Structure of Sad1-UNC84 Homology (SUN) Domain Defines Features of Molecular Bridge in Nuclear Envelope*
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Background: The SUN domain mediates mechanical linkage across the nuclear envelope.

Results: The structure of the SUN2 protein SUN domain was solved. The structure features important for SUN domain function were identified.

Conclusion: The SUN domain forms a homotrimer. The SUN-KASH domain interaction is required for nuclear migration.

Significance: The study provides insights into how the SUN protein complex functions.

The SUN (Sad1-UNC-84 homology) domain is conserved in a number of nuclear envelope proteins involved in nuclear migration, meiotic telomere tethering, and antiviral responses. The LINC (linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex, formed by the SUN and the nesprin proteins at the nuclear envelope, serves as a mechanical linkage across the nuclear envelope. Here we report the crystal structure of the SUN2 protein SUN domain, which reveals a homotrimer. The SUN domain is sufficient to mediate binding to the KASH (Klarsicht, ANC-1, and Syne homology) domain of nesprin 2, and the regions involved in the interaction have been identified. Binding of the SUN domain to the KASH domain is abolished by deletion of a region important for trimerization or by point mutations associated with nuclear migration failure. We propose a model of the LINC complex, where the SUN and the KASH domains form a higher ordered oligomeric network in the nuclear envelope. These findings provide the structural basis for understanding the function and the regulation of the LINC complex.

The nuclear envelope (NE) is a membranous structure that defines the boundary between the nucleus and cytoplasm. The NE is composed of the inner and outer nuclear membranes (INM and ONM, respectively). The ONM is contiguous with the endoplasmic reticulum, whereas the INM is associated with the nuclear lamina network through a set of integral transmembrane proteins. The lumen between the INM and ONM is known as the perinuclear space (PNS). The nuclear pore complexes span the double membrane and form the channels that mediate trafficking of macromolecules between the cytoplasm and the nucleus.

The integrity of the NE, as well as the molecular transport across the NE, is essential for normal eukaryotic cell function (1). Defects of NE proteins have been associated with various severe human diseases and anomalies, such as muscular dystrophy and progeria syndrome (2–6). The nuclear lamin network may also interact with pools of ErbB receptors directed to nuclear sites (7–10).

Molecular connections that bridge the cytoplasmic and nuclear cytoskeletal networks across the NE, called the LINC (linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex, have been defined in recent studies of the SUN (Sad1-UNC-84 homology) proteins and the KASH (Klarsicht, ANC-1, and Syne homology) proteins. ANC-1, an ONM protein involved in nuclear positioning, is associated with the actin network (11). The localization of ANC-1 is dependent upon UNC-84, an INM protein, whereas the localization of UNC-84 itself is dependent upon lamin (12). Based on these findings, it was proposed that UNC-84 could interact with ANC-1 in the PNS via their respective luminal domains (12, 13). Further studies also suggested that the INM-associated SUN proteins function as tethers for the ONM-associated KASH domain-containing proteins (14–20).

5 The abbreviations used are: NE, nuclear envelope; INM, inner nuclear membrane; ONM, outer nuclear membrane; PNS, perinuclear space; SUN, Sad1-UNC84 homology; KASH, Klarsicht, ANC-1 and Syne homology.
KASH proteins contain a single transmembrane anchor and a segment of ~30 residues that are exposed to the PNS (21–23). Members of this family, such as ANC-1 and UNC-83, have little in common with each other beyond the KASH domain. Nesprin/SYNE-1, -2, and -3 are representative members of the mammalian KASH domain protein family (20, 24, 25).

SUN proteins are characterized by a C-terminal ~200 amino acid residue SUN domain that is conserved among all eukaryotes including Schizosaccharomyces pombe Sad1, Caenorhabditis elegans UNC-84 and SUN1, and five human SUN proteins (16, 17, 22, 26, 27). Based upon localization patterns, human SUN proteins can be grouped into two subfamilies: SUN1 and SUN2 are integral membrane components of the INM, with their SUN domains extended into the PNS (16, 17, 28), whereas SUN3 and the sperm-associated antigen 4, a potential cancer marker, localize to the endoplasmic reticulum and the ONM (29, 30).

