Terrestrial Inputs Drive Seasonality in Organic Matter and Nutrient Biogeochemistry in a High Arctic Fjord System (Isfjorden, Svalbard)
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Climate-change driven increases in temperature and precipitation are leading to increased discharge of freshwater and terrestrial material to Arctic coastal ecosystems. These inputs bring sediments, nutrients and organic matter (OM) across the land-ocean interface with a range of implications for coastal ecosystems and biogeochemical cycling. To investigate responses to terrestrial inputs, physicochemical conditions were characterized in a river- and glacier-influenced Arctic fjord system (Isfjorden, Svalbard) from May to August in 2018 and 2019. Our observations revealed a pervasive freshwater footprint in the inner fjord arms, the geochemical properties of which varied spatially and seasonally as the melt season progressed. In June, during the spring freshet, rivers were a source of dissolved organic carbon (DOC; with concentrations up to 1410 µmol L⁻¹). In August, permafrost and glacial-fed meltwater was a source of inorganic nutrients including NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻, with concentrations 12-fold higher in the rivers than in the fjord. While marine OM dominated in May following the spring phytoplankton bloom, terrestrial OM was present throughout Isfjorden in June and August. Results suggest that enhanced land-ocean connectivity could lead to profound changes in the biogeochemistry and ecology of Svalbard fjords. Given the anticipated warming and associated increases in precipitation, permafrost thaw and freshwater discharge, our results highlight the need for more detailed seasonal field sampling in small Arctic catchments and receiving aquatic systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Recent climate change driven increases in air temperature and precipitation are changing the timing, magnitude and geochemical nature of freshwater runoff with unknown implications for Arctic coastal waters. The observed changes in climate have been distinct in the high-Arctic Svalbard archipelago (e.g., Adakudlu et al., 2019; van Pelt et al., 2019) where marine and
land-terminating glaciers are shrinking in size (van Pelt et al., 2019) and where the upper layer of permafrost, where large amounts of organic carbon are stored (Tarnocai et al., 2009) is warming (Grosse et al., 2016; Biskaborn et al., 2019), and active layer depth is increasing (Christiansen et al., 2005). Together with increased precipitation and freshwater discharge (Peterson et al., 2002; McClelland et al., 2006; Adakudulu et al., 2019), the thawing terrestrial cryosphere is expected to lead to the mobilization and transport of dissolved and particulate organic and inorganic matter from Arctic watersheds to coastal waters (Parmentier et al., 2017).

In central Svalbard, snowmelt typically occurs in June (van Pelt et al., 2016) alongside high river discharge (Hodson et al., 2016). The permafrost active layer is deepest in August (Christiansen et al., 2005), a typically low discharge period (Hodson et al., 2016) when glacial-meltwater has higher residence time in the catchment. Seasonal changes in catchment hydrology have implications for the transport and bioavailability of carbon and nutrients in glacial meltwater on Svalbard (Nowak and Hodson, 2015; Koziol et al., 2019) and elsewhere in the Arctic (Neff et al., 2006; Holmes et al., 2008; Spencer et al., 2008). For example, carbon delivered during spring freshet in Alaskan rivers is more labile compared to aged, microbially reworked carbon delivered later in the summer (Holmes et al., 2008). While seasonal changes in river physicochemistry have been well documented for the Great Arctic rivers (e.g., Holmes et al., 2011), seasonal data from small Arctic catchments are scarce, making it difficult to assess potential impacts on receiving near-shore and coastal waters.

Arctic fjord estuaries are biogeochemical hotspots for the cycling of organic matter (OM) (Bianchi et al., 2020) and burial of carbon (Smith et al., 2015; Bianchi et al., 2018). The fate of terrestrial materials in the marine system is linked to physical and biological processes in the water column. Flocculation and sedimentation at the land-ocean interface (Meslard et al., 2018), and photodegradation and mineralization can act to remove OM from the water column while uptake by coastal biota can integrate terrestrial OM into the marine food-web (Parsons et al., 1989; Harris et al., 2018). Turbid freshwater plumes can also stratify the water column and inhibit nutrient-rich deep water renewal (Torsvik et al., 2019), while also rapidly attenuating light critical for photosynthesis (Murray et al., 2015; Holinde and Zielinski, 2016; Pavlov et al., 2019), with implications for the autotrophic: heterotrophic balance in nearshore areas (Wikner and Andersson, 2012). Despite the rapid warming documented in the high Arctic (IPCC, 2014; Adakudulu et al., 2019), little is known regarding how these changes will affect the quantity and quality of materials transported to and through near-shore, fjord and coastal systems and thus their potential impacts on local and regional biogeochemical cycles (Parmentier et al., 2017).

To address these knowledge gaps, we studied the impacts of inputs from marine terminating glaciers and rivers on light, stratification, nutrient and OM dynamics in Isfjorden (Svalbard). To evaluate seasonal changes in runoff and associated impacts (snow melt vs. glacial melt/permafrost erosion), we targeted three stages of the melt season (1) pre-freshet in May, (2) spring freshet in June, and (3) late-summer runoff in August. Specifically, we aimed to identify the spatial and seasonal response in fjord physicochemical conditions and OM characteristics and evaluate how these might change with the future projected changes in freshwater runoff on Svalbard.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling Location**

Fieldwork took place in 2018 and 2019 in Isfjorden, the largest fjord system on the West coast of Spitsbergen, Svalbard (Figures 1a,b). Isfjorden exchanges waters with the west Spitsbergen shelf, where the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC) and the Spitsbergen Polar Current (SPC) bring Atlantic and Arctic waters, which enter the fjord along the southern shore and exit the fjord along the northern coastline (Nilsen et al., 2016; Figure 1c). Isfjorden has several fjord arms (e.g., Fraser et al., 2018). Tempelfjorden and Billefjorden and the northern side of Isfjorden have marine terminating glaciers, which are absent from the southern side of Isfjorden, including Adventfjorden (Figure 1b). Of the sampled fjord arms, only Billefjorden has a shallow sill (50 m) at the entrance, which typically inhibits water mass exchange with adjacent (or central) parts of Isfjorden (Nilsen et al., 2008). The intrusion of warm and saline Atlantic water from the WSC (Fraser et al., 2018) facilitates the melting of Svalbard glaciers (Luckmann et al., 2015). In turn, runoff from glaciers and rivers contribute to estuarine circulation in the fjord (Torsvik et al., 2019). The rivers sampled in this study have catchments ranging from (55–725 km2) in size with varying degrees of glacial cover (10–51%; pers. com. Guerrero, 2019).

