Electrochemical Nucleation and Growth Mechanism of Aluminum on AZ31 Magnesium Alloys
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Abstract: In this study, the nucleation and growth kinetics behavior of aluminum (Al) were investigated in the Choline-chloride (ChCl)-urea deep eutectic solvent (DES) ionic liquids. The studies of cyclic voltammetric and chronoamperometry demonstrated that the electrodeposition process of Al was controlled by three-dimensional progressive nucleation and instantaneous nucleation. And the growth of nuclei is a diffusion-controlled process. The diffusion coefficient of Al ions was calculated at 343 K, that is, 1.773 × 10^{−10} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}. The Al coating was obtained on the surface of the AZ31 magnesium alloy electrode under appropriate conditions. According to the surface morphology of the Al film, it could be inferred that the theoretical deposit thickness is similar to the actual thickness, and the apparent diffusion rate of Al ions is slower than the diffusion coefficient in the electrolytes. So, in the later deposition, lamellar Al along the diffusion direction were formed, and lamellar depleted Al zones existed around the big grain Al-rich region.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium alloy is one of the lightest metal materials in industrial applications at present. It has the advantages of low density, high specific intensity, good machinability, low casting cost, and abundant resources [1]. Therefore, it is widely used in aerospace, automobile manufacturing, high-speed rail, and other fields [2–4]. However, its application in the industry is limited to a certain extent due to poor corrosion resistance [5]. Surface treatments such as anodization [6–8], electroless plating nickel [9,10], organic coating [11,12], and electrodeposition [13–15] are frequently applied to deal with the problems. One of the promising surface treatment methods is electrodeposition. It is generally known that Al is widely used as a surface coating owing to its excellent corrosion resistance, decorativeness, and physicochemical properties [16–18]. However, Al cannot be electrodeposited in an aqueous solution due to its large negative standard potential of Al(III)/Al couple (−1.67 V vs. NHE). Hence, the key step of deposited Al onto Mg alloys is finding a non-aqueous system.

Electrodeposition of Al in ionic liquids has received significant attention since Hurley and Wier reported that Al could be electrodeposited from ionic liquids [19]. It has been demonstrated that some typical examples of ionic liquids are suitable electrolytes to electrodeposit Al layer including aluminum chloride-1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (AlCl3-[EMIm]Cl) [20–22], aluminum chloride-trimethylphenylammonium chloride (AlCl3-TMPAC) [23,24], and aluminum chloride-n-butylpyridinium chloride (AlCl3-BPC) [25]. Chang et al. [26] electrodeposited dense and bonded aluminum layers on magnesium alloys and improved the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloys significantly. Jiang et al. [23]
obtained the aluminum coating on W by depositing in 2:1 AlCl$_3$-TMPAC ionic liquid, analyzed the deposition of aluminum, which is controlled by instantaneous nucleation and diffusion.

However, ionic liquids are expensive and unsuitable for industrial production. Abbott et al. [27] reported new ionic liquids, called deep eutectic solvents (DES). DES are non-aqueous mixture solvents, which have a low freezing point because of the hydrogen bonding. The DES can exist in air and water stably. Most previous works have focused on the effect of coating on the corrosion resistance of the matrix. However, there are a few studies on the nucleation and growth kinetic model of metal ions on different electrodes (W, glassy carbon, stainless steel) [28,29]. The nucleation and growth kinetic study of Al ions on magnesium alloy is minimal. Accordingly, the ionic liquids (DES) were employed in this study, electrodeposition of Al on AZ31 Mg alloy was conducted, the nucleation and growth mechanism of Al on electrode were discussed, and diffusion coefficients of Al ions in DES ionic liquids and the apparent diffusion rate of Al atoms were calculated respectively.

2. Materials and Methods

Choline-chloride (ChCl, 99%) and urea (99%) were dried overnight at 333 K in advance. Aluminum chloride (AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O) was used as obtained. The DES was prepared by mixing ChCl (139.62 g) with urea (120.12 g) hydrogen bond donor and the two components were heated at 353 K until a homogeneous colorless liquid was formed. Adjusting the pH values by HCl (4 mol/L) until the pH value was 5.8. The deposition electrolytes were formed by slowly adding AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (15.16 g) to the ChCl-urea DES [28,29]. Then the mixture was agitated for 10 min at 343 K, until homogeneous electrolytes were obtained.

