Resistance evaluation of Chinese wild Vitis genotypes against Botrytis cinerea and different responses of resistant and susceptible hosts to the infection
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The necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea is a major threat to grapevine cultivation worldwide. A screen of 41 Vitis genotypes for leaf resistance to B. cinerea suggested species independent variation and revealed 18 resistant Chinese wild Vitis genotypes, while most investigated V. vinifera, or its hybrids, were susceptible. A particularly resistant Chinese wild Vitis, “Pingli-5” (V. sp. [Qinling grape]) and a very susceptible V. vinifera cultivar, “Red Globe” were selected for further study. Microscopic analysis demonstrated that B. cinerea growth was limited during early infection on “Pingli-5” before 24 h post-inoculation (hpi) but not on Red Globe. It was found that reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidative system were associated with fungal growth. O$_2^-$ accumulated similarly in B. cinerea 4 hpi on both Vitis genotypes. Lower levels of O$_2^-$ (not H$_2$O$_2$) were detected 4 hpi and ROS (H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2^-$) accumulation from 8 hpi onwards was also lower in “Pingli-5” leaves than in “Red Globe” leaves. B. cinerea triggered sustained ROS production in “Red Globe” but not in “Pingli-5” with subsequent infection progresses. Red Globe displayed little change in antioxidative activities in response to B. cinerea infection, instead, antioxidative activities were highly and timely elevated in resistant “Pingli-5” which correlated with its minimal ROS increases and its high resistance. These findings not only enhance our understanding of the resistance of Chinese wild Vitis species to B. cinerea, but also lay the foundation for breeding B. cinerea resistant grapes in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

The necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea causes gray mold disease in a broad range of plant species, including grape. Grape production, of great economic importance in China, relies almost exclusively on European grapevine varieties (Lv, 2013); however, these are currently threatened by gray mold disease, especially with the rapid development of protected cultivation (Zhang, 2011; Lv, 2013). Although agronomic, genetic, and biological approaches have been
proposed to limit yield losses caused by gray mold, disease management is still largely based on chemical control (Angelini et al., 2014), which is not sustainable.

*Botrytis cinerea* is one of the most comprehensively studied necrotrophic plant pathogens which can produce ROS and simultaneously induce host oxidative burst (van Kan, 2006). ROS, such as superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, can delay, or accelerate pathogen proliferation (Temme and Tuzdysnki, 2009; Afzal et al., 2014), and participate in cell wall modification, programmed cell death and the integration of many different signaling networks (Serrano et al., 2014). In addition, it has also been proposed that they may work as dynamic signaling molecules (Torres et al., 2006; Mittler et al., 2011). Thus, ROS play important and multifaceted roles during the interaction between *Botrytis cinerea* and its plant hosts (Lamb and Dixon, 1997; De Tullio, 2010).

There is considerable evidence that *Botrytis cinerea* can overturn the ROS stress induced in plants to assist its invasion of plant tissues (Govrin and Levine, 2000; Temme and Tuzdysnki, 2009). ROS have been reported to reduce resistance and accelerate expansion of disease lesions during *B. cinerea-Nicotiana benthamiana* interactions (Asai and Yoshioka, 2009). Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants overexpressing the transcription factor SISHINE3, which regulates cuticle production, were observed to be more resistant to *B. cinerea* with lower levels of ROS production and more cuticles than wild-type plants (Buxdorf et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the roles of ROS in the interaction between *B. cinerea* and its hosts remain controversial. For example, an induction of oxidative burst resulted in enhanced resistance against *B. cinerea in A. thaliana* with the application of the herbicide paraquat (Tierens et al., 2002), and a timely hyperinduction of H$_2$O$_2$ in the *sitins* tomato mutant (deficient in abscisic acid (ABA) synthesis) effectively blocked infection by the pathogen (Asselbergh et al., 2007). Moreover, *A. thaliana* ABA or wax biosynthesis mutants, accompanied by an increased cuticular permeability, were reported to produce ROS earlier and in higher amounts, also showing increased resistance (L’Haridon et al., 2011; Serrano et al., 2014). In another study using bean (*Glycine max*) leaves, it was shown that the secondary oxidative burst was much stronger following challenge by a non-aggressive *B. cinerea* strain than by an aggressive strain, indicating that ROS-mediated responses have the capacity to block infection by the pathogen (Urbanek et al., 1996).

Despite numerous studies those have been conducted regarding the role of ROS in plant-*B. cinerea* interactions, the importance of ROS generation during *B. cinerea* invasion of grapevine has not been extensively examined. The application of bacterial rhizolipids or BcPG1 (an endopolygalacturonase from *B. cinerea*) to *V. vinifera* was reported to improve resistance to *B. cinerea* by inducing ROS production and the expression of genes involved in defense through different signal pathways (Vandelle et al., 2006; Varnier et al., 2009). Similarly, treatment of grape cells with oligogalacturonides (Aziz et al., 2004) or bacteria, such as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pantoea agglomerans*, or extracts from these bacteria (Verhagen et al., 2010, 2011), triggered an oxidative burst in tandem with improving resistance to *B. cinerea* to varying degrees. Moreover, Gåbler et al. (2003) found that *V. rotundifolia* and *V. labrusca* were highly resistant, while cultivars of *V. vinifera* were highly susceptible to *B. cinerea*. However, little is known about the potential sources and mechanisms of resistance in grapevines to *B. cinerea*. China is one of the major centers of origin of *Vitis* species (Wang et al., 1995, 1998), and the rich Chinese wild *Vitis* germplasm has been largely utilized for grape breeding programs due to its many desirable characteristics, such as resistance to a variety of fungal diseases and its ability to be easily crossed with *V. vinifera* than the multi-disease resistant *Muscadina rotundifolia* (Luo and He, 2004).

In this study, *B. cinerea* resistance levels of Chinese wild *Vitis* are reported and the time course of colonization by *B. cinerea* on the leaves of highly resistant and susceptible *Vitis* genotypes is described. Histochemical and physiological evidence for the role of ROS and antioxidative systems in *Vitis-B. cinerea* interactions is presented. Taken together, our data provide a foundation for elucidating the events leading to resistance of Chinese wild *Vitis* to *B. cinerea* and for the future breeding of grape genotypes resistant to this pathogen.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant and Fungal Material**

Eleven Chinese wild *Vitis* species and four other *Vitis* species, totaling 41 genotypes, including 30 Chinese wild *Vitis* species, seven *V. vinifera* species, as well as *V. riparia* Michxow. “Hean-3,” two *V. vinifera* × *V. labruscana* cv. “Kyoho” and “NO. 8 Hutai” and *V. vinifera* × *V. amurenensis* cv. “Beichun,” were evaluated from 2011 to 2013 (Table 1). The germplasm was maintained in the vineyard overseen by the grape germplasm and breeding program of Northwest A&F University, Shaanxi, China.

*B. cinerea* was isolated from “Red Globe” (*V. vinifera*) in the greenhouse and was maintained on Potato Glucose Agar medium in the dark at 22°C. After 21 days, conidia were washed down with distilled water, counted, and added to the inoculation solution at concentrations detailed in the following sections. Conidia were pre-germinated for 2 h at 22°C before inoculations were performed (Asselbergh et al., 2007).

**Detached Leaf Evaluation, Fungal Colonization Experiments, and ROS Measurements**

Detached leaf assays were carried out using leaves of a similar age and size (leaves at nodes 3 and 4, counted from the top) selected randomly from vines. Detached leaves were washed carefully, first under tap water and then distilled water, and were then quickly transferred to a bed of 0.8% agar in trays and then uniformly sprayed with *B. cinerea* conidia suspension. Trays were covered with preservative film to ensure a relative humidity of 90–100%. All leaves from control (sprayed with distilled water) and inoculation treatments were incubated in the dark for the first 24 h and then in a light/dark (12/12 h) regime at 22°C (Audenaert et al., 2002; Windram et al., 2012).

