Crystal Structure of C5b-6 Suggests Structural Basis for Priming Assembly of the Membrane Attack Complex*1
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The complement membrane attack complex (MAC) forms transmembrane pores in pathogen membranes. The first step in MAC assembly is cleavage of C5 to generate metastable C5b, which forms a stable complex with C6, termed C5b-6. C5b-6 initiates pore formation via the sequential recruitment of homologous proteins: C7, C8, and 12–18 copies of C9, each of which comprises a central MAC-perforin domain flanked by auxiliary domains. We recently proposed a model of pore assembly, in which the auxiliary domains play key roles, both in stabilizing the closed conformation of the protomers and in driving the sequential opening of the MAC-perforin β-sheet of each new recruit to the growing pore. Here, we describe an atomic model of C5b-6 at 4.2 Å resolution. We show that C5b provides four interfaces for the auxiliary domains of C6. The largest interface is created by the insertion of an interdomain linker from C6 into a hydrophobic groove created by a major reorganization of the α-helical domain of C5b. In combination with the rigid body docking of N-terminal elements of both proteins, C5b becomes locked into a stable conformation. Both C6 auxiliary domains flanking the linker pack tightly against C5b. The net effect is to induce the clockwise rigid body rotation of four auxiliary domains, as well as the opening/twisting of the central β-sheet of C6, in the directions predicted by our model to activate or prime C6 for the subsequent steps in MAC assembly. The complex also suggests novel small molecule strategies for modulating pathological MAC assembly.

Complement is an immunoeffector system, consisting of ~30 blood plasma proteins and 10 cell surface receptors, that plays a major role in host defense against microorganisms (1). The ultimate outcome of complement activation on target phospholipid membranes is the formation of the membrane attack complex (MAC). 4 The first step in MAC assembly is the formation of the intermediate, C5b-6, on the assembly pathway (6–8). The MAC appears as a transmembrane tubule (~100 Å inner diameter) in electron micrographs. Single copies of C6, C7, and C8 together with 12–18 copies of C9 (9–13) form the circular pore, whereas C5b binds to the upper segments of C6 and C7 and projects upwards from the pore.

We recently determined the crystal structure of complement C6 and proposed a mechanism for MAC assembly, including the structural basis for sequential and unidirectional assembly (14). Based on comparisons with C8 and perforin, we proposed that the auxiliary domains play key roles in regulating conformation and assembly of the MAC. Specifically, we suggested how the rotation of auxiliary modules at the leading edge of the nascent MAC could mediate optimal packing interactions with the new recruit and trigger the opening of its β-sheet, leading to the release of clusters of helices (CH), which would ultimately form the membrane attachment/spanning elements (14).

In the absence of direct structural data, our model remained speculative, particularly in the early steps of initiation by C5b. To address this, we have crystallized C5b-6 and solved its structure to a resolution of 4.2 Å. The map is of excellent quality, allowing an almost complete atomic model of the biomolecular ~300-kDa complex to be built. The complex structure provides a wealth of new insights into how C5b primes C6 for MAC assembly, allowing us to refine and extend our model (14). In
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particular, it shows how C5b engages the auxiliary domains of C6 in an intimate embrace that “primes” C6 for initiating MAC assembly.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Purification and Crystallization of C5b-6—C5 and C6 were purified from a single batch of human plasma as described previously (14, 15). C5 (6 mg/ml) and C6 (3 mg/ml) in 5 mM imidazole HCl, pH 7.6, and 80 mM Li2SO4 were incubated with the fluid phase C5 convertase, CVF-Bb (EC 3.4.21.47), a complex of core enzyme factor and the Bb subunit of complement factor B, as described (16), except that 30 μM Ni2+ was substituted for 3 mM Mg2+. The reactants were incubated in 300-μl polypropylene tubes at room temperature for 2–4 weeks. During this time, orthorhombic crystals of C5b-6 formed spontaneously as long thin plates.

