Hiding in Fresh Fruits and Vegetables: Opportunistic Pathogens May Cross Geographical Barriers
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Different microbial groups of the microbiome of fresh produce can have diverse effects on human health. This study was aimed at identifying some microbial communities of fresh produce by analyzing 105 samples of imported fresh fruits and vegetables originated from different countries in the world including local samples (Oman) for aerobic plate count and the counts of Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococcus, and Staphylococcus aureus. The isolated bacteria were identified by molecular (PCR) and biochemical methods (VITEK 2). Enterobacteriaceae occurred in 60% of fruits and 91% of vegetables. Enterococcus was isolated from 20% of fruits and 42% of vegetables. E. coli and S. aureus were isolated from 22% and 7% of vegetables, respectively. Ninety-seven bacteria comprising 21 species were similarly identified by VITEK 2 and PCR to species level. E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterococcus casseliflavus, and Enterobacter cloacae were the most abundant species; many are known as opportunistic pathogens which may raise concern to improve the microbial quality of fresh produce. Phylogenetic trees showed no relationship between clustering of the isolates based on the 16S rRNA gene and the original countries of fresh produce. Intercountry passage of opportunistic pathogens in fresh produce cannot be ruled out, which requires better management.

1. Introduction

Being sources of high energy and rich in minerals, vitamins, fibers, and phenolics, fruits and vegetables constitute an important food group that has been linked to maintenance of well-being of individuals [1] and to reduced incidence of some chronic diseases [2]. In addition to the nutritional value of fresh produce, their diverse microbiomes can pass through stomach to the gut where they establish specific associations with the host resulting in various effects on human health [3]. Recently, interesting relationships have been found between gut microbiota and obesity, malnutrition, cancer, personal motivation, and decision-making in which microbial balance is critical for maintaining the healthy state [3, 4]. On the other hand, the increased consumption of fruits and vegetables in recent years has been found to be accompanied by an increase in the number of human infections and outbreaks [5] as these can serve as reservoirs for pathogens or opportunistic pathogens [3]. Fruits and vegetables can be contaminated with spoilage or pathogenic bacteria at any stage from production to consumption [6, 7]. Although their microflora is dominated by spoilage bacteria, yeasts, and molds, fruits and vegetables can harbor pathogenic bacteria such as Salmonella, Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus, Campylobacter spp., Yersinia enterocolitica, Listeria monocytogenes, and Clostridium botulinum, as well as some viruses and parasites [6]. In Royal Hospital, Oman, May 2008, B. cereus caused a nosocomial outbreak with gastroenteritis.
and affected 58 individuals. B. cereus and its toxin were found in different foods including vegetables [8]. A more serious outbreak, May–July 2011, was caused by Shiga toxin producing E. coli O104:H4 in Germany where 2987 cases of gastroenteritis, 855 cases of hemolytic-uremic syndrome, and 53 deaths were reported. Fenugreek sprouts were found to be contaminated with the causative agent [9]. Bean sprouts were linked to two outbreaks in USA, in 2014; one was caused by L. monocytogenes in August while Salmonella Enteritidis caused the other one just a month later. L. monocytogenes was also involved in another outbreak that was linked to caramel apples in October 2014 in USA [10]. Opportunistic pathogens can cause life-threatening infections mainly in immunocompromised people but they may have positive effects on the health of immunocompetent individuals by stimulating immune functions and priming the immune system continuously [3]. Nonpathogenic microbes associated with fruits and vegetables may have various consequences on the quality of the produce by affecting the rate of the food spoilage. Fruits and vegetables seem also to be the sources that disseminate many microbes to food preparation areas [11].

In Oman, large quantities of fruits and vegetables are imported nearly from all around the world to provide a year-round supply [12] to this country which is located at the crossroads of the exchange of cultivated plants [13]. This study aims at assessing the microbial load of some fresh fruits and vegetables imported into or grown in Oman and to identify the isolated bacteria by biochemical and molecular methods with emphasis on emergent opportunistic pathogens. The study will also investigate genetic relationships between bacteria isolated from fruits and vegetables originating from different countries in the world. Findings from this study will provide information on the sanitary conditions of fruits and vegetables produced and consumed in this part of the world, which will help in improving their quality and safety for consumption. To our knowledge, this is the first report that analyzes microbial content of fresh produce in this country and also relates the presence of particular opportunistic pathogens isolated from different local and imported samples to particular effects on human health.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection. Fruits and vegetables that are mostly eaten raw were selected for this study. These contained 7 types of fresh imported or locally produced fruits (banana, Musa spp.; dates, Phoenix dactylifera; mango, Mangifera indica; papaya, Carica papaya; pomegranate, Punica granatum; tomato, Solanum lycopersicum; and watermelon, Citrullus lanatus) and 6 types of fresh imported or locally produced vegetables (cabbage, Brassica oleracea; capsicum, Capsicum annuum; carrot, Daucus carota; cucumber, Cucumis sativus; lettuce, Lactuca sativa; and radish, Raphanus sativus). Samples were purchased from local markets in Muscat or Nizwa, Oman, during the period of April to September 2014. The imported fruits and vegetables originated from different countries and they were selected depending on their availability in the market during that period of time. Three samples were obtained for each fruit or vegetable type originated from the same country. The samples were collected aseptically and refrigerated until analyzed within 24 hrs. Table 1 represents the origin of all samples.

