Generating monthly rainfall amount using multivariate skew-\(t\) copula
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Abstract. This study aims to generate rainfall data in cases where the data is not available or not enough for a certain area of study. In general, the rainfall data is rightly skewed, so the multivariate skew-\(t\) copula is used as it able to model rainfall amount and capture the spatial dependence in the data. To illustrate the methodology, three rainfall stations in Kelantan are used. Firstly, the observed data is transformed to uniform unit. The Spearman’s correlation coefficient is calculated between the three stations. It is found that the correlations between the stations are significance at \(\alpha = 0.05\). The next step involved generating the synthetic rainfall data using the multivariate skew-\(t\) copula. The data is then transformed to uniform unit and the correlation coefficient is calculated for the generated data. Finally, the correlation coefficient of the observed and the generated data are compared. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of fit test is used to assess the fit between theoretical and empirical copula and supported by graphical representation. The results show that there is no significant difference between empirical and theoretical copula at 5% significance level. Thus, the multivariate skew-\(t\) copula is suitable to generate synthetic rainfall data that can mimic the observed rainfall data. It can also be used to present different rainfall scenarios by changing the value of the parameters in the model.

1. Introduction

The multivariate distribution function is used to model two or more dependent hydrological variables and their dependence structures. For example, an increased in rainfall amount received over a certain period of time could lead to the increase of flood peak and volume. Thus, an appropriate study need to be conducted that takes into account the risk of assessment within a spatial context. The generation of synthetic rainfall data is important as it enables the generation of synthetic rainfall that has similar characteristics to the observed data. Thus, it will assist in cases where data is unavailable. As we are concerning about the interdependence of extreme rainfall data at a study region, a copula method is chosen. The copula model have received much attention recently, particularly in rainfall modelling [1, 2, 3, 4]. This approach has gained more popularity in hydrological and meteorological applications [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The copula approach is preferred as it allows modelling and estimation of the distribution of random vectors by estimating marginal and the joint distributions separately. Recently, in most applications, the bivariate skew-\(t\) copula is used [7, 10]. Thus, in this study, we would like to extend the bivariate case to the trivariate case of multivariate skew-\(t\) copula.
2. Study area and data
The data consists of daily rainfall data in Kelantan, Malaysia from the year 1971 to 2012. This data of 42-year period is the longest available data that have been provided by the Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Malaysia. In this study, data from three rainfall stations in Kelantan are considered, namely Stesen Pertanian Melor (A: 5.9639°S, 102.2917°E), Ladang Lepan Kabu (B: 5.4597°S, 102.2306°E) and Rumah Pam Salor (C: 6.0181°S, 102.1778°E). Figure 1 shows the location of the selected stations.

Figure 1. The geographical location of the three rainfall stations in Kelantan.

3. Methodology
The flow of study is given in figure 2. Basically, the procedure for generating synthetic rainfall data using multivariate skew-\(t\) copula involves four steps. The first step involves the transformation of the observed data to uniform unit using the probability of monthly rain days. Once the data are transformed to uniform unit, the correlation coefficient is calculated. The next step involves generating synthetic rainfall data using multivariate skew-\(t\) copula. Similarly, the generated data are then transformed to uniform unit and the correlation coefficient is calculated. Finally, the correlation coefficient of the observed and generated data are compared. An appropriate goodness of fit test is conducted to assess the fit between the theoretical (fitted) and empirical (observed) copula.

The monthly rainfall amount is transformed to uniform unit using the probability of monthly rain days which the data lies between 0 and 1. The term ‘rain day’ is used to denote a day on which a station has recorded 0.1 mm or more rainfall amount. The daily rainfall data series of three rainfall stations located in Kelantan region are assembled and analysed for the probability of monthly rain days, \(P_k\) using the

\[
P_k = \frac{r_i}{R}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, N
\]

where \(N\) is the number of rainfall station, \(r_i\) is the number of rain days in a month \(i\) and \(R\) is the number of days in a month. The probability of monthly rain days is used to show that the
Figure 2. Flow of study.

