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Abstract

Background: Several cationic polymers exhibit a useful antimicrobial property, however the structure–activity relationship still requires a more complete investigation. The main objective of this work is the comparison between the antimicrobial activity and toxicity of free and immobilized poly (diallyldimethylammonium) chloride (PDDA) in biocompatible poly (methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) nanoparticles (NPs).

Results: NPs synthesis by emulsion polymerization is performed over a range of [PDDA] at two methylmethacrylate (MMA) concentrations. The PMMA/PDDA dispersions are characterized by dynamic light scattering for sizing, polydispersity and zeta-potential analysis, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), plating plus colony forming unities (CFU) counting for determination of the minimal microbicidal concentrations (MMC) against \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Candida albicans} and hemolysis evaluation against mammalian erythrocytes. There is a high colloidal stability for the cationic PMMA/PDDA NPs over a range of [PDDA]. NPs diverse antimicrobial activity against the microorganisms reduces cell viability by eight-logs (\textit{E. coli}), seven-logs (\textit{S. aureus}) or two-logs (\textit{C. albicans}). The NPs completely kill \textit{E. coli} over a range of [PDDA] that are innocuous to the erythrocytes. Free PDDA antimicrobial activity is higher than the one observed for PDDA in the NPs. There is no PDDA induced-hemolysis at the MMC in contrast to the hemolytic effect of immobilized PDDA in the NPs. Hemolysis is higher than 15\% for immobilized PDDA at the MMC for \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{C. albicans}.

Conclusions: The mobility of the cationic antimicrobial polymer PDDA determines its access to the inner layers of the cell wall and the cell membrane, the major sites of PDDA antimicrobial action. PDDA freedom does matter for determining the antimicrobial activity at low PDDA concentrations and absence of hemolysis.
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Background

The rise of multidrug resistant pathogens is one of the top three threats to global public health listed by the World Health Organization [1, 2]. The biomedical applications of synthetic, biodegradable polymers for the development of anti-infective strategies can overcome instability, side effects, toxicity and frequent dose regimens of drugs [2]. A possible approach to obtain antimicrobial nanoparticles (NPs) involves polymers as carriers of the active substance or the polymer itself as the active substance [3]. Biocompatible and antimicrobial polymers are becoming the materials of choice for developing several novel structures of biomedical...
importance against infectious diseases [3–7]. There are two established routes to produce polymeric systems with antimicrobial properties. The first assemblies by physical interactions the active molecule in a polymer matrix, while the second involves covalent binding of the active substance in the polymer carrier [3]. Polycationic structures usually present high activity, due to mass and charge localization that promotes electrostatic interactions between the cationic structure and the negatively charged bacterial cell membrane, resulting in disruption of the cell membrane and/or wall [8, 9]. Being environment friendly also plays in favor of the usage of quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) [10]. QACs such as dioctadecyldimethylammonium bromide (DODAB) are miscible with some biocompatible polymers such as poly (methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) in spin-coated films, do not leak from the polymeric matrix and kill bacteria upon contact [11]. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) is more mobile and leaks out from PMMA films killing bacteria in the bulk solution [12]. PMMA NPs containing CTAB or DODAB synthesized by emulsion polymerization display high bactericidal activity [13]. For obtaining the PMMA NPs, emulsion polymerization involves two steps: nucleation and growth of the particles [14–18]. The available sites for polymerization are the monomer droplets of the methylmetacrylate (MMA) in water dispersed as a microemulsion [19]. Slightly hydrophilic monomers such as MMA result in smaller droplets because MMA at the interface of polymerized particles acts as a surfactant [20]. The free radicals generated by the initiator can react with MMA in the micelles and inside the MMA monomer droplets in the aqueous phase, yielding oligoradicals. As the chain length of the oligoradicals increases, they can diffuse into the micelle interior and/or the monomer droplets to proceed with the polymerization [14]. Among the cationic antimicrobial polymers, poly (diallyldimethylammonium) chloride (PDDA) also exhibits the quaternary ammonium moiety as pendant groups in its chemical structure and displays outstanding antimicrobial activity [4, 21–23]. In this work, we synthesize PMMA/PDDA NPs by surfactant-free emulsion polymerization of MMA in the presence of PDDA in order to determine the effect of PDDA immobilization on its activity against microorganisms or red blood cells.

