Association of genes of protease-antiprotease balance pathway to lung function and emphysema subtypes
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Abstract

Background: The imbalance between proteases and antiproteases has been proposed to participate in the pathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and emphysema. Gene level variation in different metalloproteinases, metalloproteinase inhibitors, and cytokines affecting them may contribute to this imbalance and destruction of the lung parenchyma. We investigated whether polymorphisms in selected protease-antiprotease balance pathway genes predispose to different emphysema subtypes (centrilobular, paraseptal, panlobular, and bullae) and airflow limitation among Finnish construction workers.

Methods: Eleven single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from seven genes (GC: rs7041 and rs4588; MMP1: rs1799750; MMP9: rs3918242; MMP12: rs652438; TIMP2: rs2277698; TNF: rs1799724 and rs1800629; TGFB1: rs1800469, rs1800470, and rs2241718) were analyzed from 951 clinically and radiologically characterized construction workers. The genotype and haplotype data was compared to different emphysematous signs confirmed with high resolution computed tomography (HRCT), forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), and maximal expiratory flow at 50% of FVC (MEF50) by using linear and logistic regression analyses, adjusted for potential confounders.

Results: The TIMP2 rs2277698 SNP was associated with overall (p = 0.022) and paraseptal (p = 0.010) emphysema, as well as with FEV1/FVC ratio (p = 0.035) and MEF50 (p = 0.008). The TGFB1 rs2241718 and MMP9 rs3918242 SNPs were associated with centrilobular emphysema (p = 0.022 and p = 0.008), and the TNF rs1800629 SNP with paraseptal emphysema (p = 0.017). In stratified analysis, individuals with at least one TIMP2 rs2277698 or TNF rs1800629 variant allele were found to be at around two-fold risk for pathological paraseptal changes (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.14-3.30; OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.24-3.56). On the contrary, the risk for pathological centrilobular changes was halved for individuals with at least one MMP9 rs3918242 (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.30-0.86) or TGFB1 rs2241718 (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.30-0.90) variant allele, or TGFB1 rs1800469-rs1800470 AT-haplotype (OR 0.55, 95% CI 0.33-0.93). MEF50, in turn, was significantly reduced among individuals with at least one TIMP2 rs2277698 variant allele (p = 0.011).

Conclusion: Our findings strengthen the hypothesis of the importance of protease-antiprotease balance in pathogenesis of emphysema and shed light on the aetiology of different emphysema subtypes by associating MMP9 and TGFB1 to centrilobular emphysema, and TIMP2 and TNF to paraseptal emphysema and/or airflow obstruction.
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Background
The imbalance between proteases and antiproteases is widely accepted as a mechanism behind the lung tissue destruction leading to pulmonary emphysema [1,2]. This theory has been building up since the discovery of severe deficiency of a serine protease inhibitor Alpha-1-antitrypsin (encoded by SERPINA1 gene), which has been shown to predispose to early panlobular emphysema [3]. Subsequently, the gene of another member of the serpin-family, SERPINE2, has been associated to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and emphysema in several populations [4-7]. In agreement with this, our recent findings suggested that SERPINE2 gene polymorphisms may be involved particularly in the development of panlobular emphysema [8].

In addition to the serine proteases, there are a number of proteolytic enzymes capable of degrading elastin and other matrix macromolecules, such as the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). MMPs play an essential role in tissue repair and remodeling, and there is increasing evidence that some of them may be important in airway inflammation and the development of COPD and emphysema [2]. It has been shown that transgenic mice over-expressing MMP1 or MMP9 develop pulmonary changes comparable to human emphysema [9,10], and that mice lacking the MMP12 gene are protected from emphysema despite a long term exposure to cigarette smoke [11]. Furthermore, several polymorphisms in MMP1, MMP9, and MMP12 genes have been associated to emphysema and related phenotypes [12-15].

Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs), in turn, may contribute to the disturbance of protease-antiprotease balance by binding MMPs and inhibiting their actions. In fact, variation in the TIMP2 gene has been associated to the development of COPD in two different populations [16,17]. In addition, differences in the expression of TGFB1 and TNF cytokines have been shown to influence the pathogenesis of COPD in animal models, possibly via interactions with MMP9 and MMP12 [18-20]. Genetic association studies have connected several polymorphisms and/or haplotypes of TGFB1 and TNF genes to the development of COPD [21-24], and recent meta-analyses have confirmed some of the associations [25,26].

