Genetic Variation in Date Palms Propagated from Offshoots and Tissue Culture
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ABSTRACT. Date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.) is a major tree crop in arid regions of the Middle East and North Africa, having an important impact on the economy of many countries in these regions. Date palms are traditionally propagated through offshoots. The development of propagation methods through tissue culture resulted in massive expansion of date palm plantations. While most trees generated from tissue culture are normal and true-to-type, several typical abnormal phenotypes are detected. The present study applies amplification fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis to characterize the genetic variation of two elite date cultivars, 'Barhee' and 'Medjool', as well as male clones, propagated from offshoots and through tissue culture. The two cultivars have very distinct AFLP band patterns. Most offshoots, as well as the tissue culture–propagated plants, have very similar band patterns, demonstrating a low level of genetic variation. However, a significant level of genetic variation was detected among 'Medjool' plants generated from tissue culture. Several phenotypically abnormal trees were characterized by unique and different AFLP band patterns. The male clones are characterized by a high level of polymorphic bands. Genetic variation was also detected between various tissues of variegated 'Medjool' trees propagated from tissue culture. The significance of these results, regarding the mechanism of the phenomenon and its relevance to agricultural practice, is discussed.

Dates are dioecious fruit trees, belonging to the family Arecaceae (Coryphoidae) having 2n = 36 chromosomes (Barrow, 1998). Date is a major fruit crop in arid regions of the Middle East and North Africa, as well as other arid regions in the world. Date palms have grown in oases in desert regions in Israel for thousands of years. Elite cultivars from various origins (including Iraqi, Moroccan, Tunisian, and Egyptian cultivars) were introduced to the country during the past century, serving as the basis for the modern Israeli date industry.

The traditional method of date palm propagation is by offshoots. However, this method is limited by the small number of offshoots generated on each tree (1–20, depending on the cultivar). Therefore, this propagation method does not satisfy the large need for plant material (Zaid and De Wet, 1999) and thus limits the expansion of date palm plantations. Several methods for in vitro propagation through tissue culture were developed for date palm. These methods have several advantages in comparison to propagation by offshoots: large-scale multiplication, no seasonal effect on plant source, and easy and safe exchange of plant material between different regions without the risk of contamination (Zaid and De Wet, 1999).

Vegetative propagation by either offshoots or tissue culture should result in "progeny" which are identical to each other and to their "mother" tree (true to type). However, it is well known that somaclonal variation does occur in plants propagated via tissue culture and the resulting plants are not always identical (Kaeppler et al., 2000). Abnormal date palms originated from tissue culture are commonly detected. Typical phenotypes include leaves with wide leaflets, slow growth rate and development, variegation (leaf sectors with different color), inability to form inflorescences, and low levels of fruit setting (McCubbin et al., 2000). Many trees belonging to 'Barhee' and 'Hallas' cultivars that originated from tissue culture have low levels of fruit setting. Most of the flowers of these trees turn into three-carpel parthenocarpic fruits. Multi-carpel flowers and fruitlets (with up to six or seven carpels, instead of the normal three-carpel flowers) were also detected (Al-Wasel, 2000; Cohen et al., 2004; Djerbi, 2000). This phenomenon characterizes about 2000 'Barhee' and 'Hallas' date trees in Israel and many thousands in other countries, including Jordan, Namibia, and Saudi Arabia. The abnormal parthenocarpic fruits are not suitable for marketing, thus imposing a major economic loss.

Another off-type phenotype commonly detected in date palms of the 'Medjool' cultivar from tissue culture is characterized by severe growth retardation. These trees remain stunted, and produce only very few leaves. About 8000 young 'Medjool' trees in Israel have this characteristic phenotype.

Several molecular methods were used for assessment of genetic variation of date palms. Differences between cultivars were detected by isoenzymes (Saker et al., 2000), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (Corniquel and Mercier, 1994), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Corniquel and Mercier, 1994; Saker and Moursi, 1999; Sedra et al., 1998), AFLP (Cao and Chao, 2002; Devanand and Chao, 2003), and representational difference analysis (RDA) (Vorster et al., 2002). These tools also served for estimation of genetic distances between various date cultivars from different regions in the world (Al-Khakifa and Askari, 2003; Cao and Chao, 2002; Corniquel and Mercier, 1994; Saker and Moursi, 1999; Sedra et al., 1998).

