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Symptoms during Adolescents’ First Use of Cigarettes and E-Cigarettes: A Pilot Study
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Abstract: Symptoms adolescents experience during their first time using a cigarette predict their current use, but little is known regarding the symptoms experienced during first e-cigarette use. We conducted a pilot study to understand the symptoms adolescents experience when they first tried cigarettes and e-cigarettes and the associations between these symptoms and current use. Participants were 41 adolescents in two U.S. cities who had tried cigarettes or e-cigarettes. We asked adolescents to recall the symptoms they experienced during their first cigarette or e-cigarette and categorized symptoms as negative (felt bad, coughing/chest pain, bad taste, upset stomach, dizzy/lightheaded) or positive (felt relaxed, rush/buzz). Adolescents reported fewer negative symptoms for first e-cigarette than first cigarette use (all \( p < 0.05 \)). Current cigarette smoking was associated with endorsing fewer negative symptoms (OR = 0.49, 95% CI = [0.25, 0.95]) and more positive symptoms (OR = 7.11, 95% CI = [1.47, 34.33]) at first cigarette use. First e-cigarette use symptoms were not associated with current e-cigarette use. Adolescents reported fewer negative symptoms from first e-cigarette than from first cigarette, and e-cigarette symptoms did not influence use as they do for cigarettes. Additional research is needed to confirm these findings in longitudinal studies.
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1. Introduction

Use of e-cigarettes among U.S. adolescents is a major public health concern [1]. Although recent work has provided a better understanding of the prevalence, correlates, and health consequences of e-cigarette use [1], little is known about the symptoms that adolescents experience during their first e-cigarette and whether differences in these symptoms are associated with continued use.

Understanding the symptoms adolescents experience during their first e-cigarette is vital given prior research indicating that the symptoms individuals experience during their first cigarette are predictive of future use [2]. Positive, pleasurable sensations (e.g., relaxation, “buzz”-related sensations) during first use of cigarettes have consistently been found to increase risk for subsequent cigarette use [2–4]. Findings for negative symptoms (e.g., coughing, bad taste, upset stomach) have been mixed,
with some studies indicating that negative symptoms discourage use [3,5,6], and others demonstrating that negative symptoms increase risk for continued use [2].

Unlike conventional cigarettes, e-cigarettes work by heating a liquid solution containing nicotine and flavoring chemicals into an aerosol that is inhaled by the user [1]. Given the differences in nicotine intake methods between e-cigarettes and conventional cigarettes, it is possible that e-cigarettes may not elicit the same symptoms that adolescents typically experience during first cigarette use. Supporting this idea, two studies have found that negative symptoms were more common during first use of conventional cigarettes compared to e-cigarettes [7,8]. Furthermore, it is unclear whether initial experiences with e-cigarettes might influence likelihood of continued use. The goal of the current pilot study was to evaluate whether adolescents’ initial reactions to e-cigarettes differed from their initial reactions to cigarettes, and whether these first-time experiences were associated with current smoking and e-cigarette use, respectively.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

We conducted telephone interviews between December 2014 and September 2016 with 116 adolescents between ages 13–17 residing in North Carolina or California with a parent or guardian who participated in a randomized trial of pictorial cigarette pack warnings [9,10]. The parents or guardians who participated in the randomized trial were recruited through advertisements posted on social media and in retail outlets, buses, and local newspapers. All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of North Carolina (IRB number 14-2011). A full description of the procedures appears in Peebles, et al. [10]. We excluded four adolescents from the current study due to indications that an adult had been present during the phone interview which may have influenced the adolescents’ responses. Our analytic sample included only adolescents who had previously tried either cigarettes or e-cigarettes at least once. Of the 112 participants, 12 had tried cigarettes only, 12 had tried e-cigarettes, and 17 had tried both, resulting in a final sample of 41 adolescents (see Table 1 for demographic characteristics). Table 2 provides a breakdown of current use among the 41 adolescents who tried cigarettes or e-cigarettes. Sixteen percent of adolescents who tried e-cigarettes only and 33% of adolescents who tried cigarettes only report smoking/vaping in the last 30 days. Among the 17 participants who tried both cigarettes and e-cigarettes, 76% reported being a current user: 3 currently smoked cigarettes, 3 currently used e-cigarettes only, and 7 continued to use both.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Symptoms during First Cigarette/E-Cigarette Use

We used open- and close-ended measures to explore the symptoms that adolescents experienced during their first time using cigarettes and e-cigarettes. Adolescents who reported that they had ever tried cigarettes or e-cigarettes were asked to describe in their own words how they felt immediately after their first time using each product. Two raters independently coded these open-ended responses as either positive, negative, or neutral. The level of inter-rater agreement was high (Cohen’s $\kappa = 0.85$ for responses regarding first cigarette use and $\kappa = 0.87$ for responses regarding first e-cigarette use). A third rater resolved any coding discrepancies between raters.

