Accessory bones and tarsal coalitions are the most common developmental variations in the foot and ankle. Accessory bones are usually derived from unfused primary or secondary ossification centers and may exist adjacent to the main bone where they are separated. Tarsal coalitions are abnormal connections of two or more tarsal bones and are regarded as the results of impaired mesenchymal separation of the tarsal bones. They can be divided into osseous (synostosis), fibrous (syndesmosis), or cartilaginous (synchondrosis) connections. Although accessory bones and tarsal coalitions may be present at birth, individuals with accessory bones or tarsal coalitions can be asymptomatic; therefore, these might not be noticed until an incidental radiographic examination reveals their presence. However, some conditions, such as talocalcaneal coalition, calcaneonavicular coalition, os subfibulare, os trigonum, and os naviculare, can cause pain around the foot and ankle and require clinical interventions. Symphalangism of the toe, also known as the biphalangeal toe, is an uncommon condition characterized by fusion of interphalangeal joints. Toe symphalangism has been evaluated in several studies and has been shown as the most common variant resulting from incomplete segmentation rather than pha-
langeal fusion.\textsuperscript{5-7)}

The clinical importance of accessory bones is usually emphasized in differential diagnosis from acute trauma or fracture.\textsuperscript{8-10)} Although several studies have reported the prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions, the number of detected bones and range of prevalence vary widely in literature.\textsuperscript{4,6,10-12)} This may be due to differences in the sample size, age, sex, and race of patients, and measurement method. Furthermore, results from previous studies are not representative of the normal population because the participants were patients who visited hospitals for discomfort around the foot and ankle even though it was not directly related with the accessory bones.\textsuperscript{6,7,11,12)}

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no population-based study that has identified the difference in the prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions according to age and sex. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions in a healthy, asymptomatic population and analyze the differences in incidence according to age and sex.

\section*{METHODS}

We conducted this study in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB No. H-1809-015-9691) and the need for informed consent was waived.

\section*{Study Population}

A total of 448 healthy, asymptomatic participants (224 men and 224 women; 896 feet) were recruited from the local area between January 2011 and November 2018 to obtain reference data for a normal gait analysis. Simple X-ray examinations were conducted to detect a bony deformity in each participant. Using the X-ray data, we analyzed the respective prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions. The participants were divided into six subgroups according to age and sex as follows: NYM, normal young male adult; NOM, normal old male adult; NYF, normal young female adult; NOF, normal old female adult; NPM,
normal pediatric male; and NPF, normal pediatric female. Demographic data are presented in Table 1. Exclusion criteria were a symptomatic foot (pain or discomfort), trauma or surgical history involving the lower extremities, and diagnosis of foot and ankle disease.

**Radiographic Evaluation**
We obtained the weight-bearing standing radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral views) from each participant. After excluding abnormal bony alignments, such as flat-foot or cavus foot, per previously reported protocol,13) we analyzed all accessory bones and tarsal coalitions in the foot and ankle. Radiographs were retrospectively reviewed by two orthopedic residents (MGK, TWG) and confirmed by a foot-and-ankle specialist (JHL).

**Statistical Analysis**
All statistical analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS ver. 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Radiographic examinations were performed to evaluate the prevalence of accessory ossicles and tarsal coalitions. Fisher exact test was used to determine the statistical significance. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**
Accessory ossicles were present in 131 (49.2%) healthy, asymptomatic Korean adults (n = 266): 44 (50%) in NYM (n = 87), 23 (46.9%) in NOM (n = 49), 42 (52.5%) in NYF (n = 80), and 26 (52%) in NOF (n = 50). In the pediatric male and female participants, accessory bones first appeared at the age of 10 years and 8 years, respectively. Of the total NPM aged 10 years or older (n = 44), 15 (34.1%) had accessory bones, and of the total NPF aged 8 years or older (n = 68), 17 (25%) had accessory bones.

The prevalence of accessory bones in adults was the highest with 34% for the accessory navicular, 5.8% for the os trigonum, 3.9% for the os peroneum, and 1.7% for the os subfibulare. The prevalence of tarsal coalitions in adults was 0.4%, and that of symphalangism was 16% for the fourth toe and 80.6% for the fifth toe (95% confidence level, 75.18 to 86.02; sampling error, ± 4.25). However, only two cases of talocalcaneal coalition were observed, and the os calcaneus secondarius, os vesalianum, os intermetatarseum, and os supranaviculare were not observed in our study population.

The prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions was investigated according to age and sex (Table 2). The frequency of the accessory navicular and fifth toe symphalangism was statistically significantly higher in women than men (p = 0.01 and p < 0.01, respectively). On comparison between children and adults, the prevalence of the accessory navicular, os peroneum, os trigonum, and symphalangism in the fourth and fifth toes was statistically significantly higher in adults than in children (Table 3). In most cases, the accessory navicular and symphalangism were observed bilaterally and the os subfibulare was observed unilaterally (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**
In this study, we evaluated the prevalence of accessory

---

**Table 3. The Prevalence of Accessory Bones, Tarsal Coalitions, and Symphalangisms in Normal Population According to Age**

| Variable          | Child (n = 112, 224 feet*; n = 18, 364 feet†) | Adult (n = 266, 532 feet) | p-value |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Accessory navicular | 49 (21.88)*                                 | 18 (34.0)                 | <0.01   |
| Os subfibulare    | 1 (0.47)*                                   | 9 (1.7)                   | 0.30    |
| Os peroneum       | 0*                                          | 21 (3.9)                  | <0.01   |
| Os trigonum       | 9 (4.02)*                                   | 31 (5.8)                  | 0.15    |
| Tarsal coalition  | 0*                                          | 2 (0.38)                  | 1.00    |
| 4th symphalangism | 13 (3.57)†                                  | 82 (15.98)                | <0.01   |
| 5th symphalangism | 113 (31.04)†                                | 429 (80.6)                | <0.01   |

Values are presented as number (%).

*Prevalence of accessory bones observed since the first occurrence (male: 10 yr, female: 8 yr).
†Prevalence of symphalangism observed since the first occurrence (male: 7 yr, female: 7 yr).

**Table 4. Bilaterality of Accessory Bones, Tarsal Coalitions, and Symphalangism**

| Variable        | Child | Adult |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
|                 | Unilateral | Bilateral | Unilateral | Bilateral |
| Accessory navicular | 25      | 75     | 23.2      | 76.8     |
| Os subfibulare   | 100    | 0      | 87.5      | 12.5     |
| Os peroneum      | -      | -      | 68.7      | 31.3     |
| Os trigonum      | 50     | 50     | 61.9      | 38.1     |
| Tarsal coalition | -      | -      | 0         | 100      |
| 4th symphalangism| 37.5   | 62.5   | 19.1      | 80.9     |
| 5th symphalangism| 11.7   | 88.3   | 5         | 95       |

Values are presented as percentage.
bones and tarsal coalitions in a healthy, asymptomatic Korean population; some differences were observed in the incidence according to age and sex. Developmental variations in the foot and ankle, such as accessory bones, sesamoid bones, bipartitions, and coalitions, have already been reported. \(^7\) \(^10\) \(^14\) \(^15\) \(^16\) Although accessory bones and tarsal coalitions might not generally cause symptoms, they are well known to cause pain and functional impairment in some patients. Moreover, some pathologic situations are also thought to be related with the presence of accessory ossicles and tarsal coalitions, such as the prehallux syndrome, posterior tibial tendon dysfunction, stenosing tenosynovitis of the flexor hallucis longus, and flatfoot. However, the clinical implications of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions cannot be determined because of the paucity of reliable data on the prevalence in normal populations.

The reported prevalence of the overall accessory ossicles in the foot and ankle is 18%–36.3% in the general population, \(^7\) \(^10\) \(^15\) \(^16\) which was 46.9%–52.5% in this study depending on age and sex. The prevalence of the accessory navicular, also known as the os tibiale, os tibiale externum, and naviculare secundarium, was 4%–21% in previous studies. \(^14\) \(^17\) \(^18\) This ossicle was found in 11.7% of Turkish population and 21.3% of Japanese population. \(^10\) \(^19\) Koo et al. \(^11\) reported that the incidence of the accessory navicular was 23% on conventional radiography, but 33% on digital tomosynthesis in a Korean population. The prevalence of the accessory navicular in the current study was 34%, which is higher than that of the previous studies where the value widely varied depending on the race and measurement method.

The os peroneum is a sesamoid bone embedded in the peroneus longus tendon, adjacent to the calcaneocuboid joint. The prevalence of this ossicle was 9% in previous studies. \(^14\) \(^18\) \(^20\) Millet \(^7\) and Miller et al. \(^21\) reported the incidence of the os peroneum was up to 26%, and Coskun et al. \(^10\) reported it to be 4.7%. Koo et al. \(^11\) reported the incidence of the os peroneum was 14% on conventional radiography, but 21% on digital tomosynthesis. However, the prevalence of this ossicle was only 3.9% in this study. The os peroneum is best evaluated in the oblique lateral view of the foot. \(^14\) \(^17\) \(^19\) Therefore, it might have been underdiagnosed in our study because we only examined the anteroposterior and lateral views, not oblique views.

The os trigonum is connected to the lateral tubercle in the posterior process of the talus by a fibrocartilaginous synchondrosis. This ossicle is eventually related to posteromedial ankle pain. Its prevalence was 1%–25%. \(^14\) \(^18\) \(^22\) Koo et al. \(^11\) reported the incidence of the os peroneum was 32% on conventional radiography, but 0.5% on digital tomosynthesis. However, the study population consisted of patients who visited the hospital for foot and ankle pain in the study, which might have resulted in the higher incidence. In the present study, the prevalence was only 5.8%.

