Optimization of the Cryogenic Light-Emitting Diodes for High-Performance Broadband Terahertz Upconversion Imaging
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High-performance terahertz (THz) imaging devices have drawn wide attention due to their significant application in a variety of application fields. Recently, the upconversion device based on the integrated homo-junction interfacial workfunction internal photoemission detector and light-emitting diode (HIWIP-LED) has emerged as a promising candidate for broadband THz upconversion pixelless imaging device. In this paper, systematical investigations on the cryogenic-temperature performances of the LED part in HIWIP-LED devices, including electroluminescence (EL) spectra and the EL efficiency, have been carried out by elaborating the radiative recombination mechanism in the quantum well, internal quantum efficiency, and the light extraction efficiency (LEE) both experimentally and theoretically. On this basis, we have further studied the operation mode of the HIWIP-LED and concluded that the LEE could directly determine the upconversion efficiency. A numerical simulation has been performed to optimize the LEE. Numerical results show that the device with a micro-lens geometry structure could significantly improve the LEE of the LED thereby increasing the upconversion efficiency. An optimal upconversion efficiency value of 0.12 W/W and a minimum noise equivalent power (NEP) of 14 pW/Hz1/2 are achieved using the micro-lens structure together with anti-reflection coating. This work gives a precise description of cryogenic LED performance in the HIWIP-LED device and provides an optimization method for the broadband HIWIP-LED THz upconversion pixelless imaging device.
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INTRODUCTION

Up-converting long-wavelength infrared (IR) light to shorter-wavelength radiation has attracted more attention and has been intensively explored in the last two decades thanks to its tremendous potential in low-cost and large-format IR/terahertz (THz) imaging, high-efficiency solar cells, and sensitive biological imaging [1–4]. Among many upconversion methods, semiconductor up-conversion technology is extremely competitive due to its compactness, high efficiency, flexible and adjustable response frequency, and full compatibility with semiconductor manufacturing processes [4]. This idea was proposed and realized by H. C. Liu in 1995 with an integrated up-conversion device comprising a quantum well IR photodetector (QWIP) and a light-emitting diode...
(LED) [5]. The IR signal at the range of 8–12 μm was first detected by the QWIP, and then the produced photocurrent was injected into the active region of the LED resulting the extra near infrared (NIR) emission under bias voltage [5, 6]. When used for a large-format imaging device, the integrated device converts the incoming IR image into an outgoing NIR or visible image which then is captured by a Si charge-coupled device (CCD). There is no separate pixel in this imaging scheme, and this pixelless imaging technology could realize large-format two-dimensional IR imaging easily without the need for the Si readout integrated circuits (ROIC) on the chip and without the need for the hybrid bonding process. This imaging method also avoids the thermal mismatch problem between the focal plane array (FPA) chip and Si ROIC in the large-format hybrid QWIP FPAs at cryogenic temperatures [6].

Variable upconversion devices have been realized at the ranges of the NIR, mid-infrared (MIR), and THz based on the concept of integrated photodetector and LED [7–13]. At MIR range, the QWP-LEDs are well developed, and a high-temperature resolution for the n-type QWP-LED of 60 mK was successfully realized [14]. In contrast, the relative backwirdness of the THz upconversion pixelless imaging demands great effort and further exploration. Due to the diffraction limit and the limitation of low-temperature operation, the THz FPAs based on photon-type detectors has not made significant progress so far. The pixelless imaging provides a good alternative for photon-type THz imaging. THz QWP-LED prototype device was successfully fabricated to upconvert the 4.2 THz radiation from a quantum cascade laser into an 830 nm NIR emission in 2016 [9]. However, the edge coupled optical coupling geometry adopted in the device to excite the intersubband transition caused a severe distortion of the imaging QCL spot. Therefore, this THz QWP-LED pixelless imaging device is far from an optimization for the thermal imaging. The upconversion device based on the heterojunction interfacial workfunction internal photoemission (HIWIP) detector and LED allows normal incidence excitation and realizes a broadband (4–20 THz) THz to NIR upconversion [15]. However, the current limiting factor for this imaging scheme is the low upconversion efficiency. In fact, the problem of low upconversion efficiency also plagues almost all III-V compound semiconductor based upconversion devices. The upconversion efficiency of the MIR or THz upconverting devices is all below the level of 0.01 W/W [13–17], which greatly restrains the efficiency and quality of the pixelless imaging. How to improve the upconversion efficiency is an urgent problem for HIWIP-LED pixelless imaging devices. And in the past, people paid more attention to the room temperature performance of LEDs. The performance of LEDs at extremely low temperatures (<20 K) is not particularly clear.

