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Abstract

Various types of circulating tumor cell (CTC) detection systems have recently been developed that show a high CTC detection rate. However, it is a big challenge to find a system that can provide better prognostic value than CellSearch in head-to-head comparison. We have developed a novel semi-automated CTC enumeration system (fluidic cell microarray chip system, FCMC) that captures CTC independently of tumor-specific markers or physical properties. Here, we compared the CTC detection sensitivity and the prognostic value of FCMC with CellSearch in breast cancer patients. FCMC was validated in preclinical studies using spike-in samples and in blood samples from 20 healthy donors and 22 breast cancer patients in this study. Using spike-in samples, a statistically higher detection rate \( p = 0.010 \) of MDA-MB-231 cells and an equivalent detection rate \( p = 0.497 \) of MCF-7 cells were obtained with FCMC in comparison with CellSearch. The number of CTC detected in samples from patients that was above a threshold value as determined from healthy donors was evaluated. The CTC number detected using FCMC was significantly higher than that using CellSearch \( p = 0.00037 \). CTC numbers obtained using either FCMC or CellSearch had prognostic value, as assessed by progression free survival. The hazard ratio between CTC+ and CTC− was 4.229 in CellSearch \( (95\% \text{ CI}, 1.31 \text{ to } 13.66; p = 0.01591) \); in contrast, it was 11.31 in FCMC \( (95\% \text{ CI}, 2.245 \text{ to } 57.0; p = 0.000244) \). CTC detected using FCMC, like the CTC detected using CellSearch, have the potential to be a strong prognostic factor for cancer patients.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Circulating tumor cells (CTC) are cancer cells that are present in the blood stream among \( 5 \times 10^9 \) mL of leukocytes and \( 5 \times 10^9 \) mL of red blood cells (Allard et al., 2004). CTC are considered to be an important clue for estimation of the possibility of metastasis formation (Fidler, 2003) and are expected to be a prognostic marker of cancer patients (Cristofanilli et al., 2005). Therefore numerous technologies for analysis of CTC have been developed in the past decade (Joosse et al., 2014; Haber and Velculescu, 2014; Ignatiadis et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2016). One such technology, the CellSearch system, has been used in a number of prospective clinical trials and is the only CTC detection system approved by the FDA. These clinical trials indicated that the number of CTCs detected using CellSearch had prognostic value in patients with breast, colon, prostate, non-small cell lung, small cell lung and gastric cancer (Cristofanilli et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 2008; de Bono et al., 2008; Krebs et al., 2011; Naito et al., 2012; Matsusaka et al., 2010). In patients with breast cancer in particular, CellSearch detection of just one CTC in the early stage had prognostic value (Luci et al., 2012). Thus, CellSearch is thought of as a firmly established system that can indicate strong prognostic value in breast cancer.
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However, most of the methods for CTC enumeration, including the CellSearch system, can potentially lose CTCs, which might affect the sensitivity of CTC detection. Because of the low abundance of CTC in blood, almost all methods of CTC detection require enrichment of CTC from blood cells using label-dependent or physical property-based selection (Joosse et al., 2014). These enrichment processes may possibly reduce CTC detection sensitivity. Therefore, an enrichment process with minimal CTC loss that is independent of protein expression or a physical property is needed.

To overcome such problems, we previously developed the cell microarray chip (CM chip) that enables high sensitivity detection of rare cells in blood such as malaria-infected erythrocytes or spiked-in cancer cells (Yatsushiro et al., 2010; Yamamura et al., 2012). The CM chip enables rare cell detection independent of cell surface protein expression with few enrichment steps. In order to increase the detection sensitivity and robustness of the CM chip, we developed a fluidic cell microarray chip (FCMC) devices and a semi-automated FCMC system based on the CM chip, which aimed to eliminate the possibilities of target cell loss.

