Sex Disparities in the Association of Serum Uric Acid With Kidney Stone: A Cross-Sectional Study in China
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Background and Aims: Urolithiasis is characterized by high rates of prevalence and recurrence. Hyperuricemia is related to various diseases. We hope to determine the association between serum uric acid (UA) level and kidney stone (KS).

Methods: In this population-based cross-sectional study, a total of 82,017 Chinese individuals who underwent a comprehensive examination in 2017 were included. The KS was diagnosed based on ultrasonography examination outcomes. Fully adjusted odds ratio (OR) for KS, and mean difference between the two groups were applied to determine the association of UA level with KS.

Results: Among the 82,017 participants included in this study (aged 18～99 years), 9,435 participants (11.5%) are diagnosed with KS. A proportion of 56.3% of individuals is male. The mean UA level of overall participants is 341.77 µmol/L. The participants with KS report higher UA level than the participants without KS [mean UA level 369.91 vs. 338.11 µmol/L; mean difference (MD), 31.96 (95% CI, 29.61～34.28) µmol/L]. In men, the OR for KS significantly increases from 330 µmol/L UA level. Every 50 µmol/L elevation of UA level increases the risk of KS formation by about 10.7% above the UA level of 330 µmol/L in men. The subgroup analysis for male is consistent with the overall result except for the participants presenting underweight [adjusted OR, 1.035 (0.875～1.217); MD, −5.57 (−16.45～11.37)], low cholesterol [adjusted OR, 1.088 (0.938～1.261); MD, 8.18 (−7.93～24.68)] or high estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [adjusted OR, 1.044 (0.983～1.108); MD, 5.61 (−1.84～13.36)]. However, no significant association is observed in women between UA and KS either in all female participants or in female subgroups.

Conclusion: Among Chinese adults, UA level is associated with KS in a dose-response manner in men but not in women. However, the association becomes considerably weak in male participants with malnutrition status.
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INTRODUCTION

Kidney stone (KS) is one of the most common disorders in the urinary system, with prevalence rates ranging from 1~5% in Asia, 5~9% in Europe, and 7~13% in North America. About 14% of patients will recur within 1 year, while the rate climbs to 25% within 5 years, and 52% within 10 years (1, 2). Owing to the high rates of prevalence and recurrence, KS impairs both the physical and mental health of the patients and causes a heavy financial burden (3). The treatment for KS primarily depends on surgical methods, such as shockwave lithotripsy, ureteroscopy, and percutaneous nephrolithotomy. A patient with KS patient often needs repeated operations that are accompanied by potential complications, such as hemorrhage, urinary tract infection, and ureter stricture (4). Some patients are reported to develop septic shock, loss of kidneys due to hemorrhage, and death. A non-invasive, effective, and persistent therapy is required to prevent the KS formation and relapse (5, 6). It urges a better understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms during KS development.

Uric acid (UA) is the end product of purine metabolism and excreted by urine. A high level of UA can lower urine pH, leading to inadequate buffer capacity for ammonium salts, eventually resulting in the crystal formation. UA can promote calcium oxide stone through increased urinary excretion of calcium, reduced excretion of citrate, and supersaturation of urine concerning monosodium urate (7). Hyperuricemia was defined as the UA ≥408 µmol/L for male subjects, and ≥360 µmol/L for female subjects (8). However, recent studies reported that the cutoff value might inaccurately predict the morbidity of several diseases, such as KS disease, hypertension, and fatal myocardial infarction (9~12). Kim and colleagues indicated that the risk of KS has a positive correlation with UA level when the UA level is >297 µmol/L (5.0 mg/dl) in the Korean population (9). For KS, no common consensus has been achieved so far for the specific UA level where clinicians can adopt preventive measures.

To obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the role of UA in KS formation in China, we analyze the relationship between serum UA level and KS first in the Chinese population. The research focuses on sex disparities and applies restricted cubic splines to unravel the risk of UA level for KS presence in men and women, respectively. The sex-specific cutoff value of UA level is computed for precise prevention for KS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

This study was from the project Influencing Factors for Common Chronic Diseases among Chinese Population (IFCCDCP), where individuals underwent a comprehensive test at the Health Management Center of Tongji Hospital from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 (13). This study was approved by the institutional review board of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Approval ID: TJ-C20160115). The study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

The information and test results of total 99,859 individuals were collected. Participants were excluded if they were under 18 years old (n = 351), or they had kidney deformity (n = 14), kidney transplantation (n = 23), solitary kidney (n = 205), or the ultrasonography outcome was absent (n = 1,267). Since the data were missing in some participants in a pairwise pattern (missing not at random), we used the deletion method to process the missing data (n = 16,492). Finally, a total of 82,017 participants were included in the formal analysis.

Outcome and Covariates Acquisition

The primary outcome was the presence of KS. KS was confirmed based on an ultrasonography (US) examination. Experienced radiologists without acknowledgment of the study performed a routine abdominal US examination on the participants. Structures that were reported as a strong echo in the renal sinus with posterior acoustic shadows or comet tail signs were regarded as KS.

The selection of covariates was mainly based on a comprehensive literature review for the risk of KS. Demographic characteristics and medical history of hypertension (blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg), diabetes (fasting blood glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L, or 2-h postprandial blood glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L), and coronary heart disease (CHD) were collected based on the medical history. Physical characteristics, such as body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) and blood pressure were measured by trained nurses. Blood parameters, such as fasting glucose (Glu), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total protein (TP), albumin (Alb), globulin (Glo), γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), total bilirubin (TBIL), indirect bilirubin (IBIL), direct bilirubin (DBIL), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), triglycerides (TG), serum creatinine (SCr), and UA, were tested from blood specimens collected from anterior elbow veins. Urine pH (UpH) was acquired from urinalysis, which can indicate the crystal type of KSs (14). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study China equation as follows: $eGFR = 175 \times SCr^{-1.234} \times age^{-0.197} \times 0.79$ (if women) (15). The SCr is in mg/dl unit.

Statistical Analyses

Logistic regression models were used to assess the association of UA level with KS. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI were calculated taking per 50 µmol/L UA as a unit. Models were sequentially adjusted by age and sex (model 1), plus obesity (classified based on BMI according to the

Abbreviations: KS, kidney stone; UA, uric acid; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; Glu, fasting glucose; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TP, total protein; Alb, albumin; Glo, globulin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; TBIL, total bilirubin; IBIL, indirect bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; SCr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UpH, Urine pH; OR, odds ratio; MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.
Three R packages were used: dplyr, rms, and scales. All R software (version 4.0.3) was used for the statistical analyses. Missing data were included by comparing the effect sizes. A sensitivity analysis was conducted when participants were diagnosed as KS. The basic characteristics for participants with or without KS are shown in (Table 1). The OR of per 50 µmol/L UA for KS is 1.179 (95% CI, 1.166~1.192) (Supplementary Table 1). The ORs for KS are 1.094, 1.079, and 1.072 after adjusting for model 1, model 2, and model 3, showing a stable relationship between UA and KS.

