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Abstract

*Plasmodium falciparum* virulence has been ascribed to its ability to sequester in deep vascular beds, mediated by the variant surface antigen family PfEMP1 binding endothelial receptors like ICAM-1. We previously observed that naturally-acquired antibodies that block a PfEMP1 domain, DBL2β of PF11_0521 allele, from binding to the human ICAM1 receptor, reduce the risk of malaria hospitalization in children. Here, we find that DBL2β<sub>PF11_0521</sub> binds ICAM-1 in the low nM range and relate the structure of this domain with its function and immunogenicity. We demonstrate that the interaction with ICAM-1 is not impaired by point mutations in the N-terminal subdomain or in the flexible Loop 4 of DBL2β<sub>PF11_0521</sub>, although both substructures were previously implicated in binding ICAM-1. These data will help to refine the existing model of DBLβ:ICAM-1 interactions. Antibodies raised against full-length DBL2β<sub>PF11_0521</sub>, but not truncated forms lacking the N terminal fragment, block its interaction with ICAM-1. Our data suggest that full length domain is optimal for displaying functional epitopes and has a broad surface of interaction with ICAM-1 that is not disrupted by individual amino acid substitutions at putative key residues. This information might be important for the future design of anti-malarial vaccines based on PfEMP1 antigens.

Introduction

Cytoadherence plays an important role in the lifecycle and virulence of *P. falciparum*, the deadliest of human malaria parasites. The sequestered mass of adherent parasites leads to vascular occlusion that strongly correlates with disease severity [1] and inflammation in different organs. The main parasite ligand for infected erythrocyte (IE) adhesion is a family (~60 members) of PfEMP1 proteins [2–4] that appear on the surface of IE in specialized structures called “knobs” [5]. Cytoadhesion of IE is a cause or feature of cerebral malaria (CM) [6–8] and of pregnancy malaria (PM) [9].

Each PfEMP1 protein consists of single intracellular and transmembrane domains, and several extracellular domains (2 to 7 domains, ~30–45 kDa per domain) heavily cross-linked by disulfide bonds. All PfEMP1 domains have been classified into several sub-classes: Duffy binding like (DBL) α, β (previously called βC2, a combination of the β and C2 domains), γ, δ, ε, κ, and X; and cysteine-rich interdomain regions (CIDR) α, β, and γ [4,10,11]. DBL domains all have a similar scaffold [12–15]; the C-terminal part of the CIDR scaffold is similar to the C-terminal part of the DBL domain [16]. These domains are responsible for adhesion to various host receptors (reviewed in [17]). The host receptor binding specificity and combination of individual domains in the expressed PfEMP1 proteins may determine final IE adhesion specificity, strength of binding, and possibly, different pathologies related to malaria syndromes [18].

Various refinements of domain classification and of domain boundaries resulted from recent analyses of PfEMP1 sequences from 7 parasite genomes [19]. For example, in the previous terminology DBLβ and C2 were considered as separate domains that are found always together as a DBLβC2 combination. However, domain C2 is essentially a part of the same β domain [20,21]. Therefore, DBLβ is a more correct term for this class of domains [19] which we will use henceforth.

Though its role remains inconclusive, ICAM-1 has been proposed as the key host adhesion receptor for iRBC causing CM [7,8]. In support of this, parasites isolated from patients with CM bind at higher levels to ICAM-1 [22]. Domains of the DBLβ class have been implicated as ligands for ICAM-1 [10], although most DBLβ domains do not bind this host receptor. For example, only 6/24 DBLβ domains from the IT4 genome bound to ICAM1 in vitro [20]. In our previous work, only 1/18 DBLβ domain from the 3D7 genome, specifically the DBLβ domain of PF11_0521 allele, showed strong avidity binding to ICAM-1 [21]. We also recently observed that antibodies to DBL2β<sub>PF11_0521</sub> predict
reduced risk of hospitalization for malaria among Tanzanian children [23]. Therefore, understanding the molecular details of the interaction between ICAM-1 receptor and the DBL2β domains, and the structural features leading to a functional immune response against these domains might guide the design of anti-severe malaria vaccines and drugs.

