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Abstract

Background: Increasing nitric oxide bioavailability may induce physiological effects that enhance endurance exercise performance. This review sought to evaluate the performance effects of consuming foods containing compounds that may promote nitric oxide bioavailability.

Methods: Scopus, Web of Science, Ovid Medline, EMBASE and SportDiscus were searched, with included studies assessing endurance performance following consumption of foods containing nitrate, L-arginine, L-citrulline or polyphenols. Random effects meta-analysis was conducted, with subgroup analyses performed based on food sources, sex, fitness, performance test type and supplementation protocol (e.g. duration).

Results: One hundred and eighteen studies were included in the meta-analysis, which encompassed 59 polyphenol studies, 56 nitrate studies and three L-citrulline studies. No effect on exercise performance following consumption of foods rich in L-citrulline was identified (SMD = -0.03, p = 0.24). Trivial but significant benefits were demonstrated for consumption of nitrate-rich and polyphenol-rich foods (SMD = 0.15 and 0.17, respectively, p < 0.001), including performance in time-trial, time-to-exhaustion and intermittent-type tests, and following both acute and multiple-day supplementation, but no effect of nitrate or polyphenol consumption was found in females. Among nitrate-rich foods, beneficial effects were seen for beetroot, but not red spinach or Swiss chard and rhubarb. For polyphenol-rich foods, benefits were found for grape, (nitrate-depleted) beetroot, French maritime pine, Montmorency cherry and pomegranate, while no significant effects were evident for New Zealand blackcurrant, cocoa, ginseng, green tea or raisins. Considerable heterogeneity between polyphenol studies may reflect food-specific effects or differences in study designs and subject characteristics. Well-trained males (VO2max ≥ 65 ml.kg.min⁻¹) exhibited small, significant benefits following polyphenol, but not nitrate consumption.

Conclusion: Foods rich in polyphenols and nitrate provide trivial benefits for endurance exercise performance, although these effects may be food dependent. Highly trained endurance athletes do not appear to benefit from...
consuming nitrate-rich foods but may benefit from polyphenol consumption. Further research into food sources, dosage and supplementation duration to optimise the ergogenic response to polyphenol consumption is warranted. Further studies should evaluate whether differential sex-based responses to nitrate and polyphenol consumption are attributable to physiological differences or sample size limitations.

**Other:** The review protocol was registered on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/u7nsj) and no funding was provided.
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**Background**

Nitric oxide (NO) is a signalling molecule that is involved in numerous physiological processes including skeletal muscle contraction [1], endothelial function [2], mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration [3], muscle repair [4] and antioxidant defences [5–7]. Given these functions are important during exercise, particularly aerobic exercise, there has been considerable interest in enhancing NO production to improve endurance exercise performance. Specifically, increased synthesis of NO is proposed to reduce the oxygen cost of ATP resynthesis, lower the ATP cost of cross-bridge formation and promote vasodilation, thereby enhancing skeletal muscle blood flow and oxygen perfusion which may speed oxygen uptake kinetics [8]. These effects may translate to increased endurance exercise performance by improving exercise efficiency, decreasing the oxygen deficit at exercise onset and reducing the VO₂ slow component [9].

NO is synthesised through two pathways, one of which is nitric oxide synthase (NOS)-dependent and one which is independent of NOS. NO is synthesised via the NOS-dependent pathway from L-arginine and oxygen in a reaction that is catalysed by various NOS enzymes, including endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS). L-citrulline, an α-amino acid, also contributes to NO synthesis through this NOS-dependent pathway via its conversion to L-arginine. Polyphenols may enhance NO production by increasing eNOS expression and activity [10] and promote NO bioavailability via their antioxidant effects protecting NO from breakdown by reactive oxygen species (ROS). Within the NOS-independent pathway, nitrate (NO₃⁻) is reduced to nitrite (NO₂⁻) and then NO. Thus, it is proposed that NO availability may be improved by increasing the availability of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, L-arginine, L-citrulline, or polyphenols. Such changes in NO bioavailability are typically evaluated by plasma or urine NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻, as these are end products of endogenous NO production, but can also be inferred via measures of vascular function such as flow-mediated dilation (FMD).

In addition to the effects of polyphenols on NO synthesis via the NOS-independent pathway, their antioxidant properties have also been proposed to promote exercise performance by helping to maintain redox balance [11]. While the increase in ROS during exercise is an important signalling component that can facilitate acute responses and chronic adaptations to exercise, an imbalance between oxidative stress and antioxidant capacity may lead to impairment in blood flow, calcium handling and sensitivity, and central neural drive [11–13]. These derangements may contribute to the development of fatigue during exercise, so increasing antioxidant capacity may assist in inhibiting the onset of fatigue and enhance athletic performance. Thus, increased consumption of dietary polyphenols may enhance endurance exercise performance through effects on the NOS-dependent pathway and antioxidant capacity during exercise.

Augmentation of NO synthesis and bioavailability has been attempted by increasing the dietary intake of NO precursors. NO₃⁻ is abundant in beetroot and green leafy vegetables such as lettuce and spinach [14] and L-arginine is found in seafood, nuts, seeds, soy protein isolate and watermelon. Watermelon is also a rich source of L-citrulline, while fruits, vegetables, tea, coffee and cocoa are rich sources of polyphenols [15]. NO₂ can be found in some fruits and vegetables, with more pronounced concentrations found in processed meats where it is used as an additive [16]. Many foods contain a combination of these nitric oxide precursors, as well as other antioxidant components such as vitamins, minerals and carotenoids, with interactions between these various phytochemicals and food sources potentially resulting in varied responses to specific foods and/or combinations of foods [17–19].

There appear to be considerable inter-individual differences in bioavailability in response to consumption of polyphenols [20] and NO₃⁻ [21, 22]. Several factors may influence the content and bioavailability of polyphenols in foods, such as storage and processing methods [23], the food matrix, and in particular, the profile of specific polyphenol subclasses (e.g. anthocyanidins vs quercetin) which vary significantly in their absorptive characteristics [20, 24]. Bioavailability is also affected by background diet, genetic factors, and particularly intestinal microbiota, as most polyphenols are catabolised by bacteria in the large intestine and the catabolites then enter the circulation and exert antioxidant effects [19, 25]. Similarly, the composition of NO₃⁻-rich food sources and
microflora of the oral and gut microbiome may impact the bioavailability of NO$_3^-$ from NO$_3^-$-rich foods [22]. While increasing NO production may improve endurance exercise performance, performance effects may also be influenced by sex and fitness-related differences in NO synthesis [26, 27], vascular function [28, 29] and oxidative damage [30, 31]. Thus it is important to evaluate whether the effects of consuming foods that increase NO production on endurance exercise performance are influenced by these individual factors, as well as other parameters such as type of exercise performance test performed, the intensity at which the test is performed, and the dose and duration of consumption of NO precursors.

The primary aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate whether consumption of foods rich in precursors of NO synthesis improves endurance exercise performance. A secondary aim was to determine the effect of dose and duration of consumption of foods rich in NO precursors, participant characteristics (fitness and sex) and exercise test parameters (type and intensity) on exercise performance.

Methods

Information sources and search strategy
A literature search was conducted using the Scopus, Web of Science, Ovid Medline, EMBASE and SportDiscus databases on 5 September 2019. Title, abstract, keyword and MeSH (where applicable) searches were implemented.

The search terms are provided in Additional Material 1, but in brief, search terms were grouped under:

1. Population (e.g. human, athlete).
2. Compounds that promote nitric oxide synthesis (e.g. NO$_3^-$, polyphenols) and food sources of these compounds (e.g. beetroot juice, blackcurrant).
3. Terms related to maximal endurance performance (e.g. exercise tolerance, time-trial).

Articles included in the search were exported to Endnote reference management software (Version 9, Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA), where duplicates were removed before remaining studies were uploaded to Covidence systematic review management software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia).

Registration and protocol
A protocol application was submitted to PROSPERO on the 4th of September 2019, but we were informed that it was unsuccessful on the 29th January 2020 as it was not considered within their scope. Thereafter, the authors became aware of the Open Science Framework, where the study was registered on the 5th February 2020 (accessible at https://osf.io/u7nsj), which was after the title and abstract screening had been completed, but before full-text review had been completed by NMAAdU or started by HTB.

Eligibility criteria
Studies were limited to human participants and English language, peer-reviewed studies, with no limit set on publication date. Studies needed to increase dietary intake of compounds that promote nitric oxide synthesis and bioavailability, with such compounds including polyphenols, NO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, L-citrulline and L-arginine, and adhere to the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Study selection
During title and abstract screening, studies were excluded if they were not consistent with the inclusion and/or exclusion criteria. Antioxidant supplements or nutrients from an unspecified source were deemed eligible at this stage, as during preliminary searches it was noted that these types of articles sometimes specified a polyphenol food source from which the supplements or nutrients were obtained in full texts. Full-text articles were then screened to ensure that studies met the eligibility criteria. For inclusion in the meta-analysis, studies needed to report data that would enable calculation of a standardised mean difference (SMD).

Title and abstract screening and full-text review were conducted independently by two reviewers (NMAAdU and other authors excluding JDB for title and abstract, NMAAdU and HTB for full text), with disagreements regarding eligibility settled by a third reviewer (JDB). Where full texts were unavailable, they were sought from the authors, and reference lists were also pearled to identify additional relevant studies.

Data collection process and items
The data extraction form was drafted by the lead author (NMAAdU), and studies were extracted in duplicate by NMAAdU and HTB. Extracted information included date of publication, industry contributions, population, anthropometry, inclusion/exclusion criteria, supplement composition, dosing and duration of supplementation, performance test(s) and outcomes, dietary restriction of other foods or nutrients, and effects on biochemical and physiological markers of nitric oxide production.

