Two Frizzled Planar Cell Polarity Signals in the Drosophila Wing Are Differentially Organized by the Fat/Dachsous Pathway

Justin Hogan, Meagan Valentine, Chris Cox, Kristy Doyle, Simon Collier*
Department of Biological Sciences, Marshall University, Huntington, West Virginia, United States of America

Abstract
The regular array of distally pointing hairs on the mature Drosophila wing is evidence for the fine control of Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) during wing development. Normal wing PCP requires both the Frizzled (Fz) PCP pathway and the Fat/Dachsous (Ft/Ds) pathway, although the functional relationship between these pathways remains under debate. There is strong evidence that the Fz PCP pathway signals twice during wing development, and we have previously presented a Bidirectional-Biphasic Fz PCP signaling model which proposes that the Early and Late Fz PCP signals are in different directions and employ different isoforms of the Prickle protein. The goal of this study was to investigate the role of the Ft/Ds pathway in the context of our Fz PCP signaling model. Our results allow us to draw the following conclusions: (1) The Early Fz PCP signals are in opposing directions in the anterior and posterior wing and converge precisely at the site of the L3 wing vein. (2) Increased or decreased expression of Ft/Ds pathway genes can alter the direction of the Early Fz PCP signal without affecting the Late Fz PCP signal. (3) Lowfat, a Ft/Ds pathway regulator, is required for the normal orientation of the Early Fz PCP signal but not the Late Fz PCP signal. (4) At the time of the Early Fz PCP signal there are symmetric gradients of dachsous (ds) expression centered on the L3 wing vein, suggesting Ds activity gradients may orient the Fz signal. (5) Localized knockdown or over-expression of Ft/Ds pathway genes shows that boundaries/gradients of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression can redirect the Early Fz PCP signal specifically. (6) Altering the timing of ds knockdown during wing development can separate the role of the Ft/Ds pathway in wing morphogenesis from its role in Early Fz PCP signaling.

Introduction
Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) describes the orientation of a cell within the plane of an epithelium. A primary model for studying the genetic control of PCP has been the organization of an array of cell hairs that point toward the distal tip of the Drosophila wing [1]. Two signaling pathways are known to control Drosophila wing PCP, the Frizzled (Fz) PCP pathway and the Fat/Dachsous (Ft/Ds) pathway [2], although the functional relationship between these two pathways remains subject to debate [3]. One model, the Tree-Amonlirdviman model, proposes a tiered structure in which long-range gradients of Ft/Ds signaling provide global polarity information that controls the direction of a local Fz PCP signal [4]. In the case of the wing, the proximal expression of Ft/Ds and distal expression of Four-jointed (Fj) are proposed to generate opposing activity gradients along the proximal-distal (P-D) wing axis that control the direction of the Fz PCP signal [5,6]. In contrast, studies in the Drosophila abdomen have led to an alternative ‘Two Pathway’ model in which the Fz/Ds and Ft/Ds PCP pathways function independently to organize PCP [7]. The resolution of these distinct models is important since both Fz PCP and Ft/Ds pathways have also been shown to be critical for PCP in vertebrate development [8,9] and are implicated in human disease [9–11].

In an earlier paper we showed that, in addition to organizing wing hair polarity, the Fz PCP pathway is required for the integrity and orientation of cuticle ridges that traverse the adult wing membrane [12]. However, although wing hairs have a common orientation across the wing, ridges are aligned with the anteroposterior (A-P) axis in the anterior wing and with the P-D axis in the posterior wing. Consequently, hair and ridge orientation are approximately orthogonal in the anterior wing, but are closely matched in the posterior wing. This presents the problem of how Fz PCP signaling can lead to these two distinct outcomes in anterior and posterior wing cells. Data from our work, and from other labs, has led us to propose a Bidirectional-Biphasic (Bid-Bip) model in which two distinct Fz PCP signaling events occur along different axes of the wing (Figure 1 and [12]). In the model, there is an Early Fz PCP signal aligned with the A-P axis that is approximately symmetric in the anterior and posterior wing. This is followed by a Late Fz PCP signal aligned with the P-D axis. For the model, the direction of Fz PCP signaling is defined as the hair polarity that would be specified by the signal.

The concept of two Fz PCP signaling events during wing development is not novel; the existence of a distinct Early Fz PCP signal around 18 hours after pupal formation (a.p.f.) has been well established by work in the Strutt lab [13,14]. However, the notion that the Early Fz PCP signal is oriented along the A-P axis appears...
Author Summary

Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) describes the orientation of a cell within the plane of a cell layer. The precise control of PCP has been shown to be vital for normal development in both vertebrates and invertebrates, and failures of PCP have been implicated in human disease. Studies in the fruit fly Drosophila have identified two genetic pathways, the Frizzled and Fat/Dachsous pathways, that are required to organize PCP, although the functional relationship between the two pathways remains unresolved. We have previously proposed a model of Frizzled pathway activity in the Drosophila wing that invokes two consecutive Frizzled signaling events oriented in different directions. The Early and Late Fz PCP signals use different isoforms of the Prickle protein. The goal of this study was to define the activity of the Fat/Dachsous pathway in the context of our Frizzled signaling model. Our results suggest that the Fat/Dachsous pathway has a different functional relationship with each of the Frizzled signaling events. Specifically, we find that by altering Fat/Dachsous pathway activity, we can reorient the Early Frizzled signal without affecting the Late Frizzled signal. This suggests that the functional relationship between the Fat/Dachsous pathway and the Frizzled pathway can vary, even between consecutive Frizzled signaling events within the same set of cells.

Key to our Bid-Bip model is the notion that different features of the wing are organized by the two Fz PCP signaling events. The model proposes that posterior ridges are organized by the Early Fz PCP signal, while anterior ridges and wing hairs are organized by the Late Fz PCP signal (Figure 1). This is supported by our finding that early over-expression (e.g. 10 hours a.p.f.) of the Sple isoform of the PCP protein Prickle reorients hairs and ridges in both the anterior and posterior wing, whereas late Sple over-expression (e.g. 19 hours a.p.f.) reorients hairs and anterior ridges, but not posterior ridges [12]. This observation suggests that posterior ridges are specified earlier than anterior ridges. Consequently, the Bid-Bip model proposes that ridges and hairs are organized by the same Fz PCP signaling event in the anterior wing, but by different (and differently oriented) Fz PCP signaling events in the posterior wing. Thus, the model accounts for the differing relationships between ridge and hair orientation observed in the anterior and posterior wing. The model also implies that orthogonal hair and ridge orientation is the normal outcome of a single Fz PCP signaling event in the wing.

