A rapid-onset diffusion functional MRI signal reflects neuromorphological coupling dynamics
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ABSTRACT

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) has transformed our understanding of brain function in-vivo. However, the neurovascular coupling mechanisms underlying fMRI are somewhat “distant” from neural activity. Interestingly, evidence from Intrinsic Optical Signals (IOSs) indicates that neural activity is also coupled to (sub)cellular morphological modulations. Diffusion-weighted functional MRI (dfMRI) experiments have been previously proposed to probe such neuromorphological couplings, but the underlying mechanisms have remained highly contested. Here, we provide the first direct link between in vivo ultrafast dfMRI signals upon rat forepaw stimulation and IOSs in acute slices stimulated optogenetically. We reveal a hitherto unreported rapid onset (<100 ms) dfMRI signal component which (i) agrees with fast-rising IOSs dynamics; (ii) evidences a punctate quantitative correspondence to the stimulation period; and (iii) is rather insensitive to a vascular challenge. Our findings suggest that neuromorphological coupling can be detected via dfMRI signals, auguring well for future mapping of neural activity more directly compared with blood-oxygenation-level-dependent mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) (Ogawa et al., 1992; Logothetis, 2008) has transformed neuroscience and biomedicine by enabling a noninvasive vista into global brain activity in health and disease. To deliver contrasts reflecting brain activation, fMRI typically harnesses neurovascular couplings linking neural activity with blood oxygenation, blood flow, and blood volume dynamics. Upon stimulus presentation, or even at resting state, these so-called “Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent” (BOLD) neurovascular coupling mechanisms (Ogawa et al., 1992; Logothetis, 2008; Logothetis, 2007; Heeger and Reiss, 2002; Tian et al., 2016; Devor, 2003; Uhlirova et al., 2016) produce local magnetic susceptibility modulations which can be detected using MRI (Buxton, 2013). Such susceptibility-driven fMRI methods have been instrumental for understanding brain function upon task presentation (Landi and Freiwald, 2017), at rest (Logothetis et al., 2012), upon learning (Finn et al., 2019; Bassett et al., 2015), in disease (Schmidt et al., 2014; Behrens et al., 2009), and for generating computational models of brain activity (Behrens et al., 2009; Freeman et al., 2013).

Despite much effort (Tian et al., 2010; Devor et al., 2005; Logothetis et al., 2001; Mukamel et al., 2005; Attwell et al., 2010), the exact nature of neurovascular couplings remains elusive, thereby complicating the interpretation of fMRI experiments (Bandettini, 2002). In particular, hemodynamic responses are surrogate indicators of neural activity, both spatially and temporally. Local neural activity can recruit vasculature from distant areas thereby decreasing the spatial specificity of fMRI (Kok et al., 2016). fMRI’s temporal specificity is typically limited by the relative slowness of the ensuing hemodynamics (Tian et al., 2010), although recent measurements with high temporal resolution have revealed that BOLD rise times are quite representative of underlying neural activity when measuring cortical activity (Yu et al., 2014; Silva and Koretsky, 2002). Together with the stringent requirements for physiological stability, the above mentioned limitations of the BOLD mechanism have prompted the development of other sources of functional contrasts for mapping brain activity using magnetic resonance, including: neurotransmitter-specific contrast agents (Lee et al., 2014); methods measuring correlates of electrical properties (Petridou et al., 2006); and diffusion fMRI (Le Bihan, 2003).

Diffusion MRI imparts sensitivity to micron-scale displacements on the measured signal (Le Bihan, 2003). Fortuitously, cellular scale morphologies and boundaries modulate the distance travelled by water molecules on a typical diffusion timescale (Novikov et al., 2011) (several milliseconds, typically), making the diffusion-weighted MRI signal a powerful probe of microstructure (Novikov et al., 2014) and structural connectivity (Jbabdi et al., 2015). In 2001, Darquie et al. observed stimulus-locked dynamics in diffusion-weighted MRI (dfMRI) signals (Darquie et al., 2001), and later studies have suggested that dfMRI
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therefore detects “cell swelling” mechanism coupled with neuronal activity and thereby report on activity more directly (Le Bihan et al., 2006; Tsurugizawa et al., 2013; Y. Abe et al., 2017; Y. Abe et al., 2017). Indeed, pioneering diffusion MRI (dMRI) measurements (Le Bihan et al., 2006; Tsurugizawa et al., 2013; Y. Abe et al., 2017; Y. Abe et al., 2017) exhibited several notable features: (i) a more focal localization of “active” areas compared to BOLD counterparts; (ii) a resilience to BOLD-suppressing pharmacological agents; (iii) an arguably faster response compared to BOLD counterparts. Although some of these features have been reproduced in rodents (Tsurugizawa et al., 2013; Y. Abe et al., 2017; Spees et al., 2013) and acute tissue preparations (Tirosh and Nevo, 2013; Stroman et al., 2008), others have vigorously claimed that dMRI contrasts were merely “filtered” BOLD responses. Miller et al. noticed strong dMRI signal modulations upon hypercapnia, suggesting the contribution of a prominent vascular component, and pointed out that dMRI signals were not as fast as previously thought (Miller et al., 2007). The more focal dMRI activation areas were attributed to the inherently lower dMRI signal-to-noise ratio (Rudrapatna et al., 2012). The controversy was further intensified by studies investigating different echo times and diffusion weightings (Kuroiwa et al., 2014; Autio et al., 2011), as well as multimodal experiments in brain slices at low field (Bai et al., 2016), suggesting that the contrasts observed in dMRI are unlikely to be of a neural origin.

