An anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic proprietary Chinese medicine nasal spray designated as Allergic Rhinitis Nose Drops (ARND) with potential to prevent SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus infection by targeting RBD (Delta)-angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) binding
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Abstract

Background: Since the outbreak of COVID-19 has resulted in over 313,000,000 confirmed cases of infection and over 5,500,000 deaths, substantial research work has been conducted to discover agents/ vaccines against COVID-19. Undesired adverse effects were observed in clinical practice and common vaccines do not protect the nasal tissue. An increasing volume of direct evidence based on clinical studies of traditional Chinese medicines (TCM) in the treatment of COVID-19 has been reported. However, the safe anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic proprietary Chinese medicines nasal spray, designated as Allergic Rhinitis Nose Drops (ARND), and its potential of re-purposing for suppressing viral infection via SARS-CoV-2 RBD (Delta)- angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) binding have not been elucidated.

Purpose: To characterize ARND as a potential SARS-CoV-2 entry inhibitor for its possible preventive application in anti-virus hygienic agent.

Methods: Network pharmacology analysis of ARND was adopted to ascertain gene targets which were commonly affected by COVID-19. The inhibitory effect of ARND on viral infection was determined by an in vitro pseudovirus
Introduction
Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the disease caused by the virus designated as 2019-nCoV, which carries the scientific name of 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2)' [1]. As of January 18, 2022, COVID-19 has speedily disseminated to many different countries and regions with 326,279,424 confirmed cases and 5,536,009 deaths all over the world according to statistics of the World Health Organization (WHO), having a major deleterious impact on humans and society, and posing an unprecedented, immense menace to the global public health system. SARS-CoV-2 is exceedingly contagious. Infants and children are generally vulnerable whereas the elderly and patients with chronic disease have a heightened risk of infection [2].

Inflammation is the major pathological response of the body after SARS-CoV-2 infection, in which elevated cytokine levels, such as IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, NOS2 and CCL2 are often observed in COVID-19 patients [3–6]. Excessive inflammation and thus 'cytokine storm' are frequently the outcomes. Cytokine storm is a process of enhanced monocyte recruitment and differentiation but it causes damage to the body's own immune system at the same time. Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), including NF-κB2 (alternatively called p52) and c-Rel, is a member of a family of inducible transcription factors that controls a vast assay. Furthermore, ARND was confirmed to have a strong binding affinity with ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike-RBD (Delta) by ELISA. Finally, inflammatory and fibrotic cell models were used in conjunction in this study.

Results: The results suggested ARND not only inhibited pseudovirus infection and undermined the binding affinity between ACE2 and the Spike protein (Delta), but also attenuated the inflammatory response upon infection and may lead to a better prognosis with a lower risk of pulmonary fibrosis. The data in this study also provide a basis for further development of ARND as an antiviral hygienic product and further investigations on ARND in the live virus, in vivo and COVID-19 patients. ARND holds promise for use in the current COVID-19 outbreak as well as in future pandemics.

Conclusion: ARND could be considered as a safe anti-SARS-CoV-2 agent with potential to prevent SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus infection.
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number of genes involved in immunological and inflammatory responses [7]. It could be activated by non-structural protein region open reading frame (ORF)-3a and 7a as well as structural protein membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) of SARS-CoV-2 [8]. Nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) or inducible NOS, is also involved in the inflammatory responses that occur after infection or tissue injury [9]. Increased iNOS for NO production against the virus may lead to lung injury under the cytokine storm [10]. The abovementioned evidence indicates that suppression of these cytokines of the cytokine storm may help to curb the excessive inflammatory response after viral infection [11].

Lung fibrosis indicates a hallmark symptom of COVID-19 and a major complication to COVID-19 survivors. It is a consequence of severe lung injury that results from disorder wound healing process [12]. TGF-β is involved in a continuous immune reaction in severe COVID-19 patients [13] and its overexpression engenders serious fibrosis in the lungs [14]. A previous study proved that cells treated with TGF-β shifted more toward mesenchymal state in murine NSCLC cells, suggesting TGF-β is a key regulator of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) upon SARS-CoV-2 infection [15]. In addition to EMT, an increase in expression of α-smooth muscle actin, a differentiation marker of myofibroblasts is associated with fibrosis [16, 17]. The CXCR6/CXCL16 axis was found to have a critical role in the immunopathogenesis and pulmonary fibrosis of COVID-19, in which overexpression of their ligands promotes proliferation and collagen production [18, 19]. Besides, several interleukins, such as IL-17 and IL-25, induce proliferation and differentiation of fibroblasts, and enhance collagen synthesis as well as EMT, to promote pulmonary fibrosis [20]. Fibrosis is also characterized by an unregulated and excessive deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) components [21]. ECM is a viscoelastic gel containing proteoglycans, hyaluronan, and various glycoproteins encompassed in a matrix of collagens, elastic fibers and fibronectin [22]. An accumulation of ECM of viral infection induced fibrosis is typically observed [23]. Therefore, inhibition of the mentioned mediators may present a new therapeutic strategy targeting COVID-19 induced pulmonary fibrosis.

Common antiviral approaches deployed since the outbreak of COVID-19 include vaccines, cytokine-suppression, antibody-based treatments, and peptide based treatments [24, 25]. The SARS-CoV-2 spike-RBD was used as a target for antibody cocktails and an antigen for vaccine development, but common vaccines lack the ability to protect nasal tissue from SARS-CoV-2. The antiviral agents nafamostat and remdesivir [24, 26], as well as IL-6 inhibitors such as clazakizumab, siltuximab, tocilizumab and sarilumab [5], have been commonly used. Unfortunately, untoward side effects were encountered clinically. Hepatotoxicity, gastrointestinal symptoms, respiratory toxicity, cardiovascular toxicity, nephrotoxicity and skin infections have been reported [5, 27, 28]. At present, there are no good drugs free of side effects for the therapy of post inflammatory COVID-19 lung fibrosis. The antifibrotic drugs nintedanib and pirfenidone suffer from the drawback of liver toxicity and in addition nintedanib brings about an elevated risk of bleeding since the majority of COVID-19 patients are treated with anticoagulant medications [29]. Such side effects have considerably limited the spectrum of usage of these antiviral and antifibrotic agents.

