Perioperative Risk Factors and Predictive Model Proposal of Ultra-Fast-Track Failure and Prolonged Hospital Length of Stay in a Preliminary Protocol of Enhanced Recovery after Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting by Left Anterolateral Thoracotomy Approach (ERAS)
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**Abstract**

**Background:** The use of Ultra-fast-track (UFT) management in cardiac surgery may shorten hospital length of stay (Hosp-LOS) when is part of a protocol of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS).

**Methods:** We retrospectively analyzed the data of the patients undergoing elective off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (OPCAB) using UFT under a pilot program of ERAS at a Venezuelan nonprofit cardiac center from 2010 to 2014. The primary goal was to describe the short-term outcome of a consecutive case-series managed with desflurane-remifentanil-intercostals nerve block for OPCAB by Left-Anterolateral Thoracotomy and followed up by multidisciplinary enhance recovery pathway. The secondary goals were to identify perioperative predictors for UTF-failure and Hosp-LOS >4 days and try to propose a predictive risk model from the variables involved.

**Results:** 1,943 bypasses were performed on 673 patients. 61.5 ± 9.5 years old, EuroSCORE was 5.2 ± 4.1. 97.8% was exubated in Operating Room (UFT-success) and 2.2% exubated in the intensive care unit (UFT-failure). The reintubation rate was 0.5%. Patients had an Intensive Care Unit length of stay (ICU-LOS) of 29 ± 4.2 hours; 636 patients (94.5%) had ICU-LOS ≤ 24 h, 2.1% readmitted to ICU. The Hosp-LOS after discharge from ICU was 50.5 ± 9.9 hours, 633 (94.1%) had Hosp-LOS ≤ 4 days. Univariate analysis revealed as independent risk factors for UFT-failure: age, female sex, EuroSCORE, Severity of Angina Pectoris, EF <30%, Redo, COPD, PRBC transfusion, use of elective IABP and duration of surgery (t-Qx). However, multivariate logistic regression analysis and backward elimination method found as strong risk factors for UTF-failure: transfusion of ≥ 2 PRBC Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR=6.02) (95%CI) (p<0.05), t-Qx 3-4 hrs, (AOR=77.3)(95%CI) (p <0.001) and t-Qx > 4 hrs, (AOR=157.5) (95%CI) (p <0.001). Univariate analysis revealed as independent risk factors for Hosp-LOS >4 days: Age>80 y-old, female sex, NYHA III, EuroSCORE, severity of Angina pectoris, EF <30%, Redo, renal failure, IABP, PRBC transfusion, UFT-failure, t-Qx and ICU-LOS (p<0.001). Multivariate logistic regression analysis and backward elimination method found as strong risk factors for Prolonged Hosp-LOS (>4 days): Redo (AOR=7.68) (95%CI), t-Qx>3 hrs (AOR=74) (95%CI) (p<0.001) and ICU-LOS >24 hrs (AOR=29.3) (95%CI) (p<0.001).

**Conclusions:** As most patients were exubated in the Op.Room, had short ICU-LOS (<24 h) and short Hospital-LOS (≤ 4 days), UTF appears to have clinical benefits in this setting. In general, age>80 y.o., female sex, severity of EuroSCORE and ≥ 2 PRBCs transfusion were risk factor for UTF-failure and prolonged Hosp-LOS. However, the stronger predictive factors for UTF-failure and Hosp-LOS>4 days were transfusion of ≥ 2 PRCB, Redo, duration of surgery >3 h and ICU-LOS >24 h. Prospective studies would better elucidate the risk factors for longer Hospital-LOS and attendant morbidities.
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Methods

With institutional review board approval, we collected retrospective data of consecutive patients who had undergone OPCAB between June 2010 and December 2014 and met the criteria for extubation-in-Op. Room and followed up by an adapted ERAS protocol at a nonprofit cardiovascular surgery center (Fundacardio Foundation, Valencia, Venezuela), emergencies, and pediatric procedures were excluded. The ethics committee of the hospital provided us with a coded database for the retrospective review following strict compliance with the regulations of personal data protection.

Data collected included patient demographics and co-morbidities, European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation (EuroSCORE) and New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, whether patients were extubated in the Op.Room (UFT-success) or ICU (UFT-failure), intraoperative and postoperative complications, ICU-LOS, Hosp-LOS, and mortality at 30 days.

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS)

Since the end of 2009 year, our team began to implement and testing a preliminary multidisciplinary program of structured perioperative support to provide early recovery after OPCAB in elective patients as complement of UFT protocol. The objective of the early recovery pathway was to introduce a standard multimodal approach, including preoperative patient and family education, psychological and nutrition support, opioid-sparing pain management, early and conducted mobilization, early feeding as possible and standardized drains management. Elements of the early recovery pathway used a specific physician and surgeon orders, patients-adapted cardiologic orders, daily nursing records and patient education booklet. The team was led by a surgeon (full-time coordinator) and an anesthesiologist, also included a cardiologist, ICU physician, expertise in nursing (inpatient and outpatient), a full-time outpatient nursing coordinator, physiotherapy, pharmacy, pain service, nutrition services. Protocol is summarize in Supplementary 1.

Anesthesia protocol

The preanesthetic evaluation was scheduled after two previous ERAS-training interviews, as minimum. During the pre-anesthetic interview, we reviewed the patient's medical records, identify comorbidities and chronic medications, evaluate anesthetic risk, and stratify by EuroSCORE and NYHA functional class, and perform a physical exam. If it necessary, call internist, cardiologist or pulmonologist to optimized any pre-existing co-morbidity. Ask if the patients and family were properly informed and trained by ERAS team and check any doubt about perioperative information booklet. Then, we ask for the informed consent.

