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Abstract

In vitro, Purkinje cell behaviour is sometimes studied in a dissociated soma preparation in which the dendritic projection has been cleaved. A fraction of these dissociated somas spontaneously burst. The mechanism of this bursting is incompletely understood. We have constructed a biophysical Purkinje soma model, guided and constrained by experimental reports in the literature, that can replicate the somatically driven bursting pattern and which hypothesises Persistent Na+ current (INaP) to be its burst initiator and SK K+ current (ISK) to be its burst terminator.
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Introduction

In vitro, without any synaptic input, Purkinje neurons can spontaneously fire action potentials in a repeating trimodal pattern that consists of tonic spiking, bursting and quiescence [1–6]. So, Purkinje cells have been observed to burst, as a component of the trimodal firing pattern. This bursting has been shown to be dendritically driven, by dendritic Ca2+ spikes [3], and has been modeled [6]. Purkinje cells also burst in response to Climbing fiber (CF) input; a CF input produces a Ca2+ spike in the dendrites and this propagates to, and produces a burst event (“complex spike”) at, the soma [7–10].

In vitro, Purkinje cell behaviour is sometimes studied in a dissociated soma preparation in which the dendritic projection has been cleaved. A fraction of these dissociated somas are quiescent whilst others spontaneously fire simple spikes [11] or spontaneously burst [12]. Given that this preparation has no dendrites, the drive to its bursting must be of somatic origin. Hence, this bursting must be distinct from the bursting in the trimodal pattern of firing, which has been shown to be dendritically driven [3,6]. Furthermore, it must be distinct from burst events in response to CF input, which have been shown to be dendritically driven [7–10]. Indeed, the bursting waveform of isolated Purkinje somata is distinct from the stereotypical waveform of dendrically driven bursts.

Dendritic driven bursts have an ongoing increase in firing rate and termination by a more rapid increase in firing rate, with a decrease in spike height. The burst rides upon a slow wave of depolarisation and ends with a rapid depolarization. This depolarization is followed by a rapid hyperpolarization that persists through the interburst interval [3,6]. By contrast, somatically driven bursts are without any systematic change in firing rate or spike height upon burst progression [12]. The burst’s final spike height is not dramatically smaller and the burst does not ride upon a wave of depolarisation. In addition, somatically driven bursts tend to be shorter (two to four spikes per burst) than dendritically driven bursts (which can have hundreds of spikes per burst, although most typically have under ten).

The mechanism of the isolated soma’s spontaneous bursting is incompletely understood. Swensen and Bean [12] studied bursts in this experimental preparation; but they parsed the ionic basis to an imposed, elicited burst produced upon a depolarising current injection. Hence, they did not focus upon spontaneous bursting; so, to what extent are their findings applicable to spontaneous bursting? Here we have constructed a biophysical Purkinje soma model, guided and constrained by the experimental findings of Swensen and Bean [12], which can replicate the somatically driven spontaneous, bursting pattern. This model provides an ionic basis to spontaneous bursting, in a dissociated Purkinje soma. It hypothesises Persistent Na+ current (INaP) to be the burst initiator and SK K+ current (ISK) to be the burst terminator. Swensen and Bean [12] implicate the importance of an inward TTX-sensitive (Na+) current and we go further in resolving this as INaP. We find that Fast Na+ (INaF) or Resurgent Na+ (INaR) cannot generate bursts.

Why do some dissociated Purkinje somas spontaneously fire simple spikes [11] rather than spontaneously burst [12]? Our model provides an explanation; higher BK and/or SK activation (which can be driven by greater P-type Ca2+ current flow, and elevated [Ca2+]i) switches a dissociated Purkinje soma from bursting to tonic spiking. So, the bursting mode is “gated” by the BK and SK currents, which are in turn “gated” by the P-type Ca2+ current (ICaP).

