PROPER MOTIONS OF THE OUTER KNOTS OF THE HH 80/81/80N RADIO-JET
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ABSTRACT

The radio-knots of the Herbig–Haro (HH) 80/81/80N jet extend from the HH 80 object to the recently discovered Source 34 and has a total projected jet size of 10.3 pc, constituting the largest collimated radio-jet system known so far. It is powered by the bright infrared source IRAS 18162−2048 associated with a massive young stellar object. We report 6 cm JVLA observations that, compared with previous 6 cm VLA observations carried out in 1989, allow us to derive proper motions of the HH 80, HH 81, and HH 80N radio knots located about 2.5 pc away in projection from the powering source. For the first time, we measure proper motions of the optically obscured HH 80N object providing evidence that this knot, along with HH 81 and HH 80 are associated with the same radio-jet. We also confirm the presence of Source 34, located further north of HH 80N, previously proposed to belong to the jet. We derived that the tangential velocity of HH 80N is 260 km s$^{-1}$ and has a direction in agreement with the expected direction of a ballistic precessing jet. The HH 80 and HH 81 objects have tangential velocities of 350 and 220 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, but their directions are somewhat deviated from the expected jet path. The velocities of the HH objects studied in this work are significantly lower than those derived for the radio knots of the jet close to the powering source (600−1400 km s$^{-1}$) suggesting that the jet is slowing down due to a strong interaction with the ambient medium. As a result, since HH 80 and HH 81 are located near the edge of the cloud, the inhomogeneous and low density medium may contribute to skew the direction of their determined proper motions. The HH 80 and HH 80N emission at 6 cm is, at least in part, probably synchrotron radiation produced by relativistic electrons in a magnetic field of 1 mG. If these electrons are accelerated in a reverse adiabatic shock, we estimate a jet total Lorentz factor of 1000. In particular, proper motions of about 300 km s$^{-1}$ were found for the brightest condensations in HH 80 and HH 81.

The interaction of the jets with the ambient medium produces shocks that can accelerate electrons up to relativistic speeds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Herbig–Haro (HH) objects 80 and 81 (Reipurth & Graham 1988) are among the brightest HH objects known. They lie at the southern edge of the dark molecular cloud L291 in Sagittarius, located at 1.7 kpc of distance (Rodríguez et al. 1989), and are powered by the bright infrared source IRAS 18162−2048. Because of its high luminosity (1.7 × 10$^4$ L$_\odot$), this source is believed to be associated with a massive protostar, or a cluster of protostars (Aspin & Geballe 2007). The separation between HH 80/81, and IRAS 18162−2048 is 2.3 pc, the latter source having associated centimetric emission that appears as a thermal radio-jet pointing to HH 80 and 81 (Martí et al. 1993). The central source is also associated with several molecular lines and compact millimeter continuum emission (Gómez et al. 2003; Fernández-López et al. 2011a, 2013) and has associated a disk with a radius of a few hundreds of AU (Fernández-López et al. 2011b; Carrasco-González et al. 2012). About 3 pc north of the central source there is HH 80N, a radio source that probably constitutes the northern counterpart of HH 80 (Martí et al. 1993). If HH 80N is actually an HH object, its nondetection at optical wavelengths could be explained due to this object being deeply embedded in the molecular cloud. Further evidence of its HH nature is provided by the photochemical effects detected in a condensation of molecular gas and dust located ahead of HH 80N (Girart et al. 1994, 1998; Masqué et al. 2009). More recently, Masqué et al. (2012) reported a new radio source (Source 34) further north of HH 80N, closely coinciding with the direction of the jet. The fit of a precession jet model suggests that this source likely belongs to the HH complex (hereafter, the HH 80/81/80N jet and, hence, that the jet extends beyond HH 80N. If confirmed, this would imply that the jet has a total projected length of 10.3 pc.

