AGN feedback in a galaxy merger: multi-phase, galaxy-scale outflows with a fast molecular gas blob $\sim 6$ kpc away from IRAS F08572+3915
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ABSTRACT

To understand the role that active galactic nuclei (AGN) feedback plays in galaxy evolution, we need in-depth studies of the multi-phase structure and energetics of galaxy-wide outflows. In this work, we present new, deep ($\sim 50$ h) NOEMA CO(1-0) line observations of the molecular gas in the powerful outflow driven by the AGN in the ultra-luminous infrared galaxy IRAS F08572+3915. We spatially resolve the outflow, finding that its most likely configuration is a wide-angle bicone aligned with the kinematic major axis of the rotation disk. The molecular gas in the wind reaches velocities up to approximately $\pm 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and transports nearly 20% of the molecular gas mass in the system. We detect a second outflow component located $\sim 6$ kpc northwest from the galaxy moving away at $\sim 900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which could be the result of a previous episode of AGN activity. The total mass and energetics of the outflow, which includes contributions from the ionized, neutral, and warm and cold molecular gas phases, is strongly dominated by the cold molecular gas. In fact, the molecular mass outflow rate is higher than the star formation rate, even if we only consider the gas in the outflow that is fast enough to escape the galaxy, which accounts for $\sim 40\%$ of the total mass of the outflow. This results in an outflow depletion time for the molecular gas in the central $\sim 1.5$ kpc region of only $\sim 3$ Myr, a factor of $\sim 2$ shorter than the depletion time by star formation activity.
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1. Introduction

Galaxy outflows are practically ubiquitous in the most luminous systems of our nearby universe (e.g., Heckman et al. 2000; Rupke et al. 2005; Veilleux et al. 2013; González-Alfonso et al. 2017; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2018). These outflows encompass multiple gas phases (e.g., Rupke & Veilleux 2013a; Morganti et al. 2013; Feruglio et al. 2015; Fiore et al. 2017), they are typically fast ($v \gtrsim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and they can extend over kiloparsec scales. Their properties make them natural candidates for the source of negative feedback required by theoretical models and numerical simulations to quench star formation activity, transforming blue, star-forming galaxies into “red and dead” systems (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins & Beacom 2006).

While outflow feedback is acknowledged as an important process, the actual physical mechanisms involved are still poorly understood. Part of the problem is our limited knowledge of the fundamental properties of the outflowing gas, such as geometry, multiphase structure, and physical conditions (for a discussion, see Harrison et al. 2018). For example, knowledge of the ionized gas density in the outflow is required to convert H$\alpha$ or [O III] line luminosities associated with the outflow into ionized gas masses. Electron densities in the outflowing gas around $n_e \sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ are typically assumed, although recent studies suggest that the density could be much higher ($n_e \sim 10^3$–$10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; e.g., Santoro et al. 2018; Förster Schreiber et al. 2019; Baron & Netzer 2019; Shimizu et al. 2019; Ramos Almeida et al. 2019), resulting in lower outflow ionized gas masses by up to two or three orders of magnitude. For the molecular phase, studies based on CO lines require an $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ factor to convert CO(1-0) luminosities into H$_2$ molecular gas masses. $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ can vary by a factor of $\sim 10$ depending whether the gas is optically-thin or exposed to Galactic excitation conditions. Observational studies tend to favor $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ values

* A copy of the reduced datacube is available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/635/A47
for molecular outflows between the optically-thin limit (e.g., Dasyra et al. 2016), and two to three times the value adopted for ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRG) (e.g., Aalto et al. 2015; Leroy et al. 2015; Walter et al. 2017; Cicone et al. 2018a; Lutz et al. 2020).

Once the outflow gas mass in a given phase is measured, the shape, size, and velocity of the outflow are required to calculate the mass outflow rate. This represents another major obstacle as many times observations lack the angular resolution needed to determine the extent and velocity structure of the gas. A common approach to measuring mass outflow rates is to assume a spherical or bi-cone geometry with constant velocity. Depending on whether the outflow gas forms a “thin shell” (e.g., Rupke et al. 2005) or is filled with constant density (e.g., Maiolino et al. 2012) the mass outflow rate can differ by a factor of three. For a recent discussion on the different ways to measure mass outflow rates depending on the outflow history, see Lutz et al. (2020).

Finally, the multiphase nature of galactic outflows implies that measurements of the outflow properties based on a single gas phase can lead to misleading conclusions (for a discussion, see e.g., Cicone et al. 2018b). Historically, systematic studies of galactic outflows in nearby and high-z galaxies have focused on the ionized gas – for example, as observed as broad wing emission in the spectra of the Hβ, [O III] or Paα lines – (e.g., Heckman et al. 1990; Rupke & Veilleux 2013a; Woo et al. 2016; Harrison et al. 2016; Förster Schreiber et al. 2019; Ramos Almeida et al. 2019) and the atomic phase – based on the Na D or Mg II lines in absorption (e.g., Heckman et al. 2000; Rupke et al. 2002, 2005; Weiner et al. 2009; Roberts-Borsani & Saintonge 2019). The molecular component of outflows, on the other hand, has been much more difficult to study. Great progress was made with the Herschel Space Observatory using the OH 119 μm line in absorption to study molecular outflows in Seyfert and luminous infrared galaxies (Fischer et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2011; Veilleux et al. 2013; Bolatto et al. 2013; Spoon et al. 2013; George et al. 2014; Stone et al. 2016; González-Alfonso et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018). More recently, the advent of powerful millimeter-wave interferometers such as the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) and the Northern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) are rapidly increasing the number of molecular outflows detected based on observations of the CO line (e.g., Combes et al. 2013; Sakamoto et al. 2014; García-Burillo et al. 2014; Leroy et al. 2015; Feruglio et al. 2015; Morganti et al. 2015; Dasyra et al. 2016; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2016, 2018; Veilleux et al. 2017; Fluetsh et al. 2019; Lutz et al. 2020). At high-z, so far only a handful of large-scale, molecular outflows have been studied in QSOs (e.g., Cicone et al. 2015; Vayner et al. 2017; Feruglio et al. 2017; Carniani et al. 2017; Fan et al. 2018; Brusa et al. 2018), sub-millimeter galaxies (e.g., Spilker et al. 2018), and main-sequence, star-forming galaxies (e.g., Herrera-Camus et al. 2019).

To understand the existence of kpc-scale molecular outflows produced by active galactic nuclei (AGN), we must first look at the small-scale, mildly relativistic (~0.1–0.3c) wind driven by AGN radiation pressure (e.g., King & Pounds 2003; Tombesi et al. 2015). This nuclear wind may violently collide with the surrounding interstellar medium (ISM), producing an inner reverse shock that propagates in the rarefied medium and an outer forward-moving shock. For an energy conserving outflow, the shocked gas do not cool, and expands adiabatically. As a consequence, the bulk of the kinetic energy of the wind is transferred to the outflowing gas, which can then expand to reach galaxy-wide scales (e.g., Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2012; Zubovas & King 2012; Costa et al. 2014).

