Productivity, Oil Content, Composition, and Bioactivity of Oil-bearing Rose Accessions
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Abstract. Rose oil production worldwide is based on different oil-bearing Rosa species. This 4-year study determined the essential oil content, constituents, and morphologic/phenologic characteristics of 25 varieties, chemotypes, and hybrids belonging to five Rosa species (R. damascena Mill., R. gallica L., R. centifolia L., and R. alba L.). Limits of variation of these indices were established for each variety, chemotype, and hybrid group. The essential oil content of R. damascena varied from 0.032% to 0.049% and that of hybrid roses from 0.037% to 0.05%. The highest essential oil content was found in R. damascena accession Svejen 74 and the lowest in R. alba. Within R. damascena, the weight of single flowers varied from 2.09 to 3.44 g, the number of petals from 22 to 28, the height of the plants from 61 to 128 cm, and the diameter of bushes from 53 to 118 cm. R. centifolia had the largest flowers. The essential oil of the various species showed moderate to no antimicrobial activity at 50 µg/mL and no significant antibacterial, antifungal, antileishmania, or antimalarial activity at this concentration. All the tested species and accessions could be grown in Bulgaria (and possibly in southeastern Europe and the northern Mediterranean) and provide comparable productivity to the traditional species R. damascena. Wide variations occurred in essential oil content and constituents and morphologic/phenologic characteristics of the tested Rosa species and accessions. The availability of various species and chemotypes within specific species offer an opportunity for production of oil-bearing roses and essential oils to meet market requirements of specific rose oils.

Approximately 400 species belong to the family Rosaceae; however, few of them have been used for production of rose essential oil (Topalov, 1978). Bulgaria has more than 330 years of tradition in rose oil production, and the oil-bearing rose is the most important essential oil crop in this country (Staikov, 1965; Topalov, 1978; Zheljazkov, 1998). Bulgarian rose oil (otto) is recognized worldwide as the ultimate rose oil; it has been a standard for rose oil quality at international markets and has always commanded the highest price among rose essential oils (Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004). Rose oil is used extensively in high-end perfumery and cosmetics; it is a constituent of some of the most expensive body care products. Rose oil production in Bulgaria is based on Rosa damascena Mill. f. trigintipetala Dieck, currently known as the ‘Kazanlak rose’ after the town of Kazanlak, which is situated in the Rose Valley in Bulgaria. It is still a moot point whether the Kazanlak rose is a single species. According to Topalov (1978), the Kazanlak rose (Rosa kazanlika V.T.) is a different species from R. damascena Mill. The Kazanlak rose includes some plants with different phenotypes and is a population rather than a cultivar (Topalov, 1978). Genetic variations of the Bulgarian oil-bearing roses were investigated previously (Astadjov, 1998; Kovatcheva et al., 2004; Topalov, 1978). However, other chemotypes, clones, and species have been selected for possible cultivar development and have not been investigated.

Although several rose species lie within a number of chemotypes, currently the Kazanlak rose (R. damascena) is the only species used for commercial rose oil production in Bulgaria (Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004; Zheljazkov et al., 1996). It is a complex natural hybrid, and seed progeny do not provide plants with valuable characteristics for the industry (Topalov, 1978). Hence, the oil-bearing roses have been and still are propagated by vegetative means through rooted cuttings (Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004; Topalov, 1978; Zheljazkov, 1998). The presence of various phenotypes and chemotypes allows for selection of clones (and subsequently cultivars) with high productivity and various chemical compositions. Worldwide, rose oil production is based on several species: Rosa damascena Mill., Rosa gallica L., Rosa centifolia L., and Rosa alba L. (Rusanov et al., 2005a; Tabaei-Aghdaei et al., 2007; Topalov, 1978); however, comparative studies of these species under the same ecological conditions have not been reported. The objective of this study was to compare the essential oil content, constituents, and morphologic/phenologic characteristics of 25 varieties, chemotypes, and hybrids belonging to the five Rosa species listed previously. In addition, we evaluated the antimicrobial activity of the essential oil from these species.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growing conditions. Twenty-five clones and cultivars were used (Table 1). Of these, 18 clones and one cultivar belonged to R. damascena Mill. f. trigintipetala Dieck. developed using various methods, including selection of natural spontaneous mutations from the Kazanlak oil-bearing rose population (Table 1). The selected clones were chosen using molecular markers and identified as belonging to R. damascena Mill. (Rusanov et al., 2005b; Todorova et al., 2004). We also included three rose species used for commercial oil production in other countries (Table 1). Cultivar Kooperatorka was developed by Mai chenko (Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004). Cultivar Iskra from R. damascena was used as the standard.

