Redox signaling regulates skeletal muscle remodeling in response to exercise and prolonged inactivity
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Abstract

Skeletal muscle fibers are malleable and undergo rapid remodeling in response to increased contractile activity (i.e., exercise) or prolonged periods of muscle inactivity (e.g., prolonged bedrest). Exploration of the cell signaling pathways regulating these skeletal muscle adaptations reveal that redox signaling pathways play a key role in the control of muscle remodeling during both exercise and prolonged muscle inactivity. In this regard, muscular exercise results in an acute increase in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the contracting fibers; however, this contraction-induced rise in ROS production rapidly declines when contractions cease. In contrast, prolonged muscle disuse results in a chronic elevation in ROS production within the inactive fibers. This difference in the temporal pattern of ROS production in muscle during exercise and muscle inactivity stimulates divergent cell-signaling pathways that activate both genomic and nongenomic mechanisms to promote muscle remodeling. This review examines the role that redox signaling plays in skeletal muscle adaptation in response to both prolonged muscle inactivity and endurance exercise training. We begin with a summary of the sites of ROS production in muscle fibers followed by a review of the cellular antioxidants that are responsible for regulation of ROS levels in the cell. We then discuss the specific redox-sensitive signaling pathways that promote skeletal muscle adaptation in response to both prolonged muscle inactivity and exercise. To stimulate future research, we close with a discussion of unanswered questions in this exciting field.

1. Introduction

The discovery that contracting skeletal muscles produce free radicals was first reported >40 years ago. This seminal study also revealed that exhaustive exercise results in both oxidative damage and mitochondrial dysfunction in muscle fibers [1]. Paradoxically, it was later discovered that prolonged muscle inactivity (e.g., limb immobilization) also results in a chronic increase in the production of radicals and other reactive oxygen species (ROS); importantly, this amplified ROS production has been identified as a required contributor to the fiber atrophy that occurs during prolonged muscle disuse [2]. Collectively, these discoveries launched the field of muscle redox biology and stimulated research into the effects that ROS have on skeletal muscle structure and function.

Although it was widely believed in the 1980’s that exercise-induced ROS production in skeletal muscle was damaging and potentially cytotoxic to muscle fibers, the consensus that ROS are important signaling molecules to promote muscle remodeling did not emerge until the early 2000’s. Indeed, it is now established that muscle ROS production plays an important role in the control of signaling pathways that stimulate muscle adaptation in response to both exercise training and inactivity-induced muscle wasting. This review summarizes our current understanding of the role that redox signaling plays in skeletal muscle remodeling. The first segment of this report discusses the sites of ROS production in skeletal muscles during exercise and prolonged periods of muscle inactivity; this section also highlights the antioxidant networks that regulate ROS levels in muscle fibers. We then review key examples of important redox signaling targets and discuss how specific redox signaling pathways impact skeletal muscle in response to prolonged muscle inactivity and endurance exercise training.

2. ROS production in skeletal muscles

Numerous “reactive chemical species” exist, and several species exert profound effects on cellular function by causing molecular damage or contributing to redox signaling events. Reactive species are named by identifying their reactive atom (i.e., ROS or reactive nitrogen species (RNS)). Specifically, ROS are a group of molecules derived from molecular oxygen; these reactive species are formed from reduction-
oxidation (redox) reactions or by electronic excitation [3]. The chemical reactivity of the various ROS with cellular targets is variable and spans several orders of magnitude across the ROS species [3]. It follows that the term “ROS” is not a specific chemical molecule and therefore, use of the term ROS does not refer to a specific reactive species. Nonetheless, because of the challenges in identifying the individual ROS in cells, the term ROS is used in redox biology to denote all reactive species [3]. Although the superoxide radical (O$_2^−$) is the parent of all ROS, hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) is accepted as the major ROS involved in redox signaling in cells [4–6]. Hence, a major focus of this review will be redox signaling pathways linked to H$_2$O$_2$. To date, a total 41 different enzymes are known to generate O$_2^−$ or H$_2$O$_2$ [7]. An overview of the major sites of ROS production in muscle fibers during both exercise and prolonged muscle inactivity follows.

2.1. Sources of ROS production in contracting muscle

The major source of ROS production in contracting muscle has been debated for decades. As mentioned earlier, O$_2^−$ is the parent species of all oxygen ROS produced in skeletal muscle and generation of these reactive species is increased during contractile activity [8–10]. Because this review is focused ROS-mediated redox signaling in muscle fibers, we will emphasize the putative sources of ROS in contracting muscle. Although multiple cell types are present in skeletal muscle (e.g., vascular smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, etc.), muscle fibers are the main cell type found in skeletal muscle and this fact suggests that muscle fibers are the dominant source of ROS production during exercise [8]. Indeed, it established that both contracting myotubes [11] and mature (isolated) muscle fibers [12] produce ROS. In the next paragraphs we highlight key studies that provide insight into the primary locations of ROS production in contracting skeletal muscles.

