Variability of longitudinal strain in left ventricular segments supplied by non-stenosed coronary artery: insights from speckle tracking analysis of dobutamine stress echocardiograms in patients with high coronary risk profile

Karina Wierzbowska-Drabik, Michał Plewka, Jarosław D. Kasprzak

Chair and Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland

Submitted: 12 January 2015
Accepted: 14 February 2015

Arch Med Sci 2017; 13, 1: 82–92
DOI: 10.5114/aoms.2016.60603
Copyright © 2016 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: Although global deformation parameters have been increas-ingly used for myocardial function analysis, there are sparse data concern-ing segmental deformation of the left ventricle (LV). Moreover, some studies suggest heterogeneity of strain among LV segments, which may be espe-cially significant during stress echocardiography. We assessed quantitatively regional LV function in the setting of dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE), to examine differences of longitudinal strain between basal, mid and apical LV segments and to compare variability of regional deformation be-tween rest and the peak stage of DSE.

Material and methods: Among 250 patients examined by DSE applied for diagnosis of ischemia, a subset of 111 patients without significant coronary stenoses in angiography was selected (68 females, mean age: 60 ±10 years). Systolic longitudinal strain (SLS) in individual LV segments at baseline and the peak stage of DSE was analyzed with speckle tracking echocardiography.

Results: Inhomogeneity of SLS among the LV segments (p < 0.001) was ob-served at baseline and the peak stage. Dispersion indices were higher at the peak stage of DSE than at baseline (p < 0.001), and the lowest heterogeneity was observed among mid segments. The analysis of changes in SLS during DSE showed SLS reduction in basal and mid-ventricular segments and an increase in apical segments.

Conclusions: Significant heterogeneity of strain and the opposite direction of the longitudinal strain changes during DSE between apical and basal LV segments were observed. This variability among non-ischemic LV segments ought to be considered in quantification of LV function during DSE.
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Introduction

Despite the development of echocardiographic quantitative methods for myocardial function assessment, the subjective, visual analysis of thickening remains the standard for the evaluation of left ventricular (LV) contractility during stress testing [1–10]. Previous studies revealed in-homogeneity of regional myocardial velocities defined as criteria for im-
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Dobutamine stress echocardiography

Dobutamine was administered by intravenous infusion in doses of 10, 20, 30 and 40 µg/kg/min during 3-minute stages, and atropine was added in 0.5 mg boluses after the second stage up to the dose of 2 mg. Blood pressure was measured during each stage, and 12-lead ECG was recorded immediately after termination of the test. The infusion was stopped when induced wall motion abnormalities suggestive for ischemia, chest pain, arrhythmia, excessive blood pressure increase or hypotension occurred or the age-predicted heart rate was reached. Video loops of heart cycles were acquired using standard echocardiographic views (three apical and LV short-axis view at three levels) and digitally stored for further analysis.

Assessment of myocardial deformation

Calculation of deformation parameters was performed off-line on an EchoPac 6.1.0 workstation (GE Vingmed Ultrasound). SLS values were obtained by 2D STE requiring manual tracing of en-
Table I. Demographic characteristics, clinical data and treatment of the studied subjects without significant coronary stenoses

| Parameter in studied group (n = 111) | Mean ± SD | Range |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Age [years]                           | 60 ±10    | 38–79 |
| Height [cm]                           | 167 ±8    | 150–187|
| Body mass [kg]                        | 79 ±15    | 45–115|
| Body mass index [kg/m²]              | 29 ±5     | 18–44 |
| Body surface area [m²]               | 1.92 ±0.21| 1.41–2.43|
| Blood pressure systolic [mm Hg]      | 127 ±17   | 90–170|
| Blood pressure diastolic [mm Hg]     | 71 ±10    | 43–100|
| Heart rate [min⁻¹]                   | 66 ±10    | 40–86 |
| Total cholesterol [mg/dl]            | 207 ±42   | 96–331|
| Cholesterol LDL [mg/dl]              | 122 ±33   | 40–212|
| Cholesterol HDL [mg/dl]              | 56 ±12    | 31–95 |
| Female/male                          | 68/43     | 61/39 |
| Chest pain:                          |           |       |
| Typical                              | 36        | 32    |
| Atypical                             | 58        | 52    |
| Non-specific                         | 17        | 15    |
| Hypertension                         | 87        | 78    |
| Diabetes                             | 25        | 23    |
| Smoking                              | 53        | 48    |
| Hypercholesterolemia                 | 88        | 79    |
| Family history of coronary disease   | 20        | 18    |
| Acetylsalicylic acid                 | 83        | 75    |
| Clopidogrel                           | 10        | 9     |
| β-Adrenolytic                        | 63        | 57    |
| ACE inhibitor                         | 68        | 61    |
| Statin                                | 80        | 72    |
| Long-acting nitrates                 | 22        | 20    |

ACE – angiotensin-converting enzyme.

