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Abstract

Purpose: Tumor mutational burden (TMB) calculated by whole-exome sequencing (WES) is proved to be effective to predict the clinical benefit of immune checkpoint blockades. However, WES is not commonly used in China. We aimed to determine if a 381-cancer-gene panel (CGP) could be used to estimate TMB, delineate the landscape of TMB of Chinese patients and identify mutated genes and pathways related to higher TMB.

Methods: We first evaluated the correlation between the TMB estimated by a 381-cancer-gene panel MasterView and WES using the data from the melanoma sample cohort. 3023 formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor specimens from 2932 Chinese patients with advanced solid tumor were profiled for 381 gene sequencing, the baits of which covered 4,557 exons of 365 cancer-related genes and 47 introns of 25 genes frequently rearranged in cancer (All performed in a lab who achieved full marks five times in the external quality assessment by College of American Pathologists [CAP]). Using the sequencing data, we estimated the TMB of Chinese advanced solid tumor and identified mutated genes and pathways related to higher TMB level.

Results: 381-CGP-mutational burden was strongly associated with those calculated by WES (R² = 0.978). The median TMB for each tumor type was 5.65 (colorectal cancer), 4.84 (lung cancer), 4.03 (hepatobiliary cancer), 4.03 (gastric carcinoma), 4.03 (breast cancer) mutations/mb respectively. No correlation was observed between TMB level and age (P = 0.577) or gender (P = 0.307). The TMB of patients with mismatch repair (MMR) or DNA repair response (DDR) pathway deficiency was significantly higher than that without MMR or DDR pathway deficiency (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: The 381-cancer gene panel is a clinical practicable method to assess tumor mutational burden compared with whole exome sequencing. MMR and DDR deficiency are correlated with higher tumor mutational burden of Chinese patients with advanced solid tumors.
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Introduction

In recent years, great progress has been made in revolutionizing cancer treatment by targeting immune checkpoint to enhance anti-tumor immune response. Immune checkpoint blockades have been
proven to be effective in the treatment of melanoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, urothelial carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, head and neck cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and tumors with mismatch repair deficiency [1-9]. However, not all patients can benefit from immunotherapy [10], which raises the question that which patients will be sensitive or resistant to checkpoint blockade. Therefore, to identify biomarkers that would enrich patients with responsiveness to checkpoint blockades has become a priority.

It has been demonstrated that tumor mutational burden (TMB), neoantigen burden, insertion and deletion burden, DNA mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency, the intensity of CD8+ T cell infiltrates, untratumoral PD-L1 expression and immune-regulatory mRNA expression signatures can be proposed as distinct biomarkers of response to immune checkpoint blockades [7, 11-15]. Among these biomarkers, higher TMB are demonstrated to be related with responsiveness to immune checkpoint blockades [16], supposing that higher tumor nonsynonymous somatic mutations would lead to higher diversity of neoantigens, thus enhancing immune response [17].

In most studies, tumor mutational burden is calculated by whole-exome sequencing (WES). However, in China WES is not usually applied in clinic. Instead, cancer-gene panels (CGPs) are now commonly used in clinic to find precise targeted therapies for patients [18]. A previous study has shown that CGPs with a proper composition of cancer genes can estimate TMB to predict clinical benefit of immune checkpoint blockades [19]. Therefore, in present study we sought to evaluate whether a 381 cancer-gene panel could be used to estimate the TMB. Then we estimated the TMB of Chinese progressed solid tumor patients using the 381 cancer-gene panel and identified mutated genes and pathways related to TMB level. We found that the TMB estimated by the 381 cancer-gene panel was well correlated with that calculated by WES, thus proving the 381 gene-panel is a promising method to predict clinical benefit to immunotherapy. We also found that mismatch repair or DNA repair response pathway mutations was associated with higher TMB. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the TMB of Chinese patients with advanced solid tumors.

Materials and methods

Study design

We downloaded the Melanoma sample cohort from the paper with 100 WES sequencing data [20] to evaluate the association between the TMB estimated by the 381 cancer gene panel and WES-TMB. We enrolled 3023 formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor specimens from 2932 Chinese patients with advanced solid tumor. We calculated the tumor mutational burden for each specimen using the sequencing data of the 381 cancer-gene panel.

381 cancer-gene panel profiling

The MasterView 381 cancer-gene panel covered 4,557 exons of 365 cancer-related genes and 47 introns of 25 genes frequently rearranged in 381 cancer-related genes. The detailed genes for the 381 cancer-gene panel were provided in Supplemental Table S1.

