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1. **General information**

All reagents and solvents were used as received without further purification, unless stated otherwise. Reagents and solvents were bought from Sigma Aldrich and TCI and if applicable, kept under argon atmosphere. Technical solvents were bought from VWR International and Biosolve, and are used as received. All capillary tubing and microfluidic fittings were purchased from IDEX Health & Science. Disposable syringes were from BD Discardit II® or NORM-JECT®, purchased from VWR Scientific. Syringe pumps were purchased from Chemix Inc. model Fusion 200 Touch. Product isolation was performed manually, using silica (60, F254, Merck™) or automatically by a Biotage® Isolera Four, with Biotage® SNAP KP-Sil 10 or 25 g flash chromatography cartridges. The temperature of the system was detected with a Voltcraft K204 thermomether, equipped with a 0.25 mm thick thermocouple. TLC analysis was performed using Silica on aluminum foils TLC plates (F254, Supelco Sigma-Aldrich™) with visualization under ultraviolet light (254 nm and 365 nm) or appropriate TLC staining. $^1$H (400MHz) and $^{13}$C (100MHz) spectra were recorded on ambient temperature using a Bruker-Avance 400 or Mercury 400. $^1$H NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield relative to CDCl$_3$ (7.26 ppm) and all $^{13}$C NMR spectra are reported in ppm relative to CDCl$_3$ (77.2 ppm) unless stated otherwise. NMR spectra uses the following abbreviations to describe the multiplicity: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, h = heptet, hept = heptet, m = multiplet, dd = double doublet, td = triple doublet. NMR data was processed using the MestReNova 9.0.1 software package. Known products were characterized by comparing to the corresponding $^2$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR from literature. GC analyses were performed on a GC-MS combination (Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus coupled to a Mass Spectrometer; Shimadzu GCMS-QP 2010 Ultra) with an auto sampler unit (AOC-20i, Shimadzu). Melting points were determined with a Buchi B-540 capillary melting point apparatus in open capillaries and are uncorrected. The names of all products were generated using the PerkinElmer ChemBioDraw Ultra v.12.0.2 software package.

For all electrochemical reactions, the newly designed flow cell was used, together with a Velleman LABPS3005D power supply that is connected to the flow cell. The cell consists of a working electrode and a counter electrode, both made of stainless steel, with a PTFE (Polytetrafluoroethylene) gasket containing micro-channels in between. Depending on whether a 0.25 mm or 0.5 mm thick gasket is used, the active reactor volume is either 700µL or 1300µL. This results in an undivided electrochemical cell. In the cell, direct contact between the electrode surface and the reaction mixture is established. The reaction mixture is pumped through the system via syringe pump, and is collected in a glass vial. Both electrodes can be set to be the anode or the cathode at any time.

All the technical data of the electrochemical setup are reported in the second file of the Supporting Information.
2. Reactor characterization

**Reactor Volume**

Table S1: Average volume measurement for the 8-channel gaskets tested

|                      | Gasket 0.25 mm | Gasket 0.50 mm |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Channel Volume (8x)  | 88 µL         | 164 µL        |
| Tube Volume (7x)     | 106 µL        | 106 µL        |
| Standard Deviation   | 7.5 µL        | 16.7 µL       |
| Active Reactor Volume| 704 µL        | 1310 µL       |
| Active Reactor Surface| 26 cm²      | 26 cm²       |
| Total Tube Volume    | 744 µL        | 744 µL        |
| Total Reactor Volume | 1446 µL       | 2054 µL       |
| Standard Deviation % | 6.9%          | 9.0%          |

A syringe was filled with acetonitrile and connected to the electrochemical setup. After totally filling the cell with fluid, a small bubble was created in the syringe connector. By setting the syringe pump to a set flowrate (which was varied from 0.1 mL/min to 1 mL/min), this bubble could be tracked while flowing through the tubes. The time was noted when the bubble front entered and exited the cell through each fitting, resulting in an amount of time spend inside each channel and outside of the reactor. By multiplying these times with the flowrate, the volumes between the inlets and outlets of each channel can be determined. As the inlet holes of the electrode and the tubes within the fittings have a set volume of 23.2 µL, this was subtracted from the channel volume and added to the tube volume. The total active volume was determined by adding all channel volumes together. The total reactor volume is the sum of all channels and tubes.

