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Abstract: Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is characterized by granulomatous inflammation of large and medium-sized vessels. It is the most common vasculitis among elderly people in Europe and North America. GCA usually presents with ischemic cranial manifestations such as headache, scalp tenderness, visual manifestations, and claudication of the tongue and jaw. Thickness and tenderness of temporal arteries are the most recognizable signs of GCA on physical examination. Laboratory tests usually show raised acute phase reactants. Skin manifestations are uncommon in GCA and are rarely found as a presenting symptom of GCA. Necrosis of the scalp and tongue is the most common ischemic cutaneous manifestation of GCA. Although infrequent, when present it reflects severe affection and poor prognosis of GCA. Panniculitis-like lesions have been reported in the setting of GCA, with nodules being the most common finding. Other entities, such as generalized granuloma annulare or basal cell carcinoma have been occasionally described in GCA patients. Prompt recognition and initiation of therapy are crucial to prevent serious complications of GCA. When high suspicion of GCA exists, immediate administration of glucocorticoids is recommended. It is advisable to refer the patient to a specialist GCA team for further multidisciplinary assessment.
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Introduction

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is the most common vasculitis among elderly people from Europe and North America.1 It is characterized by granulomatous inflammation of large and medium vessels with special tropism for the branches of the external carotid arteries.2

GCA mainly affects patients older than 50 years with an incidence ranging from 5.8 to 31.3/100,000 and prevalence of 30.4/100,000.1 Environmental factors in patients genetically predisposed may be triggers for the development of GCA. In this regard, a strong association of GCA with HLA class II molecules has been described, particularly with HLA-DRB1*04 alleles.3–5

The immunopathology of GCA is complex and still not completely understood. Growing evidence support that GCA is a T-cell driven disease.6,7 In this regard, type 1 helper T cells (Th1) and type 17 helper T cells (Th17) seem to play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of GCA. Th-17 inflammatory pathway and related cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-6, have been linked to the systemic inflammatory symptoms of GCA. Th1 response is associated with the production of interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) and granuloma formation being responsible for the ischemic manifestations of the disease.6,7
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The classic clinical pattern of GCA consists of ischemic cranial manifestations such as headache, scalp tenderness, visual manifestations, and tongue and jaw claudication. The most fearsome complication of GCA is irreversible vision loss that can occur in up to 20% of GCA patients. Strokes have been also described in severe cases of GCA, particularly affecting the vertebrobasilar territories. GCA is often associated with polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR), which is characterized by pain and stiffness affecting mainly the arms and shoulder girdle, often associated with pain in the hips and pelvic girdle and neck. Also, systemic inflammatory symptoms, including asthenia, weight loss, or fever may be present. In recent years, the advent of new imaging techniques has revealed that GCA can also affect extracranial large vessels, even in the absence of classic cranial GCA symptoms. GCA patients with predominant extracranial large vessel affection are usually younger at diagnosis and present more commonly with refractory features of PMR, fever of unknown origin, constitutional symptoms, and/or limb claudication.

Cutaneous manifestations are uncommon in GCA and are rarely found as the presenting symptom of GCA. Most of them, particularly those caused by severe ischemia, are a consequence of the delay in the diagnosis and treatment of GCA.

Literature on cutaneous manifestations of GCA is scarce. Kinmont and McCallum conducted the first extensive review on the dermatological lesions in patients with GCA in 1964. The most common finding described was the presence of tender nodules on the surface of prominent, tortuous temporal arteries. Scalp and tongue necrosis were reported in patients with severe GCA. Other non-specific lesions included purpura, urticaria, and ulcers in the legs.

Currently, ischemic cutaneous lesions are rarely observed in patients with GCA due to the improved early recognition and treatment of GCA. Prompt initiation of glucocorticoids remains to be the mainstay treatment of GCA. However, relapses are frequent when glucocorticoids are tapered. The clinical features at the time of relapses are generally similar to those found at the time of disease diagnosis. In this sense, they usually present with headache or PMR. However, at the time of relapses, irreversible vision loss or skin manifestations are generally not observed. In patients with refractory or relapsing GCA or in those at risk of developing side-effects related to glucocorticoids, adjuvant therapy is usually required.

