A High Sense of Coherence as Protection Against Adverse Health Outcomes in Patients Aged 80 Years and Older
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE We set out to assess whether a high sense of coherence (SOC) protects from adverse health outcomes in patients aged 80 years and older who have multiple chronic diseases.

METHODS A population-based prospective cohort study in 29 primary care practices throughout Belgium included 567 individuals aged 80 years and older. We plotted the highest tertile of SOC scores in Kaplan-Meier curves representing 3-year mortality and time to first hospitalization. Using Cox proportional hazard regression analyses and multiple logistic regression analyses adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics, depression, cognition, disability, and multimorbidity we examined the relationship between SOC and mortality, hospitalization, and decline in performance of activities of daily living (ADL).

RESULTS Subjects with high SOC scores showed a higher cumulative survival than others (Log rank = 0.004) independent of other prognostic characteristics (adjusted hazard ratio 0.62 (95% CI, 0.38-1.00), P = .049). For ADL decline, a high SOC was shown to be protective, and this effect tended to be independent from the covariates under study (adjusted odds ratio 0.56 (95% CI, 0.31-1.0), P = .05).

CONCLUSION Even very elderly persons with high SOC scores were shown to have lower mortality rates and less functional decline. These effects were independent of multimorbidity, depression, cognition, disability, and sociodemographic characteristics.

Ann Fam Med 2016;14:337-343. doi: 10.1370/afm.1950.

INTRODUCTION

O lder people very often suffer from multiple chronic diseases. The relationships between multimorbidity and adverse outcomes such as disability, mortality, hospitalization, and functional decline, however, are far from linear.1-4 Most studies have assessed the impact of negative modifiers such as deprivation, depressive symptoms, or cognitive decline. These models, however, might overlook people’s strengths and hamper a positive approach toward healthy aging. In the 1970s, Antonovsky defined the concept of the sense of coherence (SOC) while working on a model focusing on factors that support human health and well-being, rather than on factors that cause disease.5 The SOC can be evaluated by means of a questionnaire assessing 3 components:

• Comprehensibility (the extent to which one perceives events as making sense—as being ordered, consistent, and structured)
• Manageability (the extent to which one feels he or she can cope)
• Meaningfulness (the extent to which one feels that life makes sense and that challenges are worthy of commitment)6-8

High SOC scores have been shown to protect from negative health outcomes in terms of perceived health,7 quality of life,7,9 mortality,10-13 and disability.14-17 Most studies have been carried out on people with specific diseases14,16-18 or in young populations.10,11,19 Recently, a review on sense of coherence in people aged 65 and older confirmed the positive associations between the sense of coherence, perceived health, and quality of
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There is, however, a lack of longitudinal studies assessing the effect of SOC. The current study aims to assess whether a high sense of coherence protects from adverse outcomes in a cohort of patients aged 80 years and older independent of other prognostic characteristics such as multimorbidity, disability, depression, cognition, and sociodemographic variables.

**Methods**

**Study Population**

The BELFRAIL (BFC80+) study is a prospective, observational, population-based cohort study of 567 individuals aged 80 years and older in Belgium. The study design and characteristics of the cohort have been described in detail. Only 3 exclusion criteria were used: severe dementia (mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score less than 15), being in palliative care, and having a medical emergency. Participants were enrolled between November 2008 and September 2009.

**Baseline Sense of Coherence**

The 13-item SOC questionnaire assesses the 3 components of SOC. Every item is scored with a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 7, generating a total scale range from 13 to 91, a higher score representing a stronger SOC. We determined tertiles of the total score and divided the participants into a high-SOC group and a medium/low-SOC group at the higher tertile.

**Baseline Multimorbidity**

Each participant’s family physician recorded the presence or absence of 22 chronic diseases at baseline. The number of chronic diseases a patient had was used as a measure of multimorbidity. Previous analyses in this study have indicated that more sophisticated measures of multimorbidity such as the Charlson Comorbidity Index and the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale have no added value over a simple disease count in relation to frailty, disability, mortality, hospitalization, and functional decline.

