Silver-Silica nanoparticles induced dose-dependent modulation of histopathological, immunohistochemical, ultrastructural, proinflammatory, and immune status of broiler chickens
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Abstract
Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are a powerful disinfectant, but little information is available on their potential use as a growth promoter and the safety margin of this. In this study, 480 1-day-old Cobb chicks were assigned to one control and three treated groups. The treated groups were supplemented with silver-doped silica nanoparticles (SiO2@AgNPs) at three dietary levels (8, 16, and 20 mg/kg diet) for 35 days. The results revealed no significant changes in the growth performance and oxidative parameters, and in most of the hematological and biochemical parameters among the control and treated groups. In contrast, dose-dependent adverse effects were exerted on the histopathological structure and immunohistochemical expression of CD45 in liver, kidneys, and lymphoid organs (spleen, bursa, and thymus). In addition, the relative weight of lymphoid organs and the serum levels of immunoglobulins M and G were significantly diminished. Moreover, the gene expression of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-β1 and TNF-α) and the ultrastructural morphology in breast muscle showed significant dose-dependent alterations. It could be concluded that the dietary supplementation of SiO2@AgNPs at a level of 8 mg/kg diet or more has dose-dependent proinflammatory and immunosuppressive effects on broiler chickens.
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Introduction
The technology of nanoparticles is currently used in various applications, such as in the chemical industry, biomedicine, nutrition, and medication [1]. The term “nanoparticles” refers to particles of less than 100 nm in size that exhibit dramatically modified physical and chemical properties compared with the normal elements. They can easily penetrate into tissues and cross cell membranes due to their small size [2–4].

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have physical and chemical properties that are distinct from those of their larger
counterparts due to their chemical stability and very large surface-to-volume ratio [5–8]. Nanosilver solution is composed of colloidal suspensions of silver ions, which is more stable than other solutions. Aqueous solutions carrying silver nanoparticles embedded in various media, such as silica or polymers, are considered as being among the most powerful disinfectants [9–12]. Importantly, AgNPs can affect many microorganisms, such as viruses, bacteria (gram-positive and -negative), molds, and fungi, having potent germicidal effects on up to 650 different types of bacteria [13]. Specifically, their use for treating many types of pathogen, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses such as influenza and Newcastle, has been recommended [14].

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) does not raise safety concern for the consumer if used silver nanoparticles as an additive at up to 0.025% w/w in polymers [15]. This is because of the migration of silver occurs up to 6 μg silver/kg food in soluble ionic form from the surface of the additive particles, which is below the group restriction of 50 μg silver/kg food proposed by the Scientific Panel on Food Additives, Flavorings, Processing Aids and Materials in Contact with Food in 2004 [15].

Recently, AgNPs have also played a role in animal and specifically poultry nutrition as anti-inflammatory and immunostimulatory agents [15], improving the growth performance of broiler chickens [16]. A few studies on the use of AgNPs in rearing chickens have been performed [17–19]; however, the obtained findings are conflicting and do not explicitly indicate whether AgNPs might be safely supplied to poultry. The use of nanoparticles of various sizes, characteristics, and embedding media in these experiments may explain the discrepant findings.

Various research groups have conducted in vivo experiments using low levels of AgNPs; they concluded that AgNPs did not have adverse effects on embryonic growth, DNA structure [7, 9, 20], or the immune system [21, 22]. In addition, [23] clarified that AgNPs (at concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0%) have non-cytotoxic effects on cell lines in vitro. In contrast, it has been proven that, at concentrations of 2.5–50 μg/mL, AgNPs have cytotoxic effects on human mesenchymal stem cells [24] and on four different mammalian cell lines [25].

Concerning the inflammatory activity of AgNPs, a few studies have illustrated their proinflammatory and immunosuppressive effects via inducing the regeneration of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [26, 27]. In contrast, others [28, 29] have outlined their potent anti-inflammatory properties. It is well established that colloidal silver nanoparticles are small enough to infiltrate cells and then nuclei, where they associate directly with nuclear DNA, resulting in modulation of the in vivo and in vitro gene expression profiles [6, 28, 30], especially for inflammatory response-related genes such as IL-1β and TNF-α [31].

Limited evidence is available on the safe usage of AgNPs administered in the diet in poultry production. However, our recently reported study proved that the safety margin of orally applied silver-doped silica nanoparticles (SiO2@AgNPs) in poultry production is 4 mg/kg diet. However, mild adverse effects were reported at a dose of 8 mg/kg diet. Therefore, in this study, we hypothesized that the application of SiO2@AgNPs to poultry in the diet at higher levels may exert adverse effects on the treated chickens. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of the dietary application of different doses of SiO2@AgNPs on growth performance and biochemical, hematological, immunological, and oxidative parameters. In addition, the histopathological and immunohistochemical findings of liver, kidney, spleen, thymus, and the bursa of Fabricius, as well as liver residue levels, were investigated. Moreover, the gene expression of IL-1β and TNF-α and the ultrastructural morphology of chicken breast muscle were also evaluated.

