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Abstract. We study the entanglement properties of a higher-integer-spin Affleck–Kennedy–Lieb–Tasaki model with quantum group symmetry in the periodic boundary condition. We exactly calculate the finite size correction terms of the entanglement entropies from the double scaling limit. We also evaluate the geometric entanglement, which serves as another measure for entanglement. We find the geometric entanglement reaches its maximum at the isotropic point, and decreases with the increase of the anisotropy. This behavior is similar to that of the entanglement entropies.
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1 Introduction

Quantum entanglement is a fundamental feature in quantum mechanics, and is a primary resource in quantum communication and quantum computation [6, 12, 23, 37]. Entanglement has become an important tool to characterize quantum many-body systems (see [2] for example for a review). In one dimensional spin systems, typical quantifications of quantum entanglement are the Rényi entropy $S_R(L,\ell)$ and von Neumann entropy $S_{vN}(L,\ell)$ of a subsystem A with $\ell$ sites and environment B with $L-\ell$ sites (see Fig. 1)

$$S_R(L,\ell) = \frac{\log \text{Tr}(\rho(L,\ell))}{1-\alpha}, \quad S_{vN}(L,\ell) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_R(L,\ell).$$

Here the reduced density matrix $\rho(L,\ell)$ is obtained from the density matrix of a ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ by tracing out all spin degrees of freedom in the environment B

$$\rho(L,\ell) = \text{Tr}_B |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|.$$

The entanglement spectrum, i.e. the set of the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix, determines the entanglement entropies. For one-dimensional gapless spin chains, the generic behavior of the entanglement entropies has been analyzed [7] by use of the conformal field theory. The entanglement entropies scale logarithmically with the size of the subsystem, the prefactor essentially given by the central charge of the corresponding conformal field theory.

On the other hand, gapful chains have been analyzed by investigating particular models. One of the most important models is the Affleck–Kennedy–Lieb–Tasaki (AKLT) model [1] which was introduced to understand the massive behavior of integer spin chains [13, 14]. The entanglement
entropies of the isotropic AKLT models have been investigated by examining the exact valence-bond-solid (VBS) ground state \[9, 16, 17, 18, 22, 28, 31, 40\]. For gapped systems which have finite correlation lengths, the entanglement entropies saturate at certain values when the size of the subsystems exceed certain lengths. The saturated values of higher rank and higher spin AKLT models are larger than the spin-1 AKLT model.

Recently Santos et al. found surprisingly simple and useful formula for calculating the reduced density matrix for matrix product ground states \[32, 33\]. They applied it to the AKLT model of spin-1 and general integer spin \(S\) with quantum group symmetry (\(q\)-AKLT model) \[3, 5, 10, 19, 20, 24, 35\], and another massive Klümper–Schadschneider–Zittartz model \[21\] to study anisotropic effect.

In this article, we study the entanglement properties of the \(q\)-AKLT model, following the results of \[32, 33\] and giving remarks and additional results. The more precise definition of the \(q\)-AKLT model on an \(L\)-site chain with the periodic boundary condition is as follows

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_L} \sum_{J=S+1}^{2S} C_J(k, k+1) (\pi_J)_{k,k+1},
\]

where \(C_J(k, k+1) > 0\), and \((\pi_J)_{k,k+1}\), which acts on the \(k\)-th and \((k+1)\)-th sites, is the \(U_q(su(2))\) projection operator from \(V_S \otimes V_S\) to \(V_J\), where \(V_j\) is the \((2j+1)\)-dimensional highest weight representation of the quantum group \(U_q(su(2))\) \[8, 15\]. The valence-bond-solid (VBS) ground state of this Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{H}\) has a matrix product form \[3, 24\], which generalize the isotropic higher-integer-spin \[4, 11, 36\] and spin-1 \(q\)-deformed AKLT models \[5, 19, 35\]. We check that the entanglement spectra for \(\ell = 1\) calculated from the formulas of the reduced density matrix \[32, 33\] reproduce the one point functions originally derived in \[3\]. We achieve the finite size corrections of the entanglement entropies from the double scaling limit, which requires the second order term of the perturbation of the entanglement spectrum. We exactly calculate the finite size correction term of the von Neumann entanglement entropy \(S_{vN}(\ell)\).

Besides the entanglement entropies which characterize the bipartite entanglement, we also study the geometric entanglement, which is another kind of measure for entanglement, see Fig. 1. The geometric entanglement has been proposed as a measure for multipartite entanglement. It has been used to study quantum phase transitions \[25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 34, 38, 39\], and has been measured experimentally recently \[41\]. Systems near criticality exhibit logarithmic divergences as the entanglement entropies. On the other hand, only a few analytic results are known for gapped systems. The geometric entanglement defined below can be regarded as the actual distance between the ground state of the system and the nearest fully separable state in the Hilbert space.

We divide the \(L\)-site chain into \(N\) parties \((L = N\ell)\). Consider a pure quantum state of \(N\) parties \(|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} H[i]\), where \(H[i]\) is the space of the \(i\)th party. The entanglement can be
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quantified by maximizing the fidelity $|\Lambda|$ between the quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ and all the possible separable and normalized states of $N$ parties $|\Phi\rangle = \otimes_{i=1}^{N} |\phi^{(i)}\rangle$, $|\phi^{(i)}\rangle \in H^{(i)}$,

$$|\Lambda_{\text{max}}| = \max_{|\Phi\rangle} |\Lambda|, \quad \Lambda = \frac{\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle}}. \tag{3}$$

The logarithm of $|\Lambda_{\text{max}}|$ is taken

$$E(\Psi) = -\log |\Lambda_{\text{max}}|^2,$$

such that its value becomes zero when $|\Psi\rangle$ is separable or positive otherwise. The geometric entanglement per block is defined as the above quantity per party

$$\mathcal{E}(\ell) = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{E(\Psi)}{N},$$

well defined in the thermodynamic limit. We evaluate the geometric entanglement for the spin $S$ $q$-deformed VBS state $|\Psi\rangle$. We obtain the expression of the geometric entanglement for $\ell \to \infty$ and its finite size corrections with help of numerical calculations. For the evaluation of the entanglement entropies and the geometric entanglement, the spectral structure of the transfer matrix of the $q$-VBS state in the matrix product representation [3, 24] will be helpful.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the matrix product representation [3, 24] of the VBS ground state of the $q$-AKLT model, which helps us for evaluating the entanglement entropies and the geometric entanglement. In Section 3, the finite-size correction terms of the entanglement entropies from the double scaling limit are calculated by perturbative analysis. We emphasize that the double scaling limits of the entanglement entropies and the leading term of the finite-size correction of the entanglement spectrum have been originally obtained by Santos et al. [32]. But we make Section 3 partially overlap their results so that this article can be self-contained and easy to read. In Section 4, we investigate the geometric entanglement with help of numerical calculations. Section 5 is devoted to the summary of this article.