Correlation Between OR for KS and UA Level
We further explore the correlative pattern between OR for KS and UA. The restricted cubic splines illustrate that the correlative curves for UA level and OR of KS are similar after the adjustment of different models (Figure 1A). When the UA level is >296 µmol/L, the OR of KS starts to increase with UA level (Figure 1B). However, the OR increases more quickly in men (from UA 330 µmol/L) than in women (from UA 290 µmol/L). Figure 1C). Each 50 µmol/L elevation of UA level increases the risk of KS formation by 10.7% above the UA level of 330 µmol/L in men. The participants aged over 50 years have higher odds of KS than those aged under 50 years (Figure 1D). Stratified Analyses by UA Level and Sex
Based on the difference between sexes, further analyses focus on sex disparities. Taking the UA level 250~349 µmol/L as the reference in the male population, we found that the ORs are 1.157, 1.478, 2.025, 2.525, and 3.644 for UA levels 350~449, 450~549, 550~649, 650~749, and ≥750 µmol/L after adjusted by age, which show a significantly growing trend (Table 2). In female individuals, an increasing trend of OR of KS 1.029, 1.276, 1.560, and 2.002 after adjusted by age is also observed by taking the UA level 250~349 µmol/L as reference. However, the tendency is not of statistical significance (Table 2). The ORs adjusted by age, model 2, or model 3 indicate similar results. The characteristics of men with stratified UA levels demonstrate significant trends with p for trend <0.05 (Supplementary Table 2). However, the tendency is not observed in women (Supplementary Table 3). These results indicate that UA possesses the capability to stratify participants with different characteristics in men but not in women.

Subgroup Analyses on the Association Between UA Levels and KS
In subgroup analysis for men, it was implied that the association between UA levels and KS is significant for participants presenting hypertension [adjusted OR, 1.127 (95% CI, 1.080~1.176)]; MD, 24.75 (95% CI, 18.20~31.82); p for interaction, <0.001], diabetes [adjusted OR, 1.181 (1.089~1.128)]; MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TG [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TP [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TG [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TP [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TG [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TP [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TP [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TG [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TP [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high Glu [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], high TG [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001], and low UpH [adjusted OR, 1.204 (1.145~1.265)]; TC, 1.204 (1.145~1.265); MD, 32.12 (20.65~45.55); p <0.001]. However, the association between UA level and KS is not significant for the men presenting underweight [adjusted OR, 1.035

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics of Participants With or Without KS
Among 82,017 participants (aged 18~99 years) included in the final analysis, 9,435 participants (11.5%) are diagnosed as KS based on the ultrasound examination. The mean age is 41.93 ± 12.88 years. A proportion of 56.3% individuals is male. The mean UA level for overall participants is 341.77 ± 95.51 µmol/L. The participants with KS have a much higher UA level than the participants without KS [mean UA level, 369.91 vs. 338.11 µmol/L; MD 31.96 [95% CI, 29.61~34.28] µmol/L] (Table 1). The basic characteristics for participants with or without KS are
TABLE 1 | Basic characteristics of included participants with or without kidney stone (KS).

