Experience with Liposuction for the Treatment of Subcutaneous Lipoma: A Case Series from a Tertiary Health Institution in Nigeria

Abstract

Background: Lipomas are the commonest benign tumours in the body. Treatment is often by excision biopsy. Liposuction has traditionally been used for body sculpturing, but recently, it was introduced for the operative management of lipomas. The aim of this study was to present our experience with liposuction lipectomy-assisted lipoma aspiration in terms of its efficacy, complications, risk of recurrence, and patient satisfaction. Materials and Methods: A retrospective review of 16 patients with solitary or multiple lipomas managed with liposuction over a 5-year period was done. All the patients had a prior fine needle aspiration cytology, which was reported as benign. Informed consent was obtained, and the procedures were performed as a day case under tumescent anaesthesia. Lipo-aspiration was done with manual liposuction device. The patients were discharged on oral analgesics and antibiotics. Results: Sixteen patients (10 females and six males) with 21 lesions were managed. The median age was 43 years (23–66 years). All the patients had satisfactory outcomes. The procedure was converted to open-excisional surgery in one patient, and the histology was reported as fibro lipoma. Friction burn at the cannula site occurred in one patient, which subsequently healed with scars. No recurrence was reported in any of the patients. Conclusion: In well-selected patients, liposuction-assisted lipectomy may have an advantage over the classical open technique. All patients should have a minimum investigation of fine needle aspiration cytology because of the risk of atypical lipomas or liposarcoma, which might have similar features as subcutaneous lipoma.
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Introduction

Lipomas are the most common benign mesenchymal tumours in the human body. They arise from the adipose tissue and are mostly encapsulated.[6] They are most commonly found in the subcutaneous tissue plane of the trunks and extremities.[6] Because of their superficial location, they often become obvious even when small and may pose significant cosmetic concerns, especially in females. Many patients are without symptoms. However, the fear of malignancy and the concern with disfigurement, especially with larger lesions, make many patients seek its removal. The traditional method of treatment is by open-excisional surgery, which may be complicated with scarring, especially in Africans and those predisposed to fibroproliferative disorders. Open excision is also fraught with longer operation time, increased blood loss, greater perioperative pain, and longer wound-healing time. In order to minimise these complications, less invasive options have been tried in the last few decades. These include the use of lasers,[3,4] subcutaneous deoxycholate injections,[5] endoscopic-assisted suction extraction,[6] and liposuction.[7–12]

Liposuction was first used in 1975 and has become a common surgical procedure.[13] At its onset, it was primarily used for body contouring and sculpturing. Currently, there are several indications for its use, such as lipodystrophy, gynaecomastia, axillary hyperhidrosis, body asymmetry, and lipoma.[13,14]

Herein, we report our experience with tumescent liposuction in a case series of patients who presented with subcutaneous lipoma.

Materials and Methods

This study was a retrospective review of 16 patients with 21 lesions who presented over a 5-year period between February 2017 and February 2022. Lipo-aspiration was done with manual liposuction device. The patients were discharged on oral analgesics and antibiotics.
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2015 and January 2020. The clinical evaluation of the patients revealed lesions with classical features consistent with subcutaneous lipomas. All the patients subsequently had fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), which were reported as benign. The biodata of the patients and location(s) and size(s) of the lesions were documented. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. The procedures were performed as a day case surgery using tumescent anaesthesia, prepared by a mixture of 10 mL of 2% lignocaine, 0.5 mL of 1:1000 adrenaline, and 500 mL of normal saline, giving a concentration of 0.04% lignocaine and 1:1 million adrenaline. An appropriate volume of the fluid was infused into each lesion until tumescence was achieved. A minimum of 10 min was allowed after infusion to achieve satisfactory tumescent anaesthesia.

Afterward, one or two small incisions for liposuction cannulas were made at the periphery of the lipoma with a no. 11 scalpel blade. One or both of the incisions were usually positioned in line with the long axis of the lesions (and opposite to each other when two incisions were required) to allow for satisfactory tunnelling through the lesion.

The lipo-aspiration was done with a 4-mm cannula connected to a manual 60-mL luer-lock syringe with a stopper for negative pressure [Figure 1]. The basic concept is that fat lobules are bluntly broken down, curetted by a cannula, and removed through the cannula’s aperture by a vacuum force generated from a suction syringe. One or two stitches were applied with a 4/0 nylon suture to the incision sites after aspiration. Firm pressure dressing was applied over each site to prevent seroma or haematoma formation. No drains were used in all the patients. The patients were discharged the same day on oral analgesics for 3 days and antibiotics for 5 days. The first postoperative review was done on the third day, and sutures were removed on the seventh day. The patients were followed up for any complication or recurrence.

