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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Vaccination has been one of the most successful breakthroughs in medical history. In recent years, epitope-based subunit vaccines have been introduced as a safer alternative to traditional vaccines. However, they suffer from limited immunogenicity. Nanotechnologies have shown value in solving this issue. Different kinds of nanovaccines have been employed, among which virus-like nanoparticles (VLPs) and self-assembled peptide nanoparticles (SAPNs) seem very promising. Recently, SAPNs have attracted special interest due to their unique properties, including molecular specificity, biodurability, and biocompatibility. They also resemble pathogens in terms of their size. Their multivalency allows an orderly repetitive display of antigens on their surface, which induces a stronger immune response than single immunogens. In vaccine design, SAPN self-adjuvanticity is regarded an outstanding advantage, since the use of toxic adjuvants is no longer required. SAPNs are usually composed of helical or \(\beta\)-sheet secondary structures and are tailored from natural peptides or \textit{de novo} structures. Flexibility in subunit selection opens the door to a wide variety of molecules with different characteristics. SAPN engineering is an emerging area, and more novel structures are expected to be generated in the future, particularly with the rapid progress in related computational tools. The aim of this review is to provide a state-of-the-art overview of self-assembled peptide nanoparticles and their use in vaccine design in recent studies. Additionally, principles for their design and the application of computational approaches to vaccine design are summarized.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

For hundreds of years, vaccination has been one of the most successful strategies to combat different infectious diseases and, consequently, decrease morbidity and mortality among humans (Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011, 2014). Edward Jenner was the first to vaccinate against smallpox in 1796. Jenner found that an inoculation of the pus from a cowpox lesion on a handmaid’s hand to patients infected with smallpox conferred immunity (Stern and Markel, 2005). The aim of every vaccination is to present a particular antigen or set of antigens to the immune system in order to elicit immunity to the pathogen. In addition to the efficient elicitation of immune response, the ideal vaccine should be safe, show long-term stability at ambient temperatures, demonstrate high population coverage, and provide protection after the administration of a single dose. Moreover, the manufacturing, purification, and characterization processes should be effective, require a minimal number of steps, and be affordable to enable broad vaccine use.

The oldest type of vaccines or traditional vaccines consist of either live attenuated and killed microorganisms or inactivated toxins (Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011). The development of these vaccines uses the whole organisms, which is why they are more effective and elicit long-lasting innate and adaptive immune responses (Karch and Burkhard, 2016). Despite these benefits, traditional vaccines have some disadvantages, such as a risk of infection, probability of allergic and autoimmunity reactions, and difficulties related to culture, production, and stability. Moreover, they cannot be developed for some diseases, such as cancer and several infectious diseases. Therefore, a new type of vaccines, named subunit vaccines, has been developed that has many advantages compared to the whole organism-based vaccines, including a lack of pathogenic organisms, safety, ease of production, stability, and low allergic and autoimmune responses. Hence, these vaccines are considered efficient (Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011, 2014). Based on the mentioned merits, many researchers, including our team, have worked...
extensively on the development of these types of vaccines (Farhadi et al., 2015; Hajighahramani et al., 2017; Mahmoodi et al., 2016; Nezafat et al., 2017; Nezafat et al., 2014; Nezafat et al., 2016; Shahbazi et al., 2016).

2. Epitope-based subunit vaccines

Subunit vaccines only contain the antigenic fragments of pathogens, which are most frequently protein-based antigens that are capable of stimulating appropriate adaptive immune responses. Some limitations, namely instability, production complexity, low purity, and most importantly, the induction of an autoimmune response, are observed more frequently in protein-based subunit vaccines than in epitope-based subunit vaccines; therefore, epitope-based subunit vaccines are often preferred. They are composed of multiple individual antigenic epitopes from one or several pathogens (Azmi et al., 2014; Nezafat et al., 2017; Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011). These epitopes usually consist of B-cell epitopes, helper T-cell (Th) epitopes, and cytotoxic T-cell (CTL) epitopes. After binding to B-cell receptors (BCRs), B-cell epitopes are activated in two ways: in the presence of Th cells or in a T-cell-independent manner. Generally, in the T-cell-independent pathway, activated B-cells cannot produce long-lasting B-cell responses. During the first step, both types of T-cell epitopes are processed by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), including dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, and B-cells. Exogenous particles, such as toxins and bacterial pathogens, located on the cell surface are processed and presented to Th cells by major histocompatibility complex II (MHC-II). Afterwards, the activated Th cells directly or indirectly stimulate B-cells and CTLs and promote the secretion of cytokines and chemokines; therefore, active Th cells stimulate both adaptive immune and innate immune responses. Endogenous particles, such as viral particles and cancerous cells, which are located in the cytosol, are processed and presented to CTLs by MHC-I. The activated CTLs kill the intracellular pathogens and tumor cells by inducing cell-mediated immunity (Moyle and Toth, 2013; Skwarczynski and Toth, 2014). Thus, when designing most epitope-based subunit vaccines, researchers must identify both T-cell and B-cell epitopes to induce appropriate immune responses; however, low immunogenicity is the major drawback of these types of vaccines. Thus, due to the lack of the whole organism, the ability of these vaccines to stimulate immune responses is much weaker than traditional vaccines. Different approaches have been applied to boost the immunogenicity of subunit vaccines, including the administration of multiple doses of vaccine during the patient’s life for long-lasting protection, the use of adjuvants, or the employment of nanotechnological approaches, i.e., designing nanovaccines (Moyle and Toth, 2013). Adjuvants are additional components in vaccines that stimulate APCs and target the innate immune system; in this way, they induce a robust immune response (Foged, 2011). Adjuvants are classified into three groups: 1) delivery systems composed of non-immunostimulatory components, which target and present the vaccine to the immune system, 2) immunostimulators, such as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which directly stimulate the innate immune system, and 3) a combination of the two mentioned classes, which has the highest efficiency (Foged, 2011; Moyle and Toth, 2013). Many research groups have attempted to develop effective adjuvants, but only few adjuvants are being used in vaccine production due to several disadvantages, including low safety and high toxicity. Adjuvants approved for use in human are aluminum salts (alum), the first approved and a widely-used adjuvant, oil-in-water emulsions (MF59, AS03, and AF03), virosomes, and AS04 (a formulation of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) and alum) (Foged, 2011; Karch and Burkhard, 2016).
Table 1  
Different investigational nanoparticles-based nanovaccines.

| Delivery system | Type | Vaccine name | Disease | Clinical phase or licensed product name | References |
|-----------------|------|--------------|---------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Polymeric       | PLGA |–|Hepatitis B |Clinical trial |(Yang et al., 2016) |
|                 | PLGA |–|HIV         |Phase I   |(Bolhassani et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016) |
|                 | PLGA |–|Solid tumors|Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PLGA |–|Cervix cancer|Phase II/III|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PLGA |–|Measles      |Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PLGA |–|Hepatitis C |Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PLGA |–|B-cell lymphoma|Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PGA  |–|HIV         |Clinical trial|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
| Chitosan        | Chitosan|--|Tuberculosis|Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | Chitosan|--|Allergy     |Preclinical|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
|                 | PEI-mannose|--|HIV        |Phase I/II|(Bolhassani et al., 2014) |
| Inorganic       | Gold |–|Influenza   |Clinical trial|(Vartak and Sucheck, 2016; Zhao et al., 2014) |
|                 | Gold |–|HIV         |Clinical trial|(Vartak and Sucheck, 2016; Zhao et al., 2014) |
|                 | Gold |–|RSV         |Clinical trial|(Zhang et al., 2014) |
|                 | Gold |–|Foot-and-mouth disease|Clinical trial|(Vartak and Sucheck, 2016; Zhao et al., 2014) |
|                 | Gold |–|Malaria     |Clinical trial|(Vartak and Sucheck, 2016) |
| Lipid-based     | Liposome|--|Influenza   |Phase I/II|(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016; Vartak and Sucheck, 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Hepatitis A |Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Streptococcus mutans|Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Malaria     |Phase I/II|(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Lung cancer |Phase II/III|(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016; Vartak and Sucheck, 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Neisseria meningitides|Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Breast cancer|Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|HIV         |Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016) |
|                 | Liposome|--|Mycobacterium tuberculosis|Phase I   |(Tandrup Schmidt et al., 2016; Vartak and Sucheck, 2016) |
| ISCOM           | ISCOM|--|HPV         |Clinical trial|(Zhao et al., 2014) |
| ISCOM           | ISCOM|--|HIV         |Clinical trial|(Zhao et al., 2014) |
| ISCOM           | ISCOM|--|Influenza   |Clinical trial|(Zhao et al., 2014) |
| ISCOM           | ISCOM|--|Newcastle disease|Clinical trial|(Zhao et al., 2014) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Heplisav|--|Hepatitis B|Phase III|(Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs Nasal vaccine | Heplisav|--|Hepatitis B|Phase III|(Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs Edible vaccine | Heplisav|--|Hepatitis B|Phase I   |(Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs V503 | Cervix cancer | Phase III |(Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs HPVV19 | Cervix cancer | Phase I/II|Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs VAI-VP7051 | Parvovirus porcine infection | Phase I/II|Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs – | Influenza A | Phase I/II|Kushnir et al., 2012; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs NV | Norovirus infection (Norwalk virus) | Phase I |Kushnir et al., 2012; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs RSV | Severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus (SARS-CoV) | Phase I |Kushnir et al., 2012; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| VLPs RTS,S | Malaria | Phase I |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs Malarivax | Malaria | Phase III |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs PEV3 | Malaria | Phase I/II |Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| VLPs CYT003-QG10 | Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma | Phase II |Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| VLPs CAD106 | Alzheimer's disease | Phase II |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs PEV7 | C. albicans | Phase I |Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| VLPs CYT013-IL1bQ | Diabetes mellitus II | Phase I/IIa |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs CYT006-AngQ | Hypertension | Phase II |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs – | Rabies | Phase I |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs NIC002 | Nicotine addiction | Phase II |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| VLPs CYT004- MeQG10 | Malignant melanoma | Phase II |Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagaseta et al., 2016) |
| Ferritin | – | Epstein-Barr virus |Preclinical |Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |

(continued on next page)
nanotechnology in vaccine development (Yang et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2008), which is considered one of the most effective strategies to conquer the low immunogenicity of epitope-based vaccines. Nanovaccines are new classes of vaccines that have been developed by incorporating epitopes into nanoparticles, which are microscopic particles with at least one dimension between 1 and 100 nm in size and a very high surface area to volume ratio (Horikoshi and Serpone, 2013; Srirajaskanthan and Preedy, 2011). These vaccines are highly efficacious because they mimic most features of pathogens, such as their size, shape, and PAMPS. This approach has been shown to improve antigen stability, immunogenicity, and function. Moreover, nanovaccines act as delivery systems and only target the site of the disease in the body, instead of all organs. Additionally, they have been used to prevent and treat different diseases, particularly cancers, by stimulating both humoral and cell-mediated responses (Sekhon and Saluja, 2011; Yang et al., 2016).

