Upon heat stress processing of ribosomal RNA precursors into mature rRNAs is compromised after cleavage at primary P site in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
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**ABSTRACT**

Transcription and processing of 45S rRNAs in the nucleus are keystones of ribosome biogenesis. While these processes are severely impacted by stress conditions in multiple species, primarily upon heat exposure, we lack information about the molecular mechanisms allowing sessile organisms without a temperature-control system, like plants, to cope with such circumstances. We show that stress disturbs nucleolar structure, inhibits pre-rRNA processing and provokes imbalanced ribosome profiles in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. Notably, the accuracy of transcription initiation and cleavage at the primary P site in the 5'ETS (5' External Transcribed Spacer) are not affected but the levels of primary 45S and 35S transcripts are, respectively, increased and reduced. In contrast, precursors of 18S, 5.8S and 25S rRNAs are rapidly undetectable upon heat stress. Remarkably, nucleolar structure, pre-rRNAs from major ITS1 processing pathway and ribosome profiles are restored after returning to optimal conditions, shedding light on the extreme plasticity of nucleolar functions in plant cells. Further genetic and molecular analysis to identify molecular clues implicated in these nucleolar responses indicate that cleavage rate at P site and nucleolin protein expression can act as a checkpoint control towards a productive pre-rRNA processing pathway.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) are the ribosomes' structural and functional building blocks. rRNA gene units (rDNA) 35S in yeast, 45S in plants, and 47S in mammals, encode the 18S, 5.8S and 25S (28S in mammals) rRNAs. Each rDNA contains external transcribed (5'ETS and 3'ETS) and the 18S, 5.8S and 25/28S rRNAs separated by internal transcribed spacer (ITS1 and ITS2). Each rDNA unit is transcribed by RNA polymerase I (Pol I) in the nucleolus as a single 35S/45S/47S pre-rRNA processed into mature 18S, 5.8S, and 25S/28S rRNAs. Processing of pre-rRNAs consists of exo- and endonucleolytic cleavages to remove ETS and ITS sequences and RNA modifications at specific positions [1–4].

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the initial endonucleolytic cleavage of the 45S pre-rRNA is located at the P site in the 5'ETS [5]. This cleavage is the equivalent of yeast A0 and mammalian 01 (A') [1,6] and generates the 35S pre-rRNA (reviewed in [4,7]). The endonucleases that cleave at 5'ETS have yet to be assigned, but cleavage at the A0/A1 (in yeast and mammals) and 01/A' (in mammals) sites require the U3 snRNP complex [8]. In cruciferous plants, a nucleolin-U3 snRNP protein complex produces an accurate cleavage at the P (the equivalent of 01/ A') site *in vitro* [5]. The 5'ETS from Arabidopsis does not include any sequence with significant complementarity to the U3 hinge region that might be required for cleavage at the P site, as demonstrated in yeast [9]. However, nucleolin gene disruption induces accumulation of pre-rRNA cleaved at the P site in Arabidopsis [10]. Due to a 1.2 kb insertion, the Arabidopsis 45S pre-rRNA has a much longer 5'ETS than pre-rRNAs from yeast and mammalian cells, or even from other plants. The exonuclease XRN2 then shortens it prior to cleavage at the P site [11]. The Arabidopsis 35S is easily detected [11–14], suggesting that cleavages at P, P' in the 5'ETS and A3 in the ITS1 occur post-transcriptionally, as in mammalian cells (post-transcriptional cleavages at sites 01(A'), A0, and site 2), but in contrast to yeast (co-transcriptional cleavages at A0, A1, and A2) [1,15].

Two alternative 35S pre-rRNA processing pathways co-exist in *A. thaliana*. In the major ITS1-first pathway, the 35S is first cleaved at the A3 site and then at the P' and P2 sites, while in the minor 5'ETS-first pathway, the 35S intermediate is first cleaved at the P' and P2 sites, and then at the A2 site [11,16]. Two similar alternative pre-rRNA processing pathways also
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occur in mammals [1]. In yeast, only co-transcriptional cleavage at A2 in the ITS1 is productive (A2 pathway) since cleavage at A3 results in the production of pre-rRNAs degraded by TRAMP/Exosome (non-productive A3 pathway) [17]. Then, the Arabidopsis major ITS1 pathway is analogous to the main processing pathway in mammalian cells, while the minor 5’ETS pathway is comparable to the productive A2 pathway in yeast. Specific pre-RNA transcripts were detected in the Arabidopsis irp7 mutants upon auxin treatment and in fast-dividing cell cultures, proposing alternative pre-RNA processing pathways in plants [18].

Environmental and cell stress conditions induce known changes in nucleolar morphology and functions [19–22]. However, the impact of heat stress on the processing of pre-rRNAs remains poorly investigated. In mammals, a short heat shock inhibits pre-RNA transcription and processing into mature rRNAs [23], while 40 min exposure at 43°C causes accumulation of 30SL pre-RNAs from the ITS1-first pathway [24]. In Arabidopsis, the accumulation of specific pre-rRNAs from the major ITS1 pathway becomes evident in rh10 [25] and rid3 [26] mutant plants only after mild heat stress. Taken together, these observations led us to investigate pre-RNA processing in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings exposed for several hours to 37°C and then after returning to optimal growing conditions. We thus examined nucleolus structure, characterized rRNA transcripts, and studied the impact on ribosome subunit assembly.

**Results**

**Heat stress causes nucleolus disorganization**

The nucleolus is the most prominent nuclear structure, and it has been recognized as a central hub in cellular stress response [21,27]. We thus investigated the impact of prolonged heat stress on plant growth and nucleolar structure. Firstly, we observed that seedlings exposed at 37°C for 2 h to 55 h did not show obvious phenotypic growth changes before 24 h-30 h, indicating that Arabidopsis Col-0 ecotype is able to adapt/resist to the applied high-temperature treatment. The phenotype of the recovered seedlings (after 24 h, 29 h, or 31 h at 22°C) was also similar to seedlings before or after 24 h at 37°C (Fig. 1A and S1).

