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Statistical Distribution of the Layered Rough Surface Index (LRSI)

Richard Dusséaux¹, * and Saddek Afifi²

Abstract—In this paper we determine the statistical distributions of the co- and cross-polarized Layered Rough Surface Index (LRSI) for three-dimensional layered structures with an arbitrary number of slightly rough interfaces illuminated by an electromagnetic plane wave. For infinite surface areas and Gaussian centered height distributions, we show within the framework of the first-order small perturbation method that the LRSI under a given observation direction is a random variable, whose statistical distribution is only function of two parameters. Contrary to the intensity ratio which follows a heavy-tailed distribution, the LRSI has finite mean and variance. For a structure air/clayey soil/rock, we analyze the influence of a snow layer upon the probability laws in the cases of Gaussian or exponential correlation functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], we established the closed-formulae for the probability density function (PDF) of the co- and cross-polarized intensity ratio in any observation direction for the field scattered from three-dimensional layered structures with an arbitrary number of rough interfaces under an illumination by an electromagnetic plane wave. Calculations were carried out within the framework of the first-order small perturbation method and assumed slightly rough boundaries with infinite surface areas and Gaussian centered height distributions [2–7]. The interfaces were correlated or not, isotropic or not, but the spectra and cross-spectra were Gaussian. We have demonstrated that the PDF is fully defined by two parameters that depend on the second-order statistical moments of the real and imaginary parts of scattering amplitudes [8–11]. But, the co- and cross-polarized intensity ratios under an observation direction are non-negative real-valued random variables which follow heavy-tailed distributions and do not have a finite mean and a finite variance.

The co- and cross-polarized intensity ratios are important discriminators in the study of polarimetric and interferometric data. The normalized difference polarization index is defined as the ratio $(I_{(ba)} - I_{(b'a')})/(I_{(ba)} + I_{(b'a')})$ where the quantities $I_{(ba)}$ and $I_{(b'a')}$ designate the $(b)$- and $(b')$-polarized component of the scattered intensity under the $(a)$- and $(a')$-polarized incident wave, respectively. This descriptor is often used for labelling of different land cover types [12, 13].

In the present paper, we extend the work conducted in [1] and determine the closed-form expression for the PDF of the Layered Rough Surface Index. The LRSI associated with the components $(ba)$ and $(b'a')$ of the scattered wave is defined as the ratio $I_{(b'a')}/(I_{(ba)} + I_{(b'a')})$. For a given direction, this descriptor is a random variable defined over $[0, +1]$ with a finite mean and a finite variance. We also establish the analytical expression of the Cumulative Density Function of the LRSI as well as those of its mean and its variance. To our knowledge, it is the first time that the properties of this indicator are found.
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The Canopy Structure Index (CSI) extracted from polarimetric data is often used for analysing the relative presence of vertical scatterers (trunks and stems) of vegetation [14, 15]. This indicator is defined by the same formula as the LRSI but from the average intensities. In the present paper, for a structure air/clayey soil/rock, we analyze the influence of a snow layer upon the probability laws for Gaussian and exponential correlation functions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the statistical properties of the three-dimensional layered structure under consideration and define the interface spectra and their cross-spectra for Gaussian and exponential correlation functions with or without anisotropy. In Section 3, within the framework of the first-order SPM, we give expressions for the co- and cross-polarized scattering amplitudes and for the scattered intensities. In Section 4, we establish in any observation plane the closed-form expressions for the distribution of the LRSI. Section 5 is devoted to the study of probability laws for a stratification air/clayey soil/rock with or without snow cover.

2. STATISTICAL DESCRIPTION OF INTERFACES

Consider the three-dimensional $N$-layer homogeneous dielectric structure shown in Fig. 1. The upper and lower regions are half-spaces. The non-parallel interfaces are randomly deformed over an area $L \times L$. Two consecutive surfaces are separated by a layer with thickness $d_i = u_i - u_{i-1}$ (with $2 \leq i \leq N - 1$).

![Figure 1. Structure with several two-dimensional rough interfaces.](image)

The functions $a_i(x, y)$ are realizations of second order stationary and centered Gaussian random processes. They can be obtained from the filtering of white Gaussian noise realizations $b_j(x, y)$ [4]:

$$a_i(x, y) = h_i(x, y) \ast \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} p_{ij} b_j(x, y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The star symbol (*) designates the convolution operation in the spatial domain. The function $h_i(x, y)$ is the impulse response of the filter associated with the interface $i$ and the coefficients $p_{ij}$ are the primary mixing parameters. Knowing that the white noises are uncorrelated, the statistical correlation $R_{ij}(x, y)$ between the interfaces $i$ and $j$ (with $1 \leq (i; j) \leq N - 1$) is given by:

$$R_{ij}(x, y) = \langle a_i(x', y') a_j(x + x', y + y') \rangle = q_{ij} C_{ij}(x, y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
The angular brackets stand for statistical averages. The coefficients $q_{ij}$ are the secondary mixing parameters defined by $q_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_{ik} p_{jk}$ with $|q_{ij}| \leq 1$ and $q_{ii} = 1$. The function $C_{ij}(x, y)$ is the correlation function between $h_i(x, y)$ and $h_j(x, y)$.

