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ABSTRACT

We present a photometric analysis of the galaxy cluster Abell 1763 at visible and infrared wavelengths. Included are fully reduced images in r′, J, H, and Ks obtained using the Palomar 200in telescope, as well as the IRAC and MIPS images from Spitzer. The cluster is covered out to approximately 3 virial radii with deep 24 μm imaging (a 5σ depth of 0.2 mJy). This same field of ~40′ × 40′ is covered in all four IRAC bands as well as the longer wavelength MIPS bands (70 and 160 μm). The r′ imaging covers ~0.8 deg2 down to 25.5 mag, and overlaps with most of the MIPS field of view. The J, H, and Ks images cover the cluster core and roughly half of the filament galaxies, which extend toward the neighboring cluster, Abell 1770. This first, in a series of papers on Abell 1763, discusses the data reduction methods and source extraction techniques used for each data set. We present catalogs of infrared sources (with 24 and/or 70 μm emission) and their corresponding emission in the optical (u′, g′, r′, i′, z′), and near- to far-IR (J, H, Ks, IRAC, and MIPS 160 μm). We provide the catalogs and reduced images to the community through the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Whereas the local cluster environment is known to be harsh and generally unfavorable to star-forming processes (Abraham et al. 1996; van Dokkum et al. 1998; Balogh et al. 1999), the observational evidence for increased activity in lower density environments is plentiful, at least in the local universe (Dressler 1980; Dressler et al. 1997; Gómez et al. 2003). As one looks across the diameter of a galaxy cluster, more often the star-forming galaxies are found in the field rather than at the cluster center, except particular cases such as interacting galaxies and BCGs at the centers of cool cores (Edwards et al. 2007, and references therein). Recent studies emphasize that this higher fraction of star-forming galaxies occurs at intermediate galaxy density regions too, such as in groups and along filaments (Balogh et al. 2004; Gallazzi et al. 2009).

In dusty galaxies, the ultraviolet light emitted by young stars is reprocessed to longer wavelengths, and the infrared (IR) emission provides a direct window onto activity heavily obscured in the optical. Our Spitzer observations of the galaxy cluster Abell 1763 were the first to directly detect galaxies along a cluster filament using 24 μm observations (Fadda et al. 2008). With >1000 optical spectra, and 24 μm images from the Spitzer Space Telescope, this letter reported the discovery of galaxies extending out to ~12 Mpc from Abell 1763 to the neighboring cluster Abell 1770. More importantly, the fraction of star-forming galaxies as a function of location within the superstructure was measured. It was found that the fraction of star-forming galaxies is twice as high in the filaments than in any other region. Gallazzi et al. (2009) has found increased yet obscured star formation activity among galaxies in intermediate density environments in the A901/A902 supercluster, presumably caused by galaxy–galaxy interactions. Together with optical observations (Braglia et al. 2007; Porter & Raychaudhury 2007; Porter et al. 2008), these studies show an enhanced fraction of star-forming galaxies, and an increased specific star formation rate, among galaxies in the intermediate density environments surrounding clusters.

The discoveries outlined above support the results of cosmological simulations which build clusters through the streaming of galaxies into higher density environments via filaments (van Haarlem & van de Weygaert 1993). Although the lower activity of cluster galaxies may simply be a manifestation of one form of downsizing (Treu et al. 2005), several mechanisms by which galaxies can, at least temporarily, undergo an enhancement of star formation are plausible in low and intermediate density environments. For example, gas in infalling galaxies can be compressed via ram pressure with the intracluster medium, before it is stripped from the galaxy (Gunn & Gott 1972; Gavazzi & Jaffe 1985). Also, gravitational interactions between filament galaxies should be frequent as the velocity dispersion of the galaxies in pairs and groups is low enough that mergers (or harassment) can upset the stability of the galaxy’s gas. Gas may then funnel toward the center of the galaxy triggering a star formation episode (Mihos & Hernquist 1994; Moran et al. 2005). For this reason that it is important to study wide fields out past the cluster virial radius. Such a study allows examination of the outskirts and large-scale structure, as well as comparison to the higher galaxy density environment of the cluster core.

With this in mind, our approach is to study individual clusters in detail, as they are insensitive to any cosmological evolution (such as a sample taken within several clusters of different masses or redshifts). They present a large number of galaxies in a wide range of galaxy density along the galaxy filaments typically 2–8 pc, where pc is the critical density of the universe, (Colberg et al. 2005) to the cluster cores (>200 pc). Abell 1763, a rich galaxy cluster at a redshift of z ~ 0.2 makes up part of the superstructure which includes a neighboring poor cluster, Abell 1770 at a similar redshift, and the galaxy filament which connects the two.

