Comparison of an international scale method and a log reduction method for monitoring of early molecular response in chronic myeloid leukemia patients

TO THE EDITOR: The International Randomized Interferon versus STI-571 study was the first randomized trial to demonstrate the prognostic significance of monitoring BCR-ABL1 transcripts by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) [1], and defined a major molecular response (MMR) after treatment as a 3-log_{10} reduction from the baseline value in the level of measured BCR-ABL1 transcripts [1]. On the other hand, an international scale (IS) was proposed as an absolute value of 0.1% BCR-ABL1, which corresponds to a 3-log_{10} reduction from the baseline value, for MMR, in an effort made by international experts to standardize BCR-ABL1 quantification [2, 3].

According to European Leukemia Net guidelines, optimal response to first-line imatinib therapy was defined as complete hematologic response and at least minor cytogenetic response at 3 months, at least partial cytogenetic response at 6 months, complete cytogenetic response at 12 months, and MMR at 18 months [4]. Recently, several investigators suggested that early molecular responses (EMRs) within the first 6 months of therapy have prognostic significance [5, 6].

The molecular response of CML patients to tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment can be evaluated by BCR-ABL1 mRNA levels on the IS or by log reduction from the baseline level considered by the laboratory. This study was performed to determine whether there is any difference between a non-IS-based RT-PCR kit and a non-IS-based RT-PCR kit for EMR determination.

Bone marrow aspirates at diagnosis and 3 months after start of TKI therapy were collected from 19 patients diagnosed with chronic phase or accelerated phase CML at Ajou University Hospital between June 2008 and September 2011. When possible, samples were processed within 24 hours of collection. Samples that could not be immediately processed were stored at -70°C prior to processing. We reviewed the patients’ medical records, including age at diagnosis, gender, disease phase, and medication. This study was performed under approval of the institutional review board of Ajou University Hospital.

We extracted RNA from mononuclear cells isolated from bone marrow by the guanidinium isothiocyanate-phenol chloroform method as described in a previous study [7]. RT-PCR was performed using the LightCycler t(9;22) quantification kit (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ, USA) and the BCR-ABL Mbcr IS-MMR Dx kit (IPSOGEN, Marseille, France) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The former was designed to detect b3a2, b2a2 and e1a2 fusion transcripts and the latter is a kit for the quantification of b3a2 and b2a2 fusion gene transcripts. The BCR-ABL Mbcr IS-MMR Dx kit has been designed according to the Europe Against Cancer studies [8, 9], is compliant with updated international recommendations, and contains an IS-MMR calibrator aligned with the IS, allowing conversion of normalized copy number (NCN) to the IS in contrast to the LightCycler t(9;22) quantification kit, which does not provide IS values.

The RT-PCR was performed using LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) for both kits. For the LightCycler t(9;22) quantification kit, expression levels of BCR-ABL1 mRNA were analyzed relative to those of G6PDH. Log reductions in BCR-ABL1 levels from baseline were calculated with the LightCycler kit using the baseline value of 0.06, which is the median level of bone marrow samples from 20 newly diagnosed CML patients in our laboratory. We considered $\geq$1 log_{10} reduction at 3 months as EMR. For the BCR-ABL Mbcr IS-MMR Dx kit, standard curves obtained on plasmid standard dilutions were used to calculate copy number (CN) and NCN was derived from the equation (CN of BCR-ABL1/CN of ABL)×100. The NCN value was converted to the IS value using the equation (NCN of sample×assigned value of the IS-MMR-Calibrator/NCN of the calibrator). Nine quality criteria were used to validate the RT-PCR results as recommended by the manufacturer. We considered $\leq$10% IS at 3 months as EMR.

Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington) and MedCalc (MedCalc
software, Ostend, Belgium). To compare EMR determination by the two methods, the McNemar test was used and a P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Linear regression was used for correlation analysis of the BCR-ABL1 quantification kits.

The mean age of the patients was 44±15 years, and male to female ratio was 1.4:1. Fourteen patients were in chronic phase, and 5 in accelerated phase. Imatinib mesylate at 400 mg was administered daily to all patients except for one, to whom 600mg was administered. The mean time interval for follow-up of quantification of BCR-ABL1 transcripts was 3.1(2.0–4.5) months.

