Role of Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 Protein Kinases in the Maltose Metabolism of Baker’s Yeast
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Glucose repression is a key regulatory system controlling the metabolism of non-glucose carbon source in yeast. Glucose represses the utilization of maltose, the most abundant fermentable sugar in lean dough and wort, thereby negatively affecting the fermentation efficiency and product quality of pasta products and beer. In this study, the focus was on the role of three kinases, Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1, in the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast in lean dough. The results suggested that the three kinases played different roles in the regulation of the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast with differential regulations on MAL genes. Elm1 was necessary for the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast in maltose and maltose-glucose, and the overexpression of ELM1 could enhance the maltose metabolism and lean dough fermentation ability by upregulating the transcription of MALx1 (x is the locus) in maltose and maltose-glucose and MALx2 in maltose. The native level of TOS3 and SAK1 was essential for yeast cells to adapt glucose repression, but the overexpression of TOS3 and SAK1 alone repressed the expression of MALx1 in maltose-glucose and MALx2 in maltose. Moreover, the three kinases might regulate the maltose metabolism via the Snf1-parallel pathways with a carbon source-dependent manner. These results, for the first time, suggested that Elm1, rather than Tos3 and Sak1, might be the dominant regulator in the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast. These findings provided knowledge about the glucose repression of maltose and gave a new perspective for breeding industrial yeasts with rapid maltose metabolism.
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INTRODUCTION

Glucose repression is a key regulatory system controlling the synthesis of a series of enzymes involved in the carbohydrate metabolism in yeast (Trumbly, 1992; Klein et al., 1998; Carlson, 1999; Kim et al., 2019). In the presence of glucose, the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of alternate fermentable carbon sources (such as maltose, galactose, and sucrose), non-fermentable ones (such as glycerol, ethanol, and acetate), and respiratory metabolism is repressed (Srdan et al., 2004; Martinez-Ortiz et al., 2019; Lin, 2021). This disadvantage could be substantial in biotechnological production processes, in which glucose is sometimes not the primary carbon source or respiratory metabolism is demanded (Verstrepen et al., 2004). For example, maltose is the
most abundant fermentable sugar in lean dough (Hazell and Attfield, 1999; Bell et al., 2001; Jiang et al., 2008). The ability to utilize the maltose in baker's yeast determines the fermentation efficiency and quality of pasta products (Bell et al., 2001; Jiang et al., 2008). However, glucose represses the expression of genes encoding for maltose permease and maltase, and inhibits the activity of these enzymes in baker's yeast, thereby, negatively affecting the maltose metabolism and lean dough fermentation of baker's yeast (Srđan et al., 2004; Hatanaka et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015a,c). Thus, alleviating glucose repression is essential to improve the utilization efficiency of non-glucose carbon sources.

The Snf1 protein kinase is a member of the remarkably conserved AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) family in eukaryotes (Meng et al., 2021). The Snf1 kinase is crucial to the glucose derepression of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, ensuring the availability of non-preferred carbon sources (Coccetti et al., 2018; Persson et al., 2020). Snf1 regulates the expression of glucose-repressed genes by regulating the phosphorylation status and nuclear localization of the repressor Mig1 (Östling and Ronne, 1998). In glucose limitation, Snf1 is activated, and phosphorylates repressor Mig1, thereby preventing the interaction of Mig1 with the corepressors Ssn6-Tup1 and promoting the transcription of downstream glucose-repressed genes (Östling and Ronne, 1998; Papamichos-Chronakis et al., 2004). Unphosphorylated Mig1 is retained in the nucleus, and interacts with Ssn6-Tup1 when Snf1 is inactive in high glucose (Östling and Ronne, 1998; Papamichos-Chronakis et al., 2004).

The Snf1 protein kinase is a complex that consists of an alpha catalytic subunit Snf1, a gamma regulatory subunit Snf4, and one of the three alternative beta regulatory subunits, namely, Sip1, Sip2, and Gal83 (Daniel and Carling, 2002; Garcia-Salcedo et al., 2014; Meng et al., 2020). Snf1 activation requires at least two steps: First, Snf4 binds to the C-terminal regulatory domain of the catalytic subunit Snf1 to counteract the autoinhibition of Snf1, in which β regulatory subunits participate in the linkage of Snf1 and Snf4, and direct the subcellular localization of Snf1 (McCartney and Schmidt, 2001). Second, the phosphorylation of the Thr210 site of the catalytic subunit Snf1 is initiated by three upstream protein kinases, namely, Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 (Hong et al., 2003; Garcia-Salcedo et al., 2014). The three kinases exhibit a stress-dependent demand for the activation of different isoforms of Snf1, and contribute differently to cellular regulation in various carbon sources (McCartney et al., 2005). Although Sak1 appears to be the major one in the Snf1-dependent regulation of the metabolism of non-preferred carbon sources such as raffinose, ethanol, and glycerol, any of the three kinases is sufficient to activate Snf1 (Hedbacker et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2011). The sak1Δ mutants of Candida albicans fail to grow on many alternative carbon sources (Ramirez-Zavala et al., 2017). Tos3 is more important in the activation of Snf1 in non-fermentable carbon sources than in an abrupt glucose stress (Kim et al., 2005). The single mutation of ELM1 does not display an Snf1 phenotype in raffinose, but SAK1, TO33, and ELM1 triple deletions do (Sutherland et al., 2003). However, the role of the three upstream kinases in maltose metabolism is unclear.

