Snapshot Safari: A large-scale collaborative to monitor Africa’s remarkable biodiversity

Nature is experiencing degradation and extinction rates never recorded before in the history of Earth. Consequently, continuous large-scale monitoring programmes are critical, not only to provide insights into population trends but also to aid in understanding factors associated with altering population dynamics at various temporal and spatial scales. Continuous monitoring is important not only for tracking rare or threatened species but also to detect the increase of potentially invasive species, and the trends in the populations of common species, which in some regions are declining even more rapidly than are rare species.

The combination of citizen science and cutting-edge technologies has improved monitoring programmes. In this regard, camera traps have become a popular tool to engage with society while obtaining accurate scientific data. The importance of advances in technological monitoring has even been highlighted by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) through the proposed ‘Digital Ecosystem framework’, a complex distributed network or interconnected socio-technological system. Monitoring species and ecosystems is critical to Africa – a highly biodiverse continent with numerous mammal species threatened by human activities such as poaching, overhunting, and climate and land-use change. Over half the terrestrial mammals in Africa have experienced range contractions of as much as 80% on average, including predator species such as lions (Panthera leo) and large ungulates. In sub-Saharan Africa, human impacts are projected to increase, and trigger an increased extinction risk. However, information on the conservation status of many species is limited, and many areas in Africa lack the baseline biodiversity data necessary to assess the outcomes of existing conservation programmes. Further, the lack of standardised methods to assess biodiversity patterns limits our ability to detect and respond to changes in mammal populations caused by environmental and anthropogenic factors.

In attempting to address some of the above challenges, we have formed the Snapshot Safari Network (www.snapshotsafari.org) – a large-scale international camera trap network to study and monitor the diversity and ecological dynamics of southern and eastern African mammals. Snapshot Safari (hereafter Snapshot) is one of the largest camera trap networks in the world. It began in 2010 with a single camera trap grid in Serengeti National Park, Tanzania, and the model and protocols have since been expanded in Tanzania as well as into five other countries: Botswana, Kenya, Mozambique, South Africa, and Zimbabwe (Figure 1). Participating locations represent a wide variety of habitats, wildlife communities, management types and protected area sizes. Here, we introduce this multidisciplinary initiative which combines citizen science and advanced machine learning techniques for the analysis of millions of animal photographs. We also introduce a set of high priority research questions emanating from expert consultation in 2019.

Figure 1: Snapshot Safari grid sites: 1. Kruger National Park 2. Associated Private Nature Reserves 3. Mapungubwe National Reserve 4. Vomba Nature Reserve 5. Limpopo Nature Reserve 6. Bloedberg Nature Reserve 7. Tshingpo Private Nature Reserve 8. Phalaborwa National Park 9. Xakhasi Nature Reserve 10. Athena Nature Reserve 11. Maswane Game Reserve 12. Sishango Game Reserve 13. Makolodi Wildlife Reserve 14. Golden Gate Highlands National Park 15. Khamob-Kolobane Game Reserve 16. Motlops Nature Reserve 17. Towoali Kolobane Reserve 18. Ignalada Transfrontier Park 19. Khomsen San Nature Reserve Association 20. Mokala National Park 21. Kgalagadi Transfrontier Park 22. Damaraland Nature Reserve 23. Namib-Naukluft National Park 24. Mountain Zebra National Park 25. Fergusson Nature Reserve 26. Omuru Private Nature Reserve & De Ruiter Farm 27. Candeleros National Park 28. Koomera Nature Reserve 29. Tonkin Karoo National Park 30. Gondwana Game Reserve 31. Okahango Nature Reserve and Overberg 32. Magkudjolli Pan National Park 33. Delshen Ranch 34. Gorongosa National Park 35. Nsasa National Park (Mafric) 36. Rhuwa National Park 37. Serengti National Park 38. Grumeti Reserve 39. Enkothuwa Conservancy

Figure 1: Current Snapshot Safari study locations in southern Africa.
Standardised methods