Immediately following their open-ended responses, the survey asked adolescents whether they had experienced a set of seven symptoms during their first time smoking/vaping. These items were adapted from a previously established scale developed by DiFranzia and colleagues [4]. We coded endorsed symptoms as 1 and non-endorsed symptoms as 0, and summed their responses to create composite scores for negative (felt bad, coughing/chest pain, bad taste in mouth, upset stomach,
dizzy/lightheaded; range = 0–5) and positive (rush/buzz, felt relaxed; range = 0–2) symptoms from their first cigarette and e-cigarette use, respectively.

Table 1. Participant demographics.

| Characteristic                | Tried Cigarettes/E-Cigarettes (n = 41) n (%) | Never Tried Cigarettes/E-Cigarettes (n = 71) n (%) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Age, mean (SD)               | 15 (2)                                      | 15 (2)                                            |
| Grade                        |                                             |                                                   |
| Middle school (grades 6–8)   | 5 (12)                                      | 22 (31)                                          |
| High school (grades 9–12)    | 34 (83)                                     | 49 (70)                                          |
| Graduated high school        | 2 (5)                                       | 0 (0)                                            |
| Gender                       |                                             |                                                   |
| Male                         | 25 (61)                                     | 29 (41)                                          |
| Female                       | 15 (37)                                     | 42 (59)                                          |
| Transgender                  | 1 (2)                                       | 0 (0)                                            |
| Hispanic                     | 3 (7)                                       | 11 (16)                                          |
| Race                         |                                             |                                                   |
| Asian                        | 2 (5)                                       | 3 (4)                                            |
| Black or African American    | 28 (68)                                     | 48 (68)                                          |
| White                        | 8 (20)                                      | 15 (21)                                          |
| Other/multiracial            | 3 (7)                                       | 3 (4)                                            |
| Parent education             |                                             |                                                   |
| High school degree or less   | 14 (35)                                     | 19 (27)                                          |
| Some college                 | 17 (43)                                     | 42 (59)                                          |
| College graduate             | 4 (10)                                      | 5 (7)                                            |
| Graduate degree              | 5 (13)                                      | 5 (7)                                            |
| Living in poverty (<150% of federal poverty level) | 28 (68) | 40 (56) |
| Current tobacco use (past 30 days) |                                             |                                                   |
| Dual use of cigarettes and e-cigarettes | 7 (17) | – |
| Current cigarette use only   | 7 (17)                                      | –                                                 |
| Current e-cigarette use only | 5 (12)                                      | –                                                 |
| No current use               | 22 (54)                                     | –                                                 |
| Cigarettes smoked per day, mean (SD) a | 6 (8) | – |

Notes. a Among 14 current smokers only.

Table 2. Current use among adolescents who tried e-cigarettes or cigarettes.

| Tobacco Use                        | No Current Use | Current E-Cigarette Use Only | Current Cigarette Use Only | Current Dual Use | Total |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------|
| Tried e-cigarettes only            | 10             | 0                            | 0                          | 0                | 12    |
| Tried cigarettes only              | 8              | 0                            | 4                          | 0                | 12    |
| Tried both cigarettes and e-cigarettes | 4              | 3                            | 3                          | 7                | 17    |
| Total                              | 22             | 5                            | 7                          | 7                | 41    |

2.2.2. Current Smoking and E-Cigarette Use

The survey assessed past 30-day smoking (yes = 1 vs. no = 0) and e-cigarette use (yes = 1 vs. no = 0).