In the current study, the genes ELM1, TOS3, and SAK1 were overexpressed and deleted in baker's yeast ABY3α alone to explore the role of the kinases Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 in the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast. The growth characteristic, maltose utilization, lean dough leavening ability, and mRNA level of genes related to the maltose metabolism of the strains were analyzed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and Plasmids**

The strains and plasmids used in the current work were listed in Table 1.

**Media and Culture Conditions**

The E. coli strains were cultured in the Luria-Bertani medium (10 g/L of tryptone, 10 g/L of NaCl, and 5 g/L of yeast extract) at 37°C, and 100 mg/L of ampicillin was used for selecting the positive E. coli transformants. The yeast strains were cultured in the yeast extract peptone dextrose (YEPD) medium that contains...
20 g/L of glucose, 20 g/L of peptone, and 10 g/L of yeast extract. Maltose fermentation was conducted in the low sugar model liquid dough (LSMLD) medium, in which 33.25 g/L of maltose mixed with 5 g/L of glucose or 38 g/L of maltose was used as the carbon source, according to the previous study (Lin et al., 2018).

The yeast strains, which were preserved on slopes at the exponential phase, were inoculated into the YEPD medium by an inoculating loop to the stationary stage at 30°C. Then, 10% of the cultures were inoculated to the YEPD medium at 30°C with 180 rpm rotary shaking for 24 h. The second-cultures were centrifuged at 4°C with 1,500 × g for 5 min. The cells were collected after washing with distilled water twice.

**Construction of Plasmids and Transformants**

Yeast genomic DNA was obtained using the yeast DNA kit (D1900, Solarbio, Beijing, China). Plasmids were obtained using the Plasmid Mini Kit II (DC201-01, Vazyme, Jiangsu, China). The gene fragments were cloned to the plasmids using the ClonExpressII One Step Cloning Kit (C112, Vazyme, Jiangsu, China). Plasmids were obtained using Yeast genomic DNA was obtained using the yeast DNA kit (D1900, Solarbio, Beijing, China). Plasmids were obtained using the Plasmid Mini Kit II (DC201-01, Vazyme, Jiangsu, China). Plasmids were obtained using the ClonExpressII One Step Cloning Kit (C112, Vazyme, Jiangsu, China). Primers used in this work were listed in Table 2.

To construct the episomal plasmidYep-PEK, firstly, the gene ELM1 was amplified using the primers ELM1-F/ELM1-R with the genome of ABY3α as a template. Secondly, the fragment of ELM1 was inserted into the XhoI site of the promoter and terminator of PGK1 in the plasmid Yep-P, yielding the plasmid Yep-P. Finally, the selectable marker fragment KanMX, which was amplified using the primers Kan-F/Kan-R with the vector pUG6 as a template, was inserted into the SphI site of the plasmid Yep-P. The episomal plasmids Yep-PTK and Yep-PSK were constructed using the abovementioned strategy with the primers TOS3-F/TOS3-R and SAK1-F/SAK1-R, respectively.

To obtain the ELM1-deleted mutant, firstly, the gene ELM1 was amplified using the primers ELM1-F/ELM1-R with the genome of ABY3α as a template. Secondly, the fragment of ELM1 was inserted into the XhoI site of the plasmid Yep-P, yielding the plasmid Yep-PE. Finally, the selectable marker fragment KanMX, which was amplified using the primers Kan-F/Kan-R with the vector pUG6 as a template, was inserted into the SphI site of the plasmid Yep-P. The episomal plasmids Yep-PTK and Yep-PSK were constructed using the abovementioned strategy with the primers TOS3-F/TOS3-R and SAK1-F/SAK1-R, respectively.

