Production of hydrogen peroxide in an intra-meander hyporheic zone at East River, Colorado
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The traditionally held assumption that photo-dependent processes are the predominant source of H₂O₂ in natural waters has been recently questioned by an increasing body of evidence showing the ubiquituousness of H₂O₂ in dark water bodies and in groundwater. In this study, we conducted field measurement of H₂O₂ in an intra-meander hyporheic zone and in surface water at East River, CO. On-site detection using a sensitive chemiluminescence method suggests H₂O₂ concentrations in groundwater ranging from 6 nM (at the most reduced region) to ~ 80 nM (in a locally oxygen-rich area) along the intra-meander transect with a maxima of 186 nM detected in the surface water in an early afternoon, lagging the maximum solar irradiance by ~ 1.5 h. Our results suggest that the dark profile of H₂O₂ in the hyporheic zone is closely correlated to local redox gradients, indicating that interactions between various redox sensitive elements could play an essential role. Due to its transient nature, the widespread presence of H₂O₂ in the hyporheic zone indicates the existence of a sustained balance between H₂O₂ production and consumption, which potentially involves a relatively rapid succession of various biogeochemically important processes (such as organic matter turnover, metal cycling and contaminant mobilization). More importantly, this study confirmed the occurrence of reactive oxygen species at a subsurface redox transition zone and further support our understanding of redox boundaries on reactive oxygen species generation and as key locations of biogeochemical activity.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide (O₂⁻), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and hydroxyl radical (HO·), are oxygen-containing reactive molecules with considerable environmental importance due to their high reactivity in mediating redox transformations. Extensive studies have shown that ROS are involved in various biogeochemical and ecologically significant processes, including nutrient cycling, contaminant transformation, oxidative stress and intracellular signalling in organisms, as well as the establishment of microbial symbioses. In addition, recent increasing recognition of the significant roles of ROS in soil carbon remineralisation and metal bioavailability urges a more comprehensive understanding of ROS generation and transformation in natural environments.

The dominant source of ROS in natural aquatic environments has long been attributed to photo-dependent processes. For example, photo-oxidation of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) is generally considered the predominant source of H₂O₂ in surface waters, with a number of studies reporting the occurrence of H₂O₂ in a wide range of natural waters, including oceans, lakes, coastal and estuarine waters, freshwater, and geothermal waters. However, this commonly held assumption has been recently questioned by an increasing body of evidence demonstrating ROS production under dark conditions, either of biological and/or chemical origins. To be more specific, while Zhang et al. reported the widespread occurrence of ROS (O₂⁻ and H₂O₂) in dark brackish and freshwater environments and attributed such dark profiles (at comparable concentrations as in sunlit waters) to biological contributions, Page et al. and Tong et al. detected extensive abiotic ROS flux from oxygenation of reduced humic acids and subsurface sediments under dark conditions, respectively. Further, our recent field study revealed light-independent generation of H₂O₂ in groundwater of an alluvial aquifer adjacent to the Colorado River near Rifle, CO and demonstrated that fluctuating redox conditions created at oxic/anoxic interfaces are likely to be the key locations for ROS
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Hyporheic exchange refers to the bi-directional exchange of mass, energy and living organisms between rivers and the shallow subsurface waters, leading to interactions between nutrient-rich groundwater and oxygen-rich river water, along with the formation of distinct redox and hydrodynamic gradients. Hyporheic zone has been identified as a critical component of river systems for decades due to its wide reaching consequences for water quality and stream ecology. For example, the constant mixing of surface water that typically has abundant oxygen content and high exposure to light, with groundwater that is more reduced and devoid of light but usually rich in nutrients renders the hyporheic zone highly redox-dynamic and ideally suited for ROS production. More importantly, such intensive mixing between waters with largely different chemical compositions facilitates microbial activity and diversity in a river system, not only leading to further generation of ROS via the biological pathway, but also resulting impact on the stream metabolism, carbon and nutrient cycling. The lack of field-deployable, real-time measurement of ROS in such dynamic redox regimes has left a significant gap in understanding the ROS biogeochemical cycle and hindered our complete appreciation of their importance in the ecosystem as a whole. Therefore, as an extension of our field study on H$_2$O$_2$ at the Rifle site, field measurements of H$_2$O$_2$ were conducted at the intra-meander region of the East River floodplain in Colorado, with the aim of further exploring the occurrence of ROS in a typical hyporheic zone.

