Identification of $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ in the bulk of copper samples with a low-background alpha particle counter
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Abstract

We established a method to assay $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ contaminations in the bulk of copper samples using a low-background alpha particle counter. The achieved sensitivity for the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ contaminations reaches a few mBq/kg. Due to this high sensitivity, the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ contaminations in oxygen free copper bulk were identified and measured for the first time. The $^{210}\text{Po}$ contaminations of our oxygen free copper samples were 17–40 mBq/kg. Based on our investigation of copper samples in each production step, the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ in oxygen free copper was understood to be a small residual of an electrolysis process. This method to measure bulk contaminations of $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ could be applied to other materials.

1. Introduction

In recent low-background experiments performed underground and aiming for dark matter or neutrinoless double beta decay detection, radio-purity of the detector materials is a critical issue. Oxygen free copper (OFC) is readily available commercial material of low radio-isotope (RI) content. Thus it is used in experiments such as XMASS [1], and CUORE [2]. In order to investigate RI in the uranium and thorium chains, $^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{232}\text{Th}$ contaminations are usually measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry or Glow Discharge Mass Spectrometry (GD-MS) with high precision. However, if the radioactive equilibrium is broken, inferred $^{226}\text{Ra}$ or $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contaminations will be different. With a high purity germanium detector (HPGe), $^{226}\text{Ra}$ can be measured down to a few tens of mBq/kg. However, the sensitivity for $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination is limited to around 100 mBq/kg. Moreover, HPGe cannot distinguish surface from bulk contamination. Since the requirements for RI contamination are becoming more stringent, we developed a better way to measure the contamination of $^{210}\text{Pb}$ in copper with a low-background alpha particle counter. By measuring the time evolution of event rate of the 5.30 MeV alpha rays from $^{210}\text{Po}$ decay with an alpha particle counter, the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination can be determined.
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because the $^{210}$Po half-life is 138.4 days and much shorter than that of the parent isotope, $^{210}$Pb.

In this paper, we report that we measured $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po in OFC using this method and found their contamination for the first time. Also we observed that the $^{210}$Pb contamination decreases only after electrolysis process among copper refinement steps is applied. We describe details of the method in Section 2 and the result of measurements in Section 3.

2. Methodology

2.1. Measurement of $^{210}$Po under low background condition

A low-background alpha particle counter is commonly used to measure the surface RI contamination. But it is also possible to measure bulk radioactive contamination [3]. In the alpha particle counter, energy deposition of an alpha ray emerging from the material surface is measured. Fig. 1 shows the energy distribution of 5.30 MeV alpha rays generated uniformly in copper bulk obtained from a Geant4 [4] Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. The distribution is continuous because alpha rays emerging from the bulk lose part of their energy before reaching the surface. The contribution of bulk $^{210}$Po alpha ray mostly comes from within 10 µm from the surface of the copper sample.

To measure bulk contamination, the following conditions are important: (C1) Background from the alpha particle counter itself should be small. (C2) Radioactive contamination on the sample surface should be minimized. (C3) The surface roughness of samples should be much smaller than the range of alpha rays in copper. (C4) Alpha rays from radioactive nuclei other than $^{210}$Po should be negligible. To keep these conditions, we performed the following procedures.

In order to satisfy the condition (C1), we used the alpha particle counter, an Ultra-Lo1800 made by XIA LLC [5]. The Ultra-Lo1800 measures the induced charge from ions and electrons created by an alpha ray. Background from the outside is vetoed by a surrounding veto counter. The measured area of samples should be 30 cm diameter circle or 42.5 cm square. All the measurements in this paper were performed using the 30 cm diameter circle mode. The alpha particle counter was installed underground at Kamioka Satellite of the Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, the University of Tokyo, so that the background generated by cosmogenics is negligible. Argon gas is fed from a liquid argon bottle. In order to reduce the background from emanating $^{222}$Rn and $^{228}$Rn, the gas line connections are all made from electro-polished (EP) stainless steel. Most of the background comes from $^{222}$Rn and $^{228}$Rn emanating from detector materials or the upstream piping and a liquid argon bottle. After the sample is set in the alpha particle counter, argon gas is purged for 90 min to lower the humidity below $<50$ ppm and also to flush out the $^{222}$Rn and $^{228}$Rn. We do not use the data of the first day, because it still contains $^{222}$Rn and $^{228}$Rn backgrounds and also the detector conditions such as humidity become stable after that. Moreover, we do not use the data within one day before the liquid argon bottle runs empty because the energy scale of the detector changes as the bottle empties.