This is the first in a series of papers which present an in depth study of Abell 1763. As announced in the letter from Fadda...
et al. (2008), this is a local cluster in which filament galaxies are clearly more active than galaxies in any other region of the cluster. In this first paper, we present two photometric catalogs. The first is that of 24 \( \mu \)m infrared-selected sources and their optical magnitudes. We obtain the optical associations from our own deep Large Format Camera (LFC) \( \rho' \) imaging as well as from the Seventh Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (hereafter, SDSS; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008), the near-IR (\( JHK_s \)) magnitudes we obtain from the Wide Field Infrared Camera (WIRC) on the Palomar 200in telescope, and the mid- to far-IR fluxes (IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0 \( \mu \)m, and MIPS 24, 70, and 160 \( \mu \)m) from Spitzer. The second is a catalog of 70 \( \mu \)m sources that are outside of the field of view (FOV) of the 24 \( \mu \)m image. This second catalog extends the FOV by about \( \sim 30\% \) of what was considered in the letter. Both catalogs are based on Spitzer images, which have been completely reduced. We describe our improved data reduction methods in detail in the following section. We also describe the spectroscopic follow-up observations of the infrared sources, and the estimates of star formation rates and stellar masses. At the time of this publication, we are examining UV (Galaxy Evolution Explorer, GALEX) and radio (Very Large Array, VLA) data which we will use in the future to further investigate the total star formation rates.

2. SPITZER OBSERVATIONS

We imaged the Abell 1763 cluster in all the IRAC and MIPS channels. The different observations are summarized in Table 1 and the coverage of the observations at each wavelength is displayed in Figure 1. For the Spitzer fluxes, the depth measurements are calculated from the average value of the rms image (calculated as described in Section 5) and scaled by five. For the WIRC and LFC depths, we use the magnitude at which the number counts begin to drop. The FWHM for the Spitzer observations is quoted from the Spitzer Data Handbook, version 8.0.4 and measured across bright stars in the frames for the WIRC and LFC observations.

| INST | \( \lambda_{\text{int}} \) (\( \mu \)m) | ID | Date | Time (minutes) | Coverage (\( \text{\prime}^2 \)) | Depth | FWHM (\( \text{\prime} \)) |
|------|--------------------------------|----|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| IRAC | 3.6                         | r14790144 | 2005 Jun 13 | 76.8          | 1600            | 2.2 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 1.66  |
| IRAC | 4.5                         | r14790144 | 2005 Jun 13 | 76.8          | 1600            | 4.6 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 1.72  |
| IRAC | 5.8                         | r14790144 | 2005 Jun 13 | 76.8          | 1600            | 4.5 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 1.88  |
| IRAC | 8.0                         | r14790144 | 2005 Jun 13 | 76.8          | 1600            | 4.3 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 1.98  |
| MIPS | 24                          | r14790912 | 2005 Jun 28 | 171.0         | 2200            | 0.2 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 24                          | r14790912 | 2005 Jun 28 | 171.0         | 2200            | 0.2 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 24                          | r14790912 | 2005 Jun 28 | 171.0         | 2200            | 0.2 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 70                          | r14791168 | 2005 Jun 25 | 171.0         | 2200            | 6.1 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 70                          | r14791168 | 2005 Jun 25 | 171.0         | 2200            | 6.1 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 160                         | r14791424 | 2005 Jun 25 | 171.0         | 2000            | 85.1 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| MIPS | 160                         | r14791424 | 2005 Jun 25 | 171.0         | 2000            | 85.1 \( \mu \)Jy 5\( \sigma \) | 5.9   |
| WIRC | 1.250                       | ...      | 2007 Mar 26 | 78.0          | 1330            | 19.5 \( \text{mag} \)Vega | 1.3   |
| WIRC | 1.635                       | ...      | 2007 Mar 26 | 39.3          | 1330            | 19.3 \( \text{mag} \)Vega | 1.2   |
| WIRC | 2.150                       | ...      | 2007 Mar 26 | 22.2          | 1330            | 19.0 \( \text{mag} \)Vega | 1.4   |
| LFC \( \rho' \) | 0.6255                     | ...      | 2004 Apr 20 | 2.5           | 2880            | 25.5 \( \text{mag} \)AB | 1.3   |

Figure 1. Coverage of IR and optical data. Filled dots indicate spectroscopically confirmed members of the A1763-A1770 supercluster. The circles centered on the clusters have a radius of 1.5 Mpc. The solid gray line marks the position of the LFC \( \rho' \) mosaic, the dashed polygon marks the WIRC mosaic. The shaded diamonds show the IRAC fields. The 3.6 and 5.8 \( \mu \)m data are outlined with a dotted line, and the 4.5 and 8.0 \( \mu \)m data are outlined with a gray solid line. The large thick solid rectangle marks the 24 \( \mu \)m data, the large dot-dashed rectangle marks the 70 \( \mu \)m data, and the large thin solid rectangle marks the 160 \( \mu \)m observations.

As we do not, in general, use the available pipeline final data products or processing software, we describe our reduction technique in detail in the following section. We also describe the methods used for source extraction and photometry.

2.1. IRAC Data Reduction

Basic calibrated data sets (BCDs) were downloaded from the Spitzer archive. The BCDs used are the files for which basic data reduction steps, such as dark subtraction, muxbleed, detector linearization, flat fielding, and cosmic ray detection have been completed from within the Spitzer pipeline (version S14.0.0). We further treat the BCDs with our own artifact correction codes, significantly reducing several systematic and cosmetic effects. For the four IRAC bands, this includes corrections for the column pulldown, jailbars, zodiacal light, subtraction of bright stars and of the background level, as well as a treatment...
is removed from each of the BCDs which are then mosaicked for the background droop near bright stars and glitches caused by cosmic ray hits. Before extracting the sources, stray light is removed from each of the BCDs which are then mosaicked together. The only alteration to this sequence is that for IRAC 5.8 and 8.0 $\mu$m, we include a correction for the superflat to remove the gradient inside each BCD before subtracting the bright stars.

