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Abstract

In this paper, a discrete space-time Lotka–Volterra model with the periodic boundary conditions and feedback control is proposed. By means of a discrete version of comparison theorem, the boundedness of the nonnegative solution of the system is proved. By the combination of the Volterra-type and quadratic Lyapunov functions, the global asymptomatic stability of the unique positive equilibrium is investigated. Finally, numerical simulations are presented to verify the effectiveness of the main results.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the ecosystem in the real world is often distributed by unpredictable forces or interference factors, such as natural disturbances (floods, fires, disease outbreaks, and droughts), human-caused interference factors (oil spills), and slowly changing long-term stresses (nutrient enrichment), which may result into changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates [1–3]. The presence of the unpredictable forces or interference factors in an ecological system raises the following essential and basic question from the practical interest in ecology: “Can the ecosystem withstand those unpredictable forces which persist for a finite period of time?” The question has motivated the development of some control mechanisms for managing populations to ensure that the interacting species can coexist, such as impulsive control, optimal vibration control, intermittent control, and feedback control. [4–6]. As a basic mechanism by which one can recover stability and move the trajectory towards the desired orbit, the introduction of a feedback control variable is one method that can achieve the objective.

For population dynamical systems with feedback controls, an important and interesting subject is to study the effects of feedback controls to the persistence, permanence, and extinction of species, the stability, and dynamical complexity of systems [7]. There are lots of important and interesting results on stability research for continuous time population dynamical models [8–16]. A necessary condition for sustained concentration oscillations resulting from small perturbations of the steady state is derived from a closure rule using a variation of the direct Lyapunov method on a biochemical feedback system of the Yates-Pardee type [8]. The authors study the dynamical behavior of a continuous reaction-diffusion waterborne pathogen model, such as the existence of positive solutions and its boundedness, the existence of equilibria, local stability, uniform persistence, and global stability [9]. The output feedback stabilization of stochastic feedforward systems with unknown control coefficients and unknown output function using the time-varying technique and backstepping method is achieved [16].

The discrete-time models governed by difference equation are more realistic than the continuous ones when the populations have nonoverlapping generations or the population statistics are compiled from given time intervals and not continuously. Moreover, discrete-time models can also provide efficient computational models of continuous
Lyapunov functionals are obtained. A weak sufficient condition for the permanence of a nonautonomous discrete single-species system with delays and feedback control is given in the article [7]. A two-species competitive system with feedback controls is considered, in which the global attractivity of a positive periodic solution is obtained, and the existence and uniqueness of the uniformly asymptotically stable almost periodic solution are shown [17, 18]. In reference [19], some sufficient conditions on the permanence and the global stability of the system of an $n$-species Lotka–Volterra discrete system with delays and feedback control by constructing the suitable discrete type Lyapunov functionals are obtained.

It is a fact that spatial heterogeneity and dispersal play an important role in the dynamics of populations, which has been the subject of much research, both theoretical and experimental, such as the role of dispersal in the maintenance of patchiness or spatial population variation. If the spatial factors are added, more dynamics will occur. The diffusion-driven instability may emerge if the steady-state solution is stable to small spatial perturbations in absence of diffusion, but unstable when diffusion is present [20]. If the diffusion-driven instability should be avoided in some situations, and one may wish recovery stability towards the desired orbit, but the system parameters are not easy to adjust, then some other ways should be adopted to achieve the stabilization aim [21]. There also may exist a situation where the equilibrium of the dynamical model is not the desirable one (or affordable) and a smaller value of the equilibrium is required; then, altering the model structure so as to make the population stabilize at a lower value is necessary [22]. Feedback control will be an effective one and can alter the positions of positive equilibrium or obtain its stability. To the best of our knowledge, there is few work that has been devoted to global properties of the discrete space-time models with feedback control. The robustly asymptotic stability and disturbance attenuation level of the filtering error system for a two-dimensional Roesser models with polytopic uncertainties are discussed [23]. A two-dimensional Fornasini–Marchesini local state-space system is also considered in the article [24]. However, the diffusion terms (discrete Laplace operator) are not directly introduced into the model. There is some work on global stability of discrete diffusion systems [25, 26], in which the positivity, boundedness, and global stability of the equilibria are established, and the discretized models are derived from the corresponding continuous model by nonstandard finite difference, but the Laplace operator has been dealt with. It is a fact that diffusion will produce much richer dynamical behaviors and complexity; how to analyze stability of the discrete diffusion system with feedback control by means of suitable Lyapunov functions is an important problem to solve.