Some of the analyses were carried out on tissue culture–originated trees. These studies included phenotypic characterization, isoenzymes (Azequor et al., 2002), and RAPD analyses (Saker et al., 2000). Genetic variations were detected between plantlets phenotypically different at the tissue culture or hardening stages. However, these studies did not reveal genetic variation between plantlets phenotypically similar at these early stages.
Polymorphism was detected within the cultivars Barhee, Deglet Noor, and Medjool propagated from offshoots using RAPD analysis (Cornielle and Mercier, 1994). Recently, a detailed analysis on the genetic variation within date palms of the same cultivar propagated from offshoots was performed using AFLP (Devanand and Chao, 2003). A low level of genetic variation was detected within ‘Deglet Noor’ accessions, while a high level of genetic variation was found within various ‘Medjool’ trees collected in the United States.

In this study, we applied AFLP analysis (Vos et al., 1995) to characterize the genetic variation of date palms from ‘Barhee’, ‘Medjool’, and male trees grown in Israel. This analysis was carried out on trees originated from both offshoots and tissue culture.

Materials and Methods

**PLANT MATERIAL.** Leaf samples from 29 ‘Barhee’ and 28 ‘Medjool’ trees were collected from different orchards and nurseries in Israel. The various trees included offshoots and tissue culture–propagated trees (from several tissue culture laboratories) having both normal and off-type phenotypes (Table 1). Leaf samples from 33 male trees were collected from two plantations (Yotvata in southern Israel and Beit-Zera near the Sea of Galilee).

**DNA EXTRACTION AND GENERATION OF AFLP BAND PATTERNS.** Total DNA was isolated from leaves and roots using a hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction method (Aitchitt et al., 1993). DNA was analyzed on a 0.7% agarose gel. Generation of AFLP band patterns (Vos et al., 1995) was modified according to Kashkush (Kashkush et al., 2001). Genomic DNA (0.5 µg) was digested at 37 °C for 3 h and ligated to specific adaptors. The restriction-ligation reaction contained three units (U) Msel, 10 U EcoRI, 120 U T4-DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass.), 5 pmole EcoRI-adaptor, 50 pmole Msel adaptor (see Table 2), 1 µL of 0.5 mM NaCl, 5 µg bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1X DNA ligase buffer in a final volume of 10 µL. The digested-ligated DNA was diluted 1:10 with double-distilled H2O.

Preselective amplification was performed with primers complementary to the adaptor sequences (Table 2). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) contained 20 ng of restricted-ligated DNA, 10 pmole EcoRI primer, 10 pmole Msel primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Promega Life Science, Madison, Wis.), 2 µL of 10X Taq DNA polymerase buffer (Promega), 2 µL of 25 mM MgCl2, and 0.8 µL of 2.5 mM dNTPs in a final volume of 20 µL. The PCR conditions were 20 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 56 °C, and 60 s at 72 °C. After preamplification, the PCR products were diluted 1:20 with double-distilled H2O.

The end-labeling reaction of the EcoRI primer contained: 180 pmole EcoRI primer, 100 µCi γ-33P ATP, 20 U T4 polynucleotide kinase, and 10 µL of 5X polynucleotide kinase buffer in a final volume of 50 µL. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h, then heated to 70 °C for 10 min.

The selective-amplification reaction contained 4 µL of diluted template DNA from the preselective amplification, 3.6 pmole of labeled EcoRI primer, 10 pmole Msel primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Promega), 2 µL of 10X Taq DNA polymerase buffer (Promega), 2 µL of 25 mM MgCl2, and 0.8 µL of 2.5 mM dNTPs.
in a final volume of 20 µL. The PCR cycles were one cycle of 2 min at 94 °C, 30 s at 65 °C and 2 min at 72 °C, followed by 10 cycles each of annealing temperature of 1 °C less than the former one, and 25 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 56 °C, and 2 min at 72 °C. The sequences of the selective amplification primers are shown in Table 2. The first 17 nucleotides of these primers are identical to the preselective amplification primers, and the last two are random nucleotides added to the selective primers. The PCR products were mixed with 20 µL of formamide dye (98% formamide, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mg·mL⁻¹ bromophenol blue, 1 mg·mL⁻¹ xylene cyanol). The denatured PCR products were separated on 5% denaturing polyacrylamide (20 acrylamide : 1 bis acrylamide, 7.5 M urea, 1X Tris borate EDTA-TBE buffer) standard sequencing gel (43 cm long) at 55 W for 2 h. The gel was then dried and exposed to X-ray film for ≈72 h at –80 °C.

