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Widespread replacement of native ecosystems by productive land sometimes results in the outbreak of a native species. In New Zealand, the introduction of exotic pastoral plants has resulted in diet alteration of the native coleopteran species, *Costelytra zealandica* (White) (Scarabaeidae) such that this insect has reached the status of pest. In contrast, *C. brunneum* (Broun), a congeneric species, has not developed such a relationship with these ‘novel’ host plants. This study investigated the feeding preferences and fitness performance of these two closely related scarab beetles to increase fundamental knowledge about the mechanisms responsible for the development of invasive characteristics in native insects. To this end, the feeding preference of third instar larvae of both *Costelytra* species was investigated using an olfactometer device, and the survival and larval growth of the invasive species *C. zealandica* were compared on native and exotic host plants. *Costelytra zealandica*, when sampled from exotic pastures, was unable to fully utilise its ancestral native host and showed higher feeding preference and performance on exotic plants. In contrast, *C. zealandica* sampled from native grasslands did not perform significantly better on either host and showed similar feeding preferences to *C. brunneum*, which exhibited no feeding preference. This study suggests the possibility of strong intraspecific variation in the ability of *C. zealandica* to exploit native or exotic plants, supporting the hypothesis that such ability underpins the existence of distinct host-races in this species.
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Preference of a native beetle for "exoticism", characteristics that contribute to invasive success of *Costelytra zealandica* (Scarabaeidae: Melolonthinae).

**Abstract**

Widespread replacement of native ecosystems by productive land sometimes results in the outbreak of a native species. In New Zealand, the introduction of exotic pastoral plants has resulted in diet alteration of the native coleopteran species, *Costelytra zealandica* (White) (Scarabaeidae) such that this insect has reached the status of pest. In contrast, *C. brunneum* (Broun), a congeneric species, has not developed such a relationship with these ‘novel’ host plants. This study investigated the feeding preferences and fitness performance of these two closely related scarab beetles to increase fundamental knowledge about the mechanisms responsible for the development of invasive characteristics in native insects. To this end, the feeding preference of third instar larvae of both *Costelytra* species was investigated using an olfactometer device, and the survival and larval growth of the invasive species *C. zealandica* were compared on native and exotic host plants. *Costelytra zealandica*, when sampled from exotic pastures, was unable to fully utilise its ancestral native host and showed higher feeding preference and performance on exotic plants. In contrast, *C. zealandica* sampled from native grasslands did not perform significantly better on either host and showed similar feeding preferences to *C. brunneum*, which exhibited no feeding preference. This study suggests the possibility of strong intraspecific variation in the ability of *C. zealandica* to exploit native or exotic plants, supporting the hypothesis that such ability underpins the existence of distinct host-races in this species.
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Introduction

By widely replacing native ecosystems with more economically productive land, modern intensive agriculture has often been regarded by ecologists as a driver for substantial biodiversity loss (Robinson & Sutherland 2002, Tilman et al. 2002, Foley et al. 2005). Although detrimental for numerous species, anthropogenic modifications creating novel ecological conditions appear to be beneficial under certain circumstances for some native species. For instance, it is acknowledged that the high diversity of phytophagous insects partially results from evolutionary processes that occur through the action of factors affecting their diet breadth (Gaete-Eastman et al. 2004), such as the appearance of a new host plant. Hence, the ecological repercussions of anthropogenic-driven modification(s) on native ecosystems are worth investigating to enhance understanding of the insect invasion process. In addition, the comparison of native and invasive congeners is recognised as a useful approach for identifying characteristics that promote invasiveness (Munoz & Ackerman 2011). This approach is perhaps even more useful in this study because the ‘invasive congener’ is native itself and it would not have been subjected to differential environmental and ecological pressures as its congener that are likely to have affected its evolution.

