Challenges in continuum modeling of intergranular fracture
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Intergranular fracture in polycrystals is often simulated by finite elements coupled to a cohesive-zone model for the interfaces, requiring cohesive laws for grain boundaries as a function of their geometry. We discuss three challenges in understanding intergranular fracture in polycrystals. First, 3D grain boundary geometries comprise a five dimensional space. Second, the energy and peak stress of grain boundaries have singularities for all commensurate grain boundaries, especially those with short repeat distances. Thirdly, fracture nucleation and growth depends not only upon the properties of grain boundaries, but in crucial ways on edges, corners, and triple junctions of even greater geometrical complexity.

To address the first two challenges, we explore the physical underpinnings for creating functional forms to capture the hierarchical commensurability structure in the grain boundary properties. To address the last challenge, we demonstrate a method for atomistically extracting the fracture properties of geometrically complex local regions on the fly from within a finite element simulation.

The nucleation and propagation of cracks in practical engineering materials depends strongly on the mesoscopic structure; grain boundaries, polyphase inclusions, dislocations and other defects determine the toughness. Can continuum computational modeling be used to quantitatively study such complex failure modes?

Consider brittle intergranular fracture—rupture at the boundary between two crystallites. Ignore for the moment problems like embrittlement caused by impurity segregation to grain boundaries, and assume a clean, single-phase, equilibrium grain boundary. Direct atomistic simulations are infeasible for anything larger than nanocrystals; even simulations that focus on the boundaries will be overwhelmed by the number of relevant atoms for systems larger than microns in scale. Hence let us imagine a finite-element simulation of the polycrystal coupled to, say, cohesive-zone models for each interface. (A cohesive law gives the crack opening as a function of the traction across the interface; it is often parameterized by a peak stress and a total energy associated with cleaving.) Can one use atomistic models to measure the fracture properties of the individual boundaries, and then use these properties in a realistic continuum fracture simulation? We outline here some serious challenges involved in continuum modeling of intergranular fracture; complete details are available in longer publications.

The first challenge is that of geometrical complexity. The cohesive law will depend on the structure of the grain boundary. The macroscopic geometry of a 3D grain boundary depends on five parameters that describe the relative orientations of the two grains. (The fracture dynamics may in principle depend on properties of the crack that are not treated explicitly by the cohesive zone model, such as the orientation of the crack front within the grain boundary or the three separate stress intensity factors.) The atomistic structure also depends on how the two crystal lattices are translated with respect to one another along the three directions, which can greatly affect the pattern of atoms along the boundary and hence the peak stress and energy. One particular shift will constitute a global energy minimum corresponding to the most natural configuration.

In a polycrystal, one grain will have to find an energy minimizing configuration with several other, neighboring grains. For a particular grain boundary in a polycrystal, where each grain has been pinned by other neighboring grains, there will be a competition between elastic straining and plastic deforming. For thick grains, in equilibrium, and away from intersections, one can show that it is advantageous for the crystallites to strain slightly to allow the boundary to find the global energy minimum.

To compute the cohesive properties of grain bound-
FIG. 1: Commensurate 2D Grain Boundaries. The set of points above represent all 2D grain boundary geometries that can be simulated in a periodic box of 70 lattice constants or less, with a strain of 0.05% or less. \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are the tilt angles that define the grain boundary geometry. There are gaps near perfect crystals, symmetric grain boundaries, and high symmetry grain boundaries because creating a new, nearby geometry requires adding flaws (extra dislocations) at large separations. [6]

aries efficiently, it is useful to use periodic boundary conditions in directions perpendicular to the grain boundary, which demands that the two crystals have finite repeat distances along the interface, and that the repeat distances be commensurate with one another. We have found a systematic method of finding commensurate grain boundaries [6], and have also generalized it to allow for slight elastic strains to mesh the two crystal boundaries together. We can approximate commensurate grain boundaries by allowing small strains in either direction. Fig. 1 describes the commensurate grain boundaries for 2D hexagonal crystals; Fig. 2 shows a cross section of the five dimensional space of commensurate and near commensurate grain boundaries for three-dimensional FCC crystals.

These commensurability questions are not only of practical importance in efficiently computing the properties of grain boundaries; commensurate grain boundaries (especially those with short repeat distances) also have especially low energies and high peak stresses [6]. Modeling the geometry dependence of the peak stress and energy could be relatively straightforward if they depended in comprehensible ways on the five geometrical parameters. The second challenge in continuum models of fracture is to incorporate the singularities associated with commensurate geometries into appropriate functional forms.

