Effects of wheat supplementation levels on growth performance, blood profiles, nutrient digestibility, and pork quality in growing-finishing pigs
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Objective: This study was conducted to evaluate various wheat supplementation levels on growth performance, blood profiles, nutrient digestibility, and pork quality in growing-finishing pigs.

Methods: A total of 120 growing pigs ([Yorkshire×Landrace]×Duroc), with an average 27.75±1.319 kg body weight, were used in growth trial. Pigs were allotted into each treatment by body weight and sex in 4 replicates with 6 pigs per pen in a randomized complete block design. Four-phase feeding programs were used in this experiment. The treatments included the following: i) corn-soybean meal (SBM) – based diet (CON), ii) corn-SBM – based diet+15% of wheat (W15), iii) corn-SBM – based diet+30% of wheat (W30), iv) corn-SBM – based diet+45% of wheat (W45), and 5) corn-SBM–based diet+60% of wheat (W60).

Results: There was no significant difference in growth performance among the dietary treatments. However, the gain-to-feed (G:F) ratio tended to increase (quadratic, p<0.08) when the pigs were fed a higher wheat diet during the finishing period. The digestibility of crude ash and fat tended to decrease as the wheat supplementation level increased (p<0.08). The proximate analysis of the longissimus muscle was not affected by the dietary level of wheat. The crude ash content in pork was decreased linearly as the wheat supplementation level increased (p = 0.05). There was no significant difference in the pH level, shear force, water holding capacity, and cooking loss of the pork. In pork and fat, L*, a*, and b* values were not significantly different among dietary treatments.

Conclusion: Wheat can be supplemented up to 60% in a growing-finishing pig without detrimental effects on growth and pork quality. The G:F ratio tended to improve in the finishing period by wheat inclusion.
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INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the most widely used ingredient in the European Union (EU), with barley [1] subsequently being supplemented in swine diets as with corn in Korea. The concentration of gross energy in wheat is 91% to 97% relative to corn when used in pigs [2,3]. However, crude protein (CP) and amino acids concentration such as lysine, tryptophan, and threonine are higher in wheat than in corn [3]. Crude fiber, neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) concentration in wheat is higher than in corn [3]. Wheat contains less starch [2] and more non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) than corn [4]. High levels of soluble NSP are known as the factor that increases the viscosity of digesta in birds but it is not a limiting factor in pigs because they have a longer retention time of digesta [2]. Wheat does have a relatively higher phosphorous digestibility and total calcium concentration than corn [2,3]. Wheat can be infected with deoxynivalenol...
and zearalenone which are representative mycotoxins when it has high moisture and temperature [2].

When pigs were fed wheat-based diets, growth performance improved and similar pork quality was observed when compared with pigs fed corn-based diets if nutrient requirements were equal [5]. Cromwell [6] reported that wheat could be entirely substituted for corn in the diet from the weaning to finishing period, as long as the diets were balanced for protein and amino acids. In addition, phosphorus digestibility was greater in wheat than in corn because of the presence of endogenous phytase in wheat [3], but it is less efficient in degrading the phosphorus that is bound to the phytic acid [7]. Phosphorus digestibility can be increased in wheat-based swine diets with phytase supplementation and up to 1,000 phytase unit (FTU) of exogenous phytase may be used [2]. For this reason, growth performance and carcass quality of swine fed wheat-based diets are expected to be similar to that of corn-based diets. Therefore, utilizing wheat in the feed industry could be increased, particularly in 2016 [8].

Consequently, this experiment was conducted to investigate the influence of various levels of dietary wheat on growth performance, blood profiles, nutrient digestibility and pork quality in growing-finishing pigs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental animals and management**

All experimental procedures involving animals were conducted in accordance with the Animal Experimental Guidelines provided by the Seoul National University Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee (SNUIAUCC; SNU-160513-1). A total of 120 crossbred ([Yorkshire×Landrace]×Duroc) pigs (27.75±1.319 kg body weight [BW]) were allotted to one of five treatments considering sex and initial BW in 4 replications with 6 pigs per pen in a randomized complete block design. The pen was a fully con crete floor facility (2.60 by 2.84 m2) in a completely randomized design with three replicates to evaluate the nutrient digestibility and nitrogen retention. An experimental diet of finishing phase was provided to each treatment animal. A total of 15 crossbred growing barrows, averaging 71.16±3.50 kg BW, were individually allotted to each treatment and housed in metabolic crates. A total

**Dietary treatments**

Dietary treatments were different levels of wheat (Table 1): i) corn-soybean meal (SBM) based diet (CON), ii) corn-SBM based diet+ wheat 15% (W15), iii) corn-SBM based diet+wheat 30% (W30), iv) corn-SBM based diet +wheat 45% (W45), and v) corn-SBM based diet+wheat 60% (W60). The wheat (soft red winter) which used in this experiment was imported from Australia. All nutrients met or exceeded the requirement of NRC [3] and experimental diet formulas and chemical compositions are presented in Table 2, 3, 4, and 5.

