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ABSTRACT

The majority of offshore wind turbines are founded on large-diameter, open-ended steel monopiles. Monopiles must resist lateral loads and overturning moments because of environmental (wind and wave) actions, whereas vertical loads tend to be comparatively small. Recent developments in turbine sizes and increases in hub heights have resulted in pile diameters increasing rapidly, whereas the embedment length to diameter ratio \( (L/D) \) is reducing. Soil erosion around piles, termed scour, changes the soil strength and stiffness properties and affects the system’s load resistance characteristics. In practice, design scour depths of up to 1.3\( D \) are routinely assumed during the turbine lifetime; however, the impact on monopiles with low \( L/D \) is not yet fully understood. In this article, centrifuge tests are performed to assess the effect of scour on the performance of piles with low \( L/D \). In particular, the effect of combined loads, scour type (global, local), and depth are considered. A loading system is developed that enables application of realistic load eccentricity and combined vertical, horizontal, and moment loading at the seabed level. An instrumented 1.8-m-diameter pile with \( L/D = 5 \) is used. A friction-reducing ball-type connection is designed to transfer lateral loads to the pile without inducing any rotational pile-head constraint, which is associated with loading rigs in tests of this nature. Results suggest that vertical and lateral load interaction is minimal. Scour has a significant impact on the lateral load-bearing capacity and stiffness of the pile, leads to increases in bending moment magnitude along the pile shaft, and lowers the location of peak pile bending moment. The response varies with scour type, with global scour resulting in larger moments than local scour. The size of the local scour hole is found to have a significant impact on the pile response, suggesting that scour hole width should be explicitly considered in design.
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Introduction

The majority of offshore wind turbines (OWTs) constructed to date are founded on monopile foundations. Developments in construction methods and improved design procedures (Byrne et al. 2015a, 2018) have resulted in a gain in market share for monopiles from approximately 75% of OWT foundations in 2012 (Doherty and Gavin 2012) to more than 87% in 2017 (Wind Europe 2017). Monopiles are an efficient, cost effective, and proven technology to resist the large lateral loads and moments that are due to wind and wave actions, and thus provide a low-risk solution for offshore developers. Although all limit states are important, monopiles have strict serviceability requirements, e.g., total rotation at seabed level typically must remain less than 0.5° (Arany et al. 2017; Prendergast, Reale, and Gavin 2018).

When structures are founded in water, there is potential for erosion of the supporting soils, a mechanism known as scour. Interested readers are referred to Wang, Yu, and Liang (2017) for a comprehensive review on scour in the context of bridges. For the present application, scour erosion around unprotected offshore piles changes the support conditions and can pose a significant problem (Sørensen and Ibsen 2013; Prendergast, Gavin, and Doherty 2015). Scour increases the free cantilevered length of monopiles, leads to a reduction in the soil’s effective stress, reducing the strength and stiffness of the remaining soil, and can thus compromise the serviceability and safety of structures (Hoffmans and Verheij 1997; Sumer and Fredsøe 2002). There are two main types of scour relevant to offshore structures, see figure 1: (i) ‘global scour,’ where the elevation of the soil surface is reduced globally because of natural sea bed migration or the presence of a whole wind farm interfering with the global flow regime, and (ii) ‘local scour,’ where the disturbed flow directly local to a pile structure leads to the formation of a conical scour pit around the foundation (Mostafa 2012). Global scour that is due to storm surge events has been reported in the literature (Robertson et al. 2007), with resulting scour depths of the order of 1D (D = pile outer diameter). The typical range for local scour depth, $D_s$, considered in design is 1.3D. It is noteworthy that in the marine environment, scour occurs because of the combination of tides, currents, and waves, which can make the estimation of scour depths very difficult (Prendergast, Gavin, and Doherty 2015; Negro et al. 2014). Because monopiles have slenderness ratios (pile penetration normalized by pile diameter, embedment length to diameter ratio $[L/D]$) of < 6, scour can have a major impact on the stiffness and capacity of these systems. Some previous works that have investigated the effect of scour on the lateral resistance characteristics of piles are discussed herein.