During the 1980’s and 1990’s, mitochondria were postulated to be the major site of ROS production in contracting skeletal muscle [1,13]. This hypothesis emerged from early reports indicating that ~2–5% of all oxygen consumed by mitochondria is used to form O$_2^−$ [14,15]. Based on this assumption, it was predicted that the increased oxidative phosphorylation that occurs during muscular contractions results in a proportional surge in the production of O$_2^−$. Nonetheless, recent data do not support this concept. For example, contemporary work reveals that the upper estimate of the fraction of molecular oxygen that forms O$_2^−$ in the mitochondria is on the order ~0.15% and not the original prediction of 2–5% [16,17]. Moreover, compared to active state 3 respiration (i.e., exercise), mitochondria produce more O$_2^−$ during state 4 (i.e., resting) respiration [16,18,19]. Specifically, skeletal muscle mitochondria produce 13–27 times more ROS during state 4 respiration than in state 3 [18,19]. Furthermore, assessments of the mitochondrial redox potential in contracting single muscle fibers reveal that ROS production does not increase in mitochondria during electrically stimulated muscle contractions [20]. Similar conclusions have reached using different experimental approaches [21]. Collectively, these findings reveal that mitochondria are not the dominant source of ROS production in contracting muscles [8,22,23].

The experimental evidence that mitochondrial are not a dominant source of ROS production in contracting muscle lead to investigations of other potential ROS producing pathways in muscle and several lines of evidence indicate that NAD(P)H oxidase enzymes play a key role in contraction-induced ROS generation in muscle fibers [20,21,24–26]. In this regard, skeletal muscles express three isoforms of NAD(P)H oxidases (Nox1, Nox2, and Nox4) and evidence indicates that Nox2, located in the sarcolemma, is a major source of ROS production in contracting skeletal muscles [27].

Although NAD(P)H oxidases are likely a primary site of ROS production in contracting muscle fibers, phospholipase A (PLA$_2$) is another potential source of ROS in muscle fibers during exercise [28,29]. Indeed, ROS emission from contracting murine skeletal muscles is reduced when PLA$_2$ activity is pharmacologically inhibited [28,29].

In summary, evidence supports the concept that NAD(P)H oxidases are a primary source of ROS in contracting skeletal muscles. Additional sites of ROS in contracting skeletal muscles include PLA$_2$ and mitochondria sources. For more details about the sources of ROS in contracting skeletal muscles, the reader is referred to comprehensive reviews on this topic [8,22,30].

2.2. Sites of ROS production in skeletal muscle during prolonged inactivity

The fact that prolonged muscle disuse results in oxidative stress in muscle fibers was first reported in 1991 [2] and this milestone discovery has been confirmed in many studies and summarized in scientific reviews [31–33]. Several pre-clinical models of muscle inactivity have been employed to investigate the mechanisms responsible for disuse-induced oxidative stress in muscle fibers; these include rodent models of limb immobilization, hindlimb suspension, and prolonged mechanical ventilation resulting in diaphragmatic inactivity. The search for the sites of ROS production in muscle fibers during prolonged inactivity reveals that mitochondria are a dominant site of ROS generation in both immobilized hindlimb muscles [34,35] and in diaphragm muscle fibers during prolonged mechanical ventilation [19,34,35]. Although both xanthine oxidase and NAD(P)H oxidases also produce ROS in inactive muscle fibers, these sources of ROS production likely play small roles in the total ROS production within chronically inactive fibers [19,38,40].

The important and unanswered question remains, why does prolonged skeletal muscle inactivity promote mitochondrial dysfunction resulting in significant increases in mitochondrial ROS production? Unfortunately, a definitive explanation to this question is not currently available. However, evidence indicates that several factors can contribute to inactivity-induced mitochondrial dysfunction including an imbalance in mitochondrial dynamics (i.e., fission/fusion), increased ROS production via activation of angiotensin 2 type 1 receptors on the sarcolemma, and an impairment in mitophagy. For more details see the following recent reviews [33,42–46]. Notably, key differences exist in the temporal pattern of ROS production in muscle fibers during exercise and during prolonged periods of inactivity. Specifically, although muscular contractions promote an acute increase in ROS production, this contraction-induced rise in ROS emission returns to basal levels rapidly when contractions stop [20,47]. In contrast, prolonged periods of muscle inactivity results in a habitual increase in ROS production leading to protracted oxidative stress and disturbed redox signaling [18,34,35]. The impact of these temporal differences in ROS production on redox signaling pathways in skeletal muscle will be discussed later in this report.

3. Control of intra- and extracellular levels of H$_2$O$_2$

Cells regulate ROS levels using a variety of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. Complete details of cellular antioxidant systems exceed the scope of this review and therefore, only a brief overview of key antioxidant enzymes is provided. For more information on cellular redox sinks the reader is referred to detailed reviews [9,48,49]. The primary antioxidant enzymes involved in the elimination of ROS from cells include superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidases, peroxiredoxins, and catalase. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) exists in three isoforms and is responsible for dismutation of O$_2^−$ to form H$_2$O$_2$ and oxygen [9]. Importantly, these SOD isoforms are found in divergent locations in the cell (i.e., mitochondria, cytosol, and extracellular spaces); this compartmentalization of SOD is key to rapid removal of O$_2^−$ at the site of production [22].

