Effects of WO₃ and SiO₂ doping on CeO₂–TiO₂ catalysts for selective catalytic reduction of NO with ammonia
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A series of CeO₂–WO₃/SiO₂–TiO₂ (Ce₆WₓTi₄₋ₓO₂₊₄/C₁₄) catalysts with different loading amounts of WO₃ were synthesized by wet co-impregnation of ammonium metatungstate and cerium nitrate on a SiO₂–TiO₂ support, and were employed for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO by NH₃. The catalytic activity of the CeO₂/SiO₂–TiO₂ (CeSiTi) catalyst was enhanced by the addition of WO₃, and the W-containing catalysts showed higher hydrothermal stability especially between 550 and 600 °C. The introduction of WO₃ to the CeSiTi catalyst could produce more chemisorbed oxygen species, reducible subsurface oxygen species, acid sites and ad-NO₃ species. Moreover, the modification of CeO₂–WO₃/TiO₂ (CeW₆T₄TiO₁₄) by SiO₂ could enhance the specific surface area, especially the aged specific surface area, thus improving the hydrothermal stability of the catalyst.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) are one of the major sources of air pollution, and have caused a series of environmental problems such as acid rain, photochemical smog, and the green-house effect. The emission of NOₓ remains a major problem for diesel vehicles. In recent years, great efforts have been applied to the development and application of available technologies for controlling NOₓ emissions. Among these technologies, selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NOₓ using reductants such as NH₃ or hydrocarbons (HC) is one of the most promising technologies to reduce NOₓ. The issue of catalyst deactivation by HC poisoning needs to be resolved for the commercial application of HC-SCR. Currently, the SCR of NOₓ with NH₃ (NH₃–SCR) is the favored method of denoxification (deNOₓ), which has also been widely employed commercially for diesel vehicles to meet the ever tightening emission standards.

Many types of catalysts, including oxides and zeolites based on transition metals have been investigated for the NH₃–SCR reaction. However, these catalysts have intrinsic flaws when it comes to practical applications. Transition-metal (in particular Fe and Cu) ion-exchanged zeolite catalysts have poor water and sulfur resistances. VₓO₃-based oxide catalysts have been used commercially for SCR due to its high catalytic activity and resistance to SO₂ poisoning, whereas the toxicity of vanadium, the easy sublimation of V₂O₅ and narrow catalytic temperature window (300–400 °C) of this catalyst limit their wide application. Therefore, great efforts have been made to develop environmental friendly SCR catalysts to replace V₂O₅-based catalysts. Studies in recent years revealed that the presence of rare-earth components can effectively regulate the surface acidity and alkalinity, modify the structure of catalytic active centers, improve the oxygen storage/release capacities, and enhance the structural stability and active species dispersion of catalysts.

As an important component of rare-earth catalytic materials, CeO₂ is a potential substitute for V₂O₅ due to its high oxygen storage capacity and redox ability when Ce species shift between Ce⁴⁺ and Ce³⁺. Various studies have been conducted to develop SCR catalysts using CeO₂, and several catalyst systems have been developed, including CeO₂/TiO₂, CeO₂/TiO₂–SiO₂, CeO₂/WO₃, CeO₂/ZrO₂ etc. The selection of supports is important for the performance of the composite catalysts in environmental catalytic reactions, because the structural properties of supports can affect the dispersion of active sites and the contact between reactants. Various types of supports, such as Al₂O₃, medicinal stone, Ti-pillared clays, TiO₂, have been used in environmental catalytic reactions of photocatalysis, catalytic oxidative desulfurization and organic pollutants degradation. Among them, TiO₂ is a favorable candidate as the support for SCR catalysts due to its good SO₂ durability and stability, hence CeO₂/TiO₂ catalyst exhibits higher SCR catalytic activity. Moreover, WO₃ is widely used as a promotional additive to enhance the catalytic activity of VₓO₃/TiO₂ catalyst. It was reported that introduction of WO₃ to VₓO₃/TiO₂ increased the amount and the strength of Brønsted acid...
sites on the catalyst surface.28 CeO2/WO3 catalyst also exhibits very high efficiency for the reduction of NOx.27 Shan et al. examined the promotional effects of a tungsten-doped CeO2/TiO2 catalyst and the excellent catalytic performance of the CeO2–WO3/TiO2 catalyst was associated with the highly dispersed CeO2 and primitive WO3 species on TiO2.29 Furthermore, SiO2 is widely used as catalyst supports due to their high mechanical strength and excellent thermal stability.29–30 TiO2 is usually mixed with SiO2 as the support to enhance the thermal stability and prevent the deactivating during exposure to SO2.11 However, seldom studies focus on CeO2–WO3/TiO2–SiO2 catalyst.

