Analogs of Future Climate in Chinese Cities Identified in Present Observations
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ABSTRACT There seems to be a gap between the public and complex climate prediction models that reduces public awareness of and participation in climate change research. Therefore, it is necessary to describe the trends of future climate change with more concise conclusions. Climatic analogs are an effective method used to measure the similarity between two climate scenarios. By mapping a given climate scenario to another, familiar climate scenario and measuring their similarity, complex climate prediction models can be simplified and made easier to understand. In this study, we used climatic analogs of 378 cities in China and the suitable future climate area of China to study climatic novelty in China. The results show that (1) climatic novelty in the North China Plain, Xinjiang, Tibet, and parts of southern China is relatively high, and these areas may experience more drastic climate changes than other areas; (2) most cities have climatic analogs to the south, hundreds of kilometers away, indicating that the climates of these cities may change significantly; and (3) China’s suitable climate area will change significantly in the future, and these changes are closely related to whether effective emission reduction measures are taken. The results of this study have repeatedly proven the need for effective emission reduction measures that will significantly delay climate change.

INDEX TERMS Climatic analogs, climatic novelty, climate change, emission reduction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Future climate simulation results show that global warming will intensify further [1], [2]. Climate change is a threat to life on earth [3]. If no emission reduction measures are taken, without immigration, it is expected that in the next 50 years, one-third of the world’s population will live under high temperatures, with average annual temperatures exceeding 29 °C [4]. Climate change has already attracted the attention of governments, organizations and scholars, but the public has a limited understanding of the effects of climate change. This not only increases the cost of implementing climate change policies (such as emission reduction measures) but also reduces the public’s ability to respond to the effects of climate change (such as extreme climate events) [5]–[8]. An effective way to solve this problem is to describe research results with plain conclusions. A successful case of this is the statement “the global average temperature will rise by 3 °C” [9]–[11]. In this study, to enhance public awareness of climate change, we are committed to answering the following three questions in response to public concerns about climate change: (1) which areas of China will have the most severe future climate change? (2) How do researchers find contemporary climate scenarios that are most similar to the future Chinese urban climate? (3) Which areas in China will be suitable for human habitation in the future?

An effective way to answer these questions is to map climate scenarios in different periods; that is, to find climate analogs, the most similar climate scenarios between two different periods. Climatic analogs are used to quantify the similarity of the climate in one period/location relative to another and are mainly used in the identification of novel climate scenarios or of climate scenarios that are similar to the scenario being studied [9], [12]–[14]. Williams et al. used climatic analogs to study the emergence of new climate scenarios and the disappearance of existing climate scenarios in 2100 and to assess the impacts of climate change on species distributions and ecosystem processes [15]. Hallegatte et al.
used three indicators, monthly average temperature, annual total precipitation and monthly average precipitation, to study climatic analogs in 17 European cities and to assess the economic costs of adapting to climate change [16]. Most studies have focused on the similarities between current and future climates, and Beniston et al. applied climate analogs to current and past climates to assess the northward shift of isotherms in Europe over the past decade [17].

To assess the climatic novelty of North America in the late 20th century, Mahony et al. first proposed the $\sigma$ dissimilarity, which they based on the Mahalanobis distance. The $\sigma$ dissimilarity was used to measure the dissimilarity between the predicted climate at the end of the 21st century and the observed climate at the end of the 20th century in a certain location. The greater the $\sigma$ dissimilarity is, the higher the dissimilarity between the predicted climate and the observed climate is, and the more novel the predicted climate is. The results showed that by the end of the 21st century, the southern coastal regions of North America and the western Arctic will have widespread novel climates [12]. The $\sigma$ dissimilarity has been widely used in relevant studies on climate novelty [18]–[20]. Based on the $\sigma$ dissimilarity, Fitzpatrick et al. studied the climatic analogs of 540 cities in North America by using 12 variables, including average daily maximum temperature, average daily minimum temperature and total precipitation in 4 seasons. The results showed that the climate of most cities will change significantly, either becoming closer to the modern climate hundreds of kilometers away, mainly in the southern region of North America, or that there will be no areas similar to the modern climate [9]. Nguyen et al. assessed climate similarity in Southeast Asia based on the improved $\sigma$ dissimilarity and the monthly average temperature and precipitation and found a significant trend of climate migration to warmer regions [18].

