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Abstract

A new species of Nanorana Günther, 1896 is described from Yunnan Province, China, based on morphological and molecular evidence. Morphologically, Nanorana xuelinensis sp. nov. is distinguished from its congeners by a combination of the following diagnostic characters: body size large; adult males with keratinized spines on chest, belly, lateral body, posterior dorsum, buttocks, outer side of the fore limbs, the inner metacarpal tubercle, fingers I and II, and upper eyelids; no spines on the inner side of the lower and upper arm; forelimbs strongly hypertrophied in adult males; anterior dorsum skin smooth; dorsolateral folds absent; finger I longer than finger II; webbing deeply incurved between tips of toes; present outer metacarpal tubercle and absent outer metatarsal tubercle. The new species is separated from all other congeners by uncorrected genetic distances ranging from 5.2% to 7.3% based on mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene and ranging from 3.9% to 7.6% based on mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene.
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Introduction

The tribe Paini is a widespread, complex taxon, and there are many different views on the classification of this taxon. Dubois (1992) first proposed the tribe Paini to include the genera *Paa* Dubois, 1975 and *Chaparana* Bourret, 1939. Roelants et al. (2004) suggested that *Nanorana* Günther, 1896 is imbedded within *Paa* on the basis of molecular data. Jiang et al. (2005) presented that *Quasipaa* Dubois, 1992 is a distinct genus in the tribe Paini. Chen et al. (2005) placed *Chaparana, Paa, and Nanorana* into *Nanorana* on the basis that *Paa* is paraphyletic with respect to *Nanorana* and *Chaparana*. Ohler and Dubois (2006) described two new genera in the tribe Paini, namely *Allopaa* Ohler & Dubois, 2006 and *Chrysopaa* Ohler & Dubois, 2006. Che et al. (2010, 2020) considered that the high elevation species of *Nanorana* represent dwarfed and degraded ones derived from lower elevation *Paa* on the basis of evidence of molecular phylogeny. Dubois et al. (2021) presented a different classification of the tribe Paini that included more genera, namely *Chaparana, Diplopaa* Dubois, Ohler & Pyron, 2021, *Feirana* Dubois, 1992, *Gynandropaa* Dubois, 1992, *Nanorana, Ombropaa* Dubois, Ohler & Pyron, 2021, and *Paa*.

To reduce confusion, we currently use the classification system on the “Amphibian Species of the World” website (Frost 2021). In this classification system, the genus *Nanorana* now contains 30 species (Frost 2021), of which 21 species were recorded in China (AmphibiaChina 2021).

During a field survey in Yunnan, China in 2019, some specimens of the genus *Nanorana* were collected. Morphological and molecular analyses indicated that these frogs were distinctive, differing from all known species of genus *Nanorana*. Therefore, we described them here as a new species.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Specimens were collected by hand from Lancang County, Yunnan, China, euthanized, tissue samples taken, then preserved in 75% ethanol. Tissue samples were taken from liver and placed in 99% ethanol and subsequently stored at −80 °C. All specimens were deposited at Kunming Natural History Museum of Zoology, Kunming Institute of Zoology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KIZ).

Laboratory methods

Genomic DNA extracted from 99% ethanol-preserved liver tissues, using DNA extraction kit from Beijing Dingguo Changsheng Biotechnology Co. Ltd. Two mitochondrial genes, 12S and 16S, were amplified. Primers used for 12S were FS01:
5’-AACGCTAAGATGAACCCTAAAAAGTTCT-3’ and R16: 5’-ATAGTGGGGTATCTAATCCCAGTTTGT-3’ (Qi et al. 2019) and for 16S were 16Sar: 5’-CGCCTGT TTACAAAAACAT-3’ and 16Sbr: 5’-CCGCTYTGAACCTCAGATCAYGT-3’ (Palumbi et al. 1991). PCR conditions followed Qi et al. (2019). Amplifications were processed with the cycling conditions that initial denaturing step at 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturing at 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 55 °C for 30 sec and extending at 72 °C for 1 min, and final extending step at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were isolated through electrophoresis using 1% agarose gels, and further purified using Millipore Microcon Kits. Purified PCR products were sequenced by Davis Sequencing using BigDye terminator 3.1 and sequences were edited and manually managed using SeqMan in Lasergene 7.1 (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA) and MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). All sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Total genomic DNA was isolated from the tissue samples of three individuals. *Quasipaa boulengeri* (Günther, 1889) and *Limnonectes fragilis* (Liu & Hu, 1973) were used as outgroups according to Qi et al. (2019). The mitochondrial genes 12S ribosomal RNA (12S) and 16S ribosomal RNA (16S), and the nuclear genes recombination activating protein 1 (Rag1), rhodopsin (Rhod), and tyrosinase (Tyr) of 19 known *Nanorana* species and two outgroup species were obtained from GenBank. Detail information of these materials are given in Table 1.

Sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994) integrated in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018) with default parameters. The genetic divergences (uncorrected $p$-distance) were calculated in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). 12S, 16S, Rag1, Rhod, and Tyr gene segments were concatenated seriatim into a single partition. Bayesian inference (BI) was performed in MrBayes 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) and used the Akaike information criterion (AIC) in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) to calculate that GTR+F+I+G4 was the best-fit model of evolution for 12S and 16S; HKY+F+I was the best-fit model of evolution for Rag1, Rhod, and Tyr. Two runs were performed simultaneously with four Markov chains starting from a random tree. The chains were run for 1,000,000 generations and sampled every 100 generations. The first 25% of the sampled trees was discarded as burn-in after the standard deviation of split frequencies of the two runs was less than a value of 0.01, and then the remaining trees were used to create a 50% majority-rule consensus tree and to estimate Bayesian posterior probabilities. Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was performed in IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) and used the Akaike information criterion (AIC) in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) to calculate that GTR+F+R3 was the best-fit model of evolution for 12S and 16S, and that TPM3+F+I was the best-fit model for Rag1, Rhod, and Tyr. 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates via the ultrafast bootstrap (UFB; Hoang et al. 2018) approximation algorithm were used to construct a final consensus tree.
Table 1. Information of samples used in molecular analysis.

