Association between Adiponectin Concentrations and Cardiovascular Disease in Diabetic Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Background: This systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies evaluates the association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in individuals with diabetes mellitus (DM).

Methods: PubMed and Embase were searched for prospective studies on the association of adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD up to June 2013. Random-effect model was selected to pool the relative risk (RR) and 95% CI.

Results: Five prospective cohort studies and one nested case-control studies met the included criterion. The estimated summary RR and 95% CI of five prospective cohort studies for type 2 diabetes comparing top vs low tertile of adiponectin concentrations was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.67–1.45), with significant heterogeneity between studies (p = 0.037, I² = 60.9%). This heterogeneity was explained by one study conducted in Korean.

Conclusions: This study represents the first meta-analysis between adiponectin levels and CVD in diabetic patients and indicated no association was found. This result should be verified further by large sample size, long duration of follow-up, and well-designed prospective clinical trials.

Introduction

Adiponectin, a 244 amino acid collagen-like protein encoded by the AdipoQ gene in humans [1], is decreased in obesity [2], type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), and those with coronary heart disease (CHD) [3], and thus have been hypothesized to have insulin sensitizing, anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic activities [4]. Meanwhile, atherosclerosis is one of the most common causes of cardiovascular disease, which remains the biggest cause of deaths in the world [5]. Most previous studies have assessed the association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), and several meta-analyses reported positive [6] or reversed [7,8] association or no statistically significant [6,9,10]. One reason for explaining these controversial results may be the pre-existing disease in study population [9], such as diabetics, patients on hemodialysis, and CHD patients. Diabetes can not only cause CVD, one of its long-term complications, but also doubles the risk of CVD [11]. So, it is interestingly to investigate the relationship between adiponectin concentration and the risk of CVD in diabetic patients. Rare data on the association between adiponectin concentration and risk of CVD in diabetic patients have been reported and the results are controversial [12–17]. We therefore conducted a systematic review and study-level meta-analysis of prospective epidemiological data to further evaluate this association in persons with type 1 or type 2 diabetes.

Methods

Search

The electronic databases MEDLINE and EMBASE (up to June 2013) were searched for relevant studies on the association between adiponectin (including total, high molecule weight (HMW)) concentrations and risk of CVD in diabetic patients. For CVD, a complete description of the endpoint criteria must be provided, or referred to in previously published articles (CVD was defined as CHD, stroke, cardiac arrest, heart failure, peripheral artery disease, and sudden death; CHD was defined as acute myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, and other ischemic heart disease). The following terms were used for our search: 1) adiponectin, apM1, AdipoQ, Acrp30, GBP28, and C1q; 2) cardiovascular diseases, coronary disease, coronary thrombosis, myocardial ischemia, myocardial infarction, coronary stenosis, coronary restenosis, atherosclerosis, cerebrovascular disorders,
1332 Citations identified and screened

1274 Excluded based on title and abstract

58 Relevant studies retrieved for more detailed evaluation

52 Studies were excluded for reasons:
- 4 Not prospective studies
- 23 Genetic studies
- 19 Based on the general population
- 6 Lack of available data

6 Prospective studies included in the analysis
5 Prospective cohort studies
1 Nested case-control studies

Figure 1. Selection of studies for systematic review of association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of cardiovascular diseases in diabetic patients. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078485.g001

We screened 1332 relevant citations. Of these, 1274 were excluded based on title and abstract. We retrieved 58 studies for more detailed evaluation. After excluding 52 studies for various reasons (not prospective studies, genetic studies, based on the general population, lack of available data), we included 6 prospective studies in the analysis: 5 prospective cohort studies and 1 nested case-control study. We chose the comparison of highest tertile with the lowest tertile of the adiponectin distribution. The scaling methods assume that adiponectin is log normally distributed and that the association with disease risk is log-linear; both these assumptions have empirical support in studies of adiponectin. For a normally distributed variable, the difference between the means of the top and bottom third of the distribution is 2.18 SD units. For two and four groups, scaling methods assume that adiponectin is log normally distributed and that the association with disease risk is log-linear; both these assumptions have empirical support in studies of adiponectin. For a normally distributed variable, the difference between the means of the top and bottom third of the distribution is 2.18 SD units.

