Experimental and Numerical Study on the Anchorage Effect of Bolted Jointed Rock Masses
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Anchor technology has become an irreplaceable geotechnical engineering reinforcement measure. To clarify anchorage effects and investigate the three-dimensional (3D) crack propagation process of bolted jointed rock masses, a series of physical model tests and 3D numerical simulations were performed, and optimal anchoring conditions of jointed rock masses are found. The results showed that a bolted jointed rock mass had stronger compressive performance and deformation capability, with crack propagation controlled, especially in the anchorage zone, and the formation and slip of shear zones also restrained. Meanwhile, the fractured location is transferred from the joint tip to the interface between the bolt and surrounding rock. The numerical simulation based on the damage model of rocks at the mesoscale and a nonlinear shearing–sliding model for anchoring interfaces were conducted with the FLAC³D code to reproduce the 3D crack propagation and the gradual damage of bolted jointed rock masses. The anchorage effect increased the crack initiation stress of jointed rock masses, but the zone where the bolt passed through the joint cracked more easily. Once onset of the instability stage of the bolted jointed rock mass, cracks began to propagate and penetrate gradually to the anchorage zone. In addition, under uniaxial compression, a “Z”-shaped shear stress concentration zone is observed in the bolt, which is mainly attributed to the role of the bolt on controlling shear failure along the joint plane and transverse dilatancy of the specimen. Better anchorage effects were achieved by installing bolts after deformation of the jointed rock mass had developed to a certain extent. The optimal anchor opportunity for a jointed rock mass varied with the joint angle. More specifically, for the rock mass with a joint angle of 75°, the anchorage effect was best when the bolt was installed at 40% peak strain of the jointed rock mass, while 10% peak strain was perfect for the bolted rock mass with a 45° joint angle.
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INTRODUCTION

Although clean energy is widely used, it is still difficult to replace mineral resources due to its own limitations (H. Li et al., 2021a; He and Kusiak 2018; H. Li et al., 2021b). Economic development still depends heavily on ore mining. Joints exist in almost all mine engineering. The existence of joints has a significant impact on the physical and mechanical parameters of engineered rock structures. This leads to reduced mechanical parameters, changes in anisotropy, and even sudden instability of a rock mass (Bahrani and Kaiser 2020; J.; Xu et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2022; T.; Xu et al., 2013; L. N. Y.; Wong and Einstein 2009a; H.; Li et al., 2022; S.; Cui et al., 2021). With the development of anchor technology, the bearing capacity of jointed rock masses has been greatly improved, and the service life of engineering projects prolonged. It has gradually become an irreplaceable safety reinforcement measure for geotechnical engineering (Y. Li et al., 2017). In view of the reinforcement effect of bolts on jointed rock masses, including changes in mechanical parameters and damage evolution characteristics, many scholars have performed a large number of beneficial studies by means of laboratory tests and numerical simulations.

In regard to the laboratory investigations, many researchers have carried out uniaxial compression (Y. Li et al., 2017; Y. Li et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2020; Lei et al., 2020; Z. Zhang et al., 2026; R. Xu and Zhou 2019), splitting (Y. Li et al., 2017), shear (G. Wang et al., 2018; L.W. Zhang, Li, and Wang 2007; Lin et al., 2020; N. Chen et al., 2018; Z.-h. Zhao et al., 2018), and creep (Sun et al., 2020) tests on original jointed rocks or analog materials. In the aforementioned tests, the joint angle (Feng et al., 2020), joint plane (N. Chen et al., 2018), and bolt number (Y. Li et al., 2016; W.-m. Yang et al., 2010), installation mode (R. Xu and Zhou 2019; S.-Q. Yang et al., 2020), inclusion angle (W.-m. Yang et al., 2010; G.-j. Cui et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2020), material properties (G. Wang et al., 2018; S. Zhang, Wang, et al., 2020), and other factors have been considered. The effects of anchorage on compressive, tensile, shear, and aging properties of jointed rock masses have been gradually clarified. Previous studies have revealed that the more bolts there are, the more significant the improvement in the rock mass strength, but this can also be affected by the bolt inclusion angle (Y. Li et al., 2017). For through-jointed rock masses, with increased joint dip angle, the uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) of a bolted jointed rock mass decreases linearly and then tends to a certain value (Feng et al., 2020). Compared with those of unbolted specimens, both the peak and residual shear strength of bolted jointed specimens were improved to different degrees after the effect of joint roughness is considered (N. Chen et al., 2018).

