MEDICATION ADHERENCE IN TYPE 2 DIABETIC PATIENTS: A STUDY IN SAUDI ARABIA
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the extent of antidiabetic drug adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, and different factors affecting adherence in outpatient clinics in Alkharj city, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Methods: Type 2 diabetic patients attending two outpatient clinics in Alkharj city, KSA, were randomly selected and interviewed for information regarding their antidiabetic drug adherence. A six-item questionnaire was used to measure the level of adherence in study participants. Participants scoring six points are categorized as (high adherent), while scores of 4 to 5 put the participants in the (medium adherence) category, and participants with scores less than 4 are of (low adherence). Patient’s records were also, reviewed, for relevant lab and clinical data.

Results: 68 type 2 diabetic patients participated in this study from April 2014 to September 2014. Majority of participants (73.5%) were of 40 to 60 y old. Hypertension and/or lipid disorders were encountered in the majority of patients in this study. 26.5% of patients in this study were categorized as (high adherent), 55.9% as (medium adherent), and 17.6% as (low adherent). 72% of patients had an HbA1c level of ≥7% suggesting poor control of their disease. Patients with a longer history of diabetes, and patients with a HbA1c level less than 7% found to achieve better adherence levels (p-value<0.05).

Conclusion: Antidiabetic drug adherence was successfully assessed in the sample under investigation. Subjective information from patients suggested accepted a level of adherence. Nevertheless, objective measurements of HbA1c suggest poor glycemic control that possibly reflects poor adherence to antidiabetic medications.
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Direct and indirect methods are used to measure therapeutic adherence. Direct methods of measuring therapeutic adherence include measuring the level of a drug or its metabolite in urine or blood [12]. We can also use the achievement of treatment goals as objective information to measure therapeutic adherence [13]. The most common and the simplest methods for measuring medication adherence are indirect methods, like patient self-reports and patient interviews [14].

Several studies assessing adherence and factors contributing to non-adherence to diabetes medications in arab countries have been published [4, 13, 15–21]. Nevertheless, only few studies on medication adherence among Saudi diabetics have been published [4, 16–18], none of these studies were conducted in Alkharj city in Saudi Arabia. Due to limited researchers regarding this important issue in Saudi population, this study aims to assess the rate of medication adherence, different factors affecting it, and its impact on glycemic control among type 2 DM patients in Alkharj city, Saudi Arabia.

Type 2 diabetic patients using oral anti-diabetic medications, and attending two outpatient clinics in Alkharj city, Saudi Arabia, namely, university hospital outpatient clinics at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University and Seih medical centre, were interviewed for information regarding their antidiabetic drug adherence, and drug use behaviors that may affect their adherence. 68 patients, who fulfilled the above criteria and who consented to participate in this study, were randomly selected during the period from April 2014 to September 2014.

In light of previously published methods assessing medication adherence [16, 22], a six-item questionnaire was designed, validated, and used to measure the level of adherence in study participants. The six items used for assessment of adherence are as follows: do you have adequate knowledge about diabetes? Do you have good...
Do you discuss your medication problems with your healthcare provider? Do you monitor your blood glucose level regularly? Do you fill your diabetes medication on a regular basis? Have you missed your diabetes medication before? Do you usually talk about your medication problems with your healthcare provider?

Upon filling the questionnaire, patients were given a score from 0 to 6. Responders who scored six points are categorized as (high adherent), while scores of 4 to 5 puts the participants in the (medium adherence) category, and participants with scores less than 4 are of (low adherence). Patient’s records were also reviewed, for information on glycated haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level, and other lab results.

This study was approved by the ethical committee of college of pharmacy of Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University. Consent was obtained from all patients participating in this study prior to participation.

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. Data are presented in numbers (N) and percentages (%) unless otherwise mentioned. Chi-square test was used to assess the statistical significance. P values less than 0.05 were considered to represent the statistically significant difference between adherence and the covariate under study.

Study sample consisted of 68 patients with type 2 diabetes. Majority of participants (73.5%) were of 40 to 60 y old. Hypertension and/or lipid disorders were encountered in 83% of patients in this study. Complete demographic characteristics of this study sample including, gender, age, nationality, marital status, educational level, diabetes history, and co-morbid conditions, are shown in table 1.

| Table 1: Demographic characteristics of subjects given as number (percentage) (n=68) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Patient’s demographics**                  | **N (%)**       |
| **Gender**                                   |                 |
| Male                                         | 39 (57.4 %)     |
| Female                                       | 29 (42.6 %)     |
| **Age**                                      |                 |
| 30-40                                        | 11 (16.2 %)     |
| 40-60                                        | 50 (73.5 %)     |
| >60                                          | 7 (10.3 %)      |
| **Nationality**                              |                 |
| Saudi                                        | 49 (72.1 %)     |
| Non-Saudi                                    | 19 (27.9 %)     |
| **Marital status**                           |                 |
| Single                                       | 5 (7.40 %)      |
| Married                                      | 63 (92.6 %)     |
| **Educational level**                        |                 |
| No formal education                          | 17 (25.0 %)     |
| School level                                 | 33 (48.5 %)     |
| University level                             | 17 (25.0 %)     |
| **Diabetes history**                         |                 |
| Less than 5 y                                | 15 (22.1 %)     |
| 5 to 10 y                                    | 26 (38.2 %)     |
| More than 10 y                               | 26 (38.2 %)     |
| **Co-morbid conditions**                     |                 |
| Hypertension                                 | 10 (14.7 %)     |
| Dyslipidemia                                 | 25 (36.8 %)     |
| Both                                         | 21 (30.9 %)     |
| None                                         | 12 (17.6 %)     |
| **n=68**                                     |                 |

