Low dose of emetine as potential anti-SARS-CoV-2 virus therapy: preclinical in vitro inhibition and in vivo pharmacokinetic evidences
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Abstract

The global pandemic of COVID-19 has attracted extensive drug searching interests for the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Although currently several of clinically used “old” drugs have been repurposed to this new disease for the urgent clinical investigation, there is still great demand for more effective therapies for the anti-infections. Here we report the discovery that an “old” drug Emetine could potently inhibit SARS-CoV-2 virus replication and displayed virus entry blocking effect in Vero cells at low dose. In addition, Emetine could significantly reduce the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced interleukin-6 (IL-6) protein level and moderately reduce the tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) protein level in the M1 polarized THP-1 macrophages. In vivo animal pharmacokinetics (PK) study revealed that Emetine was enriched in the lung tissue and had a long retention time (over 12 h). With 1 mg/kg single oral dose, the effective concentration of Emetine in lung was up to 1.8 μM (mice) and 1.6 μM (rats) at 12 h, which is over 200-fold higher than the EC50 of the drug. The potent in vitro antiviral replication efficacy and the high (Continued on next page)
enrichment in target tissue, combining with the well documented safety profiles in human indicate that low dose of Emetine might be a potentially effective anti-SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy.
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**Introduction**

The worldwide pandemic of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by a novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 since December of 2019 has quickly emerged as a global public health crisis [1]. Based on the John Hopkins CSSE data (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html), until September 25th, 2020, more than 32 million confirmed cases were identified across over 188 countries and regions in the world and already led to more than 980 thousand deaths. SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the β-coronavirus (β-CoV) cluster with 79% nucleotide similarity to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and 50% similarity to Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) [2]. SARS-CoV-2 caused severe symptoms including fever, cough and shortness of breath that can lead to pneumonia and even death [3, 4]. In addition, the elevated expression level of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α etc. has been observed in the severe patients [5]. The high morbidity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the lack of effective treatment currently brought an urgent demand for seeking for effective therapies. Although several drugs, such as Interferon, Ribavirin, Lopinavir-ritonavir, Remdesivir, Chloroquine, Hydroxychloroquine as well as some traditional Chinese medicines (TCM) exhibited primary efficacy either in vitro or in the clinical investigation for COVID-19 treatment (NCT04254874; NCT04276688; NCT04252885; NCT04252664; NCT04261517; NCT04303507; NCT04251871). Currently, there are still no effective drugs approved for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection yet. In addition, given the fact that a large portion of the infections accompanying with basic diseases such as diabetes, cancer, hypertension etc. [6], more antiviral therapies are still highly demanded.

Emetine, available either as a pure chemical or in the form of Ipecac syrup, is known as an anti-protozoal drug for amoebiasis, vomiting inducing reagent as well as anti-cough reagent. It has been reported to show broad-spectrum antiviral activities including the HIV [7], Zika virus (ZIKV), Ebola virus (EBOV) [8], as well as Coronavirus such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV [9], Coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus A59 (MHV-A59), Human Coronavirus OC43 (HCoV-OC43) and Human Coronavirus NL63 (HCoV-NL63) [10]. The potent anti-coronavirus activity of Emetine indicates that it might also be a potential therapeutic agent combating SARS-CoV-2 [11, 12]. Thus, we investigated the in vitro antiviral activities of Emetine against SARS-CoV-2 infection and further explored its in vivo pharmacokinetic profiles for proposing a clinical investigation translation.