**Genetic analysis.** AFLP band patterns were converted into binary matrices (only the clear and reliable bands were scored: 1 for presence, 0 for absence of a band). Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed using Arlequin software (Schneider et al., 2000). Genetic variation within populations was estimated by the percentage of polymorphic bands of all individuals within each population, and by average gene diversity (AGD; the average number of polymorphic bands in all pair-wise comparisons within populations). Genetic variation between groups was estimated by fixation index (FST) values assessing the proportion of variation between population and the total variation.

Analysis of genetic relationship was performed using the program PHYLTOOLS (Buntjer, 2001). Nei index for each pair-wise comparison (number of shared bands/number of bands in either lane) was used for calculation of Nei values (Nei and Li, 1979). Neighbor-joining trees were calculated with the PHYLIP program NEIGHBOR (Felsenstein, 1999). Dendrograms were generated by cluster analysis using the arithmetic average (UPGMA) (Rohlf, 2000), and presented by TREEVIEW program (Page, 2000).

Clustering analysis of the various accessions was carried out using the STRUCTURE program (Pritchard et al., 2000). The STRUCTURE algorithm constructs genetic clusters from a collection of individual polymorphic genotypes. The software estimates the fraction of each individual genome belonging to each of the clusters.

**Results**

**Characterization of genetic variation in ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ date trees originated from various propagation methods.** AFLP analysis was carried out on 29 ‘Barhee’ and 28 ‘Medjool’ accessions propagated by either offshoots or tissue culture (Table 1), using five different primer sets (A, B, C, D, and O). The AFLP pattern generated by set D is shown in Fig. 1. The total number of bands, the number of polymorphic bands, and the percentage of polymorphic bands generated by five primer sets are shown in Table 3. A total number of 317 AFLP bands were scored. AMOVA was carried out for the ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions. Samples were grouped by their cultivar, propagation method (offshoot vs. tissue culture), and by the manufacturing tissue culture laboratory. The variation within groups, estimated
A high level of genetic variation was detected between the two cultivars Barhee and Medjool. Most of the variation was found between the 'Barhee' and 'Medjool' groups, as detected by the high F<sub>ST</sub> value between the two groups (F<sub>ST</sub> = 0.9). AGD values within 'Barhee' accessions and within 'Medjool' accessions were 4.69 and 9.21, respectively (Table 3).

Fifty bands (15.8%) were polymorphic within 'Barhee' accessions. However, most of the polymorphic bands were specific to two very young offshoots (accessions 1 and 2). Only two polymorphic bands were detected within the rest of the offshoots. Two and one polymorphic bands were found in the 'Barhee' TC (1) and TC (2) groups, respectively, while within other 'Barhee' tissue culture groups no polymorphism was detected.

Eighty-four bands (26.5%) were polymorphic among the 'Medjool' accessions. Tree number 47 had a unique AFLP band pattern and an abnormal phenotype. This tree generated offshoots, protruding several meters above the ground level, instead of producing inflorescences. The leaflets of this tree had a different shape relative to typical 'Medjool' leaflets (data not shown). Three polymorphic

### Table 3. Characterization of the amplification fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) band patterns in date cultivars and male clones: number of polymorphic bands, percentage of polymorphic bands, and average gene diversity (AGD) values within groups generated for 29 'Barhee' and 28 'Medjool' date accessions, and for 33 male date palms. The total number of bands in the cultivars analysis was 317 generated by five primer sets (A, B, C, D, and O). The total number of bands in the male analysis was 354, generated by six primer sets (A, B, C, D, E, and O). Date clones were grown from offshoots (OS) or produced by different tissue culture companies [(TC) the producing laboratory is numbered in parentheses].