GC (Group specific component; also known as Vitamin D-binding protein, VDBP) is a multifunctional protein, suggested to have a role in chronic inflammatory response in the lungs [27]. Certain polymorphisms in the GC gene have previously been associated to COPD [28,29].

We investigated whether polymorphisms in the GC gene (rs7041 and rs4588) and six genes of the protease-antiprotease balance (MMP1: rs1799750; MMP9: rs3918242; MMP12: rs652438; TIMP2: rs2277698; TNF: rs1799724 and rs1800629; TGFB1: rs1800469, rs1800470, and rs2241718) predispose to emphysematous changes and airflow limitation among Finnish Caucasian construction workers. These polymorphisms were selected based on previous association studies suggesting them as potential modifiers of the examined pulmonary disorders.

Signs of emphysema subtypes (centrilobular, paraseptal, panlobular, and bullae) were determined from all the study subjects by using the high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) technique. Lung function was measured by using flow volume spirometry.

Methods
Study population
This study combines data from two previous screening studies. The first study group (ASBE, n = 602) was recruited in 1996–1997 and consisted of asbestos exposed subjects who lived in Helsinki area, had asbestosis or asbestos-related pleural plaques, and had a positive smoking history [30,31]. The second study group (ASSE, n = 633) was recruited in 2003–2004 and consisted of asbestos exposed persons from three geographic areas (Helsinki, Tampere, Turku), who had previously been diagnosed with an asbestos related occupational disease, or had visited the clinics of occupational medicine in Helsinki, Turku or Tampere for a clinical follow-up [32].

Altogether 178 of the subjects recruited in 2003–2004 had already participated in the first study. They were therefore excluded from the second patient group in the present study before combining the data. In the combined study population, blood samples were available for 1021 subjects, 1013 of whom the genotype data were successfully achieved. However, 25 additional subjects were excluded because of missing smoking information and 37 because of insufficient asbestos exposure data. Thus, the final study group consisted of 951 subjects (935 males, 16 females).

An approval for the study was obtained from the local ethics committees (Ethical Committee of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health and Ethics Committee for Research in Occupational Health and Safety, Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa) according to the legislation at the time of the original study. All subjects gave an informed consent to participate in the study.

Radiological examinations
The lungs of the construction workers were imaged prone in full inspiration with four different scanners: in 1996–1997 the Picker PO 2000 (Picker International, Cleveland, USA) device was used, whereas in 2003–2004 Siemens Somatom Balance (Siemens Medical, Erlangen, Germany) was used in Helsinki, Siemens Somatom Plus 4 (Siemens Medical) was used in Tampere, and GE Light-speed 16 Advantage (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) was used in Turku.
The HRCT images were printed as hard copies and analyzed blindly by two (2003–2004) or three (1996–1997) radiologists. Emphysema was defined as sharply delineated low-density area(s) according to the criteria and reference images given by Webb et al. [33]. The radiologic signs of centrilobular, paraseptal, panlobular, and bullae-type emphysema were scored in both lungs by using a scale from 0 to 5 (the emphysema subtype score): 0 (no changes), 1 (faint or subnormal abnormalities, in a single slice or few slices), 2 (slight abnormalities in some slices), 3 (clear abnormalities in several slices), 4 (score between 3 and 5), and 5 (abnormalities widely distributed in the whole lung, in all or most slices). These emphysema subtype scores were added up to form the emphysema sum score, its maximum being 10. In the analysis, the intra- and inter-reader consistencies of readings have previously been reported [30].

**Lung function examinations**

Flow-volume spirometry was performed with a rolling-seal spirometer (Mijnhard BV, Bunnik, Holland) connected to microcomputer (Medikro MR-3; Medikro, Kuopio, Finland), using Finnish reference values [34] and the standards of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) [35]. The following parameters were measured: forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$), the FEV$_1$/FVC ratio (FEV$_1$/FVC × 100), and the maximal expiratory flow where 50% of FVC remains exhaled (MEF50).

The FVC, FEV$_1$, and MEF50 were handled as percent of Finnish reference values [34] based on the distribution of values in the reference population. The FEV$_1$ and FVC values were considered decreased if they were <80% of predicted, FEV$_1$/FVC ratio if it was <88% of predicted, and MEF50 if it was <62% of predicted [34].

**Genotyping analyses**

DNA was extracted mechanically (Thermo King Fisher; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Erembodegem, Belgium) from whole blood using Biosprint 15 DNA Blood Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and stored at −20°C until use.