Nanovaccines are classified into several groups according to the types of nanoparticles applied in their structures, as shown in Fig. 1. Different approved or investigational nanoparticle-based nanovaccines are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

2.1. Polymeric nanoparticles

These nanoparticles function as delivery systems and are used to formulate different drugs and vaccines, because of their stability in the body, protection of antigens from degradative agents, controlled release of antigens through a slow biodegradation rate, and biological properties, including targeting. They encapsulate antigens and carry them to the target cells, i.e., immune cells. Among this type of nanoparticles, polyactic acid (PLA), chitosan, poly lactide-co-glycolide acid (PLGA), poly glutamic acid (PGA), polypeptides, polyethylene glycol, and their copolymers are the most typical polymers. However, PLGA, which is applied to encapsulate antigens from different pathogens, such as Plasmodium vivax, hepatitis B virus (HBV), and Bacillus anthracis, and PGA, which is used to entrap hydrophobic antigens, are the two most widely used polymers due to their biocompatibility and biodegradability (Azmi et al., 2014; Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011; Zhao et al., 2014). Recently, thermo-responsive synthetic polymers (TRP) nanoparticles based on the N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM), and N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA) polymers, which are able to self-assemble into immunogenic particles at physiologic temperatures, have been developed for the co-delivery of toll like receptor 7 and 8 agonists (TLR-7/8a) as adjuvants and immunogens such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) fusion (F) protein trimers. TRP nanoparticles induce broad-based humoral and cellular immune responses; moreover, they are stable, soluble, filterable, colloidal dispersed, and unimolecular during purification and storage phases (Francica et al., 2016; Lynn et al., 2015). Currently, various vaccines based on polymeric nanoparticles are being tested in pre-clinical and clinical trials as treatments for different diseases, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV),

### Table 2

| Delivery system | Clinical phase or licensed product name | Disease | Vaccine name | Type | Delivery system |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|---------|--------------|------|----------------|
| Lipid-based     | Emulsion oil in water                  | Fluad: Seasonal influenza Licensed (Foged, 2011) |
| Lipid-based     | Emulsion in oil                        | Preparadrix: Preparadrix influenza Licensed (Foged, 2011) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Engerix-B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Enivac HB: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Euvax B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs GenHevac-B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Heberbiovac HB: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Hepavax-Gene: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Gene Vac-B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Bio-Hep-B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs DTP-Hep B: Hepatitis B Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Recombivax HB: Hepatitis B Licensed (Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Epaxal: Hepatitis A Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Gardasil: Cervical cancer Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; López-Sagasta et al., 2016; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Cervarix: Cervical cancer Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
| Self-assembled peptides | VLPs Inflexal V: Influenza A Licensed (Kushnir et al., 2012; Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) |
cancer, and tuberculosis (Bolhassani et al., 2014) (Table 1).

2.2. Inorganic nanoparticles

These synthetic nanoparticles have also been applied as a delivery system, but they are frequently non-biodegradable. Inorganic nanoparticles are extensively used in vaccine formulations because of their appropriate physicochemical properties, including porous and rigid structure, controlled synthesis, and surface functionalization with different ligands. Gold, silver, ferric oxide, alumina nanoparticles, and carbon nanotubes are examples of inorganic nanoparticles that are easily converted into desired shapes and sizes. Gold nanoparticles are more widely used in vaccine delivery compared to the other nanoparticles. For example, gold nanoparticles have been exploited for the development of vaccines against RSV infections, influenza, HIV, and foot-and-mouth disease by conjugating or absorbing antigens on their surface (Karch and Burkhard, 2016; Skwarczynski and Toth, 2014; Zhao et al., 2014).

2.3. Lipid-based nanoparticles

These nanoparticles encapsulate antigens within their cores and then deliver them to the target cells. Lipidation leads to the formation of amphiphiles, which improve diffusion across epithelial barriers and provide stability against degradation agents (Golkar et al., 2016a, 2016b; Zhao et al., 2014). These structures target vaccines to DCs and stimulate the activation of these cells through self-adjuvant properties. Lipid-based nanoparticles may have different structures, such as emulsions, including oil-in-water or water-in-oil forms, an immunostimulating complex (ISCOM), which is a cage-like lipid particle (Zhao et al., 2014), and liposomes (Golkar et al., 2016c; Tavakoli et al., 2015). Among these three types, liposomes are broadly used and are composed of biodegradable and non-toxic natural or synthetic bilayer phospholipids that surround an aqueous core (Azmi et al., 2014). In vaccine formulations, the antigenic determinants, which are most often peptide-based antigens, integrate within the lipid bilayer of liposomes and are protected from enzymatic degradation. Moreover, liposome-antigen complexes efficiently stimulate cellular immune response because of their nature. Therefore, lipidosome-based structures are potential efficient vaccine adjuvants (Azmi et al., 2014; Skwarczynski and Toth, 2011, 2014). Currently, several liposomal vaccines are being tested in clinical studies (Table 1).

2.4. Virus-like nanoparticles

Virus-like nanoparticles (VLPs) are one type of self-assembled proteins, but are discussed separately due to their viral origin and specific conformation. In fact, VLPs are recombinant, self-assembled capsid proteins with an ideal diameter of 20–100 nm that are non-infectious and non-replicating. Therefore, they have been applied as an effective diagnostic approach and in drug, DNA, peptide, protein, and vaccine delivery. For vaccine delivery, a variety of different antigens have been genetically or chemically fused to the VLPs and presented on their surface. These VLPs strongly stimulate the immune system without the need for adjuvant, because they mimic the structure of native viruses (Azmi et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016). In fact, VLPs induce the innate immune response by activating TLRs and pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), as well as humoral immune response, particularly IgM. Due to the particulate nature of VLPs, they also increase the uptake of antigens by APCs. Currently, a few approved VLP-based vaccines are available on the market, including a recombinant hepatitis B virus vaccine and the human papilloma virus vaccines. Several VLP vaccines are also being tested in clinical trials for different diseases (Shirbaghaee and Bolhassani, 2016) (Tables 1 and 2).

2.5. Self-assembled peptide nanoparticles

Another method for developing effective epitope-based nanovaccines is to use self-assembled peptides nanoparticles (SAPNs). SAPNs are typically peptides with helical or β-sheet secondary structures that assemble together through non-covalent interactions to form nanosized tubular, fibrillar, or spherical structures (Fujita and Taguchi, 2011; Jung et al., 2009).

The use of SAPNs as potential vaccine platforms is discussed in detail in the subsequent sections.

3. Introduction of self-assembled peptide nanoparticles

3.1. The concept of self-assembly

Self-assembly is defined as the ability of some molecules to spontaneously arrange themselves into well-ordered structures (Whitesides and Grzybowski, 2002). In nature, this common phenomenon results in the production of a variety of ensembles using finite building blocks (Indelicato et al., 2016; Whitesides and Grzybowski, 2002). Natural self-assembled molecules are primarily composed of DNA, polypeptides, and proteins and are found in a wide variety of living organisms, such as virus capsids, cell membranes, enzymes, and the cellular cytoskeleton. These molecules are involved in a diverse range of sophisticated cellular functions, including transport, motility, storage, and cellular morphology, based on their specific shape, small size, physicochemical, and mechanical features (Howorka, 2011).

The simple fact underlying the self-assembly of a molecule is to reach a more stable and compatible structure with a lower energy level. Self-assembly occurs when the various attractive/repulsive forces within and between molecules are balanced (Mandal et al., 2014), leading to the formation of numerous different non-covalent weak interactions, such as hydrogen bonds, electrostatic, hydrophobic, van der Waals, and π–π interactions (Webber et al., 2016). Water-mediated hydrogen bonds are also very important in biological systems, where molecules primarily contact water. All these weak, minor interactions lead to the formation of supramolecules with specific 3D conformations and shapes that the interaction of these structures with other molecules (Zhao and Zhang, 2006).

3.2. History of SAPNs

The first examples of natural self-assembled particles are proteins derived from viruses, such as tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) capsid and hepatitis B virus (HBV) surface antigen (HBsAg). In fact, the first HBV vaccine to be licensed in 1981 was developed based on VLP nanoparticles that were obtained from viruses (López-Sagasta et al., 2016). Molecular engineering of proteins as the monomeric building blocks through self-assembly was first suggested by Drexler (1981), who foresaw the development of advanced molecular machineries and the construction of devices and materials with atomic precision based on this bright new idea. Since then, self-assembled peptides have been employed to produce a broad range of materials, such as nanofibers (Yanlian et al., 2009; Zhang, 2003), nanowires (Sun et al., 2016), nanorings (Carlson et al., 2006; Shah et al., 2016), nanotubes (Hartgerink et al., 1996; Scanlon and Aggeli, 2008), and nanoparticles (Kaba et al., 2009; Raman et al., 2006), among others. They may also form some ordered nanoscale structures at mesoscopic and macroscopic levels (Whitesides and Grzybowski, 2002), including monolayers, hydrogels, and three-dimensional scaffolds (Yang et al., 2012).

3.3. Applications of SAPNs

Mimicking the self-assembly concept of some natural structures has enabled scientists to build diverse self-assembling nanomaterials from the four biomolecules classes (peptides, lipids, nucleic acids, and
surfactants (Mandal et al., 2014; Zhao and Zhang, 2006), membranes
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some studies (Roy et al., 2013). One promising application of SAPNs is in vaccine development (Kaba
et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014), which will be
discussed further in the next section.

