SR-BI/CD36 Chimeric Receptors Define Extracellular Subdomains of SR-BI Critical for Cholesterol Transport
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ABSTRACT: High-density lipoproteins (HDLs) are athero-protective, primarily because of their ability to promote cholesterol flux from peripheral tissues to the liver by reverse cholesterol transport (RCT). The delivery of HDL-cholesteryl esters (CE) into cells is mediated by the HDL receptor, scavenger receptor class B type I (SR-BI), a promising target for enhancing whole body cholesterol disposal and preventing cardiovascular disease. A detailed understanding of the structural determinants underlying proper SR-BI/HDL alignment that supports the selective uptake of HDL-CE into cells remains lacking. To this end, we exploited CD36, a class B scavenger receptor with a predicted topology similar to that of SR-BI that binds HDL but is unable to mediate efficient selective uptake of HDL-CE. We generated a series of SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors that span the extracellular (EC) domain of SR-BI to delineate regions that are essential for SR-BI’s cholesterol transport functions. All 16 SR-BI/CD36 chimeras were transiently expressed in COS-7 cells, and their plasma membrane localization was confirmed. The majority of SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors displayed significant reductions in their ability to (i) bind HDL, (ii) deliver HDL-CE to cells, (iii) mediate efflux of free cholesterol (FC) to HDL, and (iv) redistribute plasma membrane domains of FC. We also demonstrated that changes in SR-BI function were independent of receptor oligomerization. Altogether, we have identified discrete subdomains, particularly in the N-terminal and C-terminal regions of the EC domain of SR-BI, that are critical for productive receptor–ligand interactions and the various cholesterol transport functions of SR-BI.

For decades, epidemiological studies have reported an inverse relationship between the risk for developing coronary heart disease and plasma concentrations of high-density lipoproteins (HDLs).25 Indeed, HDL protects against the development and progression of atherosclerosis by several mechanisms, including reductions in oxidative damage, endothelial dysfunction, and inflammation (reviewed in ref 3). A growing body of evidence now strongly suggests that the “function” of HDL is a better indicator of cardiovascular risk and has ignited an ongoing debate about whether higher HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels are truly athero-protective.4−6 This is especially important in light of a recent Mendelian randomization study that revealed a lack of association between a lowered risk of myocardial infarction and genetic mechanisms that increase plasma HDL-C levels.7

Relevant to the studies described herein, HDL is also known to be athero-protective primarily by virtue of its role in reverse cholesterol transport (RCT) whereby HDL transports cholesterol from peripheral tissues to the liver for excretion via bile formation.8,9 In the final steps of RCT, scavenger receptor class B type I (SR-BI), the most physiologically relevant HDL receptor,10 facilitates the selective uptake of cholesteryl ester (CE) from HDL into hepatocytes for disposal.11,12 The selective uptake process involves two steps in which (i) HDL must bind to the extracellular (EC) domain of SR-BI and (ii) lipid alone is transferred from HDL to the plasma membrane, without holoparticle uptake.13−15 The selective uptake activity of SR-BI is not dependent on other proteins,16 and our recent evidence of the existence of SR-BI oligomers17,18 supports the notion that HDL-CE uptake occurs via a nonaqueous pathway, possibly involving the formation of a “hydrophobic channel”.19

The anti-atherogenic properties of SR-BI and its ability to promote RCT are firmly established by studies in mice and humans. Hepatic overexpression of SR-BI in mice8,20,21 markedly lowered HDL-C levels, enhanced cholesterol catabolism and excretion, and slowed atherosclerosis.22−24 On the other hand, a 50% reduction in the level of SR-BI expression25 or full disruption of the SR-BI gene26,27 in mice significantly increased plasma HDL-C levels yet dramatically accelerated atherosclerosis.27−29 More recently, mutations of SR-BI, identified in patients with high HDL-C levels,30,31 were associated with impaired cholesterol transport functions31,32.
The correlation of elevated HDL levels with high levels of plasma cholesterol is due to the dramatic defect in RCT resulting from SR-BI deficiency\textsuperscript{33} and is a perfect example of how the flux of cholesterol is a more important determinant of cardiovascular risk than steady-state levels of HDL-C.