We then determined nucleolus morphology in non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C for 5 h, 8 h and 24 h) and heat treated then recovered seedlings (R22°C-24 h). Based on 116 TEM images (Figure S2), we defined three nucleolus organization classes (Fig. 1B). Class I: round shaped nucleoli without (inset a) or with a large (inset b) or small (inset c) Nucleolar

---

**Figure 1.** Plant growth and nucleolus organization in response to heat stress. A) Arabidopsis seedlings maintained at 22°C (control), heat treated (37°C for 5 h, 8 h, and 24 h) or recovered R22°C-24 h (24 h at 37°C and then transferred 24 h at 22°C). B) Nucleolus structures visualized by TEM at 22°C and 37°C. Class I, regular nucleoli without (A) or with (B) Nucleolar Cavities (NoC). Class II, partially disrupted nucleoli (D-F); Class III, open (G and H) and entirely disrupted (I) nucleoli. C) Bar graph distribution (%) from 116 total nucleoli) of class I (dark blue), II (light blue), and III (white) nucleoli in non-treated control (22°C), heat treated (37°C), and recovered (R22°C-24 h) seedlings.
Cavity (NoC) [28]; the class II, an intermediate state with dispersed and much less granulated nucleoli (insets d-f); and the class III, ‘open’ (insets g and h) or collapsed (inset i) nucleoli. The bar graph (Fig. 1C) shows the distribution (%) of class I, II, and III nucleoli in non-treated, heat treated, and recovered seedlings. Under optimal growth conditions (22°C), 67.8%, 29% and 3.2% of the nucleoli belonged, respectively, to class I, II and III while under heat stress conditions, the percent of nucleoli class I, II, and III were progressively reversed: 7.1%, 52.4% and 40.5% after 5 h, 6.7%, 33.3% and 60% after 8 h and 0%, 23.8% and 76.2% after 24 h at 37°C. Notably, in the recovered seedlings (R22°C, 24 h), the percentage of nucleoli class I, II, and III were, respectively, 50%, 25%, and 25%, approaching % ratios observed before heat treatment (control, 22°C).

Together, these data reveal that heat stress induces a strong nucleolar disorganization, which is re-established after returning to optimal growth conditions and suggest a nucleolar plasticity in response to heat stress.

Divergent accumulation of primary 45S and pre-35S rRNA in response to heat stress

Transcription and processing of 45S rRNA precursors precede the assembly of a visible nucleolus in plants [28] and animal cells [29]. Therefore, as a prolonged heat stress treatment almost completely disrupted the nucleolus in Arabidopsis seedlings, we examined the expression of specific 45S rRNA genes and the accumulation of primary pre-rRNA precursors transcribed by RNA Pol I from transcription initiation site (TIS) and pre-rRNAs processed at the primary cleavage site (P site) in the 5′ETS.

In A. thaliana Col-0, 45S rDNA units localize in Nucleolus Organizer Regions of chromosomes 2 and 4 (NOR2 and 4). Only rDNA from NOR4 (variants 2–3) is expressed in standard plant growth conditions [30,31] and only rRNAs from NOR4 contribute to the pool of ribosomes even in plants having both NOR2 and NOR4 transcriptionally active [32]. Significantly, rRNA transcribed for NOR2 or 4 can be detected by RT-PCR amplification of 3′ETS sequences. Therefore, to study expression from four distinct 45S rRNA gene variants in Arabidopsis, we performed RT-PCR on total RNAs from control non-treated (22°C) and heat treated (37°C for 24 h) seedlings (Fig. 2A). We used primers o66/o36 to detect 45S pre-rRNA and o108/o109 to detect 45S pre-rRNAs and 3′ETS cleave-off products [33]. At 22°C primer couples o66/o36 (lanes 1 and 2) and o108/o109 (lanes 3 and 4) detected transcription of major 45S rDNA VAR2 and VAR3 and minor rDNA VAR4 from NOR2. Residual rDNA VAR 1 transcripts can be detected as well 22°C (lanes 1 and 3). Upon heat stress, both primer pairs detected the accumulation of VAR2-4 3′ETS transcripts. In contrast, rRNA VAR1 from NOR2 did not accumulate (lanes 2 and 4).

Transcription of 45S rRNA and early cleavages in the 5′ETS are tightly coordinated [5,34]. Therefore, we determined the impact of heat stress on 45S rRNA transcription from initiation site (TIS) at +1 and cleavage at the P site at +1274 in the 5′ETS [10]. We performed primer extension assays on total RNAs from control non-treated (22°C) and heat treated (37°C for 24 h) seedlings with primers oTIS and/or oP (Table S1) to map, respectively, transcription TIS and P sites (Fig. 2B). Primer extension using single primers (oTIS or oP) or both (oTIS+oP) mapped accurately TIS (lanes 5, 6, 13 and 14) and P site (lanes 5, 6, 16 and 17) at 22°C and 37°C. However, oTIS detected a stronger TIS signal (lanes 5 vs 6 and 13 vs 14) while primer oP detected a weaker P signal (lanes 5 vs 6 and 16 vs 17) at 37°C compared to 22°C conditions. In the primer extension reactions oTIS+oP, we estimated a TIS/P ratio of 0.3 at 22°C (lane 5) and 4.4 at 37°C (lane 6) signifying ~15-fold change of the TIS/P ratio in plants at 37°C. Remarkably, in the heat-treated seedlings, primer extension with oTIS also revealed the accumulation of products mapping at +10/+11, +20/+21, +29/+30, +38/+39/+40, and +47 relative to the TIS (lane 14, black arrows). Multiple signals upstream of the P site also accumulate in the heat-treated seedlings (lane 10, vertical dashed arrow) and likely come from accumulating pre-rRNAs not-cleaved at the P site, including the 45S.

Together these results show that heat stress does not de-repress 45S rDNA expression from inactive NOR2. In contrast, heat stress provokes accumulation of rRNA transcripts from active NOR2. Transcripts accumulating at 37°C are accurately initiated from +1 whereas accumulation of transcripts cleaved at the P site decreased at 37°C.

Heat stress inhibits accumulation of pre-rRNA from major and minor processing pathways

As heat stress treatment provokes altered accumulation of 45S (TIS signal) and 35S (P signal) pre-rRNAs, we examined if major ITS-1-first and minor 5ETS-first rRNAs processing pathways [4,7,16] were also affected in seedlings maintained at 37°C for 24 h and in plants returned to optimal growing conditions after heat stress (Fig. 3).

