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Abstract
The iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate results in nonselective mono and bisborylation to afford a near statistical mixture of 3-, 3,5- and 4-boryl substituted aryl phosphonates whereas 3-substituted aryl phosphonates undergo highly regioselective C-H borylation to afford the corresponding meta-phosphonate substituted arylboronic esters as the sole product; the resulting boronic esters were used as nucleophilic reagents in a subsequent palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling to generate a range of biarylmonophosphonates. Gratifyingly, the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling can be conducted without purifying the boronic ester which greatly simplifies the synthetic procedure.
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1 Introduction
Arylphosphonates are an important class of molecules as they are key motifs in a host of natural products, bioactive compounds, agrochemicals, and materials as well as intermediates in organic synthesis and precursors to phosphines, which are ubiquitous in organometallic chemistry and catalysis [1–13]. As such the synthesis and elaboration of aryl phosphonates has attracted considerable attention and several strategies based on C-P bond formation have been reported; these include; nickel, copper and palladium-catalyzed coupling of an aryl halide or pseudo halide with a dialkyl phosphonate [14–26], nickel, copper and palladium-catalyzed coupling of arylboronic acids or arylsilanes with dialkyl phosphonates [27–33], photoinduced transition metal-free coupling of aryl halides with H-phosphonates [34, 35], nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative...
C-P bond formation [36–38], copper-catalyzed P-arylation between diaryliodonium salts and dialkyl phosphonates [39], palladium-catalyzed phosphorylation of aryl C-H bonds [40–46], dehydrative cross coupling [47], and P-arylation of arynes [48]. However, most of these approaches require the use of prefunctionalized substrates and as such are limited in terms of the variety of accessible substrate combinations. Arylphosphonates have also been further elaborated by late-stage modification using either metal catalyzed C-H arylation [49–57], where the phosphate acts as a directing group, or Suzuki Miyaura cross-coupling [58–67]; the latter is an effective strategy for the synthesis of architecturally important achiral and axially chiral biaryl and heterobiarylphosphines, but it requires the use of a pre-functionalized arylphosphonate electrophile as the coupling partner.

As boronic acids and esters are widely used in synthesis [68], we recently initiated a program to develop ortho-phosphonate aryl and naphthylboronic esters as an entirely new class of nucleophilic coupling partner for the synthesis of substituted aryl and heteroaryl phosphonates (Fig. 1a) [69]. While the use of ortho-phosphonate arylboronic esters as the nucleophilic coupling partner complements existing approaches in which the phosphate is introduced as the electrophile, the synthesis required multiple steps. Clark and Watson have recently reported a markedly more efficient and general method for the synthesis of o-boryl arylphosphonates via regioselective C-H borylation using a phosphonate as the directing group (Fig. 1b) [70]. This strategy is elegant and highly effective as the starting materials are readily accessible and the catalyst commercially available and it exhibits broad functional group tolerance and is highly flexible in terms of the substitution pattern; moreover, the resulting boronic esters can be converted into a range of products using conventional organic methods. Even though the use of phosphines as directing groups for ortho-selective C-H borylation has previously been reported, the substrate scope is more limited than that accessible using arylphosphonate-directed ortho C-H borylation [71–76].

As part of our ongoing program to develop new cross-coupling partners for the synthesis of biaryl-based motifs we have also been exploring the C-H borylation of aryl phosphonates to prepare phosphate-substituted arylboronic esters as this would avoid the need to prefunctionalise the aryl phosphate (Fig. 1c). In this regard, the site selectivity of iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation is controlled predominantly by steric factors [77–79], which has been exploited to develop the regioselective meta-directed C-H borylation of 1,3-disubstituted arenes to prepare the corresponding 3,5-disubstituted boronic esters; this is now a powerful protocol that has been widely applied in synthesis to access a host of meta-substituted products including phenols [80], arylamine boronate esters [81], amines and ethers [82], arylboronic acids, and trifluoroborates [83], disubstituted bromoarenes [84], aryl nitriles [85], alkyl ethers [86], pentfluorosulfanyl-substituted potassium aryltrifluoroborates [87], nitrated arenes [88], difluoromethylated arenes [89], trifluoromethylamines [90, 91] and borylated aryl amines [92]. Recently though, alternative approaches have been developed in which meta selective C-H borylation has been directed either by noncovalent secondary interactions between a modified bipyridine and the substrate by orientating the iridium towards the metal C-H bond [93, 94], ion pairing between a cationic substrate and an anionic bipyridine [95], a combination of ligand-substrate electrostatic interactions and secondary B-N interactions [96] or Lewis acid–base directed borylation with a bifunctional catalyst [97], however, each of these approaches requires the synthesis of rather elaborate ligands. Since the use of steric factors has proven to be a highly reliable strategy for controlling the regioselectivity of C-H borylation, we targeted the iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation of 3-substituted aryl phosphonates to explore whether this protocol would facilitate access to the corresponding phosphate-substituted arylboronic esters. To this end, the recent report of facile highly selective and divergent acyl and aryl cross-coupling of amidines via an iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation/N–C(O) activation sequence to prepare biarylketones and biaryls [98] as well as Clarks aryl phosphate-directed ortho C-H borylation [70] have prompted us to disclose the results of our preliminary study on the borylation of aryl phosphonates. Herein, we report that the iridium-catalyzed borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate results in nonselective mono and bisborylation to afford a mixture of 3-, 3,5- and 4-boryl substituted aryl phosphonates while 3-substituted aryl phosphonates undergo highly regioselective C-H borylation to afford the corresponding meta-phosphonate substituted arylboronic...
esters as the sole product; the resulting boronic esters were also used as the nucleophilic partner in a subsequent palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling to generate a diverse range of biaryl monophosphonates.

