An Ab Initio Investigation of the Hydration of Lead(II)
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Abstract: The structure of lead(II) is not well known in aqueous solution. The Hartree–Fock and second order Møller–Plesset levels of theory using the CEP, LANL2, and SDD effective core potentials in combination with their associated basis sets, or with the 6-31G* and 6-31+G* basis sets were used to calculate the energies, structures, and vibrational frequencies of Pb^{2+}(H_{2}O)_{n}, n = 0–9, 18. The lead–oxygen distances and totally symmetric stretching frequency of the aquaLead(II) ions from different levels of theory were compared with each other, and with solution measurements where available. The calculations support a hemidirected hexacoordinate structure.
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1. Introduction

Although the structure of many metal ions in solution is known, some remain elusive [1]. Many are known to be toxic to man, however this is dependent on oxidation state and speciation, which often depends on pH and the presence of counterions that solubilize the metal by complex formation. While computational chemistry can assist in supporting and rationalizing proposed speciation models, one drawback is that there are typically few all-electron basis sets that can be used. For elements of high atomic number, relativistic effects can play an important role. Effective core potentials replace the explicit description of core electrons by a potential, and are paired with basis sets describing the outermost electrons. In a previous work, we benchmarked some common effective core potentials for the aqua complexes of the heavy metals mercury(II) and thallium(III), both of which have valence electron configuration 5d^{10}[2]. We extend our work now to benchmark these common effective core potentials for the aqua complexes of lead(II), which has valence electron configuration 6s^{2}5d^{10}, with the aim of predicting Pb-O distances and vibrational frequencies. The presence of the 6s^{2} subshell will be shown to have a pronounced effect on the structures compared to those metals (Ti^{3+}, Hg^{2+}) without it. Aqualead(II) is predicted to be hemidirected at lower coordination numbers (the ligands are not symmetrically distributed about the central ion) whereas aquamercury(II) and thallium(III) are holodirected (the ligands are symmetrically distributed about the central ion).

It appears as though the lead(II) ion has not been characterized as an aqua ion in aqueous solution, although it is believed to be the predominant form of lead for pH < 6 [3]. Lead has a propensity to oligomerize at or near neutral pH. One perchlorate salt that has been structurally characterized at a hydrolysis ratio of 1.33 is Pb_{6}(μ_{4}-O)(μ_{3}-OH)_{6}(ClO_{4})_{14}·H_{2}O [4]. The structure may be viewed as three-face shared lead tetrahedra, with tetrahedrally-coordinated oxygen in the central tetrahedral and hydroxyl groups sitting on the faces of the distal tetrahedra. A second polymorph exists [5]. At a hydrolysis ratio [OH\(^{-}\)/[Pb^{2+}] of 1.00, the solution X-ray structure is consistent with the formation of a Pb_{4}(OH)_{4}^{4+} ion [6]. The Pb-O distances were around 2.6 Å. The Pb_{4}(OH)_{4}^{4+} ion was characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction in the compounds [Pb_{4}(OH)_{6}]_{3}(ClO_{4})_{10}·6H_{2}O [7] and [Pb_{4}(OH)_{4}]_{3}ClO_{4}·2H_{2}O [8]. In the mixed carbonate-perchlorate, formed by the introduction of adventitious carbon dioxide, the Pb-O distances varied from 2.293(17)–2.488(16) Å [7]. In the compound without carbonate ion, the Pb-O distances range from 2.38(2)–2.54(2) Å [8].
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In the gas-phase, it was reported that tin(II), lead(II), and mercury(II) underwent a facile proton transfer reaction and that the only species observed in the mass spectra are the deprotonated MOH\(^{+}\)(H\(_2\)O\(_{n-1}\)) ions, not the M(H\(_2\)O\(_n\))\(^{2+}\) ions, i.e., these ions have anomalously high acidity in the gas phase as well as the solution phase. An ab initio study was carried out to rationalize this behavior, with a focus on the pathways to deprotonation \[9\]. However, in the same year, the existence of lead(II) monohydrate was reported in the mass spectrometer from the reaction of the lead(II) acetonitrile monosolvate with water, albeit in low yield \[10\]. It can be abundantly produced by ligand exchange with nitrogen-solvated lead(II) \[11\]. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was used to produce [Pb(H\(_2\)O)\(_n\)]\(^{2+}\), \(n = 8–47\), however water loss eventually resulted in [Pb(OH)\(_m\)]\(^+\) and [H(H\(_2\)O)\(_k\)]\(^+\), \(m + k = n < 8\), \(k = 3, 4\). For \(n = 8–10\), both [Pb(OH)\(_m\)]\(^+\) and [H(H\(_2\)O)\(_k\)]\(^+\) were observed, showing that [Pb(OH)\(_n\)]\(^{2+}\), \(n = 8–10\) were metastable \[12\].

