A Comprehensive, Multi-Modal Strategy to Mitigate Alzheimer’s Disease Risk Factors Improves Aspects of Metabolism and Offsets Cognitive Decline in Individuals with Cognitive Impairment
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Abstract
Background: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a chronic condition that progresses over time. While several therapeutic approaches have been developed, none have substantially altered disease progression. One explanation is that the disease is multifactorial.

Objective: Using the Affirmativ Health Personal Therapeutic Program (PTPr), we sought to determine whether a comprehensive and personalized program could improve cognitive and metabolic function in individuals diagnosed with subjective cognitive impairment, mild cognitive impairment, and early stage AD.

Methods: 35 individuals submitted blood samples and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) scores, and answered intake questions. Individuals and caregivers participated in a four-day immersion program, which included Personal Therapeutic Plans (PTP), consultations with clinical practitioners, and explanations of the PTPr and PTP. Participants had follow-up by telemonitoring, with repeat blood sample analysis, updates regarding lifestyle choices, current medications and supplements, and MoCA testing at least once between 3 and 12 months after the PTPr.

Results: By comparing baseline to follow-up testing, we determined several risk factor scores, including blood glucose and insulin levels, and levels of vitamins B12, D3, and E, improved either in the entire participant pool or specifically in...
individuals with measures outside the normal range for specific factors. MoCA scores were stabilized in the entire participant pool and significantly improved in individuals scoring 24 or less at baseline.

**Conclusion:** Our findings provide evidence that a comprehensive and personalized approach designed to mitigate AD risk factors can improve risk factor scores and stabilize cognitive function, warranting more extensive and placebo-controlled clinical studies.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Despite numerous attempts and a vast commitment of resources, efforts to reverse or even blunt the progression of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have been marginally successful at best [1, 2]. This has been attributed to many potential reasons. For instance, it has been argued that most clinical trials have been performed on individuals in relatively late stage disease progression, where the pathology may have progressed to an irreversible state [3]. Another line of thought suggests that the primary targets of therapeutic development, amyloid-\(\beta\) plaques and tau tangles, may not be a major drivers of disease, or at least that their therapeutic clearance is insufficient to blunt disease progression [4, 5]. Instead, amyloid-\(\beta\) oligomers may be the primary culprit or, even more concerning, the role of amyloid plaques in disease progression may be overestimated [6]. Indeed, the role of other factors, such as chronic inflammation and altered metabolism, have become evident [7, 8]. How these pathways are linked to plaques and tangles remains to be fully elucidated. Better diagnostic procedures are also indicating that age-associated dementias are more varied than expected [9]; therefore, selection of participants in previous clinical trials may not have been performed with enough specificity.

Under-represented in therapeutic approaches to AD are the role of risk factors and the idea that mitigation of risk factors in early stage disease may delay clinical progression [10–14]. Most studies to date have examined single interventions to target one risk factor, for instance low vitamin D3 levels [15], with little or no efficacy. Yet, there are as many genetic and lifestyle risk factors associated with AD and each individual is only compromised in a subset [16]. Therefore, changing one risk factor may only produce marginal outcomes and studies are beginning to support this concept [17–20].

We have tested a more holistic concept based on the hypothesis that: 1) risk factors for AD contribute to disease progression, 2) affected individuals have unique combinations of risk factors; and 3) that risk factors act in an additive or synergistic manner to promote disease progression. Therefore, a personalized strategy to identify and attenuate the risk factors specific to each affected individual will have a significant impact on disease progression, as has been shown with related approaches [21, 22]. Here we present pilot data testing of that concept and make the case for expansion of this approach to people in the early stages of AD progression.

**METHODS**

**Study design and participants**

Participants were recruited through a marketing campaign that included online digital advertising, radio programming, and participant referrals. Upon contacting the program, individuals were screened using an intake process, which included baseline Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) testing, and a questionnaire that included demographics, current medical care, past medical and surgical history, current medical history, tobacco, alcohol and recreational drug usage, current medications and supplement usage, family history, social history, current living environment, AD symptoms, mental health history, dietary patterns, allergies and anthropometric data.

