Nitroglycerine effects on portal vein mechanics and oxidative stress in portal hypertension
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AIM: To examine the effects of nitroglycerine on portal vein haemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients with portal hypertension.

METHODS: Thirty healthy controls and 39 patients with clinically verifed portal hypertension and increased vascular resistance participated in the study. Liver diameters, portal diameters and portal flow velocities were recorded using color flow imaging/pulsed Doppler detection. Cross-section area, portal flow and index of vascular resistance were calculated. In collected blood samples, superoxide anion radical (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), index of lipid peroxidation (measured as TBARS) and nitric oxide (NO) as a marker of endothelial response (measured as nitrite-NO$_2^-$) were determined. Time-dependent analysis was performed at basal state and in 10th and 15th min after nitroglycerine (sublingual 0.5 mg) administration.

RESULTS: Oxidative stress parameters changed significantly during the study. H$_2$O$_2$ decreased at the end of study, probably via O$_2^-$ mediated disassembling in Haber Weiss and Fenton reaction; O$_2^-$ increased significantly probably due to increased diameter and tension and decreased shear rate level. Consequently O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ degradation products, like hydroxyl radical, initiated lipid peroxidation. Increased blood flow was to some extent lower in patients than in controls due to double paradoxes, flow velocity decreased, shear rate decreased significantly indicating non Newtonian characteristics of portal blood flow.

CONCLUSION: This pilot study could be a starting point for further investigation and possible implementation of some antioxidants in the treatment of portal hypertension.
INTRODUCTION

Oxidative stress is a condition in which the delicate balance exists between prooxidant (free radicals) production and their subsequent amelioration via the antioxidant defense system (ADS) becomes skewed in favor of free radical expression\(^\text{[1]}\). An increasing body of evidence suggests that oxidative stress is involved in the pathogenesis of many cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, atherosclerosis, diabetes and heart failure\(^\text{[2-5]}\). The existence of an interference of increased oxidative stress with the vasodilating properties of veins is now a well known fact. The term endothelium dysfunction is usually used to refer to an impairment of endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation caused by a loss of nitric oxide (NO) bioactivity in the vessel wall, which is, in part, induced by accelerated NO degradation by reactive oxygen species (ROS)\(^\text{[6-9]}\). Endothelial function is most commonly assessed as a vasodilatory response to various pharmacological agonists or mechanical stimuli that induce endothelium-dependent or endothelium-independent vasodilatation\(^\text{[10]}\). One such agonist is nitroglycerine (GTN)\(^\text{[9]}\). The aim of our study was to determine how sublingual administration of GTN might be used for the evaluation of portal endothelium-independent vasodilatation through estimating parameters of portal vascular mechanics and oxidative stress in patients suffering from portal hypertension. We hypothesized that the endothelium independent vasodilatation of the portal vein induced by sublingual GTN administration would lead to increased oxidative stress and significant changes in portal haemodynamics. The precise mechanism of increased oxidative stress is to be determined; we favor the importance of Haber-Weiss and Fenton’s mechanism. Also, increased blood flow through the portal vein and reduced shear rate may be involved in the previously mentioned process of enhanced oxidative stress. Indeed, portal blood flow is known to be affected by factors and circumstances of chronic liver diseases, and its changes evolved from basic haemodynamic laws\(^\text{[10-18]}\).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
This research was performed with a group of 39 patients with chronic liver disease and 30 healthy controls. Patients with chronic liver disease were recruited from the Department of Gastroenterology, Internal Clinic, Clinical Centre Kragujevac, while controls were recruited from the medical staff. The only obligatory inclusion criterion for the participants of the experimental group referred to the existence of previously clinically confirmed serious chronic liver disease (by ultrasonographical assessments, patient’s anamnesis and biochemical parameters). Thirty eight patients had previously confirmed hepatic cirrhosis and one patient was recruited with clinical diagnosis of Hepatitis B. Patients were defined as preascitic if they had never been diagnosed with ascites according to clinical and ultrasonographical examinations. None of the subjects took any medication known to affect vascular tonus or blood flow. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee prior to the onset of the study. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (Last updated in 2005) and principles of Good Clinical Practice (GCP).

Protocol
The examinations were performed in a quiet, air-conditioned, temperature controlled room (22-24 °C). The antecubital vein was cannulated by using a 19-gauge polyethylene catheter for taking blood samples. Blood samples were taken at rest and in the 10th and 15th min after endothelium-independent vasodilatation induced by sublingual GTN administration (0.3 mg). Parameters of portal vascular mechanics were recorded in the 10th and 15th min after endothelium-independent vasodilatation by using a Doppler 2D machine. All ultrasonographical measurements were performed by 3 independent physicians and mean value was used for the final calculations.

Biochemical assays
Blood samples were taken from the antecubital veins into a Vacutainer test tube containing sodium citrate anticoagulant. Blood was centrifuged to separate plasma and red blood cells (RBCs). Biochemical parameters were measured spectrophotometrically.

