The Andreev states of a superconducting quantum dot: mean field versus exact numerical results
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Abstract

We analyze the spectral density of a single level quantum dot coupled to superconducting leads focusing on the Andreev states appearing within the superconducting gap. We use two complementary approaches: the numerical renormalization group and the Hartree–Fock approximation. Our results show the existence of up to four bound states within the gap when the ground state is a spin doublet (π phase). Furthermore the results demonstrate the reliability of the mean field description within this phase. This is understood from a complete correspondence that can be established between the exact and the mean field quasiparticle excitation spectrum within the gap.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Much progress has been achieved in recent years on the transport properties of quantum dots coupled to superconducting leads (for a review see [1]). A central concept in the understanding of these properties is that of Andreev bound states (ABS), i.e. the bound states appearing within the superconducting gap due to multiple Andreev reflections at the dot–superconductor interfaces. When the two leads are superconducting the ABS depend on the superconducting phase difference and are thus current carrying states (typically most of the Josephson current is carried by the ABS [1]). The interest in the ABS in these kind of systems has been increased by recent experiments allowing for their direct measurement through tunnel spectroscopy on carbon nanotube and graphene quantum dots [2, 3]. The issue is also related to the strong activity in the search for Majorana fermions, which would appear as midgap states in different types of hybrid nanostructures [4].

The simplest situation for analyzing the ABS spectrum is that of a single quantum dot (QD) with large energy level spacing which can be appropriately described by the single level Anderson model [5]. The presence of subgap states for the case of a magnetic impurity in a BCS superconducting host was demonstrated in [6, 7]. This model was afterward extended to analyze the Josephson transport properties and the so-called 0–π transition which signals the transition from a singlet (S = 0) to a doublet (S = 1/2) ground state [8–14]. In spite of these theoretical efforts the knowledge about the detailed structure of the ABS spectrum appears to be somewhat disperse in the literature. Thus, in a method like the non-crossing approximation (NCA), which is able to describe the 0–π transition in the large charging energy regime, a single subgap resonance appears whose crossing of the Fermi level signals the transition [15]. On the other hand, other approximations like Hartree–Fock (HFA) or exact diagonalizations in the infinite Δ limit, where Δ denotes the superconducting gap parameter in the leads, point to the existence of up to four levels symmetrically located around the Fermi energy in the π phase [10, 16, 17]. The bound state spectrum has also been analyzed using the numerical renormalization group (NRG) method [18–20] although in these works only up to two ABS were identified. A previous NRG calculation showing up to four ABS exists [21] although in this work only up to two ABS were identified. A previous NRG calculation showing up to four ABS exists [21] although in this work only up to two ABS were identified. A previous NRG calculation showing up to four ABS exists [21] although in this work only up to two ABS were identified.

In the present work we give a detailed analysis of the ABS for the single level Anderson model coupled to...
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This analysis is extended to a phase-biased S-QD-S system valid for this last approximation for this case. In section 4, we study in particular the behavior of the ABS state spectra except in the regime where Kondo correlations dominate over the superconducting ones.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we describe the model used for a QD coupled to superconducting leads and the basic theoretical analysis of its spectral properties; in section 3 we consider the simpler situation of a QD coupled to a single superconducting electrode (S-QD case) and present results for the ABS spectrum using both NRG and HFA, analyzing the range of validity of this last approximation for this case. In section 4 this analysis is extended to a phase-biased S-QD-S system where we study in particular the behavior of the ABS spectrum around the transition between singlet and doublet ground states. Finally in section 5 we give some concluding remarks.

2. Model and basic theoretical analysis

A minimal model for a QD coupled to metallic electrodes in the regime where the energy level spacing \( \delta \epsilon \) is sufficiently large to restrict the analysis to a single spin-degenerate level is provided by the single level Anderson model \[5\], with the Hamiltonian \( H = H_L + H_R + H_T + H_{QD} \) where \( H_{QD} \) corresponds to the uncoupled dot given by

\[
H_{QD} = \sum_\sigma \epsilon_0 c_{\sigma 0}^\dagger c_{\sigma 0} + U n_{0\uparrow} n_{0\downarrow},
\]

