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Abstract
A lattice (or ‘grillage’) of elastic Rayleigh rods (possessing a distributed mass density, together with rotational inertia) organized in a parallelepiped geometry can be axially loaded up to an arbitrary amount without distortion and then be subject to incremental time-harmonic dynamic motion. At certain threshold levels of axial load, the grillage manifests instabilities and displays non-trivial axial and flexural incremental vibrations. Including every possible structural geometry and for an arbitrary amount of axial stretching, Floquet-Bloch wave asymptotics is used to homogenize the in-plane mechanical response, so to obtain an equivalent prestressed elastic solid subject to incremental time-harmonic vibration, which includes, as a particular case, the incremental quasi-static response. The equivalent elastic solid is obtained from its acoustic tensor, directly derived from homogenization and shown to be independent of the rods’ rotational inertia. Loss of strong ellipticity in the equivalent continuum coincides with macro-bifurcation in the lattice, while micro-bifurcation remains undetected in the continuum and corresponds to a vibration of vanishing frequency of the lowest dispersion branch of the lattice, occurring at finite wavelength. Dynamic homogenization reveals the structure of the acoustic branches close to ellipticity loss and the analysis of forced vibrations (both in physical space and Fourier space) shows low-frequency wave localizations. A perturbative approach based on dynamic Green’s function is applied to both the lattice and its equivalent continuum. This shows that only macro-instability corresponds to localization of incremental strain, while micro-instabilities occur in modes which spread throughout the whole lattice with an ‘explosive’ character. In particular, extremely localized mechanical responses are found both in the lattice and in the solid, with the advantage that the former can be easily realized, for instance via 3D printing. In this way, features such as shear band inclination, or the emergence of a single shear band, or competition between micro and macro instabilities become all designable features. The comparison between the mechanics of the lattice and its equivalent solid shows that the homogenization technique allows an almost perfect representation, except when micro-bifurcation is the first manifestation of instability. Therefore, the presented results pave the way for the design of architected cellular materials to be used in applications where extreme deformations are involved.
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1 Introduction
Shear banding and strain localizations, usually found to emerge before failure of materials, are typically accompanied by large plastic deformation, sudden formation of elastic unloading zones, damage, and possibly fracture. Mechanical features depend on the tested material, for instance, shear bands in rocks and in metals have different inclinations, and tests cannot usually be repeated on the same specimen, because the sample has to be brought to failure. Shear bands represent an ultimate instability mode, so that their modeling is complicated by sophisticated, and often phenomenological, elastoplastic constitutive laws, used beyond several bifurcation thresholds.

Imagine now a material in which shear banding and other instabilities may occur well inside the elastic range and far from failure. A material that can be designed to produce shear bands with the desired inclination, or in which shear bands are the first instability occurring at increasing stress, or in which the anisotropy (not imperfections) allows the formation of only one shear band. Imagine that this material would be characterized by rigorously determined elastic constitutive laws (thus avoiding complications such as the double branch of the incremental constitutive laws of plasticity) and would be, at least in principle, a material
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realizable (for instance via 3D printing technology) and testable in laboratory conditions. This material would be ideal not only to theoretically analyze instabilities, but also to practically realize the ‘architected materials’ which were preconized to yield extreme mechanical properties such as foldability, channeled response, and surface effects [1–3]. The crucial step towards the definition of a class of these materials was made by Triantafyllidis [4–9] and Ponte Castañeda [10–18], who laid down a general framework for the homogenization of elastic composites and for the analysis of bifurcation and strain localization in these materials. In particular, they showed how to realize an elastic material displaying a prestress-sensitive incremental response, exactly how it is postulated for nonlinear elastic solids subject to incremental deformation. Moreover, they provided a new understanding of strain localization phenomena, showing that a global (called in the following ‘macro’) bifurcation of a lattice structure corresponds to a loss of ellipticity of the equivalent continuum, while the latter is unaffected by a local (called in the following ‘micro’) bifurcation occurring in the composite.

The mentioned findings are extended in the present article to lattices of elastic rods of arbitrary geometry and subject to a nonlinear axial strain of arbitrary amount but leaving the rods in an undistorted state. The material composing the rods can be an arbitrary nonlinear elastic material, for instance Mooney-Rivlin or characterized by two elastic shear moduli (see Appendix A). The rods are connected to each other through nodes able to transmit bending moment, so that when the grillage is subject to time-harmonic incremental vibrations, the rods are subject to incremental axial and shear forces and bending moments. The lattice is idealized as two-dimensional and infinite. The former assumption does neither mean that the lattice can buckle out-of-plane, nor that a three-dimensional sample cannot be designed. Rather, the out-of-plane thickness may be large enough to avoid out-of-plane buckling, Fig. 1 (left, see also the practical realization reported in Fig. 2), or different grids can be connected at nodes with transverse revolute joints unable to transmit torques, Fig. 1 (right). The assumption that the grid is of infinite extent has a twofold origin, namely, it is crucial for the homogenization of periodic media and is the way to the analysis of material instabilities in the equivalent continuum without encountering earlier instabilities. Technically, this occurs in the so-called van Hove conditions, so that either an infinite medium or a specimen with prescribed displacements over the whole boundary has to be considered [19]. Under the mentioned assumptions, a systematic analysis of shear band formation and localization is developed, by applying a perturbative approach [20], both to the lattice and to its equivalent continuum.

An asymptotic homogenization scheme, based on the Floquet-Bloch wave technique, is developed for a generic lattice\(^1\). Homogenization techniques based on the asymptotic analysis of wave solutions dates back to Brillouin [21] and Born [22], and has received significant contributions in recent years when the case of random and periodic media has been considered [23–31] and extended to the high-frequency regime [32–34]. With the exception of [31], these developments have been so far produced for the analysis of wave propagation in continuous materials, not in structures, so that their practical implementation required the systematic use of numerical techniques (typically finite elements). It is shown in the present article that low-frequency effective properties can be derived analytically for lattices composed of rods (incrementally loaded in-plane and subject to axial, shear and bending forces) through a direct computation of the wave asymptotics\(^2\). Recent results on beam grillages [31] are here extended to the case of elastic lattices, axially stressed up to

---

\(^1\)For the geometries investigated in [6] our homogenization approach provides exactly the same results.

\(^2\)Our mathematical setting is two-dimensional for simplicity, but the three-dimensional extension is straightforward once the linearized dynamics of the rods is specified.
an arbitrary amount, whose incremental dynamics is derived without restrictions on the rods’ constitutive law and without neglecting the rotational inertia of the rods’ cross-section. The low-frequency asymptotics of waves propagating in the lattice is shown to be governed by the spectral properties of the acoustic tensor associated to an effective continuum, equivalent to the discrete structure. This continuum is prestressed and is obtained from the acoustic tensor, to which the Floquet-Bloch asymptotics directly leads. In this way, the correspondence between loss of ellipticity in the effective continuum and degeneracy of the acoustic properties in the lattice is directly demonstrated.

The elastic rods are characterized by an axial mass density, equipped with rotational inertia (the Rayleigh model [35–37]), so that it is possible to prove that the latter does not influence the vibrational properties at low frequency, expressed by the acoustic tensor of the equivalent continuum. The homogenization, obtained analytically, is exploited to investigate the lattice response near macro-instability (coincident with the failure of strong ellipticity and thus of ellipticity in the effective continuum), therefore unveiling features of lattice dynamics loaded up to the verge of shear band formation.

The response to the application of a pulsating concentrated force (the infinite-body time-harmonic Green’s function for the homogenized solid) is finally analyzed in the spirit of [38, 39]. The force is applied both to the lattice (in the physical and Fourier spaces) and to the equivalent solid at different levels of prestress, with special detail on low-frequency wave localizations.

The comparison between the behavior of the grillage and its equivalent solid reveals features of shear banding, so that this instability is on the one hand given a clear interpretation in terms of global instability of the lattice and on the other sharply discriminated from local instabilities in the composite, which remain undetected in the continuum model. Therefore, when local instabilities do not occur, the homogenization approach is shown to provide a superb approximation (so that the incremental displacement fields found in the lattice and in the homogenized material are practically coincident). Furthermore, examples of instabilities ‘invisible’ in the equivalent material are provided. These are shown to represent a limit for the homogenization approach and are important, as they exhibit an ‘explosive’ character, so that they extend from a localized perturbation to the whole lattice.

![Fig. 2. Emergence of a periodic micro-bifurcation (ovalization of the straws’ cross-sections, c), subsequent strain localization (collapse of the straws’ cross-sections, d), and final strain accumulation (e and f) during uniaxial deformation of an initially (a) hexagonal packing of drinking straws. The early deformation (b) is almost homogeneous.](image-url)
Fig. 3. The micro-bifurcation mode emerging during the uniaxial deformation of the package of drinking straws shown in Fig. 2 is modelled (with the tools provided in this article) as the micro-buckling of a honeycomb lattice of elastic rods, isotropically loaded with compressive forces. The equilibrium path of the honeycomb (a) bifurcates displaying three critical modes (b), which induces a periodic ovalization pattern. The latter explains the regular and diffuse buckled zones in the array of drinking straws (c).

of the cross-sections, Fig. 2d). Eventually strain band accumulation occurs, Figs. 2e and 2f. The periodic ovalization is not found in the equivalent continuum, but is very well captured by a bifurcation analysis of an infinite hexagonal grid of rods (Fig. 3). The grid is subject to isotropic compression, the stress state closer to that developing during the test. A periodic bifurcation mode is predicted, which compares well with a detail of the photo shown in Fig. 2e.

The vibrational properties of a cellular material are deeply affected by the emergence and development of localized signals, edge waves, and topologically protected modes [40–47], an example being that reported in Fig. 4. In the figure, the dynamic emergence and propagation of discontinuity wavefronts (rectilinear and curvilinear) is shown in the so-called ‘pinscreen’, a material (made up of a perforated plate having each hole filled with a movable pin) on the verge of ellipticity loss. These localization and discontinuities can be analyzed through dynamic homogenization, which is shown to provide a tool to select the geometry and loading of a lattice in a way to produce an equivalent solid with arbitrary incremental anisotropy, so that the shear band inclination, or the emergence of a single shear band can be designed. The results that will be presented also demonstrate how lattice models of heterogeneous materials can be highly effective to obtain analytical expressions for homogenized properties, thus allowing an efficient analysis of the influence of the

![Discontinuity wavefronts forming](image)

Fig. 4. Discontinuity wavefronts (some rectilinear, other curvilinear) forming during the (out-of-plane) dynamics of a periodic material (used as a toy, the so-called ‘pinscreen’, invented by W. Fleming), which works on the boundary of ellipticity loss (photo taken at the Exploratorium, San Francisco).

\[ \text{The bifurcation occurs at an axial load in the grid (that was analytically calculated to be } \approx -3.6EJ/l^2 \text{ smaller than the load corresponding to loss of ellipticity in the equivalent material (which was calculated through the homogenization scheme developed in this article to be } \approx -7.014EJ/l^2). \]
microstructural parameters. This is a clear advantage over continuum formulations for composites, where analytical results can only be obtained for simple geometries and loading configurations (as for instance in the case of laminated solids [8, 9, 48]).

Several new features are found, including a ‘super-sensitivity’ of the localization direction to the preload state and the conditions in which a perfect correspondence between the lattice and the continuum occurs (so that the discrete system and the equivalent solid share all the same bifurcation modes). The microscopic features found for the strain localization are shown to share remarkable similarities with the localized failure patterns observed in honeycombs (as Fig. 2 demonstrates), foams and wood [49–52], while the highly localized deformation bands emerging at macroscopic loss of ellipticity are reminiscent of the failure modes observed in balsa wood [53].

This article is organized as follows. The mathematical setting for incremental wave propagation is developed in Section 2 for a lattice of elastic rods organized in an arbitrary periodic geometry. The asymptotic analysis of lattice waves is derived in Section 3, leading to the homogenization result that provides the acoustic tensor associated to the incremental effective elastic continuum, subject to a homogeneous state of prestress. The stability of the lattice structure and its relation with the strong ellipticity of the equivalent solid is given in Section 4. The above general treatment is specialized in Section 5 to a grid of elastic rods arbitrarily inclined and equipped with diagonal springs, so that specific results on homogenization, stability domains, macroscopic and microscopic bifurcations are presented. Examples and comparisons of the incremental response are showcased in Section 6 and 7, where the extreme mechanical behavior of the lattice is unveiled through a perturbative approach, both in time-harmonic and static regimes.

2 Incremental dynamics of preloaded lattices: governing equations

The governing equations for incremental wave propagation in an axially-preloaded lattice of elastic rods (connected to each other with joints capable of transmitting bending moment, shear, and axial forces) are presented. These are obtained (i) by solving for time-harmonic vibrations the incremental dynamics of a single rod (derived in Appendix A), (ii) by using this solution to formulate the equations of motion for a unit cell, and finally (iii) by applying the Bloch theorem to obtain the equations governing the incremental dynamics of the periodic lattice.

Fig. 5. A periodic two-dimensional lattice of (axially and flexurally deformable) elastic rods is considered, preloaded from the stress-free configuration $B_0$ (upper part, on the left) by means of a pure axial loading state, transforming $B_0$ to the current, preloaded configuration $B$ (upper part, on the right). The latter configuration, used as reference in an updated Lagrangian description, can be represented as the tessellation of a single unit cell along the vectors of the direct basis $\{a_1, a_2\}$. The incremental dynamic response (lower part where an incremental deformation $\dot{B}$ is shown at three different instants of time) is defined on $B$ by the incremental displacement field of each rod $u(s, t)$ and $v(s, t)$, here decomposed in an axial and transverse component, $u(s, t)$ and $v(s, t)$.

An infinite two-dimensional lattice structure is considered, composed of nonlinear elastic rods which are
axially preloaded (or prestretched) from an unloaded reference configuration $B_0$ to an axially preloaded configuration $B$, used as reference in an updated Lagrangian formulation of incremental dynamics (Fig. 5). The configuration $B$ is assumed to be undistorted and described by the tessellation of a single unit cell along the vectors of the direct basis $\{a_1, a_2\}$, as shown in Fig. 5. In the figure, in addition to the configurations $B_0$ and $B$, also incremental deformations $\hat{B}$ at three different instants of time are sketched, which highlight that the incremental analysis fully involves in-plane bending, stretching and shear.

By introducing a local coordinate $s_k$ for each rod of a given unit cell, the incremental kinematics is described by means of the following fields

$$u_k(s_k, t) = \{u_k(s_k, t), v_k(s_k, t)\}^\top, \quad \forall k \in \{1, ..., N_b\},$$

where $N_b$ is the number of rods in the unit cell, and the two in-plane displacement components, respectively axial and transverse, are denoted by $u_k(s_k, t)$ and $v_k(s_k, t)$, while the rotation of the cross-section $\theta_k(s_k, t)$ is assumed to satisfy the unshearability condition $\theta_k(s_k, t) = v_k'(s_k, t)^4$. Time-harmonic solutions are sought, so that, by introducing the circular frequency $\omega$, the dependence on time $t$ can be represented as

$$u_k(s_k, t) = \hat{u}_k(s_k) e^{-i \omega t}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, ..., N_b\},$$

where $\hat{u}_k(s_k) = \{\hat{u}_k(s_k), \hat{v}_k(s_k)\}^\top$ are functions of the coordinate $s_k$ only and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

In the following the superscript symbol $^\top$ will be omitted, but it will be tacitly assumed that all quantities depend on time as prescribed by equation (1).

### 2.1 Time-harmonic solution for a preloaded elastic rod

The analytic representation for time-harmonic vibrations of a Rayleigh rod is briefly introduced. In the framework of a linearized theory, the equations of motion governing the incremental time-harmonic dynamics of an axially pre-stretched Rayleigh rod are the following

$$-\gamma(\lambda_0) \omega^2 u(s) - A(\lambda_0) u''(s) = 0,$$

$$-\gamma(\lambda_0) \omega^2 v(s) + \gamma(\lambda_0) \omega^2 v''(s) + B(\lambda_0) v'''(s) - P(\lambda_0) v''(s) = 0,$$

where $\gamma(\lambda_0)$ is the current linear mass density, $\gamma(\lambda_0)$ is the current rotational inertia, $\lambda_0$ is the axial pre-stretch and $P(\lambda_0)$ the corresponding axial pre-load (assumed positive in tension), while $A(\lambda_0)$ and $B(\lambda_0)$ are, respectively, the current axial and bending stiffnesses. The analytic derivation of equations (2) is reported in Appendix A. Moreover, the derivation of the current stiffnesses $A(\lambda_0)$ and $B(\lambda_0)$ from strain-energy functions, as well as the their identification for rods made up of an incompressible nonlinear elastic material such as Mooney-Rivlin, can be found in Appendix A.1. In the following, the parameters $\gamma(\lambda_0)$, $\gamma(\lambda_0)$, $A(\lambda_0)$, and $B(\lambda_0)$ will simply be denoted as, $\gamma$, $\gamma_r$, $A$, and $B$, and treated as independent quantities for generality.