Today, China is the world’s second largest economy and the largest emitter of greenhouse gases. Global environmental problems cannot be solved without China’s engagement (https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/china/overview). Therefore, it is necessary to study the future climate change trends in China. In addition, improving public awareness of climate change is also important for advancing carbon reduction measures. In this study, we used contemporary climate data from 1970-2000 and climate prediction data from the 2050s and 2080s to quantify climate similarity on an annual scale using the $\sigma$ dissimilarity to study future climatic novelty and climatic analogs in China. In addition, while previous studies mostly mapped the future climate to the contemporary climate, we mapped the climate of the contemporary residential area to the future, thus identifying the future suitable climate area.

II. DATA AND METHODS
A. DATA
Climatic analog calculations based on the $\sigma$ dissimilarity require three climate datasets: contemporary climate data, climate prediction data, and meteorological station observation data. Meteorological station observation data include three indicators: daily average maximum temperature, daily average minimum temperature, and total precipitation [9], [12]. First, we used the WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) monthly weather data from 1970 to 2000 as the contemporary climate data, which were obtained from CRU-TS-4.03 data after downscaling and bias correction based on WorldClim 2.1 deviation [21], [22]. The resolution of this dataset is 2.5 minutes. To ensure consistency with the climate prediction data and reduce the calculation burden, we resampled this data to a 5-minute resolution. Second, we used the BNU-ESM climate prediction data under RCP4.5 (a mitigated emissions scenario) and RCP8.5 (an unmitigated emissions scenario), including data from the two periods of the 2050s and 2080s (http://www.ccafs-climate.org/). These data were downscaled and debiased using a delta method or change-factor method, and spatial interpolation techniques were used to assign the climate anomalies with a resolution of 5 minutes [23]. Third, we used a monthly dataset of China’s surface climatic data from the National Meteorological Data Center (http://data.cma.cn/) as the meteorological station observation data; this dataset contains monthly data from China’s 613 surface meteorological observation stations from 1951 to the present. We used the data collected from January 1981 to December 2019.

B. METHODS
We used the $\sigma$ dissimilarity (based on the Mahalanobis distance) proposed by Mahony et al. to measure the dissimilarity of two climate scenarios. The Mahalanobis distance is an effective method used to calculate the similarity between two sample sets [24]. Unlike the Euclidean distance, it considers the relationships between variables and eliminates the variance inflation caused by correlation, and it is scale-invariant. The Mahalanobis distance can be expressed by the probability of a chi distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom, where $n$ is the number of climate variables. The $\sigma$ dissimilarity represents the percentile of the given Mahalanobis distance in this chi distribution [9]. We consider a $\sigma$ dissimilarity of two ($2\sigma$, the 95th percentile of the chi distribution) to be a moderate degree of novelty and $4\sigma$ dissimilarity to be extreme novelty. If the $\sigma$ dissimilarity is larger, it indicates less similarity between the two climate scenarios, or that the predicted climate scenario is so novel that it is difficult to find a similar climate scenario. We rewrote the calculation process using Python, which is more concise and easier to use than the R-based method used by Mahony et al. [12].

The source data used to calculate the Mahalanobis distance include (a) contemporary climate data, among which $q_i$ is an $n$-dimensional array of contemporary climate variables at position $i$, and $n$ is the number of climate variables; (b) projected climate data, among which $b_j$ is the $n$-dimensional array of predicted climate variables at position $j$; and (c) meteorological station observation data, among which $c_j$ is the $n \times t$ climate variable matrix formed by the meteorological station observation values closest to position $j$, and $t = 39$ represents
the timespan of the meteorological observation record (1981-2019). In this study, $a_i$, $b_j$, and $c_j$ are n-dimensional arrays formed by the corresponding maximum air temperature, minimum air temperature, and total precipitation, and $V_j$ is the covariance matrix of $c_j$. The formula for calculating the Mahalanobis distance $M_d$ is as follows:

$$M_d = \sqrt{(b_j - a_i)^T V_j^{-1} (b_j - a_i)}$$

In this study, we used a total of 12 variables, the daily average maximum temperature, daily average minimum temperature, and total precipitation in four seasons, to describe the similarity between two climate scenarios. We used the Python software package scipy (https://scipy.org/) to calculate the Mahalanobis distance and $\sigma$ dissimilarity. The core code for calculating the Mahalanobis distance and $\sigma$ dissimilarity is as follows:

$$M_d = \text{scipy.spatial.distance.mahalanobis}(b_j, a_i, V_j^{-1})$$
$$M_p = \text{scipy.stats.chi.cdf}(M_d, n)$$
$$M_x = \text{scipy.stats.chi.ppf}(M_p, 1)$$

where $M_p$ is the cumulative distribution function value corresponding to $M_d$ and degrees of freedom $n$ under the chi distribution; and $M_x$ is the percentile value corresponding to $M_p$ and the degree of freedom 1 in the chi distribution, that is, the $\sigma$ dissimilarity. Therefore, when calculating the $\sigma$ dissimilarity in this study, three n-dimensional climate variable arrays must be input: $a_i$, $b_j$ and the inverse of the covariance matrix of $c_j$, $V_j^{-1}$.

