The mechanisms on evasion of anti-tumor immune responses in gastric cancer
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The immune system and the tumor have been at each other’s throats for so long that the neoplasm has learned to avoid detection and avoid being attacked, which is called immune evasion. Malignant tumors, such as gastric cancer (GC), share the ability to evade the body’s immune system as a defining feature. Immune evasion includes alterations to tumor-associated antigens (TAAs), antigen presentation mechanisms (APMs), and the tumor microenvironment (TME). While TAA and APM are simpler in nature, they both involve mutations or epigenetic regulation of genes. The TME is comprised of numerous cell types, cytokines, chemokines and extracellular matrix, any one of which might be altered to have an effect on the surrounding ecosystem. The NF-κB, MAPK, PI3K/AKT, JAK/STAT, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, Hippo and TGF-β/Smad signaling pathways are all associated with gastric cancer tumor immune evasion. In this review, we will delineate the functions of these pathways in immune evasion.
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1 Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) was fifth most frequently occurring and fourth most lethal among malignant tumors worldwide as of the year 2020 (1). GC is a malignant disease characterized by a convoluted immune response, in particular to persistent inflammation. Therefore, the immune system is pivotal in cancer initiation and progression (2). As our understanding of gastric cancer’s immune-related research grows, we find that gastric cancer’s immune escape mechanism is distinct from that of other malignancies. This could point immunotherapy against GC in a novel route. In 2002, Gavin P. Dunn and Robert D. Schreiber developed the notion of tumor immunoediting, which divides the process into three stages: elimination, equilibrium and evasion (3). The preclinical tumor is killed during the elimination stage of the immune response to neoplasms. To survive the immune system’s destruction during the equilibrium phase, tumor cells may constantly mutate, for example. There is a dynamic equilibrium between the breakdown of the immune system and the growth of tumor cells. Malignant tumors, such as GC, are characterized by immune evasion, the ability of the neoplasm to elude the monitoring and attack of the immune system (4). Antigen loss or variation, a deficiency in class I Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC I) molecules, the production of immune-suppressing cytokines, a lack of co-stimulators and other immune-suppression mechanisms are all biological processes that contribute to immune evasion (5). We classify them as follows: loss or alterations in tumor-associated antigen (TAA), damage to the antigen presentation mechanism (APM), and immunosuppression by the tumor microenvironment (TME). The immune system plays a crucial role in tumor development, hence researchers are looking into using immunologic techniques to increase the longevity of GC patients. Despite the fact that tumor immunotherapy (especially immune checkpoint inhibitor) has had a lot of achievements, many patients do not respond to treatment and many cases become resistant to treatment. For this reason, it is essential to always be on the lookout for cutting-edge therapies. Multiple signaling pathways have emerged as possible contributors to immune evasion. Inhibitors of signaling pathways may therefore form part of future immunotherapies. Consequently, the signaling pathway is highlighted as a crucial component of GC’s immune evasion strategy in this paper.

2 The mechanism of immune evasion

In order to escape immune monitoring and eradication, tumor cells modify their TAA and APM in a number of ways, then entering the final evasion stage (5). Tumor cells that have evaded the immune system are able to survive and have an effect on the tumor microenvironment (TME) through a number of signaling pathways, dampening the anti-tumor immune response (6).

2.1 Escaping surveillance by invalid TAA

To a certain extent, TAA can be divided into three categories (7): first, TAA presented on the surface of tumor cells by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules or antigen presenting cells (APCs) and recognized by autoantibody or heteroantibody; second, target molecules or ligands recognized by natural killer (NK) cell receptors; and third, TAA presented on the surface of tumor cells by MHC molecules or APCs and recognized by autoantibody or heteroantibody (APCs). Correspondingly, TAA’s modulation can also be divided into three groups: 1) the up-regulation of immunosuppressive antigen; 2) the loss of original recognized antigen; 3) the generation of unrecognized antigens (4). Antigen expression is known to be influenced by epigenetic regulation, as well as mutations in genes (8). A genetic mutation is any alteration to the gene’s base pair sequence or makeup. Epigenetic regulation is the heritable alteration of gene expression that does not involve a change in nucleotide sequence and includes DNA methylation, histone modification, and regulation by non-coding RNA (ncRNA). Gene silencing occurs when DNA is methylated at the C-terminus of 5’-CpG-3’ by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) to produce 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) (9). Enzymes collaborate to modify histones in various ways, including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination and ADP ribosylation (10). Complexity of the regulation mechanisms of ncRNAs in immune evasion will be detailed in the later section.

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), HER2, carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) and CA72-4 are some of the most common GC autoantigens. They are highly expressed, but their immunological impact is quite modest (11). The main function executor is a member of the CEA family called CEA-related cell adhesion molecule-1 (CEACAM1). Evidence suggests that CEACAM1 inhibits NKG2D ligand (NKG2DL) expression in tumor cells (11). To make tumor cells more susceptible to NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity, Chen et al. (11) found that silencing CEACAM1 in mice and human tumor cells increases surface NKG2DL expression. Since HER2 belongs to a member of the EGFR family, it can also activate the downstream PI3K/AKT and ERK pathways, which in turn control cell proliferation, invasion and migration (12). Previous studies have shown that CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) can recognize HER2, which aids in the immune system’s fight against tumors (12). A recent study by Wu et al. (13) demonstrates, however, that HER2 protects cancer cells from STING-mediated innate antitumor immunity by activating AKT1, suggesting that HER2 recruits AKT1 to lower STING
signal, hence restricting anti-virus defense and anti-tumor immunity. Nevertheless, the mechanism by which CA19-9 and CA72-4 play a role in immune escape remains unexplored at this time and warrants further investigation.

The main activating receptor expressed by NK cells, NK group 2D (NKG2D), binds to ligands such as MHC class I peptide related sequence A (MICA), MICB and six UL16 binding proteins (ULBP) (14). The primary ligand on the surface of tumors is a variant of the traditional MHC protein called MIC A/B. Both β2-microglobulin (β2m) and antigen are inaccessible to MIC A/B (14). Gene promoter hypermethylation, histone deacetylation and protein shedding contribute to reduced MIC A/B expression on tumor surfaces (15, 16), hence dampening NK cell-mediated innate immunity.

Immune checkpoints (ICPs) and co-inhibitory molecules (CIMs) consist of ligands and their respective receptors. Particularly, these ICPs are focusing on the PD-1/PD-L1 field. PD-L1 on the tumor surface interacts to PD-1 on the T cell surface, leading to T cell depletion (17). Studies show that PD-L1 is highly expressed on the surface of tumors, and its induction process is complex. Helper T (Th) cells, cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and natural killer (NK) cells that have been activated can all generate interferon (IFN), which can then activate the JAK/STAT pathway and lead to PD-L1 expression. In the meantime, IL-10 can boost PD-L1 expression (12, 18). Moreover, the C > G variant of the rs4143815 SNP in the 3’-UTR of the PD-L1 gene increases PD-L1 expression and may increase cancer risk (19). Tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) production of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) positively regulated PD-L1 (20). High expression of MHC II in GC cells can be partially explained by the lack of traditional co-stimulatory proteins CD80 and CD86 in the tumor, which limit MHC class II recognition (21). In addition, researchers discovered a decreased expression of co-stimulatory molecules such as 4-1BB (tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 9 ligands), B7-1 and CD40 on the tumor surface (22–24). ICPs inhibitors paired with co-stimulatory molecular agonists may be a viable way for tumor treatment, according to these studies. CD47, also called integrin-related proteins, is a cell surface glycoprotein of 50-kDa that inhibits APM induced by macrophages through the “don’t eat me” signaling CD47/SIRP pathway (25). Macrophage-mediated innate immune and APM inactivation is aided by the CD47/SIRP (signal-regulatory protein) axis, which inhibits phagocytosis by downregulating integrin signal activation from the interior of macrophages (26). The MYC mutation causes CD47 upregulation and contributes to PD-L1 overexpression in a similar fashion (27). The work by Yoshida K et al. (25) found that GC that express the surface marker CD47 proliferated strongly in both vitro and in vivo.

Factor-associated suicide (FasL) is a member of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family of type II transmembrane proteins. It is also known as CD95 or apoptosis antigen-1 (Apo-1). This protein has the ability to connect with its ligand, set off the apoptosis cascade, and maintain a pro-apoptotic environment. Activated T and NK cells are the most common sources of FasL. Wang et al. found that low levels of Fas expression on the surface of GC cells were associated with a poor prognosis in vitro studies (29). The rs2234767 G > A polymorphism in the Fas promoter region may be associated with susceptibility to GC (30), which may be associated with SNP-induced down-regulation of Fas. Similarly, epigenetic changes can affect Fas expression. Fas expression was downregulated due to hypermethylation of its promoter region (31).  