C5b-6 hemolytic activity was evaluated using sheep erythrocytes (Colorado Serum Co.) pretreated for 1 h with 10 mM dithiothreitol at 37 °C in 20 mM Tris, pH 9.0, 0.15 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA. After washing several times, cells were suspended in 0.14 M dextrose, 0.1% gelatin, 2.5 mM sodium barbital pH 8.0, 70 mM NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl2. Samples of C5b-6 (0–0.2 μg) were preincubated for 1 min with 107 erythrocytes, followed by the addition of C8 (0.05 μg) and C9 (0.4 μg). Finally, C7 (0.05 μg) was added, and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. One ml of buffer was then added to each tube, and the samples were centrifuged. Hemolysis was measured by optical density measurement of the supernatants at 413 nm, and complement hemolysis CH50 activity units were determined as previously described (17). X-ray Data Collection and Structure Solution—Crystals with a typical size ~0.1 × 0.06 × 0.4 mm were suspended in mother liquor and mounted in glass capillaries for room temperature data collection. The best crystals diffraction to ~4 Å resolution but were radiation-sensitive. The diffraction data were collected at SSRL, Beamline 11-1, equipped with a Pilatus 6M detector. The data were collected at a rate of 1 frame/s in a beam collimated to 0.15 × 0.1 mm, without attenuation. One or two batches of data were collected from distinct volumes of each crystal. Each batch contained ~15 frames with 0.5° rotation per frame. Each crystal volume typically survived for ~10 frames (5°) before radiation damage became severe. Because most crystals adopted a similar orientation when mounted in the capillary, we were able to manually align them for data collection, so that reasonable completeness was obtained using 17 batches from 10 crystals. The data were processed using HKL2000, and radiation damage was assessed by changes in scale and B factor, as well as Rmerge. Frames with Rmerge > 25–30% were rejected.

Table 1 summarizes data collection and refinement statistics. The structure was solved initially by placing the major domains, using a molecular replacement method implemented in the program PHASER from CCP4 (18). Search models were based on C3b (Protein Data Bank code 3IDH) (19), C5 (Protein Data Bank code 3PRX) (20), and C6 (Protein Data Bank code 3T5O) (14). Template 1 contained the first seven domains of C5 overlaid on the equivalent domains of C3b; template 2 comprised the MAC-perforin (MACPF) and selected auxiliary domains of C6; and templates 3–5 comprised C5d, the C1r/C1s, Uegf, and BMP-1 (bone morphogenetic protein-1) (CUB) domain, and C345C from the structure of C5. Template 6 comprised the C-terminal auxiliary domains (complement control proteins (CCPs) and factor I modules (FIMs) of C6). The missing parts of both proteins, including the linker regions, were built manually into difference Fourier maps.

The model was refined using REFMAC5 (version 6), which has a number of tools that enable reliable atomic models to be built at relatively low (~4 Å) resolution, including anisotropic scaling and water components modeled by Babinet’s principle (21). In addition, “jelly body” restraints (σ = 0.012) enabled atomic coordinates and B-factors to be refined. Reciprocal space refinement was iterated with manual model building and real space refinement in COOT (22). The final cycles of refinement were conducted with TLS (translation, libration, screw) in five groups, with B-factor restraints increased by 1.5 from the default values. The quality of the structure was validated with CCP4. The final R factors are 0.21 (Rwork) and 0.27 (Rfree). Portions of the final electron density map are shown in supplemental Fig. S1.

The final model comprises 2450 residues (of a total of 2495), 15 saccharide units at eight attachments sites, and one divalent metal ion (modeled as Ca2+) bound at the C6 low density lipoprotein receptor (LR) element. The only missing elements are four loops within C5. The entire C6 structure is defined, with the exception of part of the CH1 domain (which was also disordered in crystals of C6) and the CCP2-FIM1 linker.

RESULTS

Structure Determination of C5b-6 Complex—C5b-6 was previously shown to form paracrystals at concentrations ~1 mg/ml (23). By using higher protein concentrations (>5 mg/ml), we obtained orthorhombic crystals of C5b-6 that grew spontaneously from the reaction mixture, comprising C5, C6, and a soluble C5 convertase (CVF-Bb). The integrity of the complex was confirmed by dissolving crystals in 30% glycerol followed by the dialysis and assaying for specific hemolytic activity. The measured value (~2 × 104 CH50 units/mg) was comparable with that of the initially prepared soluble C5b-6 (see “Experimental Procedures”).

