time to get patients to consider quitting smoking, admission does at least provide an opportunity to educate patients on the negative effects on physical health that smoking has. This evaluation has highlighted that physical health comorbidities are common in this patient group. Admission to the psychiatric ward provides a golden opportunity to provide education to patients on the importance of making healthy lifestyle choices and also to assess any physical health comorbidities and ensure the management of any such comorbidities is optimised prior to discharge.
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Nosa Igbinomwanhi*a and Kathleen McCurdy
Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust
*aCorresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.262

Aims. This was a re-audit of off-label prescribing of quetiapine in order to identify the number of patients on off-label quetiapine in HMP Elmley, to monitor compliance by the Mental Health Inreach Team (MHIRT) psychiatrists with the Royal College of Psychiatrists guideline on off-license prescribing, to compare findings with the baseline audit and to identify areas for improvement.

Method. All patients on quetiapine in HMP Elmley were identified and their electronic patient record was reviewed against the standards outlined in the Royal College of Psychiatrists "Use of licensed medicines for unlicensed applications in psychiatric practice (2nd edition)."

Result. There were 60 residents on off-license quetiapine prescription in HMP Elmley.

Of this number, four had their prescription initiated by a general practitioner, either while in prison or in the community. Two residents were on quetiapine first prescribed while they were on admission in hospital. 5 patients had been initiated by the MHIRT psychiatrists. 38 residents were commenced off-license quetiapine by another psychiatrist, either while they were in the community or in another prison. In 17 patients, electronic records were inadequate to determine who had prescribed the quetiapine.

The number of inmates prescribed off-label quetiapine in HMP Elmley had dropped from 82 to 60 in the 1 year since the initial audit. Of these figures, prescriptions initiated by the MHIRT psychiatrists, had dropped from 28.1% (23/82) to 8.3% (5/60).

For those prescribed quetiapine by the HMP Elmley psychiatrists, notes were audited against the RCPsych guidelines:

Licensed medication was considered first in 80.0%

Risks and benefits were considered and documented in 80.0%

The benefits and potential risks were explained to patient in 80.0%

There was documentation of informed consent in 80.0%

Quetiapine was started at a low dose and monitored in 100%

No residents required withdrawal of medication due to ineffectiveness or adverse effects.

Baseline physical health assessment was performed in 80.0%, though all had an ECG done.

Conclusion. Over the past year there has been an improvement in off-label antipsychotic prescribing practice within the MHIRT.

However, the number of off-label antipsychotic prescriptions still remains high throughout the prison. There should be continued effort at minimizing off-label prescribing within the MHIRT, monitored by auditing. However, work needs to be done jointly with other prescribers, such as GP colleagues, in order to avoid unnecessary prescriptions and to monitor regularly the physical and mental health of those on off-label quetiapine.
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Aims. The enforcement of lockdowns and restrictions on non-essential contact have changed Community Mental Health Team (CMHT) practice. Therefore, this audit carried out its 4th cycle of physical health monitoring for patients on antipsychotics with severe mental illness (SMI) under the CMHT during the period of the COVID-19 pandemic in order to observe its impact on physical health monitoring. In addition, with the increased use of telepsychiatry substituting routine face-to-face appointments during the pandemic, this audit also reviews the effect of lockdown on maintenance of contact between CMHT and people with SMI.

Primary Objective: to compare the current clinical practice with the standards derived from NICE guidelines which include parameters like weight, body-mass index, blood pressure, ECG and blood tests, then compare with the previous three audit cycles, which collected identical data.

Secondary Objective: to monitor amount of contact between healthcare staff and people with SMI on antipsychotics during the three months of Welsh lockdown and compare current clinical practice with the clinical practice achieved in the identical period in 2019.

Method. Method for Primary Objective: Clinical practice on physical health checks were split into 10 standards derived from the NICE guidelines (NICEQ880, Quality Standard 6). Data collection surrounding physical health checks of patients on antipsychotics from 26th June 2019 to 26th June 2020 were collected and compared with the previous three audit cycles, which collected identical data.

Method for Secondary Objective: Retrospective data surrounding amount and type of contact between CMHT and people with SMI was collected from 26th March 2020 to 26th June 2020, a period of enforced lockdown in Wales, and compared with the identical period in 2019.

Result. The audit iterates trends over the last 4 cycles (2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019 and 2019/2020). The current audit cycle increased in 2/10 standards and decreased in 8/10 standards, compared with the average compliance in the 3 previous audit cycles. Out of the 10 derived standards, certain standards fared worse than others.

There was a 79% increase in the number of staff-patient contact during the lockdown period. The majority of the contact in 2019 was face-to-face (84.31%), however, as expected, in 2020 the majority of the contact was non face-to-face (61.75%). However, this was accompanied by an 85.79% decrease in the period of the COVID-19 pandemic in order to observe its impact on physical health monitoring. In addition, with the increased use of telepsychiatry substituting routine face-to-face appointments during the pandemic, this audit also reviews the effect of lockdown on maintenance of contact between CMHT and people with SMI.

Primary Objective: to compare the current clinical practice with the standards derived from NICE guidelines which include parameters like weight, body-mass index, blood pressure, ECG and blood tests, then compare with the previous three audit cycles, which collected identical data.

Secondary Objective: to monitor amount of contact between healthcare staff and people with SMI on antipsychotics during the three months of Welsh lockdown and compare current clinical practice with the clinical practice achieved in the identical period in 2019.

Method. Method for Primary Objective: Clinical practice on physical health checks were split into 10 standards derived from the NICE guidelines (NICEQ880, Quality Standard 6). Data collection surrounding physical health checks of patients on antipsychotics from 26th June 2019 to 26th June 2020 were collected and compared with the previous three audit cycles, which collected identical data.

Method for Secondary Objective: Retrospective data surrounding amount and type of contact between CMHT and people with SMI was collected from 26th March 2020 to 26th June 2020, a period of enforced lockdown in Wales, and compared with the identical period in 2019.

Result. The audit iterates trends over the last 4 cycles (2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019 and 2019/2020). The current audit cycle increased in 2/10 standards and decreased in 8/10 standards, compared with the average compliance in the 3 previous audit cycles. Out of the 10 derived standards, certain standards fared worse than others.

There was a 79% increase in the number of staff-patient contact during the lockdown period. The majority of the contact in 2019 was face-to-face (84.31%), however, as expected, in 2020 the majority of the contact was non face-to-face (61.75%). However, this was accompanied by an 85.79% decrease in the period of the COVID-19 pandemic in order to observe its impact on physical health monitoring. In addition, with the increased use of telepsychiatry substituting routine face-to-face appointments during the pandemic, this audit also reviews the effect of lockdown on maintenance of contact between CMHT and people with SMI.

Conclusion. Despite being in a pandemic, patient contact was maintained. Physical health monitoring has decreased in the majority of standards, therefore greater attention is needed to address this. Recommendations are provided in the audit.