Prognosis of acute exacerbation in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with pulmonary emphysema: a retrospective cohort study in Japan

Yukihiro Horio, Takahisa Takihara, Fuminari Takahashi, Keito Enokida, Noriko Nakamura, Jun Tanaka, Katsuyoshi Tomomatsu, Kyoko Niimi, Sakurako Tajiri, Naoki Hayama, Yoko Ito, Tsuyoshi Oguma, Koichiro Asano

ABSTRACT

Objectives To analyse the clinical characteristics and prognosis of acute exacerbation (AE) in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and pulmonary emphysema.

Design A multicentre retrospective cohort study

Setting Two university hospitals in Japan

Participants Patients admitted to hospitals due to AE of IPF were diagnosed based on a multidisciplinary discussion.

Interventions None

Primary and secondary outcome measures 90-day mortality rate

Methods We retrospectively analysed consecutive patients with AE of IPF, with or without pulmonary emphysema, admitted to two university hospitals between 2007 and 2018.

Results Among 62 patients (median age, 75 years; 48 men) admitted for AE of IPF, 29 patients (46%) presented with concomitant pulmonary emphysema. There was no significant difference in the arterial partial oxygen pressure/fraction of inhaled oxygen (P/F) ratio or other laboratory and radiographic data between patients with and without emphysema. The 90-day mortality rate was significantly lower in patients with emphysema than in those with IPF alone (23% vs 52%, p=0.03). The median survival time was significantly longer in patients with emphysema than in those with IPF alone (405 vs 242 days, p=0.02).

Conclusion Patients with IPF and emphysema had better short-term survival after AE than those with non-emphysematous IPF.

BACKGROUND

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is characterised by chronic, progressive, fibrosing interstitial pneumonia of unknown aetiology. The prognosis of IPF is poor, with a median survival time of 2–3 years. Acute exacerbation (AE) is the major cause of death in IPF patients, accounting for up to 40% of all deaths. AE–IPF is defined as the worsening of respiratory failure with acute or subacute onset that cannot be explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload, which parallels the Berlin criteria for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Pulmonary emphysema is common in lungs with IPF, as the estimated prevalence of emphysema ranges between 25% and 50%. Cotin et al proposed the term combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (CPFE), which comprises upper lobe-dominant emphysema and lower lobe-dominant fibrosis. CPFE exhibits clinical characteristics, such as high prevalence in heavy smokers, relatively normal lung volumes accompanied by severely impaired gas exchange capacity, and a high risk for lung cancer and pulmonary arterial hypertension. Data on the prognosis of IPF with emphysema are inconsistent among reports although recent studies have reported that the prognosis of CPFE is as poor as that of IPF alone. The incidence of AEs in patients with CPFE has been reported to be 9.4% per year, which is compatible with the annual rate of AEs in patients with IPF. In contrast, AEs accounted for 31% of deaths in patients with IPF, but...
accounted for only 11.8% of deaths in patients with CPFE.9 Other causes, such as lung cancer and pulmonary artery hypertension, may play a major role in the mortality of patients with IPF and emphysema.14 Another possible explanation is that the prognosis of AE is better in patients with combined fibrosis and emphysema than in patients with IPF alone. Therefore, we analysed the clinical characteristics and prognoses of patients with AE of IPF and pulmonary emphysema.

METHODS

Patients

Consecutive patients admitted to two university hospitals for AE–IPF between January 2007 and August 2018 were retrospectively analysed. IPF was diagnosed based on a multidisciplinary discussion by two pulmonologists and a radiologist using the patients’ clinical history, physical examination, laboratory test results and radiographic data from high-resolution CT.

AE–IPF was diagnosed based on the following criteria5: (1) previous or concurrent diagnosis of IPF; (2) acute worsening or development of dyspnoea typically of duration <1 month; (3) CT with new bilateral ground-glass opacity and/or consolidation superimposed on a background pattern consistent with the usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern and (4) deterioration not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload. The patients with neoplasms undergoing active treatment, including radiation therapy, chemotherapy with cytotoxic or molecular-targeted drugs, or immunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors, at the onset of AE were excluded.

AE–IPF was treated with an appropriate administration of oxygen and pharmacotherapy using prednisone (0.5–1 mg/kg per day) with or without preceding methylprednisolone pulse therapy (1 g/day for 3 days). The prednisone dose was tapered based on the response to therapy. In refractory cases, immunosuppressive therapy with intravenous cyclophosphamide (500 mg every 2–4 weeks) may have been added.

