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Abstract

The force-induced unfolding of calmodulin (CaM) was investigated at atomistic details with steered molecular dynamics. The two isolated CaM domains as well as the full-length CaM were simulated in N-C-terminal pulling scheme, and the isolated N-lobe of CaM was studied specially in two other pulling schemes to test the effect of pulling direction and compare with relevant experiments. Both Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM and Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM were considered in order to define the Ca$^{2+}$ influence to the CaM unfolding. The results reveal that the Ca$^{2+}$ significantly affects the stability and unfolding behaviors of both the isolated CaM domains and the full-length CaM. In Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM, N-terminal domain unfolds in prior to the C-terminal domain. But in Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM, the unfolding order changes, and C-terminal domain unfolds first. The force-extension curves of CaM unfolding indicate that the major unfolding barrier comes from conquering the interaction of two EF-hand motifs in both N- and C- terminal domains. Our results provide the atomistic-level insights in the force-induced CaM unfolding and explain the observation in recent AFM experiments.

Introduction

The small two-domain protein calmodulin (CaM) is a ubiquitous intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ binding protein, in which each domain contains a pair of coupled EF-hand motifs and binds two Ca$^{2+}$ ions [1,2], as shown in Fig. 1A. The EF-hand motif, which has the helix-loop-helix design and is the most common Ca$^{2+}$ binding motif, generally undergoes large conformational changes upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding. In the Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM, EF-hands adopt an open conformation and CaM presents a relative rigid and stable holo state, while in the Ca$^{2+}$-free form of CaM, EF-hands adopt a closed conformation and CaM presents a flexible apo state [3,4,5]. Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM can bind to more than 300 target proteins to regulate helix design and is the most common Ca$^{2+}$ ions [6,7,8].

The C-terminal domain of CaM is more stable than when isolated, but for the Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM, C-domain in intact CaM is less stable than when isolated and N-domain in intact CaM is more stable than when isolated, but for the Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM, N-domain in intact CaM is less stable than when isolated, and C-domain in intact CaM is more stable than when isolated. The C-terminal domain has been suggested to be inherently less flexible than the N-terminal domain [22]. The small-angle X-ray scattering method was also used to study the denaturation of CaM induced by urea and the results suggested that there exist the unfolding intermediate which is an asymmetric dumbbell-like structure, one in the folded and one in the unfolded state [18]. C.K. Johnson's lab used single-pair fluorescence resonance energy transfer (spFRET) measurements to characterize denatured and partially denatured states of both Ca$^{2+}$-loaded and Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM, and they suggested a model for stepwise unfolding of CaM in which the domains of CaM unfolded sequentially [19]. Moreover, the thermal unfolding simulation of apo-CaM using leap dynamics also demonstrated the two domains unfolded sequentially [20]. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics was used to explain the folded/unfolded transition of CaM C-terminal domain [21]. These studies all revealed that Ca$^{2+}$ could affect the folding/unfolding of CaM remarkably, and two domains of CaM would unfold sequentially. However, little is known about the folding/unfolding behaviors of CaM in the atomistic level because the methods mentioned above could not address the detailed structural change during the folding/unfolding process.

On the other hand, in recent years the single-molecular mechanical spectroscopy techniques had become apparent to investigate the unfolding behavior of proteins. Rief and its colleges study the unfolding pathway of CaM using the low-drift atomic force microscope (AFM) method [23]. Ikai investigated the unfolding mechanics of holo- and apo-CaM by AFM method [24]. These studies analyzed the unfolding pathway of CaM based on the force-extension trace which can easily be obtained using AFM method. The AFM method has an inevitable limitation that the atomistic-level view of the process of force-induced unfolding could not be addressed. Steered molecular dynamics (SMD),
which correspond closely to the AFM experimental situation, is a proper method to provide the information on the process at atomistic-level resolution that cannot be obtained from experiment. Many researchers have make use of SMD method to discover the unfolding or unbinding events of protein successfully [25,26,27].

In this study, we will use the steered molecular dynamics method to investigate the force-induced unfolding pathway of the isolated domains of CaM and intact CaM in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$. Both N-C-terminal pulling scheme and two non-N-C-terminal pulling geometries are applied to N domains of CaM to verify whether the different pulling geometries converge onto a common pathway. The calculated force-extension curves of Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM are in agreement with that obtained experimentally [23,28]. Our simulation results suggest that the unfolding order of N- and C-terminal domains are related with the Ca$^{2+}$ binding states, and the interactions between the two EF-hand motifs compose the main energy barrier on CaM unfolding.

