An Ontology to Standardize Research Output of Nutritional Epidemiology: From Paper-Based Standards to Linked Content
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Abstract: Background: The use of linked data in the Semantic Web is a promising approach to add value to nutrition research. An ontology, which defines the logical relationships between well-defined taxonomic terms, enables linking and harmonizing research output. To enable the description of domain-specific output in nutritional epidemiology, we propose the Ontology for Nutritional Epidemiology (ONE) according to authoritative guidance for nutritional epidemiology. Methods: Firstly, a scoping review was conducted to identify existing ontology terms for reuse in ONE. Secondly, existing data standards and reporting guidelines for nutritional epidemiology were converted into an ontology. The terms used in the standards were summarized and listed separately in a taxonomic hierarchy. Thirdly, the ontologies of the nutritional epidemiologic standards, reporting guidelines, and the core concepts were gathered in ONE. Three case studies were included to illustrate potential applications: (i) annotation of existing manuscripts and data, (ii) ontology-based inference, and (iii) estimation of reporting completeness in a sample of nine manuscripts. Results: Ontologies for “food and nutrition” (n = 37), “disease and specific population” (n = 100), “data description” (n = 21), “research description” (n = 35), and “supplementary (meta) data description” (n = 44) were reviewed and listed. ONE consists of 339 classes: 79 new classes to describe data and 24 new classes to describe the content of manuscripts. Conclusion: ONE is a resource to automate data integration, searching, and browsing, and can be used to assess reporting completeness in nutritional epidemiology.
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1. Introduction
Nutritional epidemiology provides evidence regarding the effects of human diets on health [1]. Unfortunately, most evidence is produced by short-term randomized trials or observational studies with small effect sizes [2]. Large-scale studies are time-consuming and demand substantial involvement of participants. Integrated analysis of shared data could increase the power of analysis and add considerable value to research [3]. However, due to the various descriptions of data and research output in nutritional epidemiology, retrieval and use of shared data is challenging. Reporting guidelines describe essential information for manuscripts and are potentially useful to standardize the description of research output [4].

An ontology framework developed from such guidelines enables a standardized method of data descriptions in Semantic Web [5,6]. An ontology consists of terms and their relationships to structure the description of shared data in the Semantic Web [7]. While a terminology defines the terms, an ontology defines the relationships between these terms to structure the description of shared data. Ontology terms and their relations are human-readable, but their electronic identifiers also enable computer processing such as inferencing and machine learning [8,9]. An introduction to ontology with simple examples was given by Noy and McGuinness [7].

Ontologies can contribute to make research output such as data, manuscripts, and study protocols findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable (FAIR) [10]. FAIR research output is now made mandatory by research funders such as the European Commission for the establishment of a European Open Science Cloud [11].

The development of a virtual research infrastructure to share research output with researchers, consumers, the public, and the private sector is a promising prospect for nutrition science [12]. Despite calls since 2007 [13], progress toward an ontology for nutritional epidemiology is limited. FoodOn was developed as a taxonomy for food classification and description [14], with subsequent identifiers in Langual and FoodEx2 [15,16]. Although generic ontologies such as the Ontology for Nutritional Studies [17] and Bionutrition Ontology [18] are available, none of these enable describing nutritional epidemiologic output.

We present the Ontology for Nutritional Epidemiology (ONE), as well as case studies to illustrate potential applications. The purpose of developing ONE was not to introduce a novel controlled vocabulary or terminology, but to define the relationships between (often existing) terms to describe nutritional epidemiology. ONE, hence, identifies relevant existing ontology terms and introduces a minimum of new terms.

ONE has three components: (1) “descriptors for nutritional epidemiologic data”: meta-data descriptions for nutritional epidemiologic data; (2) “STROBE-nut (strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology—an extension for nutritional epidemiology) items”: quality descriptors for reporting nutritional epidemiologic studies; and (3) “nutritional epidemiologic terms”: core nutritional epidemiologic concepts. ONE is registered on Bioportal (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONE), and is available on the STROBE-nut website (https://www.STROBE-nut.org) and Github (https://github.com/cyang0128/Nutritional-epidemiologic-ontologies).

The present study was conducted in the context of the European Nutritional Phenotype Assessment and Data Sharing Initiative, a collaborative effort of 16 multidisciplinary consortia from 50 research centers in nine countries, aiming to promote data sharing in nutrition.

To facilitate the reading of the article, a table of acronyms is presented (Table 1).

Table 1. Key concepts used in the manuscript.

| Concepts     | Descriptions                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FAIR [10]    | The “findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable” or FAIR data principles were launched in 2016 to guide data sharing. The FAIR principles are considered key to enhance and enable use of research data. |
| FoodOn [15]  | FoodOn is an ontology to represent knowledge of food in different domains, such as agriculture, medicine, food safety inspection, shopping patterns, sustainable development, etc. |
LanguaL and FoodEx2 are systems for food classification and enable describing, searching, and retrieving data related to food.

MeSH stands for “Medical Subject Headings”. It involves hierarchically organized terminology of biomedical information. MeSH is widely applied in National Library of Medicine (NLM) databases for information querying.

NCIT stands for the “National Cancer Institute’s Thesaurus”. It involves hierarchically organized terminology/ontology in the cancer domain.

STROBE-nut is an extension of the STROBE (strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology) reporting guideline, STROBE-nut (“nut” represents “nutritional epidemiology”) helps researchers to report nutritional epidemiologic research.

RDF stands for “resource description framework”, and is a standard to describe web resources.

2. Materials and Methods

A scoping review of existing ontology terms provided a basis for the development of ONE. Next, ONE was developed by converting paper-based standards [4,23,24] into an ontology representation, including a separate taxonomic hierarchy of specific nutritional epidemiologic terms. Finally, ONE was applied in three case studies to illustrate its potential applications.

2.1. Review and Summary of Existing Ontologies for Use in Nutritional Epidemiology

As a sub-discipline of epidemiology, nutritional epidemiology analyzes the relationship between dietary intake and health [25]. As an interdisciplinary science, nutritional epidemiology also requires knowledge from other disciplines such as nutrition, food science, medicine, etc. Instead of developing a new stand-alone ontology, we firstly considered existing ontologies in epidemiology [26], as well as the relevant disciplines, and then identified missing elements for nutritional epidemiology [13].

On 13 April 2018, all ontologies in the three main medical ontology libraries [27,28]—OBO Foundry (http://www.obofoundry.org/) [29], BioPortal (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/) [30], and Ontology Lookup Service (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/index) [31]—were reviewed by C.Y. and H.A. independently. On 26 May 2019, an update of the review was carried out to retrieve ontologies published between 13 April 2018 and 26 May 2019. Ontologies were included if their scope met part of the controlled vocabulary requirement of nutritional epidemiology, as shown in Table A1 (Appendix A).

A pre-established data extraction spreadsheet was used to list all ontologies for review. Three review rounds were conducted. During the first review round, the full names of all the ontologies were assessed. During the second review round, the short descriptions of the ontologies on their BioPortal homepage were reviewed. If the information from the descriptions was insufficient or in case of reviewer disagreement, ontologies were included in the next review round. Finally, during the third review round, the included terms and taxonomies of the ontologies were reviewed. Disagreements were resolved through discussion until a consensus was reached. In case some ontologies were inaccessible, information for these ontologies was reviewed in relevant publications or web pages.

The FAIR principles provide essential guidance to search and integrate data at the individual and meta-level. The required types of controlled vocabulary to achieve FAIR principles in nutritional epidemiology were summarized (Table A1, Appendix), and the ontologies were classified accordingly. A quality assessment of the selected ontologies was conducted using the modules by Burton-Jones et al. [32]. Minor changes were made to present the quality of multiple medical ontologies. On 16 May 2018, statistics were collected through BioPortal (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/), Agroportal (http://agroportal.lirmm.fr/), and Ontobee (http://www.ontobee.org/).
2.2. Development of ONE

The ontology is represented in the resource description framework (RDF) format [33] and edited using the default text editor of Microsoft Windows 7. A quality assessment of ONE was conducted as proposed by Burton-Jones, Storey, Sugumaran, and Ahluwalia [32]. The relevance, authority, and history module were not assessed, however, as they require data collection after publishing the ontology.

2.2.1. Existing Data Standards in Nutritional Epidemiology

The terms of two existing standards for nutrition research (i.e., minimal meta-data descriptors [23] and data quality descriptors [24]) were represented in ONE. The ontology terms were grouped as “descriptors for nutritional epidemiologic data”.

In case certain terms were found in more than one ontology, the term with the definition that best described the intended term was selected by a domain expert. When no exact terms were found in the selected ontologies, a synonym term was obtained from a domain expert if the definition was suitable.

