Light Regulation of Stomatal Development and Patterning: Shifting the Paradigm from Arabidopsis to Grasses
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ABSTRACT

The stomatal pores of plant leaves control gas exchange with the environment. Stomatal development is prevised regulated by both internal genetic programs and environmental cues. Among various environmental factors, light regulation of stomata formation has been extensively studied in Arabidopsis. In this review, we summarize recent advances in the genetic control of stomata development and its regulation by light. We also present a comparative analysis of the conserved and diverged stomatal regulatory networks between Arabidopsis and cereal grasses. Lastly, we provide our perspectives on manipulation of the stomata density on plant leaves for the purpose of breeding crops that are better adapted to the adverse environment and high-density planting conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Stomata are openings on the leaf surface that control the exchange of water, carbon dioxide, and oxygen with their environment. Stomatal function is essential for photosynthesis and respiration of a plant, and contributes to carbon cycle on a global scale (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003). Remarkable progress has been made in the past two decades toward understanding of the intercellular communication, cell asymmetric division, and stomatal state transitions underlying stomatal development in Arabidopsis thaliana (Facette and Smith, 2012; Pilitteri and Dong, 2013; Torii, 2015; Simmons and Bergmann, 2016; Qu et al., 2017; Hepworth et al., 2018). The formation and opening/closure of stomata is regulated by complex interplays between internal genetic programs and various environmental factors (such as light, humidity, temperature, and concentration of carbon dioxide). Among such environmental factors, the molecular mechanisms by which light regulate stomatal movement, development, and patterning have been best studied thus far. As the signaling mechanisms governing light regulation of stomatal opening/closure has been recently summarized in a few excellent reviews (Chen et al., 2012; Kollist et al., 2014; Inoue and Kinoshita, 2017; Matthews et al., 2019), the scope of this review is limited to highlight recent advances in our understanding of light-regulated stomatal development and patterning in Arabidopsis, and to present a comparison of the shared and distinct regulatory mechanisms between dicotyledenous plants (Arabidopsis as a model) and monocotyledonous cereal grasses. Lastly, we provide a perspective for future research to elucidate the light-mediated regulation of stomatal development and patterning in cereal grasses. Such knowledge may help to facilitate the breeding of climate-resilient crops that can be better adapted to adverse global climate changes and crops suitable for dense planting conditions.

A CORE TRANSCRIPTIONAL CASCADE REGULATING STOMATA FORMATION IN ARABIDOPSIS

In Arabidopsis, stomata are surrounded by two kidney-shaped guard cells (GCs) and they are scattered throughout the epidermis. The developmental pathway of stomata can be generally divided into four steps (Figure 1A): (1) a subset of leaf protoderm cells (PDCs) differentiate into meristemoid mother cells (MMCs); (2) MMCs divide asymmetrically to produce a smaller meristemoid (M) and a larger stomatal lineage ground cell, which can directly develop into a pavement cell or undergo additional rounds of asymmetrical division; (3) M takes a fate of guard mother cell (GMC); and (4) GMC divides symmetrically to form two GCs followed by GC maturation (Torii, 2015; Simmons and Bergmann,
Collective work from several groups has shown that major transcriptional changes occur during each fate transition and that five basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors act in a sequential manner to form a central transcriptional cascade regulating stomatal development. SPCHLESS (SPCH) acts at the entry point and is broadly expressed in the young leaf, but its protein is restricted to M to ensure continued asymmetrical division (MacAlister et al., 2007). How this is achieved still remains unknown and will be an interesting avenue of future research. The decline of SPCH protein level and accompanied increase of SPCH in late M, GMCs, and GCs and is emitted to enforce the one-cell spacing rule. The loss-of-function spch loss-of-function mutants (Hunt and Gray, 2009; Yamamura et al., 2014). In comparison, EPF1 is expressed in MMC and early M cells in an SPCH-dependent manner, and is secreted from these stomatal precursors to restrict the neighboring cells from entering the stomatal lineage cells, and are secreted from stomatal precursors to restrict the neighboring cells from entering into stomatal. STOMAGEN/EPF9, expressed in the internal mesophyll cells, competes with EPF2/EPF1 to bind ER/ERL1, thus inhibiting signaling via TMM. SERKs form heterodimers with ER/ERL1 and TMM in a ligand-induced manner. The ligand–receptor–coreceptor complexes activate the YDA-MKK4/5-MPK3/6 signaling cascade by an as yet unidentified mechanism, which leads to phosphorylation and proteasome-mediated degradation of SPCH/ICE1 and eventual arrest of stomatal development in the neighboring cells.
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Intriguingly, ICE1 (SCRM) was recently reported to serve as a scaffold that recruits upstream MPK3/6 to inhibit SPCH. Disruption of the interaction between ICE1 and MPK3/6 results in higher stability and activity of SPCH-SCRM heterodimers and excessive stomatal formation (Putarjana et al., 2019). On the other hand, EPF9/STOMAGEN, expressed in the internal mesophyll cells, was shown to compete with EPF2 to bind ER (but this binding does not activate the downstream MAP kinase [MAPK]) (Lee et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2017), thus promoting SPCH stabilization and stomata formation. In addition, a polarity factor named BREAKING ASYMMETRY IN THE STOMATAL LINEAGE (BASL) was shown to interact with YDA and cause the distribution of the YDA/BASL complex to the larger daughter cell after an asymmetric division, resulting in lower SPCH protein level and, therefore, loss of stomatal identity of the larger cell (Dong et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2016).

