Role of Radiotherapy in Kaposi’s Sarcoma: Review of the Literature
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SUMMARY
Radiotherapy (RT) is an effective treatment for local palliation of Kaposi’s sarcoma. However, there is no standardized RT dose and technique. Usually, electrons or low-energy photons are used, and various bolus materials are utilized for better dose distribution. High treatment response rates have been reported in all RT schemes. When the literature is examined in terms of dose and schema, for cutaneous lesions, single fraction treatments <8 Gy are less effective in terms of complete response (CR), and more effective results were obtained in total doses of 20 Gy and above. A total of 15 Gy for oral lesions, 20 Gy in eyelid conjunctival and scrotal lesions, and 30 Gy for cutaneous lesions are recommended. Planning target volume margins are defined as 2 mm–5 mm for orthovoltage devices and 0.5–2 cm for other treatments. In this study, we aimed to review the RT studies presented in the literature.
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Introduction
Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) was first described in 1872 by Doctor Moritz Kaposi. KS is a vasculoendothelial malignancy that frequently presents with multiple skin lesions and may also involve lymph node (LN), mucosa, and visceral involvement.[1] The male/female ratio is 2 for all subgroups. In GLOBOCAN 2018 data, 41,799 new KS were diagnosed, and 19,902 KS-related deaths were reported.[2] Although not common in oncology clinics, it is the most common malignancy in children in Africa and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients.[3-5]

The cutaneous lesions can be appeared in different colors and characteristics depending on subtype and stage. The lesions may be seen clinically in the form of pink patches, purplish, blue, or black nodules/plaques or polyps.[6] The dermoscopy can be used to differentiate vascular tumors.[7] The lesions may be accompanied by pain, bleeding, pruritus, lymphedema, or superinfection.[8] The punch biopsy (or rarely excisional biopsy) is required for definitive diagnosis.[6]

Regardless of the clinical subtype, cutaneous lesions usually consist of three stages: Patch, plaque, and nodular stage.[1] In the patch phase, endothelial cell proliferation is observed in the reticular dermis. Inflammatory changes are present, plasma cells and lymphocytic cells infiltration may occur. The morphological changes can be observed in dermal vessels and adnexal structures. In the plaque stage, there is proliferation of spindle cells in the dermis (sometimes in the subcutaneous area) irregular dermal collagen increases. Erythrocyte
extravasation and hemosiderin-laden macrophages can be seen and neo-angiogenesis occurs. In the nodular phase, the spindle cells have mild and moderate atypia; chronic inflammatory reaction predominates. Lymphocyte, plasma cell, and dendritic cell infiltration can be observed. There is no relationship between the pathological evaluation and prognosis; however, prognosis is adversely affected only in the presence of high atypia/anaplastic cells.[6]

KS is not only cutaneous but also mucosal, visceral, and nodal involvement may develop at first admission or during the disease. The visceral involvement rate is more than 50% in HIV-related type. The gastrointestinal system (GIS) involvement is most observed. It is manifested by symptoms such as weight loss, abdominal pain, and diarrhea regardless of skin involvement. The pulmonary extension is the second most common extra-cutaneous KS involvement after GIS. The patient may present with cough, dyspnea, and hemoptysis.[9]

The human herpesvirus (HHV) 8, HIV, immunosuppression; genetic factors; antimalarial therapies, and the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors may play a role as risk factors.[10,11]

Kaposi’s Sarcoma-The Clinical Subgroups

Classic KS (CKS)
It is the form described by Moritz Kaposi in 1872. It is common in males (Males/Females=10-15/1) of advanced age (60<) of Mediterranean, Eastern Europe, Jewish, and South American origin.[12] Advanced age and HHV-8 are the main risk factors.[6] The initial complaints are often patchy pigmented lesions in the lower extremities. Although not frequent, mucosal (<5%), visceral (<10%), and LN (<10%) involvement may occur.[6,12,13] It is usually not aggressive, manifests as chronic skin lesions, progresses slowly, rarely fatal, and does not increase the risk of secondary malignancy. The median overall survey (OS) is 9.4 years; advanced age and immunosuppression are negative prognostic.[6] The localized lesions can be treated with surgery, radiotherapy (RT), and follow-up; systemic therapies are indicated in the presence of diffuse lesions or visceral involvement.[12]

