On the Defect Structure of Biaxial Nematic Droplets
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We present a detailed Monte Carlo study of the effects of molecular biaxiality on the defect created at the centre of a nematic droplet with radial anchoring at the surface. We have studied a lattice model based on a dispersive potential for biaxial mesogens [Luckhurst et al., Mol. Phys. 30, 1345 (1975)] to investigate how increasing the biaxiality influences the molecular organisation inside the confined system. The results are compared with those obtained from a continuum theory approach. We find from both approaches that the defect core size increases by increasing the molecular biaxiality, hinting at a non universal behaviour previously not reported.

It is well known that a sufficiently large nematic droplet embedded in a host matrix with perpendicular (homeotropic) alignment at the interface presents a defect at the centre of the system1. Dispersions of minute nematic droplets in a polymer matrix, e.g. of micron-size in Polymer Dispersed Liquid Crystals, PDLC2, or of nano-size droplets in H-PDLC3, the variant for holographic masks, are technologically important for their applications in optics. The shape and size of this defect core region has been extensively studied by a number of authors over many years1–19. For instance, the true point-like or ring-like nature of the core has been debated20. Recently, this problem has been the object of a renewed interest17,18, also using molecular level, off-lattice, simulations21, like in the work of de Pablo and his group22,23, but the majority of the studies concerns uniaxial nematics formed of uniaxial particles, notwithstanding the fact that, even if biaxial nematics24 are still rare, the majority of mesogens is not uniaxial and would be better represented by biaxial objects. Some theoretical speculations regarding nematic droplets formed by biaxial molecules have been put forward4,15, but computer simulations tackling this problem have been scarce (see, however25). Here, we aim to study, by means of a Monte Carlo approach, how the deviation from the cylindrical symmetry of the constituent molecules affects the defect core at the center of the droplet.

The Simulation Model

We deal with a discretised version of the orientational biaxial potential of dispersive nature put forward many years ago by Luckhurst et al.26, and whose phase diagram for bulk systems has already been studied in detail by some of us, with Monte Carlo computer simulations27,28. This lattice model reproduces the rich phase diagram of a biaxial nematic system with isotropic, uniaxial, and biaxial phases, and it reduces to the well known Lebwohl-Lasher29 uniaxial model for nematics when the molecular biaxiality vanishes. The biaxial model Hamiltonian that we employ here consists of two parts, the first representing the interaction between the mesogenic molecules and the second the surface interactions between mesogens and the particles representing the surrounding media, endowed with a fixed orientation suitable to impose the desired boundary conditions:

\[ U_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \in F \,=\, \neq} \Phi_{ij} + J \sum_{i \in F} \Phi_i \]

where \( F \,\) and \( J \) are the set of particles (“biaxial spins”) in the bulk and at the surfaces, respectively, and the parameter \( J \) expresses the strength of the coupling with the surface particles, which is assumed to be a constant for a given substrate. The assumption is a reasonable one, as atomistic simulations of the nematic 5CB on different surfaces, like silicon30 and silica31, have shown that the order of the nematic at the surface and the anchoring orientation are consistent with this assumption.
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strongly dependent on the chemical composition, morphology and roughness of the substrate but not on tempera-
ture, being very similar even in the isotropic and nematic phase.

The model is a purely orientational one and the spins are assumed to be at the sites of a cubic lattice and to
interact by means of the second rank attractive pair potential derived from dispersive interactions as described
in detail in: 26

\[
\Phi_{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ij} \left\{ \rho_j (\cos \beta_j) + 2\lambda (R_{ij}^2(\omega_{ij})) + 4\lambda^2 R_{ij}^2(\omega_{ij}) \right\},
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{ij}\) is a positive constant, \(\varepsilon_j\), for nearest neighbour molecules \(i\) and \(j\), and zero otherwise; \(\omega_{ij}\) is the relative
orientation of the pair of spins, given by three Euler angles \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) and \(R_{ij}^2(\omega_{ij})\) are combinations of Wigner func-
tions symmetrised for a \(D_{2h}\) biaxial phase.27 The biaxiality parameter \(\lambda\) takes into account the deviation from
cylindrical molecular symmetry and \(\lambda \neq 0\) indicates that the particles tend to align not only their major ("long")
axis, but also their short ("transversal") axes. Notice that both the mesogens and the boundary particles are taken
to be biaxial, with the same dispersive functional form \(\Phi_{ij}\).

