Assessing the readiness of hospitals in Riyadh Province for efficient and timely stroke management: A pilot study
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ABSTRACT

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 21.0. Appropriate statistical tests (chi-square and Fisher’s exact test) were used for bivariate analyses.

Results: A total of 3932 stroke patient visits were recorded in 37 hospitals in the central region of Saudi Arabia. The most common limitations of acute stroke services were that 25 (67.57%) of the hospitals had no stroke unit and 21 (56.76%) had inadequate clinical staff. Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography were available in 32 (86.49%) and 36 (97.30%) hospitals, respectively. Only two-thirds of hospitals 25 (67.57%) followed protocols for rapid Emergency Department (ED) triage.

Conclusion: We found that most of our hospitals were not fully prepared to address acute stroke management in a manner that was reasonably consistent with international guidelines. We recommend raising the hospital’s requirements a higher level to be in line with the stroke guidelines.

S troke is the second leading cause of death and the third leading cause of disability worldwide. The incidence rate of stroke in the Middle East ranges between 22.7 and 250 per 100,000 population per year.
As the young Saudi population ages, the incidence rate of stroke is expected to increase by 57-67% over the next ten years. Patients with acute ischemic stroke should be evaluated, treated immediately, and approached as having a life-threatening emergency. The main goal of the clinical guidelines is to enhance the quality of care provided for patients with stroke. They involve aspects of stroke management across the continuum of care.

Data show that timely administration of intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator (IV tPA) after stroke improves patient outcomes. The availability of primary stroke centers, stroke units, and comprehensive stroke centers have been demonstrated to provide greater capacity to respond with intravenous thrombolysis as opposed to general hospital treatments. A modeling study of stroke in Saudi Arabia showed that the development of an up-to-date comprehensive stroke pathway was associated with an increase in clinical functionality, a decrease in disabling strokes and a cost savings of $602 million over 15 years in a 10-year cohort study. Approaches to improving stroke management should be conducted through a multidisciplinary system to optimize evidence-based acute stroke management delivery. The system should have a well-functioning synchronized unit comprised of neurologists, emergency physicians, nurses, laboratory technicians, radiologists, interventional neuroradiologists, radiology technicians, and admission officers with emergency medical service involvement to pre notify and activate the stroke team in the appropriate situations.

There is no evidence indicating the extent to which evidence-based interventions are provided to acute stroke patients in Saudi Arabian hospitals. Addressing this matter has the potential to identify gaps in current health care services, which could standardize and improve acute stroke management and outcomes for patients with acute stroke. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the readiness of hospitals in Riyadh to establish acute stroke centers that align with the Australian Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management. We chose the Australian Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management as it had already been adapted in a previous study.

**Methods. Study design.** We searched the MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Web of Science, and Cochrane databases in all languages using keywords for the topics addressed by the clinical questions, including stroke, readiness, management, assessment, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh, and central region. We could not find any similar study looking at the readiness of Saudi hospitals to provide acute stroke management.

We decided to carry out a quantitative cross-sectional observational study among government and private hospitals in the central region of Saudi Arabia (Jan 2018 – April 2018). Data were collected using an Australian survey tool developed by the Stroke Foundation in Melbourne, Australia, and adapted in Ghana. Respondents were given the surveys and were met by our team to ensure their understanding of our questions and to maintain quality measures.

Prior permission was obtained from the Saudi Ministry of Health after explaining the objectives of this study. All information on the included hospitals was kept confidential, and data were used for research only. Our data were collected after obtaining ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of King Fahad Medical City (KFMC) and King Saud University (KSU).

**Study population.** We interviewed 3 respondents per hospital, including medical directors, consultants, head nurses, hospital administrators, neurologists, emergency physicians, chief medical officers (CMOs), and internists in more than 40 hospitals. Our goal was to survey all hospitals with more than 100 beds in Riyadh.

**Inclusion and exclusion.** All physicians and clinical administrators involved in management of all types of stroke in Riyadh hospitals with bed capacity equal to or greater than 100 beds were included in the study. However, hospitals with a less than 100 beds capacity were excluded from the study.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical package for Social Sciences version 21.0 (IBM Inc., Chicago, USA) was used to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics were used to describe all categorical variables. Pearson's chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used in the bivariate analysis. A p-value of <0.05 was used to report the statistical significance of the results.

