Transcription Factors Evolve Faster Than Their Structural Gene Targets in the Flavonoid Pigment Pathway
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Abstract

Dissecting the relationship between gene function and substitution rates is key to understanding genome-wide patterns of molecular evolution. Biochemical pathways provide powerful systems for investigating this relationship because the functional role of each gene is often well characterized. Here, we investigate the evolution of the flavonoid pigment pathway in the colorful Petunieae clade of the tomato family (Solanaceae). This pathway is broadly conserved in plants, both in terms of its structural elements and its MYB, basic helix–loop–helix, and WD40 transcriptional regulators, and its function has been extensively studied, particularly in model species of petunia. We built a phylotranscriptomic data set for 69 species of Petunieae to infer patterns of molecular evolution across pathway genes and across lineages. We found that transcription factors exhibit faster rates of molecular evolution (dN/dS) than their targets, with the highly specialized MYB genes evolving fastest. Using the largest comparative data set to date, we recovered little support for the hypothesis that upstream enzymes evolve slower than those occupying more downstream positions, although expression levels do predict molecular evolutionary rates. Although shifts in floral pigmentation were only weakly related to changes affecting coding regions, we found a strong relationship with the presence/absence patterns of MYB transcripts. Intensely pigmented species express all three main MYB anthocyanin activators in petals, whereas pale or white species express few or none. Our findings reinforce the notion that pathway regulators have a dynamic history, involving higher rates of molecular evolution than structural components, along with frequent changes in expression during color transitions.
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Introduction

The structure and function of biochemical pathways are closely tied to patterns and rates of molecular evolution. For example, enzymes positioned at early steps in these pathways have substantial control over total pathway output (flux) and often experience stronger constraints with lower overall rates of evolution (e.g. Rausher et al. 1999; Livingstone and Anderson 2009; Cole and Ingvarsson 2018, but see Alvarez-Ponce et al. 2009). As they have high flux control, upstream genes are also theoretically expected to be the targets of adaptive substitutions (Wright and Rausher 2010), a pattern found in several empirical studies (Olson-Manning et al. 2013; Passow et al. 2019). Enzymes positioned at branch points exert similarly high control (Rausher 2013; Wheeler and Smith 2019), and thus experience similar evolutionary pressures. Studies across a range of metabolic pathways indicate that, like upstream genes, enzymes at branch points exhibit elevated purifying selection (Greenberg et al. 2008; Ramsay et al. 2009) and, in some cases, show signatures of positive selection (Flowers et al. 2007; Dall’Olio et al. 2012). These complex effects of the topology of biochemical pathways can manifest as system-level relationships between molecular evolution and network properties, such as centrality and connectivity (Hahn and Kern 2005; Vitkup et al. 2006; Masalia et al. 2017).
The molecular evolution of transcription factors in relation to the metabolic pathways they regulate has received less attention, but evidence to date points to markedly different dynamics. Given their position upstream of structural gene targets, transcription factors might be expected to evolve under strong constraints, and that is indeed the case for many well-studied "toolkit" genes (Carroll 2008). However, some core developmental genes are rapidly evolving (Whitfield et al. 1993; Purugganan and Wessler 1994), including some of the regulators that control transitions to the reproductive stage in plants (Lagercrantz and Axelson 2000). This variation in molecular evolutionary rates across transcription factors may relate to different histories of gene duplication and different levels of functional specificity (Baum et al. 2005; Streisfeld et al. 2011; Ascencio et al. 2017), as well as differences in gene expression (Joye and Phillips 2011; Yang and Gaut 2011; Mukherjee et al. 2016). One challenge for understanding how the molecular evolution of transcription factors differs from the genes they regulate is the lack of studies investigating both sets of genes (Alvarez-Ponce et al. 2009). This pathway-level approach is essential for gaining insight into the potential targets of selection during macroevolutionary transitions (e.g., Foote et al. 2015; Ciezarek et al. 2019) and assessing the consequences for other pathway genes (e.g., relaxed constraint and gene decay following trait loss, Preston et al. 2011; Springer et al. 2021).

Here, we use the flavonoid pigmentation pathway in the genus Petunia and its wild relatives (tribe Petunieae) to investigate the relationship between macroevolutionary trait transitions and the molecular evolution of the underlying gene network. Flavonoids include the blue, purple, and red anthocyanin pigments that color many flowers and fruits, and a range of yellowish or colorless compounds (e.g., flavonols, flavones) that can act as copigments and create ultraviolet (UV) patterns on flowers (Winkel-Shirley 2001; Davies et al. 2012). Although our knowledge of this deeply conserved pathway builds from work in a broad range of model systems (e.g., maize, Arabidopsis, snapdragon), Petunia has served as the premier model for understanding the regulation of anthocyanin pigments and copigments that give rise to variation in flower color intensity, hue, and pattern (Quattrocchio et al. 2006; Albert et al. 2014; Sheehan et al. 2016; Esfeld et al. 2018; Berardi et al. 2021). Surprisingly, very little is known about the molecular basis for flower color variation in the wild relatives of petunias, which include many showy-flowered taxa of horticultural importance such as species of Calibrachoa (million bells), Nierembergia (the cupflowers), Brunfelsia (yesterday, today, and tomorrow), and Fabiana (the false heaths; fig. 1). This widespread variation across the approximately 182 Petunieae species provides an opportunity to test whether the mechanisms controlling flower color in model species extend to a clade-wide scale.

