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1. Introduction

In 1944, Mirakjan [13] and 1950, Szász [21] introduced operators on unbounded interval [0, ∞), known as Szász-Mirakjan operators defined by

\[ S_n(g; x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{n,j}(x) g \left( \frac{j}{n} \right), \]

where \( s_{n,j} = e^{-nx\left(\frac{nx}{j}\right)^{j}} \), \( g \in C_2[0, \infty) = \{ g \in C[0, \infty) : \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x} \text{ exists and finite} \}, x \geq 0 \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

An integral modification of the above operators (1.1) can be seen in [8] to estimate the approximation results for the integrable function. The important properties including global results, local results, simultaneous approximation, convergence properties etc. have been studied with the above operators and their modifications in various studies (see [1, 4, 14-17]). One of them, an interesting modification was the Durrmeyer modification of the Szász-Mirakjan operators and which can be written as:

\[ D_n(g; x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{n,j}(x) \int_0^{\infty} s_{n,j}(t) g(t) dt, \]

seen in [8]. Also, another modification into Stancu variant appeared in [18] of the above operators (1.2) and related properties like density, direct results as well as Voronovskaya type theorem are studied.

A natural generalization is carried out for the above operators (1.2) in [9] by Mishra et al. for the study of simultaneous approximation, like

\[ B_n^*(g; x) = u_n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{u_n,j}(x) \int_0^{\infty} s_{u_n,j}(t) g(t) dt, \]

where \( s_{u_n,j}(x) = e^{-u_n x\left(\frac{u_n x}{j}\right)^{j}} \) by considering the sequence \( u_n \) is strictly increasing of positive real number as well as \( u_n \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \) with \( u_1 = 1 \).
Our main motive is to study the approximation properties of the proposed operators (1.3) for the functions belonging from different spaces. The important properties of the above proposed operators (1.3) are studied by authors which can also be applied to the operators defined by (1.2).

In order to study the operators (1.3), we divide the paper into sections. Section second contains preliminaries results, which are used to prove the main theorems. Section third deals with the approximation properties of the operators for the function belong to the different spaces of functions classes. In section fourth, the rate of convergence is estimated of the operators for the functions with derivative of bounded variation. At last, we present the graphical and numerical representation for the operators in order to show the convergence of the operators.

2. Preliminary

This section contains the basic properties of the defined operators (1.3). In order to prove approximations properties, we need basic lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** For all $x \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
B^*_n(1; x) &= 1 \\
B^*_n(t; x) &= \frac{1}{u_n} + x \\
B^*_n(t^2; x) &= \frac{2 + 4xu_n + x^2u^2_n}{u^2_n} \\
B^*_n(t^3; x) &= \frac{6 + 18xu_n + 9x^2u^2_n + x^3u^3_n}{u^3_n}
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We can easily proof the above parts of the lemma, so we omit the proof. \qed

**Lemma 2.2.** Consider the function $g$ is integrable, continuous, bounded on given interval $[0, \infty)$, then the central moments can be obtained as:

\[
\Omega_{n,m} = u_n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{u_n,j}(x) \int_0^\infty s_{u_n,j}(t)(t-x)^m dt,
\]

where $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. So for $m = 0, 1$, we get the the central moments as follows:

\[
\Omega_{n,0} = 1, \Omega_{n,1} = \frac{1}{u_n},
\]

in general, we have

\[
u_n \Omega_{n,m+1} = x \left(\Omega'_{n,m} + 2m \Omega_{n,m-1} + (1 + m) \Omega_{n,m}\right),
\]

this lead us to

\[
\Omega_{n,m} = O \left(\frac{[m+1]}{u_n^{m+1}}\right).
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** Let the function $g$ be the continuous and bounded on $[0, \infty)$ endowed with supremum norm $\|g(x)\| = \sup_{x \geq 0} |g|$, then, we have

\[
|B^*_n(g; x)| \leq \|g\|.
\]

**Remark 2.1.** For second order central moment, it can be written as

\[
\Omega_{n,2} = \frac{2(1 + u_n x)}{u^2_n} = \frac{2}{u_n} \left(x + \frac{1}{u_n}\right) = \frac{2}{u_n} \zeta^2_n(x),
\]

where $\zeta^2_n(x) = \left(x + \frac{1}{u_n}\right)$. 

