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Abstract: This research work was carried out, to find the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria. Four Local governments, namely: (Ife East, Ife South, Ife Central and Ife North), local government areas, in Nigeria were sampled. 2,800 open questionnaires were distributed to 4 Local Governments, out of which 700 was used for farmers in each local government. A total of 28 different locations, was visited in all the four Local Governments, out of which 100 questionnaires were used in each location. It was gathered that above 80% of the farmers from the four local governments, supported, the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, while below 20% of the farmers, could not even understand, whether there was any need for the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, or not. The results from the questionnaires when using Pearson two-tailed correlation coefficient, however revealed that there was a significant difference from all the farmers visited and sampled, (p > 0.01) and (p > 0.05) respectively, table 5. This shows a strong positive correlation, which implying that, the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, is strongly influenced and enhanced the farmers and support, and, had therefore, made this research work to become a reality, (p > 0.01) and (p > 0.05), table 5. The reasons may be due to the fact that, Maize (Zea Mays L), is not only served as the most productive grain crops in the middle and northern belts of Nigeria where sunshine is adaptable and rainfall is moderate; It however serves as a basic raw materials to thousands of industrial products that may includes: alcoholic, beverages, pharmaceutical, food sweeteners, food cereals, cosmetic and films, guns, package, textile, paper industries and so on. And also, as one of African’s dominant food crop, maize can be consumed in varied forms, such as: maize flour for confectionaries, semo (for swallow with soup), as corn beef, mill (for animals feeding), as roaster corn, it can be boiled or prepared as porridge. Bar chart was used to depict the summary data of each of the local government areas sampled in Ile-Ife Kingdom, of Nigeria.
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1. Introduction

Maize (Zea Mays L), commonly known as corn in the United States and Canada, is the most important cereal grain Worldwide after wheat and rice, [1]. It is also referred to as the cereal of the future, for its nutritional value and utilization of its products, [2]. It is the most productive grain crops in the middle and northern belts of Nigeria where sunshine is adaptable and rainfall is moderate. It is one of the oldest human cultivated crops. It had a tremendous variability in kernel color, textile, composition and appearance. It serves as a basic raw materials to thousands of industrial products that may includes: alcoholic, beverages, pharmaceutical, foods...
sweeteners, food cereals, cosmetic and films, gums, package, textile, paper industries and so on. As one of African’s dominant food crops, maize can be consumed in varied forms, such as: maize flour for confectionaries, semo (for swallow with soup), as corn beef, mill (for animals feeding), as roaster corn, it can be boiled or prepared as porridge. It is the most versatile emerging cash crops, having wider adaptability under varied climatic conditions and called the queen of cereals globally. In all parts of Africa, green (fresh) maize is boiled or roasted in its cob and served as snacks. Maize is often consumed as vegetable. In Nigeria, more than 60% of maize production is also consumed by industrial sector for the production of beer, malt drinks, maize flakes, starch, syrup, dextrose, and animal feed, because there is so much value in the industrial processing of maize, especially into animal feed. The crop is richer in vitamins A, and E, carbohydrates, essential minerals, and contain 9% protein. They are also rich in dietary D and calories which are a good source of energy, [3]. Maize or grain grows well in rich loamy or sandy loamy soil. Such soil has to be well drained area and suitable on a fairly flat landscape. It grows relatively well in a wider range of soil type than rice. Almost every part of Nigeria can grow maize in their soil. Since sunlight is very necessary and prosper to maize, Nigeria is a country blessed with abundant sunlight, therefore, maize can grow successfully in almost every state of the country.

1.1. Origin

The centre of its origin was believed to be traced to Mesoamerica region, as far back as 7000 years past, when it was grown as a wild grass called teosinte in the Mexican highlands, [4]. Botanically, maize (Zea Mays L) belongs to the grass family grammeaneae (Poaceae). It is an annual plant with an extensive fibrous root system. And it is a diploid species with a chromosome number of $2n = 2x = 20$[5].

1.2. Months and Periods of Maturity of Maize (Zea Mays L) in Nigeria

Maize matures very fast. Between 3-4 months after planting, this crop will be ready for harvest. In the Southern Nigeria, early maize is sown by March/April, for early maturing seed variety and by July/August for late maturing seed variety; while in the Northern Nigeria, early maize is sown by May/June. Harvesting of early maize is done by May and Late Maize is harvested by October. After three or four months of tending the maize plant, the farmers is ready to harvest.