In this article, we show the performance of this FCMC system in pre-clinical studies and the results of head-to-head comparisons of the CTC detection rate of the FCMC system with that of the CellSearch system in patients with breast cancer. Importantly, we also compare the prognostic impact of the FCMC system with the CellSearch system in this study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Participants

All patients and healthy donors in the present studies below provided informed consent and their participation in the studies was approved by the institutional review committee of Konica Minolta, Inc., the National Cancer Center Hospital (NCC; Tokyo, Japan) and the Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center Komagome Hospital (CICK; Tokyo, Japan). Patients who were pathologically diagnosed with breast cancer, and healthy donors who did not have any cancer history were recruited. Patients who had double cancers or who had any prior cancer history were not eligible for the present studies. This work was carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

2.2. Fluidic Cell-Microarray-Chip Device (FCMC Device)

We developed the FCMC device based on the CM chip as shown in Fig. 1-A. About 18,000 microchambers were contained in the redesigned CM chip; each microchamber was 120 μm and 50 μm in diameter at the bottom, and 100 μm in diameter at the top, 90 μm in diameter at the bottom, and 50 μm deep (Fig. 1-B). The proximal and distal distance of microchambers from each other was 200 μm and 300 μm, respectively (Fig. 1-C). We coated BSA on the chip surface on the outside of the microchambers after UV-ozone exposure. A BSA coating prevents nonspecific adsorption of cells onto the surface. This coating supported the movement of untrapped cells into the microchambers. We formed a flow channel by bonding a cover plate to the new CM chip using black double-sided adhesion film. The bonding process formed a flow channel (15 mm wide, 50 mm long and 100 μm deep (Fig. 1-B, D). Each microchamber can hold approximately 50 cells inside as a tight monolayer in a state in which the intensity of every cell can be easily analyzed (Fig. 1-E, F). Therefore, one FCMC device can analyze up to 9 × 10^6 cells. The use of multiple FCMC devices enabled CTC enumeration in this study.

2.3. Blood Processing for the FCMC System

Peripheral blood samples (2 mL, anticoagulated with EDTA) for CTC analysis were collected after withdrawal of the first several milliliters of blood for clinical use to avoid potential skin cell contamination from the venipuncture. Two milliliters of blood sample were processed using Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Precipitated cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, and were then suspended into 340 μL PBS (Supplementary Fig. S1). The workflow of sample processing is shown in Fig. 2-A. Based on preliminary experiments (Supplementary Fig. S2), the samples were processed within three hours after blood collection, and were then analyzed with the FCMC device within three days.

2.4. Formation of Cell Monolayers in Microchambers Using the FCMC Device

The FCMC device was filled with PBS before loading the cell suspension. Cells were trapped in the microchambers as a monolayer by the following steps (Fig. 2-B and Supplementary Fig. S3). One fifth of the cell suspension (68 μL) was loaded from the reservoir tank into the flow channel by suction. The cells had completely settled down on the chip surface within 1 min. At this time, many excess cells remained on the chip surface. We subsequently applied two automated suction methods to improve cell capture efficiency, termed “Suction for Trapping” and “Suction for Monolayer”. “Suction for Trapping” involves 10 cycles of a brief suction (suction rate, 0.1 mL/min; total volume of suction, 0.3 μL) and incubation (10 s). This step moves untrapped cells gradually towards downstream microchambers. “Suction for Monolayer” is a long suction (suction rate, 0.1 mL/min; total volume of suction, 41 μL) followed by an incubation (10 s). This step moves overlapped cells out of microchambers. Cells that are present as monolayers at the bottom of the microchambers can maintain their position during the time of “Suction for Monolayer” because the height of the cell monolayer at the bottom of the microchamber (10 μm) means that these cells are little affected by suction flow as the in-silico simulation shows (Fig. 3-A). After ten repetitions of “Suction for Trapping” and “Suction for Monolayer”, all cells are completely trapped as a monolayer (Fig. 3-B, C).

2.5. Immunostaining for Discriminating CTCs From Leukocytes

The first immunostaining solution, which included 1:2 diluted anti-cytokeratin (CK) mAb CAM5.2 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, San Jose, CA) and 1:50 diluted anti-human CD45 mAb HIS3 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA) in PBS solution containing 1% Tween 20 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) and 3% BSA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lafayette, CO), was loaded onto the FCMC device. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature and washing with PBS, the second immunostaining solution was then loaded. The second immunostaining solution included 1:500 diluted Alexa Fluor 488 Goat Anti-Mouse IgG1 (γ1) (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), 1:500 diluted Alexa Fluor 647 Goat Anti-Mouse IgG2a (γ2a) (Life technologies) and 1:1000 diluted Hoechst 33342 10 mg/mL solution (Life technologies) in PBS solution containing 1% Tween 20 and 3% BSA. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, unbound mAbs were washed out with PBS.