3.1. Copulas

The copula approach is a useful tool to investigate the statistical behaviour of dependent variables. If $C : [0,1]^d \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a $d$-dimensional copula and if $F_k : [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ for each $k = 1,2,...,d$ is known as 1-dimensional cumulative density function (CDF) and we define $F_k : [0,\infty)^d \rightarrow [0,1]$ by

$$F(x_1,\cdots,x_d) = C(F_1(x_1),\cdots,F_d(x_d))$$

$$= C(u_1,\cdots,u_d)$$

then $F$ is then a joint CDF for the $d$-dimensional random variable $X = (X_1,\cdots,X_d)$ on $(0,\infty)^d$ where $u_d = F_d(x_d)$ with $u_d \sim U(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1,\cdots,X_d \leq x_d) = C(F_1(x_1),\cdots,F_d(x_d))$$

and

$$P [ X_k \leq x_k ] = F_k(x_k).$$

Note that if $U_k = F_k(x_k)$ for each $k = 1,2,\cdots,d$ and $U_d$ is in uniform unit distributed on $[0,1]$ therefore, the copula $C$ is the distribution of the vector for the random variable $U = (U_1,\cdots,U_d)^T$ [11]. In order to measure the spatial dependence between the rainfall stations, the Spearman’s correlation coefficient is used. The Spearman’s correlation coefficient of the joint distribution are defined as

$$\hat{\rho}_{k,l} = \frac{E [(U_k - 1/2)(U_l - 1/2)]}{\sqrt{E [(U_k - 1/2)^2(U_l - 1/2)^2]}} = 12E [U_kU_l] - 3$$
for each $1 \leq k < l \leq d$.

### 3.2. Multivariate skew-$t$ distribution

The skewed Student’s $t$ distribution is based on multivariate Student’s $t$ distribution that have proven to be useful in modelling data with asymmetric and heavy tail behaviour such as rainfall data. The multivariate skew-$t$ distribution generalises the univariate skew-$t$ distribution in the same manner as the multivariate normal distribution generalises the univariate normal distribution. The multivariate skew-$t$ distribution with $\nu$ as the degree of freedom, $\mu$ and $\gamma$ be the parameter vectors and $\Sigma$ is the real positive semi-definite matrix can be represented by the probability distribution function (PDF) as

$$ f(x; \nu, \mu, \gamma, \Sigma) = L_c \frac{H_\lambda^{\nu+d/2} \left( \sqrt{(\nu + \rho(x))(\gamma')^{-1} \gamma} \right) e^{(x - \mu)'} \Sigma^{-1} \gamma}{\left( \sqrt{(\nu + \rho(x))(\gamma')^{-1} \gamma} \right)^{\nu+d/2} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho(x)}{2} \right)^{\nu+d/2}}. \quad (5) $$

where $\rho(x) = (x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)$ and $L_c$ is the normalising constant given as

$$ L_c = \frac{2^{-\nu/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\nu + d}{2} \right).} \quad (6) $$

The $H_\lambda$ is the modified Bessel function of third kind, denoted as $H_\lambda(x), x > 0$ with index $\lambda$ such that

$$ H_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty y^{\lambda-1} e^{-\frac{y}{2}(1+y^{-1})} dy. \quad (7) $$

The mean of multivariate skew-$t$ is given as $E(x) = \mu + \gamma' \Sigma^{-1} \gamma$ and covariance, $\text{Cov}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \Sigma + \gamma \gamma'$

The multivariate skew-$t$ distribution of $x$, where $x$ is the $d$-dimensional random vector are distributed as $x \sim St_d(\mu, \nu, \Sigma, \gamma)$. Since the copula remains invariant under any series of strictly increasing transformations of the components of $x$, thus it follows that the $St_d(\mu, \nu, \Sigma, \gamma)$ is similar to the Student’s $t$ distribution $t_d(\nu, 0, P, \gamma)$ where $P$ is the correlation matrix that is corresponds to the variance-covariance matrix $\Sigma$. Thus, the trivariate skewed-$t$ copula of $x$ with $\nu$ as the degree of freedom and correlation matrix $P$ can be expressed as [12]

$$ C_{St}^{St}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = C_{St}^{St}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \text{St}_{\nu}(St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_2), St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_3)) \quad (8) $$

where the trivariate skew-$t$ copula is given as

$$ C_{St}^{St}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{St_{\nu}^{-1}(u_3)} L_c \frac{H_\lambda^{\nu+d/2} \left( \sqrt{(\nu + \rho(x))(\gamma')^{-1} \gamma} \right) e^{(x - \mu)'} \Sigma^{-1} \gamma}{\left( \sqrt{(\nu + \rho(x))(\gamma')^{-1} \gamma} \right)^{\nu+d/2} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho(x)}{2} \right)^{\nu+d/2}} dx. \quad (9) $$

where $d$ is the three dimensional skew-$t$ copula. The $St_{\nu}^{-1}$ is the inverse CDF (quantile function) of univariate skew-$t$ distribution. In this study, we proposed the algorithm for the simulation of trivariate random variables from a skew-$t$ copula, $C_{St}^{St}$ as follow:

(i) Transform the data to uniform, $U(0,1)$ unit.
(ii) Simulate a random variate from trivariate skew-$t$ of independent of $z_1, z_2, z_3$.
(iii) Set $u_i = St_{\nu}(z_i)$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$.
(iv) $(u_1, u_2, u_3)' \sim C_{St}^{St}$.