**Results**

**In vitro antiviral effect of emetine**

We first examined antiviral replication effect of Emetine in Vero cells. In the Pre-virus-infected Vero cells (with 30 TCID50), Emetine displayed a potent antiviral replication effect with an EC50 of 0.007 μM, which was over 30-fold more effective than in parallel tested Remdesivir (EC50: 0.24 μM). Meanwhile Emetine exhibited a selectivity index (SI) of 280 in the cytotoxicity assay with Vero cells (CC50: 1.96 μM) (Fig. 1a). Western blot analysis showed that the SARS-CoV-2 specific nucleocapsid level was dose-dependently decreased by Emetine, which encapsulated the results observed from qRT-PCR based virus replication inhibition assay (Fig. 1b). In addition, we also investigated the virus entry blocking effect of Emetine in Vero cells. The results demonstrated that pre-drug treatment for 3.5 h could dose-dependently block the virus entry with an EC50 of 0.019 μM (Fig. 1c). Western blot analysis of SARS-CoV-2 specific nucleocapsid level also confirmed this trend (Fig. 1d). Interestingly, although Remdesivir could potently inhibited the virus replication in cell, but it could not block the virus entry, which was consistent with the previous report [13].

**In vitro anti-inflammatory effects of emetine**

Since virus infection induced elevated expression level of inflammatory factors such as IL-6 and TNF-α have been reported to be associated with severity of the disease, we next examined the anti-inflammatory effect of Emetine in the M1-polarized THP-1 macrophages [14]. The results showed that 0.01 μM of Emetine could significantly reduce the LPS induced IL-6 expression level (Fig. 2a). Meanwhile, Emetine exhibited moderate effect for the cytokine TNF-α expression at 0.1 μM (Fig. 2b).

**In vivo pharmacokinetic study of emetine**

In order to obtain an envision for the clinical translation, we then tried to examine the pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles of Emetine in the preclinical in vivo models. Although there has been some random PK studies either with Emetine itself or Ipecac syrup (containing Emetine) [15, 16], in order to obtain the detailed PK spectrum, we first systematically investigated Emetine in different...
species including mice, rats and beagle dogs to depict an overall picture (Table 1). The results showed that in mice and rats, oral administration of Emetine reached maximum concentration (C$_{\text{max}}$) in the blood plasma in a relatively long time (T$_{\text{max}}$: 1.67 h and 9 h for the mice and rats respectively). It was absorbed much faster in dogs (T$_{\text{max}}$: 0.25 h). In addition, across all three species, both the C$_{\text{max}}$ and drug exposure (AUC) in the blood plasma were relatively low. However, the tissues distribution (V$_{\text{z}}$) was very high, which indicated that Emetine might be largely enriched in the tissues after absorption but not remained in the plasma. The half-life(T$_{1/2}$) in
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**Fig. 1** Antiviral effect of Emetine in Vero cells. a Antiviral replication effect of Emetine in Vero cells (EC$_{50}$). Cells were pretreated with virus (30 TCID50) in 96 well plates for 1.5 h then virus was washed away and increasing concentrations of drugs (Emetine, Remdesivir) were added and remained for 48 h before qRT-PCR analysis. Cytotoxicity of Emetine against Vero cells were tested with CCK8 assay. b Western blot analysis of nucleocapsid level in Vero cells for anti-replication effect. Cells were infected by virus (30 TCID50) in 6-well plate for 1.5 h then washed way and increasing concentrations of drugs were added and remained for 24 h until analysis. c Antiviral entry effect of Emetine in Vero cells. Cells in the 96-well plate were pretreated with increasing concentrations of Emetine for 3.5 h before virus (30 TCID50) was added and followed by co-incubation for 1.5 h. Then both the drug and virus were washed away and cells remained in the maintenance medium for 24 h before PCR analysis. d Western-blot analysis of nucleocapsid level in Vero cells for anti-entry effect. Cells were pretreated with increasing concentrations of drugs for 3.5 h in 6-well plate before virus (30 TCID50) was added and followed by co-incubation for 1.5 h. Then both the drug and virus were washed away and cells remained in the maintenance medium for 24 h before analysis.
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**Table 1** Antiviral effect of Emetine in Vero cells. (Mean ± S.D.)

|                   | Emetine | Remdesivir |
|-------------------|---------|------------|
| SARS-CoV-2        | 0.007 ± 0.002 | 0.24 ± 0.22 |
| VERO cell         | 1.96 ± 0.37  | /           |
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**Fig. 2** Anti-inflammatory effect of Emetine. a Quantification of protein levels of IL-6 and b TNF-α in cultural supernatant from M1 macrophages after treatment with emetine or vehicle for 36 h. Results are expressed as means ± S.D. (n = 3). NS: not significantly different. ** or ***: significantly different from the corresponding control (Ctrl) respectively with p < 0.01 or 0.001.
the blood plasma across all the three species were relatively long, which indicated that the drug was metabolized slowly in vivo.

