The pinewood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, recognized as a worldwide major forest pest, is a migratory endoparasitic nematode with capacity to feed on pine tissues and also on fungi colonizing the trees. *Bursaphelenchus mucronatus*, the closest related species, differs from *B. xylophilus* on its pathogenicity, making this nematode a good candidate for comparative analyses. Secretome profiles of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* were obtained and proteomic differences were evaluated by quantitative SWATH-MS. From the 681 proteins initially identified, 422 were quantified and compared between *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretomes and from these, 243 proteins were found differentially regulated: 158 and 85 proteins were increased in *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretomes, respectively. While increased proteins in *B. xylophilus* secretome revealed a strong enrichment in proteins with peptidase activity, the increased proteins in *B. mucronatus* secretome were mainly related to oxidative stress responses. The changes in peptidases were evaluated at the transcription level by RT-qPCR, revealing a correlation between the mRNA levels of four cysteine peptidases with secretion levels. The analysis presented expands our knowledge about molecular basis of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* hosts interaction and supports the hypothesis of a key role of secreted peptidases in *B. xylophilus* pathogenicity.
pathogenicity of *B. xylophilus* has been associated to its higher competitive potential and invasiveness. The higher reproductive ability results in a rapid population growth rate which allows it to colonise new habitats more easily than *B. mucronatus*.17. Also migration and pine cell destruction abilities are important factors affecting these two species different pathogenicity and recent studies showed that the number and area of dead epithelial cells in pine cuttings inoculated with *B. mucronatus* were smaller than in those inoculated with *B. xylophilus*, suggesting that the attacking ability of *B. mucronatus* is weaker than that of *B. xylophilus*.18. Thus, these two species are usually studied together for comparative analyses. A draft genome sequences of *B. xylophilus* were also reported in 2011.19. The availability of all these comprehensive data sets accelerated the postgenomic studies on PWD and a large-scale proteomic study has been conducted to better understand the pathogenicity of *B. xylophilus*.20. This study presented a complete profile of the *B. xylophilus* secretome, however, no secretome data for *B. mucronatus* was yet available.

In the present study, SWATH-MS was used to determine changes in protein amounts between *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretions, bringing new insights into the molecular basis of these nematodes interaction with their hosts and PWN pathogenicity.

Results

Transcriptomic profiles. The entire reads set obtained for *B. mucronatus* transcriptome and used for the final assembly was submitted to the EMBL-EBI European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), under the study accession number PRJEB14884. A total of 465,256 raw pyrosequencing reads of a mean length of 337 bp were obtained for *B. mucronatus*. These were assembled in 8,822 contigs with a mean length of 766 bp. A total of 9,231 translated amino acid sequences were deduced from contigs sequences. Annotation of the contigs resulted in 5,547 peptides associated to InterPro protein families or functional domains and 4,067 peptides assigned to gene ontology (GO) terms.

Gene ontology analysis of *B. mucronatus* and *B. xylophilus* (Bioproject PRJNA192936) transcriptomes revealed that both nematodes have a similar composition, with a higher percentage of transcripts associated with cellular and metabolic processes in biological process GO category (Fig. 1a) and binding and catalytic activity in molecular function GO category (Fig. 1b). Analysis of higher levels of molecular function GO terms revealed that both nematodes also have similar composition on transcripts putatively related to pathogenicity such as peptidase activity and hydrolase activity, acting on glycosyl bonds (Fig. 1b).

Figure 1. Distribution of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* transcripts according to gene ontology (GO) terms. Biological process (a) and molecular function (b).
Proteomic profiles. General description and global results. From information-dependent acquisition (IDA) experiments, secretome profiles of *B. xylophilus* (BxPE) and *B. mucronatus* (BmPE) were obtained, using either an annotated *B. xylophilus* protein database derived from genome data (BioProject PRJEA64437) or using a combined database derived from the transcriptomic data of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus*. A total of 520 proteins were identified in the three experimental conditions BxPE, BmPE and PE (negative control) using the genomic database (Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. S1), while a total of 681 proteins were identified in the three conditions using the transcriptomic derived database (Supplementary Table S2), with an overlap of 50% between the two databases (Supplementary Table S3 and Fig. S2). In BxPE condition a higher number of proteins were identified compared to the BmPE condition and, as expected, fewer proteins were identified in PE (Fig. 2).