Temporally coupled radial displacements have been observed in isolated nerve preparations upon action potential passage, with millisecond accuracy (Iwasa et al., 1980), suggesting a strong coupling between neuronal activity and microscopic morphological features (hereafter referred to as the neuromorphological coupling). Intrinsic optical signals (IOSs) showed that action potential firing is associated with cellular volume changes due to water influx through the cell membrane (David et al., 1996) and shrinkage of the interstitial volume (Holthoff and Witte, 1996). Additionally, IOSs were shown to have a significant neuronal and astroglial contributions (Andrew et al., 1999).

Interestingly, these microstructural biological processes are typically characterized by a wide dynamic range, including a rapid (millisecondscale) onset (Iwasa et al., 1980), and a slow (tens of seconds) return to baseline (Zhou et al., 2010). Here, we hypothesized that dMRI may capture some of these temporal signatures, particularly the more rapid cell volume changes and extracellular volume alterations coupled to neuronal activity. To assess this, high-temporal resolution dMRI experiments are required. To our knowledge, all dMRI experiments have been performed with relatively low temporal resolution, typically in the range of 1-3 s, thereby obscuring putative rapid microstructural dynamics with BOLD responses. To shed light into the nature of dMRI signals, we developed an ultrafast line-scanning dMRI approach, which enabled the investigation of dMRI signals with a temporal resolution of 100 ms. Our findings in rat somatosensory cortex suggest that dMRI signals contain (at least) two different components: a rapid-onset component, which was rather insensitive to hypercapnia, suggestive of a neuro- morphological coupling, and another slower component more sensitive to hypercapnia, suggesting more neurovascular origins. Intrinsic optical microscopy (IOM) time-series in optogenetically-stimulated acute brain slices corroborated a close similarity between fast rise-time IOSs and the rapid-onset dMRI component, in turn suggesting that dMRI can reflect, at least in part, a neuromorphological coupling.

2. Results

To measure in vivo dMRI and spin-echo BOLD (SE-BOLD) fast dynamics, we performed forepaw stimulation (Fig. 1A) and recorded the signals in the forelimb primary somatosensory cortex (FL S1) of rats, using a large-tip-angle (Elster and Provost, 1993) line-scanning (Yu et al., 2014) (LTA-LS) approach (Fig. 1B-D).

Maps corresponding to line profiles scanned every 100 ms in the rat somatosensory cortex are presented in Fig. 2. The top row (Fig. 2A) represents SE-BOLD dynamics; the middle row (Fig. 2B) depicts diffu-
sion weighted fMRI dynamics at $b = 1.5 \text{ ms/μm}^2$; and the bottom row (Fig. 2C) represents the temporal profile of the quantitative apparent diffusion coefficient calculated from the other two signals (c.f. Eq. (1)).

Figs. 2D-F reflect the spatially averaged corresponding signals. The SE-BOLD dynamics (Fig. 2A) onset around 1.3 s, and peak between 2 and 3 s, depending on the cortical layer.

Remarkably, the dMRI dynamics are completely different: already at the first measurement following the stimulus onset (100 ms), dMRI signals exhibit higher signal than in the rest period. Layer V dMRI signals appear slightly later, around $\sim 300$ ms after the beginning of the forepaw stimulation. A first peak is observed in layers II/III and IV about $300–500$ ms after stimulation onset, followed by a large peak in layer V at $800–1000$ ms. These signals then start decreasing in amplitude, followed by new peaks and dynamics around $1300$ ms for layer II/III and between $2000$ and $3000$ ms for deeper cortical layers. These later dMRI signals exhibit some latency until around $4.5$ s post stimulation. In other words, two components can be generally observed in dMRI signals: one with a rapid onset, and another with slower dynamics. Interestingly, these early dMRI responses exhibited relatively invariant properties when experiments were repeated under a hypercapnia challenge (Figure S1); the later dMRI components modulate more strongly upon a hypercapnic challenge. BOLD responses were modulated between hypercapnic and normal conditions in the first $3$ s following stimulation.

