A Novel Lineage of Proteobacteria Involved in Formation of Marine Fe-Oxidizing Microbial Mat Communities
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteria are known for the wide variety of reduced inorganic substrates they are able to oxidize and utilize as energy sources for growth. These include sulfur, ammonia, methane, hydrogen, and iron. The oxidation of ferrous (Fe(II)) to ferric (Fe(III)) iron is especially enigmatic because thermodynamically it yields a minimal amount of energy for growth, approximately \( \Delta G^{\circ} = -109 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and at neutral pH chemical oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III), or iron oxyhydroxides, i.e. rust, occurs rapidly in the presence of oxygen [1]. To circumvent these problems, bacteria that oxidize Fe(II) to obtain energy at circumneutral pH have two key requirements for growth, low O\(_2\) partial pressures and sustained Fe(II) concentrations. This kind of metabolism has received scant attention in marine systems, first, because practically nothing is known about marine iron-oxidizing bacteria, and second, because the oceans are generally considered to be oxygenated and depleted in Fe(II). In addition, marine sediments tend to be rich in sulfide, which reacts rapidly with Fe(II) to precipitate FeS.

An important exception to these oceanic iron-depleted conditions occurs in areas of hydrothermal venting, either at seamounts or at crustal spreading centers. Here, anoxic vent fluids charged with Fe(II) come in contact with the cold, oxygenated ocean water. It is estimated that the present-day flux of Fe(II) from hydrothermal venting is approximately \( 3 \times 10^{13} \) mol yr\(^{-1}\) [2]. As a result, there are often substantial deposits of Fe-oxides at these vents, and in nearly every case that has been examined, the morphology of Fe-oxides provide abundant evidence for microbial activity [3,4,5,6,7]. The tell-tale signs of this activity are the tubular sheath casts of filamentous Fe-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB), or the twisted stalks of Gallionella-like organisms. Another potential habitat for marine FeOB is freshly formed oceanic basalts that result from volcanic and/or tectonic activity. In this case, leaching of Fe(II) directly from the mineral or glass surfaces may occur allowing for growth of biofilms of FeOB on the weathered rocks [8,9].

These types of habitats are more common than is often appreciated, since crustal spreading centers and subduction zones, as well as seamounts with either active volcanism or cold seepage of mineral rich fluid flow are common throughout the world’s oceans [10]. Because iron is the fourth most abundant element in the Earth’s crust, it is often the most abundant potential energy source at the boundaries of the oxygenated ocean and the
reducing subsurface [11]. However, we know very little about the population biology of prokaryotic lithotrophic communities that could potentially live off the Fe(II)-rich waters and vent fluids associated with these kinds of boundary habitats [1,12].

We previously reported on the role that lithotrophic FeOB appear to play in the formation of extensive microbial mats that form at the Loihi Seamount [13]. During that study two novel FeOB were isolated, strains JV-1 and PV-1. These organisms were striking because as they grew, they formed filamentous stalk-like structures that appeared to consist primarily of an amorphous iron oxyhydroxide. These filaments bore a strong morphological resemblance to the types of oxides seen in the mats at Loihi[13]. We report here a more complete characterization of these two isolates and show that their metabolism is limited to Fe-oxidation.

A cultivation-independent approach confirmed that they are common in iron mats located at Loihi. They are phylogenetically novel and represent the first cultured isolates of a new class of Proteobacteria that until now has only been represented by sequences in environmental SSU rRNA gene libraries associated with the floor of the deep ocean.

