Hand Hygiene Behaviors in a Representative Sample of Polish Adolescents in Regions Stratified by COVID-19 Morbidity and by Confounding Variables (PLACE-19 Study): Is There Any Association?
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Abstract: The hand hygiene may possibly influence the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, but the multifactorial influence on hand hygiene knowledge and behaviors is proven. The aim of the study was to analyze hand hygiene behaviors in a national representative sample of Polish adolescents in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity, while taking socioeconomic status of the region, as well rural or urban environment, into account as possible interfering factors. The study was conducted Polish Adolescents’ COVID-19 Experience (PLACE-19) Study population (n = 2323) that was recruited based on a random sampling of schools, while the pair-matching procedure was applied within schools and age, in order to obtain adequate number of boys and girls, representative for the general Polish population (n = 1222). The participants were asked about their handwashing habits while using Handwashing Habits Questionnaire (HHQ) and about applied procedure of washing hands. The results were compared in subgroups that were stratified by region for COVID-19 morbidity, socioeconomic status of the region, and rural/urban environment. In regions of low COVID-19 morbidity, a higher share of adolescents, than in regions of high morbidity, declared washing their hands before meals (p = 0.0196), after meals (p = 0.0041), after preparing meals (p = 0.0297), before using the restroom (p = 0.0068), after using the restroom (p = 0.0014), after combing their hair (p = 0.0298), after handshaking (p = 0.0373), after touching animals (p = 0.0007), after contacting babies (p = 0.0278), after blowing nose (p = 0.0435), after touching sick people (p = 0.0351), and after cleaning home (p = 0.0234). For the assessed steps of the handwashing procedure, in regions of low COVID-19 morbidity, a higher share of adolescents included them to their daily handwashing, than in regions of high morbidity, that was stated for removing watch and bracelets (p = 0.0052), removing rings (p = 0.0318), and drying hands with towel (p = 0.0031). For the comparison in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product, the differences were only minor and inconsistent. For the comparison in place of residence stratified by number of residents in city, there were some minor differences indicating better hand hygiene behaviors in the case of villages and small towns when compared with medium and large cities (p < 0.05). It may be concluded that, in a population-based sample of Polish adolescents, individuals from regions of low COVID-19 morbidity presented more beneficial hand hygiene habits than those from regions of high COVID-19 morbidity.
1. Introduction

In December 2019, a novel SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, which caused the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [1], occurred in Hubei Province, China [2]. The virus soon affected other countries, so, taking into consideration a significant increase in number of cases, the virus has been declared by World Health Organization (WHO) a public health emergency of international concern [3] and a pandemic [4]. A range of various preventive measures were implemented, such as lockdown, home isolation, quarantine, and social distancing, because it was stated that the virus is transmitted from human-to-human by droplets through coughing and sneezing [5–7]. The effectiveness of such approach was confirmed by a meta-analysis by Chu et al. [8], meta-analysis by Liang et al. [9], and other analysis [10–12]. Moreover, the importance of applying proper hand hygiene behaviors was highlighted, as human’s hands pose a critical vector for the transmission of pathogens [13,14], so a proper hygiene [15], including frequent hand washing with water and soap, or using hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol were recommended [7].

It is pointed out that high-risk groups, which are especially susceptible to the virus infection, are mainly elderly people and immunocompromised ones [16], while children and adolescents are less commonly diagnosed as infected [17] and they tend to have mild COVID-19 course when comparing to adults [18]. However, some authors indicated that within groups especially vulnerable to the virus infection are also adolescents of poor hand hygiene behaviors [19]. At the same time, adolescents may play an important role in the virus spreading, as, in the Korean study conducted by Park et al. [20], it was shown that they were most likely group to spread the virus in their households.

Despite recommending frequent hand washing by all major authorities that are responsible for international public health, such as WHO [21] or Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [22], among children and adolescents there is still an inadequate level of knowledge concerning proper hand washing procedures. In the study of Chen et al. [23] that was conducted in a group of primary school students from Wuhan, China during the COVID-19 pandemic, it was revealed that only 42% of them displayed correct hand-washing behaviors.

It is known that the adherence to the hygiene behaviors is influenced by a number of factors, including age [24–26], gender [27–29], socioeconomic status [30–32], and educational level [25]. It is commonly indicated that age may be associated with hand hygiene, but the results depend on the population group, as, depending on the study, a young [25], middle aged respondents [26], or older ones [24] are characterized by the best hand hygiene knowledge and behaviors. At the same time, a majority of studies indicate better hand hygiene in females than in male respondents [27–29]. Moreover, poor hand washing practices are indicated as being associated with lower socioeconomic status [30–32] and lower level of education [25]. Among the other determinants influencing hand washing behaviors, there may be a place of residence, as in some studies, it was found that respondents living in the urban areas were characterized by significantly better hand washing behaviors than those living in the rural areas [33,34].

For the COVID-19 issue, there may also be an association with hand hygiene, as the meta-analysis by Aiello et al. [35] indicated that an improvement in hand hygiene results in a reduction in respiratory illness of 21%. However, the phase of pandemic may also influence the association, as, in the study by Moore et al. [36], conducted in hospitals, hand hygiene first increased as the pandemic began and then decreased as it progressed.

Taking into account the multifactorial influence on hand hygiene and possible influence on the course of COVID-19 pandemic, it may be hypothesized that hand hygiene behaviors are associated
with the place of residence and the COVID-19 morbidity in the region, while the other characteristics of the place of residence, including socioeconomic status of the region, and rural or urban environment, may interfere. An understanding of the hand washing adherence, depending on the place of residence, may enable to create effective educational campaign addressed to the certain population group, including especially children and adolescents. Considering it, the aim of the presented study was to analyze hand hygiene behaviors in a national representative sample of Polish adolescents in regions that are stratified by COVID-19 morbidity, socioeconomic status of the region, and rural or urban environment within the Polish Adolescents’ COVID-19 Experience (PLACE-19) Study population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population

The study group was randomly chosen within the first phase of PLACE-19 study in a national sample of adolescents from all regions of Poland. The first phase focused on the hand hygiene and personal protective behaviors (conducted in April 2020) [37,38], while the second phase focused on eating behaviors and dietary habits (conducted in May 2020) [39]. For the first phase, the respondents were recruited while using a two-stage stratified sampling procedure and random quota sampling with quotas for regions of Poland was applied:

- first stage (conducted for all 16 voivodeships, which are basic administrative units in Poland and are further divided into counties, in the period of 31 March–14 April 2020)—random selection of five counties out of each of the voivodeship and five secondary schools out of each of the county, resulting in random selection of 400 secondary schools from Poland;
- second stage (conducted for 10 voivodeships, in which after the first stage a total number of obtained answers was insufficient, in the period of 15 April–29 April 2020)—random selection of five counties out of each of the voivodeship and five secondary schools out of each of the county, resulting in random selection of 250 secondary schools from Poland.

