Dispersion-managed soliton in optical fibers with zero average dispersion
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The dispersion-managed (DM) optical system with step-wise periodical variation of dispersion is studied in the framework of path-averaged Gabitov-Turitsyn equation. The soliton solution is obtained by iterating the path-averaged equation. The dependence of soliton parameters on dispersion map strength is investigated together with the oscillating tails of soliton.

Dispersion-managed (DM) system, which is the system with periodical variation of dispersion along optical fiber, is one of the key point of current development of ultrafast high-bit-rate optical communication lines.

The main factor limiting the bit-rate capacity is pulse broadening due to the chromatic dispersion of the optical fiber which can be overcome by periodical changing of sigh of fiber’s dispersion to create very low (or even zero) path-average dispersion. Lossless propagation of optical pulse in DM fiber is described by nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with periodically varying dispersion $d(z)$:

$$iu_z + d(z) u_{tt} + |u|^2 u = 0,$$

where $u$ is the envelope of optical pulse, $z$ is the propagation distance and all quantities are made dimensionless. Consider two-step periodic dispersion map: $d(z) = (d_1 + d_2)$, where $d(z) = d_1$ for $0 < z + nL < L/2$ and $d(z) = -d_1$ for $L/2 < z + nL < L$, $d_1$ is the path-averaged dispersion, $L$ is the period of dispersion variation, $L$ is a dispersion period and $n$ is an arbitrary integer number. Eq. (1) also describes pulse propagation in fiber with losses compensated by periodically placed amplifiers if the distance between amplifiers is much less than $L$.

Provided a characteristic nonlinear length $Z_{nl}$ of the pulse is large: $Z_{nl} \gg L$, where $Z_{nl} = 1/|p|^2$ and $p$ is a typical pulse amplitude, the eq. (1) can be reduced to path-averaged Gabitov-Turitsyn model:

$$i\dot{\psi}(\omega) - \omega^2 \psi + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \sin \omega_1 \omega_2 \hat{\psi}(\omega_1 + \omega) \times \hat{\psi}(\omega_2 + \omega) \psi^*(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 = 0,$$

where $s = d_1L/2$ is a dispersion map strength, $\dot{\psi} \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \int_L^0 \delta(z)dz'$. 
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Returning to t-space one can get:

$$i\dot{\psi}_z + (d)\psi_{tt} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int Ci\left(\frac{t}{L}\right)\psi(t_1 + t)\psi(t_2 + t) \times \psi^*(t_1 + t_2 + t)dt_1dt_2 = 0,$$

where $Ci(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{\cos \tau}{\tau} d\tau$ (note difference in definition of $Ci(x)$ in comparison with [4]). It was found numerically that Gaussian ansatz

$$A_{Gauss} = p \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2} \right),$$

where $p, \beta$ are real constants, is a rather good approximation for the soliton solution $u = A(t)e^{i\lambda z}$ ($A$ is real) of eq. (3) for small and moderate values of $t$ at space points $z = L/4 + nL$. Respectively (4) is also a good approximation for the soliton solution $\psi = A(t)e^{i\lambda z}$ of (3). On soliton solution eq. (4) takes the form:

$$-\lambda A + (d)A_{tt} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int Ci\left(\frac{t}{L}\right)A(t_1 + t) \times A(t_2 + t)A(t_1 + t_2 + t)dt_1dt_2,$$

where $\lambda$ is a real constant.

Based on this observation the soliton solution of (3) was approximated in [4] by Gaussian exponent multiplied by a sum consisting of a finite number of Hermite polynomials. Because infinite set of these polynomials is complete in the space of square-integrable function, $\psi$ can be expanded over this set. But for large enough $t$ such approximation cannot be effective because of highly oscillating tails of $A$ for $t \to \infty$ which requires to take into account very large number terms of expansion to get reasonable approximation. The oscillating tails of $A$ are of big importance because they are responsible for interaction of a sequence of solitons launched in optical fiber which limits bit-rate capacity.

Here the convergent set of approximate solutions of (3) is found by iterating that eq. for $(d) = 0$. Zero iteration $A^{(0)}$ is given by (5). $-\lambda A^{(n)}$ is obtained by substitution

$$A^{(n)} = \int_0^1 \frac{dA^{(n-1)}}{dt}dt,$$

where $A^{(n-1)} = \int_0^1 \frac{dA^{(n-2)}}{dt}dt$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$.