2.2 Escaping surveillance by damaged APM

Antigen presentation involves antigen processing and degradation by APCs such macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), followed by presentation of the antigen peptide/major histocompatibility complex (MHC) complex to T lymphocytes (32). APCs deliver antigen polypeptides by joining them with processed MHC class II for specific recognition by CD4+ T lymphocytes (33). CD8+ T lymphocytes, and in particular CTLs, are capable of direct MHC class I detection (34).

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) family is a group of membrane proteins responsible for presenting antigens on cell surfaces, where they can be recognized by T lymphocytes, which then kill the cell (35). The human MHC locus, also known as the human leukocyte antigen locus, is found on chromosome 6 and contains around 200 genes (HLA) (35). Many malignant tumors include aberrant expression of class I and class II molecules, the primary types responsible for presenting antigens to T lymphocytes (36). HLA class I molecules consist of the heavy chains (HLA-A, -B, -C, -E, -F and -G) and the β2m (37). Changes in epigenetic regulation and a mutation in the HLA gene, called β2m, are primarily responsible for the dramatic reduction in class I HLA expression (38–40). Down-regulation of HLA class I is caused by hypermethylation of the promoters of the HLA-A, -B and -C genes, which is a hallmark of GC (39, 40). An example is the finding by Ye et al. that promoter methylation is linked to reduced HLA-A expression in BGC-823 cells (40). Recent research has indicated that HLA-G is overexpressed, leading researchers to hypothesize that non-canonical HLA class I may have a deleterious effect in GC due to unidentified antigen (41). EZH2 (enhancer of zeste homolog 2) is a major component of Polycomb inhibitor complex 2, which catalyzes histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) (42). Activation of EZH2 in tumors results in H3K27 methylation, which in turn silences key immune genes including HLA class I (42). The HLA class II trans-activator promoter is associated with epigenetic control of HLA class II (CIITA) (43). Decoy receptor 3 for interferon beta and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibits HLA class II (mostly HLA-DR)
gene) expression by hypermethylation and histone deacetylation of CIITA-Promoter IV (CIITA-PIV), which is activated by STAT1 and requires histone deacetylases (HDACs) (DCR3) (41). HLA class II antigen presentation stimulates Th cells activation without co-stimulatory molecule, and its upregulation has been described in some forms of GC (41). Antigen presentation can be improved by binding TAA to HLA, but this cannot happen without TAP (transporter associated with antigen processing) and tapasin (TAP binding protein) (44). A decrease in histone H3 acetylation and TAP1 expression is caused by the decreased binding of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) to gene promoters, which in turn decreases the accessibility/transcription of the RNA polymerase II complex (27). When EZH2 is turned on in a tumor, TAP1 and TAP2 are also suppressed (42). The results of these studies provide evidence that inhibiting key enzymes that regulate epigenetics may be an effective treatment for GC.

APM impairment impacts the TME as a result of a combination of fewer invading APCs and their malfunction, which means that tumor cells are able to evade immune monitoring and clearance due to the combined effects of inefficient TAA and defective APM (45). Those tumor cells that are able to avoid being eliminated by the immune system join with other local cells and cytokines to create an immunosuppressive microenvironment that aids in the growth and survival of the tumor. (Figure 1)

2.3 Signaling pathways involved in immune evasion

The term tumor microenvironment (TME) encompasses everything from other cells to their secretions to the metabolites they create. The signal route of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) can be further regulated and inhibited by inflammatory substances secreted by tumor cells (Table 1). Tumor cells are able to evade immune surveillance when the early microenvironment regulates many signaling pathways, resulting in a diminished anti-tumor immune response and, ultimately, immune suppression (92).

2.3.1 NF-kB signaling pathway

The nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) pathway plays a crucial role in modifying the immune response to infection, especially in chronic inflammation. This pathway is composed of two main subgroups: 1) NF-kB1/NF-kB2 (p50/p52); 2) Rel A (p65), Rel B and c-Rel (93). Toll-like receptors (TLR), EGF, PI3K, IL-1 and TNF can all activate the NF-kB signaling pathway (93). Interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), T helper 2 (Th2) cells, regulatory T cells (Treg), type 2 (N2) neutrophils, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are all up-regulated when the NF-kB p65 or c-Rel pathway is active (46, 47, 50, 83, 94). Recently, O’Reilly found that NF-kB1 has anticancer qualities since activating the STAT1 pathway increased GC growth in NF-kB1−/− mice by decreasing TAP gene expression and inhibiting innate immunity (79). Furthermore, deleting NF-kB1 also increased the expression of CTLA-4 and PD-1 in lymphocytes and the expression of programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) in myeloid and gastric epithelial cells (79). Similarly, HLA class II was up-regulated in GC epithelial cells from NF-kB1−/− mice (79).

Tumor-induced MSCs interact with neighboring cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) to promote tumor progression (48). The exosomes secreted by GC cells modulate the immunomodulatory activity of MSCs via the NF-kB signaling pathway, thereby boosting MSCs’ capacity to activate immune cells, sustaining an inflammatory milieu and promoting tumor growth (49). In addition to regulating angiogenesis and morphogenesis, mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) have been demonstrated to recruit cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), IL-6 and M2macrophage, all of which have been linked to cancer progression (46, 49, 83). Examples include MSC-derived M2 macrophages, which express vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in an NF-kB p65-dependent manner (93), MSC-derived IL-6 activating neutrophils, which in turn increases angiogenesis and tumor spread (84), and tumor-derived factor being able to polarize neutrophils to the N2 phenotype. N2-polarized neutrophils support metastasis and inhibit the immune system (95). Different kinds of circulating neutrophils include high-density neutrophils (HDN) and low-density neutrophils (LDN) (96). According to research by Sagiv JY et al. (96), LDN is associated with cancer and is induced by HDN through activation of transforming growth factor (TGF), which promotes tumor progression. The TME of GC also inhibits apoptosis in neutrophils and promotes the production of inflammatory molecules like IL-1 and TNF-α, dampening the immune response (97). Furthermore, TNF-α also increases CD47 expression via the NF-kB signaling pathway at the transcriptional level (98). Since MSCs have the ability to regenerate, they are a promising tool in the fight against cancer (51). CAFs are derived from bone marrow-derived stem cells, pericytes and normal gastric fibroblasts stimulated by TGF–β. A possible contributor to the development and spread of cancer is the accumulation of CAFs in GC tissue (51). Also, CXC motif chemokine ligands 1/2 (CXCL1/2) can be induced by TNF in an NF-kB-dependent manner in stromal cells and endothelial cells (51). On the one hand, IL-8 produced by CAFs increases cisplatin resistance in GC via activating NF-kB p65 and binding CXCR1/2 (46, 53). On the other one hand, IL-17 can heighten the inflammatory response by stimulating NF-kB p65 and MAPK, which in turn increases IL-8 secretion (83). These cytokines cause the production of S100A8/9, a calcium-binding protein with a small molecular weight. High levels of S100A8/9 are found in inflammatory conditions; these conditions are related with a decrease in DCs and an increase in MDSCs (90). Also, S100A8 can stimulate the expression of
PD-L1 and the polarization of TAMs from M1 to M2 (90). In contrast to M1 macrophages, which suppress antitumor immunity, M2 macrophages have been shown to have an immunosuppressive effect. Furthermore, Probst et al. (99) discovered that immature DCs can enhance CD8+ T cell tolerance through the PD-1 and CTLA-4 molecules.