| Variables                     | All Participants (n = 82,017) | Participants with KSa (n = 9,433) | Participants without KSb (n = 72,582) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Age, y                        | 41.93 ± 12.88                 | 44.53 ± 12.41                     | 41.59 ± 12.90                       | <0.001  |
| Male (%)                      | 46,201 (56.3)                 | 6,831 (72.4)                      | 39,370 (54.2)                       | <0.001  |
| BMI, kg/m²b                   | 23.62 ± 3.38                  | 24.34 ± 3.31                      | 23.52 ± 3.38                        | <0.001  |
| Obesity (%)                   |                               |                                   |                                      | <0.001  |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²)     | 4,023 (4.9)                   | 292 (3.1)                         | 3,731 (5.1)                         |         |
| Normal weight (18.5–23.9 kg/m²)| 41,456 (50.5)                 | 4,042 (42.8)                      | 37,414 (51.5)                       |         |
| Overweight (24–27.9 kg/m²)    | 28,022 (34.2)                 | 3,750 (39.7)                      | 24,272 (33.4)                       |         |
| Obese (≥28 kg/m²)             | 8,516 (10.4)                  | 1,351 (14.3)                      | 7,165 (9.9)                         |         |
| Hypertension present (%)      | 7,263 (9.0)                   | 1,296 (13.7)                      | 6,068 (8.4)                         | <0.001  |
| Diabetes present (%)          | 2,103 (2.6)                   | 357 (3.8)                         | 1,746 (2.4)                         | <0.001  |
| Coronary heart disease present (%) | 472 (0.6)                   | 80 (0.8)                           | 392 (0.5)                           | <0.001  |
| SBP, mmHg                     | 123.98 ± 18.09                | 127.64 ± 18.82                    | 123.51 ± 17.93                      | <0.001  |
| DBP, mmHg                     | 75.99 ± 12.09                 | 78.74 ± 12.65                     | 75.63 ± 11.97                       | <0.001  |
| Glu, mmol/L                   | 5.33 ± 1.11                   | 5.48 ± 1.27                       | 5.31 ± 1.09                         | <0.001  |
| ALT, U/L                      | 23.48 ± 22.63                 | 26.08 ± 23.92                     | 23.13 ± 22.44                       | <0.001  |
| AST, U/L                      | 22.01 ± 12.86                 | 23.12 ± 13.72                     | 21.87 ± 12.74                       | <0.001  |
| TP, g/L                       | 76.02 ± 3.91                  | 75.90 ± 3.94                      | 76.04 ± 3.91                        | 0.001   |
| Alb, g/L                      | 46.13 ± 2.57                  | 46.10 ± 2.58                      | 46.13 ± 2.57                        | 0.298   |
| Glo, g/L                      | 29.90 ± 3.54                  | 29.80 ± 3.55                      | 29.91 ± 3.54                        | 0.004   |
| GGT, U/L                      | 31.22 ± 34.88                 | 36.95 ± 38.28                     | 30.48 ± 34.35                       | <0.001  |
| TBIL, μmol/L                  | 13.65 ± 5.45                  | 13.94 ± 5.29                      | 13.61 ± 5.47                        | <0.001  |
| IBIL, μmol/L                  | 9.97 ± 4.05                   | 10.21 ± 3.95                      | 9.94 ± 4.06                         | <0.001  |
| DBIL, μmol/L                  | 3.68 ± 1.72                   | 3.73 ± 1.53                       | 3.67 ± 1.75                         | 0.004   |
| TC, mmol/L                    | 4.54 ± 0.87                   | 4.63 ± 0.89                       | 4.53 ± 0.86                         | <0.001  |
| HDL, mmol/L                   | 1.29 ± 0.31                   | 1.23 ± 0.29                       | 1.29 ± 0.31                         | <0.001  |
| LDL, mmol/L                   | 2.73 ± 0.75                   | 2.80 ± 0.76                       | 2.72 ± 0.74                         | <0.001  |
| TG, mmol/L                    | 1.47 ± 1.26                   | 1.69 ± 1.44                       | 1.44 ± 1.23                         | <0.001  |
| SCr, μmol/L                   | 73.83 ± 18.72                 | 78.92 ± 19.92                     | 73.17 ± 18.45                       | <0.001  |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²d          | 107.43 ± 22.72                | 101.99 ± 22.63                    | 108.14 ± 22.63                      | <0.001  |
| UA, μmol/L²                   | 341.77 ± 96.51                | 369.91 ± 102.87                   | 338.11 ± 93.90                      | <0.001  |
| UpH                           | 6.12 ± 0.65                   | 6.09 ± 0.64                       | 6.12 ± 0.65                         | <0.001  |

KS, kidney stone; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; Glu, fasting glucose; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TP, total protein; Alb, albumin; Glo, globulin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; TBIL, total bilirubin; IBIL, indirect bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; SCr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, uric acid; UpH, Urine pH.

No. of participants have missing data for the variables: sex, n = 1; BMI, n = 4,839; blood pressure, n = 3,615; Glu, n = 3,261; ALT, n = 1,498; AST, n = 2,646; TP, Alb, n = 9,944; Glu, n = 9,969; GGT, n = 8,338; SCr, n = 1,478; TBIL, n = 7,053; IBIL, n = 7,988; DBIL, 7,899; TC, TG, n = 3,344; HDL, LDL, n = 3,901; UA, n = 3,349; UpH, n = 3,683.

