Self reactance $X_d$ in direct axis ‘d’ and self reactance $X_q$ in quadrature axis ‘q’ influence the load characteristics of the permanent magnet machine. Their calculation methods are known. All calculations should be verified by measurements. Measurement with AC variable voltage and frequency source applied on stator winding is correct when eddy currents do not exist. Other methods evaluate the DC current decay after initial direct current was applied on the stator winding. Accuracy of these methods is lowered because of the numerical evaluation of current decay curve. Another method performs the measurement on the machine loaded as a motor. The load angle $\beta$ is measured by a machine set of four machines. The accuracy is lowered by higher harmonics induced in no load in the helping synchronous machine. The load angle can be also obtained by geometrical construction of the vector diagram. In this paper the evaluation of the generator steady state regime of the machine is used. The generator works with different loads consisting of capacitance, inductance or arbitrary impedance or resistance. When the generator transfers from no load to load regime the rotor is shifting and the load angle changes. This angle is measured. The newly proposed measurement methods are simple in special cases of loads. Results of measurements and their experimental verification are published in the paper.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Progress in power electronic converters brought radical change of synchronous machine usage area. They operated with constant frequency usually [1,2]. Synchronous machines supplied by electronic converters are first of all widely used as permanent magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) in variable speed drives [3–8]. New application field lies also in electric vehicle drives [9] and aircraft [10]. Permanent magnet synchronous machines have influenced the usage of electronic converters on the other hand too [11–13].

Synchronous machine usage area caused construction modifications according to different technologies and areas where machines are used. Other changes in construction
were caused by permanent magnet development [14]. Self reactance \( X_d \) and \( X_q \) depend not only on machine construction but also on the permanent magnet material quality. Classical salient-pole synchronous machines with rotor winding for field current have \( X_d > X_q \) and their ratio is usually

\[
\frac{X_d}{X_q} \in (1.3; 2.5).
\]

This proportion changes in PMSM sharply. Many PMSM have \( X_d \) and \( X_q \) nearly the same. But indispensable number of PMSM has the ratio

\[
\frac{X_d}{X_q} \in (0.5; 1.5).
\]

That means that \( X_q < X_d \) is also possible [8, 11, 15–17]. The calculation methods of direct axis reactance \( X_d \) and quadrature axis reactance \( X_q \) are known. Also the finite element method is possible. All calculations surely contain uncertainty caused by nonlinear iron features, geometrical complexity, permanent magnet material features and by accepted presumptions [18]. That means calculations should be verified by experiments any case. Reactances of variable speed PMSM are usually expressed as inductances for practical reasons. There were used different measurement methods for direct axis inductance \( L_d \) and quadrature axis inductance \( L_q \) in literature. In [19] two methods are used. The first method is using an AC-source with variable voltage and frequency applied on stator winding for synchronous inductances measurement. The rotor must be fixed in the phase axis on which the direct inductance \( L_d \) is measured and then shifted 90° electrical degrees for \( L_q \) measurement. The method is correct when in the machine eddy currents do not exist. The second method, described also in [20], evaluates the DC current decay after initial direct current was applied. In [15] a similar voltage step method was used. Accuracy of these methods is lowered because of the numerical evaluation of the current time curve. Current decay can last several minutes in turboalternators as mentioned in [20]. Paper [3] uses the steady state working when the machine is loaded as a motor. Under this condition the magnetic fluxes in ’dq’ axes are constant. The torque angle (load angle) \( \beta \) is measured by a machine set of four machines. The accuracy is lowered by higher harmonics induced in no load in the helping synchronous machine. Paper [17] uses also the steady state analysis. The load angle is obtained by geometrical construction which lowers the accuracy too.

2 MEASUREMENT OF PMSM PARAMETERS IN STEADY-STATE CONDITION

The newly proposed measurement methods are described in this section. They are simple and accurate. They can be used also for machines where eddy currents in the ’dq’ axes exist and cannot be omitted.