1.3. Maize (Zea Mays L) Spoilage Before Harvest and Storage

In order to maintain high quality of maize for both short term and long term harvest and storage, maize should be protected from weather (including relative humidity and temperature), growth of microorganisms and insects, [6]. Fungal growth in maize is facilitated by hot and humid conditions, [7]. Drought, humidity, temperature, insects, infestation, and rough handling have been suggested as factors which contributed to the presence of fungi and subsequently toxins in agricultural products, [8].

Although, maize is commercially lucrative, there are some risks connected in growing it. This is because, maize is extremely sensitive to droughts, insects and pests. In Nigeria and other African countries, these may lead to crop’s failure and can resulted to famine, in some cases. Insect pests can seriously reduce plant implantation, plant population, plant growth and subsequent yield potential, and must be monitored prior to planting. Seed treatments helps deter food. Watering in dry weather prevents the hulls from loosening. Diseases and insects in maize farming like (Downy, mildew and leaf spot), if the affected plants is not removed, and sprayed with (mancozeb, 1 kg per hectare of land), 20 days after sowing, usually causes the maize to dry and die. Black earwigs is a
sporadic and potentially important pest of maize. Black earwigs eat newly sealed seed and the roots of crops resulting in a poor establishment. Birds are always problems to maize. They eat newly sealed seeds and destroy the entire root. Design a rough cloth on dry trees, like a stature of human being in all conspicuous areas inside the farm. (as wind blows, since they are birds, they ran away and never turn back). True wireworm larvae: causes damaged to the root or body of the seedlings. Then, crop growth will be delayed, by dying. False wireworm larvae: attacked the seed during the process of formation, including the shoots of young plants in the spring, resulting in uneven stands. Spray with (mancozeb, 1 kg per hectare of land), 20 days after sowing.

Figure 5. Maize (Zea Mays L), if harvested, need be stored under hot and humid conditions, most farmers lack proper knowledge, and equipment methods of drying grains. Exposure to moist and humid conditions during storage will cause the kernel to absorb water from the surroundings, [9].

In tropical and sub tropical countries, a large proportion of the grain, (such as maize) is harvested and stored under hot and humid conditions, and most farmers lack proper knowledge, equipment methods of drying grains, [10]. Subsequently, the maize is stored while still relatively moist and warmth and high moisture contents can result in rapid deterioration of the grain and promote the growth of microorganisms (e.g. fungi and bacteria), and insects in the grains, [1]. Maize, like other stored products is hygroscopic in nature and tends to absorb or release moisture. Even if properly dried, after harvest, exposure to moist and humid conditions during storage will cause the kernel to absorb water from the surroundings, [13]. Leading to increase in maize moisture content, which resulted in enhanced deterioration. The current estimates of the cost of grain loss due to insect and microorganisms, damage of grain stored in developing countries each year ranged from $500 million to $1 billion, [14]. Also reported that insects in grain enhanced mould development. Because they increase moisture content and temperature, and open areas of the grain for attack. Fungal growth in maize is facilitated by hot and humid conditions, [15].

2. Study Area

The study has been conducted in Nigeria. It is located in the West of Africa on the Gulf of guinea and has a total of 923,768 km² (356,669 square mile), making it the world’s 32nd-largest country (after Tanzania). It is comparable in size to Venezuela, and is about twice the size of California. It shares a 4,047 kilometers (2,515 square mile), border with Benin (773 km.), Niger (1497 km.), Chad (87 km.), Cameroon (1690 km.), and has a coastline of at least 853 km. [51]. Nigeria lies between latitude 4°and 14°N, and longitude 2°and 15°E (Encyclopedia Britannica). Nigeria is a densely populated country with the highest density of 477.0 /square mile. As at a (2012) estimate, the country held a population of more than 168.8 million people up from the 140 million recorded in her (2006) population census (World Bank Nigeria Data (2012)). Of this population, about 87 million people (52%) dwell in rural areas while 81 million dwell in urban areas (Trading Economics Rural Population Chart (2012)). The Male/Female ratio is 1:05, where male commands 51.21%, while females, 48.79%, [16].

Figure 6. The Study Area Map of Nigeria.
3. Material and Methods

The responses of the farmers in different locations of the four local government areas can be seen from the decision table as below:

Table 1. The Decision Table.

| Sub                                                                 | Above 80% | Below 20% | Open headed Questionnaires |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| The potential importance of maize, in Nigeria                        | X         | X         |                           |
| I do not know                                                        |           |           |                           |
| State open questionnaires                                           |           |           |                           |

The below are the points ticked by the majority of the people (above 80% table 1) from the questionnaires who understood and supported, the usefulness of maize before harvest and storage in Nigeria:

- Maize may prevent diabetes and hypertension. [a].
- Maize has anti-cancer properties. [b].
- It is the most productive grain crops in the middle and northern belts of Nigeria where sunshine is adaptable and rainfall is moderate. [c].
- The crop is richer in vitamins A, and E, carbohydrates, essential minerals, and contain 9% protein. [e].
- In all parts of Africa, green (fresh) maize is boiled or roasted in its cob and served as snacks. [f].
- Maize helps in preventing skin problems. [g].
- Maize is often consumed as vegetable. [h].

