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Abstract
Background: Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is the most prevalent pediatric rheumatic disorder. Progress in modalities of therapy improves the disease outcome. We aimed to determine the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab (TCZ) in the management of systemic (sJIA) and polyarticular (pJIA) in children who are resistant to conventional as well as other biological therapies. In this retrospective study, we selected JIA patients according to the International League of Association for Rheumatology (ILAR) criteria and were treated with TCZ. Response to TCZ was assessed using Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score-10 (JADAS-10) and also outcomes were assessed according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) remission criteria. Safety of the drug was assessed by documenting possibly related adverse effects (AE). Statistical analysis using SPSS version 25 with statistical significance is considered if \( p \leq 0.05 \). We included 16 JIA patients aged \( \leq 18 \) years but 2 of them were excluded as they developed severe reaction during the TCZ 1st dose, so finally, 14 patients were included.

Results: The median age of our patients was 12 years. Of these 14 patients, 9 (64.3%) had sJIA and 5 (35.7%) had pJIA. TCZ use led to significant improvement in the JADAS-10 from mean 22.4 (± 7.9) when it was initially assessed and then 3, 6, 12, and 24 months after TCZ initiation with means 5.7 (± 3.9), 4.4 (± 3.7), 3.5 (± 3.1), and 2.7 (± 2.2), \( (P = 0.001, 0.001, 0.005, 0.012) \), respectively. Five patients exhibited TCZ possibly expected side effects. Neutropenia and infusion-related reactions were the most frequent AE.

Conclusions: Tocilizumab seems to be generally effective and safe drug in the management of sJIA and pJIA especially in cases refractory to conventional as well as other biologic agents.

Keywords: JIA, Tocilizumab, Efficacy, Safety, Biological therapy, JADAS

Background
Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is the most prevalent pediatric rheumatic disorder. It is characterized by chronic arthritis of unknown cause, persisting for at least six consecutive weeks and beginning before the age of 16 years [1]. JIA is divided into seven categories according to ILAR classification [2]. Two of these categories are systemic JIA (sJIA) and poly-articular JIA (pJIA) that exhibit joint destruction, treatment resistance, and poor prognosis [3].

To avoid these undesirable complications, treatment should be early, aggressive [4], and also multidisciplinary to achieve a better prognosis [5]. In addition to the supportive treatment of JIA [6], the usual initial treatment is the conventional therapy in the form of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and steroids [7]. Some patients exhibit no or minimal response after about 3–6 months of use of DMARDs which moreover show some annoying side effects and toxicities. Such patients are candidates for starting biological therapy [8]. Many biological agents seem to be effective in achieving...
minimal disease activity and improvement of long-term prognosis [9].

One of these biologic agents is tocilizumab (TCZ) which is a humanized, monoclonal anti-IL6 antibody which binds to IL-6 receptors so impeding the action of IL-6 whose level increases in sera of JIA patients [10]. IL-6 promotes an inflammatory storm, as it induces the production of acute-phase reactants, promotes differentiation of B cells and T cells, inhibits regulatory T cells, and increases activation of osteoclasts [11].

TCZ has been approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) for both sJIA and pJIA pediatric patients above 2 years of age [12]. TCZ is reported as an efficient drug that reduces active manifestations in JIA patients and improves their life quality [13].

Although some adverse effects of TCZ were reported like hypersensitivity reaction [14], neutropenia, and increased liver transaminases, it seems to be a relatively safe drug [11].

So far, available data regarding the use of TCZ in the management of JIA in children are still limited especially in the Middle East [15], so our intention was to record our experience regarding TCZ benefits and possible adverse events in the management of both sJIA and pJIA.

**Methods**

This is a retrospective cross-sectional study conducted at a tertiary university hospital. We reviewed the medical files of all patients diagnosed as JIA (sJIA and pJIA) who were followed up at our pediatric rheumatology clinic and had been treated with TCZ at day care unit between 2011 and 2020. JIA patients were classified according to standards of the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) [2].

All patients were exposed to detailed medical history, examination (including ophthalmological examination), and investigations that included CBC, CRP, ESR ALT, AST, lipid profile, BUN, creatinine, ferritin, rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP), and anti-nuclear antibody (ANA) (in addition to the screening of all patients for tuberculosis by chest X-ray and tuberculin test).