Prior to the surgery, routine medications are suspended as follows: Clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor are suspended 7 days before surgery; dabigatran, rivaroxaban or apixaban, 5 days before surgery; aspirin, the day before surgery; and eptifibatide or tirofiban, 12 hours before surgery. Warfarin is suspended 5 days before surgery and the international normalized ratio is monitored until the target of ≥ 1.5 is reached. Enoxaparine or another low molecular weight heparin is used when an anti-agregant, anti-platelet, or anticoagulant is suspended; the last heparin dose is administered 24 hours before surgery. Pre-medication consists of oral benzodiazepine, midazolam 7.5 mg the night before surgery with 100-150 ml of Ensure Clear® (Abbott Nutrition Products) a fat-free flavored nutrition clear liquid after dinner (regular diet until 9 pm for the morning schedule patients, and 6 am for afternoon schedule patients) and gabapentin 600 mg two hours before surgery with 100 ml of G2 Gatorade® (PepsiCo) or Powerade® (Coca-ColaCo).

The goal of the anesthetic plan was immediate extubation in the Op.Room. On arriving in the Op.Room, a peripheral intravenous (IV)
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line is catheterized in the right upper limb, standard ASA monitoring is started, and an arterial line is catheterized into the right radial artery for invasive blood pressure (IBP) monitoring. After facemask oxygenation with a FiO₂ of 100% for 5 minutes, induction of anesthesia is initiated with remifentanil at 0.15-0.2 µg/kg/min IV infused by a volumetric pump and titration of propofol at 1-1.5 mg/kg IV. When eyelash loss reflex is achieved and bispectral index is about 50-55, rocuronium bromide doses are administered at 0.6 mg/kg IV, and the patient is intubated when one response or less to train of four (TOF) is achieved. Trans-esophageal echocardiography (TEE) is then used to assess standard ASA views and identify any new feature or cardiac issue. The left subclavian vein is catheterized and central venous pressure is checked. The procedure then moves to the left pulmonary exclusion with a bronchial blocker guided by a flexible fiberoptic under 90% oxygen to facilitate the surgical approach. Ventilation monitoring includes basic parameters of mechanical ventilation and pressure-volume and flow-volume loops. If selective ventilation cannot be established or the patient cannot tolerate OLV, the left lung is gently compressed with a laparotomy sponge. Maintenance of anesthesia is accomplished with desflurane 0.5-0.8 MAC (ET. vol%) to maintain the bispectral index between 40 and 60, a variable dose of remifentanil infusion to maintain hemodynamic stability (IBP and PR ± 10%-15% of pre-anesthesia induction value), and ketamine 0.15 mg/kg/h IV. Muscle relaxation (by monitoring post-tetanic count stimulation) is maintained at a profound to intense level with additional rocuronium doses at 0.3 mg/kg IV. For adequate control of body temperature, we use an underbody thermal blanket, administer normothermic endovenous fluids using a fluid warmer, and turn off air-conditioning in the surgical room. Corporal temperature is measured by a continuous nasopharyngeal, bladder, and rectal thermometer.

**Other protocols**

The blood transfusion trigger is hemoglobin (Hb) ≤ 8/dL. Transfusion management is determined by hematocrit (Hct), ventricular function, oxygen saturation of central vein blood (ScvO₂), serum lactate, and co-morbidities, and the goal is to keep a Hct above 20%-25% in patients with normal hemodynamic parameters (ScvO₂ >70 mmHg, and serum lactate <2 mEq/L); but above 30% in patients with compromised hemodynamics, poor ventricular function (EF ≤ 30%), a new regional wall motion abnormality (RWMA), ScvO₂ ≤ 70 mmHg, and serum lactate >3 mEq/L. Hourly urine output is registered through a Foley catheter. Anticoagulation therapy is performed at a heparin dose of 1.2 mg/kg IV to achieve an activated clotting time target of 300 ± 20 seconds. A tranexamic acid bolus 10 mg/kg IV is followed by 1 mg/kg/h IV, and finally, heparin is reversed with protamine sulfate IV, which is calculated at a dose of 1 mg per every 100 units of heparin.

**Surgical technique**

The myocardial revascularization is performed on the beating heart by the LAT using a tissue stabilization system (Figure 1). The patient is positioned with the left side elevated at approximately 45°, rotated decubitus toward the right with the help of a rolled towel. External pads for emergency debrillation are placed on the right supra-anterior and left infero-posterior sides of the chest wall, and the incision is carried out on the fourth or fifth left intercostal space. The left internal mammary artery (LIMA) is harvested under direct visualization in a skeletonized fashion using a Finochietto retractor. If needed, the right internal mammary artery (RIMA) is harvested after the pericardial fat and thymus are carefully removed. When required, proximal graft anastomoses are performed using a side-bite partial clamp in the conventional fashion. The distal anastomoses are made on the beating heart using a pressure stabilizer and intracoronary shunt whenever possible. For the grafting of the circumflex and right coronary territory, the heart is lifted out of the pericardium using an apical suction device [10]. Maintaining myocardial perfusion during the anastomosis is crucial since ventricular tachycardia or hemodynamic instability caused by myocardial ischemia could lead to an emergency conversion to sternotomy [8-12,16]. Before leaving the Op.Room, fentanyl 0.5-1.0 µg/kg is administered if necessary.

**Criteria for extubation in the Op.Room**

Five respiratory criteria must be met no longer than 40 minutes for a patient to be eligible for extubation in the Op.Room:

**Respiratory criteria:** 1. SpO₂ >92% or PaO₂ >60 mmHg; 2. Spontaneous RR >8 bpm; 3. ETCO₂ <50 mmHg or PaCO₂ <55-60 mmHg; 4. Rapid shallow breathing index <105 (RSBI=RR/Vt); 5: No potential for difficult extubation (e.g. airway edema, difficult intubation). Other mandatorily requirements to meet for trying extubation in the Op.Room: 6. Muscle relaxation status: TOF >0.9. (spontaneously, or sugammadex 2 mg/kg)

Neurologically intact (follows verbal commands, intact cough/gag reflex),
Acid-base status (pH >7.35), normal electrolytes.

Hemodynamic status (IBP and PR ± 15-20% of baseline, non-threatening arrhythmias, without evident bleeding (<50 ml/h), and urinary output >0.5 ml/kg/h. Use of inotrope/vasopressor therapy is not an absolute contraindication. However, extubation is avoided if the
vasoactive-inotropic score (VIS) ≥ 10.16

Normothermia (body temperature 36°C-37°C) is maintained during the entire surgical procedure.