Three types of antioxidant enzymes act as redox sinks to eliminate H$_2$O$_2$: these include peroxiredoxins (PRX), glutathione peroxidases (GPX), and catalase. PRX exists in six isoforms and this family of enzymes is arguably the most important peroxide scavenging enzymes [50,51]. PRX can reduce H$_2$O$_2$ via several reactions and most of these
reactions result in oxidized PRX which is reduced by thioredoxin to restore its catalytic ability [50,51]. GPX also regulates H$_2$O$_2$ levels across different cellular compartments (e.g., cytosol and mitochondria) and several isoforms of GPX exist [52]. All forms of GPX convert H$_2$O$_2$ or organic hydroperoxides to water and alcohol [22]. Most GPX isoforms require a supply of electrons provided by reduced glutathione (GSH); this need for a continuous supply of GSH is met by oxidized GSH being reduced by glutathione reductase using NAPDH to provide the reducing power [50,51]. Finally, catalase has one of highest turnover rates of all enzymes and converts H$_2$O$_2$ to water; similar to PRX and GPX, catalase exists across several cellular compartments [53]. Together, PRX, GPX, and catalase work cooperatively to remove H$_2$O$_2$ from cells.

Cellular levels of ROS often transition between steady state conditions and situations when ROS levels increase rapidly due changing metabolic circumstances. Under homeostatic conditions, H$_2$O$_2$ is maintained at steady-state levels; this steady-state environment results from both stringent control of ROS production and removal of ROS via redox sinks (e.g., antioxidant enzymes) [3]. Although the overall H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in cells is estimated to range between 1 and 10 nM, the levels of H$_2$O$_2$ varies markedly between the cytosol and various cellular organelles [3]. Moreover, compared to the intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, the extracellular level of H$_2$O$_2$ is substantially higher resulting in a steep gradient of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (i.e., 100–500 fold) between the intracellular and extracellular spaces [3].

Movement of H$_2$O$_2$ across cell membranes occurs via two primary mechanisms. First, as a small uncharged molecule, H$_2$O$_2$ can cross cell membranes by passive diffusion; however, the rate of H$_2$O$_2$ diffusion across the membrane is relatively slow [3]. Secondly, H$_2$O$_2$ can also cross cell membranes via water channels (aquaporins) in the membrane; compared to simple diffusion, the rate of H$_2$O$_2$ transfer across the membrane via water channels is relatively rapid. Indeed, aquaporins facilitate the transfer of H$_2$O$_2$ across membranes and contribute to the establishment of the H$_2$O$_2$ gradient across cell membranes [54]. Moreover, evidence reveals that a key aquaporin (aquaporin-8; AQP8) contains a gating mechanism that is under redox control; this finding suggests that H$_2$O$_2$ is transported across membranes in a redox-regulated process [55].

Because mitochondria are a source of H$_2$O$_2$ production, the key question arises, can H$_2$O$_2$ cross the mitochondrial membrane? Unfortunately, a definitive answer to this question is not available as debate continues as to whether H$_2$O$_2$ can freely cross the mitochondrial membrane [3]. Nonetheless, when thioredoxin is inhibited within the mitochondrial intermembrane space, movement of H$_2$O$_2$ from the mitochondria to the cytosol does occur [56]. Moreover, although controversial, it has been suggested that mitochondrial membranes contain AQP8 that facilitates H$_2$O$_2$ movement from the mitochondrial to the cytosol [57]. If this is the case, H$_2$O$_2$ produced in the mitochondria could cross mitochondrial membranes and impact redox signaling in the cytosol. The next segment highlights several examples of prototypical ROS signaling targets.

4. Examples of redox signaling pathways in skeletal muscle

Redox signaling can influence muscle fiber structure and function in numerous ways. For example, changes in redox signaling can affect the function of numerous proteins leading to alterations in enzyme activity, membrane transport, and gene transcription [3]. Moreover, redox signaling can also impact gene expression by oxidative modification of mRNA [58]. In the following sections, we present several prototypical examples of redox signaling targets in skeletal muscles.

4.1. Nrf2/ KEAP signaling

Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor (Nrf2) is a transcription factor recognized as a key regulator of the transcriptional response to oxidative stress [59]. Specifically, Nrf2 regulates both the basal and inducible expression of >200 genes including proteins involved in drug detoxification, numerous antioxidants, enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism, and NADPH regeneration enzymes [60,61]. In reference to antioxidant enzymes, Nrf2 regulates the expression of several isoforms of both glutathione peroxidase and peroxiredoxin as well as thioredoxin and glutathione reductase [60]. In the absence of oxidative stress, the levels of Nrf2 in the nucleus remain low due to the direct interaction of Nrf2 with the inhibitory protein Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) [62,63]. KEAP1 inhibits Nrf2’s movement into the nucleus in two ways. First, KEAP1 sequesters Nrf2 in the cytoplasm, preventing Nrf2 from migrating to the nucleus [61]. Second, KEAP1’s interaction with Nrf2 in the cytoplasm targets Nrf2 for polyubiquitination and degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) [61]. Thus, during homeostatic redox conditions, the low levels of Nrf2 in the nucleus maintain basal expression of antioxidant and detoxification enzymes. However, during periods of increased ROS production in cells, this electrophilic stress modifies redox-sensitive cysteine residues on KEAP1 that allows Nrf2 translocation to the nucleus to upregulate the expression of both antioxidant and detoxification genes [63].