In C. elegans, mutations in the SUN domain of UNC-84 compromise the localization of UNC-83 (31) and ANC-1 and disrupt nuclear migration (11, 13, 27, 31–33). In mammals, SUN2 and nesprin/SYNE mediate nuclear movement in migrating fibroblast cells and during retinal development (34, 35). SUN1 is essential for early embryonic and germ cell development (36) and is also involved in endosome fusion (37). SUN2 is involved in tethering mammalian meiotic telomeres to the nuclear envelope (38, 39, 46–48). Thus, the SUN proteins are involved in aspects of both mitosis and meiosis.

In this study, we have resolved the structure of the SUN domain of SUN2. In addition, we have identified the structural interface involved in the interaction between the SUN domain and the luminal region of the nesprin 2 KASH domain protein family (20, 24, 25).

In this study, we have resolved the structure of the SUN domain of SUN2. In addition, we have identified the structural interface involved in the interaction between the SUN domain and the luminal region of the nesprin 2 KASH domain protein family (20, 24, 25).

### Experimental Procedures

**Protein Expression and Purification**—Human SUN2 SUN domain was cloned, expressed, and purified as described in the supplemental text.

**Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination**—The crystal structure of SUN domain was determined using multiple isomorphous replacement method as described in the supplemental text. The statistics for data collection and structure determination are summarized in Table 1.
Structural Modeling—The structure of the nesprin 2G luminal domain and the SUN-KASH complex were modeled as described in the supplemental text.

Cell Culture and Transfection—The human embryonic kidney 293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. The cells were transfected with GFP-SUN2 or FLAG-SUN2 constructs using the FuGENE 6 reagent (Roche Applied Science). Two days after transfection, the cell lysates were prepared in the Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (120 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.5% Nonidet P-40) supplemented with a protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science). Following centrifugation, the supernatant was subjected to incubation with glutathione-Sepharose beads loaded with either GST or GST-Nsp2C proteins. The proteins bound to the beads were separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blot analysis.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) Analysis—The experiment was carried out following standard protocol as described in the supplemental text.

RESULTS

Crystal Structure of SUN Domain—To gain structural insights into the evolutionarily conserved SUN domain, we crystallized the C-terminal region of human SUN2 protein (Val19–His716) and resolved its molecular structure at 2.4 Å resolution (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The structure of the SUN domain is characterized by a “stalk” region that consists of an α-helix and a leaflike subdomain that is formed by a β-sheet.

![Figure 1. Structure of the SUN domain monomer. A, schematic representation of the SUN2 C-terminal fragment that was used for the structure study. TM, transmembrane region. B and C, views of SUN domain monomer from two different directions. The secondary structural elements are labeled alphabetically from N terminus to C terminus. The right panel in B is the zoomed in image of the juncture area connecting the β-sandwich to the α-helix. The red balls represent water molecules involved in a hydrogen network (dashed lines, same below). The right panel in C shows a potential protein binding site located on the molecular surface of the β-sheet CHEF and the extended AA’ loop.](image-url)
sandwich (Fig. 1 and supplemental Fig. S1). The α-helix is N-terminal to the β-sandwich, forming an angle of ~80° between the axis of the helix and the broad face of the sandwich. The β-sandwich mainly consists of a three-stranded β-sheet JDG and a four-stranded β-sheet CHEF. This jelly roll architecture is brought to a closure by the insertion of an extra α-helix A’ and a mini β-sheet BI. The highly extended AA’ loop further seals the opening of the β-sandwich by spanning its cleft.

The leaf subdomain is attached to the stalk subdomain through a junction that is formed between the surface of the β-sheet JDG and a loop-turn immediately following the C-terminal end of the α-helix A (Fig. 1). Within the junction, numerous hydrogen bonds and electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions are utilized to tighten the linkage between the two subdomains. For example, residues Arg542, Ile543, and Leu545 from the helical stalk side form hydrophobic or van der Waals contacts with residues Thr624, Ile673, Thr675, and His711 from the sandwich leaf side (Fig. 1B). Two arginine residues Arg707 and Arg709 on the JDG β-sheet form hydrogen bonds with the side chain and the main chain of residue Asp541 located on the tip of the stalk.

