Endoscopic banding ligation can effectively resect hyperplastic polyps of the stomach
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INTRODUCTION

Bleeding is the most common complication of electrocautery snare polypectomy for upper gastrointestinal polyps, with an incidence ranging from 6.0 to 7.2 % in prospective studies[1-3]. To prevent polypectomy-elicited bleeding, epinephrine injection into the stalk[4-6] or placement of a metallic clip[7,8] before resection has been employed. Hachisu et al. also reported favorable results of preventive ligation during polypectomy with placement of detachable snares at the bases of polyps. However, it is difficult to place detachable snares on sessile polyps or on polyps situated in technically difficult areas.

Endoscopic ligation using suction equipment and rubber bands or detachable snare[7-12] have been extensively applied in the management of bleeding esophageal and gastric varices. The varices are automatically eradicated through the use of ligation. In our pilot studies[13,14], we used detachable snare to strangulate gastric polyps, and demonstrated that most gastric polyps (89 %) developed avascular necrosis following ligation. Those results implied that a strangulating technique alone can achieve the bloodless transection of gastrointestinal neoplasm. However, it is important to note that a significant portion of gastric polyps (11 %) remain alive following detachable snare ligation. The aim of this study was to assess the safety and efficacy of endoscopic banding ligation (EBL) for removal of hyperplastic polyps of the stomach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

From June 2000 to October 2001, forty-five patients (30 men and 15 women) who had 70 hyperplastic polyps documented in previous endoscopic biopsies were electively treated with EBL. Another two male patients received emergent EBL to treat bleeding gastric polyps. The mean patient age was 59.9 years (range 14 to 75 years). Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. The characteristics of their polyps are analyzed and illustrated in Table 1. The mean diameter of the head of polyps was 9.3 mm (range 5 to 25 mm).

EBL procedure

EBL was carried out with a GIF Q200 endoscope (Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan) and a 19 cm flexible overtube (Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Tokyo, Japan). We set a transparent hood with a pneumoactivated esophageal variceal ligation (EVL) device set (Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Tokyo, Japan). The EVL device set consisted of an air feeding tube, a sliding tube, an inner cylinder, and a rubber band (O-ring). Pumping through an air feeding tube made a sliding tube slide on an inner cylinder and, as a result, the rubber band slipped off, thus ligating a lesion aspirated into the hood.

As premedication, 20 mg of hyoscine-N-butylbromide was given intramuscularly 5 minutes before performing EBL. The endoscope with a flexible overtube attached to its base was inserted and the overtube was inserted gently over the
endoscope to avoid mechanical injury to the thorax, larynx, and cervical esophagus. Before banding ligation, one to 4 ml of distilled water was injected into the submucosal layer near the lesion to tear and lift it off the muscle layer (Figure 1A). The endoscope was removed, and the pneumoactivated EVL device set was assembled on the instrument, which was then reinserted through the overtube. The raised lesion was aspirated into the hood (Figure 1B) and ligated with the rubber band after air was pumped through the air feeding tube (Figure 1C). Also, the polyp was observed for 5 minutes to investigate the sequential macroscopic changes of the strangulated lesion after EBL and biopsies were conducted later.

After the procedure, the patient was allowed to consume a liquid meal for a 24 hour period, and then issued a regular diet. An H2-receptor antagonist was administered orally for 4 weeks. A follow-up endoscopy was performed 14 days after initial endoscopic ligation to assess the outcome of the strangulated polyp.

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the results of 47 patients treated with EBL. EBL was performed easily and safely in each case. Following strangulation with detachable snares, all of the polyps immediately became congested (100 %), and then developed cyanotic change (100 %) approximately 4 minutes later. Figure 2 displays the typical sequential changes of a strangulated polyp. Following ligation, biopsies were conducted, and almost no bleeding was induced by biopsy procedures from the strangulated polyps. Pathological examination revealed severe venous congestion in the lamina propria of the lesions (Figure 2F). In the case of the two patients with bleeding gastric polyps above the antrum, EBL achieved successful hemostasis. The biopsies of polyps following EBL disclosed that they were hyperplastic lesions.

The follow-up endoscopy 2 weeks later revealed that all the polyps except one had dropped off, and EBL-related ulcers were found at the sites of the original lesions. The only one residual polyp shrank with a rubber band at its base. An additional follow-up endoscopy was performed for this lesion 1 month later, revealing that both the ligated polyp and rubber band had disappeared. No complications, such as bleeding or perforation, occurred during or after EBL and biopsies.

