Invasive floating macrophytes reduce greenhouse gas emissions from a small tropical lake
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Floating macrophytes, including water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), are dominant invasive organisms in tropical aquatic systems, and they may play an important role in modifying the gas exchange between water and the atmosphere. However, these systems are underrepresented in global datasets of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. This study investigated the carbon (C) turnover and GHG emissions from a small (0.6 km²) water-harvesting lake in South India and analysed the effect of floating macrophytes on these emissions. We measured carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) emissions with gas chambers in the field as well as water C mineralization rates and physicochemical variables in both the open water and in water within stands of water hyacinths. The CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from areas covered by water hyacinths were reduced by 57% compared with that of open water. However, the C mineralization rates were not significantly different in the water between the two areas. We conclude that the increased invasion of water hyacinths and other floating macrophytes has the potential to change GHG emissions, a process that might be relevant in regional C budgets.

Tropical and subtropical regions are encountering increasing abundances of invasive floating macrophyte species1,2, and such free-floating plant communities often outcompete submerged macrophytes or phytoplankton and represent an alternative stable state in shallow lakes3–4. However, aquatic macrophytes perform important ecosystem functions, particularly in shallow ecosystems, where they may act as engineer species, changing the structure of the ecosystems that they colonize5. These plant communities are sources of organic matter and sinks for nutrients, and they can also act as important regulators of gas exchanges between the sediment, the water and the atmosphere6.

Most of India's water bodies are small (<1 km²) water-harvesting ponds and lakes that are often characterized by high nutrient inputs and substantial floating macrophyte coverage7. A common floating macrophyte in India is the invasive water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), which is native to lowlands of South America8. This plant has been present in India since 18909, and its prevalence has substantially increased since 19989. Because of its rapid growth rate, which can double the biomass within five days, and its ability to successfully compete with other aquatic plants, water hyacinths now cover more than 2,000 km² of the freshwater bodies in India, which corresponds to 10% - 15% of the total area covered by aquatic vegetation7,10.

According to Scheffer and co-authors1 the invasion of free-floating plants is among the most important threats to the functioning and biodiversity of aquatic ecosystems. These plants negatively affect fishing operations, obstruct or even prevent water traffic, impede irrigation and hamper hydropower generation11. Furthermore,
The population of water hyacinths on Lake Thimmapuram covered 12 to 55% of the surface area of the lake, with the maximum coverage of 55% reached in April 2014 (Figs. 1 and 2). The dispersal of water hyacinths is strongly managed because the plants are harvested and used as fodder for cattle. Additionally, fishermen occasionally remove the majority of the plants to improve fishing efficiency. In addition to the direct measurements during our field campaign, we derived the coverage of water hyacinth for 2000-2003 and 2013-2014 from remote sensing data (Landsat 7 and 8, Fig. 2). The enhanced vegetation index (EVI) was used for the classification, which during our field campaign, we derived the coverage of water hyacinth for 2000-2003 and 2013-2014 from remote sensing data (Landsat 7 and 8, Fig. 2). The enhanced vegetation index (EVI) was used for the classification, which in turn introduced a degree of uncertainty, particularly because mixed surface water and water hyacinth pixels are difficult to separate from dried lake bottom pixels (see details in Fig. 2). Water hyacinths could be detected in all available Landsat images, except on April, 4th 2003, when a high percentage of uncertainty was encountered. However, during our field campaign, we detected water hyacinths in all available Landsat images, except on April, 4th 2003, when a high percentage of uncertainty was encountered. However, from April, 7th - 10th 2014 (Figs. 1 and 2), the two methods of water hyacinth coverage estimation, on-site GPS recording and remote sensing, produced similar results.

