Detection of Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses in Air Sampled From a University Campus: A Longitudinal Study
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Background. Respiratory virus–laden particles are commonly detected in the exhaled breath of symptomatic patients or in air sampled from healthcare settings. However, the temporal relationship of detecting virus-laden particles at nonhealthcare locations vs surveillance data obtained by conventional means has not been fully assessed.

Methods. From October 2016 to June 2018, air was sampled weekly from a university campus in Hong Kong. Viral genomes were detected and quantified by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. Logistic regression models were fitted to examine the adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of ecological and environmental factors associated with the detection of virus-laden airborne particles.

Results. Influenza A (16.9% [117/694]) and influenza B (4.5% [31/694]) viruses were detected at higher frequencies in air than rhinovirus (2.2% [6/270]), respiratory syncytial virus (0.4% [1/270]), or human coronaviruses (0% [0/270]). Multivariate analyses showed that increased crowdedness (aOR, 2.3 [95% confidence interval {CI}, 1.5–3.8]; P < .001) and higher indoor temperature (aOR, 1.2 [95% CI, 1.1–1.3]; P < .001) were associated with detection of influenza airborne particles, but absolute humidity was not (aOR, 0.9 [95% CI, 0.7–1.1]; P = .213). Higher copies of influenza viral genome were detected from airborne particles >4 μm in spring and <1 μm in autumn. Influenza A(H3N2) and influenza B viruses that caused epidemics during the study period were detected in air prior to observing increased influenza activities in the community.

Conclusions. Air sampling as a surveillance tool for monitoring influenza activity at public locations may provide early detection signals on influenza viruses that circulate in the community.
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Respiratory viruses are among the leading causes of morbidity and mortality. A substantial global disease burden is attributed to influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), which cause lower respiratory infections and cardiopulmonary complications [1–4]. While most infections are associated with acute respiratory illnesses, respiratory viruses can also infect without causing symptoms [5–7], although the infectivity of asymptomatic cases is uncertain. A common feature of respiratory viruses is their ability to spread via multiple non–mutually exclusive modes, by direct contact or indirectly via large droplets, fine droplet nuclei, or fomites [8–11]. The predominant transmission mode may vary between viruses and be influenced by environmental conditions; however, such information remains a major knowledge gap [8–11]. Airborne transmission via fine droplet nuclei is less confined by the spatiotemporal restriction posed by gravity and has been the most challenging transmission mode for infection control considerations [8].

Previous studies have reported detection of influenza and other respiratory virus–laden particles in the exhaled breath of symptomatic patients [12–15] or in air sampled at healthcare facilities [16–19]. It is currently unknown if people with mild or subclinical respiratory infections, who are capable to continue their daily activities in the community without substantial impediment, may also release virus-laden particles with airborne transmission potential. A recent study reported molecular detection of respiratory viruses in nasal swabs among 6.2% of adult tourists in New York City, of which >65% of positive cases were asymptomatic [6, 7]. Public locations where infected and susceptible hosts converge may serve as hotspots within a transmission network during epidemics. However, the temporal detection frequency of common respiratory viruses at public locations has not been systematically assessed and correlated with surveillance data obtained by conventional methods.

To evaluate the airborne transmission potential of respiratory viruses at public locations, we performed weekly surveillance from October 2016 to June 2018 to determine the quantity and airborne particle size distribution of influenza A viruses (IAVs),
influenza B viruses (IBVs), human rhinoviruses (HRVs), RSV, and human coronaviruses (HCoVs) 229E and OC43 in ambient air sampled from a university campus in Hong Kong. Parameters including relative humidity (RH), temperature, crowdedness, and ventilation that may be associated with detection of airborne virus particles were recorded and analyzed by logistic regression. We compared the temporal relationship between the detection of influenza airborne particles at the university campus and the detection of symptomatic influenza infections in the community under conventional surveillance.

**METHODS**

**Air Sample Collection**
Air was sampled weekly from a university campus in Hong Kong from October 2016 to June 2018 except during the summer holidays in 2017 (weeks 19–35) and on public holidays. Samples were collected from canteens, lecture halls, shuttle buses, and the University Health Service (UHS) during peak hours of human flow, using 6–13 air samplers per week. Air was sampled for 30 minutes using the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) bioaerosol sampler (BC251) that separates particles into >4 μm, 1–4 μm, and <1 μm size fractions [20] using air pumps (XR5000, SKC) calibrated to 3.5 L/minute. Samplers were set up at 1.2-m height, and samplers not connected to pumps served as negative controls. After collection, the samplers were transported on ice to the laboratory for processing.