4.2. NF-kB signaling

The transcriptional activating factor nuclear kappa B (NF-kB) includes a family of five transcriptional factors (p65, Rel B, c-Rel, p52, and p50) [64]. To become a transcriptional activator, two of these NF-kB family members must dimerize to acquire transcriptional competency [64]. Although all five of these NF-kB family members are expressed in skeletal muscle, evidence indicates that the p50-p65 heterodimer is responsible for much of the NF-kB activity in muscle [65]. NF-kB is subject to complex regulation and abundant evidence reveals that this regulation includes redox control. During homeostatic conditions, the nuclear localization sequence of NF-kB is bound to the inhibitory protein, IxB; this prevents the dimerization of p50-p65 and therefore, averts NF-kB movement into the nucleus [66]. Acute oxidative stress is associated with increased NF-kB activation and the concomitant increase in gene expression [64]. Although an increase in oxidative stress in cells can promote NF-kB-mediated gene expression, extremely high levels of ROS production can diminish the ability of NF-kB to bind to DNA [64,67]. Specifically, oxidation of NF-kB dimers can directly inhibit NF-kB binding with DNA and therefore, redox signaling can both promote and inhibit NF-kB-mediated gene expression [67]. In regard to ROS-mediated NF-kB inhibition, a specific cysteine of p50 (cys-62) is sensitive to oxidation and this oxidation is often followed by S-glutathionylation; this is significant because glutathionated NF-kB has less transcriptional activity [68].

4.3. Regulation of FOXO transcription factors

The forkhead box protein O (FOXO) family of transcriptional factors regulates a wide range of cellular functions including apoptosis and proteolysis via its influence on both autophagy and the UPS [69]. Indeed, FOXO signaling is known to contribute to skeletal muscle wasting in a variety of conditions and this topic will be addressed in detail later. While the activation of FOXO transcriptional factors occurs via several pathways, oxidative stress can activate these transcriptional activators via posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation or direct oxidation of cysteine in FOXO family members [70–72]. For example, exposure of myotubes to oxidative stress (i.e., H$_2$O$_2$ activates FOXO signaling (i.e.,FOXO3a) resulting in the increased expression of key UPS proteins (e.g., muscle specific E3 ligases) and vital autophagy proteins (e.g., LC3) [73].
the redox control of kinases exceeds the scope of this review. Nonetheless, this section will highlight the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), mitogen-activated kinase (MAPK) family, and the AMP-activated kinase as examples of redox control of kinase activity.

mTORC1 activation plays an important role in both muscle protein synthesis and the control of muscle protein degradation via both autophagy and the ubiquitin-proteasome system [69]. mTORC1 can be phosphorylated and activated by Akt as well as several other phosphorylation pathways [75]. Activation of Akt/mTORC1 signaling regulates protein synthesis through numerous downstream effectors that control protein translation [76]. For instance, active mTORC1 phosphorylates both the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein (4E-BP1) and ribosomal protein S6 kinase p70S6K; collectively, this results in increased rates of translation and protein synthesis [77].

Studies investigating the impact of ROS on mTORC1 provide divergent results with some reports concluding that ROS depresses Akt/mTORC1 signaling in both muscle fibers and neurons [78,79] whereas other studies conclude that ROS activates Akt/mTORC1 in both cancer and embryonic kidney cells [80–82]. These contradictory findings likely result from differences in the cell types studied and the variances in ROS treatments used in the experiments. For example, a well-designed study using myocytes reveals that exposure to low levels of oxidants depresses Akt signaling whereas high levels of ROS activate Akt [83]. Hence, the impact of ROS on the activation of the Akt/mTORC1 pathways may depend upon the cellular level of oxidative stress.

MAP kinases are a family of ubiquitous proline-directed, protein-serine/threonine kinases that participate in a variety of signal transduction pathways [84]. All mammalian cells possess multiple MAPK signaling pathways but the three best-described MAPK family members are p38 MAPK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) [85]. Although these three MAPKs are structurally similar, each MAPK has distinct functions. Importantly, each of these MAPKs is activated by oxidative stress and notably, MAPK family members contribute to both exercise-induced muscle adaptation and skeletal muscle atrophy [74,86]. Details about the role that MAPKs play in exercise-induced muscle adaptations and prolonged muscle inactivity is addressed later.

Another important kinase that contributes to maintaining cellular homeostasis and exercise-induced adaptations in skeletal muscles is AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) [87,88]. AMPK is responsible for phosphorylating down-stream substrates that regulate numerous cellular functions including control of glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation in skeletal muscle [89]. Moreover, AMPK is also known for its regulation of mTORC1 [89]. Although the allosteric regulation of AMPK activity is under complex control, research indicates that AMPK activity is impacted by redox control; this redox regulation does not appear to be due to a direct influence of ROS on AMPK but is a secondary consequence of redox effects on other processes [90].