The characteristics of HH 80/81/80N make this radio-jet a scaled up version of the jets emanating from low-mass protostars. Indeed, proper motion measurements yield projected velocities in the plane of the sky between 600 and 1400 km s$^{-1}$ for the inner knots located a few arcsec away from the central source, and about 500 km s$^{-1}$ for newly ejected condensations that traveled less than 1 arcsec in projection (Martí et al. 1995, 1998). Also, HH 80 and HH 81 proper motions were measured by Heathcote et al. (1998) using high spatial resolution optical observations that resolved the HH objects into several bright condensations. The measured proper motions presented a large dispersion of velocities (from 0 to 900 km s$^{-1}$). In particular, proper motions of about 300 km s$^{-1}$ were found for the brightest condensations in HH 80 and HH 81.
energies and subsequently radiate synchrotron emission (Araudo et al. 2007). This has been confirmed by the detection of linearly polarized radio emission at distances of $\sim$0.5 pc from the central source of the HH 80/81/80N jet (Carrasco-González et al. 2010). At larger distances, the sources HH 80, HH 81, and HH 80N are also likely synchrotron emitters because of their negative spectral indices ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$), where $S_\nu$ is the flux at the frequency $\nu$, similarly to the radio-knots of jets found in Serpens (Rodríguez et al. 1989, 2005; Curiel et al. 1993), Cep A (Garay et al. 1996), and W3(H2O) (Wilner et al. 1999). The presence of hot plasma and relativistic electrons downstream of the shock makes HH jets potential (thermal) X- and (non-thermal) $\gamma$-ray emitters (Raga et al. 2002; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2010; Araudo & Rodríguez 2012). In this sense, Pravdo et al. (2004) detected thermal X-ray emission in HH 80 and HH 81 that was claimed to arise when the jet slams into the ambient material.

In this paper, we report proper motion measurements, made at radio wavelengths for the first time, of the radio-knots HH 80, HH 81, and HH 80N, in order to confirm, first, that the three knots belong to the same jet and, second, that these HH objects have significantly slower proper motions than the radio knots located a few arcsec from the central source, indicating strong jet interactions with the ambient cloud. These results provide an important complement to previous proper motion studies of the HH 80/81/80N jet near the center (Martí et al. 1995, 1998), as we investigate the jet behavior far away from the central source. Also, the results reported here allow us to estimate the magnitude of the magnetic field in equipartition with non-thermal particles ($B_{eq}$) and the jet density ($n_j$) at the position of the HH objects. We also report observations of the region northward of HH 80N confirming the presence of Source 34 detected by Masqué et al. (2012).

2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

The new observations were carried out on 2013 September 6 using the JVLA interferometer in the hybrid CnB configuration. We observed in the C band (6 cm wavelength, from 4.5 to 6.5 GHz) in continuum imaging mode with full polarization. We used the 8-bit sampler and set $3\sigma$ as the integration time. The flux and gain calibrators were 3C286 and J1820$-$2528, respectively. The bootstrapped 6 cm flux density of J1820$-$2528 was $0.824 \pm 0.002$ Jy at the frequency of 5.36 GHz (spectral index of 0.19 $\pm$ 0.02). We observed 3 fields, covering all of the jet length (i.e., from Source 34 to HH 80, see Figure 2 of Masqué et al. 2012). The northernmost field was centered on the highly luminous radio-source J1819$-$2036 (see Martí et al. 1993 and Masqué et al. 2012), while the two southern fields were chosen with the aim of reproducing the pointings performed in Martí et al. (1993; i.e., centered $\sim 2\arcmin$ north and south, respectively, from the central source). The on-source time was three minutes per pointing with the exception of the J1819$-$2036 field, where only two minutes were employed.

The data were reduced using the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA) and the map was constructed with the CLEAN task with natural weighting. The resulting beam size was $3''9 \times 3''2$ (P.A. = $44\degree 5$) and the achieved rms noise of the maps was 53 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ for the two southern fields. For the J1819$-$2036 field, the strong side-lobes of J1819$-$2036 limited the dynamic range and increased the rms noise level to $\sim$500 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$. To solve this, we obtained a model of J1819$-$2036 from the calibrated data set and used it to self-calibrate the visibilities of the corresponding field. The J1819$-$2036 source presents strong time variability (on a scale of a few hours) and a spectral index of approximately $-0.5$. The integration time of the observations was shorter than the variability timescale of the source and the self-calibration was hardly affected by this. However, the J1819$-$2036 flux varied importantly in the 2 GHz bandwidth. Thus, for the self-calibration process, in the CLEAN task we employed three terms of the Taylor polynomial used to model the frequency structure across the bandwidth (nterms = 3). The rms noise of the J1819$-$2036 field clearly improved reaching a value of $\sim 50$ $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$. The three fields were combined into a single mosaic using the linear mosaic function.

