COVID-19 and urban rivers: Effects of lockdown period on surface water pollution and quality - A case study of the Zarjoub River, north of Iran
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Abstract
Due to the spreading of the coronavirus (COVID-19) in Iran, restrictions and lockdown were announced to control the infection. In order to determine the effects of the lockdown period on the status of the water quality and pollution, the concentrations of Al, As, Ba, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, and Zn, together with Na+, Mg2+, Ca2+ and electrical conductivity (EC), were measured in the Zarjoub River, north of Iran, in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. The results indicated that water pollution and associated human health risk reduced by an average of 30% and 39%, respectively, during the lockdown period. In addition, the multi-purpose water quality index also improved by an average of 34%. However, the water salinity and alkalinity increased during the lockdown period due to the increase of municipal wastewater and the use of disinfectants. The major sources of pollution were identified as weathering, municipal wastewater, industrial and agricultural effluents, solid waste, and vehicular pollution. PCA-MLR receptor model showed that the contribution of mixed sources of weathering and municipal wastewater in water pollution increased from 23 to 50% during the lockdown period. However, the contribution of mixed sources of industrial effluents and solid wastes reduced from 64 to 45%. Likewise, the contribution of traffic-related sources exhibited a reduction from 13% in the pre-lockdown period to 5% together with agricultural effluent in the post-lockdown period. Overall, although the lockdown period resulted in positive impacts on diminishing the level of water pollution caused by industrial and vehicular contaminants, the increase of municipal waste and wastewater is a negative consequence of the lockdown period.
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Introduction
The novel coronavirus (COVID-19) began to spread throughout the world towards the end of 2019 (Mandal and Pal, 2020; Zhang et al. 2021). With infection across 210 countries and the rising number of death, World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 outbreak as a global pandemic and health emergency (Mahmood et al. 2020). The WHO persuaded countries to build around a comprehensive strategy to prevent infections, save lives, and minimize impact (WHO 2020a). On February 19, 2020, the first confirmed cases in Iran were identified in Qom province and quickly extended across the whole country (Raoofi et al. 2020). Due to the remarkable increase in the number of confirmed cases, the government induced people to stay at home by applying restrictions to avoid the transmission of viruses. The WHO was grateful for the measures being taken in Iran to control their epidemics (WHO, 2020b). The
initial closures started at the end of February 2020 by shutting down the schools, universities, risky businesses, and all cultural and religious events in Iran (Daneshpazhooh and Mahmoudi, 2021). With the beginning of the Nowruz holidays on March, 21 2020, the severe lockdown was in place simultaneously except for only essential businesses and continued until April, 18 2020 (Hoseini and Valizadeh, 2021).

Many studies reported that significant changes in the environment were observed throughout the world during the lockdown period. For instance, an improvement in the levels of air quality and greenhouse gasses (Wang and Su, 2020; Dantas et al. 2020; Muhammad et al. 2020; Bashir et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021) and a decreasing in the noise levels (Zambrano-Monserrat et al. 2020; Aletta et al. 2020) have been proven. An improvement in the water quality of the aquatic ecosystems in terms of physicochemical properties has been also reported in the several studies. For example, during the lockdown period, the suspended particulate matter (SPM) had 15.9% reduction in the Vembanad Lake, India (Yunus et al. 2020). The values of Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) in Yamuna River, India, have been decreased 42.83% and 39.25% respectively (Patel et al. 2020). An improvement in the Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in the Hooghly-Matla estuarine complex, India, was revealed in the range of 12.40–38.54% (Chakraborthy et al. 2020). The water transparency and reflectance in the lagoon of Venice in Italy and Ganga River in India increased due to reduction in traffic and effluents respectively (Braga et al. 2020; Garg et al. 2020). A significant reduction in the pollution of the Ganga River (India), Gomti River (India), and Meriç-Ergene River Basin (Turkey) with heavy metals was also reported during the different lockdowns (Shukla et al. 2021; Khan et al. 2021; Tokatli and Varol, 2021). In essence, the COVID-induced lockdowns have led to an unintended worldwide experiment on how changes in human behavior patterns affect environmental quality.