2 Results and Discussion

This project was initiated to explore whether aryl phosphonates would undergo selective C-H borylation to afford the corresponding aryl phosphate-based boronate esters directly in a single step as this would avoid the palladium-catalyzed borylation of bromo-substituted aryl phosphonates. The recent development of a highly efficient and versatile preparation of o-phosphonate aryIboron esters via C-H borylation by Clark [70] encouraged us to report details of our preliminary proof of principle studies in this area. The aryl phosphonates required for this investigation were prepared in high yield via palladium-catalyzed C-P cross-coupling between methyl 3-bromobenzoate (1a), 3-bromotoluene (1b), or 3-bromo-1-trifluoromethylbenzene (1c) and diethyl phosphonate, by adapting previously reported procedures (Scheme 1) [26].

2.1 Iridium-Catalyzed Borylation of Diethyl Phenylphosphonate

As C-H borylation is now well-developed and a powerful tool in synthesis [99, 100], preliminary catalytic reactions were conducted using previous literature protocols as a lead [101]. Prior to exploring the C-H borylation of 2a-c, an initial investigation was conducted using diethyl phenylphosphonate 3 as the benchmark substrate to determine the selectivity, undertake optimization studies and establish protocols for analysis. Preliminary reactions were conducted in hexane at room temperature using 5 mol% catalyst generated from (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer and 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (tmphen) as the ligand of choice (Table 1, Entry 1), as it has been widely employed for the non-directed iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation of aryl, heteroaryl and alkyl C-H bonds because it is electron rich and its complexes catalyze C-H functionalization much more readily than their less electron-rich counterparts [102–105]. Under these conditions, a conversion of 97% was obtained after 24 h to afford meta-substituted 4a as the major product (40%) together with its 3,5-diboryl substituted counterpart 4b (22%) as well as para-substituted 4c (35%) (Table 1 entry 1). To this end, [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2 has been reported to generate the most active bipyridine-based catalysts and the room temperature borylation of arenes with these systems is now common place [77, 78]. Gratifyingly, near quantitative conversions could be obtained after only 1 h by increasing the reaction temperature to 50 °C; under these conditions the distribution of regioisomers was similar to that obtained at room temperature (Table 1, Entry 2). A survey of catalyst efficacy as a function of solvent for reactions conducted for 1 h at 50 °C (Table 1, Entries 2–6) revealed that high conversions were obtained in hexane (94%), methycyclohexane (91%), dioxane (88%) and THF (70%) whereas a markedly lower conversion was obtained in dimethyl formamide (3%). As the highest yields were obtained in hexane, all further studies to identify optimum conditions were conducted in hexane. A reduction in the catalyst loading to 2.5 mol% resulted in a markedly lower conversion of 71% but with a similar distribution of products, under otherwise identical conditions, whereas an increase to 10 mol% reached 96% conversion after only 30 min (Table 1, Entries 7–8).

Although [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2 is the most widely used source of iridium for C-H borylations, reports of efficient catalysis with alternative iridium precursors prompted us to explore the efficacy of systems generated from [Ir(COD)(acac)], [Ir(COD)Cl]2 or [Ir(COD)2]BF4 and tmphen. A screen of each catalyst for the B2pin2 based borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate 3 in hexane at 50 °C for 1 h revealed that the use of [Ir(COD)(acac)] and [Ir(COD)2]BF4 gave 93% and 77% conversion, respectively, while catalyst generated from [Ir(COD)Cl]2 only reached 7% conversion in the same time, although raising the reaction temperature to 70 °C resulted in a significant improvement in conversion to 51% after 1 h (Table 1, Entries 9–11); for each system examined the selectivity profile was similar to that obtained with [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2. Finally, as high conversions have also been obtained for the borylation of benzene using catalysts generated from [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2 and 4,4′-di-tert-buty1-2,2′-bipyridine (dbpy), 4,4′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine (4,4′-Me2bpy) or 4,4′-dimethoxy-2,2′-bipyridine [4,4′-(OMe)2bpy] a brief survey of the influence of these ligands on conversion and selectivity was also undertaken, details of which are summarized in Table 1 (Entries 12–17). There was no significant difference between the efficiency of catalysts generated from either [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2, or [Ir(COD)(acac)] with each of the 4,4′-disubstituted 2,2′-bipyridines for a series of reactions conducted at
There have been reports of dramatic improvements in reactivity for the iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation of phosphines by exchanging the source of boron from bis(pinacolato)diboron ($B_2\text{pin}_2$) to pinacolborane ($HB\text{pin}$) \[75\], with the latter proving to be the reagent of choice to obtain high conversions. Under the optimum conditions identified above, the conversions of 95% and 86% obtained in hexane and dioxane, respectively with 2 equivalents of $HB\text{pin}$ after 1 h at 50 °C, and the corresponding selectivity profile for \(4\text{a-c}\) matched those obtained with $B_2\text{pin}_2$ (Table 1, Entries 18–19). Variation of the $HB\text{pin}$:substrate ratio revealed that conversions dropped quite dramatically as the ratio was reduced to 1 (Table 1, Entry 20) while an increase in this ratio to 3 or 5 resulted in complete conversion but
with significantly more bisborylation at the two meta positions such that 4b was obtained as the major product from the reaction with 5 equivalents of HBpin (Table 1, Entries 21–22). Moreover, the performance of catalyst generated from [Ir(COD)(OMe)]₂ and tmphen was also shown to be affected by the order of addition of the HBpin and ligand, with systems generated by addition of HBpin before the ligand providing the highest conversions (Table entries 2 and 23) whereas those generated by addition of the ligand before the borane gave a slightly lower conversion, consistent with previous reports [101].