**Covariates**

The participants’ family physicians recorded sex, level of education, and marital status. The clinical research associate assessed functional limitations by asking the respondents the degree of difficulty they had with 6 activities of daily living (ADLs) at baseline (T0). Disability was defined as the lowest sex-specific quartile of ADL performance. Depressive symptoms (15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) score of more than 5) and cognitive dysfunction (Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE] score categories) were included as separate covariates in the analysis because they are highly prevalent in the older population, often remain unrecognized, and are accompanied by increased morbidity and mortality.

**Outcome Measurements**

All-cause mortality and time to first non-planned hospitalization were recorded from the participating practices at T1 (18 months [19.6 ± 2.5 months] after enrollment) and at T2 (3 years [3 ± 0.25 years] after enrollment, Figure 1). Data on functional status were recorded at T0 and T1 but not at T2. Functional decline was defined as substantial individual change in the ability to perform ADLs between T0 and T1 as measured by the Edwards-Nunnally index.

**Data Analysis**

We plotted the highest tertile of SOC scores against the lower tertiles in Kaplan-Meier curves representing...
3-year cumulative survival and time to first hospitalization using a log rank test. Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were used to examine whether these relationships were independent of multimorbidity, baseline disability, depression, cognition, and sociodemographic covariates. Multiple logistic regression analyses, adjusting for the same covariates, examined the relationship between SOC and functional decline. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 for Windows (IBM Corporation).

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the 567 participants of the BF C80 study was 84.7 ± 3.7 years; 63% (356) of the participants were women. The morbidity burden was high: each participant had at least 1 chronic disease; 96% (544) had more than 1 disease, and 38% (213) had 5 or more chronic diseases (range 1-16). The most frequent disorders were hypertension (67% of the population) and osteoarthritis (57% of the population).

At enrollment, 498 participants answered the SOC-13 questionnaire (Figure 1). Subjects in the highest tertile of SOC scored at least 82. A high SOC score was related to male sex, being married, and having intact cognition; it was inversely related to depression, baseline disability, and multimorbidity (Table 1).

The mortality rate at T2 was 21% (106), and at least 1 hospitalization was reported for each of 241 participants (48%). For those with high SOC scores, the mortality rate at T2 was 13.8% (24), with hospitalizations reported for 81 (46.5%). Kaplan-Meier curves showed a higher cumulative survival for subjects in the highest SOC tertile (Log-rank = 0.004) (Figure 2), but this relationship was not demonstrated for hospitalization. Cox proportional hazard regression analyses confirmed the relationship between a high SOC score and mortality (adjusted HR 0.62 [95% CI, 0.38–1.0], \( P = .049 \); Table 2).

At T1, 96 participants (25.1% of the 383 who underwent a second examination by the clinical research associate) showed a decline in ADL score. For those with high SOC scores, 16.9% (20) showed ADL decline at T1. Bivariate analysis showed an inverse correlation between a high SOC and ADL decline (crude OR 0.51 [95% CI, 0.30–0.89], \( P < .05 \); Table 2). The multivariate analysis indicated a trend for the protective effect of a high SOC (adjusted OR 0.56 [95% CI, 0.31-1.0], \( P = .05 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

**Main Findings**

Even quite elderly persons with high SOC scores were shown to have lower mortality rates and less functional decline than the study population as a whole. These effects were independent of multimorbidity, depression, cognition, disability, and sociodemographic characteristics. The SOC has previously been defined as a potential resource for improving health-related quality of life in patients with chronic diseases. Our study indicates that the protective effect of the SOC extends beyond perceived health and quality of life toward mortality and functional decline, even in a population with a high vulnerability to adverse outcomes.