One approach to improving cholesterol flux out of the body, and ultimately HDL "function", is to enhance the removal of HDL-CE via SR-BI. A critical first step in developing new approaches for promoting the selective uptake of HDL-CE requires a clear understanding of the structural determinants underlying proper SR-BI/HDL alignment via "productive complex" formation.\textsuperscript{34} SR-BI is a glycosylated cell surface receptor\textsuperscript{35,36} that consists of a large EC domain anchored by two transmembrane domains and two cytoplasmic domains.\textsuperscript{37} CD36, a class B scavenger receptor with a predicted topology similar to SR-BI, binds HDL but is unable to mediate efficient HDL-CE selective uptake.\textsuperscript{13,14} This feature of CD36 was exploited to generate SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors.\textsuperscript{13,14} These "domain swap" chimeras were generated by exchanging the cytoplasmic domains, the transmembrane domains, and/or the EC domain of SR-BI with those of CD36. In these studies,\textsuperscript{13,14} only chimeras containing the EC domain of SR-BI were able to mediate selective uptake of HDL-CE regardless of the origin of the transmembrane domains and/or cytoplasmic domains, thus establishing that the EC domain of SR-BI is the sole requirement for the selective uptake of HDL-CE. In fact, a spectrum of rather distinct SR-BI-mediated activities appears to be an inherent property of the EC domain of SR-BI, including the bidirectional flux of free cholesterol (FC), increased cellular FC mass, and enhanced sensitivity of FC to exogenous cholesterol oxidase.\textsuperscript{38,39}

To further define and characterize extracellular subdomains within SR-BI that are required for cholesterol transport, we constructed a series of 16 SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors that span the entire EC domain of SR-BI to delineate regions that are essential for HDL binding and HDL-CE selective uptake. Because CD36 binds HDL but cannot mediate efficient selective uptake, we hypothesized that our entire panel of SR-BI/CD36 chimeras would bind HDL at levels similar to that of wild-type SR-BI, but only some chimeras would be unable to mediate selective uptake of HDL-CE. We also anticipated that these chimeras would provide insight into which subdomains are required for efflux of FC to HDL and distribution of FC in the plasma membrane.

\textbf{EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES}

\textbf{Materials.} The following antibodies were used: anti-SR-BI antibody directed against either the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain or the EC domain (Novus Biologicals, Inc., Littleton, CO), anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Millipore, Billerica, MA), peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary IgG, and peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse secondary IgG (GE Healthcare), fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary IgG (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), and Alexa633-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary IgG (Invitrogen). Human HDL (1.063–1.21 g/mL) was purchased from Biomedical Technologies, Inc.\textsuperscript{125}Sodium iodide and \textsuperscript{3}H]cholesterol were purchased from PerkinElmer, and \textsuperscript{3}H]cholesterol oleyl ether (COE) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, MO). EZ-Link sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin and streptavidin agarose resin were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, IL). Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFO), cholesterol oxidase (\textit{Streptomyces}), acyl-CoA cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) inhibitor (i.e., Sandoz S8-035), and cholesterol, 4-cholesten-3-one, and cholesterol olate standards were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

\textbf{Plasmids.} The murine SR-BI (GenBank accession number Q61009) and rat CD36 (GenBank accession number AAHT72543) coding regions were cloned into the pSG5 vector (Invitrogen) to produce pSG5[\textit{sr-BI}]\textsuperscript{1} and pSG5[\textit{rCd36}]\textsuperscript{10} (herein termed SR-BI and CD36, respectively). The following SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were generated by replacing the designated SR-BI sequence with the corresponding region of CD36: SC(51–56), SC(63–71), SC(75–79), SC(85–89), SC(92–98), SC(140–148), SC(153–165), SC(184–192), SC(219–223), SC(232–237), SC(271–277), SC(282–287), SC(297–302), SC(370–375), SC(385–394), and SC(423–434). Cloning, mutagenesis, and sequencing were performed by Top Gene Technologies (Pointe-Claire, QC).

