Rapid Disease Progression in a Patient with Advanced NSCLC Harboring a Germline MET Exon 14 Skipping Mutation: A Case Report

Abstract: MET exon 14 skipping variants have been identified as a novel type of oncogenic driver mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), while the germline MET mutation, especially germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation rarely occurred in NSCLC. Herein, we present the first case of a 33-year-old NSCLC patient with a germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation, who also harbored a somatic EGFR exon 20 insertion. The patient was initially diagnosed with a stage IIB adenocarcinoma. He underwent a thoracoscopic radical resection followed by four cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy but relapsed 2 months after completing the chemotherapy. Afatinib was then prescribed but disease progressed immediately. Subsequently, he received anlotinib but did not respond and died a month later with an overall survival of 9 months. Our case may provide an evidence for the pathogenicity of germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation in NSCLC and suggest it as an adverse prognostic factor.
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Introduction

MET activating mutations have been discovered in a variety of cancer types and demonstrated to play significant roles in tumor initiation, progression, and therapeutic resistance.1 Among them, a novel intronic mutation flanking exon 14 in MET, (also known as MET exon 14 skipping mutation) has been identified in NSCLC, which encodes spliced MET transcripts and results in a deletion of the juxtamembrane domain.2,3 MET exon 14 skipping mutation is an oncogenic mutation in NSCLC and responds well to MET inhibitors.4

Studies have also revealed that MET germline mutations are associated with the development of papillary renal carcinoma (PRC).5,6 However, they have rarely been discovered in NSCLC patients and little is known about their association with lung cancer, especially germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation.

Herein, we presented the first case of an NSCLC patient harboring the germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation, who experienced an exceptional rapid disease progress on the adjuvant chemotherapy and subsequent EGFR TKI afatinib treatment. We present the following case in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist.
Case Presentation

A 33-year-old male patient without a smoking history visited the hospital with cough for further treatment. The patient management is described in Figure 1. A chest computed tomography (CT) on August 28, 2019 showed a mass in the upper left lung and enlargement of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes. No distance metastasis was observed by positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT). On September 17, 2019, thoracoscopic radical resection of tumor tissue in the left upper lung and mediastinal lymph node dissection of the pulmonary hilum were performed. A Stage IIB (T2N1M0) adenosquamous carcinoma was diagnosed histopathologically. A tumor tissue sample was sent for genetic testing and an EGFR p.S768_D770dup was identified. After surgery, the patient received an adjuvant treatment of albumin-bound paclitaxel and cisplatin for 4 cycles. The following CT scan prompted no sign of recurrence or metastasis (Figure 1A).

Unfortunately, the patient experienced back pain two months later in March 2020. PET/CT (Figure 1B) showed progressive disease (PD) with an extensive hypermetabolic mass in bilateral lung, extensive hypermetabolic mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes, and bone destruction in the T8, T12 vertebral bone metastasis. A Stage IVB (T4N2M1c) disease was indicated.

The patient was subsequently treated with afatinib (40 mg oral, qd) but quickly developed PD after one month (Figure 1C). Afatinib was then discontinued and a CT-guided lung biopsy was performed. Anlotinib was given as an antiangiogenic therapy while waiting for genetic and pathological results. The pathological result of lung biopsy remains as an adenosquamous carcinoma. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) of the biopsied sample using an 168-gene LungPlasm panel (Burning Rock Biotech, Guangzhou, China) revealed the retaining of EGFR p.S768_D770dup and identified loss of function (LOF) mutations in RB1 and TP53 and a MET exon 14 skipping mutation. The MET exon 14 skipping mutation was subsequently validated as a germline variant (AF=49.55%) by Ugene panel (Burning Rock Biotech, Guangzhou, China) using white blood cells (WBC) (Figure 2). The patient quickly developed PD on bilateral lung metastases revealed by a chest CT (Figure 1D) on May 12, 2020. He died on June 8 due to respiratory failure with an OS of 9 months. All procedures performed in studies involving human participant were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committees, and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
Figure 1 A summary of patient's treatment history and CT images accordingly. (A) CT scan showed no recurrence or metastasis on Jan 28, 2020. (B) PET/CT scan showed PD in bilateral lung (left) with bone metastasis (right) on Mar 11, 2020. (C) CT scan showed PD in bilateral lungs on Apr 13, 2020. (D) CT scan showed PD in bilateral lungs on May 12, 2020.  
Abbreviation: PD, progressive disease.
Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case where a germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation was detected in an NSCLC patient. As mentioned above, MET germline mutations are more frequently discovered in hereditary RPCs, which are normally missense and located mainly in the MET kinase domain.\(^5,6\) Krishnaswamy et al\(^7\) has discovered that the majority of MET mutations are germline in lung cancers but still no skipping mutation was detected in this study. Although the patient in our case has no family history of RPC, NSCLC or other types of tumors, he had an early-onset age which might in part suggest the pathogenicity of germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation.