We performed Northern blot experiments with oligonucleotide probes p23, p5, p43 and p6 (Table S1), to assess pre-rRNAs accumulation and cleave-off products in Arabidopsis thaliana plants. In wild-type Col-0 plants these primers are known to detect pre-rRNAs from the major ITS1-first pathway, such as 35S, 27S-A3, 27SB, P-A3, P'-A3, 18S-A3, 7S/6S and 5′ETS cleave-off P-P'/P1. They are also able to detect 5′ETS pre-rRNAs from the minor 5′ETS-first pathway: 33S/32S 27S-A2 (Fig. 3A and [13,14,35]). Therefore, under optimal temperature conditions (22°C), these primers detected pre-rRNAs from the major ITS1-first pathway and from the minor 5′ETS-first pathway (Fig. 3B, lanes 1, 3, 7 and 9). Remarkably, in the seedlings exposed to heat stress (37°C), pre-rRNAs from the major ITS1-first pathway 35S, P-A3, 18S-A3, 7S/6S and fragment P-P'/P1 are not detectable; neither pre-rRNAs from the minor 5′ETS- first pathway 33S/32S and 27S-A2 (Fig. 3B, lanes 2, 4, 8 and 10). In contrast, a signal that might correspond to the 45S, the largest expected pre-rRNA in Arabidopsis, is detected with all probes at 37°C (red bar indicated). Diffuse rRNA intermediates (red vertical line), migrating below 33S/32S and above 27SA are detected as well with p5 and p43 (lanes 4 and 8) but not with p23 (lane 2) or p6 (lane 10), indicating that 5′ETS and 3′ETS of these intermediates are removed. Interestingly, all four probes
also detected the accumulation of a specific pre-rRNA intermediate migrating below 27SB and above P-A3 (lanes 2, 4, 8, and 10, red arrows). An approximate estimation of the length of these transcripts is approximately 3 kb. In addition in the heat-treated seedlings, p23 specifically detected two strong signals migrating below the P-A3 at ~2 kb (lane 2, red arrow-heads). These ~2 kb transcripts are not detected with any other probe, and they are likely 5’ETS-transcript products with 5’ end at +1 and 3’ end at P2/P’ sites.

Next, we determined the kinetics of pre-rRNAs upon heat stress (37°C for 2 h, 3 h, 4 h, 5 h, 6 h, 8 h and 24 h) and in seedlings returned to optimal growth conditions after heat treatment (R22°C for 2 h, 3 h, 6 h, 8 h, and 24 h) (Fig. 4A). Signals corresponding to 35S species progressively decreased in the
course of heat stress (p23, p43 and p6; lanes 3–8) while P-A3, 18-A3 and P-P'/P1 transcripts completely disappeared after 2 h-3 h at 37°C (p23 and p43 lanes 2 and 3). In contrast, 45S and other heat stress-specific pre-rRNAs previously identified (Fig. 3) progressively accumulated from 2 h to 24 h of heat stress (p23, p43, and p6, red arrows, arrowheads and red dash). When the heat-treated seedlings were returned to 22°C (Recovery 22°C), the pre-rRNA profiles were progressively restored to those observed in non-treated seedlings (22°C, lane 1). Indeed, 45S signal decreased after 3–6 h of recovery while 35S, P-A3, 18-A3 and likely co-migrating P'-A3, 18-A2 pre-rRNAs, and P-P'/P1 fragments were detected again after 6 h-8 h of recovery (p23, p43, and p6, lanes 9–13). Similar results for P43 were obtained upon heat stress for 6 h, 18 h, 24 h and 30 h at 37°C and in seedlings returned to optimal growth conditions (R22°C for 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 50 h) after heat treatment (Figure S4 and S5).

Then, we determined relative amounts of 45S and 35S detected at each point of the kinetic (Fig. 4B). The graphical representation shows progressive reduction of 35S while 45S accumulated at 37°C. During the recovery phase at 22°C pre-rRNA profiles were progressively restored near to those of non-stressed plants. Noticeably, the 45S and 35S transcript
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**Figure 3.** Pre-rRNA processing in response to heat stress. A) Scheme representing 45S rDNA and pre-rRNA transcripts detected with probes hybridizing 5'ETS (p23), ITS1 (p43), ITS2 (p5), and 3'ETS (p6) sequences. rRNA precursors and fragments from major ITS1-first (black labelled) and minor 5'ETS-first (grey labelled) pathways are illustrated. B) Northern blot analysis of total RNAs from non-treated (even lanes) and heat treated at 37°C during 24 h (odd lanes) seedlings. A first membrane was hybridized with p23 and p5 (lanes 1–4) and a second one with p43 and p6 (lanes 7–10). Detected pre-rRNAs are labelled accordingly to previous reports using same probes. Pre-rRNAs detected upon heat stress are red labelled. Both membranes were stained with Gel Red to verify quality and amount of RNAs from samples at 22°C (lanes 5 and 11) and 37°C (lanes 6 and 12) and to localize the relative position of rRNAs 18S and 25S. The asterisk in lane 6 indicates a sporadic and unknown RNA species. RNA amounts for each sample were also verified by hybridization with p5S to detect 5S rRNA.
signals detected by p6 accumulated relatively faster at 37°C when compared to p23 and p43 signals, while the 35S transcript level recovered much slower after returning to 22°C. This might indicate that p6 detect 3’ETS tailed 45S and 35S pre-rRNA while p23 and p43 might detect 45S and 35S-pre-rRNAs with or without 3’ETS sequences.

Altogether the data revealed that pre-rRNA processing, and specifically the major ITS1-first and the minor 5’ETS pathways, is compromised in Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to 37°C, in a reversible manner. Northern blot results also indicate that heat stress induces early accumulation of specific rRNA transcripts or products, including 45S pre-rRNAs and 5’ETS-products.

**Trimmed 5’ETS and P-cleaved rRNA products accumulate during heat stress**

Northern blot experiments have revealed a rapid and substantial decrease of pre-rRNA precursors of 18S, 5.8S and 25S rRNAs, whereas the 45S, 5’ETS products and an unknown rRNA transcript accumulated after heat stress treatment. To
better characterize rRNA transcripts accumulating at 37°C, we performed circular PCR amplifications (cRT-PCR), using specific RT and PCR primers (Table S1) and total RNAs from control non-treated (22°C) and heat treated (37°C for 5 h and 24 h) seedlings. Then, single bands or total PCR products were cloned and sequenced for identification (Fig. 5).

