p53 Mutation at Serine 249 and Its Gain of Function Are Highly Related to Hepatocellular Carcinoma after Smoking Exposure
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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} It has been convincingly suggested that a close correlation exists between the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cigarette smoking. However, the underlying effect of smoking on HCC is not clear. \textbf{Methods:} A binary unconditional logistic regression was used for the data on a total of 300 cases and 612 controls. The approach of functional analysis of separated alleles in yeast and direct sequencing of TP53 mutations were applied to analyze the p53 status in the HCC group. The relationship between p53 mutation at serine 249 (p53-RS) and smoking was assessed. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR was employed for the evaluation to transcriptional activity of p53 and p53-RS. \textbf{Results:} Smoking was linked to the risk of HCC with an increased dose-response effect. Moreover, among subjects who did not drink, the risks of HCC were significantly increased for smokers between HCC and controls. Besides, there was an increase in the number of HCC in smokers compared to nonsmokers after exclusion of HBV and/or HCV infection. Also, a significant difference was observed in the incidence of p53-RS between smokers and nonsmokers the HCC group. Furthermore, the p53-RS transcriptional activity was significantly increased in tumor tissues. \textbf{Conclusions:} It strongly demonstrated that tobacco smoking is positively and independently associated with HCC, which may be attributed to p53-RS and its gain of function.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

\textbf{Background}

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for 90% of primary liver cancer, which is the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. HCC causes a heavy disease burden and is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in many parts of the world. It is estimated that HCC is the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [2]. Even though liver cancer is not one of the most frequently occurring cancers, its high mortality (overall mortality to incidence rate, 0.95) and short survival (5-year survival rate is only 6.9%) cause a serious global health burden [3]. Multiple risk factors leading to HCC have been well-identified, including chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) and/or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections, carcinogen exposure (e.g., aflatoxin B1 and AFB1), excessive alcohol consumption, and multiple genetic factors [4, 5].

Chronic HBV and HCV infections are the most important causes of liver cancer, accounting for 80% of global
HCC cases [6]. Chronic HBV infection is a major cause of HCC in East Asia and most African countries, with the exception of North Africa, where HCV prevalence is highest [7, 8]. HBV infections are usually obtained vertically from mothers to children in China and other parts of Asia, while sibling transmission is more common in Africa [9]. Overall, the annual incidence of HCC in patients with cirrhosis caused by chronic HBV or HCV infection is 2–5% [10].

Aflatoxins are difuranocoumarin derivatives of Aspergillus aflatoxin and A. parasiticus. Fungal contamination occurs both during crop growth and due to the improper storage. High levels of exposure to fungal toxin are widely distributed in sub-Saharan Africa and the Asia-Pacific region. AFBI is an aflatoxin most often found in contaminated human foodstuffs and is the most potent hepatocarcinogen [11]. One study confirmed the effectiveness of preventing post-harvest contamination through simple interventions, such as drying crops on cloth, rather than soil; hand sorting to remove moldy crops; and better storage practices [12].

Heavy drinking (>80 g/day) for >10 years increases the risk of HCC by approximately 5 times [13]. The annual incidence of HCC in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis is 1–2% [14]. Alcohol consumption and viral hepatitis have synergistic effects on HCC [15, 16]. Alcoholic cirrhosis is the second most common risk factor for liver cancer in the USA and Europe [17]. A meta-analysis of 19 studies (n = 5,650) by the World Cancer Research Fund found a statistically significant increased risk of 4% per 10 g alcohol intake per day, with odds ratios (ORs) of 1.04 and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) 1.02–1.06, respectively [18].

The IARC concluded that there was sufficient evidence that tobacco smoking caused liver cancer in 2004 [19]. Also, a meta-analysis estimated that there was a 1.5-fold increased risk of HCC among current smokers, a risk similar to that imposed by obesity [20]. Moreover, it was confirmed that cigarette smoking was a risk factor for HCC, regardless of HCV infection status [21]. However, HCC only develops in a small part of the exposed population, which means that genetic factors may contribute to the carcinogenic mechanism.

