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Abstract. We study non-trivial deformations of the natural action of the Lie superalgebra \( K(1) \) of contact vector fields on the \((1,1)\)-dimensional superspace \( \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \) on the space of symbols \( \tilde{S}_n^\delta = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \tilde{S}_{\delta - \frac{k}{2}} \). We calculate obstructions for integrability of infinitesimal multi-parameter deformations and determine the complete local commutative algebra corresponding to the miniversal deformation.

1. Introduction

We consider the superspace \( \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \) equipped with the contact 1-form \( \alpha = dx + \theta d\theta \) where \( \theta \) is the odd variable, the Lie superalgebra \( K(1) \) of contact polynomial vector fields on \( \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \) (also called superconformal Lie algebra see [17]) and the \( K(1) \)-module of symbols \( \tilde{S}_n^\delta = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \tilde{S}_{\delta - \frac{k}{2}} \), where \( \tilde{S}_{\delta - \frac{k}{2}} \) is the module of the weighted densities on \( \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \). As Lie superalgebra \( K(1) \) is rigid as well as the Lie algebra of Virasoro [9], so one tries deformations of its modules. We will use the framework of Fialowski ([3] and [1]) and Fialowski-Fuchs [2] (see also [12]) and consider (multi-parameter) deformations over complete local commutative algebras related to this deformation. The first step of any approach to the deformation theory consists in the determination of infinitesimal deformations. According to Nijenhuis-Richardson [4], infinitesimal deformations of the action of a Lie algebra on some module are classified by the first cohomology space of the Lie algebra with values in the module of endomorphisms of that module. In our case:

\[
H^1_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \text{End}_{\text{diff}}(\tilde{S}_n^\delta) \right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda,k} H^1_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \right),
\]

where \( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} \) is the superspace of linear differential operators from the superspace of weighted densities \( \tilde{S}_\lambda \) to \( \tilde{S}_\mu \), and hereafter \( 2(\delta - \lambda), 2(\delta - \mu) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\} \).

While the obstructions for integrability of this infinitesimal deformations belong to the second cohomology space

\[
H^2_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \text{End}_{\text{diff}}(\tilde{S}_n^\delta) \right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda,k} H^2_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \right).
\]

The odd first space \( H^1_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \right)_1 \) was calculated in [3]: our task therefore, is to calculate the even first space \( H^1_{\text{diff}} \left( K(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \right)_0 \) and
the obstructions. We will prove that all the multi-parameter deformations of the action are in fact of degree 1 or 2 in the parameters of deformation.

We shall give concrete explicit examples of the deformed action.

2. Definitions and Notations

2.1. The Lie superalgebra of contact vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ be the superspace with coordinates $(x, \theta)$, where $\theta$ is the odd variables ($\theta^2 = 0$). We consider the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}[x, \theta]$ of superpolynomial functions on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$.

$$\mathbb{R}^{1|1}[x, \theta] = \{F = f_0 + f_1\theta : f_0 \text{ and } f_1 \text{ are in } \mathbb{R}[x]\}$$

where $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is the space of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{R}$. The superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}[x, \theta]$ has a structure of superalgebra given by the contact bracket

$$\{F, G\} = FG' - F'G + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(F)+1}\eta(F) \cdot \eta(G),$$

where $\eta = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\eta = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $p(F)$ is the parity of $F$. Remark that $\eta \circ \eta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, so $\eta$ is sometimes called ”square root” of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Any contact structure on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ can be defined by the following 1-form:

$$\alpha = dx + \theta d\theta.$$ 

Let $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$ be the superspace of superpolynomial vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$:

$$\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}) = \left\{F_0 \partial_x + F_i \partial | F_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1|n}[x, \theta]\right\},$$

where $\partial$ stands for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ and $\partial_x$ stands for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, and consider the superspace $\mathcal{K}(1)$ of contact polynomial vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}(1) = \left\{v \in \text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}) : v\alpha = F\alpha, \text{ for some } F \in \mathbb{R}^{1|1}[x, \theta]\right\},$$

where $v\alpha$ is the Lie derivative of $\alpha$ along the vector fields $v$. Any contact superpolynomial vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ can be given by the following explicit form:

$$v_F = F\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(F)+1}\eta(F)\eta,$$

where $F \in \mathbb{R}^{1|1}[x, \theta]$.

2.2. The space of polynomial weighted densities on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$. Recall the definition of the $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-module of polynomial weighted densities on $\mathbb{R}$, where $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$ is the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$. Consider the 1-parameter action of $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$ on the space of polynomial functions $\mathbb{R}[x]$, given by

$$L^\lambda_X (f) = Xf' + \lambda X'f,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ the $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-module structure on $\mathbb{R}[x]$ defined by this action. Geometrically, $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is the space of polynomial weighted densities of weight $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{f(x)(dx)^\lambda | f \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}.$$
Now, in super setting, we have an analogous definition of weighted densities (see [6]) with $dx$ replaced by $\alpha = dx + \theta d\theta$. Consider the 1-parameter action of $\mathcal{K}(1)$ on $\mathbb{R}[x,\theta]$ given by the rule:

$$L^\lambda_{v_F}(G) = L^\lambda_{v_F}(G) + \lambda F' \cdot G,$$

where $F, G \in \mathbb{R}[x,\theta]$ and $F' = \partial_x F$ or, in components:

$$L^\lambda_{v_F}(G) = L^\lambda_{v_F}(G) + \lambda g_0 b' + \frac{1}{2} g_0' b \cdot G,$$

where $F = a + b\theta, G = g_0 + g_1\theta$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{cases}
L^\lambda_{v_a}(g_0) = L^\lambda_{v_a}(g_0), & L^\lambda_{v_a}(g_1\theta) = \theta L^\lambda_{v_a+\frac{1}{2}}(g_1), \\
L^\lambda_{v_\theta}(g_0) = (\lambda g_0 b' + \frac{1}{2} g_0' b) \cdot G & \text{and } L^\lambda_{v_\theta}(g_1\theta) = \frac{1}{2} bg_1.
\end{cases}$$

We denote this $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, the space of all polynomial weighted densities on $\mathbb{R}^1$ of weight $\lambda$:

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \left\{ \phi = f(x,\theta)\alpha^\lambda \ | \ f(x,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}[x,\theta] \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} := \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu)$, be the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module of linear differential superoperators, the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-action on this superspace is given by:

$$L^\lambda_{v_F}(A) = L^\mu_{v_F} \circ A - (-1)^{p(A)p(F)} A \circ L^\lambda_{v_F}.$$

Obviously:

1) The adjoint $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{-1}$.  
2) As a $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-module, $\mathcal{F}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{F}_\lambda \oplus \Pi(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}})$, where $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is the $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-module of polynomial weighted densities of weight $\lambda$ and $\Pi$ is the functor of the change of parity.