where \( c_{\sigma 0}^\dagger \) creates an electron with spin \( \sigma \) on the dot level located at \( \epsilon_0 \) and \( U \) is the local Coulomb interaction for two electrons with opposite spin within the dot (\( n_{0\sigma} = c_{\sigma 0}^\dagger c_{\sigma 0} \)). On the other hand, \( H_{L,R} \) describe the uncoupled left and right leads which are superconductors represented by a BCS Hamiltonian of the type

\[
H_L = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma \uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\sigma \downarrow} + \sum_k (\Delta_v c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-k\downarrow} + \text{h.c.}),
\]

where \( \xi_{k\sigma} \) creates an electron with spin \( \sigma \) at the single-particle energy level \( \xi_{k\sigma} \) of the lead \( v = L, R \) (usually referred to the lead chemical potential, i.e. \( \xi_{k\sigma} = \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \mu_v \)) and \( \Delta_v = |\Delta_v| \exp(i\phi_v) \) is the (complex) superconducting order parameter on lead \( v \). Finally, \( H_T \) describes the coupling between the QD level to the leads and has the form

\[
H_T = \sum_{k\sigma, v} (V_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma \uparrow}^\dagger c_{\sigma 0} + \text{h.c.}).
\]

The coupling to the leads is usually characterized by a single parameter \( \Gamma_v = \pi \rho_v |V_v|^2 \), determining the width of the one-electron resonance. In this expression \( V_v \) corresponds to an average over the Fermi surface of \( V_{k\sigma} \) and \( \rho_v \) denotes the corresponding density of states on the leads.

In this work we are interested in the spectral properties which can be extracted from the dot Green’s functions defined as \( \hat{G}_\sigma^\prime(t, t') = -i \theta(t - t') \langle [\hat{W}_\sigma(t), \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(t')], \rangle \), where \( \hat{W}_\sigma = (c_{0\sigma}^\dagger c_{0\sigma}^\dagger \sigma) \) and the average is taken over the system ground state. In the case of the Anderson model with superconducting leads two types of ground states appear depending on the parameters: a non-degenerate \( S = 0 \) ground state (0 phase) or a double-degenerate \( S = 1/2 \) ground state (\( \pi \) phase). The Green’s functions can be formally written in frequency space using the Lehmann representation

\[
\hat{G}_\sigma^\prime(\omega) = \sum_m \langle \Phi_{0,\sigma} | \mathbf{\Psi}_m \rangle \langle \mathbf{\Phi}_m | \mathbf{\Psi}_\sigma^\dagger \rangle \frac{1}{\omega - (E_m - E_0) + i\delta},
\]

where the system ground state, denoted by \( |\Phi_{0,\sigma}\rangle \), may be degenerate (\( S_z = \pm 1/2 \)) and \( m \) labels the excited states having an extra quasiparticle with respect to the ground state. In the degenerate case the total Green’s function is finally obtained as

\[
\hat{G}_\sigma^\prime(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma, \pm} \hat{G}_\sigma^\prime(\omega). The formal expression of equation (4) allows a direct calculation of the quasiparticle spectral densities using numerical methods like the NRG method which we describe further below.

It would be interesting to compare the NRG results for the spectral density with those provided by different approximations specially with HFA which could provide a rather simple scheme for describing recent experimental results on the ABS spectrum [2]. In this approximation the dot Green’s function is given by \( (\hat{G}_\sigma^\prime)^{-1} = (\hat{G}_\sigma)^{-1} - \Sigma_{HF}^{\prime} \), where \( \Sigma_{HF}^{(o)} \) is the non-interacting dot Green’s function in Nambu space and the self-energy \( \Sigma_{HF}^{\prime} \) corresponds to the first-order diagrams in the Coulomb interaction and are given by

\[
\Sigma_{11,\sigma}^{HF} = - \Sigma_{22,\sigma}^{HF} = U \langle 0|c_{0\sigma}|0\rangle,
\]

\[
\Sigma_{12,\sigma}^{HF} = \Sigma_{21,\sigma}^{HF} = - U \langle c_{0\sigma} | 0 \rangle.
\]

