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Abstract
We compute the gravitational coupling $F_1$ for IIA string theory on $K3 \times T^2$ and use string-string duality to deduce the corresponding term for heterotic string on $T^6$. The latter is an infinite sum of gravitational instanton effects which we associate with the effects of Euclidean fivebranes wrapped on $T^6$. These fivebranes are the neutral fivebranes or zero size instantons of heterotic string theory.
1. Introduction

The principle of second quantized mirror symmetry [1] allows one to map world-sheet instanton effects in compactifications of IIA string theory to spacetime instanton effects in dual heterotic string theories. For the most part this has been studied in $N = 2$ dual pairs [2,4] and the non-perturbative effects deduced in the heterotic string in this way can be attributed to Yang-Mills instanton effects, suitably dressed up by string theory.

In this note we will study this phenomenon in the much simpler context of the $N = 4$ dual pair consisting of the IIA string on $K3 \times T^2$ and the heterotic string on $T^6$ [3]. By studying purely gravitational couplings we will be able to map genus one world sheet instanton effects on the IIA side to gravitational instanton effects on the heterotic side. These instantons are the neutral fivebranes or zero size gauge instantons of heterotic string theory [4,5,6]. These configurations have many dual descriptions. For example in M theory this fivebrane can be viewed as the zero size instanton of M theory which sits at the intersection of the Coulomb and Higgs branches of the M theory fivebrane moduli space. We call it a gravitational instanton since the gauge fields vanish in the corresponding solution of the low-energy field theory and the fermion zero modes involve the gravitino and dilatino but not the gaugino fields.

2. Curvature-squared couplings for the IIA string on $K3 \times T^2$

Much effort has been devoted to the study of special higher derivative F terms in string theory with $N = 2$ spacetime supersymmetry. As shown in [4] these F terms are related to the topological amplitudes $F_g$ studied in [8].

While the $F_g$ have been much studied in $N = 2$ compactifications, in fact, they are not completely trivial in $N = 4$ compactifications. In this paper we study the first of these quantities, $F_1$, in the simpler context of the $N = 4$ dual pair of IIA string theory on $K3 \times T^2$ and the heterotic string on $T^6$. From a mathematical point of view the computation is rather trivial. From a physical point of view, it is not. In a companion paper we will consider a closely related $N = 2$ dual pair for which the nonperturbative $F_1$ can be written exactly [9].

Returning to $N = 4$ theory, we first consider the IIA side. The $T^2$ has moduli $(T, U)$ which are the complexified Kahler modulus and complex structure modulus of $T^2$ respectively. The global moduli space on $K3 \times T^2$ takes the form

\[(O(22, 6; \mathbb{Z}) \backslash O(22, 6; \mathbb{R})/[O(22) \times O(6)]) \times (Sl(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash Sl(2; \mathbb{R})/U(1))\] (2.1)
where the final factor is associated to the Kähler modulus $T$. The moduli parameterizing the first factor are the K3 $\sigma$-model moduli, the IIA dilaton, the complex structure modulus $U$ of $T^2$, and the Wilson lines on $T^2$ of the RR gauge fields.

2.1. Computation of $F_1$

The quantity $F_1$ in IIA string theory is defined as a fundamental domain integral following [8]:

$$F_1 \equiv \int_\mathcal{F} \frac{d^2 \tau}{\tau_2} \left[ \text{Tr}_{R,R}(-1)^{J_L} J_L(-1)^{J_R} J_R q H \bar{q} \bar{H} - \text{const} \right]$$

(2.2)

where the trace is over the Ramond-Ramond sector of the internal superconformal algebra (SCA). The constant term is determined by the massless spectrum and ensures that the integral is convergent. As in [10] one can analyze the states that contribute to $F_1$ by decomposing them under the left and right-moving superconformal algebras. Only the RR BPS states in short (but not medium) representations of the spacetime $N = 4$ supersymmetry algebra contribute.