**Results.** A total of 3932 stroke patient visits in 37 different hospitals in the Central Region of Saudi Arabia were recorded in 2018. Out of 37 different hospitals in the central region of Saudi Arabia, three were teaching hospitals, 2 were military, 13 were private, 8 were referral, and 11 were secondary. Pediatric visits were not recorded as a separate parameter. Referral hospitals represented the majority, accounting for the admittance of 1460 (37.1%) stroke patients in the study year. A total of 28.1% of stroke patients were admitted to private...
hospitals, 13% were admitted to military hospitals, and 11.4% were admitted to secondary hospitals. The lowest admission rate, 10.4% of patients, was to teaching hospitals. Regarding hospital bed capacity, the military hospital had the highest number of beds among all hospitals. Three referral hospitals also had a larger number of beds than the remaining hospitals, as illustrated in Table 1. Most of the survey respondents were administrators and neurologists (Table 1).

Table 2 illustrates the stroke services and availability in the study hospitals. Approximately two-thirds of our studied hospitals admit patients with stroke symptoms to the ICU in the first 72 hours. Only two-thirds of hospitals followed protocols for rapid ED triage. A total of 54.55% of secondary hospitals had protocols for the transfer of stroke patients to other hospitals. A total of 72.97% of hospitals followed clinical management guidelines. Only nine (24.32%) hospitals have stroke codes. The availability of qualified staff to care for acute
Table 2 - Stroke service availability in the study hospitals. ICU, intensive care unit; NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.

| Stroke services evaluated                                      | Teaching hospital | Military hospital | Private hospital | Referral hospital | Secondary hospital | Overall    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| **Which ward is a patient with symptoms most likely to be admitted to for the first 72 h?** |                  |                  |                  |                  |                    |           |
| Acute stroke unit, n (%)                                       | 0                | 1 (50)           | 1 (7.69)         | 1 (12.50)        | 0                  | 3 (8.11)  |
| Emergency department, n (%)                                    | 0                | 1 (50)           | 1 (7.69)         | 0                | 0                  | 2 (5.41)  |
| General medical ward, n (%)                                    | 0                | 0                | 0                | 2 (18.18)        | 2 (5.41)           |           |
| ICU, n (%)                                                     | 2 (66.67)        | 0                | 10 (76.92)       | 3 (37.50)        | 9 (81.82)          | 24 (64.86)|
| Medical ward, n (%)                                            | 0                | 0                | 1 (7.69)         | 2 (25)           | 0                  | 3 (8.11)  |
| Neurology ward, n (%)                                          | 1 (33.33)        | 0                | 0                | 2 (25)           | 0                  | 3 (8.11)  |
| Protocols for rapid triage, n (%)                              | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 8 (61.54)        | 7 (87.50)        | 6 (54.55)          | 25 (67.57)|
| Arrangements with local ambulances, n (%)                      | 1 (33.33)        | 0                | 5 (38.46)        | 1 (12.50)        | 6 (54.55)          | 13 (35.14)|
| Protocols for transfer of stroke patients to other hospitals, n (%) | 1 (33.33)        | 0                | 4 (30.77)        | 2 (25)           | 6 (54.55)          | 13 (35.14)|
| Clinical management guidelines, n (%)                          | 3 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 9 (69.23)        | 7 (87.50)        | 6 (54.55)          | 27 (72.97)|
| Standard treatment guidelines, n (%)                           | 1 (100)          | 0                | 3 (100)          | 0                | 1 (100)            | 5 (13.51) |
| World Stroke Society clinical guidelines, n (%)                | 1 (100)          | 0                | 2 (100)          | 0                | 0                  | 3 (8.11)  |
| NICE clinical guidelines, n (%)                                 | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)            | 5 (13.51) |
| American Stroke Association guidelines, n (%)                  | 0                | 1 (100)          | 4 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 0                  | 7 (18.91) |
| On-call stroke team, n (%)                                     | 0                | 2 (100)          | 4 (30.77)        | 5 (62.50)        | 0                  | 11 (29.73)|
| Stroke code, n (%)                                             | 0                | 2 (100)          | 3 (23.08)        | 4 (50)           | 0                  | 9 (24.32) |
