Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Fungicide Resistance in Citrus Postharvest Green Mold
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Abstract: The necrotrophic fungus *Penicillium digitatum* (Pd) is responsible for the green mold disease that occurs during postharvest of citrus and causes enormous economic losses around the world. Fungicides remain the main method used to control postharvest green mold in citrus fruit storage despite numerous occurrences of resistance to them. Hence, it is necessary to find new and more effective strategies to control this type of disease. This involves delving into the molecular mechanisms underlying the appearance of resistance to fungicides during the plant–pathogen interaction. Although mechanisms involved in resistance to fungicides have been studied for many years, there have now been great advances in the molecular aspects that drive fungicide resistance, which facilitates the design of new means to control green mold. A wide review allows the mechanisms underlying fungicide resistance in Pd to be unveiled, taking into account not only the chemical nature of the compounds and their target of action but also the general mechanism that could contribute to resistance to others compounds to generate what we call multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotypes. In this context, fungal transporters seem to play a relevant role, and their mode of action may be controlled along with other processes of interest, such as oxidative stress and fungal pathogenicity. Thus, the mechanisms for acquisition of resistance to fungicides seem to be part of a complex framework involving aspects of response to stress and processes of fungal virulence.
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1. Introduction

Citrus fruits are important fruit crops around the world because they provide numerous nutrients that promote human health [1,2]. Citrus fruits are subject to different biotic or abiotic stresses during the postharvest period, which includes handling, shipping, storage, and marketing. In this context, fruit spoilage and food safety risks due to postharvest fungal diseases constitute some of the most significant threats [3]. *Penicillium digitatum* (Pers.:Fr) Sacc. (Pd), which causes green mold, is the major postharvest rot of citrus fruits [1]. Postharvest green mold causes huge economic losses worldwide every year and can account for up to 90% of the total postharvest damage to citrus fruits, especially in dry areas and subtropical climates [4,5].

Pd is capable of invading and infecting the fruit through wounds that are produced by environmental factors or during the harvest development, transport, and further treatments [6]. This fungus extends in oil glands through rind wounds [7], where they can access nutrients that promote germination of the conidia. The starting point of infection is the softer and more watery area on the surface of the skin where, with suitable temperature and optimal conditions, it progresses to give rise to a white mycelium that later turns to olive color due to the appearance of conidia [8,9] (Figure 1).
Fungicide resistance in plant pathogenic fungi has emerged as a major problem in crop management. Fungicides are crucial to preserve healthy, consistent, high-quality agricultural goods. Until 1970, almost all chemicals used to manage plant pathogens were multisite inhibitors that worked as protectors of diseases. In spite of their extensive use in some cases, resistance has not evolved to largely nonsystemic protectant fungicides due to their multisite modes of action [13]. However, since the introduction of site-specific fungicides in the late 1960s, fungicide resistance in plant pathogenic fungi has emerged as a major problem in crop control [14]. Since the 1970s, there has been an improvement in crop protection owing to systemic single-site fungicides that possess both protective and eradicating characteristics, such as methylbenzimidazole carbamates (MBC), sterol biosynthesis inhibitors (DMIs), external quinone inhibitors (QoI), and succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors (SDHI) (Table 1).

Resistance to fungicides results in reduction in sensitivity to certain compounds and is caused by an inherited adjustment of the fungus to that compound. It is normally due to either single or multiple genetic mutations. The identification of resistant isolates appears with a natural rate of genetic mutation, so the number of resistant strains is generally not affected by the application of a fungicide [15].

**2. Fungicide Resistance Has Become a Major Problem**

Fungi are crucial to preserve healthy, consistent, high-quality agricultural goods. The control of green mold is currently achieved through the application of synthetic compounds, such as imazalil, thiabendazole, pyrimethanil, and fludioxonil [12]. However, the continued use of chemicals to prevent fungal diseases has restricted their effectiveness and shelf life. The emergence of pathogenic fungi that is resistant to synthetic fungicides mainly used for the control of fungal infections poses a risk to the environment and consumer health [3], thus prompting research to develop new, more effective control tools.

![Figure 1. Green mold decay in orange fruit infected with Penicillium digitatum. (a) Orange fruit with green mold symptoms caused by P. digitatum; (b) typical aspect P. digitatum growing on PDA plates; (c) P. digitatum conidiphore with conidia borne terminally in chains observed by bright-field optical microscopy; (d) P. digitatum with fluorescence after staining with CFW.](image-url)
While fungicides effectively kill sensitive strains, resistant strains become dominant over time because pathogen populations are under selection pressure from continued fungicide use, leading to failure to control disease [16]. The fitness of the fungicide-resistant fungal isolates once selected will determine the permanence of the resistant genotypes. In some cases, it has been observed that resistant strains may have less aptitude than susceptible strains, thus requiring selection pressure of the fungicide to survive. Therefore, when the application of fungicides ceases, the number of resistant isolates in pathogen populations will decrease. On the other hand, the strong isolates present a fitness similar to the sensitive isolates, and they could remain for a long time even without the application of fungicides [17].

Like in all organisms, there is genetic variation in pathogenic fungal populations. This variation provides the starting point from which fungicide resistance progresses. A complete population of resistant pathogenic fungi develops owing to natural selection, in which the environment favors the reproduction and proliferation of resistant forms. Individual fungicide applications can be considered the “selection events” that promote this process, selectively killing susceptible fungi. However, any resistant mutant will survive these events and subsequently have the opportunity to grow and reproduce without competition from susceptible fungi. After one application, this increasingly resistant population can proliferate and reproduce [18] (Figure 2).

Table 1. Types of fungicides used in citrus control programs and their targets.

| FRAC Code | Fungicide Class                  | Cellular Function Affected | Target Protein                                      | Risk Resistance Development |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1         | Methyl benzimidazoles (MBCs)     | Cytoskeleton                | β-tubulin                                            | High                        |
| 3         | Demethylation inhibitors (DMIs)  | Membrane biosynthesis       | Sterol 14α-demethylase (CYP51)                       | Medium                      |
| 11        | Quinone outside inhibitors (QoIs)| Respiration                 | Mitochondrial cytochrome b                          | High                        |
| 7         | Succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors (SDHIs) | Respiration | Succinate dehydrogenase                             | Medium to High              |
| 12        | Phenylpyroles (PPs)              | Altered target site (protein kinase involved in osmoregulation) | Protein kinase                                      | Low to medium               |
| 9         | Anilino-pyrimidines (APs)        | Altered target site (protein kinase involved in osmoregulation) | Protein kinase                                      | Medium                      |

Figure 2. A diagram of the evolution of resistance to fungicides. This graphic shows an example of how selection pressure may take place. Initial population with little resistance evolves until resistance becomes widespread due to repeated fungicide applications. Adapted from Deising et al. [18].
Resistance to fungicides might be due to various procedures [19–22], including (a) reduced fungicide binding due to alteration of the target site, (b) overexpression of the target protein, (c) reduced fungicide absorption due to efflux pump removing toxic compounds, and (d) metabolic degradation of the fungicide through detoxification (Figure 3).

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Main mechanisms of acquiring resistance to fungicides in *P. digitatum*. Mechanisms of resistance to single-site fungicides: (a) detoxification of fungicide through metabolic enzymes; (b) reduced fungicide binding due to alteration of the target protein; (c) overexpression of the target protein; (d) efflux pumps removing fungicide out of the cell. Adapted from Lucas et al. [17].

The mechanisms involved in the appearance of resistance to fungicides in populations of field pathogens entail the study of the processes that intervene in the reduction of sensitivity to the compound and the genetic basis of the resistance trait. As there are several classes of single-site inhibitors, it is likely that there are several mechanisms that lead to fungicide resistance in plant pathogens, including the major citrus pathogen Pd.

The newest biotechnology for genome editing is a promising tool for the development of disease-resistant crops in the future [23]. Thus, investigating the molecular mechanisms underlying fungicide resistance in plant–pathogen interactions is essential for developing new and better approaches for efficiently controlling plant diseases.

The fruit–pathogen interaction is fundamental for the progression of fungal pathogen. It has therefore prompted great interest in the research community, and numerous studies have been undertaken in relation to the virulence of pathogens and the response of the fruit to infection [3]. In the citrus–Pd interaction, it has been advantageous to have the complete sequence of the Pd genome as well as the genetic transformation systems for
This has massively facilitated knowledge of the molecular processes underlying the pathogenicity of Pd [25].

This review presents an overall view of recent advances in the fungicide resistance mechanisms of postharvest citrus green mold, providing valuable information on the molecular procedures involved in the achievement of resistance to different chemicals, either to a single compound or to several compounds at the same time in the context of the fruit–pathogen interaction. This information is beneficial for developing novel and safer strategies to prevent postharvest green mold in citrus fruits and contributes substantially to knowledge on fungal disease management.

3. Molecular Mechanisms of Fungicide Resistance

Fungicide resistance can evolve differently based on the characteristics of the fungicide (fungicide class) (Table 1).

