Effectiveness of a WeChat Combined Continuous Flash Glucose Monitoring System on Glycemic Control in Juvenile Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Management: Randomized Controlled Trial

Purpose: Smartphones have received increasing attention and achieved positive outcomes in diabetes intervention. The widespread use of WeChat in China provides an opportunity for self-management practices in patients with diabetes. Nevertheless, how to combine the strengths of the WeChat platform with traditional medical strategy remains to be explored. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of a novel flash glucose monitoring device combined with the WeChat platform in juvenile type 1 diabetes management.

Patients and Methods: A total of 60 juvenile patients with type 1 diabetes were randomly assigned into three groups: a blood glucose self-monitoring group (group A), a flash glucose monitoring group (group B), and a flash glucose monitoring combined WeChat-interactive management group (group C). The intergroup differences in demographics, biochemical indicators, and questionnaire scores of the Diabetes Monitoring and Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire and Diabetes Specific Quality of Life assessment were compared at the baseline and after 6 months.

Results: After the 6-month intervention, groups B and C showed significantly lower glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels compared to those observed at baseline (both P < 0.05), with the largest decrease observed in group C (group B vs group C, P = 0.04). Hypoglycemic episodes per month decreased from baseline in groups B and C (both P < 0.05) and were more significant in group C (P < 0.001). In addition, the DMTSQ scores increased in the 6th month in all groups (all P < 0.05), and the largest rise in scores was found in group C, followed by groups B and A. The DQOL scores in groups B and C decreased significantly from the baseline (both P < 0.05), with no change in group A.

Conclusion: Flash glucose monitoring combined with the WeChat-interactive system may help achieve sustained glycemic control and higher satisfaction in patients with juvenile type 1 diabetes.

Trial Registration: This study was registered at chictr.org.cn, number ChiCTR1900025495. Registered 29 August 2019.
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Introduction

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D) is an autoimmune disease in which immune cells attack and destroy insulin-producing pancreatic β cells. According to the latest nationwide population-based registry study, the estimated incidence of T1D per 100,000 person-years for all ages in China was 1.01.1
Early glycemic control is particularly important for preventing the development and progression of chronic complications of diabetes. It is well known that hypoglycemia causes cognitive impairment and mood changes, such as depression, irritation, and fear. In severe cases, changes in brain metabolism can even cause permanent sequelae and be potentially life-threatening. The goal of diabetes treatment in adolescents is to maintain blood glucose level within the normal range without recurrent or severe hypoglycemia; that is, a balance between hyperglycemia treatment and minimizing the frequency of hypoglycemic episodes should be maintained.

Regular self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) is particularly important for patients with T1D to assess glycemic control, determine the risk of hypoglycemia, and respond promptly. However, it is also accompanied by the burden and pain associated with multiple needle pricks for blood collection and the complexity of the procedures for use. Continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGM) measure interstitial fluid glucose concentrations (ISFG) throughout the day and night; thus, they can provide much more sufficient blood glucose management information than SMBG. The newly developed Abbott FreeStyle Libre is a flash glucose monitoring system (FGM) that requires a reader to scan the subcutaneous probe to obtain glycemic values.

HbA1c is currently recognized as the key surrogate marker for developing long-term diabetes complications and has been used as the primary endpoint for many CGM studies. HbA1c reflects average glucose over the last 2–3 months, but it does not reflect glycemic variability. The use of FreeStyle Libre allows not only recurrent painless glucose sampling but also a real-time observation of glycemic excursions and daily profiles, which can impact immediate therapy decisions.

Achieving optimal glycemic control requires intensive self-management for adolescents with T1D. However, adolescents with T1D struggle with achieving therapeutic targets for their shortage of medical knowledge, variable lifestyles, and less outpatient visits. Additionally, owing to many patients with diabetes and the shortage of medical staff in China, clinicians and nurses are often too overwhelmed to provide normative and continuous management for patients with diabetes. The widespread use of smartphones, especially among the younger population, offers an opportunity to provide diabetes information and interaction with physicians. Given adolescents’ propensity for new technology, web-based interventions may provide a feasible communication with healthcare providers and motivate them to improve self-management.

Although interest in this technology increases, the clinical value of these web-based interventions remains unclear. To date, most studies were performed in adults with type 2 diabetes, rarely among adolescents with T1D. Furthermore, few of the web-based intervention provided users with personalized education, feedback, or motivation, which are emphasized in current clinical guidelines for behavior change and comprehensive self-management.

