Solution NMR of Nanoparticles in Serum: Protein Competition Influences Binding Thermodynamics and Kinetics
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The spontaneous formation of a protein corona on a nanoparticle surface influences the physiological success or failure of the synthetic nanoparticle as a drug carrier or imaging agent used in vivo. A quantitative understanding of protein-nanoparticle interactions is therefore critical for the development of nanoparticle-based therapeutics. In this perspective, we briefly discuss the challenges and limitations of current approaches used for studying protein-nanoparticle binding in a realistic biological medium. Subsequently, we demonstrate that solution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is a powerful tool to monitor protein competitive binding in a complex serum medium in situ. Importantly, when many serum proteins are competing for a gold nanoparticle (AuNP) surface, solution NMR is able to detect differences in binding thermodynamics, and kinetics of a tagged protein. Combined with other experimental approaches, solution NMR is an invaluable tool to understand protein behavior in the nanoparticle corona.
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INTRODUCTION

Various types of therapeutic nanoparticles have been approved or being evaluated in ongoing clinical trials, including a gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), silver nanoparticles, hafnium oxide nanoparticles, liposomes, polymeric nanoparticles, and so on (Evans et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2019; Anselmo and Mitragotri, 2019). The success of any nanoparticle therapy is dictated by biological interactions on its surface (Bangham et al., 1958; Vroman, 1962; Treuel et al., 2014; Böhmert et al., 2020). When nanoparticles are administered to the human body, a mixture of proteins in the blood serum will adsorb onto the nanoparticle surface, forming a layer of protein termed the “corona” (Lynch and Dawson, 2008; Milani et al., 2012). These corona proteins are ultimately detected by cells or other biomolecules. This means that the biological interactions of the NP will be determined primarily by the properties of the protein corona (i.e., the types and number of adsorbed proteins), rather than the synthetic nature of the nanoparticle itself (Madathiparambil Visalakshan et al., 2020). Studies have shown that the protein corona can impact the cell uptake, circulation time, and toxicity of the nanoparticle (Chanana et al., 2011; Yang J.A. et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). In other words, the physiological response to the nanoparticle is controlled largely by the protein corona.

Understanding this physiological response is predicated on the physical chemistry of protein-nanoparticle interactions, especially in the context of complex biological fluids. Multiple techniques...
exist to monitor thermodynamics and kinetics of binding for purified proteins on a uniform population of nanoparticles; however, this type of reductionist approach may not always work (Lacerda et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2020). Specifically, multiple studies have found that the binding affinity of an individual protein fails to predict its composition in the final protein corona formed in a mixture (Monopoli et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2019). Indeed, the mixture itself will have a significant influence on the protein(s) of interest (Mahmoudi et al., 2011).

In this perspective, we discuss the challenges involved in characterizing protein-nanoparticle adsorption in complex biological fluids. Using adsorption of the GB3 protein onto gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), we demonstrate that competition in a protein mixture alters the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of protein-nanoparticle association. We also highlight that NMR analysis of serum-AuNP adsorption by others demonstrates that the majority of proteins in the FBS-AuNP corona are BSA proteins, which have a protein size \( \sim 90\% \) of adsorbed proteins are bovine serum albumin (BSA, Figure S1, Supplementary Material) (Zhang et al., 2019). It is therefore hypothesized that the majority of proteins in the FBS-AuNP corona are BSA proteins, which have a protein size \( \sim 10 \) times of GB3 (6.2 kDa). Indeed, DLS detects a substantially larger \( D_H \) for AuNP@FBS than AuNP@GB3 (here, the @ symbol designates a core nanoparticle coated by an additional material, in this case GB3 or FBS). Interestingly, when AuNPs are exposed to a mixture of equal amount of GB3 and FBS, the resultant protein corona has a \( D_H \) of 29 \( \pm \) 3 nm, larger than that of AuNP@GB3 but smaller than that of AuNP@FBS. This strongly suggests that competitive adsorption of GB3 and FBS proteins occurred, and both end up in the protein corona. However, further quantification of protein composition is difficult, since DLS cannot differentiate which molecules are contributing to the increase in \( D_H \) (James and Driskell, 2013).

