Human myeloid cells activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and secrete interleukin (IL)-1β in response to various Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands, but the rate of secretion is much higher in primary human monocytes than in cultured macrophages or THP-1 cells. The different myeloid cells also display different redox status under resting conditions and redox response to TLR activation. Resting monocytes display a balanced redox state, with low production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidants. TLR engagement induces an effective redox response with increased ROS generation followed by a sustained antioxidant response, paralleled by efficient IL-1β secretion. Drugs blocking ROS production or the antioxidant response prevent the secretion of mature IL-1β but not the biosynthesis of pro-IL-1β, indicating that redox remodeling is responsible for IL-1β processing and release. Unlike monocytes, THP-1 cells and cultured macrophages have up-regulated antioxidant systems that buffer the oxidative hit provided by TLR triggering and suppress the consequent redox response. This aborted redox remodeling is paralleled by low efficiency IL-1β processing and secretion. High doses (5 mM) of H2O2 overcome the high antioxidant capacity of THP-1 cells, restore an efficient redox response, and increase the rate of IL-1β secretion. Together, these data indicate that a tightly controlled redox homeostasis in resting cells is a prerequisite for a robust redox response to TLR ligands, in turn necessary for the efficient inflammasome activation. Inflammasome activation by bacterial DNA is not modulated by redox responses, suggesting that redox-dependent regulation of IL-1β secretion is restricted to some inflammasomes including NLRP3 but excluding AIM-2.

Interleukin-1β (1) is endowed with two features infrequent in secretory proteins. First, it lacks signal peptides and is externalized through a leaderless pathway of secretion, alternative to the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi classical one (2). Second, it is produced as an inactive precursor (pro-IL-1β) that is proteolytically converted to the mature bioactive form by caspase-1, in turn activated upon the assembly of the multiprotein complex inflammasome (3). The process of inflammasome activation is ill defined. The involvement of redox-related events has been proposed for NLR family, pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3)-inflammasome activation (4). The underlying mechanism is, however, controversial. Some studies support a direct contribution of reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by NADPH oxidase, but do not provide a molecular mechanism for ROS-mediated inflammasome activation (5–7). Others studies propose a role for the antioxidant response (8, 9) that is induced by, and follows, ROS increase (10). The latter hypothesis is based on pharmacologic evidence that specific inhibition of ROS-induced antioxidant systems prevents IL-1β processing and secretion (9) and on the finding that pro-caspase-1 needs to be produced antioxidant systems prevents IL-1β processing and secretion (9) and on the finding that pro-caspase-1 needs to be reduced by superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD1) to undergo activation (8). Recently, the requirement of ROS for inflammasome activation has been questioned in conditions such as chronic granulomatous disease, where the impaired ROS production due to a genetic defect does not affect IL-1β secretion (11–13).

Many in vitro cell systems are available for the study of inflammasome activation, including mouse macrophages (14), human primary monocytes or cultured macrophages (15, 16), and mouse and human continuous myelomonocytic cell lines (7, 17). Although any of these cell systems offers some advantages, they frequently differ in the regulation of IL-1β processing and secretion. Mouse macrophages require pattern recognition receptor engagement as a first signal to trigger pro-IL-1β expression and synthesis, and a second stimulus, such as extracellular ATP, crystals (18, 19), or pathogenic dusts (7) to induce inflammasome assembly and cleavage and secretion of the cytokine (3). Differently, in human monocytes second signals enhance and accelerate IL-1β processing and secretion but are not strictly required because pattern recognition receptor stimulation drives the release of endogenous ATP that autocrinically triggers the cascade of events leading to inflammasome activation (20). Also cells from the human acute monocytic leukemia cell line THP-1 secrete IL-1β in vitro following a single or a double stimulus (7). However, divergences between inflammasome assembly and IL-1β secretion in THP-1 cells and primary monocytes have been noted. In particular, exposure to
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reducing agents and down-regulation of thioredoxin have been reported to cause opposite effects on the rate of IL-1β secretion in monocytes (9) and THP-1 cells (7, 21).

Long-term tumor cell cultures display many differences from the primary cultures (22). In particular, cell lines generally exhibit a more reduced phenotype than primary cells, due to overexpression of oxidoreductases such as thioredoxin (23). Moreover, the cystine/cysteine cycle is up-regulated in many cell lines, with accumulation of free thiols in the culture medium (24). Although these redox alterations ensure faster growth, resistance to apoptosis and other benefits to immortalized cells, they may cause erroneous interpretation of results when redox-dependent events are studied.

