A comprehensive analysis of the effects of rivaroxaban on stroke or transient ischaemic attack in patients with heart failure, coronary artery disease, and sinus rhythm: the COMMANDER HF trial
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Aims

Stroke is often a devastating event among patients with heart failure with reduced ejection (HFrEF). In COMMANDER HF, rivaroxaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. did not reduce the composite of first occurrence of death, stroke, or myocardial infarction compared with placebo in patients with HFrEF, coronary artery disease (CAD), and sinus rhythm. We now examine the incidence, timing, type, severity, and predictors of stroke or a transient ischaemic attack (TIA), and seek to establish the net clinical benefit of treatment with low-dose rivaroxaban.

Methods and results

In this double-blind, randomized trial, 5022 patients who had HFrEF (<40%), elevated natriuretic peptides, CAD, and who were in sinus rhythm were treated with rivaroxaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. or placebo in addition to antiplatelet therapy, after an episode of worsening HF. The primary neurological outcome for this post hoc analysis was time to first event of any stroke or TIA. Over a median follow-up of 20.5 (25th–75th percentiles 20.0–20.9) months, 150 all-cause stroke (127) or TIA (23) events occurred (ischaemic stroke in 82% and haemorrhagic stroke in 11% of stroke events). Overall, 47.5% of first-time strokes were either disabling (16.5%) or fatal (31%). Prior stroke, low body mass index, geographic region, and the CHA2DS2-VASc score were predictors of stroke/TIA. Rivaroxaban significantly reduced the primary neurological endpoint of all-cause stroke or TIA compared with...
Introduction

Stroke is a devastating occurrence in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection (HFrEF). The sequelae of stroke include a marked decline in health-related quality of life, higher healthcare utilization, and increased cost of care. Although atrial fibrillation (AF) has been the traditional target population for stroke risk reduction, patients with HF and sinus rhythm face elevated risk of stroke compared with the general population. Important gaps exist in our contemporary understanding of stroke risk in this unique population, since prior studies used historical information, relied on administrative data, and did not include patients on current guideline-mandated medical therapies.

Since stroke as an endpoint has been challenging to study or safely modify in HFrEF and sinus rhythm, contemporary guidelines do not support a routine strategy of anticoagulation in patients with HFrEF in the absence of AF or other compelling indication. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) are approved for use in patients with AF or in the treatment or prevention of venous thromboembolism. COMMANDER HF (A Study to Assess the Effectiveness and Safety of Rivaroxaban in Reducing the Risk of Death, Myocardial Infarction, or Stroke in Participants with Heart Failure and Coronary Artery Disease Following an Episode of Decompensated Heart Failure) did not demonstrate significant reduction in the composite primary endpoint of death, myocardial infarction, or stroke with addition of rivaroxaban at a dose of 2.5 mg b.i.d. compared with placebo in patients with HFrEF, coronary artery disease (CAD), and sinus rhythm receiving antiplatelet therapy and standard HF therapy. However, rivaroxaban did appear to reduce risk of stroke (a component of the primary endpoint).

In this post hoc analysis of COMMANDER HF, among patients after a recent episode of worsening chronic HFrEF, sinus rhythm, and CAD, we set out to comprehensively explore (i) the incidence, timing, type, and severity of stroke or a transient ischaemic attack (TIA); (ii) clinical predictors of the occurrence of stroke or TIA; and (iii) the net clinical benefit of treatment with low-dose rivaroxaban compared with placebo on prevention of stroke or TIA.

Methods

COMMANDER HF trial

The design and primary findings of COMMANDER HF have been previously described. In brief, COMMANDER HF was a global, multicentre, double-blind, randomized clinical trial that evaluated the safety and efficacy of rivaroxaban compared with placebo among patients with chronic HFrEF (<40%) with recent episode of worsening HF within 21 days and underlying CAD. Participants were randomized 1:1 to receive low-dose rivaroxaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. or matching placebo, in addition to standard care at the discretion of the treating physician. Subjects that had prior stroke within 90 days of randomization were excluded. The COMMANDER HF study protocol was approved by the ethics committees/institutional review boards of each participating site and all participants provided written informed consent for participation. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Stroke, transient ischaemic attack, and safety

Study visits occurred at 4 weeks, 12 weeks, and every 12 weeks thereafter to determine safety and efficacy endpoints. Investigators determined key events using dedicated case report forms based on explicit event definitions and criteria. Available source documentation was reviewed by the local trial monitor and transmitted to the sponsor for independent confirmatory review using protocol-specified criteria (Supplementary material online, Table S1).