The integral (2.2) is easily evaluated for $K3 \times T^2$ compactifications. Both the left and right SCA decompose as

$$\mathcal{A}_{N=3}^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{N=6}^\mathbb{Z} \ .$$

(2.3)

Correspondingly, $J = J^{(1)} + J^{(2)}$ where $J^{(2)} = 2J^3$ from the $c = 6$ $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ superconformal algebra with $J^3$ the Cartan generator of an $SU(2)$ current algebra and hence $\text{Tr}J^{(2)}(-1)^{J^{(2)}} = 0$. Therefore, only the term with $\text{Tr}(-1)^{J^{(2)}} = \chi(K3) = 24$ contributes and we can write (2.2) as

$$F_1 = \int_\mathcal{F} \frac{d^2 \tau}{\tau_2} \left[ \text{Tr}_{R,R}^{(1)} J_L^{(1)}(-1)^{J_L^{(1)}} + J_R^{(1)} q H \bar{q} \bar{H} \text{Tr}_{R,R}^{(2)}(-1)^{J_L^{(2)}} + J_R^{(2)} q H \bar{q} \bar{H} - 24 \right]$$

$$= -\int_\mathcal{F} \frac{d^2 \tau}{\tau_2} \left( 24Z_{1,2}^{T,2}(T,U) - 24 \right)$$

$$= 24 \left( \log \| \eta^2(T) \|^2 + \log \| \eta^2(U) \|^2 - \log \left[ \frac{8\pi e^{1-\gamma_E}}{\sqrt{27}} \right] \right)$$

(2.4)

where $\| \eta^2(T) \|^2 \equiv \text{Im}T |\eta^2(T)|^2$ is the invariant norm-squared, and in the last line we have used the result of [11].

Note that (2.4) is invariant under the $Sl(2, \mathbb{Z})$ group acting on $T$. However, the expression is not $O(22,6)$ invariant since the complex structure modulus $U$ mixes into other moduli in the $O(22,6)$ coset. Of course, the equations of motion of the low energy effective theory must be $U$-duality invariant.

\footnote{In this section we use automorphic conventions with $\text{Im}T > 0$.}
2.2. Relation to the effective action

It was shown in [12,8] that for a Calabi-Yau $\sigma$-model, quite generally, $F_1$ splits as a sum

$$ F_1 = F_1^{\text{complex}} + F_1^{\text{Kahler}} $$

(2.5)

that depend only on complex and Kahler moduli respectively and are exchanged by mirror symmetry. Which of these two functions couples to $\text{tr} R \wedge R$ depends on whether we discuss the IIA or IIB theory. In IIA theory only the term $F_1^{\text{Kahler}}$ in (2.5) appears in the low-energy effective theory and this term is invariant under both $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $O(22,6)$. In the IIB theory we would keep the complex structure term in (2.5) but the moduli space (2.1) is also changed by the interchange of $T$ and $U$.

Supersymmetry constrains the local Wilsonian couplings of $R^2$ to the Kahler moduli to be holomorphic.\footnote{Supersymmetric completions of terms of the form $\int z \text{tr} R \wedge R$ have been discussed extensively in [13,14]. When comparing with these expressions it is important to bear in mind that string amplitudes are only computed on-shell.} Of course, $F_1^{\text{Kahler}}$ extracted from (2.4) is not holomorphic. This amplitude is related to an effective coupling. Nevertheless, we may extract from it the holomorphic Wilsonian coupling to $R^2$. (The relation of the nonholomorphy of effective couplings and the holomorphy constraints of Wilsonian couplings is subtle and is discussed at length in [13,14].) The bosonic terms in the Wilsonian action for the $T$-modulus, including leading couplings to gravity fields are (in Minkowski space):

$$ I = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \partial_\mu T \partial^\mu T + I^{\text{gaugefields}} $$

$$ + \frac{1}{16\pi} \text{Re} \left[ \int \frac{\log(\eta(T))^{24}}{2\pi i} \text{tr}(R - i R^*)^2 \right] $$

(2.6)

Here $\kappa_4^2 = 1/M_{\text{Planck}}^2$. The curvature tensor is regarded as a 2-form with values in the Lie algebra of $SO(3,1)$, $R = \frac{1}{2} R^a_{\ b\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$, the dual on $R$ is taken on the tangent space indices and the trace is over these indices.