Computationally, lead(II) has been studied by a few research groups. A Hartree–Fock and four-component density functional study using an all-electron basis set has been carried out on [Pb(H\(_2\)O)\(^{2+}\)] and [Pb(OH)]\(^+\) \[13\]. The monohydrate possessed C\(_{2v}\) symmetry. Scalar calculations were also carried out using the 6-31+G\(^*\) basis set on oxygen and hydrogen and a variety of pseudopotentials. Tetraaqualead(II) has also been studied and shown to possess a hemidirected structure \[14\]. In addition, several molecular dynamics studies have been carried out. Hofer and Rode suggested that the coordination number of lead in aqueous solution is nine, based on HF-QM/MM/MD methods, with a maximum in the Pb-O radial distribution of 2.60 Å (shoulder 2.65 Å) \[15\]. The dynamical information was given in a follow-up publication, which suggested a Pb-O stretching frequency of 217 cm\(^{-1}\) \[16\]. However, another research group using CPMD calculations suggested that lead(II) was actually heptacoordinated, with an average Pb-O distance of 2.70 Å and a very wide distribution \[17\]. Wander and Clark studied Pb(H\(_2\)O)\(_n\))\(^{2+}\), \(n = 0–9\) using both the large-core (78 core electrons for Pb) B3LYP/LANL2DZ and the small core (60 core electrons for Pb) B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ(pp) levels of theory and concluded thermodynamically with single point PCM calculations that 6–8 inner-shell water molecules were possible. They noted that the use of the B3LYP/LANL2DZ method gave unstable higher coordination complexes (\(n = 5–9\)) \[18\]. In conjunction with their ESI-MS measurements, Stace and coworkers calculated the structures of aqualead(II) complexes, \(n = 8, 10–12\) using B3LYP/LANL2DZ and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\]. Bhattacharjee et al. examined the hydration of lead(II) using QMCF-MD, based on the cc-pVDZ-pp basis set and effective core potential on lead and found that the 4-coordinate structure was preferred \[12\].
Leon-Pimentel et al. again studied the hydration of lead(II), but with a polarizable mobile charge densities in harmonic oscillators (MCDHO) force field in a classical MD simulation. In addition, MP2, SCS-MP2, B3LYP, M06-2X, ω-B97X, PBE, and CCSD levels of theory were employed with the aug-cc-pVDZ and aug-cc-pVTZ for H and O (pp for Pb) [24]. In a study of the interaction of lead(II) with biological media, Tolbatov and Marrone studied [Pb(H₂O)ₙ]²⁺, n = 1–9, using the ω-B97X functional and the LANL08(d) basis set on lead and either 6-311++G** or 6-31+G(d) for H and O and concluded that both n = 4 and n = 6 were particularly stable [25].

