“Kerrr” black hole: the Lord of the String
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Abstract

Kerr in the title is not a typo. The third “r” stands for *regular*, in the sense of *pathology-free* rotating black hole. We exhibit a long search-for, exact, Kerr-like, solution of the Einstein equations with novel features: i) no curvature ring singularity; ii) no “anti-gravity” universe with causality violating timelike closed world-lines; iii) no “super-luminal” matter disk.

The ring singularity is replaced by a classical, circular, rotating string with Planck tension representing the inner engine driving the rotation of all the surrounding matter.

The resulting geometry is *regular* and *smoothly* interpolates among inner Minkowski space, borderline deSitter and outer Kerr universe. The key ingredient to cure all unphysical features of the ordinary Kerr black hole is the choice of a “noncommutative geometry inspired” matter source as the input for the Einstein equations, in analogy with spherically symmetric black holes described in earlier works.

1 Introduction

Among the several black hole solutions of the Einstein equations, the Kerr geometry is without any doubts the most appropriate to fit the observational data showing that collapsed objects exhibit high angular momenta. Therefore, the complete and through understanding of its properties is crucial for correct description of astrophysical objects. Furthermore, recent expectations are
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related to the possible outcome of LHC experiments, including production of micro black holes.

On the other hand, the history of Kerr solution is studded with technical difficulties in solving Einstein equations, accompanied with a complete ignorance of the appropriate matter source. The textbook procedure is based on the so-called “vacuum solution” method consisting in assuming an “ad-hoc” symmetry for the metric and solving field equations with no source on the r.h.s. Integration constants are then determined comparing the weak-field limit of the solution with known Newtonian-like forms. While mathematically correct this approach is physically unsatisfactory especially in General Relativity, where basic postulate is that geometry is determined by the mass-energy distribution. Furthermore, insisting on the vacuum nature of the solution leads to the presence of curvature singularities where the whole classical theory, i.e. General Relativity, fails. In the Kerr geometry, there are further complications such as: an anti-gravity region and causality violating closed time-like curves. These pathologies should not be present in a physically meaningful gravitational field. A simple way out, is to replace the pathological vacuum region with a regular matter source. In a series of recent papers we have presented a spherically symmetric, regular, matter distribution leading to both neutral and charge black hole solutions with no curvature singularity [12,35,64, 79,10,11] and traversable wormholes [8]. Global structure and inner horizon stability for such a kind of geometries are currently under investigation [12,13]. The regularity of the metric follows from the presence of a minimal length providing a universal cut-off for short-distance physics. The idea that there should be a minimal distance is supported by many results in different approaches to quantum gravity [14,15,16], [17,18,19], [20,21], [22,23,24,25,26], [27,28]. This new parameter enters the Einstein equations through the energy-momentum tensor, and represents the degree of delocalization of the matter distribution [29,30,31,32].

In this paper we are going to apply the same approach to the axially symmetric problem attempting to remove not only the curvature singularity but all the pathologies quoted above.

2 Preliminary remarks

It is known that both Schwartzschild and Kerr solutions of general relativity belong to the same class of metrics (33) with some common properties. Firstly, combinations of the metric components can be brought to the simple form by an appropriate gauge choice (34). Secondly, both metrics can be put in the so-called Kerr-Schild form (35) i.e. it can be written in terms of the Minkowski metric plus terms involving a specific null vector $k_\mu$. This parametrization has the advantage that the Einstein equations are linearized in
a true sense (not an approximation) which renders them much more tractable. In the course of the paper, we shall exploit these common properties, when needed, in order to establish analogy between the two metrics. This could be particularly helpful having in mind that solving field equations for the Kerr metric is either extremely cumbersome [34], or based on some mathematical procedure without clear physical input [36,33,37].