The role of bolt installation on the security of practical engineering has been investigated using many well-designed physical model tests. According to similarity laws, mechanical parameters—such as rock uniaxial compressive and tensile strengths, Young’s modulus, elongation (G. Wang et al., 2018), dimensions, such as rock scale and bolt hole diameter, and density of engineering prototypes—have been reduced to produce physical models, to provide convenience in the investigation of practical issues (Y. Li et al., 2017; W.-m. Yang et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2018). In existing studies, simulated bolts—such as those composed of aluminum alloy (Y. Li et al., 2017; G. Wang et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2018), bamboo (W.-m. Yang et al., 2010), and carbon steel (Tong, Lu, and Zheng 2013)—have been made to meet the similarity laws to the maximum extent during the test of bolt performance.

As for the development of numerical simulations on the bolted jointed rock mass, the finite element method (W. Zhang et al., 2021; S.-H. Chen, Fu, and Isam 2009; Das, Deb, and Jha 2012), enriched finite element method (Grasselli 2005; Deb and Das 2011), finite difference method (Deb and C.Das 2011), differential element method (T.-B. Zhao, Zhang, et al., 2018; F.Q. Gao and Kang 2016; Karampinos, Hadjigeorgiou, and Turcotte 2016), and hybrid finite-differential element method (Saadat and Taheri 2020) have focused mainly on interactions between rock bolts and rock masses, whereas it does not consider the failure process of anchorage systems. In contrast, discontinuous deformation analysis (DDA) (Y. Li et al., 2016; Vlachopoulos et al., 2020) and real failure process analysis (RFPA) (Yokota et al., 2020; F.; Chen et al., 2019) can simulate the progressive mechanical behaviors and geometrical features of bolted jointed rock masses. For example, Y. Li et al. (2016) and Vlachopoulos et al. (2020) have proposed an optimized method using discontinuous deformation analysis (DDA) to study mechanical properties and reinforcement effects in bolted jointed rock masses. F. Chen et al. (2019) have observed the whole process of mechanical failure of bolted rocks with constant resistance bolts and revealed the failure mode of bolted rocks under different conditions. The advantages of DDA and RFPA lie on the fact that it can numerically simulate the whole failure process of bolted jointed rock masses. However, mostly the two-dimensional (2D) failure process is considered, and the role of the anchoring interface ignored. Based on the principle of damage mechanics, the feasibility of observing the gradual rock failure process in COMSOL Multiphysics (F. Chen et al., 2021; Q.Y. Wang et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2021) and RFPA (Zhu and Tang 2004; Tang and Xu 2017) codes has been demonstrated. The nonlinear shear-slip model of mortar–bolt interfaces has been shown to be able to reasonably reflect interactions between the bolt and rock (M. Huang, Zhou, and Ou 2014). Accordingly, the damage model of rock masses and the nonlinear shear-slip model of mortar–bolt interfaces have been considered emphatically in the development of 3D numerical simulation of bolted jointed rock mass.

In this study, the physical model test to simulate bolt–rock interactions and the anchorage effect on the jointed rock mass in Xincheng Gold Mine (Shandong, China) was developed, with the similarity of an anchored system, including the mortar, bolt, and rock mass, taken into consideration. The damage model of a rock mass integrating the nonlinear shear-slip model of the mortar–bolt interface was embedded into the FLAC3D code to simultaneously capture the damage-induced crack evolution and shear-induced interface displacement.

PHYSICAL MODEL TEST OF THE ANCHORAGE EFFECT OF THE JOINTED ROCK MASS

The bolted jointed rock mass model is produced by reducing the parameters of the actual rock in the Xincheng gold mine, according to similarity criterion. The uniaxial compression test
for the jointed rock mass is conducted to examine the role of bolts on controlling the rock instability.

