Intermittent, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise for only eight weeks reduces arterial stiffness: evaluation by measurement of stiffness parameter and pressure–strain elastic modulus by use of ultrasonic echo tracking
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Abstract

Background and purpose Aerobic exercise has been reported to be associated with reduced arterial stiffness. However, the intensity, duration, and frequency of aerobic exercise required to improve arterial stiffness have not been established. In addition, most reports base their conclusions on changes in pulse wave velocity, which is an indirect index of arterial stiffness. We studied the effects of short-term, intermittent, moderate-intensity exercise training on arterial stiffness based on measurements of the stiffness parameter ($\beta$) and pressure–strain elastic modulus ($E_p$), which are direct indices of regional arterial stiffness.

Methods A total of 25 young healthy volunteers (18 men) were recruited. By use of ultrasonic diagnostic equipment we measured $\beta$ and $E_p$ of the carotid artery before and after 8 weeks of exercise training.

Results After exercise training, systolic pressure ($P_s$), diastolic pressure ($P_d$), pulse pressure, systolic arterial diameter ($D_s$), and diastolic arterial diameter ($D_d$) did not change significantly. However, the pulsatile change in diameter ($D_s - D_d)/D_d$ increased significantly, and $\beta$ and $E_p$ decreased significantly.

Conclusions For healthy young subjects, $\beta$ and $E_p$ were reduced by intermittent, moderate-intensity exercise training for only 8 weeks.
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Introduction

Many studies have been conducted on the association between exercise training and changes in arterial stiffness in different subject groups, for example young healthy, hypertensive, hyperlipidemic, diabetic, and cardiovascular disease groups [1–5]. Most of the studies evaluated changes in arterial stiffness by measuring changes in pulse wave velocity (PWV) [6, 7]. Conventional methods of measuring PWV have been based on two-point measurements, i.e., measurements of the time of travel of the wave over a known distance, e.g., from the carotid artery to the femoral artery and from the upper arm to the ankle. PWV in a region of an artery is mainly related to the stiffness of the arterial wall of that region, and hence, PWV differs with each region in each artery. Therefore, PWV measured over a relatively long distance is integration of regional PWV in each artery involved within that range. Hence, PWV does not represent the stiffness of a particular single artery. In addition, PWV depends on blood pressure. Therefore, for some cases there is no distinction between the decrease in PWV caused by a decrease in arterial stiffness and that caused by a decrease in blood pressure.

The stiffness of a particular artery is obtained by measurement of pulsatile changes in diameter and pulse pressure. There are a few reports of measurements of arterial stiffness indices in carotid and brachial arteries performed by use of ultrasonic machines [8]. A widely used index of...
arterial stiffness is the pressure–strain elastic modulus, which depends on blood pressure. The index regarded as independent of blood pressure is the stiffness parameter, often called the β parameter.

The intensity, duration, and frequency of exercise training vary according to the purpose of the study, and improvement in arterial stiffness by exercise training is not a universal finding. One study reported that an acute resistance exercise program increased arterial stiffness in young healthy men [9]; another study reported that 20 weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training failed to modify arterial stiffness [10].

Considering cardiovascular rehabilitation procedures, we studied the effects of short-term (8 weeks), intermittent, moderate-intensity exercise training on arterial stiffness based on measurements of the stiffness parameter and pressure–strain elastic modulus by use of ultrasonic diagnostic equipment.

Materials and methods

Measurement of arterial stiffness

We measured the pressure–strain elastic modulus (E_p) and the stiffness parameter (β) of the common carotid artery. E_p and β are defined as follows:

\[
E_p = \frac{(P_s - P_d)}{[(D_s - D_d)/D_d]}
\]

\[
\beta = \ln \left(\frac{P_s}{P_d}\right)/\left(\frac{(D_s - D_d)}{D_d}\right)
\]

where \(P_s\) and \(P_d\) are, respectively, the systolic and diastolic blood pressures, \(D_s\) and \(D_d\) are the systolic and diastolic diameters, respectively, of the carotid artery, and ln denotes the natural logarithm.