To evaluate detached leaves (laboratory evaluation), at least 18 leaves from three biological replicates of each genotype...
were tested. Four days after inoculation, the infection was evaluated by counting the percentage of spreading lesions on each leaf. Before evaluation, the optimal inoculation solution and conidia concentration of *B. cinerea* were determined. The conidia germination in solutions with different glucose (Glc) and phosphate concentrations was determined under a light

### TABLE 1 | Laboratory evaluation results (including macroscopic and light microscopic examination) of 41 *Vitis* genotypes against *Botrytis Cinerea* from 2011 to 2013.

| Species | Names of genotypes | Disease Severity | Scores | Rank of scores | Rates of germination (%) | Rates of infection (%) | Macrosopic mycelium | New sporation |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| V. amurensis | Huaxian-11 | 5.91 ± 1.86 | 1.56 | 35 | R | 2.91 | 0.67 |  – | – |
| V. amurensis | Taishan-11 | 4.58 ± 0.79 | 1.44 | 36 | HR | 12.07 | 3.45 |  – | – |
| V. amurensis | Zuooshan-1 | 38.99 ± 1.31 | 3.78 | 20 | S | 63.05 | 28.14 |  √ | – |
| V. amurensis | Tonghua-3 | 0.20 ± 0.05 | 1.00 | 40 | HR | 13.10 | 6.35 |  – | – |
| V. amurensis | Shuangyou B | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 1.00 | 41 | HR | 13.17 | 7.32 |  – | – |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Pingle-2 | 46.98 ± 1.20 | 4.89 | 11 | S | 63.71 | 47.18 |  √ |  – |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Baihe-22 | 29.71 ± 2.73 | 3.44 | 21 | S | 56.14 | 38.16 |  – | – |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Liuba-11 | 46.59 ± 2.09 | 4.78 | 13 | S | 46.67 | 42.22 |  – | – |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Jiangxi-2 | 49.33 ± 3.36 | 4.89 | 12 | S | 61.90 | 52.86 |  – | – |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Shang-24 | 70.48 ± 5.81 | 6.00 | 3 | HS | 51.85 | 43.70 |  – | – |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Taishan-12 | 21.96 ± 2.18 | 3.00 | 25 | R | 19.38 | 9.69 |  – | – |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | 83-4-85 | 21.38 ± 2.95 | 2.89 | 27 | R | 12.39 | 7.34 |  – | – |
| V. piasezkii Maxim | Liuba-6 | 18.04 ± 0.59 | 3.00 | 24 | R | 29.74 | 21.24 |  – | – |
| V. piasezkii Maxim | Liuba-7 | 16.68 ± 1.19 | 2.78 | 29 | R | 21.91 | 16.36 |  – | – |
| V. piasezkii Maxim | Gansu-91 | 12.64 ± 0.66 | 2.11 | 33 | R | 30.26 | 20.61 |  – | – |
| V. adstringa Hance | Taishan-1 | 15.14 ± 1.14 | 2.56 | 31 | R | 23.69 | 16.47 |  – | – |
| V. adstringa Hance | Taishan-2 | 2.08 ± 0.43 | 1.00 | 38 | HS | 23.49 | 15.36 |  – | – |
| V. adstringa Hance | Anlin-3 | 16.74 ± 1.65 | 2.78 | 30 | R | 46.88 | 38.92 |  – | – |
| V. davidii Foex | Luyang-4 | 55.63 ± 2.60 | 5.11 | 10 | S | 64.58 | 55.56 |  – | – |
| V. davidii Foex | Ningjiang-6 | 59.79 ± 1.10 | 5.56 | 7 | HS | 77.03 | 70.27 |  – | – |
| V. davidii Foex | Tangwe B | 7.00 ± 1.52 | 1.89 | 34 | R | 74.65 | 62.50 |  – | – |
| V. davidii Foex | Fujian-4 | 46.32 ± 3.09 | 4.56 | 15 | S | 54.01 | 38.36 |  √ | – |
| V. pseudoreticulata W.T. Wang | Guangxi-1 | 22.97 ± 2.57 | 3.11 | 22 | R | 26.06 | 15.49 |  – | – |
| V. pseudoreticulata W.T. Wang | Hunan-1 | 61.40 ± 3.97 | 5.67 | 5 | HS | 82.95 | 60.08 |  √ |  – |
| V. sp. (Maihuang grape) | Baihe-41 | 28.04 ± 0.86 | 3.00 | 26 | R | 38.10 | 20.95 |  – | – |
| V. sp. (Maihuang grape) | Baihe-36-2 | 16.54 ± 1.37 | 2.89 | 28 | R | 37.67 | 22.26 |  √ | – |
| V. davidii var. cyanocarpa Sarg. | Zhenan-3 | 40.43 ± 2.12 | 4.00 | 19 | S | 43.27 | 33.82 |  √ | – |
| V. sp. (Qinling grape) | Pingle | 3.70 ± 0.90 | 1.22 | 37 | HR | 28.06 | 12.23 |  – | – |
| V. yenshanensis | Yanshan-1 | 0.36 ± 0.16 | 1.00 | 38 | HS | 29.19 | 9.81 |  – | – |
| V. vinifera L. | NO19 Xixong | 38.27 ± 2.35 | 4.00 | 18 | S | 58.70 | 51.09 |  √ | – |
| V. vinifera L. | Rizamat | 24.14 ± 2.62 | 3.00 | 23 | R | 25.58 | 21.14 |  √ | – |
| V. vinifera L. | Hongmu Nage | 46.63 ± 3.46 | 4.67 | 14 | S | 87.50 | 82.95 |  √ | – |
| V. vinifera L. | Zao Jinxian | 13.06 ± 0.89 | 2.11 | 32 | R | 46.54 | 37.11 |  – | – |
| V. vinifera L. | Muscat Hamburg | 59.69 ± 6.12 | 5.44 | 9 | S | 86.08 | 64.64 |  – | – |
| V. vinifera L. | Red Face Seedless | 60.59 ± 2.17 | 5.56 | 6 | HS | 72.40 | 61.99 |  √ |  – |
| V. vinifera L. | Red Globe | 72.25 ± 3.57 | 6.11 | 2 | HS | 88.77 | 70.01 |  – | – |
| V. riparia Michaux | Hean-3 | 43.57 ± 2.13 | 4.33 | 16 | S | 84.85 | 55.56 |  √ | – |
| V. vinifera L. x V. labrusca L. | Kyoto | 58.11 ± 6.49 | 5.56 | 8 | HS | 72.88 | 54.95 |  √ |  – |
| V. vinifera L. x V. labrusca L. | N08 Huitai | 77.82 ± 6.17 | 6.33 | 1 | HS | 79.54 | 70.96 |  √ |  – |
| V. vinifera L. x V. amurensis Rupr | Beichun | 66.90 ± 6.17 | 5.89 | 4 | HS | 65.26 | 54.21 |  √ |  – |

Notes:  
1. The genotypes were selected from seedlings of *V. quinquangularis* (Wang et al., 1995).  
2. The flower type of the genotypes were hermaphrodites under natural conditions (Wang et al., 1995).  
3. Disease Severity: the average percentage of spreading lesions determined by observing at least 10 leaves in each repeated experiment from 2011 to 2013.  
4. Score: disease severity was scored as previously described (Lu et al., 2003; Patykowski, 2006; Foyer and Noctor, 2013).  
5. Resistance level: Highly Resistant (HR: scores of 0–1.50); Resistant (R: scores of 1.51–3.50); Susceptible (S: scores of 3.51–5.50); Highly Susceptible (HS: scores of 5.51–7).  
6. Mycelium or sporulation was observed by the naked eye on leaf surfaces.  
7. –: No mycelium or sporulation was observed by the naked eye on leaf surfaces.
microscope after 6 and 24 h. The four solutions tested in this study were: (i) sterile; (ii) $1 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$, 0.1 M Glc, 67 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$; (iii) $1 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$, 0.05 M Glc, 33 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$; and (iv) $1 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$, 0.01 M Glc, 6.7 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ (Audenaert et al., 2002). Detached leaves of Red Globe and four Chinese wild grapevines, “Shang-24” (V. quinquangulata), “Hunan-1” (V. pseudoreticulata), “Taishan-2” (V. adstringa), “Baihe-41” (V. sp. [Maihuang grape]) were evaluated after infection with conidia suspensions of different concentrations ($1 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$; $1.5 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$; $5 \times 10^5$ spores mL$^{-1}$ and $5 \times 10^5$ spores mL$^{-1}$).