### 4.5. Regulation of calcium ion channels

Cellular ion channels are responsible for maintaining ionic homeostasis between the extracellular and intracellular spaces. Indeed, stringent ion homeostasis is critical for a variety of cellular functions. In particular, calcium ions (Ca$^{2+}$) are vital second messengers required for the regulation of numerous signaling pathways [91]. Perturbations in the control of Ca$^{2+}$ channels often have deleterious effects on cellular function, and it is confirmed that both Ca$^{2+}$ channels and Ca$^{2+}$ transporters are under redox control [69,92,93]. For example, the voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channel family contains five subgroups of channels and all are subject to redox regulation; these channels are widely expressed in many cell types and function in the control of muscle contraction, protease activation (e.g., calpain), gene expression, and other metabolic functions [92]. In reference to skeletal muscle, ROS mediated oxidation of the voltage-gated ryanodine receptor located on the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) results in leakage of Ca$^{2+}$ from the SR into the cytosol [94].

Because high cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ levels are toxic to cells, the plasma membrane is equipped with active transport Ca$^{2+}$ pumps (i.e., plasma membrane Ca$^{2+}$ ATPases) to transport Ca$^{2+}$ across the cell membrane [95]. Notably, the ROS-mediated formation of the reactive aldehyde, 4-hydroxy-2,3-trans-nonenal, can inhibit the activity of these Ca$^{2+}$ ATPases and hinder Ca$^{2+}$ removal from the cell [96]. Therefore, oxidative stress can promote disturbances in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis due to both increased Ca$^{2+}$ conductance through voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels and the diminished ability to remove Ca$^{2+}$ from the cell [32,42,69,93]. Details about the role that disturbed redox homeostasis plays in muscle wasting follows.

### 5. Prolonged inactivity-induced atrophy of skeletal muscles: role of disturbed redox signaling

The size of skeletal muscle fibers is regulated by the balance between the rates of protein synthesis and degradation. Indeed, when the rates of protein breakdown exceed the rate of protein synthesis, muscles lose protein and fiber atrophy ensues. The first suggestion that oxidative stress contributes to disuse muscle atrophy was reported by Kondo and colleagues over 30 years ago [2]. However, this original account did not provide evidence of how disturbed redox signaling promotes muscle atrophy and details of the connection between oxidants and muscle wasting did not emerge until the past two decades. The next segments summarize the evidence that oxidative stress depresses protein synthesis and accelerates proteolysis.

#### 5.1. Chronic oxidative stress inhibits anabolic signaling and decreases muscle protein synthesis

As discussed previously, significant differences exist in both the source and temporal pattern of ROS production in muscle fibers during prolonged periods of disuse compared to ROS emission during muscle contractions. Whereas acute exercise results in a temporary rise in ROS production that ceases when contractions stop, prolonged muscle inactivity results in a chronic elevation in ROS production within the inactive muscle fibers. Moreover, the primary source of ROS production in muscles differ between exercise-induced oxidant production and the ROS produced during chronic inactivity. Again, the increased ROS production within inactive fibers is primarily derived from mitochondria whereas NAD(P)H oxidase is the dominant source of ROS emission in contracting muscle fibers. Notably, these differences in both the sources and temporal pattern of ROS emissions result in diverse redox signaling responses in muscle fibers during prolonged periods of inactivity compared to acute exercise. A discussion of the impact of inactivity-induced disturbed redox signaling on muscle protein synthesis follows.

As introduced earlier, the major signaling pathway that regulates muscle protein synthesis is the protein kinase b (Akt)/mTORC1 pathway. Stimulation of this pathway promotes protein synthesis whereas inhibition of this pathway depresses this process [69]. The impact of ROS on activation of the Akt/mTORC1 signaling pathway depends on both the specific ROS species produced and the levels of ROS production. For example, low levels of oxidants (e.g., H$_2$O$_2$) depress Akt signaling whereas high levels of ROS can activate Akt [83]. Therefore, does the level of ROS production in muscle fibers during prolonged inactivity promote a decrease in muscle protein synthesis? Two independent studies demonstrate that the answer to this question is yes. First, an investigation of cardiac myocytes isolated from the rat heart reveal that exposure of myocytes to pathophysiological levels of H$_2$O$_2$ leads to dephosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and a decrease in global protein synthesis [97]. Similarly, an in vivo study, using mitochondrial-targeted antioxidants to prevent inactivity-induced oxidative stress in diaphragm muscle concluded that oxidative stress plays a key role in inactivity-induced decreases in muscle protein synthesis [98].
Specifically, these experiments reveal that inactivity-induced oxidative stress in diaphragm muscle is associated with decreased levels of both phosphorylated Akt and mTORC1. Notably, prevention of the inactivity-induced oxidative stress in diaphragm fibers increased the levels of phosphorylated Akt, mTORC1, and 4E-BP1 and these increases were paralleled by higher rates of protein synthesis [98]. Together, these findings support the notion that oxidative stress depresses muscle protein synthesis by decreasing Akt/mTORC1 signaling and subsequently, mRNA translation (Fig. 1).