However, if the exact term or the synonym could not be retrieved from existing ontologies, a new electronic identifier was attributed: (1) for terms only used in nutritional epidemiologic research, the identifier “one:nexxxxx” (xxxxx = five digits) was assigned, where “one” represents “ontology for nutritional epidemiology”, and “ne” represents “only used in nutritional epidemiology” (e.g., identifier for “dietary assessment administration”: one:ne00057); (2) for other terms that can also be used in other subjects, identifier “one:Txxxxx” (xxxxxx = five digits) was assigned, where “one” represents “ontology for nutritional epidemiology” and “T” represents “temporary” (e.g., identifier for “food composition table”: one:T00027). Terms indicated with “T” should, hence, be developed in their corresponding domain ontology. The list of temporary terms will be reviewed on a regular basis and updated where needed.

2.2.2. Reporting Guidelines in Nutritional Epidemiology

The “strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology” (STROBE) reporting guidelines for nutritional epidemiology [4] were used as the basis to develop the ontology for reporting of nutritional epidemiology. The collection of ontology terms is allocated under the term “STROBE-nut items” in ONE. For the STROBE-nut reporting items (e.g., title, abstract, etc.), electronic identifier “one:reportxxxxx” (xxxxx = five digits) was given, where “one” represents “ontology for nutritional epidemiology”, and “report” represents “reporting items” (e.g., identifier for “title”: one:report00001); for the STROBE-nut recommendations, identifier “one:report/nut-x” (x = one digit) was assigned, where “one” represents “ontology for nutritional epidemiology”, and “report/nut-x” represents “the STROBE-nut recommendations for reporting on items” (e.g., identifier for “STROBE-nut recommendation 1”: one:report/nut-1).

2.2.3. Nutritional Epidemiologic Terms

The term “nutritional epidemiologic terms” (electronic identifier: one:terms) was used to group the specific nutritional epidemiologic terms summarized from the standard descriptions during the previous steps. The taxonomy presents terms to describe the core concepts, study design, and data measurement characteristics of nutritional epidemiology. However, those terms do not cover generic information to report research, such as study name, study duration, study area, etc. Terms used for generic study information, however, are considered part of the minimal data requirements and quality descriptors, and were, hence, mainly retrieved from other existing ontologies.

2.3. Applications of ONE

ONE was applied in three case studies to illustrate its potential applications: (i) study annotation and term query, (ii) ontology-based inference, and (iii) estimation of reporting completeness in a sample of nine manuscripts.
Firstly, an existing manuscript [34] and one of its corresponding datasets were annotated manually using ONE terms (Syntax available on Bioportal). Terms from other ontologies were also used to annotate nutrition information that was not related to nutrition (e.g., geography, season, etc.).

Secondly, the potential ontology-based inference was described. Inference on the basis of the taxonomy of terms can significantly improve the quality of data search and integration. Three terms used to annotate the manuscripts were selected for this case study [34]. By showing partial taxonomic hierarchies of the three terms, we explained how to infer unknown information from available information.

Thirdly, an assessment of reporting completeness was conducted using ONE, similar to the ontology-based meta-analyses by Kupershmidt et al. [35] and Ramaprasad and Syn [36]. A convenient sample of nine published manuscripts [37–45] was manually annotated using STROBE-nut terms of ONE for this purpose. By querying the electronic identifiers of STROBE-nut terms, the reporting frequencies of STROBE-nut terms were obtained. The hierarchies of STROBE-nut terms and one annotated manuscript were compared to illustrate where STROBE-nut terms were reported in the manuscript.

3. Results

3.1. Review and Summary of Existing Ontology Vocabulary for Use in Nutritional Epidemiology

In total, 1146 ontologies were retrieved, of which 237 were selected and classified according to their scope (Figure 1). As shown in Table A2 (Appendix A), 158 ontologies were selected to annotate data (33 ontologies for food/dietary agricultural products, four ontologies for nutrients/chemical compounds, 100 ontologies for disease and specific population (e.g., student health record ontology), and 21 ontologies for data management), and 35 were selected for metadata annotation (35 ontologies for research terminology and no ontology for metadata representation). There were also 44 ontologies to describe supplementary (meta) data (e.g., ethical issues, demographics, fundamental ontology knowledge frameworks, etc.). Among the ontologies found, no ontology was developed as a frame (e.g., guidance and guidelines) to present meta-data in nutritional epidemiologic information.
The quality assessment (Figure A1-a) shows that 14% of the selected ontologies had less than 100 terms. Most of the selected ontologies (65%) had 101–10,000 terms, while 15% of the selected ontologies had more than 10,000 terms. The richness module (Figure A1-b) shows that 15% of the selected ontologies had no properties, 23% of the selected ontologies had 1–10 properties, and 55% of the selected ontologies had more than 10 properties, including 14% of the selected ontologies with over 100 properties. Figures A1-c,d indicate that 25% of the terms had no definitions, and 94% of the selected ontologies were not peer-reviewed. The lawfulness module (Figure A1-e), authority module (Figure A1-f), and history module (Figure A1-g) represent the practicality of the selected ontologies. Only 2% of the selected ontologies were inaccessible due to error ontology files (Figure A1-e). Only 8% of the selected ontologies were not mapped, while 20% of the selected ontologies were made of more than 300 mapped ontologies (Figure A1-f). Less than half (47%) of the selected ontologies were visited less than 10 times per month (Figure A1-g).
3.2. Development of ONE

The structure of ONE is shown in Figure 2, and a quality description is included in Table A3 (Appendix A). ONE consists of 339 classes. It reuses classes from 22 existing ontologies, where the main referred medical ontologies are NCIT (National Cancer Institute Thesaurus, 43 classes) and MeSH (Medical Subject Headings, 33 classes). ONE proposes 79 new classes to describe nutrition data and 24 new classes to describe the content of manuscript.

Figure 2. The overall structure of the ontology for nutritional epidemiology (ONE).

The electronic identifiers of terms are written after the corresponding terms. The electronic identifiers (e.g., NCIT:C94729) consist of two parts: (1) an ontology acronym (e.g., “NCIT” is the acronym of “ontology for National Cancer Institute Thesaurus”), and (2) a code of the term in the ontology (e.g., C94729 is code of “season” in NCIT ontology).

3.2.1. Existing Data Standards in Nutritional Epidemiology

The main taxonomies of the minimal data requirements and data quality descriptors are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The collection of ontology terms is reported in Tables A8 and A9 (Appendix A), respectively. Recommendations for generic terms that could not be found in existing ontologies of other subjects are indicated as footnotes of Tables A8 and A9 (Appendix A).

Figure 3. The ontology taxonomy of minimal data requirements.
3.2.2. STROBE-Nut Reporting Guidelines in Nutritional Epidemiology

For the collection of ontology terms for STROBE-nut reporting guidelines, the STROBE reporting items (e.g., title, abstract, etc.) were used as a taxonomic hierarchy of terms. The specific STROBE-nut recommendations were arranged under their corresponding STROBE reporting items (Figure 5).

3.2.3. Nutritional Epidemiologic Terms

Nutritional epidemiologic terms and their taxonomic hierarchy are shown in Table 2. All the terms were arranged according to the relevant descriptors listed in the minimal data requirements [23] and data quality descriptors [24]. The terms at the first hierarchy level are the descriptors (i.e., nutritional epidemiologic terms), while the terms at the second and third hierarchy levels are the options of descriptors (i.e., terms with more specific descriptions used for specific conditions). The taxonomic hierarchy also includes relevant terms of other ontologies. The present ontology has
Table 2. Hierarchical structure of nutritional epidemiologic terms.

