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Introduction

The lymphatic system plays crucial roles in tissue homeostasis, lipid absorption, and immune cell trafficking. Although lymphatic valves ensure unidirectional lymph flows, the flow itself controls lymphatic valve formation. Here, we demonstrate that a mechanically activated ion channel Piezo1 senses oscillating shear stress (OSS) and incorporates the signal into the genetic program controlling lymphatic valve development and maintenance. Time-controlled deletion of Piezo1 using a pan-endothelial Cre driver (Cdh5[PAC]-CreERT2) or lymphatic-specific Cre driver (Prox1-CreERT2) equally inhibited lymphatic valve formation in newborn mice. Furthermore, Piezo1 deletion in adult lymphatics caused substantial lymphatic valve degeneration. Piezo1 knockout in cultured lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) largely abrogated the OSS-induced upregulation of the lymphatic valve signature genes. Conversely, ectopic Piezo1 overexpression upregulated the lymphatic valve genes in the absence of OSS. Remarkably, activation of Piezo1 using chemical agonist Yoda1 not only accelerated lymphatic valve formation in animals, but also triggered upregulation of some lymphatic valve genes in cultured LECs without exposure to OSS. In summary, our studies together demonstrate that Piezo1 is the force sensor in the mechanotransduction pathway controlling lymphatic valve development and maintenance, and Piezo1 activation is a potentially novel therapeutic strategy for congenital and surgery-associated lymphedema.
sensor that integrates physiological force into vascular architecture, functioning as a critical molecular player for vascular development and function (23–27). Patient-based studies have recently associated mutations in the PIEZO1 gene with generalized lymphatic dysplasia and dysfunction (28–30). Despite the strong clinical associations, the role of Piezo1 in a mechanotransduction that controls lymphatic development, maintenance, and function is largely unknown. In this paper, we aimed to elucidate the function of Piezo1 in embryonic and postnatal lymphatic growth and valve development. Based on the data presented here, we propose that Piezo1 functions as a force sensor of the mechanotransduction that delivers hemodynamic signals from oscillating fluid flow to a genetic program that regulates lymphatic valve formation and maintenance.

Results

Piezo1 is essential for lymphatic valve development. We set out to investigate the effect of Piezo1 knockout (KO) on lymphatic valve formation by inducing conditional deletion of Piezo1 in lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs). For this goal, we produced mouse pups harboring a combination of Prox1-CreERT2 (19), Piezo1-TdTomato (31), and/or Piezo1 floxed (32) alleles. Lymphatic Piezo1 deletion (Piezo1ΔLEC) was then induced in these pups at postnatal day 1 (P1) by tamoxifen injection, and subsequently, lymphatic valve formation in the mesentery and tail skin was analyzed at P7 (Figure 1A). Mesenteric lymphatic valves, clearly marked with strong tdTomato expression because of high expression of Prox1 in lymphatic valve leaflet LECs, were normally formed at vascular branch points of the control animals. In the lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups, however, not only were mesenteric lymphatic vessels scarce in terms of their density, but they also lacked mature valves at the branching points (Figure 1, B–I). Accordingly, the total numbers of valves (matured and immatures) were significantly reduced by Piezo1 deletion in both jejunum and colon (Figure 1, R and S). Moreover, high-powered images identified that, while all the control valves appeared to be fully mature, Piezo1-deleted mice demonstrated immature valves that were arrested at different stages of valve development (ref. 16 and Figure 1, J–M). We could detect the absence of lymphatic valve–forming activity at the expected valve branching points (Figure 1, E and I), constriction of vessels (Figure 1L), and immature valve leaflets (Figure 1M). This observation raised a possibility that Piezo1 may be essential for many stages of lymphatic valve development. Furthermore, we could also detect defective lymphatic valve formation in the tail skin after Piezo1 deletion (Figure 1, N–S). Notably, these conditional lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups exhibited a substantially retarded body growth (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.125068DS1). To confirm these phenotypes using an independent Cre allele, we next used the Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 line (33). Because Cdh5/vascular endothelial cadherin is expressed in nearly all ECs (34), this Cre allele was expected to induce Piezo1 deletion in both blood and LECs. Using this driver line, Piezo1 was deleted at P3, and its consequence on lymphatic valve formation was studied at P10 (Figure 1T). Indeed, Piezo1 ablation by the Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 allele inhibited lymphatic valve formation (Figure 1, U and V, and Supplemental Figure 2).