As a basic raw materials to thousands of industrial products that may includes: alcoholic, beverages, pharmaceutical, food sweeteners, food cereals, cosmetic and films, gums, package, textile, paper industries and so on. [i].

Maize helps in improving vision. [j].

Maize is beneficial for the heart. [o].

In tropical and sub tropical countries, a large proportion of the grain, (such as maize) is harvested and stored under hot and humid conditions, and most farmers lack proper knowledge, equipment methods of drying grains. [p].

Insect pests can seriously reduce plant implantation, plant population, plant growth and subsequent yield potential, and must be monitored prior to planting. [q].

Birds are always problems to maize. They eat newly sealed seeds and destroy the entire root. To control them, design a rough cloth on dry trees, like a stature of human being in all conspicuous areas inside the farm. (as wind blows, since they are birds, they ran away and never turn back). [r].

Black earwigs is a sporadic and potentially important pest of maize. Black earwigs eat newly sealed seed and the roots of crops resulting in a poor establishment. [s].

Maize is rich in dietary D and calories which are a good source of energy.

4. Results and Discussion

Questionnaires were distributed to 4 Local government areas that is, (Ife East, Ife South, Ife Central and Ife North). The results from the questionnaires however revealed, the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, are manifold:

There was a significant difference on the people in all the local government areas visited, (p>0.01), and (p>0.05) respectively.

Table 2. People’s respondent.

| Wards            | Ife East | Ife South | Ife Central | Ife North |
|------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Farmer’s Response | 502, 71.7% | 198, 28.3% | 506, 72.3% | 194, 27.7% |
| The potential importance of maize, in Nigeria | 493, 70.4% | 207, 29.6% | 492, 70.3% | 208, 29.7% |

From the above table 2, in Ife East, there are 502 people’s response with 71.7%, Ife South, 506 with 72.3%, Ife Central, 493 with 70.4%, and Ife North, 492 with 70.3%, were those Farmers who supported, the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, while in Ife East, 198 with 28.3%, Ife South, 194 with 27.7%, Ife Central, 207 with 29.6%, and Ife North, 208 with...
29.7% respectively, could not even know whether, there is any need, for the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, or not.

Table 3. The different locations as (A, B, C, D, E, F, and G) and the local government areas as (IFE EAST, IFE SOUTH, IFE CENTRAL, AND IFE NORTH respectively). And the summary data collected, from the 4 Local Governments sampled, out of which 700 were used in each local government.

| Local government | Location | Ife east | Ife south | Ife central | Ife north |
|------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| A                |          | 76       | 24        | 78          | 22        | 70        | 30        | 72        | 28        |
| B                |          | 63       | 37        | 67          | 33        | 64        | 36        | 64        | 36        |
| C                |          | 71       | 29        | 68          | 32        | 75        | 25        | 68        | 32        |
| D                |          | 77       | 23        | 72          | 28        | 73        | 27        | 79        | 21        |
| E                |          | 76       | 24        | 70          | 30        | 68        | 32        | 67        | 33        |
| F                |          | 74       | 26        | 75          | 25        | 67        | 33        | 68        | 32        |
| G                |          | 65       | 35        | 76          | 24        | 76        | 24        | 74        | 26        |
| TOTAL =          |          |          |           |             |           |           |           |           |           |
|                  |          | 7        | 502       | 198         | 506       | 194       | 493       | 207       | 492       | 208       |
| Grand Total =    |          | 7        | 700       | 700         | 700       | 700       |           |           |           |

Table 4. The descriptive statistics.

```
/VARIABLES=IFEAST IFESOUTH IFECENTRAL IFENORTH
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
```

Descriptive Statistics

|             | Mean     | Std. Deviation | N  |
|-------------|----------|----------------|----|
| IFEAST      | 71.7143  | 5.64843        | 7  |
| IFESOUTH    | 72.2857  | 4.19183        | 7  |
| IFECENTRAL  | 70.4286  | 4.42934        | 7  |
| IFENORTH    | 70.2857  | 5.05682        | 7  |