Pain management (visual analog scale ≤ 4/10): Intercostals nerve block performed by surgeon with 20 ml bupivacaine 0.5% and 4 mg of dexametasone at the level of the surgical approach, one level above and below the intercostal space used for the surgery. A multi-perforated epidural catheter through the incision and positioned in the involved intercostal space to administer a programmed continuous infusion of bupivacaine 0.5% at a rate of 10 ml/h for the first 48 h. Rate its modified according a numeric pain rating scale. NSAIDS: ketoprofen 100 mg IV and paracetamol 1 g IV are also used. Muscle relaxants are reversed with sugammadex at 2 mg/kg IV. Desflurane and a remifentanil infusion are discontinued, and the patient is ventilated with 100% oxygen. Before extubation, the patient is then transported to the ICU in a 35° sitting position, with a 50% O₂ face mask.

Postoperative care

The patient is delivered to the ICU conscious, capable of responding to simple orders, and possibly with mild pain (VAS <4/10). For rescue pain relief, morphine is administered by patient-controlled analgesia consisting of a 1 mg bolus of morphine with a 15-minute lockout and maximal dose of 3 mg/h. All infusions are checked. If the vital parameters are acceptable, cardiovascular drugs are gradually reduced. If the left lung is well re-expanded and tubes have stopped draining <200 ml/24 h (Supplementary 1), they are removed 12-24 hours postoperatively. If the routine blood tests and chest X-ray are normal, a decision is made to discharge from the ICU. After ICU discharge, pain control is achieved with around-the-clock oral ibuprofen 400 mg each 8 hours and paracetamol 1 g each 6 hours, along with omeprazole 40 mg/day. The patient is then transferred to continuous cardiac telemetry monitoring and the appropriate cardiovascular medications are administered. The intercostal catheter is discontinued 4-6 h before hospital discharge, and tramadol drops (0.5-1 mg/kg each 6-8 hours) are used as rescue pain relief.

Once discharged, the patient is given the telephone number of a surgical team member (surgeon coordinator) in case there are any symptoms or complications. During ERAS interview before the surgery, the patient and family would have been taught how to perform respiratory exercises and manage wounds, and the patient, encouraged to start walking as soon as possible (Supplementary 1). A team of nurses performs daily at-home wound care for a week. The patient is then followed with regular outpatient appointments with either the surgeon or cardiologist at 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks postoperatively.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS,Chicago,IL,USA). Descriptive statistic: Demographic and clinical variables were described by means, standard deviations, median, mode, ranges (min-max) for continuous variables, and absolute and relative frequency (%) for categorical variables. Many of the variables were presented as dichotomous. Age was redefined as a dichotomous variable using the cut-off of 80 years, to calculate odds ratios for age.

Inferential statistic: to look for predictors (risk factors) for UTF-failure and prolonged Hosp-LOS, defined as >4 days. 2nd Step) Estimation of Adjusted OR (AOR): Multivariate binary logistic regression model was used including all significant variables (p <0.05 or with a statistic tendency, p<0.1) obtained in 1st step. 3rd Step) Backward elimination method is to identify the independent variables with enough explanatory ability. (4th Step) ROC curve analysis, calculation of AUC (95% CI with initial cut-off point of p=0.5) and criterion for selection of the optimum cut-off points by Youden's index to illustrate the diagnostic ability of decision making rule of both predictive models, for UTF failure and Hosp-LOS >4 days.

The alpha level was set at p <0.05(5%) and power at 80%.

Results

A total of 673 consecutive patients who were 518 male (77%), 155 female (23%) and a mean age of 61.5 ± 9.5 years old (y.o), median 61 y.o (range, 36-90 years) were included in the study. All had undergone OPCAB by the same surgical, anesthetic, and critical care team. The mean EuroSCORE was 5.2 ± 4.1 (range, 0-17). The NYHA functional classification was Class I, 72 patients (10.7%); Class II, 385 (57.2%); Class III, 150 (22.3%) and Class IV, 66 (9.8%). The mean ejection fraction (EF) was 52 ± 10 %, 335 patients (49.8%) of patients had EF >50% and 41 patients (6.1%) had EF <30%. Coronary artery disease affected three vessels in 580 patients (86.2%); within this group, 57 (8.4%) required four or more grafts. A majority (546, 81.1%) were diagnosed with hypertension and 412 (61.2%) were taking two or more antihypertensive medications. A total of 456 (67.7%) had hyperlipidemia and close to half (41%) had diabetes mellitus type 2. None patient had DM tipo I. 168 patients had obesity with BMI 30-37 Kg/m², the majority were Class I (BMI 30-34 Kg/m²) following the World Health Organization classification. There were 215 (31.9%) with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Twice as many (446, 66.3%) smoked 10 or more cigarettes per day. Nearly half had experienced a previous myocardial infarction (Table 1).

In all, 1,943 bypasses were performed with an average of 3 ± 1 bypass grafts per patient. The approach was by LAT in 653 patients (97%) and posterolateral thoracotomy in 20 (3%). 91.4% of patient had a surgery time (t-Qx) ≤ 3 h and 58 patients (8.6%)>3 h. Sixty-six patients (9.8%) received elective intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) because of having met two or more of following conditions: left main stem disease, unstable angina pectoris, myocardial infarction ≤ 30 days, redo, and EF ≤ 30%. No cases converted to sternotomy. Three patients required hemodynamic support by femoro-femoro cardiopulmonary bypass (CBP) although the beating heart was kept during coronary arteries bypasses placement (Table 1).

Postoperative outcomes

Nearly all patients (658, 97.8%) were extubated in the Op.Room whereas only 15 (2.2%) were extubated later in the ICU (UFT-failure), for the most part because of bleeding. Three patients (0.5%) were reintubated within the first 72 h in the ICU. The mean ICU-LOS was 29 ± 4.2 h, mode 20 h (range, 8-480 h), and nearly all patients (636, 94.5%) were in the ICU for less than 24 h. The ICU readmission rate was 2.1% (14 patients). Three patients were readmitted twice, and one patient three times; all four died. The most common reasons for ICU readmission were pneumonia (9 patients), sepsis (9), acute respiratory distress syndrome (6), and multiorgan failure syndrome (5). The mean of Hosp-LOS after discharge from the ICU was 50.5 ± 9.9 hours, mode 48 hrs (range, 22-528 hours).

