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Abstract. In this paper, we consider a class of nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation, namely
\[ \begin{aligned}
&i\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u = -|u|^{\nu-1} u, \quad 1 + \frac{8}{d} < \nu < 1 + \frac{8}{d-4}, \\
u(0) &= u_0 \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 5 \leq d \leq 11.
\end{aligned} \]
Using the I-method combined with the interaction Morawetz inequality, we establish the global well-posedness and scattering in $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma(d, \nu) < \gamma < 2$ for some value $\gamma(d, \nu) > 0$.

1. Introduction

Consider the following nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation
\[ \begin{aligned}
&i\partial_t u(t, x) + \Delta^2 u(t, x) = -(|u|^{\nu-1} u)(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\
u(0, x) &= u_0(x) \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d),
\end{aligned} \] (NL4S)
where $u(t, x)$ is a complex valued function in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 5$. The nonlinear exponent $\nu$ is assumed to be mass-supercritical, i.e $\nu > 1 + \frac{8}{d}$ and energy-subcritical, i.e. $\nu < 1 + \frac{8}{d-4}$. The regularity exponent $\gamma$ is assumed to satisfy $0 < \gamma < 2$.

The fourth-order Schrödinger equation was introduced by Karpman [Kar96] and Karpman-Shagalov [KS00] to take into account the role of small fourth-order dispersion terms in the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity. Such a fourth-order Schrödinger equation is of the form
\[ i\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u + \varepsilon \Delta u + \mu |u|^{\nu-1} u = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \] (1.1)
where $\varepsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \mu \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\nu > 1$. We note that (NL4S) is a special case of (1.1) by taking $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\mu = 1$. The nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation (1.1) has attracted a lot of interest in the past decay. The sharp dispersive estimates for the linear part of (1.1) were established in [BKS00]. The local well-posedness and the global well-posedness for (1.1) has been widely studied in [Din1, Din2, Din3, Din4, Guo10, GC06, HHW06, HHW07, HJ05, MXZ09, MXZ11, MWZ15, MZ07, Pau1, Pau2, PS10] and references therein.

The (NL4S) enjoys a natural scaling invariance, that is if we set for $\lambda > 0$
\[ u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^{-\frac{4}{\nu-1}} u(\lambda^{-4} t, \lambda^{-1} x), \] (1.2)
then for $T \in (0, +\infty]$,
\[ u \text{ solves (NL4S) on } (-T, T) \iff u_\lambda \text{ solves (NL4S) on } (-\lambda^4 T, \lambda^4 T). \]
We define the critical regularity exponent for (NL4S) by
\[ \gamma_c := \frac{d}{2} - \frac{4}{\nu - 1}. \] (1.3)

The (NL4S) is known (see [Din1] or [Din2]) to be locally well-posed in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( \gamma \geq \max\{0, \gamma_c\} \) satisfying for \( \nu \) is not an odd integer,
\[ [\gamma] \leq \nu. \] (1.4)

Here \( [\gamma] \) is the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( \gamma \). This condition ensures the nonlinearity to have enough regularity. In the sub-critical regime, i.e. \( \gamma > \gamma_c \), the time of existence depends only on the \( H^\gamma \)-norm of initial data. Moreover, the local solution enjoys mass conservation, i.e.
\[ M(u(t)) := \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \]
and \( H^2 \)-solution has conserved energy, i.e.
\[ E(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\Delta u(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu + 1}|u(t, x)|^{\nu + 1} \, dx = E(u_0). \]

The persistence of regularity (see [Din2]) combined with the conservations of mass and energy yield the global well-posedness for (NLAS) in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( \gamma \geq 2 \) satisfying for \( \nu \) is not an odd integer, (1.4). In the critical regime, i.e. \( \gamma = \gamma_c \), one also has (see [Din1] or [Din2]) the local well-posedness for (NL4S) but the time of existence depends not only on the \( H^\gamma \)-norm of initial data but also on its profile. Moreover, for small initial data, the (NL4S) is globally well-posed, and the solution is scattering.

The main goal of this paper is to show the global well-posedness and smoothing for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation (NL4S) below the energy space. Our arguments are based on the combination of the \( I \)-method and the interaction Morawetz inequality which are similar to those of [VZ09]. However, there are some difficulties due to the high-order dispersion term \( \Delta^2 u \). Moreover, in order to successfully establish the almost conservation law, we need the nonlinearity to have at least two orders of derivatives. This leads to the restriction in spatial space of dimensions \( 5 \leq d \leq 11 \).

Before stating our main result, let us recall some known results concerning the global existence below the energy space for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation. To our knowledge, Guo in [Guo10] gave a first answer to this problem. In [Guo10], the author considered (1.1) with \( \nu - 1 = 2m, m \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying \( 4 < md < 4m + 2 \), and established the global existence in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with
\[ 1 + \frac{md - 9 + \sqrt{(4m - md + 7)^2 + 16}}{4m} < \gamma < 2. \]
The proof is based on the \( I \)-method which is a modification of the one invented by \( I \)-Team [CKSTT02] in the context of nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Later, Miao-Wu-Zhang in [MWZ15] studied the defocusing cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation, i.e. \( \nu = 3 \) in (NL4S), and proved the global well-posedness and scattering in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( \gamma(d) < \gamma < 2 \) where \( \gamma(5) = \frac{45}{11}, \gamma(6) = \frac{9}{7} \) and \( \gamma(7) = \frac{35}{13} \). The proof relies on the combination of the \( I \)-method and a new interaction Morawetz inequality. Recently, in [Din3] the author considered the defocusing cubic higher-order Schrödinger equation including the cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation, and showed that the (NL4S) with \( \nu = 3 \) is globally well-posed in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( \frac{60}{13} < \gamma < 2 \). The argument makes use of the \( I \)-method and the bilinear Strichartz estimate. The analysis is carried out in Bourgain spaces \( X^{\gamma,b} \) which is similar to those in [CKSTT02]. In the above considerations, the nonlinearity is algebraic, i.e. \( \nu \) is an odd integer. This allows to write the commutator between the \( I \)-operator and the nonlinearity explicitly by means of the Fourier transform, and then carefully control the frequency interactions using multi-linear analysis. When one considers the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation (NL4S) with \( \nu > 1 \) is not an odd integer, this method does not
work. We thus rely purely on Strichartz and interaction Morawetz estimates.

Let us now introduce some notations.

\[
\gamma(d, \nu) := \max \{ \gamma_1(d, \nu), \gamma_2(d, \nu), \gamma_3(d, \nu), \gamma_4(d, \nu) \},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_1(d, \nu) &:= \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\gamma_c}{4}, \\
\gamma_2(d, \nu) &:= 4 - \nu, \\
\gamma_3(d, \nu) &:= \frac{2}{\nu - 1} + \frac{(\nu - 2)\gamma_c}{\nu - 1}, \\
\gamma_4(d, \nu) &:= \min_{\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]} \gamma(d, \nu, \sigma).
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \sigma_0 \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
2\sigma_0(16 - (\nu - 1)(d + 4)) &< (d - 5)(d(\nu - 1) - 8), \\
2\sigma_0(\nu - 3) &\leq d - 5, \\
\sigma_0 &\leq \gamma,
\end{align*}
\]

and \( \gamma(d, \nu, \sigma) \) is the (large if there are two) root of the equation

\[
\gamma_c(2 - \gamma)(d - 5 + (8 - d)\sigma) = \min \left\{ \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_c}{2}, \nu - 2, (\nu - 2)(\gamma - \gamma_c) \right\} (\gamma - \gamma_c)\sigma.
\]

The main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( 5 \leq d \leq 11 \). The initial value problem (NL4S) is globally well-posed in \( H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for any \( \gamma(d, \nu) < \gamma < 2 \), and the global solution \( u \) enjoys the following uniform bound

\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\|u_0\|_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]

Moreover, the solution is scattering, i.e. there exist unique \( u_0^\pm \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that

\[
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2} u_0^\pm\|_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.
\]

We record in the table below some best known results, and compare them with our ones. As in the table, our results are not as good as the best known results when \( \nu \) is an odd integer. But our method allows to treat the non-algebraic nonlinearity.

| \( \nu \) | \( d \) | \( \gamma_c \) | \( \gamma(d, \nu) \) (best known results) | \( \gamma(d, \nu) \) (our results) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 5 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) | 1.4545 (see [MWZ15]) | 1.6711 |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | 1.7777 (see [MWZ15]) | 1.8719 |
| 3 | 7 | \( \frac{3}{2} \) | 1.9565 (see [MWZ15]) | 1.9665 |
| 4 | 5 | \( \frac{7}{6} \) | - | 1.9257 |
| 4 | 6 | \( \frac{5}{4} \) | - | 1.9922 |

**Table 1.** Our results compare with best known results.

The proof of the above result is based on two main ingredients: the \( I \)-method and the interaction Morawetz inequality, which are similar to those given in [VZ09]. The \( I \)-method for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation is a modification of the one introduced by \( I \)-Team in [CKSTT02]. This method is very useful for treating the nonlinear dispersive equation at low regularity, i.e. below
energy space. The idea is to replace the non-conserved energy $E(u)$ when $\gamma < 2$ by an “almost conserved” variance $E(Iu)$ with $I$ a smoothing operator which is the identity at low frequency and behaves like a fractional integral operator of order $2 - \gamma$ at high frequency. Since $Iu$ is not a solution of \((\mathrm{NL}4\mathrm{S})\), we may expect an energy increment. The key is to show that the modified energy $E(Iu)$ is an “almost conserved” quantity in the sense that the time derivative of $E(Iu)$ decays with respect to a large parameter $N$ (see Section 2 for the definition of $I$ and $N$). To do so, we need delicate estimates on the commutator between the $I$-operator and the nonlinearity. When the nonlinearity is algebraic, we can use the Fourier transform to write this commutator explicitly, and then carefully control the frequency interactions. Once the nonlinearity is no longer algebraic, this method fails. In order to treat this case, we take the advantage of Strichartz estimate with a gain of derivatives (2.5). Thanks to this Strichartz estimate, we are able to apply the technique given in [VZ09] to control the commutator. Of course, this technique is not as good as the Fourier transform technique when the nonlinearity is algebraic, but it is more robust and allows us to treat the non-algebraic nonlinearity. The interaction Morawetz inequality for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation was first introduced in [Pau2] for $d \geq 7$. Then, it was extended for $d \geq 5$ in [MWZ15]. Using this interaction Morawetz inequality and the interpolation argument together with the Sobolev embedding, we have for any compact interval $J$ and $0 < \sigma \leq \gamma$,

$$
\|u\|_{M^\sigma(J)} := \|u\|_{L^\frac{2(4-5+4\delta)}{5+4\delta}(J,L^\infty(J,H^\frac{1}{2}))} \lesssim \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(J,H^\frac{1}{2})}\right)^{\frac{2\sigma}{2\sigma + 5+4\delta}},
$$