The substitution of Eq. (1) into Eq. (2) leads to a system of linear ODEs for the functions $u(s)$ and $v(s)$. As the system is fully decoupled, the solution is easily obtained in the form

$$u(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} C_j^u e^{i \beta_j^s s}, \quad v(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} C_j^v e^{i \beta_j^s s},$$

where $\{C_1^u, C_2^u, C_3^u, ..., C_4^u\}$ are 6 arbitrary complex constants and the characteristic roots $\beta_j^u$ and $\beta_j^v$ are given by

$$\beta_{1,2}^u = \pm \tilde{\omega} \frac{t}{l}, \quad \beta_{3,4}^u = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-p + r \tilde{\omega}^2} \pm \sqrt{p^2 + (4A^2 - 2pr) \tilde{\omega}^2 + r^2 \tilde{\omega}^4},$$

with $l$ being the current length of the rod, $\tilde{\omega} = \omega / \sqrt{\gamma / A}$ the non-dimensional angular frequency, $p = P L^2 / B$ the non-dimensional preload, $A = l / \sqrt{B / A}$ the slenderness of the rod, and $r = \gamma_r A / (\gamma B)$ is the dimensionless rotational inertia.

### 2.2 Exact time-harmonic shape functions, mass and stiffness matrices

To facilitate the asymptotic expansion needed for implementing the homogenization scheme, it is instrumental to identify the 6 constants $\{C_1^u, C_2^u, C_3^u, ..., C_4^u\}$, with the degrees of freedom at the rod’s ends, represented through its nodal displacements. This allows a dimensional reduction through a direct application of the compatibility conditions at the joints.

---

4 A dash will be used to denote differentiation with respect to the coordinate $s_k$.  
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For any given rod of length \( l \) the following notation for the nodal parameters is introduced
\[
\begin{align*}
    u(0) &= u_1, \\
    v(0) &= v_1, \\
    \theta(0) &= \theta_1, \\
    u(l) &= u_2, \\
    v(l) &= v_2, \\
    \theta(l) &= \theta_2.
\end{align*}
\] (4)

Collecting the degrees of freedom at the two ends of the rod in the vector \( q = \{u_1, v_1, \theta_1, u_2, v_2, \theta_2\}^T \) yields the solution of system (4) in the form
\[
    u(s) = N(s; \omega, P) q.
\] (5)

The 2-by-6 matrix \( N(s; \omega, P) \) acts as a matrix of frequency-dependent and preload-dependent ‘shape functions’ which is the exact functional basis in which the time-harmonic response of the rod can be represented. Equation (5) can also be considered as the definition of a ‘finite element’ endowed with shape functions built from the exact solution.

The time-harmonic shape functions, Eq. (5), reduce to the quasi-static solution when \( \omega \to 0 \), so that at vanishing preload and in the limit \( \lim_{\omega \to 0} N(s; \omega, 0) \), the usual shape functions for beam elements, employed for instance in [54], are recovered. In the following \( N(s; \omega, P) \) will be denoted as \( N(s; \omega) \), to simplify notation.

By employing Eq. (5), the exact mass and stiffness matrices for a rod subject to time-harmonic vibration can be computed. For the \( k \)-th rod, the kinetic energy and the elastic strain energy at second-order are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathcal{T}_k &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_k} \left( \gamma_k \left( \dot{u}_k(s_k, t) + \dot{v}_k(s_k, t) \right)^2 + \gamma_k \dot{v}_k(s_k, t)^2 \right) ds_k + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_k} \gamma_{r,k} \dot{b}_k(s_k, t)^2 ds_k \\
    &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_k(t)^T \left( \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{J}_k \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k \right) \mathbf{q}_k(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_k(t)^T \left( \int_0^{l_k} \gamma_{r,k} \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k \right) \mathbf{q}_k(t), \\
    \mathcal{E}_k &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_k} \left( A_k \left( v_k'(s_k, t) \right)^2 + B_k v_k''(s_k, t) \right) ds_k = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_k(t)^T \left( \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k \right) \mathbf{q}_k(t),
\end{align*}
\] (6a)

where \( \mathbf{J}_k \) and \( \mathbf{E}_k \) are matrices collecting the inertia and stiffness terms, respectively, while \( \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega) \) is the strain-displacement matrix, which are defined as
\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathbf{J}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
    \mathbf{E}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} A_k & 0 \\ 0 & B_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
    \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_k^2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega),
\end{align*}
\]
and \( \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega) \) is a row vector containing the derivative of the shape functions corresponding to the transverse displacement \( v \). Note that the kinetic energy (6a) accounts for translational as well as rotational inertia of the rod. In addition, the contribution of the axial preload \( P \) to be included in the second-order potential energy as (details are provided in Appendix A)
\[
\mathcal{V}_k^p = \frac{1}{2} P_k \int_0^{l_k} v_k'(s_k, t)^2 ds_k = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_k(t)^T \left( P_k \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k \right) \mathbf{q}_k(t). \\
\] (7)

By combining Eqs. (6b) and (7), the second-order potential energy of the \( k \)-th rod is denoted as
\[
\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{V}_k^p. \\
\] (8)

From Eqs. (6), (7) and (8) the frequency-dependent mass and stiffness matrices are naturally defined as
\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathbf{M}_k(\omega) &= \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{J}_k \mathbf{N}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k + \int_0^{l_k} \gamma_{r,k} \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k, \\
    \mathbf{K}_k(\omega) &= \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{B}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k + P_k \int_0^{l_k} \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega)^T \mathbf{b}_k(s_k; \omega) ds_k.
\end{align*}
\] (9a)

The matrices for the quasi-static case can be obtained by evaluating the limit \( \omega \to 0 \). In particular, the quasi-static stiffness matrix \( \lim_{\omega \to 0} \mathbf{K}_k(\omega) \) can be used to formulate the incremental equilibrium and analyze the bifurcation of preloaded lattices.

\footnote{A superimposed dot is used to denote time differentiation unless explicitly stated otherwise.}
2.3 Equations of motion for the unit cell

As expressions (6)–(8) govern the incremental dynamics of a single rod, the kinetic and potential energies of a single unit cell can be obtained through a summation of the contributions from each rod

\[ T = \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} \mathcal{T}_k, \quad V = \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} \mathcal{V}_k, \]

and the incremental equations of motion for the unit cell can be derived from the Lagrangian

\[ \mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}) = T(\dot{q}) - V(q), \]

where \( q \) is the vector collecting all the degrees of freedom of the unit cell.

The Lagrangian (10) leads to the following Euler-Lagrange equations

\[ M(\omega) \ddot{q}(t) + K(\omega) q(t) = f(t), \]

where \( M(\omega) \) and \( K(\omega) \) are, respectively, the mass and stiffness matrices of the unit cell\(^6\) and the force vector \( f \) collects the incremental forces acting on the boundary nodes of the unit cell. Recall that due to the assumption of null body forces acting on the lattice, the nodes inside the unit cell are not externally loaded.

By recalling the time-harmonic assumption, Eq. (11) is rewritten as

\[ A(\omega) q = f, \]

with the definition \( A(\omega) = -\omega^2 M(\omega) + K(\omega) \). Note that the dimension of the linear system (12) is \( 3N_j \), where \( N_j \) is the number of nodes within the unit cell.

It is also important to recall that both matrices \( M(\omega) \) and \( K(\omega) \) depend also on the preloads \( P_1, \ldots, P_{N_b} \) of the rods composing the unit cell. The state of preload will be conveniently denoted as a vector \( P = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{N_b}\} \).

2.4 Bloch’s theorem

Wave propagation in an infinite periodic elastic medium can be effectively analyzed through the application of Bloch’s theorem. Essentially, the theorem states that the time-harmonic solutions of the equations of motion possess a modulation in space having the same periodicity of the medium, a condition expressed by

\[ \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(x), \quad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}(x + \mathbf{a}), \]

where \( \mathcal{K} \) is the Bloch vector and the modulation \( \mathcal{F}(x) \) is periodic with respect to the direct basis, so that it satisfies

\[ \mathcal{F}(x + n_1 a_1) = \mathcal{F}(x) \quad \forall \{n_1, n_2\} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \]

Eq. (13a) can be equivalently expressed as

\[ u(x + n_j a_j) = u(x) e^{i k_j x_j}, \]

Note that, in the case of a lattice made up of rods, the waveform \( \mathcal{F}(x) \) (as well as the field \( u(x, t) \)) is described by the displacement of the rods forming the unit cell, and thus it is defined only for \( x \) corresponding to the location of the structural elements.

The importance of the Bloch’s theorem lies in the fact that it allows the response of an infinite and periodic structure to be described through the equations of motion for a unit cell, the latter complemented by suitable boundary conditions. These so-called ‘Floquet-Bloch’ conditions enforce the periodicity of the lattice, by relating nodal displacements and forces on the boundary of the unit cell, according to the property expressed by Eq. (13b). The application of these conditions to periodic beam lattices is well-known \([54, 55]\) and is briefly summarized in the following.

Equation (13b) requires

\[ q_p = q_p e^{i k_j (x_p - x_p)}, \]

\(^6\) The matrices \( M(\omega) \) and \( K(\omega) \) can be easily obtained by assembling the matrices expressed by Eqs. (9) for all the rods in the unit cell.

\(^7\) Note that the representation (13) holds also when the displacement is replaced by fields defining the generalized forces internal to the rods.
for all pairs of nodes \( \{p, q\} \) such that \( x_q - x_p \) is an integer, linear combination of the lattice vectors \( \{a_1, a_2\} \). Therefore, the relations to be imposed on the boundary of the unit cell derive directly from Eq. (13b), evaluated at \( x \in \partial C \) and for \( n_j \in \{0, 1\} \), hence obtaining

\[
q = Z(k) q^* ,
\]

where \( Z(k) \) and \( q^* \) are defined according to Eq. (15a), and \( z_j = e^{i k \cdot a_j} \), with \( j = 1, 2 \). In Eq. (15a) the vector \( q \) has been partitioned to denote the inner and boundary nodes according to the notation sketched in Fig. 6.

The same partitioning is also introduced for the force vector \( f \).

A substitution of Eqs. (15) into Eq. (12) provides

\[
A(\omega) Z(k) q^* = f ,
\]

so that a left multiplication by \( Z(k) \) leads to the reduced system

\[
Z(k)^H A(\omega) Z(k) q^* = f^* ,
\]

where the following definition is introduced

\[
f^* = Z(k)^H f = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f^i \\ f^l + \bar{z}_1 f^t \\ f^b + \bar{z}_2 f^t \\ f^{lb} + \bar{z}_1 f^{lt} + \bar{z}_2 f^{rt} \end{array} \right\} .
\]

Note that the dimension of system (16) is smaller than the dimension of system (12). In fact, the imposition of the Floquet-Bloch conditions allows to express the equations of motion for the lattice only in terms of the reduced variables \( q^* \) and \( f^* \).

If external loads are not present in the infinite lattice (so that the boundary forces shown in Fig. 6b are purely internal), Eq. (13b) implies \( f^* = 0 \) and therefore the following homogeneous system of equations is obtained, governing Floquet-Bloch wave propagation within the lattice

\[
A^*(\omega, k) q^* = 0 ,
\]

\footnote{The transpose of the conjugate of a matrix \( M \) is defined as \( M_{ij}^H = \bar{M}_{ji} \), where the bar denotes the complex conjugate.}

Fig. 6. The imposition of the Floquet-Bloch conditions required by Eq. (13b) is obtained using vector \( q \), which collects the degrees of freedom of the unit cell. The vector \( q \) is partitioned by distinguishing the sets of internal nodes \( q^i \) from the nodes at the boundary, located at corners \( \{q^b, q^l, q^t\} \) and on the edges \( \{q^b, q^l, q^t\} \) (a). The corresponding force vector \( f \) is partitioned in the same way (b) and the forces acting on the boundary nodes have to satisfy equilibrium as well as the Floquet-Bloch conditions (the ‘shift factors’ \( \bar{z}_j, j = 1, 2 \) are the complex conjugate of \( z_j = e^{i k \cdot a_j} \) used in the Bloch representation, Eq. (13b)).
where the matrix of the reduced system is
\[ A^*(\omega, k) = Z(k)^H A(\omega) Z(k) . \] (18)

Note that this matrix is non-symmetric, but for a conservative system is always Hermitian, so that
\[ A^*(\omega, k) = A^*(\omega, k)^H \text{ and } \omega^2 \in \mathbb{R} . \]

2.5 Generalized eigenvalue problem for the dynamics of an infinite lattice

Eq. (17) defines a homogeneous linear system for the unknown vector \( q^* \), in which the angular frequency \( \omega \) and the wave vector \( k \) are for the moment undetermined.

It is important to note that the matrix-valued complex function \( A^*(\omega, k) \) depends on all mechanical and geometrical parameters of the unit cell. Eq. (17) is homogeneous, so that all non-trivial solutions are obtained by imposing the condition
\[ \det A^*(\omega, k) = 0 , \] (19)
that defines, although implicitly, the dispersion relation, providing \( \omega \) as a function of \( k \) for an infinite and periodic grillage of preloaded elastic rods.

For every given wave vector \( k \) and for each of the corresponding roots \( \omega(k) \) obtained from Eq. (19), the non-trivial solutions of Eq. (17) provide the modes \( q^* \) of the Floquet-Bloch waves propagating through the lattice at each frequency. This means that the vector \( q^* \) is an implicit function of \( \omega \) and therefore of \( k \), so that the dependence on \( k \) can be made explicit and Eq. (17) is rewritten as
\[ A^*(\omega(k), k) q^*(\omega(k), k) = 0 , \] (20)
an expression that will help clarifying the asymptotic expansion that will be performed in the next section.

3 Dynamic homogenization of the lattice

The scope of this section is the analysis of the low-frequency/long-wavelength asymptotics of the lattice dynamics, which will enable the identification of the effective continuum material. Identification requires first appropriate reference to the equivalent continuum.

3.1 Wave propagation in a prestressed elastic continuum

Before introducing the homogenization technique, it is worth recalling the fundamental equations governing incremental wave propagation in a prestressed hyperelastic continuum. An appropriate form of the equations for the prestressed continuum has to be selected, to result compatible with the formulation of the lattice dynamics introduced in Section 2. Specifically, it is observed that the equations of motion for the lattice are (i) obtained in the context of a linearized theory, and (ii) referred to a preloaded reference configuration. Therefore, the dynamics of the unknown ‘equivalent’ continuum has to be formulated in the context of the incremental theory of nonlinear elasticity by means of a relative Lagrangian description [19]. This is based on incremental constitutive laws, relating the increment of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress, \( \dot{\mathbf{S}} \), to the gradient of incremental displacement, \( \mathbf{L} = \text{grad} \mathbf{u} \), as
\[ \dot{\mathbf{S}} = \mathcal{C}[\mathbf{L}] , \] (21)
through the elasticity tensor \( \mathcal{C} \)
\[ \mathcal{C} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T} , \] in components \[ \mathcal{C}_{ijkl} = E_{ijkl} + \delta_{ik} T_{jl} , \] (22)
where \( \mathbf{T} \) is the Cauchy stress, defining here the prestress, and \( \mathbf{E} \) is a fourth-order tensor endowed with the left and right minor symmetries and the major symmetry, while \( \mathcal{C} \) lacks the minor symmetries.

Eq. (22) implies that the number of unknown components of \( \mathbf{E} \) is at most 6 for a 2D material and 21 in the 3D case. Moreover, the (symmetric) prestress \( \mathbf{T} \) introduces 3 further components in 2D and 6 in 3D, so that \( \mathcal{C} \) is characterized by 9 for a 2D material, and 27 in the 3D case, independent components.

In the absence of body forces, the incremental equations of motion for the continuum can be written in the usual form
\[ \text{Div} \dot{\mathbf{S}} = \rho \dot{\mathbf{u}} , \] (23)
where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the incremental displacement field and \( \rho > 0 \) the mass density.

Assuming the usual plane wave representation for incremental displacement, \( \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{a} \exp[i(k \cdot x - \omega t)] \), Eq. (23) leads to the following eigenvalue problem
\[ \left( k^2 \mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{C})}(n) - \rho \omega^2 I \right) \mathbf{a} = 0 , \] (24)
where \( k = \|k\| \) is the wave number and \( n \) is a unit vector defining the propagation direction, \( k = k_n \). Eq. (24) governs the wave propagation in a homogeneous elastic material whose acoustic tensor \( A^{(C)}(n) \) is defined as
\[
A_{pr}^{(C)}(n) = n_q C_{pqrs} n_s.
\]
The eigenvectors \( a \) represent the wave amplitudes, while the eigenvalues \( \hat{\omega}^2 \) are the roots of the characteristic equation
\[
\det \left( k^2 A^{(C)}(n) - \rho \hat{\omega}^2 I \right) = 0.
\]
Eq. (25)

Note that \( \hat{\omega}(k) \) is introduced to be differentiated from \( \omega(k) \), so that the former defines the angular frequency of a wave propagating through the equivalent homogeneous elastic continuum, while the latter the dispersion relation for the lattice.

3.2 Asymptotic expansion of Floquet-Bloch waves

A perturbation method is now developed for the equations governing wave propagation in a lattice made of prestressed elastic rods, through a generalization of the technique proposed by Born [22] for lattices involving only point-like mass interactions. A rigorous link is established between the low-frequency solutions of Eq. (20) and spectral characteristics of the equivalent continuum governed by Eq. (24).

Suppose for a moment that the grillage of rods would satisfy the following conditions:

- a linear dispersion relation, obtained by writing \( k = k_n \) (with \( n \) being a unit vector),
  \[
  \omega(k_n) = c_n k, \quad (26)
  \]
  where \( c_n \) is the wave speed and depends only on the direction \( n \);

- a uniform spatial modulation
  \[
  \varphi_k(x) = a(k), \quad (27)
  \]
in this case wave propagation in the grillage would be non-dispersive and with uniform amplitude, a situation which cannot occur for every \( k \), but is instead typical of a homogeneous medium. However, conditions (26)–(27) can be met in the limit \( k \to 0 \), so that in this case an equivalent material can be defined and vector \( a \) in Eq. (27) becomes the eigenmode defined by Eq. (24) and \( \hat{\omega} = c_n k \). This is how the homogenization will be performed.

