Analysis of isoflavones and flavonoids in human urine by UHPLC
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Abstract A rapid, ultra high-performance liquid chromatographic (UHPLC) method has been developed and validated for simultaneous identification and analysis of the isoflavones genistein, daidzein, glycitin, puerarin, and biochanin A, and the flavonoids (±)-catechin, (−)-epicatechin, rutin, hesperidin, neohesperidin, quercitrin, and hesperetin in human urine. Urine samples were incubated with β-glucuronidase/ sulfatase. UHPLC was performed with a Hypersil Gold (50×2.1 mm, 1.9 μm) analytical column. Elution was with a gradient prepared from aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (0.05%) and acetonitrile. UV detection was performed at 254 and 280 nm. The calibration curves were indicative of good linearity ($r^2 \geq 0.9992$) in the range of interest for each analyte. LODs ranged between 15.4 and 107.0 ng mL$^{-1}$ and 3.9 and 20.4 ng mL$^{-1}$ for flavonoids and isoflavones, respectively. Intra-day and inter-day precision (C.V., %) was less than 3.9% and 3.8%, respectively, and accuracy was between 0.03% and 5.0%. Recovery was 70.35–96.58%. The method is very rapid, simple, and reliable, and suitable for pharmacokinetic analysis. It can be routinely used for simultaneous determination of these five isoflavones and seven flavonoids in human urine. The method can also be applied to studies after administration of pharmaceutical preparations containing isoflavones and flavonoids to humans.
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Introduction

In recent years, much attention has been devoted to natural substances, for example isoflavones and flavonoids, with antioxidant activity. These natural antioxidants are mainly found in vegetables, fruit, and wheat [1]. Interest in these compounds is because of the increasing incidences of serious pathologies, for example cancer, cardiovascular diseases, or inflammation, caused, in part, by the harmful effects of free radicals. There is strong epidemiological evidence that diet has a protective effect against a number of these complex diseases; thus, there is an increased interest in natural antioxidant compounds [2–4].

Therefore, a daily diet that includes foods and food products containing antioxidants is important to health and nutrition. Isoflavones and flavonoids are biologically active phytochemicals that humans are exposed to mainly through food intake. Flavonoids are found in nearly every plant. However, isoflavones are usually treated separately from other subclasses of antioxidants because these phytochemicals are found in significant concentrations chiefly in soybeans and soy-related foods. Interest in supplementing the diet with isoflavones and flavonoids is constantly increasing. These compounds are available as various pharmaceutical preparations that are currently being recommended by doctors.

In order to probe the potential benefits, or adverse effects, of isoflavone and flavonoid consumption more efficiently, it is necessary to develop analytical methods...
capable of sensitive and accurate quantification of a number of analytes in low volumes of human fluids. These methods should also be capable of high-throughput sample analysis. Different methods have been reported in the literature for analysis of individual flavonoids or isoflavones; however, there is no literature that describes the simultaneous determination of isoflavones and flavonoids by ultra high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC).

HPLC, with various types of detectors, for example ultra-violet (UV) [5–9], diode array [10], coulometric array [11], and mass spectrometry [12–14], is the most common method used for determination of flavonoids in biological fluids. Flavonoids have been quantified in the presence of other antioxidants using electrochemical detection by Bolarinwa and Linseisen [15]. Papers have been published on flavonoid separation using different mobile phases; most separations have been accomplished on C₈ or C₁₈ reversed-phase columns.

Measurements of isoflavonoids in biological samples have been conducted using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) [16, 17] or HPLC, usually with UV [18–20], electrochemical [21, 22], or mass spectrometric [23–26] detection. Methods such as liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) or solid-phase extraction (SPE) have been used for isoflavone extraction and purification, because biological samples require clean up [27, 28].

