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Abstract
Deinotheres (Proboscidea, Deinotheriidae) are a clade of non-elephantiform proboscideans that originated in Africa and dispersed into Eurasia by the early Miocene. In Europe, deinotheres are first recorded in Greece during MN3, although they did not become a common faunal element throughout Europe until MN4. Early Miocene (MN3–MN4) deinothere remains from Europe are generally assigned to a different species (Prodeinotherium cuvieri) than those from the early middle Miocene (Prodeinotherium bavaricum; MN5–MN6). In the Vallès-Penedès Basin (NE Iberian Peninsula), Prodeinotherium remains are very scarce and largely remain unpublished. To clarify their taxonomic assignment, we describe the available material and compare it with that from elsewhere in Europe. Based on size and a few diagnostic occlusal details, we tentatively recognize both Prodeinotherium cf. P. cuvieri and Prodeinotherium cf. P. bavaricum in the basin. Although all the studied sites had previously been correlated to MN4, the recognition of P. cf. P. bavaricum at els Casots and les Escletxes is consistent with ongoing litho- and magnetostratigraphic studies suggesting a slightly younger age for these sites. The lack of Prodeinoth-erium remains in older (MN3) localities from the Vallès-Penedès Basin, where Gomphotherium is already recorded, further supports the view that deinotheres dispersed into Western Europe somewhat later than gomphotheres.
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Abbreviations
CHE Chevilly
ICP Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Sabadell, Barcelona, Spain
IPS Acronym of the ICP collections (for the former ‘Institut de Paleontologia de Sabadell’).
BL Buccolinguial
BLI Breadth/length index
L Left
MD Mesiodistal
R Right

Introduction

The Genus Prodeinotherium

Deinotheres (family Deinotheriidae) are a clade of non-elephantiform proboscideans characterized by a single pair of downwardly-recurved tusks and a lophodont cheek tooth morphology (Osborn 1936; Bergouniux and Crouzel 1962a; Harris 1973, 1978; Göhlich 1999; Sanders et al. 2010). Like proboscideans as a whole, deinotheres originated in Africa, where two subfamilies are distinguished (Sanders et al. 2004, 2010): the plesiomorphic and small Chilgatheriinae, with a single monotypic genus (Chilgatherium Sanders et al., 2004) from the late Oligocene of East Africa; and the larger and more derived Deinotheriinae, from the late Oligocene to early Pleistocene of Africa and the early to late Miocene of Eurasia, represented by several species.

The number of distinct deinotheriine genera is still a matter of debate. Some recent authors (Böhme et al. 2012; Pickford and Pourabrishami 2013) still distinguish a single genus (Deinotherium Kaup, 1829), but most other authors (e.g., Harris 1973, 1978; Gasparik 1993, 2001; Göhlich
by Cuvier (1822: pl. IV figs. 1, 2, 5, pl. VII fig. 2, pl. VIII figs. 1, 3, 4). The type locality of *P. cuvieri* is customarily considered Chevilly (MN4, France; Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001; Huttunen 2002a, b), even though no holotype or type locality was mentioned in the original description by Cuvier (1832), who referred to specimens figured by Cuvier (1822) from Chevilly and other localities. Lartet (1859; pl. XIII fig. 2C”) figured an m1 from Chevilly that he asserted came from the mandible “that has become the type of *D. Cuvieri*”, and Mayet (1908) also considered that a fragmentary mandible from Chevilly (Mayet 1908; pl. VIII figs. 3–4) was the type of the species. Most recently, Huttunen (2002a, b) considered this specimen (CHE.13) as the lectotype. However, given that it was not mentioned by Cuvier (1822) or Kaup (1832), we concur with Ginsburg and Chevrier (2001) that only the three isolated teeth from Chevilly figured by Cuvier (1822; pl. IV figs. 1, 2, 5)—respectively, an m2 (CHE.19), an M3 (CHE.22), and a dp4 (CHE.20)—should be considered syntypes.

*Prodeinotherium bavaricum* was originally erected as *Dinotherium Bavaricum* von Meyer, 1831, by von Meyer (1831: 297), although the species was not described until somewhat later (von Meyer 1833: pls. XXXIV figs. 12–15, XXXVI figs. 10–11, 16–17) based on material from Gmünd (currently Georgensgmünd, Germany, MN5). Von Meyer (1833) included the material from Chevilly in his newly erected species, whereas in contrast Depéret (1887) considered that the latter (whose authorship he dated to 1832) was a junior subjective synonym of *D. cuvieri*. Other authors (e.g., Lartet 1859; Mayet 1908, 1909) subsequently distinguished the two species but assigned to them specimens from overlapping time ranges—contrasting with the non-overlapping chronostratigraphic distribution currently recognized for
them (see below). Although Larret (1859) and Mayet (1908) mentioned distinguishing features between the two species, most of them have not withstood more recent scrutiny, even by authors who consider them distinct (Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001). This has led some authors to synonymize them, in which case *P. bavaricum* must take priority (Huttunen 2002a, b; contra Depéret 1887).

