Long-term Quality of Life After Decompressive Craniectomy in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury and Stroke
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Abstract

Purpose

Decompressive craniectomy (DC) can rapidly reduce intracranial pressure and save lives in the acute phase of severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke, but little is known about the long-term outcome after DC. We evaluated quality of life (QoL) a few years after DC for severe TBI/stroke.

Methods

The following data were collected for stroke/TBI patients hospitalized for neurorehabilitation after DC: 1) at discharge, motor and cognitive sub-scores of the Functional Independence Measure (motor-FIM [score 13-91] and cognitive-FIM [score 5-35]) and 2) more than 4 years after discharge, the QOLIBRI health-related QoL (HR-QoL) score (0-100; <60 representing low or impaired QoL) and the return to work (RTW: 0%, partial, 100%)

Results

We included 88 patients (66 males, median age 38 [interquartile range 26.3-51.0], 65 with TBI/23 stroke); 46 responded to the HR-QoL questionnaire. Responders and non-responders had similar characteristics (age, sex, functional levels upon discharge). Median motor-FIM and cognitive-FIM scores were 85/91 and 27/35, with no significant difference between TBI and stroke patients. Long-term QoL was borderline low for TBI patients and within normal values for stroke patients (score 58.0[42.0-69.0] vs. 67.0[54.0-81.5], p=0.052). RTW was comparable between the groups (62% full time).

Conclusion

We already knew that DC can save the lives of TBI or stroke patients in the acute phase and this study suggests that their long-term quality of life is generally quite acceptable.

Background And Purpose

Stroke and traumatic brain injury (TBI) are among the leading causes of death and disability in developed countries. In Switzerland, they have an incidence of approximately 240/100,000 and 170/100,000 (8.2/100,000 considered severe),1–3 and represent two of the leading causes of death, with mortality at 14.4% for stroke and 20–30% for TBI in the first year and 50% and 35% in the following 5 years. These two conditions also induce major chronic disability in the population, with a significant impact on quality of life (QoL).4,5

In severe stroke or TBI, high intracranial pressure (ICP) can be life-threatening. Decompressive craniectomy (DC) is widely used to avoid refractory intracranial hypertension, one of the most common causes of death after stroke or TBI. As described by the Cochrane Collaboration in the 2016 Brain Trauma Foundation guidelines, DC is recommended as second-line treatment for TBI.6 However, this latest version
of these guidelines does not recommend DC to improve outcomes, although it acknowledges that the 
procedure is useful for rapidly reducing ICP and minimizing days spent in the intensive care unit. DC is 
recommended in Switzerland as Class I/Level A management for middle cerebral artery stroke with 
altered consciousness and mass effect\textsuperscript{7} and, according to the classification of the European Federation 
of neurological societies guidelines for therapeutic interventions, as Class III/Level C management for 
cerebellar infarction.\textsuperscript{8} The exact proportion of patients requiring craniectomy after TBI or malignant 
ischemic stroke remains unknown because no national incidence data are available. In a Swiss cohort of 
101 patients with TBI, 60 survived the accident and 9 (15\%) underwent DC.\textsuperscript{1} Despite immediate benefits 
in reduced ICP and mortality, the effect of DC on disability is still controversial.

We collected information on long-term (>4 years) health-related QoL (HR-QoL) and occupational 
outcomes in patients with stroke or TBI who underwent DC and were hospitalized in our rehabilitation 
clinic. Another objective was to compare HR-QoL and RTW in the two groups.

**Methods**

*Study design and setting:* This was a monocentric retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in the 
Clinique Romande de Réadaptation, a national-reference rehabilitation center in Switzerland that receives 
patients who underwent DC after stroke or TBI.

*Participants:* Eligible patients were those admitted in our neurorehabilitation unit between 2003 and 2012 
and had undergone DC for malignant stroke or TBI. Exclusion criteria was DC for another intracranial 
pathology (cancer, subarachnoid hemorrhage etc.). The study was approved by the state ethics 
committee (CER-VD, 2017 – 00458). All participants or their representatives gave their informed consent 
before the start of the enrolment.

*Variables/data measurement:* At discharge, the motor and cognitive sub-scores of the Functional 
Independence Measure (motor-FIM and cognitive-FIM) were used to characterise patients’ functional 
abilities.\textsuperscript{9} Between 4 to 14 years after discharge from the clinic, patients were 1) invited by mail to 
complete the QOLIBRI questionnaire (HR-QoL),\textsuperscript{10} a 37-item scale that assesses both physical- and 
psychological-related life satisfaction, resulting in an overall satisfaction score (0-100, 100 being the best 
possible QoL; a score < 60 represents low or impaired QoL\textsuperscript{11}) and 2) asked about their return to work 
(RTW), rated as 0\%, partial or 100\% if they returned to the occupation held before the stroke or TBI. Two 
reminders were sent after 2 and 4 weeks in case of non-response. Patients who still did not answer were 
contacted by phone after another 2 to 4 weeks.

