Sinonasal Renal Cell-Like Adenocarcinoma
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Abstract
Sinonasal renal cell-like adenocarcinoma (SNRCLA) is a newly defined, rare malignant tumor of the nasal cavity. The clinical course and response to treatment remain uncertain. The purpose of this study is to report a new case of SNRCLA and review the literature to determine clinical characteristics, treatment options, and outcomes. A 26-year-old male presented with headache, epistaxis, and nasal obstruction. Physical examination revealed a tumor involving bilateral ethmoid sinuses and MRI revealed extension through the cribriform plate. Surgical excision with endonasal and bifrontal craniootomy was performed followed by adjuvant radiotherapy (RT). After RT, the patient had persistent disease requiring salvage surgery.

There are few previously reported cases of SNRCLA. A literature review yielded 14 previously reported cases with convincing diagnostic evidence of SNRCLA. Common presenting symptoms were epistaxis and nasal obstruction. Surgical excision was the primary treatment in fourteen cases, nine received RT, and none received chemotherapy. However, three cases had persistent or recurrent disease. Surgical excision is the mainstay of treatment for SNRCLA and adjuvant RT has been used in some patients with varying outcomes. The tumor is low grade with no reported cases of metastases or death. The best practice for treatment is yet to be determined.

Introduction
Malignancies of the sinonasal tract are rare, accounting for only 3% of head and neck carcinomas and 0.5% of all malignancies [1]. Sinonasal renal cell-like adenocarcinoma (SNRCLA) is an extremely rare tumor, with the first two cases reported in 2002 by Zur et al. and Moh’d Hadi et al. [2,3]. The microscopic description of SNRCLA has been discussed in recent literature, providing evidence of a varied histological appearance with positive expression for markers such as cytokeratin 7 (CK7), S100, and vimentin. The histological resemblance of SNRCLA to renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has been consistently noted. Both tumors have translucent cytoplasm with cuboidal to columnar shape and distinct cytoplasmic borders. Therefore, renal imaging must be completed to rule out RCC when diagnosing SNRCLA [4]. Due to the rarity of this tumor, the standard of treatment has not yet been determined.

Although several studies report the pathologic characteristics of SNRCLA, the clinical course and response to treatment is not well described in the current literature. We report a new case of SNRCLA and review previously reported cases to determine its clinical characteristics and outcomes.

Case Presentation
A 26-year-old male presented to our institution with three to four months of epistaxis, bilateral nasal obstruction, hyposmia, and headaches. Physical examination revealed a large bilateral intranasal mass emanating from the superior nasal vault and extending into bilateral ethmoid sinuses. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with gadolinium contrast revealed a 5.1 cm × 3.3 cm × 3.4 cm enhancing soft tissue mass centered in the ethmoid sinuses with invasion through the ethmoid plate abutting bilateral frontal lobes (Figure 1). There was no gross invasion of frontal lobe parenchyma or dura. Primary surgical excision was performed by otolaryngology and neurosurgical teams with a combined endonasal and bifrontal craniotomy approach. The patient underwent bilateral endoscopic ethmoidectomy and frontal sinusotomy, frontal sinus obliteration, and cranialization via coronal approach with pericranial flap. Intraoperatively, there was extensive bleeding and the patient required intraoperative transfusion of four units packed red blood cells. All gross disease was removed at the time of surgery; he recovered without complications and was discharged home on post-operative day 4. Pathological diagnosis of SNRCLA was made based on morphologic characteristics and immunohistochemistry (Table 1). Due to piecemeal resection via endoscopic approach, margin status was unclear. PET scan two months post-operatively suggested residual tumor along the nasal cavity and right orbit. The patient was referred for radiotherapy (RT) where he received 6000 cGy in 30 fractions with a boost of 600 cGy in three fractions to treat residual disease.
FIGURE 1: MRI imaging
(A) Coronal MRI and (B) sagittal MRI revealing a 5.1 cm × 3.3 cm × 3.4 cm enhancing soft tissue mass centered in the ethmoid sinuses with invasion through the ethmoid plate and abutment of the bilateral frontal lobes. No gross invasion of frontal lobe parenchyma or dura identified.

| Morphologic appearance                                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bland glandular cells forming tubules and follicles in a sheet-like pattern |
| Follicular lumens contain serous secretions imparting a thyroid-like pattern |
| Many cells contain moderate amounts of clear cytoplasm       |
| Lack of a basal layer (as demonstrated by negative immunostaining for p52) |

| Immunostaining |
|----------------|
| Positive       |
| CK7, keratin 903, CAIX (weak), SDHB (weak), Ki-67 (variably low, focally approaches ~5%) |
| Negative       |
| p63, ERG, TTF-1, BRAF, S-100, PAX-8, NKX3.1, CD30, Oct3/4, mammaglobin, CK20, CDX2, CD10, inhibin, chromogranin |

TABLE 1: Morphologic and immunochemistry results.
Findings support the pathologic diagnosis of sinonasal renal cell-like adenocarcinoma.