633 patient had Hosp-LOS ≤ 4 days (94.1%) and 5.9% with...
|                | Total     |
|----------------|-----------|
|                | N (%)/mean ±sd |
| Patients       | 673 (100)             |
| AGE (y.o)      | 61.5 ± 9.5             |
| GROUP AGE      | <=80 y 641 (95.2)     |
|                | >80 y 32 (4.8)         |
| GENDER         | Male 518 (77)          |
|                | Female 155 (23)        |
| SMOKER         | No 227 (33.7)          |
|                | Yes 446 (66.3)         |
| NYHA           | Class I 72 (10.7)      |
|                | Class II 385 (52.7)    |
|                | Class III 150 (22.3)   |
|                | Class IV 66 (9.8)      |
| CAD            | 1 vessel 40 (5.9)      |
|                | 2 vessels 53 (7.9)     |
|                | 3 vessels 580 (86.2)   |
| LEFT MAIN STEM | No 547 (81.3)          |
|                | Yes 126 (18.7)         |
| A. P(CCS)      | Grade III 355 (52.7)   |
|                | Grade IV-A 144 (21.4)  |
|                | Grade IV-B 127 (18.9)  |
|                | Grade IV-C 47 (7.0)    |
| HTA            | No 127 (18.9)          |
|                | Yes 546 (81.1)         |
| LV FUNCTION (EF) | >50% 335 (49.8)  |
|                | 30%-50% 297 (44.1)     |
|                | <30% 41 (6.1)          |
| MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION | No 342 (50.8) |
|                | Yes 331 (49.2)         |
|                | PCI 148 (21.9%)        |
| PRE-STROKE     | No 617 (91.7)          |
|                | Yes 56 (8.3)           |
| REDO           | No 645 (95.8)          |
|                | Yes 28 (4.2)           |
| PAD            | No 448 (66.6)          |
|                | Yes 225 (33.4)         |
| DM II          | No 397 (59.0)          |
|                | Yes 276 (41.0)         |
| HYPERLIPIDEMIA | No 217 (32.2)          |
|                | Yes 456 (67.8)         |
| OBESITY (BMI>30 WHO) | No 505 (75.0) |
|                | Yes 168 (25.0)         |
| RENAL.F (Creatinine>2.0 mg/dl) | No 568 (94.4) |
|                | Yes 105 (15.6)         |
| COPD (GOLD scale) | no 458 (68.1) |
|                | (FEV<70%, FEV<80%) 1 128 (19.0) |
|                | (FEV<70%, FEV 50%-80%) 2 87 (12.9) |
| PRBC           | 0 561 (83.4)           |
|                | 1 74 (11.0)            |
|                | >=2 38 (5.6)           |
| IABP           | No 607 (90.2)          |
|                | Yes 66 (9.8)           |
| EUROSCORE      | 5.2 ± 4.1             |
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| RISK EUROSCORE | Low  | 374 (55.6) |
|-----------------|------|------------|
| Medium          | 239  | 35.5       |
| Severe          | 60   | 8.9        |
| t-Qx <=3 h      | 615  | 91.4       |
| 3-4 h           | 48   | 7.1        |
| >4 h            | 10   | 1.5        |

| EXTUBATION      | UFT-success | 658 (97.8) |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| UFT-failure     | 15          | (2.2)      |

| ICU-LOS         | <=24 h | 636 (94.5) |
|-----------------|--------|------------|
| 24-72 h         | 17     | (2.5)      |
| >72 h           | 20     | (3.0)      |

| HOSP-LOS        | <=4 d | 633 (94.1) |
|-----------------|-------|------------|
| >4 d            | 40    | (5.9)      |

| Qx-Approach      | ALT   | 653 (97%)  |
|------------------|-------|------------|
|                  | PLT   | 20 (3%)    |

| Coronary vessel targets: |
|--------------------------|
| Left anterior descending |
| Obuse marginal           |
| Diagonal branches of LCA |
| Posterior descending     |
| Circumflex               |
| Right coronary           |
| Left main stem           |

| GRAFTS:          |
|------------------|
| Saphenous vein   | 1123 |
| LIMA             | 644  |
| Radial artery    | 109  |
| RIMA             | 67   |

| Rescue surgical procedures: |
|-----------------------------|
| Femoro-femoral CPB          | 3 (0.4%) |
| Conversion to sternotomy    | 0 |

| Complications:             |
|---------------------------|
| Pulmonary infection        | 18 (2.7%) |
| Arrhythmia (AF)            | 16 (2.4%) |
| Reoperation for bleeding   | 13 (1.9%) |
| Death                      | 11 (1.6%) |
| Low CO syndrome            | 8 (1.2%)  |
| Acute renal (non-HD)       | 6 (0.9%)  |
| Stroke                     | 6 (0.9%)  |
| Myocardial infarction      | 4 (0.6%)  |

Table 1: Short-Time Outcome, Descriptive data, Demographic, clinical and surgical variables. N (%)/mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Hosp-LOS >4 days. Overall, the more common complications were pulmonary infection (18.2.7%), arrhythmia (16.2.4%), and reoperation for bleeding (13.1.9%) (Table 1). Mean volume of blood lost was 541.5 ± 61.3 mL (range, 63-3500 mL); 112 (16.6%) patients received a mean of 1.0 ± 2.5 PRBC units (range, 0-8 Units p.p); 74 patients were transfused with 1 PRBC units and 38 patients (5.6%) received ≥ 2 PRBC. Eleven patients (1.6%) died within 30 days of the procedure. Those who died were diagnosed with multiple complications, the most common of which were multiorgan failure syndrome (9), acute respiratory distress syndrome (8), pneumonia (6), and sepsis (6).

Univariate analysis revealed as independent risk factors for UFT-failure: age, female sex, EuroSCORE, Severity of Angina Pectoris (IV-grade CCS), EF<30%, Redo, COPD, PRBC transfusion, use of elective IABP and duration of surgery (t-Qx). Redo was strongly significant (OR=36.5, p<0.001) (Table 2).