The TCZ was given via intravenous infusion over 2 h: 12 mg/kg once every 2 weeks for sJIA patients and 10 mg/kg every 4 weeks for pJIA patients and for both 8 mg/kg if body weight is ≥ 30 kg. TCZ was used for patients who had revealed no or inadequate response to previous medications namely DMARDs, corticosteroids, and other biological therapies.

Response to TCZ was assessed by using Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score-10 (JADAS-10) before starting TCZ therapy and then 3 months, 6 months, 12 months, and 24 months after its use. The JADAS-10 is calculated by evaluating the 4 subsequent parameters (1) global evaluation of disease activity by the attending physician (by visual analog scale (VAS) where 0 = no activity and 10 = maximum activity); (2) parent/child assessment of well-being also assessed by VAS where 0 = very well while 10 = very poor; (3) active joints number (0–10); and (4) ESR (0–10) (ESR (mm/h) – 20)/10 so total score 0–40 [16].

Cutoff values of disease activity were classified into 4 classes: inactive, low disease activity, moderate and high disease activity if the score is ≤ 1, 1.1–3.8, 3.9–10.5, and > 10.5, respectively [17]. Those with inactive or low disease activity were considered as responders [18]. In sJIA, it can be assumed that presence of active systemic manifestations would be included in global assessment of both physician and patient/parent [19]. Moreover, patients with clinically inactive disease were classified according to ACR criteria (no arthritis, no uveitis, no systemic manifestations, normal ESR and CRP, best physician’s judgment, and ≤ 15 min duration of morning stiffness) [20].

Safety of the drug was assessed by documenting possible side effects like drug reaction, infections, neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count (ANC) < 1.5 × 10⁹ L ; mild if ANC is 1.0 to 1.5 × 10⁹ L, moderate if 0.5 to 1.0 × 10⁹ L, and severe if it is less than 0.5 × 10⁹ L) [21], increased liver transaminases and also macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) which was diagnosed according to 2016 criteria [22]. A side effect was assigned to TCZ if this side effect had occurred at time of use of TCZ or within 3 months after stoppage.

This retrospective study was approved by Research Ethics Committee of our Institutional Review Board (Ref. number 481-20). The research was conducted in compliance with the values of the Helsinki Declaration.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the statistical package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data were represented using mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum in quantitative data, and using count and percentage for categorical data. Quantitative variables were compared using the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests. Serial measurements within each patient were compared using the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank test. Chi-square (χ²) test was used to compare categorical data. Exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is less than 5 and p value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The present study included 16 JIA pediatric patients aged ≤ 18 years who had been treated by TCZ but 2 of
them were excluded as they developed severe reaction during the 1st dose, so finally, 14 patients were included. Of these 14 patients, 9 (64.3%) had systemic JIA and 5 (35.7%) had polyarticular JIA. The median age of our patients was 12 years (the youngest was 6 years, and the oldest was 18 years). A Saudi Arabian nationality was the most prominent nationality (35.7%). There was slight female gender predominance (57.1%). The mean age of diagnosis of JIA was 7.6 ± 3.4 years. The mean duration of the disease was 5.2 ± 2.7 years and the mean disease duration before initiation of TCZ was 2.2 ± 1.7 years while the mean duration of TCZ use is defined as the time following TCZ initiation to the last dose of TCZ or to the time of writing this manuscript was 1.7 ± 1.2 years. Table 1 summarizes patients’ baseline characteristics.

Regarding clinical manifestations at onset of the disease, none of the patients exhibited history of a concomitant illness. None had TB. All patients had arthritis (100%) with median number of active joints at diagnosis is 8 (1–21). All sJIA patients had fever while none of pJIA patients exhibited fever at onset of the disease (P ≤ 0.001). None of our patients had uveitis. Clinical features are summarized in Table 1.

Regarding laboratory analyses, there was statistically significant leukocytosis and anemia in sJIA rather than pJIA patients (P = 0.04). Also statistically significant, sJIA patients showed higher CRP and ferritin levels (P = 0.02). Most of the patients had negative rheumatoid factor (RF) and anticyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) (85.7 and 78.6%) respectively as shown in Table 2.