An isolated Purkinje cell soma is a severely reduced system. Is its bursting mode an artefact of the isolated soma system? Or can it occur in the full Purkinje cell morphology? If so, does it occur in vivo and does it have a physiological role? We do not address the latter issues here. But we do use the model to propose that the full Purkinje cell morphology can express the same bursting form as that observed in isolated Purkinje somas. In vivo, if the P-type voltage-gated Ca2+ current (ICaP) is pharmacologically blocked in a full Purkinje cell morphology, its inherent tonic or trimodal firing
pattern can be replaced with a phase of bursting and then a depolarisation block [1,2]. The waveform of this bursting is without the stereotypical change in firing rate/spike height, upon burst progression, that characterises dendritically generated bursting [3]. In fact, it is reported as similar to that observed in mechanically dissociated somas [3]. So, we suggest that this bursting is somatically driven. Our soma model can replicate this transition upon a P-type Ca$^{2+}$ channel block: tonic firing to bursting (somatically driven waveform) and finally to depolarisation block.

We propose that cerebellar Purkinje cells have two distinct bursting modes – dendritically driven and somatically driven. The somatically driven bursting mode is observed in dissociated Purkinje somas and we use our model to propose that it can also be observed in full Purkinje cell morphologies, all be it in an artificial system – in cerebellar slices with the perfusion of a drug. We propose the possibility that Purkinje cells express a somatically driven bursting form under physiological conditions and utilise it in information coding.

**Materials and Methods**

Numerical simulations were performed with the NEURON 5.6 simulator [13], using its backward Euler integration method and 25 μs time steps. The model soma is a single cylindrical compartment with a length and diameter of 22 μm; its specific membrane capacitance ($C_{m}$) is 0.8 μF/cm² [14]. The soma has highly TEA sensitive (IK_{fast}), moderately TEA sensitive (IK_{mid}) and TEA insensitive (IK_{slow}) voltage-gated K$^{+}$ currents, a BK voltage-and-Ca$^{2+}$-gated K$^{+}$ current (IK_{CaT}), a P-type Ca$^{2+}$ current (IK_{CaP}), a hyperpolarization activated cation current (IK_{H}), a leak current (IL) and an intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics abstraction - all sourced from Khalili et al. [11]. In addition, it has a Resurgent Na$^{+}$ current (INaR) (description from [15]), a T-type Ca$^{2+}$ current (INaT) and a Fast Na$^{+}$ current (INaF) (descriptions from [16]). The model currents have equations and kinetic parameters as described in their source literature, but with the modification of current density values to those shown in Table 1. This isolated somatic compartment spontaneously fires in a simple spiking form, as many isolated Purkinje somata do. It can be switched to bursting (4 spikes per burst) by adding a Persistent Na$^{+}$ current (INaP, density = 4 mS/cm²; description from [17]) and a SK Ca$^{2+}$-gated K$^{+}$ current (IK_{SK}, density = 4 mS/cm²; description from [18]).

All model parameters were established by prior literature (as referenced) except the current densities, which are ill constrained by published experimental data. These variables were tuned manually [19] by iteratively running the model with different current density values and observing which combination of these gave the best fit between real and model Purkinje soma output. We tuned the model manually because, drawing from our experience, we reasoned that with the reasonable number of parameters, and with the significant complexity/timeframe of the model behaviours sought, parameter optimization algorithms would struggle to converge upon a good solution. Principally, we tuned the model to replicate the characteristics of bursting in an isolated Purkinje soma [12].

**Model equations**

$C_{m}$ is the membrane capacitance, $I$ is the current, $V$ is the membrane potential in mV as a dimensionless quantity, $t$ is time, $E_{K}$ is the reversal potential for K$^{+}$ (-88 mV), $E_{Na}$ is the reversal potential for Na$^{+}$ (+60 mV), $E_{L}$ is the reversal potential for the Leak current (-60 mV), $E_{H}$ is the reversal potential for the hyperpolarisation activated cation current (-30 mV), $T$ is temperature (36 C) and $g_{max}$ is the maximal conductance ("current density").