| Species name               | Locality                        | Specimen voucher | 12S     | 16S     | Rag1 | Rhod | Tyr |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------|------|-----|
| *Nanorana aenea*           | Sa Pa, Lao Cai, Vietnam         | ROM37984         | EU979695| EU979830| HM163609| EU979895| EU979986|
| *Nanorana aenea*           | Sa Pa, Lao Cai, Vietnam         | MNHN 1999.5818  | AY880456| AY880443| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana bianfordini*     | Yatung, Tibet, China           | SYNU-1507011     | MH315954| MH315963| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana chayuensis*      | Zayü, Tibet, China             | SYNU-XZ67        | EU979709| DQ118509| –    | EU979853| EU979944|
| *Nanorana chayuensis*      | Zayü, Tibet, China             | SYNU-XZ67        | EU979708| DQ118510| –    | EU979852| EU979945|
| *Nanorana conanensis*      | Cora, Tibet, China             | KIZ-YP152       | EU979705| EU979834| –    | EU979874| EU979965|
| *Nanorana liebigii*        | Janakpur, Nepal                | A17_12_NME      | MN011398| MN012104| MN032528| MN012368| MN012518|
| *Nanorana liebigii*        | Janakpur, Nepal                | R18_12_NME      | –      | MN012105| MN032529| MN012369| MN012519|
| *Nanorana macroliina*      | Jingdong, Yunnan, China        | YNU-HU2002308   | EU979706| EU979835| –    | EU979859| EU979950|
| *Nanorana maculosa*        | Jingdong, Yunnan, China        | YNU-HU2002322   | EU979707| DQ118512| –    | EU979860| EU979951|
| *Nanorana medogensis*      | Medog, Tibet, China            | SYNU-XZ35       | EU979705| DQ118506| –    | EU979862| EU979953|
| *Nanorana medogensis*      | Medog, Tibet, China            | SYNU-XZ75       | EU979704| DQ118507| –    | EU979861| EU979952|
| *Nanorana parkeri*         | Dangxiong, Tibet, China        | –                | K317482| K317482 | –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana phrynoides*      | Yimen, Yunnan, China           | YNU-HU2002412   | EU979686| EU979825| –    | EU979877| EU979968|
| *Nanorana plekei*          | Shiqu, Sichuan, China          | KQ47_14_NME     | MN012019| MN012156| MN032562| MN012422| MN012570|
| *Nanorana poluini*         | Pangum, Nepal                  | K1553            | –      | KR827957| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana quadranus*       | An, Sichuan, China             | SCUM20030031GP   | EU979694| EU979831| –    | EU979886| EU979977|
| *Nanorana quadranus*       | Maowen, Sichuan, China         | SCUM20045195CJ  | EU979695| DQ118514| –    | EU979887| EU979978|
| *Nanorana rostandi*        | Kyirong, Tibet, China          | SYNU-1507058    | MH315955| MH315964| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana sichuanensis*    | Huili, Sichuan, China          | SYNU-1507091    | MH315954| MH315964| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana taihangnica*     | Jiuyuan, Henan, China          | KIZ-HN0709001   | EU979724| EU979842| –    | EU979893| EU979984|
| *Nanorana taihangnica*     | Jiuyuan, Henan, China          | KIZ-HN0709002   | EU979725| EU979843| –    | EU979894| EU979985|
| *Nanorana uniculuanus*     | Jingdong, Yunnan, China        | YNU-HU2002502601| EU979699| DQ118490| –    | DQ458262| DQ458277|
| *Nanorana uniculuanus*     | Jingdong, Yunnan, China        | YNU-HU2002502702| EU979700| DQ118491| HM163585| EU979865| EU979956|
| *Nanorana ventripunctata*  | –                               | SH050538_NME    | MN012066| MN012208| MN032610| MN012478| MN012626|
| *Nanorana ventripunctata*  | –                               | SCUM045887WD    | EU979717| DQ118501| HM163585| EU979868| EU979959|
| *Nanorana yunnanensis*     | Yongde, Yunnan, China          | YNU-HU20011102  | EU979691| EU979829| –    | EU979884| EU979975|
| *Nanorana zhaoermii*       | Lhünzê, Tibet, China           | SYNU-1706049    | MH315947| MH315956| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana zhaoermii*       | Lhünzê, Tibet, China           | SYNU-1706058    | MH315948| MH315957| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. | Lancang, Yunnan, China          | KIZL2019012     | MZ410625| MZ410628| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. | Lancang, Yunnan, China          | KIZL2019013     | MZ410624| MZ410627| –    | –    | –   |
| *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. | Lancang, Yunnan, China          | KIZL2019014     | MZ410625| MZ410626| –    | –    | –   |
| *Limnonectes fragilis*     | Hainan, China                  | ZNAC11006       | AY899241| AY899241| –    | –    | –   |
| *Quasipaa boulengeri*      | Yichang, Hubei, China          | KIZ-HUB292      | KX645665| KX645665| –    | –    | –   |

Morphology

All measurements were taken with digital calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm. Morphological characters used and their measurement methods followed Qi et al. (2019). The morphometrics and character terminology include:

AG axilla to groin, distance from posterior base of forelimb at its emergence from body to anterior base of hindlimb at its emergence from body;
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| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| EHD          | eye horizontal diameter; |
| END          | eye to nostril distance, distance from anterior corner of eye to nostril; |
| FL           | foot length, from proximal end of inner metatarsal tubercle to tip of toe IV; |
| FML          | femur length; |
| HAL          | hand length, from proximal end of outer metacarpal tubercle to tip of the finger III; |
| HH           | head height, greatest height of head; |
| HL           | head length, from posterior corner of mandible to tip of snout; |
| HW           | head width, at the greatest cranial width; |
| ID           | internasal distance, distance between nostrils; |
| IOD          | interorbital distance, least distance between upper eyelids; |
| LAD          | diameter of lower arm; |
| LAL          | length of lower arm, from proximal end of outer metacarpal tubercle to elbow joint; |
| SL           | snout length, from tip of snout to the anterior corner of eye distance; |
| SND          | snout to nostril distance, distance from tip of snout to nostril; |
| SVL          | Snout–vent length, from tip of snout to vent; |
| TDH          | horizontal diameter of tympanum; |
| TDV          | vertical diameter of tympanum; |
| TFL          | length of tarsus and foot, from proximal end of tarsus to tip of the toe IV; |
| TIL          | tibia length; |
| UEW          | upper eyelid width, maximum width of upper eyelid. |