| 1st hierarchy level | 2nd hierarchy level | 3rd hierarchy level |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Dietary assessment tool (one:ne00001) | Dietary records (one:ne00002) | Dietary record: short term (one:00042) |
|                      |                     | Dietary record: long term weighted (>7 days) (one:ne00043) |
|                      |                     | Dietary records: PDA (Personal Digital Assistant) technologies (one:ne00007) |
|                      | 24-hour recall (one:ne00003) | Dietary records: mobile phone-based technologies (one:ne00008) |
|                      |                     | Dietary records: camera recorder-based technologies (one:ne00009) |
|                      |                     | Dietary records: tape recorder-based technologies (one:ne00010) |
|                      | Screener (one:ne00004) | Screener: Interactive computer-based technologies (one:ne00013) |
|                      |                     | Screener: Interactive web-based technologies (one:ne00014) |
|                      |                     | Screener: qualitative (only frequency) (one:ne00015) |
|                      |                     | Screener: semi-quantitative (one:ne00016) |
|                      |                     | Screener: quantitative (one:ne00017) |
|                      | Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) (one:ne00005) | FFQ: interactive computer-based technologies (one:ne00018) |
|                      |                     | FFQ: interactive web-based technologies (one:ne00019) |
|                      |                     | FFQ: qualitative (only frequency) (one:ne00020) |
|                      |                     | FFQ: semi-quantitative (one:ne00021) |
|                      |                     | FFQ: quantitative (one:ne00022) |
|                      | Diet history (one:ne00006) | |
| 1st hierarchy level                                                                 | 2nd hierarchy level                                                                 | 3rd hierarchy level                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dietary intake data (one:ne00023)                                                 | Unadjusted data (preferred option)                                                   | (one:ne00024)                                                                        |
|                                                                                   | Adjusted data for total energy intake using density method (one:ne00025)             |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Adjusted data for total energy intake using residual method (one:ne00026)            |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Estimates of usual intake from short-term measurements (one:ne00027)                 |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Proxy-administered (one:ne00029)                                                    |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Self-administered not verified by interviewer (one:ne00030)                         |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Self-administered and checked by interviewer (one:ne00031)                          |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Interview-administered (one:ne00032)                                                |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Interview-administered using AMPM (Automated Multiple Pass Method) (one:ne00033)   |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Self-developed questionnaires (one:ne00035)                                          |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Use of standardized questionnaire (one:ne00036)                                       |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Adopted other questionnaires (one:ne00037)                                          |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Verified content validity in another population (one:ne00039)                        |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Verified content validity in a comparable population in terms of both age and dietary habits (one:ne00040) |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Dietary assessment questionnaire validation (one:ne00041)                            |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Dietary assessment methods (one:ne00001)                                             |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Objective methods (one:ne00044)                                                     |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Biomarker of dietary intake (one:ne00045)                                           |                                                                                    |
| Validated information (OBI_0302838)                                              | Properties of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00047)                        |                                                                                    |
| Validated information of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00046)           | Frequency options to identify between-person variations (one:ne00048)                |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Food items lead to underestimated target nutrients intake (one:ne00049)              |                                                                                    |
| Validation type for dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00050)                | Concurrent validity (OBCS_0000160)                                                  |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Inter-rater reliability (NCIT_C78688)                                               |                                                                                    |
| Quantification of portion sizes (one:ne00051)                                     | Not quantified (one:ne00052)                                                        |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Standard portion sizes without aids (one:ne00053)                                    |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Standard portion sizes with aids (one:ne00054)                                       |                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   | Portion sizes are assessed digitally but not verified by trained staff               |                                                                                    |
| 1st hierarchy level                                             | 2nd hierarchy level                              | 3rd hierarchy level                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Portion sizes are assessed digitally and verified by trained staff (or packaging) (one:ne00056) | Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057) | Directly expressed portion size (one:ne00058)                                                                 |
|                                                               |                                                   | Converted portion size (one:ne00059)                                                                         |
|                                                               |                                                   | Unconverted portion size (one:ne00060)                                                                        |
| Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:ne00060) |                                                   | Exact matching (one:ne00061)                                                                                  |
|                                                               |                                                   | Matched to means of min. 3 food items (one:ne00062)                                                         |
|                                                               |                                                   | Matched to same food items with similar moisture content (one:ne00063)                                      |
|                                                               |                                                   | Matched to a different food (one:ne00064)                                                                    |
| Representativeness of the week/weekend days (one:ne00065)     |                                                   | Percentage in xsd:decimal                                                                                   |
|                                                               |                                                   | Weekend (NCIT_C137684)                                                                                       |
|                                                               |                                                   | Weekday (NCIT_C86936)                                                                                        |
| Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066) |                                                   | xsd:integer                                                                                                  |
| Selection of recall/measurement days (one:ne00067)             |                                                   | Convenience selection (one:ne00068)                                                                          |
|                                                               |                                                   | Consecutive days (one:ne00069)                                                                               |
|                                                               |                                                   | Non-consecutive, non-random days (one:ne00070)                                                               |
|                                                               |                                                   | Randomly over the week (one:ne00071)                                                                         |
| The time of diet records (one:ne00072)                        |                                                   | Not during eating occasions nor immediately after (one:ne00073)                                               |
|                                                               |                                                   | Immediately after eating occasion (one:ne00074)                                                              |
|                                                               |                                                   | During eating occasion (one:ne00075)                                                                         |
| Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                       |                                                   | Food quantification method tailored to the characteristics of the population (one:ne00077)                   |
|                                                               |                                                   | Food quantification method not specifically tailored to the characteristics of the population (one:ne00078)    |

### 3.3. Application of ONE

#### 3.3.1. Case Study 1: Study Annotation and Term Query

The annotations for a manuscript [34] and its dataset collected in Cameroon [46] are presented in Tables A4 and A5 (Appendix A), respectively. Using ONE terms (e.g., “study name”, “study
objective”, “study population”, etc.) to link the manuscript/dataset to its meta-data, the manuscript/dataset is annotated according to the data standards and STROBE-nut reporting guidelines included in ONE. Applying ONE avoids confusion when annotating the manuscript and dataset since all term definitions become available. This facilitates the correct understanding by annotators and users of annotated manuscripts and datasets.

3.3.2. Case Study 2: Ontology-Based Inference

Using the annotation in case study 1, the potential for ontology-based inferencing is presented in Table A6 (Appendix A). Using “country”, “study”, and “method” as relationships between the manuscript and its meta-data, the manuscript is annotated as “a cross-sectional study collecting data in Cameroon by 24-hour recall method”. The annotation is inferred to a more generic annotation through the taxonomies of terms in the United States National Library of Medicine Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and ONE ontology. The upper level terms of “MeSH:D002163”, “MeSH:D03430”, and “one:ne00003” are “MeSH:D000350”, “MeSH:D016021”, and “one:ne00001” (second column), respectively. According to the labels of the three upper level terms, the inferred information (third column) is obtained: “this is an epidemiologic study collecting data in Central Africa by dietary assessment method”. The ontology inference now enables integration and a wider search of data. For example, when searching information annotated for “Central Africa”, the present data from “Cameroon” are identifiable.

3.3.3. Case Study 3: Estimation of Reporting Completeness in a Sample of Nine Manuscripts

The STROBE-nut annotation of nine manuscripts is added under ONE class “case studies: study description” [47]. Table A7-a (Appendix A) counts the number of STROBE-nut items described in each manuscript, while Table A7-b (Appendix A) reports the frequency of each STROBE-nut item reported in the nine manuscripts. Additional details on the hierarchy of annotation is available in Table A7-c (Appendix A). For instance, the study by Mills, Brown, Wrieden, White, and Adams [37] indicates three STROBE-nut items (i.e., Nut-13, Nut-14, and Nut-16) that were reported in the “methods section”, instead of the “results section” of manuscripts as recommended by STROBE-nut.

4. Discussion

We reviewed existing ontologies to identify terms for annotating nutritional epidemiologic research output. Ontology terms were collected to describe the minimal information needed to annotate and link research outputs such as data, manuscript, and study protocols to facilitate study identification, retrieval, integration, and reuse.

To date, an ontology for study level description in nutrition epidemiology is missing. The present work adds value to the Cochrane PICO (i.e., patient, population, or problem; intervention, comparison, and outcome) ontology [5], which is being developed to formulate research questions, and search and characterize clinical studies, as well as meta-analyses. ONE complements the work of GODAN (Global Open Data for Agriculture and Nutrition) [48], LanguaL [16], and FoodEx2 [14] initiatives, which focused on food items and their properties. Moreover, ONE can be considered as an extension of the Epidemiology Ontology (EPO) that summarizes the features of generic epidemiologic studies [26,49].

To our knowledge, it is the first time that an ontology is developed based on manuscript reporting guidelines such as STROBE-nut [50]. Reporting guidelines are widely applied and endorsed by journals as tools to improve completeness of reporting in biomedical research, to enable easier searching, filtering, and navigation of research findings for further policy, practice, or research [51,52]. However, reporting guidelines remain a paper-based initiative. The conversion into a machine-readable representation could expand the use of reporting guidelines to searching and inferring of information. Converting other research reporting guidelines such as CONSORT (CONsolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) [53] or PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) [54] into ontologies would significantly improve the scope
of their application. For instance, assessment of reporting completeness remains a manual and ad hoc exercise and was only attempted in a handful of cases [55–57]. The application of ontologies could potentially be used for automatic monitoring of reporting completeness of manuscripts. It would enable identification of frequently and rarely reported STROBE-nut items and where they are applied in the manuscripts and, as such, provide insights to update the standards [58]. Other potential applications of ontologies for research output include the monitoring of trends in research and identification of neglected areas, as shown in the use of the gene ontology for genetic research [59]. Similar applications are useful for recommendations on minimal data requirements and data quality descriptions.

To update ONE, automated processes will be required [13]. Ontology learning, a process where machines are taught by humans how to build ontologies from text, provides useful prospects in this regard [60]. Ontology learning from text was demonstrated earlier [61]. For instance, Arguello Casteleiro et al. [62] applied deep learning to extract a cardiovascular disease ontology from biomedical literature. However, considerable technical challenges remain, and sustained effort by nutritionists and machine learning expertise will be required.

