Development and Validation of a Stability-indicating HPLC-UV Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Flurbiprofen and Triclosan in Dental Nanogel Formulations
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ABSTRACT: A combination of two drugs involving flurbiprofen (FLB) and triclosan (TCS) has been found to be potential treatment candidates against periodontal disease. In the present study, a new, simple, reliable and stability-indicating high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method for the simultaneous determination of FLB and TCS in the dental nanogel (NGs) formulation has been introduced. The chromatographic fractionation was accomplished with Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm), using a mixture of acetonitrile and 8×10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ citric acid, pH 3.26 (90:10, v/v) as a mobile phase, under isocratic elution mode with a flow rate of 0.3 ml min$^{-1}$. The UV detection was set at 242 nm. The method was validated according to ICH guidelines. Results indicated excellent linearity with a correlation coefficient of 0.999 and 1 for FLB and TCS, respectively. The retention time ($t_R$) was found to be 10.11 ± 0.03 and 12.55 ± 0.02 min for FLB and TCS, respectively. Extensive stress degradation studies of acidic/alkaline hydrolysis, oxidative, photolytic and heat degradation demonstrated good specificity and stability-indicating power of the method. The method was found to be simple, specific and could be employed for the simultaneous determination of FLB and TCS in dental formulations and for routine quality control evaluations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Modern medicine is gradually changing its approach with an increased focus on drug combination therapy in recent years. Many clinicians and other health practitioners are now suggesting combination therapy for a lot of disorders because of its ability to achieve synergistic therapeutic effects, hit multiple targets, decrease toxicity, minimise drug resistance, and improve the therapeutic profile.\(^1\) Dentistry is not left out in this pace of development as there are various drug combination therapies that have proved promising for the treatment of dental diseases.\(^2,3\) Advances in nanotechnology-based delivery systems such as nanoparticles (NPs) and nanogels (NGs) have enabled more efficient delivery of a myriad of drugs to the desired site of action.\(^3\) A suitable formulation of FLB (analgesic, anti-inflammatory) and TCS (broad-spectrum antimicrobial) combination would be highly desirable for periodontal therapy, owing to the therapeutic efficacy of the drugs.

Although there are reported methods for the estimation of FLB and TCS in their separate forms, a suitable validated stability indicating analytical method for the simultaneous quantification of these drugs is still lacking.\(^4,5\) Thus, this study was undertaken to develop and validate a stability-indicating high performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) method for the simultaneous determination of FLB and TCS in NG formulations.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

FLB was purchased from FDC Ltd., India. TCS was purchased from Bio Basic Canada Inc., Canada. Poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL), Chitosan and Kolliphor® P188 were from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. AR grade hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) and acetone were purchased from QReC® Asia (Malaysia). Citric acid anhydrous and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) pellets were from R&M, UK. HPLC grade acetonitrile and methanol was from Merck (Germany). Water was produced in-house by the Favorit W4L water system (Genristo Ltd. UK).

2.2 HPLC Apparatus

A Shimadzu (Japan) HPLC system (LC-20AD) was used for the analysis. An Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm) (USA) was used for the chromatographic separations. The column temperature was set at 30°C. Ten µl injection volumes of calibration standard solutions/test samples were analysed without further dilution, under an isocratic elution mode.
2.3 Preparation of Standard Solutions and Standard Calibration Curves

To prepare the stock standard solution, 30 mg and 20 mg of FLB and TCS, respectively, were weighed and dissolved in 100 ml of methanol to produce a 300 µg ml$^{-1}$ of FLB and 200 µg ml$^{-1}$ of TCS solution. From this solution, different concentration levels were prepared using methanol as a diluting solvent, to obtain working calibration solutions of FLB and TCS in the ranges of 15–240 µg ml$^{-1}$ and 10–160 µg ml$^{-1}$, respectively. Each solution was injected in six replicates into the HPLC system. The peak areas were plotted against the corresponding concentrations to yield the standard calibration curves of FLB and TCS.

2.4 Preparation of the NGs Formulation Sample

NGs were prepared using a three-stage procedure. Firstly, TCS-loaded NPs were prepared according to our reported method.$^6$ Secondly, 3% of FLB loaded chitosan hydrogel was prepared according to a published method.$^2$ The NGs was prepared by entwinement of TCS-loaded NPs into the FLB-loaded hydrogel solution. Similarly, blank NGs were prepared without any FLB and TCS content. The real test samples were prepared by treating an amount of the NGs in methanol to yield a supernatant (originated after centrifugation) with a final concentration of 75 µg ml$^{-1}$ and 50 µg ml$^{-1}$ of FLB and TCS, respectively. Similarly, an unloaded NG sample was prepared.

2.5 Stress Degradation Studies

The stock standard solution for stress studies was prepared by dissolving an amount of FLB and TCS in methanol to correspond with concentrations of 1200 µg ml$^{-1}$ of FLB and 800 µg m$^{-1}$ of TCS. The stock test solution was prepared as described in Section 2.4 but with tenfold concentrations. The stress degradation studies were conducted on the standard and test samples as described in the reported method, but with differences in the strengths of HCl, NaOH and H$_2$O$_2$.$^7$ In the proposed method, 2 M HCl, 2 M NaOH and 30% H$_2$O$_2$ solutions were used as degradation factors, and 10 µl of the sample solutions were filtered (0.45 µm syringe filters) and injected into the HPLC system.

