Ovarian cancer stem cells: Can targeted therapy lead to improved progression-free survival?
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Abstract

Despite significant effort and research funds, epithelial ovarian cancer remains a very deadly disease. There are no effective screening methods that discover early stage disease; the majority of patients are diagnosed with advanced disease. Treatment modalities consist primarily of radical debulking surgery followed by taxane and platinum-based chemotherapy. Newer therapies including limited targeted agents and intraperitoneal delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs have improved disease-free intervals, but failed to yield long-lasting cures in most patients. Chemotherapeutic resistance, particularly in the recurrent setting, plagues the disease. Targeting the pathways and mechanisms behind the development of chemoresistance in ovarian cancer could lead to significant improvement in patient outcomes. In many malignancies, including blood and other solid tumors, there is a subgroup of tumor cells, separate from the bulk population, called cancer stem cells (CSCs). These CSCs are thought to be the cause of metastasis, recurrence and resistance. However, to date, ovarian CSCs have been difficult to identify, isolate, and target. It is felt by many investigators that finding a putative ovarian CSC and a chemotherapeutic agent to target it could be the key to a cure for this deadly disease. This review will focus on recent advances in this arena and discuss some of the controversies surrounding the concept.
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Core tip: Ovarian cancer stem cells (CSCs) are difficult to isolate, identify, and target. However, they are often thought to be the source of development of chemoresistance. Finding a therapeutic target in ovarian CSCs and identifying the mechanisms associated with the development of chemoresistance may lead to a long-lasting cure for patients with epithelial ovarian cancer.

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that over 14000 women in the United States will die with ovarian cancer and more than 22000 women will be newly diagnosed with the disease in 2013[1]. Women with early stage disease often have vague symptoms such as bloating, back pain, and fatigue leaving most women undiagnosed until later stages of the disease. Standard treatment of ovarian cancer consists of surgical resection of disease followed by taxane and plati-
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that primary treatment with chemotherapeutic agents form secondary and tertiary tumors the ability to self-renew, differentiate, and metastasize to intra-abdominally. CSCs are generally thought to have this spheroid form of tumor cells is thought to be in this cavity. This suggests that CSCs are involved in metastasis intra-abdominally. CSCs are generally thought to have the ability to self-renew, differentiate, and metastasize to form secondary and tertiary tumors. It has been shown that primary treatment with chemotherapeutic agents results in increased drug-resistant CSCs and this leads to recurrence. Unlike some of the blood cancers which have known normal stem cells, there is no known normal ovarian stem cell. This obviously complicates the identification of specific ovarian CSCs. The majority of evidence in favor of ovarian CSCs exists from the identification of markers of "stemness" as identified in other malignancies. Still, many researchers are investigating the existence of specific ovarian CSCs.

OVARIAN CSCS

The isolation of ovarian CSCs is fraught with difficulty, like that of many other solid tumors. For isolation to occur, a single-cell suspension must be made from a solid tumor while sustaining viability. While there may be a large volume of tumor or ascites, the actual CSCs are a rare population of that tumor; unlike blood tumors, there is no specific marker for an ovarian CSC. The first model for this process was described by Bapat et al. in 2005. They collected ascites from a patient sample and were able to develop 19 immortalized tumor sphere-forming clones. Two of these were passaged into nude mice and grew into tumors that closely resembled the parental tumor. A single transformed clone was able to be isolated that demonstrated increased aggressiveness from the parent tumor. This experiment was some of the first evidence to show heterogeneous growth properties of tumor cell subpopulations in ovarian cancer. Also, these tumor cells demonstrated the ability to self-renew by continuing to form tumors even after serial transplantation.

CSC MARKERS

There is no specific ovarian CSC marker and researchers have relied on markers of "stemness" identified from other malignancies. Some of these proteins used as CSC markers include CD44, CD133, CD117, ALDH1A1, and EpCAM (Table 1). There are many other proteins that have been used as markers of "stemness" but are not as well defined in ovarian cancer. Discovered as a marker for breast development and breast carcinoma, CD44 is a hyaluronate receptor that is involved in cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions and ultimately affects cellular growth, differentiation, and motility. Zhang et al. found that CD44+/CD117+ cells had increased chemoresistance to taxane and platinum-based chemotherapy as well as the ability to self-propagate. Similarly, Alvero and colleagues showed that CD44+ cells were enriched in ovarian cancer patient ascites and once isolated and xenografted gave rise to tumor with both CD44+ and CD44- cells suggesting they can differentiate and self-renew. Orian-Rosseau described various strategies to target the CD44 receptor, which included binding to hyaluronic acid and osteopontin, a protein involved in interleukin production and overexpressed in ovarian cancer, as well as contributing to receptor tyrosine kinase activation.

