AAV-Delivered *Tulp1* Supplementation Therapy Targeting Photoreceptors Provides Minimal Benefit in *Tulp1*−/− Retinas
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With marketing approval of the first ocular gene therapy, and other gene therapies in clinical trial, treatments for inherited retinal degenerations (IRDs) have become a reality. Biallelic mutations in the tubby like protein 1 gene (*TULP1*) are causative of IRDs in humans; a mouse knock-out model (*Tulp1*−/−) is characterized by a similar disease phenotype. We developed a *Tulp1* supplementation therapy for *Tulp1*−/− mice. Utilizing subretinal AAV2/5 delivery at postnatal day (p)2–3 and rhodopsin-kinase promoter (*GRK1P*) we targeted *Tulp1* to photoreceptor cells exploring three doses, 2.2E9, 3.7E8, and 1.2E8 vgs. *Tulp1* mRNA and TULP1 protein were assessed by RT-qPCR, western blot and immunocytochemistry, and visual function by electroretinography. Our results indicate that TULP1 was expressed in photoreceptors; achieved levels of *Tulp1* mRNA and protein were similar to wild type levels at p20. However, the thickness of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) did not improve in treated *Tulp1*−/− mice. There was a small and transient electroretinography benefit in the treated retinas at 4 weeks of age (not observed by 6 weeks) when using 3.7E8 vg dose. Dark-adapted mixed rod and cone a- and b-wave amplitudes were 24.3 ± 13.5 µV and 52.2 ± 31.7 µV in treated *Tulp1*−/− mice, which were significantly different (*p* < 0.001, t-test), from those detected in untreated eyes (7.1 ± 7.0 µV and 9.4 ± 15.1 µV, respectively). Our results indicate that *Tulp1* supplementation in photoreceptors may not be sufficient to provide robust benefit in *Tulp1*−/− mice. As such, further studies are required to fine tune the *Tulp1* supplementation therapy, which, in principle, should rescue the *Tulp1*−/− phenotype.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Biallelic mutations in tubby like protein 1 gene (*TULP1*) are responsible for rare forms of early onset, severe, autosomal recessive retinal degenerations, typically registered as retinitis pigmentosa 14 (RP14) or Leber congenital amaurosis 15 (LCA15) (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Mataftsi et al., 2007; Jacobson et al., 2014; RetNet - Retinal Information Network, 2019). *TULP1* is one of more than 300 genes known to cause inherited retinal degenerations (IRDs) (Duncan et al., 2018;
RetNet - Retinal Information Network, 2019), which cumulatively affect 1 in 3000 individuals (Sahel et al., 2015; Whelan et al., 2020).

In mice, Tulp1 is expressed at high levels in photoreceptors and is primarily distributed to the inner segments and synaptic terminals (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2000; Xi et al., 2005), where it is essential to photoreceptor functions, such as protein transport between the inner and outer segments (Grossman et al., 2011) and synaptic transmission (Grossman et al., 2009). Originally, it was believed that Tulp1 was specific to photoreceptors (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2000), however, there is emerging evidence that it is also expressed, albeit at lower levels, in other retinal cell types, such as progenitor, ganglion (Milam et al., 2000), and RPE cells (Caberoy et al., 2010; Tulp1 et al., 2009). As such, Tulp1 supplementation was administered subretinally to Tulp1−/− mice at postnatal day (p2)–3 and effects analyzed from p20. In spite of efficient expression of Tulp1 mRNA and TULP1 protein in photoreceptors, the therapeutic strategy provided only marginal and transient, though statistically significant, functional benefit in Tulp1−/− mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Constructs and AAV Production

