INTRODUCTION

Nature has provided many things for humankind over the years, including the tools for the first attempts at therapeutic intervention. The use of plants dates back to almost all the civilization. Even primates have been known to chew certain leaves when suffering from gastrointestinal disturbances [1]. There is an ever-growing interest in investigating different species of plants to identify their potential therapeutic applications due to a tremendous historical legacy in folkloric use of plants as medicine and their easy availability, cost-effectiveness, presumed safety, and biological friendliness than totally synthetic molecules [2].

With the advancement in technology and move toward a progressive life, humankind is heading toward many diseases such as cancer, AIDS, tuberculosis, diabetes, chronic inflammatory diseases, atherosclerosis, and neurodegenerative diseases that all are invariably associated with immune system [3]. One of the major causes of many of these diseases is oxidative stress caused by disturbance in the balance between antioxidants and prooxidants.

Plants cope up with oxidative stress by developing an array of defense strategies [antioxidant system]. Both enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems are included under the antioxidative system. Plants have natural antioxidants in almost all their parts. Sato et al. [4] and Meyer et al. [5] studied the antioxidative characteristics of phenolic compounds from grapes including scavenging of free radicals, inhibition of lipid oxidation, reduction of hydroperoxide formation, and so on. Phytochemicals found in plants and their products are bioactive compounds that are non-nutritious in nature and usually found in vegetables, fruits, cereal grains, and plant-based foods. Consumption of phytochemicals is linked with a decline in risk of several types of chronic diseases due to their antioxidant potential and free radical scavenging effects [6].

This study is aimed at the determination of bioactive compounds and ex vivo antioxidant potential of a mixture made using the fruits of four different plants mixed in a specified concentration. The herbal fruit extract (HFE) mixture contains the fruits of Phyllanthus emblica (PE), Ananas comosus (AC), Punica granatum (PG), and Terminalia chebula (TC).

METHODS

Plant material and authentication

The plant material used for the preparation of HFE includes the fruits of PE, AC, PG, and TC with accession number 21126, 21127, 21128, and 21129, respectively, assigned by a Taxonomist at Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Preparation of HFE

The individual extracts of all the plant materials used in the present study were prepared in ethanol as a solvent by a method described earlier in a previous study [7]. The ethanolic extract of herbs and fruits combined in a specific concentration as revealed in the earlier studies (PE = 175 µg/ml, AC = 125 µg/ml, PG = 261.361 µg/ml, and TC = 400 µg/ml) was used for further analysis of bioactive constituents and evaluation of ex vivo antioxidant potential through inhibition of lipid peroxidation (LPO) method.

Objective: The objective of the present investigation was to determine the presence of various phytochemicals in an ethanolic herbal fruit extract (HFE) made up by a blend of fruits of Phyllanthus emblica (PE), Ananas comosus (AC), Punica granatum (PG), and Terminalia chebula (TC). Followed by a qualitative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis for standardization of the extract using ascorbic acid and gallic acid as standards, this study was also aimed at the determination of ex vivo antioxidant potential and calculation of inhibitory concentration (IC50) value of HFE.

Methods: The analysis of phytochemicals present in HFE was done qualitatively by methods as described by various researchers. Reversed-phase HPLC was carried out on a C-18 column on gradient mode, using methanol and acetic acid as mobile phase. Ex vivo antioxidant potential was evaluated by inhibition of lipid peroxidation (LPO) method by the preparation of packed cell volume.

Results: The results revealed the presence of multiple phytoconstituents in the HFE, and through the chromatographic analysis, the presence of gallic and ascorbic acid as marker compounds was achieved. IC50 values calculated after LPO inhibition method was found to be 21.87 µg/ml and 25.63 µg/ml for ascorbic acid (as standard) and ethanolic HFE, respectively.

Conclusion: The use of herbal antioxidants can provide protection to the human body by fighting against multiple diseases caused as a result of the accumulation of free radicals, generated in the normal metabolic processes going on inside the body. Nowadays, researchers are amused to see the long-term positive effects of using a combination of antioxidants, for example, a polyherbal formulation, rather than single entities.
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Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical analysis is useful to evaluate the chemical constituents present in the crude drug and help in the estimation of specific constituents soluble in a particular solvent. The plants, thus, find their medicinal values due to the presence of respective phytochemical constituents [8]. Screening of the phytochemicals is an important tool in the analysis of bioactive compounds. Moreover, it is a convenient, fast, and inexpensive assay that allows researchers to know about the presence of various phytochemicals in a mixture. Phytochemical analysis was carried out for both, that is, individual fruits and herbs and in mixture (HFE). The ethanolic extracts of TC, PE, AC, PG, and HFE were subjected to the qualitative detection of various phytochemicals as per the methodology discussed in Table 1.

