The Heterogeneity of ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Stores Has a Key Role in Nonmuscle Cell Signaling and Function
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The heterogeneity of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is well established in cell biology. To a large extent, however, the differences among ER domains (such as the nuclear envelope and the rough- and smooth-surfaced cisternae) are believed to reflect their different involvement in the synthesis, transport, and local degradation of proteins. In contrast, another function of the ER, i.e., its capacity to accumulate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and to release it quickly in response to specific signals (see reference 32), is often regarded as a property of the whole network. Yet in striated muscles, the heterogeneity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling in the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR, a specialized version of the ER) was recognized over 20 yr ago. 10 yr later, based on pioneering subcellular fractionation and immunocytochemical results, a group of laboratories first proposed that structures specialized for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling, the calciosomes, may also exist in nonmuscle cells (43). Shortly thereafter, a similar concept was put forth to explain the unusual (quantal) kinetics of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from microsomes and permeabilized cells when exposed to increasing concentrations of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP\textsubscript{3}; reference 29). Since then, evidence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} specializations in the ER has grown continuously, yet little effort has been made to generalize the concept and to identify mechanisms not only in biochemical and molecular but also in cell biological terms.

Here, we reconsider critically the developments in the field, focusing primarily on nonmuscle cells. We will first address the nonrandom distribution of the various ER molecular components that sustain the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostatic machinery. We will then discuss the correlations between protein distribution and the complex spatio–temporal features of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling pathway, ending with a short discussion of the underlying molecular mechanisms. In contrast, we will not deal with other organelles, such as secretory granules and lysosomes, which may also work as dynamic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} storage compartments. Although repeatedly proposed, these possibilities remain open to question, so that any conclusion would be premature.

Molecular Components

Heterogeneity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} storage and exchange within ER subcompartments depends on the nonrandom distribution of the macromolecules governing uptake, release, and binding of the cation.

Channels. There are at least two types of channels through which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} flows from the lumen of the ER to the cytosol: IP\textsubscript{3} and ryanodine receptors (IP\textsubscript{3}Rs and RyRs), both composed of four subunits of high molecular mass (300–500 kD). Additional channels, known only in part, will not be discussed here.

Expression of IP\textsubscript{3}Rs (three types, each including one or two alternatively spliced variants) is highly variable among cells. The quantity varies from 20,000 to 30 fmol/mg cell protein (Purkinje neurons and epididymus). Moreover, most cells express various types of IP\textsubscript{3}R subunits assembled into heterotetramers (27). Recent experiments in intact cells have revealed that this multiplicity is reflected in variations in IP\textsubscript{3} affinity and in modulation of the release responses by cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{c}). In particular, the type II IP\textsubscript{3}R was shown to be the most sensitive to IP\textsubscript{3} and also the most susceptible to modulation. In contrast, type III appears almost insensitive to [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{c}. When composed by heterotetramers, the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs tend to adopt the responses of the most sensitive/modulatable of their subunits (25).

Heterogeneous distribution of IP\textsubscript{3}Rs throughout the ER was demonstrated by both subcellular fractionation and immunocytochemistry. In the late 1980s, IP\textsubscript{3}-binding sites were reported to be enriched in rapidly sedimenting membrane fractions, caused not by plasmalemma fragments but by aggregation of specialized microsomes. In conventional microsomes IP\textsubscript{3} binding levels were much lower (see reference 32). More recently, Western blots of microsomal subfractions obtained by velocity and density gradient centrifugation have shown that IP\textsubscript{3}Rs do partially segregate from the ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pumps (sarcoplasmic–endoplasmic reticulum Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ATPases [SER\textsubscript{C}As]) and especially from the luminal Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding proteins (35, 41). In cells overexpressing IP\textsubscript{3}Rs (Purkinje neurons) or after cDNA transfection, the receptors appear as cylindrical structures, \~18 nm in diameter, sticking out from the membranes, concentrated (densities up to 500/\mu m\textsuperscript{2}, i.e., over 100-fold greater than the rest of the ER) in stacks of parallel cisternae (39, 44). In other cell types, IP\textsubscript{3}R was concentrated in vesicles and cisternae distributed either in the juxtagolamembranous