2. Materials and Methods

Calculations were performed using Gaussian 98 [26]. This program version was the first that allows an analytical frequency calculation of molecules, in which core electrons are described by effective core potentials (ECPs), and thus many variants of these were tried. Although these effective core potentials only include scalar relativistic effects, the effect of spin–orbit coupling is small (3 kJ/mol, <0.001 Å) [27]. The MP2 calculations use the frozen core approximation. A stepping-stone approach was used for geometry optimization, in which the geometries at the levels HF/CEP-4G, HF/CEP-31G*, HF/CEP-121G*, HF/LANL2MB, HF/LANL2DZ, and HF/SDD were sequentially optimized. For minimum energy structures, the MP2/CEP-31G* and MP2/CEP-121G* calculations were also performed. Calculations were also carried out using the 6-31G* and 6-31+G* basis sets on the atoms of the water molecules (5d) with an effective core potential and basis set on the metal ion (denoted as ECP+6-31G* or 6-31+G*). For shorthand, we denote the mixed basis sets as follows: CEP-121G* on Pb, 6-31G* on O, H, as basis set A; LANL2DZ on Pb, 6-31G* on O, H, as basis set B; SDD on Pb, 6-31G* on O, H, as basis set C; and the corresponding basis sets with diffuse functions are indicated by adding a “+” to the basis set name. Default optimization specifications were normally used. After each level, where possible, a frequency calculation was performed at the same level and the resulting Hessian used in the following optimization. Z-matrix coordinates constrained to the appropriate symmetry were used as required to speed up the optimizations. Since frequency calculations are done at each level, any problems with the Z-matrix coordinates would manifest themselves by giving imaginary frequencies corresponding to modes orthogonal to the spanned Z-matrix space. The Hessian was evaluated at the first geometry (opt = CalcFC) for the first level in a series in order to aid geometry convergence. We note that, for the heavy elements only, the three different CEP basis sets are equivalent (CEP-121G*) but differ for the oxygen and hydrogen atoms. The choice of core electrons defining the pseudopotential is constant ([Xe]5d¹⁰4f¹⁴). In some cases, Gaussian 03 [28] and Gaussian 16 [29] was used to correct errors and omissions.

In many cases to follow, the symmetry of the minimum-energy complexes was the same as those previously found for bismuth [30]. To confirm these results, starting with high symmetry structures, systematic desymmetrization along the various irreducible representations was carried out [31,32] using Gaussian 16.

The authors have elected not to apply an implicit solvation model. One of the authors [33] has experience that demonstrates that, at least for the metal-oxygen stretching and deformation modes of [M(H₂O)ₙ]⁺, the use of post-Hartree–Fock treatments (e.g., MP2) leads to no improvement in the vibrational frequencies relative to Hartree–Fock methods. In addition, scaling by the usual multiplicative factors (less than one) for specific theoretical levels usually makes the agreement worse as the stretching vibrational frequencies are already underestimated. We demonstrated for numerous systems that the calculated vibrational frequency can be brought into nearly perfect agreement with experiment if an explicit model of the full second-hydration sphere is used. For bromozinc complexes [ZnBr₉(H₂O)ₙ][(2-m)]⁺, m = 0–4, n = 0–6–m, inclusion of thermal and entropy term changed the relative energies by only a few kJ/mol, although inclusion of solvation effects using the CPCM model tends to stabilize more compact structures with higher coordination numbers.
relative to those with more water molecules in the second hydration shell (by ~20 kJ/mol per second-shell water molecule) [33].

3. Results
3.1. A Survey of Structures

Lead(II), like bismuth(III) [21] might be expected to show deviations from the ideal symmetry point groups listed above, due to the potentially stereochemically active inert 6s² subshell. The point group symmetry for the mono- through octaaquabismuth(III) was found to be C₂v, C₂, C₃, C₂v, C₃v, C₃, C₂, and S₈, respectively. Lead(II) follows the same pattern (see Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2 of SM), except that the heptaaqualead(II) has no symmetry (C₁). In addition lead(II) can form an enneahydrate of C₃ symmetry at selected levels of theory. The diaqualead(II) species ascends in symmetry to a planar C₂v structure at HF/LANL2MB. The tetraaqualead(II) forms a C₃ structure at HF/LANL2MB. The pentaaqualead(II) forms a C₅ structure at HF/A, HF/B, and HF/C levels. At some levels, the heptaaqualead(II) ion gives structures such as [6 + 1], [5 + 2], or [4 + 3]. If a heptacoordinate structure [7 + 0] exists, it is usually more stable than the corresponding [(7 − m) + m] structures (i.e., 7 − m water molecules in the first hydration shell and m water molecules in the second hydration shell). In some cases the octaaqualead(II) prefers a lower coordination number (as in [4 + 4], [4 + 3 + 1], [5 + 3]) which suggests that the octacoordinate structure is not particularly stable. The enneaqualead(II) structure can exist in one of two C₃ forms, the intermittently stable [9 + 0] and the more stable [6 + 3]. The octadecaqualead(II) structure [6 + 12] was also examined, and in accordance with previous results the structure with symmetry T was chosen [2]. To our surprise, the structure was actually stable at some levels of theory (see Table 1), indicating that the hemidirected and holodirected structures for hexaaqualead(II) could be interchanged by inclusion of a second hydration sphere. In accordance with earlier calculations [2], the effect of the second hydration sphere is to shorten slightly the Pb-O distance and raise the symmetric stretching vibrational frequency by 20–30 cm⁻¹.