2.6. Detection of CTCs in Fluorescent Microscopic Images

Immunofluorescent stained cells in the FCMC system were analyzed using an Axio Imager M2 fluorescence microscope equipped with standard filter sets (49, 38HE, 50 for Hoechst 33342, Alexa488, and Alexa 647 respectively), a monochrome CCD camera, an Axioscam MRm, a × 5 objective (EC Plan-NEO FLUAR) and ZEN2012 blue edition software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Approximately 400 views were captured to cover the entire area of the microchamber. The fluorescence images were analyzed using in-house software that identifies candidate CTCs. First, the software identified cells that were CK⁺ and Hoechst 33342⁺. If these cells displayed a strong CD45 signal, the software excluded them as CTC candidates. Next, multiple skilled inspectors examined the list of candidates and manually excluded CD45weak cells from the list of CTC candidates. Each candidate was shown with its
microchamber in order to be compared with the intensity of background noise. Bright-field images were also examined for morphological discrimination of non-cell materials.

2.7. Cell Lines

The breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, were used for preclinical spike-in experiments. MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA) and the JCRB Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan), respectively. All cell lines were authenticated by DNA STR profiling. MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Life technologies), and the MCF-7 cell line was maintained in MEM Earle’s medium (Life technologies) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (GE Healthcare), penicillin, streptomycin and amphotericin B (10,000 U/mL, 10 mg/mL and 25 μg/mL, respectively; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37 °C.

2.8. Evaluation of the Capture Efficiency of the FCMC System Without Blood Processing

MDA-MB-231 cells, and leukocytes isolated from healthy donor’s blood by using Ficoll-Paque PLUS separation medium, were used. Each cell type was fixed with 4% formaldehyde and washed with PBS. MDA-MB231 cells (1 × 10^3 cells) were spiked into 5 × 10^5 leukocytes in 68 μL of PBS. MDA-MB231 cells were detected in the cell suspension by the FCMC system without blood processing. Cell capture efficiency was calculated using the detected MDA-MB231 counts divided by the spiked MDA-MB231 counts.

2.9. Evaluation of the FCMC System Using Spiked-in Blood

MDA-MB-231 or MCF-7 cells were spiked into 2 mL of blood for the FCMC system or into 10 mL of blood for the CellSearch system. The spiked cell counts were determined using a serial dilution method. Two milliliters of spiked-in blood was processed using Ficoll-Paque PLUS and two fifths of the volume of the blood were analyzed using two FCMC devices. Ultimately, the detected cell counts per 0.8 mL of blood were obtained (Supplementary Fig. S1). To evaluate linearity of cell recovery, we used 16, 63, 250 or 500 of MDA-MB-231 cell-spiked blood. The slope and coefficient of determination (R^2) were calculated by regression analysis. The percentage recovery of each cell line was calculated using “the detected cell line counts” divided by two fifth of “the spiked cell line counts”. The difference in the percentage cell recovery between the FCMC system and the CellSearch system was evaluated using t-test statistical analysis.

2.10. Evaluation of the FCMC System Using Samples From Healthy Donors and Breast Cancer Patients

We analyzed samples from twenty healthy donors and twenty two breast cancer patients using the FCMC system. The healthy donors were recruited in Konica Minolta, Inc. and the patients were recruited in NCCH and CICK. Two milliliters of blood was processed by Ficoll-Paque PLUS and four fifths of the volume of the blood were analyzed by using four FCMC devices. Ultimately, CTC counts per 1.6 mL of blood were obtained (Supplementary Fig. S1). The first five patients in NCCH were analyzed using an FCMC device that has approximately 15,000
microchambers in the flow channel. Samples from breast cancer patients were also analyzed using the CellSearch system. We compared CTC counts per 7.5 mL blood of breast cancer patients between the FCMC system and the CellSearch system using Wilcoxon’s signed rank test.

2.11. Statistical Analysis Software
EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, version 2.13.0), was used for statistical analyses (Kanda, 2013).