Interested in exploring and comparing the progress of the reaction with B₂pin₂ and HBpin further, the variation of conversion and composition as a function of time at 50 °C in hexane was monitored and products identified and quantified using ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, as the products and starting material appeared as distinct well-separated resonances (Fig. 2), the results of this study are presented graphically in Figs. 3a and 3b, respectively. The resulting composition-time profile obtained for B₂pin₂ using 2.5 mol% [Ir(COD) (OMe)]₂ and 5 mol% tmphen shows an initial induction period followed by gradual consumption of diethyl phenylphosphonate with concomitant formation of 4a together with 4c while 4b begins to form at longer reaction times (Fig. 3a). This profile is consistent with non-selective C-H borylation as the ratio of 4a + 4b to 4c is approximately two throughout the reaction, as expected for a near statistical mixture resulting from nonselective reaction at the meta and para positions [77]. For comparison, the corresponding composition-time profile with HBpin (Fig. 3b) shows faster consumption of diethyl phenylphosphonate in the early stages of the reaction to afford 4a and 4c and a minor amount of 4b; however, longer reaction times (< 60 min) resulted in a selectivity profile similar to that obtained with B₂pin₂. In contrast to the induction period for the B₂pin₂ based borylation shown in Fig. 3a, there is no induction with HBpin; such an induction period with B₂pin₂ has been attributed to reduction of the 1,5-cyclooctadiene to afford the active trisboryl-tmphen catalyst and this reduction may well be markedly more facile in the presence of HBpin [111]. Indeed, the induction period associated with B₂pin₂ based borylations catalyzed by [Ir(COD)Cl]₂/dtbpy can be eliminated by addition of a catalytic amount of HBpin to the reaction mixture [111]. In addition, a high throughput optimization of iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation demonstrated that borylations with HBpin rival those with B₂pin₂ provided the HBpin is added before the ligand; as the order of addition of HBpin and ligand have been shown to have a dramatic effect on catalyst performance [101].
In contrast to the mild conditions and short reaction times (50 °C, 1 h) required to achieve complete conversion of the diethyl phenylphosphonate using 2.5 mol% [Ir(COD)(OMe)₂]₂/tmphen, albeit to afford a nonselective mixture of meta and para regioisomers, the [Ir(COD)(OMe)₂]₂/[P[3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃]₃ catalyzed arylphosphonate-directed ortho C-H borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate was conducted at 120 °C for 24 h and gave a conversion of only 31%; this increased to 42% with the use of [Ir(COD)(acac)] as the source of iridium [70]. Such disparate activity between catalysts based on a bipyridine and a diphosphine has previously been highlighted and attributed to the basicity of the phosphine as catalysts with electron-rich phosphines exhibit low activity whereas those with electron-poor phosphines display moderate activity [106–109]. Interestingly, the C-H borylation of diethyl o-tolyolphosphonate at 100 °C for 24 h using catalyst generated from [Ir(COD)(acac)] and either tmphen or 4,4’-di-tert-butyl-2,2’-bipyridine (dtbpy) resulted in selective borylation meta to the phosphonate to afford the corresponding boronic ester in 38% and 41% yield, respectively; of course, the severe conditions employed for this reaction raises the question of whether the presence of the 2-methyl substituent necessitated this high temperature or might the reaction have occurred under the milder conditions described here? The ability of phosphonate to act as a directing group for iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation clearly depends on the catalyst formulation as this study has shown that [Ir(COD)(acac)]/tmphen is nonselective and affords a statistical mixture of meta- and para-phosphonate phenylboronic esters while [Ir(COD)(acac)]/[P[3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃]₃ is selective for ortho-borylation, albeit it under markedly different conditions. We speculate that the active 16e iridium-trisboryl species [Ir(Bpin)₃(tmphen)] would not be able to coordinate the phosphonate during the C-H bond activating oxidative addition/σ-bond metathesis step whereas the corresponding 14e monophosphine-coordinated species would have the capacity to expand its coordination sphere to coordinate the phosphonate and thereby direct the C-H activation.