For time series experiments, single inoculated, and control leaves were sampled 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 hpi (hours post-inoculation) in a randomized manner from each of the three biological replicates, except in the case of samples used for DAB (diaminobenzidine) staining.

**Rating of Disease Severity**

Disease severity was evaluated from 2011 to 2013 and scored as previously described (Liu et al., 2003; Poolsawat et al., 2012). Disease resistance levels of the different genotypes were classified as: Highly Resistant (HR: scores of 0–1.50); Resistant (R: scores of 1.51–3.50); Susceptible (S: scores of 3.51–5.50); or Highly Susceptible (HS: scores of 5.51–7.0).

**Light Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy**

To characterize the colonization of “Pingli-5” (HR, Highly Resistant) and “Red Globe” (HS, Highly Susceptible) by B. cinerea, 2–3 cm$^2$ leaf pieces were collected at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 hpi, fixed, and decolorized in ethanol/trichloroacetic acid, before clearing in saturated chloral hydrate, and were then stored in 20% glycerol. Samples were subsequently stained with aniline blue solution (for staining fungal tissues a blue color) and examined with an Olympus BX-51 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Japan). For each sample, fungal germination, and infection percentages were examined. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), leaf tissues were cut into small pieces (0.5–1 cm$^2$), fixed in 4% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.8) for 12 h at 4°C, and rinsed in the same buffer four times for 10–15 min. After dehydration in a graded ethanol series (30, 50, 70, 80, 90, 100%, v/v), the samples were then critical-point dried, coated with gold in a sputter coater, and examined with a JEOL FESEM S-4800 scanning electron microscope at 15 kV (Cheng et al., 2012).

**Histochemical Analysis of ROS Responses**

H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2^-$ were respectively detected by DAB and NBT (nitro blue tetrazolium) staining protocols, as previously described (ThordalChristensen et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2007) to compare the ROS responses of the two genotypes defined as HR and HS. Two to three centimeter$^2$ leaf segments were immersed under direct light in a DAB solution (1 mg/mL with HCl acidifying to pH 3.8) 8 h before sample collection except for that samples 4 hpi were directly immersed in DAB solution once inoculated. The leaves were prepared for observation as described above. Leaf segments of the same size were collected directly into 0.1% (w/v) NBT solution in 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) prior to a vacuum infiltration for 30 min and an exposure to direct light for 20 min. The NBT stained samples were then observed as above, except for the omission of aniline blue staining. The percentages of conidia, germ tubes, and infection sites exhibiting O$_2^-$ or H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation were evaluated.

**Antioxidant Enzyme Extraction and Activity Assays**

Crude protein extracts to assess superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Mittler et al., 2011) and peroxidase (POD) (Atkinson and Urwin, 2012) activities were isolated from approximately 0.5 g leaves using protocols described by Giannopolitis and Ries (1977). For SOD activity, briefly, 3.4 mL reaction mixtures comprising 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 13 mM methionine, 75 µM NBT, 2 µM riboflavin, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 100 µL crude protein extract were illuminated for 20 min at 4000 Lux and then measured at 560 nm. POD activity was assayed as previously described (Maehly and Chance, 1954). Six-hundred Microliter crude protein extract added to a 3 mL reaction mixture comprising 0.05 M guaiacol and 2% H$_2$O$_2$ was measured at 470 nm.

Crude protein extracts for measuring catalase (CAT) (Atkinson and Urwin, 2012) activity were obtained from approximately 2.5 g leaves that was ground in 25 mL cold 0.2 M PBS buffer (pH 7.8). CAT activity was determined by measuring the consumption of H$_2$O$_2$ by KMnO$_4$. The mixture of 3 mL crude protein extract, 2.5 mL 10% H$_2$SO$_4$ and 2.5 mL 0.1 M H$_2$O$_2$ were incubated for 10 min at 30°C and then titrated with 0.1 M KMnO$_4$. Samples with 3 mL boiled extract in the reaction mixtures were used as controls. The consumption of 1.7 mL 0.1 M KMnO$_4$ was assumed to be equal to 1.7 mg H$_2$O$_2$. The KMnO$_4$ solution of 0.1 M was critically determined by 0.1 M oxalic acid GR (Maehly and Chance, 1954).

**Statistical Analyses**

All experiments were performed using three biological replicates. At least 300 conidia from eight to ten leaf sections per time point were examined in histopathological and histochemical sections. Means and standard errors were calculated from three independent experiments by Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation) and significant differences and Duncan LSD analysis by a completely random design and correlation analyses of resistance evaluation data from 2011 to 2013 were performed using SPSS Statistics (Gabler et al., 2003; Poolsawat et al., 2012). All pictures were combined by Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

**RESULTS**

**The Optimum Inoculum and Concentration of B. cinerea**

Since some B. cinerea isolates germinate readily in distilled water, while others require sugars to initiate an infection (Schumacher and Tudzynski, 2012), a comparative assay was performed to
determine the optimal inoculation solution, as well as a moderate concentration of \textit{B. cinerea} conidia to be used in the subsequent experiments (Figure S1). \textit{V. vinifera} cv. “Red Globe” and \textit{V. adstringa} “Taishan-2” have previously been tested and found to be HS and HR species, respectively. Three other genotypes “Shang-24” (\textit{V. quinquangularis}), “Hunan-1” (\textit{V. pseudoreticulata}) and “Baish-41” (\textit{V. sp. [Maibuang grape]}) were also randomly selected for the comparative assay with different concentrations of spores in sterile water (Figure S1A). The \textit{B. cinerea} used in the present study performed substantially better for higher spore germination rate after 24 h in sterile water than in solutions of different Glc and KH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations (Figure S1B). Inoculation of “Red Globe,” “Shang-24,” “Hunan-1” leaves with a $1 \times 10^7$ mL$^{-1}$ spore suspension caused brownish spreading lesions that almost colonized the whole leaf area. When $5 \times 10^4$ spores mL$^{-1}$ was used, no spreading lesions were observed on “Baish-41” and “Taishan-2.” Assay conditions should result in a moderately aggressive infection to distinguish different levels of resistance. Thus, $1 \times 10^7$ spores mL$^{-1}$ was evidently too aggressive, while $5 \times 10^4$ spores mL$^{-1}$ was too mild. Therefore, an inoculation with $1.5 \times 10^6$ spores mL$^{-1}$ in sterile water was opted for the subsequent analyses, which allowed us to detect both increases and decreases in disease severity, for its larger range of the percentages of spreading lesions on the different genotypes than $5 \times 10^5$ spores mL$^{-1}$.

