The major causes of activation of free-radical oxidation under oxidative stress are significant increase in production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and release of iron ions from extra- and intracellular stores [1]. Increase in intracellular concentration of iron ions can be a result of degradation of Fe-containing proteins, in particular, aconitate hydratase (AH) (aconitase, EC 4.2.1.3) [2-4]. The content of Fe and, consequently, Fe$^{2+}$ involved in the Fenton reaction can be reduced by an increase in the content of citrate. Therefore, study of features of the functioning of enzymes responsible for citrate accumulation, first of all AH, is of particular interest. The available data demonstrate a close association of the intensity of oxidative metabolism with ROS formation. It is known that the functioning of mitochondria under high NADH/NAD$^+$ and ATP/ADP ratios and high membrane potential can lead to generation of ROS [5]. The blocking of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) at the level of aconitase under these conditions is supposed to promote a decrease in the degree of reduction of electron carriers in the respiratory chain of mitochondria [3, 6, 7]; thus, the reaction catalyzed by mAH (mitochondrial aconitase isoenzyme) might be a locus of regulation of ROS formation.

LOCALIZATION AND PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLE OF ACONITASE ISOENZYMES

Aconitate hydratase catalyzes the reaction of reversible isomerization of citrate to isocitrate. The reaction has two stages: dehydration and hydration through the stage of formation of an intermediate product, cis-aconitate, which normally does not separate from AH. The two existing AH forms, cytoplasmic (cAH) and mitochondrial (mAH), differ from each other in physicochemical and structural properties [8, 9]. In humans, cAH and mAH are present in all tissues; they are most active in the heart, kidney, and liver [8]. The cAH-coding locus has been revealed on the ninth human chromosome [10]; the mAH locus is not coupled with these genes and is localized in the g 112 22q13 region of the same chromosome [11]. Although cAH is only 30% homologous to mAH, they have 18 similar amino acid residues of the active center [12]. Both AH isoenzymes have an iron–sulfur cluster bound with cysteine residues Cys437, Cys503, and Cys506 [13].

Aconitate isoforms are supposed to have different physiological functions reflecting the effect of the enzyme on oxidative and biosynthetic processes. The reaction catalyzed by mAH is the initiating stage of the TCA cycle. Mitochondrial AH is very sensitive to ROS [14], even...
more than the cytosolic form. Accumulation of superoxide induced by the electron-transport chain of mitochondria seems to reduce mAH activity with concurrent slowing of the TCA cycle and decrease in electron flow through the respiratory chain [15].

Mitochondrial AH plays the key role in the bioenergetic theory of malignant transformation of the prostate. According to this theory, normal citrate-producing epithelium cells of the prostate become citrate-oxidizing. The activity of this enzyme is not regulated, which results in production of the energy required for tumor growth and metastasis [16]. The blocking of mAH expression and activity by 40–60% causes a decrease in ATP biosynthesis, increase in citrate secretion, and reduction of the rate of proliferation of human prostate carcinoma cells [17].

Disturbance of TCA cycle functioning at the level of mAH is associated with other pathophysiological changes as well. It is supposed that the slowing of the TCA cycle due to mAH inhibition underlies obesity [18].

AH may be involved also in regulation of individual enzyme activities. It has been shown that mAH is able to catalyze the keto-enol tautomerization of oxaloacetate, which prevents inhibition of succinate dehydrogenase by enol-oxaloacetate [19]. Also, AH from bovine heart mitochondria has been shown to catalyze transformation of methyl-cis-aconitate into D-threo-α-methyl isocitrate, which is an inhibitor of NADP-isocitrate dehydrogenase [20].

Some data indicate that mAH can be incorporated in protein complexes. The results of some studies suggest that AH, citrate synthase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, fumarase, and malate dehydrogenase are clustered in rat liver mitochondria [21]. Also, mAH, Mn-SOD (Mn-dependent superoxide dismutase), malate dehydrogenase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, and calcineurin have been shown to form a common, jointly regulated multi-protein complex in mouse heart mitochondria [22].