Correlations

Table 5. The Pearson Correlation of the 4 local governments.

```
Correlations

|             | IFEAST | IFESOUTH | IFECENTRAL | IFENORTH |
|-------------|--------|----------|------------|----------|
| IFEAST      |        |          |            |          |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .307  | .503     | .059       | .383     |
| N            | 7      | 7        | 7          | 7        |
| IFESOUTH     |        |          |            |          |
| Pearson Correlation | .307  | 1        | .253       | .514     |
| N            | 7      | 7        | 7          | 7        |
| IFECENTRAL   |        |          |            |          |
| Pearson Correlation | .059  | .253     | 1          | .656     |
| N            | 7      | 7        | 7          | 7        |
| IFENORTH     |        |          |            |          |
| Pearson Correlation | .397  | .237     | .110       | 1        |
| N            | 7      | 7        | 7          | 7        |
```

Table 6. The Statistics, i.e., valid missing, std. Error of mean, mean, mode, median, variance, skewness, std. Deviation, sum, calculated group data, percentiles, minimum and maximum, etc., of the 4 local government areas.

```
FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=IFEAST IFESOUTH IFECENTRAL IFENORTH
/NTILES=4
/NTILES=10
/STATISTICS=STDDEV VARIANCE RANGE MINIMUM MAXIMUM SEMEAN MEAN MEDIAN MODE SUM SKEWNESS SESKEW KURTOSIS SEXKURT
/GROUPED=IFEAST IFESOUTH IFECENTRAL IFENORTH
/ORDER=ANALYSIS.
```

Statistics

|             | IFEAST   | IFESOUTH | IFECENTRAL | IFENORTH |
|-------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| N           |          |          |            |          |
| Mean        | 71.7143  | 72.2857  | 70.4286    | 70.2857  |
| Std. Error of Mean | 2.13491 | 1.58436  | 1.67413    | 1.91130  |
| Median      | 74.0000* | 72.0000* | 70.0000*   | 69.3333* |
| Mode        | 76.00    | 67.00*   | 64.00      | 68.00    |
| Std. Deviation | 5.64843 | 4.19183  | 4.42934    | 5.05682  |
| Variance    | 31.905   | 17.571   | 19.619     | 25.571   |
### Statistics

|                  | IFEEAST | IFESOUTH | IFECENTRAL | IFENORTH |
|------------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|
| Skewness         | -.839   | .062     | -.094      | .740     |
| Std. Error of Skewness | 1.587   | 1.587    | 1.587      | 1.587    |
| Kurtosis         | -1.145  | -1.688   | -1.369     | .094     |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | 1.587   | 1.587    | 1.587      | 1.587    |
| Range            | 14.00   | 11.00    | 12.00      | 15.00    |
| Minimum          | 63.00   | 67.00    | 64.00      | 64.00    |
| Maximum          | 77.00   | 78.00    | 76.00      | 79.00    |
| Sum              | 502.00  | 506.00   | 493.00     | 492.00   |
| Percentiles      |         |          |            |          |
| 10               | 63.4000\(^{a}\) | 67.2000\(^{b}\) | 64.6000\(^{b}\) | 64.6000\(^{b}\) |
| 20               | 64.8000 | 67.9000  | 66.7000    | 66.7000  |
| 25               | 66.5000 | 68.5000  | 67.2500    | 67.1667  |
| 30               | 68.6000 | 69.2000  | 67.6000    | 67.4000  |
| 40               | 71.9000 | 70.6000  | 68.6000    | 67.8667  |
| 50               | 74.0000 | 72.0000  | 70.0000    | 69.3333  |
| 60               | 74.9333 | 74.1000  | 72.1000    | 71.2000  |
| 70               | 75.8667 | 75.4000  | 73.8000    | 72.8000  |
| 75               | 76.1667 | 75.7500  | 74.5000    | 73.5000  |
| 80               | 76.4000 | 76.2000  | 75.1000    | 74.5000  |
| 90               | 76.8667 | 77.6000  | 75.8000    | 78.0000  |

a. Calculated from grouped data.
b. Percentiles are calculated from grouped data.
c. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

### Frequency Table

The frequency tables, valid and cumulative percentage for (Ife East, Ife South, Ife Central and Ife North).

