A NEW COLLISIONAL RING GALAXY AT z = 0.111: AURIGA’S WHEEL
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ABSTRACT

We report the serendipitous discovery of a collision ring galaxy, identified as 2MASX J06470249+4554022, which we have dubbed “Auriga’s Wheel,” found in a SUPRIME-CAM frame as part of a larger Milky Way survey. This peculiar class of galaxies is the result of a near head-on collision typically between a late-type and an early-type galaxy. Subsequent Gemini Multi-object Spectrograph North long-slit spectroscopy has confirmed both the relative proximity of the components of this interacting pair and has shown that it has a redshift of 0.111. Analysis of the spectroscopy reveals that the late-type galaxy is a LINER class active galactic nucleus (AGN) while the early-type galaxy is also potentially an AGN candidate; this is very uncommon among known collision ring galaxies. Preliminary modeling of the ring finds an expansion velocity of \( \sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) consistent with our observations, making the collision about 50 Myr old. The ring currently has a radius of about 10 kpc and a bridge of stars and gas is also visible connecting the two galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Collisional ring galaxies (CRGs) are the result of a rare type of galaxy–galaxy interaction whereby one galaxy collides with the other along the axis of rotation. The induced gravitational instability generates a propagating density wave which sweeps the interstellar medium into an expanding star-forming ring (Higdon & Higdon 2010). The archetype for this galaxy class is the Cartwheel galaxy. Currently, there are only about 127 spectroscopically confirmed CRGs in the literature (Madore et al. 2009), the majority of which are at relatively low redshift (\( z = 0.002–0.088 \)). In the high-redshift universe (\( z = 0.4–1.4 \)) there are another 20 or so morphologically selected candidates having either photometrically or spectroscopically derived redshifts (Lavery et al. 2004; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2006). All in all, this is a rare type of encounter with a short lifespan of several hundred Myrs (Moiseev & Bizyaev 2009; Higdon & Higdon 2010), and so such small number statistics is not unexpected. Despite the scarcity of these galaxies, they are ideal laboratories for studying young stellar populations and stellar/gas dynamics within galactic disks.

As the ring of star formation ripples out from the point of impact, the interstellar medium that it encounters is carried along by it, forming new stars in the ring. As the wave of gas and dust continues, these young stars are left behind, and over the lifetime of the ring new stars are continually created and left behind until no more stars can be formed or another event disrupts this star formation process. The final result is a radial gradient in the age of the stars in the galactic disk (Bizyaev et al. 2007), with old stars from the initial burst of star formation residing at the center and the youngest stars being formed in the ring. Comparing the velocity of the expanding ring with the ages of the young stellar populations present within the disk can be used to establish whether star formation was coincident or delayed with respect to the ring propagation. This provides an insight into how star formation proceeds in more complicated systems like disk galaxies with spiral density waves. With regard to understanding gas and stellar dynamics, CRGs present an interesting yet tractable problem relating the initial gravitational instability and geometry of the interaction with the structures created. Broad overviews on CRGs can be found in Buta & Combes (1996), Moiseev & Bizyaev (2009), Struck (2010), and Higdon & Higdon (2010) among others.

This work presents a new CRG (Figure 1) that has been found by visual inspection in two SUPRIME-CAM \( g' \) - and \( r' \) -band images obtained during a program to study the Milky Way Thick Disk. The prominent ring in the late-type galaxy and the apparent proximity to the early-type galaxy strongly suggested an ongoing interaction. Determining the \( (g' − r') \) color and estimating a photometric redshift of \( z \sim 0.18 \) (Fukugita et al. 1995) brought the possibility that this galaxy pair would represent a high-redshift CRG that can be studied in detail. With this in mind, we obtained time on the Gemini North telescope to perform long-slit spectroscopy and confirm the redshift while investigating the properties of the interaction. This pair of galaxies is located at R.A. 06°47′23″ and decl. +45°54′00″ (J2000.0) in the constellation of Auriga, the Charioteer (Table 1). With this in mind, coupled with its wheel-like appearance, we have named it Auriga’s Wheel.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Photometry

The data for the initial discovery of this collision ring galaxy were acquired with SUPRIME-CAM on the Subaru Telescope on 2007 November 9. Details of the data reduction process for
Figure 1. SUPRIME-CAM stamp images of Auriga’s Wheel in $g'$ (left) and $r'$ (right). The seeing was slightly better during the $g'$-band exposure making the structures within the interacting pair more visible. The $g'$ exposure is 124 s and the $r'$ exposure is 76 s. North is up and east is to the left. The images are 36.2 × 32.6 arcsec.

