples are basic crude oil analysis (e.g. °API, wax content, asphaltene content, SARA, wax appearance temperature (WAT), and fluid compositions). The results and the analyses of these tests are used as a qualitative assessment for the reasons of the solid precipitations. However, the testing sequences of the downhole samples are used to assess the precipitation of asphaltene at downhole pressure and temperature. Representative reservoir fluids samples are essential prerequisites for providing quality data. Therefore, several downhole samples should be extracted. Then, the best representative sample is selected to be analyzed and studied according to the following procedures and conditions (Williams 1994): (1) the bottom hole flowing pressure during sampling should be above the bubble point pressure, (2) the bubble point pressure of the extracted sample (at either ambient or reservoir temperature) should be checked to confirm there is no gas leakage during sampling and shipping process, (3) the opening of the sample in the laboratory should be carried out at the reservoir temperature, and the opening pressure should be equal to the downhole sampling pressure or above the bubble point pressure, (4) visual inspection should be performed to check whether sediments or aqueous phase exist in the sample, and (5) the oil base mud contamination (OBMC) while sampling should be less than 5%. Once the representative sample is selected, the following tests are performed to validate the downhole samples (Mullins et al. 2007).

1. Constant Composition Expansion (CCE) test (at least for two temperature points)
2. Asphaltene Onset Pressure (AOP) test at the operating temperature
3. Upper Asphaltene Onset Pressure (UAOP) test
4. Lower Asphaltene Onset Pressure (LAOP) test
5. AOP test with different concentrations of chemical fluid at the operating temperature

The results of the downhole fluid tests are used to construct the asphaltene deposition envelope. It is essential to determine the asphaltene precipitation region on the phase envelope. The change of the operating conditions outside the asphaltene precipitation region is one of the possible treatment solutions that can be considered to avoid asphaltene precipitation. The challenges of downhole sampling include (1) operation restrictions and well configuration constraints, and (2) the AOP tests usually need special laboratories that are not available in all countries and sometimes the oil samples are shipped abroad to special laboratories.

The continuous improvement can be applied by collecting solid samples from any completion after Pulling Out of Hole (POOH) and performing a complete solid precipitation analysis. The solid deposition samples tests are used to define
the chemical structure of the deposits by conducting organic and inorganic extraction. The SARA test is performed for the organic deposits, but the XRD and XRF tests are performed for the inorganic deposits. However, the IR-spectrum test is carried out for organic and inorganic deposits (Akbar and Saleh 1989).

After the data are collected and the laboratory analyses are performed, a thermodynamic model should be developed and validated with experimental observations. Many general thermodynamic models are available in the literature based on thermodynamic and chemical theories. They include the cubic solid Equation of State (EoS), Cubic Plus Association Equation of State (CPA-EoS), and Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory Equation of State (PC-SAFT-EoS) (Abouie et al. 2016; Li and Firoozabadi 2010; Sabbagh et al. 2006; Shoukry et al. 2019). These models can be

Fig. 2 Approach for treatment and mitigation of the asphaltenes precipitation problem
used to predict the behavior of asphaltenes precipitation at different operating conditions. The existing models can be applied using commercial software to predict more accurate asphaltenes precipitation conditions.

Based on the thermodynamic model results, two available solutions should be evaluated. The two options are either (1) changing the operating conditions to be outside the solid precipitation region in the asphaltenes deposition envelope or (2) conducting chemical injection with optimum concentration of asphaltenes dispersant. Generally, the solubility of asphaltenes decreases with the decrease in pressure. Therefore, changing the operating conditions can be applied by keeping the BHFP above the AOP. If changing the operating conditions is not applicable, the chemical treatment is recommended. The chemical injection should be carried out below the asphaltenes precipitation point to provide enough time for the chemical reaction to occur. The most proper and cost-efficient chemical injection technique is conducted by injecting chemicals using a capillary tube in oil wells operated by ESP (Abdallah et al. 2010).

