Antibiotics as immunomodulators: a potential pharmacologic approach for ARDS treatment
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Abstract
First described in the mid-1960s, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a life-threatening form of respiratory failure with an overall mortality rate of approximately 40%. Despite significant advances in the understanding and treatment of ARDS, no substantive pharmacologic therapy has proven to be beneficial, and current management continues to be primarily supportive. Beyond their antibacterial activity, several antibiotics such as macrolides and tetracyclines exert pleiotropic immunomodulatory effects that might be able to rectify the dysregulated inflammatory response present in patients with ARDS. This review aims to provide an overview of preclinical and clinical studies that describe the immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics in ARDS. Moreover, the underlying mechanisms of their immunomodulatory properties will be discussed. Further studies are necessary to investigate their full therapeutic potential and to identify ARDS phenotypes which are most likely to benefit from their immunomodulatory effects.

Introduction
Since Ashbaugh and colleagues first described acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in 1967, it has remained a life-threatening condition with high mortality rates and limited treatment options [1, 2]. In a recent international study, ARDS accounted for 10% of total intensive care unit admissions and 24% of patients with mechanical ventilation. Hospital mortality ranged between 35% and 46% [3]. Survivors may battle with long-term cognitive and physical impairment such as depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, muscle weakness and pulmonary fibrosis long after their recovery from ARDS [4]. Treating the underlying cause and supportive care continue to be the mainstay of therapy [2]. Despite advances in its supportive treatment such as lung protective ventilation, prone positioning or a restrictive fluid regimen, pharmacologic therapies including statins, β2-agonists and nitric oxide (NO) have failed to show a survival benefit [5].

Particularly relevant in view of the ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, new therapeutic approaches for ARDS are urgently needed. Certain antibiotic classes including macrolides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones and oxazolidinones are reported to be generally safe with rare major side effects and exhibit immunomodulatory properties beyond their antibacterial effects [6]. These immunomodulatory effects have been proven to be beneficial in the treatment of chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases including asthma, cystic fibrosis, diffuse panbronchiolitis and bronchiectasis [7]. There is mounting evidence that it could also be favourable in acute inflammatory processes such as pneumonia and ARDS [8, 9].

We performed a comprehensive literature search in PubMed for all relevant studies published between 1 January 1995 and 31 May 2021, with English-language restriction. In addition, pivotal studies were
identified by looking at reference lists of original and review articles. The main keywords included the main antibiotic classes and its members combined with the following keywords: “immunomodulation”, “immunomodulatory”, “immune system”, “immune response”, “inflammatory”, “inflammation”, “cytokine”, “neutrophil”, “pneumonia”, “pulmonary infection”, “influenza”, “acute lung injury” and “ARDS”. The relevant articles were initially screened by reading the abstract. If this was not sufficient to determine eligibility, the full text was read, and non-related articles were removed. All of the included references were classified according to cause of ARDS/acute lung injury and study design (in vitro studies, in vivo animal studies and clinical studies in humans). In the present review, we summarise the immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics in ARDS and provide promising antibiotic candidates for future testing in clinical trials.

Pathogenesis of ARDS: dysregulated inflammation is a hallmark of ARDS

Toll-like receptor signalling and involvement of the NLRP3 inflammasome in ARDS

ARDS is a heterogeneous syndrome mostly caused by viral and bacterial pneumonia, aspiration of gastric contents, non-pulmonary sepsis and major trauma. Disregulated innate immunity plays a profound role in the pathophysiology of ARDS. The release of inflammatory mediators, innate immune cell infiltration and other pathways of injury cause the disruption of the blood–air barrier, which leads to influx of protein-rich pulmonary oedema fluid and lung injury (figure 1) [5, 10]. Key elements of the innate immune response

![Figure 1: Immuno-pathogenesis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).](https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0093-2021)
Divergent role of alveolar macrophages in ARDS

Key orchestrators of the innate immune cell mediated onset of ARDS are mainly alveolar macrophages [18, 19]. During pulmonary inflammation, alveolar macrophages exist in a balance of proinflammatory (M1) macrophages and anti-inflammatory (M2) macrophages. In the acute exudative phase, resident alveolar macrophages and recruited monocytes skew toward an M1 activation state by T-helper cell (Th)1 activation leads to a subsequent expression of pro-caspase-1, pro-IL-1β and IL-18 [11–14]. The expression of IL-1β and IL-18 is controlled by inflammasomes, which are multiprotein complexes consisting of a sensor, the adapter protein apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing caspase-recruitment domain-containing protein (ASC) and caspase-1 [15]. Sensors include the nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-like receptor family, pyrin domain containing protein-3 (NLRP3). Inflammasome activation is tightly regulated and requires two steps: priming and activation. The priming step (signal 1) is mediated by PAMPs binding to PRRs (e.g. lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to TLR-4). As a result, NF-kB activation leads to a subsequent expression of pro-caspase-1, pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18. The activation step (signal 2) is caused by various stimuli including ATP, viral RNA and pore forming toxins. Once the sensor such as NLRP3 becomes activated, ASC dimers assemble to form the pyroptosome resulting in the activation of pro-caspase 1. Active caspase-1 proteolytically cleaves pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 into active cytokines. Furthermore, active caspase-1 drives pyroptosis, a form of highly inflammatory programmed cell death [15, 16] (figure 1). IL-1β, IL-18 and caspase-1 play important roles in favouring the development of ARDS and are associated with poor outcomes [9, 12, 17].

Involvement of neutrophil influx in ARDS

Upon entering the alveolar airspace neutrophils release toxic mediators including reactive oxygen species (ROS), neutrophil elastase (NE) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which have all been associated with the propagation of ARDS [20, 21, 22]. Neutrophil apoptosis and subsequent efferocytosis, the clearance of neutrophils by macrophages, is a critical step in the resolution of inflammation [23]. In ARDS patients, neutrophil apoptosis is delayed and its extent correlates with disease severity [24–26].

Immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics in ARDS

Macrolides

The most frequently used macrolides are characterised by a 14-, 15- or 16-membered macrolactone ring with a broad spectrum of bacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. They block the initiation of protein synthesis by reversibly binding to the 50-s-subunit of the bacterial ribosome [27].

Mechanisms of action

Macrolides exert a plethora of immunomodulatory effects that have been well described for chronic inflammatory lung diseases and influence all stages of ARDS [28]. The mechanisms underlying these effects will be discussed in detail below.

