CAVA (Ultrasound-Accelerated Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis on Preventing Post-Thrombotic Syndrome) Trial: Long-Term Follow-Up Results
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BACKGROUND: The CAVA (Ultrasound-Accelerated Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis Versus Anticoagulation for the Prevention of Post-Thrombotic Syndrome) trial did not show a reduction of post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS) after additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis in patients with acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis at 1-year follow-up. This prespecified analysis of the CAVA trial aimed to determine the impact of additional thrombolysis on outcomes of PTS at long-term follow-up.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Patients aged 18 to 85 years with a first-time acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis were included and randomly assigned (1:1) to either standard treatment plus ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis or standard treatment alone. The primary outcome was the proportion of PTS (Villalta score ≥5 on 2 occasions ≥3 months apart or venous ulceration) at the final follow-up visit. Additionally, PTS according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) consensus definition was assessed to allow external comparability. Major bleedings were the main safety outcome. At a median follow-up of 39.0 months (interquartile range, 23.3–63.8), 120 patients (79.8%) participated in the final follow-up visit: 62 from the intervention group and 58 from the standard treatment group. PTS developed in 19 (30.6%) versus 26 (44.8%) patients, respectively (odds ratio [OR], 0.54; 95% CI, 0.26 to 1.15 [P=0.11]), with an absolute difference between groups of −14.2% (95% CI, −32.0% to 4.8%). Using the ISTH consensus definition, a significant reduction in PTS was observed (29 [46.8%] versus 40 [69.0%]) (OR, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.19–0.84 [P=0.01]) with an absolute difference between groups of −22.2% (95% CI, −39.8% to −2.8%). No new major bleedings occurred following the 12-month follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS: The impact of additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis on the prevention of PTS was found to increase with time. Although this study was limited by its sample size, the overall findings indicate a reduction of mild PTS without impact on quality of life.

REGISTRATION: URL: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov; Unique identifier: NCT00970619.
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Post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS) is a complication of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) occurring in 40% to 60% of affected patients when treated according to current guidelines. The clinical presentation includes pain, swelling, heaviness, cramps, paresthesia, pruritus, edema, hyperpigmentation of the skin, venous ectasia, and the most serious feature venous ulceration of the post-thrombotic leg. While it usually occurs within the first year following the acute thrombotic event, PTS can also develop many years thereafter. It has serious negative implications for the quality of life and contributes to rising healthcare costs. In the absence of curative treatment options for PTS, emphasis lies on its prevention.

The potential of catheter-directed thrombolysis as an additional treatment modality to prevent PTS development has been assessed in 3 randomized controlled trials. The CaVenT (Catheter-Directed Venous Thrombolysis in Acute Iliofemoral Vein Thrombosis) trial showed a significant preventive effect with an absolute risk reduction of 14.4% (95% CI, 0.2% to 27.9%) in the occurrence of PTS after 2 years, increasing to 28% (95% CI, 14% to 42%) after 5 years of follow-up. However, the reduction in the occurrence of PTS did not result in a better quality of life. Interestingly, the ATTRACT (Acute Venous Thrombosis: Thrombus Removal With Adjunctive Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis) trial did not confirm the positive effect of catheter-directed thrombolysis on the prevention of PTS after 2 years of follow-up. Although a significantly lower symptom severity was seen, this had no impact on quality of life. Interestingly, the ATTRACT trial did not confirm the positive effect of catheter-directed thrombolysis on the prevention of PTS after 2 years of follow-up. Although a significantly lower symptom severity was seen, this had no impact on quality of life. The limiting effect of additional catheter-directed thrombolysis on the severity of symptoms was shown in a subanalysis of the ATTRACT trial including only patients with iliofemoral DVT known to have a higher risk of developing PTS. Also, an improvement in disease-specific quality of life was observed in this subgroup.

Most recently, the CAVA (Ultrasound-Accelerated Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis Versus Anticoagulation for the Prevention of Post-Thrombotic Syndrome) trial, which included only patients with iliofemoral DVT, showed neither a significant preventive effect by the addition of catheter-directed thrombolysis to standard care on the development of PTS at 1-year follow-up, nor a positive impact on quality of life. However, a post hoc subanalysis of the CAVA trial data showed that if recanalization was considered successful (ie, an accomplished patency of ≥90% with adequate inflow and outflow in all affected vein segments as established on venous angiogram at the end of the interventional treatment), this was associated with a significantly reduced symptom severity as well as reduced time to regained quality of life. However, no difference in the proportion of PTS at 1-year follow-up according to the
International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) consensus definition (ie, a Villalta score of ≥5 or venous ulceration at the 6-month assessment or later13) was observed.