\(D_s - D_d)/D_d\) may be called the pulsatile circumferential strain.

Equipment and systems

For measurement of diameter-change waveforms, we used an echo-tracking system incorporated in ultrasonic diagnostic equipment with a linear array probe (10 or 13 MHz). The echo-tracking system measured arterial diameter change at a rate of 1 kHz with a precision of 0.01 mm (Fig. 1). The systolic and diastolic pressures were measured with a cuff-type manometer applied to the right upper arm. The details and reproducibility of this system have been described elsewhere [11].

Subjects

We studied 25 healthy volunteers (18 men and 7 women, mean age 20.8 ± 1.0 years, age range 20–23 years, body mass index (BMI) = 22.7 ± 2.9 kg m⁻²). Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects before the study. Approval from the Ethics Committee of Himeji Dokkyo University was obtained before initiation of the study. Subject baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1.
Table 1  Baseline Characteristics

| Variable | Mean ± SD |
|----------|-----------|
| Age (years) | 20.8 ± 1.0 |
| BMI (kg m⁻²) | 22.7 ± 2.9 |
| $P_s$ (mmHg) | 112.6 ± 8.4 |
| $P_d$ (mmHg) | 61.3 ± 6.3 |
| $\beta$ | 7.11 ± 1.19 |
| $E_p$ (kPa) | 79.8 ± 14.0 |

Exercise test procedure

All measurements were performed by the same observer throughout the study. Subjects were asked to refrain from caffeine, alcohol, and exercise during the 24-h period before each test. The first test consisted of taking of health history, degree of physical activity, measurements of $E_p$ and $\beta$ at rest, and measurements of peak aerobic capacity (peak VO₂).

For measurement of $E_p$ and $\beta$, the subjects lay down in the supine position and rested for 10 min before the first recording was made. The location to be measured was the common carotid artery approximately 2 cm proximal to the carotid bulb (Fig. 1). We used scanning in the long axis view and obtained a B-mode image of a longitudinal section of the artery. Optimum images were best achieved by positioning and orienting the probe so that clear and parallel delineation of the intima–media complex at both the anterior and posterior walls could be seen. The echo-tracking beam was steered so that it was orthogonal (90°) to the arterial walls. With the B and M-mode scans displayed simultaneously on a split screen, the echo-tracking system tracked the vessel wall movements to produce displacement waveforms of the anterior and posterior artery walls. This gave the maximum and minimum diameters.

Echo tracking was performed just outside of the intima–media complex (near the edge of the adventitia side) where stable echo tracking was possible. Data were saved for 20 s. Blood pressure was measured at the time of recording, and the data were entered into the system manually. Five consecutive beats were ensemble-averaged to obtain a representative waveform. The maximum and minimum values of the diameter-change waveform were read, and $E_p$ and $\beta$ were calculated automatically. Measurements were made three times.

After measurement of $E_p$ and $\beta$, peak VO₂ was assessed during a graded exercise test on an electrically braked cycle ergometer. This test started with a warm-up consisting of 2 min of exercise at a 20-W workload, and then the workload was increased by 20 W/min until limitation. The limiting criteria for establishment of peak VO₂ included a plateau in oxygen consumption with increasing work rate, increase of pulsation to 158 bpm, and achievement of maximum fatigue or the impossibility of continuing exercise. In addition, we fixed electrocardiographic limits at an ST elevation of 0.1 mV and an ST depression of 0.2 mV.

Exercise sessions started from the second test. Exercise sessions were conducted two or three times per week over a period of 8 weeks, and subjects had to attend 90 % of all exercise sessions to remain enrolled in the study. The exercise sessions were 30 min in duration on the bicycle ergometer. Subjects were asked to make an effort to maintain the heart rate during the session at approximately 60 % of that at the peak VO₂ determined during the first maximum exercise test. After 8 weeks of exercise sessions, $E_p$ and $\beta$ at rest were measured in exactly the same way as for the first test.