(1.7)

As a byproduct of the Strichartz estimates and $I$-method, we show the “almost conservation law” for (NL4S), that is if $u \in L^\infty(J,\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a solution to (NL4S) on a time interval $J = [0,T]$, and satisfies $\|Iu_0\|_{H^\sigma} \leq 1$ and if $u$ satisfies in addition the a priori bound $\|u\|_{M^\sigma(J)} \leq \mu$ for some small constant $\mu > 0$, then

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0)| \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)},
$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

We now give an outline of the proof. Let $u$ be a global in time solution to (NL4S) with initial data $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Our goal to to show the uniform bounds

$$
\|u\|_{M^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}),
$$

(1.8)

$$
\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},H^\frac{1}{2})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}),
$$

(1.9)

Thanks to (1.9), the global existence follows immediately by a standard density argument. Since $E(Iu_0)$ is not necessarily small, we will use the scaling (1.2) to make $E(Iu_\lambda(0))$ small in order to apply the “almost conservation law”. By choosing

$$
\lambda \sim N^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma + 5+4\delta}},
$$

(1.10)

and using some harmonic analysis, we can make $E(Iu_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We will show that there exists an absolute constant $C$ such that

$$
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\lambda^{\gamma + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(5+4\delta)}}
$$

(1.11)

We then obtain (1.8) by undoing the scaling. In order to prove (1.11), we perform a bootstrap argument. Note that (1.11) is equivalent to

$$
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^\sigma([0,t])} \leq C\lambda^{\gamma + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(5+4\delta)}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
Assume by contraction, it is not so. Since \(\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,t])}\) is a continuous function in \(t\), there exists \(T > 0\) so that
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} > C\lambda^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}} + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(d-5/4+\delta)},
\]
(1.12)
and
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} \leq 2C\lambda^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}} + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}.
\]
(1.13)
Using (1.13), we can split \([0,T]\) into \(L\) subintervals \(J_k, k = 1, ..., L\) so that
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s(J_k)} \leq \mu.
\]
The number \(L\) must satisfy
\[
L \sim \lambda^{\frac{\gamma(2\mu - \delta)(d-5/4)}{\delta}}.
\]
(1.14)
We thus can apply the “almost conservation law” to get
\[
\sup_{[0,T]} E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq E(Iu_\lambda(0)) + N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} L.
\]
Since \(E(Iu_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{4}\), we need
\[
N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} L \ll \frac{1}{4}
\]
(1.15)
in order to guarantee \(E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq 1\) for all \(t \in [0,T]\). Combining (1.10), (1.14) and (1.15), we get a condition on \(\gamma\). Next, by (1.7) and some harmonic analysis, we have
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}) \lambda^{\gamma + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}} \sup_{[0,T]} \left(\|Iu_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\delta}^{1/2} + \|Iu_\lambda(t)\|_{H^{3/2}}^{1/2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}}
\]
\[
\times \sup_{[0,T]} \left(\|Iu_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\delta} + \|Iu_\lambda(t)\|_{H^{3/2}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}}.
\]
Since \(\|Iu_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\delta}^2 \lesssim E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq 1\) for all \(t \in [0,T]\), we get
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} \leq K\lambda^{\gamma + \frac{\sigma(4-d)}{2(d-5/4+\delta)}}
\]
for some constant \(K > 0\). This contradicts with (1.12) by taking \(C\) larger than 2K. We thus obtain (1.8) and also
\[
E(Iu_\lambda(t)) \leq 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).
\]
This also gives the uniform bound (1.9). In order to prove the scattering property, we will upgrade the uniform Morawetz bound (1.8) to the uniform Strichartz bound, namely
\[
\|u\|_{S^\gamma(B)} := \sup_{(p,q) \in B} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^\gamma u\|_{L^p_t(L^q_x)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^\delta}).
\]
Here \((p, q) \in B\) means that \((p, q)\) is biharmonic admissible (see again Section 2 for the definition). With this uniform Strichartz bound, the scattering property follows by a standard argument. We refer the reader to Section 4 for more details.

This paper is organized as follows. We firstly introduce some notations and recall some results related to our problem in Section 2. In Section 3, we show the almost conservation law for the modified energy. Finally, we give the proof of our main result in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, the notation \(A \lesssim B\) denotes an estimate of the form \(A \leq CB\) for some constant \(C > 0\). The notation \(A \sim B\) means that \(A \lesssim B\) and \(B \lesssim A\). We write \(A \ll B\) if \(A \leq cB\) for some small constant \(c > 0\). We also use \(\langle a \rangle := 1 + |a|\).
2.1. **Nonlinearity.** Let $F(z) := |z|^{\nu-1}z$ be the function which defines the nonlinearity in (NL4S). The derivative of $F(z)$ is defined by

$$F'(z) := (\partial_z F(z), \partial_{\overline{z}} F(z)),$$

where

$$\partial_z F(z) = \frac{\nu + 1}{2} |z|^{\nu-1}, \quad \partial_{\overline{z}} F(z) = \frac{\nu - 1}{2} |z|^{\nu-1} \frac{z}{\overline{z}}.$$

We also define its norm as

$$|F'(z)| := |\partial_z F(z)| + |\partial_{\overline{z}} F(z)|.$$

It is clear that $|F'(z)| = O(|z|^{\nu-1})$. For a complex-valued function $u$, we have the following chain rule

$$\partial_k F(u) = F'(u) \partial_k u,$$

for $k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$. In particular,

$$\nabla F(u) = F'(u) \nabla u. \quad (2.1)$$

In order to estimate the nonlinearity, we need to recall the following fractional chain rules.

**Lemma 2.1** ([CW91], [KPV93]). Suppose that $G \in C^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for $1 < q \leq q_2 < \infty$ and $1 < q_1 \leq \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$,

$$\|\nabla^\alpha G(u)\|_{L^q_1} \lesssim \|G'(u)\|_{L^q_1} \|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^q_2}.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([Vis06]). Suppose that $G \in C^{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then for every $0 < \alpha < \beta$, $1 < q < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{\beta} < \rho < 1$,

$$\|\nabla^\alpha G(u)\|_{L^\rho_1} \lesssim \|u|^{\beta-\frac{\alpha}{\rho}}\|_{L^{\rho_1}_1} \|\nabla^\rho u\|_{L^{q_1}_1},$$

provided $\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ and $\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho}\right) q_1 > 1$.

The reader can find the proof of Lemma 2.1 in the case $1 < q_1 < \infty$ in [CW91, Proposition 3.1] and [KPV93, Theorem A.6] when $q_1 = \infty$. For the proof of Lemma 2.2, we refer to [Vis06, Proposition A.1].

2.2. **Strichartz estimates.** Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. The Strichartz norm is defined as

$$\|u\|_{L^{p,q}_t(I,L^q_x)} := \left( \int_I \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^q dx \right)^\frac{1}{q} \right)^\frac{1}{p}$$

with a usual modification when either $p$ or $q$ are infinity. When there is no risk of confusion, we write $L^p_t L^q_x$ instead of $L^{p,q}_t(I,L^q_x)$. When $p = q$, we also use $L^p_{t,x}.$

**Definition 2.3.** A pair $(p, q)$ is said to be **Schrödinger admissible**, for short $(p, q) \in S$, if

$$(p, q) \in [2, \infty]^2, \quad (p, q, d) \neq (2, \infty, 2), \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$  

We denote for $(p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2$,

$$\gamma_{p,q} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{q} - \frac{4}{p}. \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.4.** A pair $(p, q)$ is called **biharmonic admissible**, for short $(p, q) \in B$, if

$$(p, q) \in S, \quad \gamma_{p,q} = 0.$$
Proposition 2.5 (Strichartz estimates for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation [Din1]). Let \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( u \) be a (weak) solution to the linear fourth-order Schrödinger equation, namely
\[
    u(t) = e^{it\Delta^2}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta^2}F(s)ds,
\]
for some data \( u_0, F \). Then for all \( (p, q) \) and \( (a, b) \) Schrödinger admissible with \( q < \infty \) and \( b < \infty \),
\[
    |||\nabla^{\gamma}u|||_{L^p_t(L^q_x)} \lesssim |||\nabla^{\gamma+\gamma_p,\cdot}u_0|||_{L^p_x} + |||\nabla^{\gamma+\gamma_p,\cdot-\gamma_{a',b'}}f|||_{L^p_t(L^{q',q''}_x)}.
\]
(2.3)
Here \( (a, a') \) and \( (b, b') \) are conjugate pairs, and \( \gamma_{p,q}, \gamma_{a',b'} \) are defined as in (2.2).

The estimate (2.3) is exactly the one given in [MZ07], [Pau1] or [Pau2] where the author considered \( (p, q) \) and \( (a, b) \) are either sharp Schrödinger admissible, i.e.
\[
p, q \in [2, \infty]^2, \quad (p, q, d) \neq (2, \infty, 2), \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2},
\]
or biharmonic admissible. We refer the reader to [Din1, Proposition 2.1] for the proof of Proposition 2.5. The proof is based on the scaling technique instead of using a dedicate dispersive estimate of [BKS00] for the fundamental solution of the homogeneous fourth-order Schrödinger equation.

