Search for WIMP-\(^{129}\)Xe inelastic scattering with particle identification in XMASS-I
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Abstract

A search for Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) was conducted with the single-phase liquid-xenon detector XMASS through inelastic scattering in which \(^{129}\)Xe nuclei were excited, using an exposure (327 kg \(\times\) 800.0 days) 48 times larger than that of our previous study. The inelastic excitation sensitivity was improved by detailed evaluation of background, event classification based on scintillation timing that distinguished \(\gamma\)-rays and \(\beta\)-rays, and simultaneous fitting of the energy spectra of \(\gamma\)-like and \(\beta\)-like samples. No evidence of a WIMP signal was found. Thus, we set the upper limits of the inelastic channel cross section at 90% confidence level, for example, \(4.1 \times 10^{-39}\) cm\(^2\) for a 200 GeV/c\(^2\) WIMP. This result provides the most stringent limits on the SD WIMP-neutron interaction and is better by a factor of 7.7 at 200 GeV/c\(^2\) than the existing experimental limit.
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1. Introduction

Considerable evidence suggesting the existence of dark matter have been found through the optical observation and theoretical prediction of the rotational curve of galaxies, gravitational lensing, etc. Among the many theoretical candidates for dark matter, Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) are of particular interest in direct detection experiments. If WIMPs exist, it is expected that their interaction with baryonic matter would be strong enough for nuclear recoils to be observed. However, despite ongoing global efforts, neither direct nor indirect detection has yet been achieved.

The interactions between WIMPs and nuclei should come in two types, Spin-Independent (SI) and Spin-Dependent (SD) interactions. SI interactions are often searched for via elastic scattering \(^{[1]}\). SD interactions are possible if WIMPs have non-zero spin. It allows for both elastic and inelastic scattering. The target nuclei should have effective nuclear spins. Odd-mass number nuclei, e.g. \(^{127}\)I, \(^{129}\)Xe, and \(^{131}\)Xe satisfy that requirement and can be used for the SD search. A couple of searches for SD interactions via WIMP-nucleon elastic scattering gave null results \(^{[2,3,4,5,6,7]}\). However, there is the difficulty of distinguishing between SD and SI interactions in elastic scattering. On the other hand, nuclear excitation in inelastic scattering can be led only by SD interaction. Thus, an observation of WIMP-nucleus inelastic scattering would imply an SD interaction mechanism as well as that WIMPs have spin.

In the past, searches for inelastic scattering searches were conducted using \(^{127}\)I \(^{[2]}\) or \(^{129}\)Xe. Searches with \(^{129}\)Xe were first performed by the DAMA group in 1996 and 2000 \(^{[8,9]}\). XMASS obtained a 90% Confidence Level (CL) upper limit on the SD WIMP-neutron cross section at \(4.2 \times 10^{-38}\) cm\(^2\) for a \(50\) GeV/c\(^2\) WIMP with an exposure of \(41\) kg \(\times\) 1320 days in 2014 \(^{[10]}\). XENON100 published an upper limit of \(3.3 \times 10^{-38}\) cm\(^2\) for a \(100\) GeV/c\(^2\) WIMP with \(34\) kg \(\times\) 224.6 days exposure in 2017 \(^{[12]}\).

In this paper, an improved result for the search of inelastic scattering in XMASS is reported. An exposure of \(327\) kg \(\times\) 800.0 days \(\times\) 48 years.
800.0 days was accumulated and analyzed after the refurbishment of the XMASS detector. In addition to the increased exposure, an analysis update including detailed evaluation of background (BG) and particle identification improved the sensitivity.

