The origin of the high-mass X-ray binary 4U 2206+54/BD +53 2790
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ABSTRACT

Based on the Gaia EDR3 astrometric parameters and our new systemic radial velocity of the high-mass X-ray binary 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790, we studied the trace back motion of the system and propose that it originated in the subgroup of the Cepheus OB1 association (Age~4-10 Myr) with its brightest star BD+53 2820 (B0V; \(L\sim10^{4.7}L_\odot\)). The kinematic age of 4U 2206+54 is about 2.8 ± 0.4 Myr, it is at a distance of 3.1–3.3 kpc and has a space velocity of 75–100 km/s with respect to this member star (BD+53 2820) of the Cep OB1 association. This runaway velocity indicates that the progenitor of the neutron star hosted by 4U 2206+54 lost about 4-9 \(M_\odot\) during the supernova explosion and the latter one received a kick velocity of at least 200–350 km/s. Since the high-mass X-ray binary 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 was born as a member of a subgroup of Cep OB1, the initially most massive star in the system terminated its evolution within \(\sim 7 – 9\) Myr, corresponding to an initial mass \(\gtrsim 32 M_\odot\).
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1 INTRODUCTION

It is generally accepted that most stars are formed in compact groups in gravitationally bound clusters with space densities \(>1 \text{ } M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}\) (Lada & Lada 2003) or in extended gravitationally unbound stellar associations with lower space densities \(<0.1 \text{ } M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}\) (Wright 2020).

Star clusters form within giant molecular clouds and remain embedded in clouds for \(\sim 2 – 5\) Myr before the combination of massive stellar winds and Supernovae drive out the gas. The stars that are left behind after the gas expulsion relax to the new potential and attempt to return to virial equilibrium (Goodwin & Bastian 2006; Baumgardt & Kroupa 2007).

Ward et al. (2020) argue that the formation of OB associations did not follow this scenario and show that they are formed in-situ as relatively large-scale and gravitationally-unbound structures. The OB-associations may contain multiple groups/cores of young stars, having characteristic population of the massive, early spectral O-B type and also containing numerous low-mass stars. They exhibit some spatial and kinematic concentration of short-lived OB stars, a fact first realized by Ambartsumian (1947, 1955), which provided the first evidence that formation of single, double and multiple stars still ongoing in the Galaxy. Their dimensions can range from a few to a few hundred pc (for recent review see, e.g., Wright 2020).

However, there is also a significant number (10–30%, see, e.g., Stone 1979; Renzo et al. 2019) of young massive stars which are observed in the Galactic general field and called “Runaway stars”, a term first introduced by Blaauw (1961). Runaway stars are thought to have formed in the stellar associations and have been ejected into the general Galactic field by two proposed mechanisms: dynamical ejection or binary supernova. The first mechanism, proposed by Ambartsumian (1954) in a Trapezium type (non-hierarchical) young multiple, dynamically non-stable systems, was further developed by Poveda et al. (1967). In contrary, the binary ejection mechanism was first proposed by Blaauw (1961) to explain the ejection of runaway O and B stars out of galactic plane. In this scenario the secondary star of a close binary becomes unbound when the primary explodes as a supernova (SN).

However, depending on separation and component masses prior to the explosion (i.e. phase of mass transfer before the SN, and the subsequent inversion of the mass ratio) and the amount of asymmetry involved (i.e. the magnitude of the kick velocity imparted to the neutron star during the explosion), the binary will either get unbound (ejecting a single runaway star and neutron star) or it will remain bound (see, e.g., Tauris & Takens 1998). In case of the latter, its center of gravity will be accelerated and one could expect to observe a binary system, either as a member of a stellar association or runaway
close binary nearby to a parental stellar group, comprised by a neutron star and a normal star as High- or Low-Mass X-ray Binary (HMXB or LMXB, respectively), if the separation is sufficiently small for accretion to occur. Note that the magnitude of the kick velocity also depends on the evolutionary status of the pre-explosion close binary system (dynamical stability of mass transfer to the secondary, see, e.g., Hainich et al. 2020).

Note, also, on the possibility of the so-called two-step-ejection scenario, i.e. massive binary ejection from star clusters and a second acceleration of a massive star during a subsequent supernova explosion (Pflamm-Altenburg & Kroupa et al. 2020; Dorigo Jones et al. 2020). In this context, it is very interesting to identify the parent stellar group of HMXBs in the Galaxy (see, e.g., Ankay et al. 2001; van der Meij et al. 2021). Recently, the HMXB candidate 1H11255-567 ($\mu$ stellar group of HMXBs in the Galaxy (see, e.g., Ankay et al. 2010; Dorigo Jones et al. 2020). Consequently, the neutron star nature of the unseen companion is still uncertain – it could be instead a very low-mass M-type star or brown dwarf.

In this work, we concentrate on the kinematic study of the unique HMXB 4U 2206+54, which has been suspected to contain a neutron star accreting from the wind of its companion BD+53 2790. This optical counterpart was identified by Steiner et al. (1984), as a early-type star. Further analysis of many space and ground based observations showed that the system hosts a neutron star accreting from the wind of its companion, BD+53 2790 (see, e.g., Reig et al. 2009; Finger et al. 2010; Torrejón et al. 2018), which also exhibits a radial velocity modulation (see further for details further and Stoyanov et al. 2014).