2.2. Microbiological Analysis of Fruits and Vegetables

2.2.1. Sample Preparation, Aerobic Plate Count, and Selective Bacterial Counts. Samples were analyzed in a safety cabinet (Purifier class II, Labconco, Kansas, USA) and cut using sterile scalpels. Some fruits (banana, mango, papaya, pomegranate, and watermelon) were peeled and the inside flesh was analyzed. Twenty-five grams of the cut sample was weighed in a sterile stomacher bag and mixed with 225 mL of Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD) and homogenized for 1 min using a stomacher (Bagmixer 100 MiniMix, Inter-science, Bois Arpents, France). Serial dilutions were prepared from the original homogenate in MRD. Aerobic plate count (APC) was performed by spread plate method. The plates of standard plate count agar (SPCA) were incubated at 35°C for 48 hrs [7]. The count of Enterobacteriaceae was performed on Violet Red Bile Glucose (VRBG) agar by pour plate method and incubation at 35°C for 24 hrs. E. coli was counted on Tryptone Bile X-glucuronide (TBX) medium and the plates were incubated at 2 temperatures: 30 and 44°C for 24 hrs [14]. Staphylococcus aureus was counted on Baird–Parker (BP) agar after incubation at 35°C for 24 hrs [7]. Enterococcus was counted on Slanetz agar after incubation at 35°C for 48 hrs [15]. All microbiological media were from Oxoid, England, and all experiments were repeated three times.

2.2.2. Identification of Bacteria. For each selective medium (BPA, VRBG, TBX, and Slanetz agar), one bacterial isolate showing the typical morphology (details could be found by searching manufacturer’s website (http://www.oxoid.com/UK/blue/index.asp?c=UK&lang=EN)) was selected from each sample for identification. Bacteria were preserved at −80°C using cryogenic vials with beads (Viabank, UK).

(1) Identification of Bacteria by VITEK 2. Identification of bacteria using biochemical tests was done using an automated identification equipment; VITEK 2-compact 15 (bioMérieux, France) following instructions of the manufacturer. In brief, bacterial suspensions were prepared in sterile saline (0.45%) and the density was adjusted to a McFarland standard of 0.5–0.63 using VITEK 2 DensiCheck (bioMérieux, France). GP and GN cards were used for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively.

(2) Identification of Bacteria by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The second method was performed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting bacterial 16S rRNA [16]. Briefly, DNA was extracted using “foodproof StarPrep Two Kit” (Bioteccon Diagnostics GmbH, Potsdam, Germany). The quality and quantity of DNA were checked using NanoDrop™ 2000 (Thermoscientific, USA). PCR was done by transferring 1 μL of each primer (27F and 1492R; DNA sequences: 5’-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3’ and 5’-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3’, resp.), 22 μL of sterile temperature using an automated identification equipment; VITEK 2-compact 15 (bioMérieux, France) following instructions of the manufacturer. In brief, bacterial suspensions were prepared in sterile saline (0.45%) and the density was adjusted to a McFarland standard of 0.5–0.63 using VITEK 2 DensiCheck (bioMérieux, France). GP and GN cards were used for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively.

(2) Identification of Bacteria by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The second method was performed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting bacterial 16S rRNA [16]. Briefly, DNA was extracted using “foodproof StarPrep Two Kit” (Bioteccon Diagnostics GmbH, Potsdam, Germany). The quality and quantity of DNA were checked using NanoDrop™ 2000 (Thermoscientific, USA). PCR was done by transferring 1 μL of each primer (27F and 1492R; DNA sequences: 5’-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3’ and 5’-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3’, resp.), 22 μL of sterile
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Table 1: Origin of fruits and vegetables and the number of positive samples for different microbial counts.

