Gray Scale Ultrasound Feature Typing of Metastasis in the Ovary, Especially Signet-ring Cell Carcinoma
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Abstract

Background To describe the gray scale ultrasound features of metastatic ovarian tumors on the basis of the origin of the primary tumor in a large study population. This retrospective study included 112 patients with 188 histopathologically confirmed metastatic ovarian tumors. Among the patients, 102 were detected with 143 masses via ultrasonography. Patient age, mass bilaterality, and maximum tumor diameter were recorded.

Results Ultrasound images were classified into three subtypes: (type A) multilocular-solid, (type B) purely solid, and (type C) solid with several round or oval cysts. The metastatic tumors in the ovaries were mostly bilateral but had a lower rate of bilaterality when detected by ultrasound. Breast cancer metastasis had the highest misdetection rate (69.6%) because its focal metastasis could only be recognized by histology or immunohistochemistry. Stomach was the most common origin of metastasis: 45.7% and 51.0% via pathology and ultrasonography, respectively. Metastases that originated from colon mostly belonged to type A (65.9%) and closely mimicked primary epithelial ovarian tumor morphologically. Metastases that originated from stomach predominantly belonged to types B (31.9%) and C (58.3%). Signet-ring cell carcinoma (SRCC) corresponded to types B and C only regardless of its origin.

Conclusions The novel typing method developed herein provides more vivid images for classifying ovary metastasis compared with existing typing methods. Given that no specific sonographic parameters have been established to distinguish metastatic ovarian masses from primary invasive ovarian tumors, these images can be helpful in diagnosing these masses.

Background

The ovary is a relatively frequent site of metastases. About 5–20% of ovarian masses are metastases from other malignant tumors, such as gastrointestinal tumors, breast cancer, and gynecological tumors (17, 7, 2). Primary ovarian cancer must be distinguished from a metastatic tumor in the ovary because this clinical information is crucial in devising appropriate treatment strategies and establishing prognosis (5, 4). Ultrasonography is advantageous because of its accessibility as a first-line imaging examination that is painless and relatively inexpensive compared with CT and MRI. Few studies specifically compared the different characteristics of metastatic ovarian malignancies (6, 16, 1, 3, 14). These studies reported that the metastases in the ovaries were predominantly or completely solid, but they failed to note specific sonographic features characterizing metastatic lesions.

In routine clinical examination, we have occasionally observed that most cases of solid metastases to the ovaries are signet-ring cell carcinoma (SRCC), a special type of mucinous carcinoma characterized by a purely solid mass or a solid mass with one to several round or oval cysts. These observations prompted us to conduct a thorough investigation of the prevalence of this feature in a series of metastatic ovarian cancer.
Results

A total of 188 pathologically metastatic ovary masses in 112 patients were considered for analysis. Their primary tumor histological diagnoses were from stomach, colon, breast, uterus, liver–pancreas–biliary tract, appendix, lungs, and kidneys (Table 1). Metastasis was identified before primary tumor in 12 patients. Metastasis and primary tumor were simultaneously identified in 16 patients. The rest interval between diagnosis of a primary carcinoma and subsequent discovery of ovarian involvement ranged from 1 month to 186 months (28.2±33.2 months) (Table 2). Among them, 143 masses in 102 patients were detected by ultrasonography, but 45 masses in 38 patients were misdetected. The most common origin was stomach: 45.7% and 51.0% via pathology and ultrasonography, respectively. Breast origin had the highest misdetection rate (69.6%). In the misdetection group, unilateral misdetection of bilateral metastasis was found in 31 patients, unilateral misdetection of unilateral metastasis in 2 patients, and bilateral misdetection of bilateral metastasis in 6 patients. No significant difference in age was observed between ultrasonography-detected and ultrasonography-misdetected groups. The maximum tumor diameter of the ultrasonography-misdetected group was significantly smaller than that of the ultrasonography-detected group (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

| Pathological Origin | Detected (n/%) | Bilaterality (%) | Detected (n/%) | Bilaterality (%) | US misdetected (n/%) |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Stomach             | 86 (45.7)     | 70.0§            | 73(51.0)      | 50.0             | 13 (15.1)           |
| Colon               | 52 (27.7)     | 58.8§            | 42(29.4)      | 26.5             | 10 (19.2)           |
| Breast              | 23* (12.2)    | 76.9             | 7(4.9)        | 16.5             | 16 (69.6)           |
| Uterus              | 9† (4.8)      | 80.0             | 6(4.2)        | 50.0             | 3 (33.3)            |
| LPB                 | 7‡ (3.7)      | 75.0             | 6(4.2)        | 50.0             | 1 (14.3)            |
| Appendix            | 7 (3.7)       | 75.0¶            | 6(4.2)        | 50.0             | 1 (14.3)            |
| Lung                | 2 (1.1)       | /                | 1(0.7)        | /                | 1                   |
| Kidney              | 2 (1.1)       | /                | 2(1.4)        | /                | 0                   |
| Total               | 188           | 67.3             | 143           | 37.6             | 45(23.9)            |

