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Abstract

Background: Plasmodium falciparum deficient for hrp2 and hrp3 genes are a threat to malaria management and elimination, since they escape widely used HRP2-based rapid diagnostic tests and treatment. Hrp2/hrp3 deletions are increasingly reported from all malaria endemic regions but are currently only identified by laborious methodologies.

Methods: We developed a novel hydrolysis probe-based, quantitative, real-time PCR (4plex qPCR) for detection and discrimination of P. falciparum infection (cytb) and hrp2 and hrp3 gene status, and to control assay validity (btub). A cross-sectional, diagnostic accuracy study was performed in Gabon for assay validation and deletion screening.

Findings: In parallel to identification of P. falciparum infection in samples down to 0.05 parasites/μl, the 4plex qPCR enabled specific and valid interrogation of the parasites’ hrp2 and hrp3 genes in one go – even in low parasitemic samples. The assay was precise and robust also when performed in a routine healthcare setting in Gabon. The risk of falsely identifying hrp2 or hrp3 deletion was reduced by 100-fold compared to conventional PCR. Evaluation against microscopy was performed on 200 blood samples collected in Gabon: sensitivity and specificity of 4plex qPCR (cytb) were 100% and 80%, respectively. Stringent testing revealed hrp2 deletion in 2 of 95 P. falciparum positive and validated samples.

Interpretation: The novel 4plex qPCR is sensitive, accurate and allows resource-efficient rapid screening. Monitoring and mapping of hrp2/hrp3 deletions is required to identify areas where control strategies may need to be adapted to ensure appropriate patient care and ultimately achieve malaria elimination.
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1. Research in context

1.1. Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed for publications until April 3, 2019, using search terms “plasmodium” AND “falciparum” AND (“hrp2” OR “histidine” AND “rich” AND “2”) AND (“deletion” OR “lack” OR “deficient”). We retrieved 55 results which were screened for the methodology to identify Plasmodium falciparum strains deficient for hrp2 and/or hrp3 (hrp2/hrp3) genes. All studies used PCR or nested
PCR followed by agarose gel electrophoresis to analyse hrp2/hrp3 amplification. If no amplification was detected by eye, the respective gene was reported as deleted (after further confirmatory PCRs). In those studies where a hydrolysis probe-based, quantitative PCR (qPCR) was reported, it was used only to confirm *P. falciparum* infection. One study did a SYBR Green-based qPCR using a primer set that annealed to both hrp2 and hrp3 loci but cannot discriminate between the two genes. During publication submission and review process of this manuscript, a probe-based, qPCR appeared on September 11, 2019 to assess of hrp2 and hrp3 genes in a given sample. No data of hrp2/hrp3 deletion exists for *P. falciparum* parasites in Gabon.

1.2. Added value of this study

Rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) detecting *P. falciparum* histidine rich protein 2 (HRP2-RDT) form mainstay diagnostics in malaria endemic regions owing to their superior performance characteristics. In 2017 alone, 182 million HRP2-based RDTs were sold for use in sub-Saharan Africa. *P. falciparum* strains lacking hrp2/hrp3 genes produce a false-negative RDT result and escape treatment and clearance. These parasites are a challenge to individual patient management and to control and elimination efforts. Deletions were first identified in Peru in 2010 and reports thereof are now accumulating from all malaria endemic regions. The standard approach to identify hrp2/hrp3 deficient *P. falciparum* strains is a negative PCR result for the hrp2 and/or hrp3 gene – often complemented by additional rounds of PCR to control for confounding factors. Here, we developed a novel probe-based, quantitative, real-time PCR (4plex qPCR) that concomitantly confirms *P. falciparum* infections at submicroscopic levels, assesses hrp2 and hrp3 status and controls for DNA amplifiability in a single patient sample in one run. This highly sensitive method allows rapid and resource-saving screening of large samples sets collected in surveillance studies and adheres to the current WHO response plan to hrp2 gene deletions. We evaluated and validated the assay in a cross-sectional, diagnostic accuracy study performed in Gabon. Moreover, our study identified for the first time two hrp2 negative *P. falciparum* parasites (2%) in Gabon.