3.1. Methyl Benzimidazoles (MBCs)

The mechanism of benzimidazole-type fungicides, which includes thiabendazole (TBZ), involves binding to β-tubulins. This prevents the assembly of microtubules and cell division during mitosis and therefore results in toxicity to fungal cells [26,27].

Resistance to benzimidazole fungicides has been described in a wide variety of fungi. Frequently, the responsible mechanism corresponds to point mutations in the β-tubulin gene, which leads to the modification of some amino acids [28–30]. Among the numerous changes observed in the β-tubulin gene associated with resistance to MBC fungicides in phytopathogenic fungi, the most frequent have been in residues 198 and 200 [14]. It should be noted that the replacement of glutamic acid by alanine, valine, or glycine at position 198 and phenylalanine by tyrosine at position 200 can lead to varying levels of resistance to MBC fungicides [31,32]. In the particular case of TBZ, modifications in the TBZ binding site provides cellular resistance to it. Such variations usually occur at positions 198 or 200 of β-tubulin, although other changes are also possible [14,33]. In Pd, two different point mutations have been described as being responsible for TBZ resistance. Mutation at position 198 Glu to Lys was described by Ma and Michailides [14] based on studies performed in Penicillium expansum [27]. In Pd isolates found in citrus fruits from California packinghouses, resistance to TBZ was due to modification at position 200 of β-tubulin [34]. The same mutation was later described in Pd Spanish isolates collected from orchards and packinghouses [35], revealing that resistance mechanism is independent of fungicide pressure. Among Pd isolates collected from citrus in Taiwan, resistance to TBZ was associated with the most frequent β-tubulin mutations at codon 198 or 200 [36].

However, until now, no study has described the molecular process by which genetic variations in β-tubulin prevent the binding of fungicide. Recently, research carried out on Podosphaera xanthii using a combination of different approaches proposed that the MBC fungicide binding site in β-tubulin does not participate in the residues responsible for fungal resistance [37]. As a mechanism, it is suggested that when MBC fungicides spontaneously bind to β-tubulin in sensitive fungi, their conformation is altered and adequate polymerization in microtubules occurs; however, this does not take place in resistant strains, where there is a conformational change promoted by specific modifications.

3.2. Demethylation Inhibitors (DMIs)

DMI fungicides hamper the activity of the cytochrome P450-dependent sterol 14α-demethylase (Cyp51) and thus block C14-demethylation of lanosterol, a precursor of ergosterol in fungal pathogens [38]. DMIs encompass one of the most relevant groups of fungicides that prevent different plant diseases by inhibiting the activity of cytochrome P450-dependent sterol 14α-demethylase (P45014DM) and were first used in agriculture in the 1970s [39]. Imazalil is a demethylation inhibitor (DMI) that blocks ergosterol biosynthesis [40,41] and is frequently used to prevent postharvest diseases of citrus fruits worldwide due to its curative and antisporelant action against Pd [42]. CYP51 encodes sterol
14α-demethylase, an enzyme responsible for ergosterol biosynthesis [43], and is the target of DMI fungicides.

The main mechanisms that provide DMI resistance are (i) modifications in CYP51 or (ii) high expression of CYP51. Different procedures causing DMI resistance have been reported. They are mediated either by specific changes in the coding region [44–46] or by augmenting gene transcription due to an insertion in the promoter [47]. There are three homologues of the sterol 14α-demethylase-encoded CYP51 gene in Pd, namely PdCYP51A [48], PdCYP51B, and PdCYP51C [49]. The first mechanism involving modifications in CYP51 has been described in several pathogens. A single change, such as the substitution of a phenylalanine for a tyrosine at residue 136 (Y136F) of CYP51, led to resistance to DMI in Uncinula necator [50], Erysiphe graminis f.sp. hordei [51], Erysiphe necator [52], and P. expansum [44], while two single nucleotide changes were found to result in amino acid substitutions Y136F and K147Q in CYP51 in Blumeria graminis [53]. Other changes have been described in Tapesia sp. [54], Penicillium italicum [55], Ustilago maydis [56], Blumeriella jaapii [57], and Mycosphaerella graminicola [58]. In Pd, no PdCYP51A point mutations were found to be responsible for Pd resistance to IMZ or other DMI [35] or to prochloraz [46]. On the other hand, in PdCYP51B, no variations in the gene were initially detected in isolates resistant to IMZ [59]. However, recently, different substitutions of PdCYP51B have been found corresponding to different levels of sensitivity to prochloraz, namely Y136H and Q309H in high resistant strains, G459S and F506I in medium resistant strains, and Q309H in low resistance strains [46].

The other process responsible for resistance to DMI is change in the level of CYP51 transcription [60]. The most frequent mechanism is the presence of insertions in the promoter region in the phytopathogenic fungus, as was the case in B. jaapii [57], Venturia inaequalis [61], Monilinia fructicola [62], and M. graminicola [58]. This process has also been linked to the imazalil resistance in Pd. The first mechanism described was the presence of five tandem repeats of a 126 bp transcriptional enhancer in the promoter region of PdCYP51A, resulting in the overexpression of PdCYP51A [40]. These specific repeats allowed the design of a molecular tool to identify IMZ-resistant Pd. The method is based on the detection of the tandem repeat of a 126 bp sequence in the promoter region of PdCYP51A by PCR [48]. Furthermore, a new 199 bp sequence was identified that disrupts the 126 bp transcriptional enhancer, resulting in increased expression of PdCYP51A [63]. On the other hand, in a study carried out in 75 Spanish strains of Pd, resistance to DMIs did not correlate with the 126 bp tandem repeats of PdCYP51A [35]. Therefore, in the new CYP51 gene (PdCYP51B) identified in Pd, a unique insertion of 199 bp was observed in the promoter region that was associated with its overexpression and resistance to DMI fungicides [49]. The same insertion, but reduced to 195 bp, was identified in Spanish Pd isolates, demonstrating that overexpression of this gene is the predominant mechanism for resistance to DMI and in particular to IMZ [59]. This insert was identical to that described by Ghosph et al. [63] in PdCYS1A, which also conferred resistance to IMZ. Thus, the PdCYP51B enhancer actually behaves like a transposon that acts as the MITE element PdMLE [64] and is more stable and predominant than the PdCYP51A enhancer. In fact, when present in PdCYP51B, it is not compatible with the presence of the five tandem repeats of 126 bp enhancer of PdCYP51A [59].

3.3. Quinone Outside Inhibitors (QoI)

QoI fungicides impede respiration by binding to the Qo site of the cytochrome bc1 enzyme complex, resulting in energy deficiency and leading to the death of fungal pathogens [65]. This mode of action in QoI fungicides results in frequent appearance of QoI resistance in specific phytopathogenic fungi.

As with other external quinone inhibitor (QoI) fungicides, azoxystrobin is highly effective in preventing a wide variety of plant diseases [20,66], including citrus green mold [1]. Azoxystrobin (strobilurin) was registered as a new fungicide in the USA for the control of postharvest diseases of citrus [67,68]. However, due to its site-specific
mode of action, as mentioned above, it has a high risk of developing resistance in target phytopathogenic fungal populations. Pd isolates collected from various packaging in China were shown to be highly sensitive to azoxystrobin even though it had never previously been used for the control of citrus diseases, indicating the lack of resistant biotypes in the natural population [69]. Although Pd has a high potential to develop resistance to azoxystrobin, no resistance has been described naturally so far. Only a moderate level of resistance to strobilurins were found in some of the Pd isolates evaluated, which shows that strobilurins are effective [35].

The main mechanism of resistance to QoI is based on the target site and involves changes in the mitochondrial cytochrome b (CYTB) gene, resulting in variations in the peptide sequence that prevent fungicide binding. Mutations affecting sensitivity to QoI fungicides have been identified in two areas of CYTB, which are related to amino acid positions 120–155 and 255–280 of the encoded protein. This mechanism that underlies resistance to azoxystrobin has been reported in several important phytopathogenic fungi [70–75]. In most cases where resistance to strobilurins has been described, resistance was conferred by substitution of a single amino acid (alanine for glycine) at code 143 (G143A) in the cyt b gene. Furthermore, substitution in code 129 for leucine by phenylalanine (F129L) was also found to confer resistance to QoI in some species of fungi, although the level of resistance was lower than that conferred by the G143A substitution [14,76]. Recently, an additional amino acid substitution from glycine to arginine at position 137 (G137R) was also associated with resistance to QoI [77]. In Pd, only UV-induced azoxystrobin-resistant mutants were found. These Pd mutants were genetically stable, and their high levels of azoxystrobin resistance were conferred by a single point mutation (G143A) in the Pdcyt b gene [69].

The second mechanism of resistance to QoI fungicides is mediated by the induction of alternative cyanide-resistant respiration sustained by alternative oxidase (AOX) [78]. In this rescue mechanism, mitochondrial electron transfer is deviated, bypassing the QoI inhibitory site in the cytochrome bc1 complex. Under field conditions, alternative respiration appears to have limited impact on the protective activities of QoI fungicides [79].

