Susceptibility of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) to SARS-CoV-2
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Abstract
The origin of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the virus causing the global coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic, remains a mystery. Current evidence suggests a likely spillover into humans from an animal reservoir. Understanding the host range and identifying animal species that are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection may help to elucidate the origin of the virus and the mechanisms underlying cross-species transmission to humans. Here we demonstrated that white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), an animal species in which the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) – the SARS-CoV-2 receptor – shares a high degree of similarity to humans, are highly susceptible to infection. Intranasal inoculation of deer fawns with SARS-CoV-2 resulted in established subclinical viral infection and shedding of infectious virus in nasal secretions. Notably, infected animals transmitted the virus to non-inoculated contact deer. Viral RNA was detected in multiple tissues 21 days post-inoculation (pi). All inoculated and indirect contact animals seroconverted and developed neutralizing antibodies as early as day 7 pi. The work provides important insights into the animal host range of SARS-CoV-2 and identifies white-tailed deer as a susceptible wild animal species to the virus.

IMPORTANCE
Given the presumed zoonotic origin of SARS-CoV-2, the human-animal-environment interface of COVID-19 pandemic is an area of great scientific and public- and animal-health interest. Identification of animal species that are susceptible to infection by SARS-CoV-2 may help to elucidate the potential origin of the virus, identify potential reservoirs or intermediate hosts, and define the mechanisms underlying cross-species transmission to humans. Additionally, it may
also provide information and help to prevent potential reverse zoonosis that could lead to the establishment of a new wildlife hosts. Our data show that upon intranasal inoculation, white-tailed deer became subclinically infected and shed infectious SARS-CoV-2 in nasal secretions and feces. Importantly, indirect contact animals were infected and shed infectious virus, indicating efficient SARS-CoV-2 transmission from inoculated animals. These findings support the inclusion of wild cervid species in investigations conducted to assess potential reservoirs or sources of SARS-CoV-2 of infection.
Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a novel coronavirus, within the genus Betacoronavirus (subgenus Sarbecovirus) of the family Coronaviridae, that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in humans (1). COVID-19 was first reported in Wuhan, Hubei province, China in December 2019 (2). The early clusters of the disease in humans had an epidemiological link to the Huanan Seafood Wholesale market in Wuhan, where several live wild animal species were sold (2–4). Genome sequence analysis of SARS-CoV-2 revealed a high degree of similarity to coronaviruses circulating in bats (3, 5, 6), with current evidence pointing to horseshoe bats as the most likely source of the ancestral virus that crossed the species barrier to cause the global COVID-19 pandemic in humans (7, 8).

Other pathogenic zoonotic coronaviruses, including severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) are also believed to have originated in bat reservoirs. However, there is no evidence of direct bat-to-human transmission, and current data indicate that human infections with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV resulted from interactions with intermediate animal hosts, such as palm civet cats (Paguma larvata) and dromedary camels (Camelus dromedaries), respectively (9–11). The epidemiological link of the first reported human cases of COVID-19 with the Huanan animal market in Wuhan, suggest that SARS-CoV-2 may have spilled over into humans from an animal host (3–5, 11–13). Early studies proposed that pangolins (Manis sp.) may have served as an intermediate host for SARS-CoV-2, as they are a natural reservoir for SARS-CoV-2-like coronaviruses (14). However, phylogenetic analyses and amino acid sequence analysis of the S gene of SARS-CoV-2 did not support the hypothesis of the virus arising directly from the closely related pangolin betacoronaviruses (15). A better understanding of the host range and species
susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 is critical to elucidate the origin of the virus and to identify potential animal reservoirs and routes of transmission to humans.

The tropism and host range of coronaviruses is largely determined by the Spike (S) glycoprotein, which binds to host cell receptors triggering fusion and virus entry into susceptible cells (16). The SARS-CoV-2 S protein binds host cells through the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) protein receptor (17). Comparison of the human ACE2 protein to that of over 400 vertebrate species demonstrated that the ACE2 protein of several animal species presents a high degree of amino acid conservation to the human protein (18). Further analysis of the ACE2/S binding motif and predictions of the SARS-CoV-2 S-binding propensity led to the identification of several animal species with an ACE2 protein with a high binding probability to the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (18). Not surprisingly, the majority of species with the highest S/ACE2 binding propensity are non-human primates (18). Notably, the ACE2/S protein binding motif of three species of deer, including Père David’s deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*), reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*), and white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) share a high homology to the human ACE2 (18). These observations suggest a putative broad host range for SARS-CoV-2, however, the susceptibility of most of these animal species to SARS-CoV-2 infection remains unknown.

