Genetic Cross-Talk During Head Development in *Drosophila*
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The dorsal head vertex of *Drosophila* is specified mainly by the *orthodenticle* (*otd*) gene. The expression and the function of *otd* are regulated by the concerted action of many genes including *hedgehog* (*hh*) and *notch* (*N*). These genes are components of a meshwork of signaling transduction pathways that interact to form the dorsal head capsule of the fruit fly. Loss-of-function *Hh* mutants lack ocelli; however, loss-of-function *N* mutants lack a different domain of the dorsal head vertex. This report provides new evidence that the *Hh* and *N* pathways are two epistatic signaling cascades that act genetically upstream of the dorsal head capsule specification gene.

**INTRODUCTION**

A breakthrough in understanding the *Drosophila* head development came with the identification of the *orthodenticle* (*otd*) homeobox gene. Previous analysis indicated that the *otd* transcription factor acts as an essential regulatory gene for establishing the eyes, antenna, and parts of the brain and for the dorsal head development [1, 2]. The *Drosophila* dorsal head capsule includes the ocellar, frons, and orbital cuticles. The ocelli are three simple light-sensitive organs on the ocellar cuticle. The development of the dorsal head occurs during the larval stages from the dorsal head primordium in eye disc. The ocellar cuticle is formed by a fusion of the two eye discs, with the medial ocellus receiving contributions from both discs.

Previous work revealed several genes that might interact with *otd* in embryonic or imaginal disc development. Several of these are expressed in the dorsal head primordium and loss of their functions produces an *otd*-like phenotype. *Hh* signaling plays crucial roles in the development of *Drosophila* and vertebrate embryos. In the fly, there is a single *hedgehog* (*hh*) gene. In contrast, three different genes, *sonic hedgehog* (*Shh*), *Indian hedgehog* (*Ihh*), and *desert hedgehog* (*Dhh*) play distinct regulatory roles in mammals [3]. As a segment polarity gene, *Hh* initiates a conserved signaling cascade in a variety of developmental processes [4]. *Hh* protein binds to a multipass membrane protein, Patched (*Ptc*), and prevents it from inhibiting the function of a second transmembrane protein, Smoothened (*Smo*). This allows *Smo* to signal through the positive actions of Fused (*Fu*) and Cubitus interruptus (*Ci*) and the inhibitory effects of Costal2 (*Cos2*) and *Drosophila* Protein kinase A (dPKA). In other words, *Ptc* inhibits the activity of *Smo*, and consequently *Hh* binding to *Ptc* releases *Smo* from this inhibitory process [5, 6, 7, 8] enabling *Smo* to interact with *Fu*, *Ci*, *Cos2*, and dPKA.

*Ci* is a transcriptional effector of *Hh* signaling (reviewed in [9]). The expression of *ci* mRNA is repressed by *engrailed* (*En*) protein in both embryos and imaginal discs [10]. *Ci* is also posttranslationally regulated. In the absence of *Hh* stimulation, *Ci* is cleaved into a smaller N-terminal fragment (*Ci75*) which moves to the nucleus and represses *Hh* target genes. Upon secretion, *Hh* binds to *Ptc* and relieves the inhibitory effect that *Ptc* normally has on *Smo*. Once it is freed of the inhibitory effects of *Ptc*, *Smo* signals through unknown mechanisms to the *Fu/Cos2/Gi* complex causing hyperphosphorylation of *Fu* and *Cos2* and causing the complex to loosen its hold on microtubules. The *Hh* signaling thus increases the level of *Ci155* (Figure 1) by repressing the cleavage of *Ci155* into *Ci75* and/or by releasing it from a microtubule-bound *ci-Fu-Cos2* complex. The full-length *Ci155* can then travel to the nucleus and function as a transcriptional activator, upregulating transcription of *Hh* target genes [11, 12].

Both *Ci75* and *Ci155* contain the zinc finger domain that is capable of binding to DNA sequence in *Hh* target genes [13]. *Ci75* acts as a repressor and represses the expression of *hh*, *ptc*, and *wingless* (*wg*). It also contains a domain that can bind to the *Drosophila* cAMP-response-element-binding protein (CREB)-binding protein (CBP) protein (Figure 1).