Sensitivity Analysis
The sensitivity analysis is performed between the population with and without missing data (Supplementary Table 4). In the population without missing data, the ORs of KS are 1.179, 1.094, 1.079, and 1.073, respectively, after unadjusted, model 1 adjusted, model 2 adjusted, and model 3 adjusted. In the population with missing data, the ORs of KS are

(0.875–1.217); MD, −5.57 (−16.45–11.37)], CHD [adjusted OR, 1.017 (0.847–1.218); MD, 9.30 (−11.08–34.64)], low TC [adjusted OR, 1.088 (0.938–1.261); MD, 8.18 (−7.93–24.68)], and high eGFR [adjusted OR, 1.044 (0.983–1.108); MD, 5.61 (−1.84–13.36), Figure 2]. However, no significant association between UA and KS is observed in female in all subgroups (Figure 3).
1.184, 1.098, 1.084, and 1.073, respectively. The mean difference of UA is 31.96 (95% CI, 29.61–34.28) µmol/L and 32.71 (31.00–34.16) µmol/L for participants without and with missing data. Both populations indicate non-linear trends for the association between UA level and OR of KS. These results demonstrate the statistical stability after excluding participants with missing data.

**DISCUSSION**

Among 82,017 Chinese participants from the chronic diseases cohort who had undergone physical examination in 2017, it was discovered that increased UA levels were associated with a higher risk of KS in a dose-response manner in men above the UA level of 330 µmol/L. However, no significant increased risk of KS parallel to UA level is observed in women. These results indicate that the risk of KS starts at a lower UA level than the normal upper limit. Besides, for the men presenting underweight, low level of TC, or high level of eGFR, the association between UA level and KS development is no longer significant.

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to investigate the association between serum UA levels and KS development in the Chinese population. The prevalence of urolithiasis presented great variations in different geographic locations in Asia. In West Asia, Southeast Asia, and South Asia, the prevalence was reported to be 5–19.1%, however, it was only 1–8% in East Asia and North Asia (17). The prevalence of KS showed similar heterogeneity in different regions in China with a prevalence of 11.6% in the South (18). Moreover, the highest prevalence in China was 13.69% in Sichuan Province, which was geographically close to...
| Stratified Uric Acid Level (µmol/L) | Participants with KS/Total Participants | Incidence Rate (%) | Adjusted Odds Ratio (95%CI) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                     |                                          |                    | Age-Adjusted    | P-value | Model 2<sup>a</sup> | P-value | Model 3<sup>a</sup> | P-value |
| Male                               |                                          |                    |                |         |                      |         |                      |         |
| <150                               | 1/22                                     | 4.55               | 0.318 (0.018~1.524) | 0.263   | 0.315 (0.018~1.512) | 0.260   | 0.328 (0.018~1.574) | 0.277   |
| 150~249                            | 158/1,170                                | 13.50              | 0.993 (0.830~1.180) | 0.937   | 1.006 (0.841~1.196) | 0.948   | 1.021 (0.853~1.215) | 0.818   |
| 250~349                            | 1,736/13,415                             | 12.94              | Ref.            | Ref.    | Ref.                  | Ref.    | Ref.                  | Ref.    |
| 350~449                            | 3,017/21,177                             | 14.25              | 1.157 (1.086~1.233) | <0.001  | 1.118 (1.048~1.193) | <0.001  | 1.092 (1.023~1.166) | 0.001   |
| 450~549                            | 1,449/8,358                              | 17.34              | 1.478 (1.369~1.595) | <0.001  | 1.379 (1.275~1.492) | <0.001  | 1.313 (1.209~1.425) | <0.001  |
| 550~649                            | 385/1,740                                | 22.13              | 2.025 (1.786~2.291) | <0.001  | 1.839 (1.618~2.086) | <0.001  | 1.709 (1.496~1.949) | <0.001  |
| 650~749                            | 71/277                                   | 25.63              | 2.525 (1.905~3.308) | <0.001  | 2.269 (1.710~2.978) | <0.001  | 2.029 (1.521~2.677) | <0.001  |
| ≥750                               | 14/42                                    | 33.33              | 3.644 (1.855~6.840) | <0.001  | 3.195 (1.624~6.007) | <0.001  | 2.820 (1.429~5.22)  | 0.002   |
| Female                             |                                          |                    |                |         |                      |         |                      |         |
| <150                               | 17/254                                   | 6.69               | 0.996 (0.584~1.586) | 0.989   | 0.998 (0.585~1.589) | 0.993   | 0.960 (0.562~1.533) | 0.874   |
| 150~249                            | 993/1,2894                               | 7.70               | 1.161 (1.065~1.268) | 0.001   | 1.167 (1.069~1.274) | 0.001   | 1.154 (1.054~1.264) | 0.002   |
| 250~349                            | 1,267/18,482                             | 6.86               | Ref.            | Ref.    | Ref.                  | Ref.    | Ref.                  | Ref.    |
| 350~449                            | 278/3,700                                | 7.51               | 1.029 (0.887~1.177) | 0.681   | 1.018 (0.886~1.166) | 0.797   | 1.021 (0.887~1.172) | 0.770   |
| 450~549                            | 42/430                                   | 9.77               | 1.276 (0.909~1.746) | 0.143   | 1.242 (0.882~1.703) | 0.196   | 1.249 (0.885~1.719) | 0.188   |
| 550~649                            | 6/49                                     | 12.24              | 1.560 (0.592~3.419) | 0.312   | 1.520 (0.576~3.338) | 0.341   | 1.553 (0.587~3.428) | 0.319   |
| ≥650                               | 1/7                                      | 14.29              | 2.002 (0.105~11.936) | 0.523   | 1.956 (0.103~11.606) | 0.537   | 2.168 (0.114~12.915) | 0.477   |