| Cultivar | Source of origin | Trees analyzed (no.) | Polymorphic bands (no.) | Polymorphic bands (%) | AGD values |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Barhee   | OS               | 10 (8<sup>z</sup>)   | 50 (2<sup>y</sup>)      | 15.77 (0.63<sup>x</sup>) | 11.88 (0.78<sup>x</sup>) |
| Barhee   | TC (1)           | 7                    | 2                       | 0.63                   | 0.57       |
| Barhee   | TC (2)           | 4                    | 1                       | 0.31                   | 0.50       |
| Barhee   | TC (3)           | 3                    | 0                       | 0                      | 0.00       |
| Barhee   | TC (4)           | 5                    | 0                       | 0                      | 0.00       |
| **Total Barhee** |             | **29 (27<sup>y</sup>)** | **50 (4<sup>y</sup>)**  | **15.77 (1.26<sup>x</sup>)** | **4.69 (0.79<sup>x</sup>)** |
| Medjool  | OS               | 10                   | 3                       | 0.94                   | 0.75       |
| Medjool  | TC (5)           | 4                    | 15                      | 4.73                   | 7.83       |
| Medjool  | TC (2)           | 9 (8<sup>z</sup>)    | 68 (27<sup>y</sup>)    | 21.45 (8.52<sup>x</sup>) | 18.66 (9.32<sup>x</sup>) |
| Medjool  | TC (6)           | 3                    | 11                      | 3.47                   | 7.33       |
| Medjool  | TC (7)           | 2                    | 3                       | 0.94                   | 3.00       |
| **Total Medjool** |            | **28 (27<sup>y</sup>)** | **84 (43<sup>y</sup>)** | **26.49 (13.56<sup>x</sup>)** | **9.21 (5.97<sup>x</sup>)** |
| Male clones |               |                      |                         |                        |            |
| M11–M12 TC (2) clone 1 | 2            | 0                     | 0                      | 0.00       |
| M13–M16 TC (2) clone 2 | 4            | 1                     | 0.28                   | 0.50       |
| M17–M18 TC (2) clone 3 | 2            | 4                     | 1.13                   | 4.00       |
| M24–M25 OS clone 4 | 2           | 0                     | 0                      | 0.00       |
| M26–M27 OS clone 5 | 2           | 0                     | 0                      | 0.00       |
| M28–M29 OS clone 6 | 2           | 3                     | 0.85                   | 3.00       |
| M30–M31 OS clone 7 | 2           | 0                     | 0                      | 0.00       |
| M32–M33 OS clone 8 | 2           | 1                     | 0.28                   | 1.00       |
| **Total unknown individuals** | 33         | **261**               | **73.72**              | **51.97**  |

<sup>1</sup>The above analysis was re-performed excluding the abnormal 'Barhee' offshoots (accessions 1 and 2) and the abnormal tissue culture–originated 'Medjool' (accession 47). The values excluding the abnormal accessions are presented in parentheses.

### Table 4. Fixation index (F<sub>ST</sub>) values calculated by analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for 29 'Barhee' and 28 'Medjool' date accessions. Date clones were grouped to trees propagated from offshoots (OS) or produced by different tissue culture companies [(TC) the producing laboratory is numbered in parentheses].

| Cultivar | Source of origin | Trees analyzed (no.) | Polymorphic bands (no.) | Polymorphic bands (%) | AGD values |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Barhee   | OS               | 0.00                 | 0.00                    | 0.00                   | 0.00       |
| Barhee   | OS<sup>1</sup>   | 0.00                 | 0.00                    | 0.00                   | 0.00       |
| Barhee   | TC (1)           | 0.00                 | 0.13                   | 0.00                   | 0.00       |
| Barhee   | TC (2)           | 0.08                 | 0.11                   | 0.00                   | 0.00       |
| Barhee   | TC (3)           | 0.11                 | 0.30                   | 0.69                   | 0.76       |
| Barhee   | TC (4)           | 0.01                 | 0.39                   | 0.74                   | 0.83       |
| Medjool  | OS               | 0.92                 | 0.99                   | 0.99                   | 0.99       |
| Medjool  | TC (5)           | 0.86                 | 0.96                   | 0.94                   | 0.94       |
| Medjool  | TC (2)<sup>1</sup> | 0.82                | 0.86                   | 0.83                   | 0.82       |
| Medjool  | TC (2)<sup>1</sup> | 0.93                | 0.93                   | 0.91                   | 0.90       |
| Medjool  | TC (6)           | 0.86                 | 0.97                   | 0.96                   | 0.95       |
| Medjool  | TC (7)           | 0.86                 | 0.99                   | 0.98                   | 0.99       |

<sup>2</sup>Barhee offshoots excluding abnormal accessions 1 and 2 and 'Medjool' accession 47.
bands were identified within ‘Medjool’ offshoots. The tissue culture–propagated ‘Medjool’ trees had a relatively high level of polymorphism. The highest level (68 polymorphic bands, AGD = 18.6) was found within the TC (2) group.