### 2.2 Iridium-Catalyzed Borylation of 3-Substituted Phosphonates

Having established that the borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate using iridium catalysts supported by bipyridine ligands was non-selective, 3-substituted phosphonates were identified as the substrates of choice for further studies, reasoning that C-H borylation of these substrates would be under steric control and, as such, would occur with high regioselectivity for meta-substitution [99, 100, 110]. Following the optimum conditions identified above for diethyl phenylphosphonate, a series of borylations were conducted with B₂pin₂ and aryl phosphonates 2a-c in hexane at 50 °C using 5 mol% catalyst generated from (1,5-cyclooctadiene) (methoxy)iridium(I) dimer and tmphen in order to identify a suitable reaction time; however, only low yields were obtained at this temperature even after extending the reaction time to 20 h. Gratifyingly, when the reaction temperature was raised to 70 °C, high conversions were obtained and the optimum reaction time was determined by monitoring the variation in conversion and composition as a function of time for the borylation of 2a-c using a combination of ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy; under these conditions 18 h was required to reach complete conversion for each substrate to afford the corresponding meta-phosphonate arylboronic esters 5a-c as the sole regioisomer (Table 2) as shorter reaction times resulted in a mixture of 2a-c and 5a-c. Under these conditions, 5a-c were obtained in high purity after filtration through a short silica plug and did not require further purification prior to modification by Suzuki–Miyaura.

### Table 2  Iridium-catalyzed borylation of diethyl arylphosphonates 2a-c as a function of solvent

| R¹ | Solute | 2a-c | 2 mol% [Ir(COD)OMe]₂ | 5 mol% tmphen | 2.5 equiv B₂pin₂ | Solvent, 70 °C, 18 h | 5a-c |
|----|--------|------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|
| Me | Hexane | 5a   | 100%                | 5b            | 78%             | 88%                 |
| Me | THF    | 5b   | 31%                 | 5c            | 85%             |                     |

Reaction conditions: 0.37 mmol of 2a-c, 0.28 mmol B₂pin₂, 2.5 mol% [Ir(COD)(OMe)₂], 5 mol% tmphen, 4 mL solvent, 70 °C, 18 h

*Isolated yields after purification by column chromatography. Average of three runs*
cross-coupling; this is a particular advantage as it simplifies the procedure and avoids undesired loss of material due to the instability of boronic esters during column chromatography.

While early optimization studies by Ishiyama, Takagi, Hartwig and Miyaura reported that the efficiency of iridium-catalyzed borylations were solvent dependent and that reactions conducted in hexane gave higher conversions than those in either DME or DMF [78], good conversions have recently been reported for phosphine and phosphonate directed C-H borylations conducted in more polar systems including THF and THF/dioxane [72, 74]. As such a comparison of the conversions obtained in THF with those in hexane showed that slightly lower conversions were obtained in THF over the same time (Table 2); this is entirely consistent with a recent high throughput optimization of iridium-catalyzed C-H borylations [101]. A comparison of the performance of in situ generated catalyst with preformed pre-catalyst revealed that polar solvents are suitable for borylations and that the lower conversion obtained with in situ generated catalyst is due the efficiency of catalyst assembly in different solvents rather than the performance of the actual catalyst [101, 102].

As for the borylation of 3, borylation of 2a-c with HBpin under otherwise identical conditions resulted in good conversions over the same time but the yields were marginally lower than those obtained with B3pin2 and the performance of catalyst was also affected by the order of addition of the HBpin and ligand such that the highest conversions were obtained when the HBpin was added before the ligand. Interestingly, while formation of the meta-phosphonate-substituted arylboron esters 5a-c as the sole product was anticipated, Clark has recently investigated aryl phosphonate-directed ortho C-H borylation and demonstrated that catalysts generated from 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 4,4′-di-tert-butyl-2,2′-bipyridine or 2-picolyamine and [Ir(COD)(acac)] were also selective for borylation of diethyl o-tolylphosphonate at the meta position whereas phosphate-based catalysts were exclusively selective for ortho C-H borylation [70].

### 2.3 Palladium Catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling of meta-Phosphonate Substituted Arylboronic Esters

Having already demonstrated that ortho-phosphonate aryl and naphthylboronic esters can be used as nucleophilic partners in the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling [69], the same protocol was applied to diversify 5a-c into a series of substituted biaryl monophosphonates by reaction with a range of aryl bromides. A brief optimization study using 5a and 4-bromoacetophenone as the benchmark combination with a 0.5 mol% loading of XPHOS-based pre-catalyst 6, revealed that the highest conversions were obtained in a 2:1 mixture of THF and water at 70 °C with K3PO4 as base, while reactions conducted in DME, dry THF and toluene under otherwise identical conditions resulted in much lower conversions. A survey of the base revealed that similar conversions were also obtained with K2CO3, Cs2CO3 and NaOH whereas NaOAc, CsF and NBu3 each gave poor conversions. Under these conditions, 6 catalyzed the reaction between electron-rich, electron-poor, and sterically hindered electrophilic partners to afford reasonable yields of the corresponding biaryl monophosphonates as spectroscopically pure oils or solids after purification by column chromatography (Table 3). For example, the yields of biaryl monophosphonate obtained from the reaction between sterically demanding substrates such as 2-bromotoluene or 1-bromonaphthalene and 5a-c matched those obtained with electron deficient substrates. In all case, minor amounts of 2a-c (7–12%) resulting from protodeboration of 5a-c were recovered during purification. At this stage, as the yields are only moderate speculation as to the origin of minor differences in conversions between the various substrate combinations is not warranted and further studies will focus on identifying more efficient catalysts and protocols to improve the yields.