One further feature of our Bid-Bip model is the proposal that the Early and Late Fz PCP signals differ in the use of the Prickle protein isoforms Pk and Sple within the Fz PCP pathway. Pk and Sple share a C-terminus containing a PET domain and three LIM domains, but the 13 N-terminal amino acids in Pk are replaced by 349 N-terminal amino acids in Sple [10]. In the model, the Early Fz PCP signal employs the Sple isoform and the Late Fz PCP signal employs the Pk isoform. (For this reason, we will refer to the Early Fz PCP signal as Fz(Sple) and the Late Fz PCP signal as Fz(Pk) in this paper.) This agrees with previous work from Strutt that showed the Pk isoform is only required for Late Fz PCP signaling [13]. Consequently, a prediction of the Bid-Bip model is that loss of Pk isoform activity (i.e. a pkpk mutant) blocks Late Fz PCP signaling and so only the Early Fz PCP signal occurs. Consistent with this prediction, there is a regular, approximately orthogonal, relationship between hair and ridge orientation across the entire pkpk mutant wing suggesting that only a single Fz PCP signaling event (i.e. Fz(Sple)) has occurred [12]. Moreover, Adler has shown that in a pkpk mutant wing, the cell non-autonomy of fcz clones is primarily posterior to anterior clones and anterior to posterior clones [16], suggesting that Fz PCP signaling is principally along the A-P axis.

Two Fz Signals in the Fly Wing

Figure 1. A Bidirectional-Biphasic (Bid-Bip) model for Fz PCP signaling in the Drosophila wing. The model proposes two distinct Fz PCP signals that differ both in direction and in use of the Prickle protein isoforms, Pk and Sple. An Early Fz(Sple) signal along the A-P axis organizes posterior ridge orientation. A Late Fz(Pk) signal along the P-D axis organizes anterior ridge orientation and hair polarity. (a.p.f. = after pupal formation).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g001
and in opposite directions in the anterior and posterior wing. This fits our model's proposal that only the Early Fz PCP signal is active in a pkpk mutant wing (Figure 1).

Our Bid-Bip Fz PCP signaling model differs significantly from previous models of PCP in the Drosophila wing. Therefore, it provides an alternative template for an evaluation of the role of the Ft/Ds pathway in wing PCP. The work presented in this paper addresses the relationship of the Ft/Ds and Fz PCP pathways in the context of our model and concludes that a primary role of the Ft/Ds pathway in wing PCP is to control the direction of the Early Fz(Spelc) signal.

Results

A PCP discontinuity in the Drosophila wing

Membrane ridge orientation differs between the anterior and posterior of the wild-type Drosophila wing [12]. The boundary between these two regions lies in the vicinity of the L3 vein, but is not possible to pinpoint on wild-type wings, as ridge orientation is difficult to determine adjacent to wing veins. Homozygous rhoex-1, vn1 wing veins lack wing veins L2-5 and display altered wing shape [19]. Using our Cuticle Refraction Microscopy (CRM) technique [12] in conjunction with conventional light microscopy, we find that rhoex-1, vn1 wings retain wild-type hair polarity and ridge orientation (compare Figure 2A with Figure 3A). In the absence of veins on the rhoex-1, vn1 wing, it becomes clear that the boundary between anterior A-P and posterior P-D ridge orientation can be mapped to a narrow region, about 2–3 cells wide, that forms an approximately straight line along the P-D axis of the wing (yellow shaded region in Figure 2A and 2B). Our ability to finely map this region implies an abrupt change in PCP on the wing and for this reason we refer to it as a ‘PCP Discontinuity’ (PCP-D). The absence of veins and unusual wing morphology of the rhoex-1, vn1 wing make the location of the PCP-D difficult to pinpoint. To overcome this problem, we over-expressed Argos uniformly during dorsal wing development (MS1096-gal4; UAS-argos). The Argos protein is a negative regulator of EGF signaling and Argos over-expression in the dorsal wing antagonizes longitudinal vein development resulting in variable loss of dorsal longitudinal veins including L3 (Figure 2C and [20]). These wings reveal that the discontinuity in ridge orientation (i.e. the PCP-D) maps to the normal location of the L3 vein (Figure 2D).

According to our Bid-Bip Fz PCP signaling model (Figure 1), loss of Pk isoform activity (i.e. a pkpk mutant) inactivates the Late Fz(Pk) signal, but not the Early Fz(Sple) signal [12]. Therefore, since only Early Fz(Sple) signaling is active on a pkpk mutant wing, the pkpk mutant hair polarity pattern should reflect the direction of the Fz(Sple) signal. At first glance, the intricate swirling hair patterns observed on a pkpk wing appear an improbable signaling output [12,18,21,22]. However, since hair whorls, and other abrupt changes in hair polarity, on a pkpk wing are normally adjacent to wing veins, we hypothesized that an alternate hair pattern might appear in the absence of vein differentiation. To test this, we generated pkpk30; rhoex-1, vn1 homozygous flies, which lack wing veins L2-5 and have no Pk isoform activity. We found that these wings lack most of the abrupt changes in hair polarity normally found on a pkpk mutant wing (see, for example, Figure S1). However, the approximately orthogonal relationship between hair polarity and ridge orientation, seen in both the anterior and posterior wing of a pkpk mutant wing [12], is maintained (Figure 2E and 2F). On a pkpk30; rhoex-1, vn1 wing, anterior hairs consistently have a posterior component to their polarity and posterior hairs have an anterior component to their polarity. The boundary between anterior and posterior pointing hairs can be mapped to an approximately straight line, around 2–3 cells wide, along the P-D axis (yellow shaded region in Figure 2E and 2F). This position is also associated with a discontinuity in ridge orientation, which changes abruptly in this region (Figure 2F). To localize this PCP discontinuity, we over-expressed Argos in a pkpk mutant wing (MS1096-gal4; pkpk30; rhoex-1, vn1, UAS-argos), to induce partial loss of dorsal longitudinal veins (Figure 2G). On these wings, it is clear that the discontinuity in hair and ridge orientation maps to the site of the L3 vein (Figure 2H).

In summary, we have identified a PCP discontinuity (PCP-D) in the Drosophila wing that maps to the site of the L3 vein wing (although physical differentiation of the L3 vein is not required for the formation of the PCP-D). In wild-type wings, the PCP-D represents a discontinuity in ridge orientation, but not hair polarity. However, in wings lacking Pk isoform activity, the PCP-D represents a discontinuity in both ridge orientation and hair polarity. According to our Bid-Bip Fz PCP signaling model (Figure 1), only Early Fz(Sple) signaling is active in a pkpk mutant wing, therefore we conclude that there is a discontinuity in Fz(Sple) signaling at the site of the L3 vein wing. We also note that, although hair polarity in a wild-type wing is not disrupted by the removal of wing veins, pkpk mutant hair polarity is significantly modified by wing vein removal (see Figure S1). This suggests that the output of the Early Fz(Sple) signal is significantly influenced by wing vein differentiation, whereas the Late Fz(Pk) signal is not. This observation is not consistent with a previous report which concluded that altered wing vein formation does not affect the pkpk mutant wing hair phenotype [21]. However, we note that the genes we have used to alter vein formation (rhoex-, ex-, argos) are all components of the EGF signaling pathway, whereas the genes used in the early work (knips-, cubitus interruptus and plexus) are not EGF components. This raises the possibility that it is altered EGF signaling that modifies the Early Fz(PCP) signal rather than the physical differentiation of wing veins.