Genetic relationships between the trees were assessed on the basis of the AFLP band patterns using Nei’s coefficient of distance. The genetic distances detected between ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions were very large relative to the distances of all accessions of a similar cultivar (data not shown). Dendrograms of ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions are presented in Fig. 2A–B. The genetic distances within each cultivar were relatively small. Genetic distances within ‘Medjool’ accessions were larger than those observed between ‘Barhee’ accessions. Trees from the various sources of propagation (tissue culture or offshoots) were not clustered in the phylogenetic trees generated for ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’.

Clustering analysis was carried out by the STRUCTURE program using various numbers of groups (from K = 2 to K = 10, according to the number of the analyzed ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ sources). All ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions were separated into two distinct groups in all analyses. However, trees were not clustered based on their source or phenotype (Fig. 3).

**Genetic variation among tissue culture– and offshoot-originated male trees.** Analysis of genetic variation was carried out on 33 male accessions. These included three groups (two pairs of accessions and one group comprising four individual trees), each originated from a specific tissue culture clone, five different pairs of trees propagated by offshoots from the same “mother” trees, and an additional 15 independent males propagated from offshoots (Table 1). Analysis was performed using six primer sets (A, B, C, D, E, and O). The total number of bands scored was 354. The number of polymorphic bands was 261 (73.7%) and the average gene diversity was 52.0 (Table 3). Since the analysis was performed on 33 males representing 23 different clones, a high level of variation was expected.

---

**Fig. 2.** Dendrogram of (A) 29 ‘Barhee’, (B) 28 ‘Medjool’, and (C) 33 male date palms generated by the unweighted pair group method using the arithmetic average (UPGMA) cluster analysis based on Nei coefficient of genetic distances. Bootstraps values were calculated by PHYLTOOLS program. Values higher than 70 are indicated.

**Fig. 3.** Clustering analysis of amplification fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) band patterns of 29 ‘Barhee’ and 28 ‘Medjool’ date accessions by the STRUCTURE program. Clustering to two (upper panel) or 10 (lower panel) groups (K-number of groups) are presented. Each accession is represented by a vertical column and each group by a specific color. The relative relatedness of each accession to any group is demonstrated by the portion of the group color.
Variation was also detected within accessions of the same clones originated from either offshoots or tissue culture. Polymorphic bands were identified in two out of the three tissue culture–originated clones from the same “mother” trees and in two out of five pairs of offshoots propagated from the same “mother” trees. However, the levels of polymorphism were low (0 to 1.1% polymorphic bands and average gene diversity values ranging between 0 and 4) (Table 3). A dendrogram of all male samples based on the AFLP polymorphism using Nei’s coefficient of distance is presented in Fig. 2C.

**Genetic variation in various tissues of individual plants.**

Analysis of genetic variation of various tissues of individual plantlets was performed. Variegated and nonvariegated regions of leaves, as well as root samples, were collected from two different, young, variegated ‘Medjool’ plants originated from tissue culture. Polymorphic bands were detected between variegated and normal leaf samples of the same plants, as well as between roots and leaf samples (Fig. 4). Three (1.875%) and five (3.125%) polymorphic bands, out of a total of 160 bands, were detected in the two trees, respectively. Variation was detected not only between the variegated and normal leaf sections, but also between either leaf sections and root samples. The results indicate that these trees are chimeric not only to a single mutation (easily detected by variegation), but to several other mutations as well.

**Discussion**

AFLP analysis allows the detection of polymorphism at multiple loci, generating a large number of reproducible DNA markers. In the current study, this method was applied for assessment of genetic variation in date palms, originated from offshoots or produced by several tissue culture laboratories. Genetic variation was detected at several levels: between various cultivars or male clones, between various accessions of the same cultivar, in “progenies” from specific trees propagated either by offshoots or through tissue culture, and in different tissues of individual plants.