Bennett et al. (2009) examined the effect of scour and pile head boundary conditions on the lateral deflections of a pile group, used as the foundation for a bridge pier. The lateral behavior was examined using

**FIG. 1**
Schematic of local and global scour. $w_b =$ bottom width of scour hole; $w_t =$ top width of scour hole; $\alpha =$ scour slope angle; $L =$ original embedment length; $D =$ diameter; $D_s =$ scour depth.
the Group Equivalent Pile method. Results showed that scour reduces the lateral capacity, which is especially
significant when the scour depth exceeded the depth of the pile cap. Moreover, scour altered the influence
depths of deflection, bending moment and shear, by lowering the point at which the maximum value of these
parameters occurred. Lin et al. (2010) investigated the influence of the change in stress history of sand because
of scour on the lateral behavior of piles by modifying soil reaction–lateral displacement (p-y) curves. They
recognized that most scour analyses simply remove upper layers of soil to simulate scour with no change
in the properties of the remaining soil, and this ignores the fact that the remaining soil will have experienced
different stress histories before and after scour. By comparing calculated results from the modified sand p-y
curves against a referenced field test, they concluded that the change in the overconsolidation ratio because of
scour had the most significant influence on the sand lateral resistance properties, and leads to higher resistance
in the remaining sand. Ignoring this additional effect is conservative. A subsequent study by the same authors
in Lin et al. (2016) presents a simplified method for the analysis of laterally loaded piles in soft clay using
modified p-y curves to account for scour hole dimensions (scour depth, scour width, scour-hole slope angle).
By comparing the results of their model with a 3D finite difference model (developed using FLAC3D), it was
observed that ignoring the scour hole dimensions could result in 10–19 % larger lateral displacement at the pile
head with bending moments being 6–8 % larger, as compared to the case in which these are explicitly con-
sidered. Zhang, Chen, and Liang (2017) also recognized that soil stress history changes are typically ignored
along with whole scour-hole geometry. They examined the behavior of laterally loaded long-slender piles
(L/D ≈ 40) in soft clay under scoured conditions by using modified p-y curves to reflect the effects of three-dimensional scour-hole geometry (depth, width, and slope angle) as well as the stress history of the soil.
The results indicated that neglecting the effect of soil stress history can be unconservative for pile foundations
in soft clay affected by scour. Furthermore, neglecting the scour-hole geometry is overconservative for design of
laterally loaded piles under scour. For the purpose of design, the scour effect on the pile lateral behavior may be
characterized in terms of scour depth and the stress history of the soil. Further work by the same authors
extended this approach to investigate the influence of vertical loads on the lateral responses of scoured piles,
taking soil stress history and scour hole geometry into consideration (Liang, Zhang, and Chen 2018). Mostafa
(2012) investigated the influence of scour type (local and global) on the lateral response of piles in both cohesive
and cohesionless soils using numerical modeling. The study concluded that scour had a more deleterious effect
on piles installed in sand than in clay. In sand, scour depths ranging between 1D and 3D resulted in lateral pile
head displacement increases of 37 to 155 %, as compared to the no scour condition. Moreover, global scour
caused large increases in bending moments with the result that scour had a more significant impact for piles
subjected to large lateral loads because of nonlinear pile-soil interaction effects. Qi et al. (2016) investigated the
effect of scour type (local and global) on p-y curves of piles for OWTs in sand using centrifuge testing.
They found that, under global scour, the p-y curves in the remaining overconsolidated soil showed no obvious
difference to those in the original normally consolidated soil. This finding is contradictory to the hypothesis
in Lin et al. (2010). Furthermore, under local scour, they found that the remaining overburden provided a
beneficial response in that the lateral soil stiffness at a given depth below the scour hole base was greater than
at the same relative depth below the original mudline. The tests were performed using relatively flexible piles
with a slenderness ratio between 9.5 and 12.5.

