A relief with Mithraic scenes on a sarcophagus lid: iconographic and literary issues
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Abstract

During the excavations of the team of the University of Chieti in the area of Ain Hofra, in the East necropolis of Cyrene, several interesting sculptures were found in tombs A and C, also called the Tomb of the Sarcophagi and the Tomb of the Sculptures. In this paper a specific find from Tomb A is presented, as it is a rare example of a Mithraic relief from a private funerary context. The find has only previously been published for the translation and presentation of the inscription; however, an analysis and interpretation of the relief together with the inscription has never been tackled. The very fragmentary lid is made of white marble, presenting a very lively iconographic sequence of Mithraic schemata, in combination with a metrical inscription within a central tabula ansata. The find context is particularly monumental and is characterized by two Temple Tombs built in ashlars masonry located on the plateau of Ain Hofra overlooking the wadi (canyon) and the fertile terraces below. This multidisciplinary article looks at the iconographic elements, the epigraphic implications and the monumental context of the Mithraic reliefs.
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The Mithraic lid: Iconographic interpretation

The lid is very fragmentary, but the sequence of the organization and of the representation can be easily reconstructed (Figure 1). It is a flat lid with a frontal panel of white imported marble, probably from Thasos (cf. Appendix B for the petrographic discussion). The panel is organized in three main sections, including the figurative scenes of the relief in the lateral sections (A and C in Figure 1) and a metrical inscription in tabula ansata for the central section (B in Figures 1 and 2 in particular), determining a frontal emphasis and also a converging schema for the two lateral reliefs.

The central section of the panel (section B in Figures 1 and 2 for details) is characterized by four fragments which constitute the complete tabula ansata. The inscription is not perfectly centred on the epigraphic field, especially for the first line, but is regularly organized on four lines (for the transcription and analysis see below in this contribution and in the Appendix A by S. Struffolino).

The tabula ansata is framed by moldings, a concave and a rounded regular one, totally surrounded by a flat band, framing and enclosing the tabula. The two lateral ansae present similar moldings and lists, determining a homogeneous framing of this central section.

Two winged Nikai are carved on both sides of the tabula and are represented in profile as they move towards the center and while holding the ansae with both hands. Their head and gaze is turned elsewhere, backwards, becoming in this way the link between the side sections and the central section and smoothing the way from the figurative scenes to the inscription. Both Nikai are wearing a long, thick and richly draped chiton, which is presented in a peculiar iconography, similar for the two figures, but slightly differing for the right one, which is represented with the left leg more visible than the dress or for a slant of the robe. The dressing, in both figures, is enriched by a short and draped apoppygma, covering the chest and bust, and ending at the hips; it leaves the arms naked and it is girded with a belt or strip, which is thicker in the right figure, while for the left one it seems to be thinner and less visible under the draped folds. The gesture of walking towards the tabula determines, then, in both figures an antithetic iconographic composition, with an unstable ponderatio, based on a bent leg moving forwards, while the second is placed straight and backwards, with the centre of gravity placed between the two legs, in order to give the impression of a movement in progress. Also the faces of the two figures are similar but not identical, but not for a specific or physiognomic characterization of each Nike, rather for an intent of...
differentiation, and maintaining an idealization in their representation. Both the hairdressings were made using a very thin chisel, to outline the hair strands, and a drill for carving more deeply the curls and to create a more chiaroscuro effect. The figure on the right is represented with the hair probably gathered at the nape of the neck, not falling on the shoulders, and the head is covered by a hat, the so-called Phrygian cap. The Nike on the left, moreover, presents a softer-looking hairstyle, with locks that touch the shoulders, without any cap, while two raised curls determine a richer hair style with a ‘top-knot’ arranged in a bow, which is quite popular and widely attested at Cyrene in the representation of Artemis and Aphrodite, as well as Apollo (Huskinson 1975, nn.56,57,58, pl.23, 29–30; Paribeni 1959, nn.153, 163). The wings of the two Nikai are just slightly visible, both due to their fragmentation and their being partly covered. Close to the right leg of the left figure a small dog is represented, but certainly linked with the backward scene, with Mithras.

The use of the tabula, for the inscription in lids of sarcophagi with frontal panels, seems to be widely attested between the second and the fourth centuries AD (for close parallels see the epigraphic appendix below), as also the tabula ansata, often presenting similar winged figures holding the tabula. The flat band framing the panel and the tabula seems to be quite frequent both in sarcophagi and lids dating between the second and the third centuries AD. For the mouldings of the tabula, the metrical composition and the typology of lid, parallels can be found in the panel with relief of the lid with seasonal Genii dating to between the middle and the third quarter of the third century AD (for the online database https://www.collezione-m.it/descrizione-opera-archeologia_27_ita.html -03/01(2021), as well as in the Petronius Melior lid at the Louvre (Baratte and Metzger 1985, n. 2) and with other examples in the Vatican (Kranz 1984, n. 90; Ferrua 1987, inv. PRI 0679-A, 3–20; Fasola 1961, inv.PRI 0679, 237–267; Bielefeld 1997, Vatican inv. PRI 0564-D) and at Villa Pamphilj (Calza et al. 1977, n. 260).

The lateral ala C of the lid’s figurative panel (C in Figure 1 and see Figure 3 for a more detailed view) is quite fragmentary and lacks large sections, therefore the reconstruction is based on 7 fragments (A01 – 1 c-d, A01 – 2, A01 – 3, A01 – 4) but with large gaps. It consists of a large figurative series of scenes, with numerous figures and all of them are wearing particular clothes, with anastyridae, soft walking boots and a Phrygian cap, which confirm the ‘Mithraic interpretation’ (for the typology of dressing related to Mithraea and the Mithraic representations, see in particular García Sánchez 2012, 124–134; but also Clauss 1990, 2000; Cumont 1903; Vermaseren 1963; and also contributions in EJMS online).

The lateral ala C of the lid’s figurative panel (just mentioned above); the fragments A01-2 are related to the lower part of the lateral section and guarantee the perfect match of the different sections. They are characterized by the evident representation of hooves of a bull. The scene is very fragmentary, but everything seems to be included within a specific ‘architectural space’, which appears to be outlined by vertical elements, in the form of small pilasters with decorative elements, quite simple and consisting of a thin wavy line and deeper incised dots. Two pillars (but just one is still preserved) were probably framing the entrance of a cave, which is formed in a slightly lower relief. In this way the pilaster represents a sort of monumentalisation of the cave or naïskos evoking the cave, possibly within a Mithraeum. The frame of the cave presents thin vegetal elements, according to a precise iconography evoking...
the ritual consecration by Zoroaster of a cave rich in flowers/vegetal elements and water sources for Mithras (Porphyry, De antro nymph. 64 – mentionning Eubulus author of a History of Mithras – Hier., in Jovinian. 2,14) and can be found in numerous Mithraic representations, as for instance in the reliefs from Interamna (CIMRM 670, fig. 191) and Rome (CIMRM 415, fig. 114). The specific use of a differentiated level of relief for the pilaster may be a method by the sculptor for creating an optical effect in a foreshortened view, and to mark with monumental architectoncal elements the entrance of the cave/niche cave. Also the relief of the hooves of the bull are represented in a different thickness, indicating that the animal is moving, coming out from the cave or entering backwards recalcitrant and pushed by ‘someone’ who is preserved just in the lower part. The figure, in fact, is represented just outside the entrance of the cave, as moving towards the entrance, facing the animal. The figure is also wearing a Phrygian cap and a short mantle, which appears raised in the fragment A01 – 04. The scene seems to represent Mithras in the moment just after the capture of the bull, which is represented generally in very different ways. For instance in the relief from Dieburg (CIMRM 1247, fig. 323) the bull is already inside the cave and seated in the middle of the pilasters outlining the entrance. The figure can be therefore identified as Mithras, who, during the taurophoria (that is the transportation of the bull into the cave) is represented just after the capture, but before the sacrifice. The representation of the bull being pushed into the cave by Mithras is then a narrative expedient of the storyboard of the exploits/labours of Mithras, including the hunting of the animal, its transport and installation in the cave and then the sacrifice. Moreover, the human figure in this scene (as is clear from the hat in fragment A01-4 and the shoes in fragment A01-1) is also represented larger than the other figures; it is, therefore, the main figure on the complete scene of the right section of the panel. The taurophoria is not often represented among the acta of Mithras; obviously the tauractia (bull-slaying scene) is the most represented and key moment. However, it has here a symbolic value, it directly evokes the ritual passages of the Mithraism: the transitus of the god and of the bull evoke the passages and stages of the initiations (Beard et al. 1998, 289; Neri 2000, 227–245, in particular 242–243), relating in this way to the other figurative section of the panel (A in Figure 1). Mithras, therefore, is represented in this scene ‘transporting’ the bull during the transitus, as a moschophoros; the iconographies related to this specific moment of the Mithraic events are various, such as keeping the bull on his shoulders, as for instance in the relief from Sidon (CIMRM 77, Figure 28) or carrying or pushing the bull, as in our case, and as attested in other representations (for instance at Vindolbula, description in CIMRM 839; but also, nn.77, 729, but in particular n.48.8). Moreover, the specific iconography of this scene, the transportation of the bull, seems to suggest, more closely than other variations, what Statius is writing (Theb. 1, 719 sg.): Persei sub rupibus antri / indignata sequi tormentum cornua Mitram.

Two figures follow the scene, in fragments A01-03a (Figure 3), which are not completely preserved, but the Phrygian caps are complete, and part of the legs and feet. Although in poor condition, the iconography, as well as the ponderatio of the two figures (with the legs crossed), seems to allude to the representation of the two dadophoroi (torchbearers) of Mithras: Cautes and Cautopates, who are often represented in Mithraic reliefs and paintings (Hinnells 1975; numerous representations of the two torchbearers can also be found in CIMRM, Cumont 1956). The fragments A01-3b and c (Fig. 3), attest, again in a very fragmentary way, a male veiled figure who is moving towards Cautes and Cautopates, and is carrying a leafy twig (possibly of an olive tree or oak), brandishing it towards the two dadophoroi. The figure could be interpreted as a cult initiate represented during a specific moment of the ritual ceremonies. The last fragment of this section, (A01-3d in Fig. 3), is quite difficult to interpret: it is another figure wearing a Phrygian cap (which is the only part still visible) and is carrying a torch and extends his hand to the head of the veiled person. Obviously the presence of the torch can be interpreted in different ways, as a symbolic allusion, again, to one of the dadophoroi, or as the attribute of a priest during a specific ceremonial step, or a metaphorical allusion to the second grade of the Mithraic initiation. According to Sophroniou Eusebius Hieronymus, writing in the fourth century AD, it was the grade of Nymphus, also named bridegroom or chrysals; it was under the protection of Venus, and the elements which were used generally as ‘metaphorical symbols’ and iconographic attributes are a torch/lamp or the diadem of Venus (Hieron., Epist. 107 ad Laetam).