In the attempt to clarify the mechanism of redox regulation of inflammasome, we compared the process of IL-1β processing and secretion in primary human monocytes, cultured macrophages, and THP-1 cells, with respect to the basal redox and the redox changes induced by Toll-like receptor (TLR) stimulation. In particular, we focused on the activity of the cystine/cysteine redox cycle (24), a major antioxidant mechanism in inflammatory cells (25–28) that mediates uptake of oxidized cystine from the extracellular environment, intracellular conversion to cysteine, and secretion of the reduced amino acid, resulting in reduction of the extracellular redox. The main molecular components of this redox cycle are xCT, the functional subunit of the Xc-transporter, that mediates the internalization of oxidized cystine (29), and thioredoxin (30) that participates to the intracellular conversion of cystine to cysteine (31, 32).

Our data indicate that a tightly controlled redox homeostasis in resting cells is a prerequisite for an effective redox response to pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMPs) molecules, in turn necessary for an efficient process of IL-1β maturation and secretion. Due to an unbalance in the basal redox state, with predominance of antioxidant systems, THP-1 cells and cultured macrophages display a weak redox response to PAMPs, resulting in low IL-1β processing and secretion. Remarkably, not all inflammasomes are under redox control: IL-1β processing and secretion in response to transfection of bacterial DNA, which is known to induce AIM-2 inflammasome (33–35), is neither accompanied by redox changes nor modulated by redox-directed inhibitors, both in monocytes and THP-1 cells.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Chemicals**—L-Buthionine-(S,R)-sulfoximine (BSO), 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea (BCNU), diphenylene iodonium (DPI), 5,5′-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), DTT, hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), LPS, PMA, and zymosan were from Sigma; Pam_3,2Cys-Ser-Lys_4 (PAM3) was from Alexis, ac-YVAD-CMK was from Bachem, and 2,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H2DCF-DA) and monochlorobimane were from Molecular Probes.

**Cell Cultures**—Human monocytes were purified from freshly drawn peripheral blood of healthy donors and stimulated with PAMPs as described (9) or cultured 24 or 48 h in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS in the presence of 50 ng/ml of GM-CSF (Peprotech) before PAMP stimulation. Murine peritoneal macrophages were obtained from peritoneal lavage of Balb/c mice (Charles River) 4 days after injection of 4% sterile thioglycollate (BD Biosciences). THP-1 cells and RAW 264.7 cells (kind gift of Dr. P. Naquet, CML, Marseille) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS. THP-1 cells were differentiated 30 min in the presence of PMA (0.3 μg/ml). Monocytes (0.5 × 10^6), GM-CSF-cultured monocytes (0.5 × 10^6), THP-1 cells (10^6), RAW 264.7 cells (0.15 × 10^6), and murine macrophages (10^6) were then plated in 0.5 ml in 24-well plates and exposed to 1 μg/ml of LPS, 50 μg/ml of zymosan, or 1 μg/ml of Pam3 at 37 °C in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS for different times as described (9).

When indicated, the following redox-active compounds were added to the cultures and left for the duration of the experiment: DPI (20 μM) and BCNU (50 μM) (both added 15 min after PAMPs), BSO (150 μM, added 15 min before PAMPs), H2O2 (from 0.1 to 10 mM), and DTT (from 0.05 to 2 mM). For each substance a dose-response was performed. When single doses are used, they correspond to the concentrations displaying the highest efficacy in the absence of cell toxicity evaluated by measuring cell death by trypan blue exclusion or lactate dehydrogenase release. To reduce the experimental variability all the experiments were performed with THP-1 cells and monocytes were processed and analyzed in parallel.

**ELISA Analyses**—Pro-IL-1β content of cell lysates and IL-1β content in supernatants were quantified by ELISA (R&D Systems, catalogue number DLBP00 and DY201, respectively) (9).

**Determination of Intracellular ROS and Reduced GSH**—Cells were stimulated with the various PAMPs for different times as indicated and 10 μM H2DCF-DA (to assess intracellular ROS) (9, 36) or 100 μM monochlorobimane (to assess intracellular GSH) (9, 36) were added to cultures 30 min before the end of the incubation. Fluorescence was measured in cell lysates with a microplate fluorimeter with excitation 480 nm and emission 530 nm for H2DCF-DA. Data were normalized versus cell number or the protein content of cell lysates, measured by the Lowry method (9, 36).

**Determination of Cysteine in Culture Media**—Supernatants (0.1 ml) from monocytes and THP-1 cells cultured as above were reacted with 10 mM DTNB and the absorption was measured at 412 nm. Cysteine was used as standard (9, 37).