In the HFA both \( \langle n_{0\sigma} \rangle \) and \( \langle c_{0\sigma} | 0 \rangle \) have to be calculated self-consistently. The explicit expression for \( \hat{G}_\sigma^\prime \) is

\[
\hat{G}_\sigma^\prime = \left( \frac{\omega - \epsilon_0 - \Gamma g(\omega) - \Sigma_{11,\sigma}^{HF}}{\Gamma \cos \frac{\phi}{2} f(\omega) - \Sigma_{12,\sigma}^{HF}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma \cos \frac{\phi}{2} f(\omega) - \Sigma_{21,\sigma}^{HF}}{\omega + \epsilon_0 - \Gamma g(\omega) - \Sigma_{22,\sigma}^{HF}} \right)
\]

where \( \Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = \Gamma/2 \), \( \phi \) denotes the superconducting phase difference and \( g(\omega) = - \Delta f(\omega) / \omega = - \omega / \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2} \) are the dimensionless BCS Green’s functions of the uncoupled leads.

Within the HFA the transition from the 0 to the \( \pi \) phase \([6, 9, 10]\) is signaled by the existence of a spin broken symmetry solution with \( \langle n_{0\sigma} \rangle \neq \langle n_{0\sigma} \rangle \). Although this symmetry breaking is not actually present in the exact solution, the HFA provides a very accurate description of the ABS spectrum within the \( \pi \) phase as will be shown in this work. This can be qualitatively understood by analyzing the
low-energy pole structure of the exact Green’s function given by equation (4) when the system is in the π phase. In this case the two-fold degenerate ground state can be labeled by $S_z = \pm 1/2$. Quasiparticle excitations over this ground state can correspond to transitions to states with total spin either $S = 0$ or 1. However, in the last case the excitation energy is necessarily larger than Δ as these excited states involve an unpaired electron in the leads. Therefore the excitations within the gap can only arise from transitions to states with total spin equal to zero. It is then straightforward to see that subgap electron-like excitations with spin $\sigma$ can only be created from the ground state with $S_z = -\sigma$ while the hole-like excitations arise from the ground state $S_z = \sigma$. This structure is illustrated in figure 1 where we show schematically the subgap poles in $G_{\sigma,S_z}(\omega)$ in the π phase for $S_z = \pm 1/2$. This is precisely the structure of the subgap excitations which are found in the spin-polarized HFA: the solutions for the majority and minority spin populations have only hole-like or electron-like character respectively. Therefore one can establish a correspondence between the exact and the HFA excitations for the subgap states in the π phase. This correspondence based on the separation of electron- and hole-like excitations is especially clear in the large Δ limit which we discuss in what follows.

Δ → ∞ case. As shown in previous works [10, 19, 16] the problem can be exactly diagonalized in this limit which already illustrates in a simple way the 0–π transition. The states can be classified according to the total spin $S = 0$ or 1. In the $S = 1/2$ sector the energy levels are simply $\epsilon_0$ (doubly degenerate) while in the $S = 0$ case the states are given by $E_{0,\pm} = \epsilon_0 + U/2 \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_0 + U/2)^2 + \Gamma^2\cos^2\phi/2}$, leading to a phase transition for $\epsilon_0 > E_{0,-}$. Thus, in the π phase the spectral density contains four ABS located at ±$U/2 \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_0 + U/2)^2 + \Gamma^2\cos^2\phi/2}$.

It is quite straightforward to see that this spectrum is recovered exactly by the HFA. Indeed, in this limit the self-consistent HF solution is

\[
\hat{G}_{\sigma,\text{HF}}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix}
\omega - \epsilon_0 + (2\sigma - 1) \frac{U}{2} & \frac{\Gamma \cos \phi}{2} \\
\frac{\Gamma \cos \phi}{2} & \omega + \epsilon_0 + (2\sigma + 1) \frac{U}{2}
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
\]

which exhibits the same spectrum as the exact solution. As in the π phase $U/2 > \sqrt{(\epsilon_0 + U/2)^2 + \Gamma^2\cos^2\phi/2}$ the excitations for $\sigma = 1/2$ have a hole-like character while those for $\sigma = 1/2$ have an electron character. Therefore, it is not surprising that this approximation provides a rather good description of the ABS spectrum in the π phase for the full model.