**Chinese Wild \textit{Vitis} Species Exhibit Different Levels of Resistance to \textit{B. cinerea}**

It has been established that the detached leaf assay in the laboratory gives similar results to field evaluations and that it is a reliable method for screening resistance of grapevine cultivars/lines and their hybrids (Wang et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2003; Poolswart et al., 2012). According to our laboratory resistance evaluation of \textit{B. cinerea}, whereby spreading leaf lesions (disease severities) were counted 4 days post-inoculation, Chinese wild \textit{Vitis} species generally exhibited a greater degree of variation in their resistance to \textit{B. cinerea} than other species did (Table 1). The data showed similarity in repeated tests and average disease severities varied significantly ($P < 0.05$) among the different genotypes through completely random Duncan LSD analysis (Table 2), but no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) was observed between years (2011 and 2013) using correlation analyses (Table S1).

Among the 20 genotypes that were classified as resistant at least (scores between 0 and 3.50), 18 were Chinese wild \textit{Vitis} genotypes, which was approximately 70% of all 41 genotypes tested. The remaining 21 were susceptible genotypes at least (scores between 3.51 and 7.0) in which only 10 belonged to Chinese wild \textit{Vitis} species (Table 1). The disease severity of the three most highly resistant genotypes (HR, scores between 0.00 and 1.50) was less than 0.5%, and infection lesions were rarely to be observed (Table 1). In contrast, leaves of the most susceptible genotypes (HS, scores between 5.51 and 7.0) showed soft-rot and new sporulation (Table 1).

Variation in the resistance levels of Chinese wild \textit{Vitis} to \textit{B. cinerea} is shown in Figure 1, indicating that resistance diversity is reasonably species independent at least to an extent. Little or no resistance was observed in the widely grown \textit{V. vinifera} cultivars. Indeed, five of the eight HS genotypes were cultivars of \textit{V. vinifera} or its hybrids. Four \textit{V. romanetii} genotypes and three \textit{V. davidii} genotypes but “Tangwei” were classified as susceptible at least, while four of five \textit{V. amurensis} genotypes were resistant at least. Furthermore, all three \textit{V. piasezkii}, three \textit{V. adstringa} and two \textit{V. sp.} genotypes were classified as R (scores between 1.51 and 3.5) or HR, as were \textit{V. sp. [Qinling grape]} and \textit{V. yenshanensis}, although there was only one representative. It is noteworthy that all six genotypes identified as HR were Chinese wild \textit{Vitis}: “Pingli-5” (\textit{V. sp. [Qinling grape]}); “Yanshan-1” (\textit{V. yenshanensis}); “Taishan-2” (\textit{V. adstringa}); and three \textit{V. amurensis} genotypes (“Shuangyou,” “Tonghua-3” and “Taishan-11”).

All the susceptible genotypes of \textit{V. vinifera} or Chinese wild \textit{Vitis} showed macroscopic mycelium 4 days after infection (Table 1). However, there were also five genotypes classified as R that showed minimal formation of mycelia, and the spreading lesions were far smaller than those seen in the susceptible genotypes. The fungus underwent new sporulation on 14 genotypes, half of which was classified as S (scores between 3.51 and 5.5) and the other half as HS, and neither mycelia nor sporulation were observed on leaves of any HR genotype. Germination and infection rates of all 41 evaluated genotypes were also measured, with germination rates referring to the percentages of germinated conidia of total counted conidia, and infection rates indicating the percentages of successful infection of total counted germinated conidia (Table 1). Most germination and infection rates on HR leaves were less than 20%, while those on R leaves were typically 15–50% and 20–40%, respectively. The rates with S genotypes were at least 50 and 20–60%, respectively, while on HS plants they were more than 60 and 50–80%, respectively. However, there were some conflicting observations: for example, although the germination rate on leaves of the susceptible “83-4-96” (\textit{V. quinquangularis}) was 42.4% and spreading lesions reached 42.9%, the infection rate was only 14.1% that was even lower than the R genotype “Gansu-91” (\textit{V. piasezkii}) (Table 1). Since disease development is not only related to infection rates but also to post-penetration processes (Elad, 1997), the latter genotype was suggested being more sensitive to \textit{B. cinerea} because lower infection rates caused more lesions. Despite of that, the data from the different analyses were generally corroborated with each other, so the laboratory analysis combining with the macroscopic and microscopic evaluation should give important insights into the resistance levels of the tested genotypes.

Two representative genotypes from the HR, R, S, and HS classes were selected to further compare the macroscopic and microscopic growth of \textit{B. cinerea} 4 days after inoculation (Figure 2). The leaves of “Red Globe” (Figures 2A,B) and “Beichun” (Figures 2E,M), two HS genotypes, had entirely decayed and were covered with mycelium, and new conidia with infection rates were 70 and 50%, respectively (Table 1). The S genotypes, \textit{V. davidii} var. “Zhenan-3” (Figures 2B,J) and \textit{V. romanetii} “Pingli-2” (Figures 2F,N) had numerous spreading lesions with mycelia and few new conidia, and with infection rates of 34 and 47%, respectively, and spreading lesions of 47 and 40%, respectively (Table 1). The R genotypes
TABLE 2: Means ± standard deviations of 3 years of lesions percent ages on the leaves of 41 Vitis genotypes infected with B. cinerea over 3 years, along with significance analysis of disease severities.

