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Abstract

We study optimal insider control problems, i.e. optimal control problems of stochastic systems where the controller at any time $t$ in addition to knowledge about the history of the system up to this time, also has additional information related to a future value of the system. Since this puts the associated controlled systems outside the context of semimartingales, we apply anticipative white noise analysis, including forward integration and Hida-Malliavin calculus to study the problem. Combining this with Donsker delta functionals we transform the insider control problem into a classical (but parametrised) adapted control system, albeit with a non-classical performance functional. We establish a sufficient and a necessary maximum principle for such systems. Then we apply the results to obtain explicit solutions for some optimal insider portfolio problems in financial markets described by Itô-Lévy processes. Finally, in the Appendix we give a brief survey of the concepts and results we need from the theory of white noise, forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus.

1 Introduction

In this paper we present a general method for solving optimal insider control problems, i.e. optimal stochastic control problems where the controller has access to some future infor-
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mation about the system. This inside information in the control process puts the problem outside the context of semimartingale theory, and we therefore apply general anticipating white noise calculus, including forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus. Combining this with the Donsker delta functional for the random variable \( Y \) which represents the inside information, we are able to prove both a sufficient and a necessary maximum principle for the optimal control of such systems.

We then apply this machinery to the problem of optimal portfolio for an insider in a jump-diffusion financial market, and we obtain explicit expressions for the optimal insider portfolio in several cases, extending results that have been obtained earlier (by other methods) in [PK], [BØ], [DMØP2] and [ØR1].

We now explain this in more detail:

The system we consider is described by a stochastic differential equation driven by a Brownian motion \( B(t) \) and an independent compensated Poisson random measure \( \tilde{N}(dt,d\zeta) \), jointly defined on a filtered probability space \((\Omega, \mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})\) satisfying the usual conditions. We assume that the inside information is of initial enlargement type. Specifically, we assume that the inside filtration \( \mathcal{H} \) has the form

\[
\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \lor Y \tag{1.1} \]

for all \( t \), where \( Y \) is a given \( \mathcal{F}_{T_0} \)-measurable random variable, for some \( T_0 > T \) (both constants). We assume that the value at time \( t \) of our insider control process \( u(t) \) is allowed to depend on both \( Y \) and \( \mathcal{F}_t \). In other words, \( u \) is assumed to be \( \mathcal{H} \)-adapted. Therefore it has the form

\[
u(t, \omega) = u_1(t, Y, \omega) \tag{1.2} \]

for some function \( u_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( u_1(t, y) \) is \( \mathbb{F} \)-adapted for each \( y \in \mathbb{R} \). For simplicity (albeit with some abuse of notation) we will in the following write \( u \) in stead of \( u_1 \). Consider a controlled stochastic process \( X(t) = X^u(t) \) of the form

\[
\begin{align}
\frac{dX(t)}{dt} &= b(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dt + \sigma(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dB(t) \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, X(t), u(t), Y, \zeta) \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); \quad t \geq 0 \\
X(0) &= x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{align} \tag{1.3} \]

where \( u(t) = u(t, y)_{y=Y} \) is our insider control and the (anticipating) stochastic integrals are interpreted as forward integrals, as introduced in [RV] (Brownian motion case) and in [DMØP1] (Poisson random measure case). A motivation for using forward integrals in the modelling of insider control is given in [BØ].

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a given family of admissible \( \mathcal{H} \)-adapted controls \( u \). The performance functional \( J(u) \) of a control process \( u \in \mathcal{A} \) is defined by

\[
J(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(t, X(t), u(t))dt + g(X(T)) \right] \tag{1.4}
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_0^T f(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]dt + g(x(T, y), y) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] \right\} dy \right]
\]
We consider the problem to find \( u^* \in \mathcal{A} \) such that

\[
\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} J(u) = J(u^*). \tag{1.5} \quad \{\text{eq1.5}\}
\]

We use the Donsker delta functional of \( Y \) to transform this anticipating system into a classical (albeit parametrised) adapted system with a non-classical performance functional. Then we solve this transformed system by using modified maximum principles.

Here is an outline of the content of the paper:

- In Section 2 we discuss properties of the Donsker delta functional and its conditional expectation and Hida-Malliavin derivatives.
- In Section 3 we present the general insider control problem and its transformation to a more classical problem.
- In Sections 4 and 5 we present a sufficient and a necessary maximum principle, respectively, for the transformed problem.
- Then in Section 6 we illustrate our results by applying them to optimal portfolio problems for an insider in a financial market.
- Finally, in the Appendix we give a brief survey of the concepts and results we are using from white noise theory, forward integration and Hida-Malliavin calculus.

## 2 The Donsker delta functional

**Definition 2.1** Let \( Z : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) be a random variable which also belongs to \((S)^*\). Then a continuous functional

\[
\delta_Z(.) : \mathbb{R} \to (S)^* \quad \{\text{donsker}\}
\]

is called a Donsker delta functional of \( Z \) if it has the property that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z)\delta_Z(z)dz = g(Z) \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.2} \quad \{\text{donsker prop}\}
\]

for all (measurable) \( g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that the integral converges.

The Donsker delta functional is related to the regular conditional distribution. The connection is the following:

As in Chapter VI in the book by Protter \[P\], we define the regular conditional distribution with respect to \( \mathcal{F}_t \) of a given real random variable \( Y \), denoted by \( Q_t(dy) = Q_t(\omega, dy) \), by the following properties:
• For any Borel set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $Q_t(\cdot, \Lambda)$ is a version of $\mathbb{E}[1_{Y \in \Lambda} | \mathcal{F}_t]$

• For each fixed $\omega$, $Q_t(\omega, dy)$ is a probability measure on the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}$

It is well-known that such a regular conditional distribution always exists. See e.g. [B], page 79.

From the required properties of $Q_t(\omega, dy)$ we get the following formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)Q_t(\omega, dy) = \mathbb{E}[f(Y) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

Comparing with the definition of the Donsker delta functional, we obtain the following representation of the regular conditional distribution:

**Proposition 2.2** Suppose $Q_t(\omega, dy)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then the Donsker delta functional of $Y$, $\delta_Y(y)$, exists and we have

$$\frac{Q_t(\omega, dy)}{dy} = \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

A general expression, in terms of Wick calculus, for the Donsker delta functional of an Itô diffusion with non-degenerate diffusion coefficient can be found in the amazing paper [LP]. See also [MP]. In the following we present more explicit formulas the Donsker delta functional and its conditional expectation and Hida-Malliavin derivatives, for Itô - Lévy processes:

### 2.1 The Donsker delta functional for a class of Itô - Lévy processes

Consider the special case when $Y$ is a first order chaos random variable of the form

$$Y = Y(T_0); \text{ where } Y(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s)dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta), \text{ for } t \in [0, T_0]$$

(2.5)

for some deterministic functions $\beta, \psi$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{T_0} \left\{ \beta^2(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi^2(t, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) \right\} dt < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

(2.6)

We also assume that (8.4) holds throughout this paper.

In this case it is well known (see e.g. [MOP], [DO], Theorem 3.5, and [DOP]) that the Donsker delta functional exists in $\mathcal{S}^*$ and is given by

$$\delta_y(Y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) \right. \left. + \int_0^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \right] dx.$$  (2.7)
To this end, we proceed as follows:

Using the Wick rule when taking conditional expectation, using the martingale properties of the processes \( \int_0^t \langle e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 \rangle \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \) and \( \int_0^t \beta(s)dB(s) \), we get:

\[
\mathbb{E}[\delta_y(Y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^T x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \right] dx
+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{R} \{ \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta) \right) \nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \} ds - ixy \} |\mathcal{F}_t| \} dx
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{R} \{ \exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \} \circ \{ \exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^t x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \}
\times \{ \exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta) \right) \nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \} ds - ixy \} \} dx
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{R} \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} ix\psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t x\beta(s)dB(s) \right]
+ \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta) \right) \nu(d\zeta) ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) ds - ixy \} |\mathcal{F}_t| \} dx (2.8)
\]

Here we have used that (see e.g. [DØ],Lemma 3.1)

\[
\exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^T x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] = \exp \left[ \int_0^T x\beta(s)dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T x^2\beta^2(s) ds \right] \quad (2.10)
\]

and

\[
\exp^\circ \left[ \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right]
= \exp \left[ \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} ix\psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) - \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left( e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta) \right) \nu(d\zeta) \right] \quad (2.11)
\]
We proceed to find \( \mathbb{E}[D_{t,z} \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] \):

First, note that

\[
D_{t,z} \delta_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} D_{t,z} \exp \left[ \int_0^T \int_R (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^T x \beta(s) dB(s) \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T \left\{ \int_R (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s,\zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \right\} dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R \exp \left[ \int_0^T \int_R (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^T x \beta(s) dB(s) \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T \left\{ \int_R (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s,\zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \right\} dx
\]

\[
\times (e^{ix\psi(t,z)} - 1) dx
\]

(2.12)

Here we have used that

\[
D_{t,z} \int_0^T \beta(s) dB(s) = 0,
\]

which follows from our assumption that \( B \) and \( \tilde{N} \) are independent, so for \( D_{t,z} \) the random variable \( B(s) \) is like a constant.
Using equation (2.12) and the Wick chain rule we get

\[
\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_t \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_{0}^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \\
+ \int_{0}^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \times \left( e^{ix\psi(t, z)} - 1 \right) dx | \mathcal{F}_t \right]\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_{0}^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \\
+ \int_{0}^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \times \left( e^{ix\psi(t, z)} - 1 \right) dx \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\psi(s, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_{0}^{t} x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \\
+ \int_{0}^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta)ds + \int_{0}^{T_0} \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s)ds - ixy \times \left( e^{ix\psi(t, z)} - 1 \right) dx.
\] (2.13)

Now we want to find

\[
\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] :
\]

Note that:

\[
D_t \delta Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_t \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_{0}^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) \right] \\
+ \int_{0}^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \times \left( e^{ix\psi(t, z)} - 1 \right) dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{0}^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2\beta^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \times \left( e^{ix\psi(t, z)} - 1 \right) dx.
\] (2.14)
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Here we have used that
\[ D_t \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) = 0, \]
which follows from the assumption that \( B \) and \( \tilde{N} \) are independent, so for \( D_t \) the random variable \( \tilde{N}(s, \zeta) \) is like a constant.

Using equation (2.14) and the Wick chain rule we get
\[
\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp^\circ \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) + \int_0^{T_0} \{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) \} ds - ixy \right) \right] dt \right] \]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp^\circ \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^{T_0} x\beta(s)dB(s) + \int_0^{T_0} \{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) \} ds - ixy \right) \right] [\mathcal{F}_t] \right] \]
\[
\times x\beta(t) dt \]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t x\beta(s)dB(s) \right. \\
\left. + \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s,\zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) ds + \int_t^{T_0} \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) ds - ixy \right] \]
\[
\times x\beta(t) dt. \tag{2.15} \]

### 2.2 The Donsker delta functional for a Gaussian process

Consider the special case when \( Y \) is a Gaussian random variable of the form
\[
Y = Y(T_0); \text{ where } Y(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s)dB(s), \text{ for } t \in [0, T_0] \tag{2.16} \]
for some deterministic function \( \beta \in L^2[0, T_0] \) with
\[
\|\beta\|_{[0,T]}^2 := \int_t^T \beta(s)^2 ds > 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.17} \]

In this case it is well known that the Donsker delta functional is given by
\[
\delta_Y(y) = (2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\circ\left[-\frac{(Y - y)^2}{2v}\right] \tag{2.18} \]
where we have put \( v := \|\beta\|_{[0,T]}^2 \). See e.g. [AaØU], Proposition 3.2. Using the Wick rule when taking conditional expectation, using the martingale property of the process \( Y(t) \) and
applying Lemma 3.7 in [AaOU] we get
\[
\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = (2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(T_0) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(T_0) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
= (2\pi\|\beta\|^2_{[0,T_0]})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(t) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
= (2\pi\|\beta\|^2_{[t,T_0]})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(t) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t].
\] (2.19)

Similarly, by the Wick chain rule and Lemma 3.8 in [AaOU] we get, for \( t \in [0,T] \),
\[
\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = -\mathbb{E}[(2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(T_0) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(T_0) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t] \beta(t)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
= -(2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(t) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t] \beta(t) \\
= -(2\pi\|\beta\|^2_{[t,T_0]})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{\sqrt{\frac{v}{2}}(Y(t) - y)^2} \mathbb{E}[\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2v}|\mathcal{F}_t] \beta(t). 
\] (2.20)

### 2.3 The Donsker delta functional for a Poisson process

Next, assume that \( Y = Y(T_0) \), such that \( Y(t) = \tilde{N}(t) = N(t) - \lambda t \) where \( N(t) \) is a Poisson process with intensity \( \lambda > 0 \). In this case the Lévy measure is \( \nu(d\zeta) = \lambda \delta_1(d\zeta) \) since the jumps are of size 1. Comparing with (2.7) and by taking \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \psi = 1 \), we obtain
\[
\delta_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp^{i(x \cdot 1)\tilde{N}(T_0) + \lambda T_0 (e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - ixy} dx 
\] (2.21)

By using the general expressions (2.8) and (2.13) in Section 2.1, we get:
\[
\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp^{i(x \cdot \tilde{N}(t) + \lambda T_0 (e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - ixy)} dx 
\] (2.22) \{eq2.22\}

and
\[
\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp^{i(x \cdot \tilde{N}(t) + \lambda T_0(t) (e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - ixy)} (e^{ix} - 1) dx 
\] (2.23) \{eq2.23\}

### 3 The general insider optimal control problem

We now present a general method, based on the Donsker delta functional, for solving optimal insider control problems when the inside information is of *initial enlargement* type. Specifically, let us from now on assume that the inside filtration \( \mathbb{H} \) has the form
\[
\mathbb{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \text{ where } \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee Y 
\] (3.1) \{H\_t\)
for all \( t \), where \( Y \in L^2(P) \) is a given \( \mathcal{F}_{T_0} \)-measurable random variable, for some \( T_0 > T \). We also assume that \( Y \) has a Donsker delta functional \( \delta_Y(y) \in (\mathcal{S})^* \). We consider the situation when the value at time \( t \) of our insider control process \( u(t) \) is allowed to depend on both \( Y \) and \( \mathcal{F}_t \). In other words, \( u \) is assumed to be \( \mathbb{H} \)-adapted. Therefore it has the form

\[
\{ u(t) \}
\]

for some function \( u_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( u_1(t, y) \) is \( \mathbb{F} \)-adapted for each \( y \in \mathbb{R} \).