Reduced activity of Ft/Ds pathway genes alters ridge orientation in the posterior wing without affecting hair polarity

The hypomorphic fat1 (ft1) mutant allele is homozygous viable and affects wing shape, but not hair polarity (Figure 3D). We mapped ridge orientation on a ft1 homozygous mutant wing using our CRM technique [12], and found that ridges in distal regions of the posterior wing show an A-P orientation, in contrast to the normal P-D orientation (Figure 3E with Figure 3C). In contrast, anterior ridges on the ft1 wing retain the normal A-P orientation (compare Figure 3E with Figure 3B). The abnormal wing morphology of viable dachous (ds) mutants makes analysis of wing ridges by our CRM technique challenging, although we were able to confirm that the D region (between veins L4 and L5) of a d1,dsUAS-ir, d1,dsUAS-ir heterozygous wing, retains wild-type hair polarity, but has primarily A-P ridges (data not shown). However, we were largely able to overcome this problem by expressing gene-specific RNAi uniformly in the developing dorsal wing. Uniform expression of ds RNAi (VDRC transformant 36219GD [23]) in the dorsal wing (MS1096-gal4; UAS-ds(IR)) alters wing shape and disrupts crossveins (Figure 3G), but produces only localized hair polarity changes in the proximal wing (red shaded oval in Figure 3G). CRM analysis shows that uniform ds RNAi expression alters posterior ridges to a more A-P orientation (Figure 3I), but does not affect anterior ridges (Figure 3H). Uniform expression of ft RNAi (VDRC transformant 9396GD [23]) in the dorsal wing (MS1096-gal4; UAS-ft(IR)) results in a very similar wing phenotype to ds RNAi expression (data not shown). The control of PCP by the Ft/Ds pathway also requires the four-jointed gene [24], and we have
mapped ridge orientation on wings homozygous for the amorphic fjD1 allele. Homozygous fjD1 wings have altered shape, but hair polarity is disrupted in only a small proximal region (red shaded oval in Figure 3J), the same region affected by uniform ft or ds knockdown. We found that posterior ridges on fjD1 homozygous wings also have a more A-P orientation than wild-type (Figure 3L), but anterior ridges are unchanged (Figure 3K).

The phenotypes generated using the VDRC ft and ds RNAi lines are unlikely to result from off-target RNAi activity as they phenocopy the established mutant phenotype of these genes. In addition, we were able to reproduce these phenotypes using independent ft (JF03245) and ds (JF02842) RNAi lines from the TRiP project (Transgenic RNAi Project, Harvard Medical School) in combination with the same Gal4 driver (data not shown).
Curiously, uniform fj RNAi expression using either the VDRC (transformant 6774GD [23]) or TRiP (JF02843) stocks failed to give the characteristic fj mutant wing morphology and so these stocks were excluded from this study.

Our findings show that reduced activity of the Ft/Ds pathway genes ft, ds and fj alter ridge orientation in the distal posterior wing to a more A-P orientation, without affecting hair polarity in the same region or anterior ridge orientation. Since our Bid-Bip model proposes that posterior ridges are organized by the Early Fz(Sple) signal whereas anterior ridges and wing hairs are organized by the Late Fz(Pk) signal (see Figure 1), these results suggest that reduced activity of Ft/Ds pathway genes can alter the Early Fz(Sple) signal without affecting the Late Fz(Pk) signal. This turns out to be the case. For example, although a fj homozygous wing has wild-type hair polarity, the pknull mutant wing hair phenotype is more distal in both the anterior wing (compare Figure 4B with 4E) and in distal regions of the posterior wing (compare Figure 4C with

Reduced activity of Ft/Ds pathway genes modifies pknull wing hair polarity to a more distal orientation.

Our analysis of wing ridge phenotypes led us to conclude that reduced Ft/Ds pathway activity can affect the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal without altering the Late Fz(Pk) signal. Since the Late Fz(Pk) signal is inactivated in a pknull mutant wing, the pknull hair polarity phenotype should reflect the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal [12]. Consequently, if reducing Ft/Ds pathway activity affects the orientation of the Early Fz(Sple) signal, we predict that it should significantly modify the pknull mutant wing hair phenotype. This turns out to be the case. For example, although a fj homozygous wing has wild-type hair polarity, the pknull hair polarity phenotype is substantially modified in a fj1, pknull double mutant wing (compare Figure 4A with 4D). Specifically, in comparison to a pknull homozygote, fj1, pknull hair polarity is more distal in both the anterior wing (compare Figure 4B with 4E) and in distal regions of the posterior wing (compare Figure 4C with

Figure 3. Reduced Ft/Ds pathway gene activity alters posterior ridge orientation without affecting hair polarity. All micrographs are of the female dorsal wing surface. Black arrows indicate local hair polarity; red lines indicate local ridge orientation. Panels B, C, E, F, H, I, K and L show light micrographs of hair polarity overlaid on an inverted and colorized (red) CRM image of ridge orientation in the same region. (A) Wild-type (Canton S) wing. (B) Detail of anterior wild-type wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (A)). (C) Detail of posterior wild-type wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (A)). (D) fj homozygous wing. (E) Detail of anterior fj homozygous wing (anteroir yellow shaded region in (D)). (F) Detail of posterior fj homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (D)). (G) MS109-Gal4; UAS-dsIR wing. (H) Detail of anterior MS109-Gal4; UAS-dsIR wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (G)). (I) fj homozygous wing. (K) Detail of anterior fj homozygous wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (I)). (L) Detail of posterior fj homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (L)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g003
We see a similar modification of the pkpk hair phenotype when driving uniform \( \beta \) RNAi expression (VDRC transformant 9396GD) in a pkpk mutant wing (MS1096-gal4; pk30, UAS-\( \beta \)-IR)/pk30, but with more extensive regions of distal hair polarity in the posterior wing and an anterior component to anterior hair polarity (data not shown). Driving uniform expression of ds RNAi (VDRC transformant 36219GD) in the dorsal wing of a pkpk mutant also modifies the pkpk hair phenotype to a more distal polarity in the anterior and distal posterior wing (Figure 4G, 4H and 4I).