The spectrum $\hat{R}_{ii}(\alpha, \beta)$ and the cross-spectrum $\hat{R}_{ij,j\neq i}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the Fourier transforms of auto- and cross-correlations $R_{ii}(x, y)$ and $R_{ij,j\neq i}(x, y)$ with:

$$\hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = q_{ij} \hat{h}_i(\alpha, \beta) \hat{h}_j(\alpha, \beta)$$

(3)

The function $\hat{h}_i(\alpha, \beta)$ is the transfer function of the filter associated with the interface $i$. The superscript asterisk indicates the conjugate complex. For the numerical applications, we consider the statistical autocorrelations $R_{ii}(x, y)$ under the following form:

$$R_{ii}(x, y) = \sigma_i^2 \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{x^2}{l_{xi}^2} + \frac{y^2}{l_{yi}^2} \right) \right]$$

(4)

The interface $i$ is characterized by the root-mean-square height $\sigma_i$ and the correlation lengths $l_{xi}$ and $l_{yi}$. The interface is isotropic if $l_{xi} = l_{yi}$ and anisotropic if $l_{xi} \neq l_{yi}$. The autocorrelation function $R_{ii}(x, y)$ is Gaussian when the roughness exponent $H$ is equal to 1 and it is a bi-exponential function when $H = 0.5$. The spectrum $\hat{R}_{ii}(\alpha, \beta)$ is expressed in the following form:

$$\hat{R}_{ii}(\alpha, \beta) = \sigma_i^2 l_{xi} l_{yi} \pi \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha^2 l_{xi}^2 + \beta^2 l_{yi}^2}{4} \right) \quad \text{if } H = 1$$

(5)

$$\hat{R}_{ii}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2\pi \sigma_i^2 l_{xi} l_{yi}}{(1 + \alpha^2 l_{xi}^2 + \beta^2 l_{yi}^2)^{3/2}} \quad \text{if } H = 0.5$$

(6)

We determine the filter transfer functions $h_i(x, y)$ from the relations in Eqs. (3) and (5) or Eq. (6). The solution is not unique, and we suppose real-valued transfer functions. In this case, the cross-spectrum is given in the following forms:

$$\hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = q_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sqrt{l_{xi} l_{xj} l_{yi} l_{yj}} \exp \left[ -\alpha^2 \frac{l_{xi}^2 + l_{xj}^2}{8} - \beta^2 \frac{l_{yi}^2 + l_{yj}^2}{8} \right] \quad \text{if } H = 1$$

(7)

$$\hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = q_{ij} \frac{2\pi \sigma_i \sigma_j \sqrt{l_{xi} l_{xj} l_{yi} l_{yj}}}{(1 + \alpha^2 l_{xi}^2 + \beta^2 l_{yi}^2)^{3/4}} \left( 1 + \alpha^2 l_{xj}^2 + \beta^2 l_{yj}^2 \right)^{3/4} \quad \text{if } H = 0.5$$

(8)

3. SCATTERED INTENSITY

The structure is illuminated by a horizontal $(h)$ or vertical $(v)$ polarized plane wave with a wavelength $\lambda$. The time dependence is assumed to be in $\exp(j\omega t)$. The top region is assimilated to the vacuum. Each layer is characterized by a relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r$, and a wave number $k_i = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r} k_0$ where $k_0$ is the vacuum wave number. The incident wave vector $k_0(\alpha_0, \beta_0, -\gamma_0)$ is defined by the zenith angle $\theta_0$ and the azimuth angle $\phi_0$ (Fig. 1) with $\alpha_0 = k_1 \sin \theta_0 \cos \phi_0$, $\beta = k_1 \sin \theta_0 \sin \phi_0$ and $\gamma_0 = k_1 \sin \theta_0$.

The small perturbation method gives the first-order scattering amplitude $A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi)$ of the field scattered within the vacuum as follows [3–6]:

$$A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} K_{i,(ba)}(\alpha, \beta) a_i(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0)$$

(9)

The subscript $(a)$ denotes the incident wave polarization $(h$ or $v)$ and the subscript $(b)$, the scattered wave polarization $(h$ or $v)$. The propagation coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the scattered wave are defined from
the zenith angle \( \theta \) and the azimuth angle \( \phi \) with \( \alpha = k_1 \sin \theta \cos \phi \) and \( \beta = k_1 \sin \theta \sin \phi \). The function \( \hat{a}_i(\alpha, \beta) \) is the Fourier transform of the function \( a_i(x, y) \). The first-order SPM kernels \( K_{i,(ba)}(\alpha, \beta) \) can be obtained by an iterative formula as shown in [6] and they depend on the layer thickness values and the relative permittivity values and on the incidence and scattering angles. We established in [1] the analytical expressions of the first-order SPM kernels \( k_{1,(ba)}(\alpha, \beta) \) and \( k_{2,(ba)}(\alpha, \beta) \) for a stratified structure with two rough interfaces. In appendix, we give the analytical expressions in the case of three interfaces. To our knowledge, it is the first time that these closed-form formulas are presented.