Prior to the use of TCZ, all of the patients had received corticosteroids and methotrexate. Additionally, majority of the patients (71.4%) had received other biological DMARDs; the most commonly used one was adalimumab (57.1%). Only 5 (35.7%) of the patients had received more than one biologic agents before starting TCZ. Medications used before TCZ are summarized in Table 1.

In order to assess TCZ efficacy, JADAS-10 was used. The mean value before initiation of TCZ was 22.4 (± 7.9). We noticed that use of TCZ led to a statistically significant decrease in the score when was assessed 3, 6, 12, and 24 months after TCZ initiation with means 5.7 (± 3.9), 4.4 (± 3.7), 3.5 (± 3.1), and 2.7 (± 2.2) (P = 0.001, 0.001, 0.005, 0.012), respectively, as in Fig. 1 which shows decreasing JADAS-10 score during follow-up period.

According to the last score measured, majority of the patients (71.4%) were considered as responders; either had an inactive disease (42.9%) or low disease activity (LDA) (28.6%). Non-responders (28.6%) patients exhibited moderate disease activity while none of the patients had high disease activity. Outcome was assessed according to ACR criteria, where majority of the patients (64.3%) had achieved remission after TCZ use; either with complete discontinuation of medications (28.6%) or

Table 1 Patients’ baseline and clinical characteristics and medications used before TCZ

| Patients’ baseline characteristics | Age, year mean (SD), median | 12.7 (3.7), 12 (6–18) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Female, n (%)                   |                             | 8 (57.1)              |
| Nationality, n (%)              | Saudi                       | 5 (35.7)              |
|                                 | Yemeni                      | 4 (28.6)              |
|                                 | Bangladeshi                 | 2 (14.3)              |
|                                 | Syrian                      | 1 (7.1)               |
|                                 | Palestinian                 | 1 (7.1)               |
|                                 | Pakistani                   | 1 (7.1)               |
| Age at diagnosis, year mean (SD), median |                             | 7.6 (3.4), 8.2 (0.5–13) |
| JIA subtype, n (%)              | Poly JIA                    | 5 (35.7)              |
|                                 | SOJIA                       | 9 (64.3)              |
| Disease duration, year mean (SD), median |                             | 5.2 (2.7), 5.8 (0.4–9.5) |
| Duration of TCZ use, year mean (SD), median |                             | 1.7 (1.2), 1.3 (0.3–4) |
| Disease duration at TCZ use, yr. mean (SD), median |                             | 2.2 (1.7), 2 (0.1–5)   |
| joints involved (n) at onset of disease |                             | 7.9 (5.2), 8 (1–21)    |
| Clinical manifestations n (%)   | Arthritis                   | 14 (100)              |
|                                 | Fever                       | 9 (64.3)              |
|                                 | Rash                        | 5 (35.7)              |
|                                 | LNs                         | 1 (7.1)               |
|                                 | HSM                         | 2 (14.3)              |
|                                 | Serositis                   | 0 (0.0)               |
|                                 | Uveitis                     | 0 (0.0)               |
| Pre TCZ medications n (%)       | Methotrexate                | 14 (100)              |
|                                 | Corticosteroids             | 14 (100)              |
|                                 | Intravenous Immunoglobulin (IVG) | 3 (21.4)              |
|                                 | Etanercept                  | 7 (50)                |
|                                 | Adalimumab                 | 8 (57.1)              |
|                                 | NSAIDs                      | 12 (85.7)             |
|                                 | Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) | 2 (14.3)              |
|                                 | Cyclosporine                | 1 (7.1)               |
|                                 | Abatacept                   | 1 (7.1)               |

Abbreviations: SD standard deviation, Poly poly-articular, sJIA systemic, JIA juvenile idiopathic arthritis, LNs lymphadenopathy, HSM hepatosplenomegaly, TCZ tocilizumab, NSAIDs non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
remission on medications (35.7%). Outcome of the disease according to the last JADAS-10 score and ACR criteria for remission was illustrated in Table 3.

We found no statistically significant correlations between disease duration before the initiation of tocilizumab, age of the patient, baseline inflammatory markers levels, medications used prior to TCZ, and the clinical outcome of TCZ treatment. The only statistically significant correlation to remission outcome was attributed to improved JADAS score parameters through the follow-up period ($P = 0.004$).