After performing the above intake, participants meeting our study profile underwent genetic and blood testing for a range of parameters associated with the onset of AD (Table 1). Data was then processed with our proprietary software technology producing the Personalized Therapeutic Plan (PTP), which identifies the potential under-pinning causes of memory loss. Data was then screened by a physician and registered dietician to identify medical diseases or indicators that may affect memory unrelated to AD. In some cases, new clinical conditions were identified that required medical attention. Qualified candidates
were invited to participate in the Affirmativ Health Personal Therapeutic Program (PTPr).

Program

The PTPr included the above preliminary testing, the PTP and a 4-day in-person, immersion retreat, ongoing coaching, and follow-up testing. At the retreat, participants receive personalized interactions with a range of specialists, including a physician, dietitian, physical therapist, geriatric psychologist, and others. In addition, participants are coached in healthy lifestyle choices, including cooking, stress management, sleep, exercise programs, and an introduction to brain stimulation activities. Most importantly, participants are taken through a thorough review of their PTP, which includes blood panel, genetic and cognitive testing results, individual risk factors, and optimal blood panel target ranges (supported by previously published research) to achieve cognitive stabilization and/or improvement. They are also provided with strategies to mitigate those risks. As previously described, strategies include personalized dietary and exercise recommendations, stress reduction, and supplements when necessary and feasible. All recommended supplement interventions are widely used and have strong safety profiles. No prescription medications were recommended as part of treatment. At the end of the four-day window, participants are provided with a physician’s version of the PTP to discuss with their primary care physicians, who are responsible for any specific clinical recommendations included in the PTP that require follow-up action.

After completing the 4-day retreat, monthly follow-up coaching calls are made to assist participants with adherence to the program and to capture adherence data. Follow-up testing was performed at three or six months based on the time it took the participant to be fully adherent. Occasionally, later testing was performed.

Statistical analysis

To visualize the datasets of various parameters from human intervention samples, we used the representation methods ‘line graph’ and ‘boxplot’. We generated the box plot for each group of datasets, as shown in the figures. The standard deviation and error bars were also calculated and added in each box plot. The statistical analyses were carried out using Graph Pad Prism software. The statistical significance was determined by two tailed unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test. The data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) and the \( p \)-value less than 0.05 were considered significant. The correlation plot between pre and post MoCA values was also generated, as shown in Fig. 1. The correlation value (R2) was calculated and the data points showed a positive linear association (R2=0.87).

RESULTS

In total, 35 people were enrolled in the program, completing initial testing, the four-day retreat, and at least one follow-up blood panel and cognitive test to allow for statistical analysis of efficacy. Participants were requested to comply with a robust program that involves several lifestyle changes and supplements. We generated feedback on adherence, finding a mean adherence score of 69.67% with a median score of 80%. Statistics on the participant pool are shown in
Fig. 1. Cognitive testing with the PTPr program. A) MoCA test scores from participants and baseline and indicated time points after inception of the program (n = 26). Out of 35, only 26 participants have both MoCA test scores, i.e., they have baseline and endpoint MoCA scores; therefore, only those participants were used to generate this figure. B) While there is a trend toward increased MoCA scores among the entire participant pool (n = 26), statistical significance was not achieved (p = 0.121, not significant). The last time point for a participant was used for statistical analysis. C) Among participants with MoCA scores below 24 at baseline, a statistically significant increase was observed (n = 9, p = 0.029).

Table 2

| Demographic Characteristics | Male | Female |
|----------------------------|------|--------|
| Total Participants n = 35 | 13   | 22     |
| Average Age 69.72          | 75   | 69.72  |
| APOE4 9 69.2%              | 17   | 73.9%  |
| Average BMI 20.2           | 23   | 20.2   |
| Education: BA or Higher 100.0% | 13 | 100.0% |

Baseline Cognitive Test

| SCI | 3   | 23.0% | 12 | 54.5% |
| MCI | 6   | 46.2% | 3  | 13.6% |
| AD  | 2   | 15.3% | 2  | 8.7%  |
| No Score | 2 | 15.3% | 5  | 22.7% |

APOE4, apolipoprotein E4; BMI, body mass index; SCI, subjective cognitive impairment; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; AD, Alzheimer’s disease.