Natural SARS-CoV-2 infections have been reported in dogs, cats, mink, tigers and lions in Hong Kong, Netherlands, China and the United States (19–22). The increased interest in the virus host range, in understanding the array of susceptible animal species, and the need to develop reliable animal models for SARS-CoV-2 infection, led to several experimental inoculations in domestic and wild animal species. Experimentally infected non-human primates, ferrets, minks, cats, dogs, raccoon dogs, golden Syrian hamsters, and deer mice have displayed mild to moderate clinical disease upon SARS-CoV-2 infection (23–28). Whereas experimental inoculation of swine, cattle,
poultry, and fruit bats have shown that these species are either not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 or that inoculation on these studies did not result in productive infection and sustained viral replication (23, 29–31). Here we assessed the susceptibility of white-tailed deer to SARS-CoV-2.

Viral infection, clinical outcomes, shedding patterns and tissue distribution were evaluated. Additionally, transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to indirect contact animals was also investigated.

Results

Susceptibility of deer cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication. The susceptibility of deer cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication were assessed in vitro. Deer lung (DL) cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 (32) and the ability of SARS-CoV-2 to infect these cells was compared to Vero-E6 and Vero-E6/TMPRSS2 cells. Virus infection and replication were assessed by immunofluorescence (IFA) staining using a SARS-CoV-2 N-specific monoclonal antibody. As shown in Fig. 1A, SARS-CoV-2 N expression was detected in DL cells at 24 h post-inoculation (pi), indicating that these cells are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Importantly, staining for ACE2 confirmed expression of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor in DL cells (Fig. 1A).

The replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 was investigated in DL cells. For comparison we included Vero-E6 and Vero-E6/TMPRSS2 cells, which are known to support efficient SARS-CoV-2 replication (33, 34). All cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 and 1, and cells plus supernatant were harvested at 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h pi. Consistent with previous studies showing efficient replication of SARS-CoV-2 in Vero-E6 and Vero-E6/TMPRSS2 cells (33, 34), SARS-CoV-2 replicated well in these cells, reaching peak titers (~10^6 to 10^7 TCID\textsubscript{50}.ml\textsuperscript{-1}) within 12-24 h pi (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, while SARS-CoV-2 also replicated to high titers in DL cells (~10^6 to 10^7 TCID\textsubscript{50}.ml\textsuperscript{-1}), replication kinetics was
delayed with peak viral titers being reached by 72 h pi (Fig. 1B). These results show that DL 128 cells are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection and support productive virus replication in vitro.

Infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed-deer. Given that white-tailed-deer 130 have been recently identified as one of the species with an ACE2 protein with high binding 131 probability to the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (18), we investigated the susceptibility of white-tailed- 132 deer fawns to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Six-week-old fawns (n = 4) were inoculated intranasally 133 with 5 ml (2.5 ml per nostril) of a virus suspension containing \(10^{6.3}\) TCID\(_{50}\).ml\(^{-1}\) of SARS-CoV-2 134 TGR/NY/20, an animal SARS-CoV-2 strain that is identical to human viral strains (22). To 135 assess the potential transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between white-tailed-deer, two fawns (n = 2) 136 were maintained as non-inoculated contacts in the same biosafety level 3 (BSL-3Ag) room. The 137 inoculated and indirect contact animals were kept in separate pens divided by a plexiglass 138 barrier, to prevent direct nose-to-nose contact between inoculated and contact animals (Fig. 2A). 139 Following inoculation, animals were monitored daily for clinical signs and body temperature. No 140 clinical signs or overt respiratory distress were observed in any of the inoculated or contact 141 animals during the 21-day experimental period. Interestingly, a slight and transient increase in 142 body temperature was noted in 3/4 (no. 2001, 2042 and 2043) inoculated fawns between days 1- 143 3 pi (Fig. 2B). One fawn (no. 2001) died on day 8 pi of unrelated intestinal perforation and 144 peritonitis. The body temperature in both indirect contact animals (no. 2006 and 2044) remained 145 within physiological ranges throughout the experimental period (Fig. 2B). Postmortem 146 examination of fawns at 8 (animal no. 2001) or 21 days pi (animals no. 2042, 2043, 2045, 2005 147 and 2044) revealed no gross lesions. Microscopic changes observed were not associated with the 148 presence of the virus (Fig. 7), as no viral RNA (genomic and subgenomic RNA) was detected by 149 PCR (Fig. 7) or ISH (data not shown).
Replication of SARS-CoV-2 in the upper respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts and the dynamics and patterns of virus shedding and viremia were assessed in inoculated and indirect contact fawns. Nasal secretions and feces were collected by nasal and rectal swabs on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14 and 21 pi, serum and buffy coat collected on days 0, 7, 14, and 21 pi were subjected to nucleic acid extraction and tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA (genomic and subgenomic RNA) by real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-rPCR). While viremia was not detected in serum and buffy coat samples, viral RNA was detected between days 2 and 21 pi in nasal secretions from inoculated animals (Fig. 3A), with higher viral RNA loads being detected between days 2 and 7 pi and decreasing thereafter through day 21 pi (Fig. 3A).