After the proposed solution options (changing the operating conditions or applying chemical treatment) are evaluated based on the available data and the laboratory results, a pilot implementation should be conducted to validate the proposed treatment before the full field application. Monitoring the well performance can help in judging the success of the actual pilot implementation. During the pilot implementation, the economic criteria are continuously monitored to evaluate the operating cost and the well performance for each step of the treatment. The monitoring, evaluation, and improvement steps during the pilot implementation are mandatory to reduce the operating cost and increase the efficiency of the chemical treatment before the full field application (Abu El Ela et al. 2014).

Field application

The proposed approach was applied to treat several oil wells in the Western Desert of Egypt. Generally, the production contribution of ESP wells is a significant portion of the total production in the Western Desert (Mahgoub and Shahat 2005). Therefore, this study was carried out to treat plugging problems of several ESPs. The ESPs' plugging problem has occurred in several oil wells within five reservoirs (A, B, C, D, and E). The ESP wells' performance showed a sharp reduction by 65 to 75% of the oil production rate. This reduction was due to organic and inorganic solid precipitation in the pump intake and within the pump stages for several pumps. Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6 show photos of a few pumps' equipment. These photos were taken during the POOH and Dismantle Inspection and Failure Analysis (DIFA). These ESPs' components were visually inspected. Some components were completely blocked and damaged due to solid precipitation. Consequently, the oil production rate decreased in the corresponding wells.
The old treatment methods to remove the solids from the plugged wells included: (1) continuous diesel injection from the annulus, and (2) mixing acid, xylene, and diesel with different percentages to backwash the pump parts as a periodic cleaning job. The old methodology was applied in several wells to remove the solids and improve oil production performance. In this work, the data of five wells (Well-I, Well-II, Well-III, Well-IV, and Well-V) are presented and discussed to represent the five reservoirs (A, B, C, D, and E) as listed in Table 1. The old treatment methods were evaluated considering the economic criteria and the improvement in the wells production performance as shown in Table 2. The economical evaluation concluded that the extra operating cost ranged from 2 to 6$/STB. The reasons behind the additional cost were the number of diesel backwash jobs, the amount of the injected diesel per day, and the cost of replacing the failed ESP. After evaluating the wells’ performance combined with the economical evaluation results, it was clear that the old treatment methods were ineffective as they resulted in significantly increased operating costs.

These results are consistent with the research work of Abdallah et al. 2010. He concluded that mitigating asphaltenes precipitation by using diesel is not effective and causes significant waste of money, while aromatic solvents can improve the cleaning efficiency and reduce the soaking time.

To improve the economic evaluation, reduce the operating cost, and increase the production performance in the five reservoirs, the selection of proper treatment methods was mandatory. Accordingly, the proposed integrated approach has been applied and explained below.

### Data collection, sampling and testing

To apply the proposed approach and to accurately identify the type of the solid deposits and the proper treatment method, a huge amount of data was collected from the five wells. The collected data include the wells production performance, wells history, wells configurations, daily injection rate of diesel, and the number of diesel backwash injection jobs per well. In addition, the following sampling program was performed:

1. five surface samples were extracted from Reservoirs A, B, C, D, and E
2. one downhole sample was extracted from Reservoir-A
3. two solid deposit samples were collected from the ESPs of Reservoirs-A and B

The sequences of the surface and downhole samples tests were applied as mentioned before. The basic crude oil analysis and WAT tests were conducted for all surface samples (five samples). However, the SARA analysis was carried out for the samples of Reservoir B, C, D, and E. Moreover, the fluid composition, SARA analysis and wax appearance temperature test at 2000 psi (operating pressure) were carried out for the downhole sample of Reservoir A. Furthermore, the XRD, XRF, and SARA analyses were conducted for the solid deposits samples which were extracted from Reservoirs A and B. In addition, the IR-spectrum test was carried out for the solid deposits sample which was extracted from Reservoir A.