### Table 1. Water physicochemical variables from several sampling stations on Lake Thimmapuram summarized from sampling campaigns conducted in February and November 2012 and March and April 2014. For a better comparability, only open water samples are compiled in the table. *n* is sample size.

| Parameter                  | February 2012 | November 2012 | March/April 2014 |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
|                            | Mean ± SD     | Min Max       | Mean ± SD        | Min Max       | Mean ± SD        | Min Max       |
| Temperature [°C]            | 25.6 ± 1.6    | 24.1 27.3     | 22.0 ± 0.2       | 21.7 22.3     | 29.1 ± 0.9       | 27.8 30.6     |
| pH                         | 8.1 ± 0.1     | 8.0 8.2       | 8.3 ± 0.2        | 7.9 8.6       | 7.8 ± 0.1        | 7.6 8.0       |
| Conductivity [μS cm⁻¹]      | 1346 ± 3      | 1343 1350     | 1182 ± 42        | 1101 1222     | 1553 ± 29        | 1501 1595     |
| O₂ [mg L⁻¹]                | 11.0 ± 3.3    | 8.1 16.8      | 0.41 nd          | nd nd         | 3.65 ± 1.27      | 1.86 5.22     |
| TIC [mmol L⁻¹]             | nd nd         | nd nd         | nd nd           | nd nd         | 7.92 ± 3.24      | 7.34 8.33     |
| TOC [mg L⁻¹]               | nd nd         | nd nd         | nd nd           | nd nd         | 13.5 ± 0.8       | 12.4 14.9     |
| Water depth [m]             | 1.3 ± 0.6     | 0.4 2.3       | 0.7 ± 0.4        | 0.2 1.5       | 1.5 ± 0.5        | 0.7 3.1       |
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of CH4 were 0.84 ± 0.80 μmol L\(^{-1}\) under the hyacinths and 1.07 ± 0.90 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in open water (Fig. 3c, Table 2). The CO2 fluxes from the open water areas were highly variable and ranged from 2.4 to 49.8 mmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 13.5 ± 10.2 mmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3d). The CO2 fluxes from the lake areas with water hyacinths were less variable and ranged from 3.9 to 7.6 mmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 4.7 ± 1.2 mmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\). The diffusive CH4 fluxes were generally lower than the CO2 fluxes and ranged from 2.3 to 190.7 μmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) in open water and from 6.5 to 71.3 μmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) under the hyacinths (Fig. 3e). The diffusive CO2 and CH4 emissions were significantly higher in open waters than in areas covered by water hyacinths (Table 2, p < 0.05). The distribution of CH4 ebullition fluxes, however, was not significantly different between the two areas based on the Mann-Whitney U test (range from 0-6,813 μmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), although the total C emissions (CO2 + CH4) from areas covered by water hyacinths were 57% lower than that in open water (Fig. 4).

C mineralization rates in the water column ranged from 102.7 to 526.2 μg C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) in open water and 138.4 to 599.1 μg C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) under the water hyacinths, and the Mann-Whitney U test did not detect significant (p > 0.05) difference between the distributions of data (data not shown). The O2 concentrations at the start of the water incubations from the vegetated areas were lower and reflected the conditions observed directly in the field (158 ± 57 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the water incubations from vegetated areas; 207 ± 29 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the water incubations from open water). Anoxic conditions were not observed in any of the water incubations, and such conditions would have diminished the mineralization rates.

Discussion

In Lake Thimmapuram, 0.48 to 1.03 million plants per hectare were counted, and their dry weight totalled 16.6 to 35.5 metric tons of dry weight per hectare. The abundance of water hyacinths in Lake Thimmapuram varied strongly between years (from 12 to 55%), although the lake was never completely covered (Figs. 1 and 2), which is presumably because of management by the local villagers and fishermen who depend on the lake for survival. Hyacinth mats can also disperse when there is enough wind, and such a dispersal has also been observed in strongly managed water bodies in the northern part of Bangalore City9.

