Re-Os Geochronology of the Liuchapo Formation across the Ediacaran-Cambrian Boundary of the Yangtze Block (South China)
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ABSTRACT: The Ediacaran-Cambrian (E-C) succession in South China records remarkable oceanic, biological and geochemical variations, but it was not well defined geochronologically, which hinders the interpretation of the spatio-temporal seawater chemical architecture during the time E-C interval. This study presents two Re-Os isochron ages of 520.2 ± 6.1 and 561.7 ± 8.5 Ma for the barite-rich black shales from the top Liuchapo and Doushantu formations respectively in Tianzhu County, Guizhou Province. In combination with existing age data, the two new Re-Os isochron ages suggest that the Liuchapo Formation was deposited between 550 and 520 Ma. Moreover, like the polymetallic Ni-Mo-PGE layers of shelf margin (or platform) facies and V-rich horizons of transitional (or shelf slope) to deep-water facies, the barite deposits were likely formed due to differential mineralization. The timing offset likely resulted from differential elemental concentration related to certain local factors (i.e., hydrothermal fluids, seawater redox and biological activity). The isochron-derived initial 206Pb/238U-Os ratios of the top Liuchapo Formation (0.902 ± 0.048) and the Doushantu Formation (0.740 ± 0.042) fall within the range of continental weathering flux (1.54) and oceanic crust (0.126), implying the involvement of marine hydrothermal fluids. Moreover, their difference of initial 206Pb/238U-Os ratios may reflect variations of continental weathering intensity and uplift magnitude.
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0 INTRODUCTION

The Ediacaran-Cambrian (E-C) transition, a critical time interval in Earth history, witnessed dramatic changes in global tectonic configuration and oceanic environment, e.g., the assembly and breakup of Rodinia supercontinent (Zhao et al., 2018), long-lasting ocean anoxia (e.g., Sun et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2014), and extensive seafloor hydrothermal activities and mineralization along platform margin (e.g., Liu et al., 2021; Han et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2009). Subsequently, metazoans appeared and radiated, in the course of significant changes in oceanic chemical environments and the extinction of Ediacaran fauna (e.g., Zhou et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Erwin et al., 2011). In South China, the EC successions were deposited continuously and well preserved notably in the deep-water setting, which could serve as an important window to understand the aforementioned major geologic processes. Numerous studies mainly focus on the deep-water shaledominated environments or the correlative shallow-water carbonate platform. The deep-water siliceous- and/or argillaceous-dominated environments, however, were paid little attention to. In contrast to shallow-water environments, the deep-water environments are characterized by more continuous sedimentation that is fundamental for the construction of age framework. Additionally, the precise stratigraphic framework of deep-water environments and correlation between deep-water and shallow-water sedimentary sequences are of significant importance to elucidate the coupling relationship between global tectonic evolution, variations in geological environment, fauna evolution and development of ore deposits during the E-C transition.

In the Yangtze Block, the Lower Cambrian polymetallic Ni-Mo-PGE layer was considered as the marker horizon for the boundary between Ediacaran and Cambrian (e.g., Shi et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2003; Mao et al., 2002). More recently, the zircon U-Pb ages and Re-Os ages derived from the Liuchapo Formation and the base of the overlying Niutitang Formation of deep-water settings, however, suggested that the E-C boundary should be placed within the Liuchapo.
Formation (e.g., Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2015; Wang X Q et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2011). Condon et al. (2005) obtained a high-precision zircon U-Pb age of 551.1 ± 0.7 Ma from the top of the Miaohe Member of the Doushantu Formation at the Jiuguqiao of western Hubei Province, which was considered as the terminal age of the Doushantu Formation or the onset age of the overlying Dengying Formation. A few researchers, however, even suggested that the Miaohe Member should be assigned to the Dengying Formation and it was supposedly deposited earlier than 550 Ma (e.g., An et al., 2015). Due to limited and discontinuous distribution of volcanic tuffs, the precise age framework of the Liuchapo Formation is still not well established.