First, cRT-PCR with primer r1 for RT from 18S, and primer couples r5+ r7 or r5+ r8 for PCR amplifications (Fig. 5A) identified P-A3, P′-A3, 18-A3, 18-A2 and 18S in the control non-treated plants (lanes 1 and 4) and P-A3 and P-A2* after 5 h at 37°C (lanes 2 and 5). None of these precursors, excepting mature 18S, were detected after 24 h at 37°C (lanes 3 and 6). Second, cRT-PCR with r3 for RT from 25S and PCR primers r2+ r10 identified 27S-A2, 27S-A3, 27SB and 25S (Fig. 5B). Higher accumulation of all these transcripts was detected in the cRT-PCR reactions with RNA from non-treated (lanes 1) compared to heat-treated seedlings for 5 h and 24 h (lanes 2 and 3). Interestingly, the cRT-PCR reactions detected similar amount of 18S rRNA at 22°C and 37°C while amplification of 25S rRNA decreased after 5 h and 24 h of heat stress. These conditions of cRT-PCR also allowed mapping of 5′ and 3′ ends of 18S (1836–3639); 5.8S (3911) and 25S (4259–7633), as well as P (1274 and 1275), P′ (1755), A2 (3661) and A3 (3830) sites in our experimental plant growing conditions (Table S2). Third, in order to detect long pre-RNAs that might accumulate specifically at 37°C and may correspond to those detected by Northern blot (indicated by red arrows, arrow heads or vertical dash), we performed cRT-PCR with RT primer r1 and PCR primers r5+ r9 (Fig. 5C). We detected two major pre-RNAs intermediates after 5 h (lane 2) but not after 24 h (lane 3) at 37°C or control (lane 1) seedlings. An aliquot of the PCR reaction (lane 2) was used to clone all rRNA transcripts. We sequenced 13 different rRNA clones. The 5′ end of 11 rRNAs clones is located at +1274 or +1275 (P site), while for 2 rRNA clones, the site was mapped at +1305 and +1321. The 3′ ends were more variable: they were mapped from +4100 in the ITS2 to +5019 in the 25S. Noticeably, two RNA sequences, ended at +4100 and +4277, have three and four adenosines not detected in the 45S rDNA reference sequence (available in Supplementary Information 1). Finally, to identify 5′ETS rRNA transcripts or products, we performed cRT-PCR reactions with RT primer p31 located upstream of P site and PCR primers p32+ p33 (Fig. 5D). We detected cRT-PCR products with similar sizes at 22°C and 37°C. However, these rRNA products accumulated after 5 h and 24 h at 37°C (lanes 2 and 3) in contrast to 22°C (lane 1). An aliquot of these PCR reactions was used for cloning and identification of rRNA transcripts. We obtained 7 and 11 clones, respectively, from 22°C and 37°C samples. At 22°C (black labelled) we detected 5′ETS rRNA species with 5′ ends at positions downstream of A123B boxes (from +199 to +306) and with 3′ ends upstream of P and P′ sites (from +806 to +928) sites. At 37°C (red labelled), the 5′ ends were detected also downstream of A123B boxes (from +186 to +347) and with 3′ ends upstream of P and P′ sites (from +796 to +1006).

Together, these data indicate that heat stress inhibits accumulation of canonical pre-rRNAs while 3′-trapped P-cleaved pre-rRNAs and 5′ETS fragments accumulated transiently (5 h) or more stably (5 h-24 h) at 37°C.

**Accumulation of 5′ETS rRNA products is inhibited in nuc1-2 plants at 37°C**

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 5′-3′ exonucleolytic trimming of the 5′ETS by AtXRN2 is required to expose the site P for subsequent endonucleolytic cleavage [11]. In crucifer plants, a nucleolin-U3 snoRNP protein complex was shown to reproduce accurate cleavage at the P site *in vitro* [5]. Nucleolin is an abundant nucleolar rRNA binding protein required for primary cleavage in yeast, mammals, and plants [10,36–38]. We examined the role of AtXRN2 and NUC1 proteins in pre-rRNA processing in plants upon heat stress (Fig. 6).

Firstly, we investigated whether the accumulation of specific rRNA transcripts and products detected at 37°C relies on XRN2 activity. Arabidopsis Col-0 and xrn2-3 plants were thus maintained at 22°C or heat stressed at 37°C for 24 h, and the accumulation of pre-rRNAs assessed by Northern blot (Fig. 6A). Under optimal growing conditions, the xrn2-3 mutant plants display atypical 35S*, 5′ETS-A3 and 5′ETS-P1 rRNA transcripts [11]. As expected these rRNAs were detected in the xrn2-3 (lane 3) but not in the control Col-0 (lane 1) plants at 22°C. In contrast, the pre-rRNAs detected at 37°C in xrn2-3 (lane 4) matched those observed in Col-0 (lane 2). It is also noticeable that the 5′ETS heat stress species (~2 kb rRNAs) in Col-0 and in xrn2-3 (lanes 2 and 4) are not the 5′ETS-A3 or 5′ETS-P1 fragments detected in xrn2-3 plants (lane 3) since they migrate below or just above of these 5′ETS- species.

Then we studied NUC1 protein expression and how the absence of NUC1 might affect accumulation of 45S, 35S and ~2 kb 5′ETS products under heat stress conditions. We first performed Western blot analysis of protein extracts prepared from two independent samples of non-treated (22°C) and heat-treated (37°C, 24 h) seedlings (Fig. 6B). Hybridization with antibodies α-NUC1 detected significant accumulation (~1.8- and ~2.8-fold, Table S3) of NUC1 protein in protein extracts from heat-treated (lanes 2) compared to non-treated (lanes 1) seedlings for both replicates. Noticeable, the bands present at a similar level in both samples (asterisks) are also detected in nucle1-2 mutants and are therefore non-specific signals (Figure S6A and [31]). Since NUC1 interacts with U3 snoRNP [5], we also verified the expression of fibrillarin and U3 snoRNA in response to heat stress. Primer extension with probe pU3 (Table S1) showed no significant change in the amount of U3 snoRNA after heat treatment compared to control plants. In contrast while Fibrillarin protein was down-regulated, FIB1 and FIB2 transcripts accumulated at 37°C (Figure S6B and C). Then, to determine how the absence of NUC1 might affect accumulation of 5′ETS transcripts we performed a Northern blot with probe p23 to determine accumulation of pre-rRNAs at 22°C and 37°C in nucle1-2.
mutant plants (Fig. 6C). We observe a similar accumulation of 35S, P-A3, and P-P1 in Col-0 and nuc1-2 seedlings at 22°C (lanes 1 and 3). Similar amounts of 45S and ~3 kb transcripts (red arrows) were also detected at 37°C (lanes 2 and 4).
Figure 6. Pre-RNA processing in xrn2-3 and nuc1-2 in response to heat-stress. A) Northern blots analysis of total RNAs from Col-0 Arabidopsis WT and xrn2-3 seedlings non-treated (22°C, lanes 1 and 3) and heat-treated (37°C for 24 h, lanes 2 and 4) using probe p23. Pre-rRNA transcripts 35S, P-A3 and fragments P-P'/P1 detected in WT are indicated in black and 35S*, 5'ETS-A3 and 5'ETS-P1 specifically detected in xrn2-3 [11] are in purple. The rRNA transcripts detected upon heat stress are red labelled: 45S, arrows, and arrowheads. Similar amounts of RNAs in each sample were verified by Gel Red staining. B) Western blot analysis of total protein extracts from two biological replicates of non-treated (lanes 1) and heat treated (37°C) for 24 h (lanes 2) seedlings. α-NUC1 antibody detects NUC1 protein (arrow) and two unspecific protein bands (asterisks, and see Figure S6B). Actin and Ponceau S are used as loading controls. The bar graphs show the relative amount of NUC1 in each Western blot. α-NUC1 and α-actin signals were quantified with ImageJ, and the amount of NUC1 normalized to actin values (Table S3). C) Northern blots analysis of total RNAs from Arabidopsis WT and nuc1-2 seedlings in non-treated (22°C, lane 1 and 3) and heat-treated (37°C for 24 h, lanes 2 and 4) using probe p23. Pre-rRNAs detected specifically in the heat stressed plant samples are indicated in red: 45S, arrows, and arrowheads. Similar amounts of RNAs in each sample were verified by Gel Red staining and hybridization with p5S to detect 5S rRNA.
Interestingly at 37°C, we detected much less ~2 kb 5’ETS transcripts in the nuc1-2 than in Col-0 plants (lanes 2 and 4, red arrowheads).