The following result is a direct consequence of (2.3).

Corollary 2.6. Let \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( u \) be a (weak) solution to the linear fourth-order Schrödinger equation for some data \( u_0, F \). Then for all \( (p, q) \) and \( (a, b) \) biharmonic admissible satisfying \( q < \infty \) and \( b < \infty \),
\[
    |||\nabla^{\gamma}u|||_{L^p_t(L^q_x)} \lesssim ||u_0||_{L^p_x} + ||F||_{L^p_t(L^{q',q''}_x)},
\]
(2.4)
and
\[
    |||\nabla^{\gamma}u|||_{L^p_t(L^q_x)} \lesssim ||u_0||_{L^p_x} + |||\nabla^{\gamma-1}f|||_{L^p_t(L^{q',q''}_x)}.
\]
(2.5)

2.3. Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Let \( \varphi \) be a radial smooth bump function supported in the ball \( |\xi| \leq 2 \) and equal to 1 on the ball \( |\xi| \leq 1 \). For \( M = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we define the Littlewood-Paley operators
\[
P_{\geq M} f(\xi) := \varphi(M^{-1}\xi)\hat{f}(\xi), \quad P_{> M} f(\xi) := (1-\varphi(M^{-1}\xi))\hat{f}(\xi),
\]
\[
P_{\leq M} f(\xi) := (\varphi(M^{-1}\xi) - \varphi(2M^{-1}\xi))\hat{f}(\xi),
\]
where \( \hat{\cdot} \) is the spatial Fourier transform. Similarly, we can define for \( M, \), \( M_1 \leq M_2 \in 2^\mathbb{Z} \),
\[
P_{< M} := P_{< M} - P_M, \quad P_{\geq M} := P_{> M} + P_M, \quad P_{M_1 < \leq M_2} := P_{\leq M_2} - P_{\leq M_1} = \sum_{M_1 < M \leq M_2} P_M.
\]

We recall the following standard Bernstein inequalities (see e.g. [BCD11, Chapter 2] or [Tao06, Appendix]).

Lemma 2.7 (Bernstein inequalities). Let \( \gamma \geq 0 \) and \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty \). We have
\[
    ||P_{\geq M}f||_{L^p_x} \lesssim M^{-\gamma}||\nabla^{\gamma}P_{\geq M}f||_{L^p_x},
\]
\[
    ||P_{\leq M}||\nabla^{\gamma}f||_{L^p_x} \lesssim M^\gamma||P_{\leq M}f||_{L^p_x},
\]
\[
    ||P_M||\nabla^{\gamma}f||_{L^p_x} \sim M^{1/2}\|P_M f\|_{L^p_x},
\]
\[
    ||P_{\leq M}||\nabla^{\gamma}f||_{L^p_x} \lesssim M^{\frac{\gamma}{p} - \frac{\gamma}{q}}||P_{\leq M}f||_{L^p_x},
\]
\[
    ||P_M f||_{L^p_x} \lesssim M^{\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{q}}||P_M f||_{L^p_x}.
\]
2.4. **I-operator.** Let \(0 \leq \gamma < 2\) and \(N \gg 1\). We define the Fourier multiplier \(I_N\) by

\[
I_N f(\xi) := m_N(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi),
\]

where \(m_N\) is a smooth, radially symmetric, non-increasing function such that

\[
m_N(\xi) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq N, \\
(N^{-1} |\xi|)^\gamma & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2N.
\end{cases}
\]

We shall drop the \(N\) from the notation and write \(I\) and \(m\) instead of \(I_N\) and \(m_N\). We collect some basic properties of the \(I\)-operator in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8 ([Din3]).** Let \(0 \leq \sigma \leq \gamma < 2\) and \(1 < q < \infty\). Then

\[
\|I f\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_x}, 
\]

\[
\|\nabla^{\sigma} P_{> N} f\|_{L^2_T L^2_x} \lesssim N^{\sigma - 2} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2_T L^2_x}, 
\]

\[
\|\langle \nabla \rangle^\sigma f\|_{L^2_T L^2_x} \lesssim \|\langle \Delta \rangle I f\|_{L^2_T L^2_x},
\]

\[
\|f\|_{H^s_x} \lesssim \|I f\|_{H^s_x} \lesssim N^{2-\gamma} \|f\|_{H^s_x},
\]

\[
\|f\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \lesssim N^{2-\gamma} \|f\|_{L^q_T L^2_x}.
\]

We refer to [Din3, Lemma 2.7] for the proof of these estimates. We also recall the following product rule which is a modified version of the one given in [VZ09, Lemma 2.5] in the context of nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

**Lemma 2.9 ([Din3]).** Let \(\gamma > 1\), \(0 < \delta < \gamma - 1\) and \(1 < q, q_1, q_2 < \infty\) be such that \(\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}\). Then

\[
\|I(fg) - (If)g\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} \|I f\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{2-\gamma+\delta} g\|_{L^q_T L^2_x}.
\]

We again refer the reader to [Din3, Lemma 2.8] for the proof of this lemma. A direct consequence of Lemma 2.9 and (2.1) is the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let \(\gamma > 1\), \(0 < \delta < \gamma - 1\) and \(1 < q, q_1, q_2 < \infty\) be such that \(\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}\). Then

\[
\|\nabla IF(u) - (I\nabla u) F'(u)\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} \|\nabla I u\|_{L^q_T L^2_x} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{2-\gamma+\delta} F'(u)\|_{L^q_T L^2_x}.
\]

2.5. **Interaction Morawetz inequality.** We now recall the interaction Morawetz inequality for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation.

**Proposition 2.11 (Interaction Morawetz inequality [Pau2], [MWZ15]).** Let \(d \geq 5\), \(J\) be a compact time interval and \(u\) a solution to (NLAS) on the spacetime slab \(J \times \mathbb{R}^d\). Then we have the following a priori estimate:

\[
\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\frac{d-4}{2}} u\|_{L^q_T(J, L^4_x)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^4_x}^\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^\frac{4}{d})}^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

This estimate was first established by Pausader in [Pau2] for \(d \geq 7\). Later, Miao-Wu-Zhang in [MWZ15] extended this interaction Morawetz estimate to \(d \geq 5\). By interpolating (2.13) and the trivial estimate for \(0 < \sigma \leq \gamma\),

\[
\|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^\sigma_x)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^{\frac{4}{d}}_x)},
\]

we obtain

\[
\|u\|_{M^{\sigma}(J)} \lesssim \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2_x} \|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^{\frac{4}{d}}_x)}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-4}} \|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^{\frac{4}{d}}_x)}^{\frac{d-4}{d}},
\]

where

\[
\|u\|_{M^{\sigma}(J)} := \|u\|_{L^1_x}^{\frac{d-4}{d}} \|u\|_{L^q_T(J, H^{\frac{4}{d}}_x)}^{\frac{d-4}{d}}.
\]
3. Almost conservation law

For any spacetime slab $J \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$Z_I(J) := \sup_{(p,q) \in B} \|\langle \Delta \rangle Iu\|_{L^p_t(J;L^q_x)}.$$

Note that in our considerations, the biharmonic admissible condition $(p,q) \in B$ ensures $q < \infty$. Let us start with the following commutator estimates.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $5 \leq d \leq 11$, $\frac{2d+\gamma}{d-8} < \gamma < 2$, $0 < \delta < \min\{2\gamma - \gamma_c - 2, \gamma - 1\}$, $0 < \sigma \leq \gamma$ and

$$\max \left\{ \frac{8(d - 5 + 4\sigma)}{d(d - 5 + 2\sigma) + 8\sigma}, 1 \right\} < \nu - 1 < \min \left\{ \frac{d - 5 + 4\sigma}{2\sigma}, \frac{8}{d - 2\gamma} \right\}.$$ 

Assume that

$$\|u\|_{M^\sigma(J)} \leq \mu,$$

for some small constant $\mu > 0$. Then

$$\|\nabla IF(u) - (I\nabla u)F'(u)\|_{L^p_t(J;L^q_x)} \lesssim N^{-\gamma + \delta} Z_I(J) \mu^{\sigma} Z_I^{1-\theta}(J) + Z_I(J)^{\nu-1} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|\nabla IF(u)\|_{L^p_t(J;L^q_x)} \lesssim N^{-\gamma + \delta} Z_I^{\nu}(J) + \mu^{(\nu-1)\sigma} Z_I^{1+(\nu-1)(1-\theta)}(J), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\theta := \frac{(d - 5 + 4\sigma)(8 - (d - 4)(\nu - 1))}{2(\nu - 1)(2d - 5) + (12 - d)\sigma} \in (0, 1). \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof.** For simplifying the presentation, we shall drop the dependence on the time interval $J$. Denote

$$\varepsilon := \frac{4(\nu - 1)\sigma}{d - 5 + 4\sigma - 2(\nu - 1)\sigma}.$$ 

It is easy to see from our assumptions that $\varepsilon > 0$. We next apply (2.12) with $q = \frac{2d}{d + 2}$, $q_1 = \frac{2d}{(d - 2)(2 + \varepsilon)}$, and $q_2 = \frac{d(2 + \varepsilon)}{2d + 8}$ to get

$$\|\nabla IF(u) - (I\nabla u)F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_1}_x} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x} \leq Z_I,$$

where $\alpha = 2 - \gamma + \delta$. Note that $q_1$ is well-defined since $(d - 2)(2 + \varepsilon) - 8 > 0$. We then apply Hölder’s inequality in time to have

$$\|\nabla IF(u) - (I\nabla u)F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_1}_x} \leq N^{-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x}. \quad (3.4)$$

For the first factor in the right hand side of (3.4), we use the Sobolev embedding to obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q_2}_t L^{d(2 + \varepsilon)}_x} \lesssim \|\Delta u\|_{L^{q_2}_t L^{d(2 + \varepsilon)}_x} \lesssim Z_I, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\left(2 + \varepsilon, \frac{d(2 + \varepsilon)}{(d - 2)(2 + \varepsilon)}, 8\right)$ is a biharmonic admissible pair. To treat the second factor in the right hand side of (3.4), we note that $\alpha < \gamma - \gamma_c$ by our assumption on $\delta$. Thus