![Figure S1 Reactor volume experiment](image-url)
3. Chemical validation of the reactor

3.1. Voltammogram

Thioanisole (1) was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1 M HCl (aq) and 10 mol% tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Bu4NClO4) to yield a 0.1 M solution of thioether in stock solution. The solution was flown through the electrochemical setup with a fixed flowrate of 0.15 mL/min to give a residence time of 5 minutes in the active part of the reactor, using the 0.25mm thick gasket. During the experiment, the potential was varied between 2.0 V – 4.0 V. Samples were taken at the corresponding potential after the total residence time had elapsed (10 min at 0.15 mL/min). For each fraction, the current was determined. For quantitative analysis, every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID with an internal standard (biphenyl). During the experiment, the temperature of the reaction mixture was recorded with a thermocouple placed at the end of the reactor via T-mixer. No consistent change of the temperature was detected (20.4 °C).1

Analogue experiment was carried out to record the Voltammogram in the Syrris Asia Flux device, as reported in the previous work.2

Figure S2 Voltammogram experiment. In detail: T-mixer equipped with thermocouple.
3.2. Residence time experiment

Thioanisole (1) was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1 M HCl (aq) and 10 mol% tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Bu₄NCIO₄) to yield a 0.1 M solution of thioether in stock solution. The solution was flown through the electrochemical setup at a flowrate ranging from 0.05 mL/min up to 0.35 mL/min to give a residence time of 2 to 14 minutes in the active part of the reactor. During the experiment, the potential was set at the optimal potential found in the screening experiment (3.1 V) and an intermediate potential (2.8 V). Samples were taken for each flowrate after 2 residence times had elapsed. For each fraction, the current was determined. For quantitative analysis, every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID with an internal standard (biphenyl).
3.3. *Single channel experiment*

Thioanisole (1) was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1 M HCl (aq) and 10 mol% tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Bu₄NClO₄) to yield a 0.1 M solution of thioether in stock solution. The reactor was rebuilt in order to have for every channel an inlet and an outlet, obtaining an 8-channel pattern. The solution was pumped through the electrochemical setup at a flowrate of 0.05 mL/min, leading to a residence time of 1.75 min for every channel. The potential was set at 2.8 V and samples were taken for each channel after 2 residence times had elapsed. For each fraction, the current was determined. For quantitative analysis, every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID with an internal standard (biphenyl).

![Figure S 3 Single channel experiment](image-url)
3.4. **Multiple channels experiment**

Thioanisole (1) was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1 M HCl (aq) and 10 mol% tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Bu₄NClO₄) to yield a 0.1 M solution of thioether in stock solution. The solution was pumped through the electrochemical setup with a flow rate of 0.15 mL/min and 0.075 mL/min to give a residence time of 5 minutes and 10 minutes in the active part of the reactor respectively. During the experiment, the potential was set at 2.8 V. Two syringes were connected to the reactor and the amount of channels per syringe was varied by changing the tube loops around. For the full reaction test both syringes would be filled with reaction mixture. For the reaction + blank test, one of the syringes would be filled with acetonitrile. Samples were taken for each amount of channels (ranging for just one channel to all eight channel) after 2 residence times had elapsed. For quantitative analysis, every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID with an internal standard (biphenyl).

Figure S 4 Representation of the multiple channels experiment. Red and green arrows represent the two different streams.
4. **General procedure for continuous-flow reactions**

4.1. **Sulfide oxidation**

4.1.1. **Optimal potential determination**

The sulfide was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1M HCl (aq), 10 mol% Bu₄NClO₄) to yield a total solution of 0.1 M towards starting material. The solution was pumped through the electrocell with a fixed flowrate of 0.15 mL/min to give the residence time in the active part of 5 minutes. The potential was varied from 2.2 V to 4.2 V. After 2 residence times had elapsed, the corresponding current was noted and a sample was collected. Every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID.