Effects on TLR signalling and NLRP3 inflammasome activation

Macrolides impair the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome [29, 30] (figure 2). Azithromycin inhibited the LPS-induced expression of IL-1β in vitro and in vivo and prevented the induction of caspase-4 while not suppressing NF-kB [29]. Through the suppression of inflammasome-dependent IL-1β and IL-18 release, azithromycin alleviated lung injury in a murine model of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection [30]. Furthermore, azithromycin reduced LPS-induced pulmonary neutrophilia by the reduction of IL-1β expression in murine alveolar macrophages. This inhibition of IL-1β gene expression by azithromycin was attributed to blocking of transcription factor activator protein (AP)-1 while the NF-kB and MAPK/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways were not affected and inflammasome assembly not investigated [31]. However, current research suggests that azithromycin does in fact block the activation of NF-kB. Macrolides inhibit NF-kB activation in tracheal epithelial cells and macrophages [32–34]. In a murine LPS-induced lung injury model, azithromycin significantly dampened NF-kB activation in a
FIGURE 2 Immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). (1) After pathogen invasion, macrolides enhance macrophage phagocytotic activity while oxazolidinones suppress phagocytosis. Macrolides promote the polarisation of proinflammatory M1 to anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages. (2) By sensing pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs) become activated thereby triggering the activation of NF-κB which mediates the induction of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines and the upregulation of the nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-like receptor family, pyrin domain containing protein-3 (NLRP3) inflammasome. Macrolides, tetracyclines and fosfomycin inhibit the activation of NF-κB and the NLRP3 inflammasome. (3) Macrolides, fluoroquinolones, ketolides, oxazolidinones, fosfomycin and tetracyclines inhibit the release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines causing impaired chemotaxis of immune cells (4) such as neutrophils and monocytes into the lungs. (5) Oxazolidinones and fosfomycin restore the phagocytotic capabilities of neutrophils. (6) Furthermore, they increase neutrophil apoptosis which is delayed in ARDS patients. (7) The oxidative damage caused by the generation of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide (NO) metabolites by immune cells is decreased by macrolides, ketolides and fluoroquinolones. Fosfomycin also exhibits antioxidative properties. (8) Tetracyclines, as potent matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors, reduce extracellular matrix breakdown. (9) Macrolides and ketolides decrease apoptosis of alveolar epithelial cells while macrolides also prevent fibroproliferation. (10) The overall immunomodulatory effect of antibiotics in ARDS focuses on mitigating tissue injury by decreasing the intensity of an uncontrolled inflammatory response and enabling tissue repair. ⊥: Inhibition; →: stimulation; AEC I: type I alveolar epithelial cell; AEC II: type II alveolar epithelial cell; BEC: bronchial epithelial cell.
concentration-dependent manner [35]. Clarithromycin also decreased NF-κB activation in a murine ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) model [36].

Effects on cytokines and chemokines
Several preclinical studies have shown that macrolides inhibit the release of proinflammatory cytokines in vitro and in vivo, thereby dampening the innate immune response [28, 37]. Macrolides significantly decrease levels of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1β, IL-5, IL-6, IL-17, IL-18, tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α)) and chemokines (e.g. C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 8, CXCL9) in the lungs, thereby reducing lung injury [30, 35, 38–43].

Though macrolides appear to inhibit proinflammatory cytokines, they exert nebulous effects on anti-inflammatory cytokines. In murine models of viral or bacterial pulmonary infection, macrolides increased or did not influence IL-10 levels in serum, lung tissue and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) [38, 39]. Increased IL-10 levels were likely linked to a clarithromycin-mediated augmentation of an immunosuppressive population of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) which improved survival in a murine LPS-induced lethal shock and post-influenza clarithromycin-resistant pneumococcal lung injury model [39].

Effects on chemotaxis of immune cells
Macrolides are known to block the release of chemotactic factors thereby suppressing the recruitment of immune cells such as neutrophils, fibroblasts and macrophages [28]. The administration of macrolides resulted in reduced neutrophil infiltration of the lung and consequently improved lung injury in murine models of bacterial infection, virus-, bleomycin- and VILI [30, 36, 43–48]. Diminished neutrophil counts were associated with a decreased number of apoptotic epithelial cells [45]. This might be explained by the following findings. Azithromycin inhibited the secretion of chemoattractants granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and IL-8/CXCL8 by LPS-treated primary bronchial epithelial cells [49]. Macrolides prevented chemotaxis by downregulating IL-6, CXCL1, 2 and 8, CC chemokine receptor (CCL) 2 and 3, and the cell adhesion molecule E-selectin in vitro and in vivo [35, 36, 43, 45–48, 50, 51]. The inhibition of neutrophil migration was in part attributed to the blockage of the MAPK/ERK signalling pathway [46].

Effects on phagocytosis and macrophage polarisation
In ARDS patients the phagocytic capacity of macrophages is impaired [52]. Macrolides enhance the phagocytic capacity of alveolar macrophages [53, 54]. In patients with COPD, which is associated with defective phagocytosis, azithromycin restored the phagocytic ability of monocyte-derived and alveolar macrophages [55]. KumAR et al. [44] demonstrated that clarithromycin significantly increased phagocytic uptake and intracellular killing in a murine model of Klebsiella pneumoniae infection and contributed to an amelioration of lung injury.

Moreover, macrolides have been reported to shift macrophages to an alternatively activated anti-inflammatory M2-like phenotype marked by the expression of arginase 1, the mannose receptor and a downregulation of proinflammatory cytokines [33, 38, 56] (figure 2). This phenotypic switch macrophages undergo is likely caused by the inhibition of NF-κB and STAT1 [33]. Furthermore, macrolides dampen the hyperinflammatory response in ARDS by expanding immunoregulatory monocytic and granulocytic MDSCs through increased phosphorylation of STAT3 [38, 39].

Animal studies
Effects on the development of pulmonary fibrosis in ARDS
In a limited number of patients ARDS can progress into a final fibrotic stage induced by a persistent inflammatory trigger. There is growing evidence that fibroproliferation is present early in the pathogenesis of ARDS. Since it seems to occur in parallel to the exudative and proliferative phase new pharmacologic agents need to exhibit anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic properties [57, 58]. In a murine radiation-induced lung injury model Tang et al. have shown that azithromycin down-regulated the concentration of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α. In addition, azithromycin attenuated the irradiation-induced expression of fibrotic markers including the profibrotic cytokine transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1), α-smooth muscle actin and α-1 type I collagen (COL1A1) [40]. Azithromycin also reduced bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis and restrictive lung pattern in a murine model [59]. Wuyts et al. [59] reported that collagen deposition and fibroblast proliferation were markedly reduced after administration of azithromycin, which might be explained by the fact that azithromycin has been shown to accumulate well in human fibroblasts [60]. A non-antibiotic macrolide, the erythromycin derivative EM703 reduced type I collagen production by downregulating the transcription of COL1A1 in human fibroblasts [61].
By modulating TGF-β1 signalling in lung fibroblasts, EM703 was able to reduce collagen production in a murine bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis model, suggesting a potential role in the prevention and treatment of post-ARDS fibrosis [62] (figure 2).