Nitrite determination
Nitric oxide was assessed as nitrite and quantified by a spectrophotometric method using Griess reagent. 0.5 mL of perfusate was precipitated with 200 μL of 30% sulfosalicylic acid, vortexed for 30 min and centrifuged at 3000 × g. Equal volumes of the extracted plasma and Griess’s reagent, containing 1% sulfanilamide in 5% phosphoric acid/0.1% naphthlene ethylenediamine-dihydrochloride was added and incubated for 10 min in the dark and read at 543 nm/L. The nitrite levels were calculated by using sodium nitrite as a standard\(^\text{[10]}\).

Superoxide determination
The level of superoxide anion radical (O\(_2^–\)) was measured using Nitro Blue Tetrazolium (NBT) reaction in TRIS-buffer with plasma and read at 530 nm. Bidistilled water
Portal cross sectional area (CSA) was calculated with regard to equation 1.3, where D is portal diameter:

\[
\text{CSA} = \frac{D^2 \pi}{4} \quad (1.3)
\]

RI represent portal resistance index and it was estimated by using maximal and minimal blood flow velocity (V_{max} and V_{min}) according to the formula 1.4:

\[
\text{RI} = \frac{V_{max} - V_{min}}{V_{max}} \quad (1.4)
\]

The inlet length (L) was taken as:

\[
L = 4,2 \frac{V_{mean} D^2}{4} \quad (1.5)
\]

Expected (ideal) portal vein flow ratio (F_{ideal}) with regard to Poiseuille’s equation was calculated by using equation 1.6. We made the assumption that \( \alpha = 1 \). \( \alpha \) is ratio of the viscosity, D is diameter in 10th or 15th min of test and D_0 represents initial diameter.

\[
F_{\text{ideal}} = \frac{D^4}{D_0^4} \alpha \quad (1.6)
\]

Pressure ratio (\( \Delta P_{\text{ratio}} \)) was calculated according to equation 1.7. Q is portal blood flow in the 10th or 15th min of test, Q_b is basal portal flow, while D_{0} and D_{0} are portal vein diameters in the same time intervals apparently.

\[
\Delta P_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{Q_{max} - Q_{min}}{Q_{mean}} \quad (1.7)
\]

We used equation 1.8 to approximately assess portal vein pressure difference between 10th min of the test and basal pressure with regard to Bernoulli’s rule. In the following equation \( V_{mean0} \) and \( V_{mean15} \) are the initial and 10th or 15th min mean blood flow velocity, \( \rho \) is the density of blood with assumption that it’s value is 1060 kg/m^3.

\[
\Delta P = \frac{1}{2} \rho(V_{mean15}^2 - V_{mean0}^2) \quad (1.8)
\]

Portal vein flow ratio (F) was calculated with regard to experimentally obtained portal vein flow values:

\[
Q_{10}/Q_{0} \text{ and } Q_{15}/Q_{0} \quad (1.9)
\]

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive data were expressed as means ± SEM. The significance of difference between the two groups was assessed by Student’s t-test, while differences between parameters in different time measurements were assessed by analysis of variance test with repeated measures and paired samples t-test as post-hoc. Statistical analysis of interobserver agreement for quantitative variables (D, \( V_{max}, V_{min} \)) was performed using the intraclass correlation coefficient. Results were interpreted as poor (< 0.04), regular (0.41-0.75) or excellent (> 0.76). P values < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS (version 15).

---

**Hydrogen peroxide determination**

The level of hydrogen peroxide (H\text{2}O\text{2}) was measured using Phenol Red reaction in TRIS-buffer with plasma and read at 230 nm. Bidistilled water was used as a blank probe.

**Index of lipid peroxidation (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances)**

The degree of lipid peroxidation in coronary venous effluent was estimated by measuring of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) using 1% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) in 0.05 NaOH incubated with plasma TCA extracts (using 28% Trichloracetic acid-TCA) at 100 °C for 15 min and read at 530 nm. Bidistilled water solution was used as a blank probe.

**Calculations**

The following haemodynamic and biomechanical parameters were calculated: (1) Portal flow-blood flow rate (l/min) through portal vein; (2) Shear rate-the velocity gradient between the moving planes, AV/ΔX (s⁻¹); (3) Portal cross sectional area-area normal to flow direction (cm²); (4) Resistance index-relative maximal velocity gradient; (5) Inlet length-point where a constant flow regime is established (cm); (6) Expected (ideal) portal vein flow ratio-ideally estimated value quotient flow; (7) Pressure ratio-estimated pressure changes derived from Poiseuille’s equation; and (8) Portal vein flow ratio-experimentally obtained value quotient flow.