The pAAV-CAGP-Tulp1 vector comprised a CAG promoter (Junichi et al., 1989) (CAGP); 1650 bp; CMVIE enhancer, 661–1024 bp from X03922; chicken-ACTB proximal promoter/intron 1 (251–1542 bp of X00182; 1538–1539 bp AG was changed to CA), codon optimized Tulp1 cDNA + UTRs (NM_021478; 1632 bp) and a minimal rabbit β-globin poly(A) [49 bp; (Levitt et al., 1989)]. These were assembled in pcDNA3.1+ plasmid vector (Thermo Fischer Scientific), and subsequently cloned into pAAV-MCS (Agilent Technologies). To generate pAAV-CAGP-EGFP vector, the EGFP coding sequence (U55761) and hGH Poly(A) (from pAAV-MCS) was substituted for Tulp1 above. pAAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 was created by replacing the CAGP in pAAV-CAGP-Tulp1 with GRK1P (1793–2087 bp of AY327580.1, (Beltran et al., 2010)). pAAV-Rhop-EGFP was cloned as described and utilized a 1.7 kb Rho promoter (Palfi et al., 2010). The final constructs; pAAV-CAGP-Tulp1, pAAV-GRK1P-Tulp1, pAAV-CAGP-EGFP, and pAAV-Rhop-EGFP were sequence verified and are depicted in Figure 1. Recombinant AAV2/5 (Hildinger et al., 2001) viruses were generated using a triple transfection method (Xiao et al., 1998), then purified by differential precipitation and cesium gradient centrifugation (Bennicelli et al., 2008; Ayuso et al., 2010; Palfi et al., 2010). Genomic titers (viral genomes/ml; vg/ml) were determined by qPCR (Rohr et al., 2005).

Animals and AAV Delivery

Tulp1−/− mice on C57BL/6J background (B6.129 × 1-Tulp1tm1Pjn/Pjn; The Jackson Laboratory) (Hagstrom et al., 1999; Ikeda et al., 2000) and wild type C57BL/6J (wt) mice were used in this study. Mice were maintained under specific pathogen free (SPF) housing conditions and both sexes were used for experiments. Animal welfare complied with the Directive 2010/63/EU; Protection of Animals Used for Scientific Purposes, Regulations 2012 [S.I. No. 543 of 2012] and the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. AAV was subretinally delivered in 0.6 µl PBS (supplemented with 0.001% Pluronic F68)/eye to pups at p2–3 as previously described (Palfi et al., 2010).

Transfection, RT-qPCR, Western Blot and Electoretinography

Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293; accession number CRL-1573; ATCC) were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fischer Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 5 × 10^6 cells per well were seeded onto 6-well plates containing 1 ml Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium.
(DMEM) supplemented with 10% calf serum, 2 mM glutamine and 1 mM sodium pyruvate and incubated overnight at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with PBS, then each well was transfected with 0.5 mg plasmid DNA in Opti-MEM (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and incubated for 4 h at 37°C, then media was replaced with complete DMEM. Cells were analyzed 48 h post-transfection. RT-qPCR was carried out as described (O’Reilly et al., 2007). Protein extraction and western blot was performed according to Grossman et al. (2014). Electoretinography (ERG) analysis was completed as described in O’Reilly et al. (2007) except gold wire electrodes were used instead of contact lens electrodes.

**Immunohistochemistry, Microscopy, Morphometry and Statistical Analysis**

Mice were sacrificed, eyes enucleated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C overnight. Eyes were washed in PBS, cryoprotected in 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose in PBS, embedded in OCT (VWR), cryosectioned (12 μm), thaw-mounted onto polylsine slides (Thermo Scientific) and stored at −20°C. For immunocytochemistry, sections adjacent to the optic nerve head (±200 μm) were blocked in 5% donkey serum, 0.3% Triton-X-100 in PBS (blocking solution) for 2 h at room temperature, then incubated with primary TULP1 antibody (M-tulp1N; Stephanie A. Hagstrom, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, United States) in 1:200 dilution in blocking solution at 4°C overnight, then with secondary anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) in 1:400 dilution in blocking solution at room temperature for 2 h. Washes after the primary and secondary antibody incubations were carried out in PBS (3 × 10 min). Finally, nuclei were counterstained with DAPI followed by 3 × 5 min washes in PBS. Fluorescent microscopy was carried out utilizing an Olympus IX83 inverted motorized microscope (cellSens v1.9 software) equipped with a SpectraX LED light source (Lumencor) and an Orca-Flash4.0 LT PLUS/scCMOS camera (Hamamatsu). Multi-channel gray-scale images were assigned with fluorescence colors and channels superimposed. 10× magnification pan-retinal images were produced from images with lateral frames stitched together in cellSens. Measurements of outer nuclear layer (ONL) thickness were taken in the stitched images from the central half of the retinas; four sections/eye were analyzed, and four measurements per section were made using cellSens software (Olympus). Representative images for figures were exported from cellSens as individual fluorescence channels and post-processed in Photoshop (Adobe). In a given observation method, the same settings/operations were applied to all images both in cellSens and Photoshop. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey’s multiple comparison post hoc test and unpaired t-test were used for statistical analyses (Prism 8, GraphPad); p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Tubby like protein 1 gene and EGFP expression vectors were constructed using ubiquitous and photoreceptor specific promoters and the mouse Tulp1 cDNA (Figures 1a-d and as detailed in “Materials and Methods”). pAAV-CAGP-Tulp1 and pAAV-CAGP-EGFP (control) were either transfected into HEK293 cells at a 1:1 ratio or the control vector alone and expression of TULP1 in pAAV-CAGP-Tulp1-transfected cells confirmed using TULP1 immunocytochemistry; expression of EGFP was detected using native fluorescence (Figures 1e−h).

AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 (+ tracer AAV-RhoP-EGFP) was then administered subretinally to Tulp1−/− pups at three doses.
at p2–3; 2.2E9 vg AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 + 2.7E7 vg AAV-RhoP-EGFP (high dose, HD), 3.7E8 vg AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 + 2.7E7 vg AAV-RhoP-EGFP (middle dose, MD), and 1.2E8 vg AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 + 1.8E7 vg AAV-RhoP-EGFP (low dose, LD). Control eyes received 2.7E7 vg AAV-RhoP-EGFP, C57BL/6J wild type (wt) mice were used as healthy controls. AAV-RhoP-EGFP was omitted in injections for ERG analysis. We found that the p2–3 timepoint resulted in the highest number of transduced photoreceptor cells when delivering AAV2/5 subretinally in Tulp1−/− mice. While there are more photoreceptor cells available at p5–7, by this time the progressive degeneration of photoreceptor segments makes the subretinal injection physically challenging and less efficient in Tulp1−/− mice. Retinas were analyzed by RT-qPCR, western blot, histology and ERG at various timepoints post-delivery.

Tubby like protein 1 gene mRNA expression was assessed using RT-qPCR at p20 (n = 4–7) and Actb mRNA was used as an internal control (Figure 2a). Relative expression levels from the AAV dose curve were 16.9 ± 15.5% (LD), 48.6 ± 34.7% (MD), and 117.7 ± 22.1% (HD), the latter being similar to the wt Tulp1 mRNA expression level (100.0 ± 47.7%). Expression levels between HD and MD/HD/LD were significantly different, p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, respectively. However, given a typical 40–50% pan-retinal coverage after subretinal injection with AAV2/5 at p2–3, the MD of AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 provided similar mRNA expression to wt levels of Tulp1 mRNA (Figure 2a). There was no Tulp1 mRNA detected in the control, EGFP transduced Tulp1−/− retinas (0.1 ± 0.07%).

Tubby like protein 1 protein expression was evaluated by western blot analysis in retinas transduced with the MD dose of AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 at p20 and p90 (Figures 2b,c) and wt, AAV-RhoP-EGFP transduced and untransduced Tulp1−/− retinas were used as controls. Six Tulp1−/− retinas were transduced with AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 for both p20 and p90 timepoints. As few transduced retinas were positive at p90, three retinas were combined; to use the same methodology, the other samples were also prepared by combining three retinas; 30 mg protein/lane was run. Expression of TULP1 in AAV-hRKP-Tulp1 transduced retinas was confirmed by western blot analysis and the blots were quantified; ACTB label was used as loading control. The MD of AAV-hRKP-Tulp1 provided 51.9 ± 15.5% of wt TULP1 protein level at p20, however, this was substantially reduced by p90 (10.6 ± 13.6%; Figures 2b,c). There was no TULP1 protein in the AAV-RhoP-EGFP transduced (≤0.1%) or untransduced Tulp1−/− retinas (0.16%; Figure 2b).