One emerging theme from flower color genetics is the critical role of R2R3 MYB transcription factors. These highly variable proteins have duplicated extensively in flowering plants (Gates et al. 2016; Jiang and Rao 2020) and tend to be narrowly specific in terms of their spatial and temporal expression as well as their targets (Sobel and Streisfeld 2013). Many MYB genes, acting in complex with basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) and WD40 partners, regulate epidermal cell differentiation, contributing for example to the distribution of root hairs (Bernhardt et al. 2005) and the conical shape of petal cells (Ramsay and Glover 2005). In the context of flower color, different copies of MYBs are specialized for activating anthocyanins and copigment production in different petal regions, thus regulating overall color intensity and the complex pigmentation patterns such as spots (Martins et al. 2017; Ding et al. 2020) and bullseyes (Sheehan et al. 2016). The primary activators of anthocyanin production in Petunia include four MYBs from subgroup 6 (AN2, AN4, DPL, and PHZ; Albert et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2021). Another cluster of subgroup 6 MYBs, the ASR genes, was recently described in Petunia, and these seem to be important early in bud development (Zhang et al. 2019). The activity of MYB repressors, including MYB27 and MYBx (Albert et al. 2011), also shape floral anthocyanin production. MYBFL, which belongs to the subgroup 7 flavonoid regulators, controls the floral expression of flavonol copigments (Sheehan et al. 2016). Changes in the function and expression of these diverse MYB transcription factors underlie much of the flower color variation across species of Petunia (Quattrocchio et al. 1999; Hoballah et al. 2007; Esfeld et al. 2018; Berardi et al. 2021). Thus, we hypothesized that MYBs are likely to contribute to the diversification of flower color across the entire Petunieae clade, a history that would be reflected in elevated rates of molecular evolution compared with the rest of the pathway.

To test these hypotheses, we built a large and densely sampled transcriptomic data set for Petunieae and examined the evolution of structural and regulatory genes of the flavonoid pathway across multiple flower color transitions. First, we estimated a new phylogeny for the tribe to provide a framework for identifying color transitions and testing for their molecular signatures. Next, we estimated rates of molecular evolution (in terms of the ratio of nonsynonymous and synonymous substitutions) for structural and regulatory genes to examine how these rates vary with position and functional role. Finally, we used the repeated color transitions across the phylogeny to test whether losses of floral pigmentation are associated with changes in the selective constraint acting on coding sequences and the presence/absence of transcripts of the structural and regulatory genes. Our results uncover widely varying dynamics across the pathway and its regulators, with some loci highly conserved and others rapidly evolving. The rapid evolution of MYB genes, along with the absence of the MYB activators in the white-flowered lineages, implicates this class of transcription factors as playing a central role in flower color evolution at the clade level.

### Results

**Transcriptomic Data Resolve Relationships across Petunieae**

We assembled de novo transcriptomes from 69 Petunieae species and an outgroup (Browallia americana; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), incorporating a median of 24,945,754 100-bp reads per assembly (see
Materials and Methods, supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Median contig lengths for the predicted CDS ranged from 447 to 837 bp, with a median of 714 bp. The transcriptomes contained a median of 24,840 transcripts per assembly (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). These transcriptomic data set spanned all genera of Petunieae, with multiple species of all nonmonospecific genera, allowing us to make inferences about relationships and explore the diversity of phylogenetic signal across loci. Previous phylogenetic analyses of Petunia and allied genera showed moderate to strong support for the monophyly of the genera. Still, these studies were often limited in sampling and relied on a handful of markers (e.g., Särkinen et al. 2013; Ng and Smith 2016). Furthermore, relationships among the genera have been contentious (Reck-Kortmann et al. 2015) and some genera have been very difficult to resolve (Fregonezi et al. 2012). Our coalescent-based and concatenation analyses of 3672 protein-coding genes revealed congruent relationships along the tree’s backbone (fig. 1 and supplementary figs. S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). For example, Petunia, Calibrachoa, and Fabiana formed a well-supported clade with the latter two genera as sister groups (as in Reck-Kortmann et al. 2015 but contra Olmstead et al. 2008; Särkinen et al. 2013). We also recovered the small but florally diverse Bouchartea–Hunzikeria–Plowmania clade and its close relationship to Nierembergia and Leptoglossis as in Särkinen et al. (2013). The large-flowered shrubby genus Brunfelsia is sister to this group of small herbs, a relationship also found in previous work (e.g., Filipowicz et al. 2012). In addition to these backbone relationships, our analyses highlighted the discordance across gene trees that may explain past challenges in inferring relationships among genera. The most significant conflict involves the placement of Brunfelsia, where we estimated that 26% of the genes conflict in the species tree (fig. 1 and supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online).

