High CD3 and ICOS and low TIM-3 expression predict favourable survival in resected oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma
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With the increasing oncological potential of immunotherapy, several immune checkpoint modulators are being investigated. The value of immune markers, including programmed cell death ligand-1, programmed cell death-1 (PD-1), inducible co-stimulator (ICOS), lymphocyte activation gene-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-dominant containing-3 (TIM-3), is not well known. Using tissue microarrays of 396 patients who underwent surgery for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), infiltrated T-cell subsets (CD3, CD8, and Foxp3) and checkpoint protein expression were scored. With a median follow-up of 24.8 months, CD3+ TIL subsets (50.0%) had longer median recurrence-free survival (RFS, 55.0 vs 21.4 months) and overall survival (OS, 77.7 vs 35.8 months). Patients with high ICOS expression (46.5%) had longer median RFS (53.9 vs 25.3 months) and OS (88.8 vs 36.9 months). For PD-1, RFS (hazard ratio [HR] 0.67) and OS (HR 0.66) were significantly longer in the high-expression group (45.2%). In the multivariate analysis, high TIM-3 expression (50.8%) had a significant relationship with shorter RFS (HR = 1.52) and OS (HR = 1.60). High CD3+ TIL and T-cell ICOS expression were associated with favourable prognosis, whereas high TIM-3 expression suggested a poor prognosis. Our findings may confer new insights to improve ESCC outcomes beyond the application of PD-1 blockade.

Oesophageal cancer is the sixth leading cause of global cancer-related death. Despite developments in surgical and radiation techniques and chemotherapeutic agents, the prognosis of advanced oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is generally poor, with a <35% 5-year survival rate. There are two types of oesophageal cancer: ESCC and oesophageal adenocarcinoma. In Eastern Asia, >90% of oesophageal cancer cases are ESCC, with growing recognition that ESCC and oesophageal adenocarcinoma have distinct phenotypes, aetiologies, and pathogeneses. The seventh and upcoming eighth edition cancer staging systems of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) assign separate guidelines for staging these two cancer types.

Immune escape occurs when cancer cells evade the host immune system. The interaction between programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1) and programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) is a common and important immune-evading mechanism in many cancer types and blocking this interaction has emerged as a breakthrough in cancer therapy. Since late 2016, PD-1 inhibitors have been approved for melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and head and neck cancer by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration; compared with old cytotoxic agents, PD-1 inhibitors have superior efficacy with an improved safety profile in various tumour types. These checkpoint inhibitors have been adapted to daily clinical practice, dramatically changing malignant tumour
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treatment. Clinical trials with pembrolizumab and nivolumab are ongoing and include almost every tumour type from solid tumours to haematologic malignancies and from neoadjuvant and adjuvant to the palliative setting.18

Besides the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, agents modulating other checkpoints, including inducible co-stimulator (ICOS), lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-dominant containing-3 (TIM-3), and T-cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domains (TIGIT), are actively under development.6–10 With PD-1/PD-L1 blockade as a backbone, combination approaches with these immune checkpoint modulators appear promising; this strategy may help overcome resistance to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. Despite this approach, studies evaluating the prognostic and predictive impacts in each cancer type have produced inconsistent results.11,12 The roles of PD-1, PD-L1, and other immune checkpoints in various cancer types remain unknown. Moreover, the clinical implications of various immune receptors in ESCC, including PD-1, PD-L1, LAG-3, and TIM-3, in surgically resected ESCC and also determined their prognostic value in identifying potential therapeutic targets.

Results
Baseline characteristics. Overall, 407 patients who underwent surgical resection for ESCC were identified. Eleven cases were excluded due to incomplete staining, and 396 eligible ESCC tumour samples were assessed. They were evaluated for PD-L1 expression (on both tumour and immune cells) and CD3, CD8, Foxp3, ICOS, LAG-3, and TIM-3 expression (Supplementary Fig. 1). Table 1 shows the patients’ baseline characteristics. The median age was 64 years (range: 41–83 years); most patients were male (93.4%) and were current or former smokers (73.5%). The percentages of patients in stage I, II, III, and IV at surgery were 21.2%, 45.7%, 30.0%, and 3.0%, respectively. None of the patients received neoadjuvant treatment. During the median follow-up period of 24.8 (range 0.5–210) months, 129 (32.6%) patients experienced recurrence, and 181 (45.7%) deaths occurred. The 5-year overall survival (OS) rate was 44.9% in the total population.

Prognostic impact of CD3⁺, CD8⁺, and Foxp3⁺ T-cell lymphocyte density. Patients were grouped into low- and high-frequency groups based on tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) according to a median value of 252/4HPFs for CD3⁺ TILs, 96/4HPFs for CD8⁺ TILs, and 0/4HPFs for Foxp3⁺ TILs. The association between the frequency of CD3⁺, CD8⁺, and Foxp3⁺ T-cell lymphocytes and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients are shown in Supplementary Tables 1–3, respectively. High densities of both CD3⁺ TIL and Foxp3⁺ TILs were associated with high pathologic T and TNM stage (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). The high-frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (n = 198, 50.0%) had a significant longer RFS (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.61, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.46–0.81, P = 0.0005) and OS (HR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.44–0.80, P = 0.0005) than the low frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (Fig. 1A,B). The high- and low-frequency CD3⁺ TILs groups had medians of 35.0 and 21.4 months for RFS and medians of 77.7 and 35.8 months for OS, respectively. After adjusting for age, sex, smoking status, and various T-cell marker expression levels, multivariate analysis demonstrated a low risk of disease relapse (HR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.41–0.78, P < 0.001) and death (HR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.74, P < 0.001) in the high-frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (Tables 2 and 3). However, there was no prognostic association between the density of CD8⁺ TILs or Foxp3⁺ TILs and RFS or OS (Fig. 1C–F).