| Table 2: Characteristics of medication regimes and behaviors given as number (percentage) (n=68) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Variables**                                | **N (%)**       |
| **Number of diabetes medications**           |                 |
| One                                          | 4 (5.9 %)       |
| Two                                          | 47 (69.1 %)     |
| Three                                        | 11 (16.2 %)     |
| More than three                              | 6 (8.80 %)      |
| **Medication frequency**                     |                 |
| Once daily                                   | 4 (5.9 %)       |
| Twice daily                                  | 46 (67.6 %)     |
| Three times a day                            | 17 (25 %)       |
| Four times a day                             | 1 (1.5 %)       |
| **Filling medications regularly**            |                 |
| Yes                                          | 55 (80.9 %)     |
| No                                           | 13 (19.1 %)     |
| **Ever missed a dose**                       |                 |
| Yes                                          | 42 (62.7 %)     |
| No                                           | 25 (37.3 %)     |
| **Usually communicate with healthcare provider** |             |
| Yes                                          | 63 (94 %)       |
| No                                           | 4 (6 %)         |
| **n=68**                                     |                 |
Regarding routine monitoring of blood glucose level, 61 patients (89%) stated that they monitor blood glucose level monthly. And concerning the knowledge about diabetes 45 patients (66 %) claimed having adequate knowledge about diabetes.

Table 2 shows different characteristics related to medication regimens used for this study participants and behaviors and practices of patients toward their prescribed antidiabetic medications.

The six-item questionnaire, described in the methodology part above, was successfully used to categorize study participants in three categories according to adherence score, namely, high adherence, medium adherence, and low adherence as shown in table 3. Table 3 also presents HbA1c levels of study participants, where 72% of patients had an HbA1c level of ≥7% suggesting poor control of their disease [23, 24].

HbA1c level and history of diabetes (how long have been diabetic) were shown to significantly affect level of adherence as shown in table 4. For example, 44.4% of study participants who had an HbA1c level of less than 7% got a high adherence score, while only 15.2% of participants with HbA1c≥7% had a high adherence score (p<0.05).

On the other hand, 42.3% of patients with more than 10 y history of diabetes found to achieve high adherence scores, while 20% of patients with less than 5 y history of diabetes found to have high adherence scores (p<0.05).

### Table 3: Adherence and HbA1c levels for study participants: number (percentage) (n=68)

| Adherence level | N (%) |
|-----------------|-------|
| High adherence  | 18 (26.5 %) |
| Medium adherence| 38 (55.9 %) |
| Low adherence   | 12 (17.6 %) |
| HbA1c level     |       |
| <7 %            | 46 (72 %) |
| ≥7 %            | 18 (28 %) |

n=68, HbA1c mean%±SD 7.6%±1.2

### Table 4: Factors affecting adherence level (HbA1c level and how long have been diabetic) shown as count (percentage) (n=68)

| HbA1c level | How long have been diabetic |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| <7 %        | 5 y | 10-15 y | ≥15 y |
| 0(0%)       | 6(9.1%) | 2(3.0%) | 1(1.5%) |
| 10(28.6%)   | 4(6.1%) | 8(11.8%) | 3(4.4%) |
| 0(0%)       | 6(9.1%) | 2(3.0%) | 1(1.5%) |

n=68, HbA1c mean%±SD 7.6%±1.2

Study results revealed that different demographic characteristics are represented in the sample under investigation, i.e. males and females, those with university-level education, and those less educated, and different age groups.

The current findings showed that majority of study subjects( 82.4 %) have other disorders in addition to diabetes, namely, hypertension, lipid disorders, or both, which agrees with previously published reports where hypertension and dyslipidaemia where shown to affect large proportion of diabetic patients in Saudi Arabia [3, 16].

Regarding adherence levels obtained for this study participants, results agree with previously published reports assessing adherence among diabetic patients in Palestine and Nigeria, where 82.4% of patients achieved moderate or high adherence level in this study, compared to 73.4% obtained by Fadare et al. [25], and 93.1% obtained by Jamous et al.[21].

While most of the study subjects said that they filled their medication regularly, 62.7% admitted that they missed medication doses before. Further, despite 26.5 % and 55.9% of study participants achieved high and medium adherence levels, respectively, these data are obtained from patient’s subjective information. And in order to explore the achievement of treatment goals objectively, we observed the level of HbA1c for the patients, since the achievement of treatment goals can be considered as a direct evidence for therapeutic adherence.

Patient’s HbA1c levels were found to be significantly correlated with adherence levels, where patients with HbA1c levels less than 7 % tend to achieve better adherence scores. In addition, duration of type 2 DM was also shown to affect patient adherence where patients having longer history of diabetes tend to score higher adherence levels.

As mentioned, 62.7 % of patient missed their medication before, in order to decrease dose missing, different strategies such as using refill reminders and advising the patients to take dosages in conjunction with routine daily activities such as before brushing of the teeth or at mealtimes, are advisable.

A small number of participants recruited in the study, is the main limitation of the study.

To conclude, antidiabetic drug adherence was successfully assessed in the sample under investigation. Adherence level of study participants to their oral antidiabetic medications, based on patient’s subjective information seems to be modestly acceptable. Nevertheless, objective measurements of HbA1c in our study participants suggest poor glycemic control that potentially reflect poor adherence to antidiabetic medications. Thus, patient education effort is needed in AlKhary city to emphasize the importance of drug adherence in achieving treatment goals. To improve medication adherence we should assure that patients achieve continuous education about the disease and medications, applying pharmaceutical care activities, provide the patient with written and oral information, and encouraging patients to visit their health care providers regularly.

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the college of pharmacy of Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University and was in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. Informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in this study prior to participation.
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