Recently, commercially available UHPLC has proved to be one of the most promising developments in fast chromatographic separations. There has been substantial focus on UHPLC separations with the objective of reducing analysis times while maintaining good efficiency. In this work, a new gradient reversed-phase UHPLC method was developed for simultaneous analysis of the isoflavones genistein (GT), daidzein (DA), glycitin (GLY), puerarin (PUR), and biochanin A (BIO) and the flavonoids (±)-catechin ((±)-CA), (−)-epicatechin (−-EC), rutin (RUT), hesperidin (HSD), neohesperidin (NHSD), quercitin (QUR), and hesperetin (HST). The development of new and highly effective techniques for isolation, separation, identification, and determination of isoflavones and flavonoids is very important because these substances are known to have very positive physiological effects on human health. As far as we are aware, there is no literature related to the simultaneous analysis of isoflavones and flavonoids in biological samples. This newly developed method is applicable for the analysis of human urine.

### Experimental

Reagents and chemicals

The flavonoids (±)-CA, (−)-EC, RUT, HSD, NHSD, QUR, and HST, the isoflavones GT, DA, GLY, PUR, and BIO, and β-glucuronidase/sulphatase (crude solution from Helix pomatia, type HP-2, G7017) were purchased from Sigma Chemicals (St Louis, MO, USA) and Aldrich Chemicals (Milwaukee, WI, USA). HPLC-grade acetonitrile, water, trifluoroacetic acid, formic acid, sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.66), and phosphate buffer (pH 2.4) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Analytical-grade methanol, acetonitrile, and acetone were purchased from POCh (Giwiwce, Poland).

Standard stock solutions (1 mg mL⁻¹) of the flavonoids and isoflavones were prepared in methanol as solvent. Combined standard solutions containing the flavonoids and isoflavones were prepared just before use by mixing individual stock solutions and diluting these mixtures with methanol. All solutions were stored at 4 °C. In this study an internal standard was not used.

Human urine was obtained from healthy volunteers who were on a diet rich in flavonoids and orally-administrated tablets of flavonoids and isoflavones. Human urine samples taken from patients treated with β-blockers were obtained from the Medical University in Katowice (Poland). The subjects were ten healthy volunteers aged 21–48 years. Urine samples were collected just before administration of pharmaceutical preparations containing isoflavones and flavonoids and 0–2, 2–4, 4–6, 6–8, 8–10, 10–12, and 12–24 h after administration. Human urine was stored at −18 °C.

Chromatographic conditions

The UHPLC system (Merck Hitachi, Germany) was equipped with a model L-2160U pump, a model L-2400U absorbance detector, a model L-2200U autosampler, a model L-2350U thermostatted column compartment, and a degasser module.

The flavonoids and isoflavones were separated on a Hypersil Gold (50×2.1 mm, 1.9 μm) reversed-phase column (Thermo Scientific) by gradient elution using 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B). The gradient profile was: 0–0.5 min, from 5% to 20% solvent B (flow rate from 1.0 to 0.5 mL min⁻¹); 2.0 min, 40% solvent B (flow rate 0.4 mL min⁻¹); 3.5 min 90% solvent B (flow rate 0.9 mL min⁻¹). The detector wavelengths were set at 254 and 280 nm. The injection volume was 2 μL. The temperature of the column oven was set to 25 °C.

Isoflavone and flavonoid identification was performed according to retention time by comparison with reference standards. Furthermore, the presence of the individual flavonoids and isoflavones in the urine matrix was confirmed by adding a mixed standard solution to the urine samples. Data acquisition and integration were performed using EZ Chrom Elite System Manager.
Sample processing

Urine samples were collected from healthy volunteers after oral administration of tablets containing flavonoids and isoflavones for a period of 1 h. For sample preparation, 2.5 mL urine was mixed with 0.5 mL acetonitrile and 0.5 mL methanol. After shaking for 1 min, the sample was transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged using a refrigerated Universal Centrifuge Z 323 K (Hermle Labortechnik, Germany) at 4724×g for 15 min at room temperature (ca. 22 °C). The clear supernatant was incubated with 100 μL 1 mol L−1 sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.66) and 40 μL β-glucuronidase/sulfatase (crude preparation from H. pomatia) for 18 h at 37 °C. The hydrolyzed urine sample was diluted with 1 mL phosphate buffer (0.1 mol L−1, pH 2.4) [7].

