Desirability Function in analytical method development for determination of glitazones and metabolites employing HF-LPME
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Thiazolidinedione, often shortened to TZD or glitazone, helps lower insulin resistance, which is the underlying problem for many people with type 2 diabetes. The two most known glitazones are pioglitazone (PGZ), with the brand name medicine Actos⁶, and rosiglitazone (RSG), which is Avandia⁶. This study presented a multivariate optimization in the microextraction procedure employing Fractional Factorial Design (FFD) combined with Desirability Function (DF) to determine TZD and metabolites in biological samples. Microextraction requires several parameters to be optimized; however, most of them still use univariate optimization. Finding optimum conditions by simple response is relatively simple, but the problems, in case of microextractions, are often more complex when it has more responses. For example, changing one factor that promotes one response may suppress the effect of the others. Thus, this multivariate optimization was applied for two bioanalytical methods for determination of TZD and metabolites, one by HPLC and other by CE, both using Hollow Fiber Liquid-Phase Microextraction (HF-LPME). The results establish the optimal values and elucidate how the factors that affect HF-LPME procedure perform in extraction efficiency for TZDs. Additionally, this study demonstrates that DF can be an important tool to optimize microextraction procedures.
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derivative of PGZ). The main PGZ metabolites found in human serum are M-III and M-IV, both pharmacologically active (with anti-hyperglycemic potency of about 40–60% of PGZ) (Muschler et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2003). The two major metabolites of RSG are N-desmethyl rosiglitazone (N-Dm-R) and ρ-hydroxy rosiglitazone (ρ-OH-R) (Cox et al., 2000). The specific P450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of RSG are primarily cytochrome 2C8 (CYP2C8), with minor contributions from CYP2C9 (Baldwin, Clarke, Chenery, 1999).

Hollow Fiber Liquid-Phase Microextraction (HF-LPME), described for the first time in 1999, is a sample preparation technique for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), capillary gas chromatography (GC), and capillary electrophoresis (CE). This microextraction has several advantages over other extraction methods: (1) it is very simple and inexpensive, (2) it provides excellent clean-up, (3) it leads to very high preconcentration, (4) carry-over problems are eliminated, (5) it is inexpensive, (6) it has low consumption of organic solvent, and (7) by applying a multi-stirrer, many samples could be extracted simultaneously (Rasmussen, Pedersen-Bjergaard, 2004; de Oliveira et al., 2008).

HF-LPME is an equilibrium process, which is influenced by important factors, such as the type of organic solvent, volume of donor and acceptor solution, extraction time, stirring rate, sample and donor pH, sample temperature, and the addition of salt. Several papers have optimized the HF-LPME conditions by employing successive variations in variables such as a one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT). Although it is well accepted, the process is relatively time-consuming and expensive for a large number of variables and frequently fail to predict the optimum condition. The major drawback of the OFAT approach is the lack of inclusion of the interactive effects among variables (Rasmussen, Pedersen-Bjergaard, 2004; de Oliveira et al., 2008; Magalhães et al., 2010; Magalhães et al., 2008; de Santana, Bonato, 2008; Cai et al., 2016). Experimental design allows estimation of the effect of several variables simultaneously. Fractional Factorial Design (FFD) enables identification of interactions between factors more accurately and can determine the effect of one factor among the other factors evaluated (Prado, Garrido, Periago, 2004; Nejad et al., 2010).

Derringer and Suich found the solution to optimize multiple responses by developing the Desirability Function (DF), which converts multiple responses into a single one, combining the individual responses into a composite function followed by its optimization. Therefore, in the case of multiple response optimization, DF can be employed, as it is the most critical and most widely applied multi-criteria methodology in analytical procedures (Candioti et al., 2014; Asgharinezhad, Ebrahimzadeh, 2016). However, only Asgharinezhad and Ebrahimzadeh (2016) have employed this function to optimize HF-LPME. Here, we aim to compare the FFD combined with DF in two different bioanalytical methods for glitazones: 1) RSG employing HPLC, and 2) PGZ employing CE, both using HF-LPME as sample extraction. Then, these results were compared with results already obtained experimentally (Calixto, Bonato, 2013; Calixto, Bonato, 2010).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents

PGZ and its metabolites (Keto-P and OH-P), and RSG and its metabolites (N-Dm-R and ρ-OH-R) were all obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada).