Several components of the *Hh* signaling cascade show region-specific expression in the ocellar region. Both *hh* and *ptc*, a gene that encodes a receptor for *hh* [14], have strong expression in the vertex primordium. While the
role of ptc in the ocellar region is not known, hh is required for the ocellar development. Loss of hh function leads to a loss-of-ocelli phenotype while ectopic expression results in supernumerary and larger ocelli [15]. ci and fu genes are also implicated in the process of ocellar formation [16].

Although both otd and hh are involved in ocellar development, their relationship is unclear. In the vertex primordium, hh is expressed within the otd-expressing domain. Consistent with this observation, hh is required for ocellar cuticle development while otd specifies both the ocellar and frons cuticular structures. Additionally, ectopic hh is able to turn on the expression of otd ectopically in the eye disc [17].

Notch (N) is another gene that is crucial for the head formation in Drosophila. It encodes a large transmembrane protein with an extracellular domain containing 36 epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats and an intracellular domain including 6 ankyrin repeats [18]. Studies in Drosophila and other organisms demonstrated that the N protein is a receptor that regulates the differentiation of a wide range of cell types. These analyses suggest that N and other components of the N signaling pathway control the ability of uncommitted cells to respond to specific developmental signals, thereby regulating their progression to a committed state [19].

Originally, N was shown to be involved in neural fate determination in the Drosophila embryo. Strong N mutations cause a failure of neuroblast-mediated lateral inhibition, leading to hypertrophy of the nervous system at the expense of epidermis. Similarly, the loss of N function during postembryonic stages leads to supernumerary sensory organ precursors [20]. N is also expressed in many other tissues throughout Drosophila development [21]. N activity is required for the proper formation of the mesoderm, germ line, wing margin, and compound eyes [22]. Homologues of the N gene have been identified in other species, where they appear to function in an analogous fashion [23, 24].

Genetic and molecular studies in Drosophila identified a group of genes that participate in N-mediated signaling. These include delta (Dl) and serrate (Ser), which encode putative extracellular ligands for N; defected (dx), which encodes a cytoplasmic protein that binds to N ankyrin repeats; and hairless (H) and suppressor of hairless (Su(H)), mastermind (mam), and the enhancer of split complex (E(spl)-C), all of which encode nuclear proteins. Dl and Ser bind to the extracellular EGF repeats, while Dx interacts with N in the vicinity of the ankyrin repeats. The Su(H) protein translocates to the nucleus, where it activates the transcription of target genes of the N pathway [25].

The E(spl) gene complex encodes a group of transcription factors that act downstream of N in many Drosophila tissues. Accumulation of E(spl) proteins during embryonic and imaginal development depends on N activation and is mediated by Su(H) function. The E(spl) region transcript involves E(spl)m5, E(spl)m7, E(spl)m5, E(spl)m3, E(spl)m8, E(spl)mβ, and E(spl)mβ. These genes encode seven closely related basic helix-loophelix (bHLH) protein family of transcription factors (m3, m5, m7, m8, mβ, mβ, and m6) [26]. Genetic and molecular analyses suggest an overlap in the functions of these proteins. Consistent with this proposed functional redundancy, the patterns of expression of the different E(spl) bHLH genes are very similar.

This study is an investigation of the genetic interaction between Hh and N signaling pathways during the development of the head capsule of the adult fruitfly. Both Hh and N pathways are shown to be required for the formation of the medial region of the dorsal head. Loss of Hh signaling causes the deletion of a specific dorsal head region where N is normally active.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Stocks**

The wild-type strain used was Oregon-R. hh52 and N17 are temperature-sensitive alleles that fail to produce functional Hh and N proteins, respectively, at 29°C [27, 28]. The Gal4 strain used is Gal4C501 in which Gal4 driver activates gene expression across the entire dorsal head primordium [29]. The upstream activating sequence (UAS)-ptc is a gift from E Wilder. UAS-E(spl)m8, UAS-E(spl)m7, and UAS-E(spl)mβ were a gift from JF de Celis. Reporter genes used were ptc-lacZ and p17.6(L1)-lacZ line (L1) [30]. The lacZ enhancer trap L1 labels ocelli precursor cells and cells in the lamina of the brain. Stocks were maintained on cornmeal-yeast-agar medium seeded with baker’s yeast at 25°C. For temperature shift experiments, flies were transferred to 29°C at late larval stages for 12–15 hours.
Fly crossing to study ectopic gene expression

To induce ectopic ci expression, flies containing the Gal4C591 driver were crossed to flies containing N-terminal fragments. These fragments encode a nuclear repressor form of Ci (Ci76) under UAS control. Ectopic E(spl) expression in the eye primordium was produced by crossing Gal4C591 flies to UAS-E(spl) flies. Ectopic expression of ptc was induced by crossing Gal4C591 flies to UAS-ptc flies.