We also observed wide variation in patterns of concordance within the genera. Some splits are highly concordant across gene trees (e.g., the split between Antillean and South American Brunfelsia [Filipowicz et al. 2012] and the two
subgenera of *Calibrachoa* ([Fregonezi et al. 2012]) whereas other shallow relationships showed little agreement across gene trees (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Discordance was particularly notable in *Calibrachoa* subg. *Stimomphis*, where previous studies have found little support for relationships among the 25 species ([Fregonezi et al. 2012, 2013]). A more recent study using 10 kb of plastid and nuclear sequence data recovered higher support in combined analyses ([Mäder and Freitas 2019]); however, few of those relationships match those we inferred (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). These disagreements are not surprising as only approximately 10% of the genes follow the inferred species tree (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). The extremely short branch lengths in coalescent units (supplementary fig. S1A, Supplementary Material online) are consistent with incomplete lineage sorting during a rapid radiation. However, hybridization among the highly interfertile species ([Facciuto et al. 2009]) could also have contributed to the discordance. Portions of the *Petunia* clade show high levels of gene tree conflict as seen in *Calibrachoa* (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online).

**Rates of Molecular Evolution Vary Significantly across Pathway Genes**

We mined the high-quality floral transcriptomes (65 of 69 species) for structural and regulatory genes with well-studied roles in floral flavonoid variation in *Petunia*. We created a bioinformatic pipeline (see Materials and Methods) to retrieve ten structural genes and the homologs of eight transcription factors (shown in fig. 2A). We recovered nearly all of the structural genes for all of the taxa and the majority of the pigment activators and repressors, including the bHLH genes AN1 and JAF13, the WD40 AN11, and five MYBs that regulate flavonoid production. We did not include MYBx and MYBz in these searches because its very short coding length (85 amino acids) makes homology detection difficult. Also, we detected the homologs of An4 and the ASR genes in at most eight species; therefore, we excluded them from statistical analyses.

For CHI, our pipeline recovered both the A and B copies (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). We focused on CHI-A to represent this step in the pathway as it is the predominant copy involved in flavonoid synthesis in *Petunia* petals ([van Tunen et al. 1988]). However, the duplicates have similar rates of molecular evolution (results not shown). CHS also comprises a multigene family in *Petunia*, with CHS-A and CHS-J being closely related ([Koes et al. 1989]) and the former accounting for roughly 90% of the floral expression ([Koes et al. 1989]). Our pipeline retrieved a single copy across the taxa, which appears to correspond to CHS-A (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online).

Using sequence alignments for these genes and their maximum likelihood trees, we estimated the ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitution rates (dN/dS or “ω”) as an indicator of selective constraint. We found that ω varies nearly 7-fold across loci, with the lowest value (0.09) corresponding to the most upstream structural gene in the flavonoid pathway (CHS-A) and the highest value (0.62) corresponding to MYBFL, one of the MYB transcription factors (fig. 2B and supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online).
Material online). This rate for CHS is on par with housekeeping genes, such as actin and GAPDH homologs (supplementary fig. S6A, Supplementary Material online), and is consistent with strong purifying selection (Yang 2007). The genes with higher $\omega$ do not present more sites under positive selection (fig. 2B and supplementary fig. S6A, Supplementary Material online) and we did not find a significant correlation between $\omega$ and the number of positively selected sites, suggesting that the elevated rates instead reflect relaxed selective constraint spread across the coding regions. Pairwise comparisons among these loci supported the significant variation in molecular evolutionary rate observed across this set of genes (supplementary fig. S68, Supplementary Material online).

**Functional Category Predicts Evolutionary Rate Better Than Position in the Network**

In previous studies of the flavonoid pathway and other metabolic networks, the molecular rate of evolution and pathway position have been linked (Rausher et al. 1999, 2008); therefore, we first examined their relationship with our data set. We repeated the classic analysis of Rausher et al. (1999) and found a similar trend of increasing evolutionary rates moving along the linear portion of the pathway across the six "core" genes, that is, those that comprise the shortest pathway from precursors to pigments (CHS, CHI-A, F3H, DFR, ANS, and UFGT; supplementary fig. S7A, Supplementary Material online). However, this trend was not significant for $\omega$ or the nonsynonymous rates (dN) alone ($R^2 = 0.72$, 0.76, $P = 0.1$, 0.08; Kendall’s $T = 0.6$, 0.6, $P = 0.14$, 0.14, supplementary fig. S7A and B, Supplementary Material online). We then repeated this analysis using the broader set of genes involved in the flavonoid biosynthesis. We scored position using a modified pathway pleiotropy index (Ramsay et al. 2009), where we averaged the position for genes involved in multiple reactions (see supplementary methods, Supplementary Material online). Again, we found no significant relationship with dN or $\omega$ ($R^2 = 0.22$, 0.19, $P = 0.53$, 0.60; Kendall’s $T = 0.18$, 0.09, $P = 0.47$, 0.72, respectively; fig. 3A and supplementary fig. S7C, Supplementary Material online). This result appears to be partly driven by the highly conserved but downstream genes FLS and AAT (fig. 3A).