Table 1
Coordinates of Auriga’s Wheel

| Name                  | Cross-identification | R.A. (2000)       | Decl. (2000)          |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Auriga’s Wheel        | ...                   | 06h47m02s3+        | +45°54′00″           |
| Auriga’s Wheel: N1    | ...                   | 06h47m02s1+        | +45°53′59″           |
| Auriga’s Wheel: N2    | 2MASX J06470249+4554022 | 06h47m02s5+        | +45°54′02″           |

Table 2
Observations of Auriga’s Wheel

| Instrument   | Filter/Grating | Exposure Time | Date       |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
| SUPRIME-CAM  | $g'$           | 124 s         | 2007 Nov 9 |
| SUPRIME-CAM  | $r'$           | 76 s          | 2007 Nov 9 |
| GMOS-N       | R400           | 3 × 1200 s    | 2009 Oct 31|
| GMOS-N       | R400           | 3 × 1200 s    | 2009 Nov 6 |

the imaging data set can be found in B. C. Conn et al. (2011, in preparation). In brief, the photometry for Auriga’s Wheel comes from a single $g'$- and $r'$-band image from SUPRIME-CAM with exposure times of 124 and 76 s, respectively (Figure 1). The pixel scale is 0.2 arcsec pix$^{-1}$ and the seeing was 0.36 and 0.48 arcsec in the $g'$ and $r'$ bands, respectively, as measured from the image. The magnitude of the stars in the frame was determined by using a Sloan Digital Sky Survey field for calibration of the color term and zero point. The conversion from flux to magnitudes used derived zero points of 27.67 mag in the $r$ band and 27.45 mag in the $g$ band for the night the data were taken. The larger SUPRIME-CAM data set, where Auriga’s Wheel is found, consists of many overlapping frames taken over the night. From these frames, the overall photometric accuracy is determined to be better than 3.5% and 2.4% in the $g$ and $r$ bands, respectively. The air-mass correction used was 0.13 mag for the $r$ band and 0.19 mag for the $g$ band (coefficients taken from the Gemini Web site). The Galactic foreground extinction in this region was taken from the interstellar dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998) and corresponds to an $E(B−V)$ of 0.1 mag.

2.2. Spectroscopy

Upon discovery of the object, 5.6 hr on the Gemini North Telescope were awarded through the Australian Time Allocation Committee to observe the galaxy pair with Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph North (GMOS-N) in long-slit mode. This allowed for the grating R400 to be used with two position angles
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extracted from each two-dimensional reduced frame by sum-
ming selected CCD lines over our targeted regions. The ex-
tracted spectra were obtained by averaging the three individual
exposures, using a sigma clipping algorithm to eliminate the
effects of cosmic rays. The spectra of the different parts of the
ring galaxy have S/N ranging between 5 and 20 and the spec-
trum of the early-type galaxy has an S/N of ~ 60. The full
spectral range spans 5200–9400 Å, the average spectral reso-
lution is Δλ = 4.14 Å (~6.1 pixels), and the accuracy of the
wavelength calibration estimated by measuring the wavelength
of 10 lamp emission lines is 0.069 Å.

A spectrum of Feige34 was used for flux calibration and
removing the instrument response. Unfortunately, due to the
weather conditions, any absolute measurement of the flux is not
possible and so the only reliable results from the spectra are
related to the equivalent widths of the lines, their flux ratios,
and the redshift.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Photometry

Auriga’s Wheel consists of an early-type galaxy (hereafter,
N2) seemingly interacting with a disk galaxy (hereafter, N1)
dominated by a ring structure. To derive the properties of the
early type, we first masked out the disk galaxy and a portion
of the bridge region before running the IRAF routine ELLIPSE
(Jedrzejewski 1987) on the early-type galaxy. The ELLIPSE
routine fits elliptical isophotes to the CCD image, allowing the
center, the position angle, and the ellipticity of the elliptical
isophote to be free parameters. With this, structural properties
of N2 such as half-light radius, surface brightness, as well as
evidence for morphological disturbances can be determined.
Possible signatures of disturbance could be seen in either a
change in position angle of the semimajor axis or more directly
with the measure of diskiness/boxiness of N2 from the A4
parameter (Bender et al. 1988). Figure 3 shows the variation
in ellipticity (a), position angle (b), surface brightness (c), and
the A4 parameter (d) as a function of the semimajor axis in
arcseconds. The red crosses relate the values determined for
the r-band image and the green circles for the g-band image.
The ellipticity of the isophotes measured from N2 show that
it is strongly related to the distance from the center of the
galaxy. N2 is most elliptical at a galactocentric distance of 3
arcsec which is where N2 meets the ring. Beyond this distance,
N2 becomes more circular. The position angle of the isophotes
varies between approximately 45° and 55° at distances larger
than 1 arcsec. Interestingly, this is very close to the angle
of the line connecting the centers of the two galaxies, which
is about 42°.