The normalized scattering intensity \( I_{(ba)}(\theta, \phi) \) represents the power scattered in the direction \((\theta, \phi)\) per unit of solid angle divided by the incident power,

\[
I_{(ba)}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\lambda^2 \cos \theta_0 L^2} \left| A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \right|^2
\]

where \(-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq +\pi/2\) and \(0 \leq \phi \leq \pi\). For a layered structure with randomly rough boundaries, the normalized scattering intensity \( I_{(ba)}(\theta, \phi) \) depends on rough boundary height profile realizations and for a given direction, it is a random variable.

Within the framework of the first-order SPM and when \( L \to +\infty \), the average scattering intensity \( \langle I_{(ba)} \rangle \) is given by:

\[
\langle I_{(ba)}(\theta, \phi) \rangle = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\lambda^2 \cos \theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \text{Re} \left[ K_{i,(ba)}(\alpha, \beta) K_{j,(ba)}^*(\alpha, \beta) \hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0) \right]
\]

4. STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE LRSI

Let \( V_{(ba,b'a')} = I_{ba}/I_{b'a'} \) the intensity ratio associated with the components \((ba)\) and \((b'a')\) of the wave scattered in the direction \((\theta, \phi)\). For infinite surface areas \((L \to +\infty)\) and centered Gaussian height distributions, we demonstrated in [8] that the PDF \( p_{V_{(ba,b'a')}}(v) \) of \( V_{(ba,b'a')} \) is given by:

\[
p_{V_{(ba,b'a')}}(v) = (1 - r^2) p_0 \frac{v + p_0}{[(v + p_0)^2 - 4v p_0 r^2]^{3/2}}
\]

The PDF \( p_{V_{(ba,b'a')}}(v) \) is fully determined by the knowledge of the two parameters \( p_0 \) and \( r \).

\[
p_0 = \frac{\langle I_{ba} \rangle}{\langle I_{b'a'} \rangle}
\]

and,

\[
r = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{R_{ba}R_{b'a'}}^2 + \Gamma_{R_{ba}I_{b'a'}}^2}{\sigma_{R_{ba}}^2 \sigma_{R_{b'a'}}^2}}
\]

The quantity \( r \) designates the correlation coefficient of the two complex random variables \( A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \) and \( A_{(b'a')}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \) [1, 8] and its value lies between 0 and 1. The quantity \( \sigma_{R_{ba}}^2 \) is the variance of the real part of the scattering amplitude \( A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \). The quantity \( \Gamma_{R_{ba}R_{b'a'}} \) (and \( \Gamma_{R_{ba}I_{b'a'}} \)) is the covariance between the real parts of \( A_{(ba)}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \) and \( A_{(b'a')}^{(1)}(\theta, \phi) \) (and, between the real and imaginary parts, respectively). These statistical moments are defined by [9]:

\[
\sigma_{R_{ba}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \text{Re} \left[ K_{i,(ba)} K_{j,(ba)}^* \hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0) \right]
\]

\[
\Gamma_{R_{ba}R_{b'a'}}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \text{Re} \left[ K_{i,(ba)}^* K_{j,(b'a')} \hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0) \right]
\]

\[
\Gamma_{R_{ba}I_{b'a'}}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \text{Im} \left[ K_{i,(ba)}^* K_{j,(b'a')} \hat{R}_{ij}(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0) \right]
\]
Let \( S_{(b' a', ba)} = I_{b' a'}/(I_{ba} + I_{b' a'}) \) be the LRSI associated with the components \((b' a')\) and \((ba)\) of the scattered wave in the direction \((\theta, \phi)\). The random variable \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) takes values in \([0, +1)\). Knowing that \( S_{(b' a', ba)} = 1/(V_{(ba, b'a')} + 1) \), we determine the PDF of \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) from the following transformation:

\[
ps_{(b' a', ba)}(s) = \frac{d(v(s))}{ds} \left. p_{V(b,a',b')} \right|_0^v = \frac{1}{s^2} p_{V(b,a',b')}(v(s))
\]

where \( s = 1/(v + 1) \) and \( v = I_{ba}/I_{b' a'} \), and we find:

\[
ps(s) = \frac{p_0(1 - r^2)}{\{s^2[(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2] + 2s((p_0 - 1) - 2p_0r^2) + 1\}^{3/2}}
\]

We show that when \( p_0 > 1 \) and \( r^2 \in \left[0, \frac{p_0 - 1}{3p_0}\right] \) or when \( 0 < p_0 < 1 \) and \( r^2 \in \left[\frac{1 - p_0}{3}, 1\right] \), the PDF is maximum for \( s = s_0 \) with:

\[
s_0 = \frac{2(1 - p_0)^2 + r^2p_0(7 - p_0) - rp_0\sqrt{r^2((p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2) + 8(1 - p_0)^2}}{2(1 - p_0)((1 - p_0)^2 + 4r^2p_0)}
\]

When \( p_0 > 1 \) and \( r^2 \in \left[0, \frac{p_0 - 1}{3p_0}\right] \), the PDF is a decreasing function over \([0;1]\) and \( s_0 = 0 \). When \( 0 < p_0 < 1 \) and \( r^2 \in \left[\frac{1 - p_0}{3}, 1\right] \), the PDF increases over \([0;1]\) and \( s_0 = 1 \).