Since PTS can develop many years after the acute event, this prespecified analysis of the CAVA trial was aimed to evaluate the long-term effect of additional catheter-directed thrombolysis on the development of PTS.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

The CAVA trial was a multicenter, randomized, single-blind, allocation-concealed, parallel-group, superiority trial designed to assess the impact of additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis compared with standard post-thrombotic management on the development of PTS after acute iliofemoral DVT. The main outcomes and study protocol have been previously published.15 This prespecified analysis of the long-term results is part of the protocol as approved by the review boards of all participating centers.

The study was performed in 15 hospitals in the Netherlands, of which 6 were ascertained as interventional centers and therefore responsible for performing all thrombolytic interventions. A full list of participating centers can be found in Data S1. Patients were eligible for participation if aged 18 to 85 years with an objective first-time iliofemoral DVT and a maximum symptom duration of 14 days. Increased bleeding risk or limited life expectancy were reasons for exclusion. Table S1 provides a full list of inclusion and exclusion criteria. All participants provided written informed consent before randomization.

Data Sharing

Request for access to the deidentified individual participant data underlying the reported results should be directed to the corresponding author at arina.tencate@maastrichtuniversity.nl.

Randomization and Masking

Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to standard therapy or to standard therapy with additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis (including eventual adjunctive procedures). A web-based randomization program (TENALEA, ALEA version release 2.2) was used applying a random variable block size (2–12) and stratification for participating center and age (18–50 years, 51–70 years, and 71–85 years). Randomization was performed and communicated to the patient by the study coordinator at the Maastricht University Medical Centre. Patients were asked not to disclose treatment allocation to their treating physician or local study personnel during follow-up visits. Data analysis was performed by the coordinating researchers who were blinded to treatment allocation.

Procedures

Standard treatment was applied to all included patients and consisted of anticoagulant therapy prescribed according to international guidelines,4 initiation of compression therapy within 24 hours after diagnosis, and early mobilization.

Additionally, patients allocated to the intervention group were admitted to 1 of the 6 interventional centers where thrombolysis had to be initiated no more than 21 days after onset of symptoms. Details on the procedure of ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis have been previously reported.15 Following venography to confirm iliofemoral localization of the thrombus, the catheter of the Ekos Endowave System (Ekos Corporation) was inserted under local anaesthesia and ultrasound guidance and positioned at the level of the thrombosed vein segments. After placement of the catheter, a single bolus dose of 250,000 IU of urokinase diluted in 10 mL NaCl was administered in addition to the continuous infusion of 100,000 IU/h urokinase and 1000 IU/h heparin for the duration of the intervention. Furthermore, during the intervention, standard oral anticoagulant treatment was replaced by therapeutic doses of low-molecular weight heparin. When thrombolysis was terminated, standard oral anticoagulant therapy was reinstalled 1 hour after removal of the sheath. Thrombolysis was terminated in case of a regained venous patency of ≥90%, 48 hours without improvement of patency as assessed with daily venography, a persisting deviance in coagulation status according to the 6 hourly laboratory tests (activated partial thromboplastin time >80 s, fibrinogen <8 mm in rotational thromboelastometry assay for the fibrin part of the clot, or plasma fibrinogen <1.8 g/L), or when the maximum duration of thrombolysis (96 hours) was exceeded. Adjunctive procedures (eg, thrombosuction, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, dedicated venous stent placement, endophlebectomy, creating an arteriovenous fistula, or a combination of the former) were recommended in the presence of compression syndromes or a residual venous lumen reduction of ≥50% but performed at the discretion of the operator.

Regular follow-up study visits were performed at the outpatient clinic at 3, 6, and 12 months after inclusion and annually thereafter if preferred by the patient. During the study’s long-term follow-up phase (ie,
follow-up assessments of more than 12 months performed after inclusion of the last patient) visits were clustered. The closing study visit included assessment of clinical scores, health-related quality of life, and a standardized extensive duplex ultrasound. A detailed overview of the follow-up schedule and performed assessments can be found in Table S2.

Outcomes
Outcomes of this prespecified analysis of long-term results of the CAVA trial conform with the outcomes previously reported. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients with PTS during follow-up later than 12 months assessed according to the original definition: the development of venous ulceration or a Villalta score ≥5 on 2 separate occasions at least 3 months apart with the first assessment at least 3 months after the acute event. In addition, the proportion of patients with PTS according to the ISTH consensus scoring method (venous ulceration or a Villalta score ≥5 after 6 months of follow-up or later) was reported, as well as the severity of PTS assessed using both the Villalta scale (0–33: differentiating into none [-5], mild [5–9], moderate [10–14], or severe [≥15 or venous ulceration] PTS) and the venous clinical severity score (VCSS) (0–30; with higher scores indicating more complaints). The occurrence of major bleedings was recorded as the main safety outcome. Other adverse events such as recurrent (nonsten) DVT, in-stent thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, or death were secondary outcomes.

