1 Introduction

The early modern study of light and color was a branch of natural philosophy known as *optika* (from the Greek) or *perspectiva* (from the Latin). This field of knowledge was particularly important to early modern artists, for whom the study of *perspectiva* led to the invention of linear perspective by Filippo Brunelleschi, its articulation by Leon Battista Alberti, and to Leonardo da Vinci’s innovations in the painting of light and color. Leonardo’s passion to perfectly imitate nature led him to question aspects of natural philosophy applicable to painting and assess them through experience. Nicolas Poussin represents an equally significant exemplar of how the systematic study of optics made possible innovations in representation. Yet Poussin has mostly been studied as an exemplar of a literary and antiquarian approach which, from the vantage point of our modern separation of the sciences from the humanities and fine arts, seems particularly challenging to resolve.

Poussin’s study of optics was documented by André Félibien who, during his tenure in Rome from 1647-1649, became personally acquainted with the artist. Félibien characterized Poussin as striving for mastery in his art, going beyond what he could see with his eyes and could learn from the study of the great masters, by delving into science. He wrote:

l’Optique, qui dans la Peinture est comme un instrument necessaire & favorable pour redresser...
Optics, that in painting is like an instrument which is necessary and useful to correct the senses and make sure that they are not deceived by weakness or otherwise, and do not sometimes mistake false apparitions for true solids.

A similar point was made by Giovanni Passeri, contrasting Poussin to Italian painters who avoided disciplined study and made “nella prospettiva errori da Elefanti” (‘elephantine errors in perspective’).

Optics was a study that occupied Poussin over the course of many years, and he undoubtedly read widely as he did in other endeavors. Among his principle sources was the treatise on perspective and optics by Matteo Zaccolini (1574-1630). Poussin also studied the writings of Leonardo da Vinci that were known through the abridged version of the Trattato della pittura and the excerpts from Leonardo’s autograph manuscripts that Galeazzo Arconati prepared for Cardinal Francesco Barberini, including one on light and shadow. Félibien added that he studied Albrecht Dürer, Leon Battista Alberti, and the great medieval treatises on optics by Alhacen (Latin for Ibn al-Haytham, and often spelled Alhazen) and Erazmus Ciolek Witelo.

This list of authorities from the past reminds us that the threads linking modern painting with scientific interests do not necessarily pair modernity in one field with modernity in the other. Indeed, although optics made significant advances in the seventeenth century, starting with Johannes Kepler’s Ad Vitellionem paralipomena, of 1604, and ending with Isaac Newton’s Opticks, of 1704, the optical knowledge that inspired Poussin was not at the forefront of this transformation.

This is particularly the case with Zaccolini’s four volumes on optics and perspective. Zaccolini summarized what medieval optics and Aristotelian philosophy had to offer the visual artist with a few bits of modernity culled from Kepler’s important contributions to the science of vision. His complex intermixing of old and new fits the revisionist view of seventeenth-century science history where, as Pamela Smith and others have argued, the path to modernity involved the blending of authoritative knowledge with artisanal practices, alchemy, and applied mathematics. Zaccolini’s treatises were never printed, limiting their influence, but they did contribute to the history of art by making extraordinarily clear how the axioms and propositions of scientific optics applied to the pictorial arts.

Poussin’s study of Zaccolini was singled out by all three of his biographers – Giovan Pietro Bellori, Giovanni Passeri, and André Félibien – each of whom knew him personally, thereby testifying to the importance Poussin himself gave to optics when he relayed his thoughts on painting to them. Jean Dughet, Poussin’s brother-in-law and lifelong assistant related in a letter published by Félibien that Poussin had him copy parts of the Zaccolini manuscripts before they traveled to Paris in 1640. Poussin apparently kept his copy of the Zaccolini manuscripts for the duration of his life, since Dughet offered to loan it to Roland Fréart de Chambray in 1666, and in 1678, asked the Abbé Nicaise to find a buyer for things he had inherited from Poussin, including a manuscript perspective treatise.

