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More than 80 years ago, Born and Infeld proposed a nonlinear generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics [1]. In their generalization, the classical self-energy of a point charge was a finite value [1–6]. Recent studies in string theory show that the dynamics of electromagnetic fields on D-branes can be described by Born-Infeld theory [7–10]. In a paper on Born-Infeld theory [8], the concept of a Blon was introduced by Gibbons. Blon is a finite energy solution of a nonlinear theory with a distributional source. Today, many physicists believe that the dark energy in our universe can be described by a Born-Infeld type scalar field [11]. The authors of [12] have presented a non-Abelian generalization of Born-Infeld theory. In their generalization, they have found a one-parameter family of finite energy solutions in the case of the SU(2) gauge group. In 2013, Hendi [13] proposed a nonlinear generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics which is called exponential electrodynamics [14, 15]. The black hole solutions of Einstein’s gravity in the presence of exponential electrodynamics in a 3+1-dimensional spacetime are obtained in [13]. In 2014, Gaete and Helayèl-Neto introduced a new generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics which is known as logarithmic electrodynamics [14]. They proved that the classical self-energy of a point charge in logarithmic electrodynamics is

1. Introduction

Maxwell electrodynamics is a very successful theory which describes a wide range of macroscopic phenomena in electricity and magnetism. On the other hand, in Maxwell electrodynamics, the electric field of a point charge \( q \) at the position of the point charge is singular; that is,

\[
E(x) = \frac{q}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{x}{|x|^3} \quad |x| \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \infty.
\]  

(1)

Also, in Maxwell electrodynamics, the classical self-energy of a point charge is

\[
U = \frac{q^2}{8\pi \varepsilon_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r^2} \rightarrow \infty.
\]  

(2)

More than 80 years ago, Born and Infeld proposed a nonlinear generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics [1]. In their generalization, the classical self-energy of a point charge was a finite value [1–6]. Recent studies in string theory show that...
a finite value. Recently, a novel generalization of Born-Infeld electrodynamics is presented by Gaete and Helayël-Neto in which the authors show that the field energy of a point-like charge is finite only for Born-Infeld like electrodynamics [15]. In [16], a nonlinear model for electrodynamics with manifestly broken gauge symmetry is proposed. In the above mentioned model, for nonlinear electrodynamics, there are nonsingular solitonic solutions which describe charged particles. Another interesting theory of nonlinear electrodynamics was proposed and developed by Heisenberg and his students Euler and Kockel [17–19]. They showed that classical electrodynamics must be corrected by nonlinear terms due to the vacuum polarization effects. In [20], the charged electrodynamics is presented by Gaete and Helayël-Neto in Advances in High Energy Physics where

\[ \mu = \frac{1}{c} \phi, \]  

\[ \beta \to \infty, \]  

(3) reduces to the Lagrangian density of the Maxwell field; that is,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}} \Big|_{\text{large } \beta} = \mathcal{L}_M + \mathcal{O} \left( \beta^{-2} \right), \]  

(4)

where \( \mathcal{L}_M = -(1/4 \mu_0) F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} - \mu A_\mu \) is the Maxwell Lagrangian density. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the Born-Infeld field \( A^\mu \) is

\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}}}{\partial A_\lambda} - \partial_\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}}}{\partial (\partial_\rho A_\lambda)} \right) = 0. \]  

(5)

If we substitute Lagrangian density (3) in the Euler-Lagrange equation (5), we will obtain the inhomogeneous Born-Infeld equations as follows:

\[ \partial_\rho \left( \frac{F^{\rho \lambda}}{\sqrt{1 + (c^2/2 \beta^2) F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}}} \right) = \mu_0 j^\lambda. \]  

(6)

The electromagnetic field tensor \( F_{\mu \nu} \) satisfies the Bianchi identity:

\[ \partial_\mu F_{\nu \lambda} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda \mu} + \partial_\lambda F_{\mu \nu} = 0. \]  

(7)

Equation (7) leads to the homogeneous Maxwell equations. In 3 + 1-dimensional spacetime, the components of \( F_{\mu \nu} \) can be written as follows:

\[ F_{\mu \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x/c & E_z/c \\ E_x/c & 0 & -B_z/B_y \\ -E_z/c & B_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(8)