We also calibrated and imaged the 6 cm archival data of Martí et al. (1993), observed in September 1989 with the VLA in the C configuration. The details of the observations are given in that paper. We used CASA in a similar fashion as the observations presented above, but choosing uniform weighting for the final map. This gives a synthesized beam of $5''8 \times 1''9$ (P.A. = $-14\degree$), more similar than the angular resolution of the 2013 observations, and an rms noise of 40 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$. This noise level is smaller than that of the Martí et al. (1993) 6 cm map and more appropriate for astrometric studies.

Figure 1 shows the 6 cm emission of the HH 80/81/80N jet observed in 2013. Most of the sources found in Martí et al. (1993) are detected, including the ones belonging to the jet. The 6 cm map also shows emission at the position of Source 34, with a signal-to-noise ratio of five previously reported by Masqué et al. (2012) and proposed to belong to the HH 80/81/80N jet. This independent detection confirms the presence of this source. As seen in the top right panel of Figure 1, Source 34 appears split into two sources aligned roughly in the north–south direction.

In Table 1, we present the results of Gaussian fits to the main radio-knots of the HH 80/81/80N jet (except for Source 34) from the 6 cm map obtained in 2013 convolved to the final beam size of $6''0 \times 4''0$ (P.A. = $-14\degree$), which is more appropriate for a comparison with the Martí et al. (1993) 6 cm map. Comparing the fluxes of this table with those of Martí et al. (1993), we assess a flux drop of $\sim 40\%$ for HH 81, clearly above the flux calibration uncertainty of 10%, even taking into account the different bandwidth of the data sets. The HH 80 object has an integrated flux roughly constant in the time baseline of 24 years. However, as seen in the lower right panel of Figure 1, the 2013 map displays HH 80 slightly more extended to the southwest, which is balanced by a decrease in the intensity peak with respect to the 1989 map. Both morphological and flux variations are common in the HH objects and are attributed to changes in the internal structure of the shock (e.g., HH 1$\rightarrow$2 Rodríguez et al. 2000). On the other hand, the central source does not show significant variability as is typical for the central sources of thermal radiojets (e.g., Anglada 1996).

The proper motions of the radio-knots of the HH 80/81/80N jet were derived from the 6 cm maps of the 1989 and 2013 data convolved to the same final beam ($6''0 \times 4''0$, P.A. = $-14\degree$). Then, the fields corresponding to the northern and southern pointings of Martí et al. (1993; the J1819$-$2036 field has no 1989 observations) were aligned separately using a selected set of reference sources in the field detected in both epochs, not belonging to the jet (i.e., assumed to be stationary), and detected with an S/N ratio greater than nine. This is a better...
choice than adopting the position of the central source as reference, which has periodic ejections of new condensations that can slightly modify its morphology affecting the fitting position of the centroid of this source. For the northern field, sources 12, 20, and 33 were used as reference while for the southern field, the same sources plus the sources 2 and 16 were used (these sources follow the nomenclature of Martí et al. 1993 and Masqué et al. 2012). These latter sources are located outside of the field of view of the left panel of Figure 1 and some arcminutes away from the pointing center. In order to prevent a bad fit position due to source smearing, we corrected the maps for the response of the primary beam. Sources 2 and 16, despite falling close to the noisy edge of the primary beam, are bright enough to be detected with a good signal-to-noise ratio. The position of the sources was determined by Gaussians fits. Then, averaging the derived positions for the reference sources we determined a reference position for each epoch and pointing. Using this technique, we found shifts between the maps of the two epochs ($\Delta x$, $\Delta y$) of $(-0''44, -0''24)$ and $(-0''36, -0''07)$ for the northern and southern fields, respectively. The rms of the difference in position ($\delta x$, $\delta y$) of the reference sources between the 1989 and 2013 epochs, also known as the alignment error, were $(0''18, 0''14)$ and $(0''27, 0''20)$ for the northern and southern fields, respectively.