Results
Preparation and characterization of PMMA/PDDA NPs
Emulsion polymerization of MMA in the presence of PDDA with azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as the radical initiator is done under N2 flow in order to prevent the precocious termination of the free radicals required for the MMA addition reaction and the synthesis of the PMMA particles. Table 1 shows the main physical properties of the dispersions as a function of different feed concentrations of the MMA monomer or the cationic antimicrobial polymer amphiphile (PDDA). Formulations A3, A4, A5, B3, B4 and B5 yield cationic dispersions with high colloidal stability at PDDA concentrations of 3, 4 or 5 mg/mL, respectively, at two different MMA concentrations employed during the particle synthesis 0.56 (named A) or 1.32 M MMA (named B). Thus a formulation A3 means that particle synthesis was carried out with 0.56 M MMA and 3 mg/mL PDDA whereas a formulation B4 means that 1.32 M MMA and 4 mg/mL PDDA were used for particle’s synthesis. In general, for syntheses carried out in the presence of PDDA, the zeta-average diameter (Dz) or mean hydrodynamic diameter varied between 230 and 328 nm while the zeta-potential (ζ) values varied between 62 and 69 mV. All dispersions in Table 1 presented long-term colloidal stability. Precipitates or flocs do not occur for as long as 2 years. At [PDDA] < 2 mg/mL, precipitation of PMMA/PDDA is visible. Thus the dispersions at and above 3 mg/mL of [PDDA] are stable and selected for further determination of antimicrobial activity. The incorporation of PDDA into the PMMA/PDDA NPs is shown from elemental analysis for nitrogen in the lyophilized dispersions (Table 1).

The effect of PDDA and MMA concentrations on the physical properties of the particles is in Table 1: Dz, ζ and the polydispersity (P) for the dispersions from dynamic light-scattering (DLS) were determined after exhaustive dialysis. In the case of formulations A3, A4, A5, B3, B4 and

| NPs | Dz ± SD (nm) | D ± SD (nm) | ζ (mV) | P | Mw (g/mol) | SC (mg/mL) | Np (mL⁻¹) | % C | % H | % N |
|-----|--------------|-------------|--------|---|-------------|-------------|------------|----|----|----|
| A3  | 257 ± 2      | 127 ± 12    | 66 ± 2 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 1,173,000 | 5.5 ± 0.4 | 4.33 x 10¹² | 53.8 ± 0.6 | 86.0 ± 0.1 | 2.4 ± 0.3 |
| A4  | 328 ± 2      | 112 ± 17    | 67 ± 1 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 1,065,000 | 10.0 ± 0.7 | 1.15 x 10¹³ | 55.4 ± 0.5 | 86.0 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.2 |
| A5  | 286 ± 2      | 164 ± 32    | 69 ± 4 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 2,872,000 | 44 ± 0.2  | 1.62 x 10¹² | 51.5 ± 0.0 | 90.0 ± 0.2 | 3.7 ± 0.1 |
| B3  | 232 ± 1      | 151 ± 27    | 62 ± 2 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 1,514,000 | 73 ± 0.4  | 3.46 x 10¹² | 52.5 ± 0.0 | 88.0 ± 0.3 | 3.0 ± 0.3 |
| B4  | 230 ± 1      | 139 ± 22    | 67 ± 2 | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 2,362,000 | 58 ± 0.4  | 3.47 x 10¹² | 50.3 ± 0.0 | 92.0 ± 0.4 | 4.2 ± 0.4 |
| B5  | 262 ± 1      | 143 ± 23    | 66 ± 2 | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 2,056,000 | 72 ± 0.1  | 3.99 x 10¹² | 50.7 ± 0.2 | 93.0 ± 0.5 | 3.9 ± 0.3 |

Characterization of PMMA/PDDA dispersions of high colloidal stability regarding particle size from DLS (Dz ± the mean standard deviation, SD) or from the SEM images (D ± the mean standard deviation), ζ values, P values, Mw, SC, Np and elemental analysis for percentiles (%) of C, H and N.
B5, the PDDA concentration is enough to give stability for the positively charged dispersions so that these are the formulations selected for additional characterization. Determinations of solid contents, conversion rate of monomer into polymer, particle number density (Np), average molecular weight (Mw) obtained by size exclusion chromatography (SEC), morphology of lyophilized particles from scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and antimicrobial activity against bacteria from plating and colony forming unities (CFU) counting were carried out. Table 1 shows also data on Np calculated from the mass of one particle (mp) taken as its volume \(\pi r^3/4\) times the PMMA density (1.15 \(\times\) 10^3 g/dm^3) where the particle radius (rp) is half the particle diameter (D) obtained by SEM. Since the solid content (SC) for each formulation is obtained by gravimetry in mg/mL and m_p is known, the particle number density N_p (the number of particles in 1 mL of dispersion) can be calculated (Table 1). The additional file 1 shows photographs (Additional file 1: Figure S1) and size distributions of NPs dispersions immediately after dialysis and synthesis (Additional file 1: Figure S2) or after two years (Additional file 1: Figure S3) plus data on molecular weights and PDI obtained from SEC (Additional file 1: Table S1).