A salient feature of the SUN domain lies in its molecular surface of the β-sheet CHEF and the AA’ loop that forms the upper side of the leaf. A group of hydrophobic residues cluster together, forming an extended hydrophobic patch including Phe609, Phe648, and Leu694 (Fig. 1). A pair of polar residues, Glu690 and Arg692, joins the patch by forming a hydrogen bond that stands between the phenyl rings of residues Phe609 and Phe648, where the cation–pi effect may further stabilize the unique side chain conformations of this cluster of residues. We propose that this patch may function as a site for protein–protein interaction, such as for binding to the KASH domain (see “Discussion”).

Homotrimeric Association of SUN Domain—Previous studies indicate that the SUN1 and SUN2 proteins can undergo homo- or heteropolymerization, which involves both the SUN domain and the adjacent coiled coil regions (42, 45, 49, 50). Our current crystallographic study has revealed that the SUN domain alone is sufficient to form a homotrimer (Fig. 2). This finding is also supported by the profile of size exclusion chromatography, which demonstrates that the SUN domain can exist both as monomer and trimers in solution (Fig. 2, C and D). The size exclusion chromatography profile of the SUN domain shows two peaks that are consistent with what would be expected of a trimer and monomer, respectively. This is confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis using polyclonal anti-SUN2 antibody. Right panel, silver staining of SDS-PAGE gel. Tr, trimer; Mo, monomer; Ct, control (irrelevant protein); M, molecular mass marker. Note that the trimers are not completely disrupted in the SDS-PAGE experiment condition and can be better recognized by the polyclonal anti-SUN2 antibody than the monomer.

Interactions contributing to the assembly of the SUN domain trimer fall into three types: those between the β-sandwich subdomains that are used to form the cloverleaf; those between the α-helix subdomains that are used to form the stem; and those between the β-sandwich and the α-helix subdomains that help to maintain the overall architecture. The first set of interactions, which are located on the interface between neighboring β-sandwich subdomains, are mostly mediated by variable loops on both ends of each β-sandwich. Specifically, the A’ B loop, the DE loop, and the C-terminal part of the AA’ loop from one protomer interact with the A’ B loop and the N-terminal part of the AA’ loop from the adjacent protomer.

These flexible loops embed one onto the other, resulting in discernible complementarity among the β-sandwich subdomains of the three protomers (Fig. 2A and supplemental Fig. S2A). In detail, the cloverleaf conformation is stabilized by a network of hydrophobic packing, van der Waals contacts, electrostatic interactions, and hydrogen bonds, which involve the residues Pro579, Trp581, His596, Pro597, Asn599, Pro629, Ala631, Leu632, Pro634, and Asn635 from one protomer and the residues Asp541, Ala546, Tyr548, Leu550, Glu551, Ser552, Ala555, Arg588,
Pro593, Arg613, Pro714, and His716 from another. In particular, the side chain of Arg588 not only forms the hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu551 from the same protomer, but also pairs with the side chain of Trp581 on AA’ loop from the adjacent protomer through cation-pi interaction. All of these interactions contribute to maintaining the extended conformation of the AA’ loop as part of the potential binding site for other proteins.

The second set of interactions lock the α-helix subdomains of the three protomers together. The resultant three-helix bundle resembles a stem that holds the cloverleaf. The core of this helix bundle is composed of four layers of hydrophobic packing corresponding to the central residues Val527, Val531, Leu535, and Tyr538, respectively (Fig. 2A and supplemental Fig. S2, B and C). However, the distribution of the hydrophobic and polar residues along the helices is irregular when compared with standard heptad repeats seen in coiled coil motifs. As reflected in the primary sequence (VHHIVKQALQRY), the hydrophobic profile of SUN domain α-helix subdomain is much wider than that of other helices seen in coiled coils. For example, residue His528 extends the central hydrophobic interlock by flanking the interface between adjacent helices. Finally, two additional features further strengthen the SUN domain helical stem. Residue Val522 tightens the N terminus of the helix bundle by pointing its side chain toward the hydrophobic core, forming close contacts. Meanwhile residue Arg542, which partly pairs with Tyr538 from the same helix, forms a hydrogen bond with Ser539 from the adjacent helix, stabilizing the C-terminal end of the helix bundle.

