In search of the spectral composition of an effective light trap for the mushroom pest *Lycoriella ingenua* (Diptera: Sciaridae)
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Certain fungus gnats, like *Lycoriella ingenua* are notorious pests in agriculture, especially in mushroom production. While larvae cause mainly direct crop damage, adults are vectors of several dangerous fungal pathogens. To promote the development of pesticide-free management methods, such as light trapping, we measured the spectral sensitivity of *L. ingenua* compound eyes with electroretinography and performed two different behavioural experiments to reveal the wavelength dependence of phototaxis in this species. The spectral sensitivity of the compound eyes is bimodal with peaks at 370 nm (UV) and 526 nm (green). Behavioural experiments showed that attraction to light as a function of wavelength depends on light intensity. In our first experiment, where the minimal photon flux ($10^5$–$10^9$ photons/cm²/s) needed for eliciting a phototactic response was determined wavelength by wavelength, phototaxis was strongest in the green spectral range (~526 nm). In the other behavioural experiment, where wavelength preference was tested under a higher but constant light intensity (~$10^{13}$ photons/cm²/s), the highest attraction was elicited by UV wavelengths (398 nm). Our results suggest that both UV and green are important spectral regions for *L. ingenua* thus we recommend to use both UV (~370–398 nm) and green (~526 nm) for trapping these insects.

Members of the fungus gnat family Sciaridae (Diptera) are widespread around the globe, even at the most extreme places, such as subantarctic islands, tundras, and mountainous regions above 4000 m altitude. Some species live exclusively in caves and others inhabit arid deserts, but the most common habitat of scarids are forests, swampy regions and moist meadows. They live under tree barks of deadwood, decaying leaves, manure piles, and most commonly, in the soil. They feed on soil-dwelling fungi and on the root hairs of plants, but they also consume decaying plant material and other organic matter as well. Scarids are small (size = 1–6 mm) dark-coloured winged insects having well developed compound eyes above the base of the antennae, forming a dorsal bridge. The antennae are fairly long, consisting of 14 flagellomeres and the *scapus* and *pedicellus* are rounded. Three ocelli are also present on the head. The palpus have usually three segments. The available information regarding fungus gnat eye morphology is scarce, what we know is that the compound eye has relatively low, only a few hundred facets and the facet size is species dependent. In general, most scarids are not considered to be pests, but some species may be deemed to be harmful for agriculture. However, the presence of scarids in mushroom production is far more serious, as they are considered to be the most important pests in this specialized crop production. The most common species in mushroom are *Lycoriella castanea*, *Lycoriella ingenua* and *Bradyisia ocellaris*. The economical threshold for fungus gnats is low, one larva in 125 g casing material may result in 0.5% crop loss. Direct damage is caused by the larvae, which feed on the substrate (compost) of edible mushrooms by taking away nutrients and water from the crop itself. The sporophore primordias and fruiting bodies may also be damaged by larval tunnelling, in addition,
the vegetative hyphae are also threatened. Furthermore, the excrement of the larvae may shift the pH levels of the compost to a critical level, when it will no longer be suitable for the mycelial growth of the mushroom. The most commonly damaged crop is white button mushroom, which derives from the volume of production of this fungus species. Apart from button mushroom, oyster, king oyster, shiitake, shimeji and to an extent almost every cultivation can be hindered by sciarid infestation. The adults do not damage the mushroom directly, but can cause serious losses to farmers by transmitting fungal pathogens to the crop. It has been stated, that individuals of the fungus gnat species L. ingenua are attracted by the volatilome of Trichoderma sp., which is one of the most severe fungal disease of button mushroom production, and the adult may serve as an important vector. The adult can also carry the spores of Lecanicillium fungicola on its body, and contribute to the spread of bacterial blotch diseases.

Crop protection of edible mushrooms against fungus gnats is difficult as the number of approved pesticides is low, or for some cultures, such as Pleurotus sp., there are no approved pesticides. The application of entomopathogenic nematodes like Steinernema feltiae offers a reasonable control agent against gnats in button mushroom production, but in the case of species like Lentinula sp., Pleurotus sp., Cyclocybe sp., where the compost is entirely covered in plastic foil before fruiting body formation, the reapPLICATION of nematodes is problematic. Since the European Commission wishes to reduce the use of pesticides by 50% by 2030, it is not plausible to expect a new insecticide to appear for farmers in mushroom production, at least in Europe.