The fact that most of the mass in large-scale outflows is in the cold, molecular phase (e.g., Morganti et al. 2005; Fiore et al. 2017; Herrera-Camus et al. 2019) is still a matter of study. One alternative is that a large portion of the hot, outflowing gas is converted into molecular gas owing to efficient radiative cooling (e.g., Zubovas & King 2014; Richings & Faucher-Giguère 2018; Schneider et al. 2018). The other alternative is that cold clouds are driven out of the host galaxy by either thermal-gas ram pressure (e.g., Tadhunter et al. 2014; Hopkins et al. 2012) or radiation pressure from the hotter, outflowing material (e.g., Murray et al. 2011; Zhang & Thompson 2012).

Characterizing the molecular content of outflows is of major importance as molecular gas is the fuel for star formation; thus, ejecting a portion of the molecular gas from the nuclear regions can have a strong impact on their star formation activity.

To quantify the impact of AGN-feedback on galaxy evolution we require a detailed characterization of the multi-phase structure and energetics of outflows, which, in turn requires in-depth studies that minimize the assumptions typically made to estimate key outflow properties, such as the mass outflow rate. In that spirit, we present deep NOEMA CO(1–0) line observations of the molecular gas in the outflow in the ultra-luminous infrared galaxy (ULIRG) IRAS F08572+3915. These new observations, which achieve an angular resolution a factor of ~2 better compared to previous studies (Cicone et al. 2014), improve our constraints on the size, geometry, and velocity structure of the outflow, leading to a more reliable measurement of the outflow energetics. Combined with estimates of the outflow properties from other phases (warm molecular, ionized, and atomic), here we present one of the few multi-phase views available of an AGN-driven outflow (for additional examples see Veilleux et al. 2013; Tombesi et al. 2015, 2017; Feruglio et al. 2015; Rupke et al. 2017).

IRAS F08572+3915 is a low redshift (z = 0.0582) ULIRG (LIR ≈ 10^{12} L⊙; Veilleux et al. 2013) composed of two interacting spiral galaxies with a separation of about ~5 kpc. Figure 1 shows an HST (F814W) image of the interacting pair and the circumbulgal material around them. The galaxy located in the northwest (NW) quadrant has a stellar mass of M_∗ ≈ 3 × 10^{10} M⊙ yr^{-1} (Rodríguez Zaurín et al. 2009) and is ~2.5 magnitudes brighter in K-band than its southwest companion (Scoville et al. 2000). Thus, we will refer to this galaxy as the main galaxy in the system.

IRAS F08572+3915 is a key example of a deeply dust-obsured ULIRG with strong mid-infrared silicate absorption (e.g., Dudley & Wynn-Williams 1997; Spoon et al. 2007). Thus, it is not surprising that strong evidence for AGN activity in the system is only found at infrared wavelengths (Imanishi 2002; Imanishi et al. 2006; Armus et al. 2007). The system is only marginally detected in soft X-rays (Teng et al. 2009) and undetected in hard X-rays (Teng et al. 2015). Previous optically-based classifications of LINER (Veilleux et al. 1999) or Seyfert 2 were carried out on the basis of shallow spectra that shows no clear detection of neither the [O III] 5007 Å nor Hβ lines.

Assuming spherical symmetry, Veilleux et al. (2013) estimate that the fraction of the bolometric luminosity of the galaxy (L_{bol} = 1.15 L_{IR}) produced by the AGN is α_{AGN} = 0.74, which implies an AGN bolometric luminosity of L_{AGN, bol} = α_{AGN} \times L_{bol} = 1.1 \times 10^{42} L_⊙, a starburst luminosity of L_{SB} = (1 − α_{AGN}) L_{IR} = 4.7 \times 10^{41} L_⊙, and a star formation rate (SFR) of 69 M⊙ yr^{-1} (based on the SFR–LIR calibration by Murphy et al. 2011). This places the main galaxy in IRAS F08572+3915 in the SFR–M_{⋆} plane a factor of ~25 above the main-sequence of galaxies at similar redshift (Whitaker et al. 2012).
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**Fig. 1.** Left: HST (F814W) image of the ULIRG IRAS F08572+3915. The system is composed of a pair of interacting galaxies where the energetics are dominated by a buried AGN in the NW system (Rupke & Veilleux 2013a). The contours show continuum-subtracted CO(1-0) emission detected at a 3σ significance or above in the intensity maps integrated in the [−120, 120] km s$^{-1}$ (green) and [−400, 400] km s$^{-1}$ (purple) velocity range. The NOEMA synthesized beam ($\theta = 1.4'' \times 1.13''$) is illustrated in the bottom-left corner. The angular resolution achieved is a factor of $\sim 2$ better than previous CO(1-0) observations (Cicone et al. 2014). Right: CO(1-0) spectra of the NW (top) and SE (bottom) galaxies extracted within the circular apertures shown in the left panel. This is the first time the SE component is detected in CO emission.

**Table 1.** Details of the NOEMA observations.

| Name   | Date            | Configuration (# Antennas) | Time on-source | P.I.  |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| v026   | May–Oct. 2011   | C+D (5 or 6)                | 20 h           | Sturm |
| w088   | Feb.–March 2013 | A (6)                       | 10 h           | Sturm |
| w14ch  | March 2015–Feb. 2016 | A+B (6 or 7)          | 20 h           | Janssen |

Throughout this paper, we adopt a cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $\Omega_M = 0.3$, which results in a luminosity distance $D_L \approx 262 \text{ Mpc}$ and a scale of $1.21 \text{ kpc}''$ for a source at $z = 0.0582$.

2. Observations and data reduction

In total, there have been three IRAM NOEMA (formerly Plateau de Bure Interferometer) observing programs that target the CO(1-0) outflow in IRAS F08572+3915. Table 1 lists observing dates, configuration, number of antennas, and on-source time for these programs. The C+D only data (project v026) was already presented in Cicone et al. (2014). The WideX observations have a bandwidth of 3.6 GHz (corresponding to 9884 km s$^{-1}$ at the observed frequency of 108.93 GHz) and a resolution of 1.95 MHz (corresponding to 5.35 km s$^{-1}$). The data were calibrated in CLIC with help from the staff in Grenoble. After calibration, separate $uv$ tables were created for the configuration C+D, A+B, and A+B+C+D observations. We then used the software MAPPING2\(^1\) for cleaning and imaging in the $uv$-plane. The synthesized beam size is $2.95'' \times 2.56''$ with a Position Angle of 61° for the C+D configuration, $1.08'' \times 0.82''$ with a PA of 34° for the A+B configuration, and $1.4'' \times 1.13''$ with a PA of 43° for the A+B+C+D configuration. This is a factor of $\sim 2$ higher angular resolution than that achieved in the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) observations reported in Cicone et al. (2014). Configuration A+B+C+D observations will be used for further analysis because of the high level of sensitivity. The data were binned in 40 km s$^{-1}$, which balances a good signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) and spectral resolution. The continuum is taken to be the average over the velocity range $-3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to $-2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to $4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. It was detected at 1.5 mJy\(^1\) CLIC and MAPPING2 part of the GILDAS package (Guilloteau & Lucas 2000): http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS

---

\(^1\) CLIC and MAPPING2 part of the GILDAS package (Guilloteau & Lucas 2000): http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS
and was subtracted from the spectra before any analysis was undertaken.