As mentioned above, Piezo1 deletion also reduced lymphatic vessel density, possibly by suppressing lymphatic vessel growth or sprouting (Figure 1, B–I, and Supplemental Figure 3). We thus hypothesized that Piezo1 may be expressed not only in lymphatic valves (valvular LECs), but also in lymphatic vessels (luminal LECs). To date, the expression pattern of Piezo1 in the lymphatics in vivo has not been clearly documented. We therefore investigated the expression pattern of Piezo1 in valvular and luminal LECs using a Piezo1 reporter mouse, Piezo1P1–wt, which encodes a C-terminus fusion protein of Piezo1 with tdTomato protein (26). This reporter mouse, combined with immunofluorescence assays, showed that both valvular and luminal LECs express Piezo1 with much stronger expression in the valvular leaflet LECs (Figure 2, A–D).

We next asked whether lymphatic valve formation might have been prevented by defective lymphangiogenesis in Piezo1-KO animals. Although a lymphatic valve–specific Cre line would be the most desirable tool to delete Piezo1 exclusively in valvar LECs, but not in luminal LECs, no animal model has been established offering such selectivity. Therefore, we set out to deal with this technical limitation by significantly reducing tamoxifen dosage: Because Prox1 expression is 5–10 times higher in valvar LECs than luminal LECs (35, 36), CreERT2 protein encoded by the Prox1-CreERT2 allele is much more abundant in valvar LECs than luminal LECs. We thus speculate that, at low-dose tamoxifen, Piezo1 deletion by Prox1-CreERT2 allele would occur more readily in valvar LECs than luminal LECs. Indeed, a one-fifth dose of the routine tamoxifen concentration (15 mg/kg) suppressed lymphatic valve formation in the Piezo1-KO pups without significantly affecting lymphatic vessel density (Figure 2, E–N, and Supplemental Figure 4). Together, these data indicate that Piezo1 is essential for lymphatic valve formation, possibly functioning at different stages of lymphatic valve development.
Figure 1. Piezo1 is essential for lymphatic valve development. (A–S) Effect of lymphatic deletion of Piezo1 on lymphatic valve development. (A) Experimental design. Control pups (CTR) harbor Prox1-tdTomato and Prox1-CreER<sup>T2</sup>, but lack the Piezo<sup>fl/fl</sup> allele, while lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups (Piezo1<sup>ΔLEC</sup>) have all Prox1-tdTomato, Prox1-CreER<sup>T2</sup>, and Piezo<sup>fl/fl</sup>. Tamoxifen (75 mg/kg) was s.c. injected into pups at P1, and tissues were harvested and analyzed at P7. (B–I) Mesenteric lymphatics in the (B–E) jejunum or (F–I) colon are shown in the (B, D, F, and H) CTR or (C, E, G, and I) lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups. High-magnification images of mesenteric lymphatic valves of (J and K) CTR and (L and M) Piezo1-KO pups are also shown. (N–Q) Dermal lymphatic valve development was impaired in the tail skin of the lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups. (R and S) Box-and-whisker plots showing lymphatic valve number (R) per lymphatic vessel length or (S) per branching point in the jejunum, colon, and tail. The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. (T–V) Impact of endothelial deletion of Piezo1 using a Cdh5(PAC)-CreER<sup>T2</sup> driver of lymphatic valve development. (T) Experimental design: Piezo1 deletion