To obtain the ELM1-deleted mutant, the method 'DNA assembler' was used to rapidly assemble the fragments on the chromosome (Shao et al., 2008; Li et al., 2018). The upstream and downstream sequences of ELM1 were amplified using the primers ELM1-BA-F/ELM1-BA-R, and ELM1-BB-F/ELM1-BB-R, respectively, with the genome of ABY3α as a template. The selectable marker gene KanMX was amplified using the primers Kan-F/Kan-R with the vector pUG6 as a template. The three fragments were transformed into the strain ABY3α, and KanMX was integrated to the ELM1 site of ABY3α by homologous recombination. The TOS3-deleted and SAK1-deleted mutants were constructed using the same strategy with the primers TOS3-BA-F/TOS3-BA-R, TOS3-BB-F/TOS3-BB-R, and TOS3-Kan-F/TOS3-Kan-R and SAK1-BA-F/SAK1-BA-R, SAK1-BA-F/SAK1-BA-R, and SAK1-Kan-F/SAK1-Kan-R, respectively.

To obtain the SNF1-deleted mutant, firstly, the selectable marker gene KanMX was amplified using the primers SNF1-F/SNF1-R with the vector pUG6 as a template. Secondly, the fragment SNF1F-Kan-SNF1R was integrated to the SNF1 fragment site of ABY3α. Finally, the gene KanMX was knocked out by the Cre/Lox system. The REG1-deleted mutant was constructed using the same strategy with the primers REG1-F/REG1-R.

**TABLE 2 | Primers used in the present study.**

| Primer          | Sequence (5' → 3')                      |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|
| ELM1-F          | CAAAGTCTGGATTGACGACGGCTGGATCACTGGCAACTGGC |
| ELM1-R          | ATCTATGCGACAGTCGGCGGCTCTGTGATCGTTGACGCA |
| TOS3-F          | CAAAGTCTGGATTGACGACGGCTGGATCACTGGCAACTGGC |
| TOS3-R          | ATCTATGCGACAGTCGGCGGCTCTGTGATCGTTGACGCA |
| SAK1-F          | CAAAGTCTGGATTGACGACGGCTGGATCACTGGCAACTGGC |
| SAK1-R          | ATCTATGCGACAGTCGGCGGCTCTGTGATCGTTGACGCA |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | Continued

For verification

| Primer | Sequence (5′ → 3′) |
|--------|--------------------|
| PGK-F  | TCTAAGCGTACTATGCCAAAGACTGA |
| PGK-R  | TAAGAACAGCGAAATTTC |
| Kan-FV | CAGCTGGAAGCTCTGAGG |
| Kan-RV | GCTAGGGCCACTAGTGGC |
| ELM1-U1 | CGCTGTGAGAGCCACATAACCGTCC |
| ELM1-D1 | TGGATGATGACAAACTGCGATCA |
| ELM1-U2 | GGCTTGCGCAATGGTATTACGATG |
| ELM1-D2 | ATCCTCAAGATAGCCTGGCTGT |
| TOS3-U1 | TTTAGTTACCTTGTGTTGTTC |
| TOS3-D1 | CAGCCAGTATTGCTGACCACTCAT |
| TOS3-U2 | ATGGCGTGACGTTATGCTGAGG |
| TOS3-D2 | AGAGAAACAGACGACAGATG |
| SAK1-U1 | ACGTATACCTCCTCAAGGCTAA |
| SAK1-D1 | GATAAAATGTTGAGTGTCGGAG |
| SAK1-U2 | GCTGCTGACATGGCTGATG |
| SAK1-D2 | CTTCTTTACCACCTGTCGACATC |
| S-F    | GGCTGTCTTCTATCATAGCGGAGAATA |
| S-R    | CGCTCAAAATGGAAATCCACAAATATTG |
| R-F    | GGCTTGTATACGTATAACCCACAC |
| R-R    | CTTGCGTGATCATTTGCTGTA |
| K-F    | CTTGTGACAGCTACGTCCATG |
| K-R    | CGCATCAACACCAAGCGTATTC |
| Z-F    | CCCACACCACTAGCCTCA |
| Z-R    | AGCTTGCAATTAAAGGCTT |

For RT-PCR

| Primer | Sequence (5′ → 3′) |
|--------|--------------------|
| ACT1-F | ATTGATACGGTGTCGTTGTC |
| ACT1-R | AATGGGGAATGTAAGGTC |
| MAL61-F | TACCTCGGTGGTATGTCG |
| MAL61-R | AGQACACATTGTGAAGCCC |
| MAL62-F | AGTTTCTGCGAAATTCG |
| MAL62-R | GTCCACGCGCAATCACA |
| MAL31-F | TCCTAGAACAAATAGCGAACC |
| MAL31-R | TCTGCGTCTGTTCACGATTA |
| MAL32-F | TCCAGAAACAGCAGAGAGTGG |
| MAL32-R | AGCTCATAAAACGCAAAACCA |