The portal flow (Q) was calculated by using equation 1.1a, where D is portal diameter and \( \alpha \) is average blood flow velocity:

\[
Q = \frac{D^2 \pi}{4} \quad (1.1a)
\]

It is of interest to emphasize that portal blood flow calculated by equation 1.1a has to be in concordance with theoretical consideration of venous blood return and modified Poiseuille’s law (equation 1.1b and 1.1c). MCP is mean circulatory pressure, RAP is right atrial pressure, R and R are vein and arterial resistance, \( \eta \) is viscosity, \( \Delta P \) is pressure difference, P is pressure, L is length, E is incremental elastic modulus, h is wall thickness.

\[
Q = \frac{\text{MCP} - \text{RAP}}{\text{Rv} + \frac{\text{K}_{a}}{19}} \quad (1.1b)
\]

\[
Q = \frac{R_1^2 \pi \Delta P}{8 \eta L} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{R_1 \rho}{ \text{Eh}}} \quad (1.1c)
\]

Shear rate (Sr) was calculated according to equation 1.2, where Q is portal flow and r is portal radius:

\[
\text{Sr} = \frac{4Q}{r^3 \pi} \quad (1.2)
\]
### RESULTS

**Subjects’ characteristics and portal vein parameters**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

Investigated haemodynamic and biomechanical parameters of patients and controls before and after GTN administration are summarized in Table 2.

Groups significantly differed in the majority of investigated parameters in all three times of measurement.

Following GTN administration, the majority of portal vein vascular mechanic and hemodynamic parameters reached a maximum after 10 min in both groups and then slightly changed in the opposite direction during the last 5 min of the performed test. Figure 1 illustrates the effects of GTN administration on mean portal flow.

Vasodilatation after GTN administration was associated with a significant difference between obtained and ideal portal flow rate ratio in patients both in 10th and 15th min of the test. However, there was no significant difference in F between the controls and patients.

In the 15th min of the test, the decrease of the pressure ratio in controls resulted in significant differences in its values between controls and patients and this phenomenon is in concordance with the decrease in hydrodynamic pressure in controls but still significantly higher hydrodynamic pressure in controls. Bernoulli’s equation was used with regard to significantly shorter portal vein (about 8 cm) when compared to the inlet length and therefore flat velocity profile. Shear rate observation could be of interest with regard to study of the boundary level and served to assess flow velocity profile. During our study velocity profile was flat, with a very thin boundary layer. Table 3 shows data (diameter, maximal and minimal blood flow velocity) measured by three independent observers. Interobserver agreement evaluated by the intraclass correlation coefficient showed excellent and regular results for the quantitative variables as shown in Table 3.

### Oxidative stress parameters

The dynamics of oxidative stress parameters in patients and controls can be seen in Figures 2A-D. At rest, significant differences between patients and controls were observed in levels of $O_2$ (12.88 ± 2.24 nmol/mL vs 6.57 ± 1.07 nmol/mL, $P < 0.05$) and NO (4.85 ± 1.01 nmol/mL vs 15.06 ± 1.11 nmol/mL, $P < 0.01$). The same situation was observed 10 min after GTN administration, while in 15th min of the test patients experienced a significant rise in the level of the index of lipid peroxidation (2.37 ± 0.47 μmol/L vs 1.26 ± 0.08 μmol/L, $P < 0.05$), so groups now differed in three oxidative stress parameters.

GTN administration induced a significant rise in NO levels only in controls (NO levels were increased in the 10th min), but their NO levels returned to the basal values 15 min after GTN administration. The responses of $O_2$ and TBARS to GTN administration were similar: 10 min after GTN administration levels of $O_2$ and TBARS were elevated in both groups. In the 15th min of the test, controls’ $O_2$ and TBARS levels decreased to the levels similar to that in rest, while patients experienced further increases in the level of the index of lipid peroxidation and their

---

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of investigated groups $n$ (%)

| Clinical parameters | Patients $n$ = 39 | Control $n$ = 30 | $P$ value |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Average age (yr) (mean ± SE) 54.8 ± 1.3 | 42.5 ± 0.9 | < 0.01 |
| Gender | | | |
| Male 34 (87.1) | 17 (56.7) | < 0.01 |
| Female 5 (12.9) | 13 (43.3) | < 0.01 |
| Body mass (kg ± SE) 72.5 ± 2.0 | 72.8 ± 2.6 | NS |
| Height (cm ± SEM) 170.4 ± 1.4 | 171.1 ± 2.1 | NS |
| Body mass index (mean ± SE) 41.9 ± 1.2 | 42.3 ± 1.3 | NS |
| Diagnosis | | | |
| Cirrhosis hepatitis 38 (97.4) | 0 (0) | | |
| Hepatitis B 1 (2.6) | 0 (0) | | |
| Diameter liver (mm ± SE) 168.4 ± 3.1 | 147.3 ± 2.2 | < 0.01 |
| Ascites | | | |
| Yes 4 (10.3) | 0 (0) | < 0.01 |
| No 35 (89.7) | 30 (100) | | |
| Varices esophagi | | | |
| Yes (Grade III) 3 (7.7) | 0 (0) | | |
| No 36 (92.3) | 30 (100) | | |
| AST (U/I ± SEM) 90.5 ± 15.5 | 21.4 ± 1.4 | < 0.01 |
| ALT (U/I ± SEM) 45.3 ± 8.6 | 24.1 ± 1.5 | < 0.01 |
| GGT (U/I ± SEM) 267.5 ± 41.6 | 10.4 ± 1.3 | < 0.01 |
| GGT (μmol/L ± SE) 2.9 ± 0.2 | < 0.01 |
| ALT (μmol/L ± SE) | | | |
| Yes 4 (10.3) | 0 (0) | | |
| No 35 (89.7) | 30 (100) | | |
| AST | | | |
| Increased 25 (64.1) | 0 (0) | < 0.01 |
| Normal 14 (35.9) | 30 (100) | | |
| ALT | | | |
| Increased 12 (30.7) | 0 (0) | | |
| Normal 27 (69.3) | 30 (100) | | |
| GGT | | | |
| Increased 22 (56.4) | 0 (0) | | |
| Normal 17 (43.6) | 30 (100) | | |
| Total bilirubin (μmol/L ± SE) 43.3 ± 8.0 | 18.6 ± 0.8 | < 0.01 |
| Direct bilirubin (μmol/L ± SE) 18.2 ± 3.9 | 2.9 ± 0.2 | < 0.01 |
| Total bilirubin | | | |
| Increased 25 (64.1) | 0 (0) | | |
| Normal 14 (35.9) | 30 (100) | | |
| Direct bilirubin | | | |
| Increased 29 (74.4) | 0 (0) | | |
| Normal 10 (25.6) | 30 (100) | | |

AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; GGT: Gamma glutamyltransferase; NS: Not significant.
Table 2  Haemodynamic and biomechanical parameters of portal vein before and after nitroglycerine administration

| Parameters | Groups | 0 min | P value | 10 min | P value | 15 min | P value |
|------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| D (mm)     | Patients | 11.60 ± 0.32 | < 0.01 | 13.81 ± 0.31 | < 0.01 | 13.63 ± 0.32 | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 9.19 ± 0.33  |         | 11.02 ± 0.34  |         | 11.72 ± 0.37  |         |
| CSA (cm²)  | Patients | 1.08 ± 0.06  | < 0.01 | 1.52 ± 0.69  | < 0.01 | 1.49 ± 0.70  | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 0.68 ± 0.05  |         | 1.09 ± 0.06  |         | 1.11 ± 0.06  |         |
| Q (l/min)  | Patients | 0.61 ± 0.05  | NS      | 0.80 ± 0.07  | NS      | 0.78 ± 0.13  | NS      |
|            | Controls | 0.66 ± 0.06  |         | 0.86 ± 0.05  |         | 0.95 ± 0.08  |         |
| Sr (1/s)   | Patients | 66.50 ± 3.91 | < 0.01 | 49.85 ± 3.07 | < 0.01 | 51.71 ± 3.30 | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 139.87 ± 5.25|         | 111.29 ± 5.37|         | 83.83 ± 5.50|         |
| Vmean (cm/s) | Patients | 9.39 ± 0.50 | < 0.01 | 8.55 ± 0.55 | < 0.01 | 8.69 ± 0.57 | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 15.63 ± 0.48 |         | 13.13 ± 0.44 |         | 14.34 ± 0.46 |         |
| Vmax (cm/s) | Patients | 10.60 ± 0.57 | < 0.01 | 9.77 ± 0.65  | < 0.01 | 9.84 ± 0.62  | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 19.31 ± 0.56 |         | 16.51 ± 0.51 |         | 18.03 ± 0.69 |         |
| RI         | Patients | 11.96 ± 0.48 | < 0.01 | 9.75 ± 0.46 | < 0.01 | 10.65 ± 0.47 | < 0.01 |
|            | Controls | 0.22 ± 0.01  |         | 0.24 ± 0.01  |         | 0.24 ± 0.01  |         |
| L (cm)     | Patients | 13.69 ± 1.12 | NS      | 17.95 ± 1.68 | NS      | 17.52 ± 1.58 | NS      |
|            | Controls | 15.08 ± 1.56 |         | 17.00 ± 1.56 |         | 21.26 ± 1.78 |         |
| F (ideal)  | Patients | /        | /       | 2.32 ± 0.21 | /       | 2.11 ± 0.21 | < 0.05 |
|            | Controls | /        | /       | 2.54 ± 0.34 | /       | 3.38 ± 0.51 | < 0.01 |
| ΔPav     | Patients | /        | /       | 0.66 ± 0.03 | /       | 0.68 ± 0.03 | < 0.05 |
|            | Controls | /        | /       | 0.68 ± 0.04 | /       | 0.49 ± 0.04 |         |
| F         | Patients | /        | /       | 1.34 ± 0.07 | /       | 1.31 ± 0.06 | NS      |
|            | Controls | /        | /       | 1.45 ± 0.10 | /       | 1.48 ± 0.08 |         |
| ΔP (mmHg) | Patients | /        | /       | 0.004 ± 0.003 | < 0.01 | 0.005 ± 0.003 | < 0.05 |
|            | Controls | /        | /       | 0.029 ± 0.006 |         | 0.014 ± 0.003 |         |

