Analysis of the impact of a uracil DNA glycosylase attenuated in AP-DNA binding in maintenance of the genomic integrity in Escherichia coli
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ABSTRACT

Uracil DNA glycosylase (Ung) initiates the uracil excision repair pathway. We have earlier characterized the Y66W and Y66H mutants of Ung and shown that they are compromised by ~7- and ~170-fold, respectively in their uracil excision activities. In this study, fluorescence anisotropy measurements show that compared with the wild-type, the Y66W protein is moderately compromised and attenuated in binding to AP-DNA. Allelic exchange of ung in Escherichia coli with ung:kan, ungY66H:amp or ungY66W:amp alleles showed ~5-, ~3.0- and ~2.0-fold, respectively increase in mutation frequencies. Analysis of mutations in the rifampicin resistance determining region of rpoB revealed that the Y66W allele resulted in an increase in A to G (or T to C) mutations. However, the increase in A to G mutations was mitigated upon expression of wild-type Ung from a plasmid borne gene. Biochemical and computational analyses showed that the Y66W mutant maintains strict specificity for uracil excision from DNA. Interestingly, a strain deficient in AP-endonucleases also showed an increase in A to G mutations. We discuss these findings in the context of a proposal that the residency of DNA glycosylase(s) onto the AP-sites they generate shields them until recruitment of AP-endonucleases for further repair.

INTRODUCTION

Uracil DNA glycosylase (Ung), a ubiquitous enzyme, removes the promutagenic base uracil which appears in the genome either by deamination of cytosine or by incorporation of dUMP during DNA replication (1–3). Ung proteins belong to a highly conserved class of repair enzymes which are extremely specific for uracil in DNA (4,5). Ung proteins from Escherichia coli and other sources are inhibited by the reaction products, AP-DNA and uracil with Kₐ of ~1.2 µM and ~0.2–5 mM, respectively (3,6–10). Ung proteins are also inhibited by a Bacillus subtilis phage protein, Ugi (11). The complex formation of Ugi (which is a remarkable mimic of DNA) with E. coli Ung has been well characterized and shown to be extremely stable (12–14).

Ung initiates uracil excision repair by cleaving the N-glycosidic bond between the uracil base and the deoxyribose sugar in DNA. The AP sites so generated are further processed by AP endonucleases (15). A major pathway in E. coli employs the multifunctional enzyme, exonuclease III or the endonuclease IV to hydrolyse the phosphodiester bond 5' to the abasic deoxyribose sugar to generate a 3' hydroxyl, and a 5' deoxyribose ends at the site of damage. A deoxyribose-phosphodiesterase (dRpase) activity (e.g. RecJ) is then utilized to cleave the deoxyribose to generate a 5' phosphate end (16,17). The 5' phosphate end can also result from β elimination of the deoxyribose, a reaction promoted by Fpg (18). The single nucleotide gap surrounded by 3' hydroxyl and 5' phosphate ends is then filled in by DNA polymerase I and sealed by DNA ligase to restore the locus sequence (16,17). AP lyases (e.g. endonuclease III) may also process the AP sites by cleaving 3' to the AP site and generating a 5' phosphate end. Although such a reaction bypasses the requirement of dRpase, it requires further processing of the 3'-end (e.g. by exonuclease III or endonuclease IV) to convert it to a 3' hydroxyl end to serve as primer for the DNA polymerase (19,20). In an alternate pathway, even though the 5'-3' exonuclease activity of DNA polymerase I is unable to remove the 5' deoxyribose, it can still carry out the fill in reaction (from the 3' hydroxyl end) by replacement synthesis, and remove the 5' flank containing the deoxyribose residue as a part of the DNA oligomer by
its structure specific endonuclease activity. The nicks so generated are sealed by DNA ligase (17). Other minor pathways involving nucleotide excision repair proteins have also been observed to repair AP sites in DNA (21,22). Importantly, AP sites are impediment to the essential cellular processes such as replication and transcription, and their accumulation in DNA is both mutagenic and cytotoxic (23–26). Therefore, a rapid processing of the AP sites is crucial to ensure that their occurrences in DNA are transient.

Analysis of human UNG binding to AP-site, and uracil containing DNAs showed that it bound to AP-DNA with an affinity higher than that with uracil containing DNA. It was also observed that the presence of AP endonuclease (HAP1) in the reaction, facilitated uracil excision repair. These observations led to the proposal that UNG-mediated uracil excision and the subsequent AP site processing are coupled (27). Accordingly, UNG would remain bound to the AP site until it was encountered by an AP endonuclease for its further processing. An inherent elegance of such a mechanism is that it ensures that AP sites are shielded, and prevented from eliciting mutator or cytotoxic effects (27,28). However, more recently, it was also reported that neither the human nor the Herpes simplex virus UNG bound AP-DNA better than the uracil containing DNA, implying implausibility of a coupled action of UNG and AP endonuclease (29).

Although, it should be pointed out that to our knowledge, there are no reports which have addressed the issue of the in vivo implications of the altered Ung affinity/binding to AP-DNA in DNA repair.