CD133 is a transmembrane glycoprotein that is expressed in normal hematopoietic and epithelial stem
cells, and has also been described as a CSC marker in solid tumors. Ferrandina et al\textsuperscript{27} showed that the amount of CD133 positive cells was higher in ovarian carcinoma than in normal ovarian tissue. In 2009, Baba and colleagues reported the ability of CD133+ cancer cells to generate both CD133+ and CD133- cells, similar to what Alvero had seen with CD44+ cell spore\textsuperscript{18}. CD133 has also been shown to be involved in increased tumor formation, increased chemoresistance, and the ability to recapitulate the original heterogenous tumor\textsuperscript{19}.

CD117, also known as c-kit or stem cell growth factor receptor, is a proto-oncogene encoded by the KIT gene. It is a type of tyrosine kinase receptor involved in cell signal transduction. It has been shown to be involved in apoptosis, cell differentiation, proliferation, and cell adhesion\textsuperscript{20}. CD117 was shown by Kusumbe et al\textsuperscript{23} to have high expression in ovarian cancer cells. Interestingly, cells expressing CD117 appear to be highly tumorigenic as it only takes approximately 10^5 cells to be able to self-renew, differentiate, and regenerate tumor in mouse models\textsuperscript{20}. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway which has been implicated in the development of chemoresistance is activated by CD117\textsuperscript{25}.

ALDH1A1 is a member of the ALDH group of proteins, which contains 19 enzymes that function as cell protectors from carcinogenic aldehydes\textsuperscript{24}. Landen et al\textsuperscript{26} declared it a putative CSC marker and showed its association with chemoresistance in ovarian carcinoma. Cells that are double positive for CD133 and ALDH1A1 have a greater ability to develop tumors in mouse models as compared to CD133+/ALDH1A1 - or ALDH1A1+/CD133 - cells\textsuperscript{28}. Recently, Shank et al\textsuperscript{27}, showed that metformin decreased the population of ALDH+ cells in ovarian cancer cell lines as well as decreased the formation of tumor spheres in patient tumors. In vivo, they also presented that metformin would restrict the growth of whole tumor cell line xenografts\textsuperscript{23}.

EpCAM (CD326) is a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in cell adhesion. EpCAM has been shown to have oncoangiogenic signaling properties which result in cell proliferation and tumor formation\textsuperscript{28}. Higher expression of EpCAM has also been seen in metastatic ovarian tumors\textsuperscript{29} and it is involved in epithelial to mesenchymal transition leading to metastasis\textsuperscript{9}. Another glycoprotein identified as an ovarian CSC is CD24 which is a cell membrane glycoprotein involved in cell adhesion. In 2005, the movement of CD24 from the cell membrane to the cytoplasm in borderline ovarian tumors was associated with microinvasion and omental implants as well as shorter survival time in adenocarcinoma of the ovary\textsuperscript{30}. Moulla et al\textsuperscript{31} also demonstrated that the transition from membrane to cytoplasmic CD24 expression was associated with a more aggressive phenotype in borderline tumors.

### CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

While it is interesting to utilize proteins to identify CSCs in various tissues, the clinical significance of these markers is still being determined. In 2012, Meng and colleagues reported on CD44+/CD24+ cells in ovarian cancer cell line studies and patient ascites samples. Ovarian cancer cell line studies confirmed that increased numbers of CD44+ cells increased chemoresistance. Patient ascites samples with > 25% CD44+ cells had significantly decreased median progression-free survival (6 mo vs 18 mo, \(P = 0.01\)) as well as propensity to recur (83% vs 14%, \(P = 0.003\))\textsuperscript{31}. Zhang and colleagues studied 400 ovarian cancer tissue samples for CD133 positivity. They found associations between CD133+ and higher grade ovarian tumors, advanced stage disease, and decreased response to chemotherapy. They also found that CD133+ tumors are associated with decreased overall survival (\(P = 0.007\)) and shorter disease free interval (\(P < 0.001\))\textsuperscript{34}. In a study by Chau et al\textsuperscript{38}, they evaluated 3 patient samples in a xenograft mouse model and it was found that there was increased chemoresistance in patients with CD117+ tumor cells. In 65 ovarian cancer patients with advanced stage disease, greater than 20% of ALDH1A1+ cells correlated with decreased progression-free survival (6 mo vs 14 mo, \(P = 0.035\))\textsuperscript{28}. Recently, Zhu et al\textsuperscript{39} reported on overexpression of CD24 in epithelial ovarian cancer and found that it was an independent variable associated with a low survival rate, increased metastasis, and decreased survival time.

Recent studies have indicated an enriched population of CSCs in ovarian cancer patients with recurrent carcinoma as compared to patients with primary cancer. Rizzo et al\textsuperscript{40} noted an increased percentage of side population cells (generally accepted to be CSCs) in the ascites of patients with first recurrence after platinum-based chemotherapy as compared to ascites of chemo-naïve patients. Steg et al\textsuperscript{47} compared 45 matched primary and recurrent ovarian cancer patient samples for expression of stem cell markers including ALDH1A1, CD44, and CD133. Primary samples showed low densities of the markers,
but samples collected after primary therapy showed higher densities of ALDH1A1, CD44, and CD133 due to the death of the non-stem cells. Stem cell markers were also examined in this study and 14% of recurrent tumors showed overexpression of these markers compared to primary tumors.

**TARGETING OF OVARIAN CSCS**

Stem cell markers have been implicated in chemoresistance and recurrence of ovarian cancer; therefore, it is reasonable to evaluate agents that could target these cells. CD44 has been studied with phase I trials in head and neck cancer via an antibody drug conjugate, BIW1 [10]. There have also been several monoclonal antibodies designed to target CD44 in squamous cell cancers which could be extrapolated to adenocarcinomas [39]. CD44+ cells have been targeted in an intraperitoneal (IP) mouse model with cisplatin via a conjugate of hyaluronic acid and cisplatin which was then internalized more efficiently than CD44+ cells in ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780 and OV2008). Li and Howell [40] also demonstrated decreased growth in IP inoculated A2780 ovarian cancer cells treated with a hyaluronic acid-cisplatin conjugate when compared to free cisplatin. A hyaluronic acid-paclitaxel (HA-TXL) conjugate to target CD44+ cancer cells has also been studied in an IP mouse model with ovarian cancer cell lines (SKOV3ip1 or HeyA8) and showed significantly reduced tumor weights and nodules [41]. Similarly, CD133 has been targeted by IP administration of an anti-CD133 targeted toxin (dCD133KDEL), in an ovarian cancer cell line (NIH:OVCAR5-luc) in a mouse model, which resulted in significant decrease in progression of CD133 expressing tumors [42].

Nogueras et al [43] evaluated imatinib mesylate, a CD117 specific inhibitor, in low grade recurrent platinum resistant tumors of the ovary in a single site phase II trial. Thirteen patients were enrolled and 48% of those had c-kit positive tumors. Eleven patients were eligible for evaluation of response, and though well-tolerated, no antitumor activity was seen in these low-grade tumors [43]. An anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody, catumaxomab, was evaluated in a phase II/III trial in 258 patients with malignant ascites from epithelial cancer, half of which were ovarian carcinomas. When compared to paracentesis alone for treatment of ascites, addition of catumaxomab increased the median time to next paracentesis (11 d vs 77 d, P < 0.0001). Patients who received catumaxomab also had decreased signs and symptoms of ascites. The safety profile was acceptable [44]. Catumaxomab was evaluated in conjunction with steroid premedication (Catumaxomab Safety Phase IIIb Study with Intraperitoneal Infusion in Patients with Malignant Ascites Due to Epithelial Cancer) as well as in retreatment with IP therapy (SECIMAS), but results from these studies have not yet been posted (www.clinicaltrials.gov). It is also being evaluated in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy in a phase II trial [ENGOT-ov8] [45].

