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Abstract

The Krebs cycle is a universal metabolic cascade furnishing all organisms on Earth with the basic building blocks of life. Flux through the Krebs cycle is required to drive the production of the universal energy currency ATP. Carbon intermediates from the Krebs cycle also serve as precursors for the genesis of amino acids, lipids, and nucleotides. Considering that carbon in the Krebs cycle generates all the requisite ingredients that allow life to flourish it is easy to reconcile why it is found in all organisms. Although we have an eloquent understanding of the Krebs cycle and its function in relation to biochemistry and physiology, the basic tenets of this pathway are still being studied. This can be attributed to discoveries showing the Krebs cycle fulfills various other cellular functions including antioxidant defense, control of transcription, and cellular signaling. In the present article, I will discuss the novel functions of the Krebs cycle including its role in antioxidant defense, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and signaling. These functions are inherently related to its central function, carbon metabolism and mobilization of electrons for energy production or anabolic reactions. These novel Krebs cycle functions are influenced by the efficiency of nutrient metabolism and electron transfer reactions, two factors that are fundamental to the existence of life on Earth.
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Introduction

It is predicted that the Krebs cycle may be responsible for the emergence of life on Earth. The ancient origins of the Krebs cycle can be traced to acetogenic and methanogenic microbes which generate Krebs cycle intermediates by fixing carbon dioxide (CO₂) [1]. Acetogenesis and methanogenesis are processes by which CO₂ is fixed in the presence of hydrogen gas (H₂) to produce acetate which is then enzymatically converted to pyruvic acid and oxaloacetic acid, key ingredients required to produce the basic building blocks of life [1]. The conversion of acetate into pyruvate and oxaloacetate relies on acetyl-CoA synthetase which produces acetyl-CoA from acetate and pyruvate carboxylase which produces oxaloacetate from pyruvate [1,2]. However in terms of biological origins production of acetate from CO₂ and H₂ can also proceed abiotically. It has been proposed that prebiotic genesis of acetate could have occurred naturally at alkaline hydrothermal vents which provides the requisite geochemistry for the genesis of the precursors molecules required for the evolution of life [1,3]. The exhalant from alkaline hydrothermal vents forms large spires consisting of tiny micro-compartments composed of calcium magnesium carbonate ~ 5 μM thick containing sea water enriched with Fe-S and Ni-S minerals, CO₂, H₂O, NH₃, and sulfur [3]. The sea water inside the micro compartments is alkaline (pH ~9) and maintained at ~100°C, ideal conditions that favor CO₂ fixation and acetate production [3]. In addition, given the presence of sulfur and electron donating molecules it would have also been thermodynamically favorable for acetate to fix CO₂ for the production of Krebs cycle intermediates [4]. The small size of the calcium magnesium carbonate micro compartments would have also encouraged the build-up of metabolites to high concentrations facilitating CO₂ fixation and production of Krebs intermediates. With this in mind, it is easy to reconcile how elements of the Krebs cycle could have formed in the prebiotic environment which also explains the universality of this metabolic core on Earth.

