Improvement of Out-in skin Transparency and Symptoms by Shower Therapy in Children with Atopic Dermatitis
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Abstract

Background: Atopic dermatitis (AD) in elementary school children often shows resistance against ordinary treatment. The effect of skin care using water-shower therapy was evaluated mainly by physiological methods in the early summer season when aggravation of dermatitis by perspiration increases.

Methods: Skin care with shower therapy was administered to sixteen children in six schools and performed during school lunch breaks for six weeks. The eczema area and severity index (EASI) and physiological methods, such as measurement of stratum corneum hydration and the skin transparency index (STI) with a colorimeter, which demonstrates skin barrier dysfunction were observed before and after the trial. No other on-going therapies were changed during this study period.

Results: The EASI scores were decreased after showering. Moreover, the stratum corneum hydration and the STI were improved by shower therapy. Significant physiological and clinical improvements in AD were observed. The conclusion of parents after shower therapy was excellent.

Conclusions: Skin care with daily shower therapy in elementary school was effective for an improvement of the skin barrier and skin lesions of AD in children. Results of this study support the recommendation of skin care in the treatment of children with AD.
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Introduction

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common chronic inflammatory skin disease in children. Recent studies suggest that the prevalence of AD is increasing in many countries as is that of other allergic diseases. Guidelines for AD treatment have been published in various countries in the world [1], and the children with AD were treated on the basis of those guidelines. However, the pathophysiology of AD is not yet completely elucidated.

Development of AD is thought to depend on a complex interplay of genetic factors, environmental exposure to allergens and nonspecific adjuvant factors. Recently numerous reviews have addressed the origin of AD by genetic analysis [2]. An impaired barrier function of the stratum corneum in AD has been found to result from a decreased production of ceramides [3] and filaggrin [4]. Barrier dysfunction induces water loss in skin and dry skin is characteristic of AD.

Skin care using a shower in elementary school appeared to be an effective and useful treatment for school children with AD [5-7]. In addition, a prompt removal of aggravating factors such as sweat and dust from the skin surface is the optimal way to maintain good skin condition. Furthermore, a new method has been developed to measure skin transparency from outside to inside, which is noninvasive and safe with a good reproducibility [8]. The out-in skin transparency is significantly increased in AD, and the continuous, increased transport of outside stimuli across the stratum corneum may result in persistent itching and exacerbation of dermatitis.

In this report we used this new method as a physiological one and examined the pathophysiologial and clinical effects of shower therapy for elementary school children with AD in the early summer season in Japan, when heat and perspiration frequently induce itching and aggravate dermatitis.

Methods and Materials

Patients

All children with AD in six elementary schools with a shower facility in Gunma prefecture, Japan, received an explanation of methods of this investigation and applicants were recruited for participation. AD was diagnosed by the duration, itching and specific skin lesion [9].

Written informed parental consent for each child was obtained before the study, and institutional review board approvals was obtained from the Gunma University Hospital ethics committee.

Shower therapy

Shower therapy with warm water was performed in a shower room during school lunch breaks for a 6-week period from June to July in 2008. No soap or shampoos were used during the shower, and topical...
treatments were not used after the shower. No other on-going AD therapies were modified during the study period.

Evaluation of AD

The symptoms of AD were examined by allergy specialist and assessed with physiological methods before and after the 6-week shower therapy. The Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI; score range 0-72) [10] was used as clinical scoring of AD.

Stratum corneum hydration (capacitance) [11] was used conventionally as a physiological evaluation method. It was measured in nonlesional skin on the forearm using a moisture meter (ASA-M1; Asahi Biomed, Tokyo, Japan).

Measurement of out-in skin transparency

The outside to inside skin transparency was evaluated by a new method using a colorimeter and yellow dyes [8] in nonlesional skin on the forearm. Fifty microliters of tartrazine food dye solution (10 mg/dl) was applied to a small waterproofed cotton patch (5×5 mm), and it was attached to the nonlesional skin on the forearm. The values of b*, which indicates the yellow color, was measured using a colorimeter after 30 minutes of provocation with tartrazine. A tristimulus photocolorimeter model CR-400 (Konica Minolta, Osaka, Japan) was used to objectively

minutes of provocation with tartrazine. A tristimulus photocolorimeter indicates the yellow color, was measured using a colorimeter after 30

Evaluation of AD

The symptoms of AD were examined by allergy specialist and assessed with physiological methods before and after the 6-week shower therapy. The Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI; score range 0-72) [10] was used as clinical scoring of AD.