We recall the coupling to the gauge fields which follows from the general constraints of $d = 4, N = 4$ supergravity [19]. The scalar geometry is fixed to be an $SL(2, \mathbb{H}) \times O(6,n)$ coset. Following [20] we may write the action in the present case by introducing $U(1)$ gauge field strengths $F^I$, (considered as 2-forms), $I = 1, \ldots, 28$, a quadratic form $\langle v, w \rangle = v^I L_{IJ} w^J$ defining $O(22,6)$ and $M_{IJ}$, a matrix of scalar moduli for the $O(22,6)$ coset
such that $M^T = M, (ML)^2 = 1$. We define projection operators $\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm ML)$ onto
the graviphotons and vectormultiplet field strengths respectively and also define $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\eta \equiv \Pi_\epsilon(F + \eta_1 i * F)$ with $\epsilon = \pm, \eta = \pm$.

Under $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\eta$ transforms as a modular form of weight $(0, 1)$ when $\epsilon \eta = 1$ and
of weight $(1, 0)$ when $\epsilon \eta = -1$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_+^{\pm} \to (cT + d)\Pi_+(F + i * F) \\
\mathcal{F}_-^{\pm} \to (cT + d)\Pi_-(F + i * F).
\end{align*}
\] (2.7)

Moreover $\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\eta \to \Omega \mathcal{F}_\epsilon^\eta$ under $O(22, 6)$ transformations $\Omega$. The coupling to gauge fields is:

\[
I_{\text{gaugefields}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi}Re\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \bar{T} \left[ (\mathcal{F}_+^{\pm}, \mathcal{F}_-^{\mp}) + (\mathcal{F}_-^{\pm}, \mathcal{F}_+^{\mp}) \right] \right\}
\] (2.8)

Finally, let us discuss the invariances of the action (2.6). As emphasized in [21],
(2.8) is not manifestly invariant. This is not surprising since the gauge fields undergo
duality rotations under $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. On the other hand the Einstein metric is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-
invariant, and hence the coupling of $T$ to it must be invariant. This is the key difference
between the gravity coupling in (2.6) and the gauge coupling in (2.8). Actually, (2.6) is
not exactly invariant because $\log(\eta(T))^{24}$ suffers a shift under $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. As explained
in [13,16,17,18,14] this is closely connected with $\sigma$-model duality anomalies. Indeed, the
gravitinos, dilatinos and gauginos are chiral under $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Since all the fields are neutral
under the 28 gauge fields the anomalous variation will have an imaginary part proportional
only to $\text{tr}R \wedge R$. The anomalous variation of the fermion determinant cancels the shift of
$\log(\eta(T))^{24}$. It is worth emphasizing that the nonholomorphic terms in $F_1$ are nonzero in
this example, even though the “gravitational $\beta$-function” of [22] is zero.

It would be very interesting to extend the above discussion to the higher $F_g$ terms.

3. Curvature-squared couplings for the heterotic string on $T^6$

Under six dimensional string-string duality the $T$ modulus of the IIA theory on $T^2 \times
K3$ is exchanged with the dilaton-axion multiplet or axiodil $\tau_S \equiv 4\pi i S$ of the heterotic
string on $T^6$. Thus to obtain the $R^2$ couplings in the heterotic theory we may simply
replace $T \to \tau_S$ everywhere in the previous section.

---

3 The reader should compare with the discussion in [23].
It is also easy to argue for this result directly in the heterotic string by insisting on S-duality. At tree level the Bianchi identity for $H$, which follows from implementing the Green Schwarz mechanism, requires a term in the Minkowskian action:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int Re(\tau S)[tr R \wedge R - tr F \wedge F]$$

(3.1)

Where as usual the gauge trace is in the fundamental of $SO(32)$ or $1/30$ times the trace in the adjoint for $E_8 \times E_8$. The coefficient should be exactly as given, since otherwise instantons would not break the continuous $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ duality group to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Supersymmetry then requires the coupling of $S$ to $R^2$ to be:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int Re(\tau S)tr(R \wedge R) - Im(\tau S)tr(R \wedge R^*)$$.