In China, WeChat is the most popular social networking platform; it is a free app characterized by high convenience and accessibility. Through the app, complex information can be presented through video and graphics and provide a feasible way to spread health information to the public. Many studies have shown that WeChat has great potential in health intervention. However, to our knowledge, no well-designed studies have focused on a WeChat–based intervention for T1D in China.

Therefore, this study aims to clarify the effect of the WeChat intervention combined with FGM management on glycemic control, hypoglycemia incidence, and life quality in T1D patients.

Patients and Methods

Trial Design

A parallel, three-group, randomized controlled trial study was carried out for 6 months. Participants were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism of Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People’s Hospital from January 2019 to June 2019. By using a set of random numbers, the participants were randomly assigned into three groups in a 1:1:1 ratio: group A (SMBG group), group B (FGM group), and group C (FGM combined WeChat-interactive management group). This study was registered at chictr.org.cn, number ChiCTR1900025495.

Study Participants

The study inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) adolescent patients (age 10–19 years) diagnosed with T1D with the criteria established by WHO in 1999, with diabetes duration longer than 1 year; 2) glycosylated hemoglobin A1c concentration between 7% and 10%; 3) use of multiple daily insulin (MDI) and continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) for at least 3 months, stable diabetes...
medication regimen for 3 months before study entry (change in insulin ≤20%); 4) previous documentation of blood glucose level self-monitoring regularly for 2 months (at least three times per day) and willingness to continue for at least 6 months; 5) willingness to wear CGM; 6) ability to speak, read, and write Chinese; 7) ability to use WeChat or have a family member able to use the WeChat platform.

The exclusion criteria included the following: 1) the use of CGM 3 months before study entry; 2) having severe diabetic complications such as diabetic retinopathy and diabetic nephropathy; 3) having a known allergy to medical-grade adhesives or CGM and its affiliated components; 4) being pregnant or planning pregnancy; 5) recent severe diseases like myocardial infarction, stroke, psychiatric diseases (historical/recent), malignant tumor, kidney disease (defined as eGFR <45), dermatosis, decided by the investigator; 6) current participation in another investigational study (must have completed any previous studies at least 30 days before being enrolled in this study); 7) current abuse of illicit drugs, alcohol, or prescription drugs; 8) any condition that could impact the reliability of the HbA1c measurement (eg, hemoglobinopathy, hemolytic anemia, chronic liver disease), decided by the investigator.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People’s Hospital and conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (2018–011). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before starting this enrollment. And written informed parental consent was obtained from all patients under the age of 18 before starting this enrollment.

Grouping and Intervention
Patients were randomly assigned to groups A, B, or C of conventional blood glucose monitoring, flash glucose monitoring, and flash glucose monitoring combined with WeChat monitoring, respectively. We recorded the data on demographics, laboratory findings, and questionnaire results of the patients at baseline and at the 6-month follow-up.

In Group A, a conventional home glucometer was used to monitor blood glucose ≥ three times a day, and the blood glucose monitoring values were uploaded to the Wenjuan survey platform.

In Group B, the flash blood glucose monitoring system (Libre 1, Abbott Diabetes Care Inc., Alameda, CA) was used. A specialist applied the flash glucose monitor to the back of the upper arm through a simple disposable applicator: a thin wire (flexible probe) was subcutaneously implanted, and the sensor was fixed to the application site with an adhesive film. It recorded the blood glucose value at 15-minute intervals automatically, and the blood glucose value can be determined at any time from the display.

In Group C, patients with the Abbott FreeStyle Libre monitor were asked to subscribe to a WeChat Official Account named “KongTangTianDi,” which disseminates scientific diabetes-related information once a week. Furthermore, the WeChat Official Account platform was also used for real-time patient-doctor interactions. A third-party health manager was involved in interactive management with patients through the platform. Further, a nurse who specialized in diabetes helped analyze, evaluate, and review the glycemic monitoring data.

According to the study purpose, the functions of the WeChat program included several modules: (1) real-time registration and update of the child’s health records; (2) sharing of diabetes-related knowledge including information on healthy diet, proper exercise, school and travel notifications, home environment and lifestyle guidance, and other practical medical knowledge; (3) answering of frequently left messages through text, audio or video; (4) making a remote diagnosis as well as regular online consultations; (5) provision of self-emergency guidance (call for consultation in acute situations), such as the occurrence of hypoglycemia or ketoacidosis (Figure 1).

Basic diabetes education, including adequate exercise, diet control, and regular follow-up, was conducted to the whole population after enrollment. All participants could contact clinicians on phone calls during follow-up; those who had subscribed to the WeChat Official Account were highly encouraged to contact clinicians through the platform.