Recently, it has been found that mAH can be included in complexes (nucleoids) formed by mitochondrial DNA and proteins. At the same time, mAH stabilizes mitochondrial DNA, probably by reversible reconstruction of nucleoids, which directly influences the expression of mitochondrial genes in response to changes in cell metabolism [23]. The nucleoids from yeast mitochondria have been found to contain 22 proteins, including mAH, and the presence of mAH is necessary for the integrity of the DNA independently of the catalytic activity of the enzyme. Expression of the mAH gene in yeast is controlled by two signal pathways that transmit information from mitochondrion to nucleus [24]. However, AH has not been found in the nucleoids of human mitochondria [25].

The data on the role of AH in viral infection are rather interesting. It has been shown that mAH is one of the cell proteins required for coronavirus replication [26]. In addition, the specific binding of mAH and mitochondrial chaperones Hsp70, Hsp60, Hsp40, and RNA of the mouse hepatitis virus with the formation of a stable complex was revealed. These proteins were associated also in the absence of viral RNA [27].

The functioning of cAH is directed mainly to regulation of citrate accumulation and utilization in lipogenesis [28]. Thereupon, AH affects the level of free fatty acids that provide the uncoupling of respiration and phosphorylation in mitochondria, which in case of necessity can reduce the rate of ROS formation at high ratios of NADH/NAD⁺ and ATP/ADP and high membrane potential [6].

The functioning of cAH can also be associated with glutamate synthesis. Glutamate performs many physiological functions, in particular, the function of neurotransmitter in the retina and in the central nervous system. Introduction of a source of iron ions enhances glutamate secretion in cultivated lens cells and neurons through an increase in cAH activity and intensification of isocitrinate formation. Under the influence of cytoplasmic NADP-isocitrate dehydrogenase, isocitrate is transformed into 2-ketoglutarate, which is a precursor of glutamate. Inhibition of AH by oxalomalate reduces glutamate secretion and eliminates the effect of iron ions on the latter [29].

REGULATION OF ACONITASE ACTIVITY UNDER NORMAL CONDITIONS AND UNDER OXIDATIVE STRESS

The following types of regulation of AH activity are known at present: activation/inactivation due to assembly/disassembly of the iron–sulfur cluster or substitution of other metals for iron in the latter; inactivation due to modification of cysteine and tyrosine residues; competitive inhibition by di- and tricarboxylic acids. The expression of AH can be regulated on the posttranscriptional level.

The activity of AH requires Fe²⁺, and the maximal activity, in addition, requires the presence of sulphydryl compounds in the medium [9]. The study of the effects of various metals showed that AH is activated only by Fe²⁺ [30]. The stimulating effect of Fe²⁺ on AH from rat liver in toxic hepatitis is more pronounced than in case of the enzyme isolated from the liver of healthy animals [31]. The mAH from human prostate carcinoma cells (PC-3) is also activated by iron compounds, with intensification of the expression of the enzyme [32].

Most bivalent metal cations have an inhibiting effect on AH activity. In particular, Zn²⁺ is a specific inhibitor of mAH [33]. The treatment of A549 cells with soluble nickel compounds also reduced cAH and mAH activities. At the same time, the content of iron in the cells decreased by 40%. Subsequent effects of iron ions on cells resulted in the recovery of AH activity and iron content [34].
Inhibition of AH by manganese ions is of particular interest because of the phenomenon of manganese neurotoxicity, with symptoms similar to those of Parkinson’s disease [35]. It is known that chronic exposure of rats to manganese affects the homeostasis of iron in blood and cerebrospinal fluid and that the manganese-induced neurotoxicity is partially caused by inhibition of AH activity [36]. Some data suggest that Mn$^{2+}$ reduces the degree of Fe$^{2+}$-dependent activation of AH, probably as a result of competition with Fe$^{3+}$ for the formation of a complex with the enzyme [37]. In prostate cells, the effect of MnCl$_2$ not only inhibits the enzymatic activity of mAH, but also decreases expression of the enzyme [38].