The neutron star in the system is probably a magnetar - a class of rare, strongly magnetized neutron stars. The strength of the surface characteristic magnetic field is estimated of the order of $B_S \sim 2 \times 10^{13} = 10^{14}$ G of this neutron star with the very slow spin period of $P_{\text{spin}} \sim (5540 - 5570)$ s and the rapid spin-down rate of $\dot{P}_{\text{spin}} = 5.6 \times 10^{-7}$ss$^{-1}$ (Reig et al. 2009; Finger et al. 2010; Torrejón et al. 2018). Currently, the 4U 2206+54 is the only known HMXB system hosting a accreting magnetar with or without a fallback disk (Alpar et al. 2013; Ózsíkán et al. 2014). The donor star does not meet the criteria for a classical Be V star, but rather is a peculiar O9 V star with higher than normal helium abundance (Blay et al. 2006) and a double peaked H$\alpha$ emission line, as typical for the decretion disks (Hainich et al. 2020). With an orbital period of 9.5 days, 4U 2206+54 exhibits one of the shortest orbital periods among known HMXBs.

2 THE BIRTH PLACE OF 4U 2206+54

In order to identify the possible birth place of 4U 2206+54 one needs to determine its possible membership to a stellar group either currently or in the past. The latter also requires to perform their trace back motion study in the Galaxy to test the concept: 4U 2206+54 and a stellar group or some of its members in the past were “in the same place at the same time”.

It is obvious, that using as an input astrometric and kinematic parameters and their uncertainties of both one can get, in principle, only certain number of trajectories satisfying some of the criteria (e.g., minimum separation) of the close stellar passage. In each case, one clearly gets a probabilistic output (see, e.g., Hoogerwerf et al. 2000, 2001; Tetzlaff et al. 2010; Neuhäuser et al. 2020). Whether this number is expected from a real pair or by chance, i.e. occurred in the same volume of the space during some time interval in the past, needs further statistical analysis, given the above mentioned uncertainties of parameters (see, Fig. 1, further Sec. 2.2 and Fig. 6). Finally, further consistency checks must be performed as listed in Neuhäuser et al. (2020), e.g. that there should not be any more massive (O-type) star in the host group left that is not yet exploded or that the flight time should not be larger than the age of a hosting group or neutron star (if known).

First, we have cross-matched the optical companion BD+53 2790 of the HMXB with possible candidate counterparts in Gaia DR2 and EDR3 and identified it with the source 2005653524280214400 (see, also Arsnason et al. 2021).

Next, we performed a preliminary selection of the possible birth place (i.e. a stellar group) of HMXB 4U 2206+54, according to its position and distance, as well as, upper limits of the age and runaway velocity (e.g., $\sim 10-20$ Myr and $\sim 100-150$ km/s corresponding to the distance of $\sim 1-2$ kpc), from the recent catalogues of members of stellar associations (Mel’nik & Dambis 2017; Melnik & Dambis 2020) and open clusters (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020).

The selection criteria are as follows: Galactic longitude between $80^\circ$ and $120^\circ$, latitude between $-10^\circ$ and $10^\circ$ and distance between 1500 pc and 5000 pc. With this first step of selection the list consists of 143 stellar clusters and 11 associations. Taking into account the direction of relative motion of BD+53 2790 to these stellar groups (3D or proper motion) and the most probable upper limit of its age (see, e.g., Meynet & Maeder 2003; Ekström et al. 2012, Spectral type O9.5V, $M_\odot \sim 15.5$) the reduced list includes 62 open clusters and only one stellar association (see, Fig. 2) which can be considered as the probable place of the origin of the HMXB 4U 2206+54.

For these birth place counterparts, we estimated the membership probability/likelihood of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 by comparison with the bona-fide members of stellar groups given the astrometric and kinematic parameters and their uncertainties by Gaia EDR3. For this purpose we used a multivariate Gaussian distribution $N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$ with probability density function of Eq. (1) in the five dimensional space (position, parallax and proper motions)$^1$:

$$p(z|\mu, \Sigma) \propto (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2} n} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(z-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (z-\mu)}, \tag{1}$$

where $z = [\alpha, \delta, \varpi, \mu_\alpha \cos\delta, \mu_\delta]$ is a vector of $np = 5$ parameters either of BD+53 2790 or bona-fide members of any stellar group with parameters $\mu, \Sigma$. The corresponding likelihoods $L(Z|\mu, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ of BD+53 2790 or member stars computed for a large number of generated random vectors with above mentioned five parameters and their corresponding covariance matrices provided by Gaia Col-

$^1$ Unfortunately, the overwhelming majority, in average $\geq 98\%$ (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020), of bona-fide members of the stellar groups have no significant number of radial velocity measurements.
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Figure 1. The flowchart of the proposed processing for identification of the birth place of runaway object (the concept “in the same place at the same time”).

Table 1. The parameters of the optical companion BD +53 2790 of 4U 2206+543 and its probable birth counterparts — the member stars of Cep OB1 association (BD +53 2820 or HD 235673).

| Name       | Gaia EDR3 Source ID | Spectral type | d** [pc] | ϖ [mas] | μα cosδ [mas/yr] | μδ [mas/yr] | RV*** [km/s] |
|------------|---------------------|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| BD +53 2790| 2005653524280214400 | O9.5Vep       | 3167.4±105.3 | 0.3051±0.0136 | -4.173±0.015 | -3.317±0.014 | -62.7±8.8 |
| BD +53 2820*| 2005418950349782272 | B0IVn         | 3545.4±120.1 | 0.2681±0.0169 | -2.973±0.018 | -3.350±0.016 | 15.8±32.3 |
| HD 235673  | 1981443102866159232 | O6.5V         | 4201.6±489.4 | 0.2240±0.0292 | -3.828±0.030 | -3.390±0.026 | -40.3±10.0 |

* Radial velocity of BD +53 2820 is variable, may be double-lined spectroscopic binary (Abt & Bautz 1963).
** Distance estimates are provided by Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) using parallaxes and additionally the G magnitudes.
*** Radial velocities and their standard deviations are given according to the SIMBAD astronomical database (Wenger et al. 2000) and corresponding bibliographic entries (Abt & Bautz 1963; Wilson 1953).

