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1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Graphical groups

Throughout, graphs are finite, simple, and (unless otherwise indicated) contain at least one vertex. When the reference to an ambient graph is clear, we use ~ to indicate the associated adjacency relation. All rings are associative, commutative, and unital.

Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) be a graph with \( n \) vertices. The graphical group \( G_\Gamma(R) \) associated with \( \Gamma \) over a ring \( R \) was defined in [29, Section 3.4]. For a short equivalent description (see Section 2.3), write \( V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \) and let \( J = \{(j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq n, \ v_j \sim v_k\} \). Then \( G_\Gamma(R) \) is generated by symbols \( x_1(r), \ldots, x_n(r) \) and \( z_{jk}(r) \) for \( (j, k) \in J \) and \( r \in R \), subject to the following defining relations for \( i, i' \in [n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}, (j, k), (j', k') \in J \), and \( r, r' \in R \):

(i) \( x_i(r)x_i(r') = x_i(r + r') \) and \( z_{jk}(r)z_{jk}(r') = z_{jk}(r + r') \). (‘scalars’)
(ii) \( [x_j(r), x_k(r')] = z_{jk}(rr') \). (‘adjacent vertices and commutators’)

(Recall that \( (j, k) \in J \) so that \( v_j \sim v_k \).)
(iii) \( [x_i(r), x_i(r')] = 1 \) if \( v_i \sim v_i' \). (‘non-adjacent vertices and commutators’)
(iv) \( [x_i(r), z_{jk}(r')] = [z_{j'k'}(r), z_{jk}(r')] = 1 \). (‘centrality of commutators’)
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Note that every ring map $R \to R'$ induces an evident group homomorphism $G_\Gamma(R) \to G_\Gamma(R')$. We will see in Section 2.3 that the resulting group functor $G_\Gamma$ represents the graphical group scheme associated with $\Gamma$ as defined in [29]. The isomorphism type of $G_\Gamma$ does not depend on the chosen ordering of the vertices of $\Gamma$.

**Example 1.1.** Various instances and relatives of graphical groups appeared in the literature.

(i) $G_\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the maximal nilpotent quotient of class at most 2 of the right-angled Artin group $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid [x_i, x_j] = 1 \text{ whenever } v_i \sim v_j \rangle$; see Section 2.1.

(ii) Let $K_n$ denote a complete graph on $n$ vertices. Then $G_{K_n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a free nilpotent group of rank $n$ and class at most 2. For each odd prime $p$, the graphical group $G_{K_n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a free nilpotent group of rank $n$, exponent dividing $p$, and class at most 2. (Both statements follow from Proposition 2.1 below. We note that the prime 2 does not play an exceptional role in any of our main results.)

(iii) Let $P_n$ be a path graph on $n$ vertices. Let $U_d \leq \text{GL}_d$ be the group scheme of upper unitriangular $d \times d$ matrices. Then for each ring $R$, the group $G_{P_n}(R)$ is the maximal quotient of class at most 2 of $U_{n+1}(R)$; cf. [29, Section 9.4].

(iv) Let $\Delta_n$ denote an edgeless graph on $n$ vertices. Then for each ring $R$, we may identify $G_{\Delta_n}(R)$ and the (abelian) additive group $R^n$.

(v) Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then $G_\Delta(F_p)$ is isomorphic to the $p$-group attached to the complement of $\Gamma$ via Mekler’s construction [22]; cf. Proposition 2.1(ii). Li and Qiao [17] used what they dubbed the Baer-Lovász–Tutte procedure to attach a finite $p$-group to $\Gamma$. Their group is also isomorphic to $G_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{F}_p)$; see Section 2.4.

1.2 Known results: Class numbers of graphical groups

Let $cc_e(G)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of size $e$ of a finite group $G$, and let $k(G) = \sum_{e=1}^{\infty} cc_e(G)$ be the class number of $G$. It is well known that $k(\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F}_q))$ is a polynomial in $q$ for fixed $d$; see [31, Chapter 1, Exercise 190]. This article is devoted to the class numbers $k(G_\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_q))$.

We first recall known results.

**Theorem 1.2** [29, Cor. 1.3]. Given a graph $\Gamma$, there exists $f_\Gamma(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $k(G_\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_q)) = f_\Gamma(q)$ for each prime power $q$.

We call $f_\Gamma(X)$ the class-counting polynomial of $\Gamma$. In [29], Theorem 1.2 is derived from a more general uniformity result [29, Cor. B] for class-counting zeta functions associated with graphical group schemes; see Section 8.1. Formulae for $f_\Gamma(X)$ when $\Gamma$ has at most 5 vertices can be deduced from the tables in [29, Section 9]. Moreover, several families of class-counting polynomials have been previously computed in the literature.

**Example 1.3.** O’Brien and Voll [24, Thm 2.6] gave a formula for the number of conjugacy classes of given size of $p$-groups derived from free nilpotent Lie algebras via the Lazard correspondence. Using the interpretation of $G_{K_n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in Example 1.1(ii), their formula or, alternatively, work of Ito and Mann [16, Section 1] yields $f_{K_n}(X) = X^{(n-1)/2}(X^n + X^{n-1} - 1)$. 
Example 1.4. In light of Example 1.1(iii), Marjoram’s enumeration [21, Thm 7] of the irreducible characters of given degree of the maximal class-2 quotients of $U_d(F_q)$ yields

$$f_p(X) = \sum_{a=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \binom{n-a}{a} X^{n-a-1} (X-1)^a + \binom{n-a-1}{a} X^{n-a-1} (X-1)^{a+1} \right).$$

(1.1)

For any graph $\Gamma$, the size of each conjugacy class of $G_\Gamma(F_q)$ is of the form $q^i$; see Proposition 2.3(i). As indicated in [29, Section 8.5], the methods underpinning Theorem 1.2 can be used to strengthen said theorem: each $cc_{q^i}(G_\Gamma(F_q))$ is a polynomial in $q$ with rational coefficients. While constructive, the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [29] relies on an elaborate recursion. In particular, no explicit general formulae for the numbers $cc_{q^i}(G_\Gamma(F_q))$ or the polynomial $f_\Gamma(X)$ have been previously recorded.

Recall that the join $\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2$ of graphs $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ is obtained from their disjoint union $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ by adding edges connecting each vertex of $\Gamma_1$ to each vertex of $\Gamma_2$. Further recall that a cograph is any graph that can be obtained from two cographs on fewer vertices by taking disjoint unions or joins, starting with an isolated vertex.

Theorem 1.5 (Cf. [29, Theorem E]). Let $\Gamma$ be a cograph. Then the coefficients of $f_\Gamma(X)$ as a polynomial in $X-1$ are non-negative integers.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 are special cases of more general results pertaining to class numbers of graphical groups $G_\Gamma(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{P}^i)$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is a compact discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}$. We will briefly discuss this topic in Section 8.

1.3 The graph polynomials $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ and $F_\Gamma(X, Y)$

As before, let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph. Prior to stating our results, we first define what, to the author’s knowledge, appears to be a new graph polynomial. For a (not necessarily proper) subset $U \subset V$, let $\Gamma[U]$ be the induced subgraph of $\Gamma$ with vertex set $U$. Let $c_\Gamma(U)$ denote the number of connected components of $\Gamma[U]$. (We allow $U = \emptyset$ in which case $c_\Gamma(U) = 0$.) The closed neighbourhood $N_\Gamma[v] \subset V$ of $v \in V$ consists of $v$ and all vertices adjacent to it. For $U \subset V$, write $N_\Gamma[U] = \bigcup_{u \in U} N_\Gamma[u]$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) = \sum_{U \subset V} (X-1)^{|U|} Y^{|N_\Gamma[U]|-c_\Gamma(U)} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y].$$

(1.2)

Remark 1.6. While $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ resembles the Tutte polynomial of a matroid on the ground set $V$, it is unclear to the author whether this is more than a formal similarity. Similarly, $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ is reminiscent of the subgraph polynomial [26, Section 3] of $\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma$ have $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, and $c$ connected components. Recall that the (matroid) rank of $\Gamma$ is $rk(\Gamma) = n - c$. Define the class-size polynomial of $\Gamma$ to be

$$F_\Gamma(X, Y) = X^m \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, X^{-1}Y) = \sum_{U \subset V} (X-1)^{|U|} X^{|N_\Gamma[U]|-c_\Gamma(U)} Y^{|N_\Gamma[U]|-c_\Gamma(U)}. \quad (1.3)$$
The degree of \( \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) \) as a polynomial in \( Y \) is \( \text{rk}(\Gamma) \); see Proposition 6.2. As \( \text{rk}(\Gamma) \leq m \), we conclude that \( F_\Gamma(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y] \). While \( \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) \) and \( F_\Gamma(X, Y) \) determine each other, \( \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) \) is often more convenient to work with and \( F_\Gamma(X, Y) \) turns out to be more directly related to the enumeration of conjugacy classes; see Theorem A.