Extraction was performed with the Bakerbond SPE-12G system (J.T. Baker, Deventer, Netherlands). The hydrolyzed sample was applied to Oasis HLB extraction cartridges (6 mL, 500 mg, Waters), preconditioned successively with 6 mL methanol and 6 mL 0.1% formic acid, and allowed to run through. Isoflavones and flavonoids were eluted with 5 mL methanol–aceton–formic acid 4.5:4.5:1 (v/v) and the elute was evaporated to dryness. Finally, the residue was redissolved in 1 mL 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water and filtered through a 0.45-μm membrane filter. Samples (2 μL) were injected on to the chromatographic column using the system autosampler. The analysis was repeated six times.

Pharmacokinetic analysis

Pharmacokinetic analysis was carried out using PK Solutions 2.0 pharmacokinetic analysis computer software. The area under the curve (AUC), maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), and time needed to reach the maximum plasma concentration (Tmax) were determined by the software. The elimination rate constant (Kel) was obtained from the terminal slope by use of regression analysis. The half-life (t1/2) of the drug was calculated by use of the relationship t1/2=0.693/Kel.

Method validation

The newly developed UHPLC method was validated for linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision, accuracy, selectivity, and recovery.

Calibration standards were prepared by adding appropriate amounts of the stock solutions to flavonoid and isoflavone-free human urine and then performing serial dilutions with additional blank urine sample to obtain different concentrations. Six replicate aliquots of these solutions were injected on to the UHPLC system and the analyses were carried out as described in the section “Chromatographic conditions”. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting peak area against concentration for each analyte and the regression equation was calculated for each curve. The data obtained were submitted to regression analysis and correlation coefficients were calculated for each flavonoid and isoflavone using Excel.

The LOD and LOQ were calculated using Eqs. 1 and 2, respectively [29]. The calculated theoretical detection and quantification limits were confirmed by analysis of these concentrations using the developed method.

\[ \text{LOD} = \left(\frac{\text{S.D.} \times 3.3}{\text{slope of calibration curve}} \right) \]

\[ \text{LOQ} = \left(\frac{\text{S.D.} \times 10}{\text{slope of calibration curve}} \right) \]

where S.D. is the standard deviation of the intercept.

The precision and accuracy of the UHPLC method were evaluated by analysing blank samples of urine spiked with high, medium, and low concentrations of the flavonoids and isoflavones. The intra-day variance was determined by assay ing six replicates on the same day and inter-day variance was assessed over five consecutive days. Precision was expressed as relative standard deviation (C.V., %). Accuracy was determined by comparing the calculated concentrations from the calibration curves with the known concentrations.

Control human urine obtained from six volunteers, was assessed using the procedure described above and compared with results from respective urine samples to evaluate the selectivity of the method. The resulting chromatograms were examined to determine the presence of any peak that could interfere with the analysis of flavonoids and isoflavones.

Absolute recovery was calculated by injecting urine spiked with the known amounts of each examined compound. The recoveries were tested at low, medium, and high concentrations. The spiked urine samples were extracted using the described SPE method and analysed with the proposed UHPLC method. Furthermore, the concentrations of flavonoids were calculated using the calibration curves. The recovery was calculated by comparing the determined amounts for the extracted urine samples with the known amounts added.

Results and discussion

Optimization of the UHPLC method

In this study a UHPLC method with UV detection is reported for quantification of flavonoids and isoflavones in human urine. Analyses were performed at 254 nm (RUT,
(e.g., methanol–water or acetonitrile–water mixtures) were tried but the separation remained unsatisfactory. With a methanol–water mobile phase, the elution time of the flavonoids and isoflavones was prolonged and their separation was improved.

