Electrochemical upgrading of biomass-derived 5-hydroxymethylfurfural and furfural over oxygen vacancy-rich NiCoMn-layered double hydroxides nanosheets†
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Rational design of low-cost and active electrocatalysts is crucial for upgrading of biomass-derived chemicals. Here, we report highly efficient catalysts ternary NiCoMn-layered double hydroxides (NiCoMn-LDHs) nanosheets which are oxygen vacancy-rich, produced under controllable conditions for the electrooxidation of both 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) and furfural to furoic acid (FurAc) under mild conditions, respectively. Electrochemical tests showed that the oxidation of HMF and furfural occurred prior to the oxidation of water at lower applied potentials with NiCoMn-LDHs catalysts. High yields of FDCA (91.7%) and FurAc (92.4%) were achieved in 2.5 h using 1.15 nm thick NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets under the optimal conditions. The mechanism for the superior performance, high durability, and good faradaic efficiency has been elucidated by comprehensive characterization, which confirmed that ultrathin nanosheets expose more Co-NiOOH active sites with oxygen vacancies, facilitating the synergistic effect between HMF and furfural oxidation reaction on Co–Ni and Mn2+ states. The oxygen vacancy-rich NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheet catalysts present a novel and energy-efficient solution to obtain upgraded biochemicals.

Introduction

With the diminishing of the limited fossil resources and their associated environmental problems, a renewable biomass resource is a promising alternative for generating fine chemicals.¹–³ Among numerous biomass-derived platform
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highly desirable to develop Earth-abundant active catalysts to synthesize FDCA and FurAc at ambient pressure and low temperature.

Electrocatalytic oxidation is considered as a green alternative to the traditional catalytic approach, facilitated by adjusting the applied potential to the anode at low temperature and atmospheric pressure, without the need for oxygen or air. Many efforts are invested in the exploitation of efficient catalysts including precious-metal or nonprecious-metal based catalysis to boost the electrooxidation process. For example, Chadderdon et al. found that bimetallic noble-metal based catalysis with different metal ratios showed higher HMF conversion and FDCA selectivity than monometallic catalysts, among which, Pd1Au3/C achieved 83% selectivity of FDCA in 1 h at 25 °C and 0.9 V, while Pd/C and Au/C catalysts achieved only 29% and 1%, respectively.15 In consideration of the cost, some transition metal catalysts are also developed with high activity for the oxidation of HMF or furfural that can compete with precious metal catalysts, such as Ni,16 Ni2S2;17 Ni2P;18 Ni3P/Ni19 and Co–P.20 Unfortunately, they showed poor durability due to the strong metal–oxygen interaction resulting in the formation of metal oxides/hydroxides or the aggregation of nano-particles. In this context, layered double hydroxides (LDHs), a typical two-dimensional (2D) structure,21 exhibiting high stability, have attracted much attention.22,23 The LDHs comprise cationic plates with hydroxyls and interlayer anions, among which there are strong electrostatic interactions.24 LDHs with their structural and compositional diversity offer a versatile platform to produce advanced catalysts and are promising for electrocatalysis as reported in our previous work.25–27 For example, Li et al. reported a one-step method to synthesize NiMn-LDHs (thickness of 1.3 nm) with highly exposed active metal sites and nearly optimal adsorption energy for intermediates (\(\cdot\)OH and \(\cdot\)O), leading to a reduction of 80 mV in the overpotential at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) compared with NiMn-LDHs synthesized by the traditional method.25 Liu et al. reported that NiCo-LDHs treated with Ar plasma generated more defects on the surface and presented a lower overpotential of 299 mV at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and a smaller Tafel slope of 45 mV dec\(^{-1}\) for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) than pristine materials.26 Zhang et al. fabricated NiCoFe-LDHs on carbon fiber paper (CFP) for the electrocatalytic oxidation of HMF to FDCA resulting in 95.5% conversion of HMF and 84.9% selectivity of FDCA in 1 h.27 Although many studies have focused on significant advancement in the field of electrocatalytic oxidation of HMF or furfural, there are still relatively few studies that have focused on the synthesis of Earth-abundant ternary LDHs nanosheets for electrochemical oxidation, especially related to the versatility for both HMF and furfural. An additional factor that needs to be addressed is the low current density induced by the competition of water oxidation at the anode, which remains a significant barrier for the production of fine chemicals.