3. The molecular gas in IRAS F08572+3915

3.1. Main galaxy

The main galaxy in the system (located in the northwest quadrant of Fig. 1) has previously been observed and detected in CO(1-0) line emission (Solomon et al. 1997; Evans et al. 2002; Ciccone et al. 2014), although with a sensitivity and spatial resolution poorer than the observations presented here. Figure 1 (left) shows the distribution of the CO emission on top an HST (F814W) image. An elliptical Gaussian fit to the $uv$ table of the A+B+C+D observations gives the peak of emission at RA $09:00:25.38$ and Dec $+39:03:54.2$. This position coincides within 0.1′ of the radio center at 8.44 GHz found by Condon et al. (1991). The galaxy’s emission is not perfectly symmetric around this point, but is more extended towards the West. The best elliptical Gaussian fit has an intrinsic major full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.61 ± 0.2′ and an intrinsic minor FWHM of 0.54 ± 0.2′, corresponding to 0.74 by 0.65 kpc, with a PA of $−10 ± 10^\circ$. The disk is thus slightly elongated towards the northwest, but because the length of the major and minor axes only differ by a little, the PA is not used here to constrain the orientation and inclination of the disk.

The top-right panel of Fig. 1 shows the CO spectrum of the main galaxy. We measure a redshift of $z = 0.0582$, which we use to set the systemic velocity. This redshift is similar to that found by Evans et al. (2002) and the same as the one measured by González-Alfonso et al. (2017) based on the [CII] line. The continuum level, depth of observations, and resolution do not indicate any absorption related to the wind seen by Geballe et al. (2006) and Shirahata et al. (2013) in other wavelengths.

Based on visual inspection, we decided to measure the flux in the galaxy by integrating in the $|v| < 400, +400$ km s$^{-1}$ velocity range. This results in $F_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 8.2 ± 0.4$ Jy km s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the single-dish (IRAM 30 m) measurement of $F_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 9.0 ± 1.8$ Jy km s$^{-1}$ by Solomon et al. (1997). Our CO flux measurement corresponds to a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{mol}} = 1.04 ± 0.10 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ assuming a CO-like conversion factor of $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 8.0 M_\odot (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^2)^{-1}$ (Downes & Solomon 1998). However, more recent studies by Genzel et al. (2015) and Tacconi et al. (2018) that compare CO and dust-based estimates of the molecular gas mass in nearby and high-$z$ galaxies suggest that the $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ factor applied to (UL)IRGs should be the standard Milky Way conversion factor of $\alpha_{\text{CO, MW}} = 4.4 M_\odot (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^2)^{-1}$ (Bolatto et al. 2013). This is assuming the latter give a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{mol}} = 5.72 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. Relative to the stellar mass of the main galaxy of $M_* = 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (Rodríguez Zaurín et al. 2009), the molecular gas-to-stellar mass ratio is $\mu = M_{\text{mol}}/M_* \approx 3\%$ or $\approx 16\%$ if we assume $\alpha_{\text{CO, ULIRG}}$ or $\alpha_{\text{CO, MW}}$, respectively.

The position, velocity range, flux, molecular gas mass, and size of the main galaxy are listed in Table 2.

3.2. Companion galaxy

The left panel of Fig. 1 shows extended CO emission at the location of the companion galaxy in the southeast quadrant. This is the first time this galaxy is detected in CO line emission. The peak is at RA $09:00:25.6$ and Dec $+39:03:49$, and coincides with the position of the galaxy in SDSS $i$-band images and the HST (F814W). The line profile has a regular shape, is narrow ($σ = 59$ km s$^{-1}$), and peaks at $v = 30$ km s$^{-1}$ (bottom-left panel in Fig. 1). The line has a flux of $F_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 0.8$ Jy km s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{mol}} = 10^8 M_\odot$ assuming a conversion factor $\alpha_{\text{CO, ULIRG}}$ (Table 2) and $M_{\text{mol}} = 5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ assuming $\alpha_{\text{CO, MW}}$. There is a substantial uncertainty in the flux (estimated to be ~20%), because the emission is extended and contaminated by residual side lobes from the main source. A Gaussian fit to the emission in the image plane results in a FWHM of 1.8′. With an average beam size of 1.25′, the estimated (deconvolved) FWHP of the SE galaxy is 1.3′ or 1.6 kpc. The size has been fit in the image plane because a fit in the $uv$ plane was not successful.

4. The outflow in IRAS F08572+3915

As the top panels in Figs. 1 and 3 show, the spectrum of the main galaxy shows clear evidence for high-velocity gas material that extends up to velocities of ±1200 km s$^{-1}$. These broad wings of emission are strongly suggestive of the presence of a fast molecular outflow.

4.1. Spatial distribution and kinematics of the outflow

The spatial and velocity distribution of the molecular gas can be further explored by looking at the channel maps in Fig. 2. The first four panels ($v_{\text{CO}} = ±400$ km s$^{-1}$) reveal the blueshifted wing of the outflow. This component is located southeast of the main galaxy and is centered at roughly the same position in all four bins;

---

Table 2. CO(1-0) positions, fluxes and masses of the galaxies and outflows.

|        | RA (h:m:s) | Dec (d:m:s) | Velocity (km s$^{-1}$) | Noise (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Flux (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | Molecular mass (10$^8$ M$_\odot$) | FWHP (kpc) |
|--------|------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Blue wing | 25:40:00   | 53:39:00    | $–400$ to $–1200$     | 0.056                   | 1.3                    | 0.17                             | 0.92       |
| Main galaxy | 25:38:00   | 54:2:00     | $–400$ to $400$       | 0.065                   | 8.2                    | 1.04                             | 0.70       |
| Secondary galaxy | 25:6:00 | 49:0:00 | $–400$ to $400$       | 0.065                   | 0.8                    | 0.1                              | 1.80       |
| Red wing | 25:32:00   | 54:8:00     | 400 to $–1200$       | 0.055                   | 0.9                    | 0.1                              | 0.98       |
| Red blob | 25:26:00   | 58:9:00     | 400 to $–1200$       | 0.055                   | 0.4                    | 0.05                             | 0.7        |

Notes. Fluxes and gas masses for the individual outflows and galaxies assuming $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 M_\odot (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^2)^{-1}$. All Full Width Half Power (FWHP) values have been derived from the $uv$ data, except for the companion galaxy and the red blob, for which the size is estimated in the image plane.
no evident velocity gradient is visible within our angular resolution. The next five channels encompass the velocity range $-400 \lesssim v_{\text{CO}} \lesssim 400$ km s$^{-1}$ where the bulk of the CO emission arises from the body of the main galaxy. Finally, the bottom panels reveal the redshifted component of the outflow ($v_{\text{CO}} \gtrsim 400$ km s$^{-1}$) located northwest of the galaxy center. Similar to the blue wing, this component remains centered at a similar position, except for the channel at $v_{\text{CO}} = 920$ km s$^{-1}$. Around this velocity a second outflow component is present located at about $\sim 6$ kpc from the main galaxy in the northern direction.