### 2.1.1. The Column Pulldown

The column pulldown is an artifact that originates from very bright sources (stars, galaxies, and cosmic ray hits) and causes a dip in the flux in the one or two columns that the bright source occupies. It is well illustrated in the first two panels of Figure 2. Our column pulldown correction works by first masking sources in the field that are brighter than the biweight mean (Beers et al. 1990) value by $5\sigma$. We then recalculate the biweight mean for each column resulting in a set of 255 mean values for each BCD. The result is passed through a wavelength filter which excludes points that lie outside $8\sigma$ of the mean. This disregards the small column to column variations and keeps only the columns where huge dips in flux occur (the columns which have been pulled down by at least $8\sigma$). We identify the column with the lowest average value and add to its pixel values, as well as those in the surrounding two columns, the difference between it and the local average of the bright-source-masked image. If there is more than one pulldown column, and if they are adjacent to each other, we apply the correction using the pixels bordering the outermost pulldown column. The portions of the column above the bright source and below the bright source have different column pulldown intensities, therefore we do the correct separately for these two regions. The maximum value of the pulldown column which separates the two regions, is taken to be the position of the star. As we identify the pulldown by the effect of the column, we will by definition catch all pulldown columns that are greater than $8\sigma$ of the BCD background. It is possible smaller pulldown effects are missed. However, the pulldown is known to occur only from very bright stars or cosmic rays ($>35,000$ DN). The final panel of Figure 2 includes our column pulldown correction. It is a particularly bad case with multiple pulldown columns beside each other. Although the final panel does indeed show some residual effect, the result is a major improvement compared to the BCD pipeline.

The second obvious and widespread cosmetic defect appearing in these images is the jailbars effect, also appearing near bright sources. The effect is a repeat of excessively bright and dark columns occurring every fourth column. It most noticeably manifests itself in the row of the bright source as bright dots emanating from the source. The first panel of Figure 2 shows the pattern across the entirety of the BCD. It is particularly important to remove this effect before building source catalogs, as these dots can easily be mistaken for real sources by the automatic source detection algorithms.

The jailbar correction is performed on to each BCD individually, as was the case for the pulldown correction. This effect is not as localized as the above column pulldown effect, so it becomes important to disregard the diffuse light from large galaxies that permeates throughout many of the BCDs. We start by masking the strong sources and setting their value to the median value of the image, we also give the median value to the image edges. What remains is the diffuse light with the strong sources masked to the median value. We subtract this from the original BCD leaving only the bright sources—the diffuse light is subtracted. The jailbar effect occurs every fourth column, so the BCD is split into four sets of columns. For each of these, a median column profile is computed and then filtered through a wavelength algorithm. The smoothed profiles containing the jailbar pattern are then subtracted from the four sets of columns. Finally, we add back the median value and the diffuse light. The second panel of Figure 2 shows how the BCD pipeline correction does a good job in the bottom half of the frame, and how our method removes most of the jailbars.

### 2.1.2. The Jailbars

Our FOV is large enough that several bright stars are found in the images. These bright stars do more than just enhance the jailbar and column pulldown effects. They also cause a distortion in the background and raise the overall average background level of the image.

To remove the stars themselves, we download the point response function (PRF) files that are available on the Spitzer homepage, one for each IRAC band. The model PRFs have a resolution five times that of the BCDs; so first, we degrade the quality of the PRFs. There are four bright stars (with $V < 11.3$) that cause distortion. We subtract the degraded model PRFs from the position of the bright stars and re-run the column pulldown corrections targeting the jailbar and column pulldown defects.
at the position of the stars on the corrected image, as well as a column pullup, to account for the bright columns around the stars.

We remove the background of each BCD. First bright sources (>5σ) and the diffuse light are isolated and given a median value. We then median-filter (width = 10) the BCD. We take the background value to be the minimum of the “smoothed” BCD, and subtract this value from the unmasked original.

There are now two more effects from the bright stars and cosmic rays that we can remove. The first is to correct for the droop. This a small variation in the zero-level background in frames where stars appear. Figure 3 shows the profile of the negative offset in the flux of the rows along one frame with a star. Adjacent to the star, the background level drops (or droops) before ramping up to the level of the mean. We use wavelength filtering to find the location and level of the sharp discontinuity caused by the offending bright source. The resulting filtered profile is subtracted from the image. Additional noise caused by subtracting this imperfectly smooth profile is less than the rms level of the BCD. Finally, the overall background level for the BCDs in which the bright stars are located are artificially higher than the other dithers. Therefore, we replace this high background. We calculate the image median, subtract it and add in the average median value of the frames within 7.5 and for which the star does not appear. Figure 4 shows that the correction removes the effect.

The estimates for the contribution from zodiacal light (Kelsall et al. 1998) and the interstellar matter (ISM; Schlegel et al. 1998) are found in the BCD image header. Both are subtracted from each BCD.

2.1.4. Superflat

For IRAC 5.8 and 8.0 μm, there is a gradient within each individual BCD for which the background level varies smoothly across the frame. To remove this, we construct a superflat field. We divide each BCD by its median value, and then construct the superflat from the median value of all the individual flattened images. The original BCDs are then divided by the smoothed superflat.

