A note on the multivariate generalization of a basic simple inequality
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Abstract

We introduce the multivariate analogue of the well known inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$, for an abstract non negative real number $x$. The result emerges from the study of the blow up time of certain solutions of the Cauchy problem for a particular ODE. It is also closely related to the notion of completely monotone functions and the theory of divided differences.
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1 Introduction

The basic inequality

$$1 + x \leq e^x, \quad (1.1)$$

where $x$ is non negative real number, is common in estimations and useful in applications, especially for (relatively) small values of $x$.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no multivariate analogue of (1.1). The establishment of such a generalization is the aim of this short note. In particular, we show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + x_i)^{a_i} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are pairwise distinct non negative real numbers and

$$a_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x_j - x_i)}.$$

We make the standard empty product convention, hence (1.2) becomes (1.1) when $n = 1$. Moreover, we show that the equality in (1.2) holds only for the case when one $x_i$ equals zero.

Unlike (1.1), (1.2) cannot be extended in the whole euclidean space, e.g., when $n = 2$, (1.2) is not defined for $(x_1, x_2) = (2, -2)$, it is not well defined for $(0, -1)$, and it does not hold for $\left(1, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$. 
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The generalized inequality (1.2) naturally emerges from the study of a specific autonomous ODE Cauchy problem. Such an ODE Cauchy problem is fundamental in Mathematical Biology, particularly for the study of one species growth in population dynamics. Knowing (1.2), a straightforward way can be employed for its demonstration. However, such a way is far from being elementary since it requires both the concept of completely monotone functions and the mean value theorem for divided differences.

In the present short note, we work as follows: In Section 2 we employ the ODE approach, i.e. we briefly study the corresponding Cauchy problem in order to show the existence of solutions that blow up in (finite) time (Section 2.1) and we then proceed by proving (1.2), while we scrutinize the bound of the blow up time of the aforementioned solutions (Section 2.2). The straightforward path is followed in Section 3, where we first present the preliminaries (Section 3.1), based upon which we obtain the desired inequality (Section 3.2). We conclude our analysis with Section 4, where we further generalize (1.2) in order to allow repetitions.

2 The ODE approach

2.1 The springboard

We consider the autonomous ODE Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dy}{dt}(t) = f(y(t)) = (-1)^{n+1}y(t) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k_i}y(t)\right) \\
y(0) = y_0,
\end{cases}
\]

(2.1)

where \(k_1, \ldots, k_n\) are pairwise distinct positive real numbers in ascending order. The standard logistic model, and the logistic model with strong Allee effect, see, e.g., [3], are well known representatives of (2.1) for \(n = 1\) and \(n = 2\), respectively.

From the classic theory concerning Cauchy problems for ODEs (see, e.g., [2]), we can easily deduce existence of a unique smooth maximal solution of (2.1),

\[y: (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } \varepsilon_{1,2} \in (0, \infty),\]

that depends smoothly on the problem’s data.

From the phase line, which is depicted in Figure 1, we get that

\[\begin{align*}
\frac{dy}{dt} & \quad 0 \quad k_1 \quad \cdots \quad k_{n-2} \quad k_{n-1} \quad k_n \\
\text{\(n \equiv 1 \mod 2\)} & \quad \text{\(n \equiv 0 \mod 2\)}
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
0 & \quad k_1 \\
\text{\(n \equiv 1 \mod 2\)} & \quad \text{\(n \equiv 0 \mod 2\)}
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 1: Phase line of (2.1).}
\end{align*}\]

- the sets

\[\{-\infty, 0\}, \{0\}, \{0, k_1\}, \{k_1\}, \{k_1, k_2\}, \ldots, \{k_{n-1}, k_n\}, \{k_n\}, \{k_n, \infty\}\]
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are (time) invariant, for which we have also utilized the uniqueness of \( y \), and

- \( y \) is
  \[
  \begin{cases}
  \text{negatively global (in time)}, & \text{when } y_0 < 0 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \\
  \text{positively global}, & \text{when } y_0 < 0 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\
  \text{positively global}, & \text{when } y_0 > k_n \\
  \text{global}, & \text{when } y_0 \in [0, k_n] .
  \end{cases}
  \]

It is only left to check the behavior of \( y \) when

1. \( y_0 < 0 \) and \( t \to \varepsilon_2^- \), when \( n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \)
   \( t \to -\varepsilon_1^+ \), when \( n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \)

2. \( y_0 > k_n \) and \( t \to -\varepsilon_1^+ \),

in order to close the qualitative analysis of (2.1).