Detailed experiment design of protocol was as previously described [21]. In brief, we enrolled 3023 FFPE tumor specimens. The pathological diagnosis of the specimens was confirmed by hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining. Specimens with a volume <1 mm or tumor cells <20% were excluded from the following profiling. 50-200 ng of DNAs extracted from the FFPE tumor specimens were broke into ~200 bp fragments, which were then sequenced in next generation sequencing platform for base substitutions, indel, CNA and DNA arrangement analysis.

Tumor mutational burden estimation

TMB was defined by non-silent somatic mutation counts in coding region per megabase of genome examined. SNV include both synonymous and non-synonymous mutations, as well as stopgain, stoploss, and splicing variants. Indel variants include both the frameshift or non-frameshift insertions and deletions. Non-coding alterations were not counted. All germline variants were filtered by paired adjacent normal sample or blood controls. An in-house developed script was used to filter false positive variants. Panel size was defined by base number of the coding region of targeted genes.

Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed by R 3.4.1 and Graphpad prism v6. The correlation between the TMB estimated by cancer gene panel and WES was performed by linear regression. Mismatch repair deficiency is defined as any mutation in coding region of any of the four genes, MSH2, MSH6, MLH1, PMS2 according to a previous study [7]. DDR deficiency is defined as any mutation in coding region of any of the 381 genes related to base excision repair, homology recombination repair, mismatch repair, non-homologous end joining, and nucleotide excision repair pathway, including ATM, BRAD1, BRIP1, CHEK1, CHEK2, PALB2, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCM, ATR, BAP1, CDK12, BLM, RAD50, RAD51, STK11, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDH1, MRE11A,
AURKA, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, ERCC1, POLE, POLD1 and PRKDC, which were defined by paper reviewing. Comparisons of tumor mutational burden between two groups were performed by unpaired t-test assuming the variances were not equal.

**Results**

**Tumor mutational burden could be estimated by the 381 cancer-gene panel accurately**

At first, we tried to determine whether the TMB measured by the 381 MasterView gene panel, would be associated with whole exome TMB. We used the melanoma sample cohort from the paper with 100 WES sequencing data [20].

We sampled the 381 MasterView gene panel, Foundation Medicine 315 gene panel and 81 gene panel from this 100-sample cohort and calculated the correlation between panel sampling TMB and WES TMB (Figure 1). We found that the tumor mutational burden estimated by panel sampling was highly correlated with WES TMB (381 gene panel, P < 0.001, R² = 0.978; 315 gene panel, P < 0.001, R² = 0.963; 81 gene panel, P < 0.001, R²=0.618). However, this result indicated that 381 gene panel (R² = 0.978) was better correlated with whole exome TMB than 81 gene panel (R² = 0.618), which was consistent with a previous conclusion that it was suggested that cancer-gene panels comprised of more than 300 cancer-genes were used to estimate tumor mutational burden [19].

**The tumor mutational burden across different cancer types**

We then assessed the TMB of different cancer type in the patients profiled in our lab. 3023 specimens from 2923 patients were profiled for the 381 cancer-gene panel. As shown in **Table 1**, the patients contained 1704 male patients and 1228 female patients. The median age of the patients was 55 years old, with a range of 4-88. There were 16 patients under 18 years old. There were mainly 5 kinds of tumor for these patients: hepatobiliary cancer (738), lung cancer (582), breast cancer (196), colorectal cancer (243) and gastric cancer (169). The tumor types of the other 1004 patients were unknown.

| Characteristic | Value |
|---------------|-------|
| Age, median (range) | 55 (4-88) |
| Sex, n (%) | male 1704 (58.12%), female 1228 (41.88%) |
| Tumor type, n (%) | hepatobiliary cancer 738 (25.25%), lung cancer 582 (19.85%), colorectal cancer 243 (8.29%), breast cancer 196 (6.68%), gastric cancer 169 (5.76%), undefined 1004 (34.24%) |

Across these specimens, the median TMB was 4.03, with a range of 0-608. Across these tumor types, the median TMB ranged from 4.03 mutations/mb in breast cancer to 5.65 mutations/mb in colorectal cancer (Figure 2). No statistically significant difference was observed between male and female patients (unpaired t-test P = 0.307) and there was no association between age and TMB (P = 0.577).