Risk of bias assessment
Risk of bias in individual studies was assessed using the Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials [33] by the lead author (NMAAdU) and a second author (HTB), with partial duplication to ensure consistency.
Risk of bias was evaluated through visual inspection of standard and contoured funnel plots.

**Table 1** Inclusion criteria

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Rationale                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consumption of nitric oxide precursors only via consumption of whole foods, juices, concentrates or plant extracts. Studies must explicitly state the food source(s) of these compounds. | Differences in bioavailability between food and synthetic sources.                               |
| Foods could not be consumed in combination with other (non-nitric oxide precursor) foods/supplements that may influence endurance exercise performance (e.g. caffeine) unless the comparator group also contains these components. Such interventions will be included in the qualitative synthesis only. | Confounds the ability to infer the effect of increasing intake of nitric-oxide precursors.     |
| Report an external (or externally derived) measure of maximal endurance exercise performance in a test of at least two minutes durations. | Maximise the contribution of the aerobic energy system to exercise performance and thus provide a measure of endurance exercise performance. |
| Intermittent-type performance tests required a work duration of at least two minutes and could not have between-repetition recovery intervals of >60 secs. | Maximise the contribution of the aerobic energy system to exercise performance and thus provide a measure of endurance exercise performance. |
| Performance tests conducted in normobaric, normoxic and temperate conditions.   | Variant conditions may influence the function of the nitric oxide pathway and the effects of nitric oxide-related supplementation |
| Where performance tests were acutely repeated (e.g. repeated time trials), only data from the initial performance test were considered eligible. | Performance in subsequent trial(s) may be confounded by initial performance and factors which influence recovery. |
| Study sample participants aged 18-65 years                                       | Exclude adolescent and older adult populations due to age-based differences in vascular function and oxidative stress |

**Table 2** Exclusion criteria

| Exclusion criteria                                                                 | Rationale                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Performance assessed during recreational, open entry events (e.g. marathon)       | Lack of controlled conditions                                                               |
| Performance only measured as an indicator of recovery (e.g. hours or days following an exercise stimulus designed to induce damage/stress) rather than being assessed at maximum performance capacity or more closely following a standardised preload | To reduce the influence of post-exercise recovery, which reflects a different mechanism |
| Treatment comparator was a food rich in nitric oxide precursors (unless differences in content are quantified) | Difficult to infer how much treatment increases nitric oxide precursors relative to control |
| Supplement contained >1mg caffeine per kg of body mass, as calculated from participant mean mass | Avoid ergogenic effects seen with higher intakes of caffeine [32] |
| Performance test intensity was acutely regulated by heart rate or oxygen consumption. | Nitric oxide supplements may alter these internal measures and thereby confound the ability to identify effects on endurance exercise performance. |

**Effect measures**

The effects of nitric-oxide related supplements were assessed using endurance exercise performance outcomes. Studies frequently reported multiple performance outcomes from the same performance test (e.g. mean power and time-to-complete reported for a time-trial). Therefore, where applicable, time-to-complete was the extracted outcome used for data analysis from fixed distance/work time-trials, while total distance/work was preferentially extracted for fixed duration time-trials. Likewise, peak power/speed was designated as the primary outcome from graded exercise tests, and total distance/work was extracted as the primary outcome for intermittent tests. Relative mean or peak power outputs were used in favour of absolute values.

Effect size was quantified as the SMD, where the mean difference between treatments was divided by the pooled standard deviation, with Hedge’s correction factor also applied to adjust for small sample sizes [34]. Where performance was assessed before the active and control interventions in parallel trials, SMD was instead calculated from mean change (from baseline) values and standard deviations. Where SD of these changes was unavailable, it was imputed for each group as

\[
SD_{change} = \sqrt{SD_{baseline}^2 + SD_{final}^2 - (2 \times 0.80 \times SD_{baseline} \times SD_{final})}
\]

using a correlation coefficient of 0.80 (35, 36). A factor of -1 was applied to SMDs for time to complete to ensure consistent directions of effect against other outcomes. Where
Table 3 Performance Level (PL) Criteria

|           | PL1  | PL2  | PL3  | PL4  | PL5  |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Female VO2max (ml.kg.min⁻¹) | <37  | 37-47 | 48-53.9 | 52-58 | >58  |
| Male VO2max (ml.kg.min⁻¹)   | <45  | 45-54.9 | 55-64.9 | 65-71 | >71  |

separate populations (e.g. male vs female), performance tests or supplementation protocols (e.g. different doses, durations, timings) were implemented within the same study, data were extracted as separate trials. Where standard deviations were not reported, these were calculated using standard error (SE), confidence intervals or p values, if available, per the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [37].

1.1. Synthesis of results

Where precise or upper bounds of p-values (e.g. p<0.05) of mean differences were provided, standard error (SE) of effect size was calculated using the equivalent T-statistic and correlation coefficients between treatment groups were estimated in accordance with Elbourne et al [38]. Where these details were unavailable, SE was calculated in crossover trials as $SE = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{SMD^2}{2N} \times \sqrt{2(1 - 0.72)}$, using the lowest correlation coefficient of 0.72 [39]. Effects were defined as trivial (<0.2), small (0.2–0.6), moderate (0.61–1.2), large (1.21–2.0), and very large (>2.0) [40] and precision of the effect size estimate was assessed using 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Separate meta-analyses were undertaken for crossover and parallel trials.

Data from included studies were qualitatively synthesised. Where suitable data were available, random effects meta-analysis was conducted using STATA/IC software (version 16.1, College Station, TX, StataCorp LLC.) using the inverse variance model and restricted maximum likelihood method. Statistical heterogeneity between subgroups was assessed via Cochrane’s Q, while heterogeneity within groups was evaluated by I², with heterogeneity classified as low (I² < 25%), moderate (I² 25–49%) or high (I² > 50%) [41, 42]. Separate meta-analyses were conducted to investigate the effect of study design, blinding, sex, NO precursor (e.g. polyphenols, NO₃⁻), food source, duration of consumption (i.e. acute vs multiple days), fitness level of study participants, and the mode, type (i.e. time to exhaustion vs time trial) and duration (from time trial and constant load tests only) of exercise performance tests.

For subgroup analyses, fitness was classified by performance level (PL) using the guidelines of De Pauw [43] and Decroix [44] using reported running and cycling maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max), as provided in Table 3 below. Mixed-sex trials that did not report separate VO2max values for females and males, or featured different PLs between the sexes, were excluded from this fitness subgroup analysis. The influence of fitness was also investigated using meta-regression of outcomes against male VO2max values.

Results

Study selection and characteristics

A PRISMA flow diagram of the search and screening results is displayed in Fig. 1. Of the 123 studies that were eligible for inclusion, 103 used a crossover design and 21 used a parallel design. 101 were reported as double-blind, 13 as single-blind, and 10 did not specify blinding. 62 studies were classified as polyphenol studies, 57 were NO₃⁻-focused and four studies tested L-citrulline effects, with 40 different food sources represented. While no included studies were specifically focused on L-arginine, it is an additional nutritional component found in watermelon and almonds, and studies that used these foods were classified as L-citrulline and polyphenol studies, respectively. Five studies did not report sufficient data to calculate SMD, thus the meta-analysis incorporated 118 studies. Eleven studies featured multiple performance tests, seven studies assessed the effects of various supplementation durations, five studies evaluated different supplementation dosages, three studies analysed multiple food sources and three studies analysed multiple populations. Thus, the 118 studies with eligible data were considered as 156 separate trials (i.e. with separate effect sizes).

Data from 1872 participants, from 25 different countries were included, comprising 1482 males, 344 females and 46 participants of unspecified sex, as sex was not reported in four studies. From reported study means, pooled mean age was 27.8 ± 5.9 years, with a height of 177.4 ± 10.0 cm, mass of 74.5 ± 9.9 kg and VO2max of 53.9 ± 6.9 ml.kg.min⁻¹. NO₃⁻ trials featured a daily dose of 8.4 ± 3.3 mmol NO₃⁻, polyphenol trials had a daily total phenolic content of 817.4 ± 743.1 mg gallic acid equivalents (where reported), and L-citrulline trials reported a daily dose of 1.71 ± 1.0 g citrulline.

Risk of Bias

Risk of Bias results for each study (including specific domain of bias scores) are provided in Additional Material 2. Sixty-six studies had a high risk of bias, with 58 studies having ‘some concerns’ and no studies classified at low risk. Classification of high risk was most frequently given for deviations from intended interventions (53%). ‘Some concerns’ was predominant for bias regarding selective reporting of results (100%), reporting of missing outcome data (70%), and the randomisation process (88%).
Publication Bias
Standard and contoured funnel plots are displayed in Figure 2. There is some asymmetry within L-citrulline trials that is likely due to the limited number of studies, whereas NO₃⁻ and polyphenol trials appeared to have good symmetry overall and in the proportion of positive and negative non-significant effects, as assessed by the symmetry within the dark grey shaded areas. Given that 43 trials were designated as receiving financial support, subgroup analyses were also undertaken to help infer whether results from privately funded studies may have been suppressed in the absence of beneficial effects. However, these analyses revealed no significant differences between effects of NO₃⁻ studies that did or did not receive financial support (p=0.55), whereas financially supported polyphenol studies had significantly smaller effects (p=0.02) than those without private funding. Overall, these results suggest that publication bias did not play a significant role in the meta-analysis results.

Results of syntheses
Nitrate consumption (all food sources combined)
A summary of overall and sub-group analyses is provided in Table 4. Based on the analysis of 81 trials and 956 participants, NO₃⁻ consumption provided significant, trivial benefits for endurance exercise performance, and trials featured low heterogeneity (I²=6.4%). Significant benefits were evident in both crossover (trivial effects) and parallel (small effects) trials, but study design was not a moderator of effects, and results did not differ between study designs (Qₜ=2.37, p=0.12).