Analysis of head morphology

Flies heads were excised with a razor blade, washed in PBT (PBS plus 0.1% Tween-20) and mounted in a 3:1 ethanol:glycerol solution. Wings were removed, washed briefly in PBT, and mounted onto a slide for further examination.

In situ hybridization and immunocytochemistry

X-gal and antibody staining were performed as described by Royet and Finkelstein [1]. For in situ hybridization, larvae were grown in uncrowded conditions to obtain optimal imaginal disc morphology. Discs were dissected in PBS and fixed in 3% formaldehyde in heptane-saturated PBS for 1 minute then in 6% formaldehyde for 20 minutes. They were then washed for 2 × 5 minutes in methanol, followed by 5 × 5 minutes in PBT. Discs were digested with 12 mg/ml proteinase K in PBS for 3 minutes and then incubated in 2 mg/ml glycine for 2 minutes. They were fixed again in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes and incubated with appropriate probes at 45°C overnight. Hybridization was carried out using digoxigenin-labeled DNA fragments following the protocol of Cubas et al [31]. To detect individual E(spl) bHLH, small fragments were used to minimize the possibility of cross-reactivity. Fragment used for m7, SacI, was a 0.4-kb fragment from cDNA clones [32] with vector-derived restriction site in brackets (Figure 2). The rest of the protocol was performed as described in [33]. Eye-antennal discs and adult heads were visualized and photographs were taken using a Zeiss Axioskop equipped with Nomarski optics.

RESULTS

The dorsal head capsule (also called the vertex) includes the region between the two compound eyes. The three ocelli lie on the triangular ocellar cuticle in the medial region of the vertex. Flanking the medial ocellus are two ocellar bristles, while two postvertical bristles lie near the lateral ocelli. A stereotypical pattern of smaller bristles (the intracellular microchaetes) occupies the region within the three ocelli (Figure 3a).

In order to show the sensory origin of different components of the dorsal head capsule, L1 enhancer trap line is utilized where the lacZ gene expression is restricted to the precursor cells of the ocelli and of the compound eye. During late third instar larval development (110–120 hours after egg laying) eye-antennal discs from L1 strain are stained for β-galactosidase activity, thus the photoreceptor cells of the compound eye and the precursors of the median and lateral ocelli are labeled (Figure 3b).

hh is required for dorsal head formation

Both hh and E(spl) are expressed in the dorsal head primordium of the eye-antennal disc (Figures 3c and 5c, respectively). E(spl) is also expressed in the antennal anlagen as well as in the morphogenetic furrow immediately adjacent to the region of hh transcription. Eliminating Hh function during head development results in the deletion of the entire medial domain, including the interocellar cuticle and bristles, and the ocelli and their associated bristles (Figure 3d). This region is replaced by frons cuticle, which is normally confined to the mediolateral region of the head capsule.

ptc and ci mediate the hh-dependent formation of the dorsal head

Since ptc is expressed in the ocellar primordium of wild-type eye discs (Figure 4a), the GAL4/UAS system [34] was used to overexpress UAS-ptc transgene across the entire dorsal head primordium (Figures 4b, 4c). Ectopic expression of ptc in Gal4C591/UAS-ptc flies causes all three ocelli to become significantly smaller (Figure 4b).

The ci gene encodes a critical component of the Hh pathway. In order to determine if hh mediates the dorsal head formation through ci, the two-component system (GAL4/UAS) was used to overexpress the repressor N-terminal fragment of the Ci protein across the entire dorsal head primordium. Expression of this repressor fragment of Ci results in a head phenotype. This phenotype is almost identical to the hh mutant phenotype (compare Figures 3d and 4d).