\textbf{Cell Maintenance and Transfection.} COS-7 cells were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen), 10% calf serum (Invitrogen), 2 mM \textit{l}-glutamine, 50 units/mL penicillin, 50 \textmu g/mL streptomycin, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. Cells were transiently transfected with FuGENE 6 (Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and as previously described.\textsuperscript{13} Cells were assayed 48 h post-transfection, with the exception of free cholesterol efflux assays, in which cells were assayed 72 h post-transfection.

\textbf{Cell Lysis.} COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were washed twice with cold PBS (pH 7.4) and lysed with 1% NP-40 cell lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (1 \mu g/mL pepstatin, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 \mu g/mL leupeptin, and 10 \mu g/mL aprotinin). Protein concentrations were determined by the Lowry method as previously described.\textsuperscript{41}

\textbf{Cell Surface Receptor Expression.} Two methods were used to assess receptor expression at the cell surface. Cell surface biotinylation of SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected COS-7 cells was performed as previously described.\textsuperscript{42} In separate experiments, cell surface expression of SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors was verified by flow cytometry as described using the Accuri 6 cytometer (BD Biosciences; Blood Center of Wisconsin) or FACS Calibur (Flow Cytometry Core, Medical College of Wisconsin).\textsuperscript{43}

\textbf{Receptor Oligomerization.} COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were lysed in PBS containing protease inhibitors. Receptor oligomerization was assessed by 6% PFO–PAGE as previously described.\textsuperscript{42}

\textbf{HDL Labeling, Cell Association of \textsuperscript{125}I]HDL, and Uptake of \textsuperscript{3}H]HDL-COE.} Human HDL was doubly radio-labeled with nonhydrolyzable \textsuperscript{3}H]COE and \textsuperscript{125}I]dilactitol tyramine as described previously.\textsuperscript{13} Preparations of radiolabeled HDL had an average \textsuperscript{3}H specific activity of 242.25 dpm/ng of protein and an average \textsuperscript{125}I specific activity of 279.11 dpm/ng of protein. COS-7 cells transiently transfected with cDNA encoding empty pSG5 vector, wild-type SR-BI, or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were assayed for cell association of \textsuperscript{125}I]HDL and selective uptake of nonhydrolyzable \textsuperscript{3}H]COE as previously described.\textsuperscript{13} Statistical analysis was conducted by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

\textbf{Free Cholesterol Efflux and Cholesterol Oxidase Sensitivity.} COS-7 cells expressing theempty pSG5 vector,
wild-type SR-BI, or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were prelabeled with \(^3\)H\(\text{cholesterol}\) and assayed for the release of free cholesterol from cells to HDL or for sensitivity to exogenous cholesterol oxidase 72 or 48 h post-transfection, respectively, as previously described. Statistical analysis was conducted by one-way ANOVA.

**Figure 1.** Schematic and design of SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors. (A) Panel of SR-BI/CD36 chimeras created by replacement of small regions of SR-BI sequence with the corresponding CD36 sequence with a similar secondary structure (avoiding sites of glycosylation and disulfide bonds). Shown is a linear representation of SR-BI, with boxed gray regions representing the N- and C-terminal transmembrane domains (TM), black boxes representing all swapped regions (with residue ranges), and hexagons marking residues that harbor N-linked glycosylations. (B) SR-BI (GenBank accession number Q61009) and CD36 (GenBank accession number AAH72543) sequences were aligned using ClustalW, and secondary structure prediction was conducted using Jpred. Boxed regions represent sequences with similar secondary structures. The symbols represent the following: asterisks for fully conserved residues, colons for highly similar residues, periods for weakly similar residues, dollar signs for Cys residues, number signs for Asn residues of the N-linked glycosylation consensus sequence, E for extended (β sheet), H for α helix, and dashes for random coils.
RESULTS

