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Abstract

Background: This study aims to assess the efficacy and safety of ventilator for the treatment of atelectasis.

Methods: We will search Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, EBSCO, Chinese database Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang data from inception to June 30, 2019 without language limitations. We will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of ventilator on evaluating the efficacy and safety of ventilator for atelectasis. We will use Cochrane risk of bias tool to assess the methodological quality for all included RCTs. RevMan 5.3 software will be used for statistical analysis.

Results: The primary outcome is lung function. The secondary outcomes comprise of airway pressure, mean arterial pressure, arterial blood gas, heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and adverse events.

Conclusion: The findings of this study will provide most recent evidence of ventilator for the treatment of atelectasis.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42019139329.

Abbreviations: CIs = confidence intervals, PRISMA-P = preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis protocol, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.
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1. Introduction

Atelectasis is one of the most common respiratory disorders, which often manifests as difficulty breathing, rapid, shallow breathing, wheezing, and cough.\textsuperscript{[1–3]} Most patients with atelectasis appears and results in transient lung dysfunction 24 hours post-surgery.\textsuperscript{[4–6]} It has been estimated that the incidence of atelectasis is 90\% in patients receiving general anesthesia.\textsuperscript{[7–10]} This condition consists of obstructive, non-obstructive, postoperative, and rounded atelectasis.\textsuperscript{[11]} Several factors are responsible for this disorder, including compressive atelectasis, resorptive atelectasis, and impaired lung surfactant production or function.\textsuperscript{[12–14]}

Several managements are helping to prevent and treat this disorder, including positive pressure, ventilation strategy, dornase alpha, and ventilator, especially for ventilator.\textsuperscript{[15–24]} However, no systematic review was conducted to assess its efficacy and safety of ventilator for the treatment of patients with atelectasis. Therefore, this study will systematically assess the efficacy and safety of ventilator for atelectasis.

2. Methods

2.1. Objective

This study aims to investigate the efficacy and safety of ventilator for the treatment of atelectasis.

2.2. Study registry

This study protocol has been registered on PROSPERO CRD42019139329. It has been reported according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis protocol (PRISMA-P) statement guidelines.\textsuperscript{[25]}

2.3. Inclusion criteria for study selection

2.3.1. Types of studies. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the efficacy and safety of ventilator for atelectasis without any language restrictions. Any other studies, such as case reports, non-RCTs, and quasi-RCTs will all be excluded.

2.3.2. Types of participants. Patients of any age and gender with atelectasis, in any setting, irrespective primary diagnosis will be considered for inclusion.
2.3.3. Types of interventions. Any types of ventilator treatment as an experimental intervention will be included. Control intervention can be any kinds of medications or therapies, except the ventilator treatment.

2.3.4. Types of outcome measurements. The primary outcome is lung function, as measured by forced expiratory volume in 1 second, or other tools. The secondary outcomes include airway pressure, mean arterial pressure, arterial blood gas, heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation.

2.4. Search methods for identification of studies

2.4.1. Electronic searches. We will retrieve from following electronic databases of Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, EBSCO, Chinese database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases from inceptions to June 30, 2019 without language limitations. The search strategy for Cochrane Library is presented in Table 1. We will also apply similar strategies to any other electronic databases.

2.4.2. Search for other resources. We will also retrieve other literature sources, such as clinical registry, reference list of relevant reviewers, and dissertations.

2.5. Data collection and analysis

2.5.1. Study selection. Two authors will independently evaluate titles and abstracts of all studies identified. Any divergences between 2 authors will help to solve them by a third author with discussion. After the initial selection, all remaining studies will be read by full-text to identify those are eligible for all inclusion criteria. The whole process of study selection follows and presents in the PRISMA flow chart.

2.5.2. Data collection and management. In this study, 2 authors will carry out data extraction independently. A third author will help to solve disagreements between 2 authors by discussion. Details of all eligible studies will be extracted and summarized using a data extraction form. This form includes title, first author, year of publication, region, disease diagnosis, inclusion and exclusion criteria, patient characteristics, study design, sample size, study methods, treatment details, outcome measurements, and adverse events.

### Table 1

| Search strategy for Cochrane Library database. | Search terms |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Mesh descriptor: (pulmonary atelectasis) explode all trees |
| 2 | (pulmonary) or (lung) or (atelectasis) or (at-uh-LEK-tuh-sis) or (trouble breathing) or (cough) or (low-grade fever) or (t.i. ab. kw) |
| 3 | Or 1–2 |
| 4 | MeSH descriptor: (mechanical ventilators) explode all trees |
| 5 | (ventilators) or (mechanical*) or (mechanical ventilators) or (ventilator) |
| 6 | Or 4–5 |
| 7 | MeSH descriptor: (randomized controlled trials) explode all trees |
| 8 | (random) or (randomly) or (controlled trials) or (blind) or (sham) or (placebo) or (comparator) |
| 9 | Or 7–8 |
| 10 | 3 and 6 and 9 |

2.5.3. Risk of bias assessment. Two authors will independently evaluate the risk of bias for each eligible study using the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions tool. It has 7 aspects, and each aspect is further categorized into 3 types: low risk of bias, unclear risk of bias, and high risk of bias. Any disagreements will be resolved by a third author via discussion.

2.5.4. Measurement of treatment effect. We will express enumeration data using risk ratio and 95% confidence intervals. We will present continuous data as mean difference and standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals.

2.5.5. Dealing with missing data. We will contact corresponding authors of primary studies if there are insufficient or missing data. We will discuss it’s impacts of the outcome results if we can not achieve the missing data.

2.5.6. Assessment of heterogeneity. We will use I^2 test for heterogeneity among eligible studies. The value of I^2 ≤ 50% indicates acceptable heterogeneity, and a fixed-effects model will be applied. The value of I^2 > 50% shows significant heterogeneity, and a random-effects model will be applied. At the same time, the subgroup analysis will be performed.

2.5.7. Data synthesis. RevMan 5.3 software is used for statistical analysis. If there is acceptable heterogeneity, we will apply a meta-analysis. If there is significant heterogeneity, we will perform meta-analysis according to the results of subgroup analysis. We will not pool the data and carry out meta-analysis if there is still significant heterogeneity. We will report a narrative summary instead.

2.5.8. Subgroup analysis. We will carry out subgroup analysis in accordance with the different treatments, controls and outcome measurements.

2.5.9. Sensitivity analysis. We will perform sensitivity analysis to check the robustness of pooled results according to the methodological qualities, and statistical models.

2.5.10. Publication biases. We will carry out the Funnel plot and Egger regression test if more than 10 studies are included.[26]

3. Discussion

Although previous studies have reported that ventilator treatment has used for patients with atelectasis, the evidence on its efficacy and safety for atelectasis remains inconclusive. This study will investigate the efficacy and safety of ventilator treatment for patients with atelectasis. It will summarize current evidence on the efficacy and safety of ventilator for atelectasis. Our results of this study will provide helpful evidence for clinical practice and patients. The results from the current systematic review will facilitate evidence-informed decision making and will supply as a clinical guideline towards the present valuable evidence of ventilator for atelectasis for the future researchers, and health policy-makers.
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