For simplicity (albeit with some abuse of notation) we will in the following write \( u \) in stead of \( u_1 \). Consider a controlled stochastic process \( X(t) = X^{u}(t) \) of the form

\[
\begin{cases}
    dX(t) = b(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dt + \sigma(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dB(t) \\
    + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, X(t), u(t), Y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); & t \geq 0 \\
    X(0) = x, & x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( u(t) = u(t, y)_{y=Y} \) is our insider control. We assume that the functions

\[
\begin{align*}
    b(t, x, u, y) &= b(t, x, u, y, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\
    \sigma(t, x, u, y) &= \sigma(t, x, u, y, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\
    \gamma(t, x, u, y, \zeta) &= b(t, x, u, y, \zeta, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}
\end{align*}
\]

are given bounded \( C^1 \) functions with respect to \( x \) and adapted processes in \((t, \omega)\) for each given \( x, y, \zeta \), and as pointed out in the Introduction we interpret the stochastic integrals as forward integrals.

Then \( X(t) \) is \( \mathbb{H} \)-adapted, and hence using the definition of the Donsker delta functional \( \delta_Y(y) \) of \( Y \) we get

\[
X(t) = x(t, Y) = x(t, y)_{y=Y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(t, y)\delta_Y(y)dy
\]

for some \( y \)-parametrized process \( x(t, y) \) which is \( \mathbb{F} \)-adapted for each \( y \). Then, again by the definition of the Donsker delta functional and the properties of forward integration (see
Appendix), we can write

\begin{align}
X(t) &= x + \int_0^t b(s, X(s), u(s), Y)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(s), u(s), Y)dB(s) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \gamma(s, X(s), u(s), Y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \\
&= x + \int_0^t b(s, x(s, Y), u(s, Y), Y)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x(s, Y), u(s, Y), Y)dB(s) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \gamma(s, x(s, Y), u(s, Y), Y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \\
&= x + \int_0^t b(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)dyds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)dydB(s) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \gamma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \\
&= x + \int_0^t [\int_0^s b(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)dB(s) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \gamma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta)]dy \\
&= x + \int_0^t \left[\int_0^s b(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y)dB(s) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \gamma(s, x(s, y), u(s, y), y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta)\right]dy \\
& \quad \{\text{eq7}\}
\end{align}

Comparing (3.5) and (3.6) we see that (3.5) holds if we choose \( x(t, y) \) for each \( y \) as the solution of the classical SDE

\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
 dx(t, y) = b(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y)dt + \sigma(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y)dB(t) \\
+ \int \gamma(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); \quad t \geq 0 \\
x(0, y) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{cases}
\end{align}

\{\text{eq8}\}

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a given family of admissible \( \mathbb{H} \)–adapted controls \( u \). The performance functional \( J(u) \) of a control process \( u \in \mathcal{A} \) is defined by

\begin{align}
J(u) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(t, X(t), u(t))dt + g(X(T))\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_\mathbb{R} \left\{ \int_0^T f(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]dt + g(x(T, y), y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]\right\}dy\right] \\
\end{align}

\{\text{3.8}\}

We consider the problem to find \( u^* \in \mathcal{A} \) such that

\begin{align}
\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} J(u) = J(u^*). \\
\end{align}

\{\text{problem}\}
4 A sufficient maximum principle

The problem is a stochastic control problem with a standard (albeit parametrized) stochastic differential equation for the state process $x(t, y)$, but with a non-standard performance functional given by (3.8). We can solve this problem by a modified maximum principle approach, as follows:

Define the Hamiltonian $H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H(t, x, y, u, p, q, r) = \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]f(t, x, u, y) + b(t, x, u, y)p + \sigma(t, x, u, y)q + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, x, u, y)r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta).$$

(4.1) \{eq11\}

Here $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the set of all functions $r(., y) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the last integral above converges. We define the adjoint processes $p(t, y), q(t, y)$ as the solution of the $y$-parametrized BSDE

$$ \begin{cases} dp(t, y) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, y)dt + q(t, y)dB(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} r(t, y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ p(T, y) = g'(x(T, y), y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] \end{cases} \quad (4.2) \{eq12\}$$

Let $J(u(., y))$ be defined by

$$ J(u(., y)) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(t, x(t, y), u(t, y), y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]dt + g(x(T, y), y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]\right] \quad (4.3) \{J(u)2\}$$

Problem 4.1 The problem now is, for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, to find $u^*(., y) \in A$ such that

$$ \sup_{u(., y) \in A} J(u(., y)) = J(u^*(., y)). \quad (4.4) \{problem3\}$$

To study this problem we present two maximum principles. The first is the following:

Theorem 4.2 [Sufficient maximum principle]

Let $\hat{u} \in A$ with associated solution $\hat{x}(t, y), \hat{p}(t, y), \hat{q}(t, y), \hat{r}(t, y, \zeta)$ of (3.7) and (4.2). Assume that the following hold:

1. $x \to g(x)$ is concave
2. $(x, u) \to H(t, x, y, u, \hat{p}(t, y), \hat{q}(t, y), \hat{r}(t, y, \zeta))$ is concave for all $t, y, \zeta$
3. $\sup_{u \in U} H(t, \hat{x}(t, y), w, \hat{p}(t, y), \hat{q}(t, y), \hat{r}(t, y, \zeta)) = H(t, \hat{x}(t, y), \hat{u}(t, y), \hat{p}(t, y), \hat{q}(t, y), \hat{r}(t, y, \zeta))$ for all $t, y, \zeta$.

Then $\hat{u}(., y)$ is an optimal insider control for problem (4.4).
Proof. By considering an increasing sequence of stopping times $\tau_n$ converging to $T$, we may assume that all local integrals appearing in the computations below are martingales and have expectation 0. See [OS2]. We omit the details.

Choose arbitrary $u(., y) \in \mathcal{A}$, and let the corresponding solution of (3.7) and (4.2) be $x(t, y)$, $p(t, y)$, $q(t, y)$. For simplicity of notation we write $f(t, y) = f(t, x(t, y), u(t, y))$, $\hat{f}(t, y) = f(t, \hat{x}(t, y), \hat{u}(t, y))$ and similarly with $b(t, y), \hat{b}(t, y), \sigma(t, y), \hat{\sigma}(t, y)$ and so on.

Moreover, we write $\tilde{f}(t, y) = f(t, y) - \hat{f}(t, y)$, $\tilde{b}(t, y) = b(t, y) - \hat{b}(t, y)$, $\tilde{x}(t, y) = x(t, y) - \hat{x}(t, y)$.

Consider

$$J(u(., y)) - J(\hat{u}(., y)) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \{f(t, y) - \hat{f}(t, y)\} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] dt\right], \quad I_2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\{g(x(T, y)) - g(\hat{x}(T, y))\} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]\right].$$

By the definition of $H$ we have

$$I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \{H(t, y) - \hat{H}(t, y) - \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{b}(t, y) - \tilde{q}(t, y)\hat{\sigma}(t, y)\} dt\right] - \int_\mathbb{R} \tilde{r}(t, y, \zeta)\tilde{\gamma}(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta)\right]\] dt].$$

Since $g$ is concave we have by (4.2)

$$I_2 \leq \mathbb{E}[g(\hat{x}(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]|x(T, y) - \hat{x}(T, y)) = \mathbb{E}[^p(T, y)\hat{x}(T, y)]]$$

and

$$I_2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \tilde{p}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{x}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y) + \int_0^T \tilde{d}(t, y)\tilde{d}(t, y)\right]$$

Adding (4.6) - (4.7) we get, by concavity of $H$,

$$J(u(., y)) - J(\hat{u}(., y)) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \{H(t, y) - \hat{H}(t, y) - \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial x}(t, y)\tilde{x}(t, y)\} dt\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial u}(t, y)\tilde{u}(t, y) dt\right]$$

$$\leq 0,$$

since $u(., y) = \hat{u}(., y)$ maximizes $\hat{H}(., y)$ at $t$. 

\[\square\]
5 A necessary maximum principle

We proceed to establish a corresponding necessary maximum principle. For this, we do not need concavity conditions, but instead we need the following assumptions about the set of admissible control values:

- **A1.** For all $t_0 \in [0, T]$ and all bounded $\mathcal{H}_{t_0}$-measurable random variables $\alpha(y, \omega)$, the control $\theta(t, y, \omega) := 1_{[t_0, T]}(t)\alpha(y, \omega)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$.

- **A2.** For all $u; \beta_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\beta_0(t, y) \leq K < \infty$ for all $t, y$ define
  \[
  \delta(t, y) = \frac{1}{2K} \text{dist}((u(t, y), \partial U) \land 1 > 0 \quad (5.1) \{\text{delta}\}
  \]
  and put
  \[
  \beta(t, y) = \delta(t, y)\beta_0(t, y) \quad (5.2) \{\beta(t, y)\}
  \]
  Then the control
  \[
  \tilde{u}(t, y) = u(t, y) + a\beta(t, y); \quad t \in [0, T]
  \]
  belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ for all $a \in (-1, 1)$.

- **A3.** For all $\beta$ as in (5.2) the derivative process
  \[
  \chi(t, y) := \left. \frac{d}{da} x^{u+a\beta}(t, y) \right|_{a=0}
  \]
  exists, and belong to $L^2(\lambda \times P)$ and
  \[
  \begin{aligned}
  d\chi(t, y) &= \left[ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}(t, y) \chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u}(t, y) \beta(t, y) \right] dt + \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}(t, y) \chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial u} \beta(t, y) \right] dB(t) \\
  &+ \int_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x}(t, y, \zeta) \chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u}(t, y, \zeta) \beta(t, y) \right] N(dt, d\zeta) \\
  \chi(0, y) &= \left. \frac{d}{da} x^{u+a\beta}(0, y) \right|_{a=0} = 0.
  \end{aligned} \quad (5.3) \{d \chi\}
  \]

**Theorem 5.1 [Necessary maximum principle]**

Let $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\frac{d}{da} J(\hat{u} + a\beta)|_{a=0} = 0$ for all bounded $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (5.2).
2. $E \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y) | \mathcal{H}_{t} \right]_{u=\hat{u}} = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

**Proof.** For simplicity of notation we write $u$ instead of $\hat{u}$ in the following.

By considering an increasing sequence of stopping times $\tau_n$ converging to $T$, we may assume
that all local integrals appearing in the computations below are martingales and have expectation 0. See [ØS2]. We omit the details.

We can write

$$
\frac{d}{da} J(u + a\beta)|_{a=0} = I_1 + I_2
$$

where

$$
I_1 = \frac{d}{da} \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T f(t, x^{u+a\beta}(t, y), u(t, y) + a\beta(t, y), y) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] dt \right]|_{a=0}
$$

and

$$
I_2 = \frac{d}{da} \mathbb{E}[g(x^{u+a\beta}(T, y), y) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]]|_{a=0}.
$$

By our assumptions on $f$ and $g$ and by (4.2) we have

$$
I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, y) \chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(t, y) \beta(t, y) \right\} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] dt \right] \tag{5.4} \{\text{iii1}\}
$$

$$
I_2 = \mathbb{E}[g'(x(T, y), y) \chi(T, y) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]] = \mathbb{E}[p(T, y) \chi(T, y)] \tag{5.5} \{\text{iii2}\}
$$
By the Itô formula

\[ I_2 = \mathbb{E}[p(T, y)\chi(T, y)] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T p(t, y)d\chi(t, y) + \int_0^T \chi(t, y)dp(t, y) + \int_0^T d[\chi, p](t, y) \right] \quad (5.6) \]

\[ = \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T p(t, y)\left\{ \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}(t, y)\chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial b}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y) \right\} dt \right. \]

\[ + \left. \int_0^T p(t, y)\left\{ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}(t, y)\chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y) \right\} dB(t) \right] \]

\[ + \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} p(t, y)\left\{ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x}(t, y, \zeta)\chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u}(t, y, \zeta)\beta(t, y) \right\} \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \]

\[ - \int_0^T \chi(t, y)\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, y)dt + \int_0^T \chi(t, y)q(t, y)dB(t) + \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \chi(t, y)r(t, y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \]

\[ + \int_0^T q(t, y)\left\{ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}(t, y)\chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y) \right\} dt \]

\[ + \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} q(t, y)\left\{ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x}(t, y, \zeta)\chi(t, y) + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u}(t, y, \zeta)\beta(t, y) \right\} r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(\zeta)dt \]

\[ = \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T \chi(t, y)\left\{ p(t, y)\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}(t, y) + q(t, y)\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}(t, y) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, y) + \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x}(t, y, \zeta)r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta) \right\} dt \right] \]

\[ + \int_0^T \beta(t, y)\left\{ p(t, y)\frac{\partial b}{\partial u}(t, y) + q(t, y)\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial u}(t, y) + \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u}(t, y, \zeta)r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta) \right\} dt \]

\[ = \mathbb{E}\left[ -\int_0^T \chi(t, y)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]dt + \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(t, y)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] \right\} \beta(t, y)dt \right] \]

\[ = -I_1 + \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y)dt \right]. \]

Summing (5.4) and (5.6) we get

\[ \frac{d}{da}J(u + a\beta)|_{a=0} = I_1 + I_2 = \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y)dt \right]. \]

we conclude that

\[ \frac{d}{da}J(u + a\beta)|_{a=0} = 0 \]

if and only if \( \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^T \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y)\beta(t, y)dt \right] = 0 \) for all bounded \( \beta \in \mathcal{A} \) of the form (5.2).