Table II. Echocardiographic parameters of the studied subjects without significant coronary stenoses

| Parameter in studied group (n = 111) | Mean ± SD | Range |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| LVd [mm]                              | 46.5 ±4.5 | 35–58 |
| LVs [mm]                              | 31.7 ±4.7 | 22–47 |
| PWd [mm]                              | 10.9 ±1.5 | 8–15  |
| PWS [mm]                              | 14.0 ±1.6 | 10–19 |
| IVSd [mm]                             | 11.3 ±1.7 | 8–15  |
| IVSs [mm]                             | 14.3 ±1.6 | 11–19 |
| Ao [mm]                               | 32.1 ±3.8 | 25–45 |
| LA [mm]                               | 39.4 ±3.9 | 30–51 |
| RV [mm]                               | 26.0 ±2.2 | 20–30 |
| E/A                                   | 0.94 ±0.3 | 0.4–1.9|
| LV mass [g]                           | 223 ±62   | 102–392|
| LV mass index [g/m³]                  | 116.2 ±27.9| 59–195|
| ESV at baseline [ml]                  | 22.1 ±9.2 | 8–62  |
| EDV at baseline [ml]                  | 54.3 ±15.8| 28–105|
| SV at baseline [ml]                   | 32.4 ±9.6 | 14–61 |
| EF at baseline (%)                    | 60 ±9.0   | 37–76 |
| WMSI at baseline                     | 1.04 ±0.09| 1.0–1.5|
| S’ lat at baseline [cm/s]             | 8.7 ±2.2  | 5–14  |
| E’ lat at baseline [cm/s]             | 10.6 ±2.7 | 4–18  |
| ESV at peak [ml]                      | 12.9 ±5.5 | 4–31  |
| EDV at peak [ml]                      | 39.5 ±12.6| 11–74 |
| SV at peak [ml]                       | 26.8 ±9.2 | 6–47  |
| EF at peak (%)                        | 67.8 ±8.2 | 44–85 |
| WMSI at peak                          | 1.08 ±0.11| 1.0–1.5|
| S’ lat at peak [cm/s]                 | 14.9 ±4.1 | 5–23  |
| E’ lat at peak [cm/s]                 | 15.5 ±3.6 | 7–22  |

N – number of subjects, LVd – left ventricular end diastolic dimension, LVs – left ventricular end systolic dimension, PWd – end diastolic left ventricular posterior wall thickness, PWS – end systolic left ventricular posterior wall thickness, IVSd – end diastolic left ventricular septum thickness, IVSs – end systolic left ventricular septum thickness, Ao – aortic dimension, LA – left atrial dimension, RV – right ventricular end diastolic dimension, E/A – ratio of early to atrial mitral inflow peak velocity, LV mass – left ventricular mass, LV mass index – left ventricular mass index, ESV – end systolic left ventricular volume, EDV – end diastolic left ventricular volume, SV – stroke volume, EF – left ventricular ejection fraction, WMSI – wall motion score index, S’ lat – peak systolic velocity of lateral part of mitral annulus, E’ lat – peak early diastolic velocity of lateral part of mitral annulus.

docardium in three apical views. In order to make the process of obtaining regional data more efficient, we assigned LV segments in clockwise order starting with the basal septum in the 4-chamber view, through the 2-chamber to 3-chamber view with consecutive numbers – see polar maps in Figures 1–3. For each LV segment the peak SLS values were measured at baseline and the peak level of DSE (SLSB, SLSP respectively) as maximal values recorded before aortic valve closure.