Materials and method

Ethics statement

The experimental procedure was performed in accordance with the Institutional Guidelines rules for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal and with the ARRIVE guidelines. The experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

Preparation of silver-doped silica nanoparticles (SiO2@AgNPs) in powder form

Silver-doped silica nanoparticles (SiO2@AgNPs) were prepared in powder form, using starch, via chemical reduction and sol–gel technique, as we previously described. The materials used, detailed method of preparation, and full transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization are presented and linked as supplementary materials (S1).

Experimental design

The current experiment was performed at the Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Department of Animal and Fish Production, Alexandria University, from June to July 2020. A total of 480 1-day-old Cobb male chicks (with an average weight of 42.6 g) were obtained from a commercial local hatchery. The chicks were allocated into 24 pens (1.35 × 1.45 m) at random. The pens were placed in an open-sided house and used for experiments with the following design: 4 treatments × 6 replicates × 20 chicks. During the experiments, the humidity ranged from 55 to 75% and the temperature was adjusted to 33 °C at day 1 of...
age, followed by a gradual decrease to 31 °C after 14 days of age. The chicks were exposed to an intermittent lighting system (3 h light: 1 h dark) and reared in wire batteries under similar hygienic, management, and environmental conditions. At day 7 of age, the chicks were vaccinated with H1B1 against Newcastle disease (ND) and infectious bronchitis and with La-Sota strain against ND at day 21. The chicks had free access to food and water. They were fed on a starter ration (crude protein 22.98%, yellow corn 55.75%, and soybean 38%) from day 1 to day 21 of age, and a growing ration (crude protein 20.98%, yellow corn 59.59%, and soybean 33.15%) from day 22 to the end of the experimental period (35 days of age). The experimental diet was formulated in accordance with [32].

Chicks received the dietary treatments as follows: Group 1, chicks were fed on basal diets and served as a control; and Groups 2, 3, and 4, chicks were fed on basal diets supplemented with 8, 16, and 20 mg of SiO2@AgNPs/kg diet, respectively. The experimental period lasted 35 days.

### Estimation of growth performance parameters

At day 35 of age, the chicks’ live body weight (LBW), body weight gain (BWG), feed consumption, and feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain) were recorded. No mortality occurred during the experiment.

### Evaluation of hematological, biochemical, and antioxidative parameters

At day 35 of age, 18 chicks were randomly selected from each treated group (3 birds/replicate) and slaughtered. From each bird, two blood samples were immediately collected from the brachial vein. The first sample was collected on heparin as anticoagulant (0.1 ml of heparin to 1 ml of blood) and used for a hematological count. The second sample was collected without anticoagulant for serum preparation. The collected serum samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 3500 rpm and stored at −18 °C for further estimation of the protein profile [total protein (TP) and albumin (ALB)], liver function [alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase], kidney function (uric acid and creatinine), minerals (calcium and phosphorus), lipid profiles (total lipids, cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, high-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides), and oxidative parameters [malondialdehyde (MDA), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and catalase (CAT)]. All parameters were estimated using commercially available kits from Biodiagnostic, Giza, Egypt (www.bio-diagnostic.com).

### Evaluation of immunological parameters

Serum immunoglobulin (Ig) fractions were estimated as previously described by [33]. Phagocytic activity was evaluated in accordance with the method of [34]: briefly, 50 µg of Candida albicans culture was added to 1 ml of citrated blood samples collected from control and treated chicks, and shaken for 3–5 h in a water bath (23–25 °C). Blood films were prepared and stained with Giemsa stain. Phagocytosis was calculated by estimating the proportion of macrophages engulfing yeast cells among 300 randomly counted phagocytes and represented as the percentage of phagocytic activity (PA) \( \text{PA} = \frac{\text{percentage of phagocytic cells containing yeast cells}}{\text{percentage of phagocytic cells}} \). Phagocytic index (PI) was estimated as the number of engulfed organisms in the phagocytic cells.

\[
\text{Phagocytic index (PI)} = \frac{\text{Number of yeasts phagocytized}}{\text{Number of phagocytic cells}}
\]

To determine the humoral–antibody titer against Newcastle disease virus (NDV), blood samples were collected at the end of the experiment (14 days after La-Sota strain vaccination). Serum samples were prepared by centrifugation for 15 min at 4000 rpm and used to perform the hemagglutination–inhibition test (HI).

### Lymphoid organ weight and carcass traits

At 35 days of age, six chicks were selected at random from each treatment and fasted for 12 h. Then, they were weighed, slaughtered, allowed to bleed out completely, and weighed again to estimate the relative weight of immune-related organs (thymus, spleen, and bursa).