1.3. Implications of all the available evidence

Studies investigating hrp2/hrp3 deletions are scattered geographically but reported local frequencies reach 70% in the Amazon region (Peru, South America), 22% in Kinshasa (DRC, Africa), or 25% Baikonhurst (India, Asia), for example. These parasites cause false negative HRP2-RDT results. *P. falciparum* infected patients may be left untreated and are at risk of developing complicated disease and/or may further fuel parasite transmission. These parasites are threat to malaria elimination targeted for 2030 in affected countries, particularly where transmission has already declined. Recently, Verma et al. sounded the alarm in Lancet Infect Dis. (Vol 18, 2018), calling hrp2/hrp3 deleted parasites a “a hole in the ship of malaria elimination” in India. WHO supports hrp2/hrp3 deletion monitoring and mapping programs to identify areas where diagnostic strategies may need to be changed. Our novel 4plex qPCR is a sensitive and high-throughput methodology that can be easily applied in ongoing and future surveillance studies. This methodology allows a standardized, accurate and resource-efficient analysis of the prevalence and geographical distribution of hrp2/hrp3 deletions and enables timely policy adaptation where necessary.

2. Background

Malaria remains a leading health threat in tropical and subtropical regions. In 2017, an estimated 219 million *Plasmodium fal-

ciparum* malaria cases and 435,000 deaths occurred globally [1]. Sub-Saharan Africa carries the largest burden with every two minutes a young child dying of malaria. Enormous efforts are ongoing to reduce global malaria incidence and deaths by at least 90% and eliminate malaria in 35 countries by 2030, as encouraged by the World Health Assembly in 2015 – ultimately, the global health agenda aims for malaria eradication [2–4].

Diagnostics are a key pillar in effective malaria management and control. Microscopy and rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) are the primary tools in the field. RDTs are simple and rapid to perform, require little training and allow diagnosis even in remote areas where microscopy services are limited. In 2017, 245 million RDTs were distributed to Africa and 75% of all malaria diagnosis were based on RDTs [1]. The use of RDTs was fueled by the move from presumptive malaria treatment towards a ‘test and treat’ strategy issued by WHO in 2010, [5] aiming to reduce antimalarial overuse that accelerates spread of parasite resistance and to appropriately treat patients suffering from febrile illnesses other than malaria. This shift of paradigm is now of even more relevance as malaria cases are declining in several countries. The majority of RDTs detect *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP2) [6] and to a lower level HRP3, a structurally related protein, however the effects have not been quantified systematically [7]. RDT performance can be impaired by several factors [7–9] but worryingly, *P. falciparum* strains lacking hrp2 and/or hrp3 gene/s (hrp2/hrp3) have been found – the first time in 2010 in Peru [10]. Parasites with hrp2/hrp3 deletions are causing false negative HRP2-RDT results and escape treatment that put patients at risk of severe malaria complications. Frequency and mapping of deletions is scattered but reports are accumulating from all malaria endemic regions and incidence can reach up to 70% as in Amazon region/Peru/South America, [10] 22% in Kinshasa/DRC/Africa, [11] or 25% Baikonhurst/India/Asia, [12] for instance. This is a threat to malaria patient care - but not only. Parasites carrying the deletions have a fitness advantage as they are not detected by RDT and the infected patient will not be immediately treated with an antimalarial. Therefore, mutant parasite can be transmitted and spread and frequencies of hrp2/hrp3 deletions might rise. These parasites are a challenge to progress made in malaria control and elimination and may re-introduce malaria into regions where parasite transmission has already been stopped. Recently, Verma et al. [13] launched a warning call worrying about successful malaria elimination in India as hrp2/hrp3 deleted parasites are circulating in several states. WHO considers hrp2/hrp3 deletions a threat to malaria programs and calls for accurate monitoring and mapping of deleted parasites [14–16].

