Risk factors for mortality of critically ill patients with COVID-19 receiving invasive ventilation

Ye Tu1, Ping Yang1, Yaqun Zhou1, Xiaoyan Wen2, Qin Qin Li1, Jing Zhou1, Jingjing Wang1, Jinqian Hu1, Nannan He1, Kai Wang3, Chaolong Wang3, Xuebi Tian1, Ailin Luo1, Feng Gao1

1. Department of Anesthesiology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.
2. Department of Clinical Laboratory, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.
3. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

Rationale: Early invasive ventilation may improve outcomes for critically ill patients with COVID-19. The objective of this study is to explore risk factors for 28-day mortality of COVID-19 patients receiving invasive ventilation.

Methods: 74 consecutive adult invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients were included in this retrospective study. The demographic and clinical data were compared between survivors and non-survivors, and Cox regression analysis was used to explore risk factors for 28-day mortality. The primary outcome was 28-day mortality after initiation of invasive ventilation. Secondary outcome was the time from admission to intubation.

Results: Of 74 patients with COVID-19, the median age was 68.0 years, 53 (71.6%) were male, 47 (63.5%) had comorbidities with hypertension, and diabetes commonly presented. The most frequent symptoms were fever and dyspnea. The median time from hospital admission to intubation was similar in survivors and non-survivors (6.5 days vs. 5.0 days). The 28-day mortality was 81.1%. High Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score (hazard ratio [HR], 1.54; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.23-1.92; p < 0.001) and longer time from hospital admission to intubation (HR, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.15-5.07; p = 0.020) were associated with 28-day mortality in invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients.

Conclusions: The mortality of invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients was particularly striking. Patients with high SOFA score and receiving delayed invasive ventilation were at high risk of mortality.
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Introduction

In December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) first occurred in Wuhan, China. As of November 26, 2020, 60074174 SARS-CoV-2 infections had been reported worldwide. The clinical spectrum of COVID-19 is heterogeneous, ranging from asymptomatic infection to acute hypoxic respiratory failure [1]. Among hospitalized patients, 2.3% to 19% required supportive invasive mechanical ventilation as part of their treatments for days to weeks [2-6]. Although it was reported that dexamethasone could reduce mortality in invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients [7], owing to the lack of effective agents to date, the timely intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation play a crucial role in countering a progressively oxygen debt and saving life in critically ill COVID-19 patients [8]. Determining whether and when to intubate and mechanically ventilate a COVID-19 case with hypoxemic respiratory failure is an intricate decision based on both patient conditions and clinician evaluation, and may have vital implications for individual prognosis. For example, delayed mechanical ventilation is associated with worsened clinical outcomes in ARDS.
High respiratory drive leading to self-induced lung injury has been suggested as a potential mechanism underlying these observations [10]. Correspondingly, utilization of high-flow nasal cannula, which can produce 30-60 L/min of supplemental oxygen and reduce dead space, may cover up the clinical deterioration, therefore delay the time to endotracheal intubation and exacerbate respiratory failure [9]. COVID-19 patients presenting with acute respiratory failure were intubated empirically in particular with those manifesting no improvement with non-invasive ventilation, persistent respiratory distress and poor oxygenation (PaO2 to FiO2 ratio < 150 mmHg) after 2-hours high-flow oxygen therapy or noninvasive ventilation. It may be rational to intubate and mechanically ventilate following the early indications of non-invasive ventilation failure. To date, information about clinical characteristics of patients who required invasive ventilation remains scarce, and risk factors associated with poor outcome of these patients have not been identified, which are of great importance to reduce mortality of critically ill COVID-19 patients.

To address these questions, we carried out a cohort study of invasively ventilated patients with COVID-19. Our primary hypothesis was that longer time from hospital admission to intubation would be associated with increased 28-day mortality. In addition, we explored risk factors associated with 28-day mortality in invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients.

Methods

Study design and participants

This single-centered, retrospective, observational study included consecutive patients from Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, which was a designated hospital for severe and critically ill patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. From January 10 to February 29, 2020, Adult patients admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) and receiving invasive ventilation were enrolled in this study. COVID-19 was diagnosed according to the World Health Organization (WHO) interim guidance. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Board of Tongji Hospital (TJ-IRB20200347). Written informed consent was waived due to the rapid emergence of this infectious disease.