Taken together, our results indicate that the absence of XRN2 and/or accumulation of specific pre-rRNA transcripts and 5’ETS fragments in xrn2-3 mutants does not affect overall rRNA transcript accumulation of Arabidopsis plants exposed to heat stress conditions. In contrast, upregulation of NUC1 protein expression at 37°C seems to be linked to an increased amount of aberrant 5’ETS species in response to heat stress.

**Heat stress provokes ribosome profile changes**

As heat stress induces nucleolus disorganization and inhibits accumulation of pre-rRNA precursors of 18S, 5.8S and 25S, we wondered if these modifications could affect the ribosome assembly. To do so, we investigated ribosome profiles in heat-treated and recovered seedlings. Whole-cell extracts from Arabidopsis seedlings non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C for 5 h and 24 h) and recovered (R22°C for 5 h and 24 h) were fractionated through a sucrose cushion to remove plastid ribosomes [39], then through a 15–60% sucrose gradient to separate cytoplasmic 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits and 80S monosomes from polysomes. Results from two independent experiments (for each temperature condition) are presented on single graphs (Fig. 7 and Table S4). In all extracts from non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C 5 h and 24 h), and recovered (R22°C, 5 h and 24 h) seedlings, the 40S was clearly resolved, whereas the 60S particles sedimented with the 80S.

To estimate accumulation changes, we then calculate the ratio of 60S-80S over 40S ($R_{60S-80S/40S}$). While the average ratio from two replicate experiments is 2.82 (see values in Table S4) at 22°C, this ratio drastically increases up to 3.96 and 3.76 after 5 h and 24 h at 37°C, respectively. Remarkably, the ratios for the 5 h and 24 h recovered seedlings are 2.92 and 2.74, near to values observed in non-treated seedlings.

To better understand ribosome profile changes observed at 37°C we determined relative amounts of ribosomal proteins in peaks 40S and 60S-80S. For this analysis, we carried out additional gradient fractionations (Figure S7). Note that in these experiments, the ratio $R_{60S-80S/40S}$ also increases from 2.5 at 22°C to 4.75 at 37°C, which is consistent with increased values obtained in the experiment shown in Fig. 7A. Then, we performed LC-MS/MS on 40S and/or 60–80S peak fractions (Fig. 7B, S4 and Supplementary Data 1). First, this analysis
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revealed that amongst all the spectra associated to ribosomal proteins, the proportions (%) of RPL, RPS and RPP proteins in the 22°C plant fractions (fractions 8–11) were, respectively, 35.5%, 57.4% and 7.1% while in the heat treated plant fractions (fractions 9–12), the proportion of RPL, RPS and RPP proteins was, respectively, 42.6%, 49.1%, and 8.3% (7B, graph left panel). This represents a slight decrease of RPS, and an increase of RPL and RPP proteins in heat stressed (37°C) compared to control (22°C) plants. Then, we determined the distribution (% of spectra) of RPS and RPL+RPP proteins in the 40S (fractions 8 + 9 at 22°C and 9 + 10 at 37°C) and 60S-80S (fractions 10 + 11 at 22°C and 11 + 12 at 37°C) peak fractions (Fig. 7B, Table right panel). In the 40S fractions at 22°C, we found ~80% of RPS and ~20% of RPL+RPP. Noticeably, similar values were estimated at 37°C. In contrast, in the 60S+80S fractions, different values were observed: at 22°C we estimated ~47% of RPS and 53% of RPL+RPP, while ~34% of RPS and 66% of RPL+RPP were estimated at 37°C.

In conclusion, we showed that heat stress impairs the accumulation of 40S and/or favours the accumulation of 60S-80S ribosome subunits/monosomes, in a reversible manner. Furthermore, the LC-MS/MS analysis showed that under heat stress the protein level of ribosomal proteins in the 40S and 60S-80S fractions is slightly downregulated (RPS) or upregulated (RPL+RPP). This analysis also revealed that RP ratio changes in the 60S+80S fractions but not in the 40S fractions upon heat stress, and thus suggest increased 60S and/or 80S particles.

**Discussion**

In this work, we showed first that a long and permissive heat stress treatment provoked rapid and reversible changes of nucleolus morphology in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. In contrast, the reassembly of the nucleolus is relatively slower, compared to the initial heat stress response, after stressed plant seedlings are returned to optimal growing conditions (Fig. 1, S1 and S2). Thus, under heat stress conditions, *Arabidopsis* plants might not only rapidly down-regulate transcription/processing of pre-rRNAs and assembly of ribosomes, which occur essentially in the nucleolus [28,40], but also other activities linked and/or associated to nucleolus structure [21,41–43]. Correspondingly, plants also sense favourable environmental conditions and progressively allow the reassembly of nucleoli and subsequently ribosome synthesis and other non-ribosome related activities.