was induced in pups [Prox1-tdTomato, Cdh5(PAC)-CreER<sup>T2</sup>, and/or Piezo<sup>fl/fl</sup>] at P3 by i.p. tamoxifen injection (75 mg/kg), and the mesenteric lymphatic valves in the jejunum were analyzed at P10. (U and V) Endothelial Piezo1 deletion significantly inhibited lymphatic valve formation. All images of lymphatic vessels and valves were captured based on the tdTomato signal and shown in inverted gray scales. Boxed areas were enlarged in panels below, respectively. Arrows mark matured Prox1<sup>hi</sup> lymphatic valves. Scale bars: 1 mm (B, C, F, and G); 500 μm (D, E, H, and I); 50 μm (J–M); 200 μm (N–Q); and 100 μm (U and V). **P < 0.01, unpaired, 2-tailed t test compared with the valve of the controls. More than 5 pups were used for each group. A representative of >10 images was chosen for each panel.
Figure 2. Piezo1 deletion in lymphatic valves by low-dose tamoxifen. (A–D) Dermal lymphatics in the ear of Piezo1-tdTomato adult reporter mouse Piezo1P1−tdT (26) were immunostained for tdTomato protein (to amplify the reporter signal) and Prox1 (to detect LECs). Images were captured by an (A and B) epifluorescence or (C and D) confocal microscope. Note an enriched expression of Piezo1-tdTomato fusion protein in Prox1hi valve leaflet LECs. (E–N) Piezo1 deletion by low-dose tamoxifen suppressed lymphatic valve formation with a minimal effect on lymphatic vessel density. (E) Experimental design: Piezo1 deletion was induced by low-dose tamoxifen injection (15 mg/kg) in the control and lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups at P1, and the mesenteric lymphatic valves in the jejunum and colon were analyzed at P7. (F–M) Piezo1 deletion suppressed lymphatic valve formation in the mesenteries of the (F–I) jejunum and (J–M) colon. Boxed areas were enlarged in panels below, respectively. Arrows mark matured lymphatic valves. (N) Quantitation of lymphatic valves in the control and lymphatic Piezo1-KO pups for panels F–M. The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. The lymphatics were visualized by Prox1-tdTomato, and the signal was expressed in inverted grayscale. Scale bars: 100 μm (A–D), 500 μm (F–M). ***P < 0.001, unpaired, 2-tailed t test compared with the valve of the controls. Three pups were used for each group. A representative of >10 images was chosen for each panel.
Piezo1 deletion leads to degeneration of lymphatic valves in adults. We next asked whether Piezo1 function is continuously needed to maintain lymphatic valves after development. Lymphatic Piezo1 deletion was induced at day 21 (juvenile), and the integrity of lymphatic valves in the skin and mesentery was investigated at day 49 (adult) (Figure 3A). We first examined matured lymphatic collectors that run along with the saphenous vein in the hind limb of the control and Piezo1-mutant mice. Notably, postdevelopmental lymphatic Piezo1 deletion caused significant degeneration of both lymphatic valves and lymphatic vessels in the skin (Figure 3, B–G, L, M). These lymphatic atrophies were also detected in the mesentery, where the lymphatics of Piezo1-deficient mice were much thinner and scarce, with fewer valves, compared with those of the control mice (Figure 3, H–M). Together, these data suggest that Piezo1 function is continuously necessary to maintain the integrity of lymphatic vessels and valves in adults.

