A study to compare using 0.5% of hyperbaric bupivacaine with clonidine and dexmedetomidine low dose for the lower limb surgeries in a tertiary care hospital
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Abstract

Introduction: Pain relief after Operation is one of the most common growing concern for an anesthesiologist as an uneventful postoperative period makes surgery a comfortable proposition for surgical patients. Perkins and co-workers provided an insight into the reality that poorly managed acute pain like postoperative pain can lead to the occurrence of chronic pain.

Objective: To compare the effect, onset and degree of sensory and motor blockade of using Hyperbaric Bupivacaine Hydrochloride of 0.5% with clonidine along with dexmedetomidine.

Materials and Methods: A Comparative study was carried out by the Department of Anaesthesiology, G. R. Medical College and J. A. Group of Hospitals, Gwalior (M.P.). This study was done on 120 patients who were undergoing lower limb surgery belonging to either sex with age group 18-60 years. 40 Patients were allocated into different groups by randomization technique.

Results: The time of onset of sensory blockade was found to be Quicker in Group 2 & 3 when compared with Group 1 where the time was 5.78 ± 0.97 mins, 2.88 ± 0.85 mins and 2.90 ± 0.81 mins in Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 respectively. The Onset of motor blockade was found to be earlier in Group 2 & 3 as compared to Group 1 i.e. 8.38 ± 1.25 mins in Group 1, 5.28 ± 0.64 mins in Group 2 & 5.38 ± 0.63 in Group 3.

Conclusion: From the study we concluded that there is no additional benefits by adding either clonidine or dexmedetomidine to hyperbaric bupivacaine resulted in increasing the action of sensory and motor blockade.
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A total of 120 patients who were posted for the lower limb surgeries of both the gender within the age group of 18-60 years were included in the study.

Out of the selected patients were blinded by sealed envelope technique and observer anesthesiologist was kept unaware of which drug was injected to which patient thus avoiding observer bias. The anesthesiologist who performed the spinal anesthesia took no further part in the study.

Selected 120 patients were divided randomly in three groups depending upon the drug given.

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|---------|---------|---------|
| (n=40) | (n=40) | (n=40) |
| Hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% (3 ml) + Normal saline (0.5%) injected through intrathecal. | Hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% (3 ml) + 30 µg clonidine (0.5 ml) Given intrathecal. | Hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% (3 ml) + 5 µg dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml) Given intrathecal. |

A predefined criterion was establishing for Respiratory depression Bradycardia and hypotension. Onset time for Sensory Blockade was checked by pin prick for every 5 seconds in any dermatome up to T10 level. The sensory blockade duration was obtained from the time of onset of sensory block to sensory regression below the level of L1.

Motor blockade onset was calculated from the time taken when the intrathecal drug injection till the development of Motor blockade, where the person was unable to move the legs and feet. The total time duration of Motor blockade was measured from the onset of complete motor blockade till the time taken when the patient was just able to flex the knee along with the free movement of feet.

The effect of analgesia was calculated from the onset of sensory blockage to the first request for additional analgesia. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used for the measurement of the pain among the subjects.

Patients were closely observed in the intraoperative and postoperative period for the adverse effects seen among the subjects like vomiting, dyspnœa, nausea, chest pain, respiratory depression, sedation, dysrhythmia, shivering, Bradycardia, hypotension and any other.

The observations were recorded, tabulated and statistical analysis carried out by using appropriate statistical software. Student “t” test was used for the inter group analysis. The association was found to statistically significant when the p value was < 0.05.

**Results**

Out of the total 120 study subjects, 40 subjects were chosen in each of the groups 1, 2 and 3.

All the parameters were compared between the Group 1 and 2, Group 1 and 3, Group 2 and 3.

The mean age in our study was 41.83 ± 10.24, 41.18 ± 10.68 and 41.15 ± 10.45 years among Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3. The percentage of the female participants was much higher than the male participants in the study.

