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Abstract

Sharp upper and lower estimates are obtained of the approximation numbers of a Sobolev embedding and an integral operator of Volterra type. These lead to asymptotic formulae for the approximation numbers and certain other $s-$numbers.
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1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) with smooth boundary, and suppose that $p \in (1, \infty)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is a familiar fact that the embedding $T$ of the Sobolev space $W_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$ in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ is compact and that its approximation numbers $a_k(T)$ decay like $k^{-m/n}$; that is, there are positive constants $c_1, c_2$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$c_1 \leq k^{m/n}a_k(T) \leq c_2.$$

The same holds for the embedding $E$ of $W_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$ (the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$) in $L_{p}(\Omega)$, with no restriction on the boundary of $\Omega$. For these results, and much more general ones, together with some historical remarks about their development, see [5] and [6]. What is not so clear is whether or not there is a genuine asymptotic formula for these approximation numbers; that is, for example, whether or not

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{m/n}a_k(E)$$

exists.

When $n > 1$, the only case in which an answer is known is when $p = 2$. For example, if $m = 1$ and $p = 2$, then $a_k(E) = \lambda_k^{-1/2}$, where $\lambda_k$ is the $k^{th}$ eigenvalue (arranged in increasing order and repeated according to multiplicity) of the Dirichlet Laplacian, and from the known behaviour of these eigenvalues it follows that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{1/n}a_k(E) = \frac{|\Omega|^{1/n}}{2\sqrt{\pi}(\Gamma(1+n/2))^{1/n}}.$$
Similar results hold when \( m > 1 \), always provided that \( p = 2 \).

When \( n = 1 \) and \( \Omega = (a, b) \), even though the technical difficulties encountered are naturally fewer, the only result when \( p \neq 2 \) of which we are aware is that of [3]. In this it is shown that if \( m = 1 \), then not only is there a positive answer to our question, but in fact the approximation numbers can be calculated precisely, and

\[
a_k(E) = \gamma_p (b - a)/k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),
\]

where

\[
\gamma_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} (p')^{1/p} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{1/p'}} \sin(\pi/2).
\]

In the present paper, where we study the case \( n = 1, \Omega = (a, b) \) and \( m = 2 \), no such precision is obtained but we do have an asymptotic result: for any \( p \in (1, \infty) \), there is a constant \( C = C(p) \) such that for the embedding \( W^2_p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega) \),

\[
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^2 a_k(E) = C(b - a)^2.
\]

We also obtain a similar asymptotic result for the Volterra type operator \( T : L_p((a, b)) \rightarrow L_p((a, b)) \) given by \( T f(x) := \int_a^x (x-t) f(t) dt \). This can be compared to the result obtained, when \( p = 2 \), by Newman and Solomyak for the singular numbers of a map of weighted fractional integration type, the action taking place in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^+) \) (see [8]).

The proof involves the characterisation in variational form of the principal eigenvalue of the biharmonic operator with Navier boundary conditions, plus sharp upper estimates for the approximation numbers and sharp lower estimates for the Bernstein numbers. Since the Bernstein numbers are dominated by the approximation numbers, the limiting assertion follows. It also holds for certain other s−numbers, such as the Bernstein, Gelfand and Weyl numbers.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be Banach spaces with norms \( \| \cdot \|_X \), \( \| \cdot \|_Y \) respectively, let \( B(X, Y) \) be the space of all bounded linear maps from \( X \) to \( Y \), let \( T \in B(X, Y) \) and suppose that \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). The \( n^{th} \) approximation number \( a_n(S) \) of \( S \) is given by

\[
a_n(S) = \inf \{ \| T - F \| : F \in B(X, Y), \ \text{rank} \ F < n \};
\]

its \( n^{th} \) Bernstein number is

\[
b_n(S) = \sup \inf_{x \in X_n \setminus \{0\}} \|Tx\|_Y / \|x\|_X,
\]

where the supremum is taken over all \( n \)−dimensional linear subspaces \( X_n \) of \( X \). Note that \( b_n(S) \leq a_n(S) \) for all \( n \) and all \( S \). In fact, the approximation numbers are the largest \( s \)−numbers and the Bernstein numbers are the smallest injective strict \( s \)−numbers: for these results and the terminology used to describe them, see [4], Chapter 5. Here we simply mention that the class of injective strict
s-numbers includes the Gelfand and Weyl numbers, as well as the Bernstein numbers.

