REPORT

Handedness, language areas and neuropsychiatric diseases: insights from brain imaging and genetics
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Ninety per cent of the human population has been right-handed since the Paleolithic, yet the brain signature and genetic basis of handedness remain poorly characterized. Here, we correlated brain imaging phenotypes from ~9000 UK Biobank participants with handedness, and with loci found significantly associated with handedness after we performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in ~400,000 of these participants. Our imaging–handedness analysis revealed an increase in functional connectivity between left and right language networks in left-handers. GWAS of handedness uncovered four significant loci (rs199512, rs45608532, rs13017199, and rs3094128), three of which are in—or expression quantitative trait loci of—genes encoding proteins involved in brain development and patterning. These included microtubule-related MAP2 and MAPT, as well as WNT3 and MICB, all implicated in the pathogenesis of diseases such as Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s and schizophrenia. In particular, with rs199512, we identified a common genetic influence on handedness, psychiatric phenotypes, Parkinson’s disease, and the integrity of white matter tracts connecting the same language-related regions identified in the handedness–imaging analysis. This study has identified in the general population genome-wide significant loci for human handedness in, and expression quantitative trait loci of, genes associated with brain development, microtubules and patterning. We suggest that these genetic variants contribute to neurodevelopmental lateralization of brain organization, which in turn influences both the handedness phenotype and the predisposition to develop certain neurological and psychiatric diseases.
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Introduction

One of the most remarkable features of human motor control is that ~90% of the population has a preference for using their right hand over the left since at least the Paleolithic period (Faurie and Raymond, 2004), and this skew in distribution of handedness is a uniquely human trait. It is widely believed that the lateralization of language in the left hemisphere accounts for the evolution of right-handedness in the majority of humans (Corballis, 2003). There are well-established associations between left-handedness and several neurodevelopmental disorders (Bandler and Paracchini, 2014); in particular, a meta-analysis of 50 studies concluded that non-right-handedness was significantly more common in participants with schizophrenia [odd ratio (OR) = 1.55, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.25–1.93] (Hirnstein and Hugdahl, 2014).

Neuroanatomical studies of human handedness have been equivocal, most likely owing to small- to medium-sized study populations (Hatta, 2007; Guadalupe et al., 2014). While studies dedicated to one specific cortical feature, such as the shape and depth of the central sulcus (Amunts et al., 1996; Sun et al., 2012), or the gyrification pattern of Heschl’s gyrus (Marie et al., 2015), have shown differences in left-handers, no significant cortical area correlates of handedness were found in the largest study sample so far (106 left-handed subjects, 1960 right-handed subjects) (Guadalupe et al., 2014). Functional imaging in the motor cortex has largely been inconclusive (Hatta, 2007). Conversely, differences in the lateralization pattern of language function have been consistently observed, with left-handers showing more bilateral or right-hemispheric language activation (Tzourio et al., 1998; Pujol et al., 1999; Knecht, 2002; Joliot et al., 2016).

Another unresolved issue is whether such a population bias in handedness is under genetic influence. While left-handedness runs in families (Medland et al., 2009), and concordance of handedness is greater in monozygotic twins than dizygotic twins, with an estimated heritability of 25% (Medland et al., 2006), significantly associated loci for human handedness in the general population have thus far remained elusive (Erikkson et al., 2010).

UK Biobank is a prospective cohort study of ~500 000 participants who have allowed linkage of their physical data, including genetics, with their medical records, lifestyle questionnaires, and cognitive measures. An imaging extension includes six distinct modalities covering structural, diffusion and functional imaging of the brain, with an automatic pipeline generating thousands of image-derived phenotypes (IDPs), which are distinct individual measures that can be used for correlation with other phenotypes, or for genetic analysis (Miller et al., 2016; Alfaro-Almagro et al., 2018; Elliott et al., 2018).

Using imaging, genotype and handedness data from UK Biobank, we aimed to discover correlations between: (i) handedness phenotype and IDPs; (ii) genotype and handedness; and (iii) handedness-related genotypes and IDPs. Supplementary Fig. 1 summarizes the key findings from the three arms of this study.

