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Abstract

We demonstrate that the thermodynamics of one-dimensional Lieb–Liniger bosons can be accurately calculated in analytic fashion using the polylog function in the framework of the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz. The approach does away with the need to numerically solve the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (Yang–Yang) equation. The expression for the equation of state allows the exploration of Tomonaga–Luttinger liquid physics and quantum criticality in an archetypical quantum system. In particular, the low-temperature phase diagram is obtained, along with the scaling functions for the density and compressibility. It has been shown recently by Guan and Ho (arXiv:1010.1301) that such scaling can be used to map out the criticality of ultracold fermionic atoms in experiments. We show here how to map out quantum criticality for Lieb–Liniger bosons. More generally, the polylog function formalism can be applied to a wide range of Bethe ansatz integrable quantum many-body systems which are currently of theoretical and experimental interest, such as strongly interacting multi-component fermions, spinor bosons and mixtures of bosons and fermions.
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1. Introduction

The one-dimensional Lieb–Liniger model [1] of interacting bosons is arguably the simplest Bethe ansatz integrable model [2, 3]. It is one of the most extensively studied many-body models of cold atoms\textsuperscript{3}. Experimental studies of cold bosonic atoms over a wide range of

\textsuperscript{3} See, for example, [4–7].
tunable interaction strength between atoms are in agreement with results obtained from the integrable model [8–10]. Moreover, the temperature dependence of this system provides a further experimental test [11] of integrable theory in the form of the Yang–Yang equation [12] in the weak coupling regime.

In principle, the Yang–Yang method gives exact results for the thermodynamic properties of integrable many-body systems at finite temperature [13]. However, depending on the particular model under investigation, the Yang–Yang method involves either a finite or an infinite number of coupled nonlinear integral equations, which hinders access to thermodynamic quantities from both the analytical and numerical points of view. It is a formidable task to extract exact low-temperature results for many-body systems of this kind.

Here, we further develop a polylog function method to derive the equation of state of homogeneous degenerate quantum gases of cold atoms in the framework of the TBA formalism. The polylog function method has recently been applied to the one-dimensional attractive Fermi gases of ultracold atoms [14–17]. The thermodynamics and full phase diagrams can be calculated analytically via this approach. For example, for spin-1/2 attractive fermions, the universal Tomonaga–Luttinger liquid (TLL) behaviour is readily identified from the pressure given in terms of polylog functions [14]. Here we investigate the thermodynamics of the Lieb–Liniger model for spinless bosons. The high precision of the equation of state obtained for strong interaction provides exact physical properties of this model at zero and finite temperatures. Following [14, 18], the universal TLL physics [19] follows with the help of Sommerfeld expansion in the low-temperature limit. It was recently shown [17] that dynamical critical exponents and correlation exponents can be read off the universal scaling functions for thermodynamic properties of one-dimensional fermions. As we shall see below, the polylog function method is also accurate, simple and convenient in the study of thermodynamics and quantum criticality of one-dimensional bosons.

2. Thermodynamics of one-dimensional bosons

The Lieb–Liniger model [1] is described by the Hamiltonian

\[ H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + g_{1D} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \delta(x_i - x_j) \]  

in which \( N \) spinless bosons, each of mass \( m \), are constrained by periodic boundary conditions on a line of length \( L \) and \( g_{1D} = \hbar^2 c / m \) is an effective one-dimensional coupling constant with scattering strength \( c \). We will be particularly interested in the low-density case, i.e. the dimensionless parameter \( \gamma = c/n \) is finitely strong, where \( n = N/L \) is the linear density.

It was for this model that Yang and Yang [12] introduced the particle–hole ensemble to describe the thermodynamics of the model in equilibrium, which is later called the thermodynamics Bethe ansatz (TBA), see [13]. In terms of the dressed energy \( \epsilon(k) = T \ln(\rho^h(k)/\rho(k)) \) defined with respect to the quasimomentum \( k \) at finite temperature \( T \), the Yang–Yang equation is

\[ \epsilon(k) = \epsilon^0(k) - \mu - \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{2c}{e^2 + (k - q)^2} \ln(1 + e^{-\epsilon(q)/T}), \]  

where \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and \( \epsilon^0(k) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k^2 \) is the bare dispersion. The dressed energy \( \epsilon(k) \) plays the role of excitation energy measured from the energy level \( \epsilon(k_F) = 0 \), where \( k_F \) is the Fermi-like momentum. The equilibrium states are described by the equilibrium particle
and hole densities $\rho(k)$ and $\rho_h(k)$ of the charge degrees of freedom, which are subject to the equation

$$\rho(k) + \rho_h(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{2c\rho(q)}{c^2 + (k - q)^2}. \quad (3)$$