**Proposition 1.** As a $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-module, we have for the homogeneous relative parity components:

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0 \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1 \simeq \Pi(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\mu} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

**2.3. The supertransvectants: explicit formula.**

**Definition 1.** (see [14]) The supertransvectants are the bilinear $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$-invariant maps

$$\mathcal{J}^\alpha_{\beta} : \mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}_\beta \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+\beta+k}$$

where $k \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots \}$. These operators were calculated in [13] (see also [18]), let us give their explicit formula.

One has

$$\mathcal{J}^\alpha_{\beta}(f, g) = \sum_{i+j=2k} J^k_{i,j} \overline{f}(i) \overline{g}(j)$$
where the numeric coefficients are
\[
J_{i,j}^k = (-1)^{(\frac{k+1}{2}+j(i+p(f)))} \left( \frac{k}{2} + \frac{(-1)^{2j}}{4} \right) \left( 2\alpha + \frac{k - \frac{1}{2}}{2} \right) \left( 2\beta + \frac{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}{2} \right)
\]
where \([a]\) denotes the integer part of \(a \in \mathbb{R}\), as above, the binomial coefficients \(\binom{a}{b}\) are well-defined if \(b\) is integer. It can be checked directly that those operators are, indeed, \(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)\)-invariant.

2.4. **The first cohomology space** \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})\). Let us first recall some fundamental concepts from cohomology theory ([12]). Let \(g = g_0 \oplus g_1\) be a Lie superalgebra acting on a super vector space \(V = V_0 \oplus V_1\). The space \(\text{Hom}(g, V)\) is \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\)-graded via
\[
\text{Hom}(g, V)_b = \oplus_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})} \text{Hom}(g_a, V_{a+b}); \ b \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.
\]
Let
\[
Z^1(g, V) = \{ \gamma \in \text{Hom}(g, V); \ \gamma([g, h]) = (-1)^{p(g)p(h)} g \cdot \gamma(h) - (-1)^{p(h)p(g)+p(\gamma)} h \cdot \gamma(g), \ \forall g, h \in g \}
\]
be the space of 1-cocycles for the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. According to the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\)-grading ([9]), any 1-cocycle \(\gamma \in Z^1(g; V)\), is broken to \((\gamma’, \gamma’’) \in \text{Hom}(g_0, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(g_1, V)\).

The first cohomology space \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})\) inherits the \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\)-grading from ([9]) and it decomposes into odd and even parts as follows:
\[
H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) = H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0 \oplus H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1.
\]
The odd first space \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu+k})_1\) was calculated in [6], we calculate, here, the even first space \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu+k})_0\).

**Lemma 2.** The 1-cocycle \(\gamma\) is a coboundary over \(\mathcal{K}(1)\) if and only if \(\gamma’\) is a coboundary over \(\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})\).

**Proof.** See [6].

The following theorem recalls the result.

**Theorem 3.** 1) The space \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0\) is isomorphic to the following:
\[
H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0 \simeq \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 0, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 2 \text{ for } \lambda \neq -1, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 3 \text{ for } \lambda = 0 \text{ or } \lambda = \frac{-5}{2}, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 4 \text{ for } \lambda = \frac{-7+\sqrt{33}}{4}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]
The space \(H^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0\) is generated by the cohomology classes of the 1-cocycles:
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- For $\lambda = \mu$ the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda}(v_G)(F\alpha^\lambda) = G'F\alpha^\lambda, \]
  where, here and below, $F, G \in \mathbb{R}^{10}[x, \theta].$

- For $\mu - \lambda = 2$ and $\lambda \neq -1$ the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+2}(v_G)(F\alpha^\lambda) = (2\lambda G^3F + 3(-1)^{p(G)}pG''\eta(F))\alpha^{\lambda+2}, \]

- For $\mu - \lambda = 3$ and $\lambda = 0$ the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{0,3}(v_G)(F\alpha^0) = (G^4F - (-1)^{p(G)}pG^3\eta(F) + G^3F'' + (-1)^{p(G)}\frac{2}{5}pG''\eta(F'))\alpha^3, \]

- For $\mu - \lambda = 3$ and $\lambda = \frac{-5}{2}$ the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{-\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}(v_G)(F\alpha^{-\frac{5}{2}}) = (G^4F - (-1)^{p(G)}pG^3\eta(F) + G^3F'' - (-1)^{p(G)}\frac{2}{5}pG''\eta(F'))\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}, \]

- For $\mu - \lambda = 4$ and $\lambda = \frac{-7+\sqrt{17}}{4}$ the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+4}(v_G)(F\alpha^\lambda) = (G^5F + (-1)^{p(G)}\frac{5}{2\lambda}pG^4\eta(F) - \frac{5}{2}G^4F' \]
  \[ \quad - pG^{\lambda+4}pG''G'(F')\alpha^{\lambda+4}. \]

2) The space $H^1_{\text{diff}}(K(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1$ is isomorphic to the following:

\[
\begin{cases} 
\mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \mu = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu = \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu = \lambda + \frac{5}{2} \text{ for all } \lambda, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

The space $H^1_{\text{diff}}(K(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1$ is generated by the cohomology classes of the $1$-cocycles:

