Synergistic antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects of harmaline along with cinanserin in acute restraint stress-treated mice
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Abstract

Rationale Acute restraint stress (ARS) is an experimental paradigm used for the induction of rodent models of stress-produced neuropsychiatric disorders, such as depression and anxiety. β-carbolines and serotonin (5-HT) systems are involved in the modulation of depression and anxiety behaviors.

Objective This study was designed to examine the effects of intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) injection of cinanserin (5-HT2 receptor antagonist) on harmaline-induced responses on depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in the ARS mice.

Methods For i.c.v. infusion, guide cannula was surgically implanted in the left lateral ventricle of mice. The ARS model was conducted via movement restraint at a period of 4 h. Depression- and anxiety-related behaviors were evaluated by forced swim test (FST) and elevated plus maze (EPM), respectively.

Results The results displayed that the ARS mice showed depressive- and anxiety-like responses. I.p. administration of different doses of harmaline (0.31, 0.625 and 1.25 mg/kg) or i.c.v. microinjection of cinanserin (1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse) blocked depression- and anxiogenic-like behaviors in the ARS mice. Furthermore, co-administration of harmaline (1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) and cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) prevented the depression- and anxiogenic-like effects in the ARS mice. We found a synergistic antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects of harmaline and cinanserin in the ARS mice.

Conclusions These results propose an interaction between harmaline and cinanserin to prevent depressive- and anxiogenic-like behaviors in the ARS mice.
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Introduction

β-Carboline alkaloids are a class of alkaloids that have prompted substantial investigation interest (Pfau and Skog 2004). In nature, β-carbolines are found in some of the plants, including Banisteriopsis caapi (Malpighiaceae) and Peganum harmala L. (Zygophyllaceae) (Callaway et al. 2005). The probable use of Peganum harmala in modern phyto-indole entheogen preparations is related to its content of β-carbolines: harmine, harmaline, and tetrahydroharmine (Glennon et al. 2000). Harmaline commonly identified to provoke motor variations via activation of cells in the inferior olive (Hilber and Chapillon 2005). Besides, studies revealed that harmaline has antidepressive and anxiolytic properties that seem to be mediated by MAO inhibition and modulation of serotonin (5-HT), benzodiazepine, and dopamine receptors (Abelaira et al. 2013; Dos Santos et al. 2016a; Dos Santos et al. 2016b; Savoldi et al. 2017; Splettsstoesser et al. 2005). Interestingly, harmaline is endogenously produced in human and animal tissues as a low-molecular-weight product of secondary metabolism (Li et al. 2017b, c; Moloudizargari et al. 2013).
Stress is defined as a general response to any environmental stressors (both internal and external) upon the body and is accompanied by many physiological and psychological variations including depression and anxiety (de Kloet et al. 2005; Herman et al. 2012; Li et al. 2012). Depressive and anxiety disorders are the most common psychiatric conditions in clinical studies. These disorders need a broad therapeutic approach so that it should balance benefits and adverse properties or other potentially detrimental properties of medications (Kolar and Kolar 2016; Tiller 2013). Anxiety-like behaviors, along with depressive-like actions, can be produced using various paradigms such as acute and constant stress exposure, prolonged stress, chronic social defeat, and pre/post-natal stress (Bailey and Crawley 2009). The 5-HT system has been widely implicated in depression and anxiety disorders, which indicate the most common, and costly psychiatric disorders. This system is a various and intricate system which consisted of at least 15 recognized receptor subtypes (Abrams et al. 2004; Cunningham et al. 2019; Guiard and Di Giovanni 2015; Hill et al. 2006). Pharmacological and genetic researches have highlighted the central role of 5-HT2A receptors in specific brain pathologies such as depression and anxiety (Quesseveur et al. 2012). 5-HT2A receptors are members of the metabotropic seven transmembrane-spanning receptors superfamily, frequently referred to as G protein–coupled receptors (Guiard and Di Giovanni 2015). Particularly, 5-HT2A receptors belong to the 5-HT2 subfamily consisting, with 5-HT2B and 5-HT2C, of three Gq/G11-coupled receptors, that exert excitatory neurotransmission (Millan et al. 2008). The 5-HT2 receptor subtypes have warranted attention in depression and anxiety as well as the mechanism of action of correlated treatments. They couple to various cellular signaling pathways and participate in the modulation of a variety of physiological brain functions so constituting therapeutic targets for psychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety (Celada et al. 2004; Jensen et al. 2010; Leysen 2004). In the rodent brain, 5-HT2 receptors have an extensive distribution, mainly in monoaminergic regions (Quesseveur et al. 2012).