Simulation and Results

We have investigated biaxial droplets with radial boundary conditions for various values of molecular biaxiality.
All the simulations for the model droplet have been performed on approximately spherical samples (our droplets)
carved from a \(50 \times 50 \times 50\) cubic lattice and containing 54474 particles. The parameter \(J\), denoting the surface
coupling with the surrounding environment, is taken equal to one, which means that the interaction between the
molecules of the nematic and those of the host material surrounding the embedded droplet has the same strength
of the mesogen-mesogen interaction. To simulate the optical texture we have employed the Stokes-Muller meth-
ology described in 33–35 and the following parameters, reported to real units: droplet diameter \(d = 5.3\) \(\mu\)m, and,
assuming that the refraction tensor can always be considered as approximately uniaxial, with ordinary and,
extraordinary refractive indices \(n_o = 1.5\) and \(n_e = 1.66\), for a light wavelength \(\lambda_0 = 545\) nm.

We have investigated the cases in which the molecules at the droplet surface have the long axis radially ori-
tented, while the short axes have random orientation in the locally tangent plane. The temperature was set to the
dimensionless value \(T^* = k_B T / \varepsilon = 0.1\), deep in the ordered phase.

The results, reported in Fig. 1, show that the pattern changes from a configuration similar to the uniaxial case,
where at the centre of the droplet a four leaves pattern consistent with a point defect is present, to a final pattern,
where the defect core tends to increase. These features can be quantitatively confirmed by looking at the ordering
inside the droplet. The second rank order parameters typical of a biaxial phase were calculated starting from
the centre of the droplet and going towards the surface. The results are presented in Figs 2 and 3. We see that the
central region corresponds to a small well ordered domain, as seen in the uniaxial case11.
It is clear from Fig. 2 that the extension of the ordered core increases as the molecular biaxiality increases. Moreover, the core of the defect is biaxial\(^1\), as confirmed by looking at the biaxial order parameters (see Fig. 3). The enlargement of the radius of the defect core as the molecular biaxiality increases can be visualized by plotting the isosurfaces for the values of \( <\bar{P}_2> \), as shown in Fig. 4. It can be noticed that when \( \lambda \) approaches the value of 0.3 we have a conspicuous change in the scenario. In fact, two disclinations start to appear related to the second molecular axis, which are clearly evident for larger molecular biaxiality.

**Continuum Theory Approach**

A full analysis from the elastic continuum theory point of view (see, e.g.\(^{37}\)) is not easily approached, if we consider that calculating the bulk free energy for biaxial nematic liquid crystals requires twelve elastic constants and three surface terms\(^{38}\). These constants are associated to the deformations of splay, twist, and bend of the triad of vectors of the axes of the molecules, and other coupling constants connected to these three axes\(^{39,40}\). However, following the arguments presented in the work of Sukumaran and Ranganath\(^{15}\), it is possible to write the free energy in a simplified way, somehow in the same spirit of the classical one-constant approximation of uniaxial nematics, in which the coupling constants can be neglected and the constants of splay, twist, and bend, associated to the same...
axes, can be considered alike. This procedure reduces from twelve to three the number of elastic constants, and the free energy can be written as:

\[
f = \frac{K_a}{2} \left( \hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{b} \cdot \hat{c} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \hat{b} \cdot \hat{c} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{c} \cdot \nabla \hat{c} \cdot \hat{b} \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{K_b}{2} \left( \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{c} \cdot \nabla \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{a} \cdot \hat{c} \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{K_c}{2} \left( \hat{c} \cdot \nabla \hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \hat{b} \cdot \hat{a} \right)^2 \\
\]