2.1.5. Stray Light

Several frames in IRAC 3.6 μm of Abell 1763 were subject to stray light causing false sources. In the couple of cases where the effect was strong enough to raise the level of the entire frame, we chose not to include the observation. However, usually the stray light could be localized and masked. Frames where there was a small (up to 30%) increase in the background light were renormalized to the same level as the surrounding frames.

![Figure 3. Droop effect in IRAC 3.6 μm.](image1)

![Figure 4. Partial IRAC 4.5 μm mosaic.](image2)
2.1.6. Final Mosaics

After this rigorous correction for the image defects and the effects of bright stars, the BCDs are mosaicked together using the script mosaic.pl in MOPEX (MOsaicer and Point-source EXTractor, Makovoz & Khan 2005; Makovoz & Marleau 2005). The final mosaicked IRAC images have dimensions of 39\(\prime\)2 \(\times\) 39\(\prime\)2 (8.5 Mpc \(\times\) 8.5 Mpc) and are cosmic ray corrected. These final images are shown in Figure 5. The astrometry has been registered to Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). They have been calibrated from DN \(\rightarrow\) MJy sr\(^{-1}\) with the FLUXCONV values of 0.1088, 0.1388, 0.5952, and 0.2021 (Reach et al. 2005) for IRAC 3.6 \(\mu\)m, 4.5 \(\mu\)m, IRAC 5.8 \(\mu\)m, and IRAC 8.0 \(\mu\)m, respectively.

2.1.7. Source Extraction

We use SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) for extracting the IRAC sources. We make no attempt to deblend sources. However, we flag sources with close pairs (within a projected separation <7\(\prime\) from another source at the same wavelength). This will miss any sources that are highly blended, but given the high resolution of the IRAC images, we do not consider this a problem for the cluster galaxies, whose typical size is well above the IRAC spatial resolution. For IRAC 3.6 \(\mu\)m, 4.5 \(\mu\)m, IRAC 5.8 \(\mu\)m, and IRAC 8.0 \(\mu\)m, at this conservatively large radius, 8\%, 16\%, 12\%, and 17\%, respectively, of the sources are close pairs. We also flag sources associated with stars by matching the position to the SDSS catalogs, Data Release 7. If the IRAC source is within 1\(\prime\) of a star as determined by the SDSS star/galaxy separator flag, the IRAC source is flagged as a star. For IRAC 3.6 \(\mu\)m, 4.5 \(\mu\)m, IRAC 5.8 \(\mu\)m, and IRAC 8.0 \(\mu\)m, 12\%, 9\%, 6\%, and 2\% of the sources are flagged as stars, respectively.

We calculate three aperture fluxes using aperture diameters of 4\(\prime\), 6\(\prime\), and 12\(\prime\). The aperture corrections we use follow those of the SWIRE technical note (Surace et al. 2005) which lists corrections for radii of 1\(\prime\)9, 2\(\prime\)9, and 5\(\prime\)8. Following their work, we divide the aperture fluxes in each band by the corrections at each aperture radius. The corrections for each aperture radius are 0.736, 0.87, and 0.96 for IRAC 3.6 \(\mu\)m; 0.716, 0.87, and 0.95 for IRAC 4.5 \(\mu\)m; 0.706, 0.80, and 0.94 for IRAC 5.8 \(\mu\)m; and 0.543, 0.700, and 0.940 for IRAC 8.0 \(\mu\)m. To estimate the total flux, we also quote Petrosian fluxes which are based on circular apertures whose radius is the average of the azimuthal light profile out to the radius where the local surface brightness is a factor of 0.2 times the mean surface brightness within the radius (Petrosian 1976). We use two Petrosian radii and a minimum radius of 3\(\prime\) and apply the aperture correction for extended sources as explained on the IRAC calibration Web page.\(^6\) We quote the uncertainty of the fluxes as those given by SExtractor, but with important caveats. These uncertainty values are known to be lower estimates as they only represent the error from Poissonian noise (Valentinuzzi et al. 2009). However, we include maps of the image rms which we calculated from the image and coverage maps as described in Section 5.

2.2. MIPS Data Reduction

MIPS is composed of three detectors, and we reduced the three sets of data using a different procedure for each set.

2.2.1. 24 \(\mu\)m

For the 24 \(\mu\)m data, we downloaded the BCDs and calibration frames from the Spitzer archive using the Spitzer pipeline.
version S16.1.0 for which the factor to convert the flux from instrumental units into MJy sr\(^{-1}\) is 0.0454. We used the calibration frames only to undo the Spitzer pipeline corrections made to the BCDs, such as the jailbar and flat corrections. We improve on these corrections by using the entire set of frames in each single Astronomical Observation Request (AOR). The pipeline corrections are good on average but can depend on the single AOR because of the different technique of observation (scan instead of photometric mode) and on latencies from bright objects observed before the AOR.

We reduce the data following the technique used in Fadda et al. (2006) for the reduction of the Spitzer First Look Survey data with two main differences. First, if one examines the 70 \(\mu\)m and 160 \(\mu\)m during the entire observation, the variation of the stims suggests that this transient is caused by a variation in the response of the detector. This in turn suggests that the long-term transient has been corrected as a multiplicative effect and not as an additive one as in Fadda et al. (2006). A second difference is in the correction of fast variations of the background coupled with different positions of the cryogenic scan mirror. We prove that this effect disappears almost completely when the distortion due to the different light path associated with the different positions of the cryogenic scan mirror is taken into consideration.