In this paper, a series of CeO2–WO3/TiO2–SiO2 catalysts were prepared by impregnation method and their SCR performance were evaluated in a simulated diesel engine exhaust. According to the characterization, the essence of the activity enhancement arising from the WO3 and SiO2 introduction and the active species for the reaction were proposed and elucidated in detail.

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst preparation

A series of CeO2–WO3/TiO2–SiO2 catalysts with different WO3 loading amount (denoted as CeWxSi1–xTi; x represents the weight percent of WO3, x = 0–8%; y represents the weight percent of SiO2, y = 0 or 5%) were prepared by the co-impregnation method. Cerium nitrate ([Ce(NO3)3]·6H2O), ammonium metatungstate ([NH4]2W2O7·6H2O), and TiO2–SiO2/TiO2 support were used for the experiment. All the chemicals with purity ≥99.7% are from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, China. Firstly, cerium nitrate and ammonium metatungstate were dissolved in deionized water. TiO2–SiO2 or TiO2 powder was added to the above solution and stirred for 1 h. Secondly, the mixture exposed to ultrasonic for 2 h and then aged for 12 h. At last, the mixture was dried at 110 °C for 2 h and calcined at 550 °C for 3 h in static air. CeO2 contents in all the catalysts were 20 wt%.

The fresh catalyst was thermally aged in 10% H2O in air at 600 °C for 50 h and labeled as aged-600 50 h.

2.2 Catalytic activity measurement

The activity test of 0.06 g catalysts was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz reactor (inner diameter = 6 mm). The gas mixture simulates a real diesel exhaust, which contains 200 ppm NO, 200 ppm NH3, 200 ppm CO, 50 ppm C3H6, 12 vol% O2, 5 vol% H2O, 4.5 vol% CO2, and N2 as balance gas. The total flow rate was 300 mL min⁻¹, corresponding to GHSV of 300 000 h⁻¹. The effluent gas, including NO, NO2, and O2 was continuously analyzed by an online flue gas analyzer. The results for the steady-state activity were collected after 20 min at each temperature. The NOx conversion was calculated as follows, whereas NOx = NO + NO2.

\[
\text{NOx conversion} = \frac{c[\text{NOx}]_{\text{inlet}} - c[\text{NOx}]_{\text{outlet}}}{c[\text{NOx}]_{\text{inlet}}} \times 100\%
\]

2.3 Catalysts characterization

The BET surface area was measured at −196 °C on an ASAP 2050 physical adsorption instrument (Micromeritics Corp., Norcross, GA, USA) by using the nitrogen adsorption method. The samples were pretreated in a vacuum at 300 °C for 4 h before experiments. The surface area was determined by BET method in 0–0.3 partial pressure range.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed on an X’pert Pro diffractometer (Panalytical Corp., The Netherlands) operating at 40 mA and 40 kV with Cu Kα radiation. The 2θ data from 10 to 90° were collected with the step size of 0.03°.

Microstructures of the catalyst samples were observed with a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 electron microscope.

X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were obtained with a Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using Al Kα radiation (1486.6 eV). Binding energies of Ce 3d and O 1s were calibrated using C 1s peak (B.E. = 284.6 eV) as standard. The composition on catalyst surface according to atomic ratios was calculated, and Shirley background and Gaussian-Lorentzian was used for peak analysis.

H2 temperature programmed reduction (H2-TPR) was carried out on a Quantachrome: Chem BET chemisorption analyzer (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA). Before the experiment, 50 mg of the sample was heated from room temperature to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. A mixture gas flow of 5 vol% H2/Ar was used as reductant at a flow rate of 60 mL min⁻¹. Before detection by the TCD, the gas was purified by a trap containing CaO + NaOH materials in order to remove the H2O and CO2.

The temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of NH3 or NOx was conducted on a Quantachrome: Chem BET chemisorption analyzer supplied by the Micromeritics Company. 50 mg of the sample was pretreated at 300 °C (10 °C min⁻¹) in He flow at 60 mL min⁻¹ for 2 h, then cooled down to 40 °C and purged with 5 vol% NH3/He (500 ppm NO + 3% O2) until adsorption saturation, followed by flushing with 20 mL min⁻¹ He at the same temperature to remove physical absorption species. The desorption was then performed in the range of 40–800 °C at a speed of 10 °C min⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 SCR catalytic activity

The effect of WO3 and SiO2 addition on the deNOx performance of CeTi catalyst is illustrated in Fig. 1. As shown in Fig. 1a, CeTi and CeW4Ti showed higher NOx conversion than CeSiTi and CeW4SiTi, respectively, indicating that the catalytic activity was even suppressed by SiO2. While the negative effect on CeW4SiTi was less obvious for catalysts with WO3 loaded, suggesting that WO3 had a promotional impact on activity, which can also be seen from CeTi and CeWTi catalyst. NOx conversion over CeW4Ti was higher than CeTi at 150–550 °C. Furthermore, CeSiTi catalysts with different WO3 loadings were prepared to investigate the effect of WO3. It was clear that the addition of WO3 to CeSiTi could significantly enhance the catalytic activities and broaden reactivity window. CeSiTi catalyst exhibited
relatively low activities in the whole temperature range, with maximum \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion of 84% at 400 °C. After the addition of \( \text{WO}_3 \), \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion of CeW\(_2\)SiTi was \( \sim 20\% \) greater at 250–350 °C and \( \sim 10\% \) at 250 or 400 °C than CeSiTi, and the maximum \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion reached to 92%. With the increasing of \( \text{WO}_3 \) loading from 2% to 7%, \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion especially at 250 °C was greatly improved from 22% to 72%. For CeW\(_7\)SiTi catalyst, nearly 100% \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion was obtained in the temperature range of 300–450 °C. Further increasing the \( \text{WO}_3 \) loading to 8%, CeW\(_8\)SiTi showed nearly the same catalytic activity as CeW\(_7\)SiTi. This suggested that the \( \text{WO}_3 \) addition amount is close to its distributed capacity.\(^{32}\) SCR performance of the catalysts after hydrothermal aging treatment is shown in Fig. 1b and d. Compared with the fresh sample, activity of aged CeTi dropped dramatically in a wide temperature range of 250–550 °C. The maximum decrease of \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion appearing at 300 °C was up to 50%, indicating that hydrothermal aging had a severe impact on CeTi catalyst. In contrast, \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion of CeSiTi decreased slightly with only 7% drop at 300 °C, demonstrating that SiO\(_2\) could greatly enhanced thermal stability of catalysts. For catalysts with \( \text{WO}_3 \) doped (CeW\(_4\)Ti and CeW\(_7\)SiTi), catalytic activities were remained after hydrothermally treated. Remarkably, compared with the fresh samples, \( \text{NO}_x \) conversions of these catalysts at temperature 550–600 °C were even higher. For example, \( \text{NO}_x \) conversion of aged CeW\(_4\)Ti and CeW\(_7\)SiTi at 550 °C were 22% and 16% higher than that of their fresh samples, respectively. Therefore, \( \text{WO}_3 \) and SiO\(_2\) were synergistically beneficial for hydrothermal stability of the catalysts.

To evaluate the stability of the catalyst, CeW\(_7\)SiTi was chosen to carry out the reuse cycle experiment, as described on Subsection 2.2. After one test cycle finished, the sample was cooled down to room temperature first, then heated to 600 °C for another cycle. Catalytic activities in each cycle were exhibited in Fig. 2. It can be seen that there was no obvious reduction in the catalytic activity after 7 reuse cycles, indicating perfect reusability and good stability of the catalyst.
3.2 XRD and BET results