3.4. Succinate Dehydrogenase Inhibitors (SDHIs)

The target of boscalid is succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) in the mitochondrial electron transport chain. The SDH enzyme catalyzes the oxidation of succinate to fumarate in the mitochondrial matrix, coupling with the decrease in ubiquinone to ubiquinol in the membrane during aerobic respiration [80,81]. SDHI fungicides specifically inhibit fungal respiration by preventing ubiquinone binding sites in the mitochondrial complex II [81].

SDHIs, such as boscalid, fluxapyroxad, penthiopyrad, isopyrazam, and fluopyram, have a spectrum of activity against a wide variety of fungal pathogens in different crops. Boscalid is a succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHI) fungicide that is very effective in preventing a large number of plant pathogens, including Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Botrytis cinerea, Alternaria alternata, and Corynespora cassiicola [82–85]. An amino acid modification in the highly conserved subunit SDH-B is directly related to the binding of SDHI to the target and has been described in different plant pathogens. In SDHI-resistant isolates, histidine at orthologous positions 277, 272, and 267 were substituted in A. alternata (B-H277Y/R) [86], B. cinerea (B-H272Y/R/L) [87], and laboratory mutants of Z. tritici (B-H267Y/L/F/N/Q) [88,89]. Recently, consecutive treatments over several generations with boscalid in the laboratory were shown to result in resistance in Pd. Studies showed that boscalid inhibited SOD activity while POD activity increased, which may be the reason for the increased O$_2^-$ and decreased H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in Pd [90]. High levels of ROS are harmful and cause oxidative damage to organisms, but they also play an important role in the regulation of a variety of biological functions [91].

Boscalid is a single-site fungicide and is therefore considered to have a high potential for resistance development regardless of its high activity against Pd. The Fungicide Resistance Action Committee [92] classified SDHI fungicides as medium to high risk with
respect to the development of resistance (Table 1) based primarily on single-site mutations of the gene encoding the enzyme succinate target dehydrogenase. The reported resistance has been limited to generation I carboxin fungicides as well as generation II SDHI boscalid.

3.5. Phenylpyrroles (PPs) and Anilinopyrimidines (Aps)

Fludioxonil and pyrimethanil are included in the classes of phenylpyrrole (PPs) and anilinopyrimidine (APs), respectively. Both fungicides are very effective in preventing the germination of conidia and the elongation of the germ tube of *P. expansum* and *B. cinerea* [93], and both are registered in a large number of crops as postharvest fungicides and incorporated for postharvest use in citrus [94]. While fludioxonil- and pyrimethanil-resistant Pd isolates have been naturally identified in packinghouses, they are not associated with crop diseases [1]. Fludioxonil is used alone or in combination with azoxystrobin in the control of green mold and other postharvest diseases of citrus. In California citrus packinghouses, a reference sensitivity to fludioxonil has been established in Pd populations [1]. Nevertheless, it was not until 2015 that the first incidence of resistance to fludioxonil in Pd collected from commercial citrus packinghouses was reported after the introduction of the fungicide in the market [95]. Pyrimethanil-resistant isolates were also obtained from different orchards [96].

The mode of action and resistance mechanisms for both classes of fungicides have been carried out in mutants induced in the laboratory or in field isolates of various fungi. Although two nucleotide substitutions were found in a sequence analysis of the N-terminal amino acid repeat region of the os-1-related histidine kinase gene among Pd isolates, these were not correlated with fludioxonil resistance. Studies indicate that the mode of action of fludioxonil on Pd is probably the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, which stimulates glycerol synthesis in sensitive and resistant strains [1]. In addition, while pyrimethanil is believed to inhibit the biosynthesis of methionine and other amino acids and the secretion of hydrolytic enzymes involved in the infection process in different fungal pathogens [97], methionine biosynthesis is not the main target of APs in Pd [1]. Therefore, the mechanisms of resistance to fludioxonil and pyrimethanil in Pd remain to be elucidated.

4. Resistance-Mediated Drug Efflux Transporters

Efflux transporters can allow fungi to survive exposure to toxic compounds, eliminating the accumulation of compounds in toxic concentrations within fungal cells. These membrane-bound proteins are known to provide protection against a wide range of naturally occurring and xenobiotic toxic compounds [98]. Many studies have reported links between enhanced efflux transporter activity and the appearance of resistance in different fungal pathogens [41,99–102] including Pd, indicating that efflux transporters may have a common and critical role in fungicide sensitivity. In addition, coincident resistance to many chemical types of fungicides was found to be attributable to overexpression of efflux pumps in some important fungal pathogens.

Drug efflux transporters are integral membrane-bound proteins that transport an extensive variety of compounds, such as protein macromolecules, ions, or small molecules in a biological membrane [103]. Two major groups of drug transporters have been characterized in fungi, including ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporters and MFS (major facilitator superfamily) transporters. Multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE), another type of transporter that has been mainly reported in bacteria [104], is related to resistance to antimicrobial agents and was recently reported to be involved in prochoraz resistance in Pd in transcriptomic analysis [105]. In this section, the general function of drug efflux transporters related to resistance to fungicides in the Pd–citrus pathosystem are reviewed (Figure 4).
The phenomenon, described as the simultaneous resistance to several chemically unrelated compounds, is the transaction of a wide range of substrates. The effect on toxin efflux and fungicide resistance usually have a narrow substrate affinity that guarantees an important contribution in the maintenance of ionic gradients in such a way that they function as an H^+ antiporter in microorganisms, uniport, and symport of different products [112] (Figure 4). MFS transporters can serve many more involved in prochloraz resistance [111].

4.1. ATP-Binding Cassette Transporters (ABC)

ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC) make up one of the largest protein families described to date. The family of ABC transporters is one of the most relevant efflux pumps that exert protection of fungi against chemical compounds [106,107]. These transporters constitute primary active transport systems as they obtain the energy required for transport owing to the hydrolysis of ATP (Figure 4). In filamentous fungi, ABC transporters can act against synthetic fungicides or compounds produced by competing microorganisms [108]. The phenomenon, described as the simultaneous resistance to several chemically unrelated compounds (MDR), is related to the overexpression of ABC transporters due to the resulting pleiotropic effects. Four ABC transporters have been identified in Pd: PMR1, PMR3, PMR4, and PMR5. Of them, only PMR1 [48,109] and PMR5 [110] appear to be related to multidrug resistance in Pd. A more exhaustive characterization of the four transporters showed that while no genetic changes were detected between isolates in PMR1, PMR3, and PMR4, some specific modifications were observed in the promoter and coding regions of PMR5 in strains resistant to both TBZ and different DMI fungicides [35]. Furthermore, the presence of toxic substances selectively activates the expression of PMR1 and PMR5. Specifically, triflumizole and imazalil activate PMR1 transcription, while benzimidazoles, dithianone, and resveratrol promote PMR5 transcription. Thus, Pd resistance can be determined by selective transcriptional activation of ABC transporter genes to a toxic compound [110]. Moreover, an exhaustive search of putative ABC genes in Pd identified a total of 46 chromosome-encoded ABC family transporters. Analysis of these genes revealed that five more ABC transporters may be involved in drug resistance as they were upregulated in imazalil-inducing expression analysis [64]. Furthermore, transcriptome analysis of prochloraz-treated Pd strains revealed three new ABC transporters that were more involved in prochloraz resistance [111].

4.2. Major Facilitator Superfamily Transporters (MFS)

MFS transporters are part of the family of active secondary transporters that can transport substances in response to ionic gradients. MFS transporters can mediate antiport, uniport, and symport of different products [112] (Figure 4). MFS transporters can serve as drug transporters owing to a proton gradient, which allows it to confer multidrug resistance (MDR). Many of these MFS transporters transport small molecules in response to ionic gradients in such a way that they function as an H^+ antiporter in microorganisms, regulating their growth under stress conditions as they affect the membrane potential and internal pH [113].

These transporters could play a role in sensitivity to different compounds as they usually have a narrow substrate affinity that guarantees an important contribution in the transaction of a wide range of substrates. The effect on toxin efflux and fungicide sensitivity has been observed in many fungal MFS transporters. For instance, suppression of the Cercospora nicotianae MFS transporter reduced the cercosporin toxin [114]. In B. cinerea, BcMfs1 affected sensitivity to camptothecin and cercosporin and resistance to...
DMI [115] and mfsM2 showed greater efflux fungicidal activity [99]. The elimination of MgMfs1 from *M. graminicola* contributed to strobilurin fungicide resistance but not other evaluated fungicides [116,117]. In *Zymoseptoria tritici*, the MgMFS1 transporter participated in the MDR phenotype [110]. Furthermore, the AaMFS19 MFS transporter was shown to play a role in resistance to oxidative stress and chemicals in the phytopathogenic fungus *Alternaria alternata* [118]. Transcriptome analysis of MDR strains of *P. expansum* reported overexpression of MFS transporter genes before or after exposure to fludioxonil [119].