Effects by supplementation protocol Sub-group analyses indicated that trivial benefits existed for both acute and multiple-day supplementation, with no significant difference between the two (p=0.08) and no significant influence of the number of supplementation days (p=0.12). Meta-regression indicated that daily NO₃⁻ dosage of interventions was not associated with performance effects overall (p=0.93), or within acute (p=0.62) and multiple-day (p=0.49) supplementation trials specifically. Sixteen trials restricted intake of other dietary NO₃⁻ sources, but effects within this subgroup were no different from trials without restrictions (Qₜ=0.55, p=0.46).

Sex and fitness-specific effects Effects differed by classification of participant sex (Qₜ=6.94, p=0.03), as trivial benefits were still seen in male-only and mixed-sex studies, but females demonstrated no effects (SMD=0.0, p=0.98) from the results of only four trials. Effects differed by PL overall (Qₜ=8.78, p=0.03), but when trials were restricted to males only these differences were not significant (Qₜ=6.39, p=0.09) and meta-regression indicated that VO₂max was not a significant mediator of the size of performance effects.
Fig. 2 Standard and contoured funnel plots of nitrate, polyphenol, and L-citrulline effects.
Table 4  Nitrate meta-analysis and sub-group analyses

| k   | n    | SMD (95% CIs)    | p     | I² (%) |
|-----|------|------------------|-------|--------|
| Overall | 81  | 956              | 0.15 [0.12, 0.18] | <0.001 | 6.4    |
| Design |      |                  |       |        |
| Crossover | 76  | 856              | 0.14 [0.11, 0.17] | <0.001 | 0      |
| Parallel | 5   | 100              | 0.36 [0.08, 0.64] | 0.011  | 32     |
| Blinding |      |                  |       |        |
| Single blind | 8   | 77               | 0.11 [-0.002, 0.22] | 0.054  | 0      |
| Double blind | 71  | 857              | 0.16 [0.12, 0.19] | <0.001 | 0      |
| Unclear | 2   | 22               | 0.07 [-0.14, 0.29] | 0.519  | 60     |
| Supplementation duration |      |                  |       |        |
| Acute | 38  | 437              | 0.12 [0.06, 0.17] | <0.001 | 13     |
| Multiple days | 43  | 519              | 0.18 [0.13, 0.22] | <0.001 | 9      |
| Sex¹  |      |                  |       |        |
| Male | 59  | 658              | 0.16 [0.12, 0.20] | <0.001 | 0      |
| Female | 4   | 48               | 0.0 [-0.12, 0.11] | 0.988  | 0      |
| Mixed | 16  | 229              | 0.15 [0.09, 0.21] | <0.001 | 0      |
| Performance level |      |                  |       |        |
| PL1² | 1   | 14               | -0.29 [-0.69, 0.11] | 0.156  | -      |
| PL2  | 23  | 290              | 0.24 [0.12, 0.35] | <0.001 | 52     |
| PL2 females |      |                  |       |        |
| PL2 males: | 17  | 181              | 0.24 [0.11, 0.36] | <0.001 | 52     |
| GXT  | 3   | 34               | 0.16 [-0.14, 0.46] | 0.300  | 80     |
| IGXT | 1   | 14               | 0.22 [0.01, 0.44] | 0.040  |        |
| ITTE | 1   | 12               | 0.47 [0.03, 0.91] | 0.038  |        |
| TT   | 4   | 47               | 0.01 [-0.28, 0.29] | 0.975  | 53     |
| TTE  | 8   | 74               | 0.39 [0.21, 0.57] | <0.001 | 27     |
| PL3  | 15  | 154              | 0.18 [0.12, 0.24] | <0.001 | 0      |
| PL3 females |      |                  |       |        |
| PL3 males: | 15  | 154              | 0.18 [0.12, 0.24] | <0.001 | 0      |
| GXT  | 2   | 17               | 0.1 [-0.27, 0.47] | 0.612  | 53     |
| ITT  | 2   | 20               | 0.17 [0.035] | 0.592  | 0      |
| TT   | 8   | 82               | 0.17 [0.1, 0.24] | <0.001 | 0      |
| TTE  | 3   | 35               | 0.26 [-0.18, 0.69] | 0.249  | 71     |
| PL4  | 8   | 87               | 0.03 [-0.14, 0.2] | 0.762  | 0      |
| PL4 females | 1   | 14               | 0.02 [-0.37, 0.42] | 0.912  | -      |
| PL4 males: | 7   | 73               | 0.03 [-0.16, 0.22] | 0.787  | 0      |
| GXT  | 1   | 12               | 2.17 [-1.8, 6.14] | 0.289  | -      |
| TT   | 6   | 61               | 0.02 [-0.17, 0.22] | 0.825  | 0      |
| PL5  | 6   | 57               | -0.02 [-0.22, 0.17] | 0.821  | 0      |
| PL5 females | 1   | 12               | -0.01 [-0.43, 0.42] | 0.980  | -      |
| PL5 males: | 5   | 45               | -0.03 [-0.25, 0.19] | 0.808  | 0      |
| ITTE | 1   | 9                | -0.07 [-0.56, 0.42] | 0.801  | -      |
| TT   | 4   | 36               | -0.02 [-0.27, 0.23] | 0.882  | 0      |
| Exercise mode |      |                  |       |        |
| Cycling | 53  | 625              | 0.17 [0.12, 0.22] | <0.001 | 22     |
| Hand cycling | 4   | 39               | 0.02 [-0.21, 0.26] | 0.859  | 0      |
| Incline walk/run | 1   | 14               | -0.29 [-0.69, 0.11] | 0.156  | -      |
| Kayaking | 2   | 14               | 0.13 [0.02, 0.28] | 0.093  | 0      |
| Rowing | 2   | 20               | 0.09 [-0.24, 0.42] | 0.604  | 0      |
| Running | 15  | 196              | 0.14 [0.07, 0.21] | <0.001 | 19     |
Most trials included participants classified as PL2, who demonstrated small, significant benefits. Male PL2 (V̇O₂max: 45-55 ml.kg.min⁻¹) trials exhibited a small, significant improvement overall and within TTE trials specifically, but showed no change in TT performance and insignificant trivial benefits for GXT performance. Within the male PL3 bracket (V̇O₂max: 55-65 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹), a significant trivial improvement was seen for overall performance, which was still evident for TT performance, while small but insignificant improvements were observed for TTE performance and trivial insignificant effects were indicated for GXT and ITT trials. No effect was present for males in the PL4 (V̇O₂max: 65-71 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) or PL5 brackets (V̇O₂max >71 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) which were evaluated predominantly through TT performance. Female PL subgroup analyses were not conducted as data were unavailable from female PL2 or PL3 trials and other PLs only included a single study.

**Effects by test type and duration**

Although effects did not vary between performance test subgroups (Qb=10.63, p=0.059), non-significant trivial effects were evident for intermittent time trial (ITT) tests, while significant trivial effects were identified for intermittent graded exercise tests (IGXT), time trials (TT) and graded exercise tests (GXT). Small, significant improvements were found in time to exhaustion (TTE) tests, whereas small non-significant benefits were observed for intermittent time to exhaustion (ITTE) tests. There was no heterogeneity within IGXT, ITT or TT trials (I²=0%), but moderate heterogeneity was present in TTE (I²=29%) trials and high heterogeneity was present for GXT (I²=47%) and ITTE (I²=60%) trials. Effect sizes within various test duration brackets during TTs and TTE tests are provided in Table 4, and meta-regression found no influence of test duration on performance outcomes for TTs (p = 0.52) or TTE tests (p = 0.48). Since PL2 and PL3 for males were the only categories that demonstrated significant benefits overall, meta-regression was also performed for this subgroup specifically, which found no influence of duration on effects within TTs (p = 0.76) or TTE tests (p = 0.64).

**Effects of different nitrate food sources**

Sub-group analysis indicated that consumption of (NO₃⁻-rich) beetroot demonstrated a significant, trivial
improvement in exercise performance (Fig. 3), with studies predominantly using beetroot juice as the delivery method (Additional Material 3). No significant effects were evident for consumption of red spinach or Swiss chard and rhubarb from a limited number of studies, but between-food effects were not statistically different between food sources of NO₃⁻ (Qₛ=1.03, p=0.60).

**Polyphenol supplementation effects**
The analysis of polyphenol effects included 71 trials and 1227 participants, indicating significant, trivial benefits for consumption of polyphenol-rich foods overall (Table 4), with these effects influenced by high heterogeneity (I² = 66%). Forty-five (of 71) trials quantified the polyphenol content of the foods consumed, but the overall effects of these trials were not different from trials where polyphenol content was unspecified, and total phenolic content of the foods consumed did not influence effects (p=0.27). There were few differences in subgroup effects (parallel, GXT, TTE, PL1, PL2, and acute trials) in comparison to all polyphenol studies combined (Table 5), and given the similarities between studies that did and did not report polyphenol content, the results presented in-text represent all polyphenol trials. Crossover trials produced trivial, significant benefits, whereas small, insignificant improvements were shown in parallel trials, although subgroup analyses indicated that results between parallel and crossover trials were not significantly different (p=0.55).

**Effects by supplementation protocol** Small, significant benefits were evident for acute supplementation and trivial, significant improvements were exhibited after multiple-day consumption, with high heterogeneity for both acute and multiple-day supplementation. Effects did not differ between acute and multiple-day supplementation (p=0.07), nor did the number of supplementation days appear to be a significant moderator of outcomes (p=0.47). Twenty-eight of 56 multiple-day studies provided an acute dose before testing, but effects were not different from multiple-day polyphenol trials that did not include a pre-testing dose (p=0.37). Seventeen trials restricted intake of foods rich in antioxidants and/or polyphenols, and subgroup analyses indicated no between-group differences with polyphenol trials that had no restrictions (Qₛ=0.74, p=0.39).