III. RESULTS

A. MAPPING THE CLIMATIC NOVELTY IN CHINA

Figure 1 shows the predicted climatic novelty in China in the 2080s under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. We calculate the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity of each pixel and define it as climatic novelty. If the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity of a pixel is large, it means that it is difficult to find a similar climate scenario in the contemporary era; that is, the climate scenario of the pixel is more novel, which indicates areas with severe climate change. In the RCP4.5 scenario, 78.66% of the regions have a minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity less than $2\sigma$, which indicates that similar contemporary climate scenarios can be found for most regions. The minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity of the North China Plain is between $2\sigma$ and $4\sigma$, indicating medium climatic novelty, while some regions, such as Xinjiang and Tibet, have higher minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity values, indicating highly novel climate regions; thus, the climates of these regions may undergo drastic changes. In the RCP8.5 scenario, only 50.73% of the regions have a minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity less than $2\sigma$, which indicates that in the absence of emission reduction measures, nearly half of the regions in China will have novel climate scenarios. The minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity values of the North China Plain, Xinjiang, Tibet and parts of southern China (a total of 15.23% of the area) are greater than $4\sigma$. These areas show high degrees of climatic novelty and may become regions with drastic climate changes in the future. Ecosystems in these regions may face greater challenges, and human life and production activities will also be greatly affected. In the comparison of the results of the two scenarios, we found a significant decrease in climate similarity and a significant increase in climatic novelty in RCP8.5 compared to RCP4.5, which indicates the need for emission reduction measures.

B. MAPPING CLIMATIC ANALOGS OF CHINESE CITIES

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the climatic analogs of Beijing and Shanghai in the 2080s under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. The best climatic analogs of the two cities are southern China (a total of 15.23% of the area) are greater than $4\sigma$. These areas show high degrees of climatic novelty and may become regions with drastic climate changes in the future. Ecosystems in these regions may face greater challenges, and human life and production activities will also be greatly affected. In the comparison of the results of the two scenarios, we found a significant decrease in climate similarity and a significant increase in climatic novelty in RCP8.5 compared to RCP4.5, which indicates the need for emission reduction measures.
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FIGURE 2. Climatic analog maps for (a) Beijing and (b) Shanghai in the 2080s under RCP4.5. The arrows connect each city and their corresponding best climatic analog with a minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity.

FIGURE 3. Climatic analog maps for (a) Beijing and (b) Shanghai in the 2080s under RCP8.5.

corresponding climatic analog, and the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity of Beijing is greater than that of Shanghai, indicating that Beijing will experience more severe climate change than Shanghai will. Under the RCP4.5 scenario, the climatic analog area of Beijing in the 2080s is mainly distributed in the North China Plain. The $\sigma$ dissimilarity in almost all areas is greater than $2\sigma$, and the best climatic analog of Beijing is Zibo, Shandong ($\sigma$ dissimilarity $= 1.906$). The climatic analog area in Shanghai is mainly distributed in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River. The 10.25% area has a $\sigma$ dissimilarity less than $2\sigma$, and the best climatic analog of Shanghai is Ningbo, Zhejiang ($\sigma$ dissimilarity $= 0.1758$).

Under the RCP8.5 scenario, the climatic analog area for the 2080s shrank and continued to move south, and the $\sigma$ dissimilarity was greater than $2\sigma$. The best climatic analog of Beijing is Shiyan, Hubei ($\sigma$ dissimilarity $= 6.5484$), and the best climatic analog of Shanghai is Fuzhou, Fujian ($\sigma$ dissimilarity $= 2.1349$). A more concise explanation for this result is that in a mitigated emissions scenario (RCP4.5), the climate of Beijing in the 2080s will be most similar to that of Zibo today, with a large climatic analog area. In an unmitigated emissions scenario (RCP8.5), the climate of Beijing in the 2080s will be most similar to that of Shiyan today but with a lower degree of similarity and a smaller climatic analog area. Without effective emission reduction measures, it will be more difficult for Beijing residents to migrate in the future to find a climate scenario for their long-term adaptation. In addition, compared with RCP4.5, the climatic analog area under the RCP8.5 scenario is smaller, and the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity is greater, which also indicates more dramatic climate change under the RCP8.5 scenario.