After Giovanni Previtali drew attention to the frequent and extraordinary attention Bellori paid to the study of Dürer in Rome, see Roccasecca 2011; for an overview of sources in Italy, Fara 2014. Alberti was available in the Italian edition by Cosimo Bartoli (L’architettura, Florence, 1550; Opuscoli morali, Florence, 1568). Alhazen and Witelo were never printed, limiting their influence, but they did contribute to the history of art by making extraordinarily clear how the axioms and propositions of scientific optics applied to the pictorial arts.
to Poussin’s light and shadow in the *Vite de’ pittori, scultori, ed architetti moderni*,¹¹ Elizabeth Cropper examined the rediscovered Zaccolini treatises. Focusing upon the volume on shadow projection, *Della descrizione dell’ombre prodotte da corpi opachi rettilinei* (Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Ashburnham 1212), she reinterpreted Poussin’s drawing of *An Artist’s Studio* in the Uffizi and took on the challenge of elucidating Félibien’s claim that Poussin showed what he learned from Zaccolini in his works with a splendid analysis of the *Sacrament of the Eucharist* painted for Cassiano dal Pozzo.¹² She inferred that Poussin’s logical ordering of light and shadow in *Rebecca and Eliezer at the Well* (Paris, Louvre, 1648), a painting singled out by Félibien as a work indebted to Leonardo da Vinci’s ideas, was a product of this same interest, since Dal Pozzo and Giovanni Baglione wrote of Zaccolini’s fascination with Leonardo.¹³ Since then, numerous studies have addressed Poussin’s self-portraits and the headpiece to Bellori’s *Life of Poussin* which were labeled “LVMEN ET OMBRA” [fig. 1], “DE LUM. ET. OMB.” and “DE LVMINE ET COLORE.”¹⁴

Leonardo’s writings on painting circulated in manuscript for some eight decades before their publication in Paris (1651) as the *Trattato della pittura di Lionardo da Vinci*.¹⁵ Although greatly abridged from the volume Francesco Melzi compiled from his master’s notebooks, the abridged text of concise precepts inspired Poussin in its aphoristic format,¹⁶ its analysis of human movement,¹⁷ its ekphrastic descriptions of storms and night scenes,¹⁸ and its sensitivity to effects of light and color.¹⁹ The French translation of the *Traité de la peinture* was dedicated to Poussin, but the calumny of Abraham Bosse to discredit that publication, citing Poussin’s disapproval of it, was effective enough to discourage scholars from exploring its role in Poussin’s maturation as an artist until recently.²⁰ Robison showed how Poussin’s *The Israelites Gathering Manna in the Desert* (1637-1639, Paris, Louvre) gave ideal expression to many precepts expressed by Leonardo before the printed edition emerged.²¹ Robison further explored how Poussin’s study of a “libro” on light and shadow culled from Leonardo’s autograph manuscripts in Milan, and loaned to him before he left for Paris in late 1640, inspired his depiction of light and shadow in the pendant paintings for Girolamo Roscioli, *St. Matthew and the Angel* (Berlin, Gemäldegalerie) and *St. John on Patmos* (Chicago, Art Institute), both works in which landscape plays a prominent role.²²