The CDF of the continuous random variable \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) can be expressed as the integral of its PDF in Eq. (19), and we find:

\[
F_S(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s(p_0 + 1) - 1}{2\{s^2[(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2] + 2s((p_0 - 1) - 2p_0r^2) + 1\}^{1/2}}
\]

We deduce from Eq. (21) that the median \( M_0 \) of \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) is equal to \( 1/(1 + p_0) \). Knowing that \( \langle I_{(ba)} \rangle = p_0 \langle I_{(b' a')} \rangle \), the median is equal to \( \langle I_{(b' a')} \rangle \).

As shown by the relations in Eqs. (13) to (17), through the first-order SPM Kernels \( K_{i,b(a)}(\alpha, \beta) \) and the co- and cross-spectra \( \hat{R}_{i,j}(\alpha, \beta) \), the two parameters \( p_0 \) and \( r \) depend on the layer thickness values and the relative permittivity values, on the incidence and scattering angles and on the statistical parameters of all rough boundaries. For a structure with one, two or three slightly rough surfaces, the analytical expressions for both parameters \( p_0 \) and \( r \) can be derived from the co- and cross-spectrum formulas (Eqs. (5) to (8)) and from the closed-form formulas given in the appendix for the SPM-Kernels.

For a given observation direction, the random variable \( V_{(ba, b'a')} \) follows a heavy-tailed distribution and does not have a finite mean and a finite variance [8]. In contrast, the mean and the variance of \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) are finite. After some mathematical operations, we find the first- and second-order moments as follows:

\[
\langle S_{(b' a', ba)} \rangle = \frac{2p_0r^2 - (p_0 - 1)}{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{p_0(p_0 - 1)(1 - r^2)}{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2} \ln\left(\frac{p_0\sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2} + (p_0 - 1) + 2r^2}{\sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2} + (p_0 - 1) - 2p_0r^2}\right)
\]

\[
\langle S_{(b' a', ba)}^2 \rangle = \frac{(p_0 + 1)\left[(p_0 - 1)^2 + 2p_0r^2(3 - p_0)\right] - 8p_0r^2(1 - r^2)}{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2}^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{2p_0(1 - r^2)\left[2p_0r^2(3p_0 - 1) - (p_0 - 1)^2\right]}{\left[(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2\right]^{5/2}} \ln\left(\frac{p_0\sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2} + (p_0 - 1) + 2r^2}{\sqrt{(p_0 - 1)^2 + 4p_0r^2} + (p_0 - 1) - 2p_0r^2}\right)
\]

We deduce from Eqs. (22) and (23) the variance with \( \sigma_{S_{(b' a', ba)}}^2 = \langle S_{(b' a', ba)}^2 \rangle - \langle S_{(b' a', ba)} \rangle^2 \).

When \( r = 1 \), the LRSI remains unchanged from a stratified structure realization to another, and we have \( \text{Prob} \{S_{(b' a', ba)} = 1/(1 + p_0)\} = 1 \). When \( r = 0 \) and \( p_0 = 1 \), the PDF does not contain information about the stratified medium because the random variable \( S_{(b' a', ba)} \) is uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. In this case, we have: \( \langle S_{(b' a', ba)} \rangle = 1/2 \) and \( \langle S_{(b' a', ba)}^2 \rangle = 1/3 \).
5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We consider a stratified medium air/clayey soil/rock with or without a snow layer. A h- or v-polarized plane wave of wavelength $\lambda = 30\text{ cm}$ impinges on the structure under the angles $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$ and $\phi_0 = 0^\circ$. We consider Gaussian and exponential function correlations with the rms-heights $\sigma_1 = 0.015\lambda$, $\sigma_2 = 0.022\lambda$ and $\sigma_3 = 0.023\lambda$ and the correlation lengths $l_{x1} = l_{y1} = 0.2\lambda$ or $l_{y1} = 2l_{x1} = 0.4\lambda$, $l_{x2} = l_{y2} = 0.25\lambda$ and $l_{x3} = l_{y3} = 0.3\lambda$. The average thickness of the snow layer under consideration is $d_2 = 0.35\lambda$ and that of the clayey layer is $d_3 = 2\lambda$. The relative permittivity values are $\varepsilon_{r1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_{r2} = 3$, $\varepsilon_{r3} = 9.5 - i0.00055$ and $\varepsilon_{r4} = 20.5 - i2.55$ [4]. The first configuration (C1) under study has no snow cover, and the other three configurations (C2, C3 and C4) have one. For configuration C1, the air/clayey soil and clayey soil/rock boundaries are isotropic and uncorrelated. For configuration C2, all interfaces are isotropic and uncorrelated. For configuration C3, the air/snow boundary is anisotropic with $l_{y1} = 2l_{x1} = 0.4\lambda$, but all interfaces are uncorrelated. For configuration C4, all interfaces are isotropic. The snow/clayey soil and clayey soil/rock boundaries and the air/snow and clayey-soil/rock interfaces are highly correlated with $q_{23} = q_{12} = 0$. By contrast, the air/snow and snow/clayey soil interfaces are not correlated with $q_{23} = q_{12} = 0.9$. We have analyzed these configurations in [1] by using the probability law of the co- and cross-polarized intensity ratios $V_{hh,aa}$ and $V_{ba,aa}$. Here we analyze these 4 configurations by using the statistical distribution of the LRSI for which the mean and standard deviation are defined. Moreover, we consider boundaries with Gaussian and non-Gaussian spectra.