The field experiments were conducted in the experimental fields of the Research Institute for Roses and Medicinal Plants in Kazanlak, Bulgaria, during the 2000 to 2004 cropping seasons using a randomized complete block design with three blocks. Individual plots were 16 m2 with 20 rose bushes in every plot planted at 0.8 m within row and 2.8 m between rows to reflect common agricultural practices of rose production in the region. To eliminate border effects, of the 20 plants in each individual plot, only the 10 inside plants were harvested. Plants from all clones and cultivars were propagated through rooting of green cuttings in greenhouses in the spring of 2000 following the established protocol for the production of standard rose cuttings (Zlatev et al., 2001) and transplanted in the field in Nov. 2000. However, because rose bushes do
not flower during the first growing season (2001), data were not available for 2001. During the four cropping seasons (2001 to 2004), a standardized agricultural protocol developed by the researchers at the Research Institute for Roses and Medicinal Plants for industrial rose plantations was used (Kovatcheva et al., 2004; Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004). Soil was deluvial-meadow sandy (European Digital Archive of Soil Maps of the World, 2009) with relatively low concentrations of available nutrients and pH of 4.9 in the soil profile 0 to 20 cm and pH of 5.09 in the deep soil profile 20 to 40 cm.

Biometrical measurements, oil content, and oil composition analysis. Plant height, plant diameter, number of flowering buttons per flowering branch, weight, diameter, number of developed and undeveloped petals per individual flower, and essential oil content were taken every year at flowering by picking the rose flowers in early morning (0500 to 0700 hr) when the content and composition of the essential oil are optimal (Topalov, 1962, 1978).

The essential oil content from each plot was measured by using samples of 600 g fresh flowers through water distillation in Clevenger-type glass distillation equipment (Furnis et al., 1989; Topalov, 1962). The essential oil obtained was measured in cubic millimeters, multiplied by 0.85, which is the relative weight of the rose oil (Topalov, 1962), and was calculated as percentage of oil content in 100 g fresh flowers. Rose oil from the field experiments and the chemical standards were analyzed by gas chromatograph (GC) PYE Unicam. The GC was equipped with a EKONO-CAP® EC™-1 fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm) operated under the following conditions: injector temperature 300 °C, column temperature, 70 to 230 °C at 8 °C·min⁻¹, then held at 230 °C for 5 min; carrier gas, hydrogen at 1.3 mL·min⁻¹ flow rate; injection volume of 0.1 μL (splitless). Internal chemical standards were used to identify and quantify the individual constituents. The GC analyses identified the major and some minor oil constituents as required by the international standard ISO 9842-2004.

Antimicrobial, antimalarial, and antileishmanian activity and cytotoxicity. Assays for antimicrobial, antimalarial, and antileishmanian activity and cytotoxicity were performed as described previously (Mikus and Steverding, 2000; Zheljazkov et al., 2008). As a result of the high costs of conducting these assays, at least one representative essential oil sample from each rose species [R. damascena, R. gallica, R. gallica subsp. Eriostyla var. austriaca, R. damascena (R. kazanlika), R. centifolia, R. alba, and R. gallica] was tested for antifungal, antimicrobial, antimalarial, and antileishmanian activity against a panel of human pathogens in an in-house testing facility at the National Center for Natural Products Research at the University of Mississippi. In the primary in-house screens for antimicrobial, antifungal, antileishmanian, and antimalarial activity, none of the samples showed greater than 50% growth inhibition of the tested organisms at 50 μg/mL and were therefore deemed to be inactive.

Statistical analyses. Essential oil content response was analyzed as a randomized complete block design with 25 treatments (19 clones, three hybrids, and three species) and nine blocks. The blocks were combinations of the three blocks in the field and the 3 years. The analysis of variance was completed using the GLM procedure of SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., 2008). Because of the treatment effect was highly significant with a P value < 0.001, multiple means comparison was done using the least significant difference method to generate letter groupings at the 5% level of significance. The validity of normal distribution and constant variance assumptions on the error terms was also verified.

### Results and Discussion

Rainfall and temperature data for the period 2002 to 2004 suggest the most favorable conditions occurred in the 2004 cropping season (Table 2) with sufficient rainfall and mild temperature that increased consistently in May and June.