To satisfy the condition (C2), the alpha particle counter was installed in a class 1000 clean room in order to minimize dust contamination during sample exchange. We also kept the samples in an ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer bag not to accumulate radon daughters on the sample surface during storage and we assume that any residual accumulation is negligible. To minimize the residual surface contamination [6] and to make the surface flat, all copper samples were electro-polished. By the EP process, the surface becomes smooth with an average roughness of much less than 1 µm, that we verified with a laser microscope. Therefore the surface roughness is much smaller than alpha ray range in copper, 10 µm. So the resulting roughness does not affect the alpha counter measurement, satisfying condition (C3).

In order to confirm the condition (C4), we measured $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th bulk contamination in the copper samples (coarse copper, bare copper, OFC, 6N copper samples shown in Section 3) by GD-MS. Including the coarse copper which is the lowest purity sample, we found that all the samples are less than detection limits of the GD-MS, 100 ppt, which corresponds to 1.2 mBq/kg and 0.4 mBq/kg in $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th, respectively. The values are the same order of the alpha particle counter background so that the contributions from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th in the copper samples are negligible. Also we checked $^{226}$Ra bulk contamination of the coarse copper sample by HPGe. No significant signal was found and the upper limit was obtained to be 3.0 mBq/kg. The $^{226}$Ra contribution is negligible.

2.2. Data analysis

The alpha counter provides energy ($E$), $t_0$, and $t_\mu$. Here, $t_0$ is the time when the signal starts to rise and $t_\mu$ is the time when the signal reaches its maximum height. Therefore, $t_\mu - t_0$ is a proxy for the time electrons drift from the sample surface to the electrode. Details are shown in [5].

Energy calibration data are routinely taken using 5.30 MeV alpha ray from the $^{210}$Po decay of a surface alpha-ray source (10 cm by 10 cm square copper plate) which is located at the center of the sample tray. Fig. 2 shows an example of the energy distribution of 5.30 MeV alpha ray from the $^{210}$Po decay. Using the data taken just before the sample measurements, the energy is corrected by fitting the peak. The energy resolution is estimated to be 4.7% (1σ) at 5.30 MeV. In order to check the stability of the energy, the $^{210}$Po 5.30 MeV peak is continuously monitored. The observed stability is within 1% as shown in Fig. 3.

Data selection criteria are: (S1) An event is registered, (S2) No veto signal is observed, (S3) $60 \mu s < t_\mu - t_0$, and (S4) $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV. Fig. 4 compares data from a coarse copper sample provided by Mitsubishi Materials Corporation (MMC) for our study and the respective simulation. Coarse copper is the quality of copper before the electrolys process and its purity is about 99%. Alpha rays from the bulk have a continuous energy distribution. As shown in Fig. 4, the alpha rays from the sample surface are clustered around $t_\mu - t_0 = 70 \mu s$. Criterion (S3) selects events happening at the sample surface, not in the gas surrounding sample.

We only use the data where the energy is larger than 2.5 MeV because the efficiency below this energy is affected by the trigger threshold effects as evident in Fig. 4. Events around 5.30 MeV may contain residual surface $^{210}$Po alpha-ray events. Therefore events in $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV are used to estimate the $^{210}$Po bulk contamination. The contribution in $E < 4.8$ MeV from surface $^{210}$Po alpha rays is estimated to be less than 10%, based on the calibration sample study. Energy distribution of the surface $^{210}$Po simulation is sharper than that
of the calibration data shown in Fig. 4. It is because the simulation assumed the contamination was evenly distributed over the entire surface, though the calibration sample is smaller (10 cm by 10 cm) and located at the center of the sample tray. Energy resolution at the outer boundary of the sample area is not as good as that in the central area, that is taken into account in the simulation. Because threshold of the data acquisition is not implemented in the MC, the data at energies < 2.5 MeV does not match the MC. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

We use the emissivity in $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV to estimate the $^{210}$Po contamination in the copper bulk. Emissivity is the efficiency corrected alpha-ray event rate from a unit area. From the MC simulation, the conversion factor from the emissivity in $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV to $^{210}$Po contamination for copper is obtained as $2.7 \times 10^2$ (Bq/kg)/(alpha/cm$^2$/hr).

The observed background level in our setup before applying criterion (S4) is about $10^{-4}$ alpha/cm$^2$/hr. The remaining background comes from the decay of daughter nuclei of $^{222}$Rn and $^{222}$Rn (down to $^{210}$Pb) to the surface of the samples. The energies of the dominant alpha rays from these daughters are 6.78 MeV from $^{216}$Po, 6.05 MeV from $^{212}$Bi, 8.79 MeV from $^{210}$Po, 6.00 MeV from $^{210}$Po, and 7.69 MeV from $^{214}$Po. The lowest energy of alpha ray among these daughter nuclei is 6.00 MeV from the $^{218}$Po decay. Thus, most of background can be removed by selecting low-energy events and the background for the bulk $^{210}$Po measurement is reduced to be $< 10^{-6}$ alpha/cm$^2$/hr.