2 $q$-VBS state

In this section, we briefly review the matrix product representation of the higher-integer-spin $q$-VBS ground state and the spectral structure of the transfer matrix of the $q$-AKLT model [3, 24]. We use the following notations. For a real number $c$ we define its $q$ analogue as

$$[c] = \frac{q^c - q^{-c}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

We also define the $q$-shifted factorial and the $q$-shifted binomial for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as

$$[n]! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [i], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad [n]! = \begin{cases} \frac{[n]!}{[n]! [n-k]!}, & k = 0, \ldots, n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The $q$-VBS state [3, 24], which is the exact ground state of the $q$-AKLT model (2), is expressed in the following matrix product form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \text{Tr}[g_1 * g_2 * \cdots * g_{L-1} * g_L].$$
where \( g_k \) is an \((S + 1) \times (S + 1)\) vector-valued matrix acting on the \(k\)-th site whose element is given by

\[
g_k(a, b) = (-1)^{S - i} q^{(a + b - S)(S + 1)/2} \sqrt{S \choose a} [S - a + b]! [S + a - b]! |S; b - a\rangle_k
\]

\[\vdash h_{ab}|S; b - a\rangle_k, \quad (0 \leq a, b \leq S).
\]

The symbol \( \star \) denotes the product \( A \star B = \left\{ \sum_y |\alpha\rangle_{xy} \otimes |\beta\rangle_{yz} \right\}_{xz} \) for vector-valued matrices \( A = \{ |\alpha\rangle_{xy} \}_{xy} \) and \( B = \{ |\beta\rangle_{yx} \}_{xy} \).

We define \( g_k^\dagger \) by replacing each ket vector in the matrix \( g_k \) by its corresponding bra vector:

\[
g_k^\dagger(a, b) = h_{ab} \langle S; b - a|.
\]

Let us set an \((S + 1)^2\) dimensional vector space as

\[
W = \bigoplus_{0 \leq a, b \leq S} \mathbb{C}|ab\rangle,
\]

where \( \{ |ab\rangle \mid a, b = 0, \ldots, S \} \) is an orthonormal basis. We define an \((S + 1)^2 \times (S + 1)^2\) matrix \( G \) acting on the space \( W \) as

\[
G = g^\dagger \otimes g, \quad \langle ab|G|cd\rangle = g^\dagger(a, c)g(b, d) = \delta_{a-c,b-d} h_{ac} h_{bd},
\]

which plays the role of a transfer matrix.

In [3], the spectral structure of the \( G \) matrix was clarified, i.e. the eigenvalues of \( G \) are given as

\[
\lambda_n = (-1)^n ([S]|n\rangle \langle n|S\rangle)^2 \binom{2S + 1}{S - n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, S,
\]

with the degree of degeneracy \( 2n + 1 \), and thus the squared norm of the ground state is given as

\[
\langle \Psi|\Psi\rangle = \text{Tr} G^L = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq S} (2n + 1)\lambda_n^L.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The matrix \( G \) has the following block diagonal structure since \( \langle ab|G|cd\rangle = 0 \) for \( a - b \neq c - d \):

\[
G = \bigoplus_{-S \leq j \leq S} G^{(j)}, \quad G^{(j)} \in \text{End} W_j, \quad W_j = \bigoplus_{i = \max(0, -j)}^{\min(S, S-j)} \mathbb{C}|i, i + j\rangle.
\]

The size of each block \( G^{(j)} \) is \((S - |j| + 1) \times (S - |j| + 1)\). Each element of \( G^{(j)} \) is

\[
\langle a, a + j|G^{(j)}|c, c + j\rangle = (-1)^j q^{(a + c + j - S)(S + 1)} |S - a + c|! |S + a - c|! \times \sqrt{S \choose a} S \choose a + j \choose c \choose c + j
\]

We construct intertwiners among the \(2S + 1\) blocks \( G^{(j)} \) \((j = -S, \ldots, S)\). This helps us to construct eigenvectors of each block from another block with a smaller size.

Let us define a family of linear operators \( \{ I_j \}_{1 \leq |j| \leq S} \) as

\[
I_j : W_j \to W_{j-1} \quad (j > 0),
\]
\[ \langle a, a + j - 1 | I_j | c, c + j \rangle = \begin{cases} q^{-a} \sqrt{\frac{|a + j| (S - a - j + 1)}{|j| (S - j + 1)}}, & c = a, \\ -q^{1-a-j} \sqrt{\frac{|a| (S - a + 1)}{|j| (S - j + 1)}}, & c = a - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \]

\[ W_j \rightarrow W_{j+1} \quad (j < 0), \quad \langle a - j - 1, a | I_j | c - j, c \rangle = \langle a, a - j - 1 | I_{-j} | c, c - j \rangle. \]