1. If \( y_0 < 0 \) we split our analysis in two cases:
   
   (a) If \( n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \), then \( y(t) < 0 \) and \( f(y(t)) < 0 \forall t \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \), as well as \( y \) is monotonically decreasing. We then have
   \[
   t = \int_0^t dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} - \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} - \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \]
   \[
   = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right) dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right) dx, \ orall t \in (0, \varepsilon_2). 
   \]
   Hence
   \[
   \varepsilon_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{y_0} - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i}{x} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{y_0} - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i}{(-x)^{n+1}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{y_0} - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i}{(-x)^{n+1}} dx, \quad (2.2)
   \]
   since \( y \) is maximal. Now, from the inequalities
   \[
   \prod_{i=1}^n k_i > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right)} < 1, \ \forall x < y_0, \quad (2.3)
   \]
   we get the bound
   \[
   \varepsilon_2 < \int_{-\infty}^{y_0} - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i}{(-x)^{n+1}} dx = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i}{n(-y_0)^n} < \infty, \quad (2.4)
   \]
   i.e., \( y \) blows up in the future.

(b) If \( n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \), then \( y(t) < 0 \) and \( f(y(t)) > 0 \forall t \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \), as well as \( y \) is monotonically increasing. We then have
   \[
   -t = \int_0^t dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right) dx = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right) dx 
   \]
   \[
   = \int_{y(t)}^{y_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{x}{k_i} \right) dx, \ orall t \in (-\varepsilon_1, 0). 
   \]
Hence

\[ \varepsilon_1 = \int_{y_0}^{y_0} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{-x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - x)} \, dx = \int_{y_0}^{y_0} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{(-x)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{k_i}{x})} \, dx, \]  

(2.5)

since \( y \) is maximal. Inequalities (2.3) hold here also, thus we get the bound

\[ \varepsilon_1 < \int_{y_0}^{y_0} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{(-x)^{n+1} n(-y_0)} \, dx < \infty, \]  

(2.6)
i.e. \( y \) blows up in the past.

2. If \( y_0 > k_n \), then \( y(t) > k_n \) and \( f(y(t)) < 0 \ \forall \ t \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \), as well as \( y \) is monotonically decreasing. We thus have

\[ -t = \int_{\varepsilon_1}^{0} dx = \frac{y(t)}{f(x)} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_1}^{y_0} \int_{y_0}^{y(t)} dx = \int_{y_0}^{y(t)} - \frac{1}{f(x)} \, dx = \int_{y_0}^{y(t)} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{k_i} x)}{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)} \, dx = \int_{y_0}^{y(t)} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)} \, dx, \ \forall \ t \in (-\varepsilon_1, 0). \]

Hence

\[ \varepsilon_1 = \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)} \, dx = \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{k_i}{x})} \, dx, \]  

(2.7)
since \( y \) is maximal. Now, from the inequalities

\[ \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x^{n+1}} > 0 \ \text{and} \ 0 < \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{k_i}{x})} < \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0}\right)}, \ \forall \ x > y_0, \]

we get the bound

\[ \varepsilon_1 < \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0}) n y_0^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0}\right)} \, dx < \infty, \]  

(2.8)
i.e. \( y \) blows up in the past.

### 2.2 Derivation of the main result

With (2.2), (2.5) and (2.7) at hand, we proceed to the calculation of the exact blow up time of the pair of families of extreme solutions of (2.1), i.e. for \( y_0 < 0 \) and \( y_0 > k_n \).