Piezo1 is required for the OSS-mediated upregulation of lymphatic valve genes. The in vivo lymphatic phenotypes of Piezo1-KO animals led us to investigate the mechanotransduction mechanism for the lymphatic valve formation. Fluid flow is known to play a key role in vascular development and morphogenesis (5). In particular, OSS has been reported to upregulate the lymphatic valve–associated genes, such as FOXC2, GATA2, CX37, LAMA5, and ITGA9, and to induce cellular morphology change (15–22). We thus investigated how the mechanosensor Piezo1 affects the mechanotransduction process by performing in vitro loss-of-function studies. Consistent with the previous reports (15–20), OSS changed the morphology of cultured primary human LECs to more cuboidal shapes (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 5) and upregulated the lymphatic valve–associated genes mentioned above (Figure 4, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 6). Remarkably, the OSS-induced upregulation of these genes was largely abrogated by Piezo1 knockdown (Figure 4, E and F, and Supplemental Figure 7). Notably, upregulation of ITGA9 by OSS was not affected by Piezo1 knockdown. Together, these loss-of-function studies suggest that Piezo1 plays a key role in the OSS-activated mechanotransduction that regulates lymphatic valve gene expression.

Ectopic expression of Piezo1 triggers lymphatic valve gene expression profile. Conversely, we next asked whether Piezo1 gain of function could recapitulate the molecular phenotypes caused by OSS. We transfected primary LECs with a plasmid bicistronically encoding mouse Piezo1 and EGFP and then evaluated the expression of the lymphatic valve–associated genes. EGFPl, thus overexpressing Piezo1, upregulated FOXC2, GATA2, CX37, and LAMA5 expression by 3- to 4-fold, in the absence of OSS (arrowheads, Figure 5, A and B). However, neighboring EGFP– (untransfected) LECs did not upregulate these genes (arrows, Figure 5, A and B). Notably, ITGA9 was not upregulated by Piezo1 expression. To confirm these data, LECs were transfected with a control or Piezo1-expressing vector and further cultured in the absence of OSS. Indeed, quantitative PCR (qPCR) and Western blot analyses revealed that overexpression of Piezo1 upregulated the expression of mRNA and protein of FOXC2, GATA2, CX37, and LAMA5 (Figure 5, C and D). ITGA9 expression was consistently unaltered. Together, these gain-of-function studies demonstrate that ectopic expression of Piezo1 was able to partly recapitulate the lymphatic valve gene profile in the absence of OSS.

Activation of Piezo1 accelerates lymphatic valve formation in a mouse model. The outcome of the in vitro gain-of-function study led us to investigate whether activation of Piezo1 promotes lymphatic valve formation in animals. Yoda1, identified as the first small chemical agonist of Piezo1, delays the inactivation phase of transient currents and sensitizes Piezo1 response to external mechanical stimuli (37). Thus, we asked whether Yod1 treatment enhances lymphatic valve formation in vivo. Toward this goal, Yod1, or vehicle alone, was injected into Prox1-EGFP mice at E18.5 (into pregnant females) and again at P0 (into newborns). The next day at P1, lymphatic valve formation was analyzed in the mesenteries of the jejunum and colon and in the tail skin of the control or Yod1-treated pups (Figure 6A). While valve formation activity was not yet detected at the proximal regions of the mesentery lymphatics of the vehicle-treated jejunum, a number of lymphatic valves were already visible in the Yod1-treated jejunum mesentery (Figure 6B). Similarly, Yod1 accelerated lymphatic valve formation in the colon and tail skin: A higher number of lymphatic valves could be found in the Yod1-treated pups (Figure 6, C and D). Yod1 treatment significantly enhanced lymphatic valve formation in all the tissues tested (Figure 6, E and F). Together, we concluded that chemical activation of Piezo1 was sufficient to augment lymphatic valve development, possibly through sensitization of Piezo1 to lymphatic fluid flow in vivo.

Activation of Piezo1 in vitro upregulates some lymphatic valve genes without flow. We next asked whether Piezo1 activation using Yod1 could upregulate any or all of the lymphatic valve signature genes in cultured LECs in the absence of fluid flow. Toward this aim, we treated cultured primary human LECs with
different doses of Yoda1 under the standard static condition. Indeed, Yoda1 treatment of LECs led to upregulation of both mRNA and protein of GATA2, CX37, LAMA5, and ITGA9 (Figure 7, A and B). Interestingly, FOXC2 was rather slightly downregulated by increasing doses of Yoda1. Prox1 expression was not altered. Despite the reported EC\textsubscript{50} of Yoda1 activation of Piezo1 in transfected HEK293 cells being about 20–25 μM (37), primary human LECs treated with Yoda1 higher than 1 μM for 24 hours revealed several signs of significant cytotoxicity, including cell detachment, cell-cell junction disruption, and cell contraction and spindle formation (data not shown). Moreover, we investigated whether the Yoda1-mediated upregulation of these lymphatic valve genes was mediated through Piezo1. LECs were transfected with siRNA for control or Piezo1 to knock down Piezo1 expression, and their expressions were determined using qPCR and Western blot analyses. Indeed, Piezo1 knockdown prevented Yoda1-induced upregulation of GATA2, CX37, and LAMA5 (Figure 7, C and D). Interestingly, however, Piezo1 knockdown did not affect Yoda1-induced ITGA9 expression. Together, these data suggest that chemical activation of Piezo1 using Yoda1 upregulates some of the lymphatic valve genes in the absence of mechanical force.
Lymphatic valves are essential for the unidirectional flow of interstitial fluid. Because of malformations or injuries, diseased lymphatic valves often lead to severe lymphatic pathologies. Recent studies demonstrated that fluid flow–derived physical signal significantly controls lymphatic valve development through mechanotransduction pathways and identified key molecular players essential for the pathways (15–22). In this study, we defined the crucial role of the cell stretch sensor Piezo1 in incorporating the flow-mediated physical signal into the genetic program controlling lymphatic valve development. Previous studies suggested that vascular ECs in vivo would be subjected to both fluid shear stress and cyclic cell stretch, and, when combined in vitro, these 2 physical stimuli appeared to synergistically deliver various vascular phenotypes.