The linear relation (26) can be considered as the first-order term of the asymptotic expansion of the dispersion relation \( \omega(k_n) \) centered at \( k = 0 \), where \( \omega = 0 \) and \( k = 0 \), and along the direction \( n \) in the \( k \)-space. This asymptotic expansion, truncated at the \( N \)-th term, is
\[
\omega(k_n) \sim \omega_n^{(1)} k + \omega_n^{(2)} k^2 + ... + \omega_n^{(N)} k^N = S_n^N(\omega)(k), \quad (28)
\]
where the \( O(k^0) \) term vanishes in the above expansion because the point \( \{\omega, k\} = 0 \) satisfies the dispersion equation (19). This follows from the fact that, setting \( \{\omega, k\} = 0 \), Eq. (20) becomes
\[
A^*(0)q^*(0) = Z(0)^H K(0) Z(0) q^*(0) = 0, \quad (29)
\]
whose non-trivial solutions \( q^*(0) \) are represented by 2 rigid-body translations (rigid-body rotations are excluded because the matrix \( Z(0) \) prescribes equal displacements on corresponding sides of the unit cell). The two rigid-body translations, plus the rigid-body rotation, are contained in the nullspace of \( K(0) \).

To be more precise, the dimension of \( \ker A^*(0) \) is at least 2. In fact, any other deformation mode besides the translations, possibly contained in \( \ker A^*(0) \), is a zero-energy mode (called also with the picturesque name ‘floppy mode’ [56, 57]). These modes are excluded for the purpose of the asymptotic analysis, so that \( \ker A^*(0) \) contains only two (in the present 2D formulation) rigid-body translations. This restriction does not affect the generality of the formulation, as the analysis of floppy modes can always be recovered in the limit of vanishing stiffness of appropriate structural elements. Note also that sometimes floppy modes can be eliminated or introduced simply playing with the prestress state (which may induce stiffening or softening [58, 59]).

The rigid-body translations represent the limit of the eigenmodes \( \varphi_k(x) \) for \( \|k\| \to 0 \). Their derivation requires first the construction of an asymptotic expansion for \( \varphi_k(x) \), in complete analogy to Eq. (28),
\[
\varphi_{k_n}(x) \sim \varphi_n^{(0)}(x) + \varphi_n^{(1)}(x) k + \varphi_n^{(2)}(x) k^2 + ... + \varphi_n^{(N)}(x) k^N = S_n^N(\varphi)(k), \quad (30)
\]
and then the computation of the limit \( k \to 0 \). As a result, the zeroth-order term of the waveform \( \varphi_n^{(0)} \) is indeed uniform in space (independent of \( x \)), and therefore the acoustic properties of the equivalent elastic continuum have to satisfy

\[
\tilde{\omega}(k \mathbf{n}) = c_n k = \omega_n^{(1)} k, \quad (31a)
\]

\[
\mathbf{a}(k \mathbf{n}) = \varphi_n^{(0)} \quad \forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (31b)
\]

conditions which define an ‘acoustic equivalence’ between the lattice and the continuum. Note that equation (31a) defines that \( \omega \) and \( \tilde{\omega} \) coincide at first-order.

An effective method to obtain the series expansions (28) and (30) is outlined in the following. As the waveform \( \varphi_n(x) \) for a lattice made up of rods is governed by the vector of degrees of freedom \( q^* \), solution of the eigenvalue problem (20), the expansion of \( q^*(\omega(k), k) \) is performed along an arbitrary direction \( \mathbf{n} \) in the \( k \)-space

\[
q^*(\omega(k \mathbf{n}), k \mathbf{n}) \sim q_n^{*(0)} + q_n^{*(1)} + q_n^{*(2)} k^2 + \ldots, \quad (32)
\]

so that the first term \( q_n^{*(0)} \) can be used to identify the left-hand side of Eq. (31b). To this end, the matrix \( A^*(\omega(k), k) \) is expanded as

\[
A^*(\omega(k \mathbf{n}), k \mathbf{n}) \sim A_n^{*(0)} + A_n^{*(1)} k + A_n^{*(2)} k^2 + \ldots, \quad (33)
\]

so that the eigenvalue problem (20) is rewritten through a substitution of the series representations (32) and (33) as

\[
\left( A_n^{*(0)} + A_n^{*(1)} k + A_n^{*(2)} k^2 + \ldots \right) \left( q_n^{*(0)} + q_n^{*(1)} k + q_n^{*(2)} k^2 + \ldots \right) = 0. \quad (34)
\]

Since the dispersion relation \( \omega(k) \) is formally inserted in the above expansions, Eq. (34) has to be satisfied for every value of \( k \), which means that the left-hand side has to vanish at every order in \( k \). Thus the following sequence of linear systems is obtained

\[
\mathcal{O}(k^0) : \quad A_n^{*(0)} q_n^{*(0)} = 0,
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(k^1) : \quad A_n^{*(0)} q_n^{*(1)} + A_n^{*(1)} q_n^{*(0)} = 0,
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(k^2) : \quad A_n^{*(0)} q_n^{*(2)} + A_n^{*(1)} q_n^{*(1)} + A_n^{*(2)} q_n^{*(0)} = 0,
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(k^j) : \quad A_n^{*(0)} q_n^{*(j)} + \sum_{h=1}^{j} A_n^{*(h)} q_n^{*(j-h)} = 0 \quad \forall j > 0, \quad (35)
\]

which has to be solved for the unknown vectors \( q_n^{*(j)} \). It is clear that the computation of these vectors starts from the solution of the zeroth-order equation and then, sequentially, the higher-order terms are to be obtained. At the \( j \)-th order, the matrix of the linear system is \( A_n^{*(0)} \) and multiplies the unknown vector \( q_n^{*(j)} \), so that the constant term (not involving the unknown \( q_n^{*(j)} \)) contains all the previously determined vectors \( \{ q_n^{*(0)}, \ldots, q_n^{*(j-1)} \} \). Moreover, it is important to observe that the terms \( A_n^{*(j)} \) in the expansion (33) can be computed explicitly once the series \( S_n^*(\omega)(k) \) has been determined.

It is recalled that, as shown by Eq. (29), the matrix of each linear system \( A_n^{*(0)} \) is singular and it has a two-dimensional nullspace spanned by two linearly independent vectors, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), which represent the two in-plane rigid-body translations\(^9\). Thus, every linear combination in the form

\[
q_n^{*(0)} = \alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2 \quad \forall \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (36)
\]

is a solution of the zeroth-order equation in (35). This implies that the matrix \( A_n^{*(0)} \) is not invertible, so that the solvability of the \( j \)-th linear system depends on the form of its right-hand side, which has to satisfy the following condition, known as the Fredholm alternative theorem

\[
y \cdot \sum_{h=1}^{j} A_n^{*(h)} q_n^{*(j-h)} = 0 \quad \forall y \in \ker A_n^{*(0)} \quad \forall j > 0, \quad (37)
\]

or, equivalently, using Eq. (36), the condition

\[
t_1 \cdot \sum_{h=1}^{j} A_n^{*(h)} q_n^{*(j-h)} = 0, \quad t_2 \cdot \sum_{h=1}^{j} A_n^{*(h)} q_n^{*(j-h)} = 0 \quad \forall j > 0. \quad \footnotemark
\]

\footnotetext{Since \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) describe two arbitrary rigid translations, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) can be conveniently chosen as the rigid translations aligned parallel to \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \), respectively.}
In principle, Eqs. (35) and (37) are sufficient to compute the series representations (32) and (28), thus making conditions (31) explicit.

3.3 The acoustic tensor for a lattice of elastic rods

The perturbation method outlined in Section 3.2 is general enough to provide, up to the desired order, the series representation of the acoustic properties of a preloaded lattice subject to incremental dynamics.

It will be proved in the following that it is always possible to employ the above-described perturbation technique to construct an eigenvalue problem governing the propagation of waves in a lattice (where elements are subject to both axial and flexural deformation) in the low-frequency and long-wavelength regime. In particular, this eigenvalue problem will possess the following properties:

(i) the eigenvalues identify the first-order term \( \omega_n^{(1)} \) of both acoustic branches of the dispersion relation;
(ii) the eigenvectors govern the zeroth-order term of the Floquet-Bloch waveform for both the two acoustic waves, through coefficients \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) in the linear combination (36);
(iii) the algebraic structure of the problem is exactly equivalent to that governing wave propagation in a prestressed elastic material, Eq. (24).

The construction of the above eigenvalue problem allows the rigorous definition of the ‘acoustic tensor for a lattice of elastic rods’ and from the latter the identification of the elasticity tensor representing a material in the quasi-static limit, Eq. (24).

In order to construct the eigenvalue problem, the solution of the sequence of the linear systems (35) is obtained up to the order \( \mathcal{O}(k^2) \). The equations involve the following terms of the series (33)

\[
A_n^{(0)} = Z_n^{(0)H} K^{(0)} Z_n^{(0)},
A_n^{(1)} = Z_n^{(0)H} K_n^{(1)} Z_n^{(1)} + Z_n^{(1)H} K_n^{(0)} Z_n^{(0)},
A_n^{(2)} = Z_n^{(1)H} K_n^{(2)} Z_n^{(1)} + Z_n^{(0)H} K_n^{(0)} Z_n^{(2)} + \ldots
\]

where a series expansion has been introduced for the matrices \( K(\omega(kn)) \), \( M(\omega(kn)) \) and \( Z(kn) \) as \( k \to 0 \).

It is important to note that:

(i) up to the order \( \mathcal{O}(k^2) \), only the zeroth-order terms of the matrices \( K \) and \( M \) (which correspond to the quasi-static limit, \( K^{(0)} = \lim_{k \to 0} K(\omega) \), \( M^{(0)} = \lim_{k \to 0} M(\omega) \)) are present;

(ii) the zeroth-order matrix \( Z^{(0)} \), and consequently \( A^{(0)} \), is independent of the direction \( n \) (owing to continuity of \( Z(kn) \)); while \( A_n^{(1)} \) is linear in \( n \) and \( A_n^{(2)} \) is quadratic in \( n \);

(iii) the linear term \( \omega_n^{(1)} \) starts to appear at order \( \mathcal{O}(k^2) \).

In the following, the first and second-order equations in the sequence of equations (35) are considered and their solvability conditions derived, Eq. (37). By means of Eq. (36), the first-order equation in the sequence (35) reads as

\[
\mathcal{O}(k^1): \quad A^{(0)} q_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2) = 0,
\]

and its solvability condition requires

\[
t_1 \cdot A_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2) = 0, \quad t_2 \cdot A_n^{(1)}(\alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2) = 0,
\]

two conditions which are always satisfied. In fact, a use of Eq. (38) yields

\[
t_j \cdot A_n^{(1)} t_i = t_j \cdot \left( Z_n^{(0)H} K_n^{(0)} Z_n^{(1)} + Z_n^{(1)H} K_n^{(0)} Z_n^{(0)} \right) t_i,
\]
a scalar product which vanishes because \( Z^{(0)} t_i \) is a rigid-body translation, so that it cannot produce any stress, hence \( K^{(0)} Z^{(0)} t_i = 0 \). Since Eq. (39) is always solvable, all its solutions can be expressed in the form

\[
q_n^{(1)} = \alpha_1 t'_1(n) + \alpha_2 t'_2(n) \quad \forall \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \in \mathbb{R}^2,
\]

where
where \( t_1' \) and \( t_2' \) are the solutions of the following two linear systems
\[
A^{(0)} t_1'(n) + A_n^{(1)} t_1 = 0, \quad A^{(0)} t_2'(n) + A_n^{(1)} t_2 = 0. 
\]
Note that \( t_1' \) and \( t_2' \) are defined up to an arbitrary rigid-body translation.

By employing Eqs. (36) and (40), the linear system of order \( O(k^2) \) reads as
\[
O(k^2) : \quad A^{(0)} q_n^{(2)} = -\alpha_1 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_1(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_1 \right) - \alpha_2 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_2(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_2 \right),
\]
which (because \( A^{(0)} \) is singular) admits a solution if and only if the right-hand side is orthogonal to both \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), namely
\[
\alpha_1 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_1(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_1 \right) \cdot t_1 + \alpha_2 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_2(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_2 \right) \cdot t_1 = 0, \\
\alpha_1 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_1(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_1 \right) \cdot t_2 + \alpha_2 \left( A_n^{(1)} t_2(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_2 \right) \cdot t_2 = 0,
\]
that in matrix form can be written as \(^{10}\)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\left( A_n^{(1)} t_1(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_1 \right) \\
\left( A_n^{(1)} t_1(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_1 \right)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot t_1 + \begin{bmatrix}
A_n^{(1)} t_2(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_2 \\
A_n^{(1)} t_2(n) + A_n^{(2)} t_2
\end{bmatrix} \cdot t_2 = 0.
\]

Up to order \( O(k^1) \) the coefficients \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) and the linear term \( \omega_n^{(1)} \) remain completely arbitrary, but now they have to satisfy system (42) in order to make Eq. (41) solvable. In fact, the homogeneous system (42) represents an eigenvalue problem with eigenvectors \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) and eigenvalues \( \left( \omega_n^{(1)} \right)^2 \). To see this point more explicitly, expressions (38) can be substituted into Eq. (42) to obtain \(^{11}\)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
t_1 \cdot \tilde{K}_n^{(1)} t_1 + t_1'(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t_1(n) \\
t_1 \cdot \tilde{K}_n^{(1)} t_2 + t_1'(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t_2(n)
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
t_2 \cdot \tilde{K}_n^{(1)} t_1 + t_2'(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t_1(n) \\
t_2 \cdot \tilde{K}_n^{(1)} t_2 + t_2'(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t_2(n)
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

with the following definitions
\[
\tilde{K}^{(0)} = \tilde{Z}^{(0)H} \tilde{K}^{(0)} \tilde{Z}^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{K}^{(1)} = \tilde{Z}^{(1)H} \tilde{K}^{(0)} \tilde{Z}^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{M}^{(0)} = \tilde{Z}^{(0)H} \tilde{M}^{(0)} \tilde{Z}^{(0)}.
\]

Eq. (43) is an eigenvalue problem, and the following properties can be deduced:

(i) As the matrices \( \tilde{K}^{(0)}, \tilde{K}^{(1)} \) and \( \tilde{M}^{(0)} \) are real and symmetric, also the matrices \( \Xi \) and \( \Gamma \) are real and symmetric, hence the eigenvalues \( \left( \omega_n^{(1)} \right)^2 \) are real;

(ii) Since \( Z^{(0)}, t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are independent of \( n \) and \( Z_n^{(1)} \), \( t_1'(n) \) and \( t_2'(n) \) are all linear in \( n \), each component of the 2-by-2 matrix \( \Xi \) is a quadratic form in \( n \);

(iii) The components of the 2-by-2 matrix \( \Gamma \) admit the following simplifications
\[
t_2 \cdot \tilde{M}^{(0)} t_1 = t_1 \cdot \tilde{M}^{(0)} t_2 = 0, \quad t_1 \cdot \tilde{M}^{(0)} t_1 = t_2 \cdot \tilde{M}^{(0)} t_2 = \rho |\tilde{C}|,
\]
where \( \rho \) is the average mass density
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{|\tilde{C}|} \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} \gamma_k l_k,
\]
and \( |\tilde{C}| \) the area of the unit cell. Note that the matrix \( \Gamma \) contains only terms in the form \( t_i \cdot \tilde{M}^{(0)} t_j \), where \( t_i \) are rigid translations, and therefore the rotational inertia of the rods plays no role (recall the definition (9a)).

\(^{10}\)Note that vectors \( t_j'(n) \) may contain an arbitrary rigid-body translation. This would apparently lead to a non-uniqueness in the form of Eq. (42), because the terms \( t_j \cdot A_n^{(1)} t_j(n) \) are present. This lack of uniqueness is only apparent, because \( t_j \cdot A_n^{(1)} t_j = 0 \).

\(^{11}\)The resulting expression has been simplified using again the property \( \tilde{K}^{(0)} Z^{(0)} t_i = 0 \).
Finally the eigenvalue problem (43) can be written in the standard form

$$A^{(L)}(n) - \rho \left( \omega_n^{(1)} \right)^2 I \alpha = 0,$$

(45)

where $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}^T$ and tensor $A^{(L)}(n)$ reads as

$$A^{(L)}(n) = \frac{1}{|C|} \left[ t_1 \cdot \tilde{K}^{(1)} n t_1 + t'_1(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t'_1(n) + t_2 \cdot \tilde{K}^{(1)} n t_2 + t'_2(n) \cdot \tilde{K}^{(0)} t'_2(n) \right].$$

(46)

It is important to note at this stage, that the eigenvalue problem (45) has exactly the same structure of Eq. (24). Furthermore, tensor $A^{(L)}(n)$, the ‘acoustic tensor of the lattice’, uniquely defines the eigenvalues and eigenvectors appearing on the right-hand side of the equivalence conditions (31), so that $\tilde{\omega} = c_n k = \omega_n^{(1)} k$ and $a = \varphi_n^{(0)} = \alpha$. This implies that the acoustic equivalence holds if and only if the ‘acoustic tensor of the lattice’ coincides with the acoustic tensor of the continuum material. Therefore, the effective elastic continuum has to satisfy the acoustic equivalence condition (valid for every unit vector $n$)

$$A^{(C)}(n) = A^{(L)}(n).$$

(47)

It is important to note that the equivalence condition has been obtained without introducing restrictive assumptions on the lattice structure, so that the homogenization method is completely general and includes a generic state of axial preload acting on the lattice. Moreover, the presented technique can easily be extended to three-dimensional lattices.

