Osteoporosis is a frequent comorbidity in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Due to the improved treatment options for RA, we expect a long-term decrease in osteoporosis as an accompanying disease. Data from the German National Database (NDB) were used to investigate whether the frequency of osteoporosis has changed in the last 10 years. From 2007 to 2017, approximately 4000 patients were documented annually with data on therapy and comorbidity. The cross-sectional data were summarised descriptively. Age, sex, disease duration, disease activity and glucocorticoids were considered as influencing factors. The Cochrane-Armitage test for trend was used to test whether the frequency of osteoporosis at the first visit changed from 2007 to 2017. Osteoporosis frequency in RA patients (mean age 63 years, 75% female) decreased from 20% in 2007 to 6% in 2017 ($p < 0.001$). The decrease affected women (22% to 17%) and men (14% to 8%) in all age groups and both short-term ($\leq 2$-year disease duration: 9% to 3%) and long-term RA patients (> 10-year disease duration: 28% to 20%). Patients with high disease activity and patients who took glucocorticoids (GC) were more often affected by osteoporosis than patients in remission or without GC. Drug prophylaxis in patients without osteoporosis increased (20% to 41% without GC, 48% to 55% with GC). Men with GC received less prophylactic treatment than women (48% vs. 57% in 2017). In this cohort, osteoporosis in patients with RA is less frequently observed compared to former years. RA-specific risk factors for osteoporosis such as disease activity and GC therapy have declined but long-term GC use is still present. Assessment of osteoporosis in RA patients should be investigated more consistently by bone density measurement. Male RA patients still need to be given greater consideration regarding osteoporosis drug prophylaxis, especially when GC therapy is needed.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is often accompanied by other diseases. The prognosis and course of RA can be significantly influenced by comorbidities and therapeutic options are often limited by comorbidities. Comorbidities in RA are associated with inflammatory activity, disease-related organ damage or medication [1]. They may be present before the onset of RA, develop during the course of the disease, associated with RA or iatrogenically induced [2]. Osteoporosis is a very common comorbidity in RA [3]. It is a strong risk factor for fractures due to the loss of bone density. In a population cohort of 47,000 RA patients, the risk of osteoporotic fractures was about 1.5 times as high as in people without RA [4]. Osteoporosis-related fractures can contribute to loss of function, increased morbidity and mortality and rising health care costs [5–7]. In addition to the general risk factors of osteoporosis, RA patients face additional risks if inflammatory activity is not sufficiently controlled and glucocorticoid therapy is needed.

The treatment of RA and the therapeutic options have improved significantly in recent years. This is mainly due to a better understanding of pathogenic mechanisms and the development of new drugs [8, 9]. Therefore, we can expect RA-associated osteoporosis to decrease with good disease control of RA.

In this context, possible changes in the frequency of osteoporosis in RA patients over the last 10 years were investigated. The data from the National Database of the German Collaborative Arthritis centers (NDB) allow investigating trends over a long period of time with a comparable patient collective. The research question of the study was whether RA-specific risk factors and the frequency of osteoporosis have changed in the last decade.

Patients and methods

In the NDB, data are continuously collected from rheumatological patient care. Every year, rheumatologist- and patient-reported data are collected [10]. The data on which this evaluation is based derive from 11 rheumatologist practices and 8 rheumatology centres.

For the present analysis, all patients with RA and available data on treatments and comorbidities were included. Cross-sectional data are presented from 2007 to 2017. The following patient parameters were considered for each year: age, sex, body mass index (BMI in kg/m²). Functional limitation was assessed by the Hannover Functional Ability Questionnaire (FFbH), being transformed into health assessment questionnaire values (HAQ, 0 to 3 with 0 representing full capacity) [11]. The disease-specific parameters and details of the therapy were documented by the rheumatologist. These are onset of symptoms, disease activity, measured by the Disease Activity Score (DAS28), a set of 20 comorbidities (yes/no) and therapy information on glucocorticoids (GC), GC dose in mg prednisone equivalent per day, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs), biologic (b)DMARDs, medical osteoporosis prophylaxis (e.g. calcium and vitamin D) and osteoporosis therapy (e.g. bisphosphonates but this is not further specified in the questionnaire). With regard to osteoporosis, rheumatologists indicate whether osteopenia, osteoporosis or osteoporosis with pathological fracture is present (the appropriate one is ticked). No definition according to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) criteria is required, but the definition of osteoporosis is within the responsibility of the rheumatologist. When marking osteopenia, it can be assumed that the DXA findings (T < −1 and > −2.5) are used as a criterion. Further comorbidities of RA are also reported as present or not: degenerative joint disease, heart–lung disease, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, lipid metabolism disorder and kidney disease. Furthermore, it is queried whether a bone density measurement was carried out in the last 12 months, respectively, ever.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation (SD) and percentages) were used to summarise patients’ characteristics, disease activity, treatments and osteoporosis comorbidity for each calendar year. To test whether the frequency of osteoporosis at the first visit changed over time, osteoporosis frequencies from 2007 to 2017 were compared with the Cochrane–Armitage test for trend.