All these studies on Poussin’s optics showed how the knowledge he gained from studying optics contributed to his mastery of naturalistic effects and enhanced the rhetorical persuasiveness of his conceits. Keith Christiansen presumed that further study of the Zaccolini treatises would do more of the same, adding little to our knowledge of Poussin.²³ I will demonstrate, however, that Poussin’s study of optics expanded his tools of visual expression, and made possible the realization of several innovations – innovations that would not otherwise have been possible.
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Figure 1  After Charles Errard, Allegorical headpiece to the *Life* of Nicolas Poussin, from Giovanni Pietro Bellori, *Le Vite de’ pittori, scultori e architetti moderni*, Rome, Mascaldi, 1672, 407. Photo: National Gallery of Art Library, David K. E. Bruce Fund, Washington DC
Shortly after the J. Paul Getty Museum in Los Angeles acquired *Landscape with a Calm*, Denise Allen and David Jaffe related the reflections in the lake to Félibien’s discussion in the fifth *Entretien* and argued that Poussin’s representation of optical reflections here and in other works from the 1640s and 50s served the purpose of affirming his mastery of optics.24 The discussion here supports Poussin’s mastery of optics but goes further by suggesting that this mastery enabled Poussin to portray things that no other painter before him had done. It will also support the conclusions of Cropper and Charles Dempsey,25 Cropper,26 Anthony Colantuono,27 and Helen Glanville28 that Poussin was not a naturalistic painter in the sense that representing the picture of nature was his primary objective. Rather, naturalism was the means to an end, and that end varied in accord with the subject and/or conceit of the painting, which was always more than what first grabs the eye. Poussin was an independent thinker whose base of knowledge was broad and whose intellectual powers were considerable. Just as art historians acknowledge how the study of anatomy and life drawing furthered naturalism in the representations of the human figure, but do not presume that Poussin and other meritorious painters depicted nudes to merely demonstrate their anatomical mastery, Poussin’s mastery of optics likewise furthered his pictorial objectives without being the objective itself.

Early modern optics was a broader field of study than modern optics today. In addition to the study of light, color, and the anatomy of the eye, it dealt with all the varied issues of visual perception, such as how we see distance and size, separation or contiguity, beauty or ugliness, and every other quality that can be discerned through the judgment of the sense of sight. Leonardo’s abridged *Trattato* has several passages dealing with perceptual issues, the most well-known of which is the way that the juxtaposition of light and dark intensifies the perception of lightness or darkness. Zaccolini’s *Prospettiva del colore* has many more examples, particularly regarding adjustments painters can choose to make, as we will see in the next section. Thus, the optics of Zaccolini and Leonardo provided tools to help Poussin manipulate the way viewers will perceive his paintings.

In addition, the study of early modern optics elucidates certain aspects of Poussin’s modernity; indeed, it helps make sense of Poussin’s unusual approach to light and shade in drawings. Oscar Bätschmann observed that his wash drawings depart radically from the tradition of modeling solid forms in light and dark, the hallmark of *rilievo* in Italian art since the time of Giotto. Comparing Poussin’s approach to Claude and Guercino, Bätschmann was struck by Poussin’s obliteration of drawn outlines with dark wash, but did not attempt to understand why Poussin made this choice.29 No less innovative was the way Poussin built form out of planes of color, a point Silvia Ginzburg emphasized as “modern” and inspirational to Cézanne.30 Glanville found common ground between his use of color and his use of wash as a way of imitating the divine act of creation in the representational arts.31 The theory of visual perception in early modern optics informs us that both practices, separating light from dark and building form out of color, imitate the way vision presumably works.

The dominant theory of visual perception was derived from Alhacen (ca 965-ca 1040), who modified Aristotle and Ptolemy’s theories to include light in addition to color as primary objects of the sense of sight. All other visual qualities were said to be intellectually constructed through the mental faculties involving recognition and inference.32 By depicting light and dark, or color *qua* color, Poussin thus provides the viewer with the raw material to perceive all visual qualities (e.g. distance, size, shape, beauty, separation, contiguity, etc.) by means of the same processes of judgment and inference involved in everyday visual experiences. It is the pictorial analogy to showing through dialogue and description, rather than telling, a solution that gives the reader of literature the requisite cues to
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discern the motives and character traits of the actors in a story. Poussin gives the viewer the tools to recognize and infer from visual cues of light, dark, and color; in this way, he encourages the viewer’s engagement of the mind to understand and gain knowledge, an idea Poussin essentially stated in his letter to Chantelou of 20 March 1642.33

