Interaction of *Naegleria fowleri* Trophozoites with *Escherichia coli* and MRSA by N-acetylglucosamine and Galactose
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*Naegleria fowleri* is a free-living amoeba causing primary amoebic encephalitis. In this study, we analyzed how the N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and D-galactose affected the interaction between *Naegleria fowleri* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) or *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and the interaction with bacteria when monosaccharides were treated with *N. fowleri* for a longer pre-incubation time. When GlcNAc was treated with *N. fowleri* for 1 hr, the *E. coli* association was almost the same as that of the control not treated with GlcNAc until the concentration of GlcNAc was 25 mM. However, the *E. coli* association was reduced by approximately 91% with 100 mM GlcNAc. *E. coli* invasion into *N. fowleri* showed statistical significance only in the group treated with 100 mM GlcNAc. The interaction when treated with galactose showed a very different pattern in the 50 mM galactose group than when treated with GlcNAc. In the MRSA interaction, a statistically significant decrease in association (76.3% by GlcNAc and 88.7% by galactose) and invasion (3.6% by GlcNAc and 9.3% by galactose) was shown by the concentration of two 100 mM monosaccharides.

The group treated with monosaccharides at the same time showed almost no difference in all interactions from the group treated with monosaccharides at the same time. Taken together, it suggested that the effect of monosaccharides on the interaction of several Gram-negative or positive bacteria and the evidence that the interaction could be enhanced by longer pre-incubation time.
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living amoeba (Huth et al., 2017), and a recent study reported that mannose inhibited Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) association by nearly 168% (Jung, 2021).

In this study, we analyzed how the N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and D-galactose (mentioned by galactose below) monosaccharides affected the interaction between N. fowleri trophozoites (mentioned by N. fowleri below) and MRSA or Escherichia coli O157:H7 (mentioned by E. coli below), and the interaction with bacteria when monosaccharides were treated with N. fowleri for a longer pre-incubation time.

N. fowleri (Carter NF69 strain, ATCC No. 30215) trophozoites were cultured aseptically at 37 °C using Nelson’s medium (Willaert, 1971). It was continuously subcultured so that about 95% of the trophozoites, in which a lot of pseudopods were observed, was maintained. In this study, two pathogenic bacteria were used. One was gram-negative E. coli (ATCC No. 43895) and the other was gram-positive MRSA (ATCC No. BAA-1769). A selective medium for bacteria was not used, but tryptic soy agar (TSA, MB cell, Korea) was used to propagate the bacteria at 37 °C. In addition, bacteria were confirmed once again through Gram staining (Lim et al., 1988). In order to accurately count the number of the bacteria, the bacteria were diluted with tryptic soy broth (TSB) and 0.85% NaCl in advance so that the McFaland turbidity of 0.5 showed 0.5×10^3 to 1.5×10^6 colony forming units (cfu)/mL (Song and Jung, 2017). To investigate the effect of GlcNAc (Merck, Suwon, Korea) and galactose (Merck, Suwon, Korea) on the interaction between N. fowleri and bacteria, the concentrations of each monosaccharide were treated with 10, 50, and 100 mM.

Analysis of the interaction between N. fowleri and E. coli or MRSA calculated how much the bacteria associated with N. fowleri, how much invasion into the N. fowleri and how long it survived within the N. fowleri. The experimental procedure was referenced by Jung et al. (2007) and several important procedures, e.g., pre-incubation time, the treatment of the detergent were changed. First, 10, 50 and 100 mM monosaccharides were treated to N. fowleri (5×10^5/well) with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 hr in a 24-well cell culture plate at 37 °C. This reaction time was a pre-incubation time, which was doubled compared to the 30 minutes used in previous papers. Each bacterium (2×10^5 cfu/0.5 mL of PBS) were reacted with N. fowleri cultured in wells at room temperature (RT) for 1 hr. After washing wells 3 times with PBS, SDS (0.5% final concentration) was added for 1 hr to completely dissolve N. fowleri instead of bacteria, and the number of bacteria was counted by plating on TSA. In this procedure, bacterial association percentages were calculated as follows: recovered bacteria (cfu)/total bacteria (cfu) × 100 = % bacteria associated with N. fowleri. Then, bacteria associated to N. fowleri can invade the N. fowleri. Therefore, it was analyzed how many bacteria invaded into N. fowleri. At first, gentamicin antibiotics (100 μg/mL, final concentration) was added for 45 min to remove the bacteria attached to or outside the N. fowleri cell wall. After washing wells 3 times with PBS, bacterial plating was done on TSA. The degree of bacterial invasion into the N. fowleri was calculated as follows: recovered bacteria (cfu)/total bacteria (cfu) × 100 = percentage of invaded bacteria. Bacteria invading into N. fowleri can either survive in the amoebae or are killed by enzymes in other organelles such as vacuoles. By adding PBS as the growth medium for N. fowleri, how much bacteria survived within N. fowleri for 24 hr at 37 °C was also analyzed. After the reaction time was over, all of the supernatant was removed, washed three times with PBS, and then the bacteria were plated on TSA. The degree of bacterial survival within the N. fowleri was calculated as follows: recovered bacteria (cfu)/total bacteria (cfu) × 100 = percentage of survived bacteria. In all of the above processes, the monosaccharides were pre-reacted with N. fowleri, and then interactions with bacteria were analyzed. In addition, the interaction between N. fowleri and bacteria was analyzed by treating the monosaccharides while growing in the wells. For these reactions, association, invasion and survival were calculated using the formulas mentioned above.