In *Pd*, more than 100 MFS have been identified due to the availability of the *Pd* genome [5]. So far, of all the identified *Pd*-MFS transporters, seven have been characterized more thoroughly, namely *PdMfs1* [120], *Pdmfs2* [121], *PdMFS1* [101], *PdMFS2*, *PdMFS3*, *PdMFS4*, and *PdMFS5* [102]. All are involved in some way in resistance to chemical fungicides and in some cases may contribute to an increase in fungal virulence. An analysis of each of the proteins encoded by these MFS genes shows that they share little homology between them, which also affects their functionality. Thus, while *PdMfs1* has a clear effect against imazalil, *Pdmfs2* and *PdMFS1* play an important role in prochloraz resistance. Both are also involved in processes developed during the fruit–pathogen interaction, such as conidia and the progress of fungal disease. Furthermore, *PdMfs1* is able to confer MDR phenotype as it contributes to the output of a wide range of fungicidal compounds [101]. Among the latest identified *Pd*-MFS transporters, only *PdMFS2* and *PdMFS3* appear to participate in fungicide resistance. Both genes contribute to simultaneous resistance to several unrelated toxic compounds (MDR phenotype), as previously reported for other fungal MFS transporters [101,113,122]. The phylogenetic analysis of a large number of these MFS transporters in *Pd* revealed all the genes had different genetic structures and encoded proteins of different sizes and that only *PdMFS2p* appeared together with the group that comprised the MFS transporters assigned as drug efflux transporters [102]. On the other hand, the transcriptomic analysis carried out in *Pd* after treatment with prochloraz highlighted overexpression of 14 different MFS transporters [111].

MFS transporters have been linked to QoI resistance. In *MgMfs1*, which encodes an MFS transporter gene from *M. graminicola*, the deletion of *MgMfs1* showed insensitivity to QoI [116]. However, until now, no decrease in sensitivity or resistance to QoI fungicides has been identified in *Pd* mediated by an MFS transporter. However, the contribution of these energy-dependent mechanisms in adaptation to fungicides by phytopathogenic fungi should be seriously considered despite the scarcity of data on resistance to efflux transporter-based QoI fungicides.

Until now, the contribution of MFS transporters as a decisive factor in the plant–pathogen interaction is unknown [37], and further functional characterization of more different MFS transporters will be necessary to establish their role in the *Pd*-citrus interaction.

5. Regulation of Fungicide Resistance

5.1. Transcription Factors in *Pd* Fungicide Resistance

Transcription factors (TF) are involved in transcriptional regulation and play a relevant role in fungal interactions. TFs can contribute to primary or secondary metabolism [123], along with stress responses and sensitivity to pleiotropic drugs [124].

SREBP transcription factors, which contain a bHLH domain, function as critical controllers of sterol homeostasis and are universally found in fungi. In most fungi, SREBPs play a crucial role in controlling ergosterol biosynthesis [125]. In *Pd*, the SREBP protein SreA was initially identified and characterized, which plays an important role in prochloraz resistance and in the transcription of ergosterol synthesis genes [111]. Evidence on the transcriptional regulation of these target genes has emerged to explain the drug-resistant mechanisms of *Pd*. In the citrus postharvest pathogen *Pd*, there is another SREBP homolog, *PdsreB*, which appears to be involved in fungicide resistance and in the control of *CYP51* gene expression [126]. Functional characterization showed the two genes (*PdsreA* and *PdsreB*) act as global controllers in a great variety of biological functions, especially in aspects that mediate ergosterol biosynthesis and resistance to fungicides. Thus, the
expression of the ERG1 gene (in the ergosterol pathway) is regulated by both the \textit{PdsreA} and \textit{PdsreB} genes, while only \textit{PdsreA} is involved in the expression of ERG2. As both genes regulate different aspects, as has been shown with single and double mutations of the genes, it is possible that there are other transcription factors involved in ergosterol biosynthesis that could be activated when both SREBP are inhibited [126]. Furthermore, it is possible that the SREBP genes play a relevant role in the control of certain MFS transporters in \textit{Pd} as some of them were found to be overrepresented in gene transcription studies [126].

Fungi are known to use the transcriptional regulation of genes encoding efflux transporters to detoxify certain compounds. The expression of efflux transporters is controlled mainly by fungal zinc group transcription factors (TF [Zn2Cys6]) [127]. Fungi apparently regulate and manage different stages of the detoxification system by modifications in particular transcription factors, and this regulatory system seems to be conserved in filamentous fungi [128]. Modifications in the activity of transcriptional regulators elicit overproduction of MFS transporters [129].

Transcriptional regulator Ste12 might function as a regulator of pathway-specific genes [130]. In \textit{Pd}, \textit{PdSte12} might be involved in the control of expression of several genes through repression or activation, triggering multiple responses, such as detoxification. \textit{PdSte12} acts as a negative regulator in several genes involved in transport, including the primary ABC transporters (PMR1 and PMR5) and the secondary MFS transporters (\textit{PdMFS1-6}). \textit{PdSte12} also positively controls sterol demethylases (\textit{CYP51} and \textit{PdCYP51B}) [131].

\textit{Skn7} is a highly conserved stress-responsive transcription factor and, apart from \textit{Ssk1/SskA}, the second response regulator that can be activated via the phosphotransfer protein Ypd1. \textit{Skn7} plays a well-established role in the oxidative stress response. \textit{Skn7} is involved in maintenance of the cell wall integrity of \textit{S. cerevisiae} and other fungi. Although these genes have not been identified to date in \textit{Pd}, in the MFS transporters of \textit{A. alternata} (\textit{AaMFS19} and \textit{AaMFS54}), gene expression is simultaneously regulated by the stress-sensitive transcription factor \textit{Yap1}. The expression of \textit{AaMFS19} is also controlled by the stress-related regulator \textit{Skn7} [118,132], but this regulator does not affect \textit{AaMFS54}. ROS resistance in \textit{A. alternata} is, at least in part, mediated by membrane-bound transporters as regulators \textit{Yap1} and \textit{Skn7} have been shown to play a critical role in resistance to oxidative stress [133] (Figure 5).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{Schematic of regulatory mechanisms involved in fungal resistance that are also related to fungicide virulence and oxidative stress.}
\end{figure}

5.2. Protein Kinases in Pd Fungicide Resistance

Fungi processing is controlled by protein kinase (PK) cascades [134]. MAPKs are involved in signaling pathways that are highly conserved in all eukaryotic organisms. There are three orthologous MAPKs in filamentous fungi, namely Hog1, Slt2, and Fus3/Kss1 [135].
The MAPK Hog1, Slt2, and Fus3/Kss1 orthologues in Pd, called Pdos2, PdSlt2, and PdMpkB, have been identified and characterized [46,136–138]. Hog1-like MAPKs, which are highly conserved among various fungi, possess different physiological functions, including a high osmolarity adaptation [139]. Pdos2 is involved in osmotic adaptation and is associated with positive control of glycerol synthesis and negative regulation of ergosterol synthesis [138]. The mode of action of fludioxonil on Pd is probably via the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, which promotes glycerol synthesis [1].

PdSlt2 functions as a negative regulator of different genes involved in transport, comprising primary transporters (ABC transporters PMR1 and PMR5) and secondary transporters (MFS transporters PdMFS1-6). In contrast, PdSlt2 positively controls sterol demethylases PdCYP51A and PdCYP51B [137]. In this sense, the control of important genes involved in fungicide resistance highlights the role of this MAPK in mediating the process involved in resistance to fungicides.

Figure 5 illustrates how transcriptional regulation plays an important role in fungal interactions and how signal transduction pathways can be interconnected. Furthermore, oxidative stress and the ROS response may also be part of fungal–plant interaction as they are simultaneously involved in fungal pathogenicity and resistance to fungicides.

6. Conclusions

The mechanisms responsible for acquiring resistance to fungicides in Pd are due to numerous genes that in some cases depend on the type of fungicide. Resistance to a certain fungicide can be defined by modifications in a single gene. However, in general, different mechanisms, such as variations that modify the binding target of the fungicide or changes in gene expression, determine the appearance of resistance to toxic compounds.

The complexity of genomic pathways in Pd populations has allowed them to respond and adapt to fungicides in multiple ways. At least seven mechanisms that can cause resistance to various classes of chemical fungicides in Pd have been described (Figure 6). Remarkably, resistance caused by increased activity of drug efflux pumps has been the most notorious for all major chemical classes, indicating its common role in resistance evolution. Other mechanisms mentioned above, such as detoxification and transcription factors, have also been found to be relevant.