The pressure $p(T)$ and free energy $F(T)$ are given in terms of the dressed energy by

$$p(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ln(1 + e^{-\epsilon(k)/T}) \quad (4)$$

$$F(T) = \mu n - \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ln(1 + e^{-\epsilon(k)/T}). \quad (5)$$

It is important to observe that the log term in the Yang–Yang equation (2) vanishes exponentially for a large quasimomentum $k > k_F$ at low temperatures. For $T \to 0$, the ground state properties are determined by the so-called dressed energy equation

$$\epsilon(k) = k^2 - \mu + \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dq \frac{\epsilon(q)}{(c^2 + k^2)^2} \left[-2kq + q^2\right]$$

$$\quad + \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dq \frac{\epsilon(q)}{(c^2 + k^2)^3} \left[-2kq + q^2\right]^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right). \quad (6)$$

The integration boundary $Q = k_F$ at zero temperature, i.e. $\epsilon(\pm Q) = 0$. The pressure is given by

$$p = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dk \epsilon(k). \quad (7)$$

This observation naturally suggests a Taylor expansion in powers of $1/c$ in the TBA (6). Here we take expansion in terms of $1/(c^2 + k^2)$ which gives nicer analytic properties and structures than the expansion in terms of $1/c$. But both expansions give the same final result

$$\epsilon(k) = \epsilon^0(k) - \mu + \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dq \epsilon(q) - \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dq \frac{\epsilon(q)}{(c^2 + k^2)^2} \left[-2kq + q^2\right]$$

$$\quad + \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-Q}^{Q} dq \frac{\epsilon(q)}{(c^2 + k^2)^3} \left[-2kq + q^2\right]^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right). \quad (8)$$

In the above equation, we consider the strong interaction regime, i.e. $c \gg k_F \sim n\pi$ or $\gamma \gg 1$. Note that the dressed energy is an even function, $\epsilon(-k) = \epsilon(k)$. By way of a straightforward but lengthy calculation with iterations through the pressure (7) and the condition $\epsilon(\pm Q) = 0$, we find the relation

$$\epsilon(k) = \epsilon^0(k) - \mu - \frac{2pc}{c^2 + k^2} + \frac{4\mu^{5/2}}{15\pi [c^2]} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) \quad (9)$$

which gives

$$E \approx \frac{1}{3} n^{3} \pi^{2} \left(1 - \frac{4}{\gamma} + \frac{12\gamma}{\pi^2} + \frac{2\gamma^2 - 32}{\gamma^3} \left(24 - \frac{8\pi^2}{5}\right)\right) \quad (10)$$

as a highly accurate expansion for the ground state energy per unit length (in units of $\hbar^2/2m$).

For strong repulsion, Lieb–Liniger bosons behave like ideal particles obeying fractional statistics [20]. The gas reaches the Tonks–Girardeau regime with two-particle local correlation $g^{(2)} = \langle \Psi^*(x)^2 \Psi^2(x)/n^2 \to 0$. Using the Hellmann–Feynman theorem, to leading orders the zero-temperature two-particle local correlation follows as

$$g^{(2)} \approx \frac{4\pi^2}{3\gamma^2} \left(1 - \frac{6}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left(24 - \frac{8\pi^2}{5}\right)\right) \quad (11)$$
which agrees with the result obtained from form factors of the sine-Gordon model in the nonrelativistic limit [21].

Turning to finite temperatures, the Yang–Yang equation (2) can be similarly expanded in powers of $1/c$ as

$$\epsilon(k) = \epsilon^0(k) - \mu - \frac{2c p(T)}{c^2 + k^2} - \frac{T^2}{2\sqrt{\pi c^3}} \left( \frac{\bar{\hbar}^2}{2m} \right)^2 \text{Li}_2^2(-e^{\mu_0}/T)$$

(12)

to $O(1/c^4)$ where

$$A_0 = \mu + \frac{2p(T)}{c} - \frac{4\mu^{5/2}}{15\pi |c|^3}$$

(13)

and

$$\text{Li}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^n}$$

(14)

is the standard polylogarithm function [22]. Integration by parts was used in the above calculation. The result is valid for the strong coupling and low-temperature regime, i.e. $\gamma \gg 1$ and $T/(\bar{\hbar}^2/c^2) \ll 1$, which covers the whole currently accessible experimental regime. The above Taylor expansion converges quickly. Numerical checking indicates that the accuracy is still very good for $\gamma \geq 6$. From the dressed energy (12), the pressure at finite temperatures follows in terms of the polylog function as

$$p(T) \approx -\frac{m}{2\pi \bar{\hbar}^2} T^2 \text{Li}_2^2(-e^{A/T}) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi c^3}} \left( \frac{T}{\bar{\hbar}^2} \right)^2 \text{Li}_2^2(-e^{\mu_0}/T) \right]$$