Example 1.7.

(i) \( \mathcal{C}_{K_n}(X, Y) = (X^n - 1)Y^{n-1} + 1 \) and \( F_{K_n}(X, Y) = \left(X^{\binom{n}{2}}+1 - X^{\binom{n-1}{2}}\right)Y^{n-1} + X^{\binom{n}{2}} \).

(ii) \( \mathcal{C}_{\Delta_n}(X, Y) = X^n = F_{\Delta_n}(X, Y) \).

1.4 Main results

Let \( \Gamma \) be a graph. The main result of this article justifies the term ‘class-size polynomial’.

Theorem A. \( F_\Gamma(q, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} cc_{q^i}(G_\Gamma(F_{q^i}))Y^i \) for each prime power \( q \).

Note that \( cc_{q^i}(G_\Gamma(F_{q^i})) = 0 \) for all sufficiently large \( i \) so Theorem A asserts an equality of polynomials in \( Y \).

Example 1.8. The formula in [24, Thm 2.6] referred to in Example 1.3 shows that if \( q \) is an odd prime power, then \( G_{K_{q^n}}(F_{q^n}) \) has a centre of order \( q^{\binom{n}{2}} \) and precisely \( (q^n - 1)q^{\binom{n-1}{2}} \) non-trivial conjugacy classes, all of size \( q^{n-1} \). These numbers agree with Example 1.7(i). Clearly, Example 1.7(ii) agrees with the fact that \( G_{\Delta_n}(F_{q^n}) \approx F_{q^n} \) is abelian.

Theorem A provides us with the following explicit formula for the class-counting polynomial \( f_\Gamma(X) \) defined in Theorem 1.2:

Corollary B. \( f_\Gamma(X) = F_\Gamma(X, 1) = \sum_{U \subseteq V} (X - 1)^{|U|}X^{m+c_\Gamma(U)} - |N_\Gamma(U)| \).

Note that Corollary B shows that \( f_\Gamma(X) \) has integer coefficients. In the spirit of work surrounding Higman’s conjecture (see Section 1.5) and Theorem 1.5, Theorem A implies the following refinement of the preceding observation:

Corollary C. For each \( e \geq 1 \), the number of conjugacy classes of \( G_\Gamma(F_{q^n}) \) of size \( e \) is given by a polynomial in \( q - 1 \) with non-negative integer coefficients.

Proof. Use the binomial theorem to expand powers of \( X = (X - 1) + 1 \) in (1.3). □

It is natural to ask whether the coefficients referred to in Corollary C enumerate meaningful combinatorial objects. Corollary 6.5 will provide a partial answer to this.

We shall not endeavour to improve substantially upon the exponential-time algorithm for computing \( \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) \) suggested by Equation (1.2). Indeed, we will obtain the following:

Proposition D. Computing \( \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) \), and hence also \( F_\Gamma(X, Y) \), is NP-hard.
More precisely, we will see that knowledge of $C_\Gamma(X, Y)$ allows us to read off the cardinalities of connected dominating sets of $\Gamma$. The problem of deciding whether a graph admits a connected dominating set of cardinality at most a given number is known to be NP-complete; see Theorem 6.6.

By Theorem A and Proposition D, symbolically enumerating the conjugacy classes of given size of $G_\Gamma(F_q)$ (as a polynomial in $q$) is NP-hard. The problem of measuring the difficulty of symbolically enumerating all conjugacy classes of $G_\Gamma(F_q)$ remains open.

**Question 1.9.** Is computing $f_\Gamma(X)$ NP-hard?

### 1.5 | Related work: Around Higman’s conjecture

Recall that $U_d \leq GL_d$ denotes the group scheme of upper unitriangular $d \times d$ matrices. A famous conjecture due to G. Higman [14] predicts that $k(U_d(F_q))$ is given by a polynomial in $q$ for fixed $d$. This has been confirmed for $d \leq 13$ by Vera-López and Arregi [35] and for $d \leq 16$ by Pak and Soffer [25]. The former authors also showed that the sizes of conjugacy classes of $U_d(F_q)$ are of the form $q^i$ (see [36, Section 3]) and that $cc_q(U_d(F_q))$ is a polynomial in $q – 1$ with non-negative integer coefficients for $i \leq d – 3$ (see [34]). Many authors studied variants of Higman’s conjecture for unipotent groups derived from various types of algebraic groups; see, for example, [10].

While logically independent of the work described here, Higman’s conjecture (and the body of research surrounding it) certainly provided motivation for topics considered and results obtained in this article (for example, Corollary C).

### 1.6 | Open problems: Enumerating characters of graphical groups

Let $Irr(G)$ denote the set of (ordinary) irreducible characters of a finite group $G$. It is well known that $k(G) = | Irr(G) |$ (see, for example,[15, V, Section 5]), and the enumeration of irreducible characters of a group (according to their degrees) has often been studied as a ‘dual’ of the enumeration of conjugacy classes (according to their sizes); see, for example, [18, 24, 28]. For odd $q$, [24, Thm B] implies that the degree $\chi(1)$ of each irreducible character $\chi$ of a graphical group $G_\Gamma(F_q)$ is of the form $q^i$.

**Question 1.10.** Let $\Gamma$ be a graph and let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. How does

$$ch(\Gamma, i; q) := \# \{ \chi \in Irr(G_\Gamma(F_q)) : \chi(1) = q^i \}$$

depend on the prime power $q$?

It is known that $ch(\Gamma, i; q)$ is a polynomial in $q$ for $\Gamma = \Delta_n$ (trivially), $\Gamma = P_n$ (by [21, Thm 7]), and $\Gamma = K_n$ (for odd $q$; by [24, Prop. 2.4]).

Let $v_1, ..., v_n$ be the distinct vertices of a graph $\Gamma$. Let $Y$ consist of algebraically independent variables $Y_{ij}$ (over $Z$) indexed by pairs $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $v_i \sim v_j$. Let $B_\Gamma(Y)$ be the antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ entry for $i < j$ is equal to $Y_{ij}$ if $v_i \sim v_j$ and zero otherwise. (That is, $B_\Gamma(Y)$ is a generic antisymmetric matrix with support constraints defined by $\Gamma$ as in [29].) Let $m$
be the number of edges of $\Gamma$. Using an arbitrary ordering, relabel our variables as $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$. Then [24, Thm B] shows that for odd $q$, up to a factor given by an explicit power of $q$ (depending on $\Gamma$ and $i$), $\text{ch}(\Gamma, i; q)$ coincides with $\# \{ y \in F^m_q : \text{rk}_{F_q}(B_{\Gamma}(y)) = 2i \}$. In our proof of Theorem A (see Section 4), the number of conjugacy classes of $G_{\Gamma}(F_q)$ of given size is similarly expressed in terms of the number of specialisations of given rank of a matrix of linear forms. In that setting, the latter enumeration can be carried out explicitly using algebraic and graph-theoretic arguments.

It is unclear to the author whether such a line of attack could be used to answer Question 1.10. Work of Belkale and Brosnan [2, Thm 0.5] on rank counts for generic symmetric (rather than antisymmetric) matrices with support constraints leads the author to suspect that the functions of $q$ considered in Question 1.10 might be rather wild as $\Gamma$ and $i$ vary.