The PDDA concentration in 1 mL of each dispersion was determined from the solid contents (SC), in mg/mL, and the \(\%N\) determined by elemental analysis after lyophilization. One mole of PDDA contains 928.8 monomers since diallyldimethylammonium chloride (DDA) molecular weight is 161.5 g and PDDA molecular weight is 150,000 g. Thus 928.8 mol of N in one mole of PDDA yields 13003.2 g N per mole of PDDA. The nitrogen mass in the lyophilized samples is the SC \(\times\) F_N where F_N is the mass fraction of nitrogen atoms (N) in 1 mL of each dispersion and the PDDA concentration is given by:

\[
[PDDA] (mg/ml) = \frac{1.5 \times 10^5 \times SC \times F_N}{13003.2}
\]

The PDDA concentration for all dispersions is systematically smaller than the one added for the particle synthesis (Table 2). A possible reason for this might be related to the fact that PDDA is cationic and can adsorb onto the glass used for synthesis and also onto the cellulose acetate membrane where dialysis was performed. Therefore, The PDDA concentration used during the particle synthesis cannot be considered the real one present in the NPs. The incorporation of PDDA in the NPs is higher for the higher MMA concentrations used for particle synthesis (Table 2).

The effect of PDDA concentration on Dz, \(\zeta\) and P of the PMMA/PDDA NPs is in Fig. 1. At [PDDA] <2 mg/mL, the poor colloidal stability results in large sizes, precipitation, low \(\zeta\) and high P. At [PDDA] >2 mg/mL, small sizes, high positive \(\zeta\) and low P mean a good colloidal stability for the selected dispersions (A3, A4, A5, B3, B4, B5).

There is no aging effect on the physical properties of the selected dispersions. PMMA/PDDA formulations stored in the lab bench for 12 months at room temperature do not evidence precipitation/aggregation, flocculation, or apparent growth of microorganisms. The physical properties remain the same even 2 years after synthesis (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

### Table 2 Determining PDDA concentration in the NPs

| Dispersion | % N | \(F_N\) | SC (mg/mL) | [PDDA] (mg/mL) |
|------------|-----|---------|------------|----------------|
| A3         | 2.4 | 0.024   | 5.5        | 1.53           |
| A4         | 1.5 | 0.015   | 10.1       | 1.74           |
| A5         | 3.7 | 0.037   | 4.4        | 1.88           |
| B3         | 3.0 | 0.030   | 7.3        | 2.52           |
| B4         | 4.2 | 0.042   | 5.8        | 2.80           |
| B5         | 3.9 | 0.039   | 7.2        | 3.24           |

Calculation of PDDA concentration in the hybrid PMMA/PDDA NPs from SC and \% N. \(F_N\) is the mass fraction of nitrogen.

![Fig. 1](image-url) The dependence of physical properties of PMMA/PDDA NPs on PDDA concentration: a Dz as a function of PDDA concentration, b \(\zeta\) as a function of PDDA concentration and c P as a function of PDDA concentration, at two different MMA concentrations used for particle synthesis.
The NPs were also observed by SEM (Fig. 2). These images were submitted to the ImageJ software for determining D of the dried NPs (Table 1).

The effect of ionic strength on Dz of NPs for the A3 dispersion is shown on Fig. 3. There is a reduction of Dz taking place as a function of the NaCl concentration in the NP medium. The Dz for A3 NPs decreases by ca. 70–80 nm due to the addition of 100 mM NaCl to the NP medium. On Table 1, the comparison between Dz and D suggests an interesting drying effect on the NPs structure. Dz for the A3 dispersion is 257 nm whereas D (obtained after drying) is 127 nm, meaning a reduction of ca. 130 nm after drying (Figs. 2, 3; Table 1). Drying or increasing the ionic strength of the medium substantially reduces the NPs diameter.

**Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of PMMA/PDDA NPs**

The NPs of high colloidal stability were tested against the Gram-negative *E. coli* (Fig. 4), Gram-positive *S. aureus* (Fig. 5) and the yeast *C. albicans* (Fig. 6) revealing the effect of PDDA alone or in the PMMA/PDDA NPs on the cell viability of these microorganisms. One should firstly notice the logarithmic scale for the CFU/mL counting which allows the perfect determination of the potency and effectiveness of the antimicrobials under testing. The other way of presenting cell viability data,
the percentile of CFU/mL, does not allow discriminating between moderate and highly potent antimicrobial agents. A two-log decrease of cell viability already shows on the percentile plot as an apparent decrease of cell viability to practically zero. PDDA and NPs are highly effective against *E. coli* reducing cell viability to practically zero (Fig. 4).

However, against *S. aureus*, PDDA concentrations leading to the complete loss of cell viability were much higher than those determined against *E. coli* (Fig. 5).

Against the yeast *C. albicans*, free PDDA is effective to kill almost completely the fungus but PDDA immobilized in the NPs has a reduced activity (Fig. 6), suggesting an important role for the PDDA mobility to reach the cell sites of action such as the cell membrane and the cell wall. On the PMMA/PDDA NPs, the PDDA link to the NP would hamper its mobility and thereby its activity against the fungus cells.

**Fig. 3** The collapse of the outer PDDA layer on NPs. Dz for NPs (A3 dispersion) is a function of NaCl concentration.

**Fig. 4** Antimicrobial activity of NPs against *E. coli*. Cell viability (log CFU/mL) for *E. coli* at 3–6 × 10⁷ CFU/mL as a function of PDDA concentration for free PDDA or PDDA in the PMMA/PDDA NPs diluted from dispersions A3, A4, A5, B3, B4 and B5. Cells and NPs or free PDDA interacted for 1 h before plating for CFU counting.
PDDA alone barely affects the red blood cells over the range of PDDA concentrations for which PDDA kills efficiently the microorganisms (Fig. 7). However, with exception of the effect against \textit{E. coli}, for PDDA in the NPs, the toxicity against the red blood cells is relevant over the same range of PDDA concentrations effective against the pathogenic microorganisms (Table 3).

Discussion
PMMA/PDDA NPs utility in vivo and ex vivo

The PMMA/PDDA NPs obtained by the one-pot synthesis are surfactant-free and have several interesting properties: NPs are all of the same size, have high colloidal stability and exhibit antimicrobial properties. These are remarkable properties achieved by a simple procedure as is emulsion polymerization. This method can possibly be carried out also in the presence of other interesting antimicrobial compounds aiming at their immobilization in the polymeric nanoparticles. The painting, coating, food processing, air-conditioning or water-treatment industries usually welcome antimicrobials for several ex vivo applications. The particle synthesis here described in the presence of PDDA can also be performed in the presence of other antimicrobial agents such as the Gemini surfactants [24–27], conjugated polyelectrolytes [28], polynorbornenes [29], lipopeptides [30], and hydrophobic drugs [31, 32], all of them representing good candidates for incorporation in PMMA nanoparticles during their synthesis. However, the characterization of the novel assemblies will have to be performed for each novel compound in the PMMA matrix and their activity compared to the one of the free antimicrobial substance.

The collapse of the outer PDDA layer takes place upon drying or increasing the medium ionic strength

The reduction in NP size taking place as a function of the NaCl concentration is meaningful (Fig. 3). This result suggests that neutralization of PDDA positive charges by monovalent salt collapses the stiff,
protruding PDDA charged chains reducing the shell thickness on NPs. The effect of salt possibly is very similar to the effect of drying on the NP structure. The PDDA protuberances mechanically bound to the PMMA NP core have their charge screened by salt and their collapse amounts to a 80 nm reduction in particle size confirming the core–shell nature of the NPs structure, with PMMA residing in the core and PDDA occupying the shell. For any charged surface, the extent of the double layer thickness decreases with the electrolyte concentration, due to osmotic effects. For instance, for $10^{-3}$ M NaCl it is 100 nm, for $10^{-3}$ M NaCl it is 10 nm and for $10^{-1}$ M NaCl it is 1 nm. Additionally, the persistence length of a polyelectrolyte, such as PDDA, decreases as the ionic strength increases [33], so that at 1 mM NaCl the PDDA chains are stiff due to electrostatic repulsion among the segments, while at 100 mM NaCl the PDDA charges are screened and the chains behave like collapsed coils. Figure 8 illustrates the structure of the NPs suggested by the experiment shown in Fig. 3.

