ECOLOGICAL FEATURES OF GROUPS OF ROVE BEETLES (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) IN BEECH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS OF THE LOWER FOREST BELT OF THE GORGAN MASSIF

M. Lutska, A. Sirenko

Purpose: to study the species composition of groups of predatory beetles in beech forests of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif and their ecological features.

Materials and methods. Representatives of 54 species from 12 subfamilies. Identification of the reveal species was performed using Bay-Bienko (1965), Bohac J (1985 a, b), Coiffait, H., (1974, 1984), Lohse, G. A., (1964, 1974) determinants. Determination of dominance groups by the Stecker-Bergman method (1977), establishment of ecological and morphological groups according to Kashcheev V. A. (1982, 1985, 1999), types of life strategies according to Planck's method, Belonging to zoogeographical groups was established by the Vtorov P. P., Drozdzov N. N. (2001).

Research results. According to the results of the research, 556 individuals of rove beetles belonging to 28 genera were found, which are located within 12 subfamilies. The highest level of species diversity is characterized by the subfamilies Staphylininae and Tachyporinae, which are represented by 21 and 13 species, respectively. Only one dominant species was found in the structure of dominance - Tasgius (Rayacheila) bicaricus Mull., 1825, however, a high number of subrecent species is observed. The identified species are representatives of eleven ecological and morphological groups. Analysis of the trophic specialization of predatory beetles has shown a clear dominance of predators, among which there are both specialized species and polyphagous. The analysis of life strategies revealed representatives of 7 groups, and zoogeographical features - 10.

Conclusions. Among the species of predatory beetles caught, there is a clear dominance of tachyporin and staphylin, which total 62.9 % The study of the dominance structure of this group showed a small proportion of mass species, and a clear redominance of recedents and subrecedents. According to the analysis of ecomorphs, there is an increase in the number of wells and cryptobionts, as well as mixotrophs, due to the significant level of ecological niches that are inherent in the analyzed type of ecosystems.
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dius paradisianus (Heer, 1839), and in the Alpine they are not found [11].

Clear relationships between predatory beetles and fungi, in particular sulfur-yellow codling moths, have been established, and the peculiarities of changes in their species diversity at different stages of decay have been analyzed [12]. Peculiarities of formation of relationships of predatory beetles with different species of insects, as well as peculiarities of formation of their groups in hollows of coniferous and deciduous trees with the analysis of seasonal activity were analyzed [2].

3. The purpose and objectives of the study

The aim of this work was to investigate the species composition of stratobiont predatory beetle groups in the beeches of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif, and to analyze their ecological features.

To achieve this goal, the following tasks were set:
1. To study the species diversity of stratobiont predatory beetles in the beech forests of the lower Gorgan forest belt;
2. Establish the structure of dominance in the stratobiont Staphylinidae groups in beeches;
3. To analyze the ecological features in the groups of stratobiont predatory beetles within the beech forests of the lower Gorgan forest belt.

4. Materials and methods of research

The analysis of the material was carried out during the warm period of 2016-2017 in beeches growing at an altitude of 300-800 meters above sea level. The analyzed place is located on the terraces at the confluence of the rivers Zubrivka and Fedocil. Geographic coordinates: 48° 49’ N; 24° 46’ E. The nearest settlement – Zeleny, Nadvirna district, Ivano-Frankivsk region. The height above sea level is 780 m, the studied area is 0.03 ha. As a result of the conducted researches 533 individuals of beetles of predators belonging to 54 species were revealed.

To analyze the structure of groups of Gorgan beetles, Berber soil traps, forest litter sifting, manual collection and survey of vegetation were used. Beetles were collected in accordance with generally accepted soil and zoological methods [13, 14].

The identified species were determined using determinants [15–19], the structure of dominance was determined by the Stecker-Bergman system [20]. Assessment of ecological and morphological groups was performed according to the method of Kashcheev [21, 22]. The life strategies of the identified species were determined by Planck's criteria. Zoological and geographical groups classified according to P. P. Vtorov, N. N. Drozdov [23].

5. Research results

As a result of the conducted researches it was established that the grouping of stratobiont Staphylinidae in ecosystems of beech forests of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif consists of 54 species belonging to 28 genera and 12 subfamilies.

Within the studied ecosystem, the highest level of species diversity is characterized by Staphylininae and Tachyporinae, which are represented by 21 and 13 species, respectively. The vast majority of subfamilies found within the analyzed biogeocenosis are represented by one or two species (Table 1).