3. Results
3.1. Complete Cell Capture From Cultured Cell Suspensions Using the FCMC Device
We developed the FCMC device by mounting a micro fluid channel onto the redesigned CM chip of the previous CM system. An overview of the redesigned system with the micro fluid channel, and its use for CTC detection, is shown in Figs. 1 and 2-A. (a) Blood sample collection and enrichment using Ficoll-Paque PLUS. (b) The cell suspension is loaded into the FCMC device. The cells form monolayers in the microchambers and are immunostained by using a syringe pump. (c) All microchambers are scanned using a fluorescent microscope. (d) CTC are detected from the fluorescent images. (B) Movement of cells in the FCMC device. (a) The new CM chip, which is made from polystyrene (PS), is cleaned with UV ozone and coated with BSA. (b) The FCMC device is washed with PBS. (c) A cell suspension (68 μL) that is equivalent to 0.4 mL of blood is loaded into one FCMC device. (d) After “Suction for Trapping”, untrapped cells move into downstream microchambers and settle down on the bottom of these microchambers. The blue arrows indicate flow. (e) After “Suction for Monolayer”, overlapped cells are discharged from microchambers and move to the outside of microchambers or to the inside of downstream microchambers. (f) After repetitions of (d) and (e), all cells are trapped in a monolayer. (g), (h), (i) Immunostaining solutions are loaded, incubated and washed.

algorithm is shown in Supplementary Fig. S3. After initial loading of 6 × 10^5 leukocytes, only 54% of the leukocytes were trapped in the micro chambers. Untrapped cells were subsequently trapped in the microchambers by repetition of the brief suction and the incubation. Complete cell capture was achieved after a total of ten brief suction and incubations (Fig. 3-B, C). Cell capture in a monolayer in the microchambers was promoted by the flow in the microfluid channel and by the hydrodynamic design of the flow channel (Fig. 3-A, D). We then evaluated the capture efficiency of 1 × 10^3 MDA-MB-231 cells mixed with 3 × 10^5 leukocytes using the FCMC device. After cell capture, we detected MDA-MB 231 by immunofluorescent staining. The percentage detection of the MDA-MB-231 cells was 100 ± 10% (mean ± SD). The accuracy of the cell counting chamber used for MDA-MB-231 cell preparation includes 10% of SD. Therefore, the FCMC device had extra-high potential for CTC detection after removal of red blood cells from the blood samples.

3.2. Linearity of the FCMC System Using Spiked-in Blood
The detection efficiency of rare cells in blood using the FCMC system was evaluated using serial dilutions of MDA-MB231 cells spiked into 2 mL of blood from healthy donors. The expected number of spiked-in cells, plotted against the actual number of cells observed in the samples, is shown in Fig. 4-A. Regression analysis of the number of observed tumor cells versus the number of expected tumor cells produced a slope of 0.71, and a coefficient of determination (R^2) of 0.94. The
The mean percentage of recovered cells was ≥75% (n = 16). Individual percentage cell recovery was: 82% ± 42%, 78% ± 26%, 81% ± 19% and 75% ± 14% of 6, 26, 100 and 200 spiked cells, respectively.

### 3.3 Comparison of the Recovery Rate of Cancer Cells Between the FCMC and the CellSearch System

The spiked cancer cell recovery rate of the FCMC system was compared with that of the CellSearch system using MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell spiked-in blood samples. MCF-7 cells express CK and EpCAM but have low Vimentin expression. MDA-MB-231 cells are CK-weak, have low EpCAM expression, and express Vimentin (Supplementary Fig. S4). Each cell line was spiked into blood from a healthy donor so that the spiked blood was at a concentration of 32 cells per mL. The percentage cell recovery of the two cell lines is shown in Fig. 4-B. Using the FCMC system, the percentage recovery of MDA-MB-231 cells was 66% ± 14%, and that of MCF-7 cells was 73% ± 34%. On the other hand, using the CellSearch system, the percentage recovery of MDA-MB-231 cells was 12% ± 15%, and that of MCF-7 cells was 89% ± 13%. No statistical difference was found between the percentage recovery of MCF-7 cells using the FCMC system and that using the CellSearch system (p = 0.497, t-test). In contrast, the percentage recovery of MDA-MB-231 cells obtained using the FCMC system was significantly higher as compared to that obtained using the CellSearch system (p = 0.010, t-test). Typical immunofluorescence images of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells detected using the FCMC system are shown in Fig. 4-C.
3.4. CTC Counts in Samples From Healthy Donors

We also analyzed the CTC count per 1.6 mL of peripheral blood from each of 20 healthy donors in Konica Minolta, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) using the FCMC system, in order to determine a threshold value for the CTC counts using this system. The number of cells analyzed from 1.6 mL of peripheral blood after enrichment is about 1.6 \times 10^6. The mean ± SD value of these CTC counts was 0.6 ± 0.8, and 90% (18/20) of the samples had CTC counts ≤1 (Table 1). No sample had a CTC count ≥4.