Materials and methods

Imaging–handedness analysis

All UK Biobank imaging data were processed following pipelines designed to create a set of IDPs that summarizes the information across all brain structural and functional modalities (Miller et al., 2016; Alfaro-Almagro et al., 2018). These pipelines were developed mostly using FSL tools (Jenkinson et al., 2012), using well-known, validated and robust approaches for each set of IDPs: FSL-VBM (voxel-based morphometry) (Good et al., 2001; Douaud et al., 2007) and FreeSurfer (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 1999) for regional grey matter volumetric, thickness and area measures, tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) (Smith et al., 2006) and Autoptx (De Groot et al., 2013) for regional diffusion measures, or FSLnets (Smith et al., 2013) for functional connectivity (see list of URLs provided in the Supplementary material). The description of this recently expanded set of 3144 IDPs has been recently published (Elliott et al., 2018), including full details of their estimated heritability that is summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Briefly, these comprised mainly regional volumetric, area and thickness measures; subcortical measures of MRI modalities sensitive to e.g. venous vasculature or microbleeds and white matter lesions, white matter tract measures of physical connection (’structural connectivity’) between brain regions using diffusion indices, and measures of spontaneous temporal synchronization (’functional connectivity’) between pairs of brain regions. IDPs were quantile normalized to ensure normality, and confounds, including age, sex, interaction between age and sex, head size, as well as various variables related to the MRI acquisition protocol, were included in the model.

We tested the effects of self-reported handedness directly in UK Biobank (Data Field 1707), and results were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons across all 3144 IDPs. This analysis was performed on the subset of imaged UK Biobank participants that had been preprocessed using the pipelines mentioned above (second release: ~9000), by directly contrasting 721 left-handers with 6685 right-handers (all analyses excluded ambidextrous subjects).

Genotype–handedness analysis

After performing quality control of UK Biobank genotype (including restricting samples to individuals of white British ancestry), we undertook three genome-wide association analyses across 547 011 genotyped single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and ~11 million imputed SNPs, with genetic sex and genotyping platform used as covariates: (i) left-handers \( n = 38 \ 332 \) versus right-handers \( n = 356 \ 567 \); (ii) non-right handers [left-handers + ambidextrous \( n = 44 \ 631 \) ] versus right-handers \( n = 356 \ 567 \); and (iii) left-handers \( n = 38 \ 332 \) versus non-left-handers [right-handers + ambidextrous \( n = 362 \ 866 \) ].
Enrichment and correlation analyses with clinical phenotypes of handedness-associated SNPs

To identify the biological pathways and gene ontologies enriched in this genome-wide association study (GWAS), we performed a SNP-based enrichment analysis and a gene-based analysis. We then analysed the average expression of the mapped genes across 53 tissue types, to gain insight into the relative tissue expressions of these mapped genes in a broad range of tissues. We also performed linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression on summary-level statistics for the left- versus right-handers GWAS to estimate the SNP heritability, and to estimate the genetic correlation between handedness and various neurological and psychiatric diseases from publicly available summary-level GWAS data. Finally, we looked for correlations with clinical phenotypes collected directly from the entire UK Biobank population (corrected for multiple comparisons across phenotypes, \( n = 1345 \) and loci, \( n = 4 \)).

Genotype–imaging analysis

For the genotype–imaging study, we used BGENIE v1.2 to carry out GWA analyses of the significant loci for handedness against each of the IDPs [see URLs in the Supplementary material for BGENIE, and the Oxford Brain Imaging Genetics (BIG) web browser, which allows users to browse associations by SNP, gene or phenotype]. Results were considered significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons across all IDPs (\( n = 3144 \)) and loci (\( n = 4 \)).