Comparative functional analysis of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretome profiles showed that secreted proteins have a similar GO distribution composition, with a higher percentage of proteins associated with cellular and metabolic processes in biological process GO category (Fig. 3a) and binding and catalytic activity in molecular function GO category (Fig. 3b). At higher levels of molecular function GO terms, only small differences were
noted between both secretomes in the percentage of proteins putatively related to pathogenicity, such as peptidase activity and hydrolase activity, acting on glycosyl bonds (Fig. 3b).

Quantitative analysis and identification of differentially secreted proteins. From the sequential windowed acquisition of all theoretical mass spectra (SWATH-MS) analysis, 446 proteins were quantified, considering proteins with at least one confidence peptide (with a FDR < 0.01%) in at least three out of the six biological replicates per conditions (Supplementary Table S4). According to the normal distribution of the logarithmized quantitative data, statistical analysis was performed by multiple Student t-tests for each pair of conditions and proteins with P-values ≥ 0.05 in all the three comparisons were excluded. These correspond to proteins that were at the same levels in the negative control (PE) and in the other two conditions (BxPE and BmPE). According to this evaluation, a total of 422 proteins were quantified and compared between B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus secretomes (Supplementary Table S5) and from these, 243 proteins were found differentially regulated: 158 and 85 proteins were increased in B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus secretomes, respectively (Fig. 4).

To gain further insights into the biological differences between B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus, functional features of the differentially regulated proteins were characterized using GO enrichment analysis, against the entire set of quantitative data. Bursaphelenchus xylophilus secretome revealed a strong enrichment in proteins with peptidase activity and also on glycoside hydrolases activity (Table 1). The increased proteins in B. xylophilus secretome associated to peptidase activity belong to five catalytic types of peptidases and the glycoside hydrolases increased in B. xylophilus secretome were mainly chitinases. Additionally, an enrichment in proteins with peptidase inhibitor activity was also detected, one with serine and three with cysteine -type endopeptidase inhibitor activity (Table 2).

On the other hand, the increased proteins in B. mucronatus secretome were mainly related to oxidative stress responses (Table 3) and from these, the proteins related to oxidoreductase activity were associated with 11 different activities (Table 4).

Evaluation of differential transcript level of cysteine peptidases. Cysteine and serine peptidases constituted the group of proteins with higher representability in the increased proteins in B. xylophilus secretome. In order to address whether there was a correlation between transcript level and protein level analyses, RT-qPCR was performed for four cysteine peptidases (CP) selected from the 422 quantified proteins: CP3 and CP7, found increased in B. xylophilus secretome, and CP4 and CP5, found unaltered between B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus secretomes. The RT-qPCR analysis revealed that the cp3 and cp7 transcripts level was significantly (P < 0.036) higher in B. xylophilus than in B. mucronatus. Moreover, cp4 and cp5 transcript levels were not significantly different (P > 0.05) between both species (Fig. 5). Therefore, the patterns of changes between the two species in transcript levels of these four genes were similar to the changes in protein levels, detected by proteomic analysis.

Discussion

A database of B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus transcriptomic data was produced and used for the identification of proteins secreted by these two nematodes under pine tree extract stimulation. A general comparison of transcriptomic profiles did not reveal notorious differences between these species and even when searching for specific groups of proteins, putatively related to nematodes pathogenicity, only small changes were detected. This was mainly in accordance with previous studies using comparative analysis of B. xylophilus and B. mucronatus transcriptomic data which results indicate that the two species have developed similar molecular mechanisms to adapt to life on pine hosts13,14. Even though, the use of this combined database in the secretome’s differential
analysis allows a higher number of identified protein comparing to those obtained using an annotated *B. xylophilus* protein database derived from genome BioProject PRJEA6443719 and thus, constitute an important resource for future genomic and proteomic projects on *Bursaphelenchus* species.