**Effects on virus-induced ARDS**

Contradictory data have been reported on the adjunctive use of macrolides in murine virus-induced lung injury. The use of erythromycin in a murine influenza-induced lung injury model led to lower numbers of immune cells in BALF and the secretion of IFN-γ was inhibited, thereby increasing survival. Mice also showed a significantly lower inducible NO synthase-induction potential [63]. In a murine study investigating the prophylactic application of azithromycin in respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)-induced lung injury levels of IL-5, IL-6 and IFN-γ were significantly reduced. Moreover, mice displayed less weight loss, less airway inflammation and lower mortality [41]. In contrast, a combination therapy of oseltamivir-azithromycin compared to oseltamivir monotherapy did not provide additional benefits in mice infected with influenza [64]. However, this study had several limitations. First of all, the study designs differed significantly in terms of dosing and treatment duration. FAGE et al. [64] only applied a single dose of azithromycin on day 3 post-inoculation. Viral titres were reduced but the combined therapy of azithromycin and oseltamivir did not improve survival probably due to the fact that severe lung injury had already occurred on day 3.

**Human data**

Recent studies have shown that low-dose macrolide therapy was linked to a survival benefit in ARDS patients [65–68]. Macrolide therapy was associated with reduction in mortality of 9–13% and shorter time to successful discontinuation of mechanical ventilation [65, 66, 69]. In a multicentre study with 200 patients who suffered from septic shock due to ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), the use of clarithromycin led to significantly lower TNF-α and higher IL-6 levels than among the control group. Moreover, clarithromycin treatment restored the balance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines as measured by the TNF-α/IL-10 ratio [8]. A prospective study on 52 patients with non-responding community-acquired pneumonia showed that macrolide treatment lowered IL-6 and TNF-α in BALF and IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10 in plasma. Patients had a reduced hospital length of stay and reached clinical stability faster [70]. Adjunctive macrolide treatment also decreased pro-inflammatory cytokine release including IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, IL-18, CXCL9 and soluble TNF receptor in 50 patients with severe influenza pneumonia which was associated with a trend toward faster recovery [42].

In a randomised phase 2 clinical trial that included 48 children with RSV-induced respiratory failure, high-dose azithromycin treatment reduced MMP-9 levels, TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-10. Reduced numbers of ventilator support and oxygen days as well as a shorter hospital stay were observed in the high-dose azithromycin group. High doses of azithromycin were considered safe [71].

In contrast, a recent retrospective analysis involving 7182 patients with respiratory failure including ARDS of whom 1295 patients received macrolide treatment found no difference in mortality, mechanical ventilation duration, or incidence of secondary infection. The inconsistency with previous results may be explained by differences in timing and frequency of dosing [72].

**Ketolides**

The ketolide antibiotic telithromycin is derived from a 14-membered macrolide by substituting the D-cladinose moiety for a ketogroup. As a macrolide derivative, it also blocks bacterial protein synthesis and exhibits similar immunomodulatory effects both in vitro and in vivo [73, 74]. Telithromycin suppressed the release of the chemokine CXCL2/macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-2α by pulmonary epithelial cells treated with supernatant of LPS-stimulated macrophages. In addition, the activation of NF-κB was inhibited and there was an increase in apoptosis in both cell lines (figure 2). In a mouse model of LPS-induced lung injury, telithromycin decreased the number of neutrophils, protein, nitrite, CXCL2 and TNF-α levels in BALF [75].

**Tetracyclines**

Tetracyclines belong to a family of broad-spectrum antibiotics that are indicated for community-acquired pneumonia, Lyme disease, cholera, sexually transmitted diseases, and others. They inhibit protein synthesis by reversibly binding to the 30s ribosomal subunit and prevent the amino acyl-tRNA from binding to the A site of the ribosome [76]. In addition to their antibacterial properties, they also display pleiotropic anti-inflammatory properties.
**Mechanism of action**

Tetracyclines reduce inflammasome-mediated lung injury by inhibiting caspase-1 dependent IL-1β and 18 secretion [9]. Moreover, tetracyclines are able to inhibit MMPs by chelating Zn²⁺ ions from their active site [77]. Based on these mechanisms, tetracyclines decrease a variety of proinflammatory mediators downstream of the inflammasome-caspase-1 pathway and MMPs. Thus, they influence the alveolar capillary barrier integrity, prevent the breakdown of extracellular matrix and impair pulmonary neutrophil infiltration [78–83] (figure 2).

**Animal studies**

The reduced secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10 by doxycycline and chemically modified tetracycline 3 improved survival and ameliorated lung injury in animal models of secondary ARDS [84–87]. Of note, a recent study showed that tetracycline significantly limited both LPS- and influenza-induced lung injury in mice by inhibiting inflammasome-caspase-1 dependent IL-1β and IL-18 production [9]. Several studies have shown that tetracyclines downregulate the expression of gelatinases MMP-2 and -9 and NE, which correlates with a lower degree of lung injury and increased survival in animal models of sepsis-, pancreatitis-, cardiopulmonary-bypass induced ARDS and VILI [78, 80–83, 85, 87, 88]. In murine influenza-induced ARDS, tetracyclines markedly decreased MMPs but also biomarkers of epithelial and endothelial injury that are associated with decreased endothelial permeability and pulmonary injury [89–91].

McCann et al. [92] have shown that chemically modified tetracycline 3, devoid of antibacterial activity, attenuates neutrophil sequestration in pigs. Although chemically modified tetracycline 3 had no effect on mononuclear sequestration, ARDS was prevented, supporting that neutrophil influx plays a key role in the pathogenesis of ARDS. Doxycycline also inhibited neutrophil influx in animal models of bacterial-, cardiopulmonary-bypass- and pancreatitis-associated ARDS [79–82]. Prophylactic chemically modified tetracycline 3 treatment inhibited neutrophil infiltration, lowered the levels of NE and MMPs in BALF, thereby decreasing lung injury and improving survival in various animal models of indirect ARDS [78, 83, 93, 94].

