The role of exercise training on lipoprotein profiles in adolescent males
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Abstract

Background: Major cardiovascular disorders are being recognized earlier in life. In this study we examined the effects of swimming and soccer training on male adolescent lipid-lipoprotein profiles relative to a maturity matched control group to determine the effects of these exercises on specific cardiovascular risk and anti-risk factors.

Methods: Forty five adolescent males (11.81 ± 1.38 yr) including swimmers (SW), soccer players (SO), and non-athlete, physically active individuals as controls (C), participated in this study. Training groups completed 12-wk exercise programs on three non-consecutive days per week. Plasma low-density lipoprotein (LDL), very low density lipoprotein (VLDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I), apolipoprotein B (apoB), total cholesterol (TC), and triglyceride (TG) levels were measured in control, pre-training, during-training, and post-training.

Results: In response to the 12-wk training period, the SO group demonstrated a decrease in the mean LDL level compared to the SW and C (SW: 0.15%; SO: -9.51%; C: 19.59%; p< 0.001) groups. There was an increase in both the SW and SO groups vs. the control in mean HDL (SW: 5.66%; SO: 3.07%; C: -7.21%; p< 0.05) and apoA-I (SW: 3.86%; SO: 5.48%; C: -1.01%; p< 0.05). ApoB was considerably lower in the training groups vs. control (SW: -9.52%; SO: -13.87%; C: 21.09%; p< 0.05). ApoA-I/apoB ratio was significantly higher in training groups vs. control (SW: 16.74%; SO: 23.71%; C: -17.35%; p< 0.001). There were no significant differences between groups for other factors.

Conclusions: The favorable alterations in LDL, HDL, apoA-I, and apoB observed in the training groups suggest that both regular swimming or soccer exercise can potentially mitigate cardiovascular risk in adolescent males.
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Background

In 2006, the World Health Organization reported that the death rate attributed to cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), the number one cause of death globally, was 262.5 per 100,000. CVDs are linked to numerous risk factors, including tobacco use, unhealthy diet, obesity, physical inactivity, hypertension, diabetes, and abnormal lipid profiles [1]. Though major cardiovascular events typically occur in adults, it has been demonstrated that the associated risk factors often develop early in life. For instance, atherosclerosis is the leading cause of coronary heart disease (CHD; one type of CVD) which can begin early in childhood and advance into adulthood [2,3]. In addition, children of parents diagnosed with CVD are known to exhibit a higher probability of developing CVD at a much earlier age compared to others [3,4]. Fatty streaks in the aorta may also be present as early as three years of age and fibrous plaques have been observed during adolescence, both of which are associated with atherosclerosis [2]. Since previous studies have illustrated that a considerable number of school-age children have risk factors that are predictive of CHD in adults [5,6], the early years of life may be a critical time period to reduce the risk of CHD [1,7,8].

Several longitudinal studies, beginning from childhood, show that serum lipids and lipoproteins are important indicators of CHD development in adulthood [9,10]. For example, the presence of CHD in adults increases with elevated levels of low-density lipoprotein-
cholesterol (LDL-C) and decreases with elevated levels of high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) [9]. The role of LDL-C as a major predictor of CHD is well established, and an increase in its levels has been shown to cause progression of atherosclerotic plaque formation [11]. Furthermore, previous findings demonstrate a clear relationship between CHD and total cholesterol (TC) levels [12]. Thus, the TC/HDL-C ratio has been proposed as another indicator of risk for CHD [12,13]. Moreover, an overall reduction in HDL-C and apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I) in CHD subjects compared with controls is highly correlated with triglyceride (TG) levels and CHD risk [14,15]. Apolipoproteins, which are another important marker for CHD risk, may enhance CHD evaluation over conventional lipids [16]. Specifically, the ratio of apolipoprotein B (apoB) and apoA-I has been considered a strong CVD risk marker/factor due to the interaction of these proteins with LDL and HDL respectively [16]. As expected, higher levels of cardiovascular anti-risk factors and lower levels of risk factors have been consistently reported for more active or fit individuals [17].