Another secondary outcome was health-related quality of life assessed using the generic 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36, version 2), EuroQOL-5D (EQ5D), and Pain Disability Index (PDI), as well as the disease-specific VEINES-QoL/Sym (Venous Insufficiency Epidemiologic and Economic Study Quality of Life/Symptoms) questionnaire calculated using its original relative summary score and the intrinsic score method. The present report addresses the results of the aforementioned predefined primary and secondary outcomes at follow-up later than 12 months.

Statistical Analysis
Sample size calculation for the main CAVA trial was based on the assumption that the addition of catheter-directed thrombolysis to standard treatment would result in a 17% absolute risk reduction in the proportion of PTS compared with standard treatment alone. At a 2-sided significance level of 5% and a statistical power of 80%, with compensation for potential loss of patients during follow-up, a total of 180 patients were to be included. The analysis included all randomized patients from the modified intention-to-treat population who were still available and agreed to participate in the study follow-up closing visit. The primary analysis compared the proportion of patients with PTS at long-term follow-up using a univariate analysis of proportions (χ² analysis). Subsequently, the associated odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% CIs were calculated using StatPages and Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health (OpenEpi). Hazard ratios (HRs) and their corresponding 95% CIs were calculated using Cox proportional hazard models stratified for center and adjusted for age, sex, clinical presentation of the thrombotic event, and extent of the index thrombosis at duplex ultrasound using the lower extremity thrombosis classification.

Details on patient characteristics and risk factors, treatment characteristics, symptom severity, and adverse events were assessed using descriptive statistics and reported as appropriate. A mixed design ANOVA was performed to test for differences between groups and to assess changes over time (comparing scores at long-term with scores at 12-month follow-up). In case of a significant difference, clinical relevance was determined using the validated minimal clinically important differences (for the EQ5D and VEINES-QoL) or as calculated according to Norman et al.

A significance level of ≤0.05 (2-sided) was considered significant. In case of multiple testing adjusted significance levels based on the Bonferroni correction were used. Analyses were performed using SPSS (version 25, IBM), StatPages, or Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health (OpenEpi). A data safety monitoring committee oversaw the conduct of the study. The study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT00970619.

Role of the Funding Source
The CAVA trial was funded by a grant from ZonMw (The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, project number 171101001) and additional funding was provided by the board of the Maastricht University Medical Centre. The funders of this study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The authors involved in analyzing the data (P.N. and A.t.C.H.) had full access to all of the data in the study. The corresponding author had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

RESULTS
Between May 28, 2010, and September 18, 2017, a total of 184 patients were included and randomly assigned, of which 152 (82.6%) were included in the original modified intention-to-treat analysis (Figure).
Of these patients, 120 patients (78.9%) participated in the long-term follow-up: 62 (81%) patients allocated standard post-thrombotic management with additional catheter-directed thrombolysis and 58 (77%) patients receiving standard post-thrombotic management only.

Baseline characteristics were similar between both treatment groups. Table 1.

At a median follow-up of 39.0 months (interquartile range, 23.3–63.8) PTS occurred in 19 (30.6%) of 62 patients allocated additional thrombolysis.
compared with 26 (44.8%) of 58 patients from the standard treatment group (OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.26–1.15 \(P=0.11\)) (Table 2). The absolute difference was −14.2% (95% CI, −32.0% to 4.8%). The number of new diagnoses at the final follow-up visit were 3 (4.8%) in the intervention group compared with 5 (8.6%) in the standard treatment group, respectively \(P=0.64\).

PTS severity did not differ between the intervention group and the standard treatment group, classifying 5 (8.1%) versus 12 (20.7%) as mild (Villalta score 5–9, \(P=0.07\)), 13 (21.0%) versus 10 (17.2%) as moderate (Villalta score 10–14, \(P=0.60\)), and 1 (1.6%) versus 4 (6.9%) as severe (venous ulceration or Villalta score ≥15, \(P=0.20\)).