The long half-life and potential high tissue distribution encouraged us to evaluate Emetine in the rats with 1 mg/kg single dose (P.O.) and collected the data up to 72 h (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, the drug exhibited high concentrations in the lung in a time dependent manner. At 12 h (T\text{max}) the concentration reached to maximum of 1.61 μM and then gradually decreased to 0.36 μM at 72 h which was still much higher than the EC\text{50} (7 nM) for inhibition of virus replication. This was in accordance with the previous study with Ipecac syrup that Emetine could be enriched in the tissues such as lung [16], which was especially important for the COVID-19 since the lung was the major target tissue of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. In mice a similar trend was observed and at 12 h the maximum concentration (1.8 μM) was reached in the lung and gradually decreased to 0.23 μM which was still 30-fold higher than the EC\text{50} of inhibition of virus replication (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Table 1).

Inspired by this data, we then performed continuous oral administration for 3 days (1 mg/kg/day) for both mice and rats (Fig. 3a, b; Supplementary Table 1). The data showed that after stopped the drug dose there is a heavy drug accumulation in the lung. At 1 h post drug dosage Emetine was enriched to 5–6 μM which was over 30-fold than the single dosage both in rats and mice. Post 9 h both in rats and mice Emetine reached to maximum concentration (C\text{max}) which was about 4–5-fold higher than single dose at the same time point. Even 72 h of post drug administration Emetine was still remained high concentration in the lung of rats (4.89 μM) and mice (2.17 μM), which was still over 30 to 70-fold higher than the EC\text{50} for the inhibition of virus replication (4.89 μM and 2.17 μM Vs 7 nM).

Evidence has shown that human ACE2, the main host cell receptor of the SARS-CoV-2 virus for the entry, has high expression in lung, kidney and liver etc. tissues

| Parameters | Units | Mice I.V. (1 mg/kg) | P.O. (10 mg/kg) | Rat I.V. (1 mg/kg) | P.O. (10 mg/kg) | Dog I.V. (1 mg/kg) | P.O. (5 mg/kg) |
|------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| T\text{1/2} | hr    | 6.24 ± 1.17       | 15.95 ± 8.22   | 4.46 ± 1.18       | 5.16 ± 4.16    | 2.45 ± 0.91       | 7.99 ± 0.35     |
| T\text{max} | hr    | 0.03 ± 0.00       | 1.67 ± 0.58    | 0.03 ± 0.00       | 9.00 ± 0.00    | 0.03 ± 0.00       | 0.25 ± 0.00    |
| C\text{max} | ng/mL | 110.7 ± 13.5      | 61.6 ± 15.1    | 26.9 ± 4.8        | 12.2 ± 3.3     | 260.0 ± 66.7      | 244.9 ± 164.4  |
| C\text{0}   | ng/mL | 172 ± 86          | –              | 33.5 ± 2.4        | –              | 351 ± 44          | –              |
| AUC\text{0-t} | hr*ng/mL | 120 ± 26      | 811 ± 208     | 29.8 ± 6.1        | 172 ± 18       | 449 ± 298         | 1547 ± 964    |
| AUC\text{t-∞} | hr*ng/mL | 258 ± 71     | 1343 ± 625    | 39.8 ± 11.3       | 220 ± 28       | 468 ± 298         | 1736 ± 1080   |
| V\text{z} | mL/kg | 38,430 ± 19,651   | 174,470 ± 45,790 | 162,918 ± 11,043  | 320,304 ± 216,100 | 9548 ± 5261 | 51,033 ± 45,021    |
| Cl | mL/hr/kg | 4118 ± 1335   | 8611 ± 3893   | 26,707 ± 8401     | 45,918 ± 5515  | 2749 ± 1517       | 4377 ± 3748   |
| AUMC\text{0-t} | hr*hr*ng/mL | 616 ± 181 | 8355 ± 2710 | 131 ± 18          | 1989 ± 15      | 1331 ± 695       | 12,464 ± 9313 |
| AUMC\text{t-∞} | hr*hr*ng/mL | 3472 ± 1149 | 36,666 ± 31,176 | 320 ± 141        | 3676 ± 1871    | 1626 ± 679       | 19,162 ± 13,435 |
| MRT\text{0-t} | hr   | 5.06 ± 0.57       | 10.17 ± 1.31   | 4.41 ± 0.31       | 11.63 ± 1.33   | 3.20 ± 0.78       | 7.63 ± 1.32   |
| MRT\text{t-∞} | hr   | 13.36 ± 1.37      | 24.26 ± 10.01  | 7.76 ± 1.81       | 16.20 ± 6.00   | 3.82 ± 0.86       | 10.72 ± 1.21  |
| F (%) |      | 52.1 ± 24.2       | 55.3 ± 7.1     | 74.2 ± 46.2       |               |                   |               |