Endemic KS (EnKS)
EnKS was first detected in children living in Central and Eastern Africa in the 1960s.[14-16] The male/female ratio is 2-3/1. It is generally diagnosed in HIV-negative men aged 25-30 years and reported in the pediatric endemic group and the median age in this group is 3 years. [10] The patients were HIV negative, and the EnKS was associated with HHV-8 positivity. Unlike other forms, LN involvement is more common than skin lesions. Afterward, endemic HIV was detected in the region. In the last two to three decades, KS observed at a young age showed a shift toward HIV-related type.[4] The prognosis varies widely from indolent skin lesion to aggressive fatal systemic disease.[13] Depending on the course of the disease, local or systemic therapies are preferred.

Iatrogenic KS (IKS)
It is frequently observed after solid organ transplantation (OT). IKS was first described in patients who used long-term immunosuppressive drugs after OT in the 1970s.[17] In addition to OT, KS may also develop due to long-term use of corticosteroids and other malignancies/treatments where immunosuppression is observed. The skin lesions are predominating, mucosal involvement is 20%, visceral involvement is 20-50%, and LN involvement is 20-40%. The incidence of KS in cases with OT is 8.8/100.000.[18] The median time to development of KS after OT is 13 months, but the interval may range from a few weeks to 18 years.[6] It is rarely aggressive.[13] In a recent French study, 5 years and 10 years OS were reported as 85% and 75%.[19] There are insufficient data on prognostic factors.[6]

Epidemic KS (EpKS)
It is the HIV-related subtype that is commonly seen in homosexual men and it is usually aggressive. EpKS was first described in the USA in the early 1980s.[17] In addition to cutaneous lesions, mucosal involvement is observed in 30-40%, visceral involvement is observed in 20-40%, and LN involvement is observed in 25% cases. Visceral involvement is also dominant in GIS and systemic symptoms (fever, weight loss, etc.) are initial symptoms. KS is the most common malignancy in HIV-positive patients. The lower CD4 cells increase the risk of developing KS.[6] EpKS may show an indolent or aggressive course. The patients need antiretroviral drugs and systemic chemotherapy (CT). Especially after use the effective combined antiretroviral therapies in HIV treatment, the incidence of KS decreased after 1995. The mortality of EpKS is also reduced with effective treatments. The median OS in Western countries is around 2 years. The presence of other concomitant HIV-related diseases, age 50 and older, HHV-8 viremia, and CD4 low are negative prognostic factors.[6] Combined antiretroviral therapies for HIV have a positive impact on the prognosis of EpKS but may not be suffi-
cient, especially in advanced diseases. In this case, liposomal anthracycline-based CT and targeted agents are thought to be more widely used in the future.[3,13,20]

**Treatment of Kaposi’s Sarcoma**

Surgery, RT, topical, intralesional therapies, CT, and electro-CT can be preferred in the treatment of local KS. No controlled randomized trials are comparing for local treatments.[6] Surgery may be tried in cases with good margins and cosmetically acceptable, but it has high recurrence rates. The CO₂-laser and superficial cryotherapy can be applied in superficial lesions and have a response rate of 80-90%, but it causes hypopigmentation in some cases. Intralesional CTs are another treatment option and have a response rate of around 70%. Brambilla et al.[21] applied intralesional vincristine to 151 KS patients, and a response rate of 98.7% was achieved. Electrochemotherapy is a new and interesting treatment and it is aimed to increase intratumoral CT uptake with the help of electropolarization. The most used CT is bleomycin. In current prospective studies, 65-89% complete response (CR) was obtained with electrochemotherapy. [22-24] RT is one of the effective treatments that will be discussed in detail.

In the presence of systemic disease, anthracycline-based CT and immunotherapy are applied. Furthermore, antiviral may be administered in case of infection such as HIV.[25] Treatment preference is determined by clinical subtype and patient’s complaints. In systemic therapies, the aim is not to cure but to improve disease control and quality of life. Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, paclitaxel interferon alfa-2a or 2b, and antiangiogenic agents (pomalidomide/lenalidomide/bevacizumab) can be applied for this purpose.[6]

