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Abstract
The relationship between recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS), a common mucosal lesion, and cancer has not been demonstrated. This study investigated the risk for developing cancer in patients with RAS, based on data from Korea’s National Health Insurance Sharing Service (NHISS). Nationwide population-based cohort data from 2005 to 2009 provided by the NHISS was used. The group diagnosed with RAS for 5 years and an undiagnosed control group were constructed through 1:1 propensity score matching (PSM). The experimental design compared the incidence rate of a cancer diagnosis from 2010 to 2015 between these 2 groups. After identifying 13,808 people that met our inclusion criterion from a 1 million cohort group, 13,808 controls were included in the study through PSM. Among all cancers, pancreatic cancer had an adjusted hazard ratio of 1.26 (95% confidence interval: 1.01–1.57, $P<.041$). For the rest of the cancers, there was no significant incidence rate. RAS was associated with an increased risk of pancreatic cancer in the analysis using large population-based cohort data. Further long-term follow-up studies are needed.

Abbreviations: aHR = adjusted hazard ratio, KCD-6 = Korean Standard Cause Classification of Diseases, NHISS = National Health Insurance Sharing Service, PSM = propensity score matching, RAS = recurrent aphthous stomatitis.
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1. Introduction
Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS) is a disease that affects 10% to 20% of the entire population.[1] It is known to occur mainly in women in their teens and twenties.[1,2] Epithelial necrosis and neutrophilic infiltration are observed in the lesion center and C3, and immunoglobulin M can be deposited in blood vessels.[3] It is assumed that various factors such as viral or bacterial infections, eating habits, allergens, stress, and malnutrition are likely to affect RAS development.[1–4] In addition, genetic factors are considered to affect the incidence of RAS by ethnicity, and autoimmunity has been confirmed to be related to the prevalence of this disease.[3] In a group with RAS family history, the relationship between TNF-\alpha activity and physical stress was analyzed through a family tree, and the association with autoimmune diseases suggested that RAS was associated with cancer.[5] Several studies have been conducted on the relationship between oral ulcers and cancer, but only 1 study on the relationship between RAS and cancer has been reported.[2–4] This study analyzed the link between RAS and cancer in a group of 1 million Korean citizens based on data from the National Health Insurance Sharing Service (NHISS), which is a large enough sample to predict the risk of cancer.

2. Methods
2.1. Ethical considerations
This analysis used nationwide population-based data and was approved by the NHISS’s Ethics Committee. The study was performed after obtaining ethical approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB approved No. 2018-08-022) of Kyung Hee University Hospital’s Ethics Committee. Written consent was waived.

2.2. Database
The data were used for a nationwide population-based study, 2.0DB, provided by the NHISS. Since Korea’s health insurance system is mandatory for all citizens by law, all data related to the use of medical institutions and pharmacies by all people are
stored and managed in the NHISS. As of 2006, 48,222,537 Korean nationals retained the status of health insurance subscribers or medical benefit recipients for 1 year. Social demographic data such as patient residence, income quartile, and family relations are included in the National Health Insurance Corporation data. Inpatient medical records and pharmacy billing materials also are included. This analysis was based on the nationwide population-based study of 1 million people who met the representative criteria of the NHISS data.

2.3. Selection of study participants

From January 2005 to December 2009, a nationwide population-based study was performed, and diagnosis was based on the Korean Standard Cause Classification of Diseases (KCD-6), which is a revision of the International Classification of Diseases. A case-control study was conducted using 2 groups that consisted of RAS and non-RAS cohorts. The inclusion criterion for the RAS cohort group was individuals who were diagnosed with RAS (K12.0) twice or more during the study period. The inclusion criteria for the control group were individuals who had at least one classification code corresponding to appendectomy (Q2850, Q2861, Q2862, Q2863) or hemorrhoidectomy (Q3011-Q3017). Exclusion criteria for both groups were individuals with a history of cancer diagnosis or who were diagnosed with cancer during the wash out period. The target selection process is identified in Figure 1.