The XRD patterns of CeWxSiyTi catalysts are shown in Fig. 3. Only diffraction peaks attributed to cubic CeO2 crystallites (ICDD PDF#34-0394) and anatase phase (ICDD PDF# 21-1272) of TiO2 were detected, no WO3 or SiO2 phase was observed,16 which suggested that W and Si species probably exist in an amorphous phase or as highly dispersed species.14 The intensities of CeO2 peak slowly increased with the WO3 content increasing, indicating that CeO2 crystallites grown slowly, which may be due to the blocking effect of the CeO2 by the impregnated tungsten oxide.14 Accordingly, the average crystallite size calculated using the Scherrer equation is shown in Table 1. The crystallite size increased from 15.4 nm to 17.0 nm with WO3 loadings of CeWxSiyTi increasing from 0% to 8%. Moreover, as presented in Table 1, the lattice parameter corresponding to the ceria phase was also calculated via Bragg’s Law.15 The lattice constant and d-spacing of CeO2 increased a little by impregnating tungsten oxide. For CeWxSiyTi, d-spacing expanded from 0.268 nm to 0.272 nm, and the lattice constant increased from 0.533 nm to 0.535 nm. Likewise for CeWxTi catalysts, from CeTi to CeWxTi, crystallite size elevated from 16.3 nm to 17.3 nm, d-spacing from 0.267 nm to 0.271 nm, and lattice constant from 0.532 nm to 0.534 nm. Generally, the lattice constant of ceria is mainly associated with the ratio of Ce3+ (0.110 nm)/Ce4+ (0.087 nm). Therefore, the increasing lattice constant may be result from more Ce3+ species brought by WO3 incorporation, as discussed in the following XPS results.

The HR-TEM micrographs of different catalysts are exhibited in Fig. 4. Particle size of CeTi and CeWxTi catalysts were about 10–20 nm. For CeTi, lattice fringes of 0.272 nm and 0.351 nm matched CeO2 (200) and anatase (101) phase, respectively.1 After addition of WO3, lattice fringe of CeO2 (200) increase to 0.278 nm, which was consistent with the XRD results.

The BET surface areas of CeWxSiyTi catalysts as well as total pore volumes and average pore diameters are presented in Table 2. It is clear that with WO3 content increasing, a slight decrease in BET surface area is observed. This may be due to the growing cluster of CeO2 crystallites causing the agglomeration of the catalyst surface according to the XRD results.36

Table 1  Crystallite size and lattice parameter of the catalysts

| Catalysts   | Crystallite size of CeO2 (nm) | d-spacing (nm) | Lattice constant of CeO2 (nm) |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| CeTi        | 16.3                          | 0.267          | 0.532                        |
| CeWxTi      | 17.3                          | 0.271          | 0.534                        |
| CeSiTi      | 15.4                          | 0.268          | 0.533                        |
| CeWxSiTi    | 15.9                          | 0.268          | 0.534                        |
| CeWxSi2Ti   | 16.5                          | 0.268          | 0.534                        |
| CeWxSi3Ti   | 16.5                          | 0.268          | 0.534                        |
| CeWxSi4Ti   | 17.0                          | 0.272          | 0.535                        |

Compared with that of their fresh counterpart, the total pore volumes and average pore diameters of aged CeWxSiyTi catalysts increased, while BET surface area decreased. Notably for CeTi, BET surface area drastically dropped from 67 to 42 m2 g−1 (a 37.3% decrease). Whereas the surface area loss of CeWxTi and CeWxSiyTi were much lower (7.8–13.6%), indicating hydrothermal stability was improved by addition of WO3 and SiO2. Remarkably, the BET surface area of aged CeSiTi (80 m2 g−1) is almost the same as the fresh sample (81 m2 g−1), showing excellent hydrothermal stability. All these indicated that the addition of active component WO3 and SiO2 could coordinatively preserve the BET surface area of hydrothermally aged catalysts, thus enhance hydrothermal stability of the catalysts, which were in good accordance with results demonstrated in Fig. 1.

