Escaping Deleterious Immune Response in Their Hosts: Lessons from Trypanosomatids
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The Trypanosomatidae family includes the genera Trypanosoma and Leishmania, protozoan parasites displaying complex digenetic life cycles requiring a vertebrate host and an insect vector. Trypanosoma brucei gambiense, Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense, Trypanosoma cruzi, and Leishmania spp. are important human pathogens causing human African trypanosomiasis (HAT or sleeping sickness), Chagas’ disease, and various clinical forms of Leishmaniasis, respectively. They are transmitted to humans by tsetse flies, triatomine bugs, or sandflies, and affect millions of people worldwide. In humans, extracellular African trypanosomes (T. brucei) evade the hosts’ immune defenses, allowing their transmission to the next host, via the tsetse vector. By contrast, T. cruzi and Leishmania sp. have developed a complex intracellular lifestyle, also preventing several mechanisms to circumvent the host’s immune response. This review seeks to set out the immune evasion strategies developed by the different trypanosomatids resulting from parasite-host interactions and will focus on: clinical and epidemiological importance of diseases; life cycles: parasites–hosts–vectors; innate immunity: key steps for trypanosomatids in invading hosts; deregulation of antigen-presenting cells; disruption of efficient specific immunity; and the immune responses used for parasite proliferation.
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CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE OF NEGLECTED DISEASES

Trypanosomatid parasites interact with a wide range of insects and mammals to complete their life cycles. Some species, particularly Trypanosoma brucei gambiense, Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense, Trypanosoma cruzi, and Leishmania spp. are pathogenic for humans, causing, respectively, human African trypanosomiasis (HAT or sleeping sickness), Chagas’ disease, and cutaneous, mucocutaneous, and visceral Leishmaniasis (VL). These infectious eukaryotic parasites have been described and identified over a century ago; however, as of today, no vaccines are available and the availability of effective prophylactic and therapeutic drugs remains limited. It is estimated that more than 20 million people are infected and that 100,000 people die each year of trypanosomiasis or Chagas’ disease (1). Annually, cutaneous leishmaniasis affects around 1 million people, whereas VL is responsible for around 500,000 cases annually resulting in over 50,000 deaths (2).
Tsetse flies (Glossina spp.) transmit HAT-causing trypanosomes. Regarding mortality, it ranks 9th out of 25 human infectious and parasitic diseases in Africa (3) and is estimated to cause the loss of 1.5 million disability-adjusted life years per year (4). It is responsible for major setbacks in social, agricultural, and economic development in Africa (5) and constitutes a severe burden for poor rural populations to whom healthcare access is extremely difficult (6) [reviewed in Geiger et al. (7)]. The real number of infected people is most probably underestimated as it results from a mathematical extrapolation of data recorded from only partial epidemiological surveys (5, 8). In addition, wars, social conflicts and struggles, the presence of trypanosome-infected domestic animals, and climate change are recognized as factors favoring HAT development and spread (9–11). Thus, although the number estimated cases is fewer than 10,000, this disabling and fatal disease is classified among the group of poverty-promoting infectious diseases.

Two distinct forms of HAT exist which are (a) caused by two distinct trypanosome subspecies, (b) transmitted by two distinct tsetse fly vector species, and (c) widespread in two distinct geographic areas. The chronic form, caused by T. brucei gambiense, is transmitted by Glossina palpalis sp., and distributed in western and central Africa, while the acute form, caused by T. brucei rhodesiense is transmitted by Glossina morsitans sp., and restricted to East Africa. Despite these differences, the infection caused by either the chronic or the acute forms of the disease evolve similarly in two distinct clinical phases. During the first phase (stage 1 or hemat-lymphatic stage), the trypanosomes are present and multiply in the blood and in the lymph nodes; during this phase the patients exhibit intermittent fever, headache, and joint pain. Stage 2 (meningo-encephalitic stage) begins once trypanosomes have invaded the central nervous system (CNS); it is characterized by severe neurological disorders (12) [reviewed in Ref. (13)]. The two HAT forms differ in the rapidity of their respective transition from stage 1 to stage 2: several months or even several years for the chronic form, a few months or even a few weeks for the acute form. In addition, the severity of the latter is much higher than that of the former. The disease is generally fatal when not treated. Today, despite the emergence of some new drug candidates (14, 15) or drug combinations (16), the available chemotherapy remains limited and often generates severe side effects or even the development of resistant trypanosome strains (5, 17). Also, inefficient T. b. gambiense case detection, chronic infections that are never treated and a long stage 1 period are important contributors for stable human to human transmission in endemic areas. In contrast, for T. b. rhodesiense transmission, animals are the main reservoir population, greatly affecting therapeutic effectiveness and the impact of control measures (18).

Trypanosoma cruzi causes American trypanosomiasis, also called Chagas’ disease. This parasite is transmitted to humans and other mammals by “kissing bugs,” hematophagous insects belonging to the genus Triatoma or Rhodnius, depending on the geographical area where the disease occurs (19). In addition, transfusion of infected blood, transplantation of contaminated organs, and congenital transmission are other important modes of T. cruzi transmission. Chagas’ disease is widespread in all South American countries affecting about 7–12 million people, and putting at risk 60–80 million others (20, 21). Three hundred thousand new cases are reported to occur each year, and 21,000 patients die annually (22). Once a host has become infected, the parasite is internalized in the cells of the innate immune system, and the infection develops progressively. Similarly to HAT, two forms of the Chagas’ disease can be distinguished. The acute form is marked by (a) the presence of T. cruzi trypomastigotes in the blood stream, (b) high fever, and (c) a severe hepatomegaly. By contrast, in the case of the chronic form of the disease, there are far fewer parasites present in the blood stream, and the other symptoms are also less severe. The chronic form can also be “silent” that is, in the absence of any symptom, the infection may remain undiagnosed. Nevertheless, 10–20 years later, 5–10% of these people will develop anatomical and functional abnormalities at their esophagus and their colon, while ~30% will develop myocarditis, leading to heart failure or sudden death (23).

Leishmaniasis is estimated to affect 12 million people in 98 countries, while ~350 million live in disease-risk areas (24), and presents an incidence of around 2 million cases per year. Despite more than 500,000 new VL cases per year causing the death of more than 50,000 patients (24, 25), this disease is classified among the neglected tropical diseases. In 2010, WHO estimated the disease to cause the loss of around 2.4 million disability-adjusted life years per year (24) [reviewed in Geiger et al. (7)].

Leishmania spp. are transmitted by sandflies belonging to the genera Phlebotomus and Lutzomyia. They induce several forms of disease in humans, ranging from localized cutaneous lesions to VL. VL, the most severe form of Leishmaniasis, is caused by parasites of the Leishmania donovani complex (Leishmania donovani, Leishmania infantum, and Leishmania chagasi) [see the review by Gupta et al. (26)]. Once the mammal host is infected, the parasite differentiates intracellularly inside MFs and disseminates from the skin to the spleen, liver, and bone marrow MFs (27). Most patients infected with L. donovani and L. infantum develop asymptomatic chronic latent infections. However, ~10% of infected people develop fever, severe hepatosplenomegaly, pancytopenia, cachexia, and a hyper gamma-globulinemia leading to the death if untreated (28, 29).

In this review, the authors aimed to summarize the mechanisms trypanosomatids use to escape their host deleterious immune responses. It will focus on the aspects of the parasite–host–vector life cycle; on the host innate immunity and the key steps allowing trypanosomatids to invade their hosts; on the deregulation of antigen-presenting cells (APCs); on the disruption of specific immunity, as well as on the use of immune responses to favor parasite proliferation (Figure 1).

**LIFE CYCLES: PARASITES–HOSTS–VECTORS, COMMON AND DIVERGENT POINTS**

The parasites’ life cycle can be divided into two crucial phases allowing the survival inside the hosts (vertebrate and invertebrate). Immediately after their transmission by the insect vector (Box 1), parasites have to resist innate immunity and
and bloodstream trypomastigotes of *T. cruzi* and *T. brucei* sp. are spread via blood circulation.