3.4 Identification of the continuum equivalent to a preloaded lattice

The perturbation method developed in Section 3 leads to the determination of the acoustic tensor of an effective prestressed elastic continuum, equivalent to the low-frequency response of a preloaded grillage of rods. As the method is entirely based on the dynamics of the periodic medium, the acoustic tensor is obtained directly, without any prior computation of the effective constitutive tensor, which is instead traditional in standard energy-based homogenization techniques [60–64].

In this section the steps for retrieving the incremental (or ‘tangent’) constitutive tensor $C$ are outlined from the acoustic tensor given by Eq. (47).

As the condition (47) has to hold for an arbitrary direction of propagation, it can equivalently be expressed by applying the Hessian with respect to $n$ on both sides of Eq. (47) to obtain

$$C_{ikjl} + C_{dkj} = \frac{\partial^2 A^{(L)}(n)}{\partial n_k \partial n_l},$$

(48)

where the right-hand side can be regarded as a data defined by the lattice structure, namely, the Hessian of tensor (46). By considering the symmetry with respect to the $\{k, l\}$ indices, Eq. (48) provides a linear system of 54 equations in a three-dimensional setting or 12 equations in a two-dimensional setting, while the rank of the system is found to be 26 or 8, respectively. By recalling that the unknown tensor $C$ has the form (22), it is clear that, if the system is solvable, all but one of the unknown components of $C$ can be determined as these are 27 for a three-dimensional lattice and 9 for a two-dimensional.

In order to solve for the identification, results obtained by Max Born [22] can now be generalized to prove that (i) the system is solvable when the equations of motion of the lattice satisfy the rotational invariance and (ii) the solution is unique, except for the spherical part of the prestress (i.e. $\mathbf{tr} T/3$ in 3D and $\mathbf{tr} T/2$ in 2D) which remains undetermined for the system (48). However, the homogenized prestress tensor $T$, and hence its spherical part, can be directly obtained by averaging the tractions along the boundary of the unit cell, as will be done for the lattice considered in Section 5.

4 Stability of prestressed lattices of elastic rods, strong ellipticity, and ellipticity of the effective continuum

The homogenization technique developed in the previous section allows the determination of a prestress-sensitive elastic solid which captures the effective behavior of the preloaded lattice. The step is crucial for the investigation of material instabilities and bifurcations so that the possibility of designing a lattice representative of a material with special characteristics can be analyzed. The present section outlines the theoretical framework for the stability analysis that will be applied to both the grid and its continuous approximation.
Lattice bifurcations are governed by the value of the preload state \( P = \{ P_1, \ldots, P_N \} \) and they can exhibit deformation modes with different wavelengths. When the wavelength becomes infinite, a ‘global’ or ‘macro’ bifurcation occurs, otherwise the bifurcation is called ‘microscopic’. The systematic investigation of bifurcations occurring in the lattice can be conducted by analyzing the incremental equilibrium of the lattice \([61]\). To this end, the formulation of the lattice dynamics outlined in Section 2 can be directly of bifurcations occurring in the lattice can be conducted by analyzing the incremental equilibrium of the macro (or global) instability, where the bifurcation is characterized by an ‘mode’ when \( g \mid n \parallel n \mid = 1 \). The localization is called ‘shear band’ in the special case \( g = 0 \). Failure of ellipticity, which characterizes the onset of a localization of deformation in the equivalent continuum, corresponds to a macro (or global) instability, where the bifurcation is characterized by an infinitely long wavelength (when compared to the period of the lattice structure). The homogenized material is elliptic (E) as long as the the acoustic tensor \( A^{(C)}(n) \) is non-singular for every pair of unit vectors \( n \) and \( \gamma \mid n \parallel n \mid = 1 \). The prestress-dependent stiffness implies that the homogenized acoustic tensor \((46)\) is in turn a function of the axial preloads \( P \) in the rods, so that the notation \( A^{(C)}(P, n) \) is introduced. Therefore, using again the previously defined unit vector \( \hat{P} \) and with reference to an infinite material (or to a material with prescribed displacement on the whole boundary) bifurcations are excluded as long as the response remains strongly elliptic. Failure of this condition determines a simultaneous failure of ellipticity, which corresponds to the value \( \gamma_E \) defined as

\[
\gamma_E = \min_{\gamma > 0} \left\{ \gamma \mid \min_{n \parallel n \mid = 1} \left[ \det A^{(C)}(\gamma \hat{P}, n) \right] = 0 \right\}.
\]
Relation between bifurcations in the lattice and in the effective continuum is that failure of ellipticity of the latter corresponds to long-wavelength bifurcations of the former, $\|k\| \to 0$, while all bifurcations are scanned through equation (51), a circumstance which implies $\gamma_B \leq \gamma_E$. Moreover, whenever $\gamma_B < \gamma_E$ the bifurcation occurs at microscopic level and is not detectable in the homogenized material, which can still be strongly elliptic [5, 6, 16].

5 Derivation of the incremental constitutive tensor, failure of ellipticity and micro-bifurcation for a preloaded elastic grid

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the homogenization method developed in Section 3, bifurcation and loss of ellipticity are investigated in a preloaded two-dimensional grid lattice of elastic rods. The grillage will be directly analyzed with the Floquet-Bloch technique reviewed in Section 2 and results will be compared to those obtained on the equivalent elastic material, Section 3.

Fig. 7. Current configuration of a rhombic lattice of preloaded elastic rods (a), with the associated unit cell $C$ (b). The direct basis of the lattice is denoted by the pair of vectors $\{a_1, a_2\}$ (a). Labels 1, 2, and $S$ denote the horizontal rods, the inclined rods, and the diagonal springs, respectively (b). The spring stiffness, the axial and flexural rigidity of the rods, the preloads $P_1$ and $P_2$, as well as the grid angle $\alpha$ can all be varied to investigate different incremental responses.

The geometry of the current, prestressed configuration of the lattice, selected to apply the previously developed formalism, is sketched in Fig. 7. This is composed of a rhombic grid (of side $l$) of elastic rods, inclined at an angle $\alpha$, and characterized by the following non-dimensional parameters $A_2 = A_1 = A$, $\Lambda_1 = l\sqrt{A/B_1}$, $\Lambda_2 = l\sqrt{A/B_2}$, where the subscript 1 and 2 are relative to the horizontal and inclined rods, as depicted in Fig. 7b. For simplicity, the linear mass density is assumed to be the same for both rods $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$, while the rotational inertia has been shown in Section 3.3 to be negligible in the homogenization scheme. The direct basis of the periodic structure is denoted by the pair of vectors $\{a_1, a_2\}$ whose representation with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ (see Fig. 7a) is

$$a_1 = l e_1, \quad a_2 = l (e_1 \cos \alpha + e_2 \sin \alpha),$$

while the reciprocal basis $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is defined as $a_i \cdot b_j = \delta_{ij}$, so that

$$b_1 = (e_1 - e_2 \cot \alpha)/l, \quad b_2 = e_2 \csc \alpha/l.$$ 

Therefore, the wave vector can be written as

$$k = \eta_1 b_1 + \eta_2 b_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

where $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are dimensionless components.

The ‘skewed’ grid resulting from the above description is also stiffened by a diagonal bracing realized with linear springs\textsuperscript{12} connecting the midpoints of the horizontal and inclined rods, as sketched in Fig. 7b. The stiffness of the springs is assumed constant $k_S = \kappa A/l$, with $\kappa$ being a dimensionless measure of stiffness.

\textsuperscript{12}These springs can be thought as added after the lattice has been deformed or as deformed together with the lattice. In the former case further assumptions need not be introduced, while in the latter, the effects of the preload on the springs has to be neglected in the interest of simplicity. The diagonal springs are used in this example to show that microscopic instabilities may occur before macroscopic.
In the configuration shown in Fig. 7, the lattice is subject to a preload state defined by the axial forces \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), made dimensionless respectively as \( p_1 = P_1B_1 \) and \( p_2 = P_2B_2 \), so that a deformed configuration of the lattice is defined by the parameter set \( \{ p_1, p_2, A_1, A_2, \kappa, \alpha \} \). Note also that the considered lattice structure includes, as a special case, the rectangular grid analyzed in [6].

Failure of ellipticity, macroscopic and microscopic bifurcations, and stability of the preloaded lattice are investigated in the following subsections.

(i) The acoustic tensor \( A^{(C)}(n) \) of the homogenized continuum is derived analytically as an explicit function of the parameter set \( \{ p_1, p_2, A_1, A_2, \kappa, \alpha \} \) (the corresponding constitutive tensor \( C \) is also determined \( C \));

(ii) Loss of ellipticity is analyzed for cubic, orthotropic, and fully anisotropic lattices by identifying the pre-stress states leading to a vanishing eigenvalue of the acoustic tensor, and computing the corresponding eigenvector defining the localization mode;

(iii) The stability domains in the \( \{ p_1, p_2 \} \)-space and its dependence on lattice parameters \( \{ A_1, A_2, \kappa, \alpha \} \) is determined;

(iv) The bifurcation modes are evaluated for the most relevant configurations of the grid, so to clarify the difference between macro and micro bifurcation.

The analysis of the lattice response near the identified stability thresholds is addressed in Section 6 and 7.

5.1 Acoustic tensor, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and ellipticity domain

With reference to the orthonormal basis defined by the two unit vectors \( \{ e_1, e_2 \} \), the acoustic tensor \( A^{(C)}(n) \) for the continuum equivalent, in a homogenized sense, to the lattice shown in Fig. 7 is represented as

\[
A^{(C)}(n) = A_{11}^{(C)}(n) e_1 \otimes e_1 + A_{12}^{(C)}(n) e_1 \otimes e_2 + A_{21}^{(C)}(n) e_2 \otimes e_1 + A_{22}^{(C)}(n) e_2 \otimes e_2,
\]

where the components, computed via Eq. (46), are expressed as follows

\[

\begin{align*}
A_{11}^{(C)}(n) & = (h_{1111}n_1^2 + h_{1112}n_1n_2 + h_{1122}n_2^2) A/l, \\
A_{12}^{(C)}(n) & = (h_{1211}n_1^2 + h_{1212}n_1n_2 + h_{1222}n_2^2) A/l, \\
A_{22}^{(C)}(n) & = (h_{2211}n_1^2 + h_{2212}n_1n_2 + h_{2222}n_2^2) A/l,
\end{align*}
\]

with the coefficients \( h_{ijkl} \) being function of the parameter set \( \{ p_1, p_2, A_1, A_2, \kappa, \alpha \} \). The contribution of the rods’ grid and the springs are denoted as \( h_{ijkl}^{G} \) and \( h_{ijkl}^{B} \) respectively, so that

\[
h_{ijkl}(p_1, p_2, A_1, A_2, \kappa, \alpha, \lambda) = h_{ijkl}^{G}(p_1, p_2, A_1, A_2, \alpha, \lambda) + h_{ijkl}^{B}(\kappa, \alpha).
\]

The nonlinear dependence on the prestress \( p = \{ p_1, p_2 \} \) causes the full expression for the functions \( h_{ijkl}^{G} \) to be quite lengthy and therefore the complete result is omitted (but all components of the constitutive tensor are reported in Appendix B), while the first-order expansion with respect to \( p \) is (components that have to be equal by symmetry are not reported)
that \( (SE) \) holds.

can be directly verified that in the absence of preload, solutions can be further simplified to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{h_{2212}^{G}}{h_{2222}^{G}} & \sim \frac{\sin \alpha \sin(2\alpha) \left( \Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2 - 12 \right)}{\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2} - p_1 \frac{2\Lambda_1^2 \sin^2 \alpha \cos \alpha}{5 \left( \Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2 \right)^2} + p_2 \frac{\cos \alpha \cos(2\alpha) \left( 5\Lambda_1^4 + 10\Lambda_1^2 \Lambda_2^2 + 6\Lambda_2^4 \right) + 5\Lambda_1^4 + 10\Lambda_1^2 \Lambda_2^2 + 4\Lambda_2^4}{5\Lambda_1^2 \left( \Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2 \right)^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

The components \( h_{ijkl}^S \), ruling the effect of diagonal springs, can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
h_{1111}^S &= \frac{5 + 3 \cos(2\alpha)}{4 \sin \alpha}, & h_{1112}^S &= 2\kappa \cos \alpha, & h_{1211}^S &= \kappa \cos \alpha, \\
h_{1212}^S &= \kappa \sin \alpha, & h_{1222}^S &= h_{2211}^S = h_{2222}^S &= \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sin \alpha, & h_{2222}^S &= h_{2212}^S = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

For the special case of a square grid \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) and in the absence of prestress \( (p = 0) \), the acoustic tensor can be further simplified to

\[
\lim_{p \to 0} A^{(C)}(n) \bigg|_{\alpha = \pi/2} = \frac{A}{T} \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2^2}{\Lambda_1^4 + \Lambda_2^4} \right) e_1 \otimes e_1 + \\
+ \frac{A}{T} \frac{12n_1 n_2}{\Lambda_1^4 + \Lambda_2^4} \left( e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 \right) + \frac{A}{T} \left( \frac{12n_1^2 n_2^2}{\Lambda_1^4 + \Lambda_2^4} \right) e_2 \otimes e_2.
\]

As shown in Section 3.3, the acoustic tensor resulting from homogenization is symmetric, which implies that its eigenvalues are always real. This means that, letting \( \{c_1^2, c_2^2\} \) be the eigenvalues of a symmetric \( A^{(C)}(n) \), \( (SE) \) is equivalent to the strict positiveness of the eigenvalues, \( c_1^2 > 0, c_2^2 > 0 \), Eq. (53), while (E) is equivalent to the condition of non-vanishing eigenvalues, \( c_1^2 \neq 0, c_2^2 \neq 0 \) (for all unit vectors \( n \)), Eq. (54).

The objective is now to characterize failure of (E) by studying the eigenvalues of the acoustic tensor (57) as functions of the preload state applied to the grillage. To this end, solutions are sought for the following loss of ellipticity condition

\[
c_1^2(n, p) c_2^2(n, p) = 0,
\]

where \( n \) is the usual unit vector defining the direction of propagation and \( p = \{p_1, p_2\} \) is a vector simply collecting the preload parameters. In Eq. (59) the dependence on the geometric parameters \( \alpha, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \) is omitted for brevity and moreover, without loss of generality, it is assumed that \( c_1^2 \leq c_2^2 \). For every solution \( \{n_E, p_E\} \) of Eq. (59), the eigenvector \( g_E = g(n_E) \) associated to the vanishing eigenvalue can be computed. Vectors \( n_E \) and \( g_E \) will be respectively referred as the direction (more precisely, the normal to) and deformation mode of the strain localization band.

| Geometry   | Slenderness | Symmetry     | \( p_E \)              | \( \theta_{cr} \) |
|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Square     | \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) | \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \) | Cubic \( \{-5.434, -5.434\} \) | 0°, 90°           |
|            | \( \alpha = \pi/3 \) | \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \) | Orthotropic \( \{-2.071, -2.071\} \) | 0°               |
| Rhombus    | \( \alpha = \pi/3 \) | \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \) | Orthotropic \( \{-5.345, -5.345\} \) | 88.2°, 151.8°    |
|            | \( \alpha = \pi/3 \) | \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \) | Anisotropic \( \{-2.043, -2.043\} \) | 151.4°           |

Table 1. Loss of ellipticity for different geometric configurations of the preloaded grid-like lattice (Fig. 7) in the absence of diagonal springs \( \alpha = 0 \). The symmetry class is referred to the unloaded configuration. The preload \( p_E \) and the localization direction \( n_E = \cos(\theta_{cr}) e_1 + \sin(\theta_{cr}) e_2 \) are obtained by solving the loss of ellipticity condition (59) (assuming a radial path \( p = \{p_1, p_1\} \)).

It follows from the symmetry of \( A^{(C)}(n) \) that, starting from the unloaded state \( p = 0 \) with rods of finite stiffness and continuously varying the prestress, the material remains both (SE) and (E) until both conditions simultaneously fail. Therefore, solutions of Eq. (59) are sought as pairs \( \{n_E, p_E\} \) such that \( p_E \) represents the terminal point of a path starting at \( p = 0 \) and entirely contained in the (SE) domain; in other words, \( p_E \) is on both boundaries of (SE) and (E). The set of these points \( p_E \) is, with a little abuse\(^{13}\), referred to as the elliptic boundary.

In order to explore loss of ellipticity for lattice configurations characterized by different symmetry classes, a square and a rhombic grid are considered, respectively with \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) and \( \alpha = \pi/3 \). For both examples, the slenderness \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \), and \( \Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15 \) are selected.

\(^{13}\)In fact, the elliptic boundary as referred to in this article is the part of this boundary which is coincident with the boundary of strong ellipticity.
Fig. 8. Polar plots of the square root of the lowest eigenvalue of the acoustic tensor (57), as a function of \( \mathbf{n} \), for a prestress state at 50% (dashed gray line) and 100% (continuous blue line) of the limit value for ellipticity loss (\( p_2 \), reported in Table 1). A square lattice and a rhombic lattice are considered with \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \), \( \alpha = \pi/2 \). For the orthotropic square lattice \((p_1 = p_2 = -5.434, \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10, \alpha = \pi/2)\), the polar plot is given in Fig. 8a. In this case, owing to the orthotropy, waves propagating along the horizontal and vertical direction possess different velocities.