Once the reference positions of the 1989 and 2013 epochs were determined, the same Gaussian fitting as that above was employed to measure the relative positions of the radio-knots of the jet from the reference position of their respective field. Finally, we compared the relative positions of the radio-knots between the two epochs obtaining their displacement in 24 years. To derive the total error of the displacement of the sources, the uncertainties in the fit of the position determination of the two epochs, typically between $0''1$ and $0''2$, were added quadratically to the alignment error. To obtain the total uncertainty in the source displacement along the PA of the motion, as well as the uncertainty of the PA itself, error propagation was applied. The two aligned images showing the observed angular displacement between the two epochs of observation (separated by 24 year) of the most important objects of the jet are presented in Figure 2. The derived motions are represented by arrows, with the ellipses corresponding to the error of the motion, whose values are presented in Table 1. From the measured displacement, we derive proper motion values ranging between 7.9 and 43.8 mas yr$^{-1}$.

Our tangential velocities derived for the radio sources HH 80 (351 $\pm$ 104 km s$^{-1}$), HH 81 (223 $\pm$ 85 km s$^{-1}$), and HH 80N (263 $\pm$ 71 km s$^{-1}$) have similar values to those of the brightest knots of the southern HH objects, HH 80A (334 $\pm$ 23 km s$^{-1}$) and HH 81A (370 $\pm$ 17 km s$^{-1}$) measured by Heathcote et al. (1998) from optical observations. As seen in Figure 2, the direction of the motion of HH 80N is in good agreement with the expected direction for a ballistic precessing jet. The HH 80 proper motion is somewhat deviated to the west with respect to the jet path but still traveling away from the central source. On the other hand, the direction of the HH 81 proper motion is clearly not tangent to the jet path. The proper motions derived by Heathcote et al. (1998) for individual condensations in HH 80 and 81 also show considerable dispersion in velocity and direction. Finally, within the uncertainties, we find no significant displacement for the central source, as expected.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. The HH Nature of HH 80N

Up to date, the likely HH nature of HH 80N and its association with the jet emanating from IRAS 18162–2048 was based on its position aligned with the jet knots, with a very low probability for HH 80N being a background source. In this work, we report for the first time proper motions of HH 80N. The proper motions point in a direction consistent with a ballistic motion of a jet element (see Figure 2). This provides evidence that HH 80N, HH 80, and HH 81 belong to the same jet, constituting the HH 80/81/80N jet system. Furthermore, the similarity of the HH 80, 81, and 80N spectral indices (approximately $-0.3$, Martí et al. 1993; Masqué et al. 2012), their comparable tangential velocities (see Table 1), and approximate symmetric positions with respect to the central source suggest that their emission is generated under the same mechanism. Moreover, they were likely produced in the same major ejection event of the driving source.
\textbf{3.2. Synchrotron Emission From the HH Objects}

The emission of the inner knots studied by Martí et al. (1995, 1998), corresponding to recent ejections, is produced by internal shocks of the jet. Thus, their measured velocity is representative of the jet velocity. On the other hand, the emission of HH 80 and 81 corresponding to older ejections arises from the interaction of the jet with the ambient cloud, owing to their known HH nature. As we mentioned in the previous section, HH 80N likely has the same nature as HH 80 and 81 and, hence, its emission is expected to be produced also by the jet interaction with the medium. The qualitative difference between the measured tangential velocities of the outer radio-knots HH 80, HH 81, and HH 80N (~223–351 km s\(^{-1}\)) and the inner radio knots (~600–1400 km s\(^{-1}\); Martí et al. 1995) implies that the ambient material, at least in some parts of the cloud, contributes significantly to slowing down the jet material. This is supported by the high bolometric luminosity of the outer HH objects, which suggests that strong interactions of a high velocity flow with stationary obstacles in the cloud. Certainly, the fading luminosity of HH 81 and the change in morphology of HH 80, as it escapes from the cloud and possibly expands into a low pressure medium, makes evident the important role of the ambient cloud in the emission of these HH objects.

The interaction of the jet with the ambient medium produces two shocks: a bow shock in the molecular cloud and a Mach disk (or reverse shock) in the jet. The shocked matter from the molecular cloud and jet are separated by an (unstable) contact discontinuity. This whole system propagates in the ambient medium at the bow shock speed \(v_{\text{bs}}\) (Blondin et al. 1989) that is assumed to be the derived velocities for the HH objects shown in Table 3. Radiative shocks are those in which the plasma behind the shock emits thermal radiation in a distance (i.e., also called the cooling distance, \(d_{\text{cool}}\)) shorter to the jet radius, for which the source emitting size \(l\) is usually adopted. In the opposite case, \(d_{\text{cool}} > l\), the shock is non-radiative (i.e., adiabatic).