| Number | Type of produce | Origin* | APC | Enterobacteriaceae | S. aureus | Enterococcus | E. coli (30°C) | E. coli (44°C) |
|--------|-----------------|---------|-----|-------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Fruit (n = 60) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Banana | Oman | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Philippine | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Dates | Oman | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Mango | India, Pakistan | 3, 3 | 1, 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Papaya | Oman | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Pomegranate | India, Saudi Arabia | 2, 3 | 1, 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Tomato | Jordan, Netherlands, Syria | 2, 1, 3 | 0, 1, 3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 2, 0 | 0, 0 | 0, 0 |
| 7 | Watermelon | Oman | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Vegetable (n = 45) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Cabbage | Oman | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | | Netherlands | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Carrot | Oman | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Capsicum | Australia, USA | 3, 3 | 2, 3 | 0, 0 | 0, 0 | 0, 0 | 0, 0 |
| 4 | Cucumber | Oman | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Lettuce | Iran, Jordan | 3, 3 | 1, 3 | 0, 0 | 3, 3 | 0, 1 | 0, 2 |
| 6 | Radish | Oman | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |

APC: aerobic plate count, USA: United States of America, and UAE: United Arab Emirates.

* Three samples were analyzed from each country.

milliQ water, and 1 μL of the DNA sample to PCR reaction tubes containing PCR beads (puriTeq Ready-To-Go PCR beads, GE Healthcare, UK). The thermal profile (Veriti 96-well Thermal cycler, Applied Biosystems, Singapore) for PCR reaction was as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 54°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The final extension was at 72°C for 10 min and then kept at 4°C. Aliquots of PCR products were checked by subjecting them to 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and viewing them by GelDoc. PCR products were sent for sequencing at Macrogen (Korea) using the same primers used for amplification. The sequencing results were compared with those found at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using BLAST search. Then, sequences of reference isolates of each bacterial species were obtained from GenBank and neighbor joining trees were constructed for each bacterial group/genus using the Kimura 2 parameter evolutionary model (Mega 5) [17]. Then bootstrap 50% majority-rule consensus trees were generated (1000 replications).

2.3. Statistical Methods. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if aerobic plate counts and Enterobacteriaceae counts varied significantly between different types of fruits/vegetables and between local and imported fruits/vegetables. Data Disk 6.1 (Data Description, Inc., New York, USA) was used to perform the statistical tests to identify any significant differences which were considered as P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Bacterial Counts. The origin and number of positive samples of fruits and vegetables are shown in Table 1 while Figure 1 shows the counts of different bacterial groups in different fruits and vegetables used in this study. Aerobic plate count (APC) of local fruits (LF) (mean = 6.1 log CFU g⁻¹) was significantly greater than APC of imported fruits (IF) (mean = 5.0 log CFU g⁻¹) (ANOVA, P = 0.0227, α = 0.05) but APC was not significantly different between local vegetables (LV) (mean = 6.3 log CFU g⁻¹) and imported vegetables (IV)
Figure 1: Microbial counts (log (mean + 1) CFU g\(^{-1}\)) in local fruits (LF), imported fruits (IF), local vegetables (LV), and imported vegetables (IV).

At 30\(^\circ\)C, \(E.\ coli\) was isolated from 6 LV (3 cabbages and 3 radishes, mean = 3.8 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)) and from 2 IV samples (lettuce and radish, mean = 1.9 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)) while at 44\(^\circ\)C \(E.\ coli\) was isolated from 4 LV samples (3 cabbages and 1 radish, mean = 3.1 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)) and from 3 IV samples (2 lettuces and 1 radish, mean = 0.4 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)). \(Enterococcus\) was found in 6 LF (28.6%, mean = 3.8 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)), 6 IF (15.4%, mean = 2.6 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)), 7 LV (38.9%, mean = 4.2 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)), and 13 IV (48.1%, mean = 3.8 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)). \(S.\ aureus\) was isolated only from 3 local radish samples (mean = 2.5 log CFU g\(^{-1}\)).
Table 2: Bacteria that were similarly identified to species level by VITEK 2 and PCR and their sources.