The scenes which are represented in this fragmentary right side of the panel of the lid have a homogeneous direction, iconographic trend and rhythm, which increases gradually to arrive at the representation of the transitus of the bull in the cave, where the presence of Mithras probably represented the acme of the gradual ritual path. Despite the fragmentary nature of the pieces, the scenes here seem to present a strong correlation of events, mainly throughout specific Mithraic rituals in front of the cave. The presence of a figure which is velato capite (veiled head), may suggest that what is represented here is the ceremonial initiation, or grade of initiation, of an adept to the cult. Moreover, the
choice to represent the cave, which was the hearth of the cult, as a sort of 'monumentalized' cave or rock-cut niche with external pilasters or jambs with decorations, suggest an iconographic allusion to the cave-niche of a Mythraeum, in a parallel symbolic representation of the original cave as well as of the niche-cave of a specific Mythraeum, where possibly the adept was taking part in the rituals. The typologies of decorations which were usually painted or curved in relief along the borders of the cultural cave-niches of the Mythrea, were quite different: from the representations of the complete series of the Zodiac, to scenes of the birth and of the youth of the god, or to simpler decorations.

The scenes, therefore, are not just generic representations of Mithras and Mithraism, but it seems that the sculptor was representing specific ceremonies related to the dead person, within a specific Mythraeum, which was characterized by architectonic elements, alluding to a naïskos effect for decorating the cave-niche, and by a statue of Mithras taurophoros with its own iconography.

The lateral ala A of the panel of the lid includes the fragments A01-5, A01-6, A01-7 and A01-8 (Fig. 4), again in a very fragmentary state of preservation, but with scenes more complete than the other part and therefore easier to interpret from an iconographic point of view.

The fragment A01-5 (in Fig. 4) is directly connected with one of the Nikai, attesting the continuity with the central fragments. It is probably the most important scene, with Mithras represented seated, slightly larger in size, with a pileus and a draped dress with short sleeves and while keeping the head of the bull, just after the tauroctonia (the sacrifice of the bull, which is the main event of the rituals and of the acta of Mithras: Beck 2004; Clauss 1990, 2000; Cumont 1903; Näström 2004; Vermaseren 1963). The left arm is folded and is represented in the act of supporting Mithras' head while the elbow of the god is resting on the animal's head, which is preserved just for a small part. The right arm is, again, folded, but the hand is on the muzzle of the animal. It is not the typical representation of the tauroctonia in progress, which presents generally a Mithras in the acme of the ritual activities while killing the bull with a knife in one hand (generally the right one) and keeping the animal's head still by holding it by the horns, with the other hand. In this case the ritual sacrifice is already done and Mithras is assuming a resting position, just after the culmination of the ceremonial events. It's a quite rare representation, although it might not be considered an 'iconographic hapax' (as done just once); for instance, in a statue from Rome (Clauss 1990, p. 88, fig. 44) Mithras is resting in a similar way, after the sacrifice, seated on the bull, with the typical iconographic schema with one leg folded and the second leg located straight behind. Both in the statue from Rome and from the first scene of the ala A of the panel from Ain Hofra, the god presents the head and the gaze turned away from the bull, without any complacency for the death of the animal, as the standard iconography of the tauroctonia was imposing. Moreover, in our lid, he withdraws from the victim and faces backwards, towards a figure which is represented smaller and veiled. The particularity of a figure velato capite must have had ritual meaning, probably alluding to the initiate (which is obviously the dead person); the attitude of this small figure is particular, because he holds the index of his right hand in front of his mouth, in the typical iconography intimating silence. The enigmatic gesture certainly evokes specific rituals of the Mithraic mysteries. In particular, the Libellus Magicus of Paris, a text mentioning Mithras among other gods, is specifically recommending to the initiates to place the finger of their right hand on the mouth and to repeat for three times the invocation 'silent, silent, silent!' in front of the god. Between the god and the initiate a strange spike-shaped element is visible, rich in incisions in the form of an ear of wheat: it is certainly the tip of the bull's tail, which is often represented in its metamorphosis in Mithraic reliefs (CIMRM, nn.172, 173, 208, 321, 335, 350, 369; Turcan 1980, 345 Abb. 3; Merkelbach 1984, 319 Abb. 70). In fact, the legend attests that after the sacrifice of the animal, the tail of the animal was transformed into an ear of wheat, as a symbolic element for emphasizing the Mithraic mysteries as rituals perpetrating the fertility and richness of the nature. The strange dysmorphic rendering of the tail/wheat ear is not an imprecision of the sculptor, but an expedient to emphasize the process of metamorphosis from tail to wheat ear still in progress and alluding in this way to both elements. From the representation of Mithras, soon after the bull-slaying scene, the representation is completed by the small dog looking backwards, which is represented just below the fragmentary scene. Generally, during or just after the tauroctonia, the dog is represented below the bull, while licking the blood from the bull's wound, as attested in numerous reliefs (a wide range of examples can be found in CIMRM). The dog is usually represented as rampant on two legs, but there are also parallels with the dog presented on his four legs, as in our relief of the lid (CIMRM, n.339, 397). The parallels with the numerous other examples of reliefs related to the bull-slaying scene, which presents a fairly standardized presence of elements, make it possible to hypothesise that in the missing section, together with the bull, there was also a scorpion and a snake. Generally the scorpion is represented as squeezing the bull's testicles to collect its sperm and the snake crawls under the bull, both with strong chthonic meanings and alluding to the fertility of the earth which can be perpetuated thanks to the Mithraic rituals.

This main scene is probably followed by the fragments A01-6 a, b, c, d and e (see Fig. 4), which join together quite well, giving us the opportunity to better understand the meaning of these new
two scenes. The first scene is the representation, with numerous details, of flowing water, probably alluding to a river, which has been constructed using in combination a thin chisel for the waves and a drill curving deeply for chiaroscuro effects for the movement of the jets of water. The water moving in a copious and convulsive way downwards seems to allude to a sculptural transposition of a waterfall. This iconography is echoed in one of the acta of Mithras, relating to the ‘miracle of the water’, in which, according to the legendary events, water from a rock came downwards, copiously, and after that the god hit the rock with one of his arrows (Claus 1990, 44, fig. 4). Moreover, because of the ‘miracle of the water’, one of the epithets of Mithras is specifically: fons perennis (CIMRM V 1533). Moreover, the presence of the water is also contemporaneously used as cesura, for interrupting the main scene with Mithras resting on the bull, and the following scenes. The link between the fragments A01-5 and A01-6 works, even though they are chipped, also from the iconographical point of view. In this section, which preserves more fragments it is also possible to see the different tool marks attesting different kinds of carving: the drill is used in long, running channels, especially for the hair and for outlining the weaves of the ‘miracle of waters’, and for punctual elements, such as the pupils of the eyes; at least two different kinds of chisel are widely attested: a thinner one and a second slightly thicker with deeper incisions. The way to use the running drill is particular, in patterned channels in the hair and in the waters, to emphasize the chiaroscuro of the relief and the movements of the hair and flowing waters.

Just after the ‘waterfall’ a male figure, almost complete, is seated, but with his back to what has been seen until now, and looking towards the following scenes, probably in continuity with them. The figure is represented as seated on a rectangular item, which is decorated by thin circular incised elements: it could be interpreted as a throne or a sella, which is represented in foreshortening with a forced perspective, which has been used by the sculptor to show both the side and the frontal view of the chair. A thin and softly rounded pillow is represented, with fringed endings. The throne or sella then presents a curved backrest. The male figure is wearing both the anaxyrides and the tunica, but not in a short version as is typical of the dressing of Mithras and Mithraic personages, but longer, up to the knees, according to an iconographic schema which is generally used in the representation of the Pater – the highest grade of initiation of the Mithraic mysteries (the seventh), which is under the tutelage of Saturn. The god is often represented in close relationship with the birth of Mithras from a rock (lust., Tryph. 70; Commodian. instr. 1,13 - invictus de petra natus: Firm. Mat. err. prof. rel. 20,1) and in this occasion is generally presented as sleeping, veiled and resting on a pillow, as in the statue in the Mithraeum of S. Prisca in Rome (CIMRM 478) and in some painted representations (CIMRM n.44 and 48). Moreover, the presence of a softly draped roll from the right shoulder may attest the representation of a himation, completing the outfit. The left arm and hand, which are roughly sculpted, show again a forced perspective, and are holding a longish stick with a curved end. The head is preserved just for a small portion and shows curly hair and a plain thick hat.

The following fragment A01-7 (Fig. 4), then presents several figures, with well-known iconographic schemata, so easier to interpret, although here they assume a symbolic meaning with stratified allusions. Starting from the right, is a standing female figure, without the head, because this part is lost, with a naked bust and shoulders, while a rich and draped himation is represented round the hips and was probably also covering part of the back and the head. From the head the draped mantle was probably covering the left shoulder and arm, while the right shoulder and arm were left naked. The element in the left hand is quite unusual: it is a strange stick, while the right arm is folded upwards and the hand is holding a pomeus/apple. The ponderatio of the figure is based on the right leg, while the left one is slightly folded and backwards. The iconographic features and schema as well as the apple were used as attributes and allow an easy interpretation of the figure as Venus. The iconography is certainly derived from well-known prototypes of Aphrodites, which influenced the Roman statues of Venus: starting from the Phidian Aphrodite Urania, which is much more austere, but with the same rhythm in the ponderatio; then there is the strong influence of the Praxitelean Aphrodite, with its tones and sinuous rhythms, often transmitted to Roman copies and re-elaborations as mediated by the numerous statues of Aphrodite dating to the Hellenistic period that became common prototypes for the statues of Venus in Roman times. Although the ‘aletic parallels’ are suggestive and striking in relation to the Urania, Cnydian or the Aphrodite of Melos or the Venus of Capua, there are recurrent iconographic echoes in the representation of Aphrodite-Venus with a widespread standardization in the main schema but vivacious and original elements in the details. It is also important to remember the numerous variations of this type of Aphrodite which have been found in Cyrene and in Cyrenaica (Paribeni 1959, nn.241–302, in part. 245) attesting a wide use of this iconography and types influencing the schema of the Venus in this relief. The representation of Venus in a Mithraic relief is certainly conceivable and coherent with the rest of the scenes, in fact, Venus is the planet and the goddess representing and tutoring the second grade of initiation, that is the stage of Nymphu. The following figure, strictly in association with Venus, is Eros, represented as an infant, in profile, while moving towards the goddess and with his left hand in the act of touching Venus’ mantle. Eros is winged and naked, presenting soft and rich curly hair, with a thin string, wrapping the head. Again, the iconography of Eros and Erotes is in general quite popular. Both in the case of Eros/Erotes and Venus the iconographic schemata were generally popular and widespread, used everywhere throughout the empire and often with lively local reinterpretations but always moving from common bases. In this scene, although the association Eros and Venus may have a ‘conventional’ explanation within classical mythology, in a Mithraic context the compresence of the two personages can emphasize the passage among grades of the initiation. In particular, Venus/Nymphus is here represented symbolically with Eros which is also associated to the fourth grade of initiation, and assumes in the Mithraic rituals of initiation the function of mystagogos (helping and supporting the passages). For instance in a relief from Capua the Eros mystagogos is guiding the Nymphus, which is represented in the form of Psyche, through his passage (CIMRM, n.186).

