Exploration of Aging-Care Parameters to Predict Mortality of Patients Aged 80-Years and Above with Community-Acquired Pneumonia
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Purpose: The study explores a clinical model based on aging-care parameters to predict the mortality of hospitalized patients aged 80-year and above with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP).

Patients and methods: In this study, four hundred and thirty-five CAP patients aged 80-years and above were enrolled in the Central Hospital of Minhang District, Shanghai during 01,01,2018–31,12,2021. The clinical data were collected, including aging-care relevant factors (ALB, FRAIL, Barthel Index and age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index) and other commonly used factors. The prognostic factors were screened by multivariable logistic regression analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to predict the mortality risk.

Results: Univariate analysis demonstrated that several factors, including gender, platelet distribution width, NLR, ALB, CRP, p, pre-albumin, CURB-65, low-density, lipoprotein, Barthel Index, FRAIL, leucocyte count, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count and aCCI, were associated with the prognosis of CAP. Multivariate model analyses further identified that CURB-65 (p < 0.0001, OR = 5.44, 95% CI = 3.021–10.700), FRAIL (p < 0.0001, OR = 5.441, 95% CI = 2.611–12.25) and aCCI (p = 0.003, OR = 1.551, 95% CI = 1.165–2.099) were independent risk factors, whereas ALB (p = 0.005, OR = 0.871, 95% CI = 0.788–0.957) and Barthel Index (p = 0.0007, OR = 0.958, 95% CI = 0.933–0.981) were independent protective factors. ROC curves were plotted to further predict the in-hospital mortality and revealed that combination of three factors (Barthel Index + FRAIL +CURB-65) showed the best performance.

Conclusion: This study showed that CURB-65, frailty and aCCI were independent risk factors influencing prognosis. In addition, ALB and Barthel Index were protective factors for in CAP patients over 80-years old. AUC was calculated and revealed that combination of three parameters (Barthel Index + FRAIL +CURB-65) showed the best performance.
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Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is a type of pneumonia occurring outside a hospital setting, characterized by pulmonary parenchymal inflammation with a definite incubation period (48-hours) after hospital admission.1 Compared to young population, the elderly, especially the population with aged ≥80 years, are more likely to develop CAP for several reasons, such as their weakened immune system, comorbidity, poor functional status and antibiotic abuse.2,3 Nowadays, the elderly population is rapidly growing worldwide.4 It has been reported that the incidence of CAP in
elderly patients is higher than in the younger counterpart (1643 per 100,000 per year versus 960 per 100,000), accompanied with higher mortality.

In clinical, CURB-65 has been wildly used for predicting the hospital mortality of patients with CAP. A pioneer study shows neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is an accurate predictor for 30-day mortality in elderly patients hospitalized for CAP, which is even superior to CURB-65 and PSI. While in the patients with aged ≥80 years, their situations are often complicated with underlying diseases, such as unstable blood pressure and renal diseases, which affect the accuracy of CURB-65 scores. Therefore, regarding this certain age population, it is imperative for us to explore a more appropriate scoring scale.

From last decade, caring for elderly patients has drawn increasing attention in multiple clinical settings, including CAP. Aging-care factors comprise various clinical parameters such as functional status, frailty assessment, comorbidities, and nutritional assessment. Previous studies have shown that aging-care relevant parameters, such as functional status, comorbidities, malnutrition and frail, are strongly associated with poor prognosis in CAP patients. Among them, functional status and frail are shown to be advantageous tools in predicting prognosis in the older patients with CAP, rather than laboratory biomarkers such as leucocyte count and blood urea nitrogen. However, studies for patients over 80 years old are still scarce. In this study, we aimed at exploring the efficient aging-care parameters to predict the mortality and prognosis of hospitalized CAP patients over 80 years old. Moreover, we hope to utilize the aging-care factors, combined with CURB-65 to improve the ability of predicting the mortality in the eldest old with CAP.