The primary neurological outcome for the present post hoc analysis is time to first all-cause stroke or TIA, defined as new, sudden, focal neurological deficit resulting from a presumed cerebrovascular cause without another identifiable cause after the study randomization. If neurological deficits lasted longer than 24 h, a stroke definition was met; if it lasted less than 24 h, a TIA was diagnosed. Strokes were further categorized based on available imaging as ischaemic, haemorrhagic, subarachnoid, or uncertain. A Modified Rankin Scale (mRS), a validated metric to determine stroke-related disability that categorizes stroke severity on a scale of 0–6 with higher scores denoting more disability (mRS 0–5) and ultimately death (mRS 6), was obtained between 6 and 18 weeks following a first or recurrent stroke or at the end of study, whichever occurred first.

The principal safety outcome was fatal bleeding or bleeding into a critical space with a potential for causing permanent disability, which was also a
site-adjudicated event. Risk of events is described using incidence rates (events per 100 patient-years of observation).

**Statistical analysis**

All patients included in the intention-to-treat analytic set (randomized participants with signed valid informed consent) were assessed in this post hoc analysis of stroke or TIA events which were characterized by timing, type, and severity. The timing of stroke/TIA was calculated by adding the time from worsening HF episode (index event) to randomization, to the time from randomization to incident stroke/TIA during follow-up, or until the global trial end date (GTED). The time-course of stroke risk was described from the time of the index episode of worsening HF only in the placebo arm. The incremental incidence rate and its 95% confidence interval for each time segment were derived using the bootstrap method (10,000 resamples) and Kaplan–Meier cumulative risk estimates.

Baseline clinical profiles of those experiencing any stroke/TIA during the follow-up duration (up-to-GTED) were compared with patients who were free from stroke/TIA during the study. Given significant treatment effects of rivaroxaban, a risk prediction model for the stroke or TIA event was built among patients in the placebo arm alone. Pre-specified variables which were tested in stroke or TIA prediction models included: age, geographic region, race, body mass index, New York Heart Association classification, timing from episode of worsening HF, prior stroke, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and ejection fraction. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. Final model discrimination was determined using the concordance statistic (C-statistic). We quantified optimism in model estimates of C-statistics using a bootstrap resampling approach. Optimism estimates, averaged across 100 bootstrap samples, were subtracted from the naïve estimate of model discrimination. The percentile-corrected interval of the C-statistic was calculated by subtracting the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of optimism estimates from the naïve estimates.

To account for death as a competing risk event, the similar model selection process was repeated using a covariate-adjusted proportional subdistribution hazard model (Fine and Gray\(^{17}\)) to identify key independent predictors of stroke or TIA. This competing risk regression model identified similar predictors as the Cox proportional hazards model, and as such the latter approach is presented for simplicity. An established score for AF (CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc) was also assessed in risk prediction of stroke/TIA.

The overall treatment effects were determined by Cox proportional hazards models, accounting for time from index HF event to randomization as a covariate and stratified by geographic region. We performed interaction analyses to determine if the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban was modified by baseline dual antiplatelet therapy or the CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score.

Incidence rates of first stroke or TIA across treatment arms were estimated using Kaplan–Meier analyses. Incidence rates of each subtype of stroke and TIA were described by treatment arm. Given the post hoc nature of this analysis and focus on an individual component of the primary composite endpoint of COMMANDER HF, treatment effects were further adjusted for key selected covariates (as described above).

The principle safety outcomes were assessed using a similar Cox proportional hazards models without adjusting for time from index HF event to randomization for the on-treatment period, defined as the observation period from the first dose of the study drug to 2 days after the last dose of the study drug.

The number needed to treat (NNT) to prevent 1 primary neurological outcome (first all-cause stroke or TIA) and the number needed to harm (NNH) to cause 1 principal safety outcome (fatal bleeding or bleeding into a critical space with a potential for causing permanent disability) were calculated from the rates of absolute risk reduction using annualized incidence rates. The NNT and NNH were also calculated for subgroups above and below the median (closest integer) CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc risk score.

