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Quantitative assessment of lung involvement on chest CT at admission: Impact on hypoxia and outcome in COVID-19 patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of this study was to quantify COVID-19 pneumonia features using CT performed at time of admission to emergency department in order to predict patients' hypoxia during the hospitalization and outcome.

Methods: Consecutive chest CT performed in the emergency department between March 1st and April 7th 2020 for COVID-19 pneumonia were analyzed. The three features of pneumonia (GGO, semi-consolidation and consolidation) and the percentage of well-aerated lung were quantified using a HU threshold based software. ROC curves identified the optimal cut-off values of CT parameters to predict hypoxia worsening and hospital discharge. Multiple Cox proportional hazards regression was used to analyze the capability of CT quantitative features, demographic and clinical variables to predict the time to hospital discharge.

Results: Seventy-seven patients (median age 56-years-old, 51 men) with COVID-19 pneumonia at CT were enrolled. The quantitative features of COVID-19 pneumonia were not associated to age, sex and time-from-symptoms onset, whereas higher number of comorbidities was correlated to lower well-aerated parenchyma ratio (rho = −0.234, p = 0.04) and increased semi-consolidation ratio (rho = −0.303, p = 0.008). Well-aerated lung (≤57%), semi-consolidation (≥17%) and consolidation (≥9%) predicted worst hypoxemia during hospitalization, with moderate areas under curves (AUC 0.76, 0.75, 0.77, respectively). Multiple Cox regression identified younger age (p < 0.01), female sex (p < 0.001), longer time-from-symptoms onset (p = 0.049), semi-consolidation ≤17% (p < 0.01) and consolidation ≤13% (p = 0.03) as independent predictors of shorter time to hospital discharge.

Conclusion: Quantification of pneumonia features on admitting chest CT predicted hypoxia worsening during hospitalization and time to hospital discharge in COVID-19 patients.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under curve; ED, emergency department; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; GGO, ground glass opacity; HU, Hounsfield Unit; ROC, receiver operating characteristics curve.
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1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes a systemic disease, namely coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), dominated by lung involvement. Most of patients develop mild to moderate symptoms, but few of them rapidly progress toward severe pneumonia, pulmonary edema, and acute respiratory distress syndrome, with severe hypoxia and high mortality risk. Early identification of patients who will develop severe respiratory insufficiency is of pivotal importance.

Chest computed tomography (CT) is widely performed in the current SARS-CoV-2 outbreak to diagnose COVID-19 pneumonia, especially in overwhelmed emergency departments (ED) to streamline clinical decision-making. On CT, COVID-19 pneumonia shows typical features of interstitial inflammatory disease, including ground glass opacities (GGO), semi-consolidation components and consolidation. These features reflect the different stages and severity of pathologic alteration of the lungs. GGO presents as a mild increased attenuation in the lung due to incomplete air filling of alveolar cavity, with mild thickening of the alveolar walls and interstitium. Progressive filling of the alveolar cavity, with greater degree of alveolar wall and interstitial septal thickness, leads to semi-consolidation, with possible superimposed reticular crazy paving pattern. Finally, complete air space filling results in consolidation on CT scan. Qualitative and semiquantitative scores were developed for initial assessment and follow-up in COVID-19 pneumonia, but are potentially subject to intra- and inter-observer variability, due to their subjective nature.

Quantitative analysis of CT images allows to extract the percentage of lung parenchyma volume involved by pneumonia with an automatic approach based on Hounsfield Units (HU) values. In COVID-19 patients, pneumonia features likely impact on respiratory system compliance representing different stages and degrees of severity of pathophysiological process. Therefore, they may also potentially impact on response to therapies.