By direct calculation, one finds that the matrix \( I_j \) enjoys the intertwining relation \( I_j G^{(j)} = G^{(j-1)} I_j \) \((1 \leq j \leq S)\), \( I_j G^{(j')} = G^{(j+1)} I_j \) \((-S \leq j \leq -1)\). Each block \( G^{(j)} \) has a simple (nondegenerated) spectrum

\[ \text{Spec} G^{(j)} = \{ \lambda_i \}_{|j| \leq \ell \leq S}, \]

and the corresponding eigenvectors are given by

\[ |\lambda_n\rangle_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq S-n} q^{(n+1)i} \sqrt{\frac{|S-n| ![i+n] ![S-i]!}{[S]![n]![S-i-n]![i]!}} |i, i+n\rangle, & n = j \geq 0, \\ \sum_{0 \leq i < S-n} q^{(n+1)i} \sqrt{\frac{|S-n| ![i+n] ![S-i]!}{[S]![n]![S-i-n]![i]!}} |i+n, i\rangle, & -n = j < 0, \end{cases} \]

(5)

\[ I_{j+1} I_{j+2} \cdots I_n |\lambda_n\rangle_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq j + 1 \leq n \leq S, \]

\[ I_{j-1} I_{j-2} \cdots I_{-n} |\lambda_n\rangle_{-n}, \quad 1 < -j + 1 \leq n \leq S. \]

The \( \ell \)th-power of the \( G \) matrix is formally expanded as

\[ G^\ell = \bigoplus_{-S \leq j \leq S} \sum_{|j| \leq \ell \leq S} \frac{\lambda_j^\ell}{\langle \lambda_n | \lambda_n \rangle_j} |\lambda_n\rangle_j \langle \lambda_n|. \]

### 3 Finite size correction of the entanglement entropies

In this section, we examine the finite-size correction of the entanglement entropies by studying the reduced density matrix. Recently, the following simple formula for the reduced density matrix (1) was found [33]

\[ \rho(L, \ell) = \frac{K(\ell - L) K(\ell)}{\text{Tr} G^L}, \]

(6)

where the “\( K \) matrix” is defined as

\[ K(\ell) = M G^\ell \]

with a linear map \( M \)

\[ M(|ab\rangle \langle cd|) = |ac\rangle \langle bd|. \]

(7)

The reduced density matrix (6) is an \((S + 1)^2 \times (S + 1)^2\) matrix, from which the rank of the density matrix is equal to or smaller than \((S + 1)^2\). We study the reduced density matrix by combining (6) and the spectral structure of the transfer matrix \( G \) reviewed in the last section.

Here we introduce some notations and make some general remarks. We define

\[ K_n = \sum_{-n \leq j \leq n} \frac{1}{\langle \lambda_n | \lambda_n \rangle_j} M(|\lambda_n\rangle_j \langle \lambda_n|), \]

where
so that the $K$ matrix and the reduced density matrix are written as

$$K(\ell) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq S} \lambda_n^\ell K_n,$$

$$\rho(L, \ell) = \frac{1}{\sum_{0 \leq n \leq S} (2n + 1)\lambda_n^L} \sum_{0 \leq n' \leq S} \lambda_n^{L-\ell} \lambda_n^{\ell} K_{n'}K_n.$$  \tag{8}

One observes that $K_n$, $K(\ell)$ and $\rho(L, \ell)$ enjoy the same block diagonal structure as $G$:

$$K_n = \bigoplus_{-S \leq j \leq S} K_n^{(j)}, \quad K(\ell) = \bigoplus_{-S \leq j \leq S} K^{(j)(\ell)}, \quad \rho(L, \ell) = \bigoplus_{-S \leq j \leq S} \rho^{(j)}(L, \ell),$$

$$K_n^{(j)}, K^{(j)(\ell)}, \rho^{(j)}(L, \ell) \in \text{End} W_j,$$

since $\langle ab | K_n | cd \rangle = 0$ for $a - b \neq c - d$. Note that $M$ (7) does not always map a matrix acting on a sector $W_j$ to a matrix acting on the same sector. The spectrum of $\rho(L, \ell)$ is, of course, given by the union of the spectra of $\rho^{(j)}(L, \ell)$'s. Due to the symmetry $\langle ab | \rho(L, \ell) | cd \rangle = \langle ba | \rho(L, \ell) | dc \rangle$, we have the degeneracy

$$\text{Spec } \rho^{(j)}(L, \ell) = \text{Spec } \rho^{(-j)}(L, \ell).$$

### 3.1 Double scaling limit

We first review the double scaling limit [32, 33]

$$\rho = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \lim_{L \to \infty} \rho(L, \ell), \quad \rho^{(j)} = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \lim_{L \to \infty} \rho^{(j)}(L, \ell).$$

Noting the form (8) and $|\lambda_n/\lambda_0| < 1$ ($n = 1, \ldots, S$), we find the reduced density matrix becomes diagonal

$$\rho = K_0K_0, \quad \langle ab | \rho | cd \rangle = \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} \frac{q^{2(a+b-S)}}{[S+1]^2} \quad (=: \delta_{ab}\delta_{bd} p_{ab}).$$  \tag{9}

The entanglement spectrum is, of course, given by the diagonal elements of $\rho$, i.e. $\{p_{ab} | a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, S \}$.\(^1\) We notice that the degree of the degeneracy of the eigenvalue $\frac{q^{2k}}{[S+1]^2}$ is $S - |k| + 1$. For example, the spectrum for $S = 2$ is given as

\begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(2)} : \quad p_{02} &= \frac{1}{[3]^2}, \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(1)} : \quad p_{01} &= \frac{1}{q^2[3]^2}, \quad p_{12} = \frac{q^2}{[3]^2}, \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(0)} : \quad p_{00} &= \frac{1}{q^4[3]^2}, \quad p_{11} = \frac{1}{[3]^2}, \quad p_{22} = \frac{q^4}{[3]^2}, \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-1)} : \quad p_{10} &= \frac{1}{q^2[3]^2}, \quad p_{21} = \frac{q^2}{[3]^2}, \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-2)} : \quad p_{20} &= \frac{1}{[3]^2}.
\end{align*}  \tag{10}

One can calculate

$$P := \text{Tr } \rho^\alpha = \sum_{0 \leq a \leq S} \sum_{0 \leq b \leq S} p_{ab}^\alpha = \left( \frac{[\alpha(S+1)]}{[\alpha][S+1]^\alpha} \right)^2.$$  \tag{11}