On the other hand, a positive therapeutic role of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has been emphasized. In China, TCM was used for treatment in about 91.5% of the confirmed COVID-19 cases [30]. A clinical efficacy rate of TCM exceeding 90% has been observed. TCM can effectively relieve symptoms and increase the cure rate. The “Diagnosis and treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (Trial version 8)” [31] hints that TCM possesses a potential for use in clinical medications and disease prevention and control strategies against COVID-19. At the onset of the pandemic, Ren et al. [32] noted that early intervention with TCM was effective in 102 COVID cases with moderate symptoms. Another study also discovered that with the use of TCM, the mean durations of fever, clinical remission, and hospital stay were all significantly reduced [33]. Up till now, an increasing amount of direct evidence based on clinical trials of TCM in the treatment of COVID-19 has been published [34]. TCM has been found to enhance the overall cure rate and attenuate the clinical manifestations of COVID-19 infected individuals. TCM may be potentially beneficial as a preventive or therapeutic anti-COVID-19 measure [33, 35, 36]. The identification of specific TCM inhibitors targeting the spike protein is also a vital approach for the prevention of COVID-19.

Allergic Rhinitis Nose Drops (ARND) is a commercially available proprietary Chinese medicine nasal spray in Hong Kong SAR, which is under the transitional arrangement of registration of proprietary Chinese medicines in Department of Health, Hong Hong SAR Government. The tests of contents of heavy metals and toxic elements conducted by Castco Testing Centre Limited, an accredited testing laboratory recognized by Department of Health, including arsenic, cadmium, lead and mercury; and residual pesticides including aldrin and dieldrin, chlordane, DDT, endrine, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorocyclohexane, lindane and quintozene; and microbes including aerobic plate count, E.coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, molds and
yeas all met the standards set by Department of Health. ARND is commonly used to treat hypersensitivity reactions in patients with allergic rhinitis [37]. There are some common upper airway symptoms in allergic rhinitis and COVID-19 [38] and hypersensitivity in the immune system, both of which involve phagocytic cells (e.g.: macrophages and monocytes) and release of cytokines (e.g.: IL-1 and TNF-α) [39]. As it is commonly used to treat airway diseases and no adverse effect has been reported, its potential utility upon SARS-CoV-2 infection and post-infection deserves investigation. In addition, ARND could exert its effects on 118 gene targets commonly affected by COVID-19 as demonstrated by us using network pharmacology analysis. Therefore, the potential effects of re-purposing ARND in suppressing SARS-CoV-2 viral infection, post-infection inflammation and fibrosis were hypothesized and examined in this study. With the use of bioinformatics investigation (network pharmacology analysis) and biotechnology validation (pseudovirus infection assay, inflammatory and fibrotic in vitro models), it may be feasible to explain the in vitro inhibitory effects of ARND on SARS-CoV-2 viral infection, cytokine storm and fibrosis.

Results and discussion

ARND was a potential agent against coronavirus diseases

To study if ARND was effective in targeting on genes affected by COVID-19, a network pharmacology analysis between ARND and SARS-CoV-2 was conducted. Being an extensively employed model, multi-layer networks which entail the depiction/visualization of multiple levels of interactions among medicinal plants, phytoconstituents, targets, pathways (bioprocesses), and ailments (functions, or effects) were adopted in this study [40].

Screening for active ingredients and related gene targets

For ARND, 229 compounds satisfied the criteria of an OB ≥ 30% and a DL ≥ 0.18, and 554 of their related gene targets were identified. For SARS-CoV-2, there were 805 gene targets identified (Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A1). As shown in the Venn diagram in Fig. 1A and Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A1, ARND could exert its effects on 118 gene targets which were commonly affected by COVID-19. A detailed list of gene targets is shown in Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A2. Since the gene targets were found related to inflammation and fibrosis, such as IL1B, NOS2, TGFBI and IL17A, we hypothesized ARND had potential against COVID-19.

Drug–chemical-target and PPI network analysis

To globally depict the mechanism of ARND’s treatment to COVID-19, a drug–chemical-target relationship network, which shows 118 gene targets related to COVID-19 were potentially regulated by 52 chemicals of 11 drugs (herbs) in ARND, was constructed (Fig. 1B). The herbs are listed in the legend of Fig. 1. The most often utilized herbs for treating COVID-19 included the following as reported by different authors: Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (drug 3) [41–43], Scutellariae Radix (drug 4) [42–46], Menthae Haplocalycis Herba (drug 5) [43], Platycodonis Radix (drug 6) [42, 43], Saposhnikoviae Radix (drug 7) [42], Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (drug 8) [42, 44–46], Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (drug 10) [41–43, 45, 47] which was strongly paired with Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium [48]. Among the drugs, drugs 3, 7 and 10 were used most often for prevention of COVID-19 in China by tonifying qi to protect from pathogens, dispel wind, discharge heat, and eliminate dampness [42]. While drugs 4 and 8 were dampness removing herbs used to combat COVID-19 [44]. The herbs in ARND had been used in various TCM formulae effective against COVID-19 in clinical practice, such as drug 10 in Huashi Baidu Decoction; drugs 4, 8 and 10 in Qingfei Paidu decoction; drugs 6, 8 and 10 in Huoxiang Zhengqi capsule; drugs 3, 4, 5 and 10 in Jinhua Qinggan granule; drugs 3, 5 and 10 in Lianhuaqingwen capsules; drugs 3, 4 and 10 in Tanreqing injection; drug 10 in Shufeng Jiedu capsule and drug 3 in Reduning injection [49]. Herbs in ARND were also utilized in different stages of COVID-19 patients, including drug 3 frequently employed in the observational stage and drug 6 used in the mild stage; drug 4 most applied in moderate, severe and critical stages and drug 10 most utilized in all the stages [42]. The variety of clinical usage of herbs in ARND showed the potential of ARND to be re-purposed for combating COVID-19.