**Sample Collection and Processing**

In 2018, samples were collected in May (10th–11th), June (18th–24th), and August (16th–24th), from a total of 17 different stations in Isfjorden along gradients from rivers and glaciers to the outer fjord (Figure 1a). The number of stations sampled each month varied due to presence of ice in May (Figure 1a), when additional fjord transect stations were sampled at the land-fast ice edge in the fjord arms, and where the innermost stations were not accessible. In 2019, the same sampling techniques were used in Adventfjorden with a higher spatial resolution, during June (15th–17th) and August (7th–9th; Figure 1b). Samples were collected from 8 stations in Adventfjorden as well as 2 rivers (Adventelva and Longyearelva). At each fjord station, water samples were collected from up to 5 depths (surface, 2 m, 5 m, 15 m, and 30 m) depending on station depth.

In both sampling years, a CTD profiler (SD204, SAIV A/S or Seabird SBE 911) was used to collect vertical profiles of salinity, temperature and chlorophyll fluorescence. Secchi depth was obtained and light measurements were made using optical sensors. In 2018, a PAR cosine-corrected sensor was used to obtain vertical profiles of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400–700 nm) while in 2019, TrioS Ramses ACC-VIS hyperspectral radiometers (one for profiling, one as a surface reference) were used to obtain downwelling planar irradiance profiles. At all stations, water was collected from the surface and 15 m using a Niskin bottle. At stations shallower than 17 m,
water was collected from the surface and from 2 m above the bottom. A multiparameter sensor (Hanna instruments, HI 98195) and handheld turbidity meter (Thermo Scientific Eutech TN-100) were used in the field to record temperature, salinity, pH, conductivity and turbidity for each sample in a well-mixed bucket of sample water immediately after collection. Water was collected directly from the Niskin bottle into 20 liter jugs for further processing at the University Centre in Svalbard (UNIS).

Samples for analysis of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved nutrients [ammonium (NH\textsubscript{4}), phosphate (PO\textsubscript{4}), nitrite + nitrate (NO\textsubscript{2} + NO\textsubscript{3}), and silica (SiO\textsubscript{2})] were filtered through 0.2 \textmu m polycarbonate membrane filters and preserved with 4M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (final concentration of 1% by volume) in 100 mL pre-cleaned amber glass bottles (DOC) or 100 mL acid-washed HDPE bottles (dissolved nutrients). Samples were stored in the dark at 4\textdegree C until analysis. For characterization of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (cDOM), water was filtered through 0.2 um polycarbonate filters and stored in 100 mL amber glass bottles in the dark at 4\textdegree C. To determine the concentration of suspended particulate matter (SPM), water was filtered onto pre-combusted and pre-weighed glass fiber filters (Whatman GF/F, nominal pore size 0.7 \textmu m). For particulate organic carbon (POC) and particulate nitrogen (PartN) and analysis of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes (SIA), up to 1.5L of water was filtered onto pre-combusted 25 mm GF/F filters. Particulate phosphorus (PartP) and chlorophyll \textsubscript{a} (Chla) samples were filtered onto a non-combusted GF/F filters. All filters were stored frozen at −20\textdegree C until analysis.

**Laboratory Analyses**

Nutrient, DOC, and PartP analyses were carried out at the Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA, Oslo, Norway) using standard and accredited methods (as described in Kaste et al., 2018). Filters for SPM were dried and reweighed to determine SPM concentrations. Chlorophyll \textsubscript{a} was determined fluorometrically on a Turner 10-AU fluorometer after methanol extraction (Parsons, 2013). Phaeophytin was measured on the same samples following acidification with 3 drops of 1M HCl. Stable isotope analysis of particulate organic matter (POM) was carried out at the University of California, Davis (UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility, United States). For PartN, filters were dried and packed into tin capsules for analysis. For POC, filters were fumigated for 24–48 h in a desiccator with concentrated HCl to remove inorganic carbonates prior to encapsulation. δ\textsuperscript{13}C, δ\textsuperscript{15}N, as well as total C and N content were measured using an elemental analyzer interfaced to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Run-specific standard deviations at UC Davis were ± 0.09\% for \textsuperscript{13}C and 0.05\% for \textsuperscript{15}N in 2018 and ± 0.08\% for \textsuperscript{13}C and 0.05\% for \textsuperscript{15}N in 2019. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values are presented using delta notation, relative to international standards (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite for C, and atmospheric N for nitrogen) (Peterson et al., 2018).
and Fry, 1987). For analysis of cDOM properties (Table 1), absorbance was measured at 1 nm intervals across a wavelength range of 200–900 nm with a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 40P UV/VIS Spectrophotometer using a cuvette with a 5 cm path-length. Absorbance values were blank corrected (Milli-Q) and the average absorbance from 700–900 nm was subtracted from the spectra to correct for possible absorption offset (Helms et al., 2008). Values were converted to Naperian absorption coefficients by multiplying the raw absorbance values by 2.303 and dividing by the pathlength (m) (Hu et al., 2002). Spectral slopes (S) (Table 1), which serve as proxies for the composition and source of DOM, with steeper St and increasing slope ratio (Sr: St > Sr) indicative of marine, low molecular weight OM (Helms et al., 2008), were calculated from the spectral absorption data. Meanwhile, specific UV absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA254), which is positively related to aromaticity of DOM (Weishaar et al., 2003), was calculated by dividing absorbance at 254 nm by the DOC concentration (Weishaar et al., 2003).

### Light and Stratification

Spectral irradiance obtained using TriOS Ramses ACC-VIS sensors in 2019 was integrated over the PAR range (400–700 nm). The diffuse attenuation coefficient $K_d$(PAR) (m-1) was calculated in the top 1 m using the following equation (Kirk, 2010),

$$K_d(PAR) = \frac{1}{Z} \ln \left( \frac{E_d(PAR, 0)}{E_d(PAR, Z)} \right)$$

where $E_d(PAR, 0)$ and $E_d(PAR, Z)$ represent the downwelling irradiance just below the surface and at depth Z, respectively.

The euphotic depth ($Z_{eu}$) was calculated as 1% of surface values (just below the water surface) based on irradiance profiles. In cases when $Z_{eu}$ exceeded the station depth, light profiles were extrapolated using the best exponential fit to estimate $Z_{eu}$.