Two additional nominal species of *Prodeinotherium* from Europe were considered junior subjective synonyms of *P. bavaricum* by Huttunen (2002a, b): *Prodeinotherium hungaricum* Éhik, 1930, and *Prodeinotherium petenyii* Vörös, 1989. Ginsburg and Chevrier (2001) similarly considered *Vörös, petenyii* Éhik, 1930, and *P. hungaricum* as a junior synonym of *P. bavaricum*, while Gasparik (1993, 2001) considered *P. petenyii* as a junior synonym of *P. hungaricum*, which he considered as a valid species. Given their small size and the MN4 age of the type material of both *P. petenyii* and *P. hungaricum*, here we consider that both nominal species are junior subjective synonyms of *P. cuvieri* instead of *P. bavaricum*.

Although *P. bavaricum* has sometimes been reported to coexist with *Deinotherium* during the middle Miocene (Bergouniouix and Crouzel 1962b; Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001; Duranthon et al. 2007), this has been questioned or attributed to mixing of reworked faunas by more recent authors (Böhme et al. 2012; Pickford and Pourabrishami 2013; Konidaris et al. 2017). According to Konidaris et al. (2017), *P. cuvieri* is recorded during the early Miocene (MN3–MN4) and *P. bavaricum* during the middle Miocene (MN5–MN6).

**Prodeinotherium in the Iberian Peninsula**

*Prodeinotherium* remains are not abundantly represented in the Iberian Peninsula, at least according to the literature. All the remains reported by Bergourniouix and Crouzel (1962b) in their review of Spanish deinotheres belong to *Deinotherium*, except for a few remains from the Vallès-Penedès Basin (see below). Azanza et al. (1993) reported a maxillary fragment with P4–M3 from Artesilla (MN4, Calatayud-Teruel Basin, Spain), which is correlated to local subzone Ca, with an estimated age of 16.5 Ma (Van der Meulen et al. 2012). In turn, Antunes and Ginsburg (2003) reviewed the deinotheres from Portugal and reported 15 dentognathic specimens that they assigned to *Deinotherium bavaricum*. Most of the material derives from localities (Quinta das Pedreiras and Quinta da Barbaracena) correlated to Tagus Basin Unit Va2 (MN4; Antunes 1996; Antunes and Ginsburg 2003; The NOW Community 2020). A tusk fragment from Quinta Grande (lower part of Unit Vb) and a mandible from Quintanellas (upper part of Unit Vb, based on Chelas 2) were correlated by Antunes and Ginsburg (2003) to MN5, but the former is more likely MN4 (The NOW Community 2020) whereas the latter might be MN4 or MN5. In light of the MN4 age for some of these sites, it is surprising that Antunes and Ginsburg (2003) assigned all the material to *D. bavaricum*, given that Ginsburg and Chevrier (2001) had distinguished the MN4 material from France as *D. cuvieri*. The latter was considered a synonym of *D. bavaricum* by Ginsburg in Antunes and Ginsburg (2003: 176)—which did not cite Ginsburg and Chevrier (2001). Given the arguments above, on biochronological grounds we consider that most (if not all) of the Portuguese material reported by Antunes and Ginsburg (2003) might belong to *P. cuvieri*—although this cannot be adequately ascertained based on the information provided by the authors.

In the Vallès-Penedès Basin, the taxonomic allocation of deinotheres remains, which are scarce and mostly consist of fragmentary remains, is currently unclear. Solé Sabarís (1936) attributed an upper premolar from Can Corró (Caldes de Montbui) to *Deinotherium giganteum* Kaup, 1829, but the specimen was subsequently assigned to *D. bavaricum* by Crusafont and Truyols (1954). The latter authors also reported *D. cuvieri* from Can Mas, and Crusafont et al. (1955) briefly described a lower molar fragment of *D. cuvieri* from les Escletxes (el Papiol). In turn, Bergouniouix and Crouzel (1962b) assigned a partial m3 from Can Mas, seven teeth from les Escletxes del Papiol, and a dp4 from Can Canals to *D. bavaricum* (as they considered *D. cuvieri* was merely a synonym). Finally, the record of deinotheres at els Casots and el Canyet was first reported by Moyà Solà and Rius Font (1993) and Casanovas-Vilar et al. (2011b), respectively, but their species ascription remains to be determined. In summary, although part of the *Prodeinotherium* material from the Vallès-Penedès Basin has previously been reported, it has never been figured or described in detail. Here we describe the available material and compare it with *Prodeinotherium* from elsewhere in Europe, to clarify its taxonomic identity.