Figure 2 shows the theoretical PDFs of $S_{vv,hh} = I_{vv}/(I_{hh} + I_{vv})$ in the backscattering direction $(\theta = -30^\circ)$ for the four configurations. The theoretical PDFs are given by Eq. (19). For the four configurations and the two types of correlation functions, the Table 1 gives the two parameters $r$ and $p_0$ obtained from Eqs. (13) and (14), the mean $\langle S_{vv,hh} \rangle$, obtained from Eq. (22), the standard deviation $\sigma_{S_{vv,hh}}$ derived from Eqs. (22) and (23) and the maximum position $s_0$ obtained from Eq. (20). The PDF-curves change weakly from one correlation function to another because the two parameters $p_0$ and $r$ defining the probability law depend very little on the correlation function. For example, for the configuration C1, we find $p_0 = 0.576$ and $r = 0.991$ in the Gaussian case and $p_0 = 0.568$ and $r = 0.991$ in the non-Gaussian case, respectively. In fact, the determining factor upon the properties of the LRSI is the snow cover. The largest value of the standard deviation $\sigma_{S_{vv,hh}}$ is found for configuration (C1) without the snow layer. Consequently, for the three structures with the snow layer, the PDF curve is narrower and the maximum value is therefore higher. The cross-correlation (C4) compared with

![Figure 2](PDF). PDF of $S_{vv,hh}$ in the backscattering direction for the 4 configurations with Gaussian and exponential correlation functions.
Table 1. Values of $r$, $p_0$, $\langle S \rangle$, $\sigma_S$ and $s_0$ characterizing the random variable $S_{(v, hh)}$ in the backscattering direction for the four configurations.

|         | Correlation | C1   | C2   | C3   | C4   |
|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| $r$     | Gauss.      | 0.991| 0.996| 0.994| 0.996|
|         | Exp.        | 0.991| 0.995| 0.993| 0.995|
| $p_0$   | Gauss.      | 0.576| 0.768| 0.772| 0.726|
|         | Exp.        | 0.568| 0.767| 0.773| 0.718|
| $\langle S \rangle$ | Gauss. | 0.631| 0.565| 0.563| 0.578|
|         | Exp.        | 0.634| 0.565| 0.563| 0.580|
| $\sigma_S$ | Gauss. | 0.0858| 0.0634| 0.0755| 0.0662|
|         | Exp.        | 0.0852| 0.0685| 0.0809| 0.0689|
| $s_0$   | Gauss.      | 0.636| 0.566| 0.565| 0.580|
|         | Exp.        | 0.640| 0.566| 0.565| 0.583|

Figure 3. Theoretical PDF of $S_{(v, hh)}$ and normalized histogram in the backscattering direction for the 4 configurations with Gaussian correlation functions.

configuration (C2) where the three interfaces are isotropic and uncorrelated causes a little shift in the peak position and the anisotropy (C3), a slight decrease in the maximum value. We can also note that the snow layer causes an increase in the value of $s_0$ and as a result, a shift of the maximum to the left. If we consider the random variable $S_{(h, v, v)}$ in place of $S_{(v, hh)}$, the shift occurs on the right.

Figure 3 shows the PDFs of $S_{(v, hh)}$ in a backscattering $\theta = -30^\circ$ and the normalized histograms derived from Monte-Carlo simulations for Gaussian correlation functions. The histograms are derived from 5000 results obtained with the relations in Eqs. (9) and (10) and estimated on areas of $900\lambda^2$. Comparisons are good and confirm the validity of the analytical formulation in the backscattering direction.

Figure 4 shows the PDF of the cross-polarized $S_{(h, v, v)} = I_{hv} / (I_{hv} + I_{vv})$ under the observation direction defined by $\theta = -50^\circ$ and $\phi = 40^\circ$. Table 2 gives the parameter values of the random variable $S_{(h, v, v)}$. We can draw similar conclusions to the previous case. The key factor influencing the probability law of the LRSI is the snow cover. The PDF weakly changes from one correlation function to another.
and we can note that for this observation direction, the standard deviation weakly changes from one configuration to another. But the lowest value of the mean $\langle S_{(hv,vv)} \rangle$ is found for configuration (C1) without the snow layer. When there is a snow layer, the maximum of the PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ is shifted to the right.