Freshwater content (FWC) relative to a salinity of 34.7 in the top 10 m was calculated from CTD profiles at all stations using the following equation (Proshutinsky et al., 2009):

$$FWC = \int_z^{eu} Sref - S \, dz$$

The reference salinity, $S_{ref}$ is taken as 34.7, which represents the boundary between surface waters and advected waters in Isfjorden (Nilsen et al., 2008). S is the water salinity at depth z. Change in FWC is a measure of how much liquid freshwater has accumulated or been lost from the ocean column bounded by the 34.7 isohaline. In this study, FWC in the surface layer is used as an indicator of degree of freshwater influence in Isfjorden. In addition, a difference in salinity (dS) between the surface and 10 m is used as a simple indicator of water column stratification at the time of sampling.

### Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using R (version 3.4.3, R Core Team, 2017). Temperature-Salinity (TS) diagrams were made using the PlotSvalbard package (Vihtakari, 2019). Water mass determinations were made based on Nilsen et al. (2008); Surface waters (SW) = Sal < 34, T > 1°C, intermediate waters (IW) = 34 < Sal < 34.7, T > 1°C, Atlantic waters (AW) = Sal > 34.9, T > 3°C, transformed Atlantic water (TAW) = Sal > 34.7, T > 1°C, Arctic water (ArW) = 34.4 < Sal < 34.8, −1.5 > T < 1°C, winter cooled.

#### Table 1 | Optical characteristics of cDOM based on absorption spectra.

| DOM absorption metric | Equation | Interpretation | References |
|------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|
| $a_{CDOM}$ (375)       |          | Absorption coefficient (a) at 375 nm |            |
| SUVA254                |          | Indicates aromaticity of DOM (humic content) | Stedmon and Markager, 2001 |
| St (275–295)           |          | High = Marine, Low = Terrestrial | Weishaar et al., 2003 |
| S250–400               |          | High molecular weight and aromaticity | Helms et al., 2008 |
| Sr                     |          | Low molecular weight and aromaticity | Helms et al., 2008 |

#### Table 2 | Key water chemistry parameters (averages ± SD) of river water, fjord surface water (SW), and fjord advected water (AdW) samples from 2018 to 2019 for each month.

| Month | Sample | n  | SPm (mg L⁻¹) | NO₂ + NO₃ (µmol L⁻¹) | PO₄ (µmol L⁻¹) | DOC (µmol L⁻¹) | POC (µmol L⁻¹) | δ¹³C-POC (%) |
|-------|--------|----|--------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| May   | River  | 1  | 110.5        | 3.27                 | 0.06          | 980            | 205.65         | −26.5        |
|       | Fjord SW | 7  | 27.1 ± 9.2   | 0.36 ± 0.14          | 0.11 ± 0.03   | 206 ± 170      | 28.5 ± 11.0    | −24.0 ± 0.8  |
|       | Fjord AdW | 20 | 32.3 ± 6.8   | 0.88 ± 0.96          | 0.18 ± 0.07   | 161 ± 127      | 29.5 ± 5.8     | −23.8 ± 0.8  |
| June  | River  | 7  | 348.5 ± 288.0| 7.78 ± 2.56          | 0.04 ± 0.03   | 604 ± 550      | 549.4 ± 604.6  | −26.5 ± 1.1  |
|       | Fjord SW | 48 | 29.4 ± 7.5   | 1.27 ± 1.39          | 0.44 ± 0.67   | 196 ± 193      | 41.8 ± 24.2    | −26.2 ± 2.1  |
|       | Fjord AdW | 7  | 26.1 ± 3.5   | 0.55 ± 0.26          | 0.17 ± 0.05   | 139 ± 139      | 25.4 ± 11.0    | −27.4 ± 1.3  |
| August| River  | 7  | 170.0 ± 91.6 | 12.03 ± 7.45         | 0.56 ± 0.67   | 43 ± 19        | 789.1 ± 1422.5 | −26.5 ± 1.0  |
|       | Fjord SW | 44 | 46.5 ± 41.7  | 0.93 ± 2.06          | 0.22 ± 0.09   | 71 ± 17        | 65.4 ± 99.9    | −26.4 ± 0.8  |
|       | Fjord AdW | 14 | 24.2 ± 11.3  | 0.72 ± 0.45          | 0.26 ± 0.07   | 77 ± 11        | 19.1 ± 8.1     | −27.3 ± 0.9  |

A complete overview of measured parameters can be found in Supplementary Table S1.
water (WCW) = Sal > 34.74, T < −0.5°C) and local water (LW) = T < 1°C. For Table 2, discrete waters samples are grouped by fjord surface water (salinity < 34.7) and fjord advected water (salinity > 34.7). Spearman rank correlations were used to evaluate relationships between water chemistry parameters and salinity (Supplementary Figure S1).

Redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed on scaled data using the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2018) to test whether terrestrial inputs explain variation in water chemistry parameters as well as the source and quality of OM. Explanatory variables included salinity, turbidity, temperature and sampling month. To avoid overestimation of the explained variation, constraining variables were selected using forward model selection with a double-stopping criterion (Blanchet et al., 2008). For the water chemistry RDA, salinity, turbidity, temperature and sampling month were chosen via forward selection and all explained a significant amount of variation. For the organic matter RDA, turbidity was not significant, and instead salinity, temperature and sampling month were chosen for the RDA model.

RESULTS

Freshwater Inputs and Seasonal Water Mass Transformation

In May, sampling took place when land-fast ice still covered much of inner Billefjorden and Tempelfjorden (Figure 1). Of the six rivers sampled in this study, only one (Adventelva) was running in May, and the water column at all sampling stations comprised of WCW and LW (Figure 2). In June and August, freshwater input from all of the rivers, as well as glacial melt and diffuse runoff along the coast, resulted in extensive freshening of surface waters in both years (Figure 2). This freshening was accompanied by increased stratification (based on dS) and fresh water content (FWC) between the surface and 10 m in June and August (Figures 3A,B). Riverine and glacial inputs delivered high concentrations of SPM to nearshore waters in Isfjorden (Figure 3D), resulting in turbid freshwater plumes associated with increased light attenuation, and thus a decreased depth of the euphotic zone (Zeu) in affected areas of the fjord (Figure 3C). Meanwhile, in the deeper waters, the intrusion of cold saline ArW and warmer saline TAW from the shelf was observed at the outer Isfjorden stations in June and August (Figure 2).