Crystals diffracted to ~4 Å resolution at room temperature but were highly radiation-sensitive. We were unable to freeze crystals without substantial loss of diffraction quality; we therefore collected room temperature data from multiple capillary-mounded crystals. The data from 10 crystals merged satisfactorily to a resolution of 4.2 Å. The structure was solved by a combination of molecular replacement and ab initio model building of novel elements, notably a key linker region that was invisible in the structure of C6 alone. Refinement utilized jelly body restraints to stabilize convergence and minimize overfitting (21). The quality of the final electron density and derived model are exceptionally good for this resolution (Fig. 1, Table 1, and supplemental Fig. S1).

Structure of C5b—C5b (M, ~185,000) is the major proteolytic product of C5 after cleavage by C5 convertase, which excises a 74-residue helical domain, the C5a anaphylatoxin, from the center of the molecule. The two chains of C5b remain covalently linked via a disulfide bond. Although the structure of
FIGURE 1. Structure of the C5b-6 complex. A, stereo view of the C5b-6 complex shown as Cα chain trace. C5b is depicted in blue, green, and yellow; C6 is depicted in yellow, orange, and red. B, C5b-6 shown as molecular surface representation, colored as in A. The left view is the same as in A, and the right view is rotated by 90° about a vertical axis. C, domain organizations of C5 and C6, colored as in A and B. N-Linked carbohydrates are indicated by black hexagons, and disulfide linkages are indicated by brackets. The C5 convertase cleavage site in C6, which leads to the loss of C5a and formation of C5b, is indicated by an arrow.
The conversion of C5 to C5b is accompanied by large conformational changes that are similar in nature to those observed in the homologous C3b, but with several important differences. C5b contains 12 domains. The first seven comprise a fold comprising MG1, MG2, MG5, and MG6 is quite rigid across a 2-fold symmetry axis in the crystal; although the domains belong to different molecules in the crystal, there is no reason to believe that a similar intramolecular contact exists in C5b-6 complex, both FIMs are clearly seen to fold as a single module that is distinct from the structure of the C7 FIM pair (33) because of the insertion of a helix and disulfide-linked loop. More subtle C5b-induced changes in C6, which we propose to be linked to activation, are described below.

**Table 1**

| Data collection and refinement statistics |
|------------------------------------------|
| Data collection                           |
| Number of crystals/segments               | 10/17 |
| Temperature (K)                           | 298   |
| Resolution (Å)                           | 30–4.2|
| Space group                              | 12,2,2|
| Cell dimensions (Å)                      | 159,228,278 |
| R<sub>work</sub>                          | 0.16 (0.56)<sup>a</sup> |
| L/å<sub>l</sub>                           | 5.7 (1.6)<sup>a</sup> |
| Completeness (%)                         | 82 (67)<sup>a</sup> |
| Redundancy                               | 3.0 (2.6)<sup>a</sup> |

| Refinement statistics                     |
|------------------------------------------|
| Number of reflections (work/free)        | 28,605/1529 |
| Completeness (%)                         | 82 |
| R<sub>work</sub>                          | 0.221 (0.33)<sup>a</sup> |
| R<sub>free</sub>                          | 0.278 (0.37)<sup>a</sup> |
| Mean B (Å<sup>2</sup>)                   | 167 |
| Wilson B, Å<sup>2</sup>                  | 120 |
| Number of protein atoms                  | 19,517 |
| Root mean square deviations from ideality| 0.01 |
| Bond lengths (Å)                         | 1.7 |
| Angle (°)                                | 1.7 |
| Ramachandran plot (from PROCHECK)        |
| Favored (%)                              | 82.6 |
| Allowed (%)                              | 16.2 |
| Generally allowed (%)                    | 1.0 |
| Disallowed (%)                           | 0.3 |

<sup>a</sup> The value for the outer resolution shell, 4.4–4.2 Å.