Transmission from the infected host to the arthropod vector occurs when sandflies, triatomine bugs, or tsetse flies take a new blood meal, ingesting either infected cells (*Leishmania*) or free-living parasites (bloodstream trypomastigotes of *T. cruzi* and *T. brucei gambiense*). After accomplishing their intravectorial differentiation, trypanosomatid parasites have the ability to colonize various parts of the arthropod vector’s alimentary tract. Some of them are restricted to a single compartment of the alimentary tract, i.e., *Trypanosoma vivax* (Figure 2), while others have a more complex life cycle, such as *Leishmania*, which implies passage through different compartments of the alimentary tract. The transmission of Trypanosomatidae parasites by the blood feeding arthropod occurs in three ways: regurgitation (*Leishmania* sandfly), defecation (*T. cruzi* / triatomine bugs), or injection (African Trypanosomes/Tsetse) (Figure 2). The first phase of arthropod colonization takes place in the proboscis of the arthropod, or in the insect’s midgut. Once inside the midgut of the arthropod vector, parasite movements are initially restricted by the peritrophic membrane that surrounds the bloodmeal during the digestive process.

Intracellular amastigotes of *Leishmania* are released from the host cell during the cell breakage process and then differentiate into procyclic promastigotes. Depending on the subgenus, once promastigotes have been released after the destruction of the peritrophic membrane, *Leishmania* attach to the intestinal epithelium and colonize the intestine after the pylorus or adhere to the region near the pylorus. Then, the parasites migrate forward to the stomodeal valve where they differentiate into metacyclic promastigotes ready to be transmitted during a new blood meal.

For *Trypanosoma* species, the intravectorial cycle is more complex. Trypomastigote forms of *T. cruzi* change into epimastigotes inside the triatomine vector. After this process, parasites inside the midgut of the arthropod begin to multiply concomitantly with the destruction of the peritrophic membrane. Then, a second colonization phase takes place: *T. cruzi* reaches the rectum and changes into infective metacyclic trypanosomes that can be transmitted to a mammalian host by defecation.

Bloodstream trypmastigotes of *T. brucei* or *Trypanosoma congolense*, for example, change into procyclic trypanosomes inside the tsetse fly. Briefly, trypanosomes of the *brucei* group (*T. b. brucei*, *T. b. rhodesiense*, or *T. b. gambiense*) are carried to the gut, later passing forward to the proboscis, from where they enter the hypopharynx and reach the salivary glands, where the infective form is produced. For the *T. vivax* type, trypanosomes migrate forward to the food canal of the proboscis where they multiply. Later, infective forms reach the hypopharynx; at this stage new hosts can be infected when tsetse flies feed.

During pathogen transmission by arthropods, immediately after vessel laceration, platelets form a plug locally and produce clotting and vasoconstrictory molecules. Because vertebrate homeostasis and inflammation is complex, the saliva of hematophagous insects adapted accordingly, containing dozens of active compounds (32, 33) [reviewed in Ribeiro et al. (34)].

The nature of arthropod feeding modes is thought to have evolved independently in several insect orders and families,

---

**BOX 1 | Transmission of parasites belonging to the Trypanosomatidae family.**

*Sandfly*: Sandflies belong to the insect order Diptera, suborder Nematocera. Within this suborder the family Psychodidae includes biting sandflies in diverse genera and non-biting owl-midges or moth flies (genus Psychoda). Among the existing phlebotomine genera, two have been proven to be vectors of one of the main zoonotic pathogens worldwide, the protozoan parasite *Leishmania*. These belong to the genera Phlebotomus in the Old World and Lutzomyia in the New World. Out of more than 800 recognized sandfly species, ~464 species are found in the New World and 375 in the Old. Among these species, only 34 are proven vectors and overall 74 species play a substantial role in *Leishmania* transmission.

*Tsetse fly*: Tsetse flies belong to the insect order Diptera, suborder Cyclorrhapha. They compose a family of their own, Glossinidae, which is placed within the Hippoboscoidea due to the morphological and reproductive similarities of tsetse flies to keds and other hippoboscid flies. Glossinidae includes the single genus Glossina with 23 species, 6 of which are further divided into 14 subspecies. Glossina species are arranged in three subgenera – Austenina, Nemorhina, and Glossina – which correspond roughly to groups of species found in different ecological settings.

**Triatomine bugs**: The members of the Triatominae belong to the insect order of the Hemiptera and the Reduvidae subfamily. Reduvidae are also known as kissing bugs, assassin bugs, or triatomines. Most of the 130 or more species of this subfamily are hematophagous and all triatomine species are potential vector of the Chagas disease parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Nevertheless, only those that are well adapted to living with humans are considered important vectors (Triatoma infestans and Rhodnius prolixus).

---

**Human and animal diseases caused by Trypanosomatidae - Trypanosoma and Leishmania**

**Development of host infection**

- Innate immunity
- Antigen-Presenting Cells
- Specific immunity

**Major types or steps of host immune responses**

- Innate immunity
- Specific immunity

**FIGURE 1 | Mechanisms used by trypanosomatids to escape their host deleterious immune response.**
with the salivary composition among insects being typical of a convergent evolution scenario (35). During the transmission of Trypanosomatidae parasites by their arthropod vector, some protein and chemical components of arthropod origin are, therefore, co-transmitted to the mammalian host. They can then interfere and promote the colonization process of trypanosomatid parasites. The composition of the biological material that is co-injected (African trypanosomes and *Leishmania*) or deposited on the skin of the host (American trypanosomes) is different in its nature. If we consider the way in which *Leishmania* or African trypanosomes are transmitted, the injected cell-free biological material, along with infective parasites, contains a large amount of salivary gland proteins. In the case of *T. cruzi* transmission by triatomine, additional proteins, peptides, and chemicals in the feces of the bugs might also be present during the transmission of *T. cruzi*. In this particular case, the invasion of the host by infective parasites occurs later, through the bite wound or via mucosal membranes after the instinctive scratching behavior.

A brief overview of the protein salivary constitution found in the three arthropods is given in Table 1. Among the salivary components, only enzymes that belong to the Apyrase/S’Nucleotidase family, Protease family, various protease inhibitors, and the Antigen 5 family of proteins have been found to date to be present in the transcriptome and/or the proteome of all the arthropods involved in the transmission of trypanosomatids parasites. A second series of protein families has been commonly identified in sandflies and tsetse flies or sandflies and triatomine bugs; surprisingly none seems to be common to both tsetse flies and triatomine bugs. Lastly, many protein families are found specifically in the transcriptome and/or proteome of tsetse flies, sandflies, or triatomine bugs. For a vast majority, they play a role in vasodilatation, like the Maxalidan found in sandflies, Triafestin or Dipatelodipin found in triatomine bugs, or the PGE2 synthase found in the transcriptome of the tsetse fly (see Table 1). In addition, proteins with anti-clotting activity are supported by different families of proteins in the three vectors of trypanosomatid parasites. Interestingly, the saliva of triatomine bugs contains a large number of proteins belonging to the lipocalin family (e.g., salivary lipocalin-5), which are described to be involved in interactions with the host's immune response (36). Interestingly, they are also found in the transcriptome of the digestive tract, more precisely in the rectum of triatomine bugs (*Rhodnius prolixus*) (37). In addition, the lipocalin signature is also found in the extracellular material of *T. cruzi* (Sereno and Mathieu-Daudet, unpublished results). Altogether, this suggests that redundant activity supported by the protein member of the lipocalin family is required to interfere with the complex immune response that is activated during *trypanosomatids* infection.