3.5. CTC Counts in Samples From Patients With Advanced Breast Cancer

We then compared the CTC counts detected in samples of patients with advanced breast cancer using the FCMC system with those detected using the CellSearch system (Table 2). Samples from 17 advanced breast cancer patients from CICK and from 5 advanced breast cancer patients from NCCH were evaluated. All patients were under treatment at the time of blood collection, and then the type of treatment and their responses are noted in Table 2. In total, CTCs were detected in 17/22 (77.3%) of these samples; the FCMC system detected CTCs in all 17 samples whereas, in contrast, the CellSearch system detected CTCs in only 8

| CTC counts/1.6 mL blood | Number of healthy donors (N = 20) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0                       | 11                               | (55%) |
| 1                       | 7                                | (35%) |
| 2                       | 1                                | (5%)  |
| 3                       | 1                                | (5%)  |
Since CellSearch was described various other types of CTC detection systems have been developed and their advantages and disadvantages have been reviewed (Jossie et al., 2014; Haber and Velculescu, 2014; Ignatiadis et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2016). Those CTC detection systems are categorized according to the method of CTC enrichment and/or detection. For example, they are based on immunoaffinity (positive selection (Nagrath et al., 2007; Talasaz et al., 2009; Stott et al., 2010; Saucedo-Zeni et al., 2012), negative selection (Liu et al., 2011; Sawada et al., 2016)), biophysical properties (density gradient centrifugation (Campton et al., 2015; Morimoto et al., 2015), microfiltration (Vona et al., 2000; Adams et al., 2014; Sarioglu et al., 2015), inertial force (Ozkumur et al., 2013; Sollier et al., 2014), electrophoresis (Gupta et al., 2012; Peeters et al., 2013), acoustophoresis (Augustsson et al., 2016), direct imaging (Galantha and Zhang, 2012; Marrinucci et al., 2012) and functional characteristics (Lu et al., 2010; Alix-Panabieres, 2012). Although the CTC detection rate of some of these methods exceeds that of the CellSearch system, to find a method that shows better clinical significance for the number of detected CTCs compared to CellSearch is considered to be a big challenge. In this study, we showed the high capture efficiency of the FCMC device using cell suspensions, and a good linearity of cell recovery rate using cancer cells spiked into blood. We obtained a higher rate of CTC detection in samples from advanced breast cancer patients using the FCMC system than that using the CellSearch system. Furthermore, we found that the number of CTC detected using the FCMC system provided a better prognostic value than the number detected using the CellSearch system.

We have previously reported the CM chip that enables precise estimation of rare cell counts in blood including spiked-in cultured cancer cells (Yatsushiro et al., 2010; Yamamura et al., 2012). Although the CM chip produced excellent results in a preclinical study, we considered that precise enumeration of CTCs requires analysis of all cells, including the excess cells that might be excluded from the chambers. Thus it was considered that washing of the chip surface might result in the loss of such cells, and may lead to an underestimation of the CTCs present in the sample. Excess cells were classified as “untrapped cells” and “overlapped cells” (Fig. 2-B). Untrapped cells are cells that remain outside of the microchambers. Overlapped cells are cells that are inside of the microchambers but have not adhered to the bottom of the chip.

out of these 17 samples. Although the FCMC system detected fewer CTCs than the CellSearch system in one sample (patient number 17), when all of the samples were analyzed the FCMC system detected statistically higher counts of CTCs than those detected by the CellSearch system (p < 0.00037, Wilcoxon signed rank test) (Fig. 5-A). There was a good correlation between the counts of CTCs detected with both methods (0.764; Spearman rank-correlation coefficient). Typical immunofluorescent images of a CTC from a patient with breast cancer are shown in Fig. 5-B.