Statistical significance was analyzed by comparing the control group untreated with monosaccharides and the experimental group treated with monosaccharides. Student two-sample t-test was performed using the mean and standard deviation (SD). The statistically significant difference was P < 0.05.

The most important point in this study was to analyze how GlcNAc and galactose other than mannose (Huth et al., 2017), which were key in previous studies, affect the
interaction between *N. fowleri* and bacteria. Therefore, in this study, GlcNAc and galactose were pretreated with *N. fowleri* to saturate the *N. fowleri* cell wall with the above polysaccharide, and then, the interaction with bacteria was analyzed. Since it was confirmed that non-pathogenic bacteria had low interactions with free-living amoebae according to previous studies (Alsam et al., 2006), the interaction was analyzed using pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli* or MRSA in this study. In addition, the effect of monosaccharide treatment on their interaction was analyzed when *N. fowleri* and bacteria were co-cultured. The interaction was to check whether bacteria were attached to *N. fowleri* and then invaded into *N. fowleri* and survived.

When GlcNAc was treated with *N. fowleri* for 1 hr, the *E. coli* association was almost the same as that of the control not treated with GlcNAc until the concentration of GlcNAc was 25 mM. Although not statistically significant, the *E. coli* association was reduced by about 11% when treated with 50 mM GlcNAc. However, the *E. coli* association was reduced by approximately 91% with 100 mM GlcNAc (Fig. 1, A1 and A2). In addition, when compared with the control group, there was a statistically significant difference from the group of the *E. coli* association treated with 100 mM GlcNAc. *E. coli* invasion into *N. fowleri* showed statistical significance only in the group treated with 100 mM GlcNAc, and the invasion was reduced by about 4.1% compared to the control group (Fig. 1, B1 and B2). One colony of *E. coli* survival within *N. fowleri* was found in the control group and the group treated with 10 mM GlcNAc, but the survival rate was close to zero (Fig. 1, C1 and C2). The interaction when treated with galactose showed a very different pattern in the 50 mM galactose group than when treated with GlcNAc (Fig. 1, D1, D2, E1, E2). In particular, in the group treated with 50 mM galactose, about 169.7% of *E. coli* association and about 9% of *E. coli* invasion were reduced, respectively, and showed statistical significance compared to the control group. The interaction was gradually decreased with galactose than with GlcNAc according to the concentration of galactose. *E. coli* survival percentage was close to zero even with galactose (Fig. 1, F1, F2).

MRSA, a Gram-positive bacterium, was analyzed for interaction like *E. coli*. (Fig. 2). Overall, when analyzing Fig. 2, it was not confirmed that GlcNAc and galactose were gradually decreased according to the concentration. However, a statistically significant decrease in association (76.3% by GlcNAc and 88.7% by galactose) and invasion (3.6% by GlcNAc and 9.3% by galactose) was shown by the concentration of two 100 mM monosaccharides (Fig. 2, A1, A2, B1, B2, E1, E2). The survival rate within *N. fowleri* of MRSA was 0% without the effect of monosaccharides (Fig. 2, C1, C2, F1, F2).

All the above results were analyzed after pretreatment of monosaccharides with *N. fowleri* for 1 hr. The effect of monosaccharides was also analyzed if *N. fowleri* and bacteria were co-cultured described at groups marks with asterisks in Figure 1 and 2. The group treated with monosaccharides at the same time showed almost no difference in all interactions from the group treated with monosaccharides at the same time.

The *N. fowleri* trophozoite is a form that can eat bacteria or food well due to active pseudopodial movement (Marciano-Cabral and Cline, 1987). According to previous studies, it is known that pathogenic bacteria interact with *Acanthamoeba* much more than non-pathogenic bacteria (Jung, 2011). Such an interaction can be established by a contact-dependent mechanism, and monosaccharides can serve as an intermediary for such interactions (Khan, 2003). In addition, there are reports that mannose plays a very important role in the interaction between *Acanthamoeba* spp. and bacteria, but there are still insufficient reports on which monosaccharides play a specific role in *N. fowleri* belonging to the same free-living amoeba. However, it has not yet been reported how much the interaction differs depending on whether the bacteria are gram-negative or positive. In the experimental procedure of this study, GlcNAc or galactose was added to *N. fowleri* for 1 hr, whereas in other studies, 30 minutes was mainly used. This was intended to enhance the interaction a little more.

