Noiseless photonic non-reciprocity via optically-induced magnetization
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The realization of optical non-reciprocity is crucial for many applications, and also of fundamental importance for manipulating and protecting the photons with desired time-reversal symmetry. Recently, various new mechanisms of magnetic-free non-reciprocity have been proposed and implemented, avoiding the limitation of the strong magnetic field imposed by the Faraday effect. However, due to the difficulties in separating the signal photons from the drive laser and the noise photons induced by the drive laser, these devices exhibit limited isolation performances and their quantum noise properties are rarely studied. Here, we demonstrate an approach of magnetic-free non-reciprocity by optically-induced magnetization in an atom ensemble. Excellent isolation (highest isolation ratio is 51.5±5.5 dB) is observed over a power dynamic range of 7 orders of magnitude, with the noiseless property verified by quantum statistics measurements. The approach is applicable to other atoms and atom-like emitters, paving the way for future studies of integrated photonic non-reciprocal devices.
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Lorentz reciprocity and its violation, associating with the time-reversal symmetry breaking, are of fundamental and conceptual importance in optics\(^1\)–\(^3\), and have also led to controversies in the photonics research community\(^4\). In practical optical applications, non-reciprocal optical devices, including isolator, circulator, and gyrator, are indispensable and ubiquitous. The prominent mechanisms realizing the optical non-reciprocity are the magnetic circular dichroism and circular birefringence (Faraday effect) in bulky magneto-optical materials\(^5\)–\(^8\). A strong magnetic bias field changes the dipole momentum or transition frequencies of dielectrics by inducing the Zeeman splitting of electron spin states and modifying the electronic wavefunctions, thus breaks the reciprocity of light\(^9\). However, the utilization of conventional Faraday-effect-based non-reciprocal devices is restricted in many scenarios. On one hand, the difficulties in processing the magneto-optical materials impose obstacles for fabricating high-performance optical micro-structures\(^9\)–\(^10\). On the other hand, the strong bias magnetic field is incompatible with many other optical components, such as the spin ensembles and superconducting-photonic interface, thus prevents the applications of non-reciprocal devices in hybrid photonic integrated circuits\(^6\)–\(^10\).

Over the past decade, great efforts have been dedicated to realizing magnetic-free non-reciprocity of light\(^1\). Ingenious ideas and new experimental techniques are developed to break the limit of the conventional Faraday effect, such as optical drive induced directional frequency conversion\(^11\)–\(^14\), storage\(^15\)–\(^17\) or amplification\(^18\)–\(^21\), the synthetic magnetic field in a loop of coupled-resonator\(^22\)–\(^23\), and RF/acoustic drive induced spatio-temporal modulation of refraction index\(^24\)–\(^30\). The common principle behind these approaches is the orbital momentum conservation in the coherent mode conversions, which induces absorption or phase shift for photons input from a selected direction. However, such a mechanism imposes limitations for non-reciprocal devices. The momentum conservation in the coherent mode conversions usually requires stringent phase-matching conditions, and the strong drive will induce inevitable background noise photons due to the nonlinear parametric processes. In addition, the low-energy excitations, such as the mechanical mode involved in the optomechanical interactions, require the drive laser frequency to be at most few GHz detuned from the signal frequency and also generate noise photons due to the thermal excitations, imposing great difficulties in separating the weak signals from backgrounds. As a result, although great progress has been achieved in the magnetic-free optical isolation\(^12\)–\(^14\),\(^16\)–\(^18\),\(^27\)–\(^32\), the conservation of quantum properties of signals transmitted through the isolator has not been verified experimentally.