Stratum corneum hydration (capacitance) [11] was used conventionally as a physiological evaluation method. It was measured in nonlesional skin on the forearm using a moisture meter (ASA-M1; Asahi Biomed, Tokyo, Japan).
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Questionnaire investigation

Observations of patient’s parents about a symptomatic change of AD by shower therapy were recorded after the conclusion of the study period.

Statistical analysis

The nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to analyze difference of EASI, stratum corneum hydration and STI between the pre and the post trial. Correlations between the EASI and the physiological skin measurements were calculated by a linear regression analysis using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant difference.

Results

Sixteen children (4 girls and 12 boys) in six elementary schools participated in this study and completely carried out the shower therapy. No one modified AD therapies during the study period. The participants were aged 6-11 years (mean age; 8.1 years). All were diagnosed with AD by a pediatrician or dermatologist. Twelve children continuously used medicine such as a moisturizer or steroid ointment.

The pre-trial and the post-trial EASI score in the participants was 0.7-7.5 and 0-2.8, respectively. The EASI score was decreased in all participants after shower therapy. In addition, AD symptoms were improved significantly by skin care with shower (p=0.001; Figure 1A).

The evaluation of stratum corneum hydration showed that the pre-trial capacitance was 7-29.8, and the post-trial capacitance was 13.5-53.3. Capacitance was increased in almost all participants. Stratum corneum hydration was improved significantly by shower therapy (p=0.001; Figure 1B).

STI with a colorimeter and yellow dyes was significantly decreased after shower therapy (p=0.034; Figure 1C).

Although, there was no significant correlation between EASI score and stratum corneum hydration (p=0.728; Figure 2A) and between STI and stratum corneum hydration (p=0.824; Figure 2B), a significant correlation was found between the EASI score and STI (p=0.005; Figure 2C) before shower therapy.

The questionnaire administered to the parents of AD children that underwent shower therapy showed that 88% observed that the AD symptoms, such as eczema or itching, were improved by shower therapy (Table 1). Some of the parents also reported that the children did not become restless and were able to concentrate on learning because of the improvement of AD symptoms.

Discussion

Shower therapy in elementary school has an obvious effect on AD improvement [5-7]. This study evaluated the effect of shower therapy using both physiological methods and clinical observation of AD symptoms, and demonstrated a significant improvement of skin transparency as well as an improvement of skin lesions on each individual patient following shower therapy.

SCORAD [12] and EASI are common clinical indices used for evaluation of AD, and they have been conventionally used for evaluating the severity of AD. On the other hand, many reviews have addressed the origin of AD by genetic analyses [2] and a dysfunction of
A skin barrier dysfunction is usually demonstrated by measurement of transepidermal water loss (TEWL) and stratum corneum hydration [14], and previous data demonstrate a close correlation between the values of TEWL and the penetration of chemicals through the skin in vitro [15]. However, it should be noted that the diffusion of evaporated water molecules through the stratum corneum represents only an in-out barrier function and does not necessarily correlate with the diffusion of protein and chemicals that often affect the inflammatory processes of AD.

Previously, Hata and his coworkers monitored the non-invasive, objective out-in skin functional parameters [16]. Their method is excellent, but it is difficult for routine measurements in children with AD. The method described in this report is simple and easier, because only the yellow dye used for food is applied to the skin. In addition, it can be performed safely on young children. The method was carried out with no problems in the current study. Furthermore, this method can directly demonstrate the out-in skin transparency. This report measured stratum corneum hydration and STI, thus the in-out and the out-in skin transparency have been evaluated.

First, this study confirmed the usefulness of shower therapy in elementary school. From questionnaire investigation of shower therapy for parents of AD children, approximately 90% of them answered that AD symptoms were improved by shower therapy. Many studies on AD have established guidelines for the effective management of this condition [17]. These guidelines suggested that basic therapy consists of avoidance of triggering factors and optimal skin care. One of the irritants is allergens which induces allergic inflammation on atopic patients [18]. Studies on the effects of house dust mite exposure on eczema have demonstrated specific effects of different mite species [19]. Others reported non-specific irritants; such as sweat, dust [20] and staphylococcal enterotoxin [21]. It is clear that these outside irritations and stimuli to skin contribute to the onset and the aggravation of AD [22].