Based on psychopharmacological properties of harmaline and its binding to the 5-HT2 receptor (Dos Santos et al. 2016a), we hypothesized that the 5-HT2 receptor possibly mediates harmaline signaling in the brain. Thus, the present study was designed to investigate whether blocking 5-HT2 receptors can influence harmaline-modified depression- and anxiety-like behaviors on acute restraint stress (ARS) condition using forced swim test (FST) and elevated plus maze (EPM) in mice.

Materials and methods

Animals

Adult male NMRI mice (6–8 weeks old, weighing 20–25 g) were obtained from the Tehran University of medical sciences (Pharmacology Department, Tehran, Iran). Animals were kept in groups under standard conditions (12-h light/dark cycle; 22 ± 2 °C ambient temperature; 55 ± 10% relative humidity) and were allowed to adapt 1 week. Mice were handled during this 1 week prior to the experiments to reduce the stress produced for experimental manipulations and were fed with standard diet and water ad libitum. Behavioral observations and analysis were done by experimenters who were unaware of the mice treatments. The experimental protocols involving animals and their care were conducted in compliance with the Research and Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. They were performed upon the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH publications No. 80–23).

Surgery and microinjection procedures

To central infusion of drugs, mice were implanted with a 22-gauge stainless steel guide cannula aimed at the lateral ventricle. Implantation was done under ketamine-xylazine (100 mg/kg ketamine-5 mg/kg xylazine mixture, intraperitoneal (i.p.) anesthesia, and was performed at least 1 week prior to the behavioral tests. Stereotaxic coordinates for the left lateral ventricle were as follows: anteroposterior (AP) = −0.9 mm from the bregma; mediolateral = −1.5 mm from the sagittal suture; and dorsoventral = −2 mm from the skull surface (Paxinos and Franklin 2001). The cannula was subsequently fixed to the skull by one screw and dental acrylic. A stylet was inserted within the cannula to preserve its patent before infusions. Drug infusions were done by a 27-gauge stainless steel needle (1 mm longer than the guide cannula) attached to a Hamilton micro-syringe via polyethylene tubing. The mice were gently held by hand; infusions lasted for 60 s and the cannula was left in place for an additional 60 s to avoid the backflow of the solution. Before the experiments, the mice had at least 5–7 days recovery period.

Drugs

The following compounds were tested: cinanserin hydrochloride, 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptor antagonist, (1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse; Tocris, UK), and harmaline hydrochloride (0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; Sigma, USA). Both drugs were dissolved in sterile 0.9% saline. Cinanserin and harmaline were administered intracerebroventricularly (i.c.v.; a volume of 1 μl/mouse) and intraperitoneally (i.p.; a volume of 10 ml/kg), respectively. The doses of the drugs and the route of drug administration were selected upon our previous studies (Nasehi et al. 2017a; Nasehi et al. 2014).
Procedures

Acute restraint stress induction

Acute restraint stress (ARS) was conducted through movement restraint at a period of 4 h (Vargas-Lopez et al. 2015). Mice randomly assigned to be submitted to stress were quietly located in 50-ml (Falcon) plastic tubes, packing with paper tissue at the rear for 4 h; these were designed to restrain major head and limb movement. Mice were allowed to get out of the restrainers 30 min before experimental tests and to move freely around their home cages to evade non-particular motor effects due to movement restriction.

Forced swimming test

Forced swimming test (FST) was used to assess depression-like behavior. The apparatus was made of a cylindrical glass container (25-cm height, 10-cm diameter) filled with 19 cm of water at 25 °C. Each animal was located in the container for 6 min. Since great agitation is commonly observed during the first 2 min, only the immobility times happening during the last 4 min were calculated. An animal was recognized immobile when it ceased its swimming tries and continued floating motionless in the water, making only those movements required to keep its head above water (Alijanpour et al. 2019).

Elevated plus maze test

The elevated plus maze (EPM) test was done to assess the anxiety-like behavior of the mice. The EPM apparatus, made of dark Plexiglas, was cross-shaped and comprised of a central platform (5 × 5 cm) with two open arms (30 × 5 cm) opposite to each other as well as two equal-sized enclosed (30 × 5 × 15 cm) arms opposite to each other. The EPM apparatus was elevated to the height of 50 cm above the floor and illuminated via dim light. The protocol was selected upon our recently published data (Nejati et al. 2020). Mice were located in the center of the apparatus with their head facing an open arm. During the 300-s observation period, the numbers of entries to the open and closed arms were measured, and the time which each mouse spent on the open arm was calculated. The entry into one arm was recognized as the stage when the mouse located all of four paws past the line, which divided the central square from the open arms. The time spent in open arms (OAT) and the number of entries to the open arms (OAE) were used as an amount for anxiety. Also, the number of total arm entries was used as an amount for locomotor activity.