Some intermediates of cell metabolism and their structural analogs inhibit AH: fluorocitrate competitively with respect to citrate [39]; oxalolamate competitively with respect to isocitrate [31] (mAH being more sensitive than cAH) [40]); 2-oxoglutarate and oxaloacetate non-competitively with respect to citrate and isocitrate. Trans-aconitate is a competitive inhibitor of the enzyme with respect to cis-aconitate and a non-competitive inhibitor with respect to citrate and isocitrate [41]. Aconitase is also inhibited by the products of glyoxylate condensation with oxaloacetate and pyruvate [42], oxalosuccinate [40], and fructose-6-phosphate [43]. Inhibition of AH by alloxan plays an important role in the toxic effect of the latter [44].

Some data indicate that under changed conditions AH can associate to dimer, trimer, and tetramer forms, followed by the loss of enzyme activity [45]. At the same time, it is supposed that the multimeric forms of AH are products of denaturation in the course of purification [46]. Inactive mAH multimers have been found in rat brain in a model of Huntington’s disease, where mAH is a substrate for transglutaminase-2 [47].

It is known that superoxide anion radical inhibits AH activity [2]. A general opinion is that AH can undergo reversible oxidative inhibition, depending on the amount and duration of oxidative stress, in the absence of changes in the [4Fe–4S]$^{2+}$ cluster due to the interaction of AH with the mitochondrial iron-binding protein frataxin. Later, reversible inhibition can lead to irreversible inactivation through destruction of the [4Fe–4S]$^{2+}$ cluster, carbonylation, and ATP-dependent degradation [48].

The available data confirm that citrate accumulation under AH inhibition restricts the formation of hydroxyl radical in the Fenton reaction through the binding of iron ions, and it thus protects AH from inactivation [49]. In particular, citrate protects astrocytes from hypoxic damage \textit{in vitro}; fructose-1,6-diphosphate and fluorocitrate have the same protective effect [50].

Some works have demonstrated an inhibiting effect of nitric oxide on AH [51, 52] and decrease in cAH gene expression in the presence of NO$^\bullet$ [53]. However, nitroprusside (a source of the oxidized form of nitric oxide (NO$^\text{3}$)) intensifies the enzyme activity and mAH gene expression in prostate carcinoma cells, supposedly through the adenylate cyclase signal pathway as a result of S-nitrosylation [17], whereas other nitric oxide sources decrease the activity of mAH. Also, it has been shown that AH is inactivated by peroxynitrite (ONO'O$^-$), which is quickly formed from superoxide anion and nitric oxide [54, 55] due to the release of iron from the Fe–S cluster. In the presence of citrate, half-maximal inhibition requires 66-fold higher concentrations of ONOO$^-$, and inactivation occurs as a result of peroxynitrite-mediated nitration of tyrosine and oxidation of cysteine residues of the active center. S-Glutathionylation of cysteine residues also decreases AH activity under oxidative and nitrate stresses [55]. Thiols protect AH from the action of peroxynitrite [54]. Formation of nitric oxide and peroxynitrite is also associated with a decrease in AH activity under the effect of proinflammatory cytokines (interleukin IL-$\beta$, tumor necrosis factor-$\alpha$, and $\gamma$-interferon) on cells [56].

On aging, the activity of mAH decreases faster than the activities of other TCA cycle enzymes [57], supposedly due to oxidation of residues important for catalysis. The ATP-dependent Lon-protease plays an important role in mAH degradation [58].