**Development of Analog Materials**

In physical model testing, the stress similarity constant $C_\sigma$, size similarity constant $C_l$, and density similarity constant $C_\gamma$ can be generally obtained according to similarity laws. These three similarity constants were required to satisfy Eq. 1 (F. Wang et al., 2020), expressed as

$$\frac{C_\sigma}{C_\gamma C_l} = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

To simplify the similarity test, the density similarity constant $C_\gamma$ was assumed as 1/1, such that the stress similarity constant $C_\sigma$ equals the similarity constant $C_l$, which was set as 5 here considering the space limitation of the test machine in the laboratory test.

Through many tests, the mass ratio of quartz sand, barite, gypsum, and water in the mortar produced here was 1/0.71/1.14/0.46, respectively, and a proper amount of gypsum retarder and defoamer was also added. After 28 days of curing, the mechanical properties of the mortar just met the stress similarity constant $C_\sigma$.

The mechanical parameters of the mortar and actual rock at the −760 m level of the Xincheng gold mine are shown in **Supplementary Table S1**.

In preliminary testing, the bolt was the only detachment from the surrounding rock and was not broken. Therefore, the elastic modulus is the primary factor to be considered in the selection of bolted materials, thus leading to negligence of the similarity of
bolt strength. The elastic modulus of magnesium–manganese (Mg/Mn) alloy satisfied the stress similarity constant, which can be used to simulate the bolt. The mechanical properties of the simulated bolt and prototype rock bolt (steel) are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

**Producing Test Specimens**

**Jointed Specimen**
Crack propagation of a specimen shaped into 150 × 75 × 40 mm plates was observed using a digital image correlation technique (Rubino et al., 2015; G; Gao et al., 2017; F; Huang et al., 2020).

For mortar samples, the mortar was poured into a special mold, demolded, and cured for 28 days to obtain jointed specimens. Before casting, a 25 × 40 × 2 mm steel sheet and a 6 mm diameter steel bar were placed in the center of the mold and drawn out during demolding to form prefabricated joints and bolt holes, respectively (Figure 1). The angles of the joint with respect to bolts were 45° and 75°, respectively.

**Simulated Bolt**
According to the size similarity constant $C_l$, the Mg/Mn alloy bar was machined into a 4 mm diameter bolt, with the thread machined on the bolt surface to simulate the rough surface of a prototype rock bolt. In addition, a 0.5 mm deep groove was machined on the bolt surface of the bolt, which was used to lay an optical fiber to measure the bolt strain. Epoxy resin was used for providing adhesion between the optical fiber and bolt groove and also serving as the anchoring agent between the bolt and mortar.

The specimen production process and size are shown in Figure 1.

**Experimental System**
The experimental system included a test machine, acoustic emission (AE) device, digital image correlation (DIC) device, and distributed fiber optic strain-measuring device (Figure 2).

**Test Machine**
The test machine was an RLW-3000 hydraulic servo testing machine. In these experiments, loading was applied in the displacement-controlled mode, with the loading rate of 0.2 mm/s.

**AE Device**
The AE sensor with a resonant frequency of 55 kHz, an operating frequency of 30–100 kHz, and a peak sensitivity of 75 dB, was adopted. Four sensors were arranged on both sides of the sample.

**DIC Device**
The noncontact full-field strain measurement system consisted of two parts: artificial speckle and CCD camera. In order to ensure the measurement accuracy, a fixed focus lens installed on the CCD camera was placed in front of the specimen, which ensured that the aperture and focal length were stable during the test.
Artificial speckles were drawn into points with different shapes, and each of them occupied 5–10 pixels in the digital image which is beneficial to be accurately recognized. An artificial speckle pattern was produced on the front of the model, and its layout is shown in Figure 2. In addition, the light in the laboratory was also required to be extremely stable.

Distributed Fiber Optic Strain Measuring Device
The 0.5 mm diameter optical fiber was embedded into the groove of the simulated bolt using epoxy resin to ensure their synchronous deformation while loading. In this regard, the deformation of the bolt can be measured.

Experimental Results and Analysis
The anchorage effect of a rock bolt on the jointed rock mass was clarified by analyzing the stress–strain relationship, strain field distribution, AE response, and bolt strain of jointed and bolted jointed specimens.