We also generated flies homozygous for both a pkpk allele and for an amorphic allele of low fat (\( lft \)), a recently identified modulator of Ft/Ds signaling [25]. In \( lft^{TG2} \), pkpk homozygous wings display altered wing morphology and aberrant posterior ridges, but wild-type hair polarity ([25] and data not shown). In \( lft^{TG2} \), pkpk homozygous wings, the pkpk hair phenotype is modified to a more distal polarity in the anterior and distal posterior wing (Figure 4J, 4K and 4L), in a similar manner to when \( \beta \), \( ds \) or \( lft \) activity is reduced. Hair polarity on \( lft^{TG2} \), pkpk homozygous wings is also more distal than the pkpk phenotype. However, this effect is less than observed for reduced \( \beta \), \( ds \) or \( lft \) activity and appears region specific. For example, hair polarity in the A region (anterior to the L2 vein) of a \( lft^{TG2} \), pkpk wing is entirely distal, but in the B region (between the L2 and L3 vein) retains a significant posterior component and so is closer to the pkpk phenotype (data not shown).

These findings show that reduced activity of the Ft/Ds pathway genes \( \beta \), \( ds \), \( \beta \) or \( lft \) modify the pkpk hair polarity phenotype to a more distal polarity in the anterior wing and distal regions of the posterior wing. This is despite the fact that hair polarity in these regions is not affected by the reduced activity of the same Ft/Ds pathway genes in a wild-type background (see Figure 3). In the context of our Bid-Bip model (Figure 1), this supports our proposal that reduced levels of Ft/Ds pathway activity can alter the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal without affecting the Late Fz(Pk) signal. Moreover, our results suggest that the role of Lft in wing PCP is entirely restricted to regulating the Early Fz(Sple) signal. In the posterior wing, reduced Ft/Ds pathway activity

Figure 4. Reduced Ft/Ds pathway gene activity modifies the pkpk hair polarity phenotype. All micrographs are of the female dorsal wing surface. Arrows indicate local hair polarity, red arrows indicate where local hair polarity differs from that seen on a pkpk homozygous wing. (A) pkpk homozygous wing. (B) Detail of anterior pkpk homozygous wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (A)). (C) Detail of posterior pkpk homozygous wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (D)). (F) Detail of posterior \( \beta \), pkpk homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (D)). (G) MS1096-gal4; UAS-\( \beta \)-IR, pkpk homozygous wing (anterior yellow shaded region in (G)). (I) Detail of posterior MS1096-gal4; UAS-\( \beta \)-IR, pkpk homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (G)). (J) Detail of anterior \( \beta \), pkpk homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (G)). (K) Detail of anterior \( \beta \), pkpk homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (G)). (L) Detail of posterior \( \beta \), pkpk homozygous wing (posterior yellow shaded region in (J)). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g004
modifies the pk30 hair phenotype to a more distal polarity in the same regions in which reduced Ft/Ds pathway activity alters ridge orientation to a more A-P orientation (see Figure 3). Since we propose that a single Fz PCP signal specifies orthogonal hair and ridges, we would expect that a change in the Fz(Sple) signal direction that results in distal hair polarity should be associated with A-P ridges.

Uniform over-expression of Ft/Ds pathway genes modifies pk30 wing hair polarity to a more distal orientation

To complement the studies described above, we looked at the effect of over-expressing Ft/Ds pathway genes on the Early Fz(Sple) and Late Fz(Pk) signals. Uniform over-expression of ft (MS1096-gal4; UAS-ft) results in similar wing morphology to loss of ft activity (Figure 5B) and alters posterior ridges. ft over-expression alters hair polarity in the same proximal region of the wing affected by reduced Ft/Ds pathway gene activity (see Figure 3), but also generates variable hair polarity changes in more distal regions of the wing (red ovals in Figure 5B). Uniform over-expression of ds or fj results in a similar wing shape, posterior ridge and hair polarity phenotype to reduced activity of the same genes (Figure 5C and 5D). When ft, ds or fj are uniformly over-expressed in a pk30 mutant wing, the pk30 wing hair phenotype is modified to a more distal polarity in the anterior wing and in distal regions of the posterior wing (Figure 5F, 5G and 5H). These modifications of the pk30 hair phenotype are similar to those generated by reduced activity of the same Ft/Ds pathway genes (see Figure 4).

These results show that uniform over-expression of ft, ds or fj modify the pk30 hair polarity phenotype in regions of the wing not affected by over-expression of these genes alone. In the context of our Bid-Bip model (Figure 1), this suggests that ft, ds and fj overexpression can alter the Early Fz(Sple) signal without affecting the Late Fz(Pk) signal. The results also imply that both over-expression, and reduced activity, of Ft/Ds pathway genes modify the direction of the Fz(Sple) signal to a more distal orientation.

Figure 5. Over-expression of Ft/Ds pathway genes modifies the pk30 hair polarity phenotype. All micrographs are of the female dorsal wing surface. Arrows indicate local hair polarity. Red shaded ovals represent regions where hair polarity differs from wild-type. Red arrows indicate where local hair polarity differs from that seen on a pk30 homozygous wing. (A) Wild-type wing. (B) MS1096-gal4; UAS-ft wing. (C) MS1096-gal4; UAS-ds wing. (D) MS1096-gal4; UAS-fj wing. (E) pk30/UAS-ft wing. (F) MS1096-gal4; UAS-ft, pk30/UAS-ft wing. (G) MS1096-gal4; pk30/UAS-ds wing. (H) MS1096-gal4; UAS-fj, pk30/UAS-fj wing.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g005
ds is transiently expressed at the site of the L3 wing vein at the time of the Early Fz PCP signal

Conventionally, gradients of Ft/Ds activity, arising from localized expression of one or more Ft/Ds pathway genes, have been proposed to organize epithelial PCP [26]. In the wing, proximal Ds expression and distal Fj expression have been proposed to generate Ft/Ds activity gradients that organize hair polarity [6,14,27]. This proposal is supported by studies that show Ds expression is primarily in the proximal wing at 24–26 hours a.p.f., shortly before the Late Fz PCP signal [6,27]. However, at 17 hours a.p.f., immediately before the Early Fz PCP signal [12,14], Ds protein is present in a P-D stripe along the centre of the wing blade (see Figure 6H in [27]). We stained ds-lacZ wings at 18 hours a.p.f. and detected a corresponding stripe of beta-galactosidase activity that extends along the majority of the wing blade (Figure 6A). Beta-galactosidase activity reduces gradually both anterior and posterior to this stripe, suggesting symmetric gradients of ds expression along the A-P axis. To localize this ds expression, we stained for beta-galactosidase activity in an 18 hours a.p.f. ds-lacZ wing that also expressed Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) under the control of the en-graile (en) promoter (en-gal4, UAS-gfp). The en promoter drives GFP expression throughout the posterior wing with a sharp anterior boundary 4–5 cells posterior to the L3 vein (Figure 6B). In ds-lacZ/en-gal4, UAS-gfp wings, the peak of beta-galactosidase activity (red arrowheads in Figure 6C and 6D) is located anterior to the anterior boundary of GFP expression (Figure 6D) implying that the peak of ds expression maps close to the site of the L3 vein.