In New Zealand, the introduction of exotic pastoral plants has resulted in alteration of the diet of the native coleopteran Costelytra zealandica (White) (Scarabaeidae), also known as the New Zealand grass grub or brown beetle. The larvae of this endemic insect feed intensively on the roots of ryegrass (Lolium spp.) and white clover (Trifolium repens) and as a consequence the species is ranked as a major economic pest in New Zealand (Pottinger 1975, Richards et al. 1997). Interestingly and in contrast, C. brunneum (Broun), a close congeneric species that is rarely found in ryegrass and white clover pastures and remains mostly distributed in native habitats (Given 1966, Lefort et al. 2012, 2013). Both Costelytra species are considered to be
univoltine organisms (Atkinson & Slay 1994) with three larval stages, although it is not uncommon to come across individuals that follow a two-year life cycle in the highest and coldest environments of the southern locations of New Zealand, such as Otago and Southland (Stewart 1972, Kain 1975). These two species are sympatric and share similar native hosts, mainly comprising tussock species (Poaceae) commonly found in New Zealand native grasslands (Given 1966, Lefort et al., 2012, 2013).

The present study aimed to investigate the feeding preferences and fitness response in terms of survival and weight gain of these two coleopteran species, to provide new insights into the mechanisms underpinning the invasion process in *C. zealandica*. The first objective of this study was to perform choice tests where the larvae of both *Costelytra* species were given the choice between a native and an exotic host plant. The second objective was to compare survival and larval growth of two populations of the invasive species *C. zealandica* when exposed to these host plants.

**Material and methods**

**Insect sampling and plant material**

Newly hatched third instar larva, as the most damaging life stage of the invasive species *C. zealandica* and the most intensively feeding life stage in *Costelytra* spp. in general, were used for the experiments. No protocol exists to rear *Costelytra* spp. offspring under laboratory conditions and all attempts to do so have been unsuccessful. Therefore, the second best option was to work with field-collected insects. Four sampling sites in the South Island of New Zealand were used to collect second instar larvae of *Costelytra* spp. (Figure 1). These sites are labelled A, B, C and D in Table 1. Collection sites A and B were dominated by exotic plants, while sites C and D were essentially composed of native grasses (Table 1). In the two latter sites, larvae of both species
were collected under large patches of native vegetation. These patches were distant enough from exotic vegetation, to ensure that no -or minimal- contact with exotic plants had occurred prior to experiments, given the very low mobility of the earliest larval stages in *Costelytra* spp (Kain 1975).

Initially, the larvae were placed individually into ice tray compartments with a piece of carrot as food at 15 °C ambient temperature for four days to test for the presence of the endemic amber disease (*Serratia* spp.) according to the protocol of Jackson *et al.* (1993). Healthy larvae were identified to the species level based on the non-invasive methodologies developed by Lefort *et al.* (2012, 2013).

*Trifolium repens* (white clover) was grown in a glasshouse (Lincoln University, New Zealand) from seeds (PGG Wrightson Seeds Ltd, Christchurch, New Zealand) in 200 ml of potting mix comprising 60% peat and 40% sterilized pumice stones. Young plants of the native *Poa cita* (silver tussock) were purchased from a native plant nursery in Christchurch, New Zealand. Each plant was carefully transferred from its original pot to a 200 ml pot, filled with potting mix as described above, and was allowed to grow for 2 months prior to the feeding experiment.

**Costelytra** spp. feeding preferences – native vs exotic host choice test

The feeding preferences of *C. zealandica* and *C. brunneum* larvae were tested using a three choice olfactometer with native or exotic hosts at 15 °C. The olfactometer comprised of three extended arms, each 120 mm in length and 40 mm in diameter, filled with gamma-irradiated soil (Schering-Plough Animal Health, Wellington, New Zealand) and a 40 x 40 mm central exposure chamber. The larvae were introduced through an aperture in the central chamber. A pot containing either no plant (control pot), white clover, or silver tussock was connected at the end of each arm.

Third instar larvae of *C. zealandica* collected from sites B (exotic pasture, n = 35) and C (native grasslands, n = 35) and *C. brunneum* from collection site D (native grasslands, n = 35) were used...
for this experiment. For each population, the bioassay was replicated seven times, with five new larvae inserted together in the central exposure chamber, in order to mimic the natural clustered distribution of the larvae in the field and to test a greater number of larvae. After 24 hours, pots were disconnected from the olfactometer device, emptied of their contents and larvae were counted. Between each trial, all components of the olfactometer were washed thoroughly with warm water and left to soak in clean water overnight, finally being left to air-dry on a clean counter and reassembled. Results were analyzed with GLMs (family = poisson) using R software (R Development Core Team, 2014). Two separate GLMs were performed: 1) choice (plant) vs no choice (control or no choice) and 2) native host plant vs exotic host plant as response variables, a subset of the choice data. The populations of C. zealandica and C. brunneum from the different sites were analyzed separately.