The following male standing figure, although not completely preserved, is certainly, for attributes and iconography, Mars. He rests upon his left leg, wearing a draped mantle, and with his left hand is holding a spear and a circular shield. Obviously, if one of the levels of reading the scene can be interpreted as the usual familiar association Mars/Venus/Eros, a deeper and symbic level of interpretation, again on Mithraic bases, sees Mars as the planet and the god, which protects the third grade of initiation of the Mithraism: the degree of the Miles.

What follows just after, in the fragment A01-8 a and b (Fig. 4), is quite fragmentary and difficult to interpret. Only two heads are visible and probably a third figure, which is completely lost. The first head is represented in profile and wears a petasos and it could be interpreted as Mercurius, associated with the first grade of Mithraic initiation: the step of the Corax. The hat is certainly quite particular and with a frontal visor which is not usual in normal helmets, but typical of the petasos. Moreover, just at the back of the head the upper part of a caduceus seems to be represented.
(in particular one of the snaky upper elements). This second element, although quite fragmentary, seems to confirm the interpretation of the figure as Mercurius; however, the poor preservation of the relief makes it difficult to define a specific iconography for this god.

After this head two identical heads are represented, the first one completely preserved, while the second has just the silhouette of the upper part of the head visible, in a sort of 'iconographic duplication' of the previous figure. The two heads are represented in profile, leftwards, facing the previous figure and characterized by young faces, softly rich hair, with a sort of diadem or curly tuft on the head in form of stars or flames or feathers (For the Dioscuri with the star on the head Schraudolph 1993). The hairdressing of the Dioscuri finds parallels with one of the Dioscuri (the left one) in the lid with Dioscuri from the Vatican (PRI 0679 in Ferrua 1987 and in Fasola 1961). They seem clearly identifiable with the famous twins Castor and Pollux, the Dioscuri, sons of Zeus/Jupiter, which were transformed by their father in a couple of identical stars in the constellation of the Διδύμοι or Gemini, in the Latin version (Cat. 10). Therefore, the particular flamed tufts or diadems on their heads could be a direct allusion to the stars; often the two twins are represented associated with stars, as in the silver coin issues of republican denarii where on the pileus or on their heads the stars are represented. In Mithraic contexts, as for instance in the explicative mosaic of Felicissimus at Ostia, the use of the pileus ending with a star is attested, as a symbolic and iconographic metaphor for them; in this mosaic the two hats with stars have been interpreted as the Dioscuri, as symbolically associated also with Cautopates-Hesperus and Cautopates-Hesperus. In the scene of the relief from Ain Hofra, the Dioscuri may also represent instar Iovis, as a metaphoric and iconographic allusion to Juppiter, who is the god and the planet related to the fourth grade of initiation, that is Leo.

Moreover, other three fragments must be mentioned here, because of their possible relationship with the Mithraic lid. During excavations of Tomb C, which is located just to the east of Tomb A (Fig. 5), in a quite superficial stratigraphic locus, three fragments of a frontal panel of a lid with very close relieves have been found. Apparently they belonged to a second tomb, but without any connection with the sculptures and the sarcophagi of the context. Moreover, the locus of provenance was related to a later frecuention above a stratigraphic layer relating to the collapse of Tomb C. Looking carefully at the fragments, it seems plausible, for marble, typology of lid, iconographic elements, thickness and tool marks, that they might belong to the Mithraic lid of Tomb A. Their location, quite far from the original context in a second tomb and in a secondary context, may be explained by the removal of the fragments from Tomb A during early lootings of the tomb, already in antiquity (see Appendix C by E. Di Valerio on the context of the finds). On the basis of the stratigraphic data, the looting events happened probably soon after an earthquake, which determined the collapse of the structures, while both tombs and part of the sculptures were still partially visible and therefore already robbed.

The fragments are presented here in order to complete the general view of the lid, to remind us of the different provenances and to emphasize that if there was looting in these tombs, moving the fragments of this lid all around the area, we need to complete the excavations of the entire area between the two tombs and in front of Tomb C, before concluding definitively on the iconographic reconstruction of the lid and its restoration.

The first fragment (Fig. 6) is characterized by a relief which has been realized using both a thin chisel and a drill carving deeply...
and more sporadically; with a stronger chiaroscuro effect. The single fragment is difficult to interpret, but compared with the other fragments of the Mithraic lid, and specifically with the scene of the ‘miracle of the water’ (see the graphic reconstruction in Fig. 7), it became clear that it probably belonged to the lower part of the waterfall from the rock.

The combination of this fragment with the rest of the lid seems to work for the representation of the rock and then to the passage from the rock to the throne of Saturnus/Pater. Moreover, in the lower part of the fragment, just below the last waves, there is a sinuous snake tail, which was probably represented as moving towards Mithra and the bull (in the fragment A01-05, in the Fig. 4). The presence of the snake, together with the dog and the scorpion, as mentioned above, is widely attested in representations of the *tauroctonia*.

A second fragment, which has been found not far from the first one, in room B of Tomb C and in the same stratigraphy (SU/locus 303) presents an interesting scene (Fig. 8) with two standing figures, in a gesture of quite intimate familiarity. A first figure (B in Fig. 8) is standing, with a naked bust, but with the himation draped around the hips and the legs. The legs are crossed with the right leg folded and positioned over the left one, which is straight. The figure is embracing the second person (A in Fig. 8) with the left arm, while the right arm is not visible because it is probably located on the shoulder or on the back of the male figure named as A (in Fig. 8). The position of the second figure (A) is quite particular in its rhythm and *ponderatio*, with the two legs crossed similarly, that is with the left leg folded and located over the right one. Because of the fragmentary preservation it is impossible to understand the position of both arms of this second figure. The dressing is similar in both figures in the rendering of the roll of the himation around the hips, but while figure B has a naked bust, figure A is completely dressed with draped long, possibly soft trousers, probably the so-called ‘oriental anaxirides’ (García Sánchez 2012) mentioned by Latin sources as ‘persian sarabara’, and described by Isidorus as ‘*fluxa ac sinuosa vestimenta*’ (Etymologiae, 19, XXIII). The iconographic schema of the two embracing figures finds close parallels with contemporaneous lids representing Eros and Psyche in the same iconographic schema, for the gestures of the hands in the embracement and for the position of the crossed feet, as well as for the attitude and the *ponderatio* of the two figures, which derives from an Hellenistic prototype (McCann 1978, figs 149 and 150, 116–117).

The third fragment (Fig. 9) has been found associated with the other two and is made of the same marble. It consists of a fragmentary mask, which is typical of lid panel endings of this type. Generally these heads or masks finished the two lateral sides of the frontal panel, and were always facing in opposite views. They were used as lateral markers, and closed on both sides the figurative scenes of the panel. Only the lower part of the face is preserved, with a profile view. The mouth is half open, with a fleshy and rounded lower lip. The incision between the lips is regularly cut and quite deep. The chin is marked and rigid, while the left cheek is softly rendered. Just a small section of the nose is preserved, suggesting a rounded left nostril which is marked by a lateral wrinkle. Only a small part of hair is preserved, and it seems to be arranged long and soft behind the neck.
These types of masks or heads on lids with frontal panels are widely attested between the second and the third centuries AD. A good parallel can be found in a similar lid (which is described as ‘sarcofago ad alzata’ with lateral masks) in the Triumph of Dionysus Sarcophagus at Palazzo dei Conservatori at Rome (McCann 1978, fig. 142).

The inscription

Looking now at the inscription:

胷ιμίημι οἰ]
χαίροις οι]
ΕΙΝΑΙΔΑΟ
ΔΟΜΟΙΣΙΝ

Τιμίοις τοις Χάρισις καὶ εἰν Λάθο δόμοισιν

Timios, my dear, might you be happy even in Hades’ houses!

The hexametric inscription (which has been recently included in the GV Cyr 051 - https://igcyr.unibo.it/gvcyr051) mentions, as a start, in vocative form, the name of the dead person, Timios, which is not a commonly found name. It is attested in Cyprus relating to a Timios, who married Alexandra, daughter of Herodes Magnus, according to Titus Flavius Iosephus (Antiqu. Iud. 18, 131, 4; see Fraser and Matthews 1987, 440; infra, Append. A). Although rare, it is probably the name of the dead person.5

The transcription of Τιμίοις includes within the cases of transcription εἰ instead of long iota and is related to the iotatism.6 The text of the epigram takes its origin from a literary repertoire of epic tradition. The poetic reference is Homer, Iliad 23, 19 e 179: χαίρε μοι, ὅ Πάτροκλε, καὶ εἰν Αἴθου δόμοισι.

It is the last farewell of Achille to the dead Patroclus. The farewell form is echoed also in the Alcestis (435) of Euripides and is re-used in epigraphic contexts, as in an example from Smyrna.6 The second hemistichion (καὶ εἰν Αἴθου δόμοισι) is a Homeric formula, that usually goes from the trochaic caesura to the end of the line and is attested also outside the farewell form.7

The first hemistichion of the inscription (Τιμίοις τοις Χάρισις) with the name of the dead person is obviously re-adapted on the basis of the needs of the meter and funerary context, changing the name and the grammatical mood but preserving the message of farewell, which goes up to the penthemimeral caesura. The tabula ansata, which contains the inscription, evokes the triumphal signs. The vegetal elements (hederae distinguentes), which adorn the inscription but also functionally used for framing the words, are typical of the second and third centuries AD (Guarducci 1967, 395 ff.). The only hedera distinguens, which has been deliberately located in the middle of the line, between χαίρε μοι and ΚΑΙ, at the end of the first hemistichion, is the same as the penthemimeral caesura.