| **24-h coverage**                                              |                  |                  |                  |                  |                    |           |
| Emergency consultant, n (%)                                    | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 10 (76.92)       | 7 (87.50)        | 2 (18.18)          | 23 (62.16)|
| Neuroradiologist, n (%)                                        | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 6 (46.15)        | 7 (87.50)        | 2 (18.18)          | 19 (51.35)|
| Neurosurgery consultant, n (%)                                 | 3 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)         | 7 (87.50)        | 2 (18.18)          | 27 (72.97)|
| Neurologist, n (%)                                             | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)         | 8 (100)          | 1 (9.09)           | 26 (70.27)|
| Stroke physician, n (%)                                        | 1 (33.33)        | 2 (100)          | 3 (23.08)        | 5 (62.50)        | 1 (9.09)           | 12 (32.43)|
| ICU consultant, n (%)                                          | 3 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)         | 6 (100)          | 2 (18.18)          | 26 (10.27)|
| Acute stroke assessment scale, n (%)                           | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 9 (69.23)        | 7 (87.50)        | 5 (45.45)          | 25 (67.57)|
| Dedicated stroke unit, n (%)                                   | 0                | 1 (50)           | 2 (15.38)        | 2 (25)           | 0                  | 5 (13.51) |
| **Adequate number of beds**                                    |                  |                  |                  |                  |                    |           |
| Adequate beds, n (%)                                           | 0                | 1 (100)          | 1 (50)           | 2 (100)          | 0                  | 4 (10.81) |
| More than adequate beds, n (%)                                 | 0                | 0                | 1 (50)           | 0                | 0                  | 1 (2.7)   |
| **Factors accounting for the lack of stroke unit**             |                  |                  |                  |                  |                    |           |
| Inadequate clinical staff, n (%)                               | 2 (100)          | 0                | 7 (100)          | 4 (100)          | 11 (100)           | 24 (64.68)|
| Financial constraints, n (%)                                   | 1 (100)          | 0                | 2 (100)          | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)            | 5 (13.51)|
| Lack of administrative staff, n (%)                            | 1 (100)          | 1 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 0                | 2 (100)            | 6 (16.21)|
| Unaware of stroke unit care, n (%)                             | 0                | 0                | 0                | 2 (100)          | 0                  | 2 (5.41)  |
| Recommended provision of a stroke unit, n (%)                  | 3 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)         | 8 (100)          | 8 (72.73)          | 34 (91.89)|
| Fibrinolytic therapy, n (%)                                    | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 11 (84.62)       | 7 (87.50)        | 5 (45.45)          | 27 (72.97)|
| Patients received IV Rt-PA, mean ± std. dev.                   | 19±12.72         | 29±13.4          | 7.09±8.57        | 15.85±14.75      | 13±12.58           |           |
| Inadequate administrative staff, n (%)                         | 0                | 0                | 2 (100)          | 0                | 0                  | 2 (5.41)  |
| Surgical treatment for acute stroke, n (%)                     | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 11 (84.62)       | 6 (75)           | 1 (9.09)           | 22 (59.46)|
| Carotid angioplasty, n (%)                                     | 0                | 2 (100)          | 8 (100)          | 5 (100)          | 0                  | 15 (40.54)|
| Urgent mechanical thrombectomy, n (%)                          | 1 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 5 (100)          | 5 (100)          | 0                  | 13 (35.14)|
| Decompressive craniotomy, n (%)                                | 2 (100)          | 2 (100)          | 10 (100)         | 6 (100)          | 1 (100)            | 21 (56.76)|
| Discharge care plans, n (%)                                    | 2 (66.67)        | 2 (100)          | 8 (61.54)        | 6 (75)           | 7 (63.64)          | 25 (67.57)|
| Contact number at discharge, n (%)                             | 1 (33.33)        | 1 (50)           | 9 (69.23)        | 6 (75)           | 4 (36.36)          | 21 (56.76)|