There is no ds expression within the wing pouch of 3rd instar imaginal wing discs [28–30], and little ds expression within the pupal wing blade at 24–26 a.p.f. [6,27]. Therefore, we conclude that ds is expressed transiently at the site of the L3 vein around 18 hours a.p.f., the time Strutt has defined for the Early Fz PCP signal [13,14]. Since we propose that the Early Fz(Sple) signal converges at the site of the L3 vein and that ds is required for the normal orientation of the Fz(Sple) signal, this makes localized ds expression a strong candidate for an organizer of the Fz(Sple) signal. fj expression has previously been proposed to form an opposing gradient to ds in the wing, eye and abdomen [6,27,31–33]. However, although there is beta-galactosidase activity at the anterior and posterior wing margin of a fj-lacZ wing at 18 hours a.p.f., there is also expression at the distal margin and in distal intervein regions ([5] and data not shown). This pattern of fj expression does not suggest that there are simple opposing gradients of ds and fj expression in the anterior and posterior wing during the period of Early Fz PCP signaling.

Gradients/boundaries of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression reorient hair polarity on a pkpt mutant wing

If gradients of Ft/Ds pathway gene activity control the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal, we would expect that altering local levels of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression in the pupal wing should reorient the Fz(Sple) signal. We initially generated marked clones of ft, ds and fj knockdown or over-expression in a pkpt mutant wing to identify hair polarity changes that result from inducing novel gradients/boundaries of Ft/Ds signaling. However, interpreting the effects of clones of variable shape, size and position on the pkpt mutant hair phenotype proved unfeasible. To overcome this problem, we used the well-characterized sal-Gal4 driver to drive localized over-expression or knockdown of ft, ds and fj in both wild-type and pkpt mutant wings. The sal-Gal4 driver expresses Gal4 protein in the spalt expression pattern [34] (i.e. between the L2 vein and midway between the L4 and L5 veins (Figure 7A)), and has been used successfully to generate gradients of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression along the A-P wing axis [27]. Using the sal-Gal4 driver to knockdown ds or ft, or to over-express ds, ft or fj resulted in changes in wing morphology, but did not affect hair polarity outside the main sal-Gal4 expression domain (see Figure 7D, 7F, 7H, 7J and 7L). However, when the same experiments were done in a pkpt mutant wing, specific changes of hair polarity were observed outside of the main sal-Gal4 expression domain. For example, in the A region of the wing (anterior to the L2 vein) hair

![Figure 6](image_url)
Figure 7. Gradients/boundaries of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression modify the pkpk hair polarity phenotype. All micrographs show a detail of the A region of the female dorsal wing (red boxed region in (A)). Black arrows indicate local hair polarity. (A) Wing cartoon showing major expression domain of the sal-Gal4 driver (blue shading). (B) Wild-type (Oregon R). (C) pkpk/pkpk. (D) sal-Gal4/UAS-ds[IR]. (E) pkpk, UAS-ds[IR]/pkpk, sal-Gal4. (F) sal-Gal4; UAS-ds. (G) pkpk sal-Gal4/pkpk, UAS-ds. (H) sal-Gal4/UAS-ft[IR]. (I) pkpk, UAS-ft[IR]/pkpk, sal-Gal4. (J) sal-Gal4/ UAS-ft. (K) pkpk, sal-Gal4/pkpk, UAS-ft. (L) sal-Gal4/UAS-fj. (M) pkpk, sal-Gal4/pkpk, UAS-fj. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g007

polarity on a pkpk mutant wing is posterior (see Figure 4 and [12,18,21]). However, hair polarity in the A region of a pkpk mutant wing becomes anterior when sal-Gal4 is used to drive ds knockdown or ft or fj over-expression (Figure 7E, 7K and 7M). In contrast, pkpk mutant wings in which sal-Gal4 drives ds over-expression or ft knockdown retain posterior hair polarity in the A region. In each case, hair polarity within the main sal-Gal4 expression domain resembles the modified pkpk phenotype seen when the same Ft/Ds pathway genes were knockdown or over-expressed uniformly in the wing (see Figure 4 and Figure 5), with the exception of fj over-expression which maintained the normal pkpk mutant phenotype within the sal-Gal4 expression domain. This last observation is curious, but may be due to the relative levels of expression driven by the MS1096-Gal4 and sal-Gal4 drivers.

We note that Ft/Ds pathway gene misexpression can affect hair polarity on a pkpk mutant wing ten or more cell diameters anterior to the main sal-Gal4 expression domain, suggesting a substantial degree of cell non-autonomy. We have found that driving RNAi knockdown of the cell-autonomous tricornered (trc) (VDRC transformant 107923KK [23]) or forked (fj) (VDRC transformant 33200GD [23]) genes using the sal-Gal4 driver generates occasional cells carrying a mutant hair phenotype anterior to the L2 vein (data not shown). This raises the possibility there may be a gradient of sal-Gal4 expression extending several cell diameters anterior to the L2 vein that could generate corresponding gradients of Ft/Ds pathway gene activity. However, it is also possible that the boundary of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression generated using the sal-Gal4 driver may cause propagation of PCP changes outside of the expression domain, as has been observed in the Drosophila abdomen ([7] and see discussion) and in the control of cell proliferation by the Ft/Ds pathway [35].

In the context of our Bid-Bip model, these results suggest that generating gradients/boundaries of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression along the A-P wing axis can alter the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal, without affecting the Late Fz(Pk) signal. Specifically, we find that the Fz(Sple) signal is reoriented to point away from a region of reduced ds expression, but not from a region of ds over-expression. This is consistent with the observation that the Early Fz(Sple) signal normally points towards high levels of ds expression at the site of the L3 vein. The Early Fz(Sple) signal also points away from over-expressed ft or fj, which suggests that there are activity gradients of Ft and Fj that oppose the Ds expression gradient during the period of Early Fz(Sple) signaling.

To test if gradients/boundaries of Ft/Ds pathway gene expression can alter PCP in the absence of both the Pk and Splc protein isoforms, we used sal-Gal4 to drive ft or fj over-expression, and ft knockdown, in a pkpk-fj14 homozygous mutant wing. These localized changes in ft or fj expression altered the morphology of the pkpk-fj14 wing (compare Figure S2B with S2D, S2F and S2H), however, there were no significant changes in hair polarity at the boundaries of the sal-gal4 expression domain. For example, in the A region of a pkpk-fj14 homozygous wing hair polarity is slightly more anterior than wild-type ([18,22] and see Figure S2C), but is
not altered when sal-gal4 is used to drive \( f t \) or \( fj \) over-expression, or \( ft \) knockdown (see Figure S2E, S2G and S2I). These results show that gradients/boundaries of \( Ft/Ds \) pathway gene expression, which can reorient the \( Fz(Sple) \) signal, do not alter PCP in the absence of \( Pk \) and \( Sple \) isoform activity.