Interactions between ICAM-1 and the DBL2β domain were previously modeled in silico, based on several lines of evidence, such as the key role of a single amino acid residue in loop 4 of the DBL2β domain [24]. We have subsequently shown [21] that DBL2β domains are about 70 amino acid residues longer than previously assumed [10], and that this extra-N-terminal fragment (not included in the in silico model) is important for functional activity [21]. Here, we use site-specific mutagenesis of residues in putative key sub-structures of the DBL2βPF11_0521 domain to assess their roles in binding ICAM-1. We also examined the functional anti-adhesion activity of antibodies raised against truncated and full-length variants of DBL2βPF11_0521 to better understand the requirements for PfEMP1 domain-based vaccines that might prevent iRBC adhesion and thus severe malaria.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

Animal ethics adhered to specific national and international guidelines. Animal use protocols “Antibodies Inc. Protocol (Off-site)” and “Liver Stage Vaccines” meet the standards of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (by National Academy of Sciences) and applicable Seattle Biomedical Research Institute (Seattle BioMed) policies and procedures. Seattle BioMed has an Animal Welfare Assurance (A36640-01) on file with the NIH Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare. Protocols #AO-06-ABP and #AO-02 have been approved by Seattle BioMed IACUC committee. All animals used in the experiments were observed on a daily routine for presence or absence of distress and/or signs of illness, timely veterinary care was provided as needed. Euthanasia method via exsanguination by cardiac puncture under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia was used.

DBL2βPF11_0521 constructs

Cloning of the full-length DBL2βPF11_0521 domain and its expression in COS-7 cells as a surface-expressed molecule was described in [21]. Figure 1 schematically shows full-length DBL2βPF11_0521 domain expressed in COS-7 cells and several domain structural features, as well as constructs (expressed in E. coli) used in this work. The nucleotide and corresponding protein sequences for this domain, the various truncation and point-mutation constructs, as well as names of relevant cloning vectors are all shown in Figure S1. The following amino acid (AA) substitutions were made using Quick Change mutagenesis [25] with Phusion turbo polymerase (Stratagene) in the full-length domain that was cloned into pHisAdEx vector [21] for expression in COS-7 cells: A25K; R23A+A25K; A347L; A347H; A347Y.

Full-length DBL2β domain (AA 1 through 520 corresponding to AA 729–1247 in the sequence of full-length PF11_0521 PfEMP1 protein, starting from its initiator methionine) and its truncated fragments AA 91–391 ("short"), AA 267–391 ("3-helix"), and the N-terminal sub-domain (N-terminal fragment, NTF, AA 1–69) were cloned into the pET28b vector, expressed in E. coli, purified by Ni-column followed by Reverse Phase HPLC and refolded as described earlier [26]. These proteins were assessed for binding to ICAM-1 and used for preparation of antibodies.

We expressed HisAdEx [21] without additional insert in COS-7, and AMA-1 [27] in E. coli using pET20b with subsequent purification and re-folding by the same methods described above for full-length DBL2βPF11_0521 domain.

DBL2βPF11_0521 and ICAM-1 binding and binding-inhibition assays

We performed binding and binding-inhibition studies as previously described, using BioPlex (BioRad) approach, COS-7 expressed proteins, and ICAM-1-Fc chimera (R&D Systems) [21]. All measurements were made in duplicate. In each experiment, the amount of recombinant domain immobilized on the beads was measured by reactivity with antibodies to full length E.coli-expressed/refolded domain (see below), and this amount then used to normalize ICAM-1-binding levels [27]. E. coli-expressed and purified domains were immobilized on carboxy-modified polystyrene beads (BioRad) using the manufacturer-supplied protocol and assayed in the same fashion as bead-immobilized COS-7-expressed proteins. COS7-expressed GFP-fused proteins were immobilized on the beads through capture by anti-GFP antibodies previously immobilized by chemical cross-linking [21] and and E. coli-expressed domains through direct random cross-linking. For comparison of specific binding activity of E. coli and COS-7 expressed proteins, the amount of protein bound to the beads was measured by reactivity with anti-full length DBL2βPF11_0521 domain sera (rat sera to E.coli-expressed domain) as described below.

Preparation of antibodies against domain variants

Purified and refolded E. coli-expressed domain variants were used for preparation of antibodies in rats at Antibodies, Inc. (Davis, CA) using their standard schedule protocol and 10 μg of antigen per injection, and in mice at Seattle BioMed as described earlier [26]. DNA immunization using full-length domain cloned into pHisAdEx was performed at the Seattle BioMed animal facility as follows: female Balb/c mice aged 6–12 weeks received 4 immunizations (~50 μg DNA) at 3-week intervals intramuscularly. Terminal bleeds were collected 10–14 days after the final immunization. Animal work was described in protocols listed in Ethical Statement above and has been approved by IACUC at Seattle BioMed.

