Association of Dementia in Ischemic Stroke: A Case Control Study
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Abstract:

Objectives: To evaluate the association of dementia in ischemic stroke. Methodology: This case control study was carried out in the department of Neurology at BSMMU, Dhaka from 1st January 2010 to 31st December 2011 for duration of two years to evaluate the association of dementia in ischemic stroke. The target population for this study include all patients presented with ischemic stroke at the range of 3 to 6 months after stroke with the age group of 40 to 70 years are included in this study and patients of dementia other than ischemic stroke like Alzheimer’s disease, vit-B12 deficiency, thyroid dysfunction were excluded from this study. A total number of 120 respondents were included in this study. Age & sex matched 60 patients of ischemic stroke were selected as cases and rest 60 people were taken as control group. Informed written consent was taken from each patient or his/ her attendant. All information regarding history and physical findings; and other risk factors for dementia were collected to fill up the preformed questionnaire. Relevant physical examinations like nervous system examination, selected general and systemic examination were recorded. Result & Observation: Dementia was present in case and control group 18(30.0%) and 2(3.3%) respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). Present smoking habit was more in case (45.0%) than in control group (16.7%) which was statistically significant (p=0.001) with a OR of 4.07 with a 95% CI of 1.89-8.75. Past smoking habit was more in case (16.7%) than control group (11.7%). Non-smoker was more in control (71.7%) than case group (38.3%). Diabetes mellitus was more common in case group (38.3%) than control group 5(8.3%) which was statistically significant (p=0.001) with a 6.84 OR and 95% CI of 2.39-19.6. Conclusion: The study permit to conclude that dementia is directly associated with ischemic stroke. We found a correlation between age, family history of dementia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia with dementia.
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and reversible, these distinctions are more relative than absolute.2

Dementia is a syndrome consisting of a loss of several separable but overlapping intellectual abilities and present in a number of different combinations. Memory is the most common cognitive ability lost with dementia; 10% of persons >70 and 20–40% of individuals >85 years of age have clinically identifiable memory loss. In addition to memory, other mental faculties are also affected in dementia; these include language, visuospatial ability, calculation, judgment, and problem solving. Neuropsychiatric and social deficits also develop in many dementia syndromes, resulting in depression, withdrawal, hallucinations, delusions, agitation, insomnia, and disinhibition. The most common forms of dementia are progressive, but some are static and unchanging or fluctuate dramatically from day to day. Most diagnoses of dementia require some sort of memory deficit, although there are many dementias, such as frontotemporal dementia, where memory loss is not a presenting feature.4

Dementia affects more than 4 million Americans and results in a total health care cost of $100 billion annually. The reported frequency of dementia due to potentially reversible causes varies from 0 to 23%. Historically, patients with dementia due to recurrent strokes were diagnosed with multi-infarct dementia termed as vascular dementia, since the disease can occur after a single vascular incident. Vascular dementia is widely accepted as the second most common cause of cognitive impairment. Stroke is clearly related to vascular dementia, the second-most-common type of dementia. There has been a recent upsurge of interest in poststroke dementia (PSD), which is a subtype of vascular dementia. PSD is operationally defined as the presence of dementia identified at 3 months after an acute, either recurrent or first-ever, stroke. The prevalence of PSD among recurrent or first-ever stroke patients varies from 6% to 31.8%. Stroke increases the risk of dementia up to 12 times. The overall prevalence of dementia is estimated in India was 3.36%. In Bangladesh there is no research and data about prevalence or incidence of post stroke dementia. Post stroke dementia is the most disabling of all the neurological diseases and in the context of our country it poses a huge burden both socially and economically. The treatment cost benefit is frustrating and rehabilitation is not expectedly available. So, we should concentrate more on prevention. As there is no local data on this topic, this study is therefore planned to identify the association of dementia with ischemic stroke and to find out the determinants of dementia in ischemic stroke.

Methods:
This study was a case control study, which was carried out in the department of Neurology at BSMMU, Dhaka, from 1st January 2010 to 31st December 2011 for a duration of two years. The target population for this study included all patients presented with ischemic stroke of 40-70 years of age in both sexes. Age and sex matched volunteer/patients other than ischemic stroke were selected as the control group. Data collection was conducted
by researcher himself. All data were compiled and edited meticulously by thorough checking and rechecking. All omissions and inconsistencies were corrected and were removed methodically. All data were recorded systematically in preformed data collection form (questionnaire) and quantitative data was expressed as mean and standard deviation and qualitative data was expressed as frequency distribution and percentage. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS for windows version 15.0. 95% confidence limit was taken. Probability value <0.05 was considered as level of significance.