In this paper, we present the experimental results of the LED in the HIWIP-LED device at different temperatures and provide the explanation of the variation of temperature-dependent emission spectra by numerically solving the Schrodinger equation. The internal quantum efficiency and light extraction efficiency (LEE) of the LED are determined using a developed rate equation method, which reveals that the low LEE is the main reason to cause the low upconversion efficiency of HIWIP-LED. The device structure and operation mode of the HIWIP-LED are investigated systematically to further elaborate the upconversion mechanism, bias voltage distribution, and the primary determinant of the upconversion efficiency. Finally, a numerical simulation is carried out to improve the LEE of the LED portion, and the results show that the upconversion efficiency for HIWIP-LED could be enhanced one order of magnitude using the micro-lens structure LED. This work makes us have a clearer understanding of the low-temperature performance of HIWIP-LED and provides theoretical guidance for the experiments to improve upconversion efficiency.

DEVICE AND UPCONVERSION PRINCIPLE

The device structure of HIWIP-LED is shown in Figure 1A, which consists of a GaAs-based HIWIP detector and an InGaAs/GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well LED directly grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). The active region of the p-type GaAs HIWIP detector consists of 20 repeats of p-GaAs (doped with Be to 3 × 10^{18} cm^{-3})/i-GaAs (emitter layer/intrinsic layers) layers with emitter layer and intrinsic layer thickness of 80 and 15 nm. The quantum well LED structure is 9 nm In_{0.1}Ga_{0.9}As quantum well sandwiched by 40 nm intrinsic GaAs. On either side of the GaAs are 80 nm AlxGa1-xAs barriers in order to enhance the carrier confinement effect and increase the internal quantum efficiency of LED. The top Al_{x}Ga_{1-x}As grading barrier (x = 0.02 → 0.1) doped with Si to 2.5 × 10^{18} cm^{-3} cladding the active region of the LED. The bottom Al_{x}Ga_{1-x}As barrier is a constant barrier with an aluminum component of 2%. This barrier is also the intrinsic connection layer between the HIWIP and LED to avoid the lateral diffusion of the photo-generated carriers. At the top of the device is 50 nm n-GaAs (doped with Si to 2.5 × 10^{18}cm^{-3}) layer covered with narrow ring contact formed by deposition of Pd/Ge/Ti/Pt/Au using electron beam evaporation. The bottom contact is 300 nm p-GaAs layer (doped with Be to 3 × 10^{18} cm^{-3}) covered with common p-contact of Ti/Pt/Au. The devices were fabricated using standard photo lithographic techniques. Then the samples were mounted on the 14 pin packages and placed into the cryostat for cryogenic measurements. The upconversion could be realized by applying a bias voltage higher than the turn-on voltage of the LED and with the top contact being grounded. Under such conditions, the THz photons were first detected by the HIWIP part. Then the photocurrent will drive the LED to emit NIR photons which could be detected by the Si CCD.