3.3 XPS results

To understand the chemical states of elements over the surface of CeWxSiyTi catalysts, XPS spectra of Ce and O are presented in Fig. 5. XPS spectra of Ce 3d are shown as Fig. 5a. The sub-bands labeled u1 and v1 represent the 3d104f1 initial electronic state, corresponding to Ce3+, whereas the peaks labeled u, u2, v1, v2, and v3 represent the 3d104f0 state of Ce4+ ions. Ce3+/Ce4+ ratio was calculated according to the area ratio of Ce peaks and displayed in Table 3. It can be seen that the addition of WO3 to the catalyst slightly increased Ce3+ ratio on the surface of the catalysts. Hence, it is likely that W promote the transformation from Ce4+ to Ce3+ ions. Ce3+ could create more charge imbalance, vacancies, and chemisorbed oxygen on the surface, which is beneficial for SCR performance.

Fig. 5b displays the O 1s XPS spectra of different catalysts. The O 1s peaks were fitted into two sub-bands. The bands at 531.0–531.9 eV are assigned to surface-chemisorbed oxygen (denoted as Oa). And the sub-bands from 529.5 to 530.0 eV are attributable to the lattice O2− oxygen (denoted as Ob). The surface-adsorbed oxygen is considered to be more reactive in oxidation reactions since its mobility is higher than that of lattice oxygen, and Wu et al.17 have insisted that a high O2− ratio is beneficial for the oxidation of NO to NO2 in the SCR reaction, resulting in an improvement to a “fast SCR” reaction. According to Table 3, Oa/(Oa + Ob) ratio of CeWxSiyTi elevated from 29.2% to 48.1% as the WO3 content increasing, thereby improving the activity of the catalysts. Similar results were obtained in CeTi & CeWxTi catalysts. Additionally, for CeTi and CeWxTi catalysts,
SiO₂ doping increased the Oₐ/(Oₐ + O₈) ratio. However, the activities of SiO₂ free catalysts were better, as shown in Fig. 1. This indicated that some synergistic effect should take place between the Ce, W species and SiO₂ support.

3.4 NOₓ adsorption
To investigate the influence of WO₃ on the NOₓ adsorption/desorption of the catalysts, NOₓ-TPD was performed. Fig. 6 displays the TPD profiles of NOₓ on the catalysts. The NOₓ profiles were mainly divided into four different peaks by peak fitting according to the Gaussian deconvolution method. The first peak at 164–181 °C were associated with the desorption of physisorbed NOₓ. The NOₓ peak at 218–258 °C were mainly due to the decomposition of chemisorbed NOₓ species. The peaks at 315–390 °C and 511–620 °C were probably related to the decomposition of bridging nitrate species and bidentate nitrate species with higher thermal stability. The NOₓ adsorption capacity of CeW₄SiTi and CeW₄Ti calculated in Table 4 were

Table 2  BET surface area ($S_{BET}$), total pore volume ($V_p$), average pore diameter ($D_p$) of CeW₅Si₅Ti catalysts

|        | $V_p$ (cm³ g⁻¹) | $D_p$ (nm) | $S_{BET}$ (m² g⁻¹) | Δ$S_{BET}$ (%) |
|--------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
|        | Fresh sample    | Aged sample| Fresh sample        | Aged sample    | Fresh sample | Aged sample |               |               |
| CeTi   | 0.2685          | 0.2471     | 15.98               | 23.51          | 67           | 42          | 37.3          |               |
| CeW₄Ti| 0.2489          | 0.2632     | 15.19               | 18.35          | 66           | 57          | 13.6          |               |
| CeSiTi | 0.2487          | 0.2777     | 12.30               | 13.87          | 81           | 80          | 1.2           |               |
| CeW₂SiTi| 0.2302         | 0.2821     | 11.99               | 14.91          | 77           | 71          | 7.8           |               |
| CeW₄SiTi| 0.2326         | 0.2541     | 12.48               | 15.91          | 75           | 68          | 9.3           |               |
| CeW₆SiTi| 0.2328         | 0.2599     | 12.82               | 15.90          | 73           | 65          | 11.0          |               |
| CeW₈SiTi| 0.2331         | 0.2547     | 13.31               | 15.60          | 70           | 63          | 10.0          |               |