We treat the BCDs by first uncorrecting the standard pipeline jailbar and flat-field corrections. Subsequently, we apply the following to the BCDs: (1) our improved jailbar correction computed by masking the bright sources in each frame; (2) the droop correction apparent in the data rows; (3) distortion flats, computed from the distortion terms that correct for the effective pixel area on the sky—this depends on the position of the cryogenic scan mirror; (4) superflat correction to take out the real flat from all the BCDs in each AOR; (5) flat for each position of the scan mirror to take out the images of the “dirty spots” in the cryogenic scan mirror; (6) removal of zodiacal light; (7) correction of astrometry offsets by extracting the sources for each set of 25 consecutive frames and matching to the SDSS catalog. The offsets are similar in both the cross-scan and in-scan directions, we show these in Figure 6.

At this point, a first mosaic is obtained and point sources are extracted with MOPEX. The FWHM of MIPS 24 \(\mu\)m is 5.9, so most of the extragalactic sources in the field are point sources. In MOPEX, we acquire an estimate of the PRF by taking the mean of \(\sim 7\) good, isolated, point-source candidates. We then run several of the APEX routines. We first remove the first Airy-ring, which helps constrain the number of false point-source detections. We detect point sources on the Airy-ring subtracted image. With this list of 7969 point sources, we then run the flux estimator on the original image. The fluxes are found by fitting the PRF within a radius of 10\(\prime\).3. A factor of 1.167 for the aperture correction\(^7\) is applied to account for the missing PRF wings. A color correction of 0.961 is also applied to tie the photometric system to spectra with \(\nu f_\nu\) = constant (see MIPS Data Handbook,\(^8\) page 31).

Some of the point sources may, in fact, be extended sources and we apply two techniques to extract these, as explained in Fadda et al. (2006). First, bright_detect is run on the original image and the 27 sources with signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) > 20 and ellipticity > 0.1 are considered as possible extended sources. These are matched to sources in the optical \(r^\prime\) image. There are 12 which are clearly extended sources and do not have a close pair. For these, we replace the PRF flux with aperture fluxes calculated out to an extent that includes the entire source.

Second, we compare the flux of the central pixel to the flux inside of a 5\(\prime\) aperture. Point sources should have a more concentrated flux so that the ratio between the aperture flux to peak flux should be higher in extended sources. There are an additional 16 sources from the point-source table for which the ratio is greater than the 3\(\sigma\) errordrain. However, all of these have very low S/N and so none of the PRF fluxes are replaced.

We run apex qa within MOPEX in order to obtain a residual image, that is, an image with all the above bright sources (and their first Airy-ring) removed. There exist lower flux extended sources that remain in this residual image, and we used SExtractor to measure their source positions. We iterate twice over this process by masking all the sources found in the first iteration, this way, a better background level can be computed.

To measure the fluxes of these additional 2921 extended sources, we run SExtractor a second time on the residual image (bright sources and their Airy-ring’s subtracted) by first multiplying by 141.08 to convert the image units of MJy sr\(^{-1}\) to \(\mu\)Jy (as listed in the MIPS Data Handbook). Petrosian fluxes are calculated using four radii and a minimum radius of 5.5\(\prime\). We divide by 0.961 to account for the color correction as listed above.

2.2.2. MIPS 70 \(\mu\)m and 160 \(\mu\)m

In the case of the 70 \(\mu\)m and 160 \(\mu\)m observations, we downloaded the raw frames (DCEs) from the Spitzer archive and used the offline Spitzer pipeline (GeRT\(^9\)) to reduce the data. The conversion factors from instrumental units into MJy sr\(^{-1}\) are 702 and 41.7 for the 70 and 160 \(\mu\)m data, respectively. The pipeline reductions find and calibrate the slope of the data ramp (consecutive data reads). We use second pass filtering to remove streaking in the final image, produced by bright sources. We identify the bright sources in a first pass, and repeat the filtering on the masked image. For the 70 \(\mu\)m data, we applied an additional correction to the BCDs to remove the latent stims artifacts by modeling and then removing the effect of the stims flash on the median of the BCDs that follow. In the case of the 160 \(\mu\)m data, we run the cleanup160.pl script in the GeRT offline package which removes the residual pixel response as a function of time. We ignore data near the stims when running the mosaicker.

Virtually all of the extragalactic sources at these longer wave-lengths are point sources. We mosaic the frames and conduct

---

\(^7\) Available at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/mips/apercorr/

\(^8\) http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/mips/dh

\(^9\) Available at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/mips/gert/
the source extraction using APEX with a bottom outlier threshold of 2 and 2.5σ and a top threshold of 3 and 2.5σ for 70 μm and 160 μm, respectively. We applied no further correction to the astrometry of these longer wavelength sources as the uncertainty of the pointing accuracy of the telescope, ~1′, is much smaller than the beam widths. For the 70 μm data, we use an aperture radius of 15′′, a color correction of 0.918 and aperture correction of 2.244. For 160 μm, we use an aperture radius of 20′′, a color correction of 0.95910 and an aperture correction of 3.124. By measuring the noise (rms) in the mosaicked frames, we arrive at a 5σ depth of 6.1 mJy in the 70 μm mosaic, and 85.1 mJy in the 160 μm mosaic. The improvement over using the standard offline GeRT solutions for the 160 μm data is shown in Figure 7.