To further dissect these signals, Fig. 2C plots the behavior of the quantitative apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) over time. The ADC dynamics are different than those of each of the components shown in Figs. 2A and 2B. Although the ADC signals are also characterized by a rapid onset (mirroring that of dMRI-weighted signals), a perhaps more striking feature of the ADC signals is that they appear highly temporally punctate; note that the signal modulations associated with negative changes in ADC occur nearly only during the stimulation epoch, and, following the rapid onset, the negative ADC signal change ceases nearly as soon as the stimulation ends.

We decided to further investigate the nature of these fast dMRI signals and their relationship to microstructural dynamics by performing auxiliary intrinsic optical microscopy (IOM) experiments where (1) the signals are well-known to correspond to microstructural effects and (2) BOLD effects are absent. To enable temporally precise control over neural activity, these IOM experiments were performed using acute brain slices harvested from knock-in mice expressing the light activated ion channel channelrhodopsin-2, fused with yellow fluorescent protein (ChR2-YFP) under the control of the Thy1-promoter, making them amenable to optogenetic manipulation. A setup compatible with simultaneous optogenetics and IOM; was built (Fig. 3A) and the functional experiment was performed with a single stimulation epoch (1 s stimulation duration at $20 \text{ Hz}$, with a pulse width of $10 \text{ ms}$ and a laser power of $5 \text{ mW}$, Fig. 3B). Figs. 3C-D present fluorescence microscopy in these slices, confirming the expression of ChR2-YFP in the hippocampus of Thy1$^{+/+}$ transgenic mice; bright field microscopy (Figs. 3E-F) is shown for anatomical comparison. Fig. 3G shows a representative image from the functional IOM experiment time series, revealing high resolution and signal to noise in the slice. When ROIs were drawn over the entire hippocampus, IOSs were clearly observed for slices extracted from Thy1$^{+/+}$ transgenic mice (Fig. 3H, blue trace). Identical experiments performed on control slices (C57Bl6 wild-type mice), showed no sign of activation (Fig. 3H, black trace), as expected, but confirmed the stability of the IOM setup. Finally, to confirm that the observations are not specific to hippocampus, another set of experiments was performed on acute cortical slices from the same mouse line, expressing ChR2 mainly in layer V neurons in the cortex. The results clearly show that the rise times are very similar for both specimens (Fig. 3H, red trace).
To better compare the MRI signals with IOSs time series, and given that the signal to noise ratio of single IOM pixels is very low, we analyzed the IOM data analogously to the line scanning fMRI experiments, i.e., IOM images were averaged into a “line”, to observe the IOS temporal dynamics in hippocampal layers. Fig. 4A shows a schematic of the hippocampal slice with its layered structure, while Fig. 4B shows a corresponding image from the functional IOM experiment. The structure of the hippocampal CA1 region (in the shadowed box of Fig. 4B) is quite uniform in the horizontal axis, thereby allowing a robust averaging across the pixels in the horizontal dimension without loss of anatomical definition (Fig. 4C). In addition, CA1 exhibits a high and relatively uniform level of ChR2 expression (Figs. 3C and 3D).

Fig. 4D shows the time courses obtained for a representative Thy1+/− slice in such a line scanned functional IOM experiment. Importantly, rapid-onset signals could be observed in hippocampal layers followed by a slower signal that peaks between 2 and 3 s and remains high for ~20 s (c.f. Fig. 3G). The strongest signals are observed in areas rich with axons and dendrites and on the borders where they meet cell bodies.

Finally, we compared between the time courses of dMRI, BOLD, and ADC signals (averaged from cortical column layers II to V) and the optogenetically evoked functional IOM experiment signals (averaged from the higher levels of the hippocampus where signal was strongest). The MRI-driven dynamics mirror the results in Fig. 2 and show how BOLD signals are delayed compared to the rapid-onset dMRI (and ADC) signals. Strikingly, however, we find that the early dMRI signals tracked the early functional IOSs for at least ~500 ms, and perhaps even 1000 ms if some leniency is allowed. The dMRI signals then deviate from the times course of the IOSs. As expected, the positive BOLD responses clearly did not track these IOS dynamics.

3. Discussion

Neurovascular couplings have been at the heart of fMRI since its inception (Ogawa et al., 1992) and have transformed our understanding of brain function (Logothetis, 2008; Logothetis and Wandell, 2004). Still, given the limited specificity of neurovascular couplings (Bandettini, 2002), alternative coupling mechanisms have been put forth as perhaps more direct markers of neural activity. The dMRI approach (Darqué et al., 2001; Tsurugizawa et al., 2013) had been previously proposed for such purposes exactly; however, its underlying mechanisms, and its coupling to neural activity have been vigorously debated (Miller et al., 2007; Radrapatna et al., 2012; Autio et al., 2011; Bai et al., 2016). Therefore, this study aimed to shed light into the origins of dMRI signals, especially vis-à-vis the putative neuromorphological coupling.