Design of SR-BI/CD36 Chimeras. A detailed schematic outlining the location of each chimeric region within the EC domain of SR-BI is shown in Figure 1A. Murine SR-BI and rat CD36 primary sequences were aligned using ClustalW [72% similar sequences (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/)], and protein secondary structure was predicted using Jpred analysis [http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/www-jpred/ (Figure 1B)]. To generate a panel of chimeric receptors, we chose regions of similar secondary structure, taking care to avoid sites of N-linked glycosylation35,36 as well as regions containing cysteine residues and disulfide bonds.43 We identified 16 small regions of interest that were suitable for swapping and that spanned the length of SR-BI’s EC domain, with each region ranging in length from 5 to 13 amino acids. Chimeras were named on the basis of the amino acids in the SR-BI sequence that were swapped for the corresponding region of CD36 altered SR-BI function, with each region ranging in length from 5 to 13 amino acids.

SR-BI/CD36 Chimeric Receptors Are Expressed at the Cell Surface. cDNA encoding wild-type SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors was transiently transfected into COS-7 cells and examined for cell surface expression using two different methods. First, we used receptor biotinylation to assess the level of expression of chimeric receptors at the cell surface (Figure 2A). Although all chimeric receptors localize to the cell surface, five chimeras [SC(51–56), SC(85–89), SC(153–165), SC(297–302), and SC(370–375)] exhibited levels of expression lower than that of wild-type SR-BI. With the exception of SC(51–56) and SC(297–302), a lower level of expression for these chimeric receptors was confirmed by flow cytometry analyses using antibodies directed against the EC domain of SR-BI (Figure 2B). Interestingly, flow cytometry analyses also revealed significantly increased levels of expression of SC(92–98), SC(219–223), and SC(282–287), which was not mirrored by our biotinylation experiments.

Chimeric Receptors Display Variable Ability To Bind HDL and Mediate Selective Uptake of HDL-COE. To determine whether swapping regions of the SR-BI EC domain with a corresponding region of CD36 altered SR-BI function, wild-type and chimeric SR-BI/CD36 receptors were tested for their ability to bind HDL and mediate selective uptake of HDL-COE following transient transfection in COS-7 cells. Our analysis revealed that 11 of the 16 chimeric receptors [SC(63–71), SC(75–79), SC(92–98), SC(140–148), SC(153–165), SC(219–223), SC(282–287), SC(297–302), SC(370–375), SC(385–394), and SC(423–434)] displayed a markedly reduced ability to bind HDL (ranging from 6 to 55% of that of wild-type SR-BI (Figure 3A)). These decreases in the level of binding were accompanied by similar decreases in the rate of selective uptake of HDL-COE (11–46% of that of wild-type SR-BI) (Figure 3B). The SC(271–277) chimeric receptor was also defective in HDL binding and mediating the uptake of HDL-COE; however, the decreases, though statistically significant, were not as drastic. While SC(85–89) bound HDL at levels similar to that of wild-type SR-BI, its ability to mediate the selective uptake of HDL-COE was decreased by 30%. On the other hand, while SC(75–79) and SC(297–302) exhibited statistically significant decreases in their ability to bind HDL, their ability to

Figure 2. SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors are expressed at the cell surface. (A) COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were assessed for cell surface expression by sulfo-NHS-LC biotinylation. Immunoblot analyses of biotinylated SR-BI at the cell surface (from 150 μg of total lysate) (top panel) and in 20 μg of total cell lysate (middle panel) are shown using an antibody directed against the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain of SR-BI. GAPDH was detected as a loading control (bottom panel). Data are expressed as a percentage of SR-BI expression following subtraction of empty vector values. Data are the average of at least nine independent transfections. As determined by one-way ANOVA, *p = 0.01–0.05, **p < 0.005, and ***p < 0.001.
mediate the selective uptake of HDL-CE was reduced, though not statistically significant.