The reagents (analytical grade) employed in the capillary electrophoretic method were phosphoric acid, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, and sodium hydroxide, obtained from Labsynth (Diadema, SP, Brazil), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Spectrum (New Brunswick, NJ, USA), respectively. All solutions used as CE running buffer, and in the CE, rinse cycle procedure were filtered through a Milllex-HV 0.45 µm disk filter from Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA) and degassed by ultrasound for 5 min. Water was purified with a Milli-Q plus system (Millipore).

For the analytical procedure, HPLC-grade acetonitrile was purchased from Tedia (Fairfield, OH, USA) and acetic acid (HPLC-grade) was obtained from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). Water was distilled and purified using a Millipore Milli-Q-system (Milford, MA, USA).

For the HF-LPME procedure, n-octanol from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as extraction solvent. Sodium hydroxide and perchloric acid were from...
Spectrum (New Brunswick, NJ, USA) and Nuclear (São Paulo, SP, Brazil), respectively. Hydrochloric acid was acquired from Mallinckrodt (Xalostoc, Mexico). Sodium dihydrogen phosphate and sodium hydrogen phosphate were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Instrumentation and analytical conditions

CE procedure for PGZ and metabolites determination

CE experiments were performed on an Agilent CE system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany), model G1600A, consisting of an autosampler, a photodiode array detector (set at 190 nm), a temperature controlling system (4–60 °C) and a power supply able to deliver up to 30 kV. A CE ChemStation software was used for instrument control, data acquisition and data analysis. The uncoated fused silica capillary (Microsolv, Eatontown, NJ, USA) employed had 50 μm I.D., 48 cm total length, and 40 cm effective length. The electrophoretic conditions were described in a previous work (Calixto, Bonato, 2013).

HPLC procedure for RSG and metabolites determination

Analyses were carried out using a chromatographic system from Shimadzu Corporation (Kyoto, Japan). This equipment consists of two LC-10 ADvp pumps, an SPD-M10A vp UV/Vis detector (operating at 245 nm), a system controller SCL-10 A vp and a CTO-10 ASvp column oven. Class VP software was used to control the LC system and for data acquisition. Injections were performed manually through a 20 μL loop with a Rheodyne model 7725i injector (Cotati, CA, USA). This study used, the X-Terra RP-18 column (100 mm × 3.9 mm, 3.5 μm) with water: acetonitrile: acetic acid (85:15:0.5, v/v/v) as mobile phase, at 22 °C, under isocratic conditions, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detection at 245 nm. The chromatographic conditions were described in a previous work (Calixto, Bonato, 2013).

Multivariate optimization

To extract PGZ and RSG and their metabolites, basic analytes, a three-phase liquid-phase microextraction was selected. Experimental designs were conducted to evaluate the parameters that can interfere in the HF-LPME extraction. Based on the previous work already published, hydrochloric acid was selected as acceptor phase and octanol as organic solvent (Calixto, Bonato, 2013; Calixto, Bonato, 2010).

FFD with four factors and two levels (eight experiments) was used to optimize the other parameters that can interfere in the HF-LPME extraction: donor phase pH (Low level: 6.0 – High level: 10.0), acid concentration (mmol/L) in the acceptor phase (Low level: 10.0 – High level: 100.0), extraction time (min) (Low level: 15 – High level: 45), and sample agitation (rpm) (Low level: 1000 – High level: 1500).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection of separation conditions

The electrophoretic conditions employed in this study were: capillary dimension of 47.5 cm (40 cm effective length) and 50 µm I.D., capillary pre-conditioning for 7 min (0.1 mol/L NaOH for 2 min, water for 2 min, and running buffer for 3 min), applied voltage of 30 kV, capillary temperature of 35 °C, and detection at 190 nm. Sample introduction was carried out by hydrodynamic injection (50 mbar, 15 s). After eight runs, the running buffer was changed due to buffer depletion. This process presented in the Figure 1 and based on a previously published work (Calixto, Bonato, 2013).

The chromatographic conditions employed in this study were: mobile phase consisting of water, acetonitrile, and acetic acid (85:15:0.5, v/v/v). The acetic acid was added after it was degassed ultrasonically, at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detection at 245 nm, with the running time of 12 min, as presented in the Figure 2, and based on a previous work (Calixto, Bonato, 2010).