**Western Blot Analysis**—Triton X-100 cell lysates were resolved on 12.5% SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred. Filters were probed with the following Abs: anti-IL-1β 3ZD mAb (obtained from the NCI Biological Resources Branch, Bethesda, MD), anti-human thioredoxin mAb (clone 2B1, kind gift of Dr. F. Clarke, Brisbane, Australia), and anti-SOD1 (Stressgene) or anti-mouse IL-1β (R&D) followed by the relevant secondary antibody (DAKO) and developed with ECL Plus (GE Healthcare) (9, 36). Anti-β-tubulin (Sigma) or anti-GAPDH (Novus Biologicals) mAbs were used as loading control.

**NLRP3 Silencing**—Primary monocytes (10 × 10^6) or THP-1 cells (2 × 10^6) were nucleofected with 5 μg of scrambled or NLRP3 siRNA (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amaxa Nucleofector Technology). Transfected monocytes were incubated for 24 h with 20 ng/ml of IFN-γ, whereas nucleofected THP-1 cells were primed 30 min with PMA. Both cell types were then stimulated with 1 μg/ml of LPS.
or 50 μg/ml of zymosan for an additional 18 h. At the end of the incubation, supernatants were collected for ELISA and cells were processed for Western blot or gene expression analyses.

Real-Time PCR—Total RNA was isolated from cells by the TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche Applied Science) and reverse-transcribed by SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR determination of xCT, thioredoxin, SOD1, NLRP-3, and pro-IL-1β cDNA was performed using SYBR Green ER qPCR Super Mix for iCycler reagent (Invitrogen). The specific primers for xCT and GAPDH were described in Ref. 37, and for thioredoxin and SOD1 in Ref. 9. The specific primers used for NLRP3 were: forward, 5′-GAG GCA ACA CTC TCG GAG AC-3′; reverse, 5′-TCT GCC TGG AGG TCA GAA GT-3′. The specific primers used for pro-IL-1β were: forward, 5′-TCC AGG GAC AGG ATA TGG AG-3′; reverse, 5′-TCT TTT AAC ACG CAG GAC AG-3′. Relative expression was determined using the ΔCt method (38).

Transfection of Bacterial DNA—pcDNA3 plasmid (Invitrogen) was isolated from Escherichia coli. To remove contaminating LPS from the DNA preparation, DNA was incubated with polymyxin B (50 μg/ml) at room temperature for 30 min. DNA was ethanol precipitated twice and resuspended in endotoxin-free water. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). All transfections were performed using 1 μg of DNA (39).

Statistical Analysis—The data were statistically analyzed by using the one-way analysis of variance test, followed by Bonferroni post test, using GraphPad software.

RESULTS

IL-1β Secretion by PAMP-stimulated THP-1 Cells and Primary Monocytes—Synthesis, processing, and secretion of IL-1β by primary human monocytes and THP-1 cells stimulated by PAMPs display a number of differences (Fig. 1). First, even if a high variability in the synthesis of pro-IL-1β is found in different donors (15), monocytes consistently produce more pro-IL-1β than THP-1 (Fig. 1, A and B). In the different experiments the amount of intracellular pro-IL-1β after 18 h of stimulation with PAMPs was 3–7-fold bigger in monocytes than in THP-1 cells.

The differences in the rate of secretion of mature IL-1β are more dramatic (Fig. 1, C and D). PAMP-stimulated monocytes release 15 to 40 times more mature IL-1β than THP-1 cells in 18 h of culture. The portion of secreted IL-1β with respect to the intracellular pro-IL-1β varied from 4 to 10% among the different healthy donors, whereas it was only 0.2 to 0.35% in THP-1 cells, confirming that the processing/secretory machinery was 20-fold more efficient in monocytes than in THP-1. In keeping with previous observations (2, 40), no 17-kDa IL-1β was detected intracellularly (Fig. 1A, see also supplemental Fig. S1), indicating that, at least in human myeloid cells, pro-IL-1β processing is temporarily associated with IL-1β secretion.

Exposure to the caspase-1 inhibitor ac-YVAD-CMK prevented the secretion of IL-1β (Fig. 1E), but not the synthesis of pro-IL-1β (Fig. 1F) in both primary monocytes and THP-1 cells. siRNA-induced NLRP3 knock-down also resulted in a dramatic inhibition of IL-1β secretion (Fig. 1G), with no effects on pro-IL-1β mRNA expression (not shown), confirming that NLRP3 inflammasome activation is required for PAMP-induced IL-1β secretion (3).