Table 1

| № | Species | Number of individuals | % | Domination groups | Ecomorph | Trophic specialization | Life strategy |
|---|---------|----------------------|---|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Siagonium humeral Ger.,1836 | 4 | 0.7 SR | ERSC | Z | S |
| 2 | Siagonium quadricorne Kirby&Sp.,1815 | 6 | 1.2 R | WRSCH | Z | R |
| 3 | Acrulia inflata Gyll.,1813 | 8 | 1.5 R | ERS | Z | R |
| 4 | Omalium caesum Grav.,1806 | 1 | 0.2 SR | ERS | Z-M | S |
| 5 | Omalium rivulare Payk.,1789 | 10 | 1.9 R | ERS | Z | S |
| 6 | Phloeonomus minimus Erich.,1839 | 5 | 1 SR | ERS | Z | R |
| 7 | Phloeostiba plana Payk.,1792 | 1 | 0.2 SR | ERS | Z | R |
| 8 | Micropeplus fulvipes Kerst.,1964 | 1 | 0.2 SR | ERS | M | S |
| 9 | Eusphalerium primulare | 19 | 3.7 SD | ERS | Z | SR |
| 10 | Oxytelus (Epomotylus) sculptus Grav.,1806 | 16 | 3.0 R | CRC | N | R |
| 11 | Syntomium aeneum (Mull.,1821) | 16 | 3.0 R | ERS | Z-S | R |
| 12 | Scaphidium quadriraculatum Kirby&Sp.,1815 | 5 | 1 SR | ERS | Z | R |
| 13 | Scaphisoma assimile Erich.,1845 | 8 | 1.5 R | ERS | Z | R |
| Place | Scientific name | Dominance | Other | Eco-behavior | Symmetry | Notes |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 14    | Gabrius splendidulus (Grav.,1802) | 10 | 1.9 | R | ERS | Z | R |
| 15    | Atreus longiceps (Fauv.,1873) | 15 | 2.9 | R | CDS | Z | R |
| 16    | Abenus chloropterus (Panz.,1796) | 25 | 4.8 | SD | ERS | Z | C |
| 17    | Nadobius lenthus (Grav.,1806) | 33 | 6.3 | SD | CDS | Z | R |
| 18    | Tasgius (Rayachela) bicharicus Mull.,1825 | 68 | 13 | D | WDS | Z | C |
| 19    | Tasgius (Rayachela) morsitans compressus (Marsh.,1806) | 24 | 4.6 | SD | WDS | Z | CR |
| 20    | Othis punculatus | 5 | 1 | SR | WRS | Z | CS |
| 21    | Philonthus ventralis immundus (Gyll.,1810) | 14 | 2.7 | R | WRC | N | R |
| 22    | Philonthus decorus (Grav.,1802) | 6 | 1.2 | R | WRC | N | CR |
| 23    | Philonthus (Onychophilonthus) marginatus | 4 | 0.7 | SR | ERS | Z | R |
| 24    | Philonthus rubripennis Steph.,1832 | 5 | 1 | SR | CPK | Z | R |
| 25    | Philonthus longicornis Steph.,1832 | 23 | 4.4 | SD | WRC | Z | R |
| 26    | Philonthus nitidus (Fab.,1787) | 2 | 0.4 | SR | WRC | Z | R |
| 27    | Quedius paradisianus (Heer.,1839) | 10 | 1.9 | R | CPK | Z | CR |
| 28    | Quedius santhopus Erich.,1839 | 3 | 0.3 | SR | WRC | Z | CR |
| 29    | Staphylinus caesereus caesereus Ceder.,1798 | 35 | 6.7 | SD | ERS | Z | SR |
| 30    | Staphylinus erythropsus erythropsus L.,1758 | 35 | 6.7 | SD | ERS | Z | SR |
| 31    | Hypnogrya angularis | 2 | 0.4 | SR | CRS | Z | R |
| 32    | Xantholinus linearis linearis (Oliv.,1794) | 2 | 0.4 | SR | CRS | Z | S | R |
| 33    | Xantholinus (Parrolinus) tricolor (Fab.,1787) | 1 | 0.2 | SR | CRS | Z | S | R |

### Oxyphorinae

| Place | Scientific name | Dominance | Other | Eco-behavior | Symmetry | Notes |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 34    | Oxyphorus maxillosus Fab.,1793 | 18 | 3.5 | SD | WDM | M | R |
| 35    | Oxyphorus rufus rufus L.,1758 | 9 | 1.7 | R | WDM | Z-M | SR |

### Steninae

| Place | Scientific name | Dominance | Other | Eco-behavior | Symmetry | Notes |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 36    | Stenus carpathicus Ganglb.,1896 | 17 | 3.3 | SD | ERSC | Z | SR |
| 37    | Stenus comma comma LeC.,1863 | 11 | 2.1 | R | ERSC | Z | R |
| 38    | Stenus humilis Erich.,1839 | 5 | 1 | SR | ERSC | Z | SR |
| 39    | Stenus geniculatus Grav.,1802 | 2 | 0.4 | SR | ERSC | Z | SR |