RESULTS

Growth characteristics of PV-1 and JV-1

Both strains grew microaerobically with Fe$^{2+}$ as the sole energy source and CO$_2$ as the only available carbon source indicating the strains were obligate, lithotrophic FeOB. The following inorganic and organic substrates did not support growth: ammonium, thiosulfate, sulfate, Mn(II), tetrahionate, hydrogen, formate, acetate, pyruvate, succinate, succinate, galactose, ribose, glycerol, aspartate, and glycine. In addition no growth either under aerobic or microaerobic conditions was observed for the following complex media: tryptic soy agar, marine agar, and R2A (Difco) supplemented with 2.5% NaCl, nutrient agar supplemented with 2.5% NaCl, blood agar, PYG medium supplemented with 2.5% salt, or thioglycollate medium also supplemented with NaCl. The strains had an absolute requirement for marine salts and did not grow in a freshwater Fe-oxidizing medium that contained <0.2 g/1 Mg or Ca-salts. Both strains are motile. They prefer low oxygen concentrations and, based on their behavior in gradient tubes, appear to be aerotactic. Neither strain was able to reduce Fe(III) with acetate anaerobically, nor were they able to oxidize Fe(II) with nitrate as the electron acceptor. The isolates grew at temperatures between 10$^\circ$C and 30$^\circ$C. Growth appeared to be very slow at 5$^\circ$C and was not observed at 35$^\circ$C. The pH range for growth was 5.5–7.2 and appeared optimal between 6.2 and 6.5. A spot test for catalase activity was negative.

These FeOB were able to grow on a variety of forms of Fe(II) including FeS or on FeCO$_3$ (siderite) in gradient culture and on FeCl$_2$, Fe(NH$_4$)$_2$(SO$_4$)$_2$, and FeSO$_4$ in liquid bottle cultures. They were also able to grow on zero valent iron, Fe(0). A growth curve for strain PV-1 comparing growth on Fe(0) and FeS is shown in Figure 1. Final growth yields tended to be higher on FeS, but initial growth yields on both forms of iron were comparable. The doubling time at 23$^\circ$C was on the order of 12 h.

FAME analysis

The MIDI system was only able to identify approximately 45% of the fatty acids in lipid extracts from late-log phase PV-1 cells. The dominant fatty acid was 11:0 iso 3OH, followed in relative abundance by 17:0 iso 3OH, 16:0, and 18:1 iso H (Table 1). Another dominant set of fatty acids was 16:1 w7c/15 iso 2OH, however these two fatty acids could not be discriminated from one another and are reported as summed in Feature 3 in Table 1. The abundance of the branched chain fatty acid 11:0 iso 3OH in PV-1 is unusual. This is generally considered a rare fatty acid in bacteria [14]. It has been shown to be diagnostic of the family Xanthomonadaceae and normally comprises <10% of the total fatty acids in these organisms [15,16].

| Fatty Acid | Percent (%) | Average | Standard Error |
|------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
|            | Run 1 | Run 2 |       |               |
| 12.0 iso   | 2    | 2     | 2     | 0              |
| 11.0 iso 3OH | 27   | 28    | 27.5  | 0.5            |
| 15:1 w8c   | 1    | 3     | 2     | 1              |
| 16.0       | 14   | 9     | 11.5  | 2.5            |
| 18:1 iso H | 5    | 6     | 5.5   | 0.5            |
| 17.0 iso 3OH | 13   | 14    | 13.5  | 0.5            |
| Summed in Feature 3$^1$ | 26   | 23    | 24.5  | 1.5            |
| Summed in Feature 7$^2$ | 6    | 7     | 6.5   | 0.5            |

$^1$16:1 w7c/15 iso 2OH
$^2$19:0 CYCLO w10c/19w6

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000667.t001
was Fe (data not shown). Treatment of the stalks with 0.3 M oxalic acid caused a rapid and nearly complete dissolution, suggesting that they are composed primarily of poorly crystalline iron oxyhydroxides (Supplemental Figure S1). When glass surfaces (microscope slides) were placed in a culture of PV-1 growing in liquid culture, the cells attached to the glass surface and formed stalks, Figure 2a–d. This confirmed that the cells grew at the apical ends of the stalks; presumably these cells are extruding the Fe oxyhydroxide filaments as they grow.