THP-1 Cells Exhibit a More Reduced Phenotype Than Primary Monocytes—The redox status of monocytes and THP-1 cells under resting conditions and the redox changes induced by PAMP stimulation were then compared. The amount of oxidants was quantified as ROS production, whereas the degree of antioxidant activity was evaluated in terms of the amount of reduced GSH (41) and expression and activity of the cystine/cysteine redox cycle (24–28). As shown in Fig. 2A, unstimulated monocytes and THP-1 cells displayed similar levels of basal ROS. In contrast, GSH levels were higher in THP-1 cells than in monocytes (Fig. 2B). The basal activity of the cystine/cysteine cycle was strongly up-regulated in THP-1 cells compared with monocytes, resulting in a spontaneous release of 45 ± 12 μM cysteine in 18 h, versus 15 ± 7 μM released by monocytes (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, whereas xCT mRNA is similar in unstimulated THP-1 cells and monocytes (Fig. 2D), the expression of thioredoxin is much higher in THP-1 cells (Fig. 2E), indicating that the activity of the cystine/cysteine cycle can be modulated by thioredoxin expression in the absence of xCT up-regulation. The expression of the antioxidant enzyme SOD1 was also investigated and found higher in THP-1 cells than in monocytes (Fig. 2F).

THP-1 Cells Undergo a Milder Redox Remodeling Than Monocytes in Response to PAMPs—Following TLR triggering, ROS are strongly induced in monocytes, peaking after 1 h and returning to the baseline by 6 h (Fig. 3A, left panel). In contrast, the PAMP-induced ROS increase in THP-1 cells was under the threshold of detection (Fig. 3A, right panel).

The analysis of the antioxidant response revealed that GSH was moderately or not induced by PAMPs in both cell types (Fig. 3B). Differently, TLR triggering caused a stronger up-regulation of the cystine/cysteine cycle activity in primary monocytes than in THP-1 cells (Fig. 3, C–E). The release of cysteine, evaluated at 18 h from exposure to the various PAMPs, increased 4-fold in monocytes, whereas it was only slightly enhanced in THP-1 cells (Fig. 3C). In agreement, both xCT (Fig. 3D) and thioredoxin (Fig. 3E) are strongly up-regulated by PAMPs in monocytes but slightly or not affected in THP-1 cells. Like thioredoxin, SOD1 is not or barely detectable in monocytes but is overexpressed in THP-1 cells (Fig. 3F). However, whereas in monocytes a slight induction is observed after TLR triggering, in THP-1 cells PAMP stimulation does not affect the intracellular content of the enzyme. THP-1 cells require PMA priming to differentiate to macrophages. The effects of exposure to PMA or PAMPs alone on redox state and IL-1β synthesis and secretion were investigated (supplemental Fig. S2).

PMA, but not LPS, displayed a mild oxidative effect (panels A–D), with a slight decrease in GSH and cysteine release (panel B and C), but unaffected thioredoxin content (panel D). Only the association of the two stimuli triggered IL-1β synthesis and secretion, whereas PMA or LPS alone induced very low, if any, intracellular pro-IL-1β, and no IL-1β secretion (panel E).

Effects of Redox-directed Drugs on PAMP-induced Pro-IL-1β Synthesis and IL-1β Secretion—To evaluate the effect of ROS and antioxidant response on inflammasome activation, spe-
cific inhibitors of NADPH oxidase (DPI, Ref. 42) or GSH synthase (BSO, Ref. 43) were used. In monocytes, DPI inhibited the PAMP-induced ROS increase (Fig. 4A), the consequent antioxidant response (Fig. 4B), and IL-1β secretion (Fig. 4C). ELISA quantification revealed that pro-IL-1β biosynthesis and intracellular accumulation were only slightly affected by DPI treatment, which caused an inhibition of ≤20% (mean of three different experiments). This result was confirmed by Western blot analysis, which also revealed the absence of intracellular 17-kDa mature IL-1β in untreated and DPI-treated cells (Fig. 4D and supplemental Fig. S1). These results indicate that in monocytes DPI acts post-
translational and inhibits IL-1β processing and secretion. Also in THP-1 cells, DPI treatment lowered both ROS production (Fig. 4E) and the antioxidant response (Fig. 4F). However, PAMP-induced pro-IL-1β synthesis was also blocked (Fig. 4G), making it impossible to demonstrate any effect of DPI on the post-translational IL-1β processing. Similarly, BCNU, which blocks cysteine release and IL-1β secretion in monocytes without affecting pro-IL-1β synthesis (9), was found to profoundly inhibit pro-IL-1β synthesis in THP-1 cells (not shown).