The chronic cardiovascular adaptations to regular exercise training in adults are well documented. However, whether adolescents can improve health markers after training before puberty is still unclear [18]. There is also a lack of similar reports on adolescents and exercise training to change lipid profiles. Exercise is one of the most effective approaches to maintain optimal blood lipid levels in adolescents [19]. Related studies have indicated that training decreases LDL and TG levels as well as the TC/HDL ratio in children [13,20]. Yet the type, intensity, and volume of exercise responsible for these results is still unknown [8]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the effect of two common exercise training programs on well-established cardiovascular risk and anti-risk factors in adolescent males.

Table 1 Mean values of male adolescent physical characteristics across the three groups pre- and postintervention

| Variable                  | G         | 0 weeks  | 4 weeks  | 8 weeks  | 12 weeks | P          |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Body mass, kg             | SW        | 42.58 ± 7.67 | 43.09 ± 8.28 | 43.69 ± 7.84 | 44.17 ± 8.33 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 37.17 ± 7.58 | 37.86 ± 7.35 | 38.07 ± 7.35 | 38.52 ± 7.60 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 36.18 ± 6.09 | 36.60 ± 6.01 | 37.05 ± 6.32 | 37.66 ± 6.23 | p > 0.05   |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | SW        | 18.60 ± 2.30 | 19.52 ± 2.58 | 18.65 ± 2.18 | 17.54 ± 2.41 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 17.71 ± 2.16 | 18.76 ± 4.65 | 16.64 ± 2.50 | 17.60 ± 4.35 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 17.75 ± 2.32 | 17.67 ± 1.32 | 16.62 ± 2.38 | 18.66 ± 3.23 | p > 0.05   |
| Body fat mass (%)         | SW        | 14.94 ± 2.90 | 14.53 ± 4.16 | 14.10 ± 4.51 | 13.22 ± 4.83 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 13.77 ± 4.83 | 14.72 ± 4.27 | 12.28 ± 4.59 | 11.75 ± 6.15 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 12.69 ± 3.24 | 13.57 ± 2.51 | 13.82 ± 3.24 | 15.41 ± 3.47 | p > 0.05   |
| Waist circumference, cm   | SW        | 69.60 ± 4.87 | 70.57 ± 5.13 | 71.78 ± 5.27 | 65.10 ± 5.60 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 67.30 ± 6.15 | 69.11 ± 5.56 | 70.15 ± 5.90 | 71.26 ± 6.62 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 65.26 ± 5.95 | 65.36 ± 5.68 | 66.76 ± 5.51 | 67.60 ± 5.86 | p > 0.05   |
| Hip circumference, cm     | SW        | 73.35 ± 4.99 | 73.39 ± 4.92 | 74.64 ± 5.58 | 75.57 ± 5.35 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 70.19 ± 6.95 | 70.07 ± 5.34 | 70.80 ± 6.30 | 71.61 ± 6.65 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 68.40 ± 5.76 | 68.93 ± 5.74 | 70.50 ± 5.89 | 70.5 ± 5.82  | p > 0.05   |
| WH ratio                  | SW        | 0.94 ± 0.01  | 0.95 ± 0.04  | 0.95 ± 0.04  | 0.94 ± 0.06  | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 0.95 ± 0.02  | 0.98 ± 0.01  | 0.98 ± 0.05  | 0.99 ± 0.06  | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 0.95 ± 0.04  | 0.94 ± 0.05  | 0.94 ± 0.05  | 0.95 ± 0.06  | p > 0.05   |
| SBP, mmHg                 | SW        | 117.29 ± 3.29 | 116.00 ± 2.82 | 114.57 ± 2.87 | 115.00 ± 3.11 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 120.85 ± 3.31 | 116.46 ± 4.55 | 118.08 ± 3.47 | 118.31 ± 3.90 | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 117.60 ± 2.64 | 116.13 ± 4.10 | 115.60 ± 3.39 | 113.60 ± 3.13 | p > 0.05   |
| DBP, mmHg                 | SW        | 64.00 ± 5.14  | 62.86 ± 3.11  | 63.71 ± 2.81  | 63.14 ± 2.79  | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 64.00 ± 3.16  | 64.15 ± 3.87  | 64.46 ± 3.07  | 63.08 ± 3.70  | p > 0.05   |
|                           | C         | 64.13 ± 3.06  | 63.87 ± 3.81  | 62.80 ± 2.59  | 61.20 ± 2.80  | p > 0.05   |
| RHR, beats/min            | SW        | 74.71 ± 9.23  | 69.43 ± 7.90  | 66.43 ± 8.23  | 67.29 ± 7.30  | p > 0.05   |
|                           | SO        | 69.60 ± 17.90 | 66.93 ± 10.08 | 65.87 ± 7.72  | 64.60 ± 7.82* | 0.05       |
|                           | C         | 76.15 ± 9.78  | 74.15 ± 9.78  | 71.85 ± 8.62  | 72.69 ± 7.99  | p > 0.05   |