A detailed list of chemicals which had gene targets overlapping with those of COVID-19 and target-compound-drug list are shown in Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A3, A4 respectively. The results provided information of genes and pathways that are potentially regulated by the chemical compounds in different herbs. The anti-COVID-19 chemicals in ARND and their mechanisms of anti-COVID-19 action are discussed wherever possible in the following. ACE2 and chymotrypsin like protease [3CLpro or main protease (Mpro)] are pivotal for viral entry [50] and viral replication [51] in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Herbs and chemical compounds in ARND were found to be potential inhibitors of ACE2 and 3CLpro.

Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (drug 3) was an ACE2 inhibitor [52]. Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (drug 8) [53] as well as quercetin and glabridin from Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (drug 10) [54] were able to downregulate ACE2. Oroxylin A from Scutellariae Radix (drug 4) demonstrated binding to the ACE2 receptor on human
embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells and prevented SARS-CoV-2 from entering the cells [55]. Paeoniae Radix Alba (drug 9) is a 3CLpro inhibitor and it contains kaempferol and beta-sitosterol both of which inhibit SARS-CoV2 [56]. Berberine from Coptidis Rhizoma (drug 2) was also reported to have potential inhibitory activity on COVID-19 Mpro [57]. It further downregulates pro-inflammatory cytokines, prevents SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication [58]; and exerts a protective action against tissue damage [59]. Beta-sitosterol is found in many herbs, including Platycodonis Radix (drug 6), drugs 3 and 10. It exerts potential inhibitory activity on SARS-CoV2 Mpro [60]. Indeed, multiple chemical compounds in ARND targeted on either ACE2 or 3CLpro, such as kaempferol, luteolin and quercetin from Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (drug 3) [61], baicalein, wogonin and oroxylin A
from Scutellariae Radix (drug 4) [62, 63] and naringenin from Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (drug 8) [64]. They manifested anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and free radical scavenging activities through their actions on a multitude of molecules encompassing (Caspase 3) CASP3, IL-6, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) 1, 8, and 14, in the signaling pathways of IL-17, NF-κB, TNF and NOS [63, 65, 66] to achieve their anti-COVID-19 mechanisms. Among the chemical compounds, luteolin showed high affinity binding to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro [67]. Kaempferol showed high affinity binding at the substrate binding pocket of 3CLpro and interacted with the active site residues comprising His41 and Cys145 through hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding [66]. Quercetin inhibited 3CLpro, papain-like protease (PLpro), and SARS-CoV-2 replication, and manifested anti-inflammatory and thrombin-inhibitory activities [68]. Baicalein and the ethanolic extract of drug 4 suppressed SARS-CoV-2 replication [69] in Vero cells with an EC50 value of 2.9 µM and 0.74 µg/ml, respectively and SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro with an IC50 value of 0.39 µM and 8.52 µg/ml, respectively [62]. Baicalein also demonstrated high affinity binding to the transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2), another key player in cellular entry by the SARS-CoV viruses with Asp-345, His-296 and Ser-441 in the active binding site [70].

In addition to Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A3, there are also other chemical compounds in ARND with reported effects on ACE2 or 3CLpro against COVID-19. For example, hesperidin from Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (drug 8) forestalls SARS-CoV-2 entry to the host through ACE2 receptors. It also has anti-inflammatory activity for alleviating cytokine storm. A mixture of hesperidin and diosmine when given in conjunction with heparin protected against venous thromboembolism and thus slows disease deterioration [71, 72]. Glycyrrhizin from Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (drug 10) brought about cholesterol-dependent lipid raft disorganization paramount to coronaviruses to gain entry into cells. At levels found inside the cells in the circulation, glycyrrhizin sequestered high mobility group box 1 protein and interfered with its action as alarmin [73]. Drug 10 extract and glycyrrhizin impeded uptake of COVID-19 into host cells, thwarted the interaction between ACE2 and receptor-binding domain of SARS-COV2, and exerted protective actions against inflammation-induced acute pulmonary damage and cardiovascular derangements [74]. A patient who experienced severe COVID-19 and treated with steroid-like diammonium glycyrrhizinate together with ascorbic acid was able to recover from the disease [75]. Network pharmacology followed by molecular docking were employed to ascertain the mechanism through which chlorogenic acid from Jujubae Fructus (drug 11) [76] affected COVID-19, resulting in 70 potential targets associated with COVID-19 treatment, with ACE, estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1), heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1), IL-6, and NFE2 Like BZIP transcription factor 2 (NFE2L2) and peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARG), as the key potential targets. The potential anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of chlorogenic acid was exerted through integrating three common receptors in clinical practice in comparison with clinical trial drugs registered for COVID-19 treatment, as demonstrated by molecular docking [77]. Chlorogenic acid which exhibits binding affinity to cell-surface heat shock protein A5 substrate-binding domain β, the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein recognition site, would impair SARS-CoV-2 attachment to host cells [78]. Ursolic acid and ursonic acids which are triterpenes from drug 11 [76, 79] were shown to be potential inhibitors of the Mpro of COVID-19 [79–81]. Glycyrhrizin from drug 10 [57] and hesperetin from Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (drug 8) [57] were also found to possess potential inhibitory activity on COVID-19 Mpro.