*Costelytra zealandica* fitness response on different host plants

Newly moulted third instar larvae of *C. zealandica* collected from sites A (exotic pasture, n = 64) and C (native grasslands, n = 47) were randomly allocated to the two different host plant treatments (white clover and silver tussock). Each larva was kept individually in a 35 ml plastic container containing 50 g of gamma-irradiated soil (as above) and was fed *ad libitum* with roots of white clover or silver tussock. Containers were randomly arranged on plastic trays and kept in an incubator at 15 °C. The fresh weight of each larva was recorded at the beginning of the experiment and after the first six weeks of treatment. The latter corresponded to the most intensive weeks of feeding for the third instar life stage of this species. All measurements were performed on a 0.01 g readability portable digital scale. The experiment was conducted over an additional 9 weeks, to cover the average 15 week duration of the third instar in *C. zealandica*. Survival rates were assessed after this time.
Statistical analyses to determine the effect of host plant diet on larval survival were carried out using a Chi-squared test. For each population, an ANCOVA was conducted to analyze the effect of host plant diet on larval growth after 6 weeks while controlling for initial weight. The analyses were performed after exclusion of larvae that died before the end of the sixth week. The Chi-squared test was conducted using R software (R Development Core Team, 2009), while the ANCOVA were performed using the statistical software SPSS v. 20.

Results

Costelytra spp. feeding preferences – native vs exotic host choice test

In the choice test, only *C. zealandica* collected from exotic pastures (population B) showed a preference for the exotic white clover (GLM, *p* < 0.01, Null deviance= 15.04, Residual deviance= 4.15) (Figure 2). In contrast, *C. zealandica* collected from native grassland (population C) and *C. brunneum* (population D), did not show a preference for either plant species (respectively: GLM, *p* = 0.24, Null deviance= 23.33, Residual deviance= 21.92, and GLM, *p* = 0.87, Null deviance= 8.31, Residual deviance= 8.28) (Figure 2).

Costelytra zealandica - larval survival and growth on exotic clover or native tussock

The larvae collected from exotic pastures (population A) displayed survival rates over six time higher when fed on clover (33.3 % survival) compared with larvae fed on native silver tussock (5.5 % survival) ($\chi^2 = 4.43$, df = 1, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 3).

No treatment effect on larval growth was detected for the population from native grasslands (population C) (F(2,22) = 3.69, *p* = 0.07) (Table 2), while the larvae from exotic pastures (population A) gained 0.428g (± 0.005g) when fed on clover for 6 weeks, which was almost twice
as much weight compared with larvae fed on native tussock ($F(2,54) = 12.26, p < 0.001$) (Table 2), (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

This study investigated variation in feeding preferences and fitness response to various hosts. The results corroborate the existence of strong intraspecific variation of the diet breadth of this pest species (Lefort *et al.* 2014). This study also demonstrated similarities between feeding preferences of a population of *C. zealandica* collected from an isolated native habitat with those of the congeneric non-pest species *C. brunneum*. The overall results of this study have provided new insight into the mechanism(s) underpinning the invasion of *C. zealandica* into improved pastures throughout New Zealand.

It is important to note that the nutritional value of the roots on which the larvae fed can vary within the same plant in response to soil nutrient distribution and concentration (Grossman & Rice 2012) and possibly results in differential fitness performance in the same population of insect. However, the overall fitness, as measured by survival and growth, of *C. zealandica* collected from exotic pastures was significantly higher on the exotic host plant than on its native host. Inheritance and maternal effects on host choice (Mousseau & Dingle 1991, Mousseau & Fox 1998), where offspring display high fitness performance (Fox 2006) and similar host preferences to their mother (Craig *et al.* 2001), is a possible explanation. Similarly, another maternal effect coined the ‘mother knows best’ hypothesis, which suggests that females tend to oviposit on host plant(s) that can potentially increase their offspring survival (Scheirs *et al.* 2000, Mayhew 2001), can also be a possible explanation, although no evidence supporting this hypothesis has been observed in *C. zealandica* adult beetles (Kelsey 1968, Radcliffe & Payne, 1969, Kain 1975).
The effects described above are supported by the results of the choice test. In this test, population A, consisting of *C. zealandica* larvae collected from exotic pasture plants on which the population is likely to have fed for several generations, chose exotic clover as the preferred host plant. In contrast, the population of *C. zealandica* collected from their native range did not show any preference in the choice tests and did not perform better on either host. The first observation negates the hypothesis of inheritance and maternal effect on host choice mentioned earlier, since based on this principle, this population would have been expected to prefer its native host (i.e. silver tussock) and have better fitness performance on this plant compared with the exotic host (i.e. white clover). Unlike silver tussock, white clover is a legume, which may partly explain the differences in larval weight gain observed in the *C. zealandica* population collected from exotic pastures. Indeed, because of their bacterial symbiosis resulting in an ability to fix nitrogen (Awmack & Leather 2002), the nutritional value of this family of plants is likely to be higher than that of grasses, such as silver tussock, used as the alternative host in this study. However, this alternative hypothesis does not explain the response of the other *C. zealandica* population studied, which in this case would have been expected to show increased weight gain on clover as well.