Following AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 delivery at p2–3, effects of Tulp1 supplementation were evaluated by histological analysis (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1). No obvious signs of major toxicity relating to the AAV delivery were observed in the treated Tulp1−/− retinas (Figure 3). Tulp1 immunohistochemistry indicated TULP1 expression in the ONL of transduced areas of retinas (Figures 3a,c,e,g). Expression of TULP1 was analyzed in the LD, MD, and HD groups at p20 (expression increased with dose) and with the MD at p90. By p90 the ONL contained only 0–3 rows of cells (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1) and in some retinas the TULP1 labeled area was minimal or not present at all; in this case, the transduced area was identified by EGFP (marker for transduction) expression in the adjacent retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). The thickness of the ONL was measured in the transduced areas of the central retina (Supplementary Figure S1); quantification is given in a bar chart (Figure 3i). No benefit by Tulp1 supplementation was observed in ONL thickness or the structure of the photoreceptor layer (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1). Note that delivery of pAAV-RhoP-EGFP had a small negative effect on the ONL thickness compared to un.injected eyes both in wt and Tulp1−/− retinas (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1).

The functionality of the Tulp1−/− retinas treated at p2–3 with MD and HD of pAAV-hRKP-Tulp1 was tested via ERG analysis at 4 and 6 weeks of age. In order to give injected retinas sufficient time to recover, ERG measurements are typically performed after 8–12 weeks post-injection. However, as the Tulp1−/− retina degenerates very rapidly, ERGs in this study were carried out at earlier timepoints of 4 and 6 weeks. Dark-adapted, rod-isolated (−25 dB), dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone (0 dB), and light-adapted, cone-isolated (0 dB) responses were detected; the ERG amplitudes measured in Tulp1−/− mice were much lower compared to wt mice. As the Tulp1−/− mice had very low ERG amplitudes and the dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone responses (Figure 4) were higher in amplitude compared to the rod- and cone-isolated responses (Supplementary Figure S2), we focused on this ERG measurement in our analysis (Figure 4). Both a-waves, which represent photoreceptor function and b-waves, which are mediated by bipolar cells were measured in pAAV-hRKP-Tulp1 (without tracer AAV-RhoP-EGFP) treated Tulp1−/− eyes with MD and HD; uninjected wt and Tulp1−/− eyes were used as controls (Figure 4; n = 4–16). Dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone a- and b-wave amplitudes were 24.3 ± 13.5 µV and 52.2 ± 31.7 µV, respectively, in pAAV-hRKP-Tulp1-treated Tulp1−/− eyes with MD at 4 weeks (n = 8; Figure 4). While these were less than 15% of the wt levels (197.1 ± 63.1 µV and 378.7 ± 119.2 µV, respectively; n = 6), they were significantly different (p < 0.001; t-test) from the values in untreated Tulp1−/− eyes at 4 weeks (7.1 ± 7.0 µV and 9.4 ± 15.1 µV, respectively; n = 16). However, by 6 weeks, there were minimal dark-adapted, mixed rod, and cone responses detected in the MD-treated Tulp1−/− eyes (Figure 4). Additionally, there were minimal dark-adapted, mixed rod, and cone ERG responses detected with HD treatment at either 4 or 6 weeks. Amplitudes of dark-adapted rod- and light-adapted cone-isolated responses were minimal, often indistinguishable from the background in Tulp1−/− mice; as such, we did not analyze statistical significance between treated and untreated Tulp1−/− mice in these ERGs (Supplementary Figure S1).

DISCUSSION

We studied the effects of photoreceptor-targeted AAV-delivered supplementation of Tulp1 in Tulp1−/− mouse retinas (Figure 2). While significant levels of Tulp1 and TULP1 were achieved, there was no improvement in retinal histology (Figure 3). In contrast, minimal functional ERG benefit was determined at 4 but not
6 weeks (Figure 4). Overall, in spite of molecular restoration of Tulp1 in a significant proportion of photoreceptor cells, only minimal and transient benefit was found in treated Tulp1−/− retinas and retinal degeneration was not halted.