In contrast to the reductive Krebs cycle, the evolutionarily modern Krebs cycle found in aerobic organisms oxidizes carbon for ATP production and anabolic reactions (Figure 1). The reversal of the Krebs cycle from a reductive pathway that fixes CO₂ to an oxidative pathway that strips electrons from carbon liberating CO₂ most likely occurred after the Great Oxygenation Event 2.2 billion years ago [5]. The incorporation of O₂ into routine metabolism meant that organisms were able to conserve more energy from nutrient oxidation. Eventually the enzymatic machinery required for oxidative metabolism was compartmentalized in mitochondria which substantially enhanced energy conservation from nutrient oxidation culminating with the evolution of multicellular organisms [6]. Thus, O₂ utilization meant that the Krebs cycle needed to be tailored from a metabolic cascade that uses electrons to fix carbon to a pathway that oxidizes nutrients and releases CO₂ to liberate electrons for ATP production. The oxidative catabolism of organic acids in mitochondria was first described by Hans Krebs 75 years ago [7,8]. Although we have an appreciable understanding of the biochemistry of the Krebs cycle, its regulation, and role in human physiology we are still investigating the basic tenets of this metabolic pathway. This is simply due to new evidence that shows that the oxidative Krebs cycle participated in antioxidant defense, ROS homeostasis, intra- and intercellular signaling, and covalent modification of proteins. In addition, some Krebs cycle enzymes display pleiotropic functions which include roles in modulation of DNA stability and mRNA translation [9,10]. In the present review I will discuss emerging novel functions of the oxidative Krebs cycle and the relationship of these new functions to its classic function, nutrient metabolism and electron mobilization. Indeed, the newly described functions of the oxidative Krebs cycle are related, in general, to the efficiency of electron transfer reactions in mitochondria. These new functions will be discussed in the context of physiology, disease, and medicine. For clarity the Krebs cycle in this article will be referred to as the oxidative Krebs cycle. It is appropriate to add the term oxidative in front of Krebs cycle since it refers to the removal of
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enzymes that work in tandem to systematically oxidize substrates. Carbon metabolism by the oxidative Krebs cycle has been reviewed numerous times over the years in extensive detail [11-14]. For our purposes here, I will summarize reactions in the oxidative Krebs cycle focusing on key enzymes that serve as important sites for control of Krebs cycle flux. The oxidative Krebs cycle begins with the flux of 8 enzymes working in tandem to oxidize carbon intermediates (Figure 1). In the cycle there are key sites for regulation which mostly depends on the irreversible nature of certain enzymatic steps such as the reactions catalyzed by Pdh, Idh, and Odh [11,15]. However, other enzymes that catalyze reversible reactions, like Acn or Sdh, also serve as important control points for carbon flux. In fact, it can be argued that Sdh serves as an important bottle neck for the cycle considering it is a chief site for metabolic regulation, its substrate succinate is involved in signaling, and succinate is only metabolized by Sdh. These regulatory points serve an important purpose; determining if carbon in the oxidative Krebs cycle will be utilized for catabolic or anabolic reactions or be utilized for novel functions like signaling or covalent modification of proteins. During catabolic reactions, disparate nutrients in the form of monosaccharides, lipids, or amino acids are metabolized via distinct metabolic cascades to yield common intermediates: acetyl-CoA, 2-oxoglutarate, oxaloacetate, and fumarate which enter the oxidative Krebs cycle. The flux of carbon through the cycle is reliant on eight enzymes that catalyze reversible reactions, like Acn or Sdh, also serve as important control points for carbon flux. In fact, it can be argued that Sdh serves as an important bottle neck for the cycle considering it is a chief site for metabolic regulation, its substrate succinate is involved in signaling, and succinate is only metabolized by Sdh. Thus, Sdh serves as a “bottle neck” for control over flux through the oxidative Krebs cycle. Sdh is modulated by a number of allosteric regulators and redox modifications.
Figure 1: Catabolic and anabolic reactions of the oxidative Krebs cycle. a) Nutrients in the form of monosaccharides, lipids, amino acids, and nucleotides are metabolized by glycolysis, fatty acid oxidation, and various other cascades forming common metabolic intermediates acetyl-CoA, oxaloacetate, 2-oxoglutarate, and fumarate which enter the Krebs cycle for further oxidation. Prior to conversion to acetyl-CoA the end product of glycolysis pyruvate is transported into the matrix by monocarboxylate transporter (MCT). Note that conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA is catalyzed by pyruvate dehydrogenase (Pdh) which couples pyruvate oxidation to NADH production and evolution of CO2. Pyruvate is also converted to oxaloacetate by pyruvate carboxylase (Pc). Fatty acid uptake by mitochondria is initiated by formation of acyl-carnitine, a reaction catalyzed by carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1 (Cpt1). Acyl-carnitine is then taken up by mitochondria via the carnitine acyl-carnitine translocator (CACT) where, upon entering the matrix, acyl-carnitine is converted back to acyl-CoA by Cpt2 prior to being subjected to β-oxidation. Amino acids are deaminated generating glutamate which enters the Krebs cycle following removal of NH3 by glutamate dehydrogenase (Gdh), a reaction that is coupled to NAD (P)H production. Aspartic acid also enters the Krebs cycle at the level of oxaloacetate, a reaction that is catalyzed aspartate aminotransferase (Aat). Purine metabolism and urea cycle function also provide fumarate via the action of adenylosuccinate lyase (Asl) and arginosuccinate lyase (Agl). Upon entry into the oxidative Krebs cycle carbon is oxidized to yield NADH and FADH2 at various steps. Production of NADH at the levels of Idh and Odh is coupled to the release of CO2. Electrons from NADH and FADH2 are then mobilized by Complex I and Sdh (Complex II) and passed to O2 at the level of Complex IV. Electron transfer is coupled to the establishment of a transmembrane potential of protons which is tapped by Complex V for the production of ATP. b) For anabolic reactions, carbon from the Krebs cycle is diverted to the biosynthesis of various building blocks required for the production of biological macromolecules (membranes, proteins, and DNA). Citrate is exported into the cytosol by mitochondrial citrate carrier (MCC) where it is cleaved by ATP-citrate lyase (ATP-c1) producing acetyl-CoA, the starting material for lipogenesis. 2-oxoglutarate can be diverted to serve as an ammonia acceptor generating glutamate. Glutamate is then utilized to generate glutamine via glutamine synthase (Gls) or can be utilized for the genesis of other amino acids including aspartic acid at the level of oxaloacetate. Amino acids yielded from Krebs cycle intermediates are then utilized for protein biosynthesis. Glutamate, glutamine, and aspartate are also utilized for nucleotide production. Succinyl-CoA is utilized for heme biosynthesis and oxaloacetate is committed to gluconeogenesis and glucose production by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pepck). At; aminotransferase, Cs; citrate synthase, Acn; aconitase, Idh; isocitrate dehydrogenase, Odh; 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, Scs; succinyl-CoA synthetase, Sdh; succinate dehydrogenase, Fum; fumarase, Mdh; malate dehydrogenase, 2-OG; 2-oxoglutarate.
including S-glutathionylation, sulfenylation, and covalent modification by 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal [33]. Modulation of Sdh via these regulatory mechanisms can prompt accumulation of succinic acid and succinyl-CoA, two important signaling molecules [42]. In addition, regulation of Sdh also controls the amount of superoxide (O$_2^-$) being generated which, following dismutation to hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), serves as an important signaling molecule as well. The importance of Sdh in signaling the "state" of Krebs cycle flux to the rest of the cell and other cells is discussed below. The fumarate yielded from the action of Sdh is then hydrated by fumarase (Fum) producing malic acid which is then subsequently oxidized by malate dehydrogenase (Mdh) to generate oxaloacetate and NADH completing the cycle.

Oxidative phosphorylation is initiated by oxidation of NADH generated by Krebs cycle enzymes by Complex I [43]. The liberated electrons are then systematically passed through a series of prosthetic groups and cofactors that are strategically positioned according to increasing electron affinity inside and in between the individual respiratory complexes to the terminal electron acceptor di-oxygen (O$_2$) at Complex IV [44]. Favorable electron transfer reactions are coupled to the movement of protons through Complexes I, III, and IV, respectively, which establishes a transmembrane electron chemical potential of protons ($\Delta$G$_H^\text{m}$) which is utilized by Complex V to drive ATP synthesis completing the oxidative phosphorylation chain [45]. Thus, catabolism in the oxidative Krebs cycle basically involves removal of electrons from carbon and the subsequent use of electron movement for the production of the universal energy currency ATP. Note that since the focus of this article is on the oxidative Krebs cycle details on electron transfer through the respiratory complexes, proton pumping mechanisms, and the regulation of electron flow and ATP production have been omitted. For detailed information on oxidative phosphorylation and electron transfer through the complexes the reader is encouraged to consult the following reviews [16,34,44,45].

