Effects of iron limitation on carbon balance and photophysiology of the Antarctic diatom *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex*
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Abstract

In the Southern Ocean (SO), iron (Fe) limitation strongly inhibits phytoplankton growth and generally decreases their primary productivity. Diatoms are a key component in the carbon (C) cycle, by taking up large amounts of anthropogenic CO₂ through the biological carbon pump. In this study, we investigated the effects of Fe availability (no Fe and 4 nM FeCl₃ addition) on the physiology of *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex*, an ecologically relevant SO diatom. Our results are the first combining oxygen evolution and uptake rates with particulate organic carbon (POC) build up, pigments, photophysiological parameters and intracellular trace metal (TM) quotas in an Fe-deficient Antarctic diatom. Decreases in both oxygen evolution (through photosynthesis, P) and uptake (respiration, R) coincided with a lowered growth rate of Fe-deficient cells. In addition, cells displayed reduced electron transport rates (ETR) and chlorophyll a (Chla) content, resulting in reduced cellular POC formation. Interestingly, no differences were observed in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) or in the ratio of gross photosynthesis to respiration (GP:R). Furthermore, TM quotas were measured, which represent an important and rarely quantified parameter in previous studies. Cellular quotas of manganese, zinc, cobalt and copper remained unchanged while Fe quotas of Fe-deficient cells were reduced by 60% compared with High Fe cells. Based on our data, Fe-deficient *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex* cells were able to efficiently acclimate to low Fe conditions, reducing their intracellular Fe concentrations, the number of functional reaction centers of photosystem II (RCII) and photosynthetic rates, thus avoiding light absorption rather than dissipating the energy through NPQ. Our results demonstrate how *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex* can adapt their physiology to lowered assimilatory metabolism by decreasing respiratory losses.
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Introduction

The Southern Ocean (SO) is the world’s largest high nutrients, low chlorophyll (HNLC) region. In this region, despite the abundant supply of macronutrients such as N and P, phytoplankton growth and primary production are mainly limited by the trace element iron (Fe). Numerous studies have revealed the important role of Fe in phytoplankton growth (Boyd et al. 2007; Smetacek et al. 2012), since Fe is required as an important co-factor in enzymes involved in photosynthesis, respiration and nitrogen fixation. Since 1990, numerous laboratory Fe enrichment experiments have been performed, both with Antarctic natural phytoplankton communities (e.g. Feng et al. 2010; Hoppe et al. 2013; Trimborn et al. 2015, 2017) and single phytoplankton species (e.g. van Oijen et al. 2004; van de Poll et al. 2011; Petrou et al. 2014; Trimborn et al. 2019) to unravel the physiological effects of Fe limitation. Fe limitation usually decreases
Photosystem II (PSII) efficiency (Fv/Fm), relative electron transport rate (rETR) and net primary production (NPP) (Hoppe et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2016; Yoon et al. 2018). Moreover, Fe limitation also controls the photoacclimation status by lowering pigment quotas like chlorophyll a (Chla) and fucoxanthin (van Oijen et al. 2004; Pankowski and McMinn 2009; Feng et al. 2010). Besides these general physiological adjustments, the acclimation to Fe limitation enhances taxon-specific photophysiological differences, for example between haptophytes and diatoms. These different photophysiological acclimation strategies of the two taxa were attributed to the habitats they occupy: diatoms usually thrive in waters with a shallow mixed layer depth, thereby experiencing higher irradiance levels, whereas haptophytes like *Phaeocystis antarctica* are better adapted to low irradiance levels found in a deeply mixed water column (Arrigo and van Dijken 2003).

It is estimated that diatoms contribute to about 40% of marine primary production (Sarthou et al. 2005; Tréguer et al. 2018), playing a key role in the ocean carbon (C) cycle, particularly in the SO. Indeed, the SO is an important net sink for atmospheric CO2 (Gruber et al. 2019). Many studies have tried to estimate the contribution of phytoplankton to carbon sequestration by quantifying NPP using models, satellite remote sensing data or measured 14C uptake rates (Arrigo et al. 2008; Takao et al. 2012; Hoppe et al. 2018). Nevertheless, a lack of knowledge remains about an important parameter, namely the respiratory losses of organic C by phytoplankton. In order to improve NPP estimates of a given ecosystem, not only should photosynthetic C fixation be considered, but also respiratory C losses by phytoplankton. Due to the differences in day length, light availability and seasonal changes in salinity, the contribution of respiration to the total carbon balance is highly dynamic (Verity 1982; Serret et al. 2015). Although considerable effort has been expended on understanding photosynthesis in aquatic systems, the measurement of phytoplankton respiratory losses has received less attention, primarily due to methodological limitations. While numerous datasets of 14C uptake measurements are available for SO phytoplankton (e.g. Tortell et al. 2008; Kropuenske et al. 2009; Trimborn et al. 2015), only few studies have investigated the respiratory losses together with the assimilation of C (e.g. Tilzer and Dubinsky 1987; Gleitz and Thomas 1992; Thomas et al. 1992; Regaudie-de-Gioux and Duarte 2012; Trimborn et al. 2014). Information on C fixation or respiration rates exists, but rarely have both been measured during the same experiment. The following two studies are emblematic of this issue. In the first study, C uptake measurements were coupled with photophysiological and respiration measurements, however POC production rates were not determined (Trimborn et al. 2014). In the second study, 14C uptake measurements were combined with photophysiological responses and POC build up, but in this case information about respiration was missing (Petrou et al. 2014). Estimates on respiration rates remain rare yet, as a consequence, respiration data commonly used in models are rough estimates or assumptions (Laws and Bannister 1980; Geider et al. 1998). Thus, respiration in the World's oceans remains a large unknown factor of the global C budget (Marra 2009; Moisan and Mitchell 2018).