(3)

In Eq. 3, \( \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} \) are the orientations of the three unit vectors of the biaxial director frame, and the constants \( K_a \) are the elastic constants associated to each direction. This free energy reduces to the one of the uniaxial case if the replacement \( \hat{c} \rightarrow \hat{n} \) can be performed in addition to assuming \( K_b = 0 \) and \( K_c = K_b \). In the limit of weak biaxiality, it is possible to consider \( K_b \approx K_a \) and to reduce to two the number of elastic constants. For future purposes, let us define \( k_{ac} = K_c/K_a \). This quantity seems to be presumably related to the biaxiality parameter \( \lambda \) considered in the pair potential Eq. 2. Indeed, \( \lambda \) is connected with the deviation from uniaxiality of the molecule\(^{18} \). On the other hand, from a pseudomolecular point of view, the elastic constants of a uniaxial nematic are also dependent on the anisometric shape of the molecular building blocks. In the limiting case of \( \lambda = 0 \), i.e. for the Lebowohl-Lasher model, only one elastic constant is obtained. Thus we can assume that the quantities \( k_{ac} \) and \( \lambda \) play an analogous role, as we will discuss later.

Due to the spherical symmetry, inside the droplet the triad of vectors can be written as

\[
\vec{a} = \sin[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \cos[\zeta(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{r} \\
+ \cos[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \cos[\zeta(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{\theta} \\
+ \sin[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{\phi}; \\
\vec{b} = -\sin[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \sin[\zeta(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{r} \\
- \cos[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \sin[\zeta(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{\theta} + \cos[\zeta(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{\phi}; \\
\vec{c} = \cos[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{r} - \sin[\xi(r, \theta, \phi)] \hat{\theta}, \\
\]

(4)

where \( \xi \) is the angle between \( \vec{c} \) and the radial direction, while \( \zeta \) is the angle of twist of \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \) about \( \vec{c} \).

The simulations suggest that a disclination loop is the stable configuration of the long axes of the biaxial director. By following the development of Kanke and Sasaki\(^{17} \) for uniaxial liquid crystals, the configuration for the long axis \( \vec{c} \) can be approximately given by an oblate spheroid structure. In spherical coordinates, the angle \( \xi \) can be written as

\[
\xi(r, \theta, \phi) = \theta - \arctan \left[ \frac{d_d(r, \theta, a) - d_2(r, \theta, a)}{\sqrt{d_d(r, \theta, a) + d_2(r, \theta, a)}^2 - 1} \right],
\]

(5)

in which \( d_1, d_2 \) are scalars that give the long axis and the planar alignment of the short axes; however, they yield a very good agreement with the results obtained by means of simulations, and they will be very useful to give us some qualitative insights about this system. A snapshot of these configurations can be individually observed in the Fig. 5a and b for the long and short axes, respectively.

By using these ansatzs, we numerically evaluate the free energy \( F_{\text{bulk}} \) by integrating (3) on the droplet volume and removing a small region around the ring defect. Thus, the energy is given by

\[
F = F_{\text{bulk}} + 2\pi a E_c,
\]

(7)

in which \( E_c \) is the defect core energy\(^{17} \). The results are presented in Fig. 6, in which we have used the radius of the droplet \( R \) of 20 units and removed a toroidal region of radius 0.2 units around the defect. This results confirm the analogy between \( k_{ac} \) and \( \lambda \) and indicate that they play a similar role in the changing of the dimension of the defect core. The simulation results show that the radius of the ring defect becomes larger as \( \lambda \) increases (see Fig. 4). Analogously, the minimum of the defect energy increases monotonically with \( k_{ac} \). Therefore, the anisometric shape of the molecule crucially influences the radius of the ring defects.