LIGHT REGULATION OF STOMATA DEVELOPMENT AND PATTERNING IN ARABIDOPSIS

Light is arguably one of the most important environmental factors regulating plant growth and development. Dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings undergo skotomorphogenesis (elongated hypocotyls with enclosed cotyledons, and suppressed differentiation of etioplasts). Upon light exposure, Arabidopsis seedlings undergo photomorphogenesis (de-etiolation), characterized by open and expanded cotyledons, suppressed hypocotyl growth, and differentiation of etioplasts into mature green chloroplasts (Whitelam et al., 1998; Dong et al., 2014). Numerous studies have indicated that light regulation of stomata development is another important aspect of plant photomorphogenesis. In dark-grown wild-type plants, only few mature stomata can be observed on the cotyledons, forming occasional stomatal clusters, and many of them are retained in the stomatal precursor stage, such as meristemoids and GMCs (Balcerowicz et al., 2014; Yamamuro et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2017). Light greatly enhances the entry division of MMC to form M and division of GMCs to form mature stomata, as well as ensuring proper stomatal patterning. Consistent with this notion, several studies have shown that the formation of M and stomatal maturation is compromised in various photoreceptor mutants (phyB, phyA, and cry1cry2) or the hy1 mutant that is defective in the assembly of functional holophytochromes due to the lack of heme oxygenase (Kang et al., 2009). These observations are also consistent with the reports that the expression of STOMAGEN, SPCH, MUTE, FAMA, EPF2, and TMM is induced by light (Hronková et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017). Whether light is involved in post-transcriptional regulation of these proteins is worthy of further investigation.

CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOCENIC1 (COP1) is a central negative regulator of photomorphogenesis acting downstream of the phytochrome and cryptochrome photoreceptors (Lau and Deng, 2012). COP1 acts together with a small family of SPA proteins (SPA1, SPA2, SPA3, SPA4) and the CDD protein complex (formed by COP10, DDB1, and DET1) to form multiple E3 ubiquitin ligases responsible for targeting multiple photomorphogenesis-promoting factors (such as HY5 and HFR1) for 26S-proteasome-mediated degradation in darkness, thus suppressing photomorphogenesis (Chen et al., 2006, 2010). Interestingly, cop1, cop10, and det1 loss-of-function single mutant and spa1spa3spa4 triple mutant exhibited constitutive clustered stomata in the dark (Kang et al., 2009; Delgado et al., 2012; Hoecker, 2017), indicating that these COP/DET/FUS and SPA proteins all act to repress asymmetric cell division and stomatal fate initiation. Recent studies have provided evidence that COP1- and TMM/ER/ERL-mediated signaling converges to YDA to suppress stomata formation by promoting phosphorylation and subsequent degradation of SPCH and ICE1 (Lampard et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2017) (Figure 2). Moreover, it was shown that COP1 directly interacts with ICE1 protein and mediates its degradation through the ubiquitin pathway (Lee et al., 2017). However, how COP1 regulates YDA remains an intriguing question to be elucidated. Notably, although the protein abundance of SPCH is elevated by light, the degradation of SPCH in darkness is not mediated by the 26S proteasome-mediated pathway (Lee et al., 2017). Thus, it will be interesting to examine in future studies how light stabilizes SPCH protein and whether COP1 is involved in this regulation.