The means of rose bushes height for all species ranged from 74 to 128 cm. Within the R. damascena clones, the tested clones and cultivars could be divided into several groups: 1) short, with bush height from 90 to 100 cm (#831, #6/79, #7/79, #K-IV, Iskra, #809, Svejen 188, Svejen 74, and Svejen 72); 2) medium height roses, 100 to 110 cm (#1151, #101, #148/75, #70/74, #601, #9/77 and the standard improved population #5); and 3) high-bush roses with

---

### Table 1. List of the rose species, hybrids, and accessions used in this study with indication of the methods they were developed.

| Species, accession | Developed, specification |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| R. damascena       | Radiation mutagenesis   |
| 601                | Radiation mutagenesis   |
| 1151               | Chemical mutagenesis    |
| 831                | Chemical mutagenesis    |
| 101                | Natural spontaneous mutants |
| 148/75             | Natural spontaneous mutants |
| 6/79               | Natural spontaneous mutants |
| 7/79               | Natural spontaneous mutants |
| 70/74              | Natural spontaneous mutants |
| K-4                | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| Svejen 188         | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| Svejen 74          | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| Svejen 72          | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| Aleksandrovo 112   | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| 51                 | Traditional selection in the towns of Aleksandrovo and Svejen |
| Population N°5     | Traditional selection in the town of Kazanlak |
| Iskra              | Individual selection from 4 high-yielding clones in Kazanlak |
| 1071               | Control cultivar        |
| N°809              | Traditional selection in the town of Kazanlak |
| 9/77               | Traditional selection in the town of Kazanlak |
| Hybrids            | Traditional selection in the town of Kazanlak |
| 836/61 hybrid      | Hybridization (R. gallica L. Subsp. eriostyla Kell. var. austriaca Crantis. f. Panonica × R. damascena) × R. damascena |
| 90/67 hybrid cv. Kooperatorka | Hybridization between R. damascena and R. gallica |
|                    | Hybridization between R. damascena and R. gallica |

### Table 2. Average monthly temperatures and rainfall for the four growing seasons in Kazanlak, Bulgaria.

| Yr/mo. | January | February | March | April | May | June | July |
|--------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|
|        | Average monthly temperatures (°C) |                  |
| 2001   | 1.6     | 3.1      | 9.2   | 10.7  | 15.5| 18.8| 23.9 |
| 2002   | -0.1    | 5.9      | 7.3   | 9.5   | 15.6| 20.5| 23.0 |
| 2003   | -1.3    | -2.3     | -3.5  | 9.2   | 18.1| 21.6| 22.7 |
| 2004   | -1.4    | 2.3      | 6.3   | 10.9  | 14.1| 19.0| 21.1 |

|        | Average monthly rainfall (mm) |                  |
| 2001   | 55.4    | 20.2     | 43.5  | 74.0  | 55.6| 67.1| 27.3 |
| 2002   | 9.4     | 8.8      | 73.1  | 23.7  | 51.4| 45.6| 215.6|
| 2003   | 53.2    | 9.6      | 8.5   | 17.4  | 41.4| 27.5| 66.5 |
| 2004   | 37.6    | 6.6      | 13.2  | 3.4   | 22.1| 52.0| 122.1|
height greater than 110 cm (Aleksandrovo 112, #1071, and #51) (data not shown). The most homogeneous for height was Svejen 188. Among the species, the tallest bushes were found in R. centifolia.

The size of the rose bushes is associated with their productivity. Size increase in subsequent years (sometimes up to the 10th year) corresponds to increase in flower production. However, overall, the steepest increases in the size and productivity of rose bushes occur in the first 3 years (Topalov, 1962, 1978). Plant height and the diameter of the rose bushes are important for the industry because the rose flowers are picked manually. Despite the rigorous research on mechanizing flower picking, a feasible mechanical flower picking technology is yet to be developed (Nedkov and Attanassova, 2004).

Mean bush diameter for all tested roses ranged from 32 to 105 cm (data not shown). R. damascena clones and cultivars could be grouped in relation to their diameter and form of the bushes: 1) upright bushes, 80 cm or less in diameter (#1151, #831, #101, #7/79, #K-IV, Svejen 188, #809, #9/77, Iskra, Svejen 74, improved population #5); 2) medium spread type, 80 to 100 cm diameter (#601, #148/75, #70/74, Svejen 72, Aleksandrovo 112, and #1071); and 3) widely spread type, greater than 100 cm in diameter (#51 and #6/79). Generally, rose bushes with erect growth habits are preferred because the flowers are easy to pick (Topalov, 1962). Rose flowers are picked manually between 0400 and 0700 HR, when the rose flowers open and the essential oil is present in the highest amount with the best quality (Topalov, 1962, 1978).