C5 (20, 24, 26) is known, as well as the homologous C3 and its proteolytic product, C3b, the structure of C5b has not previously been reported.

The chain continues as a CUB domain, which is another β-sandwich into which a large α-helical domain, C5d (M<sub>r</sub>~35,000), has been inserted. After returning to complete the CUB domain, the chain continues as another MG domain (MG8) and finally a C-terminal α/β-domain, related to members of the netrin family, called C345C (27) (M<sub>r</sub>~17,000) that sits at the top of the molecule (Figs. 1 and 2).

In the transition from C5 to C5b, the subset of the MG scaffold comprising MG1, MG2, MG5, and MG6 is quite rigid (superposing with an root mean square difference of only 1.2 Å (Ca)), providing a convenient reference frame. The other domains rotate about their centers of mass, especially MG3 and MG7, which directly contact the C-terminal elements.

In C5, the CUB, C5d, MG8, and C5a domains form a compact bundle that packs against the MG scaffold (24). Following the excision of C5a, MG8 moves ~20 Å laterally to fill the cavity created by the loss of C5a. This releases constraints on the CUB-C5d unit, and C5d “unfurls” from the CUB, rotating ~120° and shifting (downward and outward (away from the MG scaffold) by ~40 Å (Fig. 2A). The CUB domain accommodates this movement by rotating ~40° and by making a new interface with MG2. C345C is perched at the top of the MG scaffold in a loose association and is displaced in the complex partly in response to the shift of MG8.

A related conformational change in the transition from C3 to C3b has been described (19) (Fig. 2B). The most obvious difference is the final position of C3d vis-à-vis C5d. In C3b and all of its complexes determined thus far (19, 28–30), the linker between the CUB and C3d is extended, and the C3d domain unfurls but further downward (~60 Å) to pack against the base of the MG scaffold (MG1) (19, 31, 32).

In the C5b-6 complex, the CUB-C5d linkage is much more compact, and although C5b swings downward, it does not move as far (~40 Å); its direction is also different, such that it remains 50 Å from the base of MG1. This distinct location for C5d appears to be stabilized by the unique packing of C6 against C5b (see below).

**Structure of C6**—We recently reported the crystal structure of C6 in its uncomplexed form (14). It comprises a central α/β globular MACPF domain, with a highly bent four-stranded β-sheet at its center; in addition, nine “auxiliary” domains (Fig. 1C) either wrap around or extend from the body of the MACPF. The last four domains (two CCP modules and two FIMs) extend upward from the MACPF body, attached via a flexible linker. In the C5b-6 complex, the linker and its flanking domains are sequestered by C5b, such that the C-terminal domains adopt a very different conformation (supplemental Fig. S2).

FIM2 was poorly defined in crystals of C6, but in the C5b-6 complex, both FIMs are clearly seen to fold as a single module that is distinct from the structure of the C7 FIM pair (33) because of the insertion of a helix and disulfide-linked loop. More subtle C5b-induced changes in C6, which we propose to be linked to activation, are described below.

**Overview of C5b-6 Complex**—C5b-6 is a bimolecular complex of M<sub>r</sub>~300,000. In the view shown in Fig. 1, C5b sits on top of C6, and because both domains stand “upright,” the complex is very tall (>200 Å). This packing mode is distinct from any known C3b-ligand interaction.

In the complex, C5b grabs the top of C6 like a pair of pincers. On one side, the rigid MG scaffold of C5b locks onto the TS2 and LR domains of C6. On the other, the C5d and CUB domains of C5b engage the top of the third thrombospondin module (TS3), the TS3-CCP1 linker, and the first CCP domain in an extensive and intimate interface. Table 2 lists the buried interfaces for all C5b-C6 interactions in the crystal lattice and estimates and energy for each of them.

An additional contact is made by the C-terminal FIMs, which form an extensive contact with the C345C domain of C5b across a 2-fold symmetry axis in the crystal; although the domains belong to different molecules in the crystal, there is reason to believe that a similar intramolecular contact exists in solution (i.e., this may be an example of domain swapping; see below). We will now describe each interface in detail.