Among the plethora of phytoplankton species inhabiting the SO, we have chosen a representative of the genus *Chaetoceros*, which is an important diatom in the SO. In the present study, the effect of low Fe availability on photosynthesis rates (O2 evolution and POC build up), respiratory losses (O2 uptake rates), intracellular TM quotas of Fe, zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), cobalt (Co) and copper (Cu) and the photoacclimation status (pigments, photophysiological parameters) were determined in an Antarctic strain of *Chaetoceros cf. simplex*. To our knowledge, no laboratory experiments have explicitly investigated all these processes together in an Antarctic diatom under Fe limitation.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental conditions**

The Antarctic diatom *Chaetoceros cf. simplex* used in this study was isolated in 1999 by Thomas Mock (obtained from Dr. Steffi Gäbler-Schwarz, AWI Bremerhaven, Germany). This culture is not axenic, but unialgal and frequently diluted with fresh culture medium. Using inverted light microscopy, no bacteria were observed in the stock culture or during the experiment. The culture was kept for more than 6 months in stock cultures with Fe-deplete and -replete natural Antarctic seawater medium. For the preacclimation phase (over 2 weeks) and the main experiment, the diatom was grown at 2 °C in semi-continuous dilute cultures at an irradiance (E) equal to 100 μmol photons m−2 s−1 with a 16:8 h light:dark cycle, using light-emitting diodes (LED) lamps (SolarStinger LED SunStrip Marine Daylight, Econlux). Irradiance measurements were integrated in the visible part of the electromagnetic spectrum (400–700 nm), i.e. Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR), henceforth referred to as EPAR, using a 4p-sensor (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) connected to a LI-1400 datalogger (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, United States). The F2R−1 medium (Guillard and Ryther 1962) was prepared from sterile-filtered (0.2 μm) natural low-Fe (0.12 nmol L−1) Antarctic seawater (sampled during the Antarctic Circumpolar Expedition, January 1st, 2017, 59° 61′ S 148° 64′ W), supplemented with chelated (ChelexR 100, Sigma-Aldrich, Merck) macronutrients (100 μmol L−1 Si, 100 μmol L−1 NO3, 6.25 μmol L−1 PO4) and vitamins (30 nmol L−1 B1, 23 nmol L−1 B7, and 0.228 nmol L−1 B12). Two different trace metal mix were added to this seawater:
either (i) a trace metal mix containing no Fe (Low Fe treatment) or (ii) an addition of 4 nM FeCl₃ (High Fe treatment). The trace metal mixture contained zinc (0.16 nmol L⁻¹), copper (0.08 nmol L⁻¹), cobalt (0.09 nmol L⁻¹ Co), molybdenum (0.05 nmol L⁻¹), and manganese (1.9 nmol L⁻¹). These trace metal additions were adjusted to maintain the ratio of the original F 2⁻¹ recipe and represent trace metal concentrations typical for Antarctic HNLC waters. 4-L polycarbonate incubation bottles (Nalgene, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) were used and triplicates of each treatment were run in parallel. Over the experiment, cells grew in exponential growth phase and were harvested at cell densities of 49,228 ± 3299 and 33,496 ± 1094 cells mL⁻¹ for the Low Fe and High Fe treatment, respectively. Seawater carbonate chemistry remained stable over the whole duration of the experiment (Online Resource 1). The main experiment lasted 8 days for the Low Fe treatment and 7 days for the High Fe treatment.

In order to minimize Fe contamination, all sampling and handling of the incubation bottles were conducted under a laminar flow hood (Class 140 100, Opta, Bensheim, Germany) using trace metal clean techniques. Briefly, all culture bottles were soaked for 1 week in 1% Citranox solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and subsequently for another 1 week in 1 M hydrochloric acid (high-performance liquid chromatography grade, Merck Millipore Corporation). Between each soaking step, the bottles were rinsed seven times with ultrapure water (Merck Millipore Corporation). Finally, the trace metal-cleaned equipment/bottles were air dried under a clean bench (U.S. class 100) and stored in three polyethylene (PE) bags until usage.

**Determination of total dissolved iron concentrations and cellular trace metals quotas**

All labware used for analysis was pre-cleaned according to the GEOTRACES cookbook (Cutter et al. 2017). To determine the concentration of total dissolved Fe (dFe) in the Antarctic seawater, all culture medium (without cells) and incubation (with cells) bottles of each treatment were sampled at the end of the experiments. To this end, 100 mL of each sample were filtered (HCl-cleaned 0.2-µm polycarbonate filters, 47 mm, Nuclepore, Whatman, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) using a trace metal clean filtration system under a laminar flow hood (Class 100, Opta, Bensheim, Germany). The filtrate was then filled into a 125-mL HCl-cleaned PE bottle and stored triple-bagged at 2 °C until analysis. Between each filtration, the filtration system was cleaned in an acid bath with 1 M HCl and rinsed with Milli-Q. Prior to dFe analysis, all 0.2 µm prefiltersed seawater samples were acidified to pH 1.7 with sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65% HNO₃, pro analysis, Merck) and irradiated for 1.5 h using a UV power supply system (7830) and photochemical lamp (7825) from ArcGlass to provide total dissolved concentrations of Cu and Co (Biller and Bruland 2012). Mn, Fe, Co, Cu and Zn concentrations in seawater samples were analyzed via external calibration using a SeaFAST system (Elemental Scientific) coupled to an Element2 (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer. Standards for external calibration were prepared from natural iron-poor seawater spiked with commercially available ICP-MS single element standards (SCP Science; 1000 mg L⁻¹). This procedure reduced possible interference by the matrix and enabled analysis of low concentrations of the elements of interest. To assess the accuracy and precision of the method, a NASS-7 (National Research Council of Canada) reference standard was also analyzed in a 1:10 dilution (corresponding to environmentally representative concentrations) at the beginning and end of a batch run (n = 5). Recoveries for Fe were equal to 2.00 ± 0.02 mg g⁻¹, with no significant differences between the measured and certified values of the NASS-7 (i.e., 1.85 ± 0.19 mg g⁻¹).

