Finite versus zero-temperature hysteretic behavior of spin glasses: Experiment and theory
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We present experimental results attempting to fingerprint nonanalyticities in the magnetization curves of spin glasses found by Katzgraber et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 257202 (2002)] via zero-temperature Monte Carlo simulations of the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass. Our results show that the singularities at zero temperature due to the reversal-field memory effect are washed out by the finite temperatures of the experiments. The data are analyzed via the first order reversal curve (FORC) magnetic fingerprinting method. The experimental results are supported by Monte Carlo simulations of the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass at finite temperatures which agree qualitatively very well with the experimental results. This suggests that the hysteretic behavior of real Ising spin-glass materials is well described by the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass. Furthermore, reversal-field memory is a purely zero-temperature effect.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Lk, 75.40.Mg, 05.50.+q

I. INTRODUCTION

While the nonequilibrium behavior of spin glasses1,2,3 has been studied in detail and several aspects have been applied to fields as wide as biology and financial analysis, less work4,5,6,7,8 has been done to understand the hysteretic behavior of spin glasses in a time-dependent field. Because the understanding of hysteretic systems plays a prominent role in the development of magnetic recording media, understanding fundamental properties of simple hysteretic systems such as spin glasses is of paramount importance.

In Ref.8 Katzgraber et al. reported on a novel memory effect—the reversal-field memory effect—found in spin-glass systems: When the field is decreased from saturation to a reversal field \( H_R \), upon return to saturation the magnetization exhibits a singularity (kink) at \( H = -H_R \). The underlying spin-reversal symmetry of the Hamiltonian is the source of this effect which was observed first numerically at zero temperature for the two-dimensional Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass.9 In contrast, systems which do not possess spin-reversal symmetry, such as the random-field Ising model,10 do not exhibit this memory effect. Because the kinks in the magnetization curves are easily overlooked, Katzgraber et al. used the first order reversal curve (FORC) method11,12 to characterize the reversal-field memory effect. In a FORC diagram, the kinks in the magnetization curves are captured by a pronounced vertical ridge along the coercivity axis (see below).

Using the superb fingerprinting abilities of the FORC method we have attempted to experimentally detect the reversal-field memory effect in \( \text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3 \) Ising spin-glass samples. Our finite-temperature experimental results show that the reversal-field memory effect is not present. Moreover, these results are verified via finite-temperature nonequilibrium Monte Carlo simulations of the three-dimensional Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass. The numerical results show that the reversal-field memory effect can only be observed at experimentally inaccessible temperatures. The good agreement between the simulation and experiments suggest that the hysteretic properties of \( \text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3 \) can be well modeled using the short-range Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass model.

The paper is structured as follows. First we review the FORC method in Sec. II. In Sec. III we present experimental results on \( \text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3 \). In Sec. IV results of zero- and finite-temperature Monte Carlo simulations of the three-dimensional Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass are presented, followed by concluding remarks in Sec. V.

II. OUTLINE OF THE FORC METHOD

FORC diagrams11,12 which can be viewed as a model-independent generalization of Preisach diagrams13,14 complement current methods to characterize magnetic interactions in hysteretic systems15,16,17 such as the \( \delta M \) method15,16. The advantage of the FORC method over other approaches is the extreme sensitivity to microscopic details of hysteretic systems. Although the wealth of information a FORC diagram delivers still remains to be fully characterized, the method can be used as an extremely sensitive “fingerprint” of a hysteretic system.

To calculate a FORC diagram, a family of FORCs with different reversal fields \( H_R \) is measured either experimentally or numerically.20 The measurements start at saturation and the field is then reversed at different values of the reversal field \( H_R \). The mixed second-order derivative11,12 of the magnetization \( M(H, H_R) \) as a function of the applied and reversal field yields the FORC.
FIG. 1: (Color online) Zero field cooled (ZFC), field cooled (FC) and thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) of the Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ sample as a function of temperature $T$ for an applied field of $H = 5$Oe. For this experimental sample the glass transition occurs at $T_c \approx 21$ K.

Quantum Design MPMS-XL 5T SQUID (superconducting quantum interference device) magnetometer. Low-field magnetization vs temperature curves in zero field cooled (ZFC), field cooled (FC), and thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) protocols for Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ are shown in Fig. 1. The spin-glass transition temperature of Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ is $T_c \approx 21$K. These measurements are made with the applied field parallel to the $c$ axis of the sample—the corresponding curves measured perpendicular to the field show only a weakly temperature-dependent paramagnetic response, no irreversibility between the ZFC and FC magnetization and zero remanence.

In addition, Fig. 2 a magnetization ($M$) vs applied field ($H$) hysteresis curve measured at 6.5 K, the temperature of the FORC experiment described below, is shown. An extensive study of the hysteretic behavior of Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ has been reported by Ito et al. in Ref. 28.

The FORC measurements have been made at as low a temperature as possible to minimize influences on the FORC distribution from thermal relaxation effects. The temperature must, however, be high enough for the sample to have a reversible magnetization at the highest magnetic field available (5 T). The chosen working temperature of 6.5 K ($T/T_c \approx 0.3$) optimally fulfills these two requirements.

