Changes in hospital utilization by individuals experiencing homelessness after engaging with interdisciplinary, low-barrier healthcare services in Portland, Maine

Sarah Hemphill BA
*Tufts University School of Medicine*

*Et al.*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://knowledgeconnection.mainehealth.org/jmmc](https://knowledgeconnection.mainehealth.org/jmmc)

Part of the Clinical and Medical Social Work Commons, Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons, and the Interprofessional Education Commons

**Recommended Citation**

Hemphill, Sarah BA; Normandin, Adam MD, MS; and Rothenberg, Debra MD, PhD (2020) "Changes in hospital utilization by individuals experiencing homelessness after engaging with interdisciplinary, low-barrier healthcare services in Portland, Maine," *Journal of Maine Medical Center*: Vol. 2 : Iss. 1 , Article 5. Available at: [https://knowledgeconnection.mainehealth.org/jmmc/vol2/iss1/5](https://knowledgeconnection.mainehealth.org/jmmc/vol2/iss1/5) https://doi.org/10.46804/2641-2225.1038

The views and thoughts expressed in this manuscript belong solely to the author[s] and do not reflect the opinions of the Journal of Maine Medical Center or MaineHealth.

This Original Research is brought to you for free and open access by Maine Medical Center Department of Medical Education. It has been accepted for inclusion in the Journal of Maine Medical Center by an authorized editor of the MaineHealth Knowledge Connection. For more information, please contact Dina McKelvy mckeld1@mmc.org.
Changes in hospital utilization by individuals experiencing homelessness after engaging with interdisciplinary, low-barrier healthcare services in Portland, Maine

Acknowledgements
Kathleen Fairfield Tina Holt Amy Haskins

Authors
Sarah Hemphill BA; Adam Normandin MD, MS; and Debra Rothenberg MD, PhD

This original research is available in Journal of Maine Medical Center: https://knowledgeconnection.mainehealth.org/jmmc/vol2/iss1/5
Hospital Utilization by Individuals Experiencing Homelessness after Engaging with Interdisciplinary, Low-Barrier Health Care Services in Portland, Maine

Sarah Hemphill, BA,1 Adam Normandin, MD, MS,2 Debra Rothenberg, MD, PhD2

1Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 2Department of Family Medicine, Maine Medical Center, Portland, ME

Introduction: The Preble Street Learning Collaborative (PSLC) aims to address unmet health care needs of people experiencing homelessness. The PSLC provides low-barrier health care near many social services in Portland, Maine. We evaluated the impact of the PSLC by describing the demographic characteristics and patterns in hospital utilization of patients served by the PSLC.

Methods: We performed a retrospective chart review of 888 patients with PSLC encounters between January 2017 and July 2018. We collected data from electronic health records that included one year before and after each patient’s first PSLC encounter. We stratified patients based on the number of emergency department (ED) visits in the year before the first PSLC encounter. Non-utilizers (n = 392) made 0 visits, low-utilizers (n = 356) made 1-3 visits, high-utilizers (n = 116) made 4-9 visits, and very-high-utilizers (n = 24) made ≥10 visits.

Results: Pre- to post-PSLC engagement, we found significant increases in the mean number of ED visits (0 to 0.96, \( P < .001 \)) and hospital admissions (0 to 0.15, \( P < .001 \)), as well as length of stay (0 to 1.16 days, \( P < .001 \)) for non-utilizers. Significant decreases in mean ED visits were seen for high-utilizers (5.55 to 4.12, \( P = .005 \)) and very-high-utilizers (15.0 to 5.92, \( P < .001 \)).

Conclusions: PSLC engagement was associated with decreased ED visits by high- and very-high-utilizers, and increased visits by non-utilizers. Health systems may reduce ED utilization by providing low-barrier access to health care in clinics focused on serving individuals experiencing homelessness.
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Individuals experiencing homelessness suffer a disproportionately high burden of disease, often delay seeking medical care, and have many unmet health care needs.1-5 Furthermore, homelessness contributes to a 7.9–11.9-fold increase in all-cause mortality.6-8 Health care expenditures associated with treating those experiencing homelessness are 3.8-fold higher than housed persons,7,9 and people experiencing homelessness are more likely to be high-utilizers of the emergency department (ED).2,3,10-14