**Identifying genes and pathways associated with higher TMB**

We then explored the mutated genes or pathways that were associated with higher TMB. We identified 20 genes which were significantly associated with higher TMB with adjusted P value <0.001 (Supplemental Table S2), including TP53, which was consistent with a previous study [22].

DNA damage response (DDR) including mismatch repair (MMR) is a mechanism to combat threats of DNA damage by detecting DNA lesions, signaling their presence and promoting their repair [23]. Defects in DDR may result in genomic instability, manifested as higher tumor mutational burden [18, 23]. It was demonstrated that DNA mismatch repair pathway was related to TMB level [7]. We then tried to identify whether MMR or DDR pathway was involved in the TMB level of Chinese advanced solid tumor. As shown in **Figure 3**, MMR...
deficiency (MMR+) patients had a higher TMB than MMR- patients (33.11 ± 78.20 vs 5.38 ± 6.34, adjusted P<0.001) and DDR deficiency (DDR+) patients had a higher TMB than DDR- patients (12.47 ± 29.93 vs 3.54 ± 3.21, adjusted P<0.001). MMR deficiency or DDR deficiency was also associated with higher TMB in the five tumor types (Supplemental Figure S1).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we have demonstrated that tumor mutational burden (TMB) estimated by a 381 cancer-gene panel are highly correlated with the TMB calculated by whole exome sequencing. The detailed information of the TMB from 3023 specimens from Chinese patients with advanced solid tumors has been shown and no correlation has been found between TMB and age or gender. The related mutated genes or pathways have been analyzed and DDR and MMR mutation have been found to be related with higher TMB level. These data helps to delineate a picture of TMB of Chinese patients of different tumor types.

Our result suggested that tumor mutational burden estimated by the 381-cancer-gene panel was associated with that calculated by WES. There are several differences between cancer-gene panels and WES. It requires specimens to contain at least 40% tumor cells to perform WES, while 20% tumor cells would be applicable for cancer-gene panel profiling. Besides, WES covers 39 mb of human genome, while the 381 cancer-gene panel covers 2 mb, which suggests that WES cost much higher than cancer-gene panels. Considering the cost and requirement of specimen, cancer-gene panels may be more appropriate than WES to estimate TMB and predict the efficacy of immunotherapy. However, not all cancer-gene panels can be applied to estimate TMB. In this present study, we found that 381 cancer-gene panel associates with the TMB calculated by WES better than 81 cancer-gene panel. It requires at least a certain number of cancer genes to ensure the accuracy of TMB prediction.

This is the first study to describe the landscape of TMB of Chinese patients. We didn’t observe any
correlation between TMB and age, which was inconsistent with a previous study [18]. To be noted, our study only included 16 adolescent patients. It may be the reason why the correlation was not observed. Further, the median TMB for each tumor type ranged from 4.03 mutations/mb in breast cancer to 5.65 mutations/mb in colorectal cancer. There were patients with relatively high TMB in each tumor type, which suggested that there were patients of each tumor type who might respond well to immunotherapy.

Understanding the genes and pathways related to higher TMB is also important to guide immunotherapy. We identified 20 genes whose mutations are strongly associated with TMB including TP53. Previous studies have demonstrated that in lung adenocarcinoma, TP53 and KRAS mutations are associated with higher tumor mutational burden and predict the response to anti-PD-1 therapy [22]. Besides, our data demonstrated that deficiency in MMR or DDR pathway was related with higher TMB. Dysfunction in MMR or DDR pathway may result in new nonsynonymous somatic mutations, creating new epitopes for T cells to recognize. That’s the reason why MMR or DDR mutation are associated with higher TMB. A recent study has investigated cancer with MMR deficiency respond well to immune checkpoint blockades, irrespective of cancer type [7].

Our study has several limitations. First, we didn’t know the exact pathological classification for each patient, which might help to better understand the difference of TMB between different pathological classifications. Second, the number of patients is relatively small and the cancer type is limited. Further studies are needed to better delineate the TMB of Chinese patients.

### Conclusion

These results show that the 381-cancer-gene panel can be applied to assess TMB compared with whole exome sequencing. We identify deficiency in mismatch repair and DNA repair response pathway may be associated with higher TMB.

### Abbreviations

CAP: College of American Pathologists; CGP: cancer-gene panel; DDR: DNA repair response; FFPE: formalin fixed paraffin-embedded; HE: hematoxylin and eosin; MMR: mismatch repair; TMB: tumor mutational burden; WES: whole-exome sequencing.
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