The surface brightness profile of N2 is shown in Figure 3, for
the r band (red crosses) and the g band (green points), down to
a surface brightness of 25 mag arcsec−2. The magnitude of N2
has been determined by considering the total flux inside the last
isophote and is found to be 17.29 and 18.22 in the r and g bands,
respectively, after both atmospheric and foreground extinction
have been accounted for. This in turn results in a (g − r)o color
of 0.93 mag (Table 3).

Finally, the A4 parameter (Bender et al. 1988) can be used
as a simple test to relate whether the early-type galaxy has
undergone an interaction. Khochfar & Burkert (2005) showed
that positive A4 values (disky isophotes) are produced by minor
mergers involving disk galaxies, while negative A4 values (boxy
isophotes) arise from a major merger between two disk galaxies
or between a disk and an early-type galaxy. While N2 has mostly
positive values of A4 near the core, at galactocentric distances
greater than 2 arcsec the parameter is negative, indicating,
together with the variations in ellipticity and position angle,
that N2 has been undergoing strong interactions with N1.
N1 is a disk galaxy with a ring and a nucleus, and thus when determining the photometry for this system we have treated the ring and nucleus separately while also considering the galaxy as a whole. To measure the flux coming from the entire disk galaxy, we first subtracted the model of the early-type galaxy. This ensured that N2 would not influence the magnitude or color of the disk galaxy determined in this way. A simple ellipse with a semimajor axis of 9.4 arcsec, a semiminor axis of 4.4 arcsec, and a position angle of −50° was placed outside the ring and the flux internal to this was measured. With the zero point derived above, we find that the disk galaxy has a total foreground extinction-corrected g-band magnitude of 18.20 and a (g − r)0 color of 0.70 mag. Placing a circular aperture of 1.4 arcsec solely around the nucleus of N1, we find it has a typical bulge-like color of 1.04 mag and g-band magnitude of 20.27 corrected. The color and magnitude of the ring were determined by placing a succession of small square apertures along the ring and fitting the concentric elliptical annuli to it. These methods result in an average color (g − r)0 of 0.74.

### 3.2. Spectroscopy

The wavelength range of the original spectra with the R400 grating is from 5200 to 9400 Å, however the main region of interest in all the spectra obtained is between the wavelengths of 7200–7500 Å. This is because the redshifted emission lines N II (6548.1 Å and 6583.6 Å), Hβ (6562.8 Å), and the S II doublet (6717 Å and 6731.3 Å) as shown in Figure 4 can be found here. While other lines are identifiable in the rest of the spectra, these lines were the clearest and had the best signal to noise. All the results presented here are based on measurements of these lines. The final redshift determination is the mean redshift from each of the individual emission lines. This interacting pair has a redshift of 0.111. Assuming a Hubble constant of 73 km s−1 Mpc−1 (Riess et al. 2011), converting the redshift to distance places these galaxies at 456 Mpc from the Sun after taking into account Earth’s motion around the Sun, the motion of the Sun around the Galaxy, and Local Groups’ motion toward the Virgo Supercluster. With this distance, the pixel scale of the image is found to be 1″ = 2.2 kpc. The semimajor axis of the ring galaxy is then 20.1 kpc and the projected separation between the two nuclei is approximately 13.2 kpc.

A comparison between the radial velocity at R2 and R1 enables some conclusions on the dynamics of the ring to be drawn. In the following discussion, for simplicity we assume that the ring is circular and inclined to our line of sight (l.o.s.).

If the ring was purely rotating about N1 (see Figure 2), then at R2 we would see a large fraction of the ring’s rotation velocity directed down our l.o.s. Similarly at R1, the ring’s rotation would not be projected down our l.o.s. at all and we would see a zero l.o.s. velocity. In fact, we see a large l.o.s. velocity at R1, ruling out the pure rotation model (Table 4).