- For $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, the generators can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(v_G)(F) = \eta(G')F\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(v_G)(F) = (-1)^{p(F)}\eta(G')F\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

- For $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mu - \lambda = \frac{3}{2}$, the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2},1}(v_G)(F\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \left( \frac{3}{2} (\eta(G'^2) + (-1)^{p(G)}\eta(G'')F - \frac{1}{2}(\eta(G) - (-1)^{p(G)}\eta(G))F' + (-1)^{p(F)} \right) \]
  \[ \quad \left( \eta(G')F' + \frac{1}{2}(G'' + (-1)^{p(G)}G''\eta(F)) + (-1)^{p(G)}G'' \eta(F') \right) \alpha^1 \]

- For $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mu - \lambda = \frac{3}{2}$, the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(v_G)(F\alpha^\lambda) = (pG''\eta(F')F)\alpha^{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}. \]

- For $\mu - \lambda = \frac{5}{2}$, the generator can be chosen as follows:
  \[ \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}(v_G)(F\alpha^\lambda) = (2\lambda G^3F + 3(-1)^{p(G)}pG''\eta(F'))\alpha^{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}. \]
Proof. The odd cohomology $H^1_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1$ was calculated in [6].

Now, we are interested in the even cohomology. The adjoint $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module is $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R})$-isomorphic to $\text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Pi(\mathcal{F}_{-rac{1}{2}})$, so, the even 1-cocycle $\gamma_0$ decomposes into two components: $\gamma_0 = (\gamma_{00}, \gamma_{11})$ where

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{00} : \text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0, \\
\gamma_{11} : \mathcal{F}_{-rac{1}{2}} & \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_1,
\end{align*}
\]

- For $\lambda = \mu$, a straightest computation shows that $\gamma_{\lambda,\lambda}$ is prolongation of $c_{\lambda,\lambda}(X, F) = X'F$ calculated by Feigen and Fuchs in [2].
- For $\mu - \lambda \geq 2$.

We have $(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})_0 = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\lambda+1,\mu+1}$ then the component $\gamma_{00}$ of $\gamma$ is broken on $(\gamma_{000}, \gamma_{001})$ where

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{000} : \text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu} \\
\gamma_{001} : \text{Vect}_p(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda+1,\mu+1}
\end{align*}
\]

the component $\gamma_{000}$ is a differential operator with degree $\geq 2$ then it vanish on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ thus $\gamma_0$ is a supertransvectant by the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** ([8] Lemma 3.3.) Up to coboundary, any 1-cocycle $\gamma \in Z^1(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$-invariant. That is, if $\gamma(X_1) = \gamma(X_2) = 0$ then the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is trivial.

As the adjoint $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{F}_{-1}$, the 1-cocycle $\gamma : \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ can be looked as a differential operator:

$$
\gamma : \mathfrak{F}_{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_\mu.
$$

We consider the supertransvectants $\mathfrak{J}^{-1,\lambda}_k$ as it is $k = \mu - \lambda$. If $\mu - \lambda \geq 2$, we look for those which are non trivial 1-cocycles. In this way we can deduce $\gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$, $\gamma_{0,3}$, $\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}$ and $\gamma_{a,a+4}$ where $a = \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{33}}{4}$. \(\square\)

3. Deformation Theory and Cohomology

Deformation theory of Lie algebra homomorphisms was first considered for one-parameter deformations [4, 15]. Recently, deformations of Lie (super)algebras with multi-parameters were intensively studied (see, e.g., [2, 5, 7, 3, 1, 11, 10]). Here we give an outline of this theory.

3.1. Infinitesimal deformations. Let $\rho_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be an action of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on a vector superspace $V$. When studying deformations of the $\mathfrak{g}$-action $\rho_0$, one usually starts with infinitesimal deformations:

(11) $$\rho = \rho_0 + t \gamma,$$
where $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a linear map and $t$ is a formal parameter. The homomorphism condition
\begin{equation}
[\rho(x), \rho(y)] = \rho([x, y]),
\end{equation}
where $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, is satisfied in order 1 in $t$ if and only if $\gamma$ is a 1-cocycle. That is, the map $\gamma$ satisfies
$$
\gamma[x, y] = (-1)^{p(x)p(\gamma)}[\rho_0(x), \gamma(y)] + (-1)^{p(y)(p(x)+p(\gamma))}[\rho_0(y), \gamma(x)] = 0.
$$
If $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V)) = m$, then one can choose 1-cocycles $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ as a basis of $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))$ and consider the following infinitesimal deformation
\begin{equation}
\rho = \rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \gamma_i,
\end{equation}
where $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ are independent formal parameters with $t_i$ and $\gamma_i$ are the same parity i.e. $p(t_i) = p(\gamma_i)$.

For the study of deformations of the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-action on $\tilde{S}^{n_0}$, we must consider the space $H^1_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{K}(1), \text{End}(\tilde{S}^{n_0}))$. Any infinitesimal deformation of the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module $\tilde{S}^{n_0}$ is then of the form
\begin{equation}
\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{v_F} = \mathfrak{L}_{v_F} + \mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{v_F},
\end{equation}
where $\mathfrak{L}_{v_F}$ is the Lie derivative of $\tilde{S}^{n_0}$ along the vector field $v_F$ defined by (3), and
\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{v_F} = \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{k=0,3,4,5} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{k}{2}} \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{k}{2}}(v_F)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ t_{0,3} \gamma_{0,3}(v_F) + t_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(v_F) + \sum_{i=1,2} t_{a_i, a_i} \gamma_{a_i, a_i}(v_F)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ t_{0, \frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{0, \frac{1}{2}}(v_F) + t_{0, \frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{0, \frac{1}{2}}(v_F) + t_{0, \frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{0, \frac{1}{2}}(v_F),
\end{equation}
where $a_1 = \frac{-7-\sqrt{33}}{2}$ and $a_2 = \frac{-7+\sqrt{33}}{2}$.

