Abstract CD20 has proven to be an excellent target for the treatment of B-cell lymphoma, first for the chimeric monoclonal antibody rituximab (Rituxan™), and more recently for the radiolabelled antibodies Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan (Zevalin™) and I-131 tositumomab (Bexxar™). Radiation therapy effects are due to beta emissions with path lengths of 1–5 mm; gamma radiation emitted by I-131 is the only radiation safety issue for either product. Dose-limiting toxicity for both radiolabelled antibodies is reversible bone marrow suppression. They produce response rates of 70%–90% in low-grade and follicular lymphoma and 40%–50% in transformed low-grade or intermediate-grade lymphomas. Both products produce higher response rates than related unlabelled antibodies, and both are highly active in patients who are relatively resistant to rituximab-based therapy. Median duration of response to a single course of treatment is about 1 year with complete remission rates that last 2 years or longer in about 25% of patients. Clinical trials suggest that anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy is superior to total body irradiation in patients undergoing stem cell supported therapy for B-cell lymphoma, and that it is a safe and efficacious modality when used as consolidation therapy following chemotherapy. Among cytotoxic treatment options, current evidence suggests that one course of anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy is as efficacious as six to eight cycles of combination chemotherapy. A major question that persists is how effective these agents are in the setting of rituximab-refractory lymphoma. These products have been underutilised because of the complexity of treatment coordination and concerns regarding reimbursement.
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CD20 has proven to be an excellent target for the treatment of B-cell lymphoma [1]. More than 90% of B-cell lymphoma cells express CD20, but it is not expressed on cells in stem cell and progenitor pools. Rituximab (Rituxan™, Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, CA, and Biogen-IDEC Pharmaceutical Corp, San Diego, CA), a mouse-human chimeric monoclonal antibody that reacts with CD20, has proven to be highly effective and relatively non-toxic in the treatment of B-cell malignancies [2, 3]. As a single agent in indolent B-cell lymphoma, rituximab produces response rates ranging from 50% to 80%, with median response durations of a year or more [4–8]. In combination with chemotherapy it has produced response rates of more than 90% [9–11]. Randomised trials have confirmed the superiority of giving rituximab concurrently with chemotherapy in the treatment of large B-cell lym-
gamma radiation from I-131 does travel through tissues for several feet. In the past, nuclear medicine specialists had become facile in the use of I-131 for the treatment of hyperthyroidism and thyroid cancer, but such treatments historically had been carried out on inpatient units with isolation precautions. In 1998, changes in regulatory standards by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission made outpatient treatment with I-131 and I-131-labelled antibodies feasible [22, 23]. However, there are still certain radiation safety and relative isolation procedures that are in place during the administration of the I-131-labelled product, including relative isolation from family members for two to four days, for patients receiving this isotope [24].

The rationale for radioimmunotherapy using monoclonal antibodies conjugated to radioisotopes is several-fold. First, lymphoma cells are typically radiosensitive; so, focal external beam, total nodal irradiation and TBI have been used in various treatment strategies for decades. However, focal external beam radiation requires anatomic localisation of a target via scans, and TBI dosing is limited by toxicity to normal tissue. Radioimmunotherapy is a systemic therapy that does not require visualisation of the tumour, and is specific in its targeting of tumour cells; so higher radiation doses can be delivered to tumour cells than is possible in TBI approaches with external beam equipment. Because of radiation path lengths of a few millimetres, radiolabelled antibodies do not have to bind to every single tumour cell for a therapeutic effect. This has been called “the cross-fire effect”. In contrast, unlabelled antibodies that target tumour surface antigens have no basis for a therapeutic effect in the absence of binding to the tumour cell. Finally, in radioimmunotherapy the radiolabelled antibodies provide an added dimension, cytotoxic irradiation, to immunologic and regulatory effects associated with antibody binding to antigen/receptor surface molecules.