Two-sided P-values with significance threshold of \(P < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant, and no multiplicity adjustments were made in this post-hoc analysis. All computations were performed using SAS version 9.4.

**Results**

From September 2013 to October 2017, 5022 patients were enrolled in COMMANDER HF from 628 sites across 32 countries. All patients were included in this post hoc analysis. Overall, 2507 patients were randomly assigned to rivaroxaban and 2515 to placebo. COMMANDER HF participants were on average 66.4 years of age, 22.9% women, and 82.2% White. Overall, 40.9% had a history of diabetes mellitus. Over 90% were treated with aspirin at baseline and a third were on dual antiplatelet therapy. At baseline, use of background guideline-directed medical therapy for HFrEF was high.

**Phenotyping stroke/transient ischaemic attack after an episode of worsening chronic heart failure with reduced ejection**

Over a median follow-up of 20.5 (25th–75th percentiles 20.0–20.9) months, 150 stroke or TIA events occurred; 5 (3.3%) occurred within 30 days and 24 (16.0%) occurred within 90 days and 49 (32.7%) by 6 months of index hospitalization. The risk of stroke/TIA calculated only in the placebo arm after an episode of worsening HFrEF remained elevated well beyond 6 months (Figure 1). Of these, 127 were first stroke events and 23 were first TIA events. Ischaemic stroke accounted for 82% of stroke events. There was limited observed heterogeneity in baseline characteristics among patients who did and did not experience a stroke/TIA during follow-up (Table 1). CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc scores were higher among patients who experienced a stroke/TIA in follow-up (median 5; 25th–75th percentiles 4–6) compared with those who did not (median 4; 25th–75th percentile: 3–5); Figure 2.

**Stroke severity and subsequent adverse events**

Stroke severity as assessed using the mRS (score 0–6) among 133 patients included 31% fatal events (mRS 6), 16.5% with moderate-to-severe disability (mRS 3–5), while 51.1% were non-disabling events (mRS 0–2). Patients surviving after a stroke or TIA event faced risks of mortality of 26% (33 out of 126), recurrent stroke or TIA of 7% (9 out of 126), and rehospitalization for HF of 21% (26 out of 126) during study follow-up.

**Predicting stroke or transient ischaemic attack after an episode of worsening chronic heart failure with reduced ejection**

In a multivariate model among placebo-treated patients when clinical- relevant variables were simultaneously tested, only prior history of stroke, low body mass index, and region were independently
predictive of stroke/TIA after worsening HFrEF. Patients in Latin America, Western Europe, and South Africa independently carried the highest risks of stroke or TIA. Optimism-corrected C-statistic of this model with selected clinically relevant variables was 0.70 (percentile corrected interval 0.65–0.74) (Table 2). Per point, the CHA2DS2-VASc was significantly associated with risk of first stroke/TIA [hazard ratio (HR) 1.29; 95% CI 1.13–1.48; P < 0.001] among placebo-treated patients; the score displayed modest discrimination (C-statistic 0.62).

Treatment effects of rivaroxaban on occurrence of first and recurrent stroke/transient ischaemic attack and safety events

In this post hoc analysis, rivaroxaban significantly reduced the primary neurological endpoint of all-cause stroke or TIA compared with placebo by 32% (2.4% vs. 3.5%; 1.29 events vs. 1.90 events per 100 patient-years; HR 0.68; 95% CI 0.49–0.94; P = 0.02); Take home figure. Known stroke subtype events (including haemorrhagic stroke) and TIA all directionally favoured rivaroxaban vs. placebo, however, only ischaemic stroke was significantly reduced by rivaroxaban vs. placebo by 36% (0.86 events vs. 1.34 events per 100 patient-years; HR 0.64; 95% CI 0.43–0.95; P = 0.028); Table 3. Consistent reductions were observed for all-cause stroke alone and the composite of ischaemic stroke or TIA. Fatal or moderate-severely disabling strokes, defined by mRS 3–6, were lower with rivaroxaban compared with placebo (39.6% vs. 52.5%). After adjusting for clinically relevant covariates (Table 2), rivaroxaban retained significant and independent risk reduction of stroke/TIA (HR 0.68; 95% CI 0.49–0.94). During follow-up, a total of nine recurrent stroke or TIA events occurred (two in the rivaroxaban arm and seven in the placebo arm).