### 3.6. CTC Counts and Progression Free Survival

We analyzed the association between CTC counts and progression free survival (PFS). PFS was defined as the time between blood sampling for CTC analysis and disease progression (PD). The result of 20 healthy donors was used to determine the threshold level of the FCMC system as 3 CTCs/1.6 mL. After a median follow-up period of 206 days, the median PFS was significantly shorter in the patients in whom the number of CTC detected using the FCMC system was above the threshold level (CTC+) (40 days; 95% CI, 0 days to N.E., not estimable) than in the patients in whom the number of detected CTC was not above the threshold level (CTC−) (194 days; 95% CI, 173 days to N.E.; p = 0.000244; HR, 11.31; 95% CI, 2.245 to 57.0; p = 0.00037, Wilcoxon signed rank test) (Fig. 5-A). There was a good correlation between the counts of CTCs detected with both methods (0.764; Spearman rank-correlation coefficient). Typical immunofluorescent images of a CTC from a patient with breast cancer are shown in Fig. 5-B.

### 4. Discussion

The CellSearch system precedes other methods for CTC detection. Since CellSearch was described various other types of CTC detection systems have been developed and their advantages and disadvantages have been reviewed (Jossie et al., 2014; Haber and Velculescu, 2014; Ignatiadis et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2016). Those CTC detection systems are categorized according to the method of CTC enrichment and/or detection. For example, they are based on immunoaffinity (positive selection (Nagrath et al., 2007; Talasaz et al., 2009; Stott et al., 2010; Saucedo-Zeni et al., 2012), negative selection (Liu et al., 2011; Sawada et al., 2016)), biophysical properties (density gradient centrifugation (Campton et al., 2015; Morimoto et al., 2015), microfiltration (Vona et al., 2000; Adams et al., 2014; Sarioglu et al., 2015), inertial force (Ozkumur et al., 2013; Sollier et al., 2014), electrophoresis (Gupta et al., 2012; Peeters et al., 2013), acoustophoresis (Augustsson et al., 2012), direct imaging (Galantha and Zhang, 2012; Marrinucci et al., 2012) and functional characteristics (Lu et al., 2010; Alix-Panabieres, 2012). Although the CTC detection rate of some of these methods exceeds that of the CellSearch system, to find a method that shows better clinical significance for the number of detected CTCs compared to CellSearch is considered to be a big challenge. In this study, we showed the high capture efficiency of the FCMC device using cell suspensions, and a good linearity of cell recovery rate using cancer cells spiked into blood. We obtained a higher rate of CTC detection in samples from advanced breast cancer patients using the FCMC system than that using the CellSearch system. Furthermore, we found that the number of CTC detected using the FCMC system provided a better prognostic value than the number detected using the CellSearch system.

We have previously reported the CM chip that enables precise estimation of rare cell counts in blood including spiked-in cultured cancer cells (Yatsushiro et al., 2010; Yamamura et al., 2012). Although the CM chip produced excellent results in a preclinical study, we considered that precise enumeration of CTCs requires analysis of all cells, including the excess cells that might be excluded from the chambers. Thus it was considered that washing of the chip surface might result in the loss of such cells, and may lead to an underestimation of the CTCs present in the sample. Excess cells were classified as “untrapped cells” and “overlapped cells” (Fig. 2-B). Untrapped cells are cells that remain outside of the microchambers. Overlapped cells are cells that are inside of the microchambers but have not adhered to the bottom of the chip.