---

**Table 1** List of the field applications

| Fields | Reservoirs | Wells  | Application of the old treatment strategy | Application of the new treatment strategy |
|--------|------------|--------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| One    | A          | W-I    | Yes                                       | Yes                                       |
|        | B          | W-II   | Yes                                       | Yes                                       |
| Two    | C          | W-III  | Yes                                       | No                                        |
| Three  | D          | W-IV   | Yes                                       | No                                        |
| Four   | E          | W-V    | Yes                                       | No                                        |
Analysis of the laboratories results

The preliminary screening criteria using the surface and downhole samples are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Table 3 presents the basic crude oil analysis for surface samples of Reservoirs A, B, C, D, and E. It is clear that the wax content of the collected surface samples ranges from 9.1 to 19 wt% (extremely waxy oil) with oil gravity ranging from 36 to 41.5 ºAPI and a low pour point ranging from 86 to 93 °F. Moreover, the sulfur content of the collected samples ranges from 190 to 500 ppm. However, Table 4 illustrates the SARA analysis results. The SARA analysis results clarified that the crude oil of all samples has low asphaltenes content that ranges from 0.3 to 1.29 wt% as shown in Table 4. In addition, the analysis showed that the collected samples have high saturates with low resins and aromatics. All of these observations were the first indication of the instability of asphaltenes in crude oil.

It should be highlighted that the WAT was measured for the surface and downhole samples. The WAT of the five

### Table 2 Economic evaluation for the old treatment methods in the five oil wells

| Items/wells | Before injecting AD ‘Old treatment strategy’ |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
|             | Well-I | Well-II | Well-III | Well-IV | Well-V |
| Duration (months) | 6.5 | 7 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Cumulative oil production (MSTB) | 130 | 98 | 72 | 237 | 203 |
| Differ in the cumulative oil production (MSTB) | 167 | 245 | 71 | 136 | 92 |
| Total additional cost ($MM) | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 1.20 | 1.30 |
| Extra cost per bbl ($/STB) | 2.01 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 5.06 | 6.4 |
| Causes of the total additional cost | Three diesel backwash jobs and one ESP replacement | Three diesel backwash jobs and one ESP replacement | Four diesel backwash jobs and one ESP replacement | Four diesel backwash jobs and injected 20.8 Mbbl diesel | Four diesel backwash jobs, one ESP replacement, and injected 18.9 Mbbl diesel |

### Table 3 Basic crude oil analysis for surface samples

| Reservoir | API º | Pour point (°F) | Wax content (wt%) | Asph. content (wt%) | Total sulfur (ppm) | Molecular weight (g/mole) | WAT (°F) | DHT (°F) |
|-----------|-------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| A | ASTM D-1298 | 41.5 | 86 | 9.31 | 0.43 | 500 | 127.8 | 159.08 |
| B | ASTM D-97 | 36.13 | 97 | 10.01 | 0.3 | 370 | 164.8 | 196.34 |
| C | UOP-64 | 37.97 | 93 | 9.1 | 1.29 | 480 | 220.24 | 151 |
| D | IP-143 | 37.8 | 89 | 16.2 | 0.53 | 350 | 180 | 185 |
| E | ASTM D-4294 | 41.44 | 90 | 19.13 | 0.7 | 190 | 156.1 | 191 |

### Table 4 Results of the SARA analysis

| Reservoir | Sampling Point | Saturates (wt%) | Aromatics (wt%) | Resins (wt%) | Asphaltenes (n-c7) (wt%) | Technique |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|
| A | Downhole | 76.8 | 19.66 | 3.12 | 0.43 | IP-143 |
| B | Surface | 70.3 | 23 | 6.4 | 0.3 | Maze microfluidic |
| C | Surface | 44.78 | 34 | 19.92 | 0.53 | IP-143 |
| D | Surface | 75.42 | 19.56 | 4.48 | 0.53 | Latrascan |
| E | Surface | 72 | 21.5 | 5.5 | 0.7 | IP-143 |
The WAT of the five surface samples ranged from 151 to 196 °F, while the operating downhole temperature for the same wells ranged from 200 to 270 °F. On the other hand, the WAT of the downhole sample of Reservoir A was measured at the operating pressure (2000 psi) using Near-Infrared (NIR) scan test. The result indicated that the WAT of the downhole sample is 200 °F; while, the operating downhole temperature is 262 °F, which is very close to the WAT measurements of the surface samples. Consequently, the WAT measurements of surface and downhole samples are lower than the operating downhole temperature by + 50 °F. That means the first crystal of wax is not formed and disappears under the existing operating condition. Therefore, these results eliminated the possibility of downhole wax precipitation.