**Age and Geological Background**

The Vallès-Penedès Basin (NE Iberian Peninsula) has yielded a rich fossil record of terrestrial vertebrates from the early to the late Miocene (Agustí et al. 1985; Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2016a, b). Early Miocene (Burdigalian, MN3–MN4) fossil sites are located in facies associated with small-range alluvial fan systems and lacustrine systems, the latter having delivered the richest fossil localities (Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2016a). Eustatic changes during the mid-Miocene Climatic Optimum led to three different marine transgressions (late Burdigalian, Langhian, and early Serravallian), and continental sedimentation was not fully re-established until later in the Serravallian (Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2016a).

The *Prodeinotherium* remains from the Vallès-Penedès Basin come from various sites (Fig. 1) in the municipalities of el Papiol (Can Mas, les Escletxes, and prob-
ably Can Canals, Castellbisbal (el Canyet), Subirats (els Casots), and Caldes de Montbui (el Canyet). Except for the last (whose age is unknown), all these sites have classically been correlated to MN4 (Agustí et al. 1985; Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2011a,b, 2016b). However, ongoing analysis of recently gathered litho-, bio-, and magnetostratigraphic data (Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2019; Jovells-Vaqué and Casanovas-Vilar in press; Jovells-Vaqué et al. 2017, 2019) preliminarily indicates that els Casots and especially les Escletxes are younger. Depending on the criteria used to define the MN4/MN5 boundary, these sites can be correlated to the uppermost MN4 or lowermost MN5. This boundary is commonly defined by the extinction of the eomyid *Ligerimys* Stehlin and Schaub, 1951 (e.g., Mein 1999; Kälin and Kempf 2009; Van der Meulen et al. 2012), which turns out to be a diachronous event in Western Europe, with the last Iberian species *Ligerimys ellipticus* Daams, 1976, surviving for about 0.5 Myr more than the last central European species, *Ligerimys florancei* Stehlin and Schaub, 1951 (see Van der Meulen et al. 2012). However, Van der Meulen et al. (2012) noted that the last occurrence of *L. florancei* in both areas is approximately simultaneous, so that Hilgen et al. (2012) redefined the boundary using this event and placed it at 16.4 Ma. This implies that many sites traditionally placed within MN4 (such as those belonging to Aragonian subzone Cb) are to be correlated to the earliest MN5.

Therefore, els Casots, which has only delivered scarce remains of *L. ellipticus*, is correlated to the MN5 with an estimated age of ca. 16 Ma (authors’ unpublished data).

### Materials and Methods

The studied materials include 18 teeth housed at the ICP. The Can Corró specimen was not studied but included in the comparisons based on published data (Solé Sarrias 1936). Dental terminology generally follows Pickford and Pourahrabshi (2013: figs. 2-3). Dental measurements of MD length and BL breadth were taken to the nearest 0.1 mm, and crown proportions were assessed by means...
of the BLI (computed as BL breadth/MD length × 100), bivariate plots of BL breadth vs. MD length, and box-and-whisker plots. The comparative sample included figured specimens of Prodeinotherium from Europe (Table S1). For metrical analyses of the tooth loci recorded at the Vallès-Penedès Basin, given the potential confusion between Prodeinotherium and Deinotherium in MN6 (see above), we restricted the comparative sample to measurements of MN4 P. cuvieri and MN5 P. bavaricum from Europe taken from the literature (Éhik 1930; Vörös 1989; Azanza et al. 1993; Gasparik 1993; Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001; Sach and Heizmann 2001; Huttenun 2002b; Huttenun and Göhl 2002; Antunes and Ginsburg 2003; Pickford and Pourabrishami 2013). Statistical comparisons between the two samples were done by means of t and Mann–Whitney tests using PAST (Hammer et al. 2001), and comparisons of Vallès-Penedès fossils with these samples were performed using z-scores. 

Data availability All data generated during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. The dataset of published measurements analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on request.