Study selection

Two independent reviewers identified studies meeting the following inclusion criteria: (i) the study evaluated the association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD in patients with DM; (ii) the study design was a prospective cohort study or nested case-control study; (iii) the study provide or allow calculation of the relative risk (RR) with its corresponding 95% CI; (iv) end point included CVD; (v) the duration of follow-up was at least 1 year. Studies not meeting these criteria were excluded.

Data extraction

Two reviewers independently extracted data using standardized data extraction forms. Discrepancies were resolved by group discussion. We extracted information from each publication: first author’s last name, year of publication, study location, study design (prospective cohort or nested case-control), study population (type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus), patients with preexisting CVD (%), number of participants and events, age (mean or median), type of adiponectin (total, HMW), duration of follow-up, assessments of outcomes (CVD, CHD, and stroke), results, measurements of risks (per standard deviation (SD) increase, tertile, quartile, and equal two groups), adjustment, and quality score. We assessed these nonrandomized studies’ quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale, which evaluated studies’ quality in meta-analyses based on three items: patient selection, comparability of groups and ascertainment of outcome. [19] Studies were evaluated on an ordinal star scoring scale with higher scores on behalf of higher quality studies.

Statistical analysis

We used multivariable-adjusted RR or odd risk (OR) or hazard ratio (HR) on the association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD reported in the original articles. HR or OR was assumed to approximate the same measure of RR. The most adjusted one was chose if studies reported estimated effects with more than one degree of adjustment for other risk factors. We pooled data of the included studies using random-effects meta-analysis and reported them as RR with corresponding 95% CI. Heterogeneity across studies was assessed using Q statistic and I² statistics, which is a quantitative measure of inconsistency across studies. Meta-analyses were conducted for the RR of adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD in type 2 DM patients. We performed sensitivity analyses by omitting 1 study in each time to investigate the influence of a single study on the overall risk estimate. We considered substantial heterogeneity exist when I² values were greater than 50%. Subgroup analysis was performed according to study sample size. We also assessed the presence of publication bias using the Begg’s test and the Egger’s test; the results were considered to indicate publication bias when p<0.10.

To enable a consistent approach to analysis in present study, we converted continuous or categorical estimated effect on to a standard scale of effect. We chose the comparison of highest tertile with the lowest tertile of the adiponectin distribution. For a normally distributed variable, the difference between the means of the top and bottom third of the distribution is 2.18 SD units. For two and four groups, scaling factors of 2.18/1.59 and 2.18/2.54 were used, respectively. For normally transformed adiponectin, estimated effects reported per SD increase used a scaling factor of 2.18. All results were estimated by software Stata 11.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, Tex). Statistical tests were 2 sided and used a significance level of p<0.05.

Results

Description of studies

We initially identified 1332 relevant citations. Of these, 1274 citations were excluded after screening the titles and abstracts. After screening the full-text, of these 58 citations, 52 were excluded for the following reasons: 1) not prospective studies (n=4); 2) genetic studies (n=23); 3) based on the general population (n=19); 4) lack of available data (n=6). Finally, the present systematic review and meta-analysis included 5 prospective cohort
Table 1. Characteristics of the identified prospective studies of adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD.

| Study (Authors, year) | Reference | Location | Design | Study Population | Patients with preexisting CVD (%) | Number of participants/events | Age (Mean or median, yr) | Type of Adiponectin | Follow-up (yrs) | Outcomes | RR (95% CI) | Measurements of risks | Adjustment | Quality score |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|--------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|
| Costacou, 2005 USA    | Nested case-control | Patients (type 1 DM) | NA | 62/28 | 34 | Total | 10 | CHD | HR: 0.37 (0.19–0.73) | Per SD | Age, sex, log_{10} AER, HDL and non-HDL cholesterol | 8 |
| Schulze, 2005 USA     | Prospective cohort | Patients (type 2 DM) | 0 | 745/89 | 63.1 | Total | 5 | CHD | RR: 0.68 (0.33–1.42) | Quartile | Age, physical activity, family history of MI, history of high BP, history of high blood cholesterol, current aspirin use, smoking, fasting status, duration of diabetes, alcohol, BMI, HDL cholesterol, apoB, triglycerides, CRP, sTNF-R2, and fibrinogen | 8 |
| Lim, 2008 Korean      | Prospective cohort | Patients (type 2 DM) | 23.60% | 343/38 | 65 | Total | 3.5 | CVD | HR: 3.03 (1.09–8.41) | Quartile | Age, sex, BMI, creatinine, DM duration, ECG abnormality, CVD history, BP, smoking, lipid status, HbA1c, microalbuminuria and resistin | 5 |
| Krzyzanowska, 2009 Japan | Prospective cohort | Patients (type 2 DM) | 61% | 147/61 | 63 | HMW | 1.6 | CVD | HR: 0.95 (0.58–1.54) | Per SD | Age, sex, HDL-cholesterol, HbA1c, CRP, GFR, HMW adiponectin, and treatment with biguanides, sulfonylureas, insulin, or statins | 5 |
| Hung, 2010 Taiwan     | Prospective cohort | Patients (type 2 DM) | 100% | 77/NA | 65 | Total | 1.3 | CVD | RR: 1.18 (1.002–1.44) | Equal two groups | Age, sex, waist circumference, MBP, lipid profile, fasting sugar, smoking status, metabolic syndrome, and antihypertensive therapy, statins, and aspirin | 6 |
| Schottker, 2013 German | Prospective cohort | Patients (type 2 DM) | 0 | 1038/161 | 65 | Total | 8 | CVD | HR: 1.48 (1.01–2.21) | Tertile | Age, sex, smoking, physical activity, HbA1c, BP, non-HDL cholesterol, GFR, and NSAIDs | 8 |