To check the possibility of asphaltenes precipitation from the crude oil, several correlations were used including de Boer plot, CII, CSI, and oil density correlations. The asphaltenes screening criteria using the de Boer method showed that the two oil samples of Reservoirs D and E are located in the “severe problem” region and the other three oil samples of Reservoirs A, B, and C are located in the “mild problem” region as shown in Fig. 7. In addition, the analysis of the CII results showed that the oil samples of Reservoirs A, B, D, and E are located in unstable region and the oil sample of Reservoir C falls in the uncertain region as illustrated in Fig. 8. Similarly, the CSI calculations showed that the oil samples of Reservoirs A, B, D, and E are located in unstable region and the oil sample of Reservoir C is stable as its CSI is 0.7.

The oil density correlations (DBAR, DBSAr, and DBCII) were also used to check the possibility of asphaltenes precipitation for the oil samples of Reservoirs A, B, C, D, and E. The DBAR, DBSAr, and DBCII correlations showed that the fluids of the five samples are located in the unstable region as demonstrated in Figs. 9, 10 and 11. The results of all fluid correlations (de Boer plot, CII, CSI, and oil density correlations) confirm that the five reservoir fluids tend to have asphaltenes precipitation. In addition, the mild problem is prone to be a severe problem due to (1) the pressure losses
around the ESPs and (2) the centrifugal forces of the pumps inside the wells.

Characterizing the chemical composition of the solid deposits is important for diagnosing and treating the asphaltene precipitation problem. Therefore, XRD, XRF, IR-Spectrum test, and SARA analyses for the collected solid deposit samples of Reservoirs A and B were carried out to check the chemical composition of the solid deposit samples. The results of the separated solid deposits samples showed that the content of the organic and inorganic compounds is 17.5 wt% and 82.5 wt%, respectively. The reasons for the majority of inorganic materials can be clarified by this concept: Asphaltene and its flocculates are known to be surface-active agents for other materials. In addition, asphaltene is a highly polar compound. It acts as glue for the fluctuation of other components by self-association and cross-association. Therefore, the composition of solid sludge is complex, e.g., inorganic salts, organic, sediments, sands, and scale. In this way, the organic material acts only as nucleus for the flocculation of the inorganic components. In a previous study, Salgaonkar and Danait (2012) reported the same percentage of organic and inorganic components (79.6% inorganic, 19.4% organic) for asphaltene precipitation problem. The nature of asphaltene deposits varies regionally and the concentrations of the different components should be changed from reservoir to another (Salgaonkar and Danait 2012; Chouparova et al. 2004).

On the other hand, the XRD analysis demonstrated that the solid deposits were made up of mainly mineral material including magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and siderite (FeCO₃) material as shown in Table 5. However, the XRF analysis showed that the solid deposits were made up of mainly minerals materials including iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) and other minerals as illustrated in Table 6. The results of the XRD and XRF analyses are only indicative of asphaltene precipitation materials. In addition, Table 7 shows that the organic compounds revealed by SARA analysis are related to the analysis of the conventional crude oil. Moreover, the results of the IR-spectrum test for the total deposits samples showed that the content of the deposits is mainly organic compounds and minerals. It was found that the chemical composition of the extracted organic deposits matches the chemical composition of the standard asphaltene heavy crude oil. Therefore, the results of IR-spectrum test for the extracted organic deposits are typical and consistent with those of standard asphaltene as shown in Fig. 12. It should be highlighted that the data of IR-spectrum for the standard asphaltene heavy crude oil was extracted from a previous work of Buenrostro-González et al. (2002). It shows a smooth and broad band at ~ 3346 cm⁻¹, which is assigned to N–H and O–H stretching bands of amine and hydroxyl groups. Asymmetrical and symmetrical stretching modes of methyl and methylene groups of alkyl and methylene groups of alkyl chains appear at ~ 2957 and ~ 2850 cm⁻¹, respectively. The tiny signal at ~ 2083 cm⁻¹ is due to the stretching of C=O in the carbonyl group, which is connected to ester or carboxylic acid functions in asphaltenes.