Stroke patients is illustrated in Table 2. Only 32.43% of hospitals have 24-h coverage by stroke physicians, and 13.51% of hospitals had dedicated stroke units. Factors that accounted for the lack of a stroke unit include inadequate clinical staff. A total of 72.97% of the hospitals used fibrinolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke, and 56.76% of hospitals accessed swallow evaluation results within 24 hours.
| Stroke services evaluated | Teaching hospital | Military hospital | Private hospital | Referral hospital | Secondary hospital | Overall  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Patient information at admission and discharge** | | | | | | |
| Stroke condition, n (%) | 1 (100) | 1 (100) | 7 (100) | 4 (100) | 4 (100) | 17 (45.95) |
| Local community care arrangements, n (%) | 0 | 1 (100) | 3 (100) | 0 | 0 | 4 (10.81) |
| Local voluntary associations, n (%) | 0 | 1 (100) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (2.7) |
| Community stroke support groups, n (%) | 0 | 1 (100) | 0 | 1 (100) | 0 | 2 (5.41) |
| Swallow evaluation within 24 hours, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 2 (100) | 7 (53.85) | 7 (87.50) | 4 (36.36) | 21 (56.76) |
| Screening protocol for swallowing, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 2 (100) | 6 (46.15) | 6 (75) | 2 (18.18) | 17 (45.95) |
| **How many of the following professionals are on the stroke team?** | | | | | | |
| Clinical psychologist, (median) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Family medicine, (median) | 0.0 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Neurologist, (median) | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Neurosurgeon, (median) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Physician specialist, (median) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| Resident, (median) | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stroke care coordinator, (median) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Trained stroke nurse, (median) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Emergency physician, (median) | 3.0 | 12.5 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Occupational therapist, (median) | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Physiotherapist, (median) | 2.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Speech pathologist, (median) | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Social worker, (median) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Dietician, (median) | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Specialist for stroke, n (%) | 2 (66.67) | 1 (50) | 5 (38.46) | 6 (75) | 3 (27.27) | 17 (45.95) |
| Program for professional development, n (%) | 0 | 1 (50) | 3 (23.08) | 4 (50) | 3 (27.27) | 11 (29.73) |
| Stroke team involved in quality improvement, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 1 (50) | 5 (38.46) | 5 (62.50) | 1 (9.09) | 13 (35.14) |
| **Health policy support level (national)** | | | | | | |
| High support, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 2 (5.38) | 0 | 0 | 2 (5.41) |
| Average support, n (%) | 2 (66.67) | 2 (100) | 7 (53.85) | 3 (37.50) | 2 (18.18) | 16 (43.24) |
| Limited support, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 2 (15.38) | 3 (37.50) | 5 (45.45) | 10 (27.03) |
| Low support, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (36.36) | 4 (10.81) |
| No support, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 0 | 2 (15.38) | 2 (25) | 0 | 5 (13.51) |
| **Health policy support level (hospital)** | | | | | | |
| High support, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 1 (50) | 4 (30.77) | 2 (25) | 0 | 8 (21.62) |
| Average support, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 1 (50) | 8 (61.54) | 4 (50) | 4 (36.36) | 18 (48.65) |
| Limited support, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 1 (7.69) | 1 (12.50) | 2 (18.18) | 4 (10.81) |
| Low support, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (36.36) | 4 (10.81) |
| No support, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 0 | 0 | 1 (12.50) | 1 (9.09) | 3 (8.11) |
| **Limitations of the acute stroke services** | | | | | | |
| No ward, n (%) | 2 (100) | 1 (100) | 7 (100) | 5 (100) | 10 (100) | 25 (67.57) |
| Inadequate clinical staff, n (%) | 1 (100) | 1 (100) | 6 (100) | 4 (100) | 9 (100) | 21 (56.76) |
| Financial constraints, n (%) | 1 (100) | 1 (100) | 8 (100) | 3 (100) | 4 (100) | 17 (45.95) |
| Health policy support, n (%) | 2 (100) | 1 (100) | 7 (100) | 3 (100) | 3 (100) | 16 (43.24) |
| Lack of political will, n (%) | 2 (100) | 1 (100) | 2 (100) | 3 (100) | 2 (100) | 10 (27.03) |
| Stroke register or database, n (%) | 0 | 2 (100) | 2 (15.38) | 3 (37.50) | 2 (18.18) | 9 (24.32) |
| Interventions to promote stroke awareness, n (%) | 1 (33.33) | 2 (100) | 9 (69.23) | 5 (62.50) | 2 (18.18) | 19 (51.35) |
| Rehabilitative programs, n (%) | 2 (66.67) | 1 (50) | 3 (23.08) | 2 (25) | 0 | 8 (21.62) |
Regarding the number of professionals on the stroke team, clinical psychologists and family medicine physicians were common in the military, referral, and private hospitals; neurosurgeons, trained stroke nurses, emergency physicians, and speech pathologists were also common among the military hospitals. Neurologists were most common in teaching hospitals. Stroke care coordinators, physiotherapists, social workers, and dieticians were most common in the military and private hospitals. Occupational therapists were the most common specialist in military hospitals. Only 11 (29.73%) hospitals had a program for professional development. A total of 35.14% of all hospitals had a stroke team involved in quality improvement.