We have been studying Ung-mediated repair in *E. coli*. In our earlier work, we reported on the mutational analysis of Y66 of the water activating loop (GQDPYH) in the active site pocket of Ung and showed that Y66W mutation compromised its activity by only about 7-fold (at the level of catalytic rates). Importantly, like the wild-type protein the Y66W mutant retained high specificity to uracil in DNA. However, unlike the wild-type protein, it was found to be recalcitrant to inhibition by uracil and AP-DNA (30). Another mutant, Y66H was severely compromised (~170-fold) in its uracil excision activity but like the wild-type protein, it was susceptible to inhibition by uracil and AP-DNA. In this study, we have exploited these mutants to address the issue of the significance of the Ung residency on the AP-site in the uracil excision repair pathway in *E. coli*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Oligodeoxyribonucleotides, bacterial strains, media and growth conditions**

The DNA oligomers, plasmids and strains used are summarized in Table 1. 5' FAM (referred to as fluorescein) labeled SSU9 (F-SSU9) DNA oligomer, was procured from Microsynth, Switzerland. Other DNA oligomers were from Sigma-Aldrich (India). The F-SSU9 oligomer was annealed to 3-fold molar excess of the ‘complementary A’ oligomer in 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM Na2EDTA and 150 mM NaCl, heated at 80°C for 10min and allowed to cool at room temperature to generate double-stranded oligomer (F-DSAU9) and stored at −20°C. *Escherichia coli* (F-DSAU9) strains were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) or LB-agar containing 1.6% (w/v) agar (Difco, USA). Media were supplemented with ampicillin (Amp, 100 µg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (Kan, 50 µg ml⁻¹) or rifampicin (Rif, 50 µg ml⁻¹) as required.

**Expression and purification of Ung proteins**

The pET11d derived expression constructs (30) were used to overproduce Ung from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). Proteins were purified (31) and stored in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5 and 10% glycerol. The pTUNG(Y147A) plasmid, a kind gift from Dr H. E. Krokan, Department of Cancer Research and Molecular Medicine, Norway, was used to purify a human (Hs) UNG (Y147A) mutant from *E. coli* BW310 (ung⁻) strain (32).

**Determination of affinity of Ung proteins to AP-DNA**

The binding affinity of Ung proteins for the AP-DNA generated from F-SSU9 was monitored by fluorescence anisotropy measurement (29) using a fluorometer (Jasco FP-777 or Spex Fluoromax 3) after stabilizing polarizer. DNA oligomers (AP-DNA) were taken at a concentration of 1 µM in 100 µl buffer A (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% BSA and 1 mM Na2EDTA) along with Ung protein (5 µM to 200 µM) in a 0.2 ml quartz cuvette. The measurements were performed in a constant wavelength mode in autopolarizer settings after selecting polarizer and anisotropy with dark correction enabled. The excitation and emission wavelengths were fixed as 493 and 517 nm. The observed anisotropy was plotted against the concentration of enzyme, and the data were fitted to the quadratic binding equation, 

\[ A = A_{\text{min}} + \frac{D + E + K_D}{(D + E + K_D)^2 - (4DE)}/2 \]

where \( D \) is the concentration of DNA used, \( A \) is the observed anisotropy, \( A_{\text{min}} \) is the anisotropy of free DNA and \( A_{\text{max}} \) is the anisotropy of protein bound DNA, \( K_D \) is the equilibrium dissociation constant and \( E \) is the total protein concentration.

**Competitive binding of Ugi to Ung–DNA complex**

To ascertain the specificity of Ung to AP-DNA, competitive binding of Ugi was performed by fluorescence anisotropy. Ung proteins (wild-type, 60 µM; Y66W, 50 µM; and Y66H, 88 µM) were taken along with the fixed concentrations of fluorescein-labeled AP-DNA (0.3 µM) corresponding to anisotropy values of 0.105, 0.14, 0.13, respectively and titrated against increasing concentration of Ugi. The scatter plot of titration with Ugi was generated by Sigma Plot 10, taking the maximum anisotropy of each protein as 100%.

**Allelic exchange of ung in *E. coli* with the wild-type or the mutant genes**

Genomic manipulations in *E. coli* were carried out using the method of Datsenko and Wanner (33), and PI
Table 1. List of strains, plasmids and DNA oligomers