**ACONITATE HYDRATASE AND IRON METABOLISM**

The role of AH in iron metabolism has been defined in a series of reviews [59-67]. Aconitase participates in regulation of the level of endogenous Fe$^{2+}$ due to the presence in the active center of an iron–sulfur cluster involving three cysteine residues. This cluster has a cubic structure and consists of four Fe and four S atoms located at the corners of a tetrahedron. Iron as a component of the [4Fe–4S] cluster of AH is in the high-spin state. In reduced cluster, there seems to be no complete delocalization of the charge, and one Fe atom differs from other three. This Fe atom is isomorphically included into the structure of the [4Fe–4S] cluster and is easily removed under aerobic conditions with the formation of a [3Fe–4S]$^{2+}$ cluster. The enzyme with the [3Fe–4S]$^{2+}$-center is inactive. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy showed that disruption of the [4Fe–4S]$^{2+}$ cluster of mAH by superoxide results in the production of Fe$^{2+}$ and hydrogen peroxide, forming a hydroxyl radical in the Fenton reaction. Formation of hydroxyl radical but with lower efficiency was also observed on treatment of mAH with hydrogen peroxide. The available data demonstrate that inactivation of mAH by superoxide is reversible [4]. At the same time, initiation of the Fenton reaction can initiate reactive oxygen species-induced reactive oxygen species release (RIRR) when the mitochondrial permeability transition occurs. The phenomenon of RIRR has been demonstrated in isolated cardiomyocytes of rats [68].

**ACONITASE OF MAMMALS UNDER OXIDATIVE STRESS**
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The study of the mechanism of activation of AH by Fe\(^{2+}\) showed that Fe\(^{2+}\) is isomorphically incorporated into the structure of the apoprotein [37], and the paramagnetic \([3\text{Fe}–4\text{S}]\) cluster passes into the diamagnetic state [69]. The available data support preservation of the initial symmetry of four-nuclear Fe–S-cluster in inactive AH, providing easy incorporation of the fourth Fe atom [70]. It is also supposed that the transfer of AH to an active form may be spontaneous under reducing conditions; however, in the presence of Fe ions, the yield of the \([4\text{Fe}–4\text{S}]\) form increases [71]. Under the action of reductants, the active AH form is produced with a complex cation of the \([3\text{Fe}–3\text{S}]^{2+}\) type [72].

Under physiological conditions, the regulation of AH activity and the rates of AH synthesis and degradation are controlled by intracellular Fe\(^{2+}\) content. This control is mediated by Fe-sensitive cytosolic RNA-binding proteins (iron regulatory proteins, IRP), which regulate the translation and stability of AH-encoding mRNA [66]. So, the 5′-nontranslated region of mAH mRNA in four species of mammals was shown to contain iron-responsive elements (IRE). IRP are bound with IRE under conditions of iron deficiency, which results in repression of the translation of the enzyme. IRP are also bound with the transcripts of ferritin, a β-subunit of succinate dehydrogenase, erythroid aminolevulinate synthetase, and enzymes involved in heme biosynthesis [67]; they suppress the expression of proteins participating in iron metabolism at the posttranscriptional level. IRP are also bound with IRE in the 3′-nontranslated region of mRNA of transferrin receptor and iron transporter DMT1 (a variant of alternative splicing SLC11A2), providing stabilization of their mRNA [73]. As a result, conditions are created for increasing the level of available iron in the cell.

IRP1 is a cAH devoid of labile Fe\(^{2+}\). IRP1 from rat liver has been purified, and electrophoresis with SDS showed two bands with masses of 95 and 100 kD [74]. The amino acid composition of the protein corresponds to that of cAH. The IRE-binding domain and the catalytic site of cAH overlap [67]. Cysteine, arginine, and/or lysine residues play critical roles in the interaction with RNA [13, 67]. IRP2 is 62% homologous with IRP1, has no \([\text{Fe}–\text{S}]\) cluster, and is devoid of acinase activity due to the absence of cysteine residues binding the Fe–S cluster in the active center of AH [59].

It has been shown that IRP1 and IRP2 can be equimolarly bound with the same target (e.g. mRNA of ferritin) [67]. IRPs regulate their mRNA targets hierarchically [64]. On iron accumulation in a cell, IRP1 recovers the structure of the \([\text{Fe}–\text{S}]\) cluster, which prevents the binding with mRNA, and gains acinase activity. On increasing concentration of iron ions, IRP2 binds them and undergoes degradation in proteasomes [67].