| Name of bacteria         | Number of isolates | Rank | Source                                                                 |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Citrobacter freundii     | 11                 | 3    | Tomato (Netherlands)                                                   |
| Enterobacter ammigenus   | 4                  | 7    | Carrot (USA), lettuce (Oman), radish (China)                          |
| Enterobacter asburiae    | 1                  | 13   | Carrot (Oman)                                                          |
| Enterobacter cloacae     | 12                 | 4    | Cabbage, carrot, papaya, radish, watermelon (Oman), lettuce (Iran), papaya (Philippines), papaya (Thailand), tomato (Syria), watermelon (Egypt) |
| Enterobacter hormaechei  | 1                  | 13   | Tomato (Syria)                                                         |
| Enterobacter ludwigii    | 3                  | 8    | Capsicum, cucumber (UAE), tomato (Oman)                               |
| Enterococcus casseliflavus| 13                | 2    | Cabbage (Netherlands), cucumber (UAE), dates (Saudi Arabia), lettuce (Iran), radish (China), tomato (Syria), watermelon (Iran), watermelon (Oman) |
| Enterococcus faecalis    | 7                  | 5    | Lettuce (Jordan), lettuce (Iran), radish, mango, papaya (Oman)        |
| Enterococcus faecium     | 2                  | 11   | Cabbage (Oman), lettuce (Jordan)                                      |
| Enterococcus hirae       | 1                  | 13   | Papaya (Oman)                                                          |
| Enterococcus raffinosus  | 1                  | 13   | Dates (Oman)                                                           |
| Escherichia coli         | 15                 | 1    | Cabbage, radish (Oman), lettuce (Jordan), radish (China)              |
| Klebsiella oxytoca       | 2                  | 11   | Radish (China), tomato (Oman)                                          |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae    | 13                 | 2    | Cabbage (Oman), capsicum (Jordan), banana (Philippines), dates (Oman), mango (Oman), papaya (Oman), watermelon (Iran) |
| Kluyvera intermedia      | 1                  | 13   | Cabbage (Netherlands)                                                  |
| Pantoea agglomerans      | 7                  | 5    | Cabbage (Netherlands), carrot (Australia), carrot (USA), capsicum (UAE), lettuce (Jordan), radish (China), watermelon (Iran) |
| Rahnella aquatilis       | 3                  | 8    | Carrot (Australia), lettuce (Iran)                                     |
| Raoultella planticola    | 1                  | 13   | Cabbage (Netherlands)                                                  |
| Serratia liquefaciens    | 1                  | 13   | Carrot (USA)                                                           |
| Serratia marcescens      | 1                  | 13   | Tomato (Oman)                                                          |
| Staphylococcus aureus    | 3                  | 8    | Radish (Oman)                                                          |
| Reference strains        |                    |      |                                                                        |
| Staphylococcus aureus    | 1                  |      | ATCC 29213                                                             |
| Staphylococcus aureus    | 1                  |      | ATCC 25923                                                             |
| Escherichia coli         | 1                  |      | ATCC 25922                                                             |
| Enterococcus faecalis    | 1                  |      | ATCC 29212                                                             |
| Total                    | 97                 |      |                                                                        |

Clustering of isolates and the countries or commodities from which they were obtained. The 5 isolates (including 2 reference strains) of S. aureus also grouped together with a very high bootstrap support (100%; Figure 4). Enterococcus species grouped into different clusters (50–100% bootstrap support; Figure 5). There was also no relationship between clustering of isolates of the Enterococcus species and the countries or commodities from which they were obtained (Figure 5).

4. Discussion

Most studies report the presence of pathogens in fruits and vegetables but the counts are rarely documented [5]. APC can be used to monitor the hygienic quality of a product throughout processing and distribution [18] but it is difficult to be used to draw a conclusion on the safety of a product [19]. Nevertheless, some researchers [20] found a strong association between APC and presence of E. coli in beef carcasses where 88% of samples having APC of ≥4 log CFU/cm² were positive for E. coli while only 21% of samples having APC of <2 log CFU/cm² were positive. In this study, E. coli was isolated only from vegetable samples (from local cabbage and radish and from imported lettuce and radish) all with mean APC ≥ 6.5 log CFU g⁻¹ (Figure 1). It is possible that a certain association does exist between APC and a particular pathogen but it depends on the type of a product and needs a large number of samples to be tested.
Table 3: Bacteria that were not similarly identified to species level by VITEK and PCR and their sources.