2. XMASS-I detector

The XMASS-I detector is a single-phase detector containing 832 kg of liquid xenon (LXe) and located approximately 1,000 meters underground in the Kamioka mine (2,700 meter water equivalent) [13]. The geometry of its sensitive volume is a pentakis-dodecahedron, with an inscribed radius of approximately 40 cm. Scintillation light from the LXe in the sensitive volume is detected by 642 Hamamatsu R10789 PhotoMultiplier Tubes (PMTs), which have typical quantum efficiencies of ~ 30%. An outer shell of LXe shields the inner fiducial volume against the external γ-rays, particularly those originating from the PMTs. The photocathodes of these PMTs cover 62.4% of the detector’s inner surface. Signals from the PMTs are recorded by CAEN V1751 (10 bit, 1 GHz) waveform digitizers.

To shield against fast neutrons and external γ-rays, the detector is surrounded by a cylindrical water tank, the height and diameter of which are 10.5 m and 10 m, respectively. This water tank is also referred to as the Outer Detector (OD) and is used as an active muon veto. The OD is equipped with 72 Hamamatsu H3600 (20-inch) PMTs.

Detector calibrations using 241Am and 57Co γ-ray sources are performed for tuning the optical parameters of the detector Monte Carlo simulation (MC), e.g. the scattering length, absorption length, and scintillation light yield. The source is aligned with the vertical (z) axis of the detector, and the γ-ray calibration data is recorded at 10 cm intervals from z = -40 cm to z = 40 cm around the center of the detector. The γ-ray calibration data is also used for determining the time profile for the β-rays’ and γ-rays’ events. A 252Cf neutron source is used to determine the timing parameters for the Nuclear Recoil (NR) events [14]. The neutron source was installed at the end of a pipe, which penetrates the water region of the OD and reaches the vacuum vessel that thermally isolates the detector from the water. Since the visible energy for the same deposited energy varies depending on the particle, the electron-equivalent energy unit keV_{ee} is used to represent the event energies.

3. Expected signal

An inelastic scattering event occurring in 129Xe will have a nuclear recoil and an emission of a 39.6 keV γ-ray from the nuclear excitation. The contribution to the scintillation signal from the NR depends on the velocity distribution of the WIMPs in the galaxy as well as the nuclear form factor for SD interactions. The differential event rate per unit visible energy of the NR component is [15]

\[
\frac{dR}{dE_{\text{NR,vis}}} = \frac{dE_{\text{NR}}}{d(L_{\text{eff}}E_{\text{NR}})} \frac{dR}{dE_{\text{NR}}} = \frac{dE_{\text{NR}}}{d(L_{\text{eff}}E_{\text{NR}})} \frac{\rho_{\nu} \sigma}{2 M_{\mu} \mu^2} \int_{v_{\text{min}}(E_{\text{NR}})}^{v_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{v} \frac{dn}{dv},
\]

where R is the event rate per unit target mass and unit time; E_{NR,vis} is the energy represented using the unit keV_{ee}; E_{NR} is the energy of the recoiling nucleus; L_{eff} = E_{NR,vis}(E_{NR})/E_{NR} as described in [16]; \rho_{\nu} is the mass density of WIMPs in the laboratory for which we use the customary value of 0.3 GeV/c^2/cm^3 [17]; M_{\mu} is the mass of the WIMP; \mu is the reduced mass of the WIMP and the target nucleus; and \sigma is the cross-section for inelastic scattering. This cross section can be obtained from the WIMP-neutron cross section \sigma_{\text{neutron}} as:

\[
\sigma = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\pi}{2} J + 1 \frac{\mu}{\mu_{\text{nucleon}}}^2 S(E_{\text{NR}}) \sigma_{\text{neutron}},
\]

where J = 1/2 is the ground state spin of the 129Xe nucleus; \mu_{\text{nucleon}} is the reduced mass of the WIMP-nucleon system, and S(E_{\text{NR}}) is the structure factor. We used ‘S_{\text{n}}(u) 1b + 2b inelastic’ defined in [15] as S(E_{\text{NR}}). \nu_{\text{min}}(E_{\text{NR}}) is the minimum velocity of the WIMP needed to induce inelastic scattering with E_{NR}; v_{\text{max}} is the maximum velocity of WIMPs in the Earth’s vicinity (544 km/s) [18], and \nu_{\text{min}} dv/dv is the velocity distribution of the WIMPs. WIMP velocities in the galaxy are assumed to follow a Gaussian distribution which is truncated at v_{\text{max}} and has a thermal speed of 220 km/s [19]. Earth’s velocity is assumed to be 232 km/s [20]. \nu_{\text{min}} is evaluated to be