**Human data**

In a recently published study, tetracycline inhibited the production of IL-1β and IL-18 by alveolar leucocytes obtained from patients with direct ARDS ex vivo [9]. Currently, a randomised clinical trial investigates whether doxycycline is able to reduce the proinflammatory response and thus the evolution towards ARDS in patients with COVID-19 (NCT04371952).

**Oxazolidinones**

As the first member of the oxazolidinones, linezolid exhibits antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria via the inhibition of bacterial protein synthesis. It is mainly used as a last resort drug for severe infections caused by drug-resistant Gram-positive bacteria including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant enterococci [95].

**Mechanism of action**

Linezolid inhibits the secretion of proinflammatory mediators resulting in diminished chemotaxis of immune cells into the airways [96–98]. In particular, neutrophil migration, which is a hallmark of ARDS, is inhibited [97, 99]. Additionally, linezolid restores neutrophil effector mechanisms like phagocytosis and killing of pathogens while it suppresses phagocytosis in macrophages [100, 101]. Neutrophil apoptosis, which in combination with efferocytosis constitutes a crucial step toward ARDS resolution and is usually delayed in ARDS, is increased by linezolid [99].

**Animal studies**

**Models of MRSA-induced lung injury**

Several studies have investigated the effects of linezolid on the expression of inflammatory mediators in various animal models of MRSA-induced lung injury. Akinnusi et al. [96] have shown that linezolid and vancomycin display comparable effects in modulating the expression of MMP-9, IL-6 and MMP-5 in BALF and in regulating neutrophil influx in a murine model of MRSA-induced lung injury. Both antibiotics increased neutrophil apoptosis but had no effect on efferocytosis. However, linezolid in contrast to glycopeptides improved survival and alleviated lung injury by decreasing neutrophil infiltration and reducing levels of TNF-α in animal models of MRSA pneumonia [97, 98]. Furthermore, linezolid decreased levels of proinflammatory cytokines including IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-17 and the chemoattractant MIP-2 [97, 99–101].
Models of post-influenza secondary pulmonary infection

Influenza infection predisposes a host to secondary bacterial pneumonia with *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenza*. It is a common cause of mortality [102]. An influenza-induced increase of IFN-γ levels may lead to a hypersensitivity of innate immunity [103]. Other factors including a dysfunctional neutrophil response [104], an increase in IL-10 levels [105, 106] and the inhibition of alveolar macrophage phagocytosis by IFN-γ may contribute to the susceptibility to secondary bacterial infection [107, 108]. By lowering IFN-γ and TNF-α levels, BRESLOW-DECKMAN et al. [103] demonstrated that prophylactic linezolid treatment decreased the susceptibility to post-influenza *Streptococcus pneumoniae*-induced lung injury in mice. Although it did not reach statistical significance, the number of T-cells producing IL-10 was decreased which may be attributed to the activity of linezolid. Using a model of post-influenza MRSA-induced lung injury, LIU et al. [109] did not show an advantage for linezolid in modulating pulmonary innate immunity. In this study, linezolid, vancomycin and clindamycin all decreased IL-6, keratinocytes-derived chemokine (KC) and IFN-γ. In contrast, linezolid compared to vancomycin dampened neutrophil recruitment which was linked to reduced levels of chemotactic factors such as KC, MIP-2 and proinflammatory cytokines including IFN-γ, TNF-α and IL-1β in mice. Linezolid treatment protected mice from MRSA-induced lung injury and improved bacterial clearance [110]. In a recently published study, VERMA et al. [111] showed that linezolid in contrast to vancomycin ameliorated lung injury and improved survival in post-influenza MRSA pneumonia by reducing TNF-α and IL-6 levels.

Human data

Only a few *ex vivo* studies exist that describe the immunomodulatory effects of linezolid in humans. Linezolid significantly decreased the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-1 receptor antagonist, TNF-α and chemotactic factors including IL-6, IL-8 and CCL2 by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells after stimulation with LPS or MRSA respectively [112, 113]. This is consistent with studies showing that linezolid significantly reduced phagocytic activity of monocytes while vancomycin led to an increased phagocytosis in the same experimental setting [114]. Linezolid also inhibited the phagocytic activity of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) challenged with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [115] in contrast to two other studies where linezolid did not influence the phagocytic activity of PMN against Gram-positive cocci [116, 117].

Linezolid reversed neutrophil dysfunction observed in critically ill patients, including those with VAP, which is related to increased levels of complement fragment C5a. Co-incubation of linezolid improved phagocytosis and killing of MRSA by dysfunctional human neutrophils isolated *ex vivo* (modelled by C5a-induced injury) [118].

Fluoroquinolones

Fluoroquinolones are an important class of broad-spectrum synthetic antimicrobial agents that inhibit DNA synthesis by targeting both the DNA gyrase and the topoisomerase IV enzymes [119]. The antimicrobial spectrum includes Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria including common respiratory pathogens, atypical pathogens and anaerobes [120]. Fluoroquinolones have been reported to exhibit anti-oxidative properties *in vitro* and *in vivo* [121, 122]. Moreover, they block the release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines resulting in impaired neutrophil chemotaxis [123–125].

The immunomodulatory effects of fluoroquinolones have been described for bacterial-, virus- and fungal-induced lung injury in various animal models [122, 123, 125, 126]. In bacterial-induced lung injury, not all fluoroquinolones seem to exhibit immunomodulatory effects. Results for moxifloxacin were contradictory. Moxifloxacin had no effect on cytokine production or the degree of lung injury in a murine pneumococcal pneumonia model [126]. However, in a murine model of pulmonary infection with *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, moxifloxacin decreased neutrophil influx and levels of proinflammatory cytokines (*e.g.* KC, IL-1β, IL-17A) [123]. In LPS-induced lung injury only ciprofloxacin, but not moxifloxacin or levofloxacin, significantly decreased levels of TNF-α, IL-1β and CXCL2/MIP-2α and improved the degree of lung injury and survival [124]. Timing and frequency of doses likely influenced the results in the studies above. In the first study, mice received a similar dose of moxifloxacin but 24 h after infection with *Streptococcus pneumoniae* while in the other two studies moxifloxacin was applied prior to and after infection [123, 124, 126]. Moreover, the differing results may be attributed to their chemical structure and different pathogens. Immunomodulatory effects are particularly pronounced in fluoroquinolones with a cyclopropyl-moiety at position N1 of their quinolone ring like ciprofloxacin and moxifloxacin [127]. Furthermore, Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria seem to trigger cytokine production through different pathways which moxifloxacin may have a different impact on [128].
In panсорбин (heat-inactivated *Staphylococcus aureus*)-stimulated human monocytes, in contrast to LPS-stimulated human monocytes, moxifloxacin did not inhibit cytokine secretion [74].