The observed concentrations of O2 and CH4 in the surface waters of the areas covered by water hyacinths were significantly lower (22% and 26% lower, respectively) than the concentrations in the open water areas, whereas differences were not observed in the concentration of CO2 (Figs 3a–c,4). Reduced O2 concentrations and even anoxic conditions have also been observed in vegetated areas in other systems covered by water hyacinths20 and other floating species21,25. As we did not measure differences in C mineralization in the water column itself, the reduced O2 concentrations could be attributed to higher respiration rates at the roots of the plants or in the sediment under water hyacinths. However, in Lake Thimmapuram, the O2 content during the day below the vegetated areas was not completely depleted during our sampling campaign, which prevented anaerobic metabolism in the water column and thus affected the C turnover rates, the CO2 and CH4 concentrations. We did not measure the O2 concentrations during the night when the potential for anoxia increases because of an absence of primary production caused by light limitations27. However, this potential remains speculative. In addition, CO2 and CH4 concentrations may also be higher at night.
Figure 2. Surface cover classification of Lake Thimmapuram for 2000-2003 and 2013-2014. A threshold-based approach was applied to the Landsat 7 and 8 enhanced vegetation index product, which derived two distinct lake cover classes (water and hyacinths) and an uncertain class because mixed pixels of surface water and water hyacinths could not be separated from the dried lake bottom. The coverage of water, hyacinths and the uncertain areas is provided as the proportion of the total lake area (0.68 km²) [ESRI ArcGIS 10.2.1; http://www.esri.com/software/arcgis/arcgis-for-desktop].
C from the litter fall and root exudation as well as a release of plant-respired CO2 from the roots. However, this is than the O2 and CH4 concentrations, respectively) and a high spatial heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the relatively small but significant differences (O2 and CH4) or lack (CO2) of differences in the concentrations of O2, CH4 and roots of the water hyacinths29,30. For example, Brix and co-authors31 found that up to 76% of the CH4 produced consistent with our results. The authors mainly attribute the increases in CO2 to an increased supply with organic oxidation of CH4.

Zone did not indicate significant differences in the water chemistry 28, which is consistent with our results for Nuphar lutea both areas. A comparison between an area covered by yellow water lilies (Trapa natans) and an adjacent plant-free zone did not indicate significant differences in the water chemistry5,6,28, which is consistent with our results for the generally higher CO2 concentrations compared with the O2 and CH4 concentrations (CO2 concentrations were 2 and 200-300 times higher than 50%, which hinders plant drift.

Table 2. Statistics for the comparison between the open water area and water hyacinth-covered area. Statistically significant p-values by the Mann Whitney U test are displayed in bold. n is sample size

| Parameter                  | Open water | Stands of water hyacinths | Mann Whitney U |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------|
|                            | Mean ± SD  | Min | Max | Mean ± SD | Min | Max | U | p |
| O2 conc [μmol L⁻¹]         | 131 ± 37   | 20  | 179| 94 ± 46 | 25 | 165 | 1124.5 | 0.001 |
| CO2 conc [μmol L⁻¹]        | 256 ± 77   | 180 | 642| 283 ± 87 | 178| 494 | 972 | 0.191 |
| CH4 conc [μmol L⁻¹]        | 1.07 ± 0.90| 0.20| 7.42| 0.84 ± 0.80| 0.19| 3.14| 1687 | 0.003 |
| CO2 em (diffusive) [mmol m⁻² d⁻¹] | 13.5 ± 10.2| 2.4 | 49.8| 4.7 ± 1.2| 3.9| 7.6 | 50 | 0.001 |
| CH4 em (diffusive) [μmol m⁻² h⁻¹] | 61.2 ± 45.8| 2.3 | 190.7| 23.5 ± 22.7| 6.5| 71.3 | 75 | 0.004 |
| CO2 em (ebullitive) [μmol m⁻² h⁻¹] | 191 ± 294 | 0 | 1248| 819 ± 2116| 0 | 6814 | 150 | 0.887 |
| Water C min [μg C L⁻¹ d⁻¹] | 326 ± 120 | 103 | 526| 389 ± 146 | 138| 599 | 174 | 0.166 |