\[
\nu_{\text{min}} = \nu_{\text{min}}^0 + \frac{\nu_{\text{thr}}}{\nu_{\text{min}}^0},
\]

where

\[
\nu_{\text{min}}^0 = \sqrt{\frac{M_{\mu} E_{\text{NR}}}{2 \mu^2}}, \quad \nu_{\text{thr}} = \frac{2 \Delta E}{\mu}.
\]

![Figure 1: Simulated energy spectra of the inelastic scattering events for 20 (solid), 200 (dotted), and 2000 (dashed) GeV/c^2 WIMPs.](image)
Here, $M_T$ is the mass of target nucleus, and $\Delta E = 39.58$ keV is the energy of the $^{129}$Xe excited state.

MC was used to simulate the energy spectrum of the inelastic WIMP-nucleus collisions and BG spectra. In the simulation, the recoil nucleus and de-excitation $\gamma$-ray are generated at the same time and position, since the lifetime of the excited $^{129}$Xe is short enough ($< 1$ ns) to be ignored. The recoil energy distribution of the nucleus is based on $dR/dE_{NR}$ in Eq. 1. The directions of the generated particles are isotropic, and the event vertices are uniformly distributed in the detector. Figure 1 shows the simulated energy spectra for the inelastic scattering of 20, 200, and 2000 GeV/$c^2$ WIMPs. The NR component is more relevant for large mass WIMPs, and therefore they tend to have spectra with long tails to high energy.

4. Data and event classification

The data used for the analysis was collected between November 20, 2013 and July 20, 2016. The detector was operated stably throughout the measurement period, during which the pressure above the LXe target was an absolute 0.162–0.164 MPa, and the temperature of the LXe was 172.6–173.0 K. The data taken within the ten days directly after the neutron calibrations was not used. The data was divided into four periods, 1–4. Since period 1 started only two weeks after the detector was filled with LXe, and neutron calibrations were performed twice during this period, during which the event rate from neutron-activated xenon isotopes was relatively high. Period 2 started after these isotopes decayed and disappeared. Compared to period 1, the activities of $^{131m}$Xe and $^{133}$Xe decreased by factors of 4.3 and 1.3, respectively. A continuous gas circulation was started at the beginning of period 3. In the circulation, xenon gas extracted from the LXe was passed through a hot getter before being condensed into liquid. Before the start of period 4, LXe was extracted from the detector and purified by vaporization to remove non-volatile impurities.

In pre-selection, events stemming from the after pulses of previous events were removed. An event was kept if the time elapsed from the previous inner-detector event ($dT_{pre}$) was larger than 10 ns and standard deviation of all hit timings in the event was less than 100 ns. The $dT_{pre}$ requirement produces a dead time which corresponds to 3.0% of the total livetime.

The event vertex was then reconstructed from the light distribution in the detector recorded by the PMTs. The events whose vertices were reconstructed to be inside the fiducial volume were selected. In this analysis, the fiducial volume is a sphere with a radius of 30 cm from the detector center. The total LXe in this fiducial volume is 327 kg and contains 86 kg of $^{129}$Xe.

The abundance of $^{222}$Rn progeny, which is a major source of BG, was estimated from the events in the fiducial volume. $^{214}$Bi events were tagged by looking for coincidences compatible with the $^{214}$Bi-$^{214}$Po decay sequence. The time to the next event ($dT_{post}$) was used to identify candidates. Since the half-life of $^{214}$Po is 164 $\mu$s, 99.6% of all $^{214}$Bi events can be tagged by selecting events with $0.015$ ms $< dT_{post} < 1$ ms. These tagged and non-tagged events will be referred to as the $^{214}$Bi and non-$^{214}$Bi samples, respectively. 0.4% of non-Bi events were misplaced within the $^{214}$Bi sample. This allowed for the Bi and Po concentration in the LXe to be estimated.