Anabolic reactions: production of lipids, amino acids, nucleotides, and other macromolecular structures: The oxidative Krebs cycle is also responsible for furnishing cells with the requisite carbon precursors for the biosynthesis of amino acids, lipids, and nucleotides (Figure 1b). Citrate is utilized for the biosynthesis of fatty acids while 2-oxogluturate and oxaloacetate can be diverted for the production of glutamate and aspartate which are utilized to generate other nonessential amino acids such as glutamine and asparagine. Aspartate, glutamate, and glutamine are also required for the biosynthesis of purine and pyrimidine ribonucleotides [46]. Ribonucleotide production generates significant amounts of fumaric acid which can re-enter the oxidative Krebs cycle for further metabolism. Succinyl-CoA serves as an important precursor for heme biosynthesis and oxaloacetate can be utilized to drive gluconeogenesis and glucose production [47]. Note that the biosynthesis of amino acids, nucleotides and lipids and the subsequent use of these molecules to make macromolecular structures (DNA, membranes, and proteins) is very energetically costly and requires a substantial amount of NADPH and ATP. Thus, there is a tight link between the energy state of the cell and whether or not catabolic and anabolic reactions will occur. It is also important to point out that tissues have different anabolic signatures which are highly dependent on anabolic gene expression patterns. For example, gluconeogenic reactions principally take place in the liver and kidney and have been reported to occur to a lesser extent in skeletal muscle [48,49]. Fatty acid biosynthesis on the other hand is more prevalent being found in liver, kidney, white and brown adipose and has been reported to occur in brain tissue, principally astrocytes, and skeletal muscle [50,51]. As indicated above, de novo biosynthesis of the basic building blocks of life requires a substantial energy input in the form of ATP and NADPH. Thus, whether or not intermediates in the oxidative Krebs cycle will be diverted towards biosynthesis is inherently related to the energy state of the cell. The NADP pool is for the most part maintained in a reduced state with NADPH dominating in concentration over NADP (NADPH/NADP is ~ 100) and is thus not a regulatory determinant for diversion of carbon away from the Krebs cycle for biosynthesis [52]. The efficiency of NADH oxidation however, can dictate whether or not carbon intermediates in the Krebs cycle will be utilized for anabolic reactions. Increased NADH concentrations can slow the oxidative Krebs cycle via allosteric inhibition of Pdh, Odh, Scs, and Acm allowing for the accumulation of intermediates and their subsequent diversion towards biosynthesis. The use of citrate for fatty acid biosynthesis, to a certain degree, functions in the manner. A slowing of the Krebs cycle due to diminished NADH oxidation leads to citrate accumulation in the matrix [53]. Once the concentrations are sufficiently elevated citrate is pumped out of mitochondria by mitochondrial citrate carrier (MCC) and cleaved by ATP-citrate lyase generating oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA (Figure 1b) [54]. The acetyl-CoA is then diverted towards de novo lipogenesis. Redox signaling mechanisms and ROS, specifically O$_2^\cdot$-, peroxynitrite, and H$_2$O$_2$ can converge on Acm and disable its activity [35]. Redox signaling mechanisms such as S-glutathionylation can inhibit Acm activity via modification of critical thiols required to drive enzyme catalysis [36]. These signaling mechanisms can amplify citrate accumulation by inhibiting Acm function. Prolonged inhibition of Acm by ROS or overt changes in redox environment during oxidative stress or environmental contaminant toxicity is also associated with development of metabolic disorders such as obesity and fatty liver disease (hepatic steatosis) [55]. Another potent modulator of flux is acetyl-CoA which feeds back to inhibit Pdh [56]. Accumulation of acetyl-CoA is inherently related to the 1) quantity of oxaloacetate available for citrate production and 2) the acetyl-CoA buffering capacity of carnitine acetyltransferase (CrAT) which converts excess acetyl-CoA into acetyl-carnitine [18]. Another key regulatory mechanism would also be the amount of ATP. However, the concentration of ATP varies at most –10-20% in a resting versus active cell (e.g. skeletal muscle at rest and exercise) [57], despite this small variation in absolute cellular ATP levels between different energy states it still serves as an allosteric regulator. Indeed, small changes in cellular ATP levels can inhibit various glycolytic and oxidative Krebs cycle enzymes [12,58]. However the true adenosine regulator for carbon flux in catabolic and anabolic reactions is AMP. A modest change in ATP levels can lead to an ~40-50% change in cellular AMP [59]. AMP does serve as direct allosteric modulator but most of its effects are mediated through AMP kinase (AMPK) [60]. Low AMP levels indicate that there is sufficient ATP that can be utilized for biosynthetic reactions. However, elevation of AMP results in either direct activation of catabolic enzymes or activation of AMPK which phosphorylates various proteins and enzymes involved in ATP production. Generally, AMPK phosphorylates and inhibits biosynthetic enzymes that expend ATP for anabolic reactions. For example acetyl-CoA carboxylase, which is required to initiate de novo lipogenesis, is inhibited by AMPK-mediated phosphorylation [60]. Adenylate kinase (AK) is largely responsible for modulating the concentration of cellular AMP. AK mediated formation of AMP requires two ADP molecules, with one ADP being utilized to phosphorylate the other generating ATP and AMP [61]. AK activity and expression is often associated with skeletal muscle but other tissues also express AK [61]. In fact, there are three AK isoforms, AK1, AK2, and AK3 [61]. AK1 is predominantly expressed in skeletal muscle however; other tissues such as heart, kidney, lung, stomach and brain also express this isoform [61]. AK2
seems to be expressed only in liver and kidney while AK3 is found in most tissues [61]. Thus, it would seem that most tissues are endowed with the capacity to utilize AMP as a fuel gauge for the modulation of catabolic and anabolic pathways. In addition, a number of regulatory mechanisms are utilized to dictate carbon flux in the oxidative Krebs cycle and whether or not intermediates will be utilized for ATP production or biosynthetic reactions.

**O$_2^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$ production and degradation**

Mitochondria are well known to fulfill a number of functions which are inherently related to its chief function; coupling electron transfer reactions to the establishment of a proton motive force for ATP production and biosynthetic reactions. However, electron transfer reactions are not perfectly coupled to the reduction of O$_2$. For the most part enzymes that harbor flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) or flavin mononucleotide (FMN) prosthetic groups can partake in O$_2^*$ or H$_2$O$_2$ production [16]. Flavins can produce either O$_2^*$ or H$_2$O$_2$, which is related to the production of flavin radical, flavin hydroperoxide, or flavin ion intermediates which then oxidized protein cysteine thiols [66]. Both O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ can fulfill important signaling functions in the cell [35,68]. O$_2$ is involved in mitochondrial metabolism efficiency [66]. Thus, O$_2^*$ or H$_2$O$_2$ are not unfortunate by products of respiration but rather key ingredients required to fine tune mitochondrial metabolism, a dichotomy referred to as mitohormesis [72]. In order to take advantage of the rapid signaling properties of O$_2^*$ or H$_2$O$_2$ whilst avoiding its toxicity, mitochondria must control the production and degradation of either molecule.

