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Abstract: Timely detection and maintenance of smart electricity meter faults are essential for smart grid systems, but there is no high-accurate algorithm to detect the meter fault yet. So, in this paper, we propose a deep learning algorithm to detect the fault of the smart electricity meter. Our algorithm is based on a 1D convolution twin network, which can distinguish the meter data of different fault types with high precision. To realize the fault detection task, we design a twin classifier for counting the number of matches between the data to be predicted and each type of known data and select the type with the most counts as the predicted type. Our algorithm automatically detects the fault of the smart electricity meter while its accuracy reaches 94.52%, which can significantly improve the maintenance efficiency of the fault detection.
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1 INTRODUCTION

As the essential equipment of the power grid system, the smart power meter completes the response to end-to-end demands and realizes the two-way communication required by the low-voltage side management of distribution. To ensure the power grid systems' operation, we should maintain the fault meter in time. Therefore, it is a crucial task to detect the fault of smart electricity meters quickly.

There are many machine learning algorithms to detect the fault meter. SVM combined with a genetic algorithm [1] and SVM combined with a deep confidence network [2] improve the detection accuracy, but it is very time-consuming. Extend random forest to a parallel algorithm enhances the accuracy and reduces a small amount of time [3]. Besides, integrating multiple machine learning algorithms improves accuracy to a lesser extent [4].

With the development of deep learning, more and more deep learning algorithms solve related tasks. Convolution neural network extracting the time-domain information realizes a high-precision transient steady-state power systems analysis [5]. In the study of transformer fault detection, deep learning machine [6], self-coding network [7], twin network [8], and other deep learning algorithms are used to improve detection accuracy. Among them, the twin network performs better, it has higher accuracy and shorter calculating time.

In the current study of the fault detection of smart electricity meters, most machine learning algorithms lack satisfactory accuracy and response speed, and few deep learning algorithms are used to solve this task. So, in this paper, we propose a deep learning algorithm based on a convolution twin network and a twin classifier to detect the fault of smart power meters. We process the fault dataset of smart electricity meters to get a better quality, build a convolution twin network, and post-process the network's output using a twin classifier. To evaluate the advantages of our algorithm, we test several machine learning and deep learning algorithms and compare them with our algorithm for accuracy and speed. The result shows that our algorithm speeds up training and improves detection accuracy. Our algorithm realizes fast detection of faults, improves detection accuracy, and provides guidance for meter maintenance, significantly improving maintenance efficiency.

2 THE FAULT DETECTION ALGORITHM BASED ON 1D CONVOLUTION TWIN NETWORK

2.1 1D Convolution Twin Network

The twin network shares the weight between two subnetworks [9]. The twin network is mainly used to calculate the similarity of two inputs and judge whether they are of the same type. Fig. 1 shows the basic structure of the twin network. Two subnetworks receive different inputs and extract features separately. Then the extracted features are calculated to obtain a value that can measure the similarity of the two inputs.

![Figure 1 Schematic diagram of twin network structure](image)

Fig. 2 shows the 1D convolution twin network used in this paper. Each subnetwork adopts a consistent structure of one convolution layer with three full connection layers to extract the input features.

The two inputs of the network have the same shapes (vector with a length of 17). Therefore, the two subnetworks can share the weight. Sharing weight makes the subnetworks carry out the same operation to extract features. We initialize the weight with the same value and adjust synchronously to ensure the weight is shared.

When judging the similarity of the extracted feature, Euclidean Distance is used, and the formula can be expressed as follows:
\[ D_w = \sqrt{[G_w(X_1) - G_w(X_2)]^2} \]  
(1)

where: \( G_w(X_1) \) and \( G_w(X_2) \) both are the extracted features.

### 2.2 Contrast Loss Function

In the training process, the loss function needs to reflect the ability to discriminate inputs. If the two inputs are of the same type, the loss function's value should be small. If not, the value should be large.

In this paper, we choose the contrast loss function [8], and the formula can be expressed as follows:

\[ loss = \frac{1}{2}(1 - Y)(D_w)^2 + \frac{1}{2} Y \left[ \max\left(0, m - D_w\right) \right]^2 \]  
(2)

Here, the value of \( Y \) is 0 or 1, which represents whether the two inputs are of the same type. When 0 is taken, the inputs are similar. When 1 is taken, they are different. The \( m \) is called marginal value, while the value of it is greater than 0 and it takes effect when \( Y \) is 1.

