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Abstract. The Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative (ViVi) is an international, scientific, non-profit, research organization, which aims to promote research, clinical practice and communication on Paediatric Infectious Diseases (PID) in a globalized healthcare setting, to facilitate the implementation of high standards in vaccine safety and efficacy and to support international and interdisciplinary scientific collaboration. Professor Barbara A. Rath, Chair and Co-founder of the Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative, advocates for the establishment of global research networks in the field of neonatal and paediatric viral infections. Viruses do not respect borders, and large datasets are required and joint action is necessary to further strengthen efforts towards viral diseases eradication and prevention. She encourages the paediatric community to embrace the new opportunities technology offers for healthcare and medical education. To date, the Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative has developed a number of innovative mobile applications and diagnostic tools, such as the ‘VAccApp’, which helps parents understand which vaccines were administered to their children, the ‘ViVi Disease Severity Score’, which measures clinical severity in patients with acute respiratory infections and flu-like illnesses, the ‘VACC Tool’, which assesses patient’s clinical presentation to a set of diagnostic algorithms for adverse events following immunization and the ‘ViVi Health Survey’, which enables children and young adults on the move to report health needs securely and confidentially. Professor Rath agrees that during this decade there is momentum in the field of Paediatric Virology, as new antivirals and vaccines emerge and are finally becoming available to children. In the future, ‘in-house’ specialists for Paediatric Virology could be helpful to provide quality of care and reduce antimicrobial resistance by providing individual as well as hospital-wide consultations and advice. She estimates that Paediatric Virology will eventually find its place in the context of PID and Vaccinology.
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1. Introduction

Professor Barbara A. Rath, MD, PhD, HDR (Fig. 1), is a board-certified Paediatrician and Infectious Diseases Specialist with more than 20 years of experience in clinical trials, Public Health and Virology in the USA, Latin America and Europe. Professor Rath is an Honorary Professor at Nottingham School of Medicine (Nottingham, UK) and Research Director at the University of Bourgogne-Franche-Comté (Besançon, France). She received her medical education in Germany, the USA and Spain, and her doctoral degrees and habilitation from the University of Basel in Switzerland and the University of Bourgogne-Franche-Comté in France. In addition to a post-doctoral fellowship at the Stanford School of Medicine at the Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine (California, USA), she received paediatric residency and infectious diseases subspecialty training at Duke University in Durham (North Carolina, USA) and Tulane University in New Orleans (Louisiana, USA). In 2018, she completed the Global Paediatrics Leadership Programme at Harvard Medical School in Boston (Massachusetts, USA). Professor Rath chairs the Epidemiology Group for the International Society for Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses (ISIRV) and is (ex-officio) board member for ISIRV. She also serves on the board for the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Study Group for Respiratory Viruses (ESGREV). Recent research focuses on defining a Precision Medicine approach to managing acute viral infections in children. By combining the innovation technique of Design Thinking with scientific scrutiny, she developed digital real-time surveillance
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Professor Rath is Co-founder and Chair of the Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative (ViVI; www.vi-vi.org), an international think tank and non-profit-organization focused on new avenues for the treatment, communication and prevention of Paediatric Infectious Diseases (PID). Her team developed and validated clinical and virologic endpoints and mobile applications or clinical trials and observational settings. The goal is to individualize the management of influenza and other respiratory viral infections through the integration of PID epidemiology with data standardization, e-health, biomarker research and advanced diagnostic capabilities. Members of the Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative include experts in information technology, data standards, risk communication, global health, population science, health policy, bioethics and regulatory science. The Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative is a founding member of the International Association of Innovation Professionals (IAOIP) and an institutional member of the European Forum for Good Clinical Practice (EFGCP), the European Union-Joint Action on Vaccination (EU-JAV) and the EU Coalition for Vaccination.

In the context of the ’5th workshop on Paediatric Virology’, which will be held in Sparta (Greece), Professor Rath will give her plenary lecture on the understanding of the burden of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and influenza infections in real-time and she will present results from the European pilot project entitled ’Partnering for Enhanced Digital Surveillance of Influenza-like Disease and the Effectiveness of Antivirals and Vaccines’ (PEDSIDEA). She will also receive by the Paediatric Virology Study Group (PVS) the ’2019 Paediatric Virology Award’ for her outstanding academic, research and clinical contribution in Paediatric Virology.