In this research, we studied the effects of different organic acids in the mobile phase (solvent A: trifluoroacetic (TFA), acetic, or formic acid; solvent B: acetonitrile) on the separation of the flavonoids and isoflavones. Basic chromatographic performance data, for example resolution ($R_S$), selectivity ($\alpha$), peak asymmetry, and peak area, were selected to evaluate the UHPLC method. The resolution and peak asymmetries of the flavonoids and isoflavones using different organic acids in the mobile phase are shown in Fig. 1a. Using acetic acid and formic acid, we observed a large increase in peak asymmetry and large decrease in peak height for the flavonoids and isoflavones. The effect of the organic acid on the separation is directly dependent on the particular flavonoids and isoflavone. The best peak width (0.07 min), $R_S$ (3.62), and $\alpha$ (1.08) for the separated compounds was observed with TFA. On the basis of the average values of the chromatographic performance data, the most effective mobile phase combination was TFA (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B).

The pH of the mobile phase markedly influences the chromatographic separation of many compounds; thus, we studied this effect on the separation of flavonoids and isoflavones by adjusting the pH of the TFA mobile phase. The tested pH values were 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, and 6.0. The best peak height, peak asymmetry, and resolution values for flavonoid and isoflavone detection and separation were obtained by using the lowest pH mobile phase (Fig. 1b).

**Fig. 1** Effect on the resolution (mean $R_S$) and peak asymmetry (mean asymmetry) of the analytes of different composition of solvent A in the mobile phase (a), pH (b), and temperature (c).

**Fig. 2** Chromatogram obtained from a mixed standard containing flavonoids (10 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ ($\pm$)-CA, 10 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ ($-$)-EC, 5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ RUT, 5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ QUR, 5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ HSD, 5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ NHSD, 5 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ HST) and isoflavones (3 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ PUR, 7 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ GLY, 3 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ DA, 3 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ GT, 3 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ BIO) by use of the UHPLC method.
Increasing the pH from 2.5 to 6.0 had several negative effects on the separation. Using TFA at pH 6.0 increased the peak width (9.5%), peak asymmetry (9.6%), and retention time. More importantly, it reduced the selectivity and resolution. In addition, we observed a large decrease in the flavonoid and isoflavone peak heights at pH 6.0. Therefore, the chromatographic conditions were further studied using 0.05% TFA, pH 2.5, as mobile phase A.

The effect of increasing the column temperature from 20 °C to 40 °C was evaluated using the Hypersil Gold column at the optimum chromatographic conditions. Temperatures higher than 35 °C had a negative effect on the separation and on peak symmetry (Fig. 1c). The higher temperature increased the average peak width (32.9%) and peak asymmetry (11.9%), and reduced the selectivity (1.7%) and resolution (10.9%). Increasing the temperature to 40 °C reduced the retention times that, in turn, further reduced the separation of the unresolved compounds. The signals for PUR and –)-EC were still not well resolved. The peak heights of the flavonoids and isoflavones increased with increasing temperature, but the peak symmetry and efficiency decreased. We prefer better chromatographic separation (i.e., no co-eluting peaks) to improved peak height (sensitivity); thus we decided to operate at 25 °C.

The optimized chromatographic conditions for the separation of the flavonoids and isoflavones were: solvent A, 0.05% TFA in water (pH 2.5); solvent B, acetonitrile; column temperature, 25 °C. The gradient elution and flow rate conditions are described in the section “Chromatographic conditions”. With these conditions, the best peak shape and separation were achieved. It was shown that separation of the flavonoids and isoflavones could be achieved within 3.5 min. Chromatograms demonstrating the separation are presented in Fig. 2.