Indeed, like other cancers, HCC could be considered an acquired genetic disorder defined by an accumulation of somatic genetic alterations in tumor hepatocytes [22]. Following several technological revolutions, a lot of recent pioneering studies have refined the knowledge of the mutational landscape and the related signaling pathway involved in liver carcinogenesis, decoding the whole sequence of an individual or of tumor genomes in a few days that can explore >20,000 coding genes [23–25]. The tumor suppressor protein p53 acts as a transcription factor by regulating the expression of genes involved in various processes, including DNA repair, cell cycle arrest, and apoptotic cell death [26–28]. Thus, p53 plays multiple, coordinated antiproliferative roles in response to many different types of stress stimuli. The p53 gene is the most frequently mutated gene in human cancer [29]. Interestingly, p53 mutations in serine 249 (p53-RS) are highly associated with HCC, which is often diagnosed in patients with high exposure to AFB1 and/or infected with HBV [30, 31]. To date, p53-RS is the only hot spot mutant that has been identified among 30% of HCC patients that harbor p53 mutations [32–34].

Nicotine is the more abundant component in cigarette smoking, which is first metabolized in liver and increases the risk of developing HCC. Our previous study showed that nicotine could collaborate to HCC promotion for smokers due to gain of function (GOF) of p53-RS [35]. Also, it showed that the expression of Nat2 is significantly downregulated in HCC patients, implicating poor metabolism of exogenous and endogenous compounds in HCC [36]. Besides, enrichment analyses indicated that the differentially expressed genes between HCC and normal samples were significantly enriched in metabolism-associated pathways, and hub genes and module 1 were highly associated with the cell cycle pathway [37]. In addition, cigarette smoke also induces oxidative stress by stimulating NADPH oxidase and decreasing antioxidant defenses, leading to reactive oxygen species generation and lipid peroxidation, which could induce hepatic injury and subsequent activation of resident hepatic stellate cells, a major fibrogenic cell type [38, 39].

In the present study, we also sought to understand more fully the critical determinants for the carcinogenic activity of tobacco smoking. First, it also showed a significant dose-response and positive association between smoking and HCC risk. Moreover, there was a statistically significant correlation between p53-RS and smoking, and it was further demonstrated that the increase in liver cancer cases among smokers may be partly due to p53-RS and its GOF.

**Methods**

**Study Population**

The study had a hospital-based case-control design. Between June 2013 and December 2018, we enrolled 300 cases of HCC, and those who were diagnosed within 1 year prior to admission were also regarded as eligible. HCC were diagnosed on the basis of
pathology, cytology, or an elevated α-fetoprotein level (400 ng/mL) combined with positive findings in at least 2 diagnostic imaging examinations, including angiography, sonography, and computerized tomography scans. Their control subjects, considered hospital controls, were hospitalized for reasons other than liver disease, such as chronic hepatitis or hepatic cirrhosis, as well as neoplasms and tobacco- or alcohol-related disease, and were genetically unrelated family member (i.e., spouses and in-laws) and matched for age (within the same 5-year age class), gender, residence (prefecture), and time of hospitalization (with 2 months after a case interview).

**Laboratory Testing**

Blood samples from HCC cases (n = 300) and hospital controls (n = 612) were tested for HBV and HCV. Hepatitis-B surface antigen (HBsAg) was detected by an immunooassay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Redmond, WA, USA). Antibody to hepatitis C virus (anti-HCV) was determined by a second-generation enzyme immunoassay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Redmond, WA, USA). Results were interpreted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Positive results prompted repeated confirmatory enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay testing. Technicians who performed the blood tests were blinded to the identity and disease status of participants. Peripheral blood samples were taken from patients and controls, and blood specimens, including white blood cells and serum, were frozen at −80°C until analysis.

**Questionnaire**

All HCC patients and hospital controls were interviewed in the hospital. Personal general information including age, gender, height, and alcohol and smoking status was elicited during questionnaire-based interviews. Also, questionnaires were structured to obtain information about participants’ body weight before cancer diagnosis (HCC patients) or before recruitment (hospital controls). The body mass index (BMI) was estimated from the participants’ weight (kg) and height (m). Alcohol drinkers were defined as individuals who drank any alcoholic beverage on a monthly basis or more often. Those who had stopped smoking >3 years before the onset of HCC were considered former smokers. Those who had stopped smoking within the 3 years prior to disease diagnosis were considered current smokers. Besides, former smokers were classified on the basis of the daily number of cigarettes smoked in the past (<2 and ≥2 packs/day, 20 cigarettes per pack), while the current ones were classified in line with the daily number of cigarettes smoked before the onset of HCC or a corresponding date in the controls (<2 and ≥2 packs/day, 20 cigarettes per pack).