| Number of strains | VITEK | PCR | Source                  |
|-------------------|-------|-----|-------------------------|
| 20                | Enterococcus faecium or E. gallinarum* | Enterococcus mundtii | Cabbage (Oman)            |
| 21                | Enterococcus cecorum or Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* | Enterococcus sulfureus | Cabbage (Oman)            |
| 27                | Enterococcus cecorum or Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* | Enterococcus sulfureus | Lettuce (Jordan)          |
| 31                | Enterococcus faecium or E. gallinarum* | Enterococcus mundtii | Lettuce (Iran)            |
| 33                | Enterococcus faecium or E. gallinarum* | Enterococcus mundtii | Radish (Oman)             |
| 36                | Enterococcus faecium or E. gallinarum* | Enterococcus mundtii | Radish (China)            |
| 45                | Enterococcus raffinosus | Enterococcus gilvus | Tomato (Syria)            |
| 58                | Klebsiella pneumoniae ssp. pneumoniae | Klebsiella oxytoca | Carrot (Oman)             |
| 65                | Pantoea spp. or Aeromonas sobria* | Pantoea agglomerans | Capsicum (Oman)           |
| 66                | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea agglomerans | Capsicum (Oman)           |
| 67                | Pantoea spp. | Erwinia aphidicola | Capsicum (Jordan)         |
| 69                | Pantoea spp. | Erwinia aphidicola | Capsicum (Jordan)         |
| 72                | Ewingella americana or Pantoea spp.* | Pantoea dispersa | Cucumber (Oman)           |
| 73                | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea dispersa | Cucumber (Oman)           |
| 74                | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea dispersa | Cucumber (Oman)           |
| 76                | Pantoea spp. | Erwinia aphidicola | Cucumber (UAE)            |
| 77                | Pantoea spp. | Erwinia rhapontici | Cucumber (UAE)            |
| 80                | Leclercia adecarboxylata | Enterobacter cancerogenus | Lettuce (Oman) |
| 83                | Pantoea spp. | Enterobacter cloacae | Lettuce (Iran)            |
| 85                | Pantoea spp. | Pectobacterium carotovorum | Radish (Oman) |
| 87                | Pantoea spp. | Enterobacter cloacae | Radish (Oman)            |
| 91                | Serratia ficaria | Pantoea dispersa | Banana (Oman)             |
| 92                | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea cyripedii | Banana (Oman)             |
| 98                | Enterobacter cloacae complex** | Enterobacter oryzae | Dates (Saudi Arabia)      |
| 99                | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea agglomerans | Dates (Saudi Arabia)      |
| 100               | Pantoea spp. | Pseudocitrobacter faecalis | Mango (Oman) |
| 103               | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea dispersa | Mango (India)             |
| 104               | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea dispersa | Mango (Pakistan)          |
| 108               | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea eucrina | Papaya (Thailand)         |
| 109               | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea dispersa | Papaya (Thailand)         |
| 112               | Pantoea spp. | Escherichia hermannii | Papaya (Philippines) |
| 113               | Pantoea spp. | Enterobacter cloacae | Papaya (Philippines)      |
| 118               | Pantoea spp. | Pantoea vagans | Tomato (Syria)            |

*Low discrimination organisms (same biopattern was produced by the tested strains). **Slash line: biopattern is the same for these organisms: Enterobacter kobei, E. hormaechei, E. cloacae ssp. cloacae, E. cloacae ssp. dissovens, or E. ludwigii.

In the current study, vegetables showed slightly larger APCs than fruits (range of mean count = 4.1–7.0 and 1.1–6.7 log CFU g⁻¹, resp.). Vegetables are usually grown closer to soil than fruits and thus might be contaminated easily from soil [21]. In addition, some fruits that were observed to be physically contaminated with soil (watermelon) or deposited with dust (papaya) showed high APC counts of ≥6 log CFU g⁻¹. Leafy vegetables were reported to give greater APC than nonleafy vegetables [7]. The highest microbial counts were obtained from the APC of leafy vegetables, imported lettuce (mean = 7.0 log CFU g⁻¹) followed by local cabbage (mean = 6.9 log CFU g⁻¹). This is 1 log less than the maximum APC (8 log CFU g⁻¹) that was reported in cantaloupe, spinach, and lettuce in Saudi Arabia [7] but their maximum Enterobacteriaceae count of 4 log CFU g⁻¹ (cabbage and lettuce) was about 2 logs less than what was found in the current study (papaya, cabbage, and radish) (Figure 1). Pomegranate was found to be the least contaminated fruit having APC of 1.1 and 3.2 log CFU g⁻¹ (local and imported, resp.) with none of the other sought bacterial groups being detected. Pomegranate juice [2] and extracts [22, 23] were shown to possess antibacterial activity but the low pH of about 3.5 of pomegranate [24] might also have contributed to this inhibitory activity.