Hazardous elements, including heavy metals, are an important class of environmental pollutants. Due to the persistence in natural conditions and toxicity essence, the contamination by hazardous elements in the fluvial ecosystem has raised public and academic concerns (Vu et al. 2017; Sojka et al. 2019). By increasing the rate of population, urbanization, and industrialization, rivers are in danger of the direct discharge of different types of wastes and wastewaters, resulting in being most susceptible to contamination by hazardous elements among freshwater environments (Khan et al. 2017). Hazardous elements can enter the human body via the food chain and accumulate in different tissues, hence resulting to detrimental effects on human health (Chung et al. 2016; Xiong et al. 2021). Long-term exposure to hazardous elements poses non-carcinogenic impacts and permanent disorders in the human body such as hearing, learning, and attention problems and carcinogenic consequences such as skin and lung cancers (Qu et al. 2018). Hazardous elements often come from anthropogenic sources (e.g., the industrial effluents, domestic sewage, and traffic-related contaminants) (Tchounwou et al. 2012; Kumar et al. 2019; Setia et al. 2020; Karunanidhi et al. 2021; Somma et al. 2021) and natural sources such as weathering and climatic conditions (Kumar et al. 2020; Arya et al. 2019; Yegemova et al. 2018).

During the lockdown period in Iran, activities of industrial, commercial, and urban businesses and public transportation systems almost came to a standstill and urban traffic reduced considerably (Hoseini and Valizadeh, 2021). Likewise, in Rasht City located in the north of Iran, industrial and urban units were almost closed and the urban traffic volume was considerably decreased. Hence, it is expected that the pollution by hazardous elements may lead to change in the urban rivers during the lockdown period. In other words, the restrictions of social and commercial activities lead to reduce the volume of input pollutants and may affect the pollution of urban rivers by hazardous elements. However, to the best of our knowledge, not many published studies have assessed the impact of COVID-induced lockdowns on water pollution by hazardous elements.

Therefore, the main objective of this study is to quantify the effects of the lockdown period on water pollution caused by hazardous elements. This will be accomplished by comparing the level of water pollution and quality, human health risk, and the contribution of pollution sources in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. In order to conduct a comprehensive evaluation, the Zarjoub River located in Rasht City was selected due to the following reasons (Iran Water Resources Management Company, 2013; Iran Department of Environment, 2005; Ashayeri et al. 2020): (1) the Zarjoub River is exposed to different types of pollution sources including industrial and agricultural effluents as well as hospitals, domestic, and rural solid waste and wastewater, making this river one of the most polluted rivers in Iran (Zolfagharipoor and Ahmadi, 2016); (2) the Zarjoub River plays a critical role in the water supply for agricultural, industrial, and municipal purposes; and (3) the Zarjoub River is economically significant as the main source of irrigation water for more than 230,000 ha of rice paddies in Guilan province, Iran. There is, heretofore, not many information about the status and reaction of aquatic ecosystems in agro-urban areas associated with hazardous elements during the lockdown period. The objectives of this study are as follows: (1) to assess the effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on the quality of a river (the Zarjoub River) that is impacted by a combination of urban and agricultural stressors; (2) to introduce a new index-based graphical approach to reporting the quality of water impacted by hazardous elements; (3) to quantitatively assess how the impact of different stressors on
the Zarjoub River was altered by the COVID-19 lockdown; and (4) to determine how the COVID-19 lockdown affected the river’s suitability as an irrigation source for the region’s food crops. Therefore, the results of this study may help to provide a controlling program for similar rivers and may be useful for making remediation decisions.

Materials and method

Study area

The Zarjoub river, with a length of 41 km, is located in Guilan province, north of Iran (Fig. 1). After passing through Rasht city, the Zarjoub River reaches the Anzali Lagoon in the southwest of the Caspian Sea. The Zarjoub watershed is characterized by a mild climate with rainy winters and hot-humid summers. The relative humidity in the watershed varies between 55% in July and 98% in October. The annual precipitation and temperature in Rasht city are 1402 mm and 15.8 °C, respectively. In upstream, the lithology of the watershed mainly consists of thick and moderate layers of dark-grey lime. Sedimentary rocks such as limestone, sandstone, and shale can be found in downstream of the watershed. In addition, the present-age sediments in the watershed indicate weathering and erosion as dominant active geomorphological processes in the study area (Monavari et al. 2012; Nematollahi et al. 2018). The watershed is the most populated region in Guilan province with a population of one million inhabitants in the urban and rural areas (Charkhabi et al. 2008). The Zarjoub River becomes highly polluted after absorbing the pollution loads caused by different sources and receiving various waste and wastewaters. In upstream, the Zarjoub River experiences the pollution of the landfill leachate. Approximately 700 tons of daily hospital and domestic wastes are transferred to the landfill without any pre-treatment covered 13 ha of the forest area (Shariatmadari et al. 2018). Due to the wet and humid climate with low frost days, highly toxic leachate at the landfill discharges into the Zarjoub River (Sheijany et al. 2020). In midstream, approximately 500 factories located in the Industrial Rasht City are responsible for discharging a large amount of industrial effluents in the river (Charkhabi et al. 2008). In downstream, the Zarjoub river is polluted by the hospital and domestic wastewater combined with vehicular pollution. In addition, the study area is covered with paddy fields employed for rice cultivation. Due to the precipitation and surface runoff in paddy fields, the agricultural effluents enter the Zarjoub River elevating the level of pollution. The water contamination of the Zarjoub River has directly put the Anzali Lagoon and the Caspian Sea in danger of catastrophic consequences in terms of environmental pollution (Ghodrati et al. 2012).