In this paper the evaluation of steady state of the machine working as generator is used. The generator is loaded with capacitance or inductance when \( L_d \) inductance is measured. The generator is loaded with arbitrary impedance or resistance when \( L_q \) inductance is measured. This load causes that the torque angle (load angle) \( \beta \) occurs. When the machine transfers from no load to load regime the rotor is shifting and the torque angle changes from zero to \( \beta \). This angle can be measured by the position sensor or by the stroboscope. The vector diagram in steady state is depicted in Fig. 1. The angle \( \beta \) is the angle between stator voltage \( U_1 \) and voltage \( U_b \) induced by permanent magnet flux.

To construct the diagram following values must be known:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 & \text{ stator resistance} \\
X_d & \text{ stator self reactance in ’d’ axis} \\
X_q & \text{ stator self reactance in ’q’ axis} \\
U_1 & \text{ stator voltage} \\
I_1 & \text{ stator current} \\
\varphi & \text{ phase angle}.
\end{align*}
\]

2.1 Measurement of stator self inductance in ’d’ axis

Vector diagram in Fig. 1 will change when the PMSM is loaded as generator by capacitance or inductance only. For the case of the capacitance load see Fig. 2.

The stator current vector \( I_1 = I_C \) is situated in the direct axis ’d’ as its magnetic flux linkage \( \Psi_c = L_d \cdot I_1 \). Voltage \( U_b \) is induced by the permanent magnet flux.

The magnetic flux linkage \( \Psi_c = L_d \cdot I_1 \) will be added to the magnetic flux linkage of the permanent magnet which induces the voltage \( U_b \). It holds exactly when \( R_1 \) is zero or very small. For the case of inductance load the magnetic flux linkage \( \Psi \) will be subtracted from the magnetic flux linkage of the permanent magnet. The direct axis inductance is the function of the magnetic circuit and permanent magnet quality. We can measure the voltage \( U_b \) of the PMSM in no load. The direct axis reactance \( X_{1d} + X_{1q} \) can be calculated from the difference of no load voltage and load voltage. It holds when we omit the resistance \( R_1 \).

The voltage drop on the resistance \( R_1 \) is small when compared with the stator voltage \( U_1 \). The calculation can be corrected with respect to the voltage drop \( R_1 \cdot I_C \). The correction is usually not needed. The vector diagram is shown in Fig. 2 for the case when the machine was loaded with capacitance. Voltage drop \( R_1 \cdot I_C \) is assumed as very low.
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Fig. 1. Vector diagram of salient pole synchronous machine loaded by inductance in steady state generator regime, \( X_d > X_q \)

Fig. 2. Vector diagram of PMSM loaded by capacitance. Voltage vector \( U_1 \) was laid into the real axis.

We can calculate:

\[
X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma} = \frac{U_1 - U_b \cdot \cos \varepsilon}{I_c}, \tag{1}
\]

\[
L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma} = \frac{X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}}{\omega}. \tag{2}
\]

It holds for the angle \( \varepsilon \):

\[
\sin \varepsilon = \frac{R_1 \cdot I_c}{U_b}. \tag{3}
\]

For \( R_1 \cdot I_c = 10 \text{ V} \) and \( U_b = 220 \text{ V}, \varepsilon \approx 2.60^\circ \) and \( \cos \varepsilon = 0.9989 \).

The correction is not necessary even if it is possible.

We can calculate the time constant knowing the stator phase resistance \( R_1 = R_d \).

\[
T_d = \frac{L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma}}{R_d}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
T_d = \frac{U_1 - U_b \cdot \cos \varepsilon}{R_d \cdot \omega \cdot I_c}. \tag{5}
\]

Procedure is the same when we load the machine with inductance.

2.2 Measurement of stator self inductance in 'q' axis

Measurement may be performed on the machine loaded as generator. The load can be arbitrary combination of resistance, inductance and capacitance.

Directly measurable values are:

- \( R_1 = R_d \) stator phase resistance
- \( U_1 \) stator voltage
- \( U_b \) voltage induced by the permanent magnet in no load regime
- \( Z \) loading impedance
- \( \varphi \) phase angle
- \( L_d = L_{1d} + L_{1\sigma} \) Direct axis inductance is known from previous measurement
- \( \omega \) electrical angular frequency.