Moreover, the action mechanisms of reported chemical compounds in ARND combating COVID-19 were diverse. Pathway analysis indicated that baicalin (drug 4) has targets in human cells associated with signals of pro-inflammatory cytokines [82]. The results of prediction by using the Swiss Target Prediction server disclosed that norwogonin (drug 4) and baicalein displayed binding with a high affinity for enzymes associated with pulmonary damage, such as arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase (ALOX15), cycline dependent kinase 1 (CDK1), lysine-specific demethylase 4D (KDM4D), and xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH) [63]. The triterpenoid saponin platycodin D from Platycodonis Radix (drug 6) obstructed the two major routes of SARS-CoV-2 infection through lysosome- and TMPRSS2-driven entrance. Platycodon D forestalled SARS-CoV-2 entry to the host by redistributing membrane cholesterol to repress membrane fusion, which could be reinstated by administration of an agent encapsulating platycodin D [83]. Results from the network pharmacology study disclosed the presence of a multitude of compounds in ARND with possible repressive activity on SARS-CoV-2. The results also provided a basis for repurposing ARND and potential compounds in ARND to be deployed as COVID-19 inhibitors. To select the key targets between ARND and SARS-CoV-2 for further verification, the 118 intersection targets were analyzed with STRING and imported into CytoScape for construction of a PPI network (Fig. 1C). The protein nodes were arranged in order by degree according to the colour from deep red (high degree) to light yellow (low degree). The degrees of 66 nodes were greater than the average number of neighbors (43.864) and were coloured from as deep red to orange (Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A5).
Among the identified gene targets, IL6, TNF, IL1B, CCL2, NFKBIA, NOS2, TGFBI and IL17A, which are respectively related to inflammation and fibrosis and detected at heightened levels in COVID-19 patients, were selected for further validation of the network pharmacology results.

**Enrichment analysis**

For the site of expression enrichment analysis, the \( p \)-value of gene targets and top ten results analyzed by the Funrich software are summarized in Fig. 2A and Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A6. According to the results, the lungs are involved with the highest percentage of genes between ARND and COVID-19. The lungs are the organs most adversely impacted by COVID-19 because the SARS-CoV-2 virus attaches to host cells via ACE2, which occurs in abundance on the surface of type II alveolar cells in the lungs. The common biological pathways which ARND and SARS-CoV-2 affect were integrin family cell surface interactions (Fig. 2B and Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A7). Viruses bind to cell-surface integrins facilitating viral entry, whereas integrins mediate a variety of signaling pathways which are dysregulated by SARS-CoV-2 virus binding, leading to tissue damage [84]. Results pertaining to integrins suggest that ARND acts by inhibiting viral interactions with the integrins to exert its antiviral effect.

Through GO enrichment analysis based on common ARND-COVID-19 gene targets, a total of 2345 terms related to the effects of ARND on COVID-19 were obtained, and these terms could be divided into three categories, including 2150 terms of biological process, 66 terms of cellular component and 129 terms of molecular function. The top 20 terms in the three categories above are shown as bubble charts in Fig. 3A–C and Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Tables A8–10. According to the results, ARND primarily targeted the response to lipopolysaccharide, membrane rafts and cytokine receptor binding. This suggested that ARND could activate or halt gene targets and/or cytokines related to the lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathways [85] and viral entry through membrane rafts [86], hence altering the actions of COVID-19.

Through the results of KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, we acquired 166 signaling pathways involved in the possible mechanism by which ARND affects COVID-19 and the top 20 pathways are shown in Fig. 3D and Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A11. The results suggested that the intersection targets are concentrated mainly in AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications. It has been known that increased levels of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are associated with diabetes and receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) is mainly expressed by type II alveolar cells, which has a significant role in SARS-CoV-2 induced cytokine storm and lung injury [87]. Besides, the accumulation of AGEs is also found during aging, this may add value to why aging is one of the risk factors for COVID-19 [87]. These results
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**Fig. 2** Site of expression and biological pathway enrichment analysis of ARND. According to the results, (A) lung takes the highest percentage of genes between ARND and COVID-19 for site of expression and (B) the common biological pathways between ARND and COVID-19 was integrin family cell surface interactions. The height of the grid is proportional to the number of genes.
altogether supported that ARND may have potential against COVID-19 by acting on the AGE-RAGE signaling pathway and it may be pertinent especially for the elderly.