To date, there has been considerable research undertaken on the effect of scour on the lateral response
characteristics of piles using numerical modeling or scaled laboratory testing. Limited research has been under-
taken, however, on the effect of scour depth and type on laterally loaded piles considering combined vertical,
lateral, and moment loading at the seabed level using centrifuge testing, particularly for the piles with low slenderness
ratios typically used for offshore wind developments. Moreover, the influence of local scour hole size has not
received significant attention in physical modeling. This article presents the development of a centrifuge-based
model specially designed to study the effect of scour on laterally loaded monopiles with low slenderness ratios.
The challenge lies with the application of lateral loads and moments in a centrifuge while minimizing the
constraint on the pile head fixity (i.e., the pile head should be free to rotate as per an offshore monopile).
Moreover, the presence of vertical dead loading representing the self-weight of an OWT should be considered. This article details the development of the testing arrangement in the Geo-Engineering laboratory at Delft University of Technology (TU Delft) and investigates lateral load-displacement responses and derived bending moment distributions of an instrumented pile under global scour and two types of local scour. The two types of local scour, termed narrow and wide in this article, refer to the bottom width of the scour hole, where $W_b = 0$ for narrow scour, and $W_b = D$ for wide scour (see Fig. 1).

Centrifuge Modeling of Scoured Piles under Monotonic Lateral Loading

**CENTRIFUGE FACILITY**

The TU Delft centrifuge (see Fig. 2) is a 2-m-diameter beam-type apparatus (Allersma 1994). Centrifuge tests are performed on models that are geometrically $N$-times smaller than a prototype. The geo-centrifuge provides a unique environment of enhanced gravitational acceleration ($N_g$), where the expected behavior of a full-scale geotechnical structure can be observed, with high precision, using small-scale models. The centrifuge at TU Delft enables models with dimensions up to 300 by 400 by 450 mm to be tested up to a maximum of 300 times the gravitational acceleration (300g). Although this is possible, for practical reasons related to the operation of the data logging equipment, samples are typically tested at a limiting gravitation acceleration of 100g.

For simulating geotechnical structures using a centrifuge, scaling laws must be considered. Table 1 provides a summary of typical scaling laws for modeling of pile-type structures. In this table, $N$ refers to the gravitational acceleration field adopted in a given test.

**FIG. 2** Schematic layout of the geo-centrifuge at TU Delft.

**TABLE 1**

| Term [Dimension]     | Prototype | Model  |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Length (pile diameter, length) $[L]$ | 1         | $1/N$  |
| Second moment of area $[L^4]$          | 1         | $1/N^4$ |
| Flexural stiffness $[ML^3/T^2]$        | 1         | $1/N^4$ |
| Mass $[M]$              | 1         | $1/N^3$ |
| Force $[ML/T]$          | 1         | $1/N^3$ |
| Stress $[M/(LT^2)]$     | 1         | 1      |
| Strain $[-]$            | 1         | 1      |
MODEL PILE
The model pile is an open-ended cylindrical aluminum tube with an outer diameter \((D)\) of 18 mm (see fig. 3). The pile diameter is selected so as to minimize boundary effects associated with the strong box, which houses the pile, and also to satisfy constraints associated with the mean grain particle size (see the section “Soil Preparation and Characterization”). The strong box is fabricated from bolted plexiglas with dimensions 410 (length) by 150 (width) by 180 (height) mm\(^3\) (see figs. 4 and 5). The pile has a total length of 240 mm and is embedded 90 mm into the sand. The embedded depth is chosen so as to model a pile with slenderness ratio \((L/D)\) between 4–6 (Sørensen and Ibsen 2013; Doherty and Gavin 2012; LeBlanc, Houlsby, and Byrne 2010), within the typical range for offshore monopiles. A pile with embedded length of 90 mm equates to a slenderness ratio of 5. The wall thickness of the model pile is derived based on the calculations for minimum wall thickness for monopiles (API 2007; Arany et al. 2017). Using the similitude between the flexural rigidity \((EI)\) of the prototype and the model (Table 1), the wall thickness is calculated to be 1 mm at model scale. Byrne et al. (2015b) have produced a database of piles, and they present the results of pile diameters normalized by pile wall thickness. For monopiles with \(L/D = 5\), the value of \(D/t\) varied from 39 to 80. In the present analysis, the \(D/t\) value for the steel prototype pile is 60, which is within the expected range.

