Potential factors influencing the development of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma in young mature patients: Lingual position of the mandibular second molar and narrow tongue space
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Abstract. The lingual position of the mandibular second molar and narrow tongue space are associated with oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) development in young mature patients. The present study aimed to assess the role of the mandibular second molar position and tongue space in young mature patients with OTSCC. The medical records of 21 patients with OTSCC aged <50 years, who had an intact mandibular second molar and had undergone computed tomography (CT) imaging between April 2009 and December 2015 at the Section of Maxillofacial Surgery in Tokyo Medical and Dental University, were retrospectively examined. As controls, 21 sex-matched patients of a similar age to the patients in the OTSCC group, and with a height and weight within 5% of those of the OTSCC group, were collected. The location of the mandibular second molar on the affected side and area of the tongue space were determined using coronal and axial CT images. Mann-Whitney U test analysis revealed that the location of the mandibular second molar and the area of the tongue space differed significantly between young mature patients with OTSCC and the controls. The present study thus revealed that the lingual position of the mandibular second molar and the narrow tongue space may be potential factors influencing OTSCC development in young maturity.

Introduction

Multiple clinical studies have reported on the factors involved in the development of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC), which include unsuitable tooth fillings or prosthesis placement, smoking, alcohol consumption, inflammation, precancerous lesions such as leukoplakia, infection, endocrine disease, poor oral hygiene and heredity (1-4). Fan et al (2) revealed that mechanical trauma and galvanic phenomena, as a result of dental prosthesis placement, may also have a major role in the etiology of OTSCC (2), whereas Hougeir et al (5) demonstrated that contact allergy to metal dental restorations is a risk factor for OTSCC development (5). However, in young and young mature patients, the duration of such damage or exposure is short; hence, these factors cannot be considered. The broad factors involved in OTSCC development, including chemical and epigenetic causes, appear to be less applicable to young mature patients than to older patients (6). The present study hypothesized that the lingual position of the mandibular second molars, which are affected by features such as excessive lingual inclination, may serve as a potential factor for the development of OTSCC in young mature patients. Based on the clinical experience of the authors, the excessive lingual inclination across an extended period may be potentially associated with the development of OTSCC. This chronic damage to the surface of the tongue mucosa by a lingualized mandibular second molar may induce malignant transformation (7).

OTSCC in young mature patients is associated with particularly high rates of regional and distant metastases; recurrence is more aggressive, with a higher fatality rate in such cases (8-16). Thus, detailed examination of the position of the mandibular molars may indirectly aid reduction of the risk of developing OTSCC in young mature patients. In addition to determination of the position of the mandibular second molars, the tongue space is vital to ensuring a thorough and accurate evaluation. The present study measured these two parameters using computed tomography (CT), establishing an association between the position of the mandibular second
molar and the tongue space with the development of OTSCC in young mature patients. A comparison of the position of the mandibular second molar on the healthy side and on the affected side in young mature patients with OTSCC was also performed.

Materials and methods

Patients. A total of 21 patients with OTSCC with an intact mandibular second molar on the affected side of the tongue were included in the present study; the medical records of these 21 patients with OTSCC aged <50 years, who had undergone coronal and axial CT prior to glossectomy (along with neck dissection in certain cases) between April 2009 and December 2015 at the Section of Maxillofacial Surgery in Tokyo Medical and Dental University, were retrospectively examined. In the present study, patients aged <50 years were considered to be ‘young mature patients’, as the mean age at diagnosis of OTSCC is reportedly 60 years (2). With regard to the control group, 21 sex-matched patients with oral diseases, such as mandibular cysts, ranulas, submandibular gland salivolithiasis, and maxillary gingival carcinoma, with a similar age to that of the OTSCC group, and with height and weight within 5% of the value of the OTSCC group were included. None of the 42 patients had any prosthesis placement, including metal inlays, metal onlays, full metal crowns, bridges and prosthetics, periodontal or endodontic disease in the mandibular second molars, or endocrine disease, such as diabetes mellitus. The medical charts of the 21 patients with OTSCC and the 21 control patients, including the sex, age, and tumor-node-metastasis classification of the tumor according to the Union for International Cancer Control (17), were examined (Table I). The present study was retrospective and followed the Declaration of Helsinki on ethics, and the regional Ethical Review Board of Tokyo Medical and Dental University (Tokyo, Japan) approved the study.