![Table 1. Chemical composition of corn and wheat (as dry matter basis)](https://www.ajas.info/1151)

| Item                      | Corn | Wheat |
|---------------------------|------|-------|
| Analyzed chemical composition | 6.89 | 11.25 |
| Crude protein (%)         | 0.32 | 0.50  |
| Essential amino acids (%) | 0.20 | 0.25  |
| Arg                       | 0.26 | 0.36  |
| His                       | 0.87 | 0.72  |
| Ile                       | 0.20 | 0.28  |
| Leu                       | 0.14 | 0.17  |
| Lys                       | 0.34 | 0.51  |
| Met                       | 0.26 | 0.34  |
| Phe                       | 0.35 | 0.43  |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) |      |       |
| Ala                       | 0.52 | 0.39  |
| Cys                       | 0.17 | 0.25  |
| Gly                       | 0.26 | 0.44  |
| Ser                       | 0.35 | 0.52  |
| Tyr                       | 0.29 | 0.34  |
| Fiber (%)                 | 2.50 | 3.60  |
| ADF                       | 8.06 | 11.66 |
| NDF                       |      |       |

ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber.

**Blood sampling and analyses**

In each treatment, 6 pigs of near average BW were bled through the anterior vena cava to measure glucose, total cholesterol, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and total protein. Blood sampling was done on the initial day and at the end of each phase. The blood samples were collected in a disposable culture tube and centrifuged for 15 min by 3,000 rpm at 4°C (Eppendorf centrifuge 5810R, Hamburg, Germany). The sera were carefully transferred to 1.5-mL plastic tubes and stored at −20°C for later analysis. The total BUN concentration was analyzed using a blood analyzer (Ciba-Corning model, Express Plus, Ciba Corning Diagnostics Co., Cambridge, MA, USA). The creatinine and total protein concentration were measured by kinetic colorimetry assay using a blood analyzer (Modular Analytics, PE, Roche, Germany).

**Nutrient digestibility**

A digestibility trial was conducted in a completely randomized design with three replicates to evaluate the nutrient digestibility and nitrogen retention. An experimental diet of finishing phase 1 was provided to each treatment animal. A total of 15 crossbred growing barrows, averaging 71.16±3.50 kg BW, were individually allotted to each treatment and housed in metabolic crates. A total

**Table 1. Chemical composition of corn and wheat (as dry matter basis)**

| Item                      | Corn | Wheat |
|---------------------------|------|-------|
| Analyzed chemical composition | 6.89 | 11.25 |
| Crude protein (%)         | 0.32 | 0.50  |
| Essential amino acids (%) | 0.20 | 0.25  |
| Arg                       | 0.26 | 0.36  |
| His                       | 0.87 | 0.72  |
| Ile                       | 0.20 | 0.28  |
| Leu                       | 0.14 | 0.17  |
| Lys                       | 0.34 | 0.51  |
| Met                       | 0.26 | 0.34  |
| Phe                       | 0.35 | 0.43  |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) |      |       |
| Ala                       | 0.52 | 0.39  |
| Cys                       | 0.17 | 0.25  |
| Gly                       | 0.26 | 0.44  |
| Ser                       | 0.35 | 0.52  |
| Tyr                       | 0.29 | 0.34  |
| Fiber (%)                 | 2.50 | 3.60  |
| ADF                       | 8.06 | 11.66 |
| NDF                       |      |       |

ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber.
Table 2. Formula and chemical compositions of the experimental diets in growing phase 1 (Growing 1)

| Items              | CON  | W15  | W30  | W45  | W60  |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ingredients (%)    |      |      |      |      |      |
| Corn               | 66.28| 68.90| 71.17| 71.95| 72.48|
| SBM (45% CP)       | 28.08| 27.78| 26.67| 24.61| 22.75|
| Wheat              | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wheat bran         | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Palm kernel meal   | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Tallow             | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| Phytase            | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Total              | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| ME (kcal/kg)       | 3,265| 3,265| 3,265| 3,265| 3,265|
| Crude protein (%)  | 19.58| 19.95| 20.24| 19.78| 19.21|
| Crude fat (%)      | 4.68 | 5.19 | 5.15 | 5.98 | 6.03 |
| Crude ash (%)      | 9.45 | 9.86 | 10.31| 9.52 | 9.15 |
| Lysine (%)         | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Methionine (%)     | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Ca (%)             | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Total P (%)        | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |

SBM, soybean meal; CP, crude protein; MDCP, mono-dicalcium phosphate; ME, metabolizable energy.
1) CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.
2) Provided the following quantities of vitamins per kg of complete diet: vitamin A, 8,020 IU; vitamin C, 100 IU; vitamin D₃, 1,604 IU; vitamin K₃, 1.6 mg; riboflavin, 1.6 mg; calcium pantothenic acid, 6 mg; niacin, 10 mg; biotin, 40 μg; vitamin B₁₂, 10 μg. 
3) Provided the following quantities of minerals per kg of complete diet: Fe, 187 mg; Cu, 88 mg; Mn, 167 mg; I, 1 mg; Se, 1 mg; Zn, 103 mg.
4) Calculated value.
5) Analyzed value.

Collection method was utilized for the apparent digestibility. After 7 days of adaptation period, pigs were subjected to 5 days collection and 0.4% of ferric oxide and chromium oxide were used as internal and end marker respectively. During the experimental period, water was provided ad libitum and all pigs were fed a daily level of 2.7 times the estimated maintenance requirement for energy (i.e., 106 kcal of metabolizable energy [ME] per kg of BW⁰.⁷⁷) [9]. Excreta and urine were collected daily and stored at –20°C for later analysis.

Carcass traits

At the end of the experiment, 4 pigs from each treatment group were selected and slaughtered for the carcass analysis. Pork samples were collected from nearby the 10th rib on the right side of the carcass. Because of the chilling procedure, 30 minutes after slaughter was regarded as the initial time. The pH, meat, and back fat color of the longissimus muscle (LM) were measured at 24 hours after slaughter. The pH was measured using a pH meter (Model 720, Thermo Orion, Fullerton, CA, USA). Pork and back fat color were determined by Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) color L*, a*, and b* values using a CR-300 (Minolta Camera Co., Osaka, Japan). Chemical analysis of the pork samples was conducted using the method of AOAC [10].

Pork quality

The water-holding capacity (WHC) of the pork was measured by centrifuge. Three LM samples were ground and placed in a filter tube, then heated in a water bath at 80°C for 20 min, and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 rpm at 10°C (Eppendorf centrifuge 5810R, Germany). To calculate the cooking loss, the LM samples were packed in a polyethylene bag, heated in a water bath until the core temperature reached 72°C, and weighed before and after.
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| Items                  | CON (%) | W15 (%) | W30 (%) | W45 (%) | W60 (%) |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Ingredients (%)        |         |         |         |         |         |
| Com                    | 69.24   | 55.59   | 41.87   | 28.19   | 14.52   |
| SBM (45% CP)           | 21.60   | 19.86   | 18.08   | 16.33   | 14.58   |
| Wheat                  | 0.00    | 15.00   | 30.00   | 45.00   | 60.00   |
| Wheat bran             | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    |
| Palm kernel meal       | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    | 3.00    |
| Tallow                 | 1.14    | 1.54    | 1.98    | 2.40    | 2.81    |
| MDCP                   | 0.68    | 0.60    | 0.53    | 0.47    | 0.40    |
| Limestone              | 0.74    | 0.77    | 0.84    | 0.87    | 0.90    |
| L-lysine-HCl (78%)     | 0.00    | 0.04    | 0.09    | 0.13    | 0.17    |
| DL-methionine (80%)    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.02    |
| Vit. Mix<sup>2</sup>   | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    |
| Min. Mix<sup>1</sup>   | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    |
| Salt                   | 0.30    | 0.30    | 0.30    | 0.30    | 0.30    |
| Phytase                | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    | 0.10    |
| Total                  | 100.00  | 100.00  | 100.00  | 100.00  | 100.00  |

Chemical composition

| ME (kcal/kg)<sup>4</sup> | 3,265   | 3,265   | 3,265   | 3,265   | 3,265   |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Crude protein (%)<sup>5</sup> | 16.17   | 15.99   | 15.28   | 14.77   | 14.94   |
| Crude fat (%)<sup>6</sup>  | 6.78    | 5.45    | 4.88    | 5.38    | 5.01    |
| Crude ash (%)<sup>7</sup>  | 4.44    | 4.22    | 3.56    | 3.74    | 3.94    |
| Lysine (%)<sup>8</sup>     | 0.78    | 0.78    | 0.78    | 0.78    | 0.78    |
| Methionine (%)<sup>9</sup> | 0.25    | 0.25    | 0.25    | 0.25    | 0.25    |
| Ca (%)<sup>10</sup>        | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    |
| Total P (%)<sup>11</sup>   | 0.45    | 0.45    | 0.45    | 0.45    | 0.45    |