**Table 1. Maximal current conductances in the model soma.**

| Current                | Density (mS/cm²) |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Resurgent Na$^{+}$     | 156              |
| Fast Na$^{+}$          | 0.1              |
| P-type Ca$^{2+}$       | 0.52             |
| T-type Ca$^{2+}$       | 0.1              |
| BK K$^{+}$             | 72.8             |
| Highly TEA sensitive K$^{+}$ | 41.6          |
| Moderately TEA sensitive K$^{+}$ | 20.8          |
| TEA insensitive K$^{+}$ | 41.6             |
| $I_{H}$                | 1.04             |
| Leak                   | 0.52             |
| Persistent Na$^{+}$    | 4                |
| SK K$^{+}$             | 4                |

Without the inclusion of the two currents highlighted in grey (Persistent Na$^{+}$ current and SK K$^{+}$ current), the model soma fires tonic spikes. With their inclusion, at the densities presented, the model soma bursts.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068765.t001

The voltage (and/or intracellular calcium) dependence of a Hodgkin-Huxley particle/gate [30]; for example, for the m Hodgkin-Huxley gate:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{m_{x} - m}{\tau_{m}}$$

(1)

The voltage (and/or intracellular calcium) dependence of a Hodgkin-Huxley (H-H) current [30] can be expressed by stating, for each H-H gate (e.g. for the m gate), either $m_{x}, \tau_{m}$ OR $[\alpha m, \beta m]$. These entities are voltage (and/or intracellular calcium) dependent. The latter set can give the former set through the relations:

$$m_{x} = \alpha m / (\alpha m + \beta m)$$

(2)

$$\tau_{m} = 1 / (\alpha + \beta m)$$

(3)

**Master equation.**

$$C_{m} \cdot \frac{dV}{dt} = -(I_{K_{fast}} + I_{K_{mid}} + I_{K_{slow}} + I_{BK} + I_{CaT} + I_{CaP} + I_{H} + I_{NaR} + I_{NaT} + I_{NaP} + I_{SK})$$

(4)

If INaP and IK_{SK} are removed, then the model is switched from bursting to tonic spiking.

**Highly TEA sensitive K$^{+}$ current [11].**

$$I_{K_{fast}} = g_{max} m^{3} h (V - E_{K})$$

(5)

$$m_{x} = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{V - 24}{15.4} \right)}$$

(6)
$\tau_m = \begin{cases} 
0.000103 + 0.0149 \times \exp(0.035 \times V) & \text{if } V \leq -35mV \\
0.000129 + 1/\exp\left(\frac{V+100.7}{12.9}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-V-56}{23.1}\right) & \text{if } V \geq -35mV 
\end{cases}$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

$h_{\infty} = 0.31 + \frac{1 - 0.31}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 5.8}{11.2}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

$\tau_h = \begin{cases} 
1.22 \times 10^{-5} + 0.012 \times \exp[-(V + 56.3)^2] & \text{if } V \leq 0mV \\
0.0012 + 0.0023 \times \exp(-0.141 \times V) & \text{if } V > 0mV 
\end{cases}$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$q_T = 3^{\frac{V - 22}{10}}$; $T$ is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constants $[\tau_m; \tau_h]$ are divided by $q_T$, in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**Moderately TEA sensitive K+ current [11].**

$I_{K_{\text{mid}}} = g_{\text{max}} m^4 (V - E_K)$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 24}{20.4}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

$\tau_m = \begin{cases} 
0.000688 + 1/\exp\left(\frac{V + 64.2}{6.5}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-V - 141.5}{34.8}\right) & \text{if } V < -20mV \\
0.00016 + 0.0008 \times \exp(-0.0267 \times V) & \text{if } V \geq -20mV 
\end{cases}$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

$q_T = 3^{\frac{V - 22}{10}}$; $T$ is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constant $[\tau_m]$ is divided by $q_T$, in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**TEA insensitive K+ current [11].**

$I_{K_{\text{low}}} = g_{\text{max}} m^4 (V - E_K)$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 16.5}{18.4}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

$\tau_m = 0.000796 + 1/\exp\left(\frac{V + 73.2}{11.7}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{V - 306.7}{74.2}\right)$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

$q_T = 3^{\frac{V - 22}{10}}$; $T$ is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constant $[\tau_m]$ is divided by $q_T$, in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**P-type Ca2+ current [11].**

$I_{\text{CaP}} = g_{\text{max}} \times m \times g_h k$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz ($ghk$) equation:

$ghk = (4 \times P_{Ca^{2+}}) \times \frac{V \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \times \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_i - [Ca^{2+}]_o \times \exp\left(-\frac{2 \cdot F \cdot V}{RT}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2 \cdot F \cdot V}{RT}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

$P_{Ca^{2+}} = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/sec}$, $[Ca^{2+}]_i = 100 \text{ nM}$, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 2 \text{ mM}$, $T = 295 \text{ K}$, $F$ is the Faraday constant and $R$ is the gas constant. $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ are fixed constants, as seen by this equation – it does not access the changing value of $[Ca^{2+}]$, as set by the intracellular Ca2+ equations (given later).