The alternative method for obtaining SLS, i.e. AFI, required indicating three points (two basal and one apical) in each apical view and accepting the proposed region of interest encompassing the myocardial width. The regional values of peak SLS were presented in the format of a polar LV map with information describing averaged (from 6 segments) and global (from 18 segments) parameters.
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**Figure 1.** Mean values of regional systolic longitudinal strain and strain rate at baseline

STE – speckle tracking echocardiography, AFI – automated function imaging, 0 – baseline stage of dobutamine test, 4ch – four chamber segments visualized in four-chamber view, 2ch – two chamber segments visualized in two-chamber view, 3ch – three chamber segments visualized in three-chamber view. Numbers on bar graphs correspond to polar map location of individual segments.

**Figure 2.** Mean values of regional systolic longitudinal strain and strain rate at peak stage of dobutamine stress echocardiography

STE – speckle tracking echocardiography, AFI – automated function imaging, 1 – peak stage of dobutamine test, 4ch – four chamber segments visualized in four-chamber view, 2ch – two chamber segments visualized in two-chamber view, 3ch – three chamber segments visualized in three-chamber view. Numbers on bar graphs correspond to polar map location of individual segments.
Figure 3. Segments with highest and lowest strain at baseline and peak stage of DSE. A – Polar plots showing segments with the highest (> 20%) and lowest (< 15%) absolute values of systolic longitudinal strain in patients without significant coronary stenoses. Dark speckles indicate segments with SLS > 20%, white speckles indicate segments with SLS < 15%. B – Polar plots showing segments with the highest (> 18%) and the lowest (< 14%) absolute values of systolic longitudinal strain in patients with significant coronary stenoses. Dark speckles indicate segments with SLS > 18%, white speckles indicate segments with SLS < 14%.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc V. 12.1.4. (Frank Schoonjans Belgium). Continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations. Mean values of deformation parameters in 18 segments were compared with ANOVA or, when the equality of variances was not confirmed in Levene’s test, with the Kruskal-Wallis test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. The dispersion index for strain at baseline and at the peak stage of DSE was defined as the average of the segmental standard deviations of strain values in the segments composing specific regions. We calculated the dispersion index (DI) for all 18 LV segments and separately for basal, mid and apical regions. Comparison of DI at baseline and the peak stage of DSE was performed with the paired \( t \)-test. The coefficient of variation for duplicate measurements of regional SLS and AFI was calculated to assess intraobserver and interobserver variability for regional deformation in 15 randomly selected patients.

Results

The mean resting heart rate was 66 ±10 beats per minute and increased during DSE to 143 ±12, \( p < 0.001 \). Similarly, a significant increase was observed for the systolic and diastolic blood pressure: from 127 ±17 mm Hg at baseline to 143 ±27 mm Hg at stress (\( p < 0.001 \)), and from 71 ±10 mm Hg to 77 ±13 mm Hg (\( p < 0.001 \)), respectively. The average achieved dose of dobutamine infusion was 35 µg/kg/min and atropine dose 0.9 ±0.5 mg. The feasibility of deformation parameters was ≥ 95%. Detailed data concerning the feasibility in continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations. Mean values of deformation parameters in 18 segments were compared with ANOVA or, when the equality of variances was not confirmed in Levene’s test, with the Kruskal-Wallis test. The dispersion index for strain at baseline and at the peak stage of DSE was defined as the average of the segmental standard deviations of strain values in the segments composing specific regions. We calculated the dispersion index (DI) for all 18 LV segments and separately for basal, mid and apical regions. Comparison of DI at baseline and the peak stage of DSE was performed with the paired \( t \)-test. The coefficient of variation for duplicate measurements of regional SLS and AFI was calculated to assess intraobserver and interobserver variability for regional deformation in 15 randomly selected patients.