\(^1\)This notation is different from that in [32].
Then we achieve the entanglement entropies in the double scaling limit \[32, 33\]

\[
S_R = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log P = \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \log \left[ \frac{\alpha(S+1)}{[\alpha][S+1]^\alpha} \right],
\]

\[
S_{vN} = 2 \log q \left( \frac{q+q^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} - (S+1) \frac{q^{S+1} + q^{-(S+1)}}{q^{S+1} - q^{-(S+1)}} \right) + 2 \log (S+1),
\]

(12)

see Fig. 2 for the von Neumann entropy in the double scaling limit. In particular, when \(q = 1\), the spectrum is totally degenerated

\[
p_{ab} = \frac{1}{(S+1)^2},
\]

(13)

and the entropies become

\[
S_R = S_{vN} = 2 \log (S+1),
\]

which agree with the case of the open boundary condition \[16, 22, 40\]. On the other hand, in the limit \(q \to 0\), only one eigenvalue survives \(p_{00} = 1\), \(p_{ab} = 0\) \((a+b > 0)\), and the entropies become zero.

### 3.2 Finite-size correction

We examine the finite-size correction of the entanglement entropies. We first take the limit \(L \to \infty\)

\[
\rho(\ell) := \lim_{L \to \infty} \rho(L, \ell) = K_0 \sum_{0 \leq n \leq S} K_n \kappa_n^\ell,
\]

(14)

\[
\rho^{(j)}(\ell) := \lim_{L \to \infty} \rho^{(j)}(L, \ell) = K_0^{(j)} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq S} K_n^{(j)} \kappa_n^\ell,
\]

(15)

with \(\kappa_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_0}\), and then consider the case \(\ell = 1\) and the behavior of the entropies for \(\ell \to \infty\). Fig. 3 provides plots of the spectrum Spe\(c\) \(\rho(\ell)\) of the reduced density matrix (14), i.e. the union of the spectra Spe\(c\) \(\rho^{(j)}(\ell)\)'s of (15), and the von Neumann entropy for \(S = 2\) with \(q = 4/5\).

For \(\ell = 1\) and \(L \to \infty\) the reduced density matrix becomes

\[
\rho(1) = K_0(MG)/\lambda_0.
\]
The entanglement spectrum of $\rho(\ell)$ (left) and the von Neumann entropy (right) for $S = 2$ with $q = 4/5$. The lines are drawn for $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ with replacement $\kappa_n^\ell \rightarrow |\kappa_n|^\ell$ and $\kappa_n^\ell \rightarrow |\kappa_n|^\ell-1$ in (14) or (15). In the left figure, the dashed, dotted and solid lines correspond to $\text{Spec } \rho(\pm 2)(\ell)$, $\text{Spec } \rho(\pm 1)(\ell)$ and $\text{Spec } \rho(0)(\ell)$, respectively.

The eigenvalues of $\rho(1)$ become zero except $2S+1$ ones, which is pointed out for $S = 1$ and 2 in [32, 33]

$$p_{ab}(1) = \begin{cases} \delta_{a+b,k} \frac{[S + |k|][S - |k|]}{[2S + 1]} \sum_{c=0}^{S-|k|} q^{(S+2)(2c+|k|-S)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c + |k| \end{array} \right], & a \times b = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$ (16)

For example, the spectrum $\{p_{ab}(1)\}_{ab}$ of $\rho(1)$ for $S = 2$ is given as

$$\text{Spec } \rho(2)(1) : \quad p_{02}(1) = \frac{1}{5},$$

$$\text{Spec } \rho(1)(1) : \quad p_{01}(1) = \frac{1 + q^8}{q^2(1 + q^4)[5]}, \quad p_{12}(1) = 0,$$

$$\text{Spec } \rho(0)(1) : \quad p_{00}(1) = \frac{1 - q^2 + 2q^6 - q^{10} + q^{12}}{q^4(1 + q^4)[5]}, \quad p_{11}(1) = 0, \quad p_{22}(1) = 0,$$

$$\text{Spec } \rho(-1)(1) : \quad p_{10}(1) = \frac{1 + q^8}{q^2(1 + q^4)[5]}, \quad p_{21}(1) = 0,$$

$$\text{Spec } \rho(-2)(1) : \quad p_{20}(1) = \frac{1}{5}.$$  

Let us show (16). We consider the submatrix $\rho^{(k)}(1, k \geq 0$. The case for $k < 0$ is similar. By direct calculation, we find the matrix elements of $(S-k+1) \times (S-k+1)$ submatrix $\rho^{(k)}(1)$ are given by

$$\langle c, c+k | \rho^{(k)}(1) | c+j, c+j+k \rangle = (-1)^{j} q^{2c+k-S+(2c+j-k-S)(S+1)} [S+k] [S-k] [2S+1]$$

$$\times \sqrt{\left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c+j \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c+k \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} S \\ c+j+k \end{array} \right]}.$$ (17)

The rank of $\rho^{(k)}(1)$ is 1, since the element of $\rho^{(k)}(1)$ (17) has a form $A_c \times B_{c+j}$. Thus, only one eigenvalue of $\rho^{(k)}(1)$ is nonzero, which is given by

$$\text{Tr}(\rho^{(k)}(1)) = \sum_{c=0}^{S-k} \langle c, c+k | \rho^{(k)}(1) | c, c+k \rangle$$
Inserting (5) into (1) observes the monotonicity of the von Neumann entropy density matrix $\rho$ degenerated as $q$ from the fact that the other eigenvalues are all 0. The expression (18) is actually identical to the one point functions derived in [3]. In particular, when $q = 1$, the non-zero eigenvalues are degenerated as $p_{ab}(1) = \frac{1}{2S+1} (a \times b = 0)$, and we have $S_{\ell}(1) = S_{\ell}(1) = \log(2S + 1)$ [32]. One observes the monotonicity of the von Neumann entropy $S_{\ell}(1)$ while $0 < q < 1$, see Fig. 4.