### Table 1 Cutaneous Lesions of Giant Cell Arteritis

| Ischemic Mucocutaneous Manifestations | Nodules and Panniculitis-Like Lesions | Cutaneous Diseases Associated with GCA |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| • Scalp necrosis | • Cutaneous nodules | • Generalized granuloma annulare |
| • Tongue necrosis | • Cutaneous lesions resembling erythema nodosum | • Basal cell carcinoma |
| • Lip necrosis | | |

In recent years, there has been increased awareness of GCA among clinicians. A multidisciplinary approach can be decisive for early diagnosis and treatment of GCA. Throughout this review, we provide an updated overview focused on the cutaneous manifestations of GCA for the dermatology clinic.

We have classified the cutaneous manifestations of GCA into three groups according to their nature: ischemic manifestations, nodules, and panniculitis-like lesions, and cutaneous diseases that are not directly caused by GCA but that can be found in the setting of GCA (Table 1).

### Ischemic Mucocutaneous Manifestations of Giant Cell Arteritis

Ischemic lesions in GCA occur as a consequence of severe arterial occlusions of inflamed vessels. These lesions are typically found on the scalp and tongue. Ischemic vascular damage can progress to necrosis if diagnosis and therapy onset is delayed.

### Scalp Necrosis

Necrosis of the scalp in a patient with GCA was first described by Cooke et al in 1946. It may affect one side or may extend to large areas involving the entire scalp (Figure 1). It is considered a result of the severe affection of the vessels supplying the temporal region of the scalp that include the temporal, frontal, retro-auricular, and occipital arteries.

Scalp necrosis has been recognized as a marker of severity and poor prognosis. In this regard, Soderstrom et al found that 9 of 13 GCA patients who presented scalp necrosis developed irreversible visual loss. Further on, Tsianakas et al conducted an extensive literature review including 78 cases of scalp necrosis. They found that 31.5% of patients developed vision loss and 38.4% other visual defects. The presence of scalp...
necrosis has also been associated with high rates of mortality, ranging from 20.2% to 38%.23–27

In the initial stages of scalp necrosis, administration of high doses of glucocorticoids can slow its progression. However, when necrosis is established, surgery is often required to remove all necrotic tissue and minimize the risk of severe bacterial infections.24,28,29 Skin-graft may be needed to improve wound closure. Noteworthy, no single case of scalp necrosis recurrence has been reported after the onset of appropriate treatment.24

**Tongue Necrosis**

GCA can cause several symptoms in the tongue including pain, swelling, and burning.30,31 Some of these manifestations might be more frequent than expected. In this regard, glossitis has been described in up to 10% of GCA patients in retrospective series.19 Episodic episodes of lingual ischemia presenting with recurrent blanching of the tongue have been described in severe cases of GCA.32 Interestingly, histological evidence of lingual arteritis in the tongue biopsy of GCA patients was reported for the first time in the early 1960s.33

Lingual necrosis is rarely found due to the rich blood supply of the tongue. However, the lingual artery, which originates from the external carotid, can be affected in patients with severe GCA leading to occlusion and ischemia.33 Necrosis usually occurs in the anterior twethirds of the tongue (Figure 2).

A recent literature review identified 25 published cases of tongue necrosis in GCA patients between 2002 and 2015.34 Older females were the most affected. Lingual necrosis was usually accompanied by other ischemic cranial symptoms. Higher rates of visual manifestations were reported to be present in 38% of patients.34 Some cases of concomitant scalp and tongue necrosis, and even lip necrosis, have been reported.35,36 Tongue necrosis is also considered an unfavorable prognostic sign.37

Differential diagnoses in patients presenting with lingual necrosis should include malignancy, previous exposure to radiation therapy, infections, use of some drugs such as vasopressin, chemotherapy or ergotamine, embolisms, and other systemic vasculitis.37

Most patients respond to high-dose glucocorticoid therapy, but in refractory cases, adjuvant therapy with conventional immunosuppressive drugs and/or biologic therapy may be needed.34 Rare cases of severe extensive necrosis of the tongue progressing to auto-amputation of the necrotic tissue have been reported in the absence of early response to usual treatment.37

**Nodules and Panniculitis-Like Lesions in Giant Cell Arteritis**

Panniculitis is uncommon in patients with large vessel vasculitis. Erythema nodosum has been described in

*Figure 1* Skin necrosis over the temporoparietal scalp area in a patient with giant cell arteritis.