First, we notice that the functions inside the integrals in the aforementioned relations need to be further analyzed:

1. We employ the partial fraction decomposition to write

\[ \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{-x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - x)} = A \frac{A_i}{x - k_i}, \ \forall \ x < y_0 < 0. \]  

(2.9)

For the calculation of the coefficients \( A, A_1, \ldots, A_n \) we study the consequent equality

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i = A \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - x) - x \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} (k_j - x), \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}. \]  

(2.10)
We set \( x = 0 \) in (2.10) to get \( A = 1 \). Moreover, we eliminate the coefficient of \( x^n \) in the right hand side of (2.10) to have

\[
(-1)^n \left( A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i = -1.
\]

Then, we fix an \( i_0 \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and we set \( x = k_{i_0} \) in (2.10) to get

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_j - k_{i_0}) = -k_{i_0} A_{i_0} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^{n} (k_j - k_{i_0}) \Rightarrow A_i = -\frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} k_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (k_j - k_i)}.
\]

2. In an analogous manner, we write

\[
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)} = B + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B_i}{x - k_i}, \quad \forall x > y_0 > k_n, \tag{2.11}
\]

and its consequent equality

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i = B \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i) + x \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x - k_j), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Dealing as above, we get

\[
B = (-1)^n, \quad B_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} k_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (k_j - k_i)} = (-1)^n A_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i = (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i = (-1)^{n+1}.
\]

Second, we employ (2.9) and (2.11) to calculate \( \varepsilon_2 \) or \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_1 \), respectively:

1. If \( y_0 < 0 \), we then have

\[
\int_{-y_0}^{y_0} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - x)} \, dx = \int_{-y_0}^{y_0} \frac{1}{x} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i}{x - k_i} \, dx = \int_{-y_0}^{y_0} \frac{1}{x} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i}{x} \, dx = \lim_{x \to \infty} \ln \left( x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i + x)^{A_i} \right) - \ln \left( -y_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - y_0)^{A_i} \right).
\]

Besides,

\[
\ln \left( x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i + x)^{A_i} \right) = \ln \left( x \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i}{x} \left( \frac{k_i}{x} + 1 \right)^{A_i} \right) = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{k_i}{x} + 1 \right)^{A_i} \right),
\]

hence

\[
\int_{-y_0}^{y_0} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - x)} \, dx = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{k_i}{-y_0} \right)^{-A_i} \right)
\]

and so

\[
\ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{k_i}{-y_0} \right)^{-A_i} \right) = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \\
\varepsilon_1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2.
\end{cases} \tag{2.12}
\]
2. Analogously, if $y_0 > k_n$, then
\[
\int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{x \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)} \, dx = \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B_i}{x - k_i} \, dx = \\
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \ln \left( x^{(-1)^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)^{B_i} \right) - \ln \left( y_0^{(-1)^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y_0 - k_i)^{B_i} \right).
\]

Since
\[
\ln \left( x^{(-1)^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - k_i)^{B_i} \right) = \ln \left( x^{(-1)^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{k_i}{x} \right)^{B_i} \right) = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0} \right)^{B_i} \right),
\]
we have
\[
\varepsilon_1 = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0} \right)^{B_i} \right).
\]

Now, all we have to do is to combine (2.4) and (2.6) with (2.12), as well as (2.8) with (2.13), to derive (1.2):

1. For $y_0 < 0$ we have
\[
\ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{k_i}{y_0} \right)^{-A_i} \right) < \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{n(-y_0)^n}
\]
and we set $x_i := \frac{k_i}{y_0}$ to get the desired result when $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are positive.

2. For $y_0 > k_n$ we have
\[
\ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0} \right)^{-B_i} \right) < -\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i}{ny_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0} \right)}
\]
and now we set
\[
x_i := \frac{k_i}{1 - \frac{k_i}{y_0}}
\]
to get the desired result also when $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are positive.

3. We notice that the equality in (1.2) holds when one $x_i$ equals zero. Since the strict inequality holds for positive values of all $x_i$, we deduce that the equality in (1.2) holds only when one $x_i$ equals zero.

3 The straightforward approach

3.1 Preliminaries

First we give the definition of completely monotone functions (see, e.g., [4]).