We turn to the behavior of entropies for $\ell \to \infty$. Noting again the form (8) and $|\kappa_n| < 1$ ($n = 1, \ldots, S$), we find

$$\rho(\ell) = \rho + \kappa_1^2 K_0 K_1 + O(\kappa_2^2), \quad \ell \to \infty.$$  

We denote the eigenvalue of $\rho(\ell)$ by $p_{ab}(\ell)$ corresponding to $p_{ab}$ (9) when $\ell \to \infty$. Since the density matrix $\rho$ in the double scaling limit is a diagonal matrix, it is not difficult to perform perturbative calculation. Noting $|\kappa_1|^2 > |\kappa_2| > |\kappa_3| > \cdots$, we find

$$p_{ab}(\ell) = p_{ab} + r_{ab} \kappa_1^2 + t_{ab} \kappa_2^2 + o(\kappa_1^2), \quad \ell \to \infty,$$

$$r_{ab} = \langle \langle ab | K_0 K_1 | ab \rangle \rangle, \quad t_{ab} = \sum_{(c,d) \neq (a,b)} \frac{\langle \langle cd | K_0 K_1 | ab \rangle \rangle}{p_{ab} - p_{cd}}.$$  

Inserting (5) into $r_{ab}$ and $t_{ab}$ defined above, we have

$$r_{ab} = \frac{q^{a+b-S} q^{a+b-3S|3|} (1 - q^{2a} - q^{2a+2} + q^{2S+2})(1 - q^{2b} - q^{2b+2} + q^{2S+2})}{(S + 1)^2 [S][S + 1][S + 2]}, \quad (20)$$

$$t_{ab} = \left( q^{2(a+b-S)|3|2} \right)^2 \frac{q^{-4}[S-a+1][a][S-b+1][b] - q^4[S-a][a+1][S-b][b+1]}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.$$  

The first-order term (20) has been originally obtained in [32] (see equation (59) of [32] by changing the indices $\mu = S/2 - a$, $\nu = S/2 - b$ and redefining $q \to q^{1/2}$), where the characteristic length is given by $\xi = \frac{1}{\log(\log(2S + 1)/S)}$. We also calculated the second-order term (21) which is needed for seeing the finite-size correction of the von Neumann entropy.

For example, the spectrum $\{p_{ab}(\ell)\}_{ab}$ ($\ell \to \infty$) for $S = 2$ (which is shifted from (10) as (19)) is given as

$$\text{Spec } \rho^{(2)}(\ell) : \quad \frac{1}{|3|^2} = \frac{2}{|3|^4} \kappa_1^\ell,$$  

**Figure 4.** The von Neumann entropy of the one-site subsystem $S_{CN}(1)$. 

![Graph showing von Neumann entropy](image-url)
Then we find

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(1)}(\ell) & : \quad \frac{1}{q^2[3]} + \frac{(1 - q^2)[2]}{q^2[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell + \frac{q^2[2]^2}{(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell}, \\
\frac{q^2}{[3]^2} & = \frac{(1 - q^2)[2]}{[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell - \frac{q^2[2]^2}{(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell},
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(0)}(\ell) & : \quad \frac{1}{q^4[3]} + \frac{[2]}{q^2[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell + \frac{q^4[2]^2}{q^2(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell}, \\
\frac{1}{[3]^2} & + \frac{(1 - q^2)[2]}{q^2[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell - \frac{q^4[2]^2}{(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell},
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-1)}(\ell) & : \quad \frac{1}{q^2[3]} + \frac{(1 - q^2)[2]}{q^2[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell + \frac{q^2[2]^2}{(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell}, \\
\frac{q^2}{[3]^2} & = \frac{(1 - q^2)[2]}{[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell - \frac{q^2[2]^2}{(1 - q^4)[4]^2} \kappa_1^{2\ell},
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-2)}(\ell) & : \quad \frac{1}{[3]^2} - \frac{[2]}{[3][4]} \kappa_1^\ell,
\end{align*} \]

where we omit the symbol \( + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}) \).

The Rényi entropy is expressed by \( p_{ab}, r_{ab} \) and \( t_{ab} \) up to the order of \( \kappa_1^{2\ell} \) as

\[ S_R(\ell) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \text{Tr}(\rho(\ell))^\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{0 \leq a \leq S} (p_{ab}(\ell))^\alpha \]

\[ = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left\{ P(1 + R\kappa_1^\ell + T\kappa_1^{2\ell}) + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}) \right\} \]

\[ = S_R + \frac{R}{1 - \alpha} \kappa_1^\ell + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left( T - \frac{R^2}{2} \right) \kappa_1^{2\ell} + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}), \quad \ell \to \infty, \tag{22} \]

where

\[ R = \frac{\alpha}{P} \sum_{0 \leq a \leq S} p_{ab}^{\alpha - 1} r_{ab}, \quad T = \frac{\alpha}{P} \sum_{0 \leq a \leq S} \left( p_{ab}^{\alpha - 1} t_{ab} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} p_{ab}^{\alpha - 2} r_{ab}^2 \right), \]

with \( P \) defined by (11).