Clinically, many members of the family of Enterobacteriaceae are among the most potent and prevalent pathogens [25]; indeed, many of them have acquired resistance to most antibiotics [21]. Once ingested, antibiotic resistance genes,
Figure 2: Neighbor joining tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of members of Enterobacteriaceae isolated from fresh produce. *Staphylococcus aureus* (JN102565) was included as an outgroup. KR-accession numbers correspond to gene sequences that belong to isolates analyzed in this study while others were obtained from NCBI database. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replications).
if present, may be transferred to the normal flora of the human gut and thus possibly to the pathogenic bacteria [26] especially if these resistance determinants can persist in the human gut for as long as a year as was proposed by Forslund and colleagues [27]. Many outbreaks in association with consumption of fresh produce are due to bacteria belonging to Enterobacteriaceae. Outbreaks that were linked to Salmonella Poona in cucumbers, S. Enteritidis in bean sprouts, E. coli O121 in raw clover sprouts, in 2014, and E. coli O157:H7 in ready-to-eat salads in 2013 are just some examples [10]. The normal flora of many fruits and vegetables contains bacteria within the group of Enterobacteriaceae that might be present at high counts [28]. Our results showed that the counts of Enterobacteriaceae were comparable to APCs (Figure 1) and only pomegranate was free from bacteria belonging to this family (Table 1). A total of 15 species of Enterobacteriaceae were similarly identified by VITEK 2 and PCR (Table 2). In addition, 11 other species within this group were identified by PCR but not by VITEK 2 (Table 3). The 3 genera of Erwinia, Pectobacterium, and Pseudocitrobacter are not included in the most updated version (7.01) of the VITEK 2 identification database by GN card (isolates numbers 67, 69, 76, 77, 85, and 100) and 6 other isolates could have been misidentified at genus level by VITEK 2 as compared to PCR (isolates numbers 80, 83, 87, 91, 112, and 113) though these genera are included in VITEK 2 database. Within this group, 5 species of the similarly identified genera by VITEK 2 and PCR are not included in VITEK 2 latest version database including Pantoea dispersa (isolates numbers 72, 73, 74, 103, 104, and 109), Pantoea cyrrippediae (isolate number 92), Enterobacter aerogenes (isolate number 98), Pantoea eucreina (isolate number 108), and Pantoea vagans (isolate number 118).

The genus of Klebsiella harbors opportunistic pathogens capable of causing severe infections [29]. Klebsiella pneumoniae was one of the most dominant species in this study and was isolated from local cabbage, dates, mango, and papaya from imported capsicum (Jordan), banana (Philippine), and watermelon (Iran). Pantoea agglomerans (formerly Enterobacter agglomerans) and Rahnella aquatilis are opportunistic pathogens as well [30]. The genus of Enterobacter is one of

Figure 3: Neighbor joining tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of E. coli isolated from fresh produce. E. coli strain JCM 24006 (AB548579) was included as a reference strain and Staphylococcus aureus (JN102565) was included as an outgroup. KR-accession numbers correspond to gene sequences that belong to isolates analyzed in this study while AB548579 and JN102565 were obtained from the NCBI database. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replications).

Figure 4: Neighbor joining tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from local radiish. Enterococcus sulfureus ATCC 49903 (NR115766) was included as an outgroup. KR-accession numbers correspond to gene sequences that belong to isolates analyzed in this study while others were obtained from NCBI database. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replications).
the largest genera in the family Enterobacteriaceae having at least 19 species. It also shows polyphyletic patterns when phylogenetic trees are constructed based on 16S rRNA sequences [31]. Many species within the genus of *Enterobacter* have been marked as emerging pathogens [30]. *Pseudocitrobacter* is a new genus in the family of Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudocitrobacter faecalis* was isolated from a stool sample of a patient and was found to possess NDM-1 carbapenemase which confers resistance to carbapenem antibiotics [32]. In this study, one isolate was identified as *P. faecalis* (from local mango) by PCR (Table 3). To our knowledge, this is the first report of isolation of this species from fresh produce.

*Erwinia aphidicola* was first isolated from the gut of pea aphid by Harada and coworkers [33]. In their study, biochemical tests showed that this species was the closest to *Erwinia herbicola* and *Pantoea agglomerans* but DNA-DNA hybridization separated it into a new species. Later on, this species was recognized as an important plant pathogen.
especially for beans [34]. In this study, the 3 isolates of *E. aphidicola* (from imported capsicum and cucumber) as identified by PCR were identified as *Pantoea* spp. by VITEK 2 and this could be because of their similarities based on biochemical reactions. *Rahnella aquatilis*, though is commonly present in vegetables [28], has been found to be a primary pathogen and was isolated from blood, sputum, urine, and stool [35]. In this study, *R. aquatilis* was isolated from carrot (Australia) and lettuce (Iran) (Table 2). Likewise, *Serratia* spp. are often present in vegetables [28] but a species such as *Serratia marcescens* (isolated from local tomato in this study) has been recognized as an important cause of nosocomial infections [36]. *Serratia liquefaciens* was also found to cause bloodstream infection [37]. *Citrobacter freundii*, isolated from tomato, Netherlands, in this study, was reported to cause nosocomial bacteremia [38]. *Raouella planticola* (isolated from cabbage, Netherlands) was found to cause wound infection [39].