---

**Table 1. Population Characteristics**

| Variables                        | Total Population (n = 498) | Sense of Coherence (SOC) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  |                           | Low SOC (n = 324)        | High SOC (Highest Tertile) (n = 174) |
| Socio-demographic characteristics|                           |                          |                                      |
| Age, mean (SD), y                | 84.8 (3.7)                 | 85.0 (3.9)               | 84.4 (3.4)                             |
| Men, No. (%)                     | 183 (36.7)                 | 98 (30.2)                | 85 (48.9)                              |
| Family situation, No. (%)        |                           |                          |                                      |
| Married                          | 211 (42.6)                 | 119 (37.1)               | 92 (52.9)                              |
| Divorced                         | 9 (1.8)                    | 8 (2.5)                  | 0 (0)                                  |
| Widow or widower                 | 249 (50.3)                 | 179 (55.8)               | 70 (40.2)                              |
| Single                           | 16 (3.2)                   | 8 (2.5)                  | 8 (4.6)                                |
| Other                            | 10 (2.0)                   | 6 (1.9)                  | 4 (2.3)                                |
| Level of education > primary school, No. (%) | 313 (63.2) | 194 (60.4) | 119 (68.4) |
| Baseline data                    |                           |                          |                                      |
| Depressive symptoms (GDS-15 score >5), No. (%) | 95 (19.1)     | 77 (23.8)               | 18 (10.3)                              |
| MMSE score, No. (%)              |                           |                          |                                      |
| 25-30                            | 405 (81.3)                 | 248 (76.5)               | 157 (90.2)                             |
| 21-24                            | 62 (12.4)                  | 47 (14.5)                | 15 (8.6)                               |
| ≤20                              | 31 (6.2)                   | 29 (9.0)                 | 2 (1.1)                                |
| ADL score, median (IQR)          | 25 (21-27)                 | 24 (20-27)               | 26 (23-29)                             |
| Disease count, median (IQR)      | 4 (3-5)                    | 4 (3-5)                  | 4 (2-5)                                |
| Outcomes                         |                           |                          |                                      |
| Mortality, No. (%)               | 106 (21)                   | 91 (25.5)                | 10 (10.4)                              |
| Hospitalization, No. (%)         | 241 (49.0)                 | 178 (51.0)               | 63 (44.1)                              |
| ADL decline, No. (%)             | 96 (25.1)                  | 76 (28.7)                | 20 (16.9)                              |

ADL = activities of daily living; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; IQR = interquartile range; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination.

\( ^a P < .001. \)

\( ^b P < .05. \)
Comparison With Existing Literature

Regarding mortality, 4 other studies have indicated that SOC has a protective effect,\textsuperscript{10,11,13,33,34} however, these studies were performed in younger populations.\textsuperscript{11,34} For the oldest age-groups we can only refer to the Umeå 85+ study. The Umeå 85+ study included 109 patients aged 85 years and older.\textsuperscript{55}

Within the Umeå 85+ study population, 1-year mortality appeared to be significantly associated with SOC, while 4-year mortality was not. Longer follow-up analyses should indicate whether the protective effects we have observed fade or persist. Our study could not identify a relationship between a high SOC score and the risk of future hospitalization. No previous studies on SOC have assessed hospitalization as an outcome measure.

Our finding that a high SOC score tends to protect from a decline in functional status is in line with a study among Finnish patients with anterior low-back fusion.\textsuperscript{36} The Finnish study showed that during a 5-year follow-up period SOC scores had a good predictive value for disability. The shorter follow-up time in our study (19.6 ± 2.5 months for ADL decline) might explain why the relationship we found did not reach significance.