The total number of the COVID-19 cases in Poland, by the end of April 2020, was 12,640, based on the statistics by WHO [40]. Table 1 presents the weekly data for the cumulative number of the COVID-19 cases in the voivodeships in Poland in April 2020 [41], based on the Polish statistics.

| Voivodeship                  | 1st April | 8th April | 15th April | 22nd April | 29th April |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Voivodeships of low COVID-19 morbidity** |           |           |            |            |            |
| Podlaskie                   | 44        | 149       | 259        | 333        | 367        |
| Lubusz                      | 47        | 66        | 79         | 84         | 87         |
| Holy Cross                  | 55        | 127       | 170        | 242        | 266        |
| Pomeranian                  | 56        | 143       | 179        | 227        | 422        |
| Warmian-Masurian            | 59        | 92        | 125        | 145        | 146        |
| Opole                       | 73        | 116       | 219        | 316        | 386        |
| Kuyavian-Pomeranian         | 76        | 277       | 359        | 452        | 537        |
| West Pomeranian             | 76        | 165       | 217        | 284        | 380        |
| Subcarpathian               | 100       | 182       | 243        | 271        | 328        |
| Lublin                      | 132       | 199       | 270        | 332        | 359        |
| Greater Poland              | 158       | 394       | 785        | 1097       | 1399       |
| Lesser Poland               | 209       | 398       | 561        | 726        | 863        |
| Łódź                        | 221       | 400       | 572        | 750        | 904        |
| Silesian                    | 272       | 644       | 988        | 1580       | 2080       |
| Lower Silesian              | 298       | 539       | 561        | 1136       | 1500       |
| Masovian                    | 544       | 1314      | 1792       | 2059       | 2391       |
| **Total for Poland**        | 2420      | 5205      | 7582       | 10,034     | 12,415     |

The headmaster of each randomly chosen school received an invitation to participate in the study, as well as information regarding the aim and the scope of the study. If she/he agreed to participate, must have provided written informed
consent from themselves and their parents to take part in the study. As soon as informed consents were gathered, the headmaster forwarded a link to the online questionnaire that was dedicated for the students. Students filled out the questionnaire in any time and place convenient for them up to two weeks after receiving a link. Because some of the headmasters did not give information regarding whether they are willing to participate in the study, a reminder was sent to them after one week of the first, as well as of the second stage of the study.

The inclusion criteria were, as follows: adolescents aged 15–20, attending randomly selected secondary schools. The exclusion criteria included any unreliable answers or missing data.

On the basis of the described procedure, 2323 secondary school students were recruited and participated in the study. The obtained sample was stated to be population-based because the procedure involved the recruitment of the adolescents from all the regions of Poland, while the Net Enrollment Rate (NER) for this age group is calculated as 89.38%, according to the data of Polish Central Statistical Office (CSO) [42].

After recruiting individuals, they were included to the analysis based on a randomized pair-matching procedure that was applied within schools and age, to obtain adequate number of boys and girls, representative for the general Polish population (n = 1222). Figure 1 presents the detailed procedure of sampling, recruitment, and inclusion.

Figure 1. The procedure of sampling, recruitment and inclusion for the national-based sample of Polish adolescents within PLACE-19 study; * data based on Central Statistical Office (CSO); ** calculation based on CSO data; *** calculated based on Central Statistical Office (CSO) data.
2.2. Applied Questionnaire

The study was carried out in the period of remote learning, which was introduced due to the decision of Polish Ministry of National Education [43]. The questionnaire that was used consisted of two sections. First section included questions regarding the general characteristics of respondents, which enabled the verification of inclusion criteria. The respondents were asked about their sex (closed-end question), age (open-ended question), and attended school (open-ended question), as only adolescents aged 15–20 and attending randomly chosen school were allowed to participate in the study. Second part concerned questions regarding hand hygiene behaviors. An electronic questionnaire was used to obtain the data. There was no possibility to identify none of the respondents, as all data that were gathered were anonymous.

The respondents were asked about their current hand hygiene behaviors, being typical for the period of the COVID-19 pandemic. The questions focused on the circumstances of washing hands and the handwashing procedure. The circumstances of washing hands were evaluated on the basis of the commonly used Handwashing Habits Questionnaire (HHQ) [44–46], which was developed by Tüzün et al. [47], on the basis of the previous questionnaire developed by Üner et al. [48]. The circumstances of washing hands were distinguished and, for each of them, the respondents were asked to clarify whether they wash their hands in specific situations, with categories, as follows: always, sometimes, never. For some situations there was an additional answer indicating that this step is not applicable for the respondents. The circumstances of washing hands were listed, as follows: before and after meals, before and after preparing meals (both with an additional answer “not applicable”), before and after using the restroom, before going to bed and after waking up in the morning, after combing their hair (with an additional answer “not applicable”), after coming back home, after using public transportation, after money exchange, when hands are visibly soiled, after handshaking, after touching animals (with an additional answer “not applicable”), after handling animal waste and animal food (both with an additional answer “not applicable”), after contacting babies and changing diapers (both with an additional answer “not applicable”), after blowing nose, after sneezing, after coughing, before and after touching sick people, after touching garbage (with an additional answer “not applicable”), after cleaning their home (with an additional answer “not applicable”), after washing dishes (with an additional answer “not applicable”), and after doing laundry (with an additional answer “not applicable”). The circumstances of washing hands were consistent with the general recommendations provided by the WHO [49,50], CDC [22,51,52], and UNICEF [53].

Specific steps were distinguished within the handwashing procedure and, for each of them, the respondents were asked to clarify whether they follow this step or not (close-ended question), with categories, as follows: always, sometimes, never. For some steps, there was an additional answer indicating that this step is not applicable for the respondents. The steps of handwashing procedure were listed, as follows: folding sleeves (with an additional answer “not applicable”), removing watch and bracelets, as well as rings (both with an additional answer “not applicable”), using soap and warm water, soaking hands before using soap (with an additional answer “not applicable”, as they do not use soap), careful making soap lather on whole hands (with an additional answer “not applicable”, as they do not use soap), turning the faucet off with hand (being the only reverse question, as the faucet should not be turned off with bare hand), and drying hands with towel. The handwashing procedure was formed on the basis of recommendations provided by WHO [49,50], CDC [22,51,52], and UNICEF [53].

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The study participants were compared between sub-groups stratified by region, based on the following traits:

- COVID-19 morbidity in the region, assessed based on the number of the COVID-19 cases in the voivodeships in Poland in April 2020 [41]—the voivodeships were divided into groups of low COVID-19 morbidity (10 voivodeships attributed to less than 30% of total number of COVID-19
cases in Poland) and high COVID-19 morbidity (six voivodeships attributed to more than 70% of total number of COVID-19 cases in Poland). The cumulative number of COVID-19 cases in each voivodeship classified as low COVID-19 morbidity was, in April 2020, lower than 150, 500, and 750 for the beginning, the middle, and end of the month, respectively [41];

- status of the region, assessed based on the gross domestic product (GDP) in the voivodeship, while the data by the Eurostat were used [54]—the voivodeships were divided into groups of low GDP (13 voivodeships) and high GDP (3 voivodeships). The low GDP was interpreted as 24–73% in purchasing power standard and high GDP was interpreted as 73–624% in purchasing power standard [54];

- environment (rural/urban), assessed based on the size of the town, as classified into groups of villages and small towns, medium cities, big cities—assessed based on the data of Polish Central Statistical Office [55]. The villages and small cities were defined as ones having < 20,000 inhabitants, medium cities—having 20,000–100,000 inhabitants, and big cities—having > 100,000 inhabitants [55].

The statistical analysis was based on the comparison of the share of groups, which was conducted using the chi² test. The level of $p \leq 0.05$ was interpreted as a significant difference between the compared groups. The statistical analysis was conducted while using Statgraphics Plus for Windows 5.1 (Statgraphics Technologies Inc., The Plains, VA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in a National Representative Sample of Polish Adolescents in Regions Stratified by COVID-19 Morbidity

Table 2 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity. For the majority of assessed circumstances that are associated with meals, including situations before meals ($p = 0.0196$), after meals ($p = 0.0041$), and after preparing meals ($p = 0.0297$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity. For the majority of assessed circumstances that are associated with personal hygiene, including situations before using the restroom ($p = 0.0068$), after using the restroom ($p = 0.0014$), and after combing their hair ($p = 0.0298$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among the adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity.