In particular, applying this to \( \beta(t, y) = \theta(t, y) \) as in A1, we get that this is again equivalent to

\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, y)|\mathcal{H}_t \right] = 0 \] for all \( t \in [0, T] \).

\[ \square \]
6 Applications

In the following we assume that
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \left( \mathbb{E}[D_t \delta Y(y) | F_t] \right)^2 + \int_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[D_{t,z} \delta Y(y) | F_t] \nu(dz)] \right] dt < \infty. \tag{6.1}
\]

6.1 Utility maximization for an insider, part 1 (N=0)

Consider a financial market where the unit price \( S_0(t) \) of the risk free asset is
\[
S_0(t) = 1, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{6.2} \quad \text{\{riskfree\}}
\]
and the unit price process \( S(t) \) of the risky asset has no jumps and is given by
\[
\begin{cases}
  dS(t) = S(t) \left[ b_0(t, Y) dt + \sigma_0(t, Y) dB(t) \right]; & t \in [0, T] \\
  S(0) > 0.
\end{cases} \tag{6.3} \quad \text{\{eq5.2\}}
\]

Then the wealth process \( X(t) = X^{\Pi}(t) \) associated to a portfolio \( u(t) = \Pi(t) \), interpreted as the fraction of the wealth invested in the risky asset at time \( t \), is given by
\[
\begin{cases}
  dX(t) = \Pi(t) X(t) \left[ b_0(t, Y) dt + \sigma_0(t, Y) dB(t) \right]; & t \in [0, T] \\
  X(0) = x_0 > 0.
\end{cases} \tag{6.4} \quad \text{\{eq5.3\}}
\]

Let \( U \) be a given utility function. We want to find \( \Pi^* \in \mathcal{A} \) such that
\[
J(\Pi^*) = \sup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}} J(\Pi), \tag{6.5} \quad \text{\{eq17\}}
\]
where
\[
J(u) := \mathbb{E}[U(X^{\Pi}(T))]. \tag{6.6} \quad \text{\{eq18\}}
\]

Note that, in terms of our process \( x(t, y) \) we have
\[
\begin{cases}
  dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y) x(t, y) \left[ b_0(t, y) dt + \sigma_0(t, y) dB(t) \right]; & t \in [0, T] \\
  x(0, y) = x_0 > 0
\end{cases} \tag{6.7} \quad \text{\{Wealth\}}
\]
and the performance functional gets the form
\[
J(\pi) = U(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta Y(y) | F_T].
\]

This is a problem of the type investigated in the previous sections (in the special case with no jumps) and we can apply the results there to solve it, as follows:
The Hamiltonian gets the form, with \( u = \pi \),
\[
H(t, x, y, \pi, p, q) = \pi x \left[ b_0(t, y) p + \sigma_0(t, y) q \right] \tag{6.8} \quad \text{\{eq19\}}
\]
while the BSDE for the adjoint processes becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
    dp(t, y) &= -\pi(t, y)[b_0(t, y)p(t, y) + \sigma_0(t, y)q(t, y)]dt + q(t, y)dB(t); \quad t \in [0, T] \\
    p(T, y) &= U'(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.9) \{eq20\}

Since the Hamiltonian \( H \) is a linear function of \( x \), it can have a finite maximum over all \( x \) only if

\[
\pi(t, y)[b_0(t, y)p(t, y) + \sigma_0(t, y)q(t, y)] = 0
\]

(6.10) \{eq21\}

Substituted into (6.9) this gives

\[
\begin{align*}
    dp(t, y) &= q(t, y)dB(t) + \int_\mathbb{R} r(t, y, \zeta) \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \\
    p(T, y) &= U'(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.11) \{eq22\}

If we assume that, for all \( t, y \),

\[
\pi(t, y) > 0
\]

(6.12) \{eq23\}

then we get from (6.10) that

\[
q(t, y) = -\frac{b_0(t, y)}{\sigma_0(t, y)}p(t, y).
\]

(6.13)

Substituting this into (6.11), we get the equation

\[
\begin{align*}
    dp(t, y) &= -\frac{b_0(t, y)}{\sigma_0(t, y)}p(t, y)dB(t) \\
    p(T, y) &= U'(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.14) \{eq25\}

Thus we obtain that

\[
p(t, y) = p(0, y) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)}dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)}\right)^2 ds\right),
\]

(6.15) \{eq26\}

for some, not yet determined, constant \( p(0, y) \). In particular, if we put \( t = T \) and use (6.14) we get

\[
U'(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] = p(0, y) \exp\left(-\int_0^T \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)}dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)}\right)^2 ds\right).
\]

(6.16) \{eq26b\}

To make this more explicit, we proceed as follows:

Define

\[
M(t, y) := \mathbb{E}[^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]
\]

(6.17)

Then by the generalized Clark-Ocone theorem

\[
\begin{align*}
    dM(t, y) &= \mathbb{E}[D_t^\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]dB(t) = \Phi(t, y)M(t, y)dB(t) \\
    M(0, y) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.18)
where
\[ \Phi(t, y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]} \] (6.19) \{eq18a\}

Solving this SDE for \( M(t) \) we get
\[ M(t) = \exp(\int_0^t \Phi(s, y) dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Phi^2(s, y) ds). \] (6.20)

Substituting this into (6.16) we get
\[ U'(x(T, y)) = p(0, y) \exp \left( \int_0^T \{ \Phi(s, y) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)} \} dB(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\Phi^2(s, y) - b_0^2(s, y)}{\sigma_0^2(s, y)} ds \right). \] (6.21) \{eq20a\}

It remains to determine the constant \( p(0, y) \):
Define
\[ \theta_0(t, y) = - \frac{b_0(t, y)}{\sigma_0(t)} \] (6.22) \{eq27\}
The Girsanov theorem gives that the measure \( Q \) defined by
\[ dQ = \Gamma(T, y) dP \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T. \] (6.23) \{eq28\}
is an equivalent local martingale measure, where \( \Gamma(t, y) = \Gamma_0(t, y) \) is given by
\[ d\Gamma(t, y) = \Gamma(t, y) \theta_0(t, y) dB(t), \quad \Gamma(0, y) = 1. \] (6.24) \{eq29\}
Note that
\[ \frac{dp(t, y)}{p(t, y)} = \frac{d\Gamma(t, y)}{\Gamma(t, y)} \] (6.25) \{eq30\}
So \( p(t, y) = p(0, y) \Gamma(t, y) \) and therefore, by (6.14),
\[ p(t, y) = \mathbb{E}[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]] \Gamma(t, y) \] (6.26) \{eq31\}
Hence
\[ p(T, y) = U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T] = \mathbb{E}[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]] \Gamma(T, y). \] (6.27) \{eq32\}
This gives
\[ U'(x(T, y)) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]} \Gamma(T, y) \] (6.28) \{eq33\}
i.e.
\[ x(T, y) = I(c \Gamma(T)) \] (6.29) \{eq34\}
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where \( I = (U')^{-1} \) and \( c = \frac{E[U'(x(T,y))\delta Y(y)|F_T]}{E[\delta Y(y)|F_T]} \).

It remains to find \( c \). We can write the differential stochastic equation of \( x(t, y) \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
    dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y)x(t, y)[b_0(t, y)dt + \sigma_0(t, y)dB(t)] \\
    x(T, y) = I(c\Gamma(T, y))
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{(6.30) \{eq35\}}
\]

If we define

\[
    z(t, y) = \pi(t, y)x(t, y)\sigma_0(t, y)
\]

then equation \( \{6.30\} \) becomes the BSDE

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
    dx(t, y) = \frac{z(t, y)b_0(t, y)}{\sigma_0}dt + z(t, y)dB(t) \\
    x(T, y) = I(c\Gamma(T, y))
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{(6.32) \{eq37\}}
\]

in the unknown \((x(t, y), z(t, y))\). The solution of this BSDE is

\[
    x(t, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t, y)}E[I(c\Gamma(T, y))\Gamma(T, y)|F_t]. \quad \text{(6.33) \{eq38\}}
\]

In particular

\[
    x(0, y) = E[I(c\Gamma(T, y))\Gamma(T, y)]. \quad \text{(6.34) \{eq39\}}
\]

This is an equation which (implicitly) determines the value of \( c \). When \( c \) is found, we have the optimal terminal wealth \( x(T, y) \) given by \( \{6.32\} \). Solving the resulting BSDE for \( z(t, y) \), we get the corresponding optimal portfolio \( \pi(t, y) \) by \( \{6.31\} \). We summarize what we have proved in the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1** The optimal portfolio \( \Pi^*(t) \) for the insider portfolio problem \( \{6.5\} \) is given by

\[
    \Pi^*(t) = \int_R \pi^*(t, y)\delta Y(y)dy = \pi^*(t, Y), \quad \text{(6.35)}
\]

where

\[
    \pi^*(t, y) = \frac{z(t, y)}{x(t, y)\sigma_0(t, y)} \quad \text{(6.36)}
\]

with \( x(t, y), z(t, y) \) given as the solution of the BSDE \( \{6.32\} \) and \( c \) given by \( \{6.34\} \).

### 6.2 The logarithmic utility case (N=0)

We now look at the special case when \( U \) is the logarithmic utility, i.e.,

\[
    U(x) = \ln x; \quad x > 0. \quad \text{(6.37)}
\]

Recall the equation for \( x(t, y) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
    dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y)x(t, y)[b_0(t, y)dt + \sigma_0(t, y)dB(t)] \\
    x(0, y) = x_0 > 0
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{(6.38) \{Wealth\}}
\]
By the Itô formula for forward integrals, we get that the solution of this equation is

\[ x(t, y) = x_0 \exp\left\{ \int_0^t \left[ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(s, y)\sigma_0^2(s, y) \right] ds + \int_0^t \pi(s, y)\sigma_0(s, y) dB(s) \right\}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.39) \{solution x(t, y)\}

Therefore,

\[ U'(x(T, y)) = \frac{1}{x(T, y)} = \frac{1}{x} \exp\left\{ -\int_0^T \pi(s, y)\sigma_0(s, y) dB(s) - \int_0^T \left[ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(s, y)\sigma_0^2(s, y) \right] ds \right\} \]

Comparing with \text{(6.21)} we try to choose \( \pi(s, y) \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{x} \exp\left\{ -\int_0^T \pi(s, y)\sigma_0(s, y) dB(s) - \int_0^T \left[ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(s, y)\sigma_0^2(s, y) \right] ds \right\} = p(0, y) \exp\left\{ -\int_0^T \{\Phi(s, y) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)}\} dB(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \{\Phi^2(s, y) - \frac{b_0^2(s, y)}{\sigma_0^2(s, y)}\} ds \right\} \}
\]

Thus we try to put

\[ p(0, y) = \frac{1}{x} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.42)

and choose \( \pi(s, y) \) such that, using \text{(6.19)},

\[
\pi(s, y)\sigma_0(s, y) = \Phi(s, y) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_s\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]} + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0(s, y)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.43)

This gives

\[
\pi(s, y) = \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\sigma_0^2(s, y)} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_s\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s][\sigma_0(s, y)]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.44) \{\pi(s, y)\}

We now verify that with this choice of \( \pi(s, y) \), also the other two terms on the exponents on each side of \text{(6.41)} coincide, i.e. that

\[
\pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(s, y)\sigma_0^2(s, y) = \Phi^2(s, y) - \frac{b_0^2(s, y)}{\sigma_0^2(s, y)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.45)

Thus we have proved the following result, which has been obtained earlier in \text{OR1} by a different method:

\textbf{Theorem 6.2} The optimal portfolio \( \Pi = \Pi^* \) with respect to logarithmic utility for an insider in the market \text{(6.2)}-\text{(6.3)} and with the inside information \text{(3.7)} is given by

\[
\Pi^*(s) = \frac{b_0(s, Y)}{\sigma_0^2(s, Y)} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_s\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_{s,y=Y}]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_{s,y=Y}][\sigma_0(s, Y)]}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T < T_0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.46) \{\pi(s, y)\}
Substituting (2.19) and (2.20) in (6.46) we obtain:

**Corollary 6.3** Suppose that $Y$ is Gaussian of the form (2.16). Then the optimal insider portfolio is given by

$$
\Pi^*(s) = \frac{b_0(s,Y(T_0))}{\sigma_0^2(s,Y(T_0))} + \frac{(Y(T_0) - Y(s))\psi(s)}{\sigma_0(s,Y(T_0))\|\psi\|_{[s,T_0]}}
$$

$$
0 \leq s \leq T < T_0.
$$

(6.47) \{eq5.52\}

In particular, if $Y = B(T_0)$ we get the following result, which was also proved in [PK], in the case when the coefficients do not depend on $Y$:

**Corollary 6.4** Suppose that $Y = B(T_0)$. Then the optimal insider portfolio is given by

$$
\Pi^*(s) = \frac{b_0(s,B(T_0))}{\sigma_0^2(s,B(T_0))} + \frac{B(T_0) - B(s)}{\sigma_0(t,Y(T_0))(T_0 - s)}
$$

$$
0 \leq s \leq T < T_0.
$$

(6.48) \{eq5.52\}

6.3 Utility maximization for an insider, part 2 (Poisson process case)

Let $N(t)$ be the Poisson process with intensity $\lambda > 0$. Consider a financial market where the unit price $S_0(t)$ of the risk free asset is

$$
S_0(t) = 1, \quad t \in [0,T]
$$

(6.49) \{riskfree’\}

and the unit price process $S(t)$ of the risky asset has no jumps and is given by

$$
\begin{cases}
    dS(t) &= S(t)[b_0(t,Y)dt + \gamma_0(t,Y)d\tilde{N}(t)]; \quad t \in [0,T_0] \\
    S(0) &= > 0
\end{cases}
$$