**Note:** Courtesy of Dr. J Sánchez Martín.

*Figure 2* Swelling tongue with anterior ischemic lingual necrosis in a patient with giant cell arteritis.

**Note:** Courtesy of Dr. J Sánchez Martín and The Spanish Society of Rheumatology.
patients with Behçet’s disease, polyarteritis nodosa, and Takayasu’s arteritis but is rarely found in GCA patients. These vasculitis share some common presenting features, and all can affect medium-sized vessels of the skin. However, there are important clinical and epidemiological differences that help us to differentiate them. Takayasu’s arteritis is a large vessel vasculitis that affects younger patients than GCA, usually under 40 years of age. Systemic symptoms and limb claudication are common symptoms of both GCA and Takayasu’s arteritis. However, cranial and visual symptoms are infrequent in Takayasu’s arteritis. Polyarteritis nodosa is a rare systemic necrotizing vasculitis with multisystemic involvement that usually affects patients in the sixth decade of life. Unlike GCA, skin lesions, renal failure, abdominal pain, muscle, and neurologic involvement are common findings in polyarteritis nodosa. By contrast, Behçet’s disease can involve blood vessels of all sizes (small, medium, and large). It is characterized by the presence of recurrent mucocutaneous ulcers, uveitis, neurological disease, arthritis, and skin lesions which are uncommonly found in GCA.

Several retrospective studies have reported the presence of cutaneous lesions resembling panniculitis in patients with GCA. In these cases, a skin biopsy is always needed to exclude other entities.

**Cutaneous Nodules**

Cutaneous nodules on the surface of the temporal arteries can be frequently found in patients with GCA (Figure 3). However, the presence of nodules in other locations is extremely rare. Thus, other conditions should be always ruled out first.

Vivancos et al\(^{18}\) reported a case of GCA presenting with a supraclavicular nodule simulating a lymph node. No other symptoms apart from constitutional syndrome were present. The patient had a 2.5 cm indurated, tender, non-erythematous nodule in the right supraclavicular region. The rest of physical examination was normal. Laboratory data showed raised levels of erythrocyte sedimentation rate (92 mm/1st h). The skin biopsy showed abundant fibroadipose tissue with foci of blood vessels showing inflammatory changes consisting of GCA. Interestingly, despite the atypical presentation, the temporal artery biopsy confirmed the diagnosis of GCA. The patient experienced a good response to oral glucocorticoids that led to the resolution of the nodule.

Several case reports of cutaneous nodules mimicking breast cancer have been reported in patients with GCA.\(^{39-45}\) Waugh et al\(^{39}\) described in 1950 a case of bilateral mammary arteritis presenting with a unilateral non-tender breast lump with histologic evidence of giant cell inflammatory vasculitis. Indeed, the blood supply of the breast mainly depends on the internal mammary artery and the lateral thoracic artery which are branches of the subclavian artery. As mentioned above, GCA can affect extracranial vessels and, consequently, mammary arteries can also potentially be involved. McKendry et al\(^{43}\) suggested that breast nodules may be underreported in GCA patients given their non-specific aspect that can resemble a benign fibrocystic disease.

**Cutaneous Lesions Resembling Erythema Nodosum**

Cutaneous lesions resembling erythema nodosum have been described in patients with GCA, particularly in patients with extracranial large vessel involvement. In this regard, Goldberg et al\(^{46}\) reported a patient with biopsy-proven GCA and extracranial LVV involvement presenting with claudication of the lower extremities who developed cutaneous lesions on the pretibial areas of her legs that were clinically indistinguishable from erythema nodosum. The skin biopsy revealed giant cell vasculitis of the subcutaneous and septal vessels. It is worth remembering that the classic histopathological picture of erythema nodosum is septal panniculitis without vasculitis. Multinucleated giant cells may be seen but restricted to the septae of the subcutaneous fat lobules.\(^{47}\)

![Figure 3](https://example.com/image3.png)

**Figure 3** Cutaneous nodules on the surface of the right temporal artery.

**Note:** Courtesy of Dr. E de Miguel.
Cutaneous Diseases Associated with Giant Cell Arteritis

Generalized Granuloma Annulare and GCA

The coexistence of generalized granuloma annulare and GCA has been anecdotally reported in the literature. It has been observed in both entities. In this regard, a significantly increased frequency of HLA-B*15 alleles has been described in both granuloma annulare and GCA.

