POLE RECOVERY FROM NOISY DATA ON IMAGINARY AXIS

LEXING YING

ABSTRACT. This note proposes an algorithm for identifying the poles and residues of a meromorphic function from its noisy values on the imaginary axis. The algorithm uses Möbius transform and Prony’s method in the frequency domain. Numerical results are provided to demonstrate the performance of the algorithm.

1. Introduction

Let \( g(z) \) be a meromorphic function of the form

\[
g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \frac{r_j}{\xi_j - z}
\]

where the number of poles \( N_p \), the pole locations \( \{\xi_j\} \), and residues \( \{r_j\} \) are all unknown, except that \( \xi_j \) are away from the imaginary axis \( i\mathbb{R} \). The problem is to recover \( N_p \), \( \{\xi_j\} \) and \( \{r_j\} \), given the noisy access of \( g(z) \) along the imaginary axis \( i\mathbb{R} \). Two access models are particularly relevant: (1) the random access model where one can get noisy values of \( g(z) \) anywhere on \( i\mathbb{R} \) and (2) the Matsubara model where one can only get the noisy values of \( g(z) \) at the Matsubara grid

\[
z_n = \begin{cases} 2n \frac{\pi i}{\beta}, & \text{for bosons,} \\ (2n + 1) \frac{\pi i}{\beta}, & \text{for fermions.} \end{cases}
\]

To make the problem numerically well-defined, we assume

- There exists constants \( 0 < a < b \) such that the poles \( \{\xi_j\} \) reside within the union of the two disks of radius \( \frac{b-a}{2} \) centered at \( -\frac{b+a}{2} \) and \( \frac{b+a}{2} \), respectively. See Figure 1 for an illustration.

This assumption is quite natural because otherwise any algorithm is forced to sample extensively along the imaginary axis towards infinity.

There is also a matrix-valued version of this problem, where

\[
G(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \frac{R_j}{\xi_j - z}
\]

where \( G(z) \) and \( R_j \) are matrices of size \( N_b \times N_b \). The task is then to recover \( N_p \), \( \{\xi_j\} \) and \( \{R_j\} \). A particularly important special case is where \( R_j = v_j v_j^\ast \) for some \( v_j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_b} \) [10].

This problem has many applications in scientific and engineering disciplines. One of the key examples is the reconstruction of spectral density from Matsubara Green’s function [5]. This problem is highly related to a couple of other well-studied problems, including rational
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Figure 1. The unknown poles are inside the two circles. The algorithm can access the noisy function values along the imaginary axis.

function approximation and interpolation \([1,2,4,9,11,13]\), Pade approximation \([8]\), contractive analytic continuation \([6,7]\), approximation with exponential sums \([3,12]\), and hybridization fitting \([10]\).

Since this problem is quite ill-posed, a solution should be relatively robust to a reasonable level of noise. The main content of this note is a simple algorithm based on conformal mapping and Prony’s method that naturally draws ideas from the references list above.

2. Algorithm

2.1. Continuous version. Let us consider the scalar case \([1]\). Below we describe the algorithm as if one can manipulate continuous objects. The overall plan is to

- locate the poles in the left and right half plane separately using Möbius transform and Prony’s method,
- compute the residues using least square.

Figure 2. Möbius transform. Left: the \(z\) plane. Right: the \(t\) plane.

We introduce the following Möbius transform from \(z \in \mathbb{C}\) to \(t \in \mathbb{C}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
t &= \frac{z - \sqrt{ab}}{z + \sqrt{ab}}, \\
z &= -\sqrt{ab} \frac{t + 1}{t - 1}.
\end{align*}
\]

This transform maps

- the right half-plane \(\mathbb{C}^+\) in \(z\) to the interior of the unit disk \(\mathbb{D}\) in \(t\),
- the left half-plane \(\mathbb{C}^-\) in \(z\) to the exterior of \(\mathbb{D}\) in \(t\),
- the imaginary axis \(i\mathbb{R}\) in \(z\) to the unit circle in \(t\),
• the two circles centered at $-\frac{b+\alpha}{2}$ and $\frac{b+\alpha}{2}$ in $z$ to two concentric circles with radius $\frac{\sqrt{\beta - \sqrt{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}}}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\beta - \sqrt{\alpha}}}$ in $t$ (see Figure 2 for an illustration).