**Phylogeny**

PV-1 and JV-1 SSU rDNA sequences were identical (1488 bases). Their genotypic similarity was confirmed with rep-PCR, where both strains gave nearly identical banding patterns (Supplemental Figure S2), that were distinct from freshwater FeOB. A phylogenetic analysis based on comparison of the SSU rRNA gene with other bacteria revealed that PV-1 and JV-1 were unusual members of the phylum Proteobacteria in that they did not cluster with any of the known classes of $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\varepsilon$, or $\delta$-Proteobacteria (Figure 3) [17,18]. Instead, the strains branched deeply within the Proteobacteria as shown in a phylogenetic tree created by maximum likelihood [19], Figure 3. Very similar tree topologies were found by neighbor-joining, maximum parsimony or minimum evolution methods using MEGA3 [20](data not shown). The novelty of the strain PV-1 within the Proteobacteria was confirmed by phylogenetic analysis of two other highly conserved proteins, gyrase beta-subunit (GyrB), and the recombination protein A (RecA). Phylogenetic trees for the GyrB and RecA proteins translated from the gene sequences are shown in Figure 4a and 4b, respectively. In both cases, PV-1 clustered within the Proteobacteria, but formed a distinct lineage from the other described classes of Proteobacteria.

A BLAST search of GenBank using the SSU rRNA gene sequence from PV-1 revealed a group of environmental clones that clustered within the PV-1/JV-1 lineage, Figure 3. All these clones were from deep-sea marine habitats, primarily associated with hydrothermal activity. A T-RFLP (terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism) analysis of Fe-rich microbial mats collected at several different vent sites around the summit of Loihi in 2004 found that the PV-1 phylotype was consistently present at all the sampled sites, Figure 5. The vent water temperatures at these sites ranged from 10° to 65°C, and there were two main T-RF clusters, Loihi Group I that was associated with cooler vents $<40°C$ and Loihi Group 2 that was associated with vents $>40°C$. The PV-1/JV-1 phylotype appeared most strongly associated with the Group 1 populations, Figure 5. Light microscopy indicated the presence of filamentous Fe oxyhydroxides similar to those formed by PV-1 at all these sites as well (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

A systematic analysis of these two microbes from microbial iron mats at Loihi Seamount indicates that they appear to be the first cultured isolates of a candidatus class of Proteobacteria, the “$\zeta$-Proteobacteria”. The most conspicuous trait of these two strains is their obligate dependence upon Fe(II) as an energy source and microaerobic conditions. They are incapable of heterotrophic growth; neither can they grow on other inorganic energy sources such as reduced S-compounds or H2. These strains are also obligate aerobes, they do not oxidize Fe(II) when grown anaerobically with nitrate, nor can they reduce Fe(III) in the presence of acetate. The ability of these strains to grow on Fe metal is of interest, as we are not aware of other reports of Fe-oxidizing bacteria growing on metallic iron, although there is evidence that there can be direct electron flow from Fe to support the growth of methanogens and obligately anaerobic sulfate-reducing bacteria [21]. Under aerobic conditions the reaction of iron metal is

$$2\text{Fe}^0 + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{OH}^-$$

(1)

Presumably the actual substrate for the FeOB is Fe(II) that is released upon chemical oxidation of the Fe(II); this process would be speeded up due to the ionic strength of seawater. Because these bacteria are growing under suboxic gradient conditions, O2 may not always be present, in which case the oxidant of of Fe may be water which results in hydrogen production:

$$\text{Fe}^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2 + 2\text{OH}^-$$

(2)

However, since these bacteria have been shown not to use H2 as an energy source, their growth substrate will still be Fe(II). We have not yet demonstrated CO2 fixation by either PV-1 or JV-1, however both grow well without any added organic C source. The draft genome sequence of PV-1 (NZ_AAI950000000) reveals it has a gene for the small subunit ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) protein, as well as genes for both form I and form II of the large subunit Rubisco, indicating that PV-1 has the genetic complement necessary for autotrophic growth.

