Application of loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay in the detection of herpesvirus of turkey (FC 126 strain) from chicken samples in Nigeria
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Abstract

Aim: This study was designed to optimize and apply the use of loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) as an alternative to conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of herpesvirus of turkeys (HVT) (FC 126 strain) in vaccinated and non-vaccinated poultry in Nigeria.

Materials and Methods: HVT positive control (vaccine) was used for optimization of LAMP using six primers that target the HVT070 gene sequence of the virus. These primers can differentiate HVT, a Marek’s disease virus (MDV) serotype 3 from MDV serotypes 1 and 2. Samples were collected from clinical cases of Marek’s disease (MD) in chickens, processed and subjected to LAMP and PCR.

Results: LAMP assay for HVT was optimized. HVT was detected in 60% (3/5) and 100% (5/5) of the samples analyzed by PCR and LAMP, respectively. HVT was detected in the feathers, liver, skin, and spleen with average DNA purity of 3.05-4.52 μg DNA/mg (A260/A280) using LAMP. Conventional PCR detected HVT in two vaccinated and one unvaccinated chicken samples, while LAMP detected HVT in two vaccinated and three unvaccinated corresponding chicken samples. However, LAMP was a faster and simpler technique to carry out than PCR.

Conclusion: LAMP assay for the detection of HVT was optimized. LAMP and PCR detected HVT in clinical samples collected. LAMP assay can be a very good alternative to PCR for detection of HVT and other viruses. This is the first report of the use of LAMP for the detection of viruses of veterinary importance in Nigeria. LAMP should be optimized as a diagnostic and research tool for investigation of poultry diseases such as MD in Nigeria.
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Introduction

Marek’s disease (MD) initially described by József Marek in 1907 is a highly contagious lymphoproliferative viral disease of poultry caused by MD virus (MDV) [1,2]. The MDV is a double-stranded linear DNA virus belonging to the family Herpesviridae, subfamily Alphaherpesvirinae, genus Mardivirus (GaHV-2) [3]. MDV is classified into three serotypes, Gallid herpesvirus-2 or serotype 1 (MDV-1), Gallid herpesvirus 3 or serotype 2 (MDV-2), and Meleagrid herpesvirus 1 (MDV-3, serotype 3), or herpesvirus of turkeys (HVT) [3]. Clinical MD is caused by MDV-1 strain, while MDV-2 and HVT are nonpathogenic and used as vaccine strains [2,4]. MD affects chickens, quails, turkeys, pheasants, and game fowl [3]. MDV is highly ubiquitous and contagious with long-term infectivity of poultry house environment and eradication of MD is very difficult [5]. Prevention of MD is, therefore, mainly based on vaccination, improved biosecurity, and selection for genetic resistance [5].

The development of MD vaccines was a major breakthrough in basic cancer research; moreover, it is the first neoplastic disease controlled by vaccination [6,7]. Despite the successes recorded with its usage, increase in virulence of MDV has accompanied the use of MD vaccines [7,8]. In addition, vaccination against MDV does not lead to a sterilizing immunity and vaccinated birds become protected from clinical disease but continue to shed and transmitted the virus [5,7].

Several advances in laboratory detection of MDV have been reported, particularly using molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Real Time-PCR which can detect and quantify field and vaccine strains of
MDV like HVT [9,10]. However, the requirement of using costly equipment for routine testing by small laboratories and field veterinarians remains a challenge [11]. Despite the availability of different detection techniques, there is still need for a rapid and simple molecular technique that does not require expensive laboratory equipment. Alternative methods to standard PCR include nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP). LAMP is based on amplification of specific genetic loci [12]. LAMP also uses isothermal condition provided by a water bath or heating bloc and *Bst* or *Bsm* polymerases, which have DNA strand displacement activity, along with four to six primers [13]. LAMP technique can amplify a few copies of DNA to 10^9 in <1 h under isothermal conditions [13].

The first report of MD in Nigeria was in 1962, subsequently several outbreaks have been reported in Nawathe et al. [14], Fatunmbi and Adene [15], Owoade and Oni [16], Nakawa et al. [17], Jwander et al. [18]. In a 2013 report, Nigeria was one of the countries with increasing prevalence of MD in the past 10 years, despite being one of the countries that routinely vaccinates chickens against MD [19]. In spite of routine vaccination, poultry farmers in Jos, Plateau State have been reporting outbreaks suspected to be MD.

This study was designed to optimize and apply the use of LAMP as an alternative to PCR for the detection of HVT in vaccinated and unvaccinated poultry in Nigeria.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was not considered, because clinical samples were collected from dead chickens submitted for routine diagnosis at the Veterinary Clinic.

**Sample collection**

Samples were collected from clinical cases of MD presented to a Veterinary Clinic in Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. The samples were collected in 2014 and transported on ice and stored at -70°C in the Viral Research Division of the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria. The samples were collected in 2014 and stored at -70°C in the Viral Research Division of the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria.