(6.50) \{eq5.2’\}

where $\tilde{N} = N(t) - \lambda t$ is the compensated Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. In this case the Lévy measure is $\nu(d\zeta) = \lambda \delta_1(d\zeta)$ since the jumps are with size 1. As before $Y$ is a given random variable whose value is known to the trader at any time $t \leq T < T_0$. Then the wealth process $X(t) = X^\Pi(t)$ associated to a portfolio $u(t) = \Pi(t)$, interpreted as the fraction of the wealth invested in the risky asset at time $t$, is given by

$$
\begin{cases}
    dX(t) &= \Pi(t)X(t)[b_0(t,Y)dt + \gamma_0(t,Y)d\tilde{N}(t)]; \quad t \in [0,T] \\
    X(0) &= x_0 > 0
\end{cases}
$$

(6.51) \{eq5.3’\}

Let $U$ be a given utility function. We want to find $\Pi^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
J(\Pi^*) = \sup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}} J(\Pi),
$$

(6.52) \{eq17’\}

where

$$
J(\Pi) := \mathbb{E}[U(X^\Pi(T))].
$$

(6.53) \{eq18’\}
Note that, in terms of our process \( x(t, y) \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    dx(t, y) &= \pi(t, y)x(t, y)[b_0(t, y)dt + \gamma_0(t, y)d\tilde{N}(t)]; \quad t \in [0, T] \\
    x(0, y) &= x_0(y) > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which has the solution

\[
x(t, y) = x_0(y) \exp \left( \int_0^t \{ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) + \lambda \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y)) - \lambda\pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y) \} ds \right. \\
    & \left. + \int_0^t \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y))d\tilde{N}(s) \right).
\]

The performance functional gets the form

\[
J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}[U(x(T, y))] \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T],
\]

where

\[
\Pi(t) = \pi(t, Y).
\]

This is a problem of the type investigated in the previous sections, in the special case when all the jumps are of size 1. Then the Lévy measure gets the form \( \nu(d\zeta) = \lambda \delta_1 \) and when we apply the results from the previous section we get:

The Hamiltonian becomes, with \( u = \pi, \)

\[
H(t, x, y, \pi, p, r) = \pi x[b_0(t, y)p + \lambda\gamma_0(t, y)r(t, y, 1)]
\]

while the BSDE for the adjoint processes becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
    dp(t, y) &= -\pi(t, y)[b_0(t, y)p(t, y) + \lambda\gamma_0(t, y)r(t, y, 1)]dt + r(t, y, 1)d\tilde{N}(t); \quad t \in [0, T] \\
    p(T, y) &= U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{align*}
\]

Since the Hamiltonian \( H \) is a linear function of \( x \), it can have a finite maximum over all \( x \) only if

\[
\pi(t, y)[b_0(t, y)p(t, y) + \gamma_0(t, y)\lambda r(t, y, 1)] = 0
\]

Substituted into \( (6.59) \) this gives

\[
\begin{align*}
    dp(t, y) &= r(t, y, 1)\tilde{N}(dt) \\
    p(T, y) &= U'(x(T)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{align*}
\]

If we assume that, for all \( t, y, \)

\[
\pi(t, y) > 0,
\]

then we get from \( (6.60) \) that

\[
r(t, y, 1) = -\frac{b_0(t, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(t, y)} p(t, y).
\]
Substituting this into \( (6.61) \), we get the equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
dp(t, y) &= -\frac{b_0(t, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)} p(t, y) d\tilde{N}(t) \\
p(T, y) &= U'(x(T, y)) E[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.64} \quad \{eq25'\}
\]

Thus we obtain that (see e.g. [OS1], Example 1.15)
\[
p(t, y) = p(0, y) \exp\left(\int_0^t \ln\left(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right) d\tilde{N}(s) + \lambda \int_0^t \left[\ln\left(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right] ds\right), \tag{6.65} \quad \{eq26'\}
\]
for some, not yet determined, constant \( p(0, y) \). In particular, if we put \( t = T \) and use \( (6.64) \) we get
\[
U'(x(T, y)) E[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T] = p(0, y) \exp\left(\int_0^T \ln\left(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right) d\tilde{N}(s) + \lambda \int_0^T \left[\ln\left(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right] ds\right). \tag{6.66} \quad \{eq26b'\}
\]

To make this more explicit, we proceed as follows:

Define
\[
M(t, y) := E[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] \tag{6.67}
\]
Then by the generalized Clark-Ocone theorem
\[
\begin{aligned}
dM(t, y) &= E[D_{\delta Y}(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] d\tilde{N}(t) = \Psi(t, y) M(t, y) d\tilde{N}(t) \\
M(0, y) &= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{6.68} \quad \{eq18a'\}
\]
where
\[
\Psi(t, y) = \frac{E[D_{\delta Y}(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{E[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]} \tag{6.69}
\]
Solving this SDE for \( M(t) \) we get
\[
M(t, y) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) d\tilde{N}(s) + \lambda \int_0^t \left[\ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) - \Psi(s, y)\right] ds\right). \tag{6.70}
\]
Substituting this into \( (6.66) \) we get
\[
U'(x(T, y))
\begin{aligned}
&= p(0, y) \exp\left(\int_0^T \ln(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}) - \ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) d\tilde{N}(s) \\
&+ \lambda \int_0^T \left\{\ln(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}\right\} - \ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) - \Psi(s, y)\} ds\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.71} \quad \{eq20a'\}
\]
It remains to determine the constant \( p(0, y) \):
Define
\[
\theta_0(t, y) = -\frac{b_0(t, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)}
\]  
(6.72) \{eq27’\}

The Girsanov theorem gives that the measure \( \mathbb{Q} \) defined by
\[
d\mathbb{Q} = \Gamma(T, y) d\mathbb{P} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T.
\]  
(6.73) \{eq28’\}
is an equivalent local martingale measure, where \( \Gamma(t, y) \) is given by
\[
d\Gamma(t, y) = \Gamma(t, y) \theta_0(t, y) d\tilde{N}(t), \quad \Gamma(0, y) = 1.
\]  
(6.74) \{eq29’\}
Note that
\[
\frac{dp(t, y)}{p(t, y)} = \frac{d\Gamma(t, y)}{\Gamma(t, y)}
\]  
(6.75) \{eq30’\}
So \( p(t, y) = p(0, y) \Gamma(t, y) \) and therefore, by (6.64),
\[
p(t, y) = E[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]] \Gamma(t, y)
\]  
(6.76) \{eq31’\}
Hence
\[
p(T, y) = U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T] = E[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]] \Gamma(T, y).
\]  
(6.77) \{eq32’\}
This gives
\[
U'(x(T, y)) = \frac{E[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]} \Gamma(T, y)
\]  
(6.78) \{eq33’\}
i.e.
\[
x(T, y) = I(c \Gamma(T))
\]  
(6.79) \{eq34’\}
where \( I = (U')^{-1} \) and \( c = \frac{E[U'(x(T, y)) \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_T]} \)

It remains to find \( c \). We can write the differential stochastic equation of \( x(t, y) \) as
\[
\begin{cases}
    dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y) x(t, y) [b_0(t, y) dt + \gamma_0(t, y) d\tilde{N}(t)] \\
    x(T, y) = I(c \Gamma(T, y))
\end{cases}
\]  
(6.80) \{eq35’\}
If we define
\[
k(t, y) := \pi(t, y) x(t, y) \gamma_0(t, y)
\]  
(6.81) \{eq36’\}
then equation (6.80) becomes the BSDE
\[
\begin{cases}
    dx(t, y) = \frac{b_0(t, y)}{\lambda \gamma_0(t, y)} k(t, y) \lambda dt + k(t, y) d\tilde{N}(t) \\
    x(T, y) = I(c \Gamma(T, y))
\end{cases}
\]  
(6.82) \{eq37’\}
in the unknown \((x(t, y), k(t, y))\). The solution of this BSDE is
\[
x(t, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t, y)} E[I(c \Gamma(T, y)) \Gamma(T, y) | \mathcal{F}_t].
\]  
(6.83) \{eq38’\}
In particular
\[ x(0, y) = \mathbb{E}[I(c\Gamma(T, y))\Gamma(T, y)]. \]  
(6.84) \{eq39\}

This is an equation which (implicitly) determines the value of \( c \). When \( c \) is found, we have the optimal terminal wealth \( x(T, y) \) given by \( (6.82) \). Solving the resulting BSDE for \( k(t, y) \), we get the corresponding optimal portfolio \( \pi(t, y) \) by \( (6.81) \). We summarize what we have proved in the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.5** The optimal portfolio \( \Pi^*(t) \) for the insider portfolio problem \( (6.5) \) is given by
\[ \Pi^*(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^*(t, y)\delta_Y(y)dy = \pi^*(t, Y), \]  
(6.85)
where
\[ \pi^*(t, y) = \frac{k(t, y)}{x(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y)} \]  
(6.86)
with \( x(t, y), k(t, y) \) given as the solution of the BSDE \( (6.82) \) and \( c \) given by \( (6.84) \).

### 6.4 The logarithmic utility case (Poisson process)

If \( U(x) \) is the logarithmic utility, i.e.
\[ U(x) = \ln x; x > 0, \]
then we can proceed as in the Brownian motion case to get an explicit formula for the optimal portfolio:

Recall the equation for \( x(t, y) \):
\[ \begin{cases} 
  dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y)x(t, y)[b_0(t, y)dt + \gamma_0(t, y)d\tilde{N}(t)] \\
  x(0, y) = x_0 > 0
\end{cases} \]  
(6.87) \{Wealth\}

By the Itô formula for forward integrals, we get that the solution of this equation is
\[ x(t, y) = x_0 \exp\left( \int_0^t \{\pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) + \lambda \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y)) - \lambda \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y)\}ds \right) \]
\[ + \int_0^t \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y))d\tilde{N}(ds). \]  
(6.88)

Therefore,
\[ U'(x(T, y)) = \frac{1}{x(T, y)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{x} \exp\left\{ \int_0^T \left[ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) + \lambda \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y)) - \lambda \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y) \right]ds \right\} \]
\[ - \int_0^T \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y))d\tilde{N}(ds). \]  
(6.89) \{U'(x(T, y)\}'
Comparing with (6.71) we try to choose \( \pi(s, y) \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{x} \exp\left\{ - \int_0^T \left[ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) + \lambda \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y)) - \lambda \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y) \right] ds \right. \\
- \left. \int_0^T \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y))d\tilde{N}(ds) \right\} \\
= p(0, y) \exp \left( \int_0^T \left[ \ln(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(t, y)}) - \ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) \right] d\tilde{N}(s) \right. \\
+ \lambda \int_0^T \left[ \ln(1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(t, y)}) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(t, y)} \right] - \ln(1 + \Psi(s, y)) - \Psi(s, y) \right] ds. 
\] (6.90) \{eq\text{41a'}\}

Thus we try to put

\[
p(0, y) = \frac{1}{x} 
\] (6.91)

and choose \( \pi(s, y) \) such that, using (6.69),

\[
\pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y) = \frac{1 + \Psi(s, y)}{1 - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(s, y)}} - 1 \\
= \frac{1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s+1}\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]} - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(s, y)}}{\gamma_0(s, y) - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda}} - 1 
\] (6.92)

This gives

\[
\pi(s, y) = \frac{1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s+1}\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]} - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda\gamma_0(s, y)}}{\gamma_0(s, y) - \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{\gamma_0(s, y)} 
\] (6.93) \{eq\text{6.96'}\}

We now verify that with this choice of \( \pi(s, y) \), also the other two terms on the exponents on each side of (6.90) coincide, i.e. that

\[
\lambda \psi(s, y) + \frac{b_0(s, y)}{\gamma_0(s, y)} = -\pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) + \lambda \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y). 
\] (6.94)

Thus we have proved the following result:

**Theorem 6.6** Assume that

\[
\gamma_0(s, y)(\lambda\gamma_0(s, y) - b_0(s, y)) \text{ is bounded away from 0 for all } s, y, \text{ and a.a. } \omega. 
\] (6.95)

Then the optimal portfolio \( \Pi = \Pi^* \) with respect to logarithmic utility for an insider in the market (6.49)-(6.50) and with the inside information (3.1) is given by

\[
\Pi^*(s) = \frac{1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s+1}\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]\mid_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]\mid_{y=Y}} - \frac{b_0(s, Y)}{\lambda}}{\gamma_0(s, Y) - \frac{b_0(s, Y)}{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{\gamma_0(s, Y)} \\
= \frac{\lambda\gamma_0(s, Y)\frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s+1}\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]\mid_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(t)\mid\mathcal{F}_s]\mid_{y=Y}} + b_0(s, Y)}{\gamma_0(s, Y)(\lambda\gamma_0(s, Y) - b_0(s, Y))}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T < T_0. 
\] (6.96) \{eq\text{6.96a}\}
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Substituting (2.22) and (2.23) in (6.96), we obtain

**Corollary 6.7** Let $Y = S(T_0)$, with $S(t)$ as in (6.9). Assume that

\[ \gamma_0(s, Y)(\lambda \gamma_0(s, Y) - b_0(s, Y)) \text{ is bounded away from 0 for all } s, \omega. \]  

(6.97)