Figure 1B reveals the micro-mechanism of the upconversion process of the HIWIP-LED. THz absorption occurred in the emitter layers of the HIWIP followed by the transportation of the photo-generated carriers. The photo-excited carriers are injected into the In_{0.1}Ga_{0.9}As quantum well for recombination and emitting NIR photons under the external electric field. The measured cryogenic (3.5 K) emission spectrum of the LED portion and the photocurrent spectrum of the HIWIP portion in the upconversion device are displayed in Figure 1C. There are two emission peaks in the LED electroluminescence (EL)
spectrum, which is due to the complexity of the valence band in the In$_{0.1}$Ga$_{0.9}$As quantum well and will be discussed in detail in the following section. The photocurrent spectrum presents a broadband response range from 4 to 20 THz with a peak response frequency at 18 THz. The deep valley around 8 THz is the Reststrahlen band of GaAs. The small valleys at the range from 9 to 20 THz are associated with the multiple phonon absorption.

**FIGURE 1** (A) Device structure of HIWIP-LED. (B) Micro-mechanism of the upconversion process of the HIWIP-LED: THz absorption occurred in the emitter layers of the HIWIP followed by the transportation of the carriers. The photo-excited carriers are injected into the quantum well for radiative recombination. (C) The measured cryogenic emission spectrum of the LED portion and the photocurrent spectrum of the HIWIP portion in the upconversion device at 3.5 K.

**FIGURE 2** (A) Electroluminescence (EL) spectra with a drive current of 0.5 mA at different temperatures of the LED part. (B) EL intensity for the LED part with 0.5 mA drive current at a temperature below 50 K; also shown in the inset is the quantum well structure and interband transition of the LED. (C) The experimental variation of the output EL power of the LED with the bias and temperature. (D) The mapping result of the EL efficiency (ELE) as a function of drive current and temperature.
PERFORMANCE OF THE LED IN HIWIP-LED

The EL spectra with a drive current of 1 mA at different temperatures of the LED part are presented in Figure 2A, which are measured using a fiber spectrometer (Ocean optics QE65PRO) with the same integrated time. There are two luminescence peaks at 873 (peak 1) and 887 nm (peak 2) at the temperature below 50 K. Peak 1 rises with the increasing of the driven current and presents a red-shift effect as the temperature increases. We attribute the red-shift behavior to the energy gap decreases due to the Varshni effect. Peak 2 has no position shift, and the intensity decreases with the temperature increasing. What is more interesting is that there exists a competition between peak1 and peak 2 as is shown in Figure 2B. As the temperature increases from 4.6 to 50 K, the intensity of peak 1 increases and peak 2 decreases. The luminescence wavelength of the intrinsic recombination of the GaAs barrier is at about 816 nm (the band gap of the GaAs is 1.518959 eV at 4.6 K). But the observed EL peaks are all above the wavelength of 816 nm, which indicates they all originate from the InGaAs quantum well. In order to understand the luminescent property of the LED, we calculated the band structure of the InGaAs/GaAs quantum well by solving the Schrodinger equation using the plane wave expansion (PWE) method [18, 19]:

\[
\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{m^*(z)}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\}\phi(z) = \varepsilon \phi(z) \tag{1}
\]

where \(m^*\) is the electron effective mass, \(\hbar\) is the reduced Planck constant, \(V_{QW}\) is the stepwise potential energy representing the conduction band offset profile, \(V_h\) is the Hartree potential energy obtained from Poisson’s equation, \(V_{xc}\) is the exchange-correlation potential energy which is given by the local density approximation based on the density functional theory, \(\phi\) is the z-direction envelope function, and \(\varepsilon\) is eigen-energy. The PWE method is used for accurate calculation. The lattice mismatch caused strain, and bias-caused Stark shift is neglected. The band gaps of GaAs and InGaAs/GaAs are taken as 1.5198 eV and 1.3649 eV at 4 K, respectively. The ratio of conduction and valence band offsets is set as 6:4. The effective masses of the well (\(m^*_w\)) and barrier (\(m^*_b\)) are \(m^*_w = 0.05873 m_0\), \(m^*_b = 0.0916 m_0\) for electron, \((m^*_w = 0.5 m_0, m^*_b = 0.51 m_0)\) for heavy hole, and \((m^*_w = 0.0764 m_0, m^*_b = 0.082 m_0)\) for light hole, respectively, with \(m_0\) the electron mass. Detailed PWE method to self-consistently solve the Schrodinger equation could be found in Supplementary Materials and Ref. [18].