Figure 5 gives the $S_{(hv,vv)}$ PDF curves and the normalized histograms obtained from Monte-Carlo simulations for the observation direction defined by $\theta = -50^\circ$ and $\phi = 40^\circ$ and for the non-Gaussian spectra. As in the backscattering direction, the comparisons are conclusive and validate the closed-formulae for the PDF of the cross-polarized LRSI in the case of non-Gaussian correlation functions.

Figure 6 shows the PDF curves of the random variable $S_{(hv,vv)}$ under the observation direction defined by $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$. Table 3 gives the values of parameters associated with $S_{(hv,vv)}$. As shown previously, the PDF weakly changes from one correlation function to another. In contrast, the influence of snow cover upon the probability law of the LRSI is well marked. The largest value of the standard deviation $\sigma_{S_{(vv,hh)}}$ is found for configuration (C1) without the snow layer. For the three
Table 3. Values of $r$, $p_0$, $\langle S\rangle$, $\sigma_S$ and $s_0$ characterizing the random variable $S_{(hv,vv)}$ in the observation direction ($\theta = 60^\circ$; $\phi = 90^\circ$) for the four configurations.

| Correlation | C1   | C2   | C3   | C4   |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| $r$ Gauss.  | 0.723| 0.547| 0.565| 0.701|
| Exp.        | 0.744| 0.537| 0.589| 0.717|
| $p_0$ Gauss.| 0.393| 0.102| 0.0903| 0.167|
| Exp.        | 0.423| 0.129| 0.0880| 0.204|
| $\langle S\rangle$ Gauss.| 0.670| 0.839| 0.852| 0.795|
| Exp.        | 0.659| 0.814| 0.856| 0.770|
| $\sigma_S$ Gauss. | 0.228| 0.187| 0.178| 0.195|
| Exp.        | 0.227| 0.200| 0.174| 0.202|
| $s_0$ Gauss.| 0.810| 1    | 0.997| 0.940|
| Exp.        | 0.784| 1    | 0.993| 0.917|

Configurations with the snow cover, the PDF curves are narrower and the standard derivation value are therefore smaller. For configurations C2, $0 < p_0 < 1$ and $r^2 \in \left[0, \frac{1-p_0}{3}\right]$. Consequently, the PDF is an increasing function and attains its maximum when $s = s_0 = 1$. We can always observe that when there is a snow layer, the maximum of the PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ is shifted to the right.

Figure 7 shows the PDF of the random variable $S_{(hv,vv)}$ associated with the observation direction $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$ in the Gaussian case and the normalized histograms obtained from 5000 Monte-Carlo simulations. As for both previous observation directions, the comparisons are conclusive and validate the analytical expressions for the probability law of the LRSI obtained within the framework of the first-order SPM.

The two parameters $p_0$ and $r$ are defined from the first-order SPM kernels and the co- and cross-spectra of rough interfaces. Consequently, the values of both parameters change with the snow cover thickness, but for the configurations under study, the LRSI-PDF allows to differentiate the cases with and without the snow cover when the snow layer thickness is greater than $\lambda/10$. 

---

**Figure 5.** Theoretical PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ and normalized histogram for the 4 configurations with exponential correlation functions under $\theta = -50^\circ$ and $\phi = 40^\circ$. 

**Table 3.** Values of $r$, $p_0$, $\langle S\rangle$, $\sigma_S$ and $s_0$ characterizing the random variable $S_{(hv,vv)}$ in the observation direction ($\theta = 60^\circ$; $\phi = 90^\circ$) for the four configurations. 

| Correlation | C1   | C2   | C3   | C4   |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|
| $r$ Gauss.  | 0.723| 0.547| 0.565| 0.701|
| Exp.        | 0.744| 0.537| 0.589| 0.717|
| $p_0$ Gauss.| 0.393| 0.102| 0.0903| 0.167|
| Exp.        | 0.423| 0.129| 0.0880| 0.204|
| $\langle S\rangle$ Gauss.| 0.670| 0.839| 0.852| 0.795|
| Exp.        | 0.659| 0.814| 0.856| 0.770|
| $\sigma_S$ Gauss. | 0.228| 0.187| 0.178| 0.195|
| Exp.        | 0.227| 0.200| 0.174| 0.202|
| $s_0$ Gauss.| 0.810| 1    | 0.997| 0.940|
| Exp.        | 0.784| 1    | 0.993| 0.917|

Configurations with the snow cover, the PDF curves are narrower and the standard derivation value are therefore smaller. For configurations C2, $0 < p_0 < 1$ and $r^2 \in \left[0, \frac{1-p_0}{3}\right]$. Consequently, the PDF is an increasing function and attains its maximum when $s = s_0 = 1$. We can always observe that when there is a snow layer, the maximum of the PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ is shifted to the right.