Development of alternative pest management methods are key to the production of safer crops for consumption and to the reduction of the environmental load of pesticides. An important source of information for insects is the visual environment. For example, colour, polarization, and contrast are important visual cues available for the majority of animals. The most common method against insect pests is the attraction of individuals with light traps, however, trap efficiency strongly depends on the spectrum of the light. The effectiveness of UV and green wavelengths in insect pest management has been demonstrated by several studies, and the application of LEDs against L. ingenua.

The potency of LEDs against L. ingenua is the visual environment. For example, colour, polarization, and contrast are important visual cues available for the majoritY of animals. The most common method against insect pests is the attraction of individuals with light traps, however, trap efficiency strongly depends on the spectrum of the light. The effectiveness of UV and green wavelengths in insect pest management has been demonstrated by several studies, and the application of LED light sources is becoming more and more frequent. Besides trapping, there are further options, for example, presentation of light of a properly chosen intensity and wavelength can inhibit certain pests from entering a growing facility. Another method is light adaptation, where the activity of nocturnal insects (e.g. mating, foraging etc.) are disrupted by the application of light sources during the night.

One of the first studies about the spectral specific responses of sciarids to light was performed by Stringer and Meyer-Rochow. Their results indicated that certain cave-dweller sciarids were mostly attracted by blue-green wavelengths, although the studied species was not identified. Later Jess and Bingham examined the responses of adult L. ingenua to different light source types. They stated that L. ingenua were positively phototactic even at low light levels, and the 300–650 nm spectral range attracted greater number of individuals than wavelengths above 700 nm. The positive phototaxis of fungus gnats was also verified by Cloyd et al., who found that specimens of Bradysia sp. nr. coprophila responded to light stimuli having intensity lower than 0.08374 μmol/m²/s (= 5.04·10⁻¹⁲ photons/cm²/s). The first attempt for revealing the wavelength dependence of phototaxis of fungus gnats was made by Kim et al. By using a blue, green, orange and a red quasi monochromatic LED light trap they found that the green LED (528 nm) was the most attractive to L. ingenua. The potency of LEDs against Bradysia difformis fungus gnats were further explored by Stukenberg et al. who used 13 LEDs ranging from UV (371 nm) to amber (619 nm). Their results showed that the highest number of sciarids were attracted by UV (382 nm) and green-yellow wavelengths (532–592 nm) and their combination. They also used different backgrounds to verify the effect of contrast, and stated that a black surface was the most effective. The importance of contrast produced by a dark background for the chive gnat Bradysia odoriphaga has also been confirmed. The very recent molecular identification of two UV sensitive and one long-wavelength sensitive opsin genes in B. odoriphaga (NCBI GenBank records at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank; MH491829.1; MK766510.1; MK766508.1) seems to be supporting the previously mentioned high attractiveness of UV and green wavelengths.

In this study we revealed the wavelength dependence of phototaxis of L. ingenua in two different behavioural experiments. Furthermore, we measured the spectral sensitivity of L. ingenua compound eyes with electroretinography because no electroretinogram of any sciarids has been published as far as we know. Studying the visual ecology of such pest insects may lead to the enhancement of light traps, and efficient light traps may help mushroom growers to reduce sciarid infestations on farms without the intense use of insecticides but rather through the mass trapping of the target organism.

Materials and methods

Fungus gnats. For laboratory experiments, we used L. ingenua adults, originating from pure insect cultures that were maintained as described by Kühne and Heller. The colonies were initiated by collecting mating pairs of fungus gnats from a mushroom farm in Osca (47° 19’ 29” N, 19° 18’ 27” E). After mating, inseminated females were isolated and males were identified by examining their hypopygium. Mated females of L. ingenua were isolated and males were identified by examining their hypopygium. Light traps, however, trap efficiency strongly depends on the spectrum of the light. The effectiveness of UV and green wavelengths in insect pest management has been demonstrated by several studies, and the application of LEDs against L. ingenua.