The remaining background from the alpha particle counter was estimated by measuring a clean silicon wafer.Simply measuring the emissivity of an empty stainless steel sample tray is not relevant as any sample put on the tray prevents that background from reaching the alpha particle counter. A silicon wafer is one of the cleanest among our measured sample. Assuming that it has no bulk contribution in itself we use this measurement to extract a maximal contribution from the detector to the $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV region. The measured emissivity of the silicon wafer in $2.5 < E < 4.8$ MeV is $(5.6 \pm 5.6) \times 10^{-6}$ alpha/cm$^2$/hr, which would correspond to a 1.5 mBq/kg $^{210}$Po contamination using the MC derived copper bulk conversion factor.

To determine the $^{210}$Pb contamination, we measure $^{210}$Po several times over different periods because the $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po decay equilibrium may have been previously broken. The evolution of the $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po contaminations is described as the following equations:

\[
N_0(t) = N_0(0) \exp(-t / \tau_0)
\]

\[
N_1(t) = N_1(0) \left( \frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right) \left( \exp(-t / \tau_0) - \exp(-t / \tau_1) \right) + N_1(0) \exp(-t / \tau_1).
\]

where $\tau_0$ and $\tau_1$ are the life times of $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po, and they are 32.17 years and 0.55 years, respectively. $N_0(t)$ and $N_1(t)$ are the $^{210}$Pb contamination.
and $^{210}$Po contaminations at time $t$, respectively. $N_i(t)$ is obtained from the emissivity measurement after background subtraction. $t = 0$ is the time when the copper samples were delivered. By fitting the data with the equations above, $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po contaminations are obtained. Fig. 5 shows the time evolution to the equilibrium of the $^{210}$Po contamination in the coarse copper bulk together with the resulting fit. The $^{210}$Pb contamination in coarse copper bulk is estimated to be $57 \pm 1$ Bq/kg. To check the validity of the conversion factor, the $^{210}$Pb contamination in coarse copper is investigated with HPGe. The measured contamination is $55.6 \pm 1.5$ (stat.)$+16.7-5.6$ (sys.) Bq/kg, which is consistent with our alpha particle counter measurement. The dominant systematic uncertainty of the conversion factor comes from the uncertainty of the HPGe measurement and is estimated to be $\pm 30\%$–10\%.

3. Copper sample measurements

Based on the methodology detailed in the previous section, we measure the $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po contaminations in various copper samples.

3.1. Oxygen free copper samples

We investigate different OFC (Japanese Industrial Standards (JIS) H2123 C1020) batches as well as OFC samples made by different companies. We purchased plates that were processed by rolling. All plates were electro-polished taking off about 20 μm of the material surface. Fig. 6 shows the time evolution of the $^{210}$Po contaminations in the bulk for the measured samples. Four samples were made by MMC and the other two by another company, SH copper products. As a result of the fitting, the $^{210}$Po contaminations in all the samples are estimated to be within 17–40 mBq/kg, though $^{210}$Pb contaminations vary widely as summarized in Table 1. This is the first identification of a $^{210}$Pb contamination in OFC. Although OFC is known as a clean material, we found that our samples contain a few tens of mBq/kg of $^{210}$Pb. The variation of the $^{210}$Pb contaminations among the OFC samples is small. We also investigated the highest grade of OFC, class 1 according to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) B170 C10100 standard. It was made by SH copper products and the $^{210}$Pb contamination we measured was $36 \pm 13$ mBq/kg. Thus we do not see a significant difference on the $^{210}$Pb contamination between the different OFC grades.