We use for the measurement evaluation the vector diagram in Fig. 3 which is drawn for the case that \( L_d < L_q \).

The position and the length of the vector \( |A| \cdot e^{j\alpha} \) can be calculated from (6).

\[
\vec{A} = \vec{U}_1 - R_1 \cdot \vec{I}_1 - j (X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}) \cdot \vec{I}_1 = |A| \cdot e^{j\alpha}. \tag{6}
\]

The value \( L_d = L_{1d} + L_{1\sigma} \) was measured and is known. The length of the vector and its angle \( \alpha \) can be calculated from (6). The length of the vector \( |B| \cdot e^{j\beta} \) depends on \( L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma} \).

\[
\vec{B} = \vec{U}_1 - R_1 \cdot \vec{I}_1 - j (X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}) \cdot \vec{I}_1. \tag{7}
\]
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The decomposition of the current \( I_{1} \) into ‘d’ component \( I_{1d} \) and ‘q’ component \( I_{1q} \) depends on the angle \( \beta \).

Voltage \( U_{b} \) induced by the permanent magnet is constant and does not depend on the load of the PMSM.

Voltage \( U_{b} \) in Fig. 3 and Fig. 1 is determined by the perpendicular line from the vector \( A \) on the vector \( B \).

Procedure of the quadrature axis inductance calculation can be performed by following equations:

Angle \( \delta \) between stator current \( I_{1} \) and ‘d’ axis is:

\[ \delta = 90 - \varphi - \beta \]

Current components in ‘q’ and ‘d’ axis \( I_{1q} \) and \( I_{1d} \) are:

\[ I_{1q} = I_{1} \cdot \sin \delta = I_{1} \cdot \sin(90 - \varphi - \beta) = I_{1} \cdot \cos(\varphi + \beta) \]

\[ I_{1d} = I_{1} \cdot \cos \delta = I_{1} \cdot \cos(90 - \varphi - \beta) = I_{1} \cdot \sin(\varphi + \beta) \]

We get from the vector diagram:

\[ C = \tilde{U}_{b} + j (X_{1d} + X_{1\sigma}) \cdot \tilde{I}_{1d} \]

\[ j (X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}) \cdot \tilde{I}_{1q} = (U_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1}) - \tilde{C} \]

We obtain for the quadrature axis reactance and quadrature axis inductance finally:

\[ X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma} = -j \frac{(U_{1} - R_{1} \cdot I_{1}) - \tilde{C}}{I_{1q}} \]

\[ L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma} = \frac{X_{1d} + X_{1\sigma}}{\omega} \]

The computation will be very simple if the measurement on the PMSM is performed in the regime of resistance load only. In such a case the angle \( \varphi = 0 \), the current vector \( \tilde{I}_{1} \) is a real negative number and the vector \( \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \). We get from Fig. 3 and \( \varphi = 0 \) for \( \tilde{C} = (U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1}) \cdot e^{j \beta} \cdot \cos \beta \).

We get from (15):

\[ X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma} = -j \frac{(U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1}) - C \cdot e^{j \beta}}{I_{1q}} \]

\[ \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \cdot e^{j \beta} \cos \beta \]

\[ \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \cdot e^{j \beta} \cos \beta \]

\[ \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \cdot e^{j \beta} \cos \beta \]

\[ \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \cdot e^{j \beta} \cos \beta \]

\[ \tilde{U}_{1} - R_{1} \cdot \tilde{I}_{1} = U_{1} + R_{1} \cdot I_{1} \cdot e^{j \beta} \cos \beta \]
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\[ \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{I_{1q}} \cdot (\cos \beta - j \sin \beta - \cos \beta) = \]
\[ = \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{I_{1q} \cdot \cos \beta} \cdot \sin \beta = \]
\[ = \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{I_1} \cdot \tan \beta. \]  

(17)

