Spatial spread of Wolbachia in Rhagoletis cerasi populations
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The bacterial endosymbiont Wolbachia has been used to control insect pests owing to its ability to manipulate their life history and suppress infectious diseases. Therefore, knowledge on Wolbachia dynamics in natural populations is fundamental. The European cherry fruit fly, Rhagoletis cerasi, is infected with the Wolbachia strain wCer2, mainly present in southern and central European populations, and is currently spreading into wCer2-uninfected populations driven by high unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility. Here, we describe the distribution of wCer2 along two transition zones where the infection is spreading into wCer2-uninfected R. cerasi populations. Fine-scale sampling of 19 populations in the Czech Republic showed a smooth decrease of wCer2 frequency from south to north within a distance of less than 20 km. Sampling of 12 Hungarian populations, however, showed a sharp decline of wCer2 infection frequency within a few kilometres. We fitted a standard wave equation to our empirical data and estimated a Wolbachia wave speed of 1.9 km yr$^{-1}$ in the Czech Republic and 1.0 km yr$^{-1}$ in Hungary. Considering the univoltine life cycle and limited dispersal ability of R. cerasi, our study highlights a rapid Wolbachia spread in natural host populations.

1. Introduction

Wolbachia is an endosymbiotic bacterium that is present in a wide range of arthropod and nematode species and can alter the reproduction of its host [1]. Being maternally inherited, Wolbachia is able to modify the reproduction of its host to its own advantage. The most efficient way is the induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) which results in embryonic mortality when the sperm of an infected male fertilizes the egg of a female that is not infected or is infected with a different Wolbachia strain [2]. Infected females, in contrast, produce viable offspring with both infected and uninfected males. This results in a reproductive advantage of infected over uninfected females and facilitates the spread of Wolbachia through host populations [3]. Although horizontal transmission within and among species is possible [4–6], Wolbachia mainly spreads vertically from females to their offspring via the egg cytoplasm [7].

Predicting Wolbachia spread through natural host populations is of considerable importance to understand how this bacterium invades new territory. Important parameters that influence the infection dynamics of Wolbachia are the strength of CI, the efficacy of maternal transmission, fitness effects on its host and the reproductive and dispersal potential of its host species [8]. The spread of the Wolbachia strain wRi in Drosophila simulans in California [3] and wAu in the same species over the eastern coast of Australia [8] are the best-studied examples of rapid Wolbachia spread in natural populations. These studies show
that Wolbachia is able to provide fitness benefits to its host, enhancing the spatial spread from low initial infection frequencies [8]. By contrast, fecundity costs can prevent a range expansion of the endosymbiont. In this case, Wolbachia spreads as a bistable wave where a certain threshold frequency is necessary to get established [9]. This has been shown in Aedes aegypti artificially transinfected with wMel, where the Wolbachia infection causes fitness costs to its host that limit the spread of released populations [10].

The European cherry fruit fly, Rhagoletis cerasi, is an important agricultural pest of cherries that is distributed throughout Europe [11]. This tephritid is infected with at least five different Wolbachia strains [12,13]. All populations share one common strain, wCer1, whereas a second strain, wCer2, is mainly present in southern and central European populations [12]. This strain causes a high degree of CI between wCer2-infected males and wCer2-uninfected females, with egg mortality rates of up to 98% [14], and is currently spreading in central Europe [15].

The cherry fruit fly system provides an excellent model to study the invasion dynamics of Wolbachia: First, R. cerasi has a univoltine life cycle that allows an in-depth characterization of an ongoing spatial Wolbachia spread in natural populations. Second, dispersal rates of the fly are limited, with an average estimate of 200 m yr⁻¹ and a few long distance dispersers migrating about 4 km yr⁻¹ [16]. This allows the study of Wolbachia range expansion on a small geographical scale. Here, we characterize the infection frequency of wCer2 along two transition zones: one along a south–north axis in the Moravian region of the Czech Republic and the other along a west–east axis found in northern Hungary. We use a standard Barton–Turelli wave model [9] to estimate R. cerasi adult dispersal potential and approximate the width and speed of the Wolbachia travelling wave. Our results highlight a rapid ongoing Wolbachia spread in natural populations of R. cerasi.

2. Material and methods

(a) Collection and genetic analysis

Larvae and pupae of R. cerasi were collected in 2015 in Austria and the Czech Republic and in 2016 in Hungary from Prunus avium. All populations from each transect were sampled on the same day and each population was sampled from a single tree. Samples were stored in absolute ethanol at −20°C. Five hundred and forty-eight individuals of R. cerasi were collected along a south–north transect of 46 km from one population in Austria (CZ-1) and 18 populations in the Czech Republic (CZ-2 to CZ-19). Furthermore, 336 individuals were collected from 12 populations in Hungary (HU-1 to HU-12) along a 72 km west–east transect (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, SI).

DNA was extracted using the GenElute Mammalian Genomic...
Figure 2. Predicted and observed wCer2 infection frequencies in the two transition zones of *R. cerasi* in the Czech Republic (*a*) and Hungary (*b*). Grey bars represent the 95% confidence intervals of infection frequency. (Online version in colour.)

DNA miniprep kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). *Wolbachia* screening was performed on all collected samples using diagnostic polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with strain-specific primers targeting specific fragments of the *Wolbachia* surface protein gene (*wsp*) [13]. Since *wCer1* is fixed in *R. cerasi*, *wCer1*-specific primers were used as positive controls for DNA quality.