Two *TNF* SNPs (rs1799724 and rs1800629), two *TGFBI* SNPs (rs1800469 and rs2241718), two GC SNPs (rs7041 and rs4588), one *MMP12* SNP (rs652438), and one *TIMP2* SNP (rs2277698) were genotyped by using the Open Array-system (BioTrove Inc., Woburn, MA, USA), a next-generation quantitative PCR platform based on TaqMan chemistry. The assay ID:s for TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays spotted on the array were C___3133594_30, C___8278879_10, C____785907_10, and C__15885241_10, respectively. Plate format of 16 SNPs and 144 samples per array was used. The allele calling analysis was performed by using OpenArray™ SNP Genotyping Analysis software (BioTrove Inc.).

The third analysed *TGFBI* SNP (rs1800470) was genotyped by using an allelic discrimination assay on the ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with TaqMan® probes [36]. The primers and probes used in the assay were as follows: forward primer: 5’-GCG CTC TCG GCA GTG C-3’; reverse primer: 5’-CCA GGC GTC AGC ACC AGT A-3’; VIC-probe: 5’-AGC AGC GGC AGC A-3’; and FAM-probe: 5’-CAG CAG CAG CAG C-3’. The primer and probe concentrations in the PCR reaction were 1200 nM and 200 nM, respectively, and the cycling conditions were 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, and 62°C for 1 minute. Sequence Detection Software 1.4 (Applied Biosystems) was used for the allele calling analysis.

The *MMP1* SNP (rs1799750) was analysed with a pyrosequencing-method based on an assay from PyroMark Assay Database (Qiagen). The PCR-primers were: forward primer 5’-biotin-CCC TTA TGG ATT CCT GTT TTC-3’; reverse primer: 5’-CCC ATT CTT CTT ACC CTC TTG-3’.

The primer concentrations in PCR reactions were 500 nM, and the cycling conditions were: 95°C for 5 minutes, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 54°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. The pyrosequencing run was performed with PSQ™96MA (Qiagen) by using Pyromark Gold Q96 Reagents (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, 40 μl of the PCR product was mixed with 37 μl of Binding buffer and 3 μl of Streptavidin Sepharose High Performance beads (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). PCR products bound to the beads were collected and denatured to single-stranded by treatment with 70% Ethanol (Aa), Denaturation Buffer, Washing Buffer, and mQ water in Pyrosequencing Washing Station. The sequencing primer 5’-GTA GTT AAA TTA TTA GAA AG-3’ was attached to the template by incubating for 2 minutes in 80°C in annealing buffer. The Pyrosequencing run was conducted in the dispensation order of CAGCTACTAGCA. The pyrograms were generated and analyzed with PSQ 96 SNP Software 1.1.

The *MMP9* SNP (rs3918242) was genotyped by using a PCR-RFLP-based method essentially according to Joos et al. [37]. Briefly, the concentrations of the forward (5’-TTT GTG ACG CAA AGC AGA-3’) and reverse (5’-AGC AGC TCT CCT CAC TCC T-3’) primers were 670 nM, and the cycling conditions were 95°C for 4 minutes, 34 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 45 seconds followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. After digestion with *Sphi* I in 37°C for 3 hours the PCR product was...
electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel containing EtBr and visualized under UV-light.

For the quality control, two independent readers interpreted the results and a random selection of 10% of all samples was re-tested. No discrepancies were discovered in the replicate tests for rs7041, rs4588, rs652438, rs1800470, rs1799750, and rs3918242. Minor error rates were detected for OpenArray assays rs1799724 (1%), rs1800629 (2%), rs2241718 (2%), rs2277698 (2%), and rs1800469 (3%).

To verify the reliability of OpenArray platform, a random selection of 15% of samples was re-analyzed for rs1800629 and rs1799724 with 100% concordant results with the earlier estimates. The re-analyses were conducted with an RFLP-based method [38] (rs1800629) and a pyrosequencing-based method (rs1799724). The primers and probes for the pyrosequencing protocol designed by using PyroMark Assay Design 1.0 -tool (Qiagen) were as follows: forward primer: 5′-GGT AGG AGA ATG TCC AGG GCT ATG-3′, biotinylated reverse primer: 5′-biotin-ACT CCC TGG GCC CCT GTA GGG ACC-3′ and sequencing primer: 5′-TCG AGT ATG GGG ACC-3′. The primer concentrations in PCR reactions were 200 nM, and the cycling conditions were: 95°C for 5 minutes, 39 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 56°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 15 seconds followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. The pyrosequencing was performed with PSQ™96MA (Qiagen) by using Pyromark Gold Q96 Reagents (Qiagen) as described above for the analysis of the MMP1 rs1799750 SNP.

