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ABSTRACT

Background: The thoracolumbar spine is the most frequently affected portion of the spine during fractures. In surgical management, short-segment fixation is the treatment of choice because of preserved spine motion and fewer complications. However, this technique causes concerns of kyphosis progression compared with long-segment fixation. The widely used load-sharing classification was of limited value for predicting kyphosis progression in recent literature. The goal of this study was to identify the incidence and explore the factors associated with kyphosis progression in short-segment fixation in thoracolumbar spine fractures.

Study Design: Retrospective cohort study.

Methods: Patients with thoracolumbar spine fractures and no known neurological deficits treated by short-segment fixation and followed up for at least 12 months during January 2015 to October 2019 were included in this study. Demographic and radiographic data parameters were collected from the hospital database. Incidence of kyphosis progression was collected, and multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to explore associated factors.

Results: A total of 91 patients were included in this study. The most common fractures were AO-type A3 in 57.7% of patients, followed by A4 in 31.9%, A2 in 9.9%, and B in 6.6%. Posterior ligamentous complex (PLC) injuries were found in 51.7%. The incidence of kyphosis progression was 35.2%. The PLC was found to be significantly associated with kyphosis progression (OR 3.14, P = 0.040). Intermediate screw insertion was a preventive factor (OR 0.11, P = 0.043). Age, body mass index, and type of fracture were not significant associated factors.

Conclusion: The incidence of kyphosis progression was 35.2%. The PLC injury and intermediate screw insertion were significant associated factors. Long-segment fixation in a patient who had PLC injury or intermediate screw insertion should be considered to prevent kyphosis progression.

Clinical Relevance: PLC injury was significantly associated with kyphosis progression in short segment thoracolumbar fracture fixation. Therefore, the surgeon should carefully select treatment options for these groups of patients.

Level of Evidence: 3.
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INTRODUCTION

Thoracolumbar spine fractures are the most frequently affected part of spine injuries, which can be treated surgically and nonsurgically. The consequences of these injuries can be devastating, such as neurological deficits and severe kyphotic deformities, which reduce a patient’s quality of life. Primary treatment is very important for the injury; otherwise, kyphosis deformities can subsequently develop, leading to decreased quality of life and progressive weakness. If these complications manifest, revision surgery is necessary, which is associated with a high rate of complications.1

Thoracolumbar burst fractures can be classified using the AO method, as originally described by Magerl et al.2,3 Type A injuries of the vertebral body result from compression force. Subtype A1 injuries include impaction fractures, subtype A2 injuries include split fractures in the sagittal or coronal plane, and subtype A3 consists of cases of partial or total comminution with or without retropulsion of fragments to the spinal canal. Subtype A3 can be further subdivided into the following: A3.1, incomplete burst fractures; A3.2, burst split fracture; and A3.3, complete burst. Type B consists of fractures due to flexion-distraction, and type C consists of any fracture mentioned above with a superimposed rotational component.
The rationale of these injuries had been developed according to the Denis classification.4,5 Fractures that involve 3 columns of the spine are unstable and require surgical treatment. In 2005, Vaccaro et al proposed the thoracolumbar injury classification and severity score (TLICS), which classifies fracture morphology, posterior ligamentous complex (PLC), and neurological status. TLICS is used to guide the treatment method.

Unstable thoracolumbar spine fractures should be treated surgically by pedicle screw fixation. Short-segment posterior pedicle screw fixation is useful as it preserves motion and less intraoperative morbidity. However, there are reports that short-segment fixation procedures have a high rate of failure.7–9 In 1994, McCormack et al proposed a load-sharing classification (LSC) score, which described fractures in comminution, apposition, and kyphosis reduction. Fractures with an LSC score greater than 6 may require anterior structural support or long-segment fixation.9

However, recent reports on successful treatment of thoracolumbar spine fractures with short-segment posterior pedicle screw fixation suggested that the LSC has limited value in prediction of kyphosis progression.10–14 In this study, we retrospectively identified the incidence of kyphosis progression and explored associated factors in patients with thoracolumbar spine fractures who underwent short-segment pedicle screw fixation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective cohort study was conducted on patients with single thoracolumbar fractures without neurological deficits who were diagnosed and treated by short-segment pedicle screw fixation during January 2015 to October 2019. Ethics approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board, Maharat Nakhon Ratchasima Hospital Ethics Committee (MNRH IRB No.135/2020). We excluded patients who had a follow-up of less than 12 months, osteoporosis, patients with pathological fractures, and incomplete data from our hospital database.