Motivated by above discussions, the main purpose of this paper is to study the global asymptotic stability of an one-dimensional spatially discrete reaction diffusion Lotka–Volterra model with the periodic boundary conditions and feedback control. So the organization of this paper is as follows. In the Section 2, we formulate the discrete space-time Lotka–Volterra model with feedback control and present some assumptions and preparations which will be essential to our main proofs, and the nonnegativity and boundedness of the solution of the system are proved by means of comparison theorem. Then, global asymptotic stability of the unique positive equilibrium is proved by constructing a combination of the nonnegative Volterra-type and quadratic Lyapunov functions in Section 3. In Section 4, numerical simulations are presented to illustrate the feasibility of our main results. In the last section, brief discussions and conclusions are given.

### 2. Model and Preliminaries

It is well known that a Lotka–Volterra system can be described in the form of

\[
\begin{align*}
    x'(t) &= x(t)(r_1 - a_{11}x(t) - a_{12}y(t)), \\
    y'(t) &= y(t)(r_2 + a_{21}x(t) - a_{22}y(t)),
\end{align*}
\]

which is called the predator-prey model. $x(t)$ is the density of prey species, $y(t)$ is the density of predator species, the coefficients $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$ represent the intraspecific interactions, $a_{12}$ and $a_{21}$ represent the interspecific interactions, and $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the intrinsic growth rates of the respective species.

A corresponding discrete model for the system (1) can be derived from [27]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{n+1} &= x_n \exp(r_1 - a_{11}x_n - a_{12}y_n), \\
    y_{n+1} &= y_n \exp(r_2 + a_{21}x_n - a_{22}y_n),
\end{align*}
\]

where $a_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2) > 0$. Let $X_n = a_{11}x_n$ and $Y_n = a_{22}y_n$; we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    X_{n+1} &= X_n \exp(r_1 - X_n - \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}Y_n), \\
    Y_{n+1} &= Y_n \exp(r_2 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}X_n - Y_n),
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{n+1} &= x_n \exp(r_1 - x_n - a_{12}y_n), \\
    y_{n+1} &= y_n \exp(r_2 + a_{21}x_n - y_n).
\end{align*}
\]

It is believed that the diffusion of individuals can play an important role in determining collective behavior of the population. Space factors can be taken into account in all fundamental aspects of ecological organization, and we can get a one-dimensional discrete reaction-diffusion model as follows:
in an ecosystem. So, in the present study, we consider the variables for defining feedback controls is appropriate for structurally modifying existing systems by incorporating the introduction part, unpredictable forces or interference (7) and (8) has been done yet.

Asymptomatic stability of the positive equilibrium of systems,

\[ u_i^* = \frac{e_1 x_i^*}{\eta_1}, \]
\[ u_2^* = \frac{e_2 y_i^*}{\eta_2}. \]

If \( r_1 (\eta_2 + e_2 d_2) > \eta_2 a_{12} r_2 \), the equilibrium is positive.

To discuss the global asymptotic stability of the unique positive equilibrium, the following assumptions and preparations are essential.

From the view point of biology, we only need to discuss the positive solution of system (7). So, it is assumed that the initial conditions of (8) are of the form

\[ x_i^0 > 0, u_i^0 > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]  

For our purpose, we first introduce the following lemma which can be obtained easily by comparison theorem of difference equation.

**Lemma 1** (see [29]). Let \( x(n) \) be a nonnegative solution of inequality

\[ x(n + 1) \leq x(n) \exp\{\alpha - \beta x(n)\}, \quad n \in Z, \]

with \( x(0) > 0 \) and \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \); then,

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup x(n) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}. \]

**Lemma 2** (see [30]). Any solution \( x(n) \) of system

\[ x(n + 1) = x(n) (1 - \gamma) + \omega(n), \quad n \in Z \]

with \( x(0) > 0 \) satisfies

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup x(n) \leq \frac{\omega(n)}{\gamma}. \]

where \( \omega(n) \) is a nonnegative bounded sequence of real numbers and \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \).