3.4. Employment of SAPNs in vaccine design
SAPNs usually consist of a series of repetitive motifs, which enables the incorporation of epitopes and antigens in their subunit structures in such a way that these incorporated molecules are displayed on the surface of the assembled particles, thereby building chimeric SAPNs for use as adjuvant or adjuvant-carriers in vaccines. Two structural features of SAPNs, molecular specificity and multivalency, make them suitable vaccine adjuvants and carriers. The high antigen density and structurally ordered antigen arrangement on SAPNs resembles the recognition patterns on pathogens and thus facilitates the cross-linking of antigens with the BCRs (López-Sagaseita et al., 2016). This multivalent interaction is a key step in provoking a potent immune response and is a solution for the weak immunogenicity of subunit vaccines. In fact, SAPNs elicit high titers of high affinity neutralizing IgG in addition to CD8 + T-cell-mediated protection (Chen et al., 2013; Chesson et al., 2014). They not only trigger complement activation but also help in creating microenvironments that promote the interaction of the vaccine with APCs (Eskandari et al., 2016; Hubbell et al., 2009). Therefore, SAPNs have been used in both prophylactic and immunotherapeutic vaccines (Hubbell et al., 2009). The incorporation of antigens on self-assembled peptides and subsequent production of chimeric SAPNs is accomplished through a direct self-assembly process or covalent chemical bonding of antigens to nanoparticles (López-Sagaseita et al., 2016). SAPNs with β-sheet and coiled-coil structures have been studied by several groups as vaccine carriers and/or adjuvants (El Bissati et al., 2014; Karch and Burkhard, 2016); however, more research is needed.

The development of efficient vaccine adjuvants is an emerging area. The mechanism of action of many adjuvants is not yet clear (Shah et al., 2014) and many questions remain about the best parameters for an ideal adjuvant, such as its particle size (Oywumi et al., 2010). These questions add more complexity to studies of SAPNs in vaccines. Despite the conflicts regarding the optimum size of nanoparticles suitable for vaccine adjuvants, particles with diameters of 10–150 nm seem suitable because they effectively interact with different cells of the immune system (Bachmann and Jennings, 2010; Oywumi et al., 2010).

3.5. Addition of adjuvants to SAPN vaccines
Despite the self-adjuvanticity of SAPNs, the employment of vaccine adjuvants, including TLR agonists, has also been investigated. TLRs are a category of PRRs that recognize PAMPs from bacteria and viruses, thereby triggering the innate immune system and promoting antigen processing by APCs. Therefore, some TLR agonists have been recently introduced as efficient vaccine adjuvants (Reed et al., 2013). TLR7/8 agonist was used as adjuvant during the development of an HIV vaccine on a self-assembling peptide (EAK16-II) platform. The TLR agonist was co-assembled with the peptide (Ding et al., 2016). In another study, polyl:C (polynosinic:polycytidylic acid), a TLR3 agonist, was incorporated into a novel vaccine constructed as immune poly-electrolyte multilayer (iPEMs) capsules, which were electrostatically assembled entirely from peptide antigens and molecular adjuvants, without any polymer (Chiu et al., 2016).

The use of other adjuvants in vaccines developed on SAPN platforms has also been evaluated. For instance, the addition of Freund’s adjuvant to a SAPN vaccine for avian influenza infections elicited a higher antibody titer (Babapoor et al., 2011). However, in some studies, the employment of SAPNs alone as adjuvants has been sufficient to promote immune responses. In this context, a peptide hydrogel adjuvanted antigen vaccine developed against West Nile virus induced a stronger immune response and conferred protection compared to alum adjuvant (Friedrich et al., 2016).

4. Principles for designing and generating SAPNs
The fabrication of SAPNs is of special interest due to the unique potential of these nanostuctures. Advances in SAPN synthesis were delayed for several years, due to the complexities in the design of these molecular assemblies. However, in addition to the continuing development of computational tools, novel ideas have recently helped researchers to make considerable progress in this area (King and Lai, 2013).

The creation of complex structures through the highly ordered repetition of a limited number of building blocks is the fascinating feature of self-assembly. SAPNs are fabricated through a bottom-up approach (Lakshmanan et al., 2012), which begins with the selection of peptide building blocks or subunits, and needs a proper mastery of the molecular structure of the building blocks and their assembly tendencies (Zhang, 2003). The primary and secondary structures of peptides control their self-assembling properties (Yang et al., 2012). For instance, the exact structure of coiled-coils and the parallel and antiparallel orientations of dimers and tetramers are predictable based on their sequences (Ramisch et al., 2015). Furthermore, non-covalent interactions among main and side chain atoms lead to the generation of secondary structures, such as α-helices or β-sheets, and ultimately drive the formation of the 3D structures of SAPNs (Fairman and Akerfeldt, 2005; Yang et al., 2012).

Peptide building blocks are borrowed from natural molecules or synthesized from a novel computational sequence design (Brunette et al., 2015). The most common subunits or oligomerization motifs observed in natural proteins are α-helical coils (Burkhard et al., 2001; Lupas, 1996), which are convenient building blocks for designing SAPNs due to their stability and well-defined structures (Doll et al., 2015b; Yang et al., 2012). Coiled-coils and β-sheets are broadly used in vaccine development (Chen et al., 2013; Hudalla et al., 2013; Rudra et al., 2012b; Rudra et al., 2012a; Rudra et al., 2010), which will be discussed in more detail in the next sections.

Self-assembly of similar subunits in nature almost always follows the central rule of symmetry. The rationale behind this phenomenon, as foreseen by Crick and Watson in 1956 (Crick and Watson, 1956), is that fewer definite kinds of specific interaction interfaces are needed for a symmetric assembly compared to an asymmetric assembly (Lai et al., 2012). This key rule immensely simplifies the process of designing SAPNs because it is based on the assumption that some building blocks with identical structure and interactions participate in shaping the homomorphic complexes. Moreover, the number of potential structures is limited when the symmetry rule is followed (Fig. 2). Avidity is the second governing characteristic of self-assembly, which enables the formation of stable complexes based on the weak interactions among building blocks (Norn and André, 2016). For example, in homomeric icosahehal capsids, avidity induces a stability value that is six
orders of magnitude higher than the affinity among the individual symmetric building blocks (Mateu, 2013; Norn and André, 2016). The avidity concept helps in designing higher-order assemblies using computational tools.

The ideal architecture of the designed SAPNs depends on the intended usage; for example, hollow core and shell structures, like cages, are desired for drug and gene delivery or masking and shielding molecules. In the same manner, more compact structures with repetitive units are excellent candidates for the presentation of multiple molecules. In the same manner, more compact structures with repetitive units are excellent candidates for the presentation of multiple molecules. In the same manner, more compact structures with repetitive units are excellent candidates for the presentation of multiple molecules.

Notably, self-assembly is usually a dynamic equilibrium, which can occur in both forward and reverse directions, and seems to be controlled by kinetics instead of thermodynamics (Marsh et al., 2013; Norn and André, 2016). The hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance is a key player in peptide self-assembly (Mandal et al., 2014). Regarding vaccine activities, peptides antigens are usually hydrophilic (Zhao et al., 2017; Parker et al., 1986); therefore, hydrophilic parts of SAPNs are ideal regions for epitope carriage. On the other hand, adjuvants are primarily hydrophobic (Liu et al., 2013), and hydrophobic portions may trigger the innate immune system (Seong and Matzinger, 2004). Thus, the dual nature of SAPNs favors a vaccine function.

Different methods have been employed to create the driving force needed for self-assembly, as listed in Table 3.

Three key aspects should be considered when designing self-assembled molecules: subunit conformation, subunit interactions, and regulation of assembly (King and Lai, 2013). Two basic strategies have been adopted to design SAPNs: oligomeric fusion and interface design (Lai et al., 2012) (Fig. 3). In the oligomeric fusion method, oligomers or building blocks are fused together to form different structures. The interface design approach is a newer method that has evolved along with the accumulation of the researchers’ knowledge of the first approach and the improvement of computational algorithms. It enjoys the advantage of a more accurate control of the interface geometry, leading to a higher structural order. Moreover, the protein-protein interfaces control the assembly and stabilize the quaternary structure of SAPNs (Norn and André, 2016). The nature and number of these interfaces depend on the symmetry, stoichiometry, and similarities between monomers (Ardejani and Orner, 2015), whereas the 3D structures of the resulting proteins are closely related to their assembly pathway (Marsh et al., 2013). Structures with only one interface composed of monomers include cyclic, fibril, and internal symmetries. Cyclic symmetrical building blocks generate more complex symmetrical structures with two interfaces: dihedral, cubic, and 2D lattices. The design of three interfaces from monomers can shape crystals, the most complex structures (Norn and André, 2016).

4.1 Development of SAPN vaccines

SAPN synthesis is highly dependent on the selection of proper building blocks, as discussed above. For example, in most artificial and natural SAPN β-sheets, the polar and hydrophobic amino acids are located in an alternating pattern (Mandal et al., 2014). Self-assembly can occur spontaneously if the units and conditions are appropriate.

General principles should be followed for vaccine development based on SAPN platforms, and epitopes would also be incorporated into the SAPN structures either through linkers or direct attachment. One approach is to synthesize the SAPN systems first, followed by their functionalization with epitopes under proper conditions to achieve a vaccine construct (Friedrich et al., 2016; Rudra et al., 2012b). Using this method, epitopes are covalently attached to both termini and presented on the surface of the peptide nanofibers, while preserving their immunogenicity and eliciting high antibody titers and cellular responses in mice, without the need for any adjuvants (Branco et al., 2011). Alternatively, peptide monomers that include the self-assembling domain and epitopes may be produced by expressing recombinant proteins in Escherichia coli hosts, which will be self-assembled after production (El Bissati et al., 2014; Kaba et al., 2012; Pimentel et al., 2009). The self-assembling peptide may be synthesized alone or in tandem with the epitope via the solid phase peptide synthesis method with high purity (Chen et al., 2013; Coin et al., 2007; Rudra et al., 2010). The amino acid pairing (AAP) strategy has also been used for SAPN synthesis. This method is based on three major interactions (hydrogen bonding, electrostatic attractions, and hydrophobic interactions) that are created between the amino acid side chains of a self-assembling peptide with an adjacent peptide molecule (Eskandari et al., 2016; Fung et al., 2011). Although this approach was used to fabricate β-sheet fibrils for drug delivery (Sadatmousavi, 2009), it may also be applied to vaccine design.

4.2 Symmetries used in SAPNs

Three major types of symmetries used in SAPNs (Fig. 4) are discussed in the next section.