After $^{214}$Bi tagging, $\alpha$-events were eliminated from the non-$^{214}$Bi sample. The criterion used was the decay time of the summed up PMTs’ waveform, which was longer than 30 ns. The decay time was obtained by fitting the data with an exponential function. By this process, almost all $\alpha$-events were eliminated in the energy range above 30 keV, while 97% of the inelastic scattering events by a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMPs remain.

The samples after the $\alpha$-event elimination were separated into $\beta$-depleted and $\beta$-enriched samples. This separation was performed with a particle identification technique based on the different LXe scintillation time profiles. The time constant of scintillation from a $\beta$-ray becomes longer as the energy becomes larger [21]. Since a $\gamma$-ray is converted into lower energy electrons in LXe, its time constant is shorter than that of a $\beta$-ray.

The scintillation light from the NR has a shorter time constant than that of a $\beta$-ray and a $\gamma$-ray since its ionization density is higher and recombines faster [13]. Since inelastic scattering has contributions from both NR and a $\gamma$-ray, it has a shorter time constant than a pure $\beta$-ray event. Thus, in this process, the $\gamma$-ray events and the inelastic scattering events are expected to be preferentially sorted into the $\beta$-depleted sample. $\beta$CL, which represents the $p$-value of an event being a $\beta$-ray, is calculated using the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a $\beta$-ray’s scintillation timings [23].

$$\beta\text{CL} = P \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{-\ln P}{i!}\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{CDF}_{\beta}(E_{\text{ext}}, t_i),$$

where $n$ is the number of detected PMT pulses; $t_i$ is the timing of $i$-th pulse; $E_{\text{ext}}$ is the event energy, and $\text{CDF}_{\beta}(E_{\text{ext}}, t)$ is the CDF for finding a pulse at time $t$ in a $\beta$-event of energy $E_{\text{ext}}$. $\text{CDF}_{\beta}(E_{\text{ext}}, t)$ was evaluated using the tagged $^{214}$Bi events. The evaluation was done with 1 ns timing bins and 5 keV/$ee$ energy and linear interpolation between bin centers. Theoretically, $\beta$CL distributes uniformly from 0 to 1 for $\beta$-ray events and for particles whose decay time is shorter than that of $\beta$-rays (such as $\gamma$-ray and NR), a peak appears near 0. Thus, $\gamma$-ray and inelastic scattering (NR together with a $\gamma$-ray) events are discriminated from $\beta$-ray events by $\beta$CL. The probabilities that $\beta$-ray, $\gamma$-ray, and inelastic scattering are classified as $\beta$-depleted samples are referred to as $\beta$-ray misidentification probability ($\beta$ mis-ID), $\gamma$ efficiency, and signal efficiency, respectively. By setting a constant $\beta$CL threshold for event classification ($\beta$CL$_{th}$) for all the energy region, the reduction ratio for $\beta$-rays becomes constant. This makes analysis easier. On the other hand, since the contribution from NR, whose scintillation time constant is short, to the signal depends on the WIMP mass, we set the $\beta$CL$_{th}$ depending on the WIMP mass (e.g. $\beta$CL$_{th}$ = 0.06 for a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP search). The $\beta$CL$_{th}$ was optimized using MC so that $S/\sqrt{B}$ (the improvement factor of the significance of the signal) is maximized, where $S$ and $B$ are the signal efficiency and $\beta$ mis-ID, respectively.