**ARND significantly suppressed pseudovirus infection by interrupting viral entry via ACE2**

To screen for anti-viral effects of drugs or agents, a pseudovirus infection model was typically employed [88]. Pseudoviruses are good surrogates for the extremely hazardous and pathogenic SARS-CoV-2 virus owing to genetic stability, scalability and safety for performing assays to screen for drug candidates [89]. In this study, a SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection model with upper respiratory tract epithelial A549 cells or with transient ACE2-overexpressed 293 T cells was employed [90, 91]. It was revealed that, after pre-treatment with ARND at concentrations ranging from 0.625 to 5 µL/mL, a significantly ($p < 0.05$) abated percentage (> 50%) of pseudovirus infection was detected in ARND-treated groups versus the control group without ARND treatment (Fig. 4A). Besides, in Fig. 4B, the reduction in viability of ARND-treated A549 cells did not exceed 50%, indicating that ARND did not affect lung cell viability. The decreased infection percentage was not an outcome of a decreased number of cells but rather the consequence of the action of ARND. Figure 4 demonstrates that ARND exerted a suppressive action on pseudovirus infection of the human lung A549 cells, which corroborated the results in
A previous study revealed that ACE2 binding was one of the mechanisms of cell entry during SARS-CoV-2 infection [50]. Hence, interrupting the virus receptor binding of ACE2 in the human body may prevent and control the infection of SARS-CoV-2 [92]. To confirm if the inhibitory effect of ARND on pseudovirus infection was achieved by interrupting viral entry via ACE2, transient transfection of 293 T cells for overexpressing ACE2 followed by pseudovirus infection was performed. In Fig. 5A, the results suggested ARND exerted a restraining effect of more than 50% on the percentage of infection ($p<0.05$) when ACE2 was overexpressed in 293 T cells. In Fig. 5B, the viability of ACE2 overexpressing 293 T cells treated with different concentrations of ARND all exceeded 50%, indicating that the viability of the cells was not affected by ARND. The results in Fig. 5 revealed that ARND prevented pseudovirus infection by interrupting viral entry through ACE2, which validated the hypothesis generated in network pharmacology analysis that ARND may act on genes related to viral entry. The results also provided evidence for further validation with live virus.

**ARND interrupted viral entry by blocking Spike RBD-ACE2 binding**

Besides ACE2 receptor, RBD of the spike protein, a glycoprotein on the viral surface, is the most important domain of coronaviruses in the process of virus-receptor interaction for cell entry [93]. To specify the target of ARND in interfering with viral entry, the assay of inhibition of formation of the Spike RBD-ACE2 complex was performed, in which the effects of ARND on competing for binding to ACE2 or Spike RBD were examined. In Fig. 6A, the results revealed that ARND at both low concentrations (1.25–5 μL/mL) and high concentrations (62.5 to 500 μL/mL) was able to bind to ACE2 receptor in a dose-dependent manner, and very significantly ($p<0.001$) competed with the Spike RBD in the formation of the Spike RBD-ACE2 complex. The results in Fig. 6B disclosed that ARND at different concentrations was also able to dose-dependently compete for binding to Spike RBD ($p<0.001$). Both results suggested that viral entry could be hindered by ARND, which bound to either ACE2 or Spike RBD, in blocking the binding of ACE2 and Spike RBD and thus impeding formation of Spike RBD-ACE2 complex (Fig. 6A, B). Previous surface plasmon resonance assay with glycyrrhizic acid, and molecular docking study of baicalin and glycyrrhizin suggested that they exerted inhibitory activity against the Spike RBD of SARS-CoV-2 and/or ACE2 of host receptor by docking [93, 94]. A recent patent disclosed that Centipeda minima (drug 1 in ARND) acts on ACE2 [110]. As ARND may contain these chemical compounds, this may explain why ARND has a potential in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has mutated in the spike region over time [95, 96], resulting in a stronger association with human ACE2 (hACE2) and a higher infectivity than the original strain [97]. To study if ARND was a potential inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 variants, its inhibitory effect on delta Spike RBD with a commercial available kit was studied. In Fig. 6C, ARND was found to significantly ($p<0.001$) compete with the delta Spike RBD in the formation of the Spike RBD-ACE2 complex at both low concentrations.
concentrations (1.25–5 µL/mL) and high concentrations (62.5 to 500 µL/mL) in a dose-dependent manner. The above results (Fig. 4, 5, 6) constitute the first report on the effects of a commercially available TCM composition, ARND, in thwarting pseudoviral infection via interrupting viral entry through ACE2. Different drugs and agents have been re-purposed for combating COVID-19, and they have different mechanisms of action against SARS-CoV-2 [98], For instance, remdesivir is known to inhibit viral replication upon infection [99]. In the present study, ARND has been re-purposed to have potential for acting against COVID-19 by interfering with viral entry of SARS-CoV-2.

**ARND attenuated inflammatory response of RAW 264.7 cells**

To assess the anti-inflammatory effect of ARND, RAW264.7 macrophages were employed. M1 macrophages are defined as macrophages secreting pro-inflammatory cytokines and involved in different inflammatory processes [7]. Therefore, typical inflammatory M1 inducers, namely LPS and IFN-γ were used. The results of the cell viability assay showed that ARND did not inhibit cell proliferation from 0.625 to 5 µL/mL, suggesting that ARND was devoid of toxicity (Fig. 7A). After treatments with ARND and inflammatory M1 inducers (20 ng/mL IFN-γ and 100 ng/mL LPS), mRNA expression levels of transcription factors (NFκB2 and c-Rel), cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α and CCL-2) as well as nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) in RAW264.7 cells were measured to examine the effects of ARND on the inflammatory response. The group which did not receive treatment with either ARND or inflammatory M1 inducers served as the control group. The group receiving treatment with only inflammatory M1 inducers served as the model group. It was demonstrated that a significant increment in mRNA
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**Fig. 6** ARND could bind to either ACE2 or Spike RBD. A ARND at different concentrations was able to bind to ACE2 receptor, and significantly competed with the Spike RBD in formation of the Spike RBD-ACE2 complex (n = 3). B ARND at different concentrations was also able to dose-dependently bind to Spike RBD. The higher the concentration of ARND, the higher was the percentage inhibition of binding (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 vs 0 µL/mL.
expression levels of NFκB2, c-Rel, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, CCL-2 and NOS2 occurred in the model group ($p < 0.01$). The mRNA expression levels of the inflammatory biomarkers, including those selected from network pharmacology analysis, were significantly undermined after treatment with ARND ($p < 0.05$), signifying that in line with results from network pharmacology analysis, ARND manifested an anti-inflammatory effect (Fig. 7B–D). The majority of herbs in ARND, such as Lonicerae Japonicae Flos, Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma and Jujubae Fructus, are known to elicit different extents of anti-inflammatory effects, [100]. There are also common chemical components in herbs of ARND, such as quercetin and kaempferol targeting multiple genes related to inflammation (Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A4). This has led to the results that ARND possessed anti-inflammatory activity, which may be useful for suppressing the cytokine storm brought about by infection with SARS-CoV-2. The above results also supported further investigations on the possible ability of ARND to inhibit inflammation in vivo.
**ARND prevented fibrosis of Calu-3 cells**