It is well established that cusp singularities in the energy occur at special high-symmetry grain boundaries with low repeat distances [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The cusps in energy can be understood by thinking of a high-symmetry boundary as an undeformed reference crystal [6]. Nearby grain boundaries (whose crystallites are rotated by a small angle \( \theta \) from the high-symmetry boundary) are thus described by decorating the high-symmetry boundary with a few extra dislocations, just as a low-angle grain boundary in a crystal can be described as an array of well-separated dislocations. This analogy leads to a functional form for grain boundary energy as a function of tilt angle in which the cusps around the special high symmetry grain boundaries have the same \( \theta \log \theta \) form as low angle grain boundaries [6].

For the peak stress, it is known that there are jumps at the same special grain boundaries [6, 8, 10]. We can also understand these jumps by using the dislocation picture described above [6]. As we add a dislocation to the high symmetry grain boundary, we add a nucleation point for fracture, causing a discontinuity in the peak stress. As a result, the plot of peak stress vs. tilt angles is discontinuous at every commensurate geometry (Fig. 3(b)). By considering the elastic interaction between the extra dislocations, we have been able to understand also the dependence of the peak stress in the vicinity of the high-symmetry boundaries (see Fig. 3(b) and [6]).

Are cohesive laws enough? We have studied this question computationally [6] by comparing a direct atomistic simulation of polycrystalline fracture with a finite-element simulation of the same geometry using cohesive-law parameters derived from the same interatomic potential. Fig. 4 shows a snapshot of the two simulations of polycrystalline fracture in Stillinger-Weber silicon. Both in this case and for other simulations, the atomistic simulations fail at significantly lower stresses than the continuum simulations. Crack nucleation in both atomistic
FIG. 3: Singularities at Special Grain Boundaries. Cusps in energy appear at high symmetry grain boundaries (figure a) and have the same $\theta \log \theta$ shape as the energy of low angle grain boundaries. The red line is the functional form described in [6]. The peak stress as a function of tilt angle (figure b) is discontinuous everywhere, with higher values at special tilt angles corresponding to high symmetry grain boundary geometries. The dependence of peak stress on angle near the high symmetry grain boundaries (lines and parabolas shown) can be explained using the interactions of the extra dislocations added [6]. The inset shows the peak stress for the grain boundary with tilt angle 49.1 and the nearby geometries.

and continuum simulations happens not in the middle of grain boundaries, but at triple junction lines, edges, and corners which are not quantitatively described by the cohesive laws for the grain boundary interfaces. Similarly, quantitative understanding of how the crack turns, branches, or goes intragranular (Fig. 4) at triple junctions demands that we understand the effects of the irregular atomistic configurations at these junctions.

The third challenge is thus to develop an effective computational method for modeling more complex local geometries. One in principle could incorporate an analytical understanding of these local geometries into, for example, cohesive laws for triple junctions, but the geometrical complexity would seem daunting. Can one rely here on direct atomistic simulations? Brittle crack nucleation is a local phenomenon, and the intersection of a growing crack with a triple junction edge again will generically happen at a point. A feasible atomistic simulation of the local region of interest could be launched whenever the continuum simulation reached a stress state where its cohesive laws become unreliable. The information about the local geometry (elastic strains, grain orientations, and impinging crack surfaces) would be transferred from the continuum simulation to generate the atomistic configuration, and the results of the atomistic simulation (nucleation thresholds, crack branching and turning events) passed back to the finite-element simulation.

As an example of such a method, Fig. 5 shows an Overlapping Finite Elements and Molecular Dynamics (OFEMD) simulation of fracture at a triple-junction, generated automatically in this fashion [7]. The grains were generated using geometry, orientation, and boundary strains passed from a finite element simulation, running on a separate machine and communicating either through a command-line interface, a Web service, or a database. OFEMD uses the DigitalMaterial [12] atomistic simulation environment to deform and relax the...
atomistic coordinates, allowing the failure information to be recorded. OFEMD can be downloaded from [12].

We have discussed three main challenges involved in continuum modeling of polycrystal fracture. First, exploring the cohesive properties of 3D grain boundaries involves exploring a 5D space. Second, the peak stress and energy have singularities at all commensurate grain boundaries. Even if it weren’t for the first two challenges, our comparisons of atomistic and finite element simulations of polycrystal fracture show that cohesive properties of the interfaces alone are not enough to model the fracture of polycrystals using continuum methods. Sites such as triple junctions, edges, and corners of grains are important nucleation sites. In order to resolve this last challenge, we suggest the use of direct atomistic modeling of local regions of interest.
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