Ethics approval

The database received study approval from the ethics committee of the Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin (EA1/196/06) in February 2007.

Results

Patient characteristics

From 2007 to 2017, between 3500 and 5500 RA patients per year were included. Over the years, the proportion of female patients (75%), smoking (20%), average BMI (26 kg/m²) and the proportion of underweight patients (BMI < 18.5:
2%) remained constant. The mean age (62 to 63 years) and disease duration (12 to 14 years) increased by 1.5–2 years (Table 1).

**RA disease activity and functional status**

The mean disease activity of RA, measured by DAS28, decreased from 3.1 to 2.8 (Table 2). The proportion of patients with moderate to high disease activity (DAS28 > 3.2) decreased from 41 to 29% while the proportion of patients in remission increased from 38 to 53%. The proportion of patients with clinically relevant functional impairment, measured by the HAQ > 1.5 decreased from 31 to 25% (Table 2).

**Treatments**

Treatment with bDMARDs has increased from 18 to 27% while csDMARDs were used less often (71% to 58%). There was a significant decrease in the use of GCs (60% to 43%) but the proportion of patients with GC doses above 7.5 mg/d only decreased slightly (16% to 14%) and the mean duration of GC use remained 5 years. The proportion of patients who received NSAIDs remained stable (~40%).

**Osteoporosis**

From 2007 to 2017, the frequency of osteoporosis including patients at first visit decreased from 20% to 6% ($p < 0.001$). The proportion of patients with osteopenia remained at 5%. Pathological fractures were documented in about 4% of patients who had osteoporosis. Other comorbidities (e.g. degenerative joint diseases, heart/lung diseases) did not decrease (Table 3). Overall, the number of patients with ≥ 3 comorbidities increased (36% to 44%).

Osteoporosis was documented more frequently in women than in men and more often in older age groups (Fig. 1a, b). A decrease was observed irrespective of age and sex. Osteoporosis was frequent in patients with high disease activity (according to DAS28) and rare in patients in remission (Fig. 1c). Patients in remission had a consistently low osteoporosis rate of 12.8% over the years. In the group with medium and high disease activity, a declining trend was observed.

Osteoporosis was most frequent in patients with RA disease duration ≥ 10 years and lowest in those with a disease duration of 2 years or less. For all groups stratified by disease duration, the frequency of osteoporosis decreased, most markedly in the group with disease duration of more than 10 years (Fig. 1d).

One in five patients under GC therapy was reported to have osteoporosis, and the proportion decreased only slightly (22% in 2007 and 19% in 2017). In patients
Osteoporosis was present in 12% of patients without GC therapy, with bDMARDs (18.5%) being more common than those who did not receive bDMARDs (16.3%).

### Osteoporosis prophylaxis and therapy

Drug prophylaxis in patients without osteoporosis increased (20% to 41% without GC, 48% to 55% with GC). Under GC therapy, women and men received osteoporosis prophylaxis more frequently (Fig. 2). Males with GC received prophylactic treatment less frequently than women (48% vs. 57% in 2017). However, the increase in prophylaxis was more pronounced for patients without GC (females 24% to 42% and males 10% to 31%).

### Bone density measurement

The frequency of bone density measurements in the last 12 months before recruitment decreased and in 2017, a measurement was reported in only 5% of patients. The frequency of DXA ever performed increased, but remained below 50% until 2017. Bone density measurements were less frequently performed in younger age groups than in patients > 60 years (Table 4).