AAV-delivered replacement/supplementation genes have been shown to provide benefit in many relevant IRD animal models (Ziccardi et al., 2019). In our own experience, in a similar scenario to the current Tulp1 supplementation, Rho−/− mice (Humphries et al., 1997) with a photoreceptor specific gene (Rho) knocked-out were treated with AAV-delivered RHO replacement (Palfi et al., 2010, 2015). Significant and long-lasting structural and functional improvements were achieved using AAV2/5 (Palfi et al., 2010), 2/8 and 2/10 delivery (Palfi et al., 2015). Indeed, safety and efficacy of AAV-delivered gene therapies in animal
FIGURE 4 | Analysis of dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone ERGs of Tulp1 supplementation in Tulp1–/– retinas. Tulp1–/– mice were subretinally injected with MD or HD of AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 at p2–3; uninjected (ø) wt and Tulp1–/– retinas were used as controls (n = 4–16); ERG analysis was performed at 4 and 6 weeks of age. (a) Mean amplitudes of dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone responses to the maximal flash intensity (0 dB); a- (■) and b- (▲) waves are presented for wt (green), untreated (magenta) and treated (blue) Tulp1–/– mice in a dot plot; symbols represent mean ± SD. Data from untreated and MD-treated eyes at 4 weeks are also given magnified in the insert. ***p < 0.001 (t-test). (b) Traces of dark-adapted, mixed rod and cone responses to the maximal flash intensity (0 dB) for MD-treated and untreated Tulp1–/– retinas at 4 weeks (n = 8) are given; the amplitudes of a- and b-waves are indicated.
studies have aided the progression of a number of such therapies into clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov); Luxturna for biallelic RPE65 IRDs (FDA/EMA approvals in 2017–2018), RPGR and PDE6B supplementation for corresponding forms of RP, RS1 supplementation for retinoschisis or REP1 supplementation for choroideremia (ClinicalTrials.gov).

In light of these successes, supplementation of Tulp1 in Tulp1−/− mouse retinas was considered to be a rational and feasible strategy. Indeed, in our study, Tulp1 mRNA and protein expression in the AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1-treated Tulp1−/− retinas indicated a substantial restoration of Tulp1/TULP1, which was comparable to wt levels. The AAV supplemented TULP1 was localized not only in the cell bodies but in the segments and synaptic terminals of the photoreceptor cells at p20, which is also where the endogenous protein is localized in wt photoreceptors (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2000). No obvious signs of major toxicity relating to the AAV delivery were observed in the treated Tulp1−/− mouse retinas, though the development of the ONL was possibly affected as detected by decreased thickness of ONL in treated wt retinas. The utilized AAV-GRK1P-Tulp1 construct is based on previous designs and contains elements used before (Palﬁ et al., 2010; Chadderton et al., 2013). In particular, the utilized GRK1 promoter is well tolerated in the mouse retina and provides photoreceptor specific expression (Beltran et al., 2010; McDougal et al., 2019). Yet, in spite of the molecular restoration of Tulp1/TULP1 levels in photoreceptors, we found minimal histological or functional rescue when comparing treated and control Tulp1−/− retinas. Photoreceptor degeneration was not halted as measured by ONL thickness but there was a small improvement in the ERG responses (both a- and b-waves) of the treated eyes at 4 weeks of age. However, by 6 weeks, there was no ERG response in the treated eyes. Notably, several studies have been carried out in Tulp1−/− mice since its creation (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2000), yet there are no studies exploring Tulp1 supplementation in these mice; suggesting that it may possibly be challenging to achieve therapeutic beneﬁt. The supplementation strategy adopted here (e.g., expression construct, AAV serotype, expression levels as detailed above) was founded on prior knowledge and construct designs that provided beneﬁt in other animal models, and therefore the overall therapeutic approach is unlikely to be the reason for inefﬁcient rescue. As such, what factors could have contributed to the difficulties of rescuing the Tulp1−/− mouse retina with Tulp1 supplementation?

The replacement AAV was delivered at p2–3, and it most likely takes a few days for the transgene expression to start up. In this regard, the earliest conﬁrmation of expression of AAV2/5-supplemented transgene is 7 days post-delivery (Ezra-Elia et al., 2018). It is possible that Tulp1 expression following the p2–3 delivery is too late and earlier presence of TULP1 is required for proper development and/or function of photoreceptor cells. In support of this hypothesis, it is important to note that expression of Tulp1 has been detected in retinal progenitor cells (Milam et al., 2000) and embryonic retinas (Palﬁ et al., 2020).