**Results and Observations:**
A total number of 120 respondents were included in this study of which 60 patients of ischemic stroke were selected as case and the rest 60 volunteer were selected as control.

The mean age of case and control group were 57.13 ± 7.39 and 57.37 ± 7.94 respectively (p=0.868). In both case and control groups male and female were equal in number which were 42(70.0%) and 18(30.0%) respectively. Smokers/ ex-smokers were more in case than control group which was 39(65.0%) and 15 (25.0%) respectively (p=0.001) with as OR of 5.57 with a 95% CI of 2.53-12.27. Non-smokers were more in case than control group which was 21 (35.0%) and 45(75.0%) respectively. Diabetes mellitus was more common in case group than control which was 30 (50.0%) and 5(8.3%) respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001) with 11.00 as OR and 95% CI of 3.87-31.31. Hypertension was more common in case group than control which was 45(75.0%) and 20(33.3%) respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001) with 6.84 as OR and 95% CI of 2.71-13.27. Dyslipidaemia was more common in case group than control which was 39(65.0%) and 26(43.3%) respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.017) with 2.43 as OR and 95% CI of 1.16-5.07. Cardiac diseases were more common in control group than case which was 5(8.3%) and 4(6.7%) respectively. The difference was statistically not significant (p=0.729) with 0.79 as OR and 95% CI of 0.20-3.08. Family history of dementia was more common in case group than control which was 6 (10.0%) and 1 (1.7%) respectively. The difference was statistically not significant (p=0.051) with 6.56 as OR and 95% CI of 0.76-56.22. These are shown in Table I.

### Table-I

**Respondents characteristics (n=120)**

| Variables                  | Case (Stroke) | Control (non-stroke) | P value | OR (95%CI) |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| Age (y)                    | 57.13 ± 7.39  | 57.37 ± 7.94          | 0.868   |            |
| Sex                        |               |                       |         |            |
| Male                       | 42 (70.0)#    | 42 (70.0)             | 1.000   |            |
| Female                     | 18 (30.0)     | 18 (30.0)             |         |            |
| Smoking                    |               |                       |         |            |
| Smoker/ Ex-smoker          | 39(65.0)#     | 15 (25.0)             | 0.001*  | 5.57 (2.53-12.27) |
| Non smoker                 | 21 (35.0)     | 45(75.0)              |         |            |
| Diabetes mellitus          | 30 (50.0)     | 5(8.3)                | 0.001*  | 11.00 (3.87-31.31) |
| Hypertension               | 45(75.0)      | 20(33.3)              | 0.001*  | 6.00 (2.71-13.27) |
| Dyslipidaemia              | 39(65.0)      | 26(43.3)              | 0.017   | 2.43 (1.16-5.07) |
| Cardiac disease            | 4(6.7)        | 5(8.3)                | 0.729   | 0.79 (0.20-3.08) |
| Family history of dementia | 6 (10.0)      | 1 (1.7)               | 0.051   | 6.56 (0.76-56.22) |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance.

#Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.
Table II

Distribution of the respondents by dementia (n=120)

| Dementia   | Group          | p value |
|------------|----------------|---------|
|            | Case (n=60)    | Control (n=60) |
| Present    | 18 (30.0)      | 2 (3.3)  |
| Absent     | 42 (70.0)      | 58 (96.7)|
| Total      | 60 (100.0)     | 60 (100.0)|

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance.

#Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table III

Distribution of the respondents by MMSE (n=120)

| MMSE       | Case (n=60) | Control (n=60) |
|------------|-------------|----------------|
| Dementia   | Non-dementia | p value       | Dementia | Non-dementia | p value       |
| Severe     | 01 (5.55%)  | 0 (0.0)       | 00       | 00           |
| Moderate   | 14 (77.77%) # 0 (0.0) | 0.001       | 1 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Mild       | 3 (16.68%)  | 0 (0.0)       | 1 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Normal     | 0 (0.0)     | 42 (100.0)    | 0 (0.0)  | 58 (100.0) |
| Total      | 18 (100.0)  | 42 (100.0)    | 2 (100.0) | 58 (100.0) |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance.

#Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

(Scores on the MMSE ranges from 0 to 30, with scores of 24 or higher being traditionally considered normal. Scores less than 10 generally indicate severe impairment while scores between 10 and 19 indicate moderate dementia. Scores between 19-24 indicate MCI.)