Given that prior studies using IOM have shown that fast IOS components reflect cell swelling (Fayuk et al., 2002) associated with neuronal action potential firing, representing a “neuromorphological coupling”, and that these couplings have a very rapid onset, we hypothesized that an ultrafast approach for dMRI is required to detect such signals. This required the development of a new approach for dMRI, involving the combination of large-tip-angle spin-echo sequences (Elster and Provost, 1993) with a line scanning (Yu et al., 2014) strategy (LTA-LS), thereby enabling high temporal resolution of diffusion-weighted signals. Perhaps the most interesting finding in this study is the discovery of the hitherto unreported rapid-onset dMRI component evidencing increased functional signals already 100 ms post stimulation (Fig. 2) and lasting several hundred of milliseconds (followed by a second component, vide infra). This rapid-onset dMRI component was characterized by several noteworthy features: (1) activation was observed very rapidly after stimulus presentation, already in the first time point (100 ms) in most of the FL S1 cortex; (2) it appeared (qualitatively) quite invariant to a hypercapnia challenge (Figure S1); (3) its dynamics agreed well with the early responses of IOSs elicited optogenetically in acute brain slices devoid of BOLD components (Fig. 4); finally, (4) when the dMRI signals and SE-BOLD signals were normalized to produce ADC maps, a highly punctate response was observed, with decreases of ADC temporally confined to the window of stimulation with less than 300 ms error (Fig. 2C and 2F).

All this evidence mutually reinforces and suggests that dMRI’s rapid onset component reflects, at least to some extent, the neuromorphologi-
cal coupling – an interaction between neural activity and (sub)cellular-scale morphological modulations on the microscopic and/or mesoscopic scales interrogatable via water diffusion, which was also previously observed via scattering experiments in-vivo (Pan et al., 2018). In other words, at the diffusion-weighting used, the rapid-onset dMRI component appears to be sensitive to microstructural modulations coupled to the neural activity elicited by the stimulation. This does not imply that neurovascular couplings are completely absent from these signals, but it suggests a predominance of neuromorphological couplings in these early temporal regimes. However, previous experiments show that the early component in IOS associated with activity-induced morphological changes already occur as early as ∼100 ms in slices (Pal et al., 2013), while activity-dependent total Hemoglobin (reflecting BOLD) signals originating from the vasculature were only found as early as ∼600 ms (Devor et al., 2005) in-vivo and BOLD signals in ultrafast fMRI were only detected around 600 ms as well (Yu et al., 2014; Gil et al., 2021). Hence, the dominating source in the early component would more likely reflect neuromorphological coupling.

Many different sources could contribute to neuromorphological couplings with potentially diverse time constants and relative amplitudes. Evidence from studies using polarized-light IOM show that action potential discharge induces variations in neurite volume and bouton size due to cytoskeleton reorganization (Koike-tani et al., 2019). On the other hand, transmitted light IOM studies have shown that cell swelling (Pal et al., 2013), due to water influx through the cell membrane (David et al., 1996), and shrinkage of the extracellular space (Holtzoff and Witte, 1996) strongly contribute to IOSs (David et al., 1996; Andrew et al., 1999). Though the main component of IOSs is of neuronal origin, astrocyte glutamate-uptake (Pal et al., 2013), presynaptic (Gurden et al., 2006) and glial control of the potassium extracellular balance (MacVicar and Hochman, 1991) were also implicated in these signals, with rather slow decay times. Additionally, axon diameter variations have been reported using atomic force microscopy, where a fast mechanical spike associated with action potential firing has been observed (Kim et al., 2007). The amplitude of these mechanical spikes is around 0.5–0.8 nm (El Hady and Machta, 2015), which could be expected to produce very small, if any, detectable signal changes in dfMRI measurements (Drobnjak et al., 2016). Other mechanisms have also been reported to contribute to “cell swelling”, such as transient changes in spine size (Bloodgood and Sabatini, 2005). Therefore, the neuromorphological coupling is here used as an “umbrella term” to collectively refer to any of these cellular mechanisms that are observed upon action potential firing. The relative sensitivity of dMRI to each of these processes remains to be deciphered and it is still too early to associate the rapid-onset responses to a particular mechanism or specific (sub)cellular components.

It is interesting to note that the IOS signals decay much more slowly than the dMRI signals, suggesting that at least some of the slower IOS components are actually not contributing much attenuation in the dMRI signal; this likely reflects dMRI’s “filter” effects in which the diffusion signal is more sensitive to faster components (that attenuate the signal strongly) compared with slower components (that generate very little signal decay). In other words, some of the processes visible in IOS signals may be “invisible” to diffusion MRI (and vice-versa), so a one-to-one correspondence cannot probably be expected. In addition, other studies have shown somewhat different dynamics in IOSs, especially with regards to the long tail (Pan et al., 2018; Pal et al., 2013). These differences likely reflect differences in the specific stimulation paradigm used here (strong optogenetic stimulation) compared with the more natural stimuli delivered in the above mentioned studies.