Dispersion indices (DI) of all deformation parameters (SLS, AFI and SLSR) calculated for the whole left ventricular muscle (18 segments) increased significantly during DSE (\( p < 0.0001 \) for all). Baseline DI values for SLS, AFI and SLSR were respectively: 5.29 ±0.98, 5.65 ±1.02 and 0.33 ±0.07. At the peak stage of DSE DI for SLS, AFI and SLSR increased respectively to: 6.53 ±0.89, 7.44 ±1.02 and 0.87 ±0.14. A comparison of dispersion indices of SLS, AFI and SLSR between baseline and the peak stage of DSE is presented in Figure 5.
Table III. Comparison of the regional strain (classical and AFI) at baseline and at the peak stage of dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE). The numbers in the headings of columns signify successive segments of the left ventricle as shown on polar maps in figures. Marked columns represent apical segments of the left ventricle.

| Parameter | 1          | 2          | 3          | 4          | 5          | 6          | 7          |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SLSB (%)  | –18 ±4     | –19.9 ±3.7 | –20.1 ±4.6 | –15.8 ±6   | –15.6 ±5.3 | –17.2 ±6   | –20.5 ±5.2 |
| SLSP (%)  | –18 ±6.2   | –19.6 ±5.5 | –20.9 ±6.4 | –16.6 ±7.8 | –12.4 ±7.4 | –13.1 ±7.2 | –18.2 ±5.9 |
| ΔSLS (%)  | 0.04 ±6.0  | 0.33 ±5.2  | –0.82 ±6.5 | –0.73 ±7.4 | 3.6 ±7.6   | 4.5 ±8.5   | 2.5 ±6.7   |
| AFIb (%)  | –18 ±4.4   | –20 ±3.9   | –22.2 ±4.9 | –19.1 ±6.5 | –16.1 ±5.9 | –15.8 ±5.7 | –20.4 ±5.2 |
| AFIB (%)  | –18 ±6.4   | –20 ±5.5   | –23 ±7.1   | –19.5 ±8.8 | –13.9 ±7   | –12.1 ±7.5 | –18 ±6.9   |
| ΔAFI (%)  | 0.17 ±6.4  | 0.05 ±5.5  | –0.79 ±7.1 | –0.38 ±8   | 2.34 ±7.1  | 3.93 ±8.65 | 2.7 ±7.9   |

| Parameter | 8          | 9          | 10         | 11         | 12         | 13         |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SLSB (%)  | –20.8 ±4.6 | –19.2 ±4.6 | –14.2 ±6.2 | –13.1 ±6.2 | –14.1 ±6.9 | –18.2 ±6   |
| SLSP (%)  | –18.9 ±5.8 | –20.3 ±6.9 | –17.3 ±7.9 | –13.1 ±6.1 | –12.1 ±5.9 | –14.8 ±7.2 |
| ΔSLS (%)  | 1.9 ±6.1   | –1.3 ±7.3  | –3.2 ±7.7  | 0.2 ±6.8   | 2.2 ±7.5   | 3.5 ±8.3   |
| AFIb (%)  | –21.1 ±4.6 | –21.3 ±5.3 | –17.5 ±7   | –15.2 ±6.6 | –14.8 ±7.5 | –17.5 ±5.8 |
| AFIB (%)  | –18.7 ±6.5 | –21.2 ±6.8 | –19.9 ±8   | –15.8 ±7.4 | –13.6 ±7.6 | –12.9 ±9.6 |
| ΔAFI (%)  | 2.4 ±6.9   | –0.06 ±6.8 | –2.4 ±7.3  | –0.33 ±7.1 | 1.8 ±8.6   | 5.0 ±9.6   |

| Parameter | 14         | 15         | 16         | 17         | 18         | P-value    |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SLSB (%)  | –17.5 ±5.1 | –16.2 ±6.2 | –17 ±6.4   | –17.8 ±4.2 | –16.7 ±4.0 | < 0.001    |
| SLSP (%)  | –14.3 ±6.1 | –16.9 ±7.8 | –19.2 ±7.1 | –17 ±5.4   | –14.6 ±5.3 | < 0.001    |
| ΔSLS (%)  | 3.3 ±6.4   | –0.72 ±8.5 | –2.0 ±9.3  | 0.75 ±6.8  | 2.2 ±6.7   | < 0.001    |
| AFIb (%)  | –17.5 ±5.3 | –18.6 ±7   | –19.5 ±6.8 | –19.4 ±5.1 | –18.3 ±4.5 | < 0.001    |
| AFIB (%)  | –14.3 ±7.7 | –20.3 ±8.7 | –23.2 ±8.7 | –19.9 ±7   | –16.5 ±6.7 | < 0.001    |
| ΔAFI (%)  | 3.3 ±7.5   | –2.1 ±9.2  | –3.9 ±9.3  | –0.5 ±7.5  | 1.7 ±7.5   | < 0.001    |

SLS – systolic longitudinal strain, B – baseline stage of DSE, P – peak stage of DSE, Δ – change between baseline and peak stage.

calculation of a separate dispersion index for basal, middle and apical segments of the LV revealed the highest values of DI in apical LV segments and the lowest in mid segments, although these differences achieved significance only at the peak stage of DSE (Table IV).