Notably, high levels of viral RNA were also detected in nasal secretions from indirect contact animals throughout the experimental period (Fig. 3B). Viral RNA from the feces was detected in all inoculated and indirect contact animals (Fig. 3A and B), however, intermittent and short duration fecal shedding was observed, with most animals (4/5) only transiently shedding detectable SARS-CoV-2 in feces through days 6-7 pi (Fig. 3A and B).

Notably, infectious SARS-CoV-2 was detected in nasal secretions of all inoculated and indirect contact animals between days 2 and 5 pi (Fig. 4A). Indirect contact animals shed infectious SARS-CoV-2 in nasal secretions through day 7 pi. Viral titers shed in nasal secretions ranged from 2.0 to 4.8 log_{10} TCID_{50}.ml^{-1} (Fig. 4A). Infectious SARS-CoV-2 shedding in feces was detected in inoculated animals on day 1 pi (Fig. 4B). Sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 from select nasal secretions from inoculated and indirect contact animals confirmed the identity of the virus, matching the sequence of the inoculated virus. Together these results indicate that SARS-CoV-2 productively infected and replicated in the upper respiratory tract of white-tailed-deer. In addition, the virus was efficiently transmitted to indirect contact animals.
**Viral load and tissue distribution.** Viral RNA load (genomic and subgenomic RNA) and tissue distribution of SARS-CoV-2 were assessed on day 8 (animal no. 2001, which died of unrelated cause [intestinal perforation]) and 21 pi (animals no. 2042, 2043, 2045, 2005 and 2044). Tissues were collected and processed for RT-rPCR, virus isolation and *in situ* hybridization (ISH). On day 8 pi (fawn 2001), SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in nasal turbinates, palatine tonsil, spleen, ileocecal junction and in submandibular-, medial retropharyngeal-, tracheobronchial- and mediastinal lymph nodes (Fig. 5). Among the tissues collected on day 21 pi, from the remaining inoculated and indirect contact fawns, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was consistently detected in nasal turbinates, palatine tonsil and retropharyngeal lymph node (Fig. 5). This subset of tissues presented the higher viral RNA loads on both days 8 and 21 pi, when compared to the other tissues tested (Fig. 5).

The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was confirmed by ISH, in the palatine tonsils and medial retropharyngeal lymph nodes of all inoculated and indirect contact fawns. Labeling of viral RNA was intense and limited to central regions of lymphoid follicles (Fig. 6A, B). Viral RNA was also noted in tracheobronchial and/or mediastinal lymph nodes of 5/6 deer, but was less intense than that seen in tonsils, and was limited to lymph node medulla (Fig. 6C). Only the nasal turbinates of fawn 2001, collected on day 8 pi exhibited viral RNA labeling. Within the nasal lumen there was strong labeling of aggregates of mucus and cell debris (Fig. 6D). No viral RNA was detected in sections of lung, kidney, brain, intestine, or mesenteric lymph nodes.

Tissues were also analyzed using the negative sense specific RNA probe (VnCoV2019-S-sense probe) to detect virus replication. While intense labeling was noted with the probe detecting genomic and subgenomic positive sense viral RNA (Fig 6E), no labeling was observed with the negative sense-specific probe in any of the tissues (Fig. 6F), suggesting lack of virus replication.
These findings are consistent with lack of virus isolation from RT-rPCR positive tissues. The ability of the negative-sense specific probe to bind actively replicating virus, was confirmed in Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 (data not shown).

**Antibody responses in white-tailed deer following SARS-CoV-2 infection.** The serological responses to SARS-CoV-2 were assessed using a Luminex and a virus neutralization (VN) assay. Serum samples collected on days 0, 7, 14 and 21 pi were used to assess nucleocapsid (N)- and S-receptor binding domain (RBD)-specific or neutralizing antibodies (NA) levels following SARS-CoV-2 infection. All inoculated and indirect contact animals seroconverted to SARS-CoV-2, with antibodies against S-RBD and NA being detected as early as day 7 pi (Fig. 8B and 8C, respectively) and increasing thereafter on days 14 and 21 pi. Overall, titers of antibodies against the N-protein were lower and appeared at later time points (day 14-21) (Fig. 8A). Geometric mean titers (GMT) of NA ranged from 37 to 107, 85 to 214, and 85 to 256 on days 7, 14, and 21, respectively (Fig. 8C). These results confirm infection of all inoculated fawns and demonstrate transmission to indirect contact animals.

**Discussion**

Here we confirmed an *in silico* prediction (18), demonstrating that white-tailed deer are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Infection of deer cells *in vitro* resulted in productive virus replication. Most importantly, intranasal inoculation of white-tailed-deer fawns led to subclinical infection and productive virus replication in the upper respiratory tract with shedding of infectious virus in nasal secretions of infected animals.