Table 2. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity (comparison conducted while using chi² test).

| Characteristics | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | $p$ |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| **Before meals** |                                  |                                  |     |
| Always          | 445 (72.2%)                      | 394 (65.0%)                      | 0.0196 |
| Sometimes       | 156 (25.3%)                      | 189 (31.2%)                      |     |
| Never           | 15 (2.4%)                        | 23 (3.8%)                        |     |
| **After meals** |                                  |                                  | 0.0041 |
| Always          | 185 (30.0%)                      | 164 (27.1%)                      |     |
| Sometimes       | 358 (58.1%)                      | 329 (54.3%)                      |     |
| Never           | 73 (11.9%)                       | 113 (18.6%)                      |     |
| **Before preparing meals** |            |                                  | 0.7453 |
| Always          | 519 (84.3%)                      | 495 (81.7%)                      |     |
| Sometimes       | 48 (7.8%)                        | 51 (8.4%)                        |     |
| Never           | 6 (1.0%)                         | 4 (0.7%)                         |     |
| Not applicable * | 43 (7.0%)                        | 56 (9.2%)                        |     |
Table 2. Cont.

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | p     |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| After preparing meals            |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 432 (70.1%)                      | 374 (61.7%)                      | 0.0297|
| Sometimes                        | 126 (20.5%)                      | 155 (25.6%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 17 (2.8%)                        | 21 (3.5%)                        |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 41 (6.7%)                        | 56 (9.2%)                        |       |
| Before using the restroom        |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 107 (17.4%)                      | 68 (11.2%)                       | 0.0068|
| Sometimes                        | 219 (35.6%)                      | 244 (40.3%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 290 (47.1%)                      | 294 (48.5%)                      |       |
| After using the restroom         |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 593 (96.3%)                      | 571 (94.2%)                      | 0.0014|
| Sometimes                        | 15 (2.4%)                        | 34 (5.6%)                        |       |
| Never                            | 8 (1.3%)                         | 1 (0.2%)                         |       |
| Before going to bed              |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 265 (43.0%)                      | 256 (42.2%)                      | 0.7722|
| Sometimes                        | 208 (33.8%)                      | 216 (35.6%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 143 (23.2%)                      | 134 (22.1%)                      |       |
| After waking up in the morning   |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 272 (44.2%)                      | 265 (43.7%)                      | 0.2235|
| Sometimes                        | 212 (34.4%)                      | 188 (31.0%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 132 (21.4%)                      | 153 (25.2%)                      |       |
| After combing their hair         |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 158 (25.6%)                      | 115 (19.0%)                      | 0.0298|
| Sometimes                        | 196 (31.8%)                      | 203 (33.5%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 205 (33.3%)                      | 220 (36.3%)                      |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 57 (9.3%)                        | 68 (11.2%)                       |       |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 3 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity. For some of assessed circumstances that are associated with socializing, including handshaking ($p = 0.0373$), after touching animals ($p = 0.0007$), and after contacting babies ($p = 0.0278$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity.

Table 3. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity (comparison conducted while using the chi$^2$ test).

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | p     |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| After coming back home           |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 536 (87.0%)                      | 538 (88.8%)                      | 0.5363|
| Sometimes                        | 75 (12.2%)                       | 62 (10.2%)                       |       |
| Never                            | 5 (0.8%)                         | 6 (1.0%)                         |       |
| After using public transportation|                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 473 (76.8%)                      | 470 (77.6%)                      | 0.8816|
| Sometimes                        | 107 (17.4%)                      | 99 (16.3%)                       |       |
| Never                            | 36 (5.8%)                        | 37 (6.1%)                        |       |
| After money exchange             |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 334 (54.2%)                      | 312 (51.5%)                      | 0.5120|
| Sometimes                        | 171 (27.8%)                      | 186 (30.7%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 111 (18.0%)                      | 108 (17.8%)                      |       |
| When hands are visibly soiled   |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 528 (85.7%)                      | 487 (80.4%)                      | 0.1236|
| Sometimes                        | 66 (10.7%)                       | 84 (13.9%)                       |       |
| Never                            | 22 (3.6%)                        | 35 (5.8%)                        |       |
| After handshaking                |                                  |                                  |       |
| Always                           | 297 (48.2%)                      | 248 (40.9%)                      | 0.0373|
| Sometimes                        | 228 (37.0%)                      | 255 (42.1%)                      |       |
| Never                            | 91 (14.8%)                       | 103 (17.0%)                      |       |
Table 3. Cont.

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | p   |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| After touching animals           |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 317 (51.5%)                      | 255 (41.7%)                       | 0.0007 |
| Sometimes                        | 160 (26%)                        | 181 (29.9%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 27 (4.4%)                        | 49 (8.1%)                         |     |
| Not applicable *                 | 112 (18.2%)                      | 123 (20.3%)                       |     |
| After handling animal waste      |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 432 (70.1%)                      | 416 (68.6%)                       | 0.8981 |
| Sometimes                        | 39 (6.3%)                        | 39 (6.4%)                         |     |
| Never                            | 17 (2.8%)                        | 14 (2.3%)                         |     |
| Not applicable *                 | 128 (20.8%)                      | 137 (22.6%)                       |     |
| After handling animal food       |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 336 (54.5%)                      | 305 (50.3%)                       | 0.3763 |
| Sometimes                        | 119 (19.3%)                      | 129 (21.3%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 37 (6.0%)                        | 42 (6.9%)                         |     |
| Not applicable *                 | 124 (20.1%)                      | 130 (21.5%)                       |     |
| After contacting babies          |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 113 (18.3%)                      | 72 (11.9%)                        | 0.0278 |
| Sometimes                        | 101 (16.4%)                      | 98 (16.2%)                        |     |
| Never                            | 38 (6.2%)                        | 46 (7.6%)                         |     |
| Not applicable *                 | 364 (59.1%)                      | 390 (64.4%)                       |     |
| After changing diapers           |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 160 (26.0%)                      | 101 (16.7%)                       | 0.2487 |
| Sometimes                        | 21 (3.4%)                        | 15 (2.5%)                         |     |
| Never                            | 9 (1.5%)                         | 12 (2.0%)                         |     |
| Not applicable *                 | 426 (69.2%)                      | 478 (78.9%)                       |     |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 4 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity. For some of assessed circumstances that are associated with health, including after blowing nose ($p = 0.0435$), and after touching sick people ($p = 0.0351$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity. For some of assessed circumstances that are associated with household chores, including after cleaning home ($p = 0.0234$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity.

Table 4. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity (comparison conducted while using chi² test).

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | p   |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| After blowing nose               |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 250 (40.6%)                      | 204 (33.7%)                       | 0.0435 |
| Sometimes                        | 260 (42.2%)                      | 285 (47.0%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 106 (17.2%)                      | 117 (19.3%)                       |     |
| After sneezing                   |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 280 (45.5%)                      | 245 (40.4%)                       | 0.1080 |
| Sometimes                        | 253 (41.1%)                      | 258 (42.6%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 83 (13.5%)                       | 103 (17.0%)                       |     |
| After coughing                   |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 257 (41.7%)                      | 222 (36.6%)                       | 0.1831 |
| Sometimes                        | 253 (41.1%)                      | 267 (44.1%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 106 (17.2%)                      | 117 (19.3%)                       |     |
| Before touching sick people      |                                  |                                   |     |
| Always                           | 271 (44.0%)                      | 255 (42.1%)                       | 0.1074 |
| Sometimes                        | 202 (32.8%)                      | 179 (29.5%)                       |     |
| Never                            | 143 (23.2%)                      | 172 (28.4%)                       |     |
Table 4. Cont.