Values are expressed as mean ± SE; P in colon 3, 5 and 7 relates to the existence of the difference between groups, while a and b represent the existence of difference between the initial measurement and the measurement in 10th or 15th min. d represents the existence of difference between Fideal and F. e represents the existence of difference between the measurements in 10th and 15th min. P < 0.05 vs 0 min, P < 0.01 vs 0 min, P < 0.01 vs F, P < 0.05 vs 10 min. D: Portal diameter; CSA: Cross sectional area; Q; : : Portal resistance index; L: Length; Fideal: Expected (ideal) portal vein flow ratio; F: Portal vein flow ratio; ΔPav: Pressure ratio; ΔP: Pressure difference; NS: Not significant.

Table 3  Parameters measured by three independent observers (I, II and III)

| Parameters | Groups | 0 min | I | II | III | IA | 0 min | I | II | III | IA |
|------------|--------|-------|---|----|-----|----|-------|---|----|-----|----|
| D (mm)     | Patients | 11.47 ± 0.31 | 11.70 ± 0.33 | 11.59 ± 0.31 | 0.84 | 13.79 ± 0.31 | 13.86 ± 0.32 | 13.80 ± 0.31 | 0.89 | 13.61 ± 0.33 | 13.65 ± 0.35 | 13.64 ± 0.32 | 0.95 |
|            | Controls | 9.22 ± 0.32 | 9.19 ± 0.34 | 9.22 ± 0.32 | 0.96 | 11.07 ± 0.35 | 10.91 ± 0.29 | 11.00 ± 0.35 | 0.84 | 11.80 ± 0.43 | 11.77 ± 0.39 | 11.55 ± 0.34 | 0.9 |
| Vmax (cm/s) | Patients | 10.55 ± 0.53 | 10.99 ± 0.64 | 10.17 ± 0.50 | 0.83 | 9.80 ± 0.63 | 10.84 ± 0.72 | 8.94 ± 0.52 | 0.73 | 9.91 ± 0.64 | 10.01 ± 0.62 | 9.52 ± 0.59 | 0.79 |
|            | Controls | 19.74 ± 0.56 | 19.11 ± 0.52 | 19.07 ± 0.61 | 0.80 | 17.11 ± 0.53 | 16.44 ± 0.48 | 16.02 ± 0.50 | 0.79 | 18.11 ± 0.72 | 18.77 ± 0.81 | 17.43 ± 0.55 | 0.75 |
| Vmean (cm/s) | Patients | 8.11 ± 0.40 | 8.59 ± 0.51 | 7.73 ± 0.36 | 0.76 | 7.48 ± 0.51 | 7.22 ± 0.50 | 7.32 ± 0.44 | 0.83 | 7.68 ± 0.50 | 7.90 ± 0.59 | 7.01 ± 0.51 | 0.79 |
|            | Controls | 12.12 ± 0.55 | 12.26 ± 0.60 | 11.46 ± 0.34 | 0.79 | 9.86 ± 0.48 | 9.89 ± 0.48 | 9.55 ± 0.42 | 0.84 | 10.89 ± 0.35 | 10.22 ± 0.43 | 10.78 ± 0.40 | 0.81 |

Interobserver agreement for quantitative parameters (portal vein diameter, maximal and minimal blood flow velocity) calculated from the comparison of data from all three observers. D: Portal diameter; IA: Interobserver agreement.

O₂ levels remained elevated. Hydrogen peroxide did not change significantly throughout the study.

**DISCUSSION**

**Haemodynamics**

Endothelium-independent vasodilatation observed after GTN administration was caused by smooth muscle relaxation of the portal vein. Portal vein diameter rose significantly throughout the study and concomitantly statistically significant enhancement in portal blood flow (15th min) appear to be in concordance with equation 1.1b. With regard to equation 1.1b it is clear that small changes in vein resistance lead to huge blood flow changes, where-
patients with liver cirrhosis. During the first 10 min, average blood flow velocity decreases simultaneously in both groups due to higher increases in portal cross-sectional area compared to flow rate. Our study disclosed significantly reduced mean blood flow velocity during the first 10 min of the test due to discrepancies between blood flow and CSA. Table 2 shows that blood flow velocity parameters were significantly higher in controls than in patients.

A similar pattern was obtained by using ideal and measured portal blood flow values. The ideal (expected) value was calculated by using equation 1.6 with regard to assumption of the equality of blood viscosity during the study. If the assumption about the equality viscosity is true, then $\alpha = 1$. Nevertheless, a significantly reduced shear rate in patients after GTN administration (49.85 ± 3.07 vs 66.50 ± 3.91) led to a nonlinear correlation with shear stress, so $\alpha$ might be different in comparison to the ideal value. In the 10th min of the test, expected (ideal) flow ratio rose up to 2.32 in patients and 2.54 in controls, while at the same time obtained flow ratio (F) was 1.34 $\pm$ 1.45 and both these differences were not statistically significant between groups. At the same time ideal flow ratio was significantly higher in both groups compared to the experimentally obtained flow ratio. However, ideal flow ratio was significantly higher in controls vs patients in the 15th min of test. High compliance does not reflect good elastic properties of vein, as one could presume, it rather reflects a change in geometry.$^{20}$ At low pressure the vein’s cross section is ellipsoidal and every rise in pressure causes the vein to become more circular, without change in diameter, but with a great increase in cross-sectional area the vein becomes fully rounded and concomitantly the flow velocity decreases due to an inverse relationship with CSA. At higher steady state pressure, every further rise in pressure causes changes in diameter.

