A retrospective study of the outcome of cesarean section for women with severe pre-eclampsia in a third world setting
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the outcome of subarachnoid block (spinal anesthesia) and general anesthesia in Cesarean delivery for women with severe pre-eclampsia.

Methods: A retrospective study of women with severe pre-eclampsia requiring Cesarean section from January 2005 to June 2009 was carried out. Maternal age, parity, gestational age at delivery, booking status, Apgar scores, maternal and perinatal mortality of the sub-arachnoid block group were compared with those of general anesthesia group using \( \chi^2 \), Student t-test and Fischer exact test.

Results: There were no significant difference between the two groups in overall maternal mortality (5.4% vs. 11.9%, \( P = 0.5 \)) and perinatal mortality (2.7% vs. 11.9%, \( P = 0.15 \)). The general anesthesia group had significantly more birth asphyxia than the spinal group (55.9% vs. 27.0%, \( P = 0.0006 \)).

Conclusion: There was no significant difference in the maternal and perinatal mortality outcome of cesarean delivery between women with severe pre-eclampsia who had regional anesthesia and those that had general anesthesia. There was significantly higher proportion of birth asphyxia in babies of women who received general anesthesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Pre-eclampsia is a major cause of maternal and perinatal mortality worldwide. While the symptoms and complications of pre-eclampsia are well known and far-reaching, the exact etiology remains unknown.[1]

Women with pre-eclampsia have an increased rate of cesarean section consequent upon the high incidence of intrauterine growth restriction, fetal distress and prematurity.[2] Cesarean section on the other hand increases the risk of cardiopulmonary morbidity associated with pre-eclampsia.[3] This is due to the altered hemodynamics in women with pre-eclampsia.[4] This risk is present with both spinal and general anesthesia.

The optimal anesthetic method for Cesarean section for women with pre-eclampsia remains unsettled. However, several studies have demonstrated the safety of subarachnoid block (spinal), epidural and combined subarachnoid block-epidural anesthesia for Cesarean section in women with pre-eclampsia.[5-8] Studies comparing general anesthesia with other anesthetic methods are scarce. A literature search identified only one study which included general anesthesia in its comparative analysis.[9] This is understandable as most of these studies took place in developed countries where epidural and combined spinal-epidural anesthesia are routinely used for Cesarean section for pre-eclamptics. On the contrary, physicians in most developing countries like Nigeria are still restricted to either sub-arachnoid block or general anesthesia. This is due to the high cost and unavailability of epidural sets and scarcity of personnel with the requisite skills for epidural anesthesia.[10] This underscores the need for studies to
compare the outcome of Cesarean section using sub-
arachnoid block and general anesthesia as this will help
physicians practicing in developing countries in decision-
making.

This study compared the outcome of Cesarean section
for pre-eclampsia using sub-arachnoid block and general
anesthesia.

METHOD

Setting
This study was carried out at the University of Nigeria
teaching hospital in Enugu, Nigeria. Sub-arachnoid block is
usually done with 0.5% bupivacaine. For general anesthesia,
rapid sequence induction with Sellick's maneuver and a
relaxant technique are used. Sodium thiopentone 4-6 mg/
kg and suxamethonium 1-2 mg/kg are used for induction
and endotracheal intubation. Anesthesia is maintained with
pancuronium, halothane and oxygen/nitrous oxide.

Data collection
The records of all women who had Cesarean section for
severe pre-eclampsia from January 2005 to June 2009 were
retrieved. Data on maternal age, parity, gestational age at
delivery, booking status, Apgar scores, maternal mortality
and perinatal mortality were extracted.

Data analysis
The subjects were classified into two categories: Group A
was patients that had sub-arachnoid block while group B
comprised of patients that had general anesthesia.

The background characteristics and outcomes were
compared between the two groups using $\chi^2$, Student $t$-test
and Fischer exact test as appropriate, using SPSS version
10.0 statistical software. Differences were considered
significant if $P<0.05$.

Exclusion criteria
Women with mild pre-eclampsia, other medical disorders
in pregnancy, multiple pregnancies, gestational age less
than 32 weeks, cases of eclampsia and cases of failed sub-
arachnoid block that were reverted to general anesthesia
were excluded from the comparative analysis.

Definitions
- Severe pre-eclampsia: Systolic blood pressure $\geq 160$
  mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 110$ mmHg
  with $\geq 2+$ of Proteinuria on dipstix urinalysis.
- Booked: women who received antenatal care at the
  study center.

RESULTS

A total of 1156 Cesarean sections were done during the
study period out of which 116 (10.0%) were for severe pre-
eclampsia. Twenty cases were excluded based on the exclusion
criteria leaving 96 cases for the comparative analysis.

Type of anesthesia
Thirty-seven (38.5%) were sub-arachnoid block (Group A)
and 59 (61.5%) were general anesthesia (Group B).

Type of cesarean section
Twenty-six (70.3%) in group A were elective cases and 11
(29.7%) were emergencies. The corresponding figures in
group B were 40 (67.8%) and 19 (32.2%), respectively. The
difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.8$).

Indications for cesarean section
The indications for Cesarean section were severe pre-
eclampsia with the following conditions: unfavourable
cervix, previous Cesarean section, bad obstetric history,
fetal distress, failed induction of labor and intra-uterine
growth restriction. The distribution is shown in Table 1.

Booking status
Thirty-two (86.5%) in group A and 48 (81.4%) in
Group B were booked patients. The difference was not
significant.