Generalized granuloma annulare is considered a widespread form of granuloma annulare and accounts for 10% to 15% of all cases. It usually presents with disseminated annular and erythematous plaques on the trunk and limbs. In comparison to the localized form, it usually affects older patients, has a prolonged course of the disease, and has a worse response to conventional treatment. Skin biopsies usually show degeneration of the elastic fibers with loss of peripheral microfibrils and abnormal elastic matrix along with the presence of granulomatous infiltrate. Likewise, in GCA, disruption of the internal elastic lamina and the presence of granulomatous giant cell infiltrate are found in temporal biopsy samples.

To the best of our knowledge, only five cases of concomitant disseminated granuloma annulare and GCA have been reported. In 4 of these 5 patients, the disseminated granuloma annulare preceded GCA, whereas in one patient the diagnosis of GCA was made three years before granuloma annulare. All patients were successfully treated with glucocorticoids.

Basal Cell Carcinoma and GCA

Accumulating evidence supports that there is no overall increased incidence of malignancy in patients with GCA. However, some cases of concomitant non-melanoma skin cancer and GCA have been reported. Mahe et al. reported a patient referred to the dermatology clinic due to a left temporal ulcerating skin lesion. Excision of the cutaneous lesion revealed an ulcerating basal cell carcinoma. Interestingly, the skin biopsy included a portion of the temporal artery which showed histologic findings consistent with GCA. A detailed clinical history revealed that the patient also had mild left-sided headache and symptoms resembling PMR that had gone unnoticed. The presence of GCA and basal cell carcinoma in this patient was probably a coincidence. This case report highlights that in the absence of a careful histologic examination, the diagnosis of GCA would probably have been delayed. However, solid evidence-based studies have shown that GCA is not associated with an increased risk of malignancies, including non-melanoma skin cancer.

Given that both GCA and basal cell carcinoma are more frequently found in elderly patients, it is important to perform a careful physical examination and ask for symptoms suggesting GCA in atypical cases presenting with cutaneous lesions in the temple areas.

Diagnosis Approach in Giant Cell Arteritis

The diagnosis of GCA is mainly based on clinical and laboratory findings. Traditionally, temporal artery biopsy has been used to confirm GCA diagnosis. However, recently, imaging techniques are increasingly being used for GCA diagnosis. In this regard, ultrasound of temporal and axillary arteries is now recommended as the first imaging modality in patients with suspected GCA.

The American College of Rheumatology (ACR) proposed in 1990 a set of classification criteria for GCA. These criteria include age at disease 50 years or older, new onset of headache, temporal artery abnormality on physical examination of the temporal arteries, positive temporal artery biopsy, and an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) equal to or greater than 50 mm/1st hour. At least 3 of these 5 criteria are needed to classify a patient as having GCA. The 1990 ACR classification criteria for GCA identify most patients with the classic cranial pattern of GCA but are not useful to identify GCA patients presenting with extracranial large vessel involvement. In these patients, modern imaging techniques are needed to make the diagnosis. Ultrasound, Computed Tomography Angiography (CT-A), Magnetic Resonance Imaging Angiography (MRI-A), and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) have shown high sensitivity and specificity in detecting the presence of extracranial LVV GCA.