This concept is very similar to the observations made in our study. Table 2 shows a significant difference between the diameter in patients vs controls after GTN administration. This is, together with mentioned changes in viscoelastic properties (increased elastic modulus) and observed low shear rate in patients, a possible explanation for the proposed “double paradoxes”$^{21}$, phenomenon seen in cirrhotic patients, due to obviously increased intrahepatic resistance as a consequence of elevated vasoconstrictor levels. At the same time increased systemic vasodilatation dampened responses to endogenous vasoconstrictors, and the overall effect was markedly increased systemic blood flow. Under physiological conditions vein capacitance does not allow any apparent de-

Figure 2  Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, nitric oxide, $O_2^-$ and $H_2O_2$ levels after nitroglycerine administration: measured at the beginning of the test, in the 10th and the 15th min of the test (results are expressed as mean ± SE of the mean; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). A: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances levels (TBARS); B: Nitric oxide (NO) levels; C: $O_2^-$ levels; D: $H_2O_2$ levels.
cline in venous system pressure.[23] However, we assumed that under physiological conditions, increased flow rate (volume overload) due to GTN administration means the portal vein wall is still working in less steep part of the tension-volume curve which further leads to a significant hydrodynamic pressure drop in the control group (15th min). The net effect is lower resistance to pressure changes than we could expect, reflecting the inherently limited distensibility of the portal vein. On the contrary, in some liver diseases increased sinusoidal resistance is responsible for the parallel and leftward shift of the pressure-volume curve. The result of this phenomenon could be a significantly lower hydrodynamic pressure difference in patients vs controls and observed significant difference between the groups in 15th min of test with regard to pressure ratio. Indeed, it is obvious that the lower initial velocity in patients led to a significant difference in hydrodynamic pressure during the whole test.

The most interesting observation is lower pressure ratio in controls vs patients in the 15th min of the test, which suggested proposed mechanism of geometry and biomechanical changes in portal vein wall. Shear stress is in good linear correlation to the shear rate (equation 1.2) only in Newtonian fluid. The very low shear rate in patients, observed in our study (66.50 ± 24.14 1/s in basal conditions), rules out linear correspondence between these parameters. At low shear rates the apparent viscosity (η) increases markedly. Shear rate measured in the 10th min of the test was significantly lower than the basal value in both groups, while in controls shear rate was significantly higher compared to patients. Below a value of 2001/s the fluid behaviour is non Newtonian[23]. The significance of this observation was stated above in discussion about blood flow discrepancy (double paradoxes). Using the inlet length value, given previously in Table 2, the parabolic velocity profile would not be expected to show complete development, already held flat profile. The reason is a much shorter portal vein (usually 8 cm) compared to inlet length. We used equation 1.8 to approximately assess portal vein pressure difference between the beginning of the test and the 10th min of the test with regard to Bernoulli’s rule and Poiseuille’s equation. Bernoulli’s equation was used, as we mentioned above, due to greater unsheared region in the flat velocity profile and it could at least be useful for explaining pressure change.

Oxidative stress
Increased oxidative stress is a well-known condition in many diseases. Oxidative stress is defined as the tissue damage resulting from an imbalance between an excessive generation of oxidant compounds and insufficient anti-oxidant defence mechanisms[31]. Different cellular enzymes, including xanthine oxidase, cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenase, have been identified as cellular source of ROS.

NO: Nitric oxide, as we expected, did not change significantly in patients in our study. Controls had significantly higher levels of NO at rest, and GTN administration induced its significant increase, observed in the 10th min of the test. NO excessive synthesis might be possible due to mechanisms of flow mediated vasodilation via opening of stretch-activated calcium channels and further intracellular calcium accumulation, which in turn stimulate NO production[24,25]. Increased NO synthesis is also expected in liver cirrhosis environment conditions. However, several mechanisms counteracted the flow-mediated increase in NO synthesis in patients: decreased shear stress induced NO synthesis inhibition; superoxide mediated peroxynitrite formation (superoxide was dramatically higher in patients versus controls). We propose that in portal hypertension, high pressure (P) mediated an exponentially decreased reaction rate constant (K2) of the ion channel, and altered gating properties of the channel[32]. This mechanism may be explained according to equation 2.0:

\[
\frac{k_2}{k_1} = e^{\frac{P_{AV}}{RT}} \quad (2.0)
\]

K- represents the reaction rate constant at pressure P, k is the channel activation constant in basal condition, T is temperature. However, the pressure ratio is similar in both groups, so it is more likely to presume that increased peroxynitrite formation and extensive synthesis of asymmetric dimethyl arginine (ADMA), a potent NOS inhibitor[27], are involved in maintaining the same values of NO in patients. ADMA is downregulated and very much depends on the activity of the enzyme dimethyl-diamino-hydrolase (DDHA) which transforms ADMA into citrulline. Increased oxidative stress should be able to reduce the availability of NO, so counteracting excessive NO production in liver cirrhosis. The present data demonstrate that excessive NO synthesis seen in patients with liver cirrhosis might be significantly modified by several described mechanisms.