1.7 | Overview

In Section 2, we relate the definition of graphical groups from Section 1.1 to that from [29]. Introduced in [29], adjacency modules are modules over polynomial rings whose specialisations are closely related to conjugacy classes of graphical groups. In Section 3, we determine the dimensions of such specialisations over fields. By combining this with work of O’Brien and Voll [24], in Section 4, we prove Theorem A. In Section 5, we show that the polynomials $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ are well behaved with respect to joins of graphs. In Section 6, we consider the constant term and leading coefficient of $\mathcal{G}_{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ in $Y$ and we prove Proposition D. Next, Section 7 is devoted to the degree of $f_{\Gamma}(X)$. Finally, in Section 8, we relate our findings to the study of zeta functions enumerating conjugacy classes.

1.8 | Notation

The symbol ‘$\subset$’ indicates not necessarily proper inclusion. Group commutators are written $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. For a ring $R$ and set $A$, $RA$ denotes the free $R$-module with basis $(e_a)_{a \in A}$. For $x \in RA$, we write $x = \sum_{a \in A} x_a e_a$. We view $d \times e$ matrices over $R$ as maps $R^d \to R^e$ acting by right multiplication. We let $\bullet$ denote a graph with one vertex.

2 | GRAPHICAL GROUPS AND GROUP SCHEMES

Throughout this section, let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. We write $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $J = \{(j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq n, v_j \sim v_k\}$. For a ring $R$, let $R \Gamma$ denote the free $R$-module of rank $m+n$ with basis consisting of $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ and all $e_{jk}$ for $(j, k) \in J$. The chosen ordering of $V$ allows us to identify $R \Gamma = RV \oplus RE$ (see Section 1.8).

2.1 | Graphical groups over quotients of the integers

Recall that the right-angled Artin group associated with the complement of $\Gamma$ is

$$A_{\Gamma} := \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n | [x_i, x_j] = 1 \text{ whenever } v_i \sim v_j \rangle.$$
Let $\gamma_1(H) \geq \gamma_2(H) \geq \cdots$ denote the lower central series of a group $H$. Recall the definition of $G_T(R)$ from Section 1.1.

**Proposition 2.1.**

(i) (Cf. [29, Rem. 3.8].) $G_T(Z) \approx A_T/\gamma_3(A_T)$.

(ii) $G_T(Z/NZ) \approx A_T/\gamma_3(A_T)A_T^N$ if $N \geq 1$ is an odd integer.

*Proof.* Part (i) follows since $x_1(r) = x_i(1) r$ and $z_{jk}(r) = z_{jk}(1) r$ in $G_T(Z)$ for $r \in Z$, $i \in [n]$, and $(j, k) \in J$. Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a nilpotent group with $\gamma_3(G) = 1$. As is well known (and easy to see), $[ab, c] = [a, c][b, c]$ and $(ab)^N = a^N b^N [b, a]^{N/2}$ for $a, b, c \in G$; cf. [15, III, Hilfssatz 1.2c) and Hilfssatz 1.3b]). Let $N$ be odd so that $N \mid (N/2)$. Then, if $x^N = 1$ for all $x \in X$, we find that $a^N = 1$ for all $a \in G$. Taking $X = \{x_1(1), \ldots, x_n(1)\}$ and $G = G_T(Z/NZ)$, we obtain $G_T(Z/NZ) \approx G_T(Z)/(x_1(1)^N, \ldots, x_n(1)^N) \approx G_T(Z)/G_T(Z)^N \approx A_T/\gamma_3(A_T)A_T^N$, which proves (ii). $\Box$

2.2 | Graphical group schemes following [29]

We summarise the construction of the graphical group scheme $H_T$ from [29, Section 3.4] (denoted by $G_T$ in [29]). For a ring $R$, the underlying set of the group $H_T(R)$ is $R \Gamma$. The group operation $\ast$ is characterised as follows:

(G1) $0 \in R \Gamma$ is the identity element of $H_T(R)$.

(G2) For all $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$, we have $r_1 e_1 \ast \cdots \ast r_n e_n = r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_n e_n$.

(G3) For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ and $r, s \in R$, we have

\[
se_j \ast re_i = \begin{cases} re_i + se_j - rse_{ij}, & \text{if } v_i \sim v_j, \\ re_i + se_j, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

(G4) For all $x \in R \Gamma$ and $z \in R E \subset R \Gamma$, we have $x \ast z = z \ast x = x + z$.

Given a ring map $R \rightarrow R'$, the induced map $R \Gamma \rightarrow R' \Gamma$ is a group homomorphism $H_T(R) \rightarrow H_T(R')$. The resulting group functor $H_T$ represents the graphical group scheme constructed in [29, Section 3.4].

2.3 | Relating the two constructions of graphical group schemes

The group functors $G_T$ (see Section 1.1) and $H_T$ (see Section 2.2) are naturally isomorphic:

**Proposition 2.2.** For each ring $R$, the map $\vartheta_R : H_T(R) \rightarrow G_T(R)$ given by

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i + \sum_{(j,k) \in J} r_{jk} e_{jk} \mapsto x_1(r_1) \cdots x_n(r_n) \prod_{(j,k) \in J} z_{jk}(r_{jk}) \quad (r_i, r_{jk} \in R)
\]

is a group isomorphism. These maps combine to form a natural isomorphism of group functors $H_T \approx G_T$. 
Proof. By a simple calculation in $H_{Γ}(R)$, we find that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $r_i, r_j \in R$,
\[
[r_i e_i, r_j e_j] = \begin{cases} 
 r_i r_j e_{ij}, & \text{if } v_i \sim v_j, \\
 0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
We thus obtain a group homomorphism $π_R : G_{Γ}(R) \to H_{Γ}(R)$ sending each $x_i(r)$ to $r e_i$ and each $z_{jk}(r)$ to $r e_{jk}$. By construction, $π_R \partial_R = \text{id}_{H_{Γ}(R)}$ and $\partial_R π_R = \text{id}_{H_{Γ}(R)}$. □

We are therefore justified in referring to both $G_{Γ}$ and $H_{Γ}$ as ‘the’ graphical group scheme associated with $Γ$. As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, each $g \in G_{Γ}(R)$ admits a unique representation
\[
g = x_1(r_1) \cdots x_n(r_n) \prod_{(j,k) \in J} z_{jk}(r_{jk}).
\]
In particular, $G_{Γ}(R)$ has order $|R|^{m+n}$.

### 2.4 Centralisers in graphical groups and graphical Lie algebras

The graphical Lie algebra $h_{Γ}(R)$ associated with $Γ$ over a ring $R$ is defined by endowing the module $R Γ$ with the Lie bracket $(\cdot , \cdot )$ characterised by the following properties:

- For $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, we have $(e_j, e_k) = e_{jk}$ if $(j,k) \in J$ and $(e_j, e_k) = 0$ otherwise.
- For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $(j,k), (j',k') \in J$, we have $(e_i, e_{jk}) = (e_{jk}, e_{j'k'}) = 0$.

We may identify $h_{Γ}(R) = h_{Γ}(𝐙) \otimes R$ as Lie $R$-algebras and $H_{Γ}(R) = h_{Γ}(R)$ as sets.

Then $H_{Γ}$ is the group scheme associated with the Lie algebra $h_{Γ}(𝐙)$ via the construction from [32, Section 2.4.1]; cf. [29, Section 2.4]. In particular, if $2 \in R^{×}$, then $H_{Γ}(R)$ (and hence $G_{Γ}(R)$) is isomorphic to the group $\exp(h_{Γ}(R))$ associated with $h_{Γ}(R)$ via the Lazard correspondence. It follows that for an odd prime $p$, $H_{Γ}(F_p)$ is isomorphic to the finite $p$-group attached to $Γ$ by Li and Qiao [17]. He and Qiao [13, Thm 1.1] showed that for graphs $Γ$ and $Γ'$ and an odd prime $p$, $H_{Γ}(F_p)$ and $H_{Γ'}(F_p)$ are isomorphic if and only if $Γ$ and $Γ'$ are.

**Proposition 2.3.**

(i) The group centraliser of $h \in R Γ$ in $H_{Γ}(R)$ and the Lie centraliser of $h$ in $h_{Γ}(R)$ coincide as sets. Hence, the size of each conjugacy class of $H_{Γ}(F_q)$ is a power of $q$.