It turned out, that BD+53 2790 has a very low probability to be considered as a member, the logarithm of the ratio of the mean likelihoods of BD+53 2790 in comparison to the members of a stellar group is in the range of -11 to -183. Note that for the case of Cep OB1 stellar association (the single one in the list) the logarithm of likelihood ratio is equal to -61.2. We obtained similar results (i.e., low and negligible membership probability) also by using other methods (see, further Sec. 4) based on the astro-kinematic, as well as photometric parameters of the bona-fide member stars of stellar groups.

Hence, we need to study trace back motions of this HMXB and its above mentioned probable counterparts of the place of origin, i.e. whether 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 and a stellar group or one of its member were in the same place at the same time in the past. In order to study the Galactocentric motion of the HMXB 4U 2206+54 for an input we used the astrometric parameters of the optical counterpart BD+53 2790 of the system presented in Gaia EDR3, as well as its systemic radial velocity. For the latter one, we performed additional spectral observations and analyzed the combined radial velocity data set (see further, Sec.2.1 and Abt & Bautz 1963; Stoyanov et al. 2014).

2.1 Observational data and analysis (radial velocity)

We have carried out spectroscopic follow-up observations of the late 09.5Vep spectral type BD+53 2790, using the Échelle spectrograph FLECHAS at the 90 cm telescope of the University Observatory Jena (Mugrauer et al. 2014). The target was observed in 19 observing epochs between 29 July and 22 September 2020 in the 2x2 binning mode of the instrument (< R >= 6900), covering the spectral range between about 3900 and 8100 Å. In each observing epoch three spectra of the star, each with an exposure time of 1800 s, were taken always preceded by three spectra of a ThAr-lamp and
of a tungsten-lamp for wavelength- and flatfield-calibration, respectively. As expected from its spectral type the spectrum of BD+53 2790 shows absorption lines of helium and hydrogen. These spectral lines are broadened and show variations of their profiles between the individual observing epochs. The Hα-line appears in emission and exhibits a prominent central absorption feature. In addition, several diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs), as well as the absorption lines of interstellar sodium (Na I λ 5890 & 5896, alias D2 & D1) are detected in the spectrum of BD+53 2790. The radial velocity (RV) of the target was determined by measuring the central wavelength of the He I λ 5876 (D3)-line, which is the most prominent He-line, present in the spectrum of BD+53 2790, which is detected with a sufficiently high signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR), required for accurate RV measurements. In order to monitor the RV stability of the instrument throughout our monitoring project, the central wavelengths of the lines of the interstellar sodium doublet were measured in all spectra, which are detected in the same spectral order as the D3-line. The RV of the interstellar sodium lines exhibits a standard deviation of 0.5 km/s, consistent with the RV stability of the instrument, reported in other studies (see, e.g., Bischoff et al. 2020) before. For the RV of BD+53 2790 we obtain -73.6 km/s on average with a standard deviation of 9.4 km/s (cf. Fig. 3 and

Figure 2. Top panel: Digitized (DSS2) color image of the region of the HMXB 4U 2206+54 (green oval) in the galactic coordinates, prepared with Aladin Desktop (Bonnarel et al. 2000). The positions of stellar clusters (large red circles, Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020) and Cep OB1 association member stars (brown circles, Mel’nik & Dambis 2017; Melnik & Dambis 2020) are also indicated. Most relevant objects for this study are annotated (for details, see text). Bottom panel: Galactic positions and proper motions of stellar clusters with Cep OB1 in the center (left panel); Cep OB1 association members are shown in the right panel, they can be considered as most probable birth counterparts of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790.
Table 4 with previous RV measurements of the star). The individual RV measurements are summarized in Table 2 and are illustrated in Fig. 3.

To derive the systemic velocity of the binary system we make use of the MCMC Bayesian approach and a code developed and provided by Gregory (2005), which compares the probabilities of different models and estimates the parameters of the most probable model. In the simple model, the difference between the measured radial velocities \( RV_{obs}(t_i) \) and the model predicted ones \( RV_{model}(t_i) \) at the epoch of \( t_i \) (for details, see Gregory 2007) can be represented as a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation of \( \epsilon(t_i) \):

\[
RV_{obs} - RV_{model} = \epsilon, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \epsilon (\epsilon^2 = \sigma^2 + s^2) \) includes reported measurement errors \( \sigma(t_i) \) and unknown uncertainties \( s \) (e.g., any real signal in the data) that cannot be explained by the model prediction.