Treatment with BSO caused GSH depletion in PAMP-stimulated cells (Fig. 4H), but did not affect cysteine release (Fig. 4J) and IL-1β secretion (Fig. 4I) by both monocytes and THP-1 cells, ruling out a role for the GSH system in inflammasome activation.

Oxidizing Agents Induce IL-1β Processing and Secretion in THP-1 Cells—Drugs affecting ROS production and thioredoxin activity are not good tools to study the role of redox on inflammasome activation in THP-1 cells, due to their inhibitory effect on pro-IL-1β synthesis (see Fig. 4). We then investigated whether modulating the redox state of cell cultures with oxidizing or reducing compounds has any effect on IL-1β processing and secretion. THP-1 cells and monocytes were stimulated with LPS in the presence of increasing amounts of H2O2 (Fig. 5). In THP-1 cells, 1 and 2 mM H2O2 strongly induced IL-1β secretion (Fig. 5C and D) without affecting the intracellular content of pro-IL-1β (Fig. 5A and B). Also higher doses (5 and 10 mM) of H2O2 induced secretion of IL-1β (Fig. 5C, D), paralleled by a small decrease in the intracellular pro-IL-1β (Fig. 5B), without affecting cell viability. Consequently, the ratio of IL-1β secreted is strongly increased (Fig. 5D). At all the H2O2 concentrations used, an effective antioxidant response was induced (Fig. 5E).

Unlike in THP-1 cells, both synthesis and secretion of IL-1β were severely affected in primary monocytes exposed to H2O2 at concentrations ≥5 mM (Fig. 5, F–I, and data not shown), likely due, at least in part, to a cytotoxic effect (>70% of dying cells were found after 18 h of culture). At 2 mM, the toxic effect of H2O2 was instead negligible, but LPS-induced synthesis (Fig. 5, F and G) and secretion (Fig. 5, H and I) of IL-1β were dramatically prevented. At these concentrations the antioxidant response was also impaired (Fig. 5J).

The above data suggest that the overexpressed antioxidant systems in THP-1 cells buffer TLR-induced ROS generation, thereby hindering the ROS-induced antioxidant response. A stronger oxidative hit, such as that provided by ≥1 mM H2O2, is required to overcome the antioxidant state, allowing an effective redox remodeling and consequently, IL-1β processing and secretion (Fig. 5, A–E).

Interestingly, primary monocytes and THP-1 cells displayed a different sensitivity also to reducing agents (Fig. 6). DTT increased the rate of PAMP-induced IL-1β secretion in monocytes in a dose-dependent manner between 0.1 and 1 mM, (Fig. 6, A and B), whereas the same concentrations exhibited an inhibitory effect on IL-1β secretion by THP-1 cells (Fig. 6, E and F). These effects were operative at the post-translational level, because at DTT concentrations <2 mM no significant variations in the amount of intracellular pro-IL-1β were observed in monocytes (Fig. 6, C and D), and a decrease was detected in THP-1 cells only at 1 and 2 mM DTT (Fig. 6, G and H). Interestingly, DTT prevented the increase of intracellular thioredoxin induced by PAMPs in monocytes (Fig. 6C, see also Fig. 3E), indicating that the generation of a reducing environment, rather than the specific increase of thioredoxin, is required for inflammasome activation.

IL-18 like IL-1β is produced as a precursor that undergoes processing by caspase-1 in an inflammasome-dependent manner (1). Interestingly, similarly to IL-1β, IL-18 secretion is induced by H2O2 in THP-1 cells and DTT in human monocytes (data not shown) confirming that the inflammasome is the target of the redox-related events leading to secretion.

