Molecular identification and subtyping of *Blastocystis* sp. in laboratory rats in China
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**Abstract** – *Blastocystis* sp. is a ubiquitous protist that has been frequently reported in humans and animals worldwide. A total of 355 fecal samples of experimental rats were collected from four laboratory rearing facilities in China, and *Blastocystis* sp. was detected by PCR amplification of the partial small subunit ribosomal (SSU) rRNA gene. Twenty-nine (8.2%, 29/355) samples were positive for *Blastocystis* sp., with the highest infection rate (20.7%, 24/116) in rats of the Zhengzhou1, followed by that in the Zhengzhou2 (5.0%, 2/40), Shenyang (3.0%, 3/100) and Wuhan (0) rearing facilities. Among the three rat strains, Sprague–Dawley (SD) rats had higher infection rates (11.3%, 17/151) compared to Wistar rats (8.7%, 9/104) and spontaneously hypertensive (SH) rats (3.0%, 3/100). Two *Blastocystis* sp. subtypes (ST4 and ST7) were identified. ST4 was the predominant subtype detected in 26 samples (89.7%). A phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that the sequences of ST4 and ST7 obtained in this study were clustered with their reference subtypes. To our knowledge, this is the first report of *Blastocystis* sp. in experimental rats in China. Pathogen infections in laboratory animals need to be monitored due to fecal-oral transmission.
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**Résumé** – Identification moléculaire et sous-typage de *Blastocystis* sp. chez des rats de laboratoire en Chine. *Blastocystis* sp. est un protozoaire omniprésent qui a été fréquemment signalé chez l’homme et les animaux du monde entier. Un total de 355 échantillons fécaux de rats de laboratoire ont été prélevés dans quatre installations d’élevage en Chine et *Blastocystis* sp. a été détectée par amplification par PCR du gène de l’ARNr ribosomique (SSU). Vingt-neuf (8,2 %, 29/355) échantillons étaient positifs pour *Blastocystis* sp., avec le taux d’infection le plus élevé (20,7 %, 24/116) chez les rats des élevages de Zhengzhou1, suivi de ceux de Zhengzhou2 (5,0 %, 2/40), Shenyang (3,0 %, 3/100) et Wuhan (0). Parmi les trois souches de rats, les rats Sprague-Dawley (SD) avaient le taux d’infection plus élevé (11,3 %, 17/151) que les rats Wistar (8,7 %, 9/104) et les rats spontanément hypertendus (SH) (3,0 %, 3/100). Deux sous-types de *Blastocystis* sp. ont été identifiés, ST4 et ST7. ST4 était le sous-type prédominant, détecté dans 26 échantillons (89,7 %). Une analyse phylogénétique a démontré que les séquences de ST4 et ST7 obtenues dans cette étude étaient groupées avec leurs sous-types de référence. À notre connaissance, il s’agit du premier signalé de *Blastocystis* sp. chez des rats de laboratoire en Chine. Les infections pathogènes chez les animaux de laboratoire doivent être surveillées en raison de la transmission fécale-orale.

**Introduction**

*Blastocystis* sp. is a prevalent protist that has been frequently reported in humans and animals worldwide [20]. Molecular phylogenetic analysis based on small subunit (SSU) rDNA sequences demonstrated that *Blastocystis* is placed within the Stramenopiles [11]. Genetic heterogeneity of the SSU rRNA gene reveals 17 recognized subtypes (ST1–ST17) of *Blastocystis* sp.; humans can host ST1–ST9 and 12, and more than 90% of human *Blastocystis* strains belong to ST1–ST4 [22]. Experimental rats are a variant of the brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) that has been used as an animal experimental model through artificial rearing in the laboratory since the 1850s [7]. Nowadays, experimental rats are widely used in biomedical research because of their strong fecundity, clear and consistent genetic characteristics, and similar experimental
reaction conditions [8]. Infections with additional pathogens, such as unrelated parasites, can be a persistent constraint for animal breeding and experimentation due to developmental interference, which may impact the progress of biomedical research [10, 19]. *Blastocystis* sp. infect the intestinal lamina propria, resulting in mucus membrane sloughing and inflammatory cell infiltration in the laboratory rats [5], which might have a strong negative impact on the results of intestinal developmental biology experiments.

To date, except for a few data on *Blastocystis* sp. infections in wild rodents [6, 15], there is a knowledge gap concerning this infection and subtype identification in laboratory rats. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of *Blastocystis* sp. and the subtype distributions in laboratory rats in China.

### Materials and methods

#### Ethics statement

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Committee on Animal Care and Use in Research (ICACUR) of Henan University of Chinese Medicine (License no.: DWLL201906003). Appropriate permission was obtained and the collection protocol was reviewed and approved by the head of each rearing facility before fecal sample collection from the laboratory rats.