<sup>1</sup>CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.
<sup>2</sup>Provided the following quantities of vitamins per kg of complete diet: vitamin A, 8,020 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 1,604 IU; vitamin K<sub>3</sub>, 1.6 mg; riboflavin, 1.6 mg; calcium pantothenic acid, 6 mg; niacin, 10 mg; biotin, 40 μg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 10 μg.
<sup>3</sup>Provided the following quantities of minerals per kg of complete diet: Fe, 187 mg; Cu, 88 mg; Mn, 167 mg; I, 1 mg; Se, 1 mg; Zn, 103 mg.
<sup>4</sup>Calculated value.
<sup>5</sup>Analyzed value.

Chemical analyses

Diet analyses were performed by a Cyclotec 1093 Sample Mill (Foss Tecator, Hillerød, Denmark) and the ground diets were then analyzed. All analyses were performed in duplicate samples and repeated if the results from the duplicate samples varied more than 5% from the mean. The dry matter of the diet samples were determined by oven drying at 135°C for 2 hours (method 930.15) [10]. Ash was used as a calibration standard, CP was calculated as N×6.25, and the diets were also analyzed for ash (method 942.05) [10]. The collected excreta were pooled and dried in an air-forced drying oven at 60°C for 72 hours, and then ground into 1-mm particles in a Wiley mill for chemical analysis, including moisture, protein, fat, and ash contents [10]. Total urine was collected daily in a plastic container containing 50 mL of 4 N H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>O</sub><sub>4</sub>, and then frozen during the 5-day collection period for nitrogen retention analyses.
Estimation of amino acid content in wheat and corn was carried out with AccQ-Tag reagent kits (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Performic acid was used in oxidizing amino acids and neutralized with sodium citrate dihydrate, and then hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl for 24 h at 110°C to liberate it from the protein. Amino acids were analyzed on high performance liquid chromatography (Waters 486, USA). All separations were generated on NOVAPak C18 (4 μm) column (Waters, USA) with temperature controlled at 37°C and operated with flow rate of 1 mL/min.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analysis was determined by least squares mean comparisons using a general linear model procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Orthogonal polynomial contrasts were performed to analyze the linear or quadratic effects of increasing wheat levels in the diet. Each pen was considered an experimental unit in measuring growth performance and an individual pig was used as a unit for data on blood profile, pork quality and carcass traits. The significance for treatment effects was reported at p≤0.05, with a trend between p>0.05 and p≤0.10.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Chemical composition of corn and wheat
Wheat used in this experiment contained higher CP (11.25%) and essential amino acids (Arg: 0.50%, His: 0.25%, Ile: 0.36%, Leu: 0.72%, Lys: 0.28%, Met: 0.17%, Phe: 0.51%, Thr: 0.34%, Val: 0.43%) except for the Leu (0.72%). In fiber content, wheat has higher ADF (3.60%) and NDF (11.66%) content than corn.

Growth performance
The effect of wheat supplementation on growth performance is shown in Table 6. During the entire feeding trial, there were no significant differences in BW, ADG, and ADFI among treatments. As the dietary wheat supplementation levels increased, the G:F ratio tended to increase (linear, p = 0.08). As the pigs were fed higher wheat treatment diets, the G:F ratio tended to increase during weeks 7 to 11 (quadratic, p = 0.06). This result implies that

Table 6. Effect of wheat supplementation levels on growth performance in growing-finishing pigs