Here, we propose and demonstrate a scheme to realize the non-reciprocity via the optically-induced magnetization (OIM) of an atomic medium. Isolation ratios of 30.3±0.3 dB and 51.5±6.5 dB are realized with a warm atom vapor in free space and in a traveling-wave cavity, respectively. Dispersive non-reciprocal effects analogous to Faraday effect are also observed with a non-reciprocal optical mode frequency splitting exceeding 100 MHz. It is worth noting that the non-reciprocal photon-atom interaction was investigated previously\(^33\)–\(^34\), however, under the requirement of tens of Gauss bias magnetic field. The magnetic-free OIM mechanism is distinct by treating the atom ensemble as a magnetizable dielectric medium, where incoherent population transfer could be utilized for achieving the non-reciprocity. Its crucial advantages include that the optical drive frequency could be far detuned from the signal, avoiding the difficulty associated with phase matching and drive filtration, robustness against drive fluctuations, and elimination of drive-induced noises at signal frequencies. We demonstrate the preservation of the signal’s quantum statistic feature, proving the inherent noiseless property of this mechanism. We believe that our experiments could stimulate further experimental and theoretical efforts on OIM, to demonstrate non-reciprocal devices in photonic integrated circuits, and find applications in quantum photonic chips and explore intriguing topological properties of light\(^35\).

**Results**

**Principle of OIM-based non-reciprocity.** Figure 1(a) and (b) schematically illustrates the general principle of the noiseless all-optical non-reciprocity that is based on the OIM. In the presence of an external circularly-polarized drive field, the optical response of an atom ensemble to a weak signal field is modified, leading to a circular-polarization dependent propagation velocity and absorption. As indicated in Fig. 1(c), the atoms possess hyperfine ground spin states \(|g⟩, |m_f⟩\), with \(m_f\) denoting the quantum spin number with \(|m_f| ≤ F_g\). By introducing the ancillary energy level \(|e⟩\) with \(F_e ≥ F_g\), the drive laser with the \(σ^+\) polarization changes the population of the atoms to \(m_f = F_g\) and thus builds up an effective magnetization of the atom spin states (green lines in Fig. 1(c)). For an input signal near-resonant to \(|g⟩ → |f⟩\) by \(F_f ≤ F_g\) the drive laser could transfer the population to \(m_f = F_e\), for which the its \(σ^-\)-transition is forbidden (blue dash line in Fig. 1(c)). Therefore, the atomic medium in Fig. 1(a) is transparent to the \(σ^-\)-polarized signal. In contrast, the transition for the \(σ^-\)-polarized signal is allowed (red solid line in Fig. 1(c)), thus the signal attenuates when propagating in the atomic media with the configuration shown in Fig. 1(b).

Figure 1(d) illustrates the OIM-induced circular birefringence and dichroism, as the polarized medium induces velocity and absorption change to the probe signals. When the circularly

---

**Fig. 1 Schematic of circular birefringence and circular dichroism arising from optically-induced magnetization.** a, b In an atomic medium with non-zero magnetization induced by a \(σ^+\)-polarized drive field, the \(σ^+\)- and \(σ^-\)-polarized signals propagate at different velocities and experience different absorption losses. c The energy diagram of typical atoms or atomic-like emitters, with degenerate ground Zeeman levels (\(|g⟩\)) and the allowing optical transitions to excited energy levels (\(|e⟩, |f⟩\)). The drive field \((|g⟩ → |e⟩)\) induces the magnetization of the atoms as the population concentrates to one side of the Zeeman levels, which leads to the different response to \(σ^+\)- and \(σ^-\)-polarized signals \((|g⟩ → |f⟩)\) due to selection rules. d The illustration of absorption and dispersion in a magnetized atomic medium for \(σ^+\)- and \(σ^-\)-polarized signals. Absorption dominates in the shadow region where the signal is near-resonant to the atomic transitions, while dispersion dominates for off-resonant cases.
polarized probe signal is off-resonant to the atoms (with detuning \( \Delta \)), the atoms induce effective phase change \( \propto 1/\Delta^2 \) while the absorption is suppressed to \( \propto 1/\Delta^2 \). Different from the conventional Faraday effect, where the external magnetic field induces the Zeeman energy level shifts and thereby circular birefringence, our scheme bases on ground state magnetization of the atoms induced by circularly polarized drive. The scheme is also distinct from previous nonlinear optical schemes\(^{11–20}\), where the phase-matching condition breaks the time-reversal symmetry of light. The drive field could be applied to any ancillary transition that couples to the target ground levels and is not necessary to be coherent with the signal. Therefore, the scheme allows broad bandwidth non-reciprocity beyond the limitation of phase-matching, permits more convenient filtration of drive laser, and the device would not induce noises for signals. It is also noted that the population condition illustrated in Fig. 1(c) is not strictly required for realizing non-reciprocity, because the time-reversal symmetry could be achieved as long as the uniform population distribution over all \( m_F \) states is broken to produce nonzero net spin polarization.