$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 19}{5.5}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

$\tau_m = \begin{cases} 
0.000264 + 0.128 \times \exp(0.103 \times V) & \text{if } V \leq -50mV \\
0.000191 + 0.00376 \times \exp[-(V + 11.9)^2] & \text{if } V > -50mV 
\end{cases}$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

$q_T = 3^{\frac{V - 22}{10}}$; $T$ is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constant $[\tau_m]$ is divided by $q_T$, in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**Hyperpolarisation activated cation current [11].**

$I_H = g_{\text{max}} m (V - E_h)$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 90.1}{9.9}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

$\tau_m = 0.19 + 0.72 \times \exp\left(-\frac{V + 81.5}{11.9}\right)$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

$q_T = 3^{\frac{V - 22}{10}}$; $T$ is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constant $[\tau_m]$ is divided by $q_T$, in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**BK type K+ current [11].**

$I_{BK} = g_{\text{max}} m^3 z^2 h (V - E_K)$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 28.9}{6.2}\right)}$ \hspace{1cm} (24)
\( h_{\infty} = 0.085 + \frac{1 - 0.085}{\exp\left(-\frac{V - 32}{5.8}\right)} \)  

(25)

\( \tau_m = 0.000505 + \frac{1}{[\text{exp}(V + 33.3) + \exp(V + 33.3)]} \)  

(26)

\( \tau_h = 0.0019 + \frac{1}{[\text{exp}(V + 54.2) + \exp(V + 54.2)]} \)  

(27)

\( z_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{0.001}{[Ca^{2+}]}} \)  

(28)

\( \tau_e = 1 \)  

(29)

\( q_t = 3.5 \times 10^{-22}; T \) is temperature in degrees centigrade (36). The time constants \( \tau_m; \tau_h; \tau_e \) are divided by \( q_t \), in a modification to the original description [11], to account for temperature.

**Leak current** [11].

\[ I_L = g_{\text{max}} \times (V - E_L) \]  

(30)

**Intracellular \( Ca^{2+} \) concentration** [11]. \( [Ca^{2+}] \) is calculated for the intracellular space within 100 nm of the membrane. \( [Ca^{2+}] \) changes as \( I_{Ca} \) brings \( Ca^{2+} \) into this space and as \( Ca^{2+} \) leaves by diffusion to the bulk cytoplasm. The diffusion rate constant, \( \beta \), is set to 1/msec.

\[ \frac{d[Ca^{2+}]}{dt} = \beta \times [Ca^{2+}] \]  

(31)

\[ [Ca^{2+}] \text{ at time step, } t: \]

\[ [Ca^{2+}]_t = [Ca^{2+}]_{t-1} + \Delta t \times \left( \frac{-100 \times I_{Ca^{2+}}}{(2F) \times (\text{depth Area})} - \beta \times [Ca^{2+}]_{t-1} \right) \]  

(32)

\( F \) is the Faraday constant, \( \text{depth} = 0.1 \mu m \) and membrane surface \( \text{Area} = 1.521 \mu m^2 \). \( [Ca^{2+}] \) was constrained to not fall below 100 nM by coding of the form:

\[ \text{if}([Ca^{2+}] < 100)\{[Ca^{2+}] = 100\} \]

**Resurgent \( Na^+ \) current** [15].

\[ I_{NaR} = g_{\text{max}} \times O \times (V - E_{Na}) \]  

(33)

\( O \) is the occupancy of the Open state.