In all, 2 patients developed severe reaction during the 1st dose so they couldn't continue and were excluded from the study. Three (5 events) (2 sJIA and one pJIA) (21.4%) patients developed neutropenia during the TCZ course. This neutropenia was mild to moderate and resolved within 2–3 weeks. None of the patients experienced TCZ possibly related infections, elevated

---

**Table 2 Laboratory results of poly JIA and SOJIA**

| Parameter             | Poly JIA Mean (± SD) | SOJIA Mean (± SD) | Reference range | $P$ value |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| CBC                   |                      |                   |                |           |
| Hemoglobin, gm/dl     | 11.3 (1.9)           | 9.3 (1.07)        | 9.6–15.6       | 0.042     |
| WBCs, K/μL            | 10.3 (4.5)           | 18.7 (6.9)        | 5.5–17.5       | 0.042     |
| Platelets, K/μL       | 487.8 (196.3)        | 529 (171.6)       | 150–450        | 0.797     |
| ESR, mm/h             | 45 (53.9)            | 65.7 (41.8)       | 0–10           | 0.364     |
| CRP, mg/L             | 26.04 (40.6)         | 120.5 (59.8)      | 0–3            | 0.019     |
| Liver enzymes         |                      |                   |                |           |
| AST, U/L              | 16 (2.4)             | 23.1 (11.7)       | 15–37          | 0.240     |
| ALT, U/L              | 24.2 (12.2)          | 31.1 (17.9)       | 12–78          | 0.364     |
| Renal functions       |                      |                   |                |           |
| Urea, mmol/L          | 3.9 (1.1)            | 3.6 (1.4)         | 2.5–6.4        | 0.699     |
| Creatinine, μmol/L    | 42.4 (12.7)          | 32.1 (9.4)        | 53–115         | 0.240     |
| Ferritin, ng/ml       | 17 (13.8)            | 1814.4 (3417.9)   | 30–400         | 0.024     |
| RF positive n. (%)    | 2 (14.3%)            | 0 (0.0)           | < 20           | 0.110     |
| A-CCP positive n. (%) | 2 (14.3%)            | 0 (0.0)           | < 20           | 0.110     |
| ANA positive n. (%)   | 3 (21.4%)            | 4 (28.6%)         | < 1:40         | 1         |

*Abbreviations: CBC complete blood count, WBC white blood cell, ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP C-reactive protein, AST aspartate transaminase, ALT alanine transaminase, RF rheumatoid factor, A-CCP anticyclic citrullinated peptide, ANA antinuclear antibody*

---

**Fig. 1** Median JADAS score before initiation of TCZ, 3, 6, 12, and 24 months after its use.
transaminases, macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), hyperlipidemia, malignancy, autoimmune diseases, or deaths. No significant correlation had been found between the duration of TCZ use and incidence of the adverse events (AE).

**Discussion**

Over the last 2 decades, newly emerged cytokine-targeted biologic agents have revolutionized the management and outcome of JIA. One of these biologics is TCZ which has been approved for the management of sJIA and pJIA. Nevertheless, few reports especially from Middle East have described the efficacy and safety of TCZ in the treatment of JIA in children [9, 15]. This study adds to the previous knowledge by shedding light on the use of TCZ in the treatment of sJIA and pJIA children who exhibited resistance to conventional and other biological therapies.