All measurements were taken on the left side of the examined specimen. It should be noted that because the limbs of our specimens cannot be spread, the characters FLL (length of forelimb, from axilla to tip of finger III) and HLL (length of hindlimb, from tip of disk of toe IV to vent) in Qi et al. (2019) are not provided here.

**Results**

**Genealogical relationships**

The results of BI and ML phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the concatenated DNA sequences and resulted in approximately identical topologies (Fig. 1). The phylogenetic tree showed that the newly discovered population from Xuelin Township, Lancang County is a member of *Nanorana*; however, its phylogenetic position in the genus was not clearly resolved. The newly discovered population formed a unique clade sister to the clade consisting of *Nanorana aenea* (Smith, 1922), *N. phrynoides* (Boulenger, 1917), *N. quadrans* (Liu, Hu & Yang, 1960), *N. sichuanensis* (Dubois, 1987), *N. taibangnica* (Chen & Jiang, 2002), *N. unculuanus* (Liu, Hu & Yang, 1960), and *N. yunnanensis* (Anderson, 1879), but the node supports were very low.
Genetic distances

The uncorrected \textit{p}-distances calculated from 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences of the examined species are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The observed

\textbf{Figure 1.} Bayesian inference tree of the genus \textit{Nanorana} based on the sequences of the mitochondrial 12S and 16S, and the nuclear Rag1, Rhod, and Tyr gene. \textit{Limnonectes fragilis} and \textit{Quasipaa boulengeri} were included as outgroup. Numbers above branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (≥0.9 retained) and numbers below branches indicate the ML ultrafast bootstrap (UFB) (≥90 retained).
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Distances calculated from 12S gene between the sequences of the specimens collected from Xuelin Township, Lancang County and the homologous sequences obtained from GenBank ranged from 3.9% to 7.6%. The observed distances calculated from 16S gene between the sequences of the specimens collected from Xuelin Township, Lancang County and the homologous sequences obtained from GenBank ranged from 5.2% to 7.3%.

Systematics

**Nanorana xuelinensis** sp. nov.
http://zoobank.org/3BB0CC31-8B68-4EA7-BC7C-DDF7D78C977F
Figures 2–6

**Holotype.** KIZL2019016, adult male, collected on 13 July 2019 by Shuo Liu from Xuelin Township, Lancang County, Puer City, Yunnan Province, China (23°2’38”N, 99°32’35”E; at an elevation of 1840 m asl).

**Paratypes.** KIZL2019012 and KIZL2019015, two subadult males; KIZL2019013 and KIZL2019014, two subadult females; and KIZL2019017, adult female. All with same collection information as for the holotype.

**Diagnosis.** Large body size, SVL 101.7–107.3 mm in adults; adult males with keratinized spines on chest, belly, lateral body, posterior dorsum, buttocks, outer side of the fore limbs, the inner metacarpal tubercle, fingers I and II, and upper eyelids; no spines on the inner side of the lower and upper arm; forelimbs strongly hypertrophied

---

### Table 2. Mean uncorrected pairwise genetic distances (%) among the species of **Nanorana** and outgroups based on partial 12S gene.