The role of \( ds \) in wing morphogenesis is separable from its effect on the \( pk^{pk} \) hair polarity phenotype

The \( Ft/Ds \) pathway controls wing morphogenesis by determining the orientation of cell divisions and clonal growth [36] and it has been proposed that altered wing hair polarity associated with loss of \( Ft/Ds \) pathway activity might also be a consequence of abnormal cell division [37]. Our data show that altered \( Ft/Ds \) pathway activity can change wing morphology without affecting hair polarity across most of the wing (see Figure 3). In the context of our Bid-Bip model, this suggests that the role of the \( Ft/Ds \) pathway in wing morphogenesis is largely separable from its role in organizing the Late \( Fz(Pk) \) signal. However, we find that changes in \( Ft/Ds \) activity that alter wing shape consistently modify the \( pk^{pk} \) mutant hair phenotype. This suggests that we have been unable to separate the role of \( Ft/Ds \) in wing morphogenesis from its role in organizing the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal. To attempt to unlink these activities, we controlled the timing of \( ds \) RNAi expression during the development of a \( pk^{pk} \) mutant wing. Constitutive expression of \( ds \) RNAi in the developing \( pk^{pk} \) wing (using the MS1096-Gal4 driver) alters wing morphology and changes \( pk^{pk} \) wing hair polarity to a more distal orientation (see Figure 4G, 4H and 4I). We controlled the timing of \( ds \) RNAi expression in MS1096-Gal4; UAS-ds(IR) wings by constitutive expression of Gal80\( ^{\text{Cu}} \), a temperature-sensitive Gal4 inhibitor, that binds and inactivates Gal4 at 18°C, but not at 30°C [38]. Consequently, animals of the genotype MS1096-Gal4/+; \( \text{pk}^{\text{pk}} \text{ds}(\text{IR})/\text{pk}^{30}\text{Gal80}^{\text{Cu}} \) can be cultured at 18°C (when Gal80\( ^{\text{Cu}} \) is active and inhibits Gal4) and then shifted to 30°C at specific times a.p.f. to induce \( ds \) RNAi expression in the wing. When flies of this genotype were cultivated continuously at 18°C, they showed a typical \( pk^{pk} \) mutant wing phenotype (Figure 8A and 8B), indicating that Gal80\( ^{\text{Cu}} \) effectively inhibited Gal4 at this temperature. In contrast, when flies of this genotype were cultivated continuously at 30°C, they displayed wing morphology typical of reduced \( ds \) activity (Figure 8C), combined with more distal hair polarity than a \( pk^{pk} \) mutant (Figure 8D). Flies shifted from 18°C to 30°C during pupal development showed close to wild-type wing morphology (e.g. Figure 8E, 8G and 8H), but a hair phenotype that was dependent upon the timing of the temperature shift. Flies shifted before 30 hours a.p.f. displayed the more distal hair polarity typical of continuous \( ds \) knockdown (e.g. Figure 8F) and we still observed significant modification of the \( pk^{pk} \) hair phenotype when pupae were shifted at 36 hours a.p.f. However, pupae shifted after 40 hours a.p.f. displayed hair polarity phenotypes within the range of normal \( pk^{pk} \) mutant wings.

These results show that controlling the timing of \( ds \) knockdown during the development of \( pk^{pk} \) pupal wings can generate wings that have close to wild-type morphology, but still have a modified \( pk^{pk} \) wing hair phenotype. We conclude that the role of \( ds \) in wing morphogenesis is largely separable from its role in the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal. In principle, \( ds \) knockdown should modify the \( pk^{pk} \) wing hair phenotype prior to the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal, but should have no effect after the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal. We find that typical \( ds \) RNAi modification of the \( pk^{pk} \) phenotype still occurs when pupae are shifted at 30 hours a.p.f. at 18°C (approximately equivalent to 13 hours a.p.f. at 25°C), and still see some modification of the \( pk^{pk} \) phenotype when pupae are shifted at 36 hours a.p.f. at 18°C (approximately equivalent to 17–18 hours a.p.f. at 25°C), but not when pupae are shifted at 40 hours a.p.f. at 18°C (approximately equivalent to 19 hours a.p.f. at 25°C). These results are consistent with Strutt’s proposal that the Early \( Fz \) PCP signal occurs at around 18 hours a.p.f. at 25°C [13,14].

Discussion

A model for \( Ft/Ds \) control of the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal

The data presented in this report allow us to refine our Bid-Bip \( Fz \) PCP signaling model (Figure 1), particularly the nature of the proposed Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal. We find that the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal is in opposing directions in the anterior and posterior wing and converges precisely at the site of the L3 vein. The site of the L3 vein, therefore, represents a discontinuity in Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signaling that we have called the PCP-D (see Figure 9). However, it is clear that physical differentiation of the L3 vein is not required for the formation of the PCP-D. The correspondence of the PCP-D with the site of the L3 vein is perhaps surprising as the compartment boundary (a barrier to clonal growth that runs a few cells anterior to the L4 vein) appears a more obvious boundary between the anterior and posterior wing. However, the L3 vein has been defined as a specific region of low Hedgehog signaling within the wing [39], suggesting this region has the molecular autonomy needed to function as a signaling centre. In addition, recently published work from the Eaton lab has also identified the L3 vein as the boundary between oppositely polarized cells in the anterior and posterior of early pupal wings [40].

We find that both reduced activity and uniform over-expression of \( Ft/Ds \) pathway genes have similar effects on the direction of the \( Fz(Sple) \) signal, which becomes more distal in both the anterior wing and distal regions of the posterior wing. Significantly, the Eaton lab has recently shown that the subcellular localization of Vang/Sbtm protein in the early (15 hours a.p.f.) pupal wing of a \( ds \) mutant is more distal than wild-type in both the anterior and distal posterior wing (see Figure 7C in [40]). Our results are consistent with the idea that the normal direction of the \( Fz(Sple) \) signal is controlled by gradients of \( Ft/Ds \) pathway activity that can be flattened through either reduced or uniform expression of individual pathway components. We have confirmed an observation made in the Blair lab [27] that \( ds \) is expressed transiently in a P-D stripe within the pupal wing blade at around the time of Early \( Fz \) PCP signaling (as defined by Strutt [13,14]) and have localized the peak of \( Ds \) expression to the site of the L3 vein, the same location as the wing PCP-D. This implies that there are symmetric gradients of \( ds \) expression in the anterior and posterior wing and that the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal points up a \( ds \) expression gradient (Figure 9). This conclusion is supported by our finding that the \( Fz(Sple) \) signal reorients to point away from localized \( ds \) knockdown, but not from localized \( ds \) over-expression. The Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal also points away from over-expressed \( ft \) or \( fj \), which suggests that \( Ft \) or \( Fj \) activity has the opposite effect to \( Ds \) activity on direction of the \( Fz(Sple) \) signal (Figure 9). This is the same relationship between \( Ft \), \( Ds \) and \( Fj \) activity that has been established in the Drosophila eye [41] and abdomen [31]. Recent molecular studies have shown that \( Fj \), a golgi kinase, can phosphorylate cadherin domains within both \( Ft \) and \( Ds \) proteins [42,43]. It has been proposed that this modification increases \( Ft \) activity, but decreases \( Ds \) activity.