Materials and methods

Reagents. Analytical grade chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or VWR International (or as otherwise stated) and used without further refinement. Acridinium ester (AE, 10-methyl-9-(p-formylphenyl) acridinium carboxylate trifluoromethanesulfonate) for measurement of H$_2$O$_2$ was purchased from Cayman Chemical (Michigan, USA). AE reagent of 5.0 µM at pH 3.0 was prepared each sampling day by dilution of a refrigerated AE stock solution (250 µM, pH 3.0) by adding 1.0 mM phosphate buffer (pH 3.0). H$_2$O$_2$ (30%, ultra-high purity) was purchased from BDH Chemicals and calibrated spectrophotometrically using a UV–Vis spectrophotometer. 0.1 M carbonate buffer of pH 11.3 was prepared weekly and other stock solutions were refrigerated at 4 °C in the dark.

Field information and experimental measurement. Field measurements of H$_2$O$_2$ were conducted in middle July 2016 at the East River floodplain, located in a high elevation catchment in southwestern Colorado (between 38.8° to 38.9° N and 106.8° to 106.9° W) with rolling-to-mountainous topography. The floodplain includes multiple river meanders that extend over a distance of 11 km (Fig. 1). The site encompasses the drainages of the East River and Copper Creek with the stream flow fed predominantly by snowmelt in late spring to early summer and mid- to late-summer monsoonal rainfall triggering rapid but punctuated increases in flow. The area experiences an average temperature ranging from a low of −8 °C in January to a high of 35 °C in July. More site information can be found in the recent study by Dwivedi et al. Because of the inherent spatial heterogeneity in a floodplain environment, we investigated a typical transect of Meander C of the East River site that is approximately parallel to the hyporheic flow field, labeled as MCP on Fig. 1. Specifically, the MCP transect includes five piezometric observation wells for geochemical samples (Wells MCP1 to MCP5) with these wells and transect accordingly referred to as Meander C Piezometric (MCP) wells and MCP transect (Fig. 1). Stainless steel drive-point piezometers were installed to achieve a sampling depth of 1.85 m.
below ground surface. Groundwater was pumped from each piezometer using a peristaltic pump and sampled after purging a volume of water equivalent to three times the water standing in each piezometer. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) detection was performed both in groundwater and in the surface river water at meander C. Groundwater was peristaltically pumped through opaque flexible tubing into an amber high density polyethylene (HDPE) bottle with no head space and was analysed within 4–6 min after collection. Filtration of the groundwater by 0.22 μm filters made no difference on the final concentration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), therefore no filtration was done to minimize the sampling time. Unfiltered groundwater samples were collected for pH, electrical conductivity (EC) (data published in Dwivedi et al., not included in this study), oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) and dissolved oxygen in the field. Filtered (0.45 m PVDF syringe filters) samples were collected for in-field ferrous ion (Fe(II)) measurement and laboratory analysis of metals and dissolved organic and inorganic carbon. Samples for elemental analysis and carbon measurements were collected and acidified to pH 3 with HCl (high purity, 30% w/v; Sigma) and kept cool or refrigerated until shipped to the laboratory in Berkeley, CA.

**Results and discussion**

**H\(_2\)O\(_2\) profiles measured at the Meander C transect.** The East River floodplain, as shown in Fig. 1, includes multiple active river meanders, fresh oxbow lakes and older oxbow deposits, within which pronounced redox gradients have been observed during preliminary work. Among all the active meanders at the site, the one designated meander C in Fig. 1 is typical in many river meanders with hyporheic flowpaths cutting across large sections of the meander, leading to the potential of substantial sinuosity-driven hyporheic exchange and formation of sharp redox gradients that favor the generation of ROS. Therefore, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) measurements were first conducted at transect of Meander C that is approximately parallel to the hyporheic flow field where seasonal fluxes are predicted to occur (labeled as MCP in Fig. 1). In order to obtain an estimation of the differences in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) content in the river water and in the hyporheic zone, measurement of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), oxidation–reduction potential (ORP), dissolved oxygen (DO), Fe(II) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was also conducted in surface river water adjacent to the bank, with results shown in Fig. 2. First of all, despite the prevailing reducing conditions at MCP wells, concentrations of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) were significantly above the detection limit (1.0 nM) in all waters sampled across the Meander C transect with a maximum of 88 nM found in the surface water and a minimum of 6.4 nM detected in the aphotic zone located in the middle of the intra-meander (MCP4, likely the most reduced region across the transect). Our detected \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) profile in groundwater agrees with another field study conducted at Sand Ridge site, Illinois, which reported persistent detection of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) at nanomolar levels in groundwater over a 2-year period. Figure 2 clearly demonstrates that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) pattern closely follows the local redox gradient, with relatively abundant \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generated in areas with more DO available and less \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) occurring in regions under severely reducing conditions, as indicated by low ORP and high Fe(II) concentrations (Fig. 2b). As suggested in our previous study, considering the slow groundwater flux velocity along the meander (<10<sup>−5</sup> m/s), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) observed in the hyporheic zone was presumably actively produced in the vicinity of the sampling location rather than from \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) transported downstream from the river water. To be more specific, a rough calculation from the data reported in Moffett and Zajirrou provided a \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) decay rate of 0.055 h<sup>−1</sup> for unfiltered seawater (Table 1 in Moffett and Zajirrou), indicating a half-life of 12 h for our detected \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Fig. 2a). At the same time, the 78 nM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) observed in the river water near MCP1 will decrease to ~1 nM after three days. For the same meander, the groundwater velocities reported in Dwivedi et al. are in the range of 1.25 × 10<sup>−5</sup> to 5.09 × 10<sup>−6</sup> m/s in the top 2 m, which suggested that the residence time of groundwater along the MCP transect was on the order of 1 to 2 months. Therefore, peroxide in river water would be all consumed before reaching the sampling points and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) transport within 2 days of the sampling time will not contribute to our data at any significant level. Moreover, fresh water has been reported to have higher \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) decay rates, with a range of 0.11–8.9 h<sup>−1</sup> reported by Bond et al. based on previous investigations, suggesting that our estimation for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) decay here is highly conservative, as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption in hyporheic zone will be much faster due to greater microbial activity.