![Fig. 11 Asphaltenes stability plot using the Colloidal Instability Index (CII) technique](image-url)

### Table 5: Bulk compositional and XRD analysis for the dry solid deposits of Wells I and II

| Reservoir | Sample from Well | Compositional analysis | wt%  |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|------|
| A         | Well-I           | Organic compounds (17.5 wt%) | 17.5 |
|           |                  | Inorganic compounds (82 wt%) | 74.9 |
| B         | Well-II          | Organic compounds (20 wt%) | 20.0 |
|           |                  | Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) | 58.4 |
|           |                  | Siderite (FeCO₃) | 13.6 |
|           |                  | Fe₁.₅O₃ | 3.2 |
|           |                  | Halite (NaCl) | 2.8 |
|           |                  | Graphite (C) | 1.6 |
|           |                  | Quartz (SiO₂) | 0.4 |
structures (Buenrostro-González et al. 2002). The stretching frequency of C=C in aromatic systems corresponds to the band at ~1602 cm\(^{-1}\). The in-plane and out-of-plane bending vibrations of methyl and methylene groups are attributed to signals at ~1466 and ~1381 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The stretching vibrations of –C–O–C– in aromatic and aliphatic ether compounds correlate to the faint bands detected at ~1200 and ~1150 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The sulfoxide vibration band is at ~1099 cm\(^{-1}\), while the C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations of aromatic compounds (overtone bands) are around ~893 to ~739 cm\(^{-1}\).

All the laboratory results and analyses demonstrate that the main problem in the investigated wells is asphaltenes depositional material plus associated compounds due to the polarity of asphaltenes.

### Evaluation of treatment options

In general, there are two available solutions to treat the asphaltenes precipitation problems in oil wells. The two options are either (1) changing the operating conditions to be outside the solid precipitation region in the asphaltene deposition envelope or (2) conducting chemical injection using appropriate asphaltenes inhibitor and dispersant with proper concentrations.

We extracted downhole sample from Reservoir A only and we were not be able to extract downhole sample from reservoir B due to wellbore completion restrictions (unavailable Y tool in well completion to collect downhole samples), and the new drilling was delayed due to economic issues. Initially, a downhole oil sample was collected from Well-I (Reservoir A) to test the AOP at the downhole temperature conditions. However, unfortunately, this sample was not representative due to the presence of a high level of OBMC (10.7 wt%) at ambient conditions. As the OBMC is higher than 5%wt, extracting the AOP results from this sample using the solid detection systems was not recommended (Mullins et al. 2007). Therefore, we were not able to assess the option of changing the operating conditions as a solution to prevent and mitigate the asphaltenes precipitations.

Accordingly, the chemical treatment option was the only possible solution based on the available data. In general, the field practices use either asphaltenes inhibitors or AD as a chemical treatment for the asphaltenes precipitation problem. The asphaltenes inhibitors and dispersants have different functions. The asphaltenes inhibitors shift the beginning of the asphaltenes precipitation from one process point to another point. It extends the duration of the asphaltenes suspension in the crude oil until the crude oil is lifted to the surface. However, the asphaltenes dispersants reduce the size of the flocculation and avoid the accumulation of the asphaltenes molecules. Accordingly, the asphaltenes are produced as suspended molecules in

| Samples   | Sampling points | SiO\(_2\) (wt%) | TiO\(_2\) (wt%) | Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (wt%) | Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (wt%) | MnO (wt%) | MgO (wt%) | CaO (wt%) | Na\(_2\)O (wt%) | K\(_2\)O (wt%) | P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (wt%) | SO\(_3\) (wt%) | Cl (wt%) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|
| Well-I Pump intake | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 61.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Well-II | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 74.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 |

Table 6: Major elements oxides using the XRF test
the fluid. In this work, the AD was used to solve the problem of the asphaltenes precipitation. According to Melendez et al. (2016), the most efficient AD type is the one that keeps the asphaltenes dispersed in the crude oil as long as possible to give minimum sedimentation over time.