Despite recent attempts to harmonize study designs and reporting of hrp2/hrp3 deletions, a sensitive and objective molecular technology to rapidly and efficiently identify deletions is urgently needed [13,15,17–19]. So far, parasites deficient of hrp2/hrp3 are commonly detected by a negative PCR result on an agarose gel analyzed by eye and throughput of samples collected in large-scale surveillance studies is not feasible. To this end, we developed a novel quantitative real-time PCR (4plex qPCR) that allows parallel and rapid analysis of four genes within one patient sample. We performed a cross-sectional study in Gabon to assess 4plex qPCR accuracy under field conditions and did the first and in-depth hrp2/hrp3 deletion investigation of the local *P. falciparum* population. Our achievements can directly be applied to large-scale monitoring and mapping studies to identify hotspots of deletion prevalence that require adapted control strategies.

3. Methods

The manuscript follows MIQE guidelines [20] for reporting quantitative real-time PCR experiments (qPCR), and STARD
3.1. In vitro culture of P. falciparum

To establish, optimize and validate the 4plex qPCR protocol, *P. falciparum* laboratory strains 3D7 (hrp2 gene positive/hrp3 positive), Dd2 (hrp2 negative/hrp3 positive), and HB3 (hrp2 positive/hrp3 negative) were cultured *in vitro* and DNA was extracted. Standard *in vitro* cultivation of *P. falciparum* blood stages was done as described earlier [22]. To obtain ultra-pure ring stage parasites, synchronization was done by 5% sorbitol method and by magnetic cell sorting. 3D7, Dd2, or HB3 were spiked into whole blood to mimic sampling of a *P. falciparum* infected person followed by DNA extraction (3D7PC, Dd2PC, HB3PC) and uninfected whole blood was the negative template control (NTC).

3.2. 3D7 DNA standard

*P. falciparum* 3D7 DNA standard (3D7 DNA standard) was prepared from serial dilutions of highly pure (~98%) ring stages that were spiked into whole blood of an uninfected donor at the following parasite densities: 603; 204; 60.3, 20.4, 6, 2.4, 0.6, 0.2, 0.06, 0.02, 0.006, 0.003, 0.004, and 0.001 parasites/μl whole blood (p/μl).

3.3. Clinical sampling

For 4plex qPCR validation and screening of the *P. falciparum* population in Gabon to detect *hrp2/hrp3* deletion, blood samples were collected (April 2017 to June 2018) within two cross-sectional studies (NanoFRET-01 and NoHRP2) conducted in CERMEL/Gabon. NanoFRET-01 is a retrospective and completed study to establish new malaria diagnostics and NoHRP2 is an ongoing, prospective study screening *P. falciparum* for *hrp2/hrp3* deletions. Both are single-center studies and overall study design and procedures largely overlap. Individuals older than 2 years living in Lambaréné or rural surrounding areas and were consecutively included. Blood was collected by finger prick or by venipuncture. On inclusion, Giemsa-stained thick blood smear (TBS) by Lambaréné method [23] and/or a rapid diagnostic test (RDT, Paracheck Pf, Orchid Biomedical or SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan (05FK600, Standard Diagnostics Inc.)) was done as well as dried blood spots for DNA extraction (40 μl/spot, 2–4 spots on Whatman FTA Elute cards (GE Healthcare)). TBS/RDT were blinded to 4plex qPCR operators. Both studies were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee at the Center de Recherches Médicales de Lambaréné, Gabon (Reference numbers: NanoFRET-01: CEI-005/2016; NoHRP2: CEI-005/2017). Written informed consent was obtained from adults or - if minor - from parents or legal guardian on children's behalf. From 12 years on, children were additionally informed on the study purpose in simple speech. Sample size was based on the assumption of 2% *hrp2* deletion in the region.

3.4. DNA extraction

DNA was extracted either by a robot or manually. Automated DNA extraction was done for control samples (3D7PC, Dd2PC, HB3PC, NTC, and 3D7 DNA standard) by QIAasympSP SP (Qiagen) using QIAasymp DSP DNA Midi kits. DNA from Whatman FTA Elute cards was manually extracted by adding 50 μl of nuclease free water followed by centrifugation. At least two blood spots per individual were extracted separately (biological replicate).