3. Approximation properties

Consider \( C_B[0, \infty) \) be the space of all continuous and bounded function defined on \([0, \infty)\), endowed with supremum norm \( \|g\| = \sup_{x \geq 0}|g(x)| \), also let for any \( \delta > 0 \)

\[
(3.1) \quad K_2(g; \delta) = \inf_{f \in E} \{\|g - f\| + \delta\|f''\|\}
\]

be the Peetre’s \( K \)-functional, where \( E = \{f \in C_B[0, \infty) : f', f'' \in C_B[0, \infty)\} \). Also a relation can be seen for which there exists a positive constant \( M \) such that:

\[
(3.2) \quad K_2(g; \delta) \leq M\omega_2(g, \sqrt{\delta}), \quad \delta > 0,
\]

where \( \omega_2(g, \sqrt{\delta}) \) is second order modulus of smoothness the function \( g \in C_B[0, \infty) \), which is defined by:

\[
(3.3) \quad \omega_2(g, \delta) = \sup\{g(x + h) - 2g(x) + g(x - h) : x, x \pm h \in [0, \infty), 0 \leq h \leq \delta\},
\]

also usual modulus of continuity can be defined for the function \( g \in C_B[0, \infty) \) as follows:

\[
(3.4) \quad \omega(g, \delta) = \{g(y) - g(x) : x, y \in [0, \infty), |y - x| \leq \delta, \delta > 0\}.
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider \( g \in C_B[0, \infty) \) and for all \( x \geq 0 \) then there exists a positive constant \( M \) such that

\[
(3.5) \quad |B_n^*(g; x) - g(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(g, \frac{\sqrt{\delta_n}}{2}\right) + \omega\left(g, \gamma_n\right),
\]

where \( \delta_n = (t - x)^2; x + \frac{1}{u_n} \) and \( \gamma_n = B_n^*((t - x); x) \).

**Proof.** Here, we consider the auxiliary operators such that

\[
(3.6) \quad \tilde{S}_n^*(g; x) = B_n^*(g; x) - g\left(\frac{1}{u_n} + x\right) + g(x).
\]

Let \( f \in E \), \( x \geq 0 \) the using Taylor’s formula, we have

\[
(3.7) \quad f(t) - f(x) = (t - x)f'(x) + \int_0^t (t - v)f''(v)dv
\]

Applying the operators \( B_n^* \) on the both sides to the above expression, it yields:

\[
B_n^*(f; x) - f(x) = f'(x)B_n^*(t - x; x) + B_n^*\left(\int_0^t (t - v)f''(v)dv\right)
\]

\[
= \tilde{B}_n^*\left(\int_x^t (t - v)f''(v)dv\right)
\]

\[
= S_n^*\left(\int_x^t (t - v)f''(v)dv\right) - \left(\int_x^t \left(\frac{1}{u_n} + x - v\right)f''(v)dv\right).
\]

Here, the inequalities are as:

\[
(3.9) \quad \left|\int_x^t (t - v)f''(v)dv\right| \leq (t - x)^2\|f''\|
\]

and

\[
(3.10) \quad \left|\int_x^t \left(\frac{1}{u_n} + x - v\right)f''(v)dv\right| \leq \frac{1}{u_n^2}\|f''\|.
\]
By considering the above inequalities (3.9, 3.10) and with (3.8), we obtain

\[ B^*_n(f; x) - f(x) = \left\{ B^*_n((t-x)^2; x) + \frac{1}{u_n} \right\} \|f''\| \]

(3.11)

\[ = \delta_n \|f''\|. \]

(3.12)

Also, \(|S^*_n(g; x)| \leq \|g\|\). Using this property, we get

\[ |S^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq |B^*_n(g - f; x) - (g - f)(x)| + |B^*_n(f; x) - f(x)| + \left| g \left( \frac{1}{u_n} + x \right) - g(x) \right| 
\]

\[ \leq 4\|g - f\| + |\tilde{B}^*_n(f; x) - f(x)| + \left| g \left( \frac{1}{u_n} + x \right) - g(x) \right|, \]

using (3.11) and with the help of modulus of continuity, we obtain

\[ |S^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq 4\|g - f\| + \delta_n \|f''\| + \omega(g, \gamma_n). \]

Taking the infimum for all \(f \in E\) on the right hand side and by relation (3.2), we get

\[ |S^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq 4K_2 \left( \frac{1}{4}\delta_n \right) + \omega(g, \gamma_n) \]

\[ \leq C\omega_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta_n}}{2} \right) + \omega(g, \gamma_n). \]

Thus, the proof is completed.