The schedule for administration of these products is similar, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Both treatment schemes

| Table 1 | Characteristics of anti-CD20 radiolabelled monoclonal antibodies for radioimmunotherapy of CD20-positive B-cell lymphomas |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Product | Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan | I-131 tositumomab |
| Radiolabelled MoAb | Mouse anti-CD20 | Mouse anti-CD20 |
| Isotope conjugation | Indirect: Y-90 chelated to tiuxetan which is covalently linked to antibody via arginine & lysine amino acids | Direct: I-131 iodination of tyrosine amino acids in the antibody |
| Therapeutic isotope | Yttrium-90 | Iodine-131 |
| Half-life | 2.6 days | 8 days |
| Emission path length and energy | 5 mmβ, 2.29 mEv | 1 mm β, 0.616 mEv and γ, 0.36 mEv |
| Unlabelled MoAb given prior to radiolabelled MoAb | Chimeric rituximab 250 mg/m² | Mouse tositumomab 450 mg |
| Tracer imaging dose | 5 mCi In-111 ibritumomab tiuxetan day 1 | 5 mCi I-131 tositumomab day 1 |
| Purpose of tracer/imaging dose | Imaging of biodistribution as additional safety measure | Determine retention and urinary clearance to calculate therapeutic dose |
include administration of about 500 mg of unlabelled antibody to clear CD20-expressing B cells from the circulation and to bind B lymphocytes that reside in the spleen. This results in a better biodistribution of the relatively small amount (about 35 mg) of radiolabelled antibody, and therefore a better opportunity to bind to CD20 on tumour cells. At the present time both schedules include an imaging dose prior to the treatment dose. In the case of the yttrium product, this has been required by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to ensure a relatively normal biodistribution that includes elimination from the blood pool, uptake in liver and spleen, but little or no uptake in the kidneys. For this purpose, indium-111 rather than yttrium-90 is conjugated to the antibody, via the same chelating linker, because the latter does not have emissions that are useful for imaging. At the time of approval the FDA required two to three scans for the Zevalin treatment [25], but recently this has been reduced to one, and in Europe the product has been approved without the requirement for such scans as they do not predict efficacy or toxicity at the standard dose of 4 mCi/kg up to a maximum dose of 32 mCi [26–28]. An analysis based on the pretreatment weight of patients found no difference in response rates for those with weights above 80 kg compared to those with weights below 80 mg [29]. The tiuxetan chelator is tightly bound to the antibody, and retains the yttrium; therefore, clearance of unbound yttrium is not a significant issue in dosimetric variation. In contrast, in the case of the iodinated product, the scanning is an essential part of determining the retention and clearance of the isotope in order to calculate an optimal therapeutic dose, inasmuch as 50%–90% of the iodine is cleared in the urine during the first 48 h after administration, and varies tremendously from patient to patient. This necessitates determination of residence time and clearance of the isotope in each individual patient to avoid underdosing or overdosing the therapeutic dose for an individual patient. Because of the potential for uptake of radioactive iodine in the thyroid, free iodine is administered before, during and after therapy for a total of 4 weeks.

Table 2 summarises the phase I trials that were conducted with these products. These studies defined maximum tolerated doses as 75 cGy of TBI for the I-131 product [30] and 4 mCi/kg for the Y-90 product [31]. The only significant toxicities observed were mild infusion-associated reactions related to the binding of the unlabelled antibodies to circulating tumour cells [32], and reversible cytopenias due to irradiation of haematopoietic precursor cells. The nadir of the cytopenias typically occurred in the second month after treatment, and usually returned to normal levels during the third month. The tumour response rates of around 70% were much higher than typically reported for phase I trials. About 75% of the patients had indolent or low-grade lymphomas, in which the response rate was about 80%, and the other 25% had more aggressive histologies in which the response rate was closer to 40%.

Table 3 summarises the activity of the anti-CD20 radiolabelled antibodies in patients with low-grade lymphoma that had relapsed after prior therapy, typically chemotherapy rather than rituximab, or combinations of chemotherapy

| Number of patients | 59 | 57 |
|-------------------|----|----|
| Median age of patients, years | 50 | 60 |
| Patient population: percent low-grade or transformed | 71 | 67 |
| Dose range | 25–75 cGy TBI | 0.2–0.4 mCi/kg |
| Maximum tolerated dose | 75 cGy TBI | 0.4 mCi/kg |
| Dose limiting toxicity | Haematologic pancytopenia | Haematologic pancytopenia |
| Response rate, % | 71 | 67 |
| Median duration response, months | 9 | 12 |
| Complete response rate, % | 34 | 25 |
and rituximab. Response rates were about 80% in patients with follicular lymphoma [33–35], 70% in patients considered “resistant” to chemotherapy [35, 36] and 40% in transformed lymphomas [37, 38]. For purposes of these trials, chemotherapy resistance was defined as either no response to the regimen, or a response that lasted less than 6 months. Expanded access trials conducted with these products have yielded similar results in larger populations of patients [39].