(3.2)

From S-duality itself, we know that the S-dual completion must take

$$\tau_S \rightarrow \frac{24}{2\pi i} \log \eta(\tau_S)$$

(3.3)

which leads to the $R^2$ couplings

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} Re \left[ \int \frac{\log \eta^{24}(\tau_S)}{2\pi i} tr(R - i R^*)^2 \right]$$

(3.4)

which reproduces (2.6) after exchanging $T$ and $\tau_S$.

In terms of effective couplings we may state the result in terms of the equations of motion for the dilaton multiplet:

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \left[ \nabla^2 \tau_S \right] + i \frac{\nabla^\mu \tau_S \nabla_\mu \tau_S}{(Im \tau_S)^2} + * \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[ \langle F^+ \wedge F^+ \rangle + \langle F^- \wedge F^- \rangle 
- \tilde{E}_2(\tau_S)Tr(R_{\mu\nu} + iR^*_{\mu\nu})^2 \right] = 0$$

(3.5)

The first two terms in (3.5) transform under $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformations covariantly with weight two. The last term breaks the invariance to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The Eisenstein series $E_2$ transforms with a shift while $\tilde{E}_2 = E_2 - \frac{3}{\pi I m \tau}$ transforms covariantly. The equations (3.5) are the $R^2$-corrections to the S-duality invariant equations of [21].

It is worth noting that in the low-energy field theory limit where we fix the dilaton $S$ to be constant and work on a general Euclidean four manifold (3.4) contributes

$$\exp \left[ -（\chi + \frac{3}{2} \sigma）\log \eta^{12} - （\chi - \frac{3}{2} \sigma）\log \bar{\eta}^{12} \right]$$

(3.6)
to the Euclidean path integral. Here \( \chi \) is the Euler character and \( \sigma \) is the signature. We presume that this gravitational S-duality anomaly is related to the S-duality anomaly in the gauge partition function studied in [24]. Note in particular that on a four-dimensional hyperkahler manifold where the physical and twisted \( N = 4 \) theories should agree the curvature is automatically anti-self-dual and as a result \( \chi = -3\sigma/2 \) so that the term discussed here contributes \((-\bar{\eta})^{-24}\chi\) to the Euclidean path integral. It would be interesting to make the connection to the result of [24] more precise.

4. Physical interpretation

As mentioned earlier, we expect that worldsheet instantons effects in the IIA string should be exchanged with spacetime instanton effects in the heterotic string. The formula for \( F_1 \) is, as explained in [8], a sum over genus one IIA worldsheet instantons. In this section we will identify the spacetime instanton in the heterotic string which leads to the \( R^2 \) corrections (3.4). Since the heterotic string and the IIA string can each be viewed as wrapped fivebranes in the dual theory [25,26,6], we expect that the instantons can be viewed as heterotic fivebranes wrapped on \( T^6 \).

4.1. Instanton expansion

In order to make the instanton expansion manifest we use the “string conventions” with axion-dilaton chiral superfield \( S \) with \( \text{Re}(S) > 0 \) and

\[
q_S = e^{-8\pi^2 S} = e^{2\pi i \tau S}.
\]

We normalize the four dimensional gauge action to be \((1/2g^2) \int \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \) with \( \text{tr} \) the trace in the fundamental representation of \( U(n) \) so that a charge one instanton has action \( 8\pi^2/g^2 \). Then \( S = \frac{1}{g^2} + i \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \), and we can expand:

\[
\log(\eta(\tau_S))^{24} = -8\pi^2 S - 24 \left[ q_S + \frac{3q_S^2}{2} + \frac{4q_S^3}{3} + \cdots \right]. \tag{4.1}
\]

The first term is the tree level coupling, as discussed above. The higher order terms have the form of instanton corrections to the \( R^2 \) couplings where the instanton action is given by \( 8\pi^2 \text{Re}(S) = 8\pi^2/g^2 \).
4.2. Wrapped 5branes: Macroscopic analysis

The result (3.4) appears to sum up an infinite set of instanton contributions. To confirm this we would like to identify the instanton configurations in the heterotic string which lead to these corrections to $R^2$ couplings.

We now argue that the relevant instanton is the neutral fivebrane wrapped on $T^6$. We will proceed in two steps, first analyzing the instanton using the low-energy analysis of [5] and then discussing the non-perturbative modifications found in [6].