3. ANCILLARY GROUND OBSERVATIONS

In preparation for the Spitzer and spectroscopic follow-up observations, we observed the entire Spitzer field with the Large Format Camera (LFC) on the Palomar 200in telescope, obtaining a deep r′-band image which covers most of the MIPS 24 μm field. We also obtained further near-IR imaging which will be used to estimate the stellar masses of the infrared members of the clusters in a following paper. Using the wide-field infrared camera (WIRC) at the Palomar 200in telescope, we covered the region including the core of the cluster and part of the filament of galaxies pointing to the neighbor cluster Abell 1770 (see Figure 1). We observed this region in the J, H, and Ks bands.

3.1. Optical r′-band

At the time of planning the Spitzer observations, there were no publicly available r′-band data of this cluster. Although SDSS r′ data has subsequently been observed and released, we include our LFC images and photometry as it is ~2 mag deeper than the SDSS and because the spatial resolution is higher by a factor of 2. To reduce this data, the dark subtraction and flat-field corrections were applied in the standard fashion. Bright Tycho stars, as well as regions without coverage, are flagged in the image with the saturation value of 59,100. The photometric catalogs were produced with SExtractor using an aperture diameter of 3′.5, as well as Petrosian magnitudes. The astrometry and photometry are calibrated to the SDSS r′ positions and Petrosian magnitudes. They are not corrected for Galactic extinction, however, this field was chosen in part because of its particularly low extinction level of E(B − V) = 0.009 mag (Schlegel et al. 1998). The image is shown in Figure 8. By calculating aperture magnitudes over areas of the image, free of sources, we derive an average limiting magnitude of 25.5.

We use the SDSS not only to calibrate the LFC positions and photometry, but also to supplement our catalog with photometric points in u′, g′, i′, z′, and r′ where LFC photometry does not exist. The SDSS magnitudes and errors we quote are the Petrosian magnitudes taken directly from Data Release 7, available on the SDSS Web site.11 For the purposes of comparing to the LFC magnitudes, we match the SDSS magnitudes to the LFC source with the smallest separation in radius, neglecting close pairs (within a distance of 2′′).

We present Figure 9 which shows a histogram of the SDSS r′ magnitudes along with a histogram of our LFC r′ magnitudes to show the depth of our magnitudes compared to the SDSS. Both histograms are generated using sources within an area of 25 arcmin2 centered on the brightest cluster galaxy.

Figure 10 shows a color–magnitude diagram using the SDSS Petrosian magnitudes. Overlying galaxies with known spectroscopic redshifts, the red sequence is prominent. We also mark the MIPS 24 μm emitting galaxies. Notice how the infrared-selection preferentially finds the star-forming galaxies of the blue cloud, many of which are further than 7.5 away from the cluster BCG.

---

10 See page 31 of the MIPS Data Handbook.

11 http://www.sdss.org/dr7/
3.2. Near-IR

We used the WIRC reduction software of Tom Jarrett\footnote{http://spider.ipac.caltech.edu/staff/jarrett/wirc/jarrett.html} to reduce the data of all three bands. First, a median dark frame is subtracted for all frames followed by an application of flux non-linearity terms. The near-IR sky varies rapidly, therefore, the data are processed in sets of no more than 12 frames. A median sky is calculated for each set, and subtracted from each frame. Each frame is then flat fielded.

Each time that WIRC is installed on Palomar, there is a small rotational offset of about 1°5. To get the best astrometry, we can measure the exact offset using a list of known point sources and compare their known positions from the 2MASS\citep{12}, which we obtain from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (IRSA)/Gator Web tool. We apply the rotational offset to the data. Using this list of point sources with known positions, we then examine every image by eye to ensure good astrometry corrections, which are tweaked by hand if necessary.

The background level of each frame is calculated and removed. A dark frame is subtracted from each image and the flux bias correction is run, which applies the non-linearity coefficients. The individual dithers are calibrated using stars from 2MASS with magnitudes from 11–14 in 7″ radius apertures. Frames where the photometric uncertainty, or the background level is high with respect to 2MASS are discarded. We remove ∼20 frames from ∼200 taken in each of J, H, and K. We use SWarp\citep{07} to mosaic the frames together and then do a final flux calibration on the mosaic relative to the same 2MASS catalog. This time the best 2MASS point source total magnitudes are compared to the Petrosian magnitudes obtained from SExtractor, which are a good estimate of the total magnitudes. This refines the calibration by +0.07, −0.05, and +0.09 mag in J, H, and K, respectively, as shown in Figure 11. The red tail at faint fluxes is created by 2MASS detections whose fluxes are boosted by a positive noise bias. The photometry of the final mosaicked images is excellent, and we calculate an uncertainty of 0.047, 0.041, and 0.042 mag in \(J\), H, and \(K\), respectively. Figure 11 shows that at the faintest magnitude level, the dispersion of the difference is ∼4%.
Figure 11. WIRC calibration. A comparison of the WIRC aperture magnitudes to the best 2MASS aperture magnitudes is executed in order to produce a refined calibration. The points show the difference in the calibrated WIRC magnitude with the 2MASS magnitude as a function of the WIRC magnitude. Each panel shows ~450 2MASS sources for which there exist a WIRC counterpart free of a close pair.