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x} \leq \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma_c} F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{q_2}_x} \leq \|F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{d(2 + \varepsilon)}_x} \lesssim \|F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{d(2 + \varepsilon)}_x} \lesssim \|\nabla\gamma^{-\gamma_c} F'(u)\|_{L^p_t L^{d(2 + \varepsilon)}_x}. \quad (3.6)$$
Since $F'(u) = O(|u|^\nu - 1)$, we bound the first term in (3.6) as
\[
\|F'(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{2(2+\varepsilon)}} \lesssim \|u\|^{\nu - 1}_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}}.
\]
By the choice of $\varepsilon$, we have
\[
\frac{2(\nu - 1)(2+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{d - 5 + 4\sigma}{\sigma}, \quad \frac{d(\nu - 1)(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon + 8} = \frac{d(\nu - 1)(d - 5 + 4\sigma)}{4(d - 5 + 4\sigma) - (\nu - 1)\sigma}.
\]
We next split $u := P_{\leq N}u + P_{> N}u$. For the low frequency part, we estimate
\[
\|P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{d-5+4\sigma} L_x^{d(\nu - 1)(d - 5 + 4\sigma) - (\nu - 1)\sigma}} \lesssim \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{d-5+4\sigma} L_x} \cdot \|\Delta P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{d-5+4\sigma} L_x} \lesssim \mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta},
\]
where $\theta$ is given in (3.3). Here the first line follows from Hölder’s inequality, and the second line makes use of the Sobolev embedding. The last inequality uses the fact that $P_{\leq N}u$ is biharmonic admissible. Note that our assumptions ensure $\theta \in (0, 1)$. For the high frequency part, the Sobolev embedding gives
\[
\|P_{> N}u\|_{L_t^{d-5+4\sigma} L_x^{d(\nu - 1)(d - 5 + 4\sigma) - (\nu - 1)\sigma}} \lesssim \|\nabla \gamma c P_{> N}u\|_{L_t^{d-5+4\sigma} L_x} \lesssim N^{-\gamma c - 2} Z_1.
\]
Here $\left(\frac{d - 5 + 4\sigma}{\sigma}, \frac{2(d - 5 + 4\sigma)}{d(\nu - 1)(d - 5 + 4\sigma) - (\nu - 1)\sigma}\right)$ is biharmonic admissible. Thus, we obtain
\[
\|u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta} + N^{-\gamma c - 2} Z_1.
\]
In particular,
\[
\|F'(u)\|_{L_t^{2(2+\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim (\mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta} + Z_1)^{\nu - 1}.
\]
We next treat the second term in (3.6). Since $\nu > 1$, we are able to apply Lemma 2.1 to get
\[
\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma c} F''(u)\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \|F''(u)\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \cdot \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma c} u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \|u\|^{\nu - 2}_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \cdot \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma c} u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}}.
\]
(3.11)
where $F''(u) = O(|u|^\nu - 2)$. The first factor in the right hand side of (3.11) is treated in (3.9). For the second factor, we split $u := P_{\leq 1}u + P_{1 < u} N u + P_{> N}u$. We use Bernstein inequality and estimate as in (3.7),
\[
\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma c} P_{\leq 1}u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \|P_{\leq 1}u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta}.
\]
The intermediate term is bounded by
\[
\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma - \gamma c} P_{1 < u} N u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{\gamma} P_{1 < u} N u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim \|\Delta IP_{1 < u} N u\|_{L_t^{2(1-2\varepsilon)} L_x^{2+8}} \lesssim Z_1.
\]
Here we use
\[ \| \nabla \gamma(\Delta I)^{-1} \|_{L_t^2 H_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim 1, \]
and the fact \( \left( \frac{2(\nu-1)(2+\epsilon)}{\epsilon}, \frac{2d(\nu-1)(2+\epsilon)}{d(\nu-1)(2+\epsilon)-4\epsilon} \right) \) is biharmonic admissible. Finally, we use (2.7) to estimate
\[ \| \nabla \gamma^{-\epsilon} u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{d(1+2\gamma)}} \lesssim \| \nabla \gamma P_N u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{d(1+2\gamma)}} \lesssim \| \nabla \gamma P_N u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{d(1+2\gamma)}} \lesssim \| N^{\gamma-2} \Delta P_N u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{d(1+2\gamma)}} \lesssim \| N^{\gamma-2} Z_I \| . \]
Combining three terms yields
\[ \| \nabla \gamma^{-\epsilon} u \|_{L_t^2 L_x^{d(1+2\gamma)}} \lesssim \mu^\theta Z_I^{1-\theta} + Z_I. \tag{3.12} \]
Collecting (3.4), (3.5), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we show the first estimate (3.1).

We now prove (3.2). By triangle inequality,
\[ \| I \nabla F(u) \|_{L_t^{2(2+\epsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \leq \| (I \nabla) F'(u) \|_{L_t^{2(2+\epsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} + \| I \nabla F(u) - (I \nabla) F'(u) \|_{L_t^{2(2+\epsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}}. \]
We have from Hölder’s inequality, (3.5) and (3.9) that
\[ \| (I \nabla) F'(u) \|_{L_t^{2(2+\epsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \| I \nabla u \|_{L_t^{2+\epsilon} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \| F'(u) \|_{L_t^{2(2+\epsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L_t^{2+\epsilon} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \| u \|_{L_t^{2+\epsilon} L_x^{d(2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim Z_I (\mu^\theta Z_I^{1-\theta} + N^{\gamma-2} Z_I)^{\nu-1} \lesssim \mu^\theta Z_I^{\nu-1} (1-\theta) + N^{(\gamma-2)(\nu-1)} Z_I. \tag{3.13} \]
The estimate (3.2) follows easily from (3.1) and (3.13). Note that by our assumptions, \( \alpha = 2 - \gamma + \delta < \gamma - \gamma_c < 2 - \gamma_c \). The proof is complete. \( \Box \)

**Remark 3.2.** The estimates (3.1) and (3.2) still hold for \( \nu - 1 = \frac{d-5+4\gamma}{2\sigma} \). Indeed, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is valid for \( \epsilon = \infty \).

We are now able to prove the almost conservation law for the modified energy functional \( E(Iu) \), where
\[ E(Iu(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \| Iu(t) \|_{H_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu+1} \| Iu(t) \|_{L_x^{\nu+1}}^{\nu+1}. \]

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( 5 \leq d \leq 11 \),
\[ \max \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\gamma_c}{4}, 4 - \nu, \frac{2}{\nu-1} + \frac{(\nu-2)\gamma_c}{\nu-1} \right\} < \gamma < 2, \]
\[ 0 < \delta < \min \left\{ 2\gamma - 3 - \frac{\gamma_c}{2}, \gamma + \nu - 4, (\nu - 1)\gamma - 2 - (\nu - 2)\gamma_c \right\}, 0 < \sigma \leq \gamma \text{ and } \]
\[ \max \left\{ \frac{8(d - 5 + 4\sigma)}{d(d - 5 + 2\sigma) + 8\sigma}, 1 \right\} < \nu - 1 < \min \left\{ \frac{d - 5 + 4\sigma}{2\sigma}, \frac{8}{d - 2\gamma} \right\}. \]
Assume that \( u \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) is a solution to (NL4S) on a time interval \([0, T]\), and satisfies \( \| Iu_0 \|_{H_x^2} \leq 1 \). Assume in addition that \( u \) satisfies the a priori bound
\[ \| u \|_{M^\infty([0,T])} \leq \mu. \]
for some small constant \( \mu > 0 \). Then, for \( N \) sufficiently large,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0)| \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)}.
\]
(3.14)

Here the implicit constant depends only on the size of \( E(Iu_0) \).

**Remark 3.4.** As in Remark 3.2, the estimate (3.14) is still valid for \( \nu - 1 = \frac{d-5+4\sigma}{2\sigma} \).

**Proof of Proposition 3.3.** We firstly note that our assumptions on \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \) satisfy the assumptions given in Lemma 3.1. It allows us to use the estimates given in Lemma 3.1.

We begin by controlling the size of \( Z_I \). By applying \( I, \Delta I \) to (NL4S), and using Strichartz estimates (2.4), (2.5), we get
\[
Z_I \lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} + \|IF(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{2d+2\varepsilon}} + \|\nabla IF(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{2d}}.
\]
(3.15)

Using (3.2), we have
\[
\|\nabla IF(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{2d}} \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_I^\nu + \mu^{(\nu-1)\theta} Z_I^1 + (\nu-1)(1-\theta).
\]
(3.16)

Next, we drop the \( I \)-operator and use Hölder’s inequality together with (3.9) to estimate
\[
\|IF(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{2d+2\varepsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{2(2+\varepsilon)} L_x^{d(2+\varepsilon)} L_x^{2d}} \|u\|_{L_t^{2d} L_x^{2d+2\varepsilon}}
\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{2\nu-1} L_x^{d(2+\varepsilon)} L_x^{1/\theta}} \lesssim Z_I \mu^{\theta} Z_I^{1-\theta} + N^{2-2} Z_I^{\nu-1}
\lesssim \mu^{(\nu-1)\theta} Z_I^{1+\nu-1}(1-\theta) + N(\gamma-2)(\nu-1) Z_I^\nu.
\]
Here \( 2 + \varepsilon, \frac{2d}{d(2+\varepsilon)} \) is biharmonic admissible. We thus get
\[
Z_I \lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} + N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_I^\nu + \mu^{(\nu-1)\theta} Z_I^1 + (\nu-1)(1-\theta).
\]

By taking \( \mu \) sufficiently small and \( N \) sufficiently large and using the assumption \( \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} \leq 1 \), the continuity argument gives
\[
Z_I \lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} \leq 1.
\]
(3.17)