Prognostic implication of ICOS, LAG-3, PD-1, and TIM-3 expression in immune cells. We investigated the expression of immune receptors (ICOS, LAG-3, PD-1, and TIM-3) in immune cells. The median values of ICOS, LAG-3, and PD-1 expression in immune cells were 0/4HPFs, 22/4HPFs, and 0/4HPFs, respectively. The abundance of TIM-3 expression, the proportion of TIM-3-positive immune cells was not numbered into low- and high-frequency groups based on tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) according to a median value of 252/4HPFs for CD3⁺ TILs, 96/4HPFs for CD8⁺ TILs, and 0/4HPFs for Foxp3⁺ TILs. The association between the frequency of CD3⁺, CD8⁺, and Foxp3⁺ T-cell lymphocytes and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients are shown in Supplementary Tables 1–3, respectively. High densities of both CD3⁺ TIL and Foxp3⁺ TILs were associated with high pathologic T and TNM stage (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). The high-frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (n = 198, 50.0%) had a significant longer RFS (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.61, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.46–0.81, P = 0.0005) and OS (HR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.44–0.80, P = 0.0005) than the low frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (Fig. 1A,B). The high- and low-frequency CD3⁺ TILs groups had medians of 35.0 and 21.4 months for RFS and medians of 77.7 and 35.8 months for OS, respectively. After adjusting for age, sex, smoking status, and various T-cell marker expression levels, multivariate analysis demonstrated a low risk of disease relapse (HR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.41–0.78, P < 0.001) and death (HR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.74, P < 0.001) in the high-frequency CD3⁺ TILs group (Tables 2 and 3). However, there was no prognostic association between the density of CD8⁺ TILs or Foxp3⁺ TILs and RFS or OS (Fig. 1C–F).

Prognostic implication of ICOS, LAG-3, PD-1, and TIM-3 expression in immune cells. We investigated the expression of immune receptors (ICOS, LAG-3, PD-1, and TIM-3) in immune cells. The median values of ICOS, LAG-3, and PD-1 expression in immune cells were 0/4HPFs, 22/4HPFs, and 0/4HPFs, respectively. The abundance of TIM-3 expression, the proportion of TIM-3-positive immune cells was not numbered into low- and high-frequency groups based on tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) according to a median value of 252/4HPFs for CD3⁺ TILs, 96/4HPFs for CD8⁺ TILs, and 0/4HPFs for Foxp3⁺ TILs. The association between the frequency of CD3⁺, CD8⁺, and Foxp3⁺ T-cell lymphocytes and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients are shown in Supplementary Tables 1–3, respectively. Fisher’s exact test indicated that low ICOS expression was associated with smoking. Additionally, low pathologic T and pathologic TNM stage was related to high LAG-3 expression. There was no significant association between PD-1 expression and the clinical characteristics. In the survival analysis of these markers, patients with high ICOS expression (n = 184, 46.5%) demonstrated a significantly longer RFS (HR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.55–0.95, P = 0.021) and OS (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50–0.89, P = 0.007) compared to that in patients with low ICOS expression (Fig. 2A,B). The high and low ICOS groups had medians of 53.9 and 25.3 months, respectively, for RFS and medians of 88.8 and 36.9 months, respectively, for OS. Similar results were observed in univar- and multivariate Cox analyses comparing high vs low ICOS expression (Tables 2 and 3; HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50–0.89, P = 0.007 for recurrence; and HR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.45–0.85, P = 0.003 for survival, all in multivariate analysis). LAG-3 expression was not associated with RFS and OS duration in either Kaplan–Meier or univar- and multivariate analyses (Fig. 2C,D, and Table 2). Regarding PD-1 expression, RFS (HR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50–0.88, P = 0.004) and OS (HR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.49–0.88, P = 0.006) were significantly better in the high-expression group (n = 179) than in the low-expression group (n = 217) (Fig. 2E,F). While there was a trend toward better survival in patients with high PD-1 expression, Cox regression analysis indicated that associations between survival and PD-1 expression were non-significant (Tables 2 and 3). TIM-3 expression had no prognostic value for RFS and OS in the Kaplan–Meier analysis (Fig. 2G,H). However, in the univar- and multivariate analyses using Cox regression, high TIM-3 expression (n = 201, 50.8%) was associated with a higher probability of recurrence (HR = 1.52, 95% CI: 1.10–2.10, P = 0.011 in multivariate analysis) and death (HR = 1.60, 95% CI: 1.13–2.27, P = 0.008 in multivariate analysis) (Tables 2 and 3). To refer our finding to an independent patient cohort, we applied gene expression to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) ESCC samples regarding TIM-3 (n = 85, Supplementary Fig. 2). At first, mRNA expression data of hepatitis A virus cellular
receptor 2 (HAVCR2) gene, which encodes the TIM-3 protein in ESCC, were obtained from the Genomic Data Commons Data Portal (CDC) in TCGA and OncoLnc. OncoLnc is a resource to link TCGA survival data to mRNA, miRNA, or lncRNA expression (available at http://www.oncolnc.org13,14. There was no difference in OS between the high and low HAVCR2 mRNA expressions (median OS, 42.1 and 18.9 months, respectively, HR = 0.67, 95% CI 0.3–1.48, P = 0.32).