**Models and Methods**

**System Preparation and Equilibration**

The initial structures of Ca$^{2+}$-loaded and Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM are obtained from the Protein Data Bank (Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM coded by 1CLI [9], Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM coded by ICED [10]), which have the same sequences. Based upon the structural information, CaM is divided into three parts: N-lobe (Residues 1–74) which includes EF-hand I (EF1 for short, Residues 11–40) and EF-hand II (EF2 for short, Residues 47–74), the central linker (Residues 75–82) and C-lobe (Residues 83–148) which includes EF-hand III (EF3 for short, Residues 84–113) and EF-hand IV (EF4 for short, Residues 120–148), as shown in Fig. 1A. In order to study the unfolding pathway of CaM systematically, six systems are constructed which are N-lobe, C-lobe and the full-length molecule of Ca$^{2+}$-loaded and Ca$^{2+}$-free CaM, respectively.

After adding of the missing hydrogen atoms, the protein was immersed in a TIP3P water box [29]. Sodium and chloride ions were included to neutralize the systems (ions concentration: 0.15 M). The simulated full-length Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM system is shown in Fig. 1B, and the detailed information of the six systems is listed in Table S1. Energy minimization of 8000 steps was first performed using conjugate gradient method. The subsequent energy minimization of 8000 steps was then performed in NPT ensemble.

After adding of the missing hydrogen atoms, the protein was immersed in a TIP3P water box [29]. Sodium and chloride ions were included to neutralize the systems (ions concentration: 0.15 M). The simulated full-length Ca$^{2+}$-loaded CaM system is shown in Fig. 1B, and the detailed information of the six systems is listed in Table S1. Energy minimization of 8000 steps was first performed using conjugate gradient method. The subsequent energy minimization of 8000 steps was then performed in NPT ensemble.

Tools and Software

All energy minimizations and molecular dynamics simulations were carried out using the software package NAMD2.7 [32] and CHARMM22 force field with CMAP corrections [33]. System preparations, trajectory analyses and illustrations were performed using the VMD program [34].
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**Steered Molecular Dynamics**

The equilibrated snapshots at 4 ns and 14 ns for all six systems and two extra snapshots for the Ca$^{2+}$-loaded and Ca$^{2+}$-free full-length CaM were used as the starting conformations of SMD simulations. The N-C-terminal pulling geometries were applied to all six systems, in which a dummy spring was attached to Cz atom of N-terminal residue and moved with a constant velocity to generate the pulling force, while the Cz atom of C-terminal residue is constrained. The external force is directed along the vector from the pulled atom to the constrained atom, as shown in Fig. 1B. For N-lobe, two more non-N-C-terminal pulling geometries are performed to test the influence of pulling location to N-lobe unfolding and explain the relevant AFM experimental results in details [28], in which the pulling force were applied on residues 17 or 38 respectively whilst keeping the C-terminal residue of N-lobe constrained, as shown in Fig. S1. The force constant (k) of the dummy spring was set to 0.1 kcal/molÅ$^2$ ($\sim$7 pN/Å), corresponding to a thermal fluctuation of the pulling atom of $\sqrt{\kT}/k = 0.03$ Å, and the moving velocity of the spring was choose to be 5 Å/ns for most cases. Lower pulling speed at 1 Å/ns was also used for the full-length CaM to test the validity of the simulations. In SMD simulations, the step size was set to 1 fs with the SHAKE method turned off. The structure snapshots and the external forces were recorded every 1 ps. The extension of the protein is defined as the end-to-end distance between the pulled Cz atoms and the constrained Cz atom. Figure 1. The CaM structures and the simulation system setup. (A) the structure of the Ca$^{2+}$-loaded full-length CaM, including two domains: N-lobe and C-lobe, and four EF-hand motifs: EF1, EF2, EF3, and EF4. EFβ-scaffold is a short β-sheet coupled two EF-hand motifs. (B) The sketch map of SMD simulation for Ca$^{2+}$-loaded full-length CaM. Two red balls represent the pulled and constrained atoms, respectively. Black arrow represents the direction of the pulling force. The blue dots represent the water solvent. The green balls in both panels represent the Ca$^{2+}$ ions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049013.g001
Results

Equilibration

As reflected by relatively constant backbone RMSD values from their initial structures, all six systems we simulated reached equilibrium quickly during the conventional molecular dynamics equilibration (Fig. S2). The backbone RMSDs of three Ca\(^{2+}\)-free systems were larger than their corresponding Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded systems, indicating that Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM is more flexible than Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded one and the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) could stabilize the structure of CaM in solution. Most physical properties, including the backbone hydrogen bond networks of the EF\(_{\beta}\)-scaffold coupled two EF-hand motifs (denoted as “backbone H-Bond” in the following), the contact areas of two EF-hand motifs, and the gyration radius of both EF-hand motifs, also remained stable (Table S2 and Table S3). The distances between Ca\(^{2+}\) cations and their coordinating atoms are ~2.2–2.6\(\AA\) during the equilibration period for all three Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded systems. The equilibrated snapshots at 4 ns and 14 ns for all systems are used as the initial structures of the SMD simulations. For full-length CaM systems, the snapshots at 3.5 ns and 3.75 ns were also used as the SMD starting point.