Yeast transformations were obtained using the lithium acetate/PEG method (Gietz, 2015). A total of 800 mg/L of G418 (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) was used to select the positive S. cerevisiae transformants. The YEPG medium (20 g/L of galactose, 20 g/L of peptone, and 10 g/L of yeast extract) was used for the Cre expression in the yeast transformants. A total of 500 mg/L of Zeocin (R25001, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) was used to select the yeast strains carrying the plasmid pSH-Zeocin. The plasmids Yep-PK, Yep-PEK, Yep-PTK, and Yep-PSK were transformed into the parental strain to obtain the transformants A+Yp, A+E, A+T, and A+S, respectively. The plasmid Yep-PEK was transformed into the SNP1-deleted mutant to get the transformant A+E-SNP1. The plasmids Yep-PEK, Yep-PTK, and Yep-PSK were transformed into the REG1-deleted mutants to get the REG1-deleted mutants A+E-REG1, A+T-REG1, and A+S-REG1, respectively. The primers used in the verification of the strains were listed in Table 2. The fragment containing PGK1 and the overexpressed gene was verified by PCR to confirm the transformation of an episomal plasmid. The fixed-point verification method, which used the primers that were intercepted from the outside of the upstream/downstream homologous of the target gene and from the inside of the KanMX gene, was used to verify the gene-deleted mutants. The amplification of Zeocin was used to verify the transformation of the plasmid pSH-Zeocin.

Measurement of Growth Curve

Yeast cells were inoculated in the 2% glucose and 2% maltose conditions at 30°C. Then, the 3% (vol/vol) inoculations (equivalent to 3 × 10⁸ cells) were transferred to the same condition, and the cell density OD₆₀₀ was monitored at 30°C using a UV spectrophotometer (T6, Persee, Beijing, China). The specific growth rate was calculated by the change in the OD₆₀₀ Naperian logarithm versus time during exponential growth. A total of 10 mL of the cell culture was filtered in the stationary phase, washed twice with 10 mL of distilled water, and then dried at 105°C for 24 h to measure the cell dry weight. The biomass yield was expressed as the gram (dry weight) of yeast cells per liter of medium. Experiments were conducted three times.

Measurement of Extracellular Sugar

A total of 2 g of fresh yeast was cultured in the LSMLD media at 30°C. The cultures were sampled at different fermentation time points. The measurement of extracellular sugars and the calculation of maltose utilization efficiency and time span value were conducted using the previous method (Lin et al., 2018). The samples were filtered through 0.45 µm pore size cellulose acetate filters (Millipore Corp, Danvers, MA, United States) and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography with a refractive index detector and a SilGreen R GH030078H column (300*7.8 mm*8 um, SilGreen, Beijing, China) at 65°C. 5 mM H₂SO₄ was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min.

The maltose utilization efficiency in the maltose LSMLD medium was determined by the ratio of the consumed maltose in the whole process to the total maltose. The maltose utilization efficiency in the maltose-glucose LSMLD medium was determined by the ratio of the consumed maltose when glucose was exhausted to the total maltose. The time span refers to the difference between the time points at which half of the maltose and half of the glucose was consumed in the maltose-glucose LSMLD medium. Three independent experiments were performed.

Test of CO₂ Production

The leavening ability of yeast cells in lean dough was tested according to the previous study (Zhang et al., 2015b), based on the Chinese National Standards for yeast used in food processing with the following modification. First, 140 g of standard flour, 72.5 mL of water, 4.5 g of fresh yeast, and 2 g of salt were evenly and quickly mixed at 30 ± 0.2°C of the dough center temperature in 5 min. Then, 50 g of mixed lean dough was transferred to a 250 mL graduated cylinder and...
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FIGURE 1 | mRNA level of the overexpressed genes. The expression level of ELM1 in the strain A+E, TOS3 in the strain A+T, and SAK1 in the strain A+S was tested using qRT-PCR. The cells were sampled from YEPD medium at 16 h. ABY3α: the parental strain; A+E: the transformant carrying ELM1 overexpression; A+T: the transformant carrying TOS3 overexpression; A+S: the transformant carrying SAK1 overexpression. Significant differences of the transformants to the parental strain were confirmed at *p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Growth Property