Overall, we estimate that 164 patients per year would need to be treated with rivaroxaban to prevent 1 stroke or TIA event. The efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban vs. placebo did not differ by background dual antiplatelet therapy or the CHA2DS2-VASc risk score with cut-off at the median integer (4); all interaction P-values >0.30. Among patients with CHA2DS2-VASc ≤4, rivaroxaban reduced stroke/TIA from 2.8% to 2.2% (HR 0.78; 95% CI 0.49–1.25) with an NNT of 316 patient-years. Among patients with CHA2DS2-VASc above 4, rivaroxaban reduced stroke/TIA from 4.5% to 2.7% (HR 0.59; 95% CI 0.37–0.92) with an NNT of 96 patient-years (Table 4).

The principal safety endpoint, fatal bleeding, or bleeding into a critical space with potential for permanent disability, occurred at a similar rate in rivaroxaban-treated patients compared with placebo-treated patients (0.44 events vs. 0.55 events per 100 patient-years). As bleeding events were directionally lower in the rivaroxaban arm with respect to the principal safety endpoint, there was no signal of net harm observed (Table 4).

Discussion

In this post hoc analysis of a large, global, randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial, we found that patients recently treated for an episode of worsening HF in sinus rhythm face a risk of stroke (1.6 per 100 patient-years) approaching rates observed among patients with chronic HF and AF (2.0 per 100 patient-years). Ischaemic strokes are the first such event in 82% of patients. This risk is noted to increase early immediately following the index episode of worsening HF, peaks by 6-months and persists throughout the period of observation. Nearly half of all first stroke events are either fatal or disabling and those individuals that survive these events continue to face risk of major adverse cardiovascular events, including death. The history...
Table 1  Baseline characteristics and medical therapies in patients experiencing stroke/transient ischaemic attack compared with patients free of stroke/transient ischaemic attack in follow-up

