Structural basis for the E3 ligase activity enhancement of yeast Nse2 by SUMO-interacting motifs
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Post-translational modification of proteins by ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like modifiers, such as SUMO, are key events in protein homeostasis or DNA damage response. Smc5/6 is a nuclear multi-subunit complex that participates in the recombinational DNA repair processes and is required in the maintenance of chromosome integrity. Nse2 is a subunit of the Smc5/6 complex that possesses SUMO E3 ligase activity by the presence of a SP-RING domain that activates the E2-SUMO thioester for discharge on the substrate. Here we present the crystal structure of the SUMO E3 ligase Nse2 in complex with an E2-SUMO thioester mimetic. In addition to the interface between the SP-RING domain and the E2, the complex reveals how two SIM (SUMO-Interacting Motif) -like motifs in Nse2 are restructured upon binding the donor and E2-backside SUMO during the E3-dependent discharge reaction. Both SIM interfaces are essential in the activity of Nse2 and are required to cope with DNA damage.
Post-translational modification of proteins by ubiquitin (Ub) and ubiquitin-like proteins (Ubl) is achieved via an orchestrated pathway conducted by the E1 activating enzyme, the E2 conjugating enzyme, and E3 ligase enzyme1,2. SUMO is a Ubiquitin-like modifier that participates in a wide plethora of functions inside the cell, including DNA damage repair pathways3,4. Humans possess three conjugatable SUMOs: SUMO2 and SUMO3 share 97% sequence identity, and both share about 50% identity with SUMO1. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome only contains one SUMO molecule, named Smt3. Once the E1 is charged with SUMO in an ATP-dependent manner forming a thioester bond with an internal cysteine residue, SUMO is sequentially transferred to a cysteine residue of the E2 forming the E2–SUMO thioester, which ultimately can be discharged on a lysine residue of the substrate forming an isopeptide bond5. However, the SUMO transfer from E2 to the substrate can be enhanced by the action of E3 ligases, which facilitate substrate binding while increasing the catalytic rate for the E2–SUMO discharge on the substrate. Such E3-dependent stimulation of the E2–SUMO thioester discharge is mechanistically conducted by the stabilization of a closed or active conformation of the E2–SUMO when binding the E3 ligase6. Structures of ubiquitin and Nedd8 E3 ligases in complex with charged E2 thioester also support a similar mechanism of stabilization of the closed conformation7,8, thus indicating a general mechanism for all E3 ligases albeit the specific contacts established in each particular case. In some instances, E2s can also employ a similar mechanism to stabilize a closed conformation in the absence of E39.

The ubiquitin conjugation pathway contains a large family of encoded E3 ligases in the genome10, highlighting its major role in the pathway by conferring substrate specificity and enhancing the isopeptide bond formation. However, in SUMO only a few bona fide E3 ligases have been discovered so far. The yeast Siz (PIAS in humans) and Nse2 (or Mms21) family members contain an SP-RING domain, similar to the ubiquitin RING-E3 ligases, that binds charged E2e6. RanBP2 constitutes a particular class of SUMO E3 ligases, not present in ubiquitin, containing an IR1-M-IR2 motif that binds the charged E2 via a SIM motif (SUMO-Interacting Motif) present in each internal repeat (IR)11. ZNF451 (human) is a recently well-characterized SUMO E3 ligase containing a catalytic module with two consecutive SIM motifs involved in the SUMO E3 ligase mechanism12,13, one for binding the charged E2–SUMO and another interacting a second SUMO at the backside of the E214. SIMs are short sequence motifs containing a stretch of three to four hydrophobic residues bordered by acidic residues that bind in a β conformation the SUMO β-sheet in a parallel or antiparallel orientation15,16. The structure of the RING-type E3 ligase Siz1 in complex with the charged E2–SUMO and PCNA also revealed the presence of a SIM-like interaction motif binding the charged E2 to enhance SUMO discharge17, thus confirming the participation of SIM motifs in all known SUMO E3 ligases18. SIM motifs constitute the major class of non-covalent SUMO binders and have also been reported to be present in many proteins for recruitment of SUMO modules involved in a plethora of functions19–21.

Structural and functional results have recently revealed a relevant role of a second SUMO binding the charged E2 backside during the E3-dependent conjugation reaction14,17,22–24. Non-covalent SUMO binding to the Ubc9 backside is equivalent to the ubiquitin-E2-backside interaction described for different E2s,25–27 and in both instances, SUMO and ubiquitin, they have been reported to increase the rate of SUMO or ubiquitin chain formation22,25,26,28,29. The SUMO-Ubc9 backside interaction has been reported to be essential in the E3 activity of ZNF451, and to increase the catalytic efficiency in Siz114,17. Also, non-covalent binding to the E2 backside plays an important role in the RING E3-ligase catalyzed ubiquitin discharge reaction, at least for UbcH530. In this instance, an allosteric mechanism has been proposed for ubiquitin-binding to the UbcH5 backside to stabilize the charged E2–E3 in a closed and optimal conformation to stimulate ubiquitin transfer30,31.