A complementary view of the outflow structure is provided in Fig. 3, which shows the spatial distribution of the integrated CO emission in the blue ($[-1200, -400]$ km s$^{-1}$) and red ($[+400, +1200]$ km s$^{-1}$) wings of the spectrum overplotted on a HST (F814W) image. The contours are placed at 3, 5, and 10σ levels, with 1σ corresponding to the noise level given in Table 2. As already revealed in the channel maps, two main outflows components can be identified: (1) a main component centered around the position of the northwest galaxy, and (2) a fast “blob” of gas located $\sim 5''$ ($\sim 6.4$ kpc) north from the center. This second, fainter, outflow gas has been detected before by Cicone et al. (2014), and now thanks to the higher angular resolution of our observations, we confirm this is a different component.

Finally, Fig. 4 shows the integrated intensity, velocity, and velocity dispersion maps of the main galaxy, and the blue and red components of the outflow. The velocity map of the main component galaxy shows a convincing but slightly disturbed rotating disk with a position angle of approximately $-45^\circ$. The blue and red main outflow components have velocity dispersions in the $v_{\text{CO}} \approx 150-300$ km s$^{-1}$ range, and velocity fields that show no systematic variations as a function of position. In contrast, the velocity of the red gas “blob” decreases from $\sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ to about $\sim 850$ km s$^{-1}$ as a function of increasing distance from the host.

We discuss in more detail the nature of this second outflow component in Sects. 4.4 and 5.3.

4.2. Geometry of the outflow

The observed spatially-resolved properties of the outflow – including the absence of a velocity gradient (within our resolution), the large range of velocities covered, and the spatial offset between the main outflow components and the galaxy center – provide useful constraints on its structure.

We consider two possible ideal cases: a bicone (a shell with an opening angle), and two individual blobs. In both cases the outflow has a maximum velocity $v_{\text{max}}$, and is only slightly resolved spatially. Firstly, for a bicone, if the angle is large enough so the geometry approaches a shell, or if the bicone is pointed directly toward the observer, then we would expect that the channel maps at all velocities to be centered at the same
4.3. Size, mass outflow rate, and energetics

The sizes of the two components of the biconical outflow are retrieved from Gaussian fits to the $v_u$ data. The Full Width at Half Power (FWHP) of the blueshifted part is 0.77′′ or 0.92 kpc, in comparison to the 1.36 kpc found in Cicone et al. (2014); adding visibilities at larger $u$ resulted in a better fit with a slightly more compact source. For the redshifted component, the difference between our FWHP and that found in Cicone et al. (2014) is larger because the second redshifted outflow is now resolved, and not included in the Gaussian fit. This results in a FWHP of the redshifted part of 0.82′′ or 0.98 kpc (compared to 1.91 kpc found previously). The FWHP is listed in Table 2.

Since the size of the red outflow component (~0.9 kpc) is smaller than its distance to the galaxy center (~1.1 kpc), the outflow seems detached from the galaxy, as if it is not being replenished with new gas (see also the channel maps in Fig. 2). This observation suggests that the outflow is a bursty, rather than a continuous, process. This has consequences for the calculation of the outflow mass. Maiolino et al. (2012) derive the mass outflow rate for a spherical outflow with uniform density that is continuously replenished with new gas to be $M_{\text{out}} = 3 M_{\odot} v_{\max}/R$. This changes to an instantaneous mass outflow rate of $M_{\text{out}} = 2 M_{\text{out}} v_{\max}/\Delta R$ for a bursty outflow. In the latter case, the thickness of the outflowing shell, $\Delta R$, is of interest. This value is hard to derive observationally in a biconical outflow, but the FWHP as given in Table 2 is the best approximation. Assuming then an $\alpha_{\text{CO,ULIRG}}$ conversion factor for the gas in the outflow, $v_{\text{out}} = 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Sect. 4.2), and $\Delta R = 0.95 \text{ kpc}$ (the average FWHP between the red and blue wings; see Table 2), the molecular mass outflow rate in IRAS F08572+3915 is:

$$M_{\text{out,mol}} \approx 350 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \times \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{CO,ULIRG}}}{\alpha_{\text{CO}}} \right) \times \left( \frac{v_{\text{out}}}{1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \times 0.95 \text{ kpc} \times \frac{1}{\Delta R}. \quad (1)$$

Since $\Delta R$ is probably overestimated, this mass outflow rate represents a conservative estimate. This value is also ~3 times smaller than that derived previously by Cicone et al. (2014). This is mainly caused by two changes: (1) the second redshifted outflow is excluded from the analysis, resulting in a smaller outflow mass by ~15% (see Fig. 3), and (2) the outflow rate is calculated as $M_{\text{out}} = M_{\text{out}} v_{\max}/\Delta R$ rather than as $M_{\text{out}} = 3 M_{\text{out}} v_{\max}/R$, based on new insights that the outflow is bursty rather than continuous.

In addition to the mass outflow rate, we can also calculate the momentum ($P_{\text{out}} = M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}$) and energy flux ($E_{\text{out}} = 1/2 M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}^2$) of the molecular outflow in IRAS F08572+3915. Based on the values listed in Table 2, the outflow momentum flux in IRAS F08572+3915 is:

$$P_{\text{out,mol}} \approx 2.7 \times 10^{36} \text{ dynes} \times \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{CO,ULIRG}}}{\alpha_{\text{CO}}} \right) \times \left( \frac{v_{\text{out}}}{1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \times 0.95 \text{ kpc} \times R_{\text{out}}, \quad (2)$$

and the outflow kinetic energy flux is

$$E_{\text{out,mol}} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \times \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{CO,ULIRG}}}{\alpha_{\text{CO}}} \right) \times \left( \frac{v_{\text{out}}}{1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^3 \times 0.95 \text{ kpc} \times R_{\text{out}}. \quad (3)$$

We analyze these quantities in the context of the momentum boost and the power generated by the starburst and the AGN activity present in IRAS F08572+3915 in Sect. 5.2.
4.4. The second redshifted outflow ∼6 kpc north of the main galaxy

The second and independent part of the redshifted outflow is located at RA 9:00:25.26, Dec +39:03:58.9, at 4.9′′ or 5.9 kpc north from the main galaxy. The spectrum extracted within a $R = 1.5''$ circular aperture centered at this position is shown in Fig. 5 (this outflow component is also detected in the A+B only array configuration data, see Appendix A). It has a flux of $F_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 0.4$ Jy km s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{mol}} \approx 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ (assuming a conversion factor $\alpha_{\text{CO, ULIRG}}$). No optical counterparts for this outflow have been found. The size of the outflow cannot be retrieved from a Gaussian fit in the $uv$-plane because it is faint. We therefore estimate the size from a Gaussian fit in the image plane, deconvolved with the beam size. The resulting projected size (FWHM) is 0.7 kpc.