Fig. 3 Mean lung concentration–time curves of Emetine in rats and mice after oral administration at a single dose of 1 mg/kg and multi-doses of Q.D. 1 mg/kg in three consecutive days (n = 3). a Distribution of Emetine in the lung of rats post 72 h with 1 mg/kg single oral dose and 1 mg/kg Q.D. for 3 days. b Distribution of Emetine in the lung of mice post 72 h with 1 mg/kg single oral dose and 1 mg/kg Q.D. for 3 days. c Distribution of Emetine in different tissues of mice after intravenous administration of 1 mg/kg in mice.
Therefore, we then expanded detection of distribution of Emetine in those tissues (Table 2). Given the fact that Emetine containing drugs were available both as oral and I.V. injection formula, this time we performed 1 mg/kg single dose I. V. injection in mice. The results showed that Emetine quickly reached to maximum concentration (Cmax: lung (8582 ng/mL) > kidney (4552 ng/mL) > liver (4425 ng/mL)) in the lung and kidney but slowly in liver after injection (Tmax: lung (0.03 h) > kidney (0.5 h) > Liver (9 h)). It was worthy to note that Emetine had a similar Tmax of lung and blood plasma which indicated that after administration it would quickly reach to the lung. Furthermore, in comparison, the high distribution concentrations in these three tissues could retain for 12 h and were much higher than them in the blood plasma (Fig. 3c; Supplementary Table 2).

Discussion

The unexpected worldwide outbreak of COVID-19 caused by a new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 infection has emerged as a global public health crisis. Besides the effective social controlling strategy such as quarantine, social distancing, proper individual protection, as well as the identification of the infections by efficient diagnosis, effective antiviral therapies are critical and highly urgent for the infection treatment and control. In the very limited time course it is very difficult to develop new drugs for a new disease. Therefore, “old” drug repurposing is the most efficient and practical approach to identify the clinically available therapy for the urgent demand due to their safety profiles being well documented. Recently the “old” drugs chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, usually used as anti-malaria or immunosuppressing reagent, have been successfully reapplied to anti-SARS-CoV-2 clinical investigation and some promising primary results have been reported [13, 18]. Remdesivir, which was originally developed as an anti-Ebola virus agent, as well as a number of anti-HIV, MERS-CoV agents were also reapplied to anti-SARS-CoV-2 for urgent clinical investigation and treatments [19, 20].

Emetine, a natural plant alkaloid found in the ipecac root, has a long medical use history as a vomiting inducng reagent for the acute detoxification in the form of Ipecac syrup mixture by oral administration of relatively large dose. Low dose of Ipecac syrup itself or combined with other herbals have been widely used as anti-cough therapies for decades in Asia. In addition, pure chemical form of Emetine was also developed as an anti-protozoal therapy by subcutaneous injection. Furthermore, Emetine has been reported to display broad spectrum of antiviral activities including the two highly pathogenic coronavirus SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in vitro [21]. Emetine has also exhibited potent inhibitory activity against human enterovirus and cytomegalovirus both in vitro and in vivo animal models at the very low dosage [22, 23]. The primary mechanistic study showed that Emetine might exert its antiviral effect through blocking the virus associated translation but the detailed mechanism still requires further exploration.