Our camera trap design consists of regular grids of 5 km\(^2\) per location (grid sites). Each grid has 8 to 245 camera traps depending on the objectives and area to cover. Cameras are secured with steel cases and fixed at ~50 cm height to detect medium- to large-bodied mammals. Each camera is programmed to take a series of three images within 1–5 seconds of each other (a ‘capture event’) when passive infrared sensors are triggered by motion or heat during the day and one image at night.\(^7\) Most grids have operated continuously since 2018 and are intended to run for a decade or longer. Data collected are forwarded to the University of Minnesota Lion Center for curation and management of the citizen science component. Metadata on camera placements and habitat characteristics are also collected in a standardised manner to facilitate cross-site comparisons.

Camera traps generate large volumes of photographs which makes the classification of species a time-consuming task. To facilitate efficient image processing, we combine citizen science efforts with advanced machine learning techniques.\(^9\) Snapshot partners with the citizen science platform www.zooniverse.org, on which volunteers identify species and annotate other information such as the number of individuals or behaviour. They can also interact directly with researchers on the talk boards or via social media. Each of our 24 current projects has its own webpage within the Snapshot organisation. More than 150 000 volunteers worldwide have classified over 9 million photographs since the relaunch of Snapshot as a network in February 2018. These responses exhibit 97% accuracy, confirming the reliability of citizen science in rapidly processing large volumes of data.\(^11\)

Machine learning

The Snapshot network also incorporates machine learning algorithms prior to uploading data to Zooniverse to decrease the number of citizen scientists required to view each capture event.\(^10\) Two image classifiers (convolutional neural networks) are employed; one to identify empty images and one to predict the species, counts, and behaviours in images containing wildlife. The algorithms’ predictions and confidence levels are uploaded to Zooniverse with the image manifest and used to dynamically determine the level of agreement and number of volunteers required to confirm the algorithms’ label (see Figure 2). The millions of images generated annually by the Serengeti grid have been utilised as training data for many deep learning algorithms developed to identify African mammal species automatically.\(^10,12,13\)

![Figure 2: Snapshot Safari data processing workflow. (1) Data from each location is checked for errors (bad flash, date-time reset) and run through machine learning algorithms during the pre-processing phase. (2) Data and machine learning predictions are uploaded to Zooniverse for classification. Every image is classified by multiple volunteers, and their votes are aggregated to assign labels of species, count, and behaviours. (3–5) Citizen scientists’ labelled annotations are used to iteratively train and refine the machine learning algorithm. (6–7) Data are returned to researchers and reserve management for publications and conservation assessments.](image-url)

The first stage of classification occurs on the Zooniverse in a binary workflow, in which volunteers evaluate whether animals can be seen in the images. If two people agree with the algorithm that an image is empty, it is removed from the data set. If one person disagrees with the computer, the image remains in circulation to accumulate three more human votes, at which point majority consensus is accepted. Images marked as containing wildlife are moved to the second stage of classification, in which the species, count, and behaviours are annotated. At this stage, dynamic rules within the Zooniverse infrastructure are used to retire captures based on the species, agreement of the volunteers with the computer and one another, and the algorithm’s confidence in its own prediction.

As a result of quickly removing blank images and those of humans and common species, citizen scientists are presented with more images of rare and cryptic species, improving the volunteer experience\(^14\) and providing valuable data to refine the machine learning algorithm’s capabilities. This approach has improved efficiency by 43% thus far on the Serengeti project.\(^15\) Citizen science and machine learning stages are applied iteratively to constantly improve performance and efficiency. The labelled images from all projects retrain the existing model to
improve the machine’s predictive capability in a variety of habitats, and the refined model is run on new data sets as they are collected from the field (Figure 2).

Snapshot also provides useful data for computer scientists who wish to build artificial intelligence algorithms to identify wildlife species automatically without human assistance. Data from six South African sites and the Serengeti are publicly available for download on the Microsoft-hosted site Labelled Image Library of Alexandria – Biology & Conservation (LILA-BC) at www.lila.science.com. More data are added to the labelled image repository as datasets are finalised. In all cases, images of humans and rhinoceros are withheld from the repository for privacy and poaching concerns, respectively. Metadata on habitat and environmental characteristics are available to researchers outside of the network upon request. This ensures that local researchers and reserve management are aware of how the data are being used and can contribute and collaborate.