An analytical connection between the quantities \( \lambda \) and \( k_{ac} \) may be established by evaluating the energy of a given configuration in both the approaches, the elastic continuum theory and the discretized potential used in the computer simulation.

First, let us consider a specific triad of vectors, representing the biaxial director, in which the long axis is parallel to the \( x \)-direction, the second axis is parallel to \( y \), and, consequently, the third axis is parallel to the
\(z\)-direction. Then, at the point \((0, 0, L)\), with \(L\) being a really small length, it is placed another molecule with the second and the third axes slightly tilted with respect to the first molecule by a small angle, \(\zeta \ll 1\).

The discretisation process may be performed by considering the following triad of vectors:

\[
\vec{c} = (1, 0, 0), \quad \vec{b} = (0, 1, \zeta/L), \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{c} = (0, \zeta/L, 1).
\]

Thus, the elastic free energy may be evaluated, and the result is approximated as

\[
F = k_{ac} K \frac{\zeta^2}{L^2} + O(\zeta^4).
\] (8)

Now, we consider an analogous situation with the biaxial particles. We assume that the Euler angles of two neighbouring molecules are given by \((0, 0, 0)\) and \((0, 0, \zeta)\). The interaction between these two particles, by means of the biaxial pair potential, may be approximated as

\[
\Phi = -4\epsilon \lambda^2 \Re[e^{2i\zeta}].
\] (9)

If \(\zeta \ll 1\), then (9) becomes

\[
\Phi = -4\epsilon \lambda^2 + 8\epsilon \lambda^2 \zeta^2 + O(\zeta^4).
\] (10)

The result would be the same if the Euler angles of the particles were specified instead by \((0, 0, 0)\) and \((\zeta, 0, 0)\).

### Figure 5.
A cross section of the director configuration snapshot obtained from continuum theory: (a) long and (b) short axes in the \(xz\) plane of the droplet. The parameters used were the defect core radius \(a = 6.0\) and the droplet radius \(R = 20\). It is possible to observe that the proposed solution seems to reproduce quite well the defect structure observed in the simulations.

### Figure 6.
(a) Scaled energy as function of a hypothetical ring radius, presenting a minimum value for a specific value for \(E_c = 0.3\). (b) The minimum value of energy, denoted as \(a_{\text{min}}\), as function of \(k_{ac}\) for some values of \(E_c\) showing that the defect ring core increases when the biaxial elastic constant increases.
connecting \( k_a \) and \( \lambda^2 \). Thus, the simple calculation presented above indicate that \( k_a \propto \lambda^2 \) in a first approximation in which thermal fluctuations could be neglected.

Indeed, by analyzing the plot of the energy in Fig. 6a, it is possible to note a minimum value for a specific value of the ring radius, \( a \), which we denote by \( a_{\text{min}} \). This value increases when the elastic constant \( k_a \) increases, indicating that as the biaxiality becomes more evident, the defects radius becomes larger, as can be obtained from simulations. This result is confirmed when we analyze explicitly the profile of the \( a_{\text{min}} \) as a function of the biaxial elastic constant (Fig. 6b). Using this approach it is possible to note that, as already seen from the simulations, even in the limit of uniaxial liquid crystals (\( k_a \rightarrow 0 \)) the system presents a ring defect structure, which is in agreement with the elastic theory for these materials. It is interesting to notice that our results, even within an approximated approach, present a quite good qualitatively agreement with the simulations, in which the spins on the border are kept in a planar alignment of the director short axes, letting this increasing of the defect ring radius even more evident with the increasing of the biaxiality.