Figure 4 shows climatic analogs of 378 Chinese cities in the 2080s under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. The best climatic analogs for cities in eastern, central and western China tend to move southwest, north and northwest, respectively. In the RCP4.5 scenario, 79.56% of the best climatic analogs are located south of each corresponding city, with an average
distance of 298.17 kilometers; this indicates that most residents of the cities need to migrate nearly 300 kilometers south to find an adaptable climate scenario. In the RCP8.5 scenario, 86.67% of the best climatic analogs are south of each corresponding city, with an average distance of 624.52 kilometers, which is twice that of the RCP4.5 scenario. In addition, the distances between the best climatic analogs in northern China and the corresponding cities are generally greater than those in the south. There are two possible reasons for this result. First, from the perspective of climatology, several studies have found that climate change in northern China is more drastic than that in southern China [25]–[27], which leads to northern cities being farther away from their best analogs. Second, technically, the densities of cities in northern China are lower than those in the south, and the climate types in the north are more diverse, which makes it more difficult for northern cities to find their best analogs in a relatively close range. The best climatic analogs and corresponding cities tend to have similar natural environments (e.g., the best climatic analogs of Taiwanese cities are in Hainan, and the best climatic analogs of Gansu cities are in Xinjiang). If a city is in a unique climate area (such as the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau), the distance between the best climatic analogs and the corresponding city is smaller, which shows the effectiveness of the climatic analog method.

Figure 5 shows the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity of each city under the two scenarios. In Figure 5, the size of the marker represents different values, and different colors are used to represent the position of the best climatic analogs. The smaller the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity is, the better the climatic similarity is. Obviously, the minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity under the RCP8.5 scenario is generally greater, which means that it is more difficult for most cities to find a climate scenario similar to the contemporary scenario under the RCP8.5 scenario. In the RCP4.5 scenario, 86.38% of the cities have a minimum $\sigma$ dissimilarity less than $2\sigma$, which is considered the limit of
FIGURE 6. Contemporary residential area. A contemporary residential area is defined as an area with a population density of more than 1 person per square kilometer.

A similar climate, while in the RCP8.5 scenario, only 36.39% of the cities have a minimum \( \sigma \) dissimilarity less than 2\( \sigma \). We found that it is difficult to obtain similar contemporary climate scenarios for most of the cities in eastern China, which are economically developed and densely populated. This again reminds us of the need to implement effective emission reduction measures.

C. MAPPING SUITABLE CLIMATE AREA OF CHINA IN THE LATE 21ST CENTURY

For thousands of years, humans have concentrated on a surprisingly narrow subset of Earth’s available climates, and climate change will significantly affect future suitable climate areas [4], [28]. Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the suitable climate areas of China in different periods and under different scenarios. A contemporary residential area is defined as an area with a population density of more than 1 person per square kilometer. The population density data comes from SEDAC (Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center, https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/). Due to the harsh natural conditions of the Tibetan Plateau and the Taklimakan Desert in northwestern China, these areas are not suitable for human habitation. Therefore, the contemporary suitable climate areas are mainly distributed in areas other than northwestern China, accounting for 69.99% of the country.

A future suitable climate area is defined as an area with a climate similar to that of a contemporary residential area. We used climatic analogs to map the climates of the contemporary residential areas in the future. When the \( \sigma \) dissimilarity is less than 2\( \sigma \), the area is defined as a highly suitable climate area; when the \( \sigma \) dissimilarity is between 2\( \sigma \) and 4\( \sigma \), the area is defined as a moderately suitable climate area; and when the \( \sigma \) dissimilarity is greater than 4\( \sigma \), the area is defined as an unsuitable climate area. In the 2050s, under the RCP4.5 scenario, due to the climate becoming warmer and wetter, the area of highly suitable areas in northwest China greatly expanded, while the suitability of some areas in eastern and southern China decreased, and some moderately suitable areas appeared; under this scenario, highly suitable and moderately suitable areas account for 88.74% and 10.57% of the national area, respectively. Under the RCP8.5 scenario, large moderately suitable areas in northern China and southern China continue to expand, accounting for 80.64% and 18.4% of the national area, respectively.

In the 2080s, under the RCP4.5 scenario, the medium suitable areas in northern China and northwest China expanded, with highly suitable areas and moderately suitable areas accounting for 80.85% and 18.23% of the national area, respectively. Under the RCP8.5 scenario, large moderately suitable areas in northern China and southern China continue to expand, accounting for 80.64% and 18.4% of the national area, respectively.
suitable and unsuitable areas appear in eastern China and northwest China, with highly suitable areas and moderately suitable areas accounting for 50.97% and 42.35% of the national area, respectively. In general, under the influence of climate change, some contemporary cold and arid regions may become suitable for human habitation, while contemporary suitable climate areas may become unsuitable. Due to human migration and changes in climate adaptability, this result is not a prediction of the future population distribution, but instead indicates areas where the climate is changing drastically; if no effective emission reduction measures are taken, the suitable climate area of the most densely populated region of eastern China will decrease.