As in the one instanton contribution to the $N = 2$ prepotential [27] the easiest quantity to calculate is not the purely bosonic term in the action but rather the term with the maximal number of fermion fields which is related to the bosonic term by extended supersymmetry. In $N = 4$ supergravity a coupling of the form $F(S) \text{tr} R^2$ is paired with 8 fermion terms involving the dilatino and gravitino. To see this we note that such 8 fermion terms are present in $N = 1, d = 10$ supergravity and are paired with the tree level $\text{Str} R^2$ coupling by supersymmetry [28]. They must thus be present in the dimensional reduction to the $N = 4$ theory in $d = 4$. There are of course additional terms with fewer fermion fields, these must be generated by supersymmetric instanton perturbation theory as has been checked in detail for $N = 2$ gauge theory [29]. We are thus looking for an instanton in heterotic string theory which has action $e^{-8\pi^2 S}$ and 8 fermion zero modes constructed out of the gravitino and dilatino but independent of the gauginos.

In [5] a number of fivebrane solutions to heterotic string theory were discussed, the neutral fivebrane, gauge fivebrane and symmetric fivebrane [4]. The latter two involve finite size instantons of an unbroken non-Abelian gauge group. For simplicity we will restrict our analysis to a generic point in the Narain moduli space where the gauge group is $U(1)^{28}$ and there will be no finite size gauge instantons. Thus only the neutral fivebrane can be relevant to our analysis.

According to the low-energy analysis of [3] the neutral fivebrane has $(1, 0)$ world-brane supersymmetry with a single hypermultiplet of zero modes. The hypermultiplet consists of 4 real scalar moduli associated to translations in the four dimensions transverse to the brane and a six-dimensional Weyl fermion. The fermion zero modes arise from the action of the 8 components of $N = 1$ supersymmetry in $d = 10$ which are broken by the fivebrane background.

---

4 The gauge fivebrane was first discussed in [30]. The neutral fivebrane is also discussed in [4].
The 8 fermion zero modes are precisely what we require to get an instanton-induced 8 fermion interaction term. It is important to check that the collective coordinate integral is well defined. We do this as follows. From the formulae of [5] it is easy to write down the fermion zeromodes:

\[
\delta \lambda = 2e^{-\phi}\Gamma^m \partial_m \phi \epsilon \otimes \eta \\
\delta \psi_\mu = -\delta_{\mu m} \partial_n \phi \Gamma^{mn} \epsilon \otimes \eta
\]

(4.2)

where \(\mu, m = 1, \ldots, 4\), \(\epsilon \otimes \eta\) is a constant spinor in the \((2^+, 4)\) of \(SO(4) \times SO(6)\) and \(\phi\) is given by [5]:

\[
e^{2\phi} = e^{2\phi_0} + \frac{\alpha'}{x^2}.
\]

(4.3)

Thus the gauge coupling diverges “down the throat” of the neutral fivebrane. Nevertheless, the fermion zero modes are normalizable and localized near the throat (at distances scales \(\sim \sqrt{\alpha'}\)). Moreover, the 8-fermion term inducing the \(R^2\) interactions can be extracted from equation (2.11) of [28]. One finds several different tensor structures, which can be denoted schematically as:

\[
(\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^4 \quad (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^3 (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(3)} \psi) \quad (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^3 (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(5)} \psi) \\
(\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^3 (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(7)} \psi) \quad (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^3 (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(6)} \lambda) \quad (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(1)} \psi)^3 (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^{(4)} \lambda)
\]

(4.4)

The notation \(\Gamma^{(n)}\) refers to \(\Gamma_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}\). Indices are contracted in all possible combinations. All these terms scale in the same way as \(x^2 \to 0\), and the density in the collective coordinate integral behaves like

\[
\int d^4x \frac{1}{x^2}
\]

as \(x^2 \to 0\) so there is no divergence. The integral also converges well for \(x^2 \to \infty\).