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | **Nanorana aenea** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | **N. blanfordi** | 7.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | **N. chayuensis** | 6.9 | 5.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | **N. cuonaensis** | 7.7 | 5.3 | 6.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | **N. liebigii** | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | **N. maculosa** | 7.2 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 4.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | **N. medogensis** | 6.1 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | **N. parkeri** | 6.8 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 4.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | **N. phrynoides** | 6.6 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 8.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | **N. pleksi** | 6.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 7.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | **N. quadramus** | 7.5 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 7.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | **N. ro status** | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 7.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | **N. sichuanensis** | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | **N. tibetana** | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | **N. unculuana** | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | **N. ventripunctata** | 8.4 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 3.4 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 6.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | **N. yunnanensis** | 4.5 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | **N. zheveriensis** | 6.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | **Nanorana xuelinensis** sp. nov. | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 4.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | **Quasipaa boulengeri** | 11.9 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 14.1 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 14.8 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 11.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | **Limnonectes fragilis** | 18.1 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 20.0 | 17.5 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 15.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
in adult males; tympanum big but indistinct, ca 2/3 of eye diameter; anterior dorsum skin smooth; dorsolateral folds absent; finger I longer than finger II; webbing deeply incurved between tips of toes; no tarsal fold; present outer metacarpal tubercle and absent outer metatarsal tubercle; vomerine teeth distinct.

The living specimens were yellowish brown with distinct or indistinct black spots on the dorsum and sides of the body and the dorsal side of limbs; no band on arms and legs. Ventral surface white with no spots, throat yellow in adult males.

Description of holotype. Adult male, habitus very stout, SVL 107.3 mm, large size in genus *Nanorana*; head flat and broader than long (HL/HW 0.85, HH/HL 0.53); snout blunt and rounded in both dorsal and lateral views; canthus rostralis obtuse; tympanum large and very indistinct (TDH/EHD 0.76); supratympanic fold extending from eye over tympanum to shoulder; transversal fold behind eyes; eye relatively large (EHD/HL 0.26), pupil slightly rhombic; vomerine teeth distinct; tongue large and cordiform, deeply notched posteriorly.

Forelimbs short and strongly hypertrophied (LAD 18.8 mm); relative finger length: II < I < IV < III; inner metacarpal tubercle enlarged, dorsal surface of inner metacarpal tubercle, fingers I, and finger II with black keratinized nuptial spines, no spine on inner side of fore limbs, and a few spines on outer side of fore limbs; finger tips rounded but not dilated, fingers free, without webbing, no circum-marginal groove or lateroventral groove; subarticular tubercles distinct, outer metacarpal tubercle indistinct.

Table 3. Mean uncorrected pairwise genetic distances (%) among the species of *Nanorana* and outgroups based on partial 16S gene.