On the other hand, the Re-Os dating can also provide direct age constraints on sedimentary rocks rich in organic matter (e.g., black shale). Previous studies have shown that the Re-Os ages of the organic-rich black shales are generally consistent with the high-precision zircon U-Pb ages within errors (e.g., Rotich et al., 2020; Tripathy and Singh, 2015; Rooney et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2009). Meanwhile, the initial 187Os/188Os ratios can constrain the temporal variations in 186Os/188Os ratios of coeval seawater, thereby tracing the sources of Os (continental or volcanic fluxes) (e.g., Liu et al., 2020; Matsumoto et al., 2020; Tripathy and Singh, 2015; Yang et al., 2009; Yamashita et al., 2007; Hannah et al., 2004). In this study, the Re-Os isochron dating method was applied to constrain the depositional ages of the Liuchapo Formation in the Tianzhu area of Guizhou Province and paleoenvironmental/paleoclimatic information of the study area during the E-C transition.

1 GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The South China Block was created by the amalgamation of the Yangtze and Cathaysia blocks along the Jiangnan Orogen during the Early Neoproterozoic (Zhao et al., 2018; Charvet, 2013). Due to intensive continental rifting of the South China since ca. 820 Ma, rift basins were developed within or along the eastern margin of the Yangtze Block, leading to the differential sedimentation across the basin strike (i.e., from shallow platform to deep-water settings) (e.g., Jiang et al., 2011; Wang and Li, 2003). During the Late Ediacaran, the shallow-water platform was mainly occupied by carbonate (mainly dolomite) rocks of the Dengying Formation, which passed basinward rapidly into bedded cherts of the Liuchapo Formation in the deep-water settings (i.e., slope to basin) (e.g., Ding et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2003). Up section, the phosphatic nodule-bearing or polymetallic Ni-Mo-PGE layers in the basal Niutitang Formation deposited on antecedent carbonate platform margin pinch out basinward and grade gradually to V- or Bar-enriched shale-chert assemblages of equivalent strata (e.g., Fu et al., 2016; Wang Y et al., 2012).

The studied borehole (Zk205) is located at the Yundong barite mine of the Tianzhu County of eastern Guizhou Province. Palaeogeographically, it was situated in the slope to basinial environments. The recovered core, in ascending order, comprises Doushantu, Liuchapo and Jiumenchang formations (Fig. 1). The Doushantu Formation, with a thickness of 40.55 m, was not penetrated completely. This formation, overlain conformably by the Liuchapo Formation, is mainly characterized by light- to dark-gray dolomites and argillaceous dolomites in lithology, grading upward into interbedded light-gray dolomites and black shale. The Liuchapo Formation, 45 m thick, is overlain by the Lower Cambrian Jiumenchang Formation and is primarily represented by dark and dark-gray chert, which passes into barite-enrich shale of 2.4 m in the uppermost part. The barite ores display various shapes (e.g., nodular, lenticular and banded) and an increase in ore grade.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The samples were collected at 10−15 cm spacing intervals from the fresh and un-weathered rocks of borehole Zk205 in Yundong mine site of Tianzhu County, Guizhou Province (Fig. 1c). Five samples (Zk205-83−Zk205-87) were sampled from the black shales within the barite ore layer of the top Liuchapo Formation to define its termination age. In view of the dominance of 4.1 m chert in the basal Liuchapo Formation, which is not suitable for Re-Os dating, four samples of black shales (Zk205-3−Zk205-6; Fig. 2) in the Member IV of the underlying Doushantu Formation were alternatively selected to constrain the depositional age of the basal Liuchapo Formation.

About 100 g of fresh broken rock samples was washed by alcohol first and dried 12 h in an oven at 60 °C. These samples were further crushed into 200 mesh in size within the agate mortar. The chemical separation follows the procedure described by Li et al. (2010a) and Fu et al. (2016). Approximately 100 mg of sample powders was reacted with a mixture of 3 mL of 10 M HCl and 5 mL of 16 M HNO3 in a Carius tube, with addition of a mixture spike solution of 185Re and 187Re (Oak Ridge National Laboratory). During the reaction process, the bottom of the tube was frozen to -50−-80 °C in an ethanol-liquid nitrogen slush. When the reaction solution was completely frozen, the tube was first sealed via an oxygen-propylene torch and then placed in a stainless steel jacket. After heated for 24 h at 200 °C, Os was transformed into OsO4. After cooling, the tube was frozen again and the reaction solution was transferred into a distillation flask with the addition of 30 mL of deionized water. Osmium was distilled by heating for 50 min at 100−105 °C and collected in 10 mL of deionized water. The residual solution was converted into a Teflon beaker and heated on a hotplate at 120 °C. The residual was reacted with 1 mL of deionized water and then was dried up on hotplate twice. Ten mL of 5 M NaOH solution was added into the Teflon beaker to obtain alkaline conditions. Ten mL of acetone was applied to extract Re in a Teflon separation funnel. And the Re-bearing acetone was washed twice with 2 mL of 5 M NaOH for 2 min and heated at 50 °C to remove acetone. The residual was dissolved with 2% HNO3. The Re content and Os isotope were measured on a high resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS, Element 2) in the Re-Os laboratory, National Research Center for Geoanalysis, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences. The Re-Os isochron ages were obtained via the linear regression analyses using the Isoplot software.