Forced Unfolding of the Isolated N- or C-lobes

The N- and C-lobes of CaM have distinct physical characteristics [35,36,37], and experimental studies also showed that the two domains fold and unfold independently [16,23]. Therefore we firstly simulated the unfolding processes of the isolated domains of CaM.

The force-extension curves of the SMD simulations starting form different conformations show similar features and the results of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N- and C-lobe unfolding processes starting from the 4 ns equilibration are shown in Fig. 2. Two major force peaks are observed during the forced-unfolding of CaM N-lobe (Fig. 2A-I) and one major force peak for that of C-lobe (Fig. 2C-I), which are labeled by \(F_{\text{CaN-max}}\), \(F_{\text{CaN-c}}\), and \(F_{\text{CaC-max}}\), respectively. The maximum force peaks \(F_{\text{CaN-max}}\) and \(F_{\text{CaC-max}}\) occur at extension of ~75 \(\AA\) and ~67 \(\AA\), respectively, where the two EF-hand motifs of each lobe began to detach from each other, which is confirmed by the breaking of the backbone H-bonds and the decrease of contact area, as shown in Fig. 2A-II,III, 2C-II,III. Representative snapshots of the unfolding process are shown. From the 16.0 ns and the 18.8 ns structural snapshots of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N- and C-lobe unfolding trajectory (Fig. 2A) and the 15.5 ns and 18.5 ns structural snapshots of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded C-lobe unfolding trajectory (Fig. 2C), it is also found that two EF-hand motifs detach from each other after the appearance of the maximum force peak \(F_{\text{CaN-max}}\) and \(F_{\text{CaC-max}}\). Therefore we believe that the major force peak, which is thought as the unfolding barrier commonly, comes from the interactions of two EF-hand motifs in both the N- and C-lobes. The force peak \(F_{\text{CaN-c}}\), which is much less than \(F_{\text{CaN-max}}\), corresponds to the orientation change of two helices in the EF2 of N-lobe, which could be proved by the 22.4 ns and 24.1 ns structural snapshots in Fig. 2A. It is noticed that two helices in EF1 of N-lobe lost their secondary structure partly, as shown 24.1 ns structural snapshot in Fig. 2A, while these two helices in C-lobe did not, as shown in 18.5 ns structural snapshot in Fig. 2C, which suggests that C-lobe is more stable than N-lobe. Besides, we found that Ca\(^{2+}\) did not dissociate from the binding site throughout the unfolding process and the helix-loop-helix motif of EF-hand is mostly kept.

The force-extension curve of the unfolding of isolated Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N-lobe (Fig. 2B-I) shows two major force peaks, labeled by \(F_{\text{N-max}}\) and \(F_{\text{N-b}}\), respectively. The force peak \(F_{\text{N-max}}\) coincides with breaking of backbone H-bonds between Ile27 and Ile63, and the force peak \(F_{\text{N-b}}\) comes from the entire loss of contact area between EF1 and EF2 (Fig. 2B-II, III), which can also be observed from the 18.7 ns and the 27.3 ns structural snapshots presented in Fig. 2B. For the isolated Ca\(^{2+}\)-free C-lobe, there is also one force peak in the force-extension curve which corresponds to the loss of backbone H-bonds between Ile100 and Val136 (Fig. 2D). After this force peak, the contact area of EF3 and EF4 began to decrease slowly. We also noticed that some helices in Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N-lobe and C-lobe lost their secondary structure in the unfolding processes (30.2 ns snapshot in Fig. 2B and 24.7 ns snapshots in Fig. 2D).

The results for the SMD simulations starting at the 14 ns of the equilibration are shown in Fig. S3. A major force peak is observed for each of the four systems, which indicates an unfolding barrier. They occur at extension of ~43 \(\AA\) (Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded N-lobe), ~70 \(\AA\) (Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N-lobe), ~83 \(\AA\) (Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded C-lobe) and ~97 \(\AA\) (Ca\(^{2+}\)-free C-lobe), respectively. For Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded lobes, the breaking of the backbone H-bonds, the decrease of contact area of two EF-hands, and the major force peak happen synchronously, but not for Ca\(^{2+}\)-free lobes. These features are similar to the corresponding results starting from the 4 ns of equilibration.