4.1.2. **Preparative scale**

The sulfide was dissolved in the corresponding amount of stock solution (3:1 v/v acetonitrile/0.1M HCl (aq), 10 mol% Bu₄NClO₄) to yield a total solution of 0.1 M towards starting material. The solution was pumped through the electrochemical setup at the potential determined in the screening. The reaction mixture was collected in an Erlenmeyer flask. The crude was diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (15 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 20 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The product was then purified using column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate) and analysed by TLC, GC-MS, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR.
4.2. **Arene-phenol cross coupling**

![Chemical structures](image)

4.2.1. **Optimal current determination**

A solution of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene (0.015 mol), 2-methoxy-4-methylphenol (0.005 mol) and Me₄NBF₄ (0.0007 mol) in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-ol (27 mL) and methanol (6 mL) was pumped through the electrocell with a fixed flowrate of 0.15 mL/min to give a residence time of 5 minutes. The current was varied from 10 mA to 100 mA. After 2 residence times had elapsed (10 minutes at 0.15 mL/min), the corresponding potential was noted and a sample was collected. Every sample was analysed without further purification using GC-MS or GC-FID.

4.2.2. **Preparative scale**

A solution of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene (0.015 mol), 2-methoxy-4-methylphenol (0.005 mol) and tetramethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (0.007 mol) in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-ol (27 mL) and methanol (6 mL) was pumped through the electrocell with a fixed flowrate of 0.15 mL/min to give a residence time of 5 minutes. The reaction mixture was collected in an Erlenmeyer flask. The crude was diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (15 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 20 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The product was then purified using column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 4:1) and analysed by TLC, GC-MS, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR.
4.3.  Faraday efficiency

4.3.1. Sulfide oxidation

Assuming a complete anodic oxidation for the sulfoxide (2 e⁻ per mole of substrate) and sulfone (4 e⁻ per mole of substrate)

Average current for the oxidation to sulfoxide

\[
\begin{align*}
I &= 46 \text{ mA} \\
\tau &= 600 \text{ s} \\
\text{Yield} &= 98\% \\
Q &= I \times \tau = 27.6 \text{ C}
\end{align*}
\]

Experimental electron amount

\[
n_{\text{expe}} = \frac{Q}{F} = \frac{27.6 \text{ C}}{96485 \text{ C} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}} = 0.286 \text{ mmol}
\]

Theoretical electron amount

\[
V_{\text{reactor}} = 0.702 \text{ mL} \\
C_{\text{substrate}} = 0.1 \text{ M} \\
n_{\text{substrate}} = 0.07 \text{ mmol} \\
n_{\text{theo}} = 0.14 \text{ mmol} \quad \text{(assuming 2 electrons needed)}
\]

Faraday Efficiency

\[
F_{\text{efficiency}}\% = \frac{n_{\text{theo}}}{n_{\text{expe}}} \times 100 = \frac{0.14 \text{ mmol}}{0.286 \text{ mmol}} \times 100 = 49\% \\

F_{\text{yield}}\% = F_{\text{efficiency}}\% \times \text{yield} = 48\%
\]
Average current for the oxidation to sulfone

\[
\text{I} = 146 \text{ mA} \\
\tau = 300 \text{ s} \\
\text{Yield} = 78\% \\
Q = I \times \tau = 43.8 \text{ C}
\]

Experimental electron amount

\[
n_{\text{e,expe}} = \frac{Q}{F} = \frac{43.8 \text{ C}}{96485 \text{ C} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}} = 0.454 \text{ mmol}
\]

Theoretical electron amount

\[
V_{\text{reactor}} = 0.702 \text{ mL} \\
C_{\text{substrate}} = 0.1 \text{ M} \\
n_{\text{substrate}} = 0.07 \text{ mmol} \\
n_{\text{e,tho}} = 0.28 \text{ mmol} \quad \text{(assuming 4 electrons needed)}
\]

Faraday Efficiency

\[
F_{\text{efficiency}}\% = \frac{n_{\text{e,tho}}}{n_{\text{e,expe}}} \times 100 = \frac{0.28 \text{ mmol}}{0.454 \text{ mmol}} \times 100 = 62\% \\
F_{\text{yield}}\% = F_{\text{efficiency}}\% \times \text{yield} = 48\%
\]
4.3.2. Biphenyl coupling oxidation