Now, let \( F(u) = |u|^{\nu-1}u \). A direct computation shows
\[
\partial_t E(Iu(t)) = \text{Re} \int \bar{\partial} u (\Delta^2 u + F(Iu)) dx.
\]

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,
\[
E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0) = \int_0^t \partial_s E(Iu(s)) ds = \text{Re} \int_0^t \int \bar{\partial} u (\Delta^2 u + F(Iu)) dx ds.
\]
Using $I\partial_t u = i\Delta^2 Iu + iIF(u)$, we see that

$$E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0) = \Re \int_0^t \int \overline{\Delta Iu} u(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

$$= \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta^2 Iu + IF(u)(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

$$= \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu \Delta(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \overline{IF(u)}(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

We next write

$$\Delta(F(Iu) - IF(u)) = (\Delta Iu)F'(Iu) + |\nabla Iu|^2 F''(Iu) - I(\Delta F'(u)) - I(|\nabla u|^2 F''(u))$$

$$= (\Delta Iu)(F'(Iu) - F'(u)) + |\nabla Iu|^2 (F''(Iu) - F''(u)) + \nabla Iu \cdot (\nabla Iu - \nabla u) F''(u)$$

$$+ (\Delta Iu) F'(u) - I(\Delta u F'(u)) + (I \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u F''(u) - I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u F''(u)).$$

Therefore,

$$E(Iu(t)) - E(Iu_0) = \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu \Delta Iu(F(Iu) - F'(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

(3.18)

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu |\nabla Iu|^2 (F''(Iu) - F''(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

(3.19)

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu \nabla Iu \cdot (\nabla Iu - \nabla u) F''(u) \, dx \, ds$$

(3.20)

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu (\Delta Iu) F'(u) - I(\Delta u F'(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

(3.21)

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \Delta Iu (I \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u F''(u) - I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u F''(u)) \, dx \, ds$$

(3.22)

$$+ \Im \int_0^t \int \overline{IF(u)}(F(Iu) - IF(u)) \, dx \, ds.$$  

(3.23)

Let us consider (3.18). By Hölder’s inequality, we estimate

$$|\text{(3.18)}| \lesssim \|\Delta Iu\|_{L^2_t L^{24} \to \infty} \|\Delta Iu\|_{L^{2 \theta + \frac{2d(2+\alpha)}{\alpha}}_t L^{2(2+\alpha)}_x} \|F'(Iu) - F'(u)\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+\alpha)}{\alpha}}_t L^{\frac{d(2+\alpha)}{\alpha}}_x}$$

$$\lesssim Z_1^2 \|Iu - u\|^{\nu - 2}\|u\|^{\nu - 2} \|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{d(2+\alpha)}{2+\alpha}}} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{d(2+\alpha)}{2}}},$$

(3.24)

$$\lesssim Z_1^2 \|P_N u\|_{L^{2(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}_t L^{\frac{d(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}{2+\alpha}}_x} \|u\|_{L^{2(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}_t L^{\frac{d(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}{2}}_x} \|u\|_{L^{2(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}_t L^{\frac{d(\nu-1)(2+\alpha)}{2}}_x}.$$

Combining (3.24), (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\text{(3.18)} \lesssim N\gamma_c^{-2} Z_1^3 (\mu^\gamma Z_1^1 - Z_1)^{\nu - 2}.$$  

(3.25)

In order to treat (3.19), we need to separate two cases $0 < \nu - 2 < 1$ and $1 < \nu - 2$.

If $0 < \nu - 2 < 1$, then using $F''(z) = O(|z|^{\nu - 2})$, we have

$$|F''(z) - F''(\zeta)| \lesssim |z - \zeta|^{|\nu - 2|}, \quad \forall z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}. $$

(3.26)
Moreover, there exists $k \gg 1$ so that $k(\nu - 2) \geq 2$. By H"older's inequality,

$$
| (3.19) | \lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla I u \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| F''(I u) - F''(u) \|_{L^1_L L^2_x} \\
\lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla |1 + \frac{\nu}{2} I u| \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| F''(I u) - F''(u) \|_{L^1_L L^2_x} \\
\lesssim Z_1^3 \| P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \\
\lesssim Z_1^3 \| \nabla \gamma c P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \\
\lesssim N^{(\gamma_c^2)(\nu-2)} Z_1^2 Z_1^{\nu+1},
$$

where

$$
q_0 \ := \ \frac{2kd(\nu-1)}{(kd - 2)(k(\nu - 1) + 8\delta^2)}, \quad q^* \ := \ \frac{2kd}{kd(\nu - 2) - 8}, \quad r \ := \ \frac{2kd}{kd(\nu - 2) - 8}, \quad \gamma \ := \ \frac{2kd}{kd(\nu - 2) - 8}.
$$

Here we drop the $I$-operator and use (2.8) with the fact $1 + \frac{\nu}{2} \leq \gamma < 2$ to have the third line. Note that $(\frac{4k}{k-2}, q^*)$ and $(k(\nu - 2), r^*)$ are biharmonic admissible. The last line follows from (2.7).

If $1 \leq \nu - 2$, then

$$
| F''(z) - F''(\zeta) | \lesssim | z - \zeta | (| z | + | \zeta |)^{\nu - 3}, \quad \forall z, \zeta \in C.
$$

We estimate

$$
| (3.19) | \lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla I u \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| F''(I u) - F''(u) \|_{L^1_L L^2_x} \\
\lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla |1 + \frac{\nu}{2} I u| \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| F''(I u) - F''(u) \|_{L^1_L L^2_x} \\
\lesssim Z_1^3 \| P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \| | \nabla \gamma c P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \\
\lesssim N^{(\gamma_c^2)(\nu-2)} Z_1^2 Z_1^{\nu+1}.
$$

Thus, collecting two cases, we obtain

$$
| (3.19) | \lesssim N^{\min\{\nu-2, 1\}(\gamma_c^2) Z_1^{\nu+1}}.
$$

We next estimate

$$
| (3.20) | \lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla I u \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| | \nabla \gamma c P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \\
\lesssim \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \frac{2d}{d+4} \| \nabla \gamma c P_{> N} u \|_{L^k(\nu-2) L^{r(\nu-2)}_t L^2_x} \\
\lesssim N^{\frac{d+4}{d+2}} Z_1^2 Z_1^{\nu+1}.
$$

We next consider the term (3.21). Using the notation given in Lemma 3.1, we apply Corollary 2.10 with $q = \frac{2d}{d+4}, \quad q_1 = \frac{2d(2+z)}{d(2+z) - 8}$ and $q_2 = \frac{d(2+z)}{2z + 8}$ to have

$$
\| (\Delta I u) F'(u) - I(\Delta u F'(u)) \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} \| \Delta I u \|_{L^2_L L^2_x} \| \nabla |1 + \frac{\nu}{2} I u| \|_{L^3_L L^3_x} \| F''(u) \|_{L^4_L L^4_x}.
$$
where \( \alpha = 2 - \gamma + \delta \). By Hölder’s inequality,

\[
\| (\Delta u)F'(u) - I(\Delta u F'(u)) \|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} \| \Delta u \|_{L^{2d/(2d+1)}_x L^{2d/(2d+1)-\epsilon}_t} \| \langle \nabla \rangle^\alpha F'(u) \|_{L^{2/(2+\epsilon)}_t L^{2/(2+\epsilon)-\epsilon}_x}.
\]

We have from (3.6), (3.10) and (3.12) that

\[
\| \langle \nabla \rangle^\alpha F'(u) \|_{L^{2/(2+\epsilon)}_t L^{2/(2+\epsilon)-\epsilon}_x} \lesssim (\mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta} + Z_1)^{\nu-1}.
\]

Thus

\[
\| (\Delta u)F'(u) - I(\Delta u F'(u)) \|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} Z_1 (\mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta} + Z_1)^{\nu-1},
\]

and

\[
(3.21) \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_1^2 (\mu^\theta Z_1^{1-\theta} + Z_1)^{\nu-1}.
\]  

Similarly,

\[
(3.22) \lesssim \| \Delta u \|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \| (I \nabla u) \cdot (I \nabla u) F''(u) - I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u F''(u)) \|_{L^2_x L^{2/(2+\epsilon)}_t} \lesssim \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{1+\alpha} u \|_{L^\infty_t} \| F''(u) \|_{L^2_x} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F''(u) \|_{L^2_t}.
\]

Using the notation (3.26), the fractional chain rule implies

\[
\| \langle \nabla \rangle^\alpha (\nabla u F''(u)) \|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{1+\alpha} u \|_{L^\infty_t} \| F''(u) \|_{L^2_x} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F''(u) \|_{L^2_t}.
\]

The Hölder inequality then gives

\[
\| (I \nabla u) \cdot (I \nabla u) F''(u) - I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla u F''(u)) \|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} \| I \nabla u \|_{L^1_t} \| F''(u) \|_{L^2_x} + \| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F''(u) \|_{L^2_t}.
\]

By the Sobolev embedding (dropping the \( I \)-operator if necessary) and (2.8), we have

\[
\| \nabla u \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2\gamma}_{L^\infty_t}} \lesssim Z_1,
\]

\[
\| \langle \nabla \rangle^{1+\alpha} u \|_{L^2_t} \lesssim \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{1+\alpha} u \|_{L^{2\gamma}_{L^\infty_t}} \lesssim Z_1.
\]

Note that by our assumptions on \( \delta, 1 + \alpha + \frac{\theta}{2} = 3 - \gamma + \delta + \frac{\gamma}{2} < \gamma \). We also have

\[
\| F''(u) \|_{L^1_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim \| u \|_{L^{2\gamma}_{L^\infty_t}} \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2\gamma}_{L^\infty_t}} \lesssim Z_1^{\nu-2}.
\]

It remains to treat \( \| \langle \nabla \rangle^{\alpha} F''(u) \|_{L^1_t L^\infty_x} \). Using (3.32), we only need to bound \( \| \nabla \|_{L^\infty_t} \| \nabla \|_{L^2_t} \). To do so, we separate two cases: \( 1 \leq \nu - 2 \) and \( 0 < \nu - 2 < 1 \).
If $1 \leq \nu - 2$, then we apply Lemma 2.1 for $q = r, q_1 = \frac{r}{\nu - 2}$, $q_2 = r(\nu - 2)$ and use Hölder’s inequality to have

$$\|\nabla^{\alpha} F''(u)\|_{L^1_L L^r_L} \lesssim \|O(|u|^{\nu - 3})\|_{L^{k/(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L}$$

$$\lesssim \|u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha + \gamma_c} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L}$$

$$\lesssim Z^{\nu - 2}.$$

Here by our assumptions, $\alpha + \gamma_c < \gamma$ which allows us to use (2.8) to get the last estimate.