Figure 4. Piezo1 is required for the OSS-induced upregulation of lymphatic valve genes. (A and B) Primary human LECs exposed to OSS for 24 hours became cuboidal shapes. (A) Immunofluorescence staining for F-actin and (B) a box-and-whisker plot showing the ratio of length/width of the cells. (C and D) OSS upregulated FOXC2, GATA2, CX37, LAMA5, and ITGA9 in LECs, based on (C) Western blot analyses and (D) quantitative PCR (qPCR). The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. (E and F) Piezo1 knockdown prevented the OSS-mediated upregulation of lymphatic valve genes. Piezo1 expression in primary LECs was inhibited by Piezo1 siRNA (siPiezo1), or not inhibited by control siRNA (siCTR), for 24 hours. Cells were then exposed to OSS for (E) 4 hours or (F) 24 hours before (E) qPCR data assay or (F) Western blot analysis, respectively. OSS with approximately at 6 dyne/cm² maximum was applied directly onto the cells by reversing the flow at 0.5 Hz (peak). **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; unpaired, 2-tailed t test compared with the static culture.

Discussion
Lymphatic valves are essential for the unidirectional flow of interstitial fluid. Because of malformations or injuries, diseased lymphatic valves often lead to severe lymphatic pathologies. Recent studies demonstrated that fluid flow–derived physical signal significantly controls lymphatic valve development through mechanotransduction pathways and identified key molecular players essential for the pathways (15–22). In this study, we defined the crucial role of the cell stretch sensor Piezo1 in incorporating the flow-mediated physical signal into the genetic program controlling lymphatic valve development. Previous studies suggested that vascular ECs in vivo would be subjected to both fluid shear stress and cyclic cell stretch, and, when combined in vitro, these 2 physical stimuli appeared to synergistically deliver various vascular phenotypes,
heads point to transfected EGFP+ (thus Piezo1-overexpressing) cells, whereas arrows point to EGFP−, untransfected cells. O/E, overexpression; LV, lymphatic valve. (B) The intensity of these cells was measured and charted in a box-and-whisker plot. (C and D) Expression of the lymphatic valve genes in LECs that were transfected with a control (CTR) or Piezo1/EGFP-expressing plasmid (Piezo1) was determined by qRT-PCR after (C) 24 hours, or by Western blot assay after (D) 48 hours, in the absence of OSS. The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. Scale bars: 50 μm (A). **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; unpaired, 2-tailed t test compared with (B) the untransfected cells or (C) the control plasmid.

such as actin filament alignment and cell differentiation (38–41). Accordingly, we hypothesize that the OSS and cyclic cell stretching may be similarly sensed by Piezo1 and trigger the genetic program responsible for lymphatic valve formation. OSS may induce plasma membrane spreading and cytoskeletal rearrangement, similar to those effects created by cyclic cell stretching.

In this study, loss of function of Piezo1 in mice and in purified cells clearly demonstrated the essential role of Piezo1 in various stages of lymphatic valve development. To conditionally delete Piezo1, we used 2 Cre drivers that target nearly all ECs as well as selectively for lymphatic-lineage ECs (Figure 1). We also successfully targeted lymphatic valve ECs by lowering tamoxifen concentrations (Figure 2). Moreover, we induced Piezo1 deletion in young adult mice to study the role of Piezo1 in matured lymphatic valves and found that matured lymphatic valves continuously required Piezo1 activity (Figure 3). All these in vivo loss-of-function studies defined the critical role of Piezo1 not only in lymphatic valve development but also in valve maintenance. These data once again support the positive feedback mechanism between fluid flow and the lymphatic valve–forming mechanotransduction mechanism: Lymphatic flow triggers lymphatic valve formation and maintenance, while lymphatic valves ensure proper lymphatic flow. Thus, a disruption of this delicate reciprocal feedback, for example because of surgical obstruction of lymphatic vessels in cancer patients (lymphadenectomy), would lead to lymphatic valve degeneration and eventually lymph stasis and lymphedema.