**Effects by sex and fitness** Small, significant benefits were identified for studies with males only, with no effect in female or mixed-sex studies. Small, significant improvements were evident in males in the PL2, PL3 and PL4 brackets, with no eligible studies for PL5, and VO₂max did not mediate performance effect sizes (p=0.76). Low heterogeneity (I²=0%) was evident for the male PL2 and PL4 brackets, while moderate heterogeneity (I²= 48%) was evident for male PL3 trials. Two female PL3 (VO₂max: 48-52 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) trials indicated no effects, with no other female trials available to classify by PL.

**Effects by duration and test type** Significant, trivial improvements were found for TT and TTE performance, and significant moderate improvements were evident for IGXT, with no significant effects for other test types. One IGXT study [100] likely included a reporting error (very low SD), but even with this trial removed, the effect within IGXTs remained moderate. No significant effects were identified for performance tests with durations or <5 minutes or 5-10 minutes, while trivial, significant benefits were seen in tests lasting 10-30 minutes and 30-60 minutes. Small, but significant performance decrements were seen in performance (all TT) with a duration of >60 minutes. Meta-regression did not indicate a significant influence of exercise duration within TTs (p=0.49) or TTE tests (p=0.40).

**Polyphenol-rich foods** Trials evaluating effects of polyphenol-rich foods evaluated 36 separate food sources. Effects on exercise performance are displayed in Fig. 4, with significant differences between food sources (Qₛ=32.64, p=0.002). Moderate, significant benefits were exhibited for the consumption of grape (juice), small significant improvements were seen following consumption of French maritime pine bark extract and Montmorency cherry, and significant, trivial benefits were demonstrated for NO₃⁻-depleted beetroot and pomegranate.
Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)
|                     | All polyphenol trials                          | Trials with polyphenols quantified            |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     | \( k \) | \( n \) | SMD (95% CIs) | \( p \) | \( I^2 \) (%) | \( k \) | \( n \) | SMD (95% CIs) | \( p \) | \( I^2 \) (%) |
| Overall             | 71      | 1227   | 0.17 [0.10, 0.25] | <0.001 | 66         | 45      | 829   | 0.20 [0.09, 0.30] | <0.001 | 72         |
| Design              |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| Crossover          | 52      | 730    | 0.16 [0.08, 0.23] | <0.001 | 65         | 34      | 481   | 0.14 [0.04, 0.24] | 0.006  | 66         |
| Parallel           | 19      | 497    | 0.24 [0.12, 0.49] | 0.069  | 56         | 12      | 348   | 0.47 [0.14, 0.81] | 0.006  | 59         |
| Blinding           |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| Single blind       | 6       | 122    | 0.30 [0.03, 0.91] | 0.329  | 91         | 6       | 122   | 0.30 [0.03, 0.91] | 0.329  | 91         |
| Double blind       | 58      | 987    | 0.17 [0.10, 0.24] | <0.001 | 51         | 38      | 674   | 0.19 [0.08, 0.29] | <0.001 | 67         |
| Unclear            | 7       | 118    | 0.10 [0.02, 0.46] | 0.621  | 76         | 1       | 33    | -0.18 [-0.8, 0.44] | 0.572  | -          |
| Supplementation    |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| duration           |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| Acute              | 15      | 243    | 0.21 [0.03, 0.41] | 0.046  | 85         | 5       | 101   | 0.56 [-0.07, 1.19] | 0.081  | 95         |
| Multiple days      | 56      | 984    | 0.17 [0.09, 0.25] | <0.001 | 57         | 40      | 728   | 0.17 [0.07, 0.27] | 0.001  | 61         |
| Polyphenol quantification |        |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| No                 | 26      | 398    | 0.14 [0.04, 0.25] | 0.009  | 52         |         |       |                |        |            |
| Yes                | 45      | 829    | 0.2 [0.09, 0.30] | <0.001 | 72         | Qb: 1.13 | 0.287 |                |        |            |
| Sex                |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| Male               | 45      | 729    | 0.23 [0.15, 0.32] | <0.001 | 42         | 31      | 556   | 0.20 [0.08, 0.32] | <0.001 | 46         |
| Female             | 4       | 71     | 0.01 [0.19, 0.20] | 0.948  | 0          | 3       | 55    | 0.01 [0.19, 0.21] | 0.942  | 0          |
| Mixed              | 19      | 388    | 0.05 [0.09, 0.19] | 0.460  | 78         | 8       | 179   | 0.26 [0.05, 0.57] | 0.098  | 94         |
| Performance level  |         |        |                |        |            |         |        |                |        |            |
| PL1                | 3       | 89     | 0.76 [0.37, 1.15] | <0.001 | 0          | 2       | 80    | 0.59 [-0.08, 1.25] | 0.083  | 0          |
| PL1 Females        | -       | -      |                |        |            | -       | -     |                |        |            |
| PL1 Males          | 3       | 89     | 0.76 [0.37, 1.15] | <0.001 | 0          | 2       | 80    | 0.59 [-0.08, 1.25] | 0.083  | 0          |
| GXT                | 2       | 80     | 0.59 [0.08, 1.25] | 0.083  | 0          | 2       | 80    | 0.59 [-0.08, 1.25] | 0.083  | 0          |
| TT                 | 1       | 9      | 0.86 [0.38, 1.34] | <0.001 | -          | -       | -     |                |        |            |
| PL2                | 19      | 279    | 0.12 [0.02, 0.25] | 0.059  | 36         | 14      | 207   | 0.21 [0.09, 0.34] | <0.001 | 0          |
| PL2 Females        | -       | -      |                |        |            | -       | -     |                |        |            |
| PL2 Males          | 17      | 239    | 0.21 [0.11, 0.32] | <0.001 | 0          | 14      | 207   | 0.21 [0.09, 0.34] | <0.001 | 0          |
| GXT                | 6       | 94     | 0.23 [0.02, 0.47] | 0.068  | 16         | 6       | 94    | 0.23 [-0.02, 0.47] | 0.068  | 16         |
| IGXT               | 1       | 26     | 2.54 [0.13, 4.96] | 0.039  | -          | 1       | 26    | 2.54 [0.13, 4.96] | 0.039  | -          |
| ITTE               | 1       | 13     | 0.26 [0.18, 0.7]  | 0.252  | -          | 1       | 13    | 0.26 [-0.18, 0.7]  | 0.252  | -          |
Table 5 (continued)

| All polyphenol trials | Trials with polyphenols quantified |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
|                       | k | n   | SMD (95% CIs) | p  | I² (%) | k | n   | SMD (95% CIs) | p  | I² (%) |
| TT                    | 6 | 64  | 0.18 [0.01, 0.35] | 0.042 | 0 | 5 | 54  | 0.21 [0.02, 0.39] | 0.028 | 0 |
| TTE                   | 3 | 42  | 0.21 [0.03, 0.4]  | 0.021 | 0 | 1 | 20  | 0.12 [-0.21, 0.45] | 0.492 | - |
| PL3                   | 18| 275 | 0.22 [0.08, 0.36] | 0.002 | 31 | 13| 219 | 0.22 [0.06, 0.34] | 0.002 | 36 |
| PL3 Females           | 2 | 44  | 0.05 [-0.17, 0.28] | 0.646 | 0 | 2 | 44  | 0.05 [-0.17, 0.28] | 0.646 | 0 |
| PL3 Males             | 16| 231 | 0.28 [0.14, 0.42] | <0.001 | 36 | 11| 175 | 0.28 [0.08, 0.49] | 0.006 | 39 |
| IGXT                  | 1 | 13  | 0.54 [0.1, 0.97]  | 0.016 | - | 1 | 13  | 0.54 [0.1, 0.97]  | 0.016 | - |
| TT                    | 11| 177 | 0.24 [0.08, 0.41] | 0.004 | 59 | 9 | 152 | 0.24 [0.03, 0.46] | 0.029 | 42 |
| TTE                   | 4 | 57  | 0.35 [0.01, 0.71] | 0.056 | 20 | 1 | 10  | 1.1 [-1.02, 3.22] | 0.314 | - |
| PL4                   | 2 | 19  | 0.49 [0.21, 0.77] | <0.001 | 0 | 1 | 9   | 0.41 [0.08, 0.75] | 0.015 | - |
| PL4 Females           | - | -   | -               | -     | - | - | -   | -               | -     | - |
| PL4 Males             | 2 | 19  | 0.49 [0.21, 0.77] | <0.001 | 0 | 1 | 9   | 0.41 [0.08, 0.75] | 0.015 | - |
| TT                    | 2 | 19  | 0.49 [0.21, 0.77] | <0.001 | 0 | 1 | 9   | 0.41 [0.08, 0.75] | 0.015 | - |
| PL5                   | - | -   | -               | -     | - | - | -   | -               | -     | - |
| Exercise mode         |   |     |                |       |   |   |     |                |       |   |
| Cycling               | 39| 589 | 0.15 [0.06, 0.24] | 0.001 | 54 | 27| 431 | 0.14 [0.04, 0.23] | 0.004 | 40 |
| Incline Walk/Run      | 4 | 83  | 0.54 [0.21, 0.87] | 0.001 | 0 | 2 | 60  | 0.63 [0.02, 1.24] | 0.043 | 0  |
| Rowing                | 2 | 37  | -0.32 [-1.34, 0.71] | 0.556 | 63 | 1 | 19  | -0.84 [-1.74, 0.05] | 0.064 | - |
| Running               | 24| 489 | 0.22 [0.10, 0.33] | <0.001 | 62 | 13| 290 | 0.44 [0.17, 0.71] | 0.001 | 82 |
| Swimming              | 1 | 11  | -0.18 [-0.63, 0.27] | 0.434 | - | 1 | 11  | -0.18 [-0.18, 0.27] | 0.112 | - |
| Climbing              | 1 | 18  | -0.62 [-1.0, -0.25] | 0.001 | - | 1 | 18  | -0.62 [-1.0, -0.25] | 0.001 | - |
| Test type             |   |     |                |       |   |   |     |                |       |   |
| GXT                   | 17| 352 | 0.14 [-0.001, 0.28] | 0.052 | 23 | 11| 249 | 0.22 [0.04, 0.39] | 0.016 | 37 |
| IGXT                  | 4 | 73  | 1.09 [0.50, 1.68] | <0.001 | 66 | 4 | 73  | 1.09 [0.50, 1.68] | <0.001 | 66 |
| ITTE                  | 1 | 13  | 0.26 [0.18, 0.70] | 0.252 | - | 1 | 13  | 0.26 [0.18, 0.70] | 0.252 | - |
| TT                    | 35| 549 | 0.12 [0.03, 0.21] | 0.011 | 59 | 24| 370 | 0.10 [0.01, 0.20] | 0.037 | 41 |
| TTE                   | 14| 240 | 0.16 [0.01, 0.31] | 0.037 | 63 | 12| 124 | 0.17 [-0.39, 0.72] | 0.563 | 88 |
| Test duration         |   |     |                |       |   |   |     |                |       |   |
| <5 mins               | 4 | 49  | 0.26 [0.08, 0.59] | 0.133 | 91 | 1 | 12  | -0.08 [-0.21, 0.06] | 0.257 | - |