We find that reducing \( ds \) expression (or increasing \( ft \) or \( fj \) expression) under the control of the \( sal-Gal4 \) driver redirects the Early \( Fz(Sple) \) signal for a significant distance (ten or more cell diameters) beyond the \( sal-Gal4 \) expression domain. In principle, reducing \( ds \) expression within the \( sal-Gal4 \) domain should generate a local reversal of the \( ds \) expression gradient at the boundary of sal-
Gal4 expression (e.g. the L2 vein). This short reversed ds gradient should generate a correspondingly short region of reversed Fz(Sple) signal which should be visible (on a pk<sup>pk</sup> mutant wing) as a short region of reversed hair polarity adjacent to the L2 vein. Therefore, the propagation of reversed hair polarity significantly anterior to the L2 vein is surprising. However, a similar propagation of reversed polarity is seen adjacent to loss-of-function and over-expression clones of ds, ft or fj in the Drosophila abdomen [7,31]. The model proposed for the propagation of altered polarity in the abdomen [7] may, therefore, also apply to the Early Fz(Sple) signal in the wing.

Since it has been established that wing hair polarity points down a gradient of Fz activity [16] and we propose that the direction of the Early Fz(Sple) signal (i.e. the hair polarity that would be specified by the signal) points up a Ds expression gradient, it appears that there are opposing gradients of Ds and Fz activity during Early Fz(Sple) signaling. This relationship between Ds and Fz gradients is consistent with that described in the Drosophila eye [32], although it is opposite to that previously proposed in the wing [6]. Our findings, therefore, may help resolve this discrepancy between the proposed relationships of Fz and Ds activity in the eye and wing that has been highlighted by Strutt, Mlodzik and others [2,41,44].

The role of Ft/Ds pathway in Late Fz(Pk) signaling

From this work, we conclude that for substantial regions of the wing (including most of the anterior wing and distal regions of the posterior wing), Ft/Ds pathway activity can be altered such that the Early Fz(Sple) signal is redirected, but the Late Fz(Pk) signal remains unaffected. For any specific experiment, this result might be explained by the specific properties of the mutant allele used or by the specific spatial or temporal activity of the Gal4 driver used to drive gene knockdown or over-expression. However, we have shown that numerous alleles, as well as both knockdown and over-
expression, of Ft/Ds pathway genes, can redirect the Fz(Sple) signal in a similar way, without affecting the Fz(Pk) signal in the same region. This suggests that across most of the wing there is a different requirement for the Ft/Ds pathway in the Early Fz(Sple) and Late Fz(Pk) signals. Moreover, we have found that loss of the Ft/Ds pathway regulator Lft affects the Early Fz(Sple) signal, but not the Late Fz(Pk) signal. This suggests that the mechanism used by the Ft/Ds pathway to direct the Early Fz(Sple) signal differs from that used to organize the Late Fz(Pk) signal.

What, then, is the role of the Ft/Ds pathway in the Late Fz(Pk) signal? Since the Late Fz(Pk) signal organizes hair polarity (see Figure 1), characterizing the loss of Ft/Ds pathway activity on hair polarity should be informative. We have found that driving ft or ds RNAi uniformly in the wing results in altered wing morphology, but only localized proximal hair polarity changes. This might be due to incomplete gene knockdown, coupled with different requirements for Ft/Ds activity for Late Fz PCP signaling in different regions of the wing. However, it is suggestive that wings homozygous for a fj amorphic allele show only a localized hair polarity phenotype in this same proximal region, implying that Fj is only required for hair polarity in the proximal wing. These results raise the possibility the Ft/Ds pathway is normally only required for hair polarity in the proximal wing.

Since neither ft nor ds null flies are adult viable, previous studies have inferred the role of Ft and Ds in wing hair polarity from analyzing phenotypes of viable hypomorphic alleles, clones of amorphic alleles and localized over-expression [6,14,27,45]. Some hypomorphic ds allele combinations display extensive wing hair polarity disruptions [27,45], although the residual activity of these specific alleles has not been well characterized. Wing clones homozygous for amorphic ft or ds alleles can show hair phenotypes, although this is dependent upon the position and/or size of the clone [6,14]. However, mutant clones generate ectopic Ft or Ds activity boundaries/gradients in the wing and it is known that localized mis-expression of Ft/Ds pathway genes can generate hair phenotypes in wing regions not affected by uniform over-expression [27]. Most telling, clones of fj affect hair polarity in regions of the wing that are not affected in amorphic fj wings [5].

**Figure 9. A model for PCP specification in the Drosophila wing.** At 18 hours a.p.f., there are symmetric gradients of Ds expression that peak at the site of the L3 vein. The resulting Ds activity gradients are opposed by gradients of Ft and Fj activity. The Ft/Ds pathway organizes the direction of the Early Fz PCP signal, which points up the Ds activity gradient towards the site of the L3 vein. This Early Fz PCP signal employs the Sple isoform of the Prickle protein and determines the orientation of posterior wing ridges, which are specified orthogonal to the direction of Fz signaling. The outcome of the Early Fz PCP signal can be modified by the differentiation of wing veins, possibly due to EGF signaling. A second Fz signal occurs prior to wing hair initiation at 32 hours a.p.f. and employs the Pk isoform of the Prickle protein. The Late Fz PCP signal points distally and determines the orientation of hairs and also anterior ridges, which are specified orthogonal to the Fz activity gradient. The Late Fz PCP signal points down the contemporaneous Ds expression gradient and up a Fj expression gradient. However, it is likely that other factors are involved in controlling the direction of the Late Fz PCP signal.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.g009
These results clearly show that mis-regulated Ft/Ds activity can change wing hair polarity. However, they do not definitively establish a role for Ft/Ds pathway in the normal organization of hair polarity outside of the proximal wing. Therefore, it remains possible that Ft/Ds pathway activity is only required for hair polarity in the proximal wing, but mis-regulated Ft/Ds pathway activity can induce changes in hair polarity in other wing regions. This may restrict the normal role of the Ft/Ds pathway to establish a role for Ft/Ds pathway in the normal organization of hair polarity in the proximal wing alone.