Although this outflow component is barely resolved, it seems to have a velocity gradient, ranging from 1000 km s$^{-1}$ on the side facing the galaxy to about 700 km s$^{-1}$ on the opposite side (see Figs. 2 and 4). The gradient is seen in all channel maps, regardless of their bin size. Moreover, the shift is observed in spectra taken at different distances from the host, which reinforces the idea that the velocity gradient is real. Since there is no clear obstacle in the way of the outflow, the gas might simply slow down as a result of gravitational pull and the lack of an ongoing driving mechanism or because there is more energy being deposited closer to the nucleus in the NW galaxy. We discuss possible scenarios for the origin of the gas blob in Sect. 5.3.

5. Analysis

5.1. Comparison of the molecular, atomic, and ionized phases of the outflow

The improved spatial resolution and sensitivity of the CO observations makes it possible to make a comparison with other ISM phases of the outflow. Previously observed components of the wind include the atomic, ionized, and cold and warm molecular phases (Sturm et al. 2011; Rupke & Veilleux 2013a,b; Janssen et al. 2016; González-Alfonso et al. 2017). Table 3 summarizes the outflow properties of the different phases including the total mass in the outflow, the average (or typical) velocity, the outflow radius in kpc (if known), the mass outflow rate ($M_{\text{out}}$), the momentum rate ($M_{\text{out}} v$), and the energy rate ($1/2 M_{\text{out}} v^2$).

Cold molecular phase – CO. For a detailed description of the cold molecular phase of the outflow based on the CO(1-0) line see Sect. 4.2.
Molecular phase – OH. The molecular phase of the wind in the NW component of IRAS F08572+3915 is also seen in multiple OH transitions (Sturm et al. 2011; González-Alfonso et al. 2017). It is important to note that the OH observations trace only the central part of the outflow: because the blueshifted wings in the OH lines are observed in absorption, a FIR continuum background is required to see the outflow. In IRAS F08572+3915 this continuum has a half-light radius as small as 0.4 kpc at 70 µm, and 0.7 kpc at 100 µm (Lutz et al. 2016). This suggests that there is an overlap between the molecular outflow traced by the CO(1-0) and OH transitions. We note, however, that most likely the gas traced by the OH transitions is more sensitive to the nuclear outflowing gas (González-Alfonso et al. 2017), while CO(1-0) emission is more sensitive to the more extended, kiloparsec scale blue-shifted outflow. According to the model of the outflow by González-Alfonso et al. (2017), the total molecular gas mass in the outflow as traced by the OH transitions is \( M_{\text{mol,OH}} = 1.2 \times 10^8 M_\odot \), which is consistent, within the uncertainties, with the CO-based molecular gas mass of \( M_{\text{mol,CO}} = 2.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot \).

Warm molecular phase – \( H_2 \). Rupke & Veilleux (2013b, 2016) present OSIRIS/Keck observations of the warm \( H_2 \) outflow, which has velocities between \(-700 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and \(-1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). The outflow accelerates over a few hundred parsec, which suggests that the gradient in the CO outflows is undetected due to beam smearing. As Fig. 6 shows, the CO(1-0) blueshifted wing is aligned with the warm \( H_2 \) outflow (Rupke & Veilleux 2016). The \( H_2 \) outflow emerges along the minor axis of a very small-scale (<500 pc) disk that is oriented very differently from the large-scale disk as traced in the optical (Rupke & Veilleux 2013a) or the cold molecular gas. The outflow mass and outflow rate in warm \( H_2 \) is \( \sim 10^{-4} \) times the mass and outflow rate traced by CO(1-0). The same mass ratio between warm and cold \( H_2 \) was found in M82 by Veilleux et al. (2009). We note, however, that the \( H_2 \) observations only cover a small area of the whole outflow (the FOV is 1'' \times 2.9'').

Neutral and ionized phases – Na I D and \( H\alpha \). The ionized phase of the outflow, as traced by broad blueshifted \( H\alpha \) line emission, reaches very high velocities (\(-3300 \text{ km s}^{-1}\);
find that in IRAS F08572+3915, the cold molecular gas is the dominant phase of the outflow. The atomic phase, however, is only a factor ~3 lower than the molecular gas which, upon taking into account the uncertainties in the calculations, could be considered comparable. The same conclusion is true for the other three ULIRGs in Fig. 7.

The bottom panel of Fig. 7 shows the mass loading factor (defined as \( \eta = \dot{M}_{\text{out,mol}} / \text{SFR} \)) measured in the different gas phases. We find that the mass loading factor in the molecular phase largely dominates over the atomic and ionized phase values, and that it is the only phase where the rate of gas ejection is higher than the rate of molecular gas consumption, i.e., \( \eta_{\text{mol}} > 1 \). We discuss in more detail the implications of the high molecular mass outflow rate in Sect. 5.4.

5.2. Star formation versus AGN activity as drivers of the main molecular outflow

In this section we investigate if the nuclear starburst, the AGN, or a combination of the two are capable of driving the powerful molecular outflow observed in IRAS F08572+3915.

In an ideal scenario, outflows can be either momentum- or energy-driven (e.g., Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2012; Costa et al. 2014), which results in different predictions on how much the stellar and AGN feedback can contribute to the expansion of the wind. The observed high momentum boost in the molecular outflow of IRAS F08572+3915 (~20 \( L_{\text{AGN}}/c \) or ~40 \( L_{\text{SFR}}/c \)) can only be explained if the outflow is energy-driven. In that case, energy injection by supernovae explosions and winds from massive stars is expected to be ~0.1–0.5% of the starburst luminosity (e.g., Murray et al. 2005; Veilleux et al. 2005). For IRAS F08572+3915, this corresponds to \((0.1 \pm 0.5\%) \times L_{\text{SB}} \sim 2 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), which is at least a factor of ~15 lower than the measured molecular outflow kinetic luminosity (Eq. (3)). If the main power source is the AGN, the maximum energy input is expected to be ~3% of the AGN radiative power in case the coupling efficiency with the ISM is 100% (e.g., Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2012; Zubovas & King 2012). This results in ~5% \( \times L_{\text{AGN}} \sim 2 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), which is comparable to the kinetic luminosity of the molecular outflow (Eq. (3)). This suggests that the AGN is the main source driving the outflow, and that the coupling efficiency with the ISM is high as a result of a dense, thick and more spherical distribution of the gas and dust around the AGN. This scenario is consistent with the observed deeply dust obscured nature of the nuclear region in IRAS F08572+3915 (see Sect. 1).