**O$_2^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$ production in the Krebs cycle**

Complex I and III of the respiratory chain are considered the principle sources of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ in mitochondria [73]. Blockage of electron transfer results in the over reduction of the respiratory complexes which hyperpolarizes the mitochondrial inner membrane resulting in increased O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ production [73]. However, recent research efforts have been able to show that Krebs cycle enzymes, specifically Odh, Pdh, and Sdh are also quantitatively significant sources of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ [18,43,74,75]. Whether or not Odh, Pdh, and Sdh are significant sources of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ is highly dependent on which nutrient is being metabolized. For instance, Odh and Pdh produce far more O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ than Complex I and Sdh when nutrients, that generate large amounts of NADH are being metabolized [43]. On the other hand Sdh generates O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ during succinate metabolism but can also produce significant amounts via reverse electron transfer (RET) from the Q pool [43]. Indeed, various nutrients such as proline, glycerol-3-phosphate, and dihydroorotate, by pass Complex I and feed electrons directly into the Q pool [16]. These electrons can then be transferred to Complex III or, depending on the state of the respiratory chain and the efficiency of forward electron transfer, can be moved to Sdh generating O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ [43]. The observation that O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ production in mitochondria from different sites is highly dependent on genesis of either NADH or ubiquinol (QH$_2$) has led to the suggestion that sites of mitochondrial O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ production can be subcategorized into two isopotential groups; NADH/NAD and QH$_2$/Q isopotential groups [16,43]. The generation of either NADH or QH$_2$ or a combination of both is highly dependent on the nutrient being catabolized. Thus, production of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ is site dependent redox status of either isopotential group which is influenced by nutrient type, nutrient metabolism efficiency, and mitochondrial redox poise. The NADH/NAD isopotential group is comprised of Odh, Pdh, Bckdh, and Complex I [43]. The most important sources of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ in this isopotential group are Odh and Pdh [43]. Production of O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ by Odh and Pdh has been attributed to its FAD prosthetic group located in the E$_1$ subunit of the enzyme complex [76]. It is also worth noting that the FAD prosthetic group in Odh and most likely Pdh produces a mixture of O$_2^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$ with the latter dominating over the former [77]. The most intriguing aspect about Odh and Pdh serving as O$_2^*$ production is that both enzymes complexes sit at important intersections of the oxidative Krebs cycle; Odh provides a direct link to amino acid anabolism and catabolism while Pdh is the entry point for pyruvic acid, the end product of glycolysis, into the Krebs cycle. Both Odh and Pdh are tightly modulated by allosteric regulators and covalent modifications which can influence not only enzyme activity but also O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ emission. For instance, O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ production is highly responsive to NADH/NAD [28], NAD can become limiting in mitochondria considering the NAD/NADH ratio is maintained at ~8 due to the Krebs cycle (This is in contrast to the cytosol where NAD/ NADH is ~100) [79]. Since NAD is normally superior in concentration to NADH, it could be assumed that blockage or slowing of Complex I activity would drive up the concentration of NADH, a negative allosteric regulator of Odh and Pdh, which would increase O$_2^*$/H$_2$O$_2$ emission [79]. Thus, it is probable that inhibition of Complex I is responsible for the increase in O$_2^*/$H$_2$O$_2$ production by Odh and Pdh,
as found by Quinlan and colleagues [43]. Intriguingly, Cortassa et al. recently found that NADH/NAD changes temporally in response to fluctuations in ADP levels which correlates strongly with 1) the overall redox state of mitochondria (e.g. amount of reduced glutathione (GSH)) and 2) changes in O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ [80]. Introduction of a small amount of ADP to isolated mitochondria induces a sharp decline in both NAD(P)H and O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, indicating that O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production is sensitive to changes in NADH which is responsive to ADP availability, a major modulator of nutrient metabolism efficiency in mitochondria [80]. Thus, it is entirely probable that emission of O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ from Odh or Pdh serve a signal that not only fine tunes mitochondrial metabolism but also conveys the state of nutrient oxidation in mitochondria to the rest of the cell. Considering that Odh and Pdh sit at critical junctions in nutrient metabolism and Krebs cycle flux, this would make both enzymes ideal signaling platforms. Another intriguing aspect of Odh and Pdh is that both enzymes are deactivated by H$_2$O$_2$ [81,82]. Odh deactivates both enzyme complexes by oxidizing vicinal thiols (SH) on dihydrolipoamide on the E$_2$ subunit forming sulfenic acid (SOH) [83]. Not only does this deactives that enzyme complex but most likely also prevents the further reduction of FAD curtailing further O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production. Thus, in a negative feedback loop O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ can regulate its own production by blocking electron passage from dihydrolipoamide to FAD. This type of regulation would also be crucial since it would prevent a sustained or prolonged production of O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ which could be potentially dangerous. Hence, a negative feedback loop is ideal considering it would allow rapid spatiotemporal pulsing of O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ from mitochondria in response to changes in energy demands and nutrient oxidation efficiency. Indeed, it has been shown that O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production by mitochondria does pulse over time in response to fluctuations in ADP availability and redox environment [80]. However, even a O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ negative feedback loop can be dangerous since H$_2$O$_2$ can irreversibly oxidize SOH to sulfenic (SOH) and sulfonic acids (SO$_2$H) [83]. To prevent over-oxidation, SOH is conjugated to GSH forming a protein glutathione mixed disulfide [84]. Addition of this moiety to the SOH group on dihydrolipoamide effectively protects from further oxidation. Moreover, S-glutathionylation can be reversed by thiol oxidoreductase glutaredoxin-2 (Grx2) which reactivates the enzyme complex [34]. It is important to point out that only Odh has been found to be reversibly S-glutathionylated however; recent indirect evidence would suggest Pdh is modulated by a similar mechanism [30].

Thus, regulation of O$_2^*$/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ genesis by Odh and Pdh depends on vicinal thiol oxidation and followed by S-glutathionylation, a reversible signaling mechanism akin to phosphorylation and highly responsive to changes in redox environment.

**Krebs cycle in antioxidant defense**

Ground state O$_2$ has two unpaired electrons in its outermost anti-bonding orbitals classifying it as a free radical. In addition, singlet electron reduction of O$_2$ to H$_2$O results in the formation of a series of reactive oxygen intermediates including O$_2^*$, H$_2$O$_2$, and OH$^*$ [62,85]. Thus, given its potentially damaging nature, antioxidant systems had to co evolve with O$_2$ utilizing biological systems. Antioxidant systems form the main barrier against the potentially noxious properties of O$_2$ and its reactive intermediates. Various redundant systems localized throughout the cell are employed to quench various free radical intermediates by either direct quenching of free radical centers or indirectly via prevention of free radical production. In mitochondria MnSOD is responsible for the dismutation of O$_2^*$ while H$_2$O$_2$ is quenched by glutathione peroxidase (Gpx) and glutathione reductase (GR). In this system two GSH molecules are fixed by Gpx to sequester H$_2$O$_2$ which yields oxidized glutathione (GSSG) [64]. The peroxiredoxin system (Prx) also plays an important role in quenching H$_2$O$_2$ [86]. The N-terminal peroxidatic cysteine of Prx is oxidized directly by H$_2$O$_2$ forming SOH which then reacts with a resolving cysteine thiol forming a disulfide bridge [86]. The disulfide bond is then reduced by mitochondrial thioredoxin 2 (Trx2) and the disulfide bond on Trx2 is reduced by thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) [86]. Both the GSH and Prx system are highly efficient at removing H$_2$O$_2$. Details surrounding the efficiency of H$_2$O$_2$ quenching and the different isoforms have been recently reviewed in [64,87]. In addition, the consequences of knocking out elements of these systems can either result in extreme sensitivity to bursts in ROS or embryonic lethality [64,88].