Statistical analysis

The results obtained are presented as mean ± SD. A paired $t$ test was used to evaluate the changes from baseline. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

After 8 weeks of exercise training, $P_s$, $P_d$, and pulse pressure did not change significantly from the baseline values ($P_s$ from 112.6 ± 8.4 to 111.4 ± 9.3 mmHg; $P_d$ from 61.3 ± 6.3 to 60.6 ± 7.7 mmHg; pulse pressure from 51.4 ± 8.9 to 50.5 ± 10.0). Systolic arterial diameter ($D_s$) and diastolic arterial diameter ($D_d$) did not change significantly ($D_s$ from 7.38 ± 0.50 to 7.28 ± 0.63 mm; $D_d$ from 6.78 ± 0.41 to 6.63 ± 0.52 mm). However, $(D_s - D_d)/D_d$ was significantly increased (from 0.088 ± 0.020 to 0.096 ± 0.021, $p < 0.01$). $\beta$ and $E_p$ were significantly reduced ($\beta$ from 7.11 ± 1.19 to 6.46 ± 0.98, $p < 0.05$; $E_p$ from 79.8 ± 14.0 to 71.6 ± 11.6 kPa, $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, the maximum blood flow velocity in the carotid artery was significantly increased (from 0.83 ± 0.15 to 0.89 ± 0.14 m/s, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Integrated arterial stiffness is evaluated by measuring pulse wave velocity (PWV), and several noninvasive methods have been used to assess PWV. However, most of these are associated with problems of accuracy and reproducibility, and none can be considered the “best” method. The coefficient of variation is approximately 8 % at best. This value is obtained by using an MRI system [1, 12], which is impractical in exercise training facilities. Therefore, changes in arterial stiffness because of exercise training...
Fig. 2 Comparison of indices before and 8 weeks after exercise: a systolic pressure ($P_s$), b diastolic pressure ($P_d$), c pulse pressure, d systolic diameter ($D_s$), e diastolic diameter ($D_d$), f rate of pulsatile change in diameter ($\frac{(D_s - D_d)}{D_d}$), g pressure-strain elastic modulus ($E_p$), h stiffness parameter ($\beta$), i maximum velocity.
occurring within the space of only 8 weeks is difficult to
detect by methods based on PWV measurements.

We measured regional arterial stiffness indices, \( E_p \) and \( \beta \), by use of ultrasonic echo tracking. Similar to other
methods, however, variability of measurements is an
inevitable problem with ultrasonic diagnostic equipment.
Changes in \( E_p \) and \( \beta \) after exercise in this study are
attributed solely to changes in pulsatile circumferential
strain, \((D_d - D_b)/D_b\). We reported elsewhere [11] that
intraobserver intrasession variability of pulsatile circum-
erential strain was 6.7 ± 4.0 %, and the intraobserver
interession variability of that was 10.8 %. Knowing these
values of variability, we can compare the reproducibility
of different methods. However, it is still difficult to estimate
the deviation of each measurement from the true value.
Nevertheless, we show a Bland–Altman plot of the mea-
surements of pulsatile circumferential strain before and
after exercise training (Fig. 3). Conventional Bland–
Altman analysis gives the limits of agreement of each pair
of measurements. However, what we are especially inter-
est in is the confidence limits for the mean difference
(bias). The mean of the percentage differences in strain
[100 × (after exercise – before exercise)/average] was
9.18 %, and the standard deviation (SD) of the differences
was 15.41 %. The number of paired measurements \( n \) was
25. The standard deviation of the mean difference was SD/
\sqrt{n} = 15.41/5 = 3.08 %. The 95 % confidence limits for
the mean difference were 9.18 ± 1.96 SD/\sqrt{n}, and the
95 % confidence interval was from 3.14 to 15.22 %. The
line for difference = 0 (the abscissa) is not contained in
the confidence interval, which means that the mean
difference between the measurements before and after
exercise is significant. A Bland–Altman plot shows graph-
ically but informally how the two measurements differ.
More formal statement of the significance level was given
by use of the paired Student’s \( t \) test (Fig. 2f).