FIGURE 2. Differences in the redox state of resting monocytes and THP-1 cells. A–D, intracellular ROS and GSH levels, cysteine release, expression of xCT, thioredoxin, and SOD1 were compared in unstimulated monocytes (Mo) and THP-1 cells. ROS and GSH were evaluated on a per cell basis. Same results were obtained by normalizing ROS toward the cell protein content (not shown). A, ROS levels were quantified by H2DCF-DA fluorometric methods. Data are expressed as relative fluorescence units (RFU), mean ± S.D. (n = 3). B, GSH levels were quantified by monochlorobimane fluorometric methods. Data are expressed as RFU, mean ± S.D. (n = 3). C, extracellular cysteine was quantified by a DTNB assay in 18-h supernatants. Data are expressed as micro-molar/10^6 cells (mean ± S.D., n = 4). D–F, the expression of mRNA for xCT (D), thioredoxin (Trx, E), and SOD1 (F) was analyzed by real-time PCR. Data are expressed as fold-changes in THP-1 cells with respect to unstimulated monocytes. In each case, one representative experiment of three performed is shown.
Bacterial DNA Induces IL-1β Processing and Secretion in a Redox-independent Manner—To investigate whether redox changes modulate inflammasomes other that NLRP3, THP-1 cells and monocytes were transfected with bacterial DNA, which induces IL-1β secretion in a NLRP3-independent, AIM2 inflammasome-dependent manner (33–35, 39). IL-1β synthesis and secretion, and redox remodeling were then assessed. As shown in Fig. 7, after liposome-mediated transfection of plas-
FIGURE 4. Effects of redox active drugs (DPI and BSO) on monocytes and THP-1 redox remodeling and IL-1β secretion. The effects of DPI (A–G) or BSO (H–J) on PAMP-induced redox remodeling and IL-1β secretion were investigated in monocytes and THP-1 cells. ROS levels (A and E), cysteine release (B and F), and IL-1β secretion (C) were analyzed in monocytes (A–C) and THP-1 cells (E and F) exposed (closed columns) or not (open columns) to DPI 30 min after the addition of the various PAMPs. A and E, ROS levels by untreated or DPI-treated cells were measured after 1 h from PAMP exposure. B, C, and F, cysteine release (β and F) and IL-1β secretion (C) by untreated or DPI-treated cells were measured after 18 h from PAMP exposure. D and G, pro-IL-1β present in cell lysates from untreated (−) or DPI-treated (+) monocytes (D) and THP-1 cells (G) after 18 h of culture with the various PAMPs as indicated was analyzed by Western blot. H–J, monocytes and THP-1 cells were cultured 18 h without or with LPS in the absence or presence of BSO and GSH levels (H), cysteine release (I) and secreted IL-1β (J) were quantified as described in the legends to Figs. 1 and 2. Mean of three different experiments ± S.D. is shown.
Pro-oxidant and Antioxidant Events in Inflammasome Activation

**FIGURE 5.** \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) induces antioxidant response and IL-1β secretion in THP-1 cells but not in monocytes. THP-1 cells (A–E) and monocytes (F–J) were exposed 18 h to LPS in the presence or absence of increasing concentrations \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), as indicated. A and F, Western blot analyses of intracellular pro-IL-1β and thioredoxin (Trx) (top panel: β-tubulin as a loading control) in cell lysates from THP-1 cells (A) and monocytes (F). One representative experiment of three is shown. B, C, G, and H, intracellular pro-IL-1β (B and G) and secreted IL-1β (C and H) were quantified by ELISA in cell lysates and supernatants, respectively (B and C: THP-1 cells; G and H, monocytes). Data are expressed as nanograms/10^6 cells (mean ± S.D. of two independent experiments). D and I, the ratio of IL-1β secretion was calculated according to the formula: (secreted IL-1β/(intracellular pro-IL-1β + secreted IL-1β)) × 100. E and J, extracellular cysteine present in 18-h supernatants of THP-1 cells (E) and monocytes (J) was quantified by a DTNB assay. Data are expressed as micromolar/10^6 cells (mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments).

midic DNA (pCDNA3), pro-IL-1β was induced in monocytes at levels comparable with those induced by LPS, whereas very low or no induction over the basal level was detected in THP-1 cells (Fig. 7A). In monocytes, the rate of IL-1β secretion was also comparable with that induced by LPS (Fig. 7B). Differently in THP-1 cells, despite the low induction of pro-IL-1β by plasmidic DNA, the levels of secreted IL-1β were higher than those induced by LPS (Fig. 7B). Neither ROS production (Fig. 7C) nor cysteine release (Fig. 7D) were significantly modulated by DNA transfection. In addition, in monocytes, DPI and BCNU, that affect ROS production and thioredoxin activity, respectively (9), strongly decreased LPS-induced IL-1β secretion but have no effects on the secretion of IL-1β triggered by cytosolic DNA (Fig. 7E). These findings indicate the activation of IL-1β processing and secretion by cytosolic DNA is independent of redox remodeling. Therefore, in THP-1 cells where redox remodeling is weak and requires a strong hit, the redox independent, cytosolic DNA-mediated activation of inflammasome (most likely AIM2 inflammasome, Refs. 33–35) is much more efficient than the redox-dependent NLRP3 inflammasome activation.