Definition 1. A function $f \in C^\infty((0, \infty))$ is (strictly) completely monotone iff
\[
(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \quad \left( (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) > 0 \right), \quad \forall (x, n) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}.
\]

A known example of strictly completely monotone function is
\[
f(x) := \frac{\ln(1 + x)}{x}, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty),
\]

since (see, e.g., [4])
\[
(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) = n! \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^n}{(1 + tx)^{n+1}} \, dt > 0, \quad \forall (x, n) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}.
\]
We note that from the classic Beppo Levi theorem of monotone convergence, or the Lebesgue (or Arzéla) theorem of dominated convergence, we get
\[(−1)^n \lim_{x \to 0^+} f^{(n)}(x) = n! \int_0^1 t^n dt = \frac{n!}{n+1}. \quad (3.2)\]

We also need a generalization of a well known result to higher derivatives, the mean value theorem for divided differences (see, e.g., [5], [6], or [1]).

**Theorem 1.** Let \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) be pairwise distinct real numbers, with
\[m := \min_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \{x_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad M := \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \{x_i\},\]

as well as \(f \in C([m, M]) \cap C^{n-1}((m, M)).\) Then \(\exists x_0 \in (m, M),\) such that
\[
[x_1, \ldots, x_n; f] := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x_i - x_j)} = \frac{f^{(n-1)}(x_0)}{(n-1)!}.
\]

### 3.2 Proof of the main result

We assume that \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) are pairwise distinct positive real numbers and we want to deduce that
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i)^{a_i} < e^\frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i,
\]
or, equivalently,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x_j - x_i)} < \frac{1}{n}, \quad (3.3)
\]

where \(f\) is as in (3.1). Employing Theorem 1, we have that \(\exists x_0 \in (m, M),\) such that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (x_j - x_i)} = (−1)^{n-1} \frac{[x_1, \ldots, x_n; f]}{(n-1)!} = (−1)^{n-1} \frac{f^{(n-1)}(x_0)}{(n-1)!}.
\]

Since \(f\) is strictly completely monotone, the function \(−1^n f^{(n-1)}\) is strictly increasing, thus \(−1^n f^{(n-1)}\) is strictly decreasing, which implies that
\[
\frac{(−1)^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(x_0)}{(n-1)!} < \frac{(−1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \lim_{x \to 0^+} f^{(n-1)}(x) = \frac{1}{n}
\]
and (3.3) then follows.

### 4 Allowing repetitions

In (1.2) the numbers \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) are distinct. Here we show how to deal with probable repetitions. An elegant approach relies on a proper scaling of (1.2). Indeed, for some natural numbers \(r_1, \ldots, r_n\) at hand, we directly deduce from (1.2) that
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (1 + \tau_{ij} x_i)^{a_{ij}} \leq e^\frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{r_i} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \tau_{ij},
\]
where $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \tau_{11}, \ldots, \tau_{nr}$ are real numbers, such that $\tau_{11} x_1, \tau_{12} x_1, \ldots, \tau_{nr} x_{n-1}, \tau_{nr} x_n$ are pairwise distinct non negative real numbers, as well as

$$m := \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$$
and
$$a_{ij} := \frac{\left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} \tau_k^{r_k} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r_k} \tau_{\ell k} \right) \left( \prod_{\ell=1}^{r_i} \tau_{\ell i} \right)}{\left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r_k} (\tau_{k\ell} x_k - \tau_{ij} x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{\ell=1}^{r_i} (\tau_{\ell i} - \tau_{ij}) \right)}.$$

Now, we make the least complex choice of numbers, namely we choose $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ to be pairwise distinct non negative real numbers and $\tau_{ij} = j$, and the above inequality then becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (1 + j x_i)^{a_{ij}} \leq e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i ! x_i^{r_i}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are pairwise distinct non negative real numbers, as well as

$$m := \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$$
and
$$a_{ij} := \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{r_i} \ell \prod_{k=1}^{r_k} x_k^{r_k}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r_k} (\ell x_k - j x_i)}.$$

The equality (4.1) holds only when one $x_i$ equals zero.
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