We are pursuing to decipher the molecular mechanisms behind the SUMO E3 ligase activity of Nse2, which is one of the few bona fide SUMO E3 ligase discovered so far. Nse2 is a protein subunit embedded in the Smc5/6 complex, a large DNA-binding multi-subunit protein complex that belongs to the family of cohesin (Smc1/3) and condensin (Smc2/4) which are all involved in the maintenance of chromatin and chromosomal architecture and dynamics32–35. The structure of an Smc protein (Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes) consists of a head domain with ATPase activity, a long coiled-coil arm region, and a hinge domain that dimerizes with another Smc molecule. Additionally, six Nse subunits (Non-smc elements) interact with the Smc5 and Smc6 heterodimer, binding preferentially to the ATPase head domains, and creating the characteristic shapes of the Smc5/6 complex36,37. Different low-resolution cryoEM structures have been recently reported for the Smc5/6 complex38,39. Nse2 is a unique component of the Smc5/6 complex that binds the middle region of the coiled-coil Arm of Smc5 and possesses SUMO E3 ligase activity, moreover, the SUMOylation activity has been involved in DNA damage repair processes40. Although a minimal fragment of Smc5 coiled-coil bound to Nse2 is sufficient to provide SUMO E3 ligase activity in vitro41, in vivo SUMO conjugation activity seems to be regulated in the context of the whole Smc5/6 complex, probably by large conformational changes induced by the ATPase activity of the head domains42. Also, we have recently reported the enhancement of the E3 ligase activity of Nse2 by direct binding to DNA, perhaps by an allosteric mechanism involving a rearrangement of the E3 ligase module41.

Here, we present the crystal structure of the Smc5/Nse2 E3 ligase in complex with an E2–SUMO3 thioester mimetic at 3.3 Å resolution (SUMO3 refers to donor SUMO), which sheds light on the SUMO E3 ligase activity of Nse2 by revealing the atomic details of this multiple interface enzyme-substrate complex. In particular, we identify and investigate the role of the C-terminal SIM2 of yeast Nse2, which enhances the E3 ligase activity of Nse2 by anchoring a second SUMOβ at the backside of Ubc9 (SUMOβ refers to backside SUMO). The complex structure also reveals the combined action of two SIM-like motifs in the E3 activity of Nse2, SIM1 tethers the donor SUMOβ and SIM2 contributes to anchoring SUMOβ at the E2 backside. All these E3 interfaces contribute to the stabilization of an optimal or closed conformation of the charged E2–SUMOβ thioester during the E3 ligase activity of Nse2, thus contributing to DNA damage repair in budding yeast.

Results

Structure of Nse2/Smc5 in complex with the E2–SUMO thioester mimetics. To gain insights into the mechanism of SUMO E3 ligases, we have reconstituted the complex between yeast Smc5/Nse2 with an E2-SUMO thioester mimetic, which contains a stable peptide bond between E2 and SUMO, instead of the labile natural thioester bond. To facilitate comprehension, we will use SUMO to refer to yeast Smt3 and E2 to yeast Ubc9 throughout the text. Such E2-SUMO thioester mimetic has been engineered based on previous work17,43, by the substitution of Ala129 to lysine in a location next to the active site Cys93 in Ubc9, and Lys153 for arginine to prevent unwanted E2 SUMOylation44,45. Under physiological pH conditions, Lys129 can nucleophilically attack the Cys93–SUMO thioester forming a
stable isopeptidic bond located in a similar position as the natural E2–SUMO thioester bond. Previous studies and our experimental data indicated that a SUMOB fused to the C-terminus of Nse2 can stabilize the complex through non-covalent interactions with the E2 backside surface. Our initial crystallization trials of Ubc9-SUMO thioester mimetic in complex with Smc5/Nse2 or fused Smc5/Nse2-SUMO B were unsuccessful. However, we engineered a shorter Nse2, containing the SP-RING domain bound to a shorter Smc5/Arm coiled-coil (Fig. 1a). The E3 ligase activity of the Smc5/Nse2short is comparable to the WT Smc5/Nse2, at least for the single cNse4 conjugation (cNse4-SUMO), only high molecular conjugates are substantially diminished (Fig. 1b). Initially, crystals of Smc5/Nse2short-SUMO B fusion in complex to E2-SUMOD thioester mimetics diffracted only to 5.5 Å. However, under similar conditions, the crystals diffracted up to 3.3 Å when non-fused Smc5/Nse2short was mixed with free SUMOB (Supplementary Table 1). The asymmetric unit contained one complex composed by Smc5/Nse2short, E2-SUMOD thioester mimetic, and SUMO B attached to the E2 backside (Fig. 1c). The structure unveils an
Role of a C-terminal SIM motif (SIM2) in the catalytic activity of Nse2. The C-terminal tail of Nse2 was not observed in the yeast Smc5/Nse2 structure (PDB 3HTK)\(^\text{45}\), despite the presence of a hydrophobic stretch resembling a SIM motif (Fig. 2a). Such SIM-like motifs is not present neither in humans nor in fission yeast, indicating that it would pertain to some particular function in the clade of S. cerevisiae (Fig. 2a). Yeast and human SP-RING domains (PDBs 3HTK and 2YU4) can be superimposed (Cα rmsd 1.70 Å, identity 25.5%), but display different lengths of the C-terminal α-helix (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Our structure of Smc5/Nse2 in complex with the E2-SUMOD substrate complex utilizing two SIM-like motifs, each one binding either the donor SUMOD or the backside SUMOB, respectively.