While the exogenous TULP1 seemed to be present in the right compartments in photoreceptor cells at p20, note, that labeling in the cell bodies (Figure 3) was stronger than in wt mice and the differential distribution to the inner segments and synaptic terminals was not as distinct as in wt mice (Hagstrom et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2000; Palﬁ et al., 2020). For example, TULP1 is colocalized with f-actin (Xi et al., 2005) and MAP1B (Grossman et al., 2014) in the inner segments and the connecting cilium and is involved in trafﬁcking of proteins such as rod- and cone opsins between the inner and outer segments (Grossman et al., 2011; Hagstrom et al., 2012). As these proteins are needed in large quantities in the outer segments, inadequate levels of TULP1 in the Tulp1-supplemented Tulp1−/− photoreceptors could have led to suboptimal levels of these proteins, which triggered degeneration, such as observed in Rho−/− retinas (Humphries et al., 1997). Similarly, TULP1 appears to be critical in synaptic transmission (Grossman et al., 2009). TULP1 quantities delivered to the synaptic terminals may not have been adequate for normal function and may have promoted degeneration in the treated Tulp1−/− photoreceptors.

Additionally, there is accumulating evidence indicating that Tulp1 is not only expressed in photoreceptors but in other retinal cell types, such as cells in the INL, ganglion and RPE cells (Milam et al., 2000; Caberoy et al., 2010; Whitmore et al., 2014; Palﬁ et al., 2020). It is therefore possible that Tulp1 may be important for the development and function of other retinal cell types; one hypothesis is that absence of Tulp1 may affect these cells, which consequently may affect the development and function of photoreceptor cells and the retina. Notably, our expression system combining the AAV2/5 serotype and GRK1P provides Tulp1 expression specifically in photoreceptors and not in other retinal cells. In this regard, it is interesting to mention that supplementation of Cln3 in the inner retina but not photoreceptors resulted in therapeutic beneﬁt in Cln3Δex7/8 mice; a Batten disease model with a retinal phenotype and photoreceptors loss in patients (kleine Holthaus et al., 2020). Remarkably, in rd1 mice with a mutation in the Pde6b gene (Bowes et al., 1990), a second mutation in Gpr179 gene has been identiﬁed (Nishiguchi et al., 2015), which prevented full rescue with AAV-delivered Pde6b supplementation. Such a second mutation, while unlikely, could in theory also underlie the phenotype in the Tulp1−/− mouse retina and be responsible for inefﬁcient rescue with Tulp1 supplementation alone.

Many preclinical studies focused on development of gene-based medicines for IRDs have now progressed into clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov), with many other studies in the pipeline. With the ever-increasing number of IRD genes identiﬁed, the depth of understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of various IRDs (in patients and/or model systems) differs signiﬁcantly. For example, TULP1-linked IRDs were originally identiﬁed as photoreceptor-based retinopathies, yet recent studies suggest both photoreceptor and non-photoreceptor expression of Tulp1/TULP1. Our current results in Tulp1−/− mice indicate that photoreceptor targeted Tulp1 supplementation therapy may not be sufﬁcient to provide signiﬁcant and long-term beneﬁt. As such, further studies are required to characterize this form of IRD in greater depth and to ﬁne tune the gene augmentation strategy (for example providing earlier expression of Tulp1 or targeting both photoreceptor and non-photoreceptor cells), which, in principle, should rescue the Tulp1−/− disease phenotype.
DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary Material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee, University of Dublin, Trinity College Dublin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AP: concept, experimental design, experiments, figures and artwork, writing the manuscript, and grant support. Ay: experimental design and experiments. SM-W: ERG, experimental design, and editing the manuscript. GF: concept, experimental design, and editing the manuscript. CS: pAAV-EGFP plasmid and editing the manuscript. PH: concept and grant support. PK: ERG, AAV delivery, and experimental design. NC: AAV production, experimental design, and editing the manuscript. GF: concept, experimental design, editing the manuscript, and grant support. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

