Diffusion approximation for equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics in continuum

Yuri G. Kondratiev
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 10 01 31, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany; BiBoS, Univ. Bielefeld, Germany; Kiev-Mohyla Academy, Kiev, Ukraine
e-mail: kondrat@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Oleksandr V. Kutoviy
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 10 01 31, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany; BiBoS, Univ. Bielefeld, Germany
e-mail: kutoviy@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Eugene W. Lytvynov
Department of Mathematics, University of Wales Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.
e-mail: e.lytvynov@swansea.ac.uk

Abstract

A Kawasaki dynamics in continuum is a dynamics of an infinite system of interacting particles in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) which randomly hop over the space. In this paper, we deal with an equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics which has a Gibbs measure \( \mu \) as invariant measure. We study a diffusive limit of such a dynamics, derived through a scaling of both the jump rate and time. Under weak assumptions on the potential of pair interaction, \( \phi \), (in particular, admitting a singularity of \( \phi \) at zero), we prove that, on a set of smooth local functions, the generator of the scaled dynamics converges to the generator of the gradient stochastic dynamics. If the set on which the generators converge is a core for the diffusion generator, the latter result implies the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of the corresponding equilibrium processes. In particular, if the potential \( \phi \) is from \( C^3_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and sufficiently quickly converges to zero at infinity, we conclude the convergence of the processes from a result in [Choi et al., J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 6509–6536].
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1 Introduction

A Kawasaki dynamics in continuum is a dynamics of an infinite system of interacting particles in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) which randomly hop over the space. The generator of such a dynamics
has the form
\[
(HF)(\gamma) = -\sum_{x \in \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \, c(\gamma, x, y)(F(\gamma \setminus x \cup y) - F(\gamma)), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.
\] (1.1)

Here, \( \Gamma \) denotes the configuration space over \( \mathbb{R}^d \), i.e., the space of all locally finite subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and, for simplicity of notations, we just write \( x \) instead of \( \{x\} \). The coefficient \( c(\gamma, x, y) \) describes the rate at which the particle \( x \) of the configuration \( \gamma \) jumps to \( y \).

Let \( \mu \) denote a Gibbs measure on \( \Gamma \) which corresponds to an activity parameter \( z > 0 \) and a potential of pair interaction \( \phi \). In this paper, we will deal with an equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics which has \( \mu \) as invariant measure. More precisely, we will consider an equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics whose generator \( (1.1) \) has the coefficient \( c(\gamma, x, y) \) of the form
\[
c(\gamma, x, y) = a(x - y) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - \frac{1}{2} E(y, \gamma \setminus x) \right].
\] (1.2)

Here, for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \gamma, E(u, \gamma) \) denotes the relative energy of interaction between the particle at \( u \) and the configuration \( \gamma \). About the function \( a(\cdot) \) in \( (1.2) \) we assume that it is non-negative, bounded, has a compact support, and \( a(x) \) only depends on \( |x| \).

Equation \( (1.2) \) allows the following physical interpretation: particles from \( \gamma \) which have a high relative energy of interaction with the rest of the configuration tend to jump to places where this relative energy will be low, i.e., particles tend to jump from high energy regions to low energy regions.

Note also that the bilinear (Dirichlet) form corresponding to the generator \( (1.1), (1.2) \) admits the following representation:
\[
\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \frac{z}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \, a(x - y) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - \frac{1}{2} E(y, \gamma \setminus x) \right] \times (F(\gamma \cup y) - F(\gamma \cup x))(G(\gamma \cup y) - G(\gamma \cup x)).
\]

Under very mild assumptions on the Gibbs measure \( \mu \), it was proved in \[11\] that there indeed exists a Markov process on \( \Gamma \) with càdlàg paths whose generator is given by \( (1.1), (1.2) \). We assume that the initial distribution of this dynamics is \( \mu \), and perform a diffusive scaling of this dynamics. More precisely, for each \( \epsilon > 0 \), we consider the equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics whose jump rate is given by formula \( (1.2) \) in which \( a(\cdot) \) is replaced with the function
\[
a_\epsilon(\cdot) := \epsilon^{-d} a(\cdot / \epsilon),
\] (1.3)
and we additionally scale time, multiplying it by \( \epsilon^{-2} \). We denote the generator of the obtained dynamics by \( H(\epsilon) \).
So, the aim of the paper is to show that the scaled dynamics converges, as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), to a diffusive dynamics on the configuration space \( \Gamma \). Our main result is that, under weak assumptions on the pair potential \( \phi \) (in particular, we allow \( \phi \) to have a singularity at zero), the generator of the scaled dynamics, \( H^{(\epsilon)} \), converges, on a set of smooth local functions, to the generator of the (infinite-dimensional) gradient stochastic dynamics (also called interacting Brownian particles), see e.g. [11, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 26, 27] and the references therein. So, the limiting diffusive generator acts as follows:

\[
(H^{(\text{dif})} F)\left(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\right) = \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left( -\Delta x_i F(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) + \sum_{j \neq i} \langle \nabla x_i F(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty), \nabla \phi(x_i - x_j) \rangle \right),
\]

where the constant \( c \) is defined in the equation (6.2) below. The corresponding stochastic process informally solves the following system of stochastic differential equations:

\[
dx_i(t) = -\frac{c}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla \phi(x_i(t) - x_j(t)) \, dt + \sqrt{c} \, dB_i(t), \quad i \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
\{x_i(0)\}_{i=1}^\infty = \gamma \in \Gamma,
\]

where \( \{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \) is a sequence of independent Brownian motions.

If the set on which the generators converge is a core for the diffusive generator \( H^{(\text{dif})} \), then our main result implies the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of the corresponding equilibrium processes. In particular, if the potential \( \phi \) is from \( C^3_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (hence, \( \phi \) has no singularity at zero) and sufficiently quickly converges to zero at infinity, then we conclude the convergence of the process from a result by Choi et al. [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts of analysis on the configuration space \( \Gamma \). In Section 3 we recall conditions which guarantee the existence of a Gibbs measure on the configuration space. In Sections 4 and 5 we recall construction of the equilibrium Kawasaki dynamics in continuum, and the gradient stochastic dynamics, respectively. In Section 6 we formulate our main results. Finally, in Section 7 we present the proofs.