(ii) The centres of $H_{Γ}(R)$ and $h_{Γ}(R)$ coincide as sets. The centre of $h_{Γ}(R)$ is the submodule of $R Γ$ generated by all $e_{jk}$ for $(j,k) \in J$ and all $e_i$ for isolated vertices $v_i$.

(iii) $[H_{Γ}(R), H_{Γ}(R)] = (h_{Γ}(R), h_{Γ}(R)) = RE$, and $H_{Γ}(R)/[H_{Γ}(R), H_{Γ}(R)] \approx RV$.

**Proof.** The elements $r e_i$ for $r \in R$ and $i = 1, \ldots , n$ generate $H_{Γ}(R)$ as a group and $h_{Γ}(R)$ as a Lie $R$-algebra. As $H_{Γ}(R)$ and $h_{Γ}(R)$ both have class at most 2, using the calculation from the proof of Proposition 2.2, we find that for $h_1, h_2 \in R Γ$, the Lie bracket $(h_1, h_2)$ coincides with the group commutator $[h_1, h_2]$. All claims follow easily from this. □
3 ADJACENCY MODULES

Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) be a graph. Let \( X_V = (X_v)_{v \in V} \) consist of algebraically independent variables over \( \mathbb{Z} \). The adjacency module of \( \Gamma \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}[X_V] \)-module

\[
\text{Adj}(\Gamma) := \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X_V] V}{\langle X_v e_w - X_w e_v : v, w \in V \text{ with } v \sim w \rangle}.
\]

These modules were introduced in [29, Section 3.3]. Their study turns out to be closely related to the enumeration of conjugacy classes of graphical groups; see [29, Sections 3.4, 6, 7]. We note that what we call adjacency modules here are dubbed negative adjacency modules in [29].

For a ring \( R \) and \( x \in RV \), we obtain an \( R \)-module by specialising \( \text{Adj}(\Gamma) \) in the form

\[
\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x := \text{Adj}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[X_V]} R_x \approx R_V \langle x_v e_w - x_w e_v : v, w \in V \text{ with } v \sim w \rangle,
\]

where \( R_x \) denotes \( R \) regarded as a \( \mathbb{Z}[X_V] \)-algebra via \( X_v r = x_v r \) for \( v \in V \) and \( r \in R \).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \Gamma_i = (V_i, E_i) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) be graphs on disjoint vertex sets. Let \( \Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 = (V, E) \) be their disjoint union. Let \( R \) be a ring and let \( x \in RV \). Let \( x_i \in RV_i \) denote the image of \( x \) under the natural projection \( RV = RV_1 \oplus RV_2 \rightarrow RV_i \). Then \( \text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x \approx \text{Adj}(\Gamma_1)_x \oplus \text{Adj}(\Gamma_2)_x \) as \( R \)-modules.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( x \in KV \) and \( U = \text{supp}(x) \). Then

\[
\dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x) = c_\Gamma(U) + |V| - |N_\Gamma[U]|.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( H := \langle x_v e_w - x_w e_v : v, w \in V \rangle \leq KV \) so that \( \text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x \approx KV/H \).

(a) Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is connected and \( U = V \). We need to show that \( \dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x) = 1 \). To see that, first note that \( H \subset x^\perp \), where the orthogonal complement is taken with respect to the bilinear form \( y \cdot z = \sum_{v \in V} y_v z_v \). Hence, \( \text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x \neq 0 \). Choose a spanning tree \( T \) of \( \Gamma \) and a root \( r \in V \). For \( v \in V \setminus \{r\} \), let \( p(v) \) be the predecessor of \( v \) on the unique path from \( r \) to \( v \) in \( T \). As the elements \( e_v - \frac{x_v}{x_{p(v)}} e_{p(v)} \in H \) for \( v \in V \setminus \{r\} \) are linearly independent, \( \dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x) \leq 1 \). Thus, \( \dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x) = 1 \).

(b) If \( U = V \) but \( \Gamma \) is possibly disconnected, then (a) and Lemma 3.1 show that \( \dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x) = c_\Gamma(U) \)

(c) For the general case, let \( x[U] := \sum_{u \in U} x_u e_u \in KU \) be the image of \( x \) under the natural projection \( KV = KU \oplus K(V \setminus U) \rightarrow KU \). We claim that \( \text{Adj}(\Gamma)_x \approx \text{Adj}(\Gamma[U])[x[U]] \oplus K(V \setminus N_\Gamma[U]) \). Indeed, this follows since \( H \) is spanned by the following two types of elements:

\( x_u e_v - x_v e_u \) for adjacent vertices \( u, v \in U \).

\( e_w \) for \( w \in N_\Gamma[U] \setminus U \).

The claim follows since by (b), \( \dim(\text{Adj}(\Gamma[U])[x[U]]) = c_\Gamma(U) \).
Remark 3.3. Let $\Gamma$ have $n$ vertices and $c$ connected components. Lemma 3.2 generalises a well-known basic fact: each oriented incidence matrix of $\Gamma$ has rank $\text{rk}(\Gamma) = n - c$; see [4, Prop. 4.3]. This easily implies the special case $x = \sum_{v \in V} e_v$ of Lemma 3.2.

4 | PROOF OF THEOREM A

We first rephrase Theorem A. For a finite group $G$, define a Dirichlet polynomial $\zeta_{cc}(s) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} cc_c(G)e^{-cs}$; here, $s$ denotes a complex variable. For almost simple groups, these functions were studied in [18]. Following [19], we refer to $\zeta_{cc}(s)$ as the conjugacy class zeta function of $G$. We note that a different notion of conjugacy class zeta functions, occasionally denoted using the same notation $\zeta_{cc}(s)$, can also be found in the literature; see [3, 7, 27, 28]. Following [29], in Section 8.1, we will refer to the latter functions as class-counting zeta functions.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Theorem A is equivalent to $\zeta_{cc}(G_{\Gamma}(F_q))(s) = q^m \phi^1_{\Gamma}(q, q^{-1} - s)$.

Lemma 4.1. $\zeta_{cc}(G_{\Gamma}(F_q))(s) = q^{m-n}(s+1) \sum_{x \in F_q^V} |\text{Adj}(\Gamma,x)|^{s+1}$.

Proof. Write $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $J = \{(j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq n \text{ with } v_j \sim v_k\}$; for a ring $R$, we identify $RV = R^n$. We assume that $v_{n'+1}, \ldots, v_n$ are the isolated vertices of $\Gamma$. Order the elements of $J$ lexicographically to establish a bijection between $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $J$.

Write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\Gamma}(Z)$; see Section 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{h}'$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ denote the derived subalgebra and centre of $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively. By Proposition 2.3, $\mathfrak{h}'$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ are free $Z$-modules of ranks $m$ and $m + n - n'$, respectively. Moreover, the images of $e_1, \ldots, e_{n'}$ form a $Z$-basis of $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{z}$. Proposition 2.3 also shows that for each ring $R$, we may identify $\mathfrak{h}' \otimes R$ with the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h} \otimes R$, and $\mathfrak{z} \otimes R$ with the centre of $\mathfrak{h} \otimes R$.

Suppose that $q = p^f$ for an odd prime $p$. As we noted in Section 2.4, $G_{\Gamma}(F_q)$ is isomorphic to the group $\exp(\mathfrak{h}_{\Gamma}(F_q))$ attached to the Lie $F_q$-algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\Gamma}(F_q) = \mathfrak{h} \otimes F_q$ via the Lazard correspondence.

Let $A(X_1, \ldots, X_{n'}) \in M_{n' \times m}(Z[X_1, \ldots, X_{n'}])$ be the matrix of linear forms whose $(j, k)$th column has precisely two non-zero entries, namely, $X_k$ and $-X_j$ in rows $j$ and $k$, respectively. Let $Z_p$ denote the ring of $p$-adic integers. It is readily verified that the image of the matrix $A(X_1, \ldots, X_{n'})$ over $Z_p[X_1, \ldots, X_{n'}]$ is a commutator matrix (as defined in [24, Def. 2.1]) associated with the finite Lie $Z_p$-algebra $\mathfrak{h} \otimes F_p$.