**p53 Determination**

All patients underwent complete resection of liver tumor. In each case, fragments of the tumor and corresponding normal tissue were obtained and partly immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until further testing for p53 transcriptional activity to prevent degradation of RNA. The remaining tumor materials were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin for pathologic evaluation. The pathologic classification, including tumor grade and stage, was assessed according to the World Health Organization system and TNM classifications [40], respectively.

p53 gene functional status was determined by the functional analysis of separated alleles in yeast (FASAY) method described previously [41]. In brief, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, total RNA was extracted from tumor materials using the RNeasy mini kit, and then RNA samples were reverse transcribed at 37°C. The p53 cDNA was amplified using PCR with the following primers: 5′-CCT TGC GTG CCC AAG CAA TGG ATG AT-3′ for P3 and 5′-ACC CTT TTT GGA CTT CAG GTG GCT GGA GT-3′ for P4 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and Pfu DNA Polymerase (Stratagene). Crude polymerase chain reaction product and linearized p53 expression vector were co-transfected into the yeast reporter strain yIG397. Transformed yeast cells were plated on a minimal medium without leucine and with a low amount of adenine (5 μg/mL), followed by incubation for 2–3 days at 35°C and then for 2–3 days at room temperature. Experiments were repeated at least twice, and the average percentage of red colonies was determined. p53 status was considered mutated on the basis of the following criteria from Lehmann-Che et al. [42]: (a) >10% of the yeast colonies were red, (b) defects in the 5′ or 3′ section of the gene can be identified by the analysis of the split versions of the test, and (c) sequence analysis of mutant yeast colonies can identify clear genetic defects. The existence of >4 clonal mutations within sequenced yeast colonies was the criterion for the final definition of mutation.

**Direct Sequencing of TP53 Mutations**

Genomic DNA was extracted from tumor materials, and the procedure was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was amplified by PCR to generate 110-bp product encompassing codon 249 located at exon 7 of TP53. The primers used were P1 5′-GTT GCC TCT GAC TGT ACC AC-3′ and P2 5′-CTG GAG TCT TCC AGT GTG AT-3′. The PCR products were sequenced using the Sanger sequencing method according to the protocol used at the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) ([https://p53.iarc.fr/Download/TP53_SangerSequencing_IARC.pdf](https://p53.iarc.fr/Download/TP53_SangerSequencing_IARC.pdf)). We sequenced amplicons on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA). Sanger sequences were analyzed with Sequencer 5.1 software (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

**RNA Isolation and Quantitative Reverse-Transcription PCR Analysis**

Following the manufacturer’s instructions, an RNA kit was used for the extraction of samples from freshly frozen tumor tissue and normal non tumor mucosa (RNeasy Lipid Tissue Qiagen kit, 74804; Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was done using IQ SYBR green (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and GAPDH as the reference gene. The relative expression of mRNA was determined by the comparative Ct method (ΔΔCt method). Each sample was analyzed in triplicate by qRT-PCR. The designed primer sequences were as follows: 5′-GAG ACA CCA CTG GAG GGT TTC GCT CAC G-3′ and 5′-GGG CAA ACA ACA GAT CGG TGG CAA C-3′ for human p21; 5′-GAG GAT GAT TGC CGC GGT GGA CA-3′ and 5′-GGT GGG GGA GGC CGG TGG AGG-3′ for human Bax; 5′-AAA ACT AGT CGA CGA TGC CCC TCA ACG TTA GC-3′ and 5′-AAA AAG CTT GGT CGG CGG TGG AGA AGC TCC C-3′ for human c-Myc; 5′-GCC GCA TGC AAG TGG CAT ATA ACT C-3′ and 5′-AACG CCT CAA AAA AAA GAG TCT GGG CCG TGG AGA AGC TCC C-3′ for human c-Myc; 5′-GCC GCA TGC AAG TGG CAT ATA ACT C-3′ and 5′-AACG CCT CAA AAA AAA GAG TCT GGG CCG TGG AGA AGC TCC C-3′ for human c-Myc; 5′-GCC GCA TGC AAG TGG CAT ATA ACT C-3′ and 5′-AACG CCT CAA AAA AAA GAG TCT GGG CCG TGG AGA AGC TCC C-3′ for human c-Myc; 5′-GCC GCA TGC AAG TGG CAT ATA ACT C-3′ and 5′-AACG CCT CAA AAA AAA GAG TCT GGG CCG TGG AGA AGC TCC C-3′ for human c-Myc.
Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 25.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences in the distributions of demographic characteristics between HCC cases and hospital controls were evaluated using the χ² test (qualitative data) and unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test (quantitative data). Also, hierarchical analysis and unconditional logistic regression model were used to generate OR with 95% CI for HCC risk as relative risk estimation [43]. Because it is impossible for some HCC cases to match individual, it is necessary to carry out the unconditional logistic regression model analysis, instead of the conditional, adjusting for the matching factors. The likelihood ratio test is the difference between maximum logarithmic and likelihood statistics, and it is used to evaluate the significance of additional covariates in the model [43]. All p values quoted were two-sided, and significant differences were considered at a p < 0.05.