**FACING INNATE IMMUNITY: KEY STEPS FOR TRYPANOSOMATID INVASION**

Many protozoa cause chronic infections, most probably owing to the millenar coevolution between parasites and host immune system. The ability to escape and/or modulate both innate and adaptive immune responses is crucial for their survival (Box 2) [in Lopes et al. (49)]. Parasites have to manipulate host cells in order to avoid the production of antimicrobial molecules and to benefit from growth factor production. Protozoa have evolved specific mechanisms to evade these defenses.
| Name                                      | Function                                                                 | SF | Ts | Tr | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|-----------|
| Apyrase/Nucleotidase                     | Hydrolyze ATP into ADP, which is an inducer of platelet aggregation     | X  | X  |    | (34)      |
| Proteases                                | Hydrolysis of peptide bonds                                             |    |    |    | (34)      |
| – Metalloprotease                        |                                                                          | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| – Serine protease                       |                                                                          |    | X  |    | (38)      |
| Protease inhibitor domains               | Interact with the proteolytic cascade of the host homeostatic and       |    |    |    | (34)      |
| – Serpins for serine protease inhibitor  | inflammatory processes                                                 | X  | X  |    | (33)      |
| – Kazal domain                          |                                                                          | X  |    |    | (43)      |
| – Thrombinhibitor                       |                                                                          | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| – Kunitz domain                         |                                                                          | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Antigen 5-like                          | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (41)      |
| Endonuclease                             | Endonucleases are enzymes that cleave the phosphodieste bond with a     | X  | X  |    | (34)      |
| Hyaluronidase                            | Hyaluronidase hydrolyzes components of the skmatrix                    |    |    |    | (39)      |
| Adenosine deaminase hydrolase            | Hydrolyze of adenosine into inosine and then hypoxanthine plus ribose. | X  | X  |    | (34)      |
| – Metalloprotease                        |                                                                          | X  | X  |    | (39)      |
| Phospholipase                            | Hydrolysis of the platelet aggregation factor                           |    | X  |    | (38)      |
| 33 kDa family                           | FXa clotting inhibitor                                                 | X  | X  |    | (34)      |
| Nitrophorin                              |                                                                          |    |    |    | (38)      |
| 15–17 kDa family                        | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (34)      |
| Pyrophosphatase/Phosphodiesterase        | Hydrolyze dinucleotides that are important inflammatory mediators       | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| Glysocyclase                             | Carbohydrate catabolism                                                | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| Antimicrobial peptides                   |                                                                         | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| Odorant binding protein/D7 superfamily   | Antagonize inflammation and hemostasis                                    | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| Yellow phlebotomine family               | Dopachrome convertase activity                                          | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| 41.9 kDa superfamily                    | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (34)      |
| Maxadiyan                               | Vasoconstrictor                                                       | X  |    |    | (34)      |
| 27–30 kDa                               | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (34)      |
| Possibly multigenic Glossina-specific    |                                                                      |    | X  |    | (39)      |
| salivary secreted protein                |                                                                         |    |    |    |           |
| GE-rich salivary proteins                | Unknown                                                                 | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Glycine-proline rich family              | Unknown                                                                 | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Fat body and salivary 20 kDa family      | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (39)      |
| 3–6 kDa salivary peptide                | Unknown                                                                 |    | X  |    | (39)      |
| Ribonuclease                             | Catalyze the degradation of RNA                                         | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Exonucleases                             | Endonucleases are enzymes that cleave the phosphodieste bond at the 5’   | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| – 3 or 3’ end of the chain              |                                                                         |    |    |    |           |
| ProstaglandinE2 Synthase                 | PGE2 synthesis which is a vasoconstrictor                               | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Nitric oxide synthase                    | Synthesis of nitric oxyde: vasoconstrictor                              | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Thioester containing protein            | Has a reactive cysteine that can form a thioester bond to other,        | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| – Pathogen, molecules                   |                                                                         |    |    |    |           |
| Fibrinogen containing/ficolproteins     | Family of proteins having the Fibrinogen C motif and Ficol motif         | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Inositol phosphatase                    | Hydrolysis of inositol phosphate and phosphoinositol desubstrates       |    | X  |    | (43)      |
| – Related to signal transduction, secretion, and cytoskeletal structure |                                            | X  |    |    | (50)      |
| Peptidoglycan recognition protein       | Pathogen recognition and initiation of innate defense mechanism          | X  |    |    | (39)      |
| Salivary proteINYS2                     |                                                                         | X  |    |    | (38)      |
| Lipocalin                                | The term lipocal means « cup of lipid »; they have the capacity to      | X  |    |    | (43)      |
| – RPAI                                   | Inhibitor of platelet aggregation                                       |    |    |    | (35)      |
| – Triplatin                              | Antiplatelet, vasoconstrictor                                           | X  |    |    | (44)      |
| – Triatin                                | Anti-clotting, antipain                                                | X  |    |    | (45)      |
| – Palladin                               | Antiplatelet                                                           | X  |    |    | (46)      |
| – Trisip                                       | Anti-clotting                                                          | X  |    |    | (46)      |
| – Procalin                               | Unknown                                                                | X  |    |    | (47)      |
| – Dipetalodipin                          | Antiplatelet, vasoconstrictor                                           | X  |    |    | (48)      |
| – Nitrophorin                            | Antihistamine                                                          | X  |    |    | (32)      |
BOX 2 | Innate immune responses.

Innate immunity is based on the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular pattern molecules (PAMPs), which are present in diverse organisms, but are absent in the host and function as an exogenous signal that alerts the host to the presence of pathogens. During infection, PAMPs are recognized by pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) that initiate signalling cascades, which lead to the activation of transcription factors in innate immune cells and have an influence on T-lymphocyte differentiation and functions.

The survival and transmission of pathogenic protozoa depends on their ability to evade or subvert host’s innate and adaptive immune responses. Evasion of innate immunity by parasitic protozoa is a critical step in their host interaction. Innate defenses include the epithelial barrier of the skin, the alternative complement cascade and other lytic serum components, lysosomal hydrolases, toxic oxygen and nitrogen metabolites of phagocytes, and immunoregulatory functions of dendritic cells (DCs). Trypanosomatids have evolved specific mechanisms to evade these defenses. The ability to avoid attack by soluble antibodies that neutralize the invasion and opsonize parasites for phagocytosis or lyse is of particular importance to extracellular parasites, such as African trypanosomes. The major strategy for evading antibody responses is the antigenic variation that protects African trypanosomes from immune recognition. The adoption of an intracellular life style, as is done by *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma cruzi*, is the simplest way of evading humoral response. Intracellular protozoa have a remarkable adaptive capacity as they are able to resist killing by remodelling the phagosomal compartments where they reside and by interfering with the signaling pathway that leads to cellular activation. In addition, there is abundant evidence that these protozoan infections actively regulate adaptive T-cell responses, resulting in suppressed effector functions.

A great challenge to research in immunology and parasitology is the development of strategies that foster immunity against protozoan parasites and prevent their evasion, chronic or recurrent infections, and associated pathologies. A better understanding of the evasion mechanisms employed by the parasite is necessary. In the near future, a combination of strategies aimed at both early killing of parasites and neutralizing suppressive mechanisms could be necessary for effective therapies and vaccines.

### Evasion of Innate Immunity

After entering a susceptible mammalian host, protozoan parasites are targeted by pre-existing soluble factors that can potentially recognize and destroy invading parasites or target them for killing by effector cells. Serum components, such as the complement system activation, provide the first line of defense. Alternative complement activation is stimulated by non-self surfaces, such as those of pathogens, wherein the activation of C3 molecules occurs through a proteolytic cleavage promoted by C3 convertases, producing C3b molecules that bind covalently to the activator surface. These molecules subsequently promote the assembly of the membrane attack complex (MAC), which is responsible for membrane lysis (50). *Leishmania* procyclic promastigotes or *T. cruzi* epimastigotes are highly susceptible to complement action, whereas the infective metacyclic and bloodstream stages are resistant (51, 52).

*Leishmania* can evade lysis by complement by targeting host cells through complement activation. Expression of a modified surface lipophosphoglycan (LPG) (53) was found to enhance the synthesis of surface proteinase gp63 (54) and PSA-2 (55) preventing insertion or deposition of the lytic C5b-C9 complex, thereby enhancing tolerance of complement-mediated lysis (CML). Some mutants of *Leishmania major* (null-mutants for the referred molecules) were shown to have less virulence in BALB/c mice and high susceptibility to complement lysis (56, 57).

*Trypanosoma cruzi* blood forms can also survive complement activation as they express glycoproteins such as gp160, gp58/68, and T-DAF. These proteins can bind to C3b and C4b, which allow evasion of complement (58–60).

In humans, only *T. brucei gambiense* and *T. b. rhodesiense* can develop infection, as other trypanosomes are susceptible to two serum complexes with a lytic activity against trypanosomes (TLF I and TLF 2) (61). Despite their differences, both complexes contain apolipoprotein L1 (APO L1) (62). APO L1 in TLF I is taken up through endocytosis via the haptoglobin–hemoglobin parasite surface receptor. *T. b. gambiense* and *T. b. rhodesiense* escape APO L1 trypanolysis by expressing distinct resistance proteins (63). The *T. b. gambiense*-specific gene, *TgsGP*, is essential for human serum resistance as deletion of *TgsGP* in *T. b. gambiense* renders the parasites susceptible to human serum and recombinant APO L1. Reintroducing *TgsGP* into knockout parasite lines restores resistance (64). Protozoa must also evade other mediators of innate immunity besides to the complement.

