Impact of priming the infusion system on the performance of target-controlled infusion of remifentanil
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Background: The start-up behavior of syringe and syringe pump is known to be one of the causes of inaccurate intravenous infusion. This study evaluated the method of priming the infusion system (PRIMING), and its impact on the target-controlled infusion (TCI) of two remifentanil diluents.

Methods: PRIMING was performed using an evacuation of 2.0 ml to the atmosphere prior to TCI. Forty-eight TCI, using 50 μg/ml (Remi₅₀) or 20 μg/ml (Remi₂₀) of diluents, were performed targeting 4.0 ng/ml of effect-site concentration (Cₘₑₚ), with PRIMING or not. The gravimetrical measurements of the delivered infusates reproduced actual Cₘₑₚ. The bolus amount and time to reach 95% target were compared.

Results: Without PRIMING, Remi₅₀ infused less bolus (43 ± 23 %) than Remi₂₀ (19 ± 9 %) (P = 0.003), and showed more delayed increase of Cₘₑₚ (11.2 ± 4.0 min) than Remi₂₀ (7.4 ± 0.4 min) (P = 0.028). However, PRIMING significantly decreased the deficit of the bolus (2 ± 1%), as well as the delay of the increase of Cₘₑₚ in Remi₅₀ (1.2 ± 0.2 min) (both P < 0.001). In addition, with PRIMING, the start-up bolus showed minimal difference to the nominal bolus (1 and 2%), and Cₘₑₚ were increased to 4.0 ± 0.1 ng/ml at the expected time of peak effect, irrespective of the diluents.

Conclusions: Proper operation of the syringe pump used in the priming of the syringe may be helpful in reduction of the inaccuracy of TCI, particularly during the early phase of infusion, or the infusion of a more concentrated diluent.

(Korean J Anesthesiol 2013; 64: 407-413)
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Introduction

Target-controlled infusion (TCI) using pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) models of intravenous anesthetics have been used in clinical settings, with an acceptable range of performance errors [1-3]. Error sources are believed to be related to the software and hardware of the infusion machine, as well as PK/PD variability. As such, the proper choice of machine and PK/PD model is essential for the accurate administration of a drug. Particular attention must be paid to the infusion device and the assembly to reduce the inaccuracy of TCI. One of the causes of inaccuracy in the infusion behavior using a syringe pump is the start-up delay, and the underlying mechanisms are reported to include: free-play at the syringe flange cradle [4,5]; use of an antisiphon valve [6,7]; and differences in the syringe plunger designs [8]. In addition, the method of priming the infusion system has been known to be effective on a rapid start-up and achievement of pre-set flow rate [5]. However, most results have indicated on the time delay of start-up infusion, and the infusion rates were very slow (1.0 ml/h). In addition, reports on the adequate priming volume of a specific pump/syringe combination are rare.

This study attempted to determine the adequate priming volume for the infusion system, and investigated the extent of a possible delay of the drug effect which would result from mechanical defects of the infusion system, with or without priming the infusion system, using direct gravimetical measurements of virtual infusate amounts during TCI of 2 remifentanil diluents.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and performed as a bench experiment using 60 ml syringes (BD 60 ml Syringe, Luer-Lok™ Tip, BD, USA) and syringe pumps (PILOTE ANESTHESIE 2 IS®, Fresenius Vial, Le Grand Chemin, Brezins, France). Three syringe pumps were randomly used, and calibrated by qualified personnel (zero calibration for 6 ± 0.05 V; and pressure calibration for 600 mmHg) prior to the experiment. Randomizations were performed using the Microsoft® Excel randomization function.