| Species | Names of genotypes | Means ± Deviation of lesion % | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | P < 0.05* | P < 0.01** |
|---------|------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| V. amurensis Rupr | Huaxian-11 | 6.11 ± 1.21 | 4.33 ± 2.44 | 7.29 ± 1.93 | o | GR |
| V. amurensis Rupr | Taishan-11 | 4.49 ± 1.00 | 4.71 ± 0.52 | 4.53 ± 0.85 | o | RS |
| V. amurensis Rupr | Zuoshan-1 | 39.7 ± 0.89 | 38.8 ± 1.12 | 38.47 ± 1.92 | j | KL |
| V. amurensis Rupr | Tonghua-3 | 0.18 ± 0.06 | 0.18 ± 0.09 | 0.23 ± 0.13 | p | S |
| V. amurensis Rupr | Shuangyou | 0.20 ± 0.04 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.21 ± 0.07 | p | S |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Pingli-2 | 45.44 ± 0.85 | 47.89 ± 1.39 | 47.61 ± 1.35 | fg | GH |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Baihe-22 | 30.30 ± 2.38 | 26.38 ± 3.89 | 30.45 ± 1.91 | k | LM |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Liuba-11 | 45.86 ± 3.20 | 46.54 ± 1.77 | 47.66 ± 1.29 | fg | GHI |
| V. romanetii Roman. | Jiangxi-2 | 50.93 ± 1.04 | 50.35 ± 2.57 | 46.71 ± 6.48 | fg | GH |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Shang-24 | 68.27 ± 2.67 | 66.93 ± 8.13 | 76.23 ± 6.62 | abc | ABC |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Taishan-12 | 20.81 ± 1.58 | 20.38 ± 2.34 | 24.69 ± 2.63 | l | MNO |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Baihe-25 | 21.52 ± 1.72 | 23.50 ± 2.61 | 19.12 ± 4.52 | l | NO |
| V. quinquangularis Rehd. | Shang-4-96 | 43.44 ± 2.02 | 43.80 ± 2.87 | 41.46 ± 3.30 | hi | LJ |
| V. pseudotetricaulata Maxim. | Liuba-6 | 18.53 ± 0.55 | 17.28 ± 0.62 | 18.38 ± 0.59 | l | MNO |
| V. pseudotetricaulata Maxim. | Liuba-7 | 16.12 ± 0.29 | 17.14 ± 2.10 | 16.79 ± 1.19 | lm | NO |
| V. pseudotetricaulata Maxim. | Gansu-91 | 14.13 ± 0.81 | 12.38 ± 0.68 | 11.42 ± 0.49 | n | P |
| V. adstricta Hance | Taishan-1 | 14.30 ± 1.30 | 15.27 ± 1.69 | 15.84 ± 0.44 | m | O |
| V. adstricta Hance | Taishan-2 | 1.87 ± 0.17 | 2.23 ± 0.51 | 2.13 ± 0.62 | p | S |
| V. adstricta Hance | Anlin-3 | 16.39 ± 1.08 | 17.65 ± 1.61 | 16.18 ± 2.5 | lm | NO |
| V. davidii Foex | Lueyang-4 | 56.35 ± 1.59 | 53.98 ± 3.08 | 56.57 ± 3.12 | f | EFG |
| V. davidii Foex | Ningxiang-6 | 60.65 ± 0.54 | 59.38 ± 1.92 | 59.34 ± 0.83 | de | CDE |
| V. davidii Foex | Tangwei | 6.38 ± 0.57 | 5.70 ± 2.33 | 8.92 ± 1.65 | n | PQ |
| V. davidii Foex | Fujian-4 | 45.88 ± 2.95 | 47.54 ± 5.31 | 45.53 ± 1.02 | gh | HI |
| V. pseudotetricaulata W.T. Wang | Guangxi-1 | 21.78 ± 2.34 | 20.75 ± 1.82 | 26.39 ± 3.54 | l | MN |
| V. sp. (Maiphuang grape) | Huinan-1 | 61.50 ± 2.46 | 60.12 ± 4.25 | 62.59 ± 5.20 | cde | BCD |
| V. sp. (Maiphuang grape) | Baihe-41 | 26.88 ± 1.72 | 28.37 ± 0.36 | 29.06 ± 0.49 | l | MNO |
| V. sp. (Maiphuang grape) | Baihe-36-2 | 17.16 ± 1.58 | 16.79 ± 1.80 | 15.68 ± 0.72 | lm | NO |
| V. davidii var. cyanocarpa Sarg. | Zhenan-3 | 40.68 ± 2.13 | 38.95 ± 2.80 | 41.67 ± 1.42 | i | JK |
| V. sp. (Qinling grape) | Pingli-5 | 3.91 ± 1.12 | 3.57 ± 0.62 | 3.61 ± 0.95 | op | RS |
| V. yunnanensis | Yanshan-1 | 0.26 ± 0.14 | 0.34 ± 0.23 | 0.48 ± 0.11 | p | S |
| V. vinifera L. | NO. 19 Xinong | 39.91 ± 2.91 | 40.53 ± 1.71 | 34.72 ± 4.47 | i | JK |
| V. vinifera L. | Rizamat | 25.04 ± 1.78 | 24.40 ± 3.40 | 22.97 ± 2.69 | l | MNO |
| V. vinifera L. | Hongmu Nage | 45.68 ± 0.74 | 44.93 ± 5.36 | 49.27 ± 4.28 | gh | GHI |
| V. vinifera L. | Zao Jintang | 12.70 ± 0.33 | 13.00 ± 1.60 | 13.48 ± 0.74 | n | P |
| V. vinifera L. | Muscat Hamburg | 61.55 ± 3.75 | 63.30 ± 5.60 | 54.22 ± 9.02 | e | |
| V. vinifera L. | Red Face Seedless | 61.10 ± 3.48 | 58.97 ± 1.13 | 61.70 ± 1.89 | de | CDE |
| V. vinifera L. | Red Globe | 71.90 ± 1.26 | 69.37 ± 2.91 | 75.47 ± 6.55 | ab | AB |
| V. riparia Michaux | Hean-3 | 47.85 ± 2.33 | 43.95 ± 3.13 | 38.90 ± 0.92 | hi | LJ |
| V. vinifera L. x V. labrusca L. | NO. 8 Hutai | 69.98 ± 6.78 | 76.33 ± 7.82 | 87.15 ± 3.90 | a | A |
| V. vinifera L. x V. labrusca L. | Kyoho | 61.33 ± 6.22 | 55.53 ± 5.13 | 57.47 ± 8.12 | de | CDE |
| V. vinifera L. x V. amurensis Rupr | Beichun | 65.39 ± 3.54 | 67.45 ± 13.36 | 67.86 ± 1.62 | bcd | ABCD |

* ** Significance at P ≤ 0.05 or P ≤ 0.01, respectively. Different letters associated with each level of disease severity indicates significant differences at P ≤ 0.05 or P ≤ 0.01.

V. quinquangularis “83-4-85” (Figures 2C,K) and V. piaezkii Gansu-91 (Figures 2G,O) produced considerably fewer limited necrotic lesions than the S and HS genotypes. The conidia on their leaves were observed to penetrate with rates of 7 and 21%, respectively (Table 1); however, the secondary hyphae either did not develop or were very short, indicating restricted B. cinerea proliferation. Finally, leaves of the HR genotypes, V. amurensis “Tonghua-3” (Figures 2D,L) and V. sp. (Qinling grape) “Pingli-5” (Figures 2H,P), had few lesions with the percentages of 0.2 and 4%, respectively. Germination rates of 13 and 28% and infection rates of 6 and 12% were also extremely low (Table 1). Abnormal germ tubes (Figure 2P) that were extremely short as well as hollow or collapsed conidia were observed to varying degrees on the leaves of almost all the HR genotypes analyzed.
**B. cinerea Growth on the HS “Red Globe” and the HR Chinese Wild Vitis “Pingli-5”**

In this study, one of the most resistant Chinese wild *Vitis* genotypes, “Pingli-5,” and one of the most susceptible *V. vinifera*, “Red Globe,” were selected to characterize differences in their infection by *B. cinerea*. The first different visual symptoms were small, dark needle-like lesions 18 hpi on the upper leaf surface of “Red Globe” that were not present on “Pingli-5.” These subsequently developed into small necrotic lesions 24 hpi that expanded rapidly until 96 hpi, resulting in extensive tissue rot and new sporulation. Conversely, only a few necrotic spots were observed on “Pingli-5” leaves and these showed minimal expansion (Figure 3A), with about 5% necrosis compared to 95% on “Red Globe” leaves (Figure 3C).

The SEM time series observations indicated that the infection of “Red Globe” was more substantial and aggressive (Figures 4A–I), while the germination was delayed and fungal growth was mostly blocked on “Pingli-5” leaves at the early time points of the initial 24 h infection (Figures 4J–R). No difference was observed 4 hpi (Figures 3B, 4A,J). On “Red Globe,” germination rate increased rapidly to 39% 8 hpi when appressoria were observed (Figure 4B) and to 47% 12 hpi when penetrations were apparent (Figure 4C), after that, infection rate increased to 30% 18 hpi when infection pegs were apparent (Figures 3B, 4D). Then, infection rate increased to 38% 24 hpi, while germination increased slowly (Figure 3B), and this was accompanied by germ tube elongation and the appearance of necrotic spots (Figures 3, 4). During this period, *B. cinerea* failed to capture “Pingli-5” (Figures 4K–N) and germination and infection rates were far lower than “Red Globe” (Figure 3B). The presence of appressoria surrounded by sheaths was first noted 18 hpi (Figure 4M) which seemed to peel away from leaf surfaces (Figures 4N,P), suggesting an even lower rate of infection on “Pingli-5” than that was observed by light microscopy. Infections on “Pingli-5” increased slowly with 6% 18 hpi and 10% 48 hpi (Figure 3B). From 24 hpi, *B. cinerea* germination, and infection on “Red Globe” leaves increased steadily until 96 hpi (Figure 3B). Many hyphae branched (Figure 4G), and a collapse of plant cells around infection sites (Figures 4E,F) and obvious lesion spreading accompanied. From 48 hpi onwards, the fungus grew rudely and sporulated on “Red Globe” (Figures 4G,I). In contrast, *B. cinerea* growth was blocked at an early stage on “Pingli-5” and subsequently the infection was almost completely abolished (Figures 4N–R). The hollow conidia described above were present as early as 36 hpi (Figures 4A–O) and were observed in increasing numbers until 96 hpi (Figures 4A–R).
**H₂O₂ Accumulation in the Interactions of B. cinerea with HS “Red Globe” and HR Chinese Wild Vitis “Pingli-5”**