Let denote that we restrict our study to the deformation (14) for generic values of $\lambda$.

### 3.2. Integrability conditions

Consider the supercommutative associative superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]]$ with unity and consider the problem of integrability of infinitesimal deformations. Starting with the infinitesimal deformation (13), we look for a formal series
\begin{equation}
\rho = \rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \gamma_i + \sum_{i,j} t_i t_j \rho^{(2)}_{ij} + \cdots,
\end{equation}
where the highest-order terms $\rho^{(2)}_{ij}, \rho^{(3)}_{ijk}, \ldots$ are linear maps from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\text{End}(V)$ with $p(\rho^{(2)}_{ij}) = p(t_i t_j)$, $p(\rho^{(3)}_{ijk}) = p(t_i t_j t_k), \ldots$ such that the map
\begin{equation}
\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]],
\end{equation}
where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers.
satisfies the homomorphism condition \([12]\) at any order in \(t_1, \ldots, t_m\).

However, quite often the above problem has no solution. Following \([1]\) and \([5]\), we must impose extra algebraic relations on the parameters \(t_1, \ldots, t_m\) in order to get the full deformation. Let \(\mathcal{R}\) be an ideal in \(\mathbb{C}[\![t_1, \ldots, t_m]\!]/\mathcal{R}\) generated by some set of relations, the quotient \((18)\)

\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\![t_1, \ldots, t_m]\!]/\mathcal{R} \]

is a supercommutative associative superalgebra with unity, and one can speak about deformations with base \(\mathcal{A}\), (see \([2]\) for details). The map \((17)\) sends \(g\) to \(\text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{A}\).

3.3. Equivalence and the first cohomology. The notion of equivalence of deformations over commutative associative algebras has been considered in \([1]\).

**Definition 5.** Two deformations, \(\rho\) and \(\rho'\) with the same base \(\mathcal{A}\) are called equivalent if there exists a formal inner automorphism \(\Psi\) of the associative superalgebra \(\text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{A}\) such that

\[ \Psi \circ \rho = \rho' \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\mathbb{I}) = \mathbb{I}, \]

where \(\mathbb{I}\) is the unity of the superalgebra \(\text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{A}\).

As a consequence, two infinitesimal deformations \(\rho_1 = \rho_0 + t \gamma_1\), and \(\rho_2 = \rho_0 + t \gamma_2\), are equivalent if and only if \(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\) is a coboundary:

\[ (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(x) = (-1)^{p(x)p(A_1)}[\rho_0(x), A_1] = \delta A_1(x), \]

where \(A_1 \in \text{End}(V)\) and \(\delta\) stands for the cohomological Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary for cochains on \(g\) with values in \(\text{End}(V)\) (see \([12, 4]\)).

So, the first cohomology space \(H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))\) determines and classifies infinitesimal deformations up to equivalence.

4. Computing the second-order Maurer-Cartan equation

Any infinitesimal deformation of the \(\mathcal{K}(1)\)-module \(\widetilde{S}^n_{\mathfrak{g}}\) can be integrated to a formal deformation, such deformation is then of the form \((19)\)

\[ \varphi_{\nu V} = \mathcal{L}_{\nu V} + \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\nu V} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\nu V} + \cdots, \]

where \(\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\nu V} = \sum_{i,j} t_i t_j \rho_{ij}^{(2)}\), \(\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{\nu V} = \sum_{i,j,k} t_i t_j t_k \rho_{ijk}^{(3)}\), and so on.

Setting

\[ \varphi_t = \rho - \rho_0, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i \gamma_i, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \sum_{i,j} t_i t_j \rho_{ij}^{(2)}, \quad \cdots, \]

we can rewrite the relation \((12)\) in the following way:

\[ ([\varphi_t(G), \rho_0(H)] + [\rho_0(G), \varphi_t(H)] - \varphi_t([G,H]) + \sum_{i,j>0} \mathcal{L}^{(i)}(G) \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(H)) = 0. \]
The first three terms give \((\delta \varphi_t)(G,H)\). The relation (20) becomes now equivalent to:

\[
(21) \quad \delta \varphi_t(G,H) + \sum_{i,j>0} [\mathcal{L}^{(i)}(G), \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(H)] = 0.
\]

**Definition 2.** Let \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : g \to \text{End}(V)\) be two arbitrary linear maps, we denote \([ , ]\) the cup-product defined by:

\[
(22) \quad [\gamma_1, \gamma_2] : g \otimes g \to \text{End}(V)
\]

\[
[\gamma_1, \gamma_2](G,H) = (-1)^{G[\gamma_2]} \gamma_1(G) \circ \gamma_2(H) - (-1)^{H[\gamma_2]} \gamma_2(H) \circ \gamma_1(G)
\]

where \(| |\) denotes the parity.

Expanding (21) in power series in \(t_1, \cdots, t_m\), we obtain the following equation for \(\mathcal{L}^{(s)}\):

\[
(23) \quad \delta \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(G,H) + \sum_{i+j=s} [\mathcal{L}^{(i)}(H), \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(G)] = 0.
\]

The first non-trivial relation is

\[
(24) \quad \delta \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j \in \{0,3,4,5\}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{j}{2}} \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j \in \{0,3,4,5\}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{j}{2}} \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{j}{2}} \right)
\]

Therefore, it is easy to check that for any two 1-cocycles \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in Z^1(g, \text{End}(V))\), the bilinear map \([\gamma_1, \gamma_2]\) is a 2-cocycle. The first non-trivial relation (24) is precisely the condition for this 2-cocycle to be a coboundary. Moreover, if one of the 1-cocycles \(\gamma_1\) or \(\gamma_2\) is a coboundary, then \([\gamma_1, \gamma_2]\) is a 2-coboundary. We therefore, naturally deduce that the operation \((22)\) defines a bilinear map:

\[
(25) \quad H^1(g; \text{End}(V)) \otimes H^1(g; \text{End}(V)) \to H^2(g; \text{End}(V)).
\]

All the potential obstructions are in the image of \(H^1(g; \text{End}(V))\) under the cup-product in \(H^2(g; \text{End}(V))\).