![Figure 1. The minimum energy structures of aqualead(II).](image-url)
Table 1. Totally symmetric stretching frequency (cm$^{-1}$) and Pb-O distance(s) (Å) of the aqualead(II) cation. n/c = not calculated, * = imaginary frequency.

| Level                  | [Pb(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$ $C_3$ | [Pb(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$ $T_h$ | [Pb(H$_2$O)$_{18}$]$^{2+}$ $T$ |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| HF/CEP-4G              | 355, 2.3663, 2.6202            | 307 *, 2.5401                  | 366 *, 2.5123                   |
| HF/CEP-31G*            | 297, 2.4512, 2.6164            | 287 *, 2.5644                  | 322 *, 2.5414                   |
| HF/CEP-121G*           | 296, 2.4450, 2.6167            | 286 *, 2.5619                  | n/c, 2.5397                     |
| HF/LANL2MB             | 376, 2.2075, 2.4790            | 334 *, 2.4018                  | 430 *, 2.3631                   |
| HF/LANL2DZ             | 277, 2.3813, 2.7737            | 252 *, 2.5948                  | 297, 2.5610                     |
| HF/SDD                 | 254, 2.5028, 2.8326            | 234 *, 2.6849                  | 285, 2.6934                     |
| HF/A                   | 311, 2.4224, 2.5884            | 296 *, 2.5317                  | n/c                            |
| HF/B                   | 273, 2.4217, 2.7191            | 253 *, 2.5973                  | 299 *, 2.5640                   |
| HF/C                   | 253, 2.5149, 2.8082            | 235 *, 2.6820                  | 284, 2.6408                     |
| HF/A+                  | 298, 2.4286, 2.6119            | 288 *, 2.5544                  | 326, 2.5283                     |
| HF/B+                  | 252, 2.4609, 2.7373            | 238 *, 2.6192                  | 284, 2.5869                     |
| HF/C+                  | 235, 2.5615, 2.8025            | 224 *, 2.6994                  | 272, 2.6580                     |
| MP2/CEP-31G*           | 311, 2.4186, 2.5882            | 296 *, 2.5304                  | n/c                            |
| MP2/CEP-121G*          | 310, 2.4136, 2.5928            | 298 *, 2.5384                  | 336 *, 2.5157                   |
| HF/A                   | 311, 2.4136, 2.5928            | 298 *, 2.5384                  | 336 *, 2.5157                   |
| HF/B                   | 273, 2.4217, 2.7191            | 253 *, 2.5973                  | 299 *, 2.5640                   |
| HF/C                   | 253, 2.5149, 2.8082            | 235 *, 2.6820                  | 284, 2.6408                     |
| HF/A+                  | 298, 2.4286, 2.6119            | 288 *, 2.5544                  | 326, 2.5283                     |
| HF/B+                  | 252, 2.4609, 2.7373            | 238 *, 2.6192                  | 284, 2.5869                     |
| HF/C+                  | 235, 2.5615, 2.8025            | 224 *, 2.6994                  | 272, 2.6580                     |
| MP2/A                  | 335, 2.3915, 2.5683            | 308 *, 2.5088                  | n/c                            |
| MP2/A+                 | 310, 2.4126, 2.5880            | 296 *, 2.5269                  | n/c                            |
| Expt.                  | n/a, 2.5282–2.543 [34]         |                                |                                |

The results of the systematic desymmetrization procedure [31] for aqualead(II) follow (please see video in SM). The differences in energy reported below are exaggerated for the minimal basis sets:

