Neutrophils play a key role in innate immunity. As the dominant circulating phagocyte, they are rapidly recruited from the bloodstream to sites of infection or injury to internalize and destroy microbes. More recently, neutrophils have been identified in uninfected organs, challenging the classical view of their function. Here we show that neutrophils were present in lymph nodes (LNs) in homeostasis. Using flow cytometry and confocal imaging, we identified neutrophils within LNs in naive, unchallenged mice, including LNs draining the skin, lungs, and gastrointestinal tract. Neutrophils were enriched within specific anatomical regions, in the interfollicular zone, a site of T cell activation. Intravital two-photon microscopy demonstrated that LN neutrophils were motile, trafficked into LNs from both blood and tissues via high endothelial venules and afferent lymphatics, respectively, and formed interactions with dendritic cells in LNs. Murine and human LN neutrophils had a distinct phenotype compared with circulating neutrophils, with higher major histocompatibility complex II (MHCII) expression, suggesting a potential role in CD4 T cell activation. Upon ex vivo stimulation with IgG immune complex (IC), neutrophils up-regulated expression of MHCII and costimulatory molecules and increased T cell activation. In vivo, neutrophils were capable of delivering circulating IC to LNs, suggesting a broader functional remit. Overall, our data challenge the perception that neutrophil patrol is limited to the circulation in homeostasis, adding LNs to their routine surveillance territory.

Neutrophils Are Present in Unstimulated LNs throughout the Body. To address whether neutrophils are present in LNs under homeostatic conditions, we administered i.v. anti-CD45 to wild-type mice to label all circulating leukocytes, and harvested inguinal, popliteal, mesenteric, mediastinal, and axillary LNs. We used young female mice to avoid confounding from combat injuries in cohaboused male mice. We observed Ly6G<sup>−</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup> neutrophils in all sampled LNs (Fig. 1 A–C and SI Appendix, Fig. SI A and B); the vast majority were i.v. anti-CD45–negative (Fig. 1 D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. SIC), suggesting these were bona fide interstitial LN neutrophils. Analysis of tissue immune cells by disaggregation and flow cytometry can underestimate cell numbers (23). We therefore performed confocal microscopy, similarly identifying neutrophils

Significance

The classical view of neutrophils is as circulating phagocytes that are recruited to tissues following infection or injury. Here we show that neutrophils were present in mouse and human lymph nodes in the absence of perturbation. Lymph node neutrophils were phenotypically distinct, with increased expression of major histocompatibility complex II, and predominantly localized to the interfollicular zone, where CD4 T lymphocytes are activated. Neutrophils trafficked into lymph nodes via blood and lymphatic vessels, and were capable of rapidly carrying systemically acquired, IgG antibody-opsonized cargo to lymph nodes. These data support a novel role for neutrophils in homeostatic immune surveillance, sampling circulating antigens and delivering them to lymph nodes, with the potential to activate adaptive immunity.
Neutrophils are present in unstimulated LNs throughout the body. (A–C) Flow cytometry quantification of neutrophils in inguinal (ing), popliteal (pop), mesenteric (mes), mediastinal (med), and axillary (axi) LNs from unstimulated mice, with (A) representative plots, (B) % live extravascular neutrophils, and (C) extravascular neutrophils per LN. (D and E) Flow cytometry quantification of extravascular neutrophils, with (D) representative plots and (E) % i.v. CD45+ neutrophils. Data are from 12 mice in 9 experiments; ***P < 0.001 across groups. (F) Confocal image of an unstimulated C56BL/6 inguinal LN. (Scale bars, 300 μm; Z stack, 5 μm.) (G) Confocal quantification of neutrophils in unstimulated LNs; data are from 3 mice. Mean ± SEM is shown.

