Plastic waste as a novel substrate for industrial biotechnology
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Two hundred and seventy five million tons of plastic waste were produced in 2010 alone (Jambeck et al., 2015), with Europe accounting for about 55 million tons per year. The environmental impact of these, primarily fossil-based, plastics has been broadly discussed. While the vast majority of these polymers are not biodegradable, their strength and light weight provide comparative advantages. Poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET), for instance, has contributed significantly to reducing energy expenditure during transport, especially in the beverage industry. Due to its thermoplastic nature PET is also easy to recycle. However, recycled PET products struggle to compete with virgin PET on price and quality, leading to an overall European recycling rate of less than 30% (PlasticsEurope, 2015). Polyurethanes (PU) are used extensively in a wide range of applications including construction, transportation, furniture and medicine. Since many PU types have a thermoset nature with covalent cross links, one of the main concerns for this plastic is the notable lack of end-of-life recycling (< 5%). Finally, polyethylene (the most used plastic, ca. 140 million tons per year), is considered to be practically inert and its recycling (other than its downcycling into lumber) is economically unfavourable (Sivan, 2011), thereby creating a phenom-enal environmental impact, especially in marine environments (Cozar et al., 2014; 2015).

While a few countries manage major fractions of plastic waste through incineration in controlled industrial facilities, release of recalcitrant post-consumer plastic into nature remains a major problem globally. Significant amounts of plastic waste contribute to the large-scale pollution of the oceans (Katsnelson, 2015), with terms such as ‘the Great Pacific Garbage Patch’ and ‘the Trash Vortex’ mobilizing public opinion. The widespread distribution of microplastics in the food chain, with as yet unknown effects on biodiversity and human health is also appearing more in the scientific and general literature (Allsopp et al., 2006; Setala et al., 2014; Avio et al., 2015).

The momentum for change away from current practices and towards a sustainable model of exploitation of waste and renewable resources is growing. In order to counteract the pollutant/recycling problems, the revised European Union (EU) Waste Framework Directive has set a minimum plastic recycling target of 50% for household waste and 70% for building and construction waste, which must be reached by all EU member states by 2020. However, without a clear technology roadmap – not to mention an attractive market strategy, the increase in recycling rates will in our opinion not be achievable. Given this background, we propose to use plastic wastes as substrates for the synthesis of added value products, which will empower the recycling industry to a qualitatively new dimension.

How can microbial biotechnology contribute now to this enormous challenge? The advances in our understanding of microbial functions from enzyme, to pathways, and entire metabolic networks now allow the engineering of complex metabolic functions in microbes (Blank and Ebert, 2013). With the ever-advancing tools from synthetic biology e.g. for genome editing (Nikel et al., 2014), we can overcome the major challenges for a biotechnological plastic waste-based value chain. Some of the challenges and recent developments are highlighted below.
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Plastic depolymerization

Thermochemical depolymerization of plastics is a rapidly developing field, but often suffers from a lack of added value since the focus lies mostly on liquid and gaseous fuel [synthesis gas (syngas)] production or the re-polymerization to the original polymer. The thermochemical depolymerization of plastic wastes to monomers or syngas, followed by microbial fermentation to generate, for example, higher value biodegradable polymers has emerged as an exciting approach for plastic upcycling (Ward et al., 2006; Goff et al., 2007; Kenny et al., 2008; Guzik et al., 2014; Drzyzga et al., 2015). However improvements in the growth and levels of biodegradable polymer accumulation by bacteria from these substrates are required. Synthetic biology has the potential to address these plastic waste challenges. Several companies are developing technologies based on syngas fermentation from complex wastes, but most of them are still at the pre-commercial stage since various technical and economic challenges must be overcome before commercial-scale plants can be established (e.g. mass transfer limitation).

Biology may also be able to offer depolymerization solutions. While PET has previously been considered recalcitrant to biological degradation, recently it has been shown that it can be depolymerized by hydrolytic enzymes, such as cutinases and carboxyl esterases, produced by bacteria and fungi (Wei et al., 2014). In addition, several bacterial species can use PU as a sole carbon source using enzymes (PUase) mainly classified as esterases, lipases and proteases (Howard, 2002). Hydrolytic enzymes, including members of the mentioned classes, are already produced in bulk quantities and low cost for a variety of applications such as stain-removal agents in detergents and biomass depolymerization in second-generation biorefineries. Hence, the design of novel enzymatic degradation modules employing plastic hydrolyases with high rates of plastic depolymerization is possible. While microbes can degrade plastics such as polyethylene, the rates of degradation are slow (Yang et al., 2014). One can envisage the emergence of hydrolytic enzymes from nature and advanced genetic engineering with higher rates of depolymerization. In this context, we shall see both natural and tailored plastic-degrading biological agents emerge in the not-so-distant future, which will be able to operate under industrial conditions, where such capabilities can be optimally exploited.