### 2 K-transform and correlation functions

The configuration space over \( \mathbb{R}^d, d \in \mathbb{N} \), is defined as the set of all subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) which are locally finite:

\[
\Gamma := \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^d} := \{ \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\gamma_\Lambda| < \infty \text{ for each } \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \}.
\]

Here \(|\cdot|\) denotes the cardinality of a set, \( \gamma_\Lambda := \gamma \cap \Lambda \), and \( \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) denotes the set of all open, relatively compact subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). One can identify any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) with the positive
Radon measure
\[ \sum_{x \in \gamma} \varepsilon_x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \]
where \( \varepsilon_x \) is the Dirac measure with mass at \( x \), and \( \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) stands for the set of all positive Radon measures on the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

The space \( \Gamma \) can be endowed with the relative topology as a subset of the space \( \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with the vague topology, i.e., the weakest topology on \( \Gamma \) with respect to which all maps

\[ \gamma \mapsto \langle f, \gamma \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \gamma(dx) = \sum_{x \in \gamma} f(x), \quad f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \]

are continuous. Here, \( C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is the space of all continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with compact support. We will denote by \( \mathcal{B}(\Gamma) \) the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra on \( \Gamma \).

Next, denote by \( \Gamma_0 \) the space of finite configurations in \( \mathbb{R}^d \):

\[ \Gamma_0 := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_0^{(0)} := \{ \emptyset \}, \quad \Gamma_0^{(n)} := \{ \eta \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid \| \eta \| = n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Evidently, \( \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma \).

Let \( \tilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} = \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j \} \).

Let \( S^n \) be the group of all permutations of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) which acts on \( \tilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \) by permuting the coordinates. Through the natural bijection

\[ \tilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n}/S^n \leftrightarrow \Gamma_0^{(n)} \quad (2.1) \]

one defines a topology on \( \Gamma_0^{(n)} \). The space \( \Gamma_0 \) is then equipped with the topology of disjoint union. Let \( \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0) \) denote the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra on \( \Gamma_0 \). It can be shown (see e.g. [1]) that \( \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0) \) coincides with the trace \( \sigma \)-algebra of \( \mathcal{B}(\Gamma) \) on \( \Gamma_0 \). Note also that each function \( k : \Gamma_0 \to \mathbb{R} \) may be identified with the sequence \( \{ k_0 \} \in \mathbb{R} \). The following proposition was proved in [8], see also [13, 14].
Proposition 2.1 Let \( G \in L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu) \), then \( KG \in L^1(\Gamma, \mu) \), the series in (2.2) is absolutely convergent for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), and
\[
\|KG\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq |K|G|\|_{L^1(\mu)} = \|G\|_{L^1(\rho_\mu)}.
\]
Moreover, then
\[
\int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \rho_\mu(d\eta) = \int_{\Gamma} (KG)(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma).
\]
(2.3)
The Lebesgue–Poisson measure \( \lambda \) on \((\Gamma_0, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0))\) is defined by
\[
\lambda := \varepsilon \delta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} dx^\otimes n,
\]
where \( dx^\otimes n \) is defined via the bijection (2.1). Assume that the correlation measure \( \rho_\mu \) is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue–Poisson measure \( \lambda \). Denote \( k_\mu := d\rho_\mu/d\lambda \). Then the corresponding functions \((k_\mu)^{n=0}_n\) are called the correlation functions of the measure \( \mu \).

In what follows, we will assume that \( k_\mu \) satisfies the Ruelle bound, i.e., there exists a constant \( \xi > 0 \) such that
\[
k_\mu(\eta) \leq \xi |\eta| \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \Gamma_0.
\]
(2.4)
Using (2.4), one, in particular, gets that all local moments of \( \mu \) are finite:
\[
\int_{\Gamma} |\gamma_\Lambda|^n \mu(d\gamma) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \; \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]
(2.5)
We will also use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) be a measurable function which is bounded outside a set \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and such that \( e^f = 1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) \). Let also \( g, g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) be such that \( e^f g, e^f g_1, e^f g_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) \). Define functions \( G_1, G_2, G_3 \) on \( \Gamma_0 \) by
\[
G_1 = (e^f - 1)^\otimes n_{n=0}^\infty,
G_2 = (n(e^f - 1)^\otimes (n-1) \odot (e^f g))_{n=0}^\infty,
G_3 = (n(n-1)(e^f - 1)^\otimes (n-2) \odot (e^f g_1) \odot (e^f g_2))_{n=0}^\infty,
\]
where \( \odot \) denotes symmetric tensor product. Then, \( G_1, G_2, G_3 \in L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu) \) and
\[
(KG_1)(\gamma) = e^{f(\gamma)},
(KG_2)(\gamma) = e^{f(\gamma)}(g(\gamma), \gamma),
(KG_3)(\gamma) = e^{f(\gamma)} \sum_{x_1 \in \gamma} \sum_{x_2 \in \gamma, x_2 \neq x_1} g_1(x_1)g_2(x_2),
\]
(2.6)
for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), and so \( KG_1, KG_2, KG_3 \in L^1(\Gamma, \mu) \).
Proof. Using the Ruelle bound, we clearly have that \( G_1, G_2, G_3 \in L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu) \). Hence, by Proposition 2.1 we get \( KG_1, KG_2, KG_3 \in L^1(\Gamma, \mu) \). Since \( f \) is bounded on \( \Lambda^c \) and \( e^f - 1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) \), we have \( f \in L^1(\Lambda^c, dx) \). Therefore, again using the Ruelle bound, we get: \( \langle |f|, \gamma \rangle \in L^1(\Gamma, \mu) \). Hence, \( \langle |f|, \gamma \rangle < \infty \) for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Furthermore, we have \( g, g_1, g_2 \in L^1(\Lambda^c, dx) \), and so the functions \( \langle |g|, \gamma \rangle \) and \( \sum_{x_1 \in \gamma} \sum_{x_2 \in \gamma, x_2 \neq x_1} |g_1(x_1)g_2(x_2)| \) are finite for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Thus the functions on the right hand side of formulas (2.6) are well-defined and finite for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( \gamma \in \Gamma \).

Next, assume that \( f, g, g_1, g_2 \) have compact support. Then, equalities (2.6) follow by a straightforward calculation. The general case follows by approximation. □

We introduce a \( \star \)-convolution of two functions on \( \Gamma_0 \), so that \( (K(G_1 \star G_2))(\gamma) = (KG_1)(\gamma)(KG_2)(\gamma) \) (cf. [8]). Then, we have:

\[
(G_1 \star G_2)(\eta) = \sum_{(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) \in P_3(\eta)} G_1(\eta_1 \cup \eta_2)G_2(\eta_2 \cup \eta_3), \tag{2.7}
\]

where \( P_3(\eta) \) is the set of all ordered partitions of \( \eta \) into three parts.

For each \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \), we denote

\[
\Gamma_\Lambda := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \subset \Lambda \}. \nonumber
\]

A measurable function \( F : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is called local if there exists \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that

\[
F(\gamma) = F(\gamma_\Lambda) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma. \nonumber
\]

For such a function \( F \), the pre-image of \( F \) under \( K \) is given by

\[
(K^{-1}F)(\eta) = \chi_{\Gamma_\Lambda}(\eta) \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} (-1)^{|\eta| - |\xi|} F(\xi), \tag{2.8}
\]

see e.g. [8].