Table IV shows the distribution of the patients by dementia. In case and control group dementia was present in 18(30.0%) cases and 2(3.3%) in control respectively. Dementia was absent in 42(70.0%) cases and 58(96.7%) in control. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.001).

Table IV shows the distribution of the patients by smoking habit. In case group smoker and nonsmoker were 14 (77.8%) and 4 (22.2%) respectively. In control group no smoker was found and only 2 (100.0%) cases were non-smokers. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023).

Table IV

Distribution of the respondents by smoking habit.

| Smoking habit | Group          | p value |
|---------------|----------------|---------|
|               | Case (n=18)    | Control (n=02) |
| Smoker        | 14 (77.8) #    | 0 (0.0) | 0.023 |
| Non-smoker    | 4 (22.2)       | 2 (100.0) |       |
| Total         | 18 (100.0)     | 2 (100.0) |       |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance.

#Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table V shows the distribution of the patients by hypertension. In case group hypertension was present in 14 (77.8%) cases and absent in 4 (22.2%) cases. In control group hypertension was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023).

Table V

Distribution of the respondents by Hypertension.

| Hypertension | Group          | p value |
|--------------|----------------|---------|
|              | Case (n=18)    | Control (n=2) |
| Present      | 14 (77.8) #    | 0 (0.0) | 0.023 |
| Absent       | 4 (22.2)       | 2 (100.0) |       |
| Total        | 18 (100.0)     | 2 (100.0) |       |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance.

#Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.
Table VI

Distribution of the respondents by Diabetes mellitus.

| Diabetic mellitus | Group       | p value |
|------------------|-------------|---------|
|                  | Case (n=18) | Control (n=2) |
| Present          | 13 (72.2) * | 0 (0.0) | 0.042 |
| Absent           | 5 (27.8) *  | 2 (100.0) | |
| Total            | 18 (100.0) | 2 (100.0) | |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance. #Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table VI shows the distribution of the patients with dementia by diabetes mellitus. In case group diabetes mellitus was present in 13 (72.2%) cases and absent in 5 (27.8%) cases. In control group diabetes mellitus was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.042).

Figure 3 shows distribution of patients according to site of lesion by CT/MRI scan where multiple infraction in 10(55%), left hemispheric 4(22%), right hemispheric 3(17%) and bilateral 1(6%) were found.

Table VII

Distribution of the respondents by dyslipidaemia.

| Dyslipidaemia | Group       | p value |
|--------------|-------------|---------|
|              | Case (n=18) | Control (n=2) |
| Present      | 13 (72.2) * | 0 (0.0) | 0.042 |
| Absent       | 5 (27.8) *  | 2 (100.0) | |
| Total        | 18 (100.0) | 2 (100.0) | |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance. #Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table VII shows the distribution of the patients by dyslipidaemia. In case group dyslipidaemia was present in 13 (72.2%) cases and absent in 5 (27.8%) cases. In control group dyslipidaemia was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.042).

Table VIII

Distribution of the respondents by Cardiac disease.

| Cardiac disease | Group  | p value |
|-----------------|--------|---------|
|                 | Case (n=18) | Control (n=2) |
| Present         | 1 (5.6) #  | 1 (50.0) | 0.047 |
| Absent          | 17 (94.4) | 1 (50.0) | |
| Total           | 18 (100.0) | 2 (100.0) | |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance. #Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table VIII shows the distribution of the patients by cardiac disease. In case group cardiac disease was present in 1 (5.6%) case and absent in 17 (94.4%) cases. In control group cardiac disease was present only 1 (50.0%) case and absent in only 1 (50.0%) case. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.047).

Table IX

Distribution of the respondents by family history of dementia.

| Family history of dementia | Group  | p value |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|
|                            | Case (n=18) | Control (n=2) |
| Yes                        | 4 (22.2) # | 0 (0.0) | 0.456 |
| No                         | 14 (77.8) | 2 (100.0) | |
| Total                      | 18 (100.0) | 2 (100.0) | |

*Chi-square test was done to measure the level of significance. #Figure within parentheses indicates in percentage.

Table IX shows the distribution of the patients by family history of dementia. In case group family history of dementia was present in 4 (22.2%) cases and absent in 14 (77.8%) cases. In control group no family history of dementia was found and absent in 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is not statistically significant (p=0.456).
Table X  
**Distribution of the respondents by biochemical parameters (n=120)**

| Biochemical parameters | Group | p value |
|------------------------|-------|---------|
|                        | Case (Mean±SD) | Control (Mean±SD) |
| Blood Sugar (Random)   | 6.45±2.34 | 6.15±1.48 | 0.866 |
| Serum creatinine       | 1.18±0.44 | 0.88±0.02 | 0.381 |
| S. TSH                 | 2.24±1.31 | 2.92±1.19 | 0.496 |
| Vitamin B₁₂           | 665.07±277.55 | 796.50±112.43 | 0.121 |

*t test was done to measure the level of significance.