The identified proteins of *B. mucronatus* secretome represent the first proteomic data on the secretome of this species, providing new information about this nematode biology and host interaction. Furthermore, proteomic comparative and quantitative analysis with *B. xylophilus* secretome permitted the identification of proteins detected in different levels in each secretome, reflecting a different response of these nematodes when stimulated by a pine tree extract. No other quantitative proteomic study involving these two species has been presented before. A comparison of secretome profiles of the plant parasitic nematodes *B. xylophilus* and *Meloidogyne incognita* has been previously described and the analysis of GO terms distribution indicated an expansion of peptidases and peptidase inhibitors in *B. xylophilus* secretome20. The similar comparative functional analysis of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretomes, here presented, also revealed a small expansion in peptidases in *B. xylophilus* secretome, nevertheless it was the quantitative analysis of *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* secretomes that showed significant differences in protein abundances in both secretomes, pointing out groups of proteins possibly responsible for the main differences between these two species pathogenicity. While proteins related to peptidase and glycoside hydrolase activities were detected in higher levels in *B. xylophilus* secretome, in *B. mucronatus* the increased proteins were mainly related to oxidative stress responses.

| GO ID          | GO description                          | GO category* | P-Value |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| GO:0008233     | peptidase activity                      | F            | 1.94E-04|
| GO:0006508     | proteolysis                             | P            | 3.90E-04|
| GO:0070011     | peptidase activity, acting on L-amino acid peptides | F        | 6.94E-04|
| GO:0004180     | carboxypeptidase activity               | F            | 9.52E-04|
| GO:0016787     | hydrolase activity                      | F            | 1.15E-03|
| GO:0004553     | hydrolase activity, hydrolyzing O-glycosyl compounds | F        | 1.33E-03|
| GO:0004185     | serine-type carboxypeptidase activity   | F            | 2.62E-03|
| GO:0016798     | hydrolase activity, acting on glycolyl bonds | F        | 3.80E-03|
| GO:1901071     | glucosamine-containing compound metabolic process | P        | 4.25E-03|
| GO:0006040     | amino sugar metabolic process           | P            | 4.25E-03|
| GO:0006022     | aminoglycan metabolic process           | P            | 4.25E-03|
| GO:0006030     | chitin metabolic process                | P            | 4.25E-03|
| GO:0070008     | serine-type exopeptidase activity       | F            | 8.44E-03|
| GO:0008236     | serine-type peptidase activity          | F            | 8.81E-03|
| GO:0017171     | serine hydrolase activity               | F            | 8.81E-03|
| GO:0003824     | catalytic activity                      | F            | 1.76E-02|
| GO:0004175     | endopeptidase activity                  | F            | 2.39E-02|
| GO:0008238     | exopeptidase activity                   | F            | 3.56E-02|
| GO:0004568     | chitinase activity                      | F            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0008061     | chitin binding                          | F            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0046348     | amino sugar catabolic process           | P            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:1901072     | glucosamine-containing compound catabolic process | P        | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0009620     | response to fungus                      | P            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0006026     | aminoglycan catabolic process           | P            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0006032     | chitin catabolic process                | P            | 3.85E-02|
| GO:0030414     | peptidase inhibitor activity            | F            | 4.41E-02|
| GO:0061134     | peptidase regulator activity            | F            | 4.41E-02|
| GO:0044420     | extracellular matrix component          | C            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0070001     | aspartic-type peptidase activity        | F            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0004190     | aspartic-type endopeptidase activity    | F            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0004222     | metalloendopeptidase activity           | F            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0005604     | basement membrane                       | C            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0051248     | negative regulation of protein metabolic process | P        | 4.71E-02|
| GO:1901136     | carbohydrate derivative catabolic process | P            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0045861     | negative regulation of proteolysis      | P            | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0010466     | negative regulation of peptidase activity | P        | 4.71E-02|
| GO:0032269     | negative regulation of cellular protein metabolic process | P        | 4.71E-02|