We will also need the space \( \tilde{\Gamma} := \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}^d} \) which consists of all multiple configurations in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). So, \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is the set of all Radon \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{ \infty \} \)-valued measures on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). In particular, \( \Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \). Analogously to the case of \( \Gamma \), we define the vague topology on \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) and the corresponding Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \). For each \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \), we denote

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_\Lambda := \{ \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} : \text{supp}(\gamma) \subset \Lambda \}. \nonumber
\]

Also, by analogy, we will say that a measurable function \( F : \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is local if there exists \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that

\[
F(\gamma) = F(\gamma_\Lambda) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \tag{2.9}
\]

where \( \gamma_\Lambda(dx) := \chi_\Lambda(x) \gamma(dx) \).
3 Gibbs measures on configuration spaces

A pair potential (without hard core) is a Borel measurable function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that $\phi(-x) = \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \gamma$, we define the relative energy of interaction between a particle at $x$ and the configuration $\gamma$ as follows:

$$E(x, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \gamma} \phi(x - y), & \text{if } \sum_{y \in \gamma} |\phi(x - y)| < +\infty, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

A probability measure $\mu$ on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ is called a (grand canonical) Gibbs measure corresponding to the pair potential $\phi$ and activity $z > 0$ if it satisfies the Georgii–Nguyen–Zessin identity [19, Theorem 2]:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx)F(\gamma, x) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx \exp[-E(x, \gamma)] F(\gamma \cup x, x) \quad (3.2)$$

for any measurable function $F : \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, +\infty]$. We denote the set of all such measures $\mu$ by $\mathcal{G}(z, \phi)$.

Note that, by virtue of (3.1) and by applying (3.2) twice, we get, for any measurable function $U : \Gamma \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \to [0, +\infty]$,.

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \sum_{x_1 \in \gamma} \sum_{x_2 \in \gamma, x_2 \neq x_1} U(\gamma, x_1, x_2) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_2 \times \exp[-E(x_1, \gamma) - E(x_2, \gamma) - \phi(x_1 - x_2)] U(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2, x_1, x_2). \quad (3.3)$$

Let us now describe the class of Gibbs measures of Ruelle type [25]. We will first formulate conditions on the interaction.

For every $r = (r^1, \ldots, r^d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define the cube

$$Q_r := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid r^i - \frac{1}{2} \leq x^i < r^i + \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

These cubes form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we set

$$\gamma_r := \gamma_{Q_r}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (3.5)$$

(SS) (Superstability) There exist $A > 0$ and $B \geq 0$ such that, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$,

$$\sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \gamma} \phi(x - y) \geq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (A|\gamma_r|^2 - B|\gamma_r|).$$

Notice that the superstability condition automatically implies that the potential $\phi$ is semi-bounded from below.
(LR) (Lower regularity) There exists a decreasing positive function $a: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(\|r\|) < \infty$$

and for any $\Lambda', \Lambda''$ which are finite unions of cubes $Q_r$ and disjoint, with $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{\Lambda'}$, $\gamma'' \in \Gamma_{\Lambda''}$,

$$\sum_{x \in \gamma', y \in \gamma''} \phi(x - y) \geq - \sum_{r', r'' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(\|r' - r''\|) |\gamma'_r| |\gamma''_{r'}|.$$

Here, $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the maximum norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

(I) (Integrability) We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-\phi(x)} - 1| \, dx < +\infty.$$

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Lambda_N$ be the cube with side length $2N - 1$ centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\Lambda_N$ is then a union of $(2N - 1)^d$ unit cubes of the form $Q_r$.

A probability measure $\mu$ on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ is called tempered if $\mu$ is supported by $S_\infty := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$, where

$$S_n := \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \sum_{r \in \Lambda_N \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} |\gamma_r|^2 \leq n^2 |\Lambda_N \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \right\}.$$

By $\mathcal{G}^t(z, \phi) \subset \mathcal{G}(z, \phi)$ we denote the set of all tempered grand canonical Gibbs measures.

**Theorem 3.1 ([25])** Let (SS), (I), and (LR) hold. Then the set $\mathcal{G}^t(z, \phi)$ is non-empty for each $z > 0$. Furthermore, each $\mu \in \mathcal{G}^t(z, \phi)$ has correlation functions which satisfy the following bound: there exists $\xi, \psi > 0$ such that

$$k_\mu(\eta) \leq \xi^{n|\eta|} \exp \left[ - \psi \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\eta_r|^2 \right] \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \Gamma_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that the estimate (3.6) is evidently stronger than the Ruelle bound (2.4).

In what follows, we will keep a Gibbs measure $\mu \in \mathcal{G}^t(z, \phi)$ as in Theorem 3.1 fixed, and we will additionally assume that there exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \Theta^c} \phi(x) < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\phi$ is bounded from below, (I) is now equivalent to the condition $\phi \in L^1(\Theta^c, dx)$. Furthermore, by [10] Lemma 3.1, the relative energy $E(x, \gamma)$ is finite for $dx \otimes \mu$-a.e. $(x, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Gamma$, as well as $E(x, \gamma \setminus x)$ is finite for $\mu$-a.e. $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for all $x \in \gamma$. 
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4 Kawasaki dynamics

We introduce the set $\mathcal{F}C_b(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \Gamma)$ of all functions of the form

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto F(\gamma) = g(\langle \varphi_1, \gamma \rangle, \ldots, \langle \varphi_N, \gamma \rangle),$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the set of all continuous bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$. For each function $F : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \Gamma$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote

$$(D_{xy}^+ F)(\gamma) := F(\gamma \setminus x \cup y) - F(\gamma).$$

We fix any $a : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ which is bounded and such that $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ and $a(-x) = a(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define a bilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x - y) \times \exp[(1/2)E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - (1/2)E(y, \gamma \setminus x)](D_{xy}^+ F)(\gamma)(D_{xy}^+ G)(\gamma),$$

where $F, G \in \mathcal{F}C_b(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \Gamma)$.

The following theorem was proved in [11].

**Theorem 4.1** (i) The bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}C_b(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \Gamma))$ is closable on $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$ and its closure will be denoted by $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$.

(ii) There exists a conservative Hunt process

$$\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathbf{F}, (\mathbf{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbf{X}(t))_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbf{P}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma})$$

on $\Gamma$ (see e.g. [15], p. 92) which is properly associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$, i.e., for all $(\mu$-versions of $) F \in L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$ and all $t > 0$ the function

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto (p_tF)(\gamma) := \int_\Omega F(X(t))d\mathbf{P}_\gamma$$

is an $\mathcal{E}$-quasi-continuous version of $\exp[-tH]F$, where $(H, D(H))$ is the generator of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$. In particular, $\mathbb{M}$ has $\mu$ as invariant measure. $\mathbb{M}$ is up to $\mu$-equivalence unique (cf. [15] Chap. IV, Sect. 6).