**Results**

Sixteen patients consisting of six males and 10 females (M:F = 1:1.7) were managed over a 5-year period. Thirteen of the patients had solitary lesions, and the remaining three had multiple lesions. The smallest of the lesions measured 5 × 4 cm, whereas the biggest lesion was 13 × 12 cm. The median age of the patients was 43 years (range: 23–66 years). Eleven of the lesions were located on the posterior trunk, six on the anterior trunk and abdomen, and four lesions on the upper extremity [Table 1].

Lipo-aspiration was challenging and limited in one of the male patients whose lesion was located in the midline of the posterior trunk, which necessitated the conversion of the procedure to open-excisional surgery. The lesion appeared fibrous and firm after excision, and the histology was reported as fibrolipoma. None of the patients required oral analgesics beyond the third day postoperation. The early complications following liposuction include a friction burn at the cannula site in one patient and a haematoma at the site of the lesion in another patient, which resolved with conservative care. All the other patients had satisfactory healing after the spontaneous resolution of the initial dimpling and ecchymosis within the first week after the procedure. The only late complication was the burn scar in the patient who had a friction burn at the cannula site. None of the patients had a recurrence within the follow-up duration, which ranged from 1 to 28 months. Figures 2 and 3 depict the pre- and postoperative pictures of two of the patients.

**Discussion**

Attempts to remove fatty tissues through surgical methods date back to the 1920s, when French physicians used a curette to remove fat from a patient’s knees. This resulted in infection and amputation. In the 1960s, Dr. Josef Schrudde, a plastic surgeon from Cologne, West Germany, introduced the term lipexeresis (the removal of fat). This is performed by curetting fatty tissue from a subcutaneous tunnel formed by scissors in a localised adipose deposit. The remaining fat particles are irrigated and vigorously suctioned. Further modifications were described by Kesselring in the mid-1970s.

In 1976, Fischer and Fischer described the “cellusuctiotome,” a surgical suction instrument, which was combined with an undermining device. Unfortunately, it was associated with significant complications and had to be abandoned. Subsequently, the Parisian surgeons, Illouz
and Fournier, popularised the surgical suction device developed by Fischer. Following further refinements, this evolving technique became known as liposuction and was widely embraced by medical practitioners from various specialties.\[19\] Throughout this period, liposuction surgery was mostly performed under general anaesthesia. But in 1987, a dermatologist named Jeffrey Klein first reported the use of large volumes of very dilute anaesthesia, which allowed significant liposuction to occur using only local anaesthesia.\[20\] This technique was termed “tumescent anaesthesia.”\[21,22\] Although it has undergone subtle refinements in subsequent years, it remains the backbone of liposuction currently used around the world.

Liposuction has rapidly evolved into the most commonly requested cosmetic surgery.\[23\] This innovative procedure allows body sculpturing through minute incisions by combining the existing techniques of undermining and curettage with high-pressure suction. Like previous medical

### Table 1: Characteristics of patients’ lesions

| Serial number | Age (years) | Sex  | Location of the lesion | Size of the lesion (cm) |
|---------------|-------------|------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | 63          | Male | Posterior trunk        | 10 × 8                  |
| 2             | 47          | Male | Anterior trunk         | 13 × 11                 |
| 3             | 27          | Female | Right arm               | 11 × 7                  |
| 4             | 56          | Male | Anterior trunk         | 13 × 9                  |
| 5a            | 66          | Male | Posterior trunk        | 7 × 6                   |
| 5b            |             |      |                        | 9 × 8                   |
| 6             | 63          | Female | Anterior trunk         | 9 × 6                   |
| 7             | 23          | Female | Anterior trunk         | 6 × 5                   |
| 8             | 33          | Female | Anterior trunk         | 10 × 8                  |
| 9             | 42          | Female | Posterior trunk        | 5 × 4                   |
| 10            | 37          | Male  | Posterior trunk        | 9 × 6                   |
| 11            | 42          | Female | Anterior trunk         | 13 × 12                 |
| 12a           | 59          | Female | Posterior trunk        | 12 × 6                  |
| 12b           |             |      | Left arm                | 13 × 8                  |
| 12c           |             |      |                        | 11 × 8.5                |
| 13            | 49          | Male  | Posterior trunk        | 10 × 9                  |
| 14a           | 56          | Female | Posterior trunk        | 13 × 10                 |
| 14b           |             |      |                        | 12 × 11                 |
| 14c           |             |      |                        | 8 × 7                   |
| 15            | 43          | Female | Anterior trunk         | 13 × 12                 |