One of the most remarkable characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 is its highly efficient transmissibility (35, 36). Consistent with this, rapid SARS-CoV-2 transmission was observed in several experimentally infected animal species (27, 31, 37–43). Similarly, results here show efficient
SARS-CoV-2 transmission between white-tailed-deer. Indirect contact fawns became infected and supported productive SARS-CoV-2 replication as evidenced by virus shedding in nasal secretions and seroconversion. Evidence to date indicates that transmission of SARS-CoV-2 occurs mainly through direct, indirect, or close contact with infected individuals through infected secretions such as respiratory-, salivary-, and/or fecal droplets (44). Under experimental conditions, transmission via direct contact has been demonstrated in ferrets, minks, raccoon dogs, hamsters, cats, and deer mice (28, 31, 37, 39, 40, 43, 45), while indirect/aerosol transmission was observed in ferrets and hamsters (37, 39). The experimental setup in the present study prevented direct contact between animals suggesting that transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from inoculated to room indirect contact animals most likely occurred via aerosols or droplets generated by inoculated animals. Importantly, in a follow up study conducted to assess the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed deer fawns, we have confirmed the rapid transmission of the virus from inoculated to contact animals. Contact animals became positive within ~24-48 h, when inoculated animals were placed in contact with naïve animals on day 3 post-inoculation (manuscript in preparation). These findings are consistent with the current study and with the transmission dynamics of the virus in other animal species (28, 31, 37, 39, 40, 43, 45).

Despite the potential for severe illness and mortality due to SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans (46, 47), asymptomatic and mild cases represent approximately 80% of human COVID-19 cases, while severe and critical disease outcomes account for approximately 15 and 5% of the cases, respectively (48). Notably, experimental infections in several animal species, including non-human primates (26), cats (19, 23, 49), ferrets (23, 31, 50, 51), minks (27), deer mice (40), and raccoon dogs (42) have mostly resulted in subclinical infections or only mild respiratory distress.
Consistent with these observations, white-tailed-deer fawns inoculated here did not present overt clinical disease following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Only a transient and modest increase in body temperature was observed in 3 of 4 inoculated animals between days 1-3 pi. It is important to note that most severe and critical cases of COVID-19 in humans have been associated with underlying clinical conditions (e.g. heart and pulmonary disease, cancer, and diabetes, among others) which may complicate full recapitulation of the clinical manifestations of the human disease in animal species. Differences in expression and/or tissue distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor (ACE2), of cellular proteases (transmembrane serine protease 2, TMPRSS2, cathepsins) or other co-factor(s) that might be required for efficient virus infection and replication in target tissues, may help to explain the diverse clinical outcomes of infection in humans and animals.

While no viremia was detected in infected animals, active virus shedding was observed in nasal secretions of both inoculated and indirect contact animals. The patterns and dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding observed in fawns here are consistent with what has been described for other susceptible animal species (23, 31, 40, 42, 49, 52, 53). Most importantly, the duration and magnitude of virus shedding observed in deer corroborate observations in humans, in which prolonged viral RNA has been detected by RT-rPCR (21-35 days), with virus infectivity being usually limited to the first 7-10 days of infection (54, 55). This could be partially explained by the activity of effector host immune responses elicited against the virus, especially of neutralizing antibodies, which were detected as early as day 7 pi in all fawns here, paralleling decreased virus infectivity in nasal secretions.

Viral RNA load and tissue distribution were assessed on day 8 pi (animal no. 2001) following acute infection and on day 21 pi after termination of the experiment (remaining fawns). High
viral RNA loads were detected in nasal turbinates, palatine tonsils, and medial retropharyngeal
lymph nodes, suggesting that these tissues may potentially serve as sites of SARS-CoV-2
replication in white-tailed-deer. Since no infectious virus was recovered from any of the tissues
sampled on days 8 or 21 pi, additional studies involving serial tissue collection over time are
needed to determine specific sites of virus replication. Interestingly, no viral RNA was detected
in the lung tissues from inoculated or indirect contact fawns, suggesting that these tissues may
not be targeted by SARS-CoV-2 following infection of white-tailed-deer. The possibility that the
virus may have been cleared from these sites by the time of tissue collection, however, cannot be
excluded.

Microscopic changes were observed in the lungs of 2 of 4 inoculated fawns and the 1 indirect
contact fawn examined 21 days pi. These changes were characterized by congestion,
lymphohistiocytic interstitial pneumonia, hyaline membranes, and intra-alveolar fibrin (Fig. 7),
all of which are characteristic of the acute phase of diffuse alveolar damage, the predominant
finding in the lungs of patients affected with COVID-19 (56, 57). Mild to moderate pulmonary
changes have been described in other species following experimental SARS-CoV-2 infection,
including domestic cats (41), rhesus macaques (25, 58, 59), hACE2 mice (60, 61), ferrets (37),
and minks (27). It is also notable that no SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was associated with lesions in
these white-tailed deer, which could be a result of earlier viral clearance from these sites, or
potent immunological responses following SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Given the susceptibility of white-tailed-deer to SARS-CoV-2 and the fact that coronaviruses may
adapt to host species through mutations that may enhance binding affinity of the S protein to the
ACE2 receptor, it will be interesting to assess potential evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in this species
in the future. Studies to investigate evolution as the virus is transmitted between animals
(multiple rounds of transmission) are warranted. The best example of SARS-CoV-2 adaptation to a new species comes from mink, a species that is naturally susceptible to the virus and in which variant SARS-CoV-2 strains have been identified and shown to be transmitted back to humans (62). It is important to note, however, that the mink ACE2 protein is less conserved with the human protein than the white tailed deer receptor (18). Whether these differences result in different evolutionary rates and differential adaptation of the virus remains to be demonstrated. In summary, our study shows that white-tailed deer are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection and can transmit the virus to indirect contact animals. These results confirmed in silico predictions describing a high propensity of interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S protein and the cervid ACE2 receptor. Our findings indicate that deer and other cervids should be considered in investigations conducted to identify the origin and potential intermediate host species that may have served as the link host reservoir to humans.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and Virus**