Table 1 Clinical characteristics and treatment results of endoscopic banding ligation in patients with hyperplastic gastric polyps

| Variables                        | Number       |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of cases (M/F)            | 47 (32/15)   |
| Age (years±SD)                   | 59.9±15.1    |
| Number of polyps                 | 72           |
| Mean size of polyps              | 9.3 mm (5-25 mm) |
| Morphology of polyps             |              |
| Sessile                          | 70 (97.2 %)  |
| Pedunculated                     | 2 (2.8 %)    |
| Location of polyps               |              |
| Cardia (superior, inferior, anterior, posterior) | (0, 1, 0, 2) |
| Fundus (superior, inferior, anterior, posterior) | (1, 4, 4, 1) |
| Antrum (superior, inferior, anterior, posterior) | (4, 5, 9, 7) |
| Body (superior, inferior, anterior, posterior) | (5, 10, 4, 15) |
| Initial endoscopic findings after ligation |             |
| Congestion                       | 72 (100 %)   |
| Cyanosis                         | 72 (100 %)   |
| Endoscopic findings at second look |             |
| Shrunken polyps                  | 1 (1.4 %)*   |
| Ligation-related ulcer           | 71 (98.6 %)  |

*The polyp dropped off spontaneously in subsequent follow-up.
DISCUSSION
The current study has confirmed that gastric hyperplastic polyps developed avascular necrosis following EBL. A strangulated polyp became congested immediately after ligation, and developed cyanotic changes within a few minutes. These important findings herein have not been documented before. Our study also reveals that EBL can be applied not only in the elective treatment of asymptomatic hyperplastic polyps, but also in the management of bleeding lesions. A strangulated polyp was bloodlessly transected without complications following the banding ligation.

Hyperplastic polyp is the most common polyp in the stomach, comprising 75 to 90 % of gastric polyps[15-17]. Most of the hyperplastic gastric polyps are asymptomatic. However, malignant transformation of hyperplastic polyps has been reported in several long-term follow-up studies[18,19]. They therefore should be resected when incidentally detected. Bleeding is a common complication of electrocautery snare polypectomy for gastric polyps. In a well-conducted prospective study[2], bleeding was observed in 16 of 222 snare polypectomies (7.2 %). Recently, various endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) techniques have been developed. They included strip biopsy[20], double snare polypectomy[21] and cap-fitted panendoscopy[22]. However, complications (bleeding and perforation) of these new techniques were also high with an incidence ranging from 2.7 % to 23.9 %.[23-25]. These studies underscored the importance of proficiency in endoscopic hemostatic techniques by the endoscopic team as a prerequisite for performing polypectomy in the stomach.

To prevent hemorrhage following polypectomy, preventive ligation could be conducted before the procedure[6]. However, bleeding can be encountered if a polyp is resected by electrocautery in cases where the distance above the detachable snare or rubber band is inadequate. According to our results, single ligation could effectively remove all the gastric polyps without requiring further electrocautery. No complications occurred during or after ligation. The low bleeding rate associated with EBL seemed to be attributed mainly to both a ligation of the vessels at the polyp bases and the avoidance of resecting polyps with a high-frequency electrocautery. Additionally, perforation was prevented by lifting the lesion from the muscle layer.

Our results further demonstrated that the ligating method could be employed to treat actively bleeding polyps. In the two patients with bleeding gastric polyps, EBL successfully achieved hemostasis for their bleeding polyps. Furthermore,
the strangulated polyps were bloodlessly transected without complications following the banding.

Conventional snare polypectomy or EMR methods encounter difficulties in effectively managing lesions located in the lesser curvature side, posterior wall and cardia of the stomach. Employing the EBL method allowed a lesion to be easily captured into the transparent hood, even in cases where it was situated tangentially.

Sessile polyps pose another dilemma for traditional electrocautery polypectomy, and it is difficult for snare to capture these polyps. EMR with cap-fitted panendoscopy[22] may solve this problem, but bleeding and perforation remain very problematic. Employing the proposed EBL procedure allowed theses polyps to be easily captured after submucosal injection with distilled water followed by suction. However, our EBL technique is not suitable for treating gastric adenoma, which has a high risk of carcinomatous conversion[16,17]. In managing such lesions, additional electrocautery is still required to assess the possibility of malignant transformation of polyps.

Previously, we also employed the endoscopic detachable snare ligation method to treat hyperplastic gastric polyps[13], and found that cyanotic change was an important predictor of the outcome of strangulated polyps. All the polyps with cyanotic changes developed avascular necrosis, but those without cyanotic changes remained alive following ligation by detachable snare. This study demonstrated that all the polyps became cyanotic following ligation by rubber bands, and that all the cyanotic polyps then developed avascular necrosis.

In conclusion, gastric polyps congest immediately following strangulation by rubber bands, and then develop cyanotic change within a few minutes. Avascular necrosis occurs in all the gastric polyps following banding ligation. Employing suction equipment, EBL can easily capture sessile polyps. It is an easy, safe and effective method to eradicate gastric hyperplastic polyps. Additionally, the new technique may be the choice of therapy for bleeding gastrointestinal polyps.
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