The absence of detectable dissolved oxygen at MCP4 (lower than the detection limit of 20 μg/L) did not negate the occurrence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) completely, though the distinguishable rebound of ORP value at this location (Fig. 2a) suggested a slight variation in the “bulk” redox condition of local water, possibly resulting from the existence of other oxidants (nitrate, iron, and sulfate et al.). Similar findings were evident in our previous study at the Rifle, CO field site where measurable \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was discovered in regions of the aquifer characterized as strongly O<sub>2</sub>-deficient. Recent studies by Zhang et al. and Borda et al. proposed that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production resulted from the combination of two HO<sup>−</sup> formed by the oxidation of water at sulfur-deficient sites on pyrite surfaces (Eq. 1).
Figure 2. (a) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and ORP profile in the hyporheic zone at Meander C Transect. The first and last columns are results from surface water samples taken at the river adjacent to MCP1 (RW near MCP1) and MCP5 (RW near MCP5), respectively. Error bars are the standard errors from triplicate measurements. (b) Profiles of DO (left Y axis with two segments) and Fe(II) (right Y axis) in the hyporheic zone and surface river water at Meander C transect. (c) DOC concentrations across the hyporheic zone and in river water near MCP5.
These studies were undertaken under anoxic conditions, demonstrating that O$_2$ is not necessarily a prerequisite for ROS formation under such circumstances. While speculative at this stage, such a mechanism could account for the observed H$_2$O$_2$ at MCP4 considering that the East River valley in the study area is comprised of Mancos Shale bedrock formed by an agglomeration sequence of heterogeneous marine black shale with regions of elevated metal, metalloid, and pyrite content. This is further supported in a recent study by Dwivedi et al. revealing that pyrite is one of the major reactive minerals in river sediments collected from the MCP transect.

It is not unexpected that Fe(II) profile observed in the hyporheic zone at Meander C is roughly in an opposite pattern to that of DO (or at least for results from wells MCP1 to MCP3), as shown in Fig. 2b. Oxygen was consumed rapidly when moving from the river bank to the center of the meander, with a concomitant increase in Fe(II) concentration, suggesting that when oxygen content decreased to a certain level, other electron acceptors that are available, such as nitrate, iron, and sulfate, could also be reduced. Dwivedi et al. also reported that the redox zonation along the MCP transect was highly dynamic and predominantly driven by groundwater velocities resulting from river-stage fluctuations. The presence of elevated Fe(II) at well MCP3 indicated that the groundwater along the meander centerline was probably segregated and not actively receiving oxygenated recharge. The oxidation of reduced transition metals, such as Fe(II) (in both complexed and uncomplexed forms), by oxygen has long been reported to result in the formation of O$_2$ as a by-product and subsequent formation of H$_2$O$_2$ by its disproportionation or further reaction with reduced metals or organics (Eqs. 2–4). While the di-radical reaction (Eq. 3) is less important considering the very low concentration of O$_2$ in solutions, its further interactions with reduced metals (Eq. 4) and/or organics (such as hydroquinones) could play a significant role in H$_2$O$_2$ generation. Therefore, constant hyporheic exchange could facilitate the generation of ROS by the extensive intrusion of oxygen-rich river water to the porous, nutrient-rich sediment, as shown in a recent study by Murphy et al., in which the transformation of H$_2$O$_2$ was observed when iron(II) monosulfide was exposed to oxic conditions caused by tidal cycling.