PD-L1 immunohistochemistry. Among 396 patients, 101 (25.5%), 89 (22.5%), 60 (15.7%), 33 (8.3%), and 14 (3.5%) had positive IHC staining of PD-L1 in ≥1%, ≥5%, ≥10%, ≥25%, and ≥50% of tumour cells, respectively. For immune cells, 189 (47.7%), 141 (35.6%), 97 (24.4%), 44 (11.1%), and 21 (5.3%) patients had ≥1%, ≥5%, ≥10%, ≥25%, and ≥50% of cells showing PD-L1 IHC staining, respectively. Seventy-four percent of tumour cells and 52% of immune cells did not express PD-L1 (Supplementary Fig. 3). With a 5% cut-off point, no significant correlation was observed between T-cell frequency and age, sex, tumour location, smoking status, and TNM stage. However, higher PD-L1 expression in tumour cells was related to a higher N stage (Supplementary Tables 8 and 9).

| Characteristics                      | N (%)          |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Age, years                           |                |
| Median (range)                       | 64 (41–83)     |
| Sex                                  |                |
| Male                                 | 370 (93.4%)    |
| Female                               | 26 (6.6%)      |
| Location                             |                |
| Upper                                | 24 (6.1%)      |
| Middle                               | 84 (21.2%)     |
| Lower                                | 288 (72.7%)    |
| Tumor grade                          |                |
| Well                                 | 72 (18.2%)     |
| Moderate                             | 261 (65.9%)    |
| Poorly                               | 63 (15.9%)     |
| Smoking status*                      |                |
| Never smoker                         | 103 (26.0%)    |
| Former smoker                        | 100 (25.2%)    |
| Current smoker                       | 193 (48.7%)    |
| Smoking dosage, pack-years           |                |
| Median                               | 25             |
| Range                                | 0–100          |
| pT stage                             |                |
| T1                                   | 119 (30.1%)    |
| T2                                   | 81 (20.5%)     |
| T3                                   | 181 (45.7%)    |
| T4                                   | 15 (3.8%)      |
| pN stage                             |                |
| N0                                   | 202 (51.0%)    |
| N1                                   | 165 (41.7%)    |
| N2                                   | 20 (5.1%)      |
| N3                                   | 9 (2.3%)       |
| pTNM stage (AJCC 7th edition)        |                |
| I                                    | 84 (21.2%)     |
| II                                   | 181 (45.7%)    |
| III                                  | 119 (30.0%)    |
| IV                                   | 12 (3.0%)      |
| Adjuvant therapy                     |                |
| Yes                                  | 346 (87.4%)    |
| No                                   | 50 (12.6%)     |

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics in 396 ESCC Patients. *Never smokers, a lifetime smoking dose of fewer than 100 cigarettes; former smokers, those who have stopped smoking for more than 1 year; current smokers, those who currently smoke or have quit for less than 1 year. AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; ESCC, oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
Prognostic impact of PD-L1 expression on tumour and immune cells. PD-L1 expression on tumour and immune cells with various cut-offs (1%, 5%, 10%, 25%, and 50%) were evaluated among 396 samples and analysed in relation to the survival data (Fig. 3). The median PD-L1 expression rate was 0% in both tumour and immune cells, while the corresponding means were 5.6% and 8.0%, respectively. Even after incorporating various cut-off points in tumour and immune cells, our data indicated no significant correlation between PD-L1 expression and RFS and OS. Multivariate Cox analysis showed similar results (Tables 2 and 3). Median RFS and OS of patients with PD-L1 expression in ≥50% immune cells (n = 21, 5.3%) were numerically greater than those in patients with PD-L1 expression in <50% of cells (median RFS: 111.1 vs 30.7 months, P = 0.355, median OS: 111.1 vs 42.3 months, P = 0.367). However, this difference was not statistically significant.

Relationships among expression of various immune markers. Overall, the median expression levels of FoxP3, ICOS, PD-1, and PD-L1 were 0, and any expression from these markers was considered as a “higher expression”. Moreover, the expression of one immune marker has close associations with other markers (Supplementary Table 10). After performing Spearman’s rank correlation analysis, CD8+ TIL expression was related with LAG-3, PD-1, TIM-3, and PD-L1 expression in immune cells (P < 0.001, respectively). Two immune markers, CD3 and ICOS, that displayed a prognostic role in this study were linked (r = 0.128, P = 0.011). However, no significant association was present between CD8 and ICOS expression or between ICOS and LAG-3 expression.

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier analysis for probability of recurrence (A,C,E) and survival (B,D,F) based on the density of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes. CD3 (A,B), CD8 (C,D), and Foxp3 (E,F). TIL, tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte.
Combining two or more anti-tumour drugs for improving cancer treatment is not a new concept in oncology, and it is also valid in the immuno-oncology era. Combination therapy involving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors with other immune checkpoint modulators has been considered an important strategy to overcome primary and secondary resistances to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Several immune modulators are being investigated in pre-clinical models and clinical trials; however, our understanding of these checkpoints is incomplete, especially in ESCC.