Textbook approach introduces Schwarzschild and Kerr geometry as “vacuum solutions” of the Einstein equations, where the resulting spacetime symmetry is an initial assumption. Against this background, our approach follows the basic Einstein’s idea that spacetime is curved due to the presence of matter. Consequently, the symmetry of the metric is determined by the symmetry of the matter source. Having at hand the details of the spherically symmetric solution [2] we trace the pattern to follow in this paper.

As an introduction of the idea, let us start from the simple Minkowski line element written in a spherical basis

$$ds^2_M = (dx^0)^2 - dr^2 - r^2d\vartheta^2 - r^2 \sin^2\vartheta d\phi^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

One notices that specific combinations of metric components can be built up to give

$$\eta_{\vartheta\vartheta} \eta^{rr} = r^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\eta_{00} \eta_{\phi\phi} = -r^2 \sin^2\vartheta$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Equations (2), (3) are elementary in Minkowski space, but turn out to be very useful for black hole spacetime (see (18), (19), because they allow a very simple generalization leading to a quite non-trivial metric.

When matter is present, Schwarzschild-like class of metrics, in the Kerr-Schild form, read

$$ds^2_S = ds^2_M - \frac{f(r)}{r^2}(k_\mu dx^\mu)^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$k_\mu = (1, -1, 0, 0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$k_\mu k^\mu = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

We allow $f(r)$ to be an arbitrary function of the radial coordinate in order to account for both ordinary (singular), as well as, our regular solution. Standard “vacuum” solution is

$$f(r) = \text{const.} \times r$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
At this point one cannot but assign *a posteriori* significance to the arbitrary constant by comparing the weak-field with the Newtonian potential. On other hand, if one starts with a matter source, i.e. a proper energy momentum tensor in the r.h.s. of the field equations [2,3], it is *physics* that determines the solution free of any arbitrariness. We found

\[ f(r) \equiv 2M(r)r = 2Mr \frac{\gamma(3/2; r^2/4l^2)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \tag{8} \]

where, \( M \) is the total mass-energy of the source.

Furthermore, on general grounds one can always write Schwartzschild-like solution in terms of the radius dependent mass \( M(r) \) defined as

\[ M(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r dx x^2 \rho(x) \tag{9} \]

where \( \rho(r) \) is energy density of matter. The combinations (2), (3) turn out to general’s in a simple way, as follows

\[ g_{\vartheta\vartheta} g_{rr} = r^2 - f(r) \equiv \Delta(r) , \tag{10} \]
\[ g_{00} g_{\phi\phi} = -\Delta(r) \sin^2 \vartheta \tag{11} \]

Now, let us proceed and change the symmetry of the problem. Instead of spherical symmetry we choose an axially symmetric spheroidal geometry parametrized by the coordinates

\[ x = \sqrt{R^2 + a^2} \sin \vartheta \cos \phi , \tag{12} \]
\[ y = \sqrt{R^2 + a^2} \sin \vartheta \sin \phi , \tag{13} \]
\[ z = R \cos \vartheta , \tag{14} \]

Although the above parametrization is found in many textbooks, its geometrical meaning is seldom clear, mainly due to the habit to use a notation which is often indistinguishable from the spherical one. For the reader’s advantage, it is worth clearing any possible misinterpretation. Notice that the surfaces described by these coordinates are a family of confocal ellipsoids, for \( R = \text{const.} \), and confocal hyperboloids, for \( \vartheta = \text{const.} \), with foci on the ring \((0, a \cos \phi, a \sin \phi, 0)\). These surfaces are described by
\[
\frac{x^2 + y^2}{R^2 + a^2} + \frac{z^2}{R^2} = 1 \\
\frac{x^2 + y^2}{a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} - \frac{z^2}{a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta} = 1 \quad (15)
\]

The asymptote of the hyperbola, taking \( y = 0 \) for simplicity, is

\[ z = x \cot \vartheta \quad (16) \]

Therefore, \( \vartheta \) is the angle \textbf{between the z-axis and the asymptote}. Likewise, coordinate \( R \) is the smaller semi-axis of the ellipse, and \textbf{not} the radial coordinate \( r \). Any function \( F(R) \) is \textbf{not} to be considered a radial function in the usual sense. The parameter \( a \) appearing in the Kerr metric has the geometrical meaning of a focal length.