Runoff as a Source of Carbon and Nutrients to Fjord Waters

River samples had high concentrations of carbon early in the melt season (Figure 4A). In May, the DOC concentration in Adventelva was 980 µmol L⁻¹. In June, DOC in Adventelva was much lower (40 µmol L⁻¹) while the other rivers sampled had concentrations ranging from 670 to 1410 µmol L⁻¹ (average 604 ± 550 µmol L⁻¹; Table 2). All rivers had much lower concentrations of DOC in August, similar to those of Adventelva in June (range: 30–80 µmol L⁻¹; average: 43 ± 19 µmol L⁻¹).

POC was also highly variable between rivers (Figure 4B) and was much higher than concentrations observed for advected water (Table 2). Results of δ¹³C-POC (Figure 4C) indicate that marine phytoplankton dominated the particulate matter pool in May during the spring phytoplankton bloom (δ¹³C: −23.9 ± 0.8‰). Meanwhile, terrestrial carbon dominated POC in June (δ¹³C: −23.9 ± 0.8‰).
−26.4 ± 2.0%o (May) and August (δ13C = −26.6 ± 0.9%o) at all fjord sampling locations. CN ratios (Figure 4D) increased from May to June to August in both the rivers and the water column and decreased across the salinity gradient.

Concentrations of NO2 + NO3 and SiO2 were highest in the river samples and decreased across the salinity gradient (Figure 5). These nutrients had high spatial and seasonal variability in Isfjorden with increasing concentrations from May to August at the near-shore stations (Figure 5). In May, 2018, sampling occurred during the end of the spring bloom. Concentrations of NO2 + NO3 in surface waters averaged 0.36 ± 0.14 µmol L−1 in SW, and 0.88 ± 0.96 µmol L−1 in AdW (Table 2). In June and August, nutrient concentrations were more strongly related to freshening when rivers and glaciers were a source of dissolved (Figure 5A) and particulate (Figure 5D) nitrogen (N) to Isfjorden. The partitioning of the N pool between particulate and dissolved phases also varied along the freshwater-marine gradient. In June and August, partN made up 60 ± 23 and 53 ± 28% of the total N pool in river samples and 30 ± 8 and 28 ± 14% in fjord SW and 23 ± 12 and 21 ± 12% of the total N pool in AdW respectively.

Rivers were also a source of phosphorus (P) in August (Figure 5B and Table 2). Mean concentrations of PO4 were 0.56 ± 0.67 µmol L−1 in river water samples, 0.22 ± 0.09 in fjord SW and 0.26 ± 0.07 µmol L−1 in AdW. Rivers had high concentrations of partP in both June and August, which were exponentially higher than concentrations in Fjord SW (Figure 5E). Similar to N, P concentrations were higher in the particulate fraction in rivers, but then partitioned more toward the dissolved phase across the salinity gradient. In June and August, partP made up 97 ± 3 and 70 ± 26% of total P in river samples and 38 ± 20 and 42 ± 23% in fjord SW and 19 ± 10 and 19 ± 11% of total P in AdW respectively.

**DOM Properties**

Seasonal changes in DOM properties overwhelmed spatial differences within the fjord. In May, steep spectral slopes (S275−325) and high SR (Figure 6) indicated marine-derived, low molecular weight mDOM in the fjord. In both June and August, DOM properties in fjord waters were consistent between river and glacier-influenced parts of the fjord where low S275−295 values indicated the dominance of terrestrially derived OM (Figure 6). However, despite terrestrial OM dominating in both freshwater-influenced months, there was a distinct difference between tDOM in June and August, largely driven by differing concentrations of acDOM(375) and slope ratio (SR). The higher levels of acDOM(375) and S350−400 in June indicated that terrestrial cDOM dominated the DOM pool at all fjord stations. High concentrations of DOC in several high salinity samples in the outer fjord in June (Figure 4A) were accompanied by low values of δ13C (Figure 4D), high S350−400 (Figure 6D), and low SR (Figure 6E) values similar to river samples (Figure 6F). Meanwhile, in August, DOM properties reflected a terrestrial (low S275−295), aromatic (high SUVA254) source of DOM, which was of low molecular weight (high SR, Figure 6E) across all fjord stations.

Results of redundancy analysis illustrated the importance of salinity, turbidity, sampling month and temperature in explaining variation in water chemistry parameters and sampling month, temperature and salinity for explaining variation in OM source and quality in both sampling years (Figure 7). Of the constraining variables, salinity and turbidity explained 31% of the total variation in the water chemistry parameters while sampling month explained the greatest amount of variation in the OM dataset (19% of the total variation; Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

We observed seasonal changes in organic matter properties and water column structure from May to August along the terrestrial to marine gradient (Figure 8). Changes in water column structure can be attributed to two main drivers: freshwater discharge from land and the advection of Atlantic and Arctic water masses from the shelf into the fjord (Figure 2). In Isfjorden, the main source of freshwater is from melting marine-terminating glaciers, and river runoff sustained by land-terminating glacial meltwater and snow melt (Nilsen et al., 2008). Meanwhile, TAW and AW, largely driven by local wind conditions, enter the fjord in the deep and subsurface waters from the shelf. These two endmembers (terrestrial inputs and marine advected water) as well as local autochthonous production, represent the main sources of OM and inorganic nutrients to Isfjorden. The terrestrial endmember, represented here by river samples,
FIGURE 5 | Concentrations of dissolved nutrients (A) NO$_2$ + NO$_3$, (B) PO$_4$, (C) SiO$_2$ and particulate nutrients (D) particulate nitrogen (PartN), (E) particulate phosphorus (PartP), and (F) $\delta^{15}$N-PartN vs. salinity for each water sample by year (symbol) and month (color). River samples are further distinguished by open symbols.

FIGURE 6 | CDOM absorption characteristics including (A) $a_{\text{CDOM}}$(375) and (B) SUVA$_{254}$ vs. salinity, (C) S$_{275-295}$ vs. $a_{\text{CDOM}}$(375) and (D) S$_{350-400}$ and (E) slope ratio ($S_R$) vs. $\delta^{13}$C-POC and (F) $S_R$ vs. DOC for all water samples by year (symbol) and month (color). River samples are further distinguished by open symbols.
shifted seasonally, with high DOC concentrations in June and high dissolved nutrient (NO$_3$ + NO$_2$ and PO$_4$) concentrations measured in August. Thus, from the spring phytoplankton bloom in May to spring freshet in June and late-season melt in August, we observed strong seasonal changes in nutrients and OM properties in the fjord, with potential implications for coastal biogeochemistry and carbon pathways. The fate of these terrestrial carbon and nutrients in the marine system is likely linked to the physical effects of freshwater, including light attenuation and stratification, as well as the bioavailability of the delivered terrestrial material to marine biological communities.