Ginzburg discarded the likelihood that Zaccolini could have been the inspiration for Poussin’s new approach to landscape in ca 1640, instead, building an argument for his presumed influence from Girard Desargues and Abraham Bosse.34 It may be true that Poussin admired Desargues and learned something from Bosse during his two years in France (1640-1642), but it is premature to discard his study of Zaccolini as a potential inspiration. In Brouillon projet (1640), Desargues’ printed criticism of a drawing Poussin sent him from Rome does not point to a friendship of mutual admiration, whether that lost drawing concerned a method of shadow projection based on Zaccolini or on Leonardo.35 Moreover, no preparatory drawings or underdrawings show evidence that Poussin projected his cast shadows using Desargues’ method. On the contrary, first-hand reports of his “grande machine” describe him working by sight to observe the projection of shadows and the fall of light on wax figurines set inside a viewing box.36 Although Zaccolini wrote a perspective treatise with an entire volume dedicated to shadow projection, he had enough practical experience to acknowledge that geometric methods were tedious and most painters had no time to work out such details. He therefore proposed that painters should educate themselves in the rules first, but then work intelligently by using his illustrations as a quick guide, without having to draw out all the lines.

Zaccolini’s guidance on the way vast spaces are perceived, and how painters can distinguish near from far, inspired Poussin to invent a new way of organizing a landscape. Not only did Zaccolini elaborate on the importance of color perspective in Prospettiva del colore (Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Ashburnham 1212v), but he also devoted chapters to the diminution of shadow intensity following the example of Leonardo da Vinci.37 Addressing the issue of how a painter can produce the impression of vast space, he explained that a variety of colors and objects is not only satisfying to the eye but gives the impression of greater space. A traveler seeing a town on the far side of a uniform plain who judges it to be near, will discover after a full day’s journey that more distance must be traversed and, conversely, a traveler seeing a town beyond groves of trees, buildings, and other objects will judge it to be quite far yet arrive earlier than expected. He advised painters to apply this principle to their pictures to make the pictorial space look deeper.38

Thus, eschewing the time-worn recessional devices of the dark repoussoir, the alley of diminishing trees, and the winding river, Poussin learned that he could give the impression of vast space by incorporating many compartments with many things. He invented a novel way to do so in the pendant landscapes from 1648: Landscape with the Body of Phocion Carried Out of Athens (Cardiff, National Museum) (fig. 2), and Landscape with the Ashes of Phocion Collected by his Widow (Liverpool, Walker Art Gallery). In both cases, a vast space was appropriate to the story told by Plutarch in which a false accusation of treason necessitated Phocion’s burial far outside the city walls. Anthony Blunt considered these Poussin’s first attempts to develop a new style of landscape, one which “went beyond the
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structural devices of his beloved models, Domenichino and Annibale”. Poussin made many small subdivisions with colors, stones, figures, animals, and verdure. Zigzagging paths and colored wedges of light and dark shapes lead our eye on a slow journey from foreground to background where we not only delight in the myriad of things displayed but, because it takes us a long time to explore this richness, we experience the vastness of the space as we “read” the picture, one element at a time.

3 The Lake as Mirror

The mirror lake with its manifold reflections is a common motif in Poussin’s landscapes and sacred histories. By a close examination of reflections in the lake of Landscape with a Calm [fig. 3], we will see how Poussin made use of optics to imitate nature as well as to direct the viewer’s experience and create meaning.

Recent monographs and exhibitions have highlighted the reappearance of the paintings Félibien called “Un temps calmes & serain” and its pendant “L’Orage,” painted for Jean Pointel in 1650-1651, after Thuillier recognized them among paintings attributed to Poussin’s brother-in-law Gaspard Dughet. Although many problems still remain in

39 Blunt 1944, 157; on 163 he also pointed out that landscape elements are “built up three dimensionally like figure compositions […] rather than through repoussoirs or panoramas, leading the eye back through a series of carefully disposed masses”.
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distinguishing works from the late 1620s and early 1630s, these pendant landscapes are highly regarded as masterpieces of Poussin’s maturity. In speaking of *A Calm*, Richard Verdi emphasized the “sharply focused forms and pellucid light” and the “poise and clarity of its design”. In a later exhibition devoted to landscape, Pierre Rosenberg particularly emphasized the contrast of *A Calm* as a pendant to *The Storm* down to the details of their execution: smooth unified brush strokes in one, quick and nervous in the other.