| Criteria         | CON     | W15     | W30     | W45     | W60     | SEM    | p-value |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Body weight (kg) |         |         |         |         |         |        |         |
| Initial          | 27.75   | 27.75   | 27.75   | 27.75   | 27.75   | -      | -       |
| 3 wk             | 40.44   | 42.16   | 39.00   | 40.41   | 41.78   | 1.320  | 0.55    |
| 6 wk             | 55.51   | 56.08   | 53.94   | 54.38   | 56.87   | 2.014  | 0.89    |
| 9 wk             | 75.50   | 78.30   | 76.71   | 76.57   | 79.93   | 2.461  | 0.53    |
| 11 wk            | 91.70   | 92.09   | 91.13   | 92.19   | 95.81   | 2.898  | 1.00    |
| ADG (g)          |         |         |         |         |         |        |         |
| 1 to 3 wk        | 623     | 686     | 543     | 603     | 668     | 39.4   | 0.60    |
| 4 to 6 wk        | 718     | 663     | 711     | 685     | 718     | 28.0   | 0.60    |
| 7 to 9 wk        | 952     | 1,058   | 1,084   | 1,057   | 1,098   | 27.6   | 0.17    |
| 10 to 11 wk      | 996     | 985     | 1,030   | 1,116   | 1,134   | 25.6   | 0.65    |
| 1 to 6 wk        | 657     | 674     | 627     | 634     | 693     | 31.4   | 0.83    |
| 7 to 11 wk       | 963     | 1,029   | 1,019   | 1,080   | 1,113   | 24.8   | 0.59    |
| 1 to 11 wk       | 758     | 766     | 756     | 767     | 810     | 21.3   | 0.95    |
| ADFI (g)         |         |         |         |         |         |        |         |
| 1 to 3 wk        | 1,452   | 1,523   | 1,361   | 1,525   | 1,549   | 79.3   | 0.89    |
| 4 to 6 wk        | 1,809   | 1,695   | 1,656   | 1,777   | 1,963   | 80.2   | 0.34    |
| 7 to 9 wk        | 2,799   | 2,755   | 2,801   | 2,726   | 2,866   | 96.7   | 0.94    |
| 10 to 11 wk      | 2,931   | 2,783   | 2,726   | 2,891   | 3,026   | 54.5   | 0.24    |
| 1 to 6 wk        | 1,630   | 1,609   | 1,508   | 1,651   | 1,756   | 77.0   | 0.58    |
| 7 to 11 wk       | 2,936   | 2,845   | 2,849   | 2,874   | 3,016   | 75.4   | 0.65    |
| 1 to 11 wk       | 2,195   | 2,143   | 2,091   | 2,179   | 2,299   | 72.1   | 0.59    |
| G:F ratio        |         |         |         |         |         |        |         |
| 1 to 3 wk        | 0.421   | 0.448   | 0.390   | 0.399   | 0.435   | 0.0115 | 0.47    |
| 4 to 6 wk        | 0.406   | 0.391   | 0.436   | 0.373   | 0.368   | 0.0110 | 0.88    |
| 7 to 9 wk        | 0.345   | 0.385   | 0.397   | 0.392   | 0.384   | 0.0092 | 0.08    |
| 10 to 11 wk      | 0.340   | 0.357   | 0.379   | 0.387   | 0.376   | 0.0086 | 0.61    |
| 1 to 6 wk        | 0.404   | 0.417   | 0.417   | 0.384   | 0.396   | 0.0070 | 0.32    |
| 7 to 11 wk       | 0.329   | 0.363   | 0.360   | 0.378   | 0.370   | 0.0064 | 0.13    |
| 1 to 11 wk       | 0.348   | 0.357   | 0.365   | 0.354   | 0.354   | 0.0053 | 0.42    |

SEM, standard error of mean; Lin, linear; Quad, quadratic; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; G:F ratio, gain-to-feed.

1) A total of 120 crossbred pigs was fed from average initial body 27.75 ± 6.391 kg and the average final body weight was 92.58 kg.

2) CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.
wheat can improve finishing pig’s feed efficiency when it was supplemented in swine diet.

Supplementation with wheat levels in swine diet has been studied for many years. Seerley et al. [11] reported that wheat could replace a corn-SBM–based diet by 81% during the growing period and 86% during the finishing period. In this study, wheat supplementation did not show any negative influence on growth performance in a growing-finishing pig.

Pigs fed a wheat-supplemented diet grew similar in size to pigs fed a corn-SBM diet [5,11]. There was no significant difference in the ADG between corn-based and wheat-based diets. The wheat supplementation level of this experiment ranged from 20% to 85.98% in the diet of growing-finishing pigs. Thus, the growth of pigs that were fed a wheat-supplemented diet was expected to be similar to that of pigs fed a corn-SBM diet. Wheat could replace corn in diets for growing-finishing pigs, if diets met the amino acid and protein requirements [6]. This result demonstrated that 60% of wheat supplementation did not have a detrimental effect on the growth of the growing-finishing pigs.