FIGURE 1 - Representative electropherogram of PGZ (Y1), Keto-P (Y2), OH-P (Y3), and RSG (Y4). RSG is the internal standard (IS). The optimized electrophoretic conditions were: uncoated fused silica capillary, 47.5 cm (40 cm effective length) × 50 m id.; hydrodynamic injection (50 mBar, 15 s), running buffer of 50 mmol/L phosphate buffer solution (pH 2.5); UV detection at 190 nm; capillary temperature of 35°C and applied voltage of 30 kV.
Multivariate optimization

Fractional Factorial Design

Full Factorial, Fractional Factorial, and Plackett-Burman designs, all of them at two levels for each factor ($k$), are the most widely used to select the factors because they are economic and efficient. FFD allows the evaluation of a relatively large number of factors in a small number of experiments (Candioti et al., 2014). FFD is often used to select the most important input factors and discard the insignificant ones. In addition, FFD can obtain the main effects plots, which are useful to understand how each factor affects the response of interest (Fukuda et al., 2018). In the context of this work, the main effects plots were used to set up the analytical conditions that provide higher recovery values for each of the analytes.

The results obtained for the FFD with four factors and two levels (eight experiments) for PGZ and metabolites are presented in the Table I. Those for RSG and metabolites with five factors and two levels (eight experiments) are in the Table II.

A model contour plot (2D plot) for HL-LPME optimization for PGZ and its metabolites as well as RSG and its metabolites were presented in the Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The contour plot is a 2D response representing the impact of the factors that influenced the HF-LPME extraction, and the response evaluated was the efficiency extraction (above 20%) for all analytes.

TABLE I - Independent (X1, X2, X3, and X4) and recovery factor extraction (%) - dependent variables (Y1, Y2, Y3, and Y4), fractionate factorial design matrix, and results of experimental design for pioglitazone (PGZ) and its metabolites (Keto-P and OH-P)

| Independent variables | Dependent variables |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| X1                    | X2                  | X3   | X4   | Y1   | Y2   | Y3   | Y4   |
| pH                    | HCl (mmol/L)        | Agitation (rpm) | Time (min) | PGZ  | Keto-P | OH-P | RSG |
| 6                     | 10                  | 1000 | 15   | 2.59 | 24.51 | 5.99  | 15.11 |

(continues on the next page...)
### TABLE I - Independent (X1, X2, X3, and X4) and recovery factor extraction (%) - dependent variables (Y1, Y2, Y3, and Y4), fractionate factorial design matrix, and results of experimental design for pioglitazone (PGZ) and its metabolites (Keto-P and OH-P)

| Independent variables | Dependent variables |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| **X1** pH | **X2** HCl (mmol/L) | **X3** Agitation (rpm) | **X4** Time (min) | **Y1** PGZ | **Y2** Keto-P | **Y3** OH-P | **Y4** RSG |
| 10 | 10 | 1000 | 45 | 4.98 | 4.25 | 5.30 | 4.53 |
| 6 | 100 | 1000 | 45 | 37.89 | 43.56 | 28.18 | 20.22 |
| 10 | 100 | 1000 | 15 | 0.00 | 7.77 | 21.83 | 20.31 |
| 6 | 10 | 1500 | 45 | 4.09 | 40.42 | 10.21 | 26.30 |
| 10 | 10 | 1500 | 15 | 22.94 | 13.88 | 16.09 | 10.53 |
| 6 | 100 | 1500 | 15 | 27.22 | 42.95 | 17.46 | 19.82 |
| 10 | 100 | 1500 | 45 | 0.00 | 16.73 | 29.38 | 28.52 |

### TABLE II - Independent (X1, X2, X3, X4, and X5) and recovery factor extraction (%) - dependent variables (Y4, Y5, and Y6), fractionate factorial design matrix, and results of experimental design for rosiglitazone (RSG) and its metabolites (N-Dm-R and p-OH-R)

| Independent variables | Dependent variables |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| **X1** pH | **X2** HCl (mmol/L) | **X3** Agitation (rpm) | **X4** Time (min) | **X5** NaCl (%) | **Y4** RSG | **Y5** N-Dm-R | **Y6** p-OH-R |
| 6 | 50 | 1750 | 20 | 0 | 50.43 | 13.06 | 26.93 |
| 8 | 50 | 1750 | 40 | 10 | 63.88 | 31.45 | 38.57 |
| 8 | 2 | 1750 | 40 | 0 | 8.50 | 3.44 | 3.12 |
| 6 | 50 | 1000 | 40 | 0 | 14.61 | 2.69 | 2.87 |
| 8 | 2 | 1000 | 20 | 0 | 15.74 | 9.75 | 8.26 |
| 6 | 2 | 1750 | 20 | 10 | 1.33 | 0.35 | 0.00 |
| 6 | 2 | 1000 | 40 | 10 | 28.28 | 15.28 | 20.58 |
| 8 | 50 | 1000 | 20 | 10 | 27.54 | 7.38 | 4.93 |
FIGURE 3 - Design space contour plot of PGZ (Y1 ≥ 20%), Keto-P (Y2 ≥ 20%), OH-P (Y3 ≥ 20%), and RSG (Y4 ≥ 20%) as function of pH (X1, 6 to 10), HCl concentration (X2, 10 to 100 mmol/L), agitation speed (X3, 1000 to 1500 rpm), and extraction time (X4, 15 to 45 min).