Stress–Strain Relationship
The stress–strain curves of specimens with the joint angles being 75° and 45° under different anchorage conditions are given in Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S3. From these results, after bolting, the UCS of 75° and 45° jointed specimens increased by 16.63 and 21.54%, respectively. The peak strains of 75° and 45° jointed specimens increased by 11.11 and 10.81%, respectively. However, the elastic module of jointed samples hardly changed after the bolt was installed.

Strain Field Distribution
The maximum principle strain under uniaxial compression actually developed along the edge of the moving zone, which might have led to the formation of wing cracks and anti-wing cracks (Figure 4). The maximum principle strain fields of jointed and bolted jointed specimens under uniaxial compression were similar to those reported by L.N.Y. Wong and Einstein (2009b). The angles of the maximum principal strain direction of jointed and bolted jointed specimens are listed in Supplementary Table S4. Because the bolt did not pass through the wing crack propagation direction, the development of the maximum principal strain in the wing crack direction was not significantly affected. Specifically, after anchoring, the angle of the maximum principal strain of 75° and 45° jointed specimens shrunk by 0.08 and 3.21% in the development direction of the wing crack, respectively. In contrast, the propagation direction of the anti-wing crack passed through the anchorage zone, which made the maximum principal strain development obstructed and shrunk closer to the anchorage zone. The angle of the maximum principal strain of 75° and 45° jointed specimens shrunk by 13.46 and 10.30% in the development direction of the anti-wing crack, respectively.

AE Events
AE signals generated during the rock failure process are used to interpret the damage evolution. The crack radius can be calculated using the Brune model (J. Zhou et al., 2018; Brune 1970; J. Zhou et al., 2021) as

$$r = \frac{K v_s}{2\pi f_c^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $K$ is the Brune constant, the value of which is generally 2.34, $v_s$ is the shear wave velocity, and $f_c$ is the corner frequency.

The crack radius distribution of the specimens with the joint angles of 75° and 45° is shown in Figure 5. Clearly, the radius of most cracks was between 1.5 and 3.0 mm whether the jointed specimens were anchored or not. However, the crack propagation of jointed specimens was still restrained by the bolt, which was embodied in the following aspects. First, the average crack radius of jointed specimens was larger than that of bolted jointed specimens. Second, cracks with a radius close to 9.0 mm occurred during the failure process of jointed specimens, although their number was few. In contrast, cracks with a radius over 6.0 mm were difficult to be produced during the failure process of bolted jointed specimens.

The severity of the damage is reflected by the AE magnitude. The magnitude during the failure process of jointed and bolted jointed specimens was calculated by the method described according to Liu et al. (2021) (Figure 6). After anchorage, large-magnitude AE events during the compaction stage of jointed specimens became reduced. The number of AE events with a magnitude close to 8 in bolted jointed specimens was clearly less than that in jointed specimens near the instability stage. With bolt installation, the average magnitude of AE events during the failure of jointed rock specimens becomes low. This suggests that the anchorage effect reduced the severity of damage and improved the anti-disturbance capacity of jointed specimens.

The RA value (rising time/maximum amplitude) and average frequency (AF) of AE signals can be used to determine the tensile or shear damage modes (Souljuti et al., 2009). When $RA - AF > 0$, the signal is predominated in the shear damage mode, and it is predominated by tensile damage when $RA −
AF < 0 (Du et al., 2020; Y.-Q.; Wang et al., 2021). Tensile damage appeared to be the main mode of both jointed and bolted jointed specimens during uniaxial loading, while the shear mode appeared in large numbers near the instability stage (Figure 7), which indicated that the anchorage effect did not change the damage mode of jointed rock masses under uniaxial compression. The difference was that the proportion of AE signals produced in the shear mode in bolted jointed specimens was smaller than those in jointed specimens before the failure of specimens. More specifically, the proportion of AE signals predominated in shear damage before instability in 75° jointed specimens was 15.49%, while that of 75° bolted jointed specimens was reduced to 12.50%. The proportion of 45° bolted jointed samples decreased from 10.87 to 9.59% after the specimen was bolted. This phenomenon was attributed to the inhibition effects of the bolt in the formation and slip of shear zones, which led to decreased AE signals driven by the shear mode in bolted jointed samples. Therefore, it was considered that the anchorage effect inhibited shear damage in jointed specimens.