Data are means ± SD. *p < 0.05 represents a significant difference between groups. BMI = body mass index; WH ratio = waist/hip ratio; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; RHR = resting heart rate; G = group.
Methods
Study participants
The study protocol was approved by the Bu Ali Sina University Medical Ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents (both parents if possible) and the adolescents themselves. Forty five adolescent males (age: 11.8 ± 1.38 yr; height: 149 ± 8.38 cm; weight: 40 ± 7.8 kg) volunteered to participate in the study. Swimmers (SW, n = 15) were recruited from the Shiroodi sports complex, soccer players (SO, n = 15) were recruited from the Heydarnia sports complex, and controls (C, n = 15) were recruited from four schools located in Tehran, Iran. The training groups were involved in the related sport activity (swimming or soccer) for at least three months immediately prior to the initiation of the study. Controls were physically active, but they were not involved in any specific type of training. All participants were recognized to be asymptomatic and were not using any type of medication known to influence lipid and lipoprotein profiles. Prior to the training program, the lipid levels were between normal concentrations in all three groups following the parameters set by Szamosi et al. [21].

Training program
The 12-week exercise training programs, including swimming (SW) and soccer (SO), were conducted in the Shiroodi pool and the Heydarnia sports complex, respectively. The rationale of such a training profile was based on previous recommendations that the exercise should 1) involve large muscle groups, 2) be continuous in nature, and 3) be conducted for at least 12 weeks [22]. Each week, the SW group participated in a three day/week training program. All three days involved a 60-min supervised swim. Each session began with 15–20 min of free style, in which the boys swam in freestyle for short distances (up to 200 m) separated by short breaks. The remainder of the session (40–45 min) was devoted to breaststroke and backstroke. Although the swim distance was longer for these strokes (400 m), the activity included a few short breaks to try and match soccer in terms of intensity and rest to exercise cycle. SO participants took part in a 3 day/week supervised soccer training program, with one 60-minute session on each of the three days. A training week consisted of warm up, stretch, endurance running, small team games, soccer techniques, strength training, and match play.

Blood samples and analysis
Written instructions were given to the parents illustrating the importance of fasting for 12 hr before blood sampling, and this was verbally reemphasized to the participants before the testing time. Participants were asked not to be involved in any high intensity physical activity during the 48 hr before testing. A 10 mL blood sample was drawn from the antecubital vein using a butterfly needle from overnight fasted participants after 48 hr since the last training session. The samples were collected in pre-chilled vacutainers and gently rotated several times to ensure proper mixing. Plasma samples were obtained after centrifugation at 3,000 RPM and 4°C for 15 min. Samples were coded and immediately transported to the laboratory for analysis of lipid and lipoprotein profiles.

All lipid and lipoprotein determinations were performed in duplicate in the same laboratory. ApoA-I and apoB were measured by immunoturbidimetry [23]. Standard enzymatic methods were used to determine serum TC (Boehringer CHOD-PAP kits, Boehringer Mannheim
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**Figure 1** Variation in LDL and HDL levels among the three experimental groups. Averaged data (±SD) showing % change in LDL (A) and HDL (B) levels from baseline (0 weeks) in the control, swimming, and soccer training groups. *Significant difference between the training groups and control (p < 0.05). ††Significant difference from baseline within a group (p < 0.01).
GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) and TG (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH). HDL was measured by dextran sulfate-magnesium precipitation [24], followed by enzymatic determination of TC. The plasma LDL concentration was calculated using the Friedwald formula [25]. The very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol level was estimated from TG levels [26].