### 3 Conclusions

The iridium-catalyzed C-H borylation of diethyl phenylphosphonate with B3pin2 using catalyst generated from either [Ir(COD)(acac)] or [Ir(COD)(OMe)]2 and tmphen occurs under mild conditions but is nonselective and affords a statistical mixture of meta- and para-borylated products; in contrast, the phosphonate acts as a ortho-directing group with catalyst generated from [Ir(COD)(acac)] and [P[3,5-(CF3)2-C6H3]3 i.e. the ability of phosphonate to act as a directing group depends on the catalyst formulation which is probably associated with the capacity of the active trisboryl species to expand its coordination sphere to accommodate the phosphonate during the C-H activation step. This borylation protocol was also applied to the synthesis of a series of meta-phosphonate-substituted arylboronic esters via a sterically-controlled regioselective C-H borylation of the corresponding 3-substituted aryl phosphonates; the resulting boronate esters were then used as nucleophilic coupling partners in a subsequent palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling. This protocol avoids the use of pre-functionalized aryl phosphonates to prepare biaryl monophosphonates and the substitution pattern obtained with this C-H borylation-cross coupling sequence complements that accessible via the phosphonate directed ortho C-H borylation recent developed by Clark; taken together these sequences will provide access to a diverse range of highly substituted biaryl monophosphonates. To this end, studies are currently underway to improve the efficacy of the C-H borylation, undertake kinetic investigations and optimize the
Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling step, extend the methodology to the synthesis of a much broader range of aryl and heteroaryl monophosphonates and extend the phosphonate-based nucleophilic coupling partners to zinc and magnesium reagents.

4 Experimental

4.1 General Procedures

All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification except where indicated. Air-sensitive materials were manipulated using Schlenk line techniques and flame-dried glassware under nitrogen. Where necessary, solvents were dried prior to use. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride; ethanol from magnesium; 1,4-dioxane and tetrahydrofuran (THF) from sodium/benzophenone; toluene and hexane from sodium. IR spectra were recorded on a Varian 800 FT-IR Scimitar Series infrared spectrometer. $^1$H, $^{13}$C($^1$H), and $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL ECS 400, Bruker Avance 300, Jeol Lambda 500, or a Bruker Avance 700 MHz instrument. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR were referenced against CDCl$_3$. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed in-house using a Micromass LCT premier Mass Spectrometer in Electrospray (ES) mode or by the National Mass Spectrometry Facility in Swansea. Thin layer chromatography was performed on EM reagent 0.25 mm silica gel 60F$_{254}$ plates. Visualization was accomplished with UV light and aqueous potassium permanganate (VII) solution. Column chromatography of all purified products was carried out using Fluorochem LC3025 (40–63 μm) silica gel. CHN analysis was performed on a Carlo Erba 1108 Elemental Analyser and controlled with Carlo Erba Eager 200 software.

4.2 Experimental Procedures

4.2.1 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Phosphonates 1a-c

A flame-dried nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was charged with palladium acetate (0.07 g, 0.3 mmol) and triphenylphosphine...
(0.817 g, 3.10 mmol). Aryl bromide (15.6 mmol), diisopropylamine (17.0 mL, 97.3 mmol) and diethyl phosphite (10.0 mL, 77.9 mmol) and dry ethanol (60 mL) were then added, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h. The reaction mixture was left to cool to room temperature and diluted by the addition of diethyl ether (200 mL). Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 100 mL) was added to wash the reaction mixture. The resulting aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 200 mL) and the diethyl ether extracts were washed with aqueous sodium hydroxide (2.5 M, 50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO4), filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure afford a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: petrol 2:1).

4.2.2 Methyl 3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)benzoate (2a)

Prepared according to the general procedure described above using palladium acetate (0.07 g, 0.3 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.817 g, 3.10 mmol), methyl 3-bromobenzoate (3.35 g, 15.6 mmol), diethyl phosphite (10.0 mL, 77.9 mmol) and dry ethanol (60 mL). The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: petrol 2:1).

4.2.3 Diethyl (3-((Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)phosphonate (2c)

Prepared according to the general procedure described above using palladium acetate (0.07 g, 0.3 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.817 g, 3.10 mmol), 3-bromobenzotrifluoride (2.18 mL, 15.6 mmol), diisopropylamine (17.0 mL, 97.3 mmol), diethyl phosphite (10.0 mL, 77.9 mmol) and dry ethanol (60 mL). The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: petrol 2:1) to afford 2c as colourless oil in 91% yield (4.01 g, 14.2 mmol). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.84 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H, Ar–H) 7.93 (dd, J = 13.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.73 (dd, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.54 (td, J = 7.8, 3.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 4.16–3.99 (m, 4H, -OCH2CH3); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ 166.0 (d, J = 6.5 Hz); 31P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl3): δ 172.4, 1579.7, 1560.5, 1156.3, 990.2; Rf (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.34; HRMS (ES+): exact mass calculated for C11H14O3PF3 [M + H]+ requires m/z = 283.0711, found m/z = 283.0705.