The simulation results indicate that Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding could affect the unfolding pathway. After the breaking of backbone H-bonds, the contact area decrease to zero quickly for Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded systems, but much slower for Ca\(^{2+}\)-free system. It is also noticed the total extensions of Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N- and C-lobes systems are larger than that in Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded ones at the end of the unfolding simulations due to the firm association of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions in Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded systems.

Forced Unfolding of the Full-length CaM

The force-induced unfolding of the full-length Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM were simulated to determine the differences in their unfolding pathway and mimic the corresponding AFM experiments to explain the relevant results [23]. For both Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM, we have performed simulations starting at 4 different snapshots from the equilibration (3.5 ns, 3.75 ns, 4 ns, and 14 ns, respectively). The simulations starting from 3.5 ns, 3.75 ns and 4 ns are performed with pulling velocity 5 \(\text{\AA}/\text{ns}\), and that starting from 14 ns is performed with slower pulling velocity 1 \(\text{\AA}/\text{ns}\).

The results for the simulation starting from the 4 ns simulations are shown in Fig. 3. There are two main peaks in the force-extension curves of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded CaM that correspond to its two unfolding barriers, which are labeled by \(F_{\text{Holo-max1}}\) and \(F_{\text{Holo-max2}}\), respectively. The first main force peak \(F_{\text{Holo-max1}}\) is located at 150 \(\text{\AA}\) of extension, and the second main force peak \(F_{\text{Holo-max2}}\) is located at 305 \(\text{\AA}\) of extension (Fig. 3A-I). Monitoring the conformational change of CaM unfolding, it is found that when the first main peak force \(F_{\text{Holo-max1}}\) occurs, the backbone H-bonds between Ile27 and Ile63 in N-lobe began to vanish (Fig. 3A-IV), and the contact area of EF1 and EF2 dropped to zero rapidly (Fig. 3A-II), indicating that the two coupled EF-hand motifs were dissociating. N-lobe unfolded completely after the force peak \(F_{\text{Holo-max1}}\), as shown in the 26.1 ns and the 31.5 ns structural snapshot in Fig. 3A, which also could be confirmed by the enlargement of its gyration radius (Fig. 3A-III). Meanwhile, C-lobe almost kept its intact structure until the second main force peak \(F_{\text{Holo-max2}}\) occurred (see the gyration radius change and the 31.5 ns structural snapshot in Fig. 3A). Similar with N-lobe, at the second main force peak \(F_{\text{Holo-max2}}\), two EF-hand motifs of C-lobe were dissociating, which could be confirmed by breaking of backbone H-bonds between Ile100 and Val136 (Fig. 3A-V), and the loss of contact area of EF3 and EF4 (Fig. 3A-II). After this peak force, C-lobe began to unfold entirely (54.9 ns and the 61.1 ns snapshots in Fig. 3A), which could also be seen from the...
enlargement of its gyration radius (Fig. 3A-III). Based on these trajectory analyses, it is clear that the two domains unfold in turn where N-lobe unfolds in preference to C-lobe, and both unfolding barriers come from the interaction of two coupled EF-hand motifs for the full-length Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded CaM.

For Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM, the unfolding pathway is different with Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded CaM and looks more complicated. In addition to the two main force peaks, labeled by FApo-max1 and FApo-max2, there are also some minor force peaks, labeled by FApo-a, FApo-b, as shown in Fig. 3B-I. Observing the trajectory, it is found that at the first force peak FApo-max1, the backbone H-bonds in both N-lobe and C-lobe are broken (Fig. 3B-IV,V), the contact area of EF3 and EF4 began to decrease (Fig. 3B-II), and the gyration radius of C-lobe began to increase largely (Fig. 3B-III), which suggested that the coupled EF3 and EF4 was dissociating and C-lobe was unfolding (29.7 ns and 49.2 ns structural snapshots in Fig. 3B). Through the backbone H-bonds in N-lobe has been broken, but their length did not increase continually and the contact area of EF1 and EF2 was held till the second major force peak FApo-max2 occurred, which indicated the N-lobe did not unfold entirely after the first force peak. After FApo-max2, the N-lobe began to unfold completely, which is also indicated by the entire loss of the contact area of EF1 and EF2 (Fig. 3B-II), the enlargement of gyration radius of N-lobe (Fig. 3B-III), the 67.6 ns and the 73.1 ns...
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Figure 3. MD simulation results of full-length CaM using 4 ns snapshot of equilibration as the initial structure and \( v = 5 \) Å/ns: A) Ca²⁺-loaded state, and B) Ca²⁺-free state. Above: (I) the force-extension curve; (II) the contact area of two EF-hand motifs in either domain; (III) gyration radius of two domains; and the backbone hydrogen bonds of EFβ-scaffold in N-lobe(M) and C-lobe(V). Bottom: Five structural snapshots correspond to the special force points labeled in the force-extension curves of Ca²⁺-loaded CaM and seven structural snapshots correspond to the special force points labeled in the force-extension curves of Ca²⁺-free CaM. In all structural snapshots, the N-terminal is at the left side and the C-terminal is at the right side. The forces are applied at the atoms presented with the black solid circle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049013.g003