#### Animal housing and sample collection

The study was conducted in four experimental rat rearing facilities in China (two in Zhengzhou City, one in Shenyang City, and one in Wuhan City) in July and August 2019. All the four facilities had been rearing laboratory rats for more than five years, with an overall production of 1000–3000 rats per year (2019 data). The rats were reared in a strict management regime in the rearing facilities and had no opportunity for contact with the wild rats. The experimental rats were first introduced to the rearing facilities from other universities (facilities) and/or from animal dealers with laboratory animal quality certification.

The experimental rats were housed in a cage with 1–3 rats, and all deposits from each cage were pooled as one sample, as previously described [9]. A total of 355 fresh fecal samples were collected from the experimental rats, including 104 from Wistar rats, 151 from Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, and 100 from spontaneously hypertensive (SH) rats. All the studied rats were adult females that showed no obvious signs of diarrhea during sampling. Approximately 3 g of each fecal sample were collected in a sterile plastic zippered bag, marked with relevant information, and shipped to the laboratory under cool conditions. To avoid contamination, each sample was collected with separate sterile disposable hand gloves. The fecal samples were stored at 4 °C, and DNA was extracted within 72 h.

### DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA from each fecal sample (approximate 200 mg) was extracted with an E.Z.N.A.® Stool DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek Inc., Norcross, Georgia, USA), according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. The extracted DNA was stored at −20 °C until PCR amplification.

### PCR amplification and sequence analysis

*Blastocystis* sp. was detected by using the following primers: forward F505–532 (5’ – GGA GGT AGT GAC AAT AAA TC – 3’) and reverse R998–1017 (5’ – TGC TTT CCG ACT TGT TCA TC – 3’) that amplified a 479 bp variable region of the SSU rRNA gene of the parasite [18]. 2× EasyTaq PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech Co., Beijing, China) was used for the PCR amplification, with DNA from human-derived genotype ST1 as the positive control and distilled water without any DNA as the negative control. The positive PCR amplicons were transfer to a commercial sequencing company (GENEWIZ, Suzhou, China), and the sequence accuracy was confirmed with bidirectional sequencing.

### Blastocystis sp. sequences and phylogenetic analysis

The obtained sequences were aligned by BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) to determine the *Blastocystis* sp. positive sequences. The *Blastocystis* sp. subtypes were identified by an online platform:

#### Table 1. Occurrence and genotypic distributions of Blastocystis sp. in laboratory rats in China.

| Parameters         | Number of samples tested | Positive samples (%) | Blastocystis sp. subtype (no. of isolates) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Rearing facilities |                          |                      |                                         |
| Zhengzhou1         | 116                      | 24 (20.7%)           | ST4 (23); ST7 (1)                       |
| Zhengzhou2         | 40                       | 2 (5.0%)             | ST4 (2)                                 |
| Shenyang           | 100                      | 3 (3.0%)             | ST4 (1); ST7 (2)                        |
| Wuhan              | 99                       | 0                    |                                         |
| Rat breeds         |                          |                      |                                         |
| Wistar rats        | 104                      | 9 (8.7%)             | ST4 (9)                                 |
| SD rats            | 151                      | 17 (11.3%)           | ST4 (16); ST7 (1)                       |
| SH rats            | 100                      | 3 (3.0%)             | ST4 (1); ST7 (2)                        |
| Total              | 355                      | 29 (8.2%)            | ST4 (26); ST7 (3)                       |

Note: SD: Sprague Dawley; SH: Spontaneously hypertensive.
Blastocystis locus/sequence definitions database (https://pubmlst.org/blastocystis_seqdef). The subtypes of Blastocystis sp. obtained from this study were compared with the known subtypes with maximum likelihood (ML) and neighbor-joining (NJ) analyses with aligning by Muscle in the Mega 7 program (http://www.megasoftware.net). A bootstrap method was used to assess the robustness of the clusters using 1000 replicates.

The representative Blastocystis sp. nucleotide sequences identified in rats were submitted to GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology Information under accession numbers: MT071884–MT071888.

Results and discussion

Blastocystis sp. was first identified in laboratory rats (Rattus norvegicus) in Singapore in 1997 [1]. In the present study, PCR examination of 355 fecal samples collected from experimental rats indicated that 8.2% of samples (29/355) were positive for Blastocystis sp., which is higher than that in house rats (6.1%, 6/98) in East Java (Indonesia) [13], as well as in captive brown rats (3.7%, 4/108) in northeastern China [24]. Rats from three rearing facilities out of four were positive for Blastocystis sp., with Zhengzhou1 having the highest infection rate (20.7%, 24/116), followed by Zhengzhou2 (5.0%, 2/40) and Shenyang (3.0%, 3/100), and no positive sample was detected in the Wuhan facility. Among the three rat strains, the highest infection rate of 11.3% (17/151) was found in SD rats, followed by Wistar rats (8.7%, 9/104) and SH rats (3.0%, 3/100) (Table 1). A previous study reported that Blastocystis cysts are transmitted by the fecal-oral route [25]. Water or food contamination with the cysts might lead to higher infection rates in intensive rearing conditions. Thus, the high occurrence of Blastocystis sp. in the experimental rats in this study implies poor hygiene management of these animals in the three rearing facilities. However, the absence of Blastocystis infection in the Wuhan facility might be explained by the implementation of strict hygiene practices in the management of rats that could possibly curtail the transmission of the parasitic cysts.