$\Delta S_{BET} = \frac{S_{BET(\text{fresh})} - S_{BET(\text{aged})}}{S_{BET(\text{fresh})}} \times 100\%.$
1.29 and 2.05 mmol g\(^{-1}\), respectively, which were higher than that of CeSiTi (1.02 mmol g\(^{-1}\)) and CeTi (1.87 mmol g\(^{-1}\)). It indicated that the addition of W increased the amount of NO\(_x\) adsorbed species. Nitrate species (ad-NO\(_x\)) formed on the catalyst surface, are known to play an important role in NO\(_x\) reduction. ad-NO\(_x\) species desorbed above 300 \(^\circ\)C are presumed to participate in NO reduction.\(^{39,40}\) The total areas of peaks attributed to nitrate species over WO\(_3\)-containing catalysts were larger than that over CeTi and CeSiTi catalyst, indicating the addition of W could bring more ad-NO\(_x\) species, which was beneficial for the NH\(_3\)–SCR. This elucidates the promotional effect of WO\(_3\) on the activity of the catalysts in terms of the adsorption perspective.

### 3.5 Surface acidity

Surface acidity plays a critical role in SCR reaction. Surface acid sites promote ammonia adsorption on solid surfaces especially at high temperatures. The amounts and strengths of acid sites of Ce\(_x\)W\(_y\)TiSi\(_z\) catalysts were measured by NH\(_3\)–TPD and shown in Fig. 7. All the catalysts exhibited several broad peaks in the temperature range of 100–800 \(^\circ\)C, which were attributed to NH\(_3\) desorbed by weak (150–350 \(^\circ\)C), medium (350–500 \(^\circ\)C) and strong (500–800 \(^\circ\)C) acid sites.\(^{37}\) CeTi catalyst mainly showed a single broad peak at 160 \(^\circ\)C ascribed to weak acid sites. While except the first peak at around 140 \(^\circ\)C, Ce\(_x\)W\(_y\)Ti catalyst displayed a sharp desorption peak at 222 \(^\circ\)C and a broad peak at 417 \(^\circ\)C, which was ascribed to medium acid sites. Besides, its NH\(_3\) desorption amount was 1.01 mmol g\(^{-1}\) according to Table 5, higher than 0.61 mmol g\(^{-1}\) of CeTi. Ce\(_x\)W\(_y\)TiSi catalysts exhibited peaks at 160, 272 and 440 \(^\circ\)C, mainly assigned to NH\(_3\) desorption by weak and medium acid sites. With WO\(_3\) loading amounts increasing from 0\% to 8\%, NH\(_3\) desorption amount increased from 0.14 mmol g\(^{-1}\) to 2.02 mmol g\(^{-1}\). All these results implied that the addition of WO\(_3\) could increase amounts of acid sites of the catalysts, bringing more NH\(_3\) adsorption sites, which plays an important role in the SCR reaction.\(^{19}\)

### 3.6 Redox properties

H\(_2\)-TPR analysis was performed to investigate the presence of reducible species in the addition of WO\(_3\) to CeO\(_2\)/TiO\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\). Fig. 8 illustrates the H\(_2\)-TPR profiles of Ce\(_x\)W\(_y\)TiSi\(_z\) catalysts. All catalysts showed broad peak around 495 \(^\circ\)C, ascribed to surface oxygen reduction of ceria.\(^{24}\) CeTi catalyst presented a sharp reduction peak at 680 \(^\circ\)C, attributing to
reduction of bulk oxygen in ceria. For CeWTi and CeWxTiSi catalysts, it is observed that reduction peaks of CeO2 and WO3 overlapped on the catalysts in the temperature range of WO3 reduction. The broad overlapping peaks ranged from 665 to 702 °C are attributed to the reduction of WO3 to WO2 as well as bulk oxygen of CeO2, which is due to the interaction of tungsten with cerium oxide. The reduction peaks ranged from 790–858 °C were ascribed to the reduction of WO3 to W. The second reduction peak of the WOx-containing catalysts shifted to higher temperatures, revealing a strong interaction between CeO2 and WO3 species with WO3 addition. For CeWxTiSi catalysts, with WO3 content increasing, H2 consumption increased from 1.47 mmol g−1 to 8.65 mmol g−1 as shown in Table 5, indicating that the addition of WO3 could bring more reducible subsurface oxygen and increase the redox property, which facilitates SCR reaction. The results are in accordance with SCR activities.