Heathcote et al. (1998) pointed out that significant parts of HH 80 and 81 must be adiabatic. Also, these authors concluded that there are some caveats with the assumption of HH 80 and 81 as conventional bow shocks, specially the latter. Beyond HH 80, the jet escapes from the cloud and expands to a low density medium, resulting in a large dispersion of the measured proper motions for the fainter condensations (see Figure 8 of Heathcote et al. 1998). The derived velocity of HH 80 suggests that radio observations basically include emission from HH 80A, even though the emitting region of the HH object part escaping from the cloud could contribute to skewing our proper motion determination to the southwest (i.e., the direction where the jet reaches the edge of the cloud). This effect probably tends to be more prominent with time. Similarly, in HH 80, there is a fainter condensation (HH 81B) located eastward from the brightest one (HH 81A) and radio observations possibly pick up the former. A possible explanation for the observed motion is a change in brightness of HH 81A relative to HH 81B during the time baseline inspected in this study. This would shift the measured centroid of the emission to the east resulting in the appearance that the bulk motion is moving toward this direction. On the other hand, the local conditions of HH 80N, deeply embedded in the cloud with constant confining pressure, are different than those of HH 80 and 81. Below, we discuss the different possible scenarios to explain the jet interaction with the cloud and the produced emission at HH 80N. In particular, we will consider that the synchrotron emission at 6 cm is produced by relativistic electrons with energies \(\sim 600\,\varepsilon_2^2 (\mathcal{B}/\text{mG})^{-0.5}\) in a magnetic field \(\mathcal{B}\).

In Figure 3, we show the dependence between jet parameters estimated at the HH 80N position. Considering the density of the molecular cloud, \(n_{\text{mc,80N}} \simeq 5 \times 10^3\,\text{cm}^{-3}\) (J. M. Masqué et al. 2015, in preparation), and its bow shock velocity \(v_{80N} = 263\,\text{km s}^{-1}\), the ambient shocked material emits thermal emission over a distance of \(d_{\text{cool,bs}} \sim 22\,\text{AU}\) behind the shock (Raga et al. 2002). Given that \(d_{\text{cool}}\) in the bow shock is much smaller than the emitting size \(l \sim 3000\,\text{AU}\) (red-dashed line in Figure 3), the bow shock must be radiative. In such a case, the synchrotron emission at 6 cm could be produced by the compression of cosmic rays in the molecular cloud, as in the case of supernova remnants in the radiative stage (Chevalier 1999), or by particles accelerated via second-order Fermi acceleration (Ostrowski 1999).