| Strain/Plasmids/Oligonucleotides | Details | Reference |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| **E. coli Strains**              |         |           |
| DY330                            | W3110 ΔlacU169 gal490 λc857Δ(cre-bioA) | 53         |
| DY330 ung::kan                    | DY330 containing disruption of ung with kanR cassette at BamHI site in the open reading frame (ORF). | This work |
| MG1655                           | An E. coli K strain, F’ LAM - rph-1 | 54         |
| MG1655 ung::kan                   | MG1655 containing ung::kan from DY330 ung::kan strain | This work |
| MG1655 ung::amp                   | MG1655 wherein ung is linked with ampR marker from the pTrc 99c vector. | This work |
| MG1655 ungY66W::amp               | MG1655 wherein ung locus is replaced by ungY66W::amp | This work |
| MG1655 ungY66H::amp               | MG1655 wherein ung locus is replaced by ungY66H::amp | This work |
| GM7635                           | ABI157 but Δxth-panA nso-1::kan ung-152::Tn10 | 35         |
| GM7635 ung::amp                   | GM7635 wherein ung-152::Tn10 locus has been replaced by ung::amp | This work |
| BL21 (DE3)                       | Harbors T7 RNA polymerase gene under the control of LacR | Novagen |
| Plasmids                          |         |           |
| pUC4K                             | Multicopy E. coli plasmid containing a kanR cassette | 55         |
| pTrc-ung                          | Ugi was removed from pTrcUDG1-Ugi (56) by HindIII and EcoRI, blunt ended, ligated and characterized by restriction mapping. | This work |
| pTrc-ungY66W                      | pTrcEcoUng but Y66 of Ung is mutated to W66 | This work |
| pTrc-ungY66H                      | pTrcEcoUng but Y66 of Ung is mutated to H66 | This work |
| pTrc-ung-kan                      | E. coli ung disrupted by kanamycin resistance cassette from pUC4k | This work |
| pAC-ung                           | PstI--EcoRI fragment (1.5 kb) containing E. coli ung from pTZung was cloned into a plasmid with pAC origin of replication compatible with the colEl origin of replication. | Purnapathe & Varshney (unpublished data) |
| Oligonucleotides                  |         |           |
| F-SSU9                            | 5' FAM labeled d(ctcaatgtUaggtcaagatg); U at 9th position | This work |
| F-SSapq9                          | d(ctcaatgtUaggtcaagatg); generated by excising U from F-SSU9 by uracil DNA glycosylase activity. | This work |
| Complementary A                   | 5' agtcctgtacctgcacagcag 3'; | Lab stock |
| F-DSAU9                           | Double stranded oligomer AU pair at position 9, formed by annealing F-SSU9 with complementary A oligomer. | This study |
| F-DSapq9                          | Double stranded AP-DNA formed by excising U from F-DSAU9. | This study |
| ung-Fp                            | 5' d(atggcaagattacac) 3'; anneals to ung form the start codon | Lab stock |
| ungUP-Fp                          | 5'd(agtattctgatctcg) 3' | 38         |
| pTrc-Bcl-Rp           | 5' d(ggtctttgctctg) 3' | 38         |
| pTrc-ung-ko-Rp                   | 5' d(ggtctttgctctg) 3'; Sequences in bold correspond to the region downstream of ung ORF and the underlined sequence anneals to the sequence downstream of AmpR cassette from pTrc99C. | This work |
| 306 rpoB-Fp                      | 5'd(gacatgggctcagc) 3'; Forward primer to amplify RRDR locus. | 46         |
| 306 rpoB-Rp                      | 5'd(gacatgggctcagc) 3'; Reverse primer to amplify RRDR locus | 46         |
| Eco-rpoB-seq-Fp                  | 5'd(ggagactggagtggaggagaa) 3'; Primer used to sequence RRDR | 46         |
| Eco-ssh-Fp                       | 5’ dgagactggagtggaggagaa 3'; Forward primer used to amplify E. coli single-stranded DNA gene ORF. | 40         |
| Eco-ssh-rp                       | 5' dgagactggagtggaggagaa 3'; Reverse primer used to amplify E. coli single-stranded DNA gene ORF. | 40         |

A DNA fragment harboring kanamycin resistance cassette (kanR; kan) was excised from pUC4K as BamHI fragment and subcloned into the BamHI site of the ung gene open reading frame (ORF) in pTrc-ung to generate pTrc-ung::kan. A DNA fragment harboring ung::kan was amplified from pTrc-ung::kan with ung-Fp and pTrc-Bcl-Rp primers using Pfu DNA polymerase. PCR reactions (50 μl) contained 1 x Pfu buffer, 50–100 ng of template DNA, 20 pmol of each primer, and 200 μM of dNTPs. Reactions were heated at 94°C for 4 min and subjected to 29 cycles of incubations at 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 30 s and 70°C for 10 min. PCR product of ~1.88 kb was digested with BclI and electroporated into E. coli DY330. The transformants were selected on LB-agar containing Kan and the chromosomal ung gene disruption was confirmed by a diagnostic PCR. The strain, E. coli DY330 ung::kan so obtained was used for further manipulations.

To perform allelic exchange of the ung::kan locus in E. coli DY330 with the wild-type, Y66W and Y66H ung alleles, the ung ORF along with the downstream AmpR marker from the respective pTrc99C-based constructs (Table I) were amplified by PCR using ung-Fp and pTrc-ung-ko-Rp primers (Supplementary Figure S1) with Pfu DNA polymerase, under the conditions described above. The ung-Fp is completely homologous to the ung ORF upstream of the BamHI site, and the pTrc-ung-ko-Rp possesses sequence homologous to the vector sequence (downstream of the AmpR marker) which is flanked on its 5' side with a sequence homologous to the 3' untranslated region of the ung gene. Use of these primers allows co-amplication of AmpR marker located downstream of the desired ung alleles in the pTrc99C constructs. The PCR product was electroporated into the E. coli DY330 ung::kan strain and the transformants obtained by recombinational replacement of ung::kan

Isolated colonies were grown to early log phase (OD 600, at a dilution of 1:1000 for 2 h, washed again with TBS and secondary antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase thrice with TBS and incubated with anti-rabbit goat IgG/C14 in 100/C14 incubated at 90°C. The isolated colonies were suspended in 20 strains in various backgrounds were and the total viable counts for the various strains. (
determining region 10 min in table top centrifuge and the supernatant was 306 rpoB/C2 obtained, 1 Ung proteins (or their complexes with Ugi) and incubated with alkaline phosphatase at a dilution of 1:1000 for 2 h, washed again with TBS and developed with BCIP and NBT as substrate.

Determination of mutation frequencies
Isolated colonies were grown to early log phase (OD 600, 0.2–0.3) in 5 ml LB and diluted 1000 fold to make 6–7 independent cultures (5 ml). The cultures were grown to OD 600 of ~0.6–1.0, and cells were harvested, resuspended in 100 µl LB, plated on LB agar containing Rif, incubated at 37°C overnight in dark and scored for the numbers of colonies that appeared (37). Total number of viable cells in each culture was determined using a linear regression equation, \( Y = mx + C \), from a standard curve prepared in the beginning of the experiment by plotting OD 600 and the total viable counts for the various strains.