The best-fitting orbital parameters are listed in Table 3. Note that the values of the fitted parameters and their uncertainties correspond to the mean values and standard deviations of the peak, mode, and median of the reported posterior probability densities (for details, see Gregory 2005, 2007). In Fig. 4 are plotted the orbital phase folded radial velocity curve, the best-fitting solution with model uncertainties, and the residuals of the fit\(^2\). The systemic velocity \( \gamma = -61.5 \pm 1.55 \text{ km/s} \) together with other astrometric parameters presented in Gaia EDR3 intended to serve as an input to retrace its orbits back in time to investigate the probable birth place and kinematic age of HMXB 4U 2206+54. However, statistically significant lack of the good fit (the reduced chi-square \( > 5 \), see, Table 3) with a simple keplerian orbit and relatively larger value of the parameter \( s \) indicates on the presence either of an unknown signal (e.g. irregular/unstable variation of the atmospheric layers, mass transfer or rotation, presence of an accretion/decretion disk, etc.) in the data or small sample size with larger errors or applied model simplicity. Therefore, to be conservative, for the study of trace back motion of HMXB 4U 2206+54 for the input parameter systemic radial velocity we used a relatively large interval, i.e. the randomly generated \( V_{sys} \) values were drawn from Gaussian distribution with the mean value equal to the fitted systemic velocity \( V_{sys} \equiv \gamma = -61.5 \text{ km/s} \) with standard deviation of \( SD_{V_{sys}} = 15.0 \text{ km/s} \).

2.2 Motion of 4U 2206+54 in the Galaxy

To study the Galactocentric motion of a single point mass (a star, binary or cluster) we use a numerical integration of its equations of motion in the gravitational field of the Galaxy expressed in a rectangular Galactocentric frame. Namely, for the Galactocentric motion of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790, the possible parental stellar cluster and association we make use of the code described in Neuhäuser et al. (2020), which computes the orbits by a numerical integration of their equations of motion as defined by the Galactic gravitational potential consisting of a three component (bulge, disk and halo) axisymmetric model (Model III from Bajkova & Bobylev 2017).

\(^2\) The RV plot, presented in Stoyanov et al. (2014), is inconsistent with the orbital elements (e.g., \( \omega \)), derived by the authors.

---

### Table 2. The RVs of BD+53 2790 for all observing epochs, as determined in our spectroscopic monitoring project together with the reached SNR, measured in the wavelength range between 5820 and 5850 Å.

| BJD-2450000 | RV [km/s] | SNR |
|-------------|----------|-----|
| 9060.44067  | -72.7 ± 3.6 | 53  |
| 9061.45550  | -73.3 ± 3.1 | 60  |
| 9062.43062  | -73.5 ± 2.9 | 71  |
| 9062.51123  | -77.7 ± 2.8 | 75  |
| 9067.48182  | -79.4 ± 2.9 | 73  |
| 9068.42210  | -83.2 ± 2.8 | 62  |
| 9069.38899  | -67.6 ± 2.7 | 69  |
| 9082.47820  | -73.0 ± 2.9 | 61  |
| 9095.48532  | -71.3 ± 3.0 | 67  |
| 9100.38390  | -87.1 ± 2.7 | 65  |
| 9104.34670  | -96.6 ± 2.9 | 63  |
| 9105.34096  | -80.8 ± 2.9 | 87  |
| 9107.35124  | -76.1 ± 3.0 | 62  |
| 9108.34312  | -68.4 ± 2.8 | 71  |
| 9111.33612  | -59.9 ± 3.0 | 65  |
| 9112.33579  | -57.7 ± 3.2 | 61  |
| 9113.32245  | -65.2 ± 3.0 | 60  |
| 9114.32943  | -65.2 ± 2.9 | 64  |
| 9115.47070  | -68.9 ± 3.4 | 70  |

\(<RV> \pm SD \quad <SNR>\)

-73.6 ± 9.4 | 66

---

Figure 3. The RV measurements of BD+53 2790 from the literature (Abt & Bautz 1963; Stoyanov et al. 2014) are shown as gray filled circles and those derived from our FLECHAS observations with black filled circles, respectively. The standard deviations are illustrated as error bars.
Table 3. Orbital parameters of 4U 2206+54

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \(P\) (d) | 9.553\(\pm\)0.001 |
| \(T_p\) (d) | 2450227.873 \(\pm\)0.004 |
| \(e\) | 0.74 \(\pm\)0.13 |
| \(\omega\) (deg) | 48.3 \(\pm\)4.5 |
| \(\gamma\) (km/s) | -61.50 \(\pm\)1.55 |
| \(K_1\) (km/s) | 32.88 \(\pm\)6.29 |
| \(s\) (km/s) | 11.83 \(\pm\)2.92 |

Derived Parameters

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \(a_1 \sin i\) (10\(^6\) km) | 3.00 \(\pm\)0.36 |
| \(f(m_1,m_2,M_\odot)\) | 0.0115 \(\pm\)0.0004 |

Other Quantities

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \(\chi^2\) | 315.8 |
| \(N_{\text{obs}}\) (primary) | 65 |
| Time span (d) | 21558.971 |
| rms (km/s) | 13.60 |

Figure 4. The fitted binary star model (Gregory 2005, 2013; Dumusque et al. 2017) and residuals of radial velocities of BD+53 2790 from the literature (Abt & Bautz 1963; Stoyanov et al. 2014) and derived from our observations. The red line depicts the most probable radial velocity at orbital phase predicted by the fitted binary model. The gray area corresponds to the predicted uncertainties. The blue band shows the systemic velocity and its uncertainty. Lower panel: Residuals of the observed and model predicted radial velocities (for details, see text). The RV measurements are shown with filled circles as in Fig. 3.