When the two inputs are of the same type (\( Y \) is 0), the loss function degenerates to Eq. (3). In this case, if the Euclidean Distance between the two inputs is small, which shows that the fault classification is good, the value calculated by the loss function should be small. If the Euclidean Distance is large, which means that the network judges the two as different types, the loss function needs to punish the network, and the value should be large.

\[ loss = \frac{1}{2}(D_w)^2 \]  
(3)

When the two inputs are different (\( Y \) is 1), the loss function degenerates to Eq. (4). If the Euclidean Distance is greater than the marginal value (\( m \)), it indicates that the network successfully distinguishes different inputs. So, the \( m - D_w \) is negative and the loss function is 0. If the Euclidean Distance is not large enough, the differentiation degree is not precise, and the network needs to be punished.

\[ loss = \frac{1}{2}\left[ \max \left(0, m - D_w\right) \right]^2 \]  
(4)

### 2.3 Twin Classifier

Essentially, the twin network can distinguish whether the two inputs are of the same type, but it cannot directly classify them in multi-classification tasks. So, we design a twin classifier in this paper. After pairing data, we put the input to be classified into the twin network and the twin classifier to get the predicted type.
The above 17 pieces of data, including the voltage and the current of phase B voltage open, phase B voltage loss, phase C voltage open, and phase A voltage loss, are used as the judgment basis of fault detection for smart power meters. In total, the dataset records 130,000 pieces of data with eight fault types, including meter power on, meter down, phase A voltage open, phase A voltage loss, phase B voltage open, phase B voltage loss, phase C voltage open, and phase C voltage loss. The dataset has 130,000 data points and is collected by a provincial power grid. Before using the dataset, we draw box charts to observe the original data distribution. We find that the original dataset has much abnormal value (black hollow circle) caused by meter disturbance, abnormal operation of electrical appliances, or transmission line fault.

3 DATA PROCESSING AND DATA MATCHING

3.1 Data Processing

In this paper, our dataset is collected by a provincial power grid. The dataset records the information of the fault smart power meter in three cities. The dataset has 130,000 data points and is collected by a provincial power grid. The dataset records the information of the fault smart power meter in three cities. The dataset has 130,000 data points and is collected by a provincial power grid. Before preprocessing, the effect of dimensional differences has been eliminated, and the new dataset is normalized in Tab. 2. Tab. 2 shows that the variance has decreased after data cleaning. We observe the original data distribution, as shown in Fig. 4. After pre-processing, the effect of dimensions has been eliminated, and the new dataset is more convenient for calculation [11].

### Table 1: Derivation process of twin classifier

| Class | Samples from each class | TDP | The probability ($p$) distribution of the number ($i \in [1,2,\ldots,m]$) with the correct classification | CA |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4     | 5                       | 0.8 | Eq. (5)                                                                                           | Eq. (6) |
|       |                         |     | $P$ | 0.0003 | 0.0064 | 0.0512 | 0.2048 | 0.4096 | 0.3277 | 0.9207 |
| 10    | 5                       | 0.8 | $P$ | 0.0003 | 0.0064 | 0.0512 | 0.2048 | 0.4096 | 0.3277 | 0.8352 |

Eq. (6) calculates the probability that the prediction number of the correct type is greater than the prediction number of other incorrect types. In the first summation sign, we assume that the prediction number of the Type $x$ (the input belongs to) is $i$, and the probability of $i$ is $P(X = i)$. In the second summation sign, we calculate the probability that the prediction number of other types is lower than $i$.

$$P(X = i) = C_m^i p^i (1 - p)^{m-i} \tag{5}$$

$$P(N_1 > N_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P(X = i) \times \left( \sum_{q=1}^{n} P(X = n - q + 1) \right)^n \tag{6}$$

3.2 Data Matching

The twin network is used to detect and classify the dataset, so we must generate data pairs. What’s more, to calculate the loss function and the TDP of the twin network, each pair of data needs a label that marks whether the two data are of the same type.

In this paper, 70% of the samples are the training set. Similar operations are carried out to generate data pairs. We select four pieces of data to show the mean and the variance before data cleaning, after data cleaning, and after normalization in Tab. 2. Tab. 2 shows that the variance has decreased after data cleaning, and the normalized mean falls between 0 and 1. After preprocessing, the effect of dimensions has been eliminated, and the new dataset is more convenient for calculation [11].

### Table 2: Results of data preprocessing

|                  | Phase A Current | Phase B Current | Phase C Current | Total electric energy of positive active power |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                  | mean           | variance       | mean           | variance                                      | mean           | variance                                      |
| Before data cleaning | 0.36497        | 2.54757        | 0.40517        | 3.55044                                      | 0.89288        | 16.74959                                      | 8 083.63323     | 1 196 615 915                                  |
| After data cleaning  | 0.19386        | 0.18475        | 0.17506        | 0.19420                                      | 0.25707        | 0.58687                                      | 1 612.13104     | 2 900 116                                     |
| After normalization | 0.03786        | 0.00705        | 0.02910        | 0.00537                                      | 0.01986        | 0.00350                                      | 0.01614         | 0.00291                                       |

Before using the dataset, we draw box charts to observe the original data distribution, as shown in Fig. 4. We find that the original dataset has much abnormal value (black hollow circle) caused by meter disturbance, abnormal operation of electrical appliances, or transmission line fault.