**Monocytes Cultured in GM-CSF Display a Reduced Phenotype and an Impaired Response to PAMPs**—We have previously shown that in monocyte-derived macrophages the rate of IL-1β processing and secretion following LPS stimulation is very low (16). We then investigated whether, like in THP-1 cells, the impaired IL-1β secretion is related to an impaired redox state. As shown in Fig. 8A, monocytes cultured 24 or 48 h with GM-CSF, and then stimulated with LPS or zymosan for an additional 18 h, secreted less than 10% the amount of IL-1β secreted by monocytes from the same donor immediately stimulated with PAMPs for 18 h after blood withdrawal. This drop in IL-1β secretion was unrelated to the biosynthesis of pro-IL-1β that was barely decreased with respect to fresh monocytes (Fig. 8A, inset). The ROS levels in unstimulated GM-CSF-cultured monocytes were only a little lower than in unstimulated fresh monocytes, but were not further induced by PAMPs (Fig. 8B). Likewise, in GM-CSF-cultured cells the antioxidant response, evaluated as cysteine release, was weak or absent (Fig. 8C). A similarly low rate of IL-1β secretion paralleled by a weak redox remodeling after TLR triggering was observed in other myeloid cells, such as peritoneal murine macrophages and the mouse leukemic monocyte macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 (Fig. 8, A–C), independently of the basal redox phenotype.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we have compared freshly isolated primary monocytes, GM-CSF-cultured monocytes, and THP-1 cells and found striking differences in the redox processes involved in NLRP3 inflammasome activation that result in a dramatically different rate of IL-1β secretion.

Under resting conditions, the redox homeostasis in primary monocytes is ensured by a low amount of ROS counterbalanced by a low expression of antioxidant systems. This balanced redox state allows an efficient redox remodeling after TLR stimulation, with ROS production followed by an adaptive response aimed at restoring the redox homeostasis. In contrast, unstimulated THP-1 cells and GM-CSF-cultured monocytes, despite a low level of basal ROS, display up-regulated antioxidant systems, with high activity of the cystine/cysteine cycle and secretion of a large amount of cysteine in the absence of TLR triggering. Moreover, unlike in freshly drawn monocytes, in THP-1 cells and cultured monocytes the induction of ROS by PAMPs is low or undetectable and the antioxidant response is weak. A likely explanation of this finding is that in resting THP-1 cells and GM-CSF-cultured monocytes the oxidative hit provided by PAMPs is under the threshold required to increase ROS, due to the expanded antioxidant systems that quench the induced ROS. In turn, ROS at low levels are not able to induce a sus-
This result indicates that an oxidative hit stronger than that provided by PAMPs is required to overcome the high reducing capacity of THP-1 cells and to induce an antioxidant response, whereas the same stronger hit is lethal for resting monocytes, due to their low reducing capacity. The different redox state of the two cell types is also the reason why the same concentrations of the reducing agent DTT profoundly inhibit PAMP-induced IL-1β processing and secretion in THP-1 cells but enhance it in primary monocytes. Conceivably, a reducing environment is well tolerated by monocytes, thanks to their balanced redox state with low antioxidant expression, and favors inflammasome activation. In contrast, THP-1 cells, due to their dramatically expanded antioxidant apparatus are unable to further resist exposure to reducing agents, and undergo a “reductive stress.” A similar reductive stress with high levels of antioxidants and decreased levels of ROS occurs in mice overexpressing Hsp27 and, remarkably, results in a weaker systemic response to LPS (44, 45). In other myeloid cell lines, such as the promyelocytic leukemia cell line HL-60, exposure to DTT induces apoptosis, indicating that cells with a highly reducing potential are unable to resist to an additional reducing hit (46). The different redox state of resting monocytes and THP-1 cells is therefore responsible for their different response to pro- or antioxidant agents both in terms of survival and modulation of IL-1β secretion.