Hence, a quantitative assessment of lung involvement, based on pixel densities, may have a pivotal role in determining the risk of developing severe hypoxia and critical course of the disease. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to define the predictive value of quantitative features of COVID-19 pneumonia, extracted by chest CT scans acquired at hospital admission, on patients’ hypoxia during hospitalization and on outcome.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB approval number 67/INT/2020) and the informed consent was waived. We included consecutive patients referred to the emergency department of San Raffaele hospital of Milan, in North Italy, between March 1st and April 7th, 2020, in whom a CT scan was performed within 24 h from hospital admission for clinical suspicion of COVID-19 pneumonia (Fig. 1), but with inconclusive or possible false-negative X-ray results, according to national recommendation.

Results from RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal swabs were collected in all patients, as number of swabs performed, turnaround time and time-to-positive results. We excluded patients with: 1) negative chest CT, 2) repeated negative RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2, 3) low quality CT scan for breathing artifacts, 4) contrast-enhanced CT examinations (Fig. 1). Demographics, laboratory and clinical data including symptoms, symptoms duration, and comorbidities were reported. Moreover worst O2 pressure (pO2) at hemogasanalysis during the hospitalization, length of hospital stay and death were collected.

2.2. CT scan protocol

All CT scans for suspected COVID-19 pneumonia was performed in a dedicated suite easily accessible from emergency department via assigned elevators and paths. Chest CT scans were performed on a 64-slice scanner (LightSpeed VCT, GE Healthcare) in supine position, during inspiratory breath hold. CT scan parameters were as follows: 120 kV tube voltage, 150–550 mA automatic tube current modulation, 0.4 s rotation time, pitch of 1.375 mm/rot, 64 × 0.625 mm detector collimation. Images were reconstructed at slice thickness/interval of 1.25 mm with a hybrid adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction (40% level) using bone/lung plus kernels (GE Healthcare) and lung window width/level 1500/-700HU. The mean DLP was 478 ± 184 mGy-cm.

Qualitative analysis was performed according to RSNA Expert Consensus document. Presence of fibrosis, emphysema, lymph adenomegaly and pleural effusion was assessed.
**Fig. 2.** HU density values extraction. An experienced radiologist segmented the well-aerated parenchyma (lime), ground glass opacities (orange), semi-consolidation (red) and consolidation (plum) on chest CT scan of a subset of 35 randomly selected patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Two examples of manual segmentation are reported on the left. HU values of each pneumonia lesion features and well-aerated parenchyma were extracted using a pixel-by-pixel approach and Gaussian curves of HU values distribution were created (on the right). Intersection points of Gaussian curves identified the following HU threshold values: -780 HU as threshold between well-aerated parenchyma and GGO; -570 HU as threshold between GGO and semi-consolidation; -290 as threshold between semi-consolidation and consolidation. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 3.** Automatic segmentation of both lungs with quantitative extraction of well-aerated parenchyma, ground glass opacities, semi-consolidation and consolidation. This figure reports the coronal images (on top) and the corresponding 3D volume rendering (bottom), obtained during post-processing performed using a dedicated software (IntelliSpace Portal v.8.0, Philips Medical Systems, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The software performs a fully automatic segmentation of both lungs from native CT dataset (A). After visual check and manual correction of any segmentation error, the HU thresholds calculated as in Fig. 2 were applied in a multistep fashion analysis. In the first step (B) consolidation pattern was extracted from total lung volume applying the threshold -290HU. In the second step (C) consolidation plus semi-consolidation were extracted from total lung volume applying the threshold -570HU. In the final step (D) consolidation, semi-consolidation and ground glass opacities were extracted from total lung volume applying the threshold -780HU and the red volume (D) represent the well-aerated parenchyma. Hence, consolidation volume was obtained subtracting red volume in B from total lung volume (A); semi-consolidation volume was obtained subtracting red volume in C from red volume in B; ground glass volume was obtained subtracting red volume in D from red volume in C. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
2.3. Image analysis: threshold values identification

The chest CT scans of 35 randomly selected patients were manually segmented by an experienced radiologist (AP with 9 years of experience in chest imaging) in order to identify the threshold density values for each of pneumonia features. Volumes of Interest (VOIs) for well-aerated lung parenchyma, GGO, semi-consolidation and consolidation were depicted. The histograms of HU distribution within each VOIs were extracted. Then, data fitting was performed with ad-hoc function using MATLAB® (R2017b, The MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA).