**Recording of Ecological and Environmental Factors**
Indoor temperature (°C), indoor RH (%), and indoor/outdoor carbon dioxide (CO₂, ppm) were measured by an indoor air quality meter (IAQ-CALC 7525, TSI). Outdoor temperature and RH were obtained from the Hong Kong Observatory [21]. Numbers of occupants on site were visually counted during each sampling event except within canteens, where the mobile population was approximated by the number of transactions recorded during the sampling period. The ventilation rate (q, L/second) was calculated using indoor (Cₖ,ppm) and outdoor (Cₛ,ppm) CO₂ concentrations (ppm), CO₂ production rate per person (a; 0.005 L/second for a person with a light intensity activity of 1.2 metabolic equivalents), and numbers of occupants (Nₚ) on site [22]:

\[ q = \frac{a \times Nₚ}{Cₖ - Cₛ} \]

**Detection and Quantification of Viral RNA Genomes From Airborne Particles**
Sampled airborne particles were resuspended with 1 mL of minimal essential medium containing 0.3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) [23]. Viral RNA (vRNA) was extracted from 140 μL of resuspended media using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) and eluted into 60 μL water. Respiratory viruses were detected using quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-qPCR) by the ViiA 7 Real-time PCR System (ThermoFisher) using 5 μL eluent, AgPath-IDTM One-step RT-PCR Reagents (Life Technology), and specific primers and probes (Supplementary Table 1) [24–28]. IAV M gene–positive samples were further subtyped (H1 and H3) by rRT-qPCR [25]. A sample was considered positive when an exponential amplification curve crossed the threshold line within 40 cycles. Quantification of influenza viruses was based on standard curves constructed using 10-fold serial-diluted plasmids; the minimum linear range of quantification (LoQ) was 2 genome copies per reaction (8163 copies/m³ air).

**Infectivity of Airborne Virus–laden Particles In Vitro**
To test if rRT-qPCR–positive samples contain infectious viruses, 250 μL of resuspended airborne particles was inoculated on Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK; influenza-positive samples), human epithelial (Hep)-2 cells (RSV-positive samples), or human lung fibroblasts Medical Research Council cell strain 5 cells (MRC-5; HRV/HCoV-positive samples) in 24-well plates. Air samples were incubated with (i) MDCK/Hep-2/MRC-5 cells with media, and (ii) with media only. After 48 hours, 250 μL of the supernatant from (i) and (ii) was blindly transferred and incubated with and without fresh cells, respectively, for another 48 hours. Subsequently, viral copy numbers were determined using rRT-qPCR. A sample was considered infectious if a higher viral genome copy was detected after 2 blind passages in cells when compared to the control wells without cells.

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analyses and graphic production were performed using Microsoft Excel and R version 3.3.1 software programs. Absolute humidity (AH; kg/m³), as a better predictor than RH for influenza infectivity [29], was calculated by Clausius-Clapeyron equation using the R package [30, 31]. Fisher exact and χ² tests were used to determine the difference of categorical data, and Kruskal-Wallis test was used for numeric data. Factors associated with detection of influenza airborne particles were analyzed by logistic regression. Numbers of occupants on site, ventilation rate, and indoor CO₂ concentration were categorized as high (upper 33%), intermediate (middle 33%), and low (lower 33%) based on the numeric data distribution (Supplementary Figure 1). Factors that were significantly associated (P < .05) with detection of influenza airborne particles were further investigated in multivariable logistic regression models. The final models were determined using backward model selection based on Akaike information criterion (AIC) (StepAIC function in R-package MASS) [32]. Factors known to be associated with airborne particle formation though failing to meet P < .05 were further investigated in competing models, and adjusted odds ratios (aORs) were examined.
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RESULTS

Air Sampling and Detection of Respiratory Virus–laden Particles in Air by rRT-qPCR