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | \(p\)  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| After touching sick people       |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 528 (85.7%)                     | 487 (80.4%)                     | 0.0351 |
| Sometimes                        | 66 (10.7%)                      | 84 (13.9%)                      |        |
| Never                            | 22 (3.6%)                       | 35 (5.8%)                       |        |
| After touching garbage           |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 474 (76.9%)                     | 447 (73.8%)                     | 0.8142 |
| Sometimes                        | 81 (13.1%)                      | 80 (13.2%)                      |        |
| Never                            | 17 (2.8%)                       | 13 (2.1%)                       |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 44 (7.1%)                       | 66 (10.9%)                      |        |
| After cleaning their home        |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 430 (69.8%)                     | 387 (63.9%)                     | 0.0234 |
| Sometimes                        | 148 (24.0%)                     | 181 (29.9%)                     |        |
| Never                            | 27 (4.4%)                       | 17 (2.8%)                       |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 11 (1.8%)                       | 21 (3.5%)                       |        |
| After washing dishes             |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 392 (63.6%)                     | 356 (58.7%)                     | 0.0741 |
| Sometimes                        | 91 (14.8%)                      | 112 (18.5%)                     |        |
| Never                            | 49 (8.0%)                       | 61 (10.1%)                      |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 84 (13.6%)                      | 77 (12.7%)                      |        |
| After doing laundry              |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 182 (29.5%)                     | 160 (26.4%)                     | 0.1720 |
| Sometimes                        | 176 (28.6%)                     | 150 (24.8%)                     |        |
| Never                            | 100 (16.2%)                     | 116 (19.1%)                     |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 158 (25.6%)                     | 180 (29.7%)                     |        |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 5 presents the declared handwashing procedure in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity. For some of assessed steps of procedure, including removing watch and bracelets \(p = 0.0052\), removing rings before or during handwashing \(p = 0.0318\), and drying hands with towel \(p = 0.0031\), the share of respondents declaring including them always for washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high COVID-19 morbidity.

Table 5. The declared handwashing procedure in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity (comparison conducted while using \( \chi^2 \) test).

| Characteristics                  | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | \(p\)  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Folding sleeves                  |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 332 (53.9%)                     | 289 (47.7%)                     | 0.0667 |
| Sometimes                        | 116 (18.8%)                     | 133 (21.9%)                     |        |
| Never                            | 21 (3.4%)                       | 30 (5.0%)                       |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 147 (23.9%)                     | 154 (25.4%)                     |        |
| Removing watch and bracelets     |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 92 (14.9%)                      | 68 (11.2%)                      | 0.0052 |
| Sometimes                        | 123 (20.0%)                     | 93 (15.3%)                      |        |
| Never                            | 45 (7.3%)                       | 68 (11.2%)                      |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 356 (57.8%)                     | 377 (62.2%)                     |        |
| Removing rings before or during handwashing |                   |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 68 (11.0%)                      | 54 (8.9%)                       | 0.0318 |
| Sometimes                        | 48 (7.8%)                       | 33 (5.4%)                       |        |
| Never                            | 40 (6.5%)                       | 57 (9.4%)                       |        |
| Not applicable *                 | 460 (74.7%)                     | 462 (76.2%)                     |        |
| Using soap                       |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 580 (94.2%)                     | 566 (93.4%)                     | 0.7258 |
| Sometimes                        | 33 (5.4%)                       | 38 (6.3%)                       |        |
| Never                            | 3 (0.5%)                        | 2 (0.3%)                        |        |
| Using warm water                 |                                 |                                  |        |
| Always                           | 381 (61.9%)                     | 383 (63.2%)                     | 0.6845 |
| Sometimes                        | 222 (36.0%)                     | 207 (34.2%)                     |        |
| Never                            | 13 (2.1%)                       | 16 (2.6%)                       |        |
### Table 5. Cont.

| Characteristics | Low COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | High COVID-19 Morbidity in Region | \( p \) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Soaking hands before using soap | | | |
| Always | 441 (71.6%) | 438 (72.3%) | |
| Sometimes | 102 (16.6%) | 110 (18.2%) | |
| Never | 72 (11.7%) | 57 (9.4%) | |
| Not applicable * | 1 (0.2%) | 1 (0.2%) | 0.3727 |
| Careful making soap lather on whole hands | | | |
| Always | 376 (61.0%) | 360 (59.4%) | |
| Sometimes | 214 (34.7%) | 216 (35.6%) | |
| Never | 26 (4.3%) | 29 (4.8%) | |
| Not applicable * | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.2%) | 0.8098 |
| Turning the faucet off with hand | | | |
| Always | 314 (51.0%) | 302 (49.8%) | |
| Sometimes | 170 (27.6%) | 162 (26.7%) | |
| Never | 132 (21.4%) | 142 (23.4%) | |
| Not applicable * | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.2%) | 0.7012 |
| Drying hands with towel | | | |
| Always | 523 (84.9%) | 466 (76.9%) | |
| Sometimes | 77 (12.5%) | 122 (20.1%) | |
| Never | 16 (2.6%) | 18 (2.9%) | |
| * not included to statistical analysis. |

### Table 6. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

3.2. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in a National Representative Sample of Polish Adolescents in Regions Stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

Table 6 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP). There were no differences observed for the assessed circumstances associated with meals among adolescents from the voivodeships with high and low GDP. For washing hands before using the restroom \( (p = 0.0355) \), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with high GDP, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with low GDP.

| Characteristics | Low GDP in Region | High GDP in Region | \( p \) |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Before meals | | | |
| Always | 650 (67.9%) | 189 (71.3%) | |
| Sometimes | 273 (28.5%) | 72 (27.2%) | |
| Never | 34 (3.6%) | 4 (1.5%) | 0.1949 |
| After meals | | | |
| Always | 264 (27.6%) | 85 (32.1%) | |
| Sometimes | 541 (56.5%) | 146 (55.1%) | |
| Never | 152 (15.9%) | 34 (12.8%) | |
| Not applicable * | 69 (7.2%) | 30 (11.3%) | 0.2452 |
| Before preparing meals | | | |
| Always | 802 (83.8%) | 212 (80.0%) | |
| Sometimes | 78 (8.2%) | 21 (7.9%) | |
| Never | 8 (0.8%) | 2 (0.8%) | |
| Not applicable * | 69 (7.2%) | 30 (11.3%) | 0.1935 |
| After preparing meals | | | |
| Always | 642 (67.1%) | 164 (61.9%) | |
| Sometimes | 216 (22.6%) | 65 (24.5%) | |
| Never | 32 (3.3%) | 6 (2.3%) | |
| Not applicable * | 67 (7.0%) | 30 (11.3%) | 0.4502 |
| Before using the restroom | | | |
| Always | 136 (14.2%) | 39 (14.7%) | |
| Sometimes | 346 (36.1%) | 117 (44.2%) | |
| Never | 479 (48.2%) | 109 (41.1%) | |
| After using the restroom | | | |
| Always | 910 (95.1%) | 254 (95.8%) | |
| Sometimes | 38 (4.0%) | 11 (4.2%) | |
| Never | 9 (0.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | |
| Before going to bed | | | |
| Always | 408 (42.6%) | 113 (42.6%) | |
| Sometimes | 327 (34.2%) | 97 (36.6%) | |
| Never | 222 (23.2%) | 55 (20.8%) | 0.6373 |

* not included to statistical analysis.
Table 6. Cont.

| Characteristics                      | Low GDP in Region | High GDP in Region | p    |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| After waking up in the morning       |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 406 (42.4%)       | 131 (49.4%)        | 0.1249|
| Sometimes                            | 321 (33.6%)       | 79 (29.8%)         |      |
| Never                                | 230 (24.0%)       | 55 (20.8%)         |      |
| After combing their hair             |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 208 (21.8%)       | 65 (24.5%)         | 0.4800|
| Sometimes                            | 311 (32.5%)       | 88 (33.2%)         |      |
| Never                                | 340 (35.5%)       | 85 (32.1%)         |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 98 (10.2%)        | 27 (10.2%)         |      |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 7 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP). For situation when hands are visibly soiled (p = 0.0314), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low GDP, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high GDP. There were no differences observed in the assessed circumstances associated with leaving home among adolescents from the voivodeships with low and high GDP.