The reader may refer to [12] for further details.
4. Results and discussion
The correlations of the uniform data are calculated for all three stations. The correlation between station AB is significantly high with 0.827 meanwhile the correlation between station AC and BC are 0.629 and 0.601, respectively. The Spearman’s rho rank correlation coefficient between the data from the three stations is also calculated. The test of significance for the correlation is based on the hypothesis test that there is no correlation between the data \((\rho = 0)\). The results show that the \(P\)-values are all smaller than \(\alpha = 0.05\) for station AB \((P - value = 0.00)\), AC \((P - value = 0.00)\) and BC \((P - value = 0.00)\). Thus, we may conclude that there is statistically significant correlation at 5% significance level among the rainfall stations. Thus, it indicates a strong spatial dependence between each station.

Next, the data are generated using multivariate skew-\(t\) copula with sample size of \(10^4\). The effect of the parameters, that are the skewness \((\gamma)\), correlation \((\rho)\) and degrees of freedom \((\nu)\) on the correlation \((r)\) of the simulated data are noted. The correlation coefficient of the observed data will be matched with the correlation coefficient of the simulated data. In each iteration, the value of the simulated correlations will be recorded.

Table 1. Comparison of the correlation values of the observed (probability of monthly rain days) and simulated data using multivariate skew-\(t\) copula.

| Stations | Model correlation, \(\rho\) | Model skewness, \(\gamma\) | DoF, \(\nu\) | Correlation of observed data | Correlation of simulated data |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| AB       | 0.880          | 0.500          | 5        | 0.827          | 0.837          |
| AC       | 0.730          | 1.800          | 5        | 0.629          | 0.639          |
| BC       | 0.730          | 1.800          | 5        | 0.601          | 0.606          |

Figure 3. Comparison of the fit theoretical and empirical copulas using probability of monthly rain days.

As pointed by [13], increasing the correlation of model parameters will increase the correlation of the simulated data. Meanwhile, increasing the skewness will decrease the correlation of the simulated data. However, varying the degrees of freedom just give a slight effect on the simulation results. The process is repeated until the correlation of the generated data is close enough to the correlation of the empirical data. The summarised results based on the best settings are given in table 1. The set of parameters that may best match the observed statistics are found to be \(\rho_1 = 0.88, \rho_2 = 0.73, \rho_3 = 0.73\) with skewness of \(\gamma_1 = 0.50, \gamma_2 = 1.80, \gamma_3 = 1.80\) and \(\nu = 5\) which give the simulated correlation of \(r_{12} = r_{21} = 0.837, r_{13} = r_{31} = 0.639\) and \(r_{13} = r_{31} = 0.606\).
In comparison, the correlations of the observed data are $r_{12} = r_{21} = 0.827$, $r_{13} = r_{31} = 0.629$ and $r_{13} = r_{31} = 0.601$, respectively.

**Figure 4.** CDF of theoretical and empirical copulas using probability of monthly rain days.

Next, we use a graphical method to evaluate the goodness of fit between the observed and the generated data. Figure 3 and 4 show the comparison of the fit between theoretical and empirical copulas and also the CDF using the probability of monthly rain days, respectively. Figure 3 shows that all the points are scattered along the straight line of $y = x$ while figure 4 shows that both of the empirical graph using the probability of monthly rain days and generated data using multivariate skew-$t$ fit closely to each other which might be a good indicator that both of the fits are not significantly different. Thus, simulated data using multivariate skew-$t$ copula can be used to represent the probability of monthly rain days in a study region. Hence, this finding gives us more options on handling missing rainfall value that is related to the number of rain days at a particular location.

5. Conclusion
This study demonstrates that the multivariate skew-$t$ copula is suitable for modelling probability of monthly rain days with strong correlated stations. The generation of synthetic rainfall data is important as it enables the generation of rainfall amount which has similar characteristics to the observed data. Thus, this gives us more options in handling the missing rainfall data for application in related fields.
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