Circulating cell-free DNA as a potential marker in smoke inhalation injury
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1. Introduction

Smoke inhalation is the leading cause of death in the event of a fire. Injury is usually a result of several mechanisms including thermal injury to the upper airway, irritation or chemical damage to the Airways from soot, choking, and toxicity from carbon monoxide and other gases. Injury from smoke inhalation is mostly expressed as direct damage to exposed epithelial surfaces, and may result in inflammation of the conjunctiva, cornea, edema, rhinorrhea, pharyngitis, tracheitis, bronchitis, inflammation of the alveoli, and pneumonia. Systemic absorption of toxins may also occur. Respiratory insufficiency is likely the result of direct damage to lung tissue combined with the inflammatory response that accompanies injury. Several morbidity and mortality indicators have been identified for burns, the strongest of which is the proportion of total body surface area (TBSA) burned. An additional prognostic marker is the presence of smoke inhalation injury (SII), which increases mortality by nearly 24 times. In most cases, the initial diagnosis of the extent of SII and subsequent treatment relies on limited clinical tools. Fiber-optic bronchoscopy is commonly used to identify inhalation injury in the supraglottic and infra-glottic airway. Higher plasma carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) levels in combination with greater airway neutrophilia and cytokine release have been suggested for grading the severity of SII. The difficulty in reliably grading the severity of SII is at least partly related to increased morbidity and mortality in burn patients. Therefore, useful biomarkers that directly reflect the primary injury and secondary damage from inflammation are needed.

Several studies have shown elevated concentrations of circulating cell free DNA (CFD) in burn patients and correlated to the affected TBSA and duration of hospitalization. Fox et al. suggested using CFD as a direct marker of cellular injury, which can provide a single, objective evaluation of the severity of the burn injury as part of a global pathological process. Chiu et al. found that CFD levels were elevated in burn patients and related to hospitalization length and to the TBSA burned. In our previously published work, we found a strong correlation between TBSA and CFD levels and an even stronger correlation.
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between Total Burn Volume (TBSA × burn degree) and CFD levels.\textsuperscript{[9]} In various pathologies, including lung diseases, elevated CFD concentrations are associated to necrosis and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{[10–13]} In addition, it is now clear that degradation of DNA from neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) significantly contributes to elevation of CFD.\textsuperscript{[12]} “NETosis” denotes neutrophil cell death in inflamed tissue and formation of NETs, an active trap for bacteria composed of DNA filaments and antibacterial protein.\textsuperscript{[14]} NETs production is associated to acute and chronic lung disease\textsuperscript{[15,16]} and it has been shown that host DNA released by NETosis itself promotes allergic asthma exacerbation.\textsuperscript{[12]}

Increasing evidence suggests that NETosis also occurs in noninfectious, sterile inflammation and plays an important role in autoimmunity, coagulation, acute injuries, and cancer.\textsuperscript{[14]}

We have developed a simple, fast, and reliable fluorometric assay to measure serum CFD. This method does not require prior processing of the samples, and was validated for serum.\textsuperscript{[17]} Using this method, we have already found that elevated CFD levels are strongly associated with poor prognosis in COPD, sepsis, traumatic brain injury, and burn patients.\textsuperscript{[6,11,18,19]} We hypothesized that circulating CFD released from smoke inhalation injured lungs will effectively reflect lung injury and inflammation. The aim of this study was to evaluate admission CFD levels as an injury severity marker in isolated SII patients.

2. Patients and methods

We conducted a prospective study in trauma centers of two large Israeli hospitals (~1000 beds), in the Soroka University Medical Center Beer-Sheva, and Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of both centers and informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Study cohort: Eighteen patients diagnosed in the emergency department as suffering from isolated SII, aged 18 years or over, who arrived at the hospital within 2 h from the time of injury. All patients were found to have evidence of SII by flexible fiber-optic endoscopy. All patients received oxygen on their way to the emergency department. Patients were either hospitalized or followed up in the emergency department for up to 24 h, according to the clinical judgement of the treating emergency physician. This decision was influenced mainly by the history of the injury (exposure time to smoke in closed quarters), the patient’s respiratory condition, COHb levels, and the recommendation of the ENT performing the fiber-optic endoscopy.