UA, uric acid; KS, kidney stone; CI, confidence interval.
<sup>a</sup>Models: see Methods-Statistical analyses section for descriptions of models 2 and 3.
Hubei Province (19). Hence, it was of importance to obtain a further understanding of the KS development in Chinese populations.

Previous studies have demonstrated the association between hyperuricemia and urolithiasis using the data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2008 and the data from Kangbuk Samsung Health Study (9, 20). Convincing evidence includes that allopurinol and other urate-lowering therapies can reduce the recurrence rate of KS (21). Moreover, clinical and laboratory experiments demonstrate that oxygen species and oxidative stress followed by an inflammatory immune response are widely acknowledged to promote the KS initiation (22, 23). UA and its monoanionic urate increase oxidative damage and inactivate enzymes that are sensitive to the oxidative stress inducing kidney injury, despite that serum UA plays a role of a potent antioxidant under physiological circumstances (24). Recent research described the response of renal tubular cells to high doses of UA,
which partially explained that hyperuricemia can induce mixed (UA and calcium oxide) KS (25). Since UA is reported to be responsible for KS of various components, controlling the level of UA may provide the key point to prevent KS from formation. Further research on the mechanism underlying the relation between serum UA levels and urolithiasis is required.

We found that UA differentially promoted the KS formation in male and female. One possible explanation for the sex disparities in the association between serum UA levels and KS is sex steroid differences that result in distinct states of oxidative stress, inflammation, and immune response between men and women (26). Testosterone was stated to be a predisposing factor for kidney disease, while E2 has been proved to harbor protective effects in women (27). Mechanically, testosterone increases an alpha-enolase expression on the surface of renal tubular cells, which contributes to the crystal-cell adhesion (28). Exogenous testosterone increases the risk of stone events, while androgen

![Table](https://example.com/table.png)