Novel feedstocks

Besides hydrolytic activities, pathways for efficient use of the plastic monomers arising from depolymerization are required when using plastic waste as a feedstock. The conundrum is similar to issues encountered with lignocellulosic feedstocks, where the engineering of efficient xylose metabolizing strains was a breakthrough technology (for a recent review see: Kim et al., 2013). One organism that stands out when it comes to degrading plastic and plastic derived monomers is Pseudomonas. This is not surprising in view of the extraordinary metabolic and stress-resistance capabilities growingly found in this type of bacteria (Nikel et al., 2014). In fact, this genus includes some of the most efficient PU degraders known, enabling growth rates on polymeric substrates that rival the rates on many conventional substrates (Howard and Blake, 1998). Although Pseudomonas cannot degrade PET, its metabolic versatility enables it to utilize terephthalic acid as a carbon source in a biotechnological process for converting PET to polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) (Kenny et al., 2008). Furthermore, utilization of ethylene glycol, a product of PET depolymerization, was reported for Pseudomonas species (Muckschel et al., 2012). The exploitation of such catabolic routes for the synthesis of biodegradable polymers has been proposed (O’Connor et al., 2013).

Products from waste plastic

Pseudomonas is a promising host for biotechnology with a wide variety of possible products including biodegradable polymers (Ward et al., 2006; Goff et al., 2007; Kenny et al., 2008; Guzik et al., 2014; Tiso et al., 2015). Specific opportunities may be found in the increasing bioplastics and biosurfactant markets. Pseudomonas putida is an excellent choice for biopolymer and biosurfactant production, with reported natural PHA (bioplastic) production of up to 75% of the cell dry weight (Sun et al., 2007). In this rapidly developing field, new to nature PHAs can now also be synthesized by engineered microbes (Tortajada et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2014). These novel polyesters can be co-polymerized in vivo or blended during manufacturing, thereby increasing the possible applications. These exciting strategies pave the way to generate high-added value PHAs with applications beyond biodegradable packaging in other fields like nano/biomedicine (Dinjaski et al., 2014; Dinjaski and Prieto, 2015). Similarly, biosurfactants such as rhamnolipids can be produced by Pseudomonas (Mueller et al., 2011; Amani et al., 2013). Like PHA, the lipid moiety can originate either from lipid de novo synthesis or from beta-oxidation or from a combination of both pathways. The reported chain length of the lipid chains vary between 8 and 20 carbon atoms (Abdel-Mawgood et al., 2010). In combination with the defined synthesis of mono- and di-rhamnolipids, with one or two rhamnose residues, respectively (Ochsner et al., 1995), the synthesis of designer rhamnolipids is possible allowing the production of molecules tailored for a particular application.
When choosing a chassis for recombinant rhamnolipid synthesis, two aspects have to be considered. (i) Rhamnolipids are reported to have an antimicrobial activity (Haba et al., 2014) and hence the host has to be tolerant against high rhamnolipid concentrations. The Gram positive bacteria Bacillus subtilis and Corynebacterium glutamicum can be excluded based on this criterion, while P. putida grows at a high rate at concentrations above 90 g l\(^{-1}\). (ii) Some species of Pseudomonads are (facultative) pathogens, which restrict their application at industrial scale. Pseudomonas putida KT2440, having GRAS status, is an attractive host for recombinant rhamnolipid and PHA production (Ochsner et al., 1995; Wittgens et al., 2011; Tortajada et al., 2013).

Conclusion

Previously thought of recalcitrant waste plastics are now amenable to microbial biotechnology for the production of high-value products relevant to the circular economy. We believe that plastic waste can, and should be established as a novel second-generation carbon source for biotechnology. The analogy to the mega-developments in lignocellulosic biotech is clear: like biomass, plastic waste is simply a carbon-rich polymer. In fact, given the relatively simple and defined composition of plastics compared with biomass, as well as their extreme abundance, it is surprising that this resource has thus far gone mostly unnoticed as a biotechnology feedstock. Parallel developments to produce bio-based versions of fossil-based plastic monomers are already in place, e.g., ethylene, terephthalic acid, ethylene glycol, which will further contribute to the sustainability of plastics. When successful, plastic-upcycling through biotechnology will increase resource efficiency through the valorization of high-volume waste streams, while also helping to reduce the burden on terrestrial resources that are needed to supply food to the world’s human population. Thus, through microbial biotechnology, the hereto proposed plastic waste to plastic value workflow will enable new value chains across sectors including materials, chemicals and environmental technologies within the framework of a sustainable knowledge-based bio-economy, with tangible benefits to the environment and society.
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