The third set of interactions stabilizes the SUN domain trimer by linking the α-helix subdomain from one protomer to the β-sandwich subdomain from the adjacent protomer. For example, residues Lys532 and Gln538 on the helix of protomer A form hydrogen bond and electrostatic interaction with Glu671 on the β-sandwich of protomer B (Fig. 2 and supplemental Fig. S2C). Similar interactions also exist in the junction connecting the cloverleaf with the stem.

Notably, the potential KASH domain-binding sites are located symmetrically on the three lobes of the cloverleaf upon trimerization of the SUN domain (supplemental Fig. S3A). Meanwhile, the AA’ loop located on the top the cloverleaf, as part of the active site, forms high density crystal contacts mainly involving residues Ile558, Cys562, Thr565, Thr570, Ile574, Leu580, and His583 (Fig. 1B and supplemental Fig. S4).

**SUN Domain Binds to KASH Domain**—Previous studies have shown that the luminal domain of the SUN proteins may be involved in association with the luminal part of the KASH domain (14, 30, 42, 44, 51). Immunoprecipitation studies confirmed that an intact SUN domain of SUN2 is required for binding to the luminal region of the nesprin 2 KASH domain (supplemental Fig. S5). Using molecular modeling, we predict that an extended patch in the β-sandwich subdomain functions as a binding site for the KASH domain. To test this hypothesis, we performed ITC analysis using the purified recombinant SUN domain protein of human SUN2 and the synthesized KASH domain peptides (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S6A).

Calorimetry studies revealed that the SUN domain is sufficient to bind either the complete luminal part of human nesprin 2 KASH domain (NSP2C, SSEEDYSCTQANNFARSFYPMLRYTNGPPPT) or a shorter C-terminal fragment (NSP2S, NNFARSFEPMLRYTNGPPPT). ITC measurements reveal that the NSP2C peptide can bind to the SUN domain with a $K_d$ of $\sim 1.8$ $\mu M$ ($n = 1.15 \pm 0.02$). The affinity of NSP2C is consistent with the proposal that the SUN-KASH interaction may be dynamically regulated to adjust the mechanical properties of the network during active biological processes such as cell division.

Mutagenesis studies were performed to identify the structural features involved in binding to the KASH peptides. In particular, we wanted to examine whether trimerization is required for the interaction with the KASH domain. Based on the SUN2 structure, we predict that the helical stem region of the SUN domain is essential for the formation of the trimer. Removal of the stem may not lead to the collapse of the entire structure, because the leaf and the stem subdomains appear to be folded independently. Alterations may, however, deform the cloverleaf structure and change the conformation of the extended AA’ loop that is part of the potential binding site for the KASH domain. Indeed, we found that deletion of the three-helix bundle stem of the SUN domain disrupted the trimer and abolished the binding to NSP2S (Fig. 3).

Point mutations of a number of certain amino acid residues in the putative KASH domain-binding site were created. The F609A mutation reduced the NSP2S binding activity, whereas A646E appears to slightly enhance the binding (Fig. 3). In addition, the R692A mutation modestly affected binding to the nesprin KASH domain (supplemental Fig. S6B).

We sought to establish the linkage between the SUN domain structural features and the biological functions. The effect of two point mutations, namely D541N and G608D, were studied. These two sites are conserved in SUN2 across species (supplemental Fig. S1). The D541N or G608D mutations have been shown to compromise nuclear migration in *C. elegans* (12, 27). We found that alteration of Gly908 to aspartic acid, which resides within the putative KASH domain-binding site, completely abolished binding to the KASH domain. Similarly, the D541N mutation, which is located in the junction connecting the stalk-like helix and the clover-like β-sandwiches, also resulted in loss of binding to nesprin. The mutation of G608D did not cause any significant changes in the global folding or trimerization of the protein, as shown by circular dichroism, gel filtration, and SDS-PAGE (supplemental Fig. S7). Similarly, despite the fact that the D541N mutation caused a modest change in the ratio of monomers and trimers, the alteration had little effect on folding, and the predominant effect of the mutation is loss of binding to nesprin (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S7). Collectively, these results indicate that the trimeric form of the SUN domain associates with the KASH domain via the proposed binding site and that the interaction appears critical for the function of the LINC complex in nuclear migration.