Reactivity of anti-domain antibodies with various DBLβPF11_0521 domain constructs

A range of dilutions of anti-sera raised against various domain constructs was incubated with different bead-immobilized domain constructs for 1 hour at room temperature (RT). Pooled pre-immune sera and anti-sera from animals raised against control proteins (HisAdEx or AMA1) were used as negative controls. We used pooled sera from all animals immunized with the same antigen. Beads were washed 3 times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS)-0.05% Tween-20 (PBST), incubated with goat anti-species IgG coupled to PE (Jackson Immunoresearch, dilutions: 1:5000 anti-rat, 1:1000 or 1:500 anti-mouse) for 1 hour at RT, washed 3 times in PBST and 1 time with PBS, and finally re-suspended in 125 μl of PBS. Signals were measured on BioPlex 200 (BioRad) as described in our publications [21,23].

Determination of avidity constant for binding of DBL2βPF11_0521 and ICAM1

We used full-length DBL2β domain expressed in both systems (COS-7 and E. coli) and immobilized on BioPlex beads (as described above) for these measurements. E. coli-expressed protein
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Results and Discussion

A model of DBL2β::ICAM-1 interactions suggested by Bertonati and Tramontano [24] was obtained by computational docking of the X-ray resolved 3-D structure of ICAM-1 N-terminal domain and the predicted 3-D structure of PfEMP1 DBL2β domain, in turn modeled using the available X-ray resolved 3-D structure of the homologous F1 domain in EBA 175 malarial protein [13,30]. This model explains or is in agreement with several experimental observations regarding PfEMP1 domain::ICAM-1 interactions (discussed in [24]) and may serve as a basis for design of various biochemical experiments for further characterization of DBL2β::ICAM-1 domain interactions.

However, the Bertonati-Tramontano model has limitations, and several observations cannot be explained by this model. For example, the Y-motif in the C2 part of the domain (Figure 1 and Figure S2A) was shown to be critical for ICAM-1 binding [31], and chimeric constructs indicate interdependence between the C2 and the upstream components of the domain [31]. Despite these experimental data, the Y motif of C2 is distant from the ICAM-1 interaction site in the model of Bertonati and Tramontano, and does not make contacts with ICAM-1, nor substantial contacts with the rest of the DBLβ domain (Figure S2A). In addition, a completely conserved Tyr-Ala-Phe (YAF) motif located in the C2 part of the DBLβ domain (Figure 1) is not incorporated in this model. The importance of the C-terminal third of the DBLβ domain from another PfEMP1 protein, PFD1235w, for ICAM-1 binding was confirmed recently [32], but the implicated part (~180 AA) includes sequences that are involved in the interactions according to the model as well as those that are distant.

Different parasite strains [21,24,31] consistently have A, L, or H residues in position 286 within flexible loop 4 (AA number according to [24]), in our full-length domain this is number 347 of ICAM-1 binding parasites, while non-binding strains contain E, K, Q, W, S, Y as well as A, L, and H. E and K are the most common residues in this position. The Bertonati-Tramontano model predicts that several residues including AA286 in the DBLβ::ICAM-1 interactions. However, in silico substitutions of amino acid residue 286 in the DBLβ::ICAM-1 model make close contact with ICAM-1 domain (Figure S2). Therefore, we tested in vitro the effect of substitutions of amino acid residue 286 on DBL2β::ICAM-1 interactions.

Finally, we have shown [21] that the previously defined DBLβ domain [10] used for modeling [24] was missing an additional N-terminal subdomain. This has subsequently been confirmed in analyses of seven P. falciparum genomes [19]. Moreover, for the 3D7 DBL2β [PF11_0521] domain, which strongly and specifically binds ICAM-1, we reported that the N-terminal subdomain contributes to ICAM-1 binding activity [21]. Therefore, we also...
made point mutations in a conserved alpha-helix (Figure S3) predicted by consensus secondary-structure analysis [29] to be a prominent structural element in this N-terminal subdomain [21]. As we wanted to preserve the structure of this alpha-helix but disturb possible interactions, we made substitutions that significantly changed the physical-chemical nature of the amino acid residues but did not affect the formation of this alpha-helix. Secondary structure analysis of our point mutation sequence variants according to Deleage et al. [29] predicts preservation of this alpha-helix.