### Evasion of Cellular Innate Immunity

Remodeling Host Cell Compartments by Intracellular Parasites

*Trypanosoma cruzi* surface proteins, such as gp82 and gp35/50, first adhere to host cell surface receptors inducing calcium-mediated signaling (65, 66). Afterwards, *T. cruzi* trypomastigotes actively invade mammalian cells and their survival is dependent on their ability to subvert a calcium-regulated lysosomal exocytic pathway (67). They escape to the cytoplasm after a short period in the parasitophorous vacuole, which is necessary for the differentiation of trypomastigotes into amastigotes, triggered by the low vacuole pH (68). *T. cruzi* growth and development cannot be sustained within the parasitophorous vacuole. However, vacuole lysis and escape into the cytosol require exposure to this acidic environment, which is essential for the activity of Tc-TOX, a molecule secreted by the parasite. This molecule is active at acidic pH and forms a membrane pore, an activity which is facilitated by a trans-sialidase present on the trypomastigotes’ surface (69, 70). Another lysosome-independent route of host cell invasion has been described using the PI3K-dependent pathway (66, 71).

The initial binding and internalization of *Leishmania* promastigotes by MFs (72) is associated with implicates the receptor-mediated classical endocytic pathway. This pathway involves a wide diversity of receptors, opsonic or pattern-recognition, such as CR3, CR1, Fc receptors, or lectin receptors such as the mannos fucose receptor [mannan-binding protein (MBP)] and the integrin family (73, 74). LPG, the main promastigote glycoconjugate, plays an essential role in promastigote adhesion to MFs, rapidly fusing with lysosomes, transiently inhibiting phagosome maturation (75) and generating a parasitophorous vacuole that maintains an acidic pH and hydrolytic activity. This delay provides enough time for promastigotes to differentiate into more hydrolase-resistant amastigotes. The replicating amastigotes ultimately survive and reside within phagolysosomes by producing glycoconjugates that are secreted or linked to surface of cell, such as GPIPLS and proteophosphoglycan (PPG). These proteins protect parasites from proteolytic damage (76).
A recent study shows that interaction between *Leishmania* and MFs depends on the polarization of the MF and on the CLR protein family (77).

African trypanosomes, by opposition to other protozoan parasites, never enter the cells of the host but live extracellularly in its fluids. These parasites are constantly exposed to the host's immune monitoring so they have developed the antigenic variation mechanism, wherein they change their surface proteins to prevent elimination (78). This surface coat is made of a densely packed array of GPI-anchored variable surface glycoproteins (VSG). GPI anchors are cleaved by parasite phospholipase C (PLC) (79), resulting in the release of surface VSGs and induction of a pro-inflammatory response in cells playing a major role in innate immunity (80, 81). During early infection, the shedding of soluble VSG glycoproteins by PLC induces a polarized Th1 cell response and IFN-γ production; however, in later stages of infection, the prolonged release of these proteins inhibits MF intracellular signaling and activation (82). Antigenic variation exhibited by African trypanosomes remains their central immune escape mechanism developed during infection (83).

### Interfering with Macrophage Functions and Host Cell Signaling Pathways

*Leishmania* sp. and *T. cruzi* are able to resist the antimicrobial mechanisms induced in phagocytic and even in non-phagocytic host cells.

During the acute phase of infection, *T. cruzi* replicates extensively and releases immunomodulatory molecules (GPI-mucins, trans-sialidase, glycoinositolphospholipids GPILs, the cysteine proteinase cruzipain), which play a major role in subverting the host's innate immunity. GPI-mucins are responsible for parasite surface variability, leading to differential tissue adherence and evasion of host innate immune responses. Moreover, they render DCs dysfunctional for protective responses (84). *T. cruzi* uses several other mechanisms to escape immune responses from the host. In fact, the pathogens that are unable to synthesize sialic acids might adsorb these from the host as a way to engage the inhibitory siglecs, sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins, surface proteins present in several immune cells that bind to sialic acid promoting adhesion and signaling (85). Such sialic acid–siglec association plays an important role to subvert host's immunity [review in Khatua et al. (86)]. To escape the immune responses of the host, *T. cruzi* manipulates the CD8+ T-cell sialylation (86). When sialic acids–siglec interact, activated CD8+ T cells remain unable to kill targets that bear *T. cruzi* epitopes (87). Interestingly, recent findings propose a siglec-mediated CD33 suppression pathway of cellular function in *Leishmania* infection also (86). When, sialic acids–siglec interact, activated CD8+ T cells remain unable to kill targets which bear *T. cruzi* epitopes (87). Interestingly, recent findings propose a siglec-mediated CD33 suppression pathway of cellular function in *Leishmania* infection also (86). The evasion mechanism involving *T. cruzi* GPIs results in the suppression of CD4+ T-lymphocyte activation (88). The cysteine proteinase cruzipain produced by *T. cruzi* is able to induce both IL-10 and TGF-β secretion and arginase expression in MFs resulting in increased replication (89). These evasion mechanisms allow the parasite to delay specific responses mediated by effector T-cells. In chronic infection, the parasite hijacks the host's TGF-β pathway and maintains, consequently, the same rate of parasite death and replication (90). In fact, the vaccine efficacy against *T. cruzi* is called into question as this parasite is able to coexist with the immune response developed by CD8+ T cells.

Persistence of *Leishmania* and infection progression are caused by the inability of phagocytes to elicit both effective innate and adaptive responses (76). *Leishmania* alters some biological functions (disruption of cholesterol dynamics, alteration of the DNA methylation status of many host genes with antimicrobial functions, and retention of intracellular iron) to promote parasite growth (91). *Leishmania*-induced MF dysfunctions are related to the loss of microbicidal (NO, oxygen intermediates) and immunological activities (IL-1, IL-12, MHC, IFNγ, and TLR2) (92, 93). These dysfunctions are correlated with the alteration of several phagocyte signaling events dependent on Ca2+, protein kinase C (PKC), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) (94). JAK2 phosphotyrosine-based signaling cascades are particularly important since tyrosine phosphorylation has been shown to play a critical role in IFNγ-inducible MF function regulation, inhibited by *Leishmania* infection [e.g., nitric oxide (NO), major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II, Interleukin-IL-12] [review in Forget (95)]. Moreover, the role of tyrosine-specific phosphatase SHP-1 in *in vivo* and *in vitro* survival of the parasite and in MF inhibition (96) was shown by the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (such as PTP SHP-1) that inhibit the phosphorylation mediated by the enzyme (96). However, in infected MFs, the inhibition of transcription factor STAT1α is not due to SHP-1, but probably to specific proteasomal degradation of the protein (97, 98). Another important aspect in initial establishment of infection is the presence of dead parasites in the inoculum with exposed phosphatidylserine, which facilitates uptake by phagocytes and induces TGF-beta production and TNF-alpha downregulation (99).

Like other trypanosomatids, African trypanosomes divert the MF inducible metabolism of L-arginine (100). At the beginning of infection, trypanosomes induce the arginase polamine synthesis pathway, which decreases the production of NO, and the production of trypanothione reductase, both of which needed for host colonization and parasite growth (101). *T. brucei* releases TbKHC1, a kinesin heavy chain isoform, to stimulate the activity of arginase-1 (an IL-4Rα-independent signaling enzyme but relying on SIGN-R1-dependent IL-10 secretion) for its own growth (102). Furthermore, l-arginine depletion decreases the expression of the T-cell antigen receptor ζ chain (CD3ζ), the principal signal transduction element in this receptor, impairing T-cell functions and proliferation (103). TNF-α release by MFs exerts a trypanocidal effect (104) and is limited by the activation of trypanosome adenylate cyclase. The induction of cyclic AMP release by trypanosomes into MF and the activation of protein kinase A lead to TNF-α synthesis inhibition (105).

### Lectin Pathway

Trypanosomes use several mechanisms to escape from host immunity, such as the evasion of complement through the inhibition of the classical and lectin pathways, via binding to the C3...
convertase that is essential for complement lysis in all pathways and host genetic deficiencies of the complement lectin pathway (CLP) (60). Specifically, in the CLP, the host factors mannose-binding lectin (MBL) and ficolins are able to recognize and bind to parasite surface carbohydrates that lead to activation of the complement cascade (106). T. cruzi is able to inactivate this lectin pathway by neutralizing the binding of MBL to carbohydrate (107). MBL induces the lysis of T. cruzi, and a deficiency in these host molecules only moderately compromises the defense of the host against T. cruzi (108). The receptor C5aR or Bradikinin B2R inhibits the translocation of calreticulin to the surface of T. cruzi from the endoplasmic reticulum, and inhibits activation of the host CI complement component C1, thereby promoting infection by T. cruzi (109, 110). In this way, calreticulin acts as a virulence factor (111).