To exclude the effect of comorbidities, we chose to investigate healthy, nonsmoking patients, without concomitant trauma including cutaneous burns, pregnant women, and patients suffering from pre-existing severe chronic illnesses (cancer, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, autoimmune diseases).

Data recorded: Time and cause of injury, demographics, medical history, complete blood count, blood chemistry panel, arterial/venous blood gases, and COHb admission levels.

Controls: Eighteen healthy nonsmoking volunteers, age and gender matched to the patients.

CFD analysis: Blood samples were obtained at arrival to the emergency department, within two hours of injury, and during routine daily blood tests for hospitalized patients. Blood samples were collected using BD Vacutainer gel tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Plymouth, UK) and stored at 4°C for up to 24 h before centrifugation. Serum was separated from the cellular fraction and frozen at –20°C until assayed. CFD was detected directly in sera, according to our previously published novel method.\textsuperscript{[17]}

3. Results

Eighteen patients and 18 age and gender matched healthy control subjects were recruited between January 2014 and June 2015 (Table 1). Three of the patients were female (16.7%) and 15 (83.3%) male. The patients’ median age (Table 2) was 35 (range 27–65) years, not different from matched control group, 35 (23–70) years.

As shown in Table 1, five (27.7%) of the patients were hospitalized and thirteen (72.3%) were treated in the emergency department and discharged within 24 hours. One patient (5.5%) was ventilated and admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for 2 days.

As shown in Table 2 and Figure 1A, median admission CFD level of smoke inhalation patients was significantly higher than that of the age and gender matched healthy control group 879 (236–3220) ng/mL vs. 339 (150–570) ng/mL (\(P<.0001\)). Additionally, admission CFD levels of the smoke inhalation patients who were hospitalized were significantly higher than those who were discharged from the emergency department within 24 h 1517 (655–3220) ng/mL vs. 675 (236–1381) ng/mL (Figure 1B, \(P<.05\)). Admission CFD was in correlation with hospitalization time (\(R_{\text{ho}} = 0.578, P<.05\)) similar to the correlation of COHb to hospitalization time (\(R_{\text{ho}} = 0.557, P<.05\)). We found a positive linear correlation between the patients’ admission COHb levels and CFD levels (\(R^{2} = 0.621, P<.0001\), Figure 2).

As shown in Figure 3, we found that smoke inhalation patients who were hospitalized showed a gradual decrease in CFD levels during the days after admission (patients #1, #6, #11 and #12).

We did not find significant correlations between admission CFD levels and complete blood count or blood chemistry panel variables.

4. Discussion

Early diagnosis of inhalation injury is crucial for recognition of a possibly compromised airway and to manage fluid resuscitation. There is no current consensus on the diagnostic criteria for inhalation injury.\textsuperscript{[20]} In most cases, evaluation of inhalation injury is based on assessment of airway, breathing, and circulation, history of injury in an enclosed space, facial burns, and a few other physical criteria. These criteria are limited in prediction of the inflammatory response to the initial injury, which may be delayed
Table 1
Patient group characteristics.

| No. | Age | Gender | Admission COHb level (%) | Admission CFD level (ng/ml) | Hospitalization | CFD levels during hospitalization (By days) | ICU/ventilation |
|-----|-----|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1   | 48  | M      | 7.1                      | 3220                        | 7 days         | 3220                                      | Yes            |
| 2   | 41  | M      | 0.6                      | 556                         | No             | –                                         | –              |
| 3   | 31  | M      | 1.0                      | 675                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 4   | 38  | M      | 4.2                      | 983                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 5   | 31  | M      | 3.9                      | 1055                        | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 6   | 32  | M      | 1.1                      | 1426                        | 4 days         | 1426                                      | No             |
| 7   | 39  | M      | 0.5                      | 461                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 8   | 28  | F      | 0.7                      | 236                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 9   | 34  | M      | 3.2                      | 1961                        | 2 days         | –                                         | No             |
| 10  | 38  | M      | 2.0                      | 940                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 11  | 70  | F      | 4.5                      | 1517                        | 4 days         | 1517                                      | No             |
| 12  | 23  | M      | 1.4                      | 655                         | 3 days         | 655                                       | No             |
| 13  | 31  | M      | 2.1                      | 1250                        | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 14  | 36  | M      | 0.8                      | 1581                        | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 15  | 27  | M      | 0.9                      | 519                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 16  | 27  | M      | 0.9                      | 464                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 17  | 59  | M      | 0.6                      | 518                         | No             | –                                         | No             |
| 18  | 37  | F      | 0.3                      | 818                         | No             | –                                         | No             |