4.2.1 Repetition of covalently bound oligomers with internal symmetry

In this category, the subunits are more stabilized, because they are fused into repeat proteins through covalent bonds. These repeats can further assemble to form open linear structures with a helical curvature or closed ring structures with rotational symmetries (Huang et al., 2016). The generated SAPNs in this class may also possess β-sheet structures, such as β-trefoil (Broom et al., 2012; Longo et al., n.d.), β-propeller (Voet et al., 2014), and TIM barrels (Huang et al., 2016). Many of these structures are either natural or synthetic scaffolds. These repeat proteins may be generated through gene duplication and fusion of a single repeat. The geometric constraints of linear repeat proteins are lower than cyclic proteins. Moreover, they can adopt various supramolecular forms, some of which may not exist in nature (Norn and André, 2016). The supramolecular shapes should be controlled either through computational de novo design (Brunette et al., 2015; Doyle et al., 2015; Park et al., 2015) or by using slightly different oligomeric repeats to produce structures with predefined geometries for these molecular architectures (Ramisch et al., 2014). Additionally, different conformational repeats may be used to generate structures with a non-uniform curvature (Park et al., 2015).

4.2.2 Cubic or closed symmetries

Large protein complexes with closed symmetries are generated from cyclic symmetrical building blocks. These polyhedral structures are usually cage-like with a hollow center. The icosahedral arrangement, which is ubiquitous among spherical virus capsids (Zandi et al., 2004), has been imitated as a stable model in many studies (Doll et al., 2015a; Doll et al., 2015b; Indelicato et al., 2016; Raman et al., 2006). The
| Method                                      | Example                                                                 | Advantage                                                                                                           | Consideration                                                                                                           | References |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Coiled-coil and helical bundle interactions | A peptide containing a pentameric and a trimeric coiled-coil domains for antigen display (T = 1 icosahedron and T = 3 icosahedron) | Stable and well defined oligomers                                                                                   | Buffer conditions impact the assembly through affecting the non-covalent interactions between the oligomers.           | (Yang et al., 2012) |
| Engineered disulfide bonds                 | A docecahedral structure • Filament consisting symmetrical homo-oligomeric protein and multiple heterointeraction proteins, as “binding elements” | • Stabilization of self-assembled particles • Disulfide bond, as a lock system, favor the formation of proper assemblies. | Intermolecular disulfide bonds should be avoided.                                                                 | (Raman et al., 2006; Usui et al., 2009) |
| Metal-mediated interactions                | 1-D nanotubes and 2-D and 3-D crystalline arrays from a monomeric protein | • Triggering protein assemblies of soluble oligomers by metal addition • Possibility of assembly reversion by metal chelation • Chemical tunable with pH and metal concentration | • A high number of metal-mediated aggregation states with close energy levels, separated by small barriers, is a challenge in this method. • The subunit should be symmetrical, owning a properly oriented metal coordination motif on its surface. | (Brodin et al., 2012) |
| Chemical cross-links                       | A quadratic network of a C4-symmetric tetrameric aldolase              | Production of a protein network with adjustable mesh                                                              | Network assembly is very sensitive to inhomogeneities of the subunits. • The oligomers should begin or end in an a-helix • For each symmetry, the rules of fixed geometric relationship between the two symmetry axes should be followed. • The oligomers should have matching rotational symmetry. | (Ringler and Schulz, 2003) |
| Genetic fusion of multiple protein domains or fragments that naturally self-associate   | A tetrahedral SAPN consisting two oligomers linked by a helical linker | Production of a wide variety of highly symmetric structures or extended material                                 | The oligomers should begin or end in an a-helix • For each symmetry, the rules of fixed geometric relationship between the two symmetry axes should be followed. | (Padilla et al., 2001; Sinclair et al., 2011) |
| Directing the assembly on the surface of a nonbiological material along with computational interface design | Virus-like protein assemblies on carbon nanotube surfaces               | Creation of a richly textured molecular surface                                                                  | The key role of the linker. • The surface target and protein subunits should match. • The interunit interactions can affect the assembly. • The protein interface should be designed to create a stable structure. | (Grigoryan et al., 2011) |
| Computational de novo design of possible folds using a tandem repetition of a helix-loop-helix-loop subunit | 74 helical structures with new folds not identified before from tandem repeating of a simple helix-loop-helix-loop structural motif | Design of SAPNs with folding unlike to nature                                                                  | As the structures that can be obtained from a helix-loop repeat unit are limited to straight rods, a helix-loop-helix-loop subunit was adopted. | (Brunette et al., 2015) |
highly repetitive geometry of icosahedrons and their similarity with virus capsids, make them ideal for repetitive antigen display and, consequently, the triggering of high antibody titers (Sanner et al., 2005).

Cubic symmetries are fabricated using two previously mentioned approaches: oligomeric fusion and interface design. In the fusion approach, a linker sequence, which is usually an $\alpha$-helical polypeptide, is needed to join the oligomerization domains together in a relatively rigid and fixed way to obtain a regular self-assembled structure (Padilla et al., 2001) (Fig. 3B2). Otherwise, the oligomeric units may adopt different orientations, leading to irregular assemblies. Generally, in epitope vaccines, linkers, which are short sequences that are sensitive to proteasomal degradation (Nezafat et al., 2014), are commonly used for two reasons: separating the antigenic domains (Arai et al., 2001) to prevent the generation of junctional epitopes (neoepitopes) and promoting the processing and presentation of HLA-II binding epitopes (Nezafat et al., 2016). In SAPNs, different types of linkers are being employed for architectural purposes according to the desired structure (Lai et al., 2012).

In the interface design approach, the most commonly designed cages are homomeric (Norn and André, 2016). However, protein cages with tetrahedral and octahedral symmetries were computationally designed using trimeric oligomers and the introduction of a single new interface with high accuracy (King et al., 2012). More recently, tetrahedral cages were designed from two distinct oligomers, again with a single interface (King et al., 2014). The diverse combinations that can be built with two building blocks open possibilities of developing a wide variety of SAPNs with different characteristics and
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4.2.3. Open-ended assemblies

In open-ended assemblies, including fibrils and 2D lattices, nanoscopic protein-protein interactions are linked to the mesoscale properties of the material (Norn and André, 2016). Fibrils are fabricated from monomers with a single self-compatible interface that can generate a front-to-end assembly (Norn and André, 2016), as reported for the production of antifreeze amyloid fibers (Peralta et al., 2015). However, many natural protein fibers present more complex structures. For the engineering of such fibrils, one interface is usually associated with another motif or structure to limit the number of available interfaces (Grigoryan et al., 2011; Kaltofen et al., 2015).

The design of 2D lattices can be similar to cubic symmetries, because the common oligomers used in both cases are cyclic symmetries. Both the fusion approach or interface design have been employed. Symmetric 3D crystals are extended from 2D lattices or engineered from monomeric oligomers, which requires at least three interfaces (Norn and André, 2016).

4.3. General considerations in SAPN generation

Some general important factors should be considered during SAPN synthesis, regardless of the classifications described above. Basically, peptide building blocks are synthesized through two main approaches: recombinant technology and solid phase chemical methods (Habibi et al., 2016). Chemical methods may be used to synthesize short peptides, whereas the recombinant technology is the rational choice for the production of more complex peptide chains. However, fermentation costs and control requirements, in addition to difficulties in detecting impurities and purification are some of the challenges that should be considered, particularly if large-scale production is required.

In chemical methods, the building blocks sequences and buffer conditions may affect the molecular weight of the produced SAPN and its polymorphism (Indelicato et al., 2016). The nanoscale assembly is based on a fundamental parameter: the peptide solution concentration. Another factor influencing the self-assembly of the SAPNs is pH, which determines the overall charges of the different oligomers, thereby affecting SAPN formation (Doll et al., 2015a).

Some common issues in fabrication of SAPNs and methods used in studies as successful solutions are briefly described in Table 4.

4.4. Employing computational tools in SAPN design

Currently, vaccinology integrates immunology, systems biology, immunoinformatics, structural biology, and nanotechnology sciences, which, like pieces of a puzzle, should be put together to develop efficient vaccines. Bioinformatics provides great help for researchers aiming to generate predictions before performing lab experiments to design SAPNs, similar to some other fields (Negahdaripour et al., 2016; Negahdaripour et al., 2017; Rahmatabadi et al., 2016; Zamani et al., 2015), which helps save time and energy (Negahdaripour et al., 2017; Rahmatabadi et al., 2016). On the other hand, the use of computational tools in vaccine design or SAPN development seems unavoidable, considering the huge volume of data that must be compiled. SAPN design usually begins with an initial computer design that calculates the expected molecular mass, diameter, and symmetry in advance. In the past few years, other factors that impact design complexity, such as the rotational and translational degree of freedoms (Marsh et al., 2013) and different competing assembly states with similar stabilities (Fleishman and Baker, 2012; Ramisch et al., 2015), have been also calculated computationally. However, some deviations from the predicted models have been reported (Brunette et al., 2015). By taking advantage of molecular modeling and simulation programs, various α-helices and oligomerization domains have been combined to design novel self-assembling nanoparticles (Sanner et al., 2005). Moreover, point mutations within the oligomerization domains and adjacent to the linker, or within the linker, and their impacts on the assembly can be investigated with these programs (Ardejani and Orner, 2015). Additionally, protein stability has been optimized with computer programs by modifying surface polarity, core hydrophobicity, and backbone regularity (Netzer and Fleishman, 2016).

In interface design through computation, the most challenging task is achieving geometrically precise interfaces (Lai et al., 2012); however, great headway has recently been made in this area (Netzer and Fleishman, 2016). A general computational method used for the design of SAPNs through interface design was explained by (King et al. (2012) and includes two steps: 1) the symmetrical docking of protein building blocks in a target symmetric architecture and 2) the design of low-energy protein-protein interfaces between the building blocks to promote self-assembly.