MDSCs, immature cells derived from bone marrow that can grow into dendritic cells, macrophages and granulocytes once enlarged, recruited and activated. In turn, an increased number of MDSCs can suppress DC maturation, lead to the production of Tregs, and ultimately dampen the immune response (51). Additionally, the presence of FasL on activated T lymphocytes mediates the activation of the Fas signal in tumor cells (51). It induces prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) secretion from tumor cells, which in turn increases tumor cells' potential to entice MDSCs (51). By regulating arginine and tryptophan metabolism with the help of arginase, inducible nitric oxide synthase, and indoleamine-2, 3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1), MDSCs are also able to inhibit the activation and proliferation of T cells and NK cells (51). IL-33, a member of the IL-1 family, was found to increase the immunosuppressive capacity of MDSCs by stimulating the up-regulation of arginase-1 and blocking the death of MDSCs by enlisting MSCs (53). On the other hand, IL-33 expression was up-regulated in MDSCs following NF-κB activation. While IL-

**FIGURE 1**
Escaping immune surveillance by changing TAA and APM. (A) Tumors have altered self-antigen expression through genetic mutations or epigenetic regulation, resulting in tumor cells escaping immune surveillance and clearance. Tumor cells that have escaped clearance survive to form an immunosuppressive microenvironment together with surrounding cells/cytokines, which further promotes tumor survival. (B) These changes up-regulate inhibitory molecules, such as ICPs (PD-1, CTLA-4, LAG-3 and TIM-3) ligands, CD44 and CD47, meanwhile, new unrecognizable antigens appear. On the other hand, the expression of co-stimulatory molecules, CD40, Fas, NKG2D ligands (MICA/B, ULBP) and HLA is down-regulated. These changes lead to the obstacle of TAA and APM, which makes tumor cells escape immune surveillance.
TABLE 1 The main component and function of TME.

| component | function | ref |
|-----------|----------|-----|
| MSCs | recruiting CAFs, M2 macrophage and IL-6; maintaining an inflammatory milieu | (46–49) |
| MDCs | limiting DC maturation and inducing Treg generation due to its accumulation; suppressing T cell and NK cell activation | (21, 50–53) |
| M1 macrophage | secreting pro-inflammatory factors through IFN-γ and LPS activation in the early stage of inflammation | (46, 47, 49, 52, 54, 55) |
| M2 macrophage | inhibiting inflammatory reaction through IL-4, IL-13 activation | (46, 47, 49, 52, 56, 57) |
| CAFs | secreting IL-6, IL-8, VEGF, CXCL9 and TGF-β to inhibit T cell function | (49, 51, 58–60) |
| Th1 cell | promoting inflammatory response via secreting IL-2, IFN-γ and TNF-α in the early stage of inflammation | (61–64) |
| Th2 cell | inhibiting Th1 cell proliferation and inflammatory reaction | (53, 61, 63, 65) |
| Th17 cell | promoting inflammatory response via secreting IL-17, IL-22, participating in maintaining Treg/Th17 cells balance | (66–69) |
| Treg | regulating the inflammatory response to be chronic; secreting inhibitory cytokines to inhibit immune response; inhibiting the proliferation and activation of T eff cells and Th1 cell | (65, 66, 68, 70, 71) |
| DCs | antigen presentation | (72–77) |
| Fas/FasL | inducing apoptosis of activated T eff cells to escape immunorecognition and elimination | (28–30, 51, 62, 78) |
| PD-1/PD-L1 | exhausting T eff cells | (18, 66, 79–82) |
| IL-6 | mainly secreted by Th2 cells, CAFs and tumor cells; activating STAT3 and NF-kB pathway to change its phenotype to variants | (20, 68, 83–85) |
| IL-8 | mainly secreted by MSCs and CAFs; stimulating PD-L1 expression via STAT3 and mTOR pathway | (46, 86) |
| IL-10 | mainly secreted by Th2 cells and Treg; inhibiting the proliferation and activation of T eff cells; increasing infiltration of TAMs, MDCs, Th2 cells and Treg; stimulating PD-L1 expression | (18, 65, 87, 88) |
| IL-17 | intensifying inflammatory response via activating NF-kB and MAPK pathway; promoting the activation of T eff cells and the secretion of IL-6, IL-8 and GM-CSF | (66, 67, 83, 89) |
| IL-33 | activating NF-kB and MAPK pathway; promoting inflammation and the secretion of GM-CSF | (53, 90) |
| CXCL12/CXCR4 | promoting EMT and prevent tumor cell death; promoting MDCs accumulation; regulating PI3K/mTOR pathway | (51, 91) |

12 expression was down-regulated, M2 macrophage and Th2 cell polarization was enhanced (53). A novel and effective treatment may be IL-33 therapy in combination with NF-kB inhibitors. CD8+ CTLs, an HLA class I co-stimulatory molecule, are a major effector cell for eliminating tumor cells (90). Unlike the theoretical effect, IFN-γ generated by CTLs can also cause MDCs to clump together, inhibiting the activation and proliferation of T and NK cells (90). IFN-γ can also up-regulate the expression of IDO1 from the transcriptional level (99). In addition, an active NF-kB pathway can increase CD36 transcription and fatty acid (FA) absorption activity, decreasing DC numbers, by directly modifying the s686 and t470 sites of CD36 (100, 101). CD36 prevents Treg cells from committing apoptosis and boosts Treg cell activity in specific settings (102). In addition, a rise in Treg cells occurs when NF-kB c-Rel is activated (94). Interestingly, vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) generated by GC cells increases responsiveness of Th2 cells, lowers proliferation of Th1 cells, interferes with the formation of B cells and suppresses the activity of NK cells by down-regulating NF-kB p65 (61). Th2 cells, on the other hand, often boost and repress the activation of effort T cells, which is counter to the antitumor effect of Th1 cells. There is a direct correlation between NF-kB pathway activation and the suppression of Fas expression on tumor surfaces (62), which in turn leads to a decrease in tumor cell death and an endless proliferation of tumor cells. Because of its significance in the immune response, inhibiting the NF-kB pathway may be helpful.

### 2.3.2 MAPK cascade

The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade controls a wide variety of physiological and pathological processes, including cell proliferation, differentiation, stress and inflammation (103). After cells were stimulated by receptor protein tyrosine kinases (RPTKs) like growth factors (GFs), chemokines, or other stimuli, MAPK was activated by increased phosphorylation (66, 103). The MAPK pathway is separated into three branches: p38 MAPK, ERK and JNK. Extracellular regulated protein kinase (ERK) is largely activated by EGF (104). c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 MAPK signaling are triggered by numerous stress stimuli, including ROS and inflammatory cytokines (such as TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-18), causing inflammation and apoptosis (70, 104, 105).

Both immune-suppressing cells (MDSCs and Treg) and immune-promoting cells (Th17 cells) can proliferate in response to GFs, chemokines and Ras mutations, which activate the ERK cascade (66). The pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-17 and IL-22 are produced mostly by Th17 cells, which evolved from Th0 cells (67). Differentiation of Th17 cells is encouraged by TGF-β, IL-1, IL-6, IL-21 and IL-23 (67), but is
inhibited by IFN-γ, IL-2 and IL-4. Treg and Th17, both CD4+ T cells, make up a balance system, and their breakdown is intimately linked to inflammatory immunosuppression in cancer (66). TGF-β is a bidirectional cytokine that, in the late stages of cancer, induces Treg and Th17 cell development from naive T cells to protect tissues against an overactive immune response (68). This functional change of TGF-β is interesting to investigate because it may offer a novel explanation for the degradation of the microenvironment. Inducing Treg cell differentiation and suppressing Th17 cell proliferation are two ways in which GC generated MSCs have recently been shown to reduce antitumor immune responses (69). C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 8 (CXCL8, IL-8) increases the malignant phenotype of GC cells, yet IL-17, which is produced by GC, can stimulate the transition of normal fibroblasts into CAFs by stimulating NF-kB signaling (106). Whether IL-17 produced by GC cells or Th17 cells serves the same purpose is currently unknown. Therefore, the role of Th17 cells in the immunological response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC responses to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated. Surprisingly, the route of GC response to GC is yet to be investigated.
and PD-L1 or PD-L2 interaction, which also induces apoptosis and encourages the conversion of CD4+ T cells into Foxp3+ Treg cells (88). In contrast, PD-1 up-regulates FasL and increases IL-10 production, which further suppresses the immune response (88). For example, TGF-β signaling enhances Treg cell activity by upregulating Foxp3 expression under the chronic inflammation (115). Inducer of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) that can upregulate pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8 to improve immune cell chemotaxis and migration is SNAIL (115), whose gene transcription is promoted by AKT activating NF-κB (115). By the way, IL-8 from MSCs increases PD-L1 expression in GC cells via the c-MYC signaling, which is regulated by the STAT3 and mTOR signaling pathways (86). In addition, AKT-supported immune evasion enhances the activity of immunosuppressive Treg cells by making them more resistant to CD8+ T cell-mediated death (115). AKT activation of NF-κB increases the migration of Th17 cells to TME, which are primarily regulated by C-C motif chemokines ligands 20 (CCL20) (91). C-C motif chemokine 20 (CCL20) has been found to have a crucial role in cancer as a mediator by interacting with C-C motif chemokine receptor 6 (CCR6) (116). Moreover, the presence of both CXCL12 and CXCR4 in gastric adenocarcinoma promotes GC invasion by up-regulating the PI3K/mTOR pathway and the MET process (91). Finally, through modulating Treg differentiation and PD-1/PD-L1 expression, the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway facilitates immune evasion. T cells, B cells and NK cells can all have their activation and proliferation suppressed by CD4+ Treg and CD4+ Treg can also attract MDSCs in the tumor stroma (117). For instance, stopping CD8+ T lymphocytes from being recruited to malignancies can be achieved by stimulating the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signal in M2 macrophage (118). High frequencies of Treg cells and low numbers of Teff were found to be characteristic of GC, as revealed by Kumagai's research (119). While glucose deprivation is lethal to CD8+ T cells and conventional CD4+ T cells, RhO A Y2-mutation increases the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, increasing the quantity of free fatty acids (FFA) in the TME, allowing Treg cells to survive and operate under FFA metabolism, which demonstrates that RhoA42-mutant GC is not a promising candidate for PD-1 blocking monotherapy (119). Still, Targeted PI3K and PD-1 inhibitor combo therapy still outperforms PD-1 inhibitor therapy alone.