structural snapshots in Fig.3B. Therefore, we concluded that the major force peaks also resulted in overcoming the interactions of EF-hand motifs for Ca²⁺-free CaM, similar as that happened in Ca²⁺-loaded CaM case. Different with the unfolding pathway of Ca²⁺-loaded CaM, the first major force peak corresponds to the unfolding of C-lobe and the second comes from the unfolding of N-lobe. Besides, it is observed that \( F_{\text{Ap}-\text{a}} \) corresponds to the reorientation of N-lobe (10.2 ns snapshots in Fig. 3B) and \( F_{\text{Ap}-\text{b}} \) corresponds to the unfolding of first helix in C-lobe (21.1 ns snapshots in Fig. 3B). It is also noticed that breaking of backbone H-bonds and the loss of the contact area between two EF-hand motifs in either domain of CaM almost happened at the same time in Ca²⁺-loaded CaM, but happened asynchronously in Ca²⁺-free CaM, which was similar as the case in isolated CaM domains.

The SMD results starting from 3.5 ns and 3.75 ns of equilibration show the similar features as that from 4 ns of equilibration for both Ca²⁺-loaded and Ca²⁺-free CaM. For the simulations of Ca²⁺-loaded CaM (Fig. S4A for results starting from 3.5 ns and Fig. S5A for results starting from 3.75 ns), two unfolding energy barriers corresponding to the unfolding of N- and C-lobes are also found, located at the ~125 and ~260 Å for the simulations starting from 3.5 ns, and at ~130 and ~245 Å for the simulations starting from 3.75 ns. That peak forces are synchronous with the break of backbone H-bond of EFβ-scaffold and the enlargement of gyration radius of each lobe. For Ca²⁺-free CaM, two major and two minor force peaks are found in both simulations (Fig. S4B for results starting from 3.5 ns and Fig. S5B for results starting from 3.75 ns). The two major force peaks, located at ~105 and ~280 Å (Fig. S4B), and at ~90 and ~290 Å (Fig. S5B) respectively, indicate two unfolding energy barriers.

To accomplish unfolding within time scale available in MD simulations, pulling velocity much faster than that used in real single-molecule experiments are utilized. To test whether the fast pulling velocity may lead to artifact in the unfolding pathway, we performed simulations with slower velocity (1 Å/ns) starting from the 14 ns snapshots of the full-length Ca²⁺-loaded and Ca²⁺-free CaM equilibration, the results are shown in Fig. S6. For both cases, the unfolding pathways are very similar with that of pulling velocity 5 Å/ns described above. There are two major force peaks for both systems, which happen at the extension of ~143 Å and ~275 Å for Ca²⁺-loaded CaM, and at ~118 and ~297 for Ca²⁺-free CaM. The two lobes of CaM unfold sequentially and the unfolding of each lobe results in a major force peak. Moreover, the major force peaks are related to both the backbone H-bonds and the contact area of two EF-hand for Ca²⁺-loaded CaM, but not for Ca²⁺-free CaM. Because of the low pulling velocity, the fluctuation of the applied forces become stronger and the peak value of the forces reduced.

Effect of the Pulling Directions

The unfolding processes of the isolated N-lobe were investigated further by applying force in two different pulling geometries to study the effect of different pulling geometries to the unfolding pathway and explain the recent AFM experiments [28]. The force profiles and snapshots of the force-induced unfolding process are showed in Fig.4.