Let \( p \in (1, \infty) \), let \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( a < b \), and put \( I = (a, b), |I| = b - a \). The norm on the Lebesgue space \( L^p(I) \) will be denoted by \( \| \cdot \|_{p,I} \). Given any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the Sobolev space \( W^k_p(I) \) is defined to be the set of all functions \( u \in L^p(I) \) such that for each \( j \in \{1, \ldots, k \} \) the distributional derivative \( u^{(j)} \) exists (written as \( u', u'' \) when \( j = 1, 2 \)) and also belongs to \( L^p(I) \); \( \overline{W^k_p(I)} \) will stand for the closure of \( C_0^\infty(I) \) in \( W^k_p(I) \) equipped with the norm \( \| u \|_{0, W^k_p(I)} := \| u^{(k)} \|_{p,I} \).

We recall that if \( u \in W^k_p(I) \), then \( u^{(k-1)} \) is absolutely continuous on \( I \); if \( u \in \overline{W^k_p(I)} \) then additionally we have \( u^{(j)}(a) = u^{(j)}(b) = 0 \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1 \).

The papers \([1], [2]\) consider an eigenvalue problem for the \( p \)-biharmonic operator with Navier boundary conditions. The one-dimensional form of this problem is

\[
\left( |u'|^{p-2} u' \right)' = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in} \ I, \ u = u'' = 0 \quad \text{at the endpoints} \ a, b. \quad (2.1)
\]

It is shown that \((2.1)\) has a least eigenvalue \( \lambda \), which is positive, simple and isolated, and is given by

\[
\lambda_1 = \min \frac{\| u'' \|_{p,I}^p}{\| u \|_{p,I}^p},
\]

where the minimum is taken over all \( u \in W^2_p(I) \cap \overline{W^1_0(I)}, u \neq 0 \). It is convenient for us to deal with the reciprocal of this quotient, and we set

\[
J^0(I) = J^0(a,b) = \sup \frac{\| u \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}}, \quad (2.2)
\]

where the supremum is taken over all \( u \in W^2_p(I) \cap \overline{W^1_0(I)}, u'' \neq 0 \), so that \( u(a) = u(b) = 0 \); thus \( J^0(I) = \lambda_1^{-1/p} \). Denoting by \( f \) the extremal function for \((2.2)\), we have from \([2]\) that \( f \) is symmetric about the mid-point \((a + b)/2\) of the interval \( I \) and that \( f'((a + b)/2) = 0 \). Two further quantities related to \( J^0(I) \) will be needed: these are

\[
J^a(I) := \sup_{u'' \neq 0, u(a)=0} \frac{\| u \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}}, \quad (2.3)
\]

and

\[
J^b(I) := \sup_{u'' \neq 0, u(b)=0} \frac{\| u \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}}. \quad (2.4)
\]

Here our notation means that the suprema are taken over all those non-zero \( u \in W^2_p(I) \) that vanish at \( a, b \) respectively.
Let \( I_1 = (a, (a + b)/2) \) and \( I_2 = ((a + b)/2, b) \). Then from the above observations we have that \( f_1 := \chi_{I_1} f \) and \( f_2 := \chi_{I_2} f \) are the extremal functions for \( J^a(I_1), J^b(I_2) \) respectively. Also we have

\[
J^0(I) = J^a(I_1) = J^b(I_2),
\]

and by scaling we obtain

\[
J^0(I) = |I|^2 J^0((0, 1)).
\]

Next, we let

\[
A^{-}(I) := \sup_{u'' \neq 0, u(a) = u'(a) = 0} \frac{\| u - u(b) \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}}
\]

and

\[
A^{+}(I) := \sup_{u'' \neq 0, u(b) = u'(b) = 0} \frac{\| u - u(a) \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}},
\]

with the same understanding about the notation as above. As the following lemma shows, these are not really new quantities.