Systematic Paleontology

Order Proboscidea Illiger, 1811
Family Deinotheriidae Bonaparte, 1841
Genus Prodeinotherium Éhik, 1930

Type species Prodeinotherium hungaricum Éhik, 1930, here considered a subjective junior synonym of Prodeinotherium cuvieri (Kaup, 1832)—see SOM S1.

Remarks The genus Prodeinotherium was originally erected as Prodinotherium Éhik, 1930, following Kaup’s (1832) unjustified amendment of the original spelling of the type genus of the family (Deinotherium Kaup, 1829) as “Dinotherium,” which was reinstated by Osborn (1936). On this basis, Harris (1973) emended the original spelling of “Prodinotherium” into Prodeinotherium. Such an emendation was indeed unjustified at the time—as it did not fit into any of the categories that would deem it incorrect according to the Code (ICZN 1999: Article 32). However, given that it has been in prevailing usage since Harris’ (1973) emended diagnosis of the genus, currently it must be considered justified and is therefore adopted here, in agreement with other authors. The type species of the genus is Prodeinotherium hungaricum Éhik, 1930, generally considered a junior subjective synonym of one of the other species of the genus (e.g., Huttenun 2002a; Pickford and Pourabrishami 2013; Konidaris et al. 2017; but see Gasparik 1993, 2001; Markov 2008).

Prodeinotherium cf. P. cuvieri (Kaup, 1832)  
Fig. 2M, O, Q

Material Three lower molars from el Canyet, Castellbisbal (see Table 1 for measurements and further details).

Description The lower molars display a typical deinotheriidi morphology, with a trilophodont m1 (Fig. 2M) and bilophodont m2 (Fig. 2O) and m3 (Fig. 2Q)—although only the distal half of the last tooth is preserved. The fragmentary m2 crown displays a long and marked prehypocristid that almost reaches the metalophid, and a very protruding distal cingulid. The m3 talonid is very large, distally protruding, and buccally tilted.

Remarks The m1 morphology is consistent with both P. cuvieri and P. bavaricum (Table S1), whose proportions

Table 1 List of Prodeinotherium cheek teeth from the Vallès-Penedès Basin with measurements (in mm). Parentheses indicate that measurements are estimated due to minor damage. Abbreviations: MD = mesiodistal length (mm); BL = buccolingual breadth (mm); BLI = breadth/length index (%), computed as BL / MD × 100. Actual measured values for IPS10480 are MD = 66.0 mm and BL = 63.5 mm. IPS12712 is labeled as coming from Can Mas, but most likely this is the same specimen as reported by Bergounioux and Crouzel (1962b) from Can Canals. IPS12708 was identified as an M1 by Bergounioux and Crouzel (1962b). Actual measurement for IPS12713 is BL = 40.5 mm. Data for Can Corró were taken from Solé Sabarís (1936).

| Catalog No | Locality | Tooth | MD     | BL     | BLI     |
|------------|----------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| IPS10480   | els Casots | L M2  | (66.0) | (63.5) | (96.2)  |
| IPS10481   | els Casots | L P3  | 51.4   | 50.3   | 93.0    |
| IPS10482   | els Casots | R m3  | —      | > 46.5 | —       |
| IPS10483   | els Casots | R DP3 | (35.8) | 29.9   | (83.5)  |
| IPS10533   | els Casots | R P3  | 53.4   | (52.3) | (97.9)  |
| IPS12695   | el Canyet | R m3  | —      | > 50.0 | —       |
| IPS12696   | el Canyet | R m1  | (60.0) | (44.0) | (73.3)  |
| IPS12697   | el Canyet | R m2  | (59.6) | (49.0) | (82.2)  |
| IPS12711   | Can Mas   | R M1? | > 50.4 | —      | —       |
| IPS12712   | Can Canals| L dp4 | —      | 27.6   | —       |
| IPS12719   | Can Mas   | R m3  | —      | (55.0) | —       |
| IPS12708   | les Esclletxes | R M3 | > 46.7 | (66.3) | —       |
| IPS12709   | les Esclletxes | L p3  | (42.0) | (33.0) | (78.6)  |
| IPS12710   | les Esclletxes | R p3  | (42.1) | > 30.0 | —       |
| IPS12713   | les Esclletxes | L m1  | —      | (44.0) | —       |
| IPS12714   | les Esclletxes | R p4  | 47.0   | > 39.0 | —       |
| IPS12715   | les Esclletxes | R P3  | > 48.0 | (48.0) | —       |
| IPS12718   | les Esclletxes | L M2? | —      | > 49.2 | —       |
| No number  | Can Corró | L P3  | 54.0   | 52.0   | 96.3    |
appear similarly variable and largely overlap among the two species (Figs. 3D and 4G; Tables S2–S4)—but see below for comparisons with the m1 from les Escletxes. The size and proportions of the m2 also fit well with both species (Figs. 3E and 4H; Table S4), which largely overlap and display no significant differences for this tooth (Tables S2–S3). However, the great distal projection of the distal cingulid in the el Canyet m2 most closely resembles some specimens of *P. cuvieri*, being more projecting than others and, particularly, of *P. bavaricum* (Table S1), where the cingulid is closer to the hypolophid. The large talonid of the m3 from el Canyet also more closely resembles specimens of *P. cuvieri* than *P. bavaricum*, although this feature is somewhat variable in both species (Table S1).