Overall, the structure of Smc5/Nse2 in complex with E2-SUMOD thioester mimetic shares some features with the Siz1 E3 ligase complex, mainly in the SP-RING interface with Ubc9. However, major differences, unique to Nse2, arise in the interface between SIM1 and SIM2 motifs with SUMOD-E2-SUMOD. Alike yeast, indicating that it would pertain to some particular function (Fig. 2a). Yeast and human SP-RING domains (PDBs 3HTK and 2YU4) can be superimposed (Cα rmsd 1.70 Å, identity 25.5%), but display different lengths of the C-terminal α-helix (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Our structure of Smc5/Nse2 in complex with the E2-SUMOD thioester mimetic shows the electron density of the C-terminal tail SIM motif (SIM2) unequivocally bound to the backside SUMOD (Figs. 1, 2b).

SIM2 interacts with SUMOD in a canonical SIM-like conformation, burying the hydrophobic side chains of Ile264 and Val266 (positions 1 and 3 in SIM2) in a SUMO surface cavity formed between the α-helix and the β-sheet (Fig. 2c). Hydrophobic contacts are engaged with Phe37, Leu48, and Ala51 in the SUMO cavity, as well as electrostatic contacts with SUMO positive charges, namely between Asp265 (position 2 in SIM2) and His23 and, interestingly, between the C-terminal carboxylate group of Nse2 and Arg47 (Fig. 2c). The structure reveals the presence of two main chain hydrogen bonds between SIM2 and the SUMO β-strand, forming a characteristic parallel SIM β-conformation. Interestingly, the structure of the Nse2 SIM2 overlaps very well with the structure of other SIM motifs, such as the C-terminal SIM2 of PIAS1, from the human SUMO1-SIM2 peptide structure (6V7P)\(^\text{46}\) (Fig. 2d). It is particularly relevant to observe similar locations for Ile264 and Val266 in the SUMO cavity, despite the different orientations of the main chain (Fig. 2d).

To check the role of the C-terminal tail of Nse2 (SIM2) in the SUMO E3 ligase activity, three-point mutants of SIM2 were produced: I264A/V266A (second and fourth positions of SIM2); I264P and V266R (first position of SIM2); and V266R (third position of SIM2). Also, C-terminal deletion mutants included: Δ4C, Δ8C and Δ16C-Nse2, the latter removes the last two turns of the α-helix. To validate the stability of the deletion mutants, the crystal structure of the Smc5/Δ16C-Nse2 deletion was solved at 3.3 Å resolution (Supplementary Fig. 1b), displaying a similar structure as the wild type (Cα rmsd between Δ16C and WT-Nse2 is 0.56 Å). Additionally, intrinsic Trp-fluorescence with the Smc5/Δ16C-Nse2 deletion displayed similar emission values and DNA-dependent red-shift as the WT-Nse2 (Supplementary Fig. 1c)\(^\text{47}\). This biophysical analysis confirmed that even in the largest C-terminal deletion mutant, Δ16C-Nse2, the structural integrity, and stability seem to be preserved.

In vitro assays using both human and yeast conjugation systems (SUMO, E1, and E2 enzymes, ATP) display a clear decrease in SUMO conjugation in all Nse2 mutants (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Since no stability problems were observed, we attribute this reduction in conjugation activity to a role of SIM2 during the E3 ligase activity of Nse2. Interestingly, under similar conditions, the yeast enzymes displayed a much higher conjugation activity than the human counterparts (Supplementary Fig. 2). All three SUMO substrates assayed, namely the Nse4 C-terminal Kleisin domain (ΔNse4), the P53 C-terminal domain (Δp53), and auto-conjugation on the Arm/Smc5, displayed a Nse2 E3-dependent activity (Fig. 2e–g, left), and in all cases, SUMO conjugation was diminished in all tested SIM2 mutants. The strongest decrease, around 80%, was observed for Δ4C-Nse2 (ΔSIM2), and around 30–50% for I264P and V266R (Fig. 2e–g, right). Altogether, these results reveal a role for SIM2 in the SUMO E3 ligase activity of Nse2.