Here, we report the highly efficient electrocatalytic oxidation of HMF to FDCA and furfural to FurAc using the readily synthesizable NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets which are oxygen vacancy-rich loaded on Ni foam (NF). The NiCoMn-LDHs/NF with different metal ratios were denoted as NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs/NF, NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF and NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs/NF, corresponding to (Ni\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\)/Mn\(^{2+}\) ratios of 1 : 1, 2 : 1 and 3 : 1, respectively. The effect of metallic Ni:Co:Mn ratios of NiCoMn-LDHs on the catalytic activity was studied to optimize the catalyst composition. Comprehensive characterization was performed to elucidate the relationship between the catalyst structure and performance. Different metal ratios, reaction temperatures, and reactant concentrations were investigated systematically to optimize the yields of FDCA and FurAc. Also, reaction kinetics, electrochemical oxidation, and reaction pathways were studied and proposed. Our results offer a new route to utilize low-cost and active LDHs for the electrochemical synthesis of valuable fine chemicals.

Experimental

Synthesis of NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets

Mixed Ni\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), and Mn\(^{2+}\) solutions were prepared according to the pre-calculated ratios (solution A). The compositions of the mixed solutions are summarized in Table S1.† 1 M NaOH and 0.1 M Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) were blended with a volume ratio of 4 : 1 to achieve solution B. Solutions A and B were then slowly titrated into a four-neck round bottom flask to adjust the pH value to 8.5 with 1200 rpm stirring and held for 1 h. Next, the solution was transferred to a hydrothermal vessel with a 1 cm × 1 cm pre-purged piece of Ni foam (NF). After being heated at 100 °C for 12 h, the reactor was opened and cooled to room temperature. The resulting NiCoMn-LDHs/NF and powders collected from the remaining solution by centrifugation were dried in a vacuum at 80 °C for 8 h. The sources of chemical reagents used in this work are given in Table S2.† No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

Electrochemical oxidation of HMF and furfural

An electrochemical workstation (Metro Autolab M204, Utrecht, The Netherlands) was applied to initiate the electrochemical oxidation of HMF and furfural. The oxidation occurred in an H-cell at a rotating rate of 500 rpm with aqueous 1 M NaOH (pH = 13.5) containing different concentrations of HMF or furfural. A standard three-electrode system was built as follows: the as-prepared NiCoMn-LDHs/NF was the working electrode (anode), an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode, and a Pt-wire electrode as the counter electrode (cathode). A Nafion 117 membrane was then utilized to separate the two chambers of anode and cathode. The potential of the Ag/AgCl electrode was converted to the potential of the reversible hydrogen electro-de (RHE) based on the Nernst equation.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Shimadzu Prominance SIL-20A) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS, UltiMate3000–timsTOF) were used to detect the specific concentrations of HMF, furfural, and other products. The ultraviolet-visible detector was set at 265 nm, 5 mM ammonium formate and chromatography.
methanol served as the mobile phase A and mobile phase B in the proportion of 30%/70% with a constant flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The temperature was controlled at 40 °C and the volume of each injected sample was 10 μL. The conversion of HMF (ConvHMF) and furfural (ConvFur) and the yields of the oxidation product (YFDCA) and (YFurAc) are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{ConvHMF} \% = \left( \frac{C_{\text{HMF}}}{C_{0-\text{HMF}}} \right) \times 100%
\]

\[
\text{ConvFur} \% = \left( \frac{C_{\text{Fur}}} {C_{0-\text{Fur}}} \right) \times 100%
\]

\[
Y_{\text{FDCA}} \% = \left( \frac{C_{\text{FDCA}}}{C_{0-\text{HMF}}} \right) \times 100%
\]

\[
Y_{\text{FurAc}} \% = \left( \frac{C_{\text{FurAc}}}{C_{0-\text{Fur}}} \right) \times 100%
\]

where \(C_{\text{HMF}}\) and \(C_{0-\text{HMF}}\) are the instant and initial concentration of HMF, respectively; \(C_{\text{Fur}}\) and \(C_{0-\text{Fur}}\) are the instant and initial concentration of furfural, respectively; and \(C_{\text{FDCA}}\) and \(C_{0-\text{HMF}}\) are the instant concentration of FDCA and FurAc, respectively. All the units of concentration are mmol L⁻¹ (mM). Additionally, the procedures related to the Nernst equation, Debye–Schererrer equation, turnover frequency (TOF) calculation, mass activity calculation, and faradaic efficiency are shown on page S4 to page S5 in the ESI.†