To determine intracellular TM (Fe, Zn, Mn, Co and Cu) contents, phytoplankton cells were collected onto 0.2-µm acid-cleaned PC filters (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), and rinsed for 15 min with a 0.1 M oxalic acid wash to remove trace metals bound to the cell surface (Hassler and Schoemann 2009). Finally, the filters were rinsed with filtered seawater and placed into TM-cleaned 30-mL polystyrene vials (PTFE) vials. Intracellular TM contents were subsequently analyzed via ICP-MS following a digestion with HNO₃ and HF (Ho et al. 2003; Twining and Baines 2013) in a pressure digestion system (PicoTrace, DAS 30). All filters were digested for 16 h at 180 °C using 5 mL of sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65%, p.a., Merck) and 0.5 mL of HF (ROTIPURAN® Ultra 48%, Carl Roth) followed by the addition of 1 mL of Milli-Q water. The volume of the cell extract was then evaporated on a 140 °C hot plate and the evaporated was passed through a NaOH solution, which effectively neutralized it. 0.2 mL of sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65%, p.a., Merck) and 0.8 mL of Milli-Q water were then added and the solution was heated to 50 °C for 4 h to resuspend the cell extract before it was transferred into 10-mL TM cleaned polypropylene (PP) vials. Lastly, 10 µL of Rh (1 mg L⁻¹) was added as internal standard and the volume was brought up to 10 mL using Milli-Q water, before subsequent analysis on a high resolution ICP-MS (Element2, Thermo Scientific). Acid (5 mL of sub-boiled HNO₃, 0.5 mL HF) and two filter blanks as well as the BCR-414 (Plankton reference material, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) samples were also processed and analyzed in order to assure low background TM values as well as digestion quality. Intracellular TM contents were then normalized per cell or POC.
Fluorescence measurements

Chlorophyll a (Chla) fluorescence was measured with a fast repetition rate fluorometer (FRRF, FastOcean PTX sensor, Chelsea Technologies Group Ltd, West Molesey, UK) connected with a FastAct Laboratory system (Chelsea Technologies Group Ltd). All measurements were performed at 2 °C after a 10 min dark acclimation period. The excitation wavelength of the fluorometer’s LED was 450 nm, with an automated adjustment of the EPAR to 1.2 × 10^22 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. A single turnover mode was set with a saturation phase consisting of 100 flashlets on a 2 μs pitch followed by a relaxing phase of 40 flashlets on a 50 μs pitch. According to Kolber et al. (1998), photosynthetic efficiency was determined by measuring the minimum (Fo) and maximum fluorescence (Fm) to calculate the maximum quantum yield of photochemistry in PSII (Fv/Fm) using the following equation:

\[
F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_o)/F_m
\]  

For the measurement of photosynthesis versus irradiance curves (P–E curves), five actinic light levels (21, 50, 107, 207, 415 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) alternating with dark periods were applied for 5 min each. The effective (see below) and the maximum quantum yields were measured six times at the end of each light and dark period, respectively. The FRRF device supplied actinic irradiance and the irradiance level was previously checked with a light sensor (ULM-500 Universal Light Meter equipped with a Spherical Micro Quantum Sensor US-SQS, Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). Absolute electron transport rates (aETR, e⁻  PSII⁻¹ s⁻¹) at each light level were calculated following Suggett et al. (2004, 2009):

\[
aETR = \sigma_{PSII} \cdot \left(\frac{F_q' / F_m'}{(F_v / F_m)}\right) \cdot E
\]  

where \(\sigma_{PSII}\) is the functional absorption cross section of PSII photochemistry (nm² PSII⁻¹). \(F_q' / F_m'\) denotes the effective PSII quantum yield under ambient light and E represents the respective irradiance level (μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). Using the Stern–Volmer equation, non-photoinhibitory efficiency was calculated as:

\[
aETR_{max} = 1 / b+2\sqrt{a\cdot c}
\]

\[
E_k = c / b+2\sqrt{a\cdot c}
\]

In addition to the parameters named above, the analysis software of the FRRF FastPro8 (Chelsea Technologies Group Ltd, West Molesey, UK) provides a measure of the connectivity factor of adjacent PSII light harvesting complexes (P, dimensionless), the functional absorption cross section of PSII’s photochemistry (σPSII, nm²) and the number of functional PSII reaction centers per volume (RCII_cell, nmol m⁻³). The latter parameter was then normalized to cell number to obtain cellular concentrations of RCII (RCII_cell, amol cell⁻¹).