*Prodeinotherium* cf. *P. bavaricum* (von Meyer, 1831)

Fig. 2B–E, G–L, N, R

**Material** Seven cheek teeth (probably belonging to the same individual) from les Escletxes del Papiol, el Papiol; and four permanent cheek teeth and a deciduous premolar from els Casots, Subirats (see Table 1 for measurements and further details).

**Description** The DP3 from els Casots (Fig. 2B), which only lacks a small distal portion of the crown, is bilophodont and displays a vaguely figure-eight-shaped, very waisted contour (especially buccally) that is longer than broad, and broadest distally. The well-developed mesial cingulum is buccally flanked by a distinct parastyle, much larger than the mesiolingually located protostyle. The short postprotocrista shapes a slightly acute angle with the preprotocrista. The extensive central valley is lingually open, with a poorly defined lingual cingulum. Buccally, the ectoflexus is very marked, and the fine and low postparacrista and premetacrista do not constitute a conspicuous ectoloph; there is a small cuspule-like enamel thickening buccally to the end of the postparacrista. The short and steep postmetacrista is directed toward the damaged distal cingulum.

Based on their similar morphology and wear, the two P3 from els Casots (Fig. 2D–E) might belong to the same individual. They display a subsquare contour (slightly larger than
broad), a slightly oblique mesial margin, and an indistinct ectoflexus. The protoloph is continuous (although the preprotocrista is not well defined close to the paracone), whereas the metafolh is discontinuous (because the posthypocrista does not surpass crown midline); the distally-oriented postparacrista is very short. The two lingual cusps are conical (not buccolingually compressed); the hypocone is more peripheral than the protocone. These cusps are separated by a deep transverse groove that opens into a V-shaped lingual notch, which is flanked by a moderately developed lingual cingulum. The mesial cingulum is well developed but there is no distinct parastyle, whereas the distal cingulum is poorly developed; the ectoloph ends in an indistinct metastyle that barely protrudes distally. The worn and partial P3 from les Escletxes (Fig. 2C), as far as it can be ascertained due to incomplete preservation, resembles the specimens from els Casots, except for the somewhat more convex distal profile.

The partial M2 from els Casots (Fig. 2G) displays a non-tapering subsquare occlusal profile (minimally longer than broad), with two distally concave transverse lophs. The mesial and distal cingula are well developed, but the distal one is much narrower. The transverse valley is ample and buccally displays no entostyle. The postmetacrista is continuous with a faint convolute located on the buccal side of the crown. In turn, two partial upper molars from les Escletxes probably correspond to an M2 distobuccal crown fragment (Fig. 2H) and an M3 crown only lacking its mesial most portion (Fig. 1I). The former specimen displays a less restricted median transverse valley than the latter, whereas the M3 displays a distally tapering contour (the metalop is buccally more restricted than the protoloph). In both specimens, and unlike in the M2 from els Casots, the postmetacrista ends close to a marked (even if buccolingually narrow) convolute, which is close to the crown midline, just mesial to the marked distal cingulum.