### Table 2. Uni- and Multivariate Cox Regression Analyses Estimating the Association of Various Immune Markers with Recurrence. Associations determined by Cox proportional hazards regression and adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, and TNM stage. *Definition of high or low for each immune marker is described in the main manuscript. ESCC, oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.*

| Category | HR (95% CI) | P-value | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Age | | | | |
| ≥60 or <60 (ref) | 1.58 (1.16–2.22) | 0.004 | 1.41 (1.03–1.94) | 0.031 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Female or male (ref) | 0.57 (0.30–1.07) | 0.055 | 0.64 (0.32–1.28) | 0.211 |
| Smoking | | | | |
| Ever-smoker or never-smoker (ref) | 1.38 (0.99–1.91) | 0.053 | 1.48 (1.07–2.06) | 0.019 |
| Tumor Location | | | | |
| Lower or middle or lower (ref) | 1.21 (0.77–1.91) | 0.771 | 1.25 (0.78–1.98) | 0.778 |
| Tumor Grade | | | | |
| Poorly or moderate or well (ref) | 1.29 (0.94–1.00) | 0.863 | 1.30 (0.77–2.65) | 0.556 |
| CD3+ T-cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 0.48 (0.32–0.71) | <0.001 | 0.57 (0.41–0.78) | <0.001 |
| CD8+ T-cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 1.29 (0.91–1.12) | 0.146 | 1.31 (0.92–1.85) | 0.132 |
| Foxp3+ T-cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 1.33 (0.97–1.82) | 0.077 | 1.29 (0.94–1.77) | 0.114 |
| ICOS+ immune cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 0.62 (0.45–0.85) | 0.003 | 0.67 (0.50–0.89) | 0.007 |
| LAG-3+ immune cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 0.98 (0.70–1.38) | 0.910 | 0.95 (0.67–1.35) | 0.777 |
| PD-L1+ immune cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 0.78 (0.57–1.07) | 0.129 | 0.77 (0.56–1.06) | 0.112 |
| TIM-3+ Immune cell | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 1.44 (1.02–2.03) | 0.040 | 1.52 (1.10–2.10) | 0.011 |
| PD-L1+ tumor cell (5% or more) | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 1.16 (0.83–1.62) | 0.391 | 1.18 (0.85–1.65) | 0.325 |
| PD-L1+ immune cell (5% or more) | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 0.94 (0.67–1.31) | 0.700 | 0.91 (0.65–1.28) | 0.602 |
| Stage III, IV vs I, II | | | | |
| High or low (ref) | 3.26 (2.42–4.37) | <0.001 | 3.06 (2.30–4.05) | <0.001 |

**Combination of CD3+ TIL with ICOS, PD-1, and TIM-3 expression.** We classified ESCC subjects into four subgroups based on CD3+ TIL positivity and ICOS expression, and the same method was applied for CD3 with PD-1 and CD3 with TIM-3, as these were proposed to have a prognostic impact in the current analysis. The Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed significant differences in RFS among these four types in each subgroup (Supplementary Fig. 4). Consistent with the strong prognostic characteristics of ICOS and PD-1, CD3+ subgroups with positive ICOS or PD-1 expression showed the longest RFS (median: 88.8 and 58.0 months, respectively) compared with subgroups that were CD3+/ICOS- or CD3+/PD-1 (median: 18.6 and 17.6 months, respectively). Furthermore, consistent with the poor prognostic profile of TIM-3, subgroups that were CD3+/TIM-3- demonstrated the longest RFS (median: 59.7 vs 3.5 months in CD3+/TIM-3-). However, these correlations with RFS did not translate into OS.

**Discussion**

ESCC is one of the most aggressive cancers. Definitive surgical therapy, including oesophagectomy, is considered a standard treatment for resectable diseases; however, >50% of patients undergo local recurrence and/or distant metastasis. Even with recent improvements in sequencing techniques and precision medicine, molecularly targeted therapy has a limited role. Furthermore, traditional cytotoxic agents have not demonstrated progress in the last decade. Therefore, ESCC prognosis is dismal, with a high unmet need. In other cancer types such as lung cancer, immune checkpoint inhibitors, represented by PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, have recently shown promising efficacy in treating advanced/metastatic ESCC. In a clinical trial, the objective response rate of 17% and a median OS of 10.8 months appear to be encouraging considering the heavily treated characteristics in the study population. Despite the impressive outcomes of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitory cancer immunotherapy, efficacy is suboptimal, and most patients with many tumour types do not show a response. Thus, the focus has shifted to targeting alternative immune checkpoints receptors.

Combining two or more anti-tumour drugs for improving cancer treatment is not a new concept in oncology, and it is also valid in the immuno-oncology era. Combination therapy involving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors with other immune checkpoint modulators has been considered an important strategy to overcome primary and secondary resistances to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Several immune modulators are being investigated in pre-clinical models and clinical trials; however, our understanding of these checkpoints is incomplete, especially in ESCC.
Furthermore, the expression level cut-offs, associations with clinicopathological features, and prognostic impact of these various checkpoints have not been established for ESCC. The PD-L1 expression level has a critical role in T-cell regulation, but the precise significance varies among studies and cancer types. 22

We comprehensively investigated the prognostic impact of immune cell infiltration and various immune checkpoints, including PD-L1, PD-1, ICOS, LAG-3, and TIM-3, in resected ESCC. Additionally, the significance of clinical factors such as sex, age, smoking status, and TNM stage was explored. The most significant prognostic factor was the TNM stage (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5). Given that the number of stage IV subjects was small, it may not have a significant impact on OS and mitigate the influence of immune markers.