As expected for two proteins with substantial surface area contacts, none of the single point mutations in the DBLβ domain diminished binding interactions with ICAM-1 (Figure 2), presumably because they did not disrupt overall folding of the protein. Preservation of overall folding would be expected for the substitutions made in flexible loops. Similar results were obtained in previous work in which Alanine replacements of at least 3 residues (different from those reported here) were required to reduce binding interactions [20]. In our mutation experiments, the substitution of a natural Ala286 residue in Loop 4 (number 347 in our full-length construct) to any of the tested residues did not reduce interactions. Moreover, substitution with Tyr, the residue that occurs only in ICAM-1 non-binding variants, yielded an even stronger interaction with ICAM-1, in agreement with our in silico modeling of Tyr substitution (above) that predicts an additional intra-molecular hydrogen bond (between Tyr 186 and Arg113 of the domain) that may stabilize the complex (Figure S2 B and C). However, this agreement with the Bertoni and Tramontano model does not explain the observation that only A, L, and rarely H residues appear at this position in ICAM-1 binding DBLβ domain variants. More DBLβ domain variants should be tested in order to strengthen or refute the association of A, L and H residues at this position, with binding to ICAM-1.

Similar to the Loop 4 findings, substitutions in the N-terminal subdomain alpha-helix did not affect ICAM-1 binding (Figure 2). Thus, these amino acid residues are not crucial for interactions with ICAM-1. These data further support the notion that the two molecules have substantial surface interactions that are not affected significantly by point mutations that preserve overall structure.

We have expressed four structural variants of DBLβPF11_0521 domain (Figures 1 and S1) in E. coli, then purified and refolded (at least made soluble) these: the full length domain (sequence as described in [21]); a truncated construct designed according to the minimal binding fragment (MBF) [31] and called ‘short’; a construct called ‘3-helix’ that comprises the three helices involved in forming Loop 4 (Figure S2), which interacts with ICAM-1 according to the model [24]; and N-terminal subdomain (also called here as N-terminal fragment, NTF). Of note, the proposed MBF does not bind ICAM-1 [31]; although a series of sequential DBLβdomain truncations performed independently at the N- and C-termini suggested this fragment to be the MBF, it is not functional as a single construct.

In accordance with our previous results using COS-expressed truncated domains [21], the ‘short’ and ‘3-helix’ DBLβPF11_0521 domain variants did not bind ICAM-1 (data not shown), most likely due to incorrect folding of these truncated domain fragments (see below). Refolded full-length recombinant domain strongly bound the ICAM-1 receptor (Figure 3), though the specific activity (ICAM-1 binding per amount of domain immobilized on the bead as measured using antibody to E. coli-expressed full length domain, described below) was ~3-fold lower than that seen with COS-7-expressed protein. This indicates that only a fraction of the E. coli preparation (~33%) is refolded correctly to the active conformation or is sufficiently stable for binding on the beads. Random immobilization (of the E. coli-expressed protein) may inactivate some number of molecules by their unfavorable cross-linking on the surface of the beads. This may contribute to the inactive pool of bead-immobilized domain molecules and indicates that at least 33% of the refolded soluble preparation was active before cross-linking. Directed immobilization (of the COS-expressed domain) in this respect is preferable over the random immobilization.

Binding between the DBLβPF11_0521 domain and ICAM-1 receptor is strong, with a calculated equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) in the low nM range (2.3–2.6 nM; 95% CI = 1.3–4.4 nM) (Figure S4). The high avidity receptor binding is in accordance with the notion that parasite cytoadherence may continue for many hours after killing parasites with conventional drugs, contributing to pathophysiology of severe disease [33], and with the presumptive role for ICAM-1 receptor in severe malaria [10,22]. The strong avidity constant for ICAM-1 binding also supports previous results on the important role for ICAM-1 receptor in tight binding of infected erythrocytes to endothelial cells under flow [34]. High avidity binding can also explain why naturally acquired antibodies fail to reverse this interaction [21,35] even though they efficiently block it [21]. Most adults but not children living in malaria endemic regions have acquired antibodies that block binding to ICAM1 [21,23], and these might protect against severe malaria by limiting new parasites from sequestering, even though binding is difficult to reverse once adhesion has occurred.