(iii) We have $\mathcal{F}C_b(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \Gamma) \subset D(H)$ and for any $F \in \mathcal{F}C_b(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \Gamma)$,

$$(HF)(\gamma) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x - y) \times \exp[(1/2)E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - (1/2)E(y, \gamma \setminus x)](D_{xy}^+ F)(\gamma). \quad (4.1)$$

We will call the process $\mathbb{M}$ from Theorem 4.1 the Kawasaki dynamics (of continuous particles).
Remark 4.1 In Theorem 4.1 (ii), $M$ can be taken canonical, i.e., $\Omega$ is the set $D([0, +\infty), \Gamma)$ of all càdlàg functions $\omega : [0, +\infty) \to \Gamma$ (i.e., $\omega$ is right continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ and has left limits on $(0, +\infty)$), $X(t)(\omega) = \omega(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $(F_t)_{t \geq 0}$ together with $F$ is the corresponding minimum completed admissible family (cf. Section 4.1) and $\Theta_t$, $t \geq 0$, are the corresponding natural time shifts.

5 Gradient stochastic dynamics

We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the set of all local functions $F$ on $\bar{\Gamma}$ which satisfy the following assumptions:

(i) For each fixed $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$, the function
\[ \mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto F(\gamma + \varepsilon x) \]

is twice continuously differentiable.

(ii) Let $\Lambda$ be the minimal subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is a finite union of $Q_r$ cubes, and such that (2.9) holds for this set $\Lambda$. Then there exist $\zeta > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, and $0 < p < 1$ (depending on $F$) such that, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_\Lambda$ and each $x \in \Lambda$,
\[ |F(\gamma)| \vee \|\nabla_x F(\gamma + \varepsilon x)\| \vee \|\nabla_x^2 F(\gamma + \varepsilon x)\| \leq \zeta^{|\gamma|} \exp \left[ \tau \left( 1 + \sigma \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\gamma r|^2 \right)^p \right]. \quad (5.1) \]

Note that $\mathcal{D}$, in particular, includes all local functions on $\bar{\Gamma}$ which satisfy (i) and for which the left hand side of (5.1) is bounded, as a function of $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We also introduce the set $\mathcal{F}C_b^2(C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \bar{\Gamma})$ of all functions of the form
\[ \bar{\Gamma} \ni \gamma \mapsto F(\gamma) = g((\varphi_1, \gamma), \ldots, (\varphi_N, \gamma)), \]

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $g \in C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Here and below, $C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the space of all $k$ times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support, respectively the space of all bounded, $k$ times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with bounded derivatives. We evidently have the inclusion
\[ \mathcal{F}C_b^2(C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \bar{\Gamma}) \subset \mathcal{D}, \]

and therefore the set $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$. (We have included functions from $\mathcal{D}$ into $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$ by taking their restriction to $\Gamma$.)

In what follows, we will use the following
Lemma 5.1 Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a finite union of $Q_r$ cubes and let $\zeta > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, and $0 < p < 1$. Define

$$U(\gamma) := \zeta^{\mid \gamma \mid} \exp \left[ \tau \left( 1 + \sigma \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \Lambda} \mid \gamma_r \mid^2 \right)^p \right], \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$ 

Then, for each $\eta \in \Gamma_0$,

$$\left| (K^{-1}U)(\eta) \right| \leq \lambda_{\Gamma \Lambda}(\eta) (2\zeta)^{\mid \eta \mid} \exp \left[ \tau \left( 1 + \sigma \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mid \eta_r \mid^2 \right)^p \right].$$

Proof. The lemma follows from (2.8) if we take into account that the sum in (2.8) has exactly $2^{\mid \eta \mid}$ terms. \qed

We fix any $c > 0$ and define a bilinear form 

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\text{dif})}(F,G) := \frac{c}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma), \nabla_x G(\gamma) \rangle \exp \left[ -E(x,\gamma) \right],$$

where $F, G \in \mathcal{D}$, and we denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Theorem 3.1, (5.1), and Lemma 5.1, the integral on the right hand side of (5.2) is well defined and finite.

For a function $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a fixed $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \gamma$, we denote 

$$\nabla_x F(\gamma) := \nabla_y F(\gamma - \varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y) \bigg|_{y = x},$$

provided the gradient on the right hand side of (5.3) exists at point $x$. Then, by (3.2), we also have 

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\text{dif})}(F,G) = \frac{c}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma), \nabla_x G(\gamma) \rangle,$$

for $F, G \in \mathcal{D}$.

The following theorem follows from [1, 16, 24], see also [20, 27].

Theorem 5.1 Assume that $\phi$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, $e^{-\phi}$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and we have 

$$\| \nabla \phi \| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\phi(x)} \, dx) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\phi(x)} \, dx).$$

Then:

(i) The bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}^{(\text{dif})}, \mathcal{D})$ is closable on $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$ and its closure will be denoted by $(\mathcal{E}^{(\text{dif})}, D(\mathcal{E}^{(\text{dif})}))$. 
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Denote by \((H^{(\text{dif})}, D(H^{(\text{dif})}))\) the generator of \((E^{(\text{dif})}, D(E^{(\text{dif})}))\). Then \(D \subset D(H^{(\text{dif})})\) and for each \(F \in D\),

\[
(H^{(\text{dif})} F)(\gamma) = \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \left( -\Delta_x F(\gamma) + \sum_{u \in \gamma \setminus x} \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma), \nabla \phi(x - u) \rangle \right). \tag{5.5}
\]

Here, \(\Delta_x F(\gamma) := \Delta_u F(\gamma \setminus x \cup u) \bigg|_{u=x}\).

There exists a conservative diffusion process \(\mathcal{M}^{(\text{dif})} = (\Omega^{(\text{dif})}, F_t^{(\text{dif})}, (F_t^{(\text{dif})})_{t \geq 0}, (\Theta_t^{(\text{dif})})_{t \geq 0}, (X_t^{(\text{dif})})_{t \geq 0}, (P_{\gamma}^{(\text{dif})})_{\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}})\) on \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) (see e.g. [15, p. 92]) which is properly associated with \((E^{(\text{dif})}, D(E^{(\text{dif})}))\). In particular, \(\mathcal{M}^{(\text{dif})}\) has \(\mu\) as invariant measure. The \(\mathcal{M}^{(\text{dif})}\) is up to \(\mu\)-equivalence unique.

In the case \(d \geq 2\), the set \(\tilde{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma\) is \(E^{(\text{dif})}\)-exceptional, so that \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) may be replaced with \(\Gamma\) in (iii).

**Remark 5.1** Note that, even when \(d = 1\), the finite-dimensional distributions of the process \(\mathcal{M}^{(\text{dif})}\) are concentrated on the Cartesian powers of the space \(\Gamma\).