Vero cells (ATCC® CCL-81™), Vero E6 (ATCC® CRL-1586™), and Vero E6/TMPRSS2 (JCRB Cell Bank, JCRB1819) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM), while deer lung (DL; ATCC® CRL6195™) cells were cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM). Both DMEM and MEM were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine (2mM), penicillin (100 U.ml⁻¹), streptomycin (100 μg.ml⁻¹) and gentamycin (50 μg.ml⁻¹). The cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. The SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 obtained from a Malayan tiger naturally infected with SARS-CoV-2 and presenting with respiratory disease compatible with SARS-CoV-2 infection (22) was propagated in Vero CCL-
81 cells. Low passage virus stocks (passage 4) were prepared, cleared by centrifugation (1966 x g for 10 min) and stored at -80 °C. The endpoint titer was determined by limiting dilution following the Spearman and Karber method. A viral suspension containing 10^{-6.3} tissue culture infectious dose 50 per ml (TCID$_{50}$ ml$^{-1}$) was used for all in vitro experiments and fawn inoculations.

**Cell susceptibility and growth curves**

The susceptibility and kinetics of replication of the SARS-CoV-2 in DL cells was assessed in vitro and compared to virus replication in Vero E6 and Vero E6/TMPRSS2. For this, DL, Vero E6 and Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 at a multiplicity of infection of 1 (MOI = 1), adsorbed for 1 h at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. After adsorption, cells were washed 3 times with MEM and the cells incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. At 24 h post-inoculation, cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 (in Phosphate Buffered Saline [PBS]) and subjected to an immunofluorescence assay (IFA) using a monoclonal antibody (MAb) anti-ACE2 (Sigma-Aldrich), and then incubated with a goat anti-rabbit IgG (goat anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 488$^\text{®}$), and using a monoclonal antibody (MAb) anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein (N) (clone B6G11) produced and characterized in Dr. Diel’s laboratory, and then incubated with a goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor$^\text{®}$ 594), and Nuclear counterstain was performed with DAPI, and visualized under a fluorescence microscope. To assess the kinetics of replication of SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20, Vero E6, Vero E6/TMPRSS2 and DL cells were cultured in 12-well plates, inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 (MOI = 0.1 and MOI = 1 and adsorbed for 1 h at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$. After
adsorption, the inoculum was removed cells washed 3 x with MEM. Inoculated cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ and harvested at various time points post-inoculation (12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h pi). Cell monolayers and supernatants were collected and kept at -80°C until further processing. The input virus amount was confirmed by back titration of the inoculum virus (time 0). Virus titers were determined on each time point using end-point dilutions and the Spearman and Karber’s method and expressed as TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹.

Animals, inoculation and sampling

All animals were handled in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act Amendments (7 U.S. Code §2131 to §2156) and all study procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the National Animal Disease Center (IACUC approval number ARS-2020-861). White-tailed deer fawns (n = 6) were obtained from a breeding herd maintained at the National Animal Disease Center in Ames, IA. Within 24 hrs of birth, fawns were removed from the pasture, moved to indoor housing, and bottle-fed. Hand-raising fawns has been found to greatly decrease animal stress when moved to containment housing (63). At approximately 4 weeks of age, fawns were moved to the biosafety level 3 (Agriculture) (BSL-3Ag) facility at NADC, and were microchipped (subcutaneously; SC) for identification and body temperature monitoring. Fawns were fed white-tailed deer milk replacer and hay was also available.