Exercise mode

Qb: 6.32 0.097 Qb: 10.15 0.006
Cycling 39 589 0.15 [0.06, 0.24] 0.001 54 27 431 0.14 [0.04, 0.23] 0.004 40
Incline Walk/Run 4 83 0.54 [0.21, 0.87] 0.001 0 2 60 0.63 [0.02, 1.24] 0.043 0
Rowing 2 37 -0.32 [-1.34, 0.71] 0.556 63 1 19 -0.84 [-1.74, 0.05] 0.064 -
Running 24 489 0.22 [0.10, 0.33] <0.001 62 13 290 0.44 [0.17, 0.71] 0.001 82
Swimming 1 11 -0.18 [-0.63, 0.27] 0.434 - 1 11 -0.18 [-0.18, 0.27] 0.112 -
Climbing 1 18 -0.62 [-1.0, -0.25] 0.001 - 1 18 -0.62 [-1.0, -0.25] 0.001 -
Test type

Qb: 10.29 0.016 Qb: 11.45 0.009
GXT 17 352 0.14 [-0.001, 0.28] 0.052 23 11 249 0.22 [0.04, 0.39] 0.016 37
IGXT 4 73 1.09 [0.50, 1.68] <0.001 66 4 73 1.09 [0.50, 1.68] <0.001 66
ITTE 1 13 0.26 [0.18, 0.70] 0.252 - 1 13 0.26 [-0.18, 0.70] 0.252 -
TT 35 549 0.12 [0.03, 0.21] 0.011 59 24 370 0.10 [0.01, 0.20] 0.037 41
TTE 14 240 0.16 [0.01, 0.31] 0.037 63 12 124 0.17 [-0.39, 0.72] 0.563 88
Test duration

Qb: 2.40 0.663 Qb: 5.57 0.135
<5 mins: 4 49 0.26 [0.08, 0.59] 0.133 91 1 12 -0.08 [-0.21, 0.06] 0.257 -
|                  | All polyphenol trials | Trials with polyphenols quantified |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                  | k  | n  | SMD (95% CIs) | p   | I² (%) | k  | n  | SMD (95% CIs) | p   | I² (%) |
| TT               | 2  | 21 | 0.36 [0.55, 1.27] | 0.446 | 93 | 1  | 12 | -0.08 [-0.21, 0.06] | 0.257 | - |
| TTE              | 2  | 28 | 0.20 [0.07, 0.33] | 0.002 | 0  | -  | -  | -               | -   | -    |
| 5-10 mins:       | 9  | 124| 0.08 [0.14, 0.30] | 0.472 | 73 | 4  | 56 | -0.11 [-0.43, 0.22] | 0.532 | 76 |
| TT               | 3  | 36 | -0.01 [0.16, 0.14] | 0.873 | 0  | 2  | 18 | -0.02 [-0.17, 0.13] | 0.807 | 0  |
| TTE              | 6  | 88 | 0.11 [0.23, 0.45] | 0.532 | 80 | 2  | 38 | -0.25 [-0.97, 0.48] | 0.516 | 88 |
| 10-30 mins:      | 19 | 339| 0.19 [0.12, 0.26] | <0.001 | 0  | 12 | 234| 0.22 [0.1, 0.33] | <0.001 | 14 |
| TT               | 16 | 264| 0.21 [0.1, 0.32] | <0.001 | 27 | 10 | 176| 0.21 [0.06, 0.36] | 0.006 | 35 |
| TTE              | 3  | 75 | 0.18 [0.02, 0.34] | 0.031 | 0  | 2  | 58 | 0.21 [0.03, 0.4] | 0.024 | 0  |
| 30-60 mins:      | 9  | 122| 0.12 [0.03, 0.21] | 0.008 | 0  | 6  | 77 | 0.11 [0.01, 0.2] | 0.036 | 0  |
| TT               | 7  | 101| 0.12 [0.03, 0.21] | 0.008 | 0  | 6  | 77 | 0.11 [0.01, 0.2] | 0.036 | 0  |
| TTE              | 2  | 21 | 0.04 [0.32, 0.41] | 0.828 | 19 | -  | -  | -               | -   | -    |
| >60 mins:        | 5  | 104| 0.14 [0.38, 0.66] | 0.608 | 83 | 3  | 64 | 0.52 [0.2, 1.4] | 0.206 | 71 |
| TT               | 4  | 76 | -0.08 [0.46, 0.29] | 0.673 | 65 | 2  | 36 | 0.33 [0.61, 1.27] | 0.506 | 75 |
| TTE              | 1  | 28 | 0.97 [0.21, 1.73] | 0.013 | -  | 1  | 28 | 0.97 [0.21, 1.73] | 0.013 | -  |
| Overall (TT & TTE) | 46 | 738| 0.14 [0.06, 0.21] | <0.001 | 59 | 26 | 443| 0.11 [0.01, 0.21] | 0.038 | 61 |

1 Sex not specified in three trials. Abbreviations – GXT, graded exercise test; IGXT, intermittent graded exercise test; ITTE, intermittent time to exhaustion; k, number of trials; LCI, lower confidence interval; n, pooled sample size; PL, performance level; Qb, between-group Q-statistic; SMD, standardised mean difference (Hedge’s g); TT, time-trial; TTE, time to exhaustion; UCI, upper confidence interval.
**Polyphenol supplementation forest plot.** Abbreviations – CI, confidence interval; GXT, graded exercise test; IGXT, intermittent graded exercise test; ITTE, intermittent time to exhaustion; k, number of trials; n, sample size; PL, performance level; Qb, between-group Q-statistic; SMD, standardised mean difference (Hedge’s g); supp., supplementation; TT, time-trial; TTE, time to exhaustion; $V_{\text{max}}$, maximal running velocity.