Data Collection
Data were collected at baseline and at the 6-month follow-up for all participants. The data collected included information on demographic characteristics, anthropometric measurements, biochemical indicators, and questionnaires. The age, sex, past medical history, and lifestyle behaviors were recorded. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). Biochemical indicators included fasting plasma glucose (FPG), HbA1c, and blood lipid profile. Venous blood was collected in the morning after a 10-hour overnight fast. For FPG, blood samples were
collected into sodium fluoride + potassium oxalate anticoagulation tubes, and the plasma glucose was tested within 2 hours of sampling (glucose oxidase method; Shanghai Kehua Bio-Engineering Co., Ltd. kit, assayed on a Glamour2000 automated biochemical analyzer). The HbA1c (normal reference value: 4.3–6.5%) was measured through high-performance liquid chromatography (Variant II hemoglobin testing system, Bio-Rad). The Hitachi 7080 automated biochemical analyzer was used for blood lipid panel testing. The questionnaires were divided into three parts and were completed with guidance from dedicated personnel. These were Diabetes Monitoring and Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaires (DMTSQ), Diabetes Quality of Life Measure (DQOL), and the Chinese Version Hypoglycemia Fear Survey II (CHFSII).

An episode of hypoglycemia is defined as glucose value by SMBG under 3.9 mmol/L or a presentation with classic symptoms. Hypoglycemic events in patients detected by Libre were recommended to retest fingertip blood glucose since the Libre is less accurate in low glucose levels.

Sample Size

The sample size was determined by estimating the change in HbA1c values assuming a 0.5% reduction in values in the intervention group. With alpha=0.05 and beta=0.20, we calculated an ideal sample size of 14 patients per group based on this preliminary study. After a dropout rate of 20% was incorporated, a sample size of more than 18 patients per group was required.

Statistical Analysis

All data were entered into SPSS [computer program] (Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2015) by a specialist and analyzed. Data with a normal distribution were presented as mean and standard deviations (SD), and data with a non-normal distribution were presented as median with interquartile ranges (IQR). Analyses of variance (ANOVA) and covariance were used for intergroup comparisons of normally distributed data, whereas non-parametric analysis was used for non-normally distributed data. The Chi-Square test was used to compare
proportions, and the linear correlation was used for single correlation analysis. Differences with \( p < 0.05 \) (two-tailed) were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**

Of the 120 participants who underwent screening in this study, 80 were enrolled and randomized to groups A (n=30), B (n=25), or C (n=25). Of these, 10 patients from group A, 5 from group B, and 5 from group C discontinued the study because they either withdrew their consent or were lost to follow-up (Figure 2). Thus, a total of 60 adolescent patients (31 males, 29 females; age, mean \( \pm \) SD 13.20 \( \pm \) 1.68 years) with T1D were included, with 20 patients in each group (A, B, or C). The general baseline characteristics, metabolic indicators, and questionnaire scores of the patients at the time of enrollment are shown in Table 1; no significant inter-group difference at baseline was observed.

**Changes in Glycemic Control**

After 6 months of study intervention, the HbA1c levels of Group A had no significant difference from the baseline (7.75\% \( \pm \) 1.34\% vs 7.82\% \( \pm \) 1.54\%, \( p=0.30 \)). While Group B had slightly declined (7.2\% \( \pm \) 1.88\% vs 7.43\% \( \pm \) 2.21\%, \( p=0.04 \)), and Group C decreased obviously (7.26\% \( \pm \) 0.89\% vs 7.78\% \( \pm \) 1.23\%, \( p<0.001 \)). The largest decrease was observed in Group C (Group B vs Group C, \( p =0.04 \)). (Figure 3).

![Figure 2 Study design and flow chart of participants recruitment and follow-up.](https://www.dovepress.com/.../0255-160-on-13-Mar-2021)
Table 1 Clinical Characteristics and Questionnaire Scores of Three Groups at Baseline