3. ABS spectrum for the S-QD case

Before discussing the general case with two S leads and fixed phase difference it is worth analyzing the simpler case of an Anderson impurity coupled to a single BCS lead. This model exhibits also a transition to a degenerate $S = 1/2$ ground state and its spectral properties are relevant to understand the transport properties in N-QD-S systems when $\Gamma_N \ll \Delta$ [22]. To obtain the numerically exact ABS spectrum for this case we have implemented an NRG algorithm following the lines of [21, 11, 23]. The idea behind the method is to discretize the energy levels in the leads on a logarithmic grid of energies $\Lambda^{-n}$ (with the dimensionless parameter $\Lambda > 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq N \to \infty$) with exponentially high resolution on the low-energy excitations. This discretization allows us then to map the impurity model into a linear ‘tight-binding’ chain with hopping matrix elements decaying as $\Lambda^{-n/2}$ with increasing site index $n$. The sequence of Hamiltonians which is constructed by adding a new site in the chain is then diagonalized iteratively. As the number of states grows exponentially an adequate truncation scheme is required.

The cutoff parameter $\Lambda$ (as defined originally in [24]) is chosen in order to ensure convergence of the spectral properties inside the gap. Depending on the value of $U/\Gamma$ and the ratio $\Gamma_K/\Delta$, we have chosen $\Lambda$ varying between 2 and 4. For most of the results shown below we have checked that the value $\Lambda = 4$ provides already well converged results. In all the cases the usual correction $\Gamma_{\text{NRG}} = A_\Lambda \Gamma$, where

\[
A_\Lambda = \frac{1 + 1/\Lambda}{2 - 1/\Lambda} \ln \Lambda
\]

is used in order to correctly reproduce the exact $\Lambda \to 1$ limit [24, 21, 23]. On the other hand, the maximum number of states $N_s$ kept in the iterative NRG procedure vary between ~300 in the S-QD case to ~800 for the S-QD-S case.

In typical experiments the charging energy $U$ adopts a nearly fixed value $U > \Gamma$ while the dot level position can be varied. We thus first analyze the evolution of the ABS spectrum as a function of $\epsilon_0$ for fixed $U/\Delta$ and $\Gamma/\Delta$. Figure 2 shows the ABS spectrum and the corresponding weights for $U/\Delta = 1$ and $\Gamma/\Delta = 0.2$ obtained both within the NRG method and the HFA. The weights of the spectral density are calculated from the residues of the Green’s functions.
As a general remark one could state that the HFA reproduces fairly well the NRG results for arbitrary dot occupancy as far as the Kondo temperature $T_K = \sqrt{U \Gamma}/2 \exp(-\pi U/8 \Gamma)$ of the e–h symmetric case is smaller than $\Delta$. Deviations with respect to the NRG results could be expected when $\Delta/T_K$ becomes sufficiently small. This is illustrated in figure 4 where the ABS spectrum is shown for the e–h symmetric case as a function of $\Delta/T_K$. As can be observed, when $\Delta/T_K < 5$ the HFA results clearly deviate from the NRG ones as it predicts a magnetic solution up to the limit $\Delta/T_K \to 0$ (i.e. deep in the Kondo regime) whereas the NRG result becomes non-magnetic for $\Delta/T_K \sim 2.8$. In contrast, in the opposite limit $\Delta/T_K \gg 1$ both results converge asymptotically to the $\Delta \to \infty$ spectrum discussed in section 2. We should point out that for the $U/\Gamma$ ratio used in figure 4 the ‘universal’ limit (i.e. where all quantities depend only on the ratio $\Delta/T_K$) is still not reached. For larger $U/\Gamma$ ratios the transition occurs at smaller $\Delta/T_K$, converging to a value $\sim 1.7$ when $U/\Gamma > 10$. This value is similar to the
5. Conclusions

In this work we have analyzed the subgap spectral density of a single dot coupled to superconducting leads with the aim of clarifying some of the features of the ABS which appear to be controversial in the literature. By means of numerically exact NRG calculations we have shown that in general up to four ABS appear when the ground state becomes magnetic, i.e. in the $\pi$ phase. Within this phase the four states eventually reduce to only two for increasing $U/\Delta$. Although the states are located symmetrically with respect to the Fermi level the electron–hole symmetry is in general broken. We have shown that this behavior is adequately reproduced by the HFA for a broad range of parameters, except very close to the transition regions between the different phases. This approximation, however, is unable to reproduce the correct behavior in the strong Kondo regime when $T_K \gg \Delta$.
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