Evaluation of OTSCC status. To evaluate the position of the mandibular second molar, the angular inclination was measured. The angle of the mandibular second molar on the affected side, against the tangential line connecting the inferior borders of the bilateral mandibular bone on coronal CT imaging (termed \( \theta \); Fig. 1), was also measured. The lingual point of the mandibular second molar on the affected side was determined as being the tangential point of the perpendicular line against the tangential line that connected the inferior borders of the bilateral mandibular bone. The angles of the mandibular second molars in the healthy side and the affected side in each of the 21 young adult patients with OTSCC were also compared (Fig. 2). The measurement side of the control group was the same side as that of the OTSCC group in each matched patient.

In addition, the tongue-space (S) was estimated as being the area of the rectangle formed by the lingual edge of the bilateral posterior corners of the mandibular second molars and around the center of the lingual side of the first premolars on axial CT images. The two vertices on the first premolars were determined along a line parallel to the two lingual edges of the bilateral posterior corners of the mandibular second molars, which passed along the bilateral first premolars (Fig. 1). The slice height of the axial CT image was determined as being half of the crown height of the bilateral mandibular second molars. CT, computed tomography.

Results

The median age of the 21 young mature patients with OTSCC (8 females and 13 males) was 43 years (range, 29-49 years). The OTSCC lesion appeared to be in contact with the lingual side of the mandibular second molar in all cases. With regard to the clinical classification of the tumors, 52.4% of lesions were classified as T1, 28.6% were classified as T2, 9.5% were classified as T3, 4.8% were classified as T4 and 4.8% were classified as T-is (Table I). The median angle on the affected side was 71.3° in females (range, 56.0-79.3°) and 62.7° in males (range, 54.5-79.5°) of the OTSCC group, and was 71.7° in females (range, 57.9-80.7°) and 69.5° in males (range, 56.9-76.8°) of the control group (Table I). If the lingual position of the
mandibular second molar were critical for developing OTSCC, the \( \theta \) value on the affected side would be lower than that on the healthy side. Fig. 2 depicts scatter plots for the association between the \( \theta \) value on the healthy side and the affected side in the 21 patients with OTSCC. The \( \theta \) value on the affected side in 14 patients with OTSCC (66.7%) was lower than that in the other 7 patients with OTSCC, thus supporting the aforementioned reasoning. Furthermore, Mann-Whitney U test analysis confirmed the presence of a significant difference in the distributions

### Table I. Clinical characteristics, including \( \theta \) and \( S \) values, of the 21 young mature patients with OTSCC and 21 control patients.