**Leishmania Lectin-Complement Pathway**

*Leishmania* promastigotes in the bloodstream are known to activate the complement system, reported to effectively eliminate the parasite. A greater resistance to CML is observed for infective promastigotes (metacyclic) due to the production of a surface metalloprotease GP63 and several kinases (26). These parasites have evolved to take advantage of receptor-mediated phagocytosis as a way of entering target cells and, simultaneously, of manipulating MF activation (112). Upon inoculation of the vertebrate host, C3b protein binds to the parasite, who alters it to an inactive form, preventing elimination. At the same time, the inactive C3b molecule at the surface now functions as an opsonin ensuring phagocytosis through complement receptor 3 (CR3), which will in turn inhibit IL-12 production, favoring parasite growth (26). This mechanism is independent of NFkB, MAPK, IRF, and ETS (113). Several other receptors have been described to be important for cell invasion, such as the MBP, that plays a role in *Leishmania* opsonization by triggering a antibody-independent complement activation mechanism on the MF surface (114). Complement activation by *Leishmania braziliensis* on the surface of MFs allows attachment to/invasion of the host cell (MF) by the complement receptor link between the MBL and a surface LPGs of *Leishmania* (115). In *Leishmania donovani*, the mannose-fucose receptor (MFR) and the CR3 MF receptor were shown to act independently in the attachment of parasites by human MFs (116, 117). In addition, macrophagic CR3 receptors differently inhibit *Leishmania* promastigote binding during their growth phase. Lastly, other receptors may be involved in MF infection by *Leishmania*, including MR, TLRs, and FcgR5. The infection of DCs by *Leishmania* involves several receptor/ligand interactions on the cell surface, such as antibodies FcR, a component from complement/CR and proteoglycans/heparin-binding proteins (118).

The role of polymorphonuclear neutrophil granulocytes (PMN) in *Leishmania major* survival in the host cells is not fully understood (see section Control of immune cell population life and death). These cells are able to eliminate intracellular parasite quickly, except for *Leishmania major* promastigotes, which can survive inside PMN for a few days (119). *Leishmania mexicana* amastigotes residing in the phagolysosome MF produce a large quantity of PPG, which can be secreted into the tissue after the rupture of infected cells. This PPG interacts with the complement system resulting in a decrease in the hemolytic activity of serum. It may also prevent the opsonization of *Leishmania* amastigote. PPG stimulates the C cascade by the MBP pathway. Consequently, PPG induces complement activation and, thus, contributes to the pathology of *Leishmaniasis* (120).

**DEREGULATION OF ANTIGEN-PRESENTING CELLS: PREVENTING ADAPTATIVE IMMUNITY**

The main host cells targeted by all three Trypanosomatidae are MFs and DCs, both of which play a capital role in the response of the immunatory system as they are specialized APC (Table 2). In addition, the normal functions of T cells, B cells, and T-helper cells (Th1 and Th2) involved in host immune responses, may also be modulated, more or less specifically, by the parasites.

**Trypanosoma brucei* sp./*T. congolense*, and the Human/Animal African Trypanosomiasis**

These *Trypanosoma* species, causing either human or animal African Trypanosomiasis, induce a global MF and T-cell-mediated immunosuppression, as well as the development of suppressive cell phenotypes, in infected cattle or mice (125–128). In the case of MFs, both classically and alternatively activated cells may develop such suppressive phenotypes (129). They are antagonistically regulated and their development is modulated by the cytokine environment. So, while classically activated MF are induced by type I cytokines (TNF-α, IL-12, IFN-γ) and inhibited by type II cytokines (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, TGF-β), the reverse is true for alternatively activated MF (130).

The MF and DC immune response function includes: (a) processing of parasite antigens in the endocytic pathway and (b) co-stimulation and presentation to T-helper cells (Th cells) of trypanosome immunogenic peptides (121). In early infection by *T. b. rhodesiense* (clone LouTat 1), naive VSG-specific Th cells were more activated by DCs than MF, which resulted in Th1-mediated protective responses (121). Then, the specific Th cells secrete molecules that activate both immune systems, innate and adaptive, with the aim to destroy the infecting trypanosomes. Thus, MF and DCs modulate the adaptive anti-trypanosomal immunity by controlling antigen presentation. According to Dagenais et al. (121), trypanosomes may have evolved so as to alter antigen presentation for their own survival as a consequence of the pressure exerted by the immune system. Depletion of DCs and splenic MFs co-stimulatory molecules downregulation by *T. b. rhodesiense* contributed to inability of both DCs and MFs to generate an efficient T-cell response specific to VSG. The ability to modulate MF and DC antigen presentation functions allows the parasite to escape killing by immune cells and may contribute to the overall immunosuppression occurring during trypanosomiasis (131).

Murine *T. brucei* infection was reported to affect the co-expression of processed antigens and MHC class II molecules on the plasma membranes of MFs with the consequence of a reduced ability of these cells to present antigen in *T. brucei*-infected
TABLE 2 | Effects of either Trypanosoma brucei sp., Trypanosoma cruzi or Leishmania sp on host immune responsive cells [for T. brucei: Dagenais et al. (121); Vincendeau and Bouteille (122); for T. cruzi: Chaussabel et al. (94); Flávia Nardy et al. (123); for Leishmania: Bogdan et al. (124)].

### Macrophages
- Faulty Ag processing and inability to present Ag to T cells
- Faulty epitope association with MHC-II
- Decrease in T-cell responses
- Th2 response → NOS inhibition and activation of arginase production
- Production of NO, PG, IFN-γ, and TGF-β
- TGF-β inhibits IL-4, IL-5, and IL-6 → inhibition of B cells differentiation and proliferation
- Inhibition of caspase-3 production by DC → inhibition of DC apoptosis

### Dendritic cells
- Inhibition of MHC-II, CD40, CD80, CD86 expression and inhibition of TFN-α, IL-6, IL-10 production:
  - Inhibition of DC maturation
  - No differentiation of naïve T-CD4+ lymphocytes into Th1 (producing: IFN-γ, IL-2, TFN-α)
  - Th2 (producing: IL-4, IL-5, IL-10)
- DCs depletion
- Inhibition of caspase-3 production → inhibition of DC apoptosis
- Production of IL-4 → activation of Th2 response (Th2 secretes IL-4, IL-13)
  - → activation of alternative pathway of macrophage → Leishmania survival

### TH1/TH2
- Th2 cellular response activation; production of IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, and TGF-β
  - → inhibition of Th1 responses
  - Th2 responses
    - Inhibition of macrophages NOS production
    - Activation of arginase (L-arginine → L-ornithine biosynthesis)
    - Biosynthesis of polyamines and trypanothione
  - Favors parasite development, macrophage infection, and parasite survival

---

animals (132). The permanent contact of the trypanosomes with the host immune system may have induced in some T. brucei strains the ability to modulate MF antigen presentation process. This process involves peptide loading onto MHC class II molecules and/or [MHC class II-peptide (pMHC)] complex translocation to the cell surface for presentation to antigen-specific Th cells (132). It was also found that suppressive MFs inhibit the proliferation of lymphocytes responding to mitogens and antigens and, thus, reduces the proliferative cytokine IL-2 secretion by T-cells (133). Moreover, the levels of IL-2 receptors on the surface of these T cells were lowered (134). However, it was also reported similar levels of immunosuppression in infected animals that are both susceptible and resistant; thus, the real efficiency of this mechanism to ensure parasite survival in its host remains questionable (135, 136).

The secretome (total excreted-secreted proteins) of T. b. gambiense was shown to impair the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced maturation of murine DCs (131). When DCs are stimulated by LPS, MHC class II, CD40, CD80, and CD86 molecules are upregulated, and cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-10 (IL-10), and IL-6 are released at high levels. In T. b. gambiense secretome-stimulated DCs, upregulation and secretion of the previous molecules is significantly reduced. Moreover, the inhibition of DC maturation resulted in the loss of their allostimulatory capacity, leading to a dramatic decrease in Th1/Th2 cytokine production by co-cultured lymphocytes. These results provide new insights into a novel efficient immunosuppressive mechanism directly involving the alteration of DC function, which might be used by T. b. gambiense to interfere with the host immune responses in HAT and promote the infection process [review in Garzón et al. (131)].