We have previously demonstrated that antibodies against DBLβPF11_0521 domain are common in malaria-immune adults [21], appear early in life, and are associated with protection against severe disease in young children [29]. Therefore, we were interested to determine whether antibodies raised against the recombinant DBLβPF11_0521 domain would affect binding of this domain to ICAM-1, and as well to determine the structure and size of the domain needed to re-create functional epitopes. All four E. coli-expressed DBLβPF11_0521 domain constructs (full-length, ‘short’, ‘3-helix’, and NTF) were used for immunizations of rodents, as was plasmid DNA pHisAdEx-DBLβPF11_0521 containing full length domain. This latter construct was previously used for production of functionally active protein in COS-7 cells.
Mouse anti-full-length domain antibodies prepared by DNA immunization recognized both *E. coli* and COS-7 expressed full-length domains (Figure 4A), with similar endpoint titers down to 1:64,000. The level of reactivity was higher for the beads displaying the *E. coli*-expressed domain because more protein bound to these beads, compared to beads displaying COS-7 expressed protein (as was found using antibodies raised against *E. coli*-expressed domain, see below). Antisera collected after DNA immunization failed to react to the “short” *E. coli* expressed truncated domain, which represents a substantial portion of the full-length protein but, as we suggested, is mis- or un-folded. We conclude that intramuscular DNA immunization yielded correctly folded domain on the surface of the host cells and induced antibodies that predominantly recognize surface-exposed structural epitopes of this correctly folded form, whereas antibodies raised against *E. coli*-expressed protein (see below) recognize epitopes of both folded and unfolded protein and might therefore reflect total protein in a preparation rather than solely the binding form of protein. Because antibodies raised by DNA immunization recognize only the folded form of the domain, they cannot be used to determine the amount of total protein immobilized on the beads.

Rat antisera against *E. coli* expressed/refolded full-length domain recognized both *E. coli* expressed/refolded full length domain and COS-7 expressed domain (Figure 4B), with titers down to 1:4,096,000. These antisera also strongly reacted with inactive “short” mis/unfolded domain (Figure 4B), presumably because a fraction of the *E. coli* product used for immunization included mis- or un-folded protein. Using these antisera, we quantified the amount of protein on the BioPlex beads, and then estimated the specific (normalized) binding activity of mutant variants, and compared specific ICAM-1 binding activity of *E. coli* expressed/refolded and COS-7 expressed protein (above).

Figure 3. *E. coli* expressed/refolded PF11_0521 DBL2 domain binds ICAM-1 but has ~3 times lower specific activity than COS-7 expressed domain. Amount of protein on beads was measured by reactivity of rat plasma IgG raised against *E. coli*-expressed full-length DBL2 domain (in arbitrary units, AU). Error bars represent Standard Deviations. Dilution used (1:32000) is in the linear range of titration curve for these antibodies. Specific activity = AU(ICAM-1 binding)/AU(IgG reactivity).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061323.g003
Rat anti-“short” antibodies strongly and comparably recognized full-length and “short” E. coli expressed domains but recognition of COS-7 expressed full-length domain was significantly weaker (~12 times less reactivity normalized to the amount of protein on beads) (Figure 4C, top), again indicating that the majority of the COS-7 expressed protein is folded and that cryptic or linear epitopes, the main targets of “short” domain antigen, are not accessible. However, the recognition is clearly detectable using a lower dilution of secondary antibodies (exemplified in Figure 4C, bottom, using antibodies raised in mice), indicating that these antibodies either recognize some small number of epitopes (most likely linear) in the full-length domain, or the recognition is of low affinity, or the COS-7 expressed preparation also contains some small amount of mis- or un-folded protein (or a combination of all three). These antibodies did not inhibit binding of the COS-expressed domain to ICAM-1 (Figure 5A). Similar behavior was observed for antibodies prepared in rats and mice. Mouse anti-“3-helix” antibodies behaved similarly and did not inhibit ICAM-1 binding (Figure 5A) but had slightly higher reactivity than anti-“short” antibodies (Figure 4C, bottom). Finally, rat anti-NTF antibodies behaved the same way as antibodies to both N-terminally truncated fragments (“short” and “3-helix”): they strongly recognized E. coli-expressed NTF and full-length domain but poorly recognized COS-expressed full-length domain and did not inhibit ICAM-1 binding (data not shown).