Table 3

| Figure | No of participants | p-value |
|--------|--------------------|---------|
| 1A     | 26                 | NA      |
| 1B     | 26                 | 0.121   |
| 1C     | 9                  | 0.029   |
| 2A     | 35                 | 0.181   |
| 2B     | 20                 | 0.015   |
| 2C     | 34                 | 0.109   |
| 2D     | 23                 | 0.0003  |
| 2E     | 20                 | 0.000014|
| 2F     | 16                 | 0.012   |
| 2G     | 10                 | 0.013   |

Table 2. In general, participants have a high education, are not overweight, and often have at least one APOE4 allele, a major risk factor for AD development.

A primary goal of the PTPr is to help people maintain or even improve cognitive performance after experiencing subjective cognitive impairment (SCI), mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or early stage AD. MoCA scores were collected at baseline and at various points after participation in the program. Cognitive performance steadily declines in MCI and AD patients. Our hypothesis was that this could be prevented or possibly reversed through a strategy to mitigate risk factors.

Follow up cognitive tests were taken at times ranging from 3 to 18 months, although most data points were at 3–6 months post participation in the program (Fig. 1A). To generate statistical relevance, we utilized the latest data point for the analysis. While there was an improvement in MoCA test score in the follow-up tests, data failed to reach statistical significance (p = 0.12) (Fig. 1B. See Table 3 for number of participants in each sub-figure and p-value calculations). No differences were observed between men and women. One limitation to reaching statistical significance is that many of the participants had high MoCA scores at the inception of the treatment, in part because participation was open to people with SCI. To assess changes in participants with lower MoCA scores at inception, we re-analyzed the data in people with scores less than 24. In this case significant improvement was observed (p = 0.03) (Fig. 1C). While participant size needs to be increased and additional tests including placebo-controlled studies need to be performed, these findings show promise that the PTPr can delay or even reverse cognitive performance.
The PTPr seeks to offset a range of risk factors linked to AD, making it possible to test whether these parameters, which are often linked to other chronic diseases of aging, can be altered. Many are metabolic in nature and linked to diseases including type II diabetes, cardiovascular disease and other conditions [23–26]. Therefore, we assessed whether individual parameters were improved through the educational program and interventions. Among our findings, we observe a significant decline in fasting blood glucose levels in participants where levels were high at baseline (>89 mg/dL; \( p = 0.02 \)) (Fig. 2A, B). Generally high fasting glucose is defined as greater than 100 mg/dl; however, we chose a more stringent criteria based on previous studies [27]. In participants over 89 mg/dL, education on diet and exercise was recommended, along with ginger and cinnamon [28–31]. In participants over 125 mg/dL, 500 mg berberine was recommended twice a day with meals, followed by monitoring at 8-week intervals [32]. Individuals with high fasting insulin levels (defined as levels above 4 mU/L) also displayed significant improvements after adopting a similar set of recommendations for those who were hyperglycemic (Fig. 2C, D). Similarly, hemoglobin A1C and HOMA-IR levels were also reduced in participants with baseline scores above 5.2% (not shown). These findings indicate that participants in the PTPr experienced improved metabolic parameters, and therefore may be protected from other age-related conditions.

DISCUSSION

AD affects over five million Americans. A chronic and progressive disease, recent estimates list AD as the third leading cause of death in the United States. In the absence of effective prevention and treatment, the prospects for the future are of great concern. With 13 million Americans and 160 million citizens globally...
projected to have AD by 2050, this raises concerns for the impact on healthcare systems worldwide, including the possible bankruptcy of Medicare. In the past decade alone, hundreds of clinical trials have been conducted for AD at an aggregate cost of billions of dollars, without significant success [1, 2]. Extensive resources have been directed toward drug trials and this has led some to question whether the approach taken to focus almost exclusively on drug development for AD and its predecessors, SCI and MCI, is an optimal one. Ultimately, effective drugs may be developed, but other approaches such as treating risk factors may reduce disease onset and progression in the interim.

Chronic disease increases over the life course [33]. We suggest that a diverse approach is needed to target not only the known cause of the disease but also those factors that contribute to the progression and severity of the disease: lifestyle choices, stress, sleep, nutrient deficiencies, mental health, socialization, inflammation, and diet [22]. While research has focused on treating a single cause of AD, to date there is no medical cure or single effective treatment, suggesting that there is more than one cause and solution. Increasing evidence supports dietary and other lifestyle changes as the most effective way to prevent, slow, or reverse disease progression. Studies also demonstrate that a more personalized and precision approach is needed for each individual participant to affect the greatest change and improvement.