Table 1. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 158 proteins increased in *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* secretome. Enrichment analysis was performed against all the 442 quantified proteins using a statistical Fisher’s Exact Test associated and a P-value of 0.05 as cutoff. *F* refers to molecular function; *P* to biological process; and *C* to cellular component.
Peptidases are hydrolytic enzymes that cleave internal peptide bonds within proteins and peptides. They are known to play important functions in all cellular organisms and, in nematodes, peptidases are essential not only during the development processes such as embryogenesis and cuticle remodeling but also in the most critical moments of parasite-host interactions, such as tissue penetration, digestion of host proteins and protection from the host immune system attack. Peptidases can be classified according to their catalytic type and all major types of peptidases have been detected increased in B. xylophilus secretome compared to B. mucronatus secretome. In other plant parasitic nematodes few reports on secreted peptidases have been presented, however, in animal parasitic nematodes there are many studies describing the secreted peptidases. Cysteine peptidases in animal parasitic nematodes are thought to be involved in tissue penetration, nutrition and defense from the immune system of the host, as well as in molting. Aspartic peptidases have been described primarily in functions related to the digestion of nutrients and metallopeptidases in functions related to the invasion of host tissues, molting and digestion of nutrients. The serine peptidases along with the metallopeptidases are believed to play the largest part in the invasion of host tissues. Identified increased peptidases in B. xylophilus secretome may well have a key role in this nematode pathogenicity.

On the other hand, glycoside hydrolases are enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolic process and are part of the known cell-wall degrading enzymes, an important group of enzymes able to break down the carbohydrates that are the essential components of the plant and fungal cell walls. *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* are known to migrate in resin canals feeding on xylem parenchyma cells of pine trees but also known to feed on fungi colonizing the trees. In the experimental approach, the nematodes stimulated under pine tree extract were recovered from fungi cultures and it is expected that some fungi may be present in the stimulus solution. While chitin and 1,3-beta-glucans are main components of fungal cell wall, cellulose and the other substrates for the identified increased glycoside hydrolases in *B. xylophilus* secretome are components of plant cell walls. Xylem parenchyma cell walls vary among conifer species and in *Pinus* species are mostly thin-walled and un lignified primary walls comprising cellulose, hemicelluloses, pectins and lesser amounts of structural proteins. The increased of these cell wall degrading enzymes in *B. xylophilus* secretome compared to *B. mucronatus* may reflect a higher capacity of this species to the feed on both plant cells and fungi colonizing trees. Feeding on xylem parenchyma cells *B. xylophilus* causes cell destruction, leading to the development of PWD. Fungi growing in wood tissues of diseased trees provide extra food sources and nematodes develop huge populations which cause the tree death within few months through damage and blocking of pine tree vascular system.

Additionally, enrichment in peptidase inhibitors were also found in increased proteins of *B. xylophilus* secretome and previous studies on *B. xylophilus* secretome indicated that the number of secreted peptidase inhibitors in *B. xylophilus* was significantly greater than in other parasitic nematodes. Peptidases are known to play essential roles against pathogens in plant defence system and overexpression of peptidase genes in the host tree is considered one of the most intense reactions in the case of *B. xylophilus* infection. Therefore, these peptidase inhibitors represent an important contribute to the successful evasion of *B. xylophilus* from its host defence response.