Statistical analysis

Our study (n = 951) has 80% power to detect odds ratios (ORs) from 1.46 to 2.30 depending on the minor allele frequency (4-42%). The calculations, based on a two-sided alpha of 0.05, were performed by using standard methods.

The χ² analysis with a cut-off p-value of 0.05 was used to test for a deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

The linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure was examined by using HaploView program, version 4.2 [39]. When moderate or strong linkage was detected (r² > 0.5), haplotypes consisting of the SNPs in question were statistically reconstructed from population genotype data with the Markov chain method for haplotype assignments by using the PHASE program (version 2.1) [40]. The associations of the haplotypes to pulmonary parameters were then examined as with the single SNPs.

The associations between genotypes/haplotypes, emphysema, and lung function parameters (FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC and MEF50) were evaluated by using general linear model, whereas logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the potential confounders and to further study the risk for emphysematous changes and their severity with certain genotype. For further analysis, the cases were divided according to the existence of radiologic changes (Table 1). The radiologic signs of emphysema were then considered either subnormal if the emphysema subtype score was <1 (<2 in emphysema sum score), or pathological if the emphysema subtype score was ≥1 (≥2 in emphysema sum score).

Covariates used in the analysis were: sex, age, pack years of smoking (PYs) and years of asbestos exposure for emphysema, and PYs and years of asbestos exposure for FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC ratio, and MEF50.

All of the data analyses were performed by using the SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

The demographics, pulmonary function data, and HRCT characteristics of the construction workers are summarized in Table 2. The genotype frequencies of the studied SNPs among subjects with different type of emphysematous changes are shown in an additional table [see Additional file 1: Table S1]. All the genotype distributions of the studied gene polymorphisms were in HWE in the whole study population (p > 0.09).

The TIMP2 rs2277698 was associated with emphysema sum score (p = 0.022) and paraseptal emphysema (p = 0.010).
The TIMP2 rs2277698 and MMP9 rs3918242 SNPs were associated with centrilobular emphysema (p = 0.022 and p = 0.008, respectively), and the TNF rs1800629 SNP was associated with paraseptal emphysema (p = 0.017) (Table 3).

The above mentioned association between TIMP2 rs2277698 SNP and emphysema sum score did not come up in stratified analysis. Instead, the carriage of at least one TIMP2 rs2277698 variant A-allele was found to pose a twofold risk for pathological paraseptal emphysema (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.14-3.30) (Table 4). In addition, the carriage of at least one TNF rs1800629 variant A-allele was found to pose a twofold risk for overall (OR 2.03, 95% CI 1.38-2.98), subnormal (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.30-3.48), and pathological (OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.24-3.56) paraseptal changes. In contrast, the carriage of at least one TGFB1 rs2241712 variant A-allele was found to halve the risk for centrilobular emphysema (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.30-0.90), as was also the carriage of at least one MMP9 rs3918242 variant T-allele (OR, 0.51 95% CI 0.30-0.86) (Table 4).

The TIMP2 rs2277698 was also found to be associated with the FEV1/FVC ratio (p = 0.035) and MEF50 (p = 0.008). In further analysis, subjects with at least one TIMP2 rs2277698 variant A-allele were found to have significantly lower MEF50 than subjects with homozygous TIMP2 rs2277698 wild type genotype (61.0 ± 27.0 vs. 67.0 ± 29.9, p = 0.011). Similarly, the FEV1/FVC ratio tended to be lower among subjects homozygous to the TIMP2 rs2277698 variant A-allele compared to the wild type genotype (89.1 ± 9.3 vs. 93.8 ± 12.7, p = 0.136).

When gene-gene interactions were examined, a combination of homozygous variant allele genotypes of TGFB1 rs2241718 and MMP9 rs3918242 loci was found to reduce the risk of pathological centrilobular changes into fifth compared to the wild type genotypes (OR 0.22, CI 95% 0.08-0.61) (Table 5). In the linkage analyses, linkage disequilibrium was observed between the GC rs4588 and rs7041 SNPs (D’ = 1.00, r² = 0.501). The TGFB1 rs1800469 and rs1800470 SNPs were also linked to each other (D’ = 0.970, r² = 0.738), but not with the third studied TGFB1 SNP (rs2241712) (D’ <0.02 and r² = 0.0). The TNF rs1799724 minor allele frequency was too small (0.2%) for r² to detect LD, despite of the maximum D’ (D’ = 1.00, r² = 0.008).