All the electrochemical experiments, including cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry, were carried out on an electrochemical workstation (CS310) (Corrtest, Wuhan, China) in a three-electrode system. The working, counter, and reference electrodes were Pt (the total exposed surface area was about 0.25 cm$^2$), Al plate, and Al wire, respectively. The distance between the tip of the reference electrode and working electrode was controlled between 1 and 1.5 mm.

The diecast AZ31 Mg alloy, containing 3.05 wt.% Al and 0.92 wt.% Zn, was used as the substrate in the study. The constant current deposition was performed on the AZ31 Mg alloy electrode in the two-electrode configuration. The deposition current density applied was 1 mA/cm$^2$. The electrode exposed area was 0.25 cm$^2$ and the other portion sealed with epoxy resin. The Al plate (the exposed surface area was 2 cm$^2$) was used as a cathode. Prior to electrodeposition, the electrodes were polished with sandpaper. The distance between the anode and cathode was about 20 mm. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Quanta250, FEI Company, Hillsborough, OR, USA) with EDS was employed to observe and analyze the surface morphology of the Al coating. The grain size of the surface was measured by Nano Measure software (Nano Measure 1.2, Fudan University, Shanghai, China).

3. Results and Discussion

By testing the cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry, the kinetics of nucleation/growth process was studied, the diffusion coefficient ($D_v$) of Al was calculated, and according to the growth model of Al/AZ31 in DES, the differences of deposited thickness between theory value and experimental value were discussed.

3.1. Control Link of Growth Process

Cyclic voltammetry was used to qualitatively evaluate the Al electrodeposition onto the substrate. Figure 1 shows the cyclic voltammogram of the Pt electrode that was obtained in the DES ionic liquids with AlCl$_3$. The voltammogram was obtained at a scan rate of 0.02 V/s at a temperature of 343 K. On the forward scan, there is a cathodic peak at approximately 0.03 V corresponding to Al deposition was formed. The anodic loop in reverse scan is about 0.7 V associated with the dissolution of Al.
Figure 1. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were recorded in DES-AlCl$_3$ at 343 K. Scan rate: 0.02 V/s, scan range: −0.5 to 1.4 V.

Figure 2a shows a series of cyclic voltammograms on Pt electrode in DES-AlCl$_3$ ionic liquids at 343 K. The scan rate was changed between 20 and 200 mV/s. The peak current increased with the increases in scan rate. Figure 2b shows that the peak current density ($i_{pc}$) against the square root of scan rate ($L$) exhibited good linearity. It can be inferred that the electrodeposition of Al from DES-AlCl$_3$ is a diffusion-controlled process. It is similar to some of the same types of work [28–30].

According to these plots, the diffusion coefficient of Al$^{3+}$ ($D_v$) can be calculated using the Berzins-Delahay equation (Equation (1)) [31],

$$i_p = 0.61(zF)^{3/2}(RT)^{-1/2}AD_o^{1/2}cv^{1/2}$$

(1)

where $i_p$ is the cathodic peak current (A), $c$ is the bulk concentration of the reactant (mol/cm$^3$), $A$ is the area of the electrode (cm$^2$), $z$ is the number of electrons, $v$ is the potential sweep rate (V/s), $F$ is the Faraday constant (C/mol), $R$ is the gas constant (J/mol·K), $T$ is the absolute temperature (K).

The cyclic voltammograms were tested in DES-AlCl$_3$ ionic liquids by changing the scan rate from 20 to 200 mV/s, and obtained the peak current densities ($i_p$) at different scan rates ($v$). According to the literature [28,29], when the peak current density ($i_p$) against the square root of scan rate ($v^{1/2}$) exhibited linearity (Figure 2b), the restriction factor of electrodeposition was the diffusion of Al in DES. Table 1 depicts the diffusion coefficient of Al ions at 343 K, calculated by Equation (1). The diffusion coefficient ($D_v$) of Al/AZ31 in DES was $1.773 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$/s in this paper. In the literature [28,29], the diffusion
coefficient \((D_v)\) of Cu/Ni (303 K) and Pt/Ti (room temperature) in DES was \(9.78 \times 10^{-8}\) and \(2.13 \times 10^{-6}\), respectively.