Heat stress is known to inhibit rDNA transcription in animal cells [23,44], whereas in Drosophila, heat shocks increase RNA pol I transcription of retrotransposons located in rDNA clusters [45]. In Arabidopsis plants, we showed that heat stress does not release the silencing of inactive rDNA copies from NOR2 [46,47]. In contrast, 45S rRNA gene transcription from active NOR4 might still occur under heat stress leading to the accumulation of 3'ETS rRNA sequences (Fig. 2A) from long pre-rRNA, and not merely cleave-off product[48]. Although it is well established that nucleolus assembly and structure are linked to transcription and processing of pre-rRNA, under heat stress conditions, some rRNA synthesis and maturation seem to be still occurring in disrupted nucleoli in plants (Fig. 2). This is the case in yeast when an intact nucleolus might not be absolutely required for pre-rRNA processing under certain conditions [49].

Notably, heat stress induced the accumulation of primary 45S rRNAs with concomitant reduction of 35S pre-rRNAs (Figs. 3 and 4), indicating that primary cleavage site at P is impaired at 37°C. Consistently, pre-rRNAs initiated from TIS increased while signals from pre-rRNAs cleaved at P site decreased (Fig. 2). Accumulation of 45S and other pre-rRNAs non-cleaved at P site is also supported by increased primer extension signals upstream of P site. In fact, the 1 kb insertion sequence located upstream of the P site contains several repeated sequences [7] that might form stable secondary RNA structures and obstruct RT elongation reactions of these non-cleaved P-site transcripts. Interestingly, rRNA transcripts initiated just downstream of TIS and under heat stress conditions (Fig. 2) might correspond to atypical rRNA transcripts rather than 45S pre-rRNA trimmed by XRN2 [11]. None of these transcripts were detected by cRT-PCR (Fig. 5) consistently with the fact that RNA polymerases use triphosphate nucleotides when initiating transcription, inhibiting the circularization of primary transcripts. Thus, we cannot exclude that ectopic RNA Pol I transcription contributes to the accumulation of atypical 45S pre-rRNA under heat stress conditions, as RNA Pol I might transcribe IGS sequences from cryptic promoters in *Arabidopsis* [30]. It is neither excluded that RNA pol II might also transcribe rDNA under heat stress conditions as reported in *Candida albicans* during nutritional depletion or TOR inhibition [50]. In normal conditions, RNA pol II is excluded from the nucleolus, but nucleolar disruption at 37°C (Fig. 1 and S2) might enable access of Pol II to transcribe rRNA genes and subsequently initiate transcription from RNA pol I promoters in a non-nucleolar environment [51].

Remarkably, accumulation of rRNA species from major (ITS1-first) and minor (5’ETS-first) processing pathways was rapidly inhibited upon heat stress and can be correlated with rapid changes in the nucleolus morphology. The reestablishment of rRNA precursors to normal profiles is concomitant with the reassembly of the nucleolus when stressed *Arabidopsis* plant seedlings are returned to optimal conditions (Figs. 1 and 4). In contrast, upon heat stress Arabidopsis seedlings accumulated atypical ~3 kb rRNA transcripts as well as ~2 kb 5’ETS-species (Figs. 3, 4 and 6). Our results support the possibility that accumulation of canonical pre-rRNA is inhibited under heat stress without production of novel pre-rRNA counterpart species. This is also observed in mutant *nucl2-2* plants, displaying expression of specific rRNA gene variants, *rh10-1*, and *rpp7* showing accumulation of pre-RNA from the ITS1 pathway [14,25,52] and *rfl2* defective in RNase III cleavage in the 3’ETS [11,33]. In all mutant plants, we detected at 37°C pre-rRNAs accumulation changes similar to those observed in Col-0 plants (Figures S3 and S9).

In agreement with our results, it was recently reported that 1 h exposure at high temperatures (30 to 42°C) provoke significant changes in the accumulation of pre-rRNAs 35SA122B/35S*, 35S, 32S, 27S, P-A3, 18-A3 and P-P1 in rosette leaves from Arabidopsis plants [53]. Indeed, in *Arabidopsis* seedlings a rapid reduction of 27SA, P-A3, 18-A3 and P-P1 was also detected after
2 h at 37°C while 35S pre-rRNA levels increased to decrease gradually after 3 h at 37°C (Fig. 4). Besides, the P-C2 rRNA transcripts identified by Shanmugam et al. after 60 and 90 minutes at 38°C, might correspond to the ~3 kb rRNA transcripts. Despite these similarities occurring after 1–2 h of heat stress; we have found specific pre-rRNA changes that might be linked to the duration of heat exposure. This is the case for the 45S and ~2 kb ETS species detected after 3–5 h at 37°C (Figs. 3, 4, 6 and S3-S5, S9), and not reported by Shanmugam and colleagues after 60–90 minutes of heat (up to 42°C) treatment [53].

Transient accumulation of transcripts cleaved at the P site and 3'-5' trimmed from 25S sequences (Fig. 5B) indicate as well that upon heat stress conditions 35S pre-rRNAs (and eventually other P-cleaved pre-rRNAs) are degraded predominately by the 3'-5' exonuclease activities rather than being cleaved at alternative sites in the internal or external spacers. This is in contrast to the non-productive pathway described in yeast, where pre-rRNAs produced after an A3 cleavage instead of an A2 cleavage are degraded by the exosome [17]. In a preliminary modelling study addressed to determine processing rates of major 45S, 35S and P-A3 pre-rRNAs (Figure S8, Supplementary Information S2 and Table S5) we showed that heat stress is associated with a 30–90% reduction in the 45S processing rate into 35S (k45S), which leads to the 35S level decrease. Meanwhile, heat stress reduces (35%-36%) the processing of such 35S pre-rRNAs into P-A3, and concomitantly correlates with a 2–3 fold increase in the rate of P-A3 processing/degradation. Notably, we have found a transformation rate (k⁻¹) of 35 into P-13 (aₖ₃₅₅₅₅ of (3.6–25)×10⁻⁵, which is similar in order of magnitude to the k₄ (2.4 ± 0.4) ×10⁻⁵ estimated by pulse-labelling experiments with heat stress at 38°C [53]. Accordingly, these estimations suggest that significant changes in the processing rates of specific rRNAs are required to impair ITS1-first (and likely 5'ETS-first) once plants are exposed to heat stress conditions.

Altogether, we can conclude that coordinated 45S transcription from +1 and initial processing at the P site to generate 35S play major roles in directing productive processing of pre-rRNAs to mature 18S, 5.8S and 25S rRNAs, through the main ITS1-first (and 5'ETS-first to some extent) pathway. In contrast, transcribed pre-rRNAs (from +1 or ectopic TIS) under heat stress conditions are poorly cleaved at P site but still might generate 35S or longer pre-rRNA transcripts, considering that processing of pre-rRNAs in Arabidopsis is post-transcriptional [1,17]. However, these heat stressed 35S pre-rRNAs are degraded by the exosome rather than being cleaved at sites A3 in the ITS1 or P' in the 5'ETS.