Then the optimal insider portfolio is given by:

\[ \Pi^*(s) = \frac{\lambda \gamma_0(s, Y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, Y)(e^{ix} - 1)dx + b_0(s, Y)}{\gamma_0(s, Y)(\lambda \gamma_0(s, Y) - b_0(s, Y))} \]  

(6.98)

where

\[ F(x, Y) = \exp \left[ ix\tilde{N}(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - ixY \right] \]  

(6.99)

### 6.5 The general Itô-Lévy process case

We now extend the financial applications in the previous sections to the general case with both a Brownian motion component $B(t)$ and a compensated Poisson random measure component $\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) = N(dt, d\zeta) - \nu(d\zeta)dt$, as in Section 2. Thus we consider a financial market where the unit price $S_0(t)$ of the risk free asset is

\[ S_0(t) = 1, \quad t \in [0, T] \]  

(6.100)  

and the unit price process $S(t)$ of the risky asset is given by

\[
\begin{cases}
    dS(t) = S(t)[b_0(t, Y)dt + \sigma_0(t, Y)dB(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(t, Y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta)]; & t \in [0, T] \\
    S(0) > 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(6.101)

(6.101)

Then the wealth process $X(t) = X^\Pi(t)$ associated to a portfolio $u(t) = \Pi(t)$, interpreted as the fraction of the wealth invested in the risky asset at time $t$, is given by

\[
\begin{cases}
    dX(t) = \Pi(t)X(t)[b_0(t, Y)dt + \sigma_0(t, Y)dB(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(t, Y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta)]; & t \in [0, T] \\
    X(0) = x_0 > 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(6.102)

(6.102)

Let $U$ be a given utility function. We want to find $\Pi^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

\[ J(\Pi^*) = \sup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}} J(\Pi), \]  

(6.103)

where

\[ J(\Pi) := \mathbb{E}[U(X^\Pi(T))]. \]  

(6.104)
In this case the Hamiltonian (6.105) gets the form
\begin{equation}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
dx(t, y) = \pi(t, y)x(t, y)b_0(t, y)dt + \sigma_0(t, y)dB(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(t, y, \zeta) \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); \quad t \in [0, T] \\
x(0, y) = x_0(y) > 0,
\end{array} \right.
\end{equation}
(6.105) \{\text{Wealth7}\}

which has the solution
\[
x(t, y) = x_0(y) \exp \left( \int_0^t \{ \pi(s, y)b_0(s, y) - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2(s, y)\sigma_0^2(s) \\
+ \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y, \zeta)) - \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y, \zeta)]\nu(d\zeta) \} ds \\
+ \int_0^t \pi(s, y)\sigma_0(s, y)dB(s) \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 + \pi(s, y)\gamma_0(s, y, \zeta))\tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right).
\]
(6.106) \{eq7.7\}

The performance functional gets the form
\[
J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}[U(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]],
\]
(6.107) \{eq7.8\}

where
\[
\Pi(t) = \pi(t, Y).
\]
(6.108) \{eq7.9\}

In this case the Hamiltonian (6.105) gets the form
\[
H(t, x, y, \pi, p, q, r) = \pi x[b_0(t, y)p + \sigma_0(t, y)q + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta)],
\]
(6.109) \{eq7.10\}

while the BSDE for the adjoint processes becomes
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
dp(t, y) = -\pi(t, y)[b_0(t, y)p(t, y) + \sigma_0(t, y)q(t, y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)r(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta)]dt \\
+ q(t, y)dB(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} r(t, y, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); \quad t \geq 0 \\
p(T, y) = U'(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]
\end{array} \right.
\]
(6.110) \{eq7.11\}

If \(U(x)\) is the logarithmic utility, i.e.
\[
U(x) = \ln x; x > 0,
\]
then
\[
\mathbb{E}[\ln(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{ \int_0^T \{ \pi(t, y)b_0(t, y) - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2(t, y)\sigma_0^2(t) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)) - \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)]\nu(d\zeta) \} dt \\
+ \int_0^T \pi(t, y)\sigma_0(t, y)dB(t) \\
+ \int_0^T \ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta))\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \right\} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]\right] \{6.111\}
\]
We now use the duality formulas, Theorem (8.10) and Theorem (9.5). This enables us to write (6.111) as the expectation of a ds-integral and we get:

\[
\mathbb{E}[\ln(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \{\pi(t, y)b_0(t, y) - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2(t, y)\sigma_0^2(t)\} dt\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)) - \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta) dt\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[D_t\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]]\pi(t, y)\sigma_0(t, y) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[D_t\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]]\ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) dt\right] \tag{6.112}
\]

Note that

\[D_t\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] = \mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] \tag{6.113}\]

and

\[D_{t, \zeta}\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T] = \mathbb{E}[D_{t, \zeta}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_T]. \tag{6.114}\]

Therefore, if we substitute this in (6.112) and take for each \(t\) the conditional expectation with respect to \(\mathcal{F}_t\) of the integrand, we get

\[
\mathbb{E}[\ln(x(T, y))\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \{\pi(t, y)b_0(t, y) - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2(t, y)\sigma_0^2(t)\} dt\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)) - \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta) dt\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[D_t\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]]\pi(t, y)\sigma_0(t, y) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[D_t\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]]\ln(1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta) dt\right] \tag{6.115}
\]

We can maximize this by maximizing the integrand with respect to \(\pi(t, y)\) for each \(t\) and \(y\). Doing this we obtain that the optimal portfolio \(\pi(t, y)\) for Problem (6.103) is given implicitly as the solution \(\pi(t, y)\) of the first order condition

\[
[b_0(t, y) - \pi(t, y)\sigma_0^2(t, y)]\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \sigma_0(t, y)\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi(t, y)\gamma_0^2(t, y, \zeta)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] \frac{\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)}{1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)} \mathbb{E}[D_{t, \zeta}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = 0. \tag{6.116} \{\text{eq7.14}\}
\]

If we define

\[
\Phi(t, y) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]} \tag{6.117} \{\text{eq8.18}\}
\]
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and
\[ \Psi(t, \zeta, y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.118) \{eq8.19\}

then (6.116) can be written
\begin{align*}
& b_0(t, y) - \pi(t, y)\sigma_0^2(t, y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\pi(t, y)\gamma_0^2(t, y, \zeta)}{1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)} \nu(d\zeta) \\
& + \sigma_0(t, y)\Phi(t, y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)}{1 + \pi(t, y)\gamma_0(t, y, \zeta)} \Psi(t, y, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) = 0. \hspace{1cm} (6.119) \{eq7.20\}
\end{align*}

Thus we have proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.8** The optimal portfolio with respect to logarithmic utility for an insider in the market (6.100)-(6.101) and with the inside information (3.1) is given implicitly as the solution \( \Pi(t) = \Pi^*(t) \) of the equation
\begin{align*}
& b_0(t, Y) - \Pi(t)\sigma_0^2(t, Y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Pi(t)\gamma_0^2(t, Y, \zeta)}{1 + \Pi(t)\gamma_0(t, Y, \zeta)} \nu(d\zeta) \\
& + \sigma_0(t, y)\Phi(t, Y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma_0(t, Y, \zeta)}{1 + \Pi(t)\gamma_0(t, Y, \zeta)} \Psi(t, Y, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) = 0, \hspace{1cm} (6.120) \{eq7.15\}
\end{align*}
provided that a solution exists.

The equation (6.120) for the optimal portfolio \( \Pi(t) \) holds for a general insider random variable \( Y \). In the case when \( Y \) is of the form (2.5), then we can substitute (2.8), (2.15) and (2.13) in (6.117) and (6.118), and get a more explicit equation as follows:

**Theorem 6.9** Suppose \( Y \) is as in (2.5). Then in the equation (6.120) for the optimal portfolio \( \pi(t, y) \) we have
\begin{align*}
\Phi(t, y) &= \frac{\beta(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dx} \hspace{1cm} (6.121) \\
\Psi(t, y, z) &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y)(e^{ix\psi(t,z)} - 1) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dx} \hspace{1cm} (6.122)
\end{align*}

where
\begin{align*}
F(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t x\beta(s) dB(s) \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\psi(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\psi(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} x^2 \beta^2(s) ds - ixy \right] dx. \hspace{1cm} (6.124)
\end{align*}
7 Appendix

For the convenience of the reader, we give in this Appendix a brief survey of the main concepts and results from the theory of Hida-Malliavin calculus and white noise analysis needed in the previous sections. For more details see e.g. [BBS], [DØP], [?], [HØUZ], [ØR2] and the references therein.

7.1 The White Noise Probability Space and Hida-Malliavin Calculus for Brownian motion

Let $S(\mathbb{R})$ be the Schwartz space consisting of all real-valued rapidly decreasing functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x^n f^{(k)}(x)| = 0, \quad \forall n, k \geq 0 \quad (7.1)
$$

For instance $C^\infty$ functions with compact support $e^{-x^2}, e^{-x^4}, \ldots$ are all functions in $S(\mathbb{R})$. For any $n, k \geq 0$, define a norm $\|f\|_{n,k}$ on $S(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\|f\|_{n,k} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^n f^{(k)}(x)|. \quad (7.2)
$$

Then $(S(\mathbb{R}), \{\|\cdot\|_{n,k} \mid n, k \geq 0\})$ is a topological space. In fact, it is a nuclear space.

Let $S'(\mathbb{R})$ be the dual space of $S(\mathbb{R})$, the space of tempered distributions. Let $B$ denote the family of all Borel subsets of $S(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with the weak topology.

**Theorem 7.1** (Minlos) Let $E$ be a nuclear space with dual space $E^*$. A complex-valued function $\phi$ on $E$ is the characteristic functional of a probability measure $\nu$ on $E^*$, i.e.,

$$
\phi(y) = \int_{E^*} e^{i(x,y)} d\nu(x), \quad y \in E \quad (7.3)
$$

if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\phi(0) = 1$,
2. $\phi$ is positive definite,
3. $\phi$ is continuous.

**Remark 7.2** The measure $\nu$ is uniquely determined by $\phi$. Observe that $\phi(0) = \nu(E^*)$. Thus when condition (1) is not assumed then $\nu$ is a finite measure.

Let $\phi$ be a function on $S(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
\phi(\xi) = \exp -\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in S(\mathbb{R})
$$
where $|.|$ is the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm. Then it is easy to check that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. To check condition (3) note that

$$|\xi|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(x)|^2 dx = \int_{|x|<1} |\xi(x)|^2 dx + \int_{|x|\geq 1} |\xi(x)|^2 dx \leq 2 \sup_{|x|<1} |\xi(x)|^2 + \int_{|x|\geq 1} \frac{1}{x^2} |x\xi(x)|^2 dx \leq 2\|\xi\|^2_{0,0} + \sup_{|y|\geq 1} |y\xi(y)|^2 \int_{|x|\geq 1} \frac{1}{x^2} dx \leq 2\|\xi\|^2_{0,0} + 2\|\xi\|^2_{1,0}$$

This shows that $\phi$ is continuous. Therefore, by the Minlos theorem there exists a unique probability measure $P$ on $S'(\mathbb{R})$ such that

**Definition 7.3** The measure $P$ is called the standard Gaussian measure on $S'(\mathbb{R})$. The probability space $(S'(\mathbb{R}), B, P)$ is called a white noise space.

The Schwartz distribution theory on the space $\mathbb{R}$ concerns with the following Gel’fand triple

$$S(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset S'(\mathbb{R}) \quad (7.4) \quad \{\text{Gelfand1}\}$$

### 7.2 The Wiener Itô chaos expansion

Let the Hermite polynomials $h_n(x)$ be defined by

$$h_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n}(e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

Let $e_k$ be the $k$th Hermite function defined by

$$e_k(x) := \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}((k-1)!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} h_{k-1}(\sqrt{2}x), \quad k = 1, 2, ... \quad (7.5) \quad \{\text{hermite function}\}$$

Then $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ constitutes an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $e_k \in S(\mathbb{R})$ for all $k$. Define

$$\theta_k(\omega) := \langle \omega, e_k \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_k(x) dB(x, \omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega \quad (7.6) \quad \{\text{theta}_k\}$$

Let $J$ denote the set of all finite multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m), m = 1, 2, ..., \text{ of non-negative integers } \alpha_i$. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m) \in J, \alpha \neq 0$, we put

$$H_\alpha(\omega) := \prod_{j=1}^{m} h_{\alpha_j}(\theta_j(\omega)), \quad \omega \in \Omega \quad (7.7) \quad \{H_{\alpha}\}$$
By a result of Itô we have that

\[ I_m(e^{\hat{\otimes} \alpha}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} h_{\alpha_j}(\theta_j) = H_{\alpha}. \]  

(7.8)  \{I_m\}

We set \( H_0 := 1 \). Here and in the sequel the functions \( e_1, e_2, \ldots \) are defined in (7.5) and \( \otimes \) and \( \hat{\otimes} \) denote the tensor product and the symmetrized tensor product, respectively. The family \( \{H_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \) is an orthogonal basis for the Hilbert space \( L^2(\mathbb{P}) \). In fact, we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.4**  The Wiener Itô chaos expansion theorem. The family \( \{H_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \) constitutes an orthogonal basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{P}) \). More precisely, for all \( F_T \)-measurable \( X \in L^2(\mathbb{P}) \) there exist (uniquely determined) numbers \( c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[ X = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{P}). \]  

(7.9)  \{decomposition\}

Moreover, we have

\[ \|X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha! c_{\alpha}^2. \]  

(7.10)  \{Norm1\}

Let us compare Theorem (7.4) with the equivalent formulation of this theorem in terms of iterated Itô integrals. In fact, if \( \psi(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \) is a real symmetric function in its \( n \) variables \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \) and \( \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \), that is,

\[ \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)|^2 dt_1 dt_2 \ldots dt_n \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \]

then its \( n \)-tuple Itô integral is defined by

\[ I_n(\psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi dB^{\otimes n} = n! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t_n} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} \psi(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) dB(t_1)dB(t_2)\ldots dB(t_n), \]

where the integral on the right-hand side consists of \( n \) iterated Itô integrals. Note that the integrand at each step is adapted to the filtration \( \mathbb{F} \). Applying the Itô isometry \( n \) times we see that

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi dB^{\otimes n} \right)^2 \right] = n! \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \]

For \( n = 0 \) we adopt the convention that

\[ I_0(\psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^0} \psi dB^{\otimes 0} = \psi = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^0)}, \]

for \( \psi \) constant. Let \( \tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) denote the set of symmetric real functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), which are square integrable with respect to Lebesque measure. Then we have the following result
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Theorem 7.5 The Wiener Itô chaos expansion theorem. For all $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable $X \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ there exist (uniquely determined) functions $f_n \in \tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n dB^\otimes n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$$

(7.11) \{decomposition\}

Moreover, we have the isometry

$$\|X\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

(7.12) \{isometry\}

The connection between these two expansions in Theorem (7.4) and Theorem (7.5) is given by

$$f_n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}, |\alpha| = n} c_{\alpha} e_1^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes e_2^{\otimes \alpha_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_m^{\otimes \alpha_m}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in \mathcal{J}, m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Recall that the functions $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ are defined in (7.5) and $\otimes$ and $\hat{\otimes}$ denote the tensor product and the symmetrized tensor product, respectively. Note that since $H_{\alpha} = I_m(e_1^{\hat{\otimes} \alpha})$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}, |\alpha| = m$, we get that

$$m! \|e_1^{\hat{\otimes} \alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)}^2 = \alpha!$$

(7.13) \{identity\}

by combining (7.10) and (7.12) for $X = X_\alpha$.