Two Blastocystis sp. subtypes (ST4 and ST7) were identified in the present study, with ST4 being the predominant subtype (89.7%, 26/29). Two kinds of ST4 sequences (ST4a and ST4b) were identified, with three nucleotide substitutions in the ST4b sequence at the 189 (A→G), 211 (G→A), and 352 (A→T) positions compared to the ST4a sequence. The ST4a sequence (n = 25) showed 100% homology with an isolate from a human sample in the United States (JN682513), and isolates from rats in Indonesia (MH127480), Japan (MH127497), and Norway (AB071000). The ST4b sequence (n = 1) was identical to the isolates obtained from humans in Japan (AY244621) and Germany (AY244620), and an isolate from Wistar rats in France (AY590114). On the other hand, the ST7 sequences were of three types, such as ST7a, ST7b, and ST7c. The ST7a sequence was 94.7% homologous to an isolate from chickens in India (MG720557). Both the ST7b and ST7c sequences were 98.9% similar to an isolate from the swan goose (Anser cygnoides) in Japan (AB107973).

![Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of the Blastocystis sp. subtypes identified in the present study and other reference subtypes. The phylogeny was inferred with both neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum likelihood (ML) analysis. Evolutionary distances were computed using the maximum composite likelihood method with rate variation among sites modeled using a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 0.5). The percentage of trees that clustered together based on a bootstrap test (1000 replicates) and posterior probabilities (expressed as a percentage) are shown beside the branch nodes. An asterisk indicates a value of less than 50%; if both analyses produced values lower than 50%, no values are shown for that node. The genotypes detected in present study in bold are shown with filled triangles.](https://example.com/figure1.png)

Previous data have shown that Blastocystis sp. subtypes ST1, ST2, ST3, ST4, and ST7 infect rats experimentally [4, 17]. However, a molecular survey identified subtype ST4
as the only subtype in wild rodents (Rattus norvegicus and R. exulans) in Indonesia (n = 12) and Japan (n = 11) [6], and the Brown rat (Mus musculus) in Heilongjiang (n = 4), China [24]. In another study, subtype ST2 (n = 3) was the only subtype in rodents (Rattus rattus) in Colombia [15]. To sum up, among the subtypes of Blastocystis sp. infecting rats, subtype ST4 was the most prevalent. Besides in rodents, subtype ST4 is one of the most common subtypes found in humans [14]. Similarly, subtype ST4 has also been reported in non-human primates, giraffes, kangaroos, dogs, snow leopards, and ostriches [2, 15, 16, 21, 23]. A study by Iguchi et al. [5] demonstrated pathogenicity of subtype ST4 in rats experimentally colonized by Blastocystis from reservoir rats. However, ST4 is relatively common in the gut of healthy individuals in Europe, suggesting the subtype as a component of healthy gut microbiome [15]. It is reported that subtype ST7 commonly infects rats [4], birds, [24], and humans [3]. A few previous studies have attempted to infer the pathogenicity of subtype ST7 in some hosts. In such a study, subtype ST7 had been shown to decrease the levels of diversity and richness of beneficial gut bacteria, such as Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, of the host individuals [22]. In another study, proteases released in culture supernatants of subtype ST7 were shown to be able to cleave human-secreted immunoglobulin A, and thus affected the immune response of the host [12]. However, in this study, no clinical symptoms were observed in the rats infected with subtype ST7.

Phylogenetic analysis using five representative sequences and 54 reference sequences of Blastocystis sp. demonstrated that the sequences of subtypes ST4 and ST7 obtained in the present study clustered with their reference subtypes (Fig. 1). Based on the constructed tree, the sequences of ST4a and ST4b clustered with each other with high bootstrap support. Meanwhile, the sequences of ST7a, ST7b, and ST7c clustered within the reference sequences of ST7.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first report of Blastocystis sp. in laboratory rats in China. The results of this study showed that 8.2% of the rats were infected with either subtype ST4 or ST7 of Blastocystis sp., which might interfere with biological experiments in these rats. Therefore, parasitic infection in laboratory rats should be monitored on a regular basis.
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