Under the control of the constitutive yeast phosphoglycerate kinase gene (PGK1) promoter (PGK1P) and terminator (PGK1T), the mRNA expression level of ELM1, TOS3, and SAK1 were upregulated by 68-, 77-, and 64-fold in the transformants A+E, A+T, and A+S, respectively, compared with the parental strain (Figure 1). To test the influence of ELM1/TOS3/SAK1 overexpression on the growth characteristic of baker’s yeast, the growth curves of the strains were monitored in 2% glucose and maltose (Figure 2). Moreover, the specific growth rate and biomass yield of the strains were calculated (Table 3). Transformant A+YP, a blank control to reflect any possible influence of an empty vector, displayed growth similar to that of the parental strain. ELM1-overexpressed transformant A+E, TOS3-overexpressed transformant A+T, and SAK1-overexpressed transformant A+S improved cell proliferation to varying degrees in glucose. In maltose, compared with parental strain ABY3α, the specific growth rate of the transformant A+E decreased from 0.460 to 0.423 h⁻¹ in maltose, but the final biomass yield showed a slight change. Only the transformant A+S exhibited an enhanced specific growth rate and biomass yield in maltose. Compared with the parental strain, although obvious changes of the specific growth rate were observed in the gene-deleted mutants (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1), the deletion of any of the three genes did not influence the final biomass yield in glucose; the deletions of ELM1 and SAK1 inhibited the biomass yield in maltose instead.

Statistical Analysis

Student’s t-test was performed to analyze the differences of the transformants and the parental strain. Differences at P < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant differences.
baker's yeast growth via a carbon source-dependent pathway. Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1, performed a different regulation of the promote cell growth in maltose. Therefore, the three kinases, SAK1 cell growth in glucose, and only an increased redundancy function in the cell growth of baker's yeast in glucose. However, increasing each gene dosage was sufficient to enhance the maltose utilization than the parental strain in the maltose-glucose and maltose conditions, with no noticeable difference in glucose consumption. The single deletion of TOS3 and SAK1 did not affect maltose consumption in maltose, whereas, a negative effect was observed in maltose-glucose (Supplementary Figure 2).

These findings reflected that Elm1 might be the positive regulator of the maltose metabolism in baker's yeast used in this work in the analyzed conditions. Tos3 and Sak1 were not necessary for maltose metabolism in maltose, and even negatively regulated the maltose metabolism at a high expression level. Nevertheless, native expression levels of TOS3 and SAK1 were essential for the baker's yeast cell to resist glucose repression to utilize maltose in the maltose-glucose condition.

Fermentation Property in Lean Dough
The fermentation capacity of the transformants and the parental strain was measured in lean dough to further test the influence of ELM1/TOS3/SAK1 overexpression on the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast (Figure 4). Blank control strain A+YP exhibited

### Table 3

| Strains | Glucose | Maltose |
|---------|---------|---------|
|         | Specific growth rate (h\(^{-1}\)) | Biomass yield (g/L) | Specific growth rate (h\(^{-1}\)) | Biomass yield (g/L) |
| ABY3α   | 0.512 ± 0.002 | 8.24 ± 0.12 | 0.460 ± 0.002 | 8.08 ± 0.09 |
| A+PK    | 0.514 ± 0.003 | 8.27 ± 0.11 | 0.454 ± 0.003 | 8.11 ± 0.08 |
| A+E     | 0.520 ± 0.002 | 9.04 ± 0.08* | 0.423 ± 0.005* | 8.30 ± 0.11 |
| A+T     | 0.539 ± 0.003* | 8.88 ± 0.09* | 0.466 ± 0.003 | 8.14 ± 0.09 |
| A+S     | 0.551 ± 0.004* | 9.36 ± 0.10* | 0.583 ± 0.003* | 8.94 ± 0.09* |

ABY3α, the parental strain; A+PK, the strain carrying the vector Yep-PK used as a blank control to demonstrate any possible effect of the empty vector; A+E, the transformant carrying ELM1 overexpression; A+T, the transformant carrying TOS3 overexpression; A+S, the transformant carrying SAK1 overexpression. Significant differences of the transformants to the parental strain were confirmed at *p* < 0.05.