The SIM2 participates in DNA damage repair in yeast. The Nse2 SUMO ligase cooperates with the Smc5/6 complex in genome integrity\(^\text{40}\). Thus, inactivation of the SIM2 is expected to decrease the efficiency of DNA repair in cells. To test the role of the SIM2 in vivo, we introduced a STOP codon just after Ala263 at the endogenous budding yeast Nse2 locus, thus preventing translation of the C-terminal IDVL SIM2 sequence (Nse2p.A263_I264X; hereafter referred to as nse2-SIM2Δ for simplicity). nse2-SIM2Δ cells did not have any apparent phenotype under normal conditions or after exposure to DNA damage, indicating that the SIM2 is not essential for normal growth or DNA repair in otherwise wild-type yeast cells (Fig. 3a). This may be due to the presence of compensatory mechanisms masking the role of the SIM2 through alternative DNA repair pathways. For example, SUMOylation of the Smc5 protein is not essential in yeast but cooperates with the Mms4-Mus81 and Sld4 structure-specific endonucleases, and the SUMO-like domain containing protein Esc2 for DNA alkylation damage repair\(^\text{47}\). Therefore, we combined the nse2-SIM2 mutant with deletions in the MMS4, SLX4, and ESC2 genes. As shown in Fig. 3a, truncation of the Nse2 protein just before the SIM2 motif reduces the growth of sld4A and esc2Δ cells and aggravates the sensitivity to MMS of the mms4Δ, sld4Δ, esc2Δ and sld4A mutant cells. This suggests that the SIM2 in Nse2 acts synergistically with genes involved in DNA recombination intermediate processing to promote DNA repair in budding yeast.

Many smc5/6 mutants are also hypomorphic for Nse2-dependent sumoylation, most probably because of reduced Smc5/6 function\(^\text{42}\). We thus reasoned that truncation of SIM2 would synergize with mutations in other subunits of the complex, by further compromising Smc5/6 function. To explore this possibility, we crossed nse2-SIM2Δ cells with thermosensitive mutants affected in the NSE1, NSE3, NSE4, NSE5, or NSE6 subunits of the Smc5/6 complex. After sporulation, we selected double mutants and compared their growth to wild type and single mutant cells. As shown in Fig. 3b, truncation of the SIM2 in different smc5/6 mutant backgrounds reduced their growth at the permissive temperature and increased the thermosensitivity of cells. Overall, we conclude that the SIM2 in Nse2 cooperates with other Smc5/6 subunits and DNA repair pathways to promote repair of DNA damage and normal cell growth.

The C-terminal SIM2 of Nse2 fixes SUMOB to the E2 backside. Our complex structure reveals the presence of a second SUMOB bound to the backside of Ubc9. SUMOB was added during...
preparation of the complex, in contrast to the E3-SUMOB fusion used in the Siz1 complex. In our structure, SUMOB displays two different interfaces, one side faces the backside of Ubc9, and the opposite side engages contacts with the C-terminal SIM2 of Nse2 (Fig. 1c). Non-covalent SUMO binding to the E2 backside has been reported by different groups, it was initially associated with the formation of SUMO chains, and now it seems to be required in the E3-dependent discharge reaction. SUMOB binds Ubc9 like other reported E2-SUMO structures, through an interface enriched with electrostatic interactions: Asp68 engaged...
The kinetic analysis displayed a 4-fold increase in the KM, from 2.7 to 8.5 µM (Fig. 5c). Such shift in the optimal conditions for SUMOB interaction probably contributes to the “optimal” stabilization of the E2-SUMO thioester.

Interestingly, the curves of initial velocities vs substrate could only be plotted to a sigmoidal equation (Hill equation), which is characteristic of cooperative behavior in multi-interfaced enzyme kinetics (Fig. 5b, c).

The role of ssDNA, which was reported to enhance the E3 activity of Nse2 by binding to the Smc5/6 Arm subunit41, was also assessed in the kinetic analysis. Interestingly, the absence of ssDNA shifts the curve to the right (Fig. 5b), resulting in a 4-fold increase in the Kcat from 2.7 to 8.5 µM (Fig. 5c). Such shift in the sigmoidal curve indicates a positive cooperativity, confirming the stabilization and/or an E3 rearrangement upon ssDNA binding.

Globally, the kinetic analysis of the discharge reaction confirms that the SIM2-SUMOB-E2 interaction increases the catalysis, and that ssDNA binding increases substrate affinity.

Finally, a fusion between C-terminal tail of Nse2 and SUMOB was engineered to investigate the influence of a constitutive SUMOB present at the E2 backside. Gel filtration chromatography showed an increased stability of the complex formed between the E2-SUMO mimic and the fused Nse2-SUMOB, compared to WT-Nse2, which did not elute as a single peak (Fig. 5d). Single-turnover discharge reactions with the E2-SUMOB fluorophore substrate displayed a remarkable rate increase with the Nse2-SUMOB fusion, highlighting the entropic benefit of increasing the local concentration of SUMOB at the E2 backside.

Finally, a fusion between C-terminal tail of Nse2 and SUMOB was engineered to investigate the influence of a constitutive SUMOB present at the E2 backside. Gel filtration chromatography showed an increased stability of the complex formed between the E2-SUMO mimic and the fused Nse2-SUMOB, compared to WT-Nse2, which did not elute as a single peak (Fig. 5d). Single-turnover discharge reactions with the E2-SUMOB fluorophore substrate displayed a remarkable rate increase with the Nse2-SUMOB fusion, highlighting the entropic benefit of increasing the local concentration of SUMOB at the E2 backside.
Nse2-SUMO<sub>B</sub> fusion fitted to a hyperbolic equation, in contrast to the sigmoidal curves for WT Nse2, perhaps indicating a loss of cooperativity when the SIM2-SUMO<sub>B</sub> interaction is excluded from the complex.