By [24, Thm B]

$$\text{cc}_q(G_{\Gamma}(F_q)) = \#\{x \in F_q^{n'} : \text{rk}_{F_q}(A(x)) = i\} \cdot q^{n-n'+m-i}.$$ 

Let $\tilde{A}(X)$ be the $m \times n$ matrix over $Z[X] = Z[X_1, \ldots, X_{n'}]$ which is obtained from $A(X_1, \ldots, X_{n'})^T$ by adding zero columns in positions $n' + 1, \ldots, n$. Hence,

$$\text{cc}_q(G_{\Gamma}(F_q)) = \#\{x \in F_q^n : \text{rk}_{F_q}(\tilde{A}(x)) = i\} \cdot q^{m-i}.$$ 

By construction, $\text{Adj}((\Gamma)_x) \approx \text{Coker}(\tilde{A}(x))$ for all $x \in F_q^V = F_q^n$. In particular, for $x \in F_q^n$, we have $\text{rk}_{F_q}(\tilde{A}(x)) = i$ if and only if $\text{dim}_{F_q}(\text{Adj}((\Gamma)_x)) = n - i$. Hence, writing $\alpha_x = |\text{Adj}((\Gamma)_x)|$, we
have \( \text{rk}_{F_q}(\bar{A}(x)) = i \) if and only if \( q^n \alpha_x^{-1} = q^i \). Thus,

\[
\zeta^\text{cc}_{G_r(F_q)}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{cc}_{q^i}(G_r(F_q))q^{-is} = \sum_{x \in F_qV} q^{m-n \alpha_x} \cdot (q^n \alpha_x^{-1})^{-s} = q^{m-n(s+1)} \sum_{x \in F_qV} \alpha_x^{s+1}.
\]

Finally, if \( q \) is even, while the statement of [24, Thm B] itself is no longer directly applicable (due to its reliance on the Lazard correspondence), its proof in [24, Sections 3.1, 3.3–3.4] does apply in the present setting, completing the present proof. Indeed, the key ingredient that we need is to be able to identify \( G_r(F_q) \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \otimes F_q \) as sets such that two elements commute in the group if and only if they commute in the Lie algebra. These conditions are satisfied by Propositions 2.2 and 2.3.

**Proof of Theorem A.** By combining Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

\[
\zeta^\text{cc}_{G_r(F_q)}(s) = q^{m-n(s+1)} \sum_{x \in F_qV} \left( q^{c_r(\text{supp}(x))+n-|N_r[\text{supp}(x)]|} \right)^{s+1} = q^m \sum_{x \in F_qV} (q^{-1-s})^{N_r[\text{supp}(x)]-c_r(\text{supp}(x))} = q^m \sum_{U \subseteq V} (q-1)^{|U|} (q^{-1-s})^{N_r[U]-c_r(U)} = q^m \zeta^r(q, q^{-1-s}).
\]

\( \square \)

5 | GRAPH OPERATIONS: DISJOINT UNIONS AND JOINS

Let \( \Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1) \) and \( \Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2) \) be graphs with \( V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset \). Let \( \Gamma_i \) have \( n_i \) vertices and \( m_i \) edges. The disjoint union \( \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 \) and join \( \Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2 \) (see Section 1.2) of \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are both graphs on the vertex set \( V_1 \cup V_2 \) with \( m_1 + m_2 \) and \( m_1 + m_2 + n_1 n_2 \) edges, respectively.

**Proposition 5.1.** \( \zeta^r_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}(X, Y) = \zeta^r_{\Gamma_1}(X, Y) \zeta^r_{\Gamma_2}(X, Y) \).

**Proof.** This follows since if \( U_i \subseteq V_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), then \( N_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}[U_1 \cup U_2] = N_{\Gamma_1}[U_1] \cup N_{\Gamma_2}[U_2] \) and \( c_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}(U_1 \cup U_2) = c_{\Gamma_1}(U_1) + c_{\Gamma_2}(U_2) \). \( \square \)

Proposition 5.1 also follows, a fortiori, from Theorem A and the identity \( \text{cc}_e(G_1 \times G_2) = \sum_{d|e} \text{cc}_d(G_1)\text{cc}_{e/d}(G_2) \) for finite groups \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \).

**Proposition 5.2.**

\[ \zeta^r_{\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2}(X, Y) = 1 + \left( \zeta^r_{\Gamma_1}(X, Y) - 1 \right) Y^{n_2} + Y^{n_1} \left( \zeta^r_{\Gamma_2}(X, Y) - 1 \right) + (X^{n_1} - 1)(X^{n_2} - 1)Y^{n_1+n_2-1}. \]
Proof. Write $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2$ and $V = V_1 \cup V_2$. Let $U_i \subset V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $U = U_1 \cup U_2$. We seek to relate the summand $t(U) := (X - 1)^{|U_1|}Y_1^{|N_1[U]|}c_1(U)$ in the definition of $C_\Gamma(X,Y)$ to the summands $t_i(U_i) := (X - 1)^{|U_i|}Y_1^{|N_i[U_i]|}c_1(U_i)$. We consider four cases:

1. If $U_1 = U_2 = U = \emptyset$, then $t(U) = 1$.
2. If $U_1 \neq \emptyset = U_2$, then $N_\Gamma[U] = N_1[U_1] \cup V_2$, $c_\Gamma(U) = c_1(U_1)$, and $t(U) = t_1(U_1)Y_{n_2}$.
3. Analogously, if $U_1 = \emptyset \neq U_2$, then $t(U) = Y_{n_1}t_2(U_2)$.
4. If $U_1 \neq \emptyset \neq U_2$, then $N_\Gamma[U] = V$, $c_\Gamma(U) = 1$, and $t(U) = (X - 1)^{|U_1|+|U_2|}Y_{n_1+n_2-1}$.

We conclude that

$$C_\Gamma(X,Y) = 1 + \left( C_{\Gamma_1}(X,Y) - 1 \right)Y_{n_2} + Y_{n_1} \left( C_{\Gamma_2}(X,Y) - 1 \right) + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U_i \subset V_i} (X - 1)^{|U_1|}Y_1^{|N_1[U_1]|}Y_{n_1+n_2-1}.$$

As we will explain in Section 8.2, Proposition 5.2 is closely related to [29, Prop. 8.4].

**Example 5.3** (Complete bipartite graphs). Let $K_{a,b} = \Delta_a \vee \Delta_b$ be a complete bipartite graph. Recall from Example 1.7 that $C_{\Delta_n}(X,Y) = X^n$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, $C_{K_{a,b}}(X,Y) = 1 + (X^a - 1)Y^b + Y^a(X^b - 1) + (X^a - 1)(X^b - 1)Y^{a+b-1}$. Hence,

$$F_{K_{a,b}}(X,Y) = X^{(a-1)(b-1)}(X^a - 1)(X^b - 1)Y^{a+b-1} + X^{(a-1)b}(X^a - 1)Y^b + X^{a(b-1)}(X^b - 1)Y^a + X^{ab}$$

and $f_{K_{a,b}}(X) = X^{(a-1)(b-1)}(X^a - 1)(X^b - 1) + X^{a-1}(X^a - 1) + X^{b-1}(X^b - 1) + X^{a+b-1}$.

We note that the graphical group $G_{K_{a,b}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is the maximal quotient of class at most 2 of the free product $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^a \ast (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^b$; see [29, Section 3.4].

**Example 5.4** (Stars). As a special case of Example 5.3, let $\text{Star}_n = \Delta_n \vee \bullet = K_{n,1}$ be a star graph on $n + 1$ vertices. Then $C_{\text{Star}_n}(X,Y) = (X^{n+1} - X^n)Y^n + (X^n - 1)Y + 1$. Hence, $F_{\text{Star}_n}(X,Y) = X^{n-1} \cdot ((X^2 - X)Y^n + (X^n - 1)Y + X)$ and $f_{\text{Star}_n}(X) = X^{n-1}(X^n + X^2 - 1)$.

We record the following consequence of Proposition 5.2 for later use.