The pile was installed for each test by jacking in place at 1\(g\) prior to initiating the centrifuge. It should be noted that installing the model pile at 1\(g\) equates to an idealized ‘wished-in-place’ treatment, and it does not consider the potential residual base stresses that might be developed if driven while the centrifuge is in-flight. These residual stresses may lead to additional base moments on the piles (Murphy et al. 2018), which may alter the response characteristics. Moreover, installation of the pile at 1\(g\) results in shearing along the shaft at lower confining stresses compared to in-flight installation. This condition promotes dilative behavior of the sand at the shear band. However, because medium dense sand with a relative density \((D_r)\) of 53 % is used in this study, significant dilative behavior is not expected. Furthermore, driving the pile at \(Ng\) would require stopping of

FIG. 3
Model pile schematic diagram with strain gage layout (dimensions in mm).
the centrifuge to adjust the loading rig for the subsequent lateral load application, which would add uncertainty surrounding the influence of the sample stress history on the results obtained. This article ignores the influence of installation effects.

All load tests were performed at 100\( g \); therefore, the model pile properties correspond to a 1.8-m-diameter rigid structure with a wall thickness of 30 mm, an embedment of 9 m, and a total length of 24 m at the prototype scale. The primary dimensions and material properties of the pile are provided in Table 2.

Ten strain-gage pairs are installed along the pile shaft to enable derivation of the distribution of bending moments due to the applied loading. The strain gages used are FLA-3-11 fabricated by Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo (Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo 2018). Of these 10 gages, 7 may be logged simultaneously during testing because of a limitation in the available channels of the data acquisition system. In each analysis case conducted, the most appropriate seven gages are used. The load from the superstructure is incorporated by way of adding steel blocks with equivalent (prototype) weight of 3MN to the pile top. The masses were fabricated from quadrate steel with an outer length dimension of 50 mm and a thickness of 12 mm. In the center of each mass is a circular hole with a diameter of 18 mm to allow the masses to be fixed on the pile body (see fig. 3).

**LOADING SYSTEM FOR PILE MODEL**

The tests in this study were performed at 100g to model a rigid monopile with a diameter of 1.8 m (at prototype scale) and \( L/D \) of 5. A two-dimensional servo actuator applies loading to the pile head, as shown in figures 4 and 5. The loading system is capable of applying lateral loads under either load or displacement controlled conditions. The vertical dead load (\( V \)) at the pile head can be imposed by means of attaching steel blocks with different masses to model the presence of a superstructure (details of the dead load are described in the section “Model Pile”). The lateral load (\( L \)) is applied at the pile head by lateral movement of the actuator, and it is monitored by strain gages.
located on the loading arm. The lateral displacements of the pile at the loading position (pile head) can be monitored by the lateral motor encoders, the accuracy of which are of the order of approximately $3 \times 10^{-5}$ mm. For all tests performed in this study, lateral loads are applied at a height of 15 m above the seabed at prototype scale.