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics: Long-Term Follow-Up

|                           | Additional Thrombolysis (n=62) | Standard Treatment (n=58) | Total (N=120) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| **Age, y**                | 46.5 (37.0–63.3)               | 52.0 (37.8–64.0)          | 49.0 (37.3–63.8) |
| **Age, category**         |                                |                           |               |
| <40 y                     | 20 (32.3)                      | 16 (27.6)                 | 36 (30.0)     |
| 40–65 y                   | 27 (43.5)                      | 31 (53.4)                 | 58 (48.3)     |
| >65 y                     | 15 (24.2)                      | 11 (19.0)                 | 26 (21.7)     |
| **Sex**                   |                                |                           |               |
| Women                     | 32 (51.6)                      | 31 (53.4)                 | 63 (52.5)     |
| Men                       | 30 (48.4)                      | 27 (46.6)                 | 57 (47.5)     |
| **BMI***                  | 27.7±5.4                       | 27.4±4.3                  | 27.6±4.8      |
| **BMI, category***        |                                |                           |               |
| <25.0                     | 18 (29.0)                      | 18 (31.0)                 | 36 (30.0)     |
| 25.0–30.0                 | 27 (43.5)                      | 24 (41.4)                 | 51 (42.5)     |
| ≥30.0                     | 14 (22.6)                      | 12 (20.7)                 | 26 (21.7)     |
| Unknown                   | 3 (4.8)                        | 4 (6.9)                   | 7 (5.8)       |
| **Provoked DVT†**         | 29 (46.8)                      | 26 (44.8)                 | 55 (45.8)     |
| **No. of known risk factors** |                           |                           |               |
| 1                         | 24 (38.7)                      | 17 (29.3)                 | 41 (34.2)     |
| >1                        | 5 (8.1)                        | 9 (15.5)                  | 14 (11.7)     |
| Surgery in the previous 2 mo | 6 (9.7)                      | 8 (13.8)                  | 14 (11.7)     |
| Trauma in the previous 2 mo | 2 (3.2)                      | 3 (5.2)                   | 5 (4.2)       |
| Pregnancy or childbirth in the previous 3 mo | 8 (12.9) | 4 (6.9) | 12 (10.0) |
| Hormone replacement therapy | 2 (3.2)                      | 0 (0.0)                   | 2 (1.7)       |
| Oral contraceptives       | 8 (12.9)                       | 12 (20.7)                 | 20 (16.7)     |
| Previous contralateral DVT | 6 (9.7)                      | 4 (6.9)                   | 10 (8.3)      |
| Previous pulmonary embolism | 2 (3.2)                      | 4 (6.9)                   | 6 (5.0)       |
| Active malignancy‡        | 1 (1.6)                        | 1 (1.7)                   | 2 (1.7)       |
| **Thrombus location**     |                                |                           |               |
| Left                      | 42 (67.7)                      | 44 (75.9)                 | 86 (71.7)     |
| Right                     | 18 (29.0)                      | 12 (20.7)                 | 30 (25.0)     |
| Bilateral§                | 2 (3.2)                        | 2 (3.4)                   | 4 (3.3)       |
| Duration of symptoms at inclusion, d | 6.0 (3.0–11.0) | 6.5 (3.0–10.3) | 6.0 (3.0–11.0) |
| **Anticoagulant therapy at inclusion** |                           |                           |               |
| Vitamin K antagonists*    | 51 (82.3)                      | 50 (86.2)                 | 101 (84.2)    |
| Direct oral anticoagulants* | 10 (16.1)                     | 5 (8.6)                   | 15 (12.5)     |

Data are number (percentage), mean±SD, or median (interquartile range). Data represent the modified intention-to-treat population, which was included in this prespecified long-term follow-up analysis.

*Body mass index (BMI) is defined as the patient’s weight in kilograms divided by the square of the patient’s height in meters (kg/m²).

†Acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) is considered unprovoked in the absence of the following risk factors: surgery in the previous 2 months, trauma in the previous 2 months, pregnancy or childbirth in the previous 3 months, use of hormone replacement therapy, use of oral contraceptives, and active malignancy.

‡Active malignancy is defined as a current metastatic or progressive cancer diagnosis or having received cancer treatment within the previous 6 months.

§In the case of bilateral DVT, the leg with the most proximal localization was considered to be the index leg.

ǁThe vitamin K antagonists used during the study were acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon.

#The direct oral anticoagulants used during the study were rivaroxaban, apixaban, and dabigatran.
Using the ISTH consensus scoring method, the proportion of patients with PTS at long-term follow-up was 29 (46.8%) of 62 patients in the intervention group compared with 40 (69.0%) of 58 patients in the standard treatment group (OR, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.19 to 0.84 \( P = 0.01 \)). The number of new diagnoses at the final follow-up visit was significantly lower in patients with additional thrombolysis versus patients receiving standard treatment only: 5 (8.1%) versus 13 (22.4%), respectively \( P = 0.05 \). Only the number of patients with mild PTS differed significantly between groups: 12 (19.4%) in the thrombolysis group versus 24 (41.4%) in the standard treatment group \( P = 0.01 \). There were no differences in the distribution of PTS severity between the treatment groups according to the Villalta score or the VCSS (Table 2).

The HRs and 95% CIs stratified for center and adjusted for age, sex, clinical presentation of the thrombus, and extent of the thrombus for the intervention group versus the standard treatment group were 0.66 (95% CI, 0.36 to 1.23) using the original Villalta score and 0.75 (95% CI, 0.45 to 1.24) using the ISTH consensus scoring method.