Sample collection and analysis

Water sampling of the Zarjoub river was conducted in February and May 2020 representing pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, respectively. A total of twenty six samples (thirteen samples in February, and thirteen samples in May) were collected in thirteen sites from the upstream to the downstream of the Zarjoub River, as noted in Fig. 1.
The sampling locations were selected based on the potential sources of pollution with respect to the field observation. The sampling sites S1-S4 were selected for monitoring the landfill site and industrial areas but the sampling sites S5-S13 are related to rural and municipal areas. At each site, the sampling was carried out at a depth of 20 cm below the surface water. The samples were filtered through a 0.45-μm filter, acidified with the ultra-pure concentrated nitric acid, and were stored in pre-cleaned polyethylene bottles. By using a refrigerator, all samples were transferred to the laboratory at 4 °C for elemental analysis.

The concentrations of Al, As, Ba, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Zn, Na, Mg, and Ca were measured using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Agilent 7700, USA). Acid digestion following by centrifugation was conducted in order to pretreatment of the samples for the ICP-MS analysis. Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using an in situ EC meter (Hanna-HI-98312). The certified reference materials (CRM) obtained from SPEX CertiPrep were used for checking the accuracy of the analysis, and the recovery rates were found in the range of 96–103%. All samples were analyzed in triplicate and the relative standard deviation (RSD) values were obtained <5%.

Assessment of water pollution

The concentrations of different elements were measured at thirteen sampling locations in the river, as described above. To understand the implications of the measured concentrations, these concentrations are aggregated into four different indices that have been presented in the prior literature: (1) heavy metal pollution index, HPI; (2) heavy metal evaluation index, HEI; (3) degree of contamination index, Cdeg; and (4) water quality index, WQI. Each of these indices provides useful information about the relative importance of the metals present in the river. WQI is further sub-divided into a water quality index for drinking-water purposes (WQI-Dri), for irrigation purposes (WQI-Irr), and for aquatic life in the river (WQI-Aqu). Finally, the six indices (HPI, HEI, Cdeg, WQI-Dri, WQI-Irr, and WQI-Aqu) can be analyzed graphically to provide an overall assessment of the quality of the river, as explained in the sub-sections following. The details of indices are provided in Table 1.

Assessment of suitability of water quality for agricultural purposes

The high concentration of dissolved salts and major cations such as sodium in the water can pose harmful consequences on agricultural soils and plants. It may change the soil characteristics, increase the soil salinity, reduce the soil infiltration and fertility, elevate the level of soil alkalinity, and influence on the growth and nutrients availability for plants (Hasan et al. 2020; Fallahati et al. 2020; Berhe, 2020). To evaluate the level of salinity hazard in the river, electrical conductivity (EC) according to micro-Mohs/cm in the water was measured in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. The salinity hazard is grouped into four categories: excellent (150 < EC < 250), good (251 < EC < 750), doubtful (751 < EC < 2250), and unsuitable (EC > 2250) (Islam et al. 2017).

The alkalinity hazard of the water is determined using the sodium absorption ratio (SAR) based on the obtained cations concentration in the water samples as follows (Fallahati et al. 2020):

\[
SAR = \frac{Na^+}{\sqrt{(Mg^{2+} + Ca^{2+})/2}}
\]  

(1)

The alkalinity hazard is also divided into four classes: excellent (SAR < 10), good (10 < SAR < 18), fair (18 < SAR < 26), and poor (SAR > 26) (Ayers and Westcot, 1985; Simsek and Gunduz, 2007). For better understanding the salinity and alkalinaty hazards, the US Salinity Laboratory (USSL) diagram was used in which x axis represents EC and y axis demonstrates SAR values (Sahoo and Khaaoash, 2020). In the USSL scatter plot, the classifications of the salinity and alkalinaty are expressed by C and S, respectively. The classification of water quality for irrigation which purpose based on USSL diagram is given in Table S2.