Previous studies disclosed that the hyperinflammatory phenotype was considerably higher in the bronchi than in the nasopharynx of the COVID-19 patients [101, 102]. Oxidative stress in the lung tissues is a characteristic of infections caused by SARS-CoV-2 [103] and an important mechanism underlying fibrosis [104]. To study if ARND could prevent fibrosis, a H$_2$O$_2$-induced lung fibrosis cell model of the lower respiratory tract Calu-3 cells was established for investigation [105, 106]. Before establishment of the fibrotic cell model, the effects of ARND and H$_2$O$_2$ on cell viability of Calu-3 cells were examined. ARND at different concentrations (0.625–5 µL/mL) were used to treat Calu-3 cells. The results suggested that ARND did not reduce viability of Calu-3 cells, demonstrating that ARND was devoid of toxicity towards Calu-3 cells (Fig. 8A). Different concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ were also studied to select the appropriate concentration for further experiments. In Fig. 8B, it was observed that a significant decrease in cell viability was detected at H$_2$O$_2$ concentration from 62.5 ($p<0.05$) to 125 µM ($p<0.001$). Thus, the approximate mean value of these two concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ (100 µM) was selected for establishment of the model of cell fibrosis.

After co-treatment with ARND and H$_2$O$_2$, the mRNA expression levels of different fibrotic biomarkers related to EMT (TGF-β, CXCL-16, CXCR4, IL-17, IL-25 and α-SMA) as well as ECM (Col-1a, Col-4a, elastin, and Fn1) were examined. A significant rise in expression levels of the biomarkers in the model group with only H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (100 µM) was observed ($p<0.05$) versus the control group without H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (0 µM). In those groups receiving pre-treatment with different concentrations of ARND, a significant decline to various extents was observed in expression levels of those biomarkers. The results in Fig. 8C suggested ARND significantly reduced the expression levels of EMT biomarkers, including TGF-β and IL-17 selected from network pharmacology analysis ($p<0.05$), indicating its potential effect of reversing the EMT state of the cells. In Fig. 8D, ARND significantly ameliorated the upregulation in expression levels of Col-1a, Col-4a, elastin, and Fn1 ($p<0.05$), suggesting ARND could remodel the ECM in the lung tissue to prevent fibrosis. ARND inhibited the mentioned mediators which supported further investigation of it being a therapeutic agent targeting pulmonary fibrosis. A previous study suggested that chemical compounds such as quercetin was effective for pulmonary fibrosis therapy [107] and quercetin was one of the chemical components in ARND (Additional file METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A4), which has been studied in a clinical trial for its beneficial effects against COVID-19 at an early stage [108]. Results from the present study lay foundation for further animal studies and clinical trials.

ARND used in the present study complies with the international requirements for limits regarding microbial contaminants, and pesticide and heavy metal residues. In a published randomized, placebo-controlled and double-blinded study [37], with a cross-over arrangement for placebo or ARND administration, patients with clinically confirmed perennial allergic rhinitis were randomly allocated to two groups: an ARND-treated group and a placebo-treated group, with 20 and 15 patients in the 2 groups respectively. The ARND group received ARND (2 sprays per nostril, 5 times daily) in weeks 0–2, washout in weeks 3–5 and placebo in weeks 4–6. The placebo group received placebo in weeks 0–2, washout in weeks 3–5 and ARND in weeks 4–6. The patients were assessed by an internal medicine specialist and also examined by a Chinese medicine practitioner based on the Chinese medicinal practice. Blood analysis and assessment of quality of life were performed at baseline, and again at the end of the 2nd, 5th and 7th weeks. The Clinical Symptoms Scores of the patients based on their rhinitis symptoms i.e. nasal itching (itchiness), nasal obstruction (stiffness), running nose, and sneezing, where 0 = lack of symptoms; 1 = slight symptoms; 2 = moderate symptoms; and 3 = severe symptoms, with the total score from 0 to 12 for the four types of symptoms were recorded by the internal medicine specialist. The scale of scores was based on the study protocol of Ventura et al. [109] on fluticasone. Laboratory tests of hematological status (complete blood picture such as erythrocytes and leukocytes), fasting glucose, C-reactive protein, alanine aminotransferase reflecting hepatic function, and creatinine reflecting kidney function were performed. The change in quality of life of the patients was determined with the instrument ChQOL designed by the Research and Development Division, School of Chinese Medicine, Hong Kong Baptist University [110].

