Treatment of Capitellar Osteochondritis Dissecans With a Novel Regenerative Technique

Case Report of 3 Patients After 4 Years
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Osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) is a joint condition in which bone underneath the cartilage loses its vitality owing to a lack of blood flow. This disease mainly affects young people practicing sports activities, and the elbow is the second-most affected site in the body (after the knee), representing 6% of overall OCD.8,16 Indications for surgical treatment include the presence of loose bodies, mechanical symptoms (eg, articular locking), unstable lesions, and stable lesions still symptomatic after 6 months of conservative management.24,27 The ideal surgical treatment has not yet been identified, and the currently performed procedures are borrowed from experience with other major joints, such as the knee or ankle.

Several treatment techniques have been described for OCD, such as debridement, drilling, microfracture, fragment fixation, osteochondral autografting or allografting, and autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI).9 These techniques show well-known positive aspects but also some important drawbacks: (1) the lack of restoration of high-quality cartilaginous tissue (ie, for microfracture), (2) the high costs and patient discomfort (ie, ACI requires 2-step surgery and a dedicated laboratory for the cell culture), (3) donor site morbidity attributed to the plug's harvest from a healthy joint,6 and (4) limited donor availability (ie, for autologous or homologous osteochondral grafts). Recent acquisitions in the field of regenerative medicine have demonstrated that bone marrow–derived cells (BMDCs) on a scaffold are able to replicate and regenerate bone as well as cartilaginous tissue, without any need for laboratory treatment.1,10,13,21 BMDC transplantation was proposed and successfully performed for the treatment of knee and ankle OCD. Owing to the multipotential ability of bone marrow nucleated cells, in association with platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), the osteochondral layer may regenerate and show properties similar to those of the original hyaline cartilage.2,3,29,30

The aim of this case report is to describe the surgical technique and clinical outcomes, at a mean 4 years of follow-up, for the first 3 patients affected by elbow OCD and treated by BMDC transplantation with a 1-step technique. All patients showed closed physes and had experienced no relief after 6 months of conservative management. This study was approved by an institutional review board, and all 3 patients provided informed consent.

CASES

Case 1

A 15-year-old boy came to our institution with right elbow pain that had developed 2 years previously; the pain had become more intense in the past months, limiting his ability to play water polo. Clinical examination showed pain in...
the capitellar area and a range of movement from 15° to 130° in flexion and extension, with no limitations in pronation and supination.

Radiograph, computed tomography scan, and magnetic resonance imaging showed signs of OCD, with no clear signs of fluid infiltrate underlying the cartilage.

Case 2
The second case involved a 12-year-old boy who had experienced elbow pain for about 7 months, with significantly worse pain in the past 2 months. He was a competitive gymnast who had to stop the agonistic activity because of the intense pain during weightbearing on the elbow: this activity, uncommon for other athletes, is often performed during gymnastics training and competitions. Pain was reported in the posterior area of the right lateral epicondyle, decreasing with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs but returning after moderate activity. He showed no range of motion limitation, and imaging reported signs of capitellar OCD.

Case 3
The third case involved a 17-year-old patient who had experienced pain in his right elbow for about 3 years. The pain resulted in a reduction of volleyball from a competitive to a recreational level, and conservative therapy did not improve the symptoms over time. There was persistent pain and range of movement restrictions, and OCD was documented on imaging.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE
The surgical technique for the BMDCs consisted of several phases, all performed during the same surgical session. The procedure was performed by full arthroscopy in 2 cases and with combined arthroscopy and a mini-open procedure in 1 case.

PRF Gel Production
Autologous platelet gel was used to provide direct, in situ additional growth factors for stem cell proliferation and differentiation, being an “accelerator” for healing processes and containing several types of molecules that promote bone and cartilage regeneration. Moreover, PRF is rich in fibrin and is able to coagulate faster than regular platelet-rich plasma.

The PRF was produced on the day before the operation. Peripheral venous blood (120 mL) was harvested and processed with the Vivostat System (Vivolution A/S) to obtain 6 mL of PRF, which was cryopreserved at −30°C until the time of surgery.

Bone Marrow Aspiration
Bone marrow was aspirated from the posterior superior iliac crest after preparation of a sterile surgical field with the patient lying in the lateral decubitus position. The posterior superior location is preferable to the anterior iliac crest because of the higher number of available cells. The equipment for the iliac crest harvest comes with a dedicated kit for osteochondral regeneration (IOR-G1; Novagenit).

A total of 60 mL of whole bone marrow was harvested and then concentrated in the surgical theater by eliminating most of the plasma and erythrocytes. Using a cell separator-concentrator (Res-Q; ThermoGenesis) and its related sterile and disposable kit allowed for an increased concentration of nucleated cells. After a 15-minute working cycle, 6 mL of concentrated cells were obtained.

Elbow Arthroscopy
The patient was positioned in lateral decubitus (Figure 1), with the arm free to be moved on an arm holder and a dedicated sterile ischemic tourniquet at the limb's root inflated to 250 mm Hg. Sterile saline solution (20 mL) was then injected into the joint from the center of the triangle composed by the olecranon, radial head, and lateral epicondyle.