### 2.3.4 JAK/STAT signaling pathway

Janus kinase (JAK) rapidly recruits and catalyzes the tyrosine phosphorylation of signal transducers and tyrosine activators (STAT) situated on the receptor after receiving a signal from upstream receptor molecules. As soon as these receptors are activated, STAT proteins bind to them via the SH2 domain and translocate to the nucleus, where they control the transcription of specific genes (120). Interestingly, if JAK stimulates SHP-2, it may enter the MAPK cascade, and if PI3K is active, the PI3K/AKT pathway is initiated (120). Conversely, the p38 MAPK cascade can activate downstream STAT1. In addition to promoting carcinogenesis, STAT3 activation can block STAT1-mediated tumor suppression (121). In spite of this, STAT1 is typically regarded as a tumor suppressor. Intriguingly, Gabrilovich discovered that inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and arginase-1 overexpression in TAMs suppressed T cells via activation of STAT1 (122). Similar to what we see with PD-L1 expression in vitro, O’Reilly discovered that activating the STAT1 pathway may also enhance GC formation in NF-kB1−/− mice (79).

The JAK/STAT cascade was first discovered in the IFN-α, IFN-γ and IL-6-mediated signaling pathways (123). What’s more, IL-8, IL-17, IL-22, TGF-β, GM-CSF and EGF all stimulate the JAK/STAT pathway as well (124, 125). Activation of STAT3 results in increased expression of the genes encoding for Th17, M2 macrophage, MDSCs, Th2, Treg, PD-L1 and IDO 1 (86, 120, 121, 126). The expression of IL-6 is triggered by the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) being activated by indoleamine IDO1 (82). At the same time, IDO1 activity can keep its expression continuing via the autocrine Kyn/AhR/IL-6/STAT3 signal loop (126). STAT3 and NF-κB were also activated by the Ras/Raf/MEK pathway, which led to the expression of IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, TNF and VEGF (127). This is because cytokines belonging to the IL-10 family block APCs, which in turn impedes CLT function and promotes Treg formation (127). Foxp3 expression by Tregs is dependent on STAT5 activation, which in turn is required for the production of GM-CSF-stimulated T cells (124, 127). Studies showed that immunosuppressive cytokines and cells accumulated in the TME due to the JAK/STAT cascade’s primary role in this process. Given these results, we believe that STAT inhibitor is a potential drug and may one day be used to treat GC by enhancing positive TME.

### 2.3.5 Wnt signaling pathway

The Wnt signaling pathway is essential for the maintenance of pluripotency in stem cells, the regulation of embryogenesis, homeostasis, regeneration, the formation of malignant tumors and more (128). The primary objective of immunotherapy is to induce an immunocompetent response within the tumor microenvironment in order to improve recognition of the tumor, destruction of tumor cells, and responsiveness to treatment. Recent years have revealed a number of Wnt signaling pathways to be involved in immune evasion and immunological control of cancer (129).
The Wnt/β-catenin pathway relies heavily on β-catenin as a signaling molecule. Abnormal activation of Wnt/β-catenin was linked to an increase in Th2 cells, Tregs, tolerant DCs and PD-L1 (63, 74). Meanwhile, CD8+ T cell infiltration and IFN-γ release were also both suppressed by the abnormal activation of the Wnt pathway (63, 130). Furthermore, previous studies demonstrated that CD4+CD25+β-catenin+Treg cells were more robust and competitive than control Treg cells in vivo (131). The β-catenin/TCF4 signaling pathway induces the production of immature DCs and Treg cells phenotypes through metabolizing vitamin A to produce retinoic acid (132). Similarly, the immunosuppressive effect of over-activated Wnt/β-catenin pathway on DCs and CTLs in human melanoma has been shown by Yaguchi’s team (133). Ample evidence indicates that aberrantly activated Wnt/β-catenin pathway up-regulated the expression of PD-1, thereby inhibiting the infiltration of T cells in the immune environment (134). The loss of T eff cell infiltration was linked to improperly active Wnt signal transduction, and this relationship was frequently accompanied by gene mutation and abnormal methylation, according to a large-scale genomic analysis of tumor samples (135). A recent bioinformatics analysis found that the abnormal activation of tumor cells intrinsic Wnt/β-catenin signaling is critically important in non-T cells infiltration tumors (136), which is of great significance for the treatment of immune desert tumors. For example, Wang et al. demonstrated that the β-catenin/TCF inhibitor iCRT14 significantly suppressed tumor growth via effectively enhancing the infiltration of T and NK cells in an experimental model of T cell deficiency (137). However, it is more important to determine the sequence of Wnt pathway’s abnormal activation and T cell infiltration in immune desert tumors. Besides, its abnormal activation also prevented CD4+ T cells from developing into Th1 and Th17 cells (63). In addition, the Wnt pathway regulates multiple immune cell functions, including those of MDSCs and NK cells, that plays a crucial role in cancer immune editing (138). Studies have demonstrated that the Wnt pathway is primarily responsible for cell cycle progression and the production of aberrant proteins that might cause cancer in cells. The Wnt pathway’s mechanism has recently been refined thanks to studies in the field of immunology. There is a stronger infiltration of Treg cells, immature DCs and lower T eff cells after activation of the Wnt pathway (139, 140).

2.3.6 TGF-β signaling pathway

Differentiation, apoptosis, migration and other cellular activities are all controlled by the TGF-β signaling pathway, which is produced in the TME and secreted by tumor cells and stromal cells (141). Therefore, this pathway and its interruption play an important role in tumor suppression or promotion (142). The function of TGF-β mainly involves two pathways, namely the canonical pathway (Smad-dependent) and the non-canonical pathway (Smad-independent). When it comes to canonical pathway, the drosophila mothers against decapentaplegic protein (Smad) are essential. Activated R-Smads join with Smad4 to create a heteromeric Smad complex, then entering cell nuclear. It regulates gene expression by binding transcription factors and transcription co-regulators (143). Restoring tumor immunity in vivo may be as simple as blocking the TGF-β signaling pathway in CD8+ T cells, as discovered by Thomas et al. (144). TGF-β/Smad pathway also aided in the infiltration of Treg cells (145). These findings support the hypothesis that the TGF-β/Smad signaling pathway, by suppressing immune responses, promotes cancer. In addition, the tumor cells activate TGF-β signaling, which alters a major component of the TME known as CAFs, which in turn alters the extracellular matrix (ECM) in a way that rejects immune cells and may affect immunotherapy responses (146). Besides, TGF-β also operates on both ends of the NGK2D axis, and studies have demonstrated that it substantially inhibits NGK2D-mediated tumor killing (147).

2.3.7 Other signaling pathways

Notch and Hippo pathways are also engaged in immune evasion; high levels of Notch receptor expression correspond with the presence of immature DCs, M2 macrophages, N2 neutrophils and CD4+ T cells in GC tissue (73). Similarly, high expression of Notch3 is associated with low infiltration of activated CD8+ T cells in TME (140). To decrease tumor growth, Hippo signaling has been studied extensively. Depletion of CD8+ CTLs and elevation of FoxP3+ Treg have both been linked to interference with the Hippo pathway (148). However, Hippo pathway inactivation can activate EGFR, which then activates the PI3K/mTOR and Ras/Raf pathways (149). As a result, one therapeutic strategy involves focusing on molecules that set off the Hippo pathway. In short, these intricate pathways work together to keep the surrounding tissue hospitable to tumor growth (Figure 2).