Analogous to the test functions $S(\mathbb{R})$ and the tempered distributions $S'(\mathbb{R})$ on the real line $\mathbb{R}$, there is a useful space of stochastic test functions $(S)$ and a space of stochastic distributions $(S')$ on the white noise probability space.

In the following we use the notation

$$(2N)^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{m} (2j)^{\alpha_j}$$

(7.14) \{notation\}

7.3 The Kondratiev Spaces $(S)_1$, $(S)_{-1}$ and the Hida Spaces $(S)$ and $(S)^*$

Definition 7.6 Let $\rho$ be a constant in $[0, 1]$.

1. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha H_{\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ belongs to the Kondratiev test function Hilbert space $(S)_{k, \rho}$ if

$$\|f\|_{k, \rho}^2 := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha^2 (\alpha!)^{1+\rho} (2N)^{\alpha k} < \infty.$$ 

(7.15) \{(S)_{k, \rho} norm\}

We define the Kondratiev test function space $(S)_{\rho}$ as the space

$$(S)_{\rho} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{R}} (S)_{k, \rho}$$

equipped with the projective topology, that is, $f_n \to f, n \to \infty$, in $(S)_{\rho}$ if and only if $\|f_n - f\|_{k, \rho} \to 0, n \to \infty$, for all $k$. 35
2. Let \( q \in \mathbb{R} \). We say that the formal sum \( F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} b_\alpha H_\alpha \) belongs to the Kondratiev stochastic distribution space \( (S)_{-q,-\rho} \) if

\[
\|f\|_{-q,-\rho}^2 := \sum_{\alpha \in J} b_\alpha^2 (\alpha!)^{1-\rho} (2N)^{-\alpha q} < \infty.
\]  

We define the Kondratiev distribution space \( (S)_{-\rho} \) by

\[
(S)_{-\rho} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (S)_{-q,-\rho}
\]

equipped with the inductive topology, that is, \( F_n \to F, n \to \infty \), in \( (S)_{-\rho} \) if and only if there exists \( q \) such that \( \|F_n - F\|_{-q,-\rho} \to 0, n \to \infty \).

3. If \( \rho = 0 \) we write \( (S)_0 = (S) \) and \( (S)_{-0} = (S)^* \). (Note that if \( F \in L^2(P) \), then the generalized expectation coincides with the usual expectation, since \( \mathbb{E}[H_\alpha] = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \neq 0 \)).

Note that \( (S)_{-1} \) is the dual of \( (S)_1 \) and \( (S)^* \) is the dual of \( (S) \). The action of \( F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} b_\alpha H_\alpha \in (S)_{-1} \) on \( f = \sum_{\alpha \in J} a_\alpha H_\alpha \in (S)_1 \) is given by

\[
\langle F, f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha! a_\alpha b_\alpha.
\]

We have the inclusion

\[
(S)_1 \subset (S) \subset L^2(P) \subset (S)^* \subset (S)_{-1}.
\]

### 7.4 The Spaces \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathcal{G}^* \).

We now introduce another pair of dual spaces, \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathcal{G}^* \), which is sometimes useful.

**Definition 7.7** 1. Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). Then the space \( \mathcal{G}_\lambda \) consists of all formal expansions

\[
X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n dB^{\otimes n}
\]

such that

\[
\|X\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! e^{2\lambda n} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
For each \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), the space \( G_\lambda \) is a Hilbert space with inner product
\[
(X, Y)_{G_\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! e^{2\lambda n} (f_n, g_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\]  
for every
\[
X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n dB^\otimes_n, \quad Y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_n dB^\otimes_n.
\]
Note that \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \) implies \( G_{\lambda_2} \subset G_{\lambda_1} \). Define
\[
G = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} G_\lambda = \bigcap_{\lambda > 0} G_\lambda,
\]
with projective limit topology.

2. \( G^* \) is defined to be the dual of \( G \). Hence
\[
G^* = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} G_\lambda = \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} G_\lambda,
\]
with inductive limit topology.

Remark 7.8 Note that an element \( Y \in G^* \) can be represented as a formal sum
\[
Y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_n dB^\otimes_n
\]
where \( g_n \in \tilde{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \| Y \|_{G_\lambda} < \infty \) for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), while an \( X \in G \) satisfies \( \| Y \|_{G_\lambda} < \infty \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).

If \( X \in G \) and \( Y \in G^* \), have the representations (7.19) and (7.24), respectively, then the action of \( Y \) on \( X \), \( \langle Y, X \rangle \), is given by
\[
\langle Y, X \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! (f_n, g_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]
One can show that
\[
(S) \subset G \subset L^2(\mathbb{P}) \subset G^* \subset (S)^*.
\]
Finally, we note that, since
\[
H_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\hat{\alpha} \otimes} dB^\otimes = I_n(e^{\hat{\alpha}}),
\]
with \( \alpha \in \mathcal{J}, \| \alpha \| = n \), we get
\[
\| H_\alpha \|_{G_\lambda}^2 = n! e^{2\lambda n} \| e^{\hat{\alpha}} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \alpha! e^{2\lambda n},
\]
by (7.13). Therefore, for \( F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} c_\alpha H_\alpha \in G^* \), we have
\[
\| F_\lambda \|_{G_\lambda}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} c_\alpha^2 \alpha! e^{2\lambda |\alpha|}
\]
for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).
7.5 The Hida-Malliavin derivative

**Definition 7.9** 1. Let $F \in L^2(P)$ and let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be deterministic. Then the directional derivative of $F$ in $(S)^*$ in the direction $h$ is defined by

$$D_h F(X) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [F(X + \epsilon h) - F(X)].$$

whenever the limit exists in $(S)^*$.

2. Suppose there exists a function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to (S)^*$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) h(t) dt$ converge in $(S)^*$ and

$$D_h F = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) h(t) dt, \quad \text{for all } h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

then we say that $F$ is Hida-Malliavin differentiable in $(S)^*$ and we write

$$\psi(t) = D_t F, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ We call $D_t F$ the Hida-Malliavin derivative in $(S)^*$ or the stochastic gradient of $F$ at $t$.

3. More generally, if $F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} b_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \in (S)_{-1}$ we define the Hida-Malliavin derivative of $F$ at $t$ by the expansion

$$D_t F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{\alpha} \alpha_k e_k(t) H_{\alpha - \epsilon^{(k)}}$$

In [AaOPU] $D_t$ it was shown that this is an extension from the space $D_{1,2}$ to $(S)_{-1}$ where $D_{1,2}$ denotes the classical space of Hida-Malliavin differentiable $F_T$-measurable random variables. The extension is such that for all $F \in L^2(F_T, P)$, the following holds:

**Theorem 7.10** 1. Let $F \in (S)_{-1}$. Then $D_t F \in (S)_{-1}$ for all $t$.

2. Let $F \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Then $D_t F \in \mathcal{G}^*$ and $E[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathcal{G}^*$.

3. Let $F \in L^2(F_T, P)$. Then $D_t F \in (S)^*$.

4. The map $(t, \omega) \mapsto E[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]$ belongs to $L^2(F_T, \lambda \times P)$, where $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$.

5. Moreover, the following generalized Clark-Ocone theorem holds:

$$F = E[F] + \int_{0}^{T} E[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t] dB(t)$$

Notice that by combining Itô’s isometry with the Clark-Ocone theorem, we obtain

$$E[\int_{0}^{T} E[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]^2 dt] = E[(\int_{0}^{T} E[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t] dB(t))^2] = E[\text{Var}(F)]$$
As observed in [AO] and [DMOR], we can apply the generalized Clark-Ocone theorem to show that:

**Theorem 7.11**  (Generalized duality formula)
Let $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T, P)$ and let $\phi(t) \in L^2(\lambda \times P)$ be adapted. Then
\[
\mathbb{E}[F \int_0^T \phi(t) dB(t)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t] \phi(t) dt\right]
\] (7.32) {duality D_t}

**Theorem 7.12**  Ordinary chain rule.

1. Let $F \in L^2(P)$ such that $F = \int_\mathbb{R} f(t) dB(t)$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $F$ is Hida-Malliavin differentiable and
\[
D_t \int_\mathbb{R} f(s) dB(s) = f(t), \text{ t.a.a.}  
\] (7.33)

2. Let $F \in L^2(P)$ be Hida-Malliavin differentiable in $L^2(P)$ for a.a. $t$. Suppose that $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi'(F) D_t F \in L^2(P \times \lambda)$. Then $\phi(F)$ is Hida-Malliavin differentiable and we have
\[
D_t(\phi(F)) = \phi'(F) D_t F,  
\] (7.34)

### 7.6 The Wick Product

In addition to a canonical vector space structure, the spaces $(S)$ and $(S)^*$ also have a natural multiplication given by the Wick product.

**Definition 7.13**  Let $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$ and $Y = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}} b_{\beta} H_{\beta}$ be two elements of $(S)^*$. Then we define the Wick product of $X$ and $Y$ by
\[
X \diamond Y = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}} a_{\alpha} b_{\beta} H_{\alpha + \beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{J}} \left( \sum_{\alpha + \beta = \gamma} a_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \right) H_{\gamma} 
\]
For example we have
\[
B(t) \diamond B(t) = B^2(t) - t  
\] (7.35)
and more generally
\[
(\int_\mathbb{R} \phi(s) dB(s)) \diamond (\int_\mathbb{R} \psi(s) dB(s)) = (\int_\mathbb{R} \phi(s) dB(s)) (\int_\mathbb{R} \psi(s) dB(s)) - \int_\mathbb{R} \phi(s) \psi(s) ds  
\] (7.36)
for all $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We list some properties of the Wick product:

1. $X, Y \in (S)_1 \Rightarrow X \diamond Y \in (S)_1$.
2. $X, Y \in (S)_{-1} \Rightarrow X \diamond Y \in (S)_{-1}$.
3. $X, Y \in (S) \Rightarrow X \diamond Y \in (S)$.  
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4. \( X \diamond Y = Y \diamond X \).

5. \( X \diamond (Y \diamond Z) = (X \diamond Y) \diamond Z \).

6. \( X \diamond (Y + Z) = X \diamond Y + X \diamond Z \).

7. \( I_n(f_n) \diamond I_m(g_m) = I_{n+m}(f_n \otimes g_m) \)

In view of the properties (1) and (4) we can define the Wick powers \( X^{\diamond n} \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) of \( X \in (S)_{-1} \) as

\[
X^{\diamond n} := X \diamond X \diamond \ldots \diamond X \quad \text{\(\text{n times}\)}.
\]

We put \( X^{\diamond 0} := 1 \). Similarly, we define the Wick exponential \( \exp^\diamond X \) of \( X \in (S)_{-1} \) by

\[
\exp^\diamond X := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} X^{\diamond n} \in (S)_{-1} \quad (7.37)
\]

In view of the aforementioned properties, we have that

\[
(X + Y)^{\diamond 2} = X^{\diamond 2} + 2X \diamond Y + Y^{\diamond 2} \quad (7.38)
\]

and also

\[
\exp^\diamond (X + Y) = \exp^\diamond X \diamond \exp^\diamond Y, \quad (7.39)
\]

for \( X, Y \in S_{-1} \). Let \( \mathbb{E}[\cdot] \) denote the generalized expectation. Then we see that

\[
\mathbb{E}[X \diamond Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y], \quad (7.40)
\]

for \( X, Y \in (S)_{-1} \). Note that independence is not required for this identity to hold. By induction, it follows that

\[
\mathbb{E}[\exp^\diamond X] = \exp \mathbb{E}[X], \quad (7.41)
\]

for \( X \in (S)_{-1} \).