**Lemma 2.1**

\[
A^{+}(I) = J^a(I) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-}(I) = J^b(I).
\]

**Proof.** We prove the first equality only as the second follows by a symmetric argument. Let \( f \) be the extremal function for \( J^a(I) \). Then \( f(a) = 0 \), \( f \) is increasing on \( I \) and \( f'(b) = 0 \). Let \( v := f(b) - f \), so that \( v(b) = 0 \), \( v'(b) = -f'(b) = 0 \) and

\[
J^a(I) = \frac{\| f \|_{p,I}}{\| f'' \|_{p,I}} = \frac{\| v - v(a) \|_{p,I}}{\| v'' \|_{p,I}} \leq \sup_{u'' \neq 0, u(b) = u'(b) = 0} \frac{\| u - u(a) \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}} = A^{+}(I).
\]

Now let \( u \) be the extremal function for \( A^{+}(I) \). With \( g := u - u(a) \) we have \( g(a) = 0 \), \( g'(b) = 0 \) and

\[
A^{+}(I) = \frac{\| u - u(a) \|_{p,I}}{\| u'' \|_{p,I}} = \frac{\| g \|_{p,I}}{\| g'' \|_{p,I}} \leq J^a(I),
\]

which concludes the proof. \( \blacksquare \)

Now let \( J = (c, d) \subset (a, b) = I \); set

\[
K_J f(x) := u(c) + \frac{u(d) - u(c)}{d - c} (x - c) \quad (x \in J)
\]

and (with the same convention about notation as before)

\[
B(J) = B(c, d) := \sup_{u'' \neq 0} \frac{\| u - K_J u \|_{p,J}}{\| u'' \|_{p,J}}.
\]

Note that \( u(c) - K_J u(c) = u(d) - K_J u(d) = 0 \).
Lemma 2.2 When \( p \in (1, \infty) \) and \( I = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\mathcal{B}(I) = J^0(I).
\]

Proof. Let \( u \) be the extremal function for \( \mathcal{B}(I) \) and set \( g = u - K_Iu \). Then \( g(a) = g(b) = 0 \) and
\[
\mathcal{B}(I) = \frac{\|u - K_Iu\|_{p,I}}{\|u''\|_{p,I}} = \frac{\|g\|_{p,I}}{\|g''\|_{p,I}} \leq \sup_{f'' \neq 0, f(a) = f(b) = 0} \frac{\|f\|_{p,I}}{\|f''\|_{p,I}} = J^0(I).
\]

To establish the opposite inequality, denote by \( f \) the extremal function for \( J^0(I) \). Then \( f(a) = f(b) = 0 \) and \( K_I f(x) = 0 \) on \( I \). Hence
\[
J^0(I) = \frac{\|f\|_{p,I}}{\|f''\|_{p,I}} = \frac{\|f - K_I f\|_{p,I}}{\|f''\|_{p,I}} \leq \mathcal{B}(I)
\]
and the proof is complete. \( \blacksquare \)

3 Estimates for \( s \)-numbers of a Sobolev embedding

Our concern here is with the embedding \( E : W^2_p(I) \to L^p(I) \) : we repeat that \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( I = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \). We begin with an upper estimate for the approximation numbers of \( E \).

Theorem 3.1 For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
a_{n+1}(E) \leq \frac{|I|^2}{n^2} \mathcal{B}(0, 1). \tag{3.1}
\]

Proof. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( I = \bigcup_{i=0}^n I_i \), where \( I_i = (a_i, a_{i+1}] \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \), \( I_n = (a_n, a_{n+1}) \), with
\[
a_0 = a, a_1 = a + \frac{|I|}{2n}, \quad a_i = a + \frac{|I|}{2n} + (i - 1) \frac{|I|}{n} \quad \text{for} \ 1 < i \leq n,
\]
and \( a_{n+1} = b \). Then we have \( 2|I_0| = 2|I_n| = |I_i| = (b - a)/n \) for \( 0 < i < n \). For any function \( f \) on \( I \) set
\[
K_I f(x) := \chi_{I_0}(x)f(a) + \chi_{I_n}(x)f(b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_{I_i}(x)K_{I_i} f(x),
\]
where \( K_I \) is given by (2.10). With each supremum taken over all functions \( f \) in \( W^2_0(I) \setminus \{0\} \) we have
\[ a_{n+1}^p(E) \leq \sup \frac{\|f - Kf\|_p^p}{\|f''\|_{p,I}^p} \]
\[ = \sup \frac{\|f\|_{p,I_0}^p + \|f\|_{p,I_n}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|f - Kf\|_{p,I_i}^p}{\sum_{i=0}^n \|f''\|_{p,I_i}^p} \]
\[ \leq \sup \frac{\|f''\|_{p,I_0}^p \|\mathcal{A}^+(I_0)\|^p + \|f''\|_{p,I_n}^p \|\mathcal{A}^-(I_n)\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|f''\|_{p,I_i}^p \|\mathcal{B}(I_i)\|^p}{\sum_{i=0}^n \|f''\|_{p,I_i}^p} \]