| Supplement | n  | Trial (condition) | SMD (95% CI) | Weight |
|------------|----|-------------------|--------------|--------|
| **Almonds** |    |                   |              |        |
| Yi et al. 2014 [104] | 10 | TT                | 0.65 [0.14, 1.16] | 1.2%   |
| **Aloe arborescens** |    |                   |              |        |
| Basta et al. 2013 [105] | 18 | TT                | 0.20 [-0.67, 1.08] | 0.5%   |
| **American ginseng** |    |                   |              |        |
| Hsu et al. 2005 [106] | 13 | TTE               | 0.20 [0.22, 0.61] | 1.5%   |
| Morris et al. 1996 [107] | 8 | TTE               | -0.18 [-0.70, 0.34] | 1.1%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 19.3\%$, $H^2 = 1.24$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=2.23, $p$=0.03 | 0.04 [0.32, 0.41] |
| **Banana** |    |                   |              |        |
| Nieman et al. 2018 [108] | 20 | TT (Cavendish banana) | -0.19 [0.52, 0.14] | 1.9%   |
| Nieman et al. 2018 [108] | 20 | TT (mini-yellow banana) | -0.59 [-0.75, -0.05] | 1.9%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=-2.38, $p$=0.17 | -0.29 [-0.53, -0.05] |
| **Beetroot (nitrate-depleted)** |    |                   |              |        |
| Lansley et al. 2011b [72] | 9 | TTE               | 0.06 [-0.43, 0.55] | 1.3%   |
| Montenegro et al. 2017 [109] | 22 | TT                | 0.13 [0.02, 0.25] | 3.1%   |
| Mumford et al. 2018 [110] | 24 | TT                | 0.22 [0.01, 0.46] | 2.4%   |
| Van Hoornebeke et al. 2016 [111] | 15 | TT                | 0.14 [-0.01, 0.27] | 1.0%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=3.60, $p$<0.001 | 0.15 [0.07, 0.22] |
| **Blackcurrant** |    |                   |              |        |
| Skarupinska-Stelnborn et al. 2006 [112] | 19 | TT                | -0.84 [-1.73, 0.05] | 0.5%   |
| **Blueberry** |    |                   |              |        |
| Brandenburg et al. 2019 [113] | 14 | TT (2 day supp.) | -0.00 [-0.39, 0.39] | 1.6%   |
| Brandenburg et al. 2019 [113] | 14 | TT (4 day supp.) | -0.01 [-0.40, 0.38] | 1.6%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=0.04, $p$=0.97 | -0.01 [-0.12, 0.07] |
| **Carob** |    |                   |              |        |
| Gamaoui et al. 2019 [114] | 23 | IGXT             | 1.03 [0.50, 1.56] | 1.1%   |
| **Chokeberry and beetroot** |    |                   |              |        |
| Pospiszewska et al. 2016 [115] | 11 | TT                | -0.18 [-0.63, 0.27] | 1.4%   |
| **Citrus** |    |                   |              |        |
| Overdeest et al. 2018 [116] | 39 | TT                | 0.32 [-0.03, 0.68] | 1.8%   |
| **Cocoa/chocolate** |    |                   |              |        |
| allergue et al. 2011 [117] | 20 | TTE               | 0.12 [0.21, 0.45] | 1.9%   |
| Decroix et al. 2017 [118] | 12 | TT                | 0.33 [0.03, 0.69] | 1.8%   |
| Decroix et al. 2018 [119] | 14 | TT                | 0.07 [0.32, 0.46] | 1.6%   |
| Patel, Bronner & Spendling 2015 [120] | 9 | TT                | 0.86 [0.38, 1.34] | 1.3%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.7\%$, $H^2 = 6.0\%$, $H^2 = 2.70$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=1.95, $p$=0.052 | 0.32 [0.00, 0.63] |
| **Coffeeberry** |    |                   |              |        |
| Ostoic et al. 2008 [121] | 20 | GXT              | -0.66 [-1.52, 0.20] | 0.5%   |
| **Cranberries and grape seeds** |    |                   |              |        |
| Labonté et al. 2013 [122] | 12 | TT                | -0.08 [-0.21, 0.06] | 3.0%   |
| **Danggu buxu tang** Chang et al. 2018 [133] | 28 | TT                | 0.88 [0.04, 1.71] | 0.6%   |
| **Ecklonia Cava** Oh et al. 2010 [124] | 20 | GXT              | 0.63 [0.02, 1.23] | 0.9%   |
| **French maritime pine** Bentley et al. 2012 [135] | 9 | TTE               | 0.32 [-0.18, 0.82] | 1.2%   |
| Clifford et al. 2013 [126] | 9 | TT                | 0.35 [-0.16, 0.85] | 1.2%   |
| Mach et al. 2010 [127] | 13 | TTE               | 0.78 [0.11, 1.46] | 0.8%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=2.78, $p$=0.001 | 0.43 [0.12, 0.75] |
| **Ginger** |    |                   |              |        |
| Nayeblif et al. 2016 [128] | 16 | GXT              | -0.02 [-0.94, 0.89] | 0.5%   |
| **Grape** |    |                   |              |        |
| O’Connor et al. 2013 [129] | 40 | GXT              | 0.84 [0.21, 1.47] | 0.9%   |
| Toscano et al. 2015 [130] | 28 | TT                | 0.97 [0.21, 1.73] | 0.7%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=3.90, $p$<0.001 | 0.89 [0.41, 1.37] |
| **Grape and apple** Deley et al. 2017 [131] | 48 | TTE             | 0.21 [0.02, 0.39] | 2.8%   |
| **Green tea** Dean, Braakhuizen & Patton 2009 [132] | 8 | TT                | -0.09 [-0.55, 0.37] | 1.4%   |
| Eichenberger et al. 2010 [133] | 9 | TT                | -0.26 [-0.69, 0.17] | 1.5%   |
| Kuo et al. 2014 [134] | 20 | GXT (No training) | 0.34 [-0.50, 1.17] | 0.6%   |
| Kuo et al. 2014 [134] | 20 | GXT (With training) | 1.02 [-0.08, 2.12] | 0.4%   |
| Martin et al. 2014 [39] | 14 | TT                | 0.07 [0.35, 0.49] | 1.5%   |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 0.0\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$ | Test of $Q$: $Z$=0.07, $p$=0.94 | -0.01 [-0.24, 0.23] |
Small effects were found for the consumption of cocoa/chocolate, but this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.052). No effects (SMD<0.1) were evident for the consumption of American or Siberian ginseng, blueberry, green tea, New Zealand blackcurrant, peanut and mango leaf, or raisins, while a significant, trivial performance decrement was evident following banana consumption. Two Panax ginseng studies [101, 102].

Fig. 4 continued
and one study investigating the effects of honey consumption [103] did not provide sufficient information for inclusion in the meta-analysis, and each of these studies failed to demonstrate significant effects on exercise performance.

**L-citrulline/Watermelon juice supplementation**

L-citrulline (consumed via watermelon juice in all studies) had insignificant trivial detrimental effects on exercise performance based on the results of 4 trials (Figure 5). As shown in Additional Material 4, no significant effects were evident for any subgroup analysis (e.g. exercise mode, type, VO2max etc.) and all included studies featured low statistical heterogeneity (I2 = 0%). An additional study by Tarazona-Diaz et al. [161] not included in the meta-analysis due to insufficient data reporting also failed to demonstrate any effect of watermelon juice on exercise performance.

**Discussion**

**Key findings**

Consumption of foods rich in NO3⁻ and polyphenols exhibited trivial beneficial effects on endurance exercise performance, while no effects of consuming foods rich in L-citrulline were apparent. Grapes, French maritime pine bark and Montmorency cherry, were the most effective food sources of polyphenols, and males may benefit further from polyphenol consumption, with small performance improvements (including small and moderate effects in TT and IGXT performance, respectively). Females do not appear to benefit from consumption of NO3⁻ or polyphenols, although there are sample size limitations. Fitness does not appear to influence the response to polyphenol consumption, while less-trained male athletes (VO2max: 45-55 ml.kg.min⁻¹) may obtain the greatest benefits from NO3⁻ consumption and no effects of NO3⁻ are seen in more highly trained athletes (VO2max: >65 ml.kg.min⁻¹).

**Nitrate**

**Findings and proposed mechanisms**

This meta-analysis provided evidence that consumption of dietary NO3⁻, particularly via consumption of beetroot, provided trivial but significant benefits for endurance exercise performance. This finding corresponds with other reviews that have investigated the ergogenic potential of NO3⁻, despite some differences in eligible performance measures (e.g. minimum performance duration, inclusion of non-locomotor performance) and the inclusion of non-food-derived sources such as sodium and potassium NO3⁻ [165, 166]. Within this review, NO3⁻ consumption displayed significant, small benefits for TTE performance and trivial benefits for TT, GXT performance, with effect sizes aligned with those of McMahon et al. [165]. This review also assessed the effects of NO3⁻ on intermittent performance tests, which are more relevant for team-sport athletes given the intermittent nature of their competition, and trivial benefits were found for ITT and IGXT performance. Consistent with Campos et al. [165], we found that effects of NO3⁻ on exercise performance are reduced in more highly conditioned athletes. Sub-group analyses indicated that while no effects were evident for athletes with higher VO2max values (>65 ml.kg.min⁻¹), there were significant small and trivial benefits from NO3⁻ consumption in males with a VO2max of 45-55 and 55-65 ml.kg.min⁻¹, respectively.

Overall, these results suggest that beetroot juice may confer the greatest benefit in males of lower fitness, particularly during TTE tests. The improvements in exercise performance may have resulted from increases in nitric oxide synthesis and vascular function, as inferred via elevated levels of NO3⁻ and nitrite, and reductions in systolic blood pressure (Additional Material 3), which enhance oxygen delivery and exercise efficiency [8]. These exercise effects were most apparent in less-trained individuals, who demonstrated more frequent reductions in submaximal oxygen consumption and
augmentation of tissue oxygenation and oxygen uptake kinetics following NO\textsubscript{3}− supplementation (Additional Material 3). Improvements in exercise performance with NO\textsubscript{3}− intake are believed to be a result of improved mechanical efficiency and VO\textsubscript{2} kinetics that derive from effects on fast-twitch muscle fibres [8], such as enhancement of excitation-contraction coupling. However, the reduced percentage of fast-twitch fibres and increased expression of calcium handling proteins [167] in elite endurance athletes, may limit these effects, in addition to their increased levels of endogenous nitric oxide synthesis [168, 169], vascular function [26, 29] and habitual dietary NO\textsubscript{3}− intake [170].

**Food-specific effects of nitrate consumption**

While beetroot juice was the predominant food source of NO\textsubscript{3}− in the included trials, which demonstrated trivial benefits for performance, a small number of studies evaluated the effect of NO\textsubscript{3}− from other sources, such as red spinach [97, 98], Swiss chard and rhubarb [99]. These studies reported no benefit for exercise performance; however this may be due to the lower NO\textsubscript{3}− content (~1.5 mmol vs average of 8.4 mmol within included studies) [97, 98] and the assessment of time-trial performance in trained (PL2) males, for which NO\textsubscript{3}− consumption overall demonstrated no benefit [99].

Notably, the included (NO\textsubscript{3}−-rich) beetroot studies predominantly used NO\textsubscript{3}−-depleted beetroot juice as the comparator, and these studies are therefore assessing the effects of their high NO\textsubscript{3}− content, rather than their overall nutritional properties. Beetroot juice, including commercial concentrated shots (e.g. James White Drinks Ltd, Ipswich, UK) typically used in studies, possesses high total phenolic content and antioxidant activity [171–173], which may confer additional advantages for exercise performance. Lansley et al. [72] found no significant effects of consuming NO\textsubscript{3}−-depleted beetroot juice, suggesting that ergogenic effects of beetroot juice are attributable to its NO\textsubscript{3}− content, yet within this review, NO\textsubscript{3}−-depleted beetroot supplementation exhibited trivial but significant benefits for performance [72, 109–111]. Thus, the full effects of beetroot may be somewhat underestimated in studies that have used NO\textsubscript{3}−-depleted beetroot juice as a comparator.