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be graphs. Let $\Gamma_i$ have $m_i$ edges and $n_i$ vertices. Then

$$f_{\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2}(X) = X^{m_1+m_2+n_1n_2} + X^{m_2+(n_1-1)n_2}(f_{\Gamma_1}(X) - X^{m_1}) + X^{m_1+n_1(n_2-1)}(f_{\Gamma_2}(X) - X^{m_2}) + X^{m_1+m_2+(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}(X^{n_1-1} - 1)(X^{n_2-1} - 1).$$

(5.1)

Beyond disjoint unions and joins, it would be natural to study the effects of other graph operations on the polynomials $C_\Gamma(X,Y)$.
6 | THE CONSTANT AND LEADING TERM OF $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. In this section, we primarily view $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ as a polynomial in $Y$ over $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Its constant term is easily determined.

**Proposition 6.1.** $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, 0) = X^i$, where $i$ is the number of isolated vertices of $\Gamma$.

**Proof.** As $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y) = X$, by Proposition 5.1, $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma \Delta \cdot (X, Y) = X \cdot \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$. We may thus assume that $i = 0$. Let $U \subset V$. As $c_\Gamma(U) \leq |U| \leq |N_\Gamma[U]|$, we see that $|N_\Gamma[U]| = c_\Gamma(U)$ if and only if $U$ consists of isolated vertices. This only happens for $U = \emptyset$ whence $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, 0) = 1$. □

For a group-theoretic interpretation of Proposition 6.1, note that $q^i$ is the order of the quotient $\mathbb{Z}(G_{\Gamma}(F_q))/[G_{\Gamma}(F_q), G_{\Gamma}(F_q)]$.

Recall that $\text{rk}(\Gamma) = n - c$, where $c$ is the number of connected components of $\Gamma$.

**Proposition 6.2.** $\deg_Y(\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)) = \text{rk}(\Gamma)$.

**Proof.** If $\Gamma'$ is any subgraph of $\Gamma$, then $\text{rk}(\Gamma') \leq \text{rk}(\Gamma)$. Let $U \subset V$ and write $\hat{U} = N_\Gamma[U]$. Since every vertex in $\hat{U} \setminus U$ is adjacent to some vertex in $U$, we have $c_\Gamma(\hat{U}) \leq c_\Gamma(U)$. Hence, $|\hat{U} - c_\Gamma(\hat{U}) = \text{rk}(\Gamma'[\hat{U}]) \leq \text{rk}(\Gamma)$. Thus, $\deg_Y(\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)) \leq \text{rk}(\Gamma)$. The summand corresponding to $U = V$ in (1.2) contributes a term $X^n Y^{\text{rk}(\Gamma)}$ to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$, and this term cannot be cancelled by a summand arising from any proper subset. □

For $h(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $h(X, Y)[Y^j] = \sum_i a_{ij} X^i$ for the coefficient of $Y^j$ in $h(X, Y)$, regarded as a polynomial in $Y$. We now consider the leading coefficient $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)[Y^\text{rk}(\Gamma)]$ of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ as a polynomial in $Y$. Recall that a dominating set of $\Gamma$ is a set $D \subset V$ with $N_\Gamma[D] = V$. If, in addition, $\Gamma[D]$ is connected, then $D$ is a connected dominating set. Let $\mathfrak{D}^c(\Gamma)$ be the set of connected dominating sets of $\Gamma$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{D}^c(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is connected.

**Proposition 6.3.** Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X + 1, Y)[Y^{n-1}] = \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{D}^c(\Gamma)} X^{|D|}. \quad (6.1)$$

**Proof.** Let $U \subset V$. As $n \geq 2$, $|N_\Gamma[U]| - c_\Gamma(U) = n - 1$ if and only if $N_\Gamma[U] = V$ and $c_\Gamma(U) = 1$. The latter two conditions are satisfied if and only if $U \in \mathfrak{D}^c(\Gamma)$. □

**Remark 6.4.** In [23], the right-hand side of (6.1) is referred to as the connected domination polynomial of $\Gamma$. These polynomials are relatives of the widely studied domination polynomials of graphs introduced in [1] (where they were called dominating polynomials).

**Corollary 6.5.** Suppose that $\Gamma$ does not contain isolated vertices. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_c \subset V$ be the distinct connected components of $\Gamma$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X + 1, Y)[Y^{\text{rk}(\Gamma)}] = \prod_{i=1}^c \sum_{D_i \in \mathfrak{D}^c(\Gamma[V_i])} X^{|D_i|}. \quad (6.1)$$
The following is well known.

**Theorem 6.6** [9, Section A1.1, [GT2]]. The problem of deciding, for a given graph $\Gamma$ and $k \geq 1$, whether $\Gamma$ admits a connected dominating set of cardinality at most $k$ is NP-complete.

**Proof of Proposition D.** Combine Theorem 6.6 and Proposition 6.3.

We finish this section by showing that typically $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(0,Y) = 0$. We first record the following consequence of Proposition 6.3:

**Corollary 6.7.** Let $\Gamma$ be a tree with $n \geq 3$ vertices and $\ell$ leaves. Then  
\[ \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X,Y)[Y^{n-1}] = (X-1)^{n-\ell}X^{\ell}. \]

**Proof.** Let $V^{\mathcal{G}} \subset V$ be the set of leaves of $\Gamma$. Using $n \geq 3$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}_m^G = \{U : V \setminus V^{\mathcal{G}} \subset U \subset V\}$. Hence, by Proposition 6.3,  
\[ \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X,Y)[Y^{n-1}] = (X-1)^{n-\ell} \sum_{U' \subset V^{\mathcal{G}}} (X-1)^{|U'|} = (X-1)^{n-\ell}X^{\ell}. \]

**Corollary 6.8.** Let $\Gamma$ be an arbitrary graph. Then $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(0,Y) = 0$ unless $\Gamma \approx K^{\oplus r}_2$, in which case $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(0,Y) = (-1)^r Y^r$.

**Proof.** Using Proposition 5.1 (and its evident analogue for $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(X,Y)$), we may assume that $\Gamma$ is connected. If $m > \text{rk}(\Gamma)$, then $X$ divides $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(X,Y)$ by Proposition 6.2. Thus, suppose that $m = \text{rk}(\Gamma) = n - 1$, that is, $\Gamma$ is a tree. Since $\mathcal{F}_{K_1}(X,Y) = X$ and $\mathcal{F}_{K_2}(X,Y) = (X^2 - 1)Y + X$, we may assume that $n \geq 3$. Corollary 6.7 then implies that $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(0,Y) = 0$.

**Remark 6.9.** The constant term and leading coefficient of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X,Y)$ as a polynomial in $X - 1$ are easily determined: $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(1,Y) = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X + 1,Y) = X^nY^{\text{rk}(\Gamma)} + O(X^{n-1})$. The constant term of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X,Y)$ in $X$, that is, the polynomial $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(0,Y) = \sum_{U \subset V} (-1)^{|U|}Y^{|N_\Gamma[U]| - c_\Gamma(U)}$, seems to be more mysterious.

## 7 | THE DEGREES OF CLASS-COUNTING POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we consider the degrees of class-counting polynomials $f_\Gamma(X) = \mathcal{F}_\Gamma(X,1)$ (see Theorem 1.2 and Corollary B). As before, let $\Gamma = (V,E)$ be a graph with $m$ edges and $n$ vertices.

### 7.1 | Interpreting $\deg(f_\Gamma(X))$: The invariant $\eta(\Gamma)$

For $U \subset V$, let $d_\Gamma(U) = |N_\Gamma[U] \setminus U|$, the number of vertices in $V \setminus U$ with a neighbour in $U$. Recall that $c_\Gamma(U)$ denotes the number of connected components of $\Gamma[U]$. Define

\[ \eta(\Gamma) = \max_{U \subset V} \left( c_\Gamma(U) - d_\Gamma(U) \right) \geq 0. \]  

(7.1)

**Corollary B** implies

\[ \deg(f_\Gamma(X)) = m + \eta(\Gamma). \]  

(7.2)
Our proof of Proposition D does not imply that computing $f_Γ(X) = X^m c_Γ(X, X^{-1})$ is NP-hard, motivating Question 1.9.