5.2. Oxidants activate proteases and accelerate proteolysis in skeletal muscle fibers

Like most cells, skeletal muscles possess four major proteolytic systems (e.g., calpains, UPS, caspase-3, autophagy) and each of these proteases can be activated by oxidants produced in muscle fibers during prolonged periods of inactivity [32,99]. Details of the mechanism(s) linking oxidative stress to the activation of each of these proteolytic systems has been described in other reviews and therefore, only a short summary is provided here [32,69,99].

5.2.1. Oxidative stress-induced activation of calpains

Calpains are Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated proteases that cleave \(\geq 100\) targeted proteins in skeletal muscles including oxidized contractile proteins (e.g., actin and myosin) [100]. Moreover, active calpains can also cleave structural sarcomeric proteins such as titin and nebulin along with a host of kinases and phosphatases [100]. While humans possess 15 different calpain genes, the two dominant calpains that contribute to inactivity-induced muscle wasting are calpain I and calpain II [93].

Abundant evidence demonstrates that oxidative stress activates calpains in skeletal muscles [32,93]. The key mechanism linking oxidative stress with calpain activation is the oxidant-directed increase in free Ca\(^{2+}\) in the cytosol [91,92,101]. Specifically, evidence indicates that ROS-mediated oxidation of the ryanodine receptor in skeletal muscle leads to leakage of Ca\(^{2+}\) from the SR into the cytosol [102,103]. Moreover, oxidative stress inhibits the activity of plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) ATPases resulting in a diminished ability to remove Ca\(^{2+}\) from the fiber [96]. Therefore, an elevation in cellular ROS contributes to disturbances in cellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis due to the combination of Ca\(^{2+}\) leakage from the SR and a decreased ability to remove Ca\(^{2+}\) from the cytosol [32,42,69,93] (Fig. 2).

5.2.2. Oxidative stress-induced activation of the UPS

The total UPS complex (26S) is comprised of a core proteasome subunit (20S) that is regulated by two complexes connected to each end of the 20S subunit; notably, this proteasome subunit is responsible for protein breakdown [104–106]. The UPS becomes active when damaged proteins are tagged for degradation by the covalent binding of ubiquitin to a protein [104–106]. This binding of ubiquitin to the damaged molecule involves several reactions including a final stage whereby specialized protein ligases (E3 ligases) identify and tag specific protein substrates with ubiquitin [31]. Notably, oxidized proteins can also be degraded by the 20S protease without a ubiquitin tag [104–106].

Oxidative stress can influence UPS-mediated proteolysis in several ways. First, ROS promotes the increased expression of muscle specific E3 ligases including atrogin-1 and muscle ring finger1 [104,105]. Second, while oxidative stress has been reported to allosterically lower 26S proteasome activity, the 20S proteasome is less susceptible to downregulation by ROS [104,105]. Lastly, oxidized proteins are more susceptible for degradation by the UPS [104,105]. Hence, abundant evidence supports the concept that increased ROS production in cells accelerates protein degradation via the UPS (Fig. 3).

5.2.3. Oxidative stress-induced activation of caspase-3

Caspase-3 is a member of the cysteine-aspartic acid protease family that normally exists in an inactive (proenzyme) form. Activation of caspase-3 degrades numerous cellular proteins and plays an active role in apoptosis [101]. In regard to caspase-3 and muscle atrophy, it is recognized that active caspase-3 contributes to inactivity-induced muscle atrophy by degrading actomyosin complexes [107].

It is well-documented that oxidative stress activates caspase-3 in skeletal muscle fibers [19,41,108] and that oxidative stress is responsible for the activation of caspase-3 in skeletal muscle during prolonged periods of inactivity [34,35,109]. Further, ROS-mediated oxidation of myofibrillar proteins increases the susceptibility of both actin and myosin to degradation by caspase-3 [107]. Cooperatively, these findings could explain a role for ROS in inactivity-induced muscle atrophy.

Fig. 1. Illustration of the Akt/mTORC1 pathway leading to protein synthesis. Notice that increased production of ROS inhibits several steps in this process. See text for details.
corroborate that ROS accelerates proteolysis in skeletal muscle fibers via the activation of caspase-3 (Fig. 4).

5.2.4. Oxidative stress-induced activation of autophagy

Autophagy is a lysosomal proteolytic pathway for the degradation of damaged cytosolic proteins and organelles in numerous cells including skeletal muscle fibers [110]. The delivery of damaged proteins and organelles to lysosomes occurs in three different ways (i.e., microautophagy, chaperone-mediated autophagy, macroautophagy). Our focus will be macroautophagy, hereafter referred to as “autophagy.”

Abundant evidence indicates that prolonged muscle disuse increases the expression of autophagy genes, activates lysosomal proteases (i.e., cathepsin B, D, and L) and accelerates autophagic breakdown of muscle proteins [35,111–113]. Studies also document that autophagy is accelerated during disuse muscle atrophy [114]. For example, evidence indicates that enhanced autophagy is obligatory for mechanical ventilation-induced diaphragmatic atrophy [113]. Together, these studies confirm that autophagy contributes to the proteolysis associated with prolonged muscle inactivity.