In *A Calm*, the lake occupies one-third of the land mass and extends across nearly the entire pictorial width. By sheer virtue of its size, the lake is the single most dominant element in the picture. People and animals are distributed throughout the space, as are natural features such as trees and hills, and manmade structures of walls and buildings. In the remote distance, the low hills give way to gigantic mountains whose rocky peaks rise into the clouds. The reflections in the lake mirror the forms of nature surrounding that body of water – a stable, a herd of cattle and a flock of sheep, distant walls and edifices – functioning as a natural mirror. This mirror transforms what it reflects by making the images slightly darker and less clear than the objects being reflected.

It was commonplace in optical literature to describe reflections as darker and weaker than the original objects being reflected, as their color was said to mingle with the dark color of the (metal) mirror and because “light weakens as it extends from its source”. Zaccolini explained the theory in *Prospettiva del colore* in terms that emphasized
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the variations in color and light which, in the reflected images, are “un concerto visibile, e così raro di eccellente proportione” (‘a visible concert, and of such rare and exquisite proportions’) that nearby things appear to be nearby and distance things appear to be distant.\footnote{Zaccolini, Prospettiva del colore, trattato XII, cap. 3, fol. 86v.} Poussin took this analogy to heart in creating a veritable symphony of reflected colors, tonally varied in their relationship to their objects as they reduce in contrast and intensity depending upon their distance from the water.

While scientific optical texts followed a standard presentation starting with the optics of plane mirrors and proceeding through convex or spherical mirrors to concave or burning mirrors, presenting the equal angles law (that the incident ray percusses and rebounds at equal angles like a ball thrown at a wall), Zaccolini focused instead on the principles necessary to accurately paint reflections on surfaces that painters might wish to depict. He showed how these laws must guide the painter in order to avoid errors and the embarrassment arising when errors are noticed. The painter needs to know that reflections do not appear at night, will look broken and serpentine in moving water, and that when they appear in still water, the left and right sides will be reversed as will the top and the bottom. Poussin never makes such errors despite the absence of drawn lines in underdrawings and preparatory studies, having fully integrated the rules. This we can observe in the clear, precise reflections from the stationary sheep at the river bank, some of which are bending to drink, but have not yet disturbed the smooth surface of the water. Where a cow up ahead moves forward, white ripples appear in the water and there is no reflection visible [fig. 4]. The viewer who looks as carefully as Poussin wished will not fail to notice how Poussin has developed the idea of varietà in the representation of animals usually depicted in undifferentiated herds.

Poussin’s mastery of optics led him to realize that the depiction of reflections in water can reveal where the imaginary or ideal viewer stands as effectively as a vanishing point in a linear perspective design. Generally, reflections match the colors, shapes, and proportions of objects, but as Zaccolini emphasized, water only represents images of what it “sees”. To reflect something on the water surface visible to the imagined viewer, one must be able to draw a straight line from each part of that object to the water surface and another line from the water surface to the imaginary viewer. Each line represents the path of a ray of light and color. Since the equal angles law of reflection states that the angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection, the rays from the object must strike the water surface at the same angle that the reflected rays bounce off the surface towards the viewer. Félibien understood the importance of this principle. His fifth \textit{Entretien}, published in 1679, presented a geometric diagram showing these extended lines supplemented by a representational illustration that can be seen at a glance [fig. 5]. This illustration makes apparent that the tall column topped by a vase will not appear in its entirety in the reflection seen by an observer standing on the opposite shore; the observer will see only the upper part, where the pyramid of rays from the point of reflection to the eye intersects the rays arriving from points on the object at the water surface. It is much more ele-
Figure 5  Diagram of a column and its reflection in water. From André Félibien, *Entretiens sur la vie et les ouvrages des plus excellents peintres anciens et modernes*, V, 2nd ed., vol. 3. Trévoux, 1725, 48. Photo: National Gallery of Art Library, David K. E. Bruce Fund, Washington DC
gant than Zaccolini’s chapter in Prospettiva lineale (fol. 67r), in which the same principle is elucidated with a plane mirror set parallel to the tower,\(^48\) and in Prospettiva del colore, where a crenellated tower seen slightly to the left of center is shown reflected in a body of water.\(^49\) Knowing that the temptation would be to depict all or most of the column in the reflection, Félibien advocated studying optics to understand the causes of things and cited Poussin as a model.\(^50\) Zaccolini had made a similar recommendation.\(^51\)