A preliminary test was performed in order to estimate the range of possible free-play of syringe in the syringe pump used for this study, and to determine an adequate priming volume for the infusion system. Fig. 1A shows the assembly of syringe pump-syringes-stopcocks. Twelve 60 ml syringes (4 syringes per pump) were randomly tested, and a two-step approach was used. The display panel of the pump was switched to the syringe driving pressure mode. Step I: After locking the stopcocks of 1 ml syringes, the fluid was forcefully aspirated from the 60 ml syringe using the 10 ml syringe, until the finger flange was ready to detach from the syringe drive. This step made the syringe barrel slide toward the right side of the pump (gray arrow lines, ①). Following that, the aspiration was released, and no pressure on the plunger was maintained. Forceful infusion continued until the syringe drive pressure reached 500 mmHg, then slow aspiration was performed until the pressure decreased to 50 mmHg. The infused volumes using 1 ml syringes during Step II were determined as a reference volume for the priming. The mean volume was 1.27 ± 0.107 ml. Therefore, 2.0 ml (≒1.905 ml) was determined as the priming volume, taking 50% more of the result.

Fig. 1. Assembly of the preliminary test for determination of the priming volume of a 60 ml syringe and syringe pump (A) and infusion assembly for the target-controlled infusion of virtual diluents of remifentanil (B). PK: pharmacokinetic, PD: pharmacodynamic.
The diagram of the experimental TCI is illustrated in Fig. 1B. Sterile distilled water was used as virtual aqueous solution. It was attempted to fill the infusion assembly with distilled water without air. The tip of the syringe was maintained at the same height with the surface of the solution in the sampling glass before the start of the infusion to minimize a fluid-shift during infusion. Six physicians and nurses randomly installed the syringe into the pump. They had over 3 years of experience with anesthetic practice and did not know the final end-point of the study. Prior to establishing communication linkage between the pump and PK software, priming of the infusion system (PRIMING) was performed using the evacuation of 2.0 ml from the infusion assembly to the atmosphere through the evacuation line, while pressing the purge button after the syringe brand confirmation. In the no-PRIMING group, TCI was initiated without purging the system.

Forty eight experimental TCIs, targeting an effect-site concentration (C_{eff}) of 4.0 ng/ml, were randomly performed using 50 μg/ml (Remi_{tp}) or 20 μg/ml (Remi_{tp}) of remifentanil, and with or without PRIMING, independently. TCI was performed using PK/PD software (Asan pump, version 1.3, Bionet Co., Seoul, Korea) using the model of Minto et al. [9]. Covariates sets (gender, age, body weight, and height) were collected randomly from the electric medical records of the patients (age 20–70 yr, body weight not exceeding 20% of the ideal body weight), who had undergone general anesthesia in December, 2010. The syringe was replaced about 1 h after the start of TCI, and the duration was limited to within 60 sec. After the syringe replacement, the system was also primed in the no-PRIMING group, and thereafter, an additional 30 min of TCI was maintained. The TCI data files, including predicted plasma (C_{p-pred}) and effect-site (C_{p-proper}) concentrations were saved on the hard-disk. On occasions of gross leakage of fluid from the infusion assembly and air delivery into the sampling glass on visual inspection, the experiment was discarded and implemented again from the first step.

During TCI, cumulative weights of the delivered solution were gravimetrically measured using an electric microbalance (FX-300i, A&D Co, Korea) and saved on a hard disk using the RS232 interface software (RrKey Ver. 1.34, A&D Co, Korea) and saved on a hard disk using the TCI data files, including predicted plasma (C_{p-pred}) and effect-site (C_{p-proper}) concentrations were saved on the hard-disk. On occasions of gross leakage of fluid from the infusion assembly and air delivery into the sampling glass on visual inspection, the experiment was discarded and implemented again from the first step.

During TCI, cumulative weights of the delivered solution were gravimetrically measured using an electric microbalance (FX-300i, A&D Co, Korea) and saved on a hard disk using the RS232 interface software (RrKey Ver. 1.34, A&D Co, Korea). The weight was converted to volume, assuming a conversion of 1 g of distilled water equaling 1.0029 ml (water temperature was 23–25°C). Infusion data was converted into a file formatted with time (min) vs. unit infusion rate (μg/min) columns, and the STANPUMP<sup>®</sup> simulated the actual time courses of the plasma (C_{p-proper}) and effect-site (C_{p-proper}) concentration.