Several studies report that TILs have a positive prognostic effect across various cancer types. 23–25 TILs have an important role in anticancer immune responses and the cancer immunity cycle. However, TILs are divided into several subgroups based on cell surface antigen expression. Each subset has a distinct interactive function between the tumour and tumour microenvironments and sometimes the roles differ across cancer types. 27 CD3+ T-cells, and Foxp3 is the distinct transcription marker of regulatory T-cells. 29 Among different lymphocyte types, CD8 T-cells generally represent cytotoxic T-cells, and whole tumour-infiltrating T-cells. 28 CD8 is a transmembrane protein that is exclusively expressed in the T-cell pedigree and thus is considered to represent a mature T-cell marker and whole tumour-infiltrating T-cells. 28 The PD-L1 expression level has a critical role in anti-tumour activity. 30–32 One meta-analysis showed that CD8+ TIL density showed good prognostic impact, whereas CD8+ TILs and Foxp3+ TILs did not. 30–32 We attempted to investigate stromal and intra-tumoural CD3+ or CD8+ TILs independently; however, TIL abundance made it unfeasible. One meta-analysis showed that CD8+ TILs were associated with good prognosis; however, it included a heterogeneous population of patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. 33

To our knowledge, we are the first to report ICOS, LAG-3, and TIM-3 expressions and their clinical implications in a large ESCC cohort. As expected, ICOS, LAG-3, and TIM-3 expressions were related to PD-1 expression on TILs, possibly indicating the feedback nature of the immune system (Supplementary Table 10).

ICOS is a surface antigen in T-cells, and its expression is low in naïve T-cells. Once ICOS is stimulated by both the T-cell receptor and CD28 signals, it is upregulated in activated T-cells. 34 Co-stimulation by ICOS and ICOS ligand confers an antitumour response, but ICOS signalling also engages regulatory T-cell activity induction. 35 Thus, ICOS might have a dual role in oncogenesis. The prognostic impact of ICOS expression is inconsistent

### Table 3. Uni- and Multivariate Cox Regression Analyses Estimating the Association of Various Immune Markers with Patients’ Survival. Associations determined by Cox proportional hazards regression and adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, and TNM stage. *Definition of high or low for each immune marker is described in the main manuscript. ESCC, oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.*

| Category* | HR  | 95% CI  | P value | HR  | 95% CI  | P value |
|-----------|-----|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|
| Age       |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| ≥60 or <60 (ref) | 1.66 | 1.18–2.31 | 0.003  | 1.47 | 1.05–2.06 | 0.026  |
| Sex       |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| Female or male (ref) | 0.60 | 0.30–1.17 | 0.131  | 0.69 | 0.32–0.70 | 0.313  |
| Smoking   |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| Ever-smoker or never-smoker (ref) | 1.32 | 0.94–1.86 | 0.110  | 1.44 | 1.01–2.04 | 0.042  |
| Tumor Location |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| Lower or middle or lower (ref) | 1.29 | 0.46–2.16 | 0.64–2.64 | 1.00 | 1.297 | 1.40 | 0.50–2.22 | 1.15 | 0.72–2.52 | 1.00 | 1.320 |
| Tumor Grade |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| Poorly or moderate or well (ref) | 1.15 | 0.71–1.87 | 0.70–1.53 | 0.58 | 0.86 | 1.10 | 0.70–1.89 | 0.98 | 0.68–1.55 | 0.69 | 0.85 |
| CD3+ T-cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 0.48 | 0.32–0.71 | <0.001  | 0.52 | 0.37–0.74 | <0.001  |
| CD8+ T-cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 1.38 | 0.97–1.99 | 0.078  | 1.38 | 0.96–1.98 | 0.087  |
| Foxp3+ T-cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 1.29 | 0.93–1.79 | 0.133  | 1.29 | 0.93–1.80 | 0.132  |
| ICOS+ immune cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 0.61 | 0.43–0.85 | 0.004  | 0.61 | 0.45–0.85 | 0.003  |
| LAG-3+ immune cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 1.06 | 0.74–1.52 | 0.747  | 1.03 | 0.71–1.49 | 0.876  |
| PD-L1+ immune cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 0.81 | 0.58–1.14 | 0.220  | 0.80 | 0.57–1.12 | 0.192  |
| TIM-3+ Immune cell |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 1.53 | 1.06–2.22 | 0.024  | 1.60 | 1.13–2.27 | 0.008  |
| PD-L1+ tumor cell (5% or more) |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 0.96 | 0.68–1.41 | 0.891  | 1.00 | 0.69–1.44 | 0.987  |
| PD-L1+ immune cell (5% or more) |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 0.76 | 0.52–1.10 | 0.146  | 0.73 | 0.50–1.07 | 0.106  |
| Stage III, IV vs I, II |     |         |         |     |         |         |
| High or low (ref) | 3.66 | 2.67–5.00 | <0.001  | 3.34 | 2.47–4.51 | <0.001  |
across various tumour types (e.g., melanoma, colorectal cancer, breast cancer, gastric cancer, and renal cell carcinoma), and these clinical findings support the dual role of ICOS in carcinogenesis. In our study, high ICOS expression in immune cells was significantly associated with longer RFS and OS in the Kaplan-Meier method and multivariate Cox regression model (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Our findings suggest that ICOS-expressing immune cells include tumour neoantigen-specific T-cells and are associated with favourable prognosis, at least in ESCC. However, this should be validated in an independent patient cohort and in clinical trials with ICOS-targeted therapies.