### mRNA expression of interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) in muscle tissues

The mRNA expression of IL-1β and TNF-α was determined by RT-PCR. Briefly, TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and Quantification Nanodrop were used for the extraction of about 100 mg of total RNA of breast muscle tissue. DNA synthesis was performed using a cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, Waltham, MA, USA); samples of A260 or higher A260/A280 ratio were used to quantify the extracted RNA. The amplification of cDNA, a house-\-hold-gene mix has been included with SYBR Green, and the list of primers is presented in Table 1 and GAPDH. Data were analyzed by the 2−ΔΔT method [35].

### Histopathological evaluation

After slaughter, small samples (1 × 2 cm) of liver, kidneys, thymus, bursa, and spleen were immediately collected from the control and treated chicks. The collected samples were washed and immersed in neutral-buffered formalin solution (10%) for at least 48 h for fixation. The fixed samples were prepared through the routine paraffin-embedding technique [36]. Several 4-μm-thick sections were prepared and routinely stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Blinded evaluation and image
capturing were performed by an experienced pathologist (AFK). Representative micrographs were captured with a digital camera (Leica EC3; Leica, Germany) connected to a microscope (Leica DM500).

**Immunohistochemical evaluation**

Four-μm-thick sections were prepared from each paraffin block, deparaffinized, rehydrated in a decreasing concentrations of ethanol, and retrieved for antigens using citrate-buffered saline (0.01 mol/L; pH 6.0). After that, the depletion of endogenous peroxidase activity was carried out via H2O2 in phosphate-buffered saline [0.3% (v/v)]. The nonspecific immunological reaction was blocked through the incubation of samples with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum for 60 min. Next, overnight incubation of prepared sections with mouse anti-chicken monoclonal CD45 (MCA2413GA; Bio-Rad Laboratory, Athens, Greece) was performed at 4 °C. Sections were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), incubated with biotin-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antiserum (Histofine kit; Nichirei Corporation, Japan) for 1 h, rewashed with PBS, and re-incubated with streptavidin–peroxidase conjugate (Histofine kit; Nichirei Corporation, Japan) for 30 min. The streptavidin–biotin reaction was visualized via 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB)–H2O2 solution (pH 7.0, for 3 min). Finally, Mayer’s hematoxylin counterstaining was performed.

**Ultrastructural evaluation of muscle tissues**

Immediately after slaughter, small specimens were obtained from breast muscle. Specimens were cut into small pieces (about 1 mm³) and immediately fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for at least 3 h in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7). Fixed samples were washed in two changes of buffer and transferred to a 1% osmium tetroxide solution (Electron Microscope Science, Sigma-Aldrich) for 60 min in 0.1 M PBS (pH 6.9). Then, samples were re-washed in 0.1 M PBS for 5 min, dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol, and impregnated with Epon embedding resin. Samples were embedded at 60 °C for 48 h and blocked. Semi-thin sections were prepared and stained with 1% basic Toluidine blue for light microscopy examination. After that, ultrathin Sects. (50–80 nm) were processed from the selected areas and placed onto copper grids (200 mesh).

Finally, section contrasting was performed using uranyl acetate dihydrate (2%) and lead citrate. Tissues were examined and captured by JEM-1220 TEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan), with a Morada 11-megapixel camera (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions GmbH, Münster, Germany).

**Evaluation of tissue residue**

To evaluate SiO2@AgNP residue in liver tissues, 2 g of hepatic tissue was collected and digested in 5 mL of HNO3 and 2 mL of H2SO4 solution at 60 °C for 30 min. After that, the tube was cooled and 10 mL of conc. HNO3 was added to it and heated slowly up to 120 °C. H2O2 was then gradually added until the tube’s solution became clear. The sample was heated again and cooled. The residual remains in the tube were then dissolved in 100 mL of ultra-deionized water. The amount of SiO2@AgNPs in diluted solution was determined using ICP-OES (Model iCAP 7400 Duo; Shanghai, China).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were collected, computed, and statistically analyzed via one-way ANOVA using GLM procedures, with the aid of statistical analysis software [37]. The differences between means of control and treated groups were determined using the Student–Newman–Keuls test. Data are provided as mean and standard error (SEM). Values were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Characterization of silver-doped silica nanoparticles (SiO2@AgNPs) in powder form**

Characterization of SiO2@AgNPs was performed via the transmissible electron microscope (TEM). The average hydrodynamic diameter of SiO2@AgNPs is 51 nm; where they had negative zeta potential (-33 mv) due to the presence of stabilizing agent. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to identify the morphology and surface structure of SiO2@AgNPs. Nanoparticles appeared as fairly uniform spherical particles with an average size of 150–250 nm. The full characterization of SiO2@AgNPs was supplied as supplementary materials (S1).
Evaluation of growth performance parameters
As shown in Table 2, no significant changes were identified for LBW, BWG, feed consumption (g/bird) and feed conversion ratio of the boiler chickens in the different experimental groups at 21 and 35 days of age.