**Results and discussion**

Characterization of NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets

The samples of NiCoMn-LDHs with different NiCo : Mn ratios were produced using the co-precipitation method under ambient conditions and hydrothermal treatment (loading mass of ~0.5 mg cm⁻²). X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Fig. S1a†) confirmed the crystalline phase of NiCoMn-LDHs/NF with different ratios of (Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺) versus Mn²⁺ (1 : 1, 2 : 1 and 3 : 1) with characteristic exfoliated LDHs phases for the (003), (006), (012) and (110) crystal planes. A peak shift at low 2θ between 10° and 20° of NiCoMn-LDHs with different NiCo : Mn ratios was noticed because of the changes in the interlayer spacing (d) (Table S3†), which could be ascribed to the replacement by Mn with a larger ionic radius (0.80 nm) for Ni (radius, 0.69 nm) and Co (radius, 0.72 nm) sites in the NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets.

The specific thickness of the as-synthesized NiCoMn-LDHs materials with different metal ratios was further characterized using atomic force microscopy (AFM). The corresponding height profiles are shown in the inset of the AFM images. The thickness of NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs was 1.15 nm (Fig. 1d), which was slightly larger than the basal spacing of LDHs (i.e. 0.75 nm). The deviation in thickness of ~0.4 nm may be ascribed to the possible adsorption of water molecules as well as counteranions on the surface of the nanosheets.33,34 The NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs samples with a thickness of 1.24 nm (Fig. 1g) and NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs with a thickness of 4.48 nm (Fig. 1a) thus comprised about 2–6 lamellar layers.35,36

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images further confirmed that ultrathin and rhombohedral LDHs nanosheets were successfully produced. NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs (Fig. 1e and f) and NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs (Fig. 1h and i) presented thinner and more transparent features with a thinner lattice spacing of 1.45 Å indexed to the (110) planes, which was consistent with the result of XRD and AFM. Compared to the NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs and NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs, the NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs dis-played a wider lattice spacing of 1.47 Å assigned to the (110) planes (Fig. 1b and c). Again, the increasing replacement of Ni and Co by Mn which has a larger ionic radius, 0.8 nm com-pared to 0.69 and 0.72 nm for Ni and Co, respectively, in the NiCoMn-LDHs accounts for the above phenomenon.
To investigate the surface oxygen vacancies (O\text{v}) in the fabricated LDHs, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was employed (Fig. S2†). NiCoMn-LDHs displayed a strong symmetrical EPR signal at g = 2.002, which can be assigned to the paramagnetic O\text{v}.\textsuperscript{37} Moreover, the NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs showed the strongest EPR signal manifesting a sufficient amount of O\text{v}, which had been proven to be an excellent and effective way to facilitate the electron transport capacity and superior elec-trooxidation performance in transition metal catalysts.\textsuperscript{38} In addition, the presence of oxygen vacancies can also decrease the coordination number, which benefits the adsorption of HMF and furfural molecules onto the surface of the catalyst, since the low-coordinated surface has a large concentration of active sites and better catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, HMF and furfural molecules would be preferentially adsorbed on the surface with oxygen vacancies to reduce their adsorption energy.\textsuperscript{40}

