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ABSTRACT

Objectives This paper sets out to establish the numbers and titles of regulated healthcare professionals in the UK and uses a review of how continuing professional development (CPD) for health professionals is described internationally to characterise the postqualification training required of UK professions by their regulators. It compares these standards across the professions and considers them against the best practice evidence and current definitions of CPD.

Design A scoping review.

Search strategy We conducted a search of UK health and social care regulators’ websites to establish a list of regulated professional titles, obtain numbers of registrants and identify documents detailing CPD policy. We searched Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts (ASSIA), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Medline, EMCare and Scopus Life Sciences, Health Sciences, Physical Sciences and Social Sciences & Humanities databases to identify a list of common features used to describe CPD systems internationally and these were used to organise the review of CPD requirements for each profession.

Results CPD is now mandatory for the approximately 1.5 million individuals registered to work under 32 regulated titles in the UK. Eight of the nine regulators do not mandate modes of CPD and there is little requirement to conduct interprofessional CPD. Overall 81% of those registered are required to engage in some form of reflection on their learning but only 35% are required to use a personal development plan while 26% have no requirement to engage in peer-to-peer learning.

Conclusions Our review highlights the wide variation in the required characteristics of CPD being undertaken by UK health professionals and raises the possibility that CPD schemes are not fully incorporating the best practice.

INTRODUCTION

Across the four nations of the UK, national strategy documents1-5 identify the need for health and social care systems to adapt to the challenges of delivering services in the future with the aim of creating a more flexible, multidisciplinary workforce able to deliver new models of care with an increasing role for non-medical healthcare professions.6 Specific emphasis is made on the role of education, including continuing professional development (CPD), in the evolution of this workforce with the stated aim of expanding multiprofessional credentialing to allow for expansion of professional roles across medical and non-medical professions.5

In the UK, standards of training for qualification and CPD for professionals are set by a range of profession specific regulators.7 There are currently 13 such regulators, 9 of which regulate mainly health professions with the others regulating social care professions. These organisations are independent of government and derive their powers to regulate from primary and secondary legislation. Professionals working within the UK National Health Service (NHS) are currently expected to adhere to the standards set by their individual regulatory bodies and this includes meeting requirements for CPD.8

This system of professional regulation is currently under review by the Department of Health9 (the branch of the UK government concerned with the maintenance of public health) and regulators are being asked to ensure that prequalification training of new staff meets the need for a more flexible workforce. As stated in the NHS Long Term Plan
for England, much of the development of the existing workforce will fall to continuing education (CE) and training (CET) or CPD programmes, unique to each professional group.

There have been international surveys of CPD requirements for selected healthcare professions but there is no current analysis of these requirements for UK health professions. At a time of regulatory change, when the role of CPD in healthcare workforce evolution has been clearly highlighted, this review describes the features of CPD required of these health professionals by their regulators and considers if these requirements conform to the best practice. By detailing these requirements for the whole UK healthcare workforce, we also hope to contribute to the broader understanding of how CPD systems are evolving in the UK and internationally.

METHODS

As the main aim of this paper is to present an analysis of the characteristics of CPD systems as described by the professional regulators, the primary sources of information were the websites and documentation of those regulators.

The published literature was consulted using a modified Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist to answer the question ‘What are the characteristics of postqualification training systems for healthcare professionals as described by the literature?’ Search terms describing the regulated professional groups were combined with terms describing postqualification education and the descriptive terms: survey(s), characteristics, requirements and features. Only the title was searched, using the Boolean operators AND and OR combined with truncation and phrase searches. The following databases were searched: ASSIA, CINAHL, Medline, EEmCare and Scopus Life Sciences, Health Sciences, Physical Sciences and Social Sciences & Humanities. Only papers in English were considered and no date limit was set. Once duplicates had been removed, the titles and abstracts of 249 papers were scrutinised to identify if they described characteristics of postqualification training systems. At this point, only papers from 1990 onwards were included. In total, 48 papers were identified for detailed scrutiny and a list of common features applicable to regulatory requirements was abstracted and these were combined with the authors own understanding of how CPD systems are described. This list of common features was used to organise the findings of the review of regulators documentation.