If $0 < \nu - 2 < 1$, then we use Lemma 2.2 with $\beta = \nu - 2$, $\alpha = 2 - \gamma + \delta, q = r$ and $q_1, q_2$ satisfying

$$\left(\nu - 2 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho}\right) q_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\rho} q_2 = r(\nu - 2),$$

and $\frac{\alpha}{\rho} < \rho < 1$ to be chosen later. With these choices, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta \rho}\right) q_1 = r > 1.$$

Then,

$$\|\nabla^{\alpha} F''(u)\|_{L^r_L} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha + \gamma_c} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\|\nabla^{\alpha} F''(u)\|_{L^1_L L^r_L} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha + \gamma_c} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L}$$

provided that

$$\left(\nu - 2 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho}\right) p_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\rho} p_2 = k(\nu - 2).$$

The Sobolev imbedding then gives

$$\|\nabla^{\alpha} F''(u)\|_{L^1_L L^r_L} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\gamma_c} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \|\nabla^{\alpha + \gamma_c} u\|_{L^{k(\nu - 2)}_t L^r_L} \lesssim Z^{\nu - 2}.$$

Here we use (2.8) together with $\rho + \gamma_c < \gamma$ to get the last estimate. Note that

$$\frac{\alpha}{\nu - 2} + \gamma_c < \rho + \gamma_c.$$

If we want $\rho + \gamma_c < \gamma$ for an appropriate value of $\rho$, we need $\frac{\alpha}{\nu - 2} + \gamma_c < \gamma$. This implies $\gamma > \frac{2}{\nu - 2} + \frac{\nu - 2}{\nu - 2} \gamma_c$ and $\delta < (\nu - 1) \gamma - 2 - (\nu - 2) \gamma_c$. Collecting 2 cases, we show

$$\|\nabla^{\alpha} F''(u)\|_{L^1_L L^r_L} \lesssim Z^{\nu - 2}. \tag{3.33}$$

By (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33),

$$\|I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla F''(u)) - I(\nabla u \cdot \nabla F''(u))\|_{L^2_L L^r_L} \lesssim N^{-\alpha} Z^\nu_1.$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla F''(u)\|_{L^1_L L^r_L} \lesssim N^{-(2 - \gamma + \delta)} Z^{\nu + 1}. \tag{3.34}$$
Finally, we consider (3.23). We bound
\[ |(3.23)| \lesssim \|\nabla^{-1} IF(u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{8/3}} \|\nabla(F(Iu) - IF(u))\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}} \]
\[ \lesssim \|\nabla IF(u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{8/3}} \|\nabla(F(Iu) - IF(u))\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}}. \]  
(3.35)

By (3.2),
\[ \|\nabla IF(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}} \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_I^\nu + \mu^{(\nu-1)\theta} Z_I^{1+(\nu-1)(1-\theta)}. \]  
(3.36)

By the triangle inequality,
\[ \|\nabla(F(Iu) - IF(u))\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}} \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}} \|\nabla(F' Iu) - F' (u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}} + \|\nabla IF(u) - \nabla Iu F(u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5}}. \]

We firstly use Hölder’s inequality and estimate as in (3.24) to get
\[ \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{2\gamma+2} L_x^{2\frac{2\gamma+2}{2\gamma+2}}} \|\nabla(F' Iu) - F' (u)\|_{L_t^{2\gamma+2} L_x^{2\frac{2\gamma+2}{2\gamma+2}}} \]
\[ \lesssim \|\Delta Iu\|_{L_t^{2\gamma+2} L_x^{2\frac{2\gamma+2}{2\gamma+2}}} \|\nabla(F' Iu) - F' (u)\|_{L_t^{2\gamma+2} L_x^{2\frac{2\gamma+2}{2\gamma+2}}} \]
\[ \lesssim N^{\gamma - 2} Z_I^2 (\mu^\theta Z_I^{1-\theta} + Z_I)^{\nu-2}. \]  
(3.37)

By (3.1),
\[ \|\nabla IF(u) - \nabla Iu F(u)\|_{L_t^{2\gamma+2} L_x^{2\frac{2\gamma+2}{2\gamma+2}}} \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_I^\nu Z_I^{1-\theta} + Z_I^{\nu-1}. \]  
(3.38)

Combining (3.35) – (3.38), we get
\[ |(3.23)| \lesssim N^{-(2-\gamma+\delta)} Z_I^2 (\mu^\theta Z_I^{1-\theta} + Z_I)^{2(\nu-1)}. \]  
(3.39)

Collecting (3.25), (3.27), (3.28), (3.29), (3.34), (3.39) and using (3.17), we prove (3.14). Note that our assumptions on \( \delta \) implies
\[ 2 - \gamma + \delta < \min \left\{ \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_c}{2} \nu + 2, (\nu - 2)(\gamma - \gamma_c) \right\} < \min \left\{ \frac{2 - \gamma_c}{2}, (\nu - 2)(2 - \gamma_c) \right\}. \]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. Global well-posedness and scattering

In this section, we shall give the proof of the global existence and scattering given in Theorem 1.1.

Global well-posedness. By the density argument, the proof of global well-posedness will be reduced to the following.

Proposition 4.1. Let \( 5 \leq d \leq 11 \) and \( \gamma(d, \nu) < \gamma < 2 \) with \( \gamma(d, \nu) \) be as in (1.5). Suppose that \( u \) is a global solution to (NL4S) with initial data \( u_0 \in C_0^\infty (\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then,
\[ \|u\|_{M^* (\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_0^2}), \]  
(4.1)
\[ \|u\|_{L_t^\infty (\mathbb{R}, H_0^2)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_0^2}). \]  
(4.2)
where \( \| \cdot \|_{M^*} \) is given in (2.15).
Proof. The proof of this result is based on the almost conservation law given in Proposition 3.3. To do so, we need the modified energy of initial data is small. Since $E(Iu_0)$ is not necessarily small, we use the scaling (1.2) to make $E(Iu_λ(0))$ small. We have

$$E(Iu_λ(0)) = \frac{1}{2} ||Iu_λ(0)||^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{ν} ||Iu_λ(0)||^{ν+1}_{L^{ν+1} \lambda}.$$  

(4.3)

By (2.10),

$$||Iu_λ(0)||^2_{H^γ} \lesssim N^{2-γ} ||u_λ(0)||_{H^γ} = N^{2-γ} λ^{γ-γ} ||u_0||_{H^γ}.$$  

(4.4)

By choosing

$$λ \approx N^\frac{2-γ}{ν γ}.$$  

(4.5)

we have $||Iu_λ(0)||_{H^γ} \leq \frac{1}{N}$. We next bound $||Iu_λ(0)||_{L^{ν+1}}$. Note that we can easily estimate this norm by the Sobolev embedding,

$$||Iu_λ(0)||_{L^{ν+1}} \lesssim ||u_λ(0)||_{L^{ν+1}} = λ^\frac{2-γ}{ν γ} ||u_0||_{L^{ν+1}} \lesssim N^\frac{(d-4)(ν-1)-8}{2(ν+1)} ||u_0||_{H^γ},$$  

provided that $γ ≥ \frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)}$. In order to remove this unexpected condition on $γ$, we use the technique of [CKSTT04] (see also [MWZ15]). We firstly separate the frequency space into the domains

$$Ω_1 := \{ ξ ∈ \mathbb{R}^d, |ξ| ≤ \frac{1}{λ} \}, \quad Ω_2 := \{ ξ ∈ \mathbb{R}^d, \frac{1}{λ} ≤ |ξ| ≤ N \}, \quad Ω_3 := \{ ξ ∈ \mathbb{R}^d, |ξ| ≥ N \},$$

and then write

$$[u_λ(0)](ξ) = (χ_1(ξ) + χ_2(ξ) + χ_3(ξ))[u_λ(0)](ξ),$$

for non-negative smooth functions $χ_j$ supported in $Ω_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ respectively and satisfying $\sum χ_j(ξ) = 1$. Thus

$$Iu_λ(0) = χ_1(D)Iu_λ(0) + χ_2(D)Iu_λ(0) + χ_3(D)Iu_λ(0).$$

We now use the Sobolev embedding to have

$$||χ_1(D)Iu_λ(0)||_{L^{ν+1}} \lesssim ||χ_1(D)Iu_λ(0)||_{L^2} \lesssim ||χ_1(D)I||_{L^2 → L^2} ||u_0||_{L^2}.$$  

Thanks to the support of $χ_1$, the functional calculus gives

$$||χ_1(D)I||_{L^2 → L^2} \lesssim ||χ_1(ξ)||_{L^\infty} \lesssim λ^{-d(ν-1)} ||χ_1(ξ)||_{L^\infty}^ν \lesssim λ^{-d(ν-1)} ||χ_1(ξ)||_{L^\infty}^ν,$$  

(4.6)

provided $0 < α < \frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)}$. Similarly,

$$||χ_3(D)Iu_λ(0)||_{L^{ν+1}} \lesssim ||χ_3(D)Iu_λ(0)||_{L^2} \lesssim ||χ_3(ξ)||_{L^\infty} \lesssim λ^{-d(ν-1)} ||χ_3(ξ)||_{L^\infty}^ν.$$  

A direct computation shows

$$||u_λ(0)||_{H^γ} = λ^{-γ} ||u_0||_{H^γ}. $$  

(4.7)

Using the support of $χ_3$, the functional calculus again gives

$$||χ_3(D)I||_{L^2 → L^2} \lesssim ||χ_3(ξ)||_{L^\infty} \lesssim N^\frac{(d-4)(ν-1)-8}{2(ν+1)}.$$  

(4.8)

To obtain this bound, we split into two cases.