As all the participants’ diffusion images are non-linearly registered to a common space (Alfaro-Almagro et al., 2018), we were then able to carry out a voxel-by-voxel analysis of the most consistent result identified with our IDPs using regression against the count of the non-reference allele (0, 1 and 2). This was performed to display the full spatial extent of the relevant variants’ effects, and to investigate whether any apparent lateralization of the IDP results might be due to slight differences in significance (relative to threshold). Results were considered significant after a conservative Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons across space (number of voxels in the image mask used to carry out the statistical analyses). These significant voxelwise results in the white matter were then subsequently used as starting points (seed masks) for the virtual reconstruction and identification of the tracts to which they belong. For this, we ran the probabilistic tractography tool from FSL (protrackx) with default settings (Behrens et al., 2003) on 100 randomly chosen imaged UK Biobank participants.

Further details of the methodology and results are given in the Supplementary material.

Data availability

Summary statistics from the GWAS have been deposited to ORA-Data, https://doi.org/10.5287/bodleian:zgdzgdoq1.

Results

Handedness and imaging: left-handers have stronger functional connectivity between right and left language networks

Directly comparing all 3144 IDPs between the brain-scanned UK Biobank participants (721 left-handers and 6685 right-handers) yielded numerous significant results, all but one using resting-state functional MRI measures (Supplementary Table 2).

The top 10 associations were all measures of functional connectivity between pairs of resting-state networks (‘edges’), the most prevalent being the homologue of the language network in the right hemisphere, encompassing Broca’s area (BA44 and 45), regions around the superior temporal sulcus, as well as premotor and primary motor regions centred around the tongue and mouth. Overall, these functional connectivity results showed, in left-handers, (i) a stronger connectivity between right and left (homologous) language networks (Fig. 1A and B); and (ii) a weaker connectivity between the right homologous language network and the default-mode network (DMN) and salience network (Supplementary Table 2A, ‘stronger connectivity’ corresponds to higher absolute values of partial correlation between the time courses of the two resting-state networks involved).

Handedness and genetics: three of four genome-wide significant loci involve brain development and patterning genes

In the genotyped UK participants, we discovered three genome-wide significant loci by comparing 38,322 left-handers versus 336,567 right-handers: rs199512, 17q21.31, \( P = 4.1 \times 10^{-8} \); rs45608532, 22q11.22, \( P = 1.4 \times 10^{-8} \); rs13017199, 2q34, \( P = 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \). Comparing non-right-handers (left-handers + ambidextrous individuals) versus right-handers uncovered one further locus: rs3094128 at 6p21.33, \( P = 2.9 \times 10^{-8} \), and replicated the association at rs199512. Comparing left-handers versus non-left-handers, did not yield any new associated loci, but replicated the three loci from left- versus right-handers (Table 1 and Fig. 2). We calculated the heritability (\( h^2 \)) of handedness explained by all the SNPs in the left- versus right-handers GWAS to be 0.0121 (standard error 0.0014).

The locus rs13017199 is \( \sim 40 \) kb upstream of, and an expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) of MAP2 (microtubule-associated protein 2), rs3094128 is \( \sim 1.2 \) kb downstream of TUBB (tubulin beta class 1), \( \sim 1 \) kb upstream of FLOT1, and an eQTL of MICB. rs199512, which lies in an intron of WNT3, is an eQTL of MAPT and MAPT-
Figure 1 Language-related grey matter regions functionally involved with self-reported handedness are connected by white matter tracts associated with rs199512. (A and B) Left-handedness was most strongly associated with an increase in functional connectivity (temporal correlation) between right homologous language functional network (in green, encompassing Broca’s areas, the planum temporale and superior temporal sulcus, $Z > 5$), and a split of the left language functional network (in red-yellow, Broca’s areas and planum temporale shown in A, superior temporal sulcus shown in B, $Z > 5$). These language-related functional networks are overlaid on the cortical surface. (C) Voxelwise effects in white matter associated with rs199512 (in red, $P < 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$) were used as seeds for probabilistic tractography, which reconstructed the arcuate and superior longitudinal fasciculus (III) (in blue-light blue, thresholded for better visualization at 250 samples). Results are overlaid on the MNI T1-weighted template (axial views: $z = 27, 12, -3$ mm; sagittal views: $x = -39, 39$ mm). These white matter tracts clearly link the grey matter areas present in lateralized right- and left-sided language functional networks (in green and red-yellow, respectively, also shown in A and B).
Table 1  Loci significantly associated with left-handedness