After performing multivariate logistic regression analysis and backward elimination method, the stronger predictor factors for UFT-failure were: transfusion of ≥ 2 PRBC revealed Adjusted OR (AOR=6.02) (95% CI) (p <0.05), t-Qx 3-4 h, (AOR=77.3)(95% CI) (p<0.001) and t-Qx >4 h, (AOR=157.5) (95% CI) (p<0.001). EF <30% had (OR=8.41) (95% CI) (p=0.059) (Table 3).

The equation of the predictive model for UFT-failure was:

\[ \rho = \text{probability for UFT-failure} \]

where \( \rho \) is the probability for UFT-failure (Graphic 1).

ROC analysis and AUC=0.977 with optimum cut-off point of p=0.082 revealed a sensitivity 93.3% and specificity 95.6% of predictive model for UFT-failure (Graphic 1.1).
## EXTUBATION

|                  | UFT-success | UFT-failure |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | N (%)/mean ±sd | OR (95%CI) | p-value |
| **Patients**     | 658 (97.8) | 15 (2.2) |  |
| **Age (Y)**      | 61.3 ± 9.4 | 73.1 ± 9.8 | 1.13 (1.07 – 1.19) | <0.001*** |
| **Group Age**    |            |            | 1 |
| <=80 y           | 630 (98.3) | 11 (1.7) |  |
| >80 y            | 28 (87.5) | 4 (12.5) | 8.18 (2.45 – 27.3) | 0.001** |
| **Gender**       |            |            | 1 |
| Male             | 515 (99.4) | 3 (0.6) |  |
| Female           | 143 (92.3) | 12 (7.7) | 14.4 (4.01 – 51.7) | <0.001*** |
| **Smoker**       |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 439 (98.4) | 7 (1.6) | 0.44 (0.16 – 1.22) | 0.114 |
| No               | 19 (96.6) | 13 (3.4) |  |
| **Nyha**         |            |            | 1 |
| Class I          | 68 (94.4) | 4 (5.6) | 1 | 0.082 |
| Class II         | 381 (99.0) | 4 (1.0) | 0.18 (0.04 – 0.73) | 0.017* |
| Class III        | 146 (97.3) | 4 (2.7) | 0.47 (0.11 – 1.92) | 0.290 |
| Class IV         | 63 (95.5) | 3 (4.5) | 0.81 (0.17 – 3.76) | 0.787 |
| **CAD**          |            |            | 1 |
| 1 Vessel         | 40 (100) | 0 (0) | 1 |
| 2 Vessels        | 51 (96.2) | 2 (3.8) |  |
| 3 Vessels        | 567 (97.8) | 13 (2.2) | 1.04 (0.23 – 4.70) | 0.956 |
| **Left Main Stem**|            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 122 (96.8) | 4 (3.2) | 1.59 (0.50 – 5.10) | 0.429 |
| No               | 536 (98.0) | 11 (2.0) |  |
| **Ap(Ccs)**      |            |            | 1 |
| Grade II         | 353 (99.4) | 2 (0.6) | 1 | 0.016* |
| Grade IV-A       | 140 (97.2) | 4 (2.8) | 5.04 (0.91 – 27.8) | 0.063 |
| Grade IV-B       | 122 (96.1) | 5 (3.9) | 7.23 (1.39 – 37.7) | 0.019* |
| Grade IV-C       | 45 (91.5) | 4 (8.5) | 16.4 (2.92 – 92.3) | 0.001** |
| **Hta**          |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 539 (98.7) | 7 (1.3) | 0.19 (0.07 – 0.54) | 0.002** |
| No               | 119 (83.7) | 8 (16.3) |  |
| **Lv Function (Ef)** |        |            | 1 |
| >50%             | 331 (98.8) | 4 (1.2) | 1 | 0.009** |
| 30%-50%          | 290 (97.6) | 7 (2.4) | 1.99 (0.58 – 6.89) | 0.274 |
| <30%             | 37 (90.2) | 4 (9.8) | 8.94 (2.34 – 37.2) | 0.003** |
| **Myocardial infarction** | |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 322 (97.3) | 9 (2.7) | 1.56 (0.55 – 4.45) | 0.400 |
| No               | 603 (97.7) | 14 (2.3) |  |
| **Pre-Stroke**   |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 55 (98.2) | 1 (1.8) | 0.78 (0.10 – 6.07) | 0.815 |
| No               | 638 (98.9) | 7 (1.1) | 1 |
| **Redo**         |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 20 (71.4) | 8 (28.6) | 36.5 (12.0 – 108.3) | <0.001*** |
| No               | 440 (98.2) | 8 (1.8) | 1 |
| **Dm Ii**        |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 218 (96.9) | 7 (3.1) | 1.77 (0.63 – 4.93) | 0.278 |
| No               | 387 (97.5) | 10 (2.5) | 1 |
| **Hyperlipidema**|            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 271 (98.2) | 5 (1.8) | 0.71 (0.24 – 2.11) | 0.543 |
| No               | 212 (97.7) | 5 (2.3) | 1 |
| **Obesity**      |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 446 (97.8) | 10 (2.2) | 0.95 (0.32 – 2.82) | 0.927 |
| No               | 494 (97.8) | 11 (2.2) | 1 |
| **Renalfailure (Creatinine>2.0 mg/Dl)** | |            | 1 |
| No               | 556 (97.9) | 12 (2.1) |  |
| Yes              | 102 (97.1) | 3 (2.9) | 1.36 (0.38 – 4.91) | 0.636 |
| **Copd**         |            |            | 1 |
| No               | 451 (98.5) | 7 (1.5) | 1 | 0.072 |
| 1                | 125 (97.7) | 3 (2.3) | 1.55 (0.39 – 6.07) | 0.532 |
| 2                | 82 (94.3) | 5 (5.7) | 3.93 (1.22 – 12.7) | 0.022* |
| **Prbc**         |            |            | 1 |
| 0                | 560 (99.8) | 1 (0.2) | 1 | <0.001*** |
| 1                | 72 (97.3) | 2 (2.7) | 15.6 (13.9 – 173.0) | 0.026* |
| >=2              | 26 (68.4) | 12 (31.6) | 258.5 (32.3 – 2063) | <0.001*** |
| **Iabp**         |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 597 (98.4) | 10 (1.6) | 1 |
| No               | 61 (92.4) | 5 (7.6) | 4.89 (1.62 – 14.8) | 0.005** |
| **Euroscore**    |            |            | 1 |
| Yes              | 51 ± 3.9 | 11.8 ± 5.4 | 1.34 (1.20 – 1.49) | <0.001*** |
| No               | 373 (99.7) | 1 (0.3) | 1 | <0.001*** |
| **Risk Euroscore** |         |            | 1 |
| Medium           | 233 (97.5) | 6 (2.5) | 9.61 (1.15 – 80.2) | 0.037* |
| Severe           | 52 (86.7) | 8 (13.3) | 57.4 (7.03 – 468.1) | <0.001*** |
| <=3 H            | 614 (94.8) | 1 (0.2) | 1 | <0.001*** |
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An aim of this study was to describe the short-term outcome (≤ 30 days) of a consecutive case-series of 673 patients managed by a combination of desflurane, remifentanil, and intercostals nerve block in OPCAB procedures by LAT surgical approach and followed up by multidisciplinary enhance recovery pathway, a “preliminary” protocol including preoperative patient and family educational material, preoperative training and consensus-based standard perioperative management protocol [13-15] (Supplementary 1).