After the completion of the P–E curve, an additional dark-adaptation period of 10 min was applied, followed by a single turnover flashlet to check for recovery of PSII. Using the Fv/Fm measured before and after the P–E curve, the yield recovery was calculated and given as % of the initial Fv/Fm (before the P–E curve). All measurements (n = 3) were conducted at the growth temperature of 2 °C.

Oxygen-based photosynthesis and respiration rates

Photosynthesis and respiration rates were measured using an oxygen microsensor system (PreSens, Regensburg, Germany). From each experimental condition, cells were harvested by gentle filtration of 400–500 mL culture over a 2-μm membrane filter (Isopore, Millipore) to obtain a volume of about 4–6 mL (equal to a Chla concentration of 2–3 μg mL⁻¹). Subsequently, the concentrated cell suspension was transferred into a special custom-made cuvette where an implantable oxygen microsensor was placed (PreSens) and maintained at 2 °C under continuous gentle stirring. For the measurement of the oxygen-based P–E curves, Chaetoceros cf. simplex cells were dark-adapted for 10 min and then exposed for 5 min to each of five increasing EPAR (45, 90, 185, 302, 455 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), alternating with a 5 min dark phase between each increasing EPAR. The EPAR was provided by a light projector equipped with neutral density filters. Each EPAR was checked with a light sensor as described above (subsection “Determination of total dissolved iron concentrations and cellular trace metals quotas”). After the measurements, samples were taken for determination of Chla concentration of the cell’s concentrate, filtered onto GF/F filters and stored at – 80 °C. Chla was subsequently extracted in 1.6 mL acetone (overnight in darkness, 4 °C).
and determined with a calibrated Turner Designs fluorometer (Model 10–000 R, Mt. View, Canada).

Photosynthetic rates were calculated using the oxygen solubility as a function of the growth medium’s salinity and the measuring temperature (Benson and Krause 1984). Gross oxygen production (GP) and net oxygen production (NP) are reported in μmol O₂ (mg Chla)⁻¹ h⁻¹. GP was derived by correcting the net oxygen evolution rate for the corresponding dark respiration rate [R; μmol O₂ (mg Chla)⁻¹ h⁻¹]. GPmax and NPmax in the following sections refer to GP and NP values obtained at the maximal EPAR investigated (i.e., 455 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The P–E curves based on gross oxygen production were fitted according to Eilers and Peeters (1988).

**Growth and cell size determination**

Cell samples were fixed with Lugol’s solution and stored at 2 °C in the dark until counting. Cell numbers were determined using Utermöhl chambers (Hydrobios, Altenholz, Germany) on an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiosvert 200). After a settling time of at least 24 h, Chaetoceros cf. simplex cells were counted in stripes in an Utermöhl chamber until at least 400 cells had been counted. A magnification of ×400 in combination with a ×1.6 optovar was used for counting. The cell numbers were plotted on a logarithmic scale and the slope of the linear regression was used to determine growth rates (Fanesi et al. 2016). Cellular biovolume was calculated according to Hillebrand et al. (1999), measuring at least 50 cells for each treatment.

**Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen content**

For the analyses of particulate organic carbon (POC) and particulate organic nitrogen (PON) content, at the end of the experiment 750 mL of each Chaetoceros cf. simplex culture flask were filtered onto pre-combusted (500 °C, 15 h) 25-mm GF/F filters (Whatman). One filter blank was taken for each sampled bottle. Samples were stored in combusted glass petri dishes at − 20 °C until sample preparation. Prior to the analysis, filters were dried at 60 °C overnight before they were acidified with 200 μL of 0.2 M HCl to remove the inorganic C. After being dried again at 60 °C overnight, filters were coated in tin foil and compressed into small pellets. Samples were analysed with an automated carbon–nitrogen elemental analyser (Euro EA—CN Elemental Analyzer, HEKAtch GmbH, Wegberg, Germany). POC and PON contents were corrected for blank measurements and normalized to cell density and the filtered volume to yield cellular quotas. Daily POC production rates were calculated by multiplication of the cellular quota with the specific growth rate of the respective treatment. The molar ratio of cellular carbon to nitrogen (C:N) was calculated by dividing the cellular content of POC (mol) by the cellular content of PON (mol).

**Analysis of pigments**

For the analysis of pigments, 750 mL of each Chaetoceros cf. simplex incubation were filtered onto 25-mm glass fiber filters (GF/F, Whatman). The filters were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen (N₂) and stored at − 80 °C. Before analysis, pigments were extracted from the GF/F filters for 24 h at 4 °C in the dark using 90% acetone (v/v). After centrifugation (5 min, 4 °C, 13000 g) and filtration through a 0.45-μm pore size nylon syringe filter (Nalgene®, Nalge Nunc 241 International, Rochester, NY, USA), total pigment concentrations were determined via reverse HPLC (LaChromElite system, VWR, Darmstadt, Germany). A Spherisorb ODS-2 column (5-μm particle size; Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was used for the separation of the pigments, applying a gradient following Wright et al. (1991). Peaks were detected at 440 nm and identified as well as quantified by co-chromatography with standards (DHI Lab Products, Hørsholm, Denmark) using the software EZChrom Elite ver. 3.1.3. (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). More specifically, concentrations of the light harvesting pigments (LHP): chlorophyll a (Chla), chlorophyll c2 (Chl c2), fucoxanthin (Fuco), and the light protective pigments (LPP): diatoxanthin (Dt), diadinoxanthin (Dd) and β-carotene were determined and normalized to filtered volume and cell numbers to yield cellular quotas.