As was noted earlier, these marine Fe-oxidizers produce a unique filamentous stalk-like structure composed primarily of Fe-oxyhydroxides [13]. More detailed electron micrographic analysis presented here indicates that the Fe-containing stalks are themselves composed of bundles of iron-rich fibrils. The processes of how these fibrils are excreted and their subcellular localization are currently under investigation. While it is presumed that an organic matrix is excreted by the cells that may serve as a ‘scaffold’ for the formation of Fe-oxyhydroxides, the composition of the matrix is unknown. Presumably it contains acidic polysaccharides that play a role in metal binding and coordination [22,23]. At a gross morphological level there appears to be a remarkable congruence between the filamentous Fe oxyhydroxides produced by strains PV-1 and JV-1 and the ferrihydrite-rich stalks produced by Gallionella ferruginea [24]. G. ferruginea cells share a similar morphology to PV-1 and JV-1 cells, since they are also curved rods. The ferrihydrite-containing stalk of G. ferruginea is noted for its regular helical structure. Both PV-1 and JV-1 can produce twisted stalks, and at times these can appear helical; however as
Figure 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary placement of Mariprofundus ferrooxydans (strains PV-1 and JV-1) belonging to the novel class of zeta-Proteobacteria along with representatives from other previously described classes of Proteobacteria. Scale bar represents 5 nucleotide substitutions per 100 positions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000667.g003
viewed by light microscopy, and shown in Fig 2 (a–d) they do not appear to have the regular helicity that is often observed with *G. ferruginea*. The overall morphological similarity between *G. ferruginea* and the PV-1 and JV-1 strains suggests that reports of *Gallionella* spp. from deep-sea marine habitats may need to be re-evaluated.

It is also interesting to note that certain lithoautotrophic S-oxidizing bacteria that have been found at hydrothermal vents also may produce copious filamentous sulfur structures [25]. The morphology of these sulfur filaments bears a notable resemblance to the iron stalks formed by strains PV-1 and JV-1; however, the organism identified with filamentous sulfur formation is an epsilon *Proteobacteria*, *Candidatus Arcobacter sulfidicus* [26], and, as far as is known, does not oxidize iron. It will be interesting to determine if the mechanisms for deposition of iron-rich filaments and sulfur-rich filaments share any commonalities.

**Comparison to other neutrophilic FeOB**

Edwards *et al.* [27] described two groups of marine FeOB that were most closely related to *Hyphomonas jannaschiana* and *Marinobacter aquaeolei*, which are α- and γ-Proteobacteria, respectively. These organisms can both grow heterotrophically using organic substrates, as well as lithoautotrophically, on Fe(II), although their doubling times are appreciably slower than either PV-1 or JV-1 on Fe(II). Neither of these organisms forms a filamentous iron oxyhydroxide. Freshwater FeOB isolates described to date include *G. ferruginea*, as discussed above [28], FeOB TW2 [29], and two strains isolated from groundwater, ES-1, and ES-2 [30]; The latter two organisms have recently been described as ‘Sideroxydans lithothrophicus’ and ‘*Gallionella ferricapsiformans*’, novel members of the β-Proteobacteria [31]. Another group of obligately lithotrophic FeOB has been isolated from the rhizosphere of wetland plants. These organisms are also members of the β-Proteobacteria and include a new species, ‘*Sideroxydans paludicola*’ and a novel genus ‘*Ferrotrophicum radicicola*’ [32]. Like other freshwater isolates they do not grow with elevated salt concentrations, nor do they form morphologically unique Fe-oxides. Another differentiating feature of these marine FeOB is that the dominant fatty acid that was identified was the branched chain hydroxy 11:0 iso 3OH; this fatty acid was not found in the freshwater strains, instead the saturated straight chain fatty acids 14:0 and 16:0 were more prevalent in these isolates.
Environmental Relevance