3 RESULTS

The Re-Os abundances and isotope data for the nine samples of the borehole Zk205 in the Yundong mine site of the
Tianzhu County are shown in Table 1. The samples (Zk205-83–Zk205-87) of barite-bearing black shales from the top part the Liuchapo Formation yield Re concentration of 90.73 ppb to 430.47 ppb (average 188.68 ppb), Os abundance of 0.85 ppb to 3.78 ppb (average 1.77 ppb), and $^{187}$Os concentration of 0.59 ppb to 2.67 ppb (average 1.24 ppb). The $^{187}$Re/$^{188}$Os and $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os ratios vary from 275.81 to 779.96 (average 547.32) and from 3.30 to 7.69 (average 5.67), respectively. Four samples (Zk205-3–Zk205-6) of black shales from the Member IV of the underlying Doushantu Formation have Re concentration of 25.98 ppb to 219.5 ppb (average 121.53 ppb), Os abundance of 0.63 ppb to 2.33 ppb (average 1.51 ppb), and $^{187}$Os.

**Figure 1.** (a) Palaeogeography of the earliest Cambrian in the Yangtze Platform, South China (modified after the national standard map of No. GS(2019)1676 and Chen et al., 2009). (b) Geologic sketch map of Yundong Section in Tianzhu, Guizhou Province. (c) Upper Ediacaran–Lower Cambrian stratigraphic column in the Yundong Section, Tianzhu, Guizhou Province. Reference sections: 1. Meishuchun; 2. Songling; 3. Taoying; 4. Ganziping; 5. Pingyin; 6. Bahuang; 7. Longbizui; 8. Yangtou; 9. Yundong; 10. Jiuqunao. The marked numbers (blue) on the right of the sample numbers indicate the sampling spacing.
concentration of 0.21 ppb to 1.52 ppb (average 0.86 ppb). The $^{187}$Re/$^{188}$Os and $^{188}$Os/$^{187}$Os values vary from 200.08 to 454.28 (average 345.48) and from 2.62 to 5.00 (average 3.99), respectively. The samples of barite-bearing black shales of the top part the Liuchapo Formation yield Re-Os isochron age of $520.2 \pm 6.1$ Ma (2σ uncertainty, $n = 6$, MSWD = 0.19), with initial $^{188}$Os/$^{187}$Os values of 0.902 ± 0.048 (Fig. 3). Four samples of black shales of the Member IV of the underlying Doushantuo Formation have Re-Os isochron age of $561.7 \pm 8.5$ Ma (2σ uncertainty, $n = 4$, MSWD = 1.11), with initial $^{188}$Os/$^{187}$Os values of 0.740 ± 0.042 (Fig. 3).

4 DISCUSSION
4.1 Geochronological Framework and Regional Stratigraphic Correlation

Due to the lack of correlatable fossils, the Liuchapo Formation, overlying the Doushantuo Formation, was previously considered as the time-equivalent succession of the Dengying Formation of the shallow-water settings on the basis of the correlation of the lithologies and their stacking sequence (e.g., Wang Y et al., 2012). Recently, Chen et al. (2015) reported two weighted-mean U-Pb ages of $542.1 \pm 0.47$ and $541.48 \pm 0.46$ Ma for the Lower Liuchapo Formation in deep-water settings (Longbizui Section, western Hunan Province). Two SIMS zircon U-Pb ages of $522.3 \pm 5.2$ and $522.3 \pm 3.7$ Ma were reported by Chen et al. (2015) from the basal Niutitang Formation in the transitional facies of Panmen and Bahuang (Guizhou Province). In addition, some economic geologists also gained the mineralization timing of polymetallic Ni-Mo layers in the basal Niutitang Formation via the Re-Os isochron dating method. For example, a composite Re-Os isochron age of $521 \pm 5$ Ma was obtained by Xu et al. (2011) from sulfide layer in the basal Niutitang Formation in the antecedent platform facies of Songling and Niuchang (Guizhou Province) and Sancha (Hunan Province). A Re-Os isochron age ($520.3 \pm 9.1$ Ma) of V-enriched black shale of the transitional facies of Taoying (Guizhou Province). Two SIMS zircon U-Pb ages of $522.3 \pm 5.3$ and $522.3 \pm 3.7$ Ma were reported by Chen et al. (2015) from the basal Niutitang Formation in the transitional facies of Panmen and Bahuang (Guizhou Province). Taking the measure errors into account, the high-precision zircon U-Pb ages and Re-Os isochron ages of the Lower Cambrian Niutitang Formation indicate that its depo-