**Statistics**

The effect of Fe availability (Low Fe vs. High Fe) on all experimental parameters was statistically analyzed using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-tests. All statistical analyses were performed using the program GraphPad Prism (Version 5.00 for Windows, Graph Pad Software, San Diego California, USA) and the significance testing was done at the p < 0.05 level.

**Results**

**Chlorophyll a-based fluorescence parameters**

Fe availability strongly influenced the photophysiology of Chaetoceros cf. simplex (Table 1, Bozzato 2019). The maximum PSII quantum yield of dark-adapted cells (Fv/Fm) was significantly lower (p < 0.001) in the Low Fe than in the High Fe treatment. The same trend was also observed for the connectivity between adjacent PSIIIs (P), where P in the Low Fe treatment was significantly lower, by 27%, than P in the
High Fe treatment \( (p < 0.001) \). Similarly, the concentrations of functional reaction centers of PSII per cell \( (R_{\text{CI}})_{\text{cell}} \) were significantly lower, by 26\%, in the Low Fe compared to the High Fe \( (p < 0.001) \). In contrast, the functional absorption cross sections of PSII, \( \sigma_{\text{PSII}} \), were 10\% higher in the Low Fe than in the High Fe treatment.

The absolute electron transport rates (aETRs) showed a clear difference between Low Fe and High Fe treatments (Fig. 1). Particularly, the maximum absolute electron transport rates \( (aE_{\text{TR}_{\text{max}}}) \) of the Low Fe were almost double the High Fe treatment rates, rising from 156 ± 22 to 304 ± 24 \( e^{-} \) PSII\(^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \). aETRs determined at the growth \( E_{\text{PAR}} \) \( (aE_{\text{TR}_{100}}) \) were also significantly lower in the Low Fe compared to the High Fe treatment (Table 2).

The photoacclimation parameter \( E_{\text{k}} \) (derived from Chla fluorescence-based \( P-E \) curves) revealed a different light acclimation status of \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) cells, depending strongly on Fe availability. Accordingly, the \( E_{\text{k}} \) value was more than three times greater under High Fe compared to Low Fe availability (Table 2). However, this did not affect the sensitivity to photoinhibition as values of Fv/Fm recovery remained unaltered (Table 2).

Also, the light-induced NPQ of \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) cells was not influenced by the different Fe conditions (Fig. 2).

**Oxygen-based photosynthesis and respiration rates**

Unfortunately, the relatively low Chla concentrations used in the GP and NP measurements caused a low signal/noise ratio and resulted in large standard deviations (Fig. 3, Table 3). Nevertheless, the measured differences between both treatments followed the same trend as aETR measured by Chla fluorescence, i.e. GP and NP of the Low Fe treatment were significantly lower compared to the High Fe treatments. As depicted in Fig. 3a, GP\(_{\text{max}}\) in the Low Fe treatment was only 24\% of the GP\(_{\text{max}}\) in the High Fe treatment, reaching rates of 95 ± 28 and 399 ± 224 \( \mu\text{mol O}_{2} \) (mg Chla\(^{-1} \)) h\(^{-1} \) in Low Fe and High Fe conditions, respectively. An even greater difference between Low Fe and High Fe conditions was measured in net photosynthesis (NP) rates (Fig. 3b), with NP\(_{\text{max}}\) values of 287 ± 224 and 55 ± 28 \( \mu\text{mol O}_{2} \) (mg Chla\(^{-1} \)) h\(^{-1} \), respectively. Please note that all values reported in Table 3 were determined at the growth \( E_{\text{PAR}} \) (i.e., 100 \( \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)).

---

**Table 1** Chla fluorescence-based photophysiological parameters in \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) for low Fe and high Fe conditions

|                | Low Fe     | High Fe    |
|----------------|------------|------------|
| \( F_{\text{v}/F_{\text{m}}} \) (rel. unit) | 0.38 ± 0.01 | 0.50 ± 0.01* |
| \( P \) (rel. unit)      | 0.32 ± 0.03 | 0.44 ± 0.02* |
| \( R_{\text{CI}} \) \(_{\text{cell}} \) (amol cell\(^{-1} \)) | 0.60 ± 0.02 | 0.81 ± 0.05* |
| \( \sigma_{\text{PSII}} \) (nm\(^{2} \)) | 5.35 ± 0.11  | 4.77 ± 0.06* |

Values represent mean ± standard deviation \( (n = 3) \). Significant changes \( (p < 0.05, \text{ANOVA}) \) relative to the low Fe condition are denoted by *

**Table 2** Photophysiological parameters \( aE_{\text{TR}_{100}} \) (determined at 100 \( \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)), \( E_{\text{k}} \) and \( F_{\text{v}/F_{\text{m}}} \) recovery (%) were determined in \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) grown under low Fe and high Fe conditions

|                | Low Fe     | High Fe    |
|----------------|------------|------------|
| \( aE_{\text{TR}_{100}} \) \( (e^{-} \) PSII\(^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \)) | 136.8 ± 7.2 | 183.3 ± 11.2* |
| \( E_{\text{k}} \) (\( \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) | 33.8 ± 8.2  | 124.2 ± 30.0* |
| \( F_{\text{v}/F_{\text{m}}} \) recovery (%) | 46.9 ± 1.5  | 51.0 ± 1.8  |

Values represent mean ± standard deviation \( (n = 3) \). Significant changes \( (p < 0.01, \text{ANOVA}) \) relative to the Low Fe condition are denoted by *

---

\( \text{Fig. 1} \) Absolute electron transport rates (aETRs) in \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) grown under Low Fe (open circle) and High Fe (filled circle) conditions. Values represent mean ± standard deviation \( (n = 3) \)

---

\( \text{Fig. 2} \) Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) in \( Chaetoceros \) cf. \( \text{simplex} \) for Low Fe (open circle) and High Fe (filled circle) conditions. Values represent mean ± standard deviation \( (n = 3) \)
Similarly, respiration (R) was significantly lower in the Low Fe treatment compared to High Fe (\(p<0.05\), Table 3). Interestingly, the ratio of GP to R (GP:R, Table 3) showed no variations between treatments.