In the inscription of Timios, the ΚΑΙ before a vowel is long, contrary to what happens with the Homeric verse and with the Epic tradition, which in similar cases presents the feature of the shortening in hiatus. This phenomenon finds parallels in some late hexameters.8 The inscription of Timios seems to reveal the use of the Homeric phraseology in the tabula which is ‘pre-worked’ in the workshop. The first part of the verse was then adapted to the name of the dead person. Moreover, a Homeric expression became a serial funerary formula. The juxtaposition of the two cola determines the peculiarity of the ΚΑΙ long in hiatus. Even the lay-out of the text, which isolates the ΚΑΙ at the end of the line and follow it by the hederae distinguentes, probably emphasizes this phenomenon.9

It is difficult to establish if there is a link between the inscription and the Mithraic scenes of the lid. The citation certainly has poetic meanings; the presence of a serial formula including a traditional element of the Homeric religion, such as Hades, seems to attest to the conventional use of the verse, which may belong to a different moment of the finishing of the carving of the lid. However, in order to give a more complete view, let us look at a parallel of the first part of the inscription (Τιμίοις τοις Χάρισις) and the expression attested by Firmicus Maternus (de err. prof. rel. 19, 1): νυμφεῖ, χαίρε νόμοι φῶς..., which was used for the initiate to the grade of Nymphus. Moreover, there is a possible connection, albeit not so strong, between Mithraism and Hades.10

If these elements can be seen as reliable, the verse mentioning Hades may have been for the initiates an allusion to one of the grades of initiation, the stage of Nymphus, which is also emphasized in the Mithraic scenes of the panel, as mentioned above. If so, there could be a combination/coincidence of iconographic, ritual and verbal meanings, which are finalized to a symbolic and allusive representation of the curriculum of Timios as initiate of Mithras. However, apart from this hypothesis it is impossible to present further interpretations.

Conclusive interpretations and religious issues

In conclusion, the dating of the lid, on the basis of the iconography, the use of the tabula ansata and the types of hederae distinguentes in the inscription (see also the Appendix A, by S. Struffolino), as well as the forms of the use of Mithraic symbols, seem to suggest a possible dating between the end of the second and the first half of the third century AD.

The two lateral alae of the frontal panel of the lid are difficult to explain, while numerous elements refer to a Mithraic context. The scenes seem to be organized in two different thematic groups: a first group including the acta of Mithras, with images relating to the taurophoria, the location of the bull within the cave-niche, the evocation of the tauroctonia, and all the miracle of the water; a second group of scenes directly attesting the degree of initiations, with the representation of the symbolic elements/figures attesting the grades of the initiation to the Mithraic cult.

Moreover, the representation of the flowing water, which directly symbolizes the miracle of the water, is also, at the same time, alluding to the constant presence of flowing water during the ritual meals, and also used as cesura between two different groups of scenes.

This continuous use of symbolic stratified meanings in the scenes of the lid, reflects a typical Mithraic iconographic and ritual language, with theological, mythological, literary and ritual levels strictly related and interconnected, but just for an audience.
the grade of the Mithraic initiation, the role of the initiate/dead person is emphasized, through the representation of the dead person as initiate to the Mithraism, in the two veiled figures on both figurative alae of the panel, where the velato capite (veiled head of the two figures) assumes the direct meaning alluding to the ceremonial role of the dead person.

The presence of an Eros mystagogos, in ala A (Fig. 4, fragment A01-07)), together with the Nymphus / Venus, probably focuses mainly on this step of the various grades, as does the inscription, in a symbolic strategy of communication, using in combination iconographic schemata and literary texts, concentrating on the degree of Nymphus, probably with the aim of representing and communicating symbolically the ritual cursus honorum/curriculum of the dead person.

Timios, therefore, could have been a cult adept, who died at a young age and his premature death did not allow him to finish his transition to further grades of the Mithraic initiation. Also the use of the Homeric verse, that is the last farewell of Achilles for his young companion Patroclus, is clearly alluding to the youth of Timios; as does the sarcophagus, with the representation of Phaedra and Hippolytus (see the find n.3 in the Appendix C by E. Di Valerio), and specifically the death of Hippolytus during his youth seems to allude to the premature death of Timios. The iconography of the death of Hippolitus, in the sarcophagus, is an iconographic schema which is also known for the premature death, in a similar way, of Phaeton. Moreover, the subject who has been represented on the same sarcophagus on one of the short sides, that is Artemis during her bath, evokes the tragic death of Acteon, who was spying on the goddess and was then published for his act of hybris, and died young. Hippolytus, Phaeton, Acteon, Patroclus, all heroes who died in young and at tragic events: possibly functional references to the youth of Timios.

In general this lid panel from Ain Høfra seems to have been specifically planned in all its details, with a precise metric composition of the two figurative alae, corresponding directly to the poetical metric of the inscription. Looking carefully at the best preserved ala of the panel, that is the A (Fig. 1), the scenes seem to be organized in a sort of rhythmical iconographic composition with groups of figures characterizing three main scenes, in a sort of graphic transposition of the hexameter. Each lateral ala of the panel then presents 3 scenes/iconographic pedes: which are characterized by an increasing rhythm and tension towards the centre, in both cases culminating with the representation of Mithras, as moschophoros in one case (in the ala C, Fig. 3) and just after the tauroctonia in the other case (in the ala A, Fig. 4). This rhythm and strictly metrical organization of the scenes-pedes is quite evident in ala A (Fig. 4), which is more complete: the oblique elements, such as the sticks of Saturnus and of Venus, the spears of Mars and the possible caduceus of Mercurius, all represented as inclined in the same way, divide the scenes in hemipesdes and mark the levels of the Mithraic initiation. Similarly, ala C (Fig. 3) of the panel, although very fragmentary, seems to be organized in a similar metrical way, culminating in the representation of Mithras taurophoros during the transitus. In this metrical organization of the panel, the central section, with the inscription in tabula ansata and the Nikai, were then the poetic cesura of the ‘figurative verse’.

To conclude as Horace would say: pedibus claudere verba instead of pedibus claudere verba (Hor., Sat., 2, 1, 28.)
Appendix A: Epigraphic study of the Tabula ansata

Stefano Struffolino

As already well explained in the text of the present paper, the inscription on the lid is enclosed in a tabula ansata, whose edges are embellished with fine mouldings.\textsuperscript{11} The habit of framing in this way the epigraphic surface is well attested in Hellenistic and Roman times, and with particular frequency during late antiquity and in Christian epigraphy (Guarducci 1967, 446). As is known, this is a layout whose original function is already attested in the Archaic period and in the Greek context, often shaped as single tablets, forged in bronze or carved in wood, to be applied on a support through two holes placed in the centre of the ansae, or in other places on the artifact. This model then found success as an ornamental epigraphic field for inscriptions created in a decorative framework for architectural contexts or in sculptural reliefs and mosaics: a use and a stylistic technique that have persisted throughout the history of epigraphic production, up to the modern era.\textsuperscript{12} Di Stefano Manzella, precisely in relation to the arrangement of the inscriptions on the lids of the sarcophagi, speaks of ‘specchio di corredo’, functional inclusion in a balanced relationship with the decoration, often canonized and, not infrequently, a sign of serial productions (Di Stefano Manzella 1987, 100, 117–120). Examples of Cyrenaic inscriptions written in tabulae ansatae are not rare and all date to the Imperial era; for compositional interest we note the epitaph for a gladiator, paleographically dated between the second and third centuries AD, now in the museum of Ptolemais and probably from the western necropolis. The tabula ansata with the inscription in this case it is part of a stele and is placed under a niche containing a figured relief, presumably a portrait of the deceased (GVCyr 018).\textsuperscript{13} In the mosaic composition we then find the inscription in the triclinium room of the house of Leukaktios in Ptolemais, datable to the first half of the third century AD (Olszewski and Zakrzewski 2011, 665–674); and the one, always on the floor, from the basilica of qasr libyā, of Justinian age (Reynolds 1980, 145–148).

The text of the sarcophagus epitaph can be transcribed and interpreted in this way (as also mentioned above in the contribution by Catenacci, Domenicucci, Menozzi):

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Τείμιέ μοι | χαίροις καὶ | εἰν Ἀидαο | δόμοισιν}
  \item 'Timios, my dear, might you be happy even in Hades' houses!”
\end{itemize}

The elegant layout is enriched by the presence of four \textit{hederae distintuentes}: at the end of the first line, in the middle of the second line and at the beginning and end of the third. The shape of the letters is also worthy of particular attention: well engraved, apicated and with a paleography that presents interesting peculiarities that it is good to discuss in detail, as they can prove to be useful for chronological purposes.

Apart from the \textit{alpha} with the broken bar and the right upright stretched upwards, and the \textit{rho} with the rounded eyelet downwards – typical of Hellenistic-Roman writings – we immediately detect the rhomboid \textit{omicron} (or ‘diamond-shaped’), characteristic of the “angled” style: an archaizing shape that comes into vogue especially from the middle of the second century AD.\textsuperscript{14}

Also the \textit{epsilon} presents the central arm ‘dovetail-shaped’, whose origin, in the epigraphic practice, is certainly to be found in a stylistic extremization of the apicature of the stroke itself, which completely replaces the horizontal line. Identical parallels are not known to me in Cyrenaic inscriptions, but some cases of orthogonal \textit{epsilon} with a conspicuously apical central stroke are found, for example, in Cyrene and Apollonia between the late Republican

\begin{itemize}
\item \textit{ΧΑΙΡΟΙΣ ΚΑΙ}
\item \textit{ΕΙΝΑΙΔΑ ΔΟΜΟΙΣΙΝ}
\end{itemize}

Figure A.1. Images from the remote sensing of the inscription [by O. Menozzi].
period and the early Imperial age (Reynolds, Apollonia 10, pl. XI; Gasperini 1967, 53–64). The shape attested in this inscription, which therefore appears as a later evolution, finds a parallel – together with the rhomboid omicron – in a small Christian tombstone from the lower Moesia, dated to the first decades of the fourth century AD (Guarducci 1978, 360–361, fig. 103). Instead, in the Christian epigraphy of Cyrenaica the lunate form of the epsilon is more common (where the orthogonal shape does not appear), as attested by various examples, among which a beautiful tabula ansata which is part of some fragments of a reconstructed marble panel found in 1934, in the cistern of the House of Hesychius at Cyrene (SEG XVIII 752 = Ward-Perkins and Goodchild 2003, 173, 3, i. For other examples see Ivi, passim, and Reynolds 1960, 284–294).

Regarding the interpretation of the text, its fascinating literary parallels and the rare name of the deceased (see above for discussion); here we can add that in Cyrenaica we find at least two similar anthroponyms: a τύκος daughter of τύκοκκες, from an epitaph of the second half of the fourth century BC from Cyrene (IGCyr135000), and an Ἀντιφαγίππη of Teuō, from the stele of the subscriptions of the priestesses of Artemis (end second century AD), published by Silvio Ferri in 1926 (SEG IX 176, 16).

Furthermore, it seems pertinent to observe that searching in the epigraphic repertoires, where similar formulas in reference to the residences of Hades are not uncommon (see also discussion above), we also find an example where the term τίμιος occurs, in the second century BC, not as an anthroponym but in the proper sense of ‘honored’: it is a sepulchral epigram from Maroneia, whose last verse reads as follows: εἶ ἢ καὶ εἰν Αἴδη τίμιον ἐπὶ βροτοῖς (L.Aeg. Thrace E215, ll. 910).