2.4. Exposure assessment

The primary outcome was cancer (Table 1). The corresponding disease codes were excluded from the wash out period from 2005 to 2009. Based on the final selected subjects, the period from 2010 to 2015 was set as the follow-up period.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Cases and controls were matched 1:1 through propensity score matching (PSM): age (under 10 years, 10s, 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s, 60s, 70s, 80s, or older), sex (male, female), location (city, other), income (0, 1–2 quartile, 3–4 quartile, 5–6 quartile, 7–8 quartile, 9–10 quartile), and disabled status (nondisabled, disabled). The difference in frequency of outcome variables in the case and control groups was confirmed through a Chi-Squared test. Hazard ratios were confirmed through a Cox proportional

![Figure 1. Flow diagram of patient enrollment.](image-url)
hazard model. In addition, through sub-group analysis, the risk of the cases on outcomes was further analyzed compared to controls according to sex (male, female) and age group (under 39, 40–59, over 60). The significance level for all statistical analyses was 0.05, and data were analyzed using SAS Enterprise Guide 7.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) (Table 2).

3. Results

In the RAS and non-RAS patient groups, sex ($P = 1.000$), age ($P = .769$), income quartile ($P = .995$), location ($P = .673$), and disabled status ($P = .865$), which had no significant differences, were selected through PSM. According to the KCD-6 classification system, the adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) for overall cancer was 1.09, and no significant difference was found between the RAS and non-RAS groups (95% CI: 0.99–1.20, $P < .073$). The aHR for pancreatic cancer among all cancers was 1.26 (95% CI: 1.01–1.57, $P < .041$), which confirmed this was a significant result (Fig. 2).

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups on the remaining 19 types of cancer (Table 3). In the subgroup analysis comparing all cancers according to sex and age, no significant difference was found between the 2 groups (Table 4).

4. Discussion

The main causes of oral ulcers are trauma, RAS, recurrent intraoral herpes simplex virus stomatitis, and cyclic neutropenia. The most common acute oral ulcer disease in the USA is RAS.[1] The general form of RAS shows a yellow and white fibrous membrane slough surrounded by erythema.[1] The simplest form of RAS usually lasts 1 to 2 weeks, sometimes longer if the lesion is large.[1,2] The triggering factor is not clearly known, but several

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| **Demographic characteristics of recurrent aphthous stomatitis and control patients.** |
| Factor | Number of cases (%) | Number of controls (%) | $P$ value |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Overall | 13,808 | 13,808 | 1.000 |
| Age (y) | | | |
| <10 | 1139 | 1146 | 1.000 |
| 10–19 | 1431 | 1422 | .769 |
| 20–29 | 1425 | 1430 | .995 |
| 30–39 | 2287 | 2287 | .995 |
| 40–49 | 2402 | 2402 | .673 |
| 50–59 | 1968 | 1965 | .865 |
| 60–69 | 1798 | 1806 | .865 |
| 70–79 | 1187 | 1181 | .865 |
| >80 | 171 | 169 | .865 |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 5979 | 5655 | .769 |
| Female | 8129 | 8153 | .769 |
| Income | | | |
| 0th quartile | 508 | 502 | .995 |
| 1–2 quartile | 1756 | 1749 | .995 |
| 3–4 quartile | 1812 | 1799 | .995 |
| 5–6 quartile | 2380 | 2418 | .995 |
| 7–8 quartile | 3173 | 3175 | .995 |
| 9–10 quartile | 4179 | 4165 | .995 |
| Location | | | |
| City (Seoul, Gyeonggi, Incheon) | 7060 | 7095 | .673 |
| Other | 6748 | 6713 | .673 |
| Disabled | | | |
| Non-disabled | 13,157 | 13,151 | .865 |
| Disabled | 651 | 657 | .865 |

* Figure 2. The aHR of each cancer over a 5-year follow-up in recurrent aphthous stomatitis patients. Asterix means that the $P$ value was under .05. Thorax, esophagus, anus, and anal canal, bone, kidney, Hodgkin lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, leukemia, multiple myeloma, retroperitoneum and peritoneum were not indicated due to insufficient statistics. aHR, adjusted hazard ratio.
factors such as genetic, environmental, and immunological factors are known to be related.\[1,2\]

It is known that RAS causes ulceration by circulating humoral antibodies that cause destruction of the oral mucosa through increases in TNF-α, IL-2, and IFN-γ, and decreases in IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10.\[7\] This in vivo response triggers a change in damage. When analyzing the family tree of RAS, HLA Haplotype has been reported to be associated with various systemic diseases such as Benin and Crohn disease.\[8,9\]