To test whether gene type was associated with substitution rate, we divided pathway genes into structural and regulatory categories and compared rates between these two groups. The median $\omega$ for transcription factors is roughly double that of the structural genes (0.4 vs. 0.2, Kruskal–Wallis H test: $H = 5.76$, $P = 0.016$, fig. 3C). The two classes of genes also differed in the range of variation in $\omega$, with the structural genes having a compact distribution and the transcription factors spread from 0.12 for the WD40 repeat protein AN11 to 0.62 for MYB-FL (fig. 3B). Thus, structural genes from the flavonoid pathway tend to evolve more slowly and vary less in rates of evolution than transcription factors in Petunieae.

Finally, we examined how these molecular evolutionary rates might vary with gene expression levels, as these two factors are often closely related (Jovelin and Phillips 2011; Slotte et al. 2011; Yang and Gaut 2011; Mukherjee et al. 2016). By mapping reads back to each assembled CDS for each species, we calculated the total reads per gene and found that this value was significantly correlated with the global $\omega$ ($R^2 = -0.43$, $P = 0.02$; fig. 4). The average number of reads for structural genes is 9.8-fold higher than for transcription factors ($P = 0.002$, supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online), in line with their lower $\omega$ values. We repeated the analysis with additional genes (five housekeeping genes and four flurally expressed transcription factors) to determine how widely this pattern held. We recovered a very similar pattern ($R^2 = -0.44$, $P = 0.02$, supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material online). We also considered that sequencing error associated with variation in read counts might contribute to the observed relationship with $\omega$. We compared our assemblies for pathway genes with those from published *Petunia* genomes. We found that the percent identity between the two was not related to read number (e.g., MYB27 and AAT were over 99% identical for *Petunia axillaris* sequences despite having thousands more reads for the latter;
We first carried out phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) analysis to test for associations between variation in molecular evolutionary rates and flower color intensity. Using anthocyanin content measured with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online) and tip values for rate $\omega$ (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online), we conducted PGLS analyses for each gene. The PGLS analyses showed two marginally significant relationships, nonsignificant after Bonferroni correction (supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online). Repeating this analysis with dN, rather than $\omega$, also revealed no significant gene-wise relationships (supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online). Since relaxed selection may not be concentrated in particular loci but spread across the pathway, we repeated the analysis using the sum of dN across all genes for each tip; this comparison also returned no significant relationship (supplementary fig. S10, Supplementary Material online). As a whole, the PGLS results indicate that shifts in the intensity of pigmentation are decoupled from rates of molecular evolution associated with flavonoid pathway genes.

Mapping anthocyanin content onto the Petunieae phylogeny indicated multiple complete losses of floral anthocyanins. Thus, we also scored pigmentation as present/absent and estimated branch models. We implemented branch models in RELAX (Wertheim et al. 2015), allowing $\omega$ to vary between background lineages producing pigments and foreground lineages without pigments while incorporating uncertainty in ancestral trait reconstruction. We estimated relaxed selective constrains for 4 of the 18 pathway genes examined: 3 regulatory genes coding for the transcription factors AN1, JAF13, AN11, and 1 structural gene, AAT, encoding a downstream enzyme in the flavonoid pathway (supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online). This result is significant for AN1, the bHLH component of MYB-bHLH-WD40 (MBW) complexes that activate anthocyanin production, where the estimated $\omega$ for lineages lacking anthocyanins is twice that of those with anthocyanins (supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online). Combined with the PGLS analyses, these results suggest that while reductions in pigmentation and evolutionary rates across the pathway are not tightly coupled, complete losses tend to coincide with relaxed selection for some loci.

Loss of Floral Pigmentation Accompanied by Lack of MYB Expression

In addition to variation in rates of molecular evolution across pathway loci, our transcriptomic data set revealed variation across species in the presence and absence of transcripts associated with the flavonoid pathway genes (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). We hypothesized that this variation in transcriptome content might be related to floral pigmentation, particularly as regulatory changes often underlie macroevolutionary color transitions (Larter et al. 2019). Treating anthocyanin concentration as a continuous trait, we again used PGLS to test for an association between pigmentation and variation in gene expression. We found a strong relationship ($R^2 = 0.21, P = 0.003$), with transcript...
Fig. 5. Convergent losses of color are associated with fewer pathway genes expressed. (A) Maximum likelihood ancestral state reconstruction of the anthocyanin pigment concentration for the 58 species with HPLC data. Black X indicates a complete loss of floral pigmentation. The heatmap shows flavonoid pathway genes detected (dark square) or not (light square) for each species based upon associated reads from the floral transcriptome. Genes are in the order MYBs, bHLH, WD40 transcription factors, then structural genes from early to late. (B) A plot of anthocyanin content against the number of pathway-related genes present for each species. (C) The number of species where we were able to detect each gene in the transcriptome. Structural genes are black, and transcription factors are gray.
With our 65 species data set, we observed a slight trend across these genes in the genome (see supplementary methods, often missing, and surveyed several taxa for the presence of primers for AN2 and DPL, the two MYBs that were most corolla tissues. To explore this possibility, we designed specific genomic content (gene gain or loss) or gene expression in scripts across Petunieae species could be due to differences in molecular evolution of the flavonoid pathway found a significant correlation (supplementary fig. S11, Supplementary Material online). Thus, the more pigmented species express a larger number of pathway genes, and specifically, more MYB genes, in their corolla.