After a 2-week acclimation period, four fawns were inoculated intranasally with 5 ml (2.5 ml per nostril) of a virus suspension containing 10⁶.₃ TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹ of SARS-CoV-2, isolated from respiratory secretions from a tiger at the Bronx Zoo (TGR/NY/20) (32). Two fawns were maintained as non-inoculated indirect contact animals to evaluate potential transmission of
SARS-CoV-2 from inoculated to indirect contact animals. All fawns were maintained in a 3.7m x 3.7m room, and inoculated and room indirect contact animals were kept in two pens separated by a plexiglass barrier approximately 0.9 m (~3-feet) in height, to prevent direct nose-to-nose contact (Fig. 2A). Airflow in the room was maintained at 10-11 air exchanges per hour, at a standard exchange rate for BSL-3Ag housing of large animals. Body temperatures were recorded daily, nasal swabs (NS) and rectal swabs (RS) were collected on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14 and 21 post-inoculation (pi). Upon collection, swabs were placed individually in sterile tubes containing 2 ml of viral transport media (MEM with 1,000 U.ml\(^{-1}\) of penicillin, 1,000 µg.ml\(^{-1}\) of streptomycin, and 2.5 µg.ml\(^{-1}\) of amphotericin B) and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Blood was collected through jugular venipuncture in EDTA and serum separator tubes on days 0, 7, 14, and 21 pi. The tubes were centrifuged for 25 min at 1200 x g and buffy coat (BC) was collected from EDTA tubes and stored at -80 °C. Serum from the serum separator tubes was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until analysis.

Fawns were humanely euthanized on day 21 pi. Following necropsy, multiple specimens including tracheal wash (TW), lung lavage (LL) and several tissues (nasal turbinates, palatine tonsil, thymus, trachea, lung, bronchi, kidney, liver, spleen, ileum, ileocecal junction, spiral colon, cerebellum, cerebrum, olfactory bulbs and medial retropharyngeal, mandibular, tracheobronchial, mediastinal and mesenteric lymph nodes) were collected. Samples were processed for RT-rPCR and virus isolation (VI) and were individually bagged, placed on dry ice, and transferred to a -80 °C freezer until testing. Additionally, tissue samples were collected and processed for standard microscopic examination and in situ hybridization (ISH). For this, tissue fragments of approximately ≤0.5 cm in width were fixed by immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin (≥20 volumes fixative to 1 volume tissue) for approximately 24 h, and then transferred...
Nucleic acid extraction and real-time RT-PCR (RT-rPCR)

Nucleic acid was extracted from nasal secretions, feces, BC, serum tracheal wash, lung lavage and all the tissue samples collected at necropsy. Before extraction, 0.5 g of tissues were minced with a sterile scalpel and resuspended in 5 ml DMEM (10% w/v) and homogenized using a stomacher (Stomacher® 80 Biomaster; one speed cycle of 60s). Homogenized tissue samples were cleared by centrifugation (1966 x g for 10 min) and 200 µL of the homogenate supernatant used for RNA extraction using the MagMax Core extraction kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and the automated KingFisher Flex nucleic acid extractor (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. The real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-rPCR) was performed using the EZ-SARS-CoV-2 Real-Time RT-PCR assay (Tetracore Inc., Rockville, MD), which detects both genomic and subgenomic viral RNA for increased diagnostic sensitivity. An internal inhibition control was included in all reactions. Positive and negative amplification controls were run side-by-side with test samples. All RNA extractions and RT-rPCR were performed at the Cornell Animal Health Diagnostic Center (AHDC).

Virus Isolation and titration

Nasal and rectal swabs collected on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14 and 21, and tissues collected during the necropsy that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-rPCR were subjected to virus isolation under biosafety level 3 conditions at Cornell University. Twenty-four well plates were seeded with ~75,000 Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cells per well 24 h prior to sample inoculation. Cells were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Corning®) and inoculated...
with 150 μl of each sample and inoculum adsorbed for 1 h at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Mock-
inoculated cells were used as negative controls. After adsorption, replacement cell culture media
supplemented as described above was added, and cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and
monitored daily for cytopathic effect (CPE) for 3 days. SARS-CoV-2 infection in CPE-positive
cultures was confirmed with an immunofluorescence assay (IFA) as described above. Cell
cultures with no CPE were frozen, thawed, and subjected to two additional blind
passages/inoculations in Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cell cultures. At the end of the third passage, the
cells cultures were subjected to IFA as above. Positive samples were subjected to end point
titrations by limiting dilution using the Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cells and virus titers were determined
using the Spearman and Karber’s method and expressed as TCID_{50}.ml^{-1}.

In situ hybridization (ISH)
Paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned at 5 μm and subjected to ISH using the RNAscope ZZ
probe technology (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA). In situ hybridization was
performed to detect tissue distribution of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid in palatine tonsil, medial
retropharyngeal- tracheobronchial- mediastinal and mesenteric lymph nodes, nasal turbinate,
brain, lung, and kidney, using the RNAscope 2.5 HD Reagents–RED kit (Advanced Cell
Diagnostics) as previously described (64). Proprietary ZZ probes targeting SARS-CoV-2 RNA
(V-nCoV2019-S probe ref# 8485561) or anti-sense RNA (V-nCoV2019-S-sense ref#845701)
designed and manufactured by Advance Cell Diagnostics were used for detection of viral RNA.
The V-nCoV2019-S probe detects the subgenomic RNA encoding the S gene, while the V-
nCoV2019-S-sense detects negative sense genomic RNA intermediates of virus replication. A
positive control probe targeted the Bos taurus --specific cyclophilin B (PPIB Cat# 3194510) or
ubiquitin (UBC Cat # 464851) housekeeping genes, while a probe targeting dapB of Bacillus
*subtilis* (Cat # 312038) was used as a negative control. The distribution of mRNA of ACE2 was assessed using the BaseScope 2.5 HD Reagents–RED kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) as previously described (64). Proprietary ZZ probes targeting the region spanning AA 31-82 for the ACE2 receptor specific to *Odocoileus virginianus* (BA-Ov-ACE2-1zz-st probe; ref# 900101) designed and manufactured by Advance Cell Diagnostics. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, and examined by light microscopy using a Nikon Eclipse Ci microscope. Digital images were captured using a Nikon DE-Ri2 camera.