Analysis of rifampicin resistance determining region
*Escherichia coli* strains in various backgrounds were grown for 10–12 h and plated on LB agar containing Rif. The isolated colonies were suspended in 20 µl water, incubated at 90°C for 10 min, spun at 13000 r.p.m. for 10 min in table top centrifuge and the supernatant was used as a template to amplify rifampicin resistance determining region (RRDR) using 306 rpoB-Fp and 306 rpoB-Rp primers (Table 1). PCR reactions (40 µl) contained, 1× Taq pol buffer, 10 µl of template, 15 pmol of each primer, 150 µM of dNTPs and 0.75 U of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR condition were as follows, initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 29 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, polymerization at 68°C for 30 s and final extension at 68°C for 10 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel and eluted in 0.2% low-melting agarose. DNA sequencing was done by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) using Eco-rpoB-seq-Fp.

Modeling of thymine in the active site pocket of Ung
Thymine was modeled into the mutant Ung proteins by the method of Coot (38). The models of Y66W Ung and Y147A HsUNG were generated from the crystal structure with the PDB coordinate files 1FLZ and 1SSP, respectively and the rotamer selection for Trp was performed as reported earlier (30). The models obtained for the Y66W Ung and thymine accommodated Y66W were energy minimized in vacuum with low memory Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno approach to remove any unacceptable steric clash followed by conjugated gradient and steepest descent methods in the solvent system using GROMACS v3.3.1 (39). The models of thymine with Y66W and Y147A mutant proteins were generated after adding methyl group to the C5 of uracil.

Assay for thymine DNA glycosylase activity
The DNA substrate containing [3H] radiolabeled thymine was prepared by PCR amplification of ssb gene using ORF specific Eco-ssb-Fp and Eco-ssb-rp primers (40) to amplify 565 bp DNA using Taq DNA polymerase (~2 U), 50 ng of the template DNA, 20 pmol each of the forward and reverse primers, 20 µM [3H] dTTP (GE healthcare, UK) and 200 µM dCTP, dATP and dGTP in a 50 µl volume. Reaction was heated to 94°C for 4 min and subjected to 29 cycles of incubations at 94°C for 1 min, 52°C for 30 s and 68°C for 40 s. Subsequently, the reaction was supplemented with 20 µl reaction buffer containing 10 pmol each of the primers, 100 µM all dNTP mix, Taq DNA polymerase (~2 U) and subjected to another round of 29 cycles of incubations as above. The reaction was loaded onto an agarose gel and the PCR product was purified by GFX PCR DNA and the gel band purification kit (GE Healthcare, UK). Thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) activity was determined (41) by incubating 250 ng of the Ung proteins (pre-incubated with 150 ng of Ugi or buffer alone for 15 min at room temperature followed by 30 min on ice) with 25 000 c.p.m. of 3H-labeled DNA substrate and incubated at 37°C for different times. At the end of the reaction, the samples were mixed with unlabelled thymine and spotted on the CEL 300PEI/UV254 (POLYGRAM, Macherey-Nagel, Germany) thin layer plates, and developed with 2% formic acid (v/v) containing 0.55 M LiCl as the mobile phase at 4°C (42). The spots corresponding to thymine were visualized by UV (254 nm) shadowing and cut into pieces to measure the radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting using a fluid containing 0.5 g PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole) and 0.05 g POPOP [1,4-bis (5-phenyl-2-oxazolyl) benzene] per liter in toluene. The percentage of thymine released was calculated for each sample and plotted as histogram.

Genomic degradation assays
For the TDG activity-based DNA degradation assay, ~1.5 µg of genomic DNA from *E. coli* MG1655 (wild-type for ung) was mixed with 500 ng of the various Ung proteins (or their complexes with Ugi) and incubated at 37°C for 3 h. For uracil DNA glycosylase-based DNA
degradation assay, \(~1.5\, \mu\text{g}\) of genomic DNA from \textit{E. coli} 
RZ1032 (\textit{dut}::\textit{ung}) was mixed with 200 ng of the proteins
(or their complexes with Ugi) and incubated at 37°C for
15 min. The reactions were stopped with NaOH (0.1 N),
heated for 10 min at 90°C, analyzed by electrophoresis on
1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (43) and
documented using a gel doc system (Alpha Innotech
Corporation).

RESULT

Binding of Ung proteins to AP-DNA

We have earlier observed that compared to the \textit{E. coli} Ung
(wild-type), its Y66W and Y66H mutants were about
7- and 170-fold, respectively compromised in their uracil
excision activities. And, although the wild-type and the
Y66H mutant were inhibited by the presence of
AP-DNA, the Y66W was not (30). The latter observation
indicated that Y66W was compromised and/or altered in
its binding to AP-DNA. To verify this, we analyzed the binding
of these proteins to AP-DNA employing fluores-
cence anisotropy technique (29, 44). For this purpose,
F-SSap9 and F-DSap9 containing an AP-sites at position 9 from the 5’-end-labeled with fluorescein were
prepared by incubating the respective oligomers with the Ung proteins (wild-type, Y66W or the Y66H mutants) for
5 min at room temperature prior to recording equilibrium
binding measurements. Expectedly, as shown in Figure 1A
and B, such a treatment of F-SSU9 with the Ung proteins
alone did not result in any significant cleavage of the oligomer [compare lane 1 (untreated) with lanes 2, 5 and
8, (treated with wild-type, Y66H and Y66W proteins, respectively)]. However, a further treatment with alkali
or alkali and heat resulted in total shift of the upper bands in lanes 2, 5 and 8 into smaller 5’-fluorescein
products (lanes 3, 4; 6, 7; and 9 and 10) suggesting that
conditions used resulted in total excision of uracil from oligomers and generation of AP-DNA. In our initial
experiments, we observed that binding of Ung proteins to F-SSap9 resulted in increasing anisotropy values with
respect to increasing protein concentration (data not shown). To ensure that the increase in anisotropy was
due to a specific binding of the oligomer and not due to
protein interference with the 5’-fluorescein label, we added
Ugi, a \textit{Bacillus subtilis} phage, PBS1 encoded highly
specific proteinaceous inhibitor of Ung (which interacts
in the DNA binding groove), to the reactions and
monitored percent decrease in anisotropy with respect to
the increasing concentration of Ugi (Figure 1C). Addition of Ugi competed with the complex of F-SSap9 with
the Ung proteins, suggesting that the anisotropy changes
represent specific binding of the fluorescein-labeled oligomer.