Numerous cell culture studies demonstrate that oxidative stress
stimulates autophagy in myotubes [35,112,113,115]. For example, direct exposure of C2C12 myotubes to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} activates autophagy [73, 116,117]. Indeed, oxidative stress promotes autophagy in several ways. First, increased ROS production in cells can activate AMPK leading to suppression of mTORC1 activation via the tuberous sclerosis complex 2; together, these signaling events activate autophagy as active mTORC1 inhibits the induction of autophagy [115]. Furthermore, ROS can stimulate autophagy by promoting the expression of key autophagy genes. Precisely, exposing cells to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} increases the expression of several autophagy genes including LC3 and Beclin-1; the importance of this increased expression of autophagy genes is supported by the observation that oxidative stress is linked to the increased formation of autophagosomes (reviewed in Ref. [115]). An important signaling pathway connected to ROS-mediated expression of autophagy genes involves activation of the mitogen-activated kinase, p38 alpha/beta. Indeed, activation of p38 elevates the expression of important autophagy-related genes (e.g., Atg7) [73]. Collectively, these factors provide a mechanistic connection between oxidative stress and accelerated autophagy in myotubes.

In addition to the aforementioned in vitro studies, in vivo studies provide added support that oxidative stress promotes autophagy in skeletal muscles during prolonged muscle inactivity. For example, inhibition of inactivity-induced oxidative stress in skeletal muscles blocks the activation of FoxO signaling; this is important because active FoxO elevates the expression of several autophagy-related proteins and promotes autophagy in muscle fibers [32]. Together, these in vivo and in vitro experiments provide robust evidence that oxidative stress plays a key role in activating autophagy in skeletal muscles during periods of prolonged inactivity (Fig. 5).

6. Endurance exercise-induced adaptation in skeletal muscles: role of ROS

Skeletal muscle is a plastic tissue capable of significant adaptation in response to endurance exercise training. Notably, exercise-induced muscle adaptations occur rapidly as demonstrated by the observation

Fig. 4. Increased ROS production in muscle fibers can activate caspase-3 via multiple signaling pathways. See text for details.

Fig. 5. Illustration of the impact of increased ROS production on autophagy in skeletal muscle fibers exposed to prolonged periods of inactivity. Note that increased ROS production accelerates autophagy flux via multiple signaling pathways. See text for details.
that as few as 5 consecutive days of exercise results in significant changes in muscle fibers [118]. Research during the past three decades has provided key information about the signaling pathways that trigger exercise-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle fibers. In this regard, substantial evidence reveals that oxidants contribute to exercise-induced muscle adaptations. The next segments review the data indicating that increased ROS production plays a key role in exercise-mediated changes in skeletal muscle. We then highlight two key redox-sensitive signaling pathways that contribute to the endurance exercise-induced changes in the biochemical make-up of skeletal muscles.

6.1. Evidence that increased ROS production contributes to endurance exercise-induced muscle adaptation

Davies and colleagues were the first to postulate that contraction-induced ROS production is a primary stimulus to promote muscle adaptation to exercise training [1]. Since this early report, many studies support the notion that increased ROS production is a key stimulus for exercise-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle fibers. For example, abundant evidence confirms that numerous redox-sensitive genes exist in skeletal muscle. Indeed, in vitro studies indicate that exposure of myotubes to oxidants (e.g., hydrogen peroxide) results in increased expression of many genes, including key antioxidant enzymes along with genes regulated by the transcriptional coactivator, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator-1 protein-α (PGC-1α) [116, 119–121]. It is noteworthy that several in vitro studies have employed non-physiological levels of H2O2 and therefore, the outcomes of these studies may not reflect in vivo occurrences. Nonetheless, the available evidence indicates that these oxidant-mediated increases in gene expression are due to altered redox signaling [116]. Similarly, it has also been shown that ROS production is also required for the contraction-induced gene expression associated with PGC-1α activation in primary rat muscle cells [122]. Together, these studies provide proof of concept that oxidants are capable of significantly altering in vitro gene expression in myotubes.

Importantly, numerous in vivo studies have concluded that contraction-induced ROS production plays a required role in endurance exercise-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle in vivo. The universal approach in these studies is to treat animals or humans with antioxidants to scavenge ROS and abolish the exercise-induced redox signaling effects. The first in vivo studies to demonstrate that antioxidant supplementation suppresses endurance exercise-induced muscle adaptations were preclinical studies. Specifically, in the early 2000’s, two independent studies concluded that antioxidant supplement suppressed exercise-induced expression of heat shock protein 72 in rodent skeletal muscles 72 [123,124]. These findings were later supported by human studies revealing that antioxidant supplementation with relatively high doses of vitamin E (400 IU/day) and vitamin C (1 g/day) blunt endurance exercise-induced increases in both antioxidant enzymes and key markers of mitochondrial biogenesis [125–128]. Nonetheless, this topic is not without controversy as studies using lower doses of antioxidants have often reported no negative effects of vitamin E and vitamin C supplementation on skeletal muscle adaptation to exercise [129–131]. However, a close examination of both preclinical and human studies reveals that most studies supplementing with high doses of both vitamin E and vitamin C conclude that exercise-induced ROS production is a prerequisite for optimal training-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle. The next sections highlight the role that oxidants play in endurance exercise-induced increases in both mitochondrial biogenesis and the expression of antioxidant enzymes.