The epidemiologic link between RAS and cancer has been continuously studied, but the relationship between the 2 diseases has not been clearly identified.\[2\] No large-scale studies have been conducted to determine the RAS association with cancer. However, in 2018, Qin L reported a study examining cancer association with RAS through the National Health Insurance Research Database, where head and neck, colon, liver, pancreas, skin, breast, prostate, and hematologic cancers have been linked to RAS.\[2\] There have been cases where a lesion was initially diagnosed as RAS due to similar characteristics but was subsequently confirmed as gingival squamous cell carcinoma.\[10\]

Unexpectedly in this study, RAS exhibited higher aHR than non-RAS only for pancreatic cancer.

Pancreatic cancer is the 1th most common cancer in the world and the seventh highest cause of death from cancer.\[11\] Pancreatic cancer and RAS have been commonly reported to be associated with overexpression of cytokines and p53.\[12,13\] In addition, it was confirmed that the serum level of vitamin D in patients with RAS or pancreatic cancer tended to be low.\[14–16\]

In RAS, when mucosal keratinocytes induce stimulation of T lymphocytes, they secrete cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-10.\[17\] TNF-α is known to induce activation of NF-κB, a transcription factor, to convert tumor suppressors to tumor promotors and substances such as IL-6 to induce pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia.\[18\] In a previous nationwide population-based cohort study of the association between candidiasis and cancer, there was a significant association between candidiasis groups and pancreatic cancer. The association in this study was attributed as surveillance bias, but the association has been reported in various studies.\[19\]

Mutation of P53 is known to be related to the occurrence of several cancers, including pancreatic cancer.\[11\] In RAS, reactive

### Table 3

| Patients with RAS, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | HR (95% CI) | P value | aHR (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Overall                 | 882 (6.6)       | 820 (6.09)  | 1.09 (0.99, 1.19) | .090 | 1.09 (0.99, 1.20) | .073 |
| Head and neck           | 23 (0.17)       | 31 (0.22)   | 0.74 (0.43, 1.27) | .281 | 0.75 (0.44, 1.29) | .301 |
| Thorax                  | 6 (0.04)        | 6 (0.04)    | 1.00 (0.32, 3.10) | 1.000 | 1.02 (0.33, 3.15) | .979 |
| Esophagus               | 7 (0.05)        | 6 (0.04)    | 1.17 (0.39, 3.47) | .782 | 1.18 (0.40, 3.51) | .767 |
| Stomach                 | 102 (0.74)      | 102 (0.74)  | 1.00 (0.76, 1.32) | 1.000 | 1.01 (0.77, 1.33) | .958 |
| Colon and rectum        | 228 (1.66)      | 209 (1.52)  | 1.09 (0.90, 1.32) | .365 | 1.09 (0.91, 1.32) | .355 |
| Anus and anal canal     | 3 (0.02)        | 2 (0.01)    | 1.50 (0.25, 8.98) | .657 | 1.51 (0.25, 9.04) | .651 |
| Liver                   | 260 (1.89)      | 235 (1.71)  | 1.11 (0.93, 1.32) | .254 | 1.11 (0.93, 1.33) | .239 |
| Pancreas                | 177 (1.28)      | 141 (1.02)  | 1.26 (1.01, 1.57) | .043 | 1.26 (1.01, 1.57) | .041 |
| Gallbladder and biliary tract | 40 (0.29)   | 37 (0.27)   | 1.08 (0.69, 1.69) | .731 | 1.08 (0.69, 1.69) | .723 |
| Lung                    | 164 (1.19)      | 140 (1.02)  | 1.17 (0.94, 1.47) | .165 | 1.19 (0.95, 1.49) | .129 |
| Bone                    | 4 (0.03)        | 10 (0.07)   | 0.40 (0.13, 1.28) | .121 | 0.40 (0.13, 1.28) | .121 |
| Kidney                  | 35 (0.25)       | 29 (0.21)   | 1.21 (0.74, 1.97) | .455 | 1.21 (0.74, 1.99) | .440 |
| Bladder                 | 60 (0.43)       | 53 (0.38)   | 1.13 (0.78, 1.64) | .511 | 1.14 (0.79, 1.65) | .480 |
| Thyroid                 | 129 (0.94)      | 110 (0.8)   | 1.18 (0.91, 1.52) | .213 | 1.17 (0.91, 1.51) | .222 |
| Brain and spinal cord   | 20 (0.14)       | 22 (0.16)   | 0.91 (0.50, 1.67) | .757 | 0.91 (0.50, 1.67) | .761 |
| Hodgkin lymphoma        | 1 (0.01)        | 4 (0.03)    | 0.25 (0.03, 2.24) | .215 | 0.25 (0.03, 2.24) | .216 |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma    | 17 (0.12)       | 14 (0.10)   | 1.21 (0.60, 2.46) | .590 | 1.23 (0.61, 2.50) | .563 |
| Leukemia                | 15 (0.11)       | 11 (0.08)   | 1.37 (0.63, 2.97) | .433 | 1.38 (0.63, 3.00) | .418 |
| Multiple myeloma        | 11 (0.08)       | 8 (0.06)    | 1.38 (0.55, 3.42) | .493 | 1.39 (0.56, 3.45) | .481 |
| Other                   | 39 (0.28)       | 43 (0.31)   | 0.91 (0.59, 1.40) | .659 | 0.91 (0.59, 1.40) | .657 |

CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, RAS = recurrent aphthous stomatitis.

---

### Table 4

| Patients with RAS, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Sex                      |                 |             |         |
| Male                     | 363 (6.77)      | 345 (6.26)  | 1.08 (0.94, 1.26) | .279 |
| Female                   | 514 (6.48)      | 475 (5.97)  | 0.96 (0.96, 1.23) | .193 |
| Age                      |                 |             |         |
| <=39                     | 150 (2.40)      | 129 (2.06)  | 1.17 (0.92, 1.48) | .197 |
| 40–59                    | 343 (8.15)      | 317 (7.44)  | 1.10 (0.94, 1.28) | .225 |
| >60                      | 389 (13.35)     | 374 (12.71) | 1.05 (0.91, 1.21) | .494 |

CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, RAS = recurrent aphthous stomatitis.
oxygenation and reactive nitrogen affect P53 as a repetitive inflammatory reaction, causing germ mutation and deletion.\textsuperscript{[20]}

1,25 (OH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{D}\textsubscript{3}, the biologically active form of vitamin D, affects vitamin D response elements that are known to cause inflammatory reactions in macrophages, dendritic cells, active B lymphocytes, and active T lymphocytes, playing a role in regulation of the immune response.\textsuperscript{[21]-[24]} Cyclin dependent kinase gene p21 and p27 have vitamin D response elements in the promoter region and are known to induce arrest and withdrawal of the G1 cell-cycle, thereby inhibiting angiogenesis in tumor cells and inducing apoptosis.\textsuperscript{[21]-[24]} Although the association of vitamin D level with pancreatic cancer is controversial, studies have been reported linking vitamin D level to pancreatic cancer after comparing differences in vitamin D level between ethnic groups, with evidence that it has an overall effect on incidence and mortality rate.\textsuperscript{[24,26–29]}

It has been reported that chronic inflammation damages normal tissue through reactive oxygen and causes oxidative deoxyribonucleic acid damage.\textsuperscript{[30]} However, the association between head and neck cancer and RAS causing repeated intraoral inflammation has not been confirmed.

The first limitation of this study is that the study period was short. The longest follow-up observation period after diagnosis was 10 years, potentially being somewhat insufficient. In particular, RAS is a disease with a high incidence in the 20s to 30s age range. Due to the characteristics of any cancer that develops at a relatively old age, this study is meaningful in the early stages of cancer but is less applicable to long-term cancers. Secondly, it is difficult to meaningfully compare samples with low incidence, such as Hodgkin lymphoma, because the study period is short and the number of cancer samples is insufficient. Third, RAS does not have a high hospital visit rate if the symptoms are mild. In contrast, cancer is diagnosed when most of the symptoms are present due to the high hospital access rate in Korea. Therefore, in the population-based study through NHSS, there are limitations in the measurement of cancer with respect to the correct number of RAS patients.

Although the limitations of the study are clear, patients with RAS showed a significantly increased risk of pancreatic cancer. More long-term cohort observational studies and mechanism studies on the connection between these 2 diseases will be needed to validate our findings.
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