*Study size:* Because of no previous data on the topic, we were unable to estimate a suitable number of 
participants needed for this study. Rather, we considered 40 to 50 participants as a reasonable sample 
size to estimate patient outcome after DC, given that this is a relatively rare surgery. To account for non-
response, we set the total number of participants at 80.
Statistical methods: Differences between groups (responders/non-responders, stroke/TBI) were analyzed with the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test for quantitative variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. Data were analyzed with NCSS Number Cruncher Statistical System (2013: Atlanta). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Participants (Fig. 1): From the 95 consecutive patients hospitalized at the Clinic during the inclusion period after a DC, 88 met the inclusion criteria, but only 46 (52%) completed the HR-QoL questionnaire and 45 (51%) had data on RTW, despite the reminders. Responders and non-responders did not differ in age and sex. They progressed to similar functional levels upon discharge from the clinic (FIM (Median[Interquartile range]): 111.5[86.8–120.0] vs. 109.0[77.0-119.0], p = 0.553). However, among the FIM items, “memory” was significantly higher in responders than non-responders (5.5[4.8–6.3] vs. 5.0[4.0-5.8], p = 0.032).

Descriptive and outcome data (Table 1): TBI patients (n = 65) were slightly younger and more often males than stroke patients (n = 23). Patients had left the clinic between 4.4 and 13.8 years earlier, with no difference between groups (8.1[5.7–10.8] vs. 9.2[6.9–11.6] years for TBI and stroke, p = 0.24). Median HR-QoL score was lower for TBI than stroke patients (58.0[42.0–69.0] vs. 67.0[54.0-81.5], p = 0.052). Most patients reported full-time RTW; the no-RTW proportion was lower but not significantly for TBI than stroke participants (p = 0.192).
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of participants with traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke and data after 4 years. Data are median [interquartile range] unless indicated. TBI: traumatic brain injury, FIM: functional independence measure, mot-FIM: FIM motor subscore, cog-FIM: FIM cognitive subscore, HRQoL: health-related quality of life, RTW: return to work.

| Variable                  | Overall (n = 88) | TBI (n = 65) | Stroke (n = 23) | P value |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age                       | 38.0 [26.3–51.0] | 35.0 [29.0–43.0] | 46.0 [36.0–55.0] | 0.093   |
| Sex (M)                   | 66 (75%)         | 55 (85%)     | 11 (48%)        | 0.000   |
| FIM                       |                  |              |                 |         |
| - mot-FIM (13–91) (n = 79) | 85 [77–91]       | 88 [81–90]   | 81 [75–91]      | 0.352   |
| - cog-FIM (5–35) (n = 68)  | 27 [22–31]       | 27 [25–29]   | 28 [22–31]      | 0.870   |
| - total FIM (/126) (n = 80)| 111 [89–119]     | 112 [104–118]| 104 [92–117]    | 0.504   |
| Responders                | 46 (52%)         | 32 (49%)     | 14 (61%)        | 0.337   |
| HR-QoL (/100) (n = 44)    | 62.5 [45.8–73.0] | 58.0 [42.0–69.0]| 67.0 [54.0–81.5]| 0.052   |
| RTW (n = 45)              |                  |              |                 |         |
| - full time               | 28 (62%)         | 22 (71%)     | 6 (43%)         |         |
| - part time               | 9 (20%)          | 5 (16%)      | 4 (29%)         |         |
| - no                      | 8 (18%)          | 4 (13%)      | 4 (29%)         | 0.192   |

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating QoL and RTW outcomes after DC in Switzerland, with a comparison between two etiologies. Our cohort study of 88 stroke and TBI patients after DC showed that long-term QoL was within the normal range after stroke and was borderline low after TBI. The median HR-QoL score was significantly lower after TBI than stroke, but the percentage of RTW was slightly higher after TBI (not significant). The overall functional status after hospital discharge was comparable between the two groups and could not explain the difference in QoL.

Median HR-QoL score seems to be lower in our TBI patients than in the QOLIBRI validation study patients (median 58.0 [IQR 42.0–69.0] vs. 64.58 ± 18.24) probably because all of our patients had a malignant ICP. In the past few years, two multi-center randomized controlled studies helped to better define the outcome of DC. The DECRA study analyzed a cohort of 155 adults randomly assigned to bifrontal DC or standard medical care. DC decreased ICP and length of stay in the intensive care unit but was associated with less favorable outcomes than standard care. However, QoL was not specifically measured. The RESCUE-icp
study was a large multi-center randomized controlled trial including 408 TBI patients. When compared to standard medical care, DC resulted in lower mortality rate and higher rates of vegetative state and severe disability but similar levels of moderate disability and good recovery after 6 months. Although long-term data collection was planned in this study (including QoL), those data were not published. In a recent cross-sectional German study, considering all levels of severity of TBI treated with or without DC, DC was associated with better HR-QoL up to 10 years after TBI (median HR-QoL score: 75 vs 67).

Previous studies described an average rate of RTW of 35.4–59.5% for stroke and 30–65% for TBI (lower for severe TBI), with an increase in this percentage over time. RTW rates in our cohort were slightly better for stroke patients and were within the expected range for TBI patients.

Our study had several limitations, the main one being that just over half of the patients responded to the HR-QoL survey. Non-response by participants is a common problem in this kind of survey and is difficult to remedy. For example, the response rate in the Rauen et al. study was only 31% (135/439). In our study, responders and non-responders had the same demographic characteristics and had achieved the same overall functional level at discharge. This allows us to assume that the long-term evolution should not have been very different between the two groups of patients, and therefore minimizes the selection bias. Another limitation is that, although the study was conducted in a national reference center, we could not include a sufficient number of patients to assess the effect of co-variables on the outcome. Only a multicenter (international) study design could achieve this goal.

**Conclusion**

Not only can DC save patients' lives in the days following a severe TBI or stroke, but patients treated with this technique generally have a quite acceptable quality of life in the long term.
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**Figures**
**Figure 1**

Flow chart of the study population. DC: decompressive craniectomy, TBI: traumatic brain injury.