3 RESULTS

Our trace back motion study of 4U 2206+54 and its possible parental stellar groups (see, Sec. 2) revealed that only the association Cep OB1 can be considered as a candidate. The astrometric and kinematic parameters of its centroid was determined by member stars (Mel’nik & Dambis 2017; Melnik & Dambis 2020) or the astrometric parameters of the individual member star (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018; Gaia Collaboration 2020). Such a procedure is superior to the individual, independent random drawing of each parameter that ignores their mutual dependence and result in to the more realistic probability distribution functions of the separation between 4U 2206+54 and the centre of stellar group or any member star (see, e.g., Fig. 6 and Sec. 3).

For numerical integration we utilize the fast and accurate Gauss-Evenhart orbit integrator provided by Avdyushev (2010).

Based on the Hipparcos proper motion of the HMXB HD153919/4U1700-37 Ankay et al. (2001) propose that it originates in the OB association Sco OB1 within \(\lesssim\)6 Myr (kinematic age being \(\tau = 2.0 \pm 0.5\) Myr). Most recently, van der Meij et al. (2021) confirmed that the high-mass X-ray binary HD153919/4U1700-37 originates from NGC6231, the nucleus of the OB association Sco OB1, with its kinematic age of 2.2 Myr, based on the Gaia DR2 proper motions and parallaxes. We applied our approach to this system based on the more precise Gaia EDR3 data and confirmed that both the place of origin in Sco OB1 and the kinematic age of HMXB HD153919/4U1700-37 (\(\tau = 2.33 \pm 0.05\) Myr).
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spectively, show a significant number of close passages with BD+53 2790. Namely, from 1 million Monte-Carlo simulations 1234 (0.12%) and 52936 (5.3%) rated as success, i.e. the minimum separation does not exceed 15 pc within 20 Myr in the past, accordingly.

Moreover, the distributions of the trace back times of these “small” fractions of successful cases are unimodal (see, e.g., Fig.6) and a significant amount of them, namely 692 (∼56%) and 36929 (∼70%), is concentrated within relatively narrow time intervals $\delta t=2.8(12.4-15.2)$, $\delta t=0.8(2.4-3.2)$ Myr in the past, respectively.

In order to compare the obtained numbers of successful cases with the expected numbers of cases when our HMXB and a Cep OB1 member star (4U 2206+54–BD+53 2820 or 4U 2206+54–HD 235673) in reality were at the same place at the same time, we created virtual pairs inside Cep OB1 as-sociation at the positions corresponding to BD+53 2820 and HD 235673. We ran them forward with the kinematic properties (proper motions and RVs, see Table 1) of flight times from 2.4 to 3.2 Myr and from 12.4 to 15.2 Myr in steps of 0.05 Myr. For each of the times in the interval, we traced back the pair starting from their virtual positions and using the kinematic properties (proper motions and RVs) — and varying them within their measurement uncertainties (i.e. according to the covariance matrices provided in Gaia EDR3, including as well corresponding parallax/distance errors) for 1 million trials each. For each such trial, we then obtained as usual the minimum distance between pairs. This procedure thus yields the number of expected close approaches (within e.g. 15 pc) for the above mentioned time intervals. As a result, with 95% confidence interval under the assumption of binomial distribution, we obtained (and, thus, expect at least) close meetings within 15 pc in 2.3 (2.0-2.7)% and 0.29 (0.21-0.33)% cases from of 1 million runs corresponding to the pairs 4U 2206+54–BD+53 2820 and 4U 2206+54–HD 235673, accordingly. Shortly, these fractions can be considered as lower thresholds in favour of the hypothesis that a pair of HMXB and member star of Cep OB1 were at the same place during the above mentioned time intervals.

Also, we simulated a large number of random “HMXB”s with mean astrometric and kinematic parameters and their covariance matrices of neighboring stars of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 within 10 arcmin extracted from Gaia EDR3 and calculated traced back orbits and compared them with the real trajectories of BD+53 2820 and HD 235673. It turned out that for such a “random” 4U 2206+54 in one million trials only 8 and 2 cases are successful ones (i.e. separation not exceeding 15 pc) with BD+53 2820 and HD 235673 in the trace back time range of 2.4-3.2 Myr and 12.4-15.2 Myr, respectively, i.e. with 95% confidence interval under the assumption of binomial distribution, we expect close meetings within 15 pc in 0.0008 (0.0003-0.001)% and 0.0002 (0.00002-0.0007)% successful cases even with this conservative randomization.

Thus, statistically the vicinity of both member stars (BD+53 2820 and HD 235673) of Cep OB1 association in the past can be considered as probable place of the origin of the HMXB 4U 2206+54, thus indicating the probable coeval formation of the progenitor binary system and one of these stars. Note that the case of BD+53 2820 can be considered as more probable one than the one of HD 235673 (see, further Sec. 4).