| Patient no. | Group | Age, years/sex | TNM classification/Diagnosis on control patients | \( \theta \), \( \degree \) | \( S \), mm\(^2 \) |
|-------------|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1           | OTSCC | 29/Male        | T3N2bM0                                      | 62.7           | 10.9    |
| Control     | 29/Male|                | Ranula                                       | 70.8           | 10.9    |
| 2           | OTSCC | 38/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 59.3           | 10.3    |
| Control     | 38/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 75.4           | 12.3    |
| 3           | OTSCC | 35/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 54.5           | 10.8    |
| Control     | 35/Male|                | Submandibular gland salivolithiasis          | 64.5           | 11.7    |
| 4           | OTSCC | 45/Male        | T4N2bM0                                      | 65.8           | 9.8     |
| Control     | 45/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 70.3           | 11.1    |
| 5           | OTSCC | 43/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 62.2           | 9.4     |
| Control     | 43/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 66.2           | 12.4    |
| 6           | OTSCC | 43/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 64.1           | 10.2    |
| Control     | 43/Male|                | Mandibular tumor                             | 57.2           | 11.5    |
| 7           | OTSCC | 33/Male        | T2N0M0                                       | 58.7           | 10.9    |
| Control     | 33/Male|                | Mandibular tumor                             | 74.9           | 11.6    |
| 8           | OTSCC | 34/Male        | T2N0M0                                       | 75.5           | 10.6    |
| Control     | 34/Male|                | Submandibular gland salivolithiasis          | 74.1           | 11.0    |
| 9           | OTSCC | 47/Male        | T2N0M0                                       | 63.3           | 10.1    |
| Control     | 47/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 67.8           | 12.6    |
| 10          | OTSCC | 46/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 59.3           | 10.9    |
| Control     | 46/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 68.2           | 11.8    |
| 11          | OTSCC | 38/Male        | T1N0M0                                       | 63.1           | 8.7     |
| Control     | 38/Male|                | Mandibular cyst                              | 69.5           | 12.1    |
| 12          | OTSCC | 36/Male        | T2N0M0                                       | 79.5           | 10.7    |
| Control     | 36/Male|                | Maxillary gingival carcinoma                 | 76.8           | 10.9    |
| 13          | OTSCC | 37/Male        | T3N0M0                                       | 59.6           | 10.0    |
| Control     | 37/Male|                | Mandibular tumor                             | 56.9           | 10.2    |
| 14          | OTSCC | 42/Female      | T1N0M0                                       | 56.0           | 12.3    |
| Control     | 42/Female|             | Mandibular cyst                              | 63.8           | 12.5    |
| 15          | OTSCC | 48/Female      | T1N0M0                                       | 73.9           | 9.0     |
| Control     | 48/Female|             | Submandibular gland salivolithiasis          | 70.1           | 11.1    |
| 16          | OTSCC | 43/Female      | T1N0M0                                       | 71.5           | 10.1    |
| Control     | 43/Female|             | Mandibular tumor                             | 80.7           | 12.1    |
| 17          | OTSCC | 49/Female      | TisN0M0                                      | 61.8           | 10.1    |
| Control     | 49/Female|             | Mandibular tumor                             | 57.9           | 11.2    |
| 18          | OTSCC | 43/Female      | T1N0M0                                       | 73.2           | 11.2    |
| Control     | 43/Female|             | Mandibular cyst                              | 72.2           | 12.8    |
| 19          | OTSCC | 47/Female      | T2N0M0                                       | 62.9           | 9.4     |
| Control     | 47/Female|             | Radicular cyst                               | 72.3           | 10.3    |
| 20          | OTSCC | 38/Female      | T1N0M0                                       | 79.3           | 10.8    |
| Control     | 38/Female|             | Mandibular tumor                             | 71.2           | 10.8    |
| 21          | OTSCC | 31/Female      | T2N0M0                                       | 71.1           | 10.0    |
| Control     | 31/Female|             | Mandibular cyst                              | 78.6           | 11.2    |

\( \theta \), angle of the mandibular second molar on the affected side against the tangential line connecting the inferior borders of the bilateral mandibular bone; \( S \), area of the tongue space; OTSCC, oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
of teeth position and the development of OTSCC, to the best of our knowledge. The present study aimed to evaluate the association between the development of OTSCC in young maturities and the mandibular second molar position and tongue space using coronal and axial CT images. Previous studies have identified various risk factors for OTSCC, including age, smoking status, alcohol consumption and human papilloma virus infection (2,21-24). Furthermore, nutritional deficiency and poor oral hygiene with misaligned dentition have also been identified as causative factors (25-27). A number of prior studies have also examined associations between dental prostheses, including metal crowns, bridges and prostheses, and the development of OTSCC (2,28-30). Hougeir et al (5) proposed that an oral metal-contact allergy was a factor that influenced the development of OTSCC. The 21 young mature patients with OTSCC in the present study had no metal prostheses in or around the mandibular second molar; hence, oral metal-contact allergy and poor prostheses were not considered suitable risk factors for the patients in the present study. However, the presence of oral galvanism, as proposed by Fan et al (2), cannot be completely ruled out. In addition, the present study could not exclude the presence of pre-existing dysplasia or cancer.

As predicted, the majority of young mature patients with OTSCC had a lower $\theta$ value on the affected side than on the healthy side. A lower $\theta$ value was associated with a more lingual position of the mandibular second molar, which would consequently lead to a smaller $S$ value. Thus, the development of OTSCC in young mature patients was not only influenced by the lingual position of the mandibular second molar, but also by the narrow tongue space; the significant difference of the distributions of $\theta$ and $S$ values between young mature patients with OTSCC and control patients supports this. No microglossia was observed in the 21 young mature patients with OTSCC; the 21 OTSCCs were in contact with or compressed by the lingualized mandibular molars. The significant difference in the tongue space noted in the present study also supports this reasoning.