**Several Chimeric Receptors Display a Reduced Ability To Stimulate the Eflux of Free Cholesterol to HDL.** Apart from its role in facilitating HDL-CE uptake, SR-BI also has the ability to stimulate the release of free cholesterol from cells. Therefore, COS-7 cells transiently expressing either wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were assessed for their ability to stimulate the efflux of FC to HDL acceptors. Analysis of our panel of chimeric receptors revealed that seven chimeras [SC(63−71), SC(92−98), SC(140−148), SC(153−165), SC(219−223), SC(385−394), and SC(423−434)] displayed a marked reduction in their ability to stimulate the efflux of FC to HDL [45−79% decreases in efflux compared to that of wild-type SR-BI (Figure 4)]. As noted above, these same receptors also had an impaired ability to bind HDL and mediate selective uptake of HDL-CE. Interestingly, despite the inability to bind HDL, SC(282−287), SC(297−302), and SC(370−375) showed no differences in their capacity to release FC from cells to HDL as compared to that of wild-type SR-BI.

![Figure 3. HDL binding and selective uptake of HDL-COE in cells expressing wild-type SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors. COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h with [125I]DLT/[3H]COE-labeled HDL (10 μg of HDL protein/mL), after which cells were processed. In parallel, cells were plated in separate wells to assess cell surface expression by flow cytometry. Cell-associated HDL (A) and selective uptake of HDL-COE (B), both normalized to cell surface expression, are shown. Data represent means ± SD of at least three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. As determined by one-way ANOVA, *p = 0.01−0.05, **p < 0.005, and ***p < 0.001.](image1.png)

![Figure 4. Efflux of [3H]cholesterol to HDL by wild-type SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors. COS-7 cells transiently expressing empty pSG5 vector, wild-type SR-BI, or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were prelabeled with [3H]cholesterol and incubated with 50 μg/mL HDL for 4 h at 37 °C to measure the efflux of [3H]cholesterol to the HDL acceptor. Values represent means ± SD of eight independent experiments, each performed in quadruplicate. As determined by one-way ANOVA, *p = 0.01−0.05 and **p < 0.001.](image2.png)

![Figure 5. Sensitivity of cells expressing wild-type SR-BI and SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors to cholesterol oxidase. COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were prelabeled with [3H]cholesterol and incubated with 0.5 unit of exogenous cholesterol oxidase for 4 h to determine cholesterol oxidase sensitivity. Values represent means ± SD of three independent experiments, each performed in quadruplicate. As determined by one-way ANOVA, *p = 0.01−0.05, **p < 0.005, and ***p < 0.001.](image3.png)
reinforce the notion that the cholesterol transport functions of SR-BI are separable, and that individual (possibly overlapping) regions of the EC domain are responsible for each task of SR-BI.

**Impaired Function of SR-BI/CD36 Chimeras Is Independent of Oligomer Formation.** We and others have shown that SR-BI is able to form dimers and higher-order oligomers in cells and tissues. It is hypothesized that oligomerization forms a "hydrophobic channel" that facilitates the delivery of cholesterol from HDL to the plasma membrane. While the putative oligomerization domains are thought to localize to the transmembrane domains, it is certainly possible that regions within the EC domain of SR-BI may also contribute to SR-BI oligomerization. To determine whether exchanging small EC regions of SR-BI for corresponding areas in CD36 altered the ability of SR-BI to form multimeric complexes and facilitate its normal cholesterol transport functions, we performed PFO-PAGE, a variation of native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis performed in the presence of perfluorooctanoic acid, that is often used to evaluate the molecular mass of homomultimeric membrane protein complexes. As shown in Figure 6, all chimeric receptors displayed wild-type patterns of oligomerization with bands appearing at ∼75, 150, and >330 kDa, representing the monomer, dimer, and higher-order oligomer species, respectively. While the dimeric and oligomeric bands do not appear clearly for the SC(153–165) chimeric receptor, other blots (not shown) do show formation of these complexes. These data suggest that the amino acids within the swapped extracellular regions are not required for the formation of multimeric SR-BI complexes.

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of this study was to design chimeric SR-BI/CD36 receptors that would define the boundaries of small extracellular regions of SR-BI that are responsible for its various cholesterol transport functions. As CD36 binds HDL but is unable to mediate efficient selective uptake of HDL-CE, we hypothesized that all of the chimeric receptors would bind HDL, but only some would be unable to mediate selective uptake of HDL-CE. Surprisingly, a majority of the 16 chimeric receptors were unable to bind HDL and mediate the selective uptake of HDL-CE. Further, many of these SR-BI/CD36 chimeras were also unable to stimulate the efflux of FC to HDL or enhance the accessibility of membrane FC to exogenous cholesterol oxidase. Together, our findings move the field forward by identifying discrete subdomains of the extracellular domain of SR-BI that are critical for this receptor’s various cholesterol transport functions.