By tedious but straightforward calculation, one finds

\[ R = \frac{\alpha[3]}{S(S + 2)} \left( \frac{[S + 2][\alpha S] - [\alpha(S + 2)][S]}{(q - q^{-1})[\alpha(S + 1)][\alpha + 1]} \right)^2, \]

\[ T = \alpha \left( \frac{[2][3]}{(q - q^{-1})^2[S][S + 2]} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{2[\alpha - 1]}{[2]} \left( \frac{((S + 2)(\alpha + 1))[S] - [S + 2][\alpha(S + 1)]}{[\alpha + 2][\alpha + 1][\alpha(S + 1)]} \right)^2 \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \left( \frac{[2][S + 1]^2}{[2][S + 1]^2} - \frac{2[2][S + 1][\alpha][S + 1]}{[S + 1][\alpha(S + 1)][\alpha + 1]} + \frac{[2][\alpha][\alpha + 2]}{[S + 1][\alpha(S + 1)][\alpha + 2]} \right)^2 \right]. \]

Then we find

\[ S_{\gamma N}(\ell) = S_{\gamma N} + \left( \frac{4q^2 \log q}{1 - q^4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \kappa_1^{2\ell} + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}), \quad \ell \to \infty, \tag{23} \]

where the coefficient of \( \kappa_1^\ell \) vanishes. Since the leading order term is \( \kappa_1^{2\ell} \), the characteristic length is \( 2\xi \). We find the coefficient of \( \kappa_1^{2\ell} \) depends on the anisotropy parameter \( q \) but is independent of the spin value \( S \).
As discussed in [32], the perturbation fails for the isotropic case due to the degeneracy (13), but the entanglement spectrum can be written by linear combinations of \( \kappa_n \)'s and has the same spectral structure for the transfer matrix \( G \). For example, for \( S = 2 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Spec } \rho^{(2)}(\ell) & : \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(1)}(\ell) & : \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \quad \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} - \frac{5\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(0)}(\ell) & : \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \quad \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} - \frac{5\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-1)}(\ell) & : \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \quad \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} - \frac{5\kappa_2^2}{6} \right), \\
\text{Spec } \rho^{(-2)}(\ell) & : \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where no higher order term is needed. In [32] the finite-size corrections of the entanglement entropies for \( q = 1 \) were calculated as

\[
\begin{align*}
S_R(\ell) &= 2 \log(S + 1) - \frac{3}{2} \kappa_1^{2\ell} + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}), \quad \ell \to \infty, \\
S_{\text{VN}}(\ell) &= 2 \log(S + 1) - \frac{3}{2} \kappa_1^{2\ell} + o(\kappa_1^{2\ell}), \quad \ell \to \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

which agree with the limits \( q \to 1 \) of (22) and (23).

### 4 Geometric entanglement

In this section, we evaluate the geometric entanglement, which is another kind of measure of entanglement. We divide the chain into \( N \) parties (\( L = N\ell \)), and each of the \( N \) parties to be contiguous blocks of \( \ell \) spins \( S \). When \( N \) is large enough, the following expression for the fidelity \( |\Lambda_{\text{max}}| \) (3) has been shown for \( PT \)-symmetric matrix product ground states \( |\Psi\rangle \) in [25, 26, 29]

\[
|\Lambda_{\text{max}}|^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|d|^{2N}}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle},
\]

(24)

where \( |d|^2 \) is the quantity

\[
|d|^2 = \max_{\{x_i\}} \langle \text{Aux} | G^{\ell} | \text{Aux} \rangle, \quad |\text{Aux}\rangle = \sum_{0 \leq a \leq S} \sum_{0 \leq b \leq S} x_a x_b^* |ab\rangle.
\]

(25)

Performing the maximization (25), one obtains the fidelity \( |\Lambda_{\text{max}}| \) which finally leads to the analytic expression for the geometric entanglement \( E(\ell) \)

\[
E(\ell) = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Lambda_{\text{max}}|^2}{N}.
\]

For convenience we set \( x_i = r_i e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i} \) (\( r_i \geq 0, \theta_i \in \mathbb{R} \)), and write \( x_i^* = r_i^* e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i^*} \) if the setting \( \{x_i = x_i^*\} \) maximizes \( \langle \text{Aux} | G^{\ell} | \text{Aux} \rangle \).
Figure 5. The geometric entanglements for $\ell = 1$ (left) and 2 (right). In each graph, the line corresponds to $S = 1$ (26), and the markers $\times$ ($S = 2$), $\Box$ ($S = 3$) and $+$ ($S = 4$) are plotted based on numerical calculations.

4.1 Spin-1

We calculate the geometric entanglement for $S = 1$. By direct calculation, we have

$$
\langle \text{Aux} | G^{\ell} | \text{Aux} \rangle = \left( \frac{[3]^{\ell}}{[2]} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{q^{-1}[2]} \right) |x_0|^4 + \left( \frac{2[3]^{\ell}}{2} 2(-1)^{\ell} - \frac{2(-1)^{\ell}}{[2]} \right) |x_0|^2 |x_1|^2 
+ \left( \frac{q[3]^{\ell}}{2} + \frac{q^{-1}(-1)^{\ell}}{2} \right) |x_1|^4.
$$

Inserting $r_1^2 = 1 - r_0^2$, we get

$$
\langle \text{Aux} | G^{\ell} | \text{Aux} \rangle = \frac{1 - q}{1 + q^2} \left( [3]^{\ell} - (-1)^{\ell} \right) \left( (1 - q)r_0^4 + 2q^2r_0^2 \right) + \frac{q[3]^{\ell} + (1 - 1)^{\ell}}{1 + q^2},
$$

where $\theta_i$'s do not appear. Thus we find

$$
\begin{align*}
0 < q < 1 : & \quad r_0^* = 1, \quad |d|^2 = \frac{[3]^{\ell}}{1 + q^2} + \frac{q^2(-1)^{\ell}}{1 + q^2}, \\
q = 1 : & \quad |d|^2 = \frac{3^{\ell}}{2} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2}, \\
q > 1 : & \quad r_0^* = 0, \quad |d|^2 = \frac{q^2[3]^{\ell}}{1 + q^2} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{1 + q^2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $|d|^2 = \langle \text{Aux} | G^{\ell} | \text{Aux} \rangle$ is independent of $\{x_i\}$ at the isotropic point $q = 1$ [25], and the choice of $r_0^*$ changes discontinuously at this point. (We will see that this kind of “degeneracy” occurs for the higher spin case.) Inserting these forms and $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = [3]^{\ell} + 3(-1)^{\ell}$ into (24), we finally achieve the geometric entanglement

$$
\begin{align*}
0 < q < 1 : & \quad \mathcal{E}(\ell) = \log \left( 1 + q^2 \right) - \log \left( 1 + q^2(-3)^{-\ell} \right), \\
q = 1 : & \quad \mathcal{E}(\ell) = \log 2 - \log \left( 1 + (-3)^{-\ell} \right), \\
q > 1 : & \quad \mathcal{E}(\ell) = \log \left( 1 + q^2 \right) - \log \left( q^2 + (-3)^{-\ell} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