Protein assembly can be predicted with several different computational tools, which are usually based on two main approaches: 1) template-based modeling (including homology modeling and threading), and 2) free modeling (Wang et al., 2015). For example, several tools, including Rosetta (Huang et al., 2011; Leaver-Fay et al., 2011), CABS-FOLD (Blaszczyk et al., 2013), and FALCON (Wang et al., 2015), have been used for de novo protein prediction. The servers and tools are being improved constantly. The Protein Structure Prediction Center (http://predictioncenter.org/) biennially performs an objective test of the ability of the servers to identify a protein structure from sequence through a process of blind prediction to support improvements to these methods. The most recently published results of the critical assessment

| Objective                                      | Issue                                                                 | Method                                                                                     | Reference                                      |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Control and limitation of assembly            | Aggregation and undesired extension of the nanoparticles, resulting in polydisperse structures | Charge repulsion at the N-termini of the helical subunits                                  | (Fletcher et al., 2013)                        |
| Production of recombinant proteins in a soluble form during bacterial protein expression | Large aggregates and inclusion bodies formed because of subunits linkage inside the host cell | Capped oligomers, lacking some binding elements necessary for assembly at the ends of the subunits | (Usui et al., 2009)                            |
| Production of recombinant proteins in a soluble form during bacterial protein expression | Separate production of components or subunits in different bacterial strains and mixing the purified soluble components in situ afterwards | Addition of metals or ligands that trigger the assembly to the mixed solution of discrete subunits | (Ringler and Schultz, 2003; Sinclair et al., 2011; Usui et al., 2009) |
| Reaching oligomerization specificity         | Biophysical challenges, such as existence of competing oligomerization states with slight differences in energy gaps or isoeNERgetic energy levels | Avoiding kinetic traps and aggregation during the assembly process, for example by combination of de novo structure modeling with stability calculations to simultaneously predict structure and oligomeric states of homomeric coiled-coils | (Marsh et al., 2013; Norn and André, 2016) (Ramisch et al., 2015) |

Table 4
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of protein structure prediction (CASP) experiments in 2014, or CASP
11, were published in Proteins Journal, 2015, Oct. and Dec. issue
(Lensink et al., 2016; Monastyrskyy et al., 2015; Ovchinnikov et al.,
2015), and the CASP12 outcomes are awaited.

5. Classification of SAPNs

SAPNs have been categorized from different perspectives, based on
their indication, shape (cage-like, 2D lattices, nanofibers, etc.), building
block structures (cyclic, alpha-helix (α-helix), and β-sheets (β-sheets))
(Ardejani and Orner, 2013; Kim et al., 2012), building block source
(natural or ab initio designed), design approaches, and many other
features.

This review focuses on the most common subunits used to build
SAPNs, including β-sheets and coiled-coils, and their usage in vaccines.

5.1. β-Sheet SAPNs

5.1.1. Brief history of the discovery of the β-sheet structure

In 1951, Linus Pauling and Robert Corey of the California Institute
of Technology and Herman Branson presented the β-sheet structure,
along with the α-helix, in a series of eight papers submitted to PNAS.
Their suggested models were deduced from the characteristics of
smaller molecules (Eisenberg, 2003). The β-sheet was explained in
their second paper as “a hydrogen-bonded layer structure of polypep-
dide chains, with alternate chains oppositely oriented”. They also
introduced pleated sheets, which were formed from a hydrogen-bonded
layered structure of polypeptide chains, in which all chains were
similarly oriented (Pauling and Corey, 1951). They called this structure
the β-sheet, because it was the second structure identified (i.e., the α-
helix was identified first).

5.1.2. Basic characteristics of β-sheet SAPNs

β-Sheets are one of the most common natural motifs that can be
utilized to create self-assembled peptides (Habibi et al., 2016). Peptides
composed of alternate hydrophilic (charged, polar) and hydrophobic
(uncharged, nonpolar) amino acid repeats, which provide a backbone
with amphiphilic properties, possess a tendency to form a β-sheet
structure with one hydrophilic face and one hydrophobic face (Doll
et al., 2013; Eskandari et al., 2016; Habibi et al., 2016; Zhang et al.,
1993). These amino acid arrangements promote hydrogen bonds among
residues (hydrogen donors and acceptors) in various polypeptide chains
or in different parts of a folded polypeptide, resulting in parallel/anti-
parallel sheet-like structures (Eskandari et al., 2016). Two sheets
interact such that the surrounding aqueous media contacts the hydro-
philic faces of the sheets, whereas the aqueous media is excluded from
the hydrophobic faces (Doll et al., 2013). The β-sheet motif has been
used to fabricate supramolecular structures. Various hierarchical struc-
tural arrays have been developed based on the number of packed
sheets, such as tapes, ribbons, fibrils, and fibers (Fig. 5) (Eskandari
et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2011; Loo et al., 2012). However, under
physiological conditions, these short peptides primarily self-assemble
into β-sheet-rich nanofibers (Doll et al., 2013; Rudra et al., 2010).

The initiation of self-assembly is regulated by the introduction of
stimuli, such as phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and a pH change
(Bowerman and Nilsson, 2012; Chen, 2005; Sun et al., 2016). β-Sheet
peptides primarily self-assemble when they are dissolved in an aqueous
solution and are allowed to fibrillize overnight at 4 °C (at low
concentrations of < 1% W/V). The mechanical characteristics of the
self-assembled β-sheets are controlled by adjusting the molar ratio of
enantionic peptides, where different ratios of L-peptides (composed
of L-amino acids) and D-peptides (composed of D-amino acids) are
applied to design self-assembled β-sheet structures. The use of chirality
in peptide sequences may greatly enhance the stability of the prepared
peptide scaffolds and protect them from enzymatic degradation
(Eskandari et al., 2016; Nagy et al., 2011). Furthermore, polypeptides
rich in alanine (A) and glycine (G) formed larger beta strands (Zhang
et al., 2011). Various self-assembled β-sheet peptides and their physi-
cochemical characteristics are presented in Table 5. The physicochem-
ical properties of peptides were calculated using the ProtParam tool at
(http://web.expasy.org/protparam/) server (Gasteiger et al., 2005).

β-Sheet filamentous particles are able to increase drug bioavail-
ability by increasing the circulation times and the maximum tolerable
dose, promoting tumor cell apoptosis, delaying the clearance of the
drug (by the liver and spleen), and participating in fewer interactions
with serum proteins due to the neutral charge of their surfaces
(Eskandari et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2010).

5.1.3. Vaccine development studies using β-sheets

β-Sheet peptide self-assemblies have been studied less extensively
than coiled-coil peptide self-assemblies. However, several self-as-
sembled β-sheet peptides have been investigated in vaccine-related
research. A natural protein that self-assembled in water and utilized β-
sheet peptide motifs as a peptide scaffold was accidentally discovered
in the initial attempts in the early 1990s. The first member of this class is
AEAK16-II, which was discovered in a yeast protein, Zutolin (Zhang
et al., 1993).

A self-assembled peptide named EAK16-II was utilized for the co-
delivery of a CD8 + T cell epitope and TLR7/8 agonists (R848 or
R837). A conjugate of an HIV-1 cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitope,
SL9, with the EAK16-II peptide (SL9-EAK16-II) self-assembled with
R848 or R837 into nanofibers in an aqueous solution. The ex vivo
produced dendritic cells of HIV-1 + patients, who had been treated
with the nanofibers of SL9-EAK16-II/R848, stimulated more SL9
specific CTLs than the cells that had been treated with either SL9 alone
or the mixture of both SL9 and the TLR agonist. Furthermore, the
developed nanofibers induced a stronger CTL response in vaccinated
mice (Ding et al., 2016).

One of the most well-studied nanofibers, Q11, consists of 11 amino
acids that have the ability to self-assemble into unbranched antiparallel
β-sheets (Collier and Messersmith, 2003; Jung et al., 2009; Karch and
Burkhard, 2016). Schematic representation of nanofibers that self-
assemble through a β-sheet fibrilizing domain, Q11, conjugated to B

![Fig. 5. Schematic representation of β-sheet peptides and their possible self-assembled structures](image-url)
| Name          | Amino acid composition* | Number of amino acids | Stability (causality index (CI)) | Charge       | Size of nanofibers | PI | Molecular weight | Half-life | References                                      |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|----|------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|
| KF8          | QQKQEQEQKQ            | 11                    | Neutral                         | Unstable     | 0.9 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), 10 h (yeast, in vivo), 4 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 6.00 | 1485.6           | 0.8 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro, 2 min), 10 h (yeast, in vivo, 2 min), 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 0.00 |
| Q11          | QQKQEQEQKQ            | 11                    | Neutral                         | Unstable     | 0.9 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), 10 h (yeast, in vivo), 4 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 6.00 | 1485.6           | 0.8 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro, 2 min), 10 h (yeast, in vivo, 2 min), 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 0.312 |
| KT8          | PEPEK                  | 8                     | Neutral                         | Stable       | 0.9 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), 10 h (yeast, in vivo), 4 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.52 | 1121.3           | 5.00 (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| EXK16-1      | AEAEKAKAK              | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| EMK16-II     | MEMEMKMK               | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| EK16-14      | (AEAE)2 (AKKE)2       | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| RAD16-I      | RGDRAADADADADADADDA   | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| KD16         | RGDRAADADADADADDA     | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| EMK16-II     | MEMEMKMK               | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| KF12         | (PEEE)2                | 8                     | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| EK16-1II     | (PEEE)2                | 12                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
| KF12         | (PEEE)2                | 16                    | Neutral                         | Stable       | > 10 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), > 20 h (yeast, in vivo), > 10 h (E. coli, in vivo) | 5.90 | 1615.8           | 4.4 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro) | 0.00 |
and T cell epitopes are shown in Fig. 6. In a study performed by Rudra and colleagues, the fibrillarized peptides Q11 (Ac-QQKFOQFOEQQ-Am) and KFE8 (FKFEKFKE) were used to develop a self-adjuvanting vaccine delivery system. A peptide antigen of OVA323–339 was covalently conjugated to the N-terminus of nonimmunogenic amphipathic fibrillizing KFE8 peptide as a model to produce β-sheet nanoparticles. In the absence of any adjuvant, this system resulted in strong and long-lasting antibody responses. In addition, the cocaine-KFE8 conjugate assembles into β-sheet rich nanofibers in aqueous buffers, leading to higher titers of anticocaine antibodies in vaccinated mice, without the need for other adjuvants (Rudra et al., 2016).

TLR ligand responses, which are often associated with inflammatory signals, can be emitted when self-adjuvanting vaccines are used. This approach seems very promising. In one investigation, the Q11 peptide domain (QQKFOQFOEQQ) was conjugated to a model peptide antigen from ovalbumin, OVA323-339. The resulting conjugate vaccine (Q11-OVA323-339) that self-assembled into β-sheet-rich nanofibers in water or physiological buffers was shown to be noncytotoxic in vitro and lacked several important features in vivo, such as quantifiable inflammation, swelling at the site of injection, accumulation of either inflammatory cytokines or cells, and the generation of an allergic IgE response. Furthermore, the prepared nanofibers showed a strong antibody response in mice that was CD4 T-cell-dependent (Chen et al., 2013).