The function $g(t) = g(z(t))$ in the $t$ space also enjoys a pole representation

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \frac{w_j}{\tau_j - t} + \text{const}$$

for locations $\{\tau_j\}$ and residues $\{w_j\}$. Since $\{\tau_j\}$ are the images of the poles $\{z_j\}$ under the Möbius transform, it is equivalent to locating $\{\tau_j\}$.

Let us consider the integrals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} g(t) \frac{dt}{t^k}$$

for integer values of $k$. The integrals for negative and positive values of $k$ give information about the poles inside $\mathbb{D}$ and the ones outside $\mathbb{D}$, respectively. For any $k \leq -1$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} g(t) \frac{dt}{t^k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{|\tau_j|<1} + \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} \right) \frac{w_j}{\tau_j - t} t^{|k|+1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\tau_j|<1} w_j \int \frac{1}{\tau_j - t} t^{|k|-1} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\tau_j|<1} w_j t^{|k|-1} \int \frac{1}{\tau_j - t} dt = - \sum_{|\tau_j|<1} w_j \tau_j^{-(k+1)},$$

where the second equality uses the fact that $\frac{w_j}{\tau_j - t}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ for $|\tau_j| > 1$ and the third equality uses the fact that $rac{w_j}{\tau_j - t}$ is a polynomial hence analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence the integrals (4) for $k \leq -1$ contain information about the poles inside $\mathbb{D}$.

For any $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} g(t) \frac{dt}{t^k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{|\tau_j|<1} + \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} \right) \frac{w_j}{\tau_j - t} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} w_j \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\tau_j - t} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} w_j \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\tau_j} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{\tau_j} + \cdots \right) \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} w_j \frac{1}{\tau_j^{k+1}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{t} dt = \sum_{|\tau_j|>1} w_j \tau_j^{-(k+1)},$$

where the second equality uses the fact that for $|\tau_j| < 1$ the product $\frac{w_j}{\tau_j - t} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{k+1}}$ is analytic outside $\mathbb{D}$ with at least quadratic decay, the fourth step uses the fact that only the term with $t^k$ in the power expansion gives non-zero contribution. Hence the integrals (4) for $k \geq 1$ contain information about the poles outside $\mathbb{D}$.

Since the integral (4) is over the unit circle, it is closely related to the Fourier transform of the function $g(\theta) = g(e^{i\theta})$:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} g(t) \frac{dt}{t^k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} g(\theta) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} g(\theta) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta = \hat{g}_k.$$
To recover the poles inside \( \mathbb{D} \), we use Prony’s method to the Fourier coefficients. From the integrals with \( k \leq -1 \), define the semi-infinite vector

\[
\hat{g}_- = \begin{bmatrix}
g_{-1} \\
g_{-2} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(t) \begin{bmatrix} t^0 \\
t^{-1} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} dt = \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{|\tau_j| < 1} w_j \tau_j^{-2} \\
-\sum_{|\tau_j| < 1} w_j \tau_j^{-3} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Let us define \( S \) to be the shift operator that shifts the semi-infinite vector upward (and drops the first element). For any \( \tau_j \) with \( |\tau_j| < 1 \),

\[
S \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^0 \\
\tau_j \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^0 \\
\tau_j^1 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (S - \tau_j) \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^0 \\
\tau_j \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

Since the operators \( S - \tau_j \) all commute,

\[
\prod_{|\tau_i| < 1} (S - \tau_i) \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^0 \\
\tau_j \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

Substitute \( \hat{g}_- \) is a linear combination of such semi-infinite vectors,

\[
\prod_{|\tau_i| < 1} (S - \tau_i) \hat{g}_- = 0.
\]

Suppose that \( \prod_{|\tau_i| < 1} (t - \tau_i) = p_0 t^0 + \cdots + p_d t^d \) with coefficients \( p_i \), where the degree \( d \) is equal to the number of poles in \( \mathbb{D} \). Then (6) becomes

\[
p_0(S^0 \hat{g}_-) + \cdots + p_d(S^d \hat{g}_-) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{g}_{-1} & \hat{g}_{-2} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d+1)} \\
\hat{g}_{-2} & \hat{g}_{-3} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d+2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\
p_1 \\
p_2 \\
p_d
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

This implies that the number of poles in \( \mathbb{D} \) is equal to the smallest value \( d \) such that the matrix in (7) is rank deficient. In addition, \( (p_0, \ldots, p_d) \) can be computed as a non-zero vector in the null-space of this matrix. Once \( (p_0, \ldots, p_d) \) are available, the roots of

\[
p(t) = p_0 t^0 + \cdots + p_d t^d
\]

are the poles \( \{\tau_j\} \) inside \( \mathbb{D} \).