Before concluding this epigraphic appendix, however, it is necessary to add some important considerations that seem to emerge from the analysis of the engravings performed with the aid of computerized image filtering techniques (see Fig. A.1): the letters with which the first line is written appear slightly larger than those of the following lines, which could also simply indicate the desire to highlight the name of the deceased; but the section of the engraving seems triangular, unlike the round one recognizable for the other lines. This supposes two different moments of realization of the text and confirms the statement by Di Stefano Manzella (1987, 100, 117–120) about the serial production of these texts with the formulas mentioned above, and perhaps already in an atelier near the marble quarry, which in this case seems to be the island of Thasos (see the appendix about the geographical analysis, in Appendix B by Agostini). At a later time the buyer, once the piece arrived at its destination, could have completed it, thanks to a local craftsman, with the insertion of the name of the deceased. Even the hedera at the end of the first line is visibly made with a more subtle incision, and not with the same chisel as the other hederae; it is also smaller and asymmetrical in relation to the text.

The use of four different tools seems to emerge from the analysis of this inscription: the first one for the engraving – certainly in loco – of the first line; another for the following lines according to a probably pre-ordered model, and another two respectively for the first hedera and for the following three. In this regard, it should not be surprising that for the decorative elements, with more sinuous lines, tools other than those used to engrave letters were preferred; possibly we can think of a strap drill.

However, we cannot ignore the fact that the peculiar style of the letters is kept identical throughout the inscription, with considerable attention to the aesthetic coherence of the final work. Also in consideration of this evidence, the crafting of the tabula in two different places, remains a pure hypothesis, which does not exclude the possibility of its preparation in the officinae lapidariae of Cyrenaica, perhaps in several stages and by different workers. On the other hand, the connection, apparently misleading, between the Mithraic context of the scene carved on the sarcophagus and the mention of ‘Hades’ houses’ in the inscription, has already been highlighted in the text of this article: the Iranian god of light illuminates the chthonic cavities where his cults were practised, raising the souls of his initiates to the sky and the saving light of truth.

To close the discussion on this inscription with the necessary chronological considerations, we can see how, in addition to the stylistic elements, as already pointed out, the paleographic ones also seems to suggest dating subsequent to the middle of the second century AD, but it does not go too far beyond the first decades or the middle of the third century; which seems to coincide very well with what can also be seen from the analysis of the remains of the surrounding figurative relief.

Appendix B: Brief notes on the marble of the lid
Silvano Agostini
MAIN PATTERN: Middle-grained white marble with quite evident light grey sectors.
PATINA: greyish white patina, with areas with reddish residuals of the local reddish soils and of black areas due to different stratigraphic layers.
PRESERVATION: fragmentary. Presence of concretions of lamellar carbonate, probably due to some localized treatment of the surfaces; concretions of oxalates and of calcium carbonate which present a granular pattern and seem to be quite discontinuous and overlapping the lamellar carbonate concretions. It may depend on the stratigraphy.
Superficial faking in some of the fragments which show more closely the pattern of the marble, below the polishing.
SUPERFICIAL TREATMENTS AND TOOL MARKS: the final polishing seems to be quite accurate and smoothed. Different kinds of chisels have been used, of different thickness and also presenting two different kinds of spikes, that is a triangular tip and a flat and trapezoidal one. Moreover, for the single holes a chisel with a deeper rounded tip has been used. Also the use of the running drill is attested, probably used not in perpendicular, but used with a slight degree of inclination.
THE TYPE OF MARBLE: In several fragments it is possible to analyze and identify the granulometry of the calcite crystals. Through the analysis and the imaging, before the next direct steps with digital microscopy on the fragments, it is quite evident that the M.G.S. value is between 2.70 and 3.00 mm. Some specific morphologies of the crystals are typical of the dolomites. Fabric: heteblastic with a mosaic structure and sutured contacts.
On the basis of these very preliminary analysis it seems to be possible that the provenance of the marble is Thasos and in particular from the cave located at Vathy Cape (cfr Thasos Alila).

Appendix C: The context: Ain Hofra and Tomb A
Eugenio di Valerio
The territorial context
Wadi Ain Hofra (http://www-sls.gazetteer.org/1462/) is located to the east of the ancient urban context of Cyrene, about 1 km to the south of the Temple of Zeus Olympios and within the section East I of the necropolis. The geo-morphology of the valley has been determined by the phenomena of erosion due to the flowing of the waters from Karst sources which are located at different levels of the silic (in Arabic it means the beginning of the inlet of the valley). The place-name including sin (that is source) attests this large presence of water, through the Arabic-magharib linguistic root.

The area is today apparently quite marginal, but in antiquity was close to the town as well as to the main monumental contexts and to the southern section of the Hellenistic city wall (Fig. C.1). It consists of the enlargement of the defensive system of the town, which dates to the second century BC, and is also the maximum expansion of the urban context, which continued up to Roman times. In this period Cyrene includes an area of 130 hectares, which were organized into seven quarters, and regularized by terraces and a road system closely related to the phases of enlargement of the site. The frequention of the area of Ain Hofra is attested already in early periods within Cave W1, which presents also prehistoric phases. The use as a funerary context and as a sanctuary is attested since the late archaic period by tomb E24 (Cassels 1953): a chamber tomb with an external portico, presenting two stylized columns or pilasters with Aeolic capitals carved in low relief, which dates to the sixth-century BC (Thorn 2005). This tomb, for its position and monumentality, represents one of the main archaeological remains of the wady.

The area is strongly characterized by ritual rock cut chambers and votive niches attesting its main meaning as an open air sanctuary where chthonian cults are attested, with a strong link to numerous subterranean water springs and funerary monuments (Menozzi 2015; 2016).

The upper part of the valley presents numerous votive niches with inscriptions attesting early cults ad religious activities, which were dedicated to the Heroes, in combination with Erymneides and Zeus Melichios (Fabbriocchi 2000, Menozzi 2015, 2016). During the Hellenistic period, on the lower terrace of the valley, the cult of Ammon is attested (Menozzi 2006; 2015). The ancient Teban god (Amon, Ammon, Hammon; in Egypt also assimilated in Ammon-Ra) is here venerated in a local form of a ram, and not in the syncretistic Greek form of Zeus-Ammon (Menozzi 2011, 324–326, 2015, 2016).
Excluding Tomb E24, and other tombs closer to the roads going to Cyrene, the earliest funerary monuments in the area date to the fourth to third century BC; their location presents a certain distance with the core area of the sanctuary, probably for respecting the plots belonging to the sanctuary. Moreover, the burial of a possible priest of the cult of the *Melichios* has been found, on the eastern slope of the valley (Menozzi 2011, 325; 2015), certainly suggesting the possible use of the burials around the sanctuary by priests and pilgrims devoted to these exclusive cults.

Probably some aspects of these cults have seen a long continuity, up to the Hellenistic and Roman periods, when the exploitation of the area for funerary purposes became more intense, with the construction of further tombs, both cut into the bedrock and built.

**THE TOMBS A and C**

In this monumental funerary context the tombs which have been named as Tomb A and C (Di Valerio 2015) are located, also known as Tomb of the Sarcophagi the former, and Tomb of the Sculptures the latter: two monumental ‘temple tombs’, which have been built in the second century AD.

Tomb A is centrally located on the upper plateau of Ain Hofra, facing the valley, the lower terraces of the Djebel Akhdar and the seacoast. The area was already used for burials, as attested by the remains of a small temple tomb (known as Tomb D), and a rock cut tomb dating to the late Hellenistic period (known as Tomb B). The latter tomb must have been quite monumental and was created by carving directly on the limestone bedrock of the slope of the hill. It presents a large courtyard, a false façade and possibly loculi directly on the façade, while lateral rock-cut wings outlined the courtyards.

Tomb A was built on the eastern wing of Tomb B and used this wing as part of the masonry of the tomb on the western side of Tomb A.

Tomb B has never been excavated, except for a trial trench to understand the stratigraphy and typology of tomb. Tomb A has been excavated during the seasons of 2000 and 2001 by the archaeological Mission of Chieti University.16

The plan

Tomb A is characterized by three rooms organized along a central axis (Fig. C.2), creating a strong axial focus. The first room (A1), was probably a small *pronaos* or portico, which is not preserved and has been partially covered by a modern road, but the remains of columns (between the rooms A1 and A2) and of the stylobate, could be the only remains of the small portico and of the façade of the tomb. However, the columns could also come from the closeby Tomb C and reused in later times. The second and proper room (A.2) can be interpreted as the antechamber of the tomb and is characterized by a large squared plan (measuring mt 4 × 4, that is about 10 × 10 Ptolemaic/or Alexandrine feet, which was used at Cyrene in Hellenistic and Roman times). The third room (A.3) was the main funerary chamber, that is the ancient *sepulcrum*. It presents a rectangular plan (measuring 5,50 × 3,40 mt, that is 18 × 11 feet) and is located perfectly in an axial position with the rest of the structure. The back of this room has a very high podium, which was accessible through a three-stepped staircase, and was the base for the main sarcophagus (named find N.1, Fig. C.2), which has been studied and presented in other papers (Fabbricotti 2011).

On the back wall, within probably a niche, a female portrait statue (find. N.2, Fig. C.2) has been used as the ‘completing’ of this axial view, culminating in these sculptures as optical reference points for the architectonical arrangement of the tomb.

**Figure C.1.** Satellite view of Cyrene: in blue the Hellenistic city wall; in red the main road network; in white the possible secondary network going to Ain Hofra (mapping by E.Di Valerio, image © Google Earth, GeoEye 2013).

**Figure C.2.** Plan of Tomb A (by E. Di E.Di Valerio and AR. Santarelli).
The passages between the rooms (A.2 and A.3), as the niche itself, were probably marked by arches, contributing to this axial optical perspective; the arches were made using opus latericium, as attested by the finds of conglomerates and bricks which have been found during the excavations (Fig. C.3).

This structural feature finds parallels in a rock cut tomb in the western necropolis, the W107 (also known as Tomb of Grenna: Thorn and Thorn 2008, 95–116), where arches in bricks, resting on pilasters, marked the entrances to the three rooms where the sarcophagi were located.

The main sarcophagus on the podium of the sepulcrum in Tomb A was a woman, and the tomb was probably built for her death, as suggested by the emphasis given to this burial and to her splendid Sarcophagus neo-Attic in style and in Pentelic marble. However, another two burials were then added in the room, along the western side of the funerary chamber, where it has been possible to find a simpler burial in a pit grave and then, above this burial, another podium was built in ashlar masonry as a base for a second neo-Attic sarcophagus (find n. 3, Fig. C.2).

The building technique

Tomb A was built using large regular blocks of local limestone, using ashlar masonry technique with angular alternating chains of blocks to reinforce the structure. The blocks have been quarried directly from local sources; even the lower part of the basement of the tomb has been made directly from the local bedrock. Moreover, the foundations are dug directly into the bedrock and reinforced with limestone flakes bound by mortar.

The walls of the blocks are organized alternating orthostates and diathones, without any use of mortar or concrete as a binder. The lower part of the masonry is characterized by a double course of diathones for marking the base ment of the walls. Moreover, the blocks do not show any use of metal block ties for joining the layers of blocks.