Applying the above lemmas, we can obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.** The solution of (7) with initial condition (8) is defined and remains nonnegative and bounded if \( e_i^j - 2D_j \geq 0 \) and \( \eta_j < 1, j = 1, 2 \) hold.

**Proof.** From the first equation of system (7), we get

\[ x_i^{n+1} = x_i^n \exp(r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_i u_i^n) + D_i \nabla^2 x_i^n \]

\[ = x_i^n \exp(r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_i u_i^n) - 2D_i \]
\[ + D_i (x_i^{n+1} + x_i^{n-1}), \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^n x_i}{dt^n} &= x_i^n \exp(r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_i u_i^n) + D_i \nabla^2 x_i^n, \\
\frac{d^n y_i}{dt^n} &= y_i^n \exp(r_2 + a_{21} x_i^n - y_i^n) + D_j \nabla^2 y_i^n,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} = [1, m] \) and \( m, n \in Z^* \) is positive integer, \( r_1, r_2, a_{12}, a_{21}, \eta_1, \eta_2, e_1, e_2 \) are positive constants, and \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are diffusion parameters.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^n x_i}{dt^n} &= x_i^n \exp(r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_i u_i^n) + D_i \nabla^2 x_i^n, \\
\frac{d^n y_i}{dt^n} &= y_i^n \exp(r_2 + a_{21} x_i^n - y_i^n) + D_j \nabla^2 y_i^n.
\end{align*}
\]
from which it is true that \( x_0^n \geq 0 \) holds for all \( n \) with \( x_0^i > 0, u_{i0}^i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) if \( \varepsilon_i - 2D_j \geq 0 \) and appropriate parameters \( a_{i2}, d_1 \) are selected.

Similarly, from the second equation of system (7), we get that \( y_0^n \geq 0 \) holds for all \( n \) with \( x_0^i > 0, u_{i0}^i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), if \( \varepsilon_i - 2D_j \geq 0 \) and appropriate parameters \( a_{i1}, d_2 \) are selected.

If \( \eta_j \leq 1, j = 1, 2, u_{i0}^n \geq 0 \) can also hold by means of the third and fourth equations of system (7).

Next, we will show the boundedness of the solutions.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^n \exp \left( r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_1 u_{i1}^n \right) + D_1 V^2 x_i^n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^n \exp \left( r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_1 u_{i1}^n \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^n \exp \left( r_1 - x_i^n \right).
\]

From Lemma 1, we can obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_1 = mr_1.
\]

Similarly, we can also obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^n \exp \left( r_2 + a_{21} x_i^n - y_i^n - d_2 u_{i2}^n \right) + D_2 V^2 y_i^n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^n \exp \left( r_2 + a_{21} x_i^n - y_i^n - d_2 u_{i2}^n \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^n \exp \left( r_2 + a_{21} M_x - y_i^n \right),
\]

where \( M_x = \sup_{m \in Z} x_i^n \). Then,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i} y_i^n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( r_2 + a_{21} M_x \right) = m(r_2 + a_{21} M_x).
\]

From Lemma 2, by means of (20) and (21) and \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \leq 1 \), we can obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i} u_{i1}^n \leq \frac{e^{\varepsilon_1 M_x}}{\eta_1},
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i} u_{i2}^n \leq \frac{e^{\varepsilon_2 M_y}}{\eta_2},
\]

where \( M_y = \sup_{m \in Z} y_i^n \). The proof is finished. \( \square \)

3. Global Stability

In this section, we devote ourselves to studying the global asymptotic stability of the unique positive equilibrium \( E^* \). By using global Lyapunov function, we derive the sufficient conditions under which the positive equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable.

Denote

\[
H(1): \varepsilon_i - 2D_j \geq 0, \ j = 1, 2, \]

\[
H(2): \frac{d \varepsilon_i}{2(1 - \eta_j)} \leq 1, \ \eta_j \leq 1, j = 1, 2.
\]

Assume \( \{x_i^n \}_{n \in [1, m]} \cap \{y_i^n \}_{n \in [1, m]} \) are positive solutions of systems (7) and (8); we can establish the following result.

Theorem 2. Assume \( H(1) \) and \( H(2) \) hold; the positive equilibrium \( E^* \) of systems (7) and (8) is globally asymptotically stable.

