Reducing Dimensionality in Remote Homology Detection
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Abstract: Homology detection plays a major role in bioinformatics. Different type of methods is used for Homology detection. Here we extract the information from protein sequences and then uses the various algorithm to predict the similarity between protein families. SVM most commonly used the algorithm in homology detection. Classification techniques are not suitable for homology detection because they are not suitable for high dimensional datasets. So reducing the higher dimensionality is very important than easily can predict the similarity of protein families.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Homology detection is very important in the biological field. It is one of the research problems. so many classification methods are used by classification of the protein sequence. Classify the protein family and superfamily is called as homologies. One protein family to another protein family sequence may not high similarity. But sometimes they are may structurally and functionally relate. Dimensionality reducing is to reduce the random variable counting then we have to analyze the algorithm performance. So many powerful methods and algorithms are used to solving this problem. the most commonly used method SVM (support vector machine) is a supervised learning based algorithm[3-6,11]. The smith-waterman algorithm is also used for homology detection problem[9,11,14]. Traditional biological studies have been only focused on model systems, but remote homolog studies provide high-level resources to investigate other species family. Remote homology detection can be used to compare a query sequence to similar sequences in large data sets. the biggest problem in remote homology identification is all the methods are based on the sequence of the protein. Most of the available methods use the information about closely related sequences of protein, protein structure prediction, and the structure-structure comparison is used for identification in remote homology. Whatever method we used in this problem all the method have some error prediction. Here uses the SCOP hierarchy, it has a different level of hierarchy (i.e, class, family, superfamily and fold) [1-14]. Hidden Markov Model (HMMs) is the most powerful approaches in remote homology detection. HMMs is a structure based one. Whatever method and algorithms used in this problem it gives an output fully depends on protein sequence.

II. METHOD

A. Sequence-Sequence Comparison

William R. Pearson et al [15] uses this method. The remote homology detection is using most common method is a sequence-sequence comparison, protein sequence containing all the information about structure functions. Sequence comparison using pairwise alignments that provide correct relationship information between proteins. Some other methods also used for remote homology detection, but that type of methods used by query sequences against a sequence database. Sequence similarity searching is the first and important step in analysis of newly determined sequences. the most commonly used similarity searching programs, like BLAST, PSI-BLAST, FASTA, SSEARCH, HMMER3 that programs produce accurate statistical estimation of protein sequence similarities.

1) Structural Alignment: Structural alignment is used to represent the protein sequences, it also represents the RNA sequences also. It gives a secondary and tertiary information about the structure of proteins that is used for aligning sequences purposes. Marianne M. Lee, Ralf Bundschuh, and Michael K. Chan1 et al [16] uses the LESTAT algorithm. LESTAT is used in structural Alignment method. It is a structure-based sequence alignment algorithm., this method comprised of the following steps:

a) We construct our initial model by taking samples of amino acid blocks and block separation distances from three structural homolog with low-sequence identity.

b) Next we generate a block containing position which specifies the matrix score.

c) Align the query sequentially.

d) Sequenced Result with Optimal Alignment are used in this algorithm.
Sequences with reasonable statistical significance are used to generate a new BPSSM, repeating steps (2)–(4), until the refinement reaches convergence. Structural alignment uses the two or more sequences and produces the local alignments is based on structural information. These structures are more evolutionarily conserved than sequence. But the sequence comparison not only decides the similarity.

B. Sequence-Structure Comparison BLAST

Bin Liu, Lei Lin, Xiaolong Wang and Xuan Wang et al [11][7-9,13], used to Protein sequence structure, which is generated by aligning the closely related protein families. each amino acid is located at each position of multiple sequence alignments, it better reflect on protein families than a single sequence. thus the sequence-structure alignment gives a sensitive remote homology detection than pair wise sequence alignment.

1) Hidden Markov Model: Noah M. Daniels, Andrew Gallant, Norman Ramsey, Lenore J. Cowen et al [8]. Uses this method. Hidden Markov Model (HMMs) is the most powerful approaches in remote homology detection. HMMs is a structure based on HMM. But, HMM is slower than PSI-BLAST. HMM, performance is higher than PSI-BLAST [14] approaches like HMMER, SAM, and META-MEME. these are the most common frequently used models. Other models are, JA(Jumping Alignment), DIALIGN, Family Pairwise Search (FPS)

2) Structure-Structure Comparison: It is also called as profile-profile comparison. correct and long alignment has been obtained from structure-structure comparison. it is a more sensitive approach for remote homology detection compare to sequence-profile comparison approaches such as PSI-BLAST and HMMs.it may give the same order output of the BLAST approach [1] or give an improved output of the BLAST approach. The profile-profile comparison tools contain: COMPASS, PROF_SIM, COACH, HH search, FORTE, HMAP, and SP3.

3) Phylogenetic Analysis: Phylogenetic analysis and sequence alignment are closely related with each other. Phylogenetic analysis user for construction and interpretation of phylogenetic trees. it used to classify the evolutionary relationship between homologous genes and it represents the genomes of divergent species.

III. TOOLS

The tools are mainly used for predict the structural similarities of protein. Bin Liu, Lei Lin, Xiaolong Wang and Xuan Wang et al [11][7-9,11,13] uses the BLAST tool used to align the query sequences from the selected target database. Jian-hua Yeh and Chun-Hsing Chen et al [10]. Use the SVM and PSI-BLAST tools used for classification. Noah M. Daniels, Andrew Gallant, Norman Ramsey and Lenore J. Cowen et al [8] proposed the HMMER tools for alignment. Yuchen Yang, Erwin Tantosob, and Kuo-Bin Lic [5] proposed the RQA method for users to find the relationship between structures. Jian-hua Yeh, Chun-hsing Chen[10] proposed the MAST tool used for alignment and search purposes.

IV. DATABASES

A. ASTRAL

The ASTRAL provides the databases and tools for analyzing the protein structures and their sequences [3]. It derived from, and augments the SCOP: Structural Classification of Proteins database. The dataset contains 54 families and 4352 distinct sequences. It provides 1.53 families from a given super family then Remote homology is simulation will be used.

B. SCOP: Structural Classification of Proteins

The Structural Classification of Proteins (SCOP) database is manually classification of protein structural domains based on their similar sequence of amino acid [1-14]. Here to classify the relationship between proteins. Sequenced similar proteins are placed on different super families. The common ancestor is used for classification of family protein sequences. The SCOPs database is freely accessible on the internet.

V. PERFORMANCE MEASURE

Different type of algorithms and methods are used for remote homology detection but the accuracy of the result is also different from one to one. if we use the smith-waterman algorithm it gives a less than 95% percent identity on SCOP 1.59 [12] database latent semantic methods give a ROC score 0.9435 such as SVM-Ngram, SVM-Motif-LSA, SVM-Pattern-LSA [10], remote C3D method using The profile-based methods such as SVM-DT, SVM-PDT-Profile and composition-based methods such as SMV-LA, SVM-RQA, SVM-PDT used for remote homology detection. It will give a ROC score is 0.948 with SCOP 1.53 and 0.936 with SCOP 1.55 [1].
Remote homology detection contains so many methods, tools and algorithms. Here we discussed commonly used methods, tools, and algorithms. Remote homology detection depends on the sequence of proteins. Reducing dimensionality is used to give an accurate result of remote homology detection. The problem lies on classification of sequence of protein families from super families remote homology detection but here some error prediction is also possible. The result fully depends on the sequence of proteins.
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