**River Water Chemistry Changes Seasonally**

Seasonal variation in river water chemistry from May through August reflects changing flow paths in the catchments. River samples collected during the spring freshet in May/June had concentrations of DOC similar to values observed during spring freshet for permafrost dominated catchments in the Siberian and North American Arctic (Holmes et al., 2011; Amon et al., 2012), and much higher than observations from glacier-dominated catchments elsewhere on Svalbard (Zhu et al., 2016) and in Greenland (Paulsen et al., 2017). In fact, concentrations in Sassenelva (a river draining a permafrost-rich valley; Figure 1) in June reached 1400 µmol L$^{-1}$ while samples from Gipsdalselva and Ebbaelva (both heavily glaciated catchments) were as high as 670 and 1000 µmol L$^{-1}$, respectively. Adventelva was the only river with low concentrations of DOC in June (40 µmol L$^{-1}$), but this river was flowing already in May, with a DOC concentration of 980 µmol L$^{-1}$ at that time (Table 2), confirming that the melt progression occurred earlier in Adventdalen. These high concentrations of riverine DOC draining into Isfjorden in June are consistent with other studies in the Arctic that show that approximately half of Arctic river DOC flux occurs during snow melt (Finlay et al., 2006) and high flow events (Rember and Trefry, 2004; Raymond et al., 2007; Raymond and Saiers, 2010; Coch et al., 2018) when surficial and shallow flow paths (Barnes et al., 2018) and high catchment connectivity (Johnston et al., 2019) help to flush modern, plant-derived OM (Feng et al., 2013) into aquatic systems. Permafrost also plays an important role in mobilization and transport of DOC from C-rich surface soils during snowmelt by sustaining near surface water tables and inhibiting deep percolation (Carey, 2003). Moreover, high discharge periods lead to reduced residence time in the catchment, reducing the potential for processing of DOC during transport from the catchment to coastal areas (Koch et al., 2013; Raymond et al., 2016). Thus, the high concentrations of DOC and increased cDOM observed throughout fjord surface waters in June is likely a result of increased transport of terrestrial OM during the spring freshet.

On Svalbard, late-season run-off is driven by glacial melt (Nowak and Hodson, 2015), which was characterized by much lower concentrations of DOC, but higher concentrations of N and P. Decreases in DOC post-freshet has also been found for the Yukon river (Striegl et al., 2005) and Siberian rivers (Neff et al., 2006) as flow paths deepen. Depending on the geology of the catchment, deeper flow paths can potentially drain nutrient-rich mineral soils, transporting N and P to aquatic systems (Barnes et al., 2018). Alternatively, microbial processes, including nitrification, on catchment glaciers have also been linked to N and P-rich meltwater (Hodson et al., 2004; Telling et al., 2011; Wadham et al., 2016). It is estimated that approximately half of glacially exported N is sourced from microbial activity within glacial sediments at the surface and bed of the ice, doubling N fluxes in runoff (Wadham et al., 2016). However, both glacial and soil-derived nutrients may also be heavily sediment bound (P; Hodson et al., 2004), or retained in the catchment through further microbial processing or uptake by terrestrial vegetation (N; Nowak and Hodson, 2015). Even so, concentrations of NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ in our river samples (sampled close to the river outlet) reached 24 µmol L$^{-1}$ in August, with estuary surface waters still high at 11.8 µmol L$^{-1}$. Concentrations of PO$_4$ were also high, reaching 1.7 µmol L$^{-1}$ in river samples in August. These concentrations are higher than concentrations measured from AW advected from the shelf (maximum of 2 µmol L$^{-1}$ for NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ and 0.4 µmol L$^{-1}$ for PO$_4$ in this study, but other observations from Svalbard show 6–11 µmol L$^{-1}$ for N and 0.8 µmol L$^{-1}$ for P (Chierici et al., 2019; Halbach et al., 2019). While SiO$_2$ has been associated with glacial meltwater...
from contact with silica-rich bedrock in Isfjorden (Fransson et al., 2015), Kongsfjorden (Halbach et al., 2019) and Greenland fjords (Meire et al., 2016; Kanna et al., 2018; Hendry et al., 2019), N and P have been linked primarily to advected deep water. In contrast to glacial meltwaters in Kongsfjorden (Halbach et al., 2019) and Greenland (Paulsen et al., 2017), the rivers sampled in our study had comparably high concentrations of N and P in addition to SiO$_2$. While these increased solute concentrations were observed during a relatively low discharge period, the extensive freshwater presence in the fjord in late summer and associated physical effects on the water column could enhance their importance for biological processes.

**Physical Effects of Freshwater Runoff Indirectly Affect Fate of Terrestrial OM in Surface Waters**

The physical effects of freshwater and suspended sediments associated with glacial and riverine inputs have implications for the fate of terrestrial OM in the marine system. When river inputs meet the coast, the slowing of the current can cause large particles, including sediment-associated particulate nutrients, to settle out of the water column. In addition, increased salinity causes flocculation and sedimentation of finer particles and dissolved components (Sholkovitz, 1976). These processes are reflected in the exponential decrease in SPM, carbon and nutrients from rivers to estuary stations observed in this study. In the Adventelva estuary, this has been known to lead to the rapid removal of 25% of the suspended sediments from surface waters to the benthos, where hyperpycnal flows transport sediment along the bottom (Zajaczkowski, 2008). Despite these losses, concentrations of nutrients in terrestrially influenced surface waters were higher than in subsurface fjord waters, which suggests that these nutrients could support excess coastal production.

Freshwater runoff to surface waters combined with warm, saline water masses transported from the shelf in the deeper waters resulted in seasonally increasing stratification throughout Isfjorden in 2018 and 2019 (**Figure 5**). As noted in previous studies, strong stratification weakens vertical mixing of the water column and in extreme cases can prevent bottom water renewal (Boone et al., 2017; Torsvik et al., 2019), which can lead to nutrient limitation, especially when nutrients from advected deep waters are important (Bergeron and Tremblay, 2014; Coupel et al., 2015; Yun et al., 2016; Holding et al., 2019). However, in this study, surface waters were influenced by nutrient-rich terrestrial runoff, so the stratification could be an effective physical barrier keeping these nutrients suspended in the euphotic zone, and thus available for primary production. While the fresh surface layer was very thin (and very fresh) in June, mixing of this layer with deeper water can occur through tidal or wind action (Cottier et al., 2010). In August, the fresh surface layer had mixed with the upper water column, resulting in a higher FWC. The deeper mixed layer in August is likely important for the biological utilization of the associated terrestrial nutrients delivered during this period.