Using (8), (6) and (7) can be written in the vector form as follows:

\[ \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{E(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 - (E^2(x, t) - c^2 B^2(x, t))/\beta^2}} \right) = \frac{\rho(x, t)}{\varepsilon_0}, \]

\[ \nabla \times \left( \frac{E(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 - (E^2(x, t) - c^2 B^2(x, t))/\beta^2}} \right) = \frac{\partial B(x, t)}{\partial t}, \]

\[ \nabla \times \left( \frac{B(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 - (E^2(x, t) - c^2 B^2(x, t))/\beta^2}} \right) = \mu_0 j(x, t) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{E(x, t)}{\sqrt{1 - (E^2(x, t) - c^2 B^2(x, t))/\beta^2}} \right), \]

\[ \nabla \cdot B(x, t) = 0. \]  

2. Lagrangian Formulation of Abelian Born-Infeld Model with an External Source

The Lagrangian density for Abelian Born-Infeld model in the presence of an external source is proposed. In Appendix A, a generalized action functional for Abelian Born-Infeld model in the presence of an external source is presented. In Appendix B, we obtain the symmetric energy-momentum tensor for Abelian Born-Infeld model with an auxiliary scalar field. We use SI units throughout this paper. The metric of spacetime has the signature \((+,−,−,−)\).
The symmetric energy-momentum tensor for the Abelian Born-Infeld model in (3) has been obtained by Accioly [21] as follows:

\[ T^\mu_\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ \frac{F^\mu_\nu F^\nu_\lambda}{\Omega} + \frac{\beta^2}{c^2} (\Omega - 1) \delta^\mu_\lambda \right], \tag{10} \]

where \( \Omega := \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{c^2}{2\beta^2} \right) F^\alpha_\gamma F^\gamma_\alpha} \). The classical Born-Infeld equations (9) for an electrostatic field \( E(x) \) are

\[ \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{E(x)}{\sqrt{1 - E^2(x)/\beta^2}} \right) = \frac{\rho(x)}{\epsilon_0}, \tag{11} \]
\[ \nabla \times E(x) = 0. \tag{12} \]

Equations (11) and (12) are fundamental equations of Born-Infeld electrostatics [2]. Using divergence theorem, the integral form of (11) can be written in the form

\[ \oint_S \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - E^2(x)/\beta^2}} E(x) \cdot n \, da = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_V \rho(x) \, d^3x, \tag{13} \]

where \( V \) is the three-dimensional volume enclosed by a two-dimensional surface \( S \). Equation (13) is Gauss’s law in Born-Infeld electrostatics. Using (8) and (10), the energy density of an electrostatic field in Born-Infeld theory is given by

\[ u(x) = \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - E^2(x)/\beta^2}} - 1 \right). \tag{14} \]

In the limit \( \beta \to \infty \), the modified electrostatic energy density in (14) smoothly becomes the usual electrostatic energy density in Maxwell theory; that is,

\[ u(x)_{\text{large}\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 E^2(x) + O(\beta^{-2}). \tag{15} \]

### 3. Calculation of Stored Electrostatic Energy per Unit Length for an Infinite Charged Line and an Infinitely Long Cylinder in Born-Infeld Electrostatics

#### 3.1. Infinite Charged Line

Let us consider an infinite charged line with a uniform positive linear charge density \( \lambda \) which is located on the \( z \)-axis. Now, we find an expression for the electric field \( E(x) \) at a radial distance \( \rho \) from the \( z \)-axis. Because of the cylindrical symmetry of the problem, the suitable Gaussian surface is a circular cylinder of radius \( \rho \) and length \( L \), coaxial with the \( z \)-axis (see Figure 1).

Using the cylindrical symmetry of the problem together with the modified Gauss’s law in (13), the electric field for the Gaussian surface in Figure 1 becomes

\[ E(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi \epsilon_0 \rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi \epsilon_0 \beta \rho} \right)^2}} \hat{e}_\rho. \tag{16} \]

In contrast with Maxwell electrodynamics, the electric field \( E(x) \) in (16) has a finite value on the \( z \)-axis; that is,

\[ \lim_{\rho \to 0} E(x) = \beta \hat{e}_\rho. \tag{17} \]

At large radial distances from the \( z \)-axis, the asymptotic behavior of the electric field in (16) is given by

\[ E(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi \epsilon_0 \rho} \hat{e}_\rho - \frac{\lambda^3}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0^3 \beta^3 \rho^3} \hat{e}_\rho + O(\rho^{-5}). \tag{18} \]