Electroretinography measurements. L. ingenua were placed with protruding head and thorax into a properly cut plastic pipette tip. Thorax, antennae and mouthparts were fixed to the pipette tip with melted paraffin wax and finally a wax droplet was placed between the thorax and head to make the head totally immobilized. For recording, tungsten microelectrodes (diameter 0.08 mm) etched in saturated KNO₂ solution were used. The recording electrode was inserted into the left eye to which the light stimulus was primarily delivered. The reference electrode was inserted to the other eye with its tip reaching the centre of the head.
A custom-built, calibrated light source containing 14 quasi monochromatic LEDs was used for stimulation of the eye preparations. Peak wavelengths (± half bandwidth) of the available LEDs were 346 nm (± 5.0 nm), 376 nm (± 4.8 nm), 402 nm (± 5.8 nm), 421 nm (± 6.4 nm), 442 nm (± 8.5 nm), 467 nm (± 10.4 nm), 496 nm (± 13.5 nm), 516 nm (± 14.5 nm), 552 nm (± 17.7 nm), 598 nm (± 6.9 nm), 623 nm (± 7.7 nm), 641 nm (± 8.6 nm), 660 nm (± 8.2 nm) and 744 nm (± 10.3 nm). The light intensity of the stimuli was variable through multiple log intensity units depending on the wavelength. Detailed description of the light source is described elsewhere33, where it was used in a behavioural experiment with a four-branch quartz light guide (Moritex SOHC4S3.5-1500S). For delivering light stimuli to the eye preparations, only one terminal of the light guide was used. The light beam reaching the eye preparation was 5 mm wide being approximately 16 times wider than the compound eye itself.

Receptor responses were amplified with a custom-built amplifier (see electronic circuit diagram in Supplementary Figure S1) inspired by Land et al.34. Data acquisition was done with a stereo USB sound card based on the C-Media CM6206 USB Audio I/O Controller chip (C-Media Electronics Inc., Taipei, Taiwan) using Audacity 2.2.1 audio recording software. The sound card was modified for low frequency and DC measurements as described on the homepage of Data Acquisition And Real-Time Analysis (http://www.daqarta.com). Left and right channels of the sound card were used for recording the photoreceptor cell responses and a 450 mV reference signal, respectively, to a 16-bit WAV audio file with 8000 Hz sampling frequency. The reference signal facilitated data evaluation by indicating the presence of light stimulus and was also used for calibrating the voltage level of the measured signal on the left channel. In preliminary tests the linearity of the sound card inputs was verified in the ± 1 V range, hence the amplification was set to keep the measured signal within this region.

After 40 min of dark adaptation, electrodes were inserted under 660 nm light and a preprogrammed stimulus sequence was presented to the eye preparation after an additional 10 min of darkness. For each of the 14 available wavelengths, in increasing order, 6–8 times 500 ms long light stimuli at log light intensity with 3-s-long inter-stimulus intervals. Inter-stimulus interval of 12 s was used when wavelength was switched to the next one. This whole stimulus sequence was repeated N = 6–8 times with 1-min-long separating intervals. Recordings were made under 23–26 °C temperature, 50–55% relative humidity during the day when the behavioural experiments were typically performed. Photon flux of the applied light stimuli varied between 2.4·10^11 and 2.4·10^15 photons/cm²/s.

For a given preparation, based on the reference signal and the preprogrammed stimulus sequence, the responses were cropped from the recorded WAV file. Response amplitudes were considered as the magnitude of negative jumps in the potential in the first 100 ms of the 500-ms-long light stimulus (Fig. 4A). In other words, the lowest potential value in the first 100 ms of the stimulus relative to the potential measured at the beginning of the stimulus was registered as the response amplitude. For a given stimulus sequence, sigmoid exposure-response curves were fitted to the measured response amplitudes as a function of log photon flux for all available wavelengths. Spectral sensitivity was calculated by obtaining the reciprocals of photon flux required for inducing a standard response criterion for all wavelengths35. Finally, all spectral sensitivity curves corresponding to each repetition (N = 6–8) of the stimulus sequence were averaged and normalized with the maximal value. A total number of 12 female and 4 male L. ingenua eye preparations were measured.

**Behavioural experiment 1.** Wavelength dependence of L. ingenua phototaxis was studied in two different experimental setups. In behavioural experiment 1, action spectrum of phototaxis was determined by measuring the critical light intensity eliciting a standard phototactic response criterion as a function of wavelength in the 368-637 nm spectral range.