Since one of the earliest defense responses in plant—B. cinerea interactions is ROS production (van Kan, 2006; Asselbergh et al., 2007), H₂O₂ accumulation was measured during the interactions of B. cinerea with HS “Red Globe” and HR Chinese wild Vitis “Pingli-5” through DAB staining: brown precipitates at the sites of H₂O₂ accumulation due to DAB polymerization (ThordalChristensen et al., 1997). “Red Globe” and “Pingli-5” leaves were sampled 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 hpi. No staining or germination was observed 4 hpi with either genotype (Figures 5A,J). H₂O₂ accumulation was evident 12 hpi in “Red Globe” epidermal cell walls that were in close contact with 31% of the infecting appressoria (Figure 5T), and was also observed in the interspaces between appressoria and epidermal cell walls (Figure 5C). From 12 to 18 hpi, H₂O₂ accumulation expanded from the sites of fungal contact, resulting in intense DAB staining in all epidermal cell walls surrounding approximately 55% of the infection sites (Figures 5D,T). Intracellular H₂O₂ also accumulated adjacent to “Red Globe” epidermal cell walls (Figure 5D). None of these reactions were visible in “Pingli-5” at these early time points (Figures 5K–M).

H₂O₂ generation in B. cinerea conidia, germinating spores, and infection structures was also indicated by DAB staining from 8 hpi onwards (Figure 5T), with gradual increases observed over time. However, much lower values were detected for “Pingli-5.” On “Red Globe,” low levels of DAB staining were detected 8 hpi in approximately 13% of the appressoria (Figures 5B,T), and subsequently, H₂O₂ accumulation was apparent in or around 21% of the germ tubes and 15% of the initial appressoria on “Pingli-5” (Figures 5M,T). On both genotypes, H₂O₂ accumulation was observed from 8 hpi onwards, with the largest changes from 8 to 18 hpi: with an increase of 39% on “Red Globe,” and 15% on “Pingli-5” (Figure 5T). DAB staining was especially strong in the top ends of germ tubes and appressoria associated with infection sites, and was much stronger on “Red Globe” (Figures 5C,D) than “Pingli-5” (Figures 5K–M).
From 18 to 48 hpi, the extent of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ distribution in the epidermal cells of "Red Globe" decreased gradually and more intense DAB staining was detected in the infection pegs, the elongating and branching hyphae as necrosis spread (Figures 5E–G). At later time points, during the period of cell death and rapid rot of "Red Globe" leaves, DAB staining of extracellular, and intracellular plant tissue, as well as $B. \text{cinerea}$ sporulation structures, was very intense (Figures 5H, I). In contrast, appressoria associated with infection sites on "Pingli-5" exhibited increased $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ accumulation of only about 7%. Even though some appressoria on "Pingli-5" were strongly stained, only a few successful infections and limited $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ accumulation at the infection sites were observed (Figures 5N–R).

$\text{O}_2^-$ Accumulation in the Interactions of $B. \text{cinerea}$ with HS "Red Globe" and HR Chinese Wild $Vitis$ “Pingli-5”

The accumulation of $\text{O}_2^-$ was assessed by NBT staining (Wang et al., 2007), which forms a bluish violet precipitate at the sites of $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulation. Leaf samples of HS "Red Globe" and HR Chinese wild $Vitis$ “Pingli-5” were collected at the indicated time points. $\text{O}_2^-$ generation indicated by small wispy spots of NBT staining occurred over larger areas in "Red Globe" (Figure 6T) than in "Pingli-5" 4 hpi (Figure 6U) whether conidia were present or not. These almost disappeared in "Pingli-5" from 8 hpi onwards (Figures 6K–R). The patterns of $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulation in "Red Globe" from 8 hpi onwards were very different from those 4 hpi: the $B. \text{cinerea}$-"Red Globe" interactions resulted in dark and concentrated NBT staining in the epidermal cell walls in close contact to 47% of infection appressoria, and in the interspaces of epidermal cells and appressoria (Figure 6B). By 12 hpi, $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulation weakened in the majority of infection appressoria and the epidermal cells around 74% of them when infection sites formed (Figure 6C). However, by 18 hpi, the spreading of $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulation from the sites of fungal contact resulted in more intense NBT staining of the entire cell walls of many layers of cells around about 73% of the infection sites (Figure 6D and Figure S2); however, $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulation in "Red Globe" cells declined 24 hpi and was absent 36 hpi (Figures 6E–I), while $\text{O}_2^-$ accumulated rapidly in infection pegs, hyphae, mycelium, and new sporulation from 36 to 96 hpi (Figures 5E–G). None of these reactions in "Red Globe" from 8 hpi was observed in "Pingli-5" (Figures 6J–R). Contrastingly, the proportion of conidia that did not germinate but showed NBT staining increased 8 hpi following...
a gradually decline until 96 hpi. At last, 18% infection structures showed NBT staining (Figure S2) and “Pingli-5” cells beneath these infection sites showed only limited and indistinct staining (Figures 6Q,R).

The percentages of B. cinerea conidia, germinating spores, and infection sites generating \( \text{O}_2^- \) were analyzed in “Pingli-5” and “Red Globe” (Figure S2). These three indices from “Pingli-5” decreased, except for that NBT staining conidia increased marginally from 90% 4 hpi to 96% 8 hpi and that germinating spores with NBT staining increased from 26% 8 hpi to 35% 12 hpi. In the case of “Red Globe,” conidia showing NBT staining decreased from 92% 4 hpi to the lowest percent of 29% 72 hpi, and then increased to 39% 96 hpi as a consequence of new sporulation. Germination conidia showing NBT staining first occurred with the percent of 73% 8 hpi and declined to the lowest percent of 51% 48 hpi, before increasing to 74% 96 hpi. A total of 67% infection sites with NBT staining first appeared 8 hpi and 74% showed staining 24 hpi, before the number decreased to 55% 36 hpi and increased again to 72% 96 hpi.

Activities of Peroxidase, Catalase, and Superoxide Dismutase in HS “Red Globe” and HR Chinese Wild Vitis “Pingli-5” Infected by B. cinerea

Antioxidant enzymes protect plants from oxidative stress and maintain redox equilibria through scavenging of ROS produced during pathogen attack (Pallavi Sharma et al., 2012). Peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity levels in the leaves of HR “Pingli-5” and HS “Red Globe” were tested to assess the dynamics of the antioxidant system following challenge with B. cinerea. Protein extracts from leaves of “Pingli-5” control as well as “Red Globe” inoculation and control all exhibited similar CAT or POD background activities with basal invariant (Figures 7A,B). However, in inoculated “Pingli-5” leaves, CAT activity gradually increased to approximately three-fold the background value 24 hpi, followed by a small drop 36 hpi with another four-fold increase 48 hpi compared to the background value and by 96 hpi, the activity decreased to a value two-fold higher than that of the background (Figure 7A); POD activity increased to a peak of eight-fold higher activity than the background value 48 hpi, followed by a decrease 72 hpi and a final increase of about six times higher than the background 96 hpi (Figure 7B).