**Role of RT in Kaposi’s Sarcoma Treatment**

RT has been used safely in the local treatment of KS for many years. The most common indications for cutaneous lesions are pain, bleeding, pruritus, and edema. [1] RT is an effective treatment option not only in cutaneous lesions but also in mucosal lesions, especially in the oral cavity. Although oral cavity lesions are seen in all subtypes, it is the most common in epidemic type. Oral lesions are most commonly localized in hard palate, gingival, and dorsal tongue. Lesions may cause complaints such as pain, bleeding, and chewing difficulties due to local detrusion.[9] In this case, RT is indicated for palliative purposes. Besides, RT may be applied for eyelid, conjunctiva, genital area, and visceral organ involvement.[26,27]

KS is a radiosensitive tumor and a response rate of 70-90% is obtained in both cutaneous and extracutaneous lesions (Table 1).[13] In the study by Donato et al.,[28] who evaluated 18 KS patients, 83.3% CR was obtained in patients. Akmansu et al.[29] reported in their study (2011), CR rates were 86.7% at 6-month control and 93.3% at 12-month control. In Teke et al.[30] study, 45.5% CR and 36.4% partial response (PR) were obtained by RT. High response rates are reported in the control of symptoms, especially pain and pruritus.

In addition to the high RT response rates, palliation shows a long-term persistence. Data on whether the effect of RT is permanent in the long term have been reported in classical KS studies because of its long survival values. For example, Akmansu et al.[29] evaluated 31 CKS lesions and 93% CR was observed in the 1st year control and this rate was not changed in the 5-year control. In the Kasper et al.[31] study, high-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy was applied to 16 lesions in a patient with non-HIV-associated and non-IKS, and 100% CR was obtained, and no recurrence was observed during the 41-month median follow-up. In the literature sources with long-term data, the RT effect was found to be high persistent (Table 1).[27,29,31,32]

**Kaposi’s Sarcoma-RT Techniques**

Due to the lack of prospective randomized studies, there is no standard approach to optimal RT techniques.[8]
Electron and low energy photon are frequently preferred in Kaposi’s sarcoma RT. [1] On the other hand, 3D, intensity-modulated RT (IMRT), volumetric arc therapy (VMAT) techniques can be used for planning. In the Park et al. [33] study, photons, electron, HDR, IMRT, and VMAT techniques were compared dosimetric, and it was observed that better dose values were achieved with VMAT in multiple lesions. In the study of Nicolini, electron versus photons (with VMAT) were compared and acceptable dose values and better treatment times were reported with VMAT. [18] In dosimetric studies that comparing modern RT techniques versus conventional techniques, similar dose values are observed. However, there are deficiencies in clinical trials where treatment response and side effects are evaluated together.

According to the width of the lesion, the applicator could be used in conventional electron treatments between 1.5 and 20 cm. [26] Plexiglas tissue-equivalent material of 0.5 or 1 cm thickness can be supported to provide a dose peak on the skin surface. In photon treatments, opposite lateral fields are generally used. [34] Using low-energy photons, dose accumulation on the surface of the lesion is targeted.

Orthovoltage devices that can be used in low energy (Kv) and used in superficial treatments have been applied in many clinics in the treatment of KS. [35, 36] Kv energy orthovoltage devices with 45 etkin 100 Kv energy with 3.5 mm margin to 1 cm depth of effective treatments can be applied; however, in many clinics today, this treatment is not available.

Brachytherapy is an RT option in the treatment of KS. Clinical response and cosmetic results of brachytherapy have also been reported as excellent. In 2019, Ruiz et al. [37] applied 5 Gy×5 fractions (frc) HDR to a total of 5 lesions of 3 patients and achieved 100% CR, and it was recommended to apply brachytherapy, especially in elderly patients and in cases where surgery and cosmetic results would be poor. On the other hand, Kasper et al. [31] applied 24-35 Gy/4-6 frc HDR to 16 patients and obtained 100% CR in the lesions. In summary, HDR brachytherapy is a successful alternative in elderly patients in cases where the cosmetic result of the operation is not good and lesions smaller than 2 cm. In general, 24 Gy/3 frc doses were applied. [38, 39]

Extremities are irregular surfaces, so bolus materials are used to control dose distribution. [34, 40] The bolus material contributes to homogeneous dose distribution in irregular areas and also contributes to the superstructure of the applied energy build-up point. [1] Mainly used boluses; are tissue equivalent substance-plexiglass and water bolus.