The experimental setup was placed in a darkened container (Fig. 1A–C). The light source was a custom-built, LED-based device being able to produce preprogrammed quasi-monochromatic light stimuli of 11 different wavelengths and the light intensity of these stimuli was variable over 3.9–4.7 log intensity units, depending on the LED-based device being able to produce preprogrammed quasi-monochromatic light stimuli of 11 different wavelengths and the light intensity of these stimuli was variable over 3.9–4.7 log intensity units, depending on the wavelength. Light intensity was variable with the combination of dimming with pulse width modulation (PWM) and applying a neutral density filter (Fig. 1B, C). A circular, homogeneously illuminating area (diameter = 6 cm) provided light stimuli and available peak wavelengths (± half bandwidth) were 368 nm (± 8.2 nm), 402 nm (± 6.1 nm), 423 nm (± 5.8 nm), 446 nm (± 8.5 nm), 462 nm (± 10.8 nm), 506 nm (± 12.5 nm), 520 nm (± 13.5 nm), 557 nm (± 16.0 nm), 596 nm (± 7.2 nm), 621 nm (± 6.9 nm) and 637 nm (± 8.1 nm). The detailed description of the light source has been given elsewhere36.

The arena was a horizontally laid, rectangular (length = 150 mm, width = 22 mm), 3 mm deep depression in a fiberboard piece lined with black cardboard and covered by two microscope slides. The illuminating surface of the light source faced downward to the arena centre from a distance of 19 cm with its optical axis enclosing 14° with the horizontal (Fig. 1C). The arena was illuminated by 6 pieces of elemental sections of a 940 nm SMD5050 infrared LED strip and a Genius WideCam F100 webcam modified for infrared vision was aimed to the arena from above (Fig. 1A–C). The infrared background illumination enabled the observation of insects while they sensed no light.

The method for measuring the action spectrum of phototaxis of L. ingenua was similar as described in a recent study36. Different light stimuli were presented to the test insects randomly moving in darkness, and their attraction to light was quantified. At the beginning of each trial, 30–44 functional eyes were placed in the arena and the microscope-slide-covering was quickly applied. After 30 minutes of dark adaptation, a series of 30-s-long light stimuli separated by 180-s-long dark periods were presented to the insects. Stimuli of 4–5 different light intensities were applied at all 11 wavelengths. First, at the lowest light intensity, stimuli of different wavelengths were randomly applied. Then, all available wavelengths were tested again with 1 log unit higher light intensity, and so forth. Thus, light intensity of stimuli was increasing with time (intensity step = 1 log unit), but before increasing the stimulus intensity, all wavelengths were applied randomly. From the beginning of a given stimulus, 10 photos (8-bit grayscale JPG) were taken by the camera every 6 s, thus the 1st and 6th photo
corresponded to the beginning and to the end of the stimulus. The camera recorded images with 15 frames per second, thus the shutter speed for the obtained images was approximately 67 ms. As we experienced very high photosensitivity in *L. ingenua*, to extend the previously mentioned 3.9–4.7 log intensity units wide dynamic range of the light source, trials were also performed with additional, permanently attached 2 or 4 pieces of Lee 299 neutral density filters (LEE Filters, Andover, UK) [for transmission spectrum see Egri]. Before each trial the live view of the fixed webcam in a custom-written software facilitated to return the arena to a standardized place. Two horizontal and two vertical guidelines were displayed on the live webcam image to which the arena edges were aligned each time. Altogether 50 trials were performed and a total number of 1834 *L. ingenua* were tested. To obtain the photon flux sensed by the test insects, wavelength dependent transmission spectrum of the microscope-slide-covering of the arena was taken into account, as well as the Fresnel's equations applying to the air to glass and glass to air interface. Photon flux of stimuli (at the arena centre) for the test insects varied

Figure 1. Experimental setup of behavioural experiment 1 and the evaluation process of the images. (A) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. (B, C) Photograph of the setup. (D) Median image of the 10 photos corresponding to a stimulus (\(\lambda = 402\) nm, photon flux = \(3.61 \times 10^8\) photons/cm²/s). (E) First image of the arena taken by the camera (beginning of the same light stimulus). (F) Sixth image taken by the camera (end of the same light stimulus) with *L. ingenua* individuals congregating on the bright (right) side of the arena. (G, H) Thresholded image of (E) and (F) with yellow dashed and solid lines representing the arena midperpendicular and the horizontal component of the centroid of the white pixels, respectively. Centroid bias \(\Delta x\) is shown by horizontal yellow arrows (G: \(\Delta x = -0.295\) cm; H: \(\Delta x = 4.824\) cm).
between 7.51·10^2 and 1.53·10^13 photons/cm²/s, but the applied intensity ranges per wavelength were different. Experiments were carried out at 23–26 °C and 50–55% relative humidity.