Table 4 summarises randomised trials that compared the radiolabelled anti-CD20 antibodies to unlabelled tositumomab or rituximab in patients with low-grade lymphomas, mostly follicular, who had not previously been treated with a monoclonal antibody. The response rates and complete response rates were much higher for the radiolabelled antibodies [35, 40]. The response rates observed for rituximab in the Zevalin vs. rituximab trial were similar to those reported in the pivotal trial of rituximab as a single agent in patients with recurrent low-grade lymphomas [4]. Based on trials of planned “maintenance” rituximab in low-grade lymphoma [7, 8], it is likely that the duration of response in the radioimmunotherapy arm would have been much longer if an equivalent amount of rituximab had been given in that arm as in the control arm. In the I-131 tositumomab trial, the response rate was 68% among 19 patients who subsequently received the radiolabelled antibody after initial randomisation and therapy with unlabelled tositumomab; only three of these patients had responded to tositumomab [40].

Table 5 summarises trials with the anti-CD20 antibodies that were conducted in patients with CD20-positive follicular lymphoma who had tumours that were considered “refractory” to rituximab [41, 42]. For purposes of these trials, “refractory” was defined as lack of an objective tumour regression in response to rituximab, or disease progression despite rituximab therapy (true refractoriness), or an objective response that lasted less than 6 months. In the I-131 tositumomab trial [42], five patients were enrolled who had experienced a response of greater

### Table 3 Clinical activity of radiolabelled anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies in relapsed low-grade lymphomas [33–38]

|                | I-131 tositumomab | Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| **Follicular** |                   |                           |
| Number of patients | 185              | 73                        |
| Response rate, %    | 81                | 80                        |
| Median duration response, months | 11               | 14                        |
| Complete response rate, % | 38               | 30                        |
| **Transformed**     |                   |                           |
| Number of patients | 71                | 15                        |
| Response rate, %    | 39                | 53                        |
| Complete response rate, % | 25               | –                         |
| **Chemo-resistant** |                   |                           |
| Number of patients | 60                | 33                        |
| Response rate, %    | 65                | 73                        |
| Median duration response, months | 6.5              | –                         |
| Complete response rate, % | 20               | –                         |

### Table 4 Randomised trials of radiolabelled anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies compared to unlabelled antibody in patients with recurrent low-grade lymphoma including small lymphocytic, follicular and transformed low-grade lymphoma, who had not received monoclonal antibody treatment in the past [35, 40]

|                        | I-131 tositumomab | Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Number of patients     | 78                | 143                       |
| Median age of patients, years | 56               | 58                        |
| Median number prior therapies | 2               | 2                         |
| Percent chemo-resistant | 23               | 48                        |
| Control therapy        | 450 mg tositumomab×2 | 250 mg/m² rituximab×2     |
| Dose limiting toxicity | Haematologic pancytopenia | Haematologic pancytopenia |
| Response rate          | 55% vs. 19% \(P=0.002\) | 80% vs. 56% \(P=0.002\)   |
| Median duration response | NR vs. 28 months nsd | 15.4 vs. 13.8 months nsd   |
| Complete response rate | 33% vs. 8% \(P=0.012\) | 30% vs. 16% \(P=0.04\)    |