The derived FORC distribution is shown in Fig. 3. The individual FORCs behind the distribution have been measured using field steps of 80 mT and a limiting field of ±5 T. The measurements are made at a constant field increase rate governed by the time it takes to change the field and record one data point (each data point must be recorded at constant field in the SQUID). To avoid some of the influence of relaxation of the magnetization after decreasing the field from the initial high value to

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experiments have been performed on a single crystal of the Ising spin glass Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ in a
FIG. 3: (Color online) FORC diagram of Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ at $T = 6.5$ K ($T/T_c \approx 0.31$). Note that the distribution is not normalized.

$H_R$, the sample is kept for 1000 s at the reversal field before the actual recording of data during field increase starts. A preliminary report on these results is found in Ref. 29. It can be noted from Fig. 3 that there is a wide distribution of both coercivity and bias fields. The distribution shows a smooth variation with a weak maximum at a coercivity of about 1 T and a bias field close to zero; and it only slowly decays to zero from a broad ridge along the coercivity axis. In particular, the horizontal ridge reminiscent of the reversal-field memory effect along the $H_c$ axis has “melted” in comparison to the zero-temperature results from Monte Carlo simulations, and the distribution has broadened along the vertical $H_b$ axis. By comparing to Monte Carlo simulations at finite temperatures (see below) we therefore conclude that finite temperatures and therefore fluctuations of the magnetic moments disrupt the reversal-field memory effect considerably even at temperatures considerably lower than the transition temperature of the glass phase.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The experimental results presented in Sec. III do not agree with the numerical results presented in Ref. 8. In particular, the narrow ridge along the horizontal axis that captures the reversal-field memory of the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass is washed out (see Fig. 4). To better understand these findings, we simulate the three-dimensional Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass\textsuperscript{1,10} given by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} S_i S_j - H \sum_i S_i$$

(4)

at finite temperature. Here $S_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ represent Ising spins on a cubic lattice of size $N = L^3$ with periodic boundary conditions. The nearest-neighbor interactions between the spins $J_{ij}$ are chosen from a Gaussian disorder distribution with zero mean and standard deviation unity. $H$ represents an externally applied field. The model has a spin-glass transition at $T_c \approx 0.95$.\textsuperscript{20}

The zero-temperature dynamics of the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass is simulated by changing the external field $H$ in small steps starting from positive sat-
duration. After each field step we compute the local field $h_i$ of each spin

$$h_i = \sum_j J_{ij} S_j - H. \quad (5)$$

A spin is unstable if it points opposite to its local field, i.e., if $h_i S_i < 0$. Randomly chosen unstable spins are flipped and their local fields updated until all spins are stable.\[31\]

At finite temperatures the system is simulated with a Monte Carlo approach,\[32,33\] the external field is changed in small steps and for each field step the system is equilibrated using heat-bath Monte Carlo. Tests show that for 1000 Monte Carlo lattice sweeps at $T = 0.30$ we obtain hysteresis loops which are independent of Monte Carlo time within error bars. While the system is not in full equilibrium\[34\] neither are the experiments and so we argue that our results describe the intrinsic nonequilibrium nature of the finite-temperature experiments correctly. For both zero and finite temperatures we choose a saturation field $H_{\text{sat}} = 16.0$ and perform 400 field steps. The presented data for $20^3$ spins are averaged over 2000 disorder realizations.

Figure [1] shows a numerical FORC diagram at zero temperature for the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass (see Ref. [8]). The data show a pronounced ridge at $H = 0$ which is reminiscent of the reversal-field memory effect. In Fig. 5 we present data at $T = 0.30$, a temperature (in dimensionless units) which agrees with the values used in the experiments presented in Sec. IIII. The sharp horizontal ridge is completely washed out in very good qualitative agreement with the experimental results and shows that for the Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass reversal-field memory is destroyed by finite-temperature fluctuations. This suggests that the reversal-field memory effect\[35\] can only be probed at temperatures much lower than can currently be achieved experimentally.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The hysteresis behavior of spin glasses is governed by temperature-relaxation phenomena and field sweep rates dictate the width and shape of the hysteresis loop at finite temperatures. We find fundamental differences between the field-driven hysteresis derived at zero temperature by Monte Carlo simulations and the experimentally as well as numerically observed behavior at finite temperature. In particular, the FORC distributions of finite-temperature Monte Carlo simulations and experiments on Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ (an Ising spin glass material) at $T/T_c \approx 0.31$ agree qualitatively well (see Figs. 5 and 6). The zero-temperature behavior which is dominated by the reversal-field memory effect and characterized by a sharp ridge along the horizontal axis of the FORC diagram is absent at finite temperatures.

Our results therefore show that the reversal-field memory effect can only be observed at temperatures close to or equal to zero which are inaccessible experimentally with current technology. Furthermore, the qualitative agreement between the finite-temperature Monte Carlo data and the experimental results suggest that Fe$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ is well described by a (short-range) three-dimensional Edwards-Anderson Ising spin glass. It would be of interest to further characterize other materials as well as effective models attempting to describe these using the FORC method. This is of paramount importance for materials for which model Hamiltonians are currently either unknown or under debate. For example, Fe$_{0.85}$Zn$_{0.15}$F$_2$ is a diluted antiferromagnet in a field\[35,36\] which is expected to be well described by a random-field Ising model.\[5,6\] Currently experiments as well as simulations are being performed to characterize this material/model using the FORC method.
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