Previous research on other cultivars of R. damascena found a positive correlation between the height of the rose bushes and their productivity (Singh and Kayiyar, 2001). In our study, the clones and cultivars with the highest bushes belong to the first two groups or relatively upright-type roses; however, for reasons indicated previously, producers prefer upright and relatively short bushes such as #831, #7/79, #K-IV, Svejen 188, #809, and Iskra. With the exception of R. alba, the tested hybrids and species had measured values below those measured in R. damascena clones (data not shown).

Rose flower morphology is one of the most conservative phenotypic traits, generally with significant differences between rose
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![Fig. 1. Mean essential oil content (%) from 19 R. damascena clones, three hybrids, and three species. Means sharing the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of significance.](image)
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### Table 3. Oil constituents of the studied roses: means for 2002, 2003, and 2004 growing seasons.

| ISO 9842–2004 Standard requirements | Citronellol + Nerol | Geraniol | 2-phenylethanol Maximum 3.5 | Heptadecane 1.0–2.5 | Nonadecane 8.0–15 | Henecosane 3.0–5.5 | Ethanol Maximum 2 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| R. damascena                         |                     |          |                           |                     |                  |                  |                  |
| 601                                  | 29.1                | 15.4     | 0.64                      | 3.64                | 13.1             | 2.44             | 0.07             |
| 1151                                 | 24.5                | 16.5     | 0.12                      | 3.62                | 12.0             | 1.33             | 0.05             |
| 831                                  | 27.7                | 23.9     | 0.32                      | 3.43                | 17.9             | 3.14             | 0.11             |
| 101                                  | 27.0                | 9.6      | 0.59                      | 4.19                | 17.0             | 2.19             | 0.04             |
| 148/75                               | 29.6                | 18.7     | 0.67                      | 3.71                | 12.7             | 6.00             | 0.42             |
| 6/79                                 | 25.9                | 21.7     | 0.45                      | 3.79                | 12.4             | 8.59             | 0.07             |
| 7/79                                 | 21.6                | 21.6     | 0.69                      | 5.13                | 14.0             | 2.63             | 0.43             |
| 70/74                                | 29.8                | 4.8      | 0.41                      | 3.69                | 19.1             | 3.39             | 0.09             |
| K-IV                                 | 28.9                | 5.1      | 0.61                      | 3.34                | 19.2             | 3.12             | 0.03             |
| Svejen 188                           | 31.9                | 12.5     | 0.79                      | 3.49                | 15.9             | 2.13             | 0.22             |
| Svejen 74                            | 25.3                | 15.9     | 0.26                      | 4.58                | 13.9             | 7.54             | 0.02             |
| Svejen 72                            | 25.3                | 14.2     | 0.86                      | 2.70                | 15.7             | 4.63             | 0.93             |
| Aleksandrovo 112                     | 23.9                | 24.6     | 0.25                      | 4.91                | 13.3             | 2.24             | 0.29             |
| 51                                   | 29.3                | 12.6     | 0.41                      | 4.57                | 15.4             | 3.04             | 0.12             |
| Population N°5                      | 31.1                | 11.7     | 0.42                      | 2.04                | 15.2             | 1.627            | 0.24             |
| Iskra                                | 28.9                | 25.3     | 1.17                      | 3.51                | 8.05             | 7.372            | 0.05             |
| 1071                                 | 23.6                | 22.1     | 0.36                      | 4.80                | 13.5             | 1.962            | 0.06             |
| №809                                 | 27.2                | 16.2     | 0.18                      | 4.25                | 15.7             | 2.010            | 0.04             |
| 9/77                                 | 27.3                | 19.9     | 0.59                      | 4.40                | 15.7             | 1.052            | 0.06             |
| Hybrids                              | 16.9                | 25.7     | 0.27                      | 5.39                | 21.2             | 1.081            | 0.05             |
| 90/67 hybrid                         | 19.7                | 23.3     | 0.31                      | 4.18                | 14.1             | 2.550            | 0.03             |
| cv. Kooperatorka                     | 20.6                | 28.0     | 0.18                      | 3.54                | 17.8             | 8.932            | 0.38             |
| Species                              |                     |          |                           |                     |                  |                  |                  |
| R. centifolia                        | 15.3                | 6.75     | 0.38                      | 4.49                | 16.9             | 8.354            | 0.12             |
| R. gallica, K. tchervena             | 14.3                | 24.3     | 0.19                      | 3.02                | 17.4             | 6.051            | 0.08             |
| R. alba                              | 21.3                | 24.7     | 0.42                      | 3.39                | 11.6             | 2.574            | 0.06             |