No major bleedings or deaths occurred following the first year of follow-up (Table 3). Recurrent venous thromboembolism occurred similarly in both groups. Patients from the additional thrombolysis group developed 3 (4.8%) pulmonary emboli and 3 (4.8%) recurrent nonstent DVT compared with 2 (3.4%) and 6 (10.3%) events, respectively, in patients from the standard treatment group. In-stent thrombosis occurred in 2 (3.4%) patients from the intervention group, as well as in 1 (1.7%) patient allocated.
There were no differences between groups regarding the characteristics of standard treatment. However, compared with follow-up at 12 months the number of patients refraining from compression therapy increased: 34 (54.8%) compared with 11 (17.7%) of 62 patients in the intervention group \((P<0.001)\) and 25 (43.1%) compared with 10 (17.2%) of 58 in the standard treatment group \((P=0.002)\), there were no differences between groups. If compression therapy was used, adherence was high: 23 \((82.1\%)\) of 28 patients in the intervention group and 25 \((75.8\%)\) of 33 patients in the standard treatment group were adherent for >80% of days \((P=0.77)\). At final follow-up, anticoagulant therapy was used by 36 \((58.1\%)\) of 62 patients in the intervention group and 36 \((62.1\%)\) of 58 patients in the standard treatment group \((P=0.65)\). The share of direct oral anticoagulants in anticoagulant treatment doubled compared with the 12-month follow-up in both groups: from 8 \((25.0\%)\) of 32 patients to 18 \((50.0\%)\) of 36 patients in the intervention group \((P=0.03)\) and from 9 \((24.3\%)\) of 37 patients to 18 \((50.0\%)\) of 36 patients in the standard treatment group \((P=0.02)\), and were similar between groups.

The quality-of-life data from the 12-month follow-up and the final follow-up visit for both treatment groups are presented in Table 4. Change over time between the 12-month and the long-term follow-up in general health-related quality-of-life measures \((SF-36\) and EQ5D) was only significantly different for SF-36/ Physical Health \((P=0.05)\) favoring standard treatment. However, this difference was not clinically relevant based on the assumed minimal important difference as determined by the method of Norman et al.\(^{25}\)

Disease-specific health measures \((VEINES-QoL/Sym and intrinsic scores) were similar for both treatment groups.

**DISCUSSION**

In this long-term follow-up of patients from the CAVA trial, we found that differences in the prevalence of PTS between treatment groups did indeed increase over time.

At a median follow-up of >3 years, the difference in absolute risk for the development of PTS according to the original definition of the Villalta score was nonsignificant, even though it had increased to −14.2%, from −6.1% at 1-year follow-up. Neither was there a difference in syndrome severity between groups. However, when the definition proposed by the ISTH\(^{17}\) was used for matters of comparability, as this was the definition used in both the CaVenT\(^{8,11}\) and the ATTRACT trial\(^{12,14}\), a significant absolute difference of −22.2% in the proportion of PTS between groups favoring additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis over standard treatment was observed. This difference was a result of a significantly higher number of new diagnoses of mild PTS at the final follow-up visit in the standard treatment group. For neither definition of PTS, a clinically relevant change in any of the patient-reported quality-of-life scores was found. This latter finding is in line with the 5-year results as reported by the CaVenT trial investigators, who described an absolute risk reduction of 28% for PTS, which was also not associated with significant gains in any of the health-related quality-of-life measures.\(^{11}\) This might be explained by the fact that in both trials, PTS was mild in the majority of cases. Discontinuation of compression stockings might have contributed to the increased number of newly diagnosed patients with mild PTS at the long-term follow-up compared with follow-up at 12 months. This does not, however, explain why this would affect patients receiving standard treatment only. Stent placement in this patient was performed after the 12-month follow-up visit and was indicated because of May-Thurner syndrome.

There were no differences between groups regarding the characteristics of standard treatment. However, compared with follow-up at 12 months the number of patients refraining from compression therapy increased: 34 (54.8%) compared with 11 (17.7%) of 62 patients in the intervention group \((P<0.001)\) and 25 (43.1%) compared with 10 (17.2%) of 58 in the standard treatment group \((P=0.002)\), there were no differences between groups. If compression therapy was used, adherence was high: 23 \((82.1\%)\) of 28 patients in the intervention group and 25 \((75.8\%)\) of 33 patients in the standard treatment group were adherent for >80% of days \((P=0.77)\). At final follow-up, anticoagulant therapy was used by 36 \((58.1\%)\) of 62 patients in the intervention group and 36 \((62.1\%)\) of 58 patients in the standard treatment group \((P=0.65)\). The share of direct oral anticoagulants in anticoagulant treatment doubled compared with the 12-month follow-up in both groups: from 8 \((25.0\%)\) of 32 patients to 18 \((50.0\%)\) of 36 patients in the intervention group \((P=0.03)\) and from 9 \((24.3\%)\) of 37 patients to 18 \((50.0\%)\) of 36 patients in the standard treatment group \((P=0.02)\), and were similar between groups.