|                     | Stroke/TIA Rivaroxaban (n = 61) | Placebo (n = 89) | Total (N = 150) | No stroke/TIA Rivaroxaban (n = 2446) | Placebo (n = 2426) | Total (N = 4872) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Age, mean (SD) (years) | 66.5 (9.6)                        | 68.3 (10.2)     | 67.5 (10.0)    | 66.0 (10.1)                       | 66.2 (10.3)       | 66.4 (10.2)     |
| Women, n (%)         | 13 (21.3)                         | 24 (27.0)       | 37 (24.7)      | 538 (22.0)                        | 575 (23.7)        | 1113 (22.8)     |
| White race, n (%)    | 45 (73.8)                         | 73 (82.0)       | 118 (78.7)     | 2018 (82.5)                       | 1992 (82.1)       | 4010 (82.3)     |
| Region, n (%)        |                                  |                 |               | 0.149                             |                   |                 |
| Eastern Europe       | 37 (60.7)                         | 46 (51.7)       | 83 (55.3)      | 1573 (64.3)                       | 1568 (64.6)       | 3141 (64.5)     |
| North America        | 1 (1.6)                           | 4 (4.5)         | 5 (3.3)        | 73 (3.0)                          | 71 (2.9)          | 144 (3.0)       |
| Asia Pacific         | 13 (21.3)                         | 12 (13.5)       | 25 (16.7)      | 354 (14.5)                        | 354 (14.6)        | 708 (14.5)      |
| Latin America        | 7 (11.5)                          | 11 (12.4)       | 18 (12.0)      | 218 (9.0)                         | 240 (9.0)         | 458 (9.0)       |
| Western Europe And South America | 3 (4.9) | 16 (18.0) | 19 (12.7) | 224 (9.2) | 215 (8.9) | 439 (9.0) |
| Medical history, n (%) |                                  |                 |               |                                  |                   |                 |
| Myocardial infarction | 45 (73.8)                         | 61 (68.5)       | 106 (70.7)     | 1866 (76.3)                       | 1831 (75.5)       | 3697 (75.9)     |
| Stroke               | 8 (13.1)                          | 18 (20.2)       | 26 (17.3)      | 200 (8.2)                         | 227 (9.4)         | 427 (8.8)       |
| Hypertension         | 47 (77.0)                         | 74 (83.1)       | 121 (80.7)     | 1850 (75.6)                       | 1812 (74.7)       | 3662 (75.2)     |
| Diabetes             | 29 (47.5)                         | 41 (46.1)       | 70 (46.7)      | 995 (40.7)                        | 987 (40.7)        | 1982 (40.7)     |
| Vital sign, median (IQR) |                                  |                 |               |                                  |                   |                 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 123.0 (113.0, 131.0)               | 128.0 (115.0, 137.0) | 125.0 (113.0, 132.0) | 122.0 (110.0, 133.0) | 122.0 (110.0, 131.0) | 122.0 (110.0, 132.0) |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74.0 (70.0, 80.0)                    | 72.0 (67.0, 80.0) | 73.0 (67.0, 80.0) | 74.0 (69.0, 80.0) | 72.0 (68.0, 80.0) | 73.0 (68.0, 80.0) |
| Biomarkers, median (IQR) |                                  |                 |               |                                  |                   |                 |
| BNP (pg/mL) | 607.3 (517.4, 1877.5)               | 780.0 (399.4, 1380.0) | 679.0 (461.0, 1380.0) | 702.0 (389.5, 1320.0) | 686.5 (368.4, 1266.3) | 696.0 (382.3, 230.7) |
| NT-proBNP (pg/mL) | 3136.0 (19150.0, 630.35)           | 2160.5 (1237.5, 432.25) | 2435.0 (1417.5, 530.65) | 28060.0 (1920.0, 6360.0) | 28900.0 (15020.0, 6267.0) | 28515.0 (15115.0, 630.5) |
| D-dimer (µg/L) | 335.0 (2700.0, 685.0)                | 455.0 (265.0, 950.0) | 390.00 (267.5, 710.0) | 360.0 (215.0, 680.0) | 360.0 (215.0, 640.0) | 360.0 (215.0, 6650) |
| New York Heart Association classification, n (%) |                                  |                 |               |                                  |                   |                 |
| I                   | 4 (6.6)                            | 0               | 4 (2.7)        | 76 (3.1)                          | 69 (2.8)          | 145 (3.0)       |
| II                  | 20 (32.8)                          | 44 (49.4)       | 64 (42.7)      | 1102 (45.1)                       | 1052 (43.4)       | 2154 (44.2)     |
| III                 | 33 (54.1)                          | 43 (48.3)       | 76 (50.7)      | 1175 (48.1)                       | 1211 (49.9)       | 2386 (49.0)     |
| IV                  | 4 (6.6)                            | 2 (2.2)         | 6 (4.0)        | 92 (3.8)                          | 94 (3.9)          | 186 (3.8)       |
| CHA2DS2-VASC Score, median (IQR) | 4 (3.6)                             | 5 (4.6)         | 5 (4.6)        | 4 (3.5)                           | 4 (3.5)           | 4 (3.5)         |
| Baseline therapies, n (%) |                                  |                 |               |                                  |                   |                 |
| Aspirin             | 53 (86.9)                          | 85 (95.5)       | 138 (92.0)     | 2276 (93.0)                       | 2261 (93.2)       | 4537 (93.1)     |
| Thienoprinidine     | 30 (49.2)                          | 29 (32.6)       | 59 (39.3)      | 1013 (41.4)                       | 943 (38.9)        | 1956 (40.1)     |
| Dual antiplatelet therapy | 24 (39.3)                             | 26 (29.2)       | 50 (33.3)      | 1696 (34.8)                       | 883 (36.1)        | 813 (33.5)      |
| ACEI or ARB         | 55 (90.2)                          | 83 (93.3)       | 138 (92.0)     | 2291 (93.7)                       | 2231 (92.0)       | 4522 (92.8)     |
| ARNI                | 0                                 | 0               | 0              | 18 (0.7)                          | 23 (0.9)          | 41 (0.8)        |
| β-Blocker           | 54 (88.5)                          | 81 (91.0)       | 135 (90.0)     | 2246 (91.8)                       | 2261 (93.2)       | 4507 (92.5)     |
| MRA                 | 49 (80.3)                          | 66 (74.2)       | 115 (76.7)     | 1869 (76.4)                       | 1856 (76.5)       | 3725 (76.5)     |