Results
Tobacco Smoking Is Positively and Independently Associated with HCC
Online suppl. Table 1 (for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000516598) shows the distribution of study subjects by demographic characteristics, serologic evidence of chronic infection with HBV, infection with HCV, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. HCC cases and hospital controls were similarly distributed by age, gender, and body mass index. There were, as expected, significant differences (p < 0.05) in gender status and prevalence of HBV and HCV infection markers because these are the main reasons for HCC. Also, interestingly, it was found that smokers were significantly more likely to be associated with HCC than nonsmokers (χ² = 67.131, p = 0.000) and smoking was more common among cases than among controls.

| Smoking status, packs/day | HCC cases (n = 300) | Hospital controls (n = 612) | OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
|                           | men (n = 198)       | women (n = 102)            | men (n = 468) | women (n = 144) |              |
| Never smoker, n (%)       | 54 (27.27%)         | 66 (64.70%)                | 288 (61.54%) | 132 (91.67%)    | 1.000        |
| Current smoker, n (%)     | 90 (45.45%)         | 24 (23.53%)                | 108 (23.08%) | 6 (4.17%)       | 3.500 (2.518–4.866) |
| <2                        | 66 (33.33%)         | 18 (17.65%)                | 84 (17.95%)  | 6 (4.17%)       | 3.267 (2.279–4.682) |
| ≥2                        | 24 (12.12%)         | 6 (5.88%)                  | 24 (5.13)    | 0 (0.00)        | 4.375 (2.465–7.766) |
| Former smoker, n (%)      | 54 (27.27%)         | 12 (11.76%)                | 72 (15.39%)  | 6 (4.17%)       | 2.962 (2.014–4.355) |
| <2                        | 36 (18.18%)         | 6 (5.88%)                  | 54 (11.54%)  | 6 (4.17%)       | 2.450 (1.572–3.817) |
| ≥2                        | 18 (9.09)           | 6 (5.88%)                  | 18 (3.85)    | 0 (0.00)        | 4.667 (2.451–8.885) |

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

We further examined the cigarette smoking-HCC association. Table 1 shows the distribution of HCC cases and hospital controls by smoking habits. The distributions were gender-specific on account of the expected differences in the prevalence of these activities by gender. Smokers were significantly associated with HCC. The corresponding estimates were 3.500 (95% CI = 2.518–4.866) and 2.962 (95% CI = 2.014–4.355) for current smokers and former smokers, respectively. In terms of dose, there appeared to be a dose-response relationship between HCC and quantity smoked with adjusted OR estimates of 3.267 and 4.375 for smoking <2 and ≥2 packs per day for current smokers, respectively. Likewise, significant OR variation was found when considering only a group of subjects among former smokers, the OR for <2 and ≥2 packs per day being 2.450 (95% CI = 1.572–3.817) and 4.667 (95% CI = 2.451–8.885), respectively. Altogether, it demonstrated that smoking was associated with an increased risk of HCC according to the adjustment of age and gender, but mutual confounding precludes alcohol intake and valid etiological inferences.

We also further explored the independent and joint effects of smoking and alcohol consumption on risk of HCC with stratification by age, gender, and body mass index. The distribution of cases and controls by smoking status and the adjusted risks of HCC in relation to smoking status by alcohol intake are shown in Table 2. Compared with never smokers, current and former smokers both had an increased HCC risk of significance. Interestingly, subjects who consumed alcohol had a significantly increased risk. Moreover, stratification revealed that nondrinkers had a significant dose-response increase in HCC disease risk. The overall risks of HCC were significantly increased among current smokers smoking <2 packs per day (OR = 2.800, 95% CI = 1.933–4.055).
Incidence of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Cigarette Smoking