Development of user-friendly applications of ontology-based annotation will be required to apply ONE and minimize the burden of ongoing work by researchers. To date, most researchers in nutritional epidemiology are unfamiliar with ontologies. Further ontology development in nutritional epidemiology will, therefore, require the contribution of researchers working in multiple research areas. Additional training and capacity-building efforts are needed to ensure uptake and ownership by the nutrition research community. Ad hoc training sessions were organized previously [63], but will require further development and integration in academic curricula.

The strength of the current work is the use of existing standards and recommendations that are developed for nutrition research [51,64]. Those standards are developed by and used in the nutrition research community and ensure validity of ONE in the wider research community. Existing ontologies were reviewed as a preparation to convert existing standards into an ontology. As such, the review is a useful resource for researchers and ontology developers in nutritional epidemiology. However, some of the reviewed ontologies did not contain terms that were essential for ONE and consequent ontology-based inferring.

The existing ontologies reviewed, including ONE, are not yet sufficient to annotate all aspects of nutritional epidemiology. For example, an ontology to connect dietary intake data to food nutrition composition data based on international/local food composition tables is still missing. Meanwhile, ontologies for other reporting guidelines such as CONSORT [53] and PRISMA [54] would facilitate the reporting of findings from other types of research. To enable ontology applications in nutritional epidemiology, additional contributions are required from researchers working on multiple research areas. In addition, four reviewed ontologies (Randomized Controlled Trials Ontology (RCTONT) [65], Non-Randomized Controlled Trials Ontology (NONRCTO) [66], Immune Disorder Ontology (IMMDIS) [67], and Neglected Tropical Disease Ontology (NTDO) [68]) contained errors in the formats and could not be assessed. Identifying these data gaps is hopefully an incentive to address the missing elements.

5. Conclusions

To conclude, this study introduced a comprehensive ontology for reporting nutritional epidemiologic studies and data. When applied at scale, application of ONE could enable monitoring of reporting completeness of nutritional epidemiology in the biomedical literature. Ultimately, the generated ontologies should be integrated with other linked data and applied in data collection tools, text editors, journal submission systems, or data repositories for convenient and scalable search, quality checking, etc.
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**Appendix A**

![Graphs and diagrams showing the distribution of values for different modules:](image)

(a) Comprehensibility module: values are the sum of terms per ontology

(b) Richness module: values are the sum of properties per ontology

(c) Interpretability & clarity module: values are the number of terms with/without definition

(d) Consistency & accuracy module: reviewed ontologies

(e) Lawfulness module: values are the percentage of runnable ontology files

(f) Authority module: values are the number of mapped ontologies of each ontology

(g) History module: values are average visits/month of each ontology

**Figure A1.** Quality characteristics of selected ontologies for nutritional epidemiology.

**Table A1.** Scope of controlled vocabulary in nutritional epidemiology to achieve the FAIR principle.
Table A2. Classification of selected ontologies according to the scope of ONE (complete list).

| FAIR Principle | Requires Controlled Vocabulary on Data Level | Metadata Level | Applications |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Findable       | Food, nutrients, disease and specific population, supplementary data, data management | Research terminology, metadata representation, supplementary metadata | Data search |
| Reusable       |                                             |                | Data integration |

**Food and Nutrient (n = 37)**

**Food/dietary agricultural product (n = 33):**
- Barley Trait Dictionary ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_323);
- Brassica ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_348);
- Cassava ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_334);
- Castor bean ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_347);
- Chickpea ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_338);
- Common bean ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_335);
- Cowpea ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_340);
- Fish ontology (FISHO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FISHO);
- Groundnut ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_337);
- Lentil ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_339);
- Livestock Product Trait Ontology (LPT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/LPT);
- Maize ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_322);
- Mung bean ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_346);
- Natural Products Ontology (NATPRO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NATPRO);
- Oat ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_350);
- Pearl millet ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_327);
- Pigeon pea ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_341);
- Potato ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_330);
- Rice ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_320);
- Sorghum ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_324);
- Soy Ontology (SOY) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SOY);
- Soybean ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_336);
- Sugar Kelp trait ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_360);
- Sweet Potato ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_331);
- Vitis ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_356);
- Wheat ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_321);
- Yam ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_343);
- FoodOn (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FOODON);
- OntoFood (OF) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OF);
- Sunflower ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_359);
- Fababean ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/co_365);
- ISO-FOOD ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ISO-FOOD);
- Food Matrix for Predictive Microbiology (FMPM) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FMPM).

**Nutrients/chemical compounds (n = 4):**
- Amino Acid Ontology (AMINO-ACID) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/AMINO-ACID);
- Lipid Ontology (LIPRO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/LIPRO);
- Protein Ontology (PR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PR);
- Chemical Entities of Biological Interest (ChEBI) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CHEBI).

**Disease and Specific population (n = 100)**
Computer Assisted Brain Injury Rehabilitation Ontology (CABRO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CABRO);
Computer-Based Patient Record Ontology (CPRO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CPRO);
Allergy Detector II (ALLERGYDETECTOR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ALLERGYDETECTOR);
Alzheimer's disease ontology (ADO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ADO);
Asthma Ontology (AO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/AO);
Autism DSM-ADI-R (Manual of Mental Disorders criteria based on subjects' Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised) ontology (ADAR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ADAR);
Bilingual Ontology of Alzheimer's Disease and Related Diseases (ONTOAD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTOAD);
BioMedBridges Diabetes Ontology (DIAB) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DIAB);
Breeding History Phenotype Ontology (BHO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BHO);
Breast Cancer Grading Ontology (BCGO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BCGO);
Cancer Research and Management ACGT (Advancing Clinico-Genomic Trials) Master Ontology (ACGT-MO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ACGT-MO);
Cardiovascular Disease Ontology (www.obofoundry.org/ontology/cvdo.html);
Chronic Kidney Disease Ontology (CKDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CKDO);
Cigarette Smoke Exposure Ontology (CSEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CSEO);
Congenital Heart Defects Ontology (CHD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CHD);
COPD Ontology (COPDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/COPDO);
Dengue Fever Ontology (IDODEN) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IDODEN);
Dermatology Lexicon (DERMLEX) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DERMLEX);
Diabetes Mellitus Diagnosis Ontology (DDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DDO);
Diabetes Mellitus Treatment Ontology (DMTO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DMTO);
Diagnosis Ontology of Clinical Care Classification (DOCCC) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DOCCC);
Diagnostic Ontology (DIAGONT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DIAGONT);
Disease core ontology applied to Rare Diseases (HRDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HRDO);
Disorders cluster (APADISORDERS) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/APADISORDERS);
Dispedia Core Ontology (DCO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCO);
Eligibility Feature Hierarchy (ELIG) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ELIG);
EmpowerBP (EBP) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EBP);
Environment Ontology (ENVO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ENVO);
Epilepsy and Seizure Ontology (EPSO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EPSO);
Family Health History Ontology (FHHO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FHHO);
Fanconi Anemia Ontology (IFAR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IFAR);
Glioblastoma (GBM) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/GBM);
Health Level Seven Reference Implementation Model, Version 3 (HL7) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HL7);
Heart Failure Ontology (HFO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HFO);
HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Viruses) ontology (HIV) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HIV);
Holistic Ontology of Rare Diseases (HORD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HORD);
Human Dermatological Disease Ontology (DERMO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DERMO);
Infectious Disease Ontology (IDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IDO);
Influenza Ontology (FLU) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FLU);
International Classification of Wellness (ICW) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICW);
Malaria Ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IDOMAL);
Mental Functioning Ontology (MF) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MF);
MFO (Mental Functioning Ontology)-Mental Disease Ontology (MFOMD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MFOMD);
Monarch Disease Ontology (MONDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MONDO);
Multiple sclerosis ontology (MSO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MSO);
National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale Ontology (NIHSS) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NIHSS);
NCCN EHR (National Comprehensive Cancer Network-Electronic Health Record) Oncology Categories (NCCNEHR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NCCNEHR);
Neomark Oral Cancer Ontology, version 3 (NEOMARK3) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NEOMARK3);
Neomark Oral Cancer Ontology, version 4 (NEOMARK4) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NEOMARK4);
Obstetric and Neonatal Ontology (ONTONEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTONEO);
Ontological Knowledge Base Model for Cystic Fibrosis (ONTOKBCF) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTOKBCF);
Ontology for BioBanking (OBIB) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBIB);
Ontology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, social module (ONTOPARON_SOCIAL) (https://purl.bioontology.org/ontology/ONTOPARON_SOCIAL);
Ontology of Craniofacial Development and Malformation (OCDM) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OCDM);
Ontology of Glucose Metabolism Disorder (OGMD) (https://www.bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OGMD);
Ontology of Pneumology (ONTOPNEUMO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTOPNEUMO);
Orphanet Rare Disease Ontology (ORDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ORDO);
Parkinson’s Disease Ontology (PDON) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PDON);
Pathogenic Disease Ontology (PDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PDO);
Pre-eclampsia Ontology (PE-O) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PE-O);
Pulmonary Embolism Ontology (PE) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PE);
RegenBase ontology (RB) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RB);
Sickle Cell Disease Ontology (SCDO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SCDO);
Spinal Cord Injury Ontology (SCI0) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SCI0);
The Oral Health and Disease Ontology (OHD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OHD);
Anthrlogy of Biosurveillance Diseases (ABD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ABD);
Children’s Health Exposure Analysis Resource (CHEAR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CHEAR);
Codificacion De Enfermedades Pediatricas (En Edicion) (CEI_10) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CEI_10);
Human Disease Ontology (DOID) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DOID);
International Classification of Diseases, Version 10 - Clinical Modification (ICD10CM)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICD10CM);
International Classification of Diseases, Version 10—Procedure Coding System (ICD10PCS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICD10PCS);
International Classification of Diseases, Version 10 (ICD10)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICD10);
International Classification of Diseases, Version 9 - Clinical Modification (ICD9CM)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICD9CM);
International Classification of External Causes of Injuries (ICECI)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICECI);
International Classification of Primary Care - 2 PLUS (ICPC2P)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICPC2P);
International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICPC);
International Classification of Wellness (ICW)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICW);
Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OMIM);
Regional Healthcare System Interoperability and Information Exchange Measurement Ontology (HEIO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HEIO);
STO (Stroke Ontology)  (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CVAO);
Student Health Record Ontology (SHR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SHR);
Symptom Ontology (SYMP) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SYMP);
Taxonomy for Rehabilitation of Knee Conditions (TRAK)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/TRAK);
Upper-Level Cancer Ontology (CANONT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CANONT);
Interlinking Ontology for Biological Concepts (IOBC)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IOBC);
Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis Ontology (HP_O)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HP_O);
FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) and SSN (Semantic Sensor Network)-based
Type 1 diabetes Ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/FASTO);
HPO-ORDO (Human Phenotype Ontology- Orphanet Rare Disease Ontology) Ontological Module (HOOM)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HOOM);
Neurodegenerative Disease Data Ontology (NDDO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NDDO);
Illness and Injury (ILLNESSINJURY)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ILLNESSINJURY);
HIVMutation ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HIVMT);
Ontology of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, all modules (ONTOPARON)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTOPARON);
Alzheimer Disease Map Ontology (ADMO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ADMO);
International Classification of Diseases Ontology (ICDO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICDO);
The Stroke Ontology (STO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/STO-DRAFT);
Breast Cancer Staging 7 (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BCS7);
Breast Cancer Staging 8 (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BCS8);
An ontology for patient adherence modeling in physical activity domain (OPTIMAL)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OPTIMAL);
Immune Disorder Ontology (IMMDIS) (inaccessible) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IMMDIS);
Neglected Tropical Disease Ontology (NTDO) (inaccessible) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NTDO).