*Including 4 invasive lobular carcinoma and 19 invasive ductal carcinomas. †Including 2 endometrial stromal sarcoma, 2 neuroendocrine carcinomas, 2 endometrial adenocarcinomas, 2 cervical adenocarcinomas, 1 Cervical squamous carcinoma. ‡Including 3 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, 2 gallbladder adenocarcinomas, 2 cholangiocarcinoma. § One patient had twice unilateral ovary metastatic mass surgery with one year interval. ¶ One patient had a previous unilateral oophorectomy for unknown reasons.
Table 2
Chronology of Primary and Ovarian Tumors at Various Sites

|                | Metastasis first | Synchronous | Interval (month) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Stomach        | 8                | 7           | 1-180 (21.7±28.9) |
| Colon          | 1                | 2           | 4-120 (26.9±26.3)  |
| Breast         | 0                | 0           | 6-186 (48.4±52.4)  |
| Uterus         | 1                | 3           | 16               |
| L-P-B*         | 2                | 0           | 11, 23           |
| Appendix       | 0                | 4           | /                |
| Lung           | 0                | 0           | 18               |
| Kidney         | 0                | 0           | 20, 83           |
| Total          | 12               | 16          | 1-186 (28.2±33.2) |

*L-P-B: Liver-pancreas-biliary tract

Table 3
Patient age and mass diameter distribution of the metastatic ovarian tumor.

| Patient/Mass    | Age (y) | Maximum Diameter (mm) |
|-----------------|---------|------------------------|
|                 |         | Left | Right | Both  |
| Pathologically  | (112/188)| 26-78| 6-250 | 20-238 | 6-250 |
|                 |         | (47.4±11.0) | (74.9±52.7) | (86.0±49.6) | (81.2±52.0) |
| US detected     | (102/143)| 26-78| 20-250 | 20-238 | 20-238 |
|                 |         | (48.0±11.1) | (89.4±52.7) | (94.4±48.7) | (92.0±50.5) |
| US misdetected  | (38/45) | 32-73| 6-80 | 20-70 | 6-80 |
|                 |         | (47.7±10.9) | (33.8±16.1)* | (37.2±13.3)* | (33.8±15.9)* |

*p<0.05 compared with US detected group

Except for 1 uterus endometrial stromal sarcoma and 1 uterus neuroendocrine carcinoma, the masses in the ultrasonography-detected group were classified into adenocarcinoma, including 73 gastric adenocarcinomas, 42 colorectal adenocarcinomas, 6 breast invasive ductal carcinomas, 1 invasive lobular carcinoma, 2 cervical adenocarcinomas, 2 uterus endometrial adenocarcinomas, 6 appendicular adenocarcinomas, 2 lung adenocarcinomas, 2 renal clear cell carcinomas, 3 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, 2 gallbladder adenocarcinomas, and 2 cholangiocarcinomas.
The masses detected by ultrasonography were classified into three subtypes (Figure 1): (Type A) multilocular–solid similar to primary epithelial ovarian tumor with variable solid and cystic component ratio and the cystic component of good ultrasound penetration; (Type B) purely solid with inner echo that can be uniform or irregular in some cases as its mass volume is big; (Type C) solid with one or several round or oval cysts that are plump, with a smooth wall, superior transparency and of variable number, size, and position (Figure 2). Their shape is mostly regular or irregular or polylobate in some cases as its mass volume is big or adhered to peripheral tissues.

We noticed that the masses that originated from colon were mostly multilocular–solid (Type A; 29/44, 65.9%). Those that originated from stomach were mostly solid and could be classified as Types B (23/72, 31.9%) and C (42/72, 58.3%) (65/72 in total, 90.3%). Furthermore, we found SRCC cases, including 18 Krukenberg cases (arbitrarily defined as >10% component of signet-ring cells). Their ultrasonography image features could be classified into Types B and C only regardless of their origin (stomach, colon, gall bladder, and appendix) (Table 4).