6.2. Role of redox signaling in exercise-induced mitochondrial biogenesis in skeletal muscle

A hallmark of endurance exercise training is an increase in mitochondrial volume within the exercised skeletal muscle fibers. Indeed, it is well-established that a bout of endurance exercise results in significant increases in mitochondrial biogenesis. In this regard, PGC-1α is considered the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis [132, 133]. PGC-1α is regulated at both the transcriptional and post-translational levels [134]; a detailed discussion of the regulation of PGC-1α is beyond the scope of this review and therefore, we will focus on redox sensitive factors that regulate PGC-1α. Briefly, transcriptional activation of PGC-1α is regulated by numerous signals including up-stream signals from AMPK and p38 MAPK [132,135,136] (Fig. 6). As discussed earlier, both kinases are upregulated by increased ROS production and redox sensitive processes [137–139]. Further, numerous studies conclude that treatment of both animals and humans with antioxidants dampens the exercise-induced increase in mitochondrial biogenesis in skeletal muscles. Additional evidence that exercise-induced mitochondrial biogenesis is a redox-sensitive process is provided by the finding that allopurinol, a xanthine oxidase inhibitor, decreases exercise-induced ROS production in skeletal muscles and blunts exercise-induced PGC-1α gene expression and mitochondrial biogenesis [140]. Together, these results corroborate that exercise-induced increases in ROS production provide a key stimulus to trigger mitochondrial biogenesis.

6.3. Role of redox signaling in promoting exercise-increased increases in antioxidant enzymes within skeletal muscle

It is established that endurance exercise training elevates the levels of numerous antioxidant enzymes in skeletal muscle [141]. Further, the magnitude of this exercise-induced increase in antioxidants follows an exercise/intensity dose-response curve and antioxidant enzymes increase in only those muscles engaged in the exercise activity [142–144]. This exercise-induced increase in the expression of antioxidant enzymes is due, in part, to redox-regulation of distinct, but interrelated signaling pathways. In particular, exercise-induced increases in antioxidant enzymes occur due activation of PGC-1α, Nrf2, and NF-xB [132]. Again, these signaling pathways are activated by several factors including increases in ROS and activation of select redox sensitive kinases (e.g., AMPK, p38 MAPK) [116].

Active PGC-1α regulates the expression of mitochondrial defense in muscle fibers by increasing the levels of manganese SOD (SOD2), catalase, peroxiredoxin 3, peroxiredoxin 5, and thioredoxin [134]. Further, the redox sensitive transcription factor NF-xB also contributes to increased SOD2 expression [145]. Finally, one of the major pathways for exercise-induced expression of antioxidant enzymes is the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. Although the exercise-induced increases in muscle antioxidant enzymes occurs due to a cooperative interaction between PGC-1α, Nrf2, and NF-xB signaling, evidence reveals that Nrf2 plays a required role in this process. For example, experiments using Nrf2 knockout mice indicate that Nrf2 is essential for exercise-induced increases in gene expression for SOD1, SOD2, and catalase [146]. Nonetheless, at present, the details of how PGC-1α and NF-xB interact with Nrf2 to promote increased antioxidant gene expression remain obscure.

7. Future directions

The discovery that the production of ROS increases in both contracting skeletal muscle fibers and in muscle fibers exposed to prolonged periods of inactivity ushered in the exciting field of research in skeletal muscle redox biology. In the 30–40 years following these landmark discoveries, much has been learned about the role that redox signaling plays in skeletal muscle adaptation in response to exercise training and during prolonged periods of muscle inactivity. However, several key questions remain unanswered. For example, while it is clear that mitochondria are a dominant site of ROS production in muscle fibers exposed to prolonged inactivity, the mechanism(s) that trigger mitochondrial damage and this increase in ROS production remain ambiguous.
Further, while H$_2$O$_2$ can cross plasma membranes of numerous cells via water channels (aquaporins), the abundance of these channels in the sarcolemma of muscle fibers remains unknown. This is an important topic that needs experimental attention.

To date, the long-standing query of whether H$_2$O$_2$ freely crosses mitochondrial membranes remains unresolved. An improved understanding of both the mechanisms and kinetics of H$_2$O$_2$ transfer across mitochondrial membranes is essential to better understand the role that increased mitochondrial ROS emission plays in redox signaling in muscle fibers and other cell types.

Finally, as noted earlier, exercise-induced increases in antioxidant enzymes within muscle fibers are driven by interactions between PGC-1α, Nrf2, and NF-κB signaling. However, the precise details of these interactions remain unclear. Therefore, additional studies are needed to better understand the interaction between PGC-1α, Nrf2, and NF-κB in regulating the exercise-induced increase in the expression of antioxidant enzymes in skeletal muscle.
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