We experimentally implement the proposed scheme based on \(^{87}\)Rb warm atom vapor, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The energy diagram of the atom is shown in the inset, with \( |g\rangle = |5^2S_{1/2}, F = 2\rangle \) and \( |f\rangle = |5^2P_{1/2}, F' = 1, 2, 3\rangle \) for the \( D_1 \) transitions at \( \sim 795 \) nm, and we choose the ancillary energy levels \( |e\rangle = |5^2P_{3/2}, F' = 1, 2, 3\rangle \) corresponding to \( D_2 \) transitions at \( \sim 780 \) nm. First of all, the non-reciprocity via OIM is verified by a circularly polarized drive field (\( \sim 780 \) nm) on the atoms, with \( \sigma^+ \)-polarized forward (port 1 \( \rightarrow 2 \)) or \( \sigma^- \)-polarized backward (port 2 \( \rightarrow 1 \)) signal probing the vapor cell at \( \sim 795 \) nm. Here, the predicted circular dichroism of atomic medium is converted to non-reciprocal transmittance \( (T_{\sigma^+} \neq T_{\sigma^-}) \) of linear polarization introduced by way of a pair of quarter waveplates (Fig. 2(a)). As plotted in Fig. 2(b), we observe a 20 dB isolation with bandwidth of about 740 MHz due to the Doppler broadening from the atom transition linewidth of \( \sim 6 \) MHz. The highest isolation ratio of \( 30.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \) dB is achieved without a cavity in a single pass configuration (details in Supplementary Fig. 1). To validate the mechanism for other atomic media, we also demonstrate the cavity-less isolation by the isotope \(^{85}\)Rb in a vapor cell of natural abundance Rubidium, demonstrating a 900 MHz bandwidth and a highest isolation ratio of \( 29.6^{+0.6}_{-0.7} \) dB. Since the magnetization of the medium could be perturbed by the stray magnetic field perpendicular to the direction of light propagation \( (B_{\perp}) \), the OIM-induced non-reciprocity might be sensitive to magnetic field. However, in our experiments, we found that the isolation is very robust and could be maintained even with \( B_{\perp} = 10 \) Gauss. Therefore, the OIM provides a very robust photonic non-reciprocity against experimental imperfections, and also relaxes the requirements of drive laser and operating environment for practical applications.

**Absorptive and dispersive non-reciprocity.** Since the OIM-induced non-reciprocity strongly depends on the optical depth of the atom medium, which might be a limiting factor for cold...
atoms or emitters in solids, we investigate the cavity-enhanced
non-reciprocity in the following experiments (Fig. 2(a)). Typical
spectra of the cavity-enhanced non-reciprocity are shown in
Fig. 2(c), where the shadow section corresponds to the absorptive
region in Fig. 1(d). Here, the transmission of \( T_{2-1} \) is greatly
suppressed, while peaks with regular free-spectral range are
observed in \( T_{1-2} \) transmission. Since the atoms in the vapor cell
fly between the reservoir and cavity mode fields, which induces
the relaxation of the OIM, the peaks in the absorption region
show reduced transmittance due to the residual populations
on the ground states \( m_F < 2 \) \( (F_g = 2) \). In contrast, in the detuned
frequency regions, the system shows resonance shift between
forward and backward spectra. These observations confirm the
absorptive and dispersive non-reciprocity realized by the OIM in
the atomic medium (Fig. 1(d)).