| Chromosome | Position       | Index SNP   | Effect allele | EAF<sub>b</sub> | EAF<sub>c</sub> | INFO<sup>d</sup> | OR (95% CI) | P       | Candidate genes<sup>e</sup> |
|------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| 2          | 210246064      | rs13017199  | G             | 0.368           | 0.361           | 0.997           | 1.04 (1.03–1.06) | 3.3 × 10<sup>−8</sup> | MAP2                                       |
| 6<sup>f</sup> | 30694374      | rs3094128   | C             | 0.211           | 0.220           | 1.000           | 0.95 (0.94–0.97) | 2.9 × 10<sup>−8</sup> | TUBB, MICB, FLOT1                      |
| 17         | 44857352       | rs199512    | C             | 0.791           | 0.782           | 1.000           | 1.06 (1.04–1.07) | 4.1 × 10<sup>−9</sup> | WNT3, MAPT, MAPT-AS1                    |
| 22         | 23412190       | rs45608532  | A             | 0.075           | 0.069           | 0.942           | 1.09 (1.06–1.12) | 1.4 × 10<sup>−8</sup> | RTDR1, GNAZ                            |

<sup>a</sup>Based on NCBI Genome Build 37 (hg19).

<sup>b</sup>The effect allele frequency in left-handers (or left-handers + ambidextrous individuals in the case of rs3094128 on chromosome 6).

<sup>c</sup>The effect allele frequency in right-handers.

<sup>d</sup>The SNP INFO score for imputed SNPs.

<sup>e</sup>Based on eQTL data (Supplementary Table 3), positional gene mapping in FUMA (Supplementary Fig. 2), and biological plausibility.

<sup>f</sup>Only significant in non-right handers (i.e. left-handers + ambidextrous) versus right-handers GWAS.

Figure 2  Summary of GWAS of handedness. (A–C) Manhattan plots showing −log<sub>10</sub> P-values for SNP associations in GWAS of: (A) right-handers versus left-handers, (B) right-handers versus non-right-handers, and (C) left-handers versus non-left-handers. Variants coloured in red have genome-wide significant associations (P = 5 × 10<sup>−8</sup>). (D–F) Regional association plots of the associated SNPs: (D) rs13017199 at 2q34, (E) rs3094128 at 6p21.33, (F) rs199512 at 17q21.31 (with a wider window to show the entirety of the MAPT region), and (G) rs45608532 at 22q11.22, where the low linkage disequilibrium relationship between the SNPs is consistent with what has previously been reported in this region on chromosome 22 (Dawson et al., 2002). The genomic positions of the SNPs and genes is based on Human Genome build hg19.
enrichment analysis on all nominally significant SNPs (rg = 0.1548, beta = 0.0014, maternal side (and at trend level on the paternal side: rs199512 and having a family history of Parkinson’s on the maternal side). These loci are associated with schizophrenia (rg = 0.1324, P = 10^-4), anorexia nervosa (rg = 0.1504, P = 10^-5), and bipolar disorder (rg = 0.1548, P = 0.025) (Supplementary Table 6). Then, by investigating correlations with clinical phenotypes collected directly from the UK Biobank participants, we found significant positive associations between our handedness-associated loci and numerous mental health phenotypes (rs199512 and rs3094128), as well as a negative correlation between the allele predisposing to left-handedness at rs199512 and having a family history of Parkinson’s on the maternal side (and at trend level on the paternal side: beta = 0.0014, P_uncorrected = 0.004) (Table 2).