A description of the search strategy and abstraction process used is included in online supplementary file 1.

A targeted search of the websites of the 13 health and social care regulators was carried out using a modified PRISMA-ScR checklist. Four of those regulators (Care Council for Wales, Northern Ireland Social Care Council, Social Work England and the Scottish Social Services Council) which solely regulate social professionals, such as adult home care workers, childcare workers and qualified social workers, were excluded from this analysis as they do not regulate healthcare professionals. The websites of the remaining nine regulators were scrutinised to identify: a list of professional titles that the regulators regulated, the most current reports on registration numbers and documents detailing CPD policy.

Reports on registration numbers produced by the regulators were consulted to find out the total number of registered individuals with each regulator. Where registration numbers were not available, an individual request for the information was made directly to the registrar of the organisation.

The identified documents detailing current CPD policy were reviewed to establish the characteristics of the individual CPD schemes. Where web-based information on CPD requirements was not available or incomplete, the CPD lead for that organisation was contacted by the lead researcher and the information obtained by telephone interview or email correspondence. A description of this second search strategy used is included in online supplementary file 2.

Patient and public involvement

We did not involve patients or public in our work given our specific aim.

RESULTS

This analysis identified 32 distinct healthcare professional titles. Table 1 details the names of the nine regulators, the professional titles they regulate and the total number of registrants with each regulator in 2018/2019.

The total number of individuals working under regulated titles in 2018/2019 was 1 491 032 (table 1). The General Dental Council’s and the Nursing and Midwifery Council’s reports on registered numbers make it clear that an individual can be registered under more than one title. It should be noted that the number of registrants in a profession is regularly updated throughout the year, so these figures are only indicative of current numbers.

In all but four cases (Chiropodists and Podiatrists, and Prosthetists and Orthotists), the titles listed were unambiguous in the roles they describe. In the case of Chiropodists and Podiatrists, it is generally accepted that the titles are interchangeable so the title was regarded as one for the purpose of this analysis. In the case of Prosthetists and Orthotists, the situation is more ambiguous as the titles listed describe two distinct roles. The undergraduate training for these roles is the same and an individual holding the qualification can carry out both regulated functions making alterations to CE marked prostheses and making alterations to CE marked orthoses, the two titles were counted as one profession for the purpose of this analysis. Medical practitioners are commonly described as doctors, although this title is used by other professions and in academia. The title protected under law for a
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Table 1  Healthcare regulatory bodies, the professional titles they regulate and the number of professionals registered with them