### Tachyporinae

| Place | Scientific name | Dominance | Other | Eco-behavior | Symmetry | Notes |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 40    | Trichophya pilicornis (Gyll.,1810) | 2 | 0.4 | SR | ERSC | Z | SR |
| 41    | Lordithon exoletus (Erich.,1839) | 3 | 0.6 | SR | CDS | Z | S |
| 42    | Lordithon lunulatus (L.,1760) | 11 | 2.1 | R | CDS | Z-M | CS |
| 43    | Lordithon trimotatus (Erich.,1839) | 5 | 1 | SR | CDS | Z | CS |
| 44    | Lordithon speciosus Erich.,1839 | 1 | 0.2 | SR | CDS | Z | SR |
| 45    | Lordithon trimaculatus (Fab.,1793) | 1 | 0.2 | SR | CDS | Z | S | SR |
| 46    | Sepedophilus bipustulatus Grav.,1802 | 2 | 0.4 | SR | WRM | Z | R |
| 47    | Sepedophilus testaceus (Fab.,1793) | 1 | 0.2 | SR | WRM | Z | R |
| 48    | Tachinus rufipes (L.,1758) | 3 | 0.6 | SR | ERSC | Z-M | SR |
| 49    | Tachinus humeralis Grav.,1802 | 2 | 0.4 | SR | ERSC | Z | S |
| 50    | Tachinus subterraneus (L.,1758) | 2 | 0.4 | SR | ERSC | Z | S |
| 51    | Tachyporus formosus (Matt.,1838) | 10 | 1.9 | R | ERSC | Z | R |
| 52    | Tachyporus hypnorum (Fab.,1775) | 1 | 0.2 | SR | ERSC | Z | R |
| 53    | Tachyporus chrysomelinus (L.,1758) | 2 | 0.4 | SR | ERSC | Z-M | R |

### Olisteraeinae

| Place | Scientific name | Dominance | Other | Eco-behavior | Symmetry | Notes |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|
| 54    | Olisteraeus substriatissimus Payk.,1790 | 3 | 0.6 | SR | WRBB | Z | SR |

Note: dominance groups: D – dominants, SD – sub-dominants, R – recedents, SR – sub-recedents; ecological and morphological groups according to Kashcheev: ERS – epibionts running stratobionts; ERSC – epibionts, running stratochrobionts; WDS – wells digging stratobionts; WRC – walls running coprobionts; WRBB – wells, running bark beetles; WDM – wells digging mycetobionts; WRM – walls running mycetobionts; WRS – walls running stratobionts; WRSCH – walls running stratochrobionts; CRC – cryptobionts, running coprobionts; CDS – cryptobionts dwarfs subcorsten; trophic specialization of species: Z – zoophagous, N – nematophagous, Z-M – zoo-mycetophagous, Z-S – zoo-saprophages, M – mycetophagous; types of life strategies according to Planck: C – violent, S – patient, R – explorant, CS – violent-patient, CR – violent- explorant, SR – patient – explorant.
The structure of predator beetle dominance in the analyzed biogeocenosis is represented by four classes (dominants, subdominants, recedents and sub-recedents).

The highest level of numbers is characteristic of Tasgius (Rayacheila) bicharicus Mull., 1825 – this is the only dominant species in the group. The class of subdominants includes 10 species belonging to five subfamilies: Staphylininae, Oxyteliae, Oxyporinae, Steninae and Omalini. There are quite a number of recedents – representatives of 15 species, and sub-recedents – 30 species.

Representatives of eleven ecological and morphological groups were found within the beech forest ecosystem of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif.

According to the analysis of ecological and morphological groups, it was found that the highest level of species diversity is characteristic of the class of epibionts – 44 %. Within it there are representatives of only two groups: epibionts running stratobionts and epibionts running stratochortobionts.

The class of wells is 36 % of the total number of species. It includes representatives of two subclasses: running wells and digging wells. There are four groups for the representatives of the first subclass: wells running coprobionts (Philonthus ventralis immundus, Philonthus longicornis, Philonthus nitidus, Philonthus decorus, Quedius (Microsaurus) xanthopus); wells running stratobionts (Siagonium quadricon, Siagonium humerale, Abemus chloropterus, Gabrius splendidus, Othius punctulus); wells running mycebionts: Sepedophilus testaceus, Sepedophilus bipustulatus; wells, bark beetles–Olistaeus substriatus.