2.3. Analytic Strategy

We used generalized estimating equations (GEE) to compare endorsement of each of the seven symptoms from first use of cigarettes versus e-cigarettes. This analytic strategy adjusts standard errors for correlated data from repeated measurements within individuals (some adolescents had tried
both cigarettes and e-cigarettes) [11]. We used logistic regression to examine associations between the summed number of positive or negative symptoms endorsed from first use and current cigarette and e-cigarette use. Models adjusted for adolescents’ age and parent/guardian condition assignment in the parent study. We used a critical alpha of 0.05 and SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) for analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Open-Ended Reports of Symptoms from First Use

Of the 29 adolescents who had tried conventional cigarettes, 22 (76%) reported experiencing negative symptoms only, 2 (7%) reported feeling neutral only, and 4 (14%) reported experiencing both positive and negative symptoms. No participants reported positive symptoms only. The negative symptoms that adolescents reported included feeling dizzy, sick, bad taste in their mouth, difficulty breathing, and headache (Table 3). In contrast, of the 29 adolescents who had tried e-cigarettes, 9 (31%) reported experiencing negative symptoms only, 12 (41%) reported feeling neutral only, 6 (21%) reported experiencing positive symptoms only, and 2 (7%) reported experiencing both positive and negative symptoms. Twenty-five of the 29 adolescents (86%) reported that they felt “normal”, “no change”, or “the same” after their first e-cigarette. Three (18%) of the 17 adolescents who had tried both products made unprompted comparisons of the symptoms they experienced during their first use of e-cigarettes and conventional cigarettes. These participants stated, “I got my nicotine rush, but without the bad taste and bad smell. Nicotine rush, nothing else different”, and that e-cigarettes have “all of the good effects of a cigarette, but doesn’t upset my stomach and doesn’t make my mouth taste weird”. In addition, one participant stated that the flavoring chemicals mask the negative symptoms: “there weren’t any side effects because it was a vape pen and it had a taste. I just tasted the flavor”.

| Table 3. Example open-ended responses about first experiences with cigarettes and e-cigarettes. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Tobacco Product** | **Negative** | **Neutral** | **Positive** |
| Cigarettes | “Horrible. It was gross and I had a weird taste in my mouth. It made me feel sick, like I never wanted to do it again.” | “Kinda like “eh”. “ | “Uplifted” |
| | “Disgusting, my head was hurting, light-headed. It really wasn’t a good feeling.” | “I don’t know, I kinda felt the same.” | “Somewhat relieved. Like relieved of stress.” |
| | “I don’t like it cause it made me feel weird, it made it hard to breathe . . . I didn’t like it.” | “Fine” | “Cool” |
| | “Dizzy. I wasn’t happy about it, I didn’t see what the hype was. Yuck.” | | |
| | “My palms were sweaty and I felt shaky and dizzy.” | | |
| E-cigarettes | “Um, I didn’t like it, so I never did it again.” | “I didn’t feel any different. I didn’t really feel anything.” | “Good. Like, nothing different, but just felt better. Confident.” |
| | “Got a little bit of a head rush. Hurt my throat.” | “There weren’t any side effects because it was a vape pen and it had a taste. I just tasted the flavor. I felt kind of normal.” | “It has all of the good effects of a cigarette but doesn’t upset my stomach and doesn’t make my mouth taste weird.” |
| | “Kinda grossed out. Wasn’t my thing.” | “I felt all right, I guess. The same.” | “I got my nicotine rush, but without the bad taste and bad smell. Nicotine rush, nothing else different.” |
| | | “It didn’t really make me feel any type of way. I felt normal.” | “Made me feel a little calm because of the flavor.” |
3.2. Quantitative Comparison of Symptom Endorsement from First Use

Adolescents were more likely to endorse each of the five negative symptoms (felt bad; coughing, chest pain, and irritated eyes; bad taste; upset stomach; dizzy or lightheaded) when they first tried conventional cigarettes compared to e-cigarettes (Figure 1, all $p < 0.05$). For example, 59% of adolescents endorsed coughing, chest pain, or irritated eyes after their first cigarette compared to 17% of adolescents for e-cigarettes, and 41% of adolescents endorsed having an upset stomach after their first cigarette, compared to only 7% of adolescents for e-cigarettes. Adolescents were also more likely to endorse getting a rush or buzzed feeling from cigarettes than from using e-cigarettes (62% vs. 21%; $p < 0.05$).