Table 7. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (comparison conducted while using chi² test).

| Characteristics                      | Low GDP in Region | High GDP in Region | p    |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| After coming home                    |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 837 (87.5%)       | 237 (89.5%)        | 0.5361|
| Sometimes                            | 112 (11.7%)       | 25 (9.4%)          |      |
| Never                                | 8 (0.8%)          | 3 (1.1%)           |      |
| After using public transportation    |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 737 (77.0%)       | 206 (77.7%)        | 0.6774|
| Sometimes                            | 165 (17.2%)       | 41 (15.5%)         |      |
| Never                                | 55 (5.8%)         | 18 (6.8%)          |      |
| After money exchange                 |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 521 (54.5%)       | 125 (47.2%)        | 0.1092|
| Sometimes                            | 271 (28.3%)       | 86 (32.4%)         |      |
| Never                                | 165 (17.2%)       | 54 (20.4%)         |      |
| When hands are visibly soiled        |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 931 (97.3%)       | 249 (94.0%)        | 0.0314|
| Sometimes                            | 19 (2.0%)         | 12 (4.5%)          |      |
| Never                                | 7 (0.7%)          | 4 (1.5%)           |      |
| After handshaking                    |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 426 (44.5%)       | 119 (44.9%)        | 0.9656|
| Sometimes                            | 380 (39.7%)       | 103 (38.9%)        |      |
| Never                                | 151 (15.8%)       | 43 (16.2%)         |      |
| After touching animals               |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 452 (47.3%)       | 118 (44.5%)        | 0.1397|
| Sometimes                            | 264 (27.6%)       | 77 (29.1%)         |      |
| Never                                | 52 (5.4%)         | 24 (9.1%)          |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 189 (19.7%)       | 46 (17.3%)         |      |
| After handling animal waste          |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 660 (69.0%)       | 188 (70.9%)        | 0.9319|
| Sometimes                            | 61 (6.4%)         | 17 (6.4%)          |      |
| Never                                | 25 (2.6%)         | 6 (2.3%)           |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 211 (22.0%)       | 54 (20.4%)         |      |
| After handling animal food           |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 510 (53.3%)       | 131 (49.4%)        | 0.1299|
| Sometimes                            | 181 (18.9%)       | 67 (25.3%)         |      |
| Never                                | 181 (18.6%)       | 49 (18.5%)         |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 205 (21.4%)       |                    |      |
| After contacting babies              |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 155 (16.2%)       | 30 (11.3%)         | 0.2844|
| Sometimes                            | 154 (16.1%)       | 45 (17.0%)         |      |
| Never                                | 68 (7.1%)         | 16 (6.0%)          |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 580 (60.6%)       | 174 (65.7%)        |      |
| After changing diapers               |                   |                    |      |
| Always                               | 209 (21.8%)       | 52 (19.6%)         | 0.2475|
| Sometimes                            | 32 (3.3%)         | 4 (1.5%)           |      |
| Never                                | 19 (2.0%)         | 2 (0.8%)           |      |
| Not applicable *                     | 697 (72.9%)       | 207 (78.1%)        |      |

* not included to statistical analysis.
Table 8 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity. For situation after touching sick people ($p = 0.0106$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low GDP, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high GDP. For situation after cleaning their home ($p = 0.0485$), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from the voivodeships with low GDP, when comparing to adolescents from the voivodeships with high GDP.

Supplementary Table S1 presents the declared handwashing procedure in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP). There were no differences observed in the declared handwashing procedure among adolescents from the voivodeships with low and high GDP.

Table 8. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (comparison conducted while using chi$^2$ test).

| Characteristics                   | Low GDP in Region | High GDP in Region | $p$  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| After blowing nose                |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 346 (36.1%)       | 108 (40.8%)        | 0.3505|
| Sometimes                         | 436 (45.6%)       | 109 (41.1%)        |      |
| Never                             | 175 (18.3%)       | 48 (18.1%)         |      |
| After sneezing                    |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 405 (42.3%)       | 120 (45.3%)        | 0.1555|
| Sometimes                         | 413 (43.2%)       | 98 (37.0%)         |      |
| Never                             | 139 (14.5%)       | 47 (17.7%)         |      |
| After coughing                    |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 370 (38.7%)       | 109 (41.1%)        | 0.7012|
| Sometimes                         | 413 (43.1%)       | 107 (40.4%)        |      |
| Never                             | 174 (18.2%)       | 49 (18.5%)         |      |
| Before touching sick people       |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 416 (43.5%)       | 110 (41.5%)        | 0.6335|
| Sometimes                         | 292 (30.5%)       | 89 (33.6%)         |      |
| Never                             | 249 (26.0%)       | 66 (24.9%)         |      |
| After touching sick people        |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 811 (84.7%)       | 204 (77.0%)        | 0.0106|
| Sometimes                         | 107 (11.2%)       | 43 (16.2%)         |      |
| Never                             | 39 (4.1%)         | 18 (6.8%)          |      |
| After touching garbage            |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 719 (75.1%)       | 202 (76.3%)        | 0.8704|
| Sometimes                         | 130 (13.6%)       | 31 (11.7%)         |      |
| Never                             | 23 (2.4%)         | 7 (2.6%)           |      |
| Not applicable *                  | 85 (8.9%)         | 25 (9.4%)          |      |
| After cleaning their home         |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 656 (68.5%)       | 161 (60.8%)        | 0.0485|
| Sometimes                         | 243 (25.4%)       | 86 (32.4%)         |      |
| Never                             | 36 (3.8%)         | 8 (3.0%)           |      |
| Not applicable *                  | 22 (2.3%)         | 10 (3.8%)          |      |
| After washing dishes              |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 591 (61.8%)       | 157 (59.2%)        | 0.8068|
| Sometimes                         | 157 (16.4%)       | 46 (17.4%)         |      |
| Never                             | 87 (9.1%)         | 23 (8.7%)          |      |
| Not applicable *                  | 122 (12.7%)       | 39 (14.7%)         |      |
| After doing laundry               |                   |                    |      |
| Always                            | 267 (27.9%)       | 75 (28.3%)         | 0.8065|
| Sometimes                         | 260 (27.2%)       | 66 (24.9%)         |      |
| Never                             | 173 (18.1%)       | 43 (16.2%)         |      |
| Not applicable *                  | 257 (26.8%)       | 81 (30.6%)         |      |

* not included to statistical analysis.

3.3. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in A National Representative Sample of Polish Adolescents in Regions Stratified by the Size of the Town

Table 9 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town. For some of the assessed
circumstances that are associated with meals, including situations before meals \( (p = 0.0027) \), after meals \( (p = 0.0020) \), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from villages and small towns, when comparing to adolescents from medium and big cities. For the majority of assessed circumstances that are associated with personal hygiene, including situations before using the restroom \( (p = 0.0000) \), after waking up in the morning \( (p = 0.0430) \), and after combing their hair \( (p = 0.0000) \), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from villages and small towns, when comparing to adolescents from medium and big cities.

Table 9. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with meals and personal hygiene, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town (comparison conducted while using the chi\(^2\) test).