Surprisingly, the CH4 concentrations were lower in the areas covered by water hyacinths, although similar or even higher concentrations might be expected because of the lower O2 concentrations and higher organic C content in the sediments. These conditions fuel methanogenesis, as observed in other studies of floating plants6,17,28. The lower surface CH4 concentrations beneath the vegetation could be caused by CH4 oxidizers living on the roots of the water hyacinths29,30. For example, Brix and co-authors31 found that up to 76% of the CH4 produced in the sediment was re-oxidized within the rhizosphere of Phragmites australis, which might explain the simultaneously lower concentrations of O2 and CH4 beneath the water hyacinth because O2 is required for the aerobic oxidation of CH4.

CO2 is an end product of both aerobic and anaerobic respiration32. In Lake Thimmapuram, CO2 concentrations were not significantly different between the water hyacinth and open water areas, suggesting that the metabolic rates were comparable. This assumption is supported by the similar aquatic C mineralization rates in both areas. A comparison between an area covered by yellow water lilies (Nuphar lutea) and an adjacent plant-free zone did not indicate significant differences in the water chemistry5,6,28, which is consistent with our results for CO2. However, the mean CO2 concentrations tended to be slightly higher in the surface waters covered by water hyacinths in our study (Fig. 3b). In the central Amazon River and its floodplains, it has been shown that pCO2 increased consistently from open water areas towards emergent plants including floating macrophytes33 which is consistent with our results. The authors mainly attribute the increases in CO2 to an increased supply with organic C from the litter fall and root exudation as well as a release of plant-respired CO2 from the roots. However, this is uncoupled from O2 consumption in the water column because O2 is supplied from the atmosphere. This might explain why we observed different patterns in CO2 and O2 concentrations.

Furthermore, the differences in CO2 concentrations may have been masked by the generally higher CO2 concentrations compared with the O2 and CH4 concentrations (CO2 concentrations were 2 and 200-300 times higher than the O2 and CH4 concentrations, respectively) and a high spatial heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the relatively small but significant differences (O2 and CH4) or lack (CO2) of differences in the concentrations of O2, CH4 and CO2 between the water hyacinth-covered areas and open water might have resulted from the drift dynamics of the water hyacinth mats caused by changing wind directions during the day (personal observation) or lateral mixing of the water body driven by different heating and cooling and densities over the day and night cycle34. The drifting was also described by Abdel-Tawwab35, who only found a significant decrease in nutrient and O2 concentrations and phytoplankton biomass in artificial fish ponds if the free-floating plant (Azolla pinnata) cover was greater and phytoplankton biomass in artificial fish ponds if the free-floating plant (Azolla pinnata) cover was greater.

Generally, the water was supersaturated with CO2 and CH4 relative to the atmosphere, which led to a net emission of both gases across the air-water interface. By comparing the open water areas and the water hyacinth-covered areas, we found a significant reduction in diffusive C emissions between the covered areas and the open water (Fig. 3d–f). CO2 emissions could be further diminished in water hyacinth-covered areas because of CO2 fixation through photosynthesis6,17,28. However, photosynthetic C fixation by water hyacinths was not quantified in this study. In boreal studies, vegetated littoral areas in aquatic systems have been shown to have the highest areal CH4 emissions36, which are mostly generated through aerenchymal transport from the emergent
According to our hypothesis, the diffusive emissions of both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were reduced in the areas with water hyacinths. Differences in the surface water concentrations of CO$_2$ as a driver of diffusive fluxes can be excluded because differences were not observed in the CO$_2$ concentration between the open water and hyacinth-covered areas. Nevertheless, the emitted gases can be trapped inside the plant canopy, which results in macrophytes rooting in sediments that connects the sediment directly to the atmosphere$^{36}$. This mechanism was not relevant for the floating water hyacinths in Lake Thimmapuram, indicating that they must play a different role in the release of GHGs from aquatic systems.