Haplotype analysis identified three haplotypes for GC rs4588 and rs7041 SNPs; the most common haplotype (65.2%) was GC (wild type-wild type), followed by GA (13.7%), and TA (21.1%) haplotypes. No associations were seen between the GC haplotypes and the studied parameters.

For TGFB1 rs1800469 and rs1800470 SNPs four haplotypes were identified: GT (wild type-wild type, 60.5%), GC (24.9%), AT (10.3%), and AC (4.3%). The TGFB1 haplotype (rs1800469-rs1800470) was found to be associated with centrilobular emphysema (p = 0.018) (data not shown). Moreover, in the stratified analysis the AT haplotype was found to almost halve the risk for centrilobular emphysema (OR 0.55, 95% CI 0.33-0.93) compared to the most common haplotype (GT) (Table 6).
Discussion

We found a significant association between MMP9 rs3918242 variant T-allele and a lowered proneness to centrilobular emphysema. This contrasts the previous findings suggesting the T-allele as a risk factor for COPD and emphysema that is dominant in the upper lung [12,13]. However, similarly to our study, a recent Korean study with a reasonable study size found the T-allele protective against COPD [41].

In addition to MMP9, several animal and genetic studies have connected MMP1 and MMP12 to COPD and emphysema [9,11,42]. We did not, however, find any

Table 4 Distribution of TIMP2, TGFβ1, MMP9, and TNF genotypes according to the existence and severity of emphysema changes

| Emphysema phenotype | Genotype | No radiologic changes n (%) | Radiologic changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† | Subnormal changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† | Pathological changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| All                 | TIMP2 rs2277698 | 462 (77.9) | 270 (77.8) | 1.0 | 180 (79.6) | 1.0 | 90 (74.4) | 1.0 |
|                     | G/G      | 131 (22.1) | 77 (22.2) | 1.02 (0.72-1.44) | 46 (20.4) | 0.92 (0.62-1.38) | 31 (25.6) | 1.35 (0.83-2.22) |
| Centrilobular       | TGFβ1 rs2241718 | 518 (72.8) | 173 (77.6) | 1.0 | 74 (71.2) | 1.0 | 99 (83.2) | 1.0 |
|                     | G/G      | 194 (27.2) | 50 (22.4) | 0.76 (0.52-1.11) | 30 (28.8) | 1.08 (0.68-1.73) | 20 (16.8) | 0.53 (0.30-0.90) |
|                     | MMP9 rs3918242 | 519 (72.5) | 171 (75.7) | 1.0 | 74 (70.5) | 1.0 | 97 (80.2) | 1.0 |
|                     | C/C      | 197 (27.5) | 55 (24.3) | 0.77 (0.53-1.12) | 31 (29.5) | 1.08 (0.68-1.72) | 24 (19.8) | 0.51 (0.30-0.86) |
| Paraseptal          | TIMP2 rs2277698 | 609 (78.9) | 123 (73.2) | 1.0 | 72 (78.3) | 1.0 | 51 (67.1) | 1.0 |
|                     | G/G      | 163 (21.1) | 45 (26.8) | 1.41 (0.94-2.13) | 20 (21.7) | 1.04 (0.59-1.81) | 25 (32.9) | 1.94 (1.14-3.30) |
|                     | G/A or A/A | 54 (7.6) | 12 (5.4) | 0.63 (0.36-1.09) | 9 (8.7) | 1.29 (0.68-2.46) | 3 (2.5) | 0.22 (0.08-0.61) |

Data are presented as n (%) except as noted.
†Reference category.
‡Adjusted for age, sex, pack-years and years of asbestos exposure.
§The changes were considered as subnormal if the emphysema subtype score was < 1 (< 2 in emphysema sum score).
∥The changes were considered as pathological if the emphysema subtype score was ≥ 1 (≥ 2 in emphysema sum score).