Conformational change of Loop-SIM1 upon binding to the E2-SUMO<sub>D</sub> donor. Our complex structure reveals a direct interaction of SUMOD with elements outside the SP-RING, namely the Loop SIM1, which undergoes an important conformational change (18 Å movement of Cα<sub>Asp169</sub>) (Figs. 1, 6a). Nse2 SIM1 adopts a SIM-like β-conformation in contact with SUMO<sub>D</sub>, forming an extended antiparallel β-strand with several main chain hydrogen bonds (Fig. 6b). Normally SIM motifs contain two hydrophobic residues buried in a SUMO cavity between α-helix and the edged β-strand. In yeast Nse2 SIM1, such positions are occupied by polar residues, Asp172 and Gln174, not optimal for a SIM binding. However, Loop SIM1 compensates the binding affinity by the presence of additional electrostatic interactions with SUMOD residues surrounding the SIM1 cavity. In particular, Glu170 and Asp171 are engaged with Arg47 and His23, respectively; and SUMOD Arg55 conducts polar interactions with the main chain oxygen of Ile161 and the side chain of Gln174 (Fig. 6b). Sequence alignment between Loop SIM1 in Nse2 orthologs reveals poor conservation (Fig. 6c), remarking the role of the main chain hydrogen bonding in the SUMO<sub>D</sub> interface. Only the acidic region bordering the SIM1 motif, which is involved in electrostatic interactions with SUMO<sub>D</sub>, displays some sequence conservation (Fig. 6c).

In vitro conjugation analysis with three Nse2 Loop SIM1 mutants: Nse2<sup>Δ</sup>Loop1-SIM1 (deletion from Val160 to Gly176), Nse2<sup>G177P</sup> point mutant, and Nse2<sup>E170R/D171R/D172R</sup> triple mutant; showed in all instances a strong decrease in SUMO conjugation compared to WT-Nse2, up to 90% reduction for the ΔLoop1-SIM1 deletion mutant (Fig. 6d). These results underline the essential function of E3 interfaces outside the SP-RING to fix the E2~SUMOD thioester in an optimal catalytic orientation, in this case by direct contact of SIM1 with SUMO<sub>D</sub> after an important conformational rearrangement.

Also, to analyze the role of the SIM1 in vivo, we integrated mutations of the SIM1 at the endogenous NSE2 locus. Therefore, we generated nse2<sup>mutants</sup> bearing a ΔLoop1-SIM1 deletion (<sup>Δ</sup>160-176), a substitution of three conserved negatively charged residues in this loop (Glu170, Asp171, and Asp172) to positively charged arginine residues (<sup>EDD-RRR</sup>), and a G177P mutation (<sup>G177P</sup>). As shown in Fig. 6e, all three mutants were sensitive to MMS, indicating that the SIM1 is important for the repair of alkylation damage in budding yeast.

Extensive SP-RING interaction in Nse2 to bind the E2-SUMO<sub>D</sub> thioester. Our complex structure shows an extensive interaction between the SP-RING domain and Ubc9-Smc5 E3 complex. Despite particular contacts, the Ubc9 interface
Fig. 5 Effect of SUMO backside and ssDNA on E3 activity of Nse2-Arm/Smc5. a Representative SDS–PAGE reactions at 1 μM Ubc9-SUMOΔ68R-Alexa488 thioester. b Kinetics of single-turnover reactions using purified E2Ubc9-SUMOΔ68R–Alexa488 thioester, ±15-fold excess of non-conjugatable SUMOB ±50nt ssDNA, ±p53, and non-fusion E3 (400 nM) at 30 °C. c Kinetics parameters were obtained using Hill’s sigmoidal equation in Prism (GraphPad). The quantified rate data show mean ± s.d. (n = 3 technical replicates). For gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 4. d Gel filtration chromatography and SDS–PAGE of Nse2 and Nse2-SUMO fused in complex with Ubc9-SUMO Δ68R thioester mimetic. e Representative SDS–PAGE reactions at 1 μM E2Ubc9-SUMOΔ68R–Alexa488 thioester, ±50nt ssDNA, ±p53, and indicated fusion E3-SUMO (4 nM) at 30 °C. f Kinetic parameters were obtained using M-Menten’s equation in Prism (GraphPad) are shown. The quantified rate data show mean ± SD (n = 3 technical replicates). For gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 5 and Source Data file.
conducted directly by a short α-helix of the RanBP2 E3 ligase, which binds Ubc9 in a similar location as the backside SUMO1. In all three cases, the E3 ligases seem to embrace and stabilize the charged E2~SUMO thioester, in Siz1 and ZNF451 through a SIM-SUMOB interaction. In our primed complex structure with the Nse2 E3 ligase, the electron density maps clearly show a direct interaction of the C-terminal SIM motif of Nse2 (SIM2) at the backside SUMOB (see model in Fig. 8), a mechanism probably shared by other SP-RING E3 ligases (PIAS or Siz1)46. SIM motifs can be arranged parallel or antiparallel with SUMO, involving different side-chain interactions, either hydrophobic, buried in the SUMO cavity, or electrostatic, between negatively charged SIM residues with SUMO positive residues11,15,50–52. Interestingly, our SIM2-SUMOB structure shows two conserved hydrophobic residues buried in the SUMOB cavity and stands out the electrostatic interaction between the SIM C-terminal carboxylate and Arg47 of SUMOB, all contribute to increasing the binding affinity. The SIM2-SUMOB interaction in Nse2 resembles the structures of SUMO with SIM peptides53–55, such as the structure of SUMO1 bound to a peptide of the C-terminal human PIAS1