Phytochrome-interacting factors (PIFs) are bHLH transcription factors that accumulate in the dark and act in concert with the COP/SPA complex to suppress photomorphogenesis (Pham et al., 2018). Substantial evidence also indicates that PIF proteins act at the nexus of various signaling integration to regulate plant growth and development, as well as responses to various biotic and abiotic stresses (Leivar and Quail, 2011; de Lucas and Prat, 2014). A recent study reported that Arabidopsis PIF4 is expressed in the stomatal precursors and suppresses stomatal development through directly inhibiting the expression of SPCH in response to higher temperature. The pif4 loss-of-function mutants produce more stomata than the wild type and scarcely demonstrate stomatal responses to elevated temperature (Lau et al., 2018). Given the demonstrated central role of PIFs acting as the signaling hub regulating various aspects of plant growth and physiological responses, more detailed studies concerning the roles of different PIF proteins in regulating stomata development are warranted.

In addition, several other light-regulated genes have been implicated in light regulation of stomata development. Two paralogous Arabidopsis genes, GNC (GATA, NITRATE-INDUCIBLE, CARBON METABOLISM-INVOLVED) and GNL (GNC-LIKE), encoding leucine-leucine-methionine (LLM)-domain B-GATA transcription factors, were able to promote cell divisions and stomata formation in cotyledons and hypocotyls during dark-to-light transition, acting upstream of the central stomatal regulators SPCH, MUTE, and SCRM2 (Klermund et al., 2016). It is noteworthy that PIFs can directly repress the expression of GNC and GNL, implicating a regulatory role of the PIF-GNC/GNL module in light-mediated stomatal development (Richter et al., 2010; Klermund et al., 2016).

The GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR1 (GIFT)/ANGUSTIFOLIA3 (AN3) gene encoding a transcription coactivator was characterized to promote stomatal development. Its loss-of-function mutant produced clusters of stomata, resembling the phenotype of yda or cop1 mutants (Meng and Yao, 2015). AN3 is regulated by light at both the transcription and post-translational levels and in turn directly downregulates the expression of both YDA (Meng and Yao, 2015) and COP1 (Meng et al., 2018), thus allowing the
Grass stomata are initiated in the leaf base, where asymmetric transverse divisions occur in files with cells that are more close to the square in shape compared with the neighboring cell files. The smaller apical daughter cell of the asymmetrical transverse division is called a GMC, which later divides to form a pair of GCs. Although it has been speculated that internal tissue layers, e.g., the preformed underlying veins, may send a signal to inhibit stomata development in the overlying epidermal cell files (Sylvestre et al., 1996), experimental evidence supporting this interesting hypothesis has not been forthcoming, and the exact nature of such a signal still awaits to be determined.

Using a reverse genetic approach, the function of Arabidopsis SPCH, MUTE, FAMA, ICE, and SCRM2 orthologs has been characterized in several grass species. Accumulating evidence suggests that the function of FAMA is conserved between grasses and Arabidopsis, whereas the roles of SPCH and MUTE paralogs show some degree of divergence. Unlike Arabidopsis, rice and Brachypodium have two SPCH genes and maize has three SPCH paralogs, yet the phosphorylation sites by MPK3/6 are conserved in the grass SPCH proteins. It has been shown that BdSPCH1/2 and OsSPCH1/2 are redundantly required for the initiation of the stomata lineage in Brachypodium and rice, respectively, like their Arabidopsis counterpart (Raissig et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019a, 2019b). Notably, OsSPCH2 driven by the AtSPCH promoter fails to rescue the Arabidopsis spch mutant phenotype, suggesting certain functional divergence between OsSPCH and AtSPCH (Liu et al., 2009).