Outputs and general results

Snapshot is documenting the presence, distribution, diversity, and ecology of at least 85 medium- to large-sized African mammals. The variety of Snapshot locations provides numerous opportunities to answer questions in wildlife ecology and conservation, test ecological hypotheses and analytical methods, and measure the impacts of anthropogenic disturbances across multiple spatiotemporal scales. For example, the data produced by the camera traps running continuously in the Serengeti provided the basis for papers on spatiotemporal partitioning15-17, behavioural interactions18-20, and advancing modelling techniques used with the camera trap data11,12,21.

Scientists visit sites regularly to maintain and manage the camera trap grids and also to improve community participation. For example, in Ruaha National Park (Tanzania), researchers trained community members to deploy and maintain the grid22, thus creating jobs and infrastructure. In South Africa, we are building strong relationships with local communities, such as the Khomani San in the Kalahari and managers of private reserves, who will use the camera trap information to improve their wildlife management practices.

Snapshot Safari – South Africa

Like other African countries, South Africa lacks adequate conservation data for many species, and about 17% of mammal species are threatened with extinction.23 However, South Africa has a long history of conservation intervention and is, therefore, a testing ground for different hypotheses. In South Africa, we have surveyed 31 locations (Figure 1), of which 21 are permanent grids for long-term monitoring purposes. These represent a total of 1 408 cameras deployed for grids on permanent locations and 873 installed in the roaming locations. More than 43 000 volunteers have annotated approximately 18 months of data for 19 of these sites and classified more than 2 million photos to date.

Our grids and collaborative research allow us to combine different questions and leverage the full potential of camera traps. For example, in the Kruger National Park we have set up the cameras along with studies of the phenology of vegetation cover (e.g. tree and herbaceous composition, cover and structure), which has created an opportunity to monitor the vegetation dynamic while accounting for mammal presence. Further, by-catch data (not targeted species), such as birds or human activity, will represent an interesting opportunity to investigate other species in the future. The potential role of this by-catch data of camera trap grids and also to improve community participation. For example, the information is analysed by the Lion Center at the University of Minnesota. All data are sent to that lab group for the management of the online classification process and data curation. This can create a bottleneck and slow the data pipeline. One potential solution is to set up multiple hubs within a network, which we plan to enact by copying existing infrastructure onto South Africa’s supercomputer at the Centre for High Performance Computing. This continues the trend of distributed management and provides additional opportunities for training and professional development.

Future directions and conclusions

The Snapshot collaborators in South Africa meet annually to review current projects, prioritise future research, and plan funding activities. At the 2019 meeting, we defined five research themes:

- **Theme 1:** The role of anthropogenic landscapes in shaping biodiversity, distributions, populations, and communities.
- **Theme 2:** Investigating ecological interactions and food webs through space and time.
- **Theme 3:** Understanding and predicting the consequences of climate change for mammal behaviour, distribution, adaptation, and community composition.
- **Theme 4:** Assessment of conservation priorities and protected area effectiveness.
- **Theme 5:** Merging camera trap data with other data to address more specific questions and improve monitoring.

Snapshot’s integration of scientists, citizens, and technology can provide meaningful assessments of the status of southern African mammals at fine or broad scales. Our collaboration also holds the potential to contribute to advancing statistical and technological capacity in South Africa as well as to support environmental education for the general public. With the current advances in data processing, we are hopeful that Snapshot will provide timely recommendations and relevant ecological information to support southern Africa’s national and international commitments to conserving one of the most biodiversity rich regions in the world. However, only political will and multi-sectoral commitments will make it possible to leverage the full potential of technology to produce practical effects.
Reserves and researchers who wish to join the Snapshot network should contact Sarah Huebner at huebn090@umn.edu or Jan Venter at Jan.Venter@mandela.ac.za
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