Seerly et al. [11] and Van Lunen and Schulze [12] reported that pigs fed a wheat-based diet had a significantly higher ADFI than those fed a corn-based diet. However, some researchers reported that there was no significant difference between corn and wheat diets [13]. Wheat had a high palatability in previous experiments on weaning pigs [14], but there was no significant difference in the ADFI among the treatments in the present experiment. This result demonstrated that palatability and feed intake were not affected in the growing-finishing pigs.

Van Lunen and Schulze [12] reported that 60% of wheat inclusion resulted in a higher feed consumption but this was not translated into ADG resulting in a slightly but significantly lower G:F ratio. However, they supplemented xylanase instead of phytase. Phytase is one reason why the G:F ratio improved in wheat treatments. Corn has a higher phytic acid content than wheat and phosphorus digestibility is lower than wheat [3]. The improvement in the G:F ratio when including phytase in the wheat treatments could also be explained by phytase acting on other nutrients that are bound to the phytate form, thus releasing more energy and protein.

When comparing the particle size of the feed ingredients that are used in swine diet, wheat is finer than corn. In this study, pigs fed wheat had a higher G:F ratio than pigs fed corn-SBM treatment during the finishing period. These results were in agreement with the result of Mavromichalis et al. [15] who demonstrated that feeding wheat with a fine particle size improved the G:F ratio in finishing pigs. However, regardless of the particle size of wheat-based diets, corn-SBM diets had a higher G:F ratio during the finishing period but a similar G:F ratio during the growing period [11].

The present study showed that the performance of finishing pigs could be improved by feeding them wheat rather than corn. Finishing pigs fed the wheat-based diet showed a better G:F ratio by 9% to 14%. Meanwhile, this study suggested that a wheat-based diet is recommended in finishing period.

**Nutrient digestibility**

The effect of wheat supplementation levels on nutrient digestibility is presented in Table 7. In this trial, wheat-supplemented treatments did not produce a beneficial effect (p>0.05), but pig fed the wheat-based diet tended to have lower nutrient digestibility of ash and fat than those fed the corn-SBM diet (p<0.08). There were some differences in nitrogen intake among treatments (respectively 49.18%, 49.02%, 50.38%, 45.46%, and 46.74%) due to the different CP concentration between corn and wheat. However, there was no significant differences among them (p>0.05). Nitrogen retention also was not affected by wheat supplementation levels (p>0.05).

There are few studies that did experiments on digestibility of

---

**Table 7. Effect of wheat supplementation levels on nutrient digestibility in growing-finishing pigs**

| Criteria          | CON   | W15   | W30   | W45   | W60   | SEM  | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|
|                   |       |       |       |       |       | Lin. | Quad.   |
| Nutrient digestibility (%) |       |       |       |       |       |      |         |
| Dry matter        | 92.00 | 91.22 | 91.23 | 90.51 | 92.30 | 0.635| 0.76    | 0.53 |
| Crude protein     | 86.64 | 85.37 | 84.96 | 82.60 | 86.11 | 1.147| 0.88    | 0.31 |
| Crude ash         | 64.12 | 56.47 | 47.53 | 40.55 | 56.47 | 4.193| 0.56    | 0.06 |
| Crude fat         | 90.69 | 87.85 | 85.25 | 86.01 | 86.53 | 1.104| 0.21    | 0.07 |
| N-retention (g/d) |       |       |       |       |       |      |         |
| N-intake          | 49.18 | 49.02 | 50.38 | 45.46 | 46.74 | 0.804| 0.59    | 0.53 |
| N-feces           | 6.54  | 7.12  | 7.84  | 7.86  | 6.50  | 0.605| 0.76    | 0.43 |
| N-urine           | 13.55 | 17.09 | 12.31 | 15.87 | 14.57 | 0.823| 0.27    | 0.59 |
| N-retention⁶      | 29.09 | 24.81 | 29.28 | 21.74 | 25.67 | 1.280| 0.58    | 0.51 |
| N-digest          | 59.03 | 50.54 | 58.47 | 47.59 | 54.86 | 2.331| 0.42    | 0.65 |

SEM, standard error of mean; Lin, linear; Quad, quadratic.

¹A total of 15 crossbred pigs were fed from an average initial body weight 71.16 ± 3.50 kg.
2 CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.
³ N retention = N intake (g)–Fecal N (g)–Urinary N (g).
different corn and wheat levels. Some researchers reported higher digestibility of CP and amino acid [16] but another study reported that there were no differences in nitrogen and dry matter digestibility when compared with that of corn [17]. In this study, there was no improvement of dry matter, CP, and nitrogen digestion. So we could conclude that wheat supplementation did not have a negative effect on them.