**Genetic variation between cultivars.**

Analysis of genetic variation in accessions of two date palm cultivars, ‘Medjool’ and ‘Barhee’, carried out in the current study, revealed high levels of polymorphism (58.7% polymorphic bands with an AGD value of 41.7). Most of the variation was identified between the ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ cultivars, as indicated by the high $F_{ST}$ value ($F_{ST} = 0.9$). These results are in agreement with genetic distances between the two cultivars previously published (Cao and Chao, 2002; Sedra et al., 1998). The geographical distance between the traditional growing regions of the two cultivars (‘Barhee’ is from Iraq, while ‘Medjool’ originated in Morocco), explains these results.

A high level of genetic variation was also identified within male accessions (73.72% polymorphic bands out of 354 total bands, AGD = 51.97). While traditional conservation of female cultivars is maintained by vegetative propagation, most male trees originated by sexual reproduction from seeds. Male trees were imported to Israel during the last century from several different countries, together with the elite female cultivars. The large genetic variation represents the expected large heterogeneity of the male date population in Israel (and most probably elsewhere). The variation can serve as the basis for selection of males on the basis of their phenotypic characteristics regarding the potential to improve yields and fruit quality.

A large fraction of the bands was monomorphic. Forty-one percent of the bands were shared by all ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions and 26% by most male trees. These bands are common to various cultivars, and may represent date palm–conserved bands.

**Genetic variation within a cultivar or male clone propagated by offshoots.**

Within a single cultivar or male clone, a lower level of genetic variation was detected in trees propagated from either offshoots or tissue culture. The ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ trees originated from offshoots were collected from several plantations in different locations in Israel. Although relatively low, it is noteworthy that genetic variation was found within cultivars propagated vegetatively via offshoots. Variation in DNA fingerprints within trees of a specific cultivar were previously reported in ‘Medjool’, ‘Deglet Noor’, or ‘Barhee’ accessions using RAPD and RFLP (Corniquel and Mercier, 1994). Recently, genetic variation was also identified within ‘Deglet Noor’ and ‘Medjool’ accessions using AFLP (Devanand and Chao, 2003). In addition, we detected several mutational events occurring through a single generation of propagation by offshoot (Fig. 3 and Table 3: accessions M32–M33 and M28–M29; in the case of two additional male accessions (M2–M3), although no information

---

Fig. 4. A section of the amplification fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) band patterns of two young variegated off-types ‘Medjool’ date trees (trees A and B), originated in tissue culture, generated using primer set O (R = root; Y = yellow, variegated leaf section; G = normal green leaf section). Arrows indicate polymorphic bands detected within single trees.
on their relatedness exists, their high genetic similarity suggests that they also originated from one “mother” tree.

Analysis of ‘Barhee’ offshoots revealed very low levels of polymorphism. Two accessions (1 and 2) had distinct polymorphic patterns, and exhibited a relatively high level of polymorphism (15.1% polymorphic bands). The two trees share many of the polymorphic bands. The two accessions are newly planted, very young offshoots originated from the same ‘Barhee’ orchard. Their young age prevented their phenotypic assessment. The overall uniformity of the other offshoots and the fact that these two accessions exhibit many ‘Barhee’-specific bands suggest that they may be ‘Barhee’ seedlings mistakenly planted as offshoots. (This is known to be a common mistake in date palm practice due to difficulties in discrimination between offshoots and seedlings germinating very near the trunk of the “mother” trees.) If not, these findings contribute to the potential generation of genetic variation during development of offshoots.

Our findings detected limited levels of variation within ‘Medjool’ trees propagated through offshoots (AGD = 0.75). These results are in contrast to the high levels of polymorphism previously identified in ‘Medjool’ trees produced from offshoots in the United States (39% polymorphic bands compared to only 3% in ‘Deglet Noor’ accessions) (Devamand and Chao, 2003). ‘Medjool’ is a Moroccan cultivar that was introduced to the United States in 1927 as nine offshoots removed from a single tree (Swingle, 1945). It may be that Devamand and Chao (2003) analyzed closely related, but genetically distinct ‘Medjool’ trees. Alternatively, it may be that the ‘Medjool’ offshoots in the United States have accumulated a significant number of genetic changes during their propagation in the last several decades.