CFD = cell-free DNA, COHb = carboxyhemoglobin, ICU = intensive care unit.

Table 2
Study groups.

|    | N   | Age median (range) | Female | Male | CFD median (range) |
|----|-----|--------------------|--------|------|-------------------|
| N  | Patients | 18       | 35 (27–65) | 3 | 15 | 879 (236–3220) |
| N  | Control    | 18       | 35 (23–70) | 3 | 15 | 339 (150–570)  |
| P  | value     | N.S.   |        |      |      | P<.0001          |

CFD = cell-free DNA.

Figure 1. (A) Median admission cell-free DNA (CFD) levels of smoke inhalation patients (SII) versus controls. Circulating serum concentrations were measured using our fluorescent DNA assay in 18 SII patients and matched healthy controls. (B) Median admission CFD levels of hospitalized vs. nonhospitalized smoke inhalation patients.
for a day or two. We hypothesized that admission CFD levels would reflect the severity of thermal destruction and toxicity of inhaled gases. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate admission CFD levels as an injury severity marker in SII patients. In order to assess the CFD levels originating solely from SII injury, we chose to enroll only patients who had isolated SII, without any concomitant trauma or known pathological process capable of releasing additional CFD into the bloodstream. In recent years, several reports have discussed the use of CFD levels as a prognostic factor for burn victims. To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to address CFD in SII. We found that SII patients had significantly higher admission CFD levels than healthy control subjects. Furthermore, the correlation of CFD levels with the hospitalization period suggests that circulating CFD levels reflect the severity of SII. Furthermore, the strong correlation of CFD with admission COHb levels is indicative of the power of this biomarker to quantitate the damage from the toxic effect of CO and possible other noxious substances released during combustion. In addition to necrosis damage from the toxic effect of CO and possible other noxious substances released during combustion, it is possible that part of the elevation in CFD in SII patients is contributed by degradation of neutrophils NETs, in which DNA is the main constituent. It is possible that the prognostic power of CFD comes from its integrative reflection of primary injury and secondary damage from inflammation and hypoxia.

Additional support for the validity of this marker is the reduction of CFD along with the recovery of our hospitalized patients, suggesting the possible use of this marker for follow-up. Our work demonstrates the routine clinical applicability of measuring admission CFD levels as a prognostic indicator for SII. Compared to the complex standard PCR-based assay, the “mix and measure” assay that we have developed gives almost immediate results, which is essential for evaluation of trauma patients. We believe this rapid assay can help introduce the routine use of CFD measurement into daily practice.

Study limitations: Our study was conducted in 2 medical centers in a country in which fires leading to isolated SII are not common, thus limiting our cohort. This limited patient cohort may also explain why we did not find correlations between CFD levels and blood count or chemistry panel variables. Additionally, we find it important to point out that when measuring CFD in SII patients, severe background illness must be considered. CFD is not a specific biomarker of SII and may be affected by severe injuries or by rigorous chronic illness of the patients.

To conclude, CFD levels, measured by our simple “mix and measure” assay, appear to be an integrative promising marker for SII severity. We were able to measure admission CFD concentrations in 18 SII patients and matched control subjects. Patients had elevated CFD levels and their levels correlated with hospitalization time. CFD correlated CO intoxication levels and daily CFD measurements reflected the recovery of hospitalized patients. Our data from this preliminary study suggest that admission circulating CFD may serve as an effective triage tool for early diagnosis of SII. Additional studies are needed to further validate our results in isolated SII, and investigate the effect of concomitant trauma and comorbidities on CFD levels.
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