**Base of C5b MG Scaffold Engages TS2 and LR Modules of C6**—Two auxiliary domains of C6 (TS2, the LR module and the linker between them) form a continuous ridge at the top of the MACPF that packs against the base of the MG scaffold (contacting MG1, MG4, and MG5), burying ~550 Å<sup>2</sup> of protein surface. The interface has reasonable charge and shape comple-
mentarity, and docking involves only minor conformational changes on either side of the interface; however, the predicted binding energy is relatively small (Fig. 3B and Table 2).

Top of TS3 and TS3-CCP1 Linker Make Intimate Contacts with C5d Domain—The long linker segment (from TS3 to CCP1) emanating from the last \( \beta \)-strand of TS3 (residues 590–623), together with the adjacent \( \beta \)-hairpin at the top of TS3 (residues 556–DATY560), bury a total of \( \sim 1500 \ \text{Å}^2 \) of protein surface (Fig. 4). This is by far the largest interface in the complex, and energy calculations suggest that it dominates the overall binding (\( \Delta G = -18 \ \text{kCal/mol} \)).

The linker is delimited by three disulfides (Cys\(^{590} \)–Cys\(^{596} \), which bonds to Cys\(^{556} \) within TS3; Cys\(^{602} \), which bonds to Cys\(^{478} \) within the MACPF domain (the top of the Linchpin helix); and Cys\(^{623} \)–Cys\(^{665} \), which forms the first disulfide of the CCP1 domain. Clear and continuous electron density exists for the entire segment, with most side chains visible (this entire region was largely disordered in crystals of C6).

Interestingly, the thioester “warhead” of C3b is located in the analogous region of the C5d domain that makes contact with the TS3 domain of C6. The thioester is cryptic in native C3 by
TABLE 2
Interacting surface areas and estimated energetic contributions

|        | C5b  | C6   | Area | $\Delta G$ |
|--------|------|------|------|------------|
| C5d    | Linker + TS3 | 1480 | 17.8 | -1.6       |
|        | CCP1  | 350  | -1.6 |            |
|        | CCP2  | 410  | +0.2 |            |
|        | Total | 2320 | -19.2|            |
| MG1–6  | CCP1  | 180  | -0.6 |            |
|        | LR + TS2 | 540  | -1.0 |            |
|        | Total  | 720  | -1.6 |            |
| CUB    | CCP1  | 430  | -1.2 |            |
| C345C  | FIM2  | 560  | -7.2 |            |
| C6     | C5b   | Total | 960  | -3.4       |

|        | C5d  | C6   | Area | $\Delta G$ |
|--------|------|------|------|------------|
| CCP1   | C5d  | 350  | -1.6 |            |
|        | CUB  | 430  | -1.2 |            |
|        | MG1–6| 180  | -0.6 |            |
|        | Total | 960  | -3.4 |            |

Crystal Structure of Complement C5b-6

The C5b-6 complex makes much more limited contacts with C5; it wraps around one helix of C5d, burying a small interface (~400 Å²), and its contribution to overall binding is predicted to be minimal or unfavorable ($\Delta G = +0.2$ kCal/mol).

FIMs Interact with C345C Domain—In the crystals, the FIM pair makes no contacts with its "own" C5b protein, but intriguingly, FIM2, as well as the unique insertion between FIM1 and FIM2, forms an interface with the C345C domain of a second molecule in the crystal lattice (Fig. 3, E and F). The interface, which includes one end of the inserted helix and loop, buries nearly 600 Å² of protein surface and has good shape complementarity, and its predicted energy (~7.2 kCal/mol) is the second largest of all C5b-C6 interactions in the crystal lattice. The interaction occurs around a 2-fold symmetry axis within the crystals (it involves a "pairwise" exchange of arms), and we hypothesize that the interaction represents a genuine C5b-6 interface that has been "domain-swapped" (34–36). Indeed, simple modeling suggests that in solution, a C5b-C6 intracomplex can be made, requiring only a 45° rotation of the flexibly attached C345C domain about a vertical axis, together with a distinct bend at the FIM1-FIM2 boundary (supplemental Fig. S3). We further note that the C6 FIM interaction involves only one face of the C345C. The opposite face presents an extensive surface that could conceivably engage the C7 FIMs (37).