Table 4
Primary tumor origin of different subtype.

|       | Stomach | Colon | Appendix | L-P-B† | Breast | Uterus | Kidney | Lung | Total |
|-------|---------|-------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| A     | 7       | 29    | 2        | 3      | 2      | 3      | 0      | 0    | 46    |
| B     | 9‡/19*/23 | 2*/6  | 1*/1     | 1*/1   | 2      | 3      | 2      | 1    | 23*/39 |
| C     | 9‡/32*/42 | 6*/9  | 1*/2     | 2      | 3      | 0      | 0      | 0    | 39*/58 |

Total 18‡/51*/72 8*/44 2*/5 1*/6 7 6 2 1 62*/143

* Cases of signet-ring cell carcinoma (SRCC). † L-P-B: Liver-pancreas-biliary tract. ‡ Krukenberg tumor.

Discussion

Previous studies classified metastatic tumors on the basis of ultrasound characteristics into solid, multilocular–solid or at least unilocular solid, and not purely unilocular or multilocular masses (6, 15, 11, 12). This classification is too broad and does not provide images for reference. In the present study, we classified metastatic tumors into three different types (A, B, and C) that provide more vivid ultrasonography characteristics for reference. Aside from the commonly described multilocular solid (Type A) and purely solid (Type B) tumors, we described herein a novel sonographic feature of solid ovarian metastasis morphology, that is, Type C, which is characterized by a solid tumor with one or several round or oval cysts that are plump with a smooth wall and superior transparency. The cyst lumens contained a clear, mucinous, or hemorrhagic fluid. The presumed pathological reason was glands, many of which are cystically dilated and punctuate the peripheral cellular component and more conspicuously the edematous central component. Guerriero et al. (6) reported a cystic component in 39%
of solid metastatic ovarian cases, but they did not explain further these cases. Kiyokawa et al. (10) found a cystic component in a third of such tumors of Krukenberg. In the present study, we observed that this phenomenon did not only exist in Krukenberg but also in—but not limited to—SRCC. This sonographic characteristic was readily recognizable and present in 43.3% (62/143) of metastatic tumors. Furthermore, the SRCC metastatic tumors of the ovary featured Types B and C characteristics only regardless of their origin (stomach, colon, gall bladder, and appendix). Primary ovarian tumors with signet-ring cells are rare (8, 11, 10). The relatively large number of cases included in our study permitted a quite accurate analysis of the different parameters of each group. Nevertheless, a possible source of bias was the retrospective nature of this study.

Colorectal metastases are difficult to differentiate from primary ovarian cancer both via ultrasonography and microscopy (11). We confirmed this observation in our large study population: 69.0% of the colorectal metastases closely mimicked primary epithelial ovarian tumor morphologically, and we relied on immunohistochemistry to confirm their diagnosis. The bilaterality characteristics agreed fairly well with descriptions in pathology textbooks of metastatic tumors in the ovary. However, the detection rate of bilaterality by ultrasonography was low. Two possible reasons the metastatic tumors were not detected are as follows. First, the ovary was grossly normal, thereby rendering detection via imaging difficult. By contrast, the focal metastasis was identified by histologic examination and immunohistochemistry. This case mostly occurred in breast cancer metastasis, an observation consistent with the report of a previous study (9) that found six cases following breast cancer ovariectomy. Second, the contralateral mass was too huge and thus obstructed the smaller ones. The maximum bilateral diameter ratio ranged from 1.3 to 14.2 (3.4±2.4).

There is certain disadvantage in this work that it is a retrospective study that in a small patient population, even this is a ten-years data. Further study would make it clear how these findings would generalize to the larger population and what diagnostic guidelines could be derived from these results.

Conclusions

In summary, we found that metastatic tumors were mostly pathologically bilateral and generally multilocular–solid or solid morphologically, consistent with the findings of previous studies. Furthermore, ultrasound may not detect metastatic tumors. We classified metastatic tumors in the ovary into three subtypes on the basis of ultrasound image characteristics. Finally, we found that SRCC exhibits remarkable ultrasound features.

Methods

This single-center, retrospective study examined 188 masses found in 112 patients with confirmed histological diagnosis of a metastatic tumor in the ovary. All patients were evaluated and treated between
January 2000 and December 2019 in Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. Transabdominal and transvaginal ultrasonography was performed using several commercially available ultrasound machines throughout the study period. The bilaterality, shape, internal echo texture, maximum tumor diameter, and presence of malignant ascites were noted in reviewing the ultrasound reports. All tumors were surgically removed, and definitive histological diagnosis was obtained. Owing to the retrospective nature of this study and ultrasound examination was routinely performed in the clinic, institutional review board approval was not necessary.

$X^2$ test was conducted to analyze categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 22.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).
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