**Assessment of Genetic Variation in Tissue Culture—Originated Trees.** The tissue culture—originated trees analyzed in this study were produced in several independent laboratories in several different countries. However, except for one abnormal tree (accession 47), we did not detect major genetic polymorphism specific to offshoots or tissue culture—originated trees. The various accessions could not be clustered (in the phylogenetic trees or by the STRUCTURE program) according to their tissue culture—producing laboratory, or according to their specific phenotype.

A relatively low level of polymorphism was identified within the ‘Barhee’ cultivar (excluding accessions 1 and 2) (1.26% polymorphic bands, AGD = 0.79). Higher levels of genetic variation were detected within the ‘Medjool’ cultivar (excluding accession 47) (13.56% polymorphic bands, AGD = 5.97). The majority of variation within the ‘Medjool’ cultivar was found in trees originated from tissue culture. Most polymorphic trees were originated from a specific tissue culture source (8.5% polymorphic bands and AGD = 9.32 among normal-looking trees from TC 2). We assume that mutations occurred during the process of tissue culture propagation. These results, together with the high polymorphic levels of ‘Medjool’ trees propagated by offshoots found in the United States, suggest that this cultivar is “sensitive” to some unknown “conditions” or “agents.” Mutations may be induced either in the field or during the tissue culture propagation process.

**Genetic Variation in Various Tissues Within Single Plants.** Analysis of several tissues of two variegated ‘Medjool’ trees originated from tissue culture was performed. Polymorphic band patterns were detected in the variegated (yellow) vs. green sectors. Moreover, different polymorphic bands were identified in the green and variegated sectors of the two analyzed trees. Variegation can occur because of various mutations in the photosynthetic apparatus. Unexpectedly, additional polymorphism was detected between the root tissue and both leaf samples. The results indicated that these trees were chimeric not only to a single mutation (easily detected by variegation), but also to other mutations in various tissues. These results suggest that the tissue culture procedure induced the generation of multiple mutations.

**Use of Molecular Analyses for Early Detection of Date Off-types.** In the current limited analysis, the genetic variation found in ‘Barhee’ and ‘Medjool’ was not correlated to the two most common off-type phenotypes detected in many tissue culture—originated trees: the phenotype of low levels of fruit setting, common in ‘Barhee’ trees, and the growth retardation phenotype of ‘Medjool’. For the ‘Barhee’ phenotype, alleviation of symptoms was detected after several years (Cohen et al., 2004). It was estimated that 50% of the off-type trees reverted to normal within 10 years of planting, and symptoms in most other trees have been alleviated. Our preliminary analysis (only three accessions with the growth-retarded phenotype were analyzed) did not reveal a common molecular marker specific to either phenotype. However, the inability to detect polymorphism does not mean that it does not exist. These two phenotypes occur in mass numbers in tissue-cultured trees from specific sources, and are rare or absent in trees from other sources. The phenotype of the low level of fruit setting of the ‘Barhee’ date palm is quite similar to the “mantled” phenotype of oil palm produced in tissue culture (Corley et al., 1986). This phenotype is characterized by low levels of fruit setting, formation of supernumerary carpels, alleviation of symptoms, and reversions of many trees to the normal phenotype. It has been suggested that an epigenetic affect by altered DNA methylation pattern, generated during the tissue culture stage, is the cause of the “mantled” phenotype (Jaligot et al., 2000, 2002; Matthes et al., 2001). If the above-mentioned phenotypes, the low level of fruit setting of ‘Barhee’, and the growth-retarded ‘Medjool’ in date palms are not controlled by changes in DNA, they may also be generated by epigenetic changes occurring during the tissue culture stage. Fine differences in the tissue culture conditions in various laboratories could be responsible for induction of these phenotypes. Modifications of tissue culture protocols for each cultivar could be the way to prevent mass formation of these common off-types.

The use of tissue culture techniques for date palms is rather new. This approach is required for the massive expansion of elite date cultivars in arid countries. Although in recent years many abnormal trees have been detected, this study suggests that most of the tissue culture—originated trees are genetically normal. Since epigenetic changes are probably involved in the formation of common off-types, additional molecular tools for identification of epigenetic effects, such as changes in patterns of DNA methylation, need to be developed. These may provide a tool for early detection of abnormal phenotypes, and for understanding the mechanism of their generation.
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