**Elemental composition, growth and cell size**

The content of particulate organic carbon (POC) and particulate organic nitrogen (PON) per cell varied significantly between the two treatments (Table 4). Cell number normalized POC and PON contents were 18\% \((p<0.01)\) and 28\% \((p<0.001)\) lower in low Fe than in High Fe treatments, respectively. When the POC values were normalized to cell volume, such differences between the two treatments were not visible anymore (Table 4). With decreasing Fe availability, cell number normalized POC production rates declined (Table 4) while this was not the case when normalized to cell volume. The molar carbon to nitrogen ratios, C:N, were slightly higher in cells grown in the Low Fe than in the High Fe treatment \((p<0.01, \text{Table 4})\).

The growth rates of *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex* were significantly lower, by 9\%, in the Low Fe treatment \((0.53 \text{ day}^{-1})\) compared to the High Fe treatment \((0.58 \text{ day}^{-1})\) (Table 4). Correspondingly, the cell length/volume was also lower in Fe-deficient compared to Fe-enriched cells.

**Pigments**

Generally, the pigment content of *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex* did not differ between both treatments, except for Chla, which was 20\% lower in the Low Fe than in the High Fe treatment \((p<0.01)\), and for \(\beta\)-carotene, which was 43\% lower in the Low Fe vs. High Fe treatment \((p<0.001; \text{Table 5})\).

**Total dissolved iron concentrations and intracellular trace metals quotas**

The concentration of total dFe of the culture medium of the Low Fe and the High Fe treatments were significantly different \((p<0.0001)\), with 0.39 ± 0.02 and 3.07 ± 0.13 nmol

### Table 3

|                      | Low Fe     | High Fe   |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Gross oxygen production (GP) \([\mu \text{mol } \text{O}_2 (\text{mg Chla})^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}]\) | 99.4 ± 65.5 | 202.3 ± 36.1 |
| Net oxygen production (NP) \([\mu \text{mol } \text{O}_2 (\text{mg Chla})^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}]\) | 59.6 ± 65.5 | 89.9 ± 36.1 |
| Respiration (R) \([\mu \text{mol } \text{O}_2 (\text{mg Chla})^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}]\) | -39.8 ± 8.9 | -112.3 ± 56.3* |
| GP:R                  | 2.9 ± 1.7  | 2.7 ± 1.6  |

Values represent mean ± standard deviation \((n=3)\). Significant changes \((p<0.05, \text{ANOVA})\) relative to the Low Fe condition are denoted by *.

### Table 4

|                      | Low Fe     | High Fe   |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| POC \((\text{pg C cell}^{-1})\) | 7.63 ± 0.42 | 9.26 ± 0.71* |
| POC\text{vol} \((\text{pg C } \mu \text{m}^{-3})\) | 0.17 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.01 |
| Daily POC production rate \((\text{pg C cell}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})\) | 4.04 ± 0.20 | 5.39 ± 0.86* |
| Daily POC\text{vol} production rate \((\text{pg C } \mu \text{m}^{-3} \text{ day}^{-1})\) | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.09 ± 0.01 |
| PON \((\text{pg N cell}^{-1})\) | 1.37 ± 0.06 | 1.76 ± 0.12* |
| C:N \((\text{mol mol}^{-1})\) | 6.48 ± 0.10 | 6.12 ± 0.16* |
| \(\mu\) \((\text{day}^{-1})\) | 0.53 ± 0.02 | 0.58 ± 0.02* |
| Cell length (\(\mu \text{m}\)) | 5.63 ± 0.28 | 6.44 ± 0.11* |
| Cell volume (\(\mu \text{m}^3\)) | 46.37 ± 5.75 | 58.39 ± 1.80* |

**simplex** for low Fe and high Fe conditions. Values represent mean ± standard deviation \((n=3)\). Significant changes \((p<0.05, \text{ANOVA})\) relative to the low Fe condition are denoted by *.

![Gross (a) and net (b) photosynthesis rates in *Chaetoceros* cf. *simplex* for Low Fe (open circle) and High Fe (filled circle) conditions. Values represent mean ± standard deviation \((n=3)\)](image)
High Fe cells over the main experiment. Such uptake was not significantly reduced compared to the values of the culture medium High Fe bottles, indicating strong Fe uptake by the High Fe cells (Fig. 5). The same trend was also observed in the cell-normalized TM quotas (Table 7, expressed as μmol:mol) showed a significant difference between Low Fe and High Fe cells (black bars), to which either no (Low Fe) or a 4 nM FeCl₃ spike was added. Data shown represent mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Significant differences (ANOVA) for each parameter are denoted by different letters (p < 0.0001).