|   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  | 19  | 20  | 21  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | *N*. aenea | 5.1  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2 | *N*. blanfordii | 5.5  | 3.6  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3 | *N*. cheyensis | 6.2  | 4.1  | 4.1  | 5.3  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4 | *N*. cuonaensis | 5.4  | 2.5  | 0.9  | 4.0  | 3.8  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 5 | *N*. liebigii | 5.9  | 3.0  | 2.5  | 4.4  | 4.0  | 2.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 6 | *N*. maculosa | 5.5  | 2.9  | 3.3  | 3.5  | 4.4  | 3.2  | 3.8  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 7 | *N*. medogensis | 6.0  | 3.6  | 4.1  | 4.4  | 6.3  | 4.1  | 3.8  | 4.1  | 6.4  | 5.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 8 | *N*. parkeri | 6.1  | 4.2  | 5.8  | 5.7  | 6.8  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 5.5  | 5.1  | 6.1  | 6.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 9 | *N*. phrynoides | 6.6  | 3.4  | 4.5  | 4.1  | 5.3  | 4.3  | 4.3  | 5.1  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 2.3  | 5.5  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 10 | *N*. pleskei | 4.6  | 3.2  | 4.5  | 3.6  | 4.4  | 4.2  | 4.2  | 3.0  | 3.8  | 3.1  | 5.1  | 6.3  | 4.9  | 4.0  |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 11 | *N*. polunini | 4.6  | 3.2  | 4.5  | 3.6  | 4.4  | 4.2  | 4.2  | 3.0  | 3.8  | 3.1  | 5.1  | 6.3  | 4.9  | 4.0  |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 12 | *N*. quadranus | 6.0  | 3.6  | 4.1  | 4.4  | 6.3  | 4.1  | 3.8  | 4.1  | 6.4  | 5.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 13 | *N*. rotandi | 6.1  | 4.2  | 5.8  | 5.7  | 6.8  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 5.5  | 5.1  | 6.1  | 6.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 14 | *N*. sichuanensis | 6.6  | 3.4  | 4.5  | 4.1  | 5.3  | 4.3  | 4.3  | 5.1  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 2.3  | 5.5  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 15 | *N*. taibaiensis | 4.6  | 3.2  | 4.5  | 3.6  | 4.4  | 4.2  | 4.2  | 3.0  | 3.8  | 3.1  | 5.1  | 6.3  | 4.9  | 4.0  |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 16 | *N*. tianshanensis | 5.2  | 4.4  | 4.5  | 4.2  | 5.3  | 5.5  | 5.6  | 4.5  | 1.1  | 4.2  | 6.6  | 4.5  | 5.6  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 17 | *N*. ventripunctata | 6.0  | 3.4  | 4.5  | 4.1  | 5.3  | 4.3  | 4.3  | 5.1  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 2.3  | 5.5  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 18 | *N*. yunnanensis | 6.1  | 4.2  | 5.8  | 5.7  | 6.8  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 5.5  | 5.1  | 6.1  | 6.2  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 19 | *N*. zhaoermii | 6.6  | 3.4  | 4.5  | 4.1  | 5.3  | 4.3  | 4.3  | 5.1  | 5.8  | 5.3  | 2.3  | 5.5  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 20 | *Nanorana* xuelinensis sp. nov. | 6.7  | 5.8  | 5.8  | 5.6  | 6.5  | 5.4  | 5.2  | 6.0  | 5.6  | 6.0  | 6.6  | 5.9  | 6.2  | 5.3  | 7.3  | 5.7  | 6.2  | 5.3  |     |
| 21 | *Quasipaa* boulengeri | 8.4  | 7.8  | 7.5  | 6.7  | 8.0  | 7.2  | 7.4  | 6.5  | 7.6  | 7.3  | 8.8  | 8.7  | 9.4  | 8.0  | 7.1  | 9.2  | 7.7  | 7.8  | 6.1  | 8.3  |
| 22 | *Limnonectes* fragilis | 12.0 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 10.3 |
Figure 2. Dorsal and ventral views of the specimens of the type series of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. in preservative.
Figure 3. Various views of the male holotype (KIZL2019016) of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. in preservative.

Figure 4. Various views of the female paratype (KIZL2019017) of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. in preservative.
Hindlimbs rather long and stout; relative toe length: I < II < V < III < IV; tips of toes rounded but not dilated; subarticular tubercles oval and distinct, formula is 1, 1, 2, 3, 2; inner metatarsal tubercles elongated and pronounced; outer metatarsal tubercle absent; webbing deeply incurved between tips of toes, formula I 0-0 II 0-0 III 0-0 IV 0-0 V; lateral fringe on the outer side of toe V developed; no circum-marginal groove or lateroventral groove; tarsal fold absent.

Anterior dorsum skin smooth; keratinized spines present on chest, belly, lateral body, posterior dorsum, buttocks, and upper eyelids; spines most dense on axilla and each side of chest.

**Coloration of holotype in life.** The coloration of dorsum is yellowish brown with very indistinct black spots in dorsum, and no band on arms and legs. Ventral surface white with no spots. The throat is yellow. The pupil is black, and the iris is light yellow with many black radial strips around the pupil.