Next, we estimate the approximation of the defined operators (1.3), by new type of Lipschitz maximal function with order \(s \in (0, 1]\), defined by Lenze [7] as

\[ \tau_s(g, x) = \sup_{x, t \geq 0} \frac{|g(t) - g(x)|}{|t - x|^s}, \quad t \neq x. \]

(3.13)

Using Lipschitz maximal function definition, we have following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** For any \(g \in C_B[0, \infty)\) with \(s \in (0, 1]\) then one can obtain

\[ |B^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \tau_s(g, x) \left( \Omega_{n,2} \right) \frac{\tau_s}{\tau}. \]

**Proof.** By equation (3.13), we can write

\[ |B^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \tau_s(g, x) B^*_n(|t - x|; x). \]

Using, Hölder’s inequality with \(j = \frac{2}{s}, l = \frac{2}{s} - 1\), one can get

\[ |B^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \tau_s(g, x) \left( B^*_n(g; x)((t - x)^2; x) \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} = \tau_s(f, x) \left( \Omega_{n,2} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}}. \]

Next theorem is based on modified Lipschitz type spaces [19] and this spaces is defined by

\[ Lip^{m_1, m_2}_M(s) = \left\{ g \in C_B[0, \infty) : |g(l_1) - g(l_2)| \leq M \frac{|l_1 - l_2|^s}{(l_1 + l_2^1 + l_2 m_2)^2}, \text{where } l_1, l_2 \geq 0 \text{ are variables}, s \in (0, 1] \right\} \]

and \(m_1, m_2\) are the fixed numbers and \(M > 0\) is a constant.

**Theorem 3.3.** For \(g \in Lip^{m_1, m_2}_M(s)\) and \(0 < s \leq 1\), an inequality holds:

\[ |B^*_n(g; x) - g(x)| \leq M \left( \frac{\Omega_{n,2}}{x(x m_1 + m_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \quad M > 0, x \in [0, \infty). \]
Such type of properties have been studied by researchers using various operators (see the function $g$ where uniformly on any compact interval of $(3.14)$ bounded variation, we rewrite (1.3) as follows:
\begin{equation}
|B_n^s(g(x) - g(x))| \leq B_n^s(|g(t) - g(x)|; x) \\
\leq MB_n^s \left( \frac{|t-x|}{(t+x^2m_1 + x^2n_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}}; x \right) \\
\leq \frac{M}{(x(xm_1 + m_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}} B_n^s(|t-x|; x) \\
\leq \frac{M}{(x(xm_1 + m_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\Omega_{n,2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq M \left( \frac{\Omega_{n,2}}{x(xm_1 + m_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** We have $s \in (0,1]$ and in order to prove the above theorem, we discuss the cases on $s$.

**Case 1.** if we consider $s = 1$ then for all $t, x \geq 0$, we can observe that $\frac{1}{t+x^2m_1 + x^2n_2} \leq \frac{1}{x(xm_1 + m_2)}$ then
\begin{equation}
|B_n^s(g;x) - g(x)| \leq B_n^s(|g(t) - g(x)|; x) \\
\leq MB_n^s \left( \frac{|t-x|}{(t+x^2m_1 + x^2n_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}}; x \right) \\
\leq \frac{M}{(x(xm_1 + m_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}} B_n^s(|t-x|; x) \\
\leq \frac{M}{(x(xm_1 + m_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\Omega_{n,2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq M \left( \frac{\Omega_{n,2}}{x(xm_1 + m_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{equation}