Alleviation of symptoms, i.e., nasal itching (itchiness), nasal obstruction (stiffness), running nose, and sneezing evidenced by reduction in the total Clinical Symptoms Score values was noted in almost all of the patients after ARND treatment at the end of the 2nd week in the ARND-treated group and at the end of the 7th week in the placebo-treated group. Improvements were limited to sneezing and running nose in the ARND-treated group after placebo treatment. In contrast, no symptomatic changes were detected at the end of the 2nd week in the placebo-treated group after placebo treatment. The results revealed the absence of any adverse alterations in liver and kidney functions, C-reactive protein and haematological parameters throughout the course
of the investigation. In the ARND-treated group, significantly better sleep and complexion were noted following ARND treatment, but not following placebo treatment when the scores were compared with those obtained after the washout period. A significant reduction of consciousness and spirit of the eye bringing about a significant decline in the score of the domain of spirit was noted following placebo treatment in the ARND-treated group, but not following ARND treatment. In the placebo-treated group, no significant changes in any aspect was seen when the patients received placebo treatment for the first two weeks. However, significantly better appetite and digestion and pleasure were noticed due to ARND treatment subsequent to the washout period.
When the results from the ARND-treated group after ARND treatment in the first 2 weeks were pooled with those from the placebo-treated group after ARND treatment in the last 2 weeks, significantly better sleep and complexion were seen when the ARND treatment was completed in comparison with the total baseline level. No changes were seen when results from the ARND-treated group were pooled with those from the placebo-treated group after the placebo treatment. The above results illustrated that ARND is safe. No reports on undesirable reactions have appeared over the years since its launching in the market. The present report demonstrates its additional potential usefulness to prevent SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus infection. The clinical efficacy of ARND against the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus and its various active principles disclosed in previous investigations mentioned in “Drug-chemical-target and PPI network analysis” section above remain to be established. The multiplicity of active ingredients in Chinese medicinal formulas [42–49] and western drugs [111, 112] composed of ingredients with distinctly different modes of action used to combat SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus infection is a common observation.

In a nationwide study performed in Korea, allergic rhinitis brought about an elevated risk of susceptibility to COVID-19 infection and poorer prognosis of COVID-19 [113]. In contrast, in a study carried out in Turkey [114] and in another conducted in Italy [115], the severity of COVID-19 was not influenced by allergic rhinitis. Pediatric allergic rhinitis may be accompanied with a milder course of COVID-19 disease [116]. In Chinese patients, allergic rhinitis did not affect COVID-19 mortality. Anti- allergic rhinitis drugs including antihistamines, β2 adrenoceptor agonists, and corticosteroids were not associated with COVID-19 infection or its severity. Allergic rhinitis protects individuals of all ages against COVID-19 infection [117]. Thus the picture regarding the association between allergic rhinitis and COVID-19 is intriguing and not clearcut at the moment. Corticosteroid nasal spray is used to facilitate recovery of the sensation of smell lost in COVID-19 patients [118] and povidone nasal spray is used to decrease the load of COVID-19 in the nasopharynx [119]. Intranasal anti-COVID-19 vaccines have been proposed [120]. Since SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus enters via the nasal passage a nasal spray like ARND would be an easy and convenient way of administration at home to prevent or treat COVID-19.

Materials and methods
ARND
Allergic Rhinitis Nose Drops (ARND, batch number 20010626) was provided by Lai Sing Medicine Factory Limited (Lai’s Medicine). It is composed of 11 Chinese medicinal herbs, including Centipedae Herba (Pinyin: Ebushicao, 23% by weight), Menthae Haplocalycis Herba (Pinyin: Bohe, 16%), Paeoniae Radix Alba (Pinyin: Baishao, 16%), Scutellariae Radix (Pinyin: Huangqin, 10%), Platycodonis Radix (Pinyin: Jiegeng, 6%), Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (Pinyin: Gancao, 6%), Lonicerae Japonicae Flos (Pinyin: Jinyinhua, 5%), Saposhnikoviae Radix (Pinyin: Fangfeng, 5%), Jujubae Fructus (Pinyin: Dazao, 5%), Coptidis Rhizoma (Pinyin: Huanglian, 4%), and Citri Reticulatae Pericarpium (Pinyin: Chenpi, 4%). ARND is a water extract of all the above herbal medicines. The yield of chemical components in ARND is calculated to be 33.2 ± 0.35 mg/mL (mean ± standard deviation, n = 3). The preparation of ARND is summarized in Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: ARND preparation.

Cell culture
A549 lung cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA; CCL-185), 293 T embryonic kidney cells (ATCC; CRL-3216), RAW264.7 macrophages (ATCC; TIB-71) and Calu-3 lung cells (Hunan Fenghui Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Hunan, PRC; CL0062) were maintained in complete medium of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 1% antibiotics–antimycotic (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Cell subculture was performed at 60–70% confluence.

Network pharmacology analysis
Predicted gene targets of ARND
Network pharmacology analysis was performed in accordance with the study of Wang et al. [121]. Briefly, the chemical compounds of ARND were identified using the database Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology (TCMSP: https://tcmspw.com/tcmsp.php). Druggability analysis of the identified compounds in ARND was performed using Lipinski’s rule (LR) and referenced to the TCMSP database in terms of oral bioavailability (OB) and drug-likeness (DL), respectively. Data mining between identified compounds and gene targets was performed using String (https://string-db.org/) and Uniprot (http://beta.uniprot.org/) and Drug Bank (https://go.drugbank.com/).

Potential gene target of COVID-19
Data mining between disease and gene targets was performed with PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), OMIM (https://www.omim.org/), GeneCard
Network construction
Venn online software jvenn (http://jvenn.toulouse.inra.fr/) was used to illustrate the interaction between the drug-related targets of ARND and the targets of COVID-19. The targets intersected in both ARND and COVID-19 were submitted to CytoScape (https://cytoscape.org/index.html) to generate a drug–chemical-target network. Selected targets were further analyzed using STRING (https://string-db.org/) database to construct a protein–protein interaction (PPI) network model for identifying the key targets. The biological species was set at Homo sapiens, and the minimum intersection threshold was set at “high confidence” (>0.4).