*The Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale was chosen for quality assessment, maximum score 9 DM, diabetes mellitus; RR, relative risk; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CHD, coronary heart disease; SD, standard deviation; HMW, high molecule weigh; NA, not available; HDL, high density lipoprotein; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; sTNF-R2, soluble fractions of tumor necrosis factor-α receptor 2; BP, blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; ECG, electrocardiograph; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; NSAIDs, non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs; MI, myocardial infarction. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078485.t001
studies [13-17] and 1 nested case-control study, [12] which comprised 2412 diabetic patients (Figure 1). The characteristics of these included studies were shown in Table 1. These studies were published between 2005 and 2013. The sample sizes ranged from 62 [12] to 1038 [17] with a mean of 402 individuals, and the durations of follow-up ranged from 1.3 [16] to 10 [12] years. Two studies were performed in U.S.A., one in German, and three in Asia. Five studies were performed based on type 2 DM patients, and one based on type 1 DM. The proportion of diabetic patients with preexisting CVD in the studies ranged between zero [13,17] and 100% [16]. These six studies have the number of adjustment variables from 5 to 17. The study quality scores ranged from 5 to 8 (Table 2).

Adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD

We evaluate 6 prospective studies in our analysis. One study [12] focus on type 1 DM patients and show an inverse association between adiponectin concentrations and incidence of coronary artery disease (HR = 0.37, 95% CI 0.19–0.73, per 1 SD increase) in multivariable analyses. For the other five studies based on type 2 DM patients, their results show that the relationship was controversial. Lim’s study [14] shows an inverse association (RR = 3.03; 95% CI 1.09–8.41, lowest quartile vs. highest quartile of adiponectin levels), and, quite on the contrary, both Hung [16] and Schottker’s studies [17] report a positive association (RR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.002–1.44, high vs. low group; HR = 1.48, 95% CI 1.01–2.21, top vs. bottom tertile; respectively). Schulze [13] and Krzyzanowska’s studies [15] found that no significant relationship between adiponectin concentrations and incident of CVD (RR = 0.68, 95% CI 0.33–1.42, highest vs. lowest quartile; HR: 0.95, 95% CI 0.58–1.54, per 1 SD increase; respectively). After converting the estimated effect on to the comparison of highest tertile with the lowest tertile of the adiponectin distribution by a relevant scaling method, we conducted a meta-analysis to test the association between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD. Result from Lim’s study [14] was converted to the highest quartile vs. lowest quartile of adiponectin concentrations. Five studies (all based on type 2 DM patients) reported association of adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD, and the pooled RR was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.67–1.45), with significant heterogeneity between studies (p = 0.037, I² = 60.9%) (Figure 2).