**Serological analysis**

Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 was assessed by a Luminex and virus neutralization assays developed *in house*. For the Luminex assay, SARS-CoV-2 antigens were expressed as IL-4 fusion proteins in mammalian cells as previously described (65). SARS-CoV-2 RNA (strain Hu-WA-1) was derived from Vero cells infected with the virus and cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) and oligo dT and six hexamer random primers. The cDNA was used to amplify the receptor binding domain (amino acids 319 to 529; RBD) of the Spike protein and the whole nucleocapsid protein (amino acids 1 – 419; NP) by PCR. The primer sequences for RBD were

AAGGATCCAGAGTCACAAAAAGAAATCTATTGTT (forward) and

AAAGGTACCTTACTTTTAGGTCACAAACAGTGTGCT (reverse). NP primers were

AAGGATCCAAAATGTCTGATAATGGACCCCAACAGTGTGCT (forward) and

AAAGGTACTTAGGCTAGTTGAGTCAGCACTG (reverse). The restriction sites for expression cloning are underlined. Both PCR products were cloned into the multiple cloning site of the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) downstream of the equine IL-4 sequence, as described previously (65). Nucleotide sequences of
RBD and NP were verified by Sanger sequencing and identical to respective sequences of the Hu-WA-1 strain (GenBank accession number NC_045512).

CHO-K1 cells were transiently transfected with the recombinant plasmid constructs. Expression and secretion of the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 antigen fusion proteins by CHO transfectants was confirmed using the IL-4 tag by flow cytometric analysis and ELISA, as previously described (65). After 24-30 hrs of incubation, the supernatants were harvested for fluorescent bead-based multiplex assays.

Fluorescent beads were coupled with the anti-equine IL-4 antibody, clone 25 (RRID: AB_2737308) as previously described (66). The RBD/IL-4 and NP/IL-4 fusion proteins were bound by incubating the anti-IL-4 coupled beads with the fusion protein supernatant solution for 30 min at room temperature, followed by a wash. The assay was performed with a few modifications from previously described procedures (66, 67). Briefly, beads were incubated with deer serum samples diluted 1:100. The assay was detected using a biotinylated mouse anti-goat IgG (H+L) (RRID: AB_2339061, Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) cross-reactive with deer immunoglobulin. Afterwards, streptavidin-phycoerythrin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was added as a final detection step. All incubation steps were for 30 min at room temperature and the assay was washed after each incubation step. The assay was measured in a Luminex 200 instrument (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX). Assay results were expressed as median fluorescence intensity (MFI).

Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 was assessed by a virus neutralization assay (VNA) performed under BSL-3 conditions at the Cornell AHDC. Twofold serial dilutions (1:8 to 1:4,096) of serum samples were incubated with 100 - 200 TCID₅₀ of SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 for 1 h at 37 °C. Following incubation of serum and virus, 50 µl of a cell suspension
of Vero cells was added to each well of a 96-well plate and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. The cells were fixed and permeabilized as described above, and subjected to IFA using a rabbit polyclonal antibody (pAb) specific for the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein (N) (produced in Dr. Diel’s laboratory) followed by incubation with a goat anti-rabbit IgG (goat anti-rabbit IgG, DyLight®594 Conjugate, Immunoreagent Inc.). Unbound antibodies were washed from cell cultures by rinsing the cells PBS, and virus infectivity was assessed under a fluorescence microscope. Neutralizing antibody titers were expressed as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum that completely inhibited SARS-CoV-2 infection/replication, after three independent VN assay performed. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and convalescent human serum (kindly provided by Dr. Elizabeth Plocharczyk, Cayuga Medical Center [CMC]; under CMC’s Institutional Review Board protocol number 0420EP) were used as a negative and positive controls, respectively.
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Figure 1. Susceptibility and replication properties of the SARS-CoV-2 in deer cells in vitro. (A) Deer lung (DL), Vero E6 and Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection of 1 (MOI = 1). At 24 h post-inoculation (h pi), cells were fixed and subjected to an immunofluorescence assay using a monoclonal antibody (MAb) anti-ACE2 (green), and with a MAb anti-SARS-CoV-2-nucleoprotein (N) (red). Nuclear counterstain was performed with DAPI (blue). 40x magnification. (B) To assess the kinetics of replication of SARS-CoV-2, DL, Vero E6, Vero E6/TMPRSS2 were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20 (MOI = 0.1 and MOI = 1) and harvested at various time points post-inoculation (12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h pi; time 0 shown in the graphs represent the back titration of input virus). Viral titers were determined on each time point using end-point dilutions and the Spearman and Karber's method and expressed as TCID<sub>50</sub>·ml<sup>-1</sup>. Results represent the average of three independent experiments.