Figure 2: Pre- and postoperative pictures of one of the female patients: (a) preoperative anterior–posterior view showing the lesion on the right hypochondron, (b) preoperative view in the supine position, (c) intraoperative view, (d) postoperative view in the anterio-posterior plane, (e) postoperative view in the right oblique plane, and (f) yellowish lipo-aspirate from the patient.
innovations, this technique has spawned interest in many other noncosmetic applications. The development of these new noncosmetic liposuction procedures has broadened the scope of liposuction surgery and increased its appeal to both dermatologic and plastic surgeons.\[8\]

The most common noncosmetic use is in the removal of lipomas. Other noncosmetic uses of liposuction include flap defatting, gynaecomastia, pseudogynaecomastia, lymphoedema, benign symmetric lipomatisis, breast reduction, hypertrophic insulin lipodystrophy, buffalo hump, evacuating haematoma, emergency neck defatting for airway restoration, and axillary hyperhidrosis.\[8\]

Surgical excision remains the most utilised treatment option of lipomas. This, however, involves large incisions and a multilayered closure, with a greater risk of bleeding and postoperative wound complications.\[7\] Although this modality of care is effective, wound healing is usually associated with scarring,\[5\] especially in Africans and patients with fibro-proliferative disorders. The resulting scar(s) may be hypertrophic or keloidal, with significant disfigurement and cosmetic concerns, especially with lesions located on the exposed parts of the body. The disfigurement may be aggravated when multiple lesions are removed. Bigger lesions may also require excisions under general anaesthesia in an operating room, which adds to the cost and possible morbidity.\[7\]

Liposuction lipectomy has become a viable alternative in the management of subcutaneous lipoma because of the obvious advantages, which include minimal incisions, shorter operation time, reduced blood loss, faster postoperative recovery, reduced wound-healing complications, and, most importantly, the superior cosmetic result following treatment.\[7\]

About two-thirds (62.7%) of the patients were female, with 70% of them between the third and fifth decades of life. This is usually the age when the body image is of greater significance to women, and many who require surgery will desire to have surgical options that will leave minimal or no scars on the body. Three (18.8%) of the patients had multiple lesions and two of them had three localised lesions each, whereas another patient had two lesions. These required more than one stab incisions for the suction cannula, which all healed without scars, giving the much desired cosmetic outcome. Conventional excisional surgery would have required multiple larger incisions with the risk of unsightly scars after healing. All the lesions were bigger than 4 cm, which is the minimum size of lipomas recommended for liposuction.\[24\] The mean length of the main axis of the lipomas was 10.3 cm (range: 5–13 cm). One of the early patients in the series had a friction burn at the cannula site, which healed with a scar. Following this finding, subsequent patients had excision of about 1 mm margin of the skin rim at the cannula site before wound apposition with a stitch or two. We recommend this step because of the potential friction injury from the repeated movement of the metal cannula at the incision site. The liposuction procedure in one of our patients had to be aborted and converted to an open-excision biopsy because of limited aspiration. The lesion that had the preoperative fine-needle aspiration cytology reported as a benign was subsequently reported as fibrolipoma on histology of the specimen. The lesion was located in the midline of the posterior trunk of one of the male patients and had the clinical characteristics of a subcutaneous lipoma on physical examination preoperatively. All the other lesions (95.2%) were successfully aspirated through the liposuction device with the characteristic yellowish lipo-aspirate. The clinical features of a slowly growing, soft, and homogenous nontender, lobulated mass with positive slipping sign in the subcutaneous tissue plane is a characteristic of lipoma. These, in addition to a benign
FNAC report, are sufficient indications for the use of liposuction in its surgical treatment.

Despite the increasing application of liposuction for lipoma treatment in many developed countries,[11-17] there has not been any report of its use in the West African subregion. This may be as a result of the slowly evolving practice of cosmetic surgery.[25] The procedure is simple, with a short learning curve and potential benefits for all patients presenting with lipomas, especially those with multiple lesions and tendency for scarring. It is therefore important for plastic, dermatologic, and general surgeons to add liposuction to the armamentarium of care when counselling patients for its surgical removal.

Conclusion

Liposuction is an exciting technique, which is gaining rapid acceptance for the removal of unwanted fat deposits, whether localised as lipoma or generalised, and requiring body sculpturing. Although surgical excision of lipomas is still indicated, liposuction provides a safe, simple, and effective option in treating these lesions. Careful patient selection and benign cytology on fine needle aspiration should ensure a successful outcome.
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