Address all correspondence to Jacopo Meldolesi, DIBIT, Istituto Scientifico San Raffaele, Via Olgettina 58, 20132 Milano, Italy. Tel.: 39 2 2643 2770. Fax: 39 2 2643 4813. E-mail meldolesi.jacopo@hsr.it

© The Rockefeller University Press, 0021-9525/98/09/1395/4 $2.00
The Journal of Cell Biology, Volume 142, Number 6, September 21, 1998 1395–1398
http://www.jcb.org
layer (smooth muscle fibers: references 7, 42; endothelia: reference 7) or in the apical area (submandibular duct cells: reference 48; pancreatic acinar cells: reference 19) of the cytoplasm. In blood neutrophils, a redistribution of IP,R-rich ER vesicles towards the phagosome takes place after exposure to opsonized particles (37). Moreover, clustering of IP,Rs into discrete puncta, corresponding to enriched ER membrane areas, has been revealed in a variety of cells whenever their [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] was raised (47), documenting the dynamics of IP,R distribution.

Compared with the IP,Rs, the information about RyRs in nonmuscle cells is still limited. There are three subtypes of RyRs, but they are assembled as homotetramers, not heterotetramers. Type I, believed for a long time to be specific to skeletal muscle, is abundant in the brain, while types II and III are widely but not ubiquitously expressed (1, 10). Direct coupling of RyRs with the plasmalemma L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, i.e., the interaction that drives the quick discharge of muscle SR, has been hypothesized in a few neurons showing ER cisternae parallel to the cell surface (8; see also reference 3). Elsewhere, activation of RyRs is probably triggered by rapid increases of the local [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release). Activation of type II and possibly also of type III is modulated by a messenger, cyclic ADP ribose.

Knowledge about RyR distribution is less extensive than for IP,Rs, also because the levels of expression are lower and thus difficult to reveal, especially by immunocytochemistry. Except for the neuron superficial cisternae (8), high receptor concentrations, such as in muscle SR, have not been observed. Distribution, however, does not appear to be random, as documented by [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] imaging in many cell types, where discharge by caffeine (the alkaloid that activates RyRs) and IP\textsubscript{3} was shown to take place from different cisternae (12). At fine dendrites and dendritic spines of neurons, differential distribution of the two channels has been observed also by immunocytochemistry. In chicken Purkinje neuron spines, IP,Rs are numerous, whereas RyRs remain below detection (45). In contrast, RyRs are also abundant in the spines of hippocampal neurons (36), playing a considerable role in the shaping of dendritic action potentials (16).

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Pumps.** Accumulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} within the stores is due to the SERCAs, the products of at least three genes (23). ER non-SERCA Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pumps have also been reported but not characterized. Among SERCAs, type 1 and 2a are expressed at high levels in skeletal and heart muscle, respectively. SERCA 2b, an alternatively spliced version of 2a, is widespread and often coexists with type 3. These pumps maintain a high free [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] within the ER/SR lumen, in equilibrium with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} bound to the luminal proteins (resting values in the 10\textsuperscript{–4}–10\textsuperscript{–3} M range and to rapidly release their bound Ca\textsuperscript{2+} when [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] drops, thus sustaining for seconds the efflux through IP,R and RyR channels. Accumulation at discrete sites could be important for the functioning of the ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostatic machinery. So far, however, this property has been observed only for calsequestrin (CSQ), the major luminal protein of striated muscle, which is also expressed by Purkinje neurons (avian) and smooth muscle fibers (42, 44). In nonmuscle cells, the distribution of other Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding proteins, such as calreticulin (which binds \sim50% of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} store in the ER) and BiP, was found to be widespread by both subcellular fractionation and immunocytochemistry (31, 42). Surprisingly, however, when high-resolution maps were developed by either energy loss spectroscopy or electron probe x-ray microanalysis (applied to quick-frozen cells to preserve the in vivo localization of the cation), the distribution of total calcium within the ER lumen was found to be far from homogeneous, with calcium-rich cisternae in continuity with cisternae remaining below threshold (31; Fig. 1). In addition, long stimulations of neurons induced considerable loading of some cisternae, whereas others remained unaffected, as if they were not participating in the evoked Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes (35). In terms of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling, therefore, the functional continuity of ER cisternae looks much less extensive than commonly believed. The apparent conflict with the results of rapid diffusion of ER luminal proteins implies the existence of calcium segregation mechanisms, which, however, remain largely mysterious.