With these preliminary introduction of the symmetry, we write the Minkowski line element in spheroidal coordinates

\[
ds^2_M = (dx^0)^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{R^2 + a^2} dR^2 - \Sigma d\vartheta^2 - (a^2 + R^2) \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2 \quad (17)
\]

where, \( \Sigma \equiv R^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta \). Again, we look at specific combinations (2), (3) and find spheroidal analogue

\[
g_{\vartheta\vartheta} g_{RR} = R^2 + a^2 \equiv \Delta(R) \quad (18)
\]

\[
g_{00} g_{\phi\phi} = -\Delta(R) \sin^2 \vartheta \quad (19)
\]

The passage from flat to curved space-time is obtained by adding a function \( f(R) \) in the definition of \( \Delta \). Thus, we find

\[
g_{\vartheta\vartheta} g_{RR} = R^2 + a^2 - f(R) \equiv \Delta(R) \quad (20)
\]

\[
g_{00} g_{\phi\phi} = -\Delta(R) \sin^2 \vartheta \quad (21)
\]

Using (20), (21) one is left only with two unknown functions \( g_{\vartheta\vartheta} \) and \( \Delta \), since the component \( g_{\vartheta\vartheta} \) is preserved by the spheroidal symmetry. These functions are found using Einstein equations with appropriate matter source. However, things can be further simplified exploiting the power of the Kerr-Schild decomposition of the metric. In fact, null four-vector \( k_\mu \) can be found solely on the basis of symmetry arguments as
One recognizes the above line element as a Kerr-like metric written in the Kerr-Schild form. We are left only with the unknown scalar function $f(R)$ to be found solving the Einstein equations. It turns out that the Einstein equation for $\Delta$ is particularly simple in form and reads

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta}{dR^2} - 2 = -16\pi g_{\vartheta\vartheta} \left( T^R_R + T^\vartheta_\vartheta \right) , \; G_N \equiv 1$$

(24)

from eq. (24) one deduces, in view of (19), that $T^R_R + T^\vartheta_\vartheta$ must be of the form

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dR^2} = 16\pi g_{\vartheta\vartheta} \left( T^R_R + T^\vartheta_\vartheta \right)$$

(25)

We hope to have paved a relatively simple way to the generalized Kerr metric without the need to solve complicated equations. Now, we shall concentrate on the form of the matter source which produces the above metric and determine the explicit form of the function $f(R)$ through (25).

3 Energy-momentum tensor

The question of a proper matter source for Kerr (or any other) metric is of paramount importance to give the physical input to Einstein equations. Due to the original “vacuum approach”, there have been many attempts to “engineer” a suitable form of a matter source to cure the geometry anomalies. In particular, a general a posteriori form of $T^{\mu\nu}$ can be found by inserting the generalised axially symmetric, stationary, metric into the l.h.s. of the field equations [33,39]. In this approach energy density and pressures remain unspecified. Various attempts to guess suitable matter distributions reproducing Kerr solution outside the source and possibly regularizing its inner singular behavior were made [42,43,44], [45,46,47], [48,39]. However, this has always led to different geometries which have to be glued together. Against this background we shall present a unique solution of the field equations, free of any pathology, and smoothly interpolating between ordinary Kerr at large distance, and a new regular “Kerrr” at short-distance.