Subsequently, the binding of Ung proteins to F-DSap9,
a substrate more akin to \textit{in vivo} conditions, was observed by
the increasing anisotropy values with respect to
the increasing protein concentrations (Figure 1D and
Supplementary Figure S3). The equilibrium binding of
the wild-type and the mutant proteins were determined
by fitting the data to a quadratic binding equation using
Sigma plot. As shown, the \(K_D\) of Y66W mutant was
\(~4.5\)-fold higher when compared to the wild-type protein suggesting a somewhat weaker affinity of the
Y66W Ung to AP-DNA. Under the same conditions, the
Y66H Ung showed a \(K_D\) which was \(~3\)-fold higher
than that of the wild-type protein. In addition, we
observed that, while the maximal anisotropy values of
the wild-type and Y66H proteins were the same; that of
the Y66W was significantly higher. Such differences in
anisotropy values were also observed for the interaction
of DNA oligomers with EcoRV and interpreted to imply
an altered mode of DNA protein interaction (44). Taken
with our earlier observation that unlike the wild-type and the Y66H Ung, the Y66W Ung is not inhibited by either uracil or uracil and AP-DNA (30), these observations suggest that the mode of
AP-DNA binding to the Y66W mutant is altered (attenuated).

Generation of \textit{E. coli} strains harboring the desired \textit{ung}
alleles in chromosome

To ensure physiological relevance of the role of Ung
binding in DNA repair, we replaced the chromosomally
located \textit{ung} gene in \textit{E. coli} DY330 with the recombinant
constructs using a two step allelic exchange method
(see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). The \textit{ung} alleles from \textit{E. coli} DY330 were then moved into \textit{E. coli}
MG1655 background using genetic transductions and
verified by DNA sequence analysis. Figure 2A shows the
\textit{ung} gene organization in the engineered strains. Although
the \textit{ung} alleles were introduced in their native location
(\textit{38.5}1') with minimal alteration, to ensure that the
chromosomal manipulations did not result in any
dysregulation of Ung expression, we carried out
immunoblot analysis of the cell-free extracts prepared
from the engineered and the parent strains using
polyclonal antibodies against Ung. As shown in
Figure 2B, expression of Ung proteins from the
MG1655 parent strain and its derivatives harboring an
\textit{Amp}R marker linked to the wild-type (\textit{ung::amp}), Y66H
(\textit{ungY66H::amp}) and Y66W (\textit{ungY66W::amp}) expressed
equal levels of Ung proteins (compare lane 1 with lanes
2–4). And, as expected, the strain wherein the \textit{ung} gene
was disrupted with \textit{Kan}R marker (\textit{E. coli} MG1655
\textit{ung::kan}) did not show any bands corresponding to Ung
(lane 5). As a control, the immunoblot was also
simultaneously probed for RRF protein using polyclonal
antibodies to RRF which confirmed equal loading of the
samples, lanes 1–5).

Determination of mutation frequencies

To understand the impact of the mutations in the \textit{ung}
genes in \textit{E. coli}, we determined mutation frequencies of the
strains carrying the wild-type, Y66W, Y66H and the
disrupted \textit{ung} alleles by scoring for colonies (\textit{Rif}R) that
appear on \textit{Rif} containing LB-agar. As shown in Table 2,
when compared with the wild-type strain (\textit{ung::amp}), the
\textit{ung} (\textit{ung::kan}) strain showed an increase of 5.1-fold.
This increase in the mutation frequency is similar to
Figure 1. Generation of fluorescein-labeled SSap9 oligomer and its binding to the Ung proteins. (A) Scheme of uracil excision from F-SSU9 (a) to generate F-SSap9 (b). Cleavage of F-SSap9 (b) in the presence of alkali, or alkali and heat results in the formation of (c) and (d). Of these, (a), (b) and (c) are detectable on the gel shown in (B). (B) Analysis of uracil excision from F-SSU9 with Ung proteins. The DNA oligomer was incubated with Ung proteins (5 µM); aliquots were taken out and analyzed on 15% polyacrylamide–8 M urea gels before and after treatment with alkali and alkali and heat. Lane 1, untreated F-SSU9; Lanes 2, 5 and 8, F-SSU9 treated with wild-type, Y66W and Y66H Ung; lanes 3, 6 and 9, same as in lanes 2, 5 and 8, respectively after treatment with alkali; lanes 4, 7 and 10, same as in lanes 3, 6, and 9 after treatment with alkali and heat. (C) Determination of the specificity of F-SSap9 binding to the Ung. Complexes of Ung proteins with F-SSap9 (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section) were competed with increasing amounts of Ugi. Anisotropies, relative to the starting values of the respective complexes of F-SSap9 with Ung proteins (taken as 100%, in the absence of Ugi) were plotted against Ugi concentration. (D) Fluorescence anisotropy measurements using a double-stranded AP-DNA. The F-DSAU9 (1 µM) was mixed with 5 µM to 200 µM Ung proteins (wild-type, filled diamond; Y66W, filled triangle; and Y66H, filled circle) and the fluorescence anisotropy was measured in Jasco FP-777. Data points were fitted to binding equation (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). The $K_D$ values shown are the averages from two experiments ±SEM.