It is worth contemplating how dMRI’s early onset signals couple to the underlying neural activity. At a physiological level, vascular responses require hundreds of milliseconds to onset (Tian et al., 2010; Devor et al., 2005), although changes in deoxyhemoglobin levels can occur rather quickly, as early as ∼200 ms time (Devor et al., 2005). Although the slow vascular responses have been reported to be layer
specific in the cortex (Yu et al., 2014), neural signals are known to activate the cortical column within a few tens of milliseconds, with inputs to layer V delayed by a few tens of milliseconds (Constantinople and Bruno, 2013). This is quite consistent with the temporal dynamics shown in Fig. 2. In this study, we focus mainly on the early onset dMRI component, since this part of the signal, on the timescale of <100 ms, is unlikely to originate from a vascular component (Devor et al., 2005). While the later peaks of the dMRI signals observed around 1 s and around 3–4 s are intriguing, we chose not to focus on them in this study due to the potential involvement of blood vessel dynamics at >600–700 ms. Nevertheless, more experiments with electrophysiological or other multimodal readouts (Schulz et al., 2012) combined with pharmacological manipulations will further investigate the neuromorphological coupling itself and its contributions to different temporal aspects of the signals, which may include contributions from glio-morphological coupling mechanisms (Schummers et al., 2008; Debaker et al., 2020). The use of diffusion sequences that reduce the contribution of internal gradients (Autoio et al., 2011; Fujiwara et al., 2014) into the diffusion signal (consequently avoiding capturing BOLD signals in dMRI measurements), might help retrieving purely neuromorphological couplings in dMRI studies.

It is also interesting to try and interpret the origin of the rapid-onset dMRI signals and the ensuing ADCs from a more biophysical perspective. The general signal attenuation in a diffusion-weighted spin-echoed sequence – such as the one used here – follows the general form of (Zheng and Price, 2007)

\[ S(t) = S_0 \exp \left( -\left( \Delta G_D^2 + B G_0(t) G_D + C G_0^2(t) \right) \times ADC(t) \right) \]  

(1)

where \( S(t) \) is the temporal signal (the time index reflecting the time over the fMRI experiment), \( S_0 \) is a time-invariant signal scaling factor, \( G_D \) is the applied diffusion gradient (\( G_D = 0 \) for SE-BOLD), \( G_0(t) \) is the internal gradient time dependence, \( ADC(t) \) reflects the temporal dependence of the apparent diffusion coefficient due to true microstructural variations, and \( A, B \) and \( C \) are sequence-dependent constants. For SE-BOLD, only the latter term contributes, while for dMRI, all terms contribute. To calculate the ADC as in Fig. 2, the log signals are normalized, i.e.,

\[ \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S_{\text{dMRI}}(t)}{S_{\text{dMRI}}(0)} \right)}{G_D^2} = ADC(t) \times \left( 1 + C \frac{G_0(t)}{G_D} \right) \]  

(2)

where the constant \( C \) collects (constant) nuisance terms. Note that two time-dependent quantities appear in Eq. (2): the microstructural ADC(t) and the purely BOLD-driven \( G_0(t) \), scaled by the application of the diffusion gradient. If \( G_0(t) \) is small or if \( G_D \) is large (\( G_D^2 \gg G_D \)), the latter term will be negligible and the measured \( ADC(t) \) will mainly reflect the microstructural changes (n.b., several previous studies have used double Spin Echo sequences to suppress some of the background gradient terms (Fujiwara et al., 2014)). A very useful hint toward the mechanism underlying the early dMRI component can be obtained from the spatially averaged temporal dynamics (Fig. 2B), where a small but obvious negative BOLD response appears rapidly upon stimulation (the initial dip). Despite that the initial dip’s dynamics drive a decrease in signal (i.e., the sign of \( G_0(t) \) is negative), the dMRI early onset response (Fig. 2D) is positive, suggesting that \( ADC(t) \) is larger than the BOLD contribution; furthermore, the initial dip reverses its course around 300–400 ms, while the dMRI signals continue to increase monotonically and similarly, the \( ADC(t) \) values (Fig. 2F) remain monotonic. These attributes indicate that for the b-values used in this study, \( G_0(t)/G_D \) is sufficiently small during the first few hundred milliseconds to render the contribution on the right hand side of Eq. (2) small and thereby suggest that the early onset dMRI component and ADC reflects the microstructural modulations in the tissue. We also note that in a previous study, areas showing no BOLD responses still evidenced significant dMRI signals (Nunes et al., 2019), which further suggests that when \( G_0(t)/G_D \) is small, the dMRI signals can reflect neuromorphological couplings.