Table X shows the distribution of the patients by biochemical parameters. In case and control groups the mean blood sugar was 6.45 ± 2.34 and 6.15 ± 1.48 respectively (p=0.866). In case and control groups the mean serum creatinine was 1.18 ± 0.44 and 0.88 ± 0.02mg respectively (p=0.381). In case and control groups the mean S. TSH was 2.24 ± 1.31 and 2.92 ± 1.19mmol/L respectively (p=0.496). In case and control groups the mean vitamin B₁₂ was 665.07 ± 277.55 and 796.50 ± 112.43 respectively (p=0.121).

Table XI  
**Distribution of the respondents by serum lipid profile (n=120)**

| Serum lipid profile | Group | p value |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
|                     | Case (Mean±SD) | Control (Mean ± SD) |
| Total cholesterol   | 198.59±64.45 | 182.00±7.07 | .727 |
| LDL                 | 126.53±52.09 | 109.50±3.53 | .658 |
| HDL                 | 39.47±12.56 | 53.50±4.95 | .144 |
| TG                  | 149.41±77.82 | 96.00±43.84 | .362 |

*t test was done to measure the level of significance.

Table XI shows the distribution of the patients by serum lipid profile. In case and control groups the mean Total cholesterol was 198.59 ± 64.45 and 182.00± 7.07mg/L respectively (p=0.727). In case and control groups the mean LDL was 126.53±52.09 and 109.50±3.53mg/L respectively (p=0.658). In case and control groups the HDL was 39.47±12.56 and 53.50±4.95mg/L respectively (p=0.144). In case and control groups the mean TG was 149.41±77.82 and 96.00±43.84mg/L respectively (p=0.362).

Table XII  
**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and age**

| Age | Group | p value* |
|-----|-------|----------|
|     | Dementia (n=20) | No dementia (n=100) |
| Mean (±SD) | 61 (±7.62) | 56.4 (±7.4) | 0.007 |

*Unpaired t test was done to measure the level of significance.

Table XII shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and age. Out of all patients of dementia mean of age was 61 (±7.62) years. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia, mean of age was 56.4 (±7.4) years. This variation is statistically significant at p value less than 0.007 level.

Table XIII  
**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and stroke (n=120)**

| Respondents (stroke) | Group | p value* |
|----------------------|-------|----------|
| Dementia (n=20) | 18 (90.0) | 42 (42.0) |
| Control (Healthy adult) | 2 (10.0) | 58 (58.0) | <0.001 |

*Chi square test was done to measure the level of significance

OR (95% CI) = 12.43 (2.74-56.48)

Table XIII shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia. Out of all patients of ischemic stroke 18 had developed dementia. On the contrary among healthy control only 2 had dementia. This variation is statistically highly significant at p value less than 0.001 level. Patients with stroke had 12.43 times more chance to develop dementia than healthy controls.
Table XIV

**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and smoking (n=120)**

| Smoking  | Group          | p value*  |
|----------|----------------|-----------|
|          | Dementia (n=20) | No dementia (n=100) |
| Present  | 14 (70.0)      | 40 (40.0)  |
| Absent   | 6 (30.0)       | 60 (60.0)  |
| Total    | 20 (100.0)     | 100 (100.0) |

*Chi square test was done to measure the level of significance
OR (95% CI) = 3.5 (1.24-9.87)

Table XIV shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and smoking habit. Out of all patients of dementia 70.0% were smoker. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia 40.0% were smoker. This variation is statistically significant at p value less than 0.014 level. Smokers had 3.5 times more chance to develop dementia than that of non-smoker.

Table XV

**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and hypertension (n=120)**

| Hypertension | Group          | p value*  |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|
|              | Dementia (n=20) | No dementia (n=100) |
| Present      | 14(70.0)       | 51(51.0)   |
| Absent       | 6(30.0)        | 49(49.0)   |
| Total        | 20(100.0)      | 100(100.0) |

*Chi square test was done to measure the level of significance

Table XV shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and hypertension. Out of all patients of dementia 70% were hypertensive. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia 51% were hypertensive. This variation is statistically not significant at p value less than 0.012 level.