A detailed investigation of the local structure of the metal cations was undertaken by the analysis of the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) region of the XAFS data collected at the Ni K-edge, Co K-edge, and Mn K-edge. The EXAFS data of the Ni K-edge (Fig. 2a and b) can be fitted to two scattering paths, one that can be assigned to Ni–O and the other to a Ni–M (M = Ni, Co, and Mn) scattering path at 2.04 and 3.06 Å, respectively. The fit results from this edge suggested that each Ni is only connected to O and to an M both with a coordination number (CN) of 8 (Table S5†). Whether the M was Ni, Co or Mn cannot be extracted from the data, due to the similar scattering factors of the elements. Fitting the Mn–K edge data (Fig. 2e and f) revealed the presence of at least two shells. The first shell was a Mn–O with a distance of 1.91 Å and the CN was ~4. The second shell was attributed in the first approximation to a Mn–Mn scattering, with a distance of 3.02 Å and a coordination number of ~9 (Table S7†). However, the EXAFS data showed various oscillations at a higher k range (between 9 and 12 Å\textsuperscript{−1}) for which the fit of a simple Mn–Mn scattering path was not sufficient. Therefore, it was possible that multiple shells were presented in the region between 2.00 and 3.50 Å, which can be attributed to a different metal, either Co or Ni, which can also be inferred by examining the Fourier transform, where another shell can be seen at ~3.25 Å. The possibility of this longer distance scattering due to a Mn–O scattering path of MnO has been excluded as this feature increases in intensity with increasing k-weight, a sign of this scattering being due to heavy element. However, fitting of mul-tiple shells containing atoms with close scattering contributions was not possible due to the short-range of EXAFS; however, these contributions can be understood by the analysis of the EXAFS of the Ni and Co, similar to the study performed by Zhang.\textsuperscript{41} Indeed, two shells could be found on analyzing the XAFS of the Co K-edge (Fig. 2c and d). The Co–O distance was significantly short compared to that of Ni (1.90 Å compared to 2.00 Å) with a much lower CN of 5 (Table S6†), suggesting that the nature of the Co cation is more akin to Mn than to Ni. However, on examining the second shell, it was noted that the distance of this Co–M (M = Co, Ni, and Mn) was significantly larger than that observed with either Mn or Ni (3.39 Å compared to 3.02 Å). Combining these results with the information obtained from the Mn K edge, it was possible to surmise that the shell which could not be extracted from the Mn K edge may be attributed to Mn–Co scattering.

Raman spectroscopy was further used to investigate the surface bond formation of the fabricated LDHs and the spectra are shown in Fig. S3†. The band at 611 cm\textsuperscript{−1} was assigned to the hexagonal U\textsubscript{M06} vibration (M = Mn cations, A\textsubscript{1g} mode).\textsuperscript{42,43} With the increased Mn content, the area of U\textsubscript{M06} vibration increased. It was reported that the band ranging from 445 to 465 cm\textsuperscript{−1} was assigned to the Ni–O vibration of α-Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} and β-Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{44} The disordered or doped Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} showed a Ni–O vibration that was shifted to a higher wavenumber. Therefore, the band at 480 cm\textsuperscript{−1} in NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs was ascribed to the defective or disordered Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}. The band at 495 cm\textsuperscript{−1} in NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs and NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs indicated higher levels of a defective or disordered structure as observed in Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{44} Moreover, the band at 527 cm\textsuperscript{−1} indicated the presence of a Co–O sym-metric stretching mode.\textsuperscript{45} In addition, the area of the Co–O band varied with different ratios of the incorporated Mn cations, revealing a change in the local environment of Co–O. With all the information obtained by different characterization techniques, ultrathin and rhombohedral LDHs nanosheets with high levels of Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} defects were successfully produced.
The electrochemical performance of NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets
As NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs possessed a unique structure, this material was selected as the anode to evaluate the electro-chemical performance in different electrolytes (1 M NaOH with and without HMF and furfural) with a standard three-electrode system. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was investi-gated to evaluate the performance of LDHs nanosheets at a scan rate of 3 mV s$^{-1}$. Fig. 3a shows that NiCoMn-LDHs/NF exhibits much higher activity in 1 M NaOH with HMF and furfural than in pure 1 M NaOH electrolytes. The overpotentials of NiCoMn-LDHs/NF required to reach a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ for the oxidation of furfural and HMF were 350 mV and 370 mV, whilst the overpotential was 410 mV for the oxidation of water. The LSV curves of pure NF were also studied as a benchmark and are shown in Fig. S4.† As shown, the electrochemical activity, using pure NF was clearly much lower than that observed for the NF with NiCoMn-LDHs. The results indicated that the NiCoMn-LDHs played an important role in the oxidation reaction. In addition, the onset potential of NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in 1 M NaOH with HMF and furfural was $\sim$1.42 V, 30 mV less than that in pure 1 M NaOH electrolytes (1.45 V). The tendency towards lower potential of the curves indicated that the oxidation of HMF and furfural occurred at a lower potential in comparison with that for water oxidation. In addition, Tafel plots were used to study the reaction kinetics. The Tafel slopes (Fig. 3b) were 118 and 112 mV dec$^{-1}$ for the oxidation of HMF and furfural, respectively, which were much lower than that of 145 mV dec$^{-1}$ for water oxidation, further indicating the faster electrocatalytic kinetics for the oxidation of HMF or furfural compared with those for water oxidation.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to probe the relevant Nyquist plots with the frequency ranging from 0.01 Hz to $10^5$ Hz at the open circle voltage. As shown in Fig. 3c, the solution resistance (R$q$) was $\sim$0.85 $\Omega$ in furfural solution and $\sim$0.95 $\Omega$ in HMF solution, lower than that in pure alkaline solution ($\sim$1.34 $\Omega$). At the same time, the charge-transfer resistances (R$ct$) of NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in different electrolytes were lower than 1.0 $\Omega$. The NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in furfural and HMF solution gave the smallest R$ct$ of $\sim$0.30 $\Omega$, while the R$ct$ in pure alkaline solution was $\sim$0.60 $\Omega$. EIS further revealed that the electron transportation in furfural and HMF solution was faster than that in pure alkaline solution. Moreover, the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) in different electrolytes was assessed by the electrochemical double layer capacitance (C$dl$). C$dl$ was obtained by cyclic voltammetry (CV) ranging from 1.15 to 1.25 V at different scan rates (20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mV s$^{-1}$). As shown in Fig. 3d, NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in furfural solution possessed the largest C$dl$ of 5.10 mF cm$^{-2}$, while the C$dl$ in HMF solution was 3.85 mF cm$^{-2}$, which was significantly higher than that in pure alkaline solution (0.70 mF cm$^{-2}$). The higher effective surface area in furfural and HMF solutions led to the decline of overpotential and the enhancement of oxidation performance. In addition, we also compared the reaction kinetics in different electrolytes using the turnover frequency (TOF) and mass activity (Fig. S5a and S5b). At an overpotential of 400 mV, the NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in furfural solution exhibited a superior TOF of 0.081 s$^{-1}$ and a high mass activity of 0.181 A mg$^{-1}$, which was much higher than those in HMF solution (0.044 s$^{-1}$ and 0.147 A mg$^{-1}$) and in pure alkaline solution $\sim$.