3 Impact of ncRNAs on immune evasion

As we will see, ncRNAs are an integral part of the epigenetic regulatory process, and their interference with gene transcription and translation has an effect on TAA, AMP and TME. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and Helicobacter pylori (Hp) infection in GC entail a more sophisticated process of ncRNAs, and this review will not cover it.

Micro RNAs (miRNAs) adversely regulate gene expression by interacting with mRNA 3′-UTR targets, resulting in polyadenylation, decreased mRNA stability, and translational inhibition (150). On the other hand, miRNAs can influence transcription by binding to certain genes in a targeted manner (150). Inhibiting PD-L1 protein translation by binding to the 3′-
UTR of PD-L1 mRNA, miR-200c enhances the anti-tumor response by decreasing PD-L1 expression (151). MiR-16-5p, miR-152, miR-375 and miR-570 are other micro RNAs that can inhibit PD-L1 expression (54, 60, 152–154). In particular, miR-152 is down-regulated by TGF-β and can improve immunological recognition by targeting the 3'-UTR of HLA-G and PD-L1 mRNA (60, 152). By inactivating JAK2, a common upstream inhibitor of STAT3, miR-375 suppresses the JAK2/STAT3 pathway to down-regulate PD-L1 (153). Also, miR-588, miR-29a-3p, miR-34a and miR-30c increase anti-tumor immune response by promoting CD8+ T cell, M1 macrophage, B cells, GZMB and IFN-γ infiltration (55, 155–157). It has been shown that CAFs infiltration can be suppressed by miR-141-3p, which can directly target STAT4 to inhibit its expression and restrict the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (158). On the contrary, miR-1920 and miR-675-3p could up-regulate the expression of PD-1/PD-L1 (159). There is a drop in CD8+ T cells and NK cells in response to miR-494, miR-1269a and miR-17-5p, but an increase in MDSCs, M2 macrophages, tolerance DCs and Treg infiltration (56, 64, 76). A protein called monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (ZC3H12A) is encoded by this gene, which has anti-tumor effects because it suppresses chronic inflammation. Mir-425-3p can directly target this gene to boost the inflammatory response and facilitate immune evasion (161).

Spicing with miRNAs to interfere with its function, influencing downstream targets, is also relevant for long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) and circular RNAs (circRNAs). For instance, linc00936 works together with miR-425-3p to enhance the body’s natural anti-inflammatory response by increasing ZC3H12A expression (161). Oppositely, lncRNA POU3F3, HOTAIR, MALAT1, H19, MIR100HG and linc00963 favored immunological escape by down-regulating IL-21R, Treg, M2 macrophages and TGF-β and up-regulating the infiltration of mature DCs, CD8+ T cells, M1 macrophages and IFN-γ (57, 77, 162–166). Additionally, PD-L1 expression was boosted by SNHG15 and UCA1 through spongingmiR-141, miR-193a and miR-214, respectively (167, 168). There has been little exploration into the role of circRNAs in GC immune escape, but this could be an exciting new field of study. Table 2 summarizes this paper’s discussion of the role of ncRNAs as regulatory mechanisms in GC immune evasion.

### 4 Perspectives

Despite progress in gene sequencing technology and the promise of precision medicine, there are still too many examples when treatment causes more harm than good. The primary goals of modern immunotherapy are Teff function restoration and ICPs inhibition (169). In recent years, however, monotherapy has been found to have drawbacks; For example, RhoA Y42 mutant GC is not a viable candidate for PD-1 blocking monotherapy (119). Furthermore, several signaling pathway components, such as EGFR, HER2 and VEGF, have become effective therapeutic targets because of their crucial involvement in GC (170). Specially, the HER2 inhibitor, Trastuzumab, can reduce the activity of the PI3K/AKT pathway, which is responsible for the uncontrolled growth of tumor cells, and so restore innate antitumor immunity (12, 13, 170). Tumor cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and the development of an immunosuppressive TME can all be stifled by inhibiting these signaling pathways. In addition, ncRNAs are treated in two major ways, either as an alternative...
therapy or an inhibitory therapy, each of which could be used as a therapeutic target (171). Hence, research into immunotherapies that use molecularly targeted drugs in tandem with conventional ones has great potential in the future.

5 Conclusion

Tumor immune evasion, which includes TAA insufficiency, APM abnormalities, TME composition changes, etc., is a significant research field. Tumor cells’ immunogenicity can be changed by even a little change in the antigen, allowing them to evade immune detection. Tumor formation and spread into the TME are aided by the early microenvironment once the tumor escapes immune monitoring. We present a brief overview of the immune evasion pathways associated with GC that can be used as immunotherapy targets. While the immune evasion process may be complicated, it must be deciphered in order to provide targeted care. More research and clinical trials are needed to better understand immune evasion, particularly in relation to the prognosis of GC and the development of new therapeutic options for the many distinct subtypes of the disease.

### Table 2: Impact of epigenetic regulation on immune evasion in GC.

| Mechanism | Effect | Ref |
|-----------|--------|-----|
| miR-200c | down-regulating PD-L1 expression | anti-oncogene (151) |
| miR-16-5p | down-regulating PD-L1 expression; increasing Teff infiltration | anti-oncogene (54) |
| miR-152 | down-regulating HLA-G and PD-L1 expression | anti-oncogene (60, 152) |
| miR-375 | inactivating JAK2/STAT3 pathway to decrease PD-L1 expression | anti-oncogene (153) |
| miR-570 | down-regulating PD-L1 expression | anti-oncogene (154) |
| miR-588 | increasing CD8+ T cell infiltration by up-regulating CXCL5/9/10 | anti-oncogene (155) |
| miR-29a-3p | increasing M1 macrophage and B cell infiltration by targeting COL1A2 | anti-oncogene (156) |
| miR-34a | reducing lactic acid accumulation in T cells; increasing Teff, IFN-γ and GZMB infiltration | anti-oncogene (157) |
| miR-30c | promoting M1 macrophage polarization | anti-oncogene (55) |
| miR-141-3p | inhibiting STAT4/Wnt/β-catenin pathway to decrease CAFs | anti-oncogene (158) |
| miR-1290 | up-regulating PD-L1 expression via Gli2/ZEB1 axis | oncogene (159) |
| miR-675-3p | up-regulating PD-L1 expression via CXXC4/MAPK axis | oncogene (160) |
| miR-494 | increasing MDSCs infiltration by PTEN/PI3K/Akt axis | oncogene (64) |
| miR-1269a | inhibiting CXCL9 expression to increase MDSCs, M2 macrophage and decrease CD8+, CD4+ T, NK and B cells | oncogene (56) |
| miR-17-5p | inhibiting DCs endocytosis, promoting Treg differentiation; decreasing TNF-α, IL-12 and increasing IL-10 infiltration | oncogene (161) |
| miR-425-3p | amplifying inflammation by targeting ZC3H12A | anti-oncogene (162) |
| linc-00936 | amplifying anti-inflammatory response via sponging miR-425-3p | anti-oncogene (162) |
| linc-POU3F3 | recruiting TGF-β to activate TGF-β/SMAD2/3 pathway; promoting Treg differentiation | oncogene (163) |
| linc-00963 | inhibiting DComaturation via miR-612/CDC5L axis | oncogene (77) |
| lncRNA HOTAIR | up-regulating COL5A1 to decrease the infiltration of CD8+ T cells, M1 macrophage, neutrophils and mature DCs by sponging miR-1277-5p; up-regulating CXCR4 by sponging miR-126 | oncogene (164, 165) |
| lncRNA MALAT1 | up-regulating IL-21R/JAK2/STAT3 via sponging miR-125a | oncogene (57) |
| lncRNA H19 | attenuating Teff (especially Th1 and CD8+ T cells), NK cell function and increasing M2 macrophage number by activating LDHA | oncogene (166) |
| lncRNA MIR100HG | decreasing Teff and IFN-γ via activating ERK1/2 | oncogene (167) |
| lincRNA SNHG15 | up-regulating PD-L1 expression via sponging miR-141 | oncogene (168) |
| lincRNA UCA1 | up-regulating PD-L1 expression via sponging miR-193a, miR-214 | oncogene (150) |
Author contributions

JW, TL and TH wrote the draft review. JW was responsible for responding to the reviewer’s questions and revising the paper, MS was involved in literature search and curation, XW was involved in original idea and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology of Jilin Province (20200708109YY).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Wang M, Zhao J, Zhang L, Wei F, Lian Y, Wu Y, et al. Role of tumor microenvironment in tumorigenesis. J Cancer (2017) 8(5):761–73. doi: 10.7150/jca.17648

3. Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ieda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoeediting: From immunosurveillance to tumor escape. Nat Immunol (2002) 3(11):991–8. doi: 10.1038/nili102-991

4. Schreiber R, Old L, Smyth M. Cancer immunoeediting: Integrating immunity’s roles in cancer suppression and promotion. Sci (New York NY) (2011) 331(6024):1565–70. doi: 10.1126/science.1230346

5. Schiavoni G, Gabriele L, Mattei F. The tumor microenvironment: A pitch for multiple players. Front Oncol (2013) 3:90. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2013.00090

6. Scully C, Georgakopoulou E, Hassona Y. The tumor microenvironment: System of so much health and disease: 4. immunocytes. Dental Update (2017) 44(5):436–8. doi: 10.12986/duen.2017.44.5.436

7. Kuroki M, Hachimine K, Huang J, Shibaguchi T, Maekawa S, et al. Re-targeting of cytotoxic T lymphocytes and/or natural killer cells to cea-expressing tumor cells with anti-cea antibody activity. Anticancer Res (2005) 25:3725–32.

