Surgical site infections (SSIs) pose significant morbidity after colorectal surgery. We sought to document current practices in colorectal surgery SSI prevention in British Columbia (BC). Reporting the current provincial landscape on SSI prevention helps to understand the foundation upon which improvements can take place. We surveyed all BC surgeons performing elective colon and rectal resections, and 97 surveys were completed (60% response rate). Eighty-six per cent of respondent hospitals tracked SSI rates. The reported superficial SSI was less than 5% and the anastomotic leak/organ space rate was less than 10%. All respondents gave preoperative prophylactic antibiotics, with 24% continuing antibiotics postoperatively; 62% are using oral antibiotics (OAB) and mechanical bowel preparation (MBP) and 29% use MBP without OAB. Areas for improvement include OAB with MBP and discontinuing prophylactic antibiotics postoperatively, as recommended by the World Health Organization.

**SURVEY DESIGN**

All BC surgeons performing elective colon and rectal resections were invited to participate. Survey questions were based on WHO SSI guidelines as well as input from colorectal surgeons and a Harvard University Survey Research Methods expert (Dr. Thomas W. Mangione, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.: personal communication, 2017). Twenty-three questions were formulated to assess whether SSIs are monitored in BC hospitals, surgeon reported SSI rates, and use of SSI prevention measures (preoperative, intraoperative, postoperative). The online survey link was distributed on the Surgeons of BC listserv with anonymous, voluntary participation.

**OUTCOMES**

Ninety-seven surveys were returned, for a 60% response rate. Demographic location of respondents is shown in Figure 1: 81% were from teaching hospitals (17% academic, 64% community), 7% from community nonteaching hospitals and 12% from rural. Eighty-six per cent had a
hospital SSI tracking system, while 14% did not. Seventy-six per cent reported that their superficial SSI rate was 10% or less, and 79% said the anastomotic leak/organ space SSI rate was 5% or less (Figure 2).

**Preoperative practices**

Ninety-two per cent of survey respondents provide the following preoperative counselling: smoking cessation (83%), nutritional support (67%), tight glycemic control (55%), weight loss (8%), and physical activity (8%). For skin cleansing at home the night before surgery, 33% recommend chlorhexidine wipes/sponge, 25% antimicrobial soap, 20% plain soap, and 23% nothing.

For bowel preparation, 62% prescribe mechanical bowel preparation (MBP) with oral antibiotics (OAB), 29% MBP alone, 4% OAB alone, and 5% neither MBP nor OAB (Figure 3). All respondents reported they administer prophylactic intravenous antibiotics within 60 minutes of skin incision: 93% cephalexin and metronidazole, 4% cephalexin only, and 3% ceftriaxone and metronidazole. For operative site hair removal, 95% use hair clippers, 4% shave, and 1% do not routinely remove hair. For abdominal skin preparation, 98% use alcohol-based chlorhexidine, and 2% use povidone-based preparation.

**Intraoperative practices**

Colorectal procedures are done laparoscopically by 48% in 76%–100% of cases, by 24% in 51%–75% of cases, by 15% in 26%–50% of cases, by 13% in 1%–25% of cases, and by 1% in no cases (Figure 4).

Regarding use of fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 80% intraoperatively and 2 hours postoperatively, 56% of respondents were unaware of this recommendation, 21% followed this practice, and 24% did not. Sixty-two per cent reported the existence of glucose control protocols at their institutions, 28% said there were none, and 10%
did not know of any such protocols. Seventy-nine per cent reported using goal-directed fluid therapy, 16% did not, and 5% were not aware of the recommendation to do so. All respondents reported use of warming devices to maintain patient normothermia in the operating room: 71% always, 26% most of the time, 2% half the time, and 1% sometimes. A wound protector was used by 37% always, 28% most of the time, 6% about half the time, 10% sometimes, and 19% never.

Postoperative practices

Prophylactic negative pressure wound dressings are never used by 46% of respondents, by 45% sometimes, by 2% about half the time, by 3% most of the time, and by 3% always. All respondents use an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery or similar multidisciplinary protocol postoperatively: 68% always, 25% most of the time, 4% about half the time, and 3% sometimes. Seventy-six per cent reported they do not administer postoperative prophylactic antibiotics; however, 19% administer antibiotics for 24 hours, and 5% for more than 24 hours.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first Canadian survey on colorectal surgery SSI prevention measures. Eighty per cent of survey respondents described their hospital as a teaching facility. This distribution is in keeping with the majority of BC hospitals (77%) being training sites associated with the University of British Columbia general surgery residency program. It is important to understand our current landscape of practice to make quality improvements (QI).

Most surgeons follow WHO guidelines, including administering preoperative prophylactic antibiotics within 60 minutes of skin incision (100%), removing hair with clippers (96%), and preparing skin with alcohol-based antiseptics (98%). Strong evidence-based WHO guidance to use MBP in combination with OAB in bowel preparation was not followed by the 29% using MBP alone and the 24% using prophylactic antibiotics alone postoperatively, and therefore improvement is possible. Compliance with conditional WHO recommendations are more varied, as evidence is moderate to low for those practices.

Fig. 2. Survey responses to the question, “Considering resections you personally have done over the past year, what proportion develop superficial wound infections (blue bar) and what proportion develop anastomotic leak/organ space infection (orange bar)?”
Meta-analysis of 23 studies including 17,557 patients found significant reduction in overall, superficial and organ/space SSIs. The greatest SSI reduction resulted from “care bundles” that included MBP with OAB, use of a sterile instrument closure tray and glove change before closure. However, compliance with individual components varied widely (19%–99%). Moving forward, a compliance measurement strategy is necessary to implement a province-wide program.

The Illinois Surgical Quality Improvement Collaborative demonstrated successful implementation of a multifaceted SSI reduction bundle across 32 hospitals. Their strategy entailed guided implementation, data monitoring, a central coordinating centre providing telephone/email support, and a comprehensive Colorectal SSI Reduction Toolkit. By following an established QI structure they showed that higher compliance rates translated into lower SSI rates. Using these techniques across our varied landscape may prove as beneficial in reducing our SSIs.

With programs such as the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP), surgeons are capable of tracking their SSI rates and improving surgical care through use of risk-adjusted clinical data. There are currently 95 ACS-NSQIP hospitals across Canada, 23 of which are in BC. Although this represents 59% of BC hospitals, 86% of surveyors had SSI tracking programs. Further information regarding the nature of these programs is needed before adoption of a standardized province-wide program and implementing QI initiatives for colorectal surgery SSI reduction.

**CONCLUSION**

The majority of BC surgeons surveyed had an established SSI tracking program and reported low SSI rates. A majority followed WHO recommendations of prophylactic preoperative antibiotics, use of hair clippers and

---

**Fig. 3.** Survey responses to the question, “When thinking about bowel preparation, which of the following do you most often recommend to your patients?” MBP = mechanical bowel preparation; OAB = oral antibiotics.
alcohol-based chlorohexidine skin preparation. However, improvement is possible with standardized OAB with MBP and discontinuing postoperative prophylactic antibiotics. A province-wide SSI reduction program is possible through wide multidisciplinary collaboration using SSI tracking and iterative changes.
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**Fig. 4.** Percentage of colorectal cases performed laparoscopically in the past year.
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