The Majority of LN Neutrophils Are within Tissues and Lie outside Blood and Lymphatic Vessels. We next determined the anatomical location of neutrophils. Few neutrophils were within HEVs and lymphatic vessels, identified by PNAd and lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1 (LYVE-1), respectively, but the majority (75 to 82%) of neutrophils were within LN interstitium (Fig. 2A and B and Movie S1). Neutrophils were rarely in B cell follicles but mainly in interfollicular zones and floor of subcapsular sinus (SCS), in close proximity to T cells (Fig. 2C), SCS macrophages (Fig. 2D), and dendritic cells (DCs) (Fig. 2E). To determine neutrophil dynamic behavior, we performed intravital imaging of popliteal LNs of lysozyme M-green fluorescent protein (LysM-GFP) mice (24). Neutrophils exhibited random crawling at a mean speed of 5.8 μm/min (Fig. 2F and G and Movie S2), similar

Fig. 1. Neutrophils are present in unstimulated LNs throughout the body. (A–C) Flow cytometry quantification of neutrophils in inguinal (ing), popliteal (pop), mesenteric (mes), mediastinal (med), and axillary (axi) LNs from unstimulated mice, with (A) representative plots, (B) % live extravascular neutrophils, and (C) extravascular neutrophils per LN. (D and E) Flow cytometry quantification of extravascular neutrophils, with (D) representative plots and (E) % i.v. CD45+ neutrophils. Data are from 12 mice in 9 experiments; ***P < 0.001 across groups. (F) Confocal image of an unstimulated C56BL/6 inguinal LN. (Scale bars, 300 μm; Z stack, 5 μm.) (G) Confocal quantification of neutrophils in unstimulated LNs; data are from 3 mice. Mean ± SEM is shown.

Fig. 2. Majority of LN neutrophils are within tissues and lie outside blood and lymphatic vessels. (A) Confocal image of unstimulated LysM-GFP inguinal LN. (Scale bars, 300 μm; inset 1, 40 μm; inset 2, 15 μm; Z stack, 10 μm.) (B) Confocal quantification of LN neutrophil location; data are from 3 mice. (C) Confocal image of inguinal LN neutrophil location. (Scale bars, 300 μm; inset, 30 μm; Z stack, 20 μm.) (D) Confocal image of inguinal LN showing neutrophils near SCS macrophages. (Scale bar, 30 μm; Z stack, 20 μm.) (E) Confocal image of inguinal LN showing neutrophil colocalization with DCs. (Scale bar, 40 μm; Z stack, 11 μm.) (F) Intravital imaging of unstimulated LysM-GFP popliteal LN; neutrophil movements are in green tracks. (Scale bar, 40 μm; Z stack, 60 μm.) (G) Mean speed of LN neutrophils; data are from 4 movies, each dot representing one cell track. Mean ± SEM is shown.
Circulating Neutrophils Traffic via HEVs and Lymphatics into LNs. To determine whether circulating neutrophils traffic tonically into LNs, we isolated BM GFP<sup>+</sup> neutrophils for i.v. transfer into C57BL/6 mice, and examined recipient LNs by intravital imaging. At 24 h post transfer, neutrophils were present in LNs (Fig. 3A and Movie S4), moving with a mean speed of 6.5 μm/min (Fig. 3B), similar to that of native neutrophils. Surprisingly, at 4 to 5 d post transfer, we still observed motile GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in LNs (Movie S4). We confirmed these were neutrophils, not macrophages with effecrocytosed neutrophil fragments, by confocal Gr-1 staining 7 d post transfer (Fig. 3C). Even with the caveat that transferred BM-isolated neutrophils might include immature cells, these data challenge the dogma that survival of neutrophils exiting BM is limited to several hours. The rapid appearance of i.v. transferred neutrophils in LNs suggests neutrophil recruitment to LNs in inflammation (20, 21). Neutrophils entered LNs via HEVs in homeostasis (Fig. 3D and Movie S5), with a trend toward reduced basal neutrophil trafficking with PNAd blockade (mean neutrophils per field of view, 5.4 control vs. 1.7 anti-PNAd). Following laser-induced tissue damage, PNAd blockade resulted in significant but incomplete reduction in neutrophil recruitment (mean neutrophils per field of view, 13.2 control vs. 4.8 anti-PNAd, P < 0.01) (Fig. 3E and F and Movie S6). As PNAd blockade did not completely abrogate neutrophil entry, we investigated whether neutrophils also enter LNs via lymphatics, and identified some neutrophils entering LNs via anti-LYVE-1–labeled lymphatics (Fig. 3G and Movie S7). Furthermore, intravital imaging of CD11c-YFP mice post i.v. GFP<sup>+</sup> neutrophil transfer demonstrated neutrophil–DC interactions in LN cortex at baseline (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A and Movie S8); interactions were mostly brief, with a mean duration of 5 min and longest of 20 min (Fig. 3H and I and SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C). Together, these data demonstrate that circulating neutrophils traffic into LNs via both blood and lymphatic vessels at baseline and in sterile inflammation, and may influence antigen-presenting cells such as DCs.