Methods

Research Objects

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Minhang Hospital, Fudan University in Shanghai, China. Lot No: Medical Ethics Committee (2017) No. 42. We retrospectively retrieved clinical parameters of patients aged over 80 years with CAP in the Central Hospital of Minhang District, Shanghai from January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2021. Regarding the patient informed consent statement, we notified patients and/or their legal guardians by telephone and asked for consent. Written informed consents were sent to patients and/or their legal guardians who agreed to participate in the study for signature. Signatures of study population were obtained and all procedures are in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The data were analysed anonymously in this study. The inclusion criteria were: (1) Age ≥80 years; and (2) Diagnosed with CAP. The exclusion criteria were: (1) Use of immunosuppressants, such as corticosteroids (>14 days), immunosuppressed individual, eg, HIV-positive, receiving chemotherapy or radiotherapy within 90 days and transplant recipients; and (2) Serious heart failure (New York Heart Association classes 3 or 4); (3) Patients with healthcare-associated pneumonia (HCAP) and hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP).

Data Collection

We measured the clinically relevant factors of aging care including nutrition-serum albumin (ALB) and serum pre-albumin, functional status-Barthel Index (BI), frail test-FRAIL, comorbidity assessment - Charlson Comorbidity Index (aCCI).

Functional status was measured using the Barthel Index (BI) for assessment of activities of daily living (ADL). The BI includes ten functional measurements: feeding, dressing, transferring, grooming, bathing, toileting, walking, stair climbing, bowel control, and bladder care. BI scores range from 0 to 100 points, with 0 suggesting complete loss of self-care ability, and 100 indicating good physical function without any weakness.

The scores of Frail test were used to label the FRAIL data. The score assessment consists of 5 components: unintentional weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, weakness, slow walking speed and low physical activity. Each positive “answer” indicated score of 1, otherwise 0. The total scores ranged from 0 to 5.

The comorbidity assessment was used by the age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index (aCCI), which is more widely used and better than Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI). Since the patients we recruited ≥80 years old, they
were 4 points at least according to the aCCI. The other scores were referred to the CCI (Table 1). Any existing comorbidity was identified from the ICD-10 codes.

Moreover, other factors were also collected, including gender, age, hospitalization days, vital signs and routine blood analyses (leukocyte, neutrophil, lymphocyte, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet, and platelet distribution width), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (pct), thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH). Meanwhile, we calculate the CURB-65 of each enrolled patient.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed by using R software (version 3.5.3). P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Student’s t-test was used to compare continuous variables, and the Chi-square test to compare distributed variables. Numerical parametric data were presented as mean±SD, other continuous non-parametric data were presented as median (Inter-Quartile Range) and classification variables were presented as percentages. Multivariate analysis using stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate all parameters with P-value <0.05 in univariate analyses. The prediction accuracy of the prognostic factors was determined by the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves and the area under the curves (AUC).²¹

**Results**

**The General Characteristics of Patients**

A total of 435 CAP patients over 80 years old (average age of 87-years, ranging from 80 to 105 years) were enrolled in the study, including 215 males and 220 females. The flowchart of patients recruitment criteria is depicted in Figure 1. The hospital mortality rate was 21.8% (95/435) and 100% patients (435/435) exhibited at least one comorbidity. The general characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 2. Analyses of comorbidities of the study cohort showed that hypertension was the most prevalent comorbidity (58.9%, 256 cases), followed by coronary heart disease (25.3%, 110 cases), diabetes (23.7%, 103 cases), congestive heart failure (23.4%, 102 cases), cerebrovascular disease (18.1%, 79 cases), cardiac dysrhythmia (17.7%, 77 cases), Alzheimer’s (12%, 52 cases), electrolyte disturbance (10.6%, 46 cases), chronic kidney disease (10.1%, 44 cases), hepatic insufficiency (4.6%, 20 cases), and cancer (3.4%, 15 cases).