| Regulatory body                        | Professional titles regulated | Number of registrants | Registrants as percentage of total |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| General Chiropractic Council           | Chiropractors                 | 3220 (31 December 2017) | 0.22%                             |
| General Dental Council                 | Dental nurses                 | 58 047                | 3.89%                             |
|                                         | Dentists                      | 40 654                | 2.73%                             |
|                                         | Dental hygienists             | 7310                  | 0.49%                             |
|                                         | Dental technicians            | 5929                  | 0.40%                             |
|                                         | Dental therapists             | 3351                  | 0.22%                             |
|                                         | Orthodontic therapists        | 634                   | 0.04%                             |
|                                         | Clinical dental technicians   | 368                   | 0.02%                             |
| General Medical Council                | Doctor of Medicine            | 298 864 (1 February 2019) | 20.04%                           |
| General Osteopathic Council            | Osteopaths                    | 5353 (2 January 2019)  | 0.36%                             |
| Nursing and Midwifery Council          | Nurses                        | 653 544 nurses        | 43.83%                            |
|                                         | Midwives                      | 36 916 midwives       | 2.49%                             |
|                                         | Nursing associate             | 489 Nursing associates (31 March 2019) | 0.03%                           |
| General Optical Council                | Optometrists                  | 15 383 optometrists   | 1.03%                             |
|                                         | Dispensing Opticians          | 6723 dispensing opticians (25 June 2018) | 0.45%                           |
| General Pharmaceutical Council         | Pharmacists                   | 55 177 pharmacists    | 3.90%                             |
|                                         | Pharmacy technicians          | 23 381 pharmacy technicians (11 July 2018) | 1.57%                           |
| Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland | Pharmacists in Northern Ireland | 2591 (4 February 2019) | 0.17%                             |
| Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) | Physiotherapists             | 55 401                | 3.71%                             |
|                                         | Occupational Therapists       | 39 750                | 2.67%                             |
|                                         | Radiographers                 | 34 358                | 2.67%                             |
|                                         | Paramedics                    | 27 374                | 1.84%                             |
|                                         | Practitioner Psychologists    | 24 151                | 1.62%                             |
|                                         | Biomedical Scientists         | 23 084                | 1.55%                             |
|                                         | Speech Language Therapists.   | 16 529                | 1.11%                             |
|                                         | Operating Department Practitioners | 13 823              | 0.93%                             |
|                                         | Chiropodists/Podiatrists      | 12 846                | 0.86%                             |
|                                         | Dieticians                    | 9666                  | 0.65%                             |
|                                         | Clinical Scientists           | 6156                  | 0.41%                             |
|                                         | Arts therapists               | 4380                  | 0.29%                             |
|                                         | Hearing Aid Dispensers        | 2988                  | 0.20%                             |
|                                         | Orthoptists                   | 1493                  | 0.10%                             |
|                                         | Prosthetists and Orthotists   | 1099                  | 0.07%                             |

n=9  n=32  Total=1 491 032

*Registrar. Number of registrants (online). Email from goc@optical.org July 2018.
†Information requests. Number of registrants (online). Email from foi@pharmacyregulation.org July 2018.
‡Number of registrants (online). Email from info@psni.org.uk February 2019.

medical practitioner in the UK is ‘Doctor of Medicine’ and in this article we will use the term ‘doctor’ to mean Doctor of Medicine.

The detail of the CPD requirements for each regulator is set out in table 2. All the regulators use the term “Continuing Professional Development” abbreviated to “CPD” except for the General Optical Council which uses the term “Continuing Education and Training” abbreviated to “CET”. For all regulated professionals, CPD is a mandatory requirement of ongoing registration. With the exception of the General Chiropractic Council and the Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC), all
| Regulator                                      | Term used | Completion of CPD required for registration | Date current scheme adopted | Length of CPD cycle (years) | Total time requirement                      | Group (peer-to-peer) learning requirement | Modes of CPD required or suggested | CPD accredited by the regulator | Reflection required | Personal development plan required | Interprofessional CPD required |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| General Chiropractic Council                  | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2004                       | 1                           | 30 hours over 1-year cycle                  | 15 hours                                 | Suggested                        | No                              | Yes                             | Yes                     | No                        |
| General Dental Council                        | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2018                       | 5                           | 100 hours dentist 75 hours dental therapist/dental hygienist/orthodontic therapist/clinical dental technician 50 hours dental nurse/dental technician Over 5 years | No                                      | Suggested                        | No                              | Yes                             | Yes                     | Suggested within dental team |
| General Medical Council                       | CPD       | Yes                                         | * 2012                     | * Recommended 250 credits over 5 years† | * Suggested                               | Yes                                      | Yes                              | Yes                             | Yes                             | Yes                     | Yes, if aimed at team performance |
| General Osteopathic Council                   | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2018                       | 3                           | 90 hours over 3 years 45 hours over 3-year cycle | Suggested                               | No                                | Yes                             | No                              | Some elements (suggested) No |
| Nursing and Midwifery Council                 | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2016                       | 3                           | 35 hours over 3 years 20 hours              | Suggested                               | No                                | Yes                             | No                              | No (suggested) |
| General Optical Council (GOC)                 | CET       | Yes                                         | 2013                       | 3                           | 36 points‡ over 3 years 18 points‡ One peer review event | Suggested                               | Yes                              | One peer activity per 3 year cycle§ | Yes                             | No                     |
| General Pharmaceutical Council                | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2018                       | 1                           | No explicit time requirement 6 activities over 1-year cycle | Yes One peer discussion | Suggested                        | No                              | Yes                             | Yes                     | No                        |
| Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland    | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2013                       | 1                           | 30 hours Minimum of 4 CPD entries over 1-year cycle | No                                      | Suggested                        | No                              | Yes                             | No                     | No                        |
| Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC)    | CPD       | Yes                                         | 2009                       | 2                           | No                                          | No                                      | Suggested                        | No                              | No (suggested) | No (suggested) | No |