Figure 7 shows the PDF of the random variable $S_{(hv,vv)}$ associated with the observation direction $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$ in the Gaussian case and the normalized histograms obtained from 5000 Monte-Carlo simulations. As for both previous observation directions, the comparisons are conclusive and validate the analytical expressions for the probability law of the LRSI obtained within the framework of the first-order SPM.

The two parameters $p_0$ and $r$ are defined from the first-order SPM kernels and the co- and cross-spectra of rough interfaces. Consequently, the values of both parameters change with the snow cover thickness, but for the configurations under study, the LRSI-PDF allows to differentiate the cases with and without the snow cover when the snow layer thickness is greater than $\lambda/10$. 

---
Figure 6. PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ in the perpendicular plane for the 4 configurations with Gaussian and exponential correlation functions.

Figure 7. Theoretical PDF of $S_{(hv,vv)}$ and normalized histogram in the perpendicular plane for the 4 configurations with Gaussian correlation functions.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we look for the statistical properties of the co- and cross-polarized Layered Rough Surface Index (LRSI) for three-dimensional layered structures with an arbitrary number of slightly rough boundaries and illuminated by an electromagnetic plane wave. For infinite surface areas and Gaussian centered height distributions, we have shown within the framework of the first-order small perturbation method that the probability density function and the cumulative density function of the LRSI are fully determined by two parameters that depend on the layer thickness values and the relative permittivity values, on the incidence and scattering angles and on the statistical parameters of all rough boundaries. Contrary to the intensity ratio which follows a heavy-tailed distribution, the LRSI probability law has a finite mean and a finite variance whose analytic expressions we have established.
For a structure air/clayey soil/rock, we have analyzed the influence of a snow layer upon the probability laws in the cases of Gaussian and exponential correlation functions, and we have shown that the PDF shape is truly informative and allows to differentiate the cases with and without the snow cover. For the structure under study, the properties of the LRSI change weakly from one correlation function to another, and the determining factor upon the properties is the snow cover.

We have also compared the theoretical PDF curves and the normalized histograms derived from Monte-Carlo simulations. The comparisons are conclusive and validate the analytical expressions established in this paper. The LRSI statistics are useful tools for studying, characterizing and monitoring a land snow cover.

APPENDIX A. ANALYTICAL EXPRESSIONS OF THE FIRST-ORDER SPM KERNELS FOR A STRATIFIED STRUCTURE WITH THREE ROUGH INTERFACES

The first-order SPM kernels \( K_{i,(ba)} \) can be obtained by an iterative formula as shown in [6]. We have derived from this formula the analytical expressions of \( K_{i,(ba)} \) for a stack of three rough interfaces. We denote the mean thicknesses of the second and third layers by \( d_2 \) and \( d_3 \). For a \( h \)-polarized incident wave, the factors \( K_{1,(hh)}(\alpha, \beta) \) and \( K_{1,(vh)}(\alpha, \beta) \) associated with the progressive plane waves (i.e., for \( \alpha = k_1 \sin \theta \cos \varphi \) and \( \beta = k_1 \sin \theta \sin \varphi \)) are defined as follows:

\[
K_{1,(hh)} = j(k_1^2 - k_2^2) \frac{2\gamma_1 \cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)}{r_h(\gamma) r_h(\gamma)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\gamma_30 \left[ \gamma_{20} \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) - \gamma_{30} \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right] \\
+ j \gamma_{40} \left[ \gamma_{30} \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) + \gamma_{20} \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\end{array} \right\}
\]

\[
K_{2,(hh)} = j(k_2^2 - k_3^2) \frac{2\gamma_1 \gamma_{20} \gamma_{30} \cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)}{r_h(\gamma) r_h(\gamma)} \left[ \gamma_{30} \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) + j \gamma_{40} \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\]

\[
K_{3,(hh)} = j(k_3^2 - k_2^2) \frac{2\gamma_1 \gamma_{20} \gamma_{30} \gamma_{40} \cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)}{r_h(\gamma) r_h(\gamma)} \left[ \gamma_{30} \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) + j \gamma_{40} \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\]

\[
K_{1,(vh)} = j(k_1^2 - k_2^2) \frac{2 k_1 \gamma_1 \gamma_{20} \sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)}{r_v(\gamma) r_h(\gamma)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\gamma_30 \left[ \gamma_{20} \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) - \gamma_{30} \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right] \\
+ j \gamma_{40} \left[ \gamma_{30} \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) + \gamma_{20} \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\end{array} \right\}
\]

\[
K_{2,(vh)} = j(k_2^2 - k_3^2) \frac{2 k_1 k_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_{20} \gamma_{30} \gamma_{40} \sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)}{r_v(\gamma) r_h(\gamma)} \left[ \gamma_{30} \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) + j \gamma_{40} \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\]