To recover the poles outside \( \mathbb{D} \), we use again Prony’s method but to a different part of the Fourier coefficients. From the integrals with \( k \geq 1 \), define the semi-infinite vector

\[
\hat{g}_+ = \begin{bmatrix}
g_1 \\
g_2 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(t) \begin{bmatrix} t^{-2} \\
t^{-3} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} dt = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{|\tau_j| > 1} w_j \tau_j^{-2} \\
\sum_{|\tau_j| > 1} w_j \tau_j^{-3} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

With the same shift operator \( S \), for any \( \tau_j \) with \( |\tau_j| > 1 \)

\[
S \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^{-2} \\
\tau_j^{-3} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^{-3} \\
\tau_j^{-4} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (S - \tau_j^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} \tau_j^{-2} \\
\tau_j^{-3} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]
Since the operators $S - \tau_j^{-1}$ all commute,

$$\prod_{|\tau| > 1} (S - \tau_i^{-1}) = 0.$$  

Since $\hat{g}_+$ is a linear combination of such semi-infinite vectors,

$$\prod_{|\tau| > 1} (S - \tau_i^{-1})\hat{g}_+ = 0.$$  

Suppose that $\prod_{|\tau| > 1} (t - \tau_i^{-1}) = p_0 t^0 + \cdots + p_d t^d$ with coefficients $p_i$, where the degree $d$ is equal to the number of poles outside $\mathbb{D}$. Then (8) becomes

$$p_0 (S^0 \hat{g}_+) + \cdots + p_d (S^d \hat{g}_+) = 0,$$

This implies that the number of poles outside $\mathbb{D}$ is equal to the smallest value $d$ such that the matrix in (9) is rank deficient. As before, $(p_0, \ldots, p_d)$ can be computed as a non-zero vector in the null-space of this matrix and the roots of

$$p(t) = p_0 t^0 + \cdots + p_d t^d$$

are $\{\tau_j^{-1}\}$. Taking inverse of these roots gives the poles $\{\tau_j\}$ outside $\mathbb{D}$.

Once the poles inside and outside $\mathbb{D}$ in the $t$ plane are ready, we take the union and apply (3) to get the poles $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N_p}\}$ in the $z$ plane. With the poles located, the least square problem

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_p} r_j \xi_j - z \approx g(z)$$

computes the residues $\{r_j\}$.

### 2.2. Implementation

To implement this algorithm numerically, we need to take care of several numerical issues.

- **The semi-infinite matrix in (7) and (9).** In the implementation, we pick a value $d_{\text{max}}$ that is believed to be the upper bound of the number of poles and form the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{g}_{-1} & \hat{g}_{-2} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-d_{\text{max}}} \\ \hat{g}_{-2} & \hat{g}_{-3} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d_{\text{max}}+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{g}_{-l} & \hat{g}_{-(l+1)} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d_{\text{max}}+l-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{g}_1 & \hat{g}_2 & \cdots & \hat{g}_{d_{\text{max}}} \\ \hat{g}_2 & \hat{g}_3 & \cdots & \hat{g}_{(d_{\text{max}}+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{g}_l & \hat{g}_{l+1} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{(d_{\text{max}}+l-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively for (7) and (9), with $l$ satisfying $l \geq d_{\text{max}}$. We find that in practice $l = d_{\text{max}}$ is enough.

- **Numerical estimation of the rank $d$ in (7) and (9).** To address this, let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{d_{\text{max}}}$ be the singular values of the matrix $H$. The numerical rank is chosen to be the smallest $d$ such that $s_{d+1}/s_1$ is below the noise level.
• Computation of the vector \( p \). We first compute the singular value decomposition (SVD) of

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{g}_{-1} & \hat{g}_{-2} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d+1)} \\
\hat{g}_{-2} & \hat{g}_{-3} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d+2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\hat{g}_{-l} & \hat{g}_{-(l+1)} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{-(d+l)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{g}_{1} & \hat{g}_{2} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{d+1} \\
\hat{g}_{2} & \hat{g}_{3} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{d+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\hat{g}_{l} & \hat{g}_{l+1} & \cdots & \hat{g}_{d+l}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

respectively for (7) and (9). \( p \) is then chosen to be the last column of the \( V \) matrix.