### Data management \((n = 21)\)

- Bioinformatics operations, data types, formats, identifiers and topics (EDAM) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EDAM);
- Comparative Data Analysis Ontology (CDAO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CDAO);
- Computer Retrieval of Information on Scientific Projects Thesaurus (CRISP) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CRISP);
- Mathematical modeling ontology (MAMO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MAMO);
- Ontology of Core Data Mining Entities (ONTODM-CORE) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTODM-CORE);
- Ontology of Data Mining Investigations (ONTODM-KDD) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTODM-KDD);
- Confidence Information Ontology (CIO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CIO);
- Data Collection Ontology (GDCO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/GDCO);
- SMASH (Semantic Mining of Activity, Social, and Health data) Ontology (SMASH) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SMASH);
- The Data Use Ontology (DUO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DUO);
- The Statistical Methods Ontology (STATO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/STATO);
- APA (American Psychological Association) Statistical Cluster (APASTATISTICAL) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/APASTATISTICAL);
- Biomedical Informatics Research Network Project Lexicon (BIRNLEX) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BIRNLEX);
- Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCAT);
- Image and Data Quality Assessment Ontology (IDQA) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/projects/IDQA);
- Ontology of Biological and Clinical Statistics (OBCS) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBCS);
- Probability Distribution Ontology (PROBONTO) (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/probonoto);
- Quantities, Units, Dimensions, and Types Ontology (QUDT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/QUDT);
- Reference Data Library Ontology (RDL) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RDL);
- schema.org (SCHEMA) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SCHEMA);
- Semantic DICOM Ontology (SEDI) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SEDI)

### Research terminology \((n = 35)\)

- Bionutrition Ontology (BNO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BNO);
- Clinical Measurement Ontology (CMO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CMO);
- Clinical Signs and Symptoms Ontology (CSSO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CSSO);
- Clinical Study Ontology (CSO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CSO);
- Clinical Trials Ontology (CTO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CTO);
- EDDA (the Evidence in Documents, Discovery, and Analytics) Study Designs Taxonomy (EDDA) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EDDA);
- Epidemiology Ontology (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols/ontologies/epo);
- Mass spectrometry ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MS);
Non-Pharmacological Interventions (NPIs/NPI)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NPI);
Ontology for Nutritional Studies (ONS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONS);
Ontology of Clinical Research (OCRE)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OCRE);
SMART Protocols (SeMAntic RepresenTation for Protocols) (SP)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SP);
Biomedical Research Integrated Domain Group Model (BRIDG)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BRIDG);
Biomedical Resource Ontology (BRO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BRO);
Biomedical Topics (BMT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BMT);
Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CPT);
eagle-i resource ontology (ERO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ERO);
Experimental Conditions Ontology (XCO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/XCO);
Experimental Factor Ontology (EFO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EFO);
Medical Subject Headings (MESH)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MESH);
MedlinePlus Health Topics (MEDLINEPLUS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/MEDLINEPLUS);
National Cancer Institute Thesaurus (NCIT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NCIT);
Ontology for Biomedical Investigation
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBI);
Ontology for General Medical Science (OGMS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OGMS);
Read Clinical Terminology Version 2 (RCTV2)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RCTV2);
Robert Hoehndorf Version of MeSH (RH-MESH)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RH-MESH);
SNOMED (trading name of “International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization”) - CT (Clinical Terminology) (SNOMEDCT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SNOMEDCT);
Read Codes, Clinical Terms Version 3 (CTV3) (RCD)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RCD);
CARRE (Personalized patient empowerment and shared decision support for cardiorenal disease and comorbidities) Risk Factor ontology (CARRE)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CARRE);
Physical Activity Ontology (PACO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PACO);
Apollo Structured Vocabulary (Apollo-SV)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/APOLLO-SV);
Health Surveillance Ontology (HSO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/HSO);
Ontology of Physical Exercises (OPE)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OPE);
Randomized Controlled Trials Ontology (RCTONT)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/RCTONT) (inaccessible);
Non-Randomized Controlled Trials Ontology (NONRCTO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NONRCTO) (inaccessible).

| Metadata representation (n = 0) |
|-------------------------------|
| Supplementary (meta)data (n = 44) |

| VIVO Ontology for Researcher Discovery (VIVO) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Human Ancestry Ontology (HANCESTRO) |
| APA Occupational and Employment cluster (APAOCUEMPLOY) |
| EDDA (the Evidence in Documents, Discovery, and Analytics) Publication Types Taxonomy (EDDA_PT) |
Ethnicity Ontology (EO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EO);
Geographical Entity Ontology (GEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/GEO);
Informed Consent Ontology (ICO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ICO);
Ontology of Geographical Region (OGR) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OGR);
Provenance Ontology (PROVO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PROVO);
Scientific Evidence and Provenance Information Ontology (SEPIO)
(www.obofoundry.org/ontology/sepio.html);
Time Event Ontology (TEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/projects/TEO);
BioPortal Metadata Ontology (BP-METADATA)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BP-METADATA);
Evidence and Conclusion Ontology (ECO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ECO);
Gazetteer (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ECO);
OBO (The Open Biological and Biomedical Ontology) Relations Ontology
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OBOREL);
Ontology Metadata Vocabulary (OMV) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OMV);
Ontology of Medically Related Social Entities (OMRSE)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OMRSE);
Provenance, Authoring and Versioning (PAV)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PAV);
PLOS (Public Library of Science) Thesaurus (PLOSTHES)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PLOSTHES);
Population and Community Ontology (PCO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PCO);
Role Ontology (ROLEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ROLEO);
Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BFO);
BIBFRAME 2.0 (BIBFRAME) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/BIBFRAME);
CEDAR (Children Experiencing Domestic Abuse Recovery) Value Sets (CEDARVS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/CEDARVS);
Contributor Role Ontology (ROLEO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ROLEO);
DC (Dublin Core) Terms (DCT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCT);
DCMI (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative) Metadata Terms: properties in/terms/namespace
(DCTERMS) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/dcterms);
DCMI Terms (DCMI) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCMI);
DCMI Type Vocabulary (DCMITYPE)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCMITYPE);
Dublin Core (DC) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DC);
Dublin Core Collection Description Frequency Vocabulary (DCCDFV)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/DCCDFV);
General Formal Ontology (GFO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/GFO);
General Formal Ontology for Biology (GFO-BIO)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/GFO-BIO);
Information Artifact Ontology (IAO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/IAO);
ISO 639-2: Codes for the Representation of Names of Languages (ISO639-2)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ISO639-2);
NIH (National Institutes of Health) NLM Value Sets (NLMVS)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/NLMVS);
Ontology of Datatypes (ONTODT) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONTODT);
OWL (Web Ontology Language)-Time (TIME)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/TIME);
Semantic Types Ontology (STY) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/STY);
Semantic science Integrated Ontology (SIO) (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SIO);
Terminological and Ontological Knowledge Resources Ontology (TOK)
(https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/TOK);
Table A3. Metrics for quality assessment of ONE. n/a—not available.