Several clones from deep-sea environmental samples were the closest relatives to PV-1 based upon SSU rRNA gene sequences retrieved through a BLASTN search (Figure 3). For near full-length sequences (>1200 bases), a clone from the Kebrit Deep (depth 1468m) in the Red Sea [33] was 95.4% similar (1373 bases) to PV-1 and a Loihi Seamount hydrothermal vent clone, Loihi Seamount PV\textsubscript{B} OTU4 [34,35] was 93.4% similar (1455 bases). In addition to the Loihi clone, other related SSU rRNA gene signatures have been observed from deep-sea hydrothermal systems, including the Guaymas Basin [36], the Cleft Segment hydrothermal system off the coast of Oregon [37], and the Mariana Trench in the western Pacific. With the exception of the Moyer \textit{et al.} [35] study that predated the current work at Loihi, none of the reports yielding related sequences were specifically investigating FeOB. However, the observation of sequences related to PV-1 from numerous hydrothermal systems suggests FeOB may be more widespread than is currently recognized [38,39,40].

Observation of iron-oxide filaments similar to those made by PV-1 in deep-sea sediments have also been made at the Axial Volcano of the Juan de Fuca Ridge [41] and Vaiul’u Volcano near Samoa [7]. Representatives of the \textit{Mariprofundus} group have also been detected by T-RFLP and clone library analyses of microbial mats from N.W. Eifuku Volcano along the Mariana Island Arc, as well as the Cleft Segment of the Juan de Fuca Ridge and have been shown to co-occur with characteristic Fe-rich filaments [37]. Numerous samples of microbial mats collected at different times from different vent sites from Loihi also share predominant populations that correspond with those expected from the PV-1/ JV-1 phytype (data not shown). These findings corroborate the T-RFLP analysis presented here (Figure 5), that show the \textit{Mariprofundus} phytype exists at numerous vent sites at Loihi.

Description of \textit{Mariprofundus} gen. nov.

\textit{Mariprofundus} gen. nov. (Mar.is.pro.fund’us. L. masc. n. \textit{maris} the sea; L. adj. \textit{profundus} deep; L. masc. n. \textit{Mariprofundus} a deep-sea organism).

Cells are motile curved rods that appear Gram-negative by transmission electron microscopy. Growth is obligately lithotrophic and requires Fe\textsuperscript{2+} as the energy source. Growth is oxygen dependent and requires marine salts.

Description of \textit{Mariprofundus ferrooxydans} sp. nov.

\textit{Mariprofundus ferrooxydans} (ferr.ox’y.dans. L. n. ferrum iron; Gr. adj. oxys sour; N.L. v. oxydo to sour, oxidize; N.L. part. adj. ferrooxydans iron-oxidizing).

Displays the following properties in addition to those given by the genus description. Growth requires microaerobic conditions. The cells do not grow on other reduced inorganic energy sources besides iron or on organic compounds. As a result of growth filamentous stalk-like structures containing poorly crystalline iron oxyhydroxide are produced. The optimum growth temperature is 30°C and the optimum pH range is 6.0–6.5. The G+ C content of the DNA of PV-1 is 54%. The cells are catalase negative. The dominant fatty acids are 11:0 iso 3OH, 17:0 iso 3OH, 16:0, and 18:1 iso H The type strain is PV-1\textsuperscript{T}, ATCC\textsuperscript{TM} BAA-1019 (=JCM 14766) isolated from iron-rich microbial mats associated with regions of hydrothermal venting at Loihi Seamount in the Pacific Ocean. The class, order, and family description for this species are provided in the supplemental information (Supplemental Text S1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source and growth conditions

Both isolates were enriched from Fe-rich mats associated with hydrothermal venting at Loihi Seamount as described previously.
PV-1 came from an Fe-mat collected in 1996 associated with a cool (23°C), diffuse vent site, Naha Vents, located below the summit of the Loihi at a depth of 1325 m. JV-1 was isolated from an Fe-mat collected in 1998 that came from the lower jet at the Ikaika Vents (1298 m), a hot (165°C) vent located in a 300 m deep caldera close to the summit of Loihi. PV-1 was isolated directly from an inoculum of undiluted mat material, while JV-1 was enriched from a mat sample initially diluted to 10^-7. Details of the isolation of JV-1 have been published previously and much the same techniques were used for the isolation of PV-1 [13].