Figure 2. Infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed-deer. (A) Room set up of animal experiment. Fawns were kept in a room of biosafety level 3 (Agriculture) (BSL-3Ag) facility. Four fawns were inoculated intranasally with a virus suspension containing 5x10<sup>5.3</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> of SARS-CoV-2 isolate TGR/NY/20, and two fawns were maintained as non-inoculated room contact animals. All fawns were maintained in a 3.7m x 3.7m room, and inoculated and room contact animals were kept in two pens separated by a plexiglass barrier approximately 0.9 m (~3-feet) in height, to prevent direct nose-to-nose contact. Airflow in the room was maintained at 10-11 air exchanges per hour and was directional from the contact pen towards the inoculated pen. (B) Fawns were microchipped subcutaneously for identification and monitored daily for clinical signs and body temperature starting on day 1 before inoculation or contact day (day -1). Body temperatures are expressed in degrees Celsius (°C).

Figure 3. Viral RNA in nasal secretion and feces. (A) The dynamics of virus shedding was assessed in nasal secretions (line) and feces (bars) of four inoculated fawns (no 2001, 2042, 2043, and 2044). Nasal and rectal swabs collected on days 0-7, 10, 12, 14 and 21 post-inoculation (pi), were tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-rPCR). (B) The dynamics of virus shedding of contact fawns (no 2001 and 2044). Nasal and rectal swabs collected on the same time-points as the inoculated animals, were subjected to nucleic acid extraction and tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by RT-rPCR.

Figure 4. Shedding of infectious SARS-CoV-2 by inoculated and contact fawns. (A) Infectious virus was assessed by virus isolation in nasal secretions in RT-rPCR-positive samples. (B) Infectious SARS-CoV-2 shedding in feces in RT-rPCR-positive samples. Virus titers were determined using end point dilutions and the Spearman and Karber's method and expressed as TCID<sub>50</sub>·ml<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure 5. Tissue distribution of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Tissues were collected and processed for RT-rPCR on day 8 post-inoculation (pi) (animal no. 2001, which died of unrelated cause) and 21 pi of the remaining animals (animals no. 2042, 2043, 2045, 2005 and 2044).

Figure 6. Tissues from white-tailed deer fawn inoculated intranasally with SARS-CoV-2 and examined 21 days later. Note intense labeling of viral RNA in the centers of lymphoid follicles.
Figure 7. Histological examination of lung from white-tailed deer fawns intranasally inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. A) Note the well demarcated focus of congestion. Alveolar septal capillaries are engorged with blood surrounded by normal appearing alveolar septa. HE. B) Multiple alveolar septa are lined by bands of eosinophilic hyalinized proteinaceous material (arrows) consistent with hyaline membranes. Multiple alveoli contain flocculent to fibrillar eosinophilic material (arrow head) consistent with fibrin. HE. C) Expanded alveolus contains a large collection of fibrin, inflammatory cells, and cell debris (arrow). HE. D) Alveolar septa are expanded by an inflammatory infiltrate (interstitial pneumonia) composed primarily of lymphocytes (arrows) and macrophages (E). HE. F) Within a field of congested alveolar septa are irregular regions characterized by hypocellular septa containing few erythrocytes. Septal stroma is fibrillar and lightly eosinophilic. Multiple alveoli within these regions contain flocculent strands of fibrin. HE. G) There is type II pneumocyte hyperplasia and an increase in alveolar macrophages (arrow). HE. H) Lumens of cortical tubules in the kidney are filled with necrotic cellular debris. Renal tubules are variably lined by attenuated epithelium, occasionally have hypereosinophilic cytoplasm and pyknotic nuclei (degeneration and necrosis), and overall exhibit increased cytoplasmic basophilia (regeneration). Tubules are divided by interstitial edema and a cellular infiltrate composed of lymphocytes, plasma cells, and fewer macrophages. HE. Tissue sections were examined on day 21 post-inoculation.

Figure 8. Antibody responses in white-tailed deer following SARS-CoV-2 infection. (A) Luminex assay to assess IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2-nucleocapsid (N) in serum samples collected on days 0, 7, 14 and 21 post-inoculation (pi) (fawns no 2001, 2042, 2043, and 2045), or contact (fawns no 2006 and 2044). (B) Luminex assay to assess IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2-S-receptor binding domain (RBD)-specific at the same time point and fawns as above. (C) Virus neutralization (VN) assay. Neutralizing antibody (NA) titers were expressed as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum that completely inhibited SARS-CoV-2 infection/replication in serum at the same time point and fawns as above. Result represent the geometric mean titers (GMT) of three independent experiments.
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