Han and Frost (2000) recognized that the load-deflection response of a laterally loaded pile is highly dependent on the boundary conditions of the pile in the ground. Various boundary conditions for piles are encountered in practice, and four typical scenarios are shown in figure 6. Monopiles for OWTs will typically behave similarly to BC-4 (with some additional pile tip sway). Achieving the free head boundary condition in centrifuge

| Property                      | Model Pile          | Prototype Pile$^b$ |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Length (embedded + additional)| 90 + 150 mm         | 9 + 15 m           |
| Diameter, outer               | 18 mm               | 1.8 m              |
| Wall thickness                | 1 mm                | 30 mm$^a$          |
| Young's modulus (E)           | 70 GPa              | 210 GPa$^a$        |
| Moment of inertia (I)         | 1,936 mm$^4$        | 0.065 m$^4$        |
| Flexural stiffness (EI)       | 0.137 kPa.m$^4$     | 13.7 GPa.m$^4$     |

Note: $^a$ Assume prototype pile is fabricated from steel; $^b$ N = 100 adopted in the present study.
tests is difficult; therefore, most previous research tends to only consider lateral movement of the pile head (by implementing a roller-type connection) while ignoring any pile head rotation (BC-1 and BC-2).

To enable the application of a lateral load without inducing any rotational fixity associated with the loading arm, a specially designed friction-reducing ball connection (shown in Fig. 7) was constructed to transfer the lateral loading produced by a linear actuator to the pile head. The ball is placed vertically into the open-end of the pile head, where it rests in contact with the internal shaft of the pile. A polytetrafluoroethylene sleeve is used to minimize the interface friction between the ball and the pile internal surface.

Soil Preparation and Characterization

Fine, uniform Geba sand (SibelcoEurope 2016) was used in this study. It is mainly comprised of silica (99 % SiO₂), and 84.2 % of the grains have a diameter between 0.1 and 0.2 mm. The ratio of pile diameter to average grain size...
of the sands \((D/D_{50})\) for the tests is approximately 164, which is larger than the values of 20 and 60 suggested by Gui et al. (1998) and Remaud (1999), where grain size effects become negligible for laterally loaded piles (Garnier et al. 2007; Nunez, Hoadley, and Randolph 1988). A \(D_r\) of 53% was adopted for the prepared sand used in the experiments conducted in this article. The main properties are summarized in Table 3. No water was considered in the experimental trials conducted in this article. It should be noted that although this is a simplification and a deviation from the physical reality of scoured offshore piles, the presence of water is not expected to alter the

**TABLE 3**

Basic soil properties of Geba sand (De Jager et al. 2017; Maghsoudloo et al. 2018)

| Property                              | Sand     |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| Group symbol based on USCS\(^a\)     | SP       |
| Median particle size, \(D_{50}\) (mm) | 0.11     |
| Curvature coefficient, \(C_C\)        | 1.24     |
| Uniformity coefficient, \(C_U\)       | 1.55     |
| Specific gravity, \(G_S\)             | 2.67     |
| Plasticity index, \(PI\)              | NP       |
| Maximum void ratio, \(\varepsilon_{max}\) | 1.07     |
| Minimum void ratio, \(\varepsilon_{min}\) | 0.64     |

*Note: \(^a\) Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM D2487).*
observed behavioral trends, rather its presence would lower the effective unit weight of the sand. A similar treat-
ment can be observed in Mu et al. (2018); Verdure, Garnier, and Levacher (2003); Klinkvort and Hededal (2013);
LeBlanc, Houlsby, and Byrne (2010); and Li, Haigh, and Bolton (2010).