In order to determine the energy-momentum tensor we start from the result in the spherically symmetric case [2].
\[
T_{\nu}^{\mu} = (\rho + p_{\theta}) (u^{\mu} u_{\nu} - l^{\mu} l_{\nu}) - p_{\theta} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu},
\]

(26)

\[
u = \sqrt{-g_{rr}} \delta_{0}^{\mu},
\]

(27)

\[
\nu = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{rr}}} \delta_{r}^{\mu},
\]

(28)

where, the mass distribution is described by a Gaussian density \( \rho \) as

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{M}{(4\pi)^{3/2} l_{0}^{3}} e^{-r^{2}/4l_{0}^{2}}
\]

(30)

The total mass \( M \) is defined as the volume integral

\[
M = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta \rho(r)
\]

(31)

The above energy momentum tensor is describing an anisotropic fluid and has the same form as in [33]. The pressure \( p_{\theta} \) is determined from the vanishing of the covariant divergence for the energy momentum tensor [2], which gives

\[
\partial_{r} T_{r}^{r} = (T_{\theta}^{\theta} - T_{r}^{r}) \partial_{r} \ln g_{\theta\theta} \Rightarrow p_{\theta} = \rho + \frac{r}{2} \partial_{r} \rho
\]

(32)

\[
\cot \theta \left( T_{\theta}^{\theta} - T_{\phi}^{\phi} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow T_{\theta}^{\theta} = T_{\phi}^{\phi}
\]

(33)

where, the equation of state \( p_{r} = -\rho \) is understood. This form of the energy-momentum tensor can be extended to the axial symmetry by maintaining its form but changing the explicit expression for pressures and density. Furthermore, the four velocity \( u^{\mu} \) develops a non-vanishing component \( u^{\phi} \) describing the rotation of the source. Additional component of \( u^{\mu} \) can be obtained from \( u^{\mu} u_{\mu} = 1 \) and gives

\[
\frac{u^{\phi}}{u^{0}} = \frac{a}{a^{2} + R^{2}} \equiv \omega(R)
\]

(34)

This is the angular velocity of fluid layers rotating around z axis. The mass density \( \rho_{M}(R) \) is now chosen following the reasoning in [33] to pass from non-rotating to rotating physical situation

\[
\rho_{M}(R, \vartheta) = \frac{R^{2}}{\sum \rho_{G}(R)} = \frac{M}{8\pi^{3/2} l_{0}^{3}} \frac{R^{2}}{\sum} e^{-R^{2}/4l_{0}^{2}}
\]

(35)
With the choice (35) the total mass $M$, defined as the spheroidal volume integral, is found to be

$$M \equiv 2\pi \int_0^\infty dR \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin \vartheta \Sigma \rho_M(R, \vartheta) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dR R^2 \rho_G(R)$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

Finally, the energy-momentum tensor for the generalized Kerr metric is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \left( \rho + p_\vartheta \right) \left( u^\mu u_\nu - l^\mu l_\nu \right) - p_\vartheta \delta^\mu_\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$u^\mu = \sqrt{-g^{RR}} \left( \delta^\mu_\vartheta + \frac{a}{(a^2 + R^2)} \delta^\mu_\varphi \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$$l^\mu = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{RR}}} \delta^R_\mu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

It is important to keep track of different “$\rho$” functions present in this case. In particular, $\rho$ in (37) is an invariant energy density $\rho = T_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu$. It is given in terms of $\rho_G$ as

$$\rho(R, \vartheta) = \frac{R^4}{\Sigma^2} \rho_G(R)$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

in agreement with (38).

Again, the pressure $p_\vartheta$ and $p_\varphi$ are determined from the vanishing covariant divergence condition for the energy momentum tensor:

$$T^\vartheta_\vartheta = T^R_\vartheta + \frac{\Sigma}{2R} \partial_R T^R_\vartheta \rightarrow -p_\vartheta = \rho + \frac{\Sigma}{2R} \partial_R \rho$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

$$0 = \partial_\vartheta T^\vartheta_\vartheta + 2 \cot \vartheta \left( T^\vartheta_\vartheta - T^\varphi_\varphi \right) \Rightarrow p_\varphi = p_\vartheta + \frac{\tan \vartheta}{2} \partial_\vartheta p_\vartheta$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

which reproduces the corresponding quantities in (32), (33) in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$.