2.6 Method Validation

The proposed HPLC method was validated according to ICH guidelines and the validation characteristics such as system suitability, linearity, range, accuracy, precision, specificity, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were assessed.$^8$ The linearity of the calibration graph was demonstrated by preparing eight different concentrations of standard solutions over a range
of 15–300 µg ml$^{-1}$ and 10–200 µg ml$^{-1}$ for FLB and TCS, respectively. For the assessment of accuracy and precision, three QC samples of FLB and TCS were prepared from the standard stock solution. The accuracy was established by the recovery of the FLB and TCS from the three QC samples (37.5, 75 and 112.5 µg ml$^{-1}$ of FLB and 25, 50 and 75 µg ml$^{-1}$ of TCS, respectively) and NGs formulation. Intra-day and inter-day precision were determined by repeated injections ($n = 6$) of the quality control (QC) samples within the same day and on six consecutive different days. System suitability testing was carried out by injecting 10 replicates of the standard and test sample solutions. To demonstrate specificity, chromatograms of FLB and TCS of standard solutions were compared with chromatograms of methanol, mobile phase and the test solutions with and without the analytes. The specificity was further confirmed by stress degradation studies. The LOD and LOQ were determined based on the signal-to-noise ratio of 3 and 10, respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Method Development and Validation

Selection of mobile phase involved testing various mobile phase solvents (methanol, acetonitrile, citric acid, citrate buffer and distilled water) at different combination ratios. Following several experimental trials, FLB and TCS were successfully separated with the solvent combination of acetonitrile, i.e., $8 \times 10^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ citric acid (pH 3.26), ratio 90:10, v/v under isocratic condition. The optimum flow rate was 0.3 ml min$^{-1}$. The chosen optimum wavelength was 242 nm which provided the best sensitivity for both the analytes. The HPLC chromatogram under optimal conditions is depicted in Figure 1(c). The $t_R$ for FLB and TCS were around 10.11 min and 12.55 min, respectively. The validation results are summarised in Table 1. Excellent linearity was achieved for both calibration curves. Accuracy and precision were calculated as percentage (%) recovery and percentage RSD of the NGs and QC sample solutions, and the results indicated satisfactory recoveries for both drugs. The specificity of the method was adequate as there was no interference (Figure 1). The LOD found for both analytes is much lower than that of the reported method for FLB (0.03 µg ml$^{-1}$), and TCS (2 µg ml$^{-1}$).$^{4,5}$

3.2 Stress Degradation Studies

No substantial degradation observed (data not shown), except in the acid hydrolysis study which revealed a significant degradation (60%) of FLB in 2 M HCl atmosphere after 24 h exposure. Contrarily, potentiation effect was observed for
TCS when it was subjected to acid stress for 24 h, with more than 300% increase. The exact mechanism of this synergy should be investigated in future.

4. CONCLUSION

A novel, simple, specific and stability-indicating HPLC method for the simultaneous quantification of FLB and TCS was developed and validated. The analytes were extracted from the nanogel formulation and quantified without any interference from the excipient constituents or impurities. The stress degradation studies revealed that 2 M HCl exerts an antagonistic effect on FLB but on the contrary, a pronounced synergistic effect with TCS. The method could be employed in the simultaneous determination of FLB and TCS in dental formulations.

Table 1: The proposed method validation results.

| Parameter                             | Flurbiprofen | Triclosan | Acceptable limits<sup>a,b</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| System suitability<sup>(n = 10)</sup> |              |           |                                 |
| t<sub>R</sub> (±SD)                   | 10.11 (0.03) | 12.55 (0.02) | –                               |
| Tailing factor (±SD)                  | 1.45 (0.07)  | 0.98 (0.03)  | ≤ 2                             |
| Number of theoretical plates (±SD)   | 8160 (592)   | 4575 (238)  | > 2000                          |
| Resolution (±SD)                     | 2.50 (0.13)  | 3.97 (0.30)  | > 2                             |
| Injection repeatability (% RSD)      | 0.14         | 0.09       | ≤ 1%                            |

Linearity and range

Regression equation

- Flurbiprofen: \( y = (149431) x + (−118634) \)
- Triclosan: \( y = (67450.0) x + (59777.6) \)

R<sup>2</sup> 0.9991 1 > 0.999

Ranges (µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) 15–300 10–200 –

Accuracy

Average recovery (%) 97.93<sup>a</sup>, 103.18<sup>b</sup> 96.07<sup>a</sup>, 99.28<sup>b</sup> 100 ± 2%

Average R.E. (%) −2.07<sup>a</sup>, 3.18<sup>b</sup> −3.93<sup>a</sup>, −0.72<sup>b</sup> ± 2%

Average RSD (%) 0.32<sup>a</sup>, 0.25<sup>b</sup> 0.18<sup>a</sup>, 0.66<sup>b</sup> –

Precision

Intra-day precision (% RSD) 0.41 0.75 ≤ 2%

Inter-day precision (% RSD) 1.32 0.98 ≤ 2%

LOD (µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) (% RSD) 0.01 0.02 –

LOQ (µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) (% RSD) 0.03 0.06 –

Notes: R.E. = Relative error; " nanogel formulation (n = 6); " QC samples at three concentration levels (n = 6)
Figure 1: Chromatograms of loaded NGs formulation (a); unloaded NGs formulation (b); standard solution (c); methanol (d) and mobile phase (e) of the proposed method.
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