**Case 2.** for $s \in (0,1)$ then using Hölder inequality with $p = \frac{2}{s}, q = \frac{s}{s-2}$, we get
\begin{equation}
|B_n^s(g;x) - g(x)| \leq \left( B_n^s(|g(t) - g(x)|^{\frac{2}{s}}; x) \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \leq MB_n^s \left( \frac{|t-x|^{2}}{(t+x^2m_1 + x^2n_2); x} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \\
\leq MB_n^s \left( \frac{|t-x|^{2}}{(x(xm_1 + m_2)); x} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \\
\leq M \left( \frac{\Omega_{n,2}}{x(xm_1 + m_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{equation}

This complete the proof. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.4.** For the function $g$ which is continuous and bounded on $[0, \infty)$, the convergence of the operators can be obtained as:
\begin{equation}
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^s(g;x) = g(x),
\end{equation}
uniformly on any compact interval of $[0, \infty)$.

**Proof.** Using Bohman-Korovkin theorem, we can get our required result. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^s(1;x) \to 1$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^s(t;x) \to x$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^s(t^2;x) \to t^2$ and hence the proposed operators $B_n^s(g;x)$ converge uniformly to the function $g(x)$ on any compact interval of $[0, \infty)$.

\(\square\)

4. **Rate of convergence by means of the function with derivative of bounded variation**

This section consists the rate of convergence by means of the function with derivative of bounded variation. Let $DBV[0, \infty)$ be the set of all class of function having derivative of bounded variation on every compact interval of $[0, \infty)$. The following representation for the function $g \in DBV[0, \infty)$, is as follows:
\begin{equation}
g(x) = \int_{0}^{x} h(t)dt + g(0),
\end{equation}
where $h(t)$ is a function with derivative of bounded variation on any compact interval of $[0, \infty)$.

For investigation of the convergence of the above operators (1.3) to the function with derivative of bounded variation, we rewrite (1.3) as follows:
\begin{equation}
B_n^s(g;x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} Y_n(x,t)g(t)dt,
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
Y_n(x,t) = u_n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{u_n,j}(x) s_{u_n,j}(t).
\end{equation}
Such type of properties have been studied by researchers using various operators (see [5, 6, 22-24]).
Lemma 4.1. For sufficiently large value of $n$ and for all $x \geq 0$, we have

1. $I_n(x, t) = \int_0^y Y_n(x, t)dt \leq \frac{2}{(x-y)^2u_n} \zeta_n^2(x)$, $0 \leq y < x$,

2. $1 - I_n(x, t) = \int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t)dt \leq \frac{2}{(x-z)^2u_n} \zeta_n^2(x)$, $x \leq z < \infty$.

Proof. Using the Lemma 2.2 and since the value of $n$ is sufficiently large, so we have

\[
I_n(x, t) = \int_0^y Y_n(x, t)dt \leq \int_0^y \left( \frac{(x-t)^2}{(x-y)^2} \right) Y_n(x, t)dt
\]

Similarly, we can prove other inequality. \qed

Theorem 4.1. Let $g \in DBV[0, \infty)$, then for all $x \geq 0$, an upper bound of the operators to the function can be as:

\[
|B_n^*(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2u_n}|g'(x) + g'(x-)| + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2u_n}}|g'(x) - g'(x-)|\zeta_n(x)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{xu_n}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{u_n} \rfloor} \left( V_{x-\frac{u_n}{2}}^j g'_x \right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} \left( V_{x-\frac{u_n}{2}}^j g'_x \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_{x-\frac{u_n}{2}}^j \zeta_n(g'_x) + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{xu_n}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{u_n} \rfloor} V_{x-\frac{u_n}{2}}^j \zeta_n(g'_x),
\]

where

\[
g_x(t) = \begin{cases} 
  g(t) - g(x-), & 0 \leq t < x, \\
  0, & t = x, \\
  g(t) - g(x+), & x < t < \infty 
\end{cases}
\]

be an auxiliary operator and $V_{a}^b g(x)$ denotes the total variation of the function $g(x)$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Since $B_n^*(1; x) = 1$ and hence, one can write

\[
B_n^*(g; x) - g(x) = \int_0^\infty (g(t) - g(x)) Y_n(x, t)dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t)dt \int_y^t g'(u)du.
\]