Table 2. Multiple regression-derived mutually adjusted OR (95% CI) for the association of HCC with smoking habit, by alcohol intake*

| Smoking status, packs/day | All subjects (n = 300) | Controls (n = 612) | OR (95% CI) | Subjects without alcohol drinker (n = 160) | Controls (n = 306) | OR (95% CI) | Subjects with alcohol drinker (n = 140) | Controls (n = 306) | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Never smoker, n (%)      | 120 (40.00)           | 420 (68.63)       | 1.000       | 68 (42.50)                                | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       | 52 (37.15)                             | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       |
| Current smoker, n (%)    | 114 (38.00)           | 126 (20.59)       | 1.000       | 50 (31.25)                                | 50 (16.34)        | 3.088 (1.915–4.981) | 64 (45.71) | 76 (24.84) | 3.401 (2.168–5.335) |
| <2 packs                   | 72 (24.00)            | 90 (14.71)        | 1.000       | 30 (18.75)                                | 32 (10.46)        | 2.895 (1.640–5.110) | 42 (30.00) | 58 (19.86) | 2.924 (1.774–4.821) |
| ≥2 packs                   | 42 (14.00)            | 36 (5.89)         | 1.000       | 20 (12.50)                                | 18 (5.88)         | 3.431 (1.716–6.862) | 22 (15.72) | 18 (5.88) | 4.936 (2.468–9.870) |
| Former smoker, n (%)     | 66 (22.00)            | 66 (10.78)        | 1.000       | 42 (26.25)                                | 46 (15.03)        | 1.000       | 52 (37.15)                             | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       |
| <2 packs                   | 54 (18.00)            | 60 (9.80)         | 1.000       | 30 (18.75)                                | 40 (13.07)        | 1.000       | 42 (30.00) | 58 (19.86) | 3.431 (1.716–6.862) |
| ≥2 packs                   | 12 (4.00)             | 6 (0.98)          | 1.000       | 12 (7.50)                                 | 6 (1.96)          | 1.000       | 0 (0.00)                              | 0 (0.00)           | N/A**       |

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. * Also controlling for age, gender, body mass index, and alcohol intake status, as appropriate. ** Multivariate model did not converge because there were no former smokers with ≥2 packs per day in controls and cases.

P53-AS and Its GOF Are Associated with an Increased Risk of HCC Among Cigarette Smokers

Table 3. Multiple regression-derived, mutually adjusted OR (95% CI) for the association of HCC with consumption patterns of cigarettes

| Smoking status, packs/day | All subjects (n = 300) | Controls (n = 612) | OR (95% CI) | Subjects without alcohol drinker (n = 160) | Controls (n = 306) | OR (95% CI) | Subjects with alcohol drinker (n = 140) | Controls (n = 306) | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Never smoker, n (%)      | 120 (40.00)           | 420 (68.63)       | 1.000       | 68 (42.50)                                | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       | 52 (37.15)                             | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       |
| Current smoker, n (%)    | 114 (38.00)           | 126 (20.59)       | 1.000       | 50 (31.25)                                | 50 (16.34)        | 3.088 (1.915–4.981) | 64 (45.71) | 76 (24.84) | 3.401 (2.168–5.335) |
| <2 packs                   | 72 (24.00)            | 90 (14.71)        | 1.000       | 30 (18.75)                                | 32 (10.46)        | 2.895 (1.640–5.110) | 42 (30.00) | 58 (19.86) | 2.924 (1.774–4.821) |
| ≥2 packs                   | 42 (14.00)            | 36 (5.89)         | 1.000       | 20 (12.50)                                | 18 (5.88)         | 3.431 (1.716–6.862) | 22 (15.72) | 18 (5.88) | 4.936 (2.468–9.870) |
| Former smoker, n (%)     | 66 (22.00)            | 66 (10.78)        | 1.000       | 42 (26.25)                                | 46 (15.03)        | 1.000       | 52 (37.15)                             | 210 (68.63)       | 1.000       |
| <2 packs                   | 54 (18.00)            | 60 (9.80)         | 1.000       | 30 (18.75)                                | 40 (13.07)        | 1.000       | 42 (30.00) | 58 (19.86) | 3.431 (1.716–6.862) |
| ≥2 packs                   | 12 (4.00)             | 6 (0.98)          | 1.000       | 12 (7.50)                                 | 6 (1.96)          | 1.000       | 0 (0.00)                              | 0 (0.00)           | N/A**       |

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. * Also controlling for age, gender, body mass index, and alcohol intake status, as appropriate. ** Multivariate model did not converge because there were no former smokers with ≥2 packs per day in controls and cases.