Data collection

Epidemiological, demographic, clinical, laboratory, radiological, and treatment data were extracted from electronic medical records. All data were checked and reviewed by three physicians (QL, PY, YT). Information recorded included demographic data, comorbidities, symptoms from illness onset to hospital admission, chest computed tomographic (CT) scans, treatments, living status, etc.

Throat swab samples were obtained for SARS-CoV-2 detection using real-time RT-PCR. 200 µL of sample was used for laboratory confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA with RNA isolation kit (Yuesui equipment No.20170583 and 20150302, Da’an gene Co., Ltd, Sun Yat-sen University, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A cycle threshold value less than 40 was defined as positive response.

Laboratory values on the day of hospital admission and within 24 hours before endotracheal intubation were recorded, including complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, blood biochemical examinations (i.e., liver and renal function, blood glucose, lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], and electrolytes), myocardial enzymes, coagulation profile, cytokine profile, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), D-dimer, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), procalcitonin, and ferritin.

Study outcomes

The primary outcome was 28-day mortality after receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation. Secondary outcome was the time from hospital admission to intubation.

Definitions

The disease severity of COVID-19 was defined according to the Chinese management guideline for COVID-19 (Trial Version 7), in which patients with respiratory failure who require mechanical ventilation, or shock, or other organ failure who require intensive care treatment, are classified as critical illness [11]. Acute cardiac injury was defined as serum level of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I (hsTnI) above 99th-percentile upper reference limit, regardless of new abnormalities in electrocardiography and echocardiography [12]. ARDS was defined according to the Berlin definition [13]. Septic shock and sepsis were defined according to the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock [14]. Acute heart failure was defined according to the European Society of Cardiology criteria [15]. Acute kidney injury was defined according to the KDIGO clinical practice guidelines [16]. Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) was defined according to the JAAM DIC diagnostic criteria [17].

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as medians and inter-quartile ranges (IQR), and categorical
variables as numbers and percentages. The differences between survivors and non-survivors were compared using Mann-Whitney U test, χ² test, or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. The differences in laboratory findings between hospital admission and before invasive ventilation were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test or McNemar’s test, as appropriate. For survival analysis, Kaplan-Meier plots were made to visualize the effects of age, cardiac injury and the time from hospital admission to intubation (≥120 h) on 28-day mortality with log-rank test. Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to explore the risk factors associated with 28-day mortality in COVID-19 patients receiving invasive ventilation. Models were adjusted for age, sex and comorbidities. We excluded variables from univariable analysis if their between-group differences were not significant, if the numbers of events were too small to calculate hazard ratios, and if they had collinearity with Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score. The analyses regarding different factors were based on non-missing data, and missing data were not imputed. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 19.0 and MedCalc software with the level of significance set to α=0.05 (two-tailed).

Results

Patient characteristics

109 critically ill patients receiving invasive ventilation were enrolled. After excluding 32 patients without confirmed COVID-19, and 3 patients with incomplete core information in their electronic medical records, 74 patients were included in the final analysis, and 60 (81.1%) of them died at 28 days. As of April 14, 2020, 63 (85.1%) of 74 patients died, 11 (14.9%) exultated and discharged.

Of the 74 patients, the median age was 68.0 years (inter-quartile range [IQR], 61.5–74.0; range, 44.0–87.0 years), and 53 (71.6%) were male. The proportion of non-survivors aged 70 years and older was significantly higher than survivors (37/60 [61.6%] vs. 4/14 [28.6%]; p = 0.036). 47 (63.5%) of 74 patients had one or more comorbidities, with hypertension, cardiovascular disease and diabetes commonly presented. The most frequent symptom was fever (68 [91.9%]), followed by dyspnea (44 [59.5%]), dry cough (43 [58.1%]), fatigue (27 [36.5%]), and diarrhea (24 [32.4%]). Less common symptoms were sputum production, myalgia, headache, nausea/vomiting, and abdominal pain (Table 1). Abnormalities in thoracic CT scans were observed among all of the 74 patients, and typical CT findings included bilateral pulmonary parenchymal ground-glass and consolidative opacities. Of the 74 patients, the median time from symptom onset to hospital admission, the time from hospital admission to intubation, and the time from symptom onset to intubation were 10.5 days (IQR, 7.0–15.3), 6.0 days (IQR, 4.0–10.0), and 18.4 days (IQR, 15.0–24.0) respectively. The time from endotracheal intubation to death was 5.0 (IQR, 3.0–9.0) days in non-survivors. Demographic, clinical, and radiological features did not show significant differences between survivors and non-survivors (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics in patients with COVID-19