We select four pieces of data to show the mean and the variance before data cleaning, after data cleaning, and after normalization in Tab. 2. Tab. 2 shows that the variance has decreased after data cleaning, and the normalized mean falls between 0 and 1. After preprocessing, the effect of dimensions has been eliminated, and the new dataset is more convenient for calculation [11].

4 EXPERIMENT AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Experiments on 1D Convolution Twin Network

Our experiments on training the 1D convolution twin network use RMS prop as the optimization algorithm, and the batch size is 128. Fig. 5 shows the learning curve of TDP and training epochs on the training set. The learning
curve shows that the TDP has reached the maximum value in a few epochs. After that, with the increase of epochs, the TDP is almost unchanged.

We respectively train the CNN and our 1D convolution twin network on the mnist dataset (a handwritten digital image classification dataset) and the power grid fault dataset. Tab. 3 shows the value of time, epoch, and TDP when the accuracy reaches the maximum. Compared with CNN, our 1D convolution twin network gets higher accuracy on identification task.

### 4.2 Experiments on Twin Classifier

To validate the effectiveness of the twin classifier, we randomly select samples from the validating set while we set the number of samples selected from each type to 10. Then we put the paired data into a well-trained twin network and calculate the CA.

The result recorded in Tab. 4 shows that the CA is not lower than the TDP, which means that the twin classifier successfully uses a high-precision identification network to complete a high-precision classification task.

### 4.3 Algorithm Comparison and Analysis

Besides, we implement SVM, Decision Tree, and CNN based on the mnist dataset and the power grid fault dataset to verify our advantages.

Ablation experiments are carried out independently for each algorithm, and the super parameters are adjusted to obtain the highest accuracy. Tab. 5 records the highest accuracy.

The super parameters of each algorithm determined on the pre-processed power grid fault dataset are set as follows:

**SVM:** radial basis function, standard difference gamma is 0.01, penalty coefficient C is 400, weight ratio is set as balanced, and polynomial power is 5.

**Decision Tree:** the maximum depth is 4, the node dataset is divided into information entropy and Gini-coefficient to judge, the minimum number of samples in the node data set is 25, the minimum number of samples in leaf node is 15, the maximum number of leaf nodes is 5.

**CNN:** batch size is 1920, learning rate is 0.12, the optimizer is Adam, and the loss function is cross entropy loss function.

**Our 1D Convolution Twin Net:** batch size is 256, learning rate is 0.08, the optimizer is Adam, and the loss function is cross entropy loss function.

Tab. 5 shows that the other four algorithms can fit the mnist dataset well and achieve high accuracy. However, it is challenging to train SVM and decision tree on power grid fault sets. Compared with them, CNN enhances the accuracy, but there is still room for improvement. The 1D convolution twin network proposed in this paper achieves the highest accuracy among the four validated algorithms.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, to speed up the maintenance efficiency of meter faults, we propose a deep learning algorithm to detect the smart electricity meters' fault automatically. Our

---

**Table 3** Speed comparison on different network

|        | mnist | Grid fault |
|--------|-------|------------|
| CNN    | 9.462 | 36.864     |
| epoch  | 36.864| 9.462      |
| TDP    | 0.982 | 0.959      |
|        | 15.385| 2.286      |
|        | 4.049 | 768        |
|        | 0.7349| 0.9044     |

**Table 4** Validating results of twin classifier

|        | TDP | Grid fault |
|--------|-----|------------|
| CA     | 0.9986| 0.9452     |

**Table 5** The highest accuracy (CA) of different algorithms

|        | SVM       | Decision Tree | CNN        | Our Net |
|--------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------|
| mnist  | 0.9268    | 0.8873        | 0.9821     | 0.9986  |
| Grid fault | 0.6472 | 0.6285        | 0.7349     | 0.9452  |

**Table 6** CA for every fault type

|        | Training set | Validation set |
|--------|--------------|----------------|
| CA     |              |                |
| Phase A open | 0.9622       | 0.9438        |
| Phase B open | 0.9677       | 0.9557        |
| Phase C open | 0.9449       | 0.9486        |
| Phase A loss | 0.8570       | 0.8708        |
| Phase B loss | 0.8511       | 0.8445        |
| Phase C loss | 0.8139       | 0.8050        |
| Terminal power on | 0.9260       | 0.9043        |
| Terminal power off | 0.9043       | 0.9246        |
algorithm is based on a 1D convolution twin network, which can distinguish the meter data of different fault types with high precision. To realize the fault detection task, we design a twin classifier for counting the number of matches between the data to be predicted and each type of known data and select the type with the most counts as the predicted type. Compared with other algorithms, our algorithm automatically detects the fault of the smart electricity meter with over 90% accuracy, which has practical application value. The power grid system can dispatch professional personnel to repair the meter faults in time using our algorithm, which will ensure the reliability and stability of the power grid system.
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