In Maine, the number of people experiencing homelessness grew 37% from 2010 to 2019.15 In 2017, almost half of these individuals were located in Portland.16 Best practices in engaging this population in health care suggest that services must be low-barrier and highly coordinated with other community entities.17 In 2017, the PSLC was established in response to a hospital and community needs assessment. The report cited 1) a lack of formal training in health care disparities in hospital residency programs18 and 2) a community’s need for low-barrier access to health services, care coordination, and a new point of entry to the health system for people experiencing homelessness. Health care and community leaders sought mutual benefit in the PSLC.19 The PSLC is staffed by a full-time nurse practitioner, six social workers, and
a health services coordinator. Implied by its title, the “Learning Collaborative” pairs this staff with residents in medicine, family medicine, emergency medicine, psychiatry, and pharmacy to provide interdisciplinary care. The PSLC identifies and meets the basic needs of all who walk in the door (e.g., wound care, minor infections, counseling, short-term respite from the street, insurance applications, transportation to medical appointments) in an effort to engage high-risk patients and connect them with long-term medical homes. The PSLC is strategically located near key community resources, such as a soup kitchen, shelter, general assistance, and the city’s Federally Qualified Health Center. This location is readily accessible to those experiencing homelessness and staying at the shelter, which largely defines the population served by the PSLC, though services are accessible to anyone. The PSLC also uses a shared electronic health record (EHR) with the hospital system, enabling improved care coordination and communication with other providers. There is currently no cost to patients who receive care at the PSLC.

This study characterized the PSLC patient population and evaluated changes in their ED and hospital utilization before and after any kind of engagement with the PSLC. We hypothesized that by meeting basic unmet health care needs, the PSLC can reduce overutilization of acute hospital-based care.

METHODS

We present a retrospective cohort study of EHR data of adults experiencing homelessness in Portland, Maine who were seen one or more times by PSLC providers between January 2017 and July 2019. The Maine Medical Center (MMC) institutional review board granted approval of this study.

Study population

All patients with a documented encounter at the PSLC were initially included in the study. Encounters included office visits, off-site visits, patient outreach, clinical updates, or telephone calls documented in the EHR. The PSLC went live with MMC’s EHR in January 2018. A separate secure database stored PSLC encounter records before January 2018. We used patient identifiers to link that database to unique Medical Record Numbers (MRN) in the EHR.

Patients were excluded from the final study cohort if 1) we could not link their identifiers to an MRN, 2) one full year had not elapsed between their first PSLC encounter and data extraction, or 3) they passed away during the year following their first PSLC encounter.

Data collection

EHR data were extracted on all eligible individuals on July 18, 2019. Demographic data collected included age, gender, ethnicity/race, preferred language, insurance status at first encounter, insurance status as of July 2019, and employment status. All demographic data were taken from the EHR and could have been entered by any provider. These data may or may not have been self-reported. The first PSLC encounter was the date of the first documented encounter of any kind that the individual had with the PSLC. Hospital encounter data included all ED visits and subsequent hospital admissions, if applicable. Visits to any ED or urgent care in our health care system were included, which we collectively refer to as “ED visits.” Thus, hospital utilization was not limited to hospitals in the immediate Portland area. For each individual, the number of ED visits, the number of hospital admissions, and the total length of stay (LOS) in the hospital, in days, were counted for 365 days before and 365 days after each patient’s first PSLC encounter. Because the times of PSLC encounters were not consistently available, ED visits that occurred on the same day as the first PSLC encounter were counted as occurring after the first PSLC encounter. Individuals with no ED visits or hospital admissions were assigned a value of 0 for all fields and were included in the analysis.

Data analysis

All data were analyzed using R with the packages readr, dplyr, and lubridate. Statistical significance was determined using paired two-tailed t-tests with significance level α = 0.05. Consistent with previous studies on ED utilization, we divided the cohort into four subgroups based on baseline ED utilization, or the number of ED visits during the year before the patient’s first PSLC encounter. Non-utilizers had no ED visits during the year before first PSLC encounter, low-utilizers had 1-3 visits, high-utilizers had 4-9 visits, and very-high-utilizers had ≥10 ED visits. Means reflect all members of each group.
RESULTS
A total of 1,554 patients had encounters at the PSLC since it opened in January 2017. Of these, 17 were excluded because their PSLC record could not be matched to an EHR MRN. Another 649 were excluded because a full year had not elapsed between their first PSLC encounter and the time of data extraction, or they passed away within 1 year of the first encounter. Thus, 888 patients were included in the final study cohort (Figure 1).