In an optical traveling-wave cavity, optical modes of orthogonal
polarizations are degenerated. As demonstrated above, the OIM
could induce the circular birefringence and circular dichroism
and thus lifts the degeneracy between \( \sigma^+ \) - and \( \sigma^- \)-polarized modes in
our system. Then, the non-reciprocity is realized by probing the
OIM via quarter waveplates, as the signal transmission for port
\( \sigma^+ \) with \( \sigma^- \)-polarization is rejected by the cavity. For better
calibration of the system performance, we probe the \( \sigma^- \)-polariza-
tion from the forward direction by utilizing the symmetry of the
system, because all optical elements are the same when measuring
both \( \sigma^+ \) and \( \sigma^- \)-polarization forwardly \( (T_{+} \) and \( T_{-} \) )
except that the angle of waveplate is different. Detailed performances of the
optical isolation are summarized in Fig. 3. By increasing the
temperature of the cell (Fig. 3(a) and (b)), the isolation ratio
increases due to the increase of atom density under a fixed drive
power \( P_d \) of 45 mW. The optical performance also depends on the
drive power. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the \( T_{+} \) is suppressed at low \( P_d \),
because the OIM is weak and the atom ensemble is absorptive
for both polarizations. By increasing \( P_d \), the atoms are effectively
magnetized and become transparent to the \( \sigma^+ \)-polarized signal.

Figure 3(d) shows the extracted isolation ratio, which increases
with \( P_d \) and reaches a maximum of \( 51.5 \pm 6.5 \) dB when \( P_d = 60 \)
mW. The linewidth here at 65 °C is around \( 26.5 \pm 0.2 \) MHz,
primarily determined by the glass-cell-induced loss.

Figure 4 presents the results of the dispersive non-reciprocal
effects. The resonance shift \( \delta = f_{+} - f_{-} \) of the \( T_{+} \) and \( T_{-} \) spectra
at different temperature are shown in Fig. 4(a), where \( f_{+(-)} \) is
the resonant frequency of \( T_{+} \) \( (T_{-}) \) spectrum. Figure 4(b) further
details the transmission spectra corresponding to four detuning
frequencies indicated in Fig. 4(a). At 65 °C and \( P_d = 45 \) mW, we
find that the ratio between mode splitting and linewidth \( \delta/y \)
reaches 4.55, where \( y \) denotes the linewidth of the cavity at \( f_{+} \).
The \( \delta/y \) is a figure-of-merit characterizing OIM for isolator and
gyrator to account for non-reciprocal phase shift induced by
OIM. Furthermore, we find that the relative frequency shift
monotonously increases with the vapor temperature as shown in
Fig. 4(d) due to the increase of atom density at elevated
temperatures. For the power dependence shown in Fig. 4(c),
the frequency shift initially increases and then drops when \( P_d \)
approaches 70 mW due to population transfer from atomic
ground states to the ancillary energy levels \( (|g, m_F = 2 \rangle \rightarrow |f, m_F = 3 \rangle) \) at
high drive intensities, since the drive is coupling with a cyclic
transition \( (|g, m_F = 2 \rangle \rightarrow |f, m_F = 3 \rangle) \).

Noiseless isolation. We lastly demonstrate the inherent noiseless
property of our all-optical non-reciprocity via OIM. In the pre-
vious demonstration of all-optical non-reciprocity, the strong
drive would induce considerable noise by four-wave mixing
amplification\textsuperscript{18,20,36} or the thermal noise occupation of low-
frequency excitations\textsuperscript{12,22}. In contrast, there are no such noise
processes in our approach that could excite the \( D_i \) transitions by
the drive at room temperature, thus there should be no noise
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photon generated at signal wavelengths. We verify this characteristic over a wide signal power range varying from nano- to milli-Watt, corresponding to an intracavity photon number in the range of $0.84 \times 10^6$. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the isolator shows an average of about 37.4 dB isolation with a signal power dynamic range of about 70 dB. Fluctuations of the performance are attributed to the varied response of the detectors at different input power, such as the saturated gain of avalanche photodetector (APD) and counts saturation of single-photon detector (SPD).