Many other studies of SOC have adjusted their analyses for the health status of the study participants.\textsuperscript{37-40} This is one of the first studies of SOC in a

Table 2. Predictive Value of Sociodemographic Characteristics, SOC, Baseline Disability, Indicators of Mental Functioning, and Measures of Multimorbidity for Mortality, Hospitalization, and ADL Decline

| Characteristic                  | Mortality (n = 106) | Hospitalization (n = 241) | ADL Decline (n = 96) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                                | Crude Hazard Ratio  | Adjusted Hazard Ratio     | Crude Odds Ratio     | Adjusted Odds Ratio  |
|                                | (95% CI)            | (95% CI)                  | (95% CI)             | (95% CI)             |
| SOC (highest tertile)          | 0.52 (0.33-0.81)\textsuperscript{a} | 0.62 (0.38-1.0)\textsuperscript{a} | 0.87 (0.66-1.1) | 0.90 (0.68-1.2) | 0.51 (0.30-0.89)\textsuperscript{a} | 0.56 (0.31-1.0)\textsuperscript{a} |
| Age                            | 1.1 (1.0-1.1)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.0 (0.99-1.1)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.0 (1.0-1.1)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.0 (0.99-1.1) | 1.1 (1.1-1.2)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.1 (1.0-1.2)\textsuperscript{a} |
| Men                            | 1.0 (0.68-1.5) | 1.3 (0.87-2.0) | 1.1 (0.89-1.5) | 1.3 (0.87-2.0) | 1.2 (0.72-1.9) | 1.2 (0.59-2.1) |
| Family situation               | 1.2 (1.0-1.5)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.2 (0.96-1.4) | 0.98 (0.87-1.1) | 1.2 (0.96-1.4) | 0.95 (0.77-1.2) | 0.83 (0.64-1.1) |
| Level of education             | 1.0 (0.69-1.5) | 1.4 (0.92-2.1) | 1.2 (0.92-1.6) | 1.4 (1.1-1.8) | 1.0 (0.62-1.6) | 1.2 (0.73-2.1) |
| > primary school               | 1.0 (1.0-1.2) | 1.0 (0.97-1.8) | 1.1 (0.78-1.5) | 1.0 (0.57-1.9) | 0.85 (0.43-1.7) | 1.0 (0.62-1.6) |
| GDS-15 score >5                | 2.0 (1.5-2.6)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.8 (1.3-2.3)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.3 (1.1-1.6)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.3 (1.1-1.6) | 2.1 (1.4-3.1)\textsuperscript{a} | 2.1 (1.3-3.4) |
| MMSE (categories)              | 2.9 (2.0-4.3)\textsuperscript{a} | 2.1 (1.4-3.3)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.9 (1.4-2.6)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.6 (1.2-2.3)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.3 (0.7-2.3) | 0.97 (0.50-1.9) |
| ADL disability (lowest sex-specific quartile) | 1.5 (1.2-1.9)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.1 (1.0-1.2)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.6 (1.4-1.9)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.6 (1.4-1.9)\textsuperscript{a} | 1.0 (0.77-1.4) | 0.98 (0.71-1.3) |

ADL = activities of daily living; DC = disease count; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SOC = sense of coherence.

\textsuperscript{a}P < .05.

\textsuperscript{b}P = .05.

\textsuperscript{c}P < .001.

\textsuperscript{d}Disease count categories: Level 1: DC <3; level 2: DC 3-4; level 3: DC >5.
vulnerable population with high morbidity rates. The Umeå 85+ study assessed the relationship between SOC scores and 21 chronic illnesses within a population of patients aged 85 years or older and demonstrated a relationship between low SOC and heart failure, COPD, depression, and osteoarthritis but not other diseases.41 Our study is the first to include multimorbidity as a covariate. Multimorbidity was measured by means of a simple disease count, but the analyses performed using the Charlson Comorbidity Index22 and the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale23,42,43 showed similar results.

Strengths and Limitations
Numerous cross-sectional studies have found a high SOC to be related to better-perceived health, but only a few prospective cohort studies have examined the protective effect of SOC, and this is the first study to assess associations between SOC and several health-related outcomes in a vulnerable population aged 80 years and older. The demonstrated relationships were assessed by means of a multivariate analysis, including important covariates like the number of chronic diseases, depression, cognition, and sociodemographic characteristics, which have all been identified as important confounders in previous SOC-related studies. A limitation of the study is that it didn't inquire about earlier life events that might have contributed to the construction of SOC. Nor did it inquire about later life events—events during the study, for instance—that may have modified the relation between SOC and the outcomes under study. Moreover, even though this study included a considerable number of participants, it did not include enough to achieve narrow CIs and clearly significant P values.