According to our calculated results (inset of Figure 2B), peak 2 at 887 nm is related to the first conduction subband to the first heavy hole subband transition (\(C_1 \rightarrow HH\)) of the InGaAs quantum well, corresponding to the calculated transition energy of 1.3999 eV (885.7 nm). Peak 1 at 873 nm below 50 K is from the first conduction subband to the first light hole subband transition (\(C_1 \rightarrow LH\)) of the InGaAs quantum well [the calculated transition energy is 1.414 eV (876.88 nm)]. At a low temperature, the \(C_1 \rightarrow HH\) transition dominates, which leads to a relatively stronger signal. As the temperature increases, \(C_1 \rightarrow LH\) becomes more significant and dominates when the temperature is higher than 40 K. Peak 2 disappears rapidly as the temperature is higher than 77 K. We attribute this behavior to the thermal excited transition between the heavy and light holes, which results in a lower probability of the \(C_1 \rightarrow HH\) transition. As the temperature further increases, the intensity of peak 2 also decreases significantly. There are two main reasons. First, the high temperature caused a relative lower injection efficiency that the carrier could not be confined in the well due to the serious thermal excitation. The other reason is that as temperature increases, nonradiative recombination lifetime decreases, including that of Auger recombination and Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) recombination. As a result, the radiative recombination efficiency decreases at high temperatures [20].

The experimental variation of the output EL power of the LED with the bias and temperature is shown in Figure 2C. We find that the output power increases with the drive current and decreases with temperature. The electroluminescence efficiency (ELE) is defined as output power divided by the drive current and is proportional to the external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the LED. The mapping result of the ELE as a function of drive current and temperature is presented in Figure 2D, which indicates an evident ELE drop when the temperature increases from 4 to 300 K at any drive current. The ELE increases first and then decreases with the drive current at the same temperature. The efficiency drop with larger injection current is mainly caused by the increase of the nonradiative recombination (SRH recombination and Auger recombination) [21, 22]. The peak point of the ELE shifts to lower current as temperature increases because the nonradiative recombination increases sharply with temperature.

A rate equation analysis method developed by Ray et al. can describe the injection current dependence of the LED external efficiency explicitly by numerically solving the following equation (23):

\[
\eta_i = 1 - \frac{\eta_{\text{max}}}{2J}(1 + \frac{\eta J}{\eta_{\text{max}} J_{\text{max}}}) \sqrt{\frac{\eta J}{\eta_{\text{max}}}} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\eta_i\) is the internal quantum efficiency (IQE), \(J\) is the injection current density, and \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) and \(J_{\text{max}}\) are the maximum value of IQE and the corresponding injection current density (see the Supplementary Materials for ABC model and rate equation analysis method). Figure 3A shows the injection current density-dependent internal efficiency of the LED at 30, 50, and 77 K, respectively. The fitting results from rate equation analysis method indicate the maximum IQE of 93%, 95%, and 87.5% at the temperatures of 33, 50, and 77 K, respectively. Using this method, the \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) and corresponding \(J_{\text{max}}\) at different temperatures are given in Figure 3B. The \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) at low temperatures are relatively lower than that of higher temperature mainly because of the incomplete ionization of the doping acceptor Be. The \(J_{\text{max}}\) values at low temperature also indicate the need for a larger injection current to realize the \(\eta_{\text{max}}\) owing to the incomplete ionization. The inset of Figure 3B also presents the measured external efficiency of the LED at different temperatures with the same injection current (500 µA), which agree well with the integrated
EL results. If we neglect the influence of temperature, the LEE of the LED is calculated to be about 2.5% at temperature below 100 K (Figure 3C) from the relation of $\eta_e = \eta_i \cdot LEE \cdot h\nu/e$, where $h$ is the Planck constant, $\nu$ is the frequency of the emitted photon, and $e$ represents the elementary charge. The injection efficiency of the carrier in the rate equation analysis method is assumed to be equal to 100%. When the temperature is higher than 100 K, the calculated LEE decreases sharply mainly because of the lower injection efficiency at high temperature. The result of the upconversion efficiency (Figure 3D) with a bias voltage of 1.8 V was calculated from the equation $\eta_{up} = \eta_{HIWIP} \cdot \eta_{LED} = R \cdot \eta_i \cdot LEE \cdot h\nu/e$, where $R$ is the responsivity (or detection efficiency) of the HIWIP part. The peak upconversion efficiency is $1.14 \times 10^{-2}$ at 18 THz.

