Comparison of Two Static Stretching Techniques for the Triceps Surae in Healthy Individuals: Wall and Inclined Board Stretchings
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Objective To compare the effectiveness of static stretching techniques for correcting the tightness of the triceps surae.

Methods In this observational, cross-sectional study, participants (30 healthy volunteers) completed 10 repetitions of each stretching exercise, holding each stretch for 10 seconds, with a 1-minute rest period between repetitions and a 1-hour rest period between the two stretching techniques, namely, wall and inclined board stretchings. The length of the triceps surae and range of ankle dorsiflexion were measured on lateral view radiographs. The muscle activity during the stretch was measured using quantified surface electromyography of the lateral gastrocnemius. The subjective stretching sensation was evaluated using the visual analog scale.

Results Both stretching techniques showed statistical differences in all the parameters. Stretching on an inclined board yielded a greater increase in the triceps surae length than did wall stretching (mean difference, 0.72; p=0.02). The range of ankle dorsiflexion was higher with inclined board stretching than with wall stretching (mean difference, 2.57; p=0.03). The mean muscle activity was significantly lower with inclined board stretching than with wall stretching (mean difference, 53.72; p<0.01). The visual analog scale score was higher with inclined board stretching than with wall stretching (mean difference, 2.07; p<0.01).

Conclusion In this study, inclined board stretching was more effective than wall stretching for correcting tightness of the triceps surae. Therefore, inclined board stretching should be encouraged for the triceps surae.
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INTRODUCTION

Tightness of the triceps surae can be asymptomatic, but its prevalence accounts for up to 96.5% in patients with pathological symptoms that affect the feet and ankles [1]. It frequently causes limited ankle dorsiflexion and is associated with common foot and ankle pathologies such as Achilles tendinitis and plantar fasciitis [2,3]. As such, interest has emerged in the development of the most effective stretching program for the triceps surae, both for injury prevention and treatment, in clinical practice [2,4,5].

Regular stretching of the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles (and of their tendons) is generally recommended as a low-risk intervention to increase flexibility in patients with shortened connective tissues or to maintain ankle dorsiflexion range of motion (ROM) in healthy individuals [5]. Several stretching methods are adopted to increase flexibility, including passive, static, isometric, and ballistic stretching techniques, and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation [6]. However, the most appropriate stretching technique for the triceps surae remains controversial [6,7].

Two basic static stretching techniques are commonly used in clinical practice, namely wall and inclined surface stretchings. Wall stretching is performed by placing the foot at a distance from the wall, with the subject leaning forward, keeping the knee in extension, which leads to stretching of the triceps surae [8]. The other technique is stretching on an inclined board. Standing on the inclined surface induces dorsiflexion of the ankle joint, which leads to the stretching of the triceps surae [9]. To date, these two basic stretching techniques have not been compared in terms of their effectiveness in improving the tightness of the triceps surae. Therefore, to elucidate this point, this study aimed to compare the effectiveness of inclined board and wall stretchings in healthy individuals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gachon University Gil Hospital (No. GIRBD0032-2012), and all the participants provided informed consent. The participants’ eligibility to partici-
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to decrease the tightness of the triceps surae [8,9]. For the wall stretch (Fig. 2), the participants were asked to stand facing a wall, with the lower limb to be stretched extended behind and the contralateral leg placed in front. Keeping the trunk in an upright position, the participants were asked to flex the knee of their front leg until they felt a stretch in the triceps surae of the back leg, keeping the heel on the ground during the stretch. By following a previously published method [10], the participants were asked to use a “toe in” position, with the toes of the back foot pointing toward the heel of the front foot. For stretching on an inclined board, a standard board was used, with a width of 27.5 cm, length of 11 cm, and height of 7.5 cm, forming an inclination angle of 30°. The participants were asked to stand with both feet on the inclined board, with the heels placed at the lower edge of the board [9]. They were instructed to lean forward with their hands on the wall and then to bend their elbows until they felt a stretch in the triceps surae bilaterally (Fig. 3).