The mean BCR-ABL1 transcript levels as determined by the LightCycler kit and the IPSOGEN kit for initial samples were 0.057±0.086 (median, 0.03) and 60.6±17.8% (median, 63.0), respectively. The mean BCR-ABL1 transcript levels as determined by the LightCycler kit and the IPSOGEN kit of follow-up samples were 0.012±0.023 (median, 0.004) and 7.0±6.9% (median, 4.9), respectively. The correlation coefficient (r) between the two methods was 0.720, showing a moderately linear correlation (Fig. 1).

The IS method and the log reduction method showed a concordance rate of 74% (14/19) for the determination of EMR status. Four of 5 patients with discrepancies were determined to achieve EMR by the IS method but not by the log reduction method, and 1 vice versa. The P value with the McNemar test was 0.3750, indicating that there was no statistically significant difference between the two methods in determining EMR. Sixteen of 17 patients for whom the survival data were available are alive, except for one whose BCR-ABL1 transcript level was determined as no EMR by both methods. Clinical and laboratory findings of the patients showing a discrepancy between the log reduction method and the IS method are summarized in Table 1.

European LeukemiaNet guidelines recommend measuring BCR-ABL1 transcript levels during treatment for every 3 months until achievement of MMR and every 6 months thereafter [4]. Accumulation of the serial quantification data for BCR-ABL1 transcript levels during TKI therapy introduced a new era in monitoring therapy response in CML. Some investigators found that the depth of molecular response at 3 months could identify a subset of patients with relatively poor survival and prognosis [5, 6, 10, 11]. A new EMR definition was suggested as the 3-month BCR-ABL1 transcript level ≤10% IS or ≥1 log reduction [5, 6, 11] and was used in the present study.

Accurate measurement of baseline or normalized values of BCR-ABL1 fusion transcripts is a prerequisite for monitoring therapeutic efficacy over time and identifying patients who are unresponsive to therapy in the early phase of treatment [12]. Given the current lack of agreement between different measurement methods, it is necessary to know the extent to which methods disagree and whether the differences will cause problems in clinical interpretation [2]. In a study with 248 newly diagnosed CML-CP patients who underwent imatinib therapy, the investigators concluded that the IS method better differentiates the patients according to their predictive values to progression-free survival by EMR as well as by MMR than the log reduction method [11]. A significantly higher number of patients were considered as having an EMR by the IS method than by the log reduction method. Our data also showed a higher number of patients as having an EMR by the IS method

| Case No. | Gender/Age | Log reduction/ EMR\(^a\) | IS (%)/ EMR\(^b\) | Diagnosis | Therapy | Survival (mo) |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| 1        | M/29       | 0.86/no                   | 0.26/yes          | CML-CP    | Gleevec | 64+           |
| 2        | M/24       | 0.78/no                   | 5.39/yes          | CML-CP    | Gleevec | 59+           |
| 3        | M/40       | 0.84/no                   | 4.85/yes          | CML-CP    | Gleevec | 77+           |
| 4        | M/35       | 0.89/no                   | 1.80/yes          | CML-CP    | Gleevec | 72+           |
| 5        | F/47       | 1.31/yes                  | 13.75/no          | CML-CP    | Gleevec | 55+           |

\(^a\) ≥1 log10 reduction at 3 months was considered as EMR.
\(^b\) ≤10% IS at 3 months was considered as EMR.

Abbreviations: CML-CP, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase; EMR, early molecular response; IS, international scale.
samples of the CML patients should measure 100% IS, but curves, to validate the RT-PCR results. Theoretically, initial variation of 29%, which is considered allowable.

in this study, they measured 61% IS with a coefficient of reduction method in monitoring EMR status is required. study with enough cases to validate the usefulness of the log determination of EMR status of CML patients receiving TKI methods, our data failed to show a significant difference in number of cases and lack of assessment of sensitivity between values replicate variations and slope and r² for standard is one of them and adopts 9 quality criteria, including Ct value replicate variations and slope and r² for standard curves, to validate the RT-PCR results. Theoretically, initial samples of the CML patients should measure 100% IS, but in this study, they measured 61% IS with a coefficient of variation of 29%, which is considered allowable.