It is believed that iron metabolism in cells is confined largely to the transfer of iron from free to RNA-bound IRP1. For example, an inverse correlation has been revealed between the IRP1–IRE-binding activity and the content of non-heme iron in liver [75]. The fraction of RNA-bound IRP1 is highly variable in different cells and is often minor. In most human cells, the iron-bound form of IRP1 (cAH) is predominant [76].

In addition to the intracellular level of iron, there is still another group of factors that contribute to the transfer of cAH to IRP1. These are NO [12], oxidative stress [13], phosphorylation [77], and hypoxia/reoxygenation [67]. These effects modulate IRP1 activity at the posttranslational level. The same factors can change the affinity of IRP1 to IRE. The factors controlling cAH expression are less studied. It is known that, for example, when the iron pool in the serum of guinea pigs became two times less as compared with the norm, the expression of mRNA of IRP1 decreased by 50% with concurrent equal reduction in cAH activity in the liver [78]. The level of IRP2 is controlled mainly by the rate of its degradation [79].

Most researchers believe that the RNA-bound fraction of IRP1 makes a contribution to maintenance of basal iron homeostasis in mammals. However, only IRP2 is sensitive to the level of iron under physiological oxygen concentrations and can compensate for IRP1 deficit by increasing its RNA-binding activity [80]. Thus, IRP2 dominates in the regulation of iron metabolism in mammals. It seems that IRP1 is also less important for iron metabolism maintenance in mammals under oxidative stress, because mice lacking Cu,Zn-SOD show not only inhibition of AH activity, but also decrease in the IRP1 level. In other respects, these mice had a phenotype with normal iron metabolism [81]. Data on the expression and RNA-binding activity of IRP1 and IRP2 in animals with inherited hemochromatosis and iron deficiency confirm the leading role of IRP2 in regulation of iron homeostasis in cells [82]. Finally, introduction of doxorubicin, with cardiotoxicity mediated by the increase in free iron level in cardiomyocytes, affects the activity of only IRP2 but not IRP1 [83]. It is supposed that mutations in the IRP2 gene can cause a series of blood pathologies and neurodegeneration [84].

The RNA-binding activity of IRP is affected by some hormones and growth factors (thyroid, erythropoietin, epidermal growth factor, etc.), mainly through activation of protein kinase C [85]. Both IRPs can be phosphorylated. In the course of this process, the activator of protein kinase C, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate, stimulates phosphorylation of IRPs and intensifies their RNA-binding activity in HL60 cells [77]. Such regulation is also typical of IRP1 from HEK293 cells. The site of phosphorylation is Ser711 [86]. The basis of an additional mechanism controlling IRP1 activity at the level of its stability can be phosphorylation of Ser138 [87]. Ser138, Ser711, and flanking sequences are highly conserved. IRP2 is more often phosphorylated than IRP1 [88].
Hypoxia decreases the RNA-binding activity of IRP1 in glial cells and intensifies it in cortical neurons, and these effects are reversible on reoxygenation [89]. Some ROS are known to modulate IRP1 in the opposite direction: extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ strongly induces IRP1 through a signal cascade, while superoxide (in the place of its formation) inactivates the mRNA-binding activity through direct chemical attack [90]. This mechanism is supposed to underlie the development of anemia in chronic diseases and accumulation of iron in cells under inflammation [91].

Modulation of the RNA-binding activity of IRP also involves NO and peroxynitrite. NO increases the IRE-binding activity of IRP1 in hepatoma cells of mice, particularly in the presence of thioredoxin [92], but not the activity of IRP2 [93]. In contrast, the RNA-binding activity of IRP2 decreases in the presence of NO and is recovered by thioredoxin [92]. It is supposed that S-nitrosylation of IRP2 thiol groups takes place under the influence of NO. This results in binding of the protein with ubiquitin and consequent degradation [94]. The effect of peroxynitrite on IRP is similar to the effect of NO [59]. There are also differences in the effects of N-ethylmaleimide or phenylmaleimide—alkylation reduces the RNA-binding ability of IRP1 but not of IRP2 [67].