The two p3s (probably antimeres) from les Escletxes (Fig. 2J–K) display a subtriangular (longer than broad) and mesially tapering contour, but their damaged condition precludes assessing several occlusal details. The ectoflexid is slightly obliquely oriented and appears continuous between protoconid and hypoconid. The metaconid (partially preserved in the left specimen) is well separated from the protoconid, but due to damage it cannot be ascertained if these cusps were linked by a short transverse crest or whether a mesial tubercle (paraconid) was present. The median valley displays no secondary cusps or cristids, and the entoconid is buccolingually compressed and located very peripherally. The p4 from les Escletxes (Fig. 2L) displays a subrectangular (longer than wide) contour, but the extent of the mesial valley and of the mesial cingulid cannot be assessed due to damage. The postprolocristid and prehypocristid constitute a continuous ectolophid that separates the median valley from the wide buccal notch, but the buccal cingulid cannot be ascertained. The distal cingulid is relatively well developed. The lower molars are only represented by a partial and digitally ‘restored’ specimen from les Escletxes (Fig. 2N), identified as an m1 due to its trilophodont occlusal pattern, as well as an m3 distal fragment from els Casots (Fig. 2R). The latter displays a buccally tilted and moderately developed talonid.

Remarks The DP3 shows similar size and proportions to the few available specimens of P. cuvieri and, especially, P. bavaricum (Figs. 3F and 4F), and merely differs from the figured specimens of the latter species (Table S1) by the more pronounced interlophid waisting of the crown.

The described P3s fit well with the morphology of Prodeinotherium—peripheral hypocone, indistinct ectoflexus, weakly developed parastyle and metastyle, and mesial cingulum more marked than the distal—but show no particular similarities with either P. cuvieri or P. bavaricum (Table S1). The upper premolar from Can Corró, originally described as a P4 (Solé Sabarís 1936: fig. p. 84), is best identified as a P3 given its occlusal morphology and proportions, and resembles the els Casots specimens, except for the better developed lingual cingulum. Prodeinotherium bavaricum displays on average significantly longer P3s than P. cuvieri (Tables S2–S4), and the measurements for MD length of all the Vallès-Penedès specimens (Figs. 3A and 4A) rule out an assignment to the latter species (Table S4).

The M2? fragment from les Escletxes is not very informative but displays a similar distal crown morphology to the M3 from the same locality. The latter tooth was identified as an M1 by Bergouinoux and Crouzel (1962b), but the development of the crown behind the distal lophid and the width of the metalop (only subequal to the protoloph) is characteristic of an M3. The morphology of the els Casots M2 is consistent with that of both Prodeinotherium species (Table S1). Prodeinotherium bavaricum significantly differs from P. cuvieri by displaying on average absolutely longer and relatively narrower M2 (Tables S2–S3), and the dimensions of the els Casots specimen fit better with P. bavaricum (Figs. 3B and 4B), although the z-score does not reject an attribution to either species (Table S4). The morphology and BL breadth (Fig. 4C) of the M3 from les Escletxes is also compatible with both species (Tables S1–S4), which do not display significant differences in this regard.

As far as it can be ascertained due to damage, the two p3s from les Escletxes (Fig. 2J–K) fall within the range of occlusal variation of both P. cuvieri and P. bavaricum (Table S1). This tooth locus is quite variable, and unfortunately the only diagnostic feature (more reduced paraconid in P. cuvieri; Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001) cannot be ascertained in the studied specimens. Although P. cuvieri displays a significantly shorter p3 (Tables S2–S3), there is ample overlap and the p3 from les Escletxes fits well with both
species (Figs. 3C and 4D; Table S4). Similarly, the p4 from les Escletxes resembles in morphology both *P. cuvieri* and *P. bavaricum* (Table S1). Although *P. cuvieri* displays significantly smaller p4 dimensions on average (Tables S2–S3), the MD length of the les Escletxes specimen fits well with both species (Fig. 4E; Table S4). Moreover, the morphology of the m1 from les Escletxes fits with both *P. cuvieri* and *P. bavaricum* (Table S1), even if it appears slightly more elongated than the m1 from el Canyet assigned to *P. cuvieri*; although the two species significantly differ in m1 BL breadth (Tables S2–S3), the size of the les Escletxes specimen agrees with both species (Fig. 4G; Table S4). Finally, in the els Casots m3, the talonid is less projecting and higher (almost cuspulid-like) than in el Canyet m3 (see above), more closely resembling the m3 of *P. bavaricum* (Table S1).

*Prodeinotherium* sp.

Fig. 2A, F, P

**Material** Two molars from Can Mas, and a deciduous premolar probably from Can Canals (or Can Mas, see remarks below), both sites at el Papiol (see Table 1 for measurements and further details).

**Description** The partial dp4 from Can Canals? (Fig. 2A) preserves only two mesially-concave transverse lophids (the hypolophid and the somewhat narrower tritolophid). A loosely defined mesiodistal cristid originating from the hypoconulid approaches the hypolophid, partially blocking the distal transverse valley. The distal cingulum is moderately developed but buccolingually short.