The choice of energy/technique should be determined with the help of a medical physicist considering the width and depth of the lesion. [34]

### Treatment Doses in Kaposi’s Sarcoma RT

In the literature review, different schemes ranging from 6 Gy/1 frc to 45 Gy were observed (Table 1). [40] The most commonly used doses were 8 Gy/1 frc; 30 Gy/10 frc, and 20 Gy/4-5 frc. Less frequently, 40 Gy/20 frc and 16 Gy/4 frc are also applied. [1] Fractional treatments are preferred if large area irradiation is to be performed. Furthermore, fractional therapies are more appropriate in mucosal lesions. [41]

Overall RT response rates are high. It has long been studied which of the different dosing schemes provides higher CR. In the Harrison et al. [35] study, 16 Gy/4 frc versus 8 Gy/1 frc doses were prospectively compared and there was no significant difference in response between the two doses. However, in the study of Stelzer et al. [36] 8 Gy/1 frc versus 20 Gy/10 frc versus 40 Gy/20 frc were compared and significantly higher CR was observed in fractionated therapies. Kandaz et al. [42] reported that the fractionated therapies that total dose is over 20 Gy have a better response rate than 8 Gy/1 frc treatment. In summary, studies have shown that fractionated therapies are more effective in the literature data.

In a valuable study by Yildiz et al., the single dose of RT was prospectively examined for dose reduction. In the study of Yildiz et al. [43] 8 Gy/1 frc versus 6 Gy/1 frc were compared and significantly lower CR was observed in the 6 Gy arm. According to these data, less than 8 Gy in cutaneous single-fraction RT is not recommended. The studies that fractionated schemas evaluated and their entirety are available in the literature. In a study conducted by Singh et al. [44] in 2008, 24 Gy/12 frc versus 20 Gy/5 frc were prospectively randomized and there was no significant difference in terms of treatment response, side effect, and progression-free survey/OS. Garea et al. [45] (1991) reported a significantly lower objective response in the total dose 20 Gy arm compared to the 30 Gy arm (97% vs. 83% p=0.04). Oysul et al. [46] (2008) presented the results of RT in 18 patients with CKS. Higher CR has been reported in cases where an equivalent dose of more than 20 Gy is administered. In summary, high control rates have been reported in all RT schemes for cutaneous lesions. In single fraction treatments below 8 Gy, efficacy of RT is lower. More effective results are obtained in fractionated schemes that total doses of 20 Gy or more. [1]
| Study | Energy | N/Lesions | FU OS | Doses | Response rate | FFR | Acute tox. |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| Berson et al.[49] 1990 Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MeV/MV | 187/375 | 11 m OS: 15 m (1–71) | 8 Gy/1 frc 15–40 Gy/5–10 frc | 93% RR | FFR: 6 m 69% 1 year 62% 2 years 46% | 60% grade 2-3 reaction |
| de Wit et al.[50] 1990 Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | KV/MV | 31/74 | FU: At least 4 months OS: NS | 8 Gy /1 frc | 90% RR | NS | RD |
| Westermann et al.[51] 1990 Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MeV/MV | 15/68 | FU: NS OS: NS | 26–40 Gy/1.8–2.5 Gy-frc 66% CR 31% PR | NS | Redness and hyperpigmentation |
| Cooper et al.[52] 1991 Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | KV/MV | 129/226 | FU: NS OS: 9.6–11.8 m | 8 Gy/1 frc 30 Gy/10 frc | 68% CR 20% PR | LR: 9% | NS |
| Geara et al.[45] 1991 Epidemic KS (the cutaneous and genitals RT) Retrospective | MeV/KV | 149/NS | FU: NS OS: 88% p alive | 20–30 Gy/frc doses 2.5 Gy | 63% CR 30% PR | Rec: 64-100% (associated HIV remission) 13% edema 6% mild SR 60% dry desquamation 26% exudative desquamation Edema Mucositis Hyperpigmentation |