The main objective of the asphaltenes dispersant tests was to select the optimum chemical that can achieve better remedial treatments and prevent asphaltenes deposition in the field applications. In this study, asphaltenes dispersant test was carried out for Reservoir A using 12 AD fluids (AD01, AD02, AD03, AD04, and AD05, etc.) at concentration of 200 ppm to select the best AD. The asphaltenes mitigation laboratory tests concluded that using AD05 with a concentration of 200 ppm minimizes the asphaltene deposition as illustrated in Fig. 13. Then, the same test was conducted with different concentrations of AD05 (50, 100, 200, and 400 ppm) with the Reservoir A to identify the optimum dosage. As shown in Fig. 14, it is clear that when the AD05 with a concentration of 200 ppm is used, only less than 1% of the asphaltenes is precipitated after one hour. The performance of AD05 with a concentration of 400 ppm has slightly better performance, but it has economic impact. Accordingly, it was highly recommended to start the field application using AD05 type with a concentration of 200 ppm.

### Table 7 Characteristic of the organic deposit samples using SARA analysis

| Reservoir | Samples from Well | Sampling points | Saturates (wt%) | Aromatics (wt%) | Resins (wt%) | Asphaltenes (wt%) |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| A         | Well-I           | Pump intake    | 74.84          | 17.21          | 5.19        | 2.76             |
| B         | Well-II          | Sample volume was limiteda | 2.77            |                |             | 2.77             |

*a The volume of this solid deposit sample was not enough to conduct complete SARA test. Therefore, it was used to identify the asphaltenes content only.

---

**Fig. 12** Results of the Infrared (IR) spectrum test for the organic deposits

**Fig. 13** Fluctuation and precipitation of asphaltenes particles with 200 ppm of 12 different asphaltenes dispersants (AD)

**Fig. 14** ADT results of Reservoir A crude oil treated with different concentrations of AD05

### Pilot implementation

The recommended treatment was applied in two wells (Well-I and Well-II). These wells are producing with ESP. The oil production performance of Well-I is shown in Fig. 15. It is clear that the oil production rate of Well-I sharply declined from 2000 to ± 650 STB/D after ten days from putting the well on production. It was also observed that the decline in the oil production rate was combined with a sharp increase in the pump intake pressure which increased from 2700 to
3400 psi. The reduction in the oil production rate and the increase in pump intake pressure was attributed to organic and inorganic solid precipitate on the pump intake and inside the pump stages as described in the analysis of the laboratory results and shown in Figs. 3 and 4. As described earlier, the ESPs equipment was completely blocked and damaged. All of these observations were an indication of the presence of asphaltenes precipitation problem in Well-I. Accordingly, in the first two months, three diesel backwash jobs were conducted to remove any asphaltenes deposition. The diesel backwash job included mixing acid, xylene, and diesel with different percentages to backwash the pump parts as a periodic cleaning job. This treatment showed instantaneous improvement for two to three days after each job. Then, the plugging problem occurred again, and the production declined again as shown in Fig. 15. It was observed that when the pump intake pressure was at the level of ± 3200 psi, the oil production rate was stable at ± 700 STB/D for a long duration (from sixty to two hundred days after putting the well on production) as shown in Fig. 15. This might be attributed to no significant asphaltenes aggregation at pressures above 3200 psi (i.e. the pump intake pressure at this level is higher than the AOP).

It should also be highlighted that operating the well at a pump intake pressure of ± 3200 psi has an impact on oil production rate. Therefore, operating the well with high pump intake pressure was not the optimum solution for the production strategy of the company. Accordingly, the results of the AD laboratory tests were considered to avoid the asphaltenes precipitation and operate the well at lower pump intake pressure. After 200 days from putting the well on production, the selected asphaltenes dispersant (AD05 type) was injected via a capillary tube with a 200 ppm concentration. The injection of AD05 showed a significant increase in the oil production rate from 700 to 1600 STB/D as shown in Fig. 15. Then, the production rate declined according to the normal decline rate in the reservoir.