Table 5 Distribution of MMP9/TGFβ1 combined genotypes according to the existence and severity of centrilobular changes

| Genotype combination (MMP9 rs3918242- TGFβ1 rs2241718) | No radiologic changes n (%) | Radiologic changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† | Subnormal changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† | Pathological changes n (%) | OR (95% CI)† |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Wild type-wild type                                      | 375 (52.8) | 130 (58.3) | 1.0 | 52 (50.0) | 1.0 | 78 (65.5) | 1.0 |
| Heterozygote/homozygote†                                  | 281 (39.6) | 81 (36.3) | 0.83 (0.60-1.15) | 43 (41.3) | 1.07 (0.69-1.68) | 38 (31.9) | 0.66 (0.43-1.02) |
| Heterozygotes or homozygotes±                            | 54 (7.6) | 12 (5.4) | 0.63 (0.36-1.09) | 9 (8.7) | 1.29 (0.68-2.46) | 3 (2.5) | 0.22 (0.08-0.61) |

†Reference category.
‡Adjusted for age, sex, pack-years and years of asbestos exposure.
§The changes were considered as subnormal if the emphysema subtype score was < 1 (< 2 in emphysema sum score).
∥The changes were considered as pathological if the emphysema subtype score was ≥ 1 (≥ 2 in emphysema sum score).
*MMP9 CC/TGFβ1 GG.
**MMP9 CT/TGFβ1 GG or MMP9 CC/TGFβ1 GA.
***MMP9 CT/TGFβ1 GA or MMP9 TT or TGFβ1 AA.
 associations between the MMP1 and MMP12 SNPs and emphysema or lung functions decline.

The previous studies on TGFβ1 polymorphisms, COPD, emphysema, and related traits have provided contradictory results; some studies have found the variant alleles to predispose to emphysema and severe airflow limitation [23,24] while others have found them to protect against COPD [21,25].

In the current study, the TGFβ1 rs2241718 SNP and a haplotype consisting of the rs1800469 and rs1800470 SNPs were found to be associated with centrilobular emphysema. Stratified analysis showed that the variant A-allele in rs2241718 locus and a haplotype consisting of rs1800469 variant A-allele and rs1800470 wild-type T-allele were protective against pathological centrilobular changes. Together with the MMP9 rs3918242 variant T-allele the TGFβ1 rs2241718 variant A-allele reduced the risk of pathological centrilobular emphysema into fifth compared to the wild-type genotype.

Both TGFβ1 and MMP9 can be secreted from alveolar macrophages, which are activated by cigarette smoke and found in increased number in airways and lung parenchyma of COPD patients [1]. Centrilobular emphysema, on the other hand, is the most common type of pulmonary emphysema and closely associated to cigarette smoke [43,44]. Hence, the effects of TGFβ1 and MMP9 polymorphisms in the development of centrilobular disease could be mediated through macrophages via interaction with cigarette smoke. The studied SNPs in TGFβ1 and MMP9 are also in strong linkage ($r^2 > 0.8$ in 1000 Genomes Project population) with several other polymorphisms, and it is therefore possible that the causal variant resides in a completely different gene.

Although TIMP2 polymorphisms have previously been connected to COPD [16,17], their association to different emphysema subtypes has remained unexplored. In our study, the TIMP2 rs2277698 SNP was associated with overall and paraseptal emphysema, FEV1/FVC ratio, and MEF50. Stratified analysis revealed a twofold risk for pathological paraseptal changes for individuals with at least one variant A-allele. In addition, FEV1/FVC ratio tended to be lower among individuals homozygous with variant A-allele, and MEF50 was significantly reduced among individuals with at least one variant A-allele. Decreased FEV1/FVC ratio and MEF50 suggests obstruction in peripheral airways typical for COPD and smoking related emphysema [45].

The rs2277698 SNP is a synonymous base-substitution with unknown functional consequences. Although it has previously been speculated to associate with down regulation of TIMP2 activity leading to matrix degradation and COPD [16], this has remained unconfirmed.

The F-SNP program, connected to main databases (http://compbio.cs.queensu.ca/F-SNP/) [46], predicts that rs2277698 SNP is highly likely involved in splicing regulation. The rs2277698 is also in strong linkage ($r^2 > 0.9$ in 1000 Genomes Project population) with other SNPs, some of which (rs9889410 and rs11654470) reside in an area predicted to alter the transcriptional regulation [46].