Genetics of handedness and imaging: rs199512 is associated with structural connectivity between language areas

Consistent with rs199512 being in a gene coding for—and an eQTL of—proteins involved in brain development and axonal guidance (WNT3, MAPT, MAPT-AS1), this SNP yielded many highly significant associations with measures of white matter structural connectivity (diffusion imaging IDPs) (Supplementary Table 7). In particular, those differences were revealed most strongly in tracts linking Broca’s and temporoparietal junction areas (arcuate/superior longitudinal fasciculus III), i.e. specifically the same brain regions found differentially functionally-connected in our direct handedness and imaging analysis (Fig. 1C).

Discussion

Through our top SNP associated with handedness, rs199512, we have identified a common genetic influence on handedness, Parkinson’s disease and many mental health phenotypes (such as neuroticism, or mood swings, Table 2) and the integrity of the arcuate and superior longitudinal fasciculus (III) fasciculus.

These language-related tracts have been consistently associated with schizophrenia and auditory hallucinations (Hubl et al., 2004). The lack of lateralization in our white matter results might be surprising at first, but seems to be consistent with a recent study that failed to find any significant associations of handedness with grey matter asymmetries (Kong et al., 2018). We also found no significant difference in the IDP of grey matter structure (grey matter volume, as well as cortical thickness and area) between left- and right-handers, including that of any asymmetry, although we did not assess specifically the shape/depth of the central sulcus, or the gyriﬁcation pattern of the Heschl’s gyrus. Of note, however, the white matter tracts associated with rs199512 link grey matter regions known to show the strongest asymmetries from an early developmental stage (Dubois et al., 2010).

Remarkably, all of the grey matter regions connected by these language-related white matter tracts specifically make up the functional (homologous) language networks that differ between left- and right-handers (Fig. 1). Our ﬁndings of a stronger functional connectivity—in this case higher positive functional connectivity—between right and left (homologous) language networks in left-handers is consistent with imaging studies that have showed more symmetrical functional activations in language comprehension and language generation in left-handers (Tzourio et al., 1998; Pujol et al., 1999; Knecht, 2002; Joliot et al., 2016). One of the early studies demonstrated a linear relationship between the rate of right-lateralization of language dominance and the degree of left-handedness (Knecht, 2002), while the largest functional study to date (153 left-handers, 137 right-handers) showed a strong atypical pattern of lateralization for language production in 7% of left-handers, but in no right-handers (Joliot et al., 2016). Additional evidence for the stronger involvement of right language-related brain regions in left-handers could be seen in our results with a weaker suppression of the DMN influence (Anticevic et al., 2012) on the right language functional network. Except for one single ‘edge’ in the lower dimension decomposition (Supplementary Table 2B: ICA25 edge...
50, r = 0.04, just above significance level at 10^{-6}, we found no effect of handedness on motor networks. While there might be confounds to such functional connectivity measures (Friston, 2011; Duff et al., 2018), we found no association in particular with physiological measures of heart rate and blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) for the two topmost significant edges (visualized in Fig. 1A and B).

As the effect of polymorphisms related to handedness could be seen specifically in language-related tracts connecting the brain regions of the language networks, our functional connectivity findings may thus be the hallmarks in the adult brain of some very early genetically-guided events happening in the white matter cytoskeleton during development. Such genetic effects in the human white matter probably mirror similar, very early cytoskeletal processes observed in the development of chirality in gastropods and amphibians (Davison et al., 2016). It is thus perhaps unsurprising that, in total, three of the four loci correlating with handedness in our GWAS are indeed associated with genes strongly involved in brain development and patterning (MAP2, TUBB/MICB, WNT3/MAPT). In particular, microtubules (MAP2, TUBB, MAPT)—as integral components of the neuronal cytoskeleton—play a key role in neuronal morphogenesis and migration. WNT3 has also been shown to act as an axon guidance molecule and,
strikingly, as a gradient for retinotopic mapping along the medial-lateral axis (Schmitt et al., 2006). Of note, rs3094128 is an eQTL of MICB, which is crucial to brain development and plasticity and may mediate both genetic and environmental involvements in schizophrenia (McAllister, 2014).