The increased proteins in *B. mucronatus* secretome were mainly related to oxidative stress responses and probably play an essential role in nematodes protection from the reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulated inside the pine trees as a result of the host defence response. Reactive oxygen species are considered to be the first line of defense in plants, oxidizing DNA, proteins and lipids, which causes damage to cellular organelles and inhibits cell functions in plant parasites. The increased of oxidative stress response proteins gives *B. mucronatus* the ability to propagate and reproduce even in severe environment. The well adaptive properties of this nematode have been previously shown by

### Table 2. Summary of increased peptidases and glycoside hydrolases in *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* secretome compared to *B. mucronatus* secretome, based on molecular function gene ontology terms.

| Peptidase activity | Description | #Proteins | Protein ID |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Peptidase activity | cysteine-type | 9 | All_gs454_002631; All_gs454_003203; All_gs454_002314; All_gs454_004450; All_gs454_003244; All_gs454_004275; BmPt2_000216; BmPt2_000767; All_gs454_003032 |
| Peptidase activity | serine-type | 9 | All_gs454_001068; All_gs454_005249; All_gs454_005845; All_gs454_000752; All_gs454_005660; All_gs454_007198; All_gs454_001272; All_gs454_001797; All_gs454_001410 |
| Peptidase activity | metallo | 6 | All_gs454_000115; All_gs454_001243; All_gs454_002836; All_gs454_007821; All_gs454_0007450; All_gs454_007798 |
| Peptidase activity | aspartic-type | 5 | All_gs454_002706; All_gs454_002182; All_gs454_002283; All_gs454_002143; All_gs454_002300 |
| Peptidase activity | threonine-type | 1 | BmPt2_001890 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | chitinase | 4 | All_gs454_002423; All_gs454_006276; BmPt2_004053; All_gs454_001161 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | cellulase | 1 | All_gs454_006369 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | alpha-1,4-glucosidase | 1 | All_gs454_000105 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | alpha-galactosidase | 1 | All_gs454_002135 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | fucosidase | 1 | All_gs454_002563 |
| Glycoside hydrolase activity | glucan endo-1,3-beta-D-glucosidase | 1 | All_gs454_005432 |
| Endopeptidase inhibitor activity | serine-type | 1 | All_gs454_001641 |
| Endopeptidase inhibitor activity | cysteine-type | 3 | All_gs454_000328; All_gs454_014827; All_gs454_008917 |
studies reporting that *B. mucronatus* is found in declining pine trees and tends to be more active and fecund, leading to increase nematode population density inside the host tree, at higher temperatures and drought stress, which are known to enhance ROS production in the different cellular compartments of the plant cell. In the present study, antioxidant proteins were also identified in the secretome of *B. xylophilus*. These proteins have been proved as pivotal tools in protecting *B. xylophilus* from ROS and toxic compounds accumulated inside the pine trees.

Data here presented indicate that it is quite likely that differences in *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* pathogenicity to pine trees are mainly related to these peptidases, glycoside hydrolases and peptidase inhibitors increased in *B. xylophilus* secretome. This information besides contributing to the clarification of the pathogenicity mechanisms involved in PWD will be of great usefulness for the development of new control strategies for this important forests disease.

### Methods

#### Nematodes.

Nematodes from Portuguese *B. xylophilus* (BxPt17AS) and *B. mucronatus* (BmPt2) isolates, maintained in cultures of *Botrytis cinerea* grown on Malt Extract Agar medium at 25 °C, were used. Mixed developmental nematode stages grown during 15 days on fungal cultures were collected with distilled water using a 20 μm sieve and washed three times with sterile water.

### Table 3. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 85 proteins increased in *Bursaphelenchus mucronatus* secretome.

Enrichment analysis was performed against all the 442 quantified proteins using a statistical Fisher’s Exact Test associated and a P-value of 0.05 as cutoff. *F* refers to molecular function; *P* to biological process; and *C* to cellular component.