which generalizes [25]. The entanglement entropy takes its maximum at the isotropic point, decreases with the decrease of the anisotropy parameter $q$ and finally becomes $\mathcal{E}(\ell) = 0$ at $q = 0$, see Fig. 5. This behavior of the geometric entanglement is similar to the entanglement entropies. In the limit $\ell \to \infty$, we have

$$\mathcal{E} = \log (1 + q^2).$$
One observes that the geometric entanglement with $0 < q < 1$ we have

$$\langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell \rangle_{\text{Aux}} = \frac{1}{3} \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda_2 - \frac{(1-(r_0-r_2)^2)^2 - 4r_0r_2^2r_2^2(\cos(\theta_0 - 2\theta_1 + \theta_2) + 1)}{2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$$

with $\lambda_0 = 40$, $\lambda_1 = -20$ and $\lambda_2 = 4$. When $\ell$ is odd (resp. even), $\lambda_1^\ell < \lambda_2^\ell$ (resp. $\lambda_1^\ell > \lambda_2^\ell$). Using the first (resp. second) form, we find

$$\ell \text{ odd } : \quad r_0^\ell - r_2^\ell = 0, \quad \cos(\theta_0^\ell - 2\theta_1^\ell + \theta_2^\ell) = -1, \quad |d|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \lambda_0^\ell + \frac{2}{3} \lambda_2^\ell,$$

$$\ell \text{ even } : \quad r_1^\ell - 2r_0^\ell r_2^\ell = 0, \quad \cos(\theta_0^\ell - 2\theta_1^\ell + \theta_2^\ell) = 1, \quad |d|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \lambda_0^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^\ell + \frac{1}{6} \lambda_2^\ell.$$
in the case where \( \ell \) is odd and \( q^* < q < 1 \)

\[
q^* \approx q \sqrt{-\frac{(1 - q^2)(1 + q^4)\lambda_1^\ell + 2[3]\lambda_1^\ell - (1 + 3q^2 + q^4 + 6q^4 + 2q^6 + q^8)\lambda_2^\ell}{(1 - q^2)^2(1 + q^4)\lambda_0^\ell + 4q^2[3]\lambda_1^\ell - (1 + 2q^2 + 6q^4 + 2q^6 + q^8)\lambda_2^\ell}}.
\]  

(27)

The transition point \( q^* \) is obtained by solving (27) = 1, which approaches 1 as \( \ell \to \infty \). The set \( \{r_i^*\} \) for \( q > 1 \) is obtained by replacing \( r_0 \leftrightarrow r_1 \) and \( q \to 1/q \). Under the assumption \( r_i^* = \delta_{i0} \), we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(\ell) = -\log \frac{|d|^2}{\lambda_0^\ell} = -\log \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \big|_{r_i = \delta_{i0}}
\]

\[
= \log(1 + q^2 + q^4) - \log \left(1 + \frac{q^2(1 + q^2 + q^4)\kappa_1^\ell}{1 + q^4} + \frac{q^8\kappa_2^\ell}{1 + q^4}\right),
\]

for \( 0 < q < 1 \) and sufficiently large \( \ell \).

4.3 Spin-3

For the isotropic case, we have

\[
\langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_0^\ell + \frac{9}{20} \lambda_1^\ell + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^\ell + \frac{1}{20} \lambda_3^\ell - \frac{A}{5} (\lambda_1^\ell - \lambda_3^\ell),
\]

\[
A = \left[(r_1r_2 - 3r_0r_3)^2 + 2(r_2^2 - \sqrt{3}r_1r_3)^2 + 2(r_1^2 - \sqrt{3}r_0r_2)^2ight.
\]

\[
- 2r_1r_2(3r_0r_3(\cos(\alpha + \beta) - 1) + 2\sqrt{3}r_0r_1(\cos \alpha - 1) + 2\sqrt{3}r_2r_3(\cos \beta - 1))\right],
\]

where \( \alpha = \theta_0 - 2\theta_1 + \theta_2 \) and \( \beta = \theta_1 - 2\theta_2 + \theta_3 \). When \( \ell \) is even, \( \lambda_1^\ell > \lambda_3^\ell \). Thus \( \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \) is maximized by

\[
r_2^\bullet^2 - \sqrt{3}r_1^\bullet r_3^\bullet = r_1^\bullet^2 - \sqrt{3}r_0^\bullet r_2^\bullet = 0, \quad \cos \alpha^\bullet = \cos \beta^\bullet = 1,
\]

\[
|d|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_0^\ell + \frac{9}{20} \lambda_1^\ell + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^\ell + \frac{1}{20} \lambda_3^\ell.
\]

When \( \ell \) is odd, the candidates of \( \{r_i\} \) that maximize \( \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \) for given \( \{r_i\} \) are

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{3r_2^2 r_3^2 - 4r_1^2 r_2^2 - 3r_0^2 r_1^2}{4\sqrt{3}r_0 r_2 r_3}, \quad \cos \beta = \frac{3r_0^2 r_1^2 - 4r_1^2 r_2^2 - 3r_2^2 r_3^2}{4\sqrt{3}r_1 r_2 r_3},
\]

\[
\cos(\alpha + \beta) = -\frac{3r_0^2 r_2^2 - 4r_1^2 r_2^2 + 3r_2^2 r_3^2}{6r_0 r_1 r_2 r_3},
\]

or

\[
\cos \alpha = -1, \quad \cos \beta = -1, \quad \cos(\alpha + \beta) = 1,
\]

or

\[
\cos \alpha = 1, \quad \cos \beta = -1, \quad \cos(\alpha + \beta) = -1,
\]

or

\[
\cos \alpha = -1, \quad \cos \beta = 1, \quad \cos(\alpha + \beta) = -1.
\]

Inserting (28), we get

\[
A = \frac{9}{4} - \left(3r_0^2 + 2r_1^2 + r_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}\right)^2
\]

and thus we find

\[
3r_0^\bullet^2 + 2r_1^\bullet^2 + r_2^\bullet^2 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad |d|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_0^\ell + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3^\ell.
\]

(32)
Figure 7. Numerical calculations of the sets \( \{ r_i^* \} \) which maximize \(|d|^2 \) for \( S = 3 \) and \( \ell = 1 \) (left) and 2 (right). The markers \( \bigcirc, \triangle, \times \) and \( \square \) correspond to \( r_0^*, r_1^*, r_2^* \) and \( r_3^* \), respectively.