### Table 2

| Patient * | Age/sex | Metastatic site | Treatment type | Current response to therapy | CTC count (FCMC system) **/7.5 mL** | CTC count (CellSearch system) **/7.5 mL** |
|-----------|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1         | 58/F    | Lung, bone, liver, adrenal gland, brain | CT | SD | 4.7 | 0 |
| 2         | 64/F    | Bone, liver | CT | SD | 160 | 4 |
| 3         | 42/F    | Lung, lymph node, brain | CT | PD | 52 | 3 |
| 4         | 63/F    | Bone, lymph node, liver, pleura | CT | PD | 110 | 64 |
| 5         | 41/F    | Lung, bone, liver | CT | SD | 0 | 0 |
| 6         | 67/F    | Bone, lymph node, brain | CT | SD | 0 | 0 |
| 7         | 47/F    | Bone, lymph node, brain | CT | SD | 160 | 1 |
| 8         | 54/F    | Lung, bone, lymph node, adrenal gland, peritoneum | CT | PR | 4.7 | 0 |
| 9         | 67/F    | Liver | CT | SD | 9.4 | 0 |
| 10        | 68/F    | Breast, lung, bone | CT | SD | 12,400 | 88 |
| 11        | 52/F    | Breast, lung, bone, skin, lymph node, pleura, brain | CT | PD | 19 | 0 |
| 12        | 56/F    | Bone, lymph node, adrenal gland | CT | SD | 9.4 | 2 |
| 13        | 53/F    | Pleura, brain | CT | SD | 4.7 | 0 |
| 14        | 54/F    | Bone | CT | SD | 0 | 0 |
| 15        | 82/F    | Pleura, bone | CT | SD | 4.7 | 0 |
| 16        | 67/F    | Bone, pleura | CT | SD | 0 | 0 |
| 17        | 82/F    | Lung, bone, lymph node | CT | SD | 9.4 | 13 |
| 18        | 47/F    | Bone | CT | SD | 0 | 0 |
| 19        | 72/F    | Bone | CT | SD | 9.4 | 0 |
| 20        | 77/F    | Lymph node | CT | SD | 33 | 0 |
| 21        | 62/F    | Lung, bone, liver, lymph node, adrenal gland | HT | SD | 230 | 31 |
| 22        | 41/F    | Lung, bone, lymph node | HT | SD | 4.7 | 0 |
microchamber as a cell monolayer. In order to trap all of the cells as a monolayer in the microchambers, we improved the CM chip and adopted an automated suction algorithm (Supplementary Fig. S3) which enables efficient cell trapping as a monolayer. We arranged the positions of the microchambers between the inlet and the outlet in order to trap cells efficiently during suction (Fig. 3-D). In an in silico flow simulation, the FCMC device has a low-speed area in a microchamber at a height of 10 μm from the bottom, and this helps to retain cells as a monolayer (Fig. 3-A). Cells can be trapped as a monolayer in all of the microchambers only by use of the FCMC device with this automated suction algorithm.

In this study, the FCMC system showed higher sensitivity in detection of CTCs in patients with advanced breast cancer than CellSearch. A previous report showed the presence of CTC that were CK+ and EpCAM− in the blood that was discarded by CellSearch after EpCAM-based enrichment (Wit et al., 2015). The FCMC system has the advantage that it enriches CTC independent of CTC EpCAM expression, which enables detection of such CK+ and EpCAM− CTC. Additionally,

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of the CTC counts detected in patients with breast cancer using the FCMC system and the CellSearch system, and progression free survival in patients in whom CTC were detected or not detected using the FCMC system or the CellSearch system. (A) The CTC counts of twenty-two patients with breast cancer were evaluated. For comparison with the CellSearch system, the results of the FCMC system from 1.6 mL blood were normalized to a value of 7.5 mL. The number of CTC counts detected using the FCMC system was statistically higher than that using the CellSearch system (p = 0.00037, Wilcoxon signed rank test). (B) Typical immunofluorescence stained CTC images of a patient with breast cancer. CTC was defined as cytokeratin (CK) positive, CD45 negative and Hoechst 33342 (nucleus) positive. Scale bar, 20 μm. (CD) Kaplan-Meier curves of progression free survival (PFS) in patients in whom CTC were detected (CTC+) or not detected (CTC−) using (C) the FCMC system or (D) the CellSearch system are shown. CTC+ is defined as detected CTC counts that are above the threshold level of each system (FCMC, 3 CTC/1.6 mL; CellSearch, 1 CTC/7.5 mL). The log-rank test of PFS showed significant differences according to CTC detection in both systems (FCMC: p = 0.000244, CellSearch: p = 0.0082). The median PFS of CTC+ patients (40 days; 95% CI, 0 to N.E., not estimable) and CTC− patients (194 days; 95% CI, 173 to N.E.) as assessed using the FCMC system is shown in (C). The median PFS of CTC+ patients (97 days; 95% CI, 33 to 173) and CTC− patients (325 days; 95% CI, 33 to N.E.) as assessed using the CellSearch system is shown in (D). The hazard ratio for PFS was 11.31 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.245 to 57.0; p = 0.003284) for CTC+ patients as compared with CTC− patients using the FCMC system, and was 4.229 (95% CI, 1.31 to 13.66; p = 0.01591) for CTC+ patients as compared with CTC− patients using the CellSearch system. Patients remained in the study unless they met a criterion for disease progression.
The FCMI system adopts only a density gradient centrifugation (DGC)-based enrichment step. DGC-based CTC enrichment is often combined with another enrichment procedure such as depletion of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells because it is difficult to detect CTC in the presence of too many residual leukocytes (Lustberg et al., 2012). Although the loss of cells is kept to a minimum in DGC-based enrichment, additional enrichment steps may induce unexpected target cell loss. In the FCMI system, one FCMI device can completely capture about 9 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells. Generally, the mean recovery numbers of cells by the DGC-based enrichment step is 1.0 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL of blood (Nilsson et al., 2008); therefore, several FCMI devices are sufficient for enumeration of CTC in that situation. This efficiency may contribute to the high sensitivity in CTC detection of the FCMI system. However, the CTC positive detection rate is still low at 36.4% (8/22), even in the FCMI system. The probable reason is that all patients in this study were under treatment, which might be expected to result in low CTC counts as suggested in previous reports (Riethdorf et al., 2010; Pierga et al., 2008). In order to increase the CTC detection rate, it might be helpful to use arterial blood instead of peripheral blood (Terai et al., 2015).