Attraction of *L. ingenua* to a given stimulus was quantified by calculating the centroid of the insects relative to the arena centre (centroid bias) at the beginning (Fig. 1G) and end of the stimulus (Fig. 1H). First of all, to obtain a static background image of the arena, the median image of the 10 images corresponding to the stimulus was calculated (Fig. 1D). The median image represented all stationary objects (e.g. arena walls, arena floor, accidental immobile insect), and this image was subtracted from a given image to be evaluated (Fig. 1E, F) and after subtraction, negative pixel values were multiplied by −1. The resultant image was thresholded with the value of 16 (Fig. 1G, H): If a given pixel value was greater than 16, the pixel was set to white, otherwise to black. Finally, the centroid of the white pixels and its distance Δx from the arena midperpendicular was calculated (centroid bias) in centimetres (Fig. 1G, H). Centroid bias was obtained for the beginning (1st image) (Fig. 1E) and end (6th image) (Fig. 1F) of each stimuli. With this method only actively moving insects contributed to the calculation of Δx, because immobile insects appeared on the median image as being part of the static background.

Calculation of the action spectrum of phototaxis was the same as described by Egri et al.36. In short, for each wavelength, all centroid biases obtained for the end of the stimuli were plotted against log stimulus photon flux and sigmoid exposure-response curves were fitted to the data. Mean function value at the inflection points of these 11 fitted curves was considered as the critical response criterion (Δx_c = 1.7 cm). Action spectrum of phototaxis was obtained by calculating the reciprocals of photon flux values needed for eliciting this standard response criterion. To quantify the sensitivity of the action spectrum to the criterion response value, the same calculation was performed for 20 additional values in the Δx_c ± 0.7 cm range. All 21 action spectra were then averaged, for each data point 95% confidence intervals were calculated, and the resulting action spectrum was normalized with the maximal value.

**Behavioural experiment 2.** In this experiment, attraction of *Lycoriella* spp. to different wavelengths of simultaneously present and equally bright light stimuli was tested in a hexagonal choice-box (Fig. 2) which was inspired by previous studies27,37. The choice-box was composed of a central and a peripheral unit and a lid (Fig. 2A). The central unit was a hexagonal prism (edge of base = 40 mm, height = 40 mm) with six glass vials (diameter = 27 mm, length = 70 mm) attached to each side (Fig. 2A, C). The interior of the prism was lined with black cardboard and holes (diameter = 5 mm) ensured passages for the test insects between the prism and the vials (Fig. 2A). The peripheral unit was also a hexagonal prism (edge of base = 185 mm, height = 100 mm) with its interior lined with aluminium foil and separated into six chambers (Fig. 2A, D). The central unit could be placed into the peripheral unit in such a way that each vial entered into its own chamber and the neighbouring vials could not be seen from a given vial (Fig. 2D). On the hexagonal lid of the choice-box, different LED strip sections (UV, blue, green, red or white) could be attached to illuminate the interior of the chambers from above (Fig. 2B, E). These LED strips were arbitrarily exchangeable with each other and the peak wavelengths (± half bandwidth) of the UV, blue, green and red LED strips were 398 nm (± 6.4 nm), 447 nm (± 11.3 nm), 512 nm (± 16.7 nm) and 631 nm (± 8.6 nm), respectively. The white LED strip was a 3000K warm white (WW) one. Normalized emission spectra of these LED strips are shown in Supplementary Figure S2. In each chamber a piece of
vertical sandblasted glass was inserted between the vial and the illuminated end of the chamber (Fig. 2A, D). In the assembled choice-box each vial in its own chamber was homogeneously illuminated when the chamber was lit by an LED strip. Thus, viewed from the middle of the central unit, the holes (passages to the vials) as illuminating spots represented the light stimuli for the test insects. The light intensity of each LED strip was adjusted with pulse width modulation ($f_{\text{PWM}} = 750$ Hz) to a photon flux of $2.7 \times 10^{13}$ photons/cm²/s (± 6.9%) (~ brightness of a heavily overcast sky) measured at the passage entrances to the vials with a radiometrically calibrated Ocean Optics STS-VIS spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Largo, USA).