(15)

where now

$$A = \mu + \frac{2p(T)}{c} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi c^3}} \left( \frac{\bar{\hbar}^2}{2m} \right)^2 \text{Li}_2^2(-e^{\mu_0}/T).$$

(16)

Result (15) is essentially a high precision equation of state for Lieb–Liniger bosons. Figures 1 and 2 show an excellent agreement between the analytic result (15) and the result obtained by numerically solving the TBA (2) at finite temperatures.

We touch briefly now on some physics of the model. For the grand canonical ensemble, the two-particle local correlation can be obtained from the free energy per particle $f = F(T)/n$ as

$$g^{(2)}(x) = \frac{2m}{\pi n^2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma} \right)_{n,T}$$

[18, 23]. The results also allow exploration of the crossover from the universal Luttinger liquid regime to the decoherent regime where the linear dispersion in the low-lying excitations is destroyed. Considering the low-temperature limit, i.e. $T/(\bar{\hbar}^2/c^2) \ll 1$, the pressure per unit length with fixed $n$ is, to leading orders in $T$, given by

$$p(T) \approx p_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{8}{3\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{T}{\mu_0} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{20} \left( 1 - \frac{16}{3\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{T}{\mu_0} \right)^4 \right)$$

(17)

which follows directly by Sommerfeld expansion [18]. Here, we have ignored higher order corrections than $1/c$ in the temperature-dependent terms. In the above result, $p_0$ and $\mu_0$ are respectively the pressure and chemical potential at zero temperature (in units of $\hbar^2/2m$)

$$p_0 \approx \frac{2}{3} n^3 \pi^2 \left( 1 - \frac{6}{\gamma} + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} + \frac{(16\pi^2 - 80)}{\gamma^3} \right)$$

(18)
Figure 1. Pressure of Lieb–Liniger bosons versus interaction strength $c$ in natural units, i.e. $2m = \hbar = 1$. The curves show the comparison between results obtained using the polylog function and numerical solution of the integral equation.

\[ \mu_0 \approx n^2 \pi^2 \left( 1 - \frac{16}{3\gamma} + \frac{20}{\gamma^2} + \left( \frac{64}{15} \pi^2 - 64 \right) \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \right). \]  

Moreover, to leading order, the free energy follows as

\[ F(T) \approx E_0 - \frac{\pi C(k_B T)^2}{6\hbar v_c}, \]

where the central charge $C = 1$, $E_0$ is the ground state energy (10) and $v_c \approx \frac{\hbar n^2 a}{m} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{\gamma} + \frac{12}{\gamma^2} \right)$ is the sound velocity. Result (20) is as expected from conformal field theory arguments for a critical system, i.e. for a system with massless excitations. This implies that for temperatures below a crossover value $T^*$, the low-lying excitations have a linear relativistic dispersion relation, i.e. of the form $\omega(k) = v_c(k - k_F)$. If the temperature exceeds this crossover value, the excitations involve free quasiparticles with nonrelativistic dispersion. This crossover temperature can be identified from the breakdown of linear temperature-dependent specific heat. Figure 2 indicates this universal crossover at a temperature $T^* \sim 1$ (in units of $k_B$) from a relativistic dispersion relation to a nonrelativistic dispersion.

For this simplest of models, the chemical potential drives a quantum phase transition from a vacuum phase into a TLL phase at zero temperature. We now further refine the equation of state to map out the universal low-temperature quantum phase diagram.