*E. coli* is considered a better sanitary indicator than Enterobacteriaceae [28] where its presence indicates recent fecal contamination [30]. Unlike Österblad and colleagues [40] who rarely found *E. coli* in the examined 137 vegetable samples, *E. coli* was the most encountered species in this study properly due to its selective isolation on TBX medium. According to Public Health Leadership Society [41], fresh produce with *E. coli* count of ≥2 log CFU g$^{-1}$ is considered unsatisfactory. In this study, local cabbage and radish and imported radish contained this unsatisfactory level (Figure 1). In the current study, prevalence of *E. coli* in local and imported vegetables (33%, *n* = 18 and 15%, *n* = 27, resp., or 22% in all vegetables) is greater than what was found by Abadías and coworkers [28] in whole vegetables (7%, *n* = 28). The count of *E. coli* isolated at 44°C was less than the count at 30°C (Figure 1) indicating that, for direct counting of *E. coli* at 44°C on TBX, it would be better to preincubate plates at 30°C in order to resuscitate any injured cells.

*S. aureus* was found only in local radish with a mean count of 2.5 log CFU g$^{-1}$. It was reported that high levels of *S. aureus* of >4 log CFU g$^{-1}$ might indicate enterotoxin production [14]. However, other researchers [42] reported that *S. aureus* was attributed to be the cause of a food poisoning outbreak in a hotel in Japan in 2005 where pickled radish was one of the sources that *S. aureus* was isolated from. The counts of this pathogen in radish, sashimi, and frozen crab were 1.7, 1.7, and 2.0 log CFU g$^{-1}$, respectively, but its enterotoxin was found in a vomit sample indicating that isolation of pathogens from foods is significant, even at low levels, as some of them might proliferate in the food products if they are not kept at proper temperature (1–5°C for fresh fruits and vegetables) [28].

Similar to previous findings [43], our results showed that more vegetable samples (LV = 38.9%, IV = 48.1%) harbor *Enterococcus* than fruits (LF = 28.6%, IF = 15.4%). *Enterococcus* spp. are involved in food intoxication and in spreading antibiotic resistance through the food chain and they are a leading cause of nosocomial infections [15] where *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* cause the majority of them [43]. *Enterococcus* was isolated from all types of the tested fruits and vegetables (local and/or imported) except banana, pomegranate, carrot, and capscicum (Table 1). The highest counts of *Enterococcus* were found in local cabbage followed by local papaya (4.9 and 4.6 log CFU g$^{-1}$, resp., Figure 1). The presence of *Enterococcus* indicates fecal contamination [30] that might not be recent as they can persist for long time in the environment. However, besides gastrointestinal tract of animals and humans, this ubiquitous organism can be found in soil and on plants but identification of species might help in discriminating the source of *Enterococcus*. *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* might be a better indicator of human fecal contamination than *Enterococcus casseliflavus* and *Enterococcus mundtii* that are more prevalent in environment [44]. In this study, *E. faecalis* was isolated from lettuce imported from Jordan and Iran and from radish, mango, and papaya produced in Oman while *E. faecium* was isolated from cabbage produced in Oman and from lettuce imported from Jordan. In other studies, *E. faecalis* was isolated from lettuce, radish, tomato, apple, cucumber, potato, sprouts, and carrot while *E. faecium* was isolated from dates, lettuce, tomato, and soy product [15, 43].

Similar to the result of others [43], *E. casseliflavus* was the most common species of *Enterococcus* (13 isolates, Table 2). Three isolates of *Enterococcus ludwigii* (capsicum, cucumber (UAE), tomato (Oman)), 1 isolate of *Enterococcus hirae* (papaya, Oman), and 1 isolate of *Enterococcus raffinosus* (dates, Oman) were found. Three species within the genus of *Enterococcus* that were not similarly identified by VITEK 2 and PCR are not included in the VITEK 2 latest version database including *E. mundtii* (isolates numbers 20, 31, 33, and 36), *E. sulfureus* (isolates numbers 21 and 27), and *E. gigus* (isolate number 45). *E. hirae* and *E. mundtii* were isolated previously from different fruits and vegetables [43]. It was shown that genetic exchange of virulence determinants can occur between food and clinical isolates of *E. faecium* [45]. In fact, contaminated food might pose a risk and be a source for nosocomial infections [46].