We compared the absolute percentage differences between the nominal bolus and the measured amount (PD_{bolus} = 100 × bolus difference / nominal bolus), and the time to reach 95% target (T_{eff-target}) during the start-up period and after syringe replacement. The C_{eff-rep} at the time to peak effect (C_{p-peak}) during the start-up period and minimal C_{eff-rep} during syringe replacement were compared between the groups. The performance error (PE) of each TCI was evaluated using the methodology proposed by Varvel et al. [10] and calculated as follows:

$$\text{PE} (%) = 100 \times \frac{C_{p-rep} - C_{p-proper}}{C_{p-proper}}$$

A bias (median performance error; MDPE) and an inaccuracy (median absolute performance error; MDAPE) were independently determined for the start-up performance (0 to 10 min) and the maintenance performance (10 min to syringe replacement). Performance errors within ± 5.0% were considered as an acceptable range of infusion accuracy in this study.

SPSS 13.0 for the Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Data are expressed as mean ± SD or number of patients. Continuous variables during start-up periods and syringe replacement were separately compared in the one-way analysis of variance. Post hoc analyses were carried out using Scheffé’s adjustment for multiple comparisons. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The demographic covariate-sets for TCI were not significant between the four groups (Table 1). Time courses of C_{eff-rep} during the four kinds of TCI are illustrated in Fig. 2, and gray bands indicate the ranges within ± 5% of target concentration.

During the start-up period (Table 2), Remi_{tp} without PRIMING showed more PD_{bolus} (P = 0.003) and less C_{p-peak} (P = 0.004), and took longer for C_{eff} to reach 95% of the target concentration (P = 0.028) than Remi_{tp}. However, this bolus deficit and the delayed increase of the C_{eff} of Remi_{tp} were significantly improved with PRIMING (P < 0.001). With PRIMING, the bolus difference between the nominal and measured amount was minimal (1 and 2%), irrespective of the diluent of remifentanil, and the C_{eff} increased to 4.0 ± 0.1 ng/ml at the expected time to peak effect.

| Table 1. Characteristics of Patient Covariate Sets |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                | No PRIMING | PRIMING |
| Remifentanil (μg/ml) | Remi_{tp} | Remi_{tp} | Remi_{tp} | Remi_{tp} |
| Sex (M/F)      | 7/5        | 6/6       | 6/6       | 6/6       |
| Age (yr)       | 39 ± 12    | 44 ± 15   | 45 ± 13   | 42 ± 14   |
| Weight (kg)    | 67 ± 10    | 64 ± 8    | 70 ± 8    | 65 ± 7    |
| Height (cm)    | 168 ± 9    | 168 ± 7   | 171 ± 7   | 167 ± 9   |

Values are mean ± SD or number of patients. PRIMING: priming of the infusion system.
Priming the infusion system and TCI

(1.5 ± 0.2 min; min-max was 1.1–2.0 min).

During syringe replacement (Table 2), Remi$_{50}$ without PRIMING led a greater decrease of $C_{\text{eff}}$ ($P = 0.001$) and took longer for $C_{\text{eff}}$ to recover to the 95% target level than Remi$_{20}$. However, the bolus deficit and the delayed recovery of $C_{\text{eff}}$ during Remi$_{50}$ were also significantly improved with PRIMING ($P < 0.001$). With PRIMING, the PD$_{\text{Bolus}}$ were not significant between the diluents, and $C_{\text{eff}}$ rapidly recovered to the target

Fig. 2. Time-courses of the reproduced individual effect-site concentration of 50 μg/ml (Remi$_{50}$) and 20 μg/ml (Remi$_{20}$) of remifentanil virtual diluents, targeting 4.0 ng/ml of effect-site concentration, with either priming the infusion system (B) or not (A). Gray bands indicate the range of ± 5% of the target concentration. PRIMING: priming of the infusion system.