Besides an excessive production of proinflammatory cytokines viral lung infections induce the generation of ROS and NO metabolites which are major contributors to the development of ARDS [129–131]. In an H1N1 influenza-induced ARDS mouse model it was shown that levofloxacin suppressed oxidative and nitrative stress (figure 2). Levofloxacin displayed a scavenging activity against neutrophil-derived ROS, thereby significantly reducing lung injury and increasing survival [122].

In addition to the investigation of the immunomodulatory effects of fluoroquinolones in LPS- and influenza-induced ARDS, a study on the effects of moxifloxacin on fungus-induced lung injury was performed. In immunocompromised mice pre-treated with moxifloxacin, levels of TNF-α and KC/CXCL1 remained low compared to an increase in TNF-α levels in the saline and ceftazidime group. Furthermore, they did not develop weight loss or *Candida albicans* pneumonia although moxifloxacin clearly does not exert fungicidal activity [125]. TNF-α acts as an important factor in the host defence against *Candida albicans* [132]. This was highlighted in a study where TNF-α-deficient mice intravenously infected with *Candida albicans* died whereas wild-type mice survived [133].

To the best of our knowledge, no clinical studies on the immunomodulatory effects of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of ARDS have been published.

**Fosfomycin**

Fosfomycin is a phosphoenolpyruvate analogue representing an epoxid antibiotic class that inhibits an enzyme-catalysed reaction in the first step of bacterial cell wall synthesis of a broad spectrum of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. Lately, there has been a revival of fosfomycin for the treatment of nosocomial infections due to multidrug resistance [134]. Fosfomycin possibly potentiates antibacterial effects by the induction of neutrophil phagocytosis and killing [135, 136].

The main immunomodulatory effects of fosfomycin are mediated by inhibiting TLR/NF-κb/MAPK signalling pathways [137, 138]. Fosfomycin further diminishes NLRP3 inflammasome activation [138]. These effects combined lead to a reduction of proinflammatory cytokine synthesis [137–139].

In a rat model of sepsis-induced lung injury, fosfomycin decreased the expression of TLR-4, NF-xb and TNF-α in lung tissue. Fosfomycin also exhibited antioxidative properties in this setting [137]. Fosfomycin significantly reduced the phosphorylation of MAPK, the expression of NLRP3 inflammasome components and subsequently the release of IL-1β and IL-18. As a result, the alveolar capillary integrity was protected, less pulmonary oedema occurred, and overall lung injury was significantly ameliorated [138].

Little is known about the immunomodulatory effects of fosfomycin in human ARDS. In a recently published study, IL-6 was significantly decreased by fosfomycin in human LPS-stimulated PMN. Proinflammatory cytokines were also significantly reduced in human ex vivo models of endotoxemia [140, 141]. However, in a human *in vivo* model of endotoxemia, proinflammatory cytokines were not influenced. This can probably be explained by the study design, a major limitation, which cannot fully mimic septic shock [142].

**Future research perspectives**

Our understanding of the key mechanisms underlying the immunomodulatory properties of antibiotics has grown tremendously since the 1990s. Yet, further research is warranted before antibiotics as immunomodulators can be implemented into daily clinical practice. The INCLASS phase 3 multicentre study (NCT03345992), which is investigating the benefit of clarithromycin in patients with sepsis-induced respiratory distress syndrome, has recently been completed. Two randomised controlled trials are currently examining if clarithromycin is capable of attenuating the proinflammatory host response in community-acquired pneumonia (NCT04724044) and influenza infection (NCT03824847).

One of the limitations regarding the routine clinical use of immunomodulatory antibiotics in the near future is the development of antibiotic resistance. The fear of inducing antimicrobial resistance might restrict the routine use of antibiotics for immunomodulatory purposes in ARDS and other inflammatory diseases. Non-antibiotic drugs such as the erythromycin derivatives EM703 and EM900, the azithromycin derivative CSY0073 and the tetracycline derivative CMT-3 could represent a solution to overcome this problem. The immunomodulatory effects of these derivatives have already been investigated in preclinical studies [62, 86, 94, 143, 144]. In comparison to azithromycin, CSY0073 exhibited an equivalent anti-inflammatory
### TABLE 1 Immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and lung injury models

| Antibiotic | Model | Specimen | Stimulants or pathogens | Immunomodulatory effects | Neutrophil influx | Endothelial permeability | Degree of lung injury | Survival | Reference |
|------------|-------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|
| **Macrolides** |       |          |                         |                          |                   |                          |                      |         |           |
| AZM        | Human (RCT) | Nasal and endotracheal samples | RSV                     | MMP-9, IL-1, IL-10, TNF-α (endotracheal) | NA                | NA                        | NA                   | NA      | Kang et al. [71] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | Macrophages (J774) | LPS                     | M2 macrophages (via inhibition of NF-κB STAT1 pathways) | ↑                 | ND                        | NA                   | NA      | Haidar et al. [33] |
| CAM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue, serum | H1N1 influenza virus, S. pneumoniae i.n. | ILF-γ-STAT1+ MDSC-like cells (via STAT3 pathway) | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ↑       | Namkoong et al. [39] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue | RSV i.n.                 | IL-5, IL-6, IFN-γ↓         | ⇔               | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Mosquera et al. [41] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | Lung tissue, blood plasma | H1N1 influenza virus i.n. | IL-6, TNF-α↓⇔IL-1β, IL-8↑ | ND                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Face et al. [64] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue | P. aeruginosa i.t.       | Caspase-1 activation↓, IL-1β cleavage↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Tang et al. [40] |
| AZM        | Human (cohort study) | Blood plasma, BALF | H3N2 influenza virus     | IL-6, CXCL8, IL-17, CXCL9, sTNFR-1, IL-1B, IFN-γ, IP-10↓ | ND                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Lii et al. [42] |
| AZM        | Human (cohort study) | Blood plasma, BALF | Community-acquired pneumonia | IL-6, TNF-α (plasma)↓, IL-8, IL-10 (BALF)↓ | ND                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Lorenzo et al. [70] |
| CSY0073, AZM | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar macrophages, BEC | LPS i.n.                 | TNF-α, CXCL1, CXCL2, IL-6, IL-1β↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Balloy et al. [144] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF | LPS i.t.                  | NF-κB, TNF-α, G-CSF, IL-6, IL-9, MCP-1↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Stellar et al. [35] |
| CAM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue | Ventilation              | NF-κB, E-selectin↓, MMP-2, MIP-2↓ | ↓                | ⇔                       | ND                   | ND      | Amador-Rodriguez et al. [36] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue | A. baumannii i.b.        | IL-1β, IL-6, MIP-2, macrophages, lymphocytes↓, IL-6, IL-8, CCL5/Rantes↓, PAF receptor expression↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ↑       | Yamada et al. [48] |
| CAM        | Human (in vitro) | Alveolar epithelial cells (A549) | RSV, S. pneumoniae       | IL-6, monocyte apoptosis, CD86, TREM-1, TNF-α↓, restored TNF-α/IL-10 ratio | NA                | NA                        | NA                   | NA      | Yokota et al. [51] |
| CAM        | Human (RCT) | Monocytes, neutrophils | Ventilator-associated pneumonia | IL-6, monocyte apoptosis, CD86, TREM-1, TNF-α↓, restored TNF-α/IL-10 ratio | ND                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Spiridaki et al. [8] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue, macrophages (J774) | LPS i.n.                 | IL-1β↓ by inhibition of AP-1 activation, NF-κB, ERK↓1 and -2⇔ | ↓                | ND                        | ↓                    | ND      | Bosnar et al. [31] |
| AZM        | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue | P. aeruginosa i.t.       | TNF-α, IL-6, CCL2, IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-12p70⇔, M2 macrophages, arginase1, mannose receptor, CD11b+Gr-1+ MDSC-like cells↑ | ⇔               | ND                        | ND                   | ⇔       | Feola et al. [38] |
| CAM        | Human (in vitro) | Tracheal epithelial cells | H3N2 influenza virus | IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, NF-κB (p50, p65)↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Nakamura et al. [47] |
| AZM, CAM   | Mouse  | BALF, lung tissue, macrophages (J774) | LPS i.n.                 | MCP-1/CCL2, GM-CSF, IL-1β, TNF-α, E-selectin, MPO concentration↓ | ↓                | ND                        | ND                   | ND      | Bosnar et al. [43] |