Age
The mean ages for the patients were 31±1.4 (Range: 20-37)
years and 29±2.1 (Range: 21-36) years for groups A and

| Table 1: Indications for cesarean section in 96 Nigerian women with severe pre-eclampsia |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Indication                  | Group A | Group B | Total |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Severe pre-eclampsia with unfavourable cervix | 30 (81.1) | 43 (69.5) | 71 (74.0) |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with previous C/S         | 4 (10.8)  | 7 (11.9)  | 11 (11.5)  |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with bad obstetric history | 1 (2.7)  | 4 (6.8)  | 5 (5.2)  |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with prolonged infertility | 1 (2.7)  | 3 (5.1)  | 4 (4.2)  |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with fetal distress       | 0 (0.0)  | 2 (3.4)  | 2 (2.1)  |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with failed induction of labour | 0 (0.0)  | 2 (3.4)  | 2 (2.1)  |
| Severe pre-eclampsia with IUGR                 | 1 (2.7)  | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.0)  |
| Total                                        | 37 (100) | 59 (100) | 96 (100) |

Figures in parentheses are in percentage, C/S = Cesarean section, IUGR = Intrauterine growth restriction
B, respectively. The difference was significant ($P=0.0001$).

Gestational age at delivery
The mean gestational age at delivery was 36±1.6 (Range: 34-39) weeks for group A and 36±1.2 (Range: 34-40) weeks. The difference was not significant ($P=1.0$).

Parity
Thirteen (35.1%) in group A were nulliparous. The corresponding figure in group B was 22 (37.3%). The difference was not significant ($P=0.8$).

Table 2 summarises the background characteristics of the two groups.

Maternal mortality
Two (5.4%) maternal deaths were recorded in group A and 7 (11.9%) in group B. The difference was not significant ($P=0.5$).

Cause of maternal death
Anesthetic complications were responsible for 1 (2.7%) maternal death in group A and 5 (8.5%) in group B. The difference was not significant. The anesthetic complication in group A was severe hypotension that did not respond to resuscitative measures while those in group B were wrong intubations (N=2), Mendelson’s syndrome (N=1), unexplained drug reactions (N=2).

One (2.7%) maternal death in group A and 2(3.4%) were caused by pulmonary edema. The difference was not significant.

Apgar scores < 7 at 1 minute
Ten (27.0%) babies in group A had Apgar scores less than 7 at 1 minute. The corresponding figure in group B was 33 (55.9%). The difference was significant.

Apgar scores < 7 at 5 minutes
Five (13.5%) babies in group A and 21 (35.6%) in group B had Apgar scores less than 7 at 5 minutes. The difference was significant.

Perinatal mortality
One (2.7%) perinatal death were recorded in group A and 7 (11.9%) in group B. The difference was not significant.

Table 3 summarized the outcome of delivery in the two groups.

DISCUSSION
Pre-eclampsia is a major cause of maternal mortality and morbidity, and fetal loss worldwide, but particularly in the third world. Anesthetists may be required to assist with pain management in labor, to provide anesthesia for Cesarean section and to assist in the Intensive Care Management of life-threatening complications which may arise from this condition.

Severe pre-eclampsia is defined as any one of the following occurring after the 20th week of pregnancy: (i) severe hypertension (systolic blood pressure > 160 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure > 110 mmHg); (ii) proteinuria > 5 g per 24 h; (iii) oliguria < 400 ml per 24 h; (iv) cerebral irritability or visual disturbances; (v) epigastric or right upper quadrant pain (liver capsule distension); or (vi) pulmonary edema.[10]

There was no significant difference in the background maternal characteristics of the patients studied except for the mean maternal age. The exclusion criteria helped to eliminate confounding factors in the comparative analysis. The incidence of Cesarean section for pre-eclampsia (10%) was in keeping with the worldwide incidence of pre-eclampsia.[11]

The proportion of patients that received sub-arachnoid block showed a remarkable improvement to 38.5% in this study from 7.8% reported by researchers from the same practice environment during the period 1998-2002.[12] This dramatic increase in the use of spinal anesthesia resulted from the documented safety of sub-arachnoid block for pre-eclamptics undergoing Cesarean section. The absence of studies from this environment demonstrating

| Table 2: Background demographics |
|----------------------------------|
| Characteristics                  | Spinal anesthesia (N = 37) | General anesthesia (N = 59) | $P$ value |
| Mean maternal age                | 31±1.4                     | 29±1.1                      | 0.0001    |
| Mean gestational age at delivery | 36±1.6                     | 36±1.2                      | 1         |
| Booked patients                  | 32(86.5)                   | 48(81.4)                    | 0.5       |
| Nulliparity                      | 13(35.5)                   | 22(37.3)                    | 0.8       |

Figures in parentheses are in percentage
advantage of sub-arachnoid block over general anesthesia for severe pre-eclamptics may be a contributory factor to the preponderance of general anesthesia noted in this study.

The proportion of maternal deaths from anesthetic complications was not significantly different between both groups. An earlier study from a developed country setting compared general anesthesia, epidural anesthesia and combined sub-arachnoid block-epidural anesthesia for women with severe pre-eclampsia and found no difference in the outcomes. Significantly more babies with Apgar scores less than 7 at 1 and 5 minutes were recorded in the general anesthesia group than in the sub-arachnoid block group. However, the perinatal mortality was not significantly different between both groups.

**CONCLUSION**

The findings in this study support the previous studies which showed no significant difference in the maternal and perinatal mortality outcome of Cesarean delivery between women with severe pre-eclampsia who had regional and those that had general anesthesia. However, there was significantly higher proportion of birth asphyxia in women who received general anesthesia.
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