It holds for the stator self reactance in quadrature axis and stator self inductance in quadrature axis simple (18) and (19) finally.

\[ X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma} = \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{I_{1q}} \cdot \tan \beta, \]  

(18)

\[ L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma} = \frac{X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}}{\omega} = \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{I_1 \cdot \omega} \cdot \tan \beta. \]  

(19)

3 PERFORMED MEASUREMENTS

Measurements were performed according to the Chapter 2. Measured machine was: Synchronous machine 1 kW, 140 V, star connected, 3 phases, 4.6 A, 133.33 Hz, 2000 min⁻¹, pole number \( 2p = 8 \), with buried permanent magnets symmetrically distributed. Photo of the measured machine is in Fig. 4. Measurement arrangement is in Fig. 5.

Fig. 4. PMSM 1 kW, 140 V, star connected, 3 phases, 4.6 A, 133.33 Hz, 2000 min⁻¹, pole number \( 2p = 8 \), with buried permanent magnets symmetrically distributed

3.1 Influence of saturation in the machine during measurement

Saturation of the magnetic circuit in PMSM is caused by two factors. The first factor is the permanent magnet in itself and the second factor is the armature reaction caused by load. Permanent magnets have good quality nowadays. Nevertheless the coercive field strength \( H_C \) and remanent magnetic flux density \( B_r \) are limiting factors for the machine design. Therefore the saturation level of the magnetic circuit at no load is rather low. The influence of the armature reaction on the magnetic saturation in PMSM is lower as in machines using excitation winding. Let us compare it using the magnetic circuit in Fig. 6. The reluctance of the armature stack is assumed to be much smaller than the reluctance of the air gap. Let us consider the case when the armature reaction is zero. It means that the armature coil in Fig. 6 is without current.

Fig. 6. Magnetic circuit with permanent magnet, armature reaction and the demagnetization curve \( H = f(B) \)

It holds between the air gap magnetic flux density \( B_δ \), coercive field strength \( H_C \) and the remanent magnetic flux density \( B_r \) (20).

\[ B_δ = B_r \cdot \frac{B_r \cdot B_δ}{g \cdot H_C \cdot \mu_0} \cdot \delta, \]  

(20)
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... from which we get:

\[ B_\delta = \frac{B_r}{1 + \frac{B_\delta}{\mu_0 H_c} \cdot \frac{M MF}{\delta}}. \quad (21) \]

When the armature coil current is present and causes the MMF it will hold:

\[ B_\delta = B_x - \frac{B_x}{g \cdot H_c} \cdot \left( \frac{B_x}{\mu_0} \cdot \delta \pm M MF \right), \quad (22) \]

from which we get:

\[ B_\delta = B_x \cdot \left( 1 \pm \frac{M MF}{g \cdot H_c} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{B_\delta}{\mu_0 H_c} \cdot \frac{M MF}{\delta}}. \quad (23) \]

The sign '+' holds in case when the MMF supports the permanent magnet. The sign '-' holds in case when the MMF acts against the permanent magnet.

Let us assume that the magnetic circuit is magnetized only with excitation winding (not depicted in Fig. 6). The permanent magnet is not used and the armature reaction causes additionally the same MMF as in the previous case. The armature reaction MMF causes additional air gap magnetic flux density \( B_\delta \):

\[ \Delta B_\delta = \mu_0 \cdot \frac{M MF}{\delta}. \quad (24) \]

Let us calculate the increasing of the air gap magnetic flux density \( \Delta B_\delta \) caused by armature winding MMF 100 A.

Parameters of the magnetic circuit are listed in Table 1.

The magnetic flux density in the air gap when the circuit is magnetized only by permanent magnet is given by (21) \( B_\delta = 0.7020 \, \text{T} \).

The magnetic flux density in the air gap when the circuit is magnetized by permanent magnet and by armature reaction with MMF 100 A is given by (23) \( B_\delta = 0.7207 \, \text{T} \).

The increasing of the magnetic flux density in the air gap is \( \Delta B_\delta = 0.0187 \, \text{T} \).