### 4. Conclusion

In this work, WO3 was doped into CeO2/TiO2 and CeO2/TiO2–SiO2 catalysts by impregnation method. WO3 has a promotional effect

### Table 4 NOx adsorption capacity of catalysts

| Catalysts | Peak 1 (164–181 °C) | Peak 2 (218–258 °C) | Peak 3 (315–390 °C) | Peak 4 (511–620 °C) | Total NOx desorption (mmol g−1) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| CeWTi     | 1919                | 3141                | 2121                | 1461                | 2.05                        |
| CeTi      | 1524                | 2668                | 2016                | 1336                | 1.87                        |
| CeWSiTi   | 786                 | 1531                | 1991                | 751                 | 1.29                        |
| CeSiTi    | 540                 | 914                 | 1162                | 1064                | 1.02                        |

### Table 5 Calculated amount of ammonia desorption in NH3–TPD tests and hydrogen consumption in the H2-TPR

| Catalysts | NH3 desorption (mmol g−1) | H2 consumption (mmol g−1) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| CeSiTi    | 0.14                     | 1.47                      |
| CeWSiTi   | 0.38                     | 5.44                      |
| CeWSiTi   | 1.38                     | 6.68                      |
| CeWSiSiTi | 1.50                     | 8.17                      |
| CeWSiTi   | 2.02                     | 8.65                      |
| CeTi      | 0.61                     | 4.41                      |
| CeW2SiTi  | 1.01                     | 4.70                      |
| CeW4SiTi  | 1.39                     | 6.67                      |
| CeW5SiTi  | 1.50                     | 7.16                      |
| CeW6SiTi  | 1.61                     | 7.65                      |
| CeW7SiTi  | 1.82                     | 8.17                      |

**Fig. 7** NH3–TPD profiles of CeWxSiTi catalysts.

**Fig. 8** H2-TPR profiles of CeWxSiTi catalysts.
on the activity of the catalysts and the optimal loading of WO3 species was 7%. After hydrothermal aging treatment, NOx conversion of W-containing catalysts at 500–600 °C even exceeded that of fresh samples, showing excellent hydrothermal stability. XRD and BET results show that the addition of WO3 could promote CeO2 and WO3 crystallites disperse better over the catalyst surface. XPS results prove that WO3 bring more appearance of Ce4+ and surface chemisorbed oxygen species so that more NO would be oxidized to NO2, which was a benefit for the SCR process. NH3 and NO2–TPD results indicate that WO3 provide more acid sites and more adsorbed NOx and NH3 species, which was also beneficial for the SCR reaction. TPR results suggest that WO3 improve redox property of the catalysts. Introduction of SiO2 lower the catalytic activities of the catalysts. While after hydrothermally treating, the surface area of SiO2-containing catalysts mostly preserved, hence enhancing the hydrothermal stability of the catalysts. There is synergistic effect between SiO2 and WO3 on keeping hydrothermal stability of the catalysts. From the results of XPS, TPD and H2–TPR, the strong interaction between ceria, tungsten and silica could contribute to the excellent deNOx performance of CeWxTiSi1–x mixed oxide catalyst.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Science and Technology Projects of China Northern Rare Earth (Group) High-tech Co., Ltd. (Grant No. BFXT-2018-D-1) and Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (Grant No. 2017MS0209).