2. Questions and Answers

**Question:** What is the Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative and which are its principal aims?

**Answer:** The Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative is an international scientific think tank and non-profit research organization. Our principal aims are: i) To promote evidence-based and science-informed infectious diseases and vaccine safety research and communication; ii) to stimulate thinking around key concepts and drive innovation in a globalized healthcare setting; iii) to facilitate the implementation of high standards in vaccine safety and efficacy; and iv) to provide a platform for international and interdisciplinary scientific collaboration in infectious diseases and vaccines. When the ViVI scientific think tank was initially launched in 2008 in Vienna, Austria, this was done out of a shared concern over an increasingly polarized vaccine debate. As scientists and subject matter experts studying various aspects of vaccines and immunization, we decided that we needed to do a better job at working together and communicating what we know, and how we know it. We took an innovative, person-centered approach. The think tank was designed to include experts in Clinical Medicine (e.g., Paediatrics, PID, Family Medicine, Internal Medicine and Dermatology), Virology, Vaccine Research and Development, Basic Sciences, Epidemiology, Linguistics, Information Technology, Medical Anthropology, Data Standards, Regulatory Science and Bioethics. For new ideas, we need all of these disciplines, and the scientific knowledge that is available to us needs to be translated into measurable benefits for the people we serve.

**Question:** Despite the growing evidence supporting the safety and the effectiveness of recommended vaccines, over the past decades, some parents have become reluctant to have their children fully vaccinated. What are the most frequent reasons for parental vaccination concerns and hesitance? How can this be improved?

**Answer:** The reasons for vaccine hesitancy are complex and vary in different cultures and sociodemographic groups. One of our first research projects was to assess vaccine safety perceptions in Vienna, Austria. A team of doctoral students worked with us to conduct a survey among parents picking up their children from kindergarten. The survey instrument was originally designed by the US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and adopted to the Austrian healthcare system. We assessed parents across all city districts covering different sociodemographic strata. We found that influenza vaccine was the least trusted vaccine. The main reasons for vaccine refusal were concerns over the safety and/or necessity (i.e., efficacy) of a vaccine. An intact parent-physician relationship was the most important factor linked to trust in vaccines (1). Physicians, especially paediatricians, were the most trusted sources of vaccine information, whereas many online sources and television reports were perceived as ‘fear-mongering’. Incidentally, this project was completed right before the first news about the 2009/10 influenza pandemic broke. We cannot approach parents’ concerns with a ’one serves-all approach’. Ideally, vaccine consultations should be provided by well-trained healthcare professionals, who are both knowledgeable about the topic and willing to listen (2). During vaccine conversations, it is important to bear in mind that parents usually weigh the individual good (i.e., the expected benefits to their child) above the common good (3).
**Question:** Acute respiratory infections represent common paediatric emergencies and their accurate diagnosis is necessary for their management and control. Can we clinically distinguish respiratory viral infections based on clinical symptoms and signs?

**Answer:** It is interesting that most paediatricians have a basic idea of ‘how influenza looks like clinically’, as opposed to other types of viral infection. Most textbooks still teach these concepts without much evidence to back them up. We were interested in challenging these concepts, and we investigated whether paediatric respiratory viral infections could be discriminated based on a patient's symptoms. We conducted an extensive literature review looking for symptoms linked to specific respiratory viral infections [PROSPERO No. CRD42017059557]. We compared the results of the literature review to our own observations in a paediatric cohort of ca. 6,000 patients. What we found is that certain symptoms, such as cough, were indeed positively linked to influenza, for example, but they were also linked to other types of respiratory infection, such as RSV, human metapneumovirus and human bocavirus infections. This means that clinical symptoms by themselves do not rule in/out any specific type of respiratory viral infection, i.e., specific symptoms may be observed in one type of infection but also in others, even if less frequently (4). The idea that we can diagnose RSV or other respiratory viral infections ‘clinically’ may indeed be a myth.

**Question:** How frequent is the underreporting of acute respiratory infections, such as influenza and RSV, in hospital-based surveillance? What can be the value of specific sensitive rapid tests, e.g., RSV or influenza, as well of the modern molecular Virology diagnostic tools? How difficult is surveillance of viral infections at a national or international level?