3. Equation of state, scaling functions and phase diagram

For convenience in deriving the equation of state, we introduce an energy scale $\epsilon_0 = \hbar^2 c^2/(2m)$. Equations (15) and (16) can then be written in terms of the dimensionless quantities $\tilde{\mu} = \mu/\epsilon_0$ and $\tilde{T} = T/\epsilon_0$ as

\[ E := \frac{p}{\epsilon_0 c} \approx -\frac{\tilde{T}^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_2\left( -e^{\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{T}} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{\tilde{T}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_2\left( -e^{\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{T}} \right) \right], \]

and

\[ \tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\mu} - \frac{\tilde{T}^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_2\left( -e^{\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{T}} \right) + \frac{\tilde{T}^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_2\left( -e^{\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{T}} \right) \]
Figure 2. Finite temperature thermodynamics of Lieb–Liniger bosons in natural units, i.e. $2m = \hbar = 1$. The curves show the comparison between the results obtained from numerics, the polylog function and Sommerfeld expansion for the entropy and the pressure.

with $\tilde{A}_0 = \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T})$. After a straightforward calculation, the density and compressibility are given by

$$n \approx -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T})} \left[ 1 - \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) + \frac{T}{\pi} \text{Li}_1(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) \right] - \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi \sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) \right]^2,$$

$$\kappa \approx -\frac{c}{2c_0\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) \left[ 1 - \frac{3T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_1(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) + \frac{3T}{\pi} \text{Li}_1(-e^{\tilde{A}_0/T}) \right].$$

In this model there exists one critical point at $\mu = \mu_c = 0$, i.e. a quantum phase transition from the vacuum phase into a TLL occurs at $\mu = \mu_c$ at zero temperature. Near the critical point $\mu_c$, we find that the density obeys the universal scaling form [24]

$$n(T, \mu) - n_0(T, \mu) \approx T^{d_z + 1 - \frac{1}{\nu z}} f \left( \frac{\mu - \mu_c}{T^{\frac{1}{\nu z}}} \right),$$

for which the scaling function is $f(x) = -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^x)$ for $T > |\mu - \mu_c|$. Here the background density in the vacuum is $n_0(T, \mu) = 0$. For this model, we find that $d_z + 1 - \frac{1}{\nu z} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{\nu z} = 1$. Thus, the critical exponent $z = 2$ and the correlation length exponent $\nu = 1/2$ with system dimension $d = 1$ can all be read off the universal scaling form (25). Meanwhile, the compressibility satisfies the scaling form

$$\kappa(T, \mu) - \kappa_0(T, \mu) \approx T^{d_z + 1 - \frac{2}{\nu z}} Q \left( \frac{\mu - \mu_c}{T} \right),$$

with $Q(x) = -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Li}_\frac{1}{2}(-e^x)$ and $\kappa_0(T, \mu) = 0$. 
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Figure 3. Quantum phase diagram of Lieb–Liniger bosons. The plot shows the entropy in the $T - \mu$ plane. The right red-dashed line denotes the crossover temperature separating the TLL phase from the quantum critical regime (CR). The left red-dashed line separates the vacuum (V) from the quantum CR. For nonzero temperature, the vacuum produces particles with density $n \sim \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\mu|/T}$ with thermal wavelength $\lambda = \sqrt{m k_B T/2\pi\hbar^2}$ which is much smaller than the inter-particle mean spacing. The vacuum can thus be taken as a semi-classical regime.

Figure 4. Quantum criticality is mapped out from the density and compressibility at low temperatures. The left panel shows the compressibility versus chemical potential at temperatures $T = 0.001\epsilon_0$, $T = 0.003\epsilon_0$ and $T = 0.005\epsilon_0$. The inset shows the universal scaling function near the critical potential $\mu_c = 0$. The right panel shows intersecting density curves at the critical potential $\mu_c = 0$.

The equation of state (21) has been used to plot the universal low-temperature quantum phase diagram in figure 3. The vacuum state and the TLL persist below the two crossover temperatures. They both vanish at the quantum critical point, as described by the scaling functions. Following Zhou and Ho [24], the scaling functions for the density and compressibility can be used to map out the criticality of ultracold bosonic atoms in experiments. Figure 4 shows the detection of quantum criticality near $\mu_c = 0$ using either the density or
compressibility curves. We see clearly that such curves intersect at the critical potential $\mu_c$, indicative of scaling.

4. Concluding remarks

As highlighted in the introduction, the motivation for this work on one-dimensional bosons was the successful application of the polylog function method to the one-dimensional attractive Fermi gases [25, 26] of ultracold atoms up to order $1/c^2$ [14, 15]. Our key results are the equation of state (21) and the scaling forms (25) and (26) for the density and compressibility. The resulting low-temperature quantum phase diagram in figure 3 is the simplest possible quantum criticality for quantum many-body systems.