Evaluation of hematological parameters
The data in Table 3 reveal no significant variation in RBC count and PCV % among the different groups. In contrast, a significant ($P=0.014$) increase in WBC count and a significant ($P=0.053$) reduction in Hb (g/dl) were reported in chickens feed 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs, compared with the control group. In addition, the proportions of lymphocytes (L), heterophils (H), H/L ratio, monocytes, basophils, and eosinophils showed no significant differences between different groups compared to controls.

Evaluation of lymphoid organ relative weight and immunological parameters
Concerning the relative weight of lymphoid organs, no significant difference was reported for the bursa of Fabricius among the different treatments. However, a significant increase ($P=0.001$) in the relative weight of the thymus and a significant reduction ($P=0.017$) in the relative weight of the spleen were reported in all treated groups compared with the control treatment (Table 3).

Furthermore, there were no significant differences in antibody titer against NDV, PA, and PI% in all treated groups compared with the control group. However, significant decreases in IgM ($P=0.019$) and IgG ($P=0.001$) were noted in chickens supplemented with 16 and 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs compared with control chickens (Table 3).

Table 2
Effect of different levels of silver doped silica nanoparticles (SiO$_2$@AgNPs) on productive performance of boiler chickens from 1–35 days of age

| Traits                          | Silica- silver nanoparticles levels (mg/kg diet) | 0       | 8       | 16      | 20      | SEM     | $P$ value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| **Body weight (g)**             |                                                 |         |         |         |         |         |           |
| 1 day                           | 42.73                                           | 42.44   | 42.98   | 42.42   | 0.30    | 0.916   |           |
| 21 days                         | 881.66                                          | 890.54  | 893.84  | 900.28  | 7.07    | 0.830   |           |
| 35 days                         | 1919.30                                         | 1953.10 | 1927.00 | 1958.50 | 17.38   | 0.828   |           |
| **Body weight gain (g)**        |                                                 |         |         |         |         |         |           |
| 1–21 days                       | 838.93                                          | 848.02  | 850.87  | 857.35  | 7.03    | 0.832   |           |
| 21–35 days                      | 1037.60                                         | 1062.60 | 1033.20 | 1059.00 | 14.23   | 0.872   |           |
| 1–35 days                       | 1876.60                                         | 1910.66 | 1884.00 | 1916.40 | 17.41   | 0.822   |           |
| **Feed consumption (g/bird)**   |                                                 |         |         |         |         |         |           |
| 1–21 days                       | 1107.10                                         | 1120.9  | 1125.00 | 1138.50 | 7.22    | 0.496   |           |
| 21–35 days                      | 1533.10                                         | 1531.4  | 1523.60 | 1535.00 | 6.26    | 0.857   |           |
| 1–35 days                       | 2640.20                                         | 2645.6  | 2648.60 | 2673.60 | 10.15   | 0.733   |           |
| **Feed conversion ratio (g feed/g weight gain)** |                                 |         |         |         |         |         |           |
| 1–21 days                       | 1.32                                            | 1.32    | 1.32    | 1.33    | 0.01    | 0.916   |           |
| 21–35 days                      | 1.49                                            | 1.44    | 1.49    | 1.45    | 0.02    | 0.877   |           |
| 1–35 days                       | 1.41                                            | 1.38    | 1.41    | 1.40    | 0.01    | 0.958   |           |
Table 3  Effect of different levels of silver doped silica nanoparticles (SiO$_2$@AgNPs) on some hematological and immunological parameters of boiler chickens at 35 days of age