Proof: Let

\[
V_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^n - x^* - x^* \ln \frac{x_i^n}{x^*} \right).
\]

Then, we can obtain

\[
\Delta V_i^n = V_i^{n+1} - V_i^n
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^{n+1} - x_i^n - x^* \ln \frac{x_i^{n+1}}{x_i^n} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^{n+1} - x_i^n - x^* \ln \frac{x_i^{n+1}}{x_i^n} \right) + o(1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^n - x_i^n \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} \right) + o(1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} \right) \left( x_i^n \exp \left( r_1 - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_1 u_{i1}^n \right) + D_1 V^2 x_i^n \right) \]

\[
+ D_1 V^2 x_i^n - x_i^n + o(1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} \right) \left( x_i^n \left( 1 - (x_i^n - x^*) - a_{12} (y_i^n - y^*) \right. \right.
\]

\[
\left. - d_i (u_{i1}^n - u_{i1}^n) + D_1 V^2 x_i^n \right) - D_1 \sum_{i=1}^{m} x^n \left( \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} + \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^n - x^* \right)^2 - a_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right) \left( y_i^n - y^* \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. - d_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^n - x^*) (u_{i1}^n - u_{i1}^n) - D_1 x_i^n + o(1) + o(\rho_1), \right)
\]

\[
\left( \sqrt{\frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} - \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n}} \right)^2 - D_1 x_i^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n} - \frac{x_i^n}{x_i^n}} \right)^2
\]

\[
+ o(1) + o(\rho_1),
\]

where \( \rho_1 = \sqrt{(x_i^n - x^*)^2 + (y_i^n - y^*)^2 + (u_{i1}^n - u_{i1}^n)^2} \).
\[ \Delta V_2^n = V_2^{n+1} - V_2^n \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^{n+1} - y_i^n - y^* \ln \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^{n+1} - y_i^n - y^* \frac{y_i^{n+1} - y_i^n}{y_i^n} \right) + o(1) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^{n+1} - y_i^n \right) \left( 1 - \frac{y^*}{y_i^n} \right) + o(1) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \frac{y^*}{y_i^n} \right) \left( y_i^n \exp (r_2 + a_{21} x_i^n - y_i^n - d_2 u_i^n) + D_2 V^2 y_i^n - y_i^n \right) + o(1) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \frac{y^*}{y_i^n} \right) \left( y_i^n \left( 1 - (y_i^n - y^*) + a_{21} (x_i^n - x^*) - d_2 (u_i^n - u_i^*) \right) - y_i^n + D_2 V^2 y_i^n \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right) \left( - (y_i^n - y^*) + a_{21} (x_i^n - x^*) - d_2 (u_i^n - u_i^*) \right) - D_2 \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^* \left( \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} + \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right)^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{21} (x_i^n - x^*) (y_i^n - y^*) - D_2 \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} + \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right)^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( x_i^n - x^* \right) (y_i^n - y^*) - D_2 a_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} + \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right)^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^n - x^*) (y_i^n - y^*) - D_2 a_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} + \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i^n - y^* \right)^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^n - x^*) (y_i^n - y^*) - D_2 a_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} + \frac{y_i^{n+1}}{y_i^n} - 2 \right) + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ - D_2 a_{12} \left( \frac{y_i^n}{y_i^m} - \frac{y_i^n}{y_i^m} \right)^2 + o(1) + o(\rho_2) \]
\[ (29) \]
where \( \rho_2 = \sqrt{(x_i^n - x^*)^2 + (y_i^n - y^*)^2 + (u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2} \).

Let
\[
V_3^n = \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1}(u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2.
\] (30)

Then, we can obtain
\[
\Delta V_3^n = V_3^{n+1} - V_3^n
\]
\[
= \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{ii}^{n+1} - u_{ii}^n)(u_{ii}^n + u_{ii}^n - 2u_i^*)
+ e_1(x_i^n - x^*)
\]
\[
+ \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\eta_1 u_{ii}^n + e_1 x_i^n)((2 - \eta_1)u_{ii}^n
+ e_1 x_i^n - 2u_i^*)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\eta_1 u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2 + e_1 (x_i^n - x^*)
\]
\[
+ \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2
+ \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2
\]
\[
- D_1 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \sqrt{x_{i-1}^n - x_i^n} \right)^2,
\]
\[
- D_1 \left( \sqrt{x_{i}^n - x_{i+1}^n} \right)^2 + o(1 + o(\rho_1))
\]
\[
- D_2 \left( \sqrt{y_{i}^n - y_{i+1}^n} \right)^2
\]
\[
- D_2 \left( \sqrt{y_{i}^n - y_{i-1}^n} \right)^2 + o(1) + o(\rho_2).
\] (31)