Experimental design

Eight mice were used in each experimental group and all mice were naive to the experimental test. In the NARS groups, mice received i.p. injection of drugs 30 min before behavioral tests and i.c.v. microinjection of drugs 10 min before the behavioral tests. In the ARS groups, mice have conducted the stress procedure for 4 h. After 30 min of rest, they received i.p. administration of saline (10 ml/kg) or different doses of harmaline. i.c.v. injection of saline (2.5 μg/mouse) or different doses of cinanserin was performed 20 min after i.p. administration. Ten minutes after the second administration, mice were submitted to the EPM and then FST apparatus. There was a 10-min interval between two behavioral tests.

Experiment 1

In this experiment, the effect of harmaline administration on depression- and anxiety-like behaviors was examined in the NARS and ARS mice using the EPM and FST. Four groups of mice were included NARS which were injected with saline or different doses of harmaline (0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.). The other four groups included ARS mice which received the same doses of the drug. Table 1 explains the protocol and experimental groups.

Experiment 2

This experiment examined the effect of cinanserin microinjection on depression-like and anxiety-like behaviors in the NARS and ARS mice. Eight groups of mice were used in which four groups of them included NARS and the other four groups included ARS mice. Mice were microinjected with saline or different doses of cinanserin (1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.).

Experiment 3

In this experiment, the effect of harmaline and cinanserin co-administration on depression-like and anxiety-like behaviors was assessed in the NARS and ARS mice. Seven groups of mice were used. Two groups of mice included NARS and received saline (10 mg/kg; i.p.) and cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.). Five groups of mice included ARS mice which received saline (10 mg/kg; i.p.), cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.), and co-administration of different doses of harmaline (0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) along with cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.).

Experiment 4

To confirm whether harmaline and cinanserin co-administration would exert additive or synergistic effects on their induced effects, the isobolographic analysis was done to compare the theoretical and experimental ED50 of the drugs when administrated together. According to the dose-response curve of harmaline and cinanserin, mice of ARS received
harmaline 0.31 mg/kg + cinanserin 2.5 μg/mouse, harmaline 0.155 mg/kg + cinanserin 1.25 μg/mouse, and harmaline 0.077 mg/kg + cinanserin 0.625 μg/mouse (Nasehi et al. 2016; Nasehi et al. 2017b; Nejati et al. 2020).

**Histology**

At the end of tests, mice were deeply anesthetized and methylene blue solution (1%, 0.3 μl/mouse) was infused into the guide cannula for the verification of cannula location (Fig. 1). The brain of each mouse was removed and located in formaldehyde (10%). After 7–10 days, the brain sections were histologically assessed according to the atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos and Franklin 2001). A cannula was implanted into the left ventricle of a total of 215 mice; however, only the data from 208 mice with correct cannula implants were included in the statistical analyses.

**Data analysis**

Statistical analyses were done using the SPSS statistical package. The results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The statistical analysis was done by one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Post hoc comparison of means was performed by the Tukey test for multiple comparisons, when appropriate. The level of statistical significance was considered at \( P < 0.05 \).

Furthermore, isobolographic analysis was done to identify the interactions following the administration of the two drugs (Nasehi et al. 2016, 2017b; Nejati et al. 2020). The ED50 of each drug (0.31 mg/kg for harmaline and 2.5 μg/mouse for cinanserin) was analyzed by linear regression analysis and a combination of the two drugs was administrated in a constant dose ratio based on the ED50 values. For drug combinations, the theoretic ED50 is harmaline ED50/2 + cinanserin ED50/2. Additionally, experimental values of drug combinations from fixed ratio-calculated were measured by the regression analysis, after which the experimental ED50 value of the drug combinations was recognized (%50 OAT, OAE, and FST). The statistical significance of the difference between theoretical ED50 and experimental ED50 of drug combinations was documented using the one-sample \( t \) test. When the experimental ED50 was significantly lower than the theoretical ED50, a synergistic interaction between harmaline and cinanserin could be concluded. Nonetheless, there was not any difference between them presenting additive interaction rather than the synergistic effect (Nasehi et al. 2016, 2017b; Nejati et al. 2020). Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) between the experimental groups at each point were exhibited statistically significant.