The data and MC WIMP spectra during and after these treat-
Table 1: Summary of the systematic uncertainty for each item. The threshold of $\beta$CL depends on the WIMP mass. $\beta$CL-related uncertainties are for the 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP search. (*) The uncertainty for signal efficiency is assumed to be from uncertainties of originating independently from the $\gamma$ and NR component. Thus, the uncertainties of the $\gamma$ and signal efficiencies are not independent and the latter was not used in the analysis directly. In the analysis, $\gamma$ and NR component uncertainties were considered independently.

| Item                      | Fractional uncertainty for each item |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Energy scale              | $\pm 2\%$                           |
| Fiducial volume           | $\pm 3\%$                           |
| Thermal neutron flux      | $\pm 27\%$                          |
| $^{85}$Kr abundance in LXe| $\pm 23\%$                          |
| $^{238}$U abundance in PMT| $\pm 9.4\%$                         |
| $^{232}$Th abundance in PMT| $\pm 24\%$                         |
| $^{60}$Co abundance in PMT| $\pm 11\%$                         |
| $^{40}$K abundance in PMT | $\pm 17\%$                          |
| $\beta$ mis-ID            | $\pm 34\%$                          |
| $\gamma$ efficiency       | $\pm 8.2\%$                         |
| Signal efficiency         | $\pm 8.5\%$                         |

Figure 3: Scaling factor of $\beta$-ray MC for the correction of $\beta$ mis-ID in a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP search. Black points are the means and errors evaluated using the difference between the data and MC results. Event rate of $\beta$-ray MC was scaled by a factor "(mean) + (p_{const} - 1)(error)". The red points show the scale factor obtained during the fitting.

5. Energy spectrum fitting

In the previous section, the events were classified into three samples: a $\beta$-depleted sample, a $\beta$-enriched sample, and a $^{214}$Bi sample. By fitting the energy spectra of the $\beta$-depleted, the $\beta$-enriched, and the $^{214}$Bi samples simultaneously, we evaluated the amount of inelastic WIMP scattering that was compatible with our data. This fitting also determined the abundance of BG. The activities of BG were estimated by the fit of the energy range from 30 to 200 keV$_{ee}$. The width of the energy bins for the fit was 2 keV$_{ee}$. The $\chi^2$ for the fit is defined as:
The reconstructed positions were compared between the $^{241}$Am calibration data and MC at $z = 30$ cm. Details of the evaluation of RIs’ constraints and uncertainties for energy scale and fiducial volume are discussed in [23] and summarized in Table I. Additional systematic uncertainties of the $\beta$CL-related values, i.e. the $\beta$ mis-ID, $\gamma$ efficiency, and signal efficiency defined in Section 4 are discussed as follows and are also summarized in Table II. MC histograms for each type of particle were scaled by using $\beta$CL-related uncertainties as the constraint. This is for the compensation of the discrepancy of $\beta$CL values between the data and MC.

1. $\beta$ mis-ID: The uncertainty of the $\beta$ mis-ID was obtained by comparing the data and MC of $^{214}$Bi. Since the $\beta$-ray spectrum is continuous and covers the relevant energy region, this uncertainty was evaluated along with its energy-dependency. The energy region from 30 to 200 keV$_{ee}$ was divided into 17 bins and the difference of the probability that a $\beta$-ray event is classified into the $\beta$-depleted sample was compared between data and MC. To correct the difference of $\beta$ mis-ID between the data and MC, $\beta$-ray BG MC histograms were scaled energy-dependently in the fitting using the $\beta$ mis-ID ratio between the data and MC. The scaling factor for a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP search is shown in Figure 3.

2. $\gamma$ efficiency: The uncertainty in the efficiency of $\gamma$-ray detection was obtained using 59 keV and 122 keV $\gamma$-rays, again comparing the data and MC. Here the evaluation was done independent of energy.

3. Signal efficiency: The uncertainty of the signal efficiency was evaluated by changing the timing parameters relevant for NR to their $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty range boundaries. The change of the signal efficiency and this change in the NR timing parameters were used to evaluate the systematic uncertainty. The relevant timing parameter values were obtained from the $^{252}$Cf calibration [14].