Factors influencing the upgrading of HMF
Different factors including temperature, reactant concen-tration, and metal ratios were systematically investigated to optimize the selectivity of high-valued product FDCA. The NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF at a fixed potential of 1.50 V at a stir-ring speed of 500 rpm was used. In terms of the reaction temper-ature, the electrochemical oxidation of 1 mM HMF in 1 M NaOH was carried out at different temperatures (25 °C, 35 °C, 45 °C, and 55 °C). As can be seen in Fig. 4a, the conversion of HMF reached 100% in 150 min (except at 25 °C), showing that increasing temperature helped the conversion of HMF. As shown in Fig. 4b, the highest yield of FDCA at 35 °C reached 91.7% in 150 min, whilst that at 25 °C was 72.9%, 45 °C was 42.3%, and 55 °C was 16.3%. The oxidation of HMF was an exothermic reaction. The Gibbs free energy change (G) from HMF to DFF was 1.85 eV. Increasing temperature was conducive to the pro-gress of the reaction. However, the enhancement was limited in the exothermic reaction due to the suppression of the posi-tive reaction and encouragement of the reverse reaction by high temperature. Therefore, the optimized temperature of 35 °C was chosen in this study.

The effect of reactant concentration was studied for the electrochemical oxidation reaction by varying the HMF concen-

Fig. 3 (a) LSV curves, (b) Tafel plots, (c) Nyquist plots of impedances, and (d) change of current density plotted against the scan rate of NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF in different electrolytes. Reaction details: 1 M NaOH, 1 M NaOH with 1 mM HMF, and 1 M NaOH with 1 mM furfural (abbreviated as “Fur” in figures and tables).
35 mL of 1 mM HMF to FDCA was 20.3°C. Therefore, the faradaic efficiency of FDCA was ~65% when 20.3°C charge was passed over NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF catalysts at 35°C and 1 mM HMF concentration. Compared to the results (Table S8†) in the literature, it is observed that the performance obtained here with complete conversion of 1 mM HMF and 91.7% yield of FDCA at 35°C is exceptional.