The os subfibulare is an ossicle under the tip of the distal fibula, with widely varying morphologic characteristics, from an oval to a chip shape. It was thought as an accessory ossicle caused by skeletal variation; however, a recent study proposed that it is rather a trauma-related accessory ossicle. \(^23\) Its prevalence is known as approximately 1%–2%. \(^14\) \(^18\) \(^19\) \(^24\) In our study, the prevalence was 1.7%.

The prevalence of the os calcaneus secondarius, os vesalianum, os supranaviculare, os intermetatarseum, and os subtibiale was 0.6%–7%, 0.1%, 1%, 1.2%–10%, and 0.9%, respectively, in previous studies. \(^14\) \(^19\) \(^24\) However, these ossicles were not found in our study.

The tarsal coalition is an abnormal connection of two or more bones in the foot. In the previously reported literature, the incidence of tarsal coalitions was approximately 1%–6%; however, the real incidence could be higher because they are often asymptomatic and undiagnosed. \(^3\) \(^25\) Solomon et al. \(^26\) showed in their 100 cadaver study that the prevalence of tarsal coalition was 13%, whereas Nalaboff and Schweitzer \(^27\) in their 574 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based study, showed a prevalence of 11.5%. In our study, the prevalence was 0.4%, which could have been underestimated because only osseous coalitions can be detected in a simple radiographic assessment and our study population was composed of symptom-free healthy participants. Further studies would be necessary to determine the incidence and clinical implications of tarsal coalitions in healthy asymptomatic population.

Symphalangism is recognized as a normal variant resulting from incomplete segmentation of the distal phalanx. \(^5\) Symphalangism in the fifth toe is characterized by a straight or slightly supinated toe (Fig. 1). Triphalangism is characterized by a more flexed and supinated toe, and the lateral side of the fifth toe easily rubs against the floor or shoes (Fig. 2). Therefore, it is often accompanied by corn or callus. Gallart et al. \(^6\) reported that the incidence of pathological fifth toe, such as a hammer toe and corn, was more common at the triphalangeal toe than at the biphalangeal toe because of the higher motility and deviated tendency of the triphalangeal fifth toe. In previous studies, the incidence of symphalangism in the fifth toe ranges from 35.5% to 80.4% according to race. \(^28\) The prevalence in the fourth and fifth toes was 2.15% and 40.2%, respectively, in a European population and 11.9% and 74.7%,
respectively, in a Japanese population.\textsuperscript{7,29} In the present study, the prevalence of fourth and fifth biphalangeal toes was 16% and 80.6%, respectively.

The prevalence of accessory foot ossicles and tarsal coalitions widely varies in the literature and is different according to the sample size, measurement method, age, sex, and race. Moreover, the prevalence and characteristics of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions may differ between patient groups and asymptomatic healthy groups, between men and women, and among children, young adults, and older adults. Therefore, the significance of our study lies in the fact that it was conducted on healthy, asymptomatic participants and the difference was analyzed according to age and sex.

In our study, 49.2% of the healthy, asymptomatic population had one or more accessory ossicles in the foot and ankle. In addition, accessory naviculars were most commonly observed; they were present in 34% of the total study population. The prevalence of the fifth toe symphalangism was up to 80.6% in the normal population. The prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions differed depending on sex, and the accessory navicular and symphalangism in the fifth toe were statistically significantly more common in women than men. Moreover, in most cases, the accessory navicular and symphalangism in the fourth and fifth toes were observed bilaterally, whereas the os subfibulare was mostly unilateral. The former was thought to be due to skeletal variation, but the latter was thought to be a result of trauma in a previous study by Lee et al.\textsuperscript{23}

This study has some limitations. First, the study subjects were asymptomatic, healthy subjects; thus, they did not represent the general population including the symptomatic and asymptomatic people, which may have resulted in selection bias. In fact, the prevalence of accessory bones and tarsal coalitions might be higher in the general population. Second, the number of samples was somewhat insufficient to be generalized. Third, because only simple X-ray images were reviewed, synchondrosis and syndesmosis types of tarsal coalitions were not detected. Moreover, we acknowledge accessory bones and tarsal coalitions might have been underdiagnosed because only
simple anteroposterior and lateral views of the foot, not oblique views or computed tomography and MRI, were available for review, which is one of the limitations inherent to the retrospective design. Lastly, a problem with ethnic homogeneity was observed. In the current study, only a single ethnic race was studied; therefore, these results cannot be directly applied to other ethnicities.

This study is the first detailed report on the incidence of foot and ankle accessory ossicles and tarsal coalitions in a healthy Korean population. Based on the findings, symptom-related ossicles and their implications, such as the correlation between the accessory navicular and flatfoot and between os subfibulare and ankle impingement syndrome, should be evaluated further.
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