**Concluding Remarks**

We have explored, by means of Monte Carlo simulations, how the deviation from cylindrical symmetry of the constituent molecules influences the defect core present at the center of a nematic liquid crystal droplet with radial boundary conditions for the longest axis. This has been done by using a lattice model based on a dispersive potential for biaxial mesogens, characterised by a molecular biaxiality parameter. The core of the defect is biaxial and the simulations, keeping the long axis of the particles at the droplet surface radially oriented and the short one in a planar degenerate tangent alignment, confirm that the defect radius increases with the increasing of the molecular biaxiality. This result was reinforced by a continuum theory analysis based on the possibility of relating the biaxiality parameter of the pair potential with the ratio between some elastic constants. In such a system the number of elastic constants is reduced when the molecular biaxiality is low. It is shown that the minimum of the defect energy increases monotonically with this ratio, indicating again that the anisometric shape of the molecules, measured by this quantity, crucially affects the radius of the ring defects. In the limit of uniaxial liquid crystals, for which this ratio vanishes, the system continues to present a small and well defined ring defect structure, as expected from the elastic theory and computer simulations on these materials. The finding that the core defect radius depends not only on the rank of the interaction, as it has been observed for the Heisenberg and Lebwohl-Lasher models for magnetic and nematics interactions but also on an apparent molecular detail, such as the mesogen biaxiality, is showing a non-universal behaviour that, as far as we know, has not been reported before and that should stimulate further theoretical and experimental investigations.