The basal medium for all studies described here was artificial sea water (ASW) amended with nitrogen and phosphate sources according to the following formulation (per L deionized H2O): 27.5 g NaCl, 6.78 g MgCl2·7H2O, 5.38 g MgCl2·6H2O, 1.4 g CaCl2·2H2O, 1.0 g NH4Cl, 0.72 g KCl, 0.2 g NaHCO3, 0.05 g K2HPO4·3H2O, 1.0 ml trace mineral supplement, and 1.0 ml vitamin supplement (both from American Type Culture Collection). Sterile aliquots of the vitamins and mineral solutions were added after the mineral salts medium was autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min. Filter sterilized sodium bicarbonate (10 mM final concentration) was added to provide buffering capacity and a carbon source.

Growth of the organisms was done under conditions of limited, but constant, supply of Fe(II) and O2 using gradient tubes or plates, or bottle cultures. Details of these techniques have been published elsewhere [42]. They all share in common the provision of Fe-oxides as an iron source instead of the agarose stabilized FeS particles. Approximately 100 mg of Fe0 was added to 15 ml of ASW medium in a standard Petri plate, and the plate was gently swirled to distribute the Fe particles as uniformly as possible. The bacteria were inoculated into the liquid and the plates were incubated in Gaspak jars as previously described [13].

**Tests for growth on individual C-sources for both strains were done as described before for JV-1 [13]. Growth rates were determined in gradient plates. Twelve plates were inoculated and incubated in Gaspak jars at room temperature. Each day two plates were removed and the liquid medium (15 ml) from each plate was harvested into a 50 ml conical tube using a 10 ml pipet. The Fe-oxides were vortexed for 30 seconds and aliquots were removed for direct counts as described previously [13].**

The pH range for growth of each strain was tested by varying the buffers used in the top layer of the gradient tubes. Sodium bicarbonate (10 mM, gassed with CO2) was used to buffer at pH 5.0, 5.5, and 6.5; 5 mM Na-cyclodextrin-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), adjusted with NaOH, was used at pH 7.0 and 7.5. Gradient tubes were considered positive or negative for growth after one week by the formation of a light band of growth at the oxic-anoxic interface; growth was confirmed as presence of cells after staining with Syto 13 (Molecular Probes) and viewing by epifluorescence microscopy.

**Electron Microscopy**

For standard transmission electron microscopy (TEM), PV-1 cells were grown on gradient plates with FeS to the late log phase of growth. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde. The cells were then washed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer and fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in the cacodylate buffer for 45 min on ice. Next the cells were washed with distilled water and stained with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate, then dehydrated in an ethanol series and embedded in Spurr resin. Thin sections were cut on an LKB Nova ultramicrotome, post-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and observed and photographed in a Zeiss EM-10CA TEM [43].

For high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), a late log phase culture of PV-1 was grown in gradient plates and preserved with 2% gluteraldehyde. A few microliters of preserved sample was deposited onto a formvar-coated copper grid, rinsed with deionized water, and air-dried. The sample was coated with carbon and examined on a Philips CM200 transmission electron microscope, operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

**Fatty acids analysis**

Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were identified using the procedure recommended by Microbial Identification System (MIDI, Sherlock Microbial Identification System Version 4.0, MIS Operating Manual, March 2001, Newark, DE). The cells were grown in gradient plates (100 ml total) until the late log phase of growth and then harvested by centrifugation. Excess Fe(III) was removed from the cell pellet by treating the sample with 0.33 M oxalic acid for one hour at 37°C, and then washing it three times by centrifugation with de-ionized water. The extraction of FAMEs and their analysis by gas chromatography was done as previously described [44].