In order to better visualize the direction of localization, denoted as \( g^{(1)}_E \) (the associated wave amplitudes are reported as \( g^{(1)}_E \)). The fully anisotropic cases, displays the vanishing of the eigenvalue along only one direction \( n_k \). Note that for the rhombic lattice, the relative orientation of \( g^{(1)}_E \) with respect to \( g^{(2)}_E \) shows that the mode of localization is neither a pure shear nor a pure expansion wave, but a mixing of the two. Conversely, the square lattice always reaches loss of ellipticity through the formation of bands of pure shear strain.

In Table 1, for each geometry considered, the first solution to Eq. (59) for equal prestress components \( p_1 = p_2 \) is reported, together with the associated directions of localization, denoted as \( \mathbf{n}_E = \cos(\theta_{cr})\mathbf{e}_1 + \sin(\theta_{cr})\mathbf{e}_2 \). Note that the symmetry class is referred here to the unloaded state, so that the symmetry of incremental response may change as an effect of loading. With the assumed values for grid angle \( \alpha \) and slenderness, the cubic, orthotropic, and fully anisotropic cases (10 components of tensor \( C \), which correspond to 6 independent parameters of \( \mathbf{E} \) plus three components of the prestress \( \mathbf{T} \) in the case of planar elasticity) can be investigated.

In order to better visualize the direction of localization \( \mathbf{n}_E \) and the associated mode \( g_E \), a polar plot of the square root of the lowest eigenvalue \( c_1(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}) \) is reported in Fig. 8, for the cases listed in Table 1, at two levels of preload, namely 0.5 \( p_E \) (dashed gray line) and \( p_E \) (continuous blue line). In Fig. 8a the square lattice with \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10 \) is subject to an isotropic prestress in the two directions, \( p_1 = p_2 \), and therefore the cubic symmetry is maintained in the prestressed state. Owing to this symmetry, ellipticity is lost along two orthogonal directions \( n_k^1 \) and \( n_k^2 \). Moreover, the associated wave amplitudes \( g_k^1 \) and \( g_k^2 \) are perpendicular to the vectors \( n_k^1 \) and \( n_k^2 \) respectively, hence indicating that the modes of localization are pure shear waves, the so-called shear bands.

For the orthotropic square lattice \((\Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15)\), the polar plot is given in Fig. 8b. In this case, owing to the orthotropy, waves propagating along the horizontal and vertical direction possess different velocities.
and therefore ellipticity is lost when the smallest of these velocities vanishes, leading to a single shear band (in this case with a normal $n^k$ aligned parallel to the horizontal direction).

Quite remarkably, the shear wave responsible for the ellipticity loss is the one propagating along the direction of the ‘stiffer’ elastic link (having the lowest slenderness), while intuitively a ‘shear mechanism’ would be expected in the direction of the ‘soft’ elastic link. This effect will be confirmed and explained further with the computation of the forced response in Section 6. Moreover, it is worth noting that the shear band directions for the square lattice, both cubic and orthotropic, are aligned parallel to the directions of the rods forming the lattice.

For the rhombic lattice with $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$ and isotropic preload, shown in Fig. 8c, the mechanical behavior is orthotropic and therefore two directions of localization are obtained. The associated wave amplitudes $g_k^R$ and $g_k^G$ both have respectively a component orthogonal and parallel to the vectors $n^k_E$ and $n^k_G$, so that a ‘mixture’ of shear and compression waves is involved.

The fully anisotropic version for the rhombic lattice (Fig. 8d) can be obtained by changing the slenderness values ($\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$), so that one of the two localizations is suppressed, while the other is preserved. It is also worth noting that, in contrast to the square case, the directions of localization for the rhombic lattice are not perfectly aligned parallel to the rods’ normal, instead, they result slightly inclined, as will be confirmed by the computation of the forced response reported in Section 6.

5.2 Constitutive tensor and prestress for the effective continuum

The prestress tensor $T$, equivalent in the continuum to the preload forces $P$ in the elastic lattice, can be obtained by computing the average normal and tangential tractions along the faces with unit normal $e_1$ and $e_2$. With reference to Fig. 7b the following expression is obtained

$$T = \left( \frac{P_1}{l \sin \alpha} + \frac{P_2 \cos^2 \alpha}{l \sin \alpha} \right) e_1 \otimes e_1 + \frac{P_2 \cos \alpha}{l} (e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1) + \frac{P_2 \sin \alpha}{l} e_2 \otimes e_2. \quad (60)$$

As explained in Section 3.4, once the spherical part of the prestress is known, the effective incremental constitutive tensor $\mathcal{C}$ can be computed from the homogenized acoustic tensor $\mathcal{A}^{(C)}$ by solving the linear system (48). For completeness the full expression of $\mathcal{C}$ for the preloaded grid as function of the parameter set $\{p_1, p_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa, \alpha\}$ is reported in Appendix B.

5.3 Loss of ellipticity vs micro-bifurcation

With reference to the lattice sketched in Fig. 7b, the value of the prestress state, which is critical for bifurcation of the grid is determined by employing conditions (55) and (51), and computing numerically the prestress multipliers $\gamma_E$ and $\gamma_B$. Results are presented as uniqueness or stability domains in the non-dimensional prestress space $\{p_1, p_2\}$ by fixing the set of geometrical and mechanical parameters $\{\alpha, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa\}$. The boundary of the stability domain identifies the ‘critical’, namely, the first bifurcation of the incremental equilibrium of the lattice. Therefore, this domain is the intersection of the domains corresponding to the exclusion of micro and macro bifurcations, so that one or the other instability may, depending on the parameters and on the prestress, be the first encountered in the loading path.

The dependence on the parameters $\{\alpha, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa\}$ has been analyzed by considering two grid configurations that will be referred to as the orthotropic grid, with equal slenderness $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$, and the anisotropic grid, characterized by different slenderness values, $\Lambda_1 = 7$ and $\Lambda_2 = 15$. For each lattice, the influence of the rods’ inclination is explored by setting $\alpha = \pi/2, \pi/3, \pi/4, \pi/6$, while the stiffness of the springs is investigated in the range $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. In this way, the influence of the diagonal bracing on the critical bifurcation mode is analyzed.

Macroscopic (infinite wavelength) and microscopic (finite wavelength) bifurcations are investigated in Fig. 9 for the orthotropic grid with $\alpha = \pi/2$. Here, critical bifurcation loads $p_1$ and $p_2$ are reported for the cases in which diagonal springs are absent ($\kappa = 0$, Fig. 9a, b, c) and for a spring stiffness $\kappa = 0.2$ (Fig. 9d, e, f).

There are two uniqueness (or stability) domains, regions in the prestress state where bifurcation is excluded, one for the grid and one for its equivalent continuum. For the continuum, uniqueness is represented by strong ellipticity and failure of this coincides with loss of ellipticity. The latter, in turn, always corresponds to a bifurcation in the grid with a mode of infinite wavelength. When this mode is critical, a macro-instability occurs in the lattice, so that continuum and grid display the same behavior. If, however, a micro-instability is critical for the grid, this always occurs when the continuum is still strongly elliptic. For this reason, in all figures, the domain of strong ellipticity is reported (whose boundary represents the condition for which the infimum of Eq. (51) is attained at $k = 0$), together with the continuous-dotted contour representing bifurcation in the grid at either $k = 0$ or $k \neq 0$. 
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The uniqueness domains (Fig. 9a and 9d) have been computed by solving equation (51) for radial loading paths in the non-dimensional load space \(\{p_1, p_2\}\). The location of the infimum can be visualized, by fixing the loading direction as \(\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \gamma \hat{\mathbf{p}}\), and then by numerically computing the bifurcation surface defined as \(\det K^* (\gamma \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \eta_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \eta_2 \mathbf{b}_2) = 0\) in the space \(\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \gamma\}\). Two radial paths are considered in Fig. 9a and 9d, namely, equibiaxial \(\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \{-1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}\}\) and uniaxial \(\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \{-1, 0\}\) compression (red dashed lines), and the corresponding bifurcation surfaces are reported in Fig. 9b, c and Fig. 9e, f, respectively.

In the absence of diagonal springs, Fig. 9a reports the strong ellipticity domain in the solid equivalent to the lattice, showing that (for every loading direction \(\hat{\mathbf{p}}\)) a macro-bifurcation, in other words an ellipticity loss (referred to the dyad \(\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{g}\)), is always reached before micro-bifurcation.

For the two radial loading paths shown in Fig. 9a, the bifurcation surfaces Figs. 9b,c,e show that the minimum values of the load multiplier \(\gamma\) are attained at \(\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} = \{0, 0\}\), which corresponds to a macro-bifurcation for the lattice (associated to an infinite wavelength mode), so that the critical prestress multipliers \(\gamma_E = 7.71\) and \(\gamma_E = 5.69\) lie on the border of ellipticity loss. The two bifurcations correspond respectively to two orthogonal modes and a single mode.

The presence of diagonal springs complicates the situation as reported in Fig. 9d. In this case the uniqueness/stability domains show that micro-bifurcations may sometimes occur within the region of strong ellipticity, which is for instance the case of equibiaxial compression (radial path inclined at 45°) and not the case of uniaxial compression (horizontal radial path). In fact, for equibiaxial compression a critical micro-bifurcation occurs, so that Fig. 9e shows that the minimum value of the load multiplier, \(\gamma_B = \sqrt{2}\pi^2\), is attained at four points, \(\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} = \{\pm \pi, \pm \pi\}\), all associated to a bifurcation mode with a finite wavelength, as shown in the inset. For uniaxial compression, Fig. 9f, a macro-bifurcation of the grid occurs at \(\gamma_E = 15.01\) and the tangent to the bifurcation surface at the origin singles out the infinite-wavelength bifurcation mode (shown in the inset and appearing as a rigid translation).

Further results on uniqueness domains for the orthotropic and the anisotropic grid are reported in Figs. 10.
Fig. 10. Strong ellipticity domains (corresponding to macro-bifurcations, continuous lines) and lines of occurrence of micro-bifurcation in the lattice (circular markers) for an orthotropic lattice of prestressed elastic rods with $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$, at different rod angles $\alpha$ and stiffness $\kappa$ of the diagonal springs. Points $B_1, B_2, B_3$ on the stability boundaries have been selected for the computation of the associated critical bifurcation mode (shown in the insets and details reported in Table 2). At small grid angles, for instance $\alpha = \pi/6$, failure of ellipticity coincides with micro-bifurcation in the lattice, so that the bifurcation mode is always characterized by an infinite wavelength. For these grid configurations, the direction of ellipticity loss exhibits a 'super-sensitivity' with respect to the load directionality, shown in the insets of part (d), where the critical dyads $n \otimes g$ for failure of ellipticity are reported.

From Figs. 10 and 11 the following features can be highlighted.

- The stable region is unbounded for tensile (positive) preload and bounded when both the preloads are compressive (negative); this is an expected feature, as the contribution of a tensile preload to the potential energy, Eq. (7), is positive definite;

- The elliptic boundary appears to be smooth everywhere except at a corner point.
The anisotropy induced by different values of slenderness causes the corner to move; the elliptic region is reduced in size along the direction of the smallest slenderness;

- For the orthotropic grid the strong ellipticity boundary is symmetric with respect to the bisector defined by the condition \( p_1 = p_2 \), which is the principal direction of orthotropy for the grid when \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \) (a symmetry which is broken for the anisotropic grid);

- For every value of the grid angle \( \alpha \), the effect of the diagonal springs essentially consists in an enlargement of the strong ellipticity region (see the arrow in Fig. 11 denoting increasing values of stiffness \( \kappa \));

- The stiffening induced by increasing the spring stiffness \( \kappa \) is much more effective for nearly orthogonal grids (\( \alpha \approx \pi/2 \)) than for small values of inclination \( \alpha \) (compare Fig. 10a to Fig. 10d and Fig. 11a to Fig. 11d);

- For every value of the spring stiffness \( \kappa \), the deviation from orthogonality of the grid always reduces the size of the strong ellipticity region, so that the largest strong ellipticity region is attained for \( \alpha = \pi/2 \).

The stability boundaries (circular markers in Fig. 10 and 11), evidence the following characteristics.

- At small values of spring stiffness \( \kappa \), the first bifurcation is always global, so that the strong ellipticity and the stability boundaries coincide independently of the prestress direction; a feature visible for \( \kappa = 0 \) and 0.1 (purple and blue lines in Fig. 10a and Fig. 11a);

- An increase in the spring stiffness \( \kappa \) leads to a first bifurcation of local type (the critical mode is characterized by a finite wavelength), so that the stability region lies inside the elliptic boundary;

- Fig. 10d and Fig. 11d show that, at sufficiently small values of grid angle (for instance at \( \alpha = \pi/6 \)), failure of strong ellipticity dictates the first bifurcation independently of the stiffness of the diagonal springs (see circular markers of the stability boundary overlapping with the elliptic boundary);

- The typical microscopic bifurcation modes of the orthotropic grillage are characterized by a deformation involving bending with the nodes only subject to rotations (see insets in Fig. 10a, b, c corresponding to the points labeled as \( B_1, B_2, B_3 \));

- Typical microscopic bifurcation modes of the anisotropic grid exhibit widely different incremental deformations. These are dictated by the prestress direction (see insets in Fig. 11a, b, c corresponding to points labeled \( B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5, B_6, B_7, B_8 \) and compare for instance mode \( B_4 \) to \( B_5 \) or \( B_6 \) to \( B_7 \)).

- A bifurcation always occurs for every lattice geometry at an equibiaxial load \( \{p_1, p_2\} = \{-\pi^2, -\pi^2\} \) (point \( B_1 \) in Fig. 10a) regardless of the values of \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa, \) and \( \alpha \). This bifurcation can be explained by the fact that the normalized load \( P\ell^2/B = -\pi^2 \) corresponds to the buckling load of a simply supported Euler-Bernoulli beam, and thus, when all the rods of an arbitrary grid are prestressed at this level, a purely flexural buckling mode becomes available (shown in the inset of Fig. 10a).

Despite the complex influence of the geometrical and mechanical parameters on the stability of the prestressed grillage, two important ‘transitions’ characterize the nature of the first bifurcation, namely:

(i) a macro-to-micro transition of the critical bifurcation mode occurs at increasing stiffness of the diagonal springs \( \kappa \);
Fig. 11. As for Fig. 10, except that \( \Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15 \) and that the points on the stability boundary for which the critical bifurcation modes have been computed are labeled \( B_4, B_5, B_6, B_7, B_8 \). Note also that, the typical microscopic bifurcation modes of the anisotropic grid exhibit widely different deformations, dictated by the prestress direction (see insets in parts a, b, c corresponding to points labeled \( B_4 \), \( B_5 \), \( B_6 \), \( B_7 \), \( B_8 \) and compare for instance mode \( B_4 \) to \( B_5 \) or \( B_6 \) to \( B_7 \)). In part (a) the black stability boundary denoted with the label (GL) identifies a set a special conditions where both global and local instabilities occur simultaneously at the same prestress level. This phenomenon is investigated in detail in Fig. 12.

(ii) a micro-to-macro transition of the critical bifurcation mode occurs at decreasing rods’ inclination \( \alpha \).

The above transitions will be exploited in Section 6 and 7 to investigate the incremental response induced by perturbations applied to a lattice preloaded close to a bifurcation (both global and local bifurcations will be considered).

5.4 A single localization band with a highly tunable inclination

A remarkable characteristic is associated to the micro-to-macro bifurcation transition obtained at decreasing angle \( \alpha \), namely, a super-sensitivity of the localization band normal, represented by the unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \), with respect to the state of preload, while the localization mode \( \mathbf{g} \) results only weakly affected. For instance, at \( \alpha = \pi/6 \) and sufficiently high spring stiffness \( \kappa \), the insets in Figs. 10d and 11d show that the relative inclinations between the localization band normal \( \mathbf{n} \) and the localization mode \( \mathbf{g} \) strongly vary as a function of the preload state in the lattice.
When the spring stiffness vanishes, $\kappa = 0$, the localization band is inclined near the angles 0 and $\pi/6$, which represent the grid inclination, so that failure of ellipticity occurs in a direction $\mathbf{n}$ that is almost orthogonal to the rods. On the contrary, at $\kappa = 0.2$ a single localization band occurs, whose inclination strongly depends on the load directionality and is essentially unrelated to the underlying grid pattern (shown in the insets for $\kappa = 0.2$, Figs. 10d and 11d). The super-sensitivity of the localization direction provides an enhanced tunability of the macroscopic localization pattern by means of a simple modification of the preload applied to the lattice.

It is worth noting that the localization direction can also be designed by constructing a lattice with a suitable value of rods’ angle $\alpha$, but with this approach the localization direction would not be easily reconfigurable, as the structure geometry would be defined in advance.

5.5 Infinite set of bifurcation wavelengths in a lattice: perfect equivalence with the continuum

Loss of ellipticity in a solid involves simultaneously infinite modes of every wavelength, while the corresponding condition in the lattice usually involves only one mode of infinite wavelength. In this sense, the equivalent continuum displays a response differing from the lattice, a circumstance which may be expected as a consequence of the homogenization procedure.

Surprisingly, it is shown in the following that special conditions can be found in which the lattice bifurcates similarly to the equivalent continuum, by displaying infinite modes, covering every wavelength. In this case a perfect equivalence between the bifurcation in the grillage and failure of ellipticity in the effective continuum occurs.