H2O2: Hydrogen peroxide is created in the reaction of superoxide anion and hydrogen cation but this reaction is too slow (K2 < 1.0 M–1S–1, t½ = 1 min) despite the high redox potential (0.89 V). Almost certainly the Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions are essential for H2O2 disassembling. The total redox potential of Haber Weiss and Fenton reaction is 0.78 V, very close to redox potential of synthesis reaction (0.89 V), indicating equilibrium between these opposite reactions (probability that hydrogen peroxide will change it’s value in this case is zero, see later) and giving a possible explanation for unexpected lack of changes in hydrogen peroxide values throughout the performed study. Haber-Weiss and Fenton reactions can be deleterious, giving rise to the formation of the highly reactive hydroxyl radical (OH·), which induces lipid peroxidation. The concentrations of hydrogen peroxide and superoxide prior to test were in approximately equimolar equilibrium (in controls O2: 12.88 nmol/mL and H2O2: 9.93 nmol/mL; in patients...
O$_2$: 6.57 nmol/mL and H$_2$O$_2$: 6.70 nmol/mL. The possibility (P) of some reaction and it's correlation to the redox potentials difference ($\Delta U \approx \phi_1 - \phi_2$) is theoretically determined by using equation 2.1:

$$P = e^{\frac{-\Delta U}{RT}} \quad (2.1)$$

O$_2$- Superoxide basal value was markedly higher in the patients compared to controls, and this observation suggests increased oxidative stress in patients with chronic liver disease. Significantly higher initial nitric oxide levels in controls compared to patients may be due to a "mirror pattern" with superoxide. Taken overall, these findings suggest that superoxide is a good indicator of oxidative stress in patients with chronic liver disease.

Superoxide is produced in accordance to Hund’s rule so the probability of it’s formation is higher than the probability of reduction with two electrons. The redox potential of superoxide generation is 0.16 V. Superoxide was significantly higher in patients compared to controls during the entire test. There is the possibility, albeit not undisputed, that one major contributing factor is the diameter, as the larger diameter in patients vs controls created greater circumferential wall stress according to Laplace’s law. Increased oxygen consumption, promoted by increased tension, leads to increased superoxide production. However, there are doubts about whether superoxide levels are in better correlation with shear stress and Voigt’s model$^{20}$ compared to Laplace’s law.

TBARS: Oxidative stress could firstly be evidenced by an increase in TBARS concentration. TBARS continuously increased significantly (compared to basal value) in patients during the test. Basal values did not differ between the groups, but a significant difference was revealed after GTN administration. Indeed, we assumed that increased production of superoxide interferes with increased lipid peroxidation and TBARS concentration.

In conclusion, our study showed that endothelium-independent vasodilatation leads to a significant increase in blood flow and significant decline of mean blood flow velocity and shear rate in participants with chronic liver disease. Increased blood flow was to some extent lower skewed in favor of free radical expression. The preceding findings are in coherence with our assumption of O$_2$- mediated lipid peroxidation and TBARS concentration.

Our study could be of great clinical importance, especially regarding the role of oxidative stress in portal vein haemodynamics. This pilot study could be a starting point for further investigation and possible implementation of some antioxidants in the treatment of portal hypertension.
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BACKGROUND

Oxidative stress is a condition in which the delicate balance that exists between prooxidant (free radicals) production and their subsequent amelioration via the antioxidant defense system becomes skewed in favor of free radical expression. The existence of an interference of increased oxidative stress with the vasodilative properties of veins is now a well known fact, resulting in endothelial dysfunction i.e., a loss of nitric oxide (NO) bioactivity in the vessel wall. We hypothesized that the endothelium independent vasodilatation of the portal vein induced by sublingual nitroglycerine administration would lead to increased oxidative stress and significant changes in portal hemodynamics.

RESEARCH FRONTIERS

Nitroglycerine is one of the most often used drugs in the treatment of vascular diseases. In some previous studies it was shown that nitroglycerine-induced vasodilatation is impaired in some vascular diseases which points to the possible use of that drug in the diagnosis of different vascular diseases.