**THEORETICAL OPTIMIZATION OF LED**

In order to improve the energy conversion efficiency of the HIWIP-LED, we should have a greater awareness of the operation principle of the HIWIP-LED. Figure 4A shows the calculated band diagram across the layer structure of the HIWIP-LED upconversion device under the bias of 0 and 3 V, with the top contact being grounded. The calculation was carried out under the assumption that the band alignment of the heterostructure (GaAs/Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As or GaAs/InGaAs) follows Anderson’s electron affinity rule and the TCAD-based approach is used. We find that the applied bias voltage drops mainly across the LED part, and then the additional bias voltage goes to the HIWIP part. This feature gives rise to a turn-on behavior of the HIWIP-LED device, which is in good agreement with the observed results in the previous experimental measurement [15].

The calculation results of the valence band diagram under different bias voltage are displayed in Figure 4B. It is evident that little bias falls across the HIWIP side when the applied bias voltage is lower than 1.5 V, which is equal numerically to the turn-on voltage in the p-i-n structure of the LED. This feature is clear in the larger version of the LED band diagram, which indicates that the applied bias first overcomes the depletion region of the LED and then the other portion of the bias is applied to the HIWIP portion. It should be noted that we did not take the incident radiation into account during the calculation, but the conclusion is still valid when the device is illuminated by the THz radiation. Indeed, the HIWIP is a photoconductor so that the THz excitation of the detector will decrease the resistance of the HIWIP and thereby increase the bias voltage dropped across the LED portion, leading to an increase in the LED emission intensity. Since there is no internal electrical gain
inside the HIWIP-LED, the upconversion efficiency could be expressed as $\eta = \eta_{\text{HIWIP}} \cdot \eta_e$, where $\eta_{\text{HIWIP}}$ is the detection efficiency of the HIWIP and $\eta_e$ is the external efficiency of the LED. One solution to improve the upconversion efficiency of the HIWIP-LED is to enhance the responsivity of the HIWIP part. However, the resonant cavity enhanced method [24] or bottom mirror enhanced method [25] for the single HIWIP detector is not suitable for the integrated HIWIP-LED device. The resonant cavity or bottom mirror will block the passage of light to the HIWIP part. Most importantly, the photon recycling in the cavity will cause severe distortion of the image. Optimization of the internal structure of the HIWIP may be an alternative approach to improve the detection efficiency [26]. But the thickened active region of the HIWIP may cause lateral diffusion of the carrier thereby inducing serious influence on imaging quality. Another way to improve the upconversion efficiency is to make the LED more efficient. According to the analysis of the preceding context, the internal efficiency of the LED could reach as high as $>90\%$. But the $\text{LEE}$ of the LED is only about $2.5\%$, which is mainly caused by the reflection at the semiconductor–air (GaAs/air) interface. As is shown in Figure 4C, the critical angle at the air/semiconductor interface is only about $16^\circ$ corresponding to the escape probability of the emitted photon which is only 1.3% predicted by Snell’s law [20]. The difference between the experiment and the calculation is mainly caused by the internal photon recycling. A dramatic increase of the $\text{LEE}$ could be realized by optimizing the photon recycling process [27, 28], but at the same time the quality of the images will be significantly reduced [15]. In contrast, the most direct and effective method to improve the $\text{LEE}$ is to change the shape of the semiconductor/air interface and include the use of roughened or textured semiconductor surfaces.