**Answer:** The underreporting of respiratory viral infections in hospitals is probably quite common. Hospital-based surveillance systems often use one or several of the following parameters to estimate RSV or influenza incidence rates: International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-coding, hospital laboratory reports, or clinical case definitions. In a quality improvement (QI) programme in Berlin in collaboration with the National Reference Centre for Influenza at the Robert Koch institute, we established a QI team (independent from routine care) that would assess all influenza-like illness (ILI) patients taking samples to be tested for respiratory viruses. We learned that without the QI system in place, we would have missed the majority of specific respiratory viral diagnoses (5). Among 6,073 individuals with ILI qualifying for the QI programme, only 8.7% (528/6,073) would have undergone virus diagnostics during routine care. Emergency room surveillance based on ICD codes would have missed 61% (359/587) of influenza diagnoses. Less than half (49.6%, 398/802) of all RSV cases received RSV-specific ICD codes (such as J20.5: ‘acute bronchitis due to RSV’) (5). Considering these challenges, we were interested in the question whether point of care testing could help us make accurate diagnoses more quickly and also to make the test results known to the patients and their caretakers while they were still with us in the Emergency Department (ED) (6). Knowing the rate of ‘break through cases’ is important for the evaluation of antivirals and vaccines. We found that newer, second-generation rapid tests can be quite useful, especially in children (7-9). The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently lifted the sensitivity thresholds for rapid diagnostic tests (10). Rapid tests meeting these new standards can be used without hesitation. We are always on the look-out for novel technologies, such as rapid tests that could inform us about antiviral drug resistance, for example. Considering that treatment options will be expanding in the near future, we will need to adjust best practices. For more timely and comprehensive surveillance we need to include both, high and low resource settings. This requires diagnostic tests that are affordable, easily shipped and set up, highly accurate and simple to perform (i.e., Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) - waived). It should be possible to test specimens individually and in batches (adapting to patient numbers). Most importantly, we need health economic analyses evaluating their performance in antibiotic stewardship and QI programmes.

**Question:** Antiviral agents are frequently underused or misused, while in several cases of children with viral infections antibiotics are prescribed. What do you believe that are the reasons for this and how can this change?

**Answer:** In our experience in Berlin, antivirals were hardly ever used in routine care. Not all paediatricians are comfortable with antiviral prescribing. Also, there seems to be significant delay between the time when new antivirals are licensed for adults and the time when they become available to the paediatric age group. Until recently, for example, there has not been any licensed influenza antiviral medication available for infants and neonates, who are among the most vulnerable risk groups. Paediatricians and neonatologists need to move the field forward with clinical trials in infants and children (11-13). Meanwhile, the design of paediatric clinical trials is increasingly complex. We need to create consensus on what we want to achieve with an intervention. The objectives of treating chronic viral infections, which may reactivate throughout a patient’s lifetime, are quite distinct from acute viral infections. The dynamics of resistance development are different in DNA and RNA viruses (14,15). As new treatment options become available, we will need to study and evaluate risk/benefit in different settings and populations. Tom Merigan recently highlighted the fact that ‘HIV provided one of the first examples of personalized Medicine’ (personal communication). Maybe, we will use more combination therapies in the future along with individualized treatment plans. Some viral diseases may become vaccine preventable and treatable at the same time. This means that paediatricians must be trained to take a patient's clinical severity and individual risk factors into account (6) and to administer the best treatment at the right time. Rapid diagnostics will help us adjust our decisions quickly, while the patient is still in front of us (9). Unnecessary 'random' antimicrobial use must be avoided. Paediatricians need to be more confident at withholding antimicrobials in cases where there is no evidence of a treatable cause (16). Instead, we will need to look for specific diagnoses to guide our management decisions and communication. Implementation research and health economic analyses will help us develop strategies that are beneficial to the individual and the environment.
**Question:** Recently, your team has proposed innovative mobile applications to improve quality of care. How influential can the usage of modern technology be in medical education and paediatric health practice? How can it be used by our patients and their parents?

**Answer:** It is fascinating to see what can be achieved if we embrace the opportunities technology offers for healthcare and medical education. Technological innovation can go both ways: It can drive us further apart and it can bring us closer together. The Vienna Vaccine Safety Initiative created a number of innovative ideas using ‘Design Thinking’, an innovation technique placing the end-user at the core of the development process (17). Interdisciplinary team work, rapid prototyping, multiple iterations and rigorous research lead to the development of digital tools that hold up to scientific scrutiny (18). One example is the ‘VAccApp’, a mobile application helping parents understand which vaccines were administered to their children (19). Current immunization records are not easily accessible at the point of care (26). The development of antiviral therapy for people living with HIV/AIDS would have been unconceivable without international collaboration. HIV/AIDS also triggered a new level of patient engagement. We should be encouraged by the success of joint action. Many viral infections have become vaccine preventable, and we need to further strengthen efforts towards disease eradication and prevention.