*Set by specialist college schemes.
†1 hour is recommended for each credit. 
‡The GOC set minimum durations for different continuing education and training (CET) modalities with a maximum of 3 points per hour of CET for group learning activities and a minimum of 1 point per hour for other activities.
§For optometrists and dispensing opticians practicing as contact lens opticians only.
regulators have updated their CPD schemes since 2012; with the General Dental Council, the General Osteopathic Council and the General Pharmaceutical Council introducing revised schemes in 2018.

The length of the CPD cycle describes the period over which the requirements must be met, and these are of either annual cycles, 2-yearly, 3-yearly or 5-yearly cycles. With the exception of the HCPC, all the regulators specify the amount of CPD that needs to be completed over the cycle period either through a time target, specified activities or through the attainment of points that are allocated for activities based on type of activity and duration. If we consider the seven regulators which specify a time requirement over a given period, the calculated annual requirement ranged from 10 hours to 50 hours (mean 23 hours).

Learning with peers is required by five regulators: the General Chiropractic Council, General Osteopathic Council, Nursing and Midwifery Council, General Optical Council and General Pharmaceutical Council. The HCPC, Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland and General Dental Council do not yet require group or peer learning, but they do suggest it as a type of CPD activity. The General Medical Council offers only broad CPD elements of a PDP; that is, the required documentation learning, but they do suggest it as a type of CPD activity. The General Dental Council offers only broad CPD guidelines leaving the detail of CPD schemes to specialist colleges or employers. As this guidance states that practitioners should participate in peer-to-peer learning, it can be anticipated that individual specialist schemes will include this element, but we cannot confirm this is the case. Consequently, even though we cannot report on the proportion of registered professionals that are required to engage in peer-to-peer learning, we can report that 26% (391 982) of professionals registered under a title are not required to do so.

The General Optical Council accredits all learning activities on an individual activity basis using a peer-review process and is therefore the only regulator that stipulates which modes of CPD are required but guidelines for the detailing of CPD schemes for doctors\(^{18}\) suggest that individual medical colleges or faculties may accredited CPD activities. All the other regulators do not accredit CPD activities but either offer a detailed list of the types (modes) of learning that are acceptable, ask for CPD undertaken to be described in detail or suggest a wide range is used without offering specific detail.

The term “reflection” is used by all the CPD schemes, describing either a reflection on future learning needs as embodied within a personal development plan (PDP) or a reflection on a learning activity after it has occurred.