### Table 1

| Compound | Linear dynamic range (μg/mL) | Slope (a) | Intercept (b) | Correlation coefficient, \( r^2 \) | LOD (ng/mL) | LOQ (ng/mL) |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Flavonoids** | | | | | | |
| (±)-CA | 0.5–10 | 10290 | 139 | -744 | 804 | 1194 | 0.9993 | 103.2 | 309.6 |
| (-)-EC | 0.5–10 | 11543 | 162 | 422 | 936 | 1389 | 0.9992 | 107.0 | 321.1 |
| RUT | 0.05–5 | 33445 | 290 | -700 | 703 | 1289 | 0.9997 | 15.4 | 46.2 |
| QUR | 0.05–5 | 34721 | 337 | -1256 | 817 | 1497 | 0.9996 | 15.5 | 46.6 |
| HSD | 0.1–0.5 | 18112 | 230 | 3789 | 1230 | 2192 | 0.9992 | 32.0 | 96.1 |
| NHSD | 0.1–0.5 | 23060 | 247 | 1898 | 1319 | 2351 | 0.9994 | 31.5 | 94.4 |
| HST | 0.1–10 | 25611 | 280 | 2429 | 1494 | 2664 | 0.9994 | 32.1 | 96.3 |
| **Isoflavones** | | | | | | |
| PUR | 0.04–3 | 68987 | 725 | 564 | 543 | 991 | 0.9996 | 10.4 | 31.2 |
| GLY | 0.02–1.5 | 74582 | 295 | 255 | 221 | 404 | 0.9999 | 3.9 | 11.7 |
| DA | 0.04–3 | 91377 | 1019 | 603 | 763 | 1393 | 0.9995 | 11.0 | 33.1 |
| GT | 0.07–3 | 65955 | 818 | -1549 | 1226 | 2212 | 0.9994 | 20.4 | 61.3 |
| BIO | 0.04–3 | 109929 | 784 | 1700 | 1041 | 2097 | 0.9997 | 12.5 | 37.5 |

*Linear regression analysis with the regression equation: \( y = ax + b \), in which \( x \) is the concentration in μg/mL and \( y \) is the peak area. \( S_a \) is the standard deviation of the slope, \( S_b \) the standard deviation of the intercept, and \( S_{xy} \) the residual standard deviation of the regression coefficient.*
peaks in the chromatogram from the human urine samples, but these peaks do not interfere with the flavonoids and isoflavones of interest. The percentage recoveries for all analysed compounds from spiked human urine were evaluated at low (0.40–0.80 μg/mL⁻¹), medium (0.75–2.00 μg/mL⁻¹) and high (1.20–8.00 μg/mL⁻¹) concentrations. A high absolute percentage recovery indicates that the method can be successfully used for the determination of the analysed flavonoids and isoflavones in human urine samples.

Table 2  Intra-day and inter-day precision, accuracy, and absolute recovery of flavonoids and isoflavones from human urine (n=6)