Circular dichroism (CD) can be used to monitor the conformational change of a protein upon adsorption on the
nanoparticle surface in situ. However, the background signal from the large amount of free protein makes quantitative analysis difficult, or even impossible (Yang J.A. et al., 2013). Ideally, the nanoparticle-bound protein signal should be maximized relative to the protein remaining in solution (Slocik et al., 2011); otherwise, structural analysis with CD requires knowing exact concentrations of pure species (Johnson, 1988; Micsonai et al., 2015, 2018). However, the concentrations of bound and free proteins are often unknown in samples containing nanoparticles, and as an optical technique, it is once again not straightforward to identify signals originating from individual proteins in a mixture. While CD and other optical techniques are therefore not an optical technique, it is once again not straightforward to identify signals originating from individual proteins in a mixture. While CD and other optical techniques are therefore non-optical approaches can also be used to study adsorption in mixtures. These approaches are often able to differentiate many proteins, but they are often unable to study nanoparticle binding in situ. Specifically, mass spectrometric and electrophoretic techniques are widely used to quantify multiple proteins attached to the protein corona (Monopoli et al., 2011; Mo et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Han et al., 2020; Madathiparambil Visalakshan et al., 2020; Moon et al., 2020; Pinals et al., 2020; Liessi et al., 2021) even at the detail of individual protein residues (Pustulka et al., 2020). However, these methods require extensive purification of the protein-nanoparticle complexes and complete displacement of bound proteins from the nanoparticle surface for analysis. These harsh processes, including centrifugation, are likely to alter the composition of the protein corona formed in situ, and complicate the interpretation of the results (Chu et al., 2021). In addition, the kinetic or thermodynamic parameters are difficult to obtain with these destructive techniques, as the protein recovery rates are low (Chu et al., 2021).

In summary, the common challenge of these methods lies in deconvoluting signals from a mixture of proteins in situ. As a result, many studies focus on single protein binding experiments, where competition from other proteins in the realistic biological environment are missing. Alternatively, complex mixtures are used, but the preparative approaches may disrupt the nanoparticle corona present in situ. To bridge this gap, a technique that is able to quantify multiprotein-nanoparticle binding in situ is critical for understanding nanoparticles in their physiological context.

**SOLUTION NMR FOR QUANTIFYING PROTEIN BINDING IN COMPETITION**

Multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy is an ideal non-invasive tool for quantitatively monitoring one or several proteins interacting with nanoparticles in situ. Several features distinguish NMR from other techniques in quantitative studies of multiprotein interactions with nanoparticles. First, only the proteins of interest that are uniquely isotopically labeled (\(^{2}H, ^{15}N, \) or \(^{13}C\)) will contribute to the observed signal, while unlabeled biomolecules, buffer components, or nanoparticles will not be detected. Consequently, there is no need to separate or purify the protein corona from the nanoparticles or the biological medium as residue-specific peaks from different proteins can be identified and resolved. Second, a wealth of information on protein structure are encoded in the NMR protein peak intensities, chemical shifts, and linewidths (Assfalg et al., 2016; Perera et al., 2019). Protein NMR signals are expected to be perturbed as proteins interact with nanoparticles, depending on the strength of interaction and the properties of nanoparticles, allowing their characterization in situ.

When protein-nanoparticle interactions are studied by solution NMR, a special case arises when the association is in the slow exchange regime. This is typically seen for the tightly bound proteins in the “hard” corona. Here, the NMR signals of nanoparticle-bound proteins will disappear entirely due to extreme line broadening, and signals from the free proteins will not exhibit line broadening at all. Under these conditions, the bound protein in the corona can be quantified using the remaining NMR signals from the free proteins (Wang et al., 2014, 2016; Perera et al., 2019). Recently, we devised a \(^{15}\)N-tryptophan (Trp) external referencing system for such a purpose in multidimensional NMR experiments (Xu et al., under review).