3.2. Copper samples from different steps in the production process

In order to investigate the origin of the $^{210}$Pb contamination in OFC, we measured copper samples under refining, namely, coarse copper, bare copper, and OFC (JIS H2123 C1020). These were all made and provided by MMC for this study. The result of the coarse copper measurement was already shown in Section 2. Bare copper is made from coarse copper by electrolysis. OFC is then made from bare copper by removing the oxygen contamination as summarized in Fig. 7. The samples were all formed by cutting and milling, but not by rolling in order to minimize possible $^{210}$Pb accumulation from roller. To remove the contribution from surface activity, we removed about 50 μm surface materials by EP with fresh electrolysis solution in a clean room. Each sample was measured more than three times to investigate time evolution of $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po decays. Fig. 8 shows the time evolution of $^{210}$Pb contaminations of the samples. The fit results including other samples are summarized in Table 1. Coarse copper has the highest $^{210}$Pb contamination. The $^{210}$Pb contamination of the samples that underwent the electrolysis process, bare copper and OFC, are more than three orders of magnitude lower than that of coarse copper. The equilibrium between $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po decays is largely broken. In the coarse copper sample, the $^{210}$Pb contamination is higher than $^{210}$Po contamination. On the other hand, bare copper and OFC have a higher $^{210}$Po contamination than $^{210}$Pb contamination. These results indicate most of the $^{210}$Pb is removed in the electrolysis process but the reduction ratio for the daughter isotopes, $^{210}$Bi or $^{210}$Po is smaller than that of $^{210}$Pb. The $^{210}$Pb contamination in OFC that was not rolled is consistent with that of the rolled OFC samples shown in Fig. 6.

3.3. Very low $^{210}$Pb contamination copper

To find the copper least contaminated with $^{210}$Pb, we investigated 6N (> 99,999% purity) copper made by MMC. 6N copper is made from bare copper. The main process to make 6N copper is an additional electrolysis in a clean environment. The number of $^{210}$Po events in the 6N copper sample is only one or two events over two weeks measurement. This event rate from the 6N copper sample is consistent with the background observed in the silicon wafer samples. This implies that the copper is as pure as the silicon wafer or that both materials
purity exceed the sensitivity capabilities of the instruments. Therefore we can only derive an upper limit for the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination in 6N copper. The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Po}$ contamination limits at 90% confidence level (CL) are estimated to be $< 4.1$ mBq/kg and $< 4.8$ mBq/kg, respectively. The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination of 6N copper is much smaller than those of OFC. According to the GD-MS measurement, one of the stable lead isotope, $^{208}\text{Pb}$ contaminations in coarse copper, bare copper, OFC, and 6N copper are 2200 ppm, 0.19 ppm, 0.98 ppm, and 0.002 ppm, respectively. The $^{208}\text{Pb}$ contamination ratio of coarse copper, bare copper, and OFC are similar to that of our $^{210}\text{Pb}$ measurements. The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination in 6N copper is expected to be 0.05 mBq/kg if we assume that the ratio between $^{208}\text{Pb}$ and $^{210}\text{Pb}$ is common among these copper samples. To directly measure such a low level contamination, further background reduction and longer duration measurements are required.

Another very low $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination sample was electroformed copper. The electroformed copper we measured was accumulated on a stainless steel base about 500 $\mu$m in thickness. The input material was copper phosphate. After machining the accumulated copper to make flat surface, EP is applied to remove about 50 $\mu$m of materials. The observed number of alpha-ray events was consistent with the background expectation. The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination limit at 90% CL in the electroformed copper bulk is estimated to be $< 5.3$ mBq/kg.
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**Table 1**

| Sample                      | $^{210}\text{Pb}$ contamination (mBq/kg) | $^{210}\text{Po}$ contamination (mBq/kg) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| OFC#1 (C1020) (MMC)        | 40 ± 8                                  | 47 ± 21                                 |
| OFC#2 (C1020) (MMC)        | 20 ± 6                                  | 33 ± 14                                 |
| OFC#3 (C1020) (MMC)        | 27 ± 7                                  | (1.6 ± 0.3)$\times 10^2$                 |
| OFC#4 (C1020) (MMC)        | 23 ± 8                                  | (2.2 ± 0.4)$\times 10^2$                 |
| OFC#5 (C1020) (SH copper products) | 17 ± 6                                | 44 ± 18                                 |
| OFC#6 (C1020) (SH copper products) | 27 ± 8                                | 24 ± 17                                 |
| OFC (class1) (SH copper products) | 36 ± 13                                | 38 ± 3                                  |
| Coarse copper (MMC)        | (57 ± 1)$\times 10^3$                   | (16 ± 2)$\times 10^3$                    |
| Bare copper (MMC)          | 8.4 ± 0.4                               | (1.1 ± 0.2)$\times 10^2$                 |
| OFC (MMC)                  | 23 ± 8                                  | (1.3 ± 0.3)$\times 10^2$                 |
| 6N copper (MMC)            | $< 4.1$                                 | $< 4.8$                                 |
| Electroformed copper (Asahi-Kinzoku) | $< 5.3$                               | $< 18$                                  |

---

**Fig. 8.** Time evolution of the $^{210}\text{Po}$ contamination in coarse copper, bare copper, and OFC. Error bars show the statistical error. The samples were delivered on Oct. 5th, 2015.