It has been reported that artificial nano- or micro-photonic structures or textured structures on the top of optoelectronic devices can help with light coupling [29, 30]. Wet chemical etching and photoelectrochemical etching can be used to create a substantial amount of surface roughness on III-V semiconductors. These etches are always crystallographic in nature, which makes the textured surface display pyramidal-like structures. Both of the nano-pyramid and micro-pyramid have been widely used in photovoltaics, and the pyramid structure is proposed as both the light-trapping and antireflection technique to enhance the solar photon absorption of Si- or GaAs-based solar cells [31]. Conversely, if the textured surface or pyramid structure was used in light-emitting devices, the $\text{LEE}$ will be significantly improved. Another coupling approach is the micro-lens on the top of the LED, which is also widely used in commercial LEDs as miniaturized extractors. The advantage of the micro-lens is that the critical angle increases from a small value to near $180^\circ$, and the influence of the internal total reflection is greatly reduced. Here, we carried out the 3D optical simulations with finite difference time domain (FDTD) method to calculate the $\text{LEE}$ for devices with different geometries (micro-pyramid arrays and micro-lens arrays). Meanwhile, for the sake of comparison, the planar device structure and the planar structure with SiO$_2$ anti-reflection optical coating (thickness of the SiO$_2$ film is set as a quarter of the emitted wavelength) were also modeled (see the Supplementary Materials for simulation parameter settings and descriptions). The LED devices with different geometries are shown in Figures 5A–D, below which are the cross-sectional electric field intensities (|E|) for the corresponding geometries. The wavelength of the dipole source is set as 870 nm, and the periodic boundary condition is used.
It is easy to see that most of the light is confined inside the planar device in Figures 5A, B, which exhibits the $|E|$ intensity for the device with SiO$_2$ anti-reflection optical coating, which presents a little enhancement of the LEE, although the result is not obvious. Figure 5C shows the $|E|$ intensity for the device with the micro-pyramid arrays geometry; apparently the LEE of the device is improved, and more photons could escape from the active region of the LED. Figure 5D displays the $|E|$ intensity for the device with the micro-lens arrays geometry; the significantly enhanced radiative propagation means that a large number of the photons could be extracted out of the device and the LEE is greatly improved. The calculated LEE as a function of the emitting wavelength for the devices with different geometries is shown in Figure 5E. The simulation periods are set as 4 $\mu$m for all the geometries, and the bottom side length of the pyramid and the radius of the hemisphere-like micro-lens are set as 1 $\mu$m. The simulation results reveal that the mini-reflective (AR) coating contributes a little to the LEE. The maximum LEE is improved five times in the micro-pyramid structure at the wavelength of 970 nm. And the micro-lens structure improves the LEE by one order of magnitude compared with the planar structure. For a certain emitting wavelength (870 nm), the pyramid and micro-lens structure should be optimized to maximize the LEE. Figure 5F gives the optimization results for the pyramid and micro-lens structure. The feature size corresponds to the bottom side length of the pyramid or the radius of the hemisphere-like micro-lens.