**DISCUSSION**

Recent studies indicate that the SUN domain proteins interact with KASH domain proteins and form the evolutionarily conserved LINC complex, which spans the perinuclear space between the double nuclear membranes and couple the nuclear interior to the peripheral cytoskeleton (5, 14, 17, 30, 42). Here
Structure of the SUN Domain
we provide the first crystallographic structure of the SUN domain, which reveals a homotrimer that resembles a cloverleaf sitting on a stem. Overall, the trimerization of the SUN domain buries ~6200 Å² of accessible molecular surface, suggesting a rather stable conformation. Intriguingly, the organization scheme of the SUN domain trimer is reminiscent of that of TRAF2 (tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 2), a signaling adaptor protein that functions as a trimer and recruits the cytoplasmic domain of tumor necrosis factor receptors by forming a 3:3 complex (52, 53) (supplemental Fig. S3). Moreover, the potential KASH-binding sites of the SUN domain proteins are located on each lobe of the cloverleaf in a manner similar to that observed in the TRAF2 trimer, where the corresponding sites are used to complex with the tumor necrosis factor receptor family member (such as CD40) intracellular domain and mediate signaling. We have constructed a model depicting how the SUN domain trimer binds to the KASH domain.

Modeling of the SUN-KASH Complex—The KASH domain is highly conserved (22). McGee et al. (18) studied the interactions between UNC-83 and UNC-84 in C. elegans and suggested that the consensus sequence in KASH domain required for SUN domain association is FXPXXXYNGXPPPX. The structure of the KASH domain luminal part (KASH-LD) using the three-dimensional structures of the homologous fragments provided in the Protein Data Bank was modeled and revealed that the structure of KASH-LD consists of two mini helices that are part of the potential binding site for SUN, which are located in or adjacent to the putative KASH binding site, have indicated that the binding between SUN and KASH is most likely mediated through the local interactions that are dependent on the specific side chain conformations of these residues. For example, the G608D mutation completely abolished binding to KASH (Fig. 3). Gly608 is adjacent to a network of interactions mediated by the hydrogen bonding between Arg661 and Gln607/Gln604 and the cation-π pairing with F609/F603. In the G608D mutation, the introduced side chain would block the side chain of Arg661 and disrupt the Arg661-mediated interactions. As a result, the conformation of the predicted KASH-interacting patch would be altered.

Replacement of Phe609 with an alanine may disrupt hydrophobic interactions with nonpolar residues (possibly the terminal proline residues) in the C-loop of KASH domain, leading to a decreased SUN-KASH affinity (Fig. 3). Altering the size and polarity of residues Ala646 and Arg692 would also affect the side chain conformations of the surrounding hydrophobic residues in the active site.

Because the three-helix bundle stem is critical for SUN domain trimer formation, removal of this stem would deform the cloverleaf structure and alter the conformation of the extended AA’ loop that is part of the potential binding site for KASH domain. Consistent with this model, our ITC data show that deletion of SUN domain stem abolished its KASH binding. The D541N mutation, located on the loop connecting the “stem” and the “leaf,” also disrupted binding to KASH. Asp541 forms interactions with Arg707 and Arg709, maintaining the relative orientation between the stem and the leaf. Our results support the notion that changing the configuration of the stem-like region may modulate the SUN-KASH interaction.

The mutagenesis studies of the surface residues such as Gly508, Phe609, Ala646, and Arg692, which are located in or adjacent to the putative KASH binding site, have indicated that the binding between SUN and KASH is most likely mediated through the local interactions that are dependent on the specific side chain conformations of these residues. For example, the G608D mutation completely abolished binding to KASH (Fig. 3). Gly608 is adjacent to a network of interactions mediated by the hydrogen bonding between Arg661 and Gln607/Gln604 and the cation-π paring with F609/F603. In the G608D mutation, the introduced side chain would block the side chain of Arg661 and disrupt the Arg661-mediated interactions. As a result, the conformation of the predicted KASH-interacting patch would be altered.

Replacement of Phe609 with an alanine may disrupt hydrophobic interactions with nonpolar residues (possibly the terminal proline residues) in the C-loop of KASH domain, leading to a decreased SUN-KASH affinity (Fig. 3). Altering the size and polarity of residues Ala646 and Arg692 would also affect the side chain conformations of the surrounding hydrophobic residues in the active site.
**Structure of the SUN Domain**

The shorter version of the KASH peptide used in this study corresponds to the consensus sequence of KASH domain and thus may represent the minimal region required for binding to the SUN domain. The KASH domain sequence would form a mini helix followed by a terminal loop with three proline residues in a row on the tip. The proline-rich tip is critical for SUN-KASH interaction because deletion of the proline residues (17) or modifying its conformation by adding additional terminal residues disrupts the complex formation (42).