The association between the Group 1 Vs 2, Group 1 Vs 3, Group 2 Vs 3 was found to not significant statistically for all the demographic variables like age, weight and height.

### Table 1: Study participants demographic profile

| Variable           | Group 1          | Group 2          | Group 3          |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Age(yrs.) (mean ± SD) | 41.83 ± 10.24   | 41.18 ± 10.68   | 41.15 ± 10.45   |
| Weight (in Kg)     | 60.60 ± 9.14     | 60.43 ± 10.44    | 61.30 ± 9.64    |
| Height (in cm)     | 162.40 ± 3.00    | 161.03 ± 3.68    | 161.93 ± 3.78   |
| Sex(M:F)           | 18:22            | 19:21            | 18:22           |

In the above table shows the (mean ± SD), time taken for the onset of sensory blockade was 5.78 ± 0.97 mins, 2.88 ± 0.85 mins and 2.90 ± 0.81 mins among the Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 respectively.

### Table 2: Onset and duration of sensory and motor blockade with analgesia duration and VAS score between three groups

| Parameters                              | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sensory blockade onset time in minutes | 5.78    | 2.88    | 2.90    |
| Motor blockade onset time in minutes   | 8.38    | 5.28    | 5.38    |
| Sensory blockade Duration in minutes   | 165.25  | 208.08  | 209.03  |
| Motor blockade Duration in minutes     | 123.75  | 170.45  | 171.08  |
| Analgesia Duration (mins)              | 179.98  | 346.10  | 350.10  |
| VAS at time of first analgesia request | 62.25   | 35.50   | 35.00   |
| Sedation score                         | 1.18    | 2.15    | 2.10    |

A duration of 8.38 ± 1.25 mins in Group 1 was the time taken for the onset of complete motor blockade, among Group 2 it was 5.28 ± 0.64 mins and 5.38 ± 0.63 in Group 3.

The total time duration of sensory blockade in our study was found to be 165.25 ± 24.70 mins in group 1, Group 2 had...
208.08 ±11.79 mins and 209.03 ± 11.07 mins in Group 3. The Mean total duration of motor blockade in minutes seen in our study was found to be 123.75 ± 7.19 mins in Group 1, group 2 it was 170.45 ± 19.28 mins & 171.08 ± 20.23 mins in Group 3.

The total duration of analgesia in our study among Group 1 was 179.98 ± 21.46 mins, Group 2 346.10 ± 58.48 mins and 350.10 ± 56.40 in Group 3.

The sensory Blockade onset was found to be statistically significant between the Groups 1 & 2, Groups 1 & 3 and not significant between the group 2 & 3.

The action of Anesthesia in blocking both the Sensory and Motor Blockade in our study was found to be statistically significant between the Group 1 Vs 2 and Group 1 Vs 3 and was not statistically significant between the Group 2 & 3.

The VAS Score at time of first analgesia request was found to be 62.25 ± 10.97 in Group 1, Group 2 it was 35.50 ± 9.86 and Group 3 it was 35.00 ± 8.47.

The (mean ±SD), sedation score was 1.18 ± 0.38 mins in Group 1, 2.15 ± 0.36 mins in Group 2 & 2.10 ± 0.30 in Group 3

Maximum sensory level achieved by all groups is T4 and sensory blockade was achieved at Highest level was T6.

The side effects and the complication were found to be significant statistically for the Bradycardia, shivering and sedation score in the Group 1 & 2. Between the Group 1 & 3 only shivering and sedation side effects were found to be statistically significant.

Discussion

In the study done by Saxena et al\textsuperscript{12} the mean time duration for the onset of sensory blockade was Quicker among the clonidine group (30 µg) when it was compared with the bupivacaine group 3.95 ± 1.76 min which was highly significant. The mean time duration taken for the onset of motor blockade was quicker for the clonidine group (30 µg) i.e. 2.30 ± 0.45 min when compared to 7.41 ± 0.55 min among the bupivacaine group, which was also found to be significant.