---
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species, although the environment could have a modifying effect on the expression of this trait (Tabaee-Aghdaei et al., 2007; Topalov, 1978). The weight of an individual flower in oil-bearing roses is critically important because it is directly related to the efficiency of flower picking and the overall economy of the rose production (Topalov, 1978). Our results demonstrated that the weight of individual flowers of $R. \text{damascena}$ was between 2.35 and 2.78 g with the highest values being the flowers of the control cultivar Iskra (data not shown). Of the hybrids, the heaviest flowers were found in #836/61, whereas of the species, the heaviest flowers were found in $R. \text{centifolia}$. The results from this study were similar to those of Tabaei-Aghdaiei et al. (2007), who found rose flower weight variation between 1.39 and 2.78 g.

One of the main objectives of the selection and breeding work in oil-bearing roses is the development of cultivars with high essential oil content, possibly greater than 0.05% in flowers. For the three cropping seasons, the average essential oil content in fresh flowers of $R. \text{damascena}$ varied between 0.032% and 0.049%, that for hybrid rose flowers between 0.03% and 0.039%, whereas the oil content of the other rose species was 0.012% to 0.021% (Fig. 1). Our results demonstrated that Svejen 74 had higher essential oil concentration relative to the control cultivar Iskra. Furthermore, the essential oil concentration of rose accessions #831, #101, #6/79, and #70/74 was not different from that of Svejen 74 or from that of the control cultivar Iskra (Fig. 1). The essential oil concentration of the rest of the rose accessions from $R. \text{damascena}$ was not different from that of the control cultivar Iskra. The essential oil concentration of hybrid 90/67 and the other species ($R. \text{centifolia}$, $R. \text{gallica}$, and $R. \text{alba}$) was significantly lower than that of the control cultivar Iskra (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, the oil content of Bulgarian clones of $R. \text{damascena}$ was higher than that of native $R. \text{damascena}$ clones collected in Iran, which was reported to vary between 0.017% and 0.035% (Tabaei-Aghdaei et al., 2007). Of the hybrid roses, the highest essential oil content was found in #836/61 and Kooperatorka, were similar and varied between 0.03% and 0.05%, whereas the essential oil content of hybrid #90/67 was lower than that of most clones. Overall, the highest essential oil content was found in $R. \text{damascena}$ Svejen 74 (higher than in the control cultivar Iskra) and the lowest in $R. \text{alba}$. Essential oil constituents of all clones were determined. The essential oils from the rose species did not show greater than 50% activity and were deemed to be inactive with respect to antibacterial, antifungal, anti-leishmanian, and antimalarial activities (Table 4). Our results contradict earlier reports on antibacterial effect of $R. \text{damascena}$ essential oil (Basim and Basim, 2003; Ozkan et al., 2004), most probably as a result of relatively lower concentrations tested in our study.

**Summary and Conclusions**

Although with a similar genotype, the various $R. \text{damascena}$ clones expressed different phenotypes. Our results suggest that clones #831, #779, K-IV, Svejen 188, #809, and Iskra are the most suitable for the establishment of commercial rose plantations in the region. Some of the clones of $R. \text{damascena}$ have the potential to provide up to 0.05% average essential oil content. Hybrid #836/61 had not only high essential oil content, but also a composition that is not typical for $R. \text{damascena}$. Hence, this clone may have the potential to be developed as a cultivar representing another type of rose essential oil. Generally, the essential oil content of $R. \text{damascena}$ and the two hybrid roses, #836/61 and cv. Kooperatorka, were similar and varied between 0.03% and 0.05%, whereas the essential oil content of hybrid #90/67 was lower than that of most clones. Overall, the highest essential oil content was found in $R. \text{damascena}$ Svejen 74 (higher than in the control cultivar Iskra) and the lowest in $R. \text{alba}$. Essential oil constituents of all clones were determined. The essential oils from the rose species did not show greater than 50% activity and were deemed to be inactive with respect to antibacterial, antifungal, anti-leishmanian, and antimalarial activities. Our research demonstrated that $R. \text{alba}$ has a similar oil composition to that of $R. \text{damascena}$, making it a suitable genetic material for the development of new varieties.
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