**Trypanosoma cruzi and Chagas’ Disease**

A number of reports support the idea that, during the infection process, *Trypanosoma cruzi* is able to elicit severe autoimmune responses in the host, which contributes significantly to the development of the pathogenic process of the Chagas’ disease. *T. cruzi* amastigotes escape host humoral immune responses by developing inside host cells. The parasite surface is covered with mucin glycoproteins that prevent *T. cruzi* from being recognized by the host immune system, thus favoring its establishment in its host and disease onset. These mucins are receptors of residues of sialic acid, which are detached by trans-sialidase from glycoconjugates of the host; this chemical modification is of interest as the modified mucins are even more efficient than the non-sialised glycoproteins were.

In addition, *T. cruzi* produces several complement regulatory molecules, which allow the parasite to prevent complement activation, thus allowing it to evade CML (58, 66, 137).

Moreover, *T. cruzi* induces the production of both Th1 and Th2 cytokines in infected individuals, and high expression levels have been reported for Th1 cytokines IFN-γ and IL-2, as well as for Th2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-10 (138). In *T. cruzi*-infected individuals, IL-10 gene expression is actively upregulated as indicated by the presence of significantly increased levels of the corresponding mRNA. This observation suggests that high levels of IL-10 may contribute to parasite persistence, as IL-10 is known to inhibit host protective Th1 immune responses (139). Thus, the induction...
of IL-10 biosynthesis may be crucial for the parasite's survival in its host. By contrast, elimination of the parasite is largely under the control of Th1-specific cytokine production (IL-12, IFN-γ, TNF-α) (140). IFN-γ produced by NK cells during T. cruzi infection will activate phagocytic cells, which in turn will produce toxic reactive nitrogen intermediates that, ultimately, will kill internalized parasites (141). Despite the fact that the induction of cytokines leads to both cell-mediated and humoral response and, thus, suggested to be important for the development of effective immune responses, the susceptibility or resistance of mice to T. cruzi infections seems not to be related to a given cytokine response (142). Lastly, the ability of T. cruzi to infect a host, to survive and develop, and to cause Chagas' disease depends on a complex balance between Th1 and Th2 cytokine production, as they display antagonistic effects, the former being protective for the host, the latter for the parasite.

**Leishmania sp and Leishmaniasis**

Basically, the evasion strategies of *Leishmania* involve diverse mechanisms, including the capability to survive within MFs, especially by inhibiting the oxidative burst occurring in activated MFs, and to modulate the T-cell immune response. Fixation of the complement C3 protein and the subsequent binding to CR1/CR3 is essential for the initial intracellular survival of infective-stage promastigotes (143). Once *Leishmania* are located intracellularly, after receptor-mediated endocytosis, they downregulate the active oxygen-dependent killing mechanisms of activated MFs (144) [review in Bogdan et al. (145)]. The total cellular and membrane acid phosphatase activity correlates with parasite virulence (146). LPG is another potent inhibitor of oxidative burst. It works by inhibiting PKC (147), which is the enzyme involved in the production of oxidative metabolites. *Leishmania* produces substances with the ability to scavenge the effect of oxidative metabolites. Furthermore, *Leishmania* amastigotes display high activity for enzymes that are known to degrade these toxic MF products (148). In addition, antiparasitic processes depend on oxygen, phago-lysosomal processes, physical (low pH, osmotic stress), or biological factors (lytic enzymes) contribute to the MF antiparasitic activity. Hence, the importance of LPG, which is directed against the antiparasite effectors produced by the host MF (26, 99, 149, 150).

The activation of type 1 T-helper lymphocytes (Th1) by APCs requires surface expression of MHC class II, interaction with costimulatory receptor–ligand pairs (B7/CD28, CD40/CD40L, MHC class II/CD4), and peptide presentation by MHC class II [reviewed in Kaye (151)]. In vitro studies demonstrated the implementation of various mechanisms *Leishmania* uses to impede T-helper cell responses. It was first demonstrated that *L. donovani* amastigotes interfere, at the transcriptome level, with the MHC class II upregulation by IFN-γ [reviewed in Reiner (152)]. In addition, *Leishmania* was shown to be able to downregulate MHC class II expression (153). In contrast to other intracellular microorganisms (such as *Listeria monocytogenes*), *L. donovani* does not upregulate the production of B7-1 costimulatory molecules and the heat-stable antigen (HSA) in MFs. The MFs were not susceptible to stimuli, that normally upregulate B7-1 or the HSA, as does *Listeria* infection or the administration of LPS, of IFN-γ or of a crude mixture of mitogen-activated T-cells cytokines (154). Another critical aspect for Th-cell activation, in addition to the presence of MHC class II and costimulatory molecules, is the availability of parasite-derived peptides for loading onto the MHC molecules (155) [review in Bogdan et al. (145)].

Although *Leishmania* parasites interact with multiple cell types, MFs and DCs are clearly the most important cells influencing the infection progression and outcome. Interleukin 12 (IL-12) is a critical cytokine necessary for CD4+ Th1 development and IFN-γ production (156) [review in Dong and Uzonna (157)]. Although MFs are able to phagocytize *Leishmania* efficiently, their ability to produce IL-12 is selectively impaired by the parasites (158). In addition, infection of MFS by *Leishmania* also leads to the production of immunoregulatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF-β, which are known for their ability to inhibit or deactivate MF functions (see Evasion of Innate Immunity) (159).

Several reports show that DCs, highly efficient APCs, play a central role in orchestrating immune responses in leishmanial disease (160). Although MFS are also specialized APCs, the main host cell for *Leishmania*, as well as the most efficient parasite-killing effector, infected MFS do not secrete IL-12 (161); hence, they are unable to stimulate an antigen-specific CD4+ Th1 cell response (162) [review in Dong and Uzonna (157)]. In *L. major* infection, Ritter et al. (163) demonstrated that CD8α and langerin-negative DCs are the principal APCs. They express dermal markers of DC (MHC II<sup>hi</sup>, CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>+</sup>, CD80<sup>+</sup>, and CD205<sup>+</sup>) (163) and overcome the induction of CD4+ T-cell response. In addition, Kautz-Neu et al. (164) reported that, in the case of low dose infection, DCs may play a role in the cutaneous leishmaniasis/*L. major* pathogenesis via the induction and expansion of regulatory T cells (164–166). In this context, the production of IL-12 by APCs is of critical importance as it is able to polarize naive T cells into Th1 subset, and, subsequently, to induce IFN-γ production (161).

Lastly, intracellular signaling activation cascades that lead to the production of effector molecules are important for an effective control of pathogens in infected host cells. A number of pathogens are able to modulate signal transduction pathways to favor their survival (167). Since *Leishmania* are obligate intracellular parasites, their survival inside mammalian host cells is critically dependent on their ability to successfully disrupt host cell signaling events [review in Dong and Uzonna (157)], which would otherwise lead to the generation of killing effector molecules. To avoid killing, the parasites must be actively involved in almost every aspect/or all aspects of host cell signaling, manipulating/inhibiting from the production of microbicidal molecules to the elicitation of protective cytokines.

**DISRUPTION OF EFFICIENT SPECIFIC IMMUNITY**

Although mammalian hosts have developed several immunological mechanisms to eliminate both intracellular and
extracellular parasites, trypanosomatidis parasites have in turn
developed strategies to escape the host immune system, which
enable their survival and replication. The most striking obser-
vation during infections by trypanosomatids is that specific
immune responses do exist but they are completely inappropriate
and ineffective, or are even responsible for immunopathological
processes (168). Trypanosomatids exhibit different cellular dif-
ferentiation stages and different strategies to interact with their
host, but in addition to the specificity associated within the gen-
era Leishmania or Trypanosoma, these parasites have developed
common features in order to subvert their hosts’ immune system
and ensure successful transmission (99, 123, 169) (Figure 3).