\(EGFR\) exon 20 insertions, including the p.S768_D770dup presented in our case, have been discovered in NSCLC patients, and most of them showed resistance to \(EGFR\) TKIs.\(^8\) Recently, Yang et al\(^9\) displayed that the median time to treatment failure (mTTF) of afatinib among 77 patients who harbored \(EGFR\) exon 20 insertions is 4.2 months (95% CI: 2.8–5.3 m) and a median duration of response is 11.9 months. However, our case displayed an explosive disease progression to afatinib treatment with only a one-month TTF, which might suggest the presence of additional resistance mechanisms. Krishnaswamy et al\(^7\) and other studies have proved that somatic \(EGFR\) mutations are not mutually exclusive with mutations in other genes such as MET, TP53 and RB1, which may confer other resistant mechanisms.

\(MET\) activating mutation may affect the efficacy of \(EGFR\)-targeted therapy in NSCLC patients. Tode et al\(^10\) reported a germline \(MET\) p.Asn375Lys (c.1125C>G) in four affected siblings with \(EGFR\)-mutant lung cancer. The study demonstrated that MET and EGFR could mutually compensate for the downstream signaling pathway in vitro and speculated the role of germline \(MET\) mutation in the pathogenesis of \(EGFR\)-mutant lung cancer. In addition, BENEFIT study\(^11\) has revealed that \(EGFR\) mutant NSCLC patients with concomitant oncogenic driver mutations (including \(MET\) mutation) showed inferior clinical outcomes to gefitinib treatment. This might in part explain why our case, who harbored the germline \(MET\) exon 14 skipping mutation, experienced the rapid disease progression to afatinib treatment.

Besides, synchronous inactivating mutations in \(RB1\) and \(TP53\) were also identified in the patient, which may also affect the treatment efficacy. Recent studies have concluded that a subset of \(EGFR\) mutant NSCLC would transform to small cell lung cancer (SCLC) acting as one of the resistance mechanisms to \(EGFR\) TKI.\(^12\) Concurrent \(RB1\) and \(TP53\) inactivating mutations is a molecular characteristic of SCLC\(^13,14\)
and concurrent EGFR/TP53/RB1 triple mutant lung cancers are at unique risk of histologic transformation. However, although the EGFR/TP53/RB1 triple mutant patient reported here progressed on afatinib so fast, no sign of SCLC histologic transformation had been detected pathologically before he died. This might suggest that molecular change happened in advance while the histology remained the same.

Furthermore, MET inhibitor was not available in China at the time when the patient underwent anti-tumor treatments and he died within a short time after the MET exon 14 skipping mutation being identified without targeted therapy. It is known that capmatinib has been subsequently approved by FDA in May 2020, which could have been a potential treatment for this patient.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we present the first case of an NSCLC patient with a germline MET exon 14 skipping mutation, who also harbored a somatic EGFR 20 insertion. The patient relapsed rapidly after the surgery and experienced exceptional explosive progression to afatinib treatment. Our study suggests the germ-line MET exon 14 skipping mutation might be involved in the pathogenesis of EGFR-mutant-NSCLC and be an adverse prognostic factor. Further investigations are required to validate the findings in our study.
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