**Table 3. Safety Outcomes: Long-Term Follow-Up**

|                                | Additional Thrombolysis \((n=62)\) | Standard Treatment \((n=58)\) | Difference Between Treatment Groups, (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| **Primary outcome**            |                                   |                               |                                             |             |
| Major bleeding\(^{20}\)       | 0                                 | 0                             | 0.0%                                        | ...         |
| **Secondary outcomes**         |                                   |                               |                                             |             |
| Pulmonary embolism             | 3 (4.8)                           | 2 (3.4)                       | 1.4% (-5.7% to 6.8%)                        | 1.42 (0.23–8.84) |
| Recurrent (nonstent) DVT       | 3 (4.8)                           | 6 (10.3)                      | -5.5% (-12.8% to 5.0%)                      | 0.44 (0.11–1.85) |
| In-stent-thrombosis            | 2 (3.2)                           | 1 (1.7)*                      | 1.5% (-3.9% to 4.7%)                        | 1.90 (0.17–21.5) |
| Death                          | 0                                 | 0                             | 0.0%                                        | ...         |

Data are number (percentage). None of the comparisons in this table showed a statistically significant difference between groups. Reported results concern the occurrence of safety outcomes during long-term follow-up (>12 months after study inclusion).

\(^{*}\)One patient underwent venous stent placement after completing the 1-year study follow-up. Indication for treatment was the presence of May-Thurner Syndrome. DVT indicates deep vein thrombosis; and OR, odds ratio.
Table 4. Quality of Life: Long-Term Follow-Up

|                          | Additional Thrombolysis (n=62) | Standard Treatment (n=58) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Generic Quality of Life** |                               |                          |
| **SF-36**21, Physical Health |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 83.6±17.7                     | 77.8±25.1                |
| Final follow-up          | 80.3±20.3                     | 82.0±21.0                |
| **P** value for change (Δ) from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.636 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.048 |
| **SF-36**, Mental Health |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 86.1±39.1                     | 81.6±14.7                |
| Final follow-up          | 82.4±15.4                     | 84.8±15.6                |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.942 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.292 |
| **SF-36**, General Health |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 66.3±17.3                     | 66.4±22.9                |
| Final follow-up          | 66.1±17.5                     | 69.3±24.5                |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.339 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.339 |
| **EQ5D**22               |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 86.8±13.8                     | 83.4±20.0                |
| Final follow-up          | 84.5±15.9                     | 86.2±18.4                |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.727 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.214 |
| **PDI**23                |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 8.7±11.9                      | 12.8±15.8                |
| Final follow-up          | 11.8±14.6                     | 9.6±13.6                 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.499 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.072 |
| **Disease-Specific Quality of Life** |                              |                          |
| **VEINES-QoL**24,25      |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 50.0±11.1                     | 50.2±8.8                 |
| Final follow-up          | 49.9±8.7                      | 50.1±11.4                |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.552 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.623 |
| **VEINES-QoL Intrinsic**26 |                              |                          |
| At 12 mo                 | 70.8±17.5                     | 68.9±17.8                |
| Final follow-up          | 70.6±14.9                     | 72.2±16.9                |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit within treatment groups: **P**=0.508 |
| **P** value for Δ from 12 mo to final follow-up visit between treatment groups: **P**=0.322 |

Data are mean±SD. EQ5D indicates EuroQOL-5D; PDI, Pain Disability Index; SF-36, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey; and VEINES-QoL, Venous Insufficiency Epidemiological and Economic Study Quality of Life.
*Δ from 12 mo represents the absolute difference from 12 mo to final follow-up visit.
treatment more than those following additional thrombolytic therapy.

The observed use of anticoagulants at long-term follow-up was similar with what would be expected according to current guidelines: the percentages of patients using anticoagulants at the final follow-up visit matched the percentage of patients with unprovoked DVT registered at inclusion and therefore those eligible for long-term anticoagulation.4 We observed an increased use of direct oral anticoagulants, which is also in compliance with current guidelines. However, a beneficial effect of direct oral anticoagulants on the development of PTS is not likely as both treatment groups were treated similarly. At long-term follow-up, the occurrence of in-stent thrombosis was far less prevalent than in the acute phase. In contrast, the incidences for recurrent DVT and pulmonary embolism were not different from those in the first year of follow-up, rendering the assumption that stenting might have a preventive effect on recurrent thrombosis less likely.

Our study has its limitations, the most important being the limited sample size of the main CAVA trial, which, as a result, also applies, maybe even more so, to this long-term follow-up study. Although treatment groups remained comparable without significant differences in baseline characteristics, differences in unobserved prognostic factors could have been introduced between groups. Furthermore, other limitations from the main analysis15 also apply to the present report including the lengthy recruitment period attributable to stringent inclusion criteria, which may affect the results’ generalizability, the high rate of withdrawals before start of allocated treatment, and the higher-than-expected number of post-thrombotic diagnoses in the standard treatment group impacting the power. The strengths of the study are the high participation rate (78.9%) to the long-term follow-up with maintained comparability between treatment arms and the median follow-up of >3 years, allowing adequate comparison with the long-term results of the CaVenT trial.11