Two months later, this approach was tested in another reservoir (Reservoir-B) via Well-II. The oil production performance of Well-II is presented in Fig. 16. It should be highlighted that the oil production rate sharply declined from 2000 to ± 470 STB/D after nineteen days from putting the well on production. It was also observed that the decline in the oil production was combined with a sharp increase in the BHFP (measured by dynamic fluid level) which increased from 470 to 1600 psi. The reduction in the oil production rate and the increase in the BHFP was attributed to organic and inorganic solid precipitate on the pump intake and inside the pump stages as described in the analysis of the laboratory results and shown in Figs. 5 and 6. As described earlier, these ESPs equipment was completely blocked and damaged. Similar to Well-I, in the first two months, three diesel backwash jobs were conducted to remove asphaltenes deposits. The diesel treatments showed short-lived improvement but the plugging problem was persistent as shown in Fig. 16. Due to the operational schedule, no ADT was conducted for crude oil from Reservoir B. However, the results of the laboratory analysis for the well fluid (Basic crude oil and SARA test) of Well-II showed similar composition to those of Well-I. Moreover, the two reservoirs A and B are in the same field with small difference in depth. In addition, the geological studies indicate that the two reservoirs have the same hydrocarbon source. Accordingly, by analogy, the results of the AD laboratory tests of Well-II showed similar composition to those of Well-I. Moreover, the two reservoirs A and B are in the same field with small difference in depth. In addition, the geological studies indicate that the two reservoirs have the same hydrocarbon source. Accordingly, by analogy, the results of the AD laboratory tests of Well-II showed similar composition to those of Well-I.

An economic model was developed to evaluate the old and new treatment approaches in Well-I and Well-II. Table 8 presents a summary and a comparison between the cost of the old and new treatment approaches. The old treatment approach involved the use of acid treatments, xylene, and diesel backwash jobs to remove asphaltenes deposits. However, these treatments showed short-lived improvement and the plugging problem persisted. The new treatment approach involved the use of an asphaltenes dispersant (AD05 type) to prevent asphaltenes aggregation and deposits. This treatment showed instant improvement and prevented the plugging problem. The economic model showed that the new treatment approach was more cost-effective and effective in improving the oil production rate and reducing the BHFP.
approach in Well-I and Well-II included the cost of three diesel backwash jobs and the cost of one workover job for ESP replacement. On the other hand, the cost of the new treatment approach included only the cost of the capillary tube string and the cost of the AD. The results of the economic model showed a significant decrease in the operating cost from 2.01 to 0.43$/STB for Well-I and from 4.37 to 0.52$/STB for Well-II as shown in Table 8.

In addition, applying the new treatment approach enhanced the production rate in the two wells. Therefore, the results of the pilot implementation in Well-I and Well-II encouraged the operator to proceed with full-field applications. The new treatment methodology will be applied for the other three wells (Well-III, Well-IV, and Well-V). In these wells, the cost of the old treatment approach is very high as shown in Table 2. The extra cost of the old treatment method in these wells reached 6$/STB in Well-V. The application of the new treatment method in these wells is expected to add value by reducing these high operating costs.

**Conclusions**

1. A comprehensive approach for diagnosing and treating the asphaltenes precipitation problems was proposed and successfully applied in two wells (Well-I and Well-II) producing from different reservoirs in the Western Desert of Egypt.
2. The laboratory analysis for the collected fluids samples and sludge deposition indicated that the asphaltenes are the core problem in these field applications.
3. Asphaltenes dispersant tests for 12 asphaltenes dispersion types were carried out to select the optimum asphaltenes dispersant type.
4. The treatment of asphaltenes precipitation using diesel was inefficient and led to a massive increase in the operating cost, while using asphaltenes dispersants proved its cost-efficiency.
5. The field applications indicated that the continuous injection of asphaltenes dispersant downhole using a capillary tube with ESP completions is highly efficient than a continuous injection of diesel and/or periodic diesel backwash jobs.
6. The proposed treatment increased the oil production rate in Well-I and Well-II from 700 to 1600 STB/D and 470 to 1500 STB/D, respectively.
7. The average cost of applying the new treatment in Well-I and Well-II showed a considerable decrease in the operating expenditures (OPEX) from 2.01 to 0.43$/STB, and 4.37 to 0.52$/STB, respectively.
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