Neutrophils Are Present in Human LNs and Express MHCII. To determine whether neutrophils were also present in human LNs, inguinal, mesenteric, and thoracic LNs were obtained from organ donors (SI Appendix, Table S1) and cell suspensions were analyzed by flow cytometry, demonstrating CD15<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>+</sup> neutrophils in all LNs examined (Fig. 4A and B). Confocal imaging confirmed neutrophils within LN interstitium, near HEVs and lymphatics (Fig. 4C and D), as in murine LN neutrophils. Chemokine receptors such as CCR7 and CXCR4, and integrins including CD11b, are involved in neutrophil LN migration in inflammation (18, 21, 27). We therefore examined their baseline expression on human and murine LN neutrophils. There was little CCR7 expression, but a subset of LN neutrophils expressed CXCR4, with a higher proportion compared with blood neutrophils (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A–D). CD11b expression did not differ between neutrophils from LNs and other...
Neutrophils are present in human lymph nodes and express MHCII. (H and L) Confocal image of human thoracic LN neutrophils. (Scale bars, 20 μm; Z stack, 18 μm.) (0) Confocal image of human mesenteric LN neutrophils. (Scale bars, 40 μm; Z stack, 12 μm.) (E and F) MHCII expression on human LN neutrophils with (E) representative plots and (F) % MHCII+ neutrophils. Data are from 14 donors. (G and H) MHCII expression on unstimulated murine neutrophils with (G) representative plots and (H) % MHCII+ neutrophils; data are from 6 mice in 5 experiments; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 vs. blood. Mean ± SEM is shown.

Fig. 4. Neutrophils are present in human lymph nodes and express MHCII. (A and B) Quantification of neutrophils in human inguinal, mesenteric, and thoracic (tho) LNs with (A) representative plots and (B) % live neutrophils. (C) Confocal image of human thoracic LN neutrophils. (Scale bars, 20 μm; Z stack, 18 μm.) (D) Confocal image of human mesenteric LN neutrophils. (Scale bars, 40 μm; Z stack, 12 μm.) (E and F) MHCII expression on human LN neutrophils with (E) representative plots and (F) % MHCII+ neutrophils. Data are from 14 donors. (G and H) MHCII expression on unstimulated murine neutrophils with (G) representative plots and (H) % MHCII+ neutrophils; data are from 6 mice in 5 experiments; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 vs. blood. Mean ± SEM is shown.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that neutrophils are present in unstimulated LNs under homeostatic conditions, in areas that enable them to interact with T cells, DCs, and SCS macrophages. Circulating neutrophils traffic into LNs via HEVs and lymphatic vessels, the former process involving PNAd, and neutrophils interact with DCs in LNs at baseline. Both human and murine LN neutrophils express MHCII, and ex vivo stimulation of human blood and murine BM neutrophils with IgG IC results in up-regulation of MHCII and costimulatory molecules, leading to increased CD4 T cell activation ex vivo. In vivo, neutrophils are capable of early uptake of systemic IC into peripheral LNs.