Statistical analysis
We applied the test for normality of the variables. The statistical power of this study was 0.8. Thus, in this study, three groups were involved and were tested four times. Accordingly, the optimal test was repeated measures as MANOVA. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. A mixed model repeated measures MANOVA was used to identify significant differences in mean LDL, HDL, VLDL, apoA-I, apoB, TC, TG, and the ratios of TC/HDL and apoA-I/apoB values across all groups. Multiple comparisons by LSD post hoc test were used to highlight the significant differences. The significant difference level was set at \( p < 0.05 \). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (Release 22 Chicago, IL, USA). With an acceptance of a dropout of two participants in the SO group and one in the SW group, the sample size of the study provided 90% statistical power to detect differences in the blood lipid profile of groups at a significance level of \( p < 0.05 \).

Results
Fourteen of the swimmers and thirteen of the soccer players completed their training program and were included in the analyses. Three participants dropped out of the exercise groups because they missed several training sessions. There was no drop in the control group (C).

The physical characteristics of the SW, SO, and C groups before and after the 12-week period are presented in Table 1. The three groups were matched for anthropometric parameters, age, and pubertal stages (Table 1). After the 12-week training programs, resting heart rate (RHR) markedly decreased in SO vs. SW and control groups \( (p < 0.05) \). No significant changes were found for body mass, BMI, body fat mass, waist circumference, hip circumference, waist/hip ratio, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure between the groups (Table 1).
Figure 1 indicates the % change in HDL and LDL across all three training groups throughout the 12-week period. Participation in the regular exercise training caused a decrease in the mean LDL levels in the SO group compared to the other groups (in %; SW: 0.15% ± 14.20; SO: −9.51% ± 5.21; C: 19.59% ± 16.15; p < 0.001). However, the LDL levels remained unchanged following the 12-week training period within the SW group and increased within the control group. An increase was observed in the mean HDL levels in both training groups vs. the control (in %; SW: 5.66% ± 12.43; SO: 3.07% ± 7.95; C: −7.21% ± 15.13; p < 0.05).

As shown in Figure 2, participants in both training groups experienced an increase in the mean % change from baseline apoA-I levels (in %; SW: 3.86% ± 8.18; SO: 5.48% ± 4.08; C: −1.01% ± 7.74; p < 0.05) as well as a considerable decrease in apoB levels compared to the control group (in %; SW: −9.52% ± 10.72; SO: −13.87% ± 8.49; C: 21.09% ± 13.88; p < 0.05). A significant increase in apoA-I levels from baseline was observed within the SO group (p < 0.05, respectively). There was a large decrease in mean % change from baseline apoB levels within the SW (p < 0.01) and SO groups (p < 0.01), while apoB levels increased for the controls (p < 0.001). ApoA-I/apoB ratio was significantly higher in the training groups compared to the controls after 12 weeks (in %; SW: 16.74% ± 20.12; SO: 23.71% ± 12.49; C: −17.35% ± 11.29; p < 0.001). Moreover, the apoA-I/apoB ratio was increased within the SW and SO groups after 12 weeks (p < 0.01). The apoA-I/apoB ratio was attenuated within the controls (p < 0.01).

As illustrated in Table 2, the training protocols had no effect on the mean TC levels (in %; SW: 1.95% ± 15.02; SO: 3.72% ± 6.07; C: 1.07% ± 11.83), TG levels (in %; SW: 5.10% ± 24.97; C: 3.62% ± 9.59), VLDL levels (in %; SW: −1.51% ± 20.45; SO: −5.10% ± 24.97; C: 3.62% ± 9.59), and the mean TC/HDL ratio (in %; SW: −2.29% ± 18.68; SO: −5.87% ± 11.38; C: 6.46% ± 29.22) across the training groups (non-significant; n.s.). Note, the absolute values are shown in the table.