![Figure 1. Symptoms during first use of cigarette vs. e-cigarette (n = 41).](image)

3.3. Association between Symptoms and Current Use

Table 4 presents associations between initial symptoms and current cigarette and e-cigarette use in the past 30 days. Endorsement of more negative symptoms during first cigarette use was associated with lower odds of current smoking among the 29 adolescents who had tried cigarettes (OR = 0.49, 95% CI = [0.25, 0.95]). Endorsement of more positive symptoms was associated with greater odds of current smoking (OR = 7.11, 95% CI = [1.49, 34.33]). Among the 29 adolescents who had tried e-cigarettes, neither positive nor negative symptoms during first e-cigarette use were associated with current e-cigarette use (negative symptoms OR=0.93, 95% CI = [0.50, 1.72]; positive symptoms OR = 1.73, 95% CI = [0.50, 5.92]).

| Predictor                  | Cigarette Use (n = 29) | E-cigarette Use (n = 29) |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | OR  | 95% CI   | OR     | 95% CI   |
| Number of negative symptoms| 0.49| 0.25–0.95| 0.93   | 0.50–1.72|
| Number of positive symptoms| 7.11| 1.49–34.33| 1.73   | 0.50–5.92|

Note. Analyses control for adolescent age and parents’ assigned trial condition.

4. Discussion

E-cigarettes appear to be less off-putting than conventional cigarettes, which may contribute to their growing popularity among adolescents. Adolescents in two U.S. cities reported experiencing fewer negative symptoms from e-cigarettes than conventional cigarettes in our small pilot study, findings that are consistent with previous studies of a national sample of adults [7] and of adolescents in Texas [8]. A novelty of our study is the use of open-ended questions, in which adolescents made unprompted comments about experiencing fewer negative symptoms from e-cigarettes.
A natural question is what association these recalled symptoms may have with current tobacco use. Adolescents who recalled more positive symptoms during their first time using cigarettes were more likely to be current smokers, and adolescents who endorsed more negative symptoms were less likely to be current smokers. Other studies have reported similar findings among adults and adolescents [2–4], and our findings support health behavior models which suggest that a positive first experience with cigarettes would predict continued use while a negative first experience would discourage use [2,5].

In contrast, symptoms experienced at first e-cigarette use were not associated with current e-cigarette use. In the only other study to examine the influence of adolescents’ first experiences with e-cigarettes on current use, Mantey et al. also found that positive and negative initial experiences at first use had no effect on past 30-day e-cigarette use [8]. Together, these findings suggest that the mechanisms leading to regular use of e-cigarettes and cigarettes among adolescents may have important differences. It is possible that initial symptoms to e-cigarettes do not leave a strong initial impression on adolescents, and that other factors not measured in the current study (e.g., novelty, accessibility, social norms) are more salient predictors of current e-cigarette use. Given our small cross-sectional study design, we encourage caution when interpreting our results. Future studies should consider replicating these results with larger, probability-based samples and with longitudinal data to establish the temporality of associations. Given that e-cigarette devices vary widely in quality and design [1], future studies should also consider how choice of e-cigarette device used might influence symptoms experienced and likelihood of later use. We also did not collect data on whether adolescents who had tried both products had tried cigarettes or e-cigarettes first; future studies should consider the temporal order of adolescents’ first experiences with cigarettes and e-cigarettes. Finally, because the open-ended questions were embedded within a longer quantitative survey, we were unable to probe further on why adolescents stopped or continued smoking after their initial experience. Additional qualitative work is needed to examine why positive and negative initial symptoms are linked to current use for cigarettes but not for e-cigarettes.

Despite having several limitations, our pilot study provides a novel contribution to the literature. We build on previous work by demonstrating the generalizability of the relationships between initial symptoms and current use to a high risk sample of adolescents (e.g., children of smokers and majority low-income sample). In addition, the use of both open- and close-ended data allowed us to develop a better understanding of the symptoms that adolescents experience during first use of e-cigarettes compared to cigarettes. Future quantitative work is needed examining the temporal sequencing of first experiences with e-cigarettes and cigarettes, the degree to which adolescents experience particular positive or negative symptoms, and whether experiencing specific clusters of symptoms may confer greater risk for future use.

5. Conclusions

In sum, our findings underscore the potential appeal of e-cigarettes during adolescents’ first use compared to traditional cigarettes, and the value of further exploration in this emerging area. Adolescence is a critical developmental period when experimentation with tobacco products typically begins [1]. Thus, gaining a better understanding of how their initial experiences with cigarettes and e-cigarettes might shape later use and developing public health interventions that target these effects are important future steps for preventing tobacco use among this key population.
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