An example of quantifying GB3 protein adsorption on 15-nm AuNPs using \(^{1}H, ^{15}N\) HSQC 2D NMR is depicted in Figure 2A, where the GB3 peak intensities are calibrated with Trp reference and normalized by the protein sample without AuNPs. In contrast to UV-vis or DLS, results from NMR allow quantitative calculation of the bound protein concentration. As more AuNPs are titrated into the GB3 protein solution, the GB3 peak intensities decrease linearly due to binding, and the binding capacity of GB3 can be determined to be 148 ± 8 protein per AuNP, using equations published previously (Wang et al., 2014). In addition, the peak line widths remain constant upon binding (Figure 2A, inset), which establishes the tight binding in the slow exchange regime. Conversely, the use of solid-state NMR can directly observe atomic structural details of the nanoparticle-bound proteins,(Giuntini et al., 2017) serving as a complementary technique to solution NMR.

The effect of introducing competition to protein binding is illustrated in Figure 2B, where 2D NMR is used to monitor the kinetics of binding \(^{15}\)N-labeled GB3 in the presence of FBS. The GB3 signal reduction due to adsorption at each time point was quantified by comparing signals to a control sample containing only the GB3/FBS (0 min point) (Xu et al., under review). In theory, two or more proteins can be monitored simultaneously as long as they are isotopically labeled and exhibit resolved peaks in the 2D spectrum. Here, we show that the binding equilibrium of GB3 is significantly affected by the amount of FBS present (Figure 2B), indicating one effect of protein competition on adsorption. In particular, the bound amount of GB3 is inversely proportional to the FBS/GB3 ratio (Figure 2B, inset). The presence of other proteins in FBS reduce the final amount of GB3 adsorbed to the AuNP surface. Interestingly, however, the kinetics for GB3 adsorption are also affected. A biexponential decay was used to fit the data in Figure 2B. The baseline was constrained by averaging the final five data points for each curve, enabling a stable estimate for the fast (\(\tau_1\)) and slow (\(\tau_2\)) decay time.
FIGURE 2 | (A) Relative peak intensities of 20 μM GB3 as a function of increasing amount of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) titrated into GB3 solution. The peak intensity at each titration point is averaged across 54 well-resolved peaks observed in a two-dimensional 1H-15N HSQC spectrum. The error bars represent standard deviation from three independent samples. The inset shows a cross section through one of the two dimensional peaks corresponding to residue Y3. (B) Effect of competitive binding on GB3 adsorption onto AuNPs in the presence of FBS. The curves show relative intensities of GB3 signals as a function of incubation time in the presence of FBS. The GB3 concentration is kept at 0.3 mg/mL, while that of FBS increases from 0 mg/mL (black), 0.3 mg/mL (red), 1.3 mg/mL (blue) to 3 mg/mL (green). A first order kinetics model with two time constants is fit to the kinetic data of GB3 adsorption (see “Supplementary Material” for details). The inset shows the bound GB3 amount (mg/mL per μM AuNPs) calculated from the relative peak intensity as a function of the FBS/GB3 concentration ratio. Each data point in the inset is the average of the last five time points, and the error bars represent the standard deviation. (C) Statistical comparison of the slow (τ2) time constants for GB3 adsorption to AuNPs in the presence of FBS. Adding FBS slows adsorption, as indicated by the longer time constants for the higher ratios of FBS to GB3. Statistical significance (*, p < 0.05; ****, p < 0.0001; ns, not significant) was determined by Tukey’s HSD test.

| Time Constant (τ2, min) | [FBS Added] (mg/mL) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                         | 0.3                 |
|                         | 1.3                 |
|                         | 3.0                 |
| ns                      |                     |
| ****                   |                     |
| ****                   |                     |

The data presented here highlight the importance of understanding competition in protein-nanoparticle binding, and they demonstrate that quantitative NMR is an invaluable tool for understanding protein adsorption in more realistic biological environments. The mechanistic detail presented here would be challenging to obtain using other techniques, and the ability to monitor adsorption in situ opens new doors for understanding the molecular forces involved in protein-nanoparticle binding. While the NMR experiments presented here require the use of 15N isotopically labeled proteins, other options are possible, such as methylating the lysine residues of unlabeled proteins with 13C-formaldehyde, eliminating the need for uniform isotopic enrichment (Wang et al., 2016). Combined with traditional spectroscopy and mass-spectrometry, we believe NMR measurements such as these will be critical for understanding the nanoparticle protein corona. Given that the corona determines the physiological identity of the nanoparticle, these solution NMR approaches will lead to better nanoparticle therapeutics, and devices in the long term.
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