4.2.4 General Procedure for the Iridium-Catalyzed Borylation of Diethyl Phenylphosphonate (3) with B2pin2

A flame-dried nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was charged with iridium precursor (2.5 mol%), ligand (2.5 mol%) and bis(pinacolato)diboron (0.090 g, 0.28 mmol) or pinacolborane (0.0947 g, 0.74 mmol). Diethyl phenylphosphonate (0.090 g, 0.085 mL, 0.37 mmol) and dry solvent (4 mL) were then added, and the reaction mixture stirred for the allocated time at the specified temperature. After this time, the resulting solution was left to cool to room temperature, diluted by the addition of acetonitrile (2 mL), the resulting solution was left to cool to room temperature, diluted by the addition of acetonitrile (2 mL), the resulting solution passed through a silica plug and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in CDCl3 and analyzed by 31P and 1H NMR spectroscopy to quantify the composition and determine the selectivity profile.
4.2.6 General Procedure for the Iridium-catalyzed Borylation of Diethyl Phenylphosphonate (3) with HBpin

Addition of tmphen before HBpin- A flame-dried nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was charged with [Ir(COD)(OMe)]$_2$ (0.0061 g, 0.0092 mmol, 2.5 mol%), tmphen (0.0043 g, 0.018 mmol, 5 mol%) and dry hexane (4 mL). After stirring for ca. two minute, pinacolborane (0.0947 g, 0.74 mmol) was added followed by diethyl phenylphosphonate (0.090 g, 0.085 mL, 0.37 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for the allocated time at the specified temperature. After this time, the resulting solution was left to cool to room temperature, diluted by the addition of acetonitrile (2 mL), the resulting solution passed through a silica plug and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ and analyzed by $^{31}$P and $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to quantify the composition and determine the selectivity profile.

Addition of HBpin before tmphen- A flame-dried nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was charged with [Ir(COD)(OMe)]$_2$ (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.00434 g, 0.0184 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol) and dry hexane (4 mL). After stirring for ca. two minute, pinacolborane (0.0947 g, 0.74 mmol) was added followed by diethyl phenylphosphonate (0.090 g, 0.085 mL, 0.37 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for the allocated time at the specified temperature. After this time, the resulting solution was left to cool to room temperature, diluted by the addition of acetonitrile (2 mL), the resulting solution passed through a silica plug and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ and analyzed by $^{31}$P and $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to quantify the composition and determine the selectivity profile.

4.2.7 General Procedure for the Synthesis of 5a-c via Iridium Catalyzed Borylation of 2a-c

A flame-dried nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was charged with (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.00434 g, 0.0184 mmol) and bis(pinacolato) diboron (0.069 g, 0.28 mmol). The 3-substituted diethyl phenylphosphonate (0.37 mmol) and dry hexane (4 mL) were then added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 18 h at 70 °C. After this time, the resulting solution was left to cool to room temperature and diluted by the addition of acetonitrile (2 mL). The resulting solution was passed through a silica plug and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to leave a yellow oil. The crude product was used without further purification.

4.2.8 Synthesis of Methyl 3-(Diothoxyphosphoryl)-5-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-Dioxaborolan-2-yl)Benzoate (5a)

Prepared according to the general procedure described above using (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.00434 g, 0.0184 mmol), bis(pinacolato) diboron (0.069 g, 0.28 mmol), methyl 3-(dithoxyphosphoryl) benzoate (0.106 g, 0.37 mmol) and dry hexane (4 mL). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.59 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.51 (d, $J$ = 13.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.40 (d, $J$ = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 4.22 – 4.03 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.91 (s, 3H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.32 (s, 12H, Bpin-CH$_3$). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 30.1; HRMS (ES$^+$): exact mass calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{29}$BO$_7$P $[M + H]^+$ requires $m/z$ = 399.1744, found $m/z$ = 399.1747.

4.2.9 Synthesis of Diethyl 3-Methyl-[5-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-Dioxaborolan-2-yl)]Phenyl Phosphonate (5b)

Prepared according to the general procedure described above using (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.00434 g, 0.0184 mmol), bis(pinacolato) diboron (0.069 g, 0.28 mmol), diethyl m-tolylphosphonate (0.084 g, 0.37 mmol) and dry hexane (4 mL). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.94 (d, $J$ = 13.9 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.70 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.65 (d, $J$ = 14.5 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 4.14 – 3.94 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.31 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.27 (s, 12H, Bpin-CH$_3$), 1.21 (t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 17.5 (s); $^{11}$B (193 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 30.1; HRMS (ES$^+$): exact mass calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{29}$BO$_7$P $[M + H]^+$ requires $m/z$ = 355.1846, found $m/z$ = 355.1851.

4.2.10 Synthesis of Diethyl (3-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-Dioxaborolan-2-yl)Phenyl)Phosphonate (5c)

Prepared according to the general procedure described above using iridium ([1,5-cyclooctadiene](methoxy)) dimer (0.0061 g, 0.00918 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (0.00434 g, 0.0184 mmol) and bis(pinacolato) diboron (0.069 g, 0.28 mmol), diethyl (3-(trifluormethyl)phenyl) phosphonate (0.104 g, 0.37 mmol) and dry hexane (4 mL). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.93 (s, 6H, -OCH$_2$C$_3$H$_3$), 2.30 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 4.13 – 3.97 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.30 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.26 (s, 12H, Bpin-CH$_3$), 1.19 (s, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 18.2 (s); $^{11}$B (193 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 27.6; HRMS (ES$^+$): exact mass calculated for
C_{17}H_{26}BF_{3}O_{5}P \ [M + H]^+ requires m/z = 409.1563, found m/z = 409.1565.

### 4.2.11 General Procedure for the Palladium-Catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling Between m-Phosphonate-Substituted Arylboronic Esters (5a-c) and Aryl Bromides

A flame dried Schlenk flask was cooled to room temperature under vacuum, backfilled with nitrogen and charged with aryl bromide (0.551 mmol), precatalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol) and THF (4 mL). Phosphonate 5a-c (0.37 mmol) and water (2 mL) were then added, and resulting mixture heated for 18 h at 5$^\circ$C. After this time, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with diethyl ether (10 mL) and then added, and resulting mixture heated for 18 h at 5$^\circ$C. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the product purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane (2/1) as eluent).