We can also argue that the weight of the instanton action is correct. The wrapped neutral fivebrane has an action which is \(T_5 V_6\) with \(T_5\) the fivebrane tension and \(V_6\) the volume of \(T^6\). The fivebrane tension saturates a Bogomolnyi bound given in [30] and from this bound the action \(T_5 V_6\) is equal to the action of a minimal charge gauge instanton and is thus equal to \(8\pi^2 \text{Re}S\) with our conventions. We will also check the action later by comparison to M theory.
4.3. Wrapped 5brane: microscopic analysis

So far we have ignored the fact that the fivebrane solutions of [5] have regions of strong coupling ("down the throat") which can invalidate a naive low-energy analysis of the zero mode structure and lead to novel effects [6]. Let us start with the $SO(32)$ heterotic string in $d = 10$. The neutral fivebrane has $(1,0)$ worldbrane supersymmetry and the zero modes discussed in [5] consist of a single neutral hypermultiplet whose scalar fields give the location in $R^4$ of the fivebrane. According to the analysis of [6] there are additional non-perturbative collective coordinates which consist of a $SU(2)$ gauge multiplet with gauge field $A$. There are also hypermultiplets in the $(2,32)$ of $SU(2) \times SO(32)$.

At a generic point in the Narain moduli space $SO(32)$ Wilson lines will break the four-dimensional gauge group to $U(1)^{28}$ and give mass to the $(2,32)$ hypermultiplets. The fivebrane collective coordinates governing zero energy deformations of the fivebrane will then consist of the neutral hypermultiplet plus the values of the flat $SU(2)$ connections and their fermion partners.

It is convenient to wrap the fivebrane on $T^6$ in two steps by regarding $T^6$ as $T^4 \times T^2$. From string duality we know that the Kahler modulus of the $T^2$ in this decomposition is equal to the $S$ modulus of the original IIA string theory. We first consider the neutral fivebrane wrapped on $T^4$. Then as in [6] the flat $SU(2)$ connections on $T^4$ are just Wilson lines around the one-cycles $\gamma_i$ of $T^4$

$$U_i = P \exp \int_{\gamma_i} A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

If $e^{\pm i \theta_i}$ are the eigenvalues of $U_i$ then the moduli space of flat $SU(2)$ connections has periodic coordinates $\theta_i$ subject to the Weyl group identification $\theta_i \rightarrow -\theta_i$. The moduli space of flat connection is thus $T^4/Z_2$ where we use $T$ to denote the torus with coordinates $\theta_i$ in order to distinguish it from the compactification torus $T^4$. Thus the fivebrane wrapped on $T^4$ yields a string in ten dimensions with transverse coordinates propagating on the space $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T^2 \times T^4/Z_2$. As predicted by string-string duality, this is precisely the structure of the IIA string compactified on $K3$ as long as it is correct to view the orbifold $T^4/Z_2$ as equivalent to $K3$. We henceforth refer to $T^4/Z_2$ as $K3$. This soliton description is implicitly in static gauge, but we should be able to consider the soliton IIA string constructed in this way more abstractly. We now consider the effects of wrapping the fivebrane on the full $T^6$. These instantons can be viewed as worldsheet instantons of the IIA soliton string.
in the target space $T^2 \times K3$. Summing over these instantons will give precisely the same sum as in the original IIA string theory, but with the replacement $T \rightarrow \tau_S$.

Thus in this example we have a very direct mapping from second quantized mirror symmetry not only between terms in the Lagrangian but also between explicit instanton configurations. In the original IIA theory we have world-sheet instantons which are genus one holomorphic curves on $K3 \times T^2$. In heterotic theory these map to spacetime instanton effects which can be viewed as world-sheet instantons of the soliton IIA string given by genus one holomorphic curves on $\mathcal{K}3 \times T^2$. We expect that this point of view will be useful also in $N = 2$ dual pairs. In this case we can start with world-sheet instantons of the fundamental IIA string on a Calabi-Yau space which is a $K3$ fibration. On the heterotic side we have a dual pair consisting of the heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$ with a specific choice of gauge bundle. Indeed, if the $K3$ surface is elliptically fibered, as in $F$-compactification \cite{31} we may attempt to use the adiabatic argument of \cite{32} and write the “fibration”:

$$\tilde{T}^2 \times T^2 \rightarrow K3 \times T^2 \Downarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