Assuming a complete anodic oxidation for the sulfoxide (2 e⁻ per mole of substrate) and sulfone (4 e⁻ per mole of substrate)

Average current for the oxidation to sulfoxide

\[ I = 78 \text{ mA} \]
\[ r_t = 300 \text{ s} \]
\[ \text{Yield} = 52\% \]
\[ Q = I \times r_t = 23.4 \text{ C} \]

Experimental electron amount

\[ n_{expe} = \frac{Q}{F} = \frac{23.4 \text{ C}}{96485 \text{ C} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}} = 0.242 \text{ mmol} \]

Theoretical electron amount

\[ V_{reactor} = 0.702 \text{ mL} \]
\[ C_{substrate} = 0.15 \text{ M} \]
\[ n_{substrate} = 0.105 \text{ mmol} \]
\[ n_{e, theo} = 0.21 \text{ mmol} \]
\[ (\text{assuming 2 electrons needed})^3 \]

Faraday Efficiency

\[ F_{efficiency\%} = \frac{n_{e, theo}}{n_{expe}} \times 100 = \frac{0.21 \text{ mmol}}{0.242 \text{ mmol}} \times 100 = 87\% \]

\[ F_{yield\%} = F_{efficiency\%} \times \text{yield} = 45\% \]
5. **Characterization data**

**(Methylsulfinyl)benzene (1-A).** Following the general procedure (4.1.1-4.1.2, 6 mmol scale), (methylsulfinyl)benzene was obtained from thioanisole at 2.8 V pumping the reaction mixture through the reactor twice at 5 minutes residence time per run, yielding 830 mg of product (5.9 mmol, 98%) as a yellow solid.

$^1$H-NMR (399 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 7.68 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.57 – 7.45 (m, 3H), 2.73 (s, 3H) ppm. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 146.1, 131.4, 129.7, 123.8, 44.3 ppm.

**(Methylsulfonyl)benzene (1-B).** Following the general procedure (4.1.1-4.1.2, 6 mmol scale), (methylsulfonyl)benzene was obtained from thioanisole at 3.8 V yielding 640 mg of product (4.1 mmol, 78%) as a white solid.

$^1$H NMR (399 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 7.98 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.70 – 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.61 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 3.05 (s, 3H) ppm. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 140.9, 134.0, 129.7, 127.7, 44.8 ppm.

**Methyl (2S)-2-acetamido-4-(methylsulfinyl)butanoate (3).** Following the general procedure (4.1.1-4.1.2, 4 mmol scale), methyl (2S)-2-acetamido-4-(methylsulfinyl)butanoate was obtained from methyl acetyl-L-methioninate at 3.8 V pumping the reaction mixture through the reactor twice at 5 minutes residence time per run, yielding 372 mg of product (1.68 mmol, 42%) as an off-white solid.

$^1$H NMR (399 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 6.97 (dd, $J$ = 31.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (tt, $J$ = 8.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.86 – 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.56 (d, $J$ = 1.8 Hz, 3H), 2.38 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.00 (d, $J$ = 2.5 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 171.9, 171.8, 170.6, 170.6, 77.5, 77.2, 76.8, 52.6, 52.6, 51.2, 51.0, 50.3, 50.1, 38.4, 38.4, 25.7, 25.2, 22.9, 22.9.

**2',3',4',5'-tetramethoxy-5-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ol (5).** Following the protocol (4.2.1-4.2.2), 2',3',4',5'-tetramethoxy-5-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ol was obtained at 78 mA, yielding 702 mg of product (2.3 mmol, 52%) as an white-off solid.

$^1$H NMR (399 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.73 – 6.67 (m, 2H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 3.92 (d, $J$ = 11.1 Hz, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H) ppm. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 150.5, 149.4, 147.6, 143.8, 141.0, 129.4, 125.3, 123.5, 118.7, 115.2, 111.4, 98.6, 57.4, 56.6, 56.3, 56.2, 21.3 ppm.
6. Voltammograms
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8. **NMR Spectra**