$$Fe(II) + O_2 \rightarrow Fe(III) + O_2^{-},$$

$$O_2^{-} + O_2^{-} + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2,$$

$$Fe(II) + O_2^{-} \rightarrow H_2O_2 + Fe(III).$$

It is also noteworthy from Fig. 2 that even though the concentrations of both DO and Fe(II) are more elevated at MCP3 than that at MCP2, a lower H$_2$O$_2$ content was detected in waters sampled at MCP3, indicating that the magnitude of H$_2$O$_2$ presence at such locations is likely to be co-mediated by mechanisms other than simply the interaction between DO and Fe(II). In fact, the co-occurrence of H$_2$O$_2$ and Fe(II) presents the possibility of Fenton-type reactions occurring, which have been generally considered to promote H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition and generation of more reactive oxidants, including HO$^\cdot$ (an indiscriminate oxidant that reacts rapidly with organic carbon and other recalcitrant compounds, Eq. 5) and high valent Fe species. Similar opposite patterns of Fe(II) and dissolved oxygen was also observed in a field study at Illinois by Barcelona, et al. between 1984 to 1986, which indicated that such chemical gradients were very stable during their 2-year observation period regardless of the changes in reginal water levels at a rate of ~0.4 m/year.

$$Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe(III) + HO^- + OH^-.$$
of H₂O₂ in the groundwater are expected to be affected by a variety of processes, including trace metal mediated redox reactions, the oxidation of reduced organic moieties present in dissolved or soil organic matter and the biologically-mediated generation and decomposition of H₂O₂, such nearly constant concentrations observed at MCP1 could also indicate there might be a stable mechanism governing H₂O₂ patterns at this location. Indeed, it has been previously shown that the redox gradients along the MCP transect at East River watershed are relatively stable and unresponsive to minor water-level fluctuations (but do respond to storm events and shift on seasonal time scales instead of diel cycles).

Stability of hyporheic H₂O₂ concentrations compared to surface water. In order to reach a better understanding on whether hyporheic ROS profiles were driven by changes in surface water ROS concentrations, measurements were also conducted in river water close to the bank at different times of the day, with results shown in Fig. 3. It is apparent in Fig. 3a that H₂O₂ in the surface river water exhibited a pronounced diel variation, in line with the importance of light-mediated pathways (photochemical and/or phototrophic) on ROS generation and consumption. Take the river water adjacent to MCP5 as an example, H₂O₂ concentrations increased rapidly from the early morning (~ 20 nM at 6:30 a.m.) to 1:30 pm (a maximum detected concentration of 186 nM) and then decreased shortly thereafter, with a much lower value of 88 nM obtained at 3:30 p.m. (Fig. 3a). Though more sampling shortly before or after 1:30 p.m. at this location would presumably provide more detailed information regarding the maximal levels that H₂O₂ concentrations could reach at local surface water, our detected value of 186 nM at 1330 h is also a faithful representation, considering that the highest daily concentration of H₂O₂ resulting from photochemical formation was normally reached 1.5 h after the maximum solar irradiance. Extensive measurements of both H₂O₂ and solar radiation intensity in the surface water from Water of Leith (Dunedin, New Zealand) over three years by Rusak et al. suggested that concentrations of H₂O₂ in this surface water stream fluctuated roughly concomitant with the intensity of solar UVB radiation with H₂O₂ contents higher in summer than in winter as a result of the large summertime increases in solar radiation intensity. Figure 3a. Though more sampling shortly before or after 1:30 p.m. at this location would presumably provide more detailed information regarding the maximal levels that H₂O₂ concentrations could reach at local surface water, our detected value of 186 nM at 1330 h is also a faithful representation, considering that the highest daily concentration of H₂O₂ resulting from photochemical formation was normally reached 1.5 h after the maximum solar irradiance. Extensive measurements of both H₂O₂ and solar radiation intensity in the surface water from Water of Leith (Dunedin, New Zealand) over three years by Rusak et al. suggested that concentrations of H₂O₂ in this surface water stream fluctuated roughly concomitant with the intensity of solar UVB radiation with H₂O₂ contents higher in summer than in winter as a result of the large summertime increases in solar radiation intensity.}

Figure 3. (a) Diel changes of H₂O₂ in surface river waters adjacent to MCP5 (red circles) and MCP1 (blue squares), with dashed line shown just as connections between data points; (b) H₂O₂ concentrations in groundwater measured at other locations of the East River site. Error bars are the standard errors from triplicate measurements.
contributor of the much elevated H$_2$O$_2$ (57 nM) detected in groundwater at MCP1 after less than 1 h, indicating that the H$_2$O$_2$ profiles observed here were a result of active production in the vicinity of the sampling locations.