A reflection on future learning needs, through the use of a PDP, is explicitly required by The General Chiropractic Council, the General Dental Council, the General Medical Council and the General Optical Council. The General Pharmaceutical Council, and the Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland do not use the term PDP but require planned learning activities for part or all of their schemes that constitute, in our opinion, the key elements of a PDP; that is, the required documentation of identified learning needs informed by the wider context of a professional’s practice and work situation with learning planned and completed to meet those needs. The HCPC highlight the value of a PDP but do not require it. The General Osteopathic Council require that potential future CPD activities are identified as part of a peer discussion at the end of each cycle but these are not then linked to completed learning activities. The Nursing and Midwifery Council do not mention PDPs in their guidance on CPD and revalidation but give registrants the option to identify and discuss learning needs as part of their reflective accounts. The large number of professionals and professional titles registered with these three regulators means that even though most regulators require an annual learning cycle either through a time target, specified activities or through the attainment of points that are allocated for activities based on type of activity and duration, the calculation of annual requirement ranged from 10 hours to 50 hours (mean 23 hours).
In the case of the HCPC, each professional is required to keep an individual log of activity, but this is only verified if they are chosen for audit. The 15 healthcare professions regulated by the HCPC have a range of professional membership organisations that they are able to join, and most of these are detailed in table 4. These organisations offer support in recording CPD via websites or through the provision of documentation such as logbook templates, but with the exception of the Society of Radiographers and the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists (RCSLT), we were not able to identify additional CPD requirements made as a requirement of membership. The Society of Radiographers and the RCSLT run mandatory CPD schemes that meet the CPD requirements of the HCPC.

All the regulators have a mechanism for verifying that CPD has been undertaken with most using a random sample or chosen selection of a percentage of registrants. In the case of the General Optical Council, all CET activities are accredited, and participation is independently verified by course organisers. A record of participation is kept on an online portal which tracks progress over the CET cycle, so this can be considered full verification of all activities for all registrants. The detail of how CPD is recorded and verified by each regulator is set out in table 5.

**DISCUSSION**

In consulting the websites of the regulators, we found easily accessible, well-documented information available about the titles regulated, their CPD requirements and schemes and total numbers of registrants.

We identified that there are 32 distinct healthcare professional titles regulated in the UK as defined by regulatory bodies. Our data on the total number of individuals registered with regulators and numbers of individuals registered under each title offer an alternative way of considering the numbers of people working within the healthcare system in the UK, a notoriously difficult figure to establish. Our review shows the total number of individuals maintaining a current registration across all the regulated professions but does not give an account of whole-time equivalents and so may be seen as a maximum. This offers an accurate guide to the total potential healthcare workforce in the UK, a number encompassing both those working in the state and independent sector.

There are many similarities between the requirements and characteristic of CPD schemes but also some notable differences.

For all the regulated professions, CPD is now a mandatory requirement of ongoing registration and participation is verified by, in the main, scrutiny of a sample of practitioner-maintained records. Participation in CPD for regulated professions was first suggested in ‘Trust, assurance and safety: The regulation of health professionals’ a government White Paper which set out a programme of reform for the UK’s regulators in July 2006 in response to reports recommending measures to improve and enhance clinical governance in the NHS and over the last decade CPD has become a component of revalidation for many health professions. Even though work on the perceptions and attitudes to CPD among the professions have identified various perceived barriers to participation, including some ambivalence about mandatory schemes, this analysis shows that compulsory CPD is now a reality for all regulated UK professionals.
### Table 4: Professional bodies representing professions regulated by the Health and Care Professions Council

| Profession                        | Professional body                                      |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Arts therapists                   | British Association of Arts Therapists                |
| Biomedical Scientists             | Institute of Biomedical Science                      |
| Chiropodists/Podiatrists          | College of Podiatry                                  |
|                                  | British Chiropody and Podiatry Association            |
|                                  | Institute of Podiatrists and Chiropodists             |
| Clinical Scientists               | Association of Clinical Scientists                   |
| Dieticians                        | British Dietetic Association                          |
| Hearing Aid Dispensers            | British Society of Hearing Aid Audiologists           |
| Occupational Therapists           | Royal College of Occupational Therapists             |
| Operating Department Practitioners| College of Operating Department Practitioners        |
| Orthoptists                       | British and Irish Orthoptic Society                  |
| Paramedics                        | College of Paramedics                                 |
| Physiotherapists                  | Chartered Society of Physiotherapy                   |
| Practitioner Psychologists        | British Psychological Society                         |
|                                  | Association of Educational Psychologists             |
| Prosthetists and Orthotists       | British Association of Prosthetists & Orthotists      |
| Radiographers                     | Society of Radiographers                              |
| Speech and Language Therapists    | Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists       |