**Polyphenols**

**Findings and proposed mechanism**

The current analysis identified that consumption of polyphenol-rich foods, both acutely and over several days, resulted in trivial but significant effects on endurance exercise performance. This is in agreement with a previous polyphenol meta-analysis [174], although their included interventions included purified polyphenol extracts from unspecified food sources in addition to whole-food extracts. Responses between and within foods varied significantly in the present analysis, as demonstrated by high heterogeneity, which may reflect the complex interplay between the food matrix, its phytochemical and nutritional composition, background diet and other genetic factors that have been shown to produce significant inter-individual variation in the responses to polyphenol consumption [19, 20]. This variation in the response between different foods is typified by both the non-significant influence of total polyphenolic content on effect size, as well the differential effects between food sources with similar predominant polyphenolic compounds (e.g. anthocyanins in blueberries, cherries and blackcurrant), as can be seen in Table 6 and the phenolic content of interventions listed in Additional Material 3. Further differences in interventions of included studies such as the consumption of single vs multiple polyphenol-rich food sources, the use of whole foods, juices, powders or extracts, as well as restrictions on specific foods or antioxidant sources, may contribute to such heterogeneous responses. Grape, (NO\textsubscript{3}−-depleted) beetroot, French maritime pine, pomegranate and Montmorency cherry were the only polyphenol-rich foods that demonstrated significant ergogenic effects, while foods sources such as carob, Danggui Buxue Tang, Ecklonia cava, Rhodiola rosea, Spirulina platensis and yerba mate have shown promising effects within single studies and warrant further investigation.

The potential benefits of polyphenols for exercise performance are frequently attributed to their proposed ability to enhance vascular function and limit oxidative damage during exercise by upregulating endogenous antioxidant capacity. However, direct evidence of the physiological mechanisms underpinning the performance changes observed within the included studies was limited. As shown in Additional Material 3, only five polyphenol studies included a direct measure of nitric oxide status (e.g. plasma NO\textsubscript{3}− or NO\textsubscript{2}−), with only one study [149] demonstrating a significant increase in any of these biomarkers (plasma NO\textsubscript{3}−) following polyphenol (pomegranate) consumption. Similarly, only 14 polyphenol studies investigated effects on vascular parameters such as flow-mediated dilation (FMD) and blood pressure. Improvements in resting FMD were only observed following consumption of cocoa [119] and a cranberry and grape seed extract [122] in well-trained and elite athletes, respectively, while two studies found post-exercise (30–90 minutes) improvements in blood flow [151], and systolic blood pressure [138]. Food-derived polyphenol consumption has had inconsistent effects on vascular
function in other healthy populations overall, although consumption of specific polyphenol sources such as tea, cocoa/chocolate and soy has demonstrated more consistent improvements in FMD [191, 192], and thus vascular effects may also be food-specific. However, vascular and skeletal muscle neuronal and endothelial NOS are upregulated by chronic exercise [3], fitness is significantly correlated with nitric oxide production [26, 168] and athletes may also have greater NO bioavailability via enhanced antioxidant defences, all of which may limit the vascular benefits seen in other populations. There was also little evidence for other mechanisms of action, such as improvements in markers of oxidative stress and muscle damage, or internal performance parameters (e.g. oxygen consumption, blood lactate accumulation). Thus, the mechanisms by which polyphenol-rich foods improve endurance exercise performance requires further consideration.

**Influence of fitness** While the positive effects of NO₃⁻ consumption appeared to decrease with greater levels of aerobic fitness, such a relationship was not apparent in polyphenol trials, as small benefits were evident across a range of fitness levels (PL1, PL3 and PL4). When restricted to males only, small, significant benefits were shown in PL2 trials. Of considerable interest is that within males, small effects remained for TT performance within each PL3 & PL4 (only one TT trial in PL1). A 2017 meta-analysis of the performance effects of polyphenols, though not restricted to food sources, also reported that training status did not affect response to supplementation, with polyphenols having a significant ergogenic effect overall [174]. Unlike NO₃⁻ trials, no data were available for PL5 athletes within this review, although several studies have been conducted on high-level endurance athletes where VO₂max data was unavailable, or a PL was not allocated due to test modality differences. No effects were seen following polyphenol supplementation in national-level rowers [105, 112], a cohort of elite athletes (primarily speed-skaters) [122], or national-level runners [147], although the latter two studies [122, 147] utilised a performance test mode that was not specific to their discipline. Given the lack of polyphenol studies evaluating the responses of elite endurance athletes, the efficacy of polyphenol consumption for this population remains unclear and warrants further investigation.

**Influence of polyphenol consumption protocol (dosage & supplementation duration)** Several sub-group analyses were used to investigate the possible influences of dose and duration of consumption on the response to polyphenol-rich foods. Regression analysis indicated that total phenolic content was not a significant moderator of effects, suggesting a lack of a dose-response relationship between polyphenol intake and endurance exercise performance. Further, two included studies provided separate effect sizes for responses to differing levels of polyphenol content of the same food, and while these studies indicated no dose-response relationship, neither study induced a significant effect in response to any dosage [108, 148]. There were also no significant differences in the effects between acute and multiple-day consumption, suggesting no influence of

### Table 6 Key polyphenols found in review food sources

| Group            | Subclasses                        | Food sources                              | Example compounds                      |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Flavonoids       | Anthocyanidins                   | Berries, cherries, blackcurrants, grapes  | Cyanidin                               |
|                  | Flavanols (Flavan-3-ol)          | Green tea, cocoa, [15]                    | Catechin                               |
|                  | Flavanones                       | Citrus, cherries [15]                     | Hesperidin                             |
|                  | Flavones                         | Beetroot, fruit skins, Thai ginseng [15, 175] | Quercetin                             |
|                  | Flavonols                        | Apples, beetroot, cherries, nuts, olive oil, cranberries [15] | Gallic acid |
| Phenolic acids   | Benzoic acid derivatives         | Beetroot, nuts, cranberries, ginger, chokeberry [15], Yerba mate [176], ginseng [177–179], cranberries, banana [180], Aloe arborescens [181], Danggui Buxue Tang [182], honey [183], Spirulina [184], Protandim [185, 186] | Vanillic acid |
|                  | Cinnamic acid derivatives        |                                           |                                        |
| Stilbenes        | Stillbenoids                      | Grapes, nuts [15]                         | Resveratrol                            |
| Tannins          | Hydrosoluble tannins             | Pomegranate, blackcurrant, French maritime bark [187], Carob [188], Ecklonia cava [189], Rhodiola rosea [190] | Ellagitannins                          |
|                  | Condensed tannins (Proanthocyanidins) |                                           | Procyanid                              |
|                  | Phenolotannins                   |                                           | Dieckol                                |
duration of consumption. Three studies investigated the responses to polyphenol consumption across different supplementation periods but showed no effect for any supplementation length [113, 148, 156]. Also, while some multiple-day NO$_3^-$ loading protocols included an additional acute dose approximately 2.5 hours before exercise performance testing, only half of the multiple-day polyphenol trials reported the use of an acute dose (see Additional Material 3). Pharmacokinetic analyses have indicated that plasma polyphenol concentrations typically peak around 2.2 hours post-ingestion [193], yet effects between multiple-day polyphenol trials that did or did not include an additional acute dose before performance testing were equivocal. Given the unclear role of food total phenolic content, variation in pre-exercise consumption timing and similar results between acute and multiple-day supplementation, these results do not provide clear insights into recommendations for consumption protocols that can optimise the ergogenic response to polyphenol-rich foods.

**L-Citrulline/Watermelon Juice**

Four watermelon juice studies were included within the review, which were conducted in participants of multiple fitness levels (two trials each for PL2 and PL3) and assessed performance through GXTs, ITTs, TTs and TTE tests. However, the included studies had significant differences in the l-citrulline content of the watermelon juice used. Bailey et al. [162] used concentrated watermelon juice containing 2.3-3.4 times the l-citrulline content in the pureed juice used in other included studies [161, 163, 164] and was the also only study to demonstrate an increase in pre-exercise plasma NO$_3^-$ or any marker of nitric oxide synthesis (Additional Material 3). Despite increases in submaximal tissue oxygenation, Bailey et al. [162] indicated minimal effects on exercise performance, oxygen consumption or oxygen uptake kinetics, and no other studies noted improvements in internal performance indicators. Thus, no effect of watermelon juice consumption on exercise performance was observed overall, or within any individual study.

**Areas for future research**

**Sex differences in effects of nitric oxide-related supplementation**

While trivial effects were evident for the consumption of NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol-rich foods, neither nutrient was effective in enhancing female endurance exercise performance (g=0.0 and 0.01, respectively) based on data from four trials. Polyphenol mixed-sex trials also demonstrated no effect on exercise performance, whereas trials of males only demonstrated small, significant effects. This finding is in agreement with that of a polyphenol meta-analysis by Somerville, Bringans and Braakhuis [174], who noted an attenuated effect (magnitude not specified) of exercise performance in studies that included both females and males.

Regarding the lack of efficacy of NO$_3^-$ consumption for improving exercise performance in females, it is difficult to determine whether the lack of effect is attributable to biological differences between the sexes or the contexts of the studies. All four trials that assessed the effect of NO$_3^-$ on exercise performance in females assessed time-trial performance, and were predominantly conducted in high-level athletes, with two studies conducted in participants classified as PL4 [62] and PL5 [70], and one study conducted in national-level water polo players. Hence, the absence of effects in these trials may be attributable to the decreased efficacy demonstrated for both time-trial performance and fitter athletes within this review, although an included study by de Castro, de Assis Manoel, and Machado [59] found no effects in untrained females. Overall, the small number of female trials, particularly in less well-trained athletes, combined with the similar effect sizes between mixed-sex (although these were predominantly comprised of males) trials and male-only trials, makes it difficult to infer whether there are genuine sex differences in the response to NO$_3^-$ consumption.