### 4.2.12 Methyl 4'-Acetyl-5-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (7a)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromoacetophenone (0.110 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), and THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane (2/1) as eluent).

### 4.2.14 Diethyl (4'-Acetyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)Phosphonate (7c)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromoacetophenone (0.110 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), and THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane (2/1) as eluent).

### 4.2.15 Methyl 4'-Cyano-5-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-yl)Phosphonate (8a)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromobenzonitrile (0.100 g, 0.551 mmol), 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), and THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography.
(ethyl acetate: hexanes 2/1) to afford methyl 8a as an off-white solid in 41% yield (0.057 g, 0.151 mmol). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.48 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.44 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.24 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.77 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 4H, Ar–H), 4.27–4.09 (m, 4H, -CH2), 3.99 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 1.58 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H, -OCH2CH3); 31P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl3): δ 16.4 (s); IR (neat) (cm⁻¹): 2985.8, 2235.7, 1682.3, 1649.9, 1539.1, 1155.8, 993.4; Rf (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.48; HRMS (ES⁺): exact mass calculated for C19H21NO3P [M + H⁺] requires m/z = 347.1157, found m/z = 347.1157.

4.2.16 Diethyl (4'-Cyno-5-Methyl-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-yl) Phosphonate (8b)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromobenzonitrile (0.100 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K3PO4 (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5b (0.131 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.23; Anal. calcd. for C19H20NO5P; C, 61.13; H, 5.40; N, 3.75. Found: C, 61.54; H 5.79, N, 2229.0, 1608.7, 1509.2, 1152.3, 994.2; HRMS (ES⁺): exact mass calculated for C19H20NO5P [M + H⁺] requires m/z = 384.0976, found m/z = 384.0975.

4.2.17 Diethyl (4'-Cyno-5-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-yl) Phosphonate (8c)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromobenzonitrile (0.100 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K3PO4 (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5c (0.151 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.33; HRMS (ES⁺): exact mass calculated for C19H20NO5P [M + H⁺] requires m/z = 330.1295, found m/z = 330.1269.

4.2.18 Methyl 5-(Diethoxycarbonyl)-2'-Methyl-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-Carboxylate (9a)

The general procedure described above was followed using 2-bromotoluene (0.094 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K3PO4 (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5a (0.147 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) to afford 9a as a white solid in 49% yield (0.065 g, 0.181 mmol). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.37 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.12 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.90 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.35 – 6.96 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 4.25–3.97 (m, 4H, -CH2CH3), 3.87 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 2.18 (s, 3H, -CH3), 1.27 (t, J = 7.64 Hz, 6H, -OCH2CH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 166.3 (s), 142.8 (s), 139.8 (s), 136.6 (s), 135.3 (s), 131.3 (s), 130.6 (s), 129.9 (d, J = 9.2 Hz), 129.2 (d, J = 187.6 Hz), 128.9 (s), 126.2 (s) 125.7 (s), 62.6 (d, J = 20.9 Hz), 52.6 (s), 20.5 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 16.5 (d, J = 25.6 Hz); 31P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl3): δ 17.1 (s) IR (neat) (cm⁻¹): 2983.1, 1727.6, 1593.2, 1155.9, 988.3; Rf (ethyl acetate: petrol, 2: 1) = 0.30; Anal. calcd. for C19H23O5P; C, 62.98; H, 6.40. Found: C, 63.34; H, 6.97; HRMS (ES⁺): exact mass calculated for C19H23O5P [M + H⁺] requires m/z = 363.1361, found m/z = 363.1366.

4.2.19 Diethyl (2',5-Dimethyl-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-yl)Phosphonate (9b)

The general procedure described above was followed using 2-bromotoluene (0.094 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K3PO4 (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5b (0.131 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) to afford 9b as a colourless oil in 37% yield (0.044 g, 0.147 mmol). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.65 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.53 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.32–7.21 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 4.21–4.06 (m, 4H, -OCH2CH3), 2.24 (s, 3H, -ArCH3), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H, -OCH2CH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 141.5 (s), 140.0 (d, J = 8.7 Hz), 137.3 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 137.2 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 134.2 (s), 138.8 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 130.0 (s), 129.8 (s), 129.5 (d, J = 186.9 Hz), 128.9 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 125.9 (s) 124.8 (s), 61.9 (d, J = 20.6 Hz), 20.2 (s), 19.7 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 16.6 (d, J = 25.1 Hz); 31P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl3): δ 19.1 (s); IR (neat) (cm⁻¹): 2980.6, 1595.7, 1152.3, 992.2; Rf (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.48; HRMS (ES⁺): exact mass
calculated for C_{18}H_{21}O_{5}P [M + H]^+ requires m/z = 373.1179, found m/z = 373.1180.

4.2.21 Methyl 3-(Diethoxyphosphoryl)-5-(Naphthalen-1-yl) Benzoate (10a)

The general procedure described above was followed using 1-bromonaphthalene (0.114 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5a (0.147 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2/1) to afford 10a as an off-white solid in 29% yield (0.111 g, 0.256 mmol).