| Variable                                         | Point |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Myocardial infection                             | 1     |
| Congestive heart failure                         | 1     |
| Peripheral vascular disease                      | 1     |
| Dementia                                         | 1     |
| Cerebrovascular disease                          | 1     |
| Chronic pulmonary disease                        | 1     |
| Rheumatic disease                                | 1     |
| Peptic ulcer disease                             | 1     |
| Mild liver disease                               | 1     |
| Diabetes mellitus without end-organ damage       | 1     |
| Diabetes mellitus with end-organ damage          | 2     |
| Hemiplegia                                       | 2     |
| Malignancy                                       | 2     |
| Renal disease                                    | 2     |
| Lymphoma                                         | 2     |
| Leukemia                                         | 2     |
| Moderate liver disease                           | 3     |
| Metastatic solid tumor                           | 6     |
| Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)        | 6     |
Logistic Regression Analysis

To explore the predictive markers of elderly CAP, we divided the cohort into two groups based on clinical outcome, namely survival group (340 cases) and non-survival group (95 cases). Both univariate analysis and multivariate analysis were performed (Table 3). Univariate analysis demonstrated that several parameters were associated with the prognosis of CAP visualized by Forest plot (Figure 2), including gender, platelet distribution width, NLR, ALB, CRP, pct, prealbumin, CURB-65, low-density, lipoprotein, Barthel Index, FRAIL, leucocyte count, neutrophils count, lymphocyte count, and a variety of other factors.

| Variable                          | Death Group 95 | Survival Group 340 | p-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Gender (%)                       |                |                    |         |
| Male                             | 58 (61.1)      | 157 (46.2)         | 0.012   |
| Female                           | 37 (38.9)      | 183 (53.8)         |         |
| ICU admission                    | 43 (45.3)      | 22 (6.5)           | <0.0001 |
| Leucocyte count                  | 11.58          | 7.82               |         |
| Neutrophils count                | 9.96           | 7.74               | <0.0001 |
| Lymphocyte count                 | 0.74           | 1.105              | <0.0001 |
| NLR                              | 11.99          | 5.37               | <0.0001 |
| CRP (ug/mL)                      | 104            | 58.6               | <0.0001 |
| pct (ng/mL)                      | 0.99           | 0.17               | <0.0001 |
| ALB (g/L)                        | 27             | 33                 | <0.0001 |
| Prealbumin (mg/L)                | 70             | 89                 | <0.0001 |
| Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L) | 1.59           | 2.25               | <0.0001 |
| CURB-65                          | 3              | 1                  | <0.0001 |
| Age (year)                       | 86             | 87                 | 0.35    |
| Platelet count                   | 190            | 203.5              | 0.186   |
| Platelet distribution width (fL) | 11.6           | 11.8               | 0.05    |
| Barthel Index                    | 25             | 60                 | <0.0001 |
| FRAIL                            | 4              | 3                  | <0.0001 |
| aCCI                             | 7              | 6                  | <0.0001 |
| Comorbidities (%)                |                |                    |         |
| Hypertension                     | 49 (51.6)      | 207 (60.9)         | 0.483   |
| Coronary heart disease           | 23 (24.2)      | 87 (25.6)          | 0.8974  |
| Diabetes                         | 19 (20)        | 84 (24.7)          | 0.5041  |

(Continued)
Further, we used those significantly altered variables from univariate analysis for multivariate model analysis. The results showed that CURB-65 (p < 0.0001, OR = 5.44, 95% CI = 3.021–10.700), FRAIL (p < 0.0001, OR = 5.441, 95% CI = 2.611–12.25) and aCCI (p = 0.003, OR = 1.551, 95% CI = 1.165–2.099) were independent risk factors, whereas ALB (p = 0.005, OR = 0.871, 95% CI = 0.788–0.957) and Barthel Index (p = 0.0007, OR = 0.958, 95% CI = 0.933–0.981) were independent protective factors (Figure 3).