P53-AS and Its GOF Are Associated with an Increased Risk of HCC Among Cigarette Smokers

p53 gene mutation is one of the most common genetic changes in human cancer. The advantage of these mutations (95%) is missense mutations, which are mainly located in the DNA binding domain (amino acids 94–292), with hot spots at codons R175, G245, R248, R249, R273, and R282 [44, 45]. FASAY has proved to reach the highest sensitivity and specificity of p53 mutation detection [46, 47], due to the fact that a larger region of the p53 gene in exon 4–10 was tested. Then mutations can be detected in a large number of normal tissues because there are hundreds of clones examined in each sample, and the simple red versus white readout means that mutations are not overlooked easily [48]. In addition, FASAY can exclude these data convincingly suggested a super-multiplicative, interactive effect of smoking on the development of HCC in a statistically significant, dose-dependent manner.

p53-RS and Its GOF Are Associated with an Increased Risk of HCC Among Cigarette Smokers

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. * Also controlling for age, gender, body mass index, and alcohol intake status, as appropriate. ** Multivariate model did not converge because there were no former smokers with ≥2 packs per day in controls and cases.
p53 polymorphisms that do not affect their transcriptional activity [49]. To detect the status of p53 in HCC tumors, the tumor mucosa of 300 patients was examined using FASAY (online suppl. Table 2). In total, 228 tumors (76.00%) were positive (mutant) by FASAY. Besides, analysis of plasmid sequencing from red yeast colony showed that 210 of 228 plasmids were point missense mutations, 6 of 228 was frameshift deletion mutation, 9 of 228 were in-frameshift deletion mutations, and 3 of 228 were splicing mutations (Fig. 1a). Moreover, hot spot mutation p53-RS occurred 126 times in this study, accounting for 55.26% in total mutations. We further evaluated the relation between tobacco smoking and p53-RS mutation. A striking increase in the risk of p53-RS was observed for smokers (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, these differences in the incidence of p53-RS between smokers and nonsmokers were statistically significant by the χ² test (56.67 vs. 20.00%; χ² = 38.246, p = 0.000). However, there was no difference between the incidence of p53-RS and smoker status (χ² = 2.339, p = 0.126) (online suppl. Table 3), as well as the number of cigarettes smoked (χ² = 1.035, p = 0.309) (online suppl. Table 4). Strikingly, we were also able to detect a p53 mutation on codon 249 with a base exchange from AGG to AGT (p53-RS) for smokers by Sanger sequencing of the PCR-amplified DNA (Fig. 1c). These results suggested that p53-RS mutation might be associated with the response to cigarette smoking. We also sought to determine whether the presence of p53-RS has a measurable effect on the expression of p53 major transcriptional targets (p21 and Bax), as well as p53-RS-dependent target genes including c-Myc [50] and STAT1 [51] by using qRT-PCR on mRNA from fresh frozen components. For p21 and Bax, we found a decreased profile in the p53-RS group compared with the WT p53 group (Fig. 1d, e). More elaborately, when we compared the p21 expression of the WT p53 group with that of the p53-RS group, the percentage of p21 reduction in the p53-RS group was higher than that of the WT p53 group in Figure 1d (23 vs. 65%, p < 0.001). Similar results were obtained for Bax with higher percentage in the p53-RS group (26 vs. 63%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1e). However, in contrast to the WT p53 group, we observed an increased expression of c-Myc and STAT1 in the tumors with p53-RS. First, the percentage of c-Myc overexpression in the WT p53 group was 38%, whereas p53-RS increased the percentage to 56% in the p53-RS group (31 vs. 63%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1f). Furthermore, p53-RS also markedly promoted the STAT1 expression level (p < 0.001, Fig. 1g), with the percentage of its overexpression in the p53-RS group and WT p53 group being