| Metrics Suite        | Attributes          | Description                              | Assessment for ONE                      |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Syntactic quality    | Lawfulness          | Correctness of syntax                    | No error detected                       |
|                      | Richness            | Breadth of syntax used                   | 1 defined property, but all ONE classes can be converted to properties |
| Semantic quality     | Interpretability    | Meaningfulness of terms                  | Terms come from well-defined guidelines |
|                      | Consistency         | Consistency of meaning of terms          | No term is used in more than 1 way in the ontology |
|                      | Clarity             | Average number of word senses            | Close to 1, because they are all academic terms |
| Pragmatic quality    | Comprehensive       | Number of classes and properties         | 339 classes and 1 property              |
|                      | Accuracy            | Accuracy of information                  | Checked manually, no error detected     |
|                      | Relevance           | Relevance of information for a task      | n/a, assess in the future               |
| Social quality       | Authority           | Extent to which other ontologies rely on it | n/a, assess in the future               |
|                      | History             | Number of times ontology has been used   | n/a, assess in the future               |

Table A4. Case study: dietary species richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality of diet (Lachat et al. 2018), study description.

| Preferred Name | Lachat C et al. 2018 PNAS |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| ID (Identifier)| http://one.ugent.be/standards#lachatc2018pnas |
| Study Name     | Dietary species richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality of diet |
| Study objective| To assess the intricate relationship between food biodiversity and diet quality |
| Study population| General population |
| Study terminated| 06/06/2017 |
| Study description| We applied biodiversity indicators to dietary intake data from and assessed associations with diet quality of women and young children. |
| age.max         | 43 |
| age.min         | 0.5 |
| Data analysis permission | accessible raw data |
| Data sharing policy | Publicly accessible |
| Metadata        | Publicly accessible |
| Aggregate data sharing policy | Publicly accessible |
Lachat C, Raneri JE, Smith KW, Kolsteren P, Van Damme P, Verzelen K, Penafiel D, Vanhove W, Kennedy G, Hunter D, et al. Dietary species richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality of diets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018;115(1):127-32. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1709194115.
Table A5. Case study: dietary species richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality of diet (Lachat et al. 2018), Cameroon dataset description.

| Preferred Name | Cameroon dataset-Lachat C et al. 2018 PNAS |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
| ID             | http://one.ugent.be/standards#lachatc2018pnasCameroon |
| Country        | Cameroon |
| Dietary assessment administration | Interview-administered |
| Dietary assessment method | 24-hour recall |
| Dietary assessment questionnaire | Self-developed questionnaires |
| Dietary intake data | Unadjusted data |
| Food composition table | West Africa Food Composition Table (2012), FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) |
| Food quantification method | Food quantification method not specifically tailored to the characteristics of the population |
| Health outcomes | 01/07/2013-31/08/2013 |
| label | Cameroon dataset; Lachat C et al. 2018 PNAS |
| Matched consumed food to referred food composition data | Exact matching |
| Number of recall/measurement days per individual | 2 |
| Portion size of dietary intake data | Converted portion size |
| prefixIRI | lachatc2018pnasCameroon |
| prefLabel | Cameroon dataset; Lachat C et al. 2018 PNAS |
| Quantification of portion sizes | Portion sizes are assessed digitally and verified by trained staff (or packaging) |
| Random selection | Convenience sampling |
| Sample representativeness | Non-representative sample |
| Sampling | 01/07/2013-31/08/2013 |
| Seasons | Rainy season |
| Selection of recall/measurement days | Non-consecutive, non-random days |
| The time of diet records | Not during eating occasions nor immediately after |
| subClassOf | Case studies: dataset description |

Lachat C, Raneri JE, Smith KW, Kolsteren P, Van Damme P, Verzelen K, Penafiel D, Vanhove W, Kennedy G, Hunter D, et al. Dietary species richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality of diets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018;115(1):127-32. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1709194115.

Table A6. Case study: ontology-based inferences.

| Annotations of Carl et al. 2018 | Upper Level Terms According to Their Taxonomic Hierarchies | Inferred Information |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Country: Cameroon (MeSH:D002163) | Africa, Central (MeSH:D000350) | The study was conducted in central Africa |
| Study: cross-sectional study (MeSH:D03430) | Epidemiologic studies (MeSH:D016021) | This study is an epidemiologic study |
Table A7. Case study: estimation of reporting completeness in a sample of nine manuscripts (reporting quality reference by using STROBE-nut terms).

(a) Mapped STROBE-nut terms per manuscript

| Publications            | Number of STROBE-nut items (Mapped/total) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Mills et al. 2017       | 21/24                                     |
| Abris et al. 2018       | 17/24                                     |
| Chatelan et al. 2017    | 18/24                                     |
| Lam et al. 2017         | 16/24                                     |
| Llanaj et al. 2018      | 15/24                                     |
| Arsenault et al. 2014   | 15/24                                     |
| De Cock et al. 2016     | 15/24                                     |
| Mills et al. 2018       | 14/24                                     |
| Workicho et al. 2016    | 9/24                                      |

(b) Mapping rate of each STROBE-nut term

| Mapping rate (%)         | Number of items | STROBE-nut items                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 100% mapping rate        | 3               | 1; 8.1; 19                        |
| high mapping rate (100%-75%) | 9 | 5; 6; 7.1; 7.2; 8.5; 11; 14; 20; 22.1 |
| medium mapping rate (75%-50%) | 5 | 8.2; 8.6; 12.1; 12.2; 13         |
| low mapping rate (50%-25%) | 3 | 8.3; 9; 22.2                    |
| extreme low mapping rate (<25%) | 4 | 8.4; 12.3; 16; 17               |

(c) Hierarchy mapping

| STROBE-nut reporting guideline | Mills et al. 2017 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| ☑ Methods                     | ☑ Methods         |
| ☑ ...                         | ☑ ...             |
| ☑ Result                      | ☑ Result          |
| ☑ Nut-13                      | ☑ Nut-13          |
| ☑ Nut-14                      | ☑ Nut-14          |
| ☑ Nut-16                      | ☑ Nut-16          |
| ☑ Discussion                  | ☑ Discussion      |
| ☑ ...                         | ☑ ...             |

Table A8. Ontology view of minimal data requirement of observational studies.