Clinical and laboratory parameters

The clinical and laboratory data within 12 months prior to and at the onset of AE were collected from medical charts. Spirometry was performed during stable disease using a Super Spiro DISCOM-21FX III spirometer (CHEST Corp., Tokyo, Japan) by trained clinical technicians. The predicted values of forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) were calculated using a previously reported equation.10 In patients without arterial blood gas data at the time of admission, the partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO2) was calculated based on percutaneous arterial oxygen saturation (SpO2) using the Hill formula.11 The serum levels of Krebs von Lungen-6 (KL-6) were measured using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay and Lumipulse G1200 Analyzer (Rebio, Fuji, Japan). The surfactant protein D (SP-D) levels were measured using commercially available ELISA kits (RayBiotech, Norcross, GA, USA).

Radiographic evaluation of thoracic CT and diagnosis IPF

Thoracic CT with 1.5-mm-thick axial sections was obtained at 1 cm intervals throughout the entire thorax in the inspiratory phase. Emphysema was defined as

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with or without concomitant pulmonary emphysema |
|-----------------------------------|
| Characteristic                  | IPF with emphysema (n=29) | IPF alone (n=33) | P value |
|-----------------------------------|
| Age, years                       | 74±6                       | 76±8            | 0.20    |
| Male, n (%)                      | 28 (97%)                   | 20 (60%)        |         |
| Smoking status                   |                            |                 |         |
| Smokers, n (%)                   | 29 (100%)                  | 14 (42%)        | <0.001  |
| Pack-years                       | 59±88                      | 12±20           | <0.001  |
| Comorbidity                      |                            |                 |         |
| Lung cancer, n (%)               | 2 (7%)                     | 1 (3%)          | 0.59    |
| Any cancer, n (%)                | 5 (17%)                    | 3 (9%)          | 0.33    |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)         | 6 (21%)                    | 10 (30%)        | 0.38    |
| Chronic heart failure, n (%)     | 6 (21%)                    | 13 (39%)        | 0.11    |
| Chronic renal failure, n (%)     | 0 (0%)                     | 2 (6%)          | 0.59    |
| Chronic respiratory infection, n | 1 (3%)                     | 1 (3%)          | 0.93    |
| Laboratory data                  |                            |                 |         |
| KL-6 in serum (U/mL)             | 1266±697 (n=24)            | 1255±817 (n=26) | 0.74    |
| Albumin in serum (g/L)           | 3.7±0.5 (n=20)             | 3.7±0.3 (n=23)  | 0.64    |
| Pulmonary functions              | n=20                       | n=17            |         |
| FVC (L)                          | 2.26±0.68                  | 1.54±0.61       | 0.045   |
| FVC, %predicted                  | 69.4±20.3                  | 56.8±22.4       | 0.002   |
| FEV1 (L)                         | 1.9±0.5                    | 1.3±0.4         | 0.001   |
| FEV1/FVC (%)                     | 85.9±7.6                   | 89.3±9.2        | 0.11    |
| Thoracic CT                      |                            |                 |         |
| CT pattern (2018 IPF guideline)  |                            |                 |         |
| Definite/probable/indeterminate/alternative | 21/7/0/1  | 14/19/0/0    | 0.08    |
| Low-attenuation area score       | 5.8±2.0                    | 0.0±0.0         | <0.001  |
| Treatment, n (%)                 |                            |                 |         |
| Prednisolone, n (%)              | 7 (24%)                    | 8 (24%)         | 0.99    |
| Antifibrotic agents, n (%)       | 6 (20%)                    | 3 (9%)          | 0.13    |
| FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; IPF, interstitial pulmonary fibrosis; KL-6, Krebs von Lungen-6. |
demarcated areas of decreased attenuation compared with contiguous normal lung tissue and marginated by a very thin or no wall, with upper-zone predominance. The extent of emphysema was evaluated by low attenuation area (LAA) score in chest CT according to the method proposed by Goddard et al.12 The cases with LAA score >0 was classified in the emphysema group. The existence of emphysema and diagnostic categories of UIP based on CT patterns12 13 were diagnosed based on a discussion between two pulmonologists and a radiologist.

### Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as mean±SD or median and IQR for continuous variables, and number and percentage for categorical data. Group comparisons were made using the Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank tests were used to evaluate the survival. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis of mortality within 90 days was performed using age, sex and other factors with p<0.1 in univariate analysis and data available in >90% of the cases. A statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software V.21 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

### RESULTS

#### Clinical characteristics prior to AE

Among 103 patients admitted to hospitals due to acute respiratory failure of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia during the study period, we identified 62 patients with AE–IPF (median age, 75 years; 48 men and 14 women, online supplemental figure 1). Notably, 29 patients (46.7%) had emphysema (IPF with emphysema group) and 33 patients had IPF without emphysema (IPF alone group).

The clinical characteristics at baseline (within 12 months before AE) are presented in table 1. All the patients with emphysema were smokers, whereas 19 patients (58%) with IPF alone were never smokers. The total exposure to cigarette smoke (pack-years) was greater in the IPF with emphysema group than in the IPF alone group (p<0.001). Age, sex, body mass index, proportion of patients with comorbidities, under long-term oxygen therapy or on pharmacotherapy with prednisolone or antifibrotic agents were not significantly different between the groups. The data of pulmonary function tests within 1 year prior to AE were available in 20 cases...
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in the IPF with emphysema group and 17 cases in the IPF alone group. The FVC, %FVC of the predicted value and FEV<sub>1</sub> were significantly higher in the IPF with emphysema group (p=0.045, 0.002 and 0.001, respectively), whereas the FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC was not significantly different between the groups. The diagnostic categories of UIP based on CT patterns were not significantly different between the groups. One case, which was categorised as an alternative pattern, was diagnosed as IPF on autopsy.

**Clinical characteristics on admission due to AE**

The laboratory data on admission, such as leucocyte counts in peripheral blood and serum levels of lactate dehydrogenase, were not significantly different between the IPF with emphysema and IPF alone groups (table 2). In addition, the fraction of inhaled oxygen (FIO<sub>2</sub>) and the PaO<sub>2</sub>/FIO<sub>2</sub> (P/F) ratio were not significantly different between the groups. The serum KL-6 and SP-D levels were

| Table 3 | Clinical characteristics of non-survivors and survivors at day 90 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Non-survivors at day 90 (n=23) | Survivors at day 90 (n=36) | P value |
| Baseline clinical characteristics | | | |
| Age, years | 77±6 | 73±7 | 0.25 |
| male, n (%) | 17 (74%) | 32 (89%) | 0.18 |
| Smoking status | | | |
| Smokers, n (%) | 12 (52%) | 26 (72%) | 0.11 |
| Pack-years | 22±27 | 42.3±33.1 | 0.13 |
| Pulmonary functions | n=13 | n=23 | |
| FVC (L) | 1.56±0.72 | 2.23±0.67 | 0.02 |
| FVC, %predicted | 56.4±27.3 | 70.6±17.1 | 0.12 |
| FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC (%) | 89.5±9.5 | 86.3±7.6 | 0.24 |
| Thoracic CT | | | |
| Emphysema, n (%) | 7 (30%) | 20 (56%) | 0.02 |
| CT pattern (2018 IPF guideline) | | | 0.35 |
| Definite/probable/indeterminate/alternative | 13/10/0/0 | 22/13/0/1 | |
| Treatment, n (%) | | | |
| Long-term oxygen therapy | 5 (21%) | 7 (19%) | 0.83 |
| Prednisolone | 6 (26%) | 8 (22%) | 0.73 |
| Antifibrotic agents | 2 (7%) | 6 (16%) | 0.22 |
| Clinical data on admission | | | |
| Respiratory rate (/min) | 26±5 | 23±6 | 0.18 |
| Laboratory data | | | |
| Leukocytes (×10<sup>3</sup>/µL) | 11.2±3.5 | 11.0±5.1 | 0.34 |
| Lactate dehydrogenase (U/L) | 380±106 | 372±103 | 0.42 |
| KL-6 (U/mL) | 1926±1642 | 1644±1193 | 0.63 |
| SP-D (U/mL) | 352±147 (n=15) | 388±305 (n=22) | 0.23 |
| FIO<sub>2</sub> | 0.40±0.29 | 0.34±0.20 | 0.30 |
| P/F ratio | 194±94 | 241±90 | 0.06 |
| Treatments, n (%) | | | |
| Drugs | | | |
| Methylprednisolone pulse therapy | 17 (74%) | 22 (61%) | 0.60 |
| Immunosuppressive therapy | 3 (13%) | 4 (11%) | 0.14 |
| Oxygen therapy | | | |
| High flow nasal cannula | 7 (30%) | 5 (14%) | 0.11 |
| NPPV | 2 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 0.16 |
| IPPV | 1 (4%) | 1 (3%) | 1.00 |

FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; IPPV, intermittent positive pressure ventilation; KL-6, Krebs von Lungen-6; NIPPV, non-invasive intermittent positive pressure ventilation; P/F ratio, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FIO<sub>2</sub> ratio; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome; SP-D, surfactant protein D.
higher in the IPF with emphysema group (p=0.05 and 0.025, respectively).