| Sample No. | Formation   | Lithology | Re (ppb) | Os (ppb) | $^{187}$Os (ppb) | $^{187}$Re/$^{188}$Os | $^{188}$Os/$^{187}$Os |
|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ZK205-3    | Doushantuo  | Black shale | 219.45 ± 1.6 | 2.33 ± 0.017 | 1.52 ± 0.011 | 454.28 ± 4.60 | 5.00 ± 0.007 |
| ZK205-4    | Doushantuo  | Black shale | 164.81 ± 1.2 | 1.80 ± 0.014 | 1.15 ± 0.009 | 440.60 ± 4.45 | 4.87 ± 0.007 |
| ZK205-5    | Doushantuo  | Black shale | 75.89 ± 0.6  | 1.28 ± 0.010 | 0.57 ± 0.004 | 286.96 ± 2.90 | 3.46 ± 0.005 |
| ZK205-6    | Doushantuo  | Black shale | 25.98 ± 0.2  | 0.63 ± 0.005 | 0.21 ± 0.001 | 200.08 ± 2.02 | 2.62 ± 0.004 |
| ZK205-83   | Liuchapo    | Black shale | 216.49 ± 1.6 | 3.78 ± 0.028 | 1.63 ± 0.012 | 275.81 ± 2.79 | 3.30 ± 0.005 |
| ZK205-84   | Liuchapo    | Black shale | 148.46 ± 1.1 | 1.22 ± 0.009 | 0.96 ± 0.007 | 585.52 ± 5.91 | 6.60 ± 0.009 |
| ZK205-85   | Liuchapo    | Black shale | 90.73 ± 0.1  | 0.87 ± 0.007 | 0.59 ± 0.005 | 506.68 ± 5.13 | 5.29 ± 0.009 |
| ZK205-86   | Liuchapo    | Black shale | 430.47 ± 3.2 | 2.66 ± 0.020 | 2.67 ± 0.020 | 779.96 ± 7.87 | 7.69 ± 0.011 |
| ZK205-87   | Liuchapo    | Black shale | 96.48 ± 0.7  | 0.85 ± 0.006 | 0.62 ± 0.005 | 547.43 ± 5.52 | 5.67 ± 0.008 |