Trials were performed in Ócsa at the previously mentioned mushroom farm inside a production unit where Lycoriella spp. were abundant. Fungus gnats were collected with an aspirator and were tested immediately after collection. After setting the desired stimulus combination (LED strip arrangement) in the choice-box, 50 dark-adapted fungus gnats were placed into the middle hexagonal prism of the central unit. After 45 min the numbers of responding insects were registered for all chambers/vials of the choice-box. Figure 3 summarizes the three types of trials performed on 5 experimental days. In type 1 trials, 5 chambers of the choice-box were illuminated by the UV, blue, green, red and WW LEDs, while the sixth chamber was unexposed (Fig. 3A). Arrangement of stimuli was randomized for each trial, number of performed trials was 20, thus $N_1 = 1000$ individuals were tested. Type 2 trials were identical with type 1 trials with the only exception that UV stimulus was excluded, thus two unexposed control chambers were present (Fig. 3B) ($N_2 = 1000$ individuals). In type 3 trials, preference of fungus gnats to all kinds of available non-green stimuli (UV, blue, red, WW) versus green was tested in separate experiments. Light stimuli were always facing each other in the choice-box while the other four chambers were unexposed (Fig. 3C–F). Each stimulus pair was tested in 10 trials, thus $N_3 = 4 \times 50 = 2000$ specimens were tested in type 3 trials.

To estimate the species composition in the production unit, on each experimental day, 100 fungus gnats were collected from random locations in the unit and were later identified.

Statistics. For each tested wavelength, spectral sensitivity of female and male L. ingenua eye preparations were compared with Mann-Whitney U-test. In behavioural experiment 1, to test whether a stimulus (fixed wavelength and photon flux) elicited significant attraction, the distribution of Ax centroid bias values at the beginning and at the end of the given stimuli were compared with Mann-Whitney U-test. To reduce the chance of false negative results, no p-value adjustments were made during data analyses. In behavioural experiment 2, differences between the numbers of individuals attracted by the different chambers of the choice-box was compared with a one-way ANOVA model with the stimulus wavelength/type considered as a fixed factor. Normality of residuals was verified by Shapiro-Wilk's test (Supplementary Table 1). To reveal statistically homogeneous groups in attraction data to the different stimuli/chambers in trial types 1 and 2 (Fig. 3A, B), Games-Howell post-hoc test was performed, because Levene's test failed to verify homogeneity of variances. In the case of type 3 trials, based on Levene's test results, Tukey’s post-hoc tests were performed (Fig. 3D–F), except for the green-UV stimulus arrangement (Fig. 3C), which was examined with Games-Howell post-hoc test. Since in type 3 trials all of the chambers were accessible for the fungus gnats, the two light stimuli used in these trials were also compared with the four empty chambers during data analyses. Non-responding specimens were excluded from statistical analyses.

Statistical tests were performed with the R statistical package v3.6.0 and the software IBM SPSS version 22.
Ethical approval and informed consent. No permission, licence or approval was needed for our study.

Results

Electroretinography measurements. Figure 4A shows the time course of a typical receptor potential measured from a female L. ingenua eye preparation. Grey region represents the 500-ms-long light stimulus, and the pair of vertical dashed lines show the 100-ms-long period where the response amplitude was determined. (B) Mean relative spectral sensitivity of 12 female and 4 male L. ingenua eye preparations with vertical bars denoting SD. The red continuous curve shows the fitted sum of two A1-based pigment templates with peak wavelengths of \( \lambda_{\text{max,UV}} = 370.1 \text{ nm} \) (dashed purple curve) and \( \lambda_{\text{max,G}} = 526.3 \text{ nm} \) (dotted green curve), respectively. Curve fitting was performed on the pooled data of females and males.

Behavioural experiment 1. Results of behavioural experiment 1 are displayed in Fig. 5. In Fig. 5A–K, centroid bias values (Δx) with fitted sigmoid exposure-response curves are plotted against photon flux of the light stimuli at all 11 wavelengths. Blue and red dots show Δx values measured at the beginning and end of light stimuli, thus evaluation of one stimulus resulted in a pair of a blue and a red dot. Significant differences (\( \alpha = 0.005 \)) revealed by Mann–Whitney U test performed between the distribution of the Δx values measured at the beginning and end of the stimulus for a given wavelength and photon flux are shown by asterisks. It is clear for all wavelengths that low light intensities elicited no significant reaction, but as stimulus intensity increases the attraction of fungus gnats to light becomes significant.

Figure 5L shows the calculated action spectrum of phototaxis of L. ingenua with vertical bars denoting 95% confidence intervals. The action spectrum has one major peak in the green spectral range and a ten times lower minor peak in the UV. An A1-based pigment template was fitted on the data and the obtained peak wavelength was \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 526.6 \text{ nm} \).