6. Results and discussion

The energy spectra of $\beta$-depleted, $\beta$-enriched, and $^{214}$Bi samples were fitted with the WIMP + BG spectra, where the WIMP mass was scanned between 20 GeV/$c^2$ and 10 TeV/$c^2$. In the fitting, the BG abundances were determined for a given WIMP’s cross section and mass. The best fit cross section is defined by the minimum chi-square. The best fit cross section was 7.0 $\times$ 10$^{-40}$ cm$^2$ with $\chi^2$/ndf = 1129/999 for the 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP. The minimum chi-square has no significant difference (within 1 $\sigma$) from that of the fitting without the WIMP signal at any WIMP mass. Since no significant signal was found, the 90% CL upper limit on the SD WIMP-neutron cross section was derived. To this end, the likelihood distribution $L(\sigma_{\text{neutron}})$ for the cross section, i.e. the probability distribution of the cross section for the given experimental result, was evaluated:

$$ L(\sigma_{\text{neutron}}) = \exp \left( -\frac{\chi^2(\sigma_{\text{neutron}}) - \chi^2(\sigma_{\text{min}})}{2} \right) $$

### Figure 4: Normalized likelihood of the WIMP-neutron cross section for a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP. The broken red line represents the 90% CL upper limit.
Figure 5: $\beta$-depleted spectra with the 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP 90% CL upper limit cross section. The observed data is shown as black points with error bars over the MC histograms. WIMP (red filled), $^{125}$I (green hatched), $^{14}$C (orange filled), $^{39}$Ar (magenta filled), $^{85}$Kr (blue filled), $^{214}$Pb (cyan filled), $^{136}$Xe (brown filled), and external $\gamma$-rays (gray filled) are shown as stacking histograms.

where $\chi^2(\sigma_{\text{neutron}})$ is the chi-square of the fit for a given SD WIMP-neutron cross section $\sigma_{\text{neutron}}$, and $\sigma_{\text{min}}$ is the cross section which gives the minimum chi-square. The $L(\sigma_{\text{neutron}})$ for a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP search is shown in Figure 4. The limit $\sigma_{90}$ was obtained using the following relation:

$$\int_0^{\sigma_{90}} L(\sigma_{\text{neutron}}) d\sigma_{\text{neutron}} = 0.9$$

The obtained 90% CL upper limit for a 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMP is $4.1 \times 10^{-39}$ cm$^2$. The fitted energy spectra of the $\beta$-depleted sample for each period of 200 GeV/$c^2$ WIMPs (90% CL upper-limit) are shown in Figure 5. Step structures seen at 60 keV$_{ee}$ were induced by energy-dependent correction of $\beta$ mis-ID. The scaling factor for each energy region (every 10 keV$_{ee}$) used in this correction is shown in Figure 3. This scaling factor is 1.5 times larger between 60–65 keV$_{ee}$ than between 55–60 keV$_{ee}$. Due to the LXe purification, the activity of $^{14}$C decreased as time proceeds. The activity of $^{39}$Ar, which presumably emanates from the inner structure of the detector, was found to increased. For the check of the classification of each RI into 3 samples and the distribution of each BG spectrum, the $\beta$-depleted, $\beta$-enriched, and $^{214}$Bi samples of period 1 are also shown in Figure 6.

The 90% CL upper limits for WIMPs from 20 GeV/$c^2$ to 10 TeV/$c^2$ are shown in Figure 7. This result is the most stringent result to date of WIMP searches via the inelastic channel.

This is the approach to the understanding of SD interaction with the highest sensitivity in the world.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, an improved WIMP search via inelastic scattering using 327 kg $\times$ 800.0 days data was described. In addition to the data increase from [11], detailed evaluation of BG and particle identification using the decay time were introduced to discriminate inelastic scattering events from $\beta$-ray events. The obtained energy spectra were fitted with WIMP + BG MC spectra in the energy range from 30 to 200 keV$_{ee}$. No significant signal was found. Therefore, the 90% CL exclusion limits on the SD WIMP-neutron cross section were derived with the best limit of $4.1 \times 10^{-39}$ cm$^2$ at 200 GeV/$c^2$. These limits are the most stringent among all current WIMP searches employing inelastic scattering.
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