**Table 6** Concentrations of total dissolved iron, zinc, manganese, cobalt, and copper (in nM) were determined in all incubation (with *Chaetoceros cf. simplex* cells) and culture medium (without cells) bottles of each treatment (Low Fe and High Fe) at the end of the experiments (n ≥ 3)

| Chaetoceros cf. simplex | Culture medium |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Low Fe | High Fe | Low Fe | High Fe |
| Fe | 0.44 ± 0.15 | 0.58 ± 0.12 | 0.39 ± 0.02 | 3.07 ± 0.13*** |
| Zn | 10.79 ± 2.61 | 9.55 ± 3.23 | 10.55 ± 0.70 | 9.37 ± 1.90 |
| Mn | 0.56 ± 0.25 | 0.91 ± 0.34 | 1.90 ± 0.01 | 1.82 ± 0.02 |
| Co | 0.48 ± 0.01 | 0.48 ± 0.02 | 0.43 ± 0.01 | 0.43 ± 0.02 |
| Cu | 0.85 ± 0.05 | 0.87 ± 0.06 | 0.96 ± 0.05 | 0.99 ± 0.05 |

Significant changes in total dissolved trace metals concentrations of the High Fe relative to the Low Fe are denoted by *** (p < 0.0001, ANOVA) amol cell⁻¹). In contrast, Zn, Mn Co and Cu quotas were not significantly different between the two treatments.

**Discussion**

Fe is essential for redox-based reactions and is required for photosynthesis, respiration, and the nitrate and sulfur utilization pathways in phytoplankton (Behrenfeld and Milligan 2013; Raven 2013). Measuring both photosynthesis and respiration is essential in order to provide more accurate oceanic primary production rates, a key component of the global carbon cycle, as evident by different model scenarios (e.g. Tilzer and Dubinsky 1987; Lancelot et al. 1991; Arístegui et al. 1996; Quay et al. 2010). Recently it has been shown that the GP:R ratio is a critical parameter to assess the NPP depending on light and temperature conditions (Bozato et al. 2019). The influence of GP:R ratios on NPP is seasonal-dependent. The present study confirms that also under Fe-limiting conditions the GP:R ratio must be taken into account to assess the real NPP. For the first time, this study presents a novel experimental approach, by combining both photosynthesis and respiration, in order to better understand the effects of Fe-limitation on carbon uptake in the SO. Since Fe is important for the proteins in the electron transport chain (ETC), Fe deficiency directly influences the photosynthetic performance of the cells (Behrenfeld and Milligan 2013). Also in this study, a strong response to Fe depletion was found in different physiological parameters, such as a lower Fv/Fm from 0.50 to 0.38 (Table 1) in the *Chaetoceros* species tested in this work. Similarly, the number of functional reaction centers per cell, RCIIcell, as well as the connectivity between PSII, P, was lower under Low Fe compared to High Fe, a response commonly observed under Fe limitation (Petrou et al. 2014; Koch et al. 2019; Trimborn et al. 2019). Conversely, the functional absorption
cross sections of PSII, $\sigma_{\text{PSII}}$ was higher in Fe-limited cells. Such a response is attributed to an increase in the ratio of antenna complexes relative to the reaction center core complexes (Greene et al. 1991). It has been suggested that SO phytoplankton species, in particular, counteract the diminished number of Fe-rich PS reaction centers with a larger $\sigma_{\text{PSII}}$ (Strzepek et al. 2012), as frequently observed for other Antarctic Chaetoceros species (Timmermans et al. 2001; van Oijen et al. 2004; Petrou et al. 2014; Trimborn et al. 2019).

Among the different subunits of the photosynthetic apparatus, PSI and cytochrome $b_6f$ have particularly high Fe requirements and represent the limiting components of the electron flow in the thylakoid membranes (Wilhelm and Wild 1984; Strzepek and Harrison 2004). Under Fe limitation, the required Fe cannot be replaced and the cytochrome complexes cannot be substituted by alternative electron carriers. Thus, Fe limitation strongly limited the capacity of aETR both at the growth $E_{\text{PAR}}$ (Table 2) and at light saturation (aETR$_{\text{max}}$, Fig. 1) in the Low Fe compared to High Fe treatment, which led to a much earlier onset of light saturation, $E_k$, under Low Fe conditions (Table 2). This is in line with light saturation characteristics previously reported for the Fe limited Antarctic Chaetoceros simplex (Petrou et al. 2014). Fe is required in several ETC proteins and the limited Fe availability thus pushed the cell’s physiological machinery to absorb less light. As a consequence of the lowered Fe availability, the Chaetoceros cells in the Low Fe treatment exhibited 60% lower intracellular Fe content compared to High Fe cells (Table 7 and Fig. 5). In comparison, there was no difference in the TM quotas of Zn, Mn, Co and Cu between the two treatments.

The response of O$_2$-based photosynthetic rates (NP and GP, Fig. 3) under Fe deficiency follows the same pattern as for the measured aETRs by Chla fluorescence (Fig. 1). Similarly, NP and GP at growth $E_{\text{PAR}}$ were much lower under Fe deficiency (Table 3), with even lower GP$_{\text{max}}$ and NP$_{\text{max}}$ in the Low Fe compared to High Fe treatment (Fig. 3). The differences in light-saturated GP could be accounted for by a lowered Rubisco content in the Low Fe cells. Indeed, it has already been shown that the relative abundance of the carboxylating enzyme Rubisco decreased in response to Fe starvation (Geider et al. 1993). Furthermore, Wilhelm and Wild (1984) showed that the amount of Rubisco and cytochrome $f$ (cyt $f$) are regulated in a coordinated manner and therefore the assumed Fe-dependent decrease of cyt $b_6f$ content fits well with the lower GP$_{\text{max}}$ under low Fe conditions observed in this study.