High concentrations of SPM are not unusual for coastal waters influenced by runoff from heavily glaciated catchments, where these particles rapidly attenuate light needed for photosynthesis (Murray et al., 2015; Pavlov et al., 2019). In this study, the shallowest mean euphotic depth was observed at estuary stations, where the rapid attenuation of light (max $K_{d}$ PAR in the top 1 m was 5.40 m$^{-1}$) resulted in euphotic depths of just over 5 m in June, and 1.55 m in August. Meanwhile, the finer particles, which can remain suspended and, in some cases, can be transported several kilometers from the meltwater plumes (Cowan and Powell, 1991; Meslard et al., 2018), are likely responsible for the far-reaching effects on light attenuation, which reached the fjord transect stations in June. At outer fjord stations, the lowest mean $K_{d}$(PAR) was 0.27–0.38 m$^{-1}$ in August, which is comparable to $K_{d}$(PAR) values previously reported in surface waters of WSC in autumn (Pavlov et al., 2015). These corresponded to mean $Z_{eu}$ exceeding 25–30 m. Thus, in August, increased FWC but reduced turbidity may allow for increased photodegradation of terrestrial OM in surface waters. Thus, the fate of transported terrestrial OM is closely tied to the physical effects of terrestrial runoff. Terrestrial carbon and nutrients can be exported to the sediments when reaching the marine system, or transported further out into the fjord where they are largely confined to the mixed layer due to stratification and could potentially be photodegraded or utilized for primary production where turbidity is low enough that sufficient light is available.

**Seasonal Changes in Source and Quality of Organic Matter in Isfjorden**

The fate of terrestrial OM in the coastal system is also linked to its nutritional value and bioavailability for microbial communities. The seasonality in OM composition observed in this study is linked to the progression from a spring phytoplankton bloom (before spring freshet) to impacts of terrestrial inputs, the geochemical nature of which shifted from freshet to late summer. These seasonal changes, in both the rivers and the fjord, had strong effects on the quality and quantity of DOM throughout the entire fjord and provide insights into the potential for processing of terrestrial carbon in the water column.

In May, the quantity and quality of OM is related to the spring phytoplankton bloom. Monthly chlorophyll a concentrations measured in outer Adventfjorden in 2018 confirm that the spring bloom occurred in early May, roughly a week before the sampling for this study was carried out (Nyeggen, 2019). While the spring bloom was over in the nearshore stations (low concentrations of N and Chl a), the outer fjord stations were characterized by high abundances of *Phaeocystis* (pers. com; Dąbrowska, 2020). High $\delta^{13}$C values indicate that POC was dominated by marine phytoplankton, and DOM properties (**Table 1**) also reflect a predominantly marine source of OM. The high $S_{175–295}$ and $S_{8}$ (Helms et al., 2008) indicate that this freshly produced marine mDOM is of low molecular weight, and is presumably quite bioavailable to bacterial communities. This is in line with a recent study in Isfjorden which highlighted the importance of marine OM, and ice algae for bacterial production following the spring phytoplankton bloom (Holding et al., 2017).
FIGURE 8 | A conceptual diagram summarizing main findings and future perspectives. In May, ice still covered the inner fjord arms of Isfjorden and marine OM (mOM) was present throughout the water column following the spring phytoplankton bloom, when there was a deep euphotic zone ($Z_{eu}$). The spring freshet in June was a source of terrestrial DOC and SPM to Isfjorden, and while some of these materials were removed from the water column through flocculation and sedimentation, terrestrial OM (tOM) was observed throughout the highly stratified (dS) and turbid fjord surface waters. In August, glacier-fed rivers with deeper flowpaths were a source of nutrients including nitrogen and phosphorus to Isfjorden. Surface waters also had increased fresh water content (FWC) and degraded OM dominated throughout the fjord in August.
Meanwhile, in June, terrestrially derived OM dominated surface waters throughout the fjord. High DOC concentrations in the rivers in June were found alongside increased acDOM(375) at all fjord stations, for more saline samples collected from the outer fjord. Results of stable isotope analysis confirm that the POM at the highly turbid estuary and glacier stations was dominated by terrestrial particles. In fact, terrestrially derived POC was present even in the outer fjord. Surprisingly, while δ13C-POC values from river samples ranged from −24 to −28 ‰, estuary and outer fjord stations had values as low as −30.5 ‰ in June, 2018. Studies in Kongsfjorden have also reported similarly low δ13C values for POC (Kędra et al., 2012; Calleja et al., 2017; Jain et al., 2019), which no clear explanation. We suggest that the low δ13C-POC values here could represent the finer organic fraction of terrestrial POC transported farther from glacial fronts and river outlets, or the transport of material from diffuse runoff, coastal erosion and sediment resuspension in the nearshore (Zajączkowski, 2008). While the outer fjord stations were further from the glacier fronts and river outlets, they were still in close proximity to shore (Figure 1). The low δ15N values of PartN also imply a terrestrial source of POM (Figure 5F). DOM absorption properties for these outer fjord samples with low δ13C-POC further support a terrestrial origin for OM at these sites. As also observed in Kongsfjorden (Calleja et al., 2017), low δ13C values were found alongside steep spectral slopes at the longer wavelengths (530−400) and low Sr in Figure 6, both indications of high molecular weight terrestrial material (Weishaar et al., 2003; Helms et al., 2008). On the other hand, Jain et al. (2019), suggest that low δ13C values can also be observed for marine POC on Svalbard, where increased lipids (which are depleted in 13C) due to the presence of cryptophytes in the water column, lead to lower δ13C values in the POM. While cryptophytes and other lipid-rich plankton were present in the water column in June, 2018 (pers. com. Dąbrowska, 2020), no relationship was found between lipid content of POM and δ13C values for POC in our dataset (M. McGovern, unpublished data).