Continued
| Antibiotic | Model | Specimen | Stimulants or pathogens | Immunomodulatory effects | Neutrophil influx | Neutrophil permeability | Degree of lung injury | Survival | Reference |
|-----------|-------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| CAM | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar macrophages (JJ74) | K. pneumoniae i.n. | Oxidative damage (NO, MDA, MPO) ↓, macrophage phagocytic activity ↑; M2 macrophages ↑ (IL-10, IL-23, mannose receptor, CD23 ↑; IL-6, IL-12, CR3, iNOS ↓) | ↓ | ND | ↓ | ND | Kumar et al. [44] |
| AZM | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar macrophages | LPS | TGF-β, macrophages, fibroblasts ↓ | ↑ | NA | NA | NA | Muneer et al. [56] |
| AZM | Human (ex vivo) | PBEC | LPS | Oxidative damage (NO, MDA, MPO) ↓, macrophage phagocytic activity ↑; M2 macrophages ↑ (IL-10, IL-23, mannose receptor, CD23 ↑; IL-6, IL-12, CR3, iNOS ↓) | ↓ | NA | NA | NA | Muneer et al. [49] |
| EM703 | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue | Bleomycin i.v. | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Harvy et al. [50] |
| AZM | Mouse | Lung tissue | P. aeruginosa i.t. | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Tse et al. [46] |
| CAM | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue | M. pneumoniae i.n. | IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-6, KC/CXCL1, MIP-1α ↓ | ↓ | ND | ND | ND | Harvy et al. [50] |
| CAM, RXM, AZM, JM | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue | Bleomycin i.t. | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Karwashina et al. [45] |
| ERM | Mouse | BALF, serum, alveolar macrophages (RAW 264.7) | H2N2 influenza virus nebulisation | INF-γ, NO metabolites (nitrite, nitrate) ↓, macrophages, lymphocytes ↓ | ⇔ | ND | ND | ND | Italiano et al. [33] |
| Ketolides | TEL | Mouse | BALF, macrophages (RAW 264.7), epithelial cells (MLE-12) | Oxidative damage (NO, MDA, MPO) ↓, macrophage phagocytic activity ↑; M2 macrophages ↑ (IL-10, IL-23, mannose receptor, CD23 ↑; IL-6, IL-12, CR3, iNOS ↓) | ↓ | ND | ↓ | ND | Le Couteur et al. [75] |
| Tetracyclines | TET | Mouse | BALF, BMDM, alveolar leucocytes | LPS, H1N1 influenza virus i.t. (mouse); viral, bacterial and non-pulmonary ARDS (human) | ↓ | ND | ↓ | ND | Peukert et al. [9] |
| DOX | Mouse | Lung tissue, blood plasma | Caecal ligation and puncture | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, MPO ↓ | ↓ | ND | ND | ND | Patel et al. [84] |
| DOX | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, serum | Caecal ligation and puncture | MPO-9, MPO ↓ | ND | ND | ND | ND | Zhang et al. [147] |
| DOX | Rat | BALF, lung tissue, blood plasma | Cardiopulmonary bypass | TNF-α, IL-1β, MPO-9 ↓ | ND | ND | ND | ND | Wang et al. [85] |
| DOX | Dog | BALF, lung tissue, blood plasma | Cardiopulmonary bypass | MPO-9, MPO-9 ↓ | ND | ND | ND | ND | Zhang et al. [82] |
| CMT-3 | Pig | BALF, lung tissue, blood plasma | Ischaemia by clamping of SMA, placement of faecal clot in peritoneum | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10 ↓↑ | ND | ND | ND | ND | Roy et al. [86] |
| DOX | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue | H3N2 influenza virus i.n. | MPO-2, MPO-9, T1-α, thrombomodulin ↓ | ↓ | ND | ND | ND | Ng et al. [91] |
| DOX | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue | LPS i.t. | Syndecan-1 (substance of MPO-7) ↓ | ↓ | ND | ND | ND | Moon et al. [79] |
| CMT-3 | Sheep | Lung tissue, blood plasma | Third-degree burn, smoke inhalation, barotrauma injuries | MPO-2 ↓, MPO-9 ⇔ | ND | ND | ND | ND | Zhou et al. [94] |
| DOX | Rat | Lung tissue | Acute pancreatitis (intraductal glycodeoxycholic acid, cerulein i.v.) | MPO-9 ↓ | ↓ | ND | ND | ND | Socor et al. [80] |
| Antibiotic | Model       | Specimen                        | Stimulants or pathogens                  | Immunomodulatory effects | Neutrophil influx | Endothelial permeability | Degree of lung injury | Survival | Reference               |
|------------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| DOX        | Mouse       | BALF, lung tissue               | LPS or *S. pneumoniae* i.t.              | MMP-2, -9 ↓               | ↓                | ND                      | ↓                     | ↑        | FUJITA et al. [81]       |
|            | Rat         | BALF, lung tissue               | Ventilation                              | MMP-9, MPO ↓              | ↓                | ND                      | ↓                     | ↑        | Kao et al. [88]          |
|            | Pig         | BALF, lung tissue, serum, blood | LPS i.v.                                 | IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, NE ↓   | ↓                | ND                      | ↓                     | ↑        | STEINBERG et al. [87]    |
|            | Rat         | BALF, lung tissue               | Caecal ligation and puncture             | MMP-2, MMP-9 ↓            | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | STEINBERG et al. [98]    |
| CMT-3      | Pig         | BALF, lung tissue               | Cardiopulmonary bypass, LPS i.v.         | MMP-2, MMP-9 ↓            | ↓                | ↓                       | ND                    | ND       | CARNEY et al. [93]       |
| CMT-3      | Pig         | BALF, lung tissue               | Cardiopulmonary bypass, LPS i.v.         | MMP-2, NE ↓               | ↓                | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | McCaIN et al. [92]       |
| CMT-3      | Pig         | BALF, lung tissue               | Cardiopulmonary bypass, LPS i.v.         | MMP-2, NE ↓               | ↓                | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | CARNEY et al. [83]       |
| Oxazolidinones | LZD       | Human                           | Neutrophils                               | Neutrophil phagocytosis and killing ↑, respiratory burst, neutrophil transmigration ⇓ | NA               | NA                      | NA                    | NA       | Evans et al. [118]       |
| LZD        | Mouse       | BALF                           | H1N1 influenza virus, MRSA i.n.          | TNF-α, IL-6 ↓             | ND               | ↓                       | ↓                     | ↑        | VERNIA et al. [111]      |
| LZD        | Rabbit      | Lung tissue, whole blood        | MRSA i.n.                                | TNF-α ↓                   | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | PAUCHARD et al. [100]    |
| LZD        | Mouse       | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar      | H1N1 influenza virus i.n., MRSA i.t.     | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-10 ↓     | ↓                | ↓                       | ND                    | ND       | BHAN et al. [110]        |
| LZD        | Human (in    | THP-1 monocytes                 | LPS                                      | TLR-1, -2, -6 ↑ phagocytic activity ↓ | NA               | NA                      | NA                    | NA       | Boix et al. [114]        |
|            | vivo)       |                                 |                                          |                           |                  |                         |                       |          |                         |
| LZD        | Mouse       | Lung tissue                     | MRSA t.t.                                | TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2 ↓     | ↓                | ↓                       | ND                    | ND       | JACQUELINE et al. [97]   |
| LZD        | Mouse       | BALF, lung tissue               | MRSA i.n.                                | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6-17, IFN-γ ↓ | ND               | ↓                       | ND                    | ↑        | CHEN et al. [99]         |
| LZD        | Mouse       | Lung tissue                     | H1N1 influenza virus, MRSA i.n.          | TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ, KC/CXCL1, IL-10 ↓ | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | LIU et al. [109]         |
| LZD        | Mouse       | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar      | H1N1 influenza virus, MRSA i.n.          | TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ, TNF-α ↓ | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | BRELLowe-DECKMAN et al. [103] |
| LZD        | Human (ex    | Whole blood                     | MRSA                                     | IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1 ↓       | NA               | NA                      | NA                    | NA       | FRANKS et al. [113]      |
|            | vivo)       |                                 |                                          |                           |                  |                         |                       |          |                         |
| LZD        | Mouse       | Lung tissue                     | MRSA i.n.                                | TNF-α, IL-6-12 ↓          | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ND       | YOSHIKMIN et al. [101]   |
| LZD        | Mouse       | BALF, lung tissue, alveolar      | MCP-5, IL-6, MMP-9 ↓, neutrophil apoptosis ↑, efferocytosis ⇓ | TNF-α, IL-6-6 ↓ | ND               | ND                      | ↑                     | ↑        | AKINNUSSI et al. [96]    |
| LZD        | Piglet      | BALF, lung tissue, serum, blood | MRSA i.t.                                |                           |                  |                         |                       |          |                         |
| LZD        | Mouse       | Lung tissue                     | MRSA i.v.                                | TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2 ↓     | ND               | ND                      | ND                    | ↑        | YANAGIHARA et al. [148]  |
| LZD        | Human (ex    | PBMC                            | LPS                                      | IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1RA ↓ | NA               | NA                      | NA                    | NA       | GARCIA-ROCCA et al. [112]|
|            | vivo)       |                                 |                                          |                           |                  |                         |                       |          |                         |
| LZD        | Human (ex    | PMN                             | MRSA, MSSA, VRE                         | Phagocytosis, production of superoxide, hydrogen peroxidase radicals ⇓ | NA               | NA                      | NA                    | NA       | BALLESTI et al. [116]    |
| Fluoroquinolones | LVFX     | Murine                          | BALF, lung tissue, blood                 | IFN-γ, TNF-α ↓, oxidative and nitrative stress: NO metabolites, ROS ↓ | ND               | ND                      | ↓                     | ↑        | Know et al. [122]        |