In contrast to the pigmented species, white-flowered species tend to be missing MYB genes while retaining the expression of structural genes. The presence of the structural targets in the absence of their activators may seem surprising, especially for the downstream genes (e.g., DFR, ANS) that are only involved in anthocyanin production (fig. 2A). Still, most of the white-flowered species express at least one activator, which may be sufficient for a low level of pathway expression. There are three white-flowered species in which no activators were detected, and in these cases, it is possible that the activators were expressed in earlier stages of development (fig. 5A). For example, the ASR genes, which were only recovered from a few pigmented species (supplementary figs. S12 and S13, Supplementary Material online), tend to be active only early in bud development in Petunia (Zhang et al. 2019).

The variation in the presence and absence of MYB transcripts across Petunieae species could be due to differences in genomic content (gene gain or loss) or gene expression in corolla tissues. To explore this possibility, we designed specific primers for AN2 and DPL, the two MYBs that were most often missing, and surveyed several taxa for the presence of these genes in the genome (see supplementary methods, Supplementary Material online). The primers for DPL successfully amplified that specific MYB and allowed us to sequence only early in bud development in Petunia (Zhang et al. 2019).

We observed a slight trend across the six core pathway genes (supplementary fig. S3A, Supplementary Material online), but a more complete sampling of the pathway did not support this relationship (fig. 3A). The most downstream gene sampled, the anthocyanin modifier AAT, has a similar ω and an even lower dN than the first committed enzyme in the anthocyanin pathway, CHS (fig. 3C). Overall, it appears that rates of molecular evolution are only weakly related to pathway position, implicating other factors in generating the 3-fold variation in ω across the structural genes (fig. 28). One complication in dissecting this relationship is that flux control, thought to be the underlying driver of the position effect (Rausher et al. 1999), likely evolves with the color phenotype, shifting such that the enzyme’s control over the selected products is maximized (e.g., purple pigments; Wheeler and Smith 2019; Wheeler et al. 2021). Future studies could assess the role of flux more directly by focusing on phenotypic transitions and testing whether shifting flux control alters selective regimes acting on pathway enzymes.

Although relative position within the series of biochemical steps was not correlated with the rate of molecular evolution, we found that a gene’s function, as a regulator or enzyme, was predictive of selective constraint. Consistent with several studies in other pathways (e.g., Wu et al. 2010, but see Invergo et al. 2013; Jovelin and Phillips 2011), we found that transcription factors regulating the flavonoid pathway evolve 1.8 times faster on average than the structural genes they regulate (fig. 3C). The lack of positively selected sites in these genes suggests this difference is primarily due to relaxed selection (fig. 28). The elevated evolutionary rates in transcription factors may be surprising as any coding mutations could affect the expression of multiple downstream targets (Doebley and Lukens 1998; Carroll 2008). However, this predicted pleiotropy can be reduced by redundancy and specialization (Duret and Mouchiroud 2000; Badawi et al. 2014), both of which are at play among the regulators of anthocyanin biosynthesis. Functional studies in Petunia suggest that the two bHLH proteins AN1 and JAF13 overlap in function, with floral pigment production being initiated by JAF13 and then reinforced with AN1 to give full coloration (Spelt et al. 2000; Albert et al. 2014). Functional roles are even more finely divided among the MYB genes regulating the flavonoid pathway, most of which are specific to particular regions of the flower (Schwinn et al. 2006) and/or branches of the pathway (Sheehan et al. 2016; Berardi et al. 2021). For example, in most Petunia, DPL or AN4 control vein coloration (Albert et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2021), AN2 activates color production in the petal limb (Quattrocchio et al. 1993), and MYB-FL controls the flavonol copigments that give floral UV patterns (Sheehan et al. 2016). As might be predicted from these functional differences across TFs, the single-copy AN11 has a slower rate of molecular evolution; the bHLH genes are intermediate; and the diverse MYBs exhibit the fastest molecular rates (fig. 28; see also Streisfeld et al. 2011). In contrast to the functional specificity observed for most TFs, the pathway enzymes are widely expressed in above-ground tissues, where flavonoids, including anthocyanins, are involved in many

Discussion

Gene Function and Expression Drive Rates of Molecular Evolution

The topology of metabolic pathways has often been linked to rates of molecular evolution (Vitkup et al. 2006; Alvarez-Ponce et al. 2009; Montanucci et al. 2018). Early studies on the molecular evolution of the flavonoid pathway found a trend of greater constraint in the enzymes positioned at the first steps in the pathway (Rausher et al. 1999), which have high control over pathway output. Subsequent studies have recovered mixed results, with a few supporting the pattern (Lu and Rausher 2003) and others showing no association between position and rate of molecular evolution (Ramos-Onsins et al. 2008; Ho and Smith 2016; Shoeva et al. 2017). With our 65 species data set, we observed a slight trend across
physiological functions, such as protection from UV light and mitigation of drought stress (Gould 2004).