The rapid onset dMRI component was clearly followed by more complex dynamics (Fig. 2) as can be observed e.g., after 1000–1500 ms. This later dMRI response has likely been the key feature detected in previous conventional dMRI studies with temporal resolution of several seconds. Given that it appears to strongly modulate with hypercapnia (Figure S2) and given that its dynamics do not follow IOSs, it seems likely that BOLD effects are nonnegligible in this component. It should be stressed that this does not mean that microstructural effects are not present in these later dynamics; indeed, previous dMRI studies (Debaker et al., 2020) – as well as experiments based on two-photon imaging with higher biological specificity (Schummers et al., 2008) – have suggested an important role for dynamic changes in astrogial morphology to strongly contribute to these time scales. However, at least in some circumstances, these “glio-morphological” effects may be convolved with BOLD effects (Eq. (2)), as also suggested by others (Miller et al., 2007). This probably explains why a recent study failed to detect changes in diffusivity with chemical stimulation in slices (Bai et al., 2016) – the temporal resolution was likely not sufficiently fast to detect the rapid onset component. In the future, it may be interesting to try to tease apart the relative contributions of each of these responses by performing experiments with varying \( G_D \), whose linear and squared dependencies could perhaps be disentangled, thereby revealing each of the components in Eq. (2) separately.

As any other study, we recognize several limitations for our work. Perhaps foremost, is that the in-vivo aspects of this study were performed in sedated rats stimulated via sensory inputs lasting 1.5 s, while the IOSs were recorded in acute physiological slices originating from the mouse and stimulated optogenetically for 1 s. The differences in species and in stimulation modality, must be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. In addition, LTA-LS requires the use of saturation slices that may accentuate blood flow effects, especially in the SE-BOLD signals. Still, our findings are encouraging for future studies potentially overcoming some of these limitations.

In conclusion, using an ultrafast scanning approach, we have shown that dMRI signals carry a rapid-onset component (~100 ms) that appears to be rather insensitive to a vascular challenge, whose ADC variation show a temporally punctuate response, and whose dynamics track those of rapid signals observed in IOSs in acute brain slices, which are thought to represent microstructural and mesoscopic modulations associated with action potential firing. Therefore, we conclude that this component reflects a neuromorphological coupling, whose noninvasive detection could potentially be important in mapping neural activity with mechanisms different from the neurovascular couplings. These features bode well for future applications of dMRI in health and disease.

4. Methods

All animal experiments followed ethical and experimental procedures in agreement with Directive 2010/63 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and all the experiments in this study were preapproved by the Champalimaud Animal Welfare Body and the national competent authority (Direcção Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária, DGAV), under the approved protocol 0421/000/000/2016.

4.1. Animal preparation

Long Evans male rats (n = 16) 8–10 weeks old were housed in pairs, with a light/dark cycle of 12 h. All in-vivo experiments were performed under sedation. Briefly, rats were induced into deep anesthesia in a custom cage with 5% isoflurane (Vetfluane, Virbac, France). Once sedated, the rats were placed in a custom MRI animal bed (Bruker Biospin, Karlsruhe, Germany) and maintained under ~2.5% isoflurane while being fixed using a bite bar and ear bars. At this stage, two stimulation electrodes were inserted into the left forepaw between digits 1–2 and 4–5, and the animals were switched to a subcutaneous medetomidine sedation protocol (Weber et al., 2006) (Dormilan, Vetpharma Animal Health, Spain) consisting of 1 mg/ml solution diluted 1:10 in saline. A 0.05 mg/kg bolus was injected and upon 5 min constant infusion of
0.1 mg/kg/h delivered via a perfusion pump (GenieTouch, Luca Technologies, USA) was started, while stepwise decreasing the isoflurane concentration in breathing air (Medical Air, Linde Healthcare Portugal). This preparation procedure usually took ~10 min; functional MRI experiments were not commenced before ~30 min had passed after the isoflurane was removed from the breathing air. A rectal temperature probe and respiration sensor were also used to continuously monitor the temperature and respiration rate (Model 1025, SAM-PC monitor, SA Instruments Inc., USA), respectively, of rats during the experiment. In hypercapnia experiments, pCO2 was monitored using a transcutaneous monitoring system (TCM4 series, Radiometer, Denmark). Sedation was reverted at the end of each experiment, by injecting subcutaneously the same amount of the initial bolus of atipamezole 5 mg/ml solution diluted 1:10 in saline (Antisedan, Vetpharma Animal Health, Spain).

4.2. In vivo MRI experiments

All in-vivo MRI experiments were performed on a 9.4 T Bruker BioSpec scanner operating an AVANCE III HD console and using a gradient system capable of producing up to 660 mT/m isotropically. An 86 mm volume was used for transmission and ensured a relatively uniform $B_0$ profile, while a 4-element receive-only cryogenic coil (Bruker BioSpin, Fallanden, Switzerland) was used for signal reception (Baltes et al., 2009).