In Figure 5, the past 3D trajectories are displayed for the

| Number of RVs | $RV_{\text{mean}}$ (km/s) | $RV_{\text{SD}}$ (km/s) | Success rate(%) | $\tau_0$ (Myr) | Rem |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 3             | -62.7                    | 8.8                    | 4.4             | $-2.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ | 1   |
| 43            | -50.6                    | 22.5                   | 3.8             | $-2.8^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ | 2   |
| 19            | -73.6                    | 9.4                    | 4.2             | $-2.8^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ | 3   |
| 65            | -65.7                    | 18.9                   | 3.9             | $-2.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ | 4   |
| 65            | -66.6                    | 8.7                    | 4.3             | $-2.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ | 5   |

1 Abt & Bautz (1963)  
2 Stoyanov et al. (2014)  
3 this work  
4 Abt & Bautz (1963); Stoyanov et al. (2014) and this work  
5 Weighted average of the mean values of the radial velocities measured by the different RV monitoring surveys (instruments).

member star BD+53 2820 of Cep OB1 and for BD+53 2790 itself. The analysis of separations and corresponding times (see, Fig. 6) shows that BD+53 2790 and BD+53 2820 in reality were both inside of the same volume (sphere with radius of ∼15 pc) $\tau = 2.8 \pm 0.4$ Myr ago. We observe a similar picture for the neighboring stars of BD+53 2820 in the projection on the sky, i.e. purely using position, distance and proper motions of them (see, Fig. 5, right panel).

Figure 6 shows the distribution of the minimum separations, $D_{\text{min}}(\tau_0)$, and the kinematic ages, $\tau_0$, of the 52 936 simulations mentioned above.

In addition, we studied also the trace back motion of the pair (4U 2206+54–BD+53 2820) with number of input systemic radial velocities corresponding to the observed mean radial velocity values and standard deviations with different instruments (see, Table 4). Note that these parameters serving for an input to generate random systemic velocity are independent of the fitting results and cover a relatively large interval.

It turned out that all of these cases confirmed our previous result, i.e. very similar kinematic age of the 4U 2206 and statistically significant success rate.

4 DISCUSSION

Based on the parameters of BD+53 2790 provided by Gaia EDR3, we calculated its absolute magnitude $M_V = -4.44 \pm 0.70$ mag ($V = 9.84 \pm 0.2$ mag, $B = 10.11 \pm 0.19$ mag, $d = 3135.8 \pm 91.7$ pc, $Av = 1.8 \pm 0.70$ mag, Reig & Fubregat 2015) at first. Taking into account thebolometric correction (BC = -3.2 mag, see, e.g., Pecaut & Mamajek 2013) for an O9.5V spectral type star we estimated the mass to be $M=23.5^{+1.4}_{-1.0}M_{\odot}$ using the luminosity-mass relation for main-sequence stars selected from the components of detached eclipsing spectroscopic binaries in the solar neighborhood (Eker et al. 2018, $\log L = (2.726 \pm 0.203) \times \log M + (1.237 \pm 0.228)$). Note, the estimate of spectroscopic mass $M=27^{+1.67}_{-2.28}M_{\odot}$ (Hainich et al. 2020) of BD+53 2790 exhibits 35% larger than its evolution mass, i.e. the mass of an object, which exhibits the current stellar and wind parameters.
Figure 5. *Left panel:* The 3D trajectories of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 and BD +53 2820 ≡ Gaia EDR3 2005418950349782272, a member of Cep OB1 association, in Galactocentric Cartesian coordinates in the past. *Right panel:* The positions and proper motions of 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 and subgroup of stars in Cep OB1 association with its brightest star BD +53 2820 in Galactic coordinates. With filled colors of ellipses are indicated the most probable positions of corresponding stars at 2.4-3.2 Myr ago.

Figure 6. Distributions of minimum separations ($D_{\text{min}}$) and corresponding flight times ($\tau_0$) of closest stellar passage of 4U 2206+53 and BD+53 2820 ($\leq$ 15 pc separation, rated as success, marked as filled green area) according to the trace back motion study of them in the Galaxy. The red curve with enveloping dashed curves show the fit of expected distribution of minimum separations for the 3D case (Eq. A3 in Appendix, Hoogerwerf et al. 2001). The highest posterior density (HPD) interval, 68% of area, is determined as a probabilistic region around a posterior mode of kinematic age of 4U 2206+53 and depicted as vertical dashed-lines (for details, see in the text).
that has evolved like a single star. However, in general, there
is good agreement between spectroscopic and evolutionary
masses of single stars within the one sigma error bars (see,
e.g., Nieva & Przybilla 2014).

With this initial mass there may be an upper limit for its
lifetime in the range of 10-12 Myr according to non-rotating
and rotating stellar evolution models (Ekström et al. 2012;
Weidner & Vink 2010; Meynet & Maeder 2003). Hence, the
primary of the progenitor of 4U 2206+54 may have an upper
lifetime limit of 7-9 Myr.

Already Humphreys (1978) lists 11 O-stars within the large
Cep OB1 association, which is located at a distance of 3470
pc. According to Massey et al. (1995) the stellar association
Cep OB1/NGC 7380 containing the highest mass stars has
formed over a short time span, no longer than 4-6 Myr. De-
spite the fact that most of the massive stars are born during a
period of ∆τ < 3 Myr in this association, some star formation
has clearly preceded this event, as evidenced by the presence of
evolved (τ ∼ 10 Myr) 15 M⊙ stars (Massey et al. 1995).