Figure 3. Surface water O$_2$(a), CO$_2$ (b), and CH$_4$ (c) concentrations as well as CO$_2$ (d) and CH$_4$ fluxes as diffusion (e) and ebullition (f) in the open water (OW) and water hyacinth (WH) areas. Boxplots indicate the medians, the 25th and 75th percentiles (boxes), the 5th and 95th percentiles (whiskers) and the mean values (black squares). Significant differences are denoted with asterisks.

Figure 4. Schematic overview of the major parameters influenced by water hyacinth coverage (CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ concentrations and their respective fluxes, O$_2$ concentrations and carbon (C) mineralization). Significant differences are displayed as the percent reduction of the median fluxes from areas covered by water hyacinths compared with the open water. Non-significant results are denoted by equal signs.
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macrophytes rooting in sediments that connects the sediment directly to the atmosphere$^{36}$. This mechanism was not relevant for the floating water hyacinths in Lake Thimmapuram, indicating that they must play a different role in the release of GHGs from aquatic systems.

According to our hypothesis, the diffusive emissions of both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were reduced in the areas with water hyacinths. Differences in the surface water concentrations of CO$_2$ as a driver of diffusive fluxes can be excluded because differences were not observed in the CO$_2$ concentration between the open water and hyacinth-covered areas. Nevertheless, the emitted gases can be trapped inside the plant canopy, which results in
a decreased concentration gradient and thus a reduced diffusion. Furthermore, the gas transfer velocity between water and the atmosphere is positively related to the turbulence in the upper water column and the concentration gradient between the media. Water hyacinths reduce the wind speed at the water surface by greatly increasing the roughness length (zone above the surface where the wind speed equals 0 m s⁻¹)⁴⁸. Thus, both the concentration gradient between the water and the atmosphere as well as the turbulence of the surface waters were reduced, leading to a reduced exchange of CO₂ and CH₄ across the air-water interface among water hyacinths. Similar mechanisms might be expected in other floating-leaved macrophyte communities, such as Lemma spp. or Trapa natans, which are often found in eutrophic lakes worldwide⁴.

In related studies comparing gas emissions from open water and macrophyte covered areas contradictory results were found. In a study in the Pantanal region, a higher emission of CH₄ from water hyacinth mats were detected but other authors found no differences in the Amazon floodplain between open waters, floating emergent macrophytes, and flooded forests. However, these authors did not determine CO₂ emissions and the gas fluxes were mainly dominated by CH₄ ebullition which we do not discuss further here. These different results highlight the demand for further studies to elucidate the role of floating macrophytes for GHG emissions.

We upscaled the CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from hourly to daily rates (multiplied by 24) to better compare them to other studies. Our CO₂ emissions with a mean CO₂ diffusion rate of 323.8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in the open water and 113.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ among the water hyacinths were well within the range of reported CO₂ fluxes from aquatic systems in India (from -28.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ to 979 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹)⁴⁹. The CO₂ emissions were approximately 3 times higher and the diffusive CH₄ fluxes were 2 times lower from open water in Lake Thimmapuram compared with that of the manmade tanks and ponds in India investigated in other studies⁵⁰. Those differences can be directly attributed to physical characteristics, such as turbulence, or indirectly to biogeochemical processes that are influenced by temperature as well as O₂, C and nutrient concentrations⁴⁴,⁴⁵. Our results highlight the substantial GHG efflux potential of the analyzed lake type (manmade tanks and ponds), which belongs to the major of Tamil Nadu⁴⁶.

Overall, the concentrations of O₂ and CH₄ as well as the C emissions from the areas covered by water hyacinths were reduced compared with that of open water. However, the CO₂ concentrations and water C mineralization rates were not significantly different between the two areas (Fig. 4). These results reveal that invasive water hyacinths can play an important role in biogeochemical processes as well as in the release of climate-relevant gases into the atmosphere. Floating macrophytes, especially invasive species, might therefore be considered as important regulators of gas exchange at the air-water interface, a process that might be central in regional C budgets.