4 Regular Kerr-like solution

Our “Kerrrr” metric reads
\[ ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2RM(R)}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 + \frac{4aM(R)R}{\Sigma} \sin^2 \vartheta \, dt \, d\varphi + \]
\[ \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dR^2 - \Sigma \, d\vartheta^2 - \frac{\sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma} \left[ \left( R^2 + a^2 \right)^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \, \Delta \right] \, d\varphi^2, \] (44)
\[ \Delta = R^2 - 2M(R)R + a^2 \] (45)

where, \( M(R) \) is found to be
\[ M(R) \equiv 4\pi \int_0^R dx \, x^2 \rho_G(x) = \frac{M}{\Gamma(3/2)} \gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} ; R^2/4l_0^2 \right) \] (46)
\( \gamma(b;x) \) is the lower incomplete gamma function defined as
\[ \gamma(b;x) \equiv \int_0^x dt t^{b-1} e^{-t} \] (47)

We see that the solution for \( M(R) \) has the same form as in the spherically symmetric case with the substitution \( r \to R \).

In the above formula \( l_0 \) is a \textit{minimal length} which, in our approach [30,30,31], is reminiscent of the underlying non-commutativity of spacetime coordinates leading to the Gaussian matter distribution.

On more general ground, \( l_0 \) can be considered as the width of the Gaussian matter distribution of the source. Thus, in spite of the origin of \( l_0 \), the model is applicable both micro black holes and astrophysical objects.

Horizons in (45) are real solutions of the equation
\[ R_H^2 + a^2 - \frac{2MR_H}{\Gamma(3/2)} \gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{R_H^2}{4l_0^2} \right) = 0 \] (48)
This equation cannot be solved explicitly for \( R_H = R_H(M,a) \) as it is possible for ordinary Kerr solution. Thus, we follow an alternative approach: we solve the parameter \( M \) in equation (46) as a function of the horizon radius \( R_H \). The plot is given in figure [1]. As in ordinary Kerr solution for any assigned value of \( a \) we find three possible situations

(1) \( M > M_{\text{extr.}} \), there are two distinct horizons \( R_\pm \) and the solution represents a non-extremal black hole;
(2) \( M = M_{\text{extr.}} \), there is a single degenerate horizon and the solution corresponds to an extremal black hole of mass given by the minimum value of the curve in figure [1];
Fig. 1. Plot of the function $M(R_H)$ for different values of $a$ in $l_0 = 1$ units. The dotted curve corresponds to $a = 0$ (regular Schwarzschild solution), and the continuous curve to $a = 6$. For any $a$ there is a curve whose intersections with the line $M = \text{const.}$ determines the position of horizons. The minimum corresponds to the extremal black hole. Increasing $a$ lifts the minimum upwards.

It is important to consider the asymptotic form of our metric (45) in $\vartheta = \pi/2$, and $R \to 0$ where ordinary Kerr solution exhibits the infamous ring singularity. First, notice that the mass asymptotic behavior is given by

$$M(R) \sim \frac{M}{6\sqrt{\pi} \ l_0^3} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{6} R^3, \quad R \to 0 \quad (49)$$

and

$$\Delta \to \Delta_\Lambda = R^2 + a^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} R^4 \quad (50)$$

leading to the rotating deSitter geometry

$$ds^2 = R^2 \frac{dR^2}{\Delta_\Lambda} - \frac{1}{R^2} \left[ a \ dt - \left( R^2 + a^2 \right) d\phi \right]^2 + \frac{\Delta_\Lambda}{R^2} \left[ dt - a d\phi \right]^2, \quad (51)$$