| Age, years | Total (n = 74) | Non-survivors (n = 60) | Survivors (n = 14) | p-value |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Fatigue | 27/74 (36.5%) | 24/60 (40.0%) | 3/14 (21.4%) | 0.233 |
| Dyspnea | 44/74 (59.5%) | 35/60 (58.3%) | 9/14 (64.3%) | 0.167 |
| Diarrhea | 24/74 (32.4%) | 22/60 (36.7%) | 2/14 (14.3%) | 0.127 |
| Myalgia | 10/74 (13.5%) | 9/60 (15.0%) | 1/14 (7.1%) | 0.676 |
| Nausea/vomiting | 5/74 (6.8%) | 4/60 (6.7%) | 1/14 (7.1%) | 1.000 |
| Abdominal pain | 2/74 (2.7%) | 2/60 (3.3%) | 0/14 (0.0%) | 1.000 |

Vital signs

| Heart rate, beats per min | Total (n = 74) | Non-survivors (n = 60) | Survivors (n = 14) | p-value |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Respiratory rate, breaths per min | 22.5 (20.0–30.0) | 23.0 (20.0–30.0) | 22.0 (20.0–30.0) | 0.801 |

Laboratory findings

On hospital admission, neutrophilia (neutrophil count ≥ 6.3 × 10^9/L), lymphopenia (lymphocyte count < 0.8 × 10^9/L), eosinopenia (eosinophil count < 0.02 × 10^9/L) and hypoalbuminemia (level of albumin < 30 g/L) were detected in 41 (55.4%), 52 (70.3%), 62 (83.8%) and 26 (35.6%) patients, respectively.
Increased levels of LDH, D-dimer, hsTnI, and NT-proBNP beyond the normal values were detected in 68 (91.9%), 63 (91.3%), 19 (30.6%), and 49 (84.5%) patients, respectively. Levels of hsCRP, procalcitonin, ferritin, interleukin-2 receptor (IL-2R), and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in most patients were far beyond the normal values. Non-survivors had higher creatine kinase isoenzyme-MB and NT-proBNP than survivors (Table 2).

Before intubation, white blood cell (WBC) and neutrophil counts were increased, and leukocytosis (WBC count ≥ 10×10⁹/L) and increased neutrophils (≥ 6.3×10⁹/L) were more frequent than hospital admission in the 74 patients (Table 3). Compared with survivors, non-survivors had more frequent cardiac injury with increased hsTnI and NT-proBNP, coagulation dysfunction with prolonged prothrombin time and increased international normalized ratio, and remarkably higher Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II and SOFA scores before invasive ventilation (Table 3).