The bilinear map \((25)\) can be decomposed in homogeneous components as follows

\[
(26) \quad H^1(g; \text{End}(V))_i \otimes H^1(g; \text{End}(V))_j \to H^2(g; \text{End}(V))_{i+j}
\]

where \(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\).

**4.1. Cup-products of the non-trivial 1-cocycles.** Let us consider the 2-cocycles

\[
(27) \quad B_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G,H) = \sum_{j \in \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}} t_{\lambda+j,\lambda+k} t_{\lambda,\lambda+j} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+j,\lambda+k}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+j} \right](G,H),
\]
then, it’s easy to see that:

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+k} \in Z^2(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \mu}). \]

we compute successively the 2-cocycles \( B_{\lambda, \lambda+k}(G, H) \) for \( G = g_0 + \theta g_1 \) and \( H = h_0 + \theta h_1 \) two contact vectors and \( F = f_0 + \theta f_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \). For generic values of \( \lambda \) we have:

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda}(G, H) = 0 \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda}(G, H) = t_\lambda^2 [\gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}]: \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda} \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda}(G, H) = 0 \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H) = (t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda} [\gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}] + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda} \frac{3}{2} [\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}])(G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H)(F) = \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right) ((h_0^3 g_0 - h_0^3 g_0^3) f_0 + (g_0^3 h_0' - g_0 h_0') (f_0 + \theta f_1) + \theta (g_1^3 h_1') f_0) \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H) = (t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda} \frac{3}{2} [\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}] + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} [\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}])(G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H)(F) = \left( t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right) \left( 2\lambda(h_0^3 g_0 - g_0^3 h_0') f_0 + 2\lambda(g_0^3 h_0'' - g_0 h_0'') f_0 + \theta((2\lambda + 7)(g_0^3 h_0'' - g_0^3 g_0') f_0 + 2\lambda(h_0^3 g_0' - g_0^3 h_0') f_0) + \theta t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \left( g_0^3 h_0' - h_0^3 g_0' \right) f_0 - 3(h_0^3 g_0'' - h_0^3 g_0') f_0 + 3(g_0^3 h_0' + g_0^3 h_0'') f_0 + \theta (g_0^3 h_0') f_0 \right) \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H) = (t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2} [\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}] + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} [\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}])(G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \]

\[ B_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}}(G, H)(F) = \left( t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right) \left( 2\lambda(h_0^3 g_0 - g_0^3 h_0') f_0 + 2\lambda(g_0^3 h_0'' - g_0 h_0'') f_0 + \theta((2\lambda + 7)(g_0^3 h_0'' - g_0^3 g_0') f_0 + 2\lambda(h_0^3 g_0' - g_0^3 h_0') f_0) + \theta t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \left( g_0^3 h_0' - h_0^3 g_0' \right) f_0 - 3(h_0^3 g_0'' - h_0^3 g_0') f_0 + 3(g_0^3 h_0' + g_0^3 h_0'') f_0 + \theta (g_0^3 h_0') f_0 \right) \]
For $k = 3$, let

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+3}(G, H) = t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+\lambda+3}^{\lambda, \lambda+\lambda+3} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+3}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] (G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+3},$$

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+3}(G, H)(F) = -2t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+3}^{\lambda, \lambda+\lambda+3} \left( g_1'' h_1'' f_0 + \theta(g_1'' h_1'' f_1 - g_3'' h_0'' f_0 + h_0'' g_0' f_0) \right).$$

For $k = 4$, let

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+4}(G, H) = (t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}^{\lambda, \lambda+4} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}^{\lambda, \lambda+4} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] ) (G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+4},$$

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+4}(G, H)(F) = \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}^{\lambda, \lambda+4} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}^{\lambda, \lambda+4} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] \right) (G, H): \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+4},$$

For $k = 5$, let

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+5}(G, H) = t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+5}^{\lambda, \lambda+5} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+5}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] (G, H) : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+5},$$

$$B_{\lambda, \lambda+5}(G, H)(F) = \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+5}^{\lambda, \lambda+5} \left[ \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+5}, \gamma_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right] \right) (G, H): \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda, \lambda+5}. $$
Proposition 6. a) Each of the 2-cocycles:

\[ B_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } \lambda \neq -\frac{1}{2}; \quad B_{\lambda,\lambda+2}; \quad B_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \text{ for } \lambda \neq -1; \quad B_{\lambda,\lambda+3} \text{ and } B_{\lambda,\lambda+5} \]

define non trivial cohomology class. Moreover, these classes are linearly independant.

b) Each of the 2-cocycles \( B_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \), \( B_{\lambda,\lambda+4} \) and \( B_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{9}{2}} \) is a coboundary.

Proof. A 2-cocycles \( B_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \) for \( k \in \{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{7}{2}, 4, \frac{9}{2}, 5\} \) is a coboundary if and only if satisfy:

\[ B_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G, H)(F) = \delta b_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G, H)(F) \]

where

\[ b_{\lambda,\lambda+k} : \mathcal{K}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \]

and where

\[ \delta b_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G, H)(F) = b_{\lambda,\lambda+k}[G, H](F) - (-1)^{G[|b_{\lambda,\lambda+k}|} \mathcal{L}_{G}^{\lambda,\lambda+k} \circ (b_{\lambda,\lambda+k})(H)(F) \]

+ \((-1)^{G[|H|+|b_{\lambda,\lambda+k}|]} \mathcal{L}_{H}^{\lambda,\lambda+k} \circ (b_{\lambda,\lambda+k})(G)(F) \]

\[ \square \]

For \( k \in \{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 5\} \), a direct computation shows that those \( B_{\lambda,\lambda+k} \) are non trivial 2-cocycles.