In summary, this simple and idealized analysis presented here suggests that the fast, kpc-scale molecular outflow in IRAS F08572+3915 is energy conserving, driven by the AGN, and with a high ISM coupling efficiency.

5.3. The origin of the fast gas blob ~6 kpc away from the galaxy

In addition to the main component of the outflow, we detect a gas blob of projected size ~1 kpc and located ~6 kpc northwest of the main system that is moving away at ~900 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Sect. 4.4 for details). Here we discuss two alternatives to explain its origin: a fossil outflow and a faint jet.

Outflow features resulting from episodic driving of the AGN are commonly known as fossil outflows, and are both expected from theory (e.g., King et al. 2011) and observed in nearby systems (e.g., Flandres et al. 2019; Lutz et al. 2020). In this
Fig. 7. Outflow mass \((\text{top})\) and mass loading factor \(\eta\) \((\text{bottom})\) for different gas phases of the outflow, including molecular \((\text{as traced by CO and OH})\), ionized \((\text{H}\alpha)\) and atomic phases \((\text{Na ID})\), and most likely a combination of the three as traced by \([\text{C}\,\ii]\). For the sum of the phases \((\text{last column})\) we consider the molecular phase as traced by the CO line emission. We caution the reader that these measurements are affected by a series of assumptions on the physical conditions of the gas and geometry that can have a significant impact on the final value. The results for IRAS F08572+3915 are shown with a thick gray line. For comparison, outflow properties of other major merger, infrared luminous systems such as Mrk 231 (dashed line), Mrk 273 (solid line), and IRAS F10565+2448 are also shown \((\text{Rupke & Veilleux 2013a; Veilleux et al. 2013; Cicone et al. 2014; Janssen et al. 2016; González-Alfonso et al. 2017})\).

scenario, the fast gas blob could be the result of an earlier phase of nuclear activity. For a distance of 6 kpc and assuming the gas has been driven out all the way from the center at a constant velocity of 900 km s\(^{-1}\), the flow time from the center of the main galaxy is \(\sim 6\) Myr \((\text{this also assumes deprojection effects in velocity and radius cancel out})\). This rough estimate is consistent with the variability \((\text{or “flickering”})\) timescale of AGN activity of about \(-0.1\)–\(1\) Myr \((\text{e.g., Schawinski et al. 2015; King & Nixon 2015; Zubovas & King 2016})\), and the fact that outflow material can continue to expand for a time \(\sim 10\) times longer than the duration of the nuclear active phase \((\text{King et al. 2011})\).

The second alternative is that the gas blob is the product of the interaction between a relativistic jet and the ISM. Feedback by jets is mainly driven by ram and thermal pressure which results in outflows that are energy-conserving on all scales \((\text{for a review, see Wagner et al. 2016})\). As the jet opens its way through the clumpy galaxy disk and surrounding material it disperses atomic and molecular clouds in all directions. The interaction between the jet and the ISM can extend for kiloparsec scales \((\text{e.g., Morganti et al. 2005; Holt et al. 2008; Wagner & Bicknell 2011})\).

There is no clear evidence for a jet in IRAS F08572+3915. Older radio observations using the Very Large Array (VLA) by \text{Sopp & Alexander (1991)}\) tentatively detect a faint, extended structure in the north-south direction that extends for about 4′ and could be interpreted as the signature of a faint jet. More recent observations with the upgraded \text{Karl G. Jansky VLA} by \text{Leroy et al. (2011)}\) and \text{Barcos-Muñoz et al. (2017)}\) – with comparable angular resolution than \text{Sopp & Alexander (1991)}\) – do not detect any extended component, only compact emission. Consistent with the scenario of no jet, the ratio between the rest-frame infrared and the 1.4 GHz monochromatic radio flux, \(q_{IR}\)\), indicates that IRAS F08572+3915 is radio-quiet \((q_{IR} \approx 3.57, \text{about an order of magnitude higher than the average value found in ULIRGs; Leroy et al. 2011})\). We note, however, that there are examples of radio-quiet galaxies with jet-like winds indicating the existence of either a faint ongoing jet or a past jet event \((\text{e.g., Aalto et al. 2016; Fernández-Ontiveros et al. 2020})\). Finally, the CO spectral line energy distribution \((\text{SLED})\) up to \(J = 11\) reveals highly excited gas in IRAS F08572+3915 \((\text{Papadopoulos et al. 2010; Pearson et al. 2016})\). While the strong AGN and starburst activity contribute significantly to the high molecular gas excitation, we cannot rule out that shocks resulting from a potential jet-dense ISM play a role in shaping the SLED beyond \(J \sim 7\) \((\text{e.g., Papadopoulos et al. 2008; Pellegrini et al. 2013})\).

In summary, we do not have enough evidence to confirm that there is or there has been a faint radio jet operating in IRAS F08572+3915 but if it was, it opens the possibility for the fast gas blob to be the result of dense material accelerated by the jet far away from the nucleus. In that case, the estimated flow time of \(\sim 6\) Myr in the fossil scenario would be obsolete. A jet-driven outflow would be also consistent with the observed high momentum boost and energy conserving properties of the wind. Certainly, deeper and higher angular resolution observations are needed to confirm or rule out the jet-ISM interaction scenario.
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Quantifying the impact of the outflow on the star formation depletion timescale, defined as the total reservoir of molecular gas. The star formation pieces are missing and in this section we can only hypothesize the duty cycle of the AGN. Unfortunately, some of these key much of that gas can permanently escape from the galaxy, and recycled gas, the ejection of molecular gas by the outflow, how that requires detailed knowledge on the accretion of fresh or calculated the depletion time based on the rate of molecular gas ejected by the outflow that can escape the gravitational potential of its host (i.e., the outflow of IRAS F08572+3915 based on the measurements we have of the molecular gas reservoir, the star formation activity, and the energetics of the outflow.