**Continued**
| Antibiotic | Model | Specimen | Stimulants or pathogens | Immunomodulatory effects | Neutrophil influx | Endothelial permeability | Degree of lung injury | Survival | Reference |
|------------|-------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|
| MOX | Human (ex vivo), Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, blood plasma | *S. pneumoniae* i.n. | IL-6, IL-8, IL-1β, KC/CXCL1 ⇔ | ND | ↓ | ⇓ | ND | MÜLLER-REDETZKY et al. [126] |
| MOX | Mouse | BALF, lung tissue, blood plasma | *S. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa* i.n. | IL-1β, KC/CXCL1, IL-17A ↓, TNF-α expression in lung tissue ↓ | ↓ | ND | ↓ | ND | BEISSWENGER et al. [123] |
| CPFX, LVFX, MOX | Mouse | BALF, serum | LPS i.t. | TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2 ↓ (CPFX) | ND | ↓ (CPFX), ⇔ LVFX, MOX | ↓ (CPFX), ⇔ LVFX, MOX | ↓ | HUANG et al. [124] |
| MOX | Mouse | Lung tissue | *C. albicans* i.t., cyclophosphamide i.p. | TNF-α, KC/CXCL1 ↓, IL-2, IL-10, IFN-γ ⇔ | ND | ND | ↓ | ↑ | SHALIT et al. [125] |