For \( k \in \{\frac{7}{2}, 4, \frac{9}{2}\} \), remark that those cup-products are \( \mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \)-invariant then they are supertransvectant boundaries. A simple computation shows that:

\[ B_{\lambda,\lambda+4} = \alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) \delta_{\frac{3}{2}}^{1,1,\lambda} \]

where

\[ \alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) = T \frac{-3(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda + 1)}{5(2\lambda + 4)(2\lambda^2 + 7\lambda + 2)} \]

and \( T = (t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+4}t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+4}t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{3}t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4}t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) \)

\[ B_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{9}{2}} = \psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) \delta_{\frac{5}{2}}^{1,1,\lambda} \]

and

\[ \psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) = T' \frac{2\lambda(2\lambda + 1)}{2\lambda + 3}, \]

where \( T' = (t_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2},\lambda+2}t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+2}t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) \)

\[ B_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{13}{2}} = \nu(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) \delta_{\frac{11}{2}}^{1,1,\lambda} \]

and

\[ \nu(\lambda, t_{\lambda}) = -T'' \frac{5(\lambda + 4)}{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda + 1)} \]
where \( T'' = (t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}) \).

5. Integrability Conditions

In this section we obtain the necessary and sufficient integrability conditions for the infinitesimal deformation \( (14) \).

**Theorem 7.** The following conditions

1) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{3, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \lambda \neq -\frac{1}{2} \),
\[
t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} = 0.
\]

2) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{4, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} t_{\lambda,\lambda} = t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+2} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} = 0,
\]

3) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{5, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \lambda \neq -1 \),
\[
t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} = t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} = 0,
\]

4) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{6, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+3} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} = 0,
\]

5) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{7, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} (t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) = 0
\]
\[
(t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) t_{\lambda,\lambda} = 0
\]

6) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{8, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+4} (t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) = 0
\]
\[
(t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) t_{\lambda,\lambda} = 0
\]

7) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{9, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} (t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) = 0
\]
\[
(t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}) t_{\lambda,\lambda} = 0
\]

8) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{10, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+5} = 0,
\]
\[
t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+5} \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \right) = 0
\]
\[
\left( t_{\lambda+3,\lambda+5} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+3} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+5} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \right) t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} = 0
\]

9) For \( 2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{11, \ldots, n\} \)
\[
t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+4} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \right) = 0
\]
\[
\left( t_{\lambda+3,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+3} + t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+4} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} \right) t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}} = 0
\]
\[
t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \right) = 0
\]
\[
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} - t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} \right) t_{\lambda,\lambda+2} = 0
\]
10) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{12, \ldots, n\}$

\[
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+6} & \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+4} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} \right) t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2} + t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+4} - t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+4} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

11) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{13, \ldots, n\}$

\[
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} & \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+4} + t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \lambda+5, \lambda+\frac{13}{2} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+4} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \lambda+5, \lambda+\frac{13}{2} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+4} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

12) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{14, \ldots, n\}$

\[
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+7} & \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+5, \lambda+7} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+5} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+7} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} \right) t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) \left( t_{\lambda+5, \lambda+7} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+5} - t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2}, \lambda+7} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}, \lambda+\frac{7}{2}} \right) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

13) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{15, \ldots, n\}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} - t_{\lambda+6, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+6} \right) \times \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) \times \\
\left( t_{\lambda+5, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \lambda+5 + t_{\lambda+6, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \lambda+6 + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+6} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{13}{2}} \right) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

14) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{16, \ldots, n\}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}, \lambda+8} t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}, \lambda+8} t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+6, \lambda+8} t_{\lambda+4, \lambda+6} \right) \times \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+4} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+6, \lambda+8} t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}}, \lambda+6 - t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} \right) \left( t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) = 0, \\
\left( t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}} - t_{\lambda+2, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda, \lambda+2} \right) \left( t_{\lambda+6, \lambda+8} t_{\lambda+\frac{15}{2}}, \lambda+6 - t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} t_{\lambda+\frac{13}{2}, \lambda+\frac{15}{2}} \right) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
15) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{17, \ldots, n\}$

\[
(t_{\lambda + \frac{2}{3} + 4t_{\lambda,\lambda} + \frac{1}{3}t_{\lambda + 2\lambda} + 4t_{\lambda,\lambda} + 2} + \frac{1}{3}t_{\lambda + 4\lambda + 4t_{\lambda,\lambda} + 2}) \times
(t_{\lambda + \frac{1}{3} + 4t_{\lambda + 4\lambda} + \frac{1}{3}t_{\lambda + 4\lambda} + 6} - t_{\lambda + 6\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + 4\lambda} + 6}) = 0,
\]

\[
(t_{\lambda + 6\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + 4\lambda} + 6} + t_{\lambda + 7\lambda + \frac{17}{3}t_{\lambda + 2\lambda} + 7} + \frac{1}{3}t_{\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + 2\lambda} + \frac{11}{6}}} - t_{\lambda + 2\lambda + \frac{9}{2}t_{\lambda,\lambda} + 2}) = 0.
\]

16) For $2(\delta - \lambda) \in \{18, \ldots, n\}$

\[
(t_{\lambda + 7\lambda + 9t_{\lambda + \frac{2}{3} + 7} - t_{\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + \frac{11}{3}t_{\lambda + \frac{11}{6}}} - t_{\lambda + 2\lambda + \frac{9}{2}t_{\lambda,\lambda} + 2}}) = 0
\]

are necessary and sufficient for integrability of the deformation (14).