4.3. Anatomical references

During the change of anesthesia from isoflurane to medetomidine, anatomical reference images were acquired and necessary adjustments were performed. Briefly, a $B_0$ map was acquired using ParaVision 6.01’s routines, and the field map was calculated. Anatomical references were acquired using a FLASH sequence (FLASH; TR/TE = 60/2 ms, flip angle=15°, field of view (FOV) = 25.6 × 25.6 mm², spatial resolution = 0.2 × 0.2mm², slice thickness =1 mm) for 3D positioning of the animal head and coronal views of the brain were acquired using a T2 RARE sequence (TR/TE/TEoff = 1800/8/32 ms, RARE factor = 8, FOV = 16 × 16 mm², spatial resolution = 0.1 × 0.1 mm², slice thickness = 0.75 mm) to subsequently position the line for functional acquisitions.

4.4. Stimulation paradigm

A stimulator built in-house (Dexter Electrostimulator 1.0, Hardware Platform, Champalimaud Foundation) was used to generate square waveforms for electrical stimulation (Fig. 1A). The stimulation protocol consisted of 40 s of rest, followed by 1.5 s stimulation with electrical pulses delivered to the left forepaw with a square waveform comprising 1.5 mA, 10 Hz and 3 ms stimulus duration. A total of 80 stimulation periods per experiment were used (Fig. 1A).

4.5. BOLD fMRI and dMRI line-scanning experiments

All functional experiments were preformed using the same LTA-LS pulse sequence, with identical acquisition parameters. For dMRI experiments, a pair of diffusion sensitizing gradients imparted a diffusion weighting of $b = 1.5$ ms/μm² ($\lambda/\delta = 16/2.2$ ms). The BOLD fMRI experiments simply set the b-value to 0 ms/μm², leading to the equivalent SE-BOLD fMRI acquisition. Common pulse sequence parameters were as follows: TR/TE = 100/24 ms, FOV = 5.8 mm (1D, no phase encoding), matrix size = 68, line resolution = 85 μm, slice thickness = 1 mm, saturation bands FOV= 10 mm.

4.6. Pulse sequence

Diffusion MRI experiments were performed using spin echo sequences, to refocus T2*-related decay. However, due to the refocusing pulse(s), such sequences are not directly compatible with fast acquisitions due to strong T1 weighting. Here, we harnessed Large Tip Angle (LTA) approaches (Elster and Provost, 1993), and simply replace the 90° excitation pulse with a 155° pulse (this angle was empirically selected to maximize signal to noise ratio at a repetition time (TR) of 100 ms). Line scanning was achieved by removing the phase encoding dimension, and rather using saturation bands to select the line of interest as first proposed in Yu et al. for gradient-echo pulse sequences (Yu et al., 2014).

5. Functional analysis

All functional MRI data, regardless of whether BOLD or dMRI, underwent the same analysis pipeline. Data were reconstructed taking into account the sensitivity profile of the four reception coils. Outliers for signal intensity along time were automatically detected using MatLab®’s filloutliers routine for every pixel’s time course, and the outliers were removed (<0.16% of data were identified as outliers) and interpolated using a spline. Data from one animal in each group (normoxia, hypercapnia) was discarded due to imaging or motion artifacts. The data was then denoised using Marchenko-Pastur Principal Component Analysis denoising (Veraart et al., 2016). A bandpass filter was then applied to the data, with 0.02 and 4.75 Hz stopband frequencies and 0.04 and 4.25 Hz passband frequencies (equiripple design method). The 2D (spatial temporal) data were converted to percent change with respect to the median signal in the rest period. A maximum likelihood estimation over trials and then over animals ensued for every pixel and timepoint, leading to an averaged mapped representing 560 trials (80 trials per animal, seven animals per group) for the normoxia and hypercapnia conditions. ADCs were calculated from the raw data using $ADC(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\ln(2)} \frac{S_B(\tau)}{S_0(\tau)}$ followed by all steps mentioned above, except that a [4 4] median filtering was added before analysis to enable a more robust estimation of ADC. Finally, for presentation purposes, data were detrended and spatiotemporally smoothed using a gaussian filter with $\sigma=2$. Where indicated, temporal profiles were extracted from the first five layers (with highest SNR) by summing the individual contribution in every pixel. We note that we did not perform co-registration of the lines – inducing perhaps some source of variability in the data across animals – yet care was taken to produce line profiles with as similar as possible geometries by careful animal positioning and slice placements.