The first term on the right-hand side of (18) shows the electric field of an infinite charged line in Maxwell electrodynamics, while the second and higher order terms in (18) show the effect of nonlinear corrections. By putting (16) in (14), the electrostatic energy density for an infinite charged line in Born-Infeld electrodynamics can be written as follows:

\[ u(x) = \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi \epsilon_0 \beta \rho} \right)^2}} - 1 \right). \tag{19} \]
Using (19), the stored electrostatic energy per unit length for an infinite charged line in the radial interval \(0 \leq \rho \leq \Lambda\) is given by

\[
\frac{U}{L} = \int_{0}^{\Lambda} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(\rho) \rho d\rho d\phi
\]

\[
= 2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta^2 \left\{ \frac{\Lambda}{2} \left[ \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} + \frac{(\lambda/2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta)^2}{2} - \frac{(\lambda/2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta)^2}{2} \right] \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda + \sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \left(\lambda/2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta\right)^2}}{\lambda/2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta} \right) \right\}.
\]

(20)

It is necessary to note that the above value for \(U/L\) has an infinite value in Maxwell theory. In the limit of large \(\beta\), the expression for \(U/L\) in (20) diverges logarithmically as \(\ln \beta\). Hence, it seems that the finite regularization parameter \(\beta\) removes the logarithmic divergence in (20).

3.2. Infinitely Long Cylinder. In this subsection, we determine the electric field \(E(x)\) and stored electrostatic energy per unit length for an infinitely long cylinder of radius \(R\) and uniform positive volume charge density \(\tau\). As in the previous subsection, we assume that the Gaussian surface is a cylindrical closed surface of radius \(\rho\) and length \(L\) with a common axis with the infinitely long cylinder (see Figure 2).

According to modified Gauss’s law in (13), the electric field for the Gaussian surfaces in Figure 2 is given by

\[
E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau \rho}{2\varepsilon_0 \sqrt{1 + (\tau \rho/2\varepsilon_0 \beta)^2}} \hat{e}_\rho; & \rho < R, \\ \frac{\tau R^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \rho \sqrt{1 + (\tau R^2/2\varepsilon_0 \beta \rho)^2}} \hat{e}_\rho; & \rho > R. \end{cases}
\]

(21)

For the large values of the nonlinearity parameter \(\beta\), the behavior of the electric field \(E(x)\) in (21) is as follows:

\[
E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau \rho}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta} \hat{e}_\rho - \frac{\tau^3 R^6}{16\varepsilon_0^3 \beta^2 \rho^3} \hat{e}_\rho + O(\beta^{-4}); & \rho < R, \\ \frac{\tau R^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta} \hat{e}_\rho - \frac{\tau^3 R^6}{16\varepsilon_0^3 \beta^2 \rho^3} \hat{e}_\rho + O(\beta^{-4}); & \rho > R. \end{cases}
\]

(22)

Hence, for the large values of \(\beta\), the electric field \(E(x)\) in (22) becomes the electric field of an infinitely long cylinder in Maxwell electostatics. If we substitute (21) into (14), we will obtain the electrostatic energy density for an infinitely long cylinder in Born-Infeld electostatics as follows:

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0 \beta^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau \rho}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta}\right)^2 - 1\right); & \rho < R, \\ \varepsilon_0 \beta^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau R^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta \rho}\right)^2 - 1\right); & \rho > R. \end{cases}
\]

(23)

Using (23), the stored electrostatic energy per unit length for an infinitely long cylinder in the radial interval \(0 \leq \rho \leq \Lambda\) \((\Lambda > R)\) is given by

\[
\frac{U}{L} = 2\pi \varepsilon_0 \beta^2 \left\{ \int_{0}^{\Lambda} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau \rho}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta}\right)^2 - 1\right) \rho d\rho \right. \\
+ \int_{R}^{\Lambda} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau R^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \beta \rho}\right)^2 - 1\right) \rho d\rho \right\}.
\]
\[
= 2πε₀β^2 \left\{ -\frac{\Lambda^2}{2} + \left(\frac{2ε₀β}{\tau \sqrt{3}}\right)^2 \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{\tau R}{2ε₀β}\right)^2 \right]^{3/2} - 1 \right. \\
+ \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\tau R^2}{2ε₀β}\right)^2} \\
\left. - \frac{R^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{\tau R}{2ε₀β}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau R^2}{2ε₀β}\right)^2 \right] \cdot \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda + \Lambda \sqrt{1 + (\tau R^2/2ε₀βΛ)^2}}{R + R \sqrt{1 + (\tau R^2/2ε₀β)^2}}\right) \right\}. 
\]

(24)

In the limit of large \( β \), the expression for \( U/L \) in (24) can be expanded in powers of \( 1/β^2 \) as follows:

\[
\frac{U}{L}_{\text{large } β} = \frac{π^2 R^4}{4ε₀} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \ln \frac{\Lambda}{R} \right) + \mathcal{O}(β^{-2}). 
\]

(25)

The first term on the right-hand side of (25) shows the stored electrostatic energy per unit length for an infinitely long cylinder in the radial interval \( 0 ≤ \rho ≤ Λ (Λ > R) \) in Maxwell electrodynamics.