In spike-in experiments, the FCMI system could detect EpCAM<sup>-</sup>, CK<sup>weak</sup> and Vimentin<sup>+</sup> MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4 C, Supplementary Fig. S4). The presence of CTCs that express mesenchymal components such as vimentin, the so-called Epithelial Mesenchymal-Transition (EMT)-CTC, was previously reported in advanced breast cancer patients, and the expression level of such components changes dramatically in disease progression (Yu et al., 2013). Moreover, recent reports have shown that the heterogeneity of CTC reflects various degrees of epithelial and mesenchymal cell-surface expression depending on the EMT status of the CTC (Polioudaki et al., 2015; Satelli et al., 2015). Indeed, variation in the level of CK expression was observed in the CTC detected in this study (Supplementary Fig. S5). Although proof of the expression of mesenchymal components in CTC is lacking in this study, detection of those cells using the FCMI system may also contribute to the high sensitivity in CTC detection of this system. The FCMI system includes precise location information regarding the chamber that includes the target cell. It therefore enables recovery of target cells for further analysis. Future molecular characterization of those CTCs may reveal the relationship between disease progression and various types of CTCs.

Regarding the prognostic value of CTC, detection of CTCs over the threshold value (CTC+ patients) in both the FCMI and CellSearch system was a worse prognostic factor in this study. The significant point that needs to be noted here is that the hazard ratio between CTC+ and CTC− patients using the FCMI system was much greater than that between CTC+ and CTC− patients using CellSearch. This result indicated that, like the CTC detected using CellSearch, the number of CTC detected using the FCMI system also has the potential to be a strong prognostic marker for cancer patients. An important question is why the FCMI system results have a better prognostic value than the CellSearch results in this study. One possible reason is that the FCMI system detected EMT-CTC. It has been suggested that the presence of EMT-CTC may be associated with an unfavorable outcome (Joosse et al., 2012). EMT is assumed to be an essential state for metastasis (Gunasinge et al., 2012), and therefore a relationship between the detection of EMT-CTC and short time to disease progression is reasonable. On the other hand, in contradiction to our result, a previous report showed that the presence of EpCAM− and CK<sup>+</sup> CTC was related to a favorable outcome (Wit et al., 2015). Additionally, we could not find a relationship between the number of metastatic sites and the CTC counts using the FCMI system. Both our study and the previous study analyzed a small number of patients, which makes it difficult to come to any definitive conclusion.

Recently, the presence of the aggregates containing two or more CTCs (CTC clusters) has also been associated with poor prognosis in patients with metastatic cancer (Aceto et al., 2014). In addition, CTC clusters are considered as biomarkers for early detection of cancer (Carlsson et al., 2014) and as a potential tool for the monitoring of tumor-immune cell interactions (Sarioglu et al., 2015). The FCMI system is capable of detecting CTC clusters in a spike-in experiment using MCF-7 cells (Supplementary Fig. S6), however, we did not detect any CTC clusters in this patient study. We consider that the limited number of patients and healthy volunteers is a limitation of this study. We also consider that the use of PFS as an indicator for evaluating and estimating the clinical significance of CTC counts is not sufficient. In order to confirm the findings in this study, a larger study is required with evaluation of overall survival of the patients.
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