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**Abstract.** Background/Aim: Colon cancer liver metastases with desmoplastic growth pattern (dGP) have a highly heterogeneous therapy response. The aim of the study was to evaluate the dGP liver metastasis molecular profile from a chemo-naive patient by mimicking metastatic process on an experimental chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model. Materials and Methods: Three successive CAM passages of dGP human colorectal liver metastases were immunophenotyped for keratin (K) 8, and 20, CLIC1, VEGF, EGFR, CD34, podoplanin, Ki67, E-cadherin and vimentin. Results: Metastatic cells gradually lost K20 while K8, E-cadherin and vimentin heterogeneously increased during passages. VEGF, CLIC1, EGFR expression increased in metastatic cells especially at the tumor graft periphery. Scattered proliferating and non-proliferating podoplanin-positive tumor cells, lymphatic and blood vessels were heterogeneously detected in tumor xenografts depending on passage stage. Conclusion: By mimicking repetitive metastatic processes we proved that metastatic cells change their phenotype. This may explain why not all metastases have a similar response to therapy.

Despite advances in therapy, as the use of the resection combined with adjuvant systemic regimens, the use of the portal vein embolization techniques, the new findings in the molecular aspects of the colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM), the curative ratio is only 20% (1-3). It was shown that perioperative systemic therapy in patients with resectable CRLM before and after curative hepatic resection does not improve the 5-year overall survival (OS) for these patients compared to those treated with hepatic resection alone (51% vs. 48%) (4, 5).

The histological growth pattern (HGP) has been noticed in the liver metastasis (LM) with colorectal (CR) origin, but also in those from breast, gastric and uveal melanoma. The HGPs were found in other sites in the lung (pushing, desmoplastic and aerogenous types) and brain (well-demarcated one, vascular co-option and diffuse infiltration types) metastases of CR carcinoma (6-8). The HGP of LM with CR origin was proposed as a prognosis parameter by an international multidisciplinary team (9). From the main HGP, (desmoplastic, replacement, pushing and mixed histological growth pattern GP) a superior survival rate was noticed in the desmoplastic growth pattern (dHGP) compared to replacement HGP. Analyzing the correlation of HGP with the immune phenotype (IP) and clinical outcome after liver resection, Stremitzer et al. noticed that inflammatory IP was associated with dHGP. The dHGP was associated with better radiological, histological and bevacizumab-based chemotherapy response compared to replacement HGP. dHGP is associated with inflamed IP and HGP may be a potential biomarker for adjuvant treatment that includes targeting the immune microenvironment (10).
The desmoplastic type of liver metastases is characterized by the fibrotic reaction that surrounds the metastases and angiogenesis with an increased rate of endothelial cell proliferation and microvascular density (11). The chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model is an intensely used experimental model for tumor and cancer cell lines growth because of its low cost and lack of the immune response. The biology of HGPs and the mechanisms of capsule formation in the desmoplastic type are not completely understood.

Based on these data, the aim of this study was to evaluate the molecular profile of liver metastases (desmoplastic type), with colorectal origin in the case of successive transfers on chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM).

Materials and Methods

Patients and biopsies. The present study included LM of CR adenocarcinoma biopsy obtained by an excisional tumorectomy of the LM. Signed consent was obtained from the patient, the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were respected, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (no. 7339/22.04.2016). Some of the metastatic fragments were fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 24 h and paraffin embedded for morphological and immunohistochemical evaluation and others were applied on the CAM.

Experimental design. Fertilized white Leghorn chicken eggs were used in this study. The eggs were divided into 2 groups, each group containing 10 eggs, plus the control group and incubated at a temperature of 37°C, in a humid atmosphere. On the third day of incubation, the eggs were opened, and a window was made on the surface of the egg, later covered with adhesive tape. A silicone ring was applied to the surface of the CAM on the first day of the experiment, which corresponded to the day of incubation. In the ring, a suspension of tumor cells derived from CRLM (dHGP) was implanted. After 5 days, the xenograft was transferred to the membrane of another egg. This was followed by the second transfer, after 5 days.