**Sexual dimorphism.** The forelimbs of adult males are strongly hypertrophied; in addition, adult males have keratinized spines on chest, belly, lateral body, posterior dorsum, buttocks, outer side of the fore limbs, the inner metacarpal tubercle, fingers I and II, and upper eyelids. The forelimbs of adult females are not hypertrophied, and adult females have distinct black spots on the dorsum, lateral body, and the dorsal side of limbs, no keratinized spines on chest, belly, lateral body, posterior dorsum, buttocks, and upper eyelids, and only some keratinized spines on finger I and a few small spines on finger II.
Etymology. The name refers to Xuelin Township, the locality where the new species was found. We propose “Xuelin Paa Frog” or “Xuelin Spiny Frog” for the common English name and “雪林棘蛙” (Xuě Lín Jí Wā) for the common Chinese name of the new species.

Table 4. Morphological measurements (mm) of the type series of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov.

|     | KIZL2019016 | KIZL2019017 | KIZL2019012 | KIZL2019013 | KIZL2019014 | KIZL2019015 |
|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|     | Holotype    | Paratype    | Paratype    | Paratype    | Paratype    | Paratype    |
|     | Adult male  | Adult female| Subadult male| Subadult female| Subadult female| Subadult male|
| SVL | 107.3       | 101.7       | 60.3        | 79.2        | 75.1        | 66.9        |
| AG  | 36.2        | 40.6        | 15.9        | 29.1        | 29.7        | 20.1        |
| HL  | 35.9        | 36.4        | 23.7        | 27.0        | 27.7        | 25.8        |
| HW  | 42.1        | 38.1        | 23.6        | 28.8        | 28.9        | 27.0        |
| HH  | 19.1        | 18.9        | 11.6        | 14.1        | 15.4        | 13.1        |
| SL  | 16.4        | 14.4        | 9.7         | 11.3        | 11.6        | 11.2        |
| ID  | 7.3         | 7.4         | 4.6         | 5.7         | 5.6         | 5.5         |
| IOD | 4.1         | 4.7         | 2.5         | 3.3         | 3.9         | 3.3         |
| UEW | 7.0         | 7.2         | 4.3         | 5.6         | 5.7         | 5.1         |
| EHD | 9.5         | 10.8        | 6.6         | 8.4         | 8.3         | 8.4         |
| TDH | 7.2         | 7.7         | 4.5         | 5.6         | 5.7         | 4.6         |
| TDV | 6.6         | 4.8         | 3.5         | 4.4         | 4.2         | 3.6         |
| SND | 8.4         | 7.1         | 4.5         | 6.4         | 5.1         | 4.9         |
| END | 7.9         | 7.3         | 4.6         | 5.6         | 5.8         | 5.4         |
| LAL | 22.5        | 19.9        | 11.7        | 15.3        | 13.9        | 14.1        |
| LAD | 18.8        | 10.3        | 7.9         | 8.8         | 8.2         | 9.4         |
| HAL | 22.7        | 19.0        | 14.6        | 17.8        | 15.9        | 15.6        |
| FML | 45.4        | 41.8        | 26.8        | 34.6        | 33.5        | 29.5        |
| TIL | 42.5        | 39.2        | 25.7        | 33.2        | 31.1        | 28.9        |
| TFL | 65.9        | 63.1        | 41.9        | 54.2        | 49.9        | 45.8        |
| FL  | 44.3        | 42.7        | 29.1        | 36.0        | 33.6        | 33.1        |
A new spiny frog species from China

Distribution. *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. is recorded in Lancang County (Pu’er City), Shuangjiang County (Lincang City), and Jinghong City (Xishuangbanna Prefecture), Yunnan Province, China.

Habitat. The type series was found in a still-water pond. At the type locality we found three other species of amphibians: *Chirixalus cf. doriae* Boulenger, 1893; *Raorchestes hillisi* Jiang Ren, Guo, Wang & Li, 2020; *Tylototriton verrucosus* Anderson, 1871a; and three species of reptiles: *Calotes emma* Gray, 1845; *Pareas xuelinensis* Liu & Rao, 2021; and *Pseudocalotes microlepis* (Boulenger, 1887).