Even though the SOC is psychometrically sound, its use has some limitations.40 First, while many studies have indicated high SOC scores to be protective, these studies have provided no clear indication as to which events—events during the study, for instance—that may have modified the relation between SOC and the outcomes under study. Moreover, even though this study included a considerable number of participants, it did not include enough to achieve narrow CIs and clearly significant P values.

The study was made possible by the participating general practitioners and their patients: Dr Etienne Bajot (Beauraing), Dr Pierre Leclercq (Pondromé), Dr Baudouin Demblon (Wellin), Dr Daniel Simon (Rochefort), Dr Daniel Yanthuyne (Celtes), Dr Yvan Mouton (Godinne), Dr Louis-Philippe Doucquier (Maffée), Dr Tanguy Detrier (Ciney), Dr Patricia Eckeleebs (Leignon), Dr Jean-Paul Decaux (Dinant), Dr Christian Fery (Dinant), Dr Pascale Pierret (Heure), Dr Paul-Emile Tonn (Godinne), Dr Louis-Philippe Docquier (Maffe), Dr Tanguy Dethier (Dinant), Dr Christian Guis (Dinant), Dr Quentin Gillet (Mohiville), Dr Arlette Germay (Houyet), Dr Christian Fery (Dinant), Dr Pascale Pierret (Heure), Dr Paul-Emile Tonn (Godinne), Dr Louis-Philippe Docquier (Maffe), Dr Tanguy Dethier (Dinant), Dr Christian Guis (Dinant), Dr Quentin Gillet (Mohiville), Dr Arlette Germay (Houyet), Dr Jan Craenen (Hoellaart), Dr Luc Memee (Hoellaart), Dr Herman Docx (Hoellaart), Dr Ann Van Damme (Hoellaart), Dr Sofie Dedeurwaerdere (Hoellaart), Dr Bert Vaes (Hoellaart), Dr Stein Bergiers (Hoeilaart), Dr Pierre Leclercq (Pondromé), Dr Baudouin Demblon (Wellin), Dr Daniel Simon (Rochefort), Dr Daniel Yanthuyne (Celtes), Dr Yvan Mouton (Godinne), Dr Louis-Philippe Doucquier (Maffée), Dr Tanguy Detrier (Ciney), Dr Patricia Eckeleebs (Leignon), Dr Jean-Paul Decaux (Dinant), Dr Christian Fery (Dinant), Dr Pascale Pierret (Heure), Dr Paul-Emile Blondeau (Beauraing), Dr Baudry Guibin (Beauraing), Dr Jacques Guis (Dinant), Dr Quentin Gillet (Mohiville), Dr Arlette Germay (Houyet), Dr Jan Craenen (Hoellaart), Dr Luc Memee (Hoellaart), Dr Herman Docx (Hoellaart), Dr Ann Van Damme (Hoellaart), Dr Sofie Dedeurwaerdere (Hoellaart), Dr Bert Vaes (Hoellaart), Dr Stein Bergiers (Hoellaart), Dr Bernard Deman (Hoellaart), Dr Edmond Charlier (Overijse), Dr Serge Tolet (Overijse), Dr Eddy Van Keerbergen (Overijse), Dr Etienne Smets (Overijse), Dr Vies Van Exen (Overijse), Dr Lugtja Deridder (Overijse), Dr Jan Degryse (Oudergem), Dr Katrien Van Roy (Oudergem), Dr Veerle Goossens (Tervuren), Dr Herman Willems (Overijse), and Dr Marleen Moriau (Oudergem).
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