Although the use of IS might be a breakthrough, it would be cumbersome and labor-intensive for some laboratories to derive the laboratory-specific conversion factors (CFs) that enable locally derived results to be converted to the IS. In addition, there are a number of obvious issues with CFs, for example, lack of full information through the analyses in the 50% of laboratories who fail to achieve the defined performance criteria [2, 15].

Recently, an increasing number of manufacturers have produced IS-based RT-PCR kits for the major BCR-ABL1 transcript level that do not require laboratory-specific CFs. The BCR-ABL Mbcr IS-MMR Dx kit used in this study is one of them and adopts 9 quality criteria, including Ct value replicate variations and slope and r² for standard curves, to validate the RT-PCR results. Theoretically, initial samples of the CML patients should measure 100% IS, but in this study, they measured 61% IS with a coefficient of variation of 29%, which is considered allowable.

Although the present study has limitations such as a small number of cases and lack of assessment of sensitivity between methods, our data failed to show a significant difference in determination of EMR status of CML patients receiving TKI therapy by the IS or log reduction method. A multi-institutional study with enough cases to validate the usefulness of the log reduction method in monitoring EMR status is required.
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A case of *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia after bendamustine-based chemotherapy for refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

**TO THE EDITOR:** *Pneumocystis jiroveci* is a potential cause of virulent pneumonia in patients with impaired immunity, especially cell-mediated immunity. Increasing emphasis on prophylaxis using trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) has resulted in a decreasing incidence of *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia (PJP) in some diseases, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and lymphocytic leukemia. However, there are no standard guidelines for PJP prophylaxis in patients with other lymphoproliferative disorders. We report a case of PJP that occurred after a course of rituximab-bendamustine (RB) chemotherapy without TMP-SMX prophylaxis in a patient with refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). We also review the literature on PJP in connection with bendamustine-containing chemotherapy.

This patient was a 74-year-old man with DLBCL and a history of 8 cycles of R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, prednisolone) chemotherapy for stage IV DLBCL. Although he initially showed partial response to treatment, a significant proportion of lesions persisted after chemotherapy. Moreover, disease progression was noted 1 month after completion of first-line chemotherapy. Because the patient was deemed ineligible for high-dose chemotherapy, RB chemotherapy (rituximab 375 mg/m² on day 1 and bendamustine 90 mg/m² on days 2-3 q 28 days) was prescribed. After the first cycle of RB, the patient presented with fever. Chest radiography showed consolidation in both lung fields (Fig. 1A). A sequentially-performed chest computed tomography (CT) scan revealed ground-glass opacities in both lungs (Fig. 2). The white blood cell and absolute neutrophil counts were 3,820/μL and 1,940/μL, respectively. Lymphocyte fraction was 34%. The CD4 lymphocyte count was not assessed. Serum immunoglobulin (Ig) G level was 850 mg/dL (700-1,600). C-reactive protein level was 107.04 mg/L. The results of a respiratory viral study with nasal swab and serologic evaluation for mycoplasma and chlamydia were negative. Serum lactate dehydrogenase level was 911 IU/L. Arterial blood gas measurement on room air revealed hypoxemia (PaO₂: 45.5 mmHg).

Empirical treatment was initiated using piperacillin and tazobactam. Based on the clinical course and chest CT finding, TMP-SMX (15 mg/kg) was initiated to target a possible *Pneumocystis jiroveci* infection. Prednisolone (1 mg/kg) was added to treat hypoxia. The sputum polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test was positive for *Pneumocystis jiroveci*. Because of the patient’s high oxygen demand and delirious mental status, we could not confirm the organism through broncho-alveolar lavage, but repeated sputum PCR tests were consistently positive for *Pneumocystis jiroveci*. After 10 days of TMP-SMX therapy, PCR testing showed negative conversion with radiologic improvement (Fig. 1B). However,