Normal probability distribution was created to fit each CT feature of interest. Quantitative analysis was performed using a dedicated software (IntelliSpace Portal v.8.0, Philips Medical Systems, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

After a fully automatic segmentation of both lungs, the segmentation errors were manually corrected by an experienced radiologist. Then, the volume and the percentage of well-aerated lung and of each pneumonia features (GGO, semi-consolidation and consolidation) were extracted using the aforementioned HU thresholds values, as follows: well-aerated parenchyma < -780 HU, GGO > -780 HU < -570 HU, semi-consolidation ≥ -570 HU < -290 HU, consolidation ≥ -290 HU (Fig. 2).

Considering the variability of lung volume depending on patients’ age, body size and degree of inspiration, the extension of the four different quantitative parameters of lung involvement was expressed as percentage of the entire lung volume in order to ensure a more robust evaluation.

2.4. Image analysis: automatic lung components segmentation and quantification

Quantitative analysis was performed using a dedicated software (IntelliSpace Portal v.8.0, Philips Medical Systems, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

After a fully automatic segmentation of both lungs, the segmentation errors were manually corrected by an experienced radiologist. Then, the volume and the percentage of well-aerated lung and of each pneumonia features (GGO, semi-consolidation and consolidation) were extracted using the aforementioned HU thresholds values, as follows: well-aerated parenchyma < -780 HU, GGO > -780 HU < -570 HU, semi-consolidation ≥ -570 HU < -290 HU, consolidation ≥ -290 HU (Fig. 2).

Considering the variability of lung volume depending on patients’ age, body size and degree of inspiration, the extension of the four different quantitative parameters of lung involvement was expressed as percentage of the entire lung volume in order to ensure a more robust evaluation.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Spearman correlation coefficient was used for evaluating correlations between numerical variables. Associations between binary variables were evaluated with Fisher exact test. Comparison of numerical variables between two or more groups were performed with Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis test, respectively. When needed, post-hoc comparisons of Kruskal-Wallis test were performed with Dunn test. In all analyses involving multiple testing or comparisons, p-values were adjusted with Bonferroni correction. The receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess the performance of CT pneumonia components in predicting severe hypoxia or discharged vs. dead patients. According to Hosmer DW [10], the values of the Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC) were interpreted as: 0.7–0.8 acceptable, 0.8–0.9 excellent, >0.9 outstanding. The optimal cut-off of each variable was found as the value minimizing the Euclidean distance of the corresponding point on the ROC curve with the upper left corner of the ROC plane. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were calculated for each parameter categorized with the optimal cut-off. Multiple Cox proportional hazards regression was used to predict the time to discharge depending on the CT parameters, demographic and clinical variables (sex, age, time from first symptoms, SatO2, pO2, comorbidities). Patients still hospitalized at the time of the analysis or dead were considered as censored data in the analysis. Final models were obtained with backward variable selection. p-Values less than 0.05 were considered significant. All confidence intervals were computed at 95% confidence level. Statistical analyses were performed using R3.5.0 (http://www.R-project.org/).

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

The final cohort consisted of 77 patients (median age: 56 y.o. [IQR, 48–71 y.o.]), mainly men (51/77, 66%). Most of patients (72/77 94%) had fever on admission (median 38 °C [IQR, 37.5–39 °C] from a median of 7 days [IQR, 4–10], together with cough (45/77, 58%) and/or dyspnea (22/77, 29%) with median oxygen saturation (SatO2) of 93% [IQR, 89–96%] and median pO2 of 65 mm Hg [IQR, 56–74 mm Hg].

Forty-seven patients (61%) suffered from comorbidities, mostly cardiovascular disease (n = 38) and active cancer (n = 14), twenty-seven (35%) had multiple comorbidities. Three patients were rapidly discharged (within 3 days from admission) and addressed to home care. The remaining 74 patients were hospitalized for 14 days [IQR, 9–26 days]); 14 patients were still hospitalized at the time of analysis and 12 patients died. Demographics, clinical, laboratory findings and CT qualitative features at admission are reported in Table 1.