The invasion percentages of bacteria entering the *N. fowleri* were confirmed by treatment with gentamicin antibiotics. In this study, it could be mistaken for percentages that were much smaller than the association experimental groups. For example, 9.1% invasion in the control group was much smaller percentages than 176% association, but if
only the invasion results were compared, the difference was more than double, so it could be judged that the difference between the invasion groups by GlcNAc or galactose was quite high. The parts marked with an asterisk in the experi-

Fig. 1. Interactions between *N. fowleri* and *E. coli* by GlcNAc and galactose. A1 and D1 showed association, B1 and E1 showed invasion, and C1 and F1 showed survival results. A2, B2, C2, D2, E2, and F2 represented CFU obtained for calculating the values of the graphs in the left panels. The number below the bar graph indicated the concentration of monosaccharides. An asterisk (*) represented $P<0.005$. Other asterisks (**) showed the result of treating monosaccharides in co-cultured state of *N. fowleri* and *E. coli*. 
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mental results explained that GlcNAc or galactose was treated in a cultured state of *N. fowleri* and bacteria. This meant that *N. fowleri* and bacteria competitively bound to GlcNAc or galactose, since the interaction results were hardly different from those of the control group.

Through the results of this study, it was thought that the

---

**Fig. 2.** Interactions between *N. fowleri* and MRSA by GlcNAc and galactose. All sequences from A1 to F2 were the same as those described in Figure 1. An asterisk (*) represented *P*<0.005. Other asterisks (**) showed the result of treating monosaccharides in co-cultured state of *N. fowleri* and MRSA.
effect of monosaccharides on the interaction of several Gram-negative or positive bacteria and the evidence that the interaction could be enhanced by longer pre-incubation time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. NRF-2020R1A2C1007952).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

Alsam S, Jeong SR, Sissons J, Dudley R, Kim KS, Khan NA. Escherichia coli interactions with Acanthamoeba: a symbiosis with environmental and clinical implications. J Med Microbiol. 2006. 55: 689-694.

Cervantes-Sandoval I, Serrano-Luna JJ, Pacheco-Yépez J, Silva-Olivares A, Tsatsami V, Shibayama M. Differences between Naegleria fowleri and Naegleria gruberi in expression of mannose and fucose glycoconjugates. Parasitol Res. 2010. 106: 695-701.

Guzmán-Téllez P, Martínez-Valencia D, Silva-Olivares A, Del Ángel RM, Serrano-Luna J, Shibayama M. Naegleria fowleri and Naegleria gruberi 20S proteasome: identification and characterization. Eur J Cell Biol. 2020. 99: 151085.

Huth S, Reverey JF, Lippe M, Selhuber-Unkel C. Adhesion forces and mechanics in mannose-mediated interactions. PLoS One. 2017. 12: e0176207.

Jahangeer M, Mahmood Z, Munir N, Wariah UE, Tahir IM, Akram M, Ali Shah, SM, Zuğfir A, Zainab R. Naegleria fowleri: Sources of infection, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management; a review. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2020. 47: 199-212.

Jung SY, Matin A, Kim KS, Khan KA. The capsule plays an important role in Escherichia coli K1 interactions with Acanthamoeba. Int J Parasitol. 2007. 37: 417-423.

Jung SY. Effect of a mannose to the interactions between Naegleria fowleri and pathogenic bacteria. Biomed. 2021. 41: 623-629.

Khan NA. Pathogenesis of Acanthamoeba infections. Microb Pathog. 2003: 34: 277-285.

Lim KB, Boey LP, Khatijah M. Gram's-stained microscopy in the etiological diagnosis of Malassezia (Pityrosporon) folliculitis. Arch Dermatol. 1988. 124: 492.

Marciano-Cabral F, Cline M. Chemotaxis by Naegleria fowleri for bacteria. J Protozool. 1987. 34: 127-131.

Siddiqui R, Ali IKM, Cope JR, Khan NA. Biology and pathogenesis of Naegleria fowleri. Acta Trop. 2016. 164: 375-394.

Song KJ, Jung SY. Biocidal effects of chlorine dioxide on isolated and identified pathogens from nosocomial environment - biochemical and technical convergence. J Digit Converg. 2017. 15: 339-344.

Visvesvara GS, Moura H, Schuster FL. Pathogenic and opportunistic free-living amoebae: Acanthamoeba spp., Balamuthia mandrillaris, Naegleria fowleri, and Sappinia diploidea. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2007. 50: 1-26.

Walochnik J, Müller KD, Aspöck H, Michel R. An endocytobiont harbouring Naegleria strain identified as N. clarki De Jonckheere,. 1994. Acta Protozool. 2005: 44: 301-310.

Willaert E. Isolement et culture in vitro des amibes de genre Naegleria. Ann Soc Belg Med Trop. 1971. 51: 701-708.

https://doi.org/10.15616/BSL.2021.27.4.323

Cite this article as: Son DH, Jung SY. Interaction of Naegleria fowleri Trophozoites with Escherichia coli and MRSA by N-acetylglucosamine and Galactose. Biomedical Science Letters. 2021. 27: 323-328.