| Characteristics          | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | \( p \)  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| Before meals             |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 172 (78.9%)              | 393 (68%)     | 274 (64.3%)| 0.0027  |
| Sometimes                | 44 (20.2%)               | 167 (28.9%)   | 134 (31.5%)|         |
| Never                    | 2 (0.9%)                 | 18 (3.1%)     | 18 (4.2%)  |         |
| After meals              |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 78 (35.8%)               | 169 (29.2%)   | 102 (23.9%)| 0.0020  |
| Sometimes                | 122 (56.0%)              | 318 (55.0%)   | 247 (58.0%)|         |
| Never                    | 18 (8.3%)                | 91 (15.7%)    | 77 (18.1%) |         |
| Before preparing meals   |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 189 (86.7%)              | 476 (82.4%)   | 349 (81.9%)| 0.7148  |
| Sometimes                | 14 (6.4%)                | 46 (8%)       | 39 (9.2%)  |         |
| Never                    | 1 (0.5%)                 | 5 (0.9%)      | 4 (0.9%)   |         |
| Not applicable *         | 14 (6.4%)                | 51 (8.8%)     | 34 (8.0%)  |         |
| After preparing meals    |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 160 (73.4%)              | 366 (63.3%)   | 280 (65.7%)| 0.0524  |
| Sometimes                | 43 (19.7%)               | 144 (24.9%)   | 94 (22.1%) |         |
| Never                    | 2 (0.9%)                 | 18 (3.1%)     | 18 (4.2%)  |         |
| Not applicable *         | 13 (6.0%)                | 50 (8.7%)     | 34 (8.0%)  |         |
| Before using the restroom|                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 55 (25.2%)               | 74 (12.8%)    | 46 (10.8%) | 0.0000  |
| Sometimes                | 79 (36.2%)               | 232 (40.1%)   | 152 (35.7%)|         |
| Never                    | 84 (38.5%)               | 272 (47.1%)   | 228 (53.5%)|         |
| After using the restroom |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 210 (96.3%)              | 542 (93.8%)   | 412 (96.7%)| 0.2063  |
| Sometimes                | 6 (2.8%)                 | 31 (5.4%)     | 12 (2.8%)  |         |
| Never                    | 2 (0.9%)                 | 5 (0.9%)      | 2 (0.5%)   |         |
| Before going to bed      |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 101 (46.3%)              | 234 (40.5%)   | 186 (43.7%)| 0.1478  |
| Sometimes                | 80 (36.7%)               | 208 (36.0%)   | 136 (31.9%)|         |
| Never                    | 37 (17.0%)               | 136 (23.5%)   | 104 (24.4%)|         |
| After waking up in the morning |                |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 111 (50.9%)              | 245 (42.4%)   | 181 (42.5%)| 0.0430  |
| Sometimes                | 73 (33.5%)               | 190 (32.9%)   | 137 (32.2%)|         |
| Never                    | 34 (15.6%)               | 143 (24.7%)   | 108 (25.4%)|         |
| After combing their hair |                          |               |            |         |
| Always                   | 79 (36.2%)               | 127 (22%)     | 67 (15.7%) | 0.0000  |
| Sometimes                | 60 (27.5%)               | 212 (36.7%)   | 127 (29.8%)|         |
| Never                    | 61 (28%)                 | 183 (31.7%)   | 181 (42.5%)|         |
| Not applicable *         | 18 (8.3%)                | 56 (9.7%)     | 51 (12.0%) |         |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 10 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town. There were no differences observed for assessed circumstances associated with leaving home among adolescents from villages and small towns, medium, and big cities. For some of assessed circumstances that are associated with socializing, including after handshaking \( (p = 0.0004) \), after touching animals \( (p = 0.0000) \), and after handling animal food \( (p = 0.0015) \), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from villages and small towns, when comparing to medium and big cities.
Table 10. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with leaving home and socializing, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town (comparison conducted while using chi² test).

| Characteristics                  | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | p     |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| After coming back home           |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 191 (87.6%)              | 506 (87.5%)   | 372 (88.5%)| 0.5086|
| Sometimes                        | 24 (11%)                 | 65 (11.2%)    | 46 (11.3%) |       |
| Never                            | 3 (1.4%)                 | 7 (1.2%)      | 1 (0.2%)   |       |
| After using public transportation|                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 167 (76.6%)              | 443 (76.6%)   | 333 (78.2%)| 0.6704|
| Sometimes                        | 34 (15.6%)               | 100 (17.3%)   | 72 (16.9%) |       |
| Never                            | 17 (7.8%)                | 35 (6.1%)     | 21 (4.9%)  |       |
| After money exchange             |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 131 (60.1%)              | 294 (50.9%)   | 221 (51.9%)| 0.0839|
| Sometimes                        | 47 (21.6%)               | 178 (30.8%)   | 132 (31.0%)|       |
| Never                            | 40 (18.3%)               | 106 (18.3%)   | 73 (17.1%) |       |
| When hands are visibly soiled    |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 213 (97.7%)              | 552 (95.5%)   | 415 (97.4%)| 0.0536|
| Sometimes                        | 4 (1.8%)                 | 22 (3.8%)     | 5 (1.2%)   |       |
| Never                            | 1 (0.5%)                 | 4 (0.7%)      | 6 (1.4%)   |       |
| After handshaking                |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 121 (55.5%)              | 237 (41.0%)   | 187 (43.9%)| 0.0004|
| Sometimes                        | 67 (30.7%)               | 258 (44.6%)   | 158 (37.1%)|       |
| Never                            | 30 (13.8%)               | 83 (14.4%)    | 81 (19.0%) |       |
| After touching animals           |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 143 (65.6%)              | 271 (46.9%)   | 156 (36.6%)| 0.0000|
| Sometimes                        | 39 (17.9%)               | 167 (28.9%)   | 135 (31.7%)|       |
| Never                            | 7 (3.2%)                 | 40 (6.9%)     | 29 (6.8%)  |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 29 (13.3%)               | 100 (17.3%)   | 106 (24.9%)|       |
| After handling animal waste      |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 172 (78.9%)              | 403 (69.7%)   | 273 (64.1%)| 0.6382|
| Sometimes                        | 12 (5.5%)                | 42 (7.3%)     | 24 (5.6%)  |       |
| Never                            | 4 (1.8%)                 | 17 (2.9%)     | 10 (2.3%)  |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 30 (13.8%)               | 116 (20.1%)   | 119 (27.9%)|       |
| After handling animal food       |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 144 (66.1%)              | 296 (51.2%)   | 201 (47.2%)| 0.0015|
| Sometimes                        | 38 (17.4%)               | 136 (23.5%)   | 74 (17.4%) |       |
| Never                            | 7 (3.2%)                 | 36 (6.2%)     | 36 (8.5%)  |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 29 (13.3%)               | 110 (19%)     | 115 (27.0%)|       |
| After contacting babies          |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 55 (25.2%)               | 87 (15.1%)    | 43 (10.1%) | 0.2107|
| Sometimes                        | 40 (18.3%)               | 103 (17.8%)   | 56 (13.1%) |       |
| Never                            | 17 (7.8%)                | 45 (7.8%)     | 22 (5.2%)  |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 29 (13.3%)               | 87 (15.1%)    | 43 (10.1%) |       |
| After changing diapers           |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 79 (36.2%)               | 116 (20.1%)   | 66 (15.5%) | 0.1877|
| Sometimes                        | 10 (4.6%)                | 22 (3.8%)     | 4 (0.9%)   |       |
| Never                            | 4 (1.8%)                 | 10 (1.7%)     | 7 (1.6%)   |       |
| Not applicable *                 | 125 (57.3%)              | 430 (74.4%)   | 349 (81.9%)|       |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 11 presents the declared circumstances of washing hands that are associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47], in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town. For the majority of assessed circumstances that are associated with health, including blowing nose (p = 0.0000), after sneezing (p = 0.0001), and before touching sick people (p = 0.0260), the share of respondents declaring always washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from villages and small towns, when comparing to adults from medium and big cities. There were no differences observed in the assessed circumstances that are associated with household chores among adolescents from villages and small towns, medium, and big cities.