**Prediction of Mortality by ROC Curves**

To predict the in-hospital mortality, ROC curves were plotted for the independent risk and protective factors we found above (Figure 4). The AUC values were calculated as shown in Table 4. The results showed the AUC of Barthel Index was the highest (0.875, 95% CI: 0.840–0.905, p < 0.0001), whereas that of aCCI was the lowest (0.667, 95% CI: 0.620–0.711, p < 0.0001). CURB-65, ALB, FRAIL sat intermediates, with AUC as 0.861 (95% CI: 0.825–0.892,

---

### Table 2 (Continued)

| Variable                        | Death Group 95 | Survival Group 340 | p-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Congestive heart failure        | 30 (31.6)      | 72 (21.2)          | 0.1181  |
| Cerebrovascular disease         | 23 (24.2)      | 76 (22.4)          | 0.7887  |
| Cardiac dysphythmia             | 12 (12.6)      | 65 (19.1)          | 0.2864  |
| Alzheimer’s                     | 11 (11.6)      | 41 (12.1)          | 1       |
| Electrolyte disturbance         | 12 (18.5)      | 34 (10.1)          | 0.5756  |
| Chronic kidney disease          | 14 (14.7)      | 30 (8.8)           | 0.1348  |
| Hepatic insufficiency           | 3 (3.2)        | 17 (5)             | 0.5873  |
| Cancer                          | 5 (5.3)        | 10 (2.9)           | 0.3407  |

**Abbreviations:** NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; ALB, albumin; pct, procalcitonin; CRP, c-reactive protein; CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index.

### Table 3 Logistic Regression Analysis for in-Hospital Mortality

| OR (95% CI) | p    | Variables | OR (95% CI) | p    |
|------------|------|-----------|-------------|------|
| 1.827 (1.149–2.907) | 0.01 | Gender    | 1.42 (0.597–3.380) | 0.425 |
| 0.98 (0.936–1.030)   | 0.441| Age       |             |      |
| 0.998 (0.996–1.001)  | 0.208| Platelet count | 0.94 (0.798–1.090) | 0.433 |
| 0.904 (0.820–0.997)  | 0.038| Platelet distribution width | 0.97 (0.88–1.050) | 0.879 |
| 1.009 (1.006–1.012)  | <0.0001| CRP      | 0.997 (0.988–1.005) | 0.405 |
| 1.122 (1.043–1.144)  | <0.0001| pct      | 1.003 (0.968–1.056) | 0.879 |
| 0.798 (0.754–0.844)  | >0.0001| ALB      | 0.871 (0.788–0.957) | 0.005 |
| 0.985 (0.978–0.991)  | <0.0001| Prealbumin | 1 (0.989–1.011) | 0.947 |
| 5.442 (5.446–13.020) | <0.0001| CURB-65  | 5.443 (3.021–10.700) | <0.0001 |
| 0.406 (0.294–0.562)  | <0.0001| Low-density lipoprotein | 1.1 (0.902–1.31) |
| 0.928 (0.914–0.943)  | <0.0001| Barthel Index | 0.958 (0.933–0.981) | 0.0007 |
| 16.061 (9.270–27.827) | <0.0001| FRAIL    | 5.441 (2.611–12.25) | <0.0001 |
| 1.202 (1.090–1.193)  | <0.0001| Leucocyte count | 1.983 (0.968–5.865) | 0.148 |
| 1.111 (1.063–1.162)  | <0.0001| Neutrophils count | 0.482 (0.155–1.040) | 0.149 |
| 0.326 (0.195–0.544)  | <0.0001| Lymphocyte count | 0.274 (0.062–1.034) | 0.056 |
| 1.519 (1.285–1.795)  | <0.0001| aCCI     | 1.551 (1.165–2.099) | 0.003 |
| 1.077 (1.051–1.103)  | <0.0001| NLR      | 1.049 (0.990–1.143) | 0.259 |

**Abbreviations:** NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; ALB, albumin; pct, procalcitonin; CRP, c-reactive protein; CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval.
P < 0.0001), 0.787 (95% CI: 0.745–0.825, p < 0.0001), 0.864 (95% CI: 0.828–0.895, p < 0.0001), respectively (Table 4).

Moreover, we compared the ROC of CURB-65, FRAIL, aCCI, ALB and Barthel Index (Table 5). We found that Barthel Index was no significantly different when compared to CURB-65 (p = 0.56) or FRAIL (p = 0.56) and the comparison between CURB-65 and FRAIL also showed no significant difference (p = 0.909).

