A Case of Ectopic Rathke’s Cleft Cyst in the Prepontine Cistern
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A Rathke’s cleft cyst (RCC) is a benign pituitary cyst derived from the remnant of Rathke’s pouch, and usually presents as an intrasellar lesion with varying degrees of suprasellar extension. However, to date, a description of a primary prepontine RCC with no intrasellar component has not been reported. The author describes an exceptional case of a symptomatic RCC located behind the sella turcica in a 41-year-old woman who presented with severe headache. The author also provides an embryological hypothesis of the development of an ectopic RCC, with a special emphasis on radiologic characteristics.
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INTRODUCTION

Rathke’s cleft cysts (RCCs) are non-neoplastic congenital lesions that develop from an epithelial remnant of Rathke’s pouch, a normal component of pituitary development that gives rise to the anterior lobe, pars intermedia, and pars tuberalis of the gland. Topographically, RCCs usually occur in the sellar fossa with or without suprasellar involvement, but they can arise from every part of the craniopharyngeal duct, which is a part of Rathke’s pouch11,14. Intracranial ectopic RCCs are exclusively found in the suprasellar region, likely a result of pouch remnants within the pars tuberalis above the diaphragma sellae9. In rare instances, RCCs may reside in the sphenoid sinus or clivus regions9. In this report, the author presents a case of a RCC located in the retrosellar and prepontine area.

CASE REPORT

A 41-year-old woman presented with an acute-onset headache, which progressively worsened over the following 3 days. Her condition had been unresponsive to medical treatment. This patient had signs of meningeal irritation with increased cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cell number and protein levels. She did not show any endocrinological symptoms and signs on admission. The basal values of pituitary hormone including the gonad, thyroid, and adrenal axes were normal on laboratory evaluation.

Computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a nonenhancing homogeneous hyperdense mass confined to the retrosellar region. Mean Hounsfield unit (HU) for the central lesion was 105.1 HU. There was no bony abnormality in the sella turcica and the clivus. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging showed an ovoid and well-demarcated mass without contrast enhancement displaying isointensity on T1-weighted images and hypointensity on T2-weighted (Fig. 1). This 25 mm-sized lesion was located just dorsal to the pituitary gland and occupied the preopontine cistern. Differential diagnosis included; craniopharyngioma, pituitary adenoma, neuroenteric cyst, dermoid, and RCC.

A perional craniotomy disclosed a gray-whitish tumor, which was not attached to the infundibulum, and extended up the third ventricle. On approaching the pituitary region, the cyst was observed between the optic nerve and carotid artery. The thin capsule was opened, yellow-whitish mucinous contents evacuated, and the cyst elevated with further dissection. There was no evidence of previous hemorrhage at surgery (Fig. 2A). The tumor was gradually decompressed and totally excised through the space lateral to the carotid artery. The cyst was entirely located behind the dorsum sellae, there was no invasion through the diaphragm.
The patient recovered from the tran- 
scranial surgery. Pathological examina-
tion revealed the typical features of a 
RCC with columnar epitheliums and 
cystic contents (Fig. 2B-D). Postopera-
tive CT scans showed no evidence of 
residual cyst in the prepontine cistern 
(Fig. 3). Her severe headache resolved 
postoperatively.

DISCUSSION

With the increased use and evolution 
of brain MR imaging technology more 
RCCs are being discovered; however, 
symptomatic cases are rare and accu-
rate preoperative diagnoses can be dif-
ficult. Excluding pituitary adenomas, 
RCCs are the most common lesion in 
the sellar and suprasellar region. These 
are developmental epithelial cysts filled 
with mucous, gelatinous or caseous 
content. RCCs are well encapsulated 
and vary in size. Microscopically, they are typically composed 
of simple or pseudostratified columnar or cuboidal epithelium, 
often with ciliated or mucin-secreting goblet cells.

Neuroembryologically, the origin and pathogenesis of ecto-
pic RCC is a subject of controversy, however, it is not surprising 
to assume that it is similar to the mechanism for ectopic occur-
rence of the pituitary adenoma or craniopharyngioma. Ecto-
pic locations include the nasopharynx, the sphenoid sinus, the 
clivus, and the posterior fossa. It has been proposed that 
RCCs originate from epithelial cell remnants of the obliterated 
cranio-phenygeal duct along the path traversed by Rathke’s 
pouch and that location is determined by the embryological 
events of the sellar and/or suprasellar region. The pars tuber-
alis, while developing from the ventral portion of Rathke’s pouch, 
rotates to come in contact with the neuroectodermal layer of 
the developing ventral cerebral vesicle in the early embryonic 
period. As a result of different rates of rotation of the pars tube-
ralis, Rathke’s pouch cells predominantly spread through sellar 
and suprasellar region, but few cells can be located outside the 
sella turcica. Failure of ectopic pouch remnants beyond the 
pituitary fossa to regress further during development can lead to 
cystic dilation and formation of a symptomatic RCC.