For our purposes in this review we will focus on the key reductive ingredient required to rejuvenate the antioxidant power of these systems, NADPH. NADPH only differs from NADH by a phosphate located on 2’ carbon of the adenine nucleotide. However, this phosphate “earmarks” the nicotinamide nucleotide for anabolic reactions and antioxidant defense rather than catabolic reactions. NADPH provides the requisite reductive power required to drive the restoration of antioxidants and provides both the GSH and Prx system. In the case of GSH, NADPH utilizes NADPH to reduce the disulfide bonds in GSSG providing two GSH [89]. For Prx, NADPH is utilized by thioredoxin reductase to reduce the disulfide bridges formed on Trx2 [90]. A number of enzymes have been shown to generate NADPH in mitochondria including Idh, malic enzyme (Me), energy liberating transhydrogenase (Elth) and Gdh [37]. These five enzymes are expressed at different amounts in various tissues in response to variations in nutrient metabolism and thus occur in different combinations in the matrix of mitochondria [37]. Importantly though these enzymes provide a direct link between nutrient metabolism and antioxidant defense. Idh is often viewed as the most important in the provision of NADPH in the mitochondrial environment. There are two NADP-Idh isoforms, Idh1 which is found in the cytosol and Idh2 in the matrix [91,92]. Knock-out studies have shown that both enzymes play important roles in the maintenance of the NADPH pool and antioxidant defense [92,93]. In particular, loss of Idh2 in mitochondria leads to a significant depletion of the NADPH pool and loss of the mitochondrion’s capacity to fend oxidative stress [92]. Variations in genes encoding Idh1 and Idh2 have also been associated with development of various human cancers including gliomas and acute myeloid leukemia [91]. It has been reported that Idh1 or Idh2 genes are mutated in more than 75% of gliomas and secondary glioblastoma [91]. In most cases the gene variants involve single amino acid substitutions. In the case of Idh2 typically Arg140 is substituted for Lys, Met, Gly, or Trp and Arg172 is substituted for Gln or Trp [91]. These variations in gene sequence alter the normal activity of Idh2 where NADPH is produced in high amounts. Also, a second product 2-hydroxyglutarate, is formed instead of 2-oxoglutarate [94]. Interestingly, formation of 2-hydroxyglutarate by Idh2 requires 2-oxoglutarate and NADP as a substrate [94]. Knock out of Idh2 also prevents cancer development and 2-hydroxyglutarate has been suggested to serve as a potential biomarker for early detection of certain types of cancer [95]. It is now appreciated that fundamental alterations in oxidative Krebs cycle flux is inherently related to the development of cancer where metabolic pathways are tailored to meet the demands of a rapidly dividing system [96]. One of these many changes occurs at the level of NADPH metabolism which provides the requisite reductive power to rejuvenate antioxidant systems.

Another potentially important antioxidant property of the oxidative Krebs cycle is the role of $\alpha$-ketoad acids, in particular 2-oxoglutarate and pyruvate, in quenching H$_2$O$_2$ [97]. Various studies have shown that both metabolites can spontaneously react with H$_2$O$_2$ [97-99]. In addition,
α-keto acid supplementation has been shown to curtail mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening, protect from ischemia-reperfusion injury, prevent apoptosis and oxidative damage, and ameliorate heavy metal toxicity [100-102]. The mechanism by which either α-keto acid spontaneously quenches H₂O₂ involves two steps. In the first step, H₂O₂ nucleophically attacks the α-keto carbon in the metabolite yielding a perhydroxyl intermediate [99]. Then through a series of electron transfers within the carbon skeleton the perhydroxyl intermediate is decarboxylated [99]. The spontaneous H₂O₂ mediated decarboxylation of 2-oxoglutarate and pyruvate generates succinate and acetate, respectively, which can then re-enter the oxidative Krebs cycle. The caveat of this mechanism and the potential use of oxidative Krebs cycle intermediates in the quenching of H₂O₂ stems from the reactivity of α-keto acids with H₂O₂. In comparison to the GSH and Prx systems, which quench H₂O₂ at a rate of k = 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, pyruvic acid only reacts with H₂O₂ at a rate of k = 2.2 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ [87]. In addition, GSH is highly concentrated in mitochondria (~5 mM range) and enzymes involved in either the GSH or Prx systems are highly concentrated in the matrix as well [103]. This is in contrast to α-keto acids which usually occur in µM amounts in mitochondria [104,105]. It should also be noted that H₂O₂ quenching experiments with α-keto acids use high µM to mM amounts of H₂O₂, and mM amounts of either α-keto acid, both of which are supraphysiological [105]. However, in a recent study Venditti et al were able to show that nonenzymatic H₂O₂ sequestration accounts for a significant fraction of H₂O₂ clearance in rat liver and heart mitochondria, with the latter dominating over the former [106]. This does point to the possibility that α-keto acids may fulfill an important role in H₂O₂ clearance in certain tissues. It is entirely probable that when mitochondria are facing high amounts of H₂O₂ α-keto acids can accumulate to sufficient concentrations to sequester H₂O₂. This may be especially relevant when antioxidant systems are being overwhelmed by oxidative stress. In addition Pdh and Odh are sensitive to deactivation by oxidative stress. Employment of α-keto acids to quench H₂O₂ may also represent a more ancient form of antioxidant defense, potentially utilized by anaerobic systems, since it is reliant on Krebs cycle intermediates.