8. Hereg Z, Vaissière T. Epigenetic mechanisms and cancer: An interface between the environment and the genome. Epigenetics (2011) 6(7):804–19. doi: 10.4161/epi.6.7.16262

9. Jaenisch R, Bird A. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: How the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. Nat Genet (2003) 33:245–54. doi: 10.1038/ng1089

10. Adams-Coocha M, Mün J. Structure and function of histone methylation binding proteins. Biochem Cell Biol (2009) 87(1):93–105. doi: 10.1139/O08-129

11. Chen Z, Chen L, Baker K, Olszak T, Zeissig S, Huang Y, et al. CeaCAM1 dampens antitumor immunity by down-regulating Nkg2d ligand expression on tumor cells. J Exp Med (2011) 208(13):2633–40. doi: 10.1084/jem.20102575

12. Keesling R, Wei W, Herrmann F, Lindencrona J, Choudhury A, Kono K, et al. Cellular immunity to the her-2/Neu protooncogene. Adv Cancer Res (2002) 85:101–44. doi: 10.1016/s0065-230x(02)85004-7

13. Wu S, Zhang Q, Zhang F, Meng F, Liu S, Zhou R, et al. Her2 recruits Akt to disrupt stiing signalling and suppress antiviral defence and antitumour immunity. Nat Cell Biol (2019) 21(8):1027–40. doi: 10.1038/s41556-019-0352-x

14. Xing S, Ferrari de Andrade L. Nkg2d and Mica/B shedding: A ‘Tag game’ between nk cells and malignant cells. Clin Transl Immunol (2020) 9(12):e1230. doi: 10.1002/cti2.1230

15. Baragato Raneros A, Martin-Palanco V, Fernandez A, Rodriguez R, Fraga M, Lopez-Larrea C, et al. Methylation of Nkg2d ligands contributes to immune system evasion in acute myeloid leukemia. Genes Immun (2015) 16(1):71–82. doi: 10.1038/gene.2014.58

16. Wu J, Atteridge C, Wang X, Seya T, Plymate S. Obstructing shedding of the immunostimulatory mhc class I chain-related gene b prevents tumor formation. Clin Cancer Res (2009) 15(2):632–40. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Cer-08-1305

17. Yao S, Chen L. Reviving exhausted T lymphocytes during chronic virus infection by B7-H1 blockade. Trends Mol Med (2006) 12(6):244–6. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2006.04.007

18. Zhang Y, Wang F, Sun H, Huang Y, Gao J, Huang H. Apatinib combined with pd-L1 blockade synergistically enhances antitumor immune responses and promotes hvr formation in gastric cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol (2021) 147(8):2209–22. doi: 10.1007/s00432-021-03633-3

19. Zou J, Wu D, Li T, Wang X, Liu Y, Tan S. Association of pd-L1 gene R4143815 C-G polymorphism and human cancer susceptibility: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pathology Res Pract (2019) 215(2):229–34. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2018.12.002

20. Ju X, Zhang H, Zhou Z, Chen M, Wang Q. Tumor-associated macrophages induce pd-L1 expression in gastric cancer cells through ifn-γ and tnf-α signaling. Exp Cell Res (2020) 396(2):112315. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2020.112315

21. Gunaydin G, Kesikli S, Guc D. Cancer associated fibroblasts have phenotypic and functional characteristics similar to the fibrocytes that represent a novel mdsc subset. Oncoimmunology (2015) 4(9):e1034918. doi: 10.1080/2162402x.2015.1034918

22. Neo S, Yang Y, Record J, Ma R, Chen X, Chen Z, et al. Cd73 immune checkpoint defines regulatory nk cells within the tumor microenvironment. J Clin Invest (2020) 130(3):1185–98. doi: 10.1172/jci128895

23. Li D, Xiang S, Shen J, Xiao M, Zhao Y, Wu X, et al. Comprehensive understanding of B7 family in gastric cancer: Expression profile, association with clinicopathological parameters and downstream targets. Int J Biol Sci (2020) 16(4):568–82. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.39769

24. Kuhn N, Purdon T, van Leeuwen D, Lopez A, Curran K, Dianyan A, et al. Cd40 ligand-modified chimeric antigen receptor T cells enhance antitumor function by eliciting an endogenous antitumor response. Cancer Cell (2019) 35(3):473–88.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2019.02.006
immunotherapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res (2017) 78(12):1420

resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors in lung cancer. 

hla class I antigen processing and presentation as a mechanism of acquired resistance to tumor immunotherapy. Cell Rep (2017) 20(4):854

literature: do mesenchymal stem cells support or suppress tumor growth?

polymorphisms in the Fas/Fasl promoter regions with cancer susceptibility: A systemic review and meta-analysis of 52 studies. PloS One (2014) 9(3):e90900. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090900

Xu Y, He B, Li R, Fan Y, Gao T, Deng Q, et al. Association of the polymorphisms in the Fas/FasL gene with gastric cancer risk: a meta-analysis. J Cell Mol Med (2015) 19(5):1248-58. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12504

Gettinger S, Choi J, Hastings K, Truini A, Datar I, Sowell R, et al. Impaired hla class I antigen processing and presentation as a mechanism of acquired resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors in lung cancer. Cancer Discovery (2017) 7(12):1420-35. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-0593

Du Y, Zhang T, Dai L, Zhang X, Goua A, Oshi J, et al. Effects of mutations on replicative fitness and major histocompatibility complex class I binding affinity are among the determinants underlying cytotoxic-T-Lymphocyte escape of hiv-1 gag epitopes. mBio (2013) 4(6):e01050-17. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01050-17

Cellular and Molecular Immunology: Elsevier Inc. (2018).

Rey-Jurado E, Riedel CA, Gonzalez PA, Bueno SM, Kalergis AM. Contribution of autophagy to antiviral immunity. FEBS Lett (2015) 589(22):3461-70. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2015.07.047

Powell A, Horgan P, Edwards J. The bodies

J Cancer (2014) 100(1):104-12. doi: 10.1080/17590596.2013.804721

del Campo A, Kyte J, Carriero J, Zinchenko S, Mendes R, Gonzalez-Aseguinolaza G, et al. Immune escape of cancer cells with Beta2-microglobulin loss. Cancer Sci (2019) 111(11):4041-50. doi: 10.1111/cas.14864

Sun L, Li J, Yan W, Yao Z, Wang R, Zhou X, et al. H19 promotes aerobic glycolysis, proliferation, and immune escape of gastric cancer cells through the microRNA-15/16-5p/Lactate dehydrogenase a axis. Cancer Sci (2021) 112(2):2245-59. doi: 10.1111/cas.14896

Wang J, Hu W, Wu X, Wang K, Yu L, Luo B, et al. Cxcr1 promotes malignant behavior of gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo and acts as an Efrk1/2 phosphorylation. Int J Oncol (2016) 48(5):2184-96. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2016.3428

Domanska U, Kruizinga R, Nagengast W, Timmer-Bosscha H, Huls G, de Vries E, et al. A review on Cxcr4/Cxcl12 axis in oncology: No place to hide. Eur J Cancer (Oxford England) (2019) 111(1):219–30. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2012.05.005

Sun L, Guo S, Song B, Liu F, Sun D, Wang K, Qiu H. TGF-B induces bLa-g expression through inhibiting mir-152 in gastric cancer cells. J Biomed Sci (2015) 22:207. doi: 10.1186/s12929-015-0177-4