To minimize the effects of anticipation of the results on the measured outcomes, the participants were only instructed on the methods of stretching and outcome measurement, with no information regarding the anticipated effect of the stretching. In addition, in the registered order of the test subjects, the order of the two exercises was progressed alternately. An independent researcher not involved in the study enrolled the participants. The participants were asked to avoid intense exercise before the testing session that could cause muscle fatigue and influence the measured outcome variables.

Evaluation

Lateral view plain radiographs were obtained, one in the neutral position and two in the maximum ankle dorsiflexion position (maximum elongation of the Achilles tendon). The length of the triceps surae was measured as the distance between the approximate insertion point of the tendon (midline of the posterior calcaneum) and the origin point of the gastrocnemius muscle (posterior surface of the lateral condyle of the femur) (Fig. 4A). The joint angle of ankle dorsiflexion was measured as the angle formed between the shaft of the fibular and the 5th metatarsal, measured on lateral view radiographs (Fig. 4B). The dorsiflexion joint angle of the ankle was calculated using a “90°-measuring angle” formula [12]. The subjective sense during stretching was reported using a 10-cm visual analog scale (VAS), with anchors at “0” (no sense of stretching) and “10” (no pain but with maximum feeling of stretching).

The neural activity of the gastrocnemius-soleus complex during stretching, which is representative of the resistance of the muscles to the applied stretch, was measured using electromyography (EMG). EMG was performed using a Nicolet electrodiagnostic system and Syn-

![Fig. 2. Wall stretching.](image)
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ergy v.22 software program (Natus Medical Inc., San Carlos, CA, USA). Surface electrodes were placed on the mid portion of the lateral belly of the gastrocnemius muscle in accordance with the SENIAM (Surface Electromyography for Noninvasive Assessment of Muscles) project guidelines [13]. Mean amplitude values were calculated for 10 trials and then averaged [14]. EMG was conducted in each stretching position with a 1-minute rest between trials. A lower mean EMG amplitude is indicative of greater muscle relaxation during stretching [15].

Statistical analyses

A paired t-test was performed to compare the length of the triceps surae, ankle dorsiflexion angle, mean EMG amplitude, and VAS score between the two stretching techniques. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with the significance level set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Of the 30 participants included in this study, 7 were men and 23 were women, with a mean age of 24.5 years, height of 162.8 cm, and weight of 58.6 kg. The length of the gastrocnemius-soleus complex was 40.90±3.39 cm after inclined board stretching and 40.17±3.41 cm after wall stretching, and the difference was significant (p=0.02) (Tables 1 and 2). Furthermore, the calculated dorsiflexion angle was significantly greater for inclined board stretching (39.77°±5.02°) than for wall stretching (37.19°±5.49°; p=0.03). The mean EMG amplitude was significantly lower during inclined board stretching (183.44±59.60 mV) than during wall stretching (237.16±78.83 mV; p<0.01).

Table 1. Results after two stretching exercises

| Variable                      | Wall stretch     | Inclined board stretch |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Length of the triceps surae (cm) | 40.17±3.41       | 40.90±3.39             |
| Ankle dorsiflexion ROM (°)    | 37.19±5.49       | 39.77±5.02             |
| Mean amplitude of surface EMG (mV) | 237.16±78.83    | 183.44±59.60           |
| VAS of stretching sensation   | 5.97±1.88        | 8.03±1.43              |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. EMG, electromyography; ROM, range of motion; VAS, visual analog scale.

Table 2. Results of the two-tailed paired samples t-test for the two stretching exercises

| Variable                      | Mean diff | SD diff | t     | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|
| Length of the triceps surae   | -0.72     | 1.60    | -2.48 | 0.02    |
| Ankle dorsiflexion ROM (°)    | -2.57     | 6.05    | -2.33 | 0.03    |
| Mean amplitude of surface EMG | 53.72     | 75.68   | 3.89  | <0.01   |
| VAS of stretching sensation   | -2.07     | 1.66    | -6.82 | <0.01   |

Mean diff, mean value of wall stretching – mean value of inclined board stretching; SD, standard deviation; ROM, range of motion; EMG, electromyography; VAS, visual analog scale.
With regard to the subjective sensation of stretching of the triceps surae, the VAS score was significantly higher during inclined board stretching (8.03±1.43) than during wall stretching (5.97±1.88; p<0.01).