Table 11. The declared circumstances of washing hands associated with health and household chores, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire, in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (comparison conducted while using chi² test).

| Characteristics                  | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | p     |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| After blowing nose               |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 112 (51.4%)              | 209 (36.2%)   | 133 (31.2%)| 0.0000|
| Sometimes                        | 77 (35.3%)               | 264 (45.7%)   | 204 (47.9%)|       |
| Never                            | 29 (13.3%)               | 105 (18.2%)   | 89 (20.9%) |       |
| After sneezing                   |                          |               |            |       |
| Always                           | 122 (56.0%)              | 246 (42.6%)   | 157 (36.9%)| 0.0001|
| Sometimes                        | 70 (32.1%)               | 253 (43.8%)   | 188 (44.1%)|       |
| Never                            | 26 (11.9%)               | 79 (13.7%)    | 81 (19.0%) |       |
Table 11. Cont.

| Characteristics          | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | p    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|------|
| **After coughing**       |                          |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 110 (50.5%)              | 230 (39.8%)   | 139 (32.6%)| 0.0001|
| Sometimes                | 78 (35.8%)               | 253 (43.8%)   | 189 (44.4%)|      |
| Never                    | 30 (13.8%)               | 95 (16.4%)    | 98 (23.0%) |      |
| **Before touching sick people** |                      |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 108 (49.5%)              | 251 (43.4%)   | 167 (39.2%)| 0.0260|
| Sometimes                | 71 (32.6%)               | 171 (29.6%)   | 139 (32.6%)|      |
| Never                    | 39 (17.9%)               | 156 (27.0%)   | 120 (28.2%)|      |
| **After touching sick people** |                      |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 193 (85.5%)              | 472 (81.7%)   | 350 (82.2%)| 0.0876|
| Sometimes                | 15 (6.9%)                | 76 (13.1%)    | 59 (13.8%) |      |
| Never                    | 10 (4.6%)                | 30 (5.2%)     | 17 (4.0%)  |      |
| **After touching garbage** |                        |               |            | 0.3727|
| Always                   | 178 (81.7%)              | 440 (76.1%)   | 303 (71.1%)|      |
| Sometimes                | 25 (11.5%)               | 70 (12.1%)    | 66 (15.5%) |      |
| Never                    | 9 (4.1%)                 | 14 (2.4%)     | 10 (2.3%)  |      |
| **After cleaning their home** |                        |               |            | 0.0582|
| Always                   | 160 (73.4%)              | 380 (65.7%)   | 277 (65.0%)|      |
| Sometimes                | 42 (19.3%)               | 166 (28.7%)   | 121 (28.4%)|      |
| Never                    | 11 (5%)                  | 18 (3.1%)     | 15 (3.5%)  |      |
| **After washing dishes** |                          |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 142 (65.1%)              | 344 (59.5%)   | 262 (61.5%)| 0.1896|
| Sometimes                | 36 (16.5%)               | 110 (19%)     | 57 (13.4%) |      |
| Never                    | 18 (7.3%)                | 58 (10%)      | 36 (8.5%)  |      |
| **After doing laundry**  |                          |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 84 (38.5%)               | 158 (27.3%)   | 100 (23.5%)| 0.0531|
| Sometimes                | 59 (27.1%)               | 168 (29.1%)   | 99 (23.2%) |      |
| Never                    | 32 (14.7%)               | 110 (19.0%)   | 74 (17.4%) |      |
| **Not applicable**       | 43 (19.7%)               | 142 (24.6%)   | 153 (35.9%)|      |

* not included to statistical analysis.

Table 12 presents the declared handwashing procedure in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town. For some of the assessed steps of procedure, including folding sleeves ($p = 0.0063$) and removing watch and bracelet ($p = 0.0218$), the share of respondents declaring including them always for washing their hands was significantly higher among adolescents from villages and small towns, comparing to adolescents from medium and big cities. The declared circumstances of washing hands, on the basis of the Handwashing Habits Questionnaire [47] and the declared handwashing procedure, in the studied national sample of adolescents in regions stratified by COVID-19 morbidity, Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and the size of the town are presented in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

Table 12. The declared handwashing procedure in the studied national sample of adolescents, in regions stratified by the size of the town (comparison conducted while using the chi$^2$ test).

| Characteristics          | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | p    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|------|
| **Folding sleeves**      |                          |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 130 (59.6%)              | 307 (53.1%)   | 184 (43.2%)| 0.0063|
| Sometimes                | 38 (17.4%)               | 112 (19.4%)   | 99 (23.2%) |      |
| Never                    | 4 (1.8%)                 | 25 (4.3%)     | 22 (5.2%)  |      |
| **Removing watch and bracelets** |                  |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 41 (18.8%)               | 79 (13.7%)    | 40 (9.4%)  | 0.0218|
| Sometimes                | 48 (22%)                 | 94 (16.3%)    | 74 (17.4%) |      |
| Never                    | 13 (6%)                  | 60 (10.4%)    | 40 (9.4%)  |      |
| **Removing rings before or during handwashing** | | | | 0.0854|
| Always                   | 23 (10.6%)               | 60 (10.4%)    | 39 (9.2%)  |      |
| Sometimes                | 23 (10.6%)               | 39 (6.7%)     | 19 (4.5%)  |      |
| Never                    | 12 (5.5%)                | 56 (9.7%)     | 29 (6.8%)  |      |
| **Using soap**           |                          |               |            |      |
| Always                   | 206 (94.5%)              | 541 (93.6%)   | 399 (92.7%)| 0.3960|
| Sometimes                | 10 (4.6%)                | 34 (5.9%)     | 27 (6.3%)  |      |
| Never                    | 2 (0.9%)                 | 3 (0.5%)      | 0 (0%)     |      |
| **Using warm water**     |                          |               |            | 0.4848|
| Always                   | 140 (58.1%)              | 371 (58.2%)   | 253 (54.4%)|      |
| Sometimes                | 74 (30.7%)               | 195 (30.6%)   | 160 (34.4%)|      |
| Never                    | 4 (1.7%)                 | 12 (1.9%)     | 13 (2.8%)  |      |
Table 12. Cont.

| Characteristics | Villages and Small Towns | Medium Cities | Big Cities | p     |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| Soaking hands before using soap | Always | 153 (70.2%) | 408 (70.6%) | 318 (74.6%) | 0.1480 |
|                 | Sometimes               | 34 (15.6%)    | 104 (18%)  | 74 (17.4%)  |
|                 | Never                   | 31 (14.2%)    | 64 (11.1%) | 34 (8.0%)   |
|                 | Not applicable *        | 0 (0%)        | 2 (0.3%)   | 0 (0%)      |
| Careful making soap lather on whole hands | Always | 138 (63.3%) | 334 (57.8%) | 264 (62%) | 0.3941 |
|                 | Sometimes               | 74 (33.9%)    | 213 (36.9%)| 143 (33.6%)|
|                 | Never                   | 6 (2.8%)      | 30 (5.2%)  | 19 (4.5%)  |
|                 | Not applicable *        | 0 (0%)        | 1 (0.2%)   | 0 (0%)      |
| Turning the faucet off with hand | Always | 114 (52.3%) | 301 (52.1%) | 201 (47.2%) | 0.1880 |
|                 | Sometimes               | 55 (25.2%)    | 143 (24.7%)| 134 (31.4%)|
|                 | Never                   | 49 (22.5%)    | 134 (23.2%)| 91 (21.4%)  |
| Drying hands with towel | Always | 180 (82.6%) | 477 (82.5%) | 332 (77.9%) | 0.2588 |
|                 | Sometimes               | 31 (14.2%)    | 86 (14.9%) | 82 (19.2%)  |
|                 | Never                   | 7 (3.2%)      | 15 (2.6%)  | 12 (2.8%)   |

* not included to statistical analysis.

4. Discussion

4.1. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in Regions Stratified by COVID-19 Morbidity

In the period of April 2020, when presented study was conducted, morbidity in different regions of Poland was diversified, as there were voivodeships with a low or very low number of confirmed COVID-19 cases (10 voivodeships, with the lowest number in Lubusz voivodeship—lower than 100 cases eight weeks since the first confirmed case in Poland), while others were seriously affected (six voivodeships, with the highest number of cases in Masovian voivodeship—almost 2500 cases during the eight weeks since the first confirmed case in Poland) [41]. Among voivodeships in which a high number of confirmed cases was observed, there were Masovian, Silesian, Lower Silesian, and Lesser Poland voivodeships, which are the most populous regions in Poland [56]. At the same time, less populous voivodeships, such as Lublin, Podlaskie, and Lubusz voivodeships [56], were characterized by a lower number of COVID-19 cases. Therefore, it may be supposed that the virus spreading in specific regions of Poland may be partly dependent on the population density, as such an observation was also indicated for other areas in other countries [57,58]. It may be associated with the fact that keeping appropriate distance becomes more difficult in highly populated areas [58]. However, it does not fully explain the differences in COVID-19 morbidity, as, even in Poland, the differences of population density between voivodeships are not so significant to cause such important differences in a number of cases, while some voivodeships that are characterized by similar population density sometimes differ significantly in regard to COVID-19 morbidity (as Łódź voivodeship had significantly more COVID-19 cases than Pomeranian voivodeship [41,56]).