Despite the existence of several LAG-3-related studies, data regarding the prognostic impact of LAG-3 in most cancer types are limited. Two reports on NSCLC have contradictory results. Moreover, one exploratory study

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier analysis for probability of recurrence (A,C,E,G) and survival (B,D,F,H) based on the expression of each immune checkpoint. ICOS (A,B), LAG-3 (C,D), PD-1 (E,F), TIM-3 (G,H). ICOS, inducible co-stimulator; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation gene-3; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-dominant containing-3.
showed that LAG-3 expression was associated with good prognosis in resected ESCC; however, this is inconsistent with the current data. As a marker of CD8+ T-cell dysfunction or exhaustion, TIM-3 is occasionally co-expressed with PD-1 in CD8+ T-cells in several tumors. Moreover, TIM-3 is expressed in natural killer cells, regulatory T-cells, dendritic cells, B-cells, macrophages, and other myeloid cells. TIM-3 expression inhibited anti-tumour immunity by T-cell exhaustion, suppressed immune response from the status of innate immunity, and exhibited pro-tumour activity by promoting myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Consistent with the previously known immune regulatory role of TIM-3 in oncogenesis, TIM-3 expression is associated with poor prognosis in several cancers. The high probability of recurrence or death was also related to high TIM-3 expression in the present study, which is the first to report the prognostic impact of TIM-3 in ESCC. In several preclinical studies, blocking TIM-3 enhanced cancer immunity by T-cell proliferation and cytokine production, as well as combination with PD-1 blockade, also demonstrated a remarkable synergy in these models. One important issue regarding blocking TIM-3 might be related to their expression levels in various tumour-infiltrating immune cells. Most patients (92%, 364/396, data not shown) in the present study expressed TIM-3 in T-cells. Thus, based on the high frequency of TIM-3 expression and its demonstrated poor prognostic value, TIM-3 may be a potential target candidate in ESCC. In conjunction with the current role of PD-1 blocking agents in ESCC, the use of TIM-3-targeted therapy in combination with PD-1 blockade may be a promising strategy. Although more studies are needed, our study may provide some evidence supporting the approach to target TIM-3 in ESCC.

Together with advances in PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, the relationship of PD-1/PD-L1 expression and its prognostic impact in various tumour types are gaining increased attention. Although the Kaplan-Meier method revealed that high PD-1 expression in TILs was associated with better RFS and OS, in Cox regression analyses, patients with high PD-1 expression had increased risk of relapse and death compared with those with low PD-1 expression. Considering the high association with PD-1 and other immune checkpoints, the overlap and diverse interaction between various immune checkpoints may attenuate the prognostic impact of PD-1 in ESCC (Supplementary Table 10).
Regarding PD-L1, 25.5% (101/396) of patients showed PD-L1 expression in ≥1% of tumour cells and in 47.7% (189/396) of immune cells (Supplementary Fig. 3). We used various cut-offs of PD-L1 expression in tumour or immune cells to determine associations with prognosis, but we did not identify any meaningful associations with recurrence or survival. Because blocking PD-1/PD-L1 has gained much attention, several studies have investigated the prognostic role of PD-L1 expression in ESCC. However, these studies presented inconsistent results\(^{30}\). Some studies reported that PD-L1 expression was associated with poor prognosis, but another study indicated it was not associated with poor prognosis. A meta-analysis showed a positive but statistically non-significant trend in prognosis with PD-L1 expression\(^{45}\). In our study, there were no clinically significant relationships between survival and PD-L1 expression in tumour or immune cells. These conflicting results might derive from differences in the patient population, staining method and antibodies, and definition of PD-L1 positivity. Thus, heterogeneity in the prognostic role of PD-L1 has been observed in several cancer types.

Our study has some limitations. First, it was retrospective in nature. Second, it did not include the localisation information of each marker and therefore did not provide data on the spatial distribution of immune cells. Furthermore, since most patients underwent oesophagectomy when the neoadjuvant concurrent chemoradiation data was published and incorporated into clinical practice, none of the patients received preoperative treatment\(^ {49}\). Additionally, no patient underwent immunotherapy; thus, this study did not provide any data regarding the response to immune checkpoint modulators.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that a high frequency of CD3\(^ {+}\) TILs and high ICOS expression on immune cells are related to a favourable prognosis in ESCC. Furthermore, TIM-3 expression in immune cells was associated with poor prognosis in uni- and multivariate analyses. Our findings may help improve ESCC outcomes beyond the application of PD-1 blockade. These discoveries have important implications for using antibody therapies in addition to the PD-1/PD-L1 signalling pathway, such as TIM-3, in ESCC.

**Methods**

**Patients and tissue samples.** The study population comprised ESCC patients who underwent radical surgical resection at Severance Hospital and Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea between 1996 and 2012. The inclusion criteria were (1) surgically resected ESCC with a curative aim and (2) availability of tumour tissue. Clinicopathologic data were collected and reviewed. Tumours were re-classified based on the 7\(^{th}\) edition of the AJCC TNM cancer staging system. Twelve subjects underwent oesophagectomy and were subsequently identified as having stage IV. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Severance Hospital and was conducted according to the ethical principles, guidelines, and relevant regulations. The IRB approved our research, and as the study was a retrospective review of subjects, the requirement for informed consent was waived.

**Tissue microarray preparation and IHC.** ESCC specimens were histologically reviewed by two experienced pathologists (Y.C. and S.S.). Haematoxylin- and eosin-stained sections from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were reviewed to identify the invasive carcinoma area. The most densely viable carcinoma areas were chosen as the representative areas (core – 3.0 mm). Tissue microarrays were constructed from these samples and assessed by immunohistochemical staining for PD-L1, PD-1, CD3, CD8, Foxp3 (forkhead box P3), ICOS, TIM-3, and LAG-3.