Transcription factors may evolve faster than their enzymatic targets, not only because of their specificity but also their lower level of expression. Our results showed transcription factors have a 9.8-fold lower level of expression on average than structural genes, and rates of molecular evolution negatively correlate with this variation (Pál et al. 2001; Subramanian and Kumar 2004; Drummond et al. 2006; Yang and Gaut 2011). The transcription factors, particularly the rapidly evolving MYB genes, had some of the lowest expression levels (fig. 4). The low level of expression of transcription factors relative to their targets has been widely observed (e.g. Ghaemmaghami et al. 2003; Czechowski et al. 2004; Vaquerizas et al. 2009) and may limit binding to the highest affinity targets (Liu and Clarke 2002). The relationship between expression levels and rates of sequence evolution may be driven by selection against misfolded proteins, which not only represent wasted energy but can act as toxins in the cell (Drummond and Wilke 2008). Some degree of misfolding of lowly expressed proteins may not invoke high fitness costs as long as the same mutations that affect misfolding propensity do not substantially affect function. By contrast, highly expressed genes found in many tissues, like housekeepers and the flavonoid pathway enzymes, are expected to be under strong selection for robust folding, consistent with our findings. Collectively, our results show that the role of the gene in the pathway (structural or regulatory) and the level of expression associated with that function are the primary drivers of rates of molecular evolution.

Changes in MYB Expression May Underlie Flower Color Evolution

Macroevolutionary transitions in phenotype are often associated with suites of changes in the pathways that underlie the development of those phenotypes. Although some of these changes are required to produce the new phenotype, others may accumulate after the transition, for example, changes that stabilize the new state (Rodriguez-Trelles et al. 2003; Poon and Chao 2005; Deng et al. 2010) or changes that reflect relaxed selection on genes no longer expressed (dePamphilis and Palmer 1990; Meredith et al. 2013; Boakye et al. 2017). Our study revealed repeated cases in which lineages have transitioned to pale flowers and, in some cases, lost floral pigmentation entirely (fig. 5). We hypothesized that pigment pathway genes, particularly the floral-specific regulators, would show relaxed selective constraint in these lineages. We found no clear evidence that decreases in the color intensity relaxed the strength of selection (supplementary tables S8 and S9, Supplementary Material online). However, complete losses of floral pigmentation, which occurred independently in five lineages (fig. 5, supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online), did lead to relaxed constraint for the downstream gene AAT and three transcription factors, the WD40 AN11, and the bHLH genes JAF13 and AN1, with the strongest effect in the latter (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online). These losses occurred within the last 10 Ma (fig. 5A, supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online), a timespan over which genes with lost functions would be expected to decay (Marshall et al. 1994; Lynch and Conery 2000; Protas et al. 2007). Even though the increase was marked (e.g., from ω of 0.31 in the background to 0.62 in loss lineages for AN1), all values remain well below 1, indicating purifying selection. They may, for example, contribute to flavonoid production in other tissues and/or in different conditions (e.g., drought stress). Some of the Petuniae species possess intraspecific flower color variation, which could provide an additional avenue for examining the contribution of coding sequence changes to color evolution (e.g., Zufall and Rausher 2004; Coburn et al. 2015). Although the R2R3 MYB AN2 and its close relatives DPL and PHZ did not emerge from these cross-species analyses of sequence evolution, they appear linked to color transitions through the presence and absence of their associated transcripts. The five pigment-less lineages are missing DPL and AN2 transcripts in their corollas, as are many other pale or white-flowered species (fig. 5A). Another MYB activator, PHZ, which gives blushes to flowers and colors the vegetative tissue of petunias (Albert et al. 2011), is recovered from most of the species, suggesting it is likely responsible for the pale floral coloration of taxa like the cupflowers (fig. 1E). By contrast, the intensely pigmented and patterned species, like most Petunia and Calibrachoa (fig. 1), express all three MYB activators (fig. 5A). Although this variation in transcriptome content could reflect underlying differences in genome content, our small PCR survey (see supplementary text, Supplementary Material online) supports the regulatory hypothesis, given that DPL and AN2 appear widely spread across Petunieae genomes. These findings align well with lessons from the model petunias that the diversification of R2R3 MYB genes and their regulation has been integral to flower color evolution (Esfeld et al. 2018; Berardi et al. 2021).

Conclusions

Patterns of molecular evolution across these biochemical pathways can provide insight into the selective forces that have historically acted on each gene and how these genes evolve in concert with phenotypic transitions. With extensive clade-level analysis of the anthocyanin pathway, our study confirms that most pathway elements are highly conserved, despite a multitude of shifts in color intensity and pattern. Evolutionary change in protein sequence is concentrated in the transcription factors of the pathway and especially the MYB genes. With their high tissue specificity and low levels of expression, coding mutations in MYBs are more often fixed than in other pathway genes. Moreover, the presence of transcripts associated with these genes is highly variable across species, with lineages containing the most substantial dose of MYB activators producing the most intense colors.

