Advanced treatment of saline municipal wastewater by *Ruppia maritima*: A data set
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**Abstract**

Saline municipal wastewater treatment is a challenging environmental issue in coastal cities, due to the discharge of saline water into the sewers. The present research article focuses on the phytoremediation of high saline municipal wastewater by *Ruppia maritima*, a widespread plant which can be found in saline medium such as traditional fish ponds, estuaries, tidal flats, salt pans, coastal paddy fields, coastal lagoons, marsh pools, and mangrove salt marshes in Khuzestan province, Iran. The experimental data was obtained using a pilot plant constructed in Chobeineh wastewater treatment plant in Ahvaz city, fed by activated sludge effluent in 3 levels of electrical conductivity (EC) (10, 15, 20 ms cm⁻¹), during 45 days of the experiment. Chemical oxygen demand (COD), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP) and total suspended solids (TSS) were daily monitored in blank and pilot study. The COD removal decreased from 83.26% to 72.39% by increasing the EC level from 10 to 20 ms cm⁻¹, respectively. The experimental data will practically be an appropriate source of information for environmental engineers to design a natural treatment scenario for saline wastewater treatment.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
### Value of the data

- Base on the data set, *Ruppia maritima* is considered as a promising saline tolerant plant in advanced treatment of saline wastewater.
- This data set focused on a challenging issue in treatment of coastal wastewater treatment; therefore, it will be interesting for coastal community with saline wastewaters.
- Our data showed that *R. maritima* could simultaneously remove nutrient and COD from wastewater; an interesting issue for environmentalists who concerned about saline wastewater treatment.

### 1. Data

This data set contains 5 tables and 1 figure. **Tables 1–3** represent the performance of *R. maritima* in saline wastewater treatment. **Tables 4 and 5** show the specific growth rate and the nutrient uptake rate during the study, respectively. The photo of *R. maritima* plant is presented in **Fig. 1**.

### 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Secondary effluent of Ahvaz Choneibeh wastewater treatment plant was used as an influent for operation of study and pilot blank.

#### 2.1. Pilot plant preparation

Two pilot plants constructed as study and blank pilot (without *R. maritima*). They were constructed by concrete in a certain dimension (*L* = 3.3 m, *W* = 1.1 m, *H* = 0.8 m). About 7 cm of pilot’s bed was covered by an appropriate soil layer and prepared for planting. A pump was applied for transition of wastewater from secondary effluent line of the Ahvaz Choneibeh wastewater treatment plant and the flow discharge was adjusted for obtaining the desired detention time (10 days).

In order to prevent the short circuiting flow, two baffles were installed in the entrance and exit of the pilots. In addition, for adjusting the influent EC, a peristaltic pump was used to inject salt solution to influent line to obtain desired EC range as experimental design.
2.2. Experimental design

*R. maritima* plant was gathered from a natural wetland around Shosh city, Iran and planted in the prepared pilot study. To determine the specific growth rate and the nutrient uptake rate of *R. maritima*, it was harvested and cleaned from debris and washed by water to separate impurities.

| Item | *R. Maritima* pilot | Blank pilot |
|------|---------------------|-------------|
|       | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) |
| TSS   | 45.89 ± 7.38        | 11.85 ± 2.43  | 74.17         | 45.89 ± 7.38        | 31.08 ± 3.8    | 32.27         |
| COD   | 61 ± 10.09          | 10.21 ± 2.12  | 83.26         | 61 ± 10.09          | 49.87 ± 8.38  | 18.24         |
| TN    | 21.49 ± 2.76        | 11.65 ± 1.95  | 45.78         | 21.49 ± 2.76        | 19.46 ± 2.5   | 9.4           |
| NH\(_4^+\) | 6.56 ± 0.92    | 0.91 ± 0.35   | 86.12         | 6.56 ± 0.92        | 5.93 ± 0.93   | 9.6           |
| NO\(_3^-\) | 10.67 ± 1.79   | 4.8 ± 1.37    | 55.01         | 10.67 ± 1.79       | 9.42 ± 1.65   | 11.7          |
| TP    | 6.41 ± 0.72        | 1.73 ± 0.37   | 73.01         | 6.41 ± 0.72        | 5.75 ± 0.67   | 10.3          |