Figure 2. Characterization of *E. coli* MG1655 strains. (A) Genomic organization of *E. coli* MG1655 strains harboring various ung alleles. (B) Immunoblot analysis of the cell-free extracts using anti-Ung and anti-RRF antibodies. Cell-free extracts prepared from *E. coli* MG1655 strain (lane 1), or its derivatives harboring amp<sup>R</sup> linked wild-type (ung<sup>:amp</sup>, lane 2), Y66H (ung<sup>Y66H:amp</sup>, lane 3), Y66W (ung<sup>Y66W:amp</sup>, lane 4) and ung<sup>-</sup> (ung<sup>:kan</sup>, lane 5) alleles.
those reported earlier for ung− strains of E. coli (45). Under the same conditions, the Y66H (ungY66H:amp) strain which encodes an Ung ~170-fold compromised in its activity showed an increase of ~2.8-fold in mutation frequency, suggesting that even a highly compromised Ung could partially rescue the phenotype of a null strain. Interestingly, the mutation frequency of the strain harboring the Y66W allele, which is merely ~7-fold compromised in its activity also showed a nearly similar increase of ~1.8-fold.

**Determination of mutations in RRDR of rpoB**

To gain further insights into the mechanistic aspects, we determined the mutation spectrum in the RRDR locus of the rpoB gene in the RifR colonies obtained from the strains harboring either a wild-type, Y66W or Y66H alleles of ung. Data are shown in Supplementary Figure S4, and summarized in Table 3. As reported (41,46), predominant mutations in the wild-type ung background (MG1655, ung:amp) belonged to C to T transitions (58%). Expectedly, the strain with Y66H allele which is quite distinct from that of the strains harboring either the wild-type or the Y66H alleles. Further, we noticed that A to G changes were also a predominant cause of RifR mutations in a strain, deficient for exonuclease III and endonuclease IV (Table 3; GM7635, ung:amp; and ungY66W:amp).

**Table 2. Mutation frequencies of E. coli MG1655 strains harboring wild-type (ung:amp), Y66H (ungY66H:amp), Y66W (ungY66W:amp) and ung- (ung:kan) alleles of ung**

| Strain       | Mutation Frequency (x10−7) | Fold increase |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| ung:amp      | 4.9 ± 1.6                  | 1             |
| ung:kan      | 25 ± 2.4                   | 5.1           |
| ungY66W:amp  | 8.9 ± 1.7                  | 1.8           |
| ungY66H:amp  | 19.7 ± 1.9                 | 2.8           |

**Table 3. Spectrum of mutations in RRDR locus of rifampicin resistant isolates of different strains of E. coli**

| Strain background | RRDR loci sequenced mutant (total) | C to T % (no.) | A to G % (no.) | A to T % (no.) | C to A % (no.) | A to C % (no.) | C to G % (no.) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| MG1655            |                                     |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| ung:amp           | 48 (58)                            | 58 (28)        | 17 (8)         | 17 (8)         | 8 (4)          | 0              | 0              |
| ungY66W:amp       | 41 (57)                            | 63.5 (26)      | 2.5 (1)        | 9.5 (4)        | 0              | 2.5 (1)        | 0              |
| ungY66H:amp       | 46 (55)                            | 4% (2)         | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              | 0              |
| MG1655 + pACung   |                                     |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| ung:amp           | 48 (48)                            | 40 (19)        | 14.5 (7)       | 14.5 (7)       | 27 (13)        | 2 (1)          | 2 (1)          |
| ungY66W:amp       | 52 (56)                            | 21 (11)        | 10 (5)         | 10 (5)         | 15 (8)         | 2 (1)          | 2 (1)          |
| GM7635            |                                     |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| ung:amp           | 40 (58)                            | 12.5 (5)       | 65 (26)        | 12.5 (5)       | 7.5 (3)        | 0              | 2.5 (1)        |
| ungY66W:amp       | 40 (50)                            | 20 (8)         | 40 (16)        | 2.5 (1)        | 35 (14)        | 2.5 (1)        | 0              |

**Y66W lacks TDG activity**

Expression of human (Hs) UNG (Y147A) mutant, wherein mutation of Y147 (equivalent of Y66 of E. coli Ung) to Ala resulted in acquisition of a weak TDG activity, showed a prominent increase in A to G mutations in the RRDR locus in E. coli (41). Our earlier studies suggested that the Y66W mutation in E. coli Ung resulted in widening of the uracil binding pocket (30). Although, in an oligonucleotide-based assay thymine excision activity of the Y66W mutant was not detected, it was important to rule out a possibility of minor TDG activity. Hence, we employed the 3H-thymine release assays used earlier to detect the weak TDG activity of the Y147A mutant of HsUNG (41). As shown in Figure 3, the wild-type Ung (columns 1 to 4) showed the presence of ~2.5% free 3H thymine in the reactions irrespective of the absence or presence of Ugi or the time of incubation (1 h or 5 h), suggesting that this was the background level of free thymine in our assays. The Y66W Ung (columns 5 to 8) mutant also showed very similar levels of free 3H thymine in such reactions. Expectedly, as observed before (41), in the assays with the Y147A mutant of HsUNG, we could detect increasing...
amounts of thymine release in the reactions (columns 11 and 12). Interestingly, such an increase in thymine release was not seen (columns 9 and 10) when the Y147A mutant was pre-incubated with Ugi, a phage protein which sequesters the protein into an inactive complex, suggesting that although the level of thymine release was small, it was due to the specific TDG activity of the Y147A mutant reported earlier (41).