Conformational Changes in C6—In addition to the gross conformational changes observed in the C-terminal CCPs and FIMs (supplemental Fig. S2), more subtle changes can be discerned that we propose to be linked to the activation or "priming" of C6 to initiate MAC assembly. Overlay of the uncomplexed C6 structure onto the C5b-6 complex (Fig. 5) clearly reveals C5b-induced conformational changes in C6 in the directions that we predicted (14). For example, the intermolecular packing results in the TS3 domain being pushed downward by ~5 Å with respect to the body of MACPF. We previously showed that the Y-shaped unit comprising TS1, TS2, TS3, and EGF domains behaved as a rigid body (the "regulatory" segment (Fig. 5A), and indeed the shift of TS3 is clearly linked to a large concerted clockwise rotation of TS1, the lower part of TS2, and the central EGF elements (Fig. 5, B and C). However, the expected "upward" motion of TS2 does not occur in this complex, because it is attached to the rigid MG scaffold, and it moves horizontally instead.

There is also a distinct twisting (and partial opening) of the β-sheet, in concert with the motion of the CH2 and CH3 elements that constitute the "lower" segment (see also Fig. 5, B and C). The twisting motion is similar to that seen in C8α. Finally, in support of our model, we note that the conformation of C6 in the C5b-6 complex is in many respects midway between its conformation in C6 and C8β. Fig. 5C shows a comparison between these three structures, illustrating the concerted downward motion of TS3 and the rotation of the
DISCUSSION

C5b (like C3b) is metastable in solution in the absence of a binding partner. When viewed by EM, for example, it exhibits multiple conformations, presumably because the CUB and C5d domains are not firmly attached to the rest of the molecule (38, 39). Our crystal structure of the C5b-6 complex shows how the binding of C6 stabilizes C5b: by “tying together” the N- and C-terminal parts of the molecule; i.e., by simultaneously engaging the rigid MG scaffold on one side and by forming strong multifaceted interactions with the CUB and C5d domains on the other (Figs. 1, 3, and 4). Essentially all of the interactions involve the auxiliary domains at or near the upper surface of C6. This mode of interaction has no known counterpart in the ligand complexes of C3b.

In forming this complex, C6 also changes its conformation, and the directions of movement are consistent with the model that we recently proposed for propagation of pore assembly. The major elements are a clockwise rotation (∼20°) of the regulatory segment, with a concerted motion of the EGF domain of C6 toward the presumed location of the incoming recruit for pore formation. Within C6, there is also a linked twisting of the central β-sheet of the MACPF domain from the symmetry-related complex.

FIGURE 3. Structure of the C5b-C6 interface. A, overview of the binding site of C6 with C5b, illustrating the major elements of the interface (with the exception of the domain-exchanged FIM-C345C interaction, shown in Fig. 3E). The major interaction between the TS3-CCP1 linker is only partly visible in this view and is shown in detail in Fig. 4. B, close-up of the interface between the LR (magenta cartoon; side chains colored by atom-type; disulfide bonds in yellow; Ca²⁺ ion is shown as a black ball) and TS2 domains (in blue) of C6 with the base of the MG scaffold of C5b (shown as molecular surface). The top of the MACPF domain is shown as a green ribbon. C, close-up of the interface between the CCP modules (yellow cartoon with atom-colored side chain sticks and selected residues labeled) of C6 with C5b, shown as a molecular surface colored by surface potential. Red, negative; blue, positive; white, neutral. CCP1 packs into a large groove formed by the juxtaposition of the C5d, CUB, and MG2 domains. CCP2 makes limited contacts, exclusively with C5d. D, same as C, but the view is orthogonal (about vertical axis), revealing the tight packing at the base and loose packing at the top of the pocket. E, a three-dimensional slice through the crystal of C5b-6 showing the interchange of C-terminal arms across a 2-fold axis of symmetry (black lens at center) that enables binding of FIMs from one C5b-6 complex with the C345C domain from the symmetry-related complex. F, close-up view of the FIM2-C345C interaction. C345C is shown as colored ribbons (colored as in E), the FIMs as electrostatic potential surfaces.
The major surprise of our study was the role of the linker region between TS3 and CCP1. The linker was largely invisible in crystals of C6, but in the C5b-6 complex it appears to create a groove in the C5d domain into which it inserts a series of hydrophobic residues. This hydrophobic segment is conserved among vertebrates (Fig. 4). The buried surface area and estimated free energy change for this interface dominate the entire C5b-6 interaction (Table 2). The analogous linker in C7 has...
distinct motifs and lengths, and we propose that these differences provide the major discriminatory elements for binding of C6 versus C7 at this site on C5b.