Table 2 Mean values of cardiovascular disease risk and anti-risk factors among adolescents

| Variable | G    | 0 weeks   | 4 weeks   | 8 weeks   | 12 weeks  | P       |
|----------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| TC (mg/dl) | SW   | 161.50 ± 22.31 | 155.00 ± 21.40 | 157.86 ± 23.66 | 162.93 ± 21.29 | p > 0.05 |
|          | SO   | 156.46 ± 22.50 | 156.54 ± 21.03 | 153.92 ± 20.74 | 149.92 ± 17.98 |         |
|          | C    | 156.13 ± 24.02 | 153.40 ± 24.13 | 152.47 ± 23.19 | 153.47 ± 23.43 |         |
| TG (mg/dl) | SW   | 85.14 ± 23.86 | 82.07 ± 23.03 | 81.71 ± 22.10 | 82.21 ± 20.99 | p > 0.05 |
|          | SO   | 73.85 ± 25.15 | 71.69 ± 16.42 | 68.69 ± 17.25 | 66.38 ± 19.15 |         |
|          | C    | 66.93 ± 15.07 | 66.13 ± 14.05 | 66.47 ± 14.16 | 69.00 ± 15.08 |         |
| VLDL (mg/dl) | SW   | 17.02 ± 4.77 | 16.41 ± 4.60 | 16.34 ± 4.42 | 16.44 ± 4.19 | p > 0.05 |
|          | SO   | 14.76 ± 5.03 | 14.33 ± 3.28 | 13.73 ± 3.45 | 13.27 ± 3.83 |         |
|          | C    | 13.38 ± 3.01 | 13.22 ± 2.81 | 13.29 ± 2.83 | 13.80 ± 3.01 |         |
| TC/HDL ratio | SW   | 2.95 ± 0.32 | 2.87 ± 0.40 | 2.85 ± 0.48 | 2.87 ± 0.60 | p > 0.05 |
|          | SO   | 2.64 ± 0.65 | 2.77 ± 0.79 | 2.75 ± 0.87 | 2.51 ± 0.80 |         |
|          | C    | 2.83 ± 0.64 | 3.07 ± 0.81 | 3.16 ± 0.93 | 3.01 ± 0.98 |         |

Data are means ± SD. VLDL = very low density lipoprotein; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglyceride; HDL = high-density lipoprotein.

Discussion

The primary findings of the current study indicate that 12 weeks of exercise increases HDL, apoA-I, and apoA-I/apoB ratio levels with two forms of training, swimming (SW) and soccer (SO). In addition, LDL levels decreased in the SO group. These lipid profile alterations may reflect a possible reduction in the prevalence of CVD in populations undergoing a training program; longitudinal studies are needed to test this attractive possibility. The significant increase in apoA-I levels in both training groups and the decrease in LDL levels in the SO group may be the result of training intensity as previous studies have indicated that high intensity work load impacts lipoprotein levels much more than lower intensity work load [27,28].

The results of our study are in agreement with others who reported favorable alterations in apoA-I and LDL levels following specified periods of exercise training [16,20,22]. Body fat percentages were measured throughout the study to account for such changes since body fat percentage is a strong predictor of CVD in children [29]. Changes in BMI and body fat percentage often result in alterations of the lipoprotein profiles [30]. Previous studies in adults have shown favorable alterations in blood lipid and lipoprotein profiles following a controlled exercise intervention [31,32]. For example, Holme et al. [33] suggested that exercise training may directly reduce the atherogenicity of lipoproteins by decreasing both apoB and the apoB/apoA-I ratio. Kelley et al. [34] concluded that aerobic exercise decreases the levels of both TC and TG, and increases the level of HDL in males 18 years and older. However, few conclusive exercise training reports
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on adolescent males have been published. The current results are consistent with Ben Ounis et al. [16], who demonstrated a significant increase in apoA-I levels for 12–14 year old children running 90 min/day, four days per week, for an 8-week period (Figure 2). Moreover, increases in both apoA-I and HDL have been associated with exercise and subsequent reductions in CVD risk factors. The benefit of HDL is that there is a greater amount of un-esterified cholesterol from the periphery and greater excretion of cholesterol via the liver. The un-esterified cholesterol is removed from cell membranes by apoA-I. Therefore, an increase in the amount of HDL and apoA-I will result in an increased clearance of cholesterol from the periphery and bloodstream [35,36]. Raitakari et al. illustrated that with high amounts of physical activity, LDL and apoB levels decrease while HDL levels increase [37]. Since increased levels of HDL, apoA-I, apoA-I/apoB ratio as well as a decreased LDL level were observed in our training subjects, these data suggest that proper swimming and soccer training protocols may potentially reduce the CVD and CHD risk in these adolescent males [16]. It should be noted, however, that neither exercise training program produced significant changes in TC, TG, VLDL and TC/HDL ratio, which is contrary to previous studies [20,22].