After completion of RT, PET imaging at seven months post-op showed persistent disease that had a partial response to RT. Salvage surgery was pursued via combined open and endonasal excision where negative margins were eventually obtained. After salvage surgery, his treatment course was complicated by nasal wound healing complications. He required multiple reconstructive procedures including a skin graft and paramedian forehead flap reconstruction. He is currently without evidence of disease at 16 months after diagnosis.

Discussion
SNRCLA is a rare tumor of the sinonasal tract that does not classify as any of the previously described sinonasal primary tumors [5]. SNRCLA was first described by Zur et al. in 2002 as a clear cell carcinoma that resembled RCC but without any evidence of a primary renal tumor [2]. Shortly after this description, Moh’d Hadi et al. published a case with a similar description and both of these were later classified as the newly described SNRCLA. Histologically, the lesions can appear similar to other clear cell neoplasms and the differential diagnosis should be wide. Clear cell neoplasms that must be ruled out include primary salivary lesions, primary non-salivary clear cell lesions, and metastatic lesions. Primary salivary clear cell lesions may initially appear similar, such as acinic cell carcinoma, clear cell mucoepidermoid carcinoma, hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma, clear cell oncocytoma, clear cell myoepithelial carcinoma, and epithelial myoepithelial carcinoma [6]. Additionally, primary non-salivary clear cell lesions also appear similar: squamous cell carcinoma with clear cell differentiation, endolymphatic sac tumors, and paragangliomas. Metastatic clear cell lesions to be ruled out include RCC, melanoma, clear cell sarcoma, and clear cell thyroid carcinoma [6].
Immunohistochemistry is used to distinguish SNRCLA from these lesions. In general, PAX8 and PAX2 are used to rule out RCC as they represent urogenital tumor origin and are not present in SNRCLA. RCC is also usually negative for CK7, CK20 and positive for vimentin, CD10, RCC antigen, and CA9 [4]. Positive staining for CK7 is characteristic of SNRCLA [4]. Since the description of SNRCLA in 2002, there have been multiple pathological studies on the disease, but information regarding the clinical behavior of the tumor remains sparse.

A literature review yielded 14 previously reported cases of SNRCLA, making ours the fifteenth case (Table 2) [2,3,6-12]. Cases that were not published in the English language or had a questionable pathologic diagnosis of SNRCLA were excluded from the review. Because this is a newly described disease, many case reports initially reviewed had a questionable diagnosis of SNRCLA and the diagnosis was reported as "clear cell neoplasms." Although some literature reviews have subjectively assigned a diagnosis of SNRCLA to these historic reports, we chose to deliberately exclude cases where the clinical or pathological description did not strongly point to a diagnosis of SNRCLA. Therefore, our literature review and case series may slightly differ from others in the literature. In our review, patients were aged 22-89 years, six were male and nine were female. Additional patient characteristics were not consistently reported and hence further associations could not be made in this review. The most common presenting symptoms were epistaxis and nasal obstruction. Only one patient reported by Storck et al. did not undergo surgical resection as primary treatment and had primary RT with no recurrence at 24 months [11]. Otherwise, all other cases reported underwent primary surgical resection. Eight, including our case, underwent additional RT and none received systemic therapy. Wu et al. reported the only known case of local recurrence [9]. Their patient was treated with surgical excision alone and was found to have a recurrence 35 months after primary resection. Zhenwei et al. reported a patient who underwent surgical excision followed by adjuvant RT and at six months had "no disease progression" [10]. It is unclear based on the report whether this patient had a persistent disease or had no evidence of disease (NED) at follow-up. In the present case, we report persistent disease after surgical excision and RT eventually requiring salvage surgery. Although our patient is currently NED at 16 months, he did have multiple wound complications after salvage surgery attributed to poor wound healing in a radiated field. Otherwise, nine cases were NED at follow up and the remaining three cases reviewed were lost to follow-up/status not reported.