This study suggests that genomic scans of molecular evolutionary rates will be limited in their ability to identify genes and genetic changes that underlie adaptation. Although such approaches are commonly used to narrow down candidate loci (e.g. Prudent et al. 2016; Muntane et al. 2018), they will miss regulatory variation that may be more important in
driving phenotypic evolution. As seen here, the coding regions of the fast-evolving MYB genes show no evidence of altered selection in association with flower color shifts, although their expression patterns point to a strong relationship. This result highlights the importance of developing phylogenetic genotype-to-phenotype (PhyloG2P) approaches tailored for detecting the signals of different mechanisms that can alter phenotype, from variation in coding sequences (e.g. Halabi et al. 2021) to shifts in gene content (Kiefer et al. 2019), to regulatory changes (Larter et al. 2018; Hu et al. 2019). Integrating these approaches can lead to a deeper understanding of how pathway structure and function shape phenotypic space and the potential for moving through it.

Materials and Methods

Transcriptome Assembly and Identification of Pathway Genes

We sequenced and assembled floral transcriptomes for 67 species, leaf transcriptomes for an additional two species of Petuniae for which flowering material was not available, and a floral transcriptome for the outgroup species B. americana (supplementary table S1 and methods, Supplementary Material online). Tissue sampling and RNA extraction followed Larter et al. (2018), as described here (supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). Transcriptomes were assembled from 100-bp paired-end reads following Morales-Briones et al. (2021), with the steps unified as a single Snakemake pipeline (https://osf.io/b7gcg/). Briefly, raw reads were first corrected using Rcorrector (Song and Florea 2015), and Trimmmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) was used to remove adapters. Trimmed reads were assembled with Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011), incorporating strand-specific information. The raw Trinity assemblies were filtered for chimeric sequences using the “run_chimera_detection.py” script (https://bitbucket.org/yanaglab/phylogenomic_dataset_construction/) from Morales-Briones et al. (2021) with a custom BLAST database constructed from Petunia, Solanum, and Arabidopsis transcriptomes. We then used Corset (Davidson and Oshlack 2014) to collapse and cluster transcripts and finally TransDecoder (TransDecoder/TransDecoder 2021) to predict CDS and filter predicted sequences against the same custom BLAST database, discarding CDS with no BLAST hits.

We retrieved anthocyanin pathway genes, along with a selection of housekeeping genes and other known florally expressed transcription factors for comparison, by first collecting published sequences and using them to query BLAST databases created with makeblastdb (Madden 2013) from each raw Trinity transcriptome assemblage using BLASTN. Our search set included the structural genes encoding CHS-A, CHI-A, F3H, FLS, F3’H, F3’5’S’H, DFR, ANS, UFGT, AAT; the transcription factors AN2, DPL, PHZ, AN11, AN1, JAF13, MYBFL, MYB27, AN4, ASR1, ASR2, ASR3, PH1, PH2, ODO1; and the housekeeping genes actin, tubulin, Rps18, Gapdh, Hprt (see supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online for full gene names). We retained matching hits (e-value cutoff = 1e−50) and then used TransDecoder to predict CDS and peptide sequences from these sets. Among these sets, we aligned each hit to a representative published sequence using BioPython and kept the single most similar sequence (highest alignment score). Filtered sequences were queried against the Petunia inflata draft genome CDS using BLASTN (Bombarely et al. 2016) to validate this approach. Given the close relationships among the MYB activators (AN2, AN4, DPL, PHZ, ASR1, ASR2, ASR3), we took an additional step to confirm the accuracy of our double BLAST approach, building a gene tree for the entire set to determine how the recovered sequences are related to the characterized genes from Petunia (see supplementary results, Supplementary Material online). After this step, we excluded AN4 and the ASR genes, because we recovered from eight or fewer taxa each, probably due to their low expression at the sampled bud stage (Zhang et al. 2019). For the remaining 26 loci, we inferred final alignments of the peptide sequences with MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013) and used these to generate codon alignments of the corresponding nucleotide CDS with pal2nal.pl (Suyama et al. 2006). We inspected all alignments for spurious sequences, and in this process, removed one truncated and unalignable CHI-A sequence from Hunzikeria texana. Finally, we estimated maximum likelihood gene trees from the codon alignments with a GTR + Γ model in RaxML (Stamatakis 2014) for downstream analyses. All scripts for these bioinformatic steps, along with the assembled transcriptomes, are deposited online (https://osf.io/b7gcg/).

Estimation of Evolutionary Rates across Genes and Branches

We discarded five transcriptomes from our analyses of molecular evolution. Brunfelsia pauciflora (BRPA), Brunfelsia plicata (FBRP), and Fabiana viscosa (FAVI) were dropped because they are derived from leaf rather than floral tissue. Calibrachoa parviflora (CPAR) and Calibrachoa excellens (CEXC) were also discarded due to the lower quality of the sequencing data (see supplementary methods, Supplementary Material online). We used the codon alignments and corresponding gene trees for the remaining 65 species to analyze patterns of molecular evolution. We used HyPhy (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2020) to fit a single ω and a free-rates model for each gene. Briefly, the single ω model fits a single shared value for the dN/dS ratio for the entire gene tree inferred from the codon alignment. In contrast, the free-rates model fits a separate ω value for each branch. To test whether the global ω values were driven by a subset of sites under positive selection, we fit the FUBAR model (Murrell et al. 2013) in HyPhy. We confirmed that the genes exhibit significant variation in ω using the BUSTED model in HyPhy to conduct pairwise comparisons across a subset of genes spanning the range of observed global ω values (supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). We also compared synonymous and nonsynonymous rates across genes by summing estimated branch lengths for each (dN and dS) from HyPhy. We used the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis H test (Kruskal and Wallis 1952) and Kendall’s τ (Kendall 1938) to compare rates across gene types and pathway positions. For
testing the association between molecular evolution and losses of floral anthocyanins, we used the RELAX approach (Wertheim et al. 2015), also implemented in HyPhy. For this analysis, we used the species tree (see below) and assigned species lacking floral anthocyanins to the foreground using the phylotree.js tool (http://phylotree.hyphy.org/). We used maximum parsimony to label internal foreground branches and then fit the RELAX model to each codon alignment to test for different dN/ds rate classes between foreground (unpigmented) and background (pigmented) branches. Raw data and scripts to run these analyses are deposited (https://osf.io/b7gcp/).