![Figure 6](image-url). Mechanisms of resistance to main types of fungicides used for green mold disease management. Each orange represents a chemical class, whereas the cryptograms within the oranges correspond to a resistance process as shown in the figure. MBCs: methyl benzimidazole carbamates, DMIs: demethylation inhibitors; QoIs: quinone outside inhibitors; SDHIs: succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors; APs: anilinoypyrimidines; PPs: phenylpyrroles. Adapted from Hu and Chen [105].
Fungicides play a determining role in the control of crop pathogens, and it is likely that they will continue to be one of the most relevant means in the future to prevent the development of diseases. An approach that integrates plant breeding and biotechnology, the development of chemical compounds, and policies that ensure the use of fungicides in a sustainable way through innovation in alternative technologies is essential to achieve the challenge of food safety in a changing environment and counteract risks in plant health and postharvest citrus fruits in particular.

Expanding knowledge of fungal resistance mechanisms not only allows the design of faster molecular tools to rapidly detect fungal resistance but can also allow the identification of natural secondary metabolites and the design of new antifungal compounds that are more efficient and specific.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References
1. Kanetis, L.; Forster, H.; Adaskaveg, J.E. Baseline sensitivities for the new postharvest fungicides against *Penicillium* species on citrus and multiple resistance evaluations in *P. digitatum*. *Plant Dis.* 2008, 92, 261–269. [CrossRef]
2. Palou, L. *Penicillium digitatum* and *P. italicum* (Green Mold, Blue Mold). In *Postharvest Decay: Control Strategies*; Bautista-Baños, S., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014; pp. 45–102.
3. Tian, S.; Torres, R.; Ballester, A.R.; Li, B.; Vilanova, L.; Gonzalez-Candelas, L. Molecular aspects in pathogen-fruit interactions: Virulence and resistance. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2016, 122, 11–21. [CrossRef]
4. Macarísin, D.; Cohen, L.; Eick, A.; Rafael, G.; Belausov, E.; Wisniewski, M.; Droby, S. *Penicillium digitatum* suppresses production of hydrogen peroxide in host tissue during infection of citrus fruit. *Phytopathology* 2007, 97, 1491–1500. [CrossRef]
5. Marcet-Houben, M.; Ballester, A.R.; de la Fuente, B.; Harries, E.; Marcos, J.F.; González-Candelas, L.; Gabaldón, T. Genome sequence of the necrotrophic fungus *Penicillium digitatum*, the main postharvest pathogen of citrus. *BMC Genom.* 2012, 13, 646. [CrossRef]
6. Perez, M.F.; Ibarreche, J.P.; Isas, A.S.; Sepulveda, M.; Ramallo, J.; Dib, J.R. Antagonistic yeasts for the biological control of *Penicillium digitatum* on lemons stored under export conditions. *Biol. Control* 2017, 115, 135–140. [CrossRef]
7. Ghooshkhaneh, N.G.; Golzarian, M.R.; Mamarabadi, M. Detection and classification of citrus green mold caused by *Penicillium digitatum* using multispectral imaging. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2018, 98, 3542–3550. [CrossRef]
8. Vu, T.X.; Ngo, T.T.; Mai, L.T.D.; Bui, T.T.; Le, D.H.; Bui, H.T.V.; Nguyen, H.Q.; Ngo, B.X.; Tran, V.T. A highly efficient *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation system for the postharvest pathogen *Penicillium digitatum* using DsRed and GFP to visualize citrus host colonization. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 2018, 144, 134–144. [CrossRef]
9. Ismail, M.A.; Zhang, J. Post-harvest citrus diseases and their control. *Outlooks Pest Manag.* 2004, 15, 29–35. [CrossRef]
10. De Ramón-Carbonell, M.; Sánchez-Torres, P. The transcription factor *PdSte12* contributes to *Penicillium digitatum* virulence during citrus fruit infection. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2017, 125, 129–139. [CrossRef]
11. Zhang, T.; Qian, X.; Sun, X.; Li, H. The calcineurin-responsive transcription factor Crz1 is required for conidiation, full virulence and DMI resistance in *Penicillium digitatum*. *Microbiol. Res.* 2013, 168, 211–222. [CrossRef]
12. Smilanic, J.L.; Mansour, M.; Gabler, F.M.; Sorenson, D. Control of citrus postharvest green mold and sour rot by potassium sorbate combined with heat and fungicides. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2008, 47, 226–238. [CrossRef]
13. Hollomon, D.W. Fungicide resistance: Facing the challenge. *Plant Protect. Sci.* 2015, 51, 170–176. [CrossRef]
14. Ma, Z.; Michailides, T.J. Advances in understanding molecular mechanisms of fungicide resistance and molecular detection of resistant genotypes in phytopathogenic fungi. *Crop Prot.* 2005, 24, 853–863. [CrossRef]
15. Brent, K.J.; Hollomon, D. *Fungicide Resistance in Crop Pathogens. How Can It Be Managed*, 2nd ed.; FRAC: Brussels, Belgium, 2007.
16. Hahn, M.; Leroux, P. Multidrug efflux transporters. In *Fungicide Resistance in Plant Pathogens*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 233–248.
17. Lucas, J.A.; Hawkins, N.J.; Fraaije, B.A. The evolution of fungicide resistance. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* 2015, 90, 29–92.
18. Deising, H.B.; Reimann, S.; Pascholati, S.F. Mechanisms and significance of fungicide resistance. *Brazilian J. Microbiol.* 2008, 39, 286–295. [CrossRef]
19. Gisi, U.; Chin, K.M.; Knapova, G.; Küng Förber, R.; Mohr, U.; Parisi, S.; Sierotzki, H.; Steinfeld, U. Recent developments in elucidating mode of resistance to phenylamide, DMI, and strobilurin fungicides. *Crop Prot.* 2000, 19, 863–872. [CrossRef]
20. Gullino, M.L.; Leroux, P.; Smith, C.M. Uses and challenges of novel compounds for plant disease control. *Crop Prot.* 2000, 19, 1–11. [CrossRef]
21. Fluit, A.C.; Visser, M.R.; Schmitz, F.J. Molecular detection of antimicrobial resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2001, 14, 836–871. [CrossRef]

22. Capote, N.; Pastrana, A.M.; Aguado, A.; Sánchez-Torres, P. Molecular Tools for Detection of Plant Pathogenic Fungi and Fungicide Resistance. In *Plant Pathology*; Cumagun, C.J.R., Ed.; InTech: East Providence, RI, USA, 2012; pp. 151–202.

23. Langner, T.; Kamoun, S.; Belhaj, K. CRISPR crops: Plant genome editing toward disease resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2018, 56, 479–512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Burón-Moales, G.; López-Pérez, M.; González-Candela, L.; Vinas, I.; Teixido, N.; Usall, J.; Torres, R. Use of GFP-tagged strains of *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium expansum* to study host-pathogen interactions in oranges and apples. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2012, 160, 162–170. [CrossRef]

25. Costa, J.H.; Bazioli, J.M.; de Moraes Pontes, J.G.; Fill, T.P. *Penicillium digitatum* infection mechanisms in citrus: What do we know so far? *Fungal Biol.* 2019, 123, 584–593. [CrossRef]

26. Holloman, D.W.; Butters, J.A.; Barker, H.; Hall, L. Fungal β-tubulin, expressed as a fusion protein, binds benzimidazole and phenylcarbamate fungicides. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1998, 42, 2171–2173. [CrossRef]

27. Baraldi, E.; Mari, M.; Chierici, E.; Pondrelli, M.; Bertolini, P.; Prattella, G.C. Studies of Thiaibendazole resistance of *Penicillium expansum* of pears: Pathogenic fitness and genetic characterization. *Plant Pathol.* 2003, 52, 362–370. [CrossRef]

28. Leroux, P.; Fritz, R.; Debiou, D.; Albertini, C.; Lanen, C.; Bach, J.; Gredt, M.; Chapeland, F. Mechanisms of resistance to fungicides in field strains of *Botrytis cinerea*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2002, 58, 876–888. [CrossRef]

29. Malandrakis, A.; Markoglou, A.; Ziogas, B. Molecular characterization of benzimidazole-resistant *B. cinerea* field isolates with reduced or enhanced sensitivity to zoamide and diethofencarb. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 2011, 99, 118–124. [CrossRef]

30. Fan, J.; Luo, Y.; Michailides, T.J.; Guo, L. Simultaneous quantification of alleles E198A and H6Y in the *β*-tubulin gene conferring benzimidazole resistance in *Monilinia fructicola* using a duplex real-time (TaqMan) PCR. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2014, 70, 245–251. [CrossRef]

31. Albertini, C.; Gredt, M.; Leroux, P. Mutations of the β-tubulin gene associated with different phenotypes of benzimidazole resistance in the cereal eyespot fungus *Tapesia yallundae* and *Tapesia acuformis*. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 1999, 64, 17–31. [CrossRef]

32. Yarden, O.; Katan, T. Mutations leading to substitutions at amino acids 198 and 200 of beta-tubulin that correlates with benomyl-resistance phenotypes of field strains of *Botrytis cinerea*. *Phytopathology* 1993, 83, 1478–1483. [CrossRef]