We start by comparing the time it would take the star formation and the outflow to exhaust ~ via consumption or ejection ~ the total reservoir of molecular gas. The star formation depletion timescale, defined as $t_{\text{dep, SF}} = M_{\text{mol}}/\dot{M}_{\text{SF}}$, is $t_{\text{dep, SF}} \approx 15$ Myr, which is at the low end of the range of $t_{\text{dep, SF}}$ measured in (U)LIRGs (e.g., Cicone et al. 2014; González-Alfonso et al. 2017; Shangguan et al. 2019). The molecular mass loading factor in IRAS F08572+3915 is $\eta_{\text{mol}} = M_{\text{out,mol}}/SFR \approx 5$, so the depletion time due to the outflow, $t_{\text{dep, out}} = M_{\text{out,mol}}/\dot{M}_{\text{out,mol}}$, is only ~3 Myr. This timescale is reduced by half if we only consider the molecular gas in the nuclear ~1.5 kiloparsec region (i.e., $t_{\text{dep, out}} \sim 1.5$ Myr). Based on this short depletion timescale, one could expect that the outflow is rapidly quenching the star formation activity in (at least) the central region of IRAS F08572+3915. Before jumping to such conclusion, however, it is important to keep in mind that: (1) the AGN is variable and “flickers” on expected timescales of ~0.1–1 Myr (e.g., Schawinski et al. 2015; Zubovas & King 2016), and (2) a large fraction of the molecular gas that is ejected via the outflow could be later re-accreted and become available to fuel future episodes of star formation (see for example the molecular outflows studied by Pereira-Santaella et al. 2018; Fluetsch et al. 2019; Herrera-Camus et al. 2019).

To obtain a rough estimate of the amount of molecular gas in the outflow of IRAS F08572+3915 that can escape the gravitational potential of its host, we need to determine the escape velocity from the system. We start by calculating the dynamical mass of the main (or northern) component of IRAS F08572+3915. For this we use dysmalpy, which is an updated version of the dynamical model code dysmal (Cresci et al. 2009; Davies et al. 2011) that now includes a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling procedure. We model the CO velocity field using as a free parameters the dynamical mass ($M_{\text{dyn}}$), effective radius of an exponential disk ($R_{\text{eff}}$), and inclination ($i$) of the galaxy. The details of the kinematic analysis are discussed in Appendix B. As we show in Fig. B.1, the dysmalpy model of the velocity field does a good job reproducing the bulk rotation of the system. From the MCMC sampling of the joint posterior probability distributions of the model parameters (see Fig. B.2), we determine that the dynamical mass and the effective radius are $\log_{10}(M_{\text{dyn}}/M_\odot) = 10.19_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ and $R_{\text{eff}} = 1.04_{-0.23}^{+0.17}$ kpc, respectively. Following a similar approach to Fluetsch et al. (2019), assuming a Hernquist profile for the density (Hernquist 1990) we estimate an escape velocity from the gravitational potential of the galaxy at $R_{\text{eff}}$ of $v_{\text{esc}} \approx 850$ km s$^{-1}$. If we then integrate the CO spectrum of the main galaxy in the range where velocities are higher than the escape velocity, we estimate that the global fraction of molecular gas that can escape the system is $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.4$. This value is at the high end of the distribution of global escape fractions of molecular gas computed for other U/LIRGs by Pereira-Santaella et al. (2018) and Fluetsch et al. (2019). It is also consistent with the high molecular escape fraction of $f_{\text{esc}} \approx 0.25$ derived based on the analysis of the OH transitions by González-Alfonso et al. (2017). One caveat worth mentioning in this simplified calculation is that owing to the limited spatial resolution and the lack of precise knowledge concerning the wind geometry, it is impossible to estimate what fraction of the outflowing gas will escape at distances $R \lesssim R_{\text{eff}}$. Taking this into account would most likely reduce the global escape fraction.

If we fold in the outflow escape fraction into the calculation of the mass loss rate, we obtain the molecular gas mass escape rate, which for IRAS F08572+3915 is $M_{\text{esc,mol}} = f_{\text{esc}} \times v_{\text{esc}} \times m_{\text{H}_2} \sim 150 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. This implies that the time it would take for the outflow to remove the molecular gas from the galaxy gravitational potential is only ~3 Myr for the inner ~1.5 kiloparsec region, and ~7 Myr for the whole molecular content of the system. Figure 8 put these timescales in context with those measured in other (U)LIRGs by Fluetsch et al. (2019) and Pereira-Santaella et al. (2018). The color symbols represent the star formation and outflow depletion timescales if we consider all the gas that is being ejected.

All of the (U)LIRGs except two (IRAS 14348 NE and PG 0157+001) have $t_{\text{dep, SF}} \leq t_{\text{dep, SF}}$ (or equivalently $f_{\text{esc}} \leq 1$), that is, the depletion of the molecular gas in these systems is dominated by the outflow. This scenario drastically changes if we now only consider the gas in the outflow that is fast enough to escape the gravitational potential of the galaxy. This change increases the outflow depletion timescales by a factor $f_{\text{esc}}^{-1}$, and the new position of the galaxies is shown with vertical grey lines. We note that all the galaxies, except IRAS F08572+3915, have shorter depletion timescales associated to the starburst activity, not the outflow ($t_{\text{dep, SF}} \leq t_{\text{dep, out}}$, or equivalently $\eta_{\text{out}} \geq \eta \times f_{\text{esc}} \leq 1$). For IRAS F08572+3915, the rate of gas ejection that can escape
is a factor of two higher than the rate of gas consumption. This result is consistent with the low molecular gas content measured in this galaxy relative to other (U)LIRGs: it is the system with the lowest molecular gas content in the sample of Solomon et al. (1997), and the one with the highest $L_{IR}/M_{H_2}$ ratio in the sample of González-Alfonso et al. (2015). The fact that the mass loading factor in IRAS F08572+3915 is higher than one – even after we consider only the gas that is fast enough to escape the system – shows the potential the outflow has to deplete the molecular gas from the central region and thus prevent future episodes of star formation (e.g., Hopkins & Elvis 2010; Zubovas & King 2012; Zubovas & Bourne 2017). It is important to keep in mind, however, a series of factors that complicate this first order interpretation. For one, we have AGN variability, which will extend the actual ejection time of molecular gas. Another important unknown is the fraction of gas that is removed from the gravitational potential of the host that could be reaccreted at later times.

6. Summary and conclusions

We present deep and spatially-resolved observations of the molecular gas in the ultra-luminous infrared galaxy IRAS F08572+3915 based on new, deep ($\sim 50$h) CO line observations with the NOEMA interferometer. This system is known to host a powerful, multi-phase AGN-driven outflow (Sturm et al. 2011; Rupke & Veilleux 2013a,b; Cicone et al. 2014; Janssen et al. 2016; González-Alfonso et al. 2017). The goal of this work was to characterize in detail the molecular phase of the wind and explore its impact on the star formation activity.

We highlight the following points:

1. Compared to previous observations of the CO(1-0) line emission by Cicone et al. (2014), our data achieves a better spatial resolution ($1.4'' \times 1.3''$ versus $3.1'' \times 2.7''$) and sensitivity ($\sigma = 0.06$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ versus $\sigma = 0.2$ mJy beam$^{-1}$). This allows us to spatially resolve the molecular outflow in the main galaxy and to detect for the first time the molecular gas in the minor galaxy of the interacting pair.