In August, river runoff is driven by glacial meltwater, which was characterized by low DOC concentrations, similar to concentrations found in Bayelva in Kongsfjorden (Zhu et al., 2016). DOM absorption characteristics of these samples reflected a terrestrial yet highly aromatic (high SUVA254) source of DOM (Weishaar et al., 2003). While a high proportion of ancient, glacial OM can be quite labile (Hood et al., 2009) and thus an important resource for microbial processing as glaciers recede, our study indicates that for Isfjorden, terrestrial OM mobilized during freshet (high concentrations of presumably modern, plant-derived DOM from surficial flowpaths), may be more important when considering coastal processes. In fjord surface waters, DOM absorption characteristics in August indicate that while the DOM was terrestrial (and humic), it was also of low molecular weight. Low Sr values in August are consistent with previously observed changes in DOM properties associated with photochemical or microbial processing in the marine environment (Moran et al., 2000; Granskog et al., 2012; Asmala et al., 2018). In fact, the decrease in SUVA254 from river to fjord in August, and in S530−400 from June to August in surface waters indicate that photochemical degradation of terrestrial OM, presumably from freshet, could be largely responsible for the observed changes in Sr from June to August (Hansen et al., 2016). This photochemical alteration of DOM from larger molecules to smaller labile photoproducts impacts the potential cycling of DOM (Hansen et al., 2016) in Isfjorden, as it could lead to the removal of DOM by volatilization or microbial utilization (Wetzel et al., 1995; Moran and Zepp, 1997). This is in line with the rapid photodegradation of freshet OM to a more bioavailable form readily remineralized by microbial communities in the Mackenzie delta (Gareis and Lesack, 2018) and Kolyma river basin (Mann et al., 2012), and thus may represent an important pathway driving remineralization of terrestrial OM delivered to Isfjorden during freshet.

Future Perspectives

With air temperatures projected to increase upwards of 10°C by 2100, Svalbard, which is covered by more than 53% glaciers (Nuth et al., 2013), is facing rapid changes (Adakudlu et al., 2019), and the effects are already evident. Pronounced glacier mass loss, changes in precipitation patterns, permafrost warming, and subsequent increases in freshwater runoff have been documented in the last decades (Adakudlu et al., 2019; Blaszczyk et al., 2019; van Pelt et al., 2019) and are expected to continue during this century. The results of this study highlight the spatial and seasonal variability in riverine runoff as a source of OM and inorganic nutrients to Isfjorden, and suggest that in Svalbard, terrestrial DOM inputs could be systematically underestimated due to lack of field sampling during freshet, or following increasingly frequent intense rainfall events (Adakudlu et al., 2019). Since these high DOC concentrations in the river samples are likely due to the flushing of vegetative layer with snow melt, this young terrigenous carbon is presumably semi-bioavailable to fjord microbial communities (Raymond et al., 2007). Moreover, expected increases in vegetative biomass (Myeni et al., 1997; Ju and Masek, 2016) will likely enhance DOC export during periods of high discharge while further permafrost degradation will likely lead to increased POC (Guo and Macdonald, 2006) and nutrient export later in the summer. Higher sediment loads in rivers across the Arctic, including in Adventelva tributaries (Bogen and Bonsnes, 2003) are also expected due to increased erosion with amplified discharge (Svitski, 2002). Thus, expected future changes in Arctic catchments paired with increased runoff will likely lead to enhanced land-ocean connectivity and increased transport of carbon, nutrients and SPM to coastal areas (Figure 8).

In Svalbard fjords, changes in the timing and geochemical nature of freshwater inputs are occurring alongside increases in Atlantic water advection (Spielhagen et al., 2011), and the disappearance of sea-ice (Muckenhuber et al., 2016) and associated ice algae. Thus, increased freshwater inputs are likely to both limit marine production as the turbid melt season may eventually overlap with the spring phytoplankton bloom, while also providing a potential terrestrial carbon subsidy to marine food-webs. With greener catchments and reductions in sea-ice, terrestrial carbon could become increasingly important for coastal zooplankton and benthos, especially in heavily impacted parts of the fjord where increased light attenuation could limit...
phytoplankton and macroalgal growth. However, more detailed characterization of the terrestrial DOM pool both seasonally and also between glacial and riverine/permafrost sources is required to better predict the fate of terrestrial material in the marine system. If bioavailable, terrestrial OM can provide heterotrophic bacteria with substrate that allows them to out-compete phytoplankton for nutrients (Sipler et al., 2017), driving shifts in lower food-web structure (Joli et al., 2018; Kellogg et al., 2019) and autotrophic: heterotrophic balance (Wikner and Andersson, 2012). Thus, increasingly persistent and turbid freshwater plumes could lead to changes in basal production, food-web structure and carbon balance in Isfjorden and other Arctic areas facing enhanced land-ocean connectivity (Figure 8).

While it's evident that terrestrial inputs have profound physical, chemical and biological implications for the fjord, these freshwater plumes are highly variable in space and time. The spatial extent of freshwater plumes is driven by freshwater discharge, the Coriolis effect, tides, ice cover, and the wind direction and strength (Granskog et al., 2005; Forwick et al., 2010). Observed changes in extent and duration of sea ice in Isfjorden (Muckenhuber et al., 2016), and the expected future reduction in sea ice, will also affect the spatial extent of freshwater plumes (Granskog et al., 2005), especially in spring in combination with earlier snow melt (Adakudlu et al., 2019). However, considering the strong explanatory power of turbidity when constraining physicochemical parameters for both sampling years, our results indicate that the use of ocean color data from satellite or airborne platforms has great potential for assessing and quantifying the spatial extent and associated impacts of terrestrial inputs on coastal surface waters. However, the importance of seasonality for constraining OM quality and quantity also emphasizes the need for high temporal resolution data to capture seasonal changes as well as dynamic local events in the catchments and water column.