For a square grid (with $\alpha = \pi/2$, $\Lambda_1 = 7$, and $\Lambda_2 = 15$), the perfect equivalence can be reached at a fixed value of load by varying the stiffness of the diagonal springs $\kappa$, thus obtaining $\kappa \approx 0.128$. This value was calculated by numerically solving equation (51) between $\kappa = 0.1$ and $\kappa = 0.2$, because these two values pinpoint the threshold of separation between macro and micro bifurcation. Moreover, to obtain this special feature, the loading path must be appropriately selected, as shown in Fig. 11a. Indeed, along the curved boundary denoted as (G) in Fig. 11a the bifurcation mode is unique and involves only the infinite wavelength (macro bifurcation), while on the boundary denoted as (GL) an infinite number of bifurcation modes of arbitrary wavelength is present for every critical loading state, as detailed for the point $B_2$ in Fig. 12.

With reference to the reciprocal basis (56), a three-dimensional plot of the bifurcation surface in the space $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \gamma\}$ ($\gamma$ is the loading multiplier) is reported in Fig. 12a. Points on the surface satisfy the vanishing of the determinant in Eq. (51) and are calculated only for the loading path $\{p_1, p_2\} = \gamma \{-1, -6\}$. The lowest, i.e. critical, bifurcation occurs at $\gamma = \gamma_B$ (a value represented in the figure as a red segment). A section of this surface at $\eta_1 = 0$ is reported in Fig. 12b to show the dependence of the critical multiplier on the stiffness $\kappa$. In particular, for $\kappa < 0.128$ the critical wave vector is $k_{cr} = 0$ (macro instability), while for $\kappa > 0.128$ the critical wave vector is $k_{cr} = \pi b_2$ (micro instability). Finally and most importantly, for $\kappa = 0.128$ every wave vector of the form $k_{cr} = \eta_2 b_2$ (with arbitrary $\eta_2$) identifies a different bifurcation mode occurring at the same load multiplier $\gamma_B \approx 3.44$. Within this infinite set of bifurcation modes, a few bifurcation modes are reported (the labelled points on the red contour of Fig. 12b), to show the transition of the bifurcation mode from a local bifurcation (Fig. 12c) to a global shear-band type instability (Fig. 12h).

5.6 Macro and micro bifurcations as degeneracies of the dispersion relation

The effect of the diagonal reinforcement (springs labeled with $S$ in Fig. 7) on the bifurcation of the lattice has been systematically investigated in Section 5.3, where it has been shown to play a fundamental role in determining the wavelength critical for bifurcation. Specifically, it has been demonstrated that an increase in the spring stiffness induces a transition of the critical bifurcation from macroscopic to microscopic, and in particular the bifurcation is characterized by an infinite wavelength when $\kappa = 0$.

On the other hand, the time-harmonic formulation of Section 2 and 3 can be leveraged to provide a dynamic interpretation to lattice instabilities different from the one obtained via the quasi-static approach of Section 4. The difference between macroscopic and microscopic bifurcation will be specially focused, as the latter is lost in the homogenization approach. The homogenization scheme introduced in Section 3 proves that the long-wavelength asymptotics for waves propagating in the lattice is governed by the acoustic tensor of the effective medium, Eq. (46). Therefore, it becomes now clear that a macro-bifurcation in the lattice has to be equivalent to failure of ellipticity in its equivalent continuum. Hence, a macro-bifurcation occurs when the velocity of the acoustic long waves of the lattice vanishes along some directions. Moreover, a clear interpretation of short-wavelength bifurcations (micro-bifurcations) is also provided by the analysis of the dispersion relation of the lattice (19), interpreted now as a function of the preload state. The latter can be

\[ k_{cr} = \pi b_2. \]

Note that, due to the periodic structure of the lattice, the shortest wavelength of Bloch type is equal to $2l$, corresponding to $k_{cr} = \pi b_2$. 
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Fig. 12. Conditions showing a perfect equivalence between the lattice and the corresponding continuum, so that when the latter looses ellipticity, the former exhibits bifurcation occurring with infinite modes covering all wavelengths of Bloch type (these are greater than 2l and correspond to $k_{cr} \leq \pi b_2$), a situation which is revealed by the flat line (highlighted in red) in the bifurcation diagram (a). The perfect equivalence is obtained through accurate tuning of the stiffness of the diagonal springs ($\kappa \approx 0.128$ for a square grid with $\Lambda_1 = 7$ and $\Lambda_2 = 15$ and a loading $\{p_1, p_2\} \approx 3.44\{-1, -6\}$). Part (b) represents a section of the bifurcation surface at $\eta_1 = 0$ detailing the flat minimum of the curve occurring at $\kappa \approx 0.128$. Parts (c)–(h) present selected bifurcation modes documenting a transition at increasing wavelength of the bifurcation modes from a local (c) to a shear-band (h) bifurcation.

used to identify the condition of buckling in the lattice as the ‘propagation’ of a Bloch wave at vanishing frequency. In fact, regardless of the critical wavelength, macro and micro bifurcations can be visualized by plotting the evolution of the dispersion surfaces along a loading path up to loss of stability.

The essential difference between the two kinds of bifurcation is exemplified in Fig. 13 for two square
The dispersion surfaces, computed for states of preload of increasing magnitude (from left to right), demonstrate the difference between macroscopic (upper part) and microscopic (lower part) bifurcations occurring in a square grid of elastic rods. The stiffness of the diagonal springs can be tuned to cause a switching of the critical bifurcation mode from macroscopic (low spring stiffness) to microscopic (high spring stiffness). The four surfaces reported in the upper part refer to equibiaxial compression of a square grid with $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$ and not reinforced with springs. In this case an infinite-wavelength bifurcation occurs at vanishing slope of the acoustic branches at the origin (when the preload reaches a critical value $p_{E} = \{-5.434, -5.434\}$). The four surfaces reported in the lower part refer to the same grid but reinforced with springs ($\kappa = 0.4$), which induces a 'stiffening' of the acoustic branches at the origin. This triggers a critical bifurcation at a preload $p_{B} = \{-\pi^2, -\pi^2\}$, when the lowering of the dispersion surface causes the generation of a zero-frequency wave with non-null wave vector (corresponding to a finite wavelength buckling).

grids ($\alpha = \pi/2$ and $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$), one without diagonal springs (upper row in the figure) and the other with $\kappa = 0.4$ (lower row in the figure), subject to equibiaxial compression ($p_1 = p_2$) of increasing magnitude (from left to right in the figure). The dispersion surfaces (plotted in the non-dimensional space $\{k_1 l, k_2 l, \Omega\}$ with $\Omega = \omega l \sqrt{\gamma r / A}$ and $\gamma r = 0$) show that the macro-bifurcation in the grid without springs occurs with the progressive lowering, and eventually vanishing, of the slope of the acoustic branches at the origin, while the dispersion surface attains non-null frequency for every other wave vector. On the contrary, the micro-bifurcation occurring in the grid reinforced with springs is characterized by a non-vanishing slope of the acoustic branches at the origin. Moreover, because of the preload-induced lowering of the dispersion surface, a zero-frequency wave is generated with a non-null wave vector, which corresponds to a finite wavelength bifurcation. These dispersion surfaces can be considered the dynamic counterpart of the bifurcation surfaces presented in Section 5.3.

6 Time-harmonic forced response near the elliptic boundary

The analysis of the homogenized continuum, equivalent to a preloaded grid of elastic rods (presented in Section 5) predicts that the incremental response can display strain localizations due to prestress-induced loss of ellipticity. However, while the relation between localization and failure of ellipticity is well-known in a continuum, it is not equally clear why a ‘global bifurcation’ in the grillage should correspond to a localization of motion. The scope of this section is a definitive clarification of this important point through a perturbative approach, in which a perturbing agent in terms of a pulsating concentrated force is applied both to the lattice and its continuum approximation and the results in terms of incremental displacement maps compared. It should also be remarked that the perturbative approach employed is designed to capture the onset of strain localization, while its development during a deformation path, after its appearance, will later be influenced by the post-critical behavior (e.g. see [67]). Nonetheless, loss of ellipticity indicates a long-wavelength bifurcation eigenmode of the lattice and will be shown to correspond to a localization of incremental strain when a perturbing agent, as a concentrated force, is superimposed on a prestressed state close to the macro-bifurcation threshold. This will provide validation to the homogenization scheme, showing that localization occurs both in the lattice and in the equivalent solid when a macroscopic bifurcation occurs. To this purpose, the actual low-frequency forced response of the lattice (simulated numerically with a finite element
Fig. 14. Slowness contours and dispersion surfaces for a rhombic anisotropic lattice with $\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$ (in blue) and for the effective elastic continuum (in red) at frequency $\Omega = 0.01$. The evolution of the contours and dispersion surfaces induced by a compressive preload of equal components $p_1 = p_2$ along two inclined directions (the considered loading path is depicted in Fig. 11) demonstrates that the nonlinear dispersion of the lattice is negligible, except when the material is very close to the elliptic boundary, namely, for a prestress above $0.9 \ p_E$. The comparison between the behaviors of the lattice and its equivalent continuum shows a great agreement.

The comparison is performed for the four geometric configurations reported in Table 1 and for four prestress levels, namely $\{0, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99\} \ p_E$ (with $p_E$ being the prestress state leading to ellipticity loss). The lattice response is numerically analyzed using the COMSOL Multiphysics® finite element program in the frequency response mode. A square finite-size computational window with a width of 350 unit cells (of dimension 350l, with l denoting the cell edge) is considered, with a perfectly matched layer (PML) along the boundaries, so that here waves are not reflected, rather absorbed, and the response of an infinite body is simulated. The governing equation for the prestressed Euler-Bernoulli rod, Eq. (2b), used in the finite element scheme has been implemented by modifying the bending moment contribution with an additional geometric term given by the load multiplied by the transverse displacement of the rod. With regard to the computational mesh, the rod’s length l is discretized in 10 finite elements with cubic shape functions. The selected mesh has been defined by testing three different mesh refinements, namely 5, 10, and 20 elements for l. Eventually, the mesh with 10 elements has been selected, as 20 provided no significant improvement, but a substantial computational burden.

A pulsating concentrated force, applied in-plane, is considered acting at the center of the computational domain. For a given load, the complex displacement field $u(x)$, with horizontal and vertical components $u_1(x_1, x_2)$ and $u_2(x_1, x_2)$, is computed and the results are plotted in terms of the modulus of the displacement associated to its real part only, $\delta_R(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{(\Re u_1)^2 + (\Re u_2)^2}$ (the plots of the imaginary part of the displacement is omitted for brevity).

In all the following analyses the frequency of the pulsating force is set to be $\Omega = \omega l \sqrt{\gamma/A} = 0.01$, a low value providing a reasonable match, in term of acoustic properties, between the effective continuum and the lattice. In fact, the mismatch between the two is different from zero for any non-vanishing frequency, although becomes zero in the limit $\Omega \to 0$. When the elliptic boundary is approached, this mismatch is expected to become wider for those waves which propagate parallel to the direction of ellipticity loss. This is easily explained by the fact that, as the linear term in the dispersion relation tends to vanish (in a direction $n_E$), the nonlinear dispersion of the lattice becomes non-negligible at any non-vanishing frequency.

By considering for instance the rhombic anisotropic grid ($\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$), the deviation between the responses of the lattice (reported in blue in Fig. 14) and its equivalent continuum (reported in red in Fig. 14) can be visualized in terms of slowness contours computed at the frequency $\Omega = 0.01$. By comparing the contours for the four preload states, it can be appreciated that these are superimposed up $0.9 \ p_E$, so that the nonlinear dispersion of the lattice becomes non-negligible only when the material is very close to the elliptic boundary, namely, at a preload $0.99 \ p_E$, and only for waves close to the direction of ellipticity loss. It is also
worth noting that when $p \approx p_E$, the slowness contour pertinent to the lattice (reported in blue) is always contained inside the contour relative to the continuum (reported in red), so that the nonlinear dispersion implies that waves speeds are slightly higher for the lattice than for the effective elastic medium.

### 6.1 Square lattice

Cubic and orthotropic square grids are considered, subject to a pulsating diagonal force (inclined at 45° with respect to the rods’ axes), with the purpose of revealing the emergence of strain localizations.

![Fig. 15.](image)

The displacement field generated by a pulsating diagonal force (denoted with a red arrow and applied to the square lattice with cubic symmetry, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) is simulated via f.e.m., see (a)–(d), and compared to the response of the homogenized continuum, see (e)–(h), at different levels of prestress $p$. Note the emergence of two orthogonal shear bands, aligned parallel to the directions predicted for failure of ellipticity, see Fig. 8a.

![Fig. 16.](image)

Deformed configurations of a square lattice with cubic symmetry ($\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) near the point of application of a pulsating diagonal force (denoted with a red arrow) at a level of prestress close to the elliptic boundary ($p = 0.99 p_E$). The figure reports the zoomed view of the region shown in Fig. 15d and depicts four snapshots of the forced response of the lattice at different instants of time. The pattern shows a motion resulting from the superposition of two shear localizations induced by the dynamic loading.

The case of cubic symmetry ($\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) is analyzed in Fig. 15, where the displacement field, numerically computed for the square grid (subject to a pulsating concentrated force, upper row), is compared to the response of the homogenized continuum (subject to the same concentrated force, solved via Green’s function, lower row), for four values of prestress (increasing from left to right, $p_1 = p_2 = \{0, -3.347, -4.891, -5.380\}$).

As the elliptic boundary is approached, the emergence of two strain localizations becomes evident and confirms the predicted vanishing of an eigenvalue (wave speed) reported in Fig. 8a. Snapshots of the displacement map at different instants of time, during the dynamic response of the grid and near the point of application of the pulsating force, reveal the actual localization mode activated by the applied diagonal force. In fact, deformed configurations calculated in the grid (the zone is indicated in Fig. 15d) through a finite element
simulation and plotted in Fig. 16 display a characteristic motion resulting from the superposition of two shear localizations emanating from the loading point.

The square grid displays a strain localization in the form of a single shear band when the slenderness values of the two orthogonal elastic links are set to be different, thus breaking the cubic symmetry, but preserving orthotropy. The response of the grid with $\Lambda_1 = 7$ and $\Lambda_2 = 15$ is reported in Fig. 17 for four preload states corresponding to $p_1 = p_2 = \{0, -1.657, -1.864, -2.050\}$. As already revealed by Fig. 8b, a single vertical shear band emerges, thus confirming the counter-intuitive result obtained in the previous section, namely that the shear wave responsible for the ellipticity loss is the one propagating along the direction of the ‘stiffest’ elastic link (which possesses the lowest slenderness). The mechanism underlying this effect is displayed by analyzing the actual deformed configuration of the grid reported in Fig. 18 (plotted at different instants of time and obtained via f.e.m. simulations). The figure, which refers to the zone indicated in Fig. 17d, reveals that the vertical strain localization emerges from a prevalent bending deformation of the ‘soft’ vertical links accompanied by an approximately rigid rotation of the ‘stiff’ horizontal rods, which is allowed by a large rotation of the nodes (see also inset of zoomed region at $t = 0$).

The comparison between the responses of the grid and of the homogenized continuum, presented in Figs. 15 and 17, shows an almost perfect agreement from low to high prestress levels, up to values close to the elliptic boundary. The agreement can be further tested by considering the lattice’s complex displacement field (reported in the last column of Figs. 15 and 17, prestressed at $p = 0.99 \ p_E$), computing its Fourier transform and superimposing this to the corresponding slowness contour (associated to the chosen frequency $\Omega = 0.01$). This is reported in Fig. 19, where the Fourier transform shows that, for both considered square grids, the
6.2 Rhombic lattice

In the previous section, the square lattice was shown to display only localizations in the form of ‘pure’ shear bands, i.e. in which shear strain prevails, perfectly aligned parallel to the elastic ligaments. However, on the basis of the analysis performed in Section 5, the formation of localizations is expected along different directions and with different deformation modes, when a rhombic grid is considered, $\alpha \neq \pi/2$.

In order to investigate the response of the orthotropic ($\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) and anisotropic ($\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$) rhombic lattices ($\alpha = \pi/3$), both horizontal and vertical concentrated forces will be considered, so to observe a dependence of the number of strain localizations on the loading orientation. Furthermore, in contrast to what happens in the case of the square grid, the directions of localization are expected to occur with a slight misalignment with respect to the directions of the rods, as predicted in Figs. 8c and 8d.

In Fig. 20 the displacement field computed via f.e.m. for the orthotropic rhombic lattice (horizontally and vertically loaded with a pulsating force and reported on first and third row from the top of the figure) is compared to the response of the homogenized continuum (reported in the second and fourth row) at four values of preload (increasing from left to right) $p_1 = p_2 = \{0, -4.276, -4.811, -5.292\}$.

A comparison between Figs. 20a–20d and Figs. 20e–20h and a comparison between Figs. 20i–20l and Figs. 20m–20p, shows an excellent agreement between the lattice response and its homogenized continuum counterpart, for each state of lattice’s preload. With reference to a prestress state $p = 0.99 p_E$ (last column on the right of the figure), while two localization bands are activated by the vertical force, only one is generated by the horizontal force. Note also that a slight misalignment between the localization direction and the rod angle remains hardly visible until the material is close to elliptic boundary (compare for example the case of vanishing prestress, Fig. 20a, to the case $p = 0.99 p_E$, Fig. 20d). The localization modes are analyzed in Fig. 21 by inspecting the lattice deformation computed via f.e.m. at different temporal instants through snapshots taken in regions near the loading point (Figs. 20 (d) and (l) show the zones considered).