3.2. Lung involvement at quantitative CT according to demographics and clinical parameters

Bilaterial total lung volume at the admission CT scan was 3347 cc [IQR, 2776–4329 cc] with median pneumonia involvement of 42% [IQR, 29–55%] of lung parenchyma. Semi-consolidation was the main pneumonia feature (median 17% [IQR, 11–26%]), followed by GGO (median 11% [IQR, 8–13%]) and consolidation (median 9% [IQR,
The quantitative extension of each pneumonia feature was not significantly correlated to age, sex (Fig. A1 and Table A1) and time-from-symptoms onset (Fig. 4A and Table A1).

Lung involvement was correlated to the number of comorbidities: more comorbidities were associated to lower well-aerated parenchyma ratio (rho = 0.234, p = 0.04), and higher semi-consolidation ratio (rho = 0.303, p = 0.008) (Table A1 and Fig. 4B).

Well-aerated parenchyma, semi-consolidation and consolidation were all associated with lymphocyte count and C-reactive protein (CRP). Well-aerated parenchyma directly correlated to lymphocyte (rho = 0.295, p = 0.01) and inversely correlated to CRP (rho = −0.292, p = 0.01). Conversely, semi-consolidation and consolidation ratio were inversely correlated to lymphocyte (rho = −0.316, p = 0.006 and rho = −0.297, p = 0.001, respectively) and directly to CRP (rho = 0.265, p = 0.02 and rho = −0.443, p < 0.001, respectively).

Notably, GGO was not correlated to lymphocyte (rho = −0.091, p = 0.436) and CRP (rho = −0.025, p = 0.828) (Table A1).

3.3. Quantitative lung involvement at CT and hypoxia

Lung involvement varied according to SatO2 and pO2 measured at patients’ arrival at the emergency department (Fig. 4 and Table A2).

Well-aerated parenchyma ratio, semi-consolidation and consolidation ratio correlated both with SatO2 (rho = 0.364, p = 0.001; rho = −0.388, p < 0.001; rho = −0.448, p < 0.001, respectively) and with pO2 (rho = 0.249, p = 0.034; rho = −0.306, p = 0.009; rho = −0.373, p = 0.001, respectively).

However, a post-hoc analysis comparing different classes of hypoxia (SatO2 ≥ 94%; SatO2 = 90–93%; SatO2 < 90%) demonstrated that only semi-consolidation (p < 0.01) and consolidation ratio (p < 0.01) were significantly different between patients with SatO2 ≥ 94 and SatO2 < 90% (Fig. 4C and D).

Consolidation ratio was significantly different (p = 0.01) also between patients with severe hypoxemia (pO2 < 60 mm Hg) and normoxemia (pO2 > 80 mm Hg) at the initial hemogasanalysis.

GGO ratio was correlated with neither SatO2 nor pO2 (rho = −0.036,
Table 2
ROC curves of quantitative parameters of lung involvement on CT at hospital admission in the prediction of patients’ hypoxia and hospital discharging.

| Parameters          | AUC   | Cut-off | Sensitivity | Specificity | Accuracy |
|---------------------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| SatO2 < 90% at admission | 0.71  | 0.58-84 | 0.16-137    | 0.33-29     | 0.49-77  |
| Aerated lung ratio  | 0.51  | 0.37-65 | 0.20-72     | 0.32-41     | 0.40-72  |
| GGO ratio           | 0.70  | 0.56-83 | 0.16-72     | 0.34-68     | 0.56-83  |
| Consolidation ratio | 0.75  | 0.62-88 | 0.16-72     | 0.34-68     | 0.56-83  |
| pO2 < 60 mm Hg at admission | 0.66  | 0.53-80 | 0.16-86     | 0.27-46     | 0.45-72  |
| Aerated lung ratio  | 0.51  | 0.37-65 | 0.20-72     | 0.32-41     | 0.40-72  |
| GGO ratio           | 0.68  | 0.55-82 | 0.16-86     | 0.27-46     | 0.45-72  |
| Consolidation ratio | 0.70  | 0.57-83 | 0.16-86     | 0.27-46     | 0.45-72  |
| pO2 < 60 mm Hg during hospitalization | 0.76  | 0.65-87 | 0.16-87     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| Aerated lung ratio  | 0.59  | 0.45-72 | 0.16-87     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| GGO ratio           | 0.75  | 0.65-86 | 0.16-87     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| Consolidation ratio | 0.77  | 0.65-88 | 0.16-87     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |

ROC curve analysis predicting discharged vs dead patients

| Parameters          | AUC   | Cut-off | Sensitivity | Specificity | Accuracy |
|---------------------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Aerated lung ratio  | 0.66  | 0.50-82 | 0.16-45     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| GGO ratio           | 0.59  | 0.42-77 | 0.16-10     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| Consolidation ratio | 0.62  | 0.45-79 | 0.16-13     | 0.26-68     | 0.51-79  |
| pO2 during hospitalization, mm Hg | 47 [36:65] | 65 [58:70] | <0.001      | 46 [72]     | 59 [83]  |

GGO: ground glass opacity, SatO2: oxygen saturation, pO2: oxygen pressure.

a Data in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
b Data are numerators and denominators, with percentages in parentheses. Data in brackets are 95% CIs.

Table 3
Clinical, demographic and CT features in dead and discharged patients

|                                      | Dead (n = 12) | Discharged (n = 49) | p value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------|
| Sex, M                               | 9 (75%)      | 34 (69%)            | 1.00    |
| Age, years-old                       | 74 [69-81]   | 53 [47-67]          | >0.01   |
| Time from symptoms, days             | 7 [2-7]      | 7 [5-10]            | 1.00    |
| SatO2 at admission, %                | 90 [86.95]   | 94 [90.96]          | 1.00    |
| pO2 at admission, mm Hg              | 59 [48.73]   | 65 [59.74]          | 1.00    |
| Worst pO2 during hospitalization, mm Hg | 47 [36:56] | 65 [58:70] <0.001 |
| Comorbidities, n                      | 2 [1-4]      | 1 [0-2]             | 0.027   |
| Aerated lung, %                      | 45 [38:69]   | 62 [51:77]          | 0.898   |
| GGO, %                               | 9 [7.12]     | 11 [8.14]           | 1.00    |
| Semi-consolidation, %                | 20 [13:28]   | 16 [9:23]           | 1.00    |
| Consolidation, %                     | 16 [11:26]   | 7 [4:13]            | 0.047   |

GGO: ground glass opacity, SatO2: oxygen saturation, pO2: oxygen pressure.

3.4. Quantitative lung involvement at admission CT and patients’ outcome

Non-survivors were mainly men (9/12, 75%) with older age compared to survivors (74 [69-81] years vs. 53 [47-67] years, p < 0.01) (Table 3), mostly suffering from multiple comorbidities (7/12, 58%). At ROC curve analysis for predicting patients’ survival (Fig. 4D and Table 2) based on pneumonia quantitative features at admission, GGO showed no role (AUC 0.59, sensitivity 61%, specificity 58%, accuracy 61%), differently from well-aerated lung (≥45%), semi-consolidation (≤17%) and consolidation (≤13%) which demonstrated performances p = 0.756 and rho = –0.064, p = 0.596 respectively (Table A1).

ROC curves in Fig. 4A-B showed that well-aerated parenchyma, semi-consolidation and consolidation were moderately associated with hypoxia at hospital arrival (SatO2 < 90% and pO2 < 60%), while GGO showed no association (Table 2). Similarly, well-aerated parenchyma, semi-consolidation and consolidation predicted severe hypoxia during hospitalization with moderate AUC (76%, 75% and 77%, respectively), while GGO was not found as a predictor. (Fig. 4C, Table 2).