Immunohistochemistry. CLIC 1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, monoclonal, clone 356.1, dilution 1: 2,000), EGFR (Novocastra Newcastle Ltd, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK, clone EGFR.113, dilution 1:20), VEGF (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark, DK-2600, clone VG1, RTU), K20 (clone PW31), vimentin (clone V9), E cadherin (clone 26B5), Ki67 (clone MM1) were used as primary antibodies. All of the last antibodies were ready to use, from Leica Biosystem. The CR origin of LM was supported by keratin 20 positivity with granular cytoplasmic pattern. A homogeneous distribution and heterogeneous intensity patterns (with values of 2 and 3) were noticed in the metastatic area. A granular cytoplasmic pattern of EGFR, with distribution and intensity heterogeneity were noticed. EGFR-positive metastatic cells with intensity values between 1 and 3 were found. The CLIC 1 expression pattern was predominantly granular cytoplasmic and nuclear in few, isolated cells. CLIC 1 was found in the blood vessels endothelial cells from connective tissue band, in the liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and in few starry-like morphology cells disposed under the liver capsule. VEGF immunoreaction revealed negative cells and positive cells with intensity values of 2 and 3 in the metastatic area. Positive cells with an intensity value of 3 were present in the connective tissue that delimits the metastatic area from the remaining liver. The double immunostaining D2-40/Ki67 showed the following metastatic cells phenotypes: negative, D2-40 positive, Ki 67 positive and D2-40/Ki 67 positive cells. D2-40 positive lymphatic vessels were noticed under the liver capsule, in the remaining liver parenchyma.

A suspension of cells belonging to a LM of CR origin was applied to the surface of the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) (Figure 1a). After four days, during which the xenografts increased in size, by blood vessel acquisition from the CAM, the transfer was performed on the membrane of another embryonated eggs. Macroscopically, the newly transferred tumor acquired vessels, visible at the periphery,
with a spoke wheel pattern (Figure 1b-e). The inner vascular network of the graft was visible on the third day after transfer and the graft growth continued (Figure 1f and g). On the fifth day after the first transfer, the second transfer took place (Figure 1h and i).

**Tumor cell immunophenotype of the initial implant on CAM.**

The immunohistochemical profile of the xenograft before the first transfer revealed EGFR and K20 immuno-expression (Figure 2b and c). K20 was positive but with lower intensity and distribution compared to metastasis. CLIC 1 immuno-expression was noticed in more than 70% of metastatic cells, with cytoplasmic pattern, only few cells with nuclear expression were seen (Figure 2a). Reaction intensity values varied between 2 and 3 (isolated cells). VEGF-positive cells with intensity values of 2 and 3, predominantly distributed around the blood vessels were found (Figure 2d).

**Tumor cells immunophenotype heterogeneity during consecutive passages on CAM.**

The morphological staining of the transferred xenograft, on the first day after transfer, indicated the preservation of the initial metastatic profile, of dHGP, with collagen bands at the periphery of the metastatic areas. A heterogeneous distribution pattern of K20 with negative and positive xenograft cells (intensity value of 3, more numerous; Figure 2g) was noticed. EGFR was expressed with a heterogeneous intensity and distribution pattern in the transferred xenograft than the initial one (intensity values of 2 and 3; Figure 2f). A slight decrease in the number of VEGF-positive cells was noticed, but the intensity and distribution pattern were maintained.

Morphological and immunohistochemical evaluation of the xenograft two days after first transfer indicated a decrease in the immunoreaction intensity and number of cells for keratin 20 compared to a previous evaluation. A decrease in the number of positive cells and the intensity of the reaction for CLIC 1, EGFR, K20, VEGF was found. The distribution of positive cells for all antibodies was predominantly at the periphery of the xenograft (Figure 2i-1).

The xenograft on the fifth day after the second transfer showed a higher percentage and intensity of reaction for CLIC 1 (value 3 of intensity, cytoplasmic and nuclear pattern), EGFR and VEGF-positive cells. An important decrease in the number of positive cells (until no keratin 20...
expression) and immunoexpression intensity in the xenograft cells was noticed (Figure 2m-p).