Intent-to-Treat Analysis Set includes all randomized unique subjects who have a signed valid informed consent.
Percentages are calculated with the number of subjects in each category and treatment group as denominator.
Race and ethnicity are self-reported by the subject.
ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors; BMI, body mass index; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; NYHA, New York Heart Association; NT-proBNP, N-terminal B-type natriuretic peptide; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.
of a prior stroke, low body mass index, and geographic region represent important independent predictors of such events. The addition of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. to background antplatelet therapy markedly reduces risk of first stroke or TIA compared with placebo by 32%, when adjusted for clinically relevant covariates. The reduced risk of stroke among rivaroxaban-treated patients after worsening HFrEF in COMMANDER HF mirrors rates observed among studies of stable chronic HFrEF in sinus rhythm and translates into a NNT of 164 per year, a number that is considerably improved when applying the CHA2DS2-VASc score of >4, with a NNT of 96 per year. Rivaroxaban at a low dose is associated with a safe and acceptable bleeding profile; we did not observe between-arm differences in fatal or critical space bleeding (the principal safety endpoint), haemorrhagic stroke, or death. Rivaroxaban did increase bleeding when compared with placebo using secondary measures of safety endpoints as reported in the primary publication of the COMMANDER HF trial.

Early clinical trials that tested the usefulness of vitamin K antagonists compared with antplatelet therapy or no antithrombotic therapy in HFrEF were relatively small, underpowered, and did not demonstrate a clear net clinical benefit in stroke reduction. In the larger Warfarin vs. Aspirin in Reduced Cardiac Ejection Fraction (WARCEF) trial of 2306 patients with chronic HFrEF in sinus rhythm, warfarin did not influence the primary endpoint of ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage, or death compared with aspirin. However, warfarin did significantly reduce ischaemic stroke at the expense of increased major haemorrhage as compared with aspirin. COMMANDER HF evaluated rivaroxaban at a low-dose and safely reduced stroke or TIA, but did not modify the primary endpoint of the trial which was a composite of death, myocardial infarction, or stroke. This was largely because the lower incidence of a first stroke event was overwhelmed by a high proportional occurrence of HF deaths as the principal event. However, our findings on the stroke reduction signal in this unique population that suffered a recent episode of worsening HF are also supported by prospective trials evaluating extended-duration therapy with a factor Xa inhibitor, betrixaban, among patients hospitalized for medical illness. Low-dose rivaroxaban, which at this dose decreases thrombin generation, may attenuate residual thrombotic risk early and late following worsening HFrEF and among patients with stable atherosclerotic vascular disease or after acute coronary syndromes.

Step-wise pharmacological and device developments over the last 3 decades have modified disease progression in HFrEF and led to longitudinal declines in sudden death. Despite this therapeutic success (primarily targeting neurohormonal pathways attenuating adverse myocardial remodelling), patients face residual thrombotic risks. Stroke, a most feared morbidity related to HF, remains a significant problem even among patients in sinus rhythm, across an ejection fraction spectrum. Although these events appear to occur at a relatively low frequency, we found that nearly half of index stroke events were fatal or disabling, highlighting the important lasting morbidity associated with this complication. Importantly, the analysis of this trial indicates that the early period after worsening HFrEF is ‘vulnerable’ with a large proportion of events occurring during that phase and accumulating thereafter with a peak within 6 months; however, there is no period when the risk is completely attenuated. Few data exist in this particular time period and even those that do evaluate such early post-discharge outcomes, do not provide long-term follow-up.

A recent exploratory analysis of COMMANDER HF assessed the utility of rivaroxaban in modifying composite thromboembolic complications (inclusive of myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke, sudden unwitnessed death, or symptomatic venous thromboembolism). Taken together with our study, these data highlight that patients after an episode of worsening HF face a broad range of residual thrombotic risks, of which stroke represents a critical modifiable event. As patients presenting with worsening HFrEF have widely heterogeneous patient profiles, application of a clinical risk score may identify subpopulations that may particularly benefit from thromboprophylaxis. Few clinical risk scores have been validated to improve risk prediction to guide stroke prevention in HFrEF and sinus rhythm. The CHA2DS2-VASc score has been a validated and widely