Table 4
Multiple Cox’s regression analysis including clinical, demographic and CT quantitative parameters of lung involvement for predicting patients’ discharge

| Variables                      | Aerated lung ratio | GGO ratio | Semi-consolidation ratio | Consolidation ratio | With all CT parameters |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| sex M vs F                     | 0.24 <0.001        | 0.38 (0.2-0.72) | <0.01 (0.19-0.71) | 0.37 <0.01 (0.16-0.62) | 0.32 <0.001 (0.13-0.55) | 0.26 <0.001 (0.12-0.51) |
| Age, y.o.                       | 0.07 <0.001        | <0.001 (0.95-0.99) | 0.02 (0.95-0.99) | 0.02 (0.95-0.99) | 0.01 (0.95-0.99) | 1.06 (1.01-1.12) |
| Time from symptoms onset       | 1.07 <0.001        | 0.03 (1.01-1.14) | <0.01 (1.02-1.15) | <0.01 (1.12-1.25) | <0.01 (1.02-1.15) | 0.049 |
| SatO2, %                        | –                  | –         | –                        | –                  | –                     | –                   |
| Neoplasia                       | –                  | 0.32 (0.13-0.83) | 0.02 (0.15-0.99) | 0.02 (0.15-0.99) | 0.049                 |
| Aerated lung ≥45%               | 3.93 <0.001        | (1.73-9.82) | <0.001 (1.73-6.27) | <0.001 (1.73-6.27) | 2.69 <0.001 (1.32-5.11) |
| CP ≤17%                         | –                  | –         | –                        | –                  | –                     | –                   |
| Consolidation ≤13%              | –                  | –         | –                        | 3.42 <0.001 (1.68-9.67) | 2.36 <0.001 (1.12-5.00) | 0.03 |
ranging from acceptable to good (AUC 0.66, 0.62 and 0.77, respectively).

A time-to-event multiple Cox regression analysis with the hospital discharge defined as the event, showed that well-aerated parenchyma ≥45% (p < 0.01), semi-consolidation ≤17% (p < 0.001) and consolidation ≤13% (p < 0.001) predicted a reduced time to discharge (Table 4). Male sex and age predicted a longer time to discharge.

At multiple Cox regression analysis evaluating the role of all four CT quantitative parameters of lung involvement evaluated at hospital admission together with demographic and clinical variables, lower age (p < 0.01), female sex (p < 0.001), longer time-from-symptoms onset (p = 0.049), semi-consolidation ≤17% (p < 0.01) and consolidation ≤13% (p = 0.03) significantly predicted a shorter time to discharge (Table 4).

4. Discussion

In the setting of COVID-19 outbreak, risk assessment for a tailored patients’ management is of pivotal importance.

Recent study showed that well-aerated lung parenchyma ratio predicted the admission to intensive care unit and death.20 However, in COVID-19 interstitial pneumonia, as in other types of interstitial lung disease, it is expected that HU values of pneumonia lesions might depict different degrees of severity of lung damage,23 which subsequently impact on respiratory function and potentially on disease course and severity.

The aim of the present study was to quantify the lung involvement in COVID-19 patients including pneumonia lesions based on different CT densities, in order to evaluate their impact on patients’ hypoxia at time of admission and during hospitalization, as well as on patients’ outcome.

In our analysis of patients referred to emergency department for COVID-19 pneumonia, the following results were observed: i) COVID-19 pneumonia CT findings at hospital admission did not differ with age, sex and time-from-symptoms onset, while significantly changed with number of patients’ comorbidity: higher number of comorbidities was associated to lower well-aerated parenchyma ratio and increased semi-consolidation ratio, ii) GGO ratio was neither associated with oxygen saturation and hypoxemia at the admission nor with the occurrence of severe hypoxia during hospitalization, differently from semi-consolidation, consolidation, and well-aerated parenchyma ratio, iii) at multiple Cox regression analysis evaluating the role of all quantitative CT parameters of lung involvement together with demographic and clinical variables, semi-consolidation ≤17% (p < 0.01) and consolidation ≤13% (p = 0.02) significantly predicted a shorter time to hospital discharge with younger age (p < 0.01), female sex (p < 0.001) and longer time-from-symptoms (p = 0.049).