Krebs Cycle Intermediates in Intra- and Inter cellular Signaling

G-protein coupled receptors (GPCR) are a ubiquitous super family of plasma membrane receptors found throughout nature and in various tissues [107]. These plasma membrane receptors fulfill a number of physiological functions which includes vision, smell, cell growth and division, neurological signaling, immune cell function, and many others [42]. In addition, GPCR are activated by a broad range of molecules and hormones including peptides, light, chemokines, odorants, purines, and lipids. GPCR harbors 7 membrane spanning α-helical regions which form a cavity that faces the extracellular space for the ligand binding domain [108]. On the cytosolic side of the receptor binds to G-protein which is composed of three subunits: α, β, and γ [109]. Upon binding its cognate agonist, the receptor undergoes conformational changes resulting in an exchange of GDP for GTP in the Ga subunit. This subsequently leads to the activation and release of Ga from Gβγ. While Ga induces the production of cAMP, a key intracellular signaling molecule, by adenylate cyclase, Gβγ activates phosphatidyl-inositol-3-kinase pathways. The GPCR super family has >800 members, most of which do not have a known ligand or function [42]. A little over a decade ago, two GPCR, GPR91 and GPR99, were identified as having high homology to purine binding GPCR but displayed little activation upon exposure to purines. Instead, GPR91 and GPR99 were found to be activated by succinate and 2-oxoglutarate [110]. In particular GPR91 displays high sensitivity towards succinic acid and is now referred to as succinate receptor 1 (SUCNR1) [110]. Thus, the efficiency of metabolite flux through the oxidative Krebs cycle can be communicated to other cells and tissues by using Krebs cycle intermediates in endocrine signaling.

The signaling functions of succinate and 2-oxoglutarate were originally described in detail for the HIF-1 pathway. 2-oxoglutarate serves as an important cofactor for prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) which hydroxylates HIF-1α tagging it for degradation which prevents activation of the hypoxic signaling cascade. On the other hand succinate has the opposite effect, inhibiting PHD resulting in HIF-1 assembly and expression of glycolytic genes [111]. Considering this intracellular signaling cascade has been reviewed extensively elsewhere it will not be discussed further here. Instead, major emphasis will be placed on the endocrine signaling functions of succinate and 2-oxoglutarate which act through SUCNR1 and GPR99, respectively. It can be assumed then that activation of either receptor by its cognate Krebs cycle intermediate inherently depends on the amount of succinate in the left-oxoglutarate in circulation which requires a slowing of the Krebs cycle. Messenger RNA encoding both receptors is expressed at low amounts in a number of tissues [110]. SUCNR1 and GPR99 also display some differential expression patterns where SUCNR1 is found in liver and spleen and to a lesser extent small intestine and GPR99 is located in substantial amounts in smooth muscle and testis [110]. Both receptors display the highest mRNA levels in kidney [110]. Most studies have focused on SUCNR1 and deciphering the impact of succinate signaling on various tissues. Accumulation of succinate in the bloodstream induces a concomitant increase circulating renin levels, a key component of the renin-angiotensin system that is required to modulate blood pressure [110]. Intravenous injection of succinate into rats led to an increase in renin which was also matched by an increase in blood pressure, a response that was absent in SUCNR1 deficient animals [110]. It has been reported that succinate and 2-oxoglutarate are present at 5 µM and 25 µM, respectively, in circulation [112]. Succinate signaling is not reserved for the kidney and the modulation of blood pressure. Succinate is known to accumulate to even higher concentrations under stress or pathological conditions. For instance, ischemia and exercise can lead to an accumulation of up to 40 µM succinate in the left ventricle and 173 µM in circulation [113]. Succinate has been reported to accumulate to up to 1 mM in liver perfusate following a bout of ischemia [114]. Quiescent hepatic stellate cells (HSC) do express ample amounts of SUCNR1 but upon activation expression of SUCNR1 decreases substantially [114]. Considering how rapidly succinate can accumulate in a stressed liver, it has been suggested that succinate accumulation and signaling through SUCNR1 serves as an early response towards hepatic stress and toxicity [114]. Succinate also prevents lipolysis in white adipose indicating its accumulation may be, in part, responsible for hypertrophying of white adipose in obesity, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome [115]. SUCNR1 has also been reported to play a role in blood and immune cells signaling where it is required for platelet aggregation, induction of hematopoietic progenitor cell proliferation, and immune cell function [115]. Thus, succinate serves as a crucial endocrine signaling molecule modulating blood pressure, immune and blood cell function, hepatic stellate cell activation, and lipolysis in response to stress. It is important to point out that very few studies have focused on 2-oxoglutarate-mediated endocrine signaling. In addition, although not discussed here, other important metabolites including lactate, ketone body 3-hydroxy butyrate, and β-oxidation intermediate 3-hydroxy octanoate serve as ligands for orphan G-proteins GPR109A,
GPR81, and GPR109B further illustrating the intimate link between metabolic flux and endocrine/paracrine signaling [116].

Metabolism of succinate serves as a major bottle neck in the oxidative Krebs cycle where the clearance of succinate inherently depends on the enzymatic activity of Sdh (Figure 1). This is in contrast to other metabolic steps in the Krebs cycle where metabolites can be diverted to other reactions (Figure 1). Thus, succinate could serve as an ideal signaling molecule, conveying the status of Krebs cycle flux to 1) the rest of the cell (intracellular signaling) and 2) other tissues (paracrine or endocrine stress signaling) since its metabolism or accumulation depends solely on Sdh activity (Figure 2). As indicated above Sdh is a major site for regulation of Krebs cycle flux playing a role in aerobic metabolism but also in the control of mitochondrial O$_2^*/$H$_2$O$_2$ emission. Sdh is also modulated heavily by S-glutathionylation which is required to maintain its activity [117]. Bouts of ischemia-reperfusion in cardiac tissue inhibit Sdh activity via changes in S-glutathionylation of SdhA subunit [117]. Sdh is also inhibited by H$_2$O$_2$, another important redox signaling molecule [118]. Spatiotemporal changes in H$_2$O$_2$ serve as an important modulator of mitochondrial metabolism in response to fluctuations in nutrient metabolism and electron transfer efficiency. The temporary deactivation of Sdh by redox signaling mechanisms may allow succinate to accumulate to a sufficient quantity to act as a stress signaling molecule. Note that most redox modifications are rapid and reversible and thus the accumulation and clearance of succinate would also be expected to occur rapidly (Figure 2) [34,66,116]. Sdh can be targeted by irreversible modifications following oxidative stress and damage. For example, Sdh is covalently modified by 4-HNE in diabetic myocardium [119,120]. Lashin et al. also identified SdhA subunit as the site for 4-HNE modification [120]. This is notable since SdhA is also a chief site for redox regulation of Sdh activity. Also reactions like S-glutathionylation protect thiols from irreversible oxidation by H$_2$O$_2$ or formation of Michael adducts with 4-HNE. Thus, irreversible inhibition of Sdh following oxidative damage to tissue can prolong succinate accumulation and signaling leading to development of pathologies such as hypertension, obesity, cardiomyopathy, and liver damage (Figure 2). It should not be surprising that the oxidative Krebs cycle can serve as signaling platform for modulation of various physiological processes since it is the central metabolic engine for life as we know it. Inherent to its central nature, the state of carbon flux through the cycle can be communicated to the rest of the cell or other cells via metabolites like succinate. In addition, the oxidative Krebs cycle is highly sensitive to deactivation by oxidative and environmental stress. Various Krebs cycle enzymes like Sdh can be deactivated by increased H$_2$O$_2$, oxidative damage, metal toxicity, or even robust changes in redox environment (e.g. GSH/GSSG) which leads to an accumulation of succinate and induction of various endocrine/paracrine and intracellular signaling cascades. Sdh is also a key site for allosteric regulation. Oxaloacetate can also feedback and inhibit Sdh activity which would prompt an increase in succinate (Figure 2) [97,121]. Supplementation of mitochondria from brain or heart tissue with malate inhibits Sdh activity through formation of oxaloacetate.