The increasing of the magnetic flux density in the air gap when the magnetic circuit is magnetized by excitation winding and additionally by armature reaction with MMF 100 A is given by (24) \( \Delta B_\delta = 0.0628 \, \text{T} \). That means that the influence of the armature reaction is in machine with excitation winding 3.358 times stronger then in PMSM.

In all these cases were the air gap magnetic flux densities \( B_\delta \) calculated under the assumption that the reluctance of the armature stack is negligible. Nevertheless the results show that the saturation effect can appear in PMSM only at very high loads and is much more weaker then in machines with exciting windings. Approximately similar results hold in 'q' axis because also here the magnetic flux crosses the permanent magnet material. Magnetic flux crosses in this case the side extension parts of permanent magnet poles. Therefore the measured values can be used in prevailing loads. When we want to calculate load characteristics of the machine at high loads it is better to measure the self inductances at high currents but with attension not to demagnetize the permanent magnet.

### Table 1. Parameters of the magnetic circuit

| Parameter                        | Value   |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Length of the permanent magnet   | \( g = 0.005 \, \text{m} \) |
| Length of the air gap            | \( \delta = 0.002 \, \text{m} \) |
| Coercive field strength of the permanent magnet | \( H_c = 750 \, 000 \, \text{Am}^{-1} \) |
| Permanent magnet remanent magnetic flux density | \( B_r = 1 \, \text{T} \) |

3.2 Measurement of stator self inductance in 'd' axis.

Machine was loaded with capacitive current.

3.2.1 Values measured

Stator effective phase voltage \( U_1 = 58.38 \, \text{V} \), phase voltage induced with permanent magnets \( U_b = 55.71 \, \text{V} \). Capacitive current \( I_C = 1.117 \, \text{A} \). Frequency during measurement \( f = 99.16 \, \text{Hz} \), angular velocity \( \omega = 623.04 \, \text{s}^{-1} \), revolutions \( 1487.4 \, \text{min}^{-1} \), phase resistance \( R_1 = R_d = 0.963 \, \Omega \).

3.2.2 Results obtained

Using (1) we obtain the reactance \( X_d \) at frequency \( f = 99.16 \, \text{Hz} \):

\[ X_d = X_{1d} + X_{1\sigma} = \frac{U_1 - U_b}{I_c} = \frac{58.38 - 55.71}{1.117} = 2.39 \, \Omega. \quad (25) \]

Using (2) we get stator self inductance:

\[ L_d = L_{1d} + L_{1\sigma} = \frac{X_{1d} + X_{1\sigma}}{\omega} = \frac{2.39}{623.04} = 0.003836 \, \text{H}. \quad (26) \]

Using (4) we get the time constant:

\[ T_d = \frac{0.003836}{0.963} = 0.003983 \, \text{s}. \quad (27) \]

The angle \( \epsilon \) can be omitted because:

\[ \sin \epsilon = \frac{R_b L_d}{U_b} = \frac{0.963 \times 1.117}{55.71} = 0.0193, \quad (28) \]

\[ \epsilon = 1.106^\circ, \quad \cos \epsilon = 0.9998. \]
3.3 Measurement of stator self inductance in 'q' axis.

Machine was loaded with resistance only.

3.3.1 Values measured

Stator effective phase voltage \( U_1 = 25.92 \) V. Current \( I_1 = 2.265 \) A. Frequency during measurement \( f = 52.5 \) Hz. Angular velocity \( \omega = 329.87 \) s\(^{-1}\), revolutions 787.5 min\(^{-1}\). Phase resistance \( R_1 = R_d = 0.963 \) Ω. Angle \( \beta = 8.510^\circ \) was measured with position sensor. Graph of voltage \( U_b \) is measured in no-load and is depicted in Fig. 7.

![Graph of voltage](image)

**Fig. 7.** Shifting angle \( \beta \) between terminal voltage \( U_1 \) and voltage \( U_b \) induced with permanent magnets. One period of the 8 pole machine.