3.5. 4plex qPCR

Primers and hydrolysis probes for *hrp2* and *hrp3* were generated based on *P. falciparum* 3D7 reference genome (GenBank ID for *hrp2*: 9,221,889, GenBank ID for *hrp3*: 9,221,999). Minor groove binding (MGB) probes were synthesized for *hrp2* and *hrp3*. Oligonucleotides for detection of mitochondria encoded *P. falciparum* specific cytochrome b (*cytb*) [24] and *P. falciparum β-tubulin (*btub*) [25] were chosen based on previous publications. All primers and probes were synthesized by Eurofins Genomics except for *cytb* probe (Sigma Aldrich) that included locked nucleic acids (Supplementary Table 1).

The 4plex qPCR protocol was stepwise established using the same batch of control DNA (3D7PC, Dd2PC, HB3PC, NTC). At minimum 3 technical replicates/sample were included per 4plex qPCR run. Optimization steps included interrogation of qPCR master mix types, primer and probe concentrations, annealing and extension times and temperatures. All assays were run on a LightCycler 480 II (Roche Life Sciences) either in 38-well plates in Tübingen/Germany or 96-well plates in CERMEL/Gabon. For each instrument, a color compensation file was generated and applied to the respective analysis.

The optimized and final 4plex qPCR reaction consisted of 1x TaqMan Multiplex Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific), 400 nM (each) primers of *hrp2*, *hrp3*, and *btub*, respectively, and 100 nM (each) *cytb* primers, 100 nM *btub* probe and 50 nM hrp2, *hrp3*, and *cytb* probe, respectively, plus 3 μl DNA template, and nuclelease-free water to complete 10 μl for the 348 well plate or 20 μl for the 96 well plate format. Final thermal cycling conditions were set to an initial activation step at 95 °C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles (45 cycles) at 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 150 s.

3.6. PCR

Primers used for conventional *hrp2* and *hrp3* PCR were taken from literature with the *hrp2* reverse primer extended with six more nucleotides (Supplementary Table 1) [26,27]. PCR reactions consisted of 1x PCR Buffer with 15 mM MgCl₂ (Qiagen), 200 nM (each) primers (Eurofins Genomics), 200μM dNTPs (Genaxxon Bioscience), 0.2 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Qiagen), 3 μl or 5 μl DNA template and nuclelease-free water to reach 20 μl. For *hrp2* PCR only, 0.5x of Q-solution (Qiagen) was added. Final thermal cycling conditions were set to an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles [95 °C for 30 s, 57 °C (hrp2)/60 °C (hrp3) for 30 s, and 72 °C for 60 s], and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were analyzed by an automated capillary system (Qi-Axcel Advanced System, Qiagen) and/or by conventional agarose gel electrophoresis.

3.7. In silico specificity screen

Specificity (identity) of 4plex qPCR (*hrp2*) and (*hrp3*) oligonucleotides to respective sequences from *P. falciparum* isolates originating from various geographical regions was assessed. NCBI GenBank was searched for *hrp2* and *hrp3* sequence data. Retrieved sequences were aligned to *P. falciparum 3D7* reference amplicon for *hrp2* (78 bp) or *hrp3* (79 bp), respectively (Geneious v.11.0.3, Biomatters). Pairwise alignment was done and evaluated for all retrieved sequences with full coverage to reference amplicon.

3.8. Data analysis

LightCycler 480 II software was used and quantification cycles (Cq) were calculated applying the second derivative maximum method (mathematical approach to calculate the cycle where the second derivative of the real-time fluorescence intensity curve reaches the maximum) [28]. Before subjecting 4plex qPCR outcomes to further analysis, raw data had to pass a 3-step quality check: 1) exponential amplification of the amplification curve, 2)
concordance of amplification curve and respective Cq value output, and 3) confirmed Cq responses to 3D7PC, Dd2PC, HB3PC, and NTC. Laboratory *P. falciparum* samples were measured in triplicates (technical replicates) and at least in 3 experiments (if not otherwise stated). Two biological replicates (blood spots) per clinical sample were measured each in duplicate and 4plex qPCR was done at least twice. Efficiency of amplification (E in%) was calculated by $E = 10^{(-1/ \text{slope})} - 1$ [20]. 3D7 DNA standard were measured to allow: i) Linear regression analysis (plus goodness-of-fit ($R^2$)) to model the calibration standard for 4plex qPCR individual target genes using mean Cq values (95% CI) and respective parasite concentration (log p/μl), ii) Limit of detection (LOD) was interpolated from a 4 parametric logistic regression and represents the lowest parasitemia (p/μl) at which 95% of the positive samples are detected (95% confidence), [29] iii) Limit of quantification (LOQ) represents the coefficient of variation (CV) of below 35% that has been determined by back-calculated parasitemia of log-normal distributed Cq values, [29] and iv) Intraassay variance (repeatability) and interassay variance (reproducibility) was calculated by CV of technical replicates and of repeated experiments, respectively, for two different laboratories in different countries (Tübingen/Germany and Lambaréné/Gabon). Samples were positive for the respective target genes if at least two amplification curves reached the threshold for positivity (Cq ≤ 35). Validation of the 4plex qPCR was restricted to systematically analyzed NanoFRET samples (N = 80). Missing data was indicated when applicable. For comparison, 4plex qPCR and PCR sensitivities were analyzed by logistic regression using R 3.4 by ggplot2. All other analysis were done with GraphPad Prism Software.