Human health risk assessment

This section has been described in Supplementary Information (SI).

Multivariate statistical analyses

To trace the sources of pollution in pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, principal component analysis (PCA) combined with Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed. By demining the inter-relationship of hazardous elements using the correlation matrix, it helps to verify the results of PCA (Gu and Gao, 2018). The descriptive and multivariate statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 26 (SPSS Inc., USA) software. The normal distribution of data was checked using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test (Sangsefidi et al. 2017; Mehraein et al., 2020; Jaskula et al., 2021). The validity of PCA results was examined by using Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) value (0.521) and Bartlett sphericity tests (p < 0.001) and the principal components were extracted by varimax rotation. The multi linear regression (MLR) was applied on the factors obtained by PCA. PCA-MLR as a receptor model quantifies the percentage contribution of pollution sources (Pan et al. 2017). PCA-MLR is formulated as follows (Fakhradini et al. 2019):
Table 1. Mathematical expressions and classification of indices for water pollution by hazardous elements

| Indices                                | Mathematical expressions                                                                 | Classification/Quality Degree | References                          |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Heavy metal pollution index (HPI)      | \( W_i = 1 / S_i \) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{HPI} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \) | HPI < 15, 15 < HPI < 30, HPI > 30 | Edet and Offiong. (2002); Qu et al. (2018) |
| Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI)     | \( HEI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i / \text{MAC} \) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{MAC} \) | HEI < 10, 10 < HEI < 20, HEI > 20 | Bodrud-Doza et al. 2016            |
| Degree of contamination index \( C_{deg} \) | \( C_{deg} = (M_i / S_i) - 1 \) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{S_i} \) | \( C_{deg} < 1 \), 1 < \( C_{deg} < 3 \), \( C_{deg} > 3 \) | Abdel-Satar et al. 2017            |
| Water quality index (WQI)              | \( W_i = 1 / Z \quad \text{where} \quad Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( S_i \right) \) | WQI < 25, 26 < WQI < 50, 51 < WQI < 75, 76 < WQI < 100 | Yadav et al. 2010; Custodio et al. 2021 |

\[ z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i X_i \] \quad (2)

\[ C_i(\%) = \frac{B_i}{n} \times 100 \] \quad (3)

Results and discussion

Hazardous elements concentration

Statistical parameters and the variation of hazardous elements in the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods are presented in Table 2 and Fig. S1, respectively. The International Standards for Drinking Water (WHO, 2011), Water Quality for Agriculture (FAO, 1985), and National Recommended Water Quality Criteria-Aquatic Life (USEPA, 2006) are also given for comparison. The results indicated that the mean elemental concentration in pre-lockdown period follows the decreasing order as Al (197 µg/L) > Ba (110 µg/L) > Cr (56 µg/L) > Zn (29 µg/L) > Ni (21 µg/L) > Pb (15 µg/L) > Cu (5.2 µg/L) > Se (4.8 µg/L) > As (3.2 µg/L). However, a descending order of the mean concentration was observed in the post-lockdown period as follows: Ba (108 µg/L) > Al (93 µg/L) > Zn (39 µg/L) > Ni (31 µg/L) > Cr (24 µg/L) > Pb (14 µg/L) > As (3.6 µg/L) > Se (2.7 µg/L) > Cu (1.1 µg/L). Comparing with the national and international guidelines, the mean concentrations of all elements in pre-lockdown period were less than the standard values recommended by WHO (2011) for drinking purposes except for Cr and Pb. In post-lockdown period, only Pb had a concentration higher than the standard value. For irrigation purposes, the mean value of Ba in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods was measured higher than standard values proposed by FAO, 1985). In addition, the mean value of Cr exceeded the permissible value for aquatic life recommended by USEPA (2006) for both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. The values exceeded WHO (2011) standard for Al, Cr, and Pb in 20%, 70%, and 100% of pre-lockdown period samples, respectively. In the post-lockdown period, only Pb exceeded WHO standard and was measured
to be above that standard in 100% of samples collected. In addition, Ba showed a higher concentration with respect to the value of FAO (1985) in 90% of samples in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. Furthermore, the concentration of Cr in 100% of pre-lockdown period samples and 90% of post-lockdown period samples were found to be more than the standard value of USEPA (2006).