**Methods**

**Field campaign and study site description.** The water body investigated in this study is Lake Thimmapuram (12.45°N, 78.22°E), which is located in South India (Tamil Nadu State) near the town of Krishnagiri (Fig. 1). The climate is typical of wet and dry tropical regions, with pronounced precipitation seasonality and minor temperature seasonality. The long-term mean annual precipitation in Krishnagiri is approximately 780 mm (measured at the nearby Krishnagiri Dam), and a primary rainy season occurs that is related to the southwest and northeast monsoons between August and November. The mean annual air temperature is 26.4°C⁴⁷. The lake is eutrophic and shallow (mean depth 1.5 m in March/April 2014) and serves as an irrigation reservoir for the surrounding arable land. The water level in the lake depends on the natural inflow during the monsoon season and the management of a cascade of upstream water harvesting structures. Following the end of the rainy season, the lake receives additional inflow from December to approximately April via the Krishnagiri Dam (personal communication with dam management). Additional details on the study site can be found in Fiener and co-authors⁴⁸.

The initial sampling campaigns were conducted in 2012 (Table 1), and an intensive sampling campaign was performed in March/April 2014, during which the rates of water-column organic C mineralization and GHG emissions were measured along with the physicochemical water variables (temperature, pH, O₂, conductivity, total organic carbon (TOC), total inorganic carbon (TIC) and ammonium).

Multi-temporal observations of the lake’s water hyacinth cover were performed by classifying 18 Landsat 7 and 8 scenes (Google Earth Engine) based on the enhanced vegetation index (EVI)⁴⁹ (Fig. 2). Instead of using the more common normalized differenced vegetation index (NDVI)⁵⁰, the EVI was used because of its reduced susceptibility to atmospheric influences and improved sensitivity in high biomass environments⁵¹,⁵². A simple but robust threshold approach was applied to the EVI product: surface water was classified by an EVI threshold < 0.1, and water hyacinths were classified by an EVI > 0.3. EVI values between 0.1 and 0.3 were declared to be uncertain because separating a pixel containing both surface water and water hyacinths from the dried lake bottom was impossible. Water hyacinth coverage was also recorded by a Global Positioning System (GPS) from a boat, and the biomass inside a frame (1460 cm²; total of six replicated samples on 9 and 11 April 2014) positioned on the water hyacinth meadows was sampled by hand. The plants were washed in situ, separated into emerged and submersed leaves plus roots, and desiccated at 70°C until they reached a constant weight.

**Physicochemical variables.** O₂, pH, conductivity (corrected to 25°C), and temperature were measured with a YSI probe (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Gas samples for the analysis of dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ were obtained using the headspace extraction technique⁵³. Water samples (20 mL) were collected from the surface waters (~10 cm depth) in glass vials equipped with septa, and the vials were immediately closed and kept gastight without a headspace. Subsequently, a 5 mL headspace was created with ambient air, the vials were vigorously shaken for 60 seconds, and 500 μL gas samples were then collected from the headspace with a gastight syringe and manually injected into a closed loop between the gas inlet and the outlet of a Los Gatos GHG analyzer (Los Gatos Research Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) to measure the CO₂ and CH₄ contents⁵⁴. This method, first described by Baird and co-authors⁵⁵, allows a fast on-site determination of CO₂ and CH₄ gas samples. The volume of the loop...
was 72.6 ± 2.2 mL and precision of measurements amounted to 3-5%. The samples used for the analysis of total inorganic carbon (TIC) were prepared following the same procedure as the CO₂ samples but with the addition of phosphoric acid (pH < 4) before shaking to outgas the inorganic carbonate species as CO₂. The partial pressures of the gases were converted into concentrations in water (expressed as μmol L⁻¹) by using Henry’s constant, the water temperature, and the measured gas partial pressures in the air (while accounting for the water volume and the headspace inside the bottle)56. Overall, 139 surface samples were collected at random locations across the lake over 13 days during the March/April 2014 sampling campaign and used to measure the concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄. The analysis of the TOC from the surface waters (~10 cm depth) was performed using a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) according to method 531057.