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

DNA was extracted from phosphate buffered (50 mM) saline (pH 8.0) washed pellets containing cells and iron oxides using the MoBio PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit. This minimal procedure produced DNA of high quantity and quality, which was not matched in previous studies [13,30] due to low cell counts (10^3 ml^-1) and binding of DNA to iron oxide surfaces.

Universal primers 27F and 1492R were used to amplify the nearly full length SSU rRNA gene from the DNA extract. The PCR product was run on a 1% pre-cast SeaKem Gold Agarose gel (1× TBE buffer plus ethidium bromide from Cambrex Bio Science, ME). The specific band was excised from the gel and purified using a QIAquick Gel extraction kit (Qiagen Sciences, MD). The purified DNA was sequenced with a CEQ8000 genetic analyzer (Beckman Coulter, CA).

For phylogenetic analysis, representative sequences were imported into the ARB software environment and aligned to the ARB database using the ARB fast aligner [45]. Additional sequences were selected in the database and exported to the sequence alignment program Bioed-it [46] for additional alignment editing. Phylogenetic analyses were restricted to regions of moderately to highly conserved nucleotide positions that were unambiguously aligned for all sequences. Phylogenetic placements were calculated using fastDNAmml version 1.2.2 [19] using the general two-parameter model of evolution [47] and allowing for the global swapping of branches. Using these parameters, the search for an optimal tree was repeated until the best log likelihood tree was calculated in at least three independent tree calculations. Each phylogenetic tree was bootstrapped 100 times allowing for the global swapping of branches. The search for each bootstrap was repeated until the best log likelihood score was calculated for at least two independent bootstrap calculations.

Phylogenetic analysis of the DNA topoisomerase gyrase subunit B (GyrB) protein, and the bacterial recombination (RecA) protein was carried out by extracting the appropriate DNA sequences from the draft genome sequence of PV-1. The genome (NZ_AATS00000000) was sequenced by the J Craig Venter Institute (www.VenterInstitute.org).
of precision [52]. Resulting electropherograms were then imported into BioNumerics v4.61 (Applied Maths, Austin, TX). Community fingerprints were compared in BioNumerics using the Pearson product-moment correlation [53] and unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) cluster analysis. The cophenetic correlation coefficient was calculated to assess the robustness of the assigned clusters.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**Table S1**

| Found at: | 0.05 MB DOC |
|-----------|--------------|
| doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000667.s001 | 0.05 MB DOC |

**Figure S1**

A time series of images showing the effect of treating a stalk with oxalic acid. The oxalate reduces the iron oxides in the stalk causing shrinking and substantial reduction in size of the stalk; however a remnant of material remains. In this stalk there was no visual change after 10 minutes. No cell was present on this stalk. For this experiment, stalks from a fresh culture of PV-1 were viewed at 1,000× by phase contrast microscopy and a drop of 0.3 M oxalic acid was placed at the edge of the coverslip allowing the oxalate to diffuse under the coverslip and reduce the Fe-oxides. Photomicrographs were captured at the indicated times. The bar = 5 μm.

**Figure S2**

Rep-PCR comparison of strains PV-1 and JV-1 with freshwater FeOB strains ES-2 ('Gallionella capsiferriformans'), ES-1 ('Sideroxydans lithotrophicus'), and BrT ('Sideroxydans paludicola'). *Siderothomonas maltophilia* is included as a control. In duplicated runs PV-1 and JV-1 shared >90% similarity in their rep-PCR profiles. Rep-PCR profiles with % similarities <95% usually indicate unrelated strains.
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