### Table 2. Laboratory findings in patients with COVID-19 on hospital admission

|                      | Total (n = 74) | Non-survivors (n = 60) | Survivors (n = 14) | p-value |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **White cell count, ×10⁹/L** |               |                        |                    |         |
| < 4                  | 8.0 (5.7-11.6) | 8.1 (5.9-11.6)         | 7.5 (3.9-16.9)     | 0.535   |
| 4-10                | 6/4 (81.8%)   | 3/6 (50.0%)            | 3/4 (21.4%)        | 0.015   |
| ≥ 10                | 46/74 (62.2%) | 42/60 (67.7%)          | 4/14 (28.6%)       | ..      |
| Neutrophil count, ×10⁹/L |              |                        |                    |         |
| < 1.8               | 7/2 (4.3-11.2)| 7.2 (4.7-9.9)          | 6.1 (2.8-12.3)     | 0.408   |
| ≥ 0.5              | 1/4 (1.4%)    | 0/60 (0.0%)            | 1/4 (7.1%)         | <0.001  |
| ≥ 0.8              | 52/74 (70.3%) | 44/52 (84.6%)          | 10/14 (71.4%)      | 0.264   |
| ≥ 0.6              | 32/74 (43.2%) | 25/60 (41.7%)          | 7/14 (50.0%)       | ..      |
| Lymphocyte count, ×10⁹/L |              |                        |                    |         |
| < 0.02             | 62/74 (83.8%) | 49/60 (81.7%)          | 13/14 (92.9%)      | 0.432   |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL   | 135.0 (124.0-144.0) | 135.0 (124.3-144.0) | 137.0 (117.8-146.3) | 0.907   |
| Platelet count, ×10⁹/L |             |                        |                    |         |
| < 100              | 10/74 (13.5%) | 9/60 (15.0%)           | 1/4 (7.1%)         | 0.676   |
| Albumin, g/L       | 31.6 (29.1-34.6) | 31.8 (28.4-34.7)     | 31.1 (29.7-32.7) | 0.801   |
| Total bilirubin, µmol/L |            |                        |                    |         |
| > 100              | 40/74 (54.1%) | 35/60 (58.3%)          | 5/4 (13.5%)        | 0.126   |
| Direct bilirubin, µmol/L |           |                        |                    |         |
| ≥ 0.4              | 6.2 (4.4-10.5) | 5.8 (4.4-10.5)        | 3/9 (26.6%)        | 0.266   |
| Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L |         |                        |                    |         |
| ≥ 7.5              | 147/153 (92.2%) | 119/153 (78.6%)     | 3/4 (21.4%)        | 1.000   |
| Creatinine, µmol/L | 81.0 (61.9-98.0) | 80.0 (59.0-97.0)     | 74.0 (62.5-107.3) | 0.823   |
| ≥ 104             | 14/73 (19.2%) | 11/59 (18.6%)         | 3/4 (21.4%)        | 1.000   |
| Potassium, mmol/L  | 4.33 (3.77-4.74) | 4.32 (3.75-4.74)     | 4.64 (3.79-4.78)  | 0.474   |
| Sodium, mmol/L     | 138.4 (154.6-142.6) | 139.0 (136.2-143.2) | 133.3 (131.8-137.0) | 0.001   |
| Calcium, mmol/L    | 1.02 (2.1-2.92) | 2.06 (1.99-2.25)      | 1.98 (1.95-2.36)  | 0.006   |
| Blood glucose, mmol/L |            |                        |                    |         |
| > 7.0              | 14/49 (65.3%) | 14/49 (65.3%)          | 13/14 (92.9%)      | 0.566   |
| Prothrombin time, seconds |          |                        |                    | 0.181   |
| ≥ 10               | 14/73 (19.2%) | 11/59 (18.6%)         | 3/4 (21.4%)        | 1.000   |
| Activated partial thromboplastin time, seconds | | | | |
| ≥ 34.2            | 19/62 (30.6%) | 18/49 (36.7%)         | 1/3 (7.7%)         | 0.050   |
| Myoglobin, ng/mL   | 133.1 (99.5-199.7) | 134.0 (77.9-198.2)  | 71.0 (41.4-244.1)  | 0.412   |
| Creatine kinase isoenzyme-MB, ng/mL |           |                        |                    |         |
| ≥ 1.3              | 1.3 (0.8-2.7) | 1.7 (0.9-3.2)         | 1.0 (0.6-1.4)      | 0.025   |
| N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, pg/mL |         |                        |                    |         |
| > 161             | 49/58 (84.5%) | 32/46 (69.6%)         | 7/12 (58.3%)       | 0.460   |
| High sensitivity C-reactive protein, pg/mL |           |                        |                    | 0.441   |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, mm/h |             |                        |                    | 0.073   |
Table 3. Laboratory findings in patients with COVID-19 before invasive ventilation