Combined Variables for Improving AUC Values
Multivariate analysis demonstrated the AUC of the CURB-65, FRAIL and Barthel Index in predicting in-hospital mortality were all <0.9. We sought to improve the predictive accuracy and hypothesized a new assessment model with combined parameters based on multivariate analysis may show better performance. Then, we calculated the AUC of different combinations (Figure 5, Table 6) and found the AUC value of the three-parameters combination (BCF model) was the highest (0.952, 95% CI: 0.928–0.970, p < 0.0001), followed by CURB-65 + FRAIL (CF model) (0.939, 95% CI: 0.912–0.959, p < 0.0001), and then Barthel Index + CURB-65 (BC model) (0.938, 95% CI: 0.911–0.959, p < 0.0001), and then the lowest one is Barthel Index + FRAIL (BF model) (0.914, 95% CI: 0.883–0.938, p < 0.0001) (Table 6).

We further compared the AUC between the combinational model of three-parameters (BCF model) and other combinations mentioned above (BC, CF and BF models) (Table 7) and identified BCF model showed superior performance for predicting the in-hospital mortality than others.
Discussion
To our best knowledge, this is the first study to report that the utilization of aging-caring parameters for the prediction of mortality of elderly CAP patients aged ≥80 years. We retrospectively collected the aging-caring markers regarding functional status, frailty assessment, comorbidities, and nutritional assessment. We analysed the relationship between those factors and clinical outcome of hospitalized patients with CAP over 80 years old.

Here, the multivariable logistic analysis demonstrated FRAIL and aCCI were independent risk factors influencing CAP prognosis, whereas ALB and Barthel Index were protective factors, suggesting the aging-care factors can be useful tools to predict the prognosis of the elderly CAP. Moreover, AUC analyses were performed and showed that the AUC values of Barthel Index, FRAIL, CURB-65 demonstrated significant difference, with 0.875, 0.864 and 0.861, respectively. However, the comparison among these three factors (Barthel Index, FRAIL, CURB-65) showed no difference, indicating the predictive capacity of both function status (Barthel Index) and frailty (FRAIL) was comparable to the CURB-65, a commonly used assessment in clinic. Then, we hypothesized the establishment of a new assessment model based on multi-varies analysis could further improve the predictive performance. The results showed the AUC value reached to 0.952 (95% CI: 0.928–0.970, p < 0.0001) when combined CURB65 with Barthel Index and FRAIL, demonstrating the highest predictive accuracy.

Another key factor is neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR). Previous studies point out that NLR can predict adverse outcome of patients with CAP. 22–24 For example, Cataudella et al reveal that NLR is an accurate predictor of 30-day mortality in elderly patients hospitalized for CAP, superior to CURB-65 and PSI. 8 In their study, no deaths occurred in patients with an NLR of less than 11.12; but the 30-day mortality was 30% in those with an NLR between 11.12 and 13.4, while those with an NLR between 13.4 and 28.3 showed 50% of 30 days mortality. For patients with an
NLR greater than 28.3, they all died within 30 days. However, we observed NLR was not a suitable marker to predict mortality of patients aged 80 years and over via multivariable logistic model analysis (OR = 1.049, 95% CI = 0.990–1.143, p = 0.259). Interestingly, we found that NLR can predict ICU admission in this study. In total, there were 65 patients (14.9%) admitted in ICU. Our data also showed that compared to patients without admission ICU, patients admitted to ICU showed significantly higher NLR value (p < 0.001). Consistent with the study conducted by Regolo et al., the ROC value of NLR in predicting ICU shows the largest area under the curve (0.737), with the highest specificity (69.2%) and sensitivity (75.1%) (Table 8). Collectively, NLR is highly associated with pneumonia. More studies need to be performed to explore the role of NLR in predicting prognosis of elderly patients with CAP.