5.1. Slice preparation

Acute hippocampal coronal slices (300 μm thick) were prepared from 6–8 weeks old transgenic mice Thy1-Chr2-YFP+/− (stock no. 007615, The Jackson Laboratory, USA) or control C57Bl6/J mice (Charles River, France), using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S; Leica Biosystems, Germany) while submerged in ice-cold oxygenated Ringer slicing solution (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 NaHCO3 and 1.25 NaH2PO4, 3 mpy-Inositol, 2 Na-pyruvate, 0.4 ascorbic acid, 0.1 CaCl2, 3 of MgCl2. Slices were transferred and incubated ~30 min in a 37 °C warm oxygenated bath solution (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 NaHCO3 and 1.25 NaH2PO4, 1 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2. Osmolarity of both solutions was measured with a vapor pressure osmometer (Wescor Inc., USA) and adjusted to 310 ± 5 mOsm using glucose (typically 20–25 mM). All chemicals were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. During the experiment, the slice incubation chamber was filled and constantly perfused with 37 °C warm oxygenated bath solution.

5.2. Intrinsic optical microscopy (IOM) set up

The IOM set up was custom built in-house (Fig. 3A). An inverted microscope was built where samples were illuminated form the top with an infrared LED (λ=850 nm; Thorlabs Inc., USA) and transmitted light was recorded from the bottom with a PointGrey Grasshopper3 camera
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NIKKOR 35 mm f/2D lens (NIKON, Japan). A light collimator (Thorlabs Inc., USA) was placed between the sample and the LED in order to parallelize the light beams before reaching the sample. Tissue samples were placed in a 37 °C heated bath chamber (TC-344C, Warner Instruments, USA) with constant flow of bath solution at a rate of 8 ml/min. A blue laser (λ = 473 nm, Thorlabs Inc., USA) was used for optogenetic sample stimulation and, two tilted mirrors (PF10–03-P01, Thorlabs Inc., USA) were used to direct the laser beam onto the sample. Since the laser beam arrived to the sample from the side, the amount of laser light directed to the camera was minimized. In order to further confine the light reaching the lens, two filters were added to the system: a λ = 480±20 nm bandpass filter (Thorlabs Inc., USA) was placed at the exit of the laser in order to restrict the wavelengths of stimulation and a λ > 800 nm high pass filter was placed before the lens to eliminate any lower wavelengths sources from being captured by the camera. In addition, both the lens and the camera were covered with aluminum foil to further isolate this part of the system from ambient light. The entire set up was placed in a dark chamber during the experiments.

5.3. IOM acquisitions

Image acquisition was performed using the FlyCapture software (FLIR Systems Inc., USA) with FOV = 976 × 670 pixels (approximate resolution of 3 × 5 μm (Logothetis, 2008) per pixel) acquired at 20.3 Hz. A BONSAI routine (Lopes et al., 2015) was developed to record the data and online data visualization. A custom-built software using the open-source Arduino software (IDE; https://www.arduino.cc) was used to control an Arduino MEGA2560 that initiated the camera and the data acquisition routine as well as it controlled the laser (Model MBL-FN-473–50, Ultralasers Inc., USA) during stimulation (frequency of stimulation=20 Hz, pulse width=10 msec, stimulation time=1 s, laser intensity at fiber tip = 5 mW). The laser point had a radius of about 5 mm, and was pointed towards the CA1 region of the hippocampus, where ChR2 expression was high; however, the large radius meant that DG was also stimulated directly by the laser. Each trial had a total duration of 90 s but only 20 s after stimulation were used for display proposes (Fig. 3B). For the cortical slices, N = 9 acute cortical slices were obtained and underwent the same procedure as above for the hippocampal slices, except for a stimulation duration of 1.5 s every 45 s; one slice was excluded from analysis due to artifacts. At the end of each experiment the brain slices images were stored in 4% PFA to control for YFP expression. Bright field images and epifluorescence images were acquired using a Zeiss Axioimager M2 microscope (Zeiss, Germany) with a 10x plan-apochromat lens (NA=0.45; Zeiss, Germany) or a 20x plan-apochromat lens (NA=0.8; Zeiss, Germany), equipped with a digital camera (OrcaFlash4.0LT, Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan).

5.4. Intrinsic optical microscopy data analysis

Raw data was analyzed using a home-written code in Matlab (The MathWorks Inc., USA). In each imaged slice, a region of interest (ROI) was manually drawn around the hippocampus and the signal from all its voxels was averaged to enhance the overall signal to noise of the temporal signal (n.b. that the optogenetic stimulation activates most thy1+ neurons in the hippocampus, thereby justifying a large ROI to be averaged). Following detrending of the signal, the percent signal change was computed. Two slices were excluded from the analysis because no robust activation was found, likely due to being recorded ≈4 hrs after the slicing procedure. For the line scanning IOM approach, every image in the time series was equally rotated using Matlab®'s imrotate function with bilinear interpolation to ensure that CA1 was placed horizontally. The CA1 area was cropped and its short dimension was collapsed into 1D by averaging all the pixels in that dimension. Each pixel in the ensuing CA1 “line” was then subject to the same analysis as the ROI data, namely, empirical mode decomposition detrending and conversion to percentage change. For comparison of MRI and IOM data, all time-courses were z-scored and aligned along the vertical axis to enable a fair comparison.
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