In practical experiments, it is demanding to directly count the noise photons introduced by the isolator when signals transmit through it, because the number of potential noise photons should be weaker compared to the dark counts of the SPD. Instead, we indirectly verify the noiseless isolation by demonstrating the quantum-statistic conservation of the input signal, which is required for the potential applications of magnetic-free non-reciprocity in the quantum domain. As presented in Fig. 5(b), the second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(t)$ with a delay time $t$ is measured for both coherent laser and pseudo-thermal light source (see Method and Supplementary Note 5 for details). By comparing the $g^{(2)}(t)$ curves for input and output signals, we find that its quantum statistic preserves after the isolator. To further quantify the number of noise photons introduced by the isolator, we theoretically analyze the experimental results with the assumption that possible stray thermal and coherent photons are detected by SPD. For a weak probe signal, whose intracavity mean photon number is about 0.84, we derive an equivalent added intracavity noise photon number of $n_{\text{add}} \approx 0.0084$ with a confidence level of 99.7% (See Supplementary Note 5 for details), which confirms the noiseless non-reciprocity mechanism aforementioned.

**Discussion**

To evaluate the performance of our OIM-based isolator, we compare our devices with other state-of-the-art magnetic-free isolators. In Table 1, the key metrics of the representative experimental works are summarized. Among all these experiments, the quantum noise property has been first characterized in this work. In principle, because the low energy phonons or spin excitations are involved, the optomechanical approach in optical microcavities and also the population trapping or electromagnetically-induced transparency approach in hot atom system suffers from the noises due to the thermal excitations. The isolators based on the Kerr effect in a microcavity are also intrinsically noisy, because the drive-laser-stimulated parametric process could amplify the signal and thereby produce noise photons. Although the acousto-optical approach for the isolator should be free of the thermal and parametric noises, the signal passing the isolator is not frequency-preserving, and suffers from the extra phase modulation as well as the cross-talk error with other integrated photonic components due to the strong on-chip acoustic drive. Therefore, their noise performances require further experimental investigations. In terms of the isolation ratio, the OIM-induced non-reciprocity in a cavity outperforms all other devices, despite of a relatively low bandwidth and high insertion loss. Our theoretical analysis (Supplementary Note 4) predicts a 1 dB insertion loss and 100 MHz forward transmission bandwidth by optimizing the mirror reflectivities. For practical applications, the OIM-induced non-reciprocity in free space is attractive for its overall performances including its noiseless property, large bandwidth approaching GHz, and low insertion loss ~ 1 dB. It is anticipated that the bandwidth could be further improved by introducing other
Due to the limitation of the system insertion loss and detector P (shown as orange spots). The insets are two typical spectra measured for a source. In all studies, the drive power is set at 50 mW, vapor temperature is device. Blue crosses and dashed line are the results for pseudo-thermal order correlation function (g(2)) for signal input to and output from the device. 

Fig. 5 The dynamic range and noiseless property of the all-optical isolation. a The measured isolation ratios against input signal power (P_s). Due to the limitation of the system insertion loss and detector’s response, avalanche photodetectors (APDs) are adapted for P_s ≥ 1 μW (shown as green spots) and a single-photon detector (SPD) is used for P_s <1 μW (shown as orange spots). The insets are two typical spectra measured for P_s = 6.25 nW (left) and 1.2 mW (right), respectively. b Measured second-order correlation function (g(2)) for signal input to and output from the device. Blue crosses and dashed line are the results for pseudo-thermal source, while yellow crosses and dotted line are the results for coherent source. In all studies, the drive power is set at 50 mW, vapor temperature is 65 °C, and error bars denote standard deviations.

Table 1 Comparison with other representative experimental demonstrations of the magnetic-free optical isolator. The table compares our devices with other state-of-the-art devices, in terms of platform, principle, max isolation ratio, 3 dB forward transmission bandwidth, 20 dB isolation bandwidth, and insertion loss. PIC: photonic integrated circuits, EIT: electromagnetically-induced transparency, OIM: optically-induced magnetization. The symbol “+” indicates that the value is derived based on the reported experimental results.