Table 2. Bolus Differences and the Effect-Site Concentration of Remifentanil during the Start-up Period and Syringe Replacement

|                  | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| Remi$_{50}$ (n = 12) | 43 ± 23    | 19 ± 9     | 0.003   | 43 ± 33    | 2 ± 1      | < 0.001 | 2 ± 1      | 1 ± 1      | 0.016   |
| Remi$_{20}$ (n = 12) | 2.3 ± 0.9  | 3.2 ± 0.4  | 0.004   | 2.3 ± 0.9  | 4.0 ± 0.1  | < 0.001 | 4.0 ± 0.1  | 4.0 ± 0.1  | 0.357   |
| $T_{95\%\text{target}}$ (min) | 11.2 ± 4.0 | 7.4 ± 4    | 0.028   | 11.2 ± 4.0 | 1.2 ± 0.2  | < 0.001 | 1.2 ± 0.2  | 1.2 ± 0.1  | 0.833   |

Syringe replacement

|                  | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value | No PRIMING | No PRIMING | P value |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| Remi$_{50}$ (n = 12) | 89 ± 13    | 72 ± 27    | 0.059   | 89 ± 13    | 10 ± 7     | < 0.001 | 10 ± 7     | 11 ± 3     | 0.707   |
| Remi$_{20}$ (n = 12) | 2.7 ± 0.5  | 3.3 ± 0.3  | 0.001   | 2.7 ± 0.5  | 3.7 ± 0.1  | < 0.001 | 3.7 ± 0.1  | 3.7 ± 0.1  | 0.074   |
| $T_{95\%\text{target}}$ (min) | 13.3 ± 3.9 | 8.2 ± 4.0  | 0.002   | 13.3 ± 3.9 | 1.0 ± 0.6  | < 0.001 | 1.0 ± 0.6  | 1.2 ± 0.1  | 0.198   |

Values are mean ± SD. PD$_{\text{Bolus}}$: absolute percentage difference between the nominal and the measured bolus, $C_{\text{peak}}$: the effect-site concentration of remifentanil at the time of peak effect, $T_{95\%\text{target}}$: time to reach 95% of target concentration. PRIMING: priming of the infusion system.
Fig. 3 shows the time courses of performance error. Without PRIMING, start-up performances (Table 3) showed significant negative biases in the TCI of both diluents (95% confidence intervals of MDPE did not include zero), and the inaccuracy was greater in Remi$_{50}$ than in Remi$_{20}$ (P = 0.008). However, PRIMING
led Remifentanil TCI to have no bias and decreased the inaccuracy of Remifentanil TCI (P < 0.001). With PRIMING, both TCI showed no bias and the inaccuracy was less than 5%, irrespective of the diluents. The maintenance performances (Table 3) showed no bias in the TCI of both diluents, whether PRIMING or not, and inaccuracies were low, which were not significantly different between all groups (P > 0.05).

Discussion

We investigated the efficacy of priming the infusion system on the performance of remifentanil TCI. By priming the system, we could effectively achieve the predicted time-course of the predicted concentrations of the drug in the body, especially during the early phase of infusion and after the replacement of the syringe.

The start-up delay in drug delivery that occurred in the absence of priming as a result of the engagement of gears in the mechanical drive and compliance of the syringe has previously been recognized and reported [4,5,8]. However, many users of TCI remain unaware of the advantages of priming the infusion system with the purge button, and there is a lack of information on the magnitude of the effects and the clinical significance with different drug concentrations while using the syringe pump model. Thus, this study investigated the PK/PD courses that resulted from a potential mechanical flaw during the adult TCI of remifentanil. Remifentanil has been known to have a smaller central compartment, which implies that it requires a smaller bolus than other opioids or propofol. Therefore, it had been anticipated that the TCI regimen of remifentanil could be vulnerable to the start-up delay. Without PRIMING, the reproducing simulation showed a distinct delayed increase of C_{eff} which was more prominent during the start-up phase, as well as after the syringe installation. This delay was more distinct during the TCI of lesser-diluted drug preparation, but with PRIMING, the start-up bolus did not differ from the nominal bolus, and C_{eff} was increased within the expected time, regardless of the diluent.