Concerning the health policy support level, 48.65% of the hospitals had average support, 21.62% had high support, and the best level of support was observed in the military hospitals.

Regarding the limitations of the acute stroke services, more than two-thirds of hospitals had no wards, 56.76% had inadequate clinical staff, only 24.32% had stroke registries or databases, 51.35% had interventions to promote stroke awareness, and 21.62% had no rehabilitative programs.

Table 3 illustrates the compliance of the studied hospitals with the World Stroke Organization checklist. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) is highly used in most of our hospitals. The same finding was observed for the use of a diagnostic tool, with frequent availability of computed tomographic angiography and electroencephalogram (EEG) services. Echocardiogram services were used in 62.16% of all hospitals.

**Discussion.** This study highlights critical points regarding acute stroke management in the central region of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Our study reported a total of 3932 stroke patient visits to 37 different hospitals in the central region of Saudi Arabia in 2018. Only two-thirds of these hospitals followed protocols for rapid ED triage.

Understanding the enormous impact of time on a patient's outcome should promote changes and modifications in stroke management to decrease delays. The American Stroke Association identified strategies associated with reduction in the door-to-IV tPA time in stroke patients. Early notification of emergency medical services, emergency department recognition of stroke, and the triage system play essential roles in decreasing the door-to-needle time in acute stroke management.

After transient ischemic attack or minor stroke, the risk of consequent stroke is lower for patients treated by services that provide emergency intervention using a multidisciplinary approach. Our results showed that in only approximately two-thirds of our studied hospitals, patients with stroke symptoms were most likely to be admitted to the ICU in the first 72 h, only 35.14% of hospitals had arrangements with a local ambulance.

We reported many factors that account for the lack of stroke units, including some hospitals having an inadequate number of trained clinical staff and a lack of administrative support, among other factors. In our study, the primary diagnostic tools, including magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), electroencephalogram (EEG), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography; CT, computed tomography.

### Table 3 - World Stroke Organization checklist. MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; EEG, electroencephalogram; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography.

| Component of acute stroke services | Teaching hospital | Military hospital | Private hospital | Referral hospital | Secondary hospital | Overall |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| MRA, n (%)                        | 3 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)        | 7 (87.50)        | 2 (18.18)        | 27 (72.97) |
| Computed tomographic angiography, n (%) | 3 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)        | 6 (75)           | 1 (9.09)         | 25 (67.57) |
| EEG services availability, n (%)  | 1 (33.33)         | 2 (100)          | 12 (92.31)      | 7 (87.50)        | 1 (9.09)         | 23 (62.16) |
| Echocardiogram services, n (%)    | 2 (66.67)         | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)        | 8 (100)          | 5 (45.45)        | 30 (81.08) |
| Neurovascular ultrasound, n (%)   | 2 (66.67)         | 2 (100)          | 12 (92.31)      | 8 (100)          | 5 (45.45)        | 29 (78.38) |
| MRI, n (%)                        | 3 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)        | 8 (100)          | 6 (54.55)        | 32 (86.49) |
| CT scanner, n (%)                 | 3 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 13 (100)        | 8 (100)          | 10 (90.91)       | 36 (97.30) |
| Arteriovenous malformation treatment, n (%) | 1 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 6 (100)         | 5 (100)          | 0               | 14 (37.84) |
| Surgery for cerebral aneurysm, n (%) | 1 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 5 (100)         | 5 (100)          | 0               | 13 (35.14) |
| Carotid endarterectomy, n (%)     | 1 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 4 (100)         | 5 (100)          | 0               | 12 (32.43) |
| Nurses, mean ± std. dev.          | 2.6±4.61          | 8.5±10.60        | 7.1±5.46        | 9.1±13.65        | 5.1±3.58         | 5.1±3.58 |
| Aspirin provided for eligible patients, n (%) | 3 (100)           | 2 (100)          | 11 (84.62)      | 8 (100)          | 11 (100)         | 35 (94.59) |
| Effective rehabilitation services, n (%) | 2 (66.67)         | 2 (100)          | 7 (53.85)       | 4 (50)           | 4 (36.36)        | 19 (51.35) |
tomography CT, and echocardiogram, were available in most of the hospitals. Only half of our hospitals had effective rehabilitation services.

Our study lays the groundwork to start implementing stroke guidelines and create well-organized stroke units in Riyadh and, hopefully, in other hospitals around the Kingdom, which will impact the health care system in accordance with the Kingdom’s 2030 vision. We recommend enhancing acute stroke management at all health care layers including hospital resources, infrastructures, rapid admission of stroke patient to qualified hospital, emergency transport and triage. Further research is needed to make sure that our hospitals align with Saudi stroke management guidelines.

Limitations. This study was conducting using a questionnaire that had been previously validated in Australia and Ghana. A limitation of this study, similar to many questionnaire studies, is its subjectivity and recall bias.

Conclusion. We found that most of our hospitals were not fully prepared to address acute stroke management in a manner that was reasonably consistent with international guidelines. We recommend raising the hospital’s requirements a higher level to be in line with the stroke guidelines.
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