Loss of N signaling deletes a specific region of the dorsal head

Many studies have suggested a role of N during the dorsal head development. In order to confirm this role, the temperature-sensitive allele of N, Nts1, which fails to produce functional N protein at 29°C, was used. Disrupting N function using a temperature-sensitive N allele eliminates the ocellar cuticle separating the three ocelli, resulting in the formation of a single giant ocellus (Figure 5a). Ectopic expression of either E(spl)m7 or E(spl)m8 proteins was induced using the activator line.
Figure 3. Hh is required for adult head development. (a) and (d) Dorsal views of the head capsules of flies of the indicated genotypes. (c) and (b) Eye-antennal discs. (a) Head of a wild-type fly with the interocellar cuticle (arrow). The normal appearance of the ocellar region (oc), frons (fr), and orbital region (orb) can be seen. The two ocellar bristles, which lie near the medial ocellus, are evident (white arrowhead indicates one of them). The two postvertical bristles (black arrowhead) lie near the lateral ocelli. The interocellar cuticle also contains 6–8 microchaetes (the interocellar bristles). (b) Third instar eye-antennal discs of L1 line were dissected and stained with X-gal to detect β-galactosidase activity. Staining is present posterior to the morphogenetic furrow (arrow) and in the precursors of the median (m) and lateral (l) ocelli. (c) Wild-type eye-antennal disc hybridized with a digoxigenin-labeled hh probe. In situ hybridization reveals that, in addition to being expressed in the dorsal head primordium (black arrow), hh is expressed posterior to the morphogenetic furrow (white arrow). Parts of the antennal anlagen express hh as well (arrowhead). (d) Head of an hh<sup>22</sup>/hh<sup>22</sup> fly raised at the restrictive temperature (30°C) during the third larval instar. Loss of hh function eliminates the entire medial domain of the dorsal head, including the ocelli, interocellar cuticle, and the ocellar, postvertical, and interocellar bristles. This region is replaced by ridged frons cuticle (arrow). Heads are at the same magnification. Discs are at the same magnification.

Gal4<sup>C591</sup>, which drives Gal4 expression across the dorsal head primordium of the eye-antennal imaginal disc (Figure 4c). Misexpression of either m8 or m7 caused a similar, but weaker phenotype, in which all ocellar bristles are eliminated and the ocelli are reduced in size (Figure 5b).

Figure 4c shows the expression pattern of the m7 gene as detected by a cDNA probe that does not recognize the other mRNA transcripts in the E(spl) complex. In the third instar eye-antennal disc, m7 is expressed in the ocellar region, the morphogenetic furrow and the antennal anlagen. The m8 and mβ genes are also expressed in these cells (data is not shown).

**hh is epistatic to E(spl)**

Eliminating hh function during head development results in a marked reduction in the E(spl) expression during eye-antennal imaginal disc development. The E(spl) reduced expression is particularly clear at the ocellar primordium as well as at the morphogenetic furrow (compare Figures 5c and 5d).

**DISCUSSION**

*hh signaling pathway mediates ocellar formation*

The involvement of the segment polarity gene hh in patterning the ocellar region is supported by both genetic and molecular evidence. hh is expressed in the ocellar region, overlapping with the expression domain of otd. Loss of hh activity eliminates the ocelli while ectopic hh expression generates ectopic medial structures at more lateral positions. hh is therefore necessary for the specification of the medial domain and is sufficient to direct more lateral regions of the dorsal head towards a medial fate. Further evidence indicated that hh interacts genetically with ci to form the ocellar region [35].

The ocellar phenotype associated with the loss of hh function can be explained as follows. Hh inhibits the
production of Ci75 and causes an elevation of the levels of Ci155 protein in thoracic discs. Loss of hh in the ocellar primordium might result in an upregulation of Ci75, therefore an ocelliless phenotype. Conversely, ectopic expression of hh in eye disc may cause a reduction of Ci75 level and an elevation of Ci155, either of which generates more ocelli. An exclusion of Ci75 from the ocellar primordium is also required for the normal ocellar formation as ectopic expression of Ci75 in the eye-antennal disc eliminates the ocelli (Figure 4d). Similarly, in wing disc, Hh has been shown to specify the A-cell affinity through a transcriptional response mediated by Ci [36, 37]. Segregation of A and P cells was shown to critically depend on the Ci155/Ci75 ratio found in several rows of A-cells next to the compartment boundary. In fact, A cells containing Ci75 intermingle better with P cells than cells that lack Ci suggesting that Ci75 modifies the A-cell affinity to resemble the P-cell affinity [38].

Although both Ci75 and Ci155 contain the zinc finger domain, previous analysis of their activities showed that they differently behave. Ci75 lacks a cytoplasmic tethering domain and is therefore located in the nucleus while Ci155 protein is mainly detected in the cytoplasm. Ci75 represses the expression of hh, ptc, and wg. Ci155 acts as a transactivator in embryos and turns on the expression of ptc and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in imaginal discs.