A total of 334 sampling events were conducted at canteens (n = 135), lecture halls (n = 61), shuttle buses (n = 68), and UHS (n = 70). A total of 1028 air samples were collected by NIOSH samplers; 694 were with functional samplers and 334 with negative control samplers. Environmental factors including indoor temperatures (range, 16.0°C–31.8°C) and AH (4.2–22.9 g/m³) were influenced by outdoor temperatures and followed seasonal changes (Figure 1A and 1B). Relative humidity varied across sampling months and locations (27.1%–98.3%; Figure 1C). The numbers of occupants on site, indoor CO₂ concentration, and the derived ventilation rate varied significantly across different sampling locations (Kruskal-Wallis test, P < .001). More occupants were recorded at canteens (50–450) than at UHS (2–30) (Figure 1D). Higher ventilation was recorded at canteens (4.4–90.9 L/second/person) than at shuttle buses (1.1–6.4 L/second/person) (Figure 1E), with the highest CO₂ concentrations recorded in shuttle buses (1234–4837 ppm) and the lowest at UHS (559–948 ppm) (Figure 1F). The numbers of occupants and ventilation rate on site were highly dependent on the teaching activity and the university academic calendar (χ² test, P < .001).

Influenza vRNA was detected from 20.6% (143/694) functional NIOSH samplers. Specifically, IAV, IBV, and both IAV and IBV were detected. The detection rate varied significantly across different sampling locations (Kruskal-Wallis test, P < .001). The highest detection rate was recorded in canteens (25.7%) followed by lecture halls (16.9%), shuttle buses (12.5%), and UHS (7.1%). The detection rate was highest during the winter season (November–February) and lowest during the summer season (June–August) (Figure 1G). The correlation between detection rate and environmental parameters was not significant (P > .05).
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IBV RNA were detected from 16.9% (117/694), 4.5% (31/694), and 0.7% (5/694) NIOSH samplers, respectively (Table 1). The false-positive rate for IAV detection from negative control NIOSH samplers was 3.3% (11/334) ($\chi^2$ test, $P < .001$). The majority of the IAV-positive samples (75.2% [88/117]) were not subtypable because of low viral load, whereas H1, H3, or both H1 and H3 vRNA was detected from 6.8% (8/117), 16.2% (19/117), and 1.7% (2/117) IAV-positive samples, respectively. Low copies of vRNA (below LoQ) were detected from 80.7% (71/88) of the nonsubtypable samples and from 65.5% (19/29) of the H1 or H3 subtypable samples by rRT-qPCR ($\chi^2$ test, $P = .154$). Similarly, most IBV-positive samples (80.6% [25/31]) contained low copies of viral genome that were below the LoQ.

Detection of HRV, RSV, and HCoV in air was limited. Among 270 NIOSH samplers applied from week 41 of 2016 to week 18 of 2017, vRNA of HRV, RSV, and HCoV was detected in 2.2% (6/270; cycle threshold [Ct] >37.4), 0.4% (1/270; Ct = 39.3), and 0% (0/270). During the same period, IAV, IBV, or both were detected at 20.7% (56/270), 3.0% (8/270), and 1.1% (3/270), respectively. Although the detection frequency for HRV, RSV, and HCoV were significantly lower than that of IAV in air ($\chi^2$ test, $P < .001$), HRV was detected at higher frequency than other respiratory viruses among clinical samples collected from symptomatic patients in Hong Kong [33] (Supplementary Figure 2). Detection of multiple respiratory viruses per air sampler was rare, with 0.7% (2/270) samplers testing positive for both IAV and HRV. Taken together, the results suggest that low concentrations of influenza, HRV, and RSV vRNA can be detected from air sampled at the university campus. Influenza vRNA was detected in air at a higher frequency than other respiratory viruses.