All the patients, except for one patient who was not treated with prednisolone, were treated with high-dose prednisolone and oxygen therapy. There was no difference in the proportion of patients treated with methylprednisolone pulse therapy and/or immunosuppressive therapy between the groups. Four patients with IPF and emphysema and 12 patients with IPF alone required a high-flow nasal cannula or positive-pressure ventilation for the treatment of respiratory failure.

Short-term prognosis within 90 days after admission
Nine (15%), 15 (24%) and 23 (38%) patients died within 7, 30 and 90 days of admission due to AE–IPF, respectively. The survivors were more likely to have emphysema (56%) than the deceased (30%), with an OR of 0.27 (95% CI, 0.07 to 0.88; p=0.02). FVC and P/F ratio were lower (p=0.02 and 0.06, respectively) in the deceased patients (table 3). The survival rate was significantly higher in patients with emphysema than in those without emphysema (p=0.03), and the 90-days survival rate was higher in patients with emphysema (76% and 50%, respectively; figure 1). The age, sex, smoking history (pack-years), serum KL-6 levels, comorbidity of lung cancer, long-term oxygen therapy and the proportion of patients using prednisolone and/or antifibrotic agents before AE were not significantly different between patients who died and those who survived for the first 90 days (table 3). A Cox proportional hazards regression analysis revealed that the presence of emphysema (HR 0.33 (95% CI 0.14 to 0.82), p=0.01) and P/F ratio (HR 0.99 (95% CI 0.98 to 0.99), p=0.01) were predictors of mortality within 90 days after adjustment for age and sex (table 4).

In the multivariate analysis, FVC was not included because of the high rate of missing values. Therefore, we performed a subanalysis by dividing the patients into four subgroups according to the presence or absence of emphysema and baseline FVC (≥60% or <60% of the predicted value). A Kaplan–Meier estimate according to this subgrouping revealed that the presence of emphysema tended to have a good prognosis regardless of the %FVC (online supplemental figure 2). A Cox proportional hazards regression analysis including the %FVC revealed that the presence of emphysema remained a predictor of mortality within 90 days (HR, 0.30 (95% CI 0.09 to 0.98), p=0.04) (online supplemental table 1).

Long-term prognosis
Notably, 48 patients, including 21 patients in the IPF with emphysema group and 27 patients in the IPF alone group, died during the observation period (median: 325 days; range: 2–1721 days). Although there was no difference in the total mortality between the groups, the median survival time was significantly longer in the IPF with emphysema group than in the IPF alone group (405 days vs 254 days, p=0.02, table 5).

There were 38 patients who survived 90 days or more after admission due to AE–IPF, including 22 patients with emphysema and 16 patients without emphysema (table 5). In these acute phase survivors, the long-term prognosis and cause of death was not significantly different between patients with and without emphysema (p=0.8) (online supplemental figure 3, table 5). The median survival times for the IPF with emphysema and IPF alone groups were 573 and 565 days, respectively (p=0.96). The leading cause of death in survivors was the exacerbation of IPF, regardless of the presence of emphysema (table 5).

DISCUSSION
We retrospectively analysed 62 consecutive patients admitted for AE–IPF in two hospitals. Approximately half of the patients with AE–IPF exhibited pulmonary emphysema, who showed higher exposure to cigarette smoking, better FVC before AE and higher levels of KL-6 and SP-D at AE. The patients with emphysema had better short-term survival than those without emphysema, although the long-term survival of the survivors was equivalent.