Based on similar survival rates observed in the two populations of *C. zealandica* used in this study, and because the population collected from native grassland was presumably isolated enough to have not fed on exotic host plants prior to the experiment, it appears that the successful exploitation of an exotic plant by this species is likely a pre-existing ability. Diegisser et al. (2009) and Ding & Blossey (2009) suggested that some form of pre-adaptation was required for the exploitation of a novel host plant. The hypothesis of pre-adaptation or phenotypic plasticity in *C. zealandica* is supported by i) the similarity in host choice between larvae of *C. zealandica* collected from native grassland and larvae of the non-pest species *C. brunneum*, and ii) the differential exploitation of exotic pastoral plants by the two species. However, the limited number
of replicates for the population collected from native grassland calls for caution in the
interpretation of these results.

The defence mechanisms employed by the different host plants and their effect on the fitness of
the insect species studied would be an interesting aspect to investigate. In a recent review about
phytophagous insects and plant defences, Ali and Agrawal (2012) reaffirmed that generalist
insects do not master or totally overcome their host defences, but possess ‘general mechanisms’
to tolerate an array of those defences. It is possible to observe variations in this tolerance,
particularly when the host-range utilised by the insect species is highly diversified and,
consequently, when the family of plants have differential evolutionary histories that may have
resulted in slight variations in their defence mechanisms. Here, *C. zealandica* may have been less
affected by the defences of white clover compared to those of the other hosts or, conversely and
as recently shown by Lefort *et al.* (2015), may have benefited from the defences of their host.
The latter phenomenon has been observed several times in recent insect-host interaction studies,
where the defences of the hosts were artificially triggered and the resulting fitness response of the
insects studied unexpectedly enhanced (e.g. Pierre *et al.* 2012, Robert *et al.* 2012).

The results of this study support the pre-existence of characteristics that may have contributed to
the invasion success of the New Zealand native scarab *C. zealandica* into exotic pastures
throughout New Zealand in contrast to its native congener, *C. brunneum* which maintains small
populations in native grasslands. Additionally, the differences in feeding preferences between
different populations of the pest species *C. zealandica*, seem to confirm recent evidence (Lefort
*et al.* 2014) of the existence of distinct host-races in this species. With regard to cryptic species,
many studies have highlighted the importance of correct species identification for the
accomplishment of successful biological control (e.g. Rosen 1986, Paterson 1991, Silva-Brandão
*et al.* 2013). Similarly, we believe that the delineation of host-races in pest species could have
vital implications in terms of pest control management and strategies. For instance, caution
should be taken before denoting a species as a single entity by employing terms such as
“pest species” or “invasive species”, and care must be taken during insect sampling and
subsequent identification, particularly when performing bioassays for which the outcome may
vary depending on the host-race used. Because the natural feeding behavior of some insects can
be modified by laboratory experimentation, we believe that complementary in-situ experiments
that would allow the incorporation and investigation of the effect of natural environmental
variables on the feeding behavior of _C. zealandica_, would be beneficial. Furthermore we strongly
encourage further molecular investigations to confirm the possible existence of host-races in _C.
zealandica_, which would greatly benefit the field of biological control research in New Zealand.
Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Richard Townsend and St Andrew’s College of Christchurch for granting access to the different insect collection sites.

References

Ali JG, Agrawal A, 2008 Specialist versus generalist insect herbivores and plant defense. Trends Plant Sci. 17, 293–302.