For the N-lobe 17 pulling scheme, the Ca²⁺ atom of N-lobe C-terminal residue is constrained and force was applied on the Ca²⁺ atom of residue 17 instead of the N-terminal. In Ca²⁺-loaded cases, there is one major force peak, labeled by \( FC_{\text{CN}17}\)-max, at the extension of ~35 Å in the force-extension curves and this force peak looks more flat than those in N-C-terminal pulling scheme (Fig. 4A-III). At the time force reaches \( FC_{\text{CN}17}\)-max, one hydrogen bond between the EF-hand motifs is broken and there is a decrease for EF1-EF2 contact area. At the force point of \( FC_{\text{CN}17}\)-a, another hydrogen bond is broken and the contact area between EF1 and EF2 hands begin to vanish. Therefore it is believed that this flat force peak is used to conquer the interaction of two EF-hand motifs, which could be also seen from the ~13.9 ns, 15.2 ns and ~18.0 ns snapshots in Fig. 4A. Because residues 1–17 can not be extended in this case, the full extension of the protein is obviously smaller than that in the N-C-terminal pulling scheme, as shown the ~18.0 ns snapshots in Fig. 4A. For Ca²⁺-free N-lobe, again one major peak force, labeled by \( FN_{17}\)-max, were found at the extension of 30 Å in the force-extension curve (Fig. 4B-III), when the two helices of EF1 motif dissociate (12.5 ns, 14.9 ns snapshot, Fig. 4B) and one hydrogen bond is broken (Fig. 4B-I). At the force point of \( FC_{\text{CN}17}\)-a, another backbone H-bond is broken and the contact area of EF1 and EF2 decreases obviously. Until the force point \( FN_{17}\)-b, two EF-hand motifs do not lose their contact completely (19.3 ns snapshot, Fig. 4B).

For the N-lobe 38 pulling scheme, the Ca²⁺ atom of N-lobe C-terminal residue was constrained and force was applied on the Ca²⁺ atom of residue 38. In this case, a major force peak, labeled by \( FC_{\text{CN}38}\)-max or \( FC_{\text{CN}38}\)-max, could also be found in each force-extension curve of either Ca²⁺-loaded or Ca²⁺-free N-lobe (Fig. 4C-III, 4D-III). At both force peaks, the backbone H-bonds are broken and the contact area of EF1 and EF2 decreases obviously, as shown in Fig.4C-III, 4D-III. The force peak also results from conquering the interaction of two EF-hand motifs. Different with other cases, the contact of two EF-hand motifs did not lost completely when the system is extended almost fully (Fig.4C-II and Fig.4D-II). This is because residue 38 is located on the flexible loop region between the two EF-hand motifs and the external force can not affect the first EF-hand motif directly. Observing the unfolding trajectories, it is found that two EF-hand motifs of N-lobe is almost in parallel to each other till the extension reach its maximum (see 30.0 ns and 29.0 ns snapshots for Ca²⁺-loaded and Ca²⁺-free N-lobe respectively). After both major peaks, the external forces did not decrease largely because the peptide between the pulled atom and constrained atom becomes almost linear except the helix structures which require larger forces to unfold.

Discussions and Conclusions

The force-induced Ca²⁺-loaded CaM unfolding in its isolated and full-length state has been investigated experimentally with the single molecule AFM techniques [23,28] It is shown that there is a major energy barrier in the force-induced unfolding of each
isolated CaM domain and there exist two distinct force peaks in the extension-force curves of the full-length CaM which correspond to the unfolding of two globular CaM domains which can be characterized by the difference extension length fitted with the wormlike chain model. Our SMD simulations successfully reproduced the unfolding process of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM and revealed the detailed pathways in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM unfolding processes. Some fine-tuned force peaks, which are responsible for the helix unfolding and reorientation of the globular domain, also are found in the simulation results which were not visible in AFM studies due to the spatial and force resolution of the experiments. The maximum forces to unfold the CaM constructs in our simulations are about 10 times larger than those observed in the AFM experiments. This results in the much larger loading rates used in our simulations to enable the completion of the unfolding in the nano-second time scale than that used in the experiments (almost 10\textsuperscript{8} times larger in our simulations). Similar phenomena have also been observed in the experimental and simulated force-
induced unfolding of other protein domains such as titin [25, 38]. Our simulations revealed the detailed conformational changes of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM unfolding at the atomistic-level, and it is concluded that the two peak forces observed in both AFM experiments and our simulations should result in the conquering of the interactions between the two EF-hand motifs in either domain, including the backbone hydrogen bond of EFβ-scaffold and the direct contact of the two motifs.