|                                      | Total (n = 74) | Non-survivors (n = 60) | Survivors (n = 14) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **White blood cell count, × 10^9/L** |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 4                                  | 14.9 (10.6-17.9)| 15.1 (11.3-18.3)      | 10.5 (7.8-17.9)    | 0.103   |
| 4-10                                 | 0/66 (0.0%)    | 0/52 (0.0%)           | 0/14 (0.0%)       | 0.015   |
| ≥ 10                                 | 53/66 (80.3%)  | 46/52 (88.5%)         | 7/14 (50.0%)      |         |
| Neutrophil count, × 10^9/L           |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 1.8                                | 13.8 (9.7-16.9)| 14.1 (10.1-17.0)     | 9.4 (5.8-16.8)    | 0.083   |
| 1.8-6.3                              | 1/66 (1.5%)    | 0/52 (0.0%)          | 1/14 (7.1%)       | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 6.5                                | 62/66 (94.0%)  | 52/52 (100.0%)       | 10/14 (71.4%)    |         |
| **Lymphocyte count, × 10^9/L**       |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 0.8                                | 0.50 (0.37-0.72)| 0.49 (0.36-0.69)   | 0.68 (0.37-0.79)  | 0.265   |
| ≥ 0.8                                | 55/66 (83.3%)  | 44/52 (84.6%)        | 11/14 (78.6%)    | 0.688   |
| **Platelet count, × 10^9/L**         |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 100                                | 160.0 (98.0-222.3)| 160.0 (81.0-221.8) | 169.5 (128.7-258.8)| 0.308   |
| ≥ 100                                | 2/16 (12.5%)   | 1/52 (19.2%)         | 0/14 (0.0%)      |         |
| Aspartate transaminase, U/L          |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 40                                 | 32.5 (21.0-44.2)| 26.0 (19.0-37.0)   | 28.0 (21.5-53.8)  | 0.962   |
| ≥ 40                                 | 22/66 (33.3%)  | 18/52 (34.6%)        | 4/14 (28.6%)     | 0.529   |
| **Albumin, g/L**                     |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 30                                 | 33/65 (50.8%)  | 27/52 (51.9%)        | 6/13 (46.2%)     | 0.764   |
| ≥ 30                                 | 16.7 (12.0-22.7)| 17.1 (12.6-22.7)    | 14.2 (9.2-20.7)  | 0.234   |
| **Total bilirubin, mmol/L**          |                |                        |                    |         |
| Direct bilirubin, mmol/L             | 7.9 (6.2-11.3)  | 8.2 (6.2-11.2)   | 7.2 (4.7-12.1)  | 0.43    |
| Indirect bilirubin, mmol/L           | 7.7 (5.4-10.5) | 7.7 (5.8-10.8)     | 6.3 (3.3-9.5)   | 0.165   |
| **Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L**       |                |                        |                    |         |
| < 225                                | 595.5 (431.8-863.3)| 680.8 (426.8-926.8)| 477.0 (396.8-688.0)| 0.132   |
| γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, U/L       | 67.0 (55.0-87.0)| 67.0 (57.5-87.5)   | 69.0 (50.3-87.3) | 0.671   |
| Potassium, mmol/L                    | 4.45 (3.85-4.80)| 4.46 (3.88-4.81)  | 4.19 (3.62-4.89) | 0.54    |
| Sodium, mmol/L                       | 139.9 (134.4-144.0)| 141.3 (136.1-144.6)| 133.0 (129.8-137.3)| < 0.001 |
| **Calcium, mmol/L**                  | 2.01 (1.92-2.09)| 2.01 (1.93-2.09) | 2.00 (1.91-2.09) | 0.787   |
| **Blood glucose, mmol/L**            | 9.07 (6.54-11.81)| 7.86 (6.36-11.30) | 9.95 (6.60-14.34) | 0.458   |
| **Prothrombin time, seconds**        | 15.7 (14.9-17.3)| 16.0 (15.0-18.1)   | 15.0 (13.6-16.2) | 0.016   |
| **International normalized ratio**   | 1.24 (1.14-1.38)| 1.25 (1.16-1.45) | 1.18 (1.05-1.31) | 0.046   |
| **D-dimer, μg/mL**                   | 14.3 (4.0-21.0) | 15.6 (6.0-21.0)   | 8.2 (1.8-21.0)  | 0.192   |
| < 0.5                                | 1/55 (38.2%)   | 1/42 (2.4%)         | 0/13 (0.0%)      | 0.672   |
| ≥ 0.5                                | 33/65 (50.0%)  | 24/42 (57.1%)      | 9/13 (69.2%)    |         |
| **High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, ng/L** | 32.3 (15.7-232.6)| 43.8 (15.1-303.1) | 16.5 (8.7-36.0) | 0.044   |
| ≥ 34.2                               | 26/51 (51.0%) | 22/41 (43.7%)  | 4/10 (40.0%)   | 0.499   |