When $\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)} ≥ γ$, we simply bound

$$||χ_3(ξ)||_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 \lesssim N^\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)}.$$  

(4.9)

When $\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)} < γ$, we use the bound

$$||χ_3(ξ)(N|ξ|^{-1})^{-2-γ}||_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 \lesssim N^\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)}.$$  

(4.10)

Finally, when $\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)} = γ$, we use the bound

$$||χ_3(ξ)(N|ξ|^{-1})^{-2-γ}||_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 \lesssim N^\frac{d(ν-1)}{2(ν+1)}.$$  

(4.11)
When \( \gamma > \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \), we write
\[
\|\xi^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma} \chi_3(\xi)(N|\xi|^{-1})^{2-\gamma}\|_{L^\infty_x} = N^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}(N|\xi|^{-1})^{\gamma-\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}} \chi_3(\xi)(N|\xi|^{-1})^{2-\gamma}\|_{L^\infty_x} \\
\lesssim N^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}.
\]
Combining (4.7) and (4.8), we get
\[
\|\chi_3(D) I u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^{\nu+1}_x} \lesssim N^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma} \lambda^{-\gamma} \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x}. \tag{4.9}
\]
We treat the intermediate case as
\[
\|\chi_2(D) I u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^{\nu+1}_x} \lesssim \|\nabla \chi_2(\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma)\|_{L^2_x} \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{H^\gamma_x}.
\]
We have
\[
\|\nabla \chi_2(\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma)\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\xi^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}\chi_2(\xi)\|_{L^{\infty}_x}.
\]
When \( \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \geq \gamma \), we bound
\[
\|\xi^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}\chi_2(\xi)\|_{L^{\infty}_x} \lesssim N^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}.
\]
When \( \gamma > \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \), we write
\[
\|\xi^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma}\chi_2(\xi)\|_{L^{\infty}_x} = \|\xi^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma-\beta}\xi^{\nu}(\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)})\|_{L^{\infty}_x} \lesssim \lambda^{\beta-\gamma-\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}}
\]
provided \( \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} - \gamma < \beta < \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \). These estimates together with (4.7) yield
\[
\|\chi_2(D) I u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^{\nu+1}_x} \lesssim \begin{cases} 
N^{\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}-\gamma} \lambda^{-\gamma} \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x} & \text{if } \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \geq \gamma; \\
\lambda^{-\gamma-\frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}} \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x} & \text{if } \gamma > \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)}.
\end{cases} \tag{4.10}
\]
Collecting (4.6), (4.9), (4.10) and use (4.5), we obtain
\[
\|I u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^{\nu+1}_x} \lesssim \left(\lambda^{-\alpha} + \lambda^\alpha \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \right) \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x}, \tag{4.11}
\]
for some \( 0 < \alpha < \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \) and \( \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} - \gamma < \beta < \frac{d(\nu-1)}{2(\nu+1)} \). Therefore, it follows from (4.4), (4.5) and (4.11) by taking \( \lambda \) sufficiently large depending on \( \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x} \) and \( N \) (which will be chosen later and depend only on \( \|u_0\|_{H^\gamma_x} \)) that
\[
E(I u_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{4}.
\]
We now show that there exists an absolute constant \( C \) such that
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^*(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{\nu(4-d)\gamma}{2(4-d+4\alpha)}} \tag{4.12}
\]
By undoing the scaling, using the fact that
\[
\|u_\lambda\|_{M^*(\mathbb{R})} = \lambda^{\frac{\nu(4-d)\gamma}{2(4-d+4\alpha)}} \|u\|_{M^*(\mathbb{R})},
\]
we get (4.1). We shall use the bootstrap argument to show (4.12). By time reversal symmetry, it suffices to treat the positive time only. To do so, we define
\[
\Omega_1 := \left\{ t \in [0, \infty) \ | \ \|u_\lambda\|_{M^*(\{0,t\})} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{\nu(4-d)\gamma}{2(4-d+4\alpha)}} \right\}.
\]
We want to show \( \Omega_1 = [0, \infty) \). Let
\[
\Omega_2 := \left\{ t \in [0, \infty) \ | \ \|u_\lambda\|_{M^*(\{0,t\})} \leq 2C \lambda^{\frac{\nu(4-d)\gamma}{2(4-d+4\alpha)}} \right\}.
\]
In order to run the bootstrap argument successfully, we need to verify four things:
1) $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$. This is obvious as $0 \in \Omega_1$.
2) $\Omega_1$ is closed. This follows from Fatou’s Lemma.
3) $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$.
4) If $T \in \Omega_1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $[T, T + \delta) \subset \Omega_2$. This is a consequence of the local well-posedness and 3).

It remains to prove 3). Fix $T \in \Omega_2$, we will show that $T \in \Omega_1$. We firstly use the interaction Morawetz inequality \eqref{interactionMorawetz} and the mass conservation to have

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} \lesssim \left( \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^\sigma_x)} \right) \left( \frac{d-\frac{d}{2}}{d-5} \right) \|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^\sigma_x)} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \frac{d-\frac{d}{2}}{d-5} \right) \|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^\sigma_x)} \|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^\sigma_x)} \right). \tag{4.13}$$

We now decompose $u_\lambda(t) := P_{\leq N} u_\lambda(t) + P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)$ to estimate the second and the third factor in the right hand side of \eqref{4.13}. For the low frequency part, we interpolate between the $L^2$-norm and $H^\sigma_x$-norm to have

$$\|P_{\leq N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \lesssim \|P_{\leq N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \lambda^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \tag{4.14}$$

$$\|P_{\leq N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \lesssim \|P_{\leq N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \lambda^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \tag{4.15}$$

Note that the $I$-operator is the identity on low frequency $|\xi| \leq N$. For high frequency part, we interpolate between the $L^2$-norm and $\dot{H}^\sigma_x$-norm and use \eqref{interpolation} to have

$$\|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma_x} \lesssim \|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{4} \right)} N^{\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \tag{4.16}$$

$$\|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma_x} \lesssim \|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \|P_{> N} u_\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma_x} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{4} \right)} N^{\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \tag{4.17}$$

Here we use the fact $0 < \gamma < 2$ to get \eqref{4.16} and \eqref{4.17}. Collecting \eqref{4.13} through \eqref{4.17}, we get

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s([0,T])} \lesssim C\left( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \lambda^{\gamma - \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{4} \right)} \sup_{[0,T]} \left( \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \right)^{\frac{d-\frac{d}{2}}{d-5}} \times \sup_{[0,T]} \left( \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \right)^{\frac{d-\frac{d}{2}}{d-5}}. \tag{4.18}$$

Thus, by taking $C$ sufficiently large depending on $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$, we get $T \in \Omega_1$, provided that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{H^\sigma_x} \lesssim 1. \tag{4.19}$$

We will prove that \eqref{4.19} holds for $T \in \Omega_2$. Indeed, let $\mu > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant given in Proposition 3.3. We divide $[0,T]$ into subintervals $J_k, k = 1, ..., L$ in such a way that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{M^s(J_k)} \leq \mu.$$
The number of possible subinterval must satisfy
\[ L \sim \left( \frac{\lambda^{\gamma + \frac{\omega (4-d)}{2(\gamma - 2)\sigma}}}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{d+4}{4}} \sim \lambda^{\frac{\gamma_c (d-5 + (8-d)\sigma)}{\sigma}}. \] (4.20)

We next apply Proposition 3.3 on each of the subintervals \( J_k \) to have
\[ \sup_{[0,T]} \| I u_\lambda(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} E(I u_\lambda(t)) \leq E(I u_\lambda(0)) + C(E(I u_\lambda(0))) N^{-2-\gamma+\delta} L. \]

Since \( E(I u_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \), we need
\[ N^{-2-\gamma+\delta} L \ll \frac{1}{4} \] (4.21)
in order to guarantee (4.19) holds. Combining (4.5), (4.20) and (4.21), we need to choose \( N \) depending on \( \| u_0 \|_{H^\gamma} \) such that
\[ N^{-2/(\gamma - \gamma_c)(d-5 + (8-d)\sigma)} \lesssim (2-\gamma+\delta) L \ll 1. \]

This is possible whenever \( \gamma \) is such that
\[ \gamma_c (2-\gamma)(d-5 + (8-d)\sigma) (\gamma - \gamma_c) = 2 - \gamma + \delta, \]
or
\[ \gamma_c (2-\gamma)(d-5 + (8-d)\sigma) < (2-\gamma + \delta) (\gamma - \gamma_c) \sigma. \] (4.22)

Since \( \delta < \min\{2\gamma - 3 - \frac{\omega}{2}, \gamma + \nu - 4, (\nu - 1)\gamma - 2 - (\nu - 2)\gamma_c \} \), we have \( \gamma > \gamma(d, \nu, \sigma) \), where \( \gamma(d, \nu, \sigma) \) is the (larger if there are two) root of the equation
\[ \gamma_c (2-\gamma)(d-5 + (8-d)\sigma) = \min\left\{ \gamma - 1 - \frac{\gamma_c}{2}, \nu - 2, (\nu - 2)(\gamma - \gamma_c) \right\} (\gamma - \gamma_c) \sigma. \]

This completes the bootstrap argument and (4.12) follows. Thus, (4.19) holds for all \( T \in \mathbb{R} \).