Table 4 summarizes some bacteria that were previously reported to cause infections in human and that were isolated in the present study from fresh produce. Their sources could be environmental, food, or unknown. Clearly, these bacteria can gain access to critical sites in the body and establish infections. Many of them are considered as opportunistic pathogens capable of establishing infections in immunocompromised individuals. However, there are some sporadic cases where they can act as primary pathogens and thus cause infections in immunocompetent healthy people. Moreover, it is possible that opportunistic pathogens might emerge as important pathogens in future [3]. Determining the microbial quality of fresh produce served in hospitals would be of particular importance because translocation of pathogenic or opportunistic pathogens either to immunocompromised patients or to other environments in the hospitals might give these bacteria and/or their pathogenicity genetic determinants a better chance to be involved in the disease process.

Phylogenetic analysis showed grouping of most bacterial isolates with the reference strains obtained from NCBI, except for few species mainly from the Enterobacteriaceae. Members within Enterobacteriaceae have close genetic relationships
Table 4: Case reports for bacterial infections caused by bacteria listed in Table 2 as presented in the literature.

| Bacteria                      | Specimen of isolation | Country                  | Ref.     |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Citrobacter freundii         | Blood, urine           | USA, South Africa        | [38, 47] |
| Enterobacter ammigenus        | Eye                    | USA                      | [48]     |
| Enterobacter asburiae         | Blood                  | South Africa             | [47]     |
| Enterobacter cloacae          | Stool                  | USA                      | [49]     |
| Enterobacter hormaechei       | Blood/trachea, nasopharynx/rectum | USA                | [50]     |
| Enterobacter ludwigii         | Bone                   | India                    | [51]     |
| Enterococcus casseliflavus    | Cerebrospinal fluid    | Italy                    | [52]     |
| Enterococcus faecalis         | Blood                  | South Africa             | [47]     |
| Enterococcus faecium          | Cerebrospinal fluid    | USA                      | [53]     |
| Enterococcus hirae            | Blood                  | France                   | [54]     |
| Enterococcus raffinosus       | Pelvic hematoma        | Germany                  | [55]     |
| Escherichia coli              | Stool                  | USA                      | [49]     |
| Klebsiella oxytoca            | Stool                  | Austria                  | [56]     |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae         | Urine/liver, trachea   | USA, South Africa        | [47, 57] |
| Pantoea agglomerans           | Blood                  | Italy                    | [58]     |
| Raoultella aquatilis          | Blood                  | Italy                    | [58]     |
| Raoultella planticola         | Peritoneal fluid, soft tissue, wound | Brazil, Ireland, Saudi Arabia | [39, 59, 60] |
| Serratia liquefaciens         | Blood                  | USA                      | [37]     |
| Serratia marcescens           | Eye                    | USA                      | [61]     |
| Staphylococcus aureus         | Blood                  | Italy                    | [62]     |

and species often give polyphyletic groups in trees constructed using 16S rRNA sequences [63–65]. The 5 isolates of S. aureus were grouped together with a very high bootstrap support (100%, Figure 4). Likewise, it was shown that sequencing of 16S rRNA gene could be accurately used to generate phylogenetic relationships of the genus Staphylococcus [66]. Sequencing of 16S rRNA gene gave good discrimination of the genus Enterococcus into 8 clusters, namely, E. faecium, E. hirae, E. mundtii, E. galvus, E. raffinosus, E. casseliflavus, E. faecalis, and E. sulphureus (Figure 5, bootstrap percentages 50–100). Similar discrimination of the genus Enterococcus was previously shown by sequencing 16S rRNA and rpoA genes [67].

Although bacteria can contaminate fresh produce at any stage from farm to fork, in the current study, some samples were peeled and many were obtained in their original packages which may suggest contamination from the original countries. No relationship was found between clustering of the isolates based on the 16S rRNA gene and the countries or commodities from which they were obtained. Thus, circulation of these bacterial species among different countries cannot be ruled out and this may raise concerns about the role played by quarantine in limiting spread of human and plant pathogens via agricultural commodities. However, more epidemiological studies should be done specifically for each species taking into account increasing the number of isolates and further characterizing the strains in order to establish some relationships between the different genotypes.

In conclusion, this study analyzed for the first time the microbial load of some fresh fruits and vegetables in Oman at the point of retail sale. The presence of high counts of APC and Enterobacteriaceae as well as fecal bacteria indicates that the hygienic conditions of fruits and vegetables in Oman are not satisfactory and should be improved. Understanding the complex microbial ecosystem that is unique for each produce in a given country would be important to establish fully controlled systems for fruits and vegetables that can be applied during harvest, production, distribution, and marketing aimed at controlling spoilage and pathogenic microbes. The presence of S. aureus, possible pathogenic enterococci, and E. coli as well as other opportunistic and emerging pathogens in the examined fresh fruits and vegetables requires further investigation of virulence determinants which might be important in future for tracking their pathogenicity evolution.
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