Interestingly, background SOD activity in “Red Globe” was approximately twice that of “Pingli-5” in the control assays (Figure 7C). Moreover, SOD activity in inoculated “Pingli-5” leaves was similar to that of the control, except for an almost three-fold increase 4 hpi to nearly the same value with the background activity in “Red Globe” leaves (Figure 7C). In contrast, SOD activity in “Red Globe” leaves increased following B. cinerea infection to a maximum of 3.6-fold that of the background activity 18 hpi, but then decreased rapidly to the background levels by 36 hpi with no further increases detected (Figure 7C).
FIGURE 5 | Temporal evolution of H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation in the leaves of “Red Globe” and “Pingli-5” as well as in B. cinerea following inoculation. Aniline blue stains the fungus a bluish color while DAB (3-diaminobenzidine) stains H$_2$O$_2$ purple. H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation was assessed in the interactions of “Red Globe” (A–I) and “Pingli-5” (J–R) with B. cinerea 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 h post-inoculation (hpi). Arrows indicate a co, conidium; gt, germ tube; ap, appressorium; IP, infection peg; IH, infection hypha; and new co, new conidium. Black arrows indicate no DAB staining and red arrows indicate DAB staining. (S) Higher magnification of the site of the red arrow in (I), showing the DAB stained sporulation. Scale bars: (A–F): 20 µm; (G): 50 µm; (H,I): 100 µm; (J–R): 20 µm; (S): 5 µm. (T) Percentages of B. cinerea conidia, germ tubes and infection sites exhibiting H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation at the indicated times. At least 300 conidia were counted at each time point. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results. Bars represent standard deviations. Comparisons of statistical significance were made for the three indices. Different small alphabetical letters indicate statistically significant differences between different interactions of “Red Globe” and “Pingli-5” with B. cinerea at the indicated time points (Duncan’s multiple range test; $P < 0.05$).
DISCUSSION

Chinese Wild *Vitis* Species Represent Valuable *B. cinerea* Resistant Germplasm

It has been reported that most popular *V. vinifera* berries are susceptible to *B. cinerea*, while *V. rotundifolia*, *V. labrusca*, or other complex hybrids are highly resistant (Gabler et al., 2003). Although *B. cinerea* predominantly infects grape flowers, leaves are the second most infected organs (Holz et al., 2003; van Kan, 2006). To our knowledge, the current study is the first to document the resistance of Chinese wild *Vitis* to *B. cinerea* where leaf resistance levels of 41 genotypes, including 30 Chinese wild *Vitis* species, were described (Tables 1, 2). Intraspecific variation was found since the resistance levels did not correlate perfectly with individual species (Figure 1). Eighteen of the 30 Chinese wild *Vitis* were resistant to the fungus, while little or no resistance was seen in most *V. vinifera* and its hybrids such as “Red Globe,” “Muscat Hamburg,” “No. 8 Hutai” and “Kyoho” (Table 1). Indeed, the six most highly resistant genotypes with extremely less lesion events and relatively low infection rates were all Chinese wild *Vitis* species: three *V. amurensis* Rupr; one *V. yenshanensis*; one V. sp. (Qinling grape), and one *V. adstricta* (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Wang et al. (1995, 1998) described Chinese wild *Vitis* as a valuable resource for future disease resistance breeding programs. Many Chinese wild *Vitis* species exhibit synchronous multi-fungal disease defense: *V. amurensis* is known for its tolerance of cold and anthracnose, as a multi-resistant rootstock (Liu and Li, 2013); “Pingli-5” of V. sp. (Qinling grape) is resistant to anthracnose, powdery and downy mildew (Wang et al., 1995, 1998). Consequently, Chinese wild *Vitis* of high resistance to *B. cinerea* like “Shuangyou,” “Tonghua-3,” and “Pingli-5” may have the potential to decrease the gray mold in vineyards and protected grapevine cultivation systems, and may therefore represent valuable germplasm for breeding new varieties with resistance to multiple fungal diseases.

*B. cinerea* Growth is Blocked in the Early Infection Stages on the Highly Resistant Chinese Wild *Vitis* “Pingli-5”

In the present study, the distinct colonization of *B. cinerea* on grapevine leaves was first revealed by SEM over a time series. On “Red Globe,” penetration of *B. cinerea* was direct and the pathogen established a primary restricted infection as necrosis occurred before 24 hpi (Figures 3, 4). Subsequently, *B. cinerea* initiated a massive outgrowth and sporulation (Figures 3, 4). Conversely, during the early infection steps before 24 hpi, penetration on “Pingli-5” showed a substantial delay resulting in markedly lower germination and infection rates (Figures 3B, 4). Most appressorium on “Pingli-5” leaves had a sheath (Figure 4) possibly composed of disassembled polysaccharides and secondary metabolites (Viret et al., 2004; van Kan, 2006; Choquer et al., 2007), but they rarely developed into infection pegs like those present on “Red Globe” leaves (Figure 4). Therefore, it seems that the colonization of *B. cinerea* was blocked on Chinese wild *Vitis* “Pingli-5” during these early infection stages, possibly due to its physical and chemical barriers such as cell wall reinforcement and phytoalexin synthesis (Elad, 1997; Adrian and Jeandet, 2012; Cheng et al., 2012) or defense responses such as the timely deployment of ROS (Foyer and Noctor, 2013).

Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidative Activities were Differentially Induced Depending on the Susceptibility of the *Vitis* Genotype to *B. cinerea* Infection

After establishing that HR Chinese wild *Vitis* “Pingli-5” could effectively block *B. cinerea* and that HS “Red Globe” was a favorable host, the underlying possible mechanisms of resistance in “Pingli-5” and susceptibility in “Red Globe” were investigated. Since ROS are implicated in plant responses to pathogen attacks (Torres et al., 2006; Foyer and Noctor, 2013) and a detailed time point series evaluation of ROS accumulation during the interactions with *B. cinerea* were conducted, and the potential participation of antioxidant enzymes were assessed.

It has been previously shown that H$_2$O$_2$ induced in plant cells, accompanied by O$_2^-$ generation, can promote programmed cell death in the host and expansion of disease lesions to facilitate *B. cinerea* infection (Govrin and Levine, 2000; Patykowski, 2006; Asai and Yoshioka, 2009; Simon et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). Other studies with *A. thaliana*, tomato and other plants species (Asselbergh et al., 2007; L’Haridon et al., 2011; Windram et al., 2012; Serrano et al., 2014) have also suggested the importance of increased ROS levels in defense against *B. cinerea*. Elicitors and bacteria have been shown to contribute to the ROS based defense mechanism in grapevines (Aziz et al., 2004; Varnier et al., 2009; Verhagen et al., 2010, 2011; Benikhlef et al., 2013).

Here, ROS accumulation was not observed in control leaves (data not shown). Overall, high levels of ROS accumulated in the host-fungal interfaces, infection structures, and many layers of epidermal cells surrounding the infection sites between 8 and 18 hpi when infection initiated on HS “Red Globe” (Figures 4–6). Then ROS accumulated continuously in “Red Globe” and *B. cinerea* concurrent with the infection progression and lesion spreading. Conversely, only consistently low levels of ROS accumulation were observed following inoculation of resistant “Pingli-5” with *B. cinerea* (Figures 5, 6). Therefore, it seems that the reliably high level of ROS production seen in “Red Globe” could, at least in part, promote its susceptibility to *B. cinerea* infection and colonization, while the weak ROS induction seen following B. cinerea inoculation of “Pingli-5” may contribute to its resistance.