![Microinjection site (left ventricle)](image-url)

*Fig. 1* Approximate location of the injection cannula tip in the left lateral ventricle for all mice included in the data analyses was taken from the atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos and Franklin 2001)

| Experiment | Figure | Stress | Drug treatments | Effect on anxiety | Effect on depression |
|------------|--------|--------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1          | Left   | NARS   | Harmaline (0, 0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) | –                | –                    |
|            | Right  | ARS    | Harmaline (0, 0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) | Anxiolytic       | Antidepressant       |
| 2          | Left   | NARS   | Cinanserin (0, 1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) | –                | –                    |
|            | Right  | ARS    | Cinanserin (0, 1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) | Anxiolytic       | Antidepressant       |
| 3          | –      | NARS   | Cinanserin (0 and 5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.), harmaline (0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) + cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) | –                | –                    |
| 4          | A      | ARS    | Harmaline (0.31 mg/kg) + cinanserin (2.5 μg/mouse) | Synergistic anxiolytic | Synergistic antidepressant |
|            | B      | ARS    | Harmaline (0.31 mg/kg) + cinanserin (2.5 μg/mouse) | Synergistic anxiolytic | Synergistic antidepressant |
|            | C      | ARS    | Harmaline (0.31 mg/kg) + cinanserin (2.5 μg/mouse) | Synergistic anxiolytic | Synergistic antidepressant |

| Table 1 The table clarified experimental groups |
Results

Depressive- and anxiety-like behaviors induced by ARS were abolished by harmaline

The effects of harmaline (0.31, 0.625, and 1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) on depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in the non-ARS (NARS) and ARS mice are seen in Fig. 2. Two-way ANOVA showed a significant difference between harmaline and immobility time in the FST (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 1.309, P = 0.257$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 0.913, P = 0.441$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 4.517, P = 0.007$; Fig. 2(A)). OAT in the EPM (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 0.014, P = 0.906$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 2.822, P = 0.042$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 4.799, P = 0.005$; Fig. 2(B)), but not for OAE (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 5.139, P = 0.027$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 0.337, P = 0.798$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 0.064, P = 0.978$; Fig. 2(C)) and locomotor activity (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 1.143, P = 0.116$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 1.492, P = 0.227$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 0.676, P = 0.513$; Fig. 2(D)). Post hoc analysis exhibited that ARS, at a period of 4 h, enhanced immobility time in the FST while reduced OAT in the EPM in comparison with the saline group, presenting depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in mice. ARS mice significantly decreased OAT while they did not alter OAE in the EPM compared to the NARS mice. Stress appears to reduce mice’s tendency to stay in open arms, suggesting an anxiogenic-like behavior. On the other hand, the curiosity to discover new environments causes them to enter open arms. Moreover, harmaline at doses of 0.625 and 1.25 mg/kg decreased immobility time in the FST whereas at doses of 0.31 and 0.625 mg/kg increased OAT in the EPM in comparison to control and respective control groups. Thus, harmaline reversed ARS-induced depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in the ARS mice. It seems that in the NARS mice, increasing doses of harmaline produced an increase in immobility time in the FST, but they were not significant.

Cinanserin abolished depressive- and anxiety-like behaviors induced by ARS

Figure 3 displayed the effects of cinanserin (1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) on depressive- and anxiety-like behaviors in the NARS and ARS mice. Two-way ANOVA exhibited a meaningful difference between cinanserin and immobility time in the FST (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 1.053, P = 0.103$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 3.569, P = 0.020$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 3.128, P = 0.035$; Fig. 3(A)), OAT in the EPM (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 0.009, P = 0.967$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 2.834, P = 0.046$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 2.998, P = 0.045$; Fig. 3(B)), but not for OAE (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 1.131, P = 0.292$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 0.488, P = 0.692$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 0.052, P = 0.980$; Fig. 3(C)) and locomotor activity (treatment effect: $F(1, 56) = 1.699, P = 0.660$; dose effect: $F(3, 56) = 0.899, P = 0.452$; treatment–dose interaction: $F(3, 56) = 0.283, P = 0.837$; Fig. 3(D)). Moreover, post hoc analysis revealed that ARS (for 4 h) increased immobility time in the FST but decreased OAT in the EPM compared to saline group, showing depressive- and anxiogenic-like behaviors. In addition, all doses of cinanserin (1, 2.5, and 5 μg/mouse) decreased immobility time in the FST whereas it increased OAT of ARS mice compared to control and respective groups. So, cinanserin abolished ARS-produced depression- and anxiogenic-related behaviors in the ARS mice.