Sethi et al\textsuperscript{13} evaluated the effect of low dose intrathecal clonidine which was used as an adjuvant to bupivacaine and found that the onset of action was clinically and statistically significant with faster onset in clonidine group compared to bupivacaine groups.

In another study conducted by Kanazi et al\textsuperscript{14} evaluated the effect of clonidine (Group 2) & dexmedetomidine (Group 3) as an adjuvant to bupivacaine on 60 patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate or bladder tumor under spinal anesthesia and found that patients in group 2 & 3 had a significantly shorter onset time of motor block than patients in control group.

In our study it was found that combination of intrathecal bupivacaine with dexmedetomidine or clonidine had reduced the onset of sensory and motor Blockade significantly when compared with Bupivacaine alone.

Our study shows that intrathecal clonidine (30µg) or dexmedetomidine (5µg) does not affect the cephalic extension of sensory blockade. Our results were comparable with the studies done by Kanazi et al\textsuperscript{14} who found that the median peak sensory level reached were T6 in group 1 (Bupivacaine) & group 3 (Dexmedetomidine) and T6.5 in group 2 (clonidine 30µg) without significant differences.
between the groups (P >0.3). Seah et al\textsuperscript{15} found that 150µg intrathecal clonidine didn’t affect the highest sensory level achieved by 3ml 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. Elia et al\textsuperscript{16} found that intrathecal usage of clonidine as an adjuvant to local anesthetic does not affect the cephalic extension of sensory blockade. 

In our study, 5µg dexmedetomidine intrathecal was used along with bupivacaine, but there was no significant difference with respect to the duration of sensory and motor block when compared with clonidine group. In studies done by Al-Ghanem et al,\textsuperscript{17} the effect of addition of 5 µg dexmedetomidine or 25 µg fentanyl intrathecal to 10 mg isobaric bupivacaine in vaginal hysterectomy and he also concluded that 5 µg dexmedetomidine produces more prolonged motor and sensory block as compared with 25 µg fentanyl.

In the another study done by Sethi et al\textsuperscript{13} found that intrathecal clonidine 1 µg/kg prolonged the duration of sensory and motor blockade produced by 12.5 mg 0.5% bupivacaine. Niemi,\textsuperscript{8} Seah et al\textsuperscript{15} and Kanazi et al\textsuperscript{14} also found similar results of prolonged sensory and motor blockade with intrathecal clonidine.

Our study showed that intrathecal clonidine (30µg) & dexmedetomidine (5µg) increases the duration of analgesia which is similar to the findings of Saxena et al\textsuperscript{12} found that the mean duration of analgesia was prolonged in clonidine group (30 µg) 264.75 ± 44.3 min compared to bupivacaine (control group) 99.75 ± 21.91 min which was highly significant with p value < 0.01. Al-Mustafa et al,\textsuperscript{13} Van Tuyl et al,\textsuperscript{19} Dobrydnjov et al\textsuperscript{20} and Sethi et al\textsuperscript{13} also found that intrathecal clonidine as an adjuvant prolong the time to first analgesic request.

The Kanazi et al\textsuperscript{29} and Saxena et al\textsuperscript{34} findings with respect to the VAS score at the time of first analgesia request was similar to our study findings.

In the studies done by Kaabachi et al,\textsuperscript{21} Seah et al,\textsuperscript{15} Elia et al\textsuperscript{16} and Tuyl et al,\textsuperscript{19} the side effects and complications were similar to our study findings.

**Conclusion**

From the findings of our study we could conclude that using either clonidine or dexmedetomidine to hyperbaric bupivacaine results in earlier onset of sensory & motor blockade, prolongs duration of sensory & motor blockade and duration of analgesia without producing significant hemodynamic or respiratory complication. Moreover, dexmedetomidine did not offer significant advantage over clonidine.
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