4. Results

We established, optimized, and validated a novel 4plex quantitative real-time PCR assay (4plex qPCR) to efficiently and accurately screen large-scale samples for *P. falciparum* parasites with hrp2 and/or hrp3 deletions. The 4plex qPCR targets and discriminates 4 *P. falciparum* genes in one go in a single blood sample: cytb, hrp2, hrp3 and btub. Samples positive for *P. falciparum* infections are identified via cytb, present at multiple copies to uncover even low parasitemia. The assay interrogates and differentiates presence/absence of hrp2 and of hrp3 genes in the parasites genome, respectively. To control for assay validity of amplification, btub, a single copy gene like hrp2 and hrp3, is included.

Primers and probes for hrp2 and hrp3 detection, respectively, were de novo designed (supplementary Table 1, supplementary figure 1). Oligonucleotide sequences for cytb and btub are published [24,25]. An in-silico specificity screen was done to assess the applicability of the 4plex qPCR to global deletion monitoring. GenBank was searched for hrp2 and hrp3 sequences of *P. falciparum* isolates originating from geographically diverse malaria endemic regions. Of 260 retrieved hrp2 sequences, 96% (251/260) were 100% identical to hrp2 reference amplicon and of 136 retrieved hrp3 sequences 95% (130/136) fully matched hrp3 reference sequence (table 1). The 4plex qPCR protocol was stepwise established using control samples (3D7PC, Dd2PC, HB3PC, and NTC). 4plex qPCR assay on Dd2PC (hrp2 negative/hrp3 positive) confirmed analytical specificity of hrp2 qPCR oligonucleotides (no cross-amplification of hrp3 was detected). Specificity of hrp3 qPCR oligonucleotide set was confirmed with HB3PC (hrp2 positive/hrp3 negative) and cross-amplification of hrp3 was not observed. Performance of the final 4plex qPCR assay was assessed with 3D7 DNA standard covering parasite densities from 603 to 0.001 p/μl. Each of the 4 genes had a reproducible linear amplification over the dilution range with a minimum coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.96 (Fig. 1). Amplification efficiency was well above the recommended threshold of 90% for each of the four individual target genes. The 4plex qPCR (cytb) was highly sensitive to identify samples positive for *P. falciparum* infection and the detection limit (LOD) of 0.05 p/μl whole blood was minimum 1000 times more sensitive than standard TBS and RDTs with sensitivities of approx. 50 p/μl and 200 p/μl respectively (supplementary figure 2) [6,23]. Parasitemia was correctly quantified by 4plex qPCR (cytb) down to 0.60 p/μl (limit of quantification). To reliably interrogate hrp2/hrp3 gene deletion status a minimum parasite density of approx. 3.86 p/μl was needed as reflected by the LOD of 4plex qPCR for btub, the positive control for single copy gene amplification (supplementary figure 2). Nonethe-