Our patients, a heterogeneous group with varying levels of risk, generally spent a short time in the ICU (94.5% of patients left the ICU within 24 h) and short Hospitalization as length of stay>4 days with relatively low rates of morbidity (2.1% were readmitted to the ICU) and mortality (1.6%). In most of our cases, 94.1.8% of patients had Hospi-LOS ≤ 4 days, and followed by a week of at-home nursing care, enabling our patients to return to their daily activities as quickly as possible. We defined as prolonged Hospitalization as length of stay>4 days due to the observation of our previous case-series [10]; our cut-off matches with others publications [18].

The safety of ICU discharge within the first 24 h after a CABG has been previously described [18] and UFT has not been associated with an increased incidence of readmission to the ICU or hospital [19]. In our case-series the univariate logistic regression analysis found many factors that influenced to increase the probability for UFT-failure, including female gender and age >80 y.o. Others independent variables were significantly associated with UFT-failure such as EuroSCORE, severity of angina pectoris, previous diagnostic of COPD and COPD severity, poor LV function and PRCB transfusion. While the literature does not suggest that advanced age is a contraindication for UFT anesthesia [20], female patients and age >80 y.o. are nevertheless at risk for longer ICU-LOS and Hospi-LOS. However, the strongest risk factors for UFT-failure were revealed by multivariate analysis: Severity of angina pectoris, poor LV function, PRCB transfusion and duration of surgery. In fact, surgery time >3 h increase 70 times the risk of UFT failure, in the same way, transfusion of ≥ 2 PRBC increase 6 times the risk for UFT-failure and Angina Pectoris grade IV up to 12 times.

| UFT-failure | AOR (95%CI) | p-value |
|-------------|-------------|---------|
| A. P(CCS) | Grade III | 1 | 0.035* |
| Grade IV-A | 6.18 (0.82 – 46.5) | 0.077 |
| Grade IV-B | 12.0 (1.66 – 87.3) | 0.014* |
| Grade IV-C | 0.58 (0.05 – 6.44) | 0.054* |
| GROUP LV FUNCTION (EF) | >30% | 1 |
| PRBC | <30% | 8.41 (0.92 – 76.7) | 0.059 |
| t-Qx | >=2 | 6.02 (1.02 – 35.4) | 0.047* |
| IBC | <=3 h | 1 |
| t-Qx | 3-4 h | 77.3 (6.04-991.4) | 0.001** |
| >4 h | 157.5 (8.73-2843.2) | 0.001** |

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001

Table 3: UFT-failure: Extubation in UCI. A.P(CCS): Angina pectoris Canadian Cardiovascular Society grades.LV: Left Ventricular, EF: Ejection Fraction PRBC: Packet Red Blood Cell Units Transfused. t-Qx: Surgery duration.

An increase of the predictive model for prolonged Hospi-LOS was:

\[
\rho = 0.00028 \times 6.18^{(2.28 \times \text{Age} + 0.58 \times \text{EF} - 8.4 \times \text{t-Qx} + 6.02 \times \text{PRBC} - 27.3)} \quad (1.57)
\]

where \( \rho \) is the probability to Hospi-LOS >4 days (Graph 2).

**Discussion**

Previous studies have reported possible contraindications to early extubation including obesity, female sex, excessive bleeding, inotropic support, use of IABC, hypothermia, prolonged extracorporeal circulation, and prolonged surgery time [17]. While UFT anesthesia management may not yet be common practice in myocardial revascularization surgery in every practice, published reports have noted that immediate extubation in the Op.Room after cardiac surgery is feasible, safe, and, moreover, has benefits compared to later extubation. [2,3,5].

---
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Gráfico 1.1: ROC for predictive model of UFT-failure

At Cut-off p<0.5: AUC (95% CI): 0.977 (0.954-1.000); Sensitivity (%): 46.7%; Specificity (%): 99.4%; Youden’s indexa: 0.459
Cutoff p=0.082: Sensitivity (%): 93.3%; Specificity (%): 95.8%; Youden’s indexa: 0.889

Diagnostic validity of predictive model for UFT-failure: ROC analysis and discrimination threshold (cut-off points)