| Plettenberg et al.[53] 1991 Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | KV | 23/53 | NS | 20–30 Gy/2 Gy 20 Gy/10 frc | 17%CR 76%PR 4% Stable | NS | Mucositis |
| Chang et al.[54] 1992 Classic and epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | KV/MeV | 20/92 | FU: CutKS: 24 m (1–72) Muc KS 5 m (1–14) OS: NS | 9.9 Gy /3 frc 50 Gy/25 frc 10 Gy/5 frc 30 Gy/10 frc | 66% CR CKS 94% CR EpKS | Rec: CutKS: 10% (8 m) Muc KS: 3% (1.5 m) | RD Alopoeia Mucositis |
| Ghabrial et al.[55] 1992 Epidemic KS (the eyelids and conjunctiva) Retrospective | KV/MeV | 42/49 | FU: NS OS: 9.2 m (1–36) for example | 8 Gy/1 frc 15-36 Gy/5–12 frc 12 m (2–42) for alive | 22–32% CR 68–72% PR | Rec: 29% Minor reactions (mostly loss of cilia) |
| Stelzer and Griffin[36] 1993 Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Prospective | MeV | 14/71 | FU: NS OS: 86% alive (12/14) | 8 Gy/1 frc 20 Gy/10 frc 40 Gy/20 frc | 50% CR(8 Gy) 79% CR (20 Gy) 83% CR (40 Gy) | Rec 40 w: 84% (8 G y) 62% (20 Gy) 48% (40 Gy) | Dry desquamation, alopecia, hyperpigmentation |
| Study | Energy | N/Lesions | FU OS | Doses | Response rate | FFR | Acute tox. |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| Le Bourgeois et al.[47] 1994 | MV | 146/186 | FU: 7 m OS: NS | 10-30 Gy | Oral KS 11% CR 89% PR Eyelid and conjunctival KS 54% CR 42% PR Penile and scrotal KS 69.4% CR 30.6% PR | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| Epidemic KS (the oral cavity, eyelids, and the genitals) Retrospective | KV | 196 | FU: 7 m OS: NS | 10-30 Gy | Oral KS 11% CR 89% PR Eyelid and conjunctival KS 54% CR 42% PR Penile and scrotal KS 69.4% CR 30.6% PR | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| Piedbois et al.[48] 1994 | MeV | 453/NS | FU: 7 m (2-24) OS: NS | 10-20 Gy/ Frc dose: 2.5 | 87.8% RR Rec: 71% (7.5 m) 5% skin ulcer Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous, eyelids, conjunctival RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| KV | 453/NS | FU: 7 m (2-24) OS: NS | 10-20 Gy/ Frc dose: 2.5 | 87.8% RR Rec: 71% (7.5 m) 5% skin ulcer Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous, eyelids, conjunctival RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| MeV | 453/NS | FU: 7 m (2-24) OS: NS | 10-20 Gy/ Frc dose: 2.5 | 87.8% RR Rec: 71% (7.5 m) 5% skin ulcer Epidemic KS (the mucosal and cutaneous, eyelids, conjunctival RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| Piccinno et al.[56] 1995 | Kv | 65/594 | FU: 9 m (1-43) OS: NS | 5-45 Gy Total doses Frc dose: 1.5-2 Gy | 68% CR 13% PR Rec: 2.4% (2-9 m) 0.5% hypopigmentation Epidemic KS (the cutaneous, mucosal, genital, eyelids RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| Stein et al.[57] 1995 | KV | 56/96 | FU: CKS 50 m (7-168) EnKS 20 m (1-180) EpKS 8 m (1-20) | 8-12 Gy/1 frc 24-30 Gy/2 Gy | 80-100% RR LFI: 12 m for CKS 53% grade 1 RD 4% grade 2 RD 4% grade 3 RD Epidemic KS (the cutaneous, mucosal, eyelids, and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| MeV | 56/96 | FU: CKS 50 m (7-168) EnKS 20 m (1-180) EpKS 8 m (1-20) | 8-12 Gy/1 frc 24-30 Gy/2 Gy | 80-100% RR LFI: 12 m for CKS 53% grade 1 RD 4% grade 2 RD 4% grade 3 RD Epidemic KS (the cutaneous, mucosal, eyelids, and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | NS | Oral KS 63% mild 15% moderate 22 severe Eyelid and conjunctival KS 77.5% mild 19.6% moderate 2.9% severe Penile and scrotal KS 80% mild 14% moderate 6% severe |
| NS | 15/15 | NS | 30 Gy/2-2.5 Gy | 73% CR 13% PR NS | Mild and moderate Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | NS | Mild and moderate Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective |
| Metzman et al.[58] 1995 | NS | 15/15 | NS | 30 Gy/2-2.5 Gy | 73% CR 13% PR NS | Mild and moderate Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective |
| Saran et al.[59] 1997 | MeV | 52/133 | NS | 20 Gy/2 Gy | 32% CR 57% PR NS | 74% grade 1 RD Epidemic KS (the cutaneous, genital RT) Retrospective |
| MV | 52/133 | NS | 20 Gy/2 Gy | 32% CR 57% PR NS | 74% grade 1 RD Epidemic KS (the cutaneous, genital RT) Retrospective |
| Conill et al.[60] 1997 | Kt | 22/251 | FU: 6 m (1-13) OS: NS | 8 Gy/1 frc 30 Gy/10 frc | 95% CR 4% PR NS | Hypopigmentation Edema Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective |
| Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | Kt | 22/251 | FU: 6 m (1-13) OS: NS | 8 Gy/1 frc 30 Gy/10 frc | 95% CR 4% PR NS | Hypopigmentation Edema Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective |
| Study | Energy | N/Lesions | FU OS | Doses | Response rate | FFR | Acute tox. |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|-----|------------|
| Kirova et al.[26] 1997 Epidemic KS (the mucosal, eyelids, genitals, conjunctiva, and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MeV | 621/6777 | FU: 8.2 m (2-36) OS: NS | Total doses 10-30 Gy | CutKS 66% CR 26% PR 8% No response Oral cavity KS 17.8% CR 82.2% PR eyelid, conjunctiva genitals KS 75.1% mild reaction 21% moderate reaction 3.9% severe reaction | CutKS rec 71% (8 m) CutKS 8% gr1 RD 61% gr2 RD 26% gr3 RD 5% gr4 RD Oral cavity KS 66% mild reaction 18% moderate reaction 16% severe reaction eyelid, conjunctiva genitals KS 26% CR 63% PR | CutKS Pain Mucositis-mild |
| Caccialanza et al.[61] 1997 Epidemic KS (the oral cavity) Retrospective | ICRT | 26/26 | FU: 7.5 m (1-44) OS: NS | 10-50 Gy/5 Gy | 76.9% CR 23% PR | NS | 100% Pain Mucositis-mild |
| Evans et al.[38] 1997 Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | HDR Brachytherapy | 16/120 | FU: 8-20 m OS: NS | 8-20 Gy total dose | 80-86% RR | NS | Dry desquamation |
| Syndikus et al.[39] 1997 Epidemic KS (the oral cavity) Brachytherapy | HDR | 6/7 | FU: 2.9 m OS: NS | 14-39 Gy/2-3 frc | 100% CR | No relapse | Mild mucositis |
| Harrison et al.[35] 1998 Epidemic KS (cutaneous RT) Prospective | Orthovoltage KV | 57/596 | FU: 19 w OS: 17 m (3-52) | 16 Gy/4 frc 8 Gy/1 frc | 78.8% CR (total) 77.6% CR-8 Gy arm 80.8% CR-16 Gy arm | 109 Lesions in available 24 patients relapse (10-87 w) | Skin pigmentation |
| Gressen et al.[62] 1999 Epidemic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | NS | 36/46 | FU: 8 m (at least 1 m) OS: NS | 21 Gy/3.5 Gy | 80%CR 11%PR | NS | Infection |
| Huang et al.[63] 2006 Classic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MV | 17/29 | FU: 30 m (4-82) OS: 5 years 85% | 39 Gy/3 Gy | 76%CR | 5 years PFS 58% | Painful erythema, Swelling, Bullae |
| Yildiz et al.[43] 2006 Classic and iatrogenic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MeV | 47/203 | FU: 48 m (1-130) OS: NS | 8 Gy/1 frc versus 6 Gy/1 frc | 8 Gy arm 93% CR 6 Gy arm 60% CR | 1 year PFS 80% (8 Gy) 1 year PFS 88% (6 Gy) In field rec 7% in both arms | 91% grade 1 RD 63% grade 2–3 RD |
| Study | Energy | N/Lesions | FU OS | Doses | Response rate | FFR | Acute tox. |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| Caccialanza et al.[27] 2008 Classic and epidemic KS (mucosal, genital, and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | Kv | 248/1482 | NS | 10-45 Gy Total doses | CKS: CR 98.7% EpKS: CR 91.4% PR 6.7 | NS | Erythema |
| Oysul et al.[46] 2008 Classic KS (cutaneous RT) Retrospective | NS | 18/109 | FU: 4 years (2-16 years) OS: NS | NTD2 Gy≥20 versus NTD2 Gy<20 | 1 year 93.2% CR, 3.4%PR for NTD2 Gy ≥ 20; 64% CR, 24% PR for NTD2 Gy<20 | 96% RR | NS |