- The bent $C_2$ symmetry for diaqualead(II) is confirmed, with a $C_3$ structure slightly higher and the linear $D_{2d}$ structure approximately 40 kJ/mol higher in energy. The related [1 + 1] and PbOH$^+$ ... H$_3$O$^+$ structures are 50–100 kJ/mol higher in energy. The use of either the SDD or LANL2DZ effective core potential gives numbers on the lower end of this range. In some cases, the [1 + 1] structure does not exist, reverting to the PbOH$^+$ ... H$_3$O$^+$ (all MP2; HF/LANL2DZ) or [2 + 0] structure (HF/CEP-121G$^*$);

- The pyramidal $C_3$ symmetry for triaqualead(II) is confirmed, with the two $C_3v$ structures 10–20 kJ/mol higher in energy, and with the two $D_{3h}$ and one $D_3$ planar structures approximately 40–60 kJ/mol higher in energy. The [2 + 1] $C_{2v}$ (HF/CEP-4G $C_s$) structure is 25–90 kJ/mol higher in energy, with the SDD or LANL2DZ effective core potential on lead giving numbers on the lower end of this range;

- The $C_2$ see-saw structure for tetraaqualead(II) is confirmed at all levels except HF/LANL2MB ($C_s$), with the $C_{2v}$ #3 structure only slightly higher in energy. The distorted tetrahedral $D_{2d}$ #1, $D_{2d}$ #2, $D_2$, and $S_4$ structures are 20–40 kJ/mol higher in energy. The $C_{2v}$ #1 and 2 see-saw structures are approximately 10–20 kJ/mol higher in energy. The [3 + 1] $C_3$, $C_2/3$, $C_1$ #1 structure tends to be about 25 kJ/mol higher in energy than the most stable [4 + 0] structure when using the CEP effective core potential/basis set combination, but is competitive in energy with the others (and even slightly lower for HF/LANL2DZ and HF/SDD), which may be a result of the lack of polarization functions in the basis set;

- The $C_2$ #3/$C_s$ square pyramidal structure is confirmed for pentaqualead(II), with the other $C_{2v}$ structures (#1, #2, #4) being within 30 kJ/mol. The most stable [4 + 1] structure is either competitive in energy (Pb: SDD, LANL2DZ) or 10–25 kJ/mol less stable than the [5 + 0] structures;

- The $C_3$ distorted octahedral structure is confirmed for hexaaqualead(II), with the undistorted $T_h$ structure being 2–30 kJ/mol higher in energy. For the CEP methods, the structure is competitive in energy with the [5 + 1] $C_s$ structure, whereas for the LANL2DZ and SDD methods, the [5 + 1] is more stable by 10–25 kJ/mol;

- There are 16 possible $C_{2v}$ structures for heptaaqualead(II) spanning a range of 60 kJ/mol, with #1 and #16 being the most stable. In some cases, at some levels, these optimize to [6 + 1] or [5 + 2] structures. The $C_1$ [7 + 0], [6 + 1], and [5 + 2] structures are competitive
in energy. A stable [7 + 0] structure appears to be nonexistent at HF/LANL2MB, HF/LANL2DZ, HF/SDD, and HF/B. In some cases, the C_{2v} [5 + 2] structures revert to [7 + 0] structures. Twelve C_{2v} [5 + 2] structures and four C_{2v} [6 + 1] structures were also located and desymmetrized. At least one stable structure was located for each by desymmetrization, except for the [6 + 1] structures at HF/LANL2MB, HF/LANL2DZ, and HF/SDD, which reverted to a [5 + 2] structure;

- For octaaqualead(II), the square prism D_{4h} #1 and #2 and square antiprism D_{4d} #1 and #2 have multiple imaginary frequencies, however the antiprism is much more stable. In some cases, stable S_8 and D_4 structures can be derived from these, and usually S_8 is slightly more stable. Other possibilities include C_{4v}, D_{2d}, D_{2h}, and C_{4v}, although these are higher in energy. In some cases, a stable C_{4} structure forms from an unstable S_8 (MP2/CEP-121G*, MP2/A), D_4 (HF/SDD), or C_{4h} structure (MP2/A+). Other C_4, D_2, S_4, C_{2h}, and C_{2v} structures from desymmetrization are either not stable, or ascend in symmetry to give the S_8 and D_4 structure. Stable S_4 structures exist at some of the MP2 levels. In all cases, the C_1 #1 or #2 [7 + 1] structure is more stable than the S_8, S_4, or C_4 [8 + 0] structures;