| Item | *R. Maritima* pilot | Blank pilot |
|------|---------------------|-------------|
|       | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) |
| TSS   | 41.88 ± 3.29        | 12.15 ± 3.5  | 70.98         | 41.88 ± 3.29        | 32.28 ± 4.63  | 22.92         |
| COD   | 55.68 ± 12.45       | 12.3 ± 2.55  | 78.08         | 55.68 ± 12.45       | 47.04 ± 11.08 | 15.51         |
| TN    | 21.29 ± 1.44        | 11.75 ± 0.65 | 44.8          | 21.29 ± 1.44        | 19.39 ± 0.88  | 8.9           |
| NH\(_4^+\) | 5.48 ± 0.98    | 0.88 ± 0.13  | 83.94         | 5.48 ± 0.98        | 5.12 ± 0.92   | 6.5           |
| NO\(_3^-\) | 12.32 ± 1.77   | 5.42 ± 1.06  | 56            | 12.32 ± 1.77       | 11.19 ± 1.69  | 9.1           |
| TP    | 5.85 ± 1.13        | 1.86 ± 0.75  | 68.2          | 5.85 ± 1.13        | 5.32 ± 1.02   | 9             |

| Item | *R. Maritima* pilot | Blank pilot |
|------|---------------------|-------------|
|       | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) | Influent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Effluent (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Efficiency (%) |
| TSS   | 45.47 ± 12.88       | 14.1 ± 3.81 | 68.99         | 45.47 ± 12.88       | 35.35 ± 4.5    | 22.22         |
| COD   | 56.95 ± 9.98        | 15.73 ± 2.31 | 72.39         | 56.95 ± 9.98        | 49.65 ± 9.59  | 12.81         |
| TN    | 22.84 ± 1.77        | 12.68 ± 1.13 | 44.48         | 22.84 ± 1.77        | 21.2 ± 1.9    | 7.1           |
| NH\(_4^+\) | 5.52 ± 0.74    | 0.91 ± 0.12  | 83.51         | 5.52 ± 0.74        | 5.22 ± 1.32   | 5.4           |
| NO\(_3^-\) | 13.35 ± 3.34   | 6.27 ± 1.9   | 53.03         | 13.35 ± 3.34       | 12.51 ± 3.4   | 6.2           |
| TP    | 5.65 ± 0.75        | 2.07 ± 0.59  | 63.36         | 5.65 ± 0.75        | 5.17 ± 0.71   | 8.4           |

**Table 1**
Performance of *R. maritima* in saline wastewater treatment (EC = 10 ms cm\(^{-1}\)).

**Table 2**
Performance of *Ruppia maritima* in saline wastewater treatment (EC = 15 ms cm\(^{-1}\)).

**Table 3**
Performance of *R. maritima* in saline wastewater treatment (EC = 20 ms cm\(^{-1}\)).

**Table 4**
*R. maritima* specific growth rate during the study.

| EC (ms cm\(^{-1}\)) | Initial dry weight (DW\(_1\)) (g) | Final dry weight (DW\(_2\)) (g) | Specific growth rate (\(\eta\)) (g dry wt day\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 10      | 421                              | 757                             | 0.04                                                |
| 15      | 376                              | 683                             | 0.04                                                |
| 20      | 310                              | 523                             | 0.03                                                |
The experiments were conducted with 3 EC ranges (10, 15 and 20 ms cm\(^{-1}\)) during 45 days in stable situation. For each run the parameters of COD, TSS, NH\(_4^+\), NO\(_3^-\), TN, TP were daily measured, lack of significance difference in 7 consecutive days base on ANOVA analysis was defined as stable situation [1]. The specific growth rate and the nutrient uptake rate during the study were calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively [2]:

\[
\eta = \frac{DW_2/DW_1}{\Delta T}
\]

\(\eta = \) specific growth rate (g dry wt day\(^{-1}\))
\(DW_1 = \) initial dry weight (g)
\(DW_2 = \) final dry weigh (g)
\(\Delta T = \) duration of study (day)

\[
UP = \frac{DW_2C_2 - DW_1C_1}{\Delta T}
\]

\(UP = \) uptake rate (mg g\(^{-1}\))
\(DW = \) Average of initial and final dry weight (g)
\(C_1 = \) initial concentration of TP and TN (mg L\(^{-1}\))
\(C_2 = \) final concentration of TP and TN (mg L\(^{-1}\))
\(\Delta T = \) Duration of study (day)

The measurement of COD, TSS, NH\(_4^+\), NO\(_3^-\), TN, and TP in the influent and effluent of the pilot was done according to methods number of 5220 A, 2540 D, 4500-NH\(_3\) A, 4500-NO\(_3^-\) B, 4500-N C, and 4500-P B, respectively presented in the Standard Methods [1].

**Table 5**
R. maritima nutrient uptake rate during the study.

| EC (ms cm\(^{-1}\)) | Nitrogen uptake rate (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Phosphorous uptake rate (mg g\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 10                   | 0.21                                 | 0.029                                  |
| 15                   | 0.18                                 | 0.026                                  |
| 20                   | 0.17                                 | 0.023                                  |

**Fig. 1.** R. maritime.
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