| Case | Author | Age (years) | Sex | Location | Presenting symptoms | Surgery | Margin status | Radiation | Time to follow-up | Status at follow-up |
|------|--------|-------------|-----|----------|---------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | Zur et al. [2] | 50 | F | Left nasal cavity | Epistaxis, headache, nasal/ocular pressure, epiphora | Craniofacial resection | NR | Adjuvant | NR | NR |
| 2    | Storck et al. [11] | 36 | F | Nasal NOS | NR | Surgical excision | NR | Adjuvant | 48 mo | No recurrence |
| 3    | Storck et al. [11] | 69 | M | Nasopharynx | NR | None | NR | Primary definitive | 24 mo | No recurrence |
| 4    | Shen et al. [12] | 56 | F | Nasal skull base | NR | Surgical excision | NR | Adjuvant | 22 mo | No recurrence |
| 5    | Shen et al. [12] | 89 | F | Sinonasal | NR | Surgical excision | NR | No | 4 mo | No recurrence |
| 6    | Shen et al. [12] | 73 | M | Nasal NOS | NR | Surgical excision | NR | Adjuvant | 20 mo | No recurrence |
| 7    | Kim et al. [4] | 63 | M | Nasal NOS | Epistaxis, nasal obstruction, hyposmia | Craniofacial resection, bifrontal craniotomy combined endonasal endoscopic approach, en bloc removal with dural resection | NR | Adjuvant | 15 mo | No recurrence |
| 8    | Kubik et al. [6] | 80 | F | Nasal NOS | Asymptomatic | Combined endoscopic and open craniofacial resection: right transfrontal orbital approach with craniectomy by bicoronal incision, resection of dural and | Negative | No | NR | NR |
| Case | Authors | Age | Sex | Location | Symptoms | Procedure | Adjuvant | Follow-up | Outcome |
|------|---------|-----|-----|----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| 9    | Zhenwei et al. [10] | 63 | M   | Left nasal cavity and choana | Epistaxis, nasal obstruction, headache | Surgical excision | NR       | Adjuvant | 6 mo | No disease progression |
| 10   | Wu et al. [9] | 26 | F   | Nasal NOS | Epistaxis, nasal obstruction, and hyposmia x 1 year | Bicornal incision with ligation of anterior ethmoid artery followed by endoscopic resection, reconstruction with pericranial and septal flaps | NR | No | 24 mo | No recurrence |
| 11   | Wu et al. [9] | 42 | M   | Nasal NOS | Epistaxis | Endoscopic resection | NR | No | 35 mo | Recurrence |
| 12   | Brandwein-Gensler et al. [7] | 56 | F   | Nasal skull base | | Resection | NR | Adjuvant | 3 mo | NED |
| 13   | Moh’d Hadi et al. [3] | 22 | F   | Right nasal cavity, posterior ethmoid | Epistaxis, nasal obstruction | Pre-op embolization, excision of the tumor via Weber-Ferguson incision with medial maxillectomy, ethmoidectomy | NR | No | 4 years | NED |
| 14   | Negahban et al. [8] | 52 | F   | Left maxillary and ethmoid sinuses | Left cheek mass, nasal discharge | Resection with hemimaxillectomy | NR | No | LTF | LTF |
| 15   | Present study | 28 | M   | Bilateral ethmoid sinuses, skull base | Epistaxis, nasal obstruction, hyposmia, headache | Combined endonasal and open resection: bilateral ethmoidectomy and frontal sinusotomy, frontal sinus cranialization via bicoronal approach and pericranial flap | Positive | Adjuvant | 16 mo | Persistent disease and re-excision at 7 mo, NED at 16 mo |

**TABLE 2: Fifteen cases of SNRCLA reported in the literature.**
NR: not reported, LTF: lost to follow-up, NED: no evidence of disease. Status at follow-up is reported as stated in articles reviewed.

### Conclusions

SNRCLA is a rare entity, with previous studies focusing on the pathologic characteristics instead of the clinical behavior of the disease. Our literature review supports that SNRCLA is a low-grade malignancy, with a low recurrence rate and no reported cases of metastases. Primary treatment with surgical excision is the mainstay of treatment; however, location along the skull base can lead to difficult surgical management. The role of RT has yet to be determined and further studies are needed to determine best treatment management.
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