**Question:** To date, you have encouraged with enthusiasm the establishment of international scientific networks and you have participated in several global research collaborations. How useful and how difficult are international collaborations in our field?

**Answer:** When it comes to infectious diseases and disease prevention, international collaboration is important. We know that viruses do not respect borders. Unless we work together, we will not succeed. Networks help us to study new and emerging pathogens (37,38), to improve the management of ‘established’ viral infections, and to contain those making a comeback due to gaps in vaccination coverage (such a measles). Vaccine research and development is not possible without large datasets. The development of antiviral therapy for people living with HIV/AIDS would have been unconceivable without international collaboration. HIV/AIDS also triggered a new level of patient engagement. We should be encouraged by the success of joint action. Many viral infections have become vaccine preventable, and we need to further strengthen efforts towards disease eradication and prevention.

**Question:** By which values/virtues have you been inspired in your career? What is the key of your clinical, research and academic success?

**Answer:** This question reminds me of a winter semester spent at the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, where I surveyed medical students about their perceptions of the *Hippocratic Oath* (39). One of the questions asked was ‘which criteria do you find most important in your medical practice: personal convictions, inter/national law, or professional codes?’. It is a difficult question. In most cases it is a mixture of the above and we hope they never get into conflict with one another. One of my favourite places to learn during medical school was the Institute of Medical History. Here, we heard about the trials and tribulations of our profession, but also about its very best moments. We learned to work with people from other disciplines discussing topics that have no simple yes/no answers. What is the significance of historical documents such as the *Hippocratic Oath*, the Prayer of Maimonides (40), or the Geneva Convention (41) in a ‘post Hippocratic Era’ (42), where we struggle with the role of pluralism (43), professionalism (44,45), paternalism (46,47), scientific progress and digital Medicine in society (48-50)? During my final semester, we focused on the Nuremberg Trials (51,52) studying court
proceedings (53), visiting the Palace of Justice building, learning about health-related propaganda during the 1930s in Germany, and the conditions leading to a ‘Medicine without humanity (46,54,55)’. All of this left a lasting impression. Regardless of religious or political views, we should treat people the same way we would like to be treated in return. We should engage without judgment. What I enjoy most about children, is their low tolerance for pretence. Looking at the history of our profession (including views from the outside) can give us the necessary distance for a critical analysis of current practices and ways to improve them. Some of my favourite reads are ‘La Naissance de la Clinique’ by Michel Foucault, ‘The Doctor’s Dilemma’ by George Bernard Shaw and the always ironic depictions of ‘doctor figures’ in Thomas Mann’s novels.

Question: German, British or US national health system? Which one do you support as the best in paediatric health care?
Answer: This is difficult for me to say as I am not an expert in health systems or economics. Having trained or practiced in seven different counties, I have found positive and negative aspects in each of them. As a paediatrician, I am in favour of any system that provides high-quality care to those who are too young to vote or lobby for themselves. A good healthcare system should allow us to practice in line with the principles of bioethics, including justice i.e., the fair allocation of resources and respect for patient autonomy (56). At the same time, healthcare systems should have sufficient flexibility to allow constant improvements through science and innovation (57).

Question: Paediatric Virology is an increasing educational and clinical challenge. New antiviral agents and vaccines are still expected; new viruses are emerging, while specific groups of patients, such Paediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) patients, transplant children, HIV patients, migrant and refugee children require advanced health care support. What are the most important needs in the field of paediatric viral infections that should be solved in the near future?
Answer: There is momentum in the field of Paediatric Virology as new antivirals and vaccines emerge and are finally becoming available to children. Basic knowledge about the mechanisms of drug resistance and a one-health approach to Medicine along with new indications for treatment and prevention should be taught in medical school. When treating influenza infections in infants for example, a lag in virologic response could be an early indicator for resistance development (14). This resembles experiences made with the use of antiretrovirals (58-60). Whenever we think about antiviral resistance development, we need a paediatrician's workforce with new skills and a global mindset to meet the challenges of the future.

Question: Thank you for your answers as well as your co-chairship and your plenary lecture at our workshop in October in Sparta, Greece.
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