The clinical use of Emetine as anti-protozoal drug was 1 mg/kg/day (60 kg human weight) and no more than 60 mg/day as the total dosage by subcutaneous injection. Regarding to the safety profiles of Emetine, a phase I clinical study showed that 15/35 patients observed injection site pain and most of patients suffer muscle weakness [22, 23]. The primary mechanistic study showed that Emetine might exert its antiviral effect through blocking the virus associated translation but the detailed mechanism still requires further exploration.

In present study we found that Emetine could potently inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus replication in the Vero cells (EC50: 7 nM), which is similar to the inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV virus as previously reported [9]. During the preparation of this

Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of Emetine in liver, kidney, lung, plasma after intravenous administration of 1 mg/kg Emetine in mice (n = 3)

| Parameters | Units | Liver | Kidney | Lung |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|------|
| t1/2       | hr    | 17.31 | 20.89  | 11.48|
| Tmax       | hr    | 9.06  | 0.50   | 0.03 |
| Cmax       | ng/mL | 4425  | 4552   | 8582 |
| AUC0-7     | hr*ng/mL | 41,289 | 36,959 | 35,381 |
| AUC0-∞     | hr*ng/mL | 139,259 | 99,074 | 65,395 |
| Vz         | mL/kg | 179   | 304    | 253  |
| CI          | mL/hr/kg | 7.18  | 10.1   | 15.3 |
| AUMC0-7    | hr*ng/mL | 275,511 | 193,238 | 181,502 |
| AUMC0-∞    | hr*ng/mL | 3,897,180 | 2,810,677 | 1,038,814 |
| MRT0-7     | hr    | 6.67  | 5.23   | 5.13 |
| MRT0-∞     | hr    | 27.99 | 28.37  | 15.89 |

*Pharmacokinetic parameters are calculated from the average value of tissue drug concentration-time curve.
manuscript, Yen et al. also found that Emetine was potent against SARS-CoV-2 virus in a HTS screening [12]. In addition, we also found that at the EC_{50} concentration of virus replication inhibition, Emetine could effectively reduce the expression level of IL-6, which has been reported to be elevated in the sever patients. This anti-inflammatory effect indicates that it might be potentially useful for combating the cytokine storms, which has been observed in many ICU admitted patients [26]. One advantage of Emetine is its high enrichment in the SARS-CoV-2 targeted tissues including the lung, kidney and liver. Especially it could quickly reach high concentration in the lung with either oral or I.V. injection (summary model, Fig. 4). Most importantly, Emetine could retain the high concentration in the lung tissues over 12 h at the dose of 1 mg/kg either with oral or I.V. injection. 1 mg/kg dose in the mice could provide 1.8 μM (oral) and 3.8 μM (I.V.) concentration in the lung, which is about 250-fold and 500-fold of EC_{50} for the virus replication inhibition.

Finally, it is worthy to note that although not included in the present study due to the resource limitation, it would be great to further elucidate detailed antiviral mechanism and perform in vivo efficacy study with the human ACE2 gene engineered animal models, which would help to complete the evidence chains for the clinical translation of the Emetine as an anti-COVID-19 therapy.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Emetine, Remdesivir were purchased from Haoyuan Chemexpress Inc. (Shanghai, P.R.China).

Antibodies and immunoblotting

SARS-CoV-2/2019-nCoV Nucleocapsid Antibody was purchased from Sino biological (Beijing, P.R.China, Cat: 40588-T62), GAPDH antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, Cat: D16H11).