In general, the polylog function method is widely applicable to one-dimensional many-body systems with quadratic bare dispersion or linear bare dispersion $\epsilon^0(k)$ in both attractive and repulsive regimes. The analytical polylog function method can thus play an important role in unifying the properties of attractive Fermi gases of ultracold atoms with higher symmetries. For example, the Yang–Yang method applied to the one-dimensional Fermi gas with attractive $\delta$-function interaction and internal spin degrees of freedom leads to equations which may be reformulated according to the charge bound states and spin strings characterizing spin fluctuations [15]. For strong attraction, the spin fluctuations that couple to non-spin-neutral charge bound states are exponentially small and can be asymptotically calculated [14, 15]. Thus, the low-energy physics is dominated by density fluctuations among the charge bound states. The full phase diagrams and thermodynamics of the one-dimensional attractive Fermi gases can be analytically calculated with relative ease using this polylog function method. For example, for spin-1/2 attractive fermions, the universal TLL behaviour was identified from the pressure given in terms of polylog functions [14]. Here we further extend the results obtained using this approach.

Following the procedure described in the previous section, we obtain the pressure $p(T) = p^b(T) + p^u(T)$ from the TBA equations for spin-1/2 attractive fermions [13, 27]. The superscripts $b$ and $u$ denote bound pairs and excess fermions. For studying quantum criticality of 1D attractive Fermi gas [17], a high precision equation of state is highly desirable. To this end, the high order of corrections in $T$ and $1/|c|$ are retained as

$$
p^b(T) = -\sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}} T^\frac{3}{2} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-e^{A_b/T}) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4|c|^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{T}{\bar{\hbar}^2 m} \right) \frac{3}{2} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-e^{A_b/T}) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right)
$$

$$(27)$$

$$
p^u(T) = -\sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}} T^\frac{3}{4} \text{Li}_{3\frac{1}{2}}(-e^{A_u/T}) \times \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{|c|^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{T}{\bar{\hbar}^2 m} \right) \frac{3}{2} \text{Li}_{\frac{3}{2}}(-e^{A_u/T}) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right).
$$

$$(28)$$

Here we have defined the functions
\[ A_b = 2\mu + \frac{c^2}{2} - \frac{p^b(T)}{|c|} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}|c|^3} \frac{T^2}{(\frac{\hbar}{2m})^3} \text{Li}_2(-e^{A_0^b/T}) - \frac{4p^a(T)}{|c|} \]

\[-\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}|c|^3} \frac{T^2}{(\frac{\hbar}{2m})^3} \text{Li}_2(-e^{A_0^a/T})\]

\[ A_a = \mu + \frac{H}{2} - \frac{2p^b(T)}{|c|} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}|c|^3} \frac{T^2}{(\frac{\hbar}{2m})^3} \text{Li}_2(-e^{A_0^b/T}) + f_s \]

with

\[ A_0^b = 2\mu + \frac{c^2}{2} - \frac{p^b(T)}{|c|} - \frac{4p^a(T)}{|c|} \]

\[ A_0^a = \mu + \frac{H}{2} - \frac{2p^b(T)}{|c|} + f_s. \]

The spin string contributions to the thermal fluctuations in the physically interesting regime, \( T \ll c^2, T \ll H \) and \(|\gamma| \gg 1\), are given by \( f_s = T e^{-\frac{H}{T}} e^{-\frac{2p^b(T)}{|c|}} I_0(\frac{2p^b(T)}{|c|}) \), in which \( I_0 \) is the standard Bessel function.

The above results may be immediately applied to obtain accurate thermodynamic quantities such as the magnetization, specific heat and density profiles in a trapping potential. One can compare with and fit the experimental results obtained recently for trapped one-dimensional spin-1/2 fermions at Rice University [28]. Indeed, the experimental results confirm the expected phase diagram [27, 29–35].

On the other hand, for one-dimensional Fermi gases with repulsive interaction [36, 37], we understand that antiferromagnetic effective spin–spin interaction directly couples to the charge degrees of freedom [38]. This triggers a spin-charge separated field theory of a TLL and an antiferromagnetic \( SU(N) \) Heisenberg spin chain. With the help of Wiener–Hopf techniques and polylog functions, we can also obtain a high precision equation of state for such one-dimensional repulsive Fermi gases [39]. The application of this approach to the study of thermodynamics of other one-dimensional degenerate Bose and Fermi gases, such as the spinor Bose gases and to mixtures of bosons and fermions, is also relatively straightforward.

In general, the equation of state provides essential insight into the thermodynamics of interacting many-body systems. Schemes have been proposed to directly measure the equation of state in experiments with ultracold atoms [40, 41]. Moreover, new schemes for mapping out the thermodynamics [42] and quantum criticality [24] of homogeneous systems by using the inhomogeneity of the trap can be directly applied to one-dimensional quantum many-body systems with a wide range of tunable interactions.
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