33. Koernraadt, H.; Somervelle, S.C.; Jones, A.L. Characterization of mutations in the beta-tubulin gene of benomyl-resistant field strains of *Venturia inaequalis* and other plant pathogenic fungi. *Phytopathology* 1992, 82, 1348–1354. [CrossRef]

34. Schmidt, L.S.; Ghosoph, J.M.; Margosan, D.A.; Smilanick, J.L. Mutation at beta-tubulin codon 200 indicated Thiaibendazole resistance in *Penicillium digitatum* collected from California citrus packinghouses. *Plant Dis.* 2006, 90, 765–770. [CrossRef]

35. Sánchez-Torres, P.; Tuset, J.J. Molecular insights into fungicide resistance in sensitive and resistant *Penicillium digitatum* strains infecting citrus. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2011, 59, 159–165. [CrossRef]

36. Lee, M.-H.; Pan, S.-M.; Ng, T.-W.; Chen, P.-S.; Wang, L.-Y.; Chung, K.-R. Mutations of *β*-tubulin-carbendazim interaction reveals that binding site for MBC fungicides does not include residues involved in fungicide resistance. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 1–12. [CrossRef]

37. Brent, K.J.; Hollomon, D.W. Fungicide Resistance: The Assessment of Risk, 2nd ed.; Global Crop Protection Federation: Brussels, Belgium, 1998; pp. 1–48.

38. Aoyama, Y.; Yoshida, Y. Different substrate specificities of lanosterol 14a-demethylase (P-45014DM) of *Saccharomycyes cerevisiae* and rat liver for 24-methylene-24,25-dihydrolanosterol and 24,25-dihydrolanosterol. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1991, 178, 1064–1071. [CrossRef]

39. Hamamoto, H.; Hasegawa, K.; Nakaune, R.; Lee, Y.J.; Makizumi, Y.; Akutsu, K.; Hibi, T. Tandem repeat of a transcription enhancer upstream of the sterol 14a-demethylase gene (CYP51) *Penicillium digitatum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2000, 66, 3421–3426. [CrossRef]

40. Nakaune, R.; Hamamoto, H.; Imada, J.; Akutsu, K.; Hibi, T. A novel ABC transporter gene, PMR5, is involved in multidrug resistance in the phytopathogenic fungus *Penicillium digitatum*. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 2002, 267, 179–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Holmes, G.J.; Eckert, J.W. Relative fitness of Imazalil-resistant and -sensitive biotypes of *Penicillium digitatum*. *Plant Dis.* 1995, 79, 1068–1073. [CrossRef]

42. Parks, L.W.; Casey, W.M. Physiological implications of sterol biosynthesis in yeast. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 1995, 49, 95–116. [CrossRef]

43. Ali, E.M.; Amiri, A. Selection pressure pathways and mechanisms of resistance to the demethylation inhibitor difenoconazole in *Penicillium expansum*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 2472. [CrossRef]

44. Snelders, E.; Camps, S.M.T.; Karawajczyk, A.; Rijs, A.J.M.M.; Zoll, J.; Verweij, P.E.; Melchers, W.J.G. Genotype-phenotype complexity of the TR46/Y121F/T289A cyp51A azole resistance mechanism in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2015, 82, 129–135. [CrossRef]

45. Wang, J.; Yu, J.; Liu, J.; Yuan, Y.; Li, N.; He, M.; Qi, T.; Hui, G.; Xiong, L.; Liu, D. Novel mutations in CYP51B from *Penicillium digitatum* involved in prochloraz resistance. *J. Microbiol.* 2014, 52, 762–770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Fan, J.; Urban, M.; Parker, J.E.; Brewer, H.C.; Kelly, S.L.; Hammond-Kosack, K.E.; Fraaije, B.A.; Liu, X.; Cools, H.J. Characterization of the sterol 14α-demethylases of Fusarium graminearum identifies a novel genus-specific cyp51 function. New Phytol. 2013, 198, 821–835. [CrossRef]

48. Hamamoto, H.; Nawata, O.; Hasegawa, K.; Nakaune, R.; Lee, Y.J.; Makizumi, Y.; Akutsu, K.; Hibi, T. The role of the ABC transporter gene PMR1 in demethylation inhibitor resistance in Penicillium digitatum. Pestic. Biochem. Phys. 2001, 70, 19–26. [CrossRef]

49. Sun, X.; Wang, J.; Feng, D.; Ma, Z.; Li, H. PdCYP51B, a new putative sterol 14α-demethylase gene of Penicillium digitatum involved in resistance to imazalil and other fungicides inhibiting ergosterol synthesis. Appl. Microbiol. Biol. 2011, 91, 1107–1119. [CrossRef]

50. Delye, C.; Laigret, F.; Corio-Costet, M.F. A mutation in the 14α-Demethylase gene of Uncinula necator that correlates with resistance to a sterol biosynthesis inhibitor. Appl. Environ. Microb. 1997, 63, 2966–2970. [CrossRef]

51. Luo, C.X.; Schnabel, G. The cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14α-demethylase (CYP51) gene from Tapesia yaapii conferring resistance to strobilurins in field isolates of Mycosphaerella graminicola. Pest. Biochem. Physiol. 2001, 74, 296–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Albertini, C.; Gredt, M.; Leroux, P. Polymorphism of the 14α-demethylase gene in resistance to imazalil and other fungicides inhibiting ergosterol synthesis. Appl. Microbiol. Biol. 2005, 42, 726–765. [CrossRef]

53. Wyand, R.A.; Brown, J.K.M. Sequence variation in the eburicol 14α-demethylase gene conferring resistance to strobilurins in field isolates of Mycosphaerella graminicola. Pest. Biochem. Physiol. 2002, 74, 649–662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Albertini, C.; Gredt, M.; Leroux, P. Polymorphism of the 14α-demethylase gene in resistance to imazalil and other fungicides inhibiting ergosterol synthesis. Appl. Microbiol. Biol. 2005, 42, 726–765. [CrossRef]

55. Butters, J.A.; Zhou, M.; Hollomon, D.W. The mechanism of resistance to sterol 14α-demethylators in a mutant (Erg40) of Ustilago maydis. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2000, 56, 257–263. [CrossRef]

56. Butters, J.A.; Zhou, M.; Hollomon, D.W. The mechanism of resistance to sterol 14α-demethylators in a mutant (Erg40) of Ustilago maydis. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2000, 56, 257–263. [CrossRef]

57. Ma, Z.; Proffer, T.J.; Jacobs, J.L.; Sundin, G.W. Overexpression of the 14α-Demethylase Target Gene (CYP51) Mediates Fungicide Resistance in Blumeria graminis. J. Fungi 2021, 7, 873. [CrossRef]

58. Schnabel, G.; Snahabel, P.; Tapesia yallundae. PCR cloning and detection of point mutations in the eburicol 14α-demethylase (CYP51) gene from Tapesia yallundae. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 1998, 102, 110–110. [CrossRef]

59. Ghosph, J.M.; Schmidt, L.S.; Margosan, D.A.; Smilanick, J.L. Imazalil resistance linked to a unique insertion sequence in the PdCYP51 promoter region of Penicillium digitatum. Phytopathology 2002, 92, 160–169. [CrossRef]

60. Luo, C.X.; Schnabel, G. The cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14α-demethylase (CYP51) gene is overexpressed in Venturia Inaequalis strains resistant to myclobutanil. Phytopathology 2001, 91, 102–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Schnabel, G.; Jones, A.L. The 14α-demethylase (CYP51A1) gene is overexpressed in Venturia Inaequalis strains resistant to myclobutanil. Phytopathology 2001, 91, 102–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Luo, C.X.; Schnabel, G. The cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14α-demethylase gene is a demethylation inhibitor fungicide resistance determinant in Monilinia fructicola field isolates from Georgia. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2008, 74, 359–366. [CrossRef]

63. Ghosph, J.M.; Schmidt, L.S.; Margosan, D.A.; Smilanick, J.L. Imazalil resistance linked to a unique insertion sequence in the PdCYP51 promoter region of Penicillium digitatum. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2007, 44, 9–18. [CrossRef]

64. Sun, X.; Ruan, R.; Lin, L.; Zhu, C.; Zhang, T.; Wang, M.; Li, H.; Yu, D. Genomewide investigation into DNA elements and ABC transporters involved in imazalil resistance in Penicillium digitatum. Pestic. Microbiol. Lett. 2013, 348, 11–18. [CrossRef]

65. Bartlett, D.W.; Clough, J.M.; Godwin, J.R.; Hall, A.A.; Hamer, M.; Parr-Dobrzanski, B. The strobilurin fungicides. New Phytol. 2002, 151, 49–54. [CrossRef]

66. Butters, J.A.; Zhou, M.; Hollomon, D.W. The mechanism of resistance to sterol 14α-demethylators in a mutant (Erg40) of Ustilago maydis. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2000, 56, 257–263. [CrossRef]