The antimicrobial activity of PMMA/PDDA NPs depends on the microorganism and the hydrophobic–hydrophilic balance of the cationic polymer

The diverse antimicrobial effect of PMMA/PDDA NPs reducing eight-, seven- and two-logs of viable E. coli, S. aureus and C. albicans cells may be related to the differences in the microbial cell wall structures. A sensor system in Staphylococcus sp. is able to counteract the action of cationic antimicrobial compounds [34]. When these Gram-positive bacteria cells enter in contact with cationic compounds, the D-alanylation of teichoic acids and the lysylation of phosphatidylglycerol decrease the negative charge of the cell surface and membrane thereby hampering the adsorption of the cationic antimicrobials [34]. This might explain the relatively lower activity of the NPs against S. aureus (Fig. 5) when compared to the one against E. coli (Fig. 4). For the yeast cells, the antimicrobial compound has also to penetrate through the cell wall reaching the yeast cell membrane to exert fungicidal activity. The molecular architecture of the cell wall of C. albicans consists of an inner skeletal layer composed of...
the stress-bearing polysaccharides β-1,3-glucan and chitin, which run parallel to the cell surface [23]. The inner layer is kept together by extensive hydrogen bonding between individual β-1,3-glucan chains and by the β-1,3-glucan by a cross-linking protein. This three-dimensional skeletal network acts as a scaffold for a dense outer layer of glycoproteins extending into the environment forming like a brush layer. Our results suggest that the spherical cationic NPs would not be able to penetrate the brush layer yielding poor fungicidal activity for PMMA/PDDA NPs in comparison to the excellent activity of free PDDA, which can penetrate the brush layer unhindered due to its needle-like structure (Fig. 6). In fact, this result is consistent with previous data for PDDA as the outermost layer of self-assembled NPs in which PDDA motility to penetrate the cells is not restricted and the polymer can disassemble easily from the NP to penetrate the cell [35]. A good example that the mobility of the antimicrobial quaternary ammonium moiety indeed matters can be found in the dentistry field, where resins have been grafted with pendant and permanent quaternary ammonium groups [36]. Grafting of a quaternary ammonium antimicrobial component in the resin network can be achieved through copolymerization of the antimicrobial monomers with

---

**Table 3** Antimicrobial and hemolytical activities of PMMA/PDDA NPs

| Dispersion | MMC (% H) | E. coli | S. aureus | C. albicans |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| PDDA      | 0.005 (0) | >0.5 (0) | >0.8 (>63) |
| A3        | 0.007 (0) | 0.1 (15) | >0.8 (>33) |
| A4        | 0.007 (0) | 0.5 (20) | >0.8 (>75) |
| A5        | 0.007 (0) | 0.5 (48) | >1.0 (>41) |
| B3        | 0.010 (0) | 0.6 (23) | >1.0 (>90) |
| B4        | 0.003 (0) | 0.8 (65) | >1.5 (>93) |
| B5        | 0.003 (0) | 0.8 (48) | >1.5 (>83) |

MMC, in mg mL\(^{-1}\), and hemolysis percentiles in between parentheses (% H) at the MMC for free PDDA or in the PDDA/PMMA NPs

\(^a\) For PDDA against C. albicans, MMC is the smallest [PDDA] leading to a five-log reduction of cell viability

---

**Fig. 7** Toxicity of NPs against mammalian red blood cells. Hemolysis (%) as a function of PDDA concentration for free PDDA or PDDA in the PMMA/PDDA NPs diluted from dispersions A3, A4, A5, B3, B4 and B5. Red blood cells and NPs or PDDA interacted for 1 h before determining % hemolysis.
the conventional methacrylate monomers [36]. While free, non-polymerized quaternary ammonium monomers can rapidly kill oral pathogens, the antimicrobial component immobilized by poly-merization does not exhibit equally strong inhibitory effects [36].

For self-assembled NPs built from oppositely charged layers of cationic bilayer fragments, anionic polyelectrolyte and PDDA, the interaction of the outer PDDA layer with elements of the cell wall induce withdrawal of major components of the cell wall and membrane disruption culminating in leakage of intracellular components and microbe death [35]. Thus, freedom of the antimicrobial polymer to interact with the microbial cell is indeed very important.