**FIGURE 3** | Association between UA level and KS in female population among different subgroups. KS, kidney stone; CI, confidence interval; CHD coronary heart disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UpH, Urine pH. a Calculated applying bootstrap method. Details can be found in Methods-Statistical analyses Section. b Calculated applying model 3 (as shown in Methods-Statistical Analyses Section for descriptions of model 3) and taking per 50 µmol/L UA as a unit. c Calculated by applying Wald test. d Classified according to the recommendation defined by Working Group on Obesity in China. e Calculated using the CKD–EPI equation. Details can be found in Methods Section.
deprivation therapy and finasteride reduce the risk of renal calculi (29, 30). Estrogen may lower the urinary calcium and calcium oxalate saturation, decrease surface expression of calcium oxide crystal receptors, alleviate intracellular metabolism, and promote cell proliferation and tissue healing to prevent the KS formation (31, 32). A recent comparative study suggested that postmenopausal status was associated with a higher risk of KS development (33). Moreover, menopause was independently associated with higher UA levels that can be reduced by estrogen use (34). More studies are needed to unfold the mechanism attenuating the association between UA levels and urolithiasis in women. Sex disparities should be taken into consideration when preventing KS from formation according to UA levels.

In the men, who present underweight, low TC level, or high eGFR level, the KS development is not significantly associated with UA. The high eGFR level calculated from creatinine may result from muscle loss, suggesting a malnutrition status (35). We speculate that malnutrition status attenuates the association between UA and KS, namely, adequate nutrition status may be necessary for the KS development. Due to the small population of those presenting low Alb level (< 35 g/L, n = 50), and low TP level (< 60 g/L, n = 17) which also reflect a malnutrition status, subgroup analyses are not conducted for these two factors. Dietary factors have the potential of influencing the microbiota composition associated with KS formation, nevertheless, the correlation between malnutrition and KS remains to be elaborated (36).

We adjust UpH in the model since different stone types can be formed under different UpH levels, which previous studies have not contained. Alkaline UpH is favorable for the crystallization of calcium and phosphate containing stone, while acidic urine UpH promotes the formation of calcium oxalate, UA, and cystine stone (37). In this study, the UpH is applied to reflect the stone types and urine chemistry. After adjusting urine pH, UA level is still significantly associated with KS development in men.

Besides KS, UA causes many other diseases with thresholds under the normal upper limit (38). Maintaining the serum UA levels below the saturation point for monosodium urate (≤360 µmol/L) is recommended from the perspective of gout prevention (39). Hyperuricemia proves to be an independent risk factor for the development of type 2 diabetes and predicts hypertension (40). Increased UA levels are associated with the risk of congestive heart failure, stroke, and atrial fibrillation (41). However, from the perspective of preventing hypertension, the cutoff value for UA levels is 345 µmol/L for men and 274 µmol/L for women (42). In this study, concerned with urolithiasis development, the cutoff value for UA levels is suggested to be 330 µmol/L for men. We recommend that the disease- and gender-specific cutoff values for UA levels should be raised for precise prevention and treatment.

LIMITATIONS

This study still has several limitations. First, the study findings are observational without establishing causality. Instead, we construct a dose-response relationship between KS and UA using the restricted cubic splines method. Second, urolithiasis is diagnosed by US rather than non-contrast CT. As a radiation-free and low-cost imaging modality, US is strongly recommended for screening in a large population (43). Third, missing data were handled by deleting instead of applying statistic methods. It is based on the consideration that the data are not followed a “missing at random” pattern because a participant is usually absent from a full set of tests. In the sensitivity analysis, no significant difference was observed after excluding the participants with missing data. Fourth, no dietary information was obtained to adjust the effect size. Nevertheless, compared with the gene effect, dietary factor explains very little variation in UA levels (44). Fifth, we lacked the history of gout and menopause, although gout and menopause were reported to be involved in KS formation. Further studies concerning the gout and menopause were warranted.

CONCLUSION

Among Chinese adults, increased UA level is associated with a higher risk of KS in a dose-response manner in men above the UA level of 330 µmol/L. However, no increased risk of urolithiasis parallel to UA level is observed in women. We suggest a cutoff UA level lower than the upper normal limit to prevent KS formation. These findings will contribute to unraveling the pathophysiology of urolithiasis and further cast light on the prevention of KS development and recurrence.
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