INNOVATIONS AND BREAKTHROUGHS

In previous investigations, application of nitroglycerine in order to test brachial artery reactivity showed that patients suffering from coronary artery disease exhibit less of endothelium-independent (induced by nitroglycerine) as well as endothelium-dependent vasodilatation (induced by short-term artery occlusion) compared to controls. Taking into consideration hyperdynamic portal vein circulation in patients suffering from portal hypertension it seems that some basic vascular mechanisms can correlate with other vascular diseases such as coronary artery disease. In concordance with that, the aim of our study was to determine how sublingual administration of nitroglycerine might be used for the evaluation of portal endothelium-independent vasodilatation through estimating parameters of portal vascular mechanics with special interest in oxidative stress in patients suffering from portal hypertension.

APPLICATIONS

The study results suggest that nitroglycerine-induced vasodilatation could be used as a potential new diagnostic test for evaluation of severity in portal hypertension.

TERMINOLOGY

Portal hypertension: Increase in blood pressure in the veins of the portal system caused by obstruction in the liver (often associated with alcoholic cirrhosis), causing enlargement of the spleen and collateral veins associated with regional hyperdynamic circulation. Nitroglycerine: Commonly used drug for treatment of vascular diseases, basically coronary artery disease, which acts by inducing endothelium-independent vasodilatation; Oxidative stress: A condition in which the delicate balance that exists between prooxidant (free radicals) production and their subsequent amelioration via the antioxidant defense system becomes skewed in favor of free radical expression.

PEER REVIEW

This is an original article, important for the development of the field of study.

COMMENTS
Cardiol 1997; 20: II-11-II-17
7 Cahill PA, Redmond EM, Sitzmann JV. Endothelial dysfunction in cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Pharmacol Ther 2001; 89: 273-295
8 Vanhoutte PM, Shimokawa H, Tang EH, Feletou M. Endothelial dysfunction and vascular disease. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2000; 169: 193-222
9 Moyna NM, Thompson PD. The effect of physical activity on endothelial function in man. Acta Physiol Scand 2004; 180: 113-123
10 Mittal MK, Gupta TK, Lee FY, Sieber CC, Groszmann RJ. Nitric oxide modulates hepatic vascular tone in normal rat liver. Am J Physiol 1994; 267: G416-G422
11 Kamiya A, Togawa T. Adaptive regulation of wall shear stress to flow change in the canine carotid artery. Am J Physiol 1980; 239: H14-H21
12 Pizcueta P, Piqué JM, Fernández M, Bosch J, Rodés J, Whittle BJ, Moncada S. Modulation of the hyperdynamic circulation of cirrhotic rats by nitric oxide inhibition. Gastroenterology 1992; 103: 1909-1915
13 Bomzon A, Huang YT. Vascular smooth muscle cell signaling in cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Pharmacol Ther 2001; 89: 255-272
14 Quilley J, Fulton D, McGiff JC. Hyperpolarizing factors. Biochem Pharmacol 1997; 54: 1059-1070
15 Yang W, Benjamin JS, Alexander B. Localisation of hepatic vascular resistance sites in the isolated dual-perfused rat liver. Eur J Pharmacol 1999; 364: 13-21
16 Green LC, Wagner DA, Glogowski J, Skipper PL, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. Analysis of nitrate, nitrite, and [15N]nitrate in biological fluids. Anal Biochem 1982; 126: 131-138
17 Auclair C, Voisin E. Nitroblue tetrazolium reduction. In: Greenwald RA. Handbook of methods for oxygen radical research. Florida: CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1985: 123-132
18 Pick E, Keisari Y. A simple colorimetric method for the measurement of hydrogen peroxide produced by cells in culture. J Immunol Methods 1980; 38: 161-170
19 Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem 1979; 95: 351-358
20 Boron WF, Boulpaep EL. Medical physiology. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders, 2005: 456
21 Djuric D, Knezevic S, Stojkovic M, Jakovljevic V. Acute effects of L-Arginine and nitroglycerine in patients with portal hypertension. In: Heinle H, Schulte H, von Eckardstein A, editors. Vaskuläre inflammation und endotheliale dysfunktion. Tübingen: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Arterioskleroseforschung, 2004: 104-116
22 Berne RM, Levy MN. Physiology. 4th ed. St.Louis: Mosby, 1998: 458-477
23 Ronco C, Ghezzi PM, Brendolan A, Creda C, La Greca G. The haemodialysis system: basic mechanisms of water and solute transport in extracorporeal renal replacement therapies. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1998; 13 Suppl 6: 3-9
24 Davies PF. Flow-mediated endothelial mechanotransduction. Physiol Rev 1995; 75: 519-560
25 Hoyer J. Endothelial vasoregulation and mechanosensitive ion channels in hypertension. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1997; 12: 6-8
26 Withers PC. Comparative animal physiology. Fort Worth: Saunders College Publishing, 1992: 220
27 Locatelli F, Canaud B, Eckardt KU, Stenvinkel P, Wanner C, Zoccali C. Oxidative stress in end-stage renal disease: an emerging threat to patient outcome. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2003; 18: 1272-1280
28 Nichols WW, O’Rourke MF. Mc Donald’s blood flow in arteries: theoretical, experimental and clinical principles. 4th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998: 61