This report provides evidence that Ci75 is capable of producing a phenotype that is opposite to that produced by Ci155 in the same tissue. The Ci155 translation product forms a complex with the kinesin-related Costal2 and the Ser-Thr kinase Fused. This complex is retained in the cytosol through its tight binding to microtubules. In the absence of Hh signaling, release of Ci from this complex involves proteolytic processing to Ci75, which is translocated into the nucleus and behaves as a repressor. In Hh-receiving cells, signaling releases Ci155 from the complex, prevents its proteolytic processing, stimulates its maturation into a labile transcriptional activator, and promotes its nuclear import [39].

**N pathway is required for dorsal head development**

During *Drosophila* embryogenesis, *N* was the first gene shown to be necessary for partitioning of cell fates within the neurogenic region. Deletion of specific components of the N signaling pathway leads to neural hypertrophy. In addition, N is required for the specification of embryonic mesoderm. Absence of N during postembryonic
development has revealed multiple roles for N and its partners in the specification of various cell types. Adult viable mutations in N and other components of this signaling pathway affect the development of bristles, eyes, wings, veins, and legs. Studies of the expression of N and other components of the N signaling pathway are correlated well with their above roles during embryogenesis and larval development [40].

The function of the N signaling pathway in the compound eye portion of the eye disc and wing disc has been very well elucidated [41]. However, the role of N in the ocellar region has not been very well characterized. Loss-of-function experiment using the temperature-sensitive N allele (Nts) eliminates the ocellar cuticle separating the three ocelli, resulting in the formation of a single giant ocellus (Figure 5a). The intraocellar microchaetes, as well as one or both of the ocellar bristles, are eliminated in these flies. More lateral head structures, such as the postvertical bristles, are not affected. Consistent with previous reports, these flies also exhibit a loss of the wing margin, a rough eye phenotype, as well as supernumerary bristles.

The reduction of N function during larval development generally leads to changes in cell fate specification. This study, however, suggests that the loss of N in the ocellar region results in the loss of a population of cells instead of a cell fate change. Previous analysis of N in Drosophila and its related proteins in vertebrates indicated that the N signaling cascade may participate in the process of proliferation. There are at least three N-related genes in the mouse. Loss-of-function in one (Notch 1) of these alleles leads to widespread cell death in central and peripheral nervous systems [42].

This report demonstrates that (E(spl)), a downstream component of the N signaling pathway, is also expressed in the head vertex. Overexpression of this gene not only deletes all the ocelli-associated bristles but it also forms a smaller ocellus (Figure 5b). This functional role of E(spl) is consistent with its distinct pattern of expression in the ocellar primordium of the eye disc. At least three (m7, m8, and mβ) of the seven E(spl) bHLH genes are expressed in the precursor cells of the ocelli. Comparison of m7 expression with that of en shows that m7 is expressed in the epidermal precursor cells that separate the ocelli. This expression pattern of E(spl) is strongly disrupted by the elimination of hh function in this region (Figures 5c and 5d). Cross-talk between the Hh and N signaling pathways has been shown to be crucial for assigning overlapping

**Figure 5.** Regulation of E(spl) expression by hh. (a) and (b) Dorsal views of the head capsules of flies of the indicated genotypes. (c) and (d) Eye-antennal discs hybridized with a digoxigenin-labeled E(spl) probe. (a) Nts/Y fly head. The ocelli are fused (arrow) and the intracellular cuticle is lost. (b) A complete loss of bristles (arrow) was obtained in Gal4C591/+ and UAS-m7/+ flies. (c) In situ hybridization screening using m7 cDNA demonstrates the presence of m7 mRNA in ocellar primordium (arrow), morphogenetic furrow (arrowheads), and antennal disc. (d) Elimination of hh in hhts1/hhts1 eye imaginal discs abolishes E(spl) expression in the ocellar primordium (arrow) and significantly disrupts its expression in the morphogenetic furrow (arrowheads).
A/P positions to the L3 vein and associated sensory organs [43]. N and Hh signaling have also been shown to exist in a delicate balance to allow bristle and sensory organ differentiation along the adult wing margin [44]. Results presented here provide a new evidence that N signaling pathway is a new downstream target to the long list of genes that hh regulates during development [45]. Similarly, shh has been shown to act upstream of the N Pathway during arterial endothelial differentiation [46].
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