**Sugar Consumption in the LSMLD Media**
To investigate the influence of ELM1/TOS3/SAK1 overexpression on the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast, the utilization of maltose and glucose was tested in the LSMLD media (Figure 3). Blank control strain A+YP exhibited sugar consumption similar to that of the parental strain ABY3α. Compared with the parental strain ABY3α, ELM1 overexpression strain A+E increased the maltose utilization efficiency by 15 and 11% in the maltose-glucose and maltose LSMLD media, respectively, and no evident changes of glucose utilization were observed in the maltose-glucose condition. Simultaneously, the deletion of ELM1 repressed the maltose consumption in maltose-glucose and maltose (Supplementary Figure 2). Time span value, a parameter that judges the degree of glucose repression, was calculated from Figure 3B. An 18% decrease (1.65 h in the parental strain and 1.35 h in the strain A+E) of the time span was obtained in the transformant A+E compared with the parental strain. Unexpectedly, TOS3 overexpression strain A+T and SAK1 overexpression strain A+S showed a much lower maltose utilization than the parental strain in the maltose-glucose and maltose conditions, with no noticeable difference in glucose consumption. The single deletion of TOS3 and SAK1 did not affect maltose consumption in maltose, whereas, a negative effect was observed in maltose-glucose (Supplementary Figure 2).

These findings reflected that Elm1 might be the positive regulator of the maltose metabolism in baker's yeast used in this work in the analyzed conditions. Tos3 and Sak1 were not necessary for maltose metabolism in maltose, and even negatively regulated the maltose metabolism at a high expression level. Nevertheless, native expression levels of TOS3 and SAK1 were essential for the baker's yeast cell to resist glucose repression to utilize maltose in the maltose-glucose condition.

---

**Figure 2** | Growth curves of the strains carrying genes overexpression.

Growth curves were monitored in (A) 2% glucose (YPED medium) and (B) 2% maltose (YPEM medium consisted of 20 g/L maltose, 20 g/L peptone, and 10 g/L yeast extract) conditions at appropriate time intervals at 30°C. ABY3α, the parental strain; A+PK, the strain carrying the vector Yep-PK used as a blank control to demonstrate any possible effect of the empty vector; A+E, the transformant carrying ELM1 overexpression; A+T, the transformant carrying TOS3 overexpression; A+S, the transformant carrying SAK1 overexpression. Significant differences of the transformants A+E, A+T, and A+S to the parental strain were confirmed at *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.05, and ***p*** < 0.05, respectively.

**Figure 3** | Sugar Consumption in the LSMLD Media

A 18% decrease (1.65 h in the parental strain and 1.35 h in the strain A+E) of the time span was obtained in the transformant A+E compared with the parental strain. Unexpectedly, TOS3 overexpression strain A+T and SAK1 overexpression strain A+S showed a much lower maltose utilization than the parental strain in the maltose-glucose and maltose conditions, with no noticeable difference in glucose consumption. The single deletion of TOS3 and SAK1 did not affect maltose consumption in maltose, whereas, a negative effect was observed in maltose-glucose (Supplementary Figure 2).

**Figure 4** | Fermentation Property in Lean Dough

The fermentation capacity of the transformants and the parental strain was measured in lean dough to further test the influence of ELM1/TOS3/SAK1 overexpression on the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast (Figure 4). Blank control strain A+YP exhibited...
a fermentation performance similar to that of the parental strain ABY3α. ELM1 overexpression strain A+E exhibited a stronger CO2-releasing ability than the parental strain. Compared with the parental strain ABY3α, the transformant A+E increased the total amounts of CO2 within 120 min and leavening ability by 12 and 15%, respectively; decreases of 21 and 26% were observed in the ELM1 deletion (Supplementary Figure 3). On the contrary, the total amounts of CO2 within 120 min and the leavening power of TOS3 overexpression strain A+T were 12 and 23% lower than those of the parental strain, respectively; those for SAK1 overexpression strain A+S were 30 and 21% lower, respectively.
FIGURE 5 | Expression levels of (A) \textit{MAL}x1 and (B) \textit{MAL}x2 in the single-kinase gene overexpression. 2 g fresh yeast was cultured in the LSMLD media and cultures were sampled at 30 min. The expression levels of genes (A1) \textit{MAL}61, (A2) \textit{MAL}31 and (B1) \textit{MAL}62, (B2) \textit{MAL}32 were tested using qRT-PCR. ABY3\textsubscript{a}, the parental strain; A+PK, the strain carrying the vector Yep-PK used as a blank control to demonstrate any possible effect of the empty vector; A+E, the transformant carrying \textit{ELM}1 overexpression; A+T, the transformant carrying \textit{TOS}3 overexpression; A+S, the transformant carrying \textit{SAK}1 overexpression. Significant differences of the transformants to the parental strain were confirmed at $^* p < 0.05$.

The single deletion of \textit{TOS}3 and \textit{SAK}1 delayed the release of CO$_2$ in the early stage of fermentation, but this inhibition effect might be relieved with the release of glucose repression in lean dough. These results confirmed the positive effect of \textit{ELM}1 overexpression on maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast and suggested the importance of the normal transcription of \textit{TOS}3 and \textit{SAK}1 in the lean dough fermentation.