| GO ID     | GO description                      | GO category | P-Value |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| GO:0009636 | response to toxic substance         | P           | 3.12E-03|
| GO:0016491 | oxidoreductase activity             | F           | 3.76E-03|
| GO:1901700 | response to oxygen-containing compound | P           | 5.47E-03|
| GO:0098754 | Detoxification                      | P           | 1.17E-02|
| GO:0098869 | cellular oxidant detoxification     | P           | 1.17E-02|
| GO:0016209 | antioxidant activity                | F           | 1.17E-02|
| GO:0000302 | response to reactive oxygen species | P           | 1.17E-02|
| GO:1990748 | cellular detoxification             | P           | 1.17E-02|
| GO:0006979 | response to oxidative stress        | P           | 1.37E-02|
| GO:0032535 | regulation of cellular component size | P           | 1.39E-02|
| GO:0090066 | regulation of anatomical structure size | P           | 1.39E-02|
| GO:0065008 | regulation of biological quality    | P           | 1.54E-02|
| GO:0055114 | oxidation-reduction process         | P           | 1.98E-02|
| GO:0060548 | negative regulation of cell death   | P           | 2.52E-02|
| GO:0044710 | single-organism metabolic process   | P           | 2.65E-02|
| GO:0065007 | biological regulation               | P           | 2.78E-02|
| GO:0032787 | monocarboxylic acid metabolic process | P           | 3.81E-02|
| GO:0010035 | response to inorganic substance     | P           | 3.98E-02|
| GO:0051128 | regulation of cellular component organization | P           | 3.98E-02|
| GO:0050793 | regulation of developmental process | P           | 4.41E-02|
| GO:0051239 | regulation of multicellular organismal process | P           | 4.41E-02|
| GO:0050789 | regulation of biological process    | P           | 4.58E-02|

### Table 4. Summary of increased oxidoreductases in *Bursaphelenchus mucronatus* secretome compared to *B. xylophilus* secretome, based on molecular function gene ontology terms.

| Description                                      | #Proteins | Protein ID                  |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| superoxide dismutase                             | 2         | BmPt2_003588; BmPt2_0004784 |
| ferrooxidase                                     | 1         | BmPt2_003434                |
| peroxiredoxine                                   | 1         | BmPt2_002882                |
| glutathione peroxidase                           | 1         | BmPt2_002173                |
| thioredoxin                                      | 1         | BmPt2_001460                |
| aldo keto reductase                               | 1         | BmPt2_001300                |
| 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase               | 1         | BmPt2_000992                |
| glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         | 1         | BmPt2_000845                |
| alcohol dehydrogenase                            | 1         | BmPt2_000771                |
| glutathione-dissulfide reductase                 | 1         | BmPt2_000185                |
| dissulfide-isomerase domain                      | 1         | BmPt2_000117                |
**Bursaphelenchus mucronatus transcriptome sequencing.** Total RNA was extracted from ca. 15,000 nematodes as previously described. A fraction of 2.0 μg was used as starting material for cDNA synthesis using the MINT cDNA synthesis kit (Evrogen), where a strategy based on SMART double stranded cDNA synthesis was applied. cDNA was quantified by fluorescence and sequenced in a half a plate of the 454 GS-FLX Titanium system, according to the standard manufacturer’s instructions (Roche-454 Life Sciences). Sequence reads were deposited in the EMBL-EBI European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the accession number PRJEB14884.

Sequence processing assembly and annotation was performed as previously described. Prior to the assembly of sequences, the raw reads were processed in order to remove sequences with less than 100 nucleotides and low-quality regions. The ribosomal, mitochondrial and chloroplast reads were also identified and removed from the data set. The reads were then assembled into contigs using 454 Newbler 2.6 (Roche) with the default parameters (40 bp overlap and 90% identity). The translation frame of contigs was assessed through BLASTx searches against Swissprot (e-value ≤ 1e–6) and the corresponding amino acid sequences translated using an in-house script. The contigs without translation were submitted to FrameDP software and the remaining contigs were analysed with ESTScan. Transcripts resulting from these two last sequence identification steps were searched using BLASTp against the non-redundant NBCI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) database in order to translate the putative proteins. The deduced aminoacid sequences were annotated using InterProScan which associate each sequence to InterPro protein families or functional domains and predicted the associated GO terms.