Our results indicate significant variability of regional deformation parameters of LV at rest and at the peak stage of DSE in the subjects without significant coronary artery stenoses. The resting SLS presented the lowest values in the anterior and lateral wall, supplied usually by the left coronary artery, and the highest values in the inferior wall and posterior septum, which belong to the territory of the right coronary artery. At the peak stage of dobutamine infusion, the highest amplitudes of strain were registered in the apical segments, although the pattern of higher strain in the right coronary artery region was generally preserved; for an example of DSE in a woman with normal coronary arteries (Figure 6).

In our study we did not observe fatal or life-threatening complications related directly to DSE in the whole studied group of 250 patients. The analysis of DSE in 111 patients without significant coronary stenoses revealed 14 adverse events including: 2 cases of prolonged stenocardial pain, 2 hypertensive reactions, numerous ventricular extrasystoles in 5 patients, and single events of hypotonia, bradycardia, induction of left bundle branch block, spontaneously remitting supraventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation managed by electrical cardioversion on the next day. The percentage of these mild complications was 12.6%, which is close to the value of 10% of complications during stress tests reported in the literature.

Discussion

According to our knowledge, only a handful of studies evaluating the variability of regional deformation parameters have been published to
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date, and papers concerning heterogeneity during DSE or other stress tests are still unique.

Afonso et al. [26] postulated that the strain dispersion index reflecting non-uniformity of myocardial function may be helpful in differentiation between hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and hypertrophy in athletes and hypertensives. Subjects with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy presented not only lower absolute values of global longitudinal strain but also higher dispersion of regional strain (DI = 4.6 ±1.7) in comparison with hypertensive (DI = 3.5 ±1) and athletic hypertrophy (DI = 2.6 ±0.5). Hypertensive hypertrophy was characterized by similar global strain values to those in athletes (which in turn were close to values observed in healthy subjects) but differed in the significantly higher dispersion index of strain. Calculated in an analogous way, in our study the dispersion index of strain measured by the AFI method was 5.65 ±1.02 at rest, which was more similar to values observed in subjects with myocardial hypertrophy than the significantly younger and very small control group in Afonso’s study (the mean age of 12 subjects of the control group was 29.3 ±6.3 years).

However, mean values of systolic and early diastolic mitral annulus motion measured at rest in our group corresponded to well-preserved systolic and diastolic function (see Table II).

Regarding the profile of regional strain in healthy subjects, Sun et al. [27] observed higher value of longitudinal and circumferential strain in apical versus basal segments. In the study of Marwick et al. [28], higher values of longitudinal strain at rest were observed in the majority of apical segments and, similarly to our results, in the inferior wall. Also the more recent paper dedicated to determination of reference values of strain

Figure 4. Mean values of changes in regional systolic longitudinal strain and strain rate between peak and baseline stage of dobutamine stress echocardiography

STE – speckle tracking echocardiography, AFI – automated function imaging, S – strain, SR – strain rate, Δ – change between peak and baseline stage of dobutamine test for respective parameter, 4ch – four chamber segments visualized in four-chamber view, 2ch – two chamber segments visualized in two-chamber view, 3ch – three chamber segments visualized in three-chamber view.

Numbers on bar graphs correspond to polar map location of individual segments.

Figure 5. Comparison of dispersion indices (DI) of deformation parameters between baseline and peak stage of DSE. Black bars indicate DI of SLS, gray bars indicate DI of AFI, and white bars indicate DI of SLSR. p < 0.0001 for all comparisons between baseline and peak.
by three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography revealed significant heterogeneity in longitudinal strain between individual segments [29]. It seems possible that the observed variability of deformation reflects not only the complex geometry and three-layer architecture of the LV but also some differences of perfusion in the field of both coronaries. In the left anterior descending artery the dominance of the diastolic phase of the flow spectrum is more evident than in the right coronary artery, which may have some impact on contractile function, especially during tachycardia at the peak stage of DSE.