Expression Level of \textit{MAL} Genes

The transcription of genes \textit{MAL}61/\textit{MAL}31 and \textit{MAL}62/\textit{MAL}32 was analyzed in the maltose-glucose and maltose conditions (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 4). In general, Elm1 positively regulated the transcription of \textit{MAL}61 and \textit{MAL}31 in maltose and maltose-glucose and the transcription of \textit{MAL}62 and \textit{MAL}32 in maltose. By contrast, \textit{Tos}3 and \textit{Sak}1 negatively regulated the expression of \textit{MAL}61/\textit{MAL}31 in maltose-glucose and the expression of \textit{MAL}62 in maltose.

The \textit{MAL}61 mRNA level was tested in the overexpression of \textit{ELM}1 combined with the deleted \textit{SNF}1 to investigate whether \textit{Elm}1 regulated the expression of \textit{MAL}x1 via the \textit{Snf}1 pathway. Compared with the parental strain, the expression of \textit{MAL}61 considerably decreased in strain A+E-SNF1 (Figure 6A), suggesting the possibility of the Elm1-Snf1-Malx1 pathway in the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast. The downregulated transcription of \textit{MAL} in the overexpression strains suggested the Snf1-independent regulatory pathways by the kinases. Liu et al. (2011) showed that \textit{Sak}1 interacted with the other protein (mainly referred to \textit{Reg}1) without relying on Snf1 in glucose. \textit{Reg}1 is one of the regulatory subunits of the type 1 protein phosphatase of baker’s yeast and regulates glucose repression by targeting the catalytic subunit Glc7 to the corresponding substrates (Tabba et al., 2010). The deletion of \textit{REG}1 can increase the expression of \textit{MAL}61 and \textit{MAL}62, and enhance the activities of maltose permease and maltase of industrial baker’s yeast (Lin et al., 2015, 2018). Therefore, the expression levels of \textit{MAL}61 and \textit{MAL}62 were tested in the \textit{REG}1-deleted genetic background. The expression levels of \textit{MAL}61 and \textit{MAL}62 in strain A+S-\textit{REG}1 were lower than those of the parental strain in maltose-glucose and maltose (Figure 6). These results suggested the possibility of a Reg1-independent form.
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**FIGURE 6** | Expression levels of (A) *MALx1* and (B) *MALx2* in the gene-combination mutants. 2 g fresh yeast was cultured in the LSMLD media and cultures were sampled at 30 min. The expression levels of genes (A) *MAL61* and (B) *MAL62* were tested using qRT-PCR. ABY3α, the parental strain; A-SNF1, the SNF1-deleted mutant; A+PK-SNF1, the SNF1-deleted mutant carrying the vector Yep-PK; A-REG1, the REG1-deleted mutant; A+PK-REG1, the REG1-deleted mutant carrying the vector Yep-PK; A+E-SNF1, the SNF1-deleted mutant carrying *ELM1* overexpression; A+E-REG1, the REG1-deleted mutant carrying *ELM1* overexpression; A+T-REG1, the REG1-deleted mutant carrying *TOS3* overexpression; A+S-REG1, the REG1-deleted mutant carrying *SAK1* overexpression. Significant differences of the transformants to the parental strain were confirmed at ∗*P* < 0.05.

in the regulation of genes involved in maltose metabolism by Sak1. Similarly, Tos3 regulated the expression of *MAL61* via pathways unrelated to Reg1 under the maltose-glucose and maltose conditions as well as the regulation of *MAL62* by Elm1 (Figure 6). These results indicated that the three kinases differentially regulated the transcription of *MAL* genes via unknown, complex pathways but in a carbon source- and *MAL*-dependent manner.
FIGURE 7 | Regulation of the kinases Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 on MALx1 and MALx2 in maltose-glucose and maltose. x was the locus and MAL3 and MAL6 were analyzed in this study. Red arrow line: positive regulation. Red straight line: native level of the kinase needed. Red flat end line: negative regulation. MALx2 in the regulation of Tos3 in maltose mainly was MAL32. MALx1 in the regulation of Sak1 in maltose-glucose mainly was MAL31. MALx2 in the regulation of Sak1 in maltose mainly was MAL32.

DISCUSSION

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 kinases are known as the upstream regulators of the Snf1-Mig1 pathway in glucose repression (Hedbacker and Carlson, 2008). In this study, the focus was on the role of the three upstream kinases in the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast. The results suggested that Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 played different roles in the regulation of the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast. Elm1 was necessary for the maltose metabolism of baker’s yeast in maltose and maltose-glucose, and the overexpression of ELM1 could promote the utilization of maltose. Native Tos3 and Sak1 were essential for yeast cells to adapt glucose inhibition, but high levels of TOS3 and SAK1 negatively affected the maltose metabolism.