**Figure 2:** Succinate signals the state of carbon oxidation by the Krebs cycle: The function of succinate as a signaling molecule depends on its accumulation in mitochondria and subsequent export which depends on modulation of Sdh activity. Sdh can be reversibly modulated by allosteric inhibitors oxaloacetate and potentially malonate, a degradation product of oxaloacetate-mediated H$_2$O$_2$ sequestration. Oxaloacetate accumulation is dependent on Krebs cycle flux and the availability of acetyl-CoA. Sdh can also be targeted by redox signaling mechanisms, namely sulfenylation (SOH) and S-glutathionylation (SSG). In this potential mechanism, mitochondrial O$_2^*/$H$_2$O$_2$ levels amass due to a slowing of nutrient oxidation and electron transfer reactions resulting in a shift in mitochondrial redox environment and the oxidation of protein cysteine thiols (SH) on SdhA subunit. This limits Sdh activity allowing succinate concentrations to increase. Succinate is exported from mitochondria and utilized for intracellular or intercellular signaling. For intracellular signaling, succinate activates the HIF-1 signaling cascade which induces the expression of glycolytic genes. Succinate can also be exported from the cell and serve as a paracrine/endoctrine signaling molecule. In this situation, succinate acts through SUCNR1 receptors to modulate various physiological processes including blood pressure, lipolysis in adipocytes, cardiomyocyte function, hepatic stress response, and immune cell function. Cysteine oxidation is likely reversed by glutaredoxin-2 (Grx2), a thiol oxidoreductase that deglutathionylates protein cysteine thiols. Here, once O$_2^*/$H$_2$O$_2$ levels have dissipated, Grx2 removes glutathione from SdhA reactivating the enzyme complex. Reactivation results in the metabolism of succinate and prevention of its accumulation thus controlling succinate-mediated endocrine signaling. Note that prolonged deactivation of Sdh, in particular by irreversible covalent modifications, can result in sustained succinate signaling resulting in development or exacerbation of pathologies.
[122,123]. The oxaloacetate-mediated control of Sdh activity has even been shown to modulate Sdh-mediated $H_2O_2$ production which can have a significant effect on mitochondrial redox status and signaling patterns [122,123]. Accordingly, it can be proposed that succinate is an important endocrine hormone modulating physiological processes in response to fluctuations in oxidative Krebs cycle flux. However, prolonged inhibition of Sdh function and succinate accumulation could be a detriment since it can eventually lead to adipose hypertrophy, cardiomyopathy, hepatic stress, inflammation, and hypertension [42].

**Krebs Cycle Intermediates in Covalent Modification of Proteins**

Covalent modification of proteins (posttranslational modifications; PTM) plays a critical role in modulation of various cellular processes in response to intracellular and extracellular stimuli. The most well studied PTM is phosphorylation, a modification that inherently depends on cellular ATP production and nutrient metabolism. Other PTMs have shown to play a crucial role the control of protein function. For example, fluctuations in intracellular redox environment due to changing in nutrient metabolism and $O_2$ handling can lead to redox modification of protein cysteine thiols [124]. There are a broad range of “redox switches” includes sulfenylation, sulfinylation, S-nitrosylation, and dithiol formation, that coalesce to modulate protein function in response to fluctuations in local redox environments [66]. Another important modification is acetylation which depends on the availability of acetyl-CoA. Since acetyl-CoA is critical metabolite generated as an end product of monosaccharide, fatty acid, ketone body, and amino acid metabolism, acetylation reactions are highly sensitive to nutrient status. However, acetylation/deacetylation reactions have been covered extensively and will not be discussed further here. Focus, instead, will be laid on succinyl-CoA and fumarate which have been shown to modify lysine and cysteine via succinylation and succination reactions. Succination proceeds via the Michael addition of fumaric acid to a protein cysteine thiol forming S-(2-succino) cysteine (2SC) [125]. Importantly, in contrast to succinylation which forms a biologically reversible covalent bond, succination reactions are generally irreversible [125]. In normal tissues and plasma there is a small fraction of proteins which are succinated. For example, ~3% of the total albumin pool has been shown to be succinylated which increases dramatically during pathophysiological stress [126]. In streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, the degree of total protein 2SC adducts formation in gastrocnemius muscle increases ~3.5 fold with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate serving as a major target for modification [127,128]. This effectively limits G3PDH and glucose metabolism since the target cysteine for 2SC formation is required for catalysis. Acn has also been reported to be a target for succination which inhibits its function [129]. Fumarate can also deplete cellular GSH pools forming succinicGSH adducts which deplete GSH pools and alter cellular redox status [130]. Due to its irreversible nature, succination events have mostly been attributed to pathogenesis. An increased succinated proteome is associated with type 1 diabetes, obesity, and cancer [125,131]. For cancer, fumarate has been regarded as an “oncometabolite” since its accumulation correlates strongly with an increase in protein succination and the inhibition of protein function. Indeed, Fum is considered to be an anti-tumorigenic gene since it prevents carcinogenesis most likely via metabolism of fumarate [132]. Accumulation of fumarate is mostly associated with diminished mitochondrial function, specifically hyperpolarization of the mitochondrial inner membrane and slowing of electron transfer to $O_2$ which effectively decreases carbon flux through the Krebs cycle [133]. Thus, succination is a consequence of perturbations in mitochondrial function and can be related to pathogenesis.