**Table 1. Quantity and Size Distribution of Respiratory Virus–laden Particles in Air Sampled From a University Campus**

| Measurements | Total | >4 μm | 1–4 μm | <1 μm | >4 μm and 1–4 μm | >4 μm and <1 μm | 1–4 μm and <1 μm | All Size Fractions | Undetermined |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
| **Influenza A virus** | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of rRT-qPCR–positive samples | 117/694 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| No. of quantifiable samples | 27/117 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| Median gene copies/m$^3$ | 20 400 | 20 878 | 12 910 | 23 318 | ... | 20 043 | 8378 | ... | ... |
| **Influenza B virus** | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of rRT-qPCR–positive samples | 31/694 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. of quantifiable samples | 6/31 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| Median gene copies/m$^3$ | 14 696 | 17 057 | 9877 | 10 071 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| **Human rhinovirus** | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of rRT-qPCR–positive samples | 6/270 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| No. of quantifiable samples | 0/3 | 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 |
| Median gene copies/m$^3$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| **Respiratory syncytial virus** | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of rRT-qPCR–positive samples | 1/270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| No. of quantifiable samples | 0/1 | 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 |
| Median gene copies/m$^3$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| **Human coronavirus 229E/OC43** | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of rRT-qPCR–positive samples | 0/270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. of quantifiable samples | 0/270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Median gene copies/m$^3$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Abbreviations: NIOSH, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; rRT-qPCR, quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction.
increased IBV RNA copies were detected in 3.2% (1/31) samples after 2 passages (ΔCt = 1.5; Supplementary Table 2). Infectious influenza viruses were mainly detected from particles <1 μm (5/6 for IAV, 1/1 for IBV).

Factors Associated With Detection of Influenza Airborne Particles

Univariate logistic regression was used to examine if environmental parameters (temperature, RH, AH, CO2 concentration), ecological factors (holiday vs teaching days, sampling locations, numbers of occupants, ventilation), or influenza activities in the community (weekly laboratory-based influenza surveillance data in Hong Kong from the Centre for Health Protection [CHP]) [33] were associated with the detection of influenza airborne particles at the university campus. Increased risk of detecting influenza airborne particles was associated with sampling on teaching days (vs holiday), greater number of occupants on site (crowdedness), lower ventilation rate, higher indoor CO2 concentration, higher indoor temperature, negative difference in indoor-outdoor temperature, and negative difference in indoor-outdoor AH. Influenza activity by conventional surveillance was negatively associated with detection of influenza airborne particles in the university campus (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3).

The best-fit multivariable logistic regression model (AIC, 687.1) suggested that increased crowdedness and higher indoor temperatures were independent risk factors for the detection of influenza airborne particles on campus (Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 3). AH as a predictor for influenza infectivity [29] and seasonal influenza epidemics [34] was not included in the best-fit multivariable model; therefore, competing models were built to separately examine the effect of indoor AH (AIC, 687.6), outdoor AH (AIC, 688.6), or indoor-outdoor AH difference (AIC, 687.3). However, none of the AH parameters was significantly associated with detection of influenza airborne particles (Table 3).

Detection of Influenza Virus–laden Particles in Air Over Influenza Epidemics in the Community

Hong Kong is located within the subtropical region where influenza epidemics may occur more than once per year [35]. We analyzed the temporal relationship between detection of influenza airborne particles at university campus vs detection of symptomatic influenza virus infections in the community, approximated by the weekly influenza positive rate among patients with respiratory infections (CHP). A weekly positive rate above a 10.7% threshold would suggest increased influenza activity in the community [33]. During the study period, an A(H3N2) epidemic and an influenza B epidemic were recorded in 2017 summer and 2017–2018 winter months, respectively.
IAV-laden particles were mainly detected in air on teaching days than on holidays (Figure 3A). A(H1N1) RNA was detected sporadically in air during the study period while there was also limited A(H1N1) activity in the community (Figure 3B). A(H3N2) RNA was detected in air on campus prior to the 2017 summer epidemic of A(H3N2), but we did not sample during the peak of the community epidemic, and no A(H3N2) RNA was detected after the epidemic (Figure 3C). Similarly, IBV RNA was detected in airborne particles on campus prior to the 2017–2018 winter epidemic of IBV but not afterwards (Figure 3D). We continued to sample air on campus during the IBV epidemic in winter 2017–2018, but IBV RNA was only detected at low frequency on campus, probably due to reduced student activities during the winter holidays.

**DISCUSSION**

Transmission of respiratory viruses may be mediated by symptomatic as well as presymptomatic, mildly infected, or subclinically infected people who are capable of continuing their daily activities. We report temporal detection frequency for common respiratory viruses in air sampled from a university campus in Hong Kong, where 28 000 undergraduate and
postgraduate students as well as 7000 staff interact daily. IAVs and IBVs were detected at higher frequencies than other respiratory viruses. A recent study that collected nasopharyngeal swabs of 2685 tourists in New York City reported a higher detection frequency for HRV and HCoV than IAV at 3.2%, 2.4%, and 0.2%, respectively [6, 7]. To attain a comprehensive understanding on the potential transmission modes for respiratory viruses, future studies should sample concurrently from humans and their surrounding environment.