We now estimate \( \| u(T) \|_{H^\gamma} \). To do so, we use the conservation of mass, the scaling (1.2) and (2.9) to have
\[ \| u(T) \|_{H^\gamma} \lesssim \| u(T) \|_{L^2} + \| u(T) \|_{H^\gamma} \]
\[ \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \lambda^{\gamma - \gamma_c} \| u_\lambda(\lambda^4 T) \|_{H^\gamma} \]
\[ \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \lambda^{\gamma - \gamma_c} \| I u_\lambda(\lambda^4 T) \|_{H^\gamma} \]
\[ \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \lambda^{\gamma - \gamma_c} \left( \| u_\lambda(\lambda^4 T) \|_{L^2} + \| I u_\lambda(\lambda^4 T) \|_{H^\gamma} \right). \]

Using (4.19), we get for all \( T \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[ \| u(T) \|_{H^\gamma} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \lambda^{\gamma - \gamma_c} (\lambda^2 \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + 1) \leq C(\| u_0 \|_{H^\gamma}). \]

Here we use (4.5) with the fact that \( N \) is chosen sufficiently large depending only on \( \| u_0 \|_{H^\gamma} \). This proves (4.2) and the proof of Proposition 4.1 is complete.

**Scattering.** We firstly show that the global Morawetz estimate (4.1) can be upgraded to the global Strichartz estimate
\[ \| u \|_{S^\gamma(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{(p,q) \in B} \| \langle \nabla \rangle^\gamma u \|_{L^p_t(\mathbb{R}, L^q_x)} \leq C(\| u_0 \|_{H^\gamma}). \] (4.23)
We estimate for some provided that we need the following result. We firstly use Hölder’s inequality to have
\[ \|u\|_{L^\alpha(J_k)} \leq \|\nabla\|^\gamma u(t_k)\|_2 + \|F(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{2\gamma+4})} + \|\nabla^{\gamma-1} F(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{2\gamma+2})}. \]

We estimate for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[ \|\nabla^{\gamma-1} F(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{2\gamma+2})} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\gamma-1} u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{\nu}(2d(2\gamma+1)+\nu-1))} \|F'(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{d(2\gamma+1)/2})} \]
\[ \lesssim \|\nabla^{\gamma} u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{\nu}(2d(2\gamma+1)+\nu-1))} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})} \]
\[ \lesssim \|u\|_{S^{\gamma}(J_k)} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})}. \]

Similarly,
\[ \|F(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{d(2\gamma+1)/2})} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{\nu}(2d(2\gamma+1)+\nu-1))} \|F'(u)\|_{L^2(J_k, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})} \]
\[ \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{\nu}(2d(2\gamma+1)+\nu-1))} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})} \]
\[ \lesssim \|u\|_{S^{\gamma}(J_k)} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma+4}(J_k, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})}. \]

We now need the following result.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( d \geq 5, 0 < \sigma \leq \gamma < 2 \) be such that \( (d-4)^{2\sigma} < \gamma \) and \( \frac{2d}{d+4\sigma} < \nu - 1 < \frac{8}{d-2\gamma} \). Then there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small such that for any time interval \( J \),
\[ \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2}))} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2})} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+2)/2})} \]

where
\[ \alpha(\varepsilon) := \left(1 - \frac{d}{2\gamma}\right)(\nu-1) + 16\sigma + \varepsilon((d+4)\sigma - \gamma(d-5+4\sigma)) \]
\[ = \frac{2\gamma\sigma(2+\varepsilon)}{2\sigma(2+\varepsilon)} \]

Proof. We firstly use Hölder’s inequality to have
\[ \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2}))} \leq \|u\|_{M^\alpha(J)}^{\theta_1} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+2)/2})}^{\theta_2}, \]
provided that
\[ \frac{1-\theta_1}{q} = \frac{4(\varepsilon+8)\sigma - d\varepsilon(d-5+2\sigma)}{4d\sigma(\nu-1)(2+\varepsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_1 := \frac{\varepsilon(d-5+4\sigma)}{2(\nu-1)(2+\varepsilon)\sigma}. \]

Similarly,
\[ \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+1)/2}))} \leq \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+2)/2})} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(J, L^{d(\nu-1)(2\gamma+2)/2})}^{\theta_2}, \]

provided that
\[ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta_2}{2} + \frac{(1-\theta_2)(d-2\gamma)}{2d}. \]
Thus, by \((4.29)\) and \((4.30)\), a direct consequence gives

\[
\|u\|_{L^2_t(L^2_x)}^{\nu-1} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_t(L^2_x)}^{\frac{\nu(2d+5+4\epsilon)}{2(2d+5+4\epsilon)}} \|u\|_{M^\gamma(J)}^{\alpha(\epsilon)} \|u\|_{L^2_t(J,L^2_x)}^{\beta(\epsilon)},
\]

where

\[
\alpha(\epsilon) := \theta_2(1-\theta_1)(\nu - 1) = \left(1 - \frac{d}{2\gamma}\right)(\nu - 1) + \frac{16\sigma + \epsilon((d + 4)\sigma - \gamma(d - 5 + 4\sigma))}{2\gamma\sigma(2 + \epsilon)},
\]

\[
\beta(\epsilon) := (1 - \theta_2)(1-\theta_1)(\nu - 1) = \frac{d}{\gamma}(\nu - 1) - \frac{16 + \epsilon(d + 4)}{2d(2 + \epsilon)}.
\]

In order to perform the above estimates, we need \(\alpha(\epsilon) > 0\) and \(\beta(\epsilon) > 0\). We note that \(\epsilon \mapsto \alpha(\epsilon)\) and \(\epsilon \mapsto \beta(\epsilon)\) are decreasing functions provided that \(\gamma > \frac{(d - 4)\sigma}{d - 5 + 4\sigma}\). Moreover, since

\[
\alpha(\epsilon) \to \left(1 - \frac{d}{2\gamma}\right)(\nu - 1) + \frac{4}{\gamma}, \quad \beta(\epsilon) \to \frac{\nu - 1}{2} - \frac{4}{d} \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0.
\]

As \(\frac{8}{d} < \nu - 1 < \frac{8}{d - 2\gamma}\), the two limits are positive. Thus by taking \(\epsilon > 0\) small enough, we have \(\alpha(\epsilon) > 0\) and \(\beta(\epsilon) > 0\). The proof is complete. \(\square\)

**Remark 4.3.** It is easy to see that the function \(\sigma \in (0,\gamma) \mapsto \frac{(d - 4)\sigma}{d - 5 + 4\sigma}\) is increasing and attains its maximal value at \(\sigma = \gamma\). In this case, the condition \(\frac{(d - 4)\sigma}{d - 5 + 4\sigma} < \gamma\) becomes \(\gamma > \frac{4}{3}\) which is always satisfied in our consideration.

We now continue the proof of scattering property. By \((4.25)\), \((4.26)\), \((4.27)\) and Lemma 4.2, we have

\[
\|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \lesssim \|\nabla\|^\gamma u(t_k)\|_{L^2_x} + \|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \|u\|_{M^\gamma(J_k)}^{\frac{\epsilon(d - 5 + 4\epsilon)}{2(2d + 5 + 4\epsilon)}} \|u\|_{L^2_t(J_k,L^2_x)}^{\alpha(\epsilon)} \|u\|_{L^2_t(J_k,H^2_x)}^{\beta(\epsilon)}
\]

\[
\lesssim \|\nabla\|^\gamma u(t_k)\|_{L^2_x} + \|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \|u\|_{M^\gamma(J_k)} \|u\|_{L^2_t(J_k,H^2_x)}^{\alpha(\epsilon) + \beta(\epsilon)}.
\]

This shows that

\[
\|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \lesssim \|\nabla\|^\gamma u(t_k)\|_{L^2_x} + \|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \|u\|_{M^\gamma(J_k)}^{\frac{\epsilon(d - 5 + 4\epsilon)}{2(2d + 5 + 4\epsilon)}} C(\|u\|_{H^2_x}).
\]

By taking \(\delta > 0\) small enough, we get

\[
\|u\|_{S^\gamma(J_k)} \lesssim \|\nabla\|^\gamma u(t_k)\|_{L^2_x} \leq C(\|u\|_{H^2_x}).
\]

This proves \((4.23)\).

We next use the global Strichartz bound \((4.23)\) to prove the scattering property, i.e. there exist unique \(u_0 \in H^2_x\) such that

\[
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2} u_0\|_{H^2_x} = 0.
\]

By the time reversal symmetry, it is enough to treat the positive time only. We will show that \(e^{-it\Delta^2} u(t)\) has limits in \(H^2_x\) as \(t \to +\infty\). By Duhamel formula,

\[
e^{-it\Delta^2} u(t) = u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta^2} F(u(s))ds.
\]

For \(0 < t_1 < t_2\), we have

\[
e^{-it_2\Delta^2} u(t_2) - e^{-it_1\Delta^2} u(t_1) = i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-is\Delta^2} F(u(s))ds.
\]
By Strichartz estimates (2.4), (2.5) and estimating as in (4.31),
\[
\|e^{-it_2\Delta^2}u(t_2) - e^{-it_1\Delta^2}u(t_1)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-is\Delta^2} F(u(s)) ds \right\|_{H_x^s} \\
\lesssim \|F(u)\|_{L_t^2([t_1,t_2],L_x^\infty)} + \left\| \|\nabla\|^{\alpha-1} F(u)\|_{L_t^\infty([t_1,t_2],L_x^{2d})} \right\| \\
\lesssim \|u\|_{S^\gamma([t_1,t_2])} \left\| \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{4d-2\gamma}{d-2\gamma}}\|\nabla\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{d-2\gamma}} F(u)\|_{L_t^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma}}([t_1,t_2],H_x^s)} \right\| \\
\lesssim \|u\|_{S^\gamma([t_1,t_2])} \left\| \|u\|_{M^\gamma([t_1,t_2])} \right\|.
\]
This implies that \( \|e^{-it_2\Delta^2}u(t_2) - e^{-it_1\Delta^2}u(t_1)\|_{H_x^s} \to 0 \) as \( t_1, t_2 \to +\infty \). Hence the limit
\[
u_0^+ := \lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{-it\Delta^2}u(t)
\]
exists in \( H_x^s \). Moreover,
\[
u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\nu_0^+ = -i \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta^2} F(u(s)) ds.
\]
A same argument as above shows that
\[
u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\nu_0^+ \|_{H_x^s} \to 0
\]
as \( t \to +\infty \). The proof is now complete.
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