Figure 2. General features of samples Zk205-3 (a) and Zk205-5 (b) from the top part of Doushantuo Formation in the Yundong Section.
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The correlation criteria of E-C boundary across South China have not been established hitherto. Zhu et al. (2019) suggested that under the condition of biostratigraphic criteria having not been erected, the E-C boundary can be placed at the base of the basal Cambrian negative carbon isotope excursion (BACE), i.e., the transitional point from the terminal Ediacaran positive isotope plateau (EPIP) to BACE excursion. The BACE excursion is considered as a feasible method to determine the precision of high-precision zircon U-Pb ages of E-C boundary (e.g., Huang et al., 2020; Condon et al., 2005) from several E-C transitional intervals yield zircon ages of 540.7–539.6 Ma (Zhu et al., 2017), indicating that the ages of the E-C boundary should be younger than 539.6 Ma (Zhu et al., 2019). Together with the high-precision zircon ages (536.3 ± 5.5 Ma, Chen et al., 2009; 542.6 ± 3.7 Ma, Chen et al., 2015; 545.76 ± 0.66 Ma, Yang et al., 2017) from the internal Liuchapo Formation of the transitional and deep-water facies, the newly obtained Re-Os age (520.2 ± 6.1 Ma) from the barite-rich black shale of the top part of the Liuchapo Formation indicates that the E-C boundary should be placed within the Liuchapo Formation (Fig. 4). Therefore, the sedimentary sequences of the Liuchapo Formation can provide an important window to better understand the geological events during the E-C transition. A SHRIMP U-Pb age of 550 ± 3 Ma was obtained for the beginning age of the Liuchapo Formation by Zhou et al. (2018) from a K-bentonite in the basin Liuchapo Formation of Fanglong Section (geographically located in slope), Guizhou Province. Recently, a LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb age of 550.6 ± 3.3 Ma was obtained by Chen et al. (2020) from the tuff in the basin Liuchapo Formation at the Yangtou Section, Guizhou Province, which is consistent with the age (551.1 ± 0.7 Ma) of the top part of the Miaohu Member in the Three Gorges. These ages attest to the initial depositional age of approximately 550 Ma for the Liuchapo Formation. A Re-Os age of 561.7 ± 8.5 Ma was obtained in this study from the black shale of the top part of the Doushantuo Formation, the sampling position of which was 2.2 m below the base of the Liuchapo Formation. This age is 10 Ma older than the previously reported high-precision zircon U-Pb age (551.1 ± 0.7 Ma; Condon et al., 2005) of the top part of the Doushantuo Formation. The average sedimentation rate of the 2.2 m interval is calculated to approximately be 0.22 mm/ka. The calculated sedimentation rate is lower than the reported values (0.3–0.4 mm/ka) that was calculated for the 12 m interval below the E-C boundary (Zhu et al., 2013) and those (2 or 1 mm/ka) estimated for other condensed black shales that were similarly deposited in stratified water column under euxinic conditions (Finlay et al., 2010; Stein, 1990). The discrepancy is possibly ascribed to the development of one or several hiatuses in this interval, the possibility of which is most likely ruled out by the deep-water setting of borehole Zk205 in Yunding mine site. Alternatively, the depositional age of the sam-

Figure 3. (a) Re-Os isochron diagrams for the samples from the top part of Liuchapo Formation in the Yundong Section; (b) Re-Os isochron diagrams for the samples from the top part of Doushantuo Formation in the Yundong Section.
Figure 4. Stratigraphic correlation of the E-C boundary successions between platform and deep-water facies in Yangtze Platform, South China. The U-Pb ages in Meishucun, Songlin, Taoying, Ganziping, Pingyin, Bahuang, Longbizui, Yangtou and Jiuqunao sections are derived from Jenkins et al. (2002), Jiang et al. (2009), Wang X Q et al. (2012), Chen D Z et al. (2015, 2009), Wang W et al. (2020), Yang et al. (2017), Zhou et al. (2018), Chen C et al. (2020), and Condon et al. (2005), respectively. The Re-Os ages are from Xu et al. (2011) and Fu et al. (2016).
### Table 2 Compilation of chronometric data of the Liuchapo Formation