**Epopid antibiotic fosfomycin**

| FOM | Rat | Lung tissue | Caecal ligation and puncture LPS | TLR-4, NF-κB, TNF-α, oxidative damage (TBARS ↓, -SH ↑) ↓ IL-6 ↓ | ND | ND | ↑ | ND | YILDIZ et al. [137] |
| FOM | Human (ex vivo) | PMN | LPS | MAPK (JNK, ERK, p38), NLRP3 proteins and activation, IL-1β, IL-18, MPO ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↑ | ND | UBUGA et al. [149] |
| FOM | Human (in vitro, mouse) | THP-1 monocytes, alveolar epithelial cells (MLE-12), lung tissue, BALF Whole blood | LPS | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 ⇔ | NA | NA | NA | NA | AN et al. [138] |
| FOM | Human (cohort study) | Whole blood | LPS | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 ↓, IL-4, IL-10, IL-13 ⇔ TNF-α, IL-1β ↓ | NA | NA | NA | NA | ZEITLINGER et al. [140] |
| FOM | Human (ex vivo) | Serum | LPS | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 ↓, IL-4, IL-10, IL-13 ⇔ TNF-α, IL-1β ↓ | NA | NA | NA | NA | MATSUBO et al. [139] |
| FOM | Human (ex vivo) | Monocytes | LPS | TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-1RA, GM-CSF ↓ | NA | NA | NA | NA | MORIKAWA et al. [141] |
| FOM | Human (ex vivo) | PMN | LPS | Phagocytosis and killing ↑ | NA | NA | NA | NA | PEREZ FERNANDEZ et al. [136] |

†: Significant increase; ↓: significant decrease; ⇓: no significant difference; A.: *Acinetobacter*; AP: activator protein; AZM: azithromycin; BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; BEC: bronchial epithelial cell; BMDM: bone marrow derived macrophages; C.: *Candida*; CAM: clarithromycin; CCL: CC chemokine receptor; CMT-3: chemically modified tetracycline-3; COL1A1: -1 type I collagen; CPFX: ciprofloxacin; CSY0073: non-antibiotic derivative of AZM; CXCL: C-X-C motif chemokine ligand; DOX: doxycycline; EM703: non-antibiotic derivative of erythromycin; ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase; ERM: erythromycin; FOM: fosfomycin; G-CSF: granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; GM-CSF: granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; H.: *Haemophilus*; i.b.: intrabronchially; IFN: interferon; i.n.: intranasally; iNOS: inducible NO synthase; i.p.: intraperitoneally; i.t.: intratracheal; i.v.: intravenously; IL: interleukin; JM: josamycin; JNK: c-Jun N-terminal kinase; KC: keratinocytes-derived chemokine; LFXV: levofloxacin; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; LZD: linezolid; M.: *Mycoplasma*; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; MCP: monocyte chemoattractant protein; MDA: malondialdehyde; MDSC: myeloid-derived suppressor cell; MIP: macrophage inflammatory protein; MLE: murine lung epithelial; MMP: matrix metalloproteinase; MODS: multi organ dysfunction syndrome; MOX: moxifloxacin; MPO: myeloperoxidase; MRSA: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA: methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus*; NA: not applicable; ND: not determined; NE: neutrophil elastase; NO: nitric oxide; NLRP3: nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-like receptor family, pyrin domain containing protein-3; P.: *Pseudomonas*; PAF: platelet-activating factor; PBE: primary bronchial epithelial cell; PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PMN: polymorphonuclear neutrophils; RA: receptor antagonist; RCT: randomised controlled trial; RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; ROS: reactive oxygen species; RXM: roxithromycin; S.: *Streptococcus*; STAT1: signal transducer and activator of transcription 1; SMA: superior mesenteric artery; stNFR: soluble TNF receptor; TBARS: thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; TBLN: tracheobronchial lymph nodes; TEL: telithromycin; TET: tetracycline; TGF-β1: transforming growth factor-β1; t.t.: transtracheal; TLR: TOLL-like receptor; TNF-α: tumour necrosis factor-α; TREM: triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells; VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor; VRE: vancomycin-resistant enterococci.
profile in a murine LPS-induced lung injury model [144]. Consistent with the immunomodulatory properties observed for tetracycline, CMT-3 inhibits caspase-1 activation in response to NLRP3 stimulation, thereby inhibiting inflammasome-dependent cytokine release in alveolar leucocytes obtained from ARDS patients [9].

Furthermore, antibiotics should be optimised to make them as safe as possible. Fluoroquinolones for example exhibit certain rare but severe side effects like QT prolongation that are attributed to their chemical structure. Substituting responsible moieties around the core could minimise side effects [119]. Beneficial immunomodulatory effects of antibiotics, e.g. macrolides, can be further augmented by attaching specific molecules such as peptide DP7 [145].

Although antibiotics, especially macrolides and tetracyclines (figure 2), are promising immunomodulatory candidates for ARDS treatment, there is a lack of clinical studies demonstrating a benefit. Since ARDS is a heterogeneous syndrome with significant variability in pathophysiology, severity and outcome [146], this heterogeneity has likely contributed to the lack of clinical studies showing an effect of immunomodulatory antibiotics (and other drugs). Therefore, identifying biological subphenotypes of ARDS patients with activation of identical inflammatory cascades might help to develop enrichment strategies to enable precision medicine for clinical trials. A recent study showed that the inflammasome-caspase-1 pathway is active in ARDS caused by direct lung injury [9]. Thus, inhibition of caspase-1 by tetracycline might be more beneficial in patients with direct ARDS rather than indirect lung injury. A randomised clinical trial currently investigates whether doxycycline is effective in direct ARDS in patients with COVID-19 (NCT04371952).

Conclusion
Distinct antibiotics are potent immunomodulators of the innate immune response inducing a broad range of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects that could potentially ameliorate the immune dysregulation present in ARDS. Their immunomodulatory effects are dependent on timing, frequency, dosage and the degree of lung injury. In addition, some of the immunomodulatory effects discovered in preclinical studies seem to contradict clinical observations. The immunomodulatory effects also appear to vary between virus- and bacterial-induced lung injury and within antibiotic classes (table 1).

Recent preclinical studies provide a plethora of potent immunomodulatory antibiotics that can be used to limit deleterious inflammation in ARDS. However, they need yet to be further tested in clinical trials and attention should be paid in particular to safety and the development of antibiotic resistance. Identifying immunological and clinical phenotypes of ARDS that will respond to the treatment with immunomodulatory preferably non-antibiotic derivatives is essential and should be considered for future clinical trials.
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