The logic of multiple Fz PCP signaling events during wing development

According to our Bid-Bip model, the two Fz PCP signaling events aligned with different axes of the developing wing allow membrane ridges to be organized in different directions in the anterior and posterior (see Figure 1). The ability of the insect wing to deform specifically is vital for insect flight and it has been proposed that wing membrane structure helps provide the appropriate wing rigidity and flexibility [46]. In the case of membrane ridges, the membrane should be flexible parallel to the ridges, but be resistant to folding perpendicular to the ridges. The A-P ridges in the anterior wing are perpendicular to longitudinal vein veins which suggest a rigid anterior wing structure, whereas the posterior ridges are almost parallel with longitudinal wing veins suggesting a more flexible posterior wing structure. This organization is typical for Dipteran wings which usually have a well-supported leading edge and a flexible trailing edge. Indeed, we have seen similar ridge organization in wings of other Drosophila species (data not shown). Therefore, the different orientation of ridges in the anterior and posterior wing may have a functional basis. The reason for the uniform distal hair polarity across the Drosophila wing is not well understood, but is conserved in a wide range of Dipteran species suggesting a functional constraint. Therefore, the two Fz PCP signals in different directions during Drosophila wing development may provide a mechanism that allows hairs and ridges to be organized appropriately using a single signaling pathway.

Are multiple Fz PCP signaling events active in other Drosophila tissues besides the developing wing? Intriguingly, the Prickle isoforms, Pk and Sple, play different roles in PCP in numerous Drosophila tissues, including the wing, eye, abdomen and leg [18,21,47–49]. This raises the possibility that there are multiple Fz PCP signals involving differential use of Pk and Sple isoforms in each of these tissues. However, the specific phenotypes associated with loss of either or both isoforms within the different tissues suggest that the details of our Bid-Bip model are unlikely to hold true for all tissues. How can multiple Fz PCP signals occur in different directions in the same developing tissue? One possibility is that changes in the molecular makeup of the Fz PCP pathway allow it to respond to different global signals within the tissue, or to respond in different ways to the same global signal. In the Drosophila wing, this might result from the differential use of the Pk and Sple isoforms. Alternatively, the individual Fz PCP signals may respond to different global signals present at different times during tissue development or to a single dynamic global cue. The significance of Prickle isoform switching and the possibility of dynamic global PCP signals are ongoing topics of interest in our lab.

Materials and Methods

Fly culture and stocks

Flies were cultured at 25°C on standard yeast fed cornmeal media, unless stated otherwise. Fly mutations used in this study were: rho<sup>ok+<sup>, vn<sup>1<sup> (J. de Celis), pk<sup>290 (D. Gubb), as<sup>U2471 (P. Adler), y<sup>11<sup> (K. Irvine), UAS-ft, UAS-ds, UAS-f (S.Blair), P<sup>(GMR4350)±6219 (ds RNAi), P<sup>(GMR401)±6396 (β RNAi), P<sup>(GMD430)±6774 (γ RNAi) (VgRC), TRIP<-flip<sub>2/42 (ds RNAi), TRIP<-flip<sub>2/43 (β RNAi), TRIP<-flip<sub>2/45 (β RNAi) (TRIP), UAS-argos, ft<sup>, ds<sup>05142, γ<sup>, MS1096-Gal4, ds<sup>20608 (J. de Celis), ft<sup>, γ<sup>, f<sup>-lacZ, 459.2 Gal4 (sal-Gal4<sub>Drosophilus>, en-Gal4, UAS-GFP;563(T2), tubP-Gal80<sub>U2 (10) (Bloomington Stock Center).

Cuticle Refraction Microscopy (CRM)

We have described the CRM technique previously [12]. Briefly, adult wings were removed and laid gently on top of a thin layer of clear nail polish with the dorsal surface uppermost. The nail polish was allowed to dry, a cover slip placed on top and sealed with additional nail polish. Wings were viewed using an Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus America Inc.) with the top lens of the condenser removed from the light path and the aperture diaphragm at its narrowest.

Detection of beta galactosidase activity in pupal wings

Prepupae of appropriate genotype were collected and aged for 10 hours at 25°C. Pupal wings were dissected, fixed with 4% formaldehyde (20 minutes) and beta-galactosidase activity assayed using X-gal by standard techniques.

Fluorescent microscopy of GFP-expressing adult wings

Newly unfolded wings were removed carefully from recently eclosed female flies and laid on a clean microscope slide. The wings were left in air, to prevent delaminated cells being washed out of the wing by mountant, and were either viewed directly or under a coverslip using appropriate spacers to prevent the coverslip contacting the wing surface.

Expression/knockdown of Ft/Ds pathway genes using the sal-Gal4 driver

Wings were mounted in GMM mountant. Discussion in the text refers to the hair polarity across the entire A region of the wing (between anterior wing margin and L2 vein), not just the region shown in Figure 7. All results described were consistent for the first 10 wings observed of each genotype, except where number of progeny was limiting specifically; sal-Gal4/UAS-ft<sub>IR</sub> (6/6 wings) and pk<sup>290 sal-Gal4/pk<sup>290 (6/6 wings).

Temporal control of ds knockdown in the pupal wing

Female flies of the genotype MS1096-Gal4+/+, pk<sup>290, UAS-ds<sub>IR</sub>/pk<sup>290, tubP-GAL80ts<sup> were cultured at 18°C and isolated as white prepupae. The pre-pupae were incubated between 0 to 48 hours at 18°C before shifting to 30°C. A total of 140 adult wings were mounted in GMM and studied.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Loss of wing veins alters the hair polarity pattern in pk<sup>290</sup> mutant wings. Both micrographs show a region of the wing immediately posterior to the normal junction of the L4 vein and Anterior Cross Vein (ACV). Red arrows indicate the direction of local hair polarity. (A) pk<sup>h30</sup> homozygous mutant wing. (B) pk<sup>290</sup>, rho<sup>ok+</sup>, vn<sup>1</sup> homozygous wing.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.s001 (5.88 MB TIF)

Figure S2 A gradient/boundary of Ft or Fj expression does not affect hair polarity in a wing lacking pk gene activity. All micrographs are of female dorsal wings. Panels C, E, G and I show a detail of the A region of the wing (red boxed region in (A)).
Black arrows indicate local hair polarity. (A) Wing cartoon showing major expression domain of the salGal4 driver (blue shading). (B and C) phpk-glqe-14 homozygote. (D and E) phpk-glqe-14, sal-Gal4/phpk-glqe-14, UAS-f. (F and G) phpk-glqe-14, sal-Gal4/phpk-glqe-14, f(1)R. (L and F) phpk-glqe-14, sal-Gal4/phpk-glqe-14, UAS-f.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001305.s002 (5.17 MB TIF)
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