Although the involvement of redox-related events in NLRP3 inflammasome activation is largely accepted, the underlying molecular mechanism(s) are still undefined, either in the case of second signal-induced activation, which provides a stronger oxidative hit, or in response to TLR triggering, which induces a milder redox response. In particular, ROS have been proposed to activate the inflammasome but the molecular target(s) and the consequence of oxidation are unknown (4). On the other hand, the antioxidant response to ROS is required for inflammasome activation (8, 9) but how antioxidants mediate inflammasome activation is similarly unclear. A possible mechanism has been proposed by Meissner et al. (8), who reported that up-regulation of the antioxidant enzyme SOD1 results in reduction of two glutathionylated cysteine residues on procaspase-1, allowing the activation of the enzyme, otherwise inactive (8). Accordingly, SOD1 silencing prevents PAMP-induced IL-1β secretion in human monocytes (9). We show here that both SOD1 and thioredoxin are expressed at very low levels in resting monocytes and are up-regulated by PAMPs. In contrast, both SOD1 and thioredoxin are overexpressed by THP-1 cells but are not further induced by PAMPs. If reducing conditions would be sufficient to activate the inflammasome, the redox state of resting THP-1 cells should result in constitutive inflammasome activation. In contrast, we have shown here that the inflammasome activity is low under the reducing conditions that characterize THP-1 cells and is enhanced when a stronger oxidative hit allows ROS increase and the consequent antioxidant response. Together these findings indicate that an effective redox remodeling after PAMP stimulation, with ROS increase followed by antioxidant response, is required for inflammasome activation. Pre-existing reducing conditions do not favor inflammasome activity but rather prevent PAMP-induced redox remodeling, by buffering the mild oxidative hit.
provided by TLR engagements. This conclusion is supported by the observation that different myeloid cells (human and mouse macrophages, THP-1 and RAW 264.7 cells), which under resting conditions have levels of antioxidants different but in all cases high enough to smooth the redox remodeling to TLR stimulation, display a very low efficiency of IL-1β processing and secretion in response to PAMPs.

Cytosolic DNA has been shown to bind AIM2 that assembles with ASC and caspase-1 leading to pro-IL-1β processing (33–35). An important finding of this study is that inflammasome activation by transfected bacterial DNA is not modulated by redox responses. Moreover, in THP-1 cells the cytosolic DNA-mediated activation of AIM2 inflammasome is much more efficient than the TLR ligand-mediated activation of NLRP3 inflammasome, resulting in a higher rate of IL-1β processing and secretion. Because THP-1 cells display a weak redox remodeling due to expanded antioxidant systems under basal conditions, it is conceivable that the stronger activation of AIM2 inflammasome than of NLRP3 inflammasome is related to the different requirements of a redox response by the two inflammasomes: not necessary for the former, whereas mandatory for the latter. In any case, these data indicate that the redox-mediated regulation is not a property of all inflammasomes and suggest that the redox status of cells and microenvironment influences inflammasome assembly induced by extracellular but not intracellular activators.

The redox alterations of THP-1 cells, RAW 264.7 cells, and macrophages are different from those observed in monocytes from patients affected by cryopyrin-associated periodic fever syndromes, where mutations in the NLRP3 gene cause increased IL-1β secretion (1). These cells under resting conditions display elevated levels not only of antioxidants, like cells used in this study, but also of ROS (37). The redox response to TLR triggering is abnormal also in NLRP3-mutated monocytes: however, whereas in THP-1 cells the redox response is frustrated, in mutated monocytes is quickened and then rapidly exhausted. As a result, secretion of IL-1β is accelerated, but reaches a plateau much earlier than in healthy controls (37).

In conclusion, we have shown that the different redox state under resting conditions and the redox remodeling following PAMP stimulation in primary monocytes, cultured macrophages, and THP-1 cells dictate the different efficiency of inflammasome activation displayed by the three cell types as well as their different response to compounds affecting the microenvironmental redox. Therefore, many of the discrepant data reported in the literature can be ascribed to the different redox states of primary and continuous monocytic cells. Not only our results recommend caution on the interpretation of
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FIGURE 8. GM-CSF-cultured monocytes, mouse macrophages, and RAW 264.7 cells display mild redox changes to PAMP stimulation and a poor IL-1β secretion. Monocytes freshly drawn for peripheral blood were immediately processed and exposed to LPS or zymosan (Zym) or cultured 24 or 48 h with GM-CSF before exposure to LPS or zymosan for and additional 18 h. RAW 264.7 cells or peritoneal macrophages were stimulated 18 h with LPS. IL-1β secretion (A) and cysteine release (C) were evaluated after 18 h, whereas ROS levels (B) were quantified after 1 h, as described in legends to Figs. 1 and 2. Inset in A, Western blot of intracellular pro-IL-1β in freshly isolated monocytes (t0 Mo), monocytes were cultured 24 or 48 h with GM-CSF (24 h Mo, 48 h Mo), RAW 264.7 cells, mouse peritoneal Mo (mouse Mo), after 18 h of stimulation with LPS or zymosan (Zym) as indicated.

data obtained from in vitro studies of redox-related events on tumor cell lines, but also suggest that, in vivo, different redox alterations, as found in many chronic diseases, may perturb the innate immune response by altering inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion.
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