- For enneaaqualead(II), the tricapped trigonal prisms D_{3h} #1–4 have multiple imaginary frequencies. Desymmetrization along A_2', A_1'', and A_2'' modes led to C_{3h}, D_3, and C_{3v} structures, respectively. These structures are unstable. The D_3 #4 structure is often found, however the D_3 #3 structure reverts to [6 + 3] in some cases. The C_{3h} #3 and #4 coalesce to the corresponding #1 and #2 structures. In most cases, the C_{3v} structures become [6 + 3]. To our surprise, the C_3 #1–#3 structures formed from desymmetrization of D_3 #4 (along A_2), C_{3h} #1, and #2 (along A''), respectively, were stable [9 + 0] structures if the split valence CEP basis sets were employed, although they usually reverted to stable [6 + 3] structures when using the LANL2DZ or SDD basis sets on lead. Desymmetrization along the E' mode of the D_{3h} structures gives C_{2v} structures, whereas desymmetrization along the E'' mode could give either a C_2 or C_1 structure. None of the C_{2h} structures were stable, and many reverted to a [8 + 1], [7 + 2], [5 + 4], [5 + 2 + 2], or [4 + 5] structures. Desymmetrization of these along the A_2 mode occasionally gave a stable C_2 structure, whereas along the B_1 or B_2 modes gave either unstable C_3 structures, ascension in symmetry to give C_{3h} #1 or #2, or decoordination to give [8 + 1], [7 + 2], [6 + 3], [6 + 2 + 1], [5 + 4], [5 + 3 + 1], [4 + 5], or [4 + 3 + 2] structures.

The systematic desymmetrization procedure employed here allows us to efficiently find many stationary points, in addition to local minima, that may be difficult or impossible to find by other means commonly employed, such as turning off symmetry or employing classical molecular dynamics to generate candidate structures. These can tend to favor the lowest energy structures at the level of theory used to generate them.

3.2. The Pb-O Distance

The average Pb-O distance as a function of coordination number is plotted for all of the levels studied here. Examination of Figure 2 shows some clear trends. The Pb-O distance always lengthens upon an increase in the coordination number. There are some gaps present, in particular for n = 7, 9 where a directly bound structure does not exist, as indicated in the previous section. The Pb-O distance using the minimal basis HF/LANL2MB are shorter than the other levels at the same hydration number by 0.1–0.3 Å. The Pb-O distance using the SDD basis set/pseudopotential on Pb (HF/SDD, HF/C, HF/C+) tend to be the longest (2.35–2.80 Å), whereas the Pb-O distance using the LANL2DZ basis set/pseudopotential on Pb (HF/LANL2DZ, HF/B, HF/B+) tends to fall in the middle, especially at higher coordination numbers (5–8). With the exception of the HF/LANL2MB calculations, the Pb-O distances using the CEP basis set/pseudopotential cluster together as the shortest distances. The effect of basis set/pseudopotential combination seems to be more important here than the presence or absence of electron correlation (MP2/CEP-31G*, MP2/CEP-121G*, MP2/A, and MP2/A+).
The average Pb-O distance as a function of coordination number is plotted for all of the levels studied here. Examination of Figure 2 shows some clear trends. The Pb-O distance always lengthens upon an increase in the coordination number. There are some gaps present, in particular for n = 7, 9 where a directly bound structure does not exist, as indicated in the previous section. The Pb-O distance using the minimal basis HF/LANL2MB are shorter than the other levels at the same hydration number by 0.1–0.3 Å. The Pb-O distance using the SDD basis set/pseudopotential on Pb (HF/SDD, HF/C, HF/C+) tend to be the longest (2.35–2.80 Å), whereas the Pb-O distance using the LANL2DZ basis set/pseudopotential on Pb (HF/LANL2DZ, HF/B, HF/B+) tends to fall in the middle, especially at higher coordination numbers (5–8). With the exception of the HF/LANL2MB calculations, the Pb-O distances using the CEP basis set/pseudopotential cluster together as the shortest distances. The effect of basis set/pseudopotential combination seems to be more important here than the presence or absence of electron correlation (MP2/CEP-31G*, MP2/CEP-121G*, MP2/A, and MP2/A+).