While P- cleaved pre-rRNAs (like 35S) seem to be degraded under heat stress, a strong accumulation of 5'ETS transcripts was detected at 37°C (Figs. 3, 4 and 6). These transcripts are not heat-specific since similar 5'ETS products are detected at 22°C (Fig. 5D). These transcripts are likely 5'ETS cleavage-off products, cleaved at P sites [11] or 5'ETS-P' degradation intermediates [54]. Accumulation of 5'ETS-products at 37°C suggest that their degradation by the exosome is inhibited under heat stress conditions. In contrast, the P-P' fragments are undetectable after just 2 h of heat treatment, which is in agreement with P cleaved transcripts (35S) being preferentially trimmed by the exosome at 37°C and not cleaved at P' site or other sites in the ITS1 or ITS2 (Fig. 5B). The exonucleases involved in pre-rRNA processing are relatively well characterized in Arabidopsis. Notably, it is known that 5'ETS fragments cleaved at the P site are not accessible to 5'-3' exoribonucleases but are predominantly degraded by polyadenylation assisted 3'-5' RRP6L2 and DIS3/RRP44A exoribonuclease decay [11,54–58]. Thus we cannot exclude that polyadenylation of 5'ETS-P(P') could be also inhibited at 37°C. Arabidopsis TRL, RRP41, and RRP6L2 transcript levels increased at 37°C whereas DIS3/RRP44A transcripts levels significantly decreased in response to heat stress (Figure S10 and Table S6). In contrast, transcript levels of 5'-3' exoribonuclease XRN2 or XRN3 are not significantly altered upon heat stress. We do not know yet if these transcript level variations are associated with protein changes but it would be interesting to further study pre-rRNA in mutant plants for exoribonucleases under heat stress conditions.

The precise nature of the ~2 kb 5'ETS rRNA species accumulating at 37°C remain unknown. They are not the atypical 5'ETS-A3 or 5'ETS-P1 species reported in xrn2-3 plants mutants (Fig. 6A). However, accumulation of these ~2 kb 5'ETS rRNA species can be linked to a higher amount of NUC1 protein at 37°C (Fig. 6B). Similarly, the low-temperature conditions increased nucleolin NRS1 protein level and led to pre-rRNA processing defects in yeast [38]. Under optimal growth conditions, the absence of NUC1 provokes nucleolus disruption and affects accumulation of rRNA transcripts initiated at the TIS and cleaved at the P site in nuc1-2 mutants [10]. Interestingly, nuc1-2 mutants exposed to heat stress accumulated 45S and ~3 kb rRNA transcripts at similar levels than Col-0 plants, whereas the ~2 kb related 5'ETS-species decreased compared to Col-0 plants (Fig. 6B). NUC1 is a RNA binding protein and, accordingly, increased NUC1 protein might somehow protect 5'ETS rRNA species produced at 37°C from maturation or degradation. Besides, NUC1 protein is required for appropriate RNA processing since absence of NUC1 reduces 2-O-methylation of rRNA in nuc1-2 plants [59]. It is also worth to mention that Arabidopsis encodes a second nucleolin protein gene (NUC2), upregulated in nuc1-2 mutants [10]. However, NUC2 is not involved in pre-rRNA processing of P site, at least at 22°C [60].

In addition to altered processing of pre-rRNA, the expression of several ribosome biogenesis and assembly factors are affected as well upon heat stress. Indeed, 149 RP (Ribosomal Proteins) and 77 RBF (Ribosome Biogenesis Factors) genes are differentially expressed after heat stress (Figure S10 and supplementary tables S7-9). Interestingly, RP and RBF transcripts accumulate under heat stress, suggesting the assembly of specific ribosomes upon heat stress or alternatively the establishment of a mechanism to restore ribosome titre as soon as plants are returned to favourable conditions.

Ribosomes from Arabidopsis are relatively stable, with only half of the ribosome population replaced every 3–4 days in cell cultures [61]. It is nonetheless reasonable to think about a negative impact on the stability of ribosomes in plants under prolonged heat stress conditions, as heat stress provoked rapid imbalanced 40S versus 60S/80S ribosome particle ratios that could be restored as observed in recovered plants. This
imbalance is likely due to changes in the ratio of RP specifically in the 60S+80S fraction and not in the 40S fractions (Fig. 7 and S7). Nevertheless, we do not exclude that this imbalance can also be provoked simultaneously by the ribosome degradation pathway called ribophagy [62]. Consequently, a restored balance of ribosome particles during recovery is likely a subsequent assembly of new ribosomes, as previously reported in Arabidopsis young seedlings after heat shock [63]. Finally, ribosome heterogeneity also exists in plants (reviewed in [64] and it cannot be excluded that specialized ribosomes can be assembled after a few hours of heat treatment (heat shock) or prolonged exposure to milder temperature. Determining the molecular bases of alternative pre-rRNA processing (including RNA modifications), degradation and assembly of specialized ribosomes during plant development and responding to environmental conditions are the related challenges to be addressed.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

All lines were derived from Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia (Col-0) ecotype. Mutant lines used in this work were previously described: xrn2-3 [11], rrp7-1 [52] and nuc1-2 [31]. Seeds were first sown on 1X Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Duchefa Biochemie M0231), including Gamborg B5 vitamins, and supplemented with 1% Sucrose, 0.05% (w/v) MES, and 1% (w/v) plant agar (pH 5.7). After two days at 4°C, plants were grown for 14 days under a 16 h/8 h photoperiod (light/dark, 22°C/20°C) in Percival growth chambers set with light intensity 180 μE.m-2.s-1 and hygrometry 55%/60% for light/dark, respectively.