The main cellular phenotypes highlighted in xenografts by double immunoreactions were: epithelial (E-cadherin+/vim–; K8+/vim–), mesenchymal (E-cadherin–/vim+; K8–/vim+), mesenchymal-epithelial or non-differentiated phenotype (E-cadherin+/vim+; K8+/vim+) and differentiated phenotype (E-cadherin–/K8+).

On the first day after the first transfer the xenograft was characterized by the predominance of the epithelial phenotype in the metastatic cells and epithelial- mesenchymal differentiated phenotype. Only few cells with mesenchymal and mesenchymal non-differentiated phenotype were noticed (Figure 3a-c).

On the second day after the second transfer, a decrease of value intensity reaction for E-cadherin and the number of epithelial phenotype cells (E-cadherin+/vim–; K8+/vim–) (Figure 3d and f) and a slight increase in the number of mesenchymal-epithelial differentiated phenotype (Figure 3e and f). Isolated cells with mesenchymal and mesenchymal-epithelial non-differentiated phenotypes were noticed (Figure 3d-f).

On the fifth day after the second transfer a higher value of K8 intensity in epithelial phenotype cells was noticed (Figure 3h and i). Isolated cells, with mesenchymal phenotype was also found (Figure 3g and i).

The double immunostaining CD34/ Ki67 revealed vessels with lumen, with CD34-positive endothelial cells and cord-like structures with CD34-positive cells in the peripheral band of the xenograft on the first day after the transfer (Figure 4a and b). In the inner part of the xenograft, cord-like structures containing CD34/ Ki67-positive cells, Ki67- positive cells and CD34- positive cells were noticed (Figure 4c).

On the second day after the first transfer, in the connective band from the xenograft periphery, cord like structure consisting of CD34/Ki67-positive cells and CD34-positive cells were noticed. Small groups of CD34, Ki67- positive and co-expressing cells and permeable blood vessels, with lumen and proliferative endothelial cells were present in the peripheral connective tissue band (Figure 4e). Inside of the xenograft, clusters of CD34, Ki67- positive cells and co-expressing cells centered by proliferative, permeable vessels were found (Figure 4f and g). The cord-like structure and CD34-isolated positive cells were found also.

On the fifth day after the second transfer in the peripheral collagen rim the following structures were noticed: cords-like structures consisting of CD34/Ki67-positive cells, network of cords-like structures, vessels with large lumen and proliferative endothelium and vessels with large lumen, pillars inside and proliferative endothelium. All these aspects
support two types of angiogenesis: sprouting and intussusception (Figure 4i and j). In the inner part of the xenograft small groups of CD34 and CD34/Ki67-positive cells were found. The main mechanism of angiogenesis within the xenograft was that of intussusception (Figure 4k).

In the xenograft before transfer, groups of podoplanin-positive cells with cytoplasmic expression pattern and intensity values of 1 and 2 were present. Small groups of co-expressing podoplanin/Ki67 in the metastatic area and in the peripheral connective tissue band were found. Podoplanin-positive vessels were noticed in the metastatic area and collagen band.

On the first day after the first transfer, few lymphatic vessels with narrow lumen were noticed. Isolated vessels with proliferative endothelial cells (podoplanin/Ki67-positive) were found in the connective tissue band. Small groups of podoplanin/Ki67-positive cells were detected in the periphery (collagen band) but inside of the xenograft also (Figure 4d).

The xenograft on the second day after the first transfer was characterized by the absence of the expression in the peripheral connective rim and the presence by few, isolated D2-40/Ki67-co-expressing cells inside of the xenograft (Figure 4h).

The features of the xenograft after the second transfer consisted of the presence of a higher number of vessels than in the first transfer, first day, identified in the peripheral connective band, but also in the central area of the xenograft. Their lumen was covered by podoplanin-positive endothelial cells or by proliferative lymphatic endothelial cells (podoplanin/Ki67-positive cells). In the inner and peripheral area of the xenograft, groups of podoplanin, Ki67 and podoplanin/Ki67-positive cells were noticed (Figure 4l).