67. Hamamoto, H.; Nawata, O.; Hasegawa, K.; Nakaune, R.; Lee, Y.J.; Makizumi, Y.; Akutsu, K.; Hibi, T. The role of the ABC transporter gene PMR1 in demethylation inhibitor resistance in Penicillium digitatum. Pestic. Biochem. Phys. 2001, 70, 19–26. [CrossRef]

68. Butters, J.A.; Zhou, M.; Hollomon, D.W. The mechanism of resistance to sterol 14α-demethylators in a mutant (Erg40) of Ustilago maydis. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2000, 56, 257–263. [CrossRef]

69. Zhang, H.F.; Zhao, Q.; Liu, K.Y.; Zhang, Z.G.; Wang, Y.C.; Zheng, X.B. MgCRZ1, a transcription factor of Neurospora crassa, controls growth, development and is involved in full virulence. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2009, 293, 160–169. [CrossRef]

70. Ishii, H.; Fraaije, B.A.; Sugiyama, T.; Noguchi, K.; Nishimura, K.; Takeda, T.; Amano, T.; Hollomon, D.W. Occurrence and molecular characterization of strobilurin resistance in cucumber powdery mildew and downy mildew. Physiol. Plant Pathol. 2001, 91, 1166–1171. [CrossRef]
74. Ma, Z.; Yoshimura, M.A.; Michailides, T.J. Identification and characterization of benzimidazole resistance in Monilinia fructicola from stone fruit orchards in California. Appl. Environ. Biol. 2003, 68, 7145–7152. [CrossRef]
75. Kim, Y.S.; Dixon, E.W.; Vincielli, P.; Farman, M.L. Field resistance to strobilurin (QoI) fungicides in Pyricularia grisea caused by mutations in the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Phytopathology 2003, 93, 891–900. [CrossRef]
76. Gisi, U.; Sierotzki, H.; Cook, A.; McCaffery, A. Mechanisms influencing the evolution of resistance to Qo inhibitor fungicides. Pest Manag. Sci. 2002, 58, 859–867. [CrossRef]
77. Sierotzki, H.; Frey, R.; Wullschlegel, J.; Palermo, S.; Karlin, S.; Godwin, J.; Gisi, U. Cytochrome b gene sequence and structure of Pyrenophora teres and P. tritici-repentis and implications for QoI resistance. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2007, 63, 225–233. [CrossRef]
78. Wood, P.M.; Hollomon, D.W. A critical evaluation of the role of alternative oxidase in the performance of strobilurin and related fungicides acting at the Qo site of Complex III. Pest Manag. Sci. 2003, 59, 499–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
79. Fernández-Ortúñoo, D.; Torés, J.A.; de Vicente, A.; Pérez-García, A. Mechanisms of resistance to QoI fungicides in phytopathogenic fungi. Int. Microbiol. Off. J. Span. Soc. Microbiol. 2008, 11, 1–9.
80. Avenot, H.F.; Sellam, A.; Karaoğlanidis, G.; Michailides, T.J. Characterization of mutations in the iron sulfur subunit of succinate dehydrogenase correlating with boscalid resistance in Alternaria alternata from California pistachio. Phytopathology 2008, 98, 736–742. [CrossRef]
81. Avenot, H.F.; Michailides, T.J. Progress in understanding molecular mechanisms and evolution of resistance to succinate dehydrogenase inhibiting (SDHI) fungicides in phytopathogenic fungi. Crop Prot. 2010, 29, 643–651. [CrossRef]
82. Avenot, H.F.; Morgan, D.P.; Michailides, T.J. Resistance to pyraclostrobin, boscalid and multiple resistance to Pristine® (pyraclostrobin + boscalid) fungicide in Alternaria alternata causing alternaria late blight of pistachios in California. Plant Pathol. 2008, 57, 135–140. [CrossRef]
83. Fernández-Ortúñoo, D.; Pérez-García, A.; Chamorro, M.; de la Peña, E.; de Vicente, A.; Torés, J.A. Resistance to the SDHI Fungicides Boscalid, Fluopyram, Fluxapyroxad, and Penthioylad in Botrytis cinerea from Commercial Strawberry Fields in Spain. Plant Dis. 2017, 101, 17–0067. [CrossRef]
84. Miyamoto, T.; Ishii, H.; Stammler, G.; Koch, A.; Ogawara, T.; Tomita, Y.; Kobori, S. Distribution and molecular characterization of Corynespora cassicola isolates resistant to boscalid. Plant Pathol. 2010, 59, 873–881. [CrossRef]
85. Wang, Y.; Duan, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhou, M.A. New point mutation in the iron–sulfur subunit of succinate dehydrogenase confers resistance to boscalid inSclerotinia sclerotiorum. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2015, 16, 653–661. [CrossRef]
86. Avenot, H.F.; Michailides, T.J. Resistance to boscalid fungicide in Alternaria alternata isolates from pistachio in California. Plant Dis. 2007, 91, 1345–1350. [CrossRef]
87. Veloukas, T.; Leroch, M.; Hahn, M.; Karaoğlanidis, G.S. Detection and molecular characterization of boscalid-resistant Botrytis cinerea isolates from strawberry. Plant Dis. 2011, 95, 1302–1307. [CrossRef]
88. Fraaije, B.A.; Bayon, C.; Atkins, S.; Cools, H.J.; Lucas, J.A.; Fraaije, M.W. Fungal transporters involved in efflux of natural toxic compounds and fungicides. Fungal Gen. Biol. 2000, 31, 1–15. [CrossRef]
89. Kretschmer, M.; Leroch, M.; Mosbach, A.; Walker, A.-S.; Fillinger, S.; Mernke, D.; Schoonbeek, H.-J.; Pradier, J.-M.; Leroux, P.; De Waard, M.A.; et al. Fungicide-driven evolution and molecular basis of multidrug resistance in field populations of the grey mould fungus Botrytis cinerea. PLoS Pathog. 2009, 5, e1000696. [CrossRef]
90. Xu, Q.; Zhang, K.; Fu, Y.; Ma, H.; Zhu, F. Toxic action and baseline sensitivity of boscalid against Penicillium digitatum. Crop Prot. 2020, 137, 105272. [CrossRef]
91. Murphy, M.P.; Holm gren, A.; Larsson, N.G.; Halliwell, B.; Chang, C.J.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Rhee, S.G.; Thornalley, P.J.; Partridge, L.; Gems, D.; et al. Unraveling the biological roles of reactive oxygen species. Cell Metab. 2011, 13, 361–366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
92. FRAC. Pathogen Risk List. Available online: https://www.frac.info/docs/default-source/publications/pathogen-risk/frac-pathogen-list-2019.pdf?sfvrsn=caf3489a_2 (accessed on 19 May 2020).
93. Schirra, M.; D’aquino, S.; Mulas, M.; Melis, R.A.M.; Giobbe, S.; Miglioli, Q.; Garau, A.; Angioni, A.; Cabras, P. Efficacy of Heat Treatments with Water and Fluioxonil for Postharvest Control of Blue and Gray Molds on Inoculated Pears and Fludioxonil Residues in Fruit. J Food Prot. 2009, 72, 967–972. [CrossRef]
94. Chi, W.; Xiao, C.L. Characterization of fluolidoxin-resitant and pyrimethanil-resistant phenotypes of Penicillium expansum from apple. Phytopathology 2008, 98, 427–435. [CrossRef]
95. Kim, Y.K.; Saito, S.; Xiao, C.L. Occurrence of fluolidoxin Resistance in Penicillium digitatum from Citrus in California. Plant Dis. 2015, 99, 1447. [CrossRef]
96. Kim, Y.K.; Saito, S.; Xiao, C.L. Occurrence of fluolidoxin Resistance in Penicillium digitatum from Citrus in California. Plant Dis. 2015, 99, 1447. [CrossRef]
100. Omrane, S.; Sghery, H.; Audéon, C.; Lanen, C.; Duplaix, C.; Walker, A.-S.; Fillinger, S. Fungicide efflux and the MgMFS transporter contribute to the multidrug resistance phenotype in Zymoseptoria tritici Field Isolates. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2015, 17, 2805–2823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

101. De Ramón-Carbonell, M.; López-Pérez, M.; González-Caneldas, L.; Sánchez-Torres, P. PdMFS1 transporter contributes to *Penicillium digitatum* fungicide resistance and fungal virulence during citrus fruit infection. *J. Fungi* 2019, 5, 100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. De Ramón-Carbonell, M.; Sánchez-Torres, P. *Penicillium digitatum* MFS transporters can display different roles during pathogen-fruit interaction. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2021, 337, 108918. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Perlin, M.H.; Andrews, J.; San Toh, S. Essential letters in the fungal alphabet: ABC and MFS transporters and their roles in survival and pathogenicity. *In Advances in Genetics*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2014; Volume 85, pp. 201–253.