| HOSP-LOS | <=4 d | >4 d | OR (95%CI) | p-value |
|----------|-------|------|------------|---------|
| Patients | 633 (94.1) | 40 (5.9) | | |
| Age (Y) | 60.9 ± 9.2 | 71.0 ± 9.6 | 1.11 (1.08 – 1.15) | <0.001*** |
| Group Age | <=80 y | 611 (95.3) | 30 (4.7) | 1 |
| >80 y | 22 (68.8) | 10 (31.3) | 9.26 (4.03 – 21.3) | <0.001*** |
| Gender | Male | 503 (97.1) | 15 (2.9) | 1 |
| Female | 130 (83.9) | 25 (16.1) | 6.45 (3.31 – 12.6) | <0.001*** |
| Smoker | No | 210 (92.5) | 17 (7.5) | 1 |
| Yes | 423 (94.8) | 23 (5.2) | 0.67 (0.35 – 1.29) | 0.229 |
| NYHA | Class I | 59 (81.9) | 13 (18.1) | 1 |
| Class II | 375 (97.4) | 10 (2.6) | 0.12 (0.05 – 0.29) | 0.017* |
| Class III | 140 (93.3) | 10 (6.7) | 0.32 (0.14 – 0.76) | 0.012* |
| Class IV | 59 (89.4) | 7 (10.6) | 0.54 (0.20 – 1.45) | 0.219 |
| CAD | 1 vessel | 40 (100) | 0 (0) | 1 |
| 2 vessels | 51 (96.2) | 2 (3.8) | | |
| 3 vessels | 542 (93.4) | 38 (6.6) | 3.19 (0.76 – 13.4) | 0.114 |
| Left Main Stem | No | 517 (94.5) | 30 (5.5) | 1 |
| Yes | 116 (82.1) | 10 (7.9) | 1.49 (0.71 – 3.13) | 0.297 |
| AP(CCS) | Grade III | 340 (95.8) | 15 (4.2) | 1 |
| Grade IV-A | 136 (94.4) | 8 (5.6) | 1.33 (0.55 – 3.22) | 0.522 |
| Grade IV-B | 119 (93.7) | 8 (6.3) | 1.52 (0.63 – 3.69) | 0.350 |
| Grade IV-C | 38 (80.9) | 9 (19.1) | 5.37 (2.20 – 13.1) | <0.001*** |
| HTA | No | 108 (86.6) | 17 (13.4) | 1 |
| Yes | 523 (95.8) | 23 (4.2) | 0.29 (0.15 – 0.55) | <0.001*** |
| LV Function (EF) | Group LV Function (EF) >50% | 47.3 ± 10.2 | 46.3 ± 10.5 | 0.99 (0.96 – 1.02) | 0.544 |
| 30%-50% | 320 (95.5) | 15 (4.5) | 1 | 0.042* |
| <30% | 278 (93.6) | 19 (6.4) | 1.46 (0.73 – 2.92) | 0.288 |
| Myocardial Infarction | No | 323 (94.4) | 19 (5.6) | 1 |
| Yes | 310 (93.7) | 21 (6.3) | 1.15 (0.61 – 2.18) | 0.665 |
| Pre-Stroke | No | 581 (94.2) | 36 (5.8) | 1 |
| Yes | 52 (92.9) | 4 (7.1) | 1.24 (0.43 – 3.62) | 0.692 |
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| Variable          | No | Yes | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------|----|-----|--------------|---------|
| **HOSP-LOS >4 days** |    |     |              |         |
| Redo              | No | 629 (97.5) | 16 (2.5) | 1 | <0.001*** |
|                   | Yes| 4 (14.3)   | 24 (85.7) | 235.9 (73.2 – 759.2) | 0.059 |
| PAD               | No | 425 (94.9) | 23 (5.1)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 208 (92.4) | 17 (7.6)  | 1.51 (0.79 – 2.89) | 0.213 |
| DM II             | No | 373 (94.0) | 24 (6.0)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 260 (94.2) | 16 (5.8)  | 0.96 (0.50 – 1.84) | 0.893 |
| Hyperlipidemia    | No | 202 (93.1) | 15 (6.9)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 431 (94.5) | 25 (5.5)  | 0.78 (0.40 – 1.51) | 0.464 |
| Obesity           | No | 478 (94.7) | 27 (5.3)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 155 (92.3) | 13 (7.7)  | 1.49 (0.75 – 2.95) | 0.259 |
| Renal Failure     | No | 543 (95.6) | 25 (4.4)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 90 (85.7)  | 15 (14.3) | 3.62 (1.84 – 7.13) | <0.001*** |
| COPD              | No | 436 (95.2) | 22 (4.8)  | 1 | 0.194 |
|                   | Yes| 117 (91.4) | 11 (8.6)  | 1.86 (0.88 – 3.95) | 0.105 |
| PRBC              | No | 559 (99.6) | 2 (0.4)   | 1 | <0.001*** |
|                   | Yes| 63 (85.1)  | 11 (14.9) | 48.8 (10.6 – 225.1) | <0.001*** |
| ICU-LOS           | No | 576 (94.9) | 31 (5.1)  | 1 |         |
|                   | Yes| 57 (86.4)  | 9 (13.6)  | 2.93 (1.33 – 6.47) | 0.008** |
| Euroscore         | Low| 365 (97.6) | 9 (2.4)   | 1 | <0.001*** |
|                   | Medium| 219 (91.6) | 20 (8.4)  | 3.70 (1.66 – 8.28) | 0.001** |
|                   | Severe| 49 (81.7)  | 11 (18.3) | 9.10 (3.59 – 23.1) | <0.001*** |
| T-Qx              | <=3 h | 611 (99.3) | 4 (0.7)   | 1 | <0.001*** |
|                   | >4 h | 20 (41.7)  | 28 (58.3) | 213.9 (68.5-667.6) | <0.001*** |
| EXTUBATION        | UFT-Success | 628 (95.4) | 30 (4.6)  | 1 | <0.001*** |
| ICU-LOS           | <=24 h | 628 (98.7) | 8 (1.3)   | 1 | <0.001*** |
|                   | >72 h | 0 (0.0)    | 20 (100)  | 502.4 (155.5 – 1622) | <0.001*** |

*p<0.05;  **p<0.01;  ***p<0.001

Table 4: Independent variables associated with Hospital length of Stay (HOSP-LOS), Descriptive data: N (%) or media ± standard desviation (sd), Univariate binary logistic regression for each variable: No adjusted Odds Ratio (OR), 95%(CI) and p-value.

Table 5: Independent risk factors associated with HOSP-LOS >4 days Multiple binary logistic regression: Adjusted odds ratio (AOR) by backward elimination method, 95%(CI) and p-value.

EF<30% showed a very strong negative influence over success of UTF.