The interesting outcome of the gain-of-function studies in this paper elegantly mirrors the results of the loss-of-function studies. The ectopic upregulation of Piezo1 largely recapitulated the lymphatic valve gene profiles in cultured LECs without any fluid flow (Figure 5). Several molecular mechanisms could be envisaged. First, with an increased amount of Piezo1 protein, the cells could become much more sensitive to external force, such as subtle movement of culturing media or even their own movement during the culture period. Consistently, when reconstituted into lipid bilayers, purified Piezo1 proteins were able to mediate spontaneous and membrane tension–induced cationic currents (23, 42). Considering that Piezo1 forms a homotrimeric complex (43, 44), an alternative explanation could be that the increased number of Piezo1 monomers significantly facilitates the ion channel complex formation. A third mechanism could be that abundant Piezo1 protein may be able to overcome intrinsic negative regulations. For example, the Sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca(2+)-ATPase (SERCA) family proteins, including SERCA2 isoform, have been identified as negative regulators of Piezo channels by acting through direct protein-protein interaction (45). Thus, more Piezo1 proteins could overcome such a SERCA-mediated suppression mechanism.

This gain of function could also be attained by chemical activation of Piezo1 in animals. Yoda1 is known to slow the inactivation phase of transient currents and sensitize Piezo1 response to external mechanical stimuli (37). Activation of Piezo1 using Yoda1 administration could accelerate lymphatic valve development in the mesenteries and skin of newborn mice (Figure 6). It is widely believed that lymphatic valves are formed at the anatomical sites where fluid flows are oscillatory or disturbed, such as vascular beds at branching points (20, 36). Importantly, although Yoda1 indeed promoted the valve formation process, when administered at the early stage, it did not seem to induce valve formation at the unusual, ectopic locations other than the expected sites. This suggests that Yoda1 or Piezo1 may be necessary, but not sufficient, for lymphatic valve development. Moreover, this is consistent with previous findings that Yoda1 only partially activates channels in the absence of external pressure (37) and our own data showing that Yoda1 treatment upregulated only some, but not all, lymphatic valve genes in cultured LECs without fluid flow (Figure 7).

Our studies in this report consistently found that regulation of ITGA9 expression was intriguingly unique compared with other lymphatic valve genes, providing some insights into possible Piezo1-independent force-sensing mechanisms. ITGA9 is known for its enriched expression in lymphatic valve leaflet ECs, although it is also moderately expressed in luminal LECs (3, 19, 20, 46–48). Protein and mRNA of ITGA9 were significantly upregulated in cultured LECs by OSS (Figure 4). Notably, Piezo1 was not essential for this OSS-induced upregulation of ITGA9, whereas it was for upregulation of all other genes tested.
This observation raised the notion that the OSS-induced upregulation of ITGA9 is not Piezo1 dependent, and this notion seems to be consistent with our finding that ectopic expression of Piezo1 did not increase ITGA9 expression (Figure 5). However, Yoda1 did upregulate ITGA9 in LECs in vitro as well as enhanced normal lymphatic valve formation in vivo (Figures 6 and 7). However, this Yoda1-induced ITGA9 upregulation in vitro did not require Piezo1 (Figure 7). Although these observations appear counterintuitive, a couple of possibilities could be considered. One is that the current experimental condition of OSS does not

Figure 6. Activation of Piezo1 accelerates in vivo lymphatic valve formation. (A) Experimental design. Pregnant Prox1-EGFP females were i.p. injected with vehicle or Yoda1 (70 μg/kg) at E18.5 and then allowed to give birth to pups. Individual pups at P0 were injected once again with vehicle or Yoda1 (70 μg/kg) and then euthanized at P1 for lymphatic valve analyses. The effect of Yoda1 on developing mesenteric lymphatic valves was evaluated by selecting comparable anatomic locations in the (B) jejunum, (C) colon, and (D) tail skin of the vehicle or Yoda1-treated pups. (E and F) Images of the lymphatics were captured using EGFP signal, and lymphatic valve formation was quantified. The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. More than 6 pups (mixed sex) were analyzed per group. Scale bars: 500 μm (B–D). ***P < 0.001, unpaired, 2-tailed t-test compared with the vehicle-treated group (E and F).
precisely recapitulate the in vivo lymphatic valve–forming program and that this technical limitation may have caused some discrepancies among our in vitro and animal data. Another explanation is that ITGA9 may be upregulated in LECs by OSS through different mechanosensitive channels that could be stimulated, directly or indirectly, by Yoda1. Because Yoda1 does not activate Piezo2 (37, 49), Piezo2 can be excluded from the candidate list. Other stretch-activated channels, such as the transient receptor potential channel