| Reference | Platform                  | Principle          | Max isolation ratio | 3 dB Forward Transmission Bandwidth | 20 dB isolation bandwidth | Insertion Loss |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| 14        | Microcavity               | Optomechanics      | 12.8 dB             | 22 kHz                              | 0                        | 2.1 dB        |
| 13        | Microcavity               | Optomechanics      | 12 dB               | 88 kHz                              | 0                        | -10 dB        |
| 18        | Microcavity               | Kerr effect        | -18 dB              | 3 MHz                               | 0                        | -4 dB         |
| 31        | Microcavity               | Kerr effect        | 24 dB               | 1.3 MHz’                            | 1.3 MHz’                 | 7 dB          |
| 28        | Microcavity               | Acousto-optic      | -15 dB              | 1.14 GHz                            | 0                        | 7.7 dB        |
| 29        | Waveguide                 | Acousto-optic      | 38 dB               | 125 GHz                             | -100 GHz’                | 18.8 dB       |
| 30        | Waveguide                 | Acousto-optic      | 16 dB               | 100 GHz                             | 0                        | 8.7 dB        |
| 32        | Hot Atoms (Free-space)    | Population Trapping| 40 dB               | no limitation                       | 1.2 GHz                  | -1 dB         |
| 17        | Hot Atoms (Cavity)        | EIT                | 14.5 dB’            | -4 MHz                              | 0                        | 2.7 dB’       |
| This Work | Hot Atoms (Free-space)    | OIM                | 30.3 dB             | no limitation                       | 740 MHz                  | 1.5 dB        |
| This Work | Hot Atoms (Cavity)        | OIM                | 51.5 dB             | 16.9 MHz                            | >100 MHz                 | -20 dB        |
the degenerate ground Zeeman energy levels into cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) and waveguide QED, where interesting non-reciprocal phenomena arise, such as non-reciprocal multi-stability, quantum frequency conversion, photon storage, and lasing.

Methods

Experimental setup. The photonic non-reciprocity is validated by optically-induced magnetization of Rubidium (87Rb) atoms, in both scenarios with and without an optical traveling-wave cavity. A 75 mm-long vapor cell is filled with pure 87Rb, heated by a soft film heater and stabilized by a temperature controller (Thorlabs, TC200). Two external cavity diode laser sources (Toptica, DL pro 780 nm and 795 nm) are used as the drive and signal lasers, corresponding to the D1 and D2 transitions of the rubidium atoms. Both lasers are coupled to the laser beam through fibers, and match the fundamental modes of the cavity by two convex lenses with the same focal length at 25 mm. A repump laser, with frequency different from the drive laser by about 6.8 GHz and power around 10 mW, is combined with drive laser and applied to the system for achieving a better performance of non-reciprocity. Note that the repump laser is not necessary for demonstrating the non-reciprocity mechanism studied in this work, while it would effectively increase the optical depth of the atomic medium at a moderate temperature.

In the case without a cavity, the atom vapor cell is directly driven by laser from forward direction, and a signal laser probes the system from either forward (port 1) or backward (port 2 → 1) direction. In the case with a cavity, we build a traveling-wave cavity and place the atom vapor cell inside it for an enhanced optically-induced magnetization effect. The cavity comprises of four mirrors. The input and output ports of the cavity are flat mirrors, with the reflectivities of 91.8% and 99.6%, respectively, and the other two mirrors are concave mirrors with the same curvature radius of 100 mm and a high reflectivity over 99.9%.

Characterization of non-reciprocity. Both signal and drive lasers are circularly polarized, with the polarization being adjusted by quarter waveplates. On both sides of the cell or cavity-cell system, beam splitters are utilized to combine the drive and signal lasers. Polarized beam splitters are used to separate the drive and probe lasers when their polarizations are orthogonal. In addition, interference band-pass filters (Union Optic, FT912S-795nm) are used for rejecting the drive laser with very extinction ratio, because the wavelength difference between the two lasers is about 15 nm. When measuring the signal power dynamic range of the device, we use avalanche photodetectors (Thorlabs, APD410A, and also Newport 2151), and single-photon detectors (Excitell, SPCM-800-24-FC) at certain power ranges. The transmission spectra of signal are detected and recorded by avalanche detectors, with a probe power range 1–100 μW. When characterizing the isolation ratio by APD, it is very challenging to measure the very weak signal that is isolated by the device. Therefore, we modulate the signal light (1.5 KHz) and measure the weak signal precisely by a lock-in amplifier (Zurich Instruments, MFLI 500 kHz).