It is indicated that fear and anxiety towards COVID-19 infection may have a substantial influence on the adherence to the hygienic practices, as, in the study of Apisarnthanarak et al. [59], it was showed that most of healthcare workers experienced the feeling of fear and anxiety and these emotions resulted in more appropriate preventive practices, including handwashing. Similarly, in the study of Harper et al. [60], it was revealed that fear of COVID-19 infection was the only predictor of beneficial change of behaviors, such as improved hand hygiene and social distancing. Additionally, the study of Israel et al. [61] showed that the average hand hygiene compliance rate increased substantially in the group of hospital workers from January 2020 to April 2020 (46% vs. 89%), as the pandemic progressed. Therefore, it could have been assumed that, if the COVID-19 pandemic had influenced hand hygiene behaviors, they would have been more beneficial in the case of more affected voivodeships. Meanwhile, in the studied population, the observed situation was different—with more beneficial hand hygiene behaviors in voivodeships being less affected. Such a relation may suggest the reverse association, namely the influence of hand hygiene on the COVID-19 morbidity. It should be taken into consideration that the COVID-19 infection may occur when a person touches a surface that is contaminated with...
SARS-CoV-2, and after that hands have contact with mouth, nose, or eyes [62], so effective handwashing with water and soap is recommended [7]. It corresponds with the fact that, in the presented study, adolescents from the voivodeships with low COVID-19 morbidity were more likely to always wash their hands in specific circumstances associated with meals, such as before meals, after meals, and after preparing meals, as well as being associated with personal hygiene, such as before using the restroom, after using the restroom, and after combing their hair.

Generally, various factors, also other than those that are associated with health state and comorbidities, may influence an increase of the number of COVID-19 cases diagnosed, including family habits, age distribution, and societal customs [63]. It is hypothesized that all of the above-mentioned factors probably contributed to such high rates of COVID-19 infections that were observed in Italy. Traditionally, in Italy and other Mediterranean countries, relationships between family members are very close with frequent physical contact, which makes intergenerational contacts important determinants of the high infection rate in Italy [63]. Another important factor, not only for Italy, but also for Germany, which also reported a high number of diagnosed COVID-19 cases, is age distribution. The median age of population in Italy is 46.7 years, being the highest in Europe, and Germany has the second most aged population in Europe with the median age of 46.0 years, while indicated countries are characterized by the lowest share of young citizens (younger than 14 years old) [64]. Therefore, high rates of COVID-19 infections in these countries may be partially explained by the higher age of their inhabitants. In Poland, a voivodeship with the highest median age is Opole voivodeship, being characterized by the median of 43.1 years; however, it does not correspond with the number of COVID-19 cases, as, in this voivodeship, a low number of cases was stated [41,65]. On the other hand, some seriously affected voivodeships, such as Łódź, Silesian and Lower Silesian voivodeships are characterized by one of the highest median age, which, for these regions, may partially explain the high number of COVID-19 cases diagnosed [41,65]. Therefore, it seems that age distribution may not be a crucial factor influencing COVID-19 morbidity, but it may play a role among other influencing factors.

4.2. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in Regions Stratified by Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

It is pointed out that economic and social factors may also influence the dynamics of the transmission of infectious diseases [66]. Based on the presented results, it may be supposed that in the conducted study, among the most important factors influencing morbidity in the regions of Poland is washing hands, while the socioeconomic status of the region may be treated as a possible interfering factor. In the own presented study, it was found that adolescents from the regions characterized by higher GDP were more likely to always wash their hands before using the restroom, but they were also less likely to always wash their hands when hands are visibly soiled, after touching sick people, and after cleaning their home, when comparing to adolescents from the regions that are characterized by lower GDP.

The association between economic status and hygienic behaviors was mainly studied in low-income countries, while, in developed countries, this issue is rarely analyzed. It is stated that in low-income countries, socio-economic factors, including education of household head and respondent, water availability, and access to media have a strong positive association with hand washing with soap [67]. At the same time, handwashing indicators are common among households with higher socioeconomic status [68].

However, for an association between GDP and resultant COVID-19 cases, similarly as in our own presented study, other authors observed contradictory results. In the study of You et al. [69] analyzing the distribution of COVID-19 morbidity rates in Wuhan, China, it was stated that higher GDP per unit of land area was associated with a decreased COVID-19 morbidity rate. Moreover, a meta-regression of data from fifty biggest cities in United States indicated that the socioeconomic status of inhabitants may affect COVID-19 prevalence, as well as case fatality, because COVID-19 prevalence was significantly associated with the poverty rate [70]. However, in the study of Sarmadi et al. [71], assessing the global distribution of COVID-19, depending on demographic and environmental factors, it was found that
countries with higher GDP had a higher proportion of COVID-19 cases to population. While taking this into account, it may be indicated that GDP for the region did not present unambiguous association with hand hygiene practices and resultant COVID-19 morbidity.

### 4.3. Analysis of Hand Hygiene Behaviors in Regions Stratified by the Size of the Town

Based on the presented results, it may be supposed that, in the conducted study, an association between morbidity in the regions of Poland and hand-washing habits was interfered by the rural/urban environment. In the presented study, it was observed that adolescents from villages and small towns generally washed their hands significantly more often than adolescents from medium and big cities in specific circumstances. However, such results are inconsistent with those that were obtained by other authors, because, usually, better hand hygiene practices are indicated for inhabitants of urban areas.

In study undertaken by Mane et al. [72], which was conducted among school students from rural and urban areas in India, it was stated that children from urban areas were more likely to use water and soap for hand washing, when comparing to children from rural areas. A study by Khan et al. [73], which was carried out in a group of children caretakers, indicated that caregivers from urban areas were more likely to wash their hands with water and soap in some circumstances, such as before preparing food, after using toilet, or after returning from outside, than caregivers from rural areas.

However, similarly to the influence of GDP, there are no such studies conducted in developed countries, so the indicated results may be incomparable if the characteristics of countries differ. Moreover, the period of COVID-19 pandemic may change the described pattern, as big cities may no longer be a preferable place to live, while people are moving from major places of concentration, into less densely populated communities [74]. At the same time, the rapid migrations of people to cities can lead to overcrowding, which can generate slums or shanty towns [75].

In summary, it must be emphasized that understanding the distribution of COVID-19 morbidity, depending on hand hygiene behaviors, as well as socioeconomic status and rural/urban setting of the affected regions, can result in more effective control of the COVID-19 spreading. The obtained information may be helpful in creating more successful educational campaigns concerning hand hygiene behaviors, targeted at specific regions that are affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, while taking potential interfering factors into consideration, such as socioeconomic status and rural/urban setting.

### 5. Conclusions

In regions of low COVID-19 morbidity, a higher share of adolescents than in regions of high morbidity declared washing their hands in various circumstances that are associated with meals, personal hygiene, leaving home, socializing, health, and household chores. Similarly, for the assessed steps of handwashing procedure, in regions of low COVID-19 morbidity, a higher share of adolescents included them to their daily handwashing, than in regions of high morbidity. For the comparison in regions stratified by GDP, the differences were only minor and inconsistent, while, for the comparison in place of residence stratified by number of residents in city, there were some minor differences, indicating better hand hygiene behaviors in the case of villages and small towns when compared with medium and large cities. It may be concluded that, in a population-based sample of Polish adolescents, individuals from regions of low COVID-19 morbidity presented more beneficial hand hygiene habits than those from regions of high COVID-19 morbidity.
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