A partial first molar from Can Mas (Fig. 2F) probably corresponds to an M1 buccal fragment, but it is too poorly preserved to assess any occlusal detail. The partial germ from Can Mas (Fig. 2P) is identified as an m3 because the hypolophid is somewhat narrower than the metalophid, but the development of the talonid cannot be ascertained.

**Remarks** The partial dp4 described above, recorded in the ICP collections as from Can Mas, is in all probability the same specimen reported by Bergounioux and Crouzel (1962b) from Can Canals, which is likely the correct provenance. Both the dp4 and m3 BL breadth fit well with both species (Fig. 4I; Table S1).

**Discussion**

*Prodeinotherium* Taxonomy and Evolution

Our comparisons of dental size and proportions (Figs. 3 and 4; Table S2) generally confirm Ginsburg and Chevier’s (2001) assessment that *P. cuvieri* is somewhat smaller on average that *P. bavaricum*, but indicate that differences are only significant for some dental positions (and generally only for MD length; Table S3). Given these slight differences, it is not surprising that the two species were synonymized by Huttunen (2002a). However, our morphological and metrical comparisons further suggest that the two species differ in some unexpected ways—including not only a longer and relatively more elongated M2 in *P. bavaricum* on average, but also a shorter and relatively broader m3 in the latter species compared with *P. cuvieri*. Despite a considerable overlap, the latter difference appears particularly relevant because it goes against the general trend of dental size increase from *P. cuvieri* to *P. bavaricum*. This might be attributable to a concomitant reduction of the m3 talonid—more developed (i.e., distally-projecting) in *Prodeinotherium* than in *Deinotherium* (von Meyer 1833; Huttunen 2002a), but also less contracted (i.e., wider) in *P. cuvieri* than in *P. bavaricum* according to Lartet (1859). These differences in the m3 would lend further support to the distinct species status of *P. cuvieri* and *P. bavaricum*, supported by most current authors (e.g., Pickford and Pourabramishani 2013; Konidaris et al. 2017), and previously justified based on overall dental size differences and p3 occlusal morphology (Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001).

Providing an emended diagnosis for *P. cuvieri* and *P. bavaricum* is outside the scope of this paper because it would require a taxonomic revision of all *Prodeinotherium* species at an intercontinental level. The traditional recognition of three different species—*P. bavaricum* in Europe, *Prodeinotherium pentapotamiae* (Lydekker, 1876) in Asia, and *Prodeinotherium hobsleyi* (Andrews, 1911) in Africa—was largely based on geography (Harris 1978) and has not proven particularly useful for deciphering the evolution of the genus. It is generally recognized that *P. hobsleyi* may be distinguished from European *Prodeinotherium* in several occlusal details (greater separation between the p3 protoconid and metaconid, relatively less elongate p4, and a more distinct tubercle on the m3 talonid; Harris 1978; Sanders et al. 2010). However, *P. hobsleyi* from the early Miocene appears smaller and somewhat more pleisiomorphic (e.g., possession of more elaborated M2–M3 postmetaloph ornamentation, and presence of m1 ectostyle and p3 mesostyle) than younger material from the same continent (Harris 1978). In turn, both European and Asian *Prodeinotherium* species also appear somewhat more derived than their African counterparts in various occlusal details, whereas an evolutionary trend toward larger size apparently took place in parallel in Africa, Europe, and Asia from the early to the middle Miocene (Harris 1978). This pattern is overall consistent with *Prodeinotherium* originating in Africa and subsequently diversifying independently in several places of Eurasia. Unfortunately, it is not possible to determine to what extent morphological changes (other than size) occurred in parallel until the
Fig. 3 Bivariate plots of BL breadth vs. MD length in *Prodeinotherium* remains from the Vallès-Penedès Basin compared with MN4 *P. cuvieri* and MN5 *P. bavaricum* from Europe (data taken from the literature, see Materials and methods). A. P3. B. M2. C. p3. D. m1. E. m2. F. DP3
alpha-taxonomy of *Prodeinotherium* is further clarified. As in Europe, the differences in dental size and shape noted by Harris (1978) for *P. hobleyi* might justify the distinction of additional African species, and the same holds for Asia, where some authors (Tiwari et al. 2006; Qiu et al. 2007) distinguish several species—*Prodeinotherium orlovii*
Prodeinotherium from the Vallès-Penedès Basin