This current is described by a Markov scheme, shown in Figure 1. The rate constants, labelled in Figure 1, are \( \text{ms}^{-1} \):

\[ x = 150 \times \exp\left(\frac{V}{20}\right) \]  

(34)

\[ \beta = 3 \times \exp\left(\frac{2V}{20}\right) \]  

(35)

\[ \gamma = 150; \delta = 40; Con = 0.005; \text{Coff} = 0.5; Oon = 0.75; Ooff = 0.005 \]

\[ a = \left(\frac{Oon}{Con}\right)^{1/4} \]  

(36)

\[ b = \left(\frac{Ooff}{Coff}\right)^{1/4} \]  

(37)

\[ \epsilon = 1.75 \]  

(38)

\[ \zeta = 0.03 \times \exp\left(\frac{2V}{25}\right) \]  

(39)

All rate constants are multiplied by \( q_t = 3.5 \times 10^{-22} \); where \( T \) is temperature in degrees centigrade (36).

**T-type \( Ca^{2+} \) current** [16].

\[ I_{CaT} = g_{\text{max}} \times m \times h \times (V - E_{Ca}) \]  

(40)

\( E_{Ca} \) is +135 mV for this current.

\[ x_m = \frac{2.6}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V + 21}{-8}\right)} \]  

(41)

\[ \beta_m = \frac{0.18}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V + 40}{4}\right)} \]  

(42)

\[ x_h = \frac{0.0025}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V + 40}{8}\right)} \]  

(43)

\[ \beta_h = \frac{0.19}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V + 50}{-10}\right)} \]  

(44)

\( mt = 3.5 \times 10^{-27}; T \) is temperature in degrees centigrade (36).

\[ \tau_m = \frac{1}{(x_m + \beta_m)mt} \]  

(45)
Fast Na+ current [16].

\[ I_{NaF} = g_{max} m^3 h (V - E_{Na}) \]  
\[ E_{Na} = +45 \text{ mV} \] for this current (as opposed to +60 mV).

\[ M_m = \frac{35}{0 + \exp\left[\frac{V + 50}{-10}\right]} \]  
\[ \beta_m = \frac{7}{0 + \exp\left[\frac{V + 65}{20}\right]} \]  
\[ M_h = \frac{0.225}{1 + \exp\left[\frac{V + 80}{10}\right]} \]  
\[ \beta_h = \frac{7.5}{0 + \exp\left[\frac{V + 30}{-18}\right]} \]  

\[ mt = \frac{T - 30}{T} \]; \( T \) is temperature in degrees centigrade (36).

SK type K+ current [18].

\[ I_{SK} = g_{max} z^2 (V - E_K) \]  
\[ z = \frac{48 [Ca^{2+}]^2}{48 [Ca^{2+}]^2 + 0.03} \]  
\[ \tau_z = \frac{1}{48 [Ca^{2+}] + 0.03} \]

Results

The Purkinje soma model can replicate the somatically driven bursting pattern

Figure 2A shows a dendritically driven burst, of the trimodal firing pattern, from the full Purkinje cell model of Forrest et al. [6]. Figure 2B shows a somatically driven burst from our isolated soma model. Dendritically driven bursts have a very stereotypical waveform that contrasts with that of somatically driven bursts. They have an ongoing increase in firing rate and termination by a more rapid increase in firing rate, with a decrease in spike height. The burst rides upon a slow wave of depolarisation and ends with a rapid depolarization. This depolarization is followed by a rapid hyperpolarization that persists through the interburst interval [3,6]. By contrast, somatically driven bursts are without any systematic change in firing rate or spike height upon burst progression [12]. The burst’s final spike height is not dramatically smaller and the burst does not ride upon a wave of depolarisation.
In addition, somatically driven bursts tend to be shorter (two to four spikes per burst) than dendritically driven bursts (which can have hundreds of spikes per burst, although mostly typically have under ten).

**Bursting in the Purkinje soma model: Persistent sodium current is the burst initiator; SK potassium current is the burst terminator**

The isolated Purkinje soma model can be switched from spontaneous tonic firing, to spontaneous bursting, by the introduction of the Persistent Na$^+$ current (INaP, density = 4 mS/cm$^2$) and the SK Ca$^{2+}$-gated K$^+$ current (ISK, density = 4 mS/cm$^2$).

The depolarising Persistent Na$^+$ current (INaP) produces the sustained depolarization that initiates and maintains a somatic burst: INaP is the “burst initiator” (Figure 2C). The hyperpolarising Ca$^{2+}$-activated SK potassium current (ISK) builds in magnitude with burst progression, until it attains enough strength to terminate the burst, and produce the period of hyperpolarization before the next burst: ISK is the burst “terminator” (Figure 2D). So, this bursting can be described as having a [INaP vs. ISK] basis.