|Descriptors|Options|
|-----------|--------|
|1Study name (NCIT_C686631)|Acronym (NCIT_C93495)|
|2Country (ancestro_0003)| (ancestro ontology) |
|3Study aim (NCIT_C94090)| |
| Descriptors                                                                 | Options                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 Principal Investigator (NCIT_C19924)                                    | Study reference link page description (NCIT_C94131)                                              |
| Contact information (NCIT_C60776); contact person (NCIT_C25461)          | **b** Study registration number (one:T00002)                                                     |
| Funding Organization (VIVO_core#FundingOrganization)                       | IRB-IEC Approval (CARELEX_IRB-IEC_Approval)                                                      |
| 7 Upload (NCIT_C48914)                                                    | Informed consent (MeSH_D007258)                                                                  |
| URL (HL7_C1710546)                                                        | Study protocol (NCIT_C70817)                                                                    |
| 8 Study terminated (NCIT_C70757)                                          | Questionnaires (NCIT_C17048)                                                                    |
| **b**, **d** Data sharing policy (one:T00003)                             | Standard Operating Procedures (SIO_000964)                                                      |
| **b**, **d** Aggregate Data sharing policy (one:T00004)                    | Publications (MeSH:D011642): Type (MeSH:D011642 subclasses), DOI (EDAM_data_1188), URL (HL7_C1710546) |
| **b**, **c** Metadata (MeSH: D000071253)                                  | Other                                                                                           |
| **b**, **c** ISA framework-Study (one:T00011)                             | DD/MM/YYYY (xsd:datetime)                                                                       |
| 1 Epidemiologic Studies (MeSH_D016021)                                    | **b**, **d** Publicly accessible (one:T00005)                                                    |
| 2 Study description (NCIT_C142704)                                        | **b**, **d** Accessible upon request (one:T00006)                                                |
| 3 Study population (NCIT_C70833)                                          | **b**, **d** Not publicly accessible (one:T00007)                                                |
| 4 Population characteristics (MeSH_D011154)                               | **b**, **d** accessible raw data (one:T00009)                                                    |
| **b**, **e** population representativeness (one:T00012)                   | **b**, **d** federated analysis (one:T00010)                                                     |
| 5 Type of sampling (NCIT_C71492)                                          | Cohort (MeSH_D015331)                                                                           |
| 6 Type of sampling (NCIT_C71492)                                          | Cross-sectional (MeSH_D003430)                                                                  |
| 1 Epidemiologic Studies (MeSH_D016021)                                   | Case-control (MeSH_D016022)                                                                     |
| 2 Study description (NCIT_C142704)                                        | Seroepidemiologic study (MeSH_D016036)                                                          |
| 3 Study population (NCIT_C70833)                                          | Other (subclasses of MeSH_D016021)                                                              |
| 4 Population characteristics (MeSH_D011154)                               | General population (NCIT_C18241)                                                                |
| **b**, **e** population representativeness (one:T00012)                   | MeSH_D011154 subclasses                                                                        |
| 5 Type of sampling (NCIT_C71492)                                          | **b**, **c** National level (one:T00013)                                                         |
| 6 Type of sampling (NCIT_C71492)                                          | **b**, **c** Subnational level (one:T00014)                                                      |
| 1 Epidemiologic Studies (MeSH_D016021)                                   | **b**, **c** Community level (one:T00015)                                                        |
| 2 Study description (NCIT_C142704)                                        | Equal probability sampling method (NCIT_C71517)                                                 |
| 3 Study population (NCIT_C70833)                                          | **b**, **g** Simple Random Sampling (one:T00016),                                                |
| 4 Population characteristics (MeSH_D011154)                               | **b**, **g** Stratified Random Sampling (one:T00017)                                             |
| **b**, **g** Type of sampling (NCIT_C71492)                               | **b**, **g** Multi-Stage Sampling (one:T00018)                                                  |
| Descriptors                                                                 | Options                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Control group (MeSH_D035061, NCIT_C28143)                                 | Non-probability sampling (NCIT_C127781)                                                                                       |
|                                                                             | - b,g Voluntary response sampling (one:T00019)                                                                               |
|                                                                             | - b,g Judgement sampling (one:T00020)                                                                                         |
|                                                                             | - b,g Convenience sampling (one:T00021)                                                                                       |
| Type of controls (NCIT_C49647)                                             | DD/MM/YYYY (xsd:datetime)                                                                                                       |
| Recruitment period (NCIT_C142664)                                          | time (xsd:datetime)                                                                                                            |
| Follow-ups (NCIT_C16033)                                                   | actions (CTV3_X79tx)                                                                                                           |
| Total number of participants recruited (MeSH_D011153)                       | b,f total number of males (one:T00022)                                                                                       |
|                                                                             | b,f total number of females (one:T00023)                                                                                      |
| Participants age range (one:T00024)                                        | b,i age.min (one:T00025)                                                                                                      |
|                                                                             | b,i age.max (one:T00026)                                                                                                      |
| ISA framework-Assay (one:T00027)                                           |                                                                                                                               |
| Dietary assessment method (one:ne00001)                                    | a Dietary records (one:ne00002)                                                                                               |
|                                                                             | - a Dietary records: PDA-technologies (one:ne00007)                                                                         |
|                                                                             | - a Dietary records: Mobile phone-based technologies (one:ne00008)                                                        |
|                                                                             | - a Dietary records: Camera-recorder–based technologies (one:ne00009)                                                      |
|                                                                             | - a Dietary records: Tape-recorder–based technologies (one:ne00010)                                                        |
|                                                                             | - a 24-Hour Recall (one:ne00003)                                                                                              |
|                                                                             | - a 24-Hour Recall: Interactive computer-based technologies (one: 00011)                                                     |
|                                                                             | - a 24-Hour Recall: Interactive web-based technologies (one: 00012)                                                         |
|                                                                             | a Screener (one:ne00004)                                                                                                      |
|                                                                             | - a Screener: Interactive computer-based technologies (one:ne00013)                                                        |
|                                                                             | - a Screener: Interactive web-based technologies (one:ne00014)                                                             |
|                                                                             | - a Screener: qualitative (only frequency) (one:ne00015)                                                                    |
|                                                                             | - a Screener: semi-quantitative (one:ne00016)                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | - a Screener: quantitative (one:ne00017)                                                                                      |
|                                                                             | a Food Frequency Questionnaire (one:ne00005)                                                                                  |
|                                                                             | - a FFQ: Interactive computer-based technologies (one:ne00018)                                                                |
| Descriptors | Options |
|-------------|---------|
| - a FFQ: Interactive web-based technologies (one:ne00019) | |
| - a FFQ: qualitative (only frequency) (one:ne00020) | |
| - a FFQ: semi-quantitative (one:ne00021) | |
| - a FFQ: quantitative (one:ne00022) | |
| a Diet History (one:ne00006) | |
| a Other: please specify | |
| 2 b) Food composition Table (one: T00027) | |
| 3 Food product type (FoodOn_03400361) | Food, Drinks, Dietary supplements (classes of FoodOn) |
| a Unadjusted data (preferred option) (one:ne00024) | |
| a Adjusted data for total energy intake using density method (one:ne00025) | |
| a Adjusted data for total energy intake using residual method (one:ne00026) | |
| a Estimates of usual intake from short-term measurements (one:ne00027) | |
| Other: describe | |
| 4 a Dietary intake data (one:ne00023) | |
| Physical activity measurement (NCIT_C120914) | |
| 5 b, h Objective measurement (one:T00028) | |
| 6 Tobacco use (MeSH_D064424) | |
| 7 Alcohol consumption (NCIT_C16273) | |
| 8 Anthropometry (MeSH_D000886) | Weight (MeSH_DD001835) |
| 9 Socio-demographic factor (ONTOAD_AD000403) | Height (MeSH_D001827) |
| 10 Health outcomes (HL7_C1550208) | Waist circumference (MeSH_D055105) |
| 11- Genitourinary samples (CTV_X7ADQ) | BMI status (MeSH_D015992) |
| 12 | Body fat distribution (MeSH_D050218) |
| 13 Fasting (CTV3_X78 × 9) | xsd:datetime |
| 14 sampling (NCIT_C25662) | Blood sample (CTV3_X7ADI) |
| 15 Omics (EDAM_topic3391) | Serum sample (CTV3_X7AE4) |
| | Plasma sample (CTV3_X7AEI) |
| | Urine sample (CTV3_X7AB1) |
| | Saliva sample (CTV3_4128) |
| | Faeces sample (CTV3_x7AAR) |
| | Other: please specify (subclasses of CTV3_X7ADQ) |
| | xsd:datetime |
| | Biomarkers (EDAM_topic3360) |
| | Metabolomics (EDAM_topic3172) |
| | Proteomics (EDAM_topic0121) |
## Table A9. Ontology view of data quality descriptors of observational studies.