Findings from the present study also revealed that alcohol consumption and smoking status may not be directly associated with OTSCC development in young maturities. The data suggest that these factors may not influence OTSCC development in young mature patients. However, the role of alcohol consumption and smoking in OTSCC development cannot be excluded, due to the limited cohort size of the present study.

Based on the findings of the present study, orthodontic treatment in young mature patients, particularly that involving bilateral molar-distance extension and tongue-space widening, would be suitable for excluding one of the factors of OTSCC development.

To conclude, the present study assessed the association between the position of the intact mandibular second molar and the development of OTSCC in young mature patients. The angle of the mandibular second molar and the area of the tongue space were measured using coronal and axial CT images. Mann-Whitney U test analysis indicated that the angle of the mandibular second molar and the tongue-space area differed significantly between young mature patients with OTSCC and matched controls. The angle of the mandibular second molar on the affected side in 66.7% of young mature

Discussion

OTSCC is an uncommon malignancy among the young mature generation (18-20). Thus far, no reports have examined the association between the findings of physical examination of teeth position and the development of OTSCC, to the best of our knowledge. The present study aimed to evaluate the association between the development of OTSCC in young maturities and the mandibular second molar position and tongue space using coronal and axial CT images. Previous studies have identified various risk factors for OTSCC, including age, smoking status, alcohol consumption and human papilloma virus infection (2,21-24). Furthermore, nutritional deficiency and poor oral hygiene with misaligned dentition have also been identified as causative factors (25-27). A number of prior studies have also examined associations between dental prostheses, including metal crowns, bridges and prostheses, and the development of OTSCC (2,28-30). Hougeir et al (5) proposed that an oral metal-contact allergy was a factor that influenced the development of OTSCC. The 21 young mature patients with OTSCC in the present study had no metal prostheses in or around the mandibular second molar; hence, oral metal-contact allergy and poor prostheses were not considered suitable risk factors for the patients in the present study. However, the presence of oral galvanism, as proposed by Fan et al (2), cannot be completely ruled out. In addition, the present study could not exclude the presence of pre-existing dysplasia or cancer.

As predicted, the majority of young mature patients with OTSCC had a lower $\theta$ value on the affected side than on the healthy side. A lower $\theta$ value was associated with a more lingual position of the mandibular second molar, which would consequently lead to a smaller $S$ value. Thus, the development of OTSCC in young mature patients was not only influenced by the lingual position of the mandibular second molar, but also by the narrow tongue space; the significant difference of the distributions of $\theta$ and $S$ values between young mature patients with OTSCC and control patients supports this. No microglossia was observed in the 21 young mature patients with OTSCC; the 21 OTSCCs were in contact with or compressed by the lingualized mandibular molars. The significant difference in the tongue space noted in the present study also supports this reasoning.

Findings from the present study also revealed that alcohol consumption and smoking status may not be directly associated with OTSCC development in young maturities. The data suggest that these factors may not influence OTSCC development in young mature patients. However, the role of alcohol consumption and smoking in OTSCC development cannot be excluded, due to the limited cohort size of the present study.

Based on the findings of the present study, orthodontic treatment in young mature patients, particularly that involving bilateral molar-distance extension and tongue-space widening, would be suitable for excluding one of the factors of OTSCC development.

To conclude, the present study assessed the association between the position of the intact mandibular second molar and the development of OTSCC in young mature patients. The angle of the mandibular second molar and the area of the tongue space were measured using coronal and axial CT images. Mann-Whitney U test analysis indicated that the angle of the mandibular second molar and the tongue-space area differed significantly between young mature patients with OTSCC and matched controls. The angle of the mandibular second molar on the affected side in 66.7% of young mature
patients with OTSCC was lower than that on the healthy side. Although there are several factors that may have a role in OTSCC development in young mature patients, the position of the mandibular second molar and tongue space may be a substantial contributor.
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