**The Purkinje soma model was built with guidance from experimental findings**

Swensen and Bean studied bursts in isolated Purkinje cell somas; they parsed the ionic basis to an imposed, elicited burst produced upon a depolarising current injection [12]. Our Purkinje soma model can burst spontaneously, without current injection. We set SK as the model’s spontaneous burst terminator because Swensen and Bean have shown (experimentally) its importance to elicited somatic bursting: “SK increases progressively during bursts and plays an important role in regulating burst duration” [12]; SK is likely the burst terminator, and not BK, because “BK current progressively decreases during the burst, whereas SK current progressively increases” [12]. Our model replicates this rising SK current, and falling BK current, during burst progression (Figure 2, panels D & E).

We set a Na$^+$ current, rather than a Ca$^{2+}$ current, as the depolarising force that initiates and maintains a somatic burst, spontaneous Purkinje burst because Na$^+$ channel block, by cobalt or TTX, prevents elicited bursting [12]. By contrast, Ca$^{2+}$ channel block actually promotes elicited burst firing - “Blocking voltage-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ entry by cadmium or replacement of external Ca$^{2+}$ by Mg$^+$ enhanced burst firing” [12]. This promotion is likely manifested by Ca$^{2+}$ channel block decreasing the intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ concentration, which then results in less activation of the hypothesised burst terminator, the Ca$^{2+}$-activated SK channel.

So, by analogy with the experimental findings upon elicited somatic bursting, we hypothesise that the depolarising current that initiates and maintains spontaneous bursting is likely a Na$^+$ current. Manual tuning of Persistent Na$^+$ (INaP), Fast Na$^+$ (INaF) and Resurgent Na$^+$ (INaR) current densities showed only INaP able to...
generate spontaneous bursts in the model. With this modelling result, we hypothesise that INaP is the spontaneous burst initiator in real, dissociated Purkinje somas. INaP has been shown to be present in cerebellar Purkinje cells [20–22].

The hyperpolarisation activated cation current (IH) contributes to bursting in a number of neuron types [23,24]. However, Swensen and Bean do not find it involved in elicited bursting, in isolated Purkinje somas [12]. Our modelling corresponds with this as IH block (setting the IH current density to 0) has no consequence upon spontaneous model bursting (data not shown).

**Characteristics of bursting in the Purkinje soma model**

1) INaP alone is not sufficient for bursting, ISK is also required. If INaP (4 mS/cm²) is introduced, without ISK, then the model soma does not burst – it is depolarisation blocked. This is because the introduced INaP initiates a burst, but there is no ISK to terminate it. So, the soma gets “stuck” mid-burst at a depolarised membrane potential (Figure 3B).

2) Increasing the density of the burst initiator, INaP (from 4 mS/cm² to 5 mS/cm²), increases the number of spikes per burst (from 4 to 7) (Figure 3C).

3) Increasing the density of the burst terminator, ISK (from 4 mS/cm² to 8 mS/cm²), decreases the number of spikes per burst (from 4 to 2) (Figure 3D).

4) Increasing the density of Resurgent Na⁺ current (INaR) (from 0.156 S/cm² to 0.3 S/cm²) increases the number of spikes per burst (from 4 to 7). INaR is not the burst initiator and cannot generate bursting de novo. However, it can promote INaP established bursting (Figure 3E).

5) Increasing the density of the T-type Ca²⁺ current (ICaT) (from 0.1 mS/cm² to 1 mS/cm²) increases the number of spikes per burst (from 4 to 5). ICaT is not the burst initiator and cannot generate bursting de novo. However, it can promote INaP established bursting (Figure 3F).

**lBK and/or lSK activity can set whether the Purkinje soma model fires tonic spikes or bursts**

1) Increasing the density of lSK sufficiently (from 4 mS/cm² to 20 mS/cm²) can switch the model out of bursting and into simple spiking (Figure 4A).

2) Increasing the density of lBK sufficiently (from 0.0728 S/cm² to 10 S/cm²) can switch the model out of bursting and into simple spiking (Figure 4B).