### 4. Summary and Conclusions

In 1934, Born and Infeld introduced a nonlinear generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics, in which the classical self-energy of a point charge like electron became a finite value \([1]\). We showed that, in the limit of large \( β \), the modified Gauss's law in Born-Infeld electrodynamics is

\[
\oint_S \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E^2(x)}{β^2} + \frac{3}{8} \frac{(E^2(x))^2}{β^6} + \mathcal{O}(β^{-6}) \right] E(x) \cdot n \, da \n= \frac{1}{ε₀} \int_N ρ(x) \, d^3 x. 
\]

(26)

By using the modified Gauss's law in (13), we calculated the electric field of an infinite charged line and an infinitely long cylinder in Born-Infeld electrodynamics. The stored electrostatic energy per unit length for the above configurations of charge density has been calculated in the framework of Born-Infeld electrodynamics. Born and Infeld attempted to determine \( β \) by equating the classical self-energy of the electron in their theory with its rest mass energy. They obtained the following numerical value for the nonlinearity parameter \( β \) \([1]\):

\[
β = 1.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ V/m}. \quad (27)
\]

In 1973, Soff et al. \([22]\) have estimated a lower bound on \( β \). This lower bound on \( β \) is

\[
β ≥ 1.7 × 10^{22} \text{ V/m}. \quad (28)
\]

Recent studies on photonic processes in Born-Infeld theory show that the numerical value of \( β \) is close to \( 1.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ V/m} \) in (27) \([23]\). In order to obtain a better understanding of nonlinear effects in Born-Infeld electrostatics, let us estimate the numerical value of the second term on the right-hand side of (18). For this purpose, we rewrite (18) as follows:

\[
E(x) = E_0(x) + ΔE(x) + \mathcal{O}(ρ^{-5}), \quad (29)
\]

where

\[
E_0(x) := \frac{λ}{2πε₀ρ} \vec{e}_ρ, \\
ΔE(x) := -\frac{λ^3}{16π^2 ε₀^3 β^2 ρ^3} \vec{e}_ρ. 
\]

Using (30), the ratio of \( ΔE(x) \) to \( E_0(x) \) is given by

\[
\frac{\|ΔE(x)\|}{\|E_0(x)\|} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_0^2(x)}{β^2}. \quad (31)
\]

Let us assume the following approximate but realistic values \([24]\):

\[
L = 1.80 \text{ m}, \quad ρ = 0.10 \text{ m}, \quad Q = +24 \mu\text{C}, \quad (32)
λ = 1.33 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}. 
\]

By putting (27) and (32) into (31), we get

\[
\|ΔE(x)\| ≈ 2 \times 10^{-24} |E_0(x)|. \quad (33)
\]

Finally, if we put (28) and (32) in (31), we obtain

\[
\|ΔE(x)\| ≤ 10^{-32} |E_0(x)|. \quad (34)
\]

In fact, as is clear from (33) and (34), the nonlinear corrections to electric field in (18) are very small for weak electric fields. The authors of \([25]\) have suggested a nonlinear generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics. In their generalization, the electric field of a point charge is singular at the position of the point charge but the classical self-energy of the point charge has a finite value. Recently, Kruglov \([26, 27]\) has proposed two different models for nonlinear electrodynamics. In these models, both the electric field of a point charge at the position of the point charge and the classical self-energy of the point charge have finite values. In future works, we hope to study the problems discussed in this research from the viewpoint of \([25–27]\).
Appendices

A. A Generalized Action Functional for Abelian Born-Infeld Model with an Auxiliary Scalar Field

Let us consider the following action functional:

\[
S(A, \psi) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( 1 - \omega_1 \psi^1 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right) \right) \right. \\
- \omega_2 \psi^2 \right) - \mu^\mu \right] d^4 x, \tag{A.1}
\]

where \( \psi \) is an auxiliary scalar field and \( \omega_1, \lambda_1, \omega_2, \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are four nonzero constants. The variation of \( (A.1) \) with respect to \( \psi \) and \( A_\mu \) leads to the following classical field equations:

\[
\psi = \left[ - \frac{\omega_2 \lambda_2}{\omega_1 \lambda_1} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)^{-1} \right]^{1/(1-\lambda_2)} F^{\mu \nu}, \tag{A.2}
\]

Substituting \( (A.2) \) into \( (A.3) \), we obtain the following classical field equation:

\[
\partial_\mu \left[ \left[ \frac{\omega_2 \lambda_2}{\omega_1 \lambda_1} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)^{-1} \right]^{1/(1-\lambda_2)} F^{\mu \nu} \right] = \frac{\mu_0}{2 \omega_1} J^\nu. \tag{A.4}
\]