| Table 3. Multiple regression-derived mutually adjusted OR (95% CI) for the association of HCC with smoking habit, by HBsAg and/or anti-HCV status* |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Subjects with smoking habit and/or HBsAg and/or anti-HCV status | cases | OR (95% CI) | cases | OR (95% CI) | cases | OR (95% CI) |
| Never smokers, n (%) | 120 (40.00) | 1.000 | 126 (42.00) | 2.940 (2.152–4.016) | 82 (47.68) | 4.738 (3.052–7.351) |
| Ever smokers, n (%) | 180 (60.00) | 3.281 (2.462–4.757) | 150 (24.51) | 1.727 (1.090–2.738) | 112 (34.88) | 3.855 (2.031–7.015) |
| <2 packs per day | 126 (42.00) | 2.940 (2.152–4.016) | 44 (34.38) | 1.727 (1.090–2.738) | 82 (47.68) | 4.738 (3.052–7.351) |
| ≥2 packs per day | 54 (18.00) | 4.500 (2.685–7.087) | 30 (17.44) | 3.855 (2.031–7.015) | 30 (17.44) | 3.855 (2.031–7.015) |
| HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HCV, hepatitis C virus. *Also controlling for age, gender, body mass index, HBsAg, and/or anti-HCV status.
Fig. 1. Distribution of TP53 and effect of p53-RS mutations on expression of p53 and p53-RS target genes. a Repartition of the TP53 mutations among mutated cases. 210-point missense mutations were observed among 228 mutation cases (92.11%). Eighteen cases showed 3 other mutations: Six frameshift mutations (2.63%) along with 9 in-frameshift deletion mutations (3.95%) and 3 splicing mutations (1.32%). b Number of HCC in p53-RS and non-p53-RS between smokers and nonsmokers (***p < 0.001). c Sanger sequencing of genomic DNA from HCC specimens revealed the mutation of p53 on codon 249 (AGG to AGT) for smokers (arrow indicates the mutation). d–g Variation in the expression of Bax and p21, as well as c-Myc and STAT1 in the WT p53 and p53-RS groups of tumors, evaluated by qRT-PCR (**p < 0.001). d Percentage of tumors that have a decreased, invariant, or increased expression of p21, respectively. e In the p53-RS group and WT p53 group, the percentage in tumors of Bax expression changes (tumors vs. normal). f Percentage of tumors with decreased, invariant, or increased c-Myc expression in the p53-RS group and WT p53 group. g Compared with normal tissue, the percentage in tumor of STAT1 expression changes in the p53-RS group and WT p53 group, respectively. p53-RS, p53 mutations in serine 249; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription PCR.
37 and 68%, respectively. Overall, it demonstrated a loss of transcriptional p53 function and p53-RS GOF for tumors.

Discussion

Whether smoking is a risk factor for HCC is currently controversial. Although an increased risk of HCC is observed in smokers, there are no significant differences [52]. Besides, research studies also report that smoking is a potential risk factor for HCC [19–21]. Smoking increases the risk of liver cancer. Former smokers have a lower risk than current smokers, but both groups have a higher risk than those who never smoked. However, the carcinogenic action of smoking on HCC also needs to be elucidated. Thus, our goal is to carry out a clear examination to find the independent influence of smoking on liver cancer in this study. Also, the purpose of this study is to explore the potential causes of HCC development among smokers, using the p53 yeast assay and the direct sequencing analysis of TP53 mutations.

Indeed, our results also indicated that HBV and HCV infection and alcohol consumption increase the risk for liver cancer. This observed association was in agreement with other recent study on this topic [53]. Importantly, it showed that smoking is also an independent risk factor for HCC in our study (Table 1). Moreover, smokers who did not consume alcohol on a daily basis were shown to exhibit at least a 2.316-fold increased risk of HCC (current smoker: <2 packs per day, OR = 2.316, ≥2 packs per day, OR = 3.855, Table 3). However, HCC development among smokers, using the p53 yeast assay and the direct sequencing analysis of TP53 mutations.

Whether smoking is a risk factor for HCC is currently controversial. Although an increased risk of HCC is observed in smokers, there are no significant differences [52]. Besides, research studies also report that smoking is a potential risk factor for HCC [19–21]. Smoking increases the risk of liver cancer. Former smokers have a lower risk than current smokers, but both groups have a higher risk than those who never smoked. However, the carcinogenic action of smoking on HCC also needs to be elucidated. Thus, our goal is to carry out a clear examination to find the independent influence of smoking on liver cancer in this study. Also, the purpose of this study is to explore the potential causes of HCC development among smokers, using the p53 yeast assay and the direct sequencing analysis of TP53 mutations.