Antibodies raised against full-length domain (using DNA immunization or immunization with refolded E. coli expressed protein) were functionally active as they can completely inhibit ICAM-1 binding to COS-expressed DBL2β domain (Figure 5B). The titer of the antibodies obtained by DNA immunization was significantly lower than that obtained by protein immunization; the inhibitory activity was proportionally lower.

In summary, our results indicate that the prevailing model of DBL2β::ICAM-1 domain interactions [24] is incomplete and may require further refinement to which our data will contribute. We cannot exclude though the possibility that variant DBLβ domains may interact differently with the ICAM-1 receptor and future studies on structure-functional relationships for other ICAM1-binding PfEMP1 domains (including experimental crystallographic data) will contribute to a better understanding of the precise molecular mechanisms of interactions. In our studies the Ala286Tyr (numbering according to [24]) amino acid residue substitution that has currently been found only in ICAM-1 non-binding domains does not reduce binding of DBL2βPF11_0521 domain. Point mutations in a predicted conserved α-helix located in the N-terminal sub-domain were also neutral. Our experiments with anti-DBL2βPF11_0521 domain antibodies (summary shown in Table S1) demonstrate that only antibodies to the full-length domain, but not to truncated fragments missing the N-terminal sub-domain or to N-terminal sub-domain, can inhibit interaction with ICAM-1. Our data indicate that truncated variants are likely mis- or un-folded. In addition, the full-length DBL2βPF11_0521 domain binds the ICAM-1 receptor with high avidity in the low nM range. These data indicate that selection of the correct boundaries of PfEMP1 domains is extremely important in the design of immunogens that will elicit functional adhesion-inhibitory antibodies.

Figure 4. Reactivity of various antibodies to bead-immobilized COS-7 and E. coli-expressed domain variants. A. Mouse antibodies to full-length domain prepared by DNA immunization. No reactivity to “short” domain was observed (data not shown). B. Rat antibodies to E. coli-expressed full–length domain. Error bars represent Standard Deviations. C. Rat (top) and mouse (bottom) antibodies to E. coli-expressed “short” and “3-helix” domains. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061323.g004
Supporting Information

Figure S1 The nucleotide sequence for full-length DBL2bPF11_0521 domain and corresponding protein sequences for the various truncation and point-mutation constructs in various vectors.

(DOCX)

Figure S2 Structural elements of interacting ICAM-1 and DBLb in the model of Bertonati and Tramontano. Model [24] was visualized (A) and amino acid substitution in loop 4 (B) was modeled using Deep View Swiss-PDB viewer. In panel A loops 1 through 4 participating in interactions of DBLb domain with ICAM-1 are shown in red, the rest of the molecule in green, ICAM-1 molecule in yellow. Ala286 residue in loop 4 that is involved in contacts with ICAM-1 is shown in blue. Y motif is circled. In panel B amino acid residue 286 (Ala or Tyr) is shown in pink. Amino acid residues Asn (N) and Tyr (Y), which are located at the beginning and the end of the loop 4, are indicated. Residues in the vicinity of the position 286 are shown in space-filling shape with CPK coloring. Substitution Ala286Tyr creates an additional hydrogen bond (arrowhead in panel C) between Tyr286 and Arg113 (numbers according to [24]).

(PPTX)

Figure S3 Predicted secondary structure of N-terminal sub-domain and amino acid residue mutations in the first α-helix of DBL2bPF11_0521. ICAM-1 binding and non-binding domains [20,21] are grouped. Color of amino acid residues: purple – conserved at least in ICAM-1 binding sequences; blue – semi-conserved; red – having significantly different physical-chemical character from the majority of amino acid residues in this position that may affect structure or/and function of the domain. Site-directed mutations: R23ΦR, A25ΦK.

(PPTX)

Figure S4 Determination of equilibrium dissociation constant KD for ICAM-1 binding to PF11_0521 DBL2 domain. Kinetics of binding for both E. coli expressed/refolded (ECO, N = 18) and COS-7 expressed (COS, N = 14) domains was measured in 4 independent experiments. 95% confidence intervals (CI) shown by dashed lines. Error bars are standard errors of mean. N, number of replicates for each concentration point. Formulas show linear regressions. V, initial velocity of binding; C, concentration of ICAM-1; min, minutes, AU, arbitrary units.

(PPTX)

Table S1 Summary of results of binding and inhibition of binding of ICAM-1 receptor obtained with various constructs of DBLbPF11_0521 and antibodies against these constructs.

(DOCX)
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