**Theorem 7.14 Wick chain rule.**

1. Let \( F, G \in (S)_{-1} \). Then \( F \diamond G \in (S)_{-1} \) and

\[
D_t(F \diamond G) = F \diamond D_tG + D_tF \diamond G, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.42)
\]

2. Let \( F \in (S)_{-1} \). Then

\[
D_t(F^{\diamond n}) = nF^{\diamond (n-1)} \diamond D_tF \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots). \quad (7.43)
\]

3. Let \( F \in (S)_{-1} \). Then

\[
\exp^\diamond F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} F^{\diamond n} \in (S)_{-1} \quad (7.44)
\]

and

\[
D_t \exp^\diamond F = \exp^\diamond F \diamond D_tF. \quad (7.45)
\]
7.7 Conditional expectation

If $F = \sum_{a \in J} b_a H_a \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$, we define its conditional expectation by the expansion

$$E[F | \mathcal{F}_t] := \sum_{a \in J} b_a E[H_a | \mathcal{F}_t]$$  (7.46)

Then the following holds:

1. If $F, G \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$, then $E[(F \circ G) | \mathcal{F}_t] \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$ and

$$E[(F \circ G) | \mathcal{F}_t] = E[F | \mathcal{F}_t] \circ E[G | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

2. If $F, G \in (\mathcal{G})^*$, then $E[(F \circ G) | \mathcal{F}_t] \in (\mathcal{G})^*$.

7.8 The forward integral with respect to Brownian motion

The forward integral with respect to Brownian motion was first defined in the seminal paper [RV] and further studied in [RV1], [RV2]. This integral was introduced in the modeling of insider trading in [BØ] and then applied by several authors in questions related to insider trading and stochastic control with advanced information (see, e.g., [DMØP2]).

Definition 7.15 We say that a stochastic process $\phi = \phi(t), t \in [0, T]$, is forward integrable (in the weak sense) over the interval $[0, T]$ with respect to $B$ if there exists a process $I = I(t), t \in [0, T]$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} | \int_0^t \phi(s) \frac{B(s+\epsilon) - B(s)}{\epsilon} ds - I(t) | \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$  (7.47)

in probability. In this case we write

$$I(t) := \int_0^t \phi(s) d^- B(s), t \in [0, T],$$  (7.48)

and call $I(t)$ the forward integral of $\phi$ with respect to $B$ on $[0, t]$.

The following results give a more intuitive interpretation of the forward integral as a limit of Riemann sums.

Lemma 7.16 Suppose $\phi$ is càglàd and forward integrable. Then

$$\int_0^T \phi(s) d^- B(s) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} \phi(t_{j-1})(B(t_j) - B(t_{j-1}))$$  (7.49)

with convergence in probability. Here the limit is taken over the partitions $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{J_n} = T$ of $t \in [0, T]$ with $\Delta t := \max_{j=1,...,J_n} (t_j - t_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. 41
Remark 7.17 From the previous lemma we can see that, if the integrand \( \phi \) is \( \mathcal{F} \)-adapted, then the Riemann sums are also an approximation to the Itô integral of \( \phi \) with respect to the Brownian motion. Hence in this case the forward integral and the Itô integral coincide. In this sense we can regard the forward integral as an extension of the Itô integral to a nonanticipating setting.

In the sequel we give some useful properties of the forward integral. The following result is an immediate consequence of the definition.

**Lemma 7.18** Suppose \( \phi \) is a forward integrable stochastic process and \( G \) a random variable. Then the product \( G\phi \) is forward integrable stochastic process and

\[
\int_0^T G\phi(t)dB(t) = G \int_0^T \phi(t)dB(t)
\]

(7.50)

The next result shows that the forward integral is an extension of the integral with respect to a semimartingale.

**Lemma 7.19** Let \( \mathcal{G} := \{\mathcal{G}_t, t \in [0,T]\}(T > 0) \) be a given filtration. Suppose that

1. \( B \) is a semimartingale with respect to the filtration \( \mathcal{G} \).

2. \( \phi \) is \( \mathcal{G} \)-predictable and the integral

\[
\int_0^T \phi(t)dB(t),
\]

(7.51)

with respect to \( B \), exists.

Then \( \phi \) is forward integrable and

\[
\int_0^T \phi(t)dB(t) = \int_0^T \phi(t)dB(t),
\]

(7.52)

We now turn to the Itô formula for forward integrals. In this connection it is convenient to introduce a notation that is analogous to the classical notation for Itô processes.

**Definition 7.20** A forward process (with respect to \( B \)) is a stochastic process of the form

\[
X(t) = x + \int_0^t u(s)ds + \int_0^t v(s)d^-B(s), \quad t \in [0,T],
\]

(7.53) \{forward form \( x \) constant), where \( \int_0^T |u(s)|ds < \infty, \text{P-a.s.} \) and \( v \) is a forward integrable stochastic process. A shorthand notation for (7.53) is that

\[
d^-X(t) = u(t)dt + v(t)d^-B(t).
\]

(7.54)
Theorem 7.21 The one-dimensional Itô formula for forward integrals.

Let
\[ d^- X(t) = u(t)dt + v(t)d^- B(t) \]  
be a forward process. Let \( f \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \) and define
\[ Y(t) = f(t, X(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]. \]

\( Y(t), t \in [0, T], \) is a forward process and
\[ d^- Y(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X(t))dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X(t))d^- X(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, X(t))v^2(t)dt. \]  

8 White Noise and Hida-Malliavin Calculus for \( \tilde{N}(.) \)

The construction of a white noise theory and a stochastic derivative (Hida-Malliavin derivative) in the pure jump martingale case follows the same lines as in the Brownian motion case. In this case, the corresponding Wiener-Itô chaos expansion Theorem states that any \( F \in L^2(F_T, P) \) (where, in this case, \( F_T = F^{(N)}_t \)) is the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by \( \eta(s) = \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \zeta \tilde{N}(dr, d\zeta); 0 \leq s \leq t \) can be written as
\[ F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n); \quad f_n \in \hat{L}^2((\lambda \times \nu)^n), \]  
where \( \hat{L}^2((\lambda \times \nu)^n) \) is the space of functions \( f_n(t_1, \zeta_1, ..., t_n, \zeta_n), t_i \in [0, T], \zeta_i \in \mathbb{R}_0 \) such that \( f_n \in L^2((\lambda \times \nu)^n) \) and \( f_n \) is symmetric with respect to the pairs of variables \( (t_1, \zeta_1), ..., (t_n, \zeta_n) \).

It is important to note that in this case the \( n \)-times iterated integral \( I_n(f_n) \) is taken with respect to \( \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \) and not with respect to \( d\eta(t) \). Thus, we define
\[ I_n(f_n) = n! \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ... \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f_n(t_1, \zeta_1, ..., t_n, \zeta_n) \tilde{N}(dt_1, d\zeta_1) \]  
for \( f_n \in L^2((\lambda \times \nu)^n) \) The Itô isometry for stochastic integrals with respect to \( \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta) \) then gives the following isometry for the chaos expansion:
\[ \|F\|_{L^2(P)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \|f_n\|_{\hat{L}^2((\lambda \times \nu)^n)}^2 \]  
As in the Brownian motion case, we use the chaos expansion to define the Hida-Malliavin derivative. Note that in this case, there are two parameters \( t, \zeta \), where \( t \) represents time and \( \zeta \neq 0 \) represents a generic jump size.
8.1 The white noise probability space

From now on we assume that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\int_{(\epsilon, -\epsilon)} e^{\rho \zeta} d\nu(\zeta) < \infty. \quad \{\text{eq8.4}\}$$

This condition implies that the polynomials are dense in $L^2(\mu)$, where $d\mu(\zeta) = \zeta^2 d\nu(\zeta)$. It also guarantees that the measure $\nu$ integrates all polynomials of degree $\geq 2$.

As in the Brownian motion case, the sample space considered is $\Omega = S'(\mathbb{R})$, the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}$, which is a topological space. We equip this space with the corresponding Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. By the Bochner-Minlos-Sazonov theorem, there exists a probability measure $P$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{i\langle \omega, f \rangle} P(d\omega) = \exp(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(f(x)) dx); \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \{\text{8.5}\}$$

where

$$\psi(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iz \omega} - 1 - i\omega z) \nu(dz); \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \quad \{\text{8.6}\}$$

and $\langle \omega, f \rangle$ denotes the action of $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ on $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ defined above is called the (pure jump) Lévy white noise probability space.

Let $p_j(z)_{j \geq 1}$ defined as in [DØP] then it’s an orthogonal basis for $L^2(\nu)$. Let $e_i(t)_{i \geq 1}$ be the Hermite functions. Define

$$\delta_{\kappa(i,j)}(t, z) = e_i(t) p_j(z), \quad \{\text{kappa}\}$$

where

$$\kappa(i,j) = j + (i + j - 2)(i + j - 1)/2. \quad \{\text{8.8}\}$$

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\text{Index}(\alpha) = j$ and $|\alpha| = m$, we define $\delta^{\otimes \alpha}$ by

$$\delta^{\otimes \alpha}(t_1, z_1, ..., t_m, z_m) = \delta^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes ... \otimes \delta^{\otimes \alpha_j}(t_1, z_1, ..., t_m, z_m) = \delta_1(t_1, z_1) ... \delta_1(t_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_1}) ... \delta_j(t_{m-\alpha_1+1}, z_{m-\alpha_1+1}) ... \delta_j(t_m, z_m).$$

We set $\delta^{\otimes 0} = 1$. Finally, we let $\delta^{\otimes \alpha}$ denote the symmetrized tensor product of the $\delta^{\otimes \alpha}$: For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ define

$$K_\alpha := I_{|\alpha|}(\delta^{\otimes \alpha}) \quad \{\text{8.9}\}$$

As in the Brownian motion case, one can prove that $\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ are orthogonal in $L^2(P)$ and

$$\|K_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(P)} = \alpha! \quad \{\text{8.10}\}$$

We have that, if $|\alpha| = m$,

$$\alpha! = \|K_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(P)} = m! \|\delta^{\otimes \alpha}\|^2_{L^2((\lambda \times \nu)\alpha)} \quad \{\text{8.11}\}$$
By our construction of $\delta^{\otimes \alpha}$ we know that any $f \in L^2((\lambda, \nu)^n)$ can be written as

$$f(t_1, z_1, \ldots, t_m, z_m) = \delta^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \delta^{\otimes \alpha_j} (t_1, z_1, \ldots, t_m, z_m) \quad (8.12)$$

Hence

$$I_n(f_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| = n} c_\alpha K_\alpha \quad (8.13)$$

This gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.1** Chaos expansion. Any $F \in L^2(P)$ has a unique expansion of the form

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} c_\alpha K_\alpha \quad (8.14)$$

with $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$\|F\|_{L^2(P)}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha! c_\alpha^2 \quad (8.15)$$

**Definition 8.2** The Hida-Kondratiev spaces, $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$.

1. **Stochastic test functions** $(S)_\rho$.
   Let $(S)_\rho$ consist of all $\phi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha K_\alpha \in L^2(P)$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{k,\rho}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha^2 (\alpha!)^{1+\rho} (2N)^{k\alpha} < \infty, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (8.16)$$

   equipped with the projective topology, where as before

$$(2N)^{k\alpha} = \prod_{j \geq 1} (2j)^{k\alpha_j} \quad (8.17)$$

if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \in \mathcal{J}$.

2. **Stochastic distributions** $(S)_{-\rho}$.
   Let $(S)_{-\rho}$ consist of all expansions $F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} b_\alpha K_\alpha$ such that

$$\|F\|_{-q,\rho}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} b_\alpha^2 (\alpha!)^{1-\rho} (2N)^{-q\alpha} < \infty, \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (8.18)$$

endowed with the inductive topology.

3. As in Section 7 we put $(S)_0 = (S)$ and $(S)_{-0} = (S)^*$, called the Hida test function space and the Hida distribution space, respectively.

4. The space $(S)_{-\rho}$ is the dual of $(S)_\rho$. In particular, $(S)^*$ is the dual of $(S)$.
   If $F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} b_\alpha K_\alpha \in (S)_{-\rho}$ and $\phi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha K_\alpha \in (S)_\rho$, then the action of $F$ on $\phi$ is

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} a_\alpha b_\alpha \alpha! \quad (8.19)$$
8.2 The spaces $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ of Smooth and Generalized Random Variables

Definition 8.3

1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We say that $f = \sum_{m \geq 0} I_m f_m \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{G}_k$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{G}_k}^2 := \sum_{m \geq 0} m! \|f_m\|_{L^2((\lambda \otimes \nu)^m)}^2 e^{2km} < \infty.$$  

(8.20)

We define the space of smooth random variables $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{G}_k.$$  

(8.21)

The space $\mathcal{G}$ is endowed with the projective topology.