Cell lines and virus

The African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells was obtained from ATCC and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s media (Corning, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and supplemented with 2% L-glutamine 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO_{2}. Patient-derived COVID-19 (SZ005) was isolated by the Anhui Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The viral titer was determined by 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) according to the cytopathic effect by use of karber method. All the infection experiments were performed in a biosafety level-3 (BSL-3) laboratory.

In vitro antiviral activities efficacy and cytotoxicity in Vero cells

Vero cells were seeded on 96 well plates with a density of 1 × 10^{5} cells/well and infected with 30 TCID50 virus at 37 °C. After incubation for 60 min, cells were washed and serial concentrations of compounds were added to cells. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C, the cell culture were subjected to a viral load assay by qRT-PCR. Monolayers of Vero cells were grown in 96-well culture plates. The compounds of various concentrations were added into the plates. Cell proliferation was determined after treatment with compounds for 48 h. The cytotoxic

Fig. 4 Graphic illustration of action Model for Emetine as Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Virus Therapy. Firstly, Emetine could potently inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus replication and displayed virus entry blocking effect in Vero cells at low dose. Secondly, Erretine could significantly reduce the LPS induced IL-6 protein level and moderately reduce the TNF-α protein level in the M1 polarized THP-1 macrophages. In addition, in vivo animal PK study revealed that Emetine was enriched in the lung tissue and had a long retention time. In summary, the potent in vitro antiviral replication efficacy, the high enrichment in target tissue combining with the well documented safety profiles in human indicated that low dose of Emetine might be a potentially effective anti-SARS-CoV-2 infection therapy.
effects on Vero cells was evaluated by CCK-8 assay (MedChemExpress, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and absorbance was measured using an iMark microplate reader (Bio-Red) at 450 nm. Data were normalized to the control groups (DMSO) and are presented as the mean of three independent measurements with standard error < 10%. The GI50 values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 7.0.

Detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy and reverse transcriptase-quantitative PCR analysis (qRT-PCR)
After viral infection and drug treatment for 48 h, the cell culture was subjected to multiple freeze/thaw cycles for cell lysis and the lysates were used for viral RNA isolation on an automatic nucleic acid extraction workstation according to manufacturer instructions. Reverse transcription was performed with TaqMan® Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (Thermo fisher, Catalog Numbers 4, 444,432). Briefly, 2 μL viral RNA were used as template for one-step quantitative PCR. The full-length S gene of SARS-CoV-2 was synthesized and cloned into pcDNA3.1 as positive control plasmid. A dilution series of positive control (10–10,000,000 copies per μL) were used to establish a standard curve for determining the initial starting amount of the target template in experimental samples. The primes and probe used for quantitative PCR:

SB-F: GGCTGTATTAATGGGGCTGAAC
SB-R: ACCAAGTGCATAGTGAGGCA
SB probe: 5′ FAM-AGACTAATTCTCCTCGGC GGGCAGG-BHQ

Western blot analysis
For “Pre-virus infection” treatment, Vero cells were seeded on 6 well plates with a density of 5 x 10^5 cells/well and infected with 30 TCID50 virus at 37°C. After incubation for 1.5 h, cells were washed and serial concentrations of compounds was added to cells. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were collected for western blot analysis. For “Pre-drug treatment” treatment, Vero cells were pre-treated with serial concentrations of compounds for 3.5 h, and the 30 TCID50 virus was subsequently added to allow infection for 1.5 h. Then, the virus-drug mixture was removed and cells were further cultured with fresh drug-containing medium. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were collected for western blot analysis. NP antibody and GAPDH antibodies were used for immunoblotting.

Inflammatory cytokine expression analysis
Human monocytic THP-1 monocytes are differentiated into macrophages by 24 h incubation with 150 nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma, P8139) followed by 24 h incubation in RPMI medium. Macrophages were polarized in M1 macrophages by incubation with 20 ng/ml of IFN-γ (R&D system, #285-IF) and 100 ng/ml of LPS (Sigma, #8630). Next, they were incubated in 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C supplemented with various concentrations of Emetine for 36 h. Cytokine secretion in the culture medium was assayed using an ELISA kit according to the procedure recommended by the supplier (IL-6 (MultiSciences, 70-EK106/2–96), TNFa (MultiSciences,70-EK182HS–96)).