Assuming a similar error for the WIRC and 2MASS photometry, the WIRC error is ~4%/√2 ~ 3%. In fact most of the scatter at the faint level likely occurs because of the proximity to the detection limit in the 2MASS data. For $K < 15$, the WIRC error is ~2%/√2 ~ 1.4%.

The final $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ mosaics are shown in Figure 12. The photometry was performed over the final mosaic.

SExtractor is used to find aperture magnitudes within a 3.5′′ diameter and Petrosian magnitudes for all sources. To ensure we extract the small as well as very extended objects that are apparent in the WIRC images, we use a $\text{det\_minarea}$ of 5 and $\text{det\_minthresh}$ of 2.0. To ensure we find the smaller objects that often surround larger objects (example near the BCG) we use a $\text{deblend\_mincont}$ of 0.00005.

Our WIRC catalogs are not the deepest observations of cluster galaxies (Wuyts et al. 2008, for example, go down to $K_s \sim 24.3$). However, for our purpose which is to study the galaxies down to $M^* - 2$ in the Abell 1763 cluster and filament, they suffice. The $K^*$ of cluster galaxies at $z = 0.2$ is 15.6 (De Propris et al. 2003), and typical colors of late type galaxies are $J - K_s = 1.7$ and $H - K_s = 0.8$ (Fukugita et al. 1995), therefore our near-IR catalogs need to reach $J \sim 19.5$, $H \sim 19$, and $K_s \sim 18$. Figure 13 shows that we indeed accomplish this. Comparing with the depth of the 2MASS catalogs, we note that our WIRC magnitudes are deeper than 2MASS by ~3 mag.

As the catalog we present includes aperture magnitudes of the same diameter (3.5′′) in $r'$, $J$, $H$, and $K_s$, and as the resolution in all these mosaics is of order 1.5′′, we can examine the colors of
the cluster galaxies (and the MIPS-selected galaxies). Figure 14 shows that the near-IR color–magnitude relation reveals a tighter red sequence for the cluster than the optical color–magnitude diagrams presented in the previous section. The near-IR colors are driven by old stars, so there is less scatter due to younger stellar populations. More work on the colors of the Abell 1763 cluster and filament galaxies will be presented in subsequent papers.

4. CATALOGS

We compile two cluster catalogs which we also release to the community online via IRSA. The first gives 24 μm source fluxes and their associated magnitudes in the five optical SDSS bands, in the deeper LFC $r'$, in the three WIRC bands, and their fluxes in the four IRAC bands, MIPS 70 μm, and MIPS 160 μm. The second catalog presents 70 μm source fluxes in the regions where there is no 24 μm coverage. We also include the magnitudes from the five optical SDSS bands.

4.1. 24 μm catalog

There are two flags based on the 24 μm sources which are also included. The first flags close pairs of 24 μm sources. We make no effort to deblend the 24 μm sources beyond what has been applied by APEX routines; however, we do provide a flag for sources that have at least one other source within 6'1. Only 5% of the sources have close pairs. The MIPS 24 μm FHWM is quite large and it is reasonable to assume that closer pairs may exist that we cannot resolve as they would be highly blended. If we assume that the galaxy source counts in the IRAC bands are related to those in MIPS 24 μm, we can deduce that blending is not of great concern as the number of close pairs, over the same 6'1 radius, in the much higher resolution IRAC 3.6 μm image is similar at 4%. The second flag we list marks the MIPS 24 μm sources associated with stars as defined by the SDSS star/galaxy separator. The catalog also lists the flux of the associated sources from the other wavebands we studied.

For all wavelength bands short of 70 μm, the sources have been associated as those with the highest reliability. We calculate the likelihood of the candidate counterpart to be a real association rather than a background source, as described in Sutherland & Saunders (1992) and Ciliegi et al. (2003). The reliability is the likelihood ratio normalized over all possible candidates and accounts for the separation as well as the relative magnitude distribution of the two data sets. We consider only associations within a 5'' radius of the MIPS 24 μm source, and include only associations which have a likelihood ratio greater than 0.2 (see Fadda et al. (2006) for a more detailed description). For the longer wavelengths, there are fewer sources and the resolution is lower than that of the 24 μm catalog, thus we simply choose the candidate with the shortest projected distance. If a 24 μm source does not have a flux associated to a particular band, it is flagged with −999.

Figures 15 and 16 show the histogram of source fluxes in the IRAC and MIPS mosaics.
Figure 15. IRAC fluxes. The histogram shows the number of IRAC sources at each flux level. Close pairs and bright SDSS stars have been removed from the plot.

Figure 16. MIPS fluxes. The histograms show the number of MIPS sources at each flux level. MIPS 24 μm is shown in the top panel, MIPS 70 μm in the center panel, and MIPS 160 μm in the bottom panel.