Data are median (inter-quartile range) or n (%). COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.
Interventions and complications

Of the 74 patients, 68 (91.9%) patients received antivirals (abidol, 55 [74.3%]; oseltamivir, 19 [25.7%]; lopinavir/ritonavir, 15 [20.3%]; remdesivir/placebo, 2 [2.7%]), and all patients were prescribed with empirical antibiotics (moxifloxacin, 62 [83.8%]; cefoperazone sulbactam, 36 [48.6%]; carbapenem, 12 [16.2%]; levofloxacin, 7 [9.5%]). Additionally, 60 (81.1%) patients received systematic corticosteroids, and albumin therapy was administered to 23 (31.1%) patients. For oxygen therapy, 66 (89.2%) patients received HFNC and 63 (85.1%) received NIV before invasive ventilation. Of the 14 patients who survived, 1 had received extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. There were no significant differences in pharmacological treatments, prone position ventilation, and continuous renal replacement therapy between survivors and non-survivors.

In terms of complications, all the survivors and non-survivors had ARDS and sepsis. Compared with survivors, more non-survivors experienced acute heart failure (85.0% vs. 14.3%; \( p < 0.001 \)), acute kidney injury (58.3% vs. 0.0%; \( p < 0.001 \)), DIC (78.3% vs. 28.5%; \( p = 0.001 \)), and septic shock (100% vs. 57.1%; \( p < 0.001 \)).

Survival analysis and predictors of 28-day mortality

As shown in Figure 1, Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed that patients with advanced age (≥ 70 years), longer time from hospital admission to intubation (≥ 120 hours), and cardiac injury had higher 28-day mortality than patients with younger age, shorter time from hospital admission to intubation (< 120 hours), and non-cardiac injury (all \( p < 0.05 \), respectively).

In univariable Cox proportional hazard regression analysis, advanced age, high SOFA score, longer time from hospital admission to intubation, high hsTnI and LDH levels were associated with death. After adjusting for age, gender and comorbidities, the multivariable model showed that high SOFA score (hazard ratio [HR], 1.54; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.23-1.92; \( p < 0.001 \)) and delayed intubation were associated with 28-day mortality. All patients with advanced age (> 81 years), longer time from hospital admission to intubation (> 120 hours) (HR, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.15-5.07; \( p = 0.020 \)) were independent predictors of 28-day mortality in COVID-19 patients receiving invasive ventilation (Table 4).

Discussion

We reported 74 critically ill cases with COVID-19 receiving invasive ventilation tended to be older, and characterized by cytokine storm and multiple organ dysfunction. 60 (81.1%) of 74 patients died within 28 days after initiation of invasive ventilation. High SOFA score and delayed intubation were associated with 28-day mortality.
Recent studies have demonstrated that survivals among COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure are similar to those in other viral pneumonias and ARDS [18, 19]. However, these studies did not analyze the outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. [18, 19]. However, these studies did not analyze the outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation. Consideration for substantial fluctuates in outcomes on the basis of timing of intubation.
The elderly have been proved to be more likely to develop ARDS than younger patients [29]. Only COVID-19 patients had respiratory failure presenting with serious hypoxemia or multiple organ failure are adequately treated with invasive ventilation. This can also explain why the mortality in this cohort was remarkably higher than other studies in which most patients did not require invasive ventilation. Additionally, other possible reasons of high mortality included shortage of medical resources and delayed hospital admission that exist at the early stage of COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan. We assume that monitoring the progression of SARS-CoV-2 infection in older patients might help physicians make medical decision to reduce the risk of mortality.