The HH 80N synchrotron emission can also be produced in the jet in the reverse shock region (Mach disk). Considering the jet velocity \(v_j\) as a free parameter, we calculate the total jet density \(n_j \sim n_{\text{mc,80N}}/(v_j/v_{80N} - 1)^2\) (green solid line in Figure 3) and the reverse shock velocity for HH 80N as \(v_{80N} \sim v_j - (3/4)v_{80N}\). Therefore, comparing the thermal cooling length downstream the Mach disk \((d_{\text{cool,cd}})\) with \(l\), we can see in Figure 3 (green dashed line) that the Mach disk is non-radiative.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Parameters at 6 cm of Selected Radio Knots}
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline
Source & Peak Position\(^a\) & Displacement\(^b\) & Velocity\(^c\) & P.A.\(^d\) \\
& (J2000) & (mJy) & (\text{deg.}) & (\text{km s}^{-1}) \ \\
\hline
HH 80 & 18°19′06″04 & −20°51′51″09 & 1.3 ± 0.1 & −0.75 ± 0.30 & −0.74 ± 0.32 & 351 ± 104 & −135 ± 28 \\
HH 81 & 18°19′06″69 & −20°51′06″11 & 1.1 ± 0.1 & 0.65 ± 0.25 & 0.12 ± 0.30 & 223 ± 85 & 101 ± 38 \\
IRAS 18162 & 18°19′12″08 & −20°47′31″00 & 4.9 ± 0.1 & 0.07 ± 0.20 & 0.17 ± 0.28 & 63 ± 89 & 157 ± 91 \\
HH 80N & 18°19′19″75 & −20°41′34″85 & 2.3 ± 0.1 & 0.13 ± 0.30 & 0.78 ± 0.211 & 263 ± 71 & 10 ± 36 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tablenotes}
\item \textbf{Notes.}
\item \(^a\) Derived from Gaussian fits to the sources of the 6 cm map obtained from the 2013 data convolved to \(6″ \times 4″\) (PA = −14°) as the resulting beam.
\item \(^b\) Observed angular displacement on the sky plane derived by comparing the 1999.8 and 2013.8 data (i.e., separated by 24 years) in the direction of the \(\alpha\) and \(\delta\) axes. Errors include the uncertainties of the alignment process of the maps of the two epochs. We excluded Source 34 from the table because it was observed only in the 2013 epoch.
\item \(^c\) For an adopted distance of 1.7 kpc.
\item \(^d\) Position angle, measured from north to east.
\end{tablenotes}
\end{table}
These values fall within the range of values found by Martí et al. (1995; 600–1400 km s\(^{-1}\)) that are possibly representative of the jet velocity. As seen in the figure, this implies jet densities of \(\sim 1000\) cm\(^{-3}\) (but probably greater than 500 cm\(^{-3}\)). The inferred large jet velocities and densities are those expected for a jet emanating from a high mass protostar. Thus, the scenario of having synchrotron emission in the reverse shock of the jet is the most likely. In this case, relativistic electrons are accelerated via the Fermi I acceleration mechanism (Bell 1978) and emit synchrotron emission with a spectral index \(\sim -0.5\), being the observed flat spectrum in HH 80N (\(\sim -0.3\)) the result of thermal contamination. Assuming that relativistic electrons follow a power-law energy distribution \(\propto E^{-2}\) that terminates at \(E_{\text{min}} = 10m_e c^2\), the magnetic field in equipartition with non-thermal electrons and protons is \(B_{\text{eq}} \sim 1\) mG. If we adopt the energy density of non-thermal particles \(U_{\text{nt}}\) as \(B^2/(8\pi)\), we found that only a small fraction (\(\sim 1\%\)) of the jet kinetic energy

\[
(d_{\text{cool,rs}} > l) \text{ when } v_j > 800\,\text{km s}^{-1}.
\]
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density $U_{\text{kin}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-6} (n_i/500 \text{ cm}^{-3})(v_i/1000 \text{ km s}^{-1})^2 \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$, is converted into non-thermal energy in the shock downstream region (e.g., Park et al. 2015).

4. SUMMARY

We carried out 6 cm observations of the HH 80/81/80N radio-jet with the JVLA at C configuration. These observations, combined with previous VLA observations obtained with the same observing band and configuration as ours, allow us to derive proper motions for the HH 80, HH 81, and HH 80N radio-knots of the jet. The measured proper motion of HH 80N suggests that it belongs to the HH 80/81/80N radio-jet constituting the northern counterpart of the HH 80 and 81 objects. We also confirm the presence of Source 3 previously reported by Masqué et al. (2012). This supports the possibility that the jet extends further north of HH 80N. The derived velocities for HH 80 (351 km s$^{-1}$), HH 81 (223 km s$^{-1}$), and HH 80N (263 km s$^{-1}$) are quantitatively slower than those derived by Martí et al. (1995) for the inner knots of the jet (600–1400 km s$^{-1}$) corresponding to very recent ejections. This implies that the cloud medium contributes significantly in slowing down the jet material at the position of these HH objects. Indeed, the measured direction of the proper motions of HH 80 and HH 81 makes evident the importance of the medium in the emission of these HH objects: they are located in the edge of the cloud and possibly expanding to a low pressure medium. As a consequence, they suffer morphological changes that could alter our proper motion determination. On the other hand, HH 80N is deeply embedded in the cloud with a more constant configuration as ours, allowing us to derive its emission is partly synchrotron radiation produced by electrons accelerated up to relativistic velocities in the reverse shock in the jet. We argue that the jet velocities must be $\gtrsim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the derived jet density is $\lesssim 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and the magnetic field in the shocks is $\sim 1 \text{ mG}$. The work presented here provides further evidence for particle acceleration in HH 80, 81, and 80N that produces the observed non-thermal emission.

The JVLA observations presented here were part of NRAO program 13B-386. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.
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