characterized by a scalar curvature

$$\mathcal{R}_{dS_r} = 4\Lambda \frac{R^2}{\Sigma} \quad (52)$$

The singular ring can be reached by sliding along ellipsoids $R = \text{const.} > 0$ until arriving on the equatorial plane $\vartheta = \pi/2$ and then letting $R \to 0$. In this
region we find the Ricci scalar to be
\[
\lim_{R \to 0^+} \mathcal{R}(R, \vartheta = \pi/2) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi l_0^3}} = 4\Lambda
\] (53)
which is the constant curvature scalar of a regular rotating deSitter geometry. It remains to check what happens when moving along a \( \vartheta = \text{const.} < \pi/2 \) hyperbolae, which brings us down on equatorial disk with \( R = 0 \). In this case, we find
\[
\lim_{R \to 0^+} \mathcal{R}(R, \vartheta_0 < \pi/2) = 0
\] (54)
and
\[
\lim_{R \to 0^+} \rho(R, \vartheta_0 < \pi/2) = 0
\] (55)
Thus, the disk is a matter-free, zero curvature Minkowski flat spacetime, as it is in the ordinary Kerr geometry:
\[
ds_{M}^2 = -(dt)^2 + \cos^2 \vartheta_0 dR^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_0 d\phi^2
\] (56)
The difference with ordinary Kerr is that the singular ring is replaced by a regular deSitter, Saturn-like region of non-zero width, with inner radius \( x^2 + y^2 = a^2 \). Our model represents the first explicit example of a matter source leading to a singularity-free metric that naturally interpolates between nearby de Sitter and outer Kerr-like forms. No ad hoc conjectures, or patching, is required.

5 The stringy heart of the Kerr solution

From (53) and (54) we see that there is a discontinuity in the Ricci scalar as one approaches \( R \to 0 \), \( \vartheta \to \pi/2 \) from two different directions. One may wonder whether this jump has a physical meaning? We shall try to answer this question.
First notice that the metric induced on the equatorial plane is strongly reminiscent of the spacetime geometry in the presence of a vacuum bubble [40]. To be more precise, we can interpret the Minkowski disk as a “true vacuum” planar bubble surrounded by a deSitter “false vacuum” and we can apply the Israel matching condition [41] to give a physical meaning to the metric discontinuity. We write the flat metric (56) in terms of planar polar coordinates \( r = a \sin \vartheta, \phi \), as
\[
ds_{M}^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\phi^2, r \leq a
\] (57)
In the same way we write the outer equatorial plane, outer, near-ring deSitter geometry as

\[ ds_{\text{out}}^2 = \left[ 1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \left( r^2 - a^2 \right) \right] dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\phi^2, \quad r \geq a \quad (58) \]

The matching of the extrinsic curvatures along the static boundary \( r = a \)

\[ \epsilon_{\text{in}} \sqrt{g_{00}} - \epsilon_{\text{out}} \sqrt{g_{00}(r = a)} = 4\pi G_N \sigma \quad (59) \]

where, \( \epsilon_{\text{in/out}} = \pm 1 \) according with the choice of orientation of the normal to the ring; \( \sigma > 0 \) is the linear energy density, i.e. the tension, of the ring. The jump in the extrinsic curvature is non-zero for \( \epsilon_{\text{in}} = 1, \epsilon_{\text{out}} = -1 \) leading to

\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi G_N} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi \alpha'} \quad (60) \]

From this expression for the tension we can recover the mass of the ring \( M_r \) as

\[ M_r \equiv 2\pi a \sigma = \frac{a}{\alpha'} \quad (61) \]

Now, we can compute the ring angular momentum which rotates with angular velocity

\[ \omega_r = \omega(R = 0) = \frac{1}{a} \quad (62) \]

thus, we get

\[ J_r = M_r a^2 \omega_r = M_r a \quad (63) \]

By inserting (63) in (61) we find

\[ J_r = \alpha' M_r^2 \quad (64) \]

which is a classical Regge Trajectory with a planckian Regge slope \( \alpha' = M_{Pl}/l_{Pl} \)!