Two major enzymes that are supplemented in wheat-based diets are phytase and xylanase. There are many studies that have evaluated the efficiency of the enzymes [18]. There was no significant difference between phytase and a combination of phytase and xylanase in either growth performance or nutrient digestibility [19]. Generally, there was a positive correlation between the G:F ratio and nutrient digestibility in pigs.

Lower digestibility of crude fat and ash could be due to the increased digesta passage rate, which in turn decreased digestion and absorption in the small intestine [20]. The digesta passage rate was affected by the viscous fiber content in the pigs’ diet [21]. Wheat contains higher crude fiber [3] and total non-starch polysaccharide [22] than corn. We can conclude that a high fiber content increased the viscosity of the digesta and passage rate, and crude fat and ash digestibility decreased when wheat was supplemented in the pigs’ diet. The reason why the wheat-based diet had low digestibility of crude fat also can be explained by the composition of crude fat in the experimental diet during finishing period 1 (CON: 6.78%, W15: 5.45%, W30: 4.88%, W45: 5.38%, W60: 5.01%). Wheat-based diets had a slightly lower crude fat content than the corn-SBM diet. This could contain more toxins than corn. However, the normal serum total protein level range was 5.41 to 6.80 mg/dL [28]. The high level of wheat did not have a detrimental effect on the serum total protein level. Normally, the serum BUN concentration can be used as an index of protein and amino acid utilization in pigs [29]. However, the result of this study did not affect the serum BUN concentration and no significant differences were observed among the treatments. However, total protein concentration at 6 weeks tended to decrease as the wheat supplementation level increased (quadratic; p = 0.08).

Bergsjo et al [25] reported a negative correlation between protein intake and serum total protein concentration. A greater serum total protein level indicated that the protein status improved in pigs [26]. The serum total protein level decreased in growing pigs when the contaminated wheat level increased [27]. Thus, our result was derived from including a high level of wheat, which could contain more toxins than corn. However, the normal serum total protein level range was 5.41 to 6.80 mg/dL [28]. The high level of wheat did not have a detrimental effect on the serum total protein level.

Blood profiles

The effect of wheat supplementation levels on blood profiles is presented in Table 8. During the entire experimental period, creatinine and BUN were normal regardless of wheat supplementation and no significant differences were observed among the treatments. However, total protein concentration at 6 weeks tended to decrease as the wheat supplementation level increased (quadratic; p = 0.08).

Table 8. Effect of wheat supplementation levels on blood profiles in growing-finishing pigs

| Criteria       | Treatments[^1] | CON | W15 | W30 | W45 | W60 | SEM | p-value |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Creatinine (mg/dL) |                |     |     |     |     |     |     |         |
| Initial        | 0.73           | 0.78| 0.93| 0.64| 0.79| 0.047| -     | Lin.     |
| 3 week         | 0.70           | 0.78| 0.93| 0.64| 0.79| 0.047| 0.27  | 0.69     |
| 6 week         | 0.89           | 0.94| 1.10| 0.87| 0.77| 0.037| 0.34  | 0.40     |
| 9 week         | 0.73           | 0.99| 1.01| 0.94| 0.82| 0.052| 0.14  | 0.38     |
| 11 week        | 1.00           | 1.03| 0.94| 0.99| 1.06| 0.039| 0.93  | 0.57     |
| BUN (mg/dL)    |                | 14.8| 12.5| 12.3| 13.4| 15.4| 0.52 | 0.13     |
| Initial        | 8.2            |     |     |     |     |     |       |         |
| 3 week         | 14.8           | 12.5| 12.3| 13.4| 15.4| 0.52 | 0.13  | 0.51     |
| 6 week         | 11.1           | 11.8| 11.0| 13.4| 12.6| 0.38 | 0.90  | 0.41     |
| 9 week         | 13.6           | 14.2| 12.5| 14.6| 13.7| 0.58 | 0.95  | 0.82     |
| 11 week        | 10.8           | 13.5| 12.2| 14.3| 14.1| 0.64 | 0.36  | 0.46     |
| Total protein (mg/dL) |               |     |     |     |     |     |     |         |
| Initial        | 6.2            |     |     |     |     |     |       |         |
| 3 week         | 6.6            | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 0.07 | 0.23  | 0.41     |
| 6 week         | 6.8            | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 0.09 | 0.70  | 0.08     |
| 9 week         | 6.9            | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 0.09 | 0.95  | 0.38     |
| 11 week        | 6.9            | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0.09 | 0.93  | 0.19     |

SEM, standard error of mean; Lin, linear; Quad, quadratic; BUN, blood urea nitrogen.