The incorporation of antimicrobial surfactants or lipids in PMMA particles [13] or coatings [11, 12] during the PMMA synthesis by emulsion polymerization would represent a simple and inexpensive way of obtaining biocompatible antimicrobial materials. However, the construction of the PMMA/PDDA NPs with PDDA as the shell and PMMA as the core diminished the advantages of using PMMA as the biocompatible polymer (Figs. 3, 8). PDDA location in the NP shell leaves the antimicrobial toxic NP moiety as the outermost NP shell. Toxicity against the red blood cells becomes high as often described for cationic NPs and dendrimers [37]. The fact that free PDDA barely affects the viability of red blood cells (Fig. 7) is in agreement with previous work [23] and can be understood from simple adsorption of the PDDA macromolecule onto the red blood cell surface without penetration in the cell membrane. On the other hand, when compared with the free PDDA, the PMMA/PDDA NPs showed an increased ability to penetrate into the red blood cells disturbing the cell membrane and causing its lysis (Fig. 7). Previously described self-assembled NPs with PDDA as the outermost adsorbed layer are not hemolytic over the range of concentrations required to kill the microorganisms [23]. For the PMMA/PDDA NPs described in this work, this occurs only for E. coli (Fig. 4).

For the other microorganisms, the relatively high microbicidal PDDA concentrations in the NPs belong to the same range of concentrations where hemolysis becomes significant (Table 3). When the cationic antimicrobial self-assembled NPs [21, 22] enter in contact with oppositely charged cells, we have recently shown that they disassemble and release PDDA to act as a free compound [35].

In vivo liposomes, particles and bacteria are engulfed by the phagocytic cells [38] so that their co-localization allows optimal activity for particle-based formulations against the microbes. The tests performed in vitro certainly cannot predict the efficacy of the in vivo PMMA/PDDA antimicrobial particles and further testing should be performed in animal models of infection.

Data on antimicrobial activity of some PDDA derivatives were previously reported by Timofeeva and coworkers [39]. These PDDA derivatives have none, one or two methyls on the nitrogen as shown in Fig. 9 for as poly (diallylammonium trifluoroacetate) (PDAATFA), poly (diallylmethylammonium trifluoroacetate) (PDAMATFA), and PDDA. On Table 4 the present results for antimicrobial activity are compared with those previously obtained by Timofeeva and coworkers [39]. Table 4 clarifies important aspects of the structure–activity relationship for PDDA and derivatives which varies in accordance to polymer molecular weight and hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance. The antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative bacteria increases with molecular weight and with the hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance of the cationic polymers. However, for Gram-positive bacteria or fungus these effects do not occur for all strains tested. In particular, against the fungus no effect of the molecular weight or the hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance are apparent. The fungus is very sensitive to all PDDA derivatives.

Conclusions

Several novel antimicrobial polymers are appearing in the literature, which require formulation to become
biocompatible and less toxic against mammalian cells. A subtle balance between antimicrobial activity and toxicity against the mammalian cells must be achieved in novel formulations for antimicrobials. The novel formulations for antimicrobial polymers must ensure biocompatibility without hampering the antimicrobial activity. In this work, the physical immobilization of the antimicrobial polymer PDDA in biocompatible PMMA NPs preserves the PDDA activity against *E. coli* and its low toxicity against red blood cells, but the same does not occur against *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* due to their different cell walls that are less sensitive to cationic nanoparticles and very sensitive to the free antimicrobial polymer.

### Methods

#### Chemicals

MMA, AIBN, NaCl and PDDA (20 weight % in water; 100,000–200,000 of molecular weight) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) and were used without further purification. The syntheses were performed in a 1 mM NaCl solution prepared with Milli-Q water. The dispersions obtained by emulsion polymerization

![Fig. 9 The chemical structure of three PDDA derivatives with increasing hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance. From left to right are PDAATFA, PDAMATFA and PDDA.](image)