Constructing chimeras between functionally distinct yet structurally homologous receptors is a unique approach to mapping the functional determinants of proteins. For our studies, the use of SR-BI/CD36 chimeras was ideal as the two proteins belong to the class B family of scavenger receptors and have EC domains sequences that are 72% similar. We used flow cytometry and cell surface biotinylation analyses to demonstrate that all SR-BI/CD36 chimeras were present at the cell surface. The only differences noted were for SC(51–56) and SC(297–302). This discrepancy may be explained by variations in transient expression of the mutant receptor in separate experiments.

Our analyses revealed that the chimeras could be separated into four different groups in terms of HDL binding and selective uptake of HDL-CE. In the first group, SC(51–56), SC(184–192), and SC(232–237) chimeric receptors had no effect on any of the SR-BI-mediated functions that were tested. Interestingly, replacement of residues 85–89 exhibited only 30% less CE uptake, despite 50% less expression of this chimeric receptor at the cell surface. In the second group, as would be expected, defective functions correlated with a decreased level of cell surface expression, but for only SC(153–165) and SC(370–375), suggesting some level of impairment in receptor trafficking. In the third group, impaired abilities to bind HDL and mediate the selective uptake of HDL-CE were observed for SC(63–71), SC(140–148), SC(271–277), SC(297–302), SC(385–394), and SC(423–434), despite normal levels of expression at the cell surface. In the final group, SC(92–98), SC(219–223), and SC(282–287) displayed extremely low levels of HDL binding and selective uptake of HDL-CE despite their high levels of cell surface expression (41–59% higher than that of wild-type SR-BI).

In a previous study, we designed nonconservative and conservative mutations at V67, L140/L142, V164, and V221 to demonstrate that the hydrophobicity of this region of the N-terminal half of the EC domain was critical for SR-BI’s cholesterol transport functions. Many of the chimeras tested in this study harbored swapped residues that overlapped with these regions. Kyte–Doolittle analysis of these swapped segments confirmed that the hydrophobicity of these regions was indeed altered (data not shown), corroborating our findings that changes in hydrophobicity in this region of the N-terminal half of the EC domain of SR-BI have an impact on the selective uptake of HDL-CE.

![Figure 6. SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors display wild-type oligomerization patterns. COS-7 cells transiently expressing wild-type SR-BI or SR-BI/CD36 chimeric receptors were lysed and subjected to 6% PFO–PAGE. Protein bands were detected using an antibody directed against the C-terminal tail of SR-BI.](image-url)
receptor function. Recently, Neculai et al. published the crystal structure for the EC domain of LIMP-2,61 a member of the class B scavenger receptor family to which SR-BI and CD36 also belong.62,63 By homology modeling, a structure of the EC domain of SR-BI was inferred and suggested the presence of a three-helix bundle that projects hydrophobic side chains that may be required for HDL binding. Two of these α-helices are fully replaced by our chimeras, SC(140−148) and SC(153−165), and display defective HDL binding. Given that LIMP-2 shares 34% sequence identity and 56% sequence homology with human SR-BI,61 the presence of a helix bundle in this area of SR-BI deserves extensive investigation.