If sex differences in the response to polyphenol and NO$_3^-$ consumption do exist, several potential mechanisms may be responsible. Females have increased endothelium-dependent dilation [28], which may be attributable to elevated levels of plasma nitrite as a result of enhanced NO$_3^-$-reducing activity by oral bacteria [194] and an augmenting role of estrogen in eNOS expression [27]. The increased proportion of slow-twitch muscle fibres in females [195] may be an additional factor, given that NO$_3^-$ consumption proposedly confers its ergogenic potential primarily via effects on fast-twitch muscle fibres [8]. Females also appear to have reduced levels of oxidative stress compared with males, which may be due to a variety of factors including the antioxidant properties of estrogen [30]. While these factors may provide mechanistic underpinnings for the reduced response observed in females, studies in females are underrepresented in the literature, comprising only 18% of the participants within the meta-analysis and female-only studies were even more limited. Thus, further research of potential sex differences in the response to NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol consumption is certainly still warranted.

**Effects of exercise intensity on responses to supplementation**

Metabolic acidosis is proposed to inhibit NO synthesis through the NOS-dependent pathway while enhancing
synthesis through the NOS-independent pathway [196], which would suggest distinct intensity-dependent effects of NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol consumption. However, there appeared to be no moderating influence of test duration on effect sizes for TT or TTE tests following both NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol consumption. Several studies assessed the effects of NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol consumption across multiple exercise intensities, with mixed results. Investigating the effects of NO$_3^-$ on TTE at increasing exercise intensities, Kelly et al. [67] reported decreasing effect sizes (Hedge’s $g = 1.06, 0.64, 0.62$ and $0.48$, respectively) as intensity increased and no significant effect at maximal intensity (VO$_{2\text{peak}}$). Similarly, [151] Trexler et al. found significant TTE improvements at $90\%$ and $100\%$, but not $110\%$, of peak aerobic velocity following pomegranate extract consumption. Two studies conducted multiple distance TTs following NO$_3^-$ consumption, with Shannon et al. [85] noting positive effects in a 1.5 km but not 10 km running TT, whereas no differences between improvements were seen between 4 and 16.1 km cycling TT performance by Lansley et al. [71]. Regarding intermittent performance, Wylie et al. [95] found significant increases in mean power output during 24 repeated six-second sprints, but no effect on exercise performance across six 60-second efforts. Overall, these studies do not provide a clear indication of whether the effectiveness of consuming foods that promote synthesis of nitric oxide may be influenced by the intensity/duration of the performance test.

**Potential influence of other dietary factors**

The bioavailability of polyphenols is dependent on several factors including the phytochemical and overall composition of their food source matrix, background diet and genetic factors, particularly intestinal microbiota [25, 191]. Most included studies increased polyphenol intake through supplementation with a specific product or food, rather than a more holistic dietary intervention that increases polyphenol intake from various food sources. Notable exceptions included Knab et al. (2014), who utilised a fruit and vegetable juice blend powder, while a study by Ueberschlag et al. (2016) used Protandim, a mix of milk thistle, bacopa, ashwagandha root, turmeric and green tea, but no significant performance improvements were seen in either of these studies. There is evidence that interactions between specific combinations of polyphenols and their food sources can have both synergistic and inhibitory effects on antioxidant activity [18, 197], but whether this may influence exercise performance is not yet established. It has also been postulated that the co-ingestion of NO$_3^-$ and polyphenols could have a synergistic effect on nitric oxide status [18, 191], which may contribute to increased efficacy of NO$_3^-$ administered as beetroot juice in comparison to sodium NO$_3^-$ [198], although limited evidence is available in support of this [17]. Baker et al. [199] found significant improvements in TT performance following a four-day Mediterranean diet intervention where consumption of olive oil, fruits, nuts, seeds and vegetables was significantly increased, although there were also changes in intake of fish and red meat. Thus, while food-derived polyphenol consumption was shown to have significant overall benefits within this review, further research is still warranted into whether polyphenol consumption through a more holistic, whole foods-based approach may still enhance endurance exercise performance and whether the co-consumption of polyphenols NO$_3^-$-rich foods could confer any additional ergogenic effects.

Given the potential interactions of NO$_3^-$ and polyphenols with other dietary factors, it is also of interest as to whether nitric oxide-related supplements may affect the responses to other ergogenic aids such as caffeine. Four beetroot studies investigated the effects of beetroot juice both alone and in combination but indicated that beetroot juice had neither a positive effect independently, nor did it influence the ergogenic effect of caffeine [62, 63, 70, 80]. These studies were all conducted on highly-trained athletes (all ≥PL4) and three of the four studies assessed performance via a TT, with both factors having reduced ergogenic effects within this review. No included polyphenol studies investigated any interactional effects with caffeine, although an excluded study investigating the effects of consuming coffee rich in caffeine and chlorogenic acid demonstrated no effects on TT performance despite comparison against a decaffeinated placebo [200].

**Effects on training adaptations**

While it appears that NO$_3^-$ and polyphenol consumption can enhance endurance exercise performance, it is also important to evaluate whether their consumption may influence an athlete’s response to training. While most studies controlled each individual’s training performed during the interventions, several studies investigated responses to polyphenol consumption during a training program. NO$_3^-$-rich beetroot juice consumption enhanced adaptations to sprint interval training [35, 89], which may be related to enhancing exercise capacity during training [201], as well as remodelling of skeletal muscle towards oxidative phenotypes [35], although this has not been consistently found [89]. While Kuo et al. [134] found no effects of green tea consumption on its own, an ergogenic response was shown when it was combined with an endurance training protocol. This interactional effect has not been replicated by other polyphenols studies however, with no discernible effects evident following consumption of ginger and New Zealand blackcurrant in
combination with high-intensity interval training [128, 140], although both of these studies were in females. It has been proposed that there is a hormetic relationship between the oxidative stress induced by training and subsequent adaptations, whereby an optimal amount of oxidative damage is needed to maximise training adaptations, whereas inadequate or excess levels can both result in negative responses [202]. Indeed, chronic antioxidant supplementation with vitamin E has been linked to impaired performance [203], and varied responses have been demonstrated following vitamin C supplementation [204]. Presently, the lack of negative effects overall and in response to the same training suggests that consumption of polyphenol and NO₃⁻-rich foods does not impair adaptations to training, although their ability to augment these adaptations requires further investigation.

Limitations

Polyphenol inclusion criteria

One limitation of identifying polyphenol-rich foods within the present study was that there is no set definition of what this entails, and often studies did not specify phenolic content or reported only the composition of specific polyphenolic compounds and total phenolic content was unclear. This issue was identified during the development of the search strategy, and thus it was decided that a separate meta-analysis would also be conducted for studies that did report phenolic content. However, as seen in Table 6, results largely did not differ between studies that did and did not report phenolic content.

Several foods featured in the included studies also contained other bioactive compounds (e.g. other antioxidants such as polysaccharides, carotenoids, ginsenosides, vitamins C and E) that may confound the effects of their phenolic content. While there are some exceptions [184], in many such foods, antioxidant activity remains very strongly linked to total phenolic content [178, 205–207], suggesting that polyphenols are the primary driver of their antioxidant properties. Also, in the interest of evaluating the effectiveness of these foods overall and maintaining ecological validity, foods were deemed eligible unless such compounds were added to foods separately.

Risk of Bias

In contrast to older reviews that used the previous Cochrane RoB Tool, no studies within this review were classified as low risk using the RoB Tool 2.0, reflecting its more explicit, detailed requirements that were not aligned well with sports nutrition and exercise science reporting standards. Future publications should provide more specific details to better ascertain risk, particularly regarding specification of the randomisation process used, allocation sequence concealment, compliance with dietary interventions, and reference to pre-specified data analysis protocols, as these were poorly addressed by included studies.

Conclusion

Consumption of foods rich in NO₃⁻ and polyphenols may provide trivial beneficial effects for endurance exercise performance, while consumption of foods rich in L-citrulline, currently limited only to studies of watermelon juice, does not appear to affect performance. Beetroot juice has been extensively studied and its NO₃⁻ content confers ergogenic effects in various exercise types in populations that are not considered well-trained. Other food sources of NO₃⁻ require further investigation of their ergogenic capacity. Food-derived polyphenols appear to have the potential to enhance TT performance to a similar extent as beetroot juice, although more research is needed regarding its efficacy for use in highly trained athletes. No effects were evident for the consumption of polyphenols from New Zealand blackcurrant, cocoa, ginseng, green tea and raisins, but significant benefits were shown for the consumption of grape, beetroot (NO₃⁻-depleted), French maritime pine, Montmorency cherry and pomegranate across multiple studies. However, caution should be exercised in translating these ergogenic effects to other food sources of polyphenols, as there seems to be considerable variation in the effects between foods that cannot be attributed to differences in total phenolic content or key polyphenolic compounds. Distinct responses to NO₃⁻ and polyphenol supplementation were also observed between males and females, with females not demonstrating any benefit for exercise performance. This may be due to sex-based differences in nitric oxide synthesis, vascular function and oxidative stress, and/or the limited number of female studies and the training status of the participants. NO₃⁻-rich food consumption increases nitric oxide synthesis, and its physiological effects are more clearly linked to increases in muscle oxygen delivery and exercise efficiency, whereas polyphenol-rich foods have less clearly established effects on nitric oxide synthesis, vascular function and physiological variables during exercise. Future studies should evaluate effects of NO₃⁻ and polyphenol consumption on training performance and adaptations, as well as optimising protocols for consuming polyphenol-rich foods, and establishing the individual and test-related (e.g. intensity) factors that influence the ergogenic response to consuming NO₃⁻ and polyphenol-rich foods.
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