In our cohort, age and sex showed no significant impact on the lung lesion extension and density. Pneumonia features quantified based on CT attenuation threshold demonstrated no significant difference according to time-from-symptoms onset, different from the previously reported data.12,21 These findings suggest potentially different disease progression kinetics among patients or presence of a selection bias (i.e. only patients referred to the emergency department were included in the study).

In accordance with previous literature,22 higher burden of disease was observed in patients with multiple comorbidities.

Semi-consolidation was the main component of pneumonia independently from clinical and demographic parameters. Despite a certain degree of HU values overlap among pneumonia features, it was revealed that the prevalence of pneumonia pattern with intermediate density (semi-consolidation, from –570 HU to –290 HU) was a predictor of hypoxia and outcome, similarly to consolidated parenchyma. This finding suggests that in COVID-19 pneumonia, semi-consolidation might represent a severe stage of endothelial damage24 in which despite the persistence of air filling, a significant impairment of gas exchange occurs. Furthermore, it can explain the higher compliance but the worst oxygenation observed in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) compared to non-COVID-19 ARDS.16

On contrary, pure GGO component demonstrated no significant correlation with lab tests and no role in prediction of hypoxia and outcome. Thus, it can be suggested that in case of pure GGO involving 10–12% of lung volume, a mild damage without significant impact on systemic condition or respiratory function occurs.

This result may explain previous evidence of GGO as the predominant feature in asymptomatic15,20 and pauci-symptomatic COVID-19 patients.21 In fact, despite the similar percentage of lung involvement by GGO and consolidation (11 [8–13]%) vs. 9 [6–15%] %), the GGO density suggested a mild damage. In fact in our cohort, GGO was identified by HU values ranging between –780 HU (indicating lung lesion consisting in nearly 80% gas and 20% tissue) and –570 HU (indicating nearly 60% gas and 40% tissue), suggestive for mild lung damage. On the contrary, consolidation was identified by HU values higher than –290, indicating a volume of lung occupied by air for less than 30%.

Our results are in agreement with the only previous study assessing the relation between three quantitative features of COVID-19 pneumonia and patients’ outcome on a Chinese population.20 Similarly to Liu et al., we found a moderate performance of baseline CT features to early predict patients’ outcome. However, to the best of our knowledge, this result was never confirmed on population outside China before our study. Additionally, in the present study we assessed also the association of quantitative pneumonia features measured on admitting chest CT with respiratory function at the admission and during hospitalization.

In accordance with previous study in non-COVID ARDS27 and critical COVID-19 pneumonia,24 well-aerated parenchyma ratio near 40% (≤45%) predicted unfavorable outcome.

The Cox regression analysis accounting for all CT pneumonia features, demographic and clinical parameters, confirmed the prognostic value of pneumonia features except for GGO.

Our results provide a new insight in the capability of chest CT to characterize COVID-19 pneumonia, highlighting an association between the pneumonia density and hypoxia. These data could further expand the capability of CT to characterize the different pathophysiological features involved in COVID-19 pneumonia behind the extension of lung involvement, together with the assessment of thromboembolic complication28 and cardiovascular implication.29,30

Our data need to be confirmed on a larger sample and to be correlated to therapeutic options.

5. Conclusion

Semi-consolidation and consolidation at admitting CT are associated to hypoxia and played a significant role in the prediction of hypoxia worsening during hospitalization.

Quantification of pneumonia features may contribute to timely stratify patients’ risk if combined with demographic, clinical and lab parameters, potentially improving patients’ care.16,21 This could help clinical decision making and may potentially improve resource allocation, especially in overwhelmed conditions.
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