Patients were divided into 2 groups: the kyphosis progression group and the stable group. Kyphosis progression was determined by a kyphosis angle increase of more than 5° during the follow-up period (Figure 1). We collected associated factors for kyphosis progression—age, gender, body weight, and height—and TLICS score from the hospital database. The study flow is shown in Figure 2. The fracture type was classified according to the AO method.2,3 Measurements of kyphosis angle were made in accordance with the Cobb method15 after producing lateral view radiographs of the affected segments. PLC injuries were defined by magnetic resonance imaging as follows: (1) discontinuity or nonvisualization of the black stripe (low signal intensity) representing supraspinous ligament on sagittal T1- and/or T2-weighted images and (2) bright, high signal intensity of interspinous space on sagittal T2-weighted images, which indicate hemorrhage or scar formation of the interspinous ligament16 or computed tomography images showing facet joint diastasis, sagittal translation, or increased interspinous distance more than 2 mm.17,18.

All patients underwent short-segment pedicle screw fixation with either an open procedure or minimally invasive technique from a single experienced surgeon. Short-segment pedicle screw fixation was defined as pedicles that were fixed at 1 cranial and caudal vertebra from the index level without laminectomy or posterior spinal fusion in both groups. The global standard screw (GSS, GS Medical Co, Ltd, Guimcheon-gu, Seoul, Korea) monoaxial pedicle screw system was used in an open procedure. The Aesculap S4 element minimally invasive surgery system (Aesculap Implant Systems, Center Valley, PA, USA) was used in a minimally invasive procedure. The 6.5-mm diameter pedicle screws were used in both systems. The indirect reduction was performed by positioning a patient in the hyperextended prone position.

Statistical Methods

All data are presented as frequencies and percentages, or means and SD, as appropriate. Fisher exact tests were used to test for relationships between categorical variables to examine proportional differences. Two sample t tests were performed to examine mean differences between groups. To assess the associated factors of the loss correction, we performed multivariable logistic regression analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA software version 14.0 for Mac (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Ninety-one patients were eligible for the study. Mean age was 43.12 ± 12.9 years. Of those patients, 59 (64.84%) were men. Level of fracture was T11 in 1 (1.0%), T12 in 22 (24.2%), L1 in 45 (49.5%), and L2 in 23 (25.2%) patients. According to AO thoracolumbar spine fracture, type A2 9 (9.9%), A3 47 (51.6%), A4 29 (31.9%), and B 6 (6.6%) patients. We found PLC injuries in 47 (51.7%) patients. Mean TLICS scores were 4.65
Mean prekyphotic angles were $15.20^\circ \pm 8.8^\circ$. Mean postkyphotic angles were $4.13^\circ \pm 7.6^\circ$. Intermediate screws were inserted in 83 (91.2%) patients. Mean follow-up time was $25.07 \pm 10.23$ months. In this study, we found that 32 (35.2%) patients had kyphosis progression. There were no patients who needed revision surgery. Mean loss correction angle during follow-up was $4.81^\circ \pm 4.3^\circ$. According to the LSC, the mean total score was $6.27 \pm 1.5$. A total of 39 (42.9%) patients had an LSC total score of greater than 6. Sixty-four (70.3%) patients underwent surgery by a minimally invasive technique.

Demographic comparison between the kyphosis progression and stable group was shown in Table 1. Mean loss correction angle during follow-up was $9.47 \pm 3.6$ in the kyphosis progression group and $2.29 \pm 1.7$ in the

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png) Radiographs of patients who are stable (A, B, and C) and kyphosis progression (D, E, and F) after short-segment pedicle fixations. (A) and (D) were obtained immediately after injuries. (B) and (E) were obtained after fixation. (C) and (F) were obtained at a 2-y follow-up.
We found that follow-up time in the stable group was longer than the kyphosis progression group ($P = 0.031$). There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in other factors associated with kyphosis progression ($P > 0.05$). There were no significant differences in TLICS scores, total LSC scores, comminution, apposition, or kyphosis reduction between the 2 groups.