**Cell Physiology.** In the past, distribution of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-governing proteins and [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} events taking place within intact cells have often been investigated in parallel and interpreted together. Progressively, however, it has become clear that [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} responses do not result only from the activation of individual receptors but constitute coordinate processes in which localized initiation events are followed by the activation of autoregenerative Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release (see references 2, 15, 32). The properties of these responses depend largely on the molecular properties and distribution of the proteins (especially the channels described above) governing the ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis.

The events that follow channel openings are governed by two general rules: the slow rate of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} diffusion throughout the cytosol and the positive modulation of most (but not all) IP,Rs and RyRs by [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}. The first, caused by the high Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering of the cytosol, tends to keep [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} increases in the proximity of the releasing organelles; the second imparts increased opening probability to adjacent channels. The resulting local [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} increases (spikes, puffs, or sparks) can be important not only as the trigger of waves, but also by themselves. In the case of mitochondria, the affinity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake is low, in the micromolar range, and a high level is attained only in the proximity of active IP,Rs. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} accumulation by juxta-ER mitochondria can thus be rapid, resulting in strong, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent activation of key dehydrogenases and conse-
of the cell, inducing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release also from ER areas of low receptor density. Rhythmic repetition of the process can result in trains of oscillations. In pancreatic and salivary acinar cells initiation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release takes place at the apical area, where IP\textsubscript{3}R-rich vesicles (see before) are intermingled with secretory granules. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal thus generated can trigger two important responses: exocytosis of granules and generation of a wave directed towards the base (18, 40), sustained by the abundant, but IP\textsubscript{3}R-poor, ER cisternae of that area (19).

News about [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} oscillations, which occur at frequencies around 1/min, are also exciting. Expression of single genes, mediated by the phosphorylation/dephosphorylation with ensuing nuclear-cytoplasmic migration of transcription factors, has been shown to vary specifically according to distinct Ca\textsuperscript{2+} frequency codings (4, 21). Similar mechanisms regulate the action potential–dependent gene expression in cultured neurons (5).

**Mechanisms**

This section is focused more on muscle because the information about nonmuscle cells is still primitive. Developmental studies of skeletal muscle fibers have revealed that clustering of L type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels in the plasmalemma T tubules and of RyRs in the junctional face of SR terminal cisternae occur synchronously, establishing excitation–contraction coupling units (see reference 6). In animals and cells lacking either one of the channels, some clustering of the other still takes place. The master drive of the process, therefore, cannot be one of the channels (intracellular and surface located) but may be another as yet unidentified component (6). Coordinate clustering of SR and plasmalemma channels also occurs in the heart, however, without establishment of physical coupling (38).

Aggregation of CSQ appears to be a molecular property of the luminal Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding protein (9, 46). Its localization within the terminal cisternae appears, in contrast, to be caused by the interaction of CSQ aggregates with membrane proteins protruding into the lumen, the triadins, and junctins (13, 17). The latter form a complex with the RyR and thus share its localization (49).

The information available for the SR might be taken as a model to orient the studies of nonmuscle cells. IP\textsubscript{3}Rs, RyRs, and SERCAs are all known to interact with multiple proteins, at both their cytosolic and luminal domains. So far, however, interest has been focused mostly on the functional regulation of these macromolecules rather than on their heterogeneous distribution, which therefore remains unexplained.

The hypothesis that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in nonmuscle cells is stored in distinct organelles (calciosomes), largely independently from the ER (43), has proven to be incorrect. The idea, however, has been seminal for subsequent studies demonstrating the heterogeneity of ER structures in terms of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis, a concept now widely accepted, but still incompletely understood. In the future, rapid progress is expected in many fields, including the identification of the mechanisms by which heterogeneity is established and the integration of ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stores in cells physiology (see reference 24). From the information already available, we predict that the role of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} within the stores will emerge as more fundamental for the cell than presently believed, paralleling from this stand point the well-known role played by the cation within the cytosol.
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