2. We say that a formal expansion

$$G = \sum_{m \geq 0} I_m (g_m)$$  

(8.22)

belongs to the space $\mathcal{G}_{-q}$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) if

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{G}_{-q}}^2 = \sum_{m \geq 0} m! \|g_m\|_{L^2((\lambda \times \nu)^m)}^2$$  

(8.23)

The space of generalized random variables $\mathcal{G}^*$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}^* = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{G}_{-q}.$$  

(8.24)

We equip $\mathcal{G}^*$ with the inductive topology. Note that $\mathcal{G}^*$ is the dual of $\mathcal{G}$, with action

$$\langle G, f \rangle = \sum_{m \geq 0} m! (f_m, g_m)_{L^2((\lambda \times \nu)^m)}$$  

(8.25)

if $G \in \mathcal{G}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}$. Also note that the connection between the expansions

$$F = \sum_{m \geq 0} I_m (f_m)$$  

(8.26)

and

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}$$  

(8.27)

is given by

$$f_m = \sum_{|\alpha| = m} c_{\alpha} \delta^{\alpha}$$  

(8.28)
with the functions $\delta^{\hat{\alpha}}$ as in (8.13). Since this gives
\[\|I_m(f_m)\| = m!\|f_m\|_{L^2((\lambda \times \nu)^m)^m}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_{\alpha}^2 \|K_{\alpha}\|_{L^2(P)}^2\] (8.29)

it follows that we can express the $G_r$-norm of $F$ as follows:
\[\|F\|_{G_r}^2 = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_{\alpha}^2 \|K_{\alpha}\|_{L^2(P)}^2 \right) e^{2rm}; \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}\] (8.30)

By inspecting Theorem (8.3), we find the following chain of continuous inclusions
\[(S) \subset G \subset L^2(P) \subset G^* \subset (S)^*\] (8.31)

### 8.3 The Hida-Malliavin Derivative on $G^*$

**Definition 8.4** Let $F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} \in G^*$ Then define the stochastic derivative of $F$ at $(t, z)$ by
\[D_{t,z}F = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \alpha_i K_{\alpha - \epsilon^i} \delta^{\hat{\alpha}} \epsilon^i\] (8.32)
\[= \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \sum_{k,m} \alpha_{\kappa(k,m)} K_{\alpha - \epsilon^{\kappa(k,m)}.e_k(t)p_m(z)}\] (8.33)
\[= \sum_{\beta} (\sum_{k,m} c_{\beta + \epsilon^{\kappa(k,m)}(\beta_{\kappa(k,m)} + 1)} e_k(t)p_m(z)) K_{\beta},\]

with the map $k(i, j)$ in (8.8) and $\epsilon^i = \epsilon^{(l)} = (0, 0, ..., 1, 0, .., 0)$ with 1 on the $l$th position.

We need the following result.

**Theorem 8.5** 1. Let $F \in G^*$. Then $D_{t,z}F \in G^*, \lambda \times \nu$ a.e.

2. Let $F \in L^2(P)$ be $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable, then $\mathbb{E}[D_{t,z}F|\mathcal{F}_t], t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}_0$ is an element in $L^2(\lambda \times \nu \times P)$ and
\[F = \mathbb{E}[F] + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \mathbb{E}[D_{t,z}F|\mathcal{F}_t] \tilde{N}(ds, dz).\] (8.34)

3. Let $F \in L^2(P)$ then
\[\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} F \tilde{N}(ds, dz)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \mathbb{E}[D_{t,z}F|\mathcal{F}_t] \nu(dz) dt\right]\] (8.35)
8.4 Ordinary Chain Rules for the Hida-Malliavin Derivative

Theorem 8.6 1. **Product rule.** Let $F, G \in D^t_{1,2}$. Then $FG \in D^t_{1,2}$ and

$$D_{t,z}(FG) = FD_{t,z}G + GD_{t,z}F + D_{t,z}FD_{t,z}G$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.36)

2. Let $F \in D^t_{1,2}$

$$D_{t,z}(F^n) = (F + D_{t,z}F)^n - F^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.37)

3. Let $F \in D_{1,2}$ and let $\phi$ be a real continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\phi(F) \in L^2(P)$ and $\phi(F + D_{t,z}F) \in L^2(P \times \lambda \times \nu)$. Then $\phi(F) \in D_{1,2}$ and

$$D_{t,z}\phi(F) = \phi(F + D_{t,z}F) - \phi(F).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.38)

8.5 The Wick Product

Now we use the chaos expansion in terms of $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in J}$ to define the (Poisson random measure type) Wick product and study some of its properties.

**Definition 8.7** Let $F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} a_{\alpha}K_{\alpha}$ and $G = \sum_{\beta \in J} b_{\beta}K_{\beta}$ be two elements of $(S)_{-1}$. Then we define the Wick product of $F$ and $G$ by

$$F \circ G = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in J} a_{\alpha}b_{\beta}K_{\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in J} (\sum_{\alpha+\beta = \gamma} a_{\alpha}b_{\beta})K_{\gamma}$$

We list some properties of the Wick product:

1. $F, G \in (S)_1 \Rightarrow F \circ G \in (S)_1$.
2. $F, G \in (S)_{-1} \Rightarrow F \circ G \in (S)_{-1}$.
3. $F, G \in (S)^* \Rightarrow F \circ G \in (S)^*$.
4. $F, G \in (S) \Rightarrow F \circ G \in (S)$.
5. $F \circ G = G \circ F$.
6. $F \circ (G \circ H) = (F \circ G) \circ H$.
7. $F \circ (G + H) = F \circ G + F \circ H$.
8. $I_n(f_n) \circ I_m(g_m) = I_{n+m}(f_n \hat{\otimes} g_m)$

In view of the properties (1) and (4) we can define the Wick powers $X^{\circ n}$ $(n = 1, 2, ...)$ of $X \in (S)_{-1}$ as

$$X^{\circ n} := X \circ X \circ ... \circ X \ (n \ times) \ .$$
We put $X^{\diamond 0} := 1$. Similarly, we define the Wick exponential $\exp^\diamond X$ of $X \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$ by

$$
\exp^\diamond X := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} X^{\diamond n}.
$$

(8.39)

In view of the aforementioned properties, we have that

$$(X + Y)^{\diamond 2} = X^{\diamond 2} + 2X \diamond Y + Y^{\diamond 2}
$$

(8.40)

and also

$$
\exp^\diamond(X + Y) = \exp^\diamond X \diamond \exp^\diamond Y,
$$

(8.41)

for $X,Y \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$. As before let $\mathbb{E}[,]$ denote the generalized expectation. Then we see that

$$
\mathbb{E}[X \diamond Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y],
$$

(8.42)

for $X,Y \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$. Note that independence is not required for this identity to hold. By induction, it follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp^\diamond X] = \exp\mathbb{E}[X],
$$

(8.43)

for $X \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}$.

**Example 8.1** Choose $h \in \mathbf{L}^2([0,T])$ and define $F = \int_0^T h(t) d\eta(t)$. Then

$$
F \diamond F = \int_0^T (h(t_1)z_1) \diamond I_1(h(t_2)z_2) = \int_0^T \int_0^T \int_0^T h(t_1)h(t_2)z_1z_2 \tilde{N}(dt_1,dz_1)\tilde{N}(dt_2,dz_2) = 2 \int_0^T \int_0^T \int_0^T h(t_1)h(t_2)z_1z_2 \tilde{N}(dt_1,dz_1)\tilde{N}(dt_2,dz_2)
$$

(8.44)

By the Itô formula, if we put $X(t) := \int_0^T h(s)dB(s)$,

$$
d(X(t))^2 = 2X(t)dX(t) + h^2(t)\int_\mathbb{R} z^2N(dt,dz).
$$

Hence

$$
F \diamond F = 2 \int_0^T X(s)dX(s) = X^2(T) - \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} h^2(s)z^2N(ds,dz).
$$

(8.45)

In particular, choosing $h = 1$ we get

$$
\eta(T) \diamond \eta(T) = \eta^2(T) - \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} z^2N(ds,dz).
$$

(8.46)
Example 8.2 Wick/Doléans-Dade exponential.

Choose $\gamma \geq -1$ deterministic such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{|\ln(1 + \gamma(t,z))| + \gamma^2(t,z)\} \nu(dz) dt < \infty \quad (8.47)$$

and put

$$F = \exp^{\circ} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t,z) \tilde{N}(dt,dz) \right) \quad (8.48)$$

To find an expression for $F$ not involving the Wick product, we proceed as follows: Define

$$Y(t) = \exp^{\circ} \left( \int_{t}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s,z) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \right)$$

$$= \exp^{\circ} \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s,z) \tilde{N}(s,z) \nu(dz) ds \right) \quad (8.49)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = Y(t) \circ \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t,z) \tilde{N}(t,z) \nu(dz) \quad (8.50)$$
or

$$dY(t) = Y(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t,z) \tilde{N}(dt,dz) \quad (8.51)$$

Using Itô calculus the solution of this equation is

$$Y(t) = Y(0) \exp \left( \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \{\ln(1 + \gamma(s,z)) - \gamma(s,z)\} \nu(dz) ds \right)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln(1 + \gamma(s,z)) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \quad (8.52)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln(1 + \gamma(s,z)) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \quad (8.53)$$

Comparing the two expressions for $Y(t)$, we conclude that

$$\exp^{\circ} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s,z) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \right) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \{\ln(1 + \gamma(s,z)) - \gamma(s,z)\} \nu(dz) ds \right)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln(1 + \gamma(s,z)) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \quad (8.54)$$

In particular, choosing

$$\gamma(s,z) = h(s)z \text{ with } h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad (8.55)$$

we get

$$\exp^{\circ} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(s) ds \right) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \{\ln(1 + h(s)z) - h(s)z\} \nu(dz) ds \right)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \ln(1 + h(s)z) \tilde{N}(ds,dz) \quad (8.56)$$
8.6 The Wick chain rule for jumps

Theorem 8.8 Let \( F \in (S)_{-1} \) and \( \phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \) then:
\[
D_{t,z} \phi^\circ (F) = (\phi')^\circ (F) \circ D_{t,z} F
\]  
(8.57)

Proof. First note that if \( \psi(s, \zeta) \) is deterministic then
\[
\exp^\circ \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right) = K \exp \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \ln(1 + \psi(s, \zeta)) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right)
\]  
(8.58)
where
\[
K := \exp \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \left[ \ln(1 + \psi(s, \zeta)) - \psi(s, \zeta) \right] \nu(d\zeta) ds \right)
\]  
(8.59)
Using this and the Wick rule for \( \exp \) we get
\[
D_{t,z} \exp^\circ \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right)
\]
\[
= KD_{t,z} \exp \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \ln(1 + \psi(s, \zeta)) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right)
\]
\[
= K \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \ln(1 + \psi(s, \zeta)) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \ln(1 + \psi(t, z)) \right) 
- \exp \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \ln(1 + \psi(s, \zeta)) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \exp^\circ \left( \int_0^T \int_\mathbb{R} \psi(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) \right) \psi(t, z)
\]  
(8.60)
In view of this, and the fact that the set of linear combinations of exponentials of the form above are dense in \( L^2(P) \) we have the result. \( \square \)

8.7 Conditional expectation

If \( F = \sum_{\alpha \in J} b_\alpha K_\alpha \in (S)_{-1} \), we define its conditional expectation by the expansion
\[
\mathbb{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t] := \sum_{\alpha \in J} b_\alpha \mathbb{E}[K_\alpha|\mathcal{F}_t]
\]  
(8.61)
Then as in Section 7 we have:

1. If \( F, G \in (S)_{-1} \), then \( \mathbb{E}[(F \circ G)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in (S)_{-1} \) and
\[
\mathbb{E}[(F \circ G)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t] \circ \mathbb{E}[G|\mathcal{F}_t].
\]
2. If \( F, G \in (G)^* \), then \( \mathbb{E}[(F \circ G)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in (G)^* \).
8.8 The Forward Integral for Lévy processes

**Definition 8.9** The forward integral

\[ J(\theta) := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(d-t, dz) \] (8.62)

with respect to the Poisson random measure \( \tilde{N} \) of a stochastic function (or random field) \( \theta(t, z), t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}_0(T > 0) \), with

\[ \theta(t, z) := \theta(\omega, t, z), \omega \in \Omega, \] (8.63)

and càglàd with respect to \( t \), is defined as

\[ J(\theta) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) 1_{U_m} \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \] (8.64)

if the limit exists in \( L^2(\mathcal{P}) \). Here, \( U_m, m = 1, 2, \ldots, \) is an increasing sequence of compact sets \( U_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0 := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) with \( \nu(U_m) < \infty \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} U_m = \mathbb{R}_0 \).

**Remark 8.10** Note that if \( \mathbb{H} := \{ \mathcal{H}_t, t \in [0, T] \} \) is a filtration such that

1. \( \mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{H}_t \) for all \( t \)
2. The process \( \eta(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz), t \in [0, T], \) is a semimartingale with respect to \( \mathbb{H} \)
3. The stochastic process \( \theta = \theta(t, z), t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}_0 \) is \( \mathbb{H} \)-predictable and
4. The integral \( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \) exists as a classical Itô integral

then the forward integral of \( \theta \) with respect to \( \tilde{N} \) also exists and we have

\[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(d-t, dz) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \] (8.65)

This follows from the basic construction of the semimartingale integral. Thus, the forward integral can be regarded as an extension of the Itô integral to possibly nonsemimartingale contexts.

**Remark 8.11** Directly from the definition we can see that if \( G \) is a random variable then

\[ G \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(d-t, dz) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} G\theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(d-t, dz) \] (8.66)
**Definition 8.12** A forward process is a measurable stochastic function \( X(t) = X(t, \omega), t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega \), that admits the representation

\[
X(t) = x + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(s, z) \tilde{N}(d^-s, dz) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds,
\]

where \( x = X(0) \) is a constant. A shorthand notation for (8.67) is

\[
d^-X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \theta(t, z) \tilde{N}(d^-t, dz) + \alpha(t) dt, X(0) = x.
\]

We call \( d^-X(t) \) the forward differential of \( X(t), t \in [0, T] \).

**Theorem 8.13** Ito formula for forward integrals.

Let \( X(t), t \in [0, T] \), be a forward process of the form (8.67), where \( \theta(t, z), t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}_0 \), is locally bounded in \( z \) near \( z = 0, \mathbb{P} \times \lambda \)-a.e., such that

\[
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |\theta(t, z)|^2 \nu(dz) dt < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \mathbb{P}.
\]

Also suppose that \( |\theta(t, z)|, t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}_0 \), is forward integrable. For any function \( f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \), the forward differential of \( Y(t) = f(X(t)), t \in [0, T] \), is given by the following formula:

\[
d^-Y(t) = f'(X(t)) \alpha(t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left( f(X(t^-) + \theta(t, z)) - f(X(t^-)) - f'(X(t^-)) \theta(t, z) \right) \nu(dz) dt
\]

\[
\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left( f(X(t^-) + \theta(t, z)) - f(X(t^-)) \right) \tilde{N}(d^-t, dz).
\]
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