Now use of the facts that
\[ \mathcal{A}^+(I_0) = \mathcal{A}^-(I_n) = \mathcal{B}(I_i) = \frac{|I|^2}{n^2} \mathcal{B}(0,1) \text{ for } 0 < i < n, \]
which follow from Lemmas \ref{lem:1} and \ref{lem:2}, together with (2.5), shows that
\[ a_{n+1}^p(E) \leq \sup \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \|f''\|_{p,I_i}^p \|\mathcal{B}(0,1)|I|^2/n^2\|^p}{\sum_{i=0}^n \|f''\|_{p,I_i}^p} = \left( \frac{\mathcal{B}(0,1)|I|^2/n^2}{n^2} \right)^p. \]

To prove the reverse estimate we need the next elementary technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( f \) be an odd increasing continuous function on \((-1,1)\). Then
\[ \|f\|_{p,(-1,1)} = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - \lambda\|_{p,(-1,1)}. \]

**Theorem 3.3** For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[ b_{n-1}(E) \geq \frac{|I|^2}{n^2} \mathcal{B}(0,1). \]

**Proof.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and write \( I = \bigcup_{i=1}^n J_i \), where \( J_i = (b_i, b_{i+1}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \), \( J_n = (b_n, b_{n+1}) \), with
\[ b_i = a + (i - 1) \frac{|I|}{n} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n + 1. \]

Let \( f \) be the extremal function for \( J^a(0,1) \), so that \( f(0) = 0 \) and we may suppose that \( f \) is decreasing. We extend this function by oddness to the interval \([-1,1] \); then \( f\chi_{(-1,0)} \) is the extremal function for \( J^b(-1,0) \). Also \( f'(-1) = f'(1) = 0 \) and \( f' > 0 \) on \((-1,1)\). Define \( f_i \) to be the rescaling of \( f \) from the interval \((-1,1) \) to \( J_i \) with \( \|f_i''\|_{p,J_i} = 1 \).

Now take \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) and \( \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R} \) (\( \alpha_n \) will depend on the selection of \( \alpha \)). Let
\[ h(x) := \int_0^x \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i'(t) \chi_{J_i}(t) dt, \]
selecting \( \alpha_n \) in such a way that \( h(b) = 0 \). Denote by \( H \) the collection of all such functions \( h \); plainly rank \( H = n - 1 \). Then with aid of Lemma 3.2 we have

\[
\frac{\|h\|_{p,J}^p}{\|h''\|_{p,J}^p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\|\alpha_i \int_{b_i} f_i'(t)dt + h(b_i)\|_{p,J})^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\|\alpha_i f_i''\|_{p,J})^p} \\
\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i f_i\|_{p,J}^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\|\alpha_i f_i''\|_{p,J})^p} \\
= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^p |h_i|_{p,J}^p)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^p |f_i''|_{p,J}^p)} \geq \frac{|I|^2 p}{n^2} (J^0((0,1)))^p.
\]

Since \( B(0,1) = J^0(0,1) \), the proof is complete.

We summarise these last two theorems in the following

**Theorem 3.4** For the approximation numbers of the embedding \( E \) we have

\[
\left( \frac{|I|}{n+1} \right)^2 B(0,1) \leq a_n(E) \leq \left( \frac{|I|}{n-1} \right)^2 B(0,1)
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^2 a_n(E) = |I|^2 B(0,1).
\]

The same holds for all injective strict \( s \)-numbers of \( E \).

Note that from results about eigenvalues for the uniform Euler-Bernoulli beam problem when \( p = 2 \) (see section 8.4 in [7]) it follows that the upper and lower estimates for strict \( s \)-numbers of \( E \) contained in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 cannot be essentially improved.

Let \( \tilde{W}_p^2(I) \) be the closure in \( W^2_p(I) \) of \( C^\infty_0(I) \cap W^2_p(I) \) (note that \( C^\infty_0(I) \) is the space of all functions in \( C^\infty(I) \) that vanish at the left-hand endpoint \( a \) of the interval \( I \)). We equip \( \tilde{W}_p^2(I) \) with the norm \( \|u\|_{\tilde{W}_p^2(I)} := \|u\|_{p,I} \). We shall discuss the embedding \( E_a : \tilde{W}_p^2(I) \to L_p(I) \), when \( 1 < p < \infty \).