The C-terminal half of the EC domain of SR-BI contains cysteine (Cys) residues that are involved in intramolecular disulfide bonds,43,64 that most likely maintain the EC domain of SR-BI in a specific conformation that supports its cholesterol transport functions.43 Although we took care to avoid Cys residues in the design of our chimeric receptors, many SR-BI/CD36 swapped regions are located between Cys251 and Cys384, the first and last extracellular Cys residues, respectively, in the linear sequence of SR-BI. As such, we can speculate that the impaired HDL binding and CE uptake abilities observed with the chimeras within this region may be due to the fact that CD36 residues could alter the conformation of this extracellular region in a manner that prevents proper formation of intramolecular disulfide bonds. Recently, mutation of a highly conserved proline residue at position 297 in SR-BI was discovered in patients with very high HDL-C levels.52 In our studies, SC(297−302) displays an inability to bind HDL and a reduced ability to mediate uptake of HDL-CE, which supports the findings of Vergeer et al.31 The only other known extracellular mutations of human SR-BI are located at Ser112 and Thr175,50,52 and do not reside in any of the chimeric receptors generated for this study.

The findings from this study are also consistent with other reports that describe the various lipid transport functions of SR-BI to discrete functional extracellular subdomains of the receptor.19,39,42,43,65 For example, three SR-BI/CD36 chimeras [SC(282−287), SC(297−302), and SC(370−375)] exhibited normal levels of efflux compared to that of wild-type SR-BI, despite being unable to bind HDL. The differences in these activities were independent of SR-BI expression at the cell surface at 48 and 72 h, the times post-transfection at which HDL binding and FC efflux were measured, respectively (data not shown). The swapped segments are all located in the same extracellular region that harbors the Cys residues and intramolecular disulfide bonds. The normal levels of efflux parallel our previous findings that suggest this region of the C-terminal half of the EC domain of SR-BI may not be responsible for efflux functions, even with possible disruptions in conformation of this portion of the protein.43,65 Our findings are also consistent with previous observations that the efflux function of SR-BI is not dependent on HDL binding.45,64 Similarly, all chimeric receptors with swapped regions in the C-terminal half of the EC domain of SR-BI were able to reorganize plasma membrane pools of free cholesterol to an extent similar to that of wild-type SR-BI, as judged by the membrane content of cholesterolenone upon addition of exogenous cholesterol oxidase. Again, these findings are in agreement with previous studies demonstrating that mutations in this region of SR-BI do not affect the receptor’s ability to maintain accessibility to exogenous cholesterol oxidase42,45 and support the notion that HDL binding is not required for this function of SR-BI.43,66

It has been hypothesized that the delivery of HDL-C to the plasma membrane is facilitated by the formation of SR-BI oligomers.19 We have previously reported that self-association of SR-BI is likely mediated by regions within the C-terminal half of SR-BI.18 Specifically, this region possesses a “GXXG” dimerization motif, as well as a putative leucine zipper, both of which are known to mediate protein dimerization.67,68 Indeed, SC(423−434) disrupts both of these domains, and most cholesterol transport functions were impaired. However, PFO-PAGE analysis suggests that SC(423−434) and all other chimeras maintain their ability to form oligomers. On the basis of these data, we cannot exclude the possibility that mutation of these motifs may not have been disruptive enough to prevent oligomerization or that these motifs work in concert with other, as yet unidentified, regions of the receptor that are responsible for SR-BI oligimerization. Alternatively, the HDL binding site may differ between the two proteins (i.e., it is located in two noninterchangeable regions of CD36 and SR-BI). On the other hand, if the entire HDL binding site in CD36 was indeed swapped into SR-BI, it is possible that the secondary structure of CD36 differs from that of SR-BI, and this portion of the receptor must interact or fold with other regions of the CD36 EC domain (that are not present within the EC domain of SR-BI) to function properly.

Taken together, our findings confirm that the N-terminal region is required for efficient efflux of FC to HDL and the ability to redistribute FC in the plasma membrane, supporting our previous findings42 and suggesting that the hydrophobicity of this region may be critical for efficient cholesterol transport. Further, our data support the notion that while a specific fold or conformation of the C-terminal half of the EC domain of SR-BI is required for mediating proper binding to HDL and selective uptake of HDL-CE, disruption of this region does not significantly impact the ability of this receptor to facilitate the release of free cholesterol from cells to HDL. Creation of smaller swapped regions between the two receptors or investigation of the role of specific amino acids by site-directed mutagenesis may help further define the discrete boundaries of the subdomains in the EC domain of SR-BI that are responsible for its various cholesterol transport functions.
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