Responses of the arterial wall to exercise may differ
with each artery, ranging from elastic to muscular arteries.
From the perspective of ventriculo–arterial interaction,
we are particularly interested in arteries with Windkessel
function, the most representative of which is the aorta.
However, it is difficult to measure pulsatile changes in
aortic diameter by echo tracking. Therefore, we selected
the common carotid artery, which also has Windkessel
function, as the site for ultrasonic measurements.

\( E_p \) is the most commonly used index of arterial stiffness,
which is defined on the assumption that the relationship
between pressure and diameter change is linear (Eq. 1).
However, the relationship between pressure and diameter
changes is not linear. Therefore, \( E_p \) is affected by changes
in pressure, i.e., \( E_p \) increases with increasing pressure. On
the other hand, \( \beta \) is defined on the assumption that the
relationship between pressure and diameter changes is
semilogarithmic (Eq. 2). This makes \( \beta \) relatively indepen-
dent of pressure [13].

The mechanical basis of the observed change in PWV
requires consideration of the relationship between PWV
and arterial pressure. Changes in PWV occurring in the
presence of arterial pressure changes may simply be a
consequence of the nonlinearity of the arterial pressure–
diameter relationship rather than an intrinsic change in
arterial wall properties. The same applies to \( E_p \). In this
study, the pulse pressure did not change from baseline after
exercise training, but the relative pulsatile change in
diameter, i.e., pulsatile circumferential strain, \((D_d - D_b)/
D_b\), increased significantly. Therefore, the decreases in \( \beta \)
and \( E_p \) suggest a true change in arterial wall properties.

The use of upper arm pressure, instead of carotid
pressure, to obtain \( \beta \) and \( E_p \) of the carotid artery may be
criticized, because arterial pressure is amplified in transit
from the ascending aorta to peripheral sites, particularly
for young subjects. However, there is a method for
obtaining carotid arterial pressure from upper arm pres-
sure. Although the peak pressure is altered as the pressure
wave travels, it has been observed that the pressure
averaged over a cardiac cycle is constant throughout the
extensive arterial tree, and that diastolic pressure (the
minimum pressure) does not change substantially. On
the basis of these facts, and using the measured arterial
diameter-change waveforms as surrogates for pressure
waveforms, we can obtain carotid arterial pressure from
upper arm pressure. The method is described in detail
elsewhere [14]. However, because the method is too time-
consuming to be practical, we did not apply the method in
this study. Nevertheless, the measured \( P_c \), \( P_d \), and pulse
pressure did not change from baseline after the exercise
training. Therefore, the method of measuring pressure
does not affect the result that \( \beta \) and \( E_p \) changed after the
exercise training.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Intraobserver intersession variability of the pulsatile circumferential strain, \((D_d - D_b)/D_b\), by Bland–Altman plot. The horizontal solid line indicates the mean difference between the measurements before and after exercise. The two horizontal dotted lines indicate the 95 % confidence limits of the mean difference.
The mechanisms underlying the effects of aerobic exercise on arterial stiffness are largely unknown. Nevertheless, it has been suggested that the ability of exercise to change arterial stiffness may reflect vascular structural remodeling associated with increased nitric oxide bioactivity via shear–stress stimulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase [1–5, 15, 16]. Indeed, the maximum carotid arterial blood velocity after the exercise training increased significantly (Fig. 2i), which caused an increase in shear stress on the luminal surface of the endothelium.

Limitation

We could not show any direct evidence of the cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for changes in arterial stiffness caused by exercise training because we had no means of physically accessing human arteries in situ and experimentally manipulating potential signaling pathways.

Conclusions

In healthy young subjects, $\beta$ and $E_p$, which are indices of arterial stiffness, were improved (reduced) by only 8 weeks of intermittent, moderate-intensity exercise training.
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