The following primary antibodies were used: PD-L1 (dilution 1:100; clone SP142; Ventana), PD-1 (dilution 1:100; clone NAT105; Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA), CD3 (dilution 1:200; LabVision, Fremont, CA, USA), CD8 (RTU; clone C8/144B; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), Foxp3 (dilution 1:100; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), ICOS (clone SP98; dilution 1:50; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA), TIM-3 (dilution 1:200; clone D5D5RTM; Cell Signaling), and LAG-3 (clone EPR4392(2); dilution 1:100; Abcam). The staining protocols were the same as published previously\(^ {46}\).

**IHC scoring.** Two well-experienced pathologists manually scored each IHC in the tissue microarray samples. PD-L1 expression on tumour and immune cells was analysed separately. Tumour cells showing any intensity of membranous and/or cytoplasmic staining were defined as having positive staining, and the proportion of PD-L1-positive tumour cells was estimated as the percentage of total tumour cells in the whole section. The pre-specified cut-off values at 1%, 5%, 10%, 10%, 25%, and 50% were established. The same method was applied to assess PD-L1 expression in immune cells. The expression was defined as high density for CD3, CD8, ICOS, Foxp3, LAG-3, and PD-1 when the expression level was above the median value per four high-power fields (4HPFs).

**Statistical analysis.** We investigated the association between various immune markers and clinical data using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test. Survival was analysed by the Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank for categorical variables. Uni- and multivariate analyses for predicting recurrence and survival were performed using the Cox regression method for the following variables: age, sex, smoking status, stage, histology, location, and each immune marker status. RFS was defined from the time of surgery to initial relapse or death. OS was defined as the time from the surgery until death from any cause or the most recent follow-up. The cases for OS included cases that were still alive and cases whose causes of death were not related to oesophageal cancer. Living patients were censored at the time of the last follow-up. Spearman’s rank correlation test was used to assess the relationship among various immune markers. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant by the Kaplan-Meier method.
Data availability
As per institutional policy, the datasets generated and analysed during the current study are publicly unavailable; however, they are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 4 July 2019; Accepted: 4 December 2019;
Published online: 27 December 2019