Based on the Gaia data Mel’nik & Dambis (2017); Melnik
& Dambis (2020) studied the kinematics of OB-associations
with the use of the Tycho-Gaia Astrometric Solution (TGAS)
and Gaia DR2 and listed 58 member stars of the Cep OB1
association, having luminosity classes in the range of I to V,
with spectral types of O5-M4, out of which 37 have O-B2
classes, 3 red and 2 evolved A class supergiant stars. On the
other hand, Kharchenko et al. (2005a,b) identifies 3 ionising
star clusters related to the Cep OB1 association: NGC 7380,
IC 1442, and MWSC 3632. In addition Mel’nik & Dambis
(2017); Melnik & Dambis (2020) included 6 stars of NGC
7235 (9.3 Myr old, Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020) with spectral
types of B0-B2 in the list of bona-fide member stars of the
Cep OB1 association. Moreover, according to the most recent
catalogues of stellar groups (Soubiran et al. 2018; Cantat-
Gaudin et al. 2020) in the region of Cep OB1 there are more
groups in the age range of 4-10 Myr (see, Fig. 2).

In order to obtain more constraints on the age of the Cep
OB1 association or its subgroups, we performed a member-
ship analysis of the above mentioned bona-fide member stars.
First of all, we used Gaia EDR3 astrometric data and utilised
the UPMASK (Unsupervised Photometric Membership As-
signment in Stellar Clusters; Krone-Martins & Moitinho
2014) method to calculate membership probabilities of the
observed stars. The application of this method to 46 Gaia
EDR3 stars showed that a overwhelming majority (∼ 85%)
of them have membership probability ≥ 0.5, i.e. they have
a common origin in a five-dimensional astrometric space (α,
δ, π/distance, μα cos δ, μδ) in comparison to the field stars
which are spatially randomly distributed objects of different
origins.

We obtained similar results by making use of non-
parametric (e.g., Clusterix 2.0; Balaguer-Núñez et al. 2020)
and parametric (e.g., BANYAN-Sigma Gagné et al. 2018)
methods, where also Cartesian 3D (XYZ) positions, kine-
matic and photometric parameters of these Gaia EDR3 stars
were used as input parameters.

We estimated the lower limit of the age of the Cep OB1
association to be ∼ 4 Myr from its turn-off point (HD 235673
My ∼ 5.5, HD 215835 Mv ∼ 6.5) in the unreddened abso-
lute visual magnitude-color diagram as a coeval star forming
region.

In addition, to updating this age inferred from the above
mentioned approach (i.e. stellar evolution models), we also
tried to assess the kinematic age of the Cep OB1 association
as a whole expanding stellar system. We analyzed how the
mean, median or mode of the distribution of mutual distances
of the member stars changes with time. We performed this
by tracing back the orbits of the individual member stars of
the Cep OB1 to determine when they were closest together.
We find that the average distance between the members re-
mains roughly constant for ∼10-15 Myr. Thus, our empirical
approach to estimate the kinematic age of an expanding (as
a whole) stellar system by the analysis of the distribution of
mutual distances of bona-fide member stars in the past (see,
e.g. Booth et al. 2021), does not show a global minimum, sug-
gestning also on a possible non-coeval star formation in this
extended Cep OB1 association as a complex star forming re-
region unlike to a compact ones (see, e.g., Shevchenko et al.
1991).

Thus, the estimated ages of Cep OB1 and 4U 2206+54 al-
ready are excluding HD 235673 as a birth counterpart owing
to the longer flight time (τ = 13.2±8.8 Myr, Sec. 3). More-
ever, if this O6.5V spectral type star and the progenitor
of 4U 2206+54 were born together then for the primary mass
we would expect at least 40 M⊙ and maximum lifetime of 4-
10 Myr, much shorter than the flight time of 4U 2206+54 and
HD 235673 to the hypothetical place of the common origin.

Thus, τ = 2.8 ± 0.4 Myr can be considered as the most
probable kinematic age of 4U 2206+54, which suggests a co-
eval formation of the progenitor binary system of that HMXB
and a subgroup of stars from Cep OB1 association with its
brightest member BD+53 2820.

An application of the UPMASK method to the stars ex-
tracted from Gaia EDR3 around the brightest member star
BD+53 2820 in the circle within a radius of 10 arcmin re-
vealed 22 other stars which can be considered as members
of this subgroup. Unfortunately, all of them are too faint
and there is no information about their pre-main sequence
nature (e.g. detailed spectral analysis, X-ray observations)
in the astronomical literature, only two young y stellar can-
date objects have distances not exceeding 500pc from the
Sun. Nevertheless, based on their location the CMD dia-
gram (assuming similar interstellar absorption AV ∼ 1.2 as
the brightest member BD+53 2820, MV = −3.5 ± 0.5, M =
17.5±0.5 M⊙) showed that the age of the subgroup can be
estimated to be ∼ 7-10 Myr. We obtained similar constraint
by using isochrones from the Geneva stellar models (Ekström
et al. 2012).

Having estimates of the age range of Cep OB1, the conser-
ervative lifetime of the donor star of the HMXB BD+53 2790
and the flight time to the probable birth place, we estimated
the upper limit of the lifetime and hence, the initial mass of
the primary before the SN for all models provided by Ekström
et al. (2012); Meynet & Maeder (2003) to be Minitial = 32-
60 M⊙.

It is difficult to reconstruct the evolution of the massive
binary before the SN explosion. Nevertheless, with our re-
results for the kinematic age and the orbital parameters of
4U 2206+54 we may put some constraints on it.