Moreover, we reasoned that the Y147A mutant, due to its TDG activity should cleave the glycosidic bond between a thymine and the sugar from the genomic DNA (from wild-type E. coli) leading to generation of AP sites (which are susceptible to alkaline pH) in the DNA. As shown in Figure 4A, treatment of the genomic DNA with NaOH and heat alone results in denaturation of the DNA which migrates as a smear in high molecular weight region of the gel (compare lane 2 with 1). However, compared with this buffer control (lane 2), assays with the Y147A HsUNG (lane 8) but not the wild-type or the Y66W proteins (lanes 4 and 6) resulted in degradation of the DNA to a faster migrating species. Also, the DNA degradation activity of the Y147A mutant was inhibited by its pre-incubation with Ugi (lane 7).

As a control, we performed a similar assay using uracil containing genomic DNA isolated from E. coli dut-ung strain (Figure 4B). As expected, assays with all the three proteins resulted in degradation of the DNA (compare lanes 4, 6 and 8 with 2). And, when the proteins were pre-incubated with Ugi, the DNA degradation activity of all the proteins was inhibited (lanes 3, 5 and 7).

Finally, we used the crystal structure of the complex of E. coli Ung with uracil to generate a model of Y66W. The substitution of tyrosine with tryptophan (a bigger side chain) was followed by energy minimization to generate a sterically acceptable model. As reported earlier (30), the uracil binding pocket of the Y66W mutant was widened due to movement of 72–82 polypeptide segment away from the centre of binding pocket. However, docking of thymine into the model resulted in a severe unacceptable steric clash with the tryptophan side chain (Supplementary Figure S5A). In fact, it was not possible to accommodate thymine in any other configuration without unacceptable changes in the conformations of the active site residues in the binding pocket (Supplementary Figure S5C). Interestingly, as expected from the experimental data (Figures 3 and 4; and ref. 41), a similarly generated model of HsY147A UNG, having a smaller side chain at this position, using the co-ordinates of the co-crystal structure of HsUNG with U-containing DNA substrate, showed that it accommodated thymine without any steric clash (Supplementary Figure S5B).

Taken together, the data in Figures 3, 4 and Supplementary Figure S5 suggest that the Y66W Ung (like its wild-type counterpart) shows strict specificity for uracil in DNA, and that it has not acquired any unspecific base excision activities.

**Overexpression of the wild-type Ung in the ungY66W:amp strain mitigates occurrence of A to G mutations**

To obtain an in vivo evidence to support that the increase in A to G mutations in the ungY66W:amp is a consequence of the uracil excision activity of the Y66W protein, we overexpressed the wild-type Ung in the ungY66W:amp strain from a medium copy plasmid (pAC-ung). As the wild-type Ung is strictly specific for uracil excision, we reasoned that such an expression of the wild-type protein would compete with the Y66W protein for its uracil excision activity (but no other base excision activity, if any).

Interestingly, while the expression of wild-type Ung did not result in any significant alteration in the mutation spectrum in the wild-type strain background (Table 3; MG1655+pAC-ung, ungY66W:amp), it mitigated the occurrence of A to G mutations (from 63.5% to 21%) in the ungY66W:amp strain (MG1655+pAC-ung, ungY66W:amp) suggesting that the A to G mutations in this (ungY66W:amp) background were indeed a consequence of uracil excision by the Y66W mutant. In fact, had the unspecific base excision activity (undetectable by the in vitro assays) of the Y66W been responsible for the A to G mutations, the occurrence of these mutations could have even increased upon overexpression of the wild-type Ung.

**DISCUSSION**

The genomic integrity in cells is constantly endangered by the damages inflicted upon DNA at various levels during normal cellular physiology. Damages such as the base

---

**Figure 4.** Genomic degradation assays. (A) The genomic DNA (~1.5 µg) from E. coli (wild-type for ung) was mixed with buffer alone (Buffer) or 500 ng of wild-type EcoUng (Ung), Y66W EcoUng (Y66W), Y147A mutant of human UNG (HsY147) in the absence (−) or the presence (+) of Ugi, incubated at 37°C for 3 h, treated with NaOH and heat, and analyzed by electrophoresis on agarose gel and recorded. (B) Same as (A) except that the genomic DNA was from dut-ung strain of E. coli and the amount of Ung proteins used was 200 ng, and the reactions were done for 15 min.
modifications (e.g. deamination, methylation and oxidation) or their total elimination from DNA are of common occurrence. For a mammalian cell genome, spontaneous occurrence of events leading to generation of AP sites have been estimated to be as high as 100 000 per day (2). Based solely on this estimate, a genome of the size present in E. coli would incur about a dozen AP sites per day. However, because of the high metabolic rates, their actual numbers may far exceed this estimate. The reactive nitrogen and oxygen species generated as a part of normal physiological activity of the cell are the major agents that damage DNA (47–49). In addition, a number of DNA glycosylases produce AP-DNA as an intermediate during the repair process. As the AP sites are a major threat to DNA integrity, and a cause of high rates of mutations and cytotoxicity, organisms invest quite prominently to production of enzymes that repair AP sites in the genome (23–26,41).