Without PRIMING, the lesser amounts of the drug were always administered, while start-up MDPE showed a negative bias. This could be a problem during the induction of anesthesia. The TCI regimen of Remifentanil infusions in this study infused 1.32 or 1.34 ml as start-up boluses. However, the actual predicted C_{eff} remained 57.8% of the target at the time to peak effect, and the minimal C_{eff} then was 0.02 ng/ml. It also took 11.6 min to reach the target concentration. Therefore, it is unlikely that the TCI system can afford an accurate time-course of C_{p} and C_{eff}. It also displays a false steady state after the time to peak effect. Therefore, the actual level of analgesia during this period would be insufficient for certain procedures, such as tracheal intubation or skin incision.

After syringe replacement during surgery, without PRIMING, four cases of Remifentanil TCI could not push the bolus, and the C_{eff} maximally decreased below half of the target. Under clinical settings, the syringe replacement may take longer than what was observed in this study, as contaminations need to be avoided, and complex infusion assembly may be difficult to manipulate. Therefore, PRIMING would be more appropriate after the syringe replacement during surgery, in order to prevent a greater decrease of drug concentration, which may exceed the results obtained in this experiment.

Without PRIMING, the maximal bolus deficits were 1.18 ml in Remifentanil TCI and 1.08 ml in Remifentanil TCI which were similar to the results of the preliminary test (1.27 ml). Step I of this test led the syringe to the worst position in the pump, whereas Step II offered the syringe the best status in the pump. As such, the syringe is positioned randomly between the two extremes after routine syringe installation. However, PRIMING would cause the syringe flange to shift forward maximally in the syringe flange cradle, and make the syringe drive to hold the thumb rest tightly, while being ready to push the plunger promptly and accurately.

In the authors’ clinical settings, these observations were occasionally found: the free-play of the finger flange in the syringe flange cradle as reported [11]; a loose fit between the thumb rest and the syringe drive; and sometimes the plunger not maintaining a horizontal position following visual inspection. It was also inferred that the cross-sectional area of the internal barrel space and thickness of the finger flange may be additional factors for the start-up delay. To reduce these mechanical shortcomings during infusion, it would be helpful to choose the syringe pump model with the least free-play of syringe in the pump [11], or with a specially designed module, such as FASTSTART [5]. However, the best pump-syringe combination that shows the least start-up delay is not always available in the clinical settings, and TCI is not always compatible with every pump. As such, if the infusion system is primed using a pre-determined pump/syringe-specific volume, the model-dependent differences can be overcome, and the accurate infusion rate ordered by the TCI system can be rapidly achieved, without any delays of the drug effects.

There may be some caveats in this study. First, the participants who installed the syringe in this study were not experts in the infusion technology. More rigorous preparation after the education of the start-up infusion behavior may reduce the delay of infusion. Second, a zero-pressure gradient to the infusion assembly was maintained. However, in clinical settings using multi-line infusions to the gravity-line, the pressure within the infusion route would be maintained at a higher level than that of this study setting. Therefore, in vivo infusion may be changeably decreased, according to various factors. Third,
in the use of the antisyphon valve, the start-up infusion can be changed [6]. The compliance of this study’s infusion assembly was reported to be 1.33 μl/mmHg [12]. If the crack pressure of antisyphon valve is 150 mmHg, 0.199 ml of infusate is needed to open the valve. As such, a lower amount will be infused during the start-up period, without PRIMING.

Priming the infusion system is not a mandatory process that needs to be verified by the user at the start of the infusion of various intravenous agents, and may even be regarded as a minor process. However, the data in this study indicate that the priming of the infusion system may useful in reducing the delay of drug effects and the inaccuracy of the infusion system, especially after initiating the infusion, or during the infusion of a more concentrated diluent.
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