We also found an association between the TNF rs1800629 SNP and paraseptal emphysema. Further analysis revealed a twofold risk for pathological paraseptal changes for individuals with at least one variant A-allele. This finding is in agreement with a recent meta-analysis with over 5500 COPD patients and controls [25], while another meta-analysis suggests that the risk of developing COPD is statistically significant only among Asian subjects [26].

Since the rs1800629 variant A-allele has been shown to enhance the expression of TNF [47], and since the over-expression of TNF has been shown to induce emphysematous changes in mouse models [20,48], our findings support the role of TNF polymorphisms in the development of pulmonary emphysema, and their involvement in the pathogenesis of the paraseptal disease.

Certain genotypes and haplotypes of the multifunctional GC-protein, suggested to have a role in macrophage

### Table 6 Distribution of TGFβ1 haplotypes according to the existence and severity of centrilobular changes

| Haplotype | No radiologic changes | Radiologic changes | OR (95% CI) | Subnormal changes | OR (95% CI) | Pathological changes | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| GT        | 834 (57.7)            | 283 (62.1)        | 1.0         | 125 (59.5)        | 1.0         | 158 (64.2)           | 1.0         |
| GC        | 398 (27.5)            | 119 (26.1)        | 0.88 (0.68-1.14) | 52 (24.8)    | 0.91 (0.64-1.30) | 67 (27.2) | 0.90 (0.65-1.26) |
| AT        | 187 (12.9)            | 50 (11.0)         | 0.79 (0.55-1.13) | 30 (14.3)    | 1.10 (0.71-1.72) | 20 (8.1) | 0.55 (0.33-0.93) |
| AC        | 27 (1.9)              | 4 (0.9)           | 0.44 (0.15-1.32) | 3 (1.4)      | 0.72 (0.21-2.44) | 1 (0.4) | 0.22 (0.03-1.70) |

* Composed of rs1800469 and rs1800470 SNPs.
† Adjusted for age, sex, pack-years and years of asbestos exposure.
‡ The changes were considered as pathological if the emphysema subtype score was $< 1$ $< 2$ in emphysema sum score.
§ The changes were considered as subnormal if the emphysema subtype score was $> 1 > 2$ in emphysema sum score.
activation and chronic inflammatory response in the lungs, has been associated to COPD in several studies [28,29]. We did not, however, find any associations between these particular genotypes or haplotypes and emphysema subtypes or lung function.

One of the main strengths of our study is that lung function and CT-defined emphysema subtypes were recorded separately and classified according to their severity; it is highly likely that the disease pathogenesis vary between different subgroups. Another advantage is that our patient material was considerably large and a lot of ex- and current smokers were included. This is useful in demonstrating the genetic predisposition to emphysema, which probably would not have manifested to such degree without smoking.

Our study also has some potential limitations. First, since the patients were enrolled in three cities during two separate primary studies, four different CT-scanners were used and seven radiologists participated in the image reading. However, since the Finnish population is very homogenous and the three cities, where the patients were enrolled, are all located in the southern Finland very close to each other, we do not believe that the geographic origin has caused any significant bias in the data analysis. Moreover, any inconsistency in image reading or in the technical image quality causes inaccuracy and thus random noise to the results leading in loss of power rather than in a systematic error. This increases the error variance in computations and the detected associations are therefore likely to be underestimated.

Second, our study subjects have been selected based on their asbestos exposure, which itself appeared not to be a significant predictor for emphysematous changes in the logistic regression model (data not shown). However, it is highly likely that the study subjects have also been occupationally exposed to other particles, such as concrete, silica, and wood dusts, which can contribute to the development of emphysema. Unfortunately the exposure data of other dusts was not available from our study subjects. However, like tobacco smoke, exposure to these substances is likely to promote the detection of genetic predisposition to emphysema.

Third, the multiple comparisons performed increase the possibility of detecting false-positive associations. However, most of the methods correcting for multiple testing are very conservative, and it is not clear, e.g., what is the correct number of comparisons one should adjust for [49]. In addition, based on previous findings, we had an a priori hypothesis for each polymorphism chosen, which reduces the need for such correction. Yet, these results should be considered with caution until replicated in another study population.

Conclusions
To conclude, our findings support the hypothesis of the importance of protease-antiprotease balance in pathogenesis of emphysema and shed light on the aetiology of different emphysema subtypes. In particular, polymorphisms in MMP9 and TGFβ1 are proposed to protect against centrilobular emphysema, and polymorphisms in TIMP2 and TNF seem to increase the risk for paraseptal emphysema and/or airflow obstruction.
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