Table XVI

**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and diabetes mellitus (n=120)**

| DM          | Group            | p value*  |
|-------------|------------------|-----------|
|             | Dementia (n=20)  | No dementia (n=100) |
| Present     | 13 (65.0)        | 22 (22.0) |
| Absent      | 7 (35.0)         | 78 (78.0) |
| Total       | 20 (100.0)       | 100 (100.0) |

*Chi square (after Yates correction) test was done to measure the level of significance
OR (95% CI) = 6.58 (2.34-18.51)

Table XVI shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and diabetes mellitus. Out of all patients of dementia 65% were diabetic. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia 35% were non diabetic. This variation is statistically significant at p value less than 0.001 level. Diabetic patients had 6.58 times more chance to develop dementia than that of non-diabetic patients.

Table XVII

**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and dyslipidaemia (n=120)**

| Dyslipidaemia | Group         | p value*  |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|
|               | Dementia (n=20) | No dementia (n=100) |
| Present       | 13(65.0)      | 52(52.0) |
| Absent        | 7(35.0)       | 48(48.0) |
| Total         | 20(100.0)     | 100(100.0) |

*Chi square test was done to measure the level of significance

Table XVII shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and dyslipidaemia. Out of all patients of dementia 65% had dyslipidaemia. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia 52% had dyslipidaemia. This variation is statistically not significant at p value less than 0.287 level.

Table XVIII

**Distribution of the respondents by dementia and family history of dementia (n=120)**

| Family history of dementia | Group           | p value*  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                            | Dementia (n=20) | No dementia (n=100) |
| Present                    | 4 (20.0)       | 4 (4.0)   |
| Absent                     | 16 (80.0)      | 96 (96.0) |
| Total                      | 20(100.0)      | 100(100.0) |

*Chi square (after Yates correction) test was done to measure the level of significance
OR (95% CI) = 6.00 (1.36-26.45)

Table XVIII shows the distribution of the respondents by dementia and family history of dementia. Out of all patients of dementia 20% had family history of dementia. On the contrary among all respondents of non-dementia 4% had family history of dementia. This variation is statistically significant at p value less than 0.033 level. Family history of dementia had 6 times more chance to develop dementia than that of no family history of dementia.
Table XIX shows in bivariate analysis we got ischemic stroke, smoking habit, diabetes mellitus, family history of dementia and age as significant risk factors for dementia. In binary logistic regression model we entered these variables as predictors for dementia to draw the conclusion about the significant risk factors for dementia. In this logistic model (enter method) we found ischemic stroke, diabetes mellitus and age as significant risk factors for dementia. Since age is a quantitative variable, an increase in one-year in age has a 14% (95% CI 4%-25%) increase in odds of having dementia. This 14% obtained by taking Odd of age-15.

Discussion:
A total number of 120 respondents were included in this study of which 60 patients of ischemic stroke were selected as case and the rest 60 volunteers were selected as control.

The distribution of the patients by age was recorded in this study. In 40 – 49 years age group among cases dementia was present in 2 (11.1%) cases and absent in control group. In 50 – 59 years age group dementia was present in 5(27.8%) cases and absent in control group. In 60 years and above age group dementia was present in 11(61.1%) cases and 2 (100.0%) in control group. The mean age ± SD is 60.50±7.44 and 70.00 ± 0.0 in case and control groups respectively (p=0.021). Similar result was recorded by Lis and Gaviria\textsuperscript{21}.

The distribution of the patients by smoking habit is recorded in this study. In case group smoker and non-smoker were 14(77.8%) and 4(22.2%) respectively. In control group no smoker was found and only 2(100.0%) cases were non-smoker. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023). Smokers have 3.17 times more chance to develop stroke than non-smokers. Similar result was reported by Posner et al\textsuperscript{23} and mentioned that smoking increased the risk of dementia significantly.

The distribution of the patients by MMSE is recorded in this study. In case group dementia was present in 18(30.0%) cases and 2(3.3%) in controls respectively. Dementia was absent in case and control groups which was 42(70.0%) in cases and 58(96.7%) in controls respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). Tatemichi et al\textsuperscript{19} shows the frequency of dementia with acute ischemic stroke, based on examinations performed 3 months after stroke onset. They found dementia was present 26.3% in cases and 3.2% in controls. So, this study permit to conclude that dementia was directly associated with ischemic stroke.