The quantum statistic measurement. To characterize the noise properties of the device, the quantum statistics of transmitted signal from both coherent and pseudo-thermal sources are tested experimentally. The coherent source is generated by attenuating the signal laser. The pseudo-thermal source is built by focusing a laser on the rough surface of a rotating ground glass disk (Thorlabs, D22G-1500). The second-order photon correlation function is characterized by two single-photon detectors (Excitell, SPCM-800-24-FC) and a time-to-digital converter (qTAG, standard 4 channels). Both coherent and pseudo-thermal sources are characterized before they are coupled into the cavity, and the results are served as a reference for that of the photons transmitted through the cavity. During the quantum statistic measurements, the frequency of the signal is fixed for the highest isolation ratio. The second-order photon correlation function of the output matches the input very well, which provides the evidence that the device is noiseless and could be useful in future quantum applications.
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The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The code used for simulations is available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Received: 21 September 2020; Accepted: 17 March 2021;
Published online: 22 April 2021

References

1. Potton, R. J. Reciprocity in optics. Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 717–754 (2004).
2. Teich, M. & Saleh, B. Fundamentals of photonic (Wiley, New York, 2007).
3. Asadchy, V. S., Mirmoosa, M. S., Diaz-Rubio, A., Fan, S. & Tretyakov, S. A. Tutorial on electromagnetic nonreciprocity and its origins. Proc. IEEE 108, 1684–1727 (2020).
4. Jalas, D. et al. What is — and what is not — an optical isolator. Nat. Photonics 7, 579–582 (2013).
5. Stephens, P. J. Magnetic circular dichroism. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 25, 201–232 (1974).
6. Kaminsky, W. Experimental and phenomenological aspects of circular birefringence and transparent crystals. Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 1575–1640 (2000).
7. Dötsch, H. et al. Applications of magneto-optical waveguides in integrated optics: review. J. Opt. Soc. Am. 22, 240 (2005).
8. Bi, L. et al. On-chip optical isolation in monolithically integrated non-reciprocal optical resonators. Nat. Photonics 5, 758–762 (2011).
9. Fan, L. et al. Superconducting cavity electro-optics: a platform for coherent photon conversion between superconducting and photonic circuits. Sci. Adv. 4, eaar9914 (2018).
10. Elshaari, A. W., Pernice, W., Srinivasan, K., Benson, O. & Zwiller, V. Hybrid integrated quantum photonic circuits. Nat. Commun. 12, 288–290 (2020).
11. Verhagen, E. & Alù, A. Optomechanical nonreciprocity. Nat. Phys. 13, 922–924 (2017).
12. Shen, Z. et al. Experimental realization of optomechanically induced non-reciprocity. Nat. Photonics 10, 657–661 (2016).
13. Ruesink, F., Miri, M.-A., Alù, A. & Verhagen, E. Nonreciprocity and magnetic-free isolation based on optomechanical interactions. Nat. Commun. 7, 13662 (2016).
14. Shen, Z. et al. Reconfigurable optomechanical circulator and directional amplifier. Nat. Commun. 8, 1797 (2017).
15. Dong, C.-H. et al. Brillouin-scattering-induced transparency and non-reciprocal light storage. Nat. Commun. 6, 6193 (2015).
16. Kim, J., Kuzyk, M. C., Han, K., Wang, H. & Bahl, G. Non-reciprocal Brillouin scattering induced transparency. Nat. Phys. 11, 275–280 (2015).
17. Zhang, S. et al. Thermal-motion-induced non-reciprocal quantum optical system. Nat. Photonics 12, 744–748 (2018).
18. Hua, S. et al. Demonstration of a chip-based optical isolator with parametric amplification. Nat. Commun. 7, 13657 (2016).
19. Xia, K., Nori, F. & Xiao, M. Cavity-free optical isolators and circulators using a chiral cross-Kerr nonlinearity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 203602 (2018).
20. Lin, G. et al. Nonreciprocal amplification with four-level hot atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 033902 (2019).