In the Fig. 7 the position sensor pulse ‘R’ is written down. Position sensor does not mark the ‘d’ or ‘q’ axis with its pulse ‘R’ but voltage \( U_b \) does it. Voltage graph determines in no-load with its zero value the ‘d’ axis position and with its maximum value the ‘q’ axis position. The graph \( U_b \) is recorded and then the machine is loaded with the resistance at the same velocity. Voltage graph \( U_q \) during full load is recorded too. Both oscillograms (\( U_b \) and \( U_1 \)) were put one on each other with position sensor pulses aligned. Angle between them is angle \( \beta \).

Using (18) we get for \( X_\sigma \) (29) at frequency \( f = 52.5 \) Hz.

\[
X_\sigma = X_{1\sigma} + X_{1q} = \frac{R_1}{L_{1\sigma}} \cdot I_1 \cdot \tan \beta, \tag{29}
\]

\[
X_q = \frac{U_1 + R_1 \cdot I_1}{L_{1q}} \cdot \tan(8.51) = 1.856 \Omega.
\]

Using (19) we get for \( L_q \) (30).

\[
L_q = L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma} = \frac{X_{1q} + X_{1\sigma}}{\omega}, \tag{30}
\]

\[
L_q = \frac{1.856}{329.87} = 0.005626 \text{ H}.
\]

Measured machine has \( (L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma}) > (L_{1d} + L_{1\sigma}) \) with the ratio:

\[
\frac{L_{1q} + L_{1\sigma}}{L_{1d} + L_{1\sigma}} = 1.47.
\]

4 EXPERIMENTAL CONFIRMATION OF MEASURED PMSM PARAMETERS

Measured parameters can be used for PMSM characteristics prediction. Let us use the experimentally obtained inductances \( L_d, L_q \) and calculate the voltage characteristics of the permanent magnet generator. Let us load the generator with a circuit formed with different impedances \( Z_\omega = R_\omega + j \omega \cdot L_\omega \) or \( Z_\omega = R_\omega - \frac{j}{\omega L_\omega} \) where the resistance \( R_\omega \) will be several times changed.

Calculation results are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.

![Graph of voltage characteristic compared with measurement. Three phase symmetrical load is inductive with constant \( L_\omega = 23.9 \) mH and changing resistance \( R_\omega \) from 6.04 \( \Omega \) to 30.0 \( \Omega \), \( f = 101.2 \) Hz. Points measured are marked with symbols ‘*’, full line holds for calculated values, dash line holds for no load regime \( R_\omega \rightarrow \infty \). Parameters used for calculated characteristics in Fig. 8 are: machine phase resistance \( R_1 = 0.963 \) Ω, machine inductances \( L_d = 3.836 \) mH, \( L_q = 5.626 \) mH and frequency \( f = 106.6 \) Hz. Calculation was performed for](image)

**Fig. 8.** Calculated voltage characteristic compared with measurement. Three phase symmetrical load is inductive with constant \( L_\omega = 23.9 \) mH and changing resistance \( R_\omega \) from 6.04 \( \Omega \) to 30.0 \( \Omega \), \( f = 101.2 \) Hz. Points measured are marked with symbols ‘*’, full line holds for calculated values, dash line holds for no load regime \( R_\omega \rightarrow \infty \).

Parameters used for calculated characteristics in Fig. 8 are: machine phase resistance \( R_1 = 0.963 \) Ω, machine inductances \( L_d = 3.836 \) mH, \( L_q = 5.626 \) mH and frequency \( f = 106.6 \) Hz. Calculation was performed for
5 CONCLUSION

Modern electric drives very often use permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSM). Parameters of these machines influence the drive features. The saliency of PMSM has often high importance for the drive and its control. The saliency is influenced by machine construction and by permanent magnet material quality. Measurement methods for PMSM parameters identification are important. New methods are described in the paper. They are suitable for stator self inductances in direct and quadrature axes identification. They can be used for all construction variation of PMSM and for all permanent magnet materials. Results of measurement methods and their experimental verification are published in the paper. Performed experiments acknowledge the measurement methods.
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