104. Kuroda, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Multidrug efflux transporters in the MATE family. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2007, 29, 44–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Hu, M.; Chen, S. Non-Target Site Mechanisms of Fungicide Resistance in Crop Pathogens: A Review. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 502. [CrossRef]

106. Stergiopoulos, I.; van Nistelrooy, J.G.; Kema, G.H.; De Waard, M.A. Multiple mechanisms account for variation in base-line sensitivity to azole fungicides in field isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2003, 59, 1333–1343. [CrossRef]

107. De Waard, M.A.; Andrade, A.C.; Hayashi, K.; Schoonbeek, H.; Stergiopoulos, I.; Zwiwers, L.H. Impact of fungal drug transporters on fungicide sensitivity, multidrug resistance and virulence. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2006, 62, 195–207. [CrossRef]

108. Schoonbeek, H.; Raaijmakers, J.M.; De Waard, M.A. Fungal ABC transporters and microbial interactions in natural environments. *Mol. Plant Microbe Int.* 2002, 15, 1165–1172. [CrossRef]

109. Nakaune, R.; Adachi, K.; Nawata, O.; Tomiyama, M.; Akutsu, K.; Hibi, T. A novel ATP-binding cassette transporter involved in multidrug resistance in the phytopathogenic fungus *Penicillium digitatum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1998, 64, 3983–3988. [CrossRef]

110. Nakaune, R. ABC transporters genes involved in multidrug resistance in *Penicillium digitatum*. *J. Gen. Pathol.* 2001, 67, 251. [CrossRef]

111. Liu, J.; Yuan, Y.; Wu, Z.; Li, N.; Chen, Y.; Qin, T.; Geng, H.; Xiong, L.; Liu, D. A novel sterol regulatory element-binding protein gene (sreA) identified in *Penicillium digitatum* is required for prochloraz resistance, full virulence and erg11 (cyp51) regulation. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0117115.

112. Drew, D.; North, R.A.; Nagarathinam, K.; Tanabe, M. Structures and General Transport Mechanisms by the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS). *Chem. Rev.* 2021, 121, 5289–5335. [CrossRef]

113. Samaras, A.; Ntasiou, P.; Myresiotis, C.; Karaoglanidis, G. Multidrug resistance of *Zymoseptoria tritici* isolated from barley field. *Mol. Plant Microbe Int.* 2007, 44, 378–388. [CrossRef]

114. Choquer, M.; Lee, M.; Lee, B.; Gung, K. Deletion of a MFS transporter like gene in *Cercospora nicotianae* reduces cercosporin toxin accumulation and fungal virulence. *FEMS Lett.* 2007, 581, 489–494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Hayashi, K.; Schoonbeek, H.J.; de Waard, M.A. BcmfsA novel major facilitator superfamily transporter from *Botrytis cinerea*, provides tolerance towards the natural toxic compounds camptothecin and cercosporin and towards fungicides. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002, 68, 4996–5004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Kuroda, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Multidrug efflux transporters in the MATE family. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2007, 29, 44–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Sánchez-Torres, P. The drug transporter MgMfs1 can modulate survival and pathogenicity. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2006, 108, 9–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. De Waard, M.A.; Andrade, A.C.; Hayashi, K.; Schoonbeek, H.; Stergiopoulos, I.; Zwiwers, L.H. Impact of fungal drug transporters on fungicide sensitivity, multidrug resistance and virulence. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2006, 62, 195–207. [CrossRef]

119. De Waard, M.A.; Andrade, A.C.; Hayashi, K.; Schoonbeek, H.; Stergiopoulos, I.; Zwiwers, L.H. Impact of fungal drug transporters on fungicide sensitivity, multidrug resistance and virulence. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2006, 62, 195–207. [CrossRef]

120. Wang, J.Y.; Sun, X.P.; Lin, L.Y.; Zhang, T.Y.; Ma, Z.H.; Li, H.Y. PdMfs1, a major facilitator superfamily transporter from *Penicillium digitatum*, is partially involved in the imazalil-resistance and pathogenicity. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 2012, 6, 95–105.

121. Wu, Z.; Wang, S.Q.; Yuan, Y.Z.; Zhang, T.F.; Liu, J.; Liu, D.L. A novel major facilitator superfamily transporter in *Penicillium digitatum* (PdMFS2) is required for prochloraz resistance, conidiation and full virulence. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2016, 38, 1349–1357. [CrossRef]

122. Choquer, M.; Lee, M.; Lee, B.; Gung, K. Deletion of a MFS transporter like gene in *Cercospora nicotianae* reduces cercosporin toxin accumulation and fungal virulence. *FEMS Lett.* 2007, 581, 489–494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Du, D.; Wang-Kan, X.; Neuberger, A.; van Veen, H.W.; Pos, K.M.; Pidcock, L.J.V.; Luisi, B.F. Multidrug efflux pumps: Structure, function and regulation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2018, 16, 523–539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Kim, J.E.; Jin, J.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.C.; Yun, S.H.; Lee, Y.W. GIP2, a putative transcription factor that regulates the aurofusarin biosynthetic gene cluster in *Gibberella zeae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2006, 72, 1645–1652. [CrossRef]
124. Hellauer, K.; Akache, B.; MacPherson, S.; Sirard, E.; Turcotte, B. Zinc cluster protein Rdr1p is a transcriptional repressor of the PDR5 gene encoding a multidrug transporter. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 17671–17676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Bien, C.M.; Espenshade, P.J. Sterol regulatory element binding proteins in fungi: Hypoxic transcription factors linked to pathogenesis. *Eukaryot. Cell.* 2010, 9, 352–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Ruan, R.; Wang, M.; Liu, X.; Sun, X.; Chung, K.R.; Li, H. Functional analysis of two sterol regulatory element binding proteins in *Penicillium digitatum*. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0176485.

127. Thakur, J.K.; Arthanari, H.; Yang, F.; Pan, S.J.; Fan, X.; Breger, J.; Frueh, D.P.; Gulshan, K.; Li, D.K.; Mylonakis, E.; et al. A nuclear receptor-like pathway regulating multidrug resistance in fungi. *Nature* 2008, 452, 604–609. [CrossRef]

128. Sang, H.; Hulvey, J.P.; Green, R.; Xu, H.; Im, J.; Chang, T.; Jung, G. A xenobiotic detoxification pathway through transcriptional regulation in filamentous fungi. *mBio* 2018, 9, e00457-18. [CrossRef]

129. Paul, S.; Moyer-Rowley, W.S. Multidrug resistance in fungi: Regulation of transporter-encoding gene expression. *Front Physiol.* 2014, 5, 143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

130. Rispail, N.; Di Pietro, A. The homeodomain transcription factor Ste12: Connecting fungal MAPK signaling to plant pathogenicity. *Commun. Integr. Biol.* 2010, 3, 327–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

131. Vilanova, L.; Teixido, N.; Torres, R.; Usall, J.; Vinas, I.; Sánchez-Torres, P. Relevance of the transcription factor PdSte12 in *Penicillium digitatum* conidiation and virulence during citrus fruit infection. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2016, 235, 93–102. [CrossRef]

132. Lin, H.C.; Yu, P.L.; Chen, L.H.; Tsai, H.C.; Chung, K.R. A Major Facilitator Superfamily Transporter Regulated by the Stress-Responsive Transcription Factor Yap1 Is Required for Resistance to Fungicides, Xenobiotics, and Oxidants and Full Virulence in *Alternaria alternata*. *Front Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 2229. [CrossRef]

133. Chung, K.R. Reactive oxygen species in the citrus fungal pathogen *Alternaria alternata*: The roles of NADPH oxidase. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2014, 88, 10–17. [CrossRef]

134. Turra, D.; Segorbe, D.; Di Pietro, A. Protein kinases in plant-pathogenic fungi: Conserved regulators of infection. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2014, 52, 267–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Zhao, X.H.; Mehrabi, R.; Xu, J.R. Mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways and fungal pathogenesis. *Eukaryot. Cell.* 2007, 6, 1701–1714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Ma, H.J.; Sun, X.P.; Wang, M.S.; Gai, Y.P.; Chung, K.R.; Li, H.Y. The citrus postharvest pathogen *Penicillium digitatum* depends on the PdMpkB kinase for developmental and virulence functions. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2016, 236, 167–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

137. De Ramón-Carbonell, M.; Sánchez-Torres, P. PdSh2 *Penicillium digitatum* mitogen-activated-protein kinase controls sporulation and virulence during citrus fruit infection. *Fungal Biol.* 2017, 121, 1063–1074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

138. Wang, M.; Chen, C.; Zhu, C.; Sun, X.; Ruan, R.; Li, H. Os2 MAP kinase-mediated osmotic stress tolerance in *Penicillium digitatum* is associated with its positive regulation on glycerol synthesis and negative regulation on ergosterol synthesis. *Microbiol. Res.* 2014, 169, 511–521. [CrossRef]

139. Liu, J.; Wang, Z.K.; Sun, H.H.; Ying, S.H.; Feng, M.G. Characterization of the Hog1 MAPK pathway in the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2017, 19, 1808–1821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]