Wang C, Zhou XJ, Li YY, Wang Y, Yang LY, Li GH. Effect of vasoactive intestinal peptide (Vip) on Nkg2d signal pathway and its contribution to immune escape of Mcrkn4+ cells. ScientificWorldJournal (2013) 2013:429545. doi: 10.1155/2013/429545

Shang H, Cao Z, Zhao J, Guan J, Liu J, Peng B, et al. Babao Dan induces gastric cancer cell apoptosis Via regulating mapk and nf-kb signaling pathways. J Int Med (2019) 477(10):516-19. doi: 10.1186/s12974-019-0211-x

Mozar M, Lotfy H, Elsherbini B, Motawea M, Fadali G. TGF-B enhances the anti-inflammatory effect of tumor- infiltrating Cd3+ld Hla-Dr myeloid-derived suppressor cells in gastric cancer. A possible relation to microRNA-494. Asian Pacific J Cancer prevention: APJCP (2020) 21(11):3393–403. doi: 10.31557/apjcp.2020.11.3393

Zhao F, Falk C, Olsen W, Kato M, Schadendorf D, Umanysky V. Activation of PD-1/PD-1090-activated protein kinase drives dendritic cells to become tolerogenic in ret transgenic mice spontaneously developing melanoma. Clin Cancer Res (2009) 15(3):4382-90. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0399

Salagerio IC, Mungo E, Gazzano E, Kopecka J, Riganti C, Erik is a pivotal player of chemo-Immunoresistance in cancer. Int J Mol Sci (2019) 20(10). doi: 10.3390/ijms20102505

Martin-Orozco N, Muransk i P, Chung Y, Yang X, Yamazaki T, Lu S, et al. The Helper 17 cells promote cytotoxic T-cell activation in tumor immunity. Immunity (2009) 31(5):787–98. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2009.09.014

Wang Y, Xia C, Zhang T, Zhang X, Zhou Y, Zhao Y, et al. Gastric cancer cells induce human Cd4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells through the production of TGF-B1. World J Gastroenterol (2011) 17(15):2019-27. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i15.2019

Wang M, Chen B, Sun XX, Zhao XD, Zhao YY, Sun L, et al. Gastric tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells impact peripheral blood mononuclear cells
Von discrepancy of Treg/Th17 balance to promote gastric cancer progression. Exp Cell Res (2017) 361(19-20): 28. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2017.08.036.

70. Peluso I, Yara LS, Ambra R, Pastore G, Perry G. Mapk signalling pathway in cancers: Olive products as cancer preventive and therapeutic agents. Semin Cancer Biol (2019) 56:185-95. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.07.002.

71. Zdanov S, Mandapathap S, Abu Eid R, Adamsen-Fadeyi S, Wilson W, Qian J, et al. Mutant kras conversion of conventional T cells into regulatory T cells. Cancer Immunol Res (2016) 4(4):354-65. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0241.

72. Wang S, Yang J, Qian J, Weizeman M, Kwak LW, Yi Q. Tumor evasion of the immune system: Inhibiting PD3 mapk signaling restores the function of dendritic cells in multiple myeloma. Blood (2006) 107(6):2432-9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-06-142686.

73. Lippitz B. Cytokine patterns in patients with cancer: A systematic review. Lancet Oncol (2013) 14(6):e218-28. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70582-x.

74. Deng R, Zuo C, Li Y, Xue B, Zun X, Guo Y, et al. The innate immune effector Jig2A promotes cancer immunity by suppressing the canonical Wnt/Beta-catenin signaling pathway. Cell Mol Immunol (2020) 17(1):1163-79. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-00549-9.

75. Hu J, Yu J, Jan J, Song X, Shi L, Liu J, et al. Notch1/2/3/4 are prognostic biomarker and correlated with immune infiltrates in gastric cancer. Aging (2020) 12(3):2595-609. doi: 10.1007/s40246-1027-0.

76. Cui Z, Xie X, Qi W, Yang Y, Bai Y, Han J, et al. Cell-free mir-17-5p as a diagnostic biomarker for gastric cancer inhibits dendritic cell maturation. Oncotargets Ther (2019) 12:2666-71. doi: 10.2147/oncotarget19768.

77. Wei Z, Chen L, Meng L, Han W, Huang L, Xu A. Lncrna hotair causes gastric cancer with aberrant inflammation: A systematic review. Blood (2017) 130(7):e43-53. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-08-748127.

78. Wang S, Yang J, Qian J, Weizeman M, Kwak LW, Yi Q, Tumor evasion of the immune system: Inhibiting PD3 mapk signaling restores the function of dendritic cells in multiple myeloma. Blood (2006) 107(6):2432-9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-06-142686.

79. Zhan S, Zeng Z, Liu Y, Huang J, Long J, Wang Y, et al. Prognostic landscape of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and immune-related genes in the tumor microenvironment of gastric cancer. Dis Markers (2018) 48:3(5):70-83. doi: 10.1155/2018/3.18.003.

80. Fang W, Zhang J, Hong S, Zhan J, Chen N, Qin T, et al. EBV-driven LMP1 and IFN-γ up-regulate PD-L1 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: Implications for oncotherapy. Oncotarget (2014) 5(23):12189-202. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.26269.

81. Chen J, Jiang C, Li M, Zhang X. Regulation of pd-L1: A novel role of pro-survival signalling in cancer. Ann Oncol (2016) 27(3):409-16. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw615.

82. Sokolova O, Naumann M. Nk-βk signaling in gastric cancer. Toxins (2017) 9(4). doi: 10.3390/toxins9040119.

83. Zhu Q, Zhang X, Zhang L, Li W, Wu H, Yuan X, et al. The il-6-Stat3 axis regulates the phosphorylation of Erk1/2, jnk, P38 kinases and nf-kappab. Cell Rep (2021) 6(2):176. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.09.050.

84. Sun L, Wang Q, Chen B, Zhao Y, Shen B, Wang H, et al. Gastric cancer mesenchymal stem cells derived il-8 induces pd-L1 expression in gastric cancer cells Via Jnk/P38 mapk activation and the nos-Mediated/Mitochondria-Dependent pathway. Pharm Biol (2015) 53(3):212-9. doi: 10.3109/13888589.2014.913297.

85. O'Reilly LA, Putoczki TL, Miele LA, Low JT, Lin A, Preaudet A, et al. Loss of nf-kb1 causes gastric cancer with aberrant inflammation and expression of immune checkpoint regulators in a stat-1 dependent manner. Immunity (2018) 48(3):570-83.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.003.

86. Zhang S, Zeng Z, Liu Y, Huang J, Long J, Wang Y, et al. Prognostic landscape of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and immune-related genes in the tumor microenvironment of gastric cancer. Clin Exp Immurol (2014) 179(3):615-27. doi: 10.1111/cei.12460.

87. Bhardwaj S, Berzofsky JA, Terabe M. Malignant lipid tumor environment and its potential effects on nk t cell function in tumor immunity. Front Immunol (2019) 10.21201/fimmsimmunol.2019.02.0187.

88. Lu Q, Wang J, Markowitz GJ, Wang XF. The hepatitis B virus-associated tumor microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma. Natl Sci Rev (2014) 1(1):396-412. doi: 10.1093/nsr/nwt038.

89. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(1):23–32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1402840.

90. Wang H, Franco F, Tsui Y, Xie X, Trefny M, Zappasodi R, et al. Cd36-mediated metabolic adaptation supports regulatory T cell survival and function in tumors. Nat Immunol (2020) 21(3):298-308. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0589-9.

91. Zhu Q, Zhang X, Zhang L, Li W, Wu H, Yuan X, et al. The il-6-Stat3 axis mediates a reciprocal crosstalk between cancer-developed mesenchymal stem cells and neutrophils to synergistically promote gastric cancer progression. Cell Death Dis (2014) 5:e265. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.265.

92. Bernal C, Zoro R, Sierra J, Gilchrist K, Botero J, Baenza A, et al. Encelphiatoxin intestinalis inhibits dendritic cell differentiation through an il-6-dependent Mechanism. Front Cell Infection Microbiol (2016) 6:3. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00084.

93. Sun L, Wang Q, Chen B, Zhao Y, Shen B, Wang H, et al. Gastric cancer mesenchymal stem cells derived il-8 induces pd-L1 expression in gastric cancer cells Via Stat3/Mtor-C-myc signal axis. Cell Death Dis (2018) 9:9.928. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0988-9.