The force-extension curves of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM unfolding obtained by our simulation not only agree with Rief’s study in 2009, but is similar with Ikai’s study in 2002. The latter presented that the unfolding pathway of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free CaM are different and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding could change the stability of CaM, but not analyzed the unfolding pathway of CaM. The force-extension curves of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free CaM obtained by our SMD simulation, which has two main peak forces, are not fit Ikai’s results completely, in which there is only one big peak force in the force curve. We thought that it is compatible because the pulling speed in SMD simulation is almost 10\textsuperscript{4} times larger than that in AFM experiment and the large pulling speed could not provide the time enough to relax the CaM protein during unfolding process, therefore produced some other peak force. Furthermore, the first main force peak is much smaller than the second one in the simulation results. Therefore it is possible to be ignored by the AFM experiment because of its small value and the slow pulling speed.

Comparing the N-C-terminal and the non-N-C-terminal pulling geometries, it is found that the maximum unfolding force of the former is obviously larger, in good agreement with the AFM experimental results [28]. The maximum force occurred at different extension for the two kinds of non-N-C-terminal pulling geometries, which is an straightforward outcome related with the relative location of the pulled and the constrained residues/atoms. When the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} atom of residue 17 was pulled, because the residue is nearby the first Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding loop which starts from residue 21, the pulling force will not interrupt or have very little effect on the interaction between the two helices of EF1 motif, therefore these two helix did not dissociate completely and the extension of system is limited compared with the N-C-terminal pulling scheme. Similarly because residue 38 located at the connected region of the two EF-hand motifs, when its Ca\textsuperscript{2+} atom was pulled, the main interaction between two EF-hand motifs did break, though their contact did not lost completely because both two EF-hand motifs align with force and parallel with each other, thus the final extension of N-lobe is only half of its full extension. Our results confirmed that locations of pulled and constrained atoms, which defined the pulling direction, could affect the unfolding pathway partially, although generally the pulling direction could not change the main steps to overcoming the unfolding barrier, which includes the interactions of two EF-hand motif in the case of CaM lobes.

Our simulations revealed that the two lobes of CaM unfolded sequentially and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding could change the unfolding order of two CaM lobes. The C-lobe is more stable in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding CaM, whereas the N-lobe is more stable than without the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding, the results are in agreement with the experimental chemical (urea) and thermal denaturation data [16, 17]. That is, although Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding might affect the stability of both domains, the effect on C domain is more intense and stronger than that on N domain. Moreover, the unfolding pathway and total extension are also different for Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free system. If Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded, in both the isolated domains and the full-length CaM, the breaking of hydrogen bond in EFβ-scaffold, the decreasing of the SASA between two EF-hand motifs, and the force peak happened at almost the same time. But this is not the case for the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free system. In the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded condition, five residues in EF-hand loop coordinate with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, which maintains the structural integrity in the vicinity and prevents the metal ion coordination motif to unfold completely.

The simulation results suggest that the backbone H bonds and the hydrophobic interactions in Ile27-Ile63 and Ile100-Val136 are critical for the force-induced CaM unfolding and these interactions result in the unfolding barrier (Fig. 3 and Fig. S7). Actually, these residues are reserved in whole CaM family. Some studies have been done to investigate the function of Ile27, Ile63, Ile100 and Val136. The single-residue mutation experiments of these four residues showed that the conformation of CaM and the thermal stability could be altered dramatically. They also suggest that the integrity of both the apo- and holo-forms of Cam is important for the maintenance of its biological function and confirm the importance of conserving the structural function of the residues involved in the β-sheet interactions [35]. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free mutant V136G CaM presented that the mutated C-domain is a mixture of unfolded, partially folded (site III occupied), and native-like folded (sites III and IV occupied) conformations [39]. What’s more, NMR measurements of selectively labeled \textsuperscript{15}N\textsuperscript{3}He CaM also proved that thermal stability of the folding units is affected by the mutation experiment of Ile 27, 63, 100 [40]. Combining the experimental results mentioned above and our simulation results, we believed that the reason that these residues are related to the thermal stability of CaM is that the interactions between these residues are responsible to the unfolding barrier of CaM. Besides these two pairs of residues, we also found that there are other interactions between hydrophobic residues which are responsible to the unfolding barrier, which is the interaction of Leu32, Met36 and Leu48, Met51, Ile52 in N-lobe, and of Leu105, Met109 and Val121, Met124, Leu125 in C-lobe, as shown in Fig. S7. So we suggest that these residues also are important for the thermal stability of CaM. Further mutation experiments need to be done to validate their influence on the thermal stability of CaM.