Figure 2. The dependence of the average Pb-O distance on the coordination number and level of theory.

Although the average Pb-O distance shows some clear trends, there is much variation in the individual Pb-O distances where they are not related by symmetry. The stereochemically active electron pair (6s²) is typically on the principal axis of symmetry of the hydrated ion and acts similarly to an additional ligand, forming hemidirected complexes. If we label this as E, then the trend seen is that the Pb-O distances corresponding to the smaller E-Pb-O angles tend to be much longer than those with the larger E-Pb-O angles (see, for example the Pb-O distances in C₃-[Pb(H₂O)₆]²⁺, Table 1). For n = 7, the bond is so weak that the water prefers to detach from the Pb²⁺ and hydrogen-bond to form structures such as [5 + 2]. Indeed, in some cases, it is difficult to distinguish whether a bond exists at all!

3.3. The Pb-O Vibrational Frequency

The Pb-O symmetric stretching vibrational frequency as a function of coordination number is plotted for all of the levels (Figure 3). As the symmetric stretching frequency is inversely related to the distance, the vibrational frequencies decrease as the coordination number increases. The highest frequencies occur for the HF/LANL2MB level, as expected, as these distances are the shortest. The next highest correspond to the HF/CEP-4G level, in which a minimal basis set for oxygen and hydrogen is used. The smallest frequencies correspond to the HF/SDD, HF/C, and HF/C+, which all contain the SDD basis set/pseudopotential on lead, followed closely by the HF/LANL2DZ, HF/B, and HF/B+, which all contain the LANL2DZ basis set/pseudopotential on lead. Most of the levels containing the CEP-121G basis set/pseudopotential on lead cluster together in the middle.
angles (see, for example the Pb-O distances in \( \text{C3}\)-\([\text{Pb(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}\), Table 1). For \( n = 7 \), the bond is so weak that the water prefers to detach from the Pb \( 2^+ \) and hydrogen-bond to form structures such as \([5+2]\). Indeed, in some cases, it is difficult to distinguish whether a bond exists at all!

### 3.3. The Pb-O Vibrational Frequency

The Pb-O symmetric stretching vibrational frequency as a function of coordination number is plotted for all of the levels (Figure 3). As the symmetric stretching frequency is inversely related to the distance, the vibrational frequencies decrease as the coordination number increases. The highest frequencies occur for the HF/LANL2MB level, as expected, as these distances are the shortest. The next highest correspond to the HF/CEP-4G level, in which a minimal basis set for oxygen and hydrogen is used. The smallest frequencies correspond to the HF/SDD, HF/C, and HF/C+, which all contain the SDD basis set/pseudopotential on lead, followed closely by the HF/LANL2DZ, HF/B, and HF/B+, which all contain the LANL2DZ basis set/pseudopotential on lead. Most of the levels containing the CEP-121G basis set/pseudopotential on lead cluster together in the middle.

![Figure 3. The dependence of the totally symmetric Pb-O frequency on the coordination number and level of theory.](image)

### 4. Discussion

We may compare our calculations to some of those previously conducted. The B3LYP/LANL2DZ calculations of Wander and Clark [18] gave unstable coordination for coordination numbers 5–9, which is in line with our HF/LANL2DZ calculations, suggesting that this feature is due to the basis set and effective core potential, not to correlation energy. Although the distances reported in Table 2 of Ref. [19] appear accurate using the same level of theory, we were not able to reproduce the binding energies for \( n = 1 \) presented in Table 1 of Bhattacharjee et al. [19] The HF, MP2, and CCSD binding energies are rather close to each other, yet different than the B3LYP results, and it is unclear why [35]. One possibility that could account for this is that the HF energy results were used to calculate the binding energies at the optimized MP2 and CCSD geometries. The results of Lei and Pan seem to suggest that the lowest energy structures of aqualead(II) complexes have tetracoordination, although with low-lying higher-coordination structures close in energy [20]. Molecular dynamics studies give varying numbers for the hydration number of lead (e.g., 8.1 [19], 6.3 [21], 4 [22]). Clearly the result has a strong dependence on the potential (level of theory) used, which is a main point we are trying to make. Although Leon-Pimentel et al. [22] carefully calibrated the aug-cc-pVDZ basis set with the 6-311G basis set for their MD simulations, finding a systematic difference of about 50 kJ/mol for the average binding energy, the lack of polarization functions on the latter, and their reliance on the structures in Ref. [20] is cause for concern.