In our study, only 6 patients (0.9%) had postoperative neurological dysfunction and stroke, very low stroke rate in comparison with others series of CABG with CPB. On the other hand, OPCAB together with the non-aortic touch technique, especially in the elderly with advanced atherosclerotic disease, avoids the deleterious effects of CPB and reduces the risk for cerebral embolism [21]. Further, no differences have been found in recall and intraoperative awareness compared with traditional management [22].
Conventional anesthetic techniques incorporate high doses of opioids such as fentanyl and sufentanil to reduce intraoperative oxidative stress and sympathetic-adrenergic stimulation, those are associated with more than 3–6 hours of mechanical ventilation, require more IV fluids or vasopressors, and require more than 24 h in the ICU. This prolonged time to emergence delays hospital discharge and could be associated with poorer outcomes [23].

In our case-serie only 40 patients (5.9%) had a Hosp-LOS >4 days. Majority of the independent variables associated with UFT-failure, also were associated with prolonged hospitalization. Additionally, univariate analysis identifies NYHA functional class, renal failure, Redo and UCI-LOS (p <0.001) as risk factors for Hosp-LOS >4 days. Multivariate analysis with backward elimination method showed the duration of surgery (t-Qx>3 hrs) (AOR>75) and ICU-LOS>24 h (AOR=30) (p<0.001) as the strongest risk factors for prolonged Hosp-LOS. Otherwise, because the use of elective IABP prevented intra-operative complications in the high-risk patients, it may reduce the probability of prolonged Hosp-LOS. AOR=0.06 [0.01-0.51] (95%CI) in this particular group. Elective IABP was used to support and maintain hemodynamic stability during graft construction in our high-risk patients with left main coronary artery disease, unstable angina, recent MI, reoperation and/or EF ≤ 30%. We believe that prophylactic use of IABP may reduce the need for CPB support and emergency sternotomy which could be caused by ischemic events during anastomosis, thus reducing mortality among the patients in our study with the highest risk [24]. In addition, we used femoro-femoral CBP support to keep the heart beating without emergent sternotomy in 0.4% of our patients

The cornerstone of UFT anesthesia is multimodal perioperative analgesia including regional and local anesthesia based on American Pain Society guidelines [25]. In our protocol, the use of remifentanil, a short-acting opioid with a very rapid context-sensitive half-life, allows for profound analgesia during surgery without prolonged respiratory depression and with faster emergence. The use of volatile agents (desflurane or sevoflurane) is associated with reduced morbidity and mortality in CABG due to their cardioprotective effects. The choice of an anesthetic regimen based on the administration of these halogenated anesthetics is associated with a better outcome after coronary cardiac surgery [26,27]. We believe that the combination of desflurane and remifentanil, both of which have cardioprotective effects against ischemic reperfusion injury [26–28] has also contributed to our outcomes. Extubation in the Op.Room is especially achievable when OPCAB is used, as it decreases operating time and avoids the pathophysiological changes that are generally induced by CPB [8,29].

TEE is a minimally invasive technique to monitor left ventricular segmental wall motion during OPCAB surgery, but its greatest utility occurs after reperfusion [30]. Persistent segmental wall motion abnormalities after revascularization could prompt the surgeon to reassess the patency of the coronary bypass graft, which would then reduce the likelihood of postoperative angina pectoris or MI. The rate of postoperative MI in our study was 0.6%.

We believe that avoiding CPB, maintaining normothermia, using tranexamic acid and maintaining a meticulous surgical technique contributed to an acceptable rate of postoperative bleeding without an important increment of ischemic coronary, stroke, or thrombo-embolic events. Use of the LAT surgical approach could be another factor. Sternotomy is a faster approach, especially in hemodynamic emergencies, and may produce less pain than a traditional posterolateral thoracotomy, however, its association with periosteval reaction and marrow bleeding are concerning.

Although only 16.6% of our patients required blood transfusions (a result of eschewing CPB and following strict transfusion criteria), Hosp-LOS was nevertheless higher for those patients who received transfusions and were not extubated in the Op.Room (p<0.001). Our results match Scott et al. 9 so we found that Hosp-LOS>4 days was, in fact, a function of bleeding and needs of transfusions, OR=686 [144.8-3,249] (95% CI ) . Also, our results matches Zakhary [31], who found similar association between extubation outcome and age, sex, duration of surgery >3 h.

The precision of the predictive model for extubation outcome is high (AUC=97.7%), and particularly regarding specificity ability (99.4%). Moreover, with an optimum cut-off to estimate the probability for UFT-failure, the model achieved an increases of Sensibility (93.3%) and keeping good Specificity (95.6%). Additionally, the precision of the predictive Hosp-LOS model is high (AUC=97.2%), Sensibility 95% and Specificity 96.4% with an optimum cut-off point according to Youden Index. We encourage other authors to validate these proposal predictive models, but it is important to take into account our surgical approach.

Our study has limitations, including its descriptive nature and lack of long-term outcome data. In addition, we did not compare our work with those managed by CPB and UFT, neither we compared our results with pre-ERAS series (it is a preliminary protocol that has been recently testing since 2009). According to the literature, we think that standardizing an ERAS protocol for UTF-OPCAB management may be the most reasonable way to obtain the best results 15, but it must be confirmed by next randomized studies. Nevertheless, for more than 15 years we have used predominantly OPCAB by LAT approach with good results. Unfortunately, we did not include our cases-series before 2010.
because with used various types of hypnotics for induction and other volatile agents.

In conclusion, the management described in this study allows very rapid recovery as well as a short ICU and Hosp-LOS, offering the possibility of cost reduction; this fact has permitted us to help a vast number of cardiac patients at our centre for years [32]. In general, age >80 y.o., female sex, severity of EuroSCORE and ≥ 2 PRBCs transfusion were risk factor for UFT-failure and prolonged Hosp-LOS. However, our study has developed two predictive models with high diagnostic validity for UFT-failure and prolonged Hosp-LOS that include as stronger perioperative risk factor transfusion of ≥2 PRCB, severity of angina pectoris, Redo, duration of surgery >3 hrs and ICU-LOS >24 hrs. More multicenter prospective studies with the aim of determining which variables are associated with increased risk for postoperative bleeding: UFT-failure, prolonged ICU-LOS and prolonged Hosp-LOS would enable better management of cardiac surgery patients and perhaps encourage the use of bloodless medicine techniques.
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