**Remark 5.2** Note that the initial domain \(\mathfrak{D}\) of the bilinear form \(E^{(\text{dif})}\) is bigger than the domains of the corresponding bilinear forms in [1] [16] [24] [27]. It is, generally speaking, an open problem whether all these forms coincide after being closed (compare with Remark 4.14 in [16]). Note also that, even in the case of a bilinear form \(E^{(\text{dif})}\) with a smaller domain, the convergence result of Theorem 6.1 below will still be true, however for a smaller set of functions \(F\).

### 6 Main results

Let us consider the Kawasaki dynamics \(\mathcal{M}\) from Theorem 4.1. We will assume that \(a(x) = \tilde{a}(|x|)\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\), where \(\tilde{a} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)\). We now perform the following scaling of this dynamics. For each \(\epsilon > 0\), instead of the function \(a\), we use the function \(a_\epsilon\) given by (1.3). In the obtained dynamics, we also scale time, multiplying it by \(\epsilon^{-2}\).

Thus, we obtain a Kawasaki dynamics \(\mathcal{M}^{(\epsilon)}\), which is exactly the Hunt process from Theorem 4.1 corresponding to the function \(\epsilon^{-2}a_\epsilon\). We denote by \((H^{(\epsilon)}, D(H^{(\epsilon)}))\) the generator of this dynamics. Completely analogously to the proof of [9, Lemma 4.1], we conclude that, for each \(\epsilon > 0\), \(\mathfrak{D} \subset D(H^{(\epsilon)})\) and, for each \(F \in \mathfrak{D}\),

\[
(H^{(\epsilon)} F)(\gamma) = -\epsilon^{-d-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a((x-y)/\epsilon) \\
\times \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - \frac{1}{2} E(y, \gamma \setminus x)\right] (D_{x,y}^- F)(\gamma). \tag{6.1}
\]
Theorem 6.1  Let the conditions of Theorem 5.1 be satisfied. Furthermore, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

a) The function $a$ has compact support.

b) We have $e^{-\phi/2} \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

c) For each $\delta > 0$, set

$$g_\delta(x) := \sup_{y \in B(x;\delta)} e^{-\phi(y)/2} \frac{\|\nabla \phi(y)\|}{\|\nabla \phi(y)\|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$  

Here, $B(x;\delta)$ denotes the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ centered at $x$ and of radius $\delta$. Then, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $g_\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$.

d) There exists $\Lambda \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$e^{-\phi/2} \|\nabla \phi\| \in L^1(\Lambda, dx)$$

and the function $e^{-\phi/2} \|\nabla \phi\|$ is bounded on $\Lambda^c$.

Let

$$c := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(x)(x^1)^2\,dx \quad (6.2)$$

and let $(H^{(\text{dif})}, D(H^{(\text{dif})}))$ correspond to the above choice of the constant $c$ (see 5.5). Then, for each $F \in \mathcal{D}$, we have:

$$H^{(\epsilon)} F \to H^{(\text{dif})} F \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma, \mu) \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0. \quad (6.3)$$

Remark 6.1  Note that condition a) heuristically means that, in the initial Kawasaki dynamics, there is a finite maximal length of jumps of particles. Notice also that condition c) of Theorem 6.1 is slightly stronger than the condition $e^{-\phi/2} \|\nabla \phi\| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$.

Next, we take the canonical realizations of the processes $M^{(\epsilon)}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $M^{(\text{dif})}$ and define stochastic processes $Y^{(\epsilon)} = (Y^{(\epsilon)}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $Y^{(\text{dif})} = (Y^{(\text{dif})}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ whose law is the probability measure on $D([0, +\infty), \Gamma)$, respectively $C([0, +\infty), \Gamma)$ (replace $\Gamma$ with $\bar{\Gamma}$ if $d = 1$), given by

$$Q^{(\epsilon)} := \int_{\Gamma} P^{(\epsilon)}_\gamma \mu(d\gamma),$$

respectively

$$Q^{(\text{dif})} := \int_{\Gamma} P^{(\text{dif})}_\gamma \mu(d\gamma).$$
Corollary 6.1 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 6.1 are satisfied. Assume additionally that $\mathcal{D}$ is a core for $(H^{(\text{dif})}, D(H^{(\text{dif})}))$. Then, as $\epsilon \to 0$, the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $M^{(\epsilon)}$ weakly converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $M^{(\text{dif})}$ with $c$ given by (6.2).

Following [2], we will now introduce additional conditions on the potential $\phi$.

Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be any monotonic, increasing, and concave function such that:

(i) $\alpha(0) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(\lambda) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

(ii) $\alpha'(\lambda) \leq [1/(1 + \lambda)]\alpha(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \geq 0$, and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\alpha''(\lambda) \geq -c[1/(1 + \lambda)]$.

For example, let $l(\lambda) := \log(1 + \lambda)$, $\lambda \geq 0$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\alpha(\lambda) := 1 + l \circ \cdots \circ l(\lambda)$ satisfies the above conditions.

So, in what follows we will assume:

(A) We have $\phi \in C^3_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and there exist a constant $c_0$ and a function $\alpha$ that satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) above, such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|\nabla \phi(x)\| + \|\nabla^2 \phi(x)\| + \|\nabla^3 \phi(x)\| \leq \exp[-c_0 \log(1 + |x|^2)\alpha(1 + |x|^2)].$$

It was proved in [2] that, under condition (A), the set $\mathcal{D}$ is a core for the operator $(H^{(\text{dif})}, D(H^{(\text{dif})}))$. (In fact, Choi et al. [2] found a core for the operator $(H^{(\text{dif})}, D(H^{(\text{dif})}))$ which is, as can be easily checked, a subset of $\mathcal{D}$.) Furthermore, under condition (A), the potential $\phi$ clearly satisfies assumptions of Theorem 6.1. Thus, we get from Corollary 6.1:

Corollary 6.2 Assume that the function $a$ has compact support, and assume that condition (A) is satisfied. Then, as $\epsilon \to 0$, the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $M^{(\epsilon)}$ weakly converge to the finite-dimensional distributions of the process $M^{(\text{dif})}$ with $c$ given by (6.2).

Remark 6.2 Let us briefly explain a generalization of Theorem 6.1. Let us fix a parameter $s \in [0, 1]$. (Note that the results of this paper will correspond to the choice of parameter $s = 1/2$.) By [14], there exists a conservative Hunt process on $\Gamma$ (a Kawasaki dynamics) whose $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$-generator $(H_s, D(H_s))$ is the Friedrichs extension of the operator $(H_s, \mathcal{D})$ given by

$$(H_s F)(\gamma) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x - y) \times \exp[(1 - s)E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - sE(y, \gamma \setminus x)](D_{xy}^+ F)(\gamma), \quad F \in \mathcal{D}$$
(in the case \( s < 1/2 \), the potential \( \phi \) must satisfy an additional assumption which reduces the “strength of singularity” at zero).