Recently, several studies have explored the risk factors for adverse outcomes of COVID-19 [23, 30]. The SOFA score has been used to evaluate organ dysfunction, and showed significant association with in-hospital mortality in patients with ARDS [31]. We found that non-survivors had higher SOFA scores than survivors before invasive ventilation, which was associated with mortality. Hence, high SOFA score could help clinicians to identify at an early stage those patients with COVID-19 who have poor prognosis. Previous study has reported that high SOFA score at hospital admission was a risk factor for death in COVID-19 patients [23]. Of the 74 patients in this cohort, leucocytosis, increased neutrophil count and procalcitonin were more common before invasive ventilation, suggesting that secondary bacterial infection might has been developed in a large proportion of patients. More frequent lymphopenia and eosinopenia, as well as increased LDH, hsCRP, NT-proBNP, ferritin, IL-2R, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α, were detected before invasive ventilation, which were similar to previous study [32]. These abnormalities of laboratory data indicated invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients commonly experienced severe systemic inflammation and life-threatening conditions, manifested by clinical symptoms and cytokine storm. In this cohort, non-survivors had more fatal complications than survivors after ICU admission. Besides ARDS, most non-survivors eventually developed acute heart failure, acute cardiac injury, acute kidney injury, DIC, and septic shock.

In this cohort, survivors and non-survivors were comparable for clinical features and laboratory findings on hospital admission. The time from symptom onset to hospital admission in survivors and non-survivors were comparable, indicating that patients with different outcomes in our cohort had similar courses of SARS-CoV-2 infection on hospital admission.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, limited by a small sample size, especially the number of non-survivors, variables with statistical non-significance may not be ruled out. Risk factors for mortality identified in this cohort should be verified in large-sample, multi-center, prospective trails. Secondly, the average time from symptom onset to hospital admission in this cohort was 10.5 days, which indicated delayed hospital admission of patients. Further studies are needed to investigate the optimal timing of endotracheal intubation, which may be decided comprehensively according to the actual conditions of patients.

### Conclusion

The mortality of invasively ventilated COVID-19 patients was rather high. High SOFA score and delayed invasive ventilation were independent predictors of 28-day mortality. Critically ill patients with COVID-19, who had severe hypoxemia and respiratory failure, may benefit from earlier invasive ventilation.

### Abbreviations

- **COVID-19**: Coronavirus disease 2019; **SARS-CoV-2**: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2; **HFNC**: high flow nasal cannula; **NIV**: non-invasive ventilation; **SOFA**: High Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; **ARDS**: acute respiratory distress syndrome; **ICU**: intensive care unit; **CT**: Computerized tomography; **WBC**: white blood cell; **LDH**: lactate dehydrogenase; **NT-proBNP**: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; **hsTnI**: high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I.

### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge all health-care workers involved in the diagnosis and treatment of patients in Wuhan, China.

### Author Contributions

TY, LA, TX, and GF conceived of and designed the study. TY, YP, ZY, WX, LQ, WJ, HJ, HN collected data, TY, WK, WCL and GF conceived of the analysis. TY, ZY, ZJ and GF conducted the analysis and prepared manuscript. All authors interpreted the findings, contributed to writing the manuscript, and approved the final version for publication.

### Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81974168, 81771191, 81601481).
Competing Interests
The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References
1. Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, Transmission, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. JAMA. 2020; 324(8):782-793.
2. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, the Northwell CCR. Barnaby DP, Becker LB, Chelico JD, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. 2020; 323(20):2052-2059.
3. Argenziano MG, Bruce SL, Slater CL, Tiao JR, Baldwin MR, Barr RG, Chang BC, Zhou KH, Cui J, Gao N, et al. Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: retrospective case series. BMJ. 2020; 369:m1996.
4. Vena A, Giacobbe DR, Di Biagio A, Mikulska M, Taramasso L, De Maria A, Ball L, Brunetti I, Locente M, Patronei N, et al. Clinical characteristics, management and in-hospital mortality of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Genoa, Italy. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020; 26(11):1537-1544.
5. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabini L, Castelli A, Cereda D, Colombo A, Fusi G, Fumagalli R, et al. Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA. 2020; 323(16):1574-1581.
6. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Hui DS, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(18):1708-1720.
7. Group RC, Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustianowski A, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 – Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(18):1703-1707.
8. Alhazzani W, Moller MH, Arabi YM, Loeb M, Gong MN, Fan E, Oczkowski S, et al. Association of Cardiac Injury With Mortality in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 – Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(18):1708-1720.
9. Kangelaris KN, Ware LB, Wang CY, Janz DR, Zhuo H, Matthay MA, Calfee CS. Timing of Intubation and Clinical Outcomes in Adults With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2016; 44(6):120-129.
10. Brochard L, Slutsky A, Pesenti A. Mechanical Ventilation to Minimize Progression of Lung Injury in Acute Respiratory Failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010; 181(12):1290-1292.
11. National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China. Chinese management guideline for COVID-19 (version 7.0). March 3, 2020. Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yZY/202003/466924ac7df4ecfe80d7c75912e1899/files/cece94a953a439583a14c550c8ec6964.pdf.
12. Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, Cai Y, Liu T, Yang T, Feng G, Wong L, Liu X, Liang J, Zhao Q, et al. Association of Cardiac Injury With Mortality in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020. 05.
13. Force ADT, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, Camporota L, Slutsky AS. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA. 2012; 307(22):2526-2533.
14. Singuerwald, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, CooperSmith CM, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016; 315(8):801-810.
15. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGE, Coats AJS, Falk V, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, et al. ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J. 2016; 37(27):2129-2200.
16. Khwaja A. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. Nephron Clin Pract. 2012;120(4):179-184.
17. Gando S, Saitoh D, Ogura H, Mayumi T, Koski E, Ikeda T, Ishikura H, Ibata T, Ueyama M, Eguchi Y, et al. Natural history of disseminated intravascular coagulation diagnosed based on the newly established diagnostic criteria for critically ill patients: results of a multicenter, prospective study. Crit Care Med. 2008; 36(1):145-150.
18. Auld SC, Caridi-Scheible M, Blum JM, Robichaux C, Kraft C, Jacob JT, Jabailey CS, Carpenter D, Kaplow R, Hernandez-Romieu AC, et al. ICU and Ventilator Mortality Among Critically Ill Adults With Coronavirus Disease 2019. Crit Care Med. 2020; 48(9):e799-e804.
19. Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, Jacobson MD, Meyer BJ, Balough EM, Aaron JC, Claassen J, Rabbani LE, Hastej J et al; Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020; 395(10239):1763-1770.
20. Marini JJ, Gattinoni L. Management of Coronavirus Respiratory Distress. JAMA. 2020; 323(22):2329-2330.
21. Gattinoni L, Chiurro D, Caironi P, Busana M, Romitti F, Brazzi L, Camporota L. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes? Intensive Care Med. 2020; 46(6):1109-1112.
22. Hernandez-Romieu AG, Adelman MW, Hodkine MA, Robichaux CJ, Edwards JA, Fazio JC, Blum JM, Jabailey CS, Caridi-Scheible M, Martin GS, et al. Timing of Intubation and Mortality Among Critically Ill Coronavirus Disease 2019 Patients: A Single-Center Cohort Study. Crit Care Med. 2020; 48(11):1045-1053.
23. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Li Y, Yu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020; 395(10229):1044-1054.
24. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang T, Wang T, Zhang X, Chen H, Yu H, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. J Clin Invest. 2020; 130(5):2620-2629.
25. Feng Y, Ling X, Bai T, Xie Y, Huang J, Li J, Xiong W, Yang D, Chen R, Lu F, et al. COVID-19 with Different Severity: A Multi-center Study of Clinical Features. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020.
26. Du RH, Liang LR, Yang QQ, Wang W, Cao T, Li M, Guo GY, Du J, Zheng CL, Zhu Q, et al. Predictors of Mortality for Patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia Caused by SARS-CoV-2: A Prospective Cohort Study. Eur Respir J. 2020.
27. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020; 92(4):441-447.
28. Arentz M, Yim E, Klaff L, Lohshandwala S, Riedel FX, Chong M, Lee M. Characteristics and Outcomes of 21 Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 in Washington State. JAMA. 2020.
29. de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016; 14(8):523-534.
30. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, Huang H, Zhang L, Zhou X, Du C, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med. 2020.
31. Kao KC, Hsieh MJ, Lin SW, Chuang LP, Chang CH, Hu WC, Wang CH, Li LF, Huang CC, Wu HP. Survival predictors in elderly patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a prospective observational cohort study. Sci Rep. 2018; 8(1):13459.
32. Wang L, He W, Yu X, Hu D, Bao M, Liu H, Zhou J, Jiang H. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients: Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. J Infect. 2020; 80(6):639-645.