Factors influencing the oxidation of furfural

To investigate how the optimal reaction parameters influenced the electrocatalytic upgrading of furfural, NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF at a fixed potential of 1.50 V was chosen for further investigation. To investigate the effect of temperature, the electro-chemical oxidation of 1 mM furfural with 1 M NaOH was studied in a temperature range from 25°C to 55°C. For all temperatures, almost complete conversion of furfural was achieved after 2 h (Fig. 5a). The oxidation of furfural was also an exothermic reaction. Similarly, excessive temperature can prevent the forward reaction of furfural oxidation. As with HMF, the optimal temperature for the highest yield of FurAc (92.4%) was 35°C (Fig. 5b).

The reactant concentration influence on the catalytic performance was further explored. The concentration of furfural

Fig. 4 (a) The conversion of 1 mM HMF and (b) the yield of FDCA at different temperatures (25°C, 35°C, 45°C, and 55°C). (c) The conversion of different concentrations of HMF (1 mM, 5 mM, 10 mM, and 20 mM) and (d) the yield of FDCA at a temperature of 35°C. (e) The conversion of 1 mM HMF and (f) the yield of FDCA at a temperature of 35°C by using NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs/NF, NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF and NiCoMn (3 : 1)-LDHs/NF.

Fig. 5 (a) The conversion of 1 mM furfural and (b) the yield of FurAc at different temperatures. (c) The conversion of different concentrations of furfural and (d) the yield of FurAc at 35°C. (e) The conversion of 1 mM furfural and (f) the yield of FurAc at a temperature of 35°C by using NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs/NF, NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF and NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs/NF.

Different ratios of (Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺) to Mn²⁺ were studied to optimize the catalyst composition for the electrochemical HMF oxidation. Catalysts with three ratios of (Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺) to Mn²⁺ (1 : 1, 2 : 1, and 3 : 1) were tested at the optimal temperature of 35°C and HMF concentration of 1 mM. As shown in Fig. 4e and f, NiCoMn-LDHs/NF with different metal ratios attained 100% HMF conversion in 150 min. NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF achieved the highest FDCA yield of 91.7%, which was much higher than that achieved over NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs/NF (83.6%) and NiCoMn(1 : 1)-LDHs/NF (60.2%). Here, the stoichiometric amount of charge required to completely convert
in the range from 1 mM to 20 mM was studied at 35 °C and the catalytic results are depicted in Fig. 5c and d. 1 mM furfural electrolyte achieved the highest conversion of furfural (94.3%) and yield of FurAc (88.0%) in 90 min. The influence of reactant concentration on the yield of FurAc showed a similar trend to that obtained with HMF. The reason was the facile oxi-dation from FurAc to surface-bound furoate intermediate, which can give rise to an ordered monolayer by accumulation and block the surface of the catalysts, avoiding the production of FurAc. 47

NiCoMn-LDHs/NF with different NiCo : Mn ratios (1 : 1, 2 : 1 and 3 : 1) were also investigated under the optimal conditions of 35 °C and 1 mM reactant. Fig. 5e shows that almost full con-version of furfural was achieved in 150 min with NiCoMn-LDHs/NF for all ratios. The NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF catalyst still showed the best activity for furfural oxidation with the pre-dominant FurAc yield of 92.4% in 150 min, while FurAc yield of 65.8% and 76.0% in 150 min were obtained with NiCoMn (1 : 1)-LDHs/NF and NiCoMn(3 : 1)-LDHs/NF (Fig. 5f†), respect-ively. The charge of 13.5 C was theoretically needed to trans-form 35 mL of 1 mM furfural into FurAc, thus the faradaic efficiency was ~70% with NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF catalysts at 35 °C and 1 mM furfural concentration. Overall, the oxidation of furfural resulted in higher yields of the target product than that obtained for HMF, which correlated with the results of the electrochemical performance. Pure NF was also used for the oxidation of HMF and furfural to clarify a differentiated contribu-tion of NiCoMn-LDHs and NF. As shown in Fig. S6,† NF led to 15.2% conversion of HMF and 15.8% conversion of furfural, while the yields of FDCA and FurAc were 2.4% and 2.5% in 150 min, respectively. It was demonstrated that the pure NF had a slight influence on the conversion of HMF and furfural and hardly any selectivity towards FDCA and FurAc.