Note that eq. (5) is exactly the same as the equation for variation of $A(t)$ in [11].
of $A^{(n-1)}$ into right hand side of (3) for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. The oscillating tails appear at first iteration already. Simultaneously one can get the dependence of $\beta$ in eq. (4) on dispersion map strength $s$.

Rewriting the kernel of (3) via parametric integral:

$$\sin \omega_1 \omega_2 = \frac{1}{2s} \int_s^\infty \exp \left( \frac{t^2 s' \omega_1 \omega_2}{2} \right) ds'$$

and using (5) as zero iterations allow to make integration over time variables $t_1, t_2$ in (3) explicitly and get expressions for first $A^{(1)}$, second $A^{(2)}$, etc. iterations:

$$A^{(1)} = p^{(1)} \int_{-\tilde{s}}^\tilde{s} \frac{\exp \left( -\frac{\beta j^2 + 3j^3}{2\tilde{s} s} t^2 \right)}{\sqrt{1 - 2i s+3s^2}} ds',$$

$$A^{(2)} = p^{(2)} \int_{-\tilde{s}}^\tilde{s} \int_{-\tilde{s}}^\tilde{s} \int_{-\tilde{s}}^\tilde{s} \frac{\exp \left( -q t^2 \right)}{\sqrt{1 - 2i s+3s^2}} ds' ds'' ds_3,$$

$$A^{(3)} = \ldots$$

where $\tilde{s} = \beta s$, integration limits for all variables are $[-\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}]$, $f_j = 1 - 2t s_j + 3s_j^2$, $b_j = \frac{1+3s_j}{2\beta (3+3j)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $g = 4(4b_1 b_2 b_3 - 4b_1 b_2 s' + (b_1 + b_2 + b_3)s'^2)$, $q = 4(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3 + 4s' b_3 + s'^2)/g$, and $p^{(n)}$ is determined by the condition $A^{(n)}|_{t=0} = p$ for all $n$. Note that instead of keeping $A^{(n)}|_{t=0}$ constant for all iterations it is also possible to fix the integral $P_0 = \int |A^{(n)}|^2 dt$ if one aims to get soliton solution with some definite value of $P_0$. In principle any values of $\beta, \lambda$ can be chosen for zero iteration but the most useful is to choose them in such a way to get $A^{(1)}$ as close as possible to $A^{(0)}$ to get faster convergence of iterations to true solution of eq. (3). It is convenient to make series expansion $A^{(1)}$ in powers of $t^2$ which allows to integrate all terms of this expansion explicitly. In particular

$$p^{(1)} = \sqrt{3} p / \left( \frac{\arcsinh \left( \frac{3\tilde{s} - \lambda}{2} \right)}{2} + \text{c.c.} \right),$$

$$A^{(1)} |_{t=0} = \left( \frac{2\beta^2}{3} \right) \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{s} + \lambda}{3\tilde{s} - \lambda}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \arcsinh \left( \frac{3\tilde{s} - \lambda}{2} \right) + \text{c.c.} \right),$$

where c.c. means complex conjugate. Equating terms proportional $t^2$ in series expansion of $A^{(1)}$ and (3) one gets from (3) transcendental equation for $\tilde{s}$ which gives

$$\tilde{s} = \beta s = 2.393 \ldots$$

and using (3) with the same accuracy

$$\lambda = p^2 \cdot 0.482 \ldots$$

Fig.1 shows the results of first four iterations obtained numerically for $p = 1.7$, $d_1 = 500$, $L = 0.01$, $s = 2.5$, $\langle d \rangle = 0$, $\tilde{t} = t/\sqrt{s}$ and $\beta$, $\lambda$ are given by (3), (4). It is enough to consider only positive values of $\tilde{t}$ because $A(t)$ is an even function of $t$. Note that in case $\langle d \rangle = 0$ eq. (4) is invariant under two scaling transforms: $t \to \delta_1 t$, $s \to \delta_1 s$, $A(r) \to A(r/\delta_1)$ and $\lambda \to \delta_2 \lambda$, $A \to \delta_2 A$, which allows to extend results of Fig.1 to all values of system parameters $p, s$ (of course it has a sense to consider only such values of $p, s$ for which condition $Z_{nl} \gg L$ holds).