Control of Immune Cell Population Life and Death
Apoptosis, a major representation of programed cell death, is an
essential physiological process in maintaining cellular homeo-
stasis, particularly in the immune system, where it participates
in both eliminating autoreactive or failed cells and controlling
a proliferative response (170). Trypanosomatids have developed
refined mechanisms for inducing or preventing the apoptotic cell
death of their hosts’ immune cells. For instance, Leishmania and
T. cruzi, additionally to their use of apoptosis mimicry to invade
MFs (171, 172), are able to regulate apoptosis of target cells
positively or negatively. This is well illustrated for Leishmania
with neutrophils, whose lifespan can be either extended by the
parasite to benefit potentially from the protection of a safe
intracellular niche (173) or reduced after parasite intake, both
to limit antiparasite response (174) and fuel parasite growth
(175). Neutrophils are the first phagocytes recruited to the
inoculation site (176) and take part in the “Trojan horse” MF
invasion, where infected apoptotic neutrophils shuttle parasites
discretely (i.e., without inflammatory signal) via phagocytosis to
their primary host cell; Leishmania is able to delay neutrophil
apoptosis, allowing the release of chemotactic molecules and
consequent recruitment of DCs and MFs, as well as the produc-
tion of TGF-beta that will contribute for the silent entry into MFs
(99, 177, 178). The opposite neutrophil modulations are linked
to different parameters, such as the genetic background of the
animal model used or the parasite inoculation route (179), but
also the molecular pathways modified, such as inhibition of pro-
caspase-3 processing (173), or activation of the survival pathway
involving extracellular kinases (ERK1/2) (180).

Although they all subvert MF activity during infection, target-
ing the core cell type of the mammalian host immune system,
trypanosomatids behave differently in managing MF cell death
depending on the genus considered. Leishmania parasites clearly
prevent MF apoptosis either when directly housed by this cell
type (181), or when using the above-mentioned “Trojan horse”
strategy (177, 178). T. cruzi also uses phagocytosis of apoptotic
cells by infected MFs to promote parasite growth, upon synthesis
of transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), prostaglandins, and
polyamines similarly to Leishmania (87). As they are exclusively
extracellular, African trypanosomes are constantly exposed to
the hostile host environment and have, in particular, developed
mechanisms to cope with the microbicidal action of MFs,
without specially inducing or repressing apoptosis, but through
fast induction of the alternative arginine pathway leading to
polyamines production (80, 182).

One very common feature developed by trypanosomatids
to ensure immune evasion consists in destroying the lymphoid
lineage by parasite-driven cell death. Apoptosis of T lymphocytes
during the contraction phase of an immune response occurs
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through re-stimulation of activated T cells in a process termed activation-induced cell death (AICD), or results from the lack of survival factors, commonly referred to as activated T-cell autonomous death (ACAD) or death by neglect (183). Using the murine infection model for *T. cruzi*, splenic CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were shown to express CD95 (Fas/Fas ligand apoptotic pathway) 2–3 weeks post infection. This observation is in accordance with their death by AICD (184). *Leishmania* parasites use the same strategy to eliminate both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, as observed in active human cutaneous Leishmaniasis (185), with more apoptotic spleen and peripheral blood T-lymphocytes in infected dogs compared to control animals (186). However, the molecular mechanisms are not as well defined: expression of Fas and FasL is increased in splenic human lymphocytes in acute disease (187), and Bim, a member of the Bcl-2 family, could also be involved in the apoptosis of T-cell in mouse models infected with different *Leishmania* species (188), which can otherwise be related to downregulation of kinase activities by Ser/Thr phosphatase (189). Extracellular African trypanosomes have also developed paracrine mediators able to induce not only CD4-dependent T-cell death (190) but also memory B-cells apoptosis (191, 192), which dramatically impairs the ability of infected hosts to develop adaptive immunity. In the same way, *T. cruzi* modulates the death of both IgG(+) B cells reactive to the parasite through B-cell–B-cell killing (193) and also induces a marked loss of immature B cells in the bone marrow through myeloid cells secreting a cyclooxygenase pathway product (194), thus limiting host defense and disabling B-cell generation to favor its chronic establishment.

The above paragraphs show one of the keypoints/key aspects/key mechanisms, leading to a successful infection by trypanosomatids: the ability to subtly modulate the life and death of immune cells when interacting with the host immune system.

**Abolition of Efficient Specific Immunity**

**Molecular Camouflage and Altruistic Behavior**

The most well-known system for evading the host’s specific immune response is probably the antigenic variation developed by African trypanosomes. They have a “repertoire” of variable antigenic types (VATs), trypanosome variants in a given population, by African trypanosomes. They have a “repertoire” of variable immune response is probably the antigenic variation developed by activated T-cells in a process termed activation-induced cell death (AICD), or results from the lack of survival factors, commonly referred to as activated T-cell autonomous death (ACAD) or death by neglect (183). Using the murine infection model for *T. cruzi*, splenic CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were shown to express CD95 (Fas/Fas ligand apoptotic pathway) 2–3 weeks post infection. This observation is in accordance with their death by AICD (184). *Leishmania* parasites use the same strategy to eliminate both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, as observed in active human cutaneous Leishmaniasis (185), with more apoptotic spleen and peripheral blood T-lymphocytes in infected dogs compared to control animals (186). However, the molecular mechanisms are not as well defined: expression of Fas and FasL is increased in splenic human lymphocytes in acute disease (187), and Bim, a member of the Bcl-2 family, could also be involved in the apoptosis of T-cell in mouse models infected with different *Leishmania* species (188), which can otherwise be related to downregulation of kinase activities by Ser/Thr phosphatase (189). Extracellular African trypanosomes have also developed paracrine mediators able to induce not only CD4-dependent T-cell death (190) but also memory B-cells apoptosis (191, 192), which dramatically impairs the ability of infected hosts to develop adaptive immunity. In the same way, *T. cruzi* modulates the death of both IgG(+) B cells reactive to the parasite through B-cell–B-cell killing (193) and also induces a marked loss of immature B cells in the bone marrow through myeloid cells secreting a cyclooxygenase pathway product (194), thus limiting host defense and disabling B-cell generation to favor its chronic establishment.

The above paragraphs show one of the keypoints/key aspects/key mechanisms, leading to a successful infection by trypanosomatids: the ability to subtly modulate the life and death of immune cells when interacting with the host immune system.

**Abolition of Efficient Specific Immunity**

**Molecular Camouflage and Altruistic Behavior**

The most well-known system for evading the host’s specific immune response is probably the antigenic variation developed by African trypanosomes. They have a “repertoire” of variable antigenic types (VATs), trypanosome variants in a given population, and they can change this surface coating by controlling different geographical areas (195). Indeed, 10 million identical VATs cover the surface of the trypanosome at any given time. This antigenic variation is a hallmark in African trypanosomes, other Trypanosomatidae also use this molecular mechanism to evade the host response. In *Leishmania*, for instance, the central repetitive domains of the hydrophilic acylated surface proteins (HASPs) are highly variant in their amino acid sequences, both within and between species, and clearly play a role in immune recognition in the host, albeit not yet fully resolved (196). In the same way, antigenic variation in *T. cruzi* led to the question as to whether *Trypanosoma cruzi* should be called the “cruzi” complex, as the parasite’s diversity is substantial not only among strains but also because the interaction of the different infecting clones in the host will determine the severity of the infection (197).

**Disability of Antigen Presentation (See Also Section III APCs)**

Trypanosomatids, through their complex life cycles and different parasitic stages, have also developed sophisticated strategies for interfering with antigen presentation, by decreasing the expression of MHC molecules, by inhibiting the costimulatory molecules CD80 or CD86, or the synthesis of IL-1. Accordingly, specific T-cells are less stimulated and become anergic, leading to a non-efficient or an inadequate immune response. During progressive illness caused by *Leishmania*, two concomitant phenomena have been observed: an inability of APCs to process antigens properly, and the generation of a non-functional T-cell response to the processed antigens, despite functional signaling of human leukocyte antigen HLA/MHC class II molecules to T-cell receptors (TCR) (154, 198, 199). Moreover, the inability of APCs to process antigens properly has been linked to the inhibition of phagolysosome biogenesis after *Leishmania* phagocytosis. In fact, the *Leishmania* surface metalloprotease GP63 cleaves a subset of soluble receptors, N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive-factor attachment protein receptor neurons (SNAREs), consequently inhibiting the MHC class I presentation of *Leishmania* exogenous antigens, resulting in reduced T-cell activation (92). The strategy developed by *T. cruzi* in restricting antigen presentation is a little different, with hyperpolarization of the presented antigen repertoire (immunodominance), avoiding complete pathogen elimination by host effector cells, and thus favoring host parasitism (200). A hallmark of African trypanosome infection is that APCs functions are substantially altered, but the weight of antigen presentation in the balance between immunosusceptibility and immunotolerance appears to be more complex than for other trypanosomatids (121). Early studies in mouse models supported reduced presentation of non-parasitic exogenous antigens to T cells, presumably due to the altered display of antigenic peptide–MHC class II complexes (127, 132, 201). However, it remains unclear how the ability to present antigen is modulated among the APCs subsets and to what extent it could affect the infection outcome. This was illustrated in resistant mice infected with *T. congoense*, which were able to control infection in an MHC class II-restricted immune response manner, but only when the IL-10 function was not impaired (202), suggesting
precarious effectiveness of antigen presentation in response to African trypanosomes.