Quantification of Anthocyanin Content

We quantified the production of anthocyanins for each sampled Petunieae species with HPLC. We sampled flowers from three individuals per species and used these to calculate the mean anthocyanin mass fraction (mg compound per g tissue) over replicates. For each individual, we collected fresh floral corolla tissue, dried the tissue with silica gel, and stored the material in 2-ml tubes at −80°C as in Berardi et al. (2016). For extraction of total flavonoids, 0.005 to 0.1 g of dried tissue was soaked in 1 ml 2 N HCl overnight. Samples were then centrifuged (3 min at 12,000 RPM) to pellet tissue debris and the 1 ml solvent was decanted into a new 2-ml tube. Samples were heated at 100–104°C for 1 h to convert the glycosylated flavonoids into their corresponding aglycones. Four hundred microliters of ethyl acetate was then added to each tube and vortexed thoroughly to mix the solution. Samples were centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 1 min. The ethyl acetate layer (containing flavones and flavonols) was carefully removed using a micropipette. This ethyl acetate wash was repeated a second time. Tubes containing the remaining HCl layer were then placed open-topped in an N-EVAP nitrogen evaporator connected to an airline in a fume hood to evaporate residual ethyl acetate. One hundred and fifty microliters of iso-amyl alcohol was then added to the tubes and the solution was vortexed thoroughly to mix. Samples were again centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 1 min. The iso-amyl alcohol layer (containing anthocyanidins) was carefully removed and pipetted into new 1.5-ml tubes and this iso-amyl alcohol extraction step was repeated a second time. The combined iso-amyl alcohol layers were then dried using an N-EVAP. Each extract was eluted in 50 μl of 1% HCl in MeOH before analyses. Before injecting onto the HPLC system, we assessed the overall concentration by examining a series of dilutions (1:75, 1:50, 1:10) on an Eppendorf BioSpectrometer and ensuring that peaks between 200 and 680 nm were under an absorbance of 3.0 (within linear range of the spec) to avoid overloading the column. After this QC step, 10 μl at the desired dilution (none or 1:10) were injected into an Agilent 1260 HPLC system. Anthocyanidins were separated by gradient elution at 30°C using solvents A (HPLC-grade water, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) and C (1-propanol, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) with the following program: 15% C from 0 to 4 min; linear increase to 20% C from 4 to 10 min; 20% C from 10 to 14 min; linear increase to 22.5% C from 14 to 16 min; instantaneous increase to 27.5% C; 27.5% C from 16 to 18 min; instantaneous decrease to 15% C; 15% C from 18 to 21 min. Peaks were detected at 520 and 540 nm. A blank sample was run after every three samples and between species to wash the injection needle and avoid contamination. The mobile phase was 0.5% TFA in HPLC grade water and 1% HCL in MeOH and used a 100-4.6 mm Chromolith Performance column. All results were analyzed using Agilent Chemstation software and peaks were compared with standards obtained from Extrasynthese (360 nm for flavonoids and 520 nm for anthocyanidins). In total, we completed HPLC analyses for 58 Petunieae species.

Species Tree Estimation and PGLS Analyses

We used the phylotranscriptomic pipeline developed by Yang and Smith (2014) to estimate species relationships. This pipeline uses a combination of BLAST searches and tree-building steps to identify homologous gene clusters and estimate ML gene trees for input into species tree estimation programs (described in the supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). To obtain an ultrametric tree (with branches proportional to time) for statistical comparative analyses, we estimated branch lengths from a sample of genes present in all species and used penalized likelihood as implemented in TreePL (Smith and O’Meara 2012) to carry out rate smoothing (described in the supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). We used this ultrametric tree to estimate ancestral states for anthocyanin content with the fastAnc function of the phytools package (Revell 2012) and test for associations between these shifts and multiple aspects of molecular evolution. First, we used a PGLS to test for associations between tip estimates of ω for each gene from the free-rates model and anthocyanin amount with the gls function in the NLME package (Pinheiro et al. 2021). We set the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck parameter α to be freely estimated, allowing the degree of phylogenetic structure to vary across analyses. Second, we used PGLS to examine the relationship between gene presence/absence and anthocyanin content for each gene (with nonzero missing species). Finally, we repeated the PGLS analysis scoring anthocyanins as present or absent, which is equivalent to a phylogenetic ANOVA (Rohlf 2001).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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