Furthermore, some parents were voluntary participation to this study and may have a good adherence for AD therapy, thus we speculate this study may realize the importance of skin care to the parents of AD children.

Second, this study suggested the possibility that the skin barrier dysfunction in AD patients improved by removing the outside stimuli by a shower bath in nonlesional skin. Several studies have suggested low water-holding capacity or slight skin barrier dysfunction in the visually uninvolved skin of children with AD in comparison with that of children without AD [23]. Other studies have demonstrated a decreased production of ceramides [3] and filaggrin [4] and a histological inflammatory change [24] in nonlesional skin of AD patients. Our data suggested that skin barrier dysfunction was present in nonlesional skin of AD patients, and that the skin care with shower therapy improves skin barrier dysfunction of nonlesional skin. In addition, this report obviously suggests the importance of skin care for not only clinical lesions but also nonlesional skin in AD patients.

Third, our data demonstrated a significant correlation to be found between EASI score and STI. It is clear that an increased penetration of outside stimuli such as sweat, dust or allergens induces a prolonged skin itching and chronic inflammation [1]. Concerning the significant correlation between EASI score and STI, the severity of AD may be partly dependent on the out-in skin transparency. Outside stimulus factors can penetrate skin barrier more easily in severe AD patients, whose skin barrier are more destructed, resulting in the progression of severity of AD. The dysfunction of out-in skin transparency, which may be induced by the large spaces that exist among the skin cells, could show severe, chronic symptoms due to both water loss and non-specific irritants.

The children with high EASI scores showed remarkable improvements of AD symptoms after shower therapy. Most of the EASI scores of the participants in this study were slight or middle. A more significant effect of shower therapy might have detected if all children had high EASI scores as in a previous report [7].

One of the factors which induce aggravation of AD is stress in both children with AD and adult patients [25]. There was concern that performing daily shower therapy in elementary school would be stressful for AD children. However, all children completed the 6-week shower therapy, and they seemed to feel refreshed by the decrease in skin discomfort. Parental questionnaires revealed that only two children had no change in the symptoms with shower therapy, but they were very mild cases.

In conclusion, skin care using shower therapy during elementary school was effective and useful for AD treatment. In addition, a significant improvement of the skin barrier was observed in AD patients. The efficacy of shower therapy was demonstrated by both the physiological evaluation and the observation of clinical symptoms. Furthermore, this study clearly suggests that the STI in nonlesional skin of AD patients is a reliable and objective index to evaluate skin barrier function. This method can thus allow physicians to make a plan for long-term treatment of the patients with AD more precisely for each individual.

**Acknowledgements**

Hiroyuki Mochizuki designed the study. Satoru Takami, Hiroyuki Mochizuki, Reiko Muramatsu and Satomi Hagiwara collected and analyzed the data. Satoru Takami prepared the manuscript, with input from Hirokazu Arakawa and Makoto Uchiyama. The final manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors.

**References**

1. Akdis CA, Akdis M, Bieber T, Bindslev-Jensen C, Boguniewicz M, et al. (2006) Diagnosis and treatment of atopic dermatitis in children and adults: European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology/PRACTALL Consensus Report. Allergy 61: 969–987.
2. Walley AJ, Chavanas S, Moffatt MF, Essnoun RM, Ubbi B, et al. (2001) Gene polymorphism in Netherton and common atopic disease. Nat Genet 29: 175-178.

| Table 1: Impressions of parents in 16 AD patients. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Skin Lesion       | Marked improvement | Improvement | No Change | Aggravation |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Improvement       | 4 (25%)            | 10 (63%)    | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |
| No Change         | 3 (19%)            | 11 (69%)    | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |
| Aggravation       | 1 (6%)             | 1 (6%)      | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |

| Itching           | Marked improvement | Improvement | No Change | Aggravation |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Improvement       | 3 (19%)            | 11 (69%)    | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |
| No Change         | 3 (19%)            | 11 (69%)    | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |
| Aggravation       | 1 (6%)             | 1 (6%)      | 1 (6%)    | 1 (6%)      |
3. Imokawa G, Abe A, Jin K, Higaki Y, Kawashima M, et al. (1991) Decreased level of ceramides in stratum corneum of atopic dermatitis: an etiologic factor in atopic dry skin? J Invest Dermatol 96: 523-526.