Cinanserin potentiated the harmaline response on ARS-induced depressive- or anxiety-like behaviors

In Fig. 4 are seen the effects of different doses of harmaline alone or in the presence of cinanserin on the performance of the ARS mice in the FST and EPM. One-way ANOVA and post hoc analysis exhibited that co-treatment of harmaline (1.25 mg/kg; i.p.) and cinanserin (5 μg/mouse; i.c.v.) declined immobility time in the FST ($F(6, 49) = 8.172, P = 0.000$; Fig. 4(A)), while it enhanced OAT ($F(6, 49) = 8.517, P = 0.000$; Fig. 4(B)) and OAE ($F(6, 49) = 6.191, P = 0.000$; Fig. 4(C)) in comparison with saline, cinanserin, and ARS groups. Furthermore, these administrations did not alter locomotor activity ($F(6, 49) = 1.113, P = 0.369$; Fig. 4(D)). As a result, co-administration of harmaline (1.25 mg/kg) and cinanserin (5 μg/mouse) abrogated depression- and anxiogenic-like behaviors induced by ARS.

There is a synergistic effect between harmaline and cinanserin on the reduction of depression- and anxiogenic-like behaviors induced by ARS

The theoretical additive line exhibited that at all points, harmaline and cinanserin combination caused an effect of theoretical %50 FST and theoretical %50 OAT as well as theoretical %50 OAE (theoretical ED50) according to an additive interaction (Fig. 5). One sample t test indicated that there is a significant difference between the experimental ED50 and theoretical ED50. The results of the present study proposed a synergistic effect of harmaline and cinanserin co-administration upon induction of antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects in the ARS mice (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The results of the current study revealed that (i) ARS (for 4 h) induced depressant-like and anxiogenic-like responses in the FST and EPM, respectively; (ii) harmaline and cinanserin
prevented depressive- and anxiogenic-like behaviors in the ARS mice; and (iii) there is a synergistic effect between harmaline and cinanserin on the modulation of depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in acute stress condition.

This work found that acute restraint stress (at a period of 4 h) administered before experimental tests caused depressive- and anxiety-like behaviors in mice. Induction of depression- and anxiogenic-like behaviors in the FST and EPM produced by ARS was not attributable to changes in locomotor activity of FST or to a decreased tendency to explore in the open arms of EPM, because there were no significant differences regarding control mice in either the locomotor activity (swimming) in the FST as well as through enclosed and open arms of EPM. Stress that we experience in our daily lives starts a variety of physiological processes of the central and peripheral systems. Nevertheless, exaggerated and prolonged central and peripheral system responses to chronic excessive stress are considered to be related to the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety (de Kloet et al. 2005; Herman et al. 2012; Li et al. 2012). According to our results, many reports are showing that acute and chronic exposure to restraint stress induces multiple physiological and behavioral changes, such as heightened depression-related and anxiety-like behaviors (Chiba et al. 2012; Christiansen et al. 2011; Grizzell et al. 2014; Shoji and Miyakawa 2020; Voorhees et al. 2013).

The result of this study showed that i.p. injection of harmaline abolished ARS-induced depression- and anxiogenic-like behaviors in the ARS mice. Harmaline has attracted much attention to its biological activities, and its suggested use for the treatment of neurological disorders based on an activity as inhibitors of MAO (Khan et al. 2004; Li et al. 2017a, c). A growing body of studies revealed that harmaline has antioxidant, neuroprotective, antidepressive, anxiolytic, and antiaddictive properties. These effects were mediated by MAO and acetylcholinesterase inhibition; regulation of the dual-specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase; modulation of 5-HT, benzodiazepine, dopamine, GABA, and opiate receptors; interaction with voltage-gated membrane channels; and regulation of cell energy homeostasis, mitochondrial functions, and oxygen-free radical scavenging as well as the ability to enhance brain-derived...
neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Abelaira et al. 2013; Dos Santos et al. 2016a, b; Moloudizargari et al. 2013; Savoldi et al. 2017; Splettstoesser et al. 2005). So it can be concluded that harmaline induced antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects in the ARS mice by stimulation of neurogenesis, induction of neuroprotective properties, and inhibition of MAO-A as well as enhancement of serotonin concentrations in the brain (Biradar et al. 2013; Dos Santos et al. 2016a; Morales-Garcia et al. 2017). However, there are contradictory reports about the effect of harmaline on the modulation of anxiety behavior. For example, harmaline induced anxiogenic effect at the lower doses, whereas it induced an anxiolytic effect at the higher dose (Hilber and Chapillon 2005; Wu et al. 2009).