The subclass of digging wells is characterized by the presence of 7 species, which are divided into groups: wells digging mycebionts (Oxyporus maxillosus, Oxyporus rufus rufus); wells digging stratobionts (Tasgius (Rayacheila) morsitans compressus, Tasgius (Rayacheila) bicharicus); wells digging coprobionts (Philonthus rubripennis, Quedius (Microsaurus) parasidianus).

Cryptobionts are characterized by a fairly high number of 20 %. Within this class are distinguished: cryptobionts dwarf subcortex (Atrecus longiceps, Nudo-bius lenthus, Lordithon exoletus, L.lunulatus, L. trinitatus, L. speciosus, L.trimaculatus), and cryptobionts running stratobionts (Xantholinus linearis, Xantholinus (Purrolineus) tricolor, Hypnogyra angularis, Oxytelus sculptus).

According to the analysis of trophic specialization, zoophages are the most numerous group among Staphylinidae. These include representatives of 43 species, among which there are both specialized zoophages (Nudo-bius lenthus, Lodithon lunulatus) and polyphagous predators. A slightly smaller number of species feed on the type of mixotrophs, which combine the feeding characteristics of predators and saprophages and predators-mycetophages.

Among the representatives of trophic groups found within the ecosystem of beech forests are specialized mycetophages (Micropeplus fulvipes, Oxyporus rufus rufus) and nematophages (Oxytelus sculptus, Philonthus decorus) (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. Trophic structure of a group of predatory beetles in the beech forest ecosystem of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif](image)

Representatives of 7 types of life strategies were found in the beeches of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif. Among which the highest level of species diversity is characterized by representatives of the explant type which includes representatives of the subfamilies: Piestinae (2 species), Staphylinae (11 species), Omalinae (1 species), Oxytelinae (1 species), Scaphidinae (2 species), Steninae (1 species), Tachyporinae (5 species), Xantholininae (3 species).

A slightly lower level of species richness is characteristic of species with patient-explanatory type. These include: Oxytelinae (1 species), Staphyllinae (2 species), Oxytorinae (1 species), Tachyporinae (2 species), Olistaeinae (1 species), Omalin, tachyporin and micropeplin are characterized by the patient type. Representatives of the subfamily Staphylinae (Tasgus (Ray-aceila) morsitans compressus, Philonthus decorus, Quedius (Raphirus) parasidianus, Quedius (Microsaurus) xanthopus) are characterized by the presence of a violent-explanatory type of life strategies.

The lowest level of species diversity is characteristic of the violent (Tasgus (Rayacheila) bicharicus, Abemus chloropterum) and violent-patient (Lordithon lunulatus) groups.
6. Discussion of research results

The results reflected in this study provide an opportunity to better understand the ecological characteristics of predatory beetles that form groups in the beeches of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif. In particular, the analysis of ecological and morphological groups provides a better understanding of the adaptations of Staphylinidae, in order to develop a wider range of ecological niches, and thus an understanding of the complexity of the habitat of predatory beetles.

These features of predatory beetles were studied in detail by V. A. Kashcheev, who developed a specialized system for the establishment of ecomorphs and identified morphological features for their selection [23]. Subsequently, the analysis of these features was covered in the works done by Bagach. It was he who substantiated the need to use the ecological and morphological features of predatory beetles in the analysis of the state of biogeocenoses.

It should be noted that the ecomorphological features of Staphylinidae in Ukraine have not previously been analyzed.

Study limitations. It should be noted that this study was limited exclusively to stratobiont Staphylinidae, which did not lead to a very high level of species diversity, and therefore does not allow to fully assess the variability of adaptations of the analyzed family in all groups of substrates characteristic of beeches in the Carpathian region.

Prospects for further research. Particular attention should be paid to the study of ecomorphs and life strategies of predatory beetles, as changes in the relationship between their groups can be used to monitor the state of the environment.

7. Conclusions

1. The conducted work allowed to establish that the groups of staphylinids of beech forests of the lower forest belt of the Gorgan massif are represented by individuals of 54 species belonging to 12 subfamilies. The largest share of which belongs to Staphylininae and Tachyporinae, which together make up 62.9 %.

2. In the structure of dominance, the 11 most numerous species were identified, of which one dominant – Tasgius (Rayachella) bicharicus, 10 – subdominants, the other 44 species belong to the classes of recedents and sub-recedents.

3. According to the analysis of ecological and morphological classes, representatives of epibionts, wells and cryptobionts were found in the group of predatory beetles. It should be noted that a significant level of diversity of ecological niches leads to an increase in the number of cryptobiont species.

According to the analysis of life strategies of the identified species, representatives of six groups are distinguished: explanatory, patient-explanatory, patient-violent, violent-patient, violent-explanatory.

By trophic specialization, the vast majority of identified species are zoophagous, but there is an increase in myxophagous, in particular predators-mycetophagus.
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