Exploration of heterogeneity

Most of the variability across studies is due to true heterogeneity rather than sampling error as tested by an I² value of 60.9%. We perform subgroup analysis and found that there was no significant difference for the pooled estimate between two higher-quality studies [13,17] (with sample size greater than the median number of 402 cases, patients without preexisting CVD, duration of follow-up greater than the median number of 5 years) and that of other three lower-quality studies [14-16] (RR = 1.08, [0.53, 2.17], 0.82, [0.39, 1.73], respectively). The heterogeneity still existed in these two subgroups (I² = 73%, 68.9%, respectively). We then performed a sensitivity analysis by omitting one study at a time and calculating the pooled estimated effects for the remainder of the studies and found that none of the individual studies dramatically affected the overall results with lowest and highest RR with 95% CI were 0.82 (0.48–1.38) and 1.19 (0.92–1.54), respectively. The heterogeneity was partly explained by the Korean study, [14] and when this study was excluded there was small heterogeneity (I² = 26.4%). No evidence of publication bias was found when evaluated by the Begg’s test (p = 0.46), or Egger’s test (p = 0.15), although these tests were based on only five studies.
Discussion

Many studies, including several meta-analyses, have been conducted to evaluate the relationship between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD in healthy population. But for now there was, to our knowledge, no published meta-analysis to evaluate this association for diabetic patients. The present meta-analysis showed no association was found between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD in type 2 diabetic patients. The relationship did not vary by the study sample size, patients without or with preexisting CVD, duration of follow-up, being similar in higher- or lower-quality studies. Our results are consistent with those of two meta-analyses [9,10] evaluating the association in general population.

Adiponectin has been thought to reduce the risk for future CVD due to one of its function, anti-atherogenic activities [4]. However, recently a meta-analysis based on 16 prospective studies reported that higher concentrations of adiponectin do not reduce risk for CVD [10]. Another meta-analysis included 17 prospective studies also show there was no relationship between adiponectin concentrations and the risk of CHD or CVD [6]. One reason for explaining this phenomenon may be adiponectin isoforms, which dependent the biologic effects of adiponectin. However, the exact biologic effect of each isoform of adiponectin was still controversial [24].

For diabetic patients, the relationship between adiponectin and risk of CVD was mixed. One cohort study [14] based on type 2 DM and one nested case-control study [12] based on type 1 DM concluded that low concentration of adiponectin was a significant risk factor for development of CVD. They explained that adiponectin potentially possesses properties in addition to its anti-atherosclerotic and anti-inflammatory effects. [25,26].

On the contrary, one cohort study [16] based on type 2 DM with preexisting CVD and another large cohort study [17] based on type 2 DM without preexisting CVD support that higher concentrations of adiponectin were related to higher risk for CVD. In Hung’s study, [16] the reason why type 2 DM patients with preexisting CAD and high adiponectin concentrations were associated with an increased risk of secondary CVD has not been clarified. Schottker et al observed a U-shaped association between adiponectin and risk of CVD in patients with type 2 DM, and indicated that there are differences between diabetic patients and general population in the associations between circulating immune mediators and risk of CVD. [17].

What’s more, other two cohort studies [13,15] did not found any significant association. Schulze et al [13] found that there was an inverse association between adiponectin and risk of CHD in diabetic men, however, it was no longer statistically significant when adjustment for high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. They suggest that HDL cholesterol might partly regulate the relationship between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CHD. Krzyzanowska et al [15] support the idea that no significant association was found between adiponectin and risk of CVD in diabetes patients and assumed that although HMW adiponectin owned anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic effects, these effects play a more important role in the very early phase of atherogenesis than in manifest macrovascular disease as found in high-risk patients with type 2 DM.

The possible limitations of our meta-analysis must be taken into consideration. First, present meta-analysis is based on published results, more detailed combined analysis of studies using individual participant records could help to characterize dose-response relationship, evaluate associations in particular subgroups, compare directly the magnitude of risk association with adiponectin and CVD, allow more complete adjustment for potential confounding factors. Second, sample size or eligible studies in the present study was relatively small and may have insufficient statistical power to detect the marginal association. However, all the included studies were prospective study design, which reduces, to some extent, selection bias and recall bias compared with retrospective studies. Third, the heterogeneity in our results is substantial because our meta-analysis combines data from studies with different charismatics. However, when one study [14] was excluded, the heterogeneity became small. Fourth, publication bias is a potential concern for any meta-analysis based on published studies. The Begg’s test and Egger’s test suggest no publication bias in this meta-analysis, however, the small number of included studies limits the statistical power in these tests. Finally, we did not included...
unpublished data and it is also possible that we missed some eligible published studies.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis involved 6 prospective studies and indicated that no association was found between adiponectin concentrations and risk of CVD in type 2 diabetic patients. These results should be verified further by large sample size, long duration of follow-up, and well-designed prospective clinical trials.
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