**DNA extraction**

One gram of tissue from each sample was weighed and homogenized using pestle and mortar with sterile glass. Thereafter, 9 ml of phosphate-buffered saline was added and centrifuged in a refrigerator at 10,000 rpm for 5 min to make 10% tissue suspension. The supernatant was decanted into a sterile tube and kept at 4°C for DNA extraction and the pellet discarded into a disinfectant. The viral DNA extraction was carried out using QIAamp DNA Mini kit from Qiagen (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA extracts were evaluated by spectrophotometry (BioPhotometer; Eppendorf, Scientific, Hamburg, Germany) at A260/A280 and checking on 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and kept at +4°C before use.

**Primers for PCR and LAMP**

The published PCR and LAMP primer sequences used for this study are listed in Table-1 [20]. The primers were designed based on the HVT070 gene sequence of HVT (FC-126 Strain) with accession number NC_002641.1 which can differentiate MDV-3 or HVT from MDV-1 and MDV-2. The outer primers, MDV-3 F3 and MDV-3 B3, were used for the PCR and all for LAMP. The LAMP primers consist of three set of primers, the outer primers MDV-3 F3 and B3, inner primers (MDV-3 FIP and BIP), and additionally loop primers (MDV-3 LF and MDV-3 LB) designed to accelerate the LAMP reaction [20].

**Optimization of LAMP**

LAMP assay was optimized using different concentrations of all the primers and reagents in the kit (OmniAmp™ RNA and DNALAMP Kit, Lucigen USA). These were conducted as follows: Betaine (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5M), OmniAmp DNA Polymerase 50× (0.5, 1 μl), MgSO_4 (0, 4, 8, 12 mM), 10 mM dNTPs (0.8, 1.6, 2, 4 μl), DNA template (1.5, 2, 2.5 pmol), outer primers FIP and BIP (40, 80, 120 pmol), inner primers F3 and B3 (7.5, 10 pmol), and all for LAMP. The LAMP primers consist of three set of primers, the outer primers MDV-3 F3 and B3, inner primers (MDV-3 FIP and BIP), and additionally loop primers (MDV-3 LF and MDV-3 LB) designed to accelerate the LAMP reaction [20].

| Primer ID | Sequence (5'–3') | Marek’s disease virus serotype | Reference |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| MDV-3F3   | ATAAATTATTCGCTAGGACGAC | HVT (FC 126 strain) | Woźniakowski et al. [20] |
| MDV-3B3   | ACGATTGTCGTCGCTCTA | | |
| MDV-3FIP  | CCGAGGTTATGCAATTTCTTACATGTCGCTCTA | | |
| MDV-3BIP  | CCAAGAAATTGACAGGTGGATTTGAAATTTGTCATTTAGCTGCTCCTT | | |
| MDV-3LF   | TGGAGAAGAGATCGACTG | | |
| MDV-3LB   | GCGTCAATGTTTTTACATT | | |
| HVT=Herpesvirus of turkeys, MDV=Marek’s disease virus | | |
LAMP

The LAMP mix (OmniAmp™ RNA and DNA LAMP Kit, Lucigen, Middleton WI, USA) was done at two different times in 25 µl volume mix consisting of 10X DNA polymerase buffer C 2.5 µl, OmniAmp DNA polymerase 50× 1 µl, the primers were MDV F3 and B3 10 pmol each, MDV LF and LB 20 pmol each, MDV FIP and BIP 40 pmol each, 100 mM MgSO₄ 4 mM, dNTPs 4 µl, Betaine 0.4M, and nuclease-free water and DNA template 2 µl. The LAMP amplification reactions were performed at 70°C for 30 min and subsequently enzyme activity was stopped by holding the mix on ice at 4°C while a second stage incubation was done at 95°C for 5 min. The amplified products were analyzed on a 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. LAMP-positive samples showed specific ladder-like products ranging from 197 to 1300 bp. Bands below the 197-bp product were considered to be primer-dimers.

PCR

PCR was carried out in 25 µl volume reaction mix consisting of Thermo Scientific Dream Taq Green PCR Master Mix (2×) 12.5 µl, 20 pmol of MDV F3 and B3 primers, nuclease-free water and DNA, 2 µl. The thermal cycling profile included initial denaturation 94°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min for denaturation, annealing, and extension, respectively, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. HVT (FC-126) vaccine (ABIC, Israel) was used as positive control, and nuclease-free water was used as the negative control and were both subjected to DNA extraction and PCR. The expected band size of positive samples is 200 bps. GelPilot DNA molecular Weight Marker (QIAGEN) 100 bps was used to run the samples.

Results

Optimized LAMP

Based on the different variables adjusted, LAMP mix was carried out as described in the materials and methods. The incubation protocol and the LAMP mix with the bright and distinct band patterns of different sizes were subsequently used to run LAMP on clinical samples collected from the field (Figures-1 and 2). The LAMP mix with the bright and distinct band patterns of different sizes were 10X DNA Polymerase Buffer C 2.5 µl, OmniAmp DNA Polymerase 50× 1 µl, the outer primers were 10 pmol each, loop primers 20 pmol each, inner primers 40 pmol each, 100 mM MgSO₄ 4 mM, dNTPs 4 µl, Betaine 0.4M, and DNA 2 µl (Figure-3). The optimized LAMP incubation protocol was initial incubation temperature of 70°C for 30 min and termination temperature of 95°C for 5 min. HVT (FC-126) vaccine (ABIC, Israel) was used as positive control, and nuclease-free water was used as the negative control and were both subjected to DNA extraction and LAMP.