SCOUR HOLE EXCAVATION
In order to study the effect of different types of scour erosion, it is necessary to develop a method to model scour
hole geometries in the centrifuge. To cover the main range of expected scour hole geometries, three different scour
hole shapes (one for global scour and two for local scour) were considered (see fig. 1). Figure 1 shows a schematic
of simplified global and local scour. In the models, D denotes pile diameter, \( W_t \) denotes top width of scour hole,
\( W_b \) denotes bottom width of scour hole, \( D_s \) denotes scour depth, and \( \alpha \) denotes slope angle of the scour hole. A
range of scour depths of 1\( D \), 1.5\( D \), and 2\( D \) were implemented in this study to cover the ranges considered by
Kishore, Rao, and Mani (2008); Sumer, Fredsøe, and Christiansen (1992); Sumer, Hatipoglu, Fredsøe (2007); and
Det Norske Veritas (2014). Global scour was modeled through the complete removal of a given soil layer (see
fig. 1) and typically occurs because of natural seabed migration. Local scour represents the case of a scour hole
forming in the direct vicinity of a pile. To model local scour, a scour hole was created in the shape of an inverted
frustum. To investigate the influence of scour hole size, the scour hole base extends around the pile at a distance
(\( W_b \)) varying between 0 and \( D \). A scour hole with a base width \( W_b = 0 \) is termed “local narrow scour,” whereas a
scour hole with a base width \( W_b = D \) is termed “local wide scour” in subsequent analyses in this article. A scour
hole side slope of 30° was adopted for all cases, which is in line with previous experiments (Roulund et al. 2005;
Hoffmans and Verheij 1997). Note, the scour side slope angle is the least important factor among the three scour
hole dimensions (scour depth, scour width, scour-hole slope angle) influencing the responses of laterally loaded
piles (Li, Han, and Lin 2013; Zhang, Chen, and Liang 2017). For this reason, it is kept constant in this study.

To excavate sand to form the scour hole types described, rigid molds were fabricated, as shown schematically in
figure 9. These molds, with varying depths and base widths, were used to ensure the shape of the scour holes
adhered to the required dimensions for each test. Each scour hole was created immediately prior to jacking the
model pile at 1\( g \) and just before spinning the centrifuge up to 100\( g \).

TESTING PROGRAM
Displacement-controlled lateral loading is applied to the pile head by the lateral movement of the actuator at a
constant displacement rate of 0.01 mm/s. Each test continues until the loading arm reaches the target displace-
ment. The testing program comprised investigating the effect of scour depth and scour type on the lateral behav-
ior of the pile (capacity and bending moment). No scour, and three scour depths equating to 1\( D \), 1.5\( D \), and 2\( D \),
were studied. Moreover, three scour types, namely local narrow scour, local wide scour, and global scour were also
investigated to ascertain the influence of scour hole size (overburden dependency) on the pile lateral behavior.
Each test was undertaken twice to ensure repeatability. A constant vertical load of 3MN was applied to represent
the weight of a superstructure. The testing program is summarized in Table 4.

FIG. 9
Schematics of (A) scour hole molds of local narrow scour and (B) scour hole molds of local wide scour.
Results and Discussion

The load-displacement response and bending moment profiles of the piles under various scour conditions are reported in this section.

LATERAL LOAD-DISPLACEMENT AND BENDING MOMENTS UNDER ZERO SCOUR CONDITION

The pile head load-displacement response measured for the no-scour base-case condition is shown in figure 10 (Test 1). The ultimate lateral resistance of a pile is usually defined as a displacement equal to 10% of the pile diameter, $H_{0.1}$, at the seabed (mudline) level. In this study, the pile head displacement (as opposed to the seabed displacement), is the measured parameter, which is 15 m above the seabed level (at the prototype scale). For the purpose of comparison of the scour cases considered in this article, the ultimate resistance, $H_{ult}$, is defined as a pile head displacement of 1 pile diameter, in this case 1.8 m. In figure 10, the pile is loaded up to and beyond the specified ultimate resistance, and it can be seen that the lateral resistance continues to increase to a value of 970 kN at a lateral pile-head displacement of 3 m (fig. 10A). The presence of a vertical load (superstructure weight) had a minimal effect on the lateral response for this pile geometry with the $H_{ult}$ capacity changing by approximately 1% as the vertical applied load increased from 0 to 3 MN. As a result, all remaining tests were performed with a 3 MN vertical load to represent the superstructure weight.