According to Fig. S1, it is clear that the mean concentrations of Al, Cr, Cu, Mo, and Se were reduced in the post-lockdown period as compared to pre-lockdown period. The mean values of As, Ba, and Pb were not significantly different whereas Ni and Zn in post-lockdown period were higher than those in pre-lockdown period. These findings revealed that the lockdown period has had a great impact on river pollution caused by hazardous elements.

### Hazardous elements pollution during lockdown period

The longitudinal trends of pollution indices during the two periods are presented in Fig. 2a-c. The results of HPI and $C_{deg}$ indicate that the level of pollution in the pre-lockdown period would be categorized as high, whereas in the post-lockdown period, the pollution level would be categorized in the medium range. Considering all sampling sites, the mean values of HPI decreased from 36 to 22 and $C_{deg}$ decreased from 3.9 to 2.3 from the pre-lockdown period to post-lockdown period. These results indicate a 38% and 41% reduction in the extent of pollution, respectively, caused by the lockdown period. Compared to HPI and $C_{deg}$, the HEI values presented a lower level of pollution for the pre-lockdown. Although the mean values of HEI reduced 11% in the level of contamination, the HEI index showed medium contamination in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods.

Longitudinal trends of water quality indices are presented in Fig. 2d,e. The water quality index for drinking usages displayed poor water quality for both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. However, the mean values of WQI-Dri were calculated 73 and 62 in the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, respectively. It reveals that drinking water quality improved 15% during the lockdown period. Longitudinal trends show that, at the beginning of the urban areas, water quality was very poor in the pre-lockdown period that it might be due to the contamination by wastewater. For both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, the water quality is good for irrigation purposes. The mean values of WQI-Irr decreased 11% in the level of contamination, and recorded a 29% improvement in irrigation water quality in the post-lockdown period with respect to the pre-lockdown period. Regarding aquatic life status, the water quality index presented an unsuitable level in the pre-lockdown period and the river was unfit for living aquatic organisms, while it improved to poor water quality in the post-lockdown period. Taking into consideration all sampling sites, the mean values of WQI-Aqu were found 141 and 62 in the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods, respectively. It showed that in the lockdown period, the water quality for aquatic life improved by approximately 58%. According to the results of the longitudinal trends, the values of pollution increased in the urban area (sampling sites 6–13). Although the water quality for drinking and aquatic life improved in the post-lockdown period, the water quality is still poor. This is associated with the high concentration and contribution of elements in the urban area mainly originating from anthropogenic activities.

### Safe-Heart indicator

The concept of heart-shaped graph is a useful visual approach for the overall assessment of the water pollution. Sakai et al. (2018) suggested a heart-shaped graph named the Eco-Heart indicator for evaluation of physicochemical parameters in water. Conforming to the results of indices in this study, the concept of the Safe-Heart indicator was
developed for the first time to evaluate water pollution using indices. The Safe-Heart indicator is based on a radar chart with six axes representing the value of indices. All indices start from the edge of the axes except for $C_{\text{deg}}$ for shaping the heart. The critical values of indices were determined as 15, 10, 1, 40, 40, and 40 for $HPI$, $HEI$, $C_{\text{deg}}$, $WQI$-$\text{Dri}$, $WQI$-$\text{Irr}$, and $WQI$-$\text{Aqu}$, respectively. These values were marked in the axes and the consecutive axes were connected to each other using a curve line. Eventually, a perfect heart-shape graph would indicate an ideal situation of the water in terms of contamination by hazardous elements (light red plot in Fig. 3). If one or more indices exceed the relevant critical value, a deformed-heart shape becomes visible and the shape will get distorted. The Safe-Heart graphs for the average concentration of hazardous elements in the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods are depicted in Fig. 3.