All the results of oxidation of HMF and furfural under different reaction parameter conditions including tempera-tures, reactant concentrations, and metal ratios of LDHs are listed in Tables S9–S11† Comparisons of the oxidation of HMF and furfural catalyzed by different anodic catalysts in alkaline electrolytes from previous reports are summarized in Table S8.† The results clearly show the benefits of the NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets synthesized in this work.

The stability of NiCoMn-LDHs

The LSV curves were utilized in a four-necked round flask to study the durability of the catalysts. As shown in Fig. S7,† the NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF before and after biomass oxidation displayed good reproducibility of the polarization curves. During four cycles of HMF to FDCA and furfural to FurAc elec-trolysis, the NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF retained over 90% HMF and furfural conversion and over 85% FDCA and FurAc, as shown in Fig. S8.† Moreover, from the XRD analysis of the used catalysts (Fig. S9†), the patterns after the oxidation reaction displayed the characteristic peaks of LDHs, as with the fresh catalysts, demonstrating that the LDHs remained stable. Additionally, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to observe any morphological variation of NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF before and after the oxidation reaction of HMF and fur-fural. As shown in Fig. S10,† the morphology and structure remained stable retaining the rhombohedral nanosheets, which confirmed the high stability of the structure and morphology.

The stability of the NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF before and after the oxidation reaction of HMF and furfural was additionally characterized using X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). The binding energies are listed in Table S12.† The XPS full spectra (Fig. 6a) showed similar peaks to those observed for the fresh electrode. In the Ni 2p region (Fig. 6c), the binding energies at 855.3 eV and 873.0 eV (Ni 2p3/2 and Ni 2p1/2, respectively) with two shakeup satellites (879.8 eV and 861.5 eV, respectively) corresponded to Ni2+ from Ni(OH)2, whereas the binding energies at 857.1 eV and 874.7 eV (Ni 2p3/2 and Ni 2p1/2, respectively) were assigned to the small amount of Ni3+ from NiOOH in the samples. 48 After the reaction, the intensity of NiOOH increased as Ni(OH)2 was oxidized to NiOOH, which has been reported to be the direct active mediator in the oxi-dation reaction. 49 In the Co 2p spectra (Fig. 6d), two main peaks after oxidation of HMF (the Co 2p3/2 peak at 780.9 eV with a satellite peak at 786.5 eV and the Co 2p1/2 peak at 796.6 eV with a satellite peak at 802.7 eV) and furfural (the Co 2p3/2 peak at 780.9 eV with a satellite peak at 787.3 eV and the Co 2p1/2 peak at 796.6 eV with a satellite peak at 804.0 eV) both shifted to higher binding energy compared with the fresh electrode (the Co 2p3/2 peak at 780.7 eV with a satellite peak at 785.5 eV and the Co 2p1/2 peak at 796.3 eV with a satellite peak at 802.5 eV). 50 These observations may suggest that some of the Co formed stronger interactions with the intermediates. 51
As for Mn, the Mn 2p3/2 signal composed of two separate peaks centered at 641.9 eV and 643.6 eV and the Mn 2p1/2 signal centered at 654.0 eV in the fresh NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF, suggested the presence of Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ in the samples (Fig. 6b). After HMF and furfural oxidation, Mn 2p3/2 and Mn 2p1/2 peaks shifted to a higher binding energy, whereas the atomic Mn$^{2+}$ concentration decreased and the Mn$^{3+}$ concentration increased. These results proved that the inter-conversions between Mn ions on the surface of the catalyst took place during the oxidation of HMF and furfural, resulting in the continuous activation for the faster conversion towards the target products.