Figure 10B shows the bending moments derived from the strain gage readings using 7 of the 10 strain gage pairs for the applied loading in figure 10A. As discussed earlier, because of limitations with the data logger, only seven strain gage pairs could be analyzed at any one time. Bending moments are derived from bending strain measurements using equation (1).

$$M(z) = EI\rho(z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $EI$ is the flexural rigidity of the pile and $\rho(z)$ is the curvature at a given applied load, obtained as the ratio between the difference in measured compressive and tensile strains to the gage lever arm (pile diameter) at a given depth $z$. The absolute values of the bending moments derived from each of the strain gages show an increasing trend with increased lateral displacement. In order to understand the evolution in the relative magnitudes of these bending moments along the pile, these are better viewed by plotting the values of bending moment at a given depth along the pile as a function of the pile head displacement. This is undertaken in figure 10C, which shows the evolution in bending moment profiles along the pile as the lateral pile head deflection ($h$) increased from 0.5 to 3 m. As is evident, the shape of the bending moment profile is broadly conserved for increasing pile displacements, and the peak bending moment occurs at approximately the same depth in each case (between $-2$ and $-3$ m below ground level [bgl]).
EFFECT OF SCOUR DEPTH ON THE LATERAL PILE RESPONSE

The impact of four different local scour depths are investigated in this section, namely zero scour and scour with depths $1D$, $1.5D$, and $2D$. Only the results for a single scour type, namely local wide scour, are considered. For this scour hole type, sand is removed up to a distance of $1D$ from around the pile. The influence of scour size is investigated in a subsequent section.

The impact of increasing scour depth on the pile head lateral load-displacement responses is shown in figure 11A. For the cases considered, the $H_{ult}$ value reduces from 831 to 234 kN as the scour depth increases to $2D$, a reduction of almost 72%. This result corroborates the findings in Lin et al. (2016), which stated that scour depth is the most critical factor influencing the lateral behavior of piles.

The bending moment distributions under $0$, $1D$, $1.5D$, and $2D$ scour are reported in figure 11B for an applied lateral pile head load of 100 kN. As the scour depth increases, the absolute value of the maximum bending moment ($M_{max}$) increases from 1.527 MNm for zero scour, to 1.615 MNm for $1D$ scour, to 1.711 MNm for $1.5D$ scour, to 1.86 MNm for $2D$ scour. This represents a change in peak bending moment of 5.8%, 12.1%, and 21.8% relative to the no scour case for $1D$, $1.5D$, and $2D$ scour, respectively. Furthermore, the location of the $M_{max}$ also moves progressively down the pile as scour depth increases, moving from $-3$ m bgl to $-5$ m bgl. These findings are in line with previous research (Bennett et al. 2009), where the influence depth is observed to increase.

**FIG. 10** Zero scour response features from centrifuge testing at prototype scale: (A) pile head lateral load-displacement response, (B) bending moment measurements along the pile, (C) bending moment profiles for different levels of pile head displacement ($h$).
EFFECT OF SCOUR TYPE ON THE PILE LATERAL RESPONSE