CONCLUSION

Seasonality in the magnitude and geochemistry of terrestrial inputs drive strong gradients in light availability, nutrient concentrations, and DOM properties in Isfjorden (Figure 8). Large differences between glacial rivers and marine surface water concentrations indicate that flocculation and sedimentation is an efficient removal pathway for particulate and dissolved carbon and nutrients associated with riverine and glacial SPM. Despite high removal at the land-ocean interface, terrestrial OM was observed throughout Isfjorden's surface waters in June and August. The physical effects of freshwater on the water column, including retention of terrestrial carbon and nutrients within the euphotic zone due to stratification, may indicate that riverine OM and inorganic nutrients are particularly biologically relevant in coastal systems where vertical mixing is limited during the most productive season. Seasonal shifts in optical properties of DOM further suggest that the photodegradation of terrestrial OM delivered during the spring freshet could lead to increased bioavailability for microbial communities. Climate-change driven increases in freshwater discharge can be expected to lead to increased suspended sediment loads, and the mobilization and transport of terrestrial carbon and nutrients from thawing and greening watersheds, with important implications for future Arctic coastal ecosystems.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AEP and MM developed the study design. MM, JS, AEP, and AD carried out fieldwork in 2018 and 2019. AKP performed calculations of Kd, FWC, and dS. MM analyzed the data, made the figures, and wrote the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the Norwegian Research Council (TerrACE; project number: 268458), the Fram Center Flagship “Fjord and Coast” grant (FreshFate; project number 132019), and the Svalbard Science Forum's Arctic Field Grant (RIS number: 10914).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Espen Lund and Emelie Skogsbørg for running the cDOM samples and the NIVA lab (especially Anne Luise Ribeiro and Tina Brytensen) for water chemistry analyses. We would like to thank the students and fellow scientists who helped us with the fieldwork including Uta Brandt, Nathalie Carrasco, Ulrike Dietrich, Cathrine Gundersen, Sverre Johansen, Hannah Miller, Sarah Nelson, Emelie Skogsbørg, Liv Sletten, Tobias Vonnahme, and Emilie Hernes Vereide. We also thank Laura de Steur for a discussion about freshwater content calculations. Additional gratitude goes to UNIS logistics and the crew of the RV Helmer Hansen and Clione for their help during the field campaigns.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2020.542563/full#supplementary-material
Parsons, T. R., Webb, D. B., Rokety, B. E., Lawrence, M., Hopkey, G., and Chiperezak, D. (1989). Autotrophic and heterotrophic production in the Mackenzie River/Beaufort Sea estuary. *Polar Biol.*, 9, 261–266. doi: 10.1007/BF02637774

Paulsen, M. L., Nielsen, S. E. B., Müller, O., Moller, E. F., Stedmon, C. A., Juul-Pedersen, T., et al. (2017). Carbon bioavailability in a high arctic fjord influenced by glacial meltwater, NE Greenland. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4:176. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00176

Pavlov, A. K., Granaskog, M. A., Stedmon, C. A., Ivanov, B. V., Hudson, S. R., and Falk-Petersen, S. (2015). Contrasting optical properties of surface waters across the Fram Strait and its potential biological implications. *J. Mar. Sci.* 143, 62–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.11.001

Peterson, B. J., and Fry, B. (1987). Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 18, 293–320. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001453

Peterson, B. J., Holmes, R. M., McClellan, J. W., Vörösmarty, C. J., Lammers, R. B., Shilkomanov, A. I., et al. (2002). Increasing river discharge to the Arctic Ocean. *Science* 298, 2171–2173. doi: 10.1126/science.1077445

Proshutinsky, A., Krishfield, R., Timmermans, M.-L., Toole, J., Carmack, E., McLaughlin, F., et al. (2009). Beaufort gyre freshwater reservoir: state and variability from observations. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 114:C0601A. doi: 10.1029/2008JC004930

Raymond, P. A., and Saiers, J. E. (2010). Event controlled DOC export from the Yukon River basin, Alaska. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 55, 2087–2093. doi: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.8.2087

Spencer, R. G. M., Aiken, G. R., Dickson, M. D., Raymond, P. A., and Wickland, K. P. (2005). A decrease in discharge-normalized DOC export by the Yukon River during summer through autumn. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32:L21413. doi: 10.1029/2005GL024413

Sylvíus, J. P. M. (2002). Sediment discharge variability in Arctic rivers: implications for a warmer future. *Polar Res.* 21:323. doi: 10.3402/polar.v21i12.6494

Tarnocai, C., Canadel, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S. (2009). Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. * Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 23:GB2023. doi: 10.1029/2008GB003327

Telling, J., Anesio, A. M., Tranter, M., Irvine-Fynn, T., Hodson, A., Butler, C., et al. (2011). Nitrogen fixation on Arctic glaciers, Svalbard. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 116:G03009. doi: 10.1029/2010JG001632

Vorsvik, T., Albretsen, J., Sundfjord, A., Kohler, J., Sandvik, A. D., Skarshamar, J., et al. (2019). Impact of tidewater glacier retreat on the fjord system: modeling present and future circulation in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 220, 152–165. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2019.02.005

van Pelt, W., Pohjola, V. A., Pettersson, R., Marchenko, S., Kohler, J., Luks, B., et al. (2019). A long-term dataset of climatic mass balance, snow conditions, and runoff in Svalbard (1957–2018). *Cryosphere* 13, 2259–2280. doi: 10.5194/tc-13-2259-2019

van Pelt, W. J. J., Pohjola, V. A., and Reijmer, C. H. (2016). The changing impact of snow conditions and refreezing on the mass balance of an idealized Svalbard Glacier. *Front. Earth Sci.* 4:102. doi: 10.3389/feart.2016.00102

Vilhakari, M. (2019). PlotSvalbard – Plot Svalbard – Research Data From Svalbard on Maps. R package version 0.8.5.

Wadhams, L. J., Hawkins, J., Telling, J., Chandler, D., Alcock, J., D’Oonnell, E., et al. (2016). Sources, cycling and export of nitrogen on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Biogeosciences* 13, 6339–6352. doi: 10.5194/bg-13-6339-2016

Weishaar, J. L., Aiken, G. R., Bergamaschi, B. A., Fram, M. S., Fujii, R., and Mopper, K. (2003). Evaluation of specific ultraviolet absorbance as an indicator of the chemical composition and reactivity of dissolved organic carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37, 4702–4708. doi: 10.1021/es030360x

Wetzel, R. G., Hatcher, P. G., and Bianchi, T. S. (1995). Natural photolysis by ultraviolet irradiance of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter to simple substrates for rapid bacterial metabolism. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 40, 1369–1380. doi: 10.4319/lo.1995.40.8.1369

Wikner, J., and Andersson, A. (2012). Increased freshwater discharge shifts the trophic balance in the coastal zone of the northern Baltic Sea. * glob. Chang. Biol.* 18, 2509–2519. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02718.x

Yun, M. S., Whitledge, T. E., Stockwell, D., Son, S. H., Lee, J. H., Park, J. W., et al. (2016). Primary production in the Chukchi Sea with potential effects of freshwater content. *Biogeosciences* 13, 737–749. doi: 10.5194/bg-13-737-2016

Zajaczkowski, M. (2008). Sediment supply and fluxes in glacial and outwash fjords, Kongsfjorden and Adventfjorden, Svalbard. *Polish Polar Res.* 29, 59–72.

Zhu, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Wenger, F., Hu, J., Zhang, J., et al. (2016). Organic carbon flux and particulate organic matter composition in Arctic valley glaciers: examples from the Bayelva River and adjacent Kongsfjorden. *Biogeosciences* 13, 975–987. doi: 10.5194/bg-13-975-2016

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 McGovern, Pavlov, Deininger, Granaskog, Leu, Søreide and Poste. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.