Now, for $g \in DBV[0, \infty)$, we can write as:

\[
g'(u) = \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) + g'(x-)) + g'_x(u) + \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) + g'(x-)) (\text{sgn}(u - x)) + \eta(u) \left( g'(u) - \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) + g'(x-)) \right),
\]

where

\[
\eta(u) = \begin{cases} 
  1 & u = x, \\
  0 & u \neq x.
\end{cases}
\]

And then, one can show

\[
\int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t) \left( \eta(u) \{g'(u) - \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) + g'(x-))\} \right) dt = 0.
\]

Using (4.2), we can get
\[
\int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t) \left( \int_x^t \frac{1}{2}(g'(x) + g'(x-)) \, du \right) \, dt = \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) + g'(x-)) \int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t)(t-x) \, dt \\
(4.6)
\]

And

\[
\left| \int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_x^t (g'(x) - g'(x-)) \text{sgn}(u-x) \, du \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) - g'(x-)) \int_0^\infty |Y_n(x, t)| t-x \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} (g'(x) - g'(x-)) \int_0^\infty |t-x| Y_n(x, t) \, dt \\
(4.7)
\]

Using (2.6), we get:

\[
|B_n^*(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |g'(x) + g'(x-)| \Omega_{n,1} + \frac{1}{2} |g'(x) - g'(x-)| \sqrt{\frac{2}{u_n}} \zeta_n(x) \\
+ \int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_x^t (g_x^*(u)) \, du \right) \, dt
\]

(4.8)

Here,

\[
\int_0^\infty Y_n(x, t) \left( \int_x^t (g_x^*(u)) \, du \right) \, dt = \int_0^x Y_n(x, t) \left( \int_x^t (g_x^*(u)) \, du \right) \, dt + \int_x^\infty Y_n(x, t)(x, t) \left( \int_x^t (g_x^*(u)) \, du \right) \, dt \\
(4.9)
\]

where

\[
P_1 = \int_0^x \left( \int_x^t (g_x^*(u)) \, du \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I_n(x, t)) \, dt \\
= \int_0^x g_x^*(t) I_n(x, t) \, dt \\
(4.10)
\]

Here, we consider \( y = x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} \) then by above equality, one can write

\[
\left| \int_{x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}}}^x g_x^*(t) I_n(x, t) \, dt \right| \leq \int_{x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}}}^x |g_x^*(t)| |I_n(x, t)| \, dt \\
\leq \int_{x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}}}^x |g_x^*(t) - g_x^*(x)| \, dt, \quad g_x^*(x) = 0, (\text{where } |I_n(x, t)| \leq 1)
\]
\[ \left| P_1 \right| \leq \frac{2c^2_n(x)}{x v_n} |x| \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{v_n} \rfloor} \left( V^t_{x-x} g_x \right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{v_n} n} \left( V^t_{x-x} g_x \right). \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \left| \int_x^z g_\varepsilon(t)(1 - I_n(x, t)) dt + \int_x^z g_\varepsilon'(t)(1 - I_n(x, t)) dt \right| \\
&\leq \int_x^z V_x^t(g_\varepsilon') dt + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{u_n} \int_x^\infty V_x^t(g_\varepsilon') \frac{1}{(t - x)^2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{u_n} \int_{x + \sqrt{u_n}}^\infty V_x^t(g_\varepsilon') \frac{1}{(t - x)^2} dt.
\end{align*}
\]

On substituting \( t = x \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{u_n}}\right) \), we obtain

\[
|P_2| \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \int_0^{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') d\beta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') d\beta
\]

\[
= \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x').
\]

Using the value of \( P_1, P_2 \) in (4.9), we obtain

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_x^z Y_n(x, t) \left( \int_x^z (g_\varepsilon(u)) du \right) dt = \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\sqrt{u_n}} \left( V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') \right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} \left( V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') \right)
\]

(4.14)

Put the above value from (4.14) in (4.8), we obtain required result

\[
|B_n^*(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2u_n} |g'(x^+) + g'(x^-)| + \frac{1}{2u_n} |g'(x^+) - g'(x^-)| \zeta_n(x)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\sqrt{u_n}} \left( V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') \right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} \left( V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{x}{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x') + \frac{2\zeta_n^2(x)}{x u_n} \sum_{j=0}^{\sqrt{u_n}} V_x^{\sqrt{u_n}}(g_x').
\]

5. Graphical and numerical analysis of the operators

In this section, we study the graphical representation and numerical analysis of the operators to the function.