| Analysis method                  | Age (Ma)       | Section and location                                                                 | Sampling position                                                                                           | References                  |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Re-Os dating                    | 561.7 ± 8.5    | Yangtou, Guizhou                                                                    | 2.2 m below the boundary between the Liuchapo and Doushantuo formations                                      | This study                  |
| SHRIMP U-Pb dating              | 550 ± 3        | Fanglong, Guizhou                                                                   | From a K-bentonite in the lowermost part of the Liuchapo Formation                                           | Zhou et al. (2018)          |
| LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating           | 550.6 ± 3.3    | Yangtou, Guizhou                                                                    | From a tuff in the basal Liuchapo Formation                                                                | Chen et al. (2020)          |
| U-Pb zircon dating              | 550.1 ± 0.7    | Jiaswan, Hubei                                                                       | From an ash bed at the top of the black shale member containing the Miaohe biota                            | Condon et al. (2005)        |
| SIMS and CA-ID-TIMS U-Pb zircon dating | 545.76 ± 0.66 | Longbuzai, Hunan                                                                     | Approximately 20 m above the base of the Liuchapo Formation                                               | Yang et al. (2017)          |
| SIMS U-Pb zircon dating         | 542.6 ± 3.7    | Bahuang, Guizhou                                                                    | 4.15 m below the top of the Liuchapo Formation                                                             | Chen et al. (2015)          |
| SIMS U-Pb zircon dating         | 542.1 ± 5.0    | Ganziping, Guizhou                                                                  | 0.7 m above the base of the Liuchapo Formation                                                             | Chen et al. (2015)          |
| CA-ID-TIMS U-Pb zircon dating   | 541.48 ± 0.46  | Pingyin, Guizhou                                                                     | 2.4 m below the boundary between the Liuchapo and Niutitang formations                                      | Wang et al. (2020)          |
| CA-ID-TIMS U-Pb zircon dating   | 536.40 ± 0.47  | Pingyin, Guizhou                                                                     | 1.2 m below the boundary between the Liuchapo and Niutitang formations                                      | Wang et al. (2020)          |
| SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating       | 536.3 ± 5.5    | Ganziping, Hunan                                                                    | Not mentioned                                                                                                | Wang X Q et al. (2012)      |
| SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating       | 532.3 ± 0.7    | Guizhou                                                                             | From a volcanic ash bed just below the Ni-Mo sulfide marker in the lowermost Niutitang Formation           | Jiang et al. (2009)         |
| SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating       | 522.7 ± 4.9    | Taoying, Guizhou                                                                     | From a 10-cm-thick tuffaceous bed just below the polymetallic layer                                       | Wang et al. (2012)          |
| SIMS U-Pb dating                | 522.3 ± 3.7    | Bahuang, Guizhou                                                                    | At the base of the Niutitang Formation                                                                      | Chen et al. (2015)          |
| Re-Os dating                    | 521 ± 5        | Guizhou and Hunan                                                                   | Not mentioned                                                                                                | Xu et al. (2011)            |
| Re-Os dating                    | 520.3 ± 9.1    | Bahuang, Guizhou                                                                    | From a V-rich horizon above nodular phosphorite marker at the base of the Niutitang Formation            | Fu et al. (2016)            |
| Re-Os dating                    | 520.2 ± 6.1    | Yangtou, Guizhou                                                                    | From the barite-rich black shale of the top part of the Liuchapo Formation                                | This study                  |
plied interval is younger than the Re-Os age of 561.7 ± 8.5 Ma. Taking 0.3–2 mm/ka as the sedimentation rate of the sampled interval, its calculated depositional ages range from 552.2 to 558.4 Ma, which is comparable to the newly-obtained Re-Os age of 561.7 ± 8.5 Ma within error. Hence, it also attests to the lower limit (~550 Ma) of depositional age of the Liuchapo Formation.

4.2 Implications for Os Isotopic Composition of Ediacaran-Cambrian Seawater

The mass balance of Os in seawater is primarily influenced by the combination of radiogenic contribution from riverine-delivered continental weathering (\(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}=1.54\)) and unradiogenic contribution from alteration of oceanic crust (\(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}=0.126\)) and cosmic dusts (\(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}=0.126\)) (Cohen, 2004; Levasseur et al., 1999). Since the cosmic dust-derived Os only accounts for ~14% of the contribution of the unradiogenic component, the riverine-derived and oceanic crust sources are considered as the major contribution to the Os composition of seawater (e.g., McDaniel et al., 2004; Levasseur et al., 1999). Hence, the secular fluctuations of the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) values of seawater can be utilized to trace the variations of Os input that is primarily related by glacioeustatic sea-level fluctuations, tectonics and paleoclimatic changes (e.g., Tripathy and Singh, 2015; McDaniel et al., 2004; Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Ravizza, 2000).

The present-day seawater has \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) values of ~1.0, pointing to the main contribution of riverine-derived weathering of radiogenic crustal rocks under oxic conditions (e.g., Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Ravizza, 2000; Cohen et al., 1999; Sharma and Wasserburg, 1997). In contrast, the estimated \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) values (0.1–0.15) of the Archean seawater are much lower, denoting the dominance of oceanic crust-derived Os under anoxic condition (e.g., Yang et al., 2009; Hannah et al., 2004). Although the conversion of seawater Os from oceanic crust- to riverine-dominated flux is still poorly constrained, it was believed to likely take place during the Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic (Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Ravizza, 2000). And the increase in seawater Os isotopic composition has been interpreted as a response to influx derived from enhanced weathering of continental crust rocks under oxic conditions, which was roughly associated with the amalgamation of Rodinia supercontinent (e.g., Turgeon et al., 2007; Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Ravizza, 2000; Sharma and Wasserburg, 1997).