Table 4 The AUC of Variables for Mortality

| Variable      | AUC     | 95% CI       | Sensitivity | Specificity | p       |
|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| ALB           | 0.787   | 0.745–0.825  | 78.95       | 67.35       | <0.0001 |
| CURB-65       | 0.861   | 0.825–0.892  | 63.16       | 92.65       | <0.0001 |
| Barthel Index | 0.875   | 0.840–0.905  | 91.58       | 75.29       | <0.0001 |
| FRAIL         | 0.864   | 0.828–0.895  | 73.68       | 89.71       | <0.0001 |
| aCCI          | 0.667   | 0.620–0.711  | 86.32       | 40.29       | <0.0001 |

Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65 years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; AUC, area under the curve; CI, Confidence Interval.
This study provided a concept that aging-care factors contribute significantly to the prognosis of elderly patient with CAP. We hope this study could potentially help guide clinician to pay more attention to the aging care in the elderly with CAP. Additionally, we propose some strategies from the following aspects to reduce the mortality of the oldest elderly with CAP.

Firstly, improving nutritional status. ALB, as a laboratory index, is a simple tool commonly adopted in nutritional risk assessment. Recently, a study showed a large number of elderly were malnourished due to decreased physical performance, decline in cognition, poor oral health, and dysphagia. Especially, another interesting study also points out ALB is a risk factor for aspiration pneumonia and can be monitored for aspiration pneumonia management post operation, confirming the close association between ALB and pneumonia-related prognosis. Moreover, malnutrition was proved to be an independent predictor of mortality in CAP before. Thus, the treatment of malnutrition was complicated and challenging when acknowledging the different and complex risk factors. Foremost, it was required early identification of these risk factors, and then adjusted. It was a preferable option to select the nasogastric feeding tube when facing uncorrected disease, such as

### Table 5 Comparison of the Five Variables for the ROC

| Variables               | Difference Between Areas | 95% CI          | z Statistic | p value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Barthel Index vs CURB65 | 0.014                    | −0.033 to 0.061 | 0.583       | 0.560   |
| Barthel Index vs ALB    | 0.088                    | 0.030 to 0.146  | 2.973       | 0.003   |
| Barthel Index vs FRAIL  | 0.011                    | −0.026 to 0.050 | 0.584       | 0.560   |
| Barthel Index vs aCCI   | 0.208                    | 0.144 to 0.272  | 6.390       | <0.0001 |
| CURB-65 vs FRAIL        | 0.003                    | −0.044 to 0.049 | 0.114       | 0.909   |

**Abbreviations**: ALB, albumin; CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65 years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; ROC, Receiver Operating characteristic; CI, Confidence Interval.

**Figure 5** The Receiver Operating characteristic (ROC) curves of combined factors for the mortality. The AUC of Barthel Index + CURB-65, CURB-65 + FRAIL, Barthel Index + FRAIL and Barthel Index + FRAIL + CURB-65 were 0.938, 0.939, 0.914 and 0.952, respectively.
Moreover, it is safe and effective to deliver nutrients and/or fluids to the gastrointestinal tract through the nasogastric feeding tube. This idea is supported by many studies, which have shown nutritional support treatment of nasogastric feeding tube played a central role in the management of elderly who were malnourished or at high risk of malnutrition, and significantly improved nutritional status. 

Secondly, reversing the frailty. Frailty is an age-related disease, and characterized by a decline in physiological functions and increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes, including falls, disability, hospitalization, and death. In frail old patients, the immune dysfunction was associated with the high risk of respiratory viral infection.

In frail old patients, the immune dysfunction was associated with the high risk of respiratory viral infection. Previous studies have also shown that frailty was a significant prognostic factor for CAP. Luo et al suggested that frailty is strongly associated with poor prognosis and higher mortality in elderly patients with CAP. Iwai-Saito and Tang et al also found frailty was associated with severity of pneumonia, which is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity among community-dwelling older adults worldwide. Meanwhile, frailty was also found to predict mortality in older adults in other respiratory infectious diseases, such as COVID-19 and influenza. In this study, frailty (p < 0.0001, OR = 5.441, 95% CI: 2.611–12.25) was shown to be an independent risk factor of mortality, and the AUC for the mortality was 0.864 (95% CI: 0.828–0.895, p < 0.0001). Therefore, it is imperative to reverse frailty in elderly patients with CAP. First of all, physical exercise including resistance exercise and aerobic exercise can not only improve muscle mass and strength, but also increase in the bone strength so as to reduce risk of sarcopenia and frailty. Then, nutrition support including protein intake and supplement of vitamins (such as A, D, E, B6, and B12) and minerals (such as calcium, zinc, and selenium) could improve muscle mass and strength, physical function.