Under uniaxial compressive loading, the joint tip is always prone to cracking, which is due to the dislocation along the joint plane. After the jointed specimen is anchored, the cracking at the joint tip is alleviated. This is because dislocation is restrained by the bolt. As a cost, the interface between the bolt and jointed specimen becomes a location easy to crack.

Bolt Strain

Through distributed optical fiber measurements, the strain distribution along the whole length of the bolt was obtained (Figure 8). Bolt deformation in bolted jointed specimens was mainly tensile. The Mg/Mn alloy bolt is an elastic homogenous material, with an elastic modulus being 45 GPa, such that the strain in the bolt was always in tension during the tests. The tensile stress in the middle of the bolt was the highest, and the tensile stress was the lowest at both ends.

However, the highest strain was not located at the midpoint of the bolt. In specimen bolted with a 45° joint, the peak strain was 0.138%, and its location was 4 mm away from the bolt midpoint, while the peak strain point of 75° bolted jointed specimens was 12 mm away from the midpoint, and the strain was 0.137%, which almost equaled the value of the anchorage specimen with a 45° joint. This suggested that the reinforced range of a bolt was greater in a 75° jointed specimen than in a 45° jointed specimen.

3D NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE FAILURE PROCESS OF BOLTED JOINTED ROCK MASSES

Governing Equations

Damage Model for Rock Elements at the Mesoscale

The damage constitutive relationship of rocks under various stress states showed that damage to a rock was closely related to its stress state (Figure 9). When the rock stress state met the maximum tensile stress criterion or Mohr–Coulomb criterion, rock damage began to occur (Zhu et al., 2010). Under any stress condition, the maximum tensile stress criterion was preferred. The maximum tensile stress criterion and Mohr–Coulomb criterion were expressed as

\[ F_1 = \sigma_1 - f_{\text{ct}} \]

\[ F_2 = \left( \cos \theta - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta \sin \phi \right) \sqrt{J_2} + \sigma_m \sin \phi - \frac{1 - \sin \phi}{2} f_{\text{co}} \]

where \( \sigma_1 \) is the maximum principle stress, \( \sigma_m \) is the mean stress, \( f_{\text{ct}} \) is the tensile strength, and \( f_{\text{co}} \) is the cohesion strength.
where $\sigma_1$ is the major principle stress, $f_{t0}$ and $f_{c0}$ are the rock tensile strength and uniaxial compressive strength, respectively, and $\phi$ is the internal friction angle. The mean stress was $\sigma_m = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3}$, where $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ are the intermediate and minor principle stresses, respectively. For lode angle $\theta = \frac{1}{3}\sin^{-1}\left(-\frac{3\sqrt{J_3}}{2\sqrt{J_2}}\right)$, $(-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6})$ are defined. $J_2$ and $J_3$ are the second and third principle invariants of the stress deviator, respectively, where $D$ is the damage variable determined by (G.-l. Zhou et al., 2020; Y.-Q. Wang et al., 2021), $0 \leq D \leq 1$. The detailed formula is expressed in Eq. 4 as

$$D = \begin{cases} 0 & F_1 < 0 \text{ and } F_2 < 0 \\ \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1} F_1 = 0 \text{ and } dF_1 > 0 \\ \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_3} F_2 = 0 \text{ and } dF_2 > 0 \end{cases}.$$  

(5)

These damage models, given as equations (2)–(4), were implemented into FLAC$^{(3D)}$ to simulate the damage and failure of rocks under various loading conditions.

### Nonlinear Shear-Slipping Model of an Anchoring Interface

In the nonlinear shear-sliding (query) model (M. Huang, Zhou, and Ou 2014), the shear stress and shear displacement relationship of the anchoring interface was

$$\tau = \tau_r + a \exp(-bs) - (a + \tau_r) \exp(-2bs),$$  

(6)

where $\tau_r \geq 0$, $a \geq 0$, and $b > 0$, with $\tau$ being the shear stress of the anchoring interface, $s$ being the bolt slip, and $\tau_r$ being the residual shear strength of the anchoring interface. Parameters $a$ and $b$ are determined by the peak shear strength $\tau_u$ and peak shear displacements $s_u$ and $\tau_r$.