Due to the lack of experimental structural data on aqualead(II) complexes, it is difficult to state with any certainty what the structure and vibrational frequencies of the aqualead(II)
ion actually are. The thermodynamics of our calculations (i.e., comparisons between \([n + 0]\)
and \([n − m + m]\) structures) suggest that a coordination number \(n\) of between four
and seven is most likely. This in turn, suggests an average Pb-O distance in the range
2.38–2.75 Å (Figure 2) and a totally symmetric stretching frequency in the range between
230–370 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3). Some recent measurements from the group of Persson [35]
on single crystals of the related compounds \([\text{Pb}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_4]_{1n}, [\text{Pb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2]_{1n},
[\text{Pb}(\text{dma})_6][\text{ClO}_4]_2, \text{ and } [\text{Pb}(\text{dmpu})_6][\text{ClO}_4]_2\) (dma = dimethylacetamide, dmpu = N,N'-
dimethylpropyleneurea) gave relevant Pb-O distances of 2.338(24), 2.434(40) \((\text{Pb-\text{OH}_2})\);
2.473(26), 2.459(28) \((\text{Pb-\text{OH}_2})\); 2.519(3) \((\text{Pb-O = C})\); and 2.520(6) Å \((\text{Pb-O = C})\), respectively,
which are consistent with the lower end of this range. In the same paper, Extended X-ray
Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) measurements on 1.0 and 1.9 mol L\(^{-1}\) solutions of
lead(II) perchlorate could be well fitted to structures with mean Pb-O distance of 2.541(6)
and 2.543(6) Å. The large Debye–Waller factors indicate a significant spread about the
mean values. These same solutions, examined using large angle X-ray scattering (LAXS),
gave values of 2.527(7) and 2.536(7) Å. Persson and coworkers concluded that lead
was hexacoordinate hemidirected in aqueous perchlorate solutions, which is consistent with
our hemidirected \(\text{Pb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}\) \(\text{C}_3\) structure. For similarly solvated lead ions, the Pb-O
distance was determined to be in the range 2.48–2.56 Å for the hexacoordinated solvates of
dimethylsulfoxide (dmso), tetramethylurea (tmu), dimethylformamide (dmf), dma, and
dmpu, using EXAFS and LAXS. Some of the structures are holodirected, whereas others
are hemidirected. As the data for aquareal(II) indicates a hexaqua hemidirected struc-
ture akin to our \(\text{C}_3\) form, our calculations can be narrowed to the Pb-O range 2.47–2.54 Å
(corresponding to the middle group), which would also narrow the vibrational frequency
to the range 300–350 cm\(^{-1}\). A second hydration sphere would add 20–30 cm\(^{-1}\) to these
values (Table 1). The experiments suggest that calculations using the SDD and LANL2DZ
basis set/pseudopotential combinations may overestimate the Pb-O distance and under-
estimate the totally symmetric vibrational frequency compared to the CEP-121G* basis
set/pseudopotential.

5. Conclusions

The common CEP, LANL2DZ, and SDD pseudopotentials are paired with various
basis sets to study the hydrated lead(II) ion. Calculations with minimal basis sets perform
poorly. The lead-oxygen distances and totally symmetric stretching frequency with the
other levels divide themselves into three groups (CEP, LANL2DZ, SDD) depending on
the basis set/pseudopotential on lead. It appears as though the lead SDD and LANL2DZ
give Pb-O distances that are too large compared to the experiment and tend to favor lower
coordination numbers. However, the structures computed are consistent with the more
recent experimental result of a six-coordinate hemidirected aqua complex. The careful use
of symmetry can be used to guide the search for new structures.
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