There is a plethora of literature demonstrating a preponderance of left-handedness in an array of psychiatric disorders, including meta-analyses in schizophrenia (Hirnstein and Hugdahl, 2014), supporting the view that there is a genetic link between handedness, brain lateralization and schizophrenia (Berlim et al., 2003; Francks et al., 2007). In line with this, we found a statistically significant positive correlation between left-handedness and schizophrenia using LD score regression (Supplementary Table 6).

Perhaps the best-known pathological associations of MAPT are Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, with evidence for genetic overlap between these two neurodegenerative disorders within this extended MAPT region (Desikan et al., 2015). Several polymorphisms in and around MAPT have been discovered in GWAS of Parkinson’s disease (Satake et al., 2009). Those SNPs likely account for the genetic enrichment observed between handedness and Parkinson’s disease in both our LD score regression and SNP-based enrichment analyses. We also identified a strong negative relationship between the allele predisposing to left-handedness at rs199512, which is an eQTL of MAPT and MAPT-AS1 (Supplementary Table 3), and a diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease for the mother of the UK Biobank participants (Table 2). This association—only seen in the parent of the participants—is probably a reflection of the relatively young recruitment age in the UK Biobank (40–69), meaning that only a few genetically-susceptible individuals will have developed the disease themselves at that age. The negative association between the left-handedness predisposing allele and a maternal family history of Parkinson’s disease is consistent with the LD score regression analyses, where Parkinson’s disease also showed a negative correlation with left-handedness, in contrast to the majority of phenotypes examined. Notably, rs199512 is also in an intron of WNT3, which has itself been implicated in Parkinson’s disease (Simón-Sánchez et al., 2009).

Findings from previous GWAS and neuroimaging studies of human handedness have been equivocal, with a few exceptions (Hatta, 2007; Scerri et al., 2011; Brandler et al., 2013), most likely owing to small- to medium-sized study populations (Guadalupe et al., 2014). The considerable size of the UK Biobank cohort and imaging sub-cohort (∼4000 and ∼9000, respectively) has allowed us to discover novel loci and correlations between handedness and imaging phenotypes. The relatively modest effect sizes of the associated variants, and the heritability estimate of handedness explained by all SNPs in the left- versus right-handers GWAS (0.012), are consistent with a polygenic model of inheritance incorporating many variants of very low effect size. Similarly, the strongest effect of handedness in the brain explained about 1.4% of the variance seen in functional connectivity between the two language-related networks, in contrast with the larger—albeit still modest—effects seen in studies using dedicated language task-functional MRI or functional transcranial Doppler sonography (Tzourio et al., 1998; Pujol et al., 1999; Groen et al., 2013; Joliot et al., 2016).

This is the first study to identify in the general population genome-wide significant loci for human handedness in, and eQTL of, genes associated with brain development, microtubules and patterning. While replication in a large, well-powered independent cohort is needed to confirm these associations, it is striking that the associated loci are also strongly positively correlated with schizophrenia and negatively correlated with Parkinson’s disease. In particular, our most significant SNP, rs199512, was not only directly associated with mental health phenotypes and familial history of Parkinson’s disease in the UK Biobank participants, but also with structural connectivity measures in white matter tracts connecting language-related brain areas. Thus, this locus has biological plausibility in contributing to differences in neurodevelopmental connectivity of language areas. The lateralization of brain language function was strongly related to handedness; whether increased bilateral language function gives left-handers a cognitive advantage at verbal tasks remains to be investigated separately in a large dataset offering both well-characterized verbal cognition testing (not available in UK Biobank) as well as FSL-nets-like functional connectivity measures, such as the Human Connectome Project (Anticevic et al., 2012; Van Essen et al., 2013; Somers et al., 2015). This study thus represents an important advance in our understanding of human handedness and offers mechanistic insights into the observed correlations between chirality and microtubules in the brain, and suggests an overlap of genetic architecture between handedness and certain neurodegenerative and psychiatric phenotypes.
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