**Theorem 3.5** For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
a_{n+1}(E_a) \leq \frac{|I|^2}{(n - 1/2)^2} B(0,1).
\]

and

\[
b_n(E_a) \geq \frac{|I|^2}{n^2} B(0,1).
\]
Proof. We start with the proof of (3.2).

For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( I = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} I_i \), where \( I_i = (a_i, a_{i+1}] \) for \( i = 0, 1, ..., n-1 \), \( I_n = (a_n, a_{n+1}] \), with

\[
a_0 = a, \quad a_1 = a + \frac{|I|}{2n-1},
\]

\[
a_i = a + \frac{|I|}{2n-1} + (i-1) \frac{|I|}{n-1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i \leq n.
\]

Then we have \( 2|I_0| = |I_i| = (b-a)/(n-1/2) \) for \( 0 < i \leq n \). For any function \( f \) on \( I \) set

\[
Kf(x) := \chi_{I_0}(x)f(a) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_{I_i}(x)K_{I_i}f(x),
\]

where \( K_{I_i} \) is given by (2.10). By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain (3.2).

Now we prove (3.3). As in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we set: \( I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} J_i \), where \( J_i = (b_i, b_{i+1}] \) for \( i = 1, ..., n-1 \), \( J_n = (b_n, b_{n+1}] \), with

\[
b_i = a + (i-1) \frac{|I|}{n} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1,
\]

and consider the extremal functions \( f_i \) with \( \|f_i''\|_{p,J_i} = 1 \).

Take \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and let

\[
h(x) := \int_a^x \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f_i'(t) \chi_{J_i}(t) dt.
\]

Note that \( h(a) = 0 \). Denote by \( H \) the collection of all such functions \( h \); plainly rank \( H = n \). Then as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we have

\[
\frac{\|h\|^p_{p,I}}{\|h''\|^p_{p,I}} \geq \frac{|I|^{2p}}{n^{2p}} \left((0,1)\right)^p = \frac{|I|^{2p}}{n^{2p}} \left(B((0,1))\right)^p,
\]

which concludes the proof.

As before we summarise the previous theorem in the following statement

**Theorem 3.6** For the approximation numbers of the embedding \( E_a \) we have

\[
\left( \frac{|I|}{n} \right)^2 \mathcal{B}(0,1) \leq a_n(E_a) \leq \left( \frac{|I|}{n-3/2} \right)^2 \mathcal{B}(0,1)
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^2 a_n(E_a) = |I|^2 \mathcal{B}(0,1).
\]

The same holds for all injective strict \( s \)-numbers of \( E_a \).
4 Estimates for $s$-numbers of an operator of Volterra type

Let us consider the Volterra type operator $T_m$ acting from $L_p(I)$ to $L_p(I)$ and defined, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by:

$$T_m f(x) = \int_a^x (x-t)^{m-1} f(t) dt,$$

when $x \in I$.

We can see that

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m} (T_m f) (x) = f(x).$$

For $T_1$ it is known that when $1 < p < \infty$ we have:

$$a_n(T_1) = \gamma_p \frac{|I|}{n - 1/2}.$$

Here $a_n$ can be replaced by any strict $s$-number (see [4]).

When $m > 1$ no similar result is known, although if $p = 2$ it is possible to obtain estimates via the connection between approximation numbers and singular numbers (see [8]).

We consider the case $m = 2$ and note that $T_2 : L_p(I) \to L_p(I)$ can be written as $T_2 = E_a \circ S$, where $S : L_p(I) \to W^2_p(I)$ is given by

$$(Sf)(x) = \int_a^x (x-t)f(t) dt$$

and $\|S\| = 1$. This isometric relationship with $E_a : W^2_p(I) \to L_p(I)$ means that we can, instantly, state the following theorems:

**Theorem 4.1** For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_{n+1}(T_2) \leq \frac{|I|^2}{(n - 1/2)^2} B(0, 1). \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$b_n(T_2) \geq \frac{|I|^2}{n^2} B(0, 1). \quad (4.2)$$

**Theorem 4.2** For the approximation numbers of the operator $T_2$ we have

$$\left( \frac{|I|}{n} \right)^2 B(0, 1) \leq a_n(T_2) \leq \left( \frac{|I|}{n - 3/2} \right)^2 B(0, 1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^2 a_n(T_2) = |I|^2 B(0, 1).$$

The same holds for all injective strict $s$-numbers of $T_2$.
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