Interestingly, also SLS changes observed during DSE displayed significant region-related dispersion, with an increase in the apical and decrease in the basal and middle LV segments. This opposite direction of changes in regional deformation at different LV levels indicates the need for differentiation of segment- or region-specific cut-off values for deformation-based ischemia detection during DSE.

We observed a significant increase of dispersion for strain and strain rate at the peak stage of DSE, and at this stage of the stress test segmental non-uniformity was more pronounced in the apical and less so in mid LV segments (Figure 5 and Table IV). A potential solution of this problem is the choice of marker segments, representative for the territory of each coronary artery, from mid LV segments presenting with lower dispersion indices.

Poorly examined issues also include the changes and dispersion of regional strain during other stress tests such as exercise on a treadmill, pacing or dipyridamole studies. Nowadays, the changes of deformation during exercise are intensively studied and sometimes reveal data which (analyzed in abstraction from achieved heart rate) seem to be contradictory, indicating e.g. physio-

### Table IV. Comparison of dispersion indexes between basal, middle and apical segments of the left ventricle

| Parameter | Basal segments | Mid segments | Apical segments | P-value |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| SLS<sub>B</sub> | 5.35 ±1.18 | 4.85 ±0.88 | 5.67 ±0.84 | NS |
| SLS<sub>P</sub> | 6.28 ±0.77 | 6.00 ±0.78 | 7.32 ±0.61 | 0.016 |
| AFI<sub>B</sub> | 5.52 ±1.13 | 5.23 ±0.95 | 6.20 ±0.88 | NS |
| AFI<sub>P</sub> | 7.78 ±1.18 | 6.85 ±0.78 | 8.02 ±0.88 | NS |
| SLSR<sub>B</sub> | 0.35 ±0.08 | 0.28 ±0.04 | 0.37 ±0.05 | NS |
| SLSR<sub>P</sub> | 0.93 ±0.15 | 0.73 ±0.05 | 0.95 ±0.08 | 0.004 |

SLS – systolic longitudinal strain, AFI – systolic longitudinal strain measured by automated function imaging, SLSR – systolic longitudinal strain rate, B – baseline stage of DSE, P – peak stage of DSE.
logic lack of increase of longitudinal strain during maximal exercise (swimming) in healthy athletes [30] and poor prognosis related to lowered global longitudinal strain during moderate exercise (bicycle, level of HR 90–100 beats per minute for speckle tracking analysis) in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction [31]. Despite the lack of data concerning variability of segmental deformation, it seems probable that the main determinant of strain values lies primarily in heart rate and inotropic excitement achieved rather than in specificity of the applied stressor.

Our study has several limitations. Our group was limited in size and the subjects did not undergo a study of deformation with an alternative method, e.g. myocardial tagging in magnetic resonance imaging. We studied patients without significant lesions of coronary arteries but with a high percentage of cardiovascular risk factors and chest pain. Therefore our results do not necessarily reflect the findings in normal subjects but still may serve as a reference for typical patients referred for a stress test. The assessment of coronary arteries was based on diameter assessed by an experienced invasive cardiologist but was not supported by advanced approaches, e.g. fractional flow reserve or intracoronary ultrasound.

The significant burden of CAD risk factors, such as hypertension and diabetes, and cardiovascular medications may have influenced myocardial function although probably in a homogeneous manner without a predilection to specific regions [32]. We focused on the comparisons of dispersion indices between baseline and the peak stage of DSE and in separate regions of the LV, did not assess the impact of age, sex or regional thickness of the myocardium on variability of strain, and did not analyze the potential relationship of strain heterogeneity with different types of coronary circulation: right or left coronary artery dominance. Finally, our analysis was limited to the amplitudes of deformation parameters, whereas variability of time intervals may also provide significant information [33].

In conclusion, our data indicate heterogeneity of segmental deformation in patients without significant lesions in coronary arteries undergoing stress testing. This variability is related to basal, middle or apical localization of LV segments and the potential relationship with supplying coronary artery. The dispersion is the most evident in apical segments and increases during the peak stage of DSE. The DSE-induced changes of longitudinal strain, but not strain rate, are opposite in direction in the basal/middle vs. apical part of the LV. Further evaluation is required to determine whether these observations have an impact in the setting of coronary artery disease.
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