IV. DISCUSSION
Climate change has already had a wide-ranging impact on human life and production activities [29]–[32], but compared to extreme climate events (such as heat waves, droughts, and floods) that directly threaten human life and the security of property, it is more difficult to attract public attention to climate change [9], [33]–[35]. This is because climate change is a long-term and gradual process, and it is challenging for climate change to have a direct impact in a short time frame [36]–[38]; in addition, the public often has difficulty understanding complex climate models and professional terminology. Climatic analogs are a method used to measure the similarity of two climate scenarios. A representative question climatic analogs can answer is whether “the climate of a certain location in the future is most similar to the climate of a contemporary location”. Clearly, climatic analogs provide realistic scales and references that can help the public better understand the impacts of climate change, which is of great significance for improving public awareness and participation to address climate change. Climatic analogs can intuitively show areas with drastic climate changes, which is of great value for improving the coping ability of these areas.

In this study, we studied climatic novelty in China using climatic analogs of 378 cities in China and determined the suitable climate area of China in the future. It is worth noting that the climate of the densely populated and economically developed areas in eastern China (especially the North China Plain) will change drastically, which is reflected in the high climatic novelty in the region (Figure 1), and even in the 2080s, if effective emission reduction measures are taken, the suitable climate area will decrease (Figure 8a). Through the comparison of climatic analogs under two scenarios, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, we found that the implementation of emission reduction measures is essential for mitigating the adverse effects of climate change. Under the RCP8.5 scenario, the novel climate area and uninhabitable area are several times larger than those of the RCP4.5 scenario. All of eastern China will become a novel climate area and an uninhabitable area, and urban residents will need to travel more than 600 kilometers to find a similar climate scenario to the one they have adapted to for a long time; this distance is twice that of the RCP4.5 scenario. It is time to take effective measures to reduce emissions.

Since the study area is limited to China and the best climatic analogs may be located outside the study area (for example, the area with the best climate similarity to southern China may occur in Southeast Asia), this may lead to a large σ dissimilarity [9]. This does not reduce the practical significance of the research results. Due to the impact of immigration policies and economic costs, the difficulty of international migration far exceeds that of domestic migration [4]. Therefore, finding the best similar climate within the country is the concern of most people. In addition, the prediction of suitable climate areas does not correspond with the future population distribution; it only considers the climatic conditions and assumes that human adaptability to climate remains unchanged. Due to the increased ability of human beings to cope with climate change (such as the use of air conditioners) and other socioeconomic factors that affect population distribution (such as cost of living), more in-depth
research is needed to predict the future population distribution [28], [39]–[41].

Our results have repeatedly emphasized the importance of adopting stringent emission reduction measures to curb climate change. The RCP4.5 scenario requires that the radiation forcing should not exceed 4.5 W/m^2 and the CO_2 concentration should not exceed 650 ppm by 2100 [42]. The scheme requires that CO_2 emissions begin to decline by approximately 2045 and reach approximately half of the 2050 level by 2100, which is considered to be intermediate and achievable (https://ar5-syr.ipcc.ch/). In recent years, China has proposed “ecological civilization construction” and promised to reduce carbon dioxide emissions per unit of GDP by 40%–45% by 2020 compared with the emissions in 2005. Scholars have also carried out in-depth research in the field of climate change. The key to emission reductions lies in the public and in enterprises; this is due to the lack of public awareness of climate change and the fact that enterprises tend to pursue economic interests and give up ecological value. Therefore, improving public participation and strengthening enterprise supervision are important links in achieving the goal of emission reduction.

V. CONCLUSION

This study uses the climatic analog method to study climatic novelty in China, climatic analogs of 378 cities in China, and the suitable climate area of China in the future. The results of the study show that compared with the RCP4.5 scenario, the RCP8.5 scenario has a larger novel climate area, fewer climatic analogs, and a smaller suitable climate area. This indicates that more dramatic climate changes will result under the RCP8.5 scenario, which repeatedly emphasizes the need for effective emission reduction measures. In addition, climate change is expected to be more intense in the densely populated and economically developed North China Plain and in southeastern China as well as in the ecologically fragile Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Especially in the North China Plain, the climate of the region is highly novel, and even under the scenario incorporating emission reduction measures (RCP4.5), the suitable climate area will decrease, indicating that the region is facing greater climate change challenges.
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