The available data suggest that the factors affecting AH activity change iron metabolism in a cell. In particular, the treatment of human umbilical endothelial cells with TNF-α results in intensification of IRP1 binding with mRNA and iron accumulation, which might play a significant role in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and other cardiovascular diseases [95]. Still other evidence is the increase of labile iron pool (LIP) in cells under the chronic influence of nitric oxide on L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells. In this case, peroxide-induced genotoxicity increases [96]. Incubation of PC12 cells with Mn$^{2+}$ also results in LIP increase with a stable content of total iron in a cell through the action on IRP [97].

Oxalomalate, a competitive inhibitor of AH, was shown to significantly reduce the RNA-binding activities of IRP1 and IRP2 [98]. This results in the growth of intracellular concentration of ferritin and its mRNA pool [99].

In the proteasomes of cells with excessive iron, IRP2 is degraded through ubiquitinylaion. The mechanism of degradation includes oxidation of cysteine residues, while antioxidants (N-acetylcysteine, ascorbate, α-tocopherol) do not stabilize IRP2 but even promote its degradation. Degradation of IRP2 can be triggered by heme-mediated oxidation due to the presence of a heme-regulatory motif (HRM) in the IRP2 molecule. Two amino acid residues in HRM are critical for IRP2 degradation: Cys201, which binds the heme with Fe$^{3+}$, and His204, which binds Fe$^{2+}$-containing heme. This is indicative of involvement of these residues in the tracking system for redox status of the heme iron and generation of oxidative modifications. Moreover, HRM in IRP2 plays the critical role in its recognition by ubiquitin ligase HOIL-1 [100].

Maturation of cellular iron—sulfur proteins in eukaryotes requires a system of assembly of [Fe–S] clusters designated as IscS. It plays the key role in prevention of Fe$^{2+}$-catalyzed oxidative damage to mitochondrion. The available data show that recombiant yeast and human frataxins are capable of self-association into large molecular ensembles that bind and store iron as ferrihydrite. Both monomers and polymers of human frataxin can be Fe$^{2+}$ donors for IscS [102] or for the recovery of the [3Fe–4S]$^+$ cluster of aconitase. However, a monomer cannot prevent Fe$^{2+}$-catalyzed free-radical reactions and formation of insoluble ferric oxides. On the contrary, associated frataxin possesses ferric oxidase activity and detoxifies Fe$^{2+}$ by transforming it into a protein-bound form [103]. Frataxin plays an important role in maturation of both mitochondrial and cytosolic iron—sulfur proteins. Frataxin depletion in HeLa cells decreases not only the mAH and succinate dehydrogenase activities, but also the level of iron—sulfur clusters associated with IRP1 [104]. It has been shown that frataxin is able to function as a chaperone, protecting AH from disruption of its [4Fe–4S]$^{2+}$ cluster [48].

Ferritin might be related to regulation of AH activity. Cytosolic ferritin is known to store iron and protect cells against iron-mediated free-radical damage. Mitochondrial ferritin (MtFt) has also been revealed. Its superexpression in mouse cells leads to iron deficiency in the cytosol, decrease in the level of cytosolic ferritin, and inhibition of cAH and mAH activities. The binding of iron with MtFt is supposed to limit its availability for iron-containing proteins [105].

ACONITASE ACTIVITY IN PATHOLOGIES ACCOMPANIED BY DEVELOPMENT OF OXIDATIVE STRESS

There are many data on the decrease in AH activity and increase in citrate content in the tissues of mammals under hypoxia, ischemia, hyperoxia [106-108], and CCl$_4$-induced hepatitis [31]. The activity of AH has been determined in some works for assessment of the level of oxidative stress.