Poussin’s mastery of these principles made it possible for him to realize their potential as enriching elements rather than decorative ornaments. In A Calm, the images of distant buildings are truncated, so that the tops of the highest buildings seem to rise directly above the reflections of the cattle and sheep. The huge rocky mountain is not reflected in the lake despite its massive size. These details convey information about the viewer’s slightly elevated position and distance, corroborated by his arm as if to grasp it sooner; another quietly observes his horse satisfying its thirst; while a third indoors is engaged in washing. On the far side of the lake, a shepherd follows his flock with his gaze, while the cattlemann drives his oxen into the water with a large stick. Outside the city walls, a few remote figures, mere dots on the picture surface, seem focused on the city beyond. But the privileged viewer, Pointel, settles his gaze on the center of the lake where the field of blue is not interrupted by patches of varying colors. The privileged viewer thus sees the reflection of the overhead sky which the figures inhabiting the landscape do not see. Like the omniscient narrator in a story, the privileged viewer sees everything that the human actors see but also sees what the water “sees”. And that is a clear expanse of blue at the zenith of the sky.

This second-hand view of the unseen heavens, normally visible only to those who turn their eyes heavenward, may be related to recommendations Zaccolini provided. He suggested painters take advantage of reflected images to show what the main protagonists in the story cannot see or what the
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viewer cannot see directly. For example, a soldier seeing the enemy reflected in the water can prepare for the skirmish, and a person passing over a bridge can enjoy the reflections of the underside of the arches in the water, while

il Pittore non havendo sito da ispiegare Istorie, è qualsivoglia attione, sopra del detto Ponte, le potrà dimostrare mediante l’immagini, che dall’acqua si veggono nella parte di sotto à gli archi del detto ponte […] hora il Pittore dimostrando in questo con l’intelligenza di maggior artifizio la sua pittura vaga potrà acquistare maggior onore e fama immortale.

the painter, not having a place to display some action in the story above the bridge, would be able to show it by means of the images that the water sees from the underside of the arches of this bridge] […] and due to the cleverness of such artifice, would accrue great honor and immortal fame. 54

In the previous chapter Zaccolini suggested that a knowledge of optics could bring military benefits because “a valiant soldier could be informed of enemy forces by means of these [reflected] images”. 55 Such practical suggestions inspired Poussin who, by 1650, had reached the point of mastery where he could invent a body of reflective water that became the central focus of the painting.

If the lake is indeed the central focus of A Calm, then how might we interpret it? We have already seen that Poussin used wash and blotches of color so that the viewing of his painting would engage human vision in a way analogous to determinate vision, the kind of vision that brings knowledge and understanding through the engagement of the intellect. In the case of the lake, the analogy to human vision is furthered by anatomical optics, which explained that the eye sees by means of reflection and refraction through aqueous humors. Whether Poussin accepted the old perspectiva model of a spherical eye or the new model of light focused onto the retina, the image-forming properties of the eye and its aqueous substance were indisputable. Thus, we have an interesting set of parallels: the viewer and the sentient beings in the painting see the lake. The lake “sees”, but only a part of what the viewer sees, and what the sentient beings depicted do not see at all: the clear sky overhead. Thus, the viewer is granted the privileged position of seeing simultaneously the world inhabited by mankind and the celestial realm.

In De caelo, Aristotle linked the perfection of the celestial region directly to its distance from the earth. 56 This idea was repeated by Zaccolini, who explained that the greatest height coincides with the purest air, and that this should be depicted with the most perfect, deep azure. 57 In the meteorological language of the time, the zenith of the sky is the most rarified. This third and highest realm of the air lacks meteors, the term for disturbances in the air such as weather, comets, rainbows, lightening, winds, and rising dew from imperfect mixtures of the four elements. 58 Consequently, it is calm and unchanging. The cosmos was widely believed to be constructed of concentric spheres with a central terraqueous sphere surrounded by a sphere of air, which was surrounded by a sphere of more rarified fire. The medieval schema had included a central sphere of earth surrounded by a sphere of water, but when geographical explorers from Portugal proved that land masses exist beyond the oceans, the schema was revised. 59 Poussin’s inclusion of large bodies of water in his landscapes may testify to his modernity in accepting the new model, although it is possible that he accepted Neostoic views of a single, unifying element, 60 or even the heliocentric astronomical challenge to cosmology, at the time banned by the Catholic church.