Atkinson D, Slay M, 1994. Winter management of grass grub (Costelytra zealandia (White)). New Zeal. J. Agr. Res. 37, 553–558.

Awmack CS, Leather SR, 2002. Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47, 817–844.

Craig TP, Horner JD, Itami JK, 2001. Genetics, experience, and host-plant preference in Eurosta solidaginis: implications for host shifts and speciation. Evolution 55, 773–82.

Diegisser T, Tritsch C, Seitz A, Johannesen J, 2009. Infestation of a novel host plant by Tephritis conura (Diptera: Tephritidae) in northern Britain: host-range expansion or host shift? Genetica 137, 87–97.

Ding J, Blossey B, 2009. Differences in preference and performance of the Water Lily Leaf Beetle, Galerucella nymphaeae. Environ. Entomol. 38, 1653–1660.

Foley J, Defries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, Chapin FS, Coe MT, Daily GC, Gibbs HK, Helkowski JH, Holloway T, Howard E, Kucharik CJ, Monfreda C, Patz J, Prentice IC, Ramankutty N, Snyder PK, 2005. Global consequences of land use. Science 309, 570–4.

Fox CW, 2006. Evolutionary Genetics : Concepts and Case Studies. Oxford University Press, UK.

Gaete-Eastman C, Figueroa CC, Olivares-Donoso R, Niemeyer HM, Ramírez CC, 2004. Diet breadth and its relationship with genetic diversity and differentiation: the case of southern beech aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae). B. Entomol. Res. 94, 219-227.

Given BB, 1966. The genus Costelytra Given (Melolonthinae: Coleoptera) including descriptions of four new species. New Zeal. J. Sci. 9, 373–390.

Grossman JD, Rice KJ (2012) Evolution of root plasticity responses to variation in soil nutrient distribution and concentration. Evol Appl. 5, 850-857.
Jackson TA, Huger AM, Glare TR 1993. Pathology of amber disease in the New Zealand grass grub *Costelytra zealandica* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). J. Invertebr. Pathol. 61, 123–130.

Kain M, 1975. Population dynamics and pest assessment studies of grass grub (*Costelytra zealandica* White), *Melolonthinae*) in the North Island of New Zealand. PhD thesis. Lincoln University, New Zealand.

Kelsey JM, 1968. Oviposition preference by *Costelytra zealandica* (White). New Zeal. J. Agr. Res. 11, 206-210.

Lefort M-C, Barratt BI, Marris JWM, Boyer S, 2013. Combining molecular and morphological approaches to differentiate the pest *Costelytra zealandica* (White) (Coleoptera: Scarabeidae: Melolonthinae) from the non-pest *Costelytra brunneum* (Broun) at larval stage. New Zeal. Entomol. 36, 15-21.

Lefort M-C, Boyer S, De Romans S, Armstrong K, Glare TR, Worner SP, 2014. Invasion success of a scarab beetle within its native range: host-shift vs. host range expansion. PeerJ 2:e262.

Lefort M-C, Worner SP, Rostas M, Vereijisen J, Boyer S, 2015. Responding positively to plant defences, a candidate key trait for invasion success in phytophagous insects. New Zeal. J. Ecol 39, 128-132.

Lefort M-C, Boyer S, Worner SP, Armstrong K, 2012. Noninvasive molecular methods to identify live scarab larvae: an example of sympatric pest and nonpest species in New Zealand. Mol. Ecol Resour. 12, 389–95.

Mayhew P, 2001. Herbivore host choice and optimal bad motherhood. Trends Ecol. Evol. 16, 165–167.

Mousseau TA, Dingle H, 1991. Maternal effects on insect life histories. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 36, 511–534.

Mousseau TA, Fox CW, 1998. The adaptive significance of maternal effects. Trends Ecol. Evol. 13, 403–407.

Muñoz MC, Ackerman JD, 2011. Spatial distribution and performance of native and invasive *Ardisia* (Myrsinaceae) species in Puerto Rico: the anatomy of an invasion. Biol. Invasions 13, 1543–1558.

Paterson HE, 1991. The recognition of cryptic species among economically important insects. Heliothis: Research Methods and Prospects. (Ed MP Zalucki) Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 1–10.