21. Li, E.-Z. et al. Experimental demonstration of cavity-free optical isolators and optical circulators. Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033517 (2020).
22. Fang, K. et al. Generalized non-reciprocity in an optomechanical circuit via synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering. Nat. Phys. 13, 465–471 (2017).
23. Aidelsburger, M., Nascimbene, S. & Goldman, N. Artificial gauge fields in materials and engineered systems. C. R. Phys. 19, 394–432 (2018).
24. Sounas, D. L. & Alù, A. Non-reciprocal photonics based on time modulation. Nat. Photonics 11, 774–783 (2017).
25. Yu, Z. & Fan, S. Complete optical isolation created by indirect interband photonic transitions. Nat. Photonics 3, 91–94 (2009).
26. Fang, K., Yu, Z. & Fan, S. Realizing effective magnetic field for photons by controlling the phase of dynamic modulation. Nat. Photonics 6, 782–787 (2012).
27. Poultain, C. G. et al. Design for broadband on-chip isolator using stimulated Brillouin scattering in dispersion-engineered chalcogenide waveguides. Opt. Express 20, 21235 (2012).
28. Soin, D. B., Kim, S. & Bahl, G. Time-reversal symmetry breaking with acoustic pumping of nanophotonic circuits. Nat. Photonics 12, 91–97 (2018).
29. Kittlaus, E. A., Otterstrom, N. T., Kharel, P., Geltter, S. & Raikoh, P. T. Non-reciprocal interband Brillouin modulation. Nat. Photonics 12, 613–619 (2018).
30. Kittlaus, E. A. et al. Electrically driven acousto-optics and broadband non-reciprocity in silicon photonics. Nat. Photonics 15, 43–52 (2021).
31. Del Bino, L. et al. Microresonator isolators and circulators based on the intrinsic nonreciprocity of the Kerr effect. Optica 5, 279 (2018).
32. Liang, C. et al. Collision-induced broadband optical nonreciprocity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 123901 (2020).
33. Sayrin, C. et al. Nanophotonic optical isolator controlled by the internal state of cold atoms. Phys. Rev. X 5, 041036 (2015).
34. Schuchter, M., Hilico, A., Will, E., Volz, I. & Rauschenbeutel, A. Quantum optical circulator controlled by a single chirally coupled atom. Science 354, 1577–1580 (2016).
35. Pan, C. et al. Topological photonics. Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, 015006 (2019).
36. Li, Y. et al. Experimental study on coherence time of a light field with single photon counting. Chin. Phys. Lett. 26, 074205 (2009).
Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Shu-Hao Wu and Ji-Zhe Zhang for the assistance in collecting and processing data, Ming Li and Xin-Biao Xu for helpful discussions. The work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0301303), the Natural Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11922411, 11874342, 11704370, and 91536219), and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (Grant No. AHY160200). P.Z., G.L., and T.Z. were supported by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0304902), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11974225, No. 11574187, No. 11674203, No. 11974223, and No. 11634088), and the Fund for Shanxi “1331 Project” Key Subjects Construction. C.-L.Z. was also supported by the Program of State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices (No. KF201809). This work was partially carried out at the USTC Center for Micro and Nanoscale Research and Fabrication.

Author contributions

C.-L.Z., X.-H., G.L., and H.T. conceived the experiments. X.-H., Z.-B.W., and P.Z., and with the assistance by G.-J.C., G.L, and T.Z., built the experimental setup and carried out the measurements. X.-H., Z.-B.W., and C.-L.Z. analyzed the data, Y.-L.Z. and X.-R.Z. provided theoretical supports. C.-L.Z., X.-H., and P.Z. wrote the manuscript with input from all co-authors. C.-H.D., G.-C.G., and C.-L.Z. supervised the project. All authors contributed extensively to the work presented in this paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22597-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.Z., C.-H.D. or C.-L.Z.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021