Comparisons. *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. aenea*, *N. annandali* (Boulenger, 1920), *N. gammii* (Anderson, 1871b), *N. liebigii* (Günther, 1860), *N. polunini* (Smith, 1951), *N. rarica* (Dubois, Matsui & Ohler, 2001), *N. rostandi* (Dubois, 1974), and *N. unculuanus* by the absence of dorsolateral fold (vs presence).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. arnoldi* (Dubois, 1975), *N. maculosa* (Liu, Hu & Yang, 1960), *N. yunnanensis*, and *N. zhaermii* Qi, Zhou, Lu & Li, 2019 by the spines present only on finger I and finger II in adult males (vs present on finger I–III).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. arunachalensis* (Saikia, Sinha & Kharkongor, 2017), *N. planfordii* (Boulenger, 1882), *N. chayuensis* (Ye, 1977), *N. conaensis* (Fei & Huang, 1981), *N. minica* (Dubois, 1975), and *N. mokokchungensis* (Das & Chanda, 2000) by its larger body size.

Figure 7. Habitat of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. at the type locality.
Nanorana xuelinensis sp. nov. differs from *N. feae* (Boulenger, 1887) by the absence of spines on the inner side of the fore limbs in adult males (vs. presence).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. kangxianensis* (Yang, Wang, Hu & Jiang, 2011), *N. quadranus*, *N. taihangensis* by the strongly hypertrophied forelimbs in adult males (vs not hypertrophied), and by the presence of nuptial spines on the chest and fingers in adult males (vs absence).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. medogensis* (Fei & Ye, 1999), *N. phrynoides*, and *N. sichuanensis* by smooth anterior dorsum skin (vs many warts present).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. parkeri* (Stejneger, 1927), *N. pleskei* Günther, 1896, and *N. ventripunctata* Fei & Huang, 1985 by the shape of the nuptial spines (large and conical spines vs tiny and compact spines).

*Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. differs from *N. vicina* (Stoliczka, 1872) by its toes ca 2/3 webbed (vs fully webbed) and by the absence of bands on the hind limbs (vs presence).
Discussion

Most species of *Nanorana* live in running waters, especially in swiftly running waters (Dubois and Ohler 2005; Ohler and Dubois 2006) such as rivers or streams, except for *N. parkeri*, *N. pleskei*, and *N. ventripunctata*, which have produced a series of specialized adaptations to high-altitude habitats (Che et al. 2020). However, the habitat of the *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. is distinctive. All specimens of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. were found in still waters in different seasons. Why this species lives in still waters needs further study.

Morphologically, *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. is obviously different from all other known species of the genus *Nanorana*. The skins of most species of *Nanorana* are rough with more or less tubercles or warts (Che et al. 2020). However, the skin of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. is quite smooth on most areas of the body. Most males of the tribe Paini have spines on the fingers, arms, or breast. The presence of these spines is an adaptation to breeding in swiftly running waters, helping the males grasp of the females (Ohler and Dubois 2006). Although *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. does not live in running waters, the males still may need spines to help grasp females due to their smoother skins. But why the males of *Nanorana xuelinensis* sp. nov. have so many keratinized spines on the other areas of the body except for the fingers and breast we do not yet know, and the reason for this feature also needs further study.

The genus *Nanorana* contains 30 species, of which 22 species are recorded in China (Frost 2021); however, *N. arnoldi* is not recorded from China according to AmphibiaChina (2021), which lists only 21 species. This is probably due to an erroneous synonymy: *N. chayuensis* was placed into the synonymy of *N. arnoldi* by Dubois (1980), which subsequently was rejected by Hu (1985). In the phylogenetic analyses of Che et al. (2009), the gene sequences of *N. arnoldi* and *N. chayuensis* clustered together, but these sequences of *N. arnoldi* were from Yunnan, China, which were possibly wrongly identified and probably belong to *N. chayuensis*. We speculate that the true *N. arnoldi* is distributed in northern Myanmar, and not in China. Because we do not have specimens from northern Myanmar, whether *N. chayuensis* and *N. arnoldi* are the same species remains to be solved, but for the time being, we support AmphibiaChina (2021) in treating *N. chayuensis* as valid and considering that *N. arnoldi* is not distributed in China. Further collections from both countries will clarify this taxonomic conundrum.
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