**Figure C.3.** Tomb A: the remains of the arch during the excavation (photo archive of Chieti Mission).

**Figure C.4.** Tomb A: view of the internal walls of the antechamber and of the sepulcrum.

**Figure C.5.** View of Tomb A (photo archive of Chieti mission).

**Figure C.6.** Tomb A: view of the internal walls of the antechamber and of the sepulcrum.

**Figure C.7.** The remains of the opus sectile and of the marble crustae which have been found in Tomb A (photo by E.Di Valerio).
The external appearance of Tomb A was characterized by a portico in antis, on a low podium, made of two rows of regular blocks, which was used as a krepis and which presents in the lower part a double ionic moulding alternating a tenia, double torus and a scotia (Fig. C.4). The moulding runs around the portico and along the façade shows angular lesenae and central semi-pillars, creating the effect of a tetrastyle façade (Fig. C.5).

The blocks of masonry widely attest the use of ferrei divaricate to lift and support the heaviest elements during the building works, such as architraves, geison and so on.

The metric system which has been used for the monument is the so-called ‘alexandrine foot’, measuring 30.78 cm or the Ptolemaic foot of about 30.80 cm, which are extremely close and closely related.

**Internal wall coverings and decorations**

The tomb was decorated internally by different typologies of coverings. The walls of the antechamber (A.2) and of the funerary chamber (A.3) present a fine smoothed white plaster, probably painted. The parastae (half pilasters/semi-pilasters) at the entrance of the funerary chamber (A.3) were completely covered by polychrome marbles.

The two podia (basements) of the sarcophagi present a similar decoration with marble slabs. In fact, evident remains on the blocks of holes for clamps attest their use for supporting marble slabs belonging to opus sectile for walls (Fig. C.6). The clamps were probably hooked elements in bronze, which were fixed on the wall using mortar within holes of about 2–3 cm.

The marble coverings of the walls were not limited to slabs, but must also have been elaborate sectilia, with geometric emblemata including both linear and curvilinear elements. Numerous fragments of this decoration have been found during the excavations (Fig. C.7), but in a secondary deposition, so it is quite impossible at the moment to understand the patterns of the opus sectile.

What can be considered here is that the different typologies of the marbles which were employed (the archaeometrical analysis are now in progress), and the different thickness of this marble listsels and elements may suggest an elaborated pattern. In particular, some thin elements, even smaller than 1 cm were probably used for filling the spaces which were carved in larger slabs, in a sort of cloisonné decoration. Close and significative parallels for the composition of these marble emblemata can be found, in the opus sectile of the pavements of the House of Jason Magnus at Cyrene (Mingazzini 1966, 44–47; Luni 2000, 96–99; Lazzarini 2004, 131–134). Outside Cyrenaica, specific parallels are preserved in marble decorations of Villa Adriana (De Franceschini 1991; Guidobaldi 1994). On the basis of these parallels, it is possible to suggest some possible reconstructions of the decorative motives of the emblemata in Tomb A (Fig. C.8).

Going to the pavements, Tomb A was probably completely paved by large rectangular marble and/or lethic slabs, which have been completely robbed and reused elsewhere in late antiquity. Remains of these slabs, in Pentelic marble, were still preserved just below the central and main sarcophagus, on the main podium. On the pavement of the antechamber as well, similar slabs decorated the central section of the room; what has been left today are just the mortar bedding of the slabs, but it is quite difficult to identify the typology of materials which have been used here. From a metrical point of view, it is possible to find three different modules which recur regularly in the central area, while the perimetral strip, about 2 feet thick, does not present remains of slabs and was probably characterised by low benches (Fig. C.2).

**Entablature and roof**

Concerning the entablature, the finds form the excavations are quite rare. Only a fragment of geison can be of some help: it consists of an Ionic element which has been found between rooms A.2 and A.3 (Fig. C.9). The fragment is not very well preserved; its original location is probably attributable to the main gate of room A.2. The external appearance of the tomb was decorated by a Doric frieze; but it is difficult to reconstruct if it was limited to the façade or if was running all around the building. Corner pilasters and lesenae decorated the façade, and were crowned by capitals, which are not well preserved for reconstructing the original appearance.

The roof was built on a wooden structure with rafter beams resting on a ridge beam, which is closely linked to the tympanum (pediment) in limestone. This main skeleton was then supporting a regular wooden structure consisting of horizontal strips, which were parallel to the eaves and rafters inclined according to the slope of the roof.

The external covering of the roof was carried out using plain roof tiles, without thickened borders, and measured in pedales (about 30 × 30 × 3.5 cm). These type of tiles were used, within the western Roman provinces, for the construction of both the vaults and the wall coverings; but more frequently can be found as part (the base or the ending) of the suspensurae of the baths (Cerdán 1997, 378–379). In the case of Tomb A of Ain Hofra, as also attested for the closely Tomb C, these elements were used to line the roof.

**The main finds of the tomb**

Both Tombs, A and C, must have been magnificent, considering their monumentality, marble and plaster decorations, as well as the numerous marble sculptures in both of them. In order to contextualize the lid properly, which is presented in this article in the main contribution (above), a catalogue of the sculptures from Tomb A is presented here. The level of the sculptures and the large number of marbles which have been used both for the sculptures,
as well as for the decorative apparatus of the tomb, help us to understand the social status of the owners of these two monumental tombs at Ain Hofra, as well as their cultural level and religious beliefs.

Find n.1- Attic Sarcophagus with Dionysian Thiasos with lid in form of kline (Fig. C.10)

Location: it was located in central position on a high podium, in the room A.3, that is the funerary chamber. At the moment it is preserved in the exhibition in the Pavilion of the Sculptures, Museum of Cyrene.

Material: Pentelic marble

Measurements: Sarcophagus: h.110 cm, 225 cm long, lateral sides 85 cm large.

The lid: h. 95 cm

State of preservation: it has been found almost complete. Just one corner is damaged, probably because it was broken in antiquity for looting the tomb and using a lever to move the lid, without removing it because it is too heavy. Moreover, the main frontal side has a break in the central area, which has been restored by technicians of the local DoA of Cyrene (Said Farag, Saed Alannabe and Abdulrhim Sheriff SAad). For the lid, the head of the female figure was broken and it has been restored by the technicians of the DoA. While the female figure is completely lacking the head, which has never been found.

Dating: last quarter of the second century AD (Fabbriocchi 2011, 203).

Description and study: The sarcophagus is decorated on all four sides and there is a close thematic relationship between the main frontal long side and one of the short sides, as well as between the secondary long side and the other short side. The frontal main decoration presents a sculptural relief, quite pronounced, although not properly a high relief. The subject is a Bacchic thiasos, which is represented as in progress, with dancing maenads and satyrs. The rhythm and the movements of the orgiastic dance is evoked by the gestures of the arms, the heads and the fluttering of the female dresses. The iconographic schema for the dancing maenads is well known, because it is inspired by the famous prototype dating to the second half of the fifth century BC of Callimachus and reinterpreted with the inclusions of satyrs and Dionysus. The Callimachus’ schema has inspired numerous copies and reinterpretations in Roman times (Matz 1968), especially in reliefs of Attic productions and neo-Attic in general. A close parallel in Cyrenaica, for the dancing maenads can be found in the circular marble base with maenads from Promeain (Fabbriocchi 1985). The maenads are wearing a long and rich chiton, with soft folds and made in a thin light fabric that reveals the shapes of the body. The satyrs are completely naked and wearing just a short mantle made from an animal skin, probably feline animals. The procession is accompanied by panthers, which are frequent in Dionysian contexts and representations. The central male standing figure is probably Dionysus, the main focus of the representation. The metric of the frieze is quite regular, with four figures presented on the two sides. The alternating use of maenads with rich and flowing dresses with naked/semi-naked satyrs produces a quite rhythmic composition, which is also characterized by the use of a different thickness of the relief for the figures (more accentuated for the maenads and less for the naked figures), which creates a strong chiaroscuro effect. The animals following the procession are then located specifically to mark the symmetrical rhythm of the relief. Looking from right to left, the frieze has a tymanisitria or kymbalistria, which has parallels in a coffin from Mistri (Matz 1968, p. 99, tav. 2, 3; Giuliano and Palma 1978, 19, 52–57, tav. 10, figg. 22, 23. Probably coming from the necropolis of Roman Sparta) and another example now in the Museum of Istanbul (Matz 1968, p. 98, tav. 1; Giuliano and Palma 1978, p. 14, tav. 4, figg. 7, 8). The second male figure is a flutist satyr, playing a double flute and he is naked, with a cape/mantle of feral skin on the left shoulder. The folds of the skin are suggesting the movements of the dance and of the moving forwards in the procession. A lion, at the feet of the satyr, is following the procession, as a companion and part of the thiasos. The central figure is a maenad in the euphoria of the dance; her head is strongly tilted back and the dress is blown by the air in a frenzied movement. The rituality of this dance is evoked by the heavy scepter in her left hand, which is held in a vertical position. The right hand and hand, placed backwards, hold a sacrificed goat. The fourth male figure is again a satyr or Dionysus, with a short ferine skin mantle, a sort of pardalis, on the left shoulder and arm. The right arm, which is not well preserved, was probably is holding a lagobolon, while a panther is following the figure and looking at him. The closest parallel for this male figure is two sarcophagi, which are in Rome (Matz 1968, pp. 110–112, tav. 10), at the Capitoline Museum, and a second in the Knin Museum (Matz 1968, 120s., tav. 24). Another maenad is closing the dancing procession. She is the only figure facing leftwards and in her left hand she holds a knife and half of a sacrificed goat. The figure is moving in a ritual dance and can find a parallel in the coffin from Istanbul which has been mentioned above.

The figurative frieze is outlined in the lower part by a moulded frame with a garland decoration. The upper frame is characterised by astragals and beads, which is then surmounted by an Ionic and a Lesbian kyna, the latter is carried out on the reverse cyma. The upper part of the sarcophagus finishes with a thick and flat strip/listel with the inscription — mene here laying dead at 35 years old.

On one of the short sides of the sarcophagus (the right one, facing the main long side) is the representation, in a low relief, of two satyrs: an older one, bearded and fat, with a himation around the hips, and a younger one, represented naked and with a small tail. The two male figures are represented in profile, in specular position (one in front of each other), while are holding together a large bunch of grapes, while a liquid nectar is flowing from the grapes and filling a large crater located below. Vegetal elements decorate the background and emphasizing the natural environment of the figurative scene.

The second long side presents a very low relief and a quite standardized representation, certainly less elaborate. Two griffins are facing each other and represented in profile and moving forwards towards a tall thytimeiron, which is represented at the centre of the sarcophagus. It is a quite popular subject which is known in numerous sarcophagi (for instance at Agata et al. 1995, 16, n. 16; at Berlin: Koch-Sichtermann 1982, n. 474; as well as at Athens, Istanbul e Mistri: Matz 1968, 98, tav. 1, 99, tav. 2-3, 101s., tav. 4). At Cyrene, a similar decoration is also attested in a sarcophagus in the garden of Casa Parisi.