Chemicals and standard for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

Acetic acid and methanol of HPLC grade were procured from HiMedia Laboratories Ltd., Mumbai, India, whereas ascorbic acid and gallic acid used as standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Standard stock solutions of 100 µg/ml were prepared in methanol. The HFE was filtered through 0.45 µm millipore filter before being injected into the column.

HPLC analysis of HFE

The chromatographic equipment of Shimadzu HPLC system comprising LC – 10ATVP pump, diode array detector (SPD-M10AVP), and Supelco C18 (RP) column (25 cm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm) controlled at 30°C was employed for the chromatographic analysis of HFE. Data acquisition and peak integration were performed using Shimadzu Class VP Series software and results were obtained by comparison with standards at 278 nm UV detection. The gradient system of mobile phase used for the study comprised acetic acid (0.1% v/v) and methanol A gradient was used as 0-15 min, 5% methanol; 15-40 min, 80% methanol; 40-42 min, 5% methanol; and 42-50 min, 5% methanol. The flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and injection volume of 20 µl were employed. The chromatographic conditions described by Sawant et al. were followed with slight modifications, and the use of ascorbic acid and gallic acid was done as marker compounds to be analyzed in HFE [14].

Evaluation of ex vivo antioxidant potential of HFE

The ex vivo antioxidant potential of HFE was evaluated by inhibition of LPO method in packed cell volume (PCV). For the preparation of PCV, the collection of human blood in Alsever’s solution in the ratio of 2:1 was done, followed by centrifugation at 1000× g at 4°C for 10 min. The pellet was collected and washed thrice with PBS (pH 7.4). Determination of LPO inhibition was done after adjusting the PCV to 5% [15].

Determination of percentage inhibition of LPO in PCV

Ex vivo antioxidant potential was evaluated by inhibition of LPO by slight modifications in the method described by Stocks and Dormandy [16]. To 2 ml of 5% PCV added 1 ml of hydrogen peroxide, 0.1 ml of sodium azide, and 0.1 ml of different concentrations of HFE dissolved in PBS, the volume was made to 4 ml with PBS and incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Reaction was stopped by adding 2 ml of ice-chilled TCA. The mixture was shaken vigorously and centrifuged at ×200 g for 15 min. Supernatant was transferred to screw-capped vials, followed by addition of 1 ml of tetranbutylammonium (TBA). The vials were kept in boiling water bath for 30 min and cooled. Intensity of pink color developed was read at 532 nm against TBA as blank. The percentage inhibition of LPO was determined as follows:

\[
\text{Inhibition of lipid peroxidation (\%) = \left(\frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100}
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical analysis

The naturally occurring substances called phytochemicals have proved to be immensely beneficial for human health as it offers a significant amount of antioxidant as well as anti-inflammatory potential. Phytochemical screening of ethanolic fruit extract of TC, PE, AC, PG, and HFE revealed the presence of various bioactive compounds as shown in Table 2. The qualitative phytochemical analysis carried out in ethanolic extract of PE, AC, PG, TC, and HFE revealed the presence of 7, 6, 7, 5, and 8 bioactive compounds, respectively. A similar investigation was carried out to study the phytochemical composition and cytotoxic activity of pineapple extract, and it revealed the presence of flavonoids, phenols, coumarins, steroids, terpenoids, and quinones. The pineapple extract also exhibited a significant cytotoxic activity against oral cancer cell lines as revealed by the MTT assay carried out using different concentrations of the extract [17].

In the present investigation, it was observed that ethanolic HFE showed the maximum expression of phytochemicals out of all other samples revealing the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, quinones, phenolic compounds, tannins, and terpenoids, whereas lacking glycosides and anthraquinones. Similar type of study was carried

Table 1: The details of qualitative phytochemical analysis along with methodology and expected results as per standard methods followed

| Phytochemical | Test performed | Methodology and expected observation | References |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Alkaloids     | Wagner test    | Added 2 ml of sample in a test tube and few drops of 1% HCl followed by addition of 1 ml of Wagner’s reagent. Appearance of reddish-brown color will indicate positive test | [9]        |
| Flavonoids    | NaOH test      | To 1 ml of sample added few drops of 2N NaOH solution. Occurrence of yellow color will indicate a positive result | [10]       |
| Saponins      | Foam test      | Took 1 ml of sample and added few drops of water; followed by vigorous shaking. Observed for the presence and persistence of froth for few minutes | [10]       |
| Steroids      | Liebermann-Burchardt test | To 1 ml of sample added 1 ml of chloroform, 2–3 ml of acetic anhydride followed by 1–2 drops of conc. HSO4. Dark green color will be a positive result | [11]       |
| Quinones      | H2SO4 test     | To 1 ml of sample added 1 ml of conc. H2SO4. Presence of red color will indicate a positive test | [10]       |
| Phenolic Compounds | FeCl3 test | In 1 ml of sample, added 2 ml distilled water followed by 3–4 drops of ferric chloride solution. Formation of blue-green color will give a positive result | [10]       |
| Tannins       | Braemer’s test | Added 2 ml of 10% alcoholic ferric chloride to 2 ml of sample. Dark blue color will indicate its presence | [11]       |
| Glycosides    | Keller-Kiliani test | In 1 ml of sample, added 3 ml of chloroform and H2SO4 to form a layer. Brown ring at interface indicates positive result | [12]       |
| Terpenoids    | Salkowski test | 2 ml of chlorosulfur was added to 1 ml of sample followed by 3 ml of conc. H2SO4. Red-brown color at upper phase will indicate a positive result | [13]       |
| Anthraquinones| Borntrager’s test | Added 2 ml of chloroform to 2 ml of sample followed by addition of 1 ml of 10% ammonia solution. Pink-red color in lower layer indicates a positive result | [12]       |
out on a fruit drink made up by a blend of equal portions of cucumber (50%) and pineapple (50%) juices mixed with clove and ginger powder spices at a conc. of 0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%, and 1% (w/v), respectively. The phytochemical compounds identified in this formulated drink include alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, tannins, terpenoids, and phlobatannins [18].

HPLC analysis of HFE
The ethanolic HFE was standardized and investigated for the qualitative analysis of ascorbic and gallic acid as marker compounds. For HPLC analysis, the mobile phase consisting of gradient elution of methanol and 0.1% (v/v) acetic acid in HPLC-grade water with Supeko C18 reverse-phase column at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min was used. Under this system, the chromatogram of ascorbic acid, gallic acid, and HFE was obtained as shown in Figs. 1-3, respectively (as shown in Table 4 and 5). The retention time (RT) for ascorbic and gallic acid was 3.60 and 10.77 min, respectively [14]. The present study revealed the emergence of two peaks in the chromatogram of HFE with RT values of 3.117 and 10.233 min (Table 3) that approximately coincides with

Table 2: The results of qualitative phytochemical screening of ethanolic extracts of PE, AC, PG, TC, and HFE

| Phytochemical       | PE  | AC  | PG  | TC  | HFE |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Alkaloids           | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   |
| Flavonoids          | +   | +   | -   | +   | +   |
| Saponins            | -   | +   | +   | -   | +   |
| Steroids            | -   | +   | +   | -   | +   |
| Quinones            | +   | -   | -   | -   | +   |
| Phenolic compounds  | +   | -   | +   | +   | +   |
| Tannins             | +   | -   | +   | +   | +   |
| Glycosides          | -   | -   | -   | +   | -   |
| Terpenoids          | +   | +   | -   | -   | -   |
| Anthraquinones      | +   | -   | +   | -   | -   |

PE: Phyllanthus emblica, AC: Ananas comosus, PG: Punica granatum, TC: Terminalia chebula, HFE: Herbal fruit extract. Symbol (+) indicates the presence and (-) indicates the absence of a phytoconstituents in the respective ethanolic sample.

Fig. 1: The chromatogram of herbal fruit extract with two peaks labeled as 1 and 2 with retention time values of 3.117 and 10.233 min, respectively.

Fig. 2: The chromatogram of ascorbic acid with two peaks labeled as 1 and 2 with retention time values of 3.150 and 45.375 min (buffer), respectively.

Fig. 3: The chromatogram of gallic acid with two peaks labeled as 1 and 2 with retention time values of 10.308 and 45.433 min (buffer), respectively.
The scavenging of free radicals can be attained by natural and synthetic antioxidants from natural origin have attracted special interest for the reason that they guard the human body from oxidative stress and are involved in disease prevention. The use of multiple antioxidants can actually enhance the protective role of defense mechanisms of our body and hence offer protection against harmful effects of the accumulation of free radicals. It has been seen that in the past two decades, there has been a widespread interest in the plant-based chemical composition of medicine. Substantial evidence indicates that antioxidants from multiple herbs and other plant products are of major importance in disease prevention. By preventing or postponing the onset of degenerative diseases, antioxidants may be of immense importance in enhancing the eminence of life.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of multiple antioxidants can actually enhance the protective role of defense mechanisms of our body and hence offer protection against harmful effects of the accumulation of free radicals. It has been seen that in the past two decades, there has been a widespread interest in the plant-based chemical composition of medicine. Substantial evidence indicates that antioxidants from multiple herbs and other plant products are of major importance in disease prevention. By preventing or postponing the onset of degenerative diseases, antioxidants may be of immense importance in enhancing the eminence of life.
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