In the current study, we found that TCZ use has led to considerable improvement in the JADAS-10 score during 24 months follow-up. This score was significantly improved when it was assessed 3, 6, 12, and 24 months after TCZ initiation (P = 0.001, 0.001, 0.005, and 0.012), respectively. Additionally, according to the last score measured (24 months), majority of our patients (71.5%) were considered as responders; either had an inactive disease (42.9%) or LDA (28.6%). Moreover, the outcome assessed according to ACR criteria showed that majority of our patients (64.3%) had achieved remission after TCZ use; either with complete discontinuation of medications (28.6%) or remission on medications (35.7%). These findings of the current study were consistent with those of previous studies. The randomized trial done by De Benedetti et al. demonstrated considerable improvement in articular and systemic manifestations of sJIA children who were treated with TCZ compared to the placebo group achieving JIA ACR 30 response by 85% (P ≤ 0.01) at the 3rd month after TCZ initiation. Furthermore, at the 12th month, 80 and 59% of the patients had achieved JIA ACR 70 and 90%, respectively. Moreover, 32% had clinically inactive disease and 48% had no active arthritis [23]. Another randomized trial conducted by Brunner et al, 2015 revealed TCZ efficacy in the management of pJIA children who had achieved ACR 70 and 90 responses by 64.6 and 45.1%, respectively [24]. Recently, in 2020, an extension of the phase 3 trial by Brunner et al. supported the efficacy of TCZ in pJIA patients with longer follow-up period. At about 24 months of TCZ use, JIA ACR 50, 70, and 90 responses were achieved by 80.3, 77.1, and 59.6%, respectively. Additionally, the median JADAS-71 score decreased from 3.6 at week 40 to 0.7 at the 24 months [25].

Interestingly, the effectiveness of TCZ in both sJIA and pJIA has been exemplified in other reports using variable scores [8, 10, 11, 13, 26, 27]. Horneff et al. revealed that earlier initiation of TCZ in sJIA was associated with better JADAS-10 score but there was no relation between disease duration and achieving ACR remission criteria [26]. Our study did not find a statistically significant correlation between the clinical outcome of TCZ treatment and pre-TCZ disease duration, baseline clinical features, inflammatory marker levels, or TCZ pre-medications, similarly as observed by Roszkiewicz et al. [11].

The overall incidence of adverse events (AE) has been evaluated in several studies and it was variable. This may be attributed to the variability of study designs and an indication of TCZ use (i.e., sJIA or pJIA) [8]. The safety of TCZ in this JIA cohort was mostly consistent with the identified safety profile.

Neutropenia is one of the most frequently reported AE in previous reports [8, 11, 23, 25, 28] who have reported neutropenia in both sJIA and pJIA in variable frequencies (18%, 50%, 10%, 17%, 37.2%), respectively. In line with the results from previous studies, we reported neutropenia in 3 (5 events) (2 sJIA and one pJIA) (21.4%) patients during the TCZ course. This neutropenia was mild to moderate and resolved within 2–3 weeks. None of these patients experienced possibly related infections.

**Table 3 Clinical activity and outcomes of the study group**

| Outcome (last JADAS-10 score) | Moderate disease activity (MDA) | Low disease activity (LDA) | Inactive |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| n (%)                       | 4(28.6)                        | 4(28.6)                   | 6(42.9) |

**Responder:**

| Inactive and LDA | 10(71.4) |

**Non-responders**

| MDA | 4(28.6) |

**Outcome (ACR criteria)**

| Remission | 4(28.6) |
| Remission on medication | 5(35.7) |
| Active | 5(35.7) |

**Abbreviations:** JADAS Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score, ACR American College of Rheumatology
during periods of neutropenia which corroborates with previous reports [24, 29].

In reviewing the literature, TCZ infusion-related reaction incidence was variable (0–30%) [8, 11, 15, 26–28]. In our study, 2 (out of 16) (12.5%) patients developed severe reaction during the 1st infusion so they could not continue and were excluded from the study.

As mentioned in several studies, infection is the most frequently revealed side effect of TCZ use [13, 23, 25]. Contrary to expectations, none of our patients developed TCZ possibly related significant infections. Such considerable difference may be attributed to retrospective analysis and possible missing data about minor infections which resolved spontaneously and did not affect the next infusion.

None of our patients experienced TCZ possibly related elevated transaminases, macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), hyperlipidemia, malignancy, autoimmune diseases, or deaths, which is consistent with previous reports [8, 13, 23, 25].

There was no meaningful association between the longer period of TCZ use and incidence of the AE which is in agreement with Opoka-Winiarska et al. findings [10].

Several limitations to this study need to be acknowledged. The limited number of patients, the single-centered, and the retrospective nature of the study. However, most of data concerning JIA variables and principals were prospectively available.

Conclusion

Tocilizumab safety has been verified in the management of sJIA and pJIA patients who are refractory to conventional as well as other biologic agents and it was consistent with the known safety profile with minimal, tolerable, and transient side effects.
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