Figure 7. Yoda1 activates the expression of some lymphatic valve genes in a Piezo1-dependent manner. (A and B) Regulation of (A) mRNA and (B) protein of lymphatic valve genes in LECs by Yoda1 was determined by qPCR and Western blot analyses, respectively. Primary human LECs were treated by Yoda1 at the indicated concentrations for 24 hours. Yoda1 upregulated the expression of GATA2, CX37, LAMA5, and ITGA9 but not FOXC2 and PROX1. (C and D) Piezo1 is required for the Yoda1-induced upregulation of GATA2, CX37, and LAMA5 but not ITGA9. LECs were transfected with control siRNA (siCTR) or Piezo1 siRNA (siPiezo1) for 24 hours, followed by Yoda1 treatment (250 nM) for 24 hours, before isolation of (C) RNA or (D) whole-cell lysates for qPCR and Western blot analyses, respectively. The box plots depict the minimum and maximum values (whiskers), the upper and lower quartiles, and the median. The length of the box represents the interquartile range. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; unpaired, 2-tailed t test.
subfamily C (TRPC) proteins (50–52), may be plausible candidates. Currently, neither the role of TRPC proteins in lymphatic development, nor the effect of Yoda1 on TRPC proteins, is known. Thus, it will be interesting and important to investigate these open questions.

During the review phase of this manuscript, a very similar study on the role of Piezo1 in lymphatic valve development was published by Nonomura et al. (53). The results from our and their studies are largely complementary and support the essential role of Piezo1 in lymphatic valve development. Yet, 2 points are worthy of discussion. First, these authors employed 2 constitutive endothelial Cre lines (Tie2-Cre and Lynel-Cre), which delete Piezo1 in blood endothelial cells as well as LECs (53). The authors found gross phenotypes, including pleural effusion, similar to those displayed by human patients with PIEZO1 loss-of-function mutations (28–30). In comparison, our study mainly used the Proxl-CreER allele, which selectively targets LECs in a time-controlled manner by tamoxifen administration (Figures 1–3). Although our Piezo1-KO pups/mice showed growth retardation (Supplemental Figure 1), we did not detect pleural effusion or chylous ascites. These results may well be explained by the effects of different spatiotemporal deletion of Piezo1 in our and their mouse models. The other point to note is that although our in vitro data revealed that Piezo1 regulated the key lymphatic valve signature genes, including FOXC2 and GATA2 (Figures 4 and 5), Nonomura et al. (53) found that Piezo1 was not required for the initiation of lymphatic valve formation. Although these discrepancies may be attributable to technical or experimental variations, further studies are required to resolve this difference. Nonetheless, both studies together clearly defined the essential role of the cell stretch sensor Piezo1 in lymphatic valve development.

Methods

Animal-related methods. Sources of the mice are: Proxl-EGFP (Tg[Proxl-EGFP]KY221Gsat, Mutant Mouse Resource and Research Centers, UCD, Davis, California, USA) (35), Proxl-tdTomato [Tg[Proxl-tdTomato]TA76Gsat/Mmucd, Mutant Mouse Resource and Research Centers] (31), Proxl-CreER (a gift from Taija Mäkinen, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden) (19), Piezo1 fl/fl (Piezo1tm2.1Apat/J, The Jackson Laboratory) (32), Piezo1 P1−tdT (B6;129-Piezo1tm1.1Apat/J) (26), and Cdh5(PAC)-CreER (a gift from Ralf Adams, University of Münster, Münster, Germany) (33). Mice were maintained in mixed outbred backgrounds. Both sexes were used for each experiment. Tamoxifen (MP Biomedicals) was dissolved in DMSO, mixed with sunflower seed oil (1 vol DMSO: 2 vol oil), and injected at 75 mg/kg once for pups and 3 times for young adults. For Figure 1, tamoxifen or vehicle was s.c. injected into neonatal mouse pups (P1), and tissue samples were harvested at P7 to analyze their genotypes and lymphatic valve development. Pups harboring Proxl-tdTomato and Proxl-CreER, but lacking the Piezo1 fl/fl allele, were considered the CTR, while pups having Proxl-tdTomato, Proxl-CreER, and Piezo1 fl/fl were marked as lymphatic Piezo1-KO (Piezo1ΔLEC). Yoda1 (MilliporeSigma) was dissolved in DMSO and then mixed with PBS (1.5 vol DMSO: 40 vol PBS) before injection at 70 μg/kg.