#### Table 7. Frequency Table, valid and cumulative percentage for Ife East.

|       | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | 63.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|       | 65.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 28.6               |
|       | 71.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 42.9               |
|       | 74.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 57.1               |
|       | 76.00     | 2       | 28.6          | 85.7               |
|       | 77.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 100.0              |
| Total | 7         | 100.0   | 100.0         |                    |

#### Table 8. Frequency Table, valid and cumulative percentage for Ife South.

|       | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | 67.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|       | 68.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 28.6               |
|       | 70.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 42.9               |
|       | 72.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 57.1               |
|       | 75.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 71.4               |
|       | 76.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 85.7               |
|       | 78.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 100.0              |
| Total | 7         | 100.0   | 100.0         |                    |

#### Table 9. Frequency Table, valid and cumulative percentage for Ife Central.

|       | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | 64.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|       | 67.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 28.6               |
|       | 68.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 42.9               |
|       | 70.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 57.1               |
|       | 73.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 71.4               |
|       | 75.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 85.7               |
|       | 76.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 100.0              |
| Total | 7         | 100.0   | 100.0         |                    |
Table 10. Frequency Table, valid and cumulative percentage of Ife North.

| IFENORTH | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
|          | 64.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
| Valid    | 67.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|          | 68.00     | 2       | 28.6          | 28.6               |
|          | 72.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|          | 74.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
|          | 79.00     | 1       | 14.3          | 14.3               |
| Total    | 7         |         | 100.0         | 100.0              |

Figures 8, 9, 10, and 11. The Bar Charts, for (Ife East, Ife South, Ife Central and Ife North).

Bar Chart

![Bar Chart of Ife East](image)

**Figure 8. The Bar Chart of Ife East.**

The vertical coordinates represent the frequency (that is, the rate of observations or occurrences), from the data analysis while the horizontal coordinates represent the range from the valid data in Table 7, (that is), based on 1 unit interval as:[63.00, 65.00, 71.00, 74.00, 76.00, and 77.00], respectively.

![Bar Chart of Ife South](image)

**Figure 9. The Bar Chart of Ife South.**

The vertical coordinates represent the frequency (that is, the rate of observations or occurrences) from the data analysis while the horizontal coordinates represent the range from the valid data in Table 8, (that is), based on 1 unit interval as:[67.00,
68.00, 70.00, 72.00, 75.00, 76.00, and 78.00], respectively.

![Figure 10. The Bar Chart of Ife Central.](image)

The vertical coordinates represent the frequency (that is, the rate of observations or occurrences) from the data analysis while the horizontal coordinates represent the range from the valid data in Table 9, (that is), based on 1 unit interval as:[64.00, 67.00, 68.00, 70.00, 73.00, 75.00, and 76.00], respectively.

![Figure 11. The Bar Chart of Ife North.](image)

The vertical coordinates represent the frequency (that is, the rate of observations or occurrences) from the data analysis while the horizontal coordinates represent the range from the valid data in Table 10, (that is), based on 1 unit interval as:[64.00, 67.00, 68.00, 72.00, 74.00 and 79.00], respectively.

5. Recommendations

1. Nigerian Government should encourage Agriculture, of maize plantation, both at the secondary level to higher Institution’s level, since food is health, and health is wealth.
2. Adult awareness training on maize should be made possible and effective to all farmers, in all the local governments, in both the rural and urban areas of Nigeria, to make them become suitable for maize plantation, so as to reduced, maize spoilage.
3. Workshop at different intervals should be organized in Ile-Ife Kingdom, to farmers, so as to educate them in the uses of current farmer’s equipments, to increase their maize output production.
4. Some of the rural
areas roads in Osun State, Nigeria, are bad. Government should provide good roads to farmers, to enable ease carriage of their maize crops harvest to save the cost of transportation of carriage, to urban areas. 5. Government should provide electricity in rural areas for Farmers, to enable them, stay longer in their farm for maximum increase in their maize harvest Production. 6. Government should encourage farmers by providing and bringing agricultural equipments like cutlasses, sprayers, hoes, etc., and farm products like herbicides, fertilizers, insecticides, etc., closer to them, so as to reduce the cost of maize harvest to the buyers. 7. Government should erect large storage at intervals for farmers in order to encourage them to keep their agricultural products to minimize frequent maize spoilage.

6. Conclusion

The following conclusions are made based on the findings of this study. Since maize is one of Africa’s dominant food crops, that can be consumed in varied forms, such as: maize flour for confectionaries, semo (for swallow with soup), as corn beef, mill (for animals feeding), as roasted corn, to be boiled or prepared as porridge; also in Nigeria, more than 60% of maize production, is consumed by industrial sector for the production of beer, malt drinks, maize flakes, starch, syrup, dextrose, and animal feed, because there is so much value in the industrial processing of maize, especially into animal feed; the results of this study provide the empirical evidence that, the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, has enhanced the farmers and people’s achievement in Nigeria. The farmers and the people of Nigeria, therefore should make use of the potential importance of maize, in Nigeria, to argument their, business techniques, coupled, with decision aids, in order to attain minimum goal needed for everybody in Nigeria, and Diaspora at large.
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