Apart from one regulator, the General Optical Council, the term CPD is used by the regulators to describe their schemes and in all cases the regulators offered definitions of CPD within their guidance documents which highlighted the role of CPD in maintaining professional competence and ensuring professional development over an individual’s working career. Numerous terms have been used over time to describe postqualification training and education: CE, continuing medical education (CME), competency-based medical education (CBME) CET and CPD. CE, in medicine termed CME and the more recently as CBME, is generally accepted to refer to specific educational activities that aim to update skills and understanding to maintain professional competence. In contrast to CE, CPD has a much broader ambition of developing a wider range of skills beyond those core skills needed for continuing practice, aiming to develop the individual across their whole career. Crucially, it is a self-directed reflective approach centred around the practitioner. CPD contains CE as a key element but it requires the practitioner to consider engaging in structured learning activities beyond those aimed at just addressing specific learning needs. It asks the practitioner to reflect on their own practice, identify their own individual learning needs, plan to meet these needs and then evaluate their learning while documenting this cycle in a PDP or portfolio. This move to CPD has been driven by the suggestion that the positive effects of CE on practitioner behaviours and patient outcomes can be improved on using the broader scope of CPD, although what constitutes effective CPD is still very much in question.

So, if CPD is characterised by a cycle of reflection, planning, learning and evaluation, documented by a PDP, do CPD schemes for UK professionals have this as a requirement? The health regulators have recently acknowledged the importance of being a reflective practitioner and we found that all the regulators require or suggest some form of documented reflection. This is most often asked for after training activities have been completed to reflect on what has been learnt, and less for prospectively planning wider learning needs within a recognisable PDP. Thus, for most UK professionals, reflection on past learning, a characteristic of CE, is a requirement but the future planning of learning needs through reflection, a key characteristic of CPD, is not.

Further to this, our review shows that the use of PDPs, recommended within UK health services for some time, is not universal and when used they are mostly self-directed and self-evaluated. Only in medicine, it is a requirement that the PDP is informed by objective practice data and evaluated by an assessor, a model other professions might consider moving towards to help drive learning and improve practice. Hence, although the inclusion of PDPs in formal appraisal has been recommended for allied health professionals in some extended roles, we would suggest that most non-medical health professions are not fully using the potential of PDPs as defined by accepted definitions.

More variation between the schemes was evident in the modes of learning activities that were acceptable as CPD. It has been suggested that modes of training that involve group or peer learning are more effective at influencing practitioner behaviour and this type of learning can encompass a wide range of activities beyond the lecture room, such as learning with peers in the workplace. This type of learning is mandated by five of the regulators but the only qualifying feature being that the learning activity is carried out with peers. The assumption can be made that these activities could occur in the workplace in the form of, for example, small group sessions or rounds or through attendance of external events such as conferences. In four of these cases, engagement in
### Table 5  Recording and verification of continuing professional development (CPD) by regulators

| Regulator                                           | CPD log/declaration submitted by all | Online record of CE/CPD offered by regulator | CPD record verification process | Verification/audit of CPD record |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| General Chiropractic Council (GCC)                  | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Randomly chosen records audited by GCC |
| General Dental Council (GDC)                        | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Randomly selected records audited by GDC |
| General Medical Council                             | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes. By appraisal/revalidation process | Not by GMC Verification carried out as part of revalidation |
| General Osteopathic Council (GOsC)                  | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Randomly selected records audited by GOsC |
| Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC)                 | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Confirmers used as part of revalidation. NMC randomly selects records for audit |
| General Optical Council                             | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | All records online and only accredited CET used |
| General Pharmaceutical Council (GPhC)               | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Random and selected sample of records audited by GPhC |
| Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland (PSNI)   | Yes                                  | Yes                                         | Yes                             | Minimum 10% sampled for audit by PSNI |
| Health and Care Professions Council                 | Yes                                  | No                                          | Yes                             | Random sample of 2.5% registrants selected for audit |

CE, continuing education; CET, continuing education and training.