If the ring was purely expanding and had no rotation, then we would see a large fraction of the ring expansion velocity projected down our l.o.s. at R1. At R2, the ring would be moving perpendicular to our l.o.s. resulting in a zero l.o.s. velocity. In fact, we observe a non-zero velocity at R2, thus ruling out a pure-expansion model.

The simplest explanation is a ring with an element of expansion and rotation. Again, assuming a purely circular ring, whose axis of rotation is inclined at an angle of i = 60° to our l.o.s., we can estimate the relative magnitude of the expansion and rotation component. In this scenario, the l.o.s. velocity at R1 is purely due to expansion and has the value sin(i)v_{exp}. At R2, the l.o.s. velocity is purely due to rotation and has the value sin(i)v_{rot}. This simple analysis would suggest that the ring has a true expansion velocity, v_{exp} ~ 197 km s−1, and a true rotation velocity, v_{rot} ~ 57 km s−1. It is therefore dominated by expansion, but with a non-negligible component of rotation.

Additionally, the bridge is seen to be moving toward us, and R1 and R2 are moving away. We conclude that the bridge is the near side of the ring and the far side is at R1. The relative velocity separation between N1 and N2 is ~17.94 km s−1, see Table 3, and suggests that N2 is probably in front of N1 and closer to the observer. However, the absolute velocity errors are large and so we can consider the two galaxies being at the same redshift. Better spectra are needed to confidently discriminate the relative motions of these galaxies.

From the emission lines, measuring the logarithm of the ratio of N II flux over Hβ flux against the equivalent width of the Hβ line is an indicator of the type of radiation source exciting these lines. Stronger N II emission compared to Hβ is indicative of an active galactic nucleus (AGN), while stronger Hβ emission suggests a starburst origin. Broad equivalent widths of the Hα line are related to star-forming regions while narrow Hβ lines are from AGNs; see Cid Fernandes et al. (2010, their Figure 6). In our case and in comparison with Cid Fernandes et al. (2010), Figure 4 and Table 3 clearly show that in both galactic nuclei the N II line is stronger than the Hβ line and

### Table 3

Properties of the Two Galaxies

| Properties | N1 | N2 |
|------------|----|----|
| Total M_r (Abs. mag) | −20.08 | −20.06 |
| Total M_r (Abs. mag) | −20.78 | −20.99 |
| Core M_r (Abs. mag) | −18.01 | ... |
| Core M_r (Abs. mag) | −19.05 | ... |
| Total g_r | 18.20 | 18.22 |
| Total (g − r)_0 | 0.70 | 0.93 |
| Core g_r (1.2″ aperture) | 20.27 | ... |
| Core (g − r)_0 | 1.04 | ... |
| Velocity (km s−1) | 331131 ± 33 | 331114 ± 19 |
| Redshift (z) | 0.11081 | 0.11075 |
| Δz | 0.00011 | 0.00006 |
| Δv to N1 (km s−1) | ... | −18 |
| σ (km s−1) | 235 ± 16 | 290 ± 16 |

### Table 4

Properties of the Ring and Bridge

| Properties | R1 | R2 | Bridge |
|------------|----|----|--------|
| Velocity (km s−1) | 33302 ± 30 | 33186 ± 20 | 33063 ± 26 |
| Redshift (z) | 0.11138 | 0.11099 | 0.11058 |
| Δz | 0.00010 | 0.00007 | 0.00009 |
| Δv to N1 (km s−1) | +170 | −49 | −68 |

Notes. The photometry is accurate to 3.5% in the g band and 2.4% in the r band. The velocity relative to N1 is accurate to ~3 km s−1.

---

...extend the discussion further...
thus we can conclude that a LINER resides in N1 and possibly an AGN in N2. For the R2 region on the ring, the Hα line is stronger than the Nⅱ line and thus, as expected, this region is dominated by star formation (Cid Fernandes et al. 2010). It seems reasonable that the compression of gas in Auriga’s Wheel has fed the black holes in the center and thus triggered the AGN phase in both galaxies.

The presence of AGNs in a CRG pair is quite rare; only four other galaxies in the Madore et al. (2009) sample are considered active and of those all are highly distorted from the interaction with their colliding galaxy. For those CRGs classified as Seyfert 2 or LINER (IC0614, NGC 0833, NGC 0835, NGC 1142, UGC 5984), they all are gas-rich galaxies with significant substructure but none show a ring as clear as Auriga’s Wheel. It is unclear whether the remaining CRGs have no evidence of AGNs or if it has simply not been searched for. While it is entirely possible that the AGN phase in Auriga’s Wheel is unrelated to the collision, N1 is a gas-rich galaxy and so could provide the fuel to power the AGN in both nuclei.