3) Increasing the density of both lSK and lBK (to 20 mS/cm² and 10 S/cm² respectively) can switch the model out of bursting and into simple spiking (Figure 4C). In this condition bursting is prevented by both lBK and lSK in a redundancy. Removing lBK does not permit bursting because the lSK block to bursting is still present (Figure 4D). Removing lSK does not permit bursting because the lBK block to bursting is still present (Figure 4E).

Bursting occurs when the depolarisation capacity exceeds the repolarisation capacity, and so the potential cannot be repolarised to the resting potential before the onset of the next spike. Raising lBK and/or lSK density, both repolarising entities, can correct this imbalance in the model and set a simple spiking pattern. Conceivably the raising of any repolarising current can act...
similarly to switch bursting to simple spiking – to gate the bursting/simple spiking duality. However, we specifically hypothesise that real Purkinje somata have gating by both IBK and ISK. Hence for bursting to be “unlocked”, both IBK and ISK activation must be reduced. We arrive at this hypothesis from an interpretation of experimental data in the literature.

If the P-type voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) current (ICaP) pharmacologically blocked in a full Purkinje cell morphology, its inherent trimodal (or tonic) firing pattern can be eventually replaced with a phase of bursting and then a depolarisation block [1,2]. The waveform of this bursting is without the stereotypical change in firing rate/spike height, upon burst progression, that characterises dendritically generated bursting [3]. It is reported as similar to that observed in mechanically dissociated somas [3]. So, we hypothesise that this bursting is somatically driven and corresponds to the bursting observed in some isolated Purkinje somata [12]. Thus, we believe its study can be used to derive insight into the bursting of isolated somata bursting, and vice-versa.

We hypothesise that ICaP block causes this outcome (bursting and then depolarisation block) because it equates to a combined IBK and ISK block. BK and SK channel activation is selectively coupled to ICaP block activation - experiments have shown that Ca\(^{2+}\) for activating BK and SK channels is provided solely by ICaP flow in the Purkinje cell [2] i.e. BK and SK channels do not “read” the global intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration but singly the Ca\(^{2+}\) flux through ICaP. The concurrent reduction in BK and SK activity unlocks the somatic bursting state. Then, during this bursting mode, as the proportion of ICaP molecules that are blocked increases over time, the BK and SK activity level falls further still. As SK activity falls, eventually SK cannot fulfill its role as the “burst terminator” and a burst cannot be terminated and a depolarisation block silence ensues. ICaP block ensures a concurrent reduction in BK and SK activity: the individual block of BK or SK cannot switch a Purkinje cell out of the trimodal (or tonic) firing pattern [2,4].

Figure 4F shows the effect of decreasing the IBK and ISK densities to zero, with an arbitrary function of time (t) \[\text{density}(t) = \text{density}(0) - 0.000001t\] that abstracts a progressing pharmacological block of ICaP. It shifts the somatic model activity from simple spiking, into bursting and then depolarisation block silence. So, in this panel one can observe the transition from tonic spiking to bursting. Panels G, H, I and J correspond to the labelled parts of Panel F and highlight the transition from simple spiking to bursting. Panel F scaling is encoded in its own scale bar (30 mV, 0.5 s); the scaling of all other panels is encoded in the scale at the bottom of the figure (30 mV, 20 ms).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068765.g004

---

**Figure 4. The bursting of the Purkinje soma model is gated by the BK and SK conductances.**

A, Increasing the ISK density (4 to 20 mS/cm²) switches the model from bursting to simple spiking. B, Increasing the IBK density (0.0728 to 10 S/cm²) switches the model from bursting to simple spiking. C, Increasing both the SK and BK densities (ISK from 4 to 20 mS/cm², IBK from 0.0728 to 10 S/cm²) switches the model from bursting to simple spiking. In this condition bursting is prevented by both IBK and ISK, in a redundancy. D, Removing IBK does not permit bursting because the IBK block to bursting is still present. E, Removing IKs does not permit bursting because the IKs block to bursting is still present. F, However, concurrent IBK and ISK removal does permit bursting. For example, decreasing the IBK and ISK densities to zero by an arbitrary function of time (t) \[\text{density}(t) = \text{density}(0) - 0.000001t\] shifts the somatic activity from simple spiking, into bursting and then depolarisation block silence. So, in this panel one can observe the transition from tonic spiking to bursting. Panels G, H, I and J correspond to the labelled parts of Panel F and highlight the transition from simple spiking to bursting. Panel F scaling is encoded in its own scale bar (30 mV, 0.5 s); the scaling of all other panels is encoded in the scale at the bottom of the figure (30 mV, 20 ms).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068765.g004
the ICaP density causes this same switch in behaviour because it causes a concurrent decrease in IBK and ISK activity.