Vaccines that are able to produce strong CD8 + T-cell responses are effective against infectious diseases and cancers. In another study by Chesson and colleagues, Q11 was conjugated to the OVA CD8 + T-cell ovalbumin epitope. The resulting peptide conjugates that self-assembled into nanofibers induced a strong CD8 + T-cell response. Moreover, this self-assembled vaccine was able to protect against repeated influenza virus infection in a vaccination regimen. Remarkably, these nanofibers were not accumulated at the site of injection as an inflammatory antigen deposit (Chesson et al., 2014).

In the design of an anti-malaria vaccine, an epitope of Plasmodium falciparum circumsporozoite protein, (NANP)3, was attached to the self-assembling peptide, Q11, to investigate the immunogenicity mechanism and the quality of the antibody responses. (NANP)3-Q11 conjugates self-assembled into nanofibers. A notable increase in the antibody titers and a significant inhibition of sporozoite infection were produced by the prepared self-assembled nanofibers. The antibody responses were both T-cell- and MyD88-dependent. Furthermore, two different epitopes...
topes self-assembled together without impacting the strength and duration of the antibody responses. These developed nanofibers were proposed to be a favorable platform for self-adjuvanting multi-antigen immunotherapies (Karch and Burkhard, 2016; Rudra et al., 2012a).

Another kind of self-adjuvanting peptide nanofibers was produced through the co-assemble of a common high-affinity CD4 + T-cell epitope named PADRE and a B-cell epitope of *Staphylococcus aureus*, which were then conjugated to the Q11 peptide. Increasing the PADRE concentration resulted in bell-shaped dose responses that were distinct to various populations of T-cells. Interestingly, the epitope ratios that maximized the T follicular helper and antibody responses were different by an order of magnitude from the ratios that maximized Th1 or Th2 responses (Karch and Burkhard, 2016; Pompano et al., 2014).

In a study performed by Friedrich et al. (2016), the adjuvanting potential of peptide hydrogels was examined using the model peptide KFE8 in combination with the immunoprotective envelope protein domain III (EIII) of West Nile virus (WNV) as a probative subunit antigen in a mouse model. Vaccination with WNV EIII emulsified with KFE8 peptide hydrogel (EIII + KFE8) generated strong antibody responses and significantly protected the mouse model against lethal infection compared to WNV EIII adjuvanted with alum (EIII + alum), implying that peptide hydrogel adjuvants might be a viable alternative to alum for producing protective immunity.

The β-sheet peptides that have been used for other applications in addition to vaccines also possess various features. These β-sheet peptides may also have a potential for vaccine development in the future. Some examples are briefly presented below.

The effect of functionalization of β-sheet peptides on the hydrophobic face on the self-assembly of band gelation was evaluated in the investigation performed by Elsawy and co-workers. A self-assembling peptide FEFKFEFKK (F9) was utilized in their study and formed stable β-sheet-rich fibers and hydrogels (Elsawy et al., 2016).

Agelli et al. (2001) developed a class of self-assembling β-sheet peptides named K24 (KLEARVYVGLFPITLGMLSYIR), which formed nanotapes that self-assembled into regular nanofibers.

In another report, the peptide GNNDESNISFKEK with a β-sheet structure formed nanofibers with a morphology comprising twisted tape structures at concentrations greater than the critical aggregation concentration (0.5 wt%). (Chen, 2005).

A study by Sadatmousavi (2009) investigated the ability of the self-assembling all complementary peptide AC8 (β-sheet structures) to stabilize an anticancer drug, ellipticine, and the *in vitro* therapeutic efficacy of the system was then determined.

5.2. Coiled-coil SAPNs

5.2.1. A brief history of the discovery of the coiled-coil structure

Like β-sheets, the coiled-coil structure was discovered by William Astbury in the early 1930s (Astbury, 1933). Astbury applied the first X-ray diffraction measurement of natural protein fibers (the keratins from wool, horsehair, and collagen) and discovered three main structures in native wool (α-form), in the denatured form (β-form), and in collagen (Astbury, 1933). Then, he observed meridional arcs of 5.15 Å. He proposed that the α-helix may be deformed into a coiled-coil to clarify the 5.15 Å reflection on the meridian and indicated that the energy is involved in this deformation is likely to be small (Crick, 1953b). In the 1950s, L. Pauling at Caltech in the USA and L. Bragg at Cambridge in the UK attempted to interpret the meridional arcs observed at 5.15 Å (Pauling and Corey, 1950; Pauling et al., 1951). In the same year, L. Pauling, R. Corey, and H. Branson at Caltech in the USA elaborated mathematical models of 3.7 residues per turn and 5.1 residues per turn in an α-helical structure (Pauling et al., 1951). In 1952–1953, F. H. C. Crick (Cambridge, UK), L. Pauling, and R. Corey (Caltech, USA) separately submitted reports to Nature showing that the 5.15 Å diffraction pattern was attributed to the packing of two or more strands of α-helices (Crick, 1953b; Pauling and Corey, 1953). Subsequently, in 1953, Crick described the Fourier transform of a continuous coiled-coil domain, and, in a follow-up paper, explained that the knobs-in-holes configuration leads to the packing of α-helices in the form of coiled-coils (Apostolovic et al., 2010; Crick, 1952, 1953a, 1953b; Pauling and Corey, 1953).

5.2.2. Basic characteristics of coiled-coils

The coiled-coil structure is a common protein-folding motif that has garnered particular attention due to the relationship between the three-dimensional structure of the peptide and the amino acid sequence. A primary analysis of different protein sequences predicts that 2.5–10% of all protein residues possess α-helical coiled-coil motifs (Burkhard et al., 2001; Pechar and Pola, 2013; Robson Marsden and Kros, 2010). An α-helical coiled-coil consists of two or more α-helical peptides that wrap around each other in a superhelical fashion to produce a stable complex in aqueous solutions. The majority of peptides that form coiled-coil structures are based on the heptad repeats (a repetition of seven amino acid residues). Heptad repeat patterns have the form (a-b-c-d-e-f-g)αn in which n is the number of heptad repeats. Hydrophobic amino acids are often located at the ‘a’ and ‘d’ positions, whereas hydrophilic amino acids are placed at the ‘e’ and ‘g’ positions (Parry et al., 2008; Pechar and Pola, 2013; Robson Marsden and Kros, 2010).

The number of the helices in the superhelix is determined by the selection of hydrophobic amino acid residues at positions ‘a’ and ‘d’. The hydrophobic core of the coiled-coil is described by the tight packing of the hydrophobic amino acid side chains in a ‘knobs-in-holes’ fashion. Ionic interactions between residues in positions ‘e’ and ‘g’ of the two helices significantly contribute to the stability of the coiled-coil motif (Pechar and Pola, 2013).

The seven main factors that influence the stability of coiled-coils include: 1) the hydrophobicity of the core residues in positions ‘a’ and ‘d’, 2) the number of heptad repeats (a-b-c-d-e-f-g)αn, 3) the helix melting temperature, as a high thermal stability and high binding constants result in reduced immunogenicity and a lower synthesis cost, 4) pH, as changes in pH induce unfolding of the coiled-coils by (de) protonation of the side chain functional groups of amino acids at residues ‘e’ and ‘g’, followed by disruption of the interchain salt bridges and destabilization of the coiled-coil, 5) specific interstrand interactions, such as disulfide bridges, 6) metal ions, which are important in direct protein folding, stabilization of the tertiary structure, or electron transfer and mainly influence the orientation of the helices in a coiled-coil, and 7) the helical propensity of amino acid residues in the remaining positions (Apostolovic et al., 2010). The amino acid sequence determines many aspects of coiled-coil binding, such as stability, the direction of binding (parallel or antiparallel), the oligomerization state (two or more peptides), size (2 nm–200 nm long), rigidity, and homomeric or heteromeric binding (Robson Marsden and Kros, 2010). The coiled-coil domains of cartilage oligomerization matrix protein (COMP) (Malashkevich et al., 1996), tetrabrachion (Stetfeld et al., 2000), fibrin (Tao et al., 1997), and the GCN4 leucine zipper (O’Shea et al., 1991) are some of the well-known examples of α-helical coiled-coils and represent pentameric, tetrameric, trimeric, and dimeric coiled-coils, respectively (Yang et al., 2012).

The coiled-coil motif is a common natural protein folding motif (Table 6), in contrast to the *de novo* coiled-coils (Table 7), which are used to produce peptide/protein hybrid materials. The *de novo* coiled-coils have novel and well-defined structures and properties, because they are controlled via the engineering of the protein/peptide primary structure (Apostolovic et al., 2010; Pechar and Pola, 2013).

Coiled-coil proteins, particularly heterodimeric coiled-coils, have different applications in *de novo* coiled-coil-based biomaterials/hybrids, including (hybrid) hydrogels (Fig. 7) (Yang et al., 2006), and drug-delivery (Fig. 8) (Hodges, 1996).