Detection of HVT in clinical samples of chickens by PCR and LAMP

Samples collected were from broilers (2), layers (2) and indigenous free-range chicken (1), the samples were spleen (1), liver (1), and feathers (2), and skin (1) (Table-2 and Figure-3) [20]. The postmortem findings included splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, and skin tumors as listed in Table-2. The layers were vaccinated using HVT by the farmers, while the broilers and the local chicken had no vaccination history. Of the samples collected 60% (3/5) and 100% (5/5) were positive for HVT by PCR (Figure-4) and LAMP (Figure-3), respectively. Moreover, HVT was detected in the spleen, feathers, and liver. PCR detected HVT in two of the flocks that were vaccinated and the indigenous local chicken, while HVT was detected in both vaccinated and unvaccinated flocks by LAMP (Table-2). On repetition of the procedure on a different day and on the same set of samples yielded similar results.

Discussion

LAMP has been used for the detection of viruses of veterinary importance in several countries as a rapid diagnostic tool [21-26]. The use of LAMP as a tool for the detection of viruses affecting livestock has not

Table-2: Postmortem findings and results detection of HVT in clinical samples from poultry by PCR and LAMP in Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.

| Type of chicken | Postmortem findings                  | Age in weeks | Vaccination history            | Type of sample | PCR results | LAMP results |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Broiler        | Splenomegaly                         | 9            | Not vaccinated against MD with HVT | Spleen         | -           | +            |
| Broiler        | Splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, skin tumors | 10           | Not vaccinated against MD with HVT | Liver          | -           | +            |
| Layer          | Hepatomegaly splenomegaly and enlarged kidneys and emaciation | 60           | Vaccinated twice with HVT before day 21 of age | Feather        | +           | +            |
| Layer          | Hepatomegaly splenomegaly and skin tumors | 40           | Vaccinated with HVT           | Feather        | +           | +            |
| Local indigenous chicken | Hepatomegaly splenomegaly and skin tumors | Unknown      | Unknown                       | Skin           | +           | +            |
| Total          |                                      |              |                               |                | 3/5         | 5/5          |

HVT=Herpesvirus of turkeys, MD=Marek’s disease, PCR=Polymerase chain reaction, LAMP=Loop-mediated isothermal amplification
been reported in Nigeria. The results obtained in this study revealed the optimization of LAMP protocol for HVT (FC-126 strain) and HVT detected in 100% of the clinical samples collected compared to 60% detection by PCR in the same samples. In addition, LAMP technique was simpler and results turnover time was faster compared to PCR. Although our aim was not to compare the two protocols our findings are in agreement with several studies in which LAMP was reported to be more sensitive than PCR [11,25,27].

A major challenge for field veterinarians in Nigeria is lack of laboratory support in the investigation of MD and other livestock diseases. Development and adoption of LAMP for pen-site rapid diagnosis of MD and other poultry diseases will strengthen the confidence of veterinarians on the quality of veterinary service delivery and control of the spread of livestock diseases. The use of LAMP can also enhance research on poultry diseases in Nigeria. Vaccination against MD is routinely done in Nigeria using HVT (FC-126 strain) and LAMP can also be used for field detection and monitoring to ensure effective vaccination against MD [20,28]. Moreover, in recent years, HVT is being widely used as a vector of other poultry viruses for the production of vaccines [29-32]. Hence, LAMP may be used for field monitoring of these vaccines. A very important finding in this study is the detection of HVT in unvaccinated broilers and indigenous local chickens diagnosed to be having MD based on clinical and gross pathological findings. Although the vaccination status of the indigenous local chicken is unknown, most indigenous local chickens in Nigeria are usually not vaccinated and kept on free range by rural farmers. HVT like most MDV serotypes is transmitted...
horizontally and hence the broilers and indigenous chicken may have been infected from highly contaminated environment. Studies have revealed that MDV vaccines strains are shed into the environment alongside the pathogenic MDV and transmitted effectively between chickens [4,33]. This could be the scenario in this study in which HVT was detected in unvaccinated broilers and local chicken. Although MDV-2 and HVT are not pathogenic, these viruses infect poultry just like all MDV with livelong infectivity which implies that they are continuously shed into the environment through feather follicles [34].

**Conclusion and Recommendations**

LAMP assay for the detection of HVT was optimized and used to detect HVT in clinical samples collected from chickens. LAMP assay can be a very good alternative to PCR for the detection of HVT and other viruses. This is the first report of the use LAMP in detection of a virus of veterinary importance in Nigeria. Based on this study, it is recommended that LAMP be optimized as a diagnostic and research tool for viral diseases of poultry such as MD in Nigeria.
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