ABSTRACT

Dacrycarpus imbricatus Blume is a member of the Podocarpaceae family. In Bali, D. imbricatus was found in Bukit Tapak, Tabanan Regency. This species is one of the dominant species in Bukit Tapak. This study aimed to determine the genetic variation of D. imbricatus in Bukit Tapak using molecular markers RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA). The genetic diversity of D. imbricatus needs to be studied to obtain the information used for the conservation of this species. Leaf samples were taken from Bukit Tapak, Candikuning, Baturiti, Tabanan Regency, Bali. DNA was extracted using the CTAB method, followed by extraction using chloroform: isoamyl alcohol. DNA precipitation was carried out using ethanol. RAPD analysis was performed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using four primers. PCR products were visualized using agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. The results showed that the amplified DNA bands ranged from 1 to 5 bands with DNA band sizes ranging from 230 bp - 422 bp. Only OPA4 and UBC106 primers can be used to detect D. imbricatus diversity based on the H, D, and R values. The detected genetic variation is low, as indicated by an average polymorphism of 32.5% and similarities between samples ranging from 0.51 to 1.
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INTRODUCTION

Dacrycarpus imbricatus Blume or also known as Podocarpus imbricatus is a member of Gymnosperms and belongs to the Podocarpaceae family. This plant is a native species of Indonesia and is distributed in Sumatra, Java, Sulawesi, Lesser Sunda, and Papua. This species grows in the highlands from 500 to 2,000 m asl (above sea level) [1].

In Bali, the habitat of D. imbricatus is in Bukit Tapak, Tabanan Regency. Bukit Tapak Forest is located at an altitude of 1520-1600 m asl [2]. This plant in Bali is known as the local name cemara pandak. D. imbricatus is one of the dominant species in Bukit Tapak. Bukit Tapak forest is classified as a secondary forest [3]. D. imbricatus is a long-lived pioneer species that grew due to past disturbances in its territory [4]. Conservation of D. imbricatus is needed to prevent the loss of this species in the area due to species change.
Information on the genetic diversity of *D. imbricatus* is important for developing conservation strategies. Preservation of genetic diversity is necessary for the survival of a species over a long period. Loss of genetic diversity will decrease the ability to adapt to environmental changes [5]. Genetic diversity studies can identify alleles that can contribute to an organism’s ability to survive in its habitat or survive in a varied habitat. Loss of genetic diversity can lead to extinction in small populations due to decreased environmental adaptability [6, 7].

The detection of genetic diversity can be carried out by several methods. The genetic diversity of plants has traditionally been observed based on morphological characters or growth responses. In this method, individuals are differentiated based on their phenotypic character, which can be influenced by the environment [8]. The development of molecular markers provides a sensitive method for detecting diversity at the DNA level. One of the techniques used in DNA fingerprinting in evaluating genetic diversity is RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA). The RAPD technique detects DNA polymorphisms using single primers with random sequences [9]. RAPD analysis is useful for detecting nucleotide polymorphisms that show genetic diversity. This study is aimed to analyze the genetic variation of *D. imbricatus* in Bukit Tapak, Tabanan, Bali by using RAPD. The results of this study can be used as a basis for developing a conservation strategy.

**METHODS**

**Sampling of plant materials.** *D. imbricatus* leaves were taken from Bukit Tapak, Tabanan Regency, Bali (Figure 1). The leaves used were fully developed leaves. The samples used were 16 individuals’ trees of *D. imbricatus* (Figure 2).

**DNA extraction.** The leaves were washed with soap and running water until clean, then 0.1 g of leaves were crushed using a mortar and pestle. As much as 1 ml of CTAB buffer (2% w / v CTAB, 1.4 M NaCl, 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 2% v / v 2- mercaptoethanol) was added [10, 11]. Incubation of the mixture was done at 65°C for 1.5 hours. Following incubation, centrifugation was done at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes.

The supernatant was collected to a new tube and added 500 µl of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 14,000 rpm. The top layer was removed and transferred to a new tube, and purification using chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was repeated. The top layer was transferred to a new tube, and 500 µl of chilled ethanol was added and incubated overnight. After that, the sample was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for five minutes. The pellets were washed using 70% ethanol and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 8,000 rpm. The pellets were air-dried and resuspended in 100 µl of sterile H₂O [10, 11].

DNA was visualized with agarose gel (1%) electrophoresis in TAE buffer for 45 minutes at 100 volts. DNA staining was done with ethidium bromide. Visualization was performed using UV-transilluminator [12]. The DNA concentration was estimated by comparing with 50 ng and 100 ng of lambda (λ) DNA.

**RAPD.** PCR-RAPD was conducted in 20 µl volume with 25 ng DNA, 1 x PCR buffer, 200 µM dNTP, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM primer, and 1 unit taq polymerase. Eight primers from operon primers (OP) and the University of British Columbia (UBC) were screened (Table 1).
The value of $H$, where $H$ is the probability of an individual being heterozygous for a particular locus in the population, the value of $D$ is the primary ability to distinguish a number of large genotypes, and $R$ values indicating that UPB106 is the best primer in differentiating individuals.

The EDTA concentration in the CTAB lysis buffer used in this study was also higher than that of Doyle & Doyle [10]. Modifications have been made previously using 50 mM EDTA [11]. In the lysis buffer, EDTA functions as a chelator of Mg$^{2+}$, where Mg$^{2+}$ is a cofactor of the enzyme nuclease [18]. By increasing the EDTA concentration, it is expected that the amount of Mg$^{2+}$ was chelated more, thereby inhibiting nuclease activity.