Binding of DNA glycosylases such as MutY (50,51), TDG (28), MUG (52), UdgB (46), human UNG (27), etc. to abasic sites has been demonstrated to be stronger than to their DNA substrates. Such a property of DNA glycosylases has been envisaged to play a role in the coupling between the DNA glycosylases and the downstream enzymes. According to the hypothesis, a DNA glycosylase could remain bound to the AP-site it generates until an AP endonuclease becomes available to process the site, and thus shield it from eliciting mutator effects. Such a coupling would occur as long as the downstream factors are recruited prior to dissociation of the DNA glycosylase(s) from the AP-DNA. However, the coupling hypothesis has so far not been investigated in any organism. Such investigations have remained challenging as they require availability of DNA glycosylase mutants whose binding to the AP-sites but not the base excision efficiency or the specificity are affected.

In our earlier studies, we carried out biochemical characterization of Y66W and Y66H mutants of E. coli Ung. The activity of the Y66W mutant was not significantly compromised but it was recalcitrant to product inhibition. On the other hand, the Ung activity of the Y66H mutant was severely compromised (~170-fold) but like the wild-type protein it was sensitive to product inhibition (30). We have now, by using equilibrium binding experiments, shown that the Y66W mutant is moderately compromised and attenuated in its binding to AP-DNA (Figure 1D). Thus, the Y66W mutant offered us with a candidate which we could employ to test the physiological significance of the Ung binding to the AP-sites in its overall DNA repair activity. Determination of mutation frequencies suggested that compared to the strain harboring a wild-type ung allele, the strains harboring a null, Y66H or Y66W alleles showed increase of about 5.1-, 2.8- and 1.8-folds in the mutation frequencies (Table 2). This observation suggests that despite the fact that the Y66H mutant is compromised in its Ung activity by more than two orders of magnitude it affords a significant rescue of the phenotype of a null strain. Therefore, we reasoned that the Y66W mutant which is merely 7-fold compromised in its Ung activity would have resulted in a better rescue. Hence, it seemed unlikely that the 1.8-fold increase in the mutation frequency of the Y66W strain was merely due to its compromised Ung activity. As the Y66W protein is also attenuated in its AP-DNA binding ability, could its poor AP-DNA binding property somehow contribute to its phenotype? However, as the mutation frequencies do not allow an understanding of the underlying reasons for the observed phenotype, to better understand the mechanism of Y66W mutant, we analyzed the spectrum of mutations leading to acquisition of Rif<sup>R</sup> in E. coli. A major factor that contributes to Rif<sup>R</sup> is the mutations in the RRDR of the rpoB gene. Sequence analysis of RRDR from Rif<sup>R</sup> colonies arising in different backgrounds (Table 3) showed that while the strain harboring Y66H allele incurred an expected increase in C to T mutations, the strain harboring Y66W allele incurred a remarkable increase in A to G mutations.

In addition, we noted that A to G mutations were predominant even in an AP-endonuclease deficient strain E. coli GM7635 which was made ung<sup>+</sup> by introduction of amp linked wild-type ung allele (ung<sup>amp</sup>, Table 3). Considering that the affinity of the Y66W mutant has been somewhat compromised/attenuated for AP-DNA, this observation suggests that occurrence of A to G mutations could be a consequence of deficient repair of an AP-site. An Ung which is compromised in its binding to AP-site, could leave behind an unshielded AP site against G or an A residue upon excision of uracil from G:U and A:U base pairs, respectively. Although according to the ‘A’ rule, both the replicative and repair DNA polymerases would predominantly incorporate an ‘A’ residue against the AP-site, incorporation of ‘G’ residue could also occur. During replication, incorporation of G against the AP site generated by excision of U from the A:U pair would result in A to G (or T to C) mutations (Supplementary Figure S6A). Likewise, incorporation of A against the AP site generated by excision of U from G:U pair would be seen as G to A (or C to T) mutations (Supplementary Figure S6B). Hence, a deficiency in the residency of the Y66W Ung on the AP-sites, may be expected to result in C to T (despite its efficient Ung activity), and A to G mutations. Although, these two categories of mutations are the major mutations in Y66W background, compared to the wild-type Ung, the frequency of C to T mutations is seen to decrease. It is unclear as to how C to T mutations decrease, but it could merely be a consequence of an increase in A to G mutations which lead to a suppressive effect on the percent values of C to T mutations when considered in a population of Rif<sup>R</sup> colonies.

An additional possibility that we considered for an increase in A to G mutations was that the Y66W mutant may have acquired TDG activity and resulted in excision of T from A:T base pairs (41). However, the data shown in Figures 3, 4 and Supplementary Figure S5 clearly rule out such a possibility. Finally, we reasoned that if the TDG or any other unspecific activities were indeed responsible for A to G mutations, expression of wild-type Ung in the ungY66Wamp background would not result in a decrease of A to G mutation (see ‘Results’ section). However, as shown in Table 3,
expression of wild-type Ung in the ungY66W:amp background did result in mitigation of A to G mutations.

Thus, based on our observations with the E. coli (ungY66W:amp) strain, it appears that at least in E. coli, binding of Ung to AP-DNA has been optimized for efficient uracil excision repair and functional interaction with the downstream factors. Existence of such a coupling, however, should be viewed as a phenomenon with a critical balance between the processes of rapid recycling of Ung to repair uracil damage in DNA versus the protection of the AP-sites by Ung. A higher affinity binding of Ung to AP-sites may even slow down both the AP-site repair by the AP-endonucleases as well as uracil excision because of slower rates of recycling of Ung. And, the relative affinities of the DNA glycosylases with the substrate, AP-DNA, and their functional interactions with the downstream factors such as the AP-endonucleases must have been a well optimized and carefully evolved phenomenon in the context of the abundance of the remaining DNA repair proteins.
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