5.2.3. Vaccine development studies using coiled-coils

Hodges and colleagues used the E/K coiled-coil, which is composed...
Table 6
Overview of natural coiled-coils.

| Natural coiled-coils | Orientation and oligomerization number | Organism | References |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Non-structural RNA binding protein | Parallel dimer | Simian Rotavirus | (Groft and Burley, 2002) |
| Transcription of DNA, CREB | Parallel dimer | Mouse | (Schumacher et al., 2000) |
| Contractile protein, tropomyosin | Parallel dimer | Pig | (Whirley and Phillips, 2000) |
| Transcription activator, c-Myc/Max | Parallel dimer | Human & mouse | (Lavigne et al., 1998) |
| Transcription of DNA, ATF4/EBP | Parallel dimer | Human & mouse | (Podust et al., 2001) |
| Gene regulator, Fos/Jun | Parallel dimer | Human | (Glover and Harrison, 1995) |
| Contractile protein, troponin Ca²⁺ -saturated from cardiac muscle | Parallel dimer | Human | (Takeda et al., 2003) |
| Vimentin | Parallel dimer | Human | (Sirelkov et al., 2002) |
| Transcription, Core1 | Antiparallel dimer | Escherichia coli | (Changela et al., 2003) |
| Transcription, SnyA | Antiparallel dimer | Enterococcus faecalis | (Wu et al., 2003) |
| Glycoprotein, pilin | Antiparallel dimer | Neisseria gonorrhoeae | (Parge et al., 1995) |
| Lectin, fungal immunomodulatory protein Fve | Antiparallel dimer | Flammulina velutipes | (Faasvethan et al., 2003) |
| Transcription of DNA (MADS-box), Myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) | Antiparallel dimer | Human | (Santelli and Richmond, 2000) |
| Viral protein, glycoprotein 41 | Trimer | Human immunodeficiency virus | (Chan et al., 1997) |
| Viral protein, glycoprotein F | Trimer | Simian virus | (Baker et al., 1999) |
| Coat protein | Trimer | Moloney marine leukemia virus | (Sirelkov et al., 2002) |
| Viral protein E2 glycoprotein | Trimer | Escherichia coli | (Deng et al., 2006) |
| Viral protein, hemagglutinin glycoprotein | Trimer | Influenza virus H1N1 from 1918 | (Gamblin et al., 2004) |
| Membrane protein, Murein-lipoprotein LPP | Trimer | Escherichia coli | (Shu et al., 2000) |
| Lectin, mannose binding protein A | Trimer | Norway rat | (Ng et al., 1998) |
| Apoptosis, TNF receptor associated factor 3 (TRA13) | Trimer | Human | (Ni et al., 2000) |
| Sugar binding protein, pulmonary surfactant-associated protein D | Trimer | Human | (Shrive et al., 2003) |
| Blood clotting protein fibrinogen beta | Trimer | Human | (Pechik et al., 2006) |
| Lectin, Mannose binding protein MBP | Trimer | Human | (Sheriff et al., 1994) |
| Lectin, tetracneitin | Trimer | Human | (Håkansson et al., 1999) |
| Transcription, regulatory protein Rop | Tetramer | Escherichia coli | (Bannister et al., 1987) |
| Complex GroEL/DnaK | Tetramer | Escherichia coli | (Lewis et al., 1996) |
| Transcription regulation, Lac repressor | Tetramer | Staphylococcus marinus | (Stetefeld et al., 2000) |
| Protein binding, tetrabacchion | Tetramer | Noroway rat | (Goodwill et al., 1997) |
| Hydrolase, tyrosine hydrolase | Tetramer | Human | (Whitson et al., 2005) |
| Signaling protein, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein C (hnRNP-C) | Tetramer | Human | (Freedman et al., 2003) |
| SNARE, synaptosomal associated protein 23 (SNAP23) | Tetramer | Mycobacterium tuberculosis | (Steinbacher et al., 2007) |
| Mechanosensitive ion channel protein | Pentamer | Thermatoga maritima | (Eschaghi et al., 2006) |
| Membrane protein, CorA | Pentamer | Thermatoga maritima | (Hastori et al., 2007) |
| Metal transport protein | Pentamer | Human | (Ørkek et al., 2002) |
| Membrane protein, cardiac phospholamban | Pentamer | Human | (Oxenoid and Chou, 2005) |
| Transferase, cobalamin adenosyltransferase | Hexamer | Lactobacillus reuteri | (Maurice et al., 2007) |

Table 7
Overview of de novo coiled-coils.

| De novo coiled-coil peptides name | Oligomerization number | Orientation | References |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Val19a | Homodimer | Parallel | (Mant et al., 2003) |
| Coil-LL | Homotetramer | Parallel | (Betz and DeGrado, 1996) |
| WSPLL | Homopentamer | Parallel | (Slofiev et al., 2005) |
| EE1234L | Heterodimer | Parallel | (Moll et al., 2001) |
| CSKL | Intramolecular dimer | Antiparallel | (Myszka and Chaiken, 1994) |
| Ac-AB4C-OH | Homodimer | Parallel | (Harrison et al., 1997) |
| n1R-Pro-a1B | Homotrimmer | Antiparallel | (Ho and DeGrado, 1987) |
| VSAL E4 | Heterodimer | Parallel | (Litowski and Hodges, 2001) |
| E/E35, K/K35 | Heterodimer | Parallel | (Gradiss et al., 1995) |
| C2A16, C33A16 | Heterodimer | Parallel | (Zimenkov et al., 2006) |
| aFFP | Homopentamer | Parallel | (Potekhin et al., 2001) |
| Variant 2, Variant 3 | Homodimer | Parallel | (Handel et al., 1993) |
| SAF-p1, SAF-p2, SAF-p2a | Heterodimer | Parallel | (Zimenkov et al., 2006) |
| C2A16, C33A16 | Homodimer | Parallel | (Zimenkov et al., 2006) |
of E and K peptides, to immobilize proteins on Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) sensor chips (Apostolovic et al., 2010; Chao et al., 1998); the K peptide is composed of five heptad (g′–a′–b′–c′–d′–e′–f′) repeats of the sequence K–V–S–A–L–K–E and the E peptide consists of the same number of heptad repeats with the sequence E–V–S–A–L–E–K (g–a–b–c–d–e–f). The hydrophobic residues at positions a, a′, d and d′ interact and are mainly responsible for the formation and stability of the coiled-coil. In one study, a range of epitopes obtained from the synthetic peptide of an anti-Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) vaccine were immobilized through the E/K coiled-coils on an SPR sensor chip and were then characterized according to their affinity and cross-reactivity to a variety of antibodies (Cachia et al., 2004; Chao et al., 1998).

The Burkhard group constructed self-assembling peptides that were exploited as a multiple antigen-display platform based on a pentameric coiled-coil oligomerization domain derived from cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) and a de novo minimal trimeric coiled-coil oligomerization domain. This group has used this platform to present a B-cell immunodominant repeated epitope, (DPPPPNPN)2D, derived from the circumsporozoite protein of the malaria parasite Plasmodium berghei on the surface of SAPNs constructed from a COMP structure, known as P4c-Mal. The administration of the P4c-Mal vaccine formulation in complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) successfully generated high antibody titers, which protected most of the mice against the malaria challenge for up to six months. This conjugated malaria vaccine is now under pre-clinical evaluation in the USA. As shown in the study by Burkhard and co-workers, the delivery of P4c-Mal in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) induced a long-lived protective immune response in mice without the need for a heterologous adjuvant. Schematic display of peptide nanoparticle that self-assemble through pentameric and trimeric coiled-coil domain conjugated to B and T cell epitopes peptide are represented in Fig. 9.

Moreover, immunization with P4c-Mal generated CD4+ T-cell-dependent antibodies that promoted protection (Kaba et al., 2009). In another study, Kaba et al. (2012) constructed a vaccine (PfCSP-SAPN vaccine) based on SAPNs, which displayed B-cell and T-cell epitopes derived from the circumsporozoite protein (CSP) of Plasmodium falciparum (PfCSP), the human malaria parasite. This vaccine induced the production of both epitope-specific antibodies and long-lived poly-functional interferon-γ (IFNγ)-producing central memory T-cells. It protected mice against a lethal dose of a transgenic P. berghei that expressed the CSP from P. falciparum. Furthermore, the PfCSP-SAPN vaccine considerably protected cultured human hepatocytes from the invasion and growth of native P. falciparum.

Wahome et al. (2012) developed an HIV vaccine using a nanoparticle composed of two covalently linked coiled-coil domains joined to the membrane proximal external region (MPER) of HIV-1 gp41. However, this vaccine was not able to generate HIV-1-neutralizing antibodies. Although these nanoparticles induced high MPER-specific titers, none of the sera exhibited a noticeable neutralizing activity against HIV-1.

Pimentel et al. (2009) designed and produced a prototypic SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) vaccine to induce neutralizing
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El Bissati et al. (2014) produced a novel self-assembling nanoparticle platform to deliver peptide epitopes to evoke CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells against a Toxoplasma gondii infection. These SAPN platforms were compose of a dense granule 7 (GRA7_20-28 LPQFATAAT) peptide, linear peptide (LP) monomers (containing two coiled-coil oligomerization domains), and a universal CD4 + T-cell epitope (derived from PADRE). GRA7_20-28 and PADRE SAPNs induced protective immunity against T. gondii in HLA-B*0702 transgenic mice.

6. Advantages and disadvantages of using SAPNs in vaccines

The nanoscale sizes of SAPNs make them similar to pathogens (Cordeiro et al., 2015). Moreover, their morphological shape, repetitive structure, and multivalency (Howerka, 2011) allows condensation of antigens and are similar to PAMPs on microbes, leading to recognition by PRPs and activation of the immune system (Rudra et al., 2012b). The antigen attachment position is well controlled. Additionally, SAPNs are biocompatible and biodegradable because they are derived from proteins, which are the main building blocks of living organisms (Sanner et al., 2005), and the different units are held together by weak interactions instead of chemical covalent bonds.

One of the significant challenges in vaccine design is creating and maintaining the balance between immunogenicity and inflammation, mainly for vaccines that incorporate pro-inflammatory adjuvants, such as aluminum hydroxide (Al(OH)3) or aluminum phosphate (AlPO4) microparticles, or even some TLR agonists. The self-adjuvanticity of SAPNs allows vaccines to be developed that do not incorporate adjuvants, which is a key benefit considering the possible inflammation and toxicity caused by adjuvants (Chen et al., 2013).

Recently, interest in the development of non-parenteral vaccines has emerged due to their different advantages, including usage convenience, compliance of a greater number of patients, lack of a need for professionals to administer the injection, and economical advantages. SAPNs are a candidate vaccine carrier for these formulations. In this context, SAPNs containing squalenyl derivatives, which are known to possess excellent oral bioavailability, was studied for the development of an oral dosage form of fondaparinux (an anticoagulant with a low oral bioavailability) (Ralay-Ranaivo et al., 2014). Similar approaches can be employed for oral vaccine delivery.

Other general beneficial characteristics of SAPNs that are important in all applications, including vaccine design, have been reported. SAPNs are chemically well defined (Skwarczynski and Toth, 2014) and manufacturable (Sun et al., 2016). In addition, the speed of protein expression, purification, and self-assembly into nanoparticles leads to acceptable cost and time needed for the large-scale production of SAPNs (Babapoor et al., 2011; Kabah et al., 2009). Their production process is environmentally friendly, as it does not involve the use of large amounts of chemicals (Zhao et al., 2017). Overall, SAPN fabrication is affordable and lacks complexity through its bottom up approach (Zhao and Zhang, 2006). Other advantages of SAPNs include their stability at high temperatures, resistance to enzymatic degradation, organic solvents and extreme pH values (Roy et al., 2013), as well as their symmetrical nature, modularity, responsiveness to stimuli (Yu et al., 2016), and bioactivity (Yang et al., 2012). The chemical diversity and possibility of structure design and engineering to match the specific needs (Fairman and Akerfeldt, 2005), which leads to their versatility (Mandal et al., 2014), are other merits. In other words, SAPNs can be synthesized from a variety of natural and non-natural amino acid building blocks with diverse characteristics (Webber et al., 2016).