Enrichment analysis
Gene Ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were used to explore the biological pathways and potential functions. Selected targets with $p < 0.01$ were submitted to the Metascape platform (https://metascape.org/gp/index.html#/main/step1). The main biological processes and metabolic pathways were identified followed by enrichment analysis. OmicShare online tools (https://www.omicshare.com/) were used to visualize the data. A scheme of the workflow of network pharmacology analysis is shown in the graphical abstract.

Pseudovirus infection assay

**Generation of pseudovirus**
To generate the pseudovirus for SARS-CoV-2, 293 T cells were seeded in a 100 mm TC-treated cell culture dish (SPL, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) at 60–70% confluence. After 24 h, the DMEM + 10% FBS in the culture dish was replaced with 9 mL serum-free DMEM. Incubation of the cells was carried out at 37 °C for 1 h. For transfection, the transfection mix was prepared by mixing 6 μg of pVAX1-SARS-CoV-2-S (encoding Spike protein; NCBI accession number NC_045512) and 6 μg of pRGH (Red-Green-HIV-1 backbone) through PEI transfection reagent in the ratio of 1:3 in Opti-MEM medium (Gibco). The transfec-
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The viral inoculum was then removed, and fresh complete medium (200 µL) was added to the cells and incubation was allowed to proceed for another 72 h.

After pseudovirus infection, the medium in a 96-well plate was removed and replaced with PBS for image acquisition under a 10× objective using the Incucyte® S3 instrument (Essen Bioscience, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The images obtained were analyzed using the Incucyte S3 software to calculate the magnitude of the signals of fluorescence. The signal values were normalized by the uninfected cells and infected cells without ARND treatment.

**Cell viability assay**
A549 and 293 T-ACE2 cells were seeded at a cell density of 7 × 10^3 cells/well and 2 × 10^4 cells/well, respectively, in a 96-well plate for 24 h. Cells were treated with ARND at different concentrations for 24 h. To examine the viability of cells following ARND treatment, MTT (0.5 mg/mL) was added to the medium followed by incubation at 37 °C for 1 h. Formazan salts were dissolved in DMSO. The absorbance was determined at 570 nm with reference to 630 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA).

**Assay of inhibition of spike protein-ACE2 interaction**
Inhibition of binding between the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV-2 (B.1.617.2) Spike protein, namely Spike RBD or delta Spike RBD, and ACE2 receptor was studied using ELISA kits. Binding assay kits in the formats of ACE2 on plate (code: CoV-ACE2S2) and Spike RBD on plate (code:
CoV-SACE2-1) were purchased from RayBiotech Life, Inc. (Peachtree Corners, GA, USA), whereas inhibitor screening kit for delta Spike RBD (catalog no.: EP-111) was purchased from ACROBiosystems (Newark, DE, USA). Different concentrations of ARND were used, and analysis was performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. The percentage of binding inhibition was computed as shown below.

\[
[1 - \text{OD of test reagent well/OD of positive control}] \times 100\%
\]

**Treatment of RAW264.7 cells for use as a model of inflammation**

RAW264.7 macrophages were seeded at a cell density of 3 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 in culture plates for 24 h. For the cell viability assay, cells were exposed to ARND at different concentrations for 48 h. For mRNA extraction, cells were exposed to different concentrations of ARND for 24 h and then co-treated with inflammatory M1 inducers (20 ng/mL IFN-γ and 100 ng/mL LPS) for a further 24 h. The cells were then harvested. Messenger RNA (mRNA) was then extracted from the samples for further analysis.

**Treatment of Calu-3 cells for use as a model of lung fibrosis**

Calu-3 cells were seeded at a cell density of 1.2 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 in culture plates for 24 h. For the assay of viability of Calu-3 cells as a model of fibrosis, the cells were treated with different concentrations of ARND for 24 h or with H_2O_2 for 24 h. For mRNA extraction of fibrotic biomarkers, cells were treated with ARND at different concentrations for 24 h before treatment with 100 µM H_2O_2 to induce the fibrotic model for a further 24 h.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Trizol (Invitrogen) was utilized to extract endogenous mRNA from cell samples. The mRNA was converted into complementary DNA using the commercial kit for reverse transcription in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. Expression levels of the inflammatory and fibrotic biomarkers were determined with real-time PCR using the respective primers at 50 nM concentration and β-actin was employed as the mRNA housekeeping control. The details of primer sequences used are shown in Additional file_METHOD_FIG_A1_TABLES_A1_12: Table A12.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and then Tukey’s range test or Student’s t-test. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Comparisons between control and model group as well as model and different treatment groups were conducted. Data are presented as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the above in silico and in vitro results support that the proprietary Chinese medicine nasal spray ARND acts as an antiviral hygienic agent with a potential to prevent SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus infection by suppressing pseudovirus infection via targeting RBD (Delta)-ACE2 binding. ARND may be useful as a re-purposed herbal formulation nasal spray against COVID-19, which prompts further in vivo and clinical studies on ARND. The results provide support for further investigations on the effect of ARND in the live virus. Meanwhile, ARND also downregulated the levels of mRNA expression of proinflammatory transcription factors (NF-κB and c-Rel), proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α and CCL2) and NOS2 in vitro, which may be useful to attenuate the inflammatory response upon viral infection. Besides, ARND was able to downregulate mRNA expression levels of EMT (TGF-β, CXCL16, CCR6, IL-17, IL-25 and α-SMA) and ECM (Col-1a, Col-4a, elastin and Fln1), which may promote a better prognosis with a lowered risk of fibrosis in the lungs. All in all, ARND is devoid of toxicity and has potential of antiviral, antifibrotic and cytokine storm alleviating activities. ARND may offer hope in the current COVID-19 outbreak as well as in future pandemics of a similar nature. It remains to be seen whether ARND works well in the live virus and COVID-19 patients and can prevent recurrence of the viral disease.
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