**Trypanosomatid-Induced Imbalance of T-Cell Populations**

In addition to the apoptotic cell death occurring in immune cell populations, the loss in number and functionality of T- and B-lymphocytes during trypanosomatid-induced diseases is a paradigm referred to as “exhaustion” (203, 204). In *T. cruzi* infections, the repertoire of CD8 (+) T-cells is dramatically restricted, which is a particular phenomenon known as immunodominance. The latter, despite targeting a different lymphocyte population, can be related to the response to a VAT-specific VSG during African trypanosome infections (78). Interestingly, mice that developed immune responses against subdominant/cryptic CD8 T-cell epitopes corresponding to the immunodominant antigen were significantly protected against *T. cruzi* infection (205). In the same way, exhaustion of cross-reactive responses to subdominant invariant epitopes by antigenic variation of the dominant antigens from African trypanosomes could explain the inefficiency of the selected lymphocyte populations, but at the same time question the possibility of restoring protective cross-reactive immunity (206). In fact, in terms of lymphocyte populations, an increase in the CD4+:CD8+ T-cell ratio and IgG1 could be associated with self-cure in African trypanosome-infected natural host, whereas a decrease in the CD4+:CD8+ T-cell ratio and IgM could lead to disease development (207). Regarding *Leishmania* infections, susceptibility or resistance were associated long ago with a dichotomy in the development of immune response dominated by T-helper 2 (Th2) versus Th1, respectively. This was based on experimental data from mouse models infected with *L. major*, but it does not seem to be generalized to all *Leishmania* species, as complex early events shape the immune response (208), and especially as polarization is not observable in the natural host (human) where Th cells and CD4+:CD8+ ratio are either associated with the healing process or the development of the different clinical forms (209).

Additionally, using mutant mouse models, it has been possible to explain more clearly the non-cure arising in resistant mice, which was due to a Treg cell imbalance (T-regulator cells), whose primary function is to suppress ongoing Th1 responses as to control tissue damage, and that functions as a suppressive pathway contributing to parasite persistence (210).

**USE OF IMMUNE RESPONSES FOR PARASITE PROLIFERATION**

To escape from host immunity, trypanosomatids interfere with the physiological function of various molecules of their host, such as arginine and calcium.

**Arginine and Trypanosomatidae**

To survive and multiply in their host, Trypanosomatidae have the possibility of exploiting the host metabolic machinery (Figure 4). The mechanisms used are diversified. Several strategies have been developed by Trypanosomatidae to escape host immunity. They have the potential to act upon the defense mechanism of the host, either to create a bypass of the host’s defense mechanism, such as the arginine and lectin pathway, which is a mechanism of complement evasion, or to scavenge elements produced by the host, such as calcium.

Arginine is an amino acid with a basic fundamental role in the animal kingdom as a precursor of protein synthesis. It is also the substrate for enzymes leading to the production of NO or of polyamines (211). Polyamines are known growth factor promoters, and NO is a highly potent antimicrobial molecule. Physiologically, arginine is metabolized via two pathways,
including a catabolic pathway involving its degradation by arginase to generate urea and ornithine, a precursor of the polyamine pathway. These products of metabolic degradation are known to promote Trypanosomatidae growth; on the other hand, NO exerts a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity and it is highly toxic for Trypanosomatidae. The parasites Trypanosoma brucei (212) or Leishmania major (213) interfere with these processes at various steps.

They are able to promote the arginine methylation via methyltransferases. In Trypanosoma cruzi (214), a transphosphorylase (TcAAP3) acts on the phosphorylation level of the host arginine, while L. donovani scavenges the arginine synthesized by the host through an amino acid permease (LdAAP3) (215). In addition to these processes another system is exploited by Trypanosomatidae, which consists in using the host arginine for itself. Leishmania can hydrolyze the L-arginine of the host by an L-arginine aminohydrolase (E.C.3.5.3.1.) allowing the parasite to escape the production of host microbicidal NO (216). A few species, such as Leishmania amazonensis, Leishmania braziliensis, and Leishmania chagasis promastigotes, have a significant effect on this NO production using an irreversible inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) (217).

Free Calcium in Trypanosoma

The free calcium ion is important for trypanosomatid survival and multiplication (Figure 5). These pathogens are able to scavenge the ion either from the host cell intracellular stock or from the host extracellular stock. In Trypanosoma, intracellular calcium is stocked in a peculiar cell structure called the acidocalcisome (218). Cleavage activation of the Trypanosoma cruzi trypomastigote factor (PGFT) activates the PLC pathway that induces the release of intracellular free calcium via inositol, 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP3) sensitive intracellular channels. Calcium release induced the reorganization of host cell microfilaments, which play a crucial role in mammalian host cell invasion by Trypanosoma cruzi (219). Calcium is taken up from the surrounding environment of the parasite through the activity of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase (220). In Trypanosoma, the intracellular stock of free calcium, pyrophosphates, and polyphosphates is stored in specialized organelites called the acidocalcisome (221). Ca\(^{2+}\) entry is regulated by PLA2 and activated by arachidonic acid and Ca\(^{2+}\) itself (222). Arachidonic acid appears to play a major role in calcium release from the cellular acidocalcisome (223). Arachidonic acid and the melittin peptide, of amphiphilic nature, induce an increase in intracellular calcium concentration in procyclic Trypanosoma brucei, Leishmania donovani promastigotes, and Trypanosoma cruzi amastigotes. In Trypanosoma cruzi, calcium plays a role in flagella motility via the flagellar calcium-binding protein (FCaBP), in all stages of development. This calcium-binding protein is localized in the flagellar membrane and acts in a calcium dose-dependent manner for its activity. In Trypanosoma brucei and Trypanosoma cruzi, calcium is carried by vacuolar transporter chaperone 4 (224). In Trypanosoma brucei, calcium release from intracellular storage acts through the activation of phosphoinositide phospholipase C (PI-PLC), which hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol (PI) and PI 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), involving the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3)/diacylglycerol (DAG) pathway for this activation (225).

Calcium Ion in Leishmania

In Leishmania, calcium is stored in two cellular compartments: vesicles in mitochondria (226) and in the acidocalcisome (218) (Figure 6). The osmotic regulation of intracellular calcium is crucial for parasite survival and involves a set of ATPases whose location varies from the plasma membrane to the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum (227).

During infection of the MF, a burst occurs in the calcium steady-state concentration (228). During this process, free calcium from vesicle storage is released by the action of IP3 receptors, ryanodine receptor channels (RyRs), two-pore Ca(2+) channels (TPCs), and intracellular transient receptor potential (Trp) channels, which are mammalian channel homologs (229). This disturbance in intracellular calcium concentration has several consequences: (i) activation of guanylyl cyclase, which
increases parasite infectivity (230); (ii) the release of calcium from the acidocalcisome, due to alkalization of the cytoplasmic pH (231); (iii) depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane potential and induced ATP loss, generating *Leishmania* cell death by apoptosis (232). Calcium channel blockers and nucleotides (UTP) possess anti- *Leishmania* activity (233, 234).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, *Trypanosomatidae* parasites are multistage organisms that require a vertebrate host and an insect vector, in which they undergo many cell differentiations. Designing drugs that persistently interrupt the life cycles of these parasites requires a comprehensive understanding of their biology and the mechanisms involving host–vector–parasite interactions. Owing to the difficulties in controlling diseases caused by trypanosomatids, many studies have been focused on the strategies developed by the different parasites to escape host immune defenses, with a view to characterizing weaknesses in their escape processes that could be used to fight them. The goal of our review is to focus on these strategies developed by the different parasites to escape host immune defenses to improve knowledge of these interactions in order to initiate novel strategies for controlling the diseases caused by *Trypanosomatidae* parasites.
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