A unique feature of stomata development in grasses is the formation of SCs that allow swift transport of ions and solutes to GCs. Using maize as a model system, Laura Smith and her colleagues have pioneered the mechanisms of SMC establishment and asymmetric division. SMCs are formed on both sides of a GMC, and divide asymmetrically to produce SCs flanking the GMC–SMC interface, thereby promoting asymmetrical division of SMC (Cartwright et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). More recently, it is only in recent years that the molecular nature of GMC–SMC cell–cell communication has begun to be unraveled. Two leucine-rich receptor-like kinases PANGLOSS1 (PAN1) and PAN2, were initially identified to be essential for promoting asymmetrical division of SMC (Cartwright et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). Later it was found that PAN1 interacts with small guanosine triphosphatase RHO OF PLANTS (ROP2/9) and that they are colocalized at the GMC contact site, conferring actin patch formation and migration of the SMC nucleus to the GMC–SMC interface, thereby promoting asymmetrical division in SMC (Humphries et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). More
Recently, it has been shown that the SCAR/WAVE regulatory complex, involved in activation of the actin-nucleating ARP2/3 complex for branched actin formation, is required for the polarization of PAN1 and PAN2 (Facette et al., 2015). Furthermore, complex for branched actin formation, is involved in activation of the actin-nucleating ARP2/3 complex with PAN1 and PAN2. In Arabidopsis, the Arabidopsis TONNEAU2 (Arabidopsis TON2) subunit of the PP2A phosphatase complex, which encode two GRAS family proteins, are expressed in stomatal lineage cells, hinting that this protein might also be subject to post-translational regulation as the Arabidopsis ICE1/ICE2 proteins, have been shown to act together to control the initiation of stomatal lineage cells, formation of subsidiary cells, and maturation of GCs, PDC, protoderm cells; MMC, meristemoid mother cell; GC, guard mother cell; SMC, subsidiary mother cell; SC, subsidiary cell; GC, guard cell.

Recent studies have generated evidence supporting the notion that grass SCRM (ICE1) orthologs play a conserved function in transcriptional regulation of stomatal development. The BdICE1 mutant showed a stomatalless phenotype, indicating that BdICE1 is essential for stomata formation in Brachypodium (Raissig et al., 2016). In line with this, OsICE has been shown to be essential for the initiation of stomatal lineage, the establishment of GMC, asymmetric division of SMC, and stomata maturation in rice. Moreover, the conserved physical interaction between OsICE1/SCRM2 with OsSPCH, OsMUTE, and OsFAMA was also observed (Wu et al., 2019b). Notably, BdICE1 possesses predicted high-fidelity MAPK target sites within the protein degradation associated PEST domain and that YFP-BdICE1 fusion protein driven by the ubiquitin promoter accumulates only in the stomatal lineage cells of the leaf, hinting that this protein might also be subject to post-translational regulation as the Arabidopsis SPCH protein (Raissig et al., 2016). In addition, it was found that OsFLP is required for the regulation of proper orientation of GMC symmetrical division in rice (Wu et al., 2019b).

Intriguingly, recent studies found that OsSCR1 and OsSHR1, which encode two GRAS family proteins, are expressed in stomatal lineage cells and that the transcription of OsSCR1 and OsSCR2 can be activated by both OsSPCH and OsMUTE (Wu et al., 2019b). Moreover, the double mutants of OsSCR1/2 and OsSHR1/2 in rice, may act to promote the asymmetric division of SMC to form SCs. FAMA and FLP regulate the symmetric division of GMC and the maturation of GCs. Like the Arabidopsis counterparts, grass ICE1/2 proteins form heterodimers with SPCH1/2, MUTE, or FAMA. In rice, SC/2 and SHR/2, which encode GRAS family proteins, have been shown to act together to control the initiation of stomatal lineage cells, formation of subsidiary cells, and maturation of GCs, PDC, protoderm cells; MMC, meristemoid mother cell; GC, guard mother cell; SMC, subsidiary mother cell; SC, subsidiary cell; GC, guard cell.

The developmental process of stomata in grasses can be divided into six steps: (1) stomatal file establishment, (2) asymmetric entry division to produce GMCs, (3) establishment of SMCs, (4) SMC asymmetric division to form SC, (5) GMC symmetric division to form two immature GCs, and (6) maturation of two dumbbell-shaped GCs flanked by two SCs. Grass SPCH1/2 proteins promote the acquisition of stomata file identity and asymmetric entry division to form GMC. The grass MUTE has a unique feature to move from GMCs into SMC where it acts to promote the asymmetric division of SMC to form SCs. FAMA and FLP regulate the symmetric division of GMC and the maturation of GCs. Like the Arabidopsis counterparts, grass ICE1/2 proteins form heterodimers with SPCH1/2, MUTE, or FAMA. In rice, SC/2 and SHR/2, which encode GRAS family proteins, have been shown to act together to control the initiation of stomatal lineage cells, formation of subsidiary cells, and maturation of GCs. PDC, protoderm cells; MMC, meristemoid mother cell; GC, guard mother cell; SMC, subsidiary mother cell; SC, subsidiary cell; GC, guard cell.
**Light Regulation of Stomatal Development in Grasses?**