Influenza A(H3N2) and B viruses that caused epidemics in Hong Kong during the study period were detected in air sampled on campus prior to the peak influenza activity in the community but not afterwards, which may reflect changes in susceptibility of the population to the epidemic strain. However, the detection frequency during the epidemics may have been underestimated as no or limited samples were collected during the period, which coincided with the university holidays. A previous study reporting data from bioaerosol sampling at a Barcelona subway also reported a higher detection frequency for influenza-laden aerosols prior to the peak of symptomatic cases in 2013–2014 winter [36]. These results highlight the potential value of applying air sampling as a practical surveillance tool that can be easily implemented at public locations for early detection of impending influenza epidemics.

Increased crowdedness was a significant risk factor for detection of influenza airborne particles on campus. Overcrowding plays a critical role in shaping the indoor air microbiome [37] and has been speculated to contribute to influenza outbreaks [38]. Increased indoor temperature and indoor CO₂ concentration were significant risk factors that may also be affected by crowdedness. Although humidity may modulate influenza virus survival and affect transmission [29], the competing models showed a null effect for indoor or outdoor AH in the detection of influenza airborne particles. Collectively, the identified risk factors may provide insights for improving environmental interventions to reduce the airborne transmission risk of influenza.

The majority of IAV (73.5% [86/117]) or IBV (64.5% [20/31]) virus-laden particles were ≤4 μm, which is comparable to those detected in air sampled at healthcare facilities [18, 19, 39]. Notably, limited infectious influenza-laden particles were <1 μm. The results support the airborne transmission potential of influenza viruses via particles within respirable fraction. Higher copies of influenza viral genome were detected from airborne particles >4 μm in spring and <1 μm in autumn, with higher indoor temperatures recorded in autumn than spring. Further studies are needed to understand the effect of environmental parameters on airborne particles in indoor environments.

Our study is limited by the specific demographic features of the study population and their behavior, which may limit the extrapolation of the results to other public locations. A small volume of 105 L of air sampled in 30 minutes may have limited our ability to detect respiratory viruses more frequently. Our data argue for the need to extend such investigations to other venues where humans congregate, such as transport systems. Studies would also be strengthened if respiratory samples of individuals at the sampling locations can be collected to link with detections of viral RNA in air. Characterizing the host immune response and the viruses circulating among mildly or subclinically infected individuals prior to epidemics may provide insights on the emergence of influenza epidemics.
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Table 3. Multivariable Analyses on Factors Associated With the Detection of Influenza Viral RNA in Air

| Risk Factor                        | Best Fit Model | Competing Model 1 | Competing Model 2 | Competing Model 3 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                   | aOR (95% CI); PValue | aOR (95% CI); PValue | aOR (95% CI); PValue | aOR (95% CI); PValue |
| Crowdedness (No. of occupants on site)⁴ |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Low                               | Reference       | Reference          | Reference          | Reference          |
| Intermediate                      | 1.21 (.73–2.04); P = .461 | 1.22 (.73–2.05); P = .452 | 1.18 (.70–2.00); P = .530 | 1.16 (1.69–2.23); P = .585 |
| High                              | 2.29 (1.44–3.71); P < .001 | 2.33 (1.46–3.79); P < .001 | 2.19 (1.36–3.60); P < .002 | 2.13 (1.33–3.49); P < .002 |
| Indoor temperature (°C)           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Numeric                           | 1.14 (1.05–1.23); P = .001 | 1.19 (1.07–1.33); P = .001 | 1.12 (1.02–1.23); P = .014 | 1.13 (1.04–1.23); P = .003 |
| Outdoor AH (kg/m³)                |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Numeric (per 0.002 increase)      | 0.89 (.74–1.07); P = .213 | ... | ... | ... |
| AH difference (indoor-outdoor) (kg/m³) | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Numeric (per 0.002 increase)      | 1.03 (.95–1.12); P = .475 | ... | ... | ... |

Sampling performed on teaching days vs holidays was highly associated with numbers of occupants on site (χ² test, P < .001); as such, crowdedness (number of occupants on site) was included in the multivariable analysis.

Abbreviations: AH, absolute humidity; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

⁴Number of occupants was categorized into high, intermediate, and low based on the numeric data distribution: high (upper 33%), intermediate (middle 33%), and low (lower 33%)
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