Group activities is significant, with around half of learning needing to be with peers. In contrast, three of the regulators make no requirement for group learning at all.

There is even less uniformity in the actual modes of CPD required, group or otherwise, with only one regulator, the General Optical Council setting out detailed guidelines for what is acceptable as CPD and then accrediting each activity before it happens. Individual colleges of medicine or faculties may accredit CPD, but this is not done by the regulator, the General Medical Council. The other seven regulators only suggest the modes of learning that are acceptable, and the onus is then on the registrant to ensure that the CPD activity is of adequate quality and relevant to their learning needs. These regulators have therefore only a limited insight and influence on the content, design and quality of the CPD being undertaken by their registrants basing their scrutiny of activities on the learners’ records after the fact, as part of their verification processes. Given that the evidence base for what constitutes effective CPD is still developing, it is possibly understandable that specified modes of CPD are not yet mandated by the regulators but as our review shows CPD is now a mandatory component of revalidation and is likely to become central to future accreditation of multidisciplinary teams. Consequently, the considerable organisational challenge of accrediting all CPD activities before they occur may become a necessity if content and quality are to be assured.

Given current moves to increase integration of NHS services at an organisational and clinical level, a requirement to conduct CPD alongside other professions is notably absent. The General Medical Council requires learning alongside colleagues when the CPD is aimed at improving team performance but as the details of CPD schemes organised by specialist colleges or employers were beyond the scope of this analysis we cannot say if this is widespread practice. The other regulators acknowledge the importance of learning alongside other professions but a requirement to do so is absent. Integration of NHS services is occurring at an organisational level in the form of new Integrated Health Partnerships and the need to develop multidisciplinary team working is a key recommendation of the proposed NHS workforce strategy. The need to include interprofessional education (IPE) within prequalifying health professional education is being recognised and conducting postqualification CPD in multidisciplinary teams is central to the proposed integration of strategies from the field of quality improvement into CBME. This need to develop the healthcare team as a whole recognises that most healthcare occurs in teams and it has been argued that training should recognise that competency and performance need to exist.
countries well-established CPD systems for health professionals, especially in the requirements for peer-to-peer learning, interprofessional learning and the use of PDPs.

CONCLUSIONS
In 2019, there were 32 distinct healthcare professional titles regulated by 9 statutory regulators. CPD is now a mandatory verified requirement for all of these professions but there is considerable variation in the characteristics of the CPD required of them with only one regulator accrediting CPD activities. There is only partial adoption of potentially more effective modalities, such as peer-to-peer learning and use of PDPs and very little requirement for IPE. Reflection on learning undertaken is commonplace but reflection on future learning needs, a defining feature of CPD, is not yet a requirement for most UK health professionals.

Limitations
A limitation of this review is that it only considers the mandatory minimum requirements on professionals for completion of CPD as a requirement of their registration. All the regulators and professional bodies provide a wealth of information and advice on the role of CPD and best practice, a detailed consideration of which would be valuable but beyond the scope of this paper.

A further limitation of this review is the lack of detail about the individual CPD schemes undertaken by Doctors of Medicine, which uniquely for this profession are defined by medical colleges, faculties and employers too numerous to allow for individual consideration. Their regulator, the General Medical Council, and the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges issue broad guidelines on the characteristics of CPD that doctors need to complete, and we have assumed that these are followed by individual schemes. By including guidelines for doctors (and making this assumption), we were able to comment on most of their CPD characteristics with the notable exception of the requirement for group learning. Consequently, in the overall results, we are only able to report the number of all professionals not required to undertake this type of learning rather on the total number required to do so. By including the detail of CPD for doctors, we felt that we were offering as complete as possible an overview of CPD requirements for all healthcare workers in the UK.

It would also be of great interest to place the findings of this review in a global context comparing the detail of other
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