**Molecular Network Formed by SUN-KASH Complexes in Nuclear Envelope**—The current crystallographic and modeling studies identify a 3:3 complex formed by the SUN domain and KASH domain. The dimension of the complex along the direction perpendicular to the nuclear double membranes is ~60 Å.

Previous studies showed that SUN1 and SUN2 can form homo- or hetero-oligomers via two predicated coiled coil motifs located in the luminal region near the N-terminal end of the SUN domain (14, 30, 42, 45, 49, 50). Oligomerization analysis of the potential coiled coil motifs within the luminal domain predicts that although the first coiled coil is highly likely to be dimeric, the second one has a modest probability to be trimeric (58) (supplemental Fig. S7). Thus, these two coiled coil motifs, together with the SUN domain, may respectively guide dimerization and trimerization at distinct regions of the SUN protein luminal region (supplemental Fig. S9).

It is conceivable that the SUN2 proteins form an extended network within the PNS through the SUN domain and the coiled coils (Fig. 4C). Alternatively, through the dimeric coiled coil motif, the SUN2 trimer may associate with SUN1 or other yet to be identified proteins. Interestingly, a recent study revealed that intermolecular disulfide bonds can form among the SUN1 molecules (50), suggesting that additional regions of SUN protein may be involved in the assembly of the network. We have demonstrated that the SUN domain alone is sufficient for KASH binding. Interestingly, our results, as well as a number of previous studies (14, 17, 42, 49), also indicate that a deletion mutant containing the second coiled coil motif and the intact SUN domain of human SUN2 was unable to bind to the KASH domain of nesprin 2G (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S5). It is possible that, in the deleted form, the coiled coil region adjacent to the SUN domain may fold back and impede the active KASH domain-binding site. Notably, a fragment that contains both coiled coil regions, and the SUN domain retains the ability to bind nesprin (supplemental Fig. S5). We speculate that the regions N-terminal to the SUN domain may either participate in trimerization or mediate a regulatory role in the SUN-KASH interactions.

**Biological Significance of SUN-KASH Interaction**—The nuclear envelope protein ensembles formed by SUN1, SUN2, and their associated proteins are involved in multiple cellular and developmental processes including cell division, apoptosis, migration, and establishment of polarity (30, 54). For example, SUN1 has been implicated to play a critical role for the distribution of nuclear pore complex across the nuclear surface (55), whereas SUN2 is involved in tethering mammalian meiotic telomeres to the nuclear envelope (38). The SUN domain of SUN2 contribute to localization to the inner membrane of the nuclear envelope (56), probably through association with nesprin.

In particular, SUN2 and nesprin are involved in nuclear migration, a process important for cell mobility as well as organogenesis (34, 35). In this regard, we have identified the structural features (i.e. the regions adjacent to Asp541 and Gly608) that are critical for SUN-KASH binding. In *C. elegans*, the D541N and G608D mutants showed defects in nuclear migration (12, 27). Both Asn541 and Gly608 are conserved in the SUN proteins, suggesting that these sites play a role in maintaining structure and function. Our results also raised the possibility that the stem region, as well as the coiled coils N-terminal to the SUN domain, may constitute a regulatory element, through which the function of the LINC complex can be modulated.

In a recent study, the SUN2 SUN domain mutation of G670S has been linked to non-Hodgkin lymphomas (57). Gly670 is conserved and is immediately adjacent to Gln571, which forms hydrogen bond and electrostatic interactions with Lys532 and Gln546 from the helical stem region of the neighboring protomer. Mutation of G670S may interfere with these interactions and alter the trimeric conformation that is required for KASH association.

In summary, our work provides a structural model that helps to understand the LINC complex, where the luminal regions of SUN2 protein interact with each other and form a supermolecular network, whereas the C-terminal SUN domain binds with the KASH domain and forms a 3:3 complex. As a physical link across the nuclear envelope, the SUN-KASH network not only contributes to the structural integrity of the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments but also helps to maintain appropriate mechanical properties of normal cells as recently reported (42, 43).
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