| Items                          | Silica-silver nanoparticles levels (mg/kg diet) | 0   | 8   | 16  | 20  | SEM | P value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| Hematological parameters      |                                               |     |     |     |     |     |        |
| Red blood cells (RBCs 10$^6$/mm$^3$) |                                         | 1.57| 1.41| 1.53| 1.47| 0.030| 0.396  |
| White blood cells (WBCs 10$^3$/mm$^3$) |                                         | 21.00$^b$| 20.77$^b$| 22.67$^ab$| 24.00$^a$| 0.39 | 0.014  |
| Hemoglobin (Hb g/dl)          |                                               | 10.67$^a$| 10.55$^a$| 10.00$^{ab}$| 9.67$^b$| 0.17 | 0.053  |
| Packed cell volume (PCV %)    |                                               | 33.67| 34.22| 32.00| 32.33| 0.32 | 0.051  |
| Lymphocytes (%)               |                                               | 62.33| 61.31| 63.33| 63.00| 0.86 | 0.050  |
| Heterophils (%)               |                                               | 32.70| 32.98| 31.33| 31.67| 1.04 | 0.851  |
| H/L ratio                     |                                               | 1.92 | 2.00 | 2.14 | 2.06 | 0.25 | 0.611  |
| Monocytes (%)                 |                                               | 3.00 | 3.35 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 0.33 | 0.782  |
| Basophils (%)                 |                                               | 0.67 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.590  |
| Eosinophils (%)               |                                               | 1.30 | 1.26 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.19 | 0.426  |
| Immunity parameters           |                                               |     |     |     |     |     |        |
| Phagocytic activity (PA)      |                                               | 19.33| 19.95| 21.00| 21.00| 0.35 | 0.253  |
| Phagocytic index (PI %)       |                                               | 1.97 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 2.03 | 0.32 | 0.798  |
| Antibody titer against NDV; HI |                                         | 5.67 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 6.33 | 0.19 | 0.678  |
| Immunoglobulin M, IgM (mg/dl) |                                               | 23.53$^a$| 23.46$^{ab}$| 23.13$^c$| 23.23$^{bc}$| 0.06 | 0.019  |
| Immunoglobulin G, IgG (mg/dl) |                                               | 973.67$^a$| 970.12$^{ab}$| 965.00$^c$| 968.00$^{bc}$| 0.98 | 0.011  |
| Lymphoid organs weight (%)    |                                               |     |     |     |     |     |        |
| Spleen                        |                                               | 0.14$^d$| 0.08$^b$| 0.09$^b$| 0.07$^b$| 0.01 | 0.017  |
| Bursa                         |                                               | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.189  |
| Thymus                        |                                               | 0.19$^a$| 0.30$^a$| 0.33$^a$| 0.35$^a$| 0.02 | 0.001  |

**c** Means in the same row having different letters are significantly different

Table 4  Effect of silver doped silica nanoparticles (SiO$_2$@AgNPs) on some serum biochemical parameters of boiler chickens at 35 of age

| Items                          | Silica-silver nanoparticles levels (mg/kg diet) | 0   | 8   | 16  | 20  | SEM  | P value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| Total Protein (g/dl)          |                                               | 5.70| 5.76| 5.93| 5.97| 0.06 | 0.108  |
| Albumin (g/dl)                |                                               | 3.03| 3.15| 2.83| 3.00| 0.07 | 0.067  |
| Globulin (g/dl)               |                                               | 2.67$^b$| 2.79$^{ab}$| 2.83$^{ab}$| 2.97$^a$| 0.04 | 0.024  |
| Albumin/ Globulin ratio       |                                               | 1.14$^a$| 1.11$^a$| 1.00$^b$| 1.01$^b$| 0.02 | 0.017  |
| Alkaline phosphatase (μ/L)    |                                               | 1113.30| 1115.70| 1113.00| 1110.30| 0.56 | 0.271  |
| Alanine aminotransferase (U/L) |                                         | 64.00| 63.30| 62.00| 63.00| 0.41 | 0.161  |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L) |                                         | 54.67$^c$| 54.99$^{ac}$| 56.67$^{ab}$| 58.00$^a$| 0.43 | 0.004  |
| Total lipids (mg/dl)          |                                               | 453.33| 439.01| 439.33| 440.00| 7.80 | 0.129  |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/l)      |                                               | 212.67| 214.12| 215.00| 211.33| 1.17 | 0.775  |
| Low density lipoprotein (mg/l) |                                         | 42.00| 41.15| 38.67| 39.67| 0.551| 0.183  |
| High density lipoprotein (mg/l) |                                         | 96.00$^{ac}$| 94.76$^c$| 99.67$^{ab}$| 102.00$^a$| 0.90 | 0.005  |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)         |                                               | 182.67$^b$| 187.01$^{b}$| 185.67$^b$| 193.00$^a$| 1.17 | 0.008  |
| Uric acid (mg/dl)             |                                               | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.43 | 0.15 | 0.687  |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)            |                                               | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.20 | 0.02 | 0.816  |
| Catalase (U/L)                |                                               | 366.67| 365.18| 363.33| 360.00| 2.49 | 0.857  |
| Malondialdehyde (nmol/ ml)    |                                               | 11.00| 11.11| 11.33| 11.67| 0.23 | 0.827  |
| Total antioxidant capacity (mg/dl) |                                         | 1.41 | 1.42 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 0.01 | 0.634  |

* **c** Means in the same row having different letters are significantly different
showed significant increases ($P < 0.05$) in the groups supplemented with 16 and 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs compared with the control group.

**Evaluation of the histopathology of different tissues**

**Liver**
Histopathological examination of chickens in the control group revealed healthy liver tissue with normal histological limits of hepatic lobules, central veins, and portal triads, with no specific lesions (Fig. 2A). Meanwhile, chickens supplemented with SiO$_2$@AgNPs at levels of 8 mg (Fig. 2B) and 16 mg (Fig. 2C) showed infrequent hydropic vacuolization and multifocal accumulation of mononuclear cells. In addition, thickening of the peribiliary fibrous tissues was noted in chicks provided with SiO$_2$@AgNPs at 16 mg. Moreover, chickens treated with 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed frequent hepatocyte vacuolization, multifocal accumulation of mononuclear inflammatory cells, multifocal areas of coagulative necrosis, peribiliary fibrosis, and newly formed bile ductules (Fig. 2D).