Indeed, our results also indicated that HBV and HCV infection and alcohol consumption increase the risk for liver cancer. This observed association was in agreement with other recent study on this topic [53]. Importantly, it showed that smoking is also an independent risk factor for HCC in our study (Table 1). Moreover, smokers who did not consume alcohol on a daily basis were shown to exhibit at least a 2.316-fold increased risk of HCC (current smoker: <2 packs per day, OR = 2.316, ≥2 packs per day, OR = 3.855, Table 2). Also, we found evidence that smoking is an important cause of HCC in people with nonchronic HBV or HCV infections (<2 packs per day, OR = 1.727; ≥2 packs per day, OR = 6.176, Table 2). Also, we found evidence that smoking is an important cause of HCC in people with nonchronic HBV or HCV infections (<2 packs per day, OR = 1.727; ≥2 packs per day, OR = 6.176, Table 2). However, HCC develops in only a few exposed populations, and the complex interactions between many genetic and environmental factors may be the major cause of HCC.

p53 is a well-known tumor suppressor gene whose mutation plays an important role in the pathogenesis of HCC. Moreover, it is suggested that p53-RS mutant protein products may have specific biological effects that promote hepatocyte transformation and/or liver cancer progression, resulting in the selection of cells expressing this mutant during HCC [54]. FASAY can use yeast cell yIG397 to evaluate the functional status of p53 protein expressed in tumor cells, thereby detecting important functional mutations of p53 as a transcription factor. Also, the assessment of p53 transcriptional activity by FASAY in cancer cells has been demonstrated to be a useful valuable alternative to p53 nuclear overexpression [55]. Furthermore, it is validated that the assay is a rapid, reliable, and effective method in mutagenesis research and can be used to study the mutagenicity on the origin of the codon 249 hot spot of p53 mutation [56]. Remarkably, our studies showed the various types of p53 mutations in HCC using FASAY in the current work and that most of them were p53-RS, accounting for 55.26% in total mutations (online suppl. Table 2). Moreover, it also demonstrated the striking relation between tobacco smoking and p53-RS (Fig. 1b, p < 0.001; Fig. 1c). This may be because nicotine in cigarettes for smokers could be converted to carcinogenic nitrosamines, which is called 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNK) in the liver. Then nicotine and NNK could form adducts with DNA, causing gene changes in cells. Some fixed changes can cause DNA replication errors, leading to mutations [57]. However, it was not significantly different when considering the effect of the smoking status and number of cigarettes on incidence of p53-RS (online suppl. Tables 3, 4), and larger sample sizes should lead to more reliable conclusions in future study. Importantly, at the functional level, the effect what we observed was the decrease in expression of p21 and Bax at the mRNA level in many mutated tumors as a direct consequence of p53-RS, whereas the mRNA levels of the p53-RS targets (c-Myc and STAT1) seemed to be increased (Fig. 1d–g). Altogether, it strongly demonstrated that tobacco smoking is a causal factor for HCC development, which was attributed to p53-RS GOF, and this may be partially explained by our previous study that nicotine induces mutant p53 GOF, activating the CDK6-p53-RS-PIN1-STAT1 signaling pathway and promoting human normal fetal hepatic cell proliferation in vitro [35]. Besides, there were 78 cases of smokers without p53-RS (78/180) in the HCC group from Figure 1b, and it showed that other factors may contribute to the occurrence of liver cancer caused by smoking. Also, from the fact that 24 cases with p53-RS (24/120) were diagnosed with HCC for nonsmokers, it demonstrated that many other factors may be associated with p53 mutation, leading to hepatocarcinogenesis. We will further investigate them, which will be reported in our future work. Moreover, because e-cigarettes also contain nicotine, the correlation between e-cigarettes and development of HCC appears to be an interesting study that necessitates in-depth investigation, and results will be reported in due course. Additionally, the detailed mechanism also needs to be explored in the future.

Also, several limitations of this study should be considered. First, our research enrolled the population by convenience sampling, which cannot represent the whole population of China nor the world. Second, variables such as
alcohol status are not available in the data, which are considered potential risk factors of HCC. Considering that alcohol plays an important role in the development of HCC with a dose-effect relationship [58], consumption of >3 drinks per day may be positively associated with HCC incidence [59]. These findings should be followed by a detailed investigation into the effect of light alcohol drinking or smoking to support the association of HCC with smoking habit, especially for those subjects with light alcohol drinking behavior, which will be reported in future. Not only does it offer thorough evidence about whether smoking has an effect similar to what happens in other exposures, such as HBV or and HCV infection, as well as chronic alcohol abuse, but it provides more powerful information about the independent role of smoking in HCC.

Conclusions

Our results suggested that tobacco smoking is associated with HCC, independent from other major HCC risk factors, and this dose-response association was positive significantly. Besides, the present investigation indicated that the p53-RS might confer genetic susceptibility that influences HCC development, especially in smoker patients. Based on these findings, it is conceivable that smokers have a high potential for HCC, who should receive clinical monitoring for p53-RS, and even treatment.
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