Suppose the residual shear strength $\tau_r$ was expressed as

$$\tau_r = \gamma \tau_u,$$  

(7)

where $\gamma$ is the ratio of the residual shear strength to peak shear strength, $0 < \gamma < 1$. Parameters $a$ and $b$ were expressed as

$$a = 2\tau_u (1 - \gamma + \sqrt{1 - \gamma}),$$  

(8)
The relationship between the anchoring interface shear stress and shear displacement is shown in Figure 9.

Implementation and Results of Numerical Simulations

Boundary Conditions and Mechanical Parameters

Referring to the experimental scheme, boundary conditions of the numerical model were set. Both ends of the specimen were steel plates, and the plates and bolts were assumed to be homogenous materials.

Because rock heterogeneity was considered in numerical simulations, the damage of rock elements in a specimen should be different under the same input of Weibull distribution parameters of UCS and elastic modulus, but the failure pattern observed here was indeed similar (Zhu and Tang 2004). The mechanical parameters of the specimen are listed in Supplementary Table S3.

Numerical Simulation Results

Stress–Strain Relationship

The stress–strain relationships of jointed and bolted jointed specimens under uniaxial compression were obtained by experimental and numerical simulations (Figure 10). The elastic modulus and UCS of these specimens obtained by numerical simulation were in favorable agreement with the experimental results except that the compaction stage was neglected in the elastic damage constitutive relationship. The 3D numerical simulation method, based on the damage model for rock elements and the nonlinear shear-sliding model of the anchoring interface, was capable of describing the stress–strain relationship of jointed and bolted jointed rock masses.

Failure Mode

The final failure modes of jointed and bolted jointed specimens under uniaxial compression obtained by experimental and numerical simulation showed that cracks in 75° jointed and bolted jointed specimens were mainly wing cracks, while cracks in 45° specimens were mainly wing and anti-wing cracks (Figure 10). The damage and cracks of the jointed specimen were fully developed than those of the bolted jointed specimen when the peak stress was reached. The failure modes of specimens obtained by numerical simulation were in good agreement with the experimental results. Thus, numerical simulation appeared to be able to describe the 3D failure process of jointed and bolted jointed specimens.
Damage Evolution

Through numerical simulation, the damage evolution of jointed and bolted jointed specimens under uniaxial compression was obtained, thus showing the 3D damage process (Figure 11). After comparison, the specimens’ internal damage and bolt shear stress characteristics were deduced in the following observations:

1) To provide convenience for the following clarification, a crack initiation stress was defined as the stress when the crack began.
to propagate in numerical simulation. The crack initiation stress of bolted jointed specimens was greater than that of jointed specimens. The crack initiation stress of 75° jointed specimens was 90.70% of its UCS, while that of 75° bolted jointed specimens was 97.17%. The initiation stress of 45° jointed specimens was 27.20% of its UCS, while that of 45° bolted jointed specimens was 56.67%.

2) Under uniaxial compression, jointed specimens first damaged at the joint tip, resulting in wing cracks. For bolted jointed samples, the zone where the bolt passed through the joint was also very easy to damage. The transfer of the damage-prone location in the jointed specimen induced by the anchorage effect was consistent with the distribution of AE events (Figure 12). As the axial load increased, the surrounding rock in the anchorage zone was gradually destroyed, which finally led to detachment between the bolt and surrounding rock, resulting in aging of the anchorage effect.

3) When jointed and bolted jointed specimens reached the peak stress, damage in jointed specimens was clearly more developed than in bolted jointed specimens. This showed that the anchorage effect had a strong inhibitory effect on damage propagation.

4) The shear stress concentration zone of a bolt first appeared at the location where the bolt passed through the joint and gradually formed a “Z”-shaped shear stress concentration zone with the increased axial load. This was because, on one hand, the bolt restrained shear sliding along the joint plane, and on the other hand, the bolt also confined transverse deformation of the specimen.