---

**Figure 2** Distribution of COMMANDER HF participants and observed stroke or transient ischaemic attack rates by CHA2DS2-VASc score. Given strong treatment effect of rivaroxaban vs. placebo on stroke/transient ischaemic attack, Cox proportional hazards models for risk prediction were performed in the placebo group alone.
applied risk prediction tool among patients with AF, and in our analysis appears to be an important predictor of stroke outcomes among patients in sinus rhythm.4,27 This risk prediction score was significantly associated with first-time stroke or TIA, but its performance as a continuous variable was modest. This may reflect relatively low event rates, high observed competing risks of death and rehospitalization for worsening HF in this high-risk population,25 and lack of accounting of specific metrics of HF severity and status. In aggregate, we estimate that 164 patients per year would need to be treated with low-dose rivaroxaban to prevent 1 stroke or TIA event. If the CHA2DS2-VASc score is applied using a cut-point of 4 (the median score of our population), the NNT would reduce to 96 per year. Thus, a risk score targeted approach to cautious implementation of this preventive therapy may warrant further investigation in patients deemed at high risk for stroke in HF and without AF.

Study limitations
This is a post hoc analysis which used an endpoint that lacked formal independent adjudication by a clinical events committee and instead

### Table 2  Risk predictors of stroke or transient ischaemic attack in final prediction model

| Risk Factor                                      | Wald statistics $\chi^2$ | Hazard ratio (HR) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Region                                           |                          |                  |         |
| Asia Pacific vs. Eastern Europe                  | 14.49                    | 1.45 (0.41–5.15) | 0.006   |
| Western Europe & South Africa vs. Eastern Europe |                          | 2.97 (1.59–5.57) |         |
| North America vs. Eastern Europe                 |                          | 1.74 (0.56–5.37) |         |
| Latin America vs. Eastern Europe                 |                          | 2.54 (1.23–5.23) |         |
| History of prior stroke                          | 10.09                    | 2.35 (1.39–3.98) | 0.002   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                          | 3.87                     | 0.95 (0.91–1.00) | 0.049   |
| History of hypertension                         | 3.01                     | 1.67 (0.94–2.99) | 0.083   |
| Age (per year)                                   | 1.39                     | 1.01 (0.99–1.04) | 0.239   |
| Time from index episode of worsening heart failure to randomization (per day) | 0.58                     | 1.01 (0.99–1.03) | 0.448   |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (per %)       | 0.51                     | 0.99 (0.96–1.02) | 0.473   |
| New York Heart Association class                 | 0.34                     |                  | 0.952   |
| Class I vs. Class IV                             |                          | 1.38 (0.33–5.85) |         |
| Class II vs. Class IV                            |                          | 1.49 (0.35–6.37) |         |
| History of diabetes mellitus                     | 0.23                     | 1.11 (0.71–1.74) | 0.633   |
| White race                                       | 0.10                     | 1.19 (0.40–3.49) | 0.754   |
| Optimism-corrected C-statistic (percentile-correct interval) | 0.70 (0.65–0.74) |         |

No events occurred in the placebo arm of New York Heart Association Class I patients, so a hazard ratio was not estimable.

### Table 3  Effects of rivaroxaban vs. placebo on stroke or transient ischaemic attack

|                      | Rivaroxaban | Placebo | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| n/N (%)              | n/N (%)     | Incidence rate per 100 patient-years | Incidence rate per 100 patient-years | |
| Primary neurological endpoint: all-cause stroke or TIA | 61/2507 (2.43) | 1.29 | 89/2515 (3.54) | 1.9 | 0.68 (0.49, 0.94) | 0.02 |
| All-cause stroke     | 51/2507 (2.03) | 1.08 | 76/2515 (3.02) | 1.62 | 0.67 (0.47, 0.95) | 0.025 |
| Ischaemic stroke     | 41/2507 (1.64) | 0.86 | 63/2515 (2.50) | 1.34 | 0.64 (0.43, 0.95) | 0.028 |
| Haemorrhagic stroke  | 6/2507 (0.24) | 0.13 | 8/2515 (0.32) | 0.17 | 0.74 (0.25, 2.13) | 0.572 |
| Subarachnoid haemorrhage | 1/2507 (0.04) | 0.02 | 3/2515 (0.12) | 0.06 | 0.33 (0.03, 3.16) | 0.334 |
| Uncertain type of stroke | 4/2507 (0.16) | 0.08 | 2/2515 (0.08) | 0.04 | 2.01 (0.37, 10.99) | 0.420 |
| TIA                  | 10/2507 (0.40) | 0.21 | 13/2515 (0.52) | 0.27 | 0.77 (0.34, 1.75) | 0.525 |
| Ischaemic stroke or TIA | 51/2507 (2.03) | 1.08 | 76/2515 (3.02) | 1.62 | 0.66 (0.46, 0.95) | 0.023 |

Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals, adjusted for time from index heart failure event to randomization and stratified by region.