References
1. Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians 65, 5–29 (2015).
2. Chen, G., Wang, Z., Liu, X. Y. & Liu, F. Y. Recurrence pattern of squamous cell carcinoma in the middle thoracic esophagus after modified Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy. World journal of surgery 31, 1107–1114 (2007).
3. Xu, G. et al. Prognosis and Progression of ENCC Patients with Perineural Invasion. Scientific reports 7, 43828 (2017).
4. Rice, T. W., Ishwaran, H., Ferguson, M. K., Blackstone, E. H. & Goldastr, P. Cancer of the Esophagus and Esophageogastric Junction: An Eighth Edition Staging Primer. Journal of thoracic oncology: official publication of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer 12, 36–42 (2017).
5. Ni, L. & Dong, C. New checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Immunological reviews 276, 52–65 (2017).
6. Chiavenna, S. M., Jaworski, J. P. & Vendrell, A. State of the art in anti-cancer mAbs. Journal of biomedical science 24, 15 (2017).
7. Gong, J., Chehrazi-Raffle, A., Reddi, S. & Salgia, R. Development of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors as a form of cancer immunotherapy: a comprehensive review of registration trials and future considerations. Journal for immunotherapy of cancer 6, 8 (2018).
8. Amato, E., Gorvel, L. & Olive, D. Inducible Co-Stimulator (ICOS) as a potential therapeutic target for anti-cancer therapy. Expert opinion on therapeutic targets 22, 343–351 (2018).
9. Anderson, A. C., Joller, N. & Kuchroo, V. K. Lag-3, Tim-3, and TIGIT: Co-inhibitory Receptors with Specialized Functions in Immune Regulation. Immunity 44, 989–1004 (2016).
10. Anderson, A. C. Tim-3: an emerging target in the cancer immunotherapy landscape. Cancer immunology research 2, 393–398 (2014).
11. H-Lieskovian, S. & Ribas, A. New Combination Strategies Using Programmed Cell Death 1/Programmed Cell Death Ligand 1 Checkpoint Inhibitors as a Backbone. Cancer journal ( Sudbury, Mass. ) 23, 10–22 (2017).
12. Day, D. et al. From Famine to Feast: Developing Early-Phase Combination Immunotherapy Trials Wisely. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 23, 4980–4991 (2017).
13. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N. et al. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature 541, 169–175 (2017).
14. Anayi, J. OncoLnc: linking TCGA survival data to mRNAs, miRNAs, and lncRNAs. Peerj Computer Science 2, e67 (2016).
15. Smit, J. K. et al. Prognostic factors and patterns of recurrence in esophageal cancer assert arguments for extended two-field transthoracic esophagectomy. American journal of surgery 200, 446–453 (2010).
16. Doki, Y. et al. Association of the primary tumor location with the site of tumor recurrence after curative resection of thoracic esophageal carcinoma. World journal of surgery 29, 700–707 (2005).
17. Doi, T. et al. Safety and Antitumor Activity of the Anti-Programmed Death-1 Antibody Pembrolizumab in Patients With Advanced Esophageal Carcinoma. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 36, 61–67 (2018).
18. Kudo, T. et al. Nivolumab treatment for oesophageal squamous-cell carcinoma: an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 trial. The Lancet. Oncology 18, 631–639 (2017).
19. Ott, P. A., Hodi, F. S., Kaufman, H. L., Wigginton, J. M. & Wolchok, J. D. Combination immunotherapy: a road map. Journal for immunotherapy of cancer 5, 16 (2017).
20. Palmer, A. C. & Sorger, P. K. Combination Cancer Therapy Can Confer Benefit via Patient-to-Patient Variability without Drug Additivity or Synergy. Cell 171, 1678–1691 e1613 (2017).
21. Sathyanarayanan, V. & Neelapu, S. S. Cancer immunotherapy: Strategies for personalization and combinatorial approaches. Molecular oncology 9, 2043–2053 (2015).
22. Patel, S. P. & Kurzrock, R. PD-L1 Expression as a Predictive Biomarker in Cancer Immunotherapy. Molecular cancer therapeutics 14, 847–856 (2015).
23. Zhang, L. et al. Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. The New England journal of medicine 348, 203–213 (2003).
24. Sato, E. et al. Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102, 18538–18543 (2005).
25. Galon, J. et al. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. Science ( New York, N.Y. ) 313, 1960–1964 (2006).
26. Chen, D. S. & Mellman, I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity 39, 1–10 (2013).
27. Gooden, M. J., de Bock, G. H., Leffers, N., Daemen, T. & Nijman, H. W. The prognostic influence of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis. British journal of cancer 105, 93–103 (2011).
28. Matsumoto, H. et al. Increased CD4 and CD8-positive T cell infiltrate signifies good prognosis in a subset of triple-negative breast cancer. Breast cancer research and treatment 156, 237–247 (2016).
29. Lopez, M. et al. The role of regulatory T lymphocytes in the induced immune response mediated by biological vaccines. Immunobiology 211, 127–136 (2006).
30. Yagi, T. et al. PD-L1 Expression, Tumor-infiltrating Lymphocytes, and Clinical Outcome in Patients With Surgically Resected Esophageal Cancer. Annals of surgery 269, 471–478 (2019).
31. Jiang, D. et al. Tumour infiltrating lymphocytes correlate with improved survival in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Scientific reports 7, 44823 (2017).
32. Jingsheng, M. et al. Increased intraepithelial CD3+ T-lymphocytes and high PD-L1 expression on tumor cells are associated with a favorable prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and allow prognostic immunogenic subgrouping. Oncotarget 8, 46756–46768 (2017).
33. Zheng, X. et al. Prognostic Role of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Esophagus Cancer: a Meta-Analysis. Cellular physiology and biochemistry: international journal of experimental cellular physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology 45, 720–732 (2018).
34. Sharpe, A. H. & Freeman, G. J. The B7–CD28 superfamily. Nature reviews. Immunology 2, 116–126 (2002).
35. Wallin, J. J., Liang, L., Bakardjieva, A. & Sha, W. C. Enhancement of CD8+ T cell responses by ICOS/B7h costimulation. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md.: 1950) 167, 132–139 (2001).
36. Hald, S. M. et al. LAG-3 in Non-Small-cell Lung Cancer: Expression in Primary Tumors and Metastatic Lymph Nodes Is Associated With Improved Survival. Clinical lung cancer 19, 249–259 e242 (2018).
37. He, Y. et al. LAG-3 Protein Expression in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Its Relationship with PD-1/PD-1 and Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes. Journal of thoracic oncology: official publication of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer 12, 814–823 (2017).
38. Zhang, Y. et al. Prognostic Value of Lymphocyte Activation Gene-3 (LAG-3) Expression in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Journal of Cancer* **9**, 4287–4293 (2018).
39. Jiang, J. et al. Decreased galectin-9 and increased Tim-3 expression are related to poor prognosis in gastric cancer. *PloS one* **8**, e81799 (2013).
40. Zhuang, X. et al. Ectopic expression of TIM-3 in lung cancers: a potential independent prognostic factor for patients with NSCLC. *American journal of clinical pathology* **137**, 978–985 (2012).
41. He, Y. et al. TIM-3, a promising target for cancer immunotherapy. *OncoTargets and therapy* **11**, 7005–7009 (2018).
42. Tsutsumi, S. et al. Programmed death-ligand 1 expression at tumor invasive front is associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition and poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer science* **108**, 1119–1127 (2017).
43. van Hagen, P. et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. *The New England journal of medicine* **366**, 2074–2084 (2012).
44. Kim, H. R. et al. PD-L1 expression on immune cells, but not on tumor cells, is a favorable prognostic factor for head and neck cancer patients. *Scientific reports* **6**, 36956 (2016).

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a grant from the National research foundation (NRF), Republic of Korea (NRF-2017R1D1A1B03029874, NRF-2017M3A9E9072669, NRF-2019M3A9B6065231, and NRF-2016R1A5A2945889).

**Author contributions**

M.H.H., and S.S. were involved in manuscript preparation and editing. H.R.K. and Y.C. were involved in study concepts. Y.C., B.C.C. and H.R.K. were involved in study design. D.J.K., S.Y.P., S.K.S., S.E.L. and Y.C. were involved in data acquisition. S.S., Y.C., J.I. Zo. and Y.M.S. were involved in quality control of data and algorithms and data analysis and interpretation. M.H.H., S.S. and S.K.S. all contributed equally to the work and should be considered co-first authors. S.Y.P., Y.C. and H.R.K. contributed equally to this work as corresponding authors.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Additional information**

*Supplementary information* is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56828-7.

*Correspondence* and requests for materials should be addressed to S.Y.P., Y.-L.C. or H.R.K.

*Reprints and permissions information* is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

*Publisher’s note* Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

*Open Access* This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019