It is seen i Fig. 1 that the convergence of iteration procedure to soliton solution is very fast for small $t$ and becomes slower for larger $t$. For $\tilde{|t|} \lesssim 1.3$ the renormalization of $A^{(1)}$ by next iteration is very small. In particular for all $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ $(A^{(n+1)} / A^{(n)})|_{t=0}$ differs from $\beta$ determined by (3) for less that 2%. For $\tilde{|t|} \lesssim 2.3$ the good approximation is given by second iteration, for $\tilde{|t|} \lesssim 3.2$ by third etc. The sequence of iteration can be interpreted as evolution of $A$ along some artificial coordinate $\tilde{z}$ and it can indicate that the convergence of the solution of (3) during evolution along coordinate $z$ to soliton solution (3) should also be slower for larger $t$ what is really observed in numerical experiments if $\psi|_{z=0}$ is chosen in Gaussian form (3).

Thus, provided the form of soliton is close to Gaussian for small and moderate $t$, the value of $\beta s$ is universal and is given by (3). Zakharov and Manakov [4] proposed the other theory of DM soliton in strong DM limit based on the assumption that typical width of $A$ distribution is much less than $\sqrt{s}$. In present notation it means $\beta s \gg 1$. Apriori one can not exclude that soliton solution with $\beta s \gg 1$ is also possible in addition to obtained here. But such solution can not be Gaussian-like and, according to best of my knowledge, in all numerical experiment so far the value of $\beta s$ was of the order of unity (see e.g. [9, 10]). Note that choice of $\beta s \gg 1$ for zero iteration results in convergence of iteration sequence (3) to Gaussian-like solution with $\beta$ given by (3). It can indicate that solution of (3) for $\beta s \gg 1$ also converges for large $z$ to that Gaussian-like solution. One can not also exclude the existence of soliton with $\beta s \gg 1$ for $\langle d \rangle \neq 0$ which is outside the scope of the present paper.

Let us try to explore in more details in what sense soliton solution $A$ is close to Gaussian. From (3), (4) and (5) one can get series expansion (the approximate numerical value of $\tilde{s}$ is used here to avoid writing a cumbersome expression for every term of expansion):

$$A^{(1)} \exp \left( \frac{\beta^2}{2} / p \right) \simeq 1 - 8.211 \cdot 10^{-2} \tilde{t}^4 - 2.654 \cdot 10^{-2} \tilde{t}^6$$

$$-4.383 \cdot 10^{-3} \tilde{t}^8 - 3.946 \cdot 10^{-4} \tilde{t}^{10} + \ldots$$

One can conclude from this expansion that $A$ can be written as multiplication of Gaussian exponent (4) on
a slow function which changes significantly on scales $\tilde{t}^2 \sim 3.5$. For this and larger scales there is no similarity between soliton and $|\tilde{t}|$. Thus DM soliton is close to Gaussian exponent only with accuracy up to numerically "small parameter" $\tilde{t}^2/2 = s\tilde{t}^2/2 \sim 1$ and there is no really small parameter describing this closeness. Nevertheless appearance of numerical "small parameter" $1/4$ explains success of expansion of DM soliton solution in Hermite polynomials $H_3^s$ which is basically equivalent to expansion (11) in Taylor series.

For larger scales $|\tilde{t}| \geq 1.3$ the correct presentation of DM soliton requires a lot of terms of series expansion. Instead one can get asymptotical behavior from (11). E.g. the asymptotic of the first iteration is given by:

$$A_{\tilde{t} \rightarrow \infty} \approx \frac{p^{(1)}}{16\beta^2} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{3\tilde{s} + 1}{\tilde{s} - 1}\right) \tilde{t}^2 \right) \times$$

$$\left[ -4i(\tilde{s} - i) + \frac{(3\tilde{s} - 5i)(3\tilde{s} + 1)}{\tilde{t}^2} \right] + c.c. + O\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{t}^6}\right) \quad (12)$$

Fig.2 shows that eq. (12) (curve 2) is a relatively good approximation of $A^{(1)}(t)$ for $|\tilde{t}| \geq 1.6$. But of course to improve accuracy of soliton solution approximation it is necessary to get asymptotic of next iterations. Another way is to use the series expansion (11) as zero iteration instead of (12). E.g. keeping first four terms of this expansion for zero iteration one can get parametric integral similar to (11) which gives good approximation of $A^{(2)}$ in (11) for $|\tilde{t}| \geq 3.5$. Detail consideration of this approximation is outside the scope of the present paper.
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Figure captions:

Fig.1. Time-dependence of first, second, third and fourth iteration (curves 1,2,3,4 respectively).
Fig.2. First iteration $A^{(1)}(t)$ (curve 1) versus eq. (12) (curve 2).
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