**Question 7.1.** Is there a polynomial-time algorithm for computing $η(Γ)$?

**Remark 7.2.** The author is unaware of previous investigations of the numbers $η(Γ)$ in the literature. At a formal level, $η(Γ)$ is reminiscent of other graph-theoretic invariants such as critical independence numbers [37] (which can be computed in polynomial time).

In the following, we establish bounds for $η(Γ)$. Let $α(Γ)$ denote the independence number of $Γ$, that is, the maximal cardinality of an independent set of vertices. Clearly,

$$η(Γ) ≤ \max_{U \subseteq V} c_Γ(U) = α(Γ). \quad (7.3)$$

While $η(Γ)$ can be much smaller than $α(Γ)$ (cf. Proposition 7.5(ii)), the bound $η(Γ) ≤ α(Γ)$ will be useful in our proof of Proposition 7.8 below.

Let $c$ be the number of connected components of $Γ$. The case $U = V$ in (7.1) shows that $η(Γ) ≥ c$. Since $η(Γ_1 ⊕ Γ_2) = η(Γ_1) + η(Γ_2)$, we may assume that $Γ$ is connected.

**Proposition 7.3.** Let $Γ$ be connected and $n ≥ 4$. Then $η(Γ) ≤ n − 2$ with equality if and only if $Γ ≈$ Star$_{n−1}$.

**Proof.** For $U \in \{∅, V\}$, we have $c_Γ(U) − d_Γ(U) ≤ 1 < n − 2$. Let $U \subseteq V$ with $∅ ≠ U ≠ V$. Then $c_Γ(U) ≤ n − 1$ and $d_Γ(U) > 0$ since $Γ$ is connected. Hence, $c_Γ(U) − d_Γ(U) ≤ n − 2$ and $η(Γ) ≤ n − 2$. Moreover, if $c_Γ(U) − d_Γ(U) = n − 2$, then $c_Γ(U) = n − 1$ and $d_Γ(U) = 1$. This is equivalent to $Γ$ being a star graph whose centre is the unique vertex in $V \setminus U$.

By Proposition 7.3, $η(Γ)$ rarely attains its maximal value among graphs with $n$ vertices. In contrast, $η(Γ) = 1$ occurs frequently. Note that $η(K_n) = 1$ by Example 1.3. For complete bipartite graphs, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 7.4.** $η(K_{a,b}) = \max(1, |a − b|)$.

**Proof.** This follows by inspection from the formula for $f_{K_{a,b}}(X)$ in Example 5.3. Hence, $η(K_{a,b}) = 1$ if and only if $|a − b| ≤ 1$. To obtain further examples of graphs $Γ$ with $η(Γ) = 1$, recall that a graph is claw-free if it does not contain $K_{1,3} ≈$ Star$_3$ as an induced subgraph. The following proposition and its proof are due to Matteo Cavaleri. The author thanks him for kindly permitting this material to be included here.

**Proposition 7.5.**

(i) $η(Γ) = \max \left( c_Γ(U) + |U| − |V| : U \subseteq V \text{ is a dominating set of } Γ \right)$.

(ii) If $Γ$ is claw-free and connected, then $η(Γ) = 1$ and thus $\deg(f_Γ(X)) = m + 1$. 
Proof.

(i) Let $U \subset V$ with $N_G[U] \neq V$. Let $C \subset V$ be a connected component of $\Gamma[V \setminus N_G[U]]$. Clearly, $c_G(U \cup C) = c_G(U) + 1$. Let $x \in N_G[U \cup C] \setminus (U \cup C)$. Then $x \sim y$ for some $y \in U \cup C$. Suppose that $x \not\in N_G[U]$ so that $y \in C$. Then $x \in C$ by the definition of $C$. This contradiction shows that $N_G[U \cup C] \setminus (U \cup C) \subset N_G[U] \setminus U$. Hence, $c_G(U \cup C) - d_G(U \cup C) > c_G(U) - d_G(U)$. It follows that the maximal value of $c_G(U) - d_G(U)$ is attained for a dominating set $U$; in that case, $d_G(U) = |V| - |U|$.

(ii) Let $U \subset V$ be a $\subseteq$-maximal dominating set with $c_G(U) + |U| - |V| = \eta(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $U \neq V$. Choose $x \in V \setminus U$. By maximality of $U$, $c_G(U) + |U| > c_G(U \cup \{x\}) + |U| + 1$. Hence, there are distinct connected components $C_1, C_2, C_3$ of $\Gamma[U]$ such that $\Gamma[C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \{x\}]$ is connected. Choose $c_i \in C_i$ with $x \sim c_i$. Then $\Gamma[\{c_1, c_2, c_3, x\}] \approx \text{Star}_3$. We conclude that if $\Gamma$ is claw-free and connected, then $U = V$ and thus $\eta(\Gamma) = 1$. \hfill \Box

Let $\Delta(\Gamma)$ denote the maximum vertex degree of $\Gamma$.

Lemma 7.6. Let $T$ be a tree. Then $\eta(T) \geq \Delta(T) - 1$.

Proof. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_d$ be the distinct vertices adjacent to a vertex $u$ of $T$. Let $W_i$ consist of $w_i$ and all its descendants in the rooted tree $(T, u)$. Define $W := W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_d$. By construction, $c_T(W) = d$ and $d_T(W) = 1$ whence $\eta(T) \geq d - 1$. \hfill \Box

Corollary 7.7. Let $T$ be a tree. Then $\eta(T) = 1$ if and only if $T$ is a path.

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, $\eta(T) > 1$ unless $T$ is a path. By Proposition 7.5(ii) or Equation (1.1), we have $\eta(P_n) = 1$. \hfill \Box

7.2 Upper and lower bounds for $\deg(f_G(X))$

We obtain sharp bounds for $\deg(f_G(X))$ as $\Gamma$ ranges over all graphs with $n$ vertices.

Proposition 7.8. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with $n \geq 1$ vertices. Then $n \leq \deg(f_G(X)) \leq \binom{n}{2} + 1$. The lower bound is attained if and only if $\Gamma$ is a disjoint union of paths. The upper bound is attained if and only if $\Gamma$ is complete or $n = 2$.

Our proof of Proposition 7.8 will rely on an upper bound for independence numbers.

Lemma 7.9 [12]. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with $m$ edges and $n$ vertices. Then

$$\alpha(\Gamma) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + n^2 - n - 2m} \right].$$

Proof of Proposition 7.8. As before, let $m$ denote the number of edges of $\Gamma = (V, E)$.

(i) Lower bound. We may assume that $\Gamma$ is connected so that $m \geq n - 1$. As $\eta(\Gamma) \geq 1$, Equation (7.2) shows that $\deg(f_G(X)) \geq n$ with equality if and only if $\Gamma$ is a tree and $\eta(\Gamma) = 1$. By Corollary 7.7, the latter condition is equivalent to $\Gamma \approx P_n$. 

Upper bound. Since deg($f_{K_n}(X)) = \binom{n}{2} + 1$ by Example 1.3, it suffices to show that deg($f_{\Gamma}(X)) \leq \binom{n}{2}$ whenever $m < \binom{n}{2}$. We may assume that $n \geq 3$. Writing $m = \binom{n}{2} - k$, Lemma 7.9 shows that $\alpha(\Gamma) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{2k + 1} \rfloor$. Hence, if $k \geq 2$, then $\alpha(\Gamma) \leq k$. By Equation (7.3), deg($f_{\Gamma}(X)) = m + \eta(\Gamma) \leq m + \alpha(\Gamma) \leq m + k = \binom{n}{2}$. For $k = 1$, we have $\Gamma \approx \Delta_2 \vee K_{n-2}$ and deg($f_{\Gamma}(X)) = \binom{n}{2}$ by Proposition 7.5(ii). (Alternatively, we may combine Corollary 5.5 and Example 1.3.) □

8 | APPLICATIONS TO ZETA FUNCTIONS OF GRAPHICAL GROUP SCHEMES

We briefly relate some of our findings to recent work on zeta functions of groups.