The function of CaM is implemented by binding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} which could induce the conformational change of CaM and expose its hydrophobic patch to interact with the CaM-binding proteins. As we discussed above, we suggested that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} not only promote the conformational change of CaM, but change its thermal stability. We guess that the thermal stability change of CaM by Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding also play a part in the CaM binding to its target protein, because it is inconceivable to image that CaM binds to its target protein while the hydrophobic interactions in Ile27-Ile63 and Ile100-Val136 are critical for the force-induced CaM unfolding and these interactions result in the unfolding barrier of CaM. Actually, these residues are reserved in whole CaM family. Some studies have been done to investigate the function of Ile27, Ile63, Ile100 and Val136. The single-residue mutation experiments of these four residues showed that the conformation of CaM and the thermal stability could be altered dramatically. They also suggest that the integrity of both the apo- and holo-forms of Cam is important for the maintenance of its biological function and confirm the importance of conserving the structural function of the residues involved in the β-sheet interactions [35]. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free mutant V136G CaM presented that the mutated C-domain is a mixture of unfolded, partially folded (site III occupied), and native-like folded (sites III and IV occupied) conformations [39]. What’s more, NMR measurements of selectively labeled \textsuperscript{15}N\textsuperscript{3}He CaM also proved that thermal stability of the folding units is affected by the mutation experiment of Ile 27, 63, 100 [40]. Combining the experimental results mentioned above and our simulation results, we believed that the reason that these residues are related to the thermal stability of CaM is that the interactions between these residues are responsible to the unfolding barrier of CaM. Besides these two pairs of residues, we also found that there are other interactions between hydrophobic residues which are responsible to the unfolding barrier, which is the interaction of Leu32, Met36 and Leu48, Met51, Ile52 in N-lobe, and of Leu105, Met109 and Val121, Met124, Leu125 in C-lobe, as shown in Fig. S7. So we suggest that these residues also are important for the thermal stability of CaM. Further mutation experiments need to be done to validate their influence on the thermal stability of CaM.

In conclusion, the force-induced unfolding of CaM is simulated using both the isolated domains and the full-length CaM. Our results reveal that two domains of CaM would unfold sequentially and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding could change the stability of two domains, consequently reverse their unfolding order. Our atomistic-level results are in good agreement with of the experimental results performed on Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM with AFM and give reasonable interpretation of force-extension curves of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded CaM, that is, the main unfolding barrier comes from the interaction of two EF-motifs. The location and direction of pulling may results in partially unfolded states and also may change the unfolding pathways.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Two non-N-C-terminal pulling schemes for the isolated Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-loaded and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free N-lobe, in which the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} atom of C-terminal residues 74 is constrained, and the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} atom of residues 17 and 38 was set to the point of pulling force application.
The cyan balls represent the Ca atoms, and the green balls represent the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions.

**Figure S2** Backbone RMSD of six systems-ApoN(black), ApoC(red), HoloC(green), HoloN(blue), Apo(cyan), Holo(purple) from their initial structures during the equilibration period.

**Figure S3** MD simulation results of the isolated CaM domains using 14 ns snapshot of equilibration as the initial structure and \(v = 5\) Å/ns: A) Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded N-lobe, B) Ca\(^{2+}\)-free N-lobe, C) Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded C-lobe, D) Ca\(^{2+}\)-free C-lobe. On the left, (I): Force-extension curve; (II) Contact area of two EF-hand motifs; (III) Backbone hydrogen bonds of EF\(\beta\)-scaffold coupled two EF-hand motifs. On the snapshots of the forced-unfolding processes, N-terminal is presented with the cyan ball and the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are presented by the green ball.

**Figure S4** SMD simulation results of full-length CaM using the 3.5 ns snapshot of equilibration as the initial structure and \(v = 5\) Å/ns: A) Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded state, and B) Ca\(^{2+}\)-free state. From top to bottom, the panels are the force-extension curve; the contact area of two EF-hand motifs in either domain; gyration radius of two domains; and the backbone hydrogen bonds of EF\(\beta\)-scaffold in N-lobe and C-lobe, respectively. Five structural snapshots correspond to the special force points labeled in the force-extension curves of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded CaM and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM, respectively. In all structural snapshots, the N-terminal is presented with the cyan ball and the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are presented by the green ball.

**Figure S5** SMD simulation results of full-length CaM using the 3.75 ns snapshot of equilibration as the initial structure and \(v = 5\) Å/ns: A) Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded state, and B) Ca\(^{2+}\)-free state. From top to bottom, the panels are the force-extension curve; the contact area of two EF-hand motifs in either domain; gyration radius of two domains; and the backbone hydrogen bonds of EF\(\beta\)-scaffold in N-lobe and C-lobe, respectively. Five structural snapshots correspond to the special force points labeled in the force-extension curves of Ca\(^{2+}\)-loaded CaM and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM, respectively. In all structural snapshots, the N-terminal is presented with the cyan ball and the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are presented by the green ball.
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