Note that ICaP block (or IBK and ISK block) can only unmask somatic bursting if the foundations for it are existent: if INaP and ISK (the burst initiator and terminator) are both present at sufficient densities. If not, for example if the INaP, density = 0 mS/cm², then ICaP reduction does not switch the somatic model out of tonic firing and the tonic firing state persists indefinitely (Figure 5D & 5E).

The Purkinje soma model can replicate Swensen and Bean's [12] elicited burst protocol

For most of their study, Swensen and Bean [12] perform experiments upon an artificial form of somatic bursting. They take an isolated soma that spontaneously fires simple spikes and hold it at a hyperpolarised silence (−90 mV) with an enduring, hyperpolarising current injection. This “held” cell is then driven to fire a single burst by a very short (1 ms) depolarising current injection. Although the cell intrinsically generates simple spikes it fires a burst in this protocol. Hence the studied burst is elicited rather than spontaneous. This raises the concern that the mechanisms elucidated for the generation of such an elicited burst are not relevant for spontaneous bursts. Modelling can help address this issue. Our model’s spontaneous bursting mechanism (INaP vs. ISK) primarily comes from an analysis of observations that Swensen and Bean [12] made for elicited bursts. Indeed, this mechanism allows the model to replicate their elicited burst results (Figure 6). But importantly, it also allows the model to fire bursts spontaneously. This demonstrates that elicited and spontaneous bursts are likely to have an equivalent basis, which vindicates the value of experiments that employ the elicited burst protocol.

Discussion

An isolated Purkinje cell soma is a severely reduced system, but it is used in experimental research [11,12]. In this paper we propose a biophysical basis to its bursting mode; Persistent Na⁺ current (INaP) is the burst initiator and SK K⁺ current (ISK) is the burst terminator. This bursting mode is “gated” by the BK and SK currents (in a redundancy), which are in turn “gated” by the P-type Ca²⁺ current.

Is this bursting mode an artefact of the isolated soma system, or does it relate to physiological processes? Well, this bursting does not correspond to the bursting observed in the trimodal pattern of activity, in the full Purkinje cell morphology, because this bursting is dependent on the dendrites [3]. However, we suggest that the full Purkinje cell morphology can express the same bursting form as that observed in isolated Purkinje somata. This is, in vivo, upon the condition of P-type Ca²⁺ channels being pharmacologically blocked [1,3]. So, this expression is itself in a severely artificial
system: in cerebellar slices with the perfusion of a drug. There is presently no work that we know of that investigates or supports the hypothesis that, physiologically, Purkinje cells express a somatically driven bursting form and utilise it in information coding strategies. However, if they do employ this firing form, we can speculate that its bursting parameters might be under transmitter control as a computational feature. Transmitter control of I_{SK} has been described in many types of neurons [25], I_{NaR} may be regulated by phosphorylation [26] and I_{NaP} can be regulated by Nitric Oxide [27], Protein Kinase C [28] and external Ca^{2+} concentration [29].

In this paper, we have proposed the electrophysiological basis to bursting in an isolated Purkinje soma. We have shown how it could relate to different activity patterns that have been reported in full Purkinje cell morphologies [1,3], and in isolated Purkinje somas [11], by other scientists in other experiments. This is the value of modelling – it can reconcile why different behaviour is observed in different experimental preparations and unify disparate behaviour into a single, cohesive framework.

In conclusion, we propose that the Purkinje cell has two separate and distinct modes of bursting: somatically generated and dendritically generated, which have dramatically different waveforms. We venture that the Purkinje cell may leverage this in its information coding strategies.
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