Due to the hydrogenous origin of majority of the Os in marine organic-rich sedimentary rocks, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) values from their Re-Os isochron regressions are commonly applied to define osmium isotopic composition of the coeval seawater (Rooney et al., 2014; Cohen, 2004; Cohen et al., 1999). In addition, the residence time of Os in the modern ocean is ~10\(^8\) year (Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Ravizza, 2000; Levasseur et al., 1999; Oxburgh, 1998; Sharma and Wasserburg, 1997), which is much shorter than that (~2 Ma) of strontium (Sr) (Kendall et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 1999). This attribute permits Os as a better tracer to record the subtle changes in seawater chemistry than the Sr isotope (Cohen, 2004; Ravizza and Peucker-Ehrenbrink, 2003). Moreover, only in case of sedimentary basin being well connected to the open ocean, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) ratios of organic-rich sedimentary rocks can be reasonably utilized to reflect the Os isotopic compositions of global seawater (e.g., Fu et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2013; Cohen, 2004). Previous studies have suggested that the study area was located in an open-marine setting during the sedimentation of the Liuchapo and Doushantu Formation (e.g., Ding et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2011). Hence, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) ratios of organic-rich black shales of the formations can be applied to trace the Os isotopic compositions of global seawater. The initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) value (0.740 ± 0.042) of black shale of top part (Member IV) of the Doushantu Formation falls within the range between more radiogenic (~1.54) and unradiogenic (~0.126) Os isotopic ratios and is also lower than the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) ratio (~1.0) of modern normal seawater (Fig. 5), pointing to that the less radiogenic Os derived from alteration of oceanic crust were incorporated into the sedimentation of black shale. In addition, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) value of the Member IV of the Doushantu Formation is below the reported values (0.79–0.91; Zhu et al., 2013 and 1.22 ± 0.26; Kendall et al., 2009) of corresponding horizons in the Three Gorges area (Hubei Province). This discrepancy is likely ascribed to the different paleogeographic locations of the two localities during the deposition of the Member IV of the Doushantu Formation (e.g., Dickson et al., 2015; Du Vivier et al., 2014). In contrast to the Yundong area (Guizhou Province), the Three Gorges area was likely situated more proximal to paleocoastline and landmass, which was influenced by higher influx of radiogenic riverine-delivered Os from the weathering of continental crust. In addition, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) value (0.902 ± 0.048) of the top part of the Liuchapo Formation is comparable with those of contemporaneous polymetallic Ni-Mo layers (0.87 ± 0.07, Xu et al., 2011; 0.90 ± 0.09, Fu et al., 2016) and black shale (0.83 ± 0.02; Wei et al., 2018). All \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) values are below the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) value (~1.0; Levasseur et al., 1998) of modern normal seawater (Fig. 5), indicating that the precipitation of barites of the top part of the Liuchapo Formation was also likely influenced by the mixing of hydrothermal fluids. Moreover, the initial \(^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}\) value of the top part of the Liuchapo Formation is more positive than that of the Member IV of the Doushantu Formation, indicating more incorporation of radiogenic continent-derived Os into the precipitates. This phenomenon points to increased input of radiogenic Os due to enhanced continental weathering during the Ediacaran, likely ascribed to the convergence of West and East Gondwana at the time interval of 650–500 Ma (Sawaki et al., 2010). The interpretation is also supported by a corresponding increase of \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) (e.g., Halverson and Shields-Zhou, 2011; Halverson et al., 2007).

5 CONCLUSIONS

(1) The Re-Os isochron ages of the top Liuchapo Formation and the Doushantu Formation suggest that the Liuchapo Formation was likely deposited between 550 and 520 Ma.

(2) The large-scale mineralization of barite took place earlier than the development of the polymetallic Ni-Mo-PGE layer and V-enriched horizon; the timing offset likely resulted from differential elemental concentration related to certain local factors (i.e., hydrothermal fluids, seawater redox and biological activity).

(3) The hydrothermal mixing was likely involved in the precipitation of barites of the top Liuchapo Formation. More-
Re-Os Geochronology of the Liuchapo Formation across the Ediacaran-Cambrian Boundary of the Yangtze Block

Figure 5. Initial $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os values of the seawater evaluated from Re-Os isochron regressions of Precambrian organic-rich sedimentary rock and synsedimentary-early diagenetic pyrite in organic-rich sedimentary rock. Data are compiled from Rooney et al. (2011, 2010) and Kendall et al. (2009). Red square, from the upper part (Member IV) of the Doushantuo Formation; blue square, from the top part of the Liuchapo Formation.

over, the increase of initial $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os values between the two study intervals was probably ascribed to gradual enhancement of continental weathering intensity and riverine flux during the continent uplift.
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