Let
\[
V_4^n = \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}}(u_{22}^n - u_2^*)^2.
\] (32)

Then, we can obtain
\[
\Delta V_4^n = V_4^{n+1} - V_4^n
\]
\[
= \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{2i}^{n+1} - u_{2i}^n)(u_{2i}^n + u_{2i}^n - 2u_2^*)
+ e_2 (y_1^n - y^*)
\]
\[
+ \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\eta_2 u_{2i}^n + e_2 y_i^n)((-\eta_2)u_{2i}^n
+ e_2 y_i^n - 2u_2^*)
\]
\[
= \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\eta_2 (u_{2i}^n - u_2^*)
+ e_2 (y_i^n - y^*) - y_i^n (u_{2i}^n - u_2^*) + e_2 (y_i^n - y^*))
\]
\[
+ \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{2i}^n - u_2^*)^2
+ \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i^n - y^*)^2 + \frac{a_{12} a_{21}}{a_{21}}
\]
\[
\cdot (y_i^n - y^*) (u_{2i}^n - u_2^*).
\] (33)

Let
\[
V^n = V_1^n + V_2^n + V_3^n + V_4^n.
\] (34)

Then,
\[
\Delta V^n = V_1^{n+1} - V^n
\]
\[
\leq \left(-1 + \frac{d_1 e_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^n - x^*)^2
\]
\[
+ \left(-\frac{a_{12} a_{21}}{a_{21}} \frac{d_2 a_{12}}{2(1 - \eta_2)e_2 a_{21}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i^n - y^*)^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{d_1}{2(1 - \eta_1)e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_{ii}^n - u_i^*)^2
\]
\[
- D_1 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \sqrt{x_{i-1}^n - x_i^n} \right)^2
\]
\[
- D_1 \left( \sqrt{x_{i}^n - x_{i+1}^n} \right)^2 + o(1 + o(\rho_1))
\]
\[
- D_2 \left( \sqrt{y_{i}^n - y_{i+1}^n} \right)^2
\]
\[
- D_2 \left( \sqrt{y_{i}^n - y_{i-1}^n} \right)^2 + o(1) + o(\rho_2).
\] (35)

If \((d_1 e_1/2(1 - \eta_1)) \leq 1, (d_2 e_2/2(1 - \eta_2)) \leq 1, (d_1 a_{12} / (1 - \eta_1) e_2 a_{21}) \leq 0\) or \((d_2 e_2/2(1 - \eta_2)) \leq 1, (d_2 e_2/2(1 - \eta_2)) \leq 0\) and \(\eta_1 < 1, \eta_2 < 1\) hold, \(\Delta V^n \leq 0\). The proof is completed.

4. Example and Numerical Simulations

In the following example, we will show the feasibility of our main results and discuss the effects of feedback controls. Take \(i = 2\) in the system; we obtain a model with feedback controls as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i^n &= x_i^n \exp(r_i - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_1 u_{ii}^n) + D_1 \nabla^2 x_i^n,
\dot{y}_i^n &= y_i^n \exp(r_2 + a_{31} x_2^n - y_i^n - d_2 u_{2i}^n) + D_2 \nabla^2 y_i^n,
\dot{u}_{ii}^n &= (1 - \eta_1)u_{ii}^n + e_1 x_i^n,
\dot{u}_{2i}^n &= (1 - \eta_2)u_{2i}^n + e_2 y_i^n,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_i^n = x_i^n \exp(r_i - x_i^n - a_{12} y_i^n - d_1 u_{ii}^n) + D_1 \nabla^2 x_i^n,
\dot{y}_i^n = y_i^n \exp(r_2 + a_{31} x_2^n - y_i^n - d_2 u_{2i}^n) + D_2 \nabla^2 y_i^n,
\dot{u}_{ii}^n = (1 - \eta_1)u_{ii}^n + e_1 x_i^n,
\dot{u}_{2i}^n = (1 - \eta_2)u_{2i}^n + e_2 y_i^n,
\end{cases}
\] (36)

with the periodic boundary conditions
Solutions \( x^n \) and \( y^n \) for systems (36) and (37) with initial conditions \((0, 0.34, 0.36, 0.34, 0.28, 0.31, 0.28, 0.31, 0.59, 0.52, 0.45, 0.54)\) when \( d_2 = 0.8 \).