**Example 5.1.** Let the function \( g : [0, 2.5] \to [0, \infty) \) such that \( g(x) = -x^3 e^{-5x} \) (blue) for all \( x \in [0, 2.5] \). Choosing \( u_n = n = 15, 35, 50 \) and then corresponding operators are \( S_{15}^*(g; x), S_{35}^*(g; x), S_{50}^*(g; x) \) represent green, red and black colors respectively in the given Figure 1. One can observe that as the value of \( n \) is increased, the error of the operators to the function is going to be least. We can say that the approach of the operators to the function is good for the large value of \( n \).

But for the same function, if we move towards the truncation type error, we can observe by Figure 2, the approximation is not better throughout the interval \([0, 2.5]\). Here we consider the \( u_n = n = 15, 35, 50 \) and
\( j = 15, 35, 50, \) using these values, the truncation is determined. So one can observe that at a some stage, its going good but not at all.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** The convergence of the operators \( S_n^*(g; x) \) to the function \( g(x) \) (blue).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The convergence of the operators \( S_n^*(g; x) \) to the function \( g(x) \) (blue).
Now, we determine the convergence of the operators to the function by considering the different sequences for the operators and then we see that the variation of the convergence to the function is changed.

**Example 5.2.** Let the function \( g(x) = x^2 e^{2x} \) (black), for all \( 0 \leq x \leq 2.5 \). Here, we consider \( u_n = n \) and choosing the value of \( n = 10, 50, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000 \), for which the operators’s curve is red for the all values of \( n \). Then, we can observe the error estimations by Figure 3 as well Table 1 at different points of \( x \), which is going to be better as the value of \( n \) is increased.

![Figure 3. The convergence of the operators \( S_n^*(g;x) \) to the function \( g(x) \).](image)

| \( x \) | \( u_n = n \rightarrow \) | at \( n=10 \) | at \( n=50 \) | at \( n=100 \) | at \( n=200 \) | at \( n=250 \) | at \( n=500 \) | at \( n=1000 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.1 | 0.202522 | 0.0156053 | 0.0069326 | 0.0032665 | 0.00258244 | 0.00120686 | 0.00062967 |
| 0.5 | 3.82396 | 0.32535 | 0.148479 | 0.0710035 | 0.0563036 | 0.0276615 | 0.0137104 |
| 0.9 | 27.2622 | 2.13631 | 0.969882 | 0.462837 | 0.36685 | 0.180094 | 0.0892291 |
| 1.0 | 42.1618 | 3.22239 | 1.46137 | 0.696755 | 0.552174 | 0.270979 | 0.134239 |
| 1.5 | 310.724 | 20.8491 | 9.3538 | 4.43876 | 3.51461 | 1.72172 | 0.822162 |
| 2.0 | 1888.96 | 110.236 | 48.9145 | 23.0939 | 18.2677 | 8.93151 | 4.4164 |
| 2.5 | 10237.6 | 516.742 | 226.689 | 106.464 | 84.1292 | 41.0503 | 20.2783 |

**Table 1.** Convergence estimations of the operators \( B_n^*(g;x) \) to the function \( g(x) \)
Example 5.3. Let for the same function \( g(x) = x^2e^{2x} \) (black), for all \( 0 \leq x \leq 2.5 \). Here, we consider \( u_n = n^{3/2} \) and choosing the value of \( n = 10, 50, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000 \), the curves of the operators (1.3) represent green color for all values of \( n^{3/2} \) for the operators (1.3). Hence, we can observe the error estimations by Figure 4 as well Table 2 at the different points of \( x \).