On CT scans, the majority of RCCs are homogenous, nonen-
hancing, and of low-density, as are many other well-defined sellar 
and suprasellar lesions, including craniopharyngiomas, arach-
noid cysts, and pituitary adenomas. However, cases of mixed 
density RCCs with iso-, hypo-, and hyperdense foci have been 
reported in the literature. The cyst in this report differed from 
ordinary RCCs because of its high density on CT, which was as-
cribed to higher protein content. In this case, based on the CT 
HU density values, relatively acute hemorrhage (60–70 HU) or 
calcification (1000 HU) was thought unlikely and ruled out. 
The major differential consideration for a hyperdense RCC in-
cludes the classic colloid cyst and the rare “white” epidermoid 
cyst; because they may appear hyperattenuated on nonenhanced
CT scans\(^6,^{10}\). However, colloid cysts should not be mistaken for RCCs since they typically occur only at the foramen of Monro. Unlike RCCs, epidermoid cysts usually have an irregular configuration of the cyst wall and may calcify, and are usually located off midline.

Variable MR imaging characteristics of RCCs were presumed in part to be due to cholesterol contents, cell debris, mucopolysaccharides, and rarely, hemorrhage within the cysts\(^6,^{10}\). Uncommon RCCs like the case that have high- and isointensity on T1- and hypointensity on T2-weighted images are more likely to induce earlier symptoms, when the cysts are smaller than their CSF-like intensity counterparts\(^3,^{12,17}\). A RCC with low viscosity fluid may be confused with suprasellar arachnoid cysts, since they typically have the same signal intensity as CSF. It has been suggested that the presence of waxy nodules is the most reliable diagnostic indicator of RCCs\(^4\). In the present case, the intracystic nodule was easily observed on T2-weighted images, but detection of the nodule on T1-weighted images was difficult because of similarities in the cyst fluid and nodule intensities. While T2 hypointensity is not observed in every RCC case, this is in contrast to pituitary adenomas where hypointensity on T2 would not be expected unless there were hemorrhages. RCC shows no enhancement or is limited to the cyst wall; conversely, craniopharyngiomas typically have nodular, globular, or rim enhancement on MR scanning\(^6\). In the case of ectopic RCCs in the prepontine region, the major differential diagnosis is neuroenteric cyst or dermoid cyst because commonly they have a similar location\(^5\). However, dermoid cysts have the same imaging characteristics as fat because they contain liquid cholesterol. The best diagnostic clue for a neuroenteric cyst is a lobulated, nonenhancing, slightly hyperintense mass in front of the medulla on both T1-weighted and T2-weighted images\(^7\).

RCCs may compress structures within and/or adjacent to the sella, which can elicit symptoms including visual impairment, pituitary endocrine dysfunction, and headache. Rarely, they also cause abscess formation, chronic inflammation, hypertension, cavernous sinus syndrome, diabetes insipidus, or meningitis\(^8\). This patient presented with severe headache of acute-onset suggestive of leakage of the cystic contents. Acute symptoms that mimicked pituitary apoplexy were noted in up to 11.0% of reported cases\(^9\).

For treating symptomatic sellar and suprasellar RCCs, the endonasal transphenoidal route including microscopic and endoscopic is the procedure of choice. Results are reportedly good with low morbidity and recurrence rates\(^10\). Although they are rare, the transcranial approach may be required for complex cysts or entirely supra- and retrosellar lesions, which was evident in the present report. Regardless of the surgical approach chosen, treatment of retro- and suprasellar RCCs is more difficult owing to their intimate proximity to the optic chiasm and pituitary stalk\(^5\).

**CONCLUSION**

The author reports a rare case of a completely retrosellar type of RCC that was not attached to the pituitary fossa. The rarity of ectopic RCCs and their variable imaging features preclude preoperative diagnosis. The unique MR findings of an intracystic nodule with low signal intensity on T2-weighted image may be an indicator in differentiating RCCs from other parasellar cystic lesions.
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