(4.6)

whose generic fiber is a 4-torus. Once again we can consider fivebrane instantons wrapped on $K3 \times T^2$ in a two-step process. In the first step we wrap the fivebrane on a generic fiber $\tilde{T}^2 \times T^2$ to obtain a soliton IIA string. This soliton string propagates on the “fibration”:

$$K3 \rightarrow X_3 \Downarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

(4.7)

giving a Calabi-Yau 3-fold $X_3$, where the $\mathcal{K}3$ fiber is constructed as before as the moduli space of flat $SU(2)$ connections on $\tilde{T}^2 \times T^2$. Worldsheet instantons where the soliton IIA string wraps the $\mathbb{P}^1$ will then give rise to nonperturbative spacetime instanton effects in the heterotic string. The adiabatic argument will need to be corrected, since, for example, the $\mathcal{K}3$ fibers degenerate over $\mathbb{P}^1$ as do the $\tilde{T}^2$ fibers over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Nevertheless, it should be possible again to map directly the spacetime instantons to the worldsheets instantons of the dual soliton IIA string on a $\mathcal{K}3$ fibration Calabi-Yau space. It is an interesting problem to determine the relation between these two Calabi-Yau spaces and to figure out how the data of the heterotic gauge bundle is encoded in this description. The answer is provided, in part, by F-compactification \cite{31}.

Even in the $N = 4$ context discussed here there are several aspects of this identification which deserve further investigation. As in \cite{3} we have ignored effects which may be associated with cancellation between $SU(2)$ and $SO(32)$ Wilson lines. However the general picture seems robust and should continue to hold true whether or not quantum effects modify the orbifold $T^4/Z_2$ to a smooth $K3$ surface, as discussed in \cite{3} \cite{33}.
5. Comments on M theory fivebranes

It is clear that the fivebrane instanton effects described above must have a description in M theory since the $SO(32)$ heterotic string is $T$-dual to the $E_8 \times E_8$ theory which can be obtained from $M$ theory on $S^1/Z_2$ [34].

From an analysis of the fermion zero modes it is clear that in M theory the required fivebrane cannot be the bulk fivebrane with $(2,0)$ worldbrane supersymmetry but must instead be a zero size gauge fivebrane which lives at the boundary of the Higgs and Coulomb branches of the M theory fivebrane moduli space. This is the tensionless string theory when considered in $\mathbb{R}^{1,5}$ [35,36,37,38] but here compactified in Euclidean signature on $T^6$.

We can perform one small check on the M theory description by computing the action of the instanton directly in M theory. On general grounds this must give the same answer as before.

Following the conventions of [39] the M theory fivebrane tension is given in terms of the eleven dimensional Planck constant by

$$T_5^M = \frac{\pi^{1/3}}{21^{1/3} \kappa_{11}^{4/3}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

On the other hand it was shown in [40] that the $E_8$ gauge coupling $\lambda$ in M theory obeys the relation

$$\kappa_{11}^4 = \frac{\lambda^6}{4(2\pi)^5}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

Combining this with (5.1) gives

$$T_5^M V_6 = \frac{4 \pi^2 V_6}{\lambda^2} = \frac{8 \pi^2}{(g)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where the final factor of two arises from the fact that the normalization used in [40] for the gauge kinetic term differs by a factor of two from the normalization we use in which the instanton action is $8 \pi^2/g^2$.

6. Conclusions

We have used string-string duality to compute the $S$ dependent corrections to $R^2$ couplings in $N = 4$ heterotic string theory. These are given by an infinite set of spacetime instanton corrections and we have identified the instanton as the neutral fivebrane of heterotic string theory or equivalently the zero size fivebrane of the M theoretic description.
of heterotic string theory. We have also argued that there is in this example a direct map from the world-sheet instantons of the IIA string on $K^3 \times T^2$ to spacetime instantons in the heterotic string consisting of world-sheet instantons of the IIA soliton string on a dual $K^3 \times T^2$. This direct map from worldsheet instantons to spacetime instantons viewed as worldsheet instantons of a soliton string is likely to have application to other dual pairs involving only $N = 2$ or $N = 1$ spacetime supersymmetry.
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