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** The convergence of the operators \( S_n^*(g;x) \) to the function \( g(x) \).

| \( x \) | \( u_n = n^{3/2} \rightarrow \) | \( n=10 \) | \( n=50 \) | \( n=100 \) | \( n=200 \) | \( n=250 \) | \( n=500 \) | \( n=1000 \) |
|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.1   | 0.0282979       | 0.0018008 | 0.000622967 | 0.000218562 | 0.0000156203 | 0.00000551185 | 0.00000194739 |
| 0.5   | 0.574288        | 0.0394044 | 0.0137104 | 0.0048201 | 0.00344596 | 0.0012166 | 0.000429916 |
| 0.9   | 3.79761         | 0.256632 | 0.0892291 | 0.0313623 | 0.0224205 | 0.00791509 | 0.00279694 |
| 1.0   | 5.74555         | 0.386191 | 0.134238 | 0.0471774 | 0.033726 | 0.011906 | 0.00420715 |
| 1.5   | 37.6466         | 2.45554 | 0.852162 | 0.299321 | 0.213959 | 0.075213 | 0.0266852 |
| 2.0   | 201.92          | 12.7484 | 4.4164 | 1.55031 | 1.10808 | 0.391058 | 0.138172 |
| 2.5   | 960.667         | 58.6418 | 20.2783 | 7.11386 | 5.08411 | 1.79398 | 0.633827 |

**Table 2.** Convergence estimations of the operators \( B_n^*(g;x) \) to the function \( g(x) \).
Example 5.4. Further for the function $g(x) = x^2 e^{2x}$ (black), for all $0 \leq x \leq 2.5$, one can see the error estimations of the operators (1.3). Here, we consider $u_n = n^2$ and choosing the value of $n = 10, 50, 100, 200, 250, 500, 1000$, the curves of the operators (1.3) represent Magenta color for all values of $n^2$ of the operators. Hence, we can observe the error estimations by Figure 5 as well Table 3 at different points of $x$. By observing, we can see, the function's curve almost overlapped by the curves of the operators.

![Figure 5. The convergence of the operators $S_n^*(g; x)$ to the function $g(x)$.
](image)

| $u_n = n^2 \rightarrow$ | at $n=10$ | at $n=50$ | at $n=100$ | at $n=200$ | at $n=250$ | at $n=500$ | at $n=1000$ |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| $x=0.1$                | 0.0069326 | 0.000247412 | 0.00000616321 | 0.00000153943 | 9.85127$\times$10$^{-6}$ | 2.462477$\times$10$^{-6}$ | 6.15594$\times$10$^{-6}$ |
| $x=0.5$                | 0.148479  | 0.00545557 | 0.00136032 | 0.00039859 | 0.000217493 | 0.0000543675 | 0.0000135915 |
| $x=0.9$                | 0.969982  | 0.0354973 | 0.00885019 | 0.00221104 | 0.00141495 | 0.000353699 | 0.0000884224 |
| $x=1.0$                | 1.46137   | 0.053398  | 0.0153126 | 0.0032584 | 0.00212836 | 0.000532032 | 0.0001330044 |
| $x=1.5$                | 9.3538    | 0.338802  | 0.0844444 | 0.0210951 | 0.0134997 | 0.0033451 | 0.00083601 |
| $x=2.0$                | 48.9145   | 1.75487   | 0.437267 | 0.109226 | 0.069898 | 0.0174722 | 0.0043679 |
| $x=2.5$                | 226.689   | 8.0529    | 2.00598 | 0.501045 | 0.320634 | 0.080147 | 0.020036 |

Table 3. Convergence estimations of the operators $B_n^*(g; x)$ to the function $g(x)$

Example 5.5. At the same time for the same function $g(x) = x^2 e^{2x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 2.5$, we can observe by the given Figure 6 that the accuracy of the convergence for the operators (1.3) is better when $u_n = n^2$ is taken rather than when we choose the sequences $u_n = n$ and $u_n = n^{3/2}$ for the same operators (1.3).

![Figure 6. The convergence of the operators $S_n^*(g; x)$ to the function $g(x)$.
](image)
Concluding Remark: After observing by all the Figures (1,2,3,4,5,6) and Tables (1, 2, 3), we can conclude that the better approximation can be obtained by choosing the appropriate sequence for the operators (1.3) and in addition will get good approximation for the large value of $n$ of the positive and real sequence for the operators.

Conclusion: The approximation properties have been determined for the functions belonging to different spaces and moreover the rate of the convergence of the operators has been discussed. Not in theoretical sense to support of our approximation results but also using graphical means, we presented the graphical analysis.
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