By choosing \( \lambda_1 = \lambda, \lambda_2 = -\lambda \) and \( \omega_1 = \omega, \omega_2 = (1/4\omega) \) (\( \omega > 0 \)), \( (A.1) \) and \( (A.4) \) can be written as follows:

\[
S(A, \psi) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( 1 - \omega \psi^1 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right) \right) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{4 \omega} \psi^2 \right) - \mu^\mu \right] d^4 x, \tag{A.5}
\]

\[
\partial_\mu \left( \frac{F^{\mu \nu}}{1 + \left( \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)} \right) = \mu_0 J^\nu. \tag{A.6}
\]

Equation \( (A.5) \) is the generalized action functional for Abelian Born-Infeld model with an auxiliary scalar field \( \psi \). Also, \( (A.6) \) is the inhomogeneous Born-Infeld equation (see (6)). If we choose \( \omega = 1/2 \) and \( \lambda = 1 \) in \( (A.5) \), we will obtain the following action functional:

\[
S(A, \psi) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\psi^1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right) \right) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2 \psi} \right) - \mu^\mu \right] d^4 x. \tag{A.7}
\]

The above action functional was presented by Tseytlin in his studies on low energy dynamics of \( D \)-branes [28].

B. The Symmetric Energy-Momentum Tensor for Abelian Born-Infeld Model with an Auxiliary Scalar Field

In this appendix, we want to obtain the symmetric energy-momentum tensor for Abelian Born-Infeld model with an auxiliary scalar field. According to \( (A.5) \), the Lagrangian density for Abelian Born-Infeld model with an auxiliary scalar field \( \psi \) in the absence of external source \( J^\mu \) is

\[
\mathcal{L} = \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( 1 - \omega \psi^1 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right) - \frac{1}{4 \omega} \psi^{-1} \right). \tag{B.1}
\]

From \( (B.1) \), we obtain the following classical field equations:

\[
\psi^1 = \frac{1}{2 \omega} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)^{-1/2}, \tag{B.2}
\]

\[
\partial_\mu \left( \psi^1 F^{\mu \nu} \right) = 0. \tag{B.3}
\]

The canonical energy-momentum tensor for \( (B.1) \) is

\[
T^\alpha_\gamma = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left( \partial_\alpha A_\gamma \right)} \left( \partial_\alpha A_\gamma \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left( \partial_\alpha \psi^\gamma \right)} \left( \partial_\alpha \psi^\gamma \right) - \delta^\gamma_\alpha \mathcal{L} = -2 \epsilon_0^2 c^2 \omega \psi^1 \left( \partial_\gamma A_\alpha \right)
\]

\[
- \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \delta^\alpha_\gamma \left( 1 - \omega \psi^1 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right) - \frac{1}{4 \omega} \psi^{-1} \right), \tag{B.4}
\]

Using \( (B.2) \), the canonical energy-momentum tensor \( T^\alpha_\gamma \) in \( (B.3) \) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
T^\alpha_\gamma = - \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)^{-1/2} F^{\alpha \eta} F_{\eta \gamma} \tag{B.4}
\]

\[
- \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \delta^\alpha_\gamma \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2 \beta^2} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right)^{1/2} \right) + \partial_\eta M^{\alpha \eta}, \tag{B.4}
\]
where

\[ M_\gamma^{\rho\alpha} := \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{F_{\rho\alpha}}{1 + (c^2/2\beta^2) F_\mu F^{\mu\nu}} A_\gamma, \quad (B.5) \]

\[ M_\gamma^{\alpha\eta} = -M_\gamma^{\eta\alpha}. \]

After dropping the total divergence term \( \partial_\eta M_\gamma^{\rho\alpha} \) in (B.4), we get the following expression for the symmetric energy-momentum tensor:

\[ T_\gamma^{\alpha} = -\epsilon_0 c^2 \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2\beta^2} F_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \right)^{-1/2} F^{\alpha\eta} F_{\gamma\eta} \]

\[ -\epsilon_0 \beta^2 \delta_\gamma^{\alpha} \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{2\beta^2} F_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \right)^{1/2} \right). \quad (B.6) \]

If we use (8) and (B.6), we will obtain the electrostatic energy density for Abelian Born-Infeld model with an auxiliary scalar field as follows:

\[ u(\mathbf{x}) = T_0^0(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_0 \beta^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - E^2(\mathbf{x})/c^2}} - 1 \right). \quad (B.7) \]
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