Behavioural experiment 2. As shown in Fig. 6A, in type 1 trials, when all available 5 LED strips plus an unexposed control chamber was present (Fig. 3A), the majority of the 622 choice-making fungus gnats chose the UV-lit chamber (60.98%). The blue-, green-, red-, and white-lit chambers and the control chamber attracted 10.27%, 9.56%, 6.14%, 7.43% and 5.62% of the respondent individuals, respectively. According to the one-way ANOVA model, significant differences were detected in these responses (\( F(5, 114) = 308.99, p < 0.001 \)) and Games–Howell post-hoc test revealed that the UV light source attracted significantly more gnats than each of the other stimuli (\( p < 0.001 \) in each pairwise comparison with UV data).
Figure 5. Phototactic responses of *L. ingenua* in behavioural experiment 1. (A–K) Responses with fitted sigmoid exposure-response curves at 11 wavelengths. Blue and red dots represent the centroid bias values (Δ\(\times\)) measured at the beginning and end of the applied light stimuli. Sigmoid exposure-response curves were fitted to the Δ\(\times\) values obtained for the end of the stimuli (red dots). Dashed lines show the critical response criterion (Δ\(\times\)c = 17.0 mm), and asterisks indicate whether distribution of the Δ\(\times\) values at the end of a light stimulus (red dots for a given light intensity) significantly differ from the corresponding distribution of Δ\(\times\) values measured at the beginning of the same stimulus (blue dots for the same light intensity) at \(\alpha = 0.005\) significance level. Red and blue arrows in (B) show Δ\(\times\) values corresponding to Fig. 1E, G and 1F, H, respectively. (L) Calculated action spectrum of phototaxis with error bars denoting 95% confidence intervals. Curve shows a fitted A1-based pigment template with a \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of 526.6 nm.\(^4\)}
In type 2 trials (Fig. 3B), 620 individuals made choice and the most attractive stimulus was the green (41.26% of respondents), followed by the blue (25.26% of respondents). The red, white and the two unexposed chambers attracted 10.26%, 10.60%, 8.72% and 5.73% of the respondents, respectively (Fig. 6B). The one-way ANOVA model revealed significant differences in the catch numbers of the chambers ($F(5, 114) = 77.27, p < 0.001$). According to Games–Howell post-hoc test, the green stimulus was significantly more attractive than any other stimuli ($p < 0.001$ in each pairwise comparison with green data). More details about statistically homogeneous groups are indicated by lowercase letters in Fig. 6B.

Figure 7 shows the results of type 3 trials, where preference for a single light stimulus (UV, blue, red and WW) was tested against the green stimulus with the remaining four chambers being unexposed (Fig. 3C–F).
percentages are only shown for the given stimulus pair, but statistical tests involved the other four unexposed
chambers also. Total number of respondent individuals (N) is displayed for all stimulus configurations (top
of Fig. 7). In all cases, significant differences were detected by the one-way ANOVA model (green vs UV: F(5,
54) = 249.90, p < 0.001; green vs blue: F(5, 54) = 101.58, p < 0.001; green vs red: F(5, 54) = 295.78, p < 0.001;
green vs WW: F(5, 54) = 131.01, p < 0.001). According to post-hoc tests, significant difference emerged between
the attraction to the green and the other stimulus (p < 0.05 for all type 3 trials) and catch numbers of the unexposed
four chambers were statistically similar (p > 0.05 for all type 3 trials). Except for the UV-green stimulus pair
(Fig. 7A), the green stimulus was more attractive than the other stimulus (Fig. 7B–D).

Identification of the 500 fungus gnats collected for determining the species composition in the production
unit revealed that 76%, 19% and 5% of the individuals were L. ingenua, L. agraria and other Lycoriella spp.,
respectively. Consequently, the results of behavioural experiment 2 are dominated by the responses of L. ingenua
individuals.

Discussion

Until now, the only fungus gnat examined with ERG was the bioluminescent New Zealand glowworm Arach-
nocampa luminosa (Skuse, 1891) with eye spectral sensitivity adapted to the emission spectrum of the biolumi-
nescence of the individuals32. The subject of our present work, L. ingenua is not that special as A. luminosa, but
its significance as a crop pest is infinitely superior.

According to our ERG measurements, L. ingenua has two sensitivity maxima, one in the UV and another
in the green spectral range. Only from dark-adapted ERG recordings one cannot unambiguously identify dif-
f erent photoreceptor types, although distinct sensitivity peaks may indicate that more than one photoreceptors
are present44. The fact that phototaxis was far the strongest for green and UV quasi monochromatic light in
behavioural experiments 1 and 2, respectively, may also indicate that the presence of the peaks in the spectral
sensitivity of the compound eye (Fig. 4B) are caused by distinct photoreceptor types. The reason for the different
attraction to light as a function of wavelength lies in the differences between the two setups.