In this section, the effect of the scour type on the bending moment distribution along the pile under scour is investigated. Local narrow, local wide, and global scour types are compared with a view to ascertaining if the scour hole size and associated overburden influence has an effect on the resulting bending moment distribution. Figure 12A shows the bending moment distribution measured along the pile under a scour depth of 1D for the three scour types because of an applied lateral load of 100 kN at the pile head. Similar to that shown previously, the absolute value of the bending moment profile increases between zero scour and 1D scour. Evidently, there is a difference between the local scour and global scour bending moment profiles in that the global scour profile results in larger absolute values of bending moment under the applied load than the local scour profiles. For the scour depth of 1D (fig. 12A), both local narrow and local wide scour exhibit similar bending moment profiles, suggesting that for this scour depth, there is a negligible difference that is due to the variation in overburden pressure between both local scour widths. The $M_{max}$ increases 5.8 % from the no scour case to the local scour cases and 10.7 % from the no scour case to the global scour case. It is interesting to note that the percentage change in the peak bending moment between no scour and global scour for a depth of 1D (10.7 %, fig. 12A) is almost the same as the percentage change from no scour to local wide scour with a depth of 1.5D (12.1 %, fig. 11B), as discussed in the section “Effect of Scour Depth on the Lateral Pile Response.” Although scour depth is still the most influential factor for laterally loaded piles, this finding suggests that the overburden influence is quite important nonetheless. The location of the point of maximum moment reduces from −3 to −4 m bgl, which suggests that the additional loss in overburden for the case of global scour relative to local scour forces the pile to mobilize resistance from a deeper depth of soil, in order to compensate for the loss of strength of the remaining soil.
Figure 12 shows the same information as figure 12A, but for a scour depth of 2D. In this case, there is a clear increase in the absolute magnitude of the bending moment profiles measured between no scour and the three scour types. This suggests that soil close to the pile in the narrow scour case provides enhanced effective confining stiffness because of the presence of the remaining overburden. $M_{\text{max}}$ increases 12.5% from the no scour to local narrow scour case, 21.7% to the local wide scour case, and 34.4% to the global scour case. The location of the point of maximum moment reduces from $-3$ to $-5$ m below seabed level, as the soil seeks to balance the external loads by mobilizing deeper soils to compensate for the overburden differences as discussed previously. The influence of scour hole width is a significant finding because many previous researchers completely ignored this effect and simplified scour as the increase in free length of a structural element without paying due attention to the properties of the remaining soil (Li et al. 2017; Prendergast, Hester, and Gavin 2016). The physical modeling results in this article highlight that this influence should not be discounted.

Conclusions

This article presents the development of a specially designed pile lateral loading system for centrifuge model tests that minimizes the pile-head rotational constraint associated with tests of this nature. A modeling scheme to evaluate lateral pile behavior under combined lateral and moment loading at the seabed level, under various scour conditions, is undertaken using this ball-type loading system. The research is applicable to offshore monopiles that have low slenderness ratios and that are used to support wind turbines. The study has several conclusions:

- The friction-reducing ball connection with a polytetrafluoroethylene interface is adept at providing a lateral monotonic load with significantly reduced pile-head rotational fixity. This is useful for research on offshore monopile models, which have a free head condition. Other types of loading rigs that use pin-type connections have a tendency to apply a component of vertical loading to pile models as lateral displacements become large. The ball-type connection adopted in this study mitigates this issue.
Scour reduces the lateral bearing capacity and stiffness of pile foundations and leads to increased bending moments along the pile under similar lateral loads applied at the pile head. Moreover, the location of the $M_{\text{max}}$ occurs lower along the pile for increased scour, which may have implications for the design of monopiles with variable wall thickness. Increased pile wall thickness may be adopted in the region near surface soils to increase bending resistance locally; therefore, changes in the response regime that are due to scour have potential design ramifications and should be considered.

In addition to scour reducing lateral capacity, the width of the scour hole has a noticeable effect on the measured bending moments. For a scour depth of 1D, there is a distinct increase in the bending moments for the case of global scour compared to local scour. However, little difference is observed between both local narrow and local wide scour at this scour depth. For the case of scour up to a depth of 2D, however, there is a significant difference between the bending moments measured for each scour type, with the bending moment consistently increasing from the no scour case to local narrow scour, to local wide scour, and on to global scour. This finding suggests that designers should explicitly consider scour hole geometry in design (at least in a preliminary capacity) because it is conservative to assume the entire soil layer is removed during scour. For local scour, the overburden close to the pile provides additional resistance to the remaining soil, reducing the bending moments relative to global scour.

This article presented the background to the centrifuge-based analysis regime for a pile under various scour conditions. It should be noted that installation effects were not considered because the model piles were jacked into the soil at 1g prior to testing. If the piles were driven while in-flight, this would lead to the generation of residual base stresses and potential moments at the pile base. Although the analysis in this article does not consider the presence of these additional base moments, the behavioral trends identified in this article should not be affected. Further studies will expand the investigation to the influence of scour on soil reaction-lateral displacement $p$-$\gamma$ curves for piles with low slenderness ratios.
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