**Preparation of Bursaphelenchus xylophilus and B. mucronatus secreted proteins.** A pine wood extract was prepared from two years old *P. pinaster* seedlings using an adaptation of a previously described method and used as a stimulant for the production of secreted proteins. Briefly, about 15 g of small wood pieces obtained from the stems were soaked in 75 mL of distilled water for 24 h at 4 °C. The collected supernatant solution was passed through a filter paper and then centrifuged through a Vivaspin 5 kDa cutoff membrane (Sartorius Stedim). The pass-through solution containing proteins and metabolites < 5 kDa was collected and re-filtered through a Minisart 0.2 μm cellulose acetate membrane. The obtained solution was used to stimulate the nematodes protein secretion, simulating, *in vitro*, the natural pine stimulus.

Approximately 1 × 10^6 nematodes of each species were soaked in 5 mL of pine extract for 16 h at 25 °C. Nematodes were then sedimented by centrifugation and the supernatants containing the secreted proteins of *B. xylophilus* (BxPE) and *B. mucronatus* (BmPE) were collected and concentrated to 100 μL with a Vivaspin 5 kDa cutoff membrane. The obtained solution was used to simulate the nematodes protein secretion, simulating, *in vitro*, the natural pine stimulus. Approximately 1 × 10^6 nematodes of each species were soaked in 5 mL of pine extract for 16 h at 25 °C. Nematodes were then sedimented by centrifugation and the supernatants containing the secreted proteins of *B. xylophilus* (BxPE) and *B. mucronatus* (BmPE) were collected and concentrated to 100 μL with a Vivaspin 5 kDa cutoff membrane. Five mL of pine extract without nematodes were subject to the same conditions and used as control sample (PE). The sedimented nematodes were washed three times in M9 buffer, concentrated via centrifugation and used for RNA extraction, template for reverse transcription quantitative real time PCR (RT-qPCR). Six biological replicates were performed.

**Sample preparation for proteomic analysis.** Secretomes previously concentrated were precipitated with Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) - Acetone. The protein pellets were resuspended in 40 μL of SDS-Sample buffer without bromophenol blue and glycerol, aided by ultrasonication and denaturation at 95 °C. Two μL of each sample were used for protein quantification using the Direct Detect infrared spectrometer (Millipore) and 60 μg of sample were used in the SWATH-MS analysis. Additionally, the six biological replicates were combined in three pools of 60 μg per condition (two biological replicates per pool) to be used for protein identification and, the same amount of *malE*-GFP was added.

After denaturation, samples were alkylated with acrylamide and subjected to gel digestion using the short-GeLC approach. The entire lanes were sliced into three parts and processed in separate. Gel pieces were destained,
dehydrated and re-hydrated in 70 μL of trypsin (0.01 μg/μL solution in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate) for 15 min, on ice. After this period, 40 μL of 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate were added and in-gel digestion was performed overnight at room temperature. After the digestion, the formed peptides were extracted from the gel pieces and the peptides extracted from the three fractions of each biological replicate were combined into a single sample for quantitative analysis. All the peptides were dried subjected to SPE using OMIX tips with C18 stationary phase (Agilent Technologies) as recommended by the manufacture. Eluates were dried and resuspended with a solution of 2% ACN and 0.1% FA containing iRT peptides (Biognosys AG) to be used as internal standards.

**Protein quantification by SWATH-MS.** Samples were analysed on a Triple TOF™ 5600 System (ABSciex®) in two phases: IDA of the pooled samples and SWATH-MS acquisition of each individual sample. Peptides were resolved by liquid chromatography (nanoLC Ultra 2D, Eksigent®) on a MicroLC column ChromXP™ C18CL (300 μm ID × 15 cm length, 3 μm particles, Eksigent®) at 5 μL/min with a multistep gradient: 0–2 min linear gradient from 5 to 10%, 2–45 min linear gradient from 10% to 30% and, 45–46 min to 35% of acetonitrile in 0.1% FA. Peptides were eluted into the mass spectrometer using an electrospray ionization source (DuoSpray™ Source, ABSciex®) with a 50 μm internal diameter (ID) stainless steel emitter (NewObjective).