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study combining P and R rates with daily C production of an Fe-limited Antarctic diatom. Indeed, to illustrate the efficiency of the conversion of photosynthetic energy into C biomass production it is worthwhile to compare the photosynthetic rates (aETRs and GP) with the daily C production (Table 4) under Low Fe and High Fe conditions. It becomes evident that the inhibitory effect of Fe limitation was more pronounced for the photosynthetic energy production than for C biomass

![Fig. 5 Carbon normalized cellular quotas of a the trace metals iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn); b manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and cobalt (Co); for Chaetoceros cf. simplex grown under Low Fe and High Fe conditions. Values represent mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Significant differences for each parameter relative to the Low Fe are denoted by *** (p<0.0001, ANOVA)](image)

![Table 7 Cellular contents of Fe, Zn, Mn, Co, and Cu (in amol cell$^{-1}$) of Chaetoceros cf. simplex grown under Low Fe and High Fe conditions (n=3, # n=2)](table)

|        | Low Fe          | High Fe         |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fe     | 14.22 ± 3.89$^b$| 41.61 ± 4.92*** |
| Zn     | 4.30 ± 1.01     | 3.08 ± 0.14$^d$ |
| Mn     | 1.66 ± 0.18     | 1.75 ± 0.47     |
| Co     | 0.11 ± 0.00     | 0.10 ± 0.01     |
| Cu     | 0.17 ± 0.01$^b$ | 0.24 ± 0.04*    |

Significant changes in intracellular trace metals quotas relative to the Low Fe are denoted by *** or * (respectively, p<0.0001 and p<0.05, ANOVA)
production. Moreover, when POC data are normalized to cell volume (POC\textsubscript{vol}; Table 4) rather than to cell number, an Fe-dependent decrease in POC production is no longer visible (Daily POC\textsubscript{vol} production rate, Table 4). As has been observed in other diatoms (Timmermans et al. 2001; van de Poll et al. 2009; Alderkamp et al. 2012; Trimborn et al. 2019), Chaetoceros cf. simplex was able to strongly limit the number of electrons entering the ETC under Fe limitation (Fig. 1). In this way, an overexcitation of the ETC was prevented. This fits with the observed decrease of the light absorption capacity, as indicated by the significantly lower cellular Chl\textalpha{} content in Low Fe cells (Table 5). In addition, the lower content of \textbeta{}-carotene in Low Fe relative to High Fe cells (Table 5) is in line with less functional PSII reaction centers (Tracewell et al. 2001). Lastly, the assumption that a decreased photosynthetic capacity limits the excitation pressure on the ETC is supported by the fact that Fe limited cells were neither forced to increase their extent of NPQ under saturating irradiance (Fig. 2) nor to increase their pool size of light protective pigments (LPP, Table 5). Moreover, the P–E curve (Fig. 3) and the Fv/Fm recovery rate (Table 2) did not reveal any indications for an increased sensitivity to high irradiances in cells under Low Fe conditions.

The absence of a difference in NPQ as a result of the different Fe availability is in contrast to the observations for Fe-limited cells of C. simplex made by Petrou et al. (2014). However, variations between the experimental setups should be considered. Firstly, the latter species was grown at a lower E\textsubscript{PAR} of 30 \textmu{}mol photons m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, while the E\textsubscript{PAR} in the present study was much higher (i.e., 100 \textmu{}mol photons m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}). Secondly, the NPQ\textsubscript{max} values in Petrou et al. (2014) were obtained at an E\textsubscript{PAR} 4 times higher than in this study (2260 vs. 492 \textmu{}mol photons m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively). Having a closer look at the data from Petrou et al. (2014), the NPQ values in the Fe limited C. simplex cells exposed to 500 \textmu{}mol photons m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1} (~0.1–0.2) were in a similar range as those of the Chaetoceros cf. simplex cells from this study.

Besides the simultaneous determination of photosynthesis rates by different methods (variable Chl\textalpha{} fluorescence, oxygen evolution, C biomass production), a novel aspect of this study was the measurement of respiration rates in the Antarctic diatom Chaetoceros cf. simplex (Table 3). The Fe-limited conditions resulted in 65% lower R compared to High Fe conditions at the growth E\textsubscript{PAR} (Table 3). However, this did not result in any differences in the ratio of photosynthesis to respiration (GP:R, Table 3). This is not a trivial result, since studies investigating the photosynthetic activity of Antarctic phytoplankton are available (e.g. Palmisano et al. 1987; Petrou and Ralph 2011), whereas knowledge about carbon losses due to respiration is very scarce, mainly due to methodological limitations (e.g. Marra 2009; Moisan and Mitchell 2018). Data presented in the literature show a high variability in the ratio between photosynthesis and respiration (e.g. Vona et al. 2004). Furthermore, the cell size was also lower in the Low Fe vs. High Fe treatment (Table 4), which is a typical response found in Fe-limited cells (e.g. Stefels and van Leeuwe 1998). Thereby, the cells compensate for Fe deficiency and similar POC\textsubscript{vol} production rates between the two treatments were obtained (Table 4).

Overall, these results indicate that the Fe limited cells showed a very efficient acclimation to the lowered assimilatory metabolism by decreasing their respiratory losses. This compensated for the inevitable reduced capacity for photosynthesis under Fe limitation.
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