NR, not reached; nsd, not statistically significant
than 6 months, but the analysis was performed on all 40 patients as a group of patients who had progressed after rituximab, 24 of whom actually progressed during rituximab therapy, 11 who had a response to rituximab that lasted less than 6 months, and the five who had responded to rituximab for more than 6 months. Because of the differences in patient populations one must be careful in trying to draw conclusions regarding the comparable activity of the two products in this setting. For instance, higher proportions of patients in the Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan trial had rituximab-refractory disease, and/or bulky disease greater than 7 cm in diameter [41]. Response rates were high in both trials, but the complete response rates were lower and duration of response shorter in the Zevalin patients than in other trials of this product, while the complete response rate and duration of response with Bexxar were similar to what had been seen in other trials. This raises the issue of whether rituximab resistance or refractoriness might be associated with changes in the CD20 structure that results in decreased affinity or avidity for the radiolabelled ibritumomab, which binds exactly like rituximab. Tositumomab binds to CD20 via different epitopes; so it is possible that in the setting of rituximab resistance, it might have more favourable binding characteristics than ibritumomab which could be associated with better retention of the isotope at the tumour site.

Recent treatment with rituximab prior to radioimmuno therapy with anti-CD20 radiolabelled antibodies may alter the prevalence of available CD20 binding sites. Under these circumstances, the 400–500 mg of unlabelled antibody tositumomab or rituximab may be more than is needed for optimal pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of the radiolabelled antibodies, and may occupy most or all of the CD20 sites so that there is limited opportunity for the radiolabelled antibody to bind. In such situations most of the radioimmuno therapy effect might result from non-specific TBI rather than tumour-specific binding. In the “rituximab-refractory” trial with Zevalin, patients who had detectable levels of rituximab in their serum had a response rate of 66%, while those who did not have measurable residual rituximab had a response rate of 93% \( (P=0.078) \) [41]. The presence of CD20-positive cells may be a better indicator of the appropriateness of standard dosing with Zevalin. In the “rituximab-refractory” trial, patients who had detectable CD20-positive cells in the circulation had a response rate of 88% compared to only 47% for patients who did not have CD20-positive B cells present \( (P=0.003) \) [41]. This issue was not addressed in the I-131 tositumomab trial of patients who had progressed after previous rituximab therapy [42].

For all patients that were enrolled in the various registration trials that led to approval of these products (250 for Bexxar, 211 for Zevalin), about 33% had a durable response of greater than a year, and about 25% had complete responses that lasted more than a year [43–45]. The median time to progression for all responders was 2.4 years in the Zevalin trials and 3.8 years in the Bexxar trials. The median time to progression for the durable complete responders was 2.6 years in the Zevalin, and not reached at a median follow-up of 5.3 years in the Bexxar trials. Once again it is possible that the apparent difference in duration of response is due to prognostic differences in the patient populations, or it is possible that the durability of response in the Zevalin trials is being reduced because of key differences in the CD20 molecule in the subset of patients who were enrolled in the “rituximab-refractory” trials.

Similar to what has been observed with cytotoxic chemotherapy and/or rituximab, the activity of the radiolabelled anti-CD20 antibodies is not as high in patients who have bulkier disease and/or larger tumour burdens,

| Table 5 Phase II trials of radiolabelled anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies in patients with follicular lymphoma that was considered “refractory” to rituximab (no objective response or response of less than 6 months duration following rituximab) [41] or had progressed after rituximab therapy [42] |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| I-131 tositumomab             | Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan |
| Number of patients            | 40              | 54              |
| Median age of patients, years | 57              | 54              |
| Median number prior therapies | 4               | 4               |
| ≥High-intermediate IPI score, % | 21              | 19              |
| Rituximab “refractory”, %     | 88              | 100             |
| Percent with a tumour ≥5 cm, % | 50              | 74              |
| Percent with a tumour ≥7 cm, % | 32              | 44              |
| Bone marrow involved, %       | 32              | 32              |
| Response rate, %              | 65              | 74              |
| Median progression-free survival, months | 10.4        | 6.8             |
| Complete response rate, %     | 38              | 16              |

IPI, international prognostic index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase
although the extent of previous therapy may be an even more important variable. Among 54 patients treated with Zevalin, the response rate was 100% among 14 patients whose largest tumour was less than 5 cm in diameter, and 65% among 40 patients whose largest tumour was greater than 5 cm in diameter [46]. Similarly, among 117 patients treated with Bexxar, the response rate was 71% (41% complete response rate) among 63 patients whose largest tumour was less than 5 cm in diameter, and 65% (21% complete response rate) among 54 patients whose largest tumour was greater than 5 cm in diameter [47].