The glimpse Poussin provides into the realm at the zenith of the sky, depicted in a clear light blue, contrasts with the sullying of that blue by the admixture of gray and white at the horizon, a sign of vapor condensing in the cold to form clouds. Closer to land, curls of smoke rise from human activity and drift behind a low hill in the middle ground, a quotidian example of a hot exhalation with upward movement that creates weather and other disturbances in the inhabited, terraqueous realm. Poussin certainly wanted the viewer to notice this contrast and think about its implications. The English

54 Zaccolini, Prospettiva del colore, trattato XII, cap. 17, fol. 103r-v.
55 Zaccolini, Prospettiva del colore, trattato XII, cap. 16, fol. 103r. An anonymous reviewer pointed out that Félibien also has Pyrrhonian remark that reflections reveal things the eye does not directly see (Félibien 1679, V, 53).
56 Arist., De caelo, 269r, 13-18.
57 Zaccolini, Prospettiva del colore, trattato XV.
58 Heninger 1968, 4.
59 Grant 1994, 48.
60 McTighe 1996, esp. 21 and 34, explores the relationship to similar ideas in Neostoicism.
poet John Donne (1572-1631) reminds us that such contrasts were frequently evoked in metaphors as he consoles his feverish mistress by comparing her true nature to this uppermost realm:

These burning fits but meteors bee
Whose matter in thee is soone spent.
Thy beauty, and all parts, which are thee
Are unchangeable firmament.  

The clear sky above contrasts the density of air near the horizon. This was a well-accepted concept in ancient and medieval optics and meteorology. Zaccolini’s synopsis of the features relevant to painting are presented in Treatise XIV of Prospettiva del colore, where he explains that the whiteness we see arises from apparent coloristic and perspective effects because this air is full of humidity. This whiteness is invisible when we are close to it because the droplets are so small; but at a great distance, the space between all these minute particles of moisture is condensed (as the perspective of diminution dictates), making them appear to be a nearby opaque white. This is what happens with clouds, [but this whiteness] cannot occur at the highest part of the sky which normally looks a clear blue color […] and even though these vapors are mixed, the quantity is so small that its density is not perceptible and thus cannot appear white, and because it is so transparent, we see the stars above.  

By gracing Pointel with a vision of the celestial realm as he delights in the beauty of earth, Poussin reminds his patron of the divine presence of the creator in the beauty of earth. The painter makes visible what God makes manifest, but which few can see: God’s omnipresent majesty.

5 Conclusion

This particular interpretation is one that we have been prepared to accept in seventeenth-century Italy due to the pioneering work of Pamela Jones. In her analysis of the art criticism of Francesco Borromeo, landscapes were particularly valued as manifestations of God’s goodness. Jones argues that Ignatius’s writings and Filippo Neri’s spiritual guidance were inspirational to Borromeo for their conviction that God dwells in creatures and plants – a trend in the seventeenth century known as Christian optimism. Zaccolini, also subscribed to these views, expressing them less eloquently but no less fervently. Since this is not the appropriate place to discuss the meaning of Poussin’s landscapes in general, we will conclude with the bold assertion that Poussin’s study of optics, particularly the writings of Zaccolini, enabled him to compose a novel kind of idyllic landscape, transforming the tradition of the Arcadian pastoral into a modern vision of human experience in concert with nature.

---

61 Donne 1633, 209-10 (A Fever, lines 21-24).
62 Zaccolini, Prospettiva del colore, trattato XIV, cap. 4, fol. 112r.
63 Jones 1988, 263-8.
64 Bell 2020, 70-2.
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