### Discussion

In the 10-year trends from the NDB, we observe a decline of osteoporosis as a concomitant disease of RA compared to other comorbidities among patients treated in the absence of GC therapy.
participating institutions. The distribution of general risk factors for the development of osteoporosis recorded in the NDB, such as age, sex, smoking and underweight, did not change during this period. The more frequent occurrence of osteoporosis in women and at an older age is well known, not RA specific and not modifiable. On the other hand, the connection between osteoporosis and disease activity in RA is of great importance. Due to the improved treatment options over the last 10 years, we now see more RA patients in remission or low disease activity. Compared to patients with high disease activity, they are less often reported to have osteoporosis. Lower frequency of osteoporosis and lower disease activity in later years could be attributed to treatment improvements and as a consequence of lower disability and higher physical activity. More frequent osteoporosis with increasing disease duration of RA can also be observed. However, statements about a causal relationship cannot be made due to the cross-sectional design. Innala et al. [12] showed a clear correlation between disease duration and osteoporosis incidence in their study population. They determined the prevalence at inclusion and after 5 years in the same patients. After 5 years of illness, the incidence of osteoporosis had more than doubled (1.4% vs. 3.7%). It could be assumed that due to the increasing disease duration of RA in the NDB, osteoporosis is also more frequent over the years. In fact, we observe a decrease over time suggesting that there are other important causal factors for this decrease.

In addition to disease activity, long-term or higher dose GC therapy plays an important role. In our data, patients with GC have osteoporosis 1.5 times as often as patients without GC. If patients can be brought into GC-free remission, osteoporosis may be reduced to a level comparable to that in the general population [13]. The
perception of the high risk of osteoporosis in RA resulting from both inflammatory activity and GC therapy has increased in recent years. In addition to the decline in GC therapies, we also see an increase in osteoporosis drug prophylaxis in RA patients without osteoporosis. Various studies have shown inadequate osteoporosis medication in RA [14]. In a retrospective cohort study [15], 38% of GC users were treated with osteoporosis drugs, 57% of women and only 9% of men. Especially men and premenopausal women remained undersupplied. The situation is similar for prophylactic treatment of GC patients. In the NDB, about 60% of patients with GCs receive osteoporosis prophylaxis. For women, the proportion has doubled, for men even tripled. This could be an indication that sensitivity to the risk of osteoporosis in men has increased in recent years. Another reason could be that the patients included in the NDB are getting older, thus have a higher risk profile and receive appropriate prophylactic treatment in accordance with German and international guidelines [16, 17].

However, the long-term GC use and the remaining high GC doses in more than 10% of RA patients are risk profiles that need to be modified with today’s treatment options. It is known that the duration of GC intake can cause increased bone density loss. Van Staa et al. [18] reported that the risk of fracture decreases immediately after discontinuation of GC. In addition to the duration, the dose also affects bone density loss. A meta-analysis [19] demonstrated the positive correlation between cumulative GC dose and low bone mass. Besides GC reduction, bone density measurement should be given a higher priority in the routine diagnosis of RA. The documented examinations in the NDB are insufficient and confirm that osteoporosis is not examined consistently enough by means of bone density measurement even in rheumatism centres [3]. However, we assume that some of the bone density measurements performed were not documented. Studies that measure the prevalence of osteoporosis using DXA measurements show significantly higher frequencies [20] than our analysis. Nationwide claims data from the PROCLAIR study also show a significantly higher frequency of osteoporosis diagnosis compared to the NDB, which is 2.8 times as high as in persons with RA compared to the general population [21]. In the early arthritis cohort CAPEA, the frequency of osteoporosis after a 2-year observation period was 25% in women and 15% in men (mean age women 55 years, men 58 years) [22]. In CAPEA, after 2 years of observation, the values of bone density measurements were explicitly asked for, so that these patients may have been examined more frequently.

Limitations

The presence of osteoporosis is based on information from rheumatologists, not on systematic diagnostic examinations. However, our study lacks data on many traditional risk factors for osteoporosis, especially information on the contribution of menopause to bone loss. On the basis of the NDB, it is not possible to evaluate how many patients have an indication for bone density measurement. Also, low frequencies of BMD measurement especially in patients aged > 50 years in 2016 and 2017 may have led to an underestimation of osteoporosis in RA patients in later groups. The cross-sectional analyses cannot make any statements about temporal relationships between exposure and outcome. Furthermore, under-recording and undiagnosed comorbidities can represent a limitation since no further diagnostic criteria were queried. The presence of degenerative disease in > 20% of patients in later groups vs. less than 18% in 2007–2010 may also be a cause for underestimating osteoporosis, particularly lumbar spine osteoporosis. Analyses involving the GC dose could not be performed due to the cross-sectional design. The reason for this is that the available cross-sectional data cannot provide any information about the history of therapy, i.e. previous or cumulative doses.
Conclusion

In this cohort, osteoporosis in patients with RA is less frequently observed compared to former years. RA-specific risk factors for osteoporosis such as disease activity and GC therapy have declined but long-term GC use is still present.

Assessment of osteoporosis in RA patients should be investigated more consistently by bone density measurement. Male RA patients still need to be given greater consideration regarding osteoporosis drug prophylaxis, especially when GC therapy is needed.
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