Unfortunately, the p3 occlusal morphology and the m3 proportions, which appear diagnostic between P. cuvieri and P. bavaricum (see above), cannot be adequately ascertained in the Vallès-Penedès sample, whose restricted and fragmentary nature recommends the use of open nomenclature in our species attributions. The great development of the m2 and m3 talonid in the el Canyet sample tentatively favors an assignment to P. cf. P. cuvieri. Conversely, the size of the els Casots M2, the more restricted talonid of the els Casots m3 and, especially, the MD length of the P3 from els Casots and Can Corró support an assignment to P. cf. P. bavaricum, while the samples from Can Mas and Can Canals? are best left unassigned to species. Given the range of these species elsewhere in Europe, our taxonomic identifications are consistent with a younger age for els Casots and les Esclletes, and an MN5 or MN6 age for Can Corró—thereby indicating that the early middle Miocene of the Vallès-Penedès Basin is better represented than previously assumed (e.g., Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2011b, 2016b). This indicates that the Vallès-Penedès Basin records the youngest Prodeinotherium remains from the Iberian Peninsula.

The Vallès-Penedès record of Prodeinotherium is further consistent with evidence from elsewhere in the Iberian Peninsula (Antunes and Ginsburg 2003) and Western Europe (e.g., Göhlich 1999; Ginsburg and Chevrier 2001) indicating that the arrival of Prodeinotherium occurred later (MN4) than the dispersal of Gomphotherium (MN3; Tassy 1990; Bulot and Ginsburg 1993; Göhlich 1999; Antunes and Ginsburg 2003; Mazo and Van der Made 2012). Some authors (Mazo 1996; Mazo and Van der Made 2012) concluded that gomphotheres were not recorded in the Iberian Peninsula until MN4, but indeed Gomphotherium has been reported from the Vallès-Penedès Basin in Torrent de Vilaroc (Crusafont and Truyols 1954; Crusafont et al. 1954, 1955; Bergounioux and Crouzel 1957, 1958; Mazo and Van der Made 2012) and Sant Andreu de la Barca (Agustí and Galobart 1998; Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2011b, 2016b). The latter site is conclusively correlated to MN3 (Agustí et al. 1985), and in particular to Ramblian zone A (Casanovas-Vilar et al. 2011b, 2016b), while Torrent de Vilaroc must be slightly younger but similarly correlated to the same zone, given its stratigraphic position just a few meters above Moli Calopa (Crusafont et al. 1955). Based on the upper limit of zone A (Van der Meulen et al. 2012), an age older than 17 Ma can be inferred for both localities and, hence, for the dispersal of gomphotheres in the Vallès-Penedès Basin. In contrast, the oldest record of Prodeinotherium in the same basin could correspond either to the MN4 or earliest MN5. Els Casots and les Esclletes are unquestionably correlated to the early MN5 (as defined by Hilgen et al. 2012). Can Mas, Can Canals, and el Canyet are close to each other and located within the same alluvial unit, stratigraphically below les Esclletes and the marine Langhian deposits in this area. The scarce small mammal fauna recovered at el Canyet excludes an age older than MN4 for this site (Agustí 1981; Jovells-Vaqué and Casanovas-Vilar in press), and furthermore the three localities are several meters above the classical MN3 site of Molí Calopa. Therefore, they are certainly older than 16 Ma, but their correlation to MN4 or MN5 cannot be refined because of the lack of diagnostic small mammal data. However, the presence of P. cf. P. cuvieri at el Canyet is consistent with an older (MN4) age of this site as compared with les Esclletes, thus being chronologically similar to the other record of this species from Iberia, Artesilla (ca. 16.5 Ma; Azanza et al. 1993). Given the geographical location of the Iberian Peninsula, the proboscidean record from the early Miocene of the Vallès-Penedès Basin lends further support to the view that gomphotheres dispersed across Western Europe somewhat earlier than deinotheres.

Conclusions

The comparison of the Prodeinotherium remains from the Vallès-Penedès Basin with those from elsewhere in Europe indicates that two different species are recorded, which we tentatively assign to P. cf. P. cuvieri and P. cf. P. bavaricum. The presence of the latter taxon contrasts with the classical correlation of all the studied localities to the early Miocene (MN4) and supports recent studies that advocate for a somewhat younger age (early MN5) than previously assumed for most of them. In agreement with recent publications, our comparisons also support the distinct species status of P. cuvieri, and further indicate that, besides differences in overall tooth size, m3 size and proportions would be diagnostic between the two species. The record of Prodeinotherium in the Vallès-Penedès Basin further supports the view that deinotheres dispersed into western Europe somewhat later than gomphotheres.
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