**Fig. 6 Conformational change of Loop-SIM1 upon binding to the E2-SUMOD donor.** a Structure and electron density maps of Loop SIM1. b Cartoon representation of the complex between Loop SIM1 Nse2 (V160 to K179) and SUMOD. c Multiple alignment of SIM1 sequences from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *S. eubayanus*, *S. paradoxus*, *Zygos. parabailli*, *Schizos. pombe*, *Mus musculus* and *Homo sapiens* (poor conservation except for the acidic region). d Multiple-turnover SUMOylation reactions of yeast Nse2-Arm/Smc5 complex (wild type and mutants) using cNse4 at 30 °C. Error bars showing that point mutations or deletion of SIM2 reduce E3 activity. Data values represent the mean ±SD, n = 3 technical replicates. Significance was measured by a two-tailed unpaired t-test relative to wild-type. All data were analyzed with a 95% confidence interval. **P < 0.005. Exact P values from the left to right: 0.0032, 0.0029, 0.0011. Source data are provided as a Source Data file. e Growth test analysis of wild type, nse2-EDD-RRR, nse2-Δ160-176 and nse2-G177P cells; 10-fold serial dilutions of liquid cultures were spotted in YPD in the presence or not of MMS 0.01% and incubated at 30 °C for 60 h.
**Fig. 7 Extensive SP-RING interaction with the E2-SUMO\textsubscript{3} thioester mimetic.**

**a** Cartoon representation of the complex between SP-RING Nse2 (Ile186 to Ser227) and Ubc9.

**b** Cartoon representation of the complex between SP-RING Nse2 (Ile186 to Ser227) and SUMOD (Gly53 to Arg93).

**c** Sequence alignment of SP-RING domains of yeast Nse2 and Siz1. Asterisks indicate residues that make interactions with Ubc9 (yellow) and SUMOD (blue). Secondary structure elements are depicted above (middle).

**d** Structural alignment comparison of SP-RING domains in Nse2 and Siz1 complexes (SINE).

**Fig. 8 Model of Arm-Smc5/Nse2 interaction with E2-SUMO\textsubscript{3} thioester and SUMO\textsubscript{3} backside.** DNA associates with Smc5 leading to conformational changes of Nse2 SIM1 and SIM2 which clamps E2-SUMO thioester and SUMO backside into the closed and active conformation.
For some ubiquitin RING E3 ligases, the backside ubiquitin-E2 interaction has been reported to enhance the E3 discharge reaction by an allosteric mechanism, in which structural changes in the α1-helix and α1-β1 loop of E2 stabilizes the RING E3–E2–ubiquitin complex [31,36]. In our primed Nse2 structure, direct contact between the E2 α1-β1 loop and the donor SUMOd is not observed, as seen between donor ubiquitin and E2 [31,36] and the structure of the E2 α1-β1 loop does not show any evident distinct conformation in the complex. However, our kinetic analyses using the E2-SUMO thioester substrate suggest a cooperative mechanism of the discharge reaction, which could only be fitted to a sigmoidal equation (Fig. 5a). Interestingly, such cooperativity is lost when the SIM2 interaction is not present, as occurs with the Nse2-SUMOΔ interaction (Fig. 5b). It would be interesting in the future to explore whether this cooperative behavior observed in the kinetics of the discharge reaction, in which SIM2 plays a relevant role, has a mechanistic explanation in terms of structural remodeling.

The kinetic analysis also sheds some light on the enhancement of the E3 activity of Nse2 by binding to DNA through the Smc5 Arm subunit [41]. The kinetic parameters displayed around a 4-fold increase of the KM in the absence of ssDNA, either for the fused Nse2-SUMOd or for the WT-Nse2, suggesting a role for ssDNA as a positive allosteric factor in the E3-catalyzed discharge reaction. It has been well established the connection between the activation of the Smc5/6 complex by the ATPase activity of the head domains and the increase of affinity for DNA, where it fulfills its biological function during DNA repair processes [37,38]. In such Smc5-Smc6-DNA-bound arrangement, a DNA-dependent activation of the Nse2 SUMO E3 ligase activity would restrict SUMO conjugation to protein substrates in the vicinity of the DNA repair sites [37,38,41], avoiding non desired SUMO conjugation by the non-substrate specific activity of the Nse2 E3 ligase.