In Table 2, the mean increases in ROM, length of the triceps surae, and VAS score were 2.57°, 0.72 cm, and 2.07, respectively, in inclined board stretching. The mean decrease in mean EMG amplitude was 53.72 mV during inclined board stretching. Comparison of the data of the two stretching exercises with a two-tailed paired samples t-test suggests a statistically significant difference in the mean difference between the variables (p<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Both techniques (wall and inclined board stretchings) increased the length of the triceps surae and ankle dorsiflexion ROM, consistent with the findings of a previous report [2,16]. The technique used in this study for measuring the length of the triceps surae on lateral view radiographs was previously reported as a reliable surrogate measure of the change in the length of the triceps surae muscle with stretching [17].

The increase in the length of the triceps surae induced by stretching was significantly greater with stretching on the inclined board than with wall stretching, which is indicative of the greater usefulness of stretching using an inclined board; it also translates to a difference in the range of ankle dorsiflexion between the two techniques. A key difference between the two stretching exercises is the greater ankle dorsiflexion angle during inclined board stretching than during wall stretching. In Table 2, all the variables are statistically significant, and the t value of the ROM is the largest, which is an important factor indicating the difference between the two stretching exercises. In addition, similar to previous studies, increasing the ankle dorsiflexion angle during stretching can be considered to improve the tightness of the triceps surae [2]. The contribution of the midfoot joint extension to the angle of the ankle dorsiflexion must be considered. In the study of DiGiovanni et al. [10], shoe inserts were used to minimize excessive midfoot pronation during stretching, but not in our study. Therefore, the effect of midfoot joint extension could be included while measuring the ankle joint dorsiflexion angle, which can be a limitation of this study.

Inclined board stretching was associated with a significantly lower EMG activity of the gastrocnemius-soleus complex than was wall stretching. A previous study reported that the effect of stretching on the mean EMG of both the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles with the knee in extension preferentially biased the stretch on the gastrocnemius [14]. In that study, stretching elicited no significant difference in the EMG activity of the soleus, with a large difference produced on the gastrocnemius according to the knee joint position. Therefore, we performed EMG on the gastrocnemius not the soleus to measure the effect of stretching in the knee extended position.

In the present study, the mean EMG was lower with inclined board stretching than with wall stretching. The greater EMG activity during wall stretching indicates that muscle relaxation may not be sufficient to produce an effective stretching force on the triceps surae [15]. Notably, the higher EMG activity during stretching is indicative of greater muscle contraction, which would increase the strain on the muscle and tendon during stretching and could reduce the benefit of the stretch [14]. As such, the lower mean EMG activity of the lateral gastrocnemius muscle during stretching on the inclined board is indicative of the greater effectiveness of this mode of stretching than that of wall stretching in increasing the length of the triceps surae and ankle ROM.

The participants reported a greater sensation of stretching during stretching on an inclined board than during wall stretching, although this difference in subjective sensation of stretching might not necessarily indicate a more effective stretching, as it may have contributed to the pain and discomfort caused by the hard surface during inclined board stretching. Padding, particularly in the area of heel contact, might be beneficial in the future construction of inclined boards for triceps surae stretching.

In this study, two static exercises, namely, inclined board and wall stretchings, were suggested as stretching methods for the triceps surae. Although previous studies reported the benefits of wall stretching [10], the present findings favor inclined board stretching.

We provide evidence based on an observational cross-sectional study that inclined board stretching increases the length of the triceps surae, ankle ROM, and subjective sensation of stretching and lower the mean EMG
amplitude as compared with wall stretching. Therefore, instructing individuals to perform triceps surae stretching on an inclined board might be more effective than the wall stretching technique.
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