Anterior Phase. The elbow joint was first approached by standard anteromedial and anterolateral arthroscopic portals (Figure 2). The radial head, capitulum humeri, and lateral articular capsule were then inspected: the coronoid, coronoid fossa, and anteromedial surface of the articular capsule from the anterolateral portal, with the capitulum humeri from the anteromedial portal. By means of a necessary anterior portal (medial or lateral), the capsule was retracted for better visualization. With the help of a probe, the osteochondral fragment was identified and the indication confirmed. The fragment was then removed and the subchondral bone curetted below the subchondral plate until bleeding bone was reached.

Posterolateral Phase. This phase was used to better perform the surgical procedure on the capitulum humeri, with posterolateral and midlateral portals. With the elbow flexed to 90°, the osteochondral fragment was detected and removed, shaving the damaged subchondral bone with standard instruments, including a bur and curette. At this point, in cases 2 and 3, surgery was performed by full arthroscopy, and in case 1, the surgery was converted into a mini-open procedure to better perform the biomaterial implant. In this last case, the 2 portals (midlateral and posterolateral) were joined by a skin incision, and the capsule was approached and sectioned in the interval between the anconeus and extensor carpi ulnaris. The lesion size was measured, and the biomaterial to be implanted was then cut accordingly to better reproduce the lesion shape (Figures 3 and 4).

The collagen membrane included within the IOR-G1 kit was used for cell support. Approximately 2 mL of marrow concentrate was loaded onto the highly hydrophilic membrane and quickly absorbed (Figure 5). The biomaterial was loaded into the lesion site directly in the mini-open procedure or by sliding it to the edge of the lesion with the help of a special windowed cannula (Figure 2). A flat probe then helped to position the biomaterial. To provide a growth factor supplement and to improve the
stability of the implant, the PRF was then applied to cover the lesion with a dedicated spray pen (Figure 6). The biomaterial, given its soft consistency, does not aim to immediately restore the articular congruity; this is supposed to happen with the subsequent activity of the multipotent cells. The biomaterial should be positioned slightly below the adjacent cartilage level, to avoid its accidental dislocation with postoperative elbow movements.

Figure 1. The patient is positioned in lateral decubitus. (A) The bony landmarks are highlighted on the skin. (B) An optical instrument and a probe were inserted through the posterior portals. The arrow points to the anterolateral portal.

Figure 2. Standard arthroscopic portals are performed for the elbow arthroscopy: (A) anteromedial and anterolateral and (B) posterolateral and midlateral portals. (C) Specific instruments already developed for arthroscopic chondrocyte implantation were used: a flat probe, different-size windowed cannulas, and a dedicated trocar for the biomaterial insertion. (D) Arthroscopic biomaterial insertion via the windowed cannula through the posterolateral portal.

Figure 3. In case 1, once the lesion was detected and curetted, a mini-open procedure was performed connecting the 2 posterior portals. The lesion was exposed without detaching the lateral collateral ligament complex.

Figure 4. The lesion size is accurately measured with the help of an (A) aluminum phantom, exactly contoured on (B) the lesion site.
Implant stability was checked with multiple elbow flexions and extensions, and a gravity articular drain was positioned in the anterior chamber with the elbow flexed at 90° far from the lesion site. It is important to respect these indications for drainage positioning to prevent biomaterial dislocation. A proper skin closure was then performed.

Postoperative Care

A restriction splint at 90° of flexion, with neutral rotation and pronation-supination blocked, was positioned postoperatively. The articular drain was removed the day after surgery. From the second day after surgery, patients were allowed to remove the splint twice a day to perform auto-assisted exercises, including flexion-extension and pronation-supination movements. One month after surgery, patients began progressive mobilization and exercises in the pool assisted by a therapist, and at 2 months a dry rehabilitation protocol was allowed, avoiding exercises causing elbow compression. From the fourth to sixth month, patients could perform joint reinforcement, and sport-specific exercises (eg, throwing or swimming) were allowed at 6 months postoperatively. After good progress through the rehabilitation protocol and the absence of pain, patients were allowed full competitive athletic activities at 9 months.

RESULTS

Clinical

Lesion sizes measured in the 2 main and perpendicular axes were 1.5 × 1 cm (case 1), 1.2 × 1.3 cm (case 2), and 1 × 1.3 cm (case 3). No minor or major complications were reported. No donor site morbidity was experienced by the patients with regard to iliac crest harvest. All 3 patients showed clinical improvement, with slight range of motion improvement at maximum follow-up (the full data set is reported in Table 1). All patients returned to play the competitive sports activity they participated in before surgery, without symptoms or limitations, starting from 9 months after surgery. The Mayo Elbow Performance Score improved from 78.3 to 93.3 at follow-up. The Oxford Elbow Score increased from 40.0 to 47.6.

Imaging

The surgical procedures were followed up with serial radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging at 6, 12, and 36 months. The lesion site progressed with the regenerative process over time, with the formation of regenerated tissue and with the aspect of bone in the deep portion covered by soft tissue similar to adjacent cartilage in the articular surface previously affected by OCD (Figures 7-9).