Recent low-resolution cryoEM structures and MS analysis of the Smc5/6 complex revealed the presence of different structural conformations, standing out the rod shape formed by the interconnection of the Smc5 subunit with the Smc6 C-terminus, in contrast to conformations, which might regulate the SUMO E3 ligase activity perhaps by mechanisms similar to ones described in the present paper [36]. In a different scenario, a possible regulatory mechanism within the Smc5/6 complex might involve binding of SUMOylated substrates of the Smc5/6 complex to the E2 backside of the E2–SUMOΔ thioester, resembling the SUMOd backside interaction observed in the present structure. This mechanism was already envisioned for the ZNF451 E3 ligase, in which SUMO conjugation in distant regions of ZNF451 might provide the SUMOd backside necessary to fulfill its E3 ligase activity [14,18,19]. In yeast, SUMOylation of the Smc5 subunit has been shown to be involved in the error-free bypass of DNA lesions [38]. Perhaps this mechanism is more relevant in humans, in which the lack of an evident SIM2 at the Nse2 C-terminus might favor the binding at the E2 backside of other SUMOylated substrates within the Smc5/6 complex. It would be very exciting in the future to identify novel regulatory mechanisms of the SUMO E3 ligase activity of Nse2 within the context of the full Smc5/6 complex.

Methods

Plasmids construction for overexpression in bacterial cells. Full-length SMC5 and SMC6 from Saccharomices cerevisiae S288c cloned into pET28a vector between Ncol and XhoI were used to generate two constructs by PCR amplification, named Arm/SMC5 (Asp302-Thr366-Gly-Thr-Arg37-Gln371) and Arm/SMC6 (Asp328-Thr366-Gly-Thr-Arg37-Gln377) which were then individually cloned downstream of the pET28a vector. The full-length budding yeast NSE2 (MMS21) was cloned in PET15b between Xhol and BamHI (Nse2 1-267) and used to engineer a short construct (Δαn1Nse2, ΔN26/Δβ33-143). FLNse2 21-267 was also used to construct three fusion versions where a SMT3 cDNA was inserted on the C-terminal of Nse2 (Δαn1Nse2, Aαn1-85Smt3ΔG, FLNse2-Δαn1-85Smt3ΔG and, FLNse2-Δαn1-85Smt3ΔG). Mutagenesis protocol on FLNse2 267 was used to prepare Nse2 mutant vectors: Δαn1Nse2, Δαn1Δ85, ΔΔαn1Δ85, ΔΔn2Δ172, and Δαn1Δ85ΔΔαn1Δ85, and precursor SUMO (SMT3: Δαn1Nse2, ΔΔαn1Δ85, and ΔΔn2Δ172), have been described in detail [37,43,46].

Protein expression and purification. All Nse2 recombinant proteins containing a N-terminal 6×His-tag were co-expressed with Arm/Smc5 without tag in Escherichia coli Rosetta (DE3) cells (Novagen). Bacterial cultures were grown at 37 °C to OD600 = 0.6, before 0.5 mM IPTG addition. Cultures were then incubated for 16 h at 20 °C and harvested by centrifugation. Cell pellets were equilibrated in Lysis Buffer (20% sucrose, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM BME, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1% IGEPAI), and cells were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (22,000 g for 15 min). 6×HisNse2-Arm/Smc5 complexes were purified by metal affinity chromatography using Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) and eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 350 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1% IGEPAI, and cells were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (22,000 g for 15 min). 6×HisNse2-Arm/Smc5 complexes were purified by metal affinity chromatography using Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) and eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 350 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1% IGEPAI, and cells were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (22,000 g for 15 min). 6×HisNse2-Arm/Smc5 complexes were purified by metal affinity chromatography using Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) and eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 350 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1% IGEPAI, and cells were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (22,000 g for 15 min).
**Crystallization, data collection, and structure determination.** For crystallization of the shortNse2/Arm-Smc5 E2-SUMO3/SUMO4 complex, purified shortNse2/Arm-Smc5 (including amino acids 1-16 and 129-160) was mixed with Smt3K11C/D68R-Alexa488 thioester solution. Crystals were obtained in equimolar concentrations (~7 μM of each protein) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM BME. The complex was concentrated up to 90 μM (120 μM) and mixed 1:1 ratio with 12% PEG 8000, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5, 0.2 M dimethyl-2-hydroxyethylammoniumpropane sulfonate (NDSS 211), 8% ethylene glycol. Crystals appeared after 3 days using the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method at 18 °C. Crystals were cryo-protected in a reservoir buffer containing 20% ethylene glycol and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to diffraction analysis.

Diffraction data were recorded from cryo-cooled crystals (100 K) at the ALBA synchrotron in Barcelona (BL1-2-XALOC beamline)[42]. Data were integrated and merged using XDS[43] and scaled, reduced, and further analyzed using CCP4[45]. The crystal grown at the buffer system belongs to the P212121 (shortNse2/Arm-Smc5 E2-SUMO3/SUMO4) space groups. Phasing and model-building were obtained by molecular replacement using Phaser-MR from PHENIX[46]. Refinement and model rebuilding were performed using PHENIX[46] and Coot[47]. Figures were generated using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org). The data-collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

**Smt3 labeling with Alexa Fluor488.** Mature K11CSmt (wild type and D68R) were fluorescent labeled using Alexa Fluor488 Maleimide C5 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Protein was diluted in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP up to 40 μM. Alexa488 fluorophore stock solution was added in 20 μM final concentration. Mixtures were kept at 4 °C by 16 h. Free probe molecules were removed using PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva), followed by 10x times volume washing by Centricron (MerckMillipore) centrifuge equilibration on the same HEPES buffer. Proteins were concentrated up to 2 mg/mL and flash frozen prior use.