We end up achieving the same value \(|d|^2 \) (32) for (29)–(31). For example, inserting (29), we get

\[
A = \frac{9}{4} - \left( 3r_0^2 + 2r_1^2 + r_2^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \sqrt{3}r_0r_1 - r_1r_2 + \sqrt{3}r_2r_3 \right)^2.
\]

The maximization for the anisotropic case with \( \ell \) odd is more complicated than \( S = 2 \), see the numerical result in Fig. 7. We expect that

\[
\ell \text{ odd} : \quad \text{there exist } q^*, q^{**}, q^{***} \text{ and } q^{****} \text{ such that}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& r_i^* = \delta_0, \quad 0 < q \leq q^*, \\
& r_1^* = r_3^* = 0, \quad r_0^* > 0, \quad r_2^* > 0, \quad q^* < q \leq q^{**}, \\
& r_i^* > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad q^{**} < q < q^{***}, \\
& r_i^* = \delta_{i1}, \quad q^{***} < q < q^{****}, \\
& r_0^* = r_2^* = 0, \quad r_1^* > 0, \quad r_3^* > 0, \quad q^{****} < q < 1,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\ell \text{ even} : \quad r_i^* = \delta_0 \text{ always maximizes } \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle.
\]

We also expect that these transition points \( q^*, \ldots, q^{****} \) approach 1 as \( \ell \to \infty \). Under the assumption \( r_i^* = \delta_0 \), we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(\ell) = -\log \frac{|d|^2}{\lambda_0} = -\log \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle |_{r_i = \delta_0} = \log q^3[4] - \log \left( 1 + q^2[3] \frac{2}{5} \kappa_5^\ell + \frac{q^4 \kappa_2^\ell}{1 - q^2 + q^4} + \frac{q^{14} \kappa_3^\ell}{(1 - q^2 + q^4)[5]} \right),
\]

for \( 0 < q < 1 \) and sufficiently large \( \ell \).

4.4 General case

We consider the maximization of \( \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \) for general \( S \). As we observed in the previous subsections, we expect that, for given \( q < 1 \),

\[
\ell \text{ odd: there exists } \ell^* \text{ such that the set } \{ r_i^* = \delta_0 \} \text{ maximizes } \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \text{ when } \ell > \ell^*, \\
\ell \text{ even: the set } \{ r_i^* = \delta_0 \} \text{ always maximizes } \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle.
\]
Since the term $\frac{\lambda_0^\ell}{0\langle \lambda_0 | \lambda_0 \rangle_0} \left| \sum_{a=0}^S q^a r_a^2 \right|^2$ dominates in $\langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle$ for $\ell \to \infty$, we have $r_i^* \to \delta_{i0}$, which supports the above assumption. Inserting $\{r_i = \delta_{i0}\}$, we have

$$|d|^2 = \left. \langle \text{Aux} | G^\ell | \text{Aux} \rangle \right|_{r_i = \delta_{i0}} = \sum_{k=0}^S \frac{\lambda_k^\ell}{0\langle \lambda_k | \lambda_k \rangle_0},$$

and find

$$E(\ell) = -\log \left( \sum_{k=0}^S \frac{\kappa_k^\ell}{0\langle \lambda_k | \lambda_k \rangle_0} \right)$$

$$= \log(q^S[S+1]) - \log \left( \sum_{k=0}^S q^{k(k+1)} \frac{[2k+1][S]!![S+1]!!}{[S+k+1][S-k]!!} \kappa_k^\ell \right)$$

$$= \log(q^S[S+1]) - \frac{q^2[S][S]}{[S+2]} \kappa_1 + o(\kappa_1), \quad \ell \to \infty. \quad (33)$$

Here we used the norm (4) of the $q$-deformed VBS state $|\Psi\rangle$ and the norm of the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix [3]. In the limit $\ell \to \infty$, we have the geometric entanglement $E = \log(q^S[S+1])$, which takes the maximum $\log(S+1)$ at $q = 1$ and approaches 0 as $q \to 0$, see Fig. 8. The monotonic behavior while $0 < q < 1$ is similar to the entanglement entropies.

The isotropic point is a special case where the choice $r_i = \delta_{0i}$ or $r_i = \delta_{Si}$ does not always maximize $|d|^2$ for $S \geq 2$ even if $\ell$ is large, as we saw for $S = 2$ and $S = 3$. Thus the asymptotic form (33) is no longer valid at the isotropic point.

5 Summary and discussion

In this article, we studied some entanglement properties of the higher spin $q$-AKLT model with the periodic boundary condition from the matrix product representation of the $q$-VBS ground state. We exactly calculated the finite-size correction terms of the entanglement entropies by the perturbative calculation for the spectrum of the reduced density matrix. We found that the first-order correction term of the Rényi entropy vanishes by taking the limit $\alpha \to 1$. This requires the second-order perturbation of the entanglement spectrum for calculation of the finite-size correction of the von Neumann entropy. It would be interesting to extend the study of entanglement properties to various generalizations, the entanglement entropies with multiple blocks (see [31] for the isotropic spin-1 case), for example. We also investigated the geometric
entanglement. The geometric entanglement in the limit $\ell \to \infty$ decreases with the decrease of the anisotropy parameter $q$ while $0 < q < 1$. This property is the same as the entanglement entropies. Under an assumption which is based on numerical results, we calculated the finite-size correction of the geometric entanglement.
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