**Table 4** The dependence of antimicrobial activity on the microorganism, *M*<sub>w</sub>, and hydrophobic–hydrophilic balance of the cationic polymer

| Microorganism | MMC (µg/mL) | PDAATFA | PDAMATFA | PDDA |
|---------------|-------------|---------|----------|------|
| *E. coli* ATCC 25922 | 125 ± 7.5<sup>a</sup> | 15 ± 1.8<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 5.0<sup>a</sup> | 7 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> | 5<sup>[39]</sup> |
| *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 9027 | 125 ± 7.5<sup>a</sup> | 31 ± 3.1<sup>a</sup> | 1.5<sup>b</sup> | 1.5<sup>[39]</sup> |
| *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 13883 | 15 ± 1.8<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 5.0<sup>a</sup> | 0.9<sup>b</sup> | 0.9<sup>[35]</sup> |
| *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 P | 31 ± 3.1<sup>a</sup> | 1.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 5.0<sup>a</sup> | 7 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> | 5<sup>[39]</sup> |
| *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 | 31 ± 3.1<sup>a</sup> | 1.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 5.0<sup>a</sup> | 7 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> | 5<sup>[39]</sup> |
| *C. albicans* ATCC 865-653 | 3.5 ± 0.6<sup>a</sup> | 1.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 3.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 3.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 3.5 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> |
| *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 | 5<sup>b</sup> | 5<sup>b</sup> | 5<sup>b</sup> | 5<sup>b</sup> | 5<sup>b</sup> |
| *C. albicans* fluconazole resistant | 0.8<sup>b</sup> | 0.8<sup>b</sup> | 0.8<sup>b</sup> | 0.8<sup>b</sup> | 0.8<sup>b</sup> |

MMC, in mg mL<sup>−1</sup>, for PDAATFA, PDAMATFA and PDDA against bacteria and fungi. The *M*<sub>w</sub> value is given in kDa. The hydrophobic–hydrophilic balance increases with methylation of the nitrogen. PDAATFA, PDAMATFA and PDDA have none, one or two methyl groups attached to the nitrogen, respectively.

<sup>a</sup> The MMC is the minimal concentration for the total inhibition of microorganism growth.

<sup>b</sup> The minimal concentration for reduction of CFU counting to one.
were purified by dialysis using cellulose acetate membranes (Sigma-Aldrich) with molecular weight cut-off in the range of 12,400 g/mol. Difco Mueller–Hinton Agar (MHA) was from Becton–Dickinson and Co (Sparks, MD, USA) and Sabouraud 4 % Glucose Agar (SA) was from Fluka Analytical (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany).

**Preparation of PMMA/PDDA nanoparticles by emulsion polymerization**

Polymerization reactions were carried out at 85 °C under reflux for 2 h using 100 mL of aqueous solutions of NaCl 1 mM and PDDA over a range of PDDA concentrations at 0.56 or 1.32 M MMA as shown on Table 1. A weak flow of N₂ was applied to the solution during 30 min both before and after adding 6 or 14 mL of MMA containing 0.036 g of AIBN initiator. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was removed from the dry bath and allowed to reach the room temperature (25 °C). The dispersions thus obtained were purified by dialysis against Milli-Q water until the conductivity of dialysis water achieved 5 µS/cm.

**Particle sizing and zeta-potential analysis of PMMA/PDDA dispersions**

Size distributions, Dz, ζ and P were obtained by DLS using a Zeta Plus–Zeta Potential Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY, USA) equipped with a laser of 677 nm with measurements at 90°. P of the dispersions was determined by DLS following well defined mathematic equations [40]. Dz values were obtained from the log normal distribution of the light-scattered intensity curve against the diameter. ζ values were determined from the electrophoretic mobility (μ) and Smolukowski equation ζ = μη/ε, where η and ε are the viscosity and the dielectric constant of the medium, respectively. Samples were diluted 1:30 with a 1 mM NaCl water solution for performing the measurements at (25 ± 1) °C.

**Determination of PMMA molecular weight and particles morphology in PMMA/PDDA dispersions**

The PMMA/PDDA dispersions were lyophilized in order to obtain dry particles. The conversion of monomer into polymer and the solid contents were determined by gravimetric measurements. The degree of polymerization was determined from the mean molecular weight divided by the monomer molecular weight (100, 12 g/mol). Mw, pondered molecular weight (Mn) and the polydispersity index (PDI) equal to Mw/Mn were obtained by SEC using a Shimadzu HPLC/SEC class-VP equipped with two columns Viscogel™ (I-MBMMW 3078 and I-Oligo 3078, 30 cm × 8 mm each, Viscotek) with exclusion limits of 20 and 10 kDa, respectively, connected to a refractive index differential detector Shimadzu RID 10A. The solvent was chloroform with a flow of 1 mL/min. The SEC system was calibrated using seven polystyrene standards of low PDI (Aldrich/Waters, Mw = 820, 2460, 5120, 13,200, 29,300, 47,500 and 216,000 g/mol) and toluene was employed to identify the exclusion limit (conventional calibration). Samples of lyophilized PMMA/PDDA dispersions (10 ± 1 mg/mL) were firstly solubilized in chloroform with precipitation of the cationic PDDA and SEC analyses of the solubilized PMMA in the supernatant.