**Multiple-turnover SUMOylation assay.** Reactions were performed as described by Vanegas[48] and with some modifications. 5 μL of a reaction mix containing 40 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% Tween-20, 25 mM NaCl, 4 mM dithiothreitol, 0.8 μM of ssDNA 50nt, 2 μM mature K11CSmt[49], Alexa488 (wild type or D68R), 6 μM CSe4 or cp53, 0.3 μM yeast E1 (Aoes1:Ubc9[50]-teml-1-55), 0.2 μM yeast E2 (Ubc9[51]) was incubated with 25 μL of filtered purified water plus 4 or 400 nM wild type E3 (1-267Nse2/Arm-Smc5) or E3 (1-287Nse2/Arm-Smc5)[52]. Mixtures were kept at 4 °C by 16 h. Free probe molecules were removed using PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva), followed by 10x times volume washing by Centricron (MerckMillipore) centrifuge equilibration on the same HEPES buffer. Proteins were concentrated up to 2 mg/mL and flash frozen prior use.

**Single-turnover assay using E2-thioester formation stopped by EDTA.** Reactions were performed as described elsewhere[53] with minor changes. The E2-thioester was formed in a reaction mix that includes 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Tween-20, 20 mM NaCl, 4 mM dithiothreitol, 0.8 μM of ssDNA 50nt, 2 μM mature shortNse2/Arm-Smc5 E2-SUMO3/SUMO4 complex (wild type or D68R), 6 μM CSe4 or cp53, 0.3 μM yeast E1 (Aoes1:Ubc9[50]-teml-1-55), 0.2 μM yeast E2 (Ubc9[51]) and 2 μM Smt3[52]-Alexa488. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 0.5 mM ATP and was incubated at 30 °C for up to 5 min. Samples were then taken at the indicated time points and stopped with a 4X Laemmeli sample buffer with or without BME (BioRad). Reactions using human E1 (Sae1:ΔC-term1) and human E3 (1-287Nse2/Arm-Smc5)[52] were diluted to achieve 1 μM to 20 μM HEPES pH 7.5 containing 50 mM NaCl. After recording the emission spectra 0.2 μM ssDNA (50nt) was directly added to the cuvette and a new spectrum was recorded. The temperature was maintained at 30 °C.

**Construction of yeast mutant strains.** All yeast strains constructed in the BY4741 genetic background. The nse2-SMD2 allele was generated by chromosomal integration of a PCR amplified selection marker using primers designed to insert a STOP codon inserted before the last 4 residues, thus preventing translation of the SIM2 motif. The PCR was transformed into BY5263 and verified by PCR and sequencing. Double mutants in combination with nse4Δ, esc2Δ, nse4Δ and Smd5/6 were generated by PCR amplification of primers containing the deletion. The PCR product was phosphorylated, ligated, and transformed into Dif1α. The mutagenized sequences were then fused to a selection marker by PCR and transformed into yeast. All integrations were confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**

Structure reported has been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 6USW. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary information files. Source data are provided with this paper.
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**Analysis and quantification of SUMOylation products on SDS-PAGE.** All products of the time-course reactions were stopped with 4xLaemmli sample loading buffer (BioRad), resolved by 12% SDS-PAGE (in reducing or non-reducing conditions as indicated in the figures legends) and visualized by Alexa488 fluorescence emission in a Molecular Imager Versadoc MP4000 System (Bio-Rad). Band densitometry was calculated by Quantity One 1-D (Bio-Rad).

**Intrinsic fluorescence.** Tryptophan emission spectra were obtained by setting the excitation wavelength at 295 nm and collecting emission in the 310–400 nm range using a Jasco FP-8200 spectrofluorometer. Arm-Smc5/Nse2 (wild type and CA16) were diluted to achieve 1 μM in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 containing 50 mM NaCl. After recording the emission spectra 0.2 μM ssDNA (50nt) was directly added to the cuvette and a new spectrum was recorded. The temperature was maintained at 30 °C.

**Kinetic curves using purified Ubc9K153R-Smt3K11C/D68R-Alexa488 thioester.** To follow the kinetics of thioester discharge mediated by Nse2/Arm-Smc5 constructs (non-fusion and Smt3-fusioned WTE3), the purified Ubc9K153R-Smt3K11C/D68R-Alexa488 thioester was serial diluted in 20 mM NaCitate pH 5.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol. Then, 10 μl of diluted thioester (ranging from 0.625–25 μM) were incubated 40 μl reaction mixture containing 40 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 25 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, or 4 or 400 mM E3, and 32 μM cp53, 0.8 μM ssDNA 50nt. In indicated reactions, 1.5-fold excess of non-conjugatable Smt3 over thioester was added to the mixture. Also, ssDNA was removed in some experiments, as indicated. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C and samples were taken and quenched with a 4X Laemmeli non-reducing sample buffer (BioRad). Curves were fitted with AllostERIC-Sigmoidal equation using Prism (GraphPad).
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