Since there is not much literature discussing the effects of exercise in adolescents on apolipoproteins, this study mainly focuses on exercise and its effects on apolipoproteins. However, our research has certain limitations. First, the participants were not on a uniform diet throughout the 12-week period and daily energy intake could not be monitored, which may have affected the results. Although recommendations were made to the participants and their parents in regards to their diet prior to testing, there is no guarantee that these parameters were strictly followed. Secondly, there was no effective control over the amount of exercise for the children outside of the training sessions. Some children may have developed a higher aerobic fitness during the study due to additional exercise outside of the required parameters. Future studies could address baseline fitness values through VO2 max testing, etc. Finally, the study was limited to 12 weeks, which may not have been long enough for changes in TC, TG, VLDL, and TC/HDL ratio. Accordingly, it may be that one hour of exercise three days a week is insufficient to produce a significant long term benefit in the complete lipid profile. Nevertheless, our results are encouraging that even 3 months of popular exercise such as swimming and soccer can have some positive effects on certain aspects of the lipid profile.

Conclusions
The results of the current study illustrate that an increase in plasma apoA-I levels and a decrease in apoB levels can be achieved in 12-week period through performing specific exercise training programs such as swimming and soccer. The favorable changes in LDL, HDL, apoA-I, and apoB levels observed in these training groups demonstrates that regular swimming and soccer exercise training can potentially reduce risk for cardiovascular disease in adolescent males, however possible sustained effects of our interventions are unknown at this point. It should however be noted that the 12-week SW and SO programs were insufficient to lead to favorable changes in TC, TG, and VLDL as well as TC/HDL ratio. Therefore, it may be possible that the SW and SO training programs do not have as great a beneficial effect on adolescent males as compared to adult men.
4. Genest JJ Jr, Martin-Munle SY, McNamara JR, Ordovas JM, Jenner J, Myers RH, Silberman SR, Wilson PW, Salem DN, Schafer EJ. Familial lipoprotein disorders in patients with premature coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1992, 85:2055–2033.

5. Lauer RM, Connor WE, Leaverton PE, Reiter MA, Clarke WR, Koozehchian K, Lioy PS, Uddland JN, Blecker U. The health benefits of physical activity in children and adolescents: implications for chronic disease prevention. *Eur J Pediatr* 1999, 158:271–274.

6. Friedman DS, Crean JL, Srivivasan SR, Webber LS, Berenson GS. Longitudinal serum lipoprotein changes in white males during adolescence: the Bogalusa heart study. *Metabolism* 1985, 34:386–403.

7. Orchard TJ, Donahue RP, Kuller LH, Hodge PN, Drash AL. Aerobic exercise and lipids and lipoproteins in children. *Am J Public Health* 1995, 85:702–710.

8. Sothern MS, Loftin M, Suskind RM, Udall JN, Blecker U. The health benefits of physical activity in children and adolescents: implications for chronic disease prevention. *Eur J Pediatr* 1999, 158:271–274.

9. Freedman DS, Crean JL, Srivivasan SR, Webber LS, Berenson GS. Longitudinal serum lipoprotein changes in white males during adolescence: the Bogalusa heart study. *Metabolism* 1985, 34:386–403.

10. Koozehchian K. The role of exercise training on the lipid-lipoprotein profile of children and adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 1995, 7:192–202.

11. Tolfrey K, Campbell IG, Batterham AM. Exercise training induced alterations in prepubertal children's lipid-lipoprotein profile. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998, 30:1684–1692.

12. Riepponen P, Marniemi J, Rautaoja T. Immunotitribimetric determination of apolipoproteins A-1 and B in serum. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1987, 47:79–74.

13. Warnick GR, Benderson J, Albers JJ. Dextran sulfate-Mg2+ precipitation procedure for quantitation of high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol. *Clin Chem* 1982, 28:1379–1388.