[^1]Least squares means of 6 observations per treatment.
[^2]CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.
tent, and blood creatinine concentration has a positive correlation with the total muscles in the body [30]. No difference was detected in this experiment so we can conclude that neither wheat nor corn affected muscle production in growing-finishing pigs.

**Carcass traits**

The effect of wheat supplementation levels on carcass traits is presented in Table 9. In this study, we cannot find any significant difference in moisture, CP, and crude fat. However, the crude ash concentration decreased as the wheat supplementation level increased (linear, p = 0.05).

Any significant difference in physiochemical property was not detected by wheat supplementation levels on cooking loss, shear force, and WHC among treatments.

Numerous studies reported that there was no detrimental effect on pork quality when wheat was used as a feed ingredient [5]. Crude ash was affected by many factors such as the fat content in pork. There are negative correlations between crude fat and ash. However, we did not find an interrelationship between them and only crude ash was decreased. The crude ash content of the diet was lower in the wheat inclusion treatment and control. For this reason, there was low crude ash in pork. The crude ash content indicated an evolutionary tenderness when the ash content increased [31]. A sensory test may be used to find out the relationship between crude ash and tenderness.

There were no differences in physicochemical properties among the treatments in this study. This result was in accordance with that of Carr et al [13], who reported that cooking loss, shear force, and WHC were not affected by feed ingredients especially between corn and wheat.

**Pork quality**

Table 10 shows the effect of wheat supplementation levels on meat color (Hunter-value) and pH of LM. No significant differences were observed in L*, a*, and b* values and pH24 hours after slaughter when the pigs were fed different levels of wheat (p>0.05). The effect of wheat supplementation levels on back fat color is shown in Table 11. There was no significant difference in back fat color as the wheat supplementation level increased (p>0.05).

There was no negative effect in redness and yellowness of LM by wheat supplementation [5,13]. These findings are in accordance with our results. A change in the pH value would be an important factor that determined the pork quality and had an influence on physicochemical property and shelf life [32]. Generally, pH represented pale, soft, exudative and dark, firm, dry meat [33]. A corn-SBM diet could make the fat a yellow color because of the presence of carotenes and xanthophylls in them.

---

### Table 9. Effect of wheat supplementation levels on pork quality of the longissimus muscle

| Criteria                  | Treatments | SEM | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|---------|
|                           |            |     | Lin.    | Quad.  |
| Proximate analysis (%)    |            |     |         |        |
| Moisture                  | 70.01      | 0.146| 0.88  | 0.34  |
| Crude protein             | 24.41      | 0.258| 0.86  | 0.82  |
| Crude fat                 | 3.79       | 0.309| 0.45  | 0.47  |
| Crude ash                 | 1.64       | 0.024| 0.05  | 0.10  |
| Physiochemical property (%)|           |     |         |        |
| Cooking loss (%)          | 65.78      | 0.333| 0.13  | 0.58  |
| Shear force (kg)          | 33.66      | 0.836| 0.35  | 0.32  |
| WHC                       | 6.88       | 1.566| 0.74  | 0.56  |

SEM, standard error of mean; Lin, linear; Quad, quadratic; WHC, water-holding capacity.

1) Least squares means for 4 pigs per treatment.

2) CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60: wheat 60%.

---

### Table 10. Effect of wheat supplementation levels on pork color and pH after slaughter

| Criteria                  | Treatments | SEM | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|---------|
|                           |            |     | Lin.    | Quad.  |
| Hunter value              |            |     |         |        |
| L                         | 50.43      | 0.586| 0.53  | 0.92  |
| a                         | 5.27       | 0.236| 0.99  | 0.76  |
| b                         | 7.60       | 0.173| 0.88  | 0.44  |
| pH value after slaughter  | 5.63       | 0.020| 0.97  | 0.16  |

SEM, standard error of mean; Lin, linear; Quad, quadratic.

1) Least squares means for 4 pigs per treatment.

2) CON, wheat 0%; W15, wheat 15%; W30, wheat 30%; W45, wheat 45%; W60, wheat 60%.

3) L, luminance or brightness (varies from black to white); a, red and green component (+a: red, −a: green); b, yellow and blue component (+b: yellow, −a: blue).
which may discriminate against by many consumers. Han et al. [5] reported that there was no significant difference between corn-based and wheat-based diets. Generally, wheat contains a lower content of carotenoid than corn so the wheat-based diet could produce a better quality of fat. However, our results and the results of other studies indicated that fat quality was not affected by feed ingredients.
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