|                      | Group A     | Group B     | Group C     |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age (years)          | 13.60 (1.27) | 13.35 (1.90) | 12.65 (1.73) |
| Gender (male/female) | 13/7        | 11/9        | 7/13        |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 20.83 (1.71) | 20.25 (2.10) | 20.01 (2.42) |
| Diabetes duration    | 3.33 (2.46)  | 2.11 (1.82)  | 2.42 (1.75)  |
| Blood pressure (mmHg)|             |             |             |
| Systolic             | 117.00 (10.14) | 111.88 (9.75) | 109.79 (11.15) |
| Diastolic            | 69.06 (5.76)  | 69.35 (6.75)  | 68.05 (9.47)  |
| Total cholesterol(mmol/L)| 4.86 (0.85) | 4.58 (0.89) | 4.80 (0.92) |
| Triglyceride(mmol/L) | 0.75 (0.46)  | 1.00 (1.12)  | 0.71 (0.24) |
| LDL-C(mmol/L)        | 2.76 (0.83)  | 2.50 (0.63)  | 2.41 (0.69) |
| HDL-C(mmol/L)        | 1.44 (0.31)  | 1.52 (0.54)  | 1.76 (0.41) |
| Fasting C peptide(ng/mL)| 0.62 (0.70) | 0.57 (0.67) | 0.42 (0.46) |
| TIR (%)              | –           | 61.60 (20.40) | 63.35 (17.54) |
| TAR (%)              | –           | 27.15 (21.46) | 25.70 (18.30) |
| TBR (%)              | –           | 11.25 (11.74) | 10.95 (11.05) |
| HbA1c (%)            | 7.82 (1.54)  | 7.43 (2.21)  | 7.78 (1.23) |
| Hypoglycemia (times per month) | 9.25 (2.9) | 7.4 (7) | 7.0 (5.63) |
| DQOL                 | 106.20 (22.93) | 107.55 (18.60) | 94.60 (13.81) |
| DTSQ                 | 57.65 (11.17) | 57.70 (7.03)  | 62.75 (6.21)  |
| CHFS-II              | 35.55 (12.24) | 42.25 (18.27) | 32.25 (11.84) |

Notes: Data are expressed as mean (SD). Group A: SMBG group; Group B: FGM group; Group C: FGM combined WeChat-interactive management group. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TIR, time in range; TAR, time above range; TBR, time below range.

Changes in Episodes of Hypoglycemia

After the 6-month intervention, the number of hypoglycemic episodes per month in groups B and C decreased significantly from the baseline: Group A: 8.7 ± 5.12 vs 9.25 ± 2.9, respectively (p = 0.324); Group B: 5.7 ± 5.9 vs 7.4 ± 7, respectively (p = 0.03); and Group C: 4.1 ± 3.46 vs 7.0 ± 5.63, respectively (p < 0.001). However, the magnitude of the decrease was higher in Group C than that observed in Group B (p = 0.02) (Figure 4).

Changes in Self-Monitoring Level, Life Quality and Satisfaction Rate

At the 6-month follow-up, the DMTSQ scores of all three groups increased from the baseline as follows: Group A: 57.65 ± 11.17 vs 56.70 ± 10.94, respectively (p = 0.03); Group B: 57.70 ± 7.03 vs 53.90 ± 7.57, respectively (p < 0.001); and Group C 62.75 ± 6.21 vs 56.00 ± 5.88, respectively (p < 0.001); the most significant increase was noted in Group C (Group A vs Group B, p = 0.003; Group A vs Group C, p < 0.001; Group B vs Group C, p = 0.006) (Figure 5A). Similarly, the DQOL scores in groups B and C decreased from the baseline, whereas the scores of Group A did not change significantly: Group A: 106.20 ± 22.93 vs 106.35 ± 22.16, respectively (p = 0.75); Group B: 108.5 ± 17.75 vs 111.20 ± 16.36, respectively (p = 0.001); and Group C: 93.95 ± 14.57 vs 100.95 ± 17.96, respectively (p = 0.002). The decrease in Group C was greater than that observed in Group B (p = 0.048) (Figure 5B). The CHFS-II scores in all three groups at the 6th month follow-up decreased from the baseline, as follows: Group A: 31.85 ± 11.59 vs 35.55 ± 12.24, respectively (p < 0.001); Group B: 37.30 ± 16.66 vs 42.25 ± 18.27, respectively (p < 0.001); and Group C: 24.6 ± 10.67 vs 32.25 ± 11.84, respectively (p < 0.001), with significant intergroup differences in Group A vs Group C (p < 0.001) and Group

Figure 3 Comparison of HbA1c decline from baseline to 6 months later among SMBG, CGM, and CGM + WeChat groups of T1D patients.

Notes: *p<0.05, **p<0.001 6-month follow-up vs baseline.
B vs Group C (p=0.018) (Figure 5C). And the comparison of the fluctuation range of each indicator is shown in the Supplementary Figure 1.

Discussion

In this study, we found that the HbA1c levels and the number of hypoglycemic episodes per month decreased from the baseline in all three groups after 6 months of intervention, with the greatest decrease observed in the FGM combined WeChat-interactive management group. The FGM groups (Group B and Group C) showed a greater improvement in DQOL scores. Therefore, our novel integrated WeChat-Flash CGM model achieved a convenient doctor-patient interaction and further optimized the management effects.