Response rates tend to be higher and more durable if patients have received little or no prior therapy for lymphoma. In 76 patients with previously untreated follicular lymphoma, the response rate to I-131 tositumomab was 95% with 75% complete response rate and 60% with documented molecular complete remissions [48]. At a median follow-up of greater than five years, the 5-year progression-free survival rate was 59%, and the median progression-free survival was projected to be 6.1 years. Seventy percent of the 57 complete responders were still in remission four to eight years following therapy. Patients in this trial had a median age of only 49 years, which is more than a decade younger than the universal population of follicular lymphoma patients, and because of unintentional selection bias related to patients travelling to a single institution for treatment, these patients actually had a lower tumour burden and more slowly growing tumour than the typical population of follicular lymphoma patients. Nevertheless, the high response rate and durability of response following a treatment that is delivered during a two-week period is very impressive and as good as or better than one would expect from treatment of such patients with chemotherapy administered over five to six months. A report describing a limited experience with Zevalin appears to confirm the high level of activity in patients with untreated disease based on a 100% response rate among eight patients with five attaining a complete response [49].

In an analysis of 236 lymphoma patients who received Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan, the overall response rate and complete response rates were higher among 63 patients who had received only one chemotherapy regimen prior to the radioimmunotherapy compared to 173 patients who had received two or more regimens. The response rates for the respective populations were 86% vs. 72% (P=0.051) and complete response rates were 49% vs. 28% (P=0.004) [50]. Table 6 shows that response rates, complete response rates, durability of responses and progression-free survival following treatment with I-131 tositumomab are also higher in patients who have had less prior therapy [51]. The 1177 patients in this analysis also included some patients who received I-131 tositumomab shortly following completion of six to eight months of standard chemotherapy as part of a planned sequential treatment for previously untreated patients.

Because of binding to CD20-positive cells in the bone marrow and the non-specific radiation effects of circulating radiolabelled antibodies, there was concern that some patients who subsequently relapsed following anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy might have insufficient bone marrow reserve to allow safe delivery of additional cytotoxic therapy. Published reports suggest that subsequent cytotoxic treatment is feasible at full doses, and associated with benefit also for patients who previously received anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy [52–56]. Retreatment with I-131 tositumomab at a dose of 75 cGy TBI in 32 patients who had previously responded but subsequently relapsed was associated with a 56% response rate, 22% complete response rate, median duration of response of 11 months, and toxicity that was similar to that seen during an initial therapy [52]. Among 58 patients treated at Mayo Clinic, who had relapsed after Zevalin therapy, subsequent chemotherapy was associated with no more marrow toxicity than seen in contemporary controls who had received similar chemotherapy, but had not received radiolabelled antibodies previously [53]. At the time of the report, eight patients had gone on to receive high-dose chemotherapy with stem cell rescue after successful autologous stem cell harvest. A larger analysis of 153 patients who received treatment following relapse after Zevalin therapy noted response rates of 80% for focal radiation therapy, 53% for chemotherapy and 58% for rituximab [54, 55]. Among 38 patients treated at Cornell who had relapsed after Bexxar therapy, subsequent chemotherapy was also safely given, and several patients attained a tumour response, including nine who underwent allogeneic or autologous stem cell transplant [56].

Table 6 Correlation between efficacy and timing of I-131 tositumomab anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy in relation to other treatments for low-grade and transformed CD20 positive B-cell lymphoma

|                        | 1st therapy | 2nd therapy | 3rd therapy | 4th therapy |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Number of patients     | 141         | 226         | 228         | 540         |
| Response rate, %       | 95          | 73          | 58          | 46          |
| Median response duration, months | Not reached | 35          | 16          | 12          |
| Complete response rate, % | 78         | 46          | 32          | 23          |
| PFS >1 year, %         | 82          | 59          | 42          | 27          |