Proof:

a) The conditions of integrability are necessary:

If we take account of the Proposition 16, we deduce the integrability conditions 1), 2), 3) and 4). Now we must calculate the higher integrability conditions. Assume that the infinitesimal deformation (14) can be integrated to a formal deformation:

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{v_p} = \mathcal{L}_{v_p} + \mathcal{L}_{v_p}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{v_p}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{v_p}^{(3)} + \cdots
\]

The homomorphism condition:

\[
[\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{v_p}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{v_G}] = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{v_{(P,G)}}
\]

gives, for the third-order terms $\mathcal{L}^{(3)}$ which is a particular case of the Maurer-Cartan equation (23):

\[
(31) \quad \delta(\mathcal{L}^{(3)}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(2)}) + [\mathcal{L}^{(2)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1)}],
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = -\left(\sum_{\lambda} \psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}^{1,\lambda}_2 + \sum_{\lambda} \alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}^{1,\lambda}_5 + \sum_{\lambda} \nu(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}^{1,\lambda}_7\right).
\]

The right hand side of (31) yields the following maps:

\begin{itemize}
  \item For $k = \frac{7}{2}$, let
    \[
    D_{\lambda,\lambda + \frac{7}{2}} = t_{\lambda + \frac{7}{2},\lambda + \frac{7}{2}} \psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + \frac{7}{2},\lambda + \frac{7}{2},\lambda + \frac{7}{2}} + \psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})t_{\lambda,\lambda}\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + \frac{7}{2},\lambda,\lambda} \quad : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda + \frac{7}{2}},
    \]
  \item For $k = 4$, let
    \[
    D_{\lambda,\lambda + 4} = t_{\lambda + 4\lambda} + \alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + 4\lambda + 4,\lambda} + \alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + 4\lambda,\lambda} \quad : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda + 4},
    \]
  \item For $k = \frac{9}{2}$, let
    \[
    D_{\lambda,\lambda + \frac{9}{2}} = t_{\lambda + \frac{9}{2},\lambda + \frac{9}{2}} \nu(\lambda, t_{\lambda})\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + \frac{9}{2},\lambda + \frac{9}{2},\lambda} + \nu(\lambda, t_{\lambda})t_{\lambda,\lambda}\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda + \frac{9}{2},\lambda,\lambda} \quad : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda + \frac{9}{2}},
    \]
\end{itemize}
For $k = 5$, let
\[
D_{\lambda,\lambda+5} = t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+5}\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+5}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda}] + \psi(\lambda + \frac{3}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}})t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+5}.
\]

For $k = \frac{11}{2}$, let
\[
D_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}} = t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2},\lambda+\frac{11}{2}}^2\alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2},\lambda+\frac{11}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{13}{2}}^{1,\lambda}] + \alpha(\lambda + \frac{3}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}})t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{13}{2}}^{1,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}] + \psi(\lambda + 2, t_{\lambda+2})t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{13}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+2}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+2}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{11}{2}}.
\]

For $k = 6$, let
\[
D_{\lambda,\lambda+6} = t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+6}\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+6}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda}] + \psi(\lambda + \frac{5}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}})t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}] + \psi(\lambda + 2, t_{\lambda+2})t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+2}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+2}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+6}.
\]

For $k = \frac{13}{2}$, let
\[
D_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{13}{2}} = t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2},\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}^2\alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2},\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{17}{2}}^{1,\lambda}] + \alpha(\lambda + \frac{5}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}})t_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{17}{2}}^{1,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{5}{2}}] + \psi(\lambda + 2, t_{\lambda+2})t_{\lambda,\lambda+2}[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{17}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+2}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda+2}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{13}{2}}.
\]

A direct and elementary computation for these cup-products gives the conditions 5), 6), 7), 8), 9), 10), 11) and the tow first conditions of 12) of Theorem 7 and proves that $\mathcal{L}^{(3)} \equiv 0$. We must then calculate $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}$.

Equation (32)
\[
\delta(\mathcal{L}^{(4)}) = -[\mathcal{L}^{(2)}, \mathcal{L}^{(2)}].
\]

Equation (32) is in fact equivalent to the following ones:

For $k = 7$, let
\[
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+7} = \psi(\lambda + \frac{7}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}})\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+7}
\]

For $k = \frac{15}{2}$, let
\[
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{15}{2}} = \psi(\lambda + 4, t_{\lambda+4})\alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda+4}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] + \alpha(\lambda + \frac{7}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}})\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{15}{2}}
\]

For $k = 8$, let
\[
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+8} = \alpha(\lambda + 4, t_{\lambda+4})\alpha(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{1,\lambda+4}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] + \alpha(\lambda + \frac{7}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2}})\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] + \psi(\lambda + \frac{9}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}})\psi(\lambda, t_{\lambda})[\mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda+\frac{9}{2}}, \mathcal{J}_{\frac{9}{2}}^{-1,\lambda}] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+8}
\]
For $k = \frac{17}{2}$, let

$$
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{17}{2}} = \nu(\lambda + 4, t_{\lambda+4}) \alpha(\lambda, t_\lambda) \left[ 3_1^{\lambda+4}, 3_2^{1,\lambda} \right] + \alpha(\lambda + \frac{9}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}}) \nu(\lambda, t_\lambda) \left[ 3_5^{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}}, 3_4^{1,\lambda} \right] 
$$

$\mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+\frac{17}{2}}$

For $k = 9$, let

$$
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+9} = \nu(\lambda + \frac{9}{2}, t_{\lambda+\frac{9}{2}}) \nu(\lambda, t_\lambda) \left[ 3_1^{\lambda+9}, 3_2^{1,\lambda} \right] : \mathcal{K}(1) \times \mathcal{K}(1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+9}
$$

Necessary conditions for the integrability of the infinitesimal deformation are that the differential operators $\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G,H)$ for $k \in \{7, \frac{15}{2}, \ldots, 9\}$ must be coboundary. But differential operators $\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+k}(G,H)$ are $\text{osp}(1|2)$-invariant, then they must be boundaries of supertransvectants, so they satisfy

$$
\Omega_{\lambda,\lambda+k} = A_k(\lambda, t_\lambda) \delta(3_{k+1}^{1,\lambda}).
$$

A straightforward computation shows that $A_k(\lambda, t_\lambda)$ must be zero.

b) The conditions of integrability are sufficient

The solution $\mathfrak{L}^{(m)}$ of the Maurer-Cartan equation is defined up to a 1-cocycle and it has been shown in [2, 5] that different choices of solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation correspond to equivalent deformations. Thus, we can always reduce $\mathfrak{L}^{(3)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{(4)}$ to zero by equivalence. Then, by recurrence, the terms $\mathfrak{L}^{(m)}$, for $m \geq 4$, satisfy the equation $\delta(\mathfrak{L}^{(m)}) = 0$ and can also be reduced to the identically zero map. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