Table 2 describes the machine readable 24 μm source catalog. The first column lists the columns of the catalog that correspond to each entry. The second column lists the format of those columns, whether it is an integer or float, and the number of characters. The third column gives a short description of each entry. We now describe Column 3 of this table in more detail. The first column lists the columns of the catalog that correspond to each entry. The second column lists the format of those columns, whether it is an integer or float, and the number of characters. The third column gives a short description of each entry. The first row simply gives a number to the catalog row. The second two rows give the J2000 R.A. and decl. position in decimal degrees. Subsequently, we list the flux and error of the MIPS 24 μm source in μJy. The SDSS u′, g′, r′, i′, z′, Petrosian magnitudes and their errors are listed, followed by 2MASS J, H, K total magnitudes and errors. Our own deeper r′ and WIRC J, H, and K aperture and Petrosian magnitudes follow with the errors quoted as those from SExtractor. The SDSS magnitudes are given in their native AB magnitude system, as is the LFC r′ magnitude. The J, H, and K magnitudes are in the Vega magnitude system as that is the native magnitude system of 2MASS, to which they have been calibrated. We list the fluxes in μJy for the longer wavelengths: first, the three aperture and Petrosian fluxes and errors, then the MIPS 70 μm PSF flux and error, and finally the 160 μm PSF flux and error. Finally, we list several flags. The first two are given a value of 1 for true. The first flag states whether the MIPS source is in a close pair, the second whether it is a star galaxy flag.

4.2. 70 μm catalog

Approximately 30% of the 70 μm image is not covered by the 24 μm image (nor the IRAC, MIPS 160 μm, or WIRC fields of view). We construct a separate catalog for these 733
Table 3

| Column | Format | Description |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1–6    | i6     | Catalog number |
| 7–22   | f14.6  | R.A. (J2000) |
| 23–38  | f14.6  | decl. (J2000) |
| 40–49  | f10.3  | MIPS570 (mJy) |
| 51–60  | f10.3  | MIPS70e (mJy) |
| 62–71  | f10.3  | $u'$ (mag) |
| 73–82  | f10.3  | $u'\prime$ (mag) |
| 84–93  | f10.3  | $g'$ (mag) |
| 95–104 | f10.3  | $g'\prime$ (mag) |
| 106–115| f10.3  | $r'$ (mag) |
| 117–126| f10.3  | $r'\prime$ (mag) |
| 128–137| f10.3  | $i'$ (mag) |
| 139–148| f10.3  | $i'\prime$ (mag) |
| 150–159| f10.3  | $z'$ (mag) |
| 161–170| f10.3  | $z'\prime$ (mag) |

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable and Virtual Observatory (VO) forms in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

We provide all of our images to the community online through IRSA. This includes our $r'$ LFC image and WIRC $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ images in instrumental units, as well as our IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm and our MIPS 24, 70, and 160 μm images in units of MJy sr$^{-1}$. The 11 intensity images have been fully reduced and calibrated. In addition, we provide coverage and uncertainty maps for the Spitzer data. The coverage maps are in units of number of BCDs and the uncertainty maps, which can be used to understand the errors, are computed from MOPEX accounting for Poissonian noise as well as a Gaussian component from the read out. For the optical and near-IR images, we provide bad pixel masks, exposure maps, weight and rms maps, where available. The weight maps are computed in SWarp and are maps of the local sky background flux variance across the mosaic. The rms maps are computed from the standard deviation of the image multiplied by the square root of normalized coverage map. All images discussed below are in FITS format, unless otherwise stated.

$LFC\ r'$: the intensity and rms maps have a size of 455 Mbytes and a pixel size of $0.36$. We also include a bad pixel map and an exposure map, these last two are in IRAF pl format with sizes of 216 Kbytes and 2.6 Mbytes, respectively.

$WIRC$: we provide intensity, weight, and rms maps. All images have a pixel size of $0.249$. For $J$, the image sizes are 360 Mbytes and for $H$ and $K_s$ the image sizes are 347 Mbytes.

$IRAC$: we provide the intensity maps as well as maps of the coverage and uncertainty produced by MOPEX. The images are $27$ or $115$ Mbytes and have a pixel sizes of $1.27$ or $0.61$.

$MIPS$: as for IRAC, we provide intensity maps, coverage maps, and uncertainty maps. For MIPS 24 μm, the image sizes are 20 Mbytes and the pixel size is $1.27$. For MIPS 70 μm, the image sizes are 2.4 Mbytes and the pixel size is $4.00$; and for MIPS 160 μm, the image sizes are 51.5 Kbytes and the pixel size is 8.00.

6. SUMMARY

In this series of papers, we investigate the properties of galaxies in the A1763–A1770 supercluster at $z \simeq 0.2$ by using our Spitzer IR data from 3.6 to 160 μm, complemented with ground-based spectroscopic and photometric observations. Here, we present the photometric data including optical magnitudes from the SDSS and LFC $r'$ image, as well as IR magnitudes from WIRC $J$, $H$, $K_s$, and fluxes from Spitzer IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm and MIPS 24, 70, and 160 μm. We present a catalog of sources matched to the MIPS 24 μm galaxies and a separate catalog of sources matched to additional MIPS 70 μm galaxies that are not in the MIPS 24 μm FOV. We offer these images and catalogs to the public through IRSA. Future papers of this series will present spectroscopic follow-up observations, radio and UV imaging of the same field and the analysis of star formation rates and stellar masses of the infrared sources.

This publication makes use of data from observations made with Spitzer and from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey as well as the Two Micron All Sky Survey. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. Spitzer is a space telescope operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the US Department of Energy, NASA, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council of England. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions, (see list at http://www.sdss.org/collaboration/credits.html). 2MASS is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by NASA and the National Science Foundation. We thank T. Jarrett for his help with the planning of the near-IR WIRC observations and data reduction. We are also grateful to D. Frayer for his help in the reduction of 70 μm and 160 μm Spitzer data. We also thank the anonymous referee for thoughtful comments and careful consideration which have lead to an improved manuscript.
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