CONCLUSIONS

In this long-term follow-up of patients from the CAVA trial, we found that following additional ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis differences in absolute risk for the development of PTS increased over time. Although this study was limited by its sample size, the overall findings indicate a reduction in the proportion of PTS at long-term follow-up limited to mild PTS and without associated gain in quality of life.
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- Maasstad Hospital (n = 23)*: Andre de Smet – site PI.
- Maxima Medical Centre (n = 21): Lidwine Tick – site PI.
- Laurentius Hospital (n = 16): Marlene van de Poel – site PI.
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- Vie Curi Medical Centre (n = 11): Ad Koster – site PI.
- Haga Hospital (n = 11)*: Louis-Jean Vleming – site PI.
- Zuyderland Medical Centre (n = 10): Guy Mostard – site PI.
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- Amsterdam University Medical Centres, location AMC (n = 5)*: Michiel Coppens – site PI.
- St. Jans Gasthuis Hospital (n = 3): Antoni Gajic – site PI.
- St. Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein (n = 3): Jeroen Vincent – Site PI.
- Catharina Hospital Eindhoven (n = 3): Wim Peters – Site PI.
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* Participating interventional centre, performing ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis and eventual adjunctive interventions.
Table S1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

| Inclusion Criteria                                                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • Age 18 – 85 years;                                                                                   |
| • Objectively documented iliofemoral deep-vein thrombosis (complete or partial thrombosis of the       |
| common femoral vein or more cranial vein segments);                                                    |
| • Acute stage iliofemoral deep-vein thrombosis: onset of symptoms < 14 days;                           |
| • Life expectancy > 6 months;                                                                         |
| • First deep-vein thrombosis in the index leg.                                                         |

| Exclusion Criteria                                                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • Previous thrombosis of the affected limb;                                                           |
| • Varicosities/ Venous insufficiency CEAP classification C3 or higher,\(^{30}\)                        |
| • History of gastro-intestinal bleeding within the previous 12 months;                                 |
| • History of cerebrovascular accident or central nervous system disease within the previous 12 months; |
| • Severe hypertension (systolic >180 mmHg or diastolic > 100 mmHg);                                     |
| • Active malignancy (metastatic, progressive, or treated within the last 6 months);                   |
| • Increased alanine transaminase levels (> 3 times normal range*);                                     |
| • Renal failure (estimated GFR < 30 mL/min);                                                           |
| • Major surgery within the previous 6 weeks;                                                           |
| • Pregnancy                                                                                            |
| • Immobility (wheelchair dependent).                                                                  |

* The normal range of alanine transaminase levels is 34 international units/liter (IU/L) for women and 45 IU/L for men.
Table S2. Schedule of study assessments.

| What:                                                                 | How:                                                                 | Who/ Where:                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Prior to study inclusion                                            |                                                                      |                                                 |
| Objectify deep vein thrombosis                                      | Diagnostic process according to international guidelines at least including a 2-point compression ultrasound | Treating physician                              |
| Check eligibility for study participation                           | Check inclusion and exclusion criteria                                | Treating physician                              |
| Inform patient on the CAVA-trial                                    | Inform the patient on the CAVA-trial, the possibility to participate, and ask if patient is interested in participating | Treating physician                              |
| Refer patient for participation in CAVA-trial                       | Contact the study coordinator (MUMC)                                 | Treating physician                              |
| Including patient                                                   | Contact/Visit the patient to inform them on the purpose and content of the study, check eligibility, and ask if they are willing to participate | Study coordinator (MUMC)                        |
| Obtain informed consent                                             | Provide the patient with patient information and an informed consent form. Written informed consent was obtained after a prespecified reflection period | Study coordinator (MUMC)                        |
| Standard post-thrombotic care (applicable to both treatment groups) |                                                                      |                                                 |
| Provide standard post-thrombotic care                               | Post-thrombotic care according to international guidelines including early anticoagulation therapy, compression therapy, and mobilisation. | Treating physician                              |
| Randomisation                                                       |                                                                      |                                                 |
| Randomisation                                                       | Randomisation using TENALEA                                          | Study coordinator (MUMC)                        |
| Communication                                                       | Participation is confirmed but not treatment allocation by mail/letter to the patient’s treating physician and general practitioner. Allocated treatment is **communicated to the patient directly**. All patients would visit the intervention centre nearest to their homes for additional imaging and other study related assessments. If allocated to the intervention group, the interventional physician at the intervention centre nearest to the patient’s home was informed by the study coordinator and asked to initiate treatment. | Study coordinator (MUMC)                        |
| Baseline (All patients)                                             |                                                                      |                                                 |
| Clinical consultation and physical examination                      | Obtaining baseline characteristics and VCSS                         | Study personnel (interventional centres)         |
| Assessment of Health-related Quality of Life                        | Hand out and take in patient-reported Health-related Quality of life questionnaires: | Study personnel (interventional centres)         |
|                                                                     | - SF36v2                                                             |                                                 |
|                                                                     | - EQ5D                                                               |                                                 |
|                                                                     | - Pain Disability Index                                              |                                                 |
|                                                                     | - VEINES-QOL/Sym                                                     |                                                 |
| Imaging of the vein segments of the affected leg                   | Obtaining an extended duplex ultrasound of the affected leg (from the popliteal vein up to the diaphragm) and a Magnetic Resonance Venography or APG if available | Independent radiologist and/or registered vascular technologists (interventional centres) |
### Thrombolytic treatment (Only applicable to patients allocated to the intervention group)