Pierre PS, Dugravot S, Cortesero A-M, Poinsot D, Raaijmakers CE, Hassan HM, Van Dam NM, 2012. Broccoli and turnip plants display contrasting responses to belowground induction by *Delia radicum* infestation and phytohormone applications. Phytochemistry 73, 42–50.

Pottinger RP, 1975. The insect pest task force. New Zealand Agricultural Science 9, 123–137.

Radcliffe E, Payne EO, 1969. Feeding preference and oviposition sites of adult grass grub beetles (*Costelytra zealandica* (White)) on pasture plants. New Zeal. J. Agr. Res. 12, 771-776.

Richards NK, Glare TR, Hall DCA, Bay H, 1997. Genetic variation in grass grub, *Costelytra zealandica*, from several regions. Genetics, 338–343.
Robert CM, Erb M, Duployer M, Zwahlen C, Doyen GR, Turlings TCJ, 2012. Herbivore-induced plant volatiles mediate host selection by a root herbivore. New Phytol. 194, 1061–9.

Robinson R, Sutherland WJ, 2002. Post-war changes in arable farming and biodiversity in Great Britain. J. Appl. Ecol. 39, 157–176.

Rosen D, 1986. The role of taxonomy in effective biological control programs. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 15, 121–129.

Scheir J, De Bruyn L, Verhagen R, 2000. Optimization of adult performance determines host choice in a grass miner. P. R. Soc. London 267, 2065–2069.

Silva-Brandao KL, Almeida LC, Moraes SS, Consoli FL, 2013. Using population genetic methods to identify the origin of an invasive population and to diagnose cryptic subspecies of *Telchinicus* (Lepidoptera: Castniidae). B. Entomol. Res. 103, 89–97.

Stewart K, 1972. Developments in control of grass grub and porina. *Farmers conference*. Invermay, New Zealand, pp 20–21.

Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson P, Naylor R, Polasky S, 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature 418, 671–7.
Tables, figures and legends

Table 1. General description and location for *Costelytra zealandica* and *C. brunneum* sample sites

| Site | Location (NZ, South Island) | Coordinates | Site description and dominant group of plants | Species sampled and population indexing |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| A    | Lincoln                     | 43°61'34"S 172°47'32"E | mixed exotic ryegrass (*Lolium* spp.) / clover garden (*Trifolium* spp.) | *Costelytra zealandica* (population A) |
| B    | Horomata                    | 43°32'17"S 171°57'16"E | mixed exotic ryegrass (*Lolium* spp.) / clover dairy pasture (*Trifolium* spp.) | *Costelytra zealandica* (population B) |
| C    | Gass                        | 43°02'16"S 171°45'40"E | native tussock grassland (*Poa* *citra* over 80% incidence) | *Costelytra zealandica* (population C) |
| D    | Castle Hill                 | 43°12'20"S 171°42'16"E | native tussock grassland (*Poa* *citra* over 80% incidence) close to the margin of beech forest (*Nothofagus* spp.) | *Costelytra brunneum* |

Table 2. ANCOVA – effect of different host plant diet on the average weight gain of *Costelytra zealandica* larvae controlling for their initial weight.

| Species (sampling site) | df | F    | P values | 5% significance level |
|-------------------------|----|------|----------|-----------------------|
| *C. zealandica* (population A) |    |      |          |                       |
| Treatment               | 1  | 12.357 | 0.001    | * * * * *             |
| Covariate (initial weight) | 1  | 0.001 | 0.978    | ns                    |
| Error                   | 54 |      |          |                       |
| *C. zealandica* (population C) |    |      |          |                       |
| Treatment               | 1  | 3.691 | 0.088    | ns                    |
| Covariate (initial weight) | 1  | 0.190 | 0.687    | ns                    |
| Error                   | 22 |      |          |                       |
Figure 1. Location map for *Costelytra zealandica* and *C. brunneum* sample sites.

Figure 2. Plant choice of larvae of three populations of *Costelytra* in a three-arm olfactometer. With choices of *C. zealandica* population B collected from exotic pastures, *C. zealandica* population C collected from native tussock grassland, and *C. brunneum* population D collected from native tussock grassland. ** indicates p < 0.01 and ns indicates p > 0.05.
Figure 3. Percentage of larval survival of *Costelytra zealandica* from site A (collected from exotic pasture) and site C (collected from native tussock grassland) after 15 weeks of feeding on tussock (yellow bars) and white clover (green bars) host plants. * indicates p < 0.05 and ns indicates p>0.05.