Ayuso, E., Mingozzi, F., Montane, J., Leon, X., Anguela, X. M., Haurigot, V., et al. (2010). High AAV vector purity results in serotype- and tissue-independent enhancement of transduction efficiency. Gene Ther. 17, 503–510. doi: 10.1038/ gt.2009.157
Beltran, W. A., Boye, S. L., Boye, S. E., Chiodo, V. A., Lewin, A. S., Hauswirth, W. W., et al. (2010). RAAV2/5 gene-targeting to rods: dose-dependent efficiency and complications associated with different promoters. Gene Ther. 17, 1162–1174. doi: 10.1038/gt.2010.56
Bennicielli, J., Wright, J. F., Komaromy, A., Jacobs, J. B., Hauck, B., Zelenia, O., et al. (2008). Reversal of blindness in animal models of leber congenital amaurosis using optimized AAV2-mediated gene transfer. Mol. Ther. 16, 458–465. doi: 10.1038/gt.2008.389
Bowes, C., Li, T., Danciger, M., Baxter, L. C., Applebury, M. L., and Farber, D. B. (1990). Retinal degeneration in the rd mouse is caused by a defect in the β subunit of rod cGMP-phosphodiesterase. Nature 347, 677–680. doi: 10.1038/347677a0
Caberoy, N. R., Zhou, Y., and Li, W. (2010). Tubby and tubby-like protein 1 are new MerTK ligands for phagocytosis. EMBO J. 29, 3898–3910. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.265
Chadderton, N., Palfi, A., Millington-Ward, S., Gobbo, O., Overlack, N., Carrigan, M., et al. (2013). Intravitreal delivery of AAV-NDI1 provides functional benefit in a murine model of Leber hereditary optic neuropathy. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 21, 62–68. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2012.112
Cideciyan, A. V., Sudharsan, R., Dufour, V. L., Massengill, M. T., Iwabe, S., Swider, M., et al. (2018). Mutation-independent rhodopsin gene therapy by knockdown and replacement with a single AAV vector. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, E8547–E8556. doi: 10.1073/pnas.180505511
Collin, G. B., Gogna, N., Chang, B., Damkham, N., Pinkney, J., Hyde, L. F., et al. (2020). Mouse models of inherited retinal degeneration with photoreceptor cell loss. Cells 9:E931. doi: 10.3390/cells9040931
Dalkara, D., Goureau, O., Marazova, K., and Sahel, J. A. (2016). Let there be light: gene and cell therapy for blindness. Hum. Gene Ther. 27, 134–147. doi: 10.1089/hum.2015.147

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Health Research Board of Ireland: (HRA-POR-2013-376 and HRA-POR-2015-1140), Science Foundation Ireland (16/IA/4452), Fighting Blindness Ireland – Health Research Charities Ireland (formerly Medical Research Charities Group; MRCG-2016-14), JSC Center for International Programs “Bolashak” (15-1/9842) Republic of Kazakhstan, and StarT Marie Curie Innovative Training Network (813490).

Funding

The authors thank Stephanie A. Hagstrom (Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, United States) for providing the M-tulp1N TULP1 antibody and the western blot protocol for detection of TULP1.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2020.00891/full#supplementary-material

Duncan, J. L., Pierce, E. A., Laster, A. M., Daiger, S. P., Birch, D. G., Ash, J. D., et al. (2018). Inherited retinal degenerations: current landscape and knowledge gaps. Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol. 7:6. doi: 10.1167/tvst.7.4.6
Ezra-Elia, R., Obolensky, A., Ejzenberg, A., Ross, M., Mintz, D., Banin, E., et al. (2018). Can an in vivo imaging system be used to determine localization and biodistribution of AAV5-mediated gene expression following subretinal and intravitreal delivery in mice? Exp. Eye Res. 176, 227–234. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2018.08.021
Farrar, G. J., Carrigan, M., Dockery, A., Millington-Ward, S., Palfi, A., Chadderton, N., et al. (2017). Toward an elucidation of the molecular genetics of inherited retinal degenerations. Hum. Mol. Genet. 26, R2–R11. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ ddx185
Grossman, G. H., Bight, C. D., Ebke, L. A., Pauer, G. J. T., and Hagstrom, S. A. (2014). Interaction of tubby-like protein-1 (Tulp1) and microtubule-associated protein (MAP) 1A and MAP1B in the mouse retina. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 801, 511–518. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-3209-8_65
Grossman, G. H., Pauer, G. J. T., Narendra, U., Peachey, N. S., and Hagstrom, S. A. (2009). Early synaptic defects in tulp1−/− mice. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 50, 3074–3083. doi: 10.1167/iovs.08-3190
Grossman, G. H., Watson, R. F., Pauer, G. J. T., Bollinger, K., and Hagstrom, S. A. (2011). Immunochemical evidence of Tulp1-dependent outer segment protein transport pathways in photoreceptor cells. Exp. Eye Res. 93, 658–668. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2011.08.005
Hagstrom, S. A., Duyao, M., North, M. A., and Li, T. (1999). Retinal degeneration in tulp1−/− mice: vesicular accumulation in the interphotoreceptor matrix. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 40, 2795–2802.
Hagstrom, S. A., North, M. A., Nishina, P. M., Berson, E. L., and Dryja, T. P. (1998). Recursive mutations in the gene encoding the tubby-like protein TULP1 in patients with Retinitis pigmentosa. Nat. Genet. 18, 174–176. doi: 10.1038/ng0298-174
Hagstrom, S. A., Watson, R. F., Pauer, G. J. T., and Grossman, G. H. (2012). “Tulp1 is involved in specific photoreceptor protein transport pathways” in Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, eds M. LaVail, J. Ash, R. Anderson, J. Hollyfield, C. Grimm (Boston, MA: Springer), 783–789. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-0613-0_100
Palfi, A., Yesmambetov, A., Humphries, P., Hokamp, K., and Farrar, G. J. (2020). Non-photoreceptor expression of Tulp1 may contribute to extensive retinal degeneration in Tulp1⁻/⁻ mice. Front. Neurosci. 14:656. doi: 10.3389/FNINS.2020.00656