A comparison between the localization band induced by the horizontal load (upper row of Fig. 21) and that generated by the vertical one (second row in Fig. 21) shows that the (almost) horizontal band is characterized by an almost perfectly straight wavefront, while the inclined band displays a periodic modulation along the front. This modulation is due to the superposition of the two localization patterns that are activated by the vertical force, where the inclined band prevails over the almost horizontal one, as can be seen in Figs. 20l
The displacement field generated by a pulsating horizontal force (denoted with a red arrow and applied to the orthotropic rhombic lattice, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) is simulated via f.e.m., see (a)–(d), and compared to the response of the homogenized continuum, see (e)–(h), at different levels of prestress $p$. The same comparison is reported in (i)–(l) and (m)–(p) for a pulsating vertical force. Even though ellipticity is lost along the two directions predicted in Fig. 8c, the activation of strain localization depends on the orientation of the load, so that two bands are activated by the vertical force, while only one is generated by the horizontal load. Furthermore, note that the directions of the localization bands (with angles of the normal equal to $\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ, 151.8^\circ$) is slightly misaligned with respect to the rod’s inclination.

Fig. 20. The displacement field generated by a pulsating horizontal force (denoted with a red arrow and applied to the orthotropic rhombic lattice, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) is simulated via f.e.m., see (a)–(d), and compared to the response of the homogenized continuum, see (e)–(h), at different levels of prestress $p$. The same comparison is reported in (i)–(l) and (m)–(p) for a pulsating vertical force. Even though ellipticity is lost along the two directions predicted in Fig. 8c, the activation of strain localization depends on the orientation of the load, so that two bands are activated by the vertical force, while only one is generated by the horizontal load. Furthermore, note that the directions of the localization bands (with angles of the normal equal to $\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ, 151.8^\circ$) is slightly misaligned with respect to the rod’s inclination.

and 20p.

The relative contribution of the two localizations can be further investigated through a Fourier transform of the lattice response, to be compared with the Bloch spectrum generated by the forcing source. Fig. 22 shows the Fourier transform of the field generated in the rhombic grid when the material is close to the elliptic boundary ($p = 0.99p_E$). Fig. 22a and 22b correspond, respectively, to the Fourier transform of Fig. 20d and Fig. 20l. The two sharp peaks of Fig. 22a clearly show that the source is emanating pure plane waves propagating almost vertically ($\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ$) (the slight tilt exactly matches the sub-horizontal wavefronts of the response). Instead, the four peaks of Fig. 22b demonstrate that two families of plane waves are activated: the prevailing ones propagate along the inclined direction ($\theta_{cr} = 151.8^\circ$) while the vertically-propagating waves result dimmer (in agreement with the response of Fig. 20l).

Results pertaining to an anisotropic rhombic lattice ($\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$, while the other parameters are equal to those used to generate Fig. 20, relative to an orthotropic rhombic lattice) are reported in Fig. 23 for four values of prestress, $p_1 = p_2 = \{0, -1.634, -1.839, -2.023\}$. 
Fig. 21. Deformed configurations of the orthotropic rhombic lattice ($\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) near the point of application of a pulsating concentrated force (the zones are indicated in Fig. 20 d and l), at a level of prestress close to the elliptic boundary ($p = 0.99 p_E$). The pattern on the first (the second) row shows the motion of the localization induced by the pulsating horizontal (vertical) load. Note that the effect of the load is the generation of bands almost parallel to each other and possessing almost constant amplitude, except for a modulation of the inclined localization (shown in the lower row). In both cases the deformation mode is mostly of shear-type even though an ‘expansion’ component is also present, as indicated by the vectors $g_E$, Fig. 8c.

Fig. 22. Fourier transform of the complex displacement fields of the orthotropic rhombic lattice (reported in Fig. 20 d and l, with $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$) subject to an horizontal (a) and vertical (b) pulsating force applied at a prestress close to the elliptic boundary, $p = 0.99 p_E$. The slowness contours of the lattice (dashed green) and of the effective continuum (dashed red) are superimposed to highlight the Bloch spectrum of waves excited by the forcing source. The sharp peaks in the contours are aligned to the directions of ellipticity loss as predicted in Fig. 8c. In (a) the horizontal concentrated force only activates waves propagating at $\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ$, while in (b) the vertical concentrated force generates four peaks along the directions $\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ$, $151.8^\circ$. This is in excellent agreement with the responses reported in Figs. 20d and 20l.

For a completely anisotropic material only a single localization is expected to occur and in the case of the anisotropic grid considered the localization direction has been predicted in Fig. 8d to occur at an inclination angle $\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ$ of the band normal. However, similarly to the case of the orthotropic grid, the activation of the localization depends on the orientation of the perturbing force. This can be observed by comparing the lattice response generated by a horizontal and a vertical pulsating force, both reported in Fig. 23 and showing that strain localization is absent when a horizontal force is applied, regardless of the prestress level (see Figs. 23a–23h). On the other hand, the vertical concentrated force triggers an inclined localization when the material is brought close to ellipticity loss (see Figs. 23i–23p).
As for Fig. 20, except that an anisotropic rhombic lattice ($\Lambda_1 = 7$, $\Lambda_2 = 15$) is considered. Note that, even though ellipticity is lost along the direction predicted in Fig. 8d (with normal angle $\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ$), the activation of the strain localization depends on the orientation of the applied pulsating force, so that the band is activated by the vertical force while localization is inhibited when the grid is loaded horizontally.

Results reported in Figs. 24 and 25, referred to the anisotropic rhombic lattice, have been obtained with the same setting of Figs. 21 and 22, referring to the orthotropic case.

Fig. 24 shows that, as only one localization band is present, the deformation pattern is characterized by the generation of essentially straight wavefronts propagating outwards from the localization band. The generation of these parallel waves is perfectly captured by the sharp peaks in the Fourier transform of the lattice response, reported in Fig. 25. Fig. 25a and 25b correspond, respectively, to the Fourier transform of Fig. 23d and Fig. 23l. The two light spots in Fig. 25b, superimposed to two tips of the contour aligned parallel to the direction $\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ$, denote the peaks of the Fourier transform. These clearly shows that the response induced by the vertical force involves pure plane waves propagating with fronts inclined at $\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ - 90^\circ = 61.4^\circ$ with respect to the horizontal axis.

It is also important to note that waves do not propagate vertically when the load is vertical while these become the only propagation mode when the load is horizontal (see the peaks on the short tips of the contour in Fig. 25a). This is in agreement with the fact that localization is not generated by the horizontal force and the ‘slow waves’ leading the homogenized continuum to failure of ellipticity remain inactive.
t = 0  

| Detail of Fig. 23l |

| t = \frac{\pi}{2} |

| t = \frac{\pi}{2} |

| t = \frac{3\pi}{2} |

**Fig. 24.** As for Fig. 21, except that an anisotropic rhombic lattice \((\Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15)\) is considered. The zone reported in the figure is shown in Fig. 23l. The pattern shows the motion of the localization induced by the pulsating vertical load, which generates waves emanating outwards from the localization band, parallel to each other, and possessing an almost constant amplitude. The deformation mode is mostly of shear-type even though an ‘expansion’ component is also present, as indicated by the vector \(\mathbf{g}_E\) reported in Fig. 8d.

(a) Horizontal loading  

(b) Vertical loading

**Fig. 25.** As for Fig. 22, except that an anisotropic rhombic lattice \((\Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15)\) is considered and the Fourier transform refers to the displacement fields reported in Figs. 23d and 23l. Localization is absent when the pulsating force is horizontal, (a), as the waves corresponding to the elongated tips of the contours remain inactive. The vertical force triggers two peaks along the direction \(\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ\), which is in fact the direction normal to the localization band, (b). The Fourier transform is in perfect agreement with the responses shown in Figs. 23d and 23l.

### 7 Incremental static response: macro and micro localization

The correlation between the static incremental response of the lattice and of the equivalent solid is of great interest and is now investigated close to the conditions of instability. Following the perturbative approach, the response of the lattice to an applied static concentrated load, in the form of a force dipole, is numerically evaluated via finite elements and compared to the response of the equivalent solid, also subject to the same force dipole. The latter is constructed by means of the Green’s function associated to the operator governing the incremental equilibrium, \(\text{div } \mathbb{C}[\text{grad}(\mathbf{u})]\), ([19]), and resulting in

\[
\mathcal{G}(\hat{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{|n|=1} \left( A^{(C)}(n) \right)^{-1} \log|\hat{x} \cdot n|,
\]  

where the position vector \(\mathbf{x}\) has been made dimensionless through division by the rod’s length \(l\), so that \(\hat{x} = x/l\). Note that \(\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^T\) due to the symmetry of the acoustic tensor.

The numerical results are obtained via a static analysis adopting the same computational setup described in Section 6. As the simulations are meant to be compared to the infinite-body Green’s function, the size of the domain has been calibrated in order to minimize boundary disturbances with clamped conditions at the four edges of the square domain.

The investigation presented below reveals that:

(i) The localization of deformation connected to macro bifurcation in the lattice and to failure of ellipticity in the equivalent solid are strictly similar;
(ii) The lattice response close to a micro-bifurcation evidences a ‘microscopic’ type of localization, which remains completely undetected in the homogenized material.

These two different mechanical behaviors are analyzed by exploiting the macro-to-micro transition of the first bifurcation mode, which is controlled by the increase in the stiffness of the diagonal springs of the lattice considered in Section 5. Hence, in Section 7.1 the lattice is considered in the absence of diagonal springs \((κ = 0)\), while in Section 7.2 the lattice is reinforced with springs of stiffness \(κ = 0.4\).

### 7.1 Macroscopic localizations on the verge of ellipticity loss

The lattice configurations selected for the following analysis are reported in Table 1, together with the values of the preload \(p_E\) for loss of ellipticity in the effective continuum.

![Fig. 26](image-url) Progressive emergence, at increasing load, of two orthogonal shear bands in the displacement field generated by a diagonal force dipole. The dipole is applied to a square lattice (with cubic symmetry, \(Λ_1 = Λ_2 = 10\), upper part, a–d, simulated via f.e.m.) and compared to the response of its equivalent continuum (lower part e–h). From left to right the load increases towards failure of strong ellipticity \(p_E\). Shear bands are aligned parallel to the directions predicted at failure of ellipticity \(θ_{cr} = 0^\circ, 90^\circ\).

![Fig. 27](image-url) As for Fig. 26, but for an orthotropic square lattice \((Λ_1 = 7, Λ_2 = 15)\), where a single and vertical, \(θ_{cr} = 0^\circ\), shear band forms.
In the static regime, a comparison is presented between the response of the grillage loaded with a concentrated force dipole and a dipole Green’s function for the effective solid. As for the dynamic analysis of Section 6, the comparison is presented in terms of maps of incremental displacements (contour plots in Figs. 26–29), where the color scale in the grid has been conveniently normalized according to the maximum value of the computed displacements. In the upper part of the figures, results pertaining to the grid are presented, while, in the lower part, results refer to the equivalent continuum, obtained via homogenization. The figures from left to right correspond to the application of increasing preloads, which approach the strong ellipticity boundary in the equivalent solid. Insets placed in parts (d) of each figure \((p = 0.99p_E)\) illustrate a magnification of the lattice deformation in the neighborhood of the loading zone. These details highlight the microscopic deformation patterns associated to the extreme mechanical response of the grid when loaded closely to the elliptic boundary.

**Fig. 28.** As for Fig. 26, but for an orthotropic rhombic lattice \((\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10)\), where the localization bands are inclined \((\theta_{cr} = 88.2^\circ, 151.8^\circ)\). In contrast to the square grid, the inclination of the localization bands do not coincide with the direction of the rods.

**Fig. 29.** As for Fig. 26, but for an anisotropic rhombic lattice \((\Lambda_1 = 7, \Lambda_2 = 15)\), where a single inclined localization band forms \((\theta_{cr} = 151.4^\circ)\).

In the situations analyzed in Figs. 26–29, the equivalent solid is found to be fully representative of the lattice structure. Therefore, approaching failure of ellipticity, the perturbative approach reveals, both in the
continuum and in the real lattice, the formation of single or double bands in which incremental deformation localizes. The bands can be horizontal, vertical or inclined. The correspondence between the behavior of the grid and of its equivalent continuum is found to be excellent, so that the maps reported in the upper part of the figures are practically identical to the corresponding maps in the lower part of the figures.

7.2 Micro-bifurcation in the lattice and effects on the equivalent solid

Micro-bifurcations occurring when the equivalent solid is still in the strong ellipticity range are investigated in this section, with reference to an equibiaxially compressed square grillage with cubic symmetry $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$ and diagonal springs of stiffness $\kappa = 0.4$. With the assumed spring stiffness, a microscopic bifurcation is critical, as it occurs when the equivalent solid is still strongly elliptic.

![Fig. 30](image_url)

Fig. 30. Microscopic localization of the bifurcation mode in the incremental displacement of a square lattice (cubic symmetry, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 10$, upper part) and in its equivalent continuum (lower part). The prestress is an equibiaxial compression corresponding to bifurcation, $p_B = \{-\pi^2, -\pi^2\}$. A ‘quadrupole’ of forces is applied at the midpoints of the rods. The quadrupole activates a highly localized ‘rotational’ bifurcation mode (labeled as in $B_1$ in Fig. 10a and Table 2), which leaves the lattice and the equivalent solid almost undeformed at a global level, but the lattice evidences a predominant inter-node deformation at the scale of the unit cell.

The incremental displacement maps in the lattice at the critical load for micro-bifurcation and in its equivalent continuum (still strongly elliptic) are shown in Fig. 30. The incremental displacement is generated by the application of a force quadrupole. The upper parts (lower parts) of the figure refer to the grid (to the continuum) and the parts on the right are a magnification of the zone near the force quadrupole.

The figure shows that the incremental response of the prestressed lattice is highly localized, so that only a strong magnification reveals buckling of the elastic rods. Even if the equivalent continuum is not at bifurcation, its distribution of displacements somehow resembles that in the lattice, so that the homogenization may still be representative of the response of the discrete structure, even though the inter-node deformation cannot be captured.

The situation depicted in Fig. 30 completely changes when the lattice is loaded with forces beyond the critical value for micro bifurcation in the lattice, as shown in Fig. 31. This figure refers only to the grid, loaded now with a horizontal force dipole, applied at three different biaxial compression preloading. In particular, a preload coincides with the critical load $p = p_B$ for micro-buckling and the other two, $p = 1.05p_B$ and $p = 1.10p_B$, are beyond.

This figure reports displacement maps (upper part) and the corresponding Fourier transform (obtained via FFT of nodal displacements, lower part), with superimposed slowness contours at null frequency. The
Fig. 31. F.e.m. results for the displacement map (a)–(c) and the corresponding Fourier transform (d)–(f) showing the response of a lattice at a preload corresponding to microscopic instability, \( p = p_B \) and beyond, \( p = 1.05p_B, 1.10p_B \). The slowness contour at null frequency, evaluated through the bifurcation condition is superimposed in red. While at the critical load the perturbation is so localized that is almost invisible, at higher loads an ‘explosive’ instability involving the whole lattice and extending up to the boundary of the domain is clearly observed.

The following conclusion can be drawn from Fig. 31. **While at micro-bifurcation an incremental perturbation remains confined and highly localized in the equivalent continuum, an ‘explosive instability’ is found for the grillage. This instability does not decay and extends to the whole domain occupied by the structure. This is a special behavior which remains unobserved in the equivalent continuum (still strongly elliptic) and cannot be revealed through homogenization.**

8 Conclusions

An analytic formulation has been developed for the time-harmonic dynamics of a grillage of elastic rods (equipped with distributed mass density and rotational inertia), subject to axial forces of an arbitrary amount and incrementally loaded in the plane. Increments are unprescribed, so that incremental axial and shear forces and bending moments are involved. The formulation leads, through an asymptotic expansion of Floquet-Bloch waves, to a low-frequency approximation for an equivalent prestressed elastic material.

The developed technique has been employed to systematically analyze arbitrary lattice geometries and preloaded configurations, therefore predicting both local and global material instabilities, in other words, micro-buckling and strain localization. Loss of ellipticity has been analyzed for a skewed grid, to (i) explore cubic, orthotropic and fully anisotropic homogenized material responses, (ii) compute the elliptic domain for the homogenized continuum as a function of lattice parameters, (iii) analyze the structure of the acoustic branches close to ellipticity loss, and (iv) investigate forced vibrations (both in physical and Fourier spaces) revealing low-frequency wave localizations.

Loss of ellipticity has been analyzed both in quasi-static and dynamic conditions, the former situation obtained from the latter in the limit of vanishing frequency. In particular, strain localization has been found to evidence the following features.

Quasi-static loading:
For all the analyzed grids, (i) the elliptic region is unbounded for tensile preload and bounded for compressive; (ii) deviations of the grid angle from orthogonality reduce the size of the elliptic region; (iii) the elliptic boundary is smooth everywhere except at a corner point.

Several geometries of shear bands and localization bands have been detected. (i) A single shear band may form parallel or inclined to the grid, or (ii) two shear bands may occur, sometimes where the ellipticity domain forms a corner.

Playing with the stiffness of the elements forming the grillage, it is possible to determine the occurrence of the first bifurcation, which may be macroscopic or microscopic. In the former case, it is detected in the homogenized continuum, in the latter it is not.

For special grid geometries, a super-sensitivity of the localization band inclination has been found with respect to the state of preload. Super-sensitivity provides an enhanced tunability to be used in the design of materials to exhibit given localization patterns.

Special conditions can be found in which the lattice bifurcates similarly to the equivalent continuum, namely, simultaneously displaying infinite modes, covering every wavelength. In this case, a perfect equivalence is obtained between the bifurcation in the grid and failure of ellipticity in the effective continuum.