References

1. J. Chen, Y. Chen, M. Zhou, Z. Huang, J. Gao, Z. Ma, J. Chen and X. Tang, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 473–478.
2. Y. Jiang, Z. Xing, X. Wang, S. Huang, X. Wang and Q. Liu, Fuel, 2015, 151, 124–129.
3. S. Liu, X. Feng, J. Liu, Q. Lin, L. Xiong, Y. Wang, H. Xu, J. Wang and Y. Chen, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 2258–2268.
4. F. Liu, Y. Yu and H. He, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 8445–8463.
5. J. Wang, H. Zhao, G. Haller and Y. Li, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 202, 346–354.
6. H. Hu, S. Cai, H. Li, L. Huang, L. Shi and D. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 22924–22933.
7. D. W. Kwon and S. C. Hong, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2015, 356, 181–190.
8. W. Zhao, Y. Tang, Y. Wan, L. Li, S. Yao, X. Li, J. Gu, Y. Li and J. Shi, J. Hazard. Mater., 2014, 278, 350–359.
9. W. Shan, F. Liu, Y. Yu and H. He, Chin. J. Catal., 2014, 35, 1251–1259.
10. J. Xu, H. Yu, C. Zhang, F. Guo and J. Xie, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 3996–4007.
11. L. Chen, J. Li and M. Ge, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 21177–21184.
12. Z. Yan, X. Shi, Y. Yu and H. He, J. Environ. Sci., 2018, 73, 155–161.
13. G. Zhang, W. Han, H. Zhao, L. Zong and Z. Tang, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 226, 117–126.
14. T. Biningarai, A. Somogyvari and P. G. Smirniotis, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2017, 56, 5483–5494.
15. L. Xu, C. Wang, H. Chang, Q. Wu, T. Zhang and J. Li, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52, 7064–7071.
16. Y. Geng, H. Huang, X. Chen, H. Ding, S. Yang, F. Liu and W. Shan, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 64803–64810.
17. X. Gao, Y. Jiang, Y. Fu, Y. Zhong, Z. Luo and K. Cen, Catal. Commun., 2010, 11, 465–469.
18. Y. Peng, C. Liu, X. Zhang and J. Li, Appl. Catal., B, 2013, 140, 276–282.
19. W. Shan, F. Liu, H. He, X. Shi and C. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 8046–8048.
20. W. Zhao, Z. Li, Y. Wang, R. Fan, C. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Guo, R. Wang and S. Zhang, Catalysts, 2018, 8, 375.
21. L. Kang, H. Liu, H. He and C. Yang, Fuel, 2018, 234, 1229–1237.
22. Q. Luo, Q. Zhou, Y. Lin, S. Wu, H. Liu, D. Cheng, Y. Zhong and C. Yang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2019, 9, 6166–6179.
23. S. Wu, Y. Lin, C. Yang, C. Du, Q. Teng, Y. Ma, D. Zhang, L. Nie and Y. Zhong, Chemosphere, 2019, 237, 124478.
24. L. Qiu, Y. Cheng, C. Yang, G. Zeng, Z. Long, S. Wei, K. Zhao and L. Luo, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 17036.
25. L. Zong, J. Zhang, G. Lu and Z. Tang, Catal. Surv. Asia, 2018, 22, 105–117.
26. L. Chen, J. Li, M. Ge and R. Zhu, Catal. Today, 2010, 153, 77–83.
27. W. Shan, Y. Geng, X. Chen, N. Huang, F. Liu and S. Yang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 1195–1200.
28. W. Shan, F. Liu, H. He, X. Shi and C. Zhang, Appl. Catal., B, 2012, 115, 100–106.
29. L. Cao, X. Wu, Y. Xu, Q. Lin, J. Hu, Y. Chen, R. Ran and D. Weng, Catal. Commun., 2019, 120, 55–58.
30. L. Qiu, Y. Wang, D. Pang, F. Ouyang and C. Zhang, Catal. Commun., 2016, 78, 22–25.
31. M. Kobayashi, R. Kuma and A. Morita, Catal. Lett., 2006, 112, 37–44.
32. K. K. Akurati, A. Vital, J. P. Dellemann, K. Michalow, T. Graule, D. Ferri and A. Baiker, Appl. Catal., B, 2008, 79, 53–62.
33. Y. Peng, K. Li and J. Li, Appl. Catal., B, 2013, 140, 483–492.
34. Z. Ma, D. Weng, X. Wu and Z. Si, J. Environ. Sci., 2012, 24, 1305–1316.
35. Y. Wang, Z. Li, R. Fan, X. Guo, C. Zhang, Z. Ding, R. Wang and W. Liu, Catalysts, 2019, 9, 797.
36. L. Chen, D. Weng, J. Wang, D. Weng and L. Cao, Chin. J. Catal., 2018, 39, 1804–1813.
37. Z. Wu, R. Jin, Y. Liu and H. Wang, Catal. Commun., 2008, 9, 2217–2220.
38. F. Liu and H. He, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 16929–16936.
39. J. Li, Y. Zhu, R. Ke and J. Hao, Appl. Catal., B, 2008, 80, 202–213.
40. J. Li, J. Hao, L. Fu, T. Zhu, Z. Liu and X. Cui, Appl. Catal., A, 2004, 265, 43–52.