**Diversity analysis with RAPD.** DNA amplification by PCR-RAPD was successfully performed using four primers (OPA4, OPD14, UBC106, and UBC250) of the eight primers tested. Only the four primers mentioned above produced clear PCR products, while the other four primers (OPA2, OPB8, OPD11, and OPH1) produced products with a very strong background smear so that the DNA bands were not clearly observed. Figure 4 shows the PCR-RAPD results using UBC106 primers with a 1 kb ladder as a size marker. Primers selection in diversity analysis plays an important role. The analysis of the RAPD primer efficiency is shown in Table 2, while the number of amplified DNA bands, the size range of DNA bands, and the degree of polymorphism was shown in Table 3.

![Figure 4. PCR-RAPD products using UBC 106 primer. Numbers 1 – 16 are the individual sample numbers. A 1 kb ladder was used as a size marker](https://biotropika.ub.ac.id/)

Of the four primers used in PCR-RAPD, only two primers (OPA4 and UBC106) produced polymorphic DNA bands, while the other two primers (OPD14 and UBC250) produced monomorphic DNA bands.

Based on the primary efficiency analysis, OPD14 primer and UBC250 primer did not have the ability to differentiate between individuals. This could be seen from the value of $H$, $D$, and $R$, which are 0 (Table 2). The value of $H$ was the probability of an individual being heterozygous for a particular locus in the population, the value of $D$ is the probability that two individuals randomly have different patterns, while the value of $R$ is the primary ability to distinguish a number of large genotypes [13]. Primer UBC106 had the highest $H$, $D$, and $R$ values indicating that UPB106 is the best primer in differentiating individuals.

---

**Results and Discussion**

**DNA extraction.** DNA with concentrations between 80 ng/µl to 175 ng/µl was obtained. The resulting DNA has a large size indicated by the position of the DNA band at the top of the gel. In addition to these DNA bands, there is DNA that appears smeared (Figure 3). The smeared DNA indicated that the DNA had been degraded into fragments of various sizes. DNA smearing is caused by nuclease activity during extraction [15, 16].

![Figure 3. Results of D. imbricatus DNA electrophoresis. Numbers 1 – 16 are the individual sample numbers. The DNA was compared with λ DNA at 50 ng and 100 ng](https://biotropika.ub.ac.id/)

In the early stages of DNA extraction, the crushed leaves were incubated in a CTAB buffer at a temperature of 65°C for 1.5 hours. This incubation period was much longer than the plant DNA extraction method by Doyle & Doyle [10]. The long incubation time in the CTAB buffer was done because the leaves of D. imbricatus were quite hard. By prolonging the incubation time, the DNA extraction method by Doyle & Doyle [10]. Modifications have been done because the leaves of D. imbricatus were quite hard. By prolonging the incubation time, the DNA extraction method by Doyle & Doyle [10]. Modifications have been done because the leaves of D. imbricatus were quite hard. By prolonging the incubation time, the

---

**Table 1. Name of primers screened and their sequences**

| Primer Name | Sequence (5’-3’) |
|-------------|-----------------|
| OPA2        | TGCCGAGCTG      |
| OPA4        | AATCGGGCTG      |
| OPB8        | GTCCACACGG      |
| OPD11       | AGCGCCATTG      |
| OPD14       | CTTCCCCAAG      |
| OPH1        | GGTTCGGAGAA     |
| UBC106      | CGTCTGCCCG      |
| UBC250      | CGACAGTCCC      |

---
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Table 2. The efficiency of each primer in the analysis of the diversity of *D. imbricatus* in Bukit Tapak

| Primer Name | H    | D    | R    |
|-------------|------|------|------|
| OPA4        | 0.219| 0.238| 0.5  |
| OPD14       | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| UBC106      | 0.447| 0.564| 1.875|
| UBC250      | 0    | 0    | 0    |

| Average     | 32.5 |

H= heterozygosity index; D= discriminating power; R= resolving power.

Table 3. The number and size range of DNA bands and the percentage of polymorphisms from PCR products

| Primer Name | No. of DNA Bands | Band Size (bp) | Polymorphism (%) |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| OPA4        | 2                | 422-250        | 50               |
| OPD14       | 1                | 415            | 0                |
| UBC106      | 5                | 408-230        | 80               |
| UBC250      | 2                | 410-230        | 0                |

| Average     | 32.5 |

RAPD analysis of *D. imbricatus* showed an average polymorphism of 32.5%. The low percentage of polymorphic DNA bands indicates low genetic diversity and vice versa [19]. Table 3 supported the analysis shown in Table 2. Primer UBC106 showed the highest percentage of polymorphism. Based on Table 2 and Table 3, primer OPA4 and UBC106 were suitable for detecting the genetic variation of *D. imbricatus*.

The kinship between individuals of the 16 samples tested is shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that the similarity coefficient ranges from 0.51 to 1. This showed a fairly high level of similarity between individuals. Some individuals have a similarity coefficient of 1, namely between individuals 1, 2, 4, and 5, between individuals 3, 7, and 13, between individuals 10 and 11, also between individuals 14, 15, and 16. A high value of the similarity coefficient means the population did not have enough diversity [20]. Based on the average polymorphism and the tree of kinship, the results of this study indicate that the genetic diversity of *D. imbricatus* in Bukit Tapak was low and needs to be improved.

![Figure 5. Genetic relationship between individuals *D. imbricatus*. Number 1 - 16 are the individual sample number. The coefficient showed in the figure was the coefficient of similarity.](https://biotropika.ub.ac.id/)

CONCLUSION

The population of *D. imbricatus* in Bukit Tapak, Tabanan, Bali showed a low level of diversity, which has an average polymorphism of 32.5% and a similarity index between samples between 0.51 to 1. Further research is needed by increasing the number of samples, the number of primers, and testing for the occurrence of inbreeding pressure.
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