Moreover, the results of the current study indicated that cinanserin microinjection into the left ventricle abolished ARS-produced depression- and anxiolytic-like behavior in the ARS mice. Our results proposed that 5-HT2 receptor antagonist and cinanserin induced antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like activity. The association between mood disorders, antidepressant responses, and 5-HT2 receptors is based on some preclinical and clinical investigations. The pathophysiology of depression- and anxiety-like responses may be related to a dysregulation of brain monoaminergic (5-HT, norepinephrine, and dopaminergic) neurotransmission. The monoaminergic neurons indicated complex functional and reciprocal interactions intimately related to 5-HT2 receptors. Preclinical researches indicated that 5-HT2 receptors represented promising targets in selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI)–resistant depression. Especially, their pharmacological inactivation by the lack of selective 5-HT2 receptor ligand antagonism caused antidepressant-like behaviors per se and potentiated the behavioral effects of SSRIs (Quesseveur et al. 2012). Since anxiety is a symptom commonly observed in depressed patients after the acute application of SSRIs, the beneficial effects of 5-HT2 receptor antagonists on the antidepressant response could involve anxiolytic behaviors (Dekeyne et al. 2008). Researches revealed that the desensitization or downregulation of 5-HT2 receptors could be related to the therapeutic properties of some antidepressants (Gomez-Gil et al. 2004). Imaging studies supported this hypothesis because the downregulation of the 5-HT2 receptor was identified in the brain of depressed patients in response to SSRI treatment (Meyer et al. 2001). These reports raised the probability that 5-HT2 receptor antagonists might induce
antidepressant-like activities (Guiard and Di Giovanni 2015). Also, the distribution and the behavioral properties of 5-HT2 receptors concerning depression- and anxiety-like responses in rodents supporting the idea that 5-HT2 receptor agonists/antagonists might be useful in the treatment of these disorders (Samuels and Hen 2011).

In the next section of this research, we found that i.p. administration of harmaline (1.25 mg/kg) produced stronger antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects when accompanied by i.c.v. microinjection of cinanserin (5 μg/mouse), suggesting that cinanserin could potentiate the harmaline responses in the ARS mice. The 5-HT2 receptor may mediate harmaline signaling in the brain. On the other hand, harmaline can bind to 5-HT2A receptors (Glennon et al. 2000; Grella et al. 2003). Thus, both cinanserin and harmaline activated 5-HT2 receptors in the brain (Dos Santos et al. 2016a; Glennon et al. 2000). Cinanserin through the desensitization or downregulation of 5-HT2 receptors and harmaline through MAO
inhibition as well as enhancement of BDNF levels could induce antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like responses (Dos Santos et al. 2016a; Gomez-Gil et al. 2004). Moreover, the obtained results revealed that there was an interaction between the harmaline and 5-HT2 receptor on the modulation of depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in the ARS mice. More interestingly, we found the synergistic effect of harmaline and cinanserin upon induction of antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like properties, by isobolographic analysis. As mentioned previously, the modulation of 5-HT2 receptor activities may modulate depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in the ARS mice (Dos Santos et al. 2016a; Glennon et al. 2000). A probable mechanism for antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like effects induced by co-administration of harmaline and cinanserin might be due to the downregulation of 5-HT2 receptors and enhancement of BDNF levels and inhibition of MAO as well as modulation of benzodiazepine, dopamine, GABA, and opiate receptors (Abelaira et al. 2013; Dos Santos et al. 2016a, b; Savoldi et al. 2017; Splettstoesser et al. 2005). Although it is necessary to perform additional behavioral tests (for example sucrose preference test, open field test, and marble-burying test) to support the depressive and anxiety behaviors improved by the drugs, we cannot conduct new experiments at this time due to the pandemic COVID-19. Also in this research, the pharmacological studies which support the role of a specific sub-type of 5-HT2 receptor were not performed; hence, further studies are required to clarify the exact mechanisms of action between harmaline and 5-HT2 receptor on the modulation of depression and anxiety behaviors.
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