The incidence of type 1 diabetes under 15 years old increased rapidly in the past two decades in China. Chinese adolescents with type 1 diabetes struggle with considerable challenges, including lower self-management, high depressive symptoms, suboptimal metabolic control, and quality of life.

Retrospective studies demonstrated that CGM achieved great glycemic benefit in pediatric, adolescent patients. As CGM becomes more routine, especially given the potential benefit of early CGM initiation, the decision-making process about initiating CGM may be less tangled for patients and families.

Recent studies have shown that smartphone-based apps are an efficient and effective tool for diabetes management. Kirwan et al used an app called Glucose Buddy in a 9-month follow-up randomized study, which enrolled 72 patients with T1D, and found that HbA1c decreased by an average of 1.28% (from 9.08% [SD 1.18] to 7.8% [SD 0.75]) in the intervention group and an average of 0.11% (from 8.47% [SD 0.86] to 8.58% [SD 1.16]) in the control group. In their randomized clinical trial, Zhang et al concluded that the app interactive management group showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (2.03% vs 1.37%) when compared to studies with the control group.

Consistent with this previous study, our trial showed a greater improvement in HbA1c level in the WeChat interactive group after a 6-month follow-up. However, the improvement in HbA1c levels identified in this study was not as high as that observed in previous studies. This may have been due to a much lower baseline HbA1c level in our study compared to that observed for the other studies.

Improving quality of life (QOL) for children and their families while maintaining glycemic control within targets is a substantial challenge in diabetes treatment. A previous study showed that CGM could positively influence glucose control and help adolescent patients with T1D to enhance their quality of life. DQOL domains usually refer to treatment satisfaction, family relationships, self-efficacy, lifestyle flexibility, anxiety, fear of glucose fluctuations, fear of diabetes complications, and treatment expectations. Hence, apart from the HbA1c level, our study included QOL as a second major outcome to ensure...
that developing technologies have a positive impact on children and families with diabetes.

The design of this study was adjusted based on previous recent study outcomes on this topic. Zhang et al conducted a national web-based survey on use, perspectives, and attitudes regarding diabetes management mobile apps among patients with diabetes and diabetologists in China. They found that diabetes education, knowledge, patient-doctor communication, and diabetes diaries were considered the most important functions of a diabetes app. Performance expectancy and social influence are the most important determinants of the intention to use diabetes management apps. Thus, our WeChat public account platform had these elements integrated into each module.

We observed that sending diabetic health education messages via the WeChat platform to diabetes patients was regarded as the most useful module through the endpoint interview, which supports that self-care behaviors (such as appropriate diet, regular exercise, and medication) contributed to achieving effective diabetes control.

In this study, we optimized the method for blood glucose monitoring in adolescents with T1D and used the internet to improve the conventional diabetes education model to strengthen the patient’s understanding of T1D. Specifically, the flash glucose monitoring system used in this study was able to record blood glucose values at any time and allowed adolescent patients to receive warnings that indicated hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia. Accordingly, the patients were able to make adjustments to their diet and medication. Furthermore, the WeChat platform is a widely used social software app in China. Patients do not have to download, install, and register on other apps since WeChat has multipurpose features. Furthermore, it could make patients receive professional suggestions from the physician online and save the round-trip time. It can help juvenile patients gain professional knowledge of diabetes, strengthen self-management consciousness, reduce the fear of the disease, and change unhealthy habits. There have been no similar research studies in China; thus, this presents preliminary insights into a novel glycomic monitoring method.

The study has some limitations. First, the sample size of the present study was small, and further studies are needed to recruit more participants. Second, this was a single-center study with a follow-up time of only 6 months. Considering the chronic characteristics of diabetes, the study could not assess the long-term impact of FGM combined WeChat-interactive model on diabetes management. Third, though statistically non-significant, there were differences in diabetes duration by 1 year between Groups A, B, and C. It is well known that most people with T1D have a honeymoon period of 6 months after diagnosis, which can last 1–2 years. So, this difference in diabetes duration may have affected the outcome. Finally, owing to the trial being an internet-based intervention, this study required high self-consciousness.

**Conclusion**

Our study suggests that the FGM combined with the WeChat–based support model can improve glycemic control, reduce the incidence of hypoglycemia, improve blood glucose fluctuations, and increase diabetes-related awareness in adolescents patients with T1D. It may be another solid clinical evidence for the feasibility and effectiveness of digital diabetes. Therefore, it might be popularized and implemented in the management of T1D, especially in the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic.
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