Information-dependent acquisition experiments were performed for each pooled sample. The mass spectrometer was set to scanning full spectra (350–1250 m/z) for 25 ms, followed by up to 100 MS/MS scans (100–1500 m/z from a dynamic accumulation time – minimum 30 ms for precursor above the intensity threshold of 1000 – in order to maintain a cycle time of 3.3 s). Candidate ions with a charge state between +2 and +5 and counts above a minimum threshold of 10 counts per second were isolated for fragmentation and one MS/MS spectra was collected before adding those ions to the exclusion list for 25 seconds (mass spectrometer operated by Analyst® TF 1.7, ABSciex®). Rolling collision was used with a collision energy spread of 5. Peptide identification and library generation were performed with Protein Pilot software (v5.1, ABSciex®) using the following parameters: i) search against an annotated *B. xylophilus* protein database obtained from Wormbase Parasite derived from BioProject PRJEA644379 or a combined *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* peptide database obtained from transcriptomic data; ii) acrylamide alkylated cysteines as fixed modification; iii) trypsin as digestion type. An independent False Discovery Rate (FDR) analysis using the target-decoy approach provided with Protein Pilot software was used to assess the quality of the identifications and positive identifications were considered when identified proteins and peptides reached a 5% local FDR.

FDR analysis using the normalized protein levels subjected to Log 10 transformation and statistical significance was considered for P-values < 0.05. Data normality was accessed by the Q-Q plots analysis conducted in MarkerView™ (version 1.2.1.1, ABSciex®) using multiple Student t-test analysis for comparison between experimental groups. For statistical analysis it was used the normalized protein levels subjected to Log10 transformation and statistical significance was considered for P-values < 0.05. Data normality was accessed by the Q-Q plots analysis conducted in InfernoRDN (version 1.1.5581.33355).

**Functional annotation.** Gene ontology annotations were performed using the Blast2GO 3.3.5 software based on the BLAST against the non-redundant protein database NCBI and InterPro database, using the default Blast2GO settings in each step. Gene ontology enrichment analysis of proteins increased in *B. xylophilus* (BaPE) and *B. mucronatus* (BmPE) secretomes against the total number of quantified proteins were performed using Blast2GO with the statistical Fisher’s Exact Test associated and a P-value of 0.05 as cutoff. Gene ontology annotation could be assigned to three different categories: molecular function, that describe the molecular activities of gene products, cellular component that describe where gene products are active and biological process, describing the pathways and larger processes made up of the activities of multiple gene products. MEROPS BLAST search was also done to accurately the annotation of detected peptidases and peptidase inhibitors after enrichment analysis.

**Relative transcript level by RT-qPCR.** The relative transcript abundance of four selected cysteine peptidases (CP3, CP4, CP5 and CP7) was assessed by RT-qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from nematodes. One hundred μL of TRIzol® Reagent (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) were added to sediments of *B. xylophilus* and...
21. Malagón, D., Benítez, R., Kašný, M. & Adroher, F. J. in *Pa* (Supplementary Table S7). qPCRs were done at 95 °C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C.

22. Designed using the Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) based on the *B. xylophilus* PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The amplification kinetics of each transcript was normalized with the amplification kinetics of the actin and 18S genes, chosen as endogenous controls. All primers used in qPCR were designed using the Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) based on the *B. xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* transcripts sequences, Bioprojects accession numbers PRJNA192936 and PRJEB14884, respectively (Supplementary Table S7). qPCRs were done at 95 °C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Melting curves analyses were performed and validation experiments were first carried out to ensure equivalent amplification efficiency for all transcripts from both species. The RT-qPCRs were conducted for three biological repetitions, with three technical replicates for each qPCR. Amplification efficiencies and Ct values were determined by the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR Software v2.0.4 (Applied Biosystems) and the mean Ct values used in the REST software for relative transcript level and statistically significant differences analysis using the Pair Wise Fixed Reallocation Randomization Test.
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