On the second short side a sphinx in profile, in a low relief, is quite similar in technique and rendering to the long secondary side; it finds parallel with a similar, slightly later relief, not at the Metropolitan Museum of Art (McCann 1978, 119–120, figg. 153, 154).

The lid, in form of a kline has two lying spouses on their left sides, which is a quite popular motif for the Attic sarcophagi. The mattress is quite thick and rigid, which intends to evoke the funerary beds. The female figure wears a
thin and soft chiton, with a thin belt under the breast, and a delicate himation covering mainly back and shoulders. The male figure wears a long tunica and the mantle is covering the back, the shoulders and the left forearm. The head of the female figure is lost, and was certainly finished, because the neck presents the final polishing of the surfaces. She is leaning with her left elbow on the pillow and in her left hand is holding a roll, completely rolled, probably because she is dead and the rolled papyrus roll is symbolically representing that her life has concluded. The male figure has the head just roughly sculpted and not finished and in a similar position, leaning on his left elbow, holding with his left hand an unrolled roll, possibly because at the moment of the burial of his wife, he was still alive. The front of the mattress has a sort of Doric decoration, with plain metopes; in other examples these metope spaces can present geometric, vegetal or figurative decorations.

The fine sculptural work, the type of marble, that is Pentelic, the iconographic schemata of coffin and lid, suggest an Attic production, which was quite well known and widespread in the second century AD throughout the Mediterranean basin (Matz 1968, 83–121, n. 1–25; Tusa 1995, p. 85 vedi anche gli esemplari conservati ad Istanbul: Koch–Sichtermann 1982, n. 447, 449; Giuliano and Palma 1978, 14, tav. 4 fig. 7, 8; in generale sull’argomento vedi anche Giuliano and Palma 1978, 11–13.).

F.n.2 – Fragment of female statue (Fig. C.11)

Location. It was located within a central niche on the back wall of the funerary chamber (room A.3). At the moment is located in the storeroom of the Museum of Cyrene.

Material: fine grained white marble.

Measurements: 85 cm h., 40 cm. larg.

State of preservation: The coffin is damaged. There is a large central portion which is lost, as also the upper part of the right short side. The lid is very fragmentary and just the central part with the tabula ansata is well preserved. The lid is presented in this paper in the contribution above.

Dating: The dating suggested for the sarcophagus is the third quarter/second half of the second century AD.

Description: The sarcophagus is characterised by a figurative frieze on three sides: in high relief for the frontal long side, and in low relief for the lateral short sides. The back long side is not decorated and is also roughly finished, certainly because its location was close to the wall.

The narrative composition is characterized by a tripartition of the figurative scene: with two figurative scenes on the lateral margins and a central seated figure on a throne, which is almost completely lost.

The second short side, the right one, shows Artemis naked and crouching, with a thin belt under the breast, and a delicate himation visible. The figure is standing on her right leg and seems to be based on the schema of the so-called ‘Grande Ercolanense type’ (Fabbricotti 2011, p. 203.), which is well attested at Cyrene in the second century (Traversari 1960, 83–84, tavv. 21.2-3; tav. 22.2.).

The strong chiaroscuro of the pleated folds, which are characterised by deep channels of the running drill, finds parallels at Cyrene in this period (cfr. n. 42 in Traversari 1960, 83–85, tav. 21, 2). The prototype is ascribable to the fourth century BC and belongs to a Praxitelean or Lissippean workshop or tradition (for a complete bibliography see Papini 2000, 234 in note).

F.n.3 – Sarcophagus with Phaedra and Hippolytus (Fig. C.12)

Location. It has been found in its original position, on a secondary and lateral podium on the western wall of the funerary chamber (room A.3). At the moment it is preserved in the storeroom of the Museum of Cyrene.

Material: coarse-grained white marble, Asian (Fabbricotti 2011, 203.), probably Thasos.

Measurements: 65 cm h., 180 cm long, 60 cm larg.

State of preservation: The coffin is damaged. There is a large central portion which is lost, as also the upper part of the right short side. The lid is very fragmentary and just the central part with the tabula ansata is well preserved. The lid is presented in this paper in the contribution above.

Dating: The dating suggested for the sarcophagus is the third quarter/second half of the second century AD.

Description: The sarcophagus is characterised by a figurative frieze on three sides: in high relief for the frontal long side, and in low relief for the lateral short sides. The back long side is not decorated and is also roughly finished, certainly because its location was close to the wall.

The narrative composition is characterized by a tripartition of the figurative scene: with two figurative scenes on the lateral margins and a central seated figure on a throne, which is almost completely lost.

To the right of the seated figure (and therefore to the left for the beholders) there is the representation of Phaedra, seated on her throne, while talking to her maids, probably during her confession of her love for Hippolytus. The queen is represented seated in profile rightwards, wears a long chiton and a himation softly resting on her shoulders. The two maids are standing, wearing long chiton and are surrounding the central figure. At the feet of Phaedra a small Eros is inspiring Phaedra with love and is representing the role of Venus in the drama. Hippolytus in heroic nudity is represented, totally unaware of the drama that is about to happen and that will lead him to death, and is presented as standing with his dog, companion and symbol of his hunts.

On the other figurative section, to the left of the fragmentary seated figure (and then to the right for the beholders) is the acme of the drama in a very unusual variation of the representation of this myth. Together with Phaedra and the maids, Hippolytus is usually presented hunting with his dogs or in the moment of his exile, as in the examples from Capua (Koch-Sichtermann 1982, n. 309) and in the Museo Nazionale Romano (inv. n. 112444). In this case, however, the moment of the death of Hippolytus is represented. The hero is overwhelmed by the wheels of his chariot; close to him a bearded laying figure represent the personification of the sea or of a river, along whose banks the tragedy takes place. Vegetal elements characterize the setting and the environment of the scene and a small figure of eros is evoking the other little Eros on the previous section, as well as the motif of the tragic death of the hero.

This scene is quite rare in the sarcophagi with Phaedra and Hippolytus and uses an iconographic schema which is typical of the death of another young hero: that is Phaethon who dies overwhelmed by the chariot of the sun. The use of the same compositional schema is not so strange: the two heroes die in a similar way and in order to understand which one is represented one can look at other figurative scenes (see for instance the Funerary Monuments for Piero da Farnese in the Museo dell’Opera del Duomo at Florence, dating between the 2nd and the 3rd century AD: Fabbr et al. 1992, p. 137).

In the central area of the main frontal side, which is the badly preserved section of the coffin, a male figure is seated in a frontal position, on a throne on a low podium. It could have been the king Theseus, the father of Hippolytus and husband of Phaedra.

The short sides are quite strange and peculiar. They are decorated in a very low relief and the surfaces are not polished, as if they have been left unfinished because they are not visible. One of the sides, the left one, has two standing offering figures with the offerings on their hands, in profile and moving forwards.

The second short side, the right one, shows Artemis naked and crouching, possibly related to toilet activities. The goddess is identifiable thank to her pharetra, represented not far, as left resting on a shrub.

It is difficult to identify the main ratio for the subjects of the three sides. Probably Hippolytus, as a hero who devoted himself to hunting, was also devoted to Artemis, whose intervention was the final act of the myth. And
because the goddess has wanted a sanctuary at Trezene dedicated to the hero, the two offering figures of the left short side could represent the priestesses of this cult, who were always priestesses also devoted to Artemis rather than priests. The events of the three sides, in this way, can be seen as a synchronic succession of the drama.

The use of the myth of Phaedra and Hippolytus in a funerary context is not so rare, although in this case the semantic link is not easy to make. From one hand the narrative composition attests the impossibility for the human being to dominate stronger forces, which are determined by divine directors of human destiny; on the other hand the image of Phaedra does not represent the cause of the tragedy, as it was, but probably the pain of a mother for the death of her stepson and beloved (Zanker and Ewald 2008).

The lid of the sarcophagus is very fragmentary and is presenting a complex Mithraic figurative scenes, which are presented in the main contribution above (Catenacci et al. in this article).

The chronology of the tomb

The sculptures, which have been presented in the catalogue above, and the architectonic features of the monument may suggest the phases of use of this tomb, including the abandonment and the burial of the building.

The main phases can be seen in the following steps:

I) Phase of construction of the building, contemporaneously to the first burial, in the sarcophagus with Dionysian thiasos (f.n.1), dating to the last quarter of the second century AD. To the same phase probably dates the fragment of the female portrait statue (f.n.2) coming from the back niche of the funerary chamber;

II) a second phase is the burial which has been found in the pavement of the funerary chamber, just below the later podium for the second sarcophagus. It could be a phase slightly later of the main burial, probably not more than few decades;

III) construction of the second smaller podium for the sarcophagus of Timios (f.n.3, with Phaedra and Hippolytus), just above the burial just mentioned above. This phase is probably dating between the end of the second century and the first half of the third century AD (for the dating see also the contribution by Catenacci et al.).

IV) collapse of the roof and of the façade;

V) ancient looting of the sarcophagi;

VI) partial removing of the collapsed blocks, especially in the large chamber (A.2);

VII) stripping of the marble decorative slabs and elements;

VIII) collapsing of the structures;

IX) definitive burial of the remains of the structures and its collapse, mainly due to collovia phenomena.

Local parallels for the tomb in plan and arrangement

Looking for parallels at Cyrene, for the plan, the decoration and the arrangement of Tomb A at Ain Hofra, the first closest parallel is certainly Tomb C (Di Valerio 2015, Di Valerio 2020, Di Valerio and Facconi 2020), which is located just few metres to the east of Tomb A. Tomb C has been built, as for Tomb A, using regular masonry with large limestone blocks, again almost certainly quarried in situ. The entrance to the antechamber is very monumental and characterized by three gates, which are interspersed by two quadrangular pilasters; the entrance was topped by an entablature with a Doric frieze, which has been excavated just in front of the façade, creating probably a niphemeus, which is rectangular in shape and is as wide as the façade; at the moment just half of it has been excavated. The niphemeus was used to emphasize the façade by reflecting the four columns of the portico, the entablature and the pediment of the façade. It seems plausible that in this architectural restyling of the façade four new monumental columns were built, with plain drums on Ionic bases and crowned by monolithic Corinthian capitals. The four columns of the tetrastyle prostyle façade had the peculiarity of antae between the two central columns and the two angular ones, determining a quite rare aspect of a tetrastyle façade, but with a portico distyle in antis. Between the two central columns a squared altar was found, which was built in opus quadratum, using regular blocks, and presents painted decorations representing a ‘vegetal naikos’, with a garland between two palms, and below two female portraits. This architectonic solution of the niphemeus appears a quite unusual choice, which does not seem to find parallels in other funerary contexts, and it has never been attested in funerary monuments in Cyrenaica. It certainly represents an ideal scenography to exalt the architecture of the tomb. The visual impact of the water reflecting the architectonic features of Tomb C was probably magnificent, increasing its monumentality. Moreover, because the niphemeus was blocking the frontal entrance, this feature has determined the need for two new lateral entrances on both sides of the portico, and both of them were monumentalized by two propylais with four columns, which were basically smaller than the frontal columns, but with very similar bases and capitals. The columns of the façade present Ionic bases and quite peculiar Corinthian capitals, with quite large water leaves and arranged in two rows; in the lower row two acanthus leaves are finely incised and quite refined, while the water leaves of the leaves are completely plain. The four capitals have been found in a very fragmentary state of preservation, but it is possible to reconstruct their features, which seem to be quite similar, but not completely. These capitals seem to be quite Alexandrian or Potlaemic in style. The entablature was characterized by a Doric frieze, which is still partially preserved, and above it a Ionico-Corinthian geison, which presents a plain sima with kyma recta, then a corona with plain tenia (slightly oblique), and a ceiling with modillions with a ribbed V section and a sub-frame with kyma recta and dentils. This second elaborate architectonic phase of the tomb is probably dating to the first half of the third century AD, when a new burial has been added in the antechamber with a large sarcophagus with twisted columns ad on a high podium. The better preserved architectonic elements of Tomb C can help to understand the original appearance of Tomb A, which was smaller in size, but quite similar in plan and decorations.