According to the mean values of indices, an irregular heart-shape appeared in the pre-lockdown period indicating excessive pollution of water by hazardous elements. However, an improvement in the shape of the heart (particularly in the lower half of the heart) was observed in the post-lockdown period, demonstrating that the status of pollution in the water got better during the lockdown period. In Fig. 3, the sampling sites 1, 2, and 10 were selected for the evaluation of the Safe-Heart which represent three major sources of pollution including landfill, industrial city, and urban area respectively. The results of Fig. 3 showed that the pollution in the urban and industrial area has a severe role on the deformation of the heart in the pre-lockdown period followed by landfill site. The three sampling sites illustrated an improvement in the shape of the hearts in the post-lockdown period. In site 2, the most improvement was observed which is due to a great reduction in effluents released by the industrial city in the lockdown period. In sites 1 and 10, the values of $HPI$ and $WQI$-$\text{Aqu}$ were calculated higher than site 2 in the post-lockdown period. Therefore, the shape of the heart in site 1 and 10 shows a deviation to the center of the graph on the right and left sides. Accordingly, it can be inferred that the reduction of industrial activities has had significant impact on water quality during the lockdown period.

**Multivariate statistical analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) and Pearson’s correlation analysis were conducted to identify the association between hazardous elements and possible sources of pollution. The results of PCA in the pre-lockdown period are given in Fig. 5a and Table S4. Three principal components...
Fig. 3 Comparison of the Safe-Hearts based on the mean values of indices and major sampling sites
with eigenvalues greater than one were extracted, describing about 76% of the total variance. As, Ba, Mo, Ni, and Pb demonstrated a high loading in PC1 with 40% of the total variance. Conforming to the correlation analysis in pre-lockdown period presented in Fig. 4a, the correlations of these elements reveal a mixed source of pollution including geological and anthropogenic sources. The moderate correlation was observed between Ba and Mo ($r = -0.638$); Ba and As ($r = 0.509$); indicating that As, Ba, and Mo may originate from weathering of parent materials in the earth’s crust (Giri and Singh, 2015; Zeng et al. 2019). However, the moderate correlation between Ni and Pb ($r = 0.664$) shows anthropogenic source due to the discharge of the municipal wastewater (Vu et al., 2017; Ustaoglu and Islam, 2020).

The second component (PC2) explained 23% of the total variance and specified by Al, Cr, Cu, Mo, and Se. The correlation analysis illustrates a moderate correlation between Al and Cr ($r = 0.644$); Al and Cu ($r = -0.679$); Al and Se ($r = -0.538$). In addition, a relatively moderate correlation was observed between Mo and Cu ($r = 0.443$). The correlation among these elements implies that they originate from mixed anthropogenic inputs including industrial effluents and landfill leachate. For example, the effluents of aluminum, smelting, electroplating, and pharmaceutical factories in the industrial city of Rasht might be the sources of Al, Cu, Cr, and Se (Wang et al., 2013; Giri and Singh, 2014). Several studies also reported that Al, Cr, and Cu can be associated with domestic and hospital solid wastes (Kuo et al., 1999; Saffarzadeh et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020). According to the field observation, the high concentration of these elements in the urban areas implies direct dumping of solid waste into the river (Ghodrati et al., 2012). The third component (PC3) illustrated 13% of the total variance and dominated by Cr and Zn. These elements indicated a moderate correlation ($r = -0.549$) and may be derived from vehicular pollution. The exhaust fumes, coal combustion, abrasion of vehicular tires, and brakes represent the sources of Cr and Zn (Duodu et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2019).

The results of the statistical analyses for post-lockdown period are given in Fig. 4b, 5b, and Table S4. Three principal components were derived and described by 70% of the total variance. The high loading elements in PC1 viz. As, Ba, Mo, Ni, and Pb which present 32% of the total variance have not changed in the post-lockdown period. The correlation analysis also demonstrated an inter-relationship between these elements which is attributed to the weathering combined with discharging of the municipal wastewater. The second component (PC2) explained 22% of the total variance and specified by Al, Cr, Cu, and Ni. According to the correlation matrix, Cr was moderately correlated with Ni ($r = -0.554$). Besides, Al and Cu ($r = 0.482$), Cr and Cu ($r = 0.469$) illustrated relatively moderate correlations, confirming the associations between these elements. Similar to the source of Al, Cr, and Cu in PC2 of the pre-lockdown period, they may be related to the residual effects of industrial effluents as well as solid wastes in the post-lockdown period. Ni is also associated with dumping of solid waste and leachate of the landfill (Yusof et al., 2009; Islam et al., 2018).