6. An open problem

It seems to be an interesting open problem to compute the full cohomology ring $H^*_\text{diff}(\mathcal{K}(1); \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda,\lambda+k})$. The only complete result here concerns the first cohomology space. Proposition 6 provides a lower bound for the dimension of the second cohomology space. We formulate

**Conjecture 8.** The space of second cohomology of $\mathcal{K}(1)$ with coefficients in the superspace $\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ has the following structure:

$$
H^2_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{K}(1), \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda,\mu}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 3/2 \text{ and } \lambda \neq -1/2, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda = 5/2 \text{ and } \lambda \neq -1, \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda \in \{2, 3, 5\} \text{ for all } \lambda, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

7. Examples

We study deformations of $\mathcal{K}(1)$-modules $\tilde{S}_{\lambda+n}^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for arbitrary generic $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Example. 1.** Let us consider the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-modules $\tilde{S}_\lambda^0$ and $\tilde{S}_{\lambda+1}^1$. 
Proposition 9. Every deformation of $\mathcal{K}(1)$-modules $\tilde{S}_\lambda^0$ and $\tilde{S}_\lambda^1$ is equivalent to infinitesimal one.

Proof. : Let us consider the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module $\tilde{S}_\lambda^0$. Any infinitesimal deformation is given by:

$$\tilde{L}_{v_F} = \mathcal{L}_{v_F} + \mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{v_F}$ is the Lie derivative of $\tilde{S}_\lambda^0$ along the vector field $v_F$ defined by (3), and

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)} = t_{\lambda,\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda},$$

(34)

$$\partial(\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(2)}) = t_{\lambda,\lambda}^2 \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda}$$

but, by a direct computation, we show that $[\gamma_{\lambda,\lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda,\lambda}] = 0$ for all $\lambda$, then $\partial(\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(2)}) = 0$ and for consequence $\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(2)} = 0$.

Now, let us consider the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module $\tilde{S}_\lambda^1$. Any infinitesimal deformation is given by:

$$\tilde{L}_{v_F} = \mathcal{L}_{v_F} + \mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{v_F}$ is the Lie derivative of $\tilde{S}_\lambda^1$ along the vector field $v_F$ defined by (3), and

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \{1, 2\}} t_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j} \gamma_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j}.$$

(37)

By the same arguments, we show in this case that $\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = 0$, then the deformation is infinitesimal. \qed

Example. 2. Consider the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module $\tilde{S}_{\lambda+3}^3$. In this case,

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda+3}^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \tilde{S}_{(\lambda+3)-\frac{k}{2}}.$$ 

For $\lambda \neq -2$, the deformation of this $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module is of degree 1, given by:

$$\tilde{L}_{v_F} = \mathcal{L}_{v_F} + \mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{v_F}$ is the Lie derivative of $\tilde{S}_{\lambda+3}^3$ along the vector field $v_F$ defined by (3), $\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)}$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_F}^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 3\}} t_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j} \gamma_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j} + t_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\lambda+3} \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\lambda+3},$$

$$\partial(\mathcal{L}^{(2)}) = t_{\lambda+3,\lambda+3} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+3} [\gamma_{\lambda+3,\lambda+3}, \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+3}]$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = 0.$$ 

The conditions of integrability are:

$$t_{\lambda+3,\lambda+3} t_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2},\lambda+3} = 0$$

(38)
where $\lambda + \frac{2}{5} \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ i.e. $\lambda \neq -2$.

Let, in this case (i.e. $\lambda \neq -2$), $\mathcal{A}$ be the supercommutative associative superalgebra defined by the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[t\lambda_3,\lambda_3, t\lambda_3\lambda_3]$ by the ideal $\mathcal{R}$ generated by equation (38). Then, we speak about a deformation with base $\mathcal{A}$.

For $\lambda = -2$, one has $\partial(\mathcal{L}^{(2)}) = 0$ then the deformation of this $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module is equivalent to infinitesimal one.

**Example. 4.** Consider the $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module $\tilde{S}^{4}_{\lambda+4}$. In this case the deformation of this $\mathcal{K}(1)$-module has the form:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\nu^P} = \mathcal{L}_{\nu^P} + \mathcal{L}_{\nu^P}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\nu^P}^{(2)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu^P}^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \{2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{7}{2}, 4\}} t_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j} \gamma_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j} + \sum_{j \in \{2, \frac{5}{2}\}} t_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j+\frac{4}{5}+j} \gamma_{\lambda+j,\lambda+j+\frac{4}{5}+j}$$

$$+ t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} \gamma_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4}.$$

$$\partial(\mathcal{L}^{(2)}) = t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\gamma_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\frac{7}{2}}, \gamma_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\frac{7}{2}}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{2}{2}}}, \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{5}{2},\lambda+\frac{2}{2}}}$$

$$+ t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}}, \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{7}{2},\lambda+\frac{7}{2}}} + t_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\frac{7}{2}+\gamma_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}}, \gamma_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$+ t_{\lambda+4,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4+\gamma_{\lambda+4,\lambda+4}}, \gamma_{\lambda+4,\lambda+4}} + t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4} t_{\lambda+2,\lambda+\gamma_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4}}, \gamma_{\lambda+2,\lambda+4}}$$

The conditions of integrability are:

$$t_{\mu,\mu+\frac{4}{5}+t_{\mu,\mu+\frac{4}{5}+t_{\mu,\mu+\frac{4}{5}} = 0 \text{ where } \mu \in \{\lambda + 2, \lambda + \frac{5}{2}\} \text{ and } \mu \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \mu \neq -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$t_{\mu,\mu+2} t_{\mu,\mu+2} = 0 \text{ where } \mu \in \{\lambda + 2\}.$$
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