| Gene       | Accession number | Sample ID | Year of sequence submission | Origin              | Geographic region |
|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| hrp2, hrp3 | XM_002808897     | D37 reference | 2018*                      | Netherlands airport | NA                |
| hrp2       | MF554696         | Field isolate Ext2263 | 2017                      | Madagascar          | Africa            |
|            | KX138347         | Field isolate B25 | 2016                      | Uganda              |                   |
|            | FJ71401          | Field isolate Zambesi | 2009                      | Zambia              |                   |
|            | AF142344         | Field isolate FCCI/HN | 1999                      | Hainan Island, China | Asia              |
|            | KTZ230913        | Field isolate 2A078 | 2015                      | India               |                   |
|            | KX138280         | Field isolate G6 | 2016                      | Myanmar             |                   |
|            | FJ711160         | 7G8 reference | 2009*                      | Brazil              | Latin             |
|            | KC558588         | Field isolate N509 | 2013                      | French Guyana       | America           |
|            | EF53881          | HB3 reference | 2007                      | Honduras            |                   |
|            | MF673803         | Field isolate DFA4934 | 2017                      | Papua New Guinea   | Oceania           |
|            | MF673794         | Field isolate DFB0051 | 2017                      | Papua New Guinea   |                   |
| hrp3       | GU194984         | Field isolate CSA26 | 2009                      | Kenya               | Africa            |
|            | GU194994         | Field isolate LK310 | 2009                      | Nigeria             |                   |
|            | EUS89769         | Field isolate ATSO0953 | 2008                      | Madagascar          |                   |
|            | KX138364         | Field isolate A6 | 2016                      | Uganda              |                   |
|            | MF176231         | Field isolate KHCC25 | 2017                      | Bangladesh          | Asia              |
|            | KX679967         | Field isolate 98079 | 2016                      | India               |                   |
|            | AASSM01002086   | Dd2 reference | 2015                      | Laos                |                   |
|            | KX138335         | Field isolate S4 | 2016                      | Myanmar             |                   |
|            | ABGZ00204532    | 7G8 reference | 2007*                      | Brazil              | Latin             |
|            | KCB99082         | Field isolate 10QU001 | 2013                      | Colombia            | America           |
|            | GU195028         | Field isolate PAP1814 | 2010                      | Haiti               |                   |
|            | GU195044         | Field isolate AN101 | 2009                      | Papua New Guinea   | Oceania           |
|            | AY821821         | Field isolate SJ15 | 2004                      | Solomon Islands     |                   |

* Last update.
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Fig. 1. Performance of 4plex qPCR assay. Response linearity and efficiency of the 4plex qPCR was determined using 3D7 DNA standard samples (purity of ring stages > 98%) covering a parasitaemia between 603 p/μl and 0.001 p/μl. Mean and 95% CI of Cq values were plotted against parasitaemia (in log p/ml). Calibration line was defined by linear regression analysis. R²: correlation coefficient. Each parasite density was measured in triplicates (technical replicates) and experiments were done 4 times.

less, the LOD of 0.24 p/μl and 0.36 p/μl for 4plex qPCR (hrp2) and (hrp3), respectively, indicates the assay potential for deletion analysis at low parasitemia. Repeatability and reproducibility of the 4plex qPCR were very robust: CVs were always ≤ 10% in-between a measurement (intraassay variability) and between measurements (interassay variation) for all 4plex qPCR target genes and at all parasite densities assessed (Supplementary Table 2). Importantly, the 4plex qPCR was also very robust when it was run in a routine healthcare setting in Gabon, a malaria endemic country (supplementary Table 3). The 4plex qPCR was 100 times more sensitive than PCR for hrp2 and hrp3 detection, respectively (Fig. 2). Thus, at a parasitemia below 60 p/μl and 603 p/μl conventional PCR reported already a hrp2 or hrp3 deletion, respectively, whereas respective genes were still detected by 4plex qPCR (hrp2) and (hrp3) and no deletion was notified.

To validate the 4plex qPCR protocol for analysis of clinical samples, we collected blood from 200 individuals older than 2 years living in Lambaréné/Gabon and surroundings (supplementary Table 4). Samples were tested by 4plex qPCR, TBS and RDT and 56% (111/200) were positive for *P. falciparum* by any methodology and 48% (96/200) were positive by 4plex qPCR (cytb) only (Fig. 3). Clinical sensitivity and specificity of the 4plex qPCR (cytb) for detection of *P. falciparum* infection was 100% and 80% compared to TBS (supplementary Table 5