**Investigation on the Best Anchoring Strategy for Bolting Based on Numerical Simulations**

The surrounding rock will deform due to tunnel excavation. To what extent the surrounding rock deforms, the best anchoring effect can be obtained by installing a bolt, which is a problem that needs further discussion. To elucidate the best anchoring strategy, bolts were installed at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% of the peak strain of the jointed specimens. However, the UCS of jointed specimens (0% of the peak strain) bolted first and then loaded were not the highest (Figure 13 and Supplementary Table S6). For 75° jointed specimens, when loaded to 40% of the peak strain and then bolted, its UCS was the highest, their compressive strength the
largest, and their anchorage effect the best. However, when loaded to 90% of the peak strain and then bolted, its UCS was lower than other bolted jointed samples, and the anchorage effect was weak. For 45° jointed specimens, the UCS was the highest, and the anchorage effect the best when the specimen was loaded to 10% of its peak strain and then bolted. When loading to 90% of the peak strain, its UCS was lower than that of other bolted jointed samples, and the anchorage effect was the weakest.

FIGURE 11 | Damage evolution of jointed and bolted jointed specimens under uniaxial compression and maximum shear stress of the bolt: 75° jointed and bolted jointed specimens (A) and 45° jointed and bolted jointed specimens (B).
The damage modes of bolted jointed specimens under different strain states showed that for 75° jointed specimens loaded to 40% of the peak strain and then bolted, the damage size was the smallest when the peak load was reached (Figure 14). When loaded to 90% of the peak strain and then bolted, damage developed most when reaching the peak load. For 45° jointed...
specimens loaded to 10% of the peak strain and then bolted, the damage size was the smallest at the peak load. When loaded to 90% of the peak strain and then bolted, damage mostly developed at the peak load.

The aforementioned results suggest that the best support opportunity for bolting can be acquired after the surrounding rock deforms properly. If the bolt is installed when the surrounding rock begins to deform, the dislocation between the bolt and the surrounding rock might be greater than the peak shear displacement $\sigma_u$. At this time, there is only residual shear strength $\tau_r$ between the bolt and surrounding rock, and the anchorage effect is limited, which leads to the easy separation of the surrounding rock and bolt. In contrast, if the bolt is installed after the surrounding rock has experienced a certain deformation, dislocation between the bolt and the surrounding rock might be less than the peak shear displacement $\sigma_u$. Meanwhile, greater shear stress can be obtained between the surrounding rock and bolt. In this case, the bolt will have stronger adhesion in the surrounding rock and obtain a better anchorage effect. For a 75° jointed rock mass, when the bolt is installed in the jointed rock mass with 40% of the peak strain, the anchoring effect is the best. For a 45° jointed rock mass, when the bolt is installed in the
jointed rock mass with 10% of the peak strain, the anchoring effect is the best.

CONCLUSION

The interaction between the bolt and surrounding rock and the role of the bolt on controlling the damage evolution in the jointed rock mass were investigated using a physical model test and numerical simulation. The main conclusions are as follows:

1) The compressive strength and deformation capacity of the jointed rock mass were significantly improved by the bolts. Crack propagation, shear band formation, and interface slip induced by rock damage were also constrained by bolting. Meanwhile, the damage-prone location was transferred from the joint tip to the interface between the bolt and surrounding rock.

2) The 3D progressive failure process of the bolted jointed rock mass was simulated by a developed FLAC3D code by integrating a damage model for rock elements and a nonlinear shearing-sliding model of anchored interfaces. This numerical simulation can not only reproduce experimental phenomena but also clarify internal damage propagation and the instability process in bolted jointed rock masses.

3) Numerical simulation results showed that the crack initiation stress of a bolted jointed rock mass increased significantly, but the zone where the bolt passed through the joint was also easier to damage. The damage began to propagate and penetrate gradually in the anchorage zone onset of the instability stage. In addition, the bolt formed a “Z”-shaped shear stress concentration zone observed in the bolt, which is mainly attributed to the role of the bolt in controlling the shear damage along the joint plane and transverse dilatancy of the specimen.

4) A better anchorage effect was achieved by installing bolts after the jointed rock mass deformed to a certain extent. The optimum anchorage opportunity of the jointed rock mass varied with the change of the joint angle. More specifically, for the bolted rock mass with the joint angle being 75°, the anchorage effect was best when the bolt was installed in the jointed rock mass with 40% of the peak strain, while 10% of the peak strain was perfect for the bolted rock mass with the joint angle being 45°.
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