Animal experimentation
The study protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Hefei Institutes of Physical Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Hefei, China). The male mice (20–25 g) and Sprague-Dawley rats (180–220 g) were provided by Laboratory Animal Center of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, China), and the beagle dogs were obtained from Nanjing Bosgene Biotech Co., Ltd. All the animals were housed under control conditions (temperature 23 ± 2°C, humidity 50% ± 5%, 12 h dark/light cycle) with standardized diet and acclimatized for 7 days, prior to the experiments. All the animals were fasted 12 h before the administration of emetine but with free access to water.

Pharmacokinetics study
The 12 mice, rats, or dogs were randomly and equally divided into two groups for pharmacokinetics and bioavailability study. One group received a single intravenous administration of Emetine at 1 mg/kg, and the other group was treated by oral administration of emetine solution at a dose of 10 mg/kg for mice or rats, but 5 mg/kg for dogs. After dosage, blood samples were collected into heparinized tubes at 2, 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 240, 360, 540, and 720 min for the i.v. group, and at 5, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 240, 360, 540, 720 and 1440 min for the i.g. group. Plasma (100 μL) was isolated from the blood sample by centrifuging at 4°C and 6000 rpm for 3 min and then stored at −80°C until analysis. An aliquot of 100 μL of each plasma sample was mixed with 20 μL of internal standard working solution (200 ng/mL of caffeine). Methanol (400 μL) was then added for precipitation. After vortexing for 5 min and centrifuging at 14000 rpm for 10 min, 5 μL of the supernatant was injected for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Tissue distribution study
Seventy-two mice or rats were randomly and equally divided into two groups. One group was p.o. administered a single dose of 1 mg/kg Emetine, and the other group
was p.o. administered at a dose of 1 mg/kg Emetine q.d. for three consecutive days. Tissues (liver, lung, and kidney) were promptly harvested at 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 144 h. Each tissue sample was homogenized (Tissue samples:saline ratio of 1:5, w/v). Tissue samples were stored at −80°C until further analysis. The preparation process for analysis was the same as described above for plasma.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**

The LC-MS/MS system consisted of a SHIMADZU LC-30 AD series HPLC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and API4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems Sciex, Ont., Canada) with electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Separation was carried out on a C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 5 μm, Hanbon, Jiangsu, China) kept at 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of water (containing 0.1% formic acid, mobile phase A) and methanol (mobile phase B) with rate set at 0.3 mL/min. The optimal gradient elution curve included 0–1.0 min, 10% B; a linear increase to 90% a within 0.5 min; 90% B for 3.5 min; a linear decrease to 10% B within 0.5 min. 10% B for 1.5 min; 7.00 min, stop.

LC-MS/MS was performed in the positive and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. Detected ions were at m/z 481.2 → 246.0 for emetine and m/z 195.0 → 138.0 for the internal standard (caffeine). The parameters of mass spectrometer were optimized as follows: curtain gas, gas1 and gas2 were 25, 45 and 45 psi respectively; dwell time was 200 ms; source temperature was 500°C; ion spray voltage was 5500 V. Compound parameters including declustering potential, collision energy, entrance potential and collision exit potential were optimized at 110, 44, 10 and 13.5 V for emetine and 76, 28, 10 and 10 V for internal standard, respectively.

**Pharmacokinetic and statistical analysis**

The PK parameters were analyzed through the noncompartment model using WinNonlin 6.1 software (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, USA), including half-life (T1/2), the peak of the plasma concentration (Cmax), the time to peak of the plasma concentration (Tmax), the area under the plasma concentration-time curve during the period of observation (AUC0→t), the area under the plasma concentration-time curve from zero to infinity (AUC0→∞), clearance (CL), apparent volume of distribution (Vd), and the mean residence time. The oral bioavailability (F) is calculated according to the following equation: F = AUC0→∞ (oral)/AUC0→∞ (iv) × dose (iv)/dose (oral) × 100%.
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