\[
K_{3,(vh)} = j \frac{2 \gamma_1 \gamma_{20} \gamma_{30} \gamma_{40} k_1 k_2 \gamma_3 k_3 k_3 k_3 k_2 k_2}{r_h(\gamma) r_v(\gamma)} \sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)
\]

where

\[
r_h(\gamma) = \gamma_2 \gamma_3 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_4) \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) - \left( \gamma_2^2 \gamma_4 + \gamma_1 \gamma_3^2 \right) \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3)
\]

\[
+ j \left[ \gamma_2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_3^2) \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) + \gamma_3 (\gamma_2^2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_4) \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\]

and

\[
r_v(\gamma) = k_3^2 k_3^2 \gamma_1 \gamma_3 (\gamma_1 k_4^2 + k_4^2 \gamma_4) \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3) - \left( k_3^2 k_3^2 \gamma_4 + k_4^2 k_4^2 \gamma_1 \gamma_3^2 \right) \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3)
\]

\[
+ j \left[k_3^2 \gamma_3 (k_4^2 k_4^2 + k_4^2 \gamma_1 \gamma_4) \sin(\gamma_{20} d_2) \cos(\gamma_{30} d_3)
\]

\[
+ k_3^2 k_2 (k_4^2 k_4^2 + k_4^2 \gamma_1 \gamma_4) \cos(\gamma_{20} d_2) \sin(\gamma_{30} d_3) \right]
\]
The quantities \( r_{0h} \) and \( r_{0e} \) are deduced from Eqs. (A7) and (A8) with \( r_{0h} = r_h(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \) and \( r_{0e} = r_v(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \). The propagation coefficients \( \gamma_i \) and \( \gamma_{i0} \) are defined as \( \gamma_i(\alpha, \beta) = \sqrt{k_i^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2} \) and \( \gamma_{i0} = \gamma_i(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \).

For a \( v \)-polarized incident wave, the co- and cross-polarized SPM-kernels \( K_{i,vv}(\alpha, \beta) \) and \( K_{i,hv}(\alpha, \beta) \) are given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{1,(vv)} &= \frac{j2\gamma_i0(k_1^2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_v(\gamma)} \\
&\times \left[ k_2^2\gamma_00 \left[ k_4^2\gamma_0(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2\gamma_3(\gamma_3\gamma_3) - k_3^2\gamma_3\sin(\gamma_2\gamma_2)\sin(\gamma_3\gamma_3)) \right] \\
&\times \left[ +j\left(k_2^2\gamma_4(\gamma_2\gamma_2\gamma_2 + \gamma_3\gamma_3\gamma_3) + k_3^2\gamma_3(\gamma_3\gamma_3) \right) \right] \right] \\
K_{2,(vv)} &= \frac{2jk_1^2k_2^2\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_v(\gamma)} \\
&\times \left[ \frac{k_2^2\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)} \right] \right] \\
K_{3,(vv)} &= \frac{j2\gamma_i0\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_v(\gamma)} \\
K_{1,(hv)} &= \frac{2jk_1^2 - k_3^2)k_1\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_h(\gamma)} \\
&\times \left[ \gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2) - \gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2) \right] \\
K_{2,(hv)} &= \frac{2jk_1^2 - k_3^2)k_1\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_h(\gamma)} \\
&\times \left[ \gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2) + j\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2) \right] \\
K_{3,(hv)} &= \frac{2jk_1^2 - k_3^2)k_1\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00\gamma_00(\gamma_2\gamma_2 - k_3^2)}{r_v(\gamma_0)r_h(\gamma)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \chi = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \) and \( \chi_0 = \sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2} \).

When \( k_2 = k_3 = k_4 \) we obtain the first-order SPM Kernels associated with a single rough surface separating two media [2]. When \( k_3 = k_4 \), we find the first-order SPM Kernels for a stack of two slightly rough interfaces [5]. In addition, we verified that the SPM-kernel values derived from analytical formulas presented in this appendix become identified with those derived from recurrence formulas established in [6].

In the incidence plane (i.e., in the plane \( \varphi = \varphi_0 \)), we find that \( K_{i,(hv)} = K_{i,(vh)} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \). As a result, in the incidence plane, there is no depolarization and the cross scattering amplitudes \( A_{(b\neq a,a)}^{(i)}(\alpha, \beta) \) are equal to zero and the cross-polarized LRSI \( S_{(b,a,a)} \) is equal to zero.
In the perpendicular plane (i.e., in the plane $\varphi = \varphi_0 \pm 90^\circ$), $K_{i, (hh)} = 0$ and $K_{i, (vh)} \neq 0$. As a result, under a horizontal polarized incidence, the scattering amplitude $A^{(1)}_{(hh)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is equal to zero and the cross-polarized LRSI $S_{(vh, hh)}$ equal to the unity. By contrast, $K_{i, (vv)} \neq 0$ and $K_{i, (hv)} \neq 0$. So, we can analyze the stratified medium by means of the PDF of random variable $S_{(hv, vv)} = I_{hv}/(I_{hv} + I_{vv})$.
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