Another interesting parallel can be found in the western necropolis, in the Tomb W107 (Fig. C.13), also known as the Tomb of Grenna (Thorn and Thorn 2008, 5–116). This tomb is not only a majestic tomb, but it can give a good idea of the funerary culture of Cyrene of the second century AD. The tomb is partially rock-cut into the bedrock and consists of a tetrastyle façade, with four columns in antis, and a back niche of the funerary chamber; the portico was built free standing. The similarities with tombs A and C include the porticoed façade, probably with triangular pediment, as well as the presence of marble sarcophagi on podia, and portrait statue in marble and natural size. Moreover, the internal decorations was completely created using marble slabs and elements, creating the effect of sectula, as well as mosaics on the walls. Internal arches characterized the passages among the rooms. The model at the base was certainly similar, and attests at Cyrene the use of temple-tombs which are widely known in Roman times throughout the empire (Cormack 1997, 2004, Gros 2001, 44–467; Cartron 2012, 74–80) and has been reinterpreted at Cyrene on the basis of the local tradition. Also the topographic location, on a wady and along an ancient road, is similar and favoured the intervisibility of these monumental tombs even from a distance.

The use of marble sarcophagi is attested also in other tombs in the necropolis of Cyrene, as in the S359 (Thorn 2005, 359, fig. 236) and N22 (Bacchielli 1993; Thorn 2005, p. 357), but within rock-cut structures with different facades. The latter, that is N22, or the Tomb of the Ludi presents also a large podium with lateral small staircase as in Tomb A.

Another interesting parallel can be found, for some aspects, in Tomb NB4 (Fig. C.14), which is located in the Northern necropolis, along the main road from Cyrene to its main port, Apollonia. It is a rock-cut monument, which has been visited and mentioned for the first time in the relation de voyage by J.R. Pacho (Pacho 1827, tav. 39.2) and has been investigated in 1947 by T. Burton Brown (Thorn 2005, 51–56, fig. 45), who never published the excavation. In 1994 L.Bacchielli re-opened the excavation and documented the tomb (Bonanno Aravantinos 1998). The tomb dates to the same period of the Temple tombs of Ain Hofra and of the W107, that is second and third centuries AD. In this case, however, the main emphasis in the monumentalization is given to the inner spaces
and decorations, while the external façade appears quite anonymous. The main gate is cut into this simple façade, which is just smoothed with a chisel. The first room is an atrium, which plays the role of an antechamber; it presents two deep lateral benches and the pavement is enriched by a polychrome mosaic with three squares filled with geometric elements, externally marked by two-ply braids, and internally by an achanthus spiral, outlining the entire drawing. In axial position with the main gate, there is the entrance to the funerary chamber; the enlargement of this entrance with a wide arch is probably due to later works (Bonanno Aravantinos 1998, 87), which determined changes also in the plan. Originally, the funerary chamber was squared, with a low step running all around the room (Bonanno Aravantinos 1998, 88–89). Three sarcophagi are located in a central position, on the three sides, which have been directly carved into the bed-rock of the walls and are surmounted by three arcosolium. The upper part of the funerary chamber presents a moulded band, which runs on all four sides of the room and is carved into the limestone bedrock. The main feature is certainly the main rock-cut limestone sarcophagus, on the back wall, which is decorated by a figurative frieze representing an Amazonomachy, which gives the name to the monument. The prototype of this relief can be found in attic sarcophagi dating between the second and the third centuries AD (Bonanno Aravantinos 1998, 88–89). The arcosolium relating to this burial presents a lowered arch, which is decorated by an achanthus spirals and a central gorgoneion. The lateral jambs of the arch is imitating the profile of a kline, and probably the lid (which is not preserved) of the sarcophagus was a mattress with the owner(s) of the tombs laying down, as in the usual iconography. A niche on the back wall of the arcosolium was probably created for a funerary portrait. The axiality, the symmetric plan, the developing of the plan, the richness of the interior decorations, the frontal position of the main sarcophagus, the presence of the niche and its funerary portrait, are the main similarities with the two tombs of Aīn Hofra. In particular the decorative intent of the mosaic in the antechamber finds a direct parallel with the sectula of the antechamber of Tomb A, as well as the arch between antechamber and funerary chamber. The dating of Tomb N84 is certainly between the end of the second century and the first half of the third century AD, as also suggested by the dating of the mosaic floor. It seems plausible that the tomb is imitating rich models within the necropolis of Cyrene, with sarcophagi and decorations, rock-cut tombs, such as the W107 (mentioned above), N241 (Thorn 2005, fig. 58; also known as the Tomb of the ‘Buon Pastore’) or N83 (also known as the Tomb of Demetria), and also tombs which are completely built, like the two monuments at Aīn Hofra, which are the only ones to have been systematically excavated, but are not the only examples at Cyrene.

Another example of Temple tomb was probably the S362a, which is at the moment unidentified, but its location has been individuated by Cassels (Cassels 1955, tav. 1) and is mentioned by Stucchi as one of the examples of the funerary monuments dating to the second century AD (Stucchi 1975, 317–318). According to Stucchi’s description, the tomb was characterised by a long and narrow rectangular funerary chamber, which is preceded by what the scholar calls a distyle ‘double pronaos’, with plain columns and Corinthian capitals; moreover two half-columns have been created on both sides of the entrance and the architrave is plain, with a cross which has then been incised during the later reuse of the monument. Although the tomb is not visible at the moment, the description seems to identify another possible architectonic parallel for tombs A and C of Aīn Hofra, for the columned façade, for the axial plan and for the ‘double pronaos’ which is probably relating to the pronaos and antechamber.

A last example in Cyrenaica can be seen in a tomb which was recently found during surveys by the team of Chieti University in the Southern necropolis. It is a tomb which has never been mapped and numbered by Cassels and is located close to Tomb S61, and therefore has been named S61a. The tombs is still unpublished, but from the preliminary drawings it seems a good parallel, with numerous similarities with Tombs A and S362a, as described by Stucchi. It is well preserved, with just the first row of masonry blocks still in situ and the krepis which are both made of regular limestone blocks. The plan presents two chambers with a columned pronaos in antis, probably tetrastyle. The columns (Fig. C.15) were made in several drums and the bases are identical to the bases of the peristilium of the domus of Jason Magnus (Mingazzini 1966, 65–66, fig. 27; The capitals are not preserved, but the Doric frieze is still visible, as is also the moulded geison, which is characterized (starting from the lower section and looking upwards) by a continuous notches, followed by a cyma reversa; then a smooth ceiling.
Figure C.14. N84, Tomb of Amazonomachy (after Bonanno Aravantinos 1998).

Figure C.15. Reconstruction of the base (by E.Di Valerio).

crowned by a high strip completing the entablature, with a final further con-
cave ceiling with the function of a drop-breaker.

**Parallels in the Mediterranean context**
An interesting series of parallels, outside the territory of Cyrene can be found in
the monumental cemeteries in Asia Minor. For instance, Tomb 1 South of the
 necropolis of Adada in Pisidia (Cormack 2004, 161–163, figgs. 6–11), which dates
to the end of the second and the beginning of the third century AD, presents
a similar plan and building technique, which can be found at Cyrene in
Tomb A and in S55a. The monument is located on a hilltop and is built in ash-
lar masonry without mortar. As in Tomb A, the foundations are directly carved
into the bedrock; on the northern and western sides the monument is sur-
rounded by a peribolos which is made of roughly squared blocks. The tomb pre-
sents a single chamber (measuring 7.80 × 5.70 m) and the façade presents a
tetrastyle pronao which is not very deep/pronounced (2.80 × 5.70 m). From
the remains is difficult to understand the entablature and the pediment
(Cormack 2004, p. 162); however other features are preserved, such as a capital
belonging to the entrance, a moulded frame running all around the external wall
of the tomb, as well as votive stelai at the back of the tombs and a possible base
of a funerary portrait statue within the funerary chamber.

Another parallel, which must be mentioned, because is quite close from a
cultural point of view, is the East Tomb in the necropolis of Balboura in the
province of Lycia and Panphilia (Cormack 2004, 191–193, fig. 53–57). As in
Tomb A and in W107, monumental sarcophagi were located within the funer-
ary chamber. It is a Temple tomb on a high podium, but without a columned
pronaos, which, together with the use of the barrel vault, are typical features of
funerary monuments in Asia Minor. The plan presents a single rectangular
chamber. The walls, except for the counter-façade, present sarcophagi within
niches and arcosolia. Two of the sarcophagi were in marble, while one of
them was in local limestone. The façade does not present columns, but angu-
lar lenessae which are moulded both at the base and in the upper section; the
architrave was Ionic. The barrel vault was covered by a roof of tiles, supported
by a wooden structure. The presence of a hyposorion with lateral entrance,
below the podium, is a solution which can be found also at Cyrene in some
Hellenistic tombs, dating between the third and second century BC, as
for Tomb S1 or the temple tomb at al Zawani.

A peculiar Temple Tomb, which can be mentioned, is the Heroon
of Aitsanot in Phrygia, which is located within the urban context in the agora
and close to the Temple of Zeus. It presents a single chamber, is mentioned
as distyle in antis (Cormack 2004, 166) or tetrastyle (Naumann 1994, 181)
and is built in ashlar masonry on a high podium with a three-stepped krepis.
In an axial position with the entrance there is an altar which is decorated with
with lateral entrance, a moulded frame running all around the external wall
of the tomb, as well as votive stelai at the back of the tombs and a possible base
of a funerary portrait statue within the funerary chamber.
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