**Kidney**
Histopathological examination of renal tissues revealed that kidney of the control group showed normal histological structure with normal intensity of medullary and cortical thymocytes and distinct corticomedullary junctions (Fig. 2I). However, kidneys provided with 8 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed congestion, edema, and marked loss of cortical basophilic thymocytes (Fig. 2J). Moreover, kidneys supplemented with 16 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed moderate vacuolization and degeneration of renal epithelium, with interstitial infiltration of mononuclear inflammatory cells (Fig. 2H).

**Thymus**
Thymus tissues from the control group showed a normal histological structure with normal intensity of medullary and cortical thymocytes and distinct corticomedullary junctions (Fig. 2I). However, chickens provided with 8 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed congestion, edema, and marked loss of cortical basophilic thymocytes (Fig. 2J). Moreover, chickens supplemented with 16 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed marked reduction of cortical basophilic thymocytes and depletion of medullary thymocytes (Fig. 2P). Moreover, the thymus of chickens supplemented with 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed marked edema and reduction of cortical basophilic thymocytes with depletion and necrosis of medullary thymocytes (Fig. 2L).

**Bursa of Fabricius**
The control group showed a normal histological structure of the bursa with normal size and number of follicles, prominent corticomedullary junction, and normal intensity of lymphocytic populations within the medulla and cortex (Fig. 2M). However, chickens that received 8 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed reduced size and number of follicles, reduced medullary cell populations, and frequent cystic structure formation in some bursal follicles (Fig. 2N). Moreover, bursa from chickens treated with 16 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs showed atrophy of most of the bursal follicles with an abundance of interfollicular edema and inflammatory filtrates (Fig. 2O). However, the bursa from chickens provided with 20 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs exhibited interfollicular edema and inflammatory
filtrates, where most of the follicles were atrophied and featured a single large cystic structure containing tissue debris (Fig. 2P).

**Spleen**

Splenic tissues from control chickens showed normal histological limits of white and red pulps and lymphoid follicles (Fig. 2Q). However, chickens that received the smaller dose of SiO₂@AgNPs (8 mg) showed a reduced size of lymphoid follicles and multifocal lymphoid depletion (Fig. 2R). However, chickens treated with 16 mg showed the complete absence of lymphoid follicles (Fig. 2S). Moreover, chickens treated with 20 mg of SiO₂@AgNPs showed the complete absence of lymphoid follicles and a multifocal area of necrosis (Fig. 2T).

**Evaluation of immunohistochemical expression of CD45**

**Liver**

The immune expression of CD45 in liver tissues of control chickens revealed frequent individual infiltration of leukocytes between hepatocytes (Fig. 3A). However, chickens treated with 8 mg of SiO₂@AgNPs showed multifocal areas of mononuclear leukocytic aggregations (Fig. 3B). Moreover, chickens supplemented with 16 and 20 mg of SiO₂@AgNPs revealed immunohistochemical expression in the form of frequent intralobular aggregates of
mononuclear leukocytes in addition to periportal leukocytic aggregations (Fig. 3C and D).

Kidney
Negative immune expression of CD45 was observed in renal tissues of control chickens (Fig. 3E). However, chickens treated with 8, 16, and 20 mg of SiO₂@AgNPs showed frequent intertubular and periglomerular positively stained leukocytes (Fig. 3F–H).

Thymus
In thymus, positive immune staining of CD45 was evident in the medullary thymocytes of control chickens (Fig. 3I). However, mild reduction in the immunostaining of medullary thymocytes was noted in chickens supplemented with SiO₂@AgNPs at 8 mg (Fig. 3J). Moreover, markedly reduced intensity of immune expression was reported in medullary thymocytes of chickens provided with SiO₂@AgNPs at doses of 16 and 20 mg (Fig. 3K and L).

Bursa of Fabricius
Mild to moderate positive immunoreactivity against CD45 was expressed within follicles and in interfollicular areas of control chickens and those treated with SiO₂@AgNPs at 8 mg (Fig. 3M and N). However, more abundant immunoreexpression was noted in atrophied follicles and inflamed widened interfollicular spaces of chickens receiving SiO₂@AgNPs at doses of 16 and 20 mg (Fig. 3O and P, respectively).

Spleen
The immuneexpression against CD45 was noted in the spleen tissue of both control and Si- AgNP-treated chickens at the periartrial lymphoid sheath (PALS), the site where T lymphocytes aggregate in the spleen. According
to the distribution of CD45 immune expression, there was pronounced T-lymphocyte aggregation in control chickens as well as those receiving 8 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs (Fig. 3Q and R). In contrast, chickens provided with 12 and 16 mg of SiO$_2$@AgNPs exhibited marked reductions in this immune expression, indicating different degrees of immune depletion in those groups (Fig. 3S and T).