After multivariable logistic analysis, we found that PLC injuries and intermediate screw insertion were statistically significant (OR 3.14, $P = 0.040$ and OR 0.11, $P = 0.043$, respectively). Age, body mass index, type of fracture, and surgical technique were not statistically significant (Table 2). The proportion of patients with LSC score $>6$ was not statistically significant between the kyphosis progression and stable groups (OR 1.91, $P = 0.147$) (Table 3).

### Table 1. Demographic data compared between the kyphosis progression and stable groups.

| Associated Factors                                      | Kyphosis Progression Group ($n = 32$) | Stable Group ($n = 59$) | $P$ Value |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Age, y, mean ± SD                                        | 43.56 ±15.5                          | 42.92 ±11.5             | 0.821     |
| $>60$ y, n (%)                                           | 5 (15.6%)                            | 4 (6.8%)                | 0.269     |
| Gender, $n$ (%)                                          |                                      |                         |           |
| Male                                                     | 23 (71.8%)                           | 36 (61.2%)              | 0.362     |
| Female                                                   | 9 (28.2%)                            | 23 (38%)                | 0.362     |
| Body mass index, mean ± SD                              | 23.24 ±3.4                           | 22.63 ±3.3              | 0.403     |
| $>25$, n (%)                                             | 9 (28.1%)                            | 14 (23.7%)              | 0.801     |
| Fracture level, n (%)                                    |                                      |                         |           |
| T11                                                      | 0                                    | 1 (1.7%)                | 0.711     |
| T12                                                      | 6 (18.8%)                            | 16 (27.1%)              |           |
| L1                                                       | 16 (50.0%)                           | 29 (49.2%)              |           |
| L2                                                       | 10 (31.2%)                           | 13 (22.0%)              |           |
| AO spine Thoracolumbar injuries                          |                                      |                         |           |
| system, n (%)                                            |                                      |                         |           |
| B1/B2                                                    | 1 (3.0%)                             | 5 (8.5%)                | 0.326     |
| A2                                                       | 3 (9.4%)                             | 6 (10.2%)               |           |
| A3                                                       | 14 (43.8%)                           | 33 (55.9%)              |           |
| A4                                                       | 14 (43.8%)                           | 15 (25.4%)              |           |
| Posterior ligamentous complex injuries, n (%)            |                                      |                         |           |
| Thoracolumbar injury classification and severity score, mean ± SD | 4.69 ±0.64                           | 4.62 ±0.87              | 0.399     |
| Initial kyphotic angle, mean ± SD                        | 15.41 ±9.9                           | 15.08 ±8.3              | 0.869     |
| Postoperative kyphotic angle, mean ± SD                  | 3.72 ±8.0                            | 4.36 ±7.4               | 0.705     |
| Loss correction angle, mean ± SD                         | 9.47 ±3.6                            | 2.29 ±1.7               | <0.001    |
| Intermedidate screw, $n$ (%)                             | 29 (90.6%)                           | 54 (91.5%)              | 1.000     |
| Surgical technique, n (%)                                |                                      |                         |           |
| Open technique                                           | 10 (31.3%)                           | 17 (28.8%)              | 0.814     |
| Minimally invasive technique                             | 22 (68.7%)                           | 42 (71.2%)              |           |
| Follow-up time, mean ± SD                               | 21.98 ±10.3                          | 26.76 ±9.9              | 0.031     |
| Load-sharing classification                              |                                      |                         |           |
| Communion                                                |                                      |                         |           |
| 1                                                        | 9 (28.1%)                            | 18 (30.5%)              | 0.569     |
| 2                                                        | 12 (37.5%)                           | 27 (45.8%)              |           |
| 3                                                        | 11 (34.4%)                           | 14 (23.7%)              |           |
| Apposition                                               |                                      |                         |           |
| 1                                                        | 11 (34.4%)                           | 23 (39.0%)              | 0.769     |
| 2                                                        | 14 (43.8%)                           | 27 (45.8%)              |           |
| 3                                                        | 7 (21.8%)                            | 9 (15.2%)               |           |
| Reduction                                                |                                      |                         |           |
| 1                                                        | 3 (9.4%)                             | 6 (10.2%)               | 0.466     |
| 2                                                        | 8 (25%)                              | 22 (37.3%)              |           |
| 3                                                        | 21 (65.6%)                           | 31 (52.5%)              |           |
| Total score $>6$                                         | 17 (53.1%)                           | 22 (37.3%)              | 0.185     |
Table 2. Multivariable logistic regression analysis between associated factors and kyphosis progression.