The third component (PC3) indicated 16% of the total variance and included Se and Zn with moderate correlation ($r = 0.667$). This signified a mixed vehicular emissions and agricultural effluents. As discussed, Zn were considered as a traffic-related source in this area. In addition, the chemical fertilizers were used from the late winter season to the early spring season (lockdown period) for rice cultivation in the study area. Accordingly, the presence of Se and Zn in the post-lockdown period can be justified with the use of fertilizers and runoff of agricultural wastewater in the pre-lockdown period. Previous studies have also reported that the agricultural inputs caused by the application of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and fungicides are the most important sources of Se and Zn (Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2020).

To determine the relative significance of pollution sources, multi linear regression (MLR) was applied to the factor scores of PCA analysis. The results of source apportionment using PCA-MLR receptor model are shown in Fig. 5(c and d). According to the results of the pre-lockdown period, 64% of total hazardous elements are ascribed to the mixed source of industrial effluents and solid waste. The weathering/municipal wastewater and vehicular pollution are dominated by 23% and 13% of the contribution, respectively. As discussed, during the lockdown period, industrial activities in the study area were almost shut down with the exception of essential products. Further, vehicular travel was greatly reduced due to social and occupational restrictions. Hence, the sources associated with the industry, transportation, and commuting decreases significantly in the potential sources of pollution in the post-lockdown period. According to the results of PCA-MLR receptor model in the post-lockdown period, the contribution of weathering/municipal wastewater was almost doubled and took the first place of sources with 50% of the contribution. The increase of contribution for municipal wastewater may be associated with changes in sanitary behaviors (e.g., hand and face washing and showering) during the lockdown period which leads to an increase in the generation of domestic wastewater (Kataki et al., 2020; Rohila, 2020). Moreover, the number of patients in hospitals increased drastically due to the excessive infection with coronavirus resulting in increased delivery of wastewater from hospitals. The mixed industrial effluents and solid waste pollution also decreased to 45% of the contribution. Although the discharging of industrial effluents significantly diminished, the amount of solid waste increase during to lockdown period, leading to
record a 45% of contribution. According to Vanapalli et al. (2020) and Mostafa et al. (2021), the lockdown period affected the amount of household solid wastes by increasing the use of protection equipment (e.g., facemasks, shield, gowns, gloves, etc.), foods with plastic packages, and disposable utensils. Ilyas et al. (2020) and Kargar et al. (2020) also reported that medical waste generated by infected patients increased dramatically during the lockdown period. The above findings can be attributed to the hyper-hygienic lifestyle during the pandemic. Therefore, the increase in Ni
concentration during the lockdown period may be justified by increasing the volume of municipal waste and wastewater together with the reduction of rainfall and river discharge (Olias et al. 2004). According to the bulletins of Guilan province weather, the value of precipitation in May illustrated, on average, a 35% reduction compared with that of in February (Guilan Meteorological Organization, 2020). The rain gauge stations in Rasht City showed that the values of precipitation decreased from 105.3 to 58 mm in in agricultural station and 91.5 to 68 mm in airport station during the lockdown period (Table S5). This may also have amplified the concentration of Ni in the post-lockdown period. The last contribution (5%) belongs to the vehicular pollution together with agricultural activities as a new source of pollution appeared the post-lockdown period. The concentration of Zn increased 10 μg/L in the post-lockdown period (Table 2) which may be associated with the agricultural effluents and hydrological impacts due to the season change.
Human health risk assessment

The results of human health risk assessment have been described in Supplementary Information (SI).

Cation-based assessment of water

The statistical data of the agricultural parameters including Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, SAR, and EC are summarized in Table 3. The results showed that the values of the parameters increased during the lockdown period. The concentration of Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, SAR, and EC in the pre-lockdown period was measured 5.7, 1.6, 2.1, 4, and 1.2 times higher than those in the pre-lockdown period.

Compared to the references, the mean values of SAR in the pre-lockdown period were in the range of excellent water and the value of EC categorized as doubtful water for agricultural purposes in the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods. Considering the values of SAR and EC, the alkalinity and salinity increased during the lockdown period. The USSL diagram is applied to appraise the status of sodium hazard together with salinity hazard in water samples (Fig. 6a) (Xiao et al. 2021). In the pre-lockdown period, the sampling sites 1, 2, and 3 are categorized as C2S1, indicating a little salty and almost good water for irrigation. The other sampling sites exhibited C3S1 which is classified as salty but usable for agriculture. In the post-lockdown period, the sampling sites 1 categorized as C2S1 while the other sites fell within C3S1, demonstrating a little salty for site 1 and salty for the other sites. As the results shown in Fig. 6b, the values of SAR and EC illustrated an increasing trend from upstream to downstream in particular for post-lockdown period. The natural and anthropogenic sources such as weathering and wastewaters lead to increase the level of cations concentration and EC value (Alobaidy et al. 2010; Morrison et al. 2001; Gyimah et al. 2020). In addition, increasing sodium directly increases electrical conductivity (Rao and Nageswararao, 2010). Hence, it can be inferred that municipal wastewater in the study area had the