TIA, transient ischaemic attack.
relied on site investigator-based event adjudication. However, as stroke was a component of the primary composite endpoint, data collection to support site adjudication was carefully performed. As imaging was not uniformly available to exclude cerebral infarction among patients presenting with transient neurological symptoms, we specifically focused on the composite of stroke or TIA as the primary neurological outcome. Patients with a history of stroke within 90 days of randomization were excluded which may lead to underestimation of stroke risk in this population. Given the exploratory nature of this analysis, treatment effects were adjusted for clinically relevant covariates (which did not modify the direction, magnitude, or significance of the results). These data should be considered

**Primary Neurological Endpoint:**

All-Cause Stroke + TIA

Adjusted HR 0.68; 95% CI 0.49-0.94

**Take home figure** Time to first occurrence of stroke or transient ischaemic attack. Cox proportional hazards models were adjusted for all covariates presented in Table 2. Analyses were performed in the intention-to-treat cohort including all randomized unique subjects who have a signed valid informed consent. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

---

**Table 4:** Application of the CHA2DS2-VASc risk score with cut-off at the median score of 4 to the COMMANDER HF trial

|                      | Rivaroxaban | Placebo |
|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| n/N (%)              | Incidence rate (per 100 patient-years) | Incidence rate (per 100 patient-years) |
| COMMANDER HF cohort  | 61/2507 (2.4%) 1.29 | 89/2515 (3.3%) 1.90 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc ≤ 4     | 31/1412 (2.2%) 1.13 | 40/1424 (2.8%) 1.44 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc > 4     | 30/1095 (2.7%) 1.52 | 49/1091 (4.5%) 2.56 |

| Rivaroxaban | Placebo |
|-------------|---------|
| n/N (%)     | Incidence rate (per 100 patient-years) | Incidence rate (per 100 patient-years) |
| COMMANDER HF cohort | 18/2499 (0.7%) 0.44 | 23/2509 (0.9%) 0.55 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc ≤ 4     | 8/1406 (0.6%) 0.33 | 13/1422 (0.9%) 0.53 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc > 4     | 10/1093 (0.9%) 0.60 | 10/1087 (0.9%) 0.60 |

|                      | Rivaroxaban | Placebo |
|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| NNT patient-years    | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| COMMANDER HF cohort  | 164 | 0.68 (0.49–0.94) | 0.02 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc ≤ 4     | 316 | 0.79 (0.49–1.26) | 0.382 a |
| CHA2DS2-VASc > 4     | 96 | 0.59 (0.37–0.93) |

|                      | Rivaroxaban | Placebo |
|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| NNH patient-years a  | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| COMMANDER HF cohort  | – | 0.81 (0.44–1.49) | 0.491 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc ≤ 4     | – | 0.65 (0.27–1.56) | 0.495 b |
| CHA2DS2-VASc > 4     | – | 1.00 (0.42–2.40) |

Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), adjusted for time from index heart failure event to randomization and stratified by region. Number needed to treat (NNT) or number needed to harm (NNH) was calculated based on the difference in incidence rates per 100 patient-year between the treatment groups.

TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

aAs the principal safety endpoint occurred at a higher incidence rate in the placebo arm compared with rivaroxaban arm in the overall COMMANDER HF trial and by CHA2DS2-VASc subgroups, NNH was not calculated.

bInteraction P-value.
exploratory, hypothesis-generating and require prospective validation, especially since rivaroxaban has not received regulatory approval for use for the indication of stroke prevention in patients with worsening HFrEF in the absence of other reasons for anticoagulation (such as AF).

Conclusions

In this exploratory analysis of a large, global, randomized clinical trial of patients with recently worsening HFrEF, CAD, and sinus rhythm, an ischaemic stroke was most often observed as the first stroke event and was frequently disabling or fatal. The addition of low-dose rivaroxaban appeared to safely attenuate risk of stroke or TIA in the vulnerable early and late phase after a recent episode of worsening HFrEF. Within the context of the relatively low absolute risk of stroke/TIA events, our data suggest that selected at-risk populations of patients with HFrEF and sinus rhythm may be identified using traditional risk scores and further investigation of such targeted approaches are warranted.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal online.
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