(b) Wave parameters

The spatial distribution of *Wolbachia* was modelled by a standard nonlinear equation that describes *Wolbachia* spread as a traveling wave [3]. Using the standard wave model, we estimated the adult fly dispersal potential and the *Wolbachia* wave width and speed in the Czech Republic and in Hungary (see details in electronic supplementary material, S2).

3. Results

(a) wCer2 infection frequencies

In the Czech transition zone, the four southernmost populations CZ-1 to CZ-4 were completely wCer2-infected. Populations CZ-5 and CZ-6 had high wCer2 infection frequencies of 85 and 93%, respectively. Similarly, 92% of the individuals from CZ-7 and 71% of the individuals from CZ-8 were wCer2-infected. A medium infection frequency was found in populations CZ-9 and CZ-10, with a wCer2 infection rate of 45 and 38%, respectively. Relatively low infection frequencies were found in CZ-11, with 17%, CZ-12 with 22%, CZ-13 with 6% and CZ-14 with 10% wCer2-infected individuals, and three populations further north (CZ-15 to CZ-17) were completely wCer2-uninfected. The two northernmost populations, CZ-18 and CZ-19, however, showed a wCer2 infection frequency of 4%, i.e. two wCer2-infected individuals (*n* = 48) in each population (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, S1).

In the Hungarian transition zone, the six westernmost populations (HU-1 to HU-6) were completely wCer2-infected. In HU-7 91% of the individuals were wCer2-infected, while 7 km further east in HU-8, wCer2 was present in just 20% of the individuals. In HU-9 a wcer2 infection rate of 11% was found, while HU-10 and HU-11 both had a low infection frequency of 2%. In the most eastern population, HU-12, 20% of the individuals were wCer2-infected (figure 1 and electronic supplementary material, S1).

(b) Wave parameters

A nonlinear least-squares fit showed that the standard wave model fits well to our wCer2 infection frequency data with an *R*² value of 0.98 in the Czech Republic and 0.97 in Hungary (figure 2). *Rhagoletis cerasi* adult dispersal (*a*) estimated from the least-squares best fit was 3.8 km in the Czech Republic and 2.0 km in Hungary (figure 2). By using these two dispersal parameter values we estimated a wave width of 11.4 km and a wave speed of 1.9 km yr⁻¹ in the Czech Republic, while in Hungary, we estimated a wave width of 5.8 km and a wave speed of 1.0 km yr⁻¹.

4. Discussion

The classical textbook examples of *Wolbachia* spread in nature are the invasion of wRi in *D. simulans* populations in California [3] and Australia [8], and the release of artificially *Wolbachia*-infected *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes in Australia [10,17]. These studies showed how different *Wolbachia*-induced fitness effects influence its establishment and spread: while fitness costs hinder low-frequency infections from establishment and spread of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* populations [10], positive fitness effects of *Wolbachia* resulted in a rapid spread of wRi in *D. simulans* of about 100 km yr⁻¹ [3,8]. The dispersal rate of wMel in artificially transinfected mosquitoes in Australia, however, was multiple orders of magnitude lower, with a spatial spread of 100–200 m yr⁻¹ [10].

The *Wolbachia* strain wCer2 has been shown to spread in *R. cerasi* within Central Europe, where the infection dynamics of its invasion were determined on a large scale [15]. Here we characterized the wCer2 frequency and estimated its spatial spread along two fine-scale transects of *R. cerasi*. We estimated an *R. cerasi* adult dispersal rate of 3.8 km per generation in the Czech Republic and 2.0 km per generation in Hungary. Differences in the estimated migration rates might have been influenced by dissimilarities in the landscape and the presence of hosts between the two transects. The estimated adult dispersal rate is in line with a capture–release maximal dispersal estimation of 4 km per generation [16]. We estimated a wave width of 11.4 km in the Czech Republic and 5.8 km in Hungary. This is in stark contrast to an estimated wave width of 170–260 km in a German wCer2 transition zone that might be influenced by long-dispersal migration of the fly [15]. The *Wolbachia* wave speed was estimated to be...
1.9 km yr$^{-1}$ in the Czech Republic and 1.0 km yr$^{-1}$ in Hungary. Considering the univoltine biology of the fly, wCer2 is spreading with a rate of 1.9 km per generation in the Czech Republic and 1.0 km per generation in Hungary.

The infection frequency of wCer2 in the Hungarian transition zone has already been studied, in 1999, [12] and allowed a direct comparison with our data from 2016. Since the wave speed is defined as the distance travelled by an intermediate infection frequency over time (e.g. 50% infection rate), we measured the longitudinal distance between populations infected with greater than 50% in 1999 (HU-1; [12]) and 2016 (HU-7). HU-1 was 100% infected in 1999 while in 2016 wCer2 was present in 91% of the individuals from HU-7, 36 km further east, resulting in an estimated wave speed of 2.0 km yr$^{-1}$. Although, we consider that this rough estimation might have overestimated the spread of wCer2 in Hungary, this direct comparison supports our estimated fast spatial spread of Wolbachia. Repeated fine-scale samplings over different years are needed to refine the estimated temporal and spatial dynamics of the wCer2 spread. In summary, considering the univoltine biology and the low dispersal rate of this fly, our study represents a new example of a rapid Wolbachia spread in nature.
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