| Singh et al.[44] 2008 Epidemic KS (mucosal and cutaneous RT) Prospective | MV | 47/65 | FU: 160 d (0-545 d) OS: 1 year 37% | 24 Gy/12 frc versus 20 Gy/5 frc | 88% CR 12% PR | 4 patients relapsed (14.2 m) | 25% grade 1 RD 12.5% grade 2 RD |
| Hauerstock[64] 2009 Classic KS (cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MV | 16/NS | FU: 27 m (1-96) OS: NS | 30 Gy/15 frc | CR 6 months 87% 1 year CR 93.3% 5 years 93.3% | NS | 29.4% dry and wet desquamation 11.8% dry, desquamation 11.8% pain 39% grade 2 |
| Akmansu et al.[29] 2011 Classic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MV | 16/NS | FU: 27 m (1-145) OS: NS | 24-45 Gy /1.8-3 Gy | 9% CR 73% PR | NS | 13% grade 1 39% grade 2 |
| Kasper et al.[31] 2013 HDR Non-HIV associated and non-iatrogenic (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | HDR | 16/23 | FU: 27 m (1-145) OS: NS | 24-45 Gy /1.8-3 Gy | 9% CR 73% PR | NS | 81% grade 1 RD 12.5 grade 2 RD |
| Donato et al.[28] 2013 Epidemic KS (the mucosal, eyelids, and cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MeV | 18/38 | FU: 51 m (4-124) OS: 88% 1 year Median OS 57.4 m | 20-40 Gy/10-20 frc | CR 83.8% PR 16.2% | NS | 23.6% dry desquamation 26.4% grade 1 stomatitis |
| Teke et al.[30] 2015 classic KS (the cutaneous RT) Retrospective | MV | 14/22 | NS | 8 Gy/1 30 Gy/10 | 45.5% CR 36.4% PR | NS | Pain, Edema |
RT can also be applied successfully in extracutaneous lesions. Eyelid and conjunctival KS are known to be more radiosensitive and have a higher response rate than cutaneous forms (Table 1). In Le Bourgeois’s study, they recommended 15 Gy for oral lesions; 20 Gy for eyelid conjunctival and scrotal lesions. Similarly, in the series of 643 patients of Kirova et al., 15 Gy for oral lesions; 20 Gy for eyelid conjunctiva and genital lesions; and 30 Gy for cutaneous lesions are recommended. In the study of Piedbois et al. (early 1990), 453 patients were evaluated. This study suggests that 15 Gy for oral lesions, eyelid conjunctival scrotal, penis-anel anal hand, and foot 20 Gy and 30 Gy for cutaneous lesions of the other region was sufficient. Kirova et al. the first 10 Gy was applied, then a 10-day break, then the remaining 10 Gy was applied. Moreover, weekly follow-up was recommended to patients with eyelid, conjunctiva, lips, and genitals KS. In addition, it is recommended that the daily dose be administered as 1.5-1.6 Gy due to the risk of mucositis.

Planning target volume (PTV) is created with 2-5 mm in orthovoltage devices and 0.5-2 cm margin in other treatments. When the literature is examined in terms of dose and schema, for cutaneous lesions, single fraction treatments <8 Gy are less effective in terms of CR, and more effective results were obtained in total doses of 20 Gy and above. A total of 15 Gy for oral lesions, 20 Gy in eyelid conjunctival and scrotal lesions, and 30 Gy for cutaneous lesions are recommended. PTV margins are defined as 2-5 mm for orthovoltage devices and 0.5-2 cm for other treatments.

**Side Effects**

Most of the RT side effects are mild and moderate, and the patients have a high treatment tolerance. Grade 1 radiodermatitis (RD) is most commonly observed. Oral lesions are common, especially in HIV-associated KS patients and RT and mucositis can be observed. In general, it is aimed to reduce side effects by reducing the total and fraction dose of mucosal RT.
**Future Perspective**

Prospective randomized trials comparing different local therapies are needed. In terms of RT, dosimetric studies comparing the efficacy of different RT techniques (3D vs. IMRT vs. IGRT, etc.) should be supported by clinical studies.
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