Neutrophil recruitment to draining LNs has been shown to occur following local infectious or inflammatory challenges via HEVs (19–21) and lymphatics (18, 21). Some of these studies demonstrated LN neutrophils at baseline (18, 20), as did a study of aged mice (29). However, these investigators did not use methods to definitively distinguish circulating from tissue neutrophils, as we have done. Casanova-Acebes et al. (22) recently showed, using parabiosis, that circulating neutrophils migrate into most tissues, including spleen, lung, intestine, and skin, with varying diurnal patterns at steady state. Our study adds to this description, characterizing in detail the number, anatomical location, and in vivo dynamic behavior of LN neutrophils in different body regions in homeostasis. We confirmed that neutrophils trafficked into LNs in the absence of perturbation and that this was not limited to mice, with a similar distribution of
neutrophils in human LNs. To ensure our study accurately assessed unstimulated LNs, we used young female mice with minimal handling prior to LN harvest. Human LNs were obtained from organ donors who might have a degree of systemic inflammation, with a few infections, but the majority had no specific insult in the territories drained by the LNs. For transfer experiments, we isolated neutrophils by negative magnetic selection and transferred cells immediately post isolation to minimize time spent ex vivo.

Our observation of the presence of LN neutrophils up to 7 d post i.v. transfer challenges the dogma that neutrophils are short-lived cells. For transfer experiments, we isolated neutrophils from BM, which provides much higher yields compared with blood but contains some immature cells. Isolated BM LysM-GFP neutrophils transferred i.v. into wild-type mice have a circulatory half-life of 8 h (30). Although a previous study has shown isolated BM neutrophils to be functionally mature (31), up to 10% of isolated BM neutrophils may be immature, and these may contribute to the longer-lived neutrophils observed in LNs post transfer. In vivo labeling studies have shown variable estimates of circulating neutrophil half-life partly due to different study methodologies, such as labeling of whole blood or isolated neutrophils, and different methods and durations of labeling (3–7, 32). Agents such as LPS delay neutrophil apoptosis in vitro (33), and inflammatory alveolar neutrophils show prolonged survival when cultured ex vivo (34). It may be that prosurvival signals or cellular interactions encountered by LN neutrophils increase their longevity compared with blood neutrophils, but this requires further investigation.

We found that circulating neutrophils were capable of delivering IC from blood to LNs, observing IC-containing neutrophils in LNs within 1 h of systemic IC administration. This suggests that

---

**Fig. 5.** FcγR cross-linking by IC increases neutrophil MHCII expression and CD4 T cell activation ex vivo. (A and B) MHCII expression on human blood neutrophils following 1-h ex vivo stimulation with ATP, LPS, S. pneumoniae or OVAIC (generated with whole OVA), with (A) representative plots and (B) % MHCII+ neutrophils; data are from 3 donors in triplicate; ***P < 0.001 vs. unstimulated. (C) H2-Aα, H2-Ab1, Cd80, Cd86, and Cd40 mRNA expression, relative to Gapdh (2−ΔΔCt), in murine BM neutrophils following 2-h ex vivo stimulation with OVA or OVAIC; 1 of 2 experiments is shown, each dot representing a triplicate; ***P < 0.001 OVAIC vs. PBS. (D and E) Expression of (D) CD25 and (E) CD69 on OTII CD4 T cells following coculture with OVA- or OVAIC-stimulated neutrophils; 1 of 2 experiments is shown; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 vs. PMN + OTII T control. Mean ± SEM is shown.

---

**Fig. 6.** Neutrophils phagocytose systemic and LN IC in vivo. (A) Intravital imaging of LysM-GFP popliteal LN 2 h post i.v. OVAIC administration, with Insets showing OVAIC+ neutrophils. (Scale bar, 30 μm; Z stack, 40 μm.) (B) Flow cytometry plots of BM, spleen, and LN neutrophils 1 h following i.v. OVA or OVAIC administration to a LysM-GFP mouse. (C) Intravital imaging of popliteal LN neutrophils 0- and 60-min unstimulated and following local PEIC stimulation. (Scale bars, 100 μm; Z stack, 80 μm.) (D) Neutrophil recruitment quantified as fold change from baseline of cells per field of view.
neutrophils may act as circulatory sentinels in homeostasis, performing immune surveillance in the bloodstream, gathering systemic antigens, and rapidly delivering them to LNs. We did not directly determine in vivo whether these IC-carrying neutrophils internalize and present IgG-opsonized antigens to CD4 T cells in LNs, but we showed that LN neutrophils localized to the interfollicular zone, a critical area for T cell activation, and a subset of LN neutrophils showed high MHCII expression. This, with the finding that IC-stimulated neutrophils up-regulated MHCII and costimulatory molecules and activated CD4 T cells ex vivo, is consistent with the conclusion that circulating IgG-opsonized antigens sampled by neutrophils may be directly presented to CD4 T cells in LNs. Other studies have also observed that neutrophils activate antigen-specific CD4 T cells in vitro (11, 12). Together, these data provide evidence of neutrophil function beyond innate immunity.