Unlike succination, succinylation is a regulatory modification that occurs in response to changes in carbon flux through the Krebs cycle. Addition of succinate to a lysine not only introduces a mass of 100 Da to a protein but also introduces a negative charge on a positively charged lysine which, much like acetylation leads to conformational changes [115]. Intriguingly it has been found that mitochondrial sirtuin Sirt5 harbors protein lysine desuccinylase activity [134]. Bacteria also harbor a sirtuin-like protein which has been suggested to catalyze protein desuccinylation [134]. Similarly, lysine succinylation has been identified in *S. cerevisiae* and *D. melanogaster* [134]. Considering that protein lysine succinylation persists throughout nature it can be considered to be an important PTM required to control protein function in response to fluctuations in oxidative Krebs cycle flux. Similar to succinate signaling through SUCNRI, succinylation communicates the state of carbon flux through the cycle to the rest of the cell. Decreases in Sdh activity have been linked to the accumulation of succinate and succinyl-CoA and the succinylation of lysine residues [115]. For instance, inhibition of Sdh with inhibitors like 3-nitropropionic acid increases succinylation of various proteins [135]. Succinylation is also highly responsive to energy state and nutrient oxidation. For example, protein lysine succinylation changes in response to fasting and postprandial conditions [135]. In fasting mice succinylation is more elevated where it has been suggested to be required for the modulation of lipolysis and glucose metabolism [135]. Also, a number of succinylation targets happen to be oxidative Krebs cycle enzymes. In the Krebs cycle Idh is succinylated specifically on Lys199 and Lys242 which is required to modulate its activity [136]. Pdh is also targeted for succinylation as well as SdhA subunit [135]. Further, Sirt5 deficiency maintains Sdh in an active state indicating Sirt5 is required to desuccinylate SdhA and that succinylation positively regulates Sdh activity [137]. Although little information exists on how succinate and succinyl-CoA may accumulate it has been suggested that γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt pathway, which is modulated by LPS, may contribute to protein lysine succinylation [113]. Another possibility is that Sdh is activated and deactivated directly by fluctuations in local redox environment which, as mentioned previously, may be communicated via alterations in S-glutathionylation status. Indeed, the redox environment in the mitochondrial matrix is always in a state of flux due to the changes in $O_2$ and GSH and GSSG which are directly influenced by the efficiency of electron flux through the Krebs cycle and electron transfer to $O_2$ in the respiratory chain. With this in mind, modulation of Sdh directly by redox switches may be an important determinant for protein lysine succinylation (Figure 3). As mentioned above Sdh is modulated by various redox signals which could influence coalesce to modulate Sdh activity and succinyl-CoA levels leading to changes in the succinylated proteome (Figure 3). It would be important to consider though how Sirt5 may be activated/ deactivated in this mechanism. Sirt proteins, like Sirt1, are modulated by redox signaling where S-glutathionylation lowers its activity which can be reversed by glutaredoxins (Grx1 in cytosol or Grx2 in mitochondria) [138]. Sirt5 may be regulated in a similar manner; fluctuations in redox environment result in S-glutathionylation of Sirt5 allowing for protein succinylation (Figure 3). It is also important to point out that Sirt5 is controlled by the availability of NADH and NAD with the former serving as an inhibitor and the latter a substrate for desuccinylation where succinyl-ADP-ribose is formed after catalytic action [132]. Hence, in this hypothetical scheme the state of electron flux and nutrient oxidation can be communicated directly to other proteins throughout mitochondria and the cell via accumulation of succinyl-
Figure 3: Modulation of mitochondrial protein function by protein succinylation reactions in response to variations in Krebs cycle flux and redox state: Succinylation reactions may be influenced by mitochondrial redox state considering the tight link between succinyl-CoA clearance, the efficiency of Krebs cycle flux, and the status of electron transfer reactions. a) When flux is high and transfer of electrons from nutrients to $O_2$ is efficient, intramitochondrial $O_2$-$H_2O_2$ levels are low and the mitochondrial GSH/GSSG pool is reducing maintaining Sdh in a reduced and active state. This prevents succinate and succinyl-CoA accumulation limiting the succinylation of proteins. Sirt5 would also limit protein succinylation. b) Decreased carbon flux and electron transfer efficiency leads to the increased production of $O_2$-$H_2O_2$ which can either directly oxidize thiols forming sulfenic (SOH) or sulfinic acids (SO$_2$H) on Sdh or increase levels of GSSG leading to changes in protein S-glutathionylation (SSG). Prolonged $O_2$-$H_2O_2$ production can lead to 4-HNE production and the irreversible deactivation of Sdh (SHNE). Thiol oxidation diminishes Sdh activity leading to the accumulation of succinate which prompts the accumulation of succinyl-CoA. This leads to an increase in protein succinylation in mitochondria and the further modulation of carbon flux and electron transfer reactions. Protein succinylation is reversed by Sirt5 which may be similarly modulated by fluctuations in the redox environment.
CoA and the succinylation of protein targets (Figure 3). Inhibition of Sdh activity by either allosteric regulation, redox signaling, or potentially other mechanisms (gene expression, respirasome assembly) would 1) prompt succinate accumulation activating various signaling cascades and 2) increase succinyl-CoA levels which would modulate mitochondrial nutrient metabolism through reversible succinylation of proteins. It is also likely that redox switches and succinylation cross talk to modulate mitochondrial function in response to changes in nutrient flux, redox environment, and changes in the efficiency of electron transport reactions.

Concluding Remarks

Over the past 75 years the scientific community has accumulated an exquisite breadth of knowledge on the oxidative Krebs cycle and its role in metabolism and physiology. However, it would only appear we have scratched the surface in terms of the absolute importance in biological systems. As shown here, the oxidative Krebs cycle can serve as a signaling platform and antioxidant defense system, a function inherently related to the removal of electrons from carbon for ATP production and biosynthetic reactions. Various elements of the oxidative Krebs cycle, including O₂/ ·H₂O₂ and carbon intermediates, signal to the rest of the cell and to other tissues the state and efficiency of carbon metabolism. Considering the potential danger associated with O₂·/ ·H₂O₂ genesis, the oxidative Krebs cycle can be tailored to control ROS emission, either through control of enzyme function by redox signaling or the provision of NADPH and α-keto acids for antioxidant defense. These functions imbue the Krebs cycle with new roles; 1) endocrine stress signaling in response to a slowing of nutrient oxidation and increased mitochondrial O₂·/ ·H₂O₂ production and control mitochondrial metabolism and O₂·/ ·H₂O₂ in response to fluctuations in nutrient oxidation efficiency. In light of its fundamental function, it also stands to reason that Krebs cycle signaling is controlled by the status of electron transfer reactions and changes in redox environment (e.g. spatiotemporal fluctuations in reduced and oxidized glutathione levels).
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