Next, for each \( c > 0 \), it can be shown that, under some conditions on \( \phi \) which are analogous to the conditions of Theorem 5.1, there exists a conservative diffusion process on \( \Gamma \) (respectively, on \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) if \( d = 1 \)) whose \( L^2(\Gamma, \mu) \)-generator \( (H_s^{(\text{dif})}, D(H_s^{(\text{dif})})) \) is the Friedrichs extension of the operator \( (H_s^{(\text{dif})}, \mathcal{D}) \) given by

\[
(H_s^{(\text{dif})} F)(\gamma) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta x F(\gamma) + \sum_{u \in \gamma \setminus x} \langle \nabla x F(\gamma), s \nabla \phi(x - u) \rangle \right) \\
\times \exp \left[ (-2s + 1) \sum_{v \in \gamma \setminus x} \phi(x - v) \right], \quad F \in \mathcal{D}.
\]

Note that, in the case \( s = 0 \), such a diffusive dynamics has been considered in [10].

Then, under the same scaling of the Kawasaki dynamics

\[
a(\cdot) \mapsto \epsilon^{-d-2} a(\cdot/\epsilon),
\]

and with the same choice of the constant \( c \), (6.2), we get the convergence of the generators on \( \mathcal{D} \). More precisely, under an appropriate modification of the conditions Theorem 6.1, we get for each \( F \in \mathcal{D} \):

\[
H_s^{(\epsilon)} F \to H_s^{(\text{dif})} F \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Gamma, \mu) \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0 \quad (6.4)
\]

(we have used the obvious notation \( H_s^{(\epsilon)} \)).

As for weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of the corresponding equilibrium processes, it will follow from (6.4) if \( \mathcal{D} \) is a core for \( (H_s^{(\text{dif})}, D(H_s^{(\text{dif})})) \). However, in the case \( s \neq 1/2 \), no result has yet been proved about a core for this generator.

7 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Denote the support of the function \( a \) by \( \Delta \). By a), the set \( \Delta \) is bounded and hence \( r := \sup_{h \in \Delta} |h| < \infty \). Recall \( \delta \) from condition c) of the theorem. In what follows, we will assume that \( \epsilon \in (0, \delta/r) \). Then

\[
|\epsilon h| < \delta \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in \Delta. \quad (7.1)
\]

Fix any \( F \in \mathcal{D} \). By (6.1),

\[
(H^{(\epsilon)} F)(\gamma) = -\epsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\Delta} dh a(h)
\]
× \exp[(1/2)E(x, \gamma \setminus x) - (1/2)E(x + \varepsilon h, \gamma \setminus x)](F(\gamma \setminus x \cup (x + \varepsilon h)) - F(\gamma)).}

Using \([3.2]\) and \([3.3]\), we have:

\[
\int_\Gamma (H^{(e)} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma)
\]

\[
= \varepsilon^{-4} \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx \int_\Delta dh_1 \int_\Delta dh_2 \, a(h_1) a(h_2) \int_\Gamma (F(\gamma \cup (x + \varepsilon h_1)) - F(\gamma \cup x)) \\
\times (F(\gamma \cup (x + \varepsilon h_2)) - F(\gamma \cup x)) \\
\times \exp \left[ \sum_{u \in \gamma} \left( -(1/2)\phi(x + \varepsilon h_1 - u) - (1/2)\phi(x + \varepsilon h_2 - u) \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \varepsilon^{-4} \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_2 \int_\Delta dh_1 \int_\Delta dh_2 \, a(h_1) a(h_2) \\
\times (F(\gamma \cup (x_1 + \varepsilon h_1) \cup x_2) - F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)) \\
\times (F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup (x_2 + \varepsilon h_2)) - F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)) \\
\times \exp \left[ -(1/2)\phi(x_1 + \varepsilon h_1 - x_2) - (1/2)\phi(x_2 + \varepsilon h_2 - x_1) \\
+ \sum_{u \in \gamma} \left( -(1/2)\phi(x_1 - u) - (1/2)\phi(x_2 - u) - (1/2)\phi(x_1 + \varepsilon h_1 - u) \\
- (1/2)\phi(x_2 + \varepsilon h_2 - u) \right) \right]. \tag{7.2}
\]

Here and below, our calculations are justified by the assumptions of the theorem, the definition of \(\mathcal{D}\), Lemma 2.1, (2.7), 3.6, and Lemma 5.1. Hence, by (7.2) and Lemma 2.1 we get:

\[
\int_\Gamma (H^{(e)} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma)
\]

\[
= \varepsilon^{-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx \int_\Delta dh_1 \int_\Delta dh_2 \, a(h_1) a(h_2) \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma)(F(\gamma \cup (x + \varepsilon h_1)) - F(\gamma \cup x)) \\
\times (F(\gamma \cup (x + \varepsilon h_2)) - F(\gamma \cup x)) \\
\times K \left( \left( (e^{-(1/2)\phi(x + \varepsilon h_1 - \cdot)} - (1/2)\phi(x + \varepsilon h_2 - \cdot))^{\otimes n} \right)_{n=0}^\infty \right) (\gamma) \\
+ \varepsilon^{-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_2 \int_\Delta dh_1 \int_\Delta dh_2 \, a(h_1) a(h_2) \\
\times \exp \left[ -(1/2)\phi(x_1 + \varepsilon h_1 - x_2) - (1/2)\phi(x_2 + \varepsilon h_2 - x_1) \right] \\
\times \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma)(F(\gamma \cup (x_1 + \varepsilon h_1) \cup x_2) - F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)) \\
\times (F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup (x_2 + \varepsilon h_2)) - F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2))
\]
\[ \times K \left( \left( (e^{-(1/2)\phi(x_1-\cdot)}(1/2)\phi(x_2-\cdot))\right) - (1/2)\phi(x_1+\epsilon h_1-\cdot)) - (1/2)\phi(x_2+\epsilon h_2-\cdot)) - 1 \right)_{n=0}^\infty \right) (\gamma). \quad (7.3) \]

For each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and \( x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d \), denote by \( y_1(\gamma, x, h) \) a point in the segment \([x, x+h] \) such that

\[ F(\gamma \cup (x+h)) - F(\gamma \cup x) = \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma \cup x), h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2_y F(\gamma \cup y), h \rangle_{y=y_1(\gamma, x, h)}. \quad (7.4) \]

Also, for each \( x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d \), we denote by \( y_2(x, h) \) a point in the segment \([x, x+h] \) such that

\[ e^{-(1/2)\phi(x+h)} = e^{-(1/2)\phi(x)} + e^{-(1/2)\phi(y_2(x,h))}(1/2)\nabla \phi(y_2(x,h)), h). \quad (7.5) \]