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 8.60 (dt, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.33 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.07 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.17–7.15 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.57–7.42 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 4.28–4.24 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.96 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 2.41 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.35 (s, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 166.3 (d, J = 16.7 Hz), 157.6 (s), 141.7 (d, J = 18.7 Hz), 137.8 (s), 137.4 (s), 135.0 (s), 132.3 (s), 131.8 (d, J = 15.2 Hz), 129.5 (s), 128.7 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 62.7 (d, J = 20.6 Hz), 52.6 (s), 16.6 (d, J = 26.0 Hz); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 17.0 (s); IR (neat) (cm$^{-1}$): 2982.9, 2925.3, 1592.1, 1152.7, 991.0; R$_f$ (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.27; Anal. calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{23}$O$_5$P: C, 66.33; H, 5.82. Found: C, 66.61; H 6.06; HRMS (ES$^+$): exact mass calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{23}$O$_5$P [M + H]^+ requires m/z = 399.1361, found m/z = 399.1362.

4.2.23 Diethyl (3-Trifluoromethyl-5-(Naphthalen-1-yl)Phenyl)Phosphonate (10c)

The general procedure described above was followed using 1-bromonaphthalene (0.114 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5c (0.151 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2/1) to afford 10c as an off-white solid in 27% yield (0.111 g, 0.256 mmol).

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 8.60 (dt, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.33 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.07 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.17–7.15 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.57–7.42 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 4.28–4.24 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.96 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 2.41 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.35 (s, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 166.3 (d, J = 16.7 Hz), 157.6 (s), 141.7 (d, J = 18.7 Hz), 137.8 (s), 137.4 (s), 135.0 (s), 132.3 (s), 131.8 (d, J = 15.2 Hz), 129.5 (s), 128.7 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 62.7 (d, J = 20.6 Hz), 52.6 (s), 16.6 (d, J = 26.0 Hz); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 17.0 (s); IR (neat) (cm$^{-1}$): 2982.9, 2925.3, 1592.1, 1152.7, 991.0; R$_f$ (ethyl acetate: hexanes, 2: 1) = 0.27; Anal. calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{23}$O$_5$P: C, 66.33; H, 5.82. Found: C, 66.61; H 6.06; HRMS (ES$^+$): exact mass calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{23}$O$_5$P [M + H]^+ requires m/z = 399.1361, found m/z = 399.1362.
for C$_{3}$H$_{3}$F$_{2}$O$_{3}$P [M + H]$^+$ requires m/z = 409.1180, found m/z = 409.1183.

### 4.2.24 Methyl 5-(Diethoxyphosphoryl)-4'-Methyl-[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-Carboxylate (11a)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromotoluene (0.100 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_{2}$PO$_{4}$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5a (0.147 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes 2/1) to afford 11a as a colourless oil in 47% yield (0.063 g, 0.173 mmol). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 8.33 (dt, J = 15.8 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 8.15 (dt, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar–H), 4.25–4.04 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$C$_{6}$H$_{5}$), 3.96 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 2.41 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.35 (t, J = 7.24 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 166.4 (s), 142.1 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 138.5 (s), 136.2 (s), 134.4 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 131.8 (s), 131.7 (s), 131.2 (d, J = 9.1 Hz), 131.1 (s), 130.7 (d, J = 187.6 Hz), 130.5 (s), 130.0 (d, J = 9.0 Hz), 127.2 (s), 62.6 (d, J = 20.6 Hz), 52.6 (s), 21.3 (d, J = 8.7 Hz), 16.5 (d, J = 25.8 Hz); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 17.3 (s); IR (neat) (cm$^{-1}$): 2983.1, 1727.6, 1593.2, 1154.6, 993.4; R$_{f}$ (ethyl acetate: hexanes 2/1) = 0.47; HRMS (ES$^+$): m/z 385.1181, found m/z 385.1182.

### 4.2.25 Diethyl (4',5-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)phosphonate (11b)

The general procedure described above was followed using 4-bromotoluene (0.094 g, 0.551 mmol), pre-catalyst 6 (0.5 mol%, 0.0015 g, 1.84 µmol), K$_{2}$PO$_{4}$ (0.17 g, 0.734 mmol), 5b (0.131 g, 0.37 mmol), THF (4 mL) and water (2 mL). The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: hexanes 2/1) to afford 11b as a colourless oil in 47% yield (0.063 g, 0.173 mmol). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 8.33 (dt, J = 15.8 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 8.15 (dt, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar–H), 4.25–4.04 (m, 4H, -OCH$_2$C$_{6}$H$_{5}$), 3.96 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 2.41 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.35 (t, J = 7.24 Hz, 6H, -OCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 166.4 (s), 142.1 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 138.5 (s), 136.2 (s), 134.4 (d, J = 8.6 Hz), 131.8 (s), 131.7 (s), 131.2 (d, J = 9.1 Hz), 131.1 (s), 130.7 (d, J = 187.6 Hz), 130.5 (s), 130.0 (d, J = 9.0 Hz), 127.2 (s), 62.6 (d, J = 20.6 Hz), 52.6 (s), 21.3 (d, J = 8.7 Hz), 16.5 (d, J = 25.8 Hz); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_{3}$): δ 17.3 (s); IR (neat) (cm$^{-1}$): 2983.1, 1727.6, 1593.2, 1154.6, 993.4; R$_{f}$ (ethyl acetate: hexanes 2/1) = 0.47; HRMS (ES$^+$): m/z 385.1181, found m/z 385.1182.
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