If we consider a circular pre-SN orbit, when the progenitor
explodes in a symmetric SN, an amount of mass is ejected in-
stantaneously. Ignoring the effects of the impact of the ejected
shell on the companion star and assuming that there is no
mass loss or mass transfer during the circularization of the or-
bit by the tidal force, the orbital period of the re-circularized orbit is (Nelemans et al. 1999): 

\[ P_{\text{recirc}} = P_{\text{postSN}}\left(1 - e_{\text{postSN}}^2\right)^{3/2}, \]  

(3)

where \( P_{\text{postSN}} \) and \( e_{\text{postSN}} \) are the post-SN orbital period and eccentricity respectively. Using \( P_{\text{postSN}} = 9.56\pm0.001 \) d and \( e_{\text{postSN}} = 0.74 \pm 0.13 \) we estimated \( P_{\text{recirc}} = 2.9 \pm 1.8 \) d.

From the conservation of momentum and the Kepler’s Third law, the runaway velocity \( \vartheta \) can be estimated as: 

\[ \vartheta = (2\pi G)^{1/3} \Delta M \, M_1 \, P_{\text{recirc}}^{-1/3} \, (M_1 + M_2)^{-5/3}, \]  

(4)

where \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are the masses of the present-day donor star (primary) and compact object (secondary) respectively. \( \Delta M \) denotes the mass of the ejected material during the SN event which can be estimated using the relative velocity of 4U2206+54/BD+53 2790 with respect to BD+53 2820.

Our analysis of motion shows that 4U 2206+54 originates in the OB association Cep OB1, from which it escaped about 2.8±0.4 Myr ago due to the SN of 4U 2206+54’s progenitor. Using parameters of calculated 36 929 traced back orbits for the relative space velocity one obtains \( \vartheta \equiv V_{\text{relative}} = 92.6^{+14.6}_{-16.2} \) km/s with respect to BD+53 2790 or its vicinity stars and hence, \( \Delta M = 5.6_{-2.2}^{+3.6} \, M_\odot \) for the neutron star of mass \( M_2 = 1.4 \, M_\odot \). Note that the estimate of \( \Delta M \) is not changing significantly depending on the mass of a neutron star (1.2-2.2 \, M_\odot) and/or period of the re-circularized orbit (i.e. post-SN eccentricity \( \approx 0 \), at most by factor of 1.5, cf., Eq.4). Thus, at the moment of the SN instantaneous explosion the collapsing core would have a mass of \( 7.0_{-2.6}^{+4.2} \, M_\odot \), which explodes as a SN, becomes a neutron star or black hole, and receives a velocity kick, due to any asymmetry in the explosion. Evidence for such a kick for non-disrupted systems are large eccentricities of X-ray binary systems (see, e.g., Kaspi et al. 1996) or observed velocities of radio pulsars (Lyne & Lorimer 1994). Clearly, the state of the binary after the SN depends on the orbital parameters at the moment of explosion and the kick velocity. For the case of 4U 2206+54 we estimated the required minimum kick velocity of a typical neutron star (Eq. A14 in Appendix, Hurley et al. 2002) \( \sim 200-350 \) km/s for the simple case, i.e. imparted in the orbital plane and in the direction of motion of the pre-SN star, for parameters of the mass range of BD+53 2790, mass of the ejected material \( \Delta M \), orbital velocity (465-530 km/s) of the binary at the moment of explosion and post–SN runaway systemic velocity \( (V_{\text{relative}}) \) of 4U 2206+54. Note that the above estimated kick velocity of a neutron star is compatible with kick velocities expected from a unimodal or bi-modal Maxwellian distribution of pulsars (see, e.g., Hobbs et al. 2005; Igoshev 2020).

On the other hand, the evolution of massive close binaries is driven by case B mass transfer (van den Heuvel et al. 2000). In this case, the mass transfer starts after the primary star has finished its core-hydrogen burning, and before the core-helium ignition. Resulting from the mass transfer, the remnant of the primary star is its helium core, while its entire hydrogen-rich envelope has been transferred to the secondary star, which became the more massive component of the system (conservative mass transfer as the dominant mode, see, e.g., van den Heuvel et al. 2000). Following Iben & Tutukov (1985) for the initial mass \((>32 \, M_\odot)\) of a star that will explode as a SN with helium core mass \( M_{He} \geq 13.4 \, M_\odot \) and \( M_{post} \geq 6.4 \, M_\odot \) (the fraction of mass lost \( \sim 0.2 \) van den Heuvel et al. 2000).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We presented the following study and results:

- We found that the member star of Cep OB1 association BD+53 2820 (spectral type B0 and luminosity class IV) and runaway HMXB 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 pair satisfies all our criteria for a close meeting in the past, namely they were at the same time (2.8 ± 0.4 Myr ago) at the same place (distance of 3435 ± 67 pc). It is therefore most likely, that at this location and time, a SN in a close massive binary took place and can be considered as the place and time of the origin of the currently observed HMXB. For the HMXB 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790, we obtained a runaway velocity of 75-100 km/s at the moment of SN explosion. Our conclusions hold for a wide range of radial velocity of BD+53 2820 of 23 ± 16 km/s.

- Given current orbital parameters of the HMXB 4U 2206+54/BD+53 2790 and using approaches described by van den Heuvel et al. (2000); Nelemans et al. (1999); Tauris & Takens (1998); Hurley et al. (2002); Postnov & Yungelson (2014) we estimated a number of parameters of the progenitor binary system, i.e. mass of the SN progenitor: \( \geq 32 \, M_\odot (M_{He} \geq 13.4 \, M_\odot, M_{post} \geq 6.4 \, M_\odot) \), mass of the ejected SN shell \( \Delta M \geq 5 \, M_\odot \), required minimum kick velocity of the produced neutron star \( v_{kick} \sim 200-350 \) km/s.
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