For heat stress, 14 days-old seedlings were transferred to Percival chambers set at 37°C for 2 h to 8 h (during the light cycle) or 24 h (16 h/8 h photoperiod). For recovery experiments, seedlings treated for 24 h at 37°C were returned to Percival chambers set at 22°C for 2 h to 24 h (light cycle). Non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C) and recovered (R22°C) seedlings were collected, ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen with a Retsch MM400 Cryogrinder (frequency 25/s during 30s) and stored at –80°C.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Roots from non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C for 5 h, 8 h, and 24 h) and recovered (R22°C for 24 h) seedlings were fixed with 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.025 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.3 at room temperature. After washing, the samples were post-fixed by 1% OsO4 in the same buffer. The samples were then dehydrated in a methanol series (30%, 50%, 70, and 100%) at room temperature. The samples were acetylated and methylated with a freshly prepared 5:1 (v/v) methanol/acetic anhydride mixture at 25°C. Samples were then washed in pure methanol and embedded in Epon 812 resin (EMS). Ultrathin sections were performed on an ultramicrotome (Leica Ultracut) and counterstained by uranyl acetate and lead citrate before being observed on a 7500 Hitachi TEM [10,65].

Northern blot and primer extension

Total RNA extractions and 5’ end-labelling of oligo probes (p23, p43, p5 and p6, oTIS, oP, pU3, and pU6; see Table S1) were performed as described previously [31]. Northern blots and primer extension gels were performed using 3 μg and 6 μg of total RNAs, respectively. Northern blot membranes were pre-incubated in PerfectHyb Plus Hybridization Buffer (Sigma) for at least 3 hours at 42°C. Labelled probes p23, p43, p5, and p6 were then added (1 μl at 10 μM) and incubated overnight at 42°C. Membranes were washed at 50°C during 15 min with 2X SSC 0.1% SDS, then with 0.5X SSC, 0.1% SDS, and finally with 0.1X SSC 0.1% SDS. Primer extension and dideoxy sequencing reactions were performed according to [10]. Northern blots and primer extension reactions were analysed on a Personal Molecular Imager (PMI, BioRad) and quantified using Quantity One software.

Circular RT-PCR and sequencing

Total RNAs (5 μg) from non-treated (22°C) and heat treated (37°C for 5 h and 24 h) seedlings were circularized with T4 RNA ligase 1 (NEB M0204S). Then, the circular RNAs (1 μg) were reverse transcribed with primers p31, r1t, and r3t to, respectively, hybridize 5'ETS, 18S, and 25S rRNA sequences. cDNAs were PCR amplified with primers r2, r5, r7, r8, r9, r10, p32 and p33 (Table S1). PCR products were cloned into a pGemT easy vector (Promega) and sequenced with primer T7 by Eurofins Genomics (France). Sequences were analysed using reference sequence provided in Supplementary Information 1 and software Geneious 11.0.5.

Western blot

Total proteins (100 mg) from 15 days-old seedlings non-treated (22°C) and heat treated (37°C, 24 h) were extracted in 500 μL of extraction protein buffer (50 mM Tris-CI pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Na fluoride, 1% NP40, 0.45% Na deoxycholate, 1% SDS) supplemented with 20 μM Mg132 (SIGMA) and 1/100 of protease inhibitor Cocktail for Plant cell and Tissue extract (SIGMA). Proteins were then fractionated on SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blot as previously described in [60]. The membranes were hybridized with a 1:10,000 dilution of α-NUC1 [31], with a 1:2500 dilution of α-FIB [5] or with a 1:7,000 dilution of α-Actin (Life Technologies). Western blots bands were analysed with Image J (Table S3).

Sucrose cushions and gradients

All buffers were described in [39] and all steps performed at 4°C. Briefly, 1 to 2 g of frozen powder from non-treated (22°C), heat treated (37°C for 5 h and 24 h) and recovered (R22°C for 5 h and 24 h) seedlings were suspended in 4 mL of PEB, incubated on ice for 30 min and centrifuged 15 min at 16,000 g to remove debris. Samples were then filtered on 0.2 μM filters (Sarsted), loaded on 8 mL sucrose cushions, and centrifuged in a Beckman SW41 rotor at 18 h at 35,000 rpm. Pellets were re-suspended in 1 mL of RB and kept on ice for 30 min. A short centrifugation (2 min at 5,000 g) was performed to remove the last debris. Finally, 1 mL
of supernatant was layered onto 9 mL linear 15–60% sucrose gradients and centrifuged at 38,000 rpm for 6h30. Gradients were fractionated using the Type 11 Optical Unit (Teledyne ISCO) system and a UA-6 UV/VIS Detector (Teledyne ISCO) at 254 nm. Values and ratios for 40S and 60S/80S peaks are available in Table S4.

**Liquid chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analyses**

500 µL sucrose fractions obtained from plant samples at 22°C (fractions 8–11) and 37°C (fractions 9–12) were cleaned using a Filter-Aided Sample Preparation (FASP) to remove sucrose and buffer. Five fmol of Bovine Serum Albumin (BioRad, Des Plaines, USA) standard protein were added to each fraction as a positive control of the sample preparation. Proteins were then reduced, alkylated and digested with rapid trypsin/LysC in a 1:10 enzyme-protein ratio (Promega, Madison, USA). Peptides were acidified with formic acid, desalted on a Bravo AssayMap (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) and injected on an LC-MS/MS coupling. LC-MS/MS analyses of peptide extracts were performed on a NanoAcquity LC-system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Q-Orbitrap (Q-Exactive Plus from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) mass spectrometer equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source. Database searches were performed using Mascot (version 2.6.2, MatrixScience, London, UK) against an Arabidopsis thaliana protein sequences database downloaded from The Arabidopsis Information Resource TAIR site (TAIR10 version gene model), in which common contaminants and decoy sequences were added (2 x27534protein entries in total). Spectra were searched with a mass tolerance of 15 ppm in MS mode and 0.07 Da in MS/MS mode. One trypsin missed cleavage was tolerated. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set as a fixed modification. Oxidation of methionine residues and acetylation of proteins’ n-termini were set as variable modifications. Identification results were imported into Proline software (http://proline.profiproteomics.fr/) for validation. Peptide Spectrum Matches (PSM) with pretty rank equal to one were retained. False Discovery Rate was then optimized to be below 1% at PSM level using Mascot E-value and below 1% at Protein Level using Mascot score and more than one specific peptide was required. Protein abundances of RPS, RPL and RPP ribosomal proteins were estimated using weighted spectral counts. Detailed sample preparation and LC-MS/MS protocols and data analysis workflows are provided in Supplementary Information 3 and data 1.

**Note Added in Proof**

Since the completion of this work, a relevant paper has appeared: Dynamics and thermal sensitivity of rRNA maturation paths in plants. Shanmugam et al., Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 72, No. 21 pp. 7626–7644, 2021. This study supports our findings on rapid and reversible processing changes of pre-rRNA is response to high temperature in Arabidopsis. They also reported similar pre-rRNA processing changes in tomato and rice plants.
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