| Table 6 The AUC of Combined Variables for Mortality Prediction |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Combined Variables | AUC | 95% CI | Sensitivity | Specificity | p    |
| Barthel Index+ CURB-65 | 0.938 | 0.911–0.959 | 90.53 | 82.35 | <0.0001 |
| CURB-65+ FRAIL | 0.939 | 0.912–0.959 | 85.26 | 88.24 | <0.0001 |
| Barthel Index+ FRAIL | 0.914 | 0.883–0.938 | 96.84 | 73.82 | <0.0001 |
| Barthel Index+ FRAIL+ CURB-65 | 0.952 | 0.928–0.970 | 94.74 | 82.06 | <0.0001 |

| Abbreviations: CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; AUC, area under the curve; CI, Confidence Interval. |

| Table 7 Comparison of the Combined Variables for the ROC |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Three Variables Combined | Two Variables Combined | Difference Between Areas | 95% CI | p value |
| Barthel Index+ CURB-65+FRAIL | Barthel Index +FRAIL | 0.039 | 0.0171–0.0601 | 0.000 |
| Barthel Index+ CURB-65+FRAIL | Barthel Index+CURB-65 | 0.014 | 0.00168–0.0270 | 0.026 |
| Barthel Index+ CURB-65+FRAIL | CURB-65+FRAIL | 0.014 | 0.00517–0.0224 | 0.002 |

| Abbreviations: CURB-65, confusion, urea, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and age ≥65years; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; ROC, Receiver Operating characteristic; CI, Confidence Interval. |

| Table 8 The ROC of NLR in Predicting ICU |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ROC of NLR in Predicting ICU | AUC | 95% CI | P | Associated criterion | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| | 0.737 | 0.693–0.778 | <0.0001 | >10.24 | 69.2 | 75.1 |

| Abbreviations: aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; AUC, area under the curve; CI, Confidence Interval. |
Last but not least, improving functional status. Functional status decline was common in old patients. The incidence accounts for 17.5% among patients 75 years and older. Functional status decline has been proved to be associated with adverse outcomes in many diseases. Functional status was also reported to be a prognostic factor for patients with community-acquired pneumonia, according to prospective studies. Kang et al. found that activity of daily living is an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality in elderly CAP patients, and the AUC in predicting in-hospital mortality was 0.81 (95% CI, 0.78–0.85). Others also revealed that functional status decline was independently associated with prolonged hospital stays among senior CAP survivors and led to early hospital readmission. Here, we came to the similar conclusion that functional status was protective factor (p = 0.0007, OR = 0.958, 95% CI = 0.933–0.981) in predicting the prognosis and in-hospital mortality (AUC = 0.875). The sensitivity and specificity could reach to 91.58 and 75.29, especially when the Barthel Index was ≤45. So, it is crucial to improve functional status in the oldest elderly patients with CAP. While, the management strategies of functional decline largely overlap with those targeting frailty, given that frailty is effectively a marker for the potential for functional decline. So, reversing the frailty may play an important role in improving functional status. In addition, early detection, physical activity, and dietary interventions should also be helpful.

This study also had some limitations. First, being a retrospective study, the conclusions of this study need to be confirmed by well-designed prospective studies. Second, we did not consider other potential risk factors such as the use of antibiotics, D-dimer levels, swallowing disorder, or lifetime smoking history. Third, Barthel Index or Frail test relied on subjective factors, which may result in bias.

Conclusions
This study showed that aging care factors including frailty, nutrition, functional status and comorbidity assessment were independent risk factors influencing prognosis in patients over 80 years old with CAP. In addition, the AUC analysis of in-hospital mortality showed that there was no significant difference among Barthel Index, FRAIL and CURB-65. Further, the combination of the three variables (Barthel Index+FRAI+CURB-65, BCF model) elevated the AUC value and showed better performance. Additionally, multi-center prospective clinical studies should be performed to validate the findings in future.
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