FIGURE 4 - Design space contour plot of RSG (Y4 ≥ 20%), N-Dm-R (Y5 ≥ 20%), and p-OH-R (Y6 ≥ 20%) as function of pH (X1, 6 to 8), HCl concentration (X2, 2 to 50 mmol/L), agitation speed (X3, 1000 to 1750 rpm), extraction time (X4, 20 to 40 min), and NaCl concentration (X5, 0 to 10%).
HF-LPME is basically a nonexhaustive extraction technique. In other words, the technique does not extract the total amount of analyte present in the sample but is based on a chemical equilibrium (Calixto, Bonato, 2010). For PGZ (black contour) and metabolites (Keto-P: orange contour and OH-P: pink contour), chemical equilibrium, under the optimized conditions, was reached in less than 15 minutes. The contour plot does not present significant change in the extraction efficiency when the extraction time is increased. There is no significant difference in the contour plot when extraction time and agitation are evaluated together. On the other hand, the pH and HCl concentration play an important role in extraction efficiency.

For RSG (blue contour) and metabolites (N-Dm-R: green contour and p-OH-R: brown contour), as the pH value increases, the extraction efficiency rose, mainly for N-Dm-R. The pH 8.0 permitted reduction of the extraction time, salt addition, agitation speed, and HCl concentration compared to pH 6.0, and with the same extraction efficiency. The same behavior was noted for HCl concentration. As the HCl concentration increased, the analytes protonation increased, and consequently, they presented lower affinity for organic solvent, increasing their concentration in the lumen of the membrane and resulting in higher extraction efficiency. Thus, we could obtain the same extraction efficiency, with reduced extraction time, added salt, agitation speed, and pH, when the HCl concentration increased from 2 to 50 mM.

DF is based on the obtainment of separate response surface models for each analyzed response. Their predicted theoretical values are used to calculate the individual desirabilities that are then transformed to a geometric mean (the overall desirability). The scale of the individual DF ranges between 0 and 1, while the most desirable response is the Desirability Value (D) that is equal to 1, and a completely undesired value D is 0 (Novaes et al., 2016). Figure 5 and 6 presents the D value for PGZ and its metabolites, and RSG and its metabolites, respectively. Even insignificant increase of extraction time, agitation speed, NaCl addition, and HCl concentration, promoted greater extraction efficiency for both methods.

RSG presented log D 2.43 at pH 6.0; 1.77 at pH 8.0; and 1.15 at pH 10.0; while for PGZ, the log D value was 3.33 at pH 6.0; 2.27 at pH 8.0; and 1.64 at pH 10.0. The same behavior was observed for their metabolites. This explains the higher efficiency extraction for lower pH values in CE procedure for determination of PGZ and metabolites, when evaluated with pH 6.0 and 10.0.

However, the same behavior for pH was not observed in HPLC procedure for determination of RSG and metabolites. It was assumed that RSG and its metabolites are close to the isoelectric point (6.42) in the pHs evaluated (pH 6.0 and pH 8.0). Considering that log D is not very different, this behavior could be explained according to the charge of the molecules. RSG presented charge of +0.43 at pH 6.0, and -0.94 at pH 8.0, and the same behavior was observed for its metabolites. The acceptor phase had HCl, a highly protonated medium, which could explain why higher efficiency was observed at pH 8.0 compared to pH 6.0. These values were obtained from Chemicalize.

Comparing to the works already published, extraction time and HCl concentrations were different, along with NaCl addition for the HPLC method, for the optimized factors obtained here, which is because the chosen factors were not just based on extraction efficiency (Calixto, Bonato, 2013; Calixto, Bonato, 2010).
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Microextractions have several parameters that must be optimized to achieve higher efficiency; however, most of works published still prefer to use univariate optimization, even knowing that multivariate optimization provides a better understanding of the factors involved. Thus, this work presented an excellent option to optimize microextraction techniques, considering that only one work has employed DF for HF-LPME optimization. FFD in combination with the DF presents an excellent alternative for optimization of sample preparation.
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