In order to better probe the pervasiveness of H$_2$O$_2$ in East River sediments, the measurement of H$_2$O$_2$ was also carried out at several other locations where piezometric observation wells have been previously installed. Figure 3b presents the various concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ (range from 11 to 57 nM) detected in groundwater at a few other locations of the East River aquifer. As shown in Fig. 1, Meander O is a cutoff meander in which the old riverbed channel is seasonally inundated by water during spring snowmelt and does not contribute substantially to the main flow of the river during dry periods. However, the progressive evolution of meander cut-off and oxbow lake formation is expected to dramatically alter the redox gradients within the meander soils/sediments, changing biogeochemical functioning while creating a new biogeochemically distinct feature of an oxbow lake/deposit. Measurements in groundwater close to the new river channel of Meander O (the "neck") found detectable levels of H$_2$O$_2$ with 11 nM and 38 nM observed at locations MO3 and MO4, respectively (Fig. 3b). The slightly lower concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ at Meander O might be correlated to the lower concentrations of extractable metals found in such seasonally inundated locations than regions that remain unsaturated for most of the year. Alternatively, it's worth mentioning that MO4 located in a relatively permanent wetland section of the oxbow in the former river channel with high content of aged organic carbon that could also contribute to the cycling of ROS. Another sample taken at the Mancos Shale seep (approximately 150 m uphill from the oxbow lake of Meander O, Fig. 1) resulted in 32 nM H$_2$O$_2$ being detected at this location along with a high Fe(II) concentration (~12 mg/L) and very little DO (~0.4 mg/L), indicating the existence of H$_2$O$_2$ under an extremely reducing condition. Unlike the sampling locations in the floodplain, the seep location is not influenced by hyporheic exchange, and likely represents deeper and older groundwater. While the quick consumption of DO by Fe(II) could contribute to the observed H$_2$O$_2$ at this location (Eqs. 2–4), previous studies have indicated that transitional metal species should mainly act as H$_2$O$_2$ consumers (Eq. 5) under most reducing conditions.

Environmental implications. As a central interface connecting the stream and groundwater ecosystems, the hyporheic zone has been recently identified as a disproportionately important component of the watershed reactor due to its transitional chemical and biological characteristics and distinct ecological functions. The oscillating redox conditions, constant biogeochemical exchanges and intensive microbial activities occurring at various spatial and temporal scales in the hyporheic flow create ideal conditions for ROS production. This study represented the field measurement of H$_2$O$_2$ both in an intra-meander hyporheic zone and in surface water at East River floodplain, CO. Collectively, our results demonstrate that H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in the intra-meander transect closely follow the distribution of local redox gradients, ranging from 6 nM detected at the most reduced region to ~80 nM at locally oxygen-rich area. There are many possible mechanisms contributing to such H$_2$O$_2$ patterns, including dark biological production and consumption, and metal-mediated redox interactions between various important elements driven by fluctuating redox conditions. Measurements in surface water revealed typical diel changes of H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations with a maxima of 186 nM detected at 1:30 pm, lagging the maximum solar irradiance by ~1.5 h, indicating the essential contribution from sunlight-driven photochemical and phototrophic processes. A recent field study on the organic carbon in sediments of this floodplain reported that 23–34% of sediment organic carbon is derived from shale, another large fraction of the Earth’s total carbon stocks, demonstrating continuous disturbing of the persistence of aged carbon and its active cycling into riverine systems.

Our observation of the pervasiveness of H$_2$O$_2$ across the whole intrameander transect suggests that the entire floodplain area defined by the meandering river has the potential to sustain substantial ROS concentrations. For instance, a recent estimate on the volume of groundwater associated with subterranean estuary emission suggested that approximately $6.0 \times 10^{10}$ kg of water exchanged between oxic and anoxic conditions per day for the entire South Carolina coastline, which implied a potential ROS flux of up to $1.5 \times 10^7$ mol day$^{-1}$, comparable in magnitude to photochemical sources of ROS in surface waters. Although the generation and consumption of ROS will largely depend on the local redox matrix and microbial activity, such sustained ROS behaviour under rapidly oscillating redox conditions represents a previously under-appreciated source of oxidation that drives biogeochemical processes, such as metal bioavailability and carbon cycling.
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