8.1 | Reminder: Class-counting and conjugacy class zeta functions

The study of zeta functions associated with groups and group-theoretic counting problems goes back to the influential work of Grunewald et al. [11]. Let $G$ be a group scheme of finite type over a compact discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. The class-counting zeta function of $G$ is the Dirichlet series $\zeta^k_G(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k(G(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^i))|\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^i|^{-s}$. Beginning with work of du Sautoy [7], these and closely related series enumerating conjugacy classes have recently been studied, see [3, 19, 20, 27–29]. Recall the definition of the conjugacy class zeta function $\zeta^{cc}_G(s)$ associated with a finite group $G$ from Section 4. Lins [19, Def. 1.2] introduced a refinement of $\zeta^k_G(s)$, the bivariate conjugacy class zeta function $\zeta^{cc}_{G,s_1,s_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{cc}_{G(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^i)}(s_i)|\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^i|^{-s_2}$ of $G$ and studied these functions for certain classes of unipotent group schemes; note that $\zeta^k_G(s) = \zeta^{cc}_G(0,s)$.

Theorem 1.2 is in fact a special case of a far more general result pertaining to class-counting zeta functions associated with graphical group schemes.

Theorem 8.1 (Cf. [29, Cor. B]). For each graph $\Gamma$, there exists a rational function $\tilde{W}_{\Gamma}(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X,Y)$ with the following property: For each compact discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ with residue field size $q$, we have $\zeta^k_{G_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{O})}(s) = \tilde{W}_{\Gamma}(q,q^{-s})$.

Theorem 8.1 contains Theorem 1.2 as a special case via $\tilde{W}_{\Gamma}(X,Y) = 1 + f_{\Gamma}(X)Y + O(Y^2)$.

Remark 8.2. In the present article, we chose to normalise our polynomials and rational functions slightly differently compared to [29]. Namely, what we call $\tilde{W}_{\Gamma}(X,Y)$ here coincides with $W^-_{\Gamma}(X,X^m Y)$ in [29], where $m$ is the number of edges of $\Gamma$.

8.2 | Class-counting zeta functions of graphical group schemes and joins

Let $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be graphs with $n_1$ and $n_2$ vertices and $m_1$ and $m_2$ edges, respectively. Define a rational function $Q_{\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2}(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X,Y)$ via
Our study of joins in Section 5 was motivated by the following:

**Theorem 8.3** [29, Prop. 8.4]. Suppose that $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are cographs. Then

$$W_{\Gamma_1 \lor \Gamma_2}(X, Y) = \frac{Q_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2}(X, Y)}{(1 - X^{m_1 + m_2 + n_1 n_2}Y)(1 - X^{m_1 + m_2 + n_1 n_2 + 1}Y)}.$$ (8.1)

It remains unclear whether the assumption that $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be cographs in Theorem 8.3 is truly needed or if it is merely an artefact of the proof given in [29].

**Question 8.4** [29, Question 10.1]. Does (8.1) hold for arbitrary graphs $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$?

We obtain a positive answer to a (much weaker!) ‘approximate form’ of Question 8.4.

**Proposition 8.5.** Let $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be arbitrary graphs with $n_1$ and $n_2$ vertices and $m_1$ and $m_2$ edges, respectively. Then, regarded as formal power series in $Y$ over $Q(X)$, the rational function $W_{\Gamma_1 \lor \Gamma_2}(X, Y)$ and the right-hand side of (8.1) agree modulo $Y^2$.

**Proof.** This follows from Corollary 5.5: by expanding the right-hand side of (8.1) as a series in $Y$, we find that the coefficient of $Y$ is given by the right-hand side of (5.1). □

### 8.3 Uniformity and the difficulty of computing zeta functions of groups

For reasons that are not truly understood at present, many interesting examples of zeta functions associated with group-theoretic counting problems are ‘(almost) uniform’. As we now recall, the task of symbolically computing such zeta functions is well defined.

**Uniformity**

Beginning with a global object $G$ and a type of counting problem, we often obtain (a) associated local objects $G_p$ indexed by primes (or places) $p$ and (b) associated local zeta functions $\zeta_{G_p}(s)$. The family $(\zeta_{G_p}(s))_p$ of zeta functions is (almost) uniform if there exists $W_G(X, Y) \in Q(X, Y)$ such that $\zeta_{G_p}(s) = W_G(p, p^{-s})$ for (almost) all $p$. (Stronger forms of uniformity may also take into account local base extensions or changing the characteristic of compact discrete valuation rings under consideration. Variants apply to multivariate zeta functions such as $\zeta_{cc}(s_1, s_2)$.) It is then natural to seek to devise algorithms for computing $W_G(X, Y)$ and to consider the complexity of such algorithms.

Numerous computations of (almost) uniform zeta functions associated with groups and related algebraic structures have been recorded in the literature; see, for example, [8]. For a recent example, Carnevale et al. [5] (see also [6]) obtained strong uniformity results for ideal zeta functions.
of certain nilpotent Lie rings. Their explicit formulae for rational functions as sums over chain complexes involve sums of super-exponentially many rational functions.

The following example illustrates how class-counting zeta functions associated with graphical group schemes fit the above template for uniformity of zeta functions.

**Example 8.6.** Let $G = G_\Gamma$ be a graphical group scheme. For a prime $p$, let $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the ring of $p$-adic integers and let $G_p = G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. Writing $\zeta_{G_p}(s) = \zeta_{G_p}^k(s)$, the family $(\zeta_{G_p}(s))_p$ is uniform by Theorem 8.1 with $W_\Gamma(X, Y) = W_\Gamma(X, Y)$. The constructive proof of Theorem 8.1 in [29] gives rise to an algorithm for computing $W_\Gamma(X, Y)$ (see [29, Section 9.1]). While no complexity analysis was carried out in [29], this algorithm appears likely to be substantially worse than polynomial-time. For a cograph $\Gamma$, [29, Thms C–D] combine to produce a formula for $W_\Gamma(X, Y)$ as a sum of explicit rational functions, the number of which grows super-exponentially with the number of vertices of $\Gamma$.

**Computing bivariate conjugacy class zeta functions**

As indicated (but not spelled out as such) in [29, Section 8.5], Theorem 8.1 admits the following generalisation: given a graph $\Gamma$, there exists $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y, Z)$ such that for all compact discrete valuation rings with residue field size $q$, $\zeta_{G_\Gamma}^{cc}(s_1, s_2) = W_\Gamma(q, q^{-s_1}, q^{-s_2})$. (Hence, $W_\Gamma(X, 1, Z) = W_\Gamma(X, Z)$.) Suppose that, given $\Gamma$, an oracle provided us with $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z)$ as a reduced fraction of polynomials. Since $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z) = 1 + f_\Gamma(X, Y)Z + O(Z^2)$, we may then compute $f_\Gamma(X, Y)$ by symbolic differentiation. In particular, Proposition D implies that computing $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z)$ is NP-hard. To the author’s knowledge, this is the first non-trivial lower bound for the difficulty of computing uniform zeta functions associated with groups. We do not presently obtain a similar lower bound for the difficulty of determining $f_\Gamma(X)$ remained unresolved in Section 7.

8.4 | **Open problem: Higher congruence levels**

It is an open problem to find a combinatorial formula for the rational functions $W_\Gamma(X, Y)$ (or their generalisations $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z)$) as $\Gamma$ ranges over all graphs on a given vertex set; cf. [29, Question 1.8(iii)]. Corollary B provides such a formula for the first non-trivial coefficient of $W_\Gamma(X, Y) = 1 + f_\Gamma(X)Y + O(Y^2)$, and Theorem A provides a formula for the first non-trivial coefficient of $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z) = 1 + F_\Gamma(X, Y)Z + O(Z^2)$. As suggested by one of the anonymous referees, it is natural to ask whether a combinatorial formula of the type considered here can be obtained for the coefficient of $Y^2$ in $W_\Gamma(X, Y)$ or of $Z^2$ in $W_\Gamma(X, Y, Z)$. These coefficients enumerate conjugacy classes of graphical groups $G_\Gamma(\mathfrak{C}/\mathfrak{P}^2)$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is a compact discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}$. The ‘dual’ problem of enumerating characters (see Section 1.6) is related to recent research developments. In particular, the character theory of reductive groups over rings of the form $\mathfrak{C}/\mathfrak{P}^2$ has received considerable attention; see, for example, [30, 33].
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