Cell culture–related reagents and assays. Human primary LECs were isolated from human foreskins and cultured in endothelial culturing media as previously described (54, 55). Primary cells less than 8 population doublings (passages) were used for the experiments in this study. The sources of the reagents are: Yoda1 (R&D Systems); tamoxifen (MP Biomedicals); and antibodies for FOXC2 (R&D Systems, AF5014), GATA2 (R&D Systems, AF2046), LAMA5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, PAA-49930), CX37 (Abcam, ab181701), ITGA9 (R&D Systems, MAB4574), RFP/tdTomato (Rockland, 600-401-379), and β-actin (MilliporeSigma, A5441). Two sets of siRNA for human PIEZO1 (GCUGCCUAAGAUACUUAUUCGCAGAAAGAAGAAGAUU) were synthesized by GenePharma and independently used to confirm the effects of Piezo1 knockdown. Primer sequences used for the quantitative, qPCR are as follows: GATA2 (TTC AAT CAC TCT GAC TCG C/ GCT GTG CAA CAA GTG TGG/ FAM/ACAT GCC A/ZEN/A CCC CGC TCA CG/3IABkFQ), FOXC2 (AGA TCA CCT TGA ACG GCA TC/ GCA CCT TGA CGA AGC ACT C/ 6-FAM/AA CAG CAT C/ZEN/C GCC ACA ACC TCT /3IABkFQ), β-ac-tin (TCA CCG AGC GCC CCT/ TAA TGT CAC GCA CGA TTT CCC/ JOE-CAG CTT CAC CAC CAC GCC CGA G-IBFQ1), CX37 (GTC ACT CCG GCC ATC GTC/ GGT GTG CTG ACG AGG AAG AGG AA), LAMA5 (ATG GTC TCC CCA ACT GCC AA/ TAC AGG GAA CCT GGT CCT CA), ITGA9 (TCA ACA TGT GCC AGA AGG AGC CCA GAC AGG TGG CTT GTAT), PROX1 (TTT TAT ACC CTG TAT CCC AGG CTT/ TGC GAA GTT CTT CAC CAT C/ 6-FAM/AA CAG CAT C/ZEN/C GCC ACA ACC TCT /3IABkFQ), β-ac-
Molecular and histological analyses. qPCR and Western blot analyses were performed using standard protocols as described (56). All fluorescence images were captured using a Leica stereomicroscope (Leica M165 FC) or a Zeiss ApoTome microscope (Zeiss AxioVision), except the images shown in Figure 2, C and D, which were obtained by a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP5). Captured signals were inverted and expressed in grayscale using Adobe Photoshop CS5. Quantitative image analyses were performed using NIH ImageJ (NIH) software.

Flow generation. Oscillating flow was generated using a horizontal bidirectional shaker (MS-NOR-30, Major Science). A modified culture dish was made, as previously reported (7, 8), by adhering a 6-cm cell culture dish in the center of a 10-cm culture dish using a nontoxic medical silicone glue (A-100, medical silicone adhesive, Factor II, Inc.). Cells were seeded in the donut-shaped track and incubated for 24 hours under the static condition. Culture dishes were then horizontally rotated clockwise for 1 second and then counterclockwise for 1 second to generate reversing flow at maximum speed 100 rpm, 0.5 Hz, for approximately 6–24 hours. The approximate maximum wall shear stress was about 6 dyne/cm².

Statistics. An unpaired, 2-tailed $t$ test was conducted using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) to determine whether the differences between the experimental and control groups were statistically significant. $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Box-and-whisker plots were drawn using Microsoft Excel.

Study approval. All mouse methods were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California. Isolation and culturing of human primary LECs from otherwise discarded, deidentified human foreskins were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.
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