| Procedure Description                                                                 | Procedure Details                                                                                   | Responsible Individuals/Departments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thrombolysis (including adjunctive stenting)                                         | Thrombolysis using Urokinase and the Ekos Endowave*-system. For details see the protocol/Supplementary Appendix. | Radiologists and/or vascular surgeons (interventional centres)                                         |
| Care after venous stenting (2 and 6 weeks after thrombolytic treatment)              | Clinical consultation and physical examination. Check for complications of the intervention, and symptom relief. | Study personnel or vascular surgeon that performed the intervention (interventional centres)          |
| Imaging of the vein segments of the affected leg                                     | Obtaining an extended duplex ultrasound to assess the result of the intervention.                    | Independent radiologist and/or registered vascular technologists (interventional centres)              |

### Follow-up visit at 3 months all patients (All patients)

| Procedure Description                                                                 | Procedure Details                                                                                   | Responsible Individuals/Departments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical consultation and physical examination                                      | Obtaining treatment characteristics (anticoagulation, adherence to compression therapy), adverse events, and Villalta-score | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
| Assessment of Health-related Quality of Life                                        | Hand out and take in patient-reported Health-related Quality of life questionnaires:                  | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - SF36v2                                                                                           | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - EQ5D                                                                                             | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - Pain Disability Index                                                                             | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - VEINES-QoL/Sym                                                                                    | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |

### Follow-up visit at 6 months all patients (All patients)

| Procedure Description                                                                 | Procedure Details                                                                                   | Responsible Individuals/Departments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical consultation and physical examination                                      | Obtaining treatment characteristics (anticoagulation, adherence to compression therapy), adverse events, and Villalta-score | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
| Assessment of Health-related Quality of Life                                        | Hand out and take in patient-reported Health-related Quality of life questionnaires:                  | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - SF36v2                                                                                           | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - EQ5D                                                                                             | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - Pain Disability Index                                                                             | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |
|                                                                                      | - VEINES-QoL/Sym                                                                                    | Local study personnel or treating physician (interventional and contributing centres)                  |

### Follow-up visit at 12 months (All patients)

| Procedure Description                                                                 | Procedure Details                                                                                   | Responsible Individuals/Departments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical consultation and physical examination                                      | Obtaining treatment characteristics (anticoagulation, adherence to compression therapy), adverse events, Villalta-score and VCSS | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
| Assessment of Health-related Quality of Life                                        | Hand out and take in patient-reported Health-related Quality of life questionnaires:                  | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
|                                                                                      | - SF36v2                                                                                           | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
|                                                                                      | - EQ5D                                                                                             | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
|                                                                                      | - Pain Disability Index                                                                             | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
|                                                                                      | - VEINES-QoL/Sym                                                                                    | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
| Imaging of the vein segments of the affected leg                                   | Obtaining an extended duplex ultrasound of the affected leg (from the popliteal vein up to the diaphragm) and a Magnetic Resonance Venography or Air PlethysmoGraphy if available. | Independent radiologist and/or registered vascular technologists (interventional centres)              |

### Final follow-up visit (All patients)

| Procedure Description                                                                 | Procedure Details                                                                                   | Responsible Individuals/Departments                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical consultation and physical examination                                      | Obtaining treatment characteristics (anticoagulation, adherence to compression therapy), adverse events, Villalta-score and VCSS | Study personnel (interventional centres)                                                             |
| Assessment of Health-related Quality of Life | Hand out and take in patient-reported Health-related Quality of life questionnaires: | Study personnel (interventional centres) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                                            | - SF36v2                                                          |                                            |
|                                            | - EQ5D                                                            |                                            |
|                                            | - Pain Disability Index                                            |                                            |
|                                            | - VEINES-QoL/Sym                                                   |                                            |
| Imaging of the vein segments of the affected leg | Obtaining an extended duplex ultrasound of the affected leg (from the popliteal vein up to the diaphragm). | Registered vascular technologist (interventional centres) |

EQ5D = EuroQoL 5D-3L questionnaire. SF36v2 = Short Form 36-Health Survey version 2. MUMC = Maastricht University Medical Centre. VCSS = Venous Clinical Severity Score. VEINES QOL/Sym = VEnous INsufficiency Epidemiological and Economic Study - Quality of Life questionnaire.