This result offers an exciting interpretation of the ring as a classical, rotating, circular string leaving on a Regge trajectory. Therefore, we offer the following physical interpretation of our solution. The “heart” of the Kerrr black hole is a rotating string of finite tension replacing the standard Kerr singularity. The
stringy interpretation is supported by the Regge relation between the mass and the angular momentum of the ring. The string is immersed in a cloud of matter described by the $T^{\mu\nu}$ discussed previously and the is inner engine inducing rotation of the matter ellipsoidal layers. The gaussian profile of the matter cloud is instrumental to regularize the infinite curvature jump, present in the ordinary Kerr solution, to a finite value $4\Lambda$, thanks to the presence of the outer deSitter belt. Or, in other words, the infinite tension ring-like curvature singularity is “renormalized” to the the maximum physically acceptable Planckia tension of a fundamental string.

6 Diving through the equatorial disk

There is another question long awaiting a satisfactory answer in the Kerr metric. It is known that, unless it is forcefully cut-off, there is an “anti-gravity”, negative $R$ region, were causality violation takes place due to the existence of closed time-like curves. We shall show that our metric resolves both problems. Let us go to the $z$-axis by taking $\vartheta = 0$ and $R = |z|$. Then, $g_{00}$ reads

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{2|z|M(z)}{z^2 + a^2}$$

(65)

The problem of multiple Riemannian sheets arises whenever $g_{00}$ is a function of odd power of $|z|$. In the usual Kerr $M(z) = \text{const.}$ and $g_{00}$ is discontinuous in the first derivative at $z = 0$ leading to a jump in the extrinsic curvature. In our case, the behavior of $M(z)$ near the origin is given by

$$M(z) \sim \text{const.} \times z^3$$

(66)

which gives an even function $g_{00}$ for small argument with a continuous first derivative in $z = 0$. In the standard Kerr geometry there are two different inter-
pretations of the discontinuity of the gravitational field across the Minkowski disk:

(1) discontinuity is justified by the presence of matter on the disk. Unfortunately, this matter turns out to move in a super-luminal fashion and have divergent density on the ring \([42,49]\).

(2) Discontinuity is removed by interpreting the disk as a “branch cut” and attaching a second Riemann sheet of negative \(R\). This procedure restores analiticity at the price of introducing a negative gravity sheet of the metric, where closed time-like curves can exist as a consequence of allowing \(R\) to be negative.

Our solution has no problems of this kind since it is analytic everywhere, and thus it is meaningless to talk about analytic continuation of the metric. In other words, geodesics can cross the Minkowski disk without any problem. Everything fits nicely together, as conjectured, following the same line of reasoning already encountered in the regular spherically symmetric case.

7 Conclusions

In this paper we presented the first example of a smooth matter distribution which leads to a pathology-free Kerr solution. The form of the source is a generalization of the corresponding Gaussian mass/energy distribution we introduced for spherically symmetric sources to the case of a rotating object. For both solutions, the same mechanism is at work: the curvature singularity is replaced by a deSitter vacuum domain. In the spherically symmetric case it is an inner deSitter core, while in the Kerr solution it turns out to be a Saturn-like belt of rotating deSitter vacuum, surrounding an empty Minkowskian disk. The novel feature of the Kerr solution is that the Minkowski disk joins the deSitter belt through a a rotating string with Planckian (finite!) tension. Beside removing the nasty ring singularity the gaussian cloud of matter eliminates the negative \(R\) sheet of the Kerr black hole by ensuring analyticity of the metric across the disk. Positivity of \(R\) forbids the presence of closed time-like curves.

To keep the length of the paper short enough to fit the journal format, we must postpone a detailed study of Kerr black hole thermodynamics to a next article. We anticipate that as in the case of spherically symmetric regular black holes, we find that the Hawking temperature is not unbounded but reaches a maximum value and then drops to zero as the extremal configuration is approached.

In a forthcoming paper we shall present the extension of the present work to the Kerr-Newman black hole.
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