Despite tremendous advances in understanding the mechanisms of light-regulated stomatal development and behavior in *Arabidopsis*, only limited evidence has been accumulated to support a role for light in regulating stomatal development in grasses. For example, earlier studies showed that the mutations of rice *PhyB1* or *PhyB2* result in decreased stomata density and smaller stomata (Liu et al., 2012), and that ectopic overexpression of maize *ZmPIF1* in rice cause smaller stomata aperture and, therefore, better drought resistance (Gao et al., 2018). Our preliminary analysis showed that the stomatal number per unit area of maize seedling leaves was significantly increased after light exposure for 36 h (Figure 3B). Several recent studies have shown that many key light-signaling components in grasses have conserved functions as do their counterparts in *Arabidopsis*. For example, heterologous expression of the maize *ZmPIFs* genes can partially or fully complement the phenotype of the *Arabidopsis pitg* mutant (Shi et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019a, 2019b). Similarly, expression of rice *OsCOP1* can rescue the phenotype of *Arabidopsis cop1* mutant (Ranjan et al., 2014). Whether and how these proteins regulate stomatal development and patterning in grasses will be avenues worthy of future investigation.
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**Linking the Modulation of Stomatal Development/ Patterning with Ecosystem Function and Agricultural Improvement**

In the context of global warming, we are encountering increasing temperature, higher atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration, and increased frequency of drought. Changes in climate are bringing about negative effects on many agricultural ecosystems around the world (IPCC, 2018). Also, it has been shown that increases in air vapor pressure deficit, rather than changes in precipitation, is a dominant factor affecting future grassland productivity (Konings et al., 2017). As stomata are fundamental regulators of water use efficiency (WUE, the ratio of carbon gained to water loss), genetic manipulation of stomatal development and physiology may contribute to improving the ecosystem function and agricultural productivity. Stomatal morphology, including stomatal numbers, stomatal size, and presence or absence of SCs, can be adjusted to optimize CO$_2$ uptake for photosynthesis while reducing water loss. Indeed, the size and density of stomata have changed over evolutionary time to facilitate the adaptation of plant species to new environments. For instance, based on the observation of herbarium and fossilized samples, smaller stomatal size and higher stomatal density were formed in response to low atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration, while increased stomatal size and decreased stomatal density were associated with high CO$_2$ levels (Dilcher et al., 2000; Franks and Beerling, 2009). Grass species have improved WUE and are better adapted to arid environments, which is correlated with their unique stomata complex composed of dumbbell-shaped stomata and neighboring SCs. The swift response of grass stomata to environmental cues is believed to confer adaptive advantages of grasses over most of the dicot plants (Chen et al., 2017). Of note, reduced stomatal density and decreased stomatal aperture may also compromise plants’ ability to prevent overheating, possibly resulting in photoinhibition and yield penalties (Chaves et al., 2016). Thus it is imperative to better understand the regulatory mechanisms of stomatal size and density in cereal crops to tackle the dilemma between water conservation and evaporative cooling in the scenario of drier and warmer temperature. Significantly, exogenous manipulation of stomatal development can be achieved through synthetic chemistry approaches (Kim et al., 2012; Sakai et al., 2017), which provide an alternative solution for the genetic modification of stomatal development and patterning using genome-editing techniques such as the CRISPR/Cas9 system. It is envisaged that the production of synthetic cyclic peptides, such as EPFs, with high efficiency and low cost, may encourage large-scale agricultural applications in the future, thus bringing about a post-green-revolution era in agriculture (Endo and Torii, 2019).

In modern agricultural practices, increasing planting density has been an effective means of improving crop yields per unit land area. However, a reduction of red to far-red light ratio below the canopy can trigger plants' shade-avoidance responses including increased plant height, accelerated flowering, decreased leaf blade area, and decreased stomata density (Casson and Hetherington, 2014; Xie et al., 2017). Although the key
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regulators of stomatal development in grasses have been identified, how light signaling integrates with the core regulatory pathways to modulate stomatal development and patterning has not yet been explored. Given that smaller stomatal size allows faster aperture response and contributes to maximizing WUE under fluctuating light conditions (McAusland et al., 2016), we envisage that molecular breeding of crops with smaller stomatal size and increased stomatal density might be beneficial to maintain or improve crop performance under conditions of high-density planting.
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