| Compound | Added (μg/mL⁻¹) | Intra-day | Inter-day |
|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Flavonoids |                | Measured (μg/mL⁻¹) | C.V. (%) | Accuracy (%) | Absolute recovery (%) | Measured (μg/mL⁻¹) | C.V. (%) | Accuracy (%) | Recovery (%) |
| (±)-CA | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 81.85 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 82.34 |
| | 2.50 | 2.06 | 1.71 | −1.11 | 82.43 | 2.04 | 1.51 | −1.02 | 81.61 |
| | 8.00 | 6.73 | 1.80 | −2.74 | 84.12 | 6.58 | 1.38 | −2.16 | 82.27 |
| (−)-EC | 0.80 | 0.72 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 90.37 | 0.72 | 1.04 | −0.57 | 89.83 |
| | 2.50 | 2.13 | 1.95 | 0.46 | 85.37 | 2.07 | 2.60 | −3.01 | 82.81 |
| | 8.00 | 7.27 | 0.34 | −0.15 | 90.94 | 7.15 | 0.85 | −1.70 | 89.39 |
| RUT | 0.80 | 0.56 | 0.30 | −1.07 | 70.35 | 0.56 | 1.94 | −0.54 | 70.00 |
| | 2.50 | 1.69 | 0.47 | −4.70 | 71.00 | 1.69 | 0.63 | −4.57 | 67.73 |
| | 4.00 | 2.84 | 0.62 | −3.21 | 70.95 | 2.87 | 0.63 | 1.04 | 71.66 |
| | 2.50 | 2.04 | 2.25 | −2.08 | 81.56 | 2.07 | 2.60 | −3.01 | 82.81 |
| | 8.00 | 6.45 | 0.39 | −0.15 | 80.62 | 6.49 | 1.40 | 0.72 | 81.18 |
| HSD | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.82 | −1.73 | 75.41 | 0.59 | 2.54 | −2.23 | 73.75 |
| | 2.50 | 1.92 | 0.49 | −1.22 | 76.98 | 1.89 | 0.59 | −1.59 | 75.75 |
| | 8.00 | 5.87 | 1.59 | −0.54 | 73.35 | 5.77 | 1.26 | −1.67 | 72.10 |
| NHSD | 0.80 | 0.62 | 1.88 | −1.29 | 77.89 | 0.62 | 1.91 | −0.12 | 77.81 |
| | 2.50 | 2.04 | 2.25 | −2.08 | 81.56 | 2.06 | 2.21 | 0.97 | 82.29 |
| | 8.00 | 6.45 | 0.39 | 2.99 | 80.62 | 6.49 | 1.40 | 0.72 | 81.18 |
| HST | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.82 | −1.73 | 75.41 | 0.59 | 2.54 | −2.23 | 73.75 |
| | 2.50 | 1.92 | 0.49 | −1.22 | 76.98 | 1.89 | 0.59 | −1.59 | 75.75 |
| | 8.00 | 5.87 | 1.59 | −0.54 | 73.35 | 5.77 | 1.26 | −1.67 | 72.10 |
| Isoflavones | PUR | 0.24 | 0.21 | 3.16 | −0.90 | 86.19 | 0.20 | 3.15 | −3.92 | 82.83 |
| | 0.75 | 0.62 | 1.96 | −4.27 | 82.58 | 0.61 | 2.34 | −1.55 | 81.26 |
| | 1.20 | 1.02 | 3.82 | −2.47 | 85.27 | 1.01 | 2.77 | −0.55 | 84.76 |
| GLY | 0.24 | 0.29 | 1.89 | −1.27 | 95.18 | 0.22 | 2.89 | −3.97 | 91.37 |
| | 0.75 | 0.61 | 1.80 | −0.33 | 81.10 | 0.58 | 2.84 | −4.94 | 77.13 |
| | 1.20 | 0.97 | 3.62 | −0.64 | 81.07 | 0.93 | 3.68 | −4.67 | 77.26 |
| DA | 0.24 | 0.23 | 3.41 | −2.22 | 96.09 | 0.23 | 3.79 | 1.55 | 96.57 |
| | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.77 | −0.04 | 89.21 | 0.67 | 0.56 | −0.44 | 88.85 |
| | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 1.13 | 83.22 | 1.02 | 0.45 | 1.82 | 84.72 |
| GT | 0.48 | 0.41 | 1.17 | −4.99 | 85.10 | 0.40 | 1.80 | −2.34 | 83.14 |
| | 1.50 | 1.10 | 0.23 | −4.09 | 73.40 | 1.04 | 0.56 | −5.00 | 69.73 |
| | 2.40 | 1.75 | 2.94 | −3.20 | 73.11 | 1.69 | 2.58 | −3.83 | 70.33 |
| BIO | 0.24 | 0.22 | 2.10 | −0.70 | 90.83 | 0.21 | 1.70 | −2.26 | 88.74 |
| | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.12 | −4.90 | 96.58 | 0.70 | 1.31 | −2.93 | 93.78 |
| | 2.40 | 2.12 | 2.09 | −4.53 | 88.54 | 2.03 | 1.62 | −4.37 | 84.64 |

*a Coefficient of variation of the concentrations measured.*
overall mean recoveries calculated for the flavonoids and isoflavones are shown in Table 2.

Analysis of flavonoids and isoflavones in human urine

As a typical application of the developed gradient reversed-phase UHPLC method, urinary excretion of flavonoids and isoflavones from healthy volunteers was investigated. To further evaluate the applicability of the method, a subset of urine samples from an intervention study that involved patients on a diet high in flavonoid content, and with supplementation of isoflavones was analysed. The pharmaceutical preparations that were taken by the volunteers, contained GT, DA, GLY, and RUT.