Figure 4. Average fresh weight gain (±1SE) of larvae of *Costelytra zealandica* from site A (collected from exotic pasture) and site C (collected from native tussock grassland) after 6 weeks of feeding on tussock (yellow bars) and white clover (green bars) host plants.
clover (green bars) host plants. Pairwise comparisons were performed using an ANCOVA with the initial weight of the larvae as covariate. *** indicates p<0.001 and ns indicates p>0.05.
Table 1

General description and location for *Costelytra zealandica* and *C. brunneum* sample sites
| Site | Location                  | Coordinates         | Site description and dominant group of plants                                      | Species sampled and population indexing |
|------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| A    | Lincoln (NZ, South Island)| 43°64'04"S 172°47'82"E | mixed exotic ryegrass (*Lolium* spp.) / clover garden (*Trifolium* spp.)          | *Costelytra zealandica* (population A)   |
| B    | Hororata (NZ, South Island)| 43°32'17"S 171°57'16"E | mixed exotic ryegrass (*Lolium* spp.) / clover dairy pasture (*Trifolium* spp.)    | *Costelytra zealandica* (population B)   |
| C    | Cass (NZ, South Island)   | 43°02'10"S 171°45'40"E | native tussock grassland (*Poa cita* over 80% incidence)                           | *Costelytra zealandica* (population C)   |
| D    | Castle Hill (NZ, South Island) | 43°12'20"S 171°42'16"E | native tussock grassland (*Poa cita* over 80% incidence) close to the margin of beech forest (*Nothofagus* spp.) | *Costelytra brunneum*                   |
Table 2

Table 2

ANCOVA – effect of different host plant diet on the average weight gain of *Costelytra zealandica* larvae controlling for their initial weight.
| Species (sampling site) | df | $F$  | $P$ values | 5% significance level |
|-------------------------|----|------|------------|------------------------|
| **C. zealandica (population A)** |
| Treatment               | 1  | 12.257 | 0.001      | ✻ ✻ ✻                  |
| Covariate (initial weight) | 1  | 0.001  | 0.978      | ns                     |
| Error                   | 54 |       |            |                        |
| **C. zealandica (population C)** |
| Treatment               | 1  | 3.691  | 0.068      | ns                     |
| Covariate (initial weight) | 1  | 0.190  | 0.667      | ns                     |
| Error                   | 22 |       |            |                        |
**Figure 1** (on next page)

Location map for *Costelytra zealandica* and *C. brunneum* sample sites.
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Plant choice of larvae of three populations of *Costelytra* in a three-arm olfactometer. With choices of *C. zealandica* population B collected from exotic pastures, *C. zealandica* population C collected from native tussock grassland, and *C. brunneum* population D collected from native tussock grassland. ** indicates p < 0.01 and ns indicates p > 0.05.
GLM (native vs exotic) p<0.0012 **
Figure 3

Percentage of larval survival of *Costelytra zealandica* from site A (collected from exotic pasture) and site C (collected from native tussock grassland) after 15 weeks of feeding on tussock (yellow bars) and white clover (green bars) host plants. * indicates $p < 0.05$ and ns indicates $p > 0.05$. 
% of survival vs. diet and population type.

- Population A (exotic pasture)
  - Tussock diet: 5%
  - Clover diet: 35*

- Population C (native grassland)
  - Tussock diet: 25
  - Clover diet: 15 ns
Figure 4

Average fresh weight gain (+1SE) of larvae of *Costelytra zealandica* from site A (collected from exotic pasture) and site C (collected from native tussock grassland) after 6 weeks of feeding on tussock (yellow bars) and clover (green bars) host plants. Pairwise comparisons were performed using an ANCOVA with the initial weight of the larvae as covariate. *** indicates p<0.001 and ns indicates p>0.05.
**Figure:**

- **Population A (exotic pasture)**
  - Tussock diet: 0.04 g
  - Clover diet: 0.06 g
  - Significant difference (***P < 0.001***)
- **Population C (native grassland)**
  - Tussock diet: 0.02 g
  - Clover diet: 0.03 g
  - Not significant (ns)

**Legend:**
- **Yellow** tussock diet
- **Green** clover diet