**Evaluation of the ultrastructural morphology of muscle tissues**

By comparison with the control sample, TEM was used to determine the presence or absence of SiO$_2$@AgNPs in chicken breast muscle and, if present, to localize them. In the control group, typical muscle composition was observed, including regular nucleus, nuclear membrane, spherical or ovoid mitochondria with well-developed cristae, normal filament, and intact Z unit. Chicken muscles receiving SiO$_2$@AgNPs at a dosage of 8 mg, however, displayed an unusual nucleus and abnormal nuclear membrane, distorted filament and Z band (Fig. 4A). However, chickens supplied with SiO$_2$@AgNPs at 12 and 16 mg showed similar lesions identified as abnormal nuclei and nuclear membrane, mild cytoplasmic vacuolization, disintegrated chromatin, irregular mitochondria with fragmented mitochondrial cristae, and the deposition of SiO$_2$@AgNP aggregations within the nuclear chromatin and around the nuclear membrane (Fig. 4B) or within the internal membrane of mitochondrial cristae (Fig. 4C).

**Evaluation of tissue residue**

As shown in Fig. 5, the residual content of SiO$_2$@AgNPs was significantly increased in liver tissues of treated broilers compared with that in control ones. The most
prominent increase was reported in groups supplemented with 16 and 20 mg/kg diet, followed by birds treated with 8 mg/kg diet.

**Discussion**

In this study, the most striking observations were the non-significant changes in growth performance parameters, oxidative parameters, and most hematological and biochemical parameters evaluated in SiO$_2$@AgNP-supplemented chickens. However, the expression of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines and the ultrastructural morphology of muscle tissues as well as the histopathological and immunohistochemical findings of different tissues revealed dose-related injury in SiO$_2$@AgNP-supplemented chickens. In this study, the non-significant increase in final LBW and weight gain come in agreement with findings of Hung et al. [38], who reported that no significant changes in feed consumption of rabbits supplemented with silver nanoparticles in drinking water. In contrast, [39, 40] reported significant increases in body weight of broiler chickens supplemented with AgNPs.

The hematological profile is an important indicator of the physiological or pathophysiological condition of animals [41], which reflects animals’ physiological response to various factors in the internal and external environments, such as feed and feeding [42]. In this study, a significant increase in WBC count in chickens supplemented at a dose of 20 mg/kg diet was reported. This finding agrees with our reported histopathological lesions and the significant expression of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-$\beta$1 and TNF-$\alpha$) in broilers supplemented with a higher concentration of SiO$_2$@AgNPs. These results suggested the proinflammatory activity of SiO$_2$@AgNPs at a high dosage.

Lymphoid organ weights are commonly used to assess immunity in poultry [43]. In this study, the relative weight of spleen was decreased in SiO$_2$@AgNP-supplemented chickens compared with that of control chickens. This decrease might be attributable to the lymphoid depletion associated with the increased concentration of SiO$_2$@AgNPs [44]. The histopathological examination of splenic tissue confirmed this suggestion, in which we identified marked lymphoid depletion and the complete absence of lymphoid follicles with multifocal necrotic area. However, the significant increase in the relative weight of the thymus may be associated with the presence of hemorrhage and edema, as identified by the histopathological examination.

In this research, globulin and AST were significantly increased in chickens supplemented with SiO$_2$@AgNPs at dose 20 mg/kg diet and doses 16 and 20 mg/kg diet; respectively), which indicated hepatic injury at these doses. This was confirmed by the histopathological examination, in which vacuolization and focal necrosis were detected in chickens supplemented with 16 and 20 mg/kg diet of SiO$_2$@AgNPs. The necrotic hepatocytes could permit the release of liver enzymes including AST [45]. In agreement with this, [46] reported significant increases in TP, albumin, and gamma globulin in AgNP-supplemented broilers.

Another feature evaluated in the current study is the expression of genes encoding proinflammatory cytokines in breast muscle of control and treated chickens. The higher concentrations of SiO$_2$@AgNPs (16 and
20 mg/kg diet) resulted in the substantial expression of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α in breast muscle tissues. Dietary exposure to silver nanoparticles could generate the intracellular ROS in mammalian cells, with the possible initiation of an inflammatory response [47–49]. The inflammatory cytokine release and the correlated production of ROS are considered as natural protective responses [49]. In this study, although significant elevations of IL-1β and TNF-α were noted in groups supplemented with high levels of SiO₂@AgNPs (16 and 20 mg/kg diet), serum MDA levels in these groups showed non-significant increases. This may suggest that a longer experimental period was required to produce an amplification loop between the oxidative stress and inflammatory response initiated by SiO₂@AgNP exposure.