The study population was predominantly younger than 50 years, male, white, English-speaking, unemployed, and insured by Medicaid or Medicare (Table 1). Specifically, 582 patients (65%) were younger than 50 years, and 743 (84%) were white. Further, 826 patients (93%) had English as their preferred language. Compared to each patient's first PSLC encounter (July 2018 or before), there was a lower rate of uninsurance (38% versus 20%) and a higher proportion of individuals with Medicare or Medicaid (52% versus 63%) as of July 2019.

Table 2 shows hospital utilization rates during the year before and after the first PSLC encounter. Overall, the study cohort had a higher total number of ED visits (1597 pre-PSLC versus 1641 post-PSLC), hospital admissions (166 versus 187) and LOS in the hospital (1522 versus 1804 days). For non-utilizers (n = 392), there was a significant increase in the mean number of ED visits (0 [standard deviation; 0] to 0.96 [1.58], P < .001), number of hospital admissions (0 [0] to 0.15 [0.49], P < .001), and LOS (0 [0] to 1.16 [5.26], P < .001). Low-utilizers (n = 356) did not show any significant changes. High utilizers (n = 116) showed significant decreases in ED visits (5.55 [1.61] to 4.12 [5.57], P = .0054) and admissions (0.59 [1.07] to 0.28 [0.69], P = .0018). Very-high-utilizers (n = 24) had
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Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Cohort (n = 888)

| Characteristic                                      | Frequency, n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| **Age, y**                                          |                  |
| ≤19                                                 | 18 (2)           |
| 20-29                                               | 155 (17)         |
| 30-39                                               | 226 (25)         |
| 40-49                                               | 183 (21)         |
| 50-59                                               | 201 (23)         |
| 60-69                                               | 94 (11)          |
| ≥70                                                 | 11 (1)           |
| **Gender**                                          |                  |
| Male                                                | 579 (65)         |
| Female                                              | 309 (35)         |
| **Race/ethnicity**                                 |                  |
| White                                               | 743 (84)         |
| Black or African American                           | 74 (8)           |
| Other race                                          | 31 (3)           |
| Unknown                                             | 27 (3)           |
| American Indian and Alaska Native                   | 13 (1)           |
| **Preferred language**                              |                  |
| English                                             | 826 (93)         |
| Unknown                                             | 21 (2)           |
| Spanish                                             | 11 (1)           |
| French                                              | 10 (1)           |
| Other language                                      | 20 (2)           |
| **Insurance at first encounter (July 2018 or before)** |                  |
| Commercial                                          | 71 (8)           |
| Medicaid                                            | 306 (34)         |
| Medicare                                            | 158 (18)         |
| Other government insurance                          | 13 (1)           |
| None                                                | 340 (38)         |
| **Insurance as of July 2019**                       |                  |
| Commercial                                          | 91 (10)          |
| Medicaid                                            | 377 (42)         |
| Medicare                                            | 180 (20)         |
| Other government insurance                          | 17 (2)           |
| None                                                | 223 (25)         |
| **Employment status as of July 2019**               |                  |
| Employed full time                                  | 74 (8)           |
| Employed part time                                  | 55 (6)           |
| Self employed                                       | 24 (3)           |
| Retired                                             | 25 (3)           |
| Not employed                                        | 459 (52)         |
| Disabled                                            | 205 (23)         |
| Student                                             | 4 (0)            |
| Unknown                                             | 42 (5)           |
| Utilization group | n  | Total (SD) | Mean (SD) | P value | Total (SD) | Mean (SD) | P value | Total (SD) | Mean (SD) | P value |
|------------------|----|------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|
|                  | Pre-PSLC | Post-PSLC | Pre-PSLC | Post-PSLC | Pre-PSLC | Post-PSLC | Pre-PSLC | Post-PSLC |
| Non-utilizers    | 392 | 0 (0)     | 0.00 (0)  | < .001  | 0 (0)      | 0.00 (0)  | < .001  | 0 (0)      | 1.16 (5.26) | < .001 |
| Low-utilizers    | 356 | 593 (0.78)| 1.67 (0.78)| .31     | 74 (0.48)  | 0.21 (0.48)| < .001  | 83 (9.60)  | 2.48 (9.60)| .95    |
| High-utilizers   | 116 | 644 (1.61)| 5.55 (1.61)| .0054   | 69 (1.07)  | 0.59 (1.07)| .0018   | 502 (11.9) | 4.33 (11.9) | .71    |
| Very-high-       | 24  | 360 (4.80)| 15.0 (4.80)| < .001  | 23 (1.55)  | 0.96 (1.55)| .10     | 137 (10.37)| 5.71 (10.37)| .56    |
|.utilizers        |     |           |           |         |            |           |         |            |           |        |
| Total            | 888 | 1597      | 1641      | .001    | 166        | 187       | .01     | 1522       | 1804      |        |
a significant decrease in ED visits (15.0 [4.8] to 5.92 [5.68], \( P < .001 \)) and did not show significant changes in admissions and LOS.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to evaluate changes in hospital utilization by patients experiencing homelessness served by the PSLC’s interdisciplinary team. This study focused on a population-based intervention, rather than one targeted to individuals already identified as having complex health and social needs\(^2\) or as being high-utilizers of the hospital. We demonstrated that both increases and decreases in hospital utilization were associated with engaging in care at a new low-barrier point of entry to health care, as well as intense care coordination within easy reach of the high-risk population. Overall, the study cohort’s hospital utilization in all three measures increased between the year before and after engagement with the PSLC. The subgroup analysis revealed decreased ED utilization for high- and very-high-utilizers, representing 16% of the cohort (\( n = 140 \)), after their first engagement with the PSLC. This finding suggests that establishing community-based, low-barrier, interdisciplinary care teams may contribute to more efficient use of the health care system by those experiencing homelessness who use the ED for care at higher rates.