Ripa International (2019). The Socioeconomic Impact of Inherited Retinal Dystrophies (IRDs) in the Republic of Ireland. The Socioeconomic Impact of Inherited Retinal Dystrophies (IRDs) in the Republic of Ireland. Available online at: http://www.retina-international.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/cost-of-illness-final-report-roi.pdf (accessed December 20, 2019).

RetNet - Retinal Information Network (2019). Available online at: https://sp.uth.edu/retnet/ (accessed September 23, 2019).

Rohr, U. P., Heyd, F., Neukirchen, J., Wulf, M. A., Queitsch, I., Kroener-Lux, G., et al. (2005). Quantitative real-time PCR for titration of infectious recombinant AAV-2 particles. J. Virol. Methods 127, 40–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jvirmeth.2005.03.006

Sahel, J. A., Marazova, K., and Audo, I. (2015). Clinical characteristics and current therapies for inherited retinal degenerations. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 5:a017111. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a017111

Schön, C., Sothilingam, V., Mühlfriedel, R., Garcia Garrido, M., Beck, S. C., Tanimoto, N., et al. (2017). Gene therapy successfully delays degeneration in a mouse model of PDE6A-linked retinitis pigmentosa (RP43). Hum. Gene Ther. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1089/hum.2017.156

Smith, J., Ward, D., Michaelides, M., Moore, A. T., and Simpson, S. (2015). New and emerging technologies for the treatment of inherited retinal diseases: a horizon scanning review. Eye 29, 1131–1140. doi: 10.1038/eye.2015.115

Trapani, I., and Auricchio, A. (2019). Has retinal gene therapy come of age? From bench to bedside and back to bench. Hum. Mol. Genet. 28, 1–108. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddz130

Whelan, L., Dockery, A., Wynne, N., Zhu, J., Stephenson, K., Silvestri, G., et al. (2020). Findings from a genotyping study of over 1000 people with inherited retinal disorders in Ireland. Genes 11:105. doi: 10.3390/genes11010105

Whitmore, S. S., Wagner, A. H., DeLuca, A. P., Drack, A. V., Stone, E. M., Tucker, B. A., et al. (2014). Transcriptomic analysis across nasal, temporal, and macular regions of human neural retina and RPE/choroid by RNA-Seq. Exp. Eye Res. 129, 93–106. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2014.11.001

Xi, Q., Bauer, G. J. T., Marmorstein, A. D., Crab, J. W., and Hagstrom, S. A. (2005). Tulp1-like protein 1 (TULP1) interacts with F-actin in photoreceptor cells. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 46, 4754–4761. doi: 10.1167/iovs.05-0693

Xiao, X., Li, J., and Samulski, R. J. (1998). Production of high-titer recombinant adeno-associated virus vectors in the absence of helper adenovirus. J. Virol. 72, 2224–2232. doi: 10.1128/jvi.72.3.2224-2232.1998

Ziccardi, L., Cordeddu, V., Gaddini, L., Matteucci, A., Parravano, M., Malchiodi-Albedi, F., et al. (2019). Gene therapy in retinal dystrophies. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20:5722. doi: 10.3390/ijms20225722

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Palfi, Yesmambetov, Millington-Ward, Shortall, Humphries, Kenna, Chadderton and Farrar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.