• The matrix \( H \) in (10) requires the Fourier transform \( \hat{g}_k \) from \( k = -(d_{\text{max}} + l - 1) \) to \( (d_{\text{max}} + l - 1) \). In the random access model, we choose an even \( N_s \geq 2(d_{\text{max}} + l) \) and define for \( n = 0, \ldots, N_s - 1 \)

\[
t_n = \exp\left( i \frac{2\pi n}{N_s} \right), \quad z_n = -\sqrt{ab} t_n + 1, \quad t_n = t_n - 1.
\]

Using samples \( \{ g(t_n) \} \) at the points \( \{ t_n \} \) corresponds to approximating (4) with the trapezoidal rule. The trapezoidal rule is exponentially convergent for smooth functions when the step size \( h = \frac{2\pi}{N_s} \) is sufficient small. In the current setting, this corresponds to

\[
h \ll \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad N_s \gg \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}.
\]

Applying the fast Fourier transform to \( \{ g(t_n) \} \) gives \( \{ \hat{g}_k \} \) for \( k = -\frac{N_s}{2}, \ldots, \frac{N_s}{2} - 1 \).

Among them, \( \hat{g}_{-(d_{\text{max}} + l - 1)} \), \ldots, \( \hat{g}_{(d_{\text{max}} + l - 1)} \) are used to form the \( H \) matrix in (10).

• In the Matsubara model, \( g(z) \) is only given at the Matsubara grid

\[
z_n = \begin{cases} 
2n\frac{\pi i}{\beta}, & \text{for bosons} \\
(2n + 1)\frac{\pi i}{\beta}, & \text{for fermions.}
\end{cases}
\]

computing the integral (5) is not convenient in the \( t \) space since the images \( t_n = \frac{z_n - \sqrt{ab}}{z_n + \sqrt{ab}} \)

are not uniformly distributed. Instead, using (3) the integral is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} g(z) \left( \frac{z - \sqrt{ab}}{z + \sqrt{ab}} \right)^{-(k+1)} \frac{2\sqrt{ab}}{(z + \sqrt{ab})^2} dz \approx -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z_n) \left( \frac{z_n - \sqrt{ab}}{z_n + \sqrt{ab}} \right)^{-(k+1)} \frac{2\sqrt{ab}}{(z_n + \sqrt{ab})^2},
\]

in the \( z \) variable, where the last step uses the trapezoidal quadrature on the Matsubara grid. The trapezoidal rule is exponentially convergent in the regime \( a \gg \pi/\beta \). Since the last sum is over all integers, it needs to be truncated between \(-N_m\) and \( N_m \) for some integer \( N_m \). Noticing that the terms in the sum decays only quadratically, \( N_m \) is typically chosen to be quite large for a good accuracy.

• The least square solve for \( \{ r_j \} \). Using the \( z_n \) points in (11), we solve the following system

\[
r = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{C}^{N_p}} \frac{1}{2} \| Ax - b \|^2, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \xi_j - z_n \end{bmatrix}_{n,j}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} g(z_1) \\ \vdots \\ g(z_{N_s}) \end{bmatrix},
\]

The entries of \( r \) are the residues \( \{ r_j \} \).
2.3. **Matrix-valued version.** Let us comment on the matrix-valued version \([2]\). The algorithm remains essentially the same. Below we list the differences.

- \(\hat{G}_k\) is now the matrix-valued Fourier coefficients from the samples \(G(t_n) \equiv G(z(t_n))\).
- The SVD is applied to the \(lN_b^2 \times (d + 1)\) matrices

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-1}) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-2}) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-(d+1)}) \\
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-2}) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-3}) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-(d+2)}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-(l+1)}) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-(l+2)}) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{-(d+l)})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_1) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_2) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{d+1}) \\
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_2) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_3) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{d+2}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\text{cv}(\hat{G}_l) & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{l+1}) & \cdots & \text{cv}(\hat{G}_{d+l})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(\text{cv}(\cdot)\) turns a matrix into a column vector.