It has been shown that AH under oxidative stress is characterized by significant changes in activity regulation, which may contribute to inhibition of the functioning of the enzyme and accumulation of citrate. The cAH isolated from rat liver under toxic hepatitis is inhibited by
calcium ions. The stimulating effect of Fe$^{2+}$ on the AH isolated from pathologically changed liver is characterized by higher activation constant [31], as for the enzyme isolated from rat heart under ischemia [14]. Similar changes in the properties of AH can be explained as modification of amino acid residues and the iron–sulfur cluster. It is known that the sulfhydril group of cysteine reacts with prooxidants with the formation of sulfenic, sulfonic, and sulfonylic acids, forming disulfide and mixed sulfide bonds. The formation of sulfenic acids and disulfide bonds is reversible on treatment by reductants. Treatment with dithiothreitol of mitochondria isolated from myocardium after exposure to a 30-min ischemia and 5-min reperfusion completely restores the AH activity. Some date also demonstrate that, in spite of inhibition in the early period of reperfusion, exactly due to modification of sulfhydril groups, as expected, the mAH from myocardium does not degrade on further reperfusion but is reactivated, and the enzyme is found in association with frataxin [48].

A decrease in AH activity is observed in some neurodegenerative diseases associated with the development of oxidative stress, in particular, Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases [109]. Also, accumulation of iron is observed in the brain of people with Parkinson’s disease [110]. Also, mice lacking mitochondrial SOD develop a neurological phenotype with lower mAH activity, disturbances of behavior, and encephalopathy [111]. Mice lacking IRP2 demonstrate anomalous movement behavior on aging, including tremor in the state of rest [109]. The neurotoxic effect of 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridine causes the symptoms of Parkinson’s disease through inhibition of AH, increase in the intracellular iron pool, and initiation of apoptosis [112]. At the same time, cAH is transformed into the IRP1 form, with Fe$^{2+}$- and hydrogen peroxide-induced decrease in the content of tetrahydrobipterin, which reduces the activity of the dimer form of neuronal NO-synthase and increases the content of superoxide [113]. There is an opinion that oxidative stress associated with inhibition of AH and accumulation of Fe$^{2+}$ is both a consequence and a cause of epileptic seizures [114].

It has been supposed that Friedreich ataxia, a severe neurodegenerative disease, is due to a deficiency of mitochondrial or cytosol activity of iron–sulfur proteins. This pathology was previously described as an iron overload of mitochondria resulting in oxidative stress and the death of neurons. However, according to some recent data, the very fact of enhanced production of superoxide degrading the iron–sulfur cluster of AH does not explain the pathophysiology of Friedreich ataxia [102]. Moreover, it has been shown that complete deficiency of frataxin does not induce oxidative stress in nervous tissue and the onset of the first stage of pathology (nervous or cardiac) as previously stated. It has also been shown that cAH activity progressively decreases while its RNA-bound form increases, in spite of the absence of oxidative stress [104]. The pathology is probably associated with incomplete transformation of IRP1 into cAH and slowed adaptive response to the increase in concentration of iron ions [115]. A mutant in the gene responsible for IRP1 transformation into cAH was found in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which resulted in identification of the cfd1 (cytosolic Fe–S cluster deficient) gene. Non-lethal mutation in this gene decreases cAH activity by more than 90%. Besides, no Fe–S clusters were found in cAH. Protein CFD1 is supposedly localized in the cytoplasm [116]. At the same time, significant decrease in the iron content and RNA-binding activity of IRP1 and IRP2 was observed in scrapie-infected cells of mouse neuroblastoma N2a containing prions [117].

The available data suggest that AH can influence the intensity of formation of primary ROS under oxidative stress in the following ways: inhibition of the initiating stage of the tricarboxylic acid cycle and change in the degree of reduction of carriers of the mitochondrial respiratory chain; regulation of the pool of Fe$^{2+}$; regulation of accumulation of citrate binding iron ions; influence on the intensity of lipogenesis and the pool of free fatty acids providing “mild” uncoupling of respiration and phosphorylation.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, program “Development of Scientific Potential of Higher Schools” (project RNP2.1.1.4429).
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