### Table 1. The calculated diffusion coefficient of Al ions at 343 K.

| Solution        | Ion   | \(i_p\) (A) | \(D_v\) (cm\(^2\)/s) |
|-----------------|-------|-------------|----------------------|
| DES-AlCl\(_3\)  | Al\(^{3+}\) | 5.55 \times 10^{-4} | 1.773 \times 10^{-10} |

3.2. Nucleation Pattern of Al

Chronoamperometry was used to investigate the nucleation and growth process of Al electrodeposition on a Pt electrode in DES-AlCl\(_3\) ionic liquids. A series of current-time curves with different step potentials for the electrodeposition of Al in DES-AlCl\(_3\) ionic liquids at 343 K are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Current-time transients of the chronoamperometric experiments in DES with various potentials. Inset: the corresponding high-magnification image.]

These curves initially show a rapid upward trend due to the formation and growth of the aluminum core on the electrode surface. The rising current eventually reaches its maximum \((i_m)\) at time \((t_m)\), when the individual diffusion areas of the crystal begin to overlap [32]. Subsequently, the current curves decay as the deposited layer becomes thicker. With the applied potential being more negative, the time reached to \(i_m\) is shortened (from inset of Figure 3).

The hemispherical diffusion model, summarized by Allongue and Souteyrand, was the three-dimensional nucleation and growth process of metal ions. It is another type of Sharifker–Hills model, which was suitable for the process of diffusion-controlled nucleation and growth [32]. According to Figure 2, the restriction factor of electrodeposition is the diffusion of Al. So the hemispherical diffusion model was selected to explain the nucleation and growth process. This model has two limiting cases: instantaneous nucleation Equation (2) and progressive nucleation Equation (3) [33]. They are expressed respectively by

\[
(i/i_m)^2 = 1.9542(t/t_m)^{-1}\{1 - \exp[-1.2564(t/t_m)]\}^2 
\]

\[
(i/i_m)^2 = 1.2254(t/t_m)^{-1}\{1 - \exp[-2.3367(t/t_m)^2]\}^2 \quad (3)
\]

In Equations (2) and (3), a correction \(t_0\) must be made by refining the \(t' = t - t_0\) and \(t'_m = t_m - t_0\). The \(t_0\) could be obtained from the intercept of plots of \((i/i_m)^2\) vs. \(t\).
The dimensionless theoretical plots resulting from Equations (2) and (3), and experimental data are shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Comparison of the experimental data with the theoretical models for three-dimensional instantaneous and progressive nucleation.

Figure 4 exhibits a typical form of three-dimensional diffusion-controlled nucleation and growth process. In short times, the nucleation mechanism fits quite well to that of a progressive growth process (dotted curve in Figure 4). However, at times beyond the current maximum, \( \frac{i}{i_m} \), the experimental data do not fit well to the progressive growth process. It exhibits instantaneous nucleation character (full line in Figure 4). It means the nucleation/growth of Al on AZ31 conformed to the hemispherical diffusion model: progressive nucleation in the early phases and instantaneous nucleation after current maxima.

### 3.3. The Nucleation and Growth Process of Al Deposits

According to the hemispherical diffusion model, the nucleation and growth of Al on the substrate was a three-dimensional process. To validate this process, the surface morphology of Al deposits were observed, and the theory/experimental value of deposit thickness was calculated.

#### 3.3.1. Surface Morphology and Nucleation/Growth Model of Al Deposits

The distribution of deposits was obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Figure 5 shows the surface morphology of Al particles electrodeposited on AZ31 Mg alloys. As shown in Figure 5, the film and grain are formed on the substrate surface. Besides, the analysis of EDS revealed that the deposits were Al_2O_3, which may improve the corrosion resistance of magnesium alloy substrate. As Figure 5b’c’, with the deposition time rises (from 1 h to 3 h), Al particles in different locations start diffusing stacked (Figure 5b’). Lamellar Al along the direction of diffusion was formed (Figure 5c’); as a result, there existed lamellar depleted Al zones around the big grain Al-rich region. It means that the deposition of Al on Mg alloys electrode abides by the following rules: the initial stage is progressive nucleation, and the later stage is instantaneous nucleation. It is consistent with the result of chronoamperometry (Figure 4).
Figure 5. The morphology of Al particles electrodeposited on AZ31 with different times (a) 1 h, (b) 2 h, (c) 3 h, (a') enlarged view of (a), (b') enlarged view of (b), (c') enlarged view of (c), (a'') EDS of area A in (a'), (b'') EDS of area B in (b'), (c'') EDS of area C in (c').