DISCUSSION

OCD of the capitellum is a frequent and disabling injury among young athletes. The treatment is usually guided by clinical findings, radiographic appearance, status of the overlying articular cartilage, and position of the involved segment. Nonsurgical treatment is typically selected for patients with early-grade stable lesions, and it is based on activity restriction and rest. Nevertheless, long-term studies have reported poor results with conservative treatment, with an early development of osteoarthritis in >50% of patients and with residual symptoms affecting the quality of life. Takahara et al observed a greater chance of healing of these lesions among patients with open physes, whereas Ruch et al did not find the same correlation.

Surgical indications include the presence of loose bodies, mechanical symptoms, unstable lesions, and stable lesions that have failed 6 months of nonsurgical management. Many surgical options are described in the literature, with positive and negative aspects. Bauer et al and Harada et al indicated open fragment excision and debridement only for defects involving <50% of the articular surface. Fragment fixation has been performed via several techniques, from Herbert screws to reabsorbable pins or bone peg fixation. Takahara et al in a recent review of the authors’ own cases, recommended fixation for lesions of grade II (International Cartilage Repair Society) and grafting in grade III. Numerous investigators have studied the role of arthroscopic debridement in OCD, showing an improvement in pain and function after the procedure.
However, after debridement, recurrence of loose bodies often affected return to sport, and an increasing presence of osteoarthritic joint degeneration was observed.\(^\text{26}\) Drilling and microfracture techniques have led to positive results, but Bojanić et al\(^\text{7}\) observed a lesion filling with fibrocartilage, with lower mechanical properties than those of hyaline cartilage.

Based on experience gained on lower limb surgical techniques, osteochondral autograft transfer was recently introduced for elbow OCD.\(^\text{5,26}\) Despite encouraging results, there are still many disadvantages with the use of this technique in the elbow—the need for a donor site, for example, leading to local secondary morbidity\(^\text{6}\) and the technical difficulty of proper plug insertion, which often involves a noncongruence in graft placement. Finally, the cartilage composition and the curvature of the surface are different between the donor site of the knee and the recipient elbow, reducing the possibilities for an optimal result.

In this scenario, we decided to follow the experience acquired in our hospital with regenerative treatment via a 1-step technique on osteochondral lesions of the elbow.

### TABLE 1

**Patient Demographics and Clinical Results\(^a\)**

| Case | Age, y | MRI | ICRS | FLEX | EXT | PRON | SUP | ROM at Follow-up | OES | MEPS | Recovery, mo | Follow-up, mo |
|------|--------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|------------------|-----|------|-------------|--------------|
| 1    | 15     | 3   | 3    | 130  | 15  | 90   | 90  | 145              | 0   | 90   | 90          | 39           | 47           | 6            | 82            |
| 2    | 13     | 3   | 3    | 140  | 0   | 90   | 90  | 140              | 0   | 90   | 90          | 41           | 48           | 6            | 34            |
| 3    | 17     | 4   | 4    | 130  | 10  | 80   | 80  | 140              | 0   | 85   | 90          | 41           | 46           | 6            | 30            |

\(^a\)EXT, extension; FLEX, flexion; ICRS, International Cartilage Repair Society; MEPS, Mayo Elbow Performance Score; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OES, Oxford Elbow Score; POST, postoperative; PRE, preoperative; PRON, pronation; ROM, range of motion; SUP, supination.

**Figure 7.** (A–C) Preoperative computed tomography scan showing the area of osteochondritis dissecans. (D–F) Same area at 3-year follow-up: a regeneration of the bony layer is evident, even if a small spot of nonossified subchondral bone is present (case 1).

**Figure 8.** Three-dimensional computed tomography scan (A) preoperatively and (B) at 3-year follow-up (case 1).

However, after debridement, recurrence of loose bodies often affected return to sport, and an increasing presence of osteoarthritic joint degeneration was observed.\(^\text{26}\) Drilling and microfracture techniques have led to positive results, but Bojanić et al\(^\text{7}\) observed a lesion filling with fibrocartilage, with lower mechanical properties than those of hyaline cartilage.

Based on experience gained on lower limb surgical techniques, osteochondral autograft transfer was recently introduced for elbow OCD.\(^\text{5,26}\) Despite encouraging results, there are still many disadvantages with the use of this technique in the elbow—the need for a donor site, for example, leading to local secondary morbidity\(^\text{6}\) and the technical difficulty of proper plug insertion, which often involves a noncongruence in graft placement. Finally, the cartilage composition and the curvature of the surface are different between the donor site of the knee and the recipient elbow, reducing the possibilities for an optimal result.

In this scenario, we decided to follow the experience acquired in our hospital with regenerative treatment\(^\text{13}\) via a 1-step technique on osteochondral lesions of the elbow.
CONCLUSION

The described cases indicate that the 1-step BMDC technique is a regenerative procedure that may be performed for the treatment of elbow OCD. This technique, which has proven to be effective for other joints, overcomes several drawbacks of different state-of-the-art techniques for the treatment of OCD. Furthermore, the possibility to perform it arthroscopically reduces the risk of infection and tissue damage and accelerates postoperative rehabilitation protocols.
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