|                  | Na⁺ (meq/L) | Mg²⁺ (meq/L) | Ca²⁺ (meq/L) | SAR   | EC (μS/cm) |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|------------|
| Pre-lockdown     | Mean        | 0.89         | 0.69         | 1.48  | 0.84       | 952.4 |
|                  | SD          | 0.25         | 0.11         | 0.29  | 0.2        | 226.7 |
|                  | Minimum     | 0.32         | 0.42         | 0.87  | 0.39       | 476.4 |
|                  | Maximum     | 1.05         | 0.77         | 1.73  | 0.96       | 1166.2 |
| Post-lockdown    | Mean        | 5.08         | 1.13         | 3.17  | 3.41       | 1113.8 |
|                  | SD          | 1.75         | 0.19         | 0.27  | 1.12       | 220.0 |
|                  | Minimum     | 0.46         | 0.74         | 2.48  | 0.36       | 619.4 |

Fig. 6  (a) The classification of irrigation water based on USSL diagram. (b) The relationship between SAR and EC
greatest influence on the increase of SAR and EC in post-lockdown period.

Besides the discussed sources, the increased value of Na⁺ in the post-lockdown period may be attributed to the effluents of detergent and disinfectant production units which include sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl). This is one of the cheapest and most accessible disinfectants that has been widely used in the disinfection of hospitals and household surfaces and equipment against coronavirus (Nickmilder et al. 2007; Pereira et al. 2015). The use of sodium hypochlorite disinfectant increased in the hospitals and residential houses recommended by WHO due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Marnie and Peters, 2020; Nabi et al. 2020). According to the news during the lockdown period, the production capacity of the sodium hypochlorite in factories of Rasht City increased 35% around the clock. Due to the direct discharge of domestic and hospital wastewater into the river, this results in the increase of sodium concentration in the river during the lockdown period. It should be noted that the use of fertilizers in the paddy fields in the pre-lockdown period may increase the cations concentration in the post-lockdown period due to the runoff process. Therefore, in the pre-lockdown period, the cations concentration and EC value are associated with natural and anthropogenic sources. It is noteworthy that the flow discharge may affect the level of cations concentrations. The cations concentration decreased by the rainfall due to dilution effect (Hung et al. 2020; Puczko and Jekaterynczuk-Rudczyk, 2020). Accordingly, the low concentration of cations in the pre-lockdown period can be associated with the rainfall effect. In the post-lockdown period, natural sources, anthropogenic activities, and reduction of the rainfall lead to increase the cations concentration and EC values.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, the effects of the lockdown period caused by COVID-19 on the water pollution and quality of the Zarjoub River in the north of Iran in terms of hazardous elements and major cations were evaluated. The main results are as follows:

1- The level of water pollution assessed by HPI, HEI, and Cdeg models indicated 38%, 11%, and 41% reduction respectively. In addition, multi-purpose water quality assessment for drinking, irrigation, and aquatic life revealed an improvement by 15%, 29%, and 58% respectively. Although the water quality indices represented an improvement during the lockdown period, poor water quality for drinking purpose and aquatic life was observed in the post-lockdown.

2- According to the results of multivariate statistical analyses and PCA-MLR receptor model, mixed weathering and municipal wastewater were detected as sources of pollution in both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods with 23% and 50% of the contribution, respectively. The mixed industrial effluents and landfill leachate with 64% of contribution in the pre-lockdown period decreased to 45% of contribution in the post-lockdown period. Vehicular pollution in the pre-lockdown period reduced from 13 to 5% in the post-lockdown period combined with the agricultural effluents.

3- The non-carcinogenic risk was reduced by 30% for all age groups. Although the carcinogenic risks decreased 47% during the lockdown period, the CR values of As and Cr indicated cancer risk both pre-lockdown and post-lockdown periods.

4- The mean values of SAR and EC increased 4 and 1.2 times in the post-lockdown period due to natural and anthropogenic sources such as an increase of municipal wastewater.

Overall, the lockdown period has had positive and negative impacts on the level of pollution and salinity/alkalinity, respectively.
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