Figure 6 is a model diagram of nucleation and growth. First, the Al ions in the electrolytes are diffused from the solution to electrolytes and electrode interface. Based on previous calculations, the diffusion coefficient of Al ions is $1.773 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$/s. Then, the Al ions reached the electrode at the active sites, nucleated on the surface of the electrode at the same time. The growth of nuclei includes two directions: planar growth and vertical growth. At the early growth stage, the planar growth is dominated (Figure 6a). Finally, the nucleus decreased with the increase in deposition time (Figure 6b,c). With the deposition decreased, the growth rate is slowing down gradually. So, Al ions are stacked up by hemispherical models, and the Al particles of the electrode surface are diffusing stacked at the same time. At the later stage of deposition, coating continues to grow. Meanwhile, the nucleation process does not exist. It is the reason for the grains size decreasing, as shown in Table 2. This nucleation and growth process is similar to the Al depositing on stainless steel [18], but the diffusion coefficient of Al/AZ31 ($1.773 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$/s) is lower than Al/stainless steel ($1.20 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/s).
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3.3.2. Deposit Thickness

It is assumed that the growth of Al follows a hemispherical growth model, and the Al nuclei uniformly distribute on the substrate surface randomly.

Some experimental data of the surface are shown in Table 2 from Figure 5.

According to above data, the theoretical deposit thickness of the initial stage (1 h), $d_{theo.}$ (cm), was calculated as follows:

$$Q = It = Fnz$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where,

$$n = \frac{\rho Ad}{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$I = iS_{wire}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $I$ is the current of flowing through a wire per unit time (A); $t$ is the deposition time (s); $F$ is the Faraday constant (C/mol); $n$ is the theoretical deposits moles (mol); $z$ is the number of electrons; $\rho$ is the deposits density (g/cm$^3$); $A$ is the area of electrode (cm$^2$); $M$ is the molar mass of deposits (g/mol); $i$ is the current density (A/cm$^2$); $S_{wire}$ is the cross-sectional area of the wire (cm$^2$).

Equation (7) can be obtained from the Equations (4)–(6). It shows the relationship between theoretical deposits thickness ($d_{theo.}$) and deposition time ($t$),

$$d_{theo.} = \frac{MIt}{\rho Fa}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The initial stage (1 h) theoretical deposits thickness $d_{theo.}$ is $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm from Equation (7).

On the basis of the image data of the deposit’s surface, the actual deposition area, $S_{actu,deposition}$, can be calculated as 0.31 cm$^2$. It indicates that the nuclei show the stacking phenomenon.

Based on the conservation of mass, it can be inferred that the $d_{actu}$ value is $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ cm, which is near to the result ($3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm) from Equation (7). The theory value and experimental data are an order of magnitude. As the electrodeposition going on, the concentration of electrolyte becomes lower, and the current density becomes uneven \cite{28}. The changing of these factors causes the experimental data is thinner than the theoretical value.
3.3.3. Kinetic Diffusion Coefficients

Assuming that the initial stage (1 h) of the nucleation rate on the electrode surface is equal to the diffusion coefficient in the electrolytes ($v = D_v = 1.773 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$). Nuclei radius, $L$, is described as a function of diffusion coefficient $v$ by the relation [33]:

$$\frac{L}{2} = k\sqrt{vt},$$

(8)

$k$ is a numerical constant and always remains constant throughout the deposition process. According to Equation (8), the $k$ is calculated as 0.53.

According to Equation (8) and the average grain size in Table 1, the apparent diffusion rate of 2 h and 3 h can be calculated, respectively. They are $6.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ and $5.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$. They are both smaller than the diffusion coefficient in the electrolytes $D_v$. It indicates that the apparent diffusion rate is a consequence of the ions diffusion in the electrolytes and the diffusion of the atoms on the electrode.

4. Conclusions

The nucleation and growth kinetics behavior of Al were discussed in the AlCl3-ChCl-urea DES. The voltammetric studies demonstrated that Al electrodeposition involves a diffusion-controlled process. The diffusion coefficient of Al ions was calculated at 343 K, which is $1.773 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$. The analysis of chronoamperometry indicates that the initial stage of electrodeposition corresponds to three-dimensional progressive nucleation and the later stage is instantaneous nucleation. By the constant current deposition experiment, the metallic Al layer was obtained onto AZ31 Mg alloys successfully in the ChCl-urea DES ionic liquids. The theoretical deposit thickness $(3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm})$ is similar to the actual thickness $(2.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm})$. Because the apparent diffusion rate depends on the ions diffusion in the electrolytes and the diffusion of the atoms on the electrode, the apparent diffusion rate is smaller than diffusion coefficient in the electrolytes.
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