**References**

1. Kleman, M. & Lavrentovich, O. D. Soft Matter Physics. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).
2. Drzaic., P. S. Liquid Crystal Dispersions. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
3. Bunning, T., Natarajan, L., Tondiglia, V. & Sutherland, R. Holographic polymer-dispersed liquid crystals (H-PDLC). Annual Review of Materials Science 30, 83–115 (2000).
4. Mermin, N. D. The topological theory of defects in ordered media. Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 591–648 (1979).
5. Chandrasekhar, S. & Ranganath, G. The structure and energetics of defects in liquid crystals. Advances in Physics 35, 507–596 (1986).
6. Michel, L. Symmetry defects and broken symmetry. Configurations hidden symmetry. Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 617–651 (1980).
7. Schopohl, N. & Sluckin, T. Hedgehog structure in nematic and magnetic systems. Journal de Physique 49, 1097–1101 (1988).
8. Penzenstadler, E. & Trebin, H.-R. Fine structure of point defects and soliton decay in nematic liquid crystals. Journal de Physique 50, 1027–1040 (1989).
9. Mori, H. & Nakashima, H. On the stability of topologically non-trivial point defects. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 57, 1281–1286 (1988).
10. Lavrentovich, O. D. & Terentjev, E. M. Phase transition altering the symmetry of topological point defects (hedgehogs) in a nematic liquid crystal. Sov. Phys. JETP 64, 1237–1244 (1986).
11. Chiccoli, C., Pasini, P., Semeria, F., Sluckin, T. & Zannoni, C. Monte Carlo simulation of the hedgehog defect core in spin systems. Journal de Physique II 5, 427–436 (1995).
12. Lorentzen, J. H. & Zannoni, C. Phase structure and biaxial nematics. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 82, 034601 (2013).
13. Kanke, M. & Sasaki, K. Equilibrium configuration in a nematic liquid crystal droplet with homeotropic anchoring of finite strength. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 82, 094605 (2013).
14. Tomar, V., Hernández, S., Abbott, N., Hernandez-Ortiz, J. & de Pablo, J. Morphological transitions in liquid crystal nanodroplets. Soft Matter 8, 8679–8689 (2012).
15. Nakashima, H., Hayashi, K. & Mori, H. Topological classification of unknotted ring defects. Communications in Mathematical Physics 117, 203–213 (1988).
16. Emerson, A. P. J. & Zannoni, C. Monte-Carlo study of Gay-Berne liquid-crystal droplets. Journal of the Chemical Society-Faraday Transactions 91, 3441–3447 (1995).
17. Kanke, M. & Sasaki, K. Numerical study of a disclination loop in a nematic liquid crystal droplet. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 82, 034601 (2013).
18. Kanke, M. & Sasaki, K. Equilibrium configuration in a nematic liquid crystal droplet with homeotropic anchoring of finite strength. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 82, 094605 (2013).
19. Moreno-Razo, J. A., Sambriski, E. J., Abbott, N. L., Hernandez-Ortiz, J. P. & de Pablo, J. Liquid-crystal-mediated self-assembly at nanodroplet interfaces. Nature 485, 86–9 (2012).
20. Luckhurst, G. R. & Sluckin, T. J. Biaxial Nematic Liquid Crystals: Theory, Simulation and Experiment. (Wiley, Chichester, 2015).
21. Luckhurst, G., Zannoni, C., Nordio, P. & Segre, U. A molecular field theory for uniaxial nematic liquid crystals formed by non-cylindrically symmetric molecules. Molecular Physics 30, 1345–1358 (1975).
27. Biscarini, F., Chiccoli, C., Pasini, P., Semeria, F. & Zannoni, C. Phase diagram and orientational order in a biaxial lattice model: A Monte Carlo study. Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1803–1806 (1995).
28. Chiccoli, C., Pasini, P., Semeria, F. & Zannoni, C. A detailed Monte Carlo investigation of the tricritical region of a biaxial liquid crystal system. International Journal of Modern Physics C 10, 469–476 (1999).
29. Lebwohl, P. A. & Lasher, G. Nematic-liquid-crystal order - a Monte Carlo calculation. Phys. Rev. A 6, 426–429 (1972).
30. Pizzirusso, A., Berardi, R., Muccioli, L., Ricci, M. & Zannoni, C. Predicting surface anchoring: molecular organization across a thin film of 5cb liquid crystal on silicon. Chem. Sci. 3, 573–579 (2012).
31. Roscioni, O. et al. Predicting the anchoring of liquid crystals at a solid surface: 5-cyanobiphenyl on cristobalite and glassy silica surfaces of increasing roughness. Langmuir 29, 8950–8958 (2013).
32. Rose, M. E. Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum. (Wiley, New York, 1957).
33. Kilian, A. Computer simulations of nematic droplets. Liquid Crystals 14, 1189–1198 (1993).
34. Crawford, R. O. et al. Microscope textures of nematic droplets in polymer dispersed liquid crystals. Journal of Applied Physics 69, 6380–6386 (1991).
35. Berggren, E., Zannoni, C., Chiccoli, C., Pasini, P. & Semeria, F. Computer simulations of nematic droplets with bipolar boundary conditions. Phys. Rev. E 50, 2929–2939 (1994).
36. Callan-Jones, A. et al. Simulation and visualization of topological defects in nematic liquid crystals. Phys. Rev. E 74, 061701 (2006).
37. Barbero, G. & Evangelista, L. R. Adsorption Phenomena and Anchoring Energy in Nematic Liquid Crystals. (CRC press, Boca Raton, 2006).
38. Govers, E. & Vertogen, G. Elastic continuum theory of biaxial nematics. Physical Review A 30, 1998–2000 (1984).
39. Trebin, H.-R. Elastic energies of a directional medium. Journal de Physique 42, 1573–1576 (1981).
40. Longa, L., Stelzer, I. & Dunmur, D. Density functional approach to study the elastic constants of biaxial nematic liquid crystals. J. Chem. Phys. 109, 1555–1566 (1998).

Acknowledgements
L.R.E. and R.T.S. acknowledge financial support from CNPq, Capes and Fundação Araucária (Brazil) and also thank the INFN - Sezione Bologna (Italy) for partially supporting the visits to Bologna. C.Z. and P.P. thank Prof. Oleg Lavrentovich, Kent State University (USA), for useful discussions.

Author Contributions
C.C., G.S., P.P. and C.Z. conceived and conducted the simulation and the analysis of the simulation results. LRE and RTS developed the theoretical approach and numerical analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018