To determine signals governing neutrophil recruitment to LNs, we used PNAd antibody blockade to inhibit neutrophil migration via HEVs but did not concomitantly block lymphatic migration. Previous studies have shown CCR7 and CXCR4 to be involved in neutrophil lymphatic migration during inflammation (21, 27). Consistent with this, we found higher surface CXCR4 expression on LN neutrophils at baseline. We examined surface CCR7 expression but found no difference between circulating and LN neutrophils. This may be due to CCR7 internalization following ligand engagement upon entry into LNs. Notably, ex vivo IC-stimulated neutrophils up-regulated MHCII, CCR7, and CD11b, but the signals driving basal LN neutrophil MHCII expression, without IC stimulation, are unclear. We tested the chemokine attractants CCL19, CXCL4, LTB4, and IMLP, but none affected neutrophil MHCII expression. Since the integrin Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18) facilitates neutrophil migration and may mediate outside-in signaling via both PI3K-Akt and p38 MAPK-ERK (35), pathways also activated upon FcyR cross-linking by IC, this represents a potential mechanism for basal neutrophil MHCII expression.

In summary, our study challenges the perception that neutrophil patrol is limited to the circulation in homeostasis, adding LNs to their routine surveillance territory. Our data also suggest a broader functional remit for neutrophils as cells that sample antigens within the circulation and traffic immunological information to LNs, potentially impacting adaptive immunity.

Materials and Methods
Mice. C57BL/6 and LysM-GFP mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S8) were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions, and experiments were approved in accordance with Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 UK.

Murine LNs. Female mice aged 8 to 10 wk were used and i.v. anti-CD45 was administered within 1 min of euthanization.

Murine Neutrophil Transfer. BM GFP+ neutrophils were isolated by magnetic negative selection, with 98% purity (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), and 2 × 10^6 neutrophils per mouse were transferred intravenously.

Human LNs and Neutrophil Isolation. Whole LNs were removed from deceased organ donors. Blood neutrophils were isolated as previously described (36). Informed consent was obtained. All human blood and tissue samples were deidentified prior to use. Ethical approval was obtained from the East of England Research Ethics Committee (12/EE/00446, 15/EE/0152), and experiments were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

IC Stimulation. Whole OVA was incubated with rabbit anti-OVA (1:10) at 37 °C for 1 h to generate IC. For in vivo stimulation, OVAic was injected intravenously, and PEIC was generated locally by i.p. anti-PE and s.c. PE to the hock.

Intravital and Confocal Microscopy. Ppopliteal LN intravital imaging was performed with a Chameleon Vision-S tunable Ti:sapphire laser and Leica TCS SP8 microscope at 36 °C. LNs were fixed and frozen sections were used for confocal imaging. Images were processed using Bitplane Imaris and ImageJ (NIH). Statistical analysis was with unpaired t tests or one-way ANOVA and Holm-Sidak correction; P < 0.05 was statistically significant. See SI Appendix for further details.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Drs. Rebecca Mathews, John Ferdinand, and Benjamin Stewart and the Flow Cytometry and Microscopy Units, Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, for experimental support, and the Cambridge Biorepository for Translational Medicine for access to human tissue. L.S.C.L. was funded by the Wellcome Trust (104384/Z/14/Z). M.R.C. is supported by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, a Chan Zuckerberg Initiative Human Cell Atlas Technology Development Grant, Medical Research Council New Investigator Research Grant (MR/N024907/1), Arthritis Research UK Cure Challenge Research Grant (21777), and NIHR Research Professorship (RP-2017-08-572-002).