Oxidation pathways

Apart from the main product FDCA and FurAc from the oxidation reaction of HMF and furfural, some by-products were observed, namely 5-hydroxyethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (HMFCA), 5-formyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (FFCA), and 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF), as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and shown in Fig. S11.† Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) was further conducted to verify the intermediate products during the oxidation of HMF, as shown in Fig. S12.† Based on the above experimental results, a schematic diagram of the electro-catalytic oxidation process of HMF on NiCoMn-LDHs/NF is proposed, as shown in Fig. S13.† Two possible reaction pathways for the electrochemical oxidation of HMF were observed in the alkaline solution which agreed with the pathway proposed previously. According to the XPS analysis of NiCoMn (2 : 1)-LDHs nanosheets before and after the reaction, the oxidation step (HMF → DFF) through the first pathway proceeded through the inter-conversions between Mn ions on the surface of catalysts. Some Mn$^{2+}$ ions could be oxidized into Mn$^{3+}$ in the electro-oxidation process. The alcohol chain in HMF was dehydrogenated to form an aldehyde group in combination with the transformation of Mn$^{3+}$ into Mn$^{2+}$. Then, an original diol was produced by nucleophilic addition of the hydroxide ions and a proton transferred from the aldehyde group in DFF. Oxygen vacancies can enhance the electrolyte wettability and the coordinate hydroxyls of the catalyst surface. With the aid of the adequate coordinated hydroxyls on the catalyst surface, the unstable diol was transformed into FFCA by dehydrogenation. In the other pathway, the HMF was converted to FFCA through HMFCA by a succession of sequential hydration and dehydrogenation steps with the inter-conversions between Mn ions and oxygen vacancies. The second main intermediate gave FFCA from DFF and HMFCA, further proceeding to the target product of FDCA by similar formation of aldehyde and dehydrogenation of diol. Based on the HPLC (Fig. S14†) and LC-MS results (Fig. S15†), the possible reaction pathway of electrocatalytic oxidation of furfural was also proposed, as shown in Fig. S17.† The furfural was swiftly and directly converted into FurAc with no detectable intermediates through a similar hydration and dehydrogenation reaction under the impetus of redox cycle between Mn$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$ and oxygen vacancies.  

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have successfully developed a facile method to synthesize the ultrathin and rhombohedral NiCoMn-LDHs/NF efficient for the direct electrochemical oxidation of HMF and furfural to FDCA and FurAc, respectively. A series of electrochemical measurements revealed that electrocatalytic oxidation of HMF and furfural has an excellent dynamic per-formance with very low overpotential at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ (370 mV for HMF and 350 mV for furfural) and high catalytic activity. The small Tafel slope in HMF (118 mV dec$^{-1}$) and furfural (112 mV dec$^{-1}$) proved the dominance of these reactions over the oxidation of water (410 mV at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 145 mV dec$^{-1}$). The $R_{ct}$ of NiCoMn-LDHs/NF in HMF and furfural solution was reduced by $\sim$0.3 Ω compared to that in water oxidation. The $C_{dl}$ showed a strong improvement in furfural (5.10 mF cm$^{-2}$) and HMF (3.85 mF cm$^{-2}$) in comparison with water oxidation (0.70 mF cm$^{-2}$). The TOF and mass activity exhibited $\sim$80% and 50% increase in furfural and HMF, respectively, than those in pure alkaline solution. The highest yield of FDCA and FurAc was 91.7% and 92.4% in 150 min, respectively, by using NiCoMn(2 : 1)-LDHs/NF under the optimal conditions of 35 °C and 1 mM reactant. The faradaic efficiency under optimal reaction conditions was $\sim$65% in HMF and $\sim$70% in furfural. In addition, the potential oxidation pathways for HMF and furfural were proposed, mainly including sequential hydration and dehydrogenation steps in alkaline solution.

The superior catalytic activity of NiCoMn-LDHs nanosheets was mainly attributed to: (1) the controllable synthesis of the ultrathin nanosheets (1.15 nm) provided larger contact area with reactants (HMF or furfural) and exposed more oxygen vacancies; (2) the redox cycle between Mn$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$ promoted the biomass oxidation reaction rather than water oxidation; (3) the cooperative effect of Ni, Co, and Mn enhanced the catalytic performance; and (4) the unique and stable structure of LDHs ensured the high efficiency in the process of biomass monomer adsorption and conversion. This work offers a valid strategy to fabricate thin nanosheets that are efficient for the eco-friendly upgrading of the biomass-derived platform chemicals into highly value-added products at atmospheric pressure.
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