Elemental analyses were performed in a Perkin-Elmer CHN 200 equipment allowing the quantitative determination of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen in the lyophilized dispersions.

SEM was performed in a Jeol JSM-7401F equipment. 2µL of each dispersion was placed on silicon wafers and dried in a desiccator before being covered with a thin layer of gold for the SEM visualization. Mean D values from the SEM micrographs was also determined using the ImageJ software for particle size analysis and shown as a mean D ± mean standard deviation.

**Determination of the effect of ionic strength on Dz for NPs**

The original A3 formulation obtained in pure water after dialysis was diluted 1:30 in aqueous NaCl solutions previously prepared over a range of NaCl concentrations (1–100 mM NaCl) and the mean hydrodynamic diameter was obtained as described above by dynamic light scattering. Dz values were plotted as a function of the final NaCl concentration (Additional file 1).

**Organisms and culture conditions**

*E. coli* ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) 25322, *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 were reactivated from previously frozen stocks kept at −20 °C in appropriate storage medium. The bacterial strains were plated onto MHA before incubating the plates at 37 °C/18–24 h. The yeast cells were plated onto SA before incubating at 37 °C/48 h. Thereafter, some isolated colonies were transferred to an isotonic 0.264 M D-glucose solution, and the turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 of the McFarland scale [41]. The 0.264 M D-glucose solution was used instead of any culture medium because cationic molecules are inactivated by the relatively high ionic strength and also by the negatively charged molecules such as amino acids and polysaccharides. For determination of cell viability in the presence of NPs, final bacteria and fungus cell concentrations in the suspensions were ca. 10⁸ and 10⁵ CFU/mL, respectively.

**Cell viability assays**

Previous dilutions of the NPs over a range of NPs concentrations for obtaining the desired range of PDDA concentrations in the NPs were performed before interacting
NPs and microbes. Microorganisms and dispersions (0.5 mL of each) interacted for 1 h at 25 °C before plateauing 0.1 mL of the diluted mixtures (dilution up to 100,000 times for bacteria and 1000 times for fungus) on agar in duplicate and incubating (24 h/37 °C for bacteria and 48 h/37 °C for fungus) for CFU counting. Cell viability was plotted as the log (CFU/mL) ± the mean standard deviation as a function of PDDA concentration in the control for PDDA only or PDDA in NP. The positive control was performed for mixtures of the microorganism suspension with the 0.264 M d-glucose solution. Minimal microbicidal concentration (MMC) is the lowest PDDA concentration required for complete killing of the microbes and log of cell viability equal to zero.

**Hemolysis assays**

The defibrinated sheep blood for the hemolysis assay was centrifuged and the pelleted for rinsing three times in d-Glucose 0.264 M solution before being finally frozen and the supernatant absorbance at 514 nm (A). The negative control (A_C-) was performed for mixtures of the microorganism suspension added of 0.5 mL 1% Triton-X in 0.264 M d-glucose solution before being finally centrifuged and the pelleted for rinsing three times. An aliquot of 0.5 mL of the 1% erthrocytes suspension interacted for 1 h with 0.5 mL of NPs dispersion before centrifuging (3 min/3000 rpm) and determining the supernatant absorbance at 514 nm (A). The negative control (A_C-) was 0.5 mL 1% erthrocytes suspension added of 0.5 mL 0.264 M d-glucose solution. The positive control (A_C+). was 0.5 mL 1% erthrocytes suspension added of 0.5 mL 1% Triton-X in 0.264 M d-glucose solution. The percentile of hemolysis (%H) induced by PDDA alone or in NPs was obtained from %H = 100 × (A – A_C–)/A_C+.

**Additional file**

Additional file 1. Figure S1. The photographs of the PMMA/PDDA dispersions synthesized by emulsion polymerization. Figure S2. The size distributions of NPs just after their synthesis and dialysis. Table S1. The size exclusion chromatography data for the stable NPs. Figure S3. The size distributions for the NPs 2 years after their synthesis.
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