2. The molecular outflow in IRAS F08572+3915 is fast ($v_{out} \approx 1200$ km s$^{-1}$), massive ($M_{mol, out} \approx 1/4 \times M_{mol, disk}$), and most likely has a biconical shape with a wide opening angle. No velocity gradient in the outflow is observed.

3. We detect an additional outflow component in the receding side that is detached from the biconical structure. This "gas blob" has a molecular gas mass of $\sim 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$, it is located at about 6 kpc from the main galaxy, and is moving away at $\sim 900$ km s$^{-1}$. Its origin could be associated to the intermittent (or “flickering”) nature of AGN activity or the potential existence of a faint jet that interacted with the surrounding dense ISM medium.

4. Compared to other gas phases in the outflow (warm molecular, ionized, and atomic), the cold molecular phase dominates both the outflow mass and the mass loss rate in IRAS F08572+3915. In fact, this is the only phase where the mass loading factor $\eta$ is greater than unity ($\eta_{cold, mol} > 1 > \eta_{neutral} > \eta_{ion}$).

5. The fraction of molecular gas in the outflow that can escape the gravitational potential of the galaxy is $f_{esc} \sim 0.4$, which is at the high end of the range of escape fractions measured in other (U)LIRGs ($f_{esc} \sim 0.01$–$0.3$; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2018; Fluet sch et al. 2019).

6. The mass outflow rate of high-velocity gas that can escape the galaxy (i.e., $M_{esc, mol} = f_{esc} \times M_{out, mol}$) is $\sim 150 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, which is a factor of $\sim 2$ higher than the SFR. Compared to the samples of (U)LIRGs in Pereira-Santaella et al. (2018) and Fluet sch et al. (2019), IRAS F08572+3915 is the only system with a powerful enough outflow to deplete the central molecular gas by “blowing it away” on a timescale shorter than that of star formation.
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Appendix A: CO(1-0) spectrum of the fast gas blob from the A+B array configuration observations

![Fast Gas Blob Spectrum](image)

**Fig. A.1.** CO(1-0) spectrum of the outflowing gas blob located ~6 kpc north of the NW galaxy and that is independent of the main outflow structure. In red we show the spectrum extracted from the A+B only data, while in grey we show the spectrum from the combined A+B+C+D data (which is identical to the one shown in Fig. 5).

Figure A.1 shows the CO(1-0) spectrum extracted within a $R = 1.5''$ circular aperture centered on the second redshifted outflow component ($\alpha = 09:00:25.2$, $\delta = +39:03:58.8$) located ~6 kpc north of the NW galaxy. The difference between the red and grey spectra is that the former is based on A+B array configuration data, while the latter is extracted in the combined A+B+C+D data, identical to that shown in Fig. 5. We confirm that the fast gas blob is detected in the A+B only data.

**Appendix B: dysmalpy modeling of the kinematics**

We model the 2D velocity field of the body ($\pm 400$ km s$^{-1}$) of IRAS F08572+3915 to constrain its dynamical mass, effective radius, and inclination using an updated version of the dynamical fitting code *dysmalpy* (Cresci et al. 2009; Davies et al. 2011; Wuyts et al. 2016; Übler et al. 2018). The code creates a three-dimensional mass model of the galaxy which is then compared to the data based on an implementation of an MCMC sampling procedure using the EMCEE package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). One of the advantages of using *dysmalpy* is that it accounts for beam-smearing effects by convolving with the two-dimensional PSF (or beam) of the galaxy.

Free parameters in our modeling are the dynamical mass ($M_{\text{dyn}}$), the effective radius of an exponential disk ($R_{\text{eff}}$), and the inclination ($i$). For these parameters, we chose Gaussian priors which reflect our prior knowledge about their values and uncertainties. For the dynamical mass we chose the range $M_{\text{dyn}} = [10^7, 10^{11}] \, M_\odot$ (a previous estimate of the dynamical mass derived from Hα line kinematics gave $M_{\text{dyn}} = 10^{10} \, M_\odot$; Arribas et al. 2014) and for the effective radius we chose the range $R_{\text{eff}} = [0.5, 1.5]$ kpc, based on the range of values measured from HST F814W and F160W data (García-Marín et al. 2009), which is also consistent with our CO measurement (see Table 2). From a visual inspection of the HST data, we set the boundaries for the disk inclination $i$ prior between 20 and 60°. Fixed parameters in our modeling are the position angle and the central position of the velocity field which we set to 120° and $\alpha = 09:00:25.3$, $\delta = +39:03:54.2$, respectively, based on visual inspection.

Figure B.1 shows the CO(1-0) velocity field of IRAS F08572+3915, the velocity field extracted from the *dysmalpy* model cube, and the residual map. Overall, the kinematic model does a good job reproducing the bulk of the rotational motion observed in CO – the amplitude of the residual throughout a large portion of the disk is $\lesssim 15$ km s$^{-1}$. Near the edges, however, the model fails to capture the S-shaped pattern in the kinematics of IRAS F08572+3915, which is a signature of non-circular orbits and indicate deviations of the gravitational potential from axisymmetry or possible outflows and inflows (e.g., Roberts et al. 1979; Wong et al. 2004). This is expected as the *dysmalpy* model does not include any gas inflow or outflow component.

Figure B.2 shows the MCMC sampling of the joint posterior probability distributions of the model parameters (or the MCMC “corner plot”). Because the posterior distribution is well behaved, we choose our fiducial model to be represented by the median values of the individual marginalized distributions (blue lines), with uncertainties represented by the 1σ confidence ranges (dashed lines). Thus, our analysis based on the *dysmalpy* model suggests that $\log_{10}(M_{\text{dyn}}/M_\odot) = 10.19^{+0.17}_{-0.23}$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 1.04^{+0.17}_{-0.23}$ kpc, and $i = 37.11^{+12.55}_{-7.99}$°.
Fig. B.1. From left to right: CO(1-0) velocity field of IRAS F08572+3915, the best fit model from dysmalpy, and the residual map between the two. The observed motions in IRAS F08572+3915 are not purely rotational, as expected from a system that is undergoing a major interaction and host a powerful nuclear outflow. Nonetheless, the best fit velocity field from the dysmalpy code does a reasonable job reproducing the major kinematics features of IRAS F08572+3915.

Fig. B.2. MCMC “corner plot” of our best-fit parametric model (see Fig. B.1). Figure shows the one- and two-dimensional projections of the posterior probability distributions of the three free parameters: the dynamical mass ($M_{\text{dyn}}$), the effective radius ($R_{\text{eff}}$), and the inclination ($i$). The median values and 1σ confidence ranges of the marginalized distributions are indicated by the dashed lines in the 1D histograms. The median values are also shown as blue squares on top of the 2D histograms. The contours show the 1, 2, and 3σ confidence levels of the 2D distributions.