1. R. B. Day, D. C. Link, Regulation of neutrophil trafficking from the bone marrow. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 69, 1415–1423 (2012).
2. C. Summers et al., Neutrophil kinetics in health and disease. Trends Immunol. 31, 318–324 (2010).
3. B. I. Lord et al., Myeloid cell kinetics in mice treated with recombinant interleukin-3, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (CSF), or granulocyte-macrophage CSF in vivo. Blood 77, 2154–2159 (1991).
4. S. Beau, G. Hodgson, M. Katz, A. R. Dunn, Evaluation of role of G-CSF in the production, survival, and release of neutrophils from bone marrow into circulation. Blood 100, 854–861 (2002).
5. J. Pillay et al., In vivo labeling with H2O2 reveals a human neutrophil lifespan of 5.4 days. Blood 116, 625–627 (2010).
6. N. Farahi et al., Use of 111-indium-labeled autologous eosinophils to establish the in vivo kinetics of human eosinophils in healthy subjects. Blood 120, 4068–4071 (2012).
7. J. Lahoz-Beneytez et al., Human neutrophil kinetics: Modeling of stable isotope labeling data supports short blood neutrophil half-lives. Blood 127, 3431–3438 (2016).
8. E. Kitayama et al., Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13, 159–175 (2013).
9. K. Futose, S. Fodor, A. Mosci, Neutrophil cell surface receptors and their intracellular signal transduction pathways. Int. Immunopharmacol. 17, 638–650 (2013).
10. P. Selvaraj, N. Fidada, S. Nagarajan, A. Cimino, G. Wang, Functional regulation of human neutrophil Fcγ receptors. Immunol. Rev. 28, 219–230 (2004).
11. S. Cushaw, O. R. Millington, J. M. Brewer, I. B. McMahon, Neutrophil present class II restricted antigen. Immunol. Lett. 118, 49–54 (2008).
12. M. Vono et al., Neutrophils acquire the capacity for antigen presentation to memory CD4+ T cells in vitro and ex vivo. Blood 123, 1991–2001 (2017).
13. C. Beauvillain et al., Neutrophils efficiently cross-prime naive T cells in vivo. Blood 110, 2965–2973 (2007).
14. U. H. von Andrian, T. R. Mempel, Homing and cellular traffic in lymph nodes. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 3, 867–878 (2003).
15. J. N. Mandle et al., Quantification of lymph node transit times reveals differences in antigen surveillance strategies of naive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 18036–18041 (2012).
29. F. Tomay et al., Aged neutrophils accumulate in lymphoid tissues from healthy elderly mice and infiltrate T- and B-cell zones. Immunol. Cell Biol. 96, 831–840 (2018).
30. C. Cheretakis, R. Leung, C. X. Sun, Y. Dror, M. Glogauer, Timing of neutrophil tissue repopulation predicts restoration of innate immune protection in a murine bone marrow transplantation model. Blood 108, 2821–2826 (2006).
31. R. Boxio, C. Bossenmeyer-Pourié, N. Steinckwich, C. Dournon, O. Nüse, Mouse bone marrow contains large numbers of functionally competent neutrophils. J. Leukoc. Biol. 75, 604–611 (2004).
32. T. Tak, K. Tesselaar, J. Pillay, J. A. M. Borghans, L. Koenderman, What’s your age again? Determination of human neutrophil half-lives revisited. J. Leukoc. Biol. 94, 595–601 (2013).
33. F. Colotta, F. Re, N. Polentarutti, S. Sozzani, A. Mantovani, Modulation of granulocyte survival and programmed cell death by cytokines and bacterial products. Blood 80, 2012–2020 (1992).
34. J. K. Juss et al., Acute respiratory distress syndrome neutrophils have a distinct phenotype and are resistant to phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibition. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 194, 961–973 (2016).
35. B. B. Whitlock, S. Gardai, V. Fadok, D. Bratton, P. M. Henson, Differential roles for α(M)β(2) integrin clustering or activation in the control of apoptosis via regulation of Akt and ERK survival mechanisms. J. Cell Biol. 151, 1305–1320 (2000).
36. L. S. C. Lok et al., Effects of tocilizumab on neutrophil function and kinetics. Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 47, 736–745 (2017).