Time-harmonic dynamics:

- The rotational inertia of the elastic rods does not contribute to the definition of the prestressed elastic solid equivalent to the grillage.

- The homogenization scheme based on time-harmonic dynamics proves that the long-wavelength asymptotics for waves propagating in the lattice is governed by the acoustic tensor of the effective medium. This aspect definitely clarifies that a macro-bifurcation in the lattice has to be equivalent to failure of ellipticity in its equivalent continuum.

- Short-wavelength (or micro-) bifurcations are provided by the analysis of the dispersion relation of the lattice, interpreted now as a function of the axial preload state in the rods. Buckling in the lattice becomes the ‘propagation’ of a Bloch wave at vanishing frequency. Macro-bifurcation in the grid occurs with the progressive lowering, and eventually vanishing, of the slope of the acoustic branches at the origin, while the dispersion surface attains non-null frequency for every other wave vector. On the contrary, micro-bifurcation is characterized by a non-vanishing slope of the acoustic branches at the origin, but the preload-induced lowering of the dispersion surface causes the generation of a zero-frequency wave with non-null wave vector (corresponding to a finite wavelength buckling).

- For square grid geometries, the shear wave responsible for the ellipticity loss is the one propagating along the direction of the stiffer elastic link (with the lowest slenderness). This conclusion is counterintuitive, as a shear mechanism would be expected to be generated in the direction of the soft elastic links.

- Shear bands have been investigated through a Fourier transform of the lattice response, evidencing the Bloch spectrum generated by the forcing source, to be compared with the corresponding slowness contour generated by the eigenmode analysis. In conditions close to the ellipticity loss, sharp peaks in the Fourier transform demonstrate that the pulsating force is emanating pure plane waves, which is the ‘signature’ of strain localization in a dynamic context.

- The asymptotic homogenization scheme is performed near the vanishing frequency. It is therefore believed to be more closely representative of the lattice when the frequency of the pulsating force is sufficiently low. When the elliptic boundary is approached, the mismatch in the acoustic properties, between the lattice and its effective continuum approximation, has been found to become wider for those waves which propagate parallel to the direction of ellipticity loss.

Depending on the lattice geometry and preload state, a micro-bifurcation may occur in the grillage while the equivalent continuum is still strongly elliptic. This bifurcation passes undetected via homogenization, but may become dominant. In fact, when the preload in the grid is higher than that critical for micro-bifurcation, an ‘explosive’ instability may occur. Such instability may start at a point as the effect of a perturbation and evidence an unbounded growth. This circumstance has been vividly demonstrated through the perturbative approach to material instability.

It can be concluded, in closure, that homogenization of the incremental response of a grillage of elastic rods, axially preloaded to an arbitrary amount, provides an excellent tool for the design of cellular elastic materials of tunable properties and capable of extremely localized deformations occurring within their elastic range.
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A Linearized equations of motion for an axially pre-stretched elas-tica

A model for an axially stretchable Rayleigh elastic rod can be obtained through a linearization (around a stretched equilibrium configuration) of the equations governing the dynamics of large deflections and flexure of the elastica endowed with rotational inertia. A local axial coordinate $x_0$ is introduced to single out points of the straight, undeformed, and stress-free configuration of the rod. This configuration is assumed as reference, so that the potential and kinetic energies are defined as

\[ V = \int_{x_0}^{l_0} (\psi_\lambda(\lambda) + \psi_\chi(\chi) - P u'(x_0, t)) \, dx_0, \]  
\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^{l_0} \left( \gamma_0 (\ddot{u}(x_0, t) + \ddot{v}(x_0, t)^2) + \gamma_{r,0} \dot{\theta}(x_0, t)^2 \right) \, dx_0, \]

where $l_0$, $\gamma_0$, and $\gamma_{r,0}$ are the initial length, linear mass density, and rotational inertia, while $\psi_\lambda$ and $\psi_\chi$ are strain-energy functions for, respectively, axial and flexural deformations. The axial stretch $\lambda$ and the curvature $\chi$ are defined by the kinematics of an extensible, but unshearable, elastica as

\[ \lambda = (1 + u'(x_0, t)) \cos \theta(x_0, t) + v'(x_0, t) \sin \theta(x_0, t), \]  
\[ \chi = \theta'(x_0, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left( \arctan \left( \frac{v'(x_0, t)}{1 + u'(x_0, t)} \right) \right), \]

where in (A.2b) the unshearability constraint $\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{v'}{1+u'} \right)$ has been explicitly introduced and the symbol $'$ indicates differentiation with respect to the first argument of the function, in this case $x_0$.

A second-order expansion of the functionals (A.1), with respect to the independent displacement fields $\{u, v\}$, around the deformed configuration $\{u_0, v_0\} = \{(l_0 - 1)x_0, 0\}$, yields the linearized response of the rod. The linearization is around a straight, but axially stretched, configuration. A substitution of Eq. (A.2)
into Eq. (A.1) and neglect of an arbitrary constant, leads to the following expansion

\[
\mathcal{V}(u_0 + \delta u, v_0 + \delta v) \sim \int_0^l \left( \psi'_\lambda(\lambda_0) - P \right) \delta u'(x_0, t) dx_0 + \\
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \psi''_\lambda(\lambda_0) \delta u'(x_0, t)^2 dx_0 + \\
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( \frac{\psi''_\lambda(\lambda_0)}{\lambda_0} \delta v'(x_0, t)^2 + \frac{\psi''''_\lambda(0)}{\lambda_0^2} \delta v''(x_0, t)^2 \right) dx_0 ,
\]

(A.3a)

\[
\mathcal{T}(u_0 + \delta u, v_0 + \delta v) \sim \int_0^l \left( \gamma_0 (\delta \dot{u}(x_0, t)^2 + \delta \dot{v}(x_0, t)^2) + \frac{\gamma_{r,0}}{\lambda_0} \delta \dot{v}'(x_0, t)^2 \right) dx_0 ,
\]

(A.3b)

where the ‘residual’ bending moment in the unloaded configuration is assumed to be zero, \(\psi'_\lambda(0) = 0\), and ‘\(\delta\)’ denotes a small variation.

The vanishing of the first-order term in Eq. (A.3a), occurring when the configuration \(\{u_0, v_0\} = \{(\lambda_0 - 1)x_0, 0\}\) satisfies equilibrium, implies that the prestretch \(\lambda_0\) is the solution of the condition \(\psi'_\lambda(\lambda_0) - P = 0\). This indicates that the axial load \(P\) is equal to the axial pre-load. Moreover, the second-order term in Eq. (A.3a) involves the strain energy functions only in terms of second derivatives, \(\psi''_\lambda(\lambda_0)\) and \(\psi''''_\lambda(0)\), evaluated on the straight stretched configuration.

It is now instrumental to update the reference configuration from the stress-free configuration to the stretched configuration, so that the second-order functional (A.3) can be adopted to govern the incremental response of the rod. To this purpose, the variable of integration is changed from \(x_0\) to the current stretched coordinate \(s = \lambda s x_0\), so that the fields \(\{u, v\}\) become functions of \(s\). The second-order terms in Eqs. (A.3) can now be written as

\[
\mathcal{V}(u_0 + \delta u, v_0 + \delta v) \sim \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \psi''_\lambda(\lambda_0) \lambda_0 \delta u'(s, t)^2 ds + \\
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( P \delta v'(s, t) + \psi'_{\lambda}(0) \delta v''(s, t) \right) ds ,
\]

(A.4a)

\[
\mathcal{T}(u_0 + \delta u, v_0 + \delta v) \sim \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( \gamma_0 (\delta \dot{u}(s, t)^2 + \delta \dot{v}(s, t)^2) + \frac{\gamma_{r,0}}{\lambda_0} \delta \dot{v}'(s, t)^2 \right) ds ,
\]

(A.4b)

where \(l = \lambda_0 l_0\) denotes the current length of the rod and the symbol ‘\(\cdot\)’ now indicates differentiation with respect to \(s\). The variations \(\delta u'(s)\) and \(\delta v''(s)\) are, respectively, the incremental axial strain and curvature, so that the corresponding coefficients are the \textit{current values of axial and bending stiffness}, so that they can be concisely denoted as \(\psi''_\lambda(\lambda_0)\lambda_0 = A(\lambda_0)\) and \(\psi''''_\lambda(0)\lambda_0 = B(\lambda_0)\), both functions of the current axial stretch \(\lambda_0\).

The second-order functionals, Eqs. (A.4), describe the incremental response of the axially pre-stretched and pre-loaded rod. Therefore, the equations of motion governing the incremental displacements can be derived via the following functionals

\[
\mathcal{V}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l A(\lambda) u'(s, t)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( P v'(s, t)^2 + B(\lambda) v''(s, t)^2 \right) ds ,
\]

(A.5a)

\[
\mathcal{T}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( \gamma_0 \left( \delta \dot{u}(s, t)^2 + \delta \dot{v}(s, t)^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma_{r,0}}{\lambda_0} \delta \dot{v}'(s, t)^2 \right) ds ,
\]

(A.5b)

where the fields \(\{u(s, t), v(s, t)\}\) are current incremental fields. Note that the initial linear mass density is divided by the prestretch, indicating that the \textit{current} density governs the incremental inertia of the rod. In fact, mass conservation requires \(\gamma_0/\lambda_0 = \gamma(\lambda_0)\), where \(\gamma\) is the current linear mass density of the stretched rod. Similarly, the \textit{current} rotational inertia is denoted as \(\gamma_{r,0}/\lambda_0 = \gamma_r(\lambda_0)\).

The governing equations (2) are directly obtained through the application of Hamilton’s principle to the Lagrangian \(\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{V}\) constructed using the second-order functionals (A.5).

### A.1 Example of a rod made up of an incompressible hyperelastic material

The incremental potential, Eq. (A.5a), has been derived with reference to the elastica defined by two arbitrary strain-energy functions defining the current stiffnesses \(A(\lambda_0)\) and \(B(\lambda_0)\). It is now shown that these two

\footnote{Note that, with a little abuse of notation, the symbols for the functions \(\{u, v\}\) have been maintained even though the independent variable has changed from \(x_0\) to \(s\).}
parameters can be evaluated for an incompressible non-linear elastic material, selected to model the rods. To this purpose the analysis is developed in the static regime.

An initially isotropic, rectangular block of incompressible elastic material is considered, deformed under plane strain and subject to a uniaxial state of stress in-plane, \( T_1 \neq 0, T_2 = 0 \). Its incremental constitutive response can be described through [19]

\[
\dot{S}_{11} = (2\mu_* - T_1) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \ddot{p}, \quad \dot{S}_{22} = 2\mu_* \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} + \ddot{p},
\]

where \( \dot{S}_{ij} \) is the increment of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress, \( u_i \) the incremental displacement, \( \mu_* \) the incremental modulus (corresponding to shearing inclined at 45° with respect to the stress axes), and \( \ddot{p} \) the incremental Lagrange multiplier associated to the incompressibility constraint. Assuming that plane stress prevails incrementally, \( \dot{S}_{22} = 0 \), and using the incompressibility constraint, \( \ddot{p} \) can be eliminated to yield

\[
\dot{S}_{11} = (4\mu_* - T_1) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}.
\]

The incremental equilibrium equation along the \( x_1 \) direction

\[
\frac{\partial \dot{S}_{11}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \dot{S}_{12}}{\partial x_2} = 0,
\]

can be integrated over the current thickness \( h \) of the block, so that a subsequent substitution of Eq. (A.6) leads to

\[
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{\partial \dot{S}_{11}}{\partial x_1} \, dx_2 = (4\mu_* - T_1) \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_1^2} \, dx_2 = 0,
\]

where the assumption of vanishing traction at \( x_2 = \pm h/2 \) has been used.

The incremental flexural equilibrium can also be retrieved. To this purpose, for a perturbation from the current uniaxial stress state, Biot [68] has shown that the incremental equilibrium requires

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} x_2 \dot{S}_{11} \, dx_2 + T_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} u_2 \, dx_2 = 0,
\]

where the first integral can be recognized to be the incremental bending moment.

By adopting the incremental kinematics of an Euler-Bernoulli beam (satisfying the unshearability condition)

\[
u_1(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1) - x_2 \frac{\partial v(x_1)}{\partial x_1}, \quad u_2(x_1, x_2) = v(x_1),
\]

and using Eq. (A.6), the axial and flexural equilibrium equations (A.7) and (A.8) become

\[
(4\mu_* - T_1) h \frac{\partial^2 u_1(x_1)}{\partial x_1^2} = 0, \quad (A.10a)
\]

\[
(4\mu_* - T_1) \frac{h^3}{12} \frac{\partial^4 v(x_1)}{\partial x_1^4} - T_1 h \frac{\partial^2 v(x_1)}{\partial x_1^2} = 0. \quad (A.10b)
\]

By noting that \( T_1 h \) is the resultant axial load, so that \( T_1 h = P \), a direct comparison between equations (A.10) and (2) provides the identification of the current stiffnesses \( A(\lambda_0) \) and \( B(\lambda_0) \) as

\[
A(\lambda_0) = (4\mu_*(\lambda_0) - T_1(\lambda_0))h(\lambda_0), \quad B(\lambda_0) = (4\mu_*(\lambda_0) - T_1(\lambda_0))h(\lambda_0)^3/12,
\]

where the explicit dependence on the current pre-stretch \( \lambda_0 \) has been highlighted. For instance, for a Mooney-Rivlin material \( \mu_* = \mu_0(\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0^{-2})/2 \) and \( T_1 = \mu_0(\lambda_0^2 - \lambda_0^{-2}) \). Therefore, expressions (A.11) become

\[
A(\lambda_0) = \mu_0(\lambda_0 + 3\lambda_0^{-3})h_0, \quad B(\lambda_0) = \mu_0(\lambda_0^{-1} + 3\lambda_0^{-5})h_0^3/12,
\]

where \( h_0 = h/\lambda_0 \) is the initial thickness of the block and \( \mu_0 \) the initial shear modulus of the material.
B Full expression for the effective constitutive tensor

The complete analytic expression for the effective constitutive tensor of the lattice analyzed in Section 5 is here reported. The resulting tensor is made dimensionless as follows

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{A}^T \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(p_1, p_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa, \alpha),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.1a)

where the non-dimensional tensor-valued function $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(p_1, p_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa, \alpha)$ can be decomposed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(p_1, p_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \kappa, \alpha) = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^G(p_1, p_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \alpha) + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^S(\kappa, \alpha),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.1b)

with $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^G$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^S$ being, respectively, the contribution of the rod’s grid and the diagonal springs. The components of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^G$ in the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ read (components equal by symmetry are omitted)

$$\tilde{C}_{1111}^G = \frac{1}{2d\sin \alpha} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{1122}^G = \frac{4 \sin \alpha \cos^2 \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{1121}^G = \frac{4 \cos \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{2222}^G = \frac{2 \sin \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{2212}^G = \frac{4 \sin^2 \alpha \cos \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{2221}^G = \frac{2 \cos \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{2121}^G = \frac{4 \cos \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{1212}^G = \frac{-2 \sin \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}_{1221}^G = \frac{-4 \sin \alpha}{d} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_2}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} p_1}{2} \right) \right) \right).$$
\[ \bar{C}_{2121} = \frac{p_1 \sqrt{p_1}}{d} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{2} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{2} \right) (\sin \alpha (\Lambda_1^2 - 5p_2 \phi) + \sin(3\alpha) (\Lambda_1^2 - p_2 \phi) + 4 \csc(\alpha) (p_1 + p_2 \phi)) 
- 2 \sin \alpha \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{2} \right) \left( 2 \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{2} \right) (\cos(2\alpha) (\Lambda_2^2 (p_1 + p_2 \phi) - p_2 \phi^2) + 2 \csc^2(\alpha) (p_1 + p_2 \phi)^2 + \Lambda_1^2 (p_1 + p_2 \phi) 
- p_2 \phi (4p_1 + 3p_2 \phi)) - \sqrt{p_1} p_2 \phi \cosh \left( \frac{\sqrt{p_1}}{2} \right) (\cos(2\alpha) (\Lambda_2^2 - p_2 \phi) + 2 \csc^2(\alpha) (p_1 + p_2 \phi) + (\Lambda_1^2 - 3p_2 \phi)) \right), \]

where
\[ d = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{p_1} + \sqrt{p_2}) \Lambda_1^2} \left( (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} (\sqrt{p_1} - 2) + \sqrt{p_1} + 2) (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} - 1) p_2 \phi - 2 (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} - 1) p_1 (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} - 1) + (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} - 1) p_1 (e^{\sqrt{p_1}} + 1) \sqrt{p_2} \right). \]

The constitutive tensor \( \bar{C}^S \) ruling the effect of diagonal springs can be written as
\[
\bar{C}_{1111}^S = \kappa \frac{5 + 3 \cos(2\alpha)}{4 \sin \alpha}, \\
\bar{C}_{1112}^S = \bar{C}_{2111}^S = \bar{C}_{1211}^S = \bar{C}_{2112}^S = \bar{C}_{2121}^S = \kappa \cos \alpha, \\
\bar{C}_{1122}^S = \bar{C}_{2211}^S = \bar{C}_{2122}^S = \bar{C}_{2212}^S = \bar{C}_{2221}^S = \bar{C}_{2222}^S = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sin \alpha, \\
\bar{C}_{1222}^S = \bar{C}_{2122}^S = \bar{C}_{2212}^S = \bar{C}_{2221}^S = 0. 
\]