Another method of targeting CSCs is to target their signaling pathways, which include Notch, Wnt/β-catenin, TGF-β, and Hedgehog pathways. McAuliffe and colleagues demonstrated this concept with the Notch pathway and platinum resistant ovarian cancer [46]. In particular they looked at Notch3, and showed that it was overexpressed in ovarian CSCs and was correlated with increased platinum resistance. A pan Notch inhibitor, gamma-secretase inhibitor (GSI), when used in combination with cisplatin, had a synergistic cytotoxic effect, and led to decreased numbers of CSCs (12.8% side population cells in the control, 2.31% with Notch inhibitor alone, and 0.81% with GSI and cisplatin). A Notch ligand, Jagged 1, was targeted in taxane-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines by Steg et al [47]. They showed that targeting Jagged1 induced chemosensitivity to docetaxel in vitro and reduced tumor weights. They implicated the Hedgehog pathway in these experiments with Jagged1 by showing that rather than the chemoresistance being mediated by MDR1 as expected, it was GL12, a Hedgehog downstream marker, that was downregulated. Another study with Jagged1 found that inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway reduced its expression [48]. Wnt/β-catenin pathways have previously been demonstrated to produce self-renewal in ovarian cancer and appear to be a driving force behind ovarian cancer progression [49]. The Hedgehog signaling pathway has been implicated in the growth regulation of spheroid-forming cells in ovarian cancer. This was demonstrated by Ray et al [50] in four ovarian cancer cell lines (ES2, TOV112D, OV00, and SKOV3) where spheroid volume was increased up to 46-fold with Hedgehog agonists. Cyclopamine, a Hedgehog inhibitor, was used to prevent further growth of spheroid-forming cells in these cell lines and showed up to a 10-fold reduction in growth in ES2 cells [51]. Multiple groups are actively working to target these signaling pathways in hopes of altering ovarian cancer chemoresistance and recurrence.

**CONTROVERSIES**

Although there is growing evidence that ovarian CSCs are relevant, there are still many who debate the existence of these cells. At the forefront of this debate, remains the fact that a specific ovarian CSC marker has not been identified. None of the markers discovered are exclusively found in ovarian cancer cells. CD133 is recognized as the putative CSC marker for many human solid tumors, however, signaling pathways that regulate its behavior remain unknown [52]. Some studies presented in this review may be showing that CSCs are more “tumorigenic” based on ability of preferential or improved grafting. It will give much more credence to the argument if some of the pathways or markers being targeted show significant clinical results.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

If progression and development of chemoresistance is due to the ovarian CSCs, then specific therapy for CSCs
must be developed. In ovarian cancer, the use of monoclonal antibodies to many surface markers for CSCs has proven of some potential value. The most utilized monoclonal antibody, bevacizumab, an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agent, has been shown to improve progression-free survival in advanced ovarian cancer[2]. Recently, CSCs have been implicated in the hypoxic environment that bevacizumab creates, but this relationship has not yet been well defined[3]. In addition to those mentioned previously, the anti-CD44 antibody, A3D8 was shown to produce significant apoptosis and arrest of cell cycle in the S phase for the SKOV3 ovarian cancer cell line by Du et al[4] and may represent a therapeutic option. Patients taking metformin for diabetes have previously been reported to have improved survival and some groups postulate that this relationship is due to the downregulation of CSC growth. A phase II trial is currently underway to evaluate this relationship (NCT01579812) (www.clinicaltrials.gov). There are over 3000 results when searching for clinical trials related to CSCs on Clinicaltrials.gov. While the majority of these are not specific for ovarian cancer, many are for breast cancer or other solid tumors, which have traditionally led to findings applicable to ovarian cancer.

CONCLUSION

It appears that ovarian CSCs are involved in chemoresistance and likely contribute to an overall poor prognosis in ovarian cancer patients. Researchers continue to study the role of ovarian CSCs and develop targeting agents for specific identification and therapeutic treatment. Clinical trials are ongoing for agents targeting ovarian CSCs and data from these trials will be important to determine future research directions aimed at improving survival in women with ovarian cancer.
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