Enzymatic hydrolysis was used for preparation of human urine samples containing isoflavones and flavonoids. In this work, the glycosides were hydrolyzed with pure β-glucuronidase and sulfatase (crude preparation from H. pomatia) in acetate buffer (pH 4.66), in order to release the aglycones [7]. The concentrations of analysed compounds increased after hydrolysis, because of conversion of the glycosides to the aglycone forms. Flavonoids and isoflavones are analysed as aglycones because of the high cost of the reference compounds or because some of them are not commercially available.

Flavonoid and isoflavone peak identification was achieved by comparison of retention times and by use of the standard addition method. UV detection was chosen for quantitative analysis because the detector was sufficiently sensitive and accurate for the prominent flavonoids and isoflavones in urine samples. The flavonoid and isoflavone content of the urine samples was determined using calibration curves.

Analysis of the 24-h urinary excretion of GLY, DA, and GT demonstrated that the cumulative amounts gradually increased during the collection period after oral administration of these isoflavones to the subject. The mean urine concentration–time profiles of GLY, DA, and RUT are shown in Fig. 4. The flavonoid and isoflavone concentrations reached a maximum between 5 and 8 h after dosing with a level of 5482.33±10.78 ngmL⁻¹ for GLY, 228.03±5.34 ngmL⁻¹ for DA, and 780.18±10.39 ngmL⁻¹ for RUT. Assuming the mean 24-h urine volume is 1.4 L [17], the approximate average daily output is 1.73 mg, 0.14 mg, and 0.19 mg of GLY, DA and RUT, respectively. The percentage of GLY, DA, and RUT excreted in urine within 24 h after ingestion of the tablets was 30%, 17%, and 1%, respectively, of the administered dose. The corresponding pharmacokinetic data for GLY, DA, and RUT are presented in Table 3.

The urine samples were also tested for (±)-CA, (−)-EC, QUR, and HST, which are components of a diet rich in fruits, vegetables, tea, and wine. The mean concentrations of these flavonoids were: 5427.34 ngmL⁻¹ for (±)-CA, 2724.26 ngmL⁻¹ for (−)-EC, and 73.57 ngmL⁻¹ for QUR.

Fig. 4 Mean urine concentration–time profiles (±S.D) of (a) GLY, (b) DA, and (c) RUT in healthy volunteers after oral administration of pharmaceutical preparations
HST was detected in urine, but not quantified (nq). The relationship between the concentrations of these compounds and the collection times of the urine samples is not shown.

An example chromatogram obtained from a urine sample is presented in Fig. 5. Based on the results, the sensitivity is sufficient to determine the presence of flavonoids and isoflavones in urine without complicated pre-treatment of the samples. Dilution studies revealed that urine samples with analyte concentrations outside the linear range of the calibration curves could be successfully analysed after dilution of the urine sample with organic solvents.

HPLC–ESI-MS–MS was used for confirm the presence of the compounds because of its high selectivity in the detection of flavonoids and isoflavones in complex biological matrices.

**Conclusion**

In the work discussed in this paper, a simple gradient reversed-phase UHPLC method was developed and validated for simultaneous identification and determination of flavonoids and isoflavones in human urine. The UHPLC method was developed for quantification of the flavonoids (±)-catechin, (−)-epicatechin, rutin, hesperidin, neohesperidin, quercitrin, and hesperetin and the isoflavones genistein, daidzein, glycitin, puerarin, and biochanin A. This method enables rapid, accurate, and extensive analysis of flavonoids and isoflavones, utilizing a simple but effective sample-preparation procedure; thus, it enables large-scale epidemiological studies to be conducted. Hydrolysis of urine samples with enzyme resulted in high recoveries of flavonoids and isoflavones. The developed method can be applied to monitoring the concentration of isoflavones and flavonoids in humans without requiring hospitalization, because determination of these compounds in urine does not require invasive sample collection.

Finally, this new UHPLC method also has potential applicability to bioequivalence studies of flavonoids and isoflavones and will be useful for tracing the therapeutic effects of medications for certain diseases.
Analysis of isoflavones and flavonoids in human urine by UHPLC
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