In this study, the overexpression of ELM1 alleviated glucose repression and upregulated the expression of MAL61 and MAL31 in glucose repression and maltose induction. The increase in maltose uptake by MAL61 overexpression could facilitate the maltose metabolism and fermentation ability of baker’s yeast in lean dough (Zhang et al., 2015b). The existence of the Elm1-Snf1-Mal61 pathway demonstrated that Elm1 might be one of the dominant upstream regulators in the glucose repression of maltose. The inferior transcription of MAL62 and MAL32 could affect maltose hydrolysis and delay the release of CO2 in the initial lean dough fermentation in ELM1 overexpression, and then, high CO2 was produced with an upregulated MAL32 in a glucose derepression condition. These findings demonstrated the differential regulation on MAL genes by Elm1. Snf1 is a positive regulator of maltose metabolism in baker’s yeast. Elm1 functions in many cellular activities of yeast in addition to glucose repression, and multiple pathways intersect in response to signals (Souid et al., 2006; Ye et al., 2008; Casamayor et al., 2012). Therefore, Elm1 might regulate the expression of MALx2, even MALx1, via other unknown pathways rather than relying on Snf1.

The overexpression of TOS3 and SAK1 repressed the uptake of maltose with a downregulated transcription of MAL61/MAL31 in maltose-glucose, thereby inhibiting maltose metabolism and lean dough fermentation. However, native Tos3 and Sak1 were necessary to adapt the glucose repression because the deletion of TOS3 and SAK1 decreased maltose metabolism in maltose-glucose and the initial lean dough fermentation. The increased expression of MAL32 without glucose repression could contribute to the release of CO2 in the late lean dough fermentation in TOS3 and SAK1 deletions. These findings did
not contradict the view that Sak1 is the central upstream kinase involved in the regulation of Snf1 in glucose repression (Hedbacker et al., 2004) and suggested Snf1-independent pathways other than the Reg1-dependent form by the kinases. The regulation of the kinases on maltose metabolism differed from that of invertase in glucose limitation (McCartney et al., 2005). Therefore, the three protein kinases regulated carbon sources metabolism in a signal-dependent manner, and different responses were produced in the same signal (Hong et al., 2003). The specific regulation pathway of Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 in the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast needs to be studied further.

The function of the three protein kinases in the regulation of cell growth differed from that in the regulation of maltose metabolism. The overexpression of Elm1 improved the growth of baker's yeast in glucose, confirming the role of Elm1 in the coordination of cell growth in budding yeast (Bouquin et al., 2000; Sreenivasan et al., 2003). A similar effect was caused by Tos3 overexpression in the same condition. This result showed a discrepancy to the findings of Kim et al. (2005), who reported that the mutation of Tos3 negatively affects the growth of a laboratory S. cerevisiae strain in a non-fermentable carbon source with no effect on glucose and raffinose. This finding may be attributed to the discrepancy of yeast strains and the test method used. The overexpression of Sak1 enhanced the growth of baker's yeast in glucose and maltose. This finding was consistent with the results of Raab et al. (2011), who analyzed in glucose and non-fermentable carbon source conditions, and suggested the dominant role of Sak1 in the regulation of cell growth in maltose. The increase in growth cannot compensate for the reduction in maltose metabolism caused by downregulated Mal in Tos3 and Sak1 mutants.

Overall, Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 played different roles in the regulation of maltose metabolism of baker's yeast with differential regulations on Mal genes (Figure 7). Elm1 was necessary for the maltose metabolism of baker's yeast in maltose-maltose-glucose, and the overexpression of Elm1 could enhance the maltose metabolism and lean dough fermentation ability of baker's yeast by upregulating the transcription of Mal61 and Mal31 in maltose and maltose-glucose and the transcription of Mal62 and Mal32 in maltose. The native level of Tos3 and Sak1 was essential for yeast cells to adapt glucose repression, but the overexpression of Tos3 and Sak1 alone negatively affected maltose metabolism largely by repressing the expression of Mal61/Mal31 in maltose-glucose and the expression of Mal32 in maltose. Moreover, the three upstream kinases might regulate maltose metabolism via the Snf1-parallel pathways with a carbon source-dependent manner. These findings provided a new perspective for breeding industrial yeasts with rapid maltose metabolism and insights into the study of glucose repression in other carbon sources.
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