| Associated Factors | OR     | 95% CI | P Value |
|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Age >60 y          | 3.81   | 0.66–21.88 | 0.133   |
| Body mass index ≥25| 1.97   | 0.63–6.09  | 0.241   |
| AO spine TL system |        |        |         |
| B1/B2              | 1.00   |        |         |
| A2                 | 4.45   | 0.28–70.59 | 0.290   |
| A3                 | 4.70   | 0.41–53.29 | 0.212   |
| A4                 | 7.95   | 0.69–91.85 | 0.097   |
| Posterior ligamentous complex injuries | 3.14 | 1.05–9.38 | 0.040 |
| Intermediate screw | 0.11   | 0.01–0.93  | 0.043   |
| Minimally invasive technique | 0.80 | 0.27–2.41  | 0.690   |

Results were already controlled by the initial and postoperative kyphotic angle and follow-up time.

The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for the LSC was 0.58.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have reported that in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures, short-segment pedicle screw fixation without provision of support of the anterior column was associated with a high rate of early instrumentation failure and progression of kyphotic progression. On the contrary, although our study has shown a relatively large incidence of kyphosis progression (35.16%), there were no cases with clinical symptoms or revision surgery that were required throughout the follow-up period.

Nevertheless, various reports have suggested risk factors associated with kyphosis progression or instrumental failure of short-segment screw fixation such as an LSC score greater than 6 (which includes comminution, apposition, and kyphosis correction) and type A3 fracture. Intermediate screw insertion in fractured vertebra was a preventive factor. In our study, PLC injuries were significantly associated with kyphosis progression. Intermediate screw insertion also was a preventive factor. Type of fracture, age, and body mass index were not significant in this study.

In a systematic review, Stam et al reported that LSC scores were of limited value in predicting sagittal collapse and posterior instrumentation failure. About 57% of the studies reviewed suggested that LSC scores had no effect on the outcome. However, the remaining 43% of the studies found that either there was either an association between a high LSC score and loss of correction or surgeons had already opted for the surgical approach based on the LSC score. In our study, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups with regard to comminution, apposition, or kyphosis reduction (P > 0.05). Furthermore, total LSC scores were shown to have a limited prediction value for kyphosis progression (Table 3). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for the LSC score was 0.58, and there was no significant difference between the kyphosis progression and stable groups (P = 0.147).

Denis et al proposed that the posterior column was 1 of 3 important columns for spinal stability. PLC injuries were factored into the TLICS to aid in decision-making regarding which fracture would require surgical management. Furthermore, this study showed that PLC injuries were a significant factor associated with kyphosis progression (OR 3.14, P = 0.040). Based on the results of our study and review of available literature, we suggest that surgeons consider PLC injuries before selecting short-segment pedicle screw fixation as the method of treatment to prevent kyphosis progression in these patients.

Intermediate screw insertion was used to prevent instrumental failure and kyphosis progression in previous studies. In our study, there was a statistically significant difference between the kyphosis progression and stable groups (OR 0.11, P = 0.043). The OR suggested a significant preventive factor in the intermediate screw insertion.

This study had some limitations. First, this study was a retrospective study in which information bias might occur. Second, this study had limited case numbers, which might not show significant differences between the kyphosis progression and stable groups. Third, kyphosis progression might not be associated with the functional outcome of patients. A well-designed prospective study should be conducted to gain further insight, especially regarding functional score outcomes. Because a single surgeon performed all operations in this study, the controlled factors of surgical technique and experience were the strengths of this study.

CONCLUSION

The incidence of kyphosis progression in this study was 35.2%. PLC injury was the significant associated factor for kyphosis progression. Being able to place screws into the fractured level could reduce the probability of kyphosis progression. Long-segment fixation in a patient who had PLC injury or intermediate screw insertion should be considered to prevent kyphosis progression. Risks and benefits should be discussed with patients.

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis and area under ROC curve between load-sharing classification score and kyphosis progression.

| Load-Sharing Classification | OR     | 95% CI | Area Under ROC Curve | P Value |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------|---------|
| Total score                 |        |        |                      |         |
| ≤6                          | 1.00   |        |                      |         |
| >6                          | 1.91   | 0.80–4.56 | 0.58             | 0.147   |

Abbreviation: ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
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