Note that the existence of \( y_1(\gamma, x, h) \) and \( y_2(x, h) \) follows from the definition of the \( \mathcal{Q} \) and assumption b) of the theorem, respectively. Note also that, by (7.1),

\[ e^{-(1/2)\phi(y_2(x,h))}\|\nabla \phi(y_2(x, h))\| \leq g_\delta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ h \in \Delta. \]

Now, by (7.3), (7.4), and (7.5), we have:

\[ \int_\Gamma \left( H^{(e)} F \right)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma) \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z dx \int_{\Delta} dh_1 \int_{\Delta} dh_2 a(h_1)a(h_2) \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma) \left( e^{-2F_{-2}}(\gamma, x, h_1, h_2) + e^{-F_{-1}}(\gamma, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) + F_0(1)(\gamma, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) (\gamma) \]

\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z dx \int_{\Delta} dh_1 \int_{\Delta} dh_2 a(h_1)a(h_2) \]

\[ \times \left( e^{-\phi(x_1-x_2)} + e u_1(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) + e^2 u_2(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \]

\[ \times \int_\Gamma \mu(d\gamma) \left( e^{-2F_{-2}}(\gamma, x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2) + e^{-F_{-1}}(\gamma, x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \]

\[ + F_0(1)(\gamma, x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) (\gamma) \right) (\gamma). \quad (7.6) \]

Here,

\[ u_1(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) := e^{-(1/2)\phi(x_1-x_2)}(e^{-(1/2)\phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1))}(1/2)\nabla \phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1)), h_1) \]

\[ + e^{-(1/2)\phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1))}(1/2)\nabla \phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1)), h_1) \]

\[ u_2(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) := e^{-(1/2)\phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1))}(1/2)\nabla \phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1)), h_1) \]

\[ \times \left( (1/2)\nabla \phi(y_2(x_1-x_2, h_1)), h_1 \right) \right) (\gamma) \right) \right) (\gamma). \quad (7.7) \]

and

\[ F_{-2}^{(1)}(\gamma, x_1, h_1, h_2) := \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma \cup x), h_1 \rangle \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma \cup x), h_2 \rangle, \]

\[ F_{-2}^{(1)}(\gamma, x_1, h_1, h_2) := \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma \cup x), h_1 \rangle \langle \nabla_x F(\gamma \cup x), h_2 \rangle, \]
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Since $F$ is a local function, so are $F_i^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, j = -2, -1, 0$, as functions of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, by (7.6), we get

$$
\int_{\Gamma} (H^{(e)} F)^2 (\gamma) \mu(d\gamma)
$$
\[
\int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{R^d} \int_{\Delta} dx \int_{\Delta} d\eta_1 \int_{\Delta} d\eta_2 \rho_a(h_1) a(h_1) a(h_2) = c_{-2}(\epsilon) \epsilon^{-2} + c_{-1}(\epsilon) \epsilon^{-1} + c_0(\epsilon) + c_1(\epsilon) \epsilon,
\]

where

\[
c_{-2}(\epsilon) = \int_{R^d} \int_{\Delta} dx \int_{\Delta} d\eta_1 \int_{\Delta} d\eta_2 \rho_a(h_1) a(h_2) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_a(d\eta) \times \left( K^{-1} \left( e^{-2} F_2^{(1)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2 \right) + e^{-1} F_2^{(1)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon \right)
+ \int_{R^d} \int_{\Delta} dx \int_{\Delta} d\eta_1 \int_{\Delta} d\eta_2 \left( e^{-\phi(x_1-x_2)} \right) \rho_a(h_1) a(h_2) \times \left( K^{-1} \left( e^{-2} F_2^{(2)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2 \right) + e^{-1} F_2^{(2)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon \right)
+ \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_a(d\eta) \left( K^{-1} \left( e^{-2} F_2^{(1)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2 \right) + e^{-1} F_2^{(1)} \cdot x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon \right) \mu(d\gamma).
\]

Collecting the coefficients by powers of \( \epsilon \), we get:

\[
\int_{\Gamma}(H^{(\epsilon)} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma) = c_{-2}(\epsilon) \epsilon^{-2} + c_{-1}(\epsilon) \epsilon^{-1} + c_0(\epsilon) + c_1(\epsilon) \epsilon,
\]

(7.11)
\[ \begin{align*}
\star \left( n(e^{-\phi(x_1^--) - \phi(x_2^--) - 1}) \right) & = 
\left[ (K^{-1} F_{-2}^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2) \right. \\
& \times \left. \left[ (n(n - 1)(1/2) (e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes (g_1^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ n(e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes g_2^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ (K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right. \\
& \times \left. \left[ (n(n - 1)(1/2) (e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes (g_1^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ n(e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes g_2^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ (K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right. \\
& \times \left. \left[ (n(n - 1)(1/2) (e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes (g_1^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ n(e^{-\phi(x^-)} - 1) \otimes g_2^{(1)}(\cdot, x, h_1, h_2, \epsilon))^{\otimes 2} \\
+ u_1(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta) \left( K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(2)}(\cdot, x, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \\
\star \left( (e^{-\phi(x_1^--) - \phi(x_2^--) - 1})^{\otimes 1}_{n=0}(\epsilon) \\
+ u_1(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta) \left( K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(2)}(\cdot, x, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \\
\star \left( (e^{-\phi(x_1^--) - \phi(x_2^--) - 1})^{\otimes 1}_{n=0}(\epsilon) \\
+ u_2(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta) \left( K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(2)}(\cdot, x, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \\
\star \left( (e^{-\phi(x_1^--) - \phi(x_2^--) - 1})^{\otimes 1}_{n=0}(\epsilon) \\
+ u_2(x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta) \left( K^{-1} F_{-1}^{(2)}(\cdot, x, x_2, h_1, h_2, \epsilon) \right) \\
\star \left( (e^{-\phi(x_1^--) - \phi(x_2^--) - 1})^{\otimes 1}_{n=0}(\epsilon) \right) \right),
\end{align*} \]

and \( c_1(\epsilon) \) is defined so that equality (7.12) holds, i.e., by subtracting from the right
hand side of (7.11) the expression \( c_2(\epsilon)\epsilon^{-2} + c_1(\epsilon)\epsilon^{-1} + c_0(\epsilon) \), given through (7.13), and dividing by \( \epsilon \).

We evidently have:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(h) h^i \, dh = 0, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, d\},
\]

and therefore

\[
c_2(\epsilon) = c_1(\epsilon) = 0.
\]

Furthermore, as easily seen \( a_1(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \).