4. Smith FJ, Irvine AD, Terron-Kwiatkowski A, Sandilands A, Campbell LE, et al. (2006) Loss-of-function mutations in the gene encoding filaggrin cause ichthyosis vulgaris. Nat Genet 38: 337-342.

5. Mochizuki H, Muramatsu R, Tadaki H, Mizuno T, Arakawa H, et al. (2009) Effects of skin care with shower therapy on children with atopic dermatitis in elementary schools. Pediatr Dermatol 26: 223-225.

6. Murota H, Takahashi A, Nishioka M, Matsui S, Terao M, et al. (2010) Showering reduces atopic dermatitis in elementary school students. Eur J Dermatol 20: 410-411.

7. Kameyoshi Y, Tanaka T, Mochizuki M, Koro O, Mihara S, et al. (2008) Taking showers at school is beneficial for children with severer atopic dermatitis. Anerugi 57: 130-137.

8. Mochizuki H, Tadaki H, Takami S, Muramatsu R, Hagiwara S, et al. (2009) Evaluation of out-in skin transparency using a colorimeter and food dye in patients with atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol 160: 972-979.

9. Tagami H (1995) Japanese Dermatological Association Criteria for the diagnosis of atopic dermatitis. J Dermatol 22: 966-967.

10. Hanifin JM, Thurston M, Omoto M, Cherill R, Toffe SJ, et al. (2001) The eczema area and severity index (EASI): assessment of reliability in atopic dermatitis. EASI Evaluator Group. Exp Dermatol 10: 11-18.

11. Tagami H, Ohi M, Iwatsuki K, Kanamaru Y, Yamada M, et al. (1980) Evaluation of the skin surface hydration in vivo by electrical measurement. J Invest Dermatol 75: 500-507.

12. [No authors listed] (1993) Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: the SCORAD index. Consensus Report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. Dermatology 186: 23-31.

13. Shahidullah M, Raffle EJ, Rimmer AR, Frain-Bell W (1969) Transepidermal water loss in patients with dermatitis. Br J Dermatol 81: 722-730.

14. Yosirovich G, Maayan-Metzger A, Merlob P, Sirota L (2000) Skin barrier properties in different body areas in neonates. Pediatrics 106: 105-106.

15. Imokawa G. (1993) Water and the stratum corneum: Bioengineering of Skin: In Vitro and In Vivo Models, Vol. 1. CRC Press, Boca Raton FL.

16. Hata M, Tokura Y, Takigawa M, Sato M, Shiroya Y, et al. (2002) Assessment of epidermal barrier function by photoacoustic spectrometry in relation to its importance in the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis. Lab Invest 82: 1451-1461.

17. [No authors listed] (1992) Guidelines of care for atopic dermatitis. American Academy of Dermatology. J Am Acad Dermatol 26: 485-488.

18. Tan BB, Weald D, Strickland I, Friedmann PS (1996) Double-blind controlled trial of effect of housedust-mite allergen avoidance on atopic dermatitis. Lancet 347: 15-16.

19. Krämer U, Lemmen C, Bartusel E, Link E, Ring J, et al. (2006) Current eczema in children is related to Der f 1 exposure but not to Der p 1 exposure. Br J Dermatol 154: 99-105.

20. Leung DY (1995) Atopic dermatitis: the skin as a window into the pathogenesis of chronic allergic diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 96: 302-318.

21. Ide F, Matsubara T, Kanejo M, Ichiyama T, Mukoyama T, et al. (2004) Staphylococcal enterotoxin-specific IgE antibodies in atopic dermatitis. Pediatr Int 46: 337-341.

22. Kusel MM, Holt PG, de Klerk N, Sly PD (2005) Support for 2 variants of eczema. J Allergy Clin Immunol 116: 1067-1072.

23. Seidenari S, Giusti G (1995) Objective assessment of the skin of children affected by atopic dermatitis: a study of pH, capacitance and TEWL in eczematous and clinically uninvolved skin. Acta Derm Venereol 75: 429-433.

24. Leung DY, Bhan AK, Schneeberger EE, Geha RS (1983) Characterization of the mononuclear cell infiltrate in atopic dermatitis using monoclonal antibodies. J Allergy Clin Immunol 71: 47-56.

25. Williams JR, Burr ML, Williams HC (2004) Factors influencing atopic dermatitis-a questionnaire survey of schoolchildren’s perceptions. Br J Dermatol 150: 1154-1161.