To date, there are no single interventions that have resulted in stabilization or reversal of AD symptoms or progression. Although there are numerous studies looking at single interventions, including exercise, vitamin deficiencies, and glucose metabolism, they have not reported lasting effects for those suffering from a disease, which is largely deemed as progressive and irreversible.

Genetic evaluation for AD often includes testing for the APOE gene, which is the strongest known predictor of AD [34]. The APOE4 gene has been linked to chronic inflammation and chronic disease later in life, including AD [35]. However, even though there may be a genetic predisposition for AD, there is good evidence that lifestyle factors play a strong role in maintaining cognitive health as we age.

After a thorough review of published research, we have developed a comprehensive approach to addressing scientifically supported risk factors that have been rigorously defined as interventions to promote prevention, increased resiliency, and stabilization of brain function in the realm of AD and dementia. Utilizing cutting edge technology (PTP) in concert with in-person coaching and consultation, we are demonstrating that a multi-modal and personalized approach promotes an improved resiliency and restoration of optimal brain function.

The PTP includes genetics, an extensive blood panel, and lifestyle data to evaluate a number of metabolic factors and nutrient levels associated with cognitive health. Target laboratory levels for the PTP may be more stringent than standard laboratory ranges, as the goal is to reach optimized levels for cognitive health.

The earlier potential causes for cognitive decline are identified, the earlier steps can be taken to correct them and preserve cognitive health. Knowing this, our primary goal was to recruit participants that were in the early stages of disease (SCI, MCI, and early AD). The data for baseline MoCA score shows a range of 8–30 with the majority of patients scoring in the 20–30 range. Participants undergoing the program remained stable between baseline and follow-up. However, participants with a score of less than 24 showed a statistically significant improvement (see Fig. 1). This supports our conclusion that early intervention is critical, but also suggests that even with further disease progression, improvement can be observed. We also included a data point for a patient that had extended follow up over a 36-month period. This participant showed both short- and long-term progressive improvement over this time period, suggesting that the PTPr has both short and long-term effects. We included this long-term participant as an indicator of the potential benefits of the program, but of course more studies are necessary to establish its potential long-term benefits.

Our results clearly demonstrate that the metabolic impact of blood glucose metabolism is paramount to the improvement and stabilization of memory decline. All four parameters, fasting blood glucose, fasting insulin, hemoglobin A1c, and HOMA-insulin resistance scores, showed significant improvement with the PTPr (see Fig. 2). As AD has been recently labeled as ‘type III diabetes’, this is further support for the need for addressing blood glucose and its contribution to cognitive decline [8, 36].

We saw similar results with vitamin D3, vitamin E, vitamin B12, and zinc (see Fig. 2, not shown). Given that each of these alone has been studied in AD [37–39], but none have definitively shown to be linked to improvement or stabilization of cognition, our findings call for multi-modal approaches to treatment. As more data emerges, it may also be possible
to make specific links between seemingly separate risk factors that are currently unknown.

An interesting outcome of the PTPr was that of the results of improved free testosterone [40]. Given the difficulties with testosterone replacement and the need for close monitoring and often specialization needed to administer this treatment safely and effectively, no participants were asked to pursue testosterone treatment. We presume that as a participant’s metabolism, including their gastrointestinal system, begins to improve as the PTPr progressed, hormonal imbalances self-correct.

While our approach looks at more than 35 factors known to contribute to cognitive decline, our results demonstrate that certain of those factors are more affected than others. This indicates that there is a need to further develop our PTPr as more data and studies becomes available. This also suggests that we are moving toward a comprehensive, precision medicine approach to AD. Furthermore, this study supports the need for more effort to be directed toward an approach that focuses on a one size fits one, not one size fits all approach, and that comprehensively assesses all of the risk factors involved. In summary, our findings from this pilot study reinforce the potential benefits of a personalized approach targeting risk factors, and call for larger, placebo-controlled studies to provide further validation.
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