The second major interaction is between the FIMs of C6 and the C345C domain at the C terminus of C5b. Such an interaction was expected, because binding was reported between recombinant fragments of C6 and the C345C domain (7, 37). The actual interaction we observe is between two complexes in the crystal lattice that exchange C-terminal arms (CCP2 and both FIMs) across a 2-fold symmetry axis (Fig. 3, E and F). We believe this to be an example of domain swapping, a phenomenon that is fairly common in crystal lattices. Simple model building (supplemental Fig. S3) suggests that in solution, the same intracomplex FIM-C345C interaction could be generated by rotations about two hinge points: the linker between CCP1 and CCP2 and a 45° rotation of the C345C domain about a vertical axis. These rotations are not predicted to be energetically costly, because CCP2 is loosely attached to C5d (its calculated binding free energy is actually positive), whereas C345C is flexibly attached to the top of C5b (Fig. 3E).

C345C likely provides an initial, reversible, attachment point for C6; the site is available in C5 (7, 40), whereas we have shown that the major interaction site lies on the C5d domain, which is cryptic in C5, and only becomes available following the cleavage step that creates C5b. Notably, C7 was also shown to engage the C345C domain through its FIMs (40, 41), and binding was competitive with C6.

The next step in MAC assembly is the recruitment of C7 to the C5b-6 complex, the final outcome of which is an amphipic transition that enables the complex to tether to the outer leaflet of a phospholipid membrane (42) presumably by elongation of β hairpins formed out of the CH elements. An atomic structure of this complex is likely to provide the next major insights into MAC assembly.

How might our mechanistic studies benefit human health? Remarkably, the absence of MAC is not seriously detrimental to human health, but its dysregulation can be very harmful. MAC formation is regulated by CD59, but localized hyperactivation of complement can overcome its protective effects. For example, in Alzheimer disease, opsonization of β-amyloid activates MAC, leading to damage of nearby neurites (43). The only drug that currently targets MAC formation is an antibody, eculizumab, that is directed against C5. It is used to treat the inherited disease, paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, in which CD59 fails to attach to erythrocyte membranes (44). Targeting complement activation at the early stages of activation (45, 46) is likely to produce significant side effects, because C3 conversion is required for opsonization and phagocytosis of pathogens (47, 48). A large number of other complement-mediated disorders are known, and in many situations indications were found for the importance of the MAC. They include inflammation caused by trauma (49), rheumatoid arthritis (50), macular degeneration (51, 52), hemolytic anemias (53, 54), nephritis (55, 56), and demyelinating neuropathies such as multiple sclerosis and Guillain-Barré syndrome (57, 58). In rodent models, MAC formation increased the severity of rheumatoid arthritis, whereas a CD59 derivative decreased it (59, 60). Similarly, in a mouse model of renal ischemia reperfusion injury, mice defi-
cient in CD59 suffered more tubular injury than wild type littermates (61).

Very recently, small molecule inhibitors (aurin carboxylic acids) have been shown to inhibit C9 recruitment to the MAC without interfering with other complement functions (25). Our new structure offers the possibility of rational design of inhibitors, for example based on peptides derived from the TS3-CCP1 linker region.
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