Modified from Gregory et al. [51]; PFS, progression free survival
Several studies in patients with newly diagnosed follicular lymphoma have demonstrated the feasibility of administering standard doses of anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy immediately following six to eight cycles of standard chemotherapy. These trials have included CHOP chemotherapy followed by Bexxar (n=102) [57], CVP chemotherapy followed by Bexxar (n=30) [58], fludarabine followed by Bexxar (n=35) [59] and rituximab+CHOP or rituximab+CVP followed by Zevalin (n=28) [60]. In all of these studies, the addition of radioimmunotherapy was not associated with more toxicity than has been seen in other settings; and there was some increase in the response rate. Only one of these trials involved giving radioimmunotherapy following a combination of chemotherapy and rituximab, which is the most popular treatment regimen for low-grade lymphoma [60]. There is an ongoing trial that compares CHOP+rituximab to CHOP followed by I-131 tositumomab.

Another issue is whether anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy can be safely administered to patients who relapse after previous high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell rescue. Such patients were ineligible for the key registration trials for these products. A limited experience in eight patients who subsequently received Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan following relapse after an autologous transplant suggested that it is feasible to treat such patients with standard dose anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy [61]. On the other hand, in a phase I dose escalation trial in 15 patients who had previously undergone high-dose chemotherapy with stem cell rescue, it appeared that 2 mCi/kg was the maximum tolerated dose for the product in the post-autologous transplant setting [62].

Radioimmunotherapy has been safely integrated into the treatment of stem cell transplant patients as a replacement for TBI, and has been explored in higher doses as a single agent. Based on estimates of dosing to critical target organs, early trials of high-dose anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy utilised 280–785 mCi of I-131-labelled anti-CD20 antibody B1 [63–65]. There was a 79% complete response rate among the first 29 relapsed lymphoma patients so treated, and a 42% progression-free survival rate at a median follow-up of 42 months [65]. Analysis has suggested a maximum tolerated dose of 25 Gy to the key target organs for I-131 tositumomab when it is combined with etoposide (60 mg/kg) and cyclophosphamide (100 mg/kg) (EC) high-dose chemotherapy in patients with relapsed lymphoma [66]. At two years of follow-up, compared to a cohort of contemporary control patients, outcomes were better for the patients who received anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy with their high-dose EC chemotherapy rather than traditional external beam TBI: 68% vs. 53% progression-free survival, and 83% vs. 52% overall survival [67]. Among follicular lymphoma patients, 27 who received radioimmunotherapy TBI with their chemotherapy had better progression-free survival rates at 5 years, 48% vs. 29% (P=0.006), and better overall 5-year survival rates, 67% vs. 53% (P=0.002) compared to 98 relapsed follicular lymphoma patients who received the same chemotherapy with or without traditional external beam TBI [68]. The Y-90 anti-CD20 radiolabelled antibody is also being explored as a high-dose therapy, and/or in conjunction with high-dose chemotherapy with stem cell rescue, with a good safety profile and encouraging efficacy [69–71].

Outcomes for lymphoma patients treated with radiolabelled anti-CD20 antibodies probably differ depending on histology. Observations of patients with intermediate-grade lymphoma, who were enrolled in phase I trials, suggested that response rates were about half those seen in patients with low-grade lymphoma [30, 31]. A recent report describing 104 patients with relapsed large B-cell lymphoma, who were not considered to be candidates for a stem cell transplant approach, seemed to confirm this with an objective response rate of 44% after treatment with Zevalin [72]. However, the response rate was actually greater than 50% among 76 patients who had received only chemotherapy, compared to a response rate of only 19% among patients who previously had been treated with chemotherapy plus rituximab. Responses have also been observed in mantle cell lymphoma with 10/12 patients responding to radiolabelled anti-CD20 as initial treatment [73] and 5/15 in patients who had relapsed or were refractory to prior therapy [74].

Patient selection for anti-CD20 radioimmunotherapy is important to minimise patient risk [20]. Patients should have adequate cell counts and marrow reserve, with limited replacement of bone marrow with malignant CD20-positive cells. Except for recent trials exploring lower radiation doses from radiolabelled anti-CD20 antibodies, all studies have been restricted to patients with less than 25% of the marrow involved with lymphoma. As summarised in Fig. 2, the rates of grade IV cytopenia associated with Zevalin therapy became progressively worse as marrow involvement increased from 0%–5% to 6%–20%, to 20%–25% [75].