A complete clinical history and physical examinations should be performed in patients presenting with cutaneous lesions in the setting of GCA suspicion. The main clinical symptoms and signs of GCA are summarized in Table 2. Careful palpation of the superficial temporal arteries and
Table 2 Main Clinical Symptoms and Signs of Giant Cell Arteritis

| Main symptoms | Classic Cranial Ischemic Features | LVV Extracranial Features | Non-Specific Manifestations |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|               | • New onset headache, often in the temporal area. | • Limb pain and claudication | • Low-grade fever |
|               | • Scalp tenderness                  | • Refractory polymyalgia rheumatica symptoms | • Weight loss |
|               | • Jaw and/or tongue claudication    |                           | • Fatigue/malaise |
|               | • Carotidynia                      |                           |                           |
|               | • Acute visual symptoms (amaurosis fugax, acute vision loss, diplopia). |                           |                           |
|               | • Associated polymyalgia rheumatica symptoms. |                           |                           |
|               | • Temporal artery abnormalities (tenderness, thickness, nodules, pulseless). |                           |                           |
|               | • Anterior ischemic optic neuropathy or central retinal artery occlusion on ophthalmologic examination. |                           |                           |

their branches is mandatory. Palpate the temporal arteries immediately in front of the tragus of the ear and up along the temple in search of painful, tender, enlarged, nodular, or pulseless temporal arteries. In addition, it is also important to check the pulse in the arms and legs in patients with a suspicion of extracranial LVV GCA, looking for the presence and symmetry of the pulses.

Routine laboratory testing should include red blood cell count, platelets, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, liver, and kidney function test, and urinalysis. Most GCA patients show markedly increased acute phase reactants (ESR and C-reactive protein) at the time of disease diagnosis. Thrombocytosis is a common finding in patients with GCA and is associated with a more severe disease.

It is recommended to start glucocorticoids immediately when there is a high suspicion of GCA and refer the patient to a specialist team for further assessment as soon as possible. Figure 4 shows an initial management work-up.
up for patients presenting with cutaneous lesions in the setting of GCA suspicion.

**Treatment of Giant Cell Arteritis**

Glucocorticoids remain the cornerstone of GCA treatment. High-dose glucocorticoid therapy is needed to induce remission in patients with GCA. There is general agreement on the use of an initial dose of 40–60 mg/prednisone or prednisolone/day for 3–4 weeks. Nevertheless, in patients who present with severe ischemic manifestations, particularly if visual impairment exists, it is recommended to administer intravenous methylprednisolone pulse therapy (1g daily for 3 consecutive days).\(^{21,70,71}\) In most cases, improvement of ischemic symptoms and decrease of acute phase reactants is observed within the first 24 to 72 hours after the onset of glucocorticoid therapy. The probability of visual recovery is low when blindness is established. Therefore, the onset of treatment should be not delayed if GCA is suspected.

Once GCA symptoms are controlled, glucocorticoids can be progressively tapered. Experts from the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommend reducing the prednisone/prednisolone dose to a target dose of 15–20 mg/day within 2–3 months and after 1 year to ≤5 mg/day.\(^{70}\) Based on our experience, we usually taper 5 mg of prednisone every 2 weeks until a prednisone dose of 25 mg/day is reached. Thereafter, we reduce prednisone dose more slowly by 2.5 mg every 2–4 weeks up to 10 mg/day. Later, we taper prednisone dose by approximately 2.5 mg every 2 months.\(^{21,71}\)

Adjuvant therapy is recommended in patients with refractory or relapsing GCA or in those patients with increased risk of glucocorticoid-related adverse effects.\(^{70}\) In this regard, conventional immunosuppressive drugs, such as methotrexate, have shown some efficacy in refractory GCA.\(^{72}\) Nowadays, tocilizumab (TCZ) is the only approved biologic agent by the European Medicine Agency (EMA) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of GCA. TCZ is a monoclonal anti-interleukin 6 (IL-6) receptor that has proved to be effective to induce remission, prevent relapses and decrease the cumulative prednisone dose in patients with GCA in both clinical trials and real-life studies.\(^{73–75}\)

**Conclusions**

A multidisciplinary approach is needed to provide the best care for GCA patients. A high level of suspicion of GCA among dermatologists may be crucial for early diagnosis and therapy onset.

Cutaneous manifestations are not frequent in GCA, but when present they may reflect severe affection and poor prognosis. In particular, necrosis of the scalp or tongue may warn physicians about the possibility of GCA in elderly patients. Prompt initiation of glucocorticoids and referral to a GCA specialist team is recommended to prevent serious complications.
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