**Greenhouse gas emissions.** The GHG flux (CO₂ and CH₄) across the water-atmosphere interface was measured with floating chambers that were gently deployed from a boat onto the water surface between water hyacinths and in open water areas to minimize artificial turbulence. Similar to the protocol described in McGinnis and co-authors58, the chambers were constructed of inverted non-transparent plastic buckets with a volume of 14.76 L and an area of 1,018 cm². Some light could have penetrated through the plastic, however, this should not have changed the GHG emissions on these short timescales (20 min). A floating device composed of polyethylene was attached to the chambers, and approximately 2 cm of the chamber walls was allowed to submerge to ensure a gastight seal between the water surface and the chamber while minimizing the impact of the natural turbulence in the water column beneath the chamber59. Two gas ports (inlet and outlet) were fitted on top of each chamber and connected with 2 × 5 m-long gastight tubes (Tygon 2375) to a Los Gatos ultraportable GHG analyser. The internal pump circulated the air in the gas chamber through the GHG analyser at a rate of ~450 mL min⁻¹. The boat and the chambers were allowed to drift freely on the lake surface for 10-20 min per deployment, and the concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ were measured every second, which allowed the changes in CO₂/CH₄ to be tracked in situ. The concentrations of CH₄ and CO₂ inside the atmosphere of the chamber increased linearly over time under diffusional conditions, whereas the CH₄ concentrations increased abruptly when bubbling occurred. This process allowed us to separate the bubbling and the strict diffusional flux by the high sampling frequency enabled by the GHG analyser59. However, the short incubation time did not allow an accurate determination of CH₄ ebullition and is thus not further emphasized in the discussion. The water-atmosphere fluxes (f) of CO₂ and CH₄ (mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ and μmol m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively) were calculated from the slopes (s) of the linear regressions of the concentrations in the chamber versus time as follows:

\[
J = s \cdot V_{ch} \cdot \frac{1}{A_{ch}}
\]

where \(V_{ch}\) is the chamber volume, and \(A_{ch}\) is the chamber area. The amount of gas released per bubbling event was determined by calculating a two-point regression from the concentrations in the chamber at the start of the bubbling event and after the bubbling event, when the CH₄ concentration in the chamber was well-mixed59. Fluxes were only measured during the day because local circumstances did not allow for night measurements. In total, 41 chamber measurements were performed at different locations on eight different days during the three-week sampling campaign.

**Carbon mineralization.** Water column C mineralization was determined using transparent acryl-glass incubation cores (length of 30 cm and inner diameter of 5.4 cm) that contained a septum in the tube wall for in situ O₂ measurements. The incubation containers were carefully filled with water collected at the water surface in the vegetated and open areas. We avoided collecting any plant remnants during the filling in the vegetated areas, which would have increased our mineralization rates. After applying an airtight seal to the containers, respiration was quantified for the water samples by O₂ depletion over 24 hours. The incubation cores were incubated at in situ temperatures in the dark. O₂ depletion was measured with a needle-type O₂ microsensor (Optode, PreSens, Regensburg, Germany) after the water column was mixed, and the amount of consumed O₂ was converted to μg C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ using a conversion factor of one60. A more detailed description is given in Atemmeyer and co-authors61.

**Statistics.** Because normal distributions were not observed for all of the parameters, we tested for differences in the chemical variables, CO₂ and CH₄ emissions, and water C mineralization under the water hyacinths and in open water using a non-parametric, two-sided Mann-Whitney U test62. To consider the temporal differences during the sampling periods, all of the values of each group (water hyacinths and open water) from different days of the sampling campaign in March/April 2014 were included. Differences in the distribution of the different groups were considered significant at \(p < 0.05\). All of the values were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and all of the statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).
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