Discussion

Among the major problems of colon cancer are, on the one hand, the late diagnosis in the metastatic stage (one out of five patients had LM at the time of diagnosis) (11) and on the other hand the high risk of recurrence even after surgical therapy and with adjuvant systemic therapies (70% recurrence) (2). A better stratification of patients may be useful in improving prognosis and evolution of patients with LM of colon cancer.

HGP may be useful for this purpose. It was demonstrated that pushing GP had an adverse impact on overall survival and disease-free survival (12). Studies have suggested that patients with dHGP had a better prognosis compared to patients with non-dHGP (13, 14). But the mechanisms of appearance of this connective ring remain questionable. It is not well known if the tumor stimulates fibrogenesis or if the stromal reaction represents a hepatic defense mechanism or another
mechanism. It has been demonstrated that relapse-free survival after hepatectomy was 11.5% for mature/intermediate desmoplastic reactions (DR) in the liver and 5.6% for immature desmoplastic reaction (DR) liver (15). One of the most important players in DR formation, producing proteins, such as collagen, extracellular matrix is the cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs). The metastatic cancer cells pass through the circulation as a single cell or as clusters containing CAFs. Some of the circulating tumor cells may express epithelial markers (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) and mesenchymal cytokeratin markers (K8, 18, 19). It was demonstrated that the intermediate phenotype had higher plasticity for microenvironment adaptation and a higher aggressiveness and resistance to therapy in breast cancer (16). In our study the K20 immunoexpression decreased during the successive transfer. Keratin 8/18 was expressed in normal (simple epithelium in the liver, pancreas, kidney) and pathological conditions (adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma) (17). Previous data on dHGP of CRLM indicated isolated or clusters of E-cadherin+/keratin 8.18+ cells (hybrid differentiated phenotype) (18). In the present study a decrease of intensity reaction for E-cadherin and the number of epithelial phenotype cells (E-cadherin+/vim−; K8+/vim−) and a slight increase in the number of mesenchymal-epithelial differentiated phenotype was noticed after the first transfer. It was associated with decreased levels of podoplanin. The role of podoplanin in modulation of signaling pathways that regulate proliferation, migration, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, is well known.

Another important and more recently described component involved in migration and metastatic process in different types of digestive tumors was CLIC1. CLIC1 activity induced cell-cycle progression and cell division, mainly during G1/S phase in normal conditions. In cancer cells, it favors the acceleration of the growth rate (19). In the present study, the highest value of intensity was found in xenograft cells after the second transfer.

Imaging investigations may be useful to predict the degree of tumor response, but changes can be difficult to differentiate from pretherapeutic appearance. It was noticed that the most common pattern of progression after the initial response to chemotherapy was an increase in tumor size due to growth of peripheral tumor cells (20). The aspect, with higher values of intensity in the peripheral xenografts cells was noticed on slides, on the second day after the first transfer, in our study. After the second transfer, the xenograft cells presented highest intensity values, except for keratin 20. Patient-derived xenografts are useful means to underline the molecular features and drug responsiveness of tumors (21). They were used in our study as well. The dHGP and pushing HGP are considered angiogenic types compared to replacement HGP included in the non-angiogenic category. A study which analyzed CD 34 immunoexpression on CAM xenograft of a LM of pancreatic origin (replacement GP) revealed that intussusceptive phenomenon, an adaptation response to stress and hypoxia, was the principal mechanism of new vessel development (22). In our study, on the patient derived xenograft, an increase in vascular density was noted.

Figure 4. Immunoperoxidase of CD34/Ki67 and podoplanin/Ki67 on first day after transfer (a-c and d), second day after first transfer (e, f, g and h) and the fifth day after the second transfer (i, j, k and l).
after the second transfer and the main mechanisms of angiogenesis were sprouting and intussusception.

**Conclusion**

The present experimental model of successive xenografts passaging on CAM mimicked the metastatic process observed in colorectal cancer. Heterogeneous expression of main molecular markers of the CRLM xenograft with dGP on consecutive passages on CAM sustains the presence of a high molecular heterogeneity of metastatic tumor cells from multiple metastases inside the liver. Our findings partially support the therapy response heterogeneity often observed during chemotherapy applied for colorectal cancer.
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