Several special features of SAPNs make them superior to other nano systems used in vaccine development. As an example, the mechanical and chemical stabilities of SAPNs are considered important advantages over liposomes (Raman et al., 2006). Biodegradability is the primary advantage of SAPNs over synthetic nanoparticle carriers and polymers. Some polymerization reactions may lead to the generation of several products with various lengths and molecular weights. Moreover, the reaction between polymer and epitopes sometimes results in structures with different degrees of substitution (Zhao et al., 2017). The toxicity

Fig. 9. Schematic representation of peptide nanoparticle that self-assemble through pentameric and trimeric coiled-coil domain conjugated to B and T cell epitopes peptide. Green: T-cell epitope; Red: trimeric coiled-coil domain; Blue: pentameric coiled coil domain; Orange: B-cell epitope. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Antibodies against the SARS virus epitope in vitro. This SARS vaccine was constructed by inserting a trimeric coiled-coil epitope from HRC (C-terminal heptad repeat of the SARS-CoV virus spike protein) into SAPNs. The SARS nanoparticles evoked specific neutralizing antibodies for the trimeric coiled-coil epitopes from HRC in mice.

Babapoor et al. (2011) devised two novel vaccines to immunize chickens against avian influenza (AI) that are based on the insertion of monomeric (Mono- M2e) and tetrameric (Tetra-M2e) forms of the immunogenic epitopes from the external domain of the avian influenza virus matrix protein 2 (M2e) into SAPNs. Both vaccines raised M2e-specific IgG responses in the vaccinated chickens (specific pathogen-free chickens) compared to a non-vaccinated group. The use of an adjuvant with the vaccine construct, Tetra-M2e, induced a considerably higher antibody response.

Raman et al. (2006) prepared 16 nm diameter regular polyhedral peptidic nanoparticles by covalently bonding coiled-coil peptides with C3- and C5-symmetries to elicit an immune response. Each peptide chain of this nanoparticle consisted of two coiled-coil oligomerization domains, which formed peptide nanoparticles with a diameter of approximately 16 nm in an aqueous solution. Polyhedral peptidic nanoparticles were suitable for drug targeting, drug delivery systems, or repetitive antigen display applications.

El Bissati et al. (2014) produced a novel self-assembling nanoparticle platform to deliver peptide epitopes to evoke CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells against a Toxoplasma gondii infection. These SAPN platforms were compose of a dense granule 7 (GRA7_20-28 LPQFATAAT) peptide, linear peptide (LP) monomers (containing two coiled-coil oligomerization domains), and a universal CD4 + T-cell epitope (derived from PADRE). GRA7_20-28 and PADRE SAPNs induced protective immunity against T. gondii in HLA-B*0702 transgenic mice.

Advantages and disadvantages of using SAPNs in vaccines

The nanoscale sizes of SAPNs make them similar to pathogens (Cordeiro et al., 2015). Moreover, their morphological shape, repetitive structure, and multivalency (Howerka, 2011) allows condensation of antigens and are similar to PAMPs on microbes, leading to recognition by PRPs and activation of the immune system (Rudra et al., 2012b). The antigen attachment position is well controlled. Additionally, SAPNs are biocompatible and biodegradable because they are derived from proteins, which are the main building blocks of living organisms (Sanner et al., 2005), and the different units are held together by weak interactions instead of chemical covalent bonds.

One of the significant challenges in vaccine design is creating and maintaining the balance between immunogenicity and inflammation, mainly for vaccines that incorporate pro-inflammatory adjuvants, such as aluminum hydroxide (Al(OH)3) or aluminum phosphate (AlPO4) microparticles, or even some TLR agonists. The self-adjuvanticity of SAPNs allows vaccines to be developed that do not incorporate adjuvants, which is a key benefit considering the possible inflammation and toxicity caused by adjuvants (Chen et al., 2013).

Recently, interest in the development of non-parenteral vaccines has emerged due to their different advantages, including usage convenience, compliance of a greater number of patients, lack of a need for professionals to administer the injection, and economical advantages. SAPNs are a candidate vaccine carrier for these formulations. In this context, SAPNs containing squalenyl derivatives, which are known to possess excellent oral bioavailability, was studied for the development of an oral dosage form of fondaparinux (an anticoagulant with a low oral bioavailability) (Ralay-Ranaivo et al., 2014). Similar approaches can be employed for oral vaccine delivery.

Other general beneficial characteristics of SAPNs that are important in all applications, including vaccine design, have been reported. SAPNs are chemically well defined (Skwarczynski and Toth, 2014) and manufacturable (Sun et al., 2016). In addition, the speed of protein expression, purification, and self-assembly into nanoparticles leads to acceptable cost and time needed for the large-scale production of SAPNs (Babapoor et al., 2011; Kabah et al., 2009). Their production process is environmentally friendly, as it does not involve the use of large amounts of chemicals (Zhao et al., 2017). Overall, SAPN fabrication is affordable and lacks complexity through its bottom up approach (Zhao and Zhang, 2006). Other advantages of SAPNs include their stability at high temperatures, resistance to enzymatic degradation, organic solvents and extreme pH values (Roy et al., 2013), as well as their symmetrical nature, modularity, responsiveness to stimuli (Yu et al., 2016), and bioactivity (Yang et al., 2012). The chemical diversity and possibility of structure design and engineering to match the specific needs (Fairman and Akerfeldt, 2005), which leads to their versatility (Mandal et al., 2014), are other merits. In other words, SAPNs can be synthesized from a variety of natural and non-natural amino acid building blocks with diverse characteristics (Webber et al., 2016).

Several special features of SAPNs make them superior to other nano systems used in vaccine development. As an example, the mechanical and chemical stabilities of SAPNs are considered important advantages over liposomes (Raman et al., 2006). Biodegradability is the primary advantage of SAPNs over synthetic nanoparticle carriers and polymers. Some polymerization reactions may lead to the generation of several products with various lengths and molecular weights. Moreover, the reaction between polymer and epitopes sometimes results in structures with different degrees of substitution (Zhao et al., 2017). The toxicity
and immunogenicity of polymers and carriers used in micelles have not yet been thoroughly studied, which is a concern in vaccine development (Yokoyama, 2014). Generally, SAPNs are preferred over structures containing lipids, due to the potential toxicity of lipopeptides and the risk of membrane disruption. Additionally, some lipid-based nanostructures are not strong inducers of cellular immune responses (Zhao et al., 2017).

On the other hand, some concerns regarding SAPNs have persisted, such as their stability in liquid environments and accurate size control during fabrication. Given the diversity of SAPN immunogenicity, this feature should be studied for each type of SAPN. Although SAPNs have not shown toxicity in cells, their long-term effects should be further investigated (Habibi et al., 2016).

7. Conclusions and future perspectives

Subunit epitope-based vaccines have been developed to overcome the safety concerns regarding the use of traditional vaccines. However, increasing the safety of new vaccines has adversely affected their immunogenicity, leading to a requirement for adjuvant usage. Susceptibility to enzymatic degradation is another issue with these vaccines. Recently, nanotechnology has been employed in vaccine development to augment vaccine immunogenicity and stability. SAPNs have shown some benefits over other nano-structured systems used for vaccine development, and their usage in vaccine design is emerging due to their advantages of multivalency, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and molecular specificity. The orderly repetitive array of antigens on SAPNs structures and their small sizes resemble PAMPS, leading to strong immune reactions. SAPN vaccines are mainly constructed from coiled-coil or β-sheet bistructural motifs to which the immunodominant B- and T-cell epitopes for various antigens that protect against bacteria or viruses are fused. Under controlled conditions, coiled-coils and β-sheets assemble into single nanoparticles in a variety of forms. These structures act as carriers and immune adjuvants to enhance both humoral and cellular immunity. This self-adjuvancing property is considered a key benefit of SAPNs and allows the use of vaccines without the unwanted inflammatory side effects and toxicities of adjuvants.

SAPNs are promising candidates as adjuvants/carriers for vaccines, including non-parenteral formulations. Despite the outstanding recent advances in SAPN design, a deeper understanding of the self-assembly phenomenon, factors that influence the process, and rational design principles is required to produce peptide nanoparticles with favorable characteristics.

Some challenges in the design, functionalization, and fabrication of SAPNs persist. In this context, achieving a controlled, specific size and monodispersity in production is very important, due to its key role in the uptake of antigens by APCs and, consequently, the induction of immune responses. Solid-phase methods usually allow the size of the synthesized peptides to be controlled. The increased stability of SAPNs at a wider pH range is another concern. Moreover, more detailed studies on the relations between the physicochemical and morphological features of SAPNs and their biological and immunological activities are needed.

In addition to the coiled-coils and β-sheets that are currently used in vaccine development research, more novel architectures can be introduced, such as combinations of α and β structures, coiled-coil hybrids that are not found in nature, and helix-loop-helix-loop repetitions. Each of these structures has its own special features and potential, and different desired characteristics may be achieved by selecting appropriate building subunits. Because the identified repeat protein motifs are a minor part of all probable structures, many possibilities can be explored using synthetic biology. In this regard, in silico modeling will assist in the discovery of novel self-assembled peptides with more appropriate structures and effectiveness from thousands of available natural peptides. The ab initio design of building blocks will substantially increase the opportunities to develop novel SAPNs. However, the immunogenicity of novel synthetic oligomers should be carefully tested. The architecture and the assembly pathway both should be investigated and controlled to achieve higher success rates in accurate SAPN design. Additionally, the specificity of oligomerization should be improved. The use of immunoinformatics to select immunodominant epitopes in addition to structural biology and computational modeling to achieve the desired biomolecules may also help. Continuous progress in improving computational algorithms would be an undeniable support in this process. Overall, SAPN usage in vaccine design seems very promising. The combination of the mentioned knowledge may lead to the exploitation of the auspicious potential of SAPNs in vaccine manufacturing and their successful transition to clinical use in the future.
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