### 3.3. Mass Estimates

The color and magnitude information of N1 and N2 can be used to estimate the stellar mass as per Equation (1) (Bell et al. 2003). The luminosity is derived using the zero points and redshift converted to Mpc. From this we obtain upper stellar masses for both N1 and N2 of $5.18^{+0.73}_{-0.64} \times 10^{10}$ and $11.23^{+1.58}_{-1.38} \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, respectively:

$$\log \left( \frac{M_\ast}{M_\odot} \right) = -0.306 + 1.097(g - r). \quad (1)$$

An estimate of the mass of the central black hole in each of the galactic nuclei has been attempted. By cross-correlating against a known galaxy template spectra the stellar velocity dispersion in N1 and N2 can be determined. Table 3 shows the stellar velocity dispersions found for both N1 and N2. In addition, using the approach of Ferrarese & Merritt (2000) leads to black hole mass upper estimates of $(3 \pm 1) \times 10^8 M_\odot$ for N1 and $(8 \pm 2) \times 10^8 M_\odot$ for N2 (Table 3).

### 3.4. Simulation

Preliminary modeling of the ring galaxy/early-type interaction based on N-body/smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations consists of a spiral galaxy with an exponential disk of stars and gas, embedded in a Navarro–Frenk–White dark matter halo (Navarro et al. 1996) and an early type with a Hernquist mass profile (Hernquist 1990). The early type and spiral meet in a near head-on collision. In practice, we find that the collision speed must be low ($<200$ km s$^{-1}$) in order to replicate the observed bridge-like structure between the two galaxies. Furthermore, we can reasonably reproduce the observed ring morphology if the disk of the spiral is mildly inclined to the
collision trajectory. In Figure 5, we show the final distribution of star particles from the progenitor spiral (black points) and the early type (red points) in our current best-fit model.

We can also account for the observed line-of-sight velocity differences between the nucleus (N1) and the points on the ring (R1, R2, bridge, see Table 4). To accomplish this, the ring is currently expanding rapidly at $\sim200$ km s$^{-1}$ combined with a rotational velocity of $\sim60$ km s$^{-1}$. In the model, this expansion quickly decelerates, confirmation that we are catching the ring shortly after its birth. This phenomena is also seen in the models of Vorobyov & Bizyaev (2003, their Figure 4). The snapshot in Figure 5 is $\sim50$ Myr since the collision.

Our current best match to the observations is an encounter between galaxies of approximately equal total mass. We are conducting simulations to investigate the sensitivity of our galaxy-encounter scenario to the mass of the progenitors and fine-tuning to better match the observed morphology. The complete details of the numerical modeling, results, and a parameter search will be presented in detail in R. Smith et al. (2011, in preparation).

### 3.5. Derived Properties

From the low-redshift catalog of 127 collision ring galaxies (Madore et al. 2009), after converting the velocity to distance via the Hubble Law and using the separation between the ring and collider in arcseconds, we find that the median-projected separation between the ring galaxy and its collider is $\sim40$ kpc. In comparison to Auriga’s Wheel, there are only 11 galaxies for which the calculated projected separation is less than 10 kpc and of these only 1 (Arp 10) has a pure Cartwheel style morphology. Given the age of the collision in Auriga’s Wheel, it would seem that it represents one of the best examples of a clean CRG in formation. Fortunately, despite its distance, Auriga’s Wheel is bright enough to enable further study.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Auriga’s Wheel is an archetypal CRG at a redshift of 0.111. The collision is in its initial stages with the early-type colliding galaxy only just having passed the entire way through the disk galaxy. In comparison to the known CRGs in the Madore et al. (2009) catalog, Auriga’s Wheel shows a very clean interaction with an easily identifiable ring suggesting a near perfect head-on collision scenario. The ring induced from the interaction shows clear evidence of star formation and preliminary modeling shows it to have an expansion velocity of $\sim200$ km s$^{-1}$. From this we determine that the collision is likely to be around 50 Myr old. Interestingly, both galaxies also contain AGNs although it is not clear whether this is due entirely to the collision or simply a property they had beforehand.
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