Fig. 2 Relationship between extent of bone marrow involvement with lymphoma and grade III and IV haematotoxicity associated with Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan treatment of low-grade and transformed CD20-positive B-cell lymphoma. Modified from Witzig et al. [75]
Because of the marrow toxicity, there is also interest regarding the activity of lower doses of radiolabelled anti-CD20 antibodies. In patients who were thrombocytopenic with platelet counts between 100 000 and 149 000/ml, a 3 mCi/kg dose of Y-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan resulted in an 83% response rate in patients with relapsed follicular lymphoma [76], which is the same response rate that was seen at 4 mCi/kg [35]. The durability of response at this lower dose was also comparable to that seen in trials at the maximum tolerated dose [77].

The toxicities associated with radiolabelled anti-CD20 may be characterised as: (1) acute, the infusion-related reaction associated with the binding of antibody to circulating CD20-positive cells and the removal of those cells; (2) sub-acute, the pancytopenia associated with the binding of anti-CD20 antibodies to cells in the bone marrow with the damage to nearby progenitor cells and the effects of non-specific radiation as the radiolabelled antibodies pass through the bone marrow vasculature; and (3) chronic, the risk of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and/or acute myelogenous leukaemia (AML) because of sublethal radiation damage to bone marrow stem cells. The infusion reaction problem is self-limited and is now familiar to medical oncologists and haematologists who are used to seeing such reactions in association with rituximab [32]. The bone marrow suppression is typically maximal in the second month after treatment and usually resolves in the third month [75]. It has been hypothesised that the 5-mm path length for the beta emission for Y-90 may be more marrow suppressive than the 1-mm path length for the I-131 beta emission, but the rates of significant cytopenias have been similar in the trials of the two products. In patients who have previously received cytotoxic therapy, and then received radiolabelled antibodies, the rates of MDS/AML are no higher than has been seen in patients with treated lymphoma who have not received radioimmunotherapy, but the median follow-up has been relatively short [78, 79]. After a median follow-up of more than five years, no episodes of MDS/AML had been observed among the 76 follicular lymphoma patients who received Bexxar as initial therapy [48]. There is also a theoretical concern for long-term risk of other malignancies because of low-dose radiation. A higher rate of stomach cancer has been documented in patients who received thyroid ablative doses of I-131 for hyperthyroidism, which could relate to the physiologic iodine pump in gastric tissues [80]. Hypothyroidism has been documented in about 20% of patients who have received Bexxar, and is readily managed with thyroid replacement. Human antimouse antibodies (HAMA) have been detected in about 1%–2% of patients receiving ibritumomab with rituximab, as compared to a rate of about 10% among patients receiving tositumomab. The latter group is exposed to about ten times more mouse protein during the respective therapies. However, the proportion of patients experiencing HAMA was more than 60% in the trial of Bexxar in previously untreated follicular lymphoma patients [48], presumably because they had not had previous treatment with immuno-suppressive cytotoxic therapies.

Conclusions

Anti-CD20 radiolabelled antibodies are highly effective products for the treatment of B-cell lymphoma. In previously untreated low-grade lymphoma, response rates are as high as for chemotherapy, but are achieved after only two weeks of therapy as opposed to five to six months for cytotoxic chemotherapy using standard dose regimens. Unfortunately, there is little data regarding the benefit of these products in patients who have failed combination therapy with chemotherapy and rituximab, and there is very little data in patients who are truly refractory to rituximab as opposed to being relatively resistant. Patient selection is important to minimise toxicity and optimise efficacy. The modality has been underutilised, probably because of the coordination required between medical oncologists/haematologists and nuclear medicine specialists or radiation oncologists, and the excellent results that have been achieved with chemotherapy plus rituximab in recent years. A randomised trial comparing the anti-CD20 radiolabelled antibodies has been proposed, but it is unclear whether it can ever be completed. An important trial will be a comparison in previously untreated follicular lymphoma patients, of radioimmunotherapy plus rituximab vs. chemotherapy plus rituximab, in terms of efficacy and quality of life.
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