Figure 1: Dynamic behaviors of systems (36) and (37) with three sets of different initial conditions when \( r_1 = 1.2, r_2 = 1, a_{12} = 0.8, a_{21} = 0.3, e_1 = 0.7, e_2 = 0.6, \eta_1 = 0.5, \eta_2 = 0.6, d_1 = 1, d_2 = 1, D_1 = 0.3, \) and \( D_2 = 0.4 \).

Table 1: Different initial values for \( x^0_1, x^0_2, y^0_1, y^0_2, u^0_{11}, u^0_{12}, u^0_{21}, u^0_{22} \)

|      | \( x^0_1 \) | \( x^0_2 \) | \( y^0_1 \) | \( y^0_2 \) | \( u^0_{11} \) | \( u^0_{12} \) | \( u^0_{21} \) | \( u^0_{22} \) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1    | 0.22        | 0.35        | 0.27        | 0.22        | 0.40        | 0.46        | 0.45        | 0.52        |
| 2    | 0.33        | 0.26        | 0.23        | 0.28        | 0.47        | 0.42        | 0.48        | 0.43        |
| 3    | 0.25        | 0.23        | 0.21        | 0.30        | 0.43        | 0.41        | 0.53        | 0.56        |

\[
\begin{align*}
&x_1^0 = x_2^0, \\
&y_1^0 = y_2^0, \\
&u_{11}^0 = u_{21}^0, \\
&u_{12}^0 = u_{22}^0. \\
&x_1^n = x_2^n, \\
&y_1^n = y_2^n, \\
&u_{11}^n = u_{21}^n, \\
&u_{12}^n = u_{22}^n.
\end{align*}
\] (37)

To illustrate our purposes, the parameter values are chosen as follows (the choice of parameter values is hypothetical with appropriate units and not based on data): \( r_1 = 1.2, r_2 = 1, a_{12} = 0.8, a_{21} = 0.3, d_1 = 1, d_2 = 1, 0.3, D_1 = 0.3, D_2 = 0.4, e_1 = 0.7, e_2 = 0.6, \eta_1 = 0.5, \) and \( \eta_2 = 0.6; \) then, there is only a unique positive equilibrium \( E^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, y_1^*, y_2^*, u_{11}^*, u_{12}^*, u_{21}^*, u_{22}^*) = (0.3181, 0.3181, 0.5487, 0.5487, 0.4453, 0.4453, 0.5487, 0.5487) \) It is easy to see that the conditions in Theorem 2 are verified. Dynamic behaviors of systems (36) and (37) with the initial conditions are shown in Figure 1, and three sets of different initial conditions are listed in Table 1. The simulations can illustrate the fact that the positive equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable.

To explore clearly the dynamical behavior of systems (36) and (37), we investigate the effect of diffusion parameter \( d_2 \) by keeping other parameters of the system fixed. Figure 2 exhibits in detail an interesting situation when
Based on the backstepping recursive technique, a neural network-based finite-time control strategy is proposed for a class of non-strict-feedback nonlinear systems [31], and an event-triggered robust fuzzy adaptive prescribed performance finite-time control strategy is proposed for a class of strict-feedback nonlinear systems with external disturbances [32]. How to apply these control schemes on the discrete diffusion models may be worth considering.

It is well known that noise disturbance is unavoidable in real systems, and it has an important effect on the stability of systems. Also, noise can be used to stabilize a given unstable system or to make a system even more stable when the system is already stable which reveals that the stochastic feedback control can stabilize and destabilize the deterministic systems [33, 34]. Therefore, it will be interesting and challenging to investigate stabilization or destabilization of non-linear discrete space-time systems by stochastic feedback control in our future work.
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