Mean utilization increased for the non-utilizers in all three measures, a key driver for the observed overall increase in utilization across the cohort. We predict that these patients increased their hospital utilization, in part, by the PSLC identifying unmet needs that required emergent care. Also contributory could be the migratory lifestyle of those experiencing homelessness.\(^2\) For example, new arrivals to the community may not have been seen in the year before their first contact with the PSLC because they received care elsewhere; thus, their hospital utilization could only have increased in the following year.

Another notable finding was the lower proportion of patients who were uninsured at their first PSLC visit (38%) compared to July 2019 (25%), which was accompanied by a higher rate of insurance by either Medicare or Medicaid (52% versus 63%). Social workers at the PSLC actively assist clients in successfully applying for Medicare and Medicaid coverage. Maine’s decision to expand Medicaid during the study period is also notable. This change—occurring in January 2019—could have been a major contributor to the observed changes in insurance rates and hospital utilization due to increased connection to health care.\(^2\)

Another limitation of our study was that while we evaluated utilization data from the largest hospital system in our state, we did not include data from smaller regional hospitals and EDs where patients may have received care. Future studies will seek to incorporate these data.

The previously noted transient nature of this population brings another inherent limitation to the study. Our results could have been affected by patients leaving our community. Such patients may appear to have less utilization in the year after their first PSLC encounter because they had left our health care system for another system.

CONCLUSIONS

The uncertainty of food, shelter, and safety while experiencing homelessness amplifies disease and vulnerability in this population. Our findings illustrate initial success of the PSLC’s interdisciplinary team of a nurse practitioner, social workers, and medical, dental, and psychiatric providers in meeting previously unmet needs of the homeless population in Portland, Maine. We correlated increased access to complex coordination of care and decreased hospital utilization for some patients. While the generalizability of these results is not yet known, other health care systems may reduce ED utilization by providing low-barrier access to complex care coordination in clinics specifically focused on serving populations experiencing homelessness.

This study was a short-term look at hospital utilization, an imperfect proxy for acute health care needs. We plan to continue following this cohort, and we predict that, over time, hospital utilization will decrease for all individuals in the cohort. We also plan to study the reasons for ED visits and admissions to the hospital, and if those reasons changed after PSLC engagement. Finally, we hope to identify the specific PSLC interventions that contribute to improved outcomes and decreased hospital utilization for high- and very-high-utilizers. At present, our hypothesis remains that a well-rounded, interdisciplinary team is key. However, it is possible that one or more interventions offered by the PSLC (e.g., intensive medical case management, low-barrier medication-assisted...
treatment for substance use disorder, mental health services) are more effective than others. By identifying the highest-impact interventions, we can design more targeted methods to improve health and advocate for continued institutional support of such endeavors. This study demonstrates early success and opens doors to explore new ways to improve health care for this community.
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