On the basis of the above simulation, the upconversion efficiency for the devices with different geometries could be determined. The calculated upconversion efficiencies for the devices with planar structure, micro-pyramid, micro-lens, and micro-lens combined with AR coating are shown in Figure 6A. We can find that the upconversion efficiency for the device with the micro-lens structure and SiO$_2$ AR coating is improved by an order of magnitude compared with the planar structure. The noise equivalent power (NEP) is a figure of merit for photodetectors. Figure 6B shows the calculated NEPs under different bias voltages at 3.5 K for the upconversion imaging.
system with the optimal micro-lens structure and SiO2 AR coating using Eq. 3.

\[
NEP = \frac{i_s}{R} = \frac{e\lambda_{in}}{hcR_{THz}} \left(4e\eta_{LED}(\Delta f + 4e\eta_{LED}i_{dark}\Delta f) + 2ei_{bg}\Delta f + 2ei_{dark}\Delta f \right) + \frac{2e\Delta f}{\eta_{LED}i_{dark}} \left(i_{bg} + i_{dark}\right) + \frac{2ei_{dark,Si}\Delta f}{\left(\eta_{LED}i_{dark,Si}\right)^{1/2}} \right]^{1/2}
\]

(3)

where \( \eta_{Si} \) is the quantum efficiency of the Si CCD at the luminescence wavelength of the LED; \( \eta_{LED} \) is the external quantum efficiency of the LED, which is determined by the internal quantum efficiency and the LEE simultaneously; \( e \) is the elementary charge; \( g_{THz} \) is the gain in the HIWIP; \( i_{bg} \) is the background photocurrent of the 300 K radiation; \( i_{dark} \) is the device dark current; \( \Delta f \) is the system measurement bandwidth; and \( i_{dark,Si} \) is the dark current of the Si CCD. The first item of the above equation is mainly determined by the HIWIP detector, the second item is mainly determined by the LED, and the last two items are mainly determined by the Si CCD.

The detailed calculation of the NEP could be found in the Supplementary Materials and Refs. [15, 32]. The bias voltage range start from the value of 1.4 V corresponds to the turn-on voltage of HIWIP-LED. We can find that the NEPs for all frequencies are almost below the level of 100 pWHz\(^{-1/2} \) and the optimal value is about 14 pWHz\(^{-1/2} \) at 18.4 THz in the bias range of 1.5–1.8 V. This value is close to the minimum NEP of the single HIWIP (12.4 pWHz\(^{-1/2} \) [15]) and about one-half smaller than that of the HIWIP-LED device with planar structure (about 29 pWHz\(^{-1/2} \) [15]).

**CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

In this paper, we have reported a systematic investigation on the cryogenic-temperature performances of the LED part in HIWIP-LED devices, including EL spectra and the EL efficiency. Numerical calculations have been carried out to elaborate the radiative recombination mechanism in the quantum well and explain the internal quantum efficiency as well as the LEE. On this basis, we have further studied the operation mode of the HIWIP-LED and concluded that the LEE is the determining factor of the upconversion efficiency. Then a numerical simulation has been performed to optimize the LEE. The calculated results show that a device with the micro-lens geometry structure could significantly improve the LEE of the LED thereby increasing the upconversion efficiency. An optimal upconversion efficiency value of 0.12 W/W and minimum NEP of 14 pW/Hz\(^{1/2} \) are achieved using the micro-lens structure together with anti-reflection coating. This work not only gives the experimental results and theoretical explanations of LEDs at low temperatures but also clarifies that for a given upconversion device, the most direct way to improve the upconversion efficiency is to increase the LEE at the LED portion. The surface micro-lens coupling structure we proposed can increase the upconversion efficiency of HIWIP-LED by an order of magnitude, and the corresponding imaging performance NEP can be reduced to one-half of the previous value, which is a good improvement. Our theoretical work provides guidance for the experimental research of pixelless imaging. In addition, the working mechanism of the upconverter and the method of improving upconversion efficiency clarified in this article are also applicable to other MIR or NIR upconversion devices based on III-V compound semiconductors. This means that the efficiency of MIR and NIR upconversion devices and corresponding pixelless imaging performance will also be further improved, which is important for the development of larger area, higher resolution, and low-cost IR and THz imaging devices.
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