| Descriptors | Options |
|-------------|---------|
| **Study design** (NCIT:C15320) | **Cohort** (MeSH:D015331) **Cross-sectional** (MeSH:D003430) **Case-control** (MeSH:D0016022) |
| **Response rate** (EO:0000139) | **Response rate** (EO:0000139) **b** **Cooperation rate** (one:T00101) |
| **Covariates** (NCIT:C142645) | **Cofounding factors** (MESH/D015986) |
| **b** **Method for confirming diagnosis** (one:T00102) **missing data** (NCIT:C142610) | **owl:class** (i.e. method) **b** **non-validated diagnosis** (one:T00103) |
| **- b** **missing data-exposure** (one:T00104) **- b** **missing data-outcome** (one:T00105) | xsd:decimal |
| **missing data** (NCIT:C142610) | **b** **Missing (completely) at random** (one:T00106) **b** **Missing not at random** (one:T00107) |
| **Random selection** (OBCS_0000063) | **b** **Representative sample** (one:T00109) **b** **Non-representative sample** (one:T00110) |
| **- b** **Incident cases** (one:T00111) | **b** **Control group from same population as cases** (one:T00112) **b** **Controls group from similar population as cases** (one:T00113) **b** **Controls group from another population** (one:T00114) |
| **Control groups** (NCIT:C28143) | **Lost to follow-up** (MESH/D059012, NCIT:C48227) xsd:decimal |
| **Lost to follow-up** (MESH/D059012, NCIT:C48227) xc:decimal | **Dietary assessment method** (one:ne00001): **Dietary records** (one:ne00002), 24-Hour Recall (one:ne00003), Screener (one:ne00004), Food Frequency Questionnaire (one:ne00005), Diet History (one:ne00006) |
| **Administration** (NCIT:C25409) **1** **- a** **Dietary assessment administration** (one:ne00028) | **a** **Dietary assessment administration** (one:ne00028) **- a** **Proxy-administered** (one:ne00029) **- a** **Self-administered not verified by interviewer** (one:ne00030) **- a** **Self-administered and checked by interviewer** (one:ne00031) **- a** **Interview-administered** (one:ne00032) |
| Descriptors                                                                 | Options                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Questionnaire (NCIT_C64253)                                              | - * Interview-administered using AMPM (one:ne00033)                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 2 - * Dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00034)                      | - * Self-developed questionnaires (one:ne00035)                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                           | - * Use of standardized questionnaire (one:ne00036)                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                           | - * Adopted other Questionnaires (one:ne00037)                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                           | - * Content validity of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00038)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Content validity (NCIT_C78690)                                           | - * verified content validity in another population (one:ne00039)                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 3 - * Content validity of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00038)  | - * verified content validity in a comparable population in terms of both age and dietary habits (one:ne00040)                                                                                                                                                         |
|                                                                           | - * Reference of the dietary assessment questionnaire validation (one:ne00041)                                                                                                                         |
|                                                                           | - * dietary assessment methods (one:ne00041)                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                                           | - * Food Frequency Questionnaire (one:ne00005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                                           | - * validated information of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00046)                                                                                                                                   |
| 4 - * Reference of dietary assessment questionnaire validation (one:ne00041)| - * 24-Hour Recall (one:ne00003)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                           | - * Dietary records (one:ne00002)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                                                                           | - * short term dietary record (one:ne00042)                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                           | - * long term weighted dietary record (>7 days) (one:ne00043)                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                                                                           | - * objective methods (one:ne00044)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                           | - * biomarker of dietary intake (one:ne00045)                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                           | - * Properties of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00047)                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Validated information (OBI_0302838)                                      | - * inter-rater reliability (NCIT_C78688)                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 5 - * validated information of dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00046)| - * Frequency options to identify between-person variations (one:ne00048)                                                                                                                                    |
|                                                                           | - * Food items lead to underestimated target nutrients intake (one:ne00049)                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                           | - * Validation type for dietary assessment questionnaire (one:ne00050)                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|                                                                           | Concurrent validity (OBCS_0000160)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|                                                                           | Season (NCIT_C48045)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                                                                           | - * All seasons (one:T00115)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                           | - * Summer (NCIT_C94732)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                           | - * Winter (NCIT_C94730)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                           | - * Spring (NCIT_C94731)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                           | - * Autumn (NCIT_C94733)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                           | - * Quantification of portion sizes (one:ne00051)                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                           | - * Not quantified (one:ne00052)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                           | - * Standard portion sizes without aids (one:ne00053)                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                           | - * Portion sizes are assessed digitally but not verified by trained staff (one:ne00055)                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Descriptors                                                                 | Options                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                         | a Portion sizes are assessed digitally and verified by trained staff (or packaging) (one:ne00056)                                      |
| a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                         | a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                                                                                   |
| a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                         | a directly expressed portion size (one:ne00058)                                                                                       |
| a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                         | a converted portion size (one:ne00059)                                                                                               |
| a Portion size of dietary intake data (one:ne00057)                         | a unconverted portion size (one:ne00060)                                                                                              |
| b, c Food composition Table (one: T00027)                                   | a Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:T00116)                                                                 |
| 10 a Geographically-specific food composition data (one:T00027)             | a Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:ne00060)                                                              |
| 10 a Geographically-specific food composition data (one:T00027)             | a exact matching (one:ne00061)                                                                                                       |
| 10 a Geographically-specific food composition data (one:T00027)             | a matched to means of min. 3 food items (one:ne00062)                                                                                  |
| 10 a Geographically-specific food composition data (one:T00027)             | a matched to same food items with similar moisture content (one:ne00063)                                                            |
| 10 a Geographically-specific food composition data (one:T00027)             | a matched to a different food (one:ne00064)                                                                                          |
| 11 a Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:ne00060)   | Percentage in xsd:decimal                                                                                                             |
| 11 a Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:ne00060)   | Weekend (NCIT:C137684)                                                                                                               |
| 11 a Matched consumed food to referred food composition data (one:ne00060)   | Weekday (NCIT:C86936)                                                                                                                |
| 12 a Representativeness of the week/weekend days (one:ne00065)             | xsd:integer                                                                                                                          |
| 12 a Representativeness of the week/weekend days (one:ne00065)             | a Selection of recall/measurement days (one:ne00067)                                                                                   |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Selection of recall/measurement days (one:ne00067)                                                                                   |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Convenience selection (one:ne00068)                                                                                                 |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Consecutive days (one:ne00069)                                                                                                      |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Non-consecutive, non-random days (one:ne00070)                                                                                       |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Randomly over the week (one:ne00071)                                                                                               |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a The time of diet records (one:ne00072)                                                                                              |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Not during eating occasions nor immediately after (one:ne00073)                                                                   |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a Immediately after eating occasion (one:ne00074)                                                                                      |
| 13 a Number of recall/measurement days per individual (one:ne00066)         | a During eating occasion (one:ne00075)                                                                                               |
| 14 a Selection of recall/measurement days (one:ne00067)                     | a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                                                                                             |
| 14 a Selection of recall/measurement days (one:ne00067)                     | a Food quantification method tailored to the characteristics of the population (one:ne00077)                                           |
| 15 a The time of diet records (one:ne00072)                                  | a Food quantification method not specifically tailored to the characteristics of the population (one:ne00078)                           |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | Anthropometry (MeSH:D000886)                                                                                                           |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | b Training of assessors (one:T00117)                                                                                                  |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | b without assessors (one:T00118) = Self-report (NCIT:C74528)                                                                        |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | b trained assessors (one:T00119)                                                                                                     |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | b trained assessors using Standard Operating Procedures (one:T00120)                                                                  |
| 16 a Food quantification method (one:ne00076)                               | b trained assessors not using Standard Operating Procedures (one:T00121)                                                            |
| Descriptors                                                                 | Options                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 Body Weight Measurement (NCIT_C92648)                                    | - b untrained assessors using Standard Operating Procedures (one:T00122)                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | Body Weight Measurement (NCIT_C92648)                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | - Self-Report (NCIT_C74528)                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                             | - Proxy Data Origin (NCIT_C142651)                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                             | - b, d Measured with no clothing instructions by an assessor (one:T00123)                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | - b, d Measured naked or with only light clothing by an assessor (one:T00124)                                                                                                                           |
| b Height measurement (one:T00125)                                          | b Height measurement (one:T00125)                                                                                                                                                                      |
|                                                                             | - Self-Report (NCIT_C74528)                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                             | - Proxy Data Origin (NCIT_C142651)                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                             | - b Measured with shoes (one:T00126)                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                                                                             | - b Measured barefoot (one:T00127)                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 3 b Height measurement (one:T00125)                                        | b Waist circumference measurement (one:T00128)                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                             | - Self-Report (NCIT_C74528)                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                                             | - Proxy Data Origin (NCIT_C142651)                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                             | - b Measured with no clothing instructions (one:T00129)                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | - b Measured naked or with only light clothing (one:T00130)                                                                                                                                            |
| 4 b Waist circumference measurement (one:T00128)                            | Measurement of body mass index (SNOMEDCT_698094009)                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                                             | - Self-Report (NCIT_C74528)                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 5 Measurement of body mass index (SNOMEDCT_698094009)                      | - b Assessed using pictograms or silhouettes (one:T00131)                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                             | - Objective Measurement (NCIT_C142618): xsd:definition weight & height, body scanner, etc. bioelectrical impedance analysis (NCIT_C43545)                                                              |
| 6 b Adiposity measurement (one:T00132)                                     | Dual X-ray Absorptiometry (NCIT_C48789)                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                             | Waist-to-hip ratio (NCIT_C17651)                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                             | Skin fold (CMO_0000246)                                                                                                                                                                               |

* undefined nutritional epidemiologic term; ** other undefined terms; recommendation: put undeveloped term(s) in selected ontology: ** GODAN framework; NCIT: subclasses of body weight measurement (NCIT_C92648).
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