With regards to antioxidant activity, we found that “Red Globe” leaves inoculated with *B. cinerea* exhibited little change in CAT and POD activities as lesions spread. However, they did display increased SOD activity between 8 and 18 hpi (Figure 7), which correlates well with the increase in H$_2$O$_2$ levels and diminishment of O$_2^-$ from 24 hpi onwards (Figures 5, 6). However, CAT and POD activities in resistant “Pingli-5” increased throughout the experiment, but virtually no change in SOD activity was observed with the exception of an increase 4 hpi (Figure 7), which was consistent with its minimal induction.
FIGURE 6 | Temporal evolution of $O_2^-$ accumulation in the leaves of “Red Globe” and “Pingli-5” as well as in B. cinerea following inoculation. Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) stains $O_2^-$ purple and was used to assess $O_2^-$ accumulation in the interactions between B. cinerea and “Red Globe” (A–I) or “Pingli-5” (J–R) with B. cinerea 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 h post-inoculation (hpi). Arrows indicate a co, conidia; gt, germ tube; ap, appressorium; IP, infection peg; IH, infection hypha; and new co, new conidium. Black arrows indicate no NBT staining and red arrows indicate means DAB staining. (S) Higher magnification of the site of the red arrow in (I), showing the NBT stained sporulation. (T,U) $O_2^-$ accumulation 4 hpi in the leaves of “Red Globe” (T) and “Pingli-5” (U) infected with B. cinerea. Red blocks indicate wispy and small NBT stained spots in cells of both Vitis genotypes. Arrows indicate a NBT stained conidium (co). Scale bar: (A–F): 20 $\mu$m; (G): 50 $\mu$m; (H,I): 100 $\mu$m; (J–R): 20 $\mu$m; (S): 5 $\mu$m; (T,U): 10 $\mu$m.

of ROS (Figures 5, 6). Antioxidative systems are critical for controlling timing and strength of ROS production to maintain redox homeostasis (Torres et al., 2006; Mittler et al., 2011) and for protecting cells from ROS damage (Pallavi Sharma et al., 2012). It has been reported that after B. cinerea infection, A. thaliana (Govrin and Levine, 2000; Simon et al., 2013) and tomato (Asselbergh et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014) and Phaseolus vulgaris (Muckenschnabel et al., 1954) continuously accumulate ROS and lesions develop for their insufficient antioxidative systems, and it is nessecary that plants timely modulated its own
ROS accumulation to low levels through antioxidative system to maintain redox equilibrium (Mittler et al., 2011; Foyer and Noctor, 2013). In line with this, we found that when challenged by *B. cinerea*, susceptible “Red Globe” indeed experienced the effects of an insufficient antioxidative system, resulting in consistently high ROS levels, while “Pingli-5” rapidly upregulated its antioxidative capacity following inoculation (particularly CAT and POD activities) and thus experienced less ROS-induced stress. Since substantial ROS was induced in “Red Globe” but not in “Pingli-5,” the precise coordination of ROS production and associated scavenging mechanisms by antioxidative system during combined biotic and abiotic stress (Atkinson and Urwin, 2012) is likely to be important for Chinese wild *Vitis* “Pingli-5” to defense itself against *B. cinerea*.

It has been proved *B. cinerea* itself also generates ROS (Rolke et al., 2004) and adapts this high oxidative stress (Choquer et al., 2007; Temme and Tudzynski, 2009) but perturbs the redox status in and around the infected tissue, thereby promoting infection, which is important for pathogenicity (van Kan, 2005, 2006). We observed ROS accumulation within the pathogen *B. cinerea* on both grapevine leaves, higher in fungi present on “Red Globe” than “Pingli-5.” In any case, regardless of whether the low antioxidative capacity in “Red Globe” was inherent or caused by the infecting *B. cinerea*, it is clear that “Red Globe” suffered seriously from its sustained ROS accumulation. Instead, “Pingli-5” did not have to contend with huge oxidative stress for its highly and timely elevated antioxidative capacity.

Much attention has been paid to H$_2$O$_2$ induction in plants, which has been conflictingly found to contribute to either increased resistance or susceptibility toward *B. cinerea*, and on the other hand, O$_2^-$ has generally been suggested to act as a primary substrate to form H$_2$O$_2$ (Govrin and Levine, 2000; Torres et al., 2006; van Kan, 2006; Asselbergh et al., 2007; Serrano et al., 2014). Some reports have suggested that O$_2^-$ plays a role in promoting *B. cinerea* invasion (Urbanek et al., 1996; Patykowski, 2006; Zhang et al., 2014); however in studies of infected and mock infected tomato leaves, no O$_2^-$ accumulation was observed (Asselbergh et al., 2007). In bean, the induction of O$_2^-$ production in leaves is thought to be one of the key factors that differentiate the interactions with the compatible and incompatible pathogens: *B. fabae* and *B. cinerea*, respectively (Urbanek et al., 1996). Furthermore, it has been proposed that if strong oxidative damage at an early stage is insufficient to arrest the pathogen, its subsequent development will be less sensitive to oxidizing agents and so a relatively weak oxidative burst may serve to promote antioxidant systems, ultimately increasing its tolerance to subsequent oxidative stress (Gessler et al., 2007). Here, O$_2^-$ accumulating was detected earlier than...
H$_2$O$_2$ in inoculated leaves of both hosts (with or without conidia on). This accumulation began 4 hpi, at the earliest stage of the infection (Figures 6T,U), but was present to a lesser extent in the highly resistant “Pingli-5” and all disappeared from 8 hpi onwards in “Pingli-5” when more O$_2^-$ began to accumulate around the infection sites in “Red Globe” (Figure 6). At this same time point, O$_2^-$ also accumulated in more than 85% of conidia themselves on both hosts (Figure 6), which was also earlier than H$_2$O$_2$ production began within the fungus (Figure 5).

Taken together, we assume that at the earliest stages of the different interaction systems, similar O$_2^-$ levels generated by B. cinerea may provide the same attack signal both to “Red Globe” and “Pingli-5,” but could induce distinct O$_2^-$ responses in hosts. This might in turn effect subsequent ROS accumulation, antioxidative system levels and infection progression. An induction of O$_2^-$ generation, earlier than H$_2$O$_2$ production, may be among the first consequences of an interaction between B. cinerea with grapevines. The higher levels of O$_2^-$ induced in HS “Red Globe” compared to “Pingli-5” at the earliest infection stages (before 8 hpi) could potentially result in much higher and sustained ROS levels with its insufficient antioxidative protection during subsequent infection periods and could ultimately lead to oxidative damage and cell death. In comparison, the lower levels of O$_2^-$ in “Pingli-5” at the earliest infection stages (before 8 hpi) may represent a low/moderate concentration for a recognition process for timely elevating antioxidative capacity to prevent the subsequent sustained ROS production and arrest the attachment and development of B. cinerea. However, at present, this is a simply conjecture and would require further research to provide definitive answers with regards to the importance of the timing of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation. Thus, the spatiotemporal relationship between ROS and antioxidative systems and other signaling molecules remains an interesting area to better understand the resistance of Chinese wild Vitis against B. cinerea and allow the development of B. cinerea resistant grapes.

In conclusion, we explored germplasm resources from Chinese wild Vitis species for resistance to B. cinerea that causes the gray mold disease. A lack of resistance in most cultivated genotypes was confirmed and a substantial amount of resistance in Chinese wild Vitis species was identified using detached leaf assays. The events leading to B. cinerea resistance in Chinese wild Vitis species were further investigated by contrasting fungal growth, reactive oxygen species (ROS) responses and antioxidative system changes between the highly susceptible Vitis vinifera “Red Globe” and the highly resistant Chinese wild Vitis “Pingli-5” [V. sp. (Qinling grape)] after the infection with this pathogen. Our results demonstrated that minimal fungal development as well as minimal production of ROS and a timely elevation in antioxidative capacity were correlated with a high level of resistance in “Pingli-5,” while highly susceptible “Red Globe” suffered massive infection and sustained ROS production due to relatively unchanged antioxidative activities. Moreover, we speculated O$_2^-$ induction, which occurred earlier than H$_2$O$_2$ production, may be among the first consequences of an interaction between B. cinerea with grapevines, suggesting a potential ROS response responsible for the timely recognition and defense of Chinese wild Vitis “Pingli-5” to B. cinerea. However, this remains to be resolved through further experiments on spatiotemporal relationship of ROS and molecular mechanism.
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