The distribution of the patients by smoking habit is recorded in this study. In case group smoker and non-smoker were 14(77.8%) and 4(22.2%) respectively. In control group no smoker was found and only 2(100.0%) cases were non-smoker. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023). Smokers have 3.17 times more chance to develop stroke than non-smokers. Similar result was reported by Posner et al\textsuperscript{23} and mentioned that smoking increased the risk of dementia significantly.

The distribution of the patients by MMSE is recorded in this study. In case group dementia was present (100.0%) and no in female. The difference was statistically not significant (p=0.346). But the study done by Andersen et al\textsuperscript{19} mentioned that there was a gender differences in risk for vascular dementia. Similarly Launer et al\textsuperscript{22} found that gender was a potential modifiers of risk of dementia which is consistent with the present study.

The distribution of the patients by presences of dementia was recorded. In case and control group dementia was present in 18(30.0%) cases and 2(3.3%) in controls respectively. Dementia was absent in case and control groups which was 42(70.0%) in cases and 58(96.7%) in controls respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). Tatemichi et al\textsuperscript{19} shows the frequency of dementia with acute ischemic stroke, based on examinations performed 3 months after stroke onset. They found dementia was present 26.3% in cases and 3.2% in controls. So, this study permit to conclude that dementia was directly associated with ischemic stroke.

The distribution of the patients by smoking habit is recorded in this study. In case group smoker and non-smoker were 14(77.8%) and 4(22.2%) respectively. In control group no smoker was found and only 2(100.0%) cases were non-smoker. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023). Smokers have 3.17 times more chance to develop stroke than non-smokers. Similar result was reported by Posner et al\textsuperscript{23} and mentioned that smoking increased the risk of dementia significantly.

The distribution of the patients by MMSE is recorded in this study. In case group dementia was present

| Variables                        | Group Unadjusted OR | Adjusted OR |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                                  | Dementia (n=20)     | No dementia (n=100) |
| Stroke                           | 18 (90.0)           | 42 (42.0)    | 12.43 | 8.49** (1.42-50.64) |
| Smoker                           | 14 (70.0)           | 40 (40.0)    | 3.5   | 1.28 (0.35-4.68)   |
| DM                               | 13 (65.0)           | 22 (22.0)    | 6.58  | 4.91** (1.35-17.82) |
| Family history of dementia       | 4 (20.0)            | 4 (4.0)      | 6.0   | 2.3 (0.42-12.62)   |
| Age (y)                          | 61 (±7.62)          | 56.4 (±7.4)  | 1.14** (1.04-1.25) |

*Significant
with a severe, moderate and mild MMSE in 01 (5.55%), 14 (77.77%) and 3 (16.68%) cases respectively. In control group dementia was present with a moderate and mild MMSE in only 1 (50.0%) case in each category.

The distribution of the patients with dementia by hypertension was recorded in this study. In case group hypertension is present in 14 (77.8%) cases and absent in 4 (22.2%) cases. In control group hypertension was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.023). Posner et al (2000)\(^23\) mentioned that hypertension is directly affect memory, language, or general cognitive function. A history of hypertension may be an antecedent to VaD, particularly in the presence of heart disease or diabetes.

The distribution of the patients with dementia by diabetes mellitus was recorded in this study. In case group diabetes mellitus was present in 13 (72.2%) cases and absent in 5 (27.8%) cases. In control group diabetes mellitus was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.042). Similar result was reported by Strachan et al\(^26\).

The distribution of the patients with dementia by dyslipidaemia was recorded in this study. In case group dyslipidaemia was present in 13 (72.2%) cases and absent in 5 (27.8%) cases. In control group dyslipidaemia was absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.042).

The distribution of the patients with dementia by cardiac disease was recorded in this study. In case group cardiac disease was present in 1 (5.6%) case and absent in 17 (94.4%) cases. In control group cardiac disease was present in 1 (50.0%) case and absent in 1 (50.0%) case. The difference is statistically significant (p=0.047). Similar result was reported by Posner et al (2000)\(^23\) and mentioned that a history of hypertension may be an antecedent to VaD, particularly in the presence of heart disease.

The distribution of the patients by family history of dementia was recorded. In case group family history of dementia was present in 4 (22.2%) cases and absent in 14 (77.8%) cases. In control group no family history of dementia was found i.e. absent in all 2 (100.0%) cases. The difference is not statistically significant (p=0.456). But Huang et al (2004)\(^27\) mentioned that a family history of dementia continue to influence the occurrence of dementia. Launer et al (1999)\(^22\) also reported a result correlating between family history of dementia and occurrence of dementia and found a result which is consistent with the present study.
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