In this study, as the dose of SiO₂@AgNPs increased, the residues in liver tissue increased significantly. In parallel with our results, [50] reported that the silver retention in the liver, spleen, kidney, heart, intestine, and stomach was increased upon the supply of a higher dose of silver. Our results are also supported by [51], who reported that the higher retention of AgNPs in broiler organs primarily involved the small intestine, followed by the liver tissues. In contrast, [26] concluded that the accumulation of AgNPs in rat organs was not significant in orally treated rats rather than those with intravenous injection, and asserted that the orally administered AgNPs passed through the gastrointestinal tract and were excreted via the feces without translocation in the bloodstream.

In this work, histopathological examination of the liver, kidney, thymus, bursa, and spleen from control and SiO₂@AgNP-treated chickens (8, 16, and 20 mg) revealed moderate to severe pathological lesions with severity that correlated with the level of SiO₂@AgNP supplementation. The reported lesions were in the form of diffuse mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates, necrotic and degenerative changes in hepatic and renal tissues, and even fibroplasia in hepatic portal triads, in addition to lymphoid cell depletion in spleen, thymus, and bursa. Also, [52] reported similar observations, where researchers noted slight necrosis and inflammatory infiltrates in hepatic tissues of broiler chickens supplemented with the maximum concentration of AgNPs. Additionally, [53] observed that the supplementation of AgNPs led to dose-dependent hepatic injuries, particularly necrosis, fibroplasia, and polymorphonuclear leukocyte proliferation. Moreover, [53] demonstrated that several areas of lymphoid depletion and hemorrhage have been identified in the bursa of Fabricius of AgNP-supplemented chickens. These effects may be due to the capacity of AgNPs to infiltrate the cells of different tissues/organs, including the liver, kidneys, and lymphoid organs, by binding to plasma proteins [54].

With a corresponding upregulation of inflammatory cytokines and ROS production, AgNPs can diminish the mitochondrial function within cells [55, 56]. Also, [57] concluded that the mitochondrial disruption caused by AgNPs was increased by increasing the concentration of AgNPs. Thus, mitochondria may also be considered targets susceptible to the cytotoxicity of AgNPs. Accordingly, [55] stated that a rat liver cell line (BRL 3A) treated with AgNPs displayed irregular mitochondrial shape and size. This discovery is in line with our ultrastructural morphology findings. The ultrastructure analysis of muscle tissues in this research revealed aggregation as singlet deposits or as dense particles in the muscle fibers. Nanoparticles were located inside the nucleus, near the nuclear membrane, within mitochondria, or deep in the cytoplasm. Specifically, the degradation of chromatin and mitochondrial cristae was observed in chickens administered 16 mg of AgNPs. Similar conclusions were drawn by [58] and [59]. They proposed that AgNPs would enter cells and concentrate in mitochondria, leading to their death. In accordance with our observations, [58, 60] and [61] identified that, the greater dosage of AgNPs, the greater the degree of accumulation of AgNP deposits.

Oxidation induced by a high dosage of SiO₂@AgNPs will contribute to inflammatory activation and the suppression of immune functions [26, 27]. In our research, this hypothesis was formulated by assessing the relative weight and histopathologically examining lymphoid organs, estimating IgM and IgG serum levels, and evaluating CD45 immune expression. Our results revealed significant reduction in IgM and IgG in chickens supplemented with 16 and 20 mg of SiO₂@AgNPs.

CD45 (called leukocyte common antigen, a general marker for hematopoietic cells other than erythrocytes and platelets) plays a crucial role in the immune system as a significant regulator of T- and B-cell antigen receptors [62]. To the best of our knowledge, no data concerning the effect of dietary supplementation with Ag nanoparticles on CD45 immune expression in broilers have been reported. In this study, the immune expression of CD45 was increased in SiO₂@AgNP-supplemented chicken liver, kidney, and bursa. This upregulation may be due to the SiO₂@AgNP-mediated inflammatory reaction, contributing to the inflow of mononuclear cells into different tissues, including the liver, kidney, and bursa. In contrast, the cortical thymocytes and spleen PALS revealed decreased expression of CD45; this result may be due to the adverse impact of a higher dose of SiO₂@AgNPs on birds’ immune response, as mentioned above [26, 27].
Conclusion

Based on the current findings, the dietary application of SiO₂@AgNPs at a dose of 8 mg/kg diet or more has dose-dependent effects on the expression of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines and the ultrastructural morphology of muscle tissues as well as the histopathological and immunohistochemical findings of different tissues. Detailed study of the toxic effects of different nanomaterials has become an urgent need to avoid the potential negative effects of these materials while maximizing their use as natural alternatives in order to reduce antibiotic resistance. Studying different doses of SiO₂@AgNPs on pathogenic bacteria in the intestine is still necessary and should be followed in future studies.
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