- The least square problem is applied to

\[
R = \text{argmin}_{X \in \mathbb{C}^N_p \times N_b^2} \frac{1}{2} ||AX - B||^2,
\]

\[
A = \left[ \frac{1}{\xi_j - z_n} \right]_{n,j}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \text{rv}(G(z_1)) \\ \text{rv}(G(z_{N_s})) \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(\text{rv}(\cdot)\) turns a matrix into a row vector. Each row of \(R\) is then reshaped back to the \(N_b \times N_b\) matrix \(R_j\). In the special case of \(R_j = v_j^* v_j\), \(v_j\) can be further constructed by applying a rank-1 approximation to \(R_j\).

2.4. **Special cases and extensions.** Below we include a few comments concerning special cases and direct extensions.

- We have assumed that the poles reside in the two disks in the \(z\) plane. In many applications, it is known that the poles are actually on the real axis. In such a case, the Fourier coefficients \(\hat{g}_k\) and hence the matrix \(H\) are real. Therefore, a real SVD can be used while determining the rank \(d\) and the coefficients \((p_0, \ldots, p_d)\). Finally, the roots of \(p(z)\) are also real. These considerations can significantly improve stability as shown in Section 3.

- We have not specified any noise model. If the noise model is known, it is possible to denoise the values \(g(z_n)\) before applying the algorithm described. Such a denoising step can potentially improve the accuracy and stability of pole locations.

- The algorithm can also be extended to the general setting, where the imaginary axis \(i\mathbb{R}\) is replaced with any simple curve in the Riemann sphere. If the curve is smooth, the extension is straightforward as the trapezoidal quadrature can still be applied. When the curve is non-smooth, special quadrature is often needed for good accuracy.

3. **Numerical results**

This section presents a few numerical examples. In all examples, \(a = 1, b = 100\). The noise added to \(g(z)\) is multiplicative:

\[
g_{\text{noisy}} = g_{\text{exact}} \cdot (1 + \sigma N_c(0, 1)).
\]

This is a reasonable model since in many applications the magnitude of the noise is often proportional to the magnitude of the signal. For each example, we present the numerical results for both the random access model and the Matsubara model. For the random access model, \(N_s = 1024\). For the Matsubara model, \(N_m = 10^6\) and \(\beta = 10\pi\).

**Example 1.** We first consider the case of complex pole locations. Within each circle, we place four poles and the residues \(\{r_j\}\) are of unit order. Figure 3 plots the results at the noise
level $\sigma = 0$, $10^{-6}$, $10^{-5}$, and $10^{-4}$, where the left and right columns are for the random access and Matsubara models, respectively. The results show that

- At $\sigma = 0$, the algorithm gives perfect reconstruction at machine accuracy.
- At $\sigma = 10^{-6}$, the poles are accurately identified.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-5}$, the number of poles are correctly recovered, though the locations of the two poles far from $i\mathbb{R}$ are wrong.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-4}$, only the six poles close to $i\mathbb{R}$ are identified.

**Example 2.** Next we consider the case of real pole locations. Within each circle, there are 4 poles and the residues $\{r_j\}$ are again of unit order. Figure 4 summarizes the results at the noise level $\sigma = 0, 10^{-5}, 10^{-4},$ and $10^{-3}$.

• At $\sigma = 0$, the algorithm gives perfect reconstruction.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-5}$, the poles are also recovered perfectly.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-4}$, the pole locations are recovered accurately, though with small errors for the two poles farthest away from $i\mathbb{R}$.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-3}$, only the six poles close to $i\mathbb{R}$ are identified.

A comparison with the previous example suggests that enforcing the real constraints significantly improves the stability and accuracy of the algorithm.

**Example 3.** Finally, we consider the matrix-valued version. The dimension $N_b$ of the matrix $R_j$ is set to be $N_b = 4$. When other parameters are fixed, larger values of $N_b$ significantly improve the accuracy since it effectively provides more data. Within each circle, there are again 4 poles and the residues $\{v_j\}$ (and equivalently $\{R_j\}$) are of unit order. Figure 5 summarizes the results at the noise level $\sigma = 0, 10^{-4}, 10^{-3},$ and $10^{-2}$.

• At $\sigma = 0$, the algorithm again gives perfect reconstruction.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-4}$, the reconstruction is nearly perfect.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-3}$, the pole locations are recovered with good accuracy, though there are some errors for the two poles away from $i\mathbb{R}$.
• At $\sigma = 10^{-2}$, only the six poles close to $i\mathbb{R}$ are identified.

Noticing that the noise level in this example is much higher than the ones used in the previous examples, the results confirm that the matrix-valued version is easier, especially when $N_b$ is large.
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