94. Estrada J, Rosenberg S, Sinha P. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells: Linking inflammation and cancer. J ImmunoI (Baltimore Md: 1950) (2019) 192(8):499-506. doi: 10.1044/jimmunol.0802740.

95. Wolchok J, Kluger H, Callahan M, Postow M, Riuzzi N, Lesokhin A, et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. New Engl J Med (2013) 369(12):122-33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1302348. 2017.09.036.

96. Zhang F, Cui J, Gao H, Hu Y, Gao F, Chen J, et al. Cancer-associated fibrillarbs induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer Via Cxcl12/Cxcr4 axis. Future Oncol (London Engl) (2020) 16(32):2619-33. doi: 10.2217/fon-2020-0095.

97. Hosoi A, Matsushita H, Shimizu K, Fuji S, Ueba S, Abe J, et al. Adaptive cytotoxic t lymphocyte therapy triggers a counter-regulatory immunosuppressive mechanism Via recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Int J Cancer (2014) 134(8):1810-22. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28506.

98. Wang et al. 2021. 10.3389/fonc.2022.943806. Frontiers in Oncology | www.frontiersin.org 14 10.3389/fonc.2022.943806
microenvironment. Cell Death Discovery (2021) 7(1):15. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00401-7

113. Ho PC, Liu PS. Metabolic communication in tumors: A new layer of immunoregulation for cancer treatment. J Immunother Cancer (2016) 4:4. doi: 10.1186/s40428-016-0199-4

114. Wang L, Lu X, Guo X, Dong Y, Peng P, Huang F, et al. Feedback activation of Stat3 limits the response to Pim/AktMtor inhibitors in pten-deficient cancer cells. Oncogene (2021) 40(1):8. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-02929-w

115. Xue G, Zippelius A, Wicki A, Mandala M, Tang F, Massi D, et al. Integrated Akt/Pkb signaling in immunomodulation and its potential role in cancer immunotherapy. J Natl Inst Cancer Res. (2015) 10(7):5. doi:10.4103/1744-5522.144324

116. Rubie C, Oliveira-Fruck Y, Rau B, Schilling M, Wagner M. Chemokine receptor C56 expression in colorectal liver metastasis. J Clin Oncol (2006) 24(32):5173–4. doi: 10.1200/jco.2006.07.9905

117. Cetrella D, Digiacomo G, Giovannietti E, Cavazzoni A. Pten alterations as a potential mechanism for tumor cell escape from pd-1/Pd-L1 inhibition. Cancers (2019) 11(9). doi: 10.3390/cancers11091315

118. Kanagawa MM, Messer KS, Ralainirina N, Li H, Leem CJ, Gorjestani S, et al. Pimkinase is a molecular switch that controls immunosuppression. Nature (2009) 393(6678):423–47. doi: 10.1038/nature01294

119. Kumagai S, Togashi Y, Sakai C, Kawaoze A, Kawazu M, Ueno T, et al. An oncogenic alteration creates a microenvironment that promotes tumor progression by conferring a metabolic advantage to regulatory T cells. Immunity (2020) 53 (1):187–203.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.016

120. Owen KL, Brockwell NK, Parker BS. Jak-stat signaling: A double-edged sword of immune regulation and cancer progression. Cancers (Basel) (2019) 11(12). doi:10.3390/cancers11120202

121. Verdeli G, Lawrence T, Schmitt-Verhulst AM, Auphan-Anezin N. Targeting Stat3 and Stat5 in tumor-associated immune cells to improve immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel) (2019) 11(12). doi:10.3390/cancers11121852

122. Kummersev S, Gaborovich D. Stat5 signaling regulates tumor-associated macrophage-mediated T cell deletion. J Immunol (Baltimore Md: 1950) (2015) 174(8):4880–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.14474880

123. Danziger O, Pupko T, Bacharach E, Ehrlich M. Viamterleukin-6 and interferon-A signaling Jak-1 stat differentially regulate oncolytic versus cytotoxic antiviral states. Front Immunol (2018) 9:94. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00094

124. Wang L, Zhao Y, Peng L, Chen N, Chen W, Ly R, et al. Tumor-activated neutrophils in gastric cancer foster immune suppression and disease progression through C粪–C粪/Pd-L1 pathway. Gut (2017) 66(11):1990–11. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313075

125. Dong J, Li J, Li SM, Peng XY, Chen YB, et al. Cd33(+)//P-Stat1(+) double-positive cell as a prognostic factor for stage iiia gastric cancer. J Immunol (Baltimore Md: 1950) (2018) 199(1):3650. doi:10.3390/cancers12123650

126. Morikawa M, Derynck R, Miyazono K. TGF-beta and the TGF-beta family: Context-dependent roles in cell and tissue physiology. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol (2016) 8(1). doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a018733

127. Lazarova M, Steinle A. Impairment of NKG2D-mediated tumor immunity involves in regulating immune in...
158. Zhou Y, Zhong J, Gong F, Xiao J. Mir-141-3p suppresses gastric cancer induced transition of normal fibroblast and bmsc to cancer-associated fibroblasts Via targeting Stat4. *Exp Mol Pathol* (2019) 107:85–94. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2018.11.014

159. Liang Y, Liu Y, Zhang Q, Zhang H, Du J. Tumor-derived extracellular vesicles containing microrna-1290 promote immune escape of cancer cells through the Grb32/Erk1/2-Pd-L1 axis in gastric cancer. *Trans Res* (2021) 231:102-12. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2020.12.003

160. Li P, Luo X, Xie Y, Li P, Hu F, Chu J, et al. Gc-derived EVs enriched with microRNA-675-3p contribute to the MAPK/PD-L1-Mediated tumor immune escape by targeting CXXC4. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* (2020) 22:615–26. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2020.08.020

161. Li H, Zhao C, Zhao H, Liu G, Mao H, Liu Y. Elevated Linc00936 or silenced microrna-425-3p inhibits immune escape of gastric cancer cells Via elevation of Zc3h12a. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2021) 95:107559. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107559

162. Xiong G, Yang L, Chen Y, Fan Z. Linc-Pou3f3 promotes cell proliferation in gastric cancer Via increasing T-reg distribution. *Am J Trans Res* (2015) 7 (11):2262–9.

163. Zhu H, Yang J, Zhang S, Qian J, Ling X, Wu X, et al. Long noncoding rna Linc00963 promotes Cdk5-mediated malignant progression in gastric cancer. *OncoTargets Ther* (2020) 13:12999–3013. doi: 10.2147/ottS274708

164. Xiao J, Lai H, Wei S, Ye Z, Gong F, Chen L. Lncrna hotair promotes gastric cancer proliferation and metastasis Via targeting mir-126 to active Ccr4 and rhoa signaling pathway. *Cancer Med* (2019) 8(15):6768–79. doi: 10.1002/cam4.1302

165. Yan L, Zhang J, Guo D, Ma J, Shui S, Han X. Il-21r functions as an oncogenic factor and is regulated by the Incrna Malat1/Mir-125a-3p axis in gastric cancer. *Int J Onkol* (2019) 54(1):7–16. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2018.4612

166. Li P, Ge D, Li P, Hu F, Chu J, Chen X, et al. Cxxc finger protein 4 inhibits the Cdk18-Erk1/2 axis to suppress the immune escape of gastric cancer cells with involvement of Elk1/Mir100h9 pathway. *J Cell Mol Med* (2020) 24(17):10151–65. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15625

167. Dang S, Malik A, Chen J, Qu J, Yin K, Cui L, et al. Lncrna Snhg15 contributes to immuno-escape of gastric cancer through targeting Mir141/Pd-L1. *OncoTargets Ther* (2020) 13:8547–56. doi: 10.2147/ottS21625

168. Wang C, Zhu C, Xu J, Wang M, Zhao W, Liu Q, et al. The Incrna Uca1 promotes proliferation, migration, immune escape and inhibits apoptosis in gastric cancer by sponging anti-tumor mirnas. *Mol Cancer* (2019) 18(1):115. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-1032-0

169. Olnes M, Martinson H. Recent advances in immune therapies for gastric cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther* (2021). doi: 10.1038/s41417-021-00310-y

170. Patel TH, Cecchini M. Targeted therapies in advanced gastric cancer. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* (2020) 21(9):70. doi: 10.1007/s11864-020-00774-4

171. Ning B, Yu D, Yu A. Advances and challenges in studying noncoding RNA regulation of drug metabolism and development of RNA therapeutics. *Biochem Pharmacol* (2019) 169:113638. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2019.113638