There were three essential differences between behavioural experiments 1 and 2. Firstly, in behavioural experi-
ment 1, only one stimulus was present at a time, while in behavioural experiment 2, stimuli were simultaneously
present. Secondly, the results of behavioural experiment 1 (Fig. 5) and 2 (Figs. 6, 7) are primarily valid for dif-
ferent light intensity ranges. In behavioural experiment 1, typical minimal photon flux values being able to elicit
significant attraction depended on wavelength, but were in the 10^5–10^7 photons/cm^2/s range even in the case
of the least attractive red wavelengths. These photon flux values are excessively lower than that of the stimuli
used in behavioural experiment 2 (~10^13 photons/cm^2/s). This is not especially surprising, because methodol-
y applied in behavioural experiment 1 is based on the determination of the minimal light intensity needed for
a given phototactic reaction (critical response criterion Δx), and photon flux of the stimuli in behavioural
experiment 2 was arbitrarily chosen. The third important difference between the behavioural experiments was
related to the test insects. Behavioural experiment 1 was made exclusively with L. ingenua, while in behavioural
experiment 2, the proportion of this species was 76%, however, the rest 24% could not account for the high UV
preference (Figs. 6A, 7A) alone.

Thus, it seems that spectral sensitivity of phototaxis in L. ingenua depends on light intensity.

Explanation for our results may lie in the ocelli, which are simple eyes usually present in winged adult
insects. Ocelli are extremely underfocused visual organs and mostly used to stabilize flight by blurry but rap-
Idly perceiving the intensity pattern formed by the dark ground and the brighter sky22. Although ocelli thought
to be unable to mediate phototactic reactions alone44, light reaching exclusively the ocelli can elicit phototaxis
from insects after all35,46. Because primarily green-sensitive ocelli are not uncommon among insects47–49, and
the light sensitivity of insect ocelli can be several orders of magnitude higher than that of the compound eyes44,
light perception through ocelli could have been dominated in behavioural experiment 1 resulting in the action
spectrum of phototaxis with λ_max = 526.6 nm (Fig. 5L). Green-sensitive ocelli is a feature of mainly nocturnal
insects47, because night-light has very little UV content, especially under forest canopies22. Among fungus gnats,
both diurnality and nocturnality has already been demonstrated51–53, but as far as we know, circadian activity of
L. ingenua has not been studied yet. In behavioural experiment 2, the higher stimulus intensities could account
for the mainly UV-dominated attraction (Fig. 6A) which result is in accordance with the finding of Stukenberg
et al.28 who used practically the same light intensities for quasi monochromatic stimuli in choice experiments with
the black fungus gnat B. difformis, being another notorious pest in mushroom cultivation. When UV stimulus
was not present in behavioural experiment 2 (Figs. 6B, 7B–D), the green stimulus was the most attractive which
is also in accordance with previous studies34.

On the other hand, the peak of the action spectrum of phototaxis (Fig. 5L) overlaps extremely well with the
green sensitivity peak in the spectral sensitivity of the compound eye (λ_max,G = 526.3 nm, Fig. 4B), which may
suggest that the compound eye could still play significant role in behavioural experiment 1. It is also important
to mention that in behavioural experiment 2, only four quasi-monochromatic stimuli were applied and the
shortest-wavelength stimulus was the UV (398 nm) one. Consequently, the wavelength of maximal attraction
cannot be estimated as precisely as could be done for behavioural experiment 1 (Fig. 5L), but based on the ERG
results it is expected around 370 nm (Fig. 4B). However, independent of the underlying mechanisms causing the
light intensity dependent reactions, our experiments and ERG recordings highlight the importance of both UV
(~ 370–398 nm) and green (~ 526 nm) light, or even their combination for creating visually attractive monitoring
or trapping tools for L. ingenua at mushroom growing facilities.

In general, the spectral sensitivity obtained from ERG recordings is very informative, but the action spectrum
of phototaxis is not necessarily similar in shape. Thus performing behavioural experiments are essential in the
process of light trap development. The most important message of our paper is that UV and green spectral ranges
attract *L. ingenua* individuals with the highest efficiency and future research should investigate the effectiveness of trap prototypes in combining these spectral regions. Based on our results, we would also like to emphasize that besides the wavelength composition of a light stimulus, light intensity is also a very important parameter, which should not be disregarded when light trapping of insects is the aim.
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