Below, we denote \( \phi_i'(x) := (\partial/\partial x^j)\phi(x) \) and \( \phi^{(n)}_i(x) := (\partial^2/\partial (x^j)^2)\phi(x) \). So, using (7.14), the equalities

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(h) h^i h^j \, dh = 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, \quad i \neq j,
\]

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(h) (h^i)^2 \, dh = c, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, d\},
\]

and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma} (H(\epsilon)F)^2(\gamma) \, \mu(d\gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} c_0(\epsilon)
\]

\[
= e^2 \sum_{i,j=1,\ldots,d} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta) \left[ (K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x^i)F(\cdot \cup x)(\partial/\partial x^j)F(\cdot \cup x)
\right.
\]

\[
\left. \star (n(n-1))^{-1}(e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-2)}
\right.
\]

\[
\otimes (e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi_i'(x - \cdot)) \otimes (e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi_j'(x - \cdot))
\]

\[
+ n(e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi_i'(x - \cdot)(-1/2)\phi_j'(x - \cdot))_{n=0}^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
+ (K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x^i)F(\cdot \cup x)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x)
\]

\[
\star (n(e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi_i'(x - \cdot))_{n=0}^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
+ (K^{-1}(1/4)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x)
\]

\[
\star ((e^{-\phi(x-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n)}_{n=0})^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \, dx_2 \left( e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)} \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho_\mu(d\eta)
\right.
\]

\[
\times \left[ (K^{-1}(1/4)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)
\right.
\]

\[
\star ((e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n)}_{n=0})^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
+ (K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x^i)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial^2/(\partial x^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)
\]

\[
\star (n(e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi_i'(x_1 - \cdot))_{n=0}^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
+ (K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x^i)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial/\partial x^j)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)
\]

\[
\star ((e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n)}_{n=0})^\infty(\eta)
\]

\[
\right.
\]

\[
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\star (n(n-1)(e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-2)} \\
&\odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_i(x_1-\cdot)) \odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_j(x_2-\cdot)) \\
&+ n(e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \\
&\odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_i(x_1-\cdot)\phi'_j(x_2-\cdot))\bigg)_{n=0}^{\infty}(\eta) \\
&- (1/2)\phi'_i(x_1-x_2)\int_{\Gamma_0}\rho_\mu(d\eta)(K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x_1^i)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial^2/(\partial x_2^j)^2)F(\cdot \cup x_1 \cup x_2) \\
&\times (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_i(x_2-\cdot))\bigg)_{n=0}^{\infty}(\eta) \\
&- \phi'_i(x_1-x_2)\int_{\Gamma_0}\rho_\mu(d\eta)((\partial/\partial x_1^i)F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial/\partial x_2^j)F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2) \\
&\times (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_i(x_2-\cdot))\bigg)_{n=0}^{\infty}(\eta) \\
&\times \int_{\Gamma_0}\rho_\mu(d\eta)(K^{-1}(\partial/\partial x_1^i)F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)(\partial/\partial x_2^j)F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2) \\
&\times (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}-1)^{\otimes(n-1)} \odot (e^{-\phi(x_1-\cdot)-\phi(x_2-\cdot)}(-1/2)\phi'_i(x_2-\cdot))\bigg)_{n=0}^{\infty}(\eta) \\
&\left(\frac{\mu(d\gamma)}{4}\int_{\Gamma}z \, dx \exp \left[\left(-(1/2)\phi(x-\cdot), \gamma\right)\right] \\
&\times \left\{ (\Delta_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x))^2 - 2\Delta_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x) \sum_{u \in \gamma}\langle \nabla_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x), \nabla \phi(x-u) \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{u \in \gamma}\langle \nabla_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x), \nabla \phi(x-u) \rangle^2 \\
&+ \sum_{u_1 \in \gamma} \sum_{u_2 \in \gamma \setminus u_1} \langle \nabla_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x), \nabla \phi(x-u_1) \rangle \langle \nabla_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x), \nabla \phi(x-u_2) \rangle \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\int_{\Gamma}z \, dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}z \, dx_2 \exp \left[-\phi(x_1-\cdot) - \phi(x_2-\cdot), \gamma\right] \\
&- \phi(x_1-x_2)\left\{ \Delta_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)\Delta_{x_2}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2) \\
&- 2\Delta_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2) \sum_{u \in \gamma}\langle \nabla_{x_2}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x-u) \rangle \\
&- 2\Delta_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2)\langle \nabla_{x_2}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_2-x_1) \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{u \in \gamma}\langle \nabla_{x_1}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \phi(x_1-u) \rangle \langle \nabla_{x_2}F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_2-u) \rangle \right\} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ + \sum_{u_1 \in \gamma} \sum_{u_2 \in \gamma \setminus u_1} \langle \nabla_{x_1} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_1 - u_1) \rangle \langle \nabla_{x_2} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_2 - u_2) \rangle \\
+ \sum_{u \in \gamma} 2\langle \nabla_{x_1} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_1 - u) \rangle \langle \nabla_{x_2} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_2 - x_1) \rangle \\
+ \langle \nabla_{x_1} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_1 - x_2) \rangle \langle \nabla_{x_2} F(\gamma \cup x_1 \cup x_2), \nabla \phi(x_2 - x_1) \rangle \right\}. \quad (7.16) \]

By Lemma 2.1 the right hand side of (7.15) is equal to the right hand side of equality (7.16). Hence,

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma} (H^{(\epsilon)} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma} (H^{(\text{dif})} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma). \quad (7.17) \]

Analogously, one may also prove that

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma} (H^{(\epsilon)} F)(\gamma)(H^{(\text{dif})} F)(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma} (H^{(s, \text{dif})} F)^2(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma). \quad (7.18) \]

Now, (6.3) follows from (7.17) and (7.18). \( \square \)

**Proof of Corollary 6.1.** By Theorem 6.1, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.17], and the assumption of the corollary, we see that, for each \( t \geq 0 \), \( e^{-tH^{(\epsilon)}} \to e^{-tH^{(\text{dif})}} \) strongly in \( L^2(\Gamma, \mu) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). To conclude from here the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions, we proceed as follows.

We fix any \( 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \). For \( \epsilon \geq 0 \), denote by \( \mu^\epsilon_{t_1, \ldots, t_n} \) the finite-dimensional distribution of the process \( Y^{(s, \epsilon)}(\cdot) \) at times \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \), which is a probability measure on \( \Gamma^n \). Since \( \Gamma \) is a Polish space (see e.g. [17]), by [23, Chapter II, Theorem 3.2], the measure \( \mu \) is tight on \( \Gamma \). Since all the marginal distributions of the measure \( \mu^\epsilon_{t_1, \ldots, t_n} \) are \( \mu \), we therefore conclude that the set \( \{ \mu^\epsilon_{t_1, \ldots, t_n} \mid \epsilon > 0 \} \) is pre-compact in the space \( \mathcal{M}(\Gamma^n) \) of the probability measures on \( \Gamma^n \) with respect to the weak topology, see e.g. [23, Chapter II, Section 6]. Hence, the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions follows from the strong convergence of the semigroups. \( \square \)
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