Several possibilities may explain why the presence of emphysema was associated with better short-term prognosis in patients with IPF. First, the higher baseline FVC observed in the IPF with emphysema group may have contributed to a better survival rate. However, several studies have demonstrated that higher FVC values were not associated with better prognosis in patients with AE of chronic fibrosing interstitial pneumonia (CFIP).14 15

![Figure 1](image-url) Kaplan–Meier survival curves for patients admitted to the hospital with AE–IPF with pulmonary emphysema (solid line, n=29) and without emphysema (dashed line, n=33). AE, acute exacerbation; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.
Furthermore, the copresence of emphysema may have masked the decrease in FVC in the IPF with emphysema group and greater FVC does not suggest a better capacity for alveolar gas exchange, which is essential for favourable oxygenation. In fact, there was no difference in the P/F ratio at exacerbation, an essential factor associated with the poor prognosis of AE–IPF, between patients with and without emphysema. Ikuyama et al. also reported that patients with CPFE had better baseline %FVC and better prognosis after exacerbation than those with IPF alone, however, there was no difference in the % diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide of the predicted or gender-age-physiology (GAP) score between the groups. Therefore, the higher baseline FVC observed in the IPF with emphysema group is unlikely to be the cause of the better short-term prognosis in these patients. Although substantial FVC data were lacking in the present study, pulmonary emphysema was an independent factor in determining the short-term prognosis even after adjustment for FVC values.

Ikuyama et al. reported that patients with CPFE exhibited significantly lower serum KL-6 levels on admission and postulated that lung damage was less extensive in these patients. Another recent study also reported that higher serum KL-6 levels on admission were associated with a poorer prognosis in patients with AE-IPF. However, in our study, the serum KL-6 levels were higher in patients with IPF and emphysema. Therefore, patients with IPF and emphysema had better short-term survival, regardless of their serum KL-6 levels on admission.

The heterogeneity of prognosis in patients with AE-IPF may be due to different pathological changes in the lungs during AE. Diffuse alveolar damage is the major pathological finding in the lungs with AE-IPF in autopsy cases, accounting for approximately 80% of cases, followed by alveolar haemorrhage. In contrast, lung specimens obtained by surgical biopsy often show organising pneumonia. Churg et al. reported that 6 of 12 patients with AE-CFIP presented with organising pneumonia and survived the acute phase, whereas half of the patients presenting with diffuse alveolar damage died. Currently, there is no histopathological information explaining the different prognoses of AE-IPF due to emphysema, and further studies are required.

The Kaplan–Meier estimate revealed significantly better short-term survival in the IPF with emphysema group than in the IPF alone group. However, in the survivors of acute phase, long-term prognosis was not significantly different between patients with and without emphysema. The major cause of death was AE–IPF, observed in 33 cases, comprising 11 patients (52%) with emphysema and 22 patients (81%) without emphysema. This observation may be consistent with a previous report which stated that patients with IPF who never smoked and developed AE had poorer prognoses than those with IPF who smoked.

This study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study of cases in two clinical centres; therefore, the number of patients studied was limited. In addition, we could not estimate the incidence of AEs in patients with IPF and pulmonary emphysema because of the retrospective design of this study. Prospective multicentre studies, such as the Japan Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias Registry are currently ongoing in Japan and are warranted. Second, due to the real-world nature of the study, some clinical data, especially data on the diffusion capacity and/or the 6min walk test, which are used to calculate GAP scores to evaluate the IPF severity, were missing in most cases. However, we propose that the presence or absence of emphysema on thoracic CT may be associated with the prognosis of AE, which is a leading cause of death in patients with IPF.

| Table 5 | Prognosis after acute exacerbation of IPF |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
|          | IPF with emphysema | IPF alone | P value |
| All patients | n=29 | n=33 |  |
| Median survival time, days | 405 (2–1544) | 254 (2–1721) | 0.02 |
| Survivors at day 90 | n=22 | n=16 |  |
| Median survival time, days | 573 (110–1544) | 565 (106–1721) | 0.96 |
| Cause of death | n=14 | n=11 |  |
| Acute exacerbation, n (%) | 4 (28%) | 6 (55%) | 0.24 |
| Chronic respiratory failure, n (%) | 3 (22%) | 4 (36%) | 0.66 |
| Pneumonia, n (%) | 3 (22%) | 0 (0%) | 0.23 |
| Unknown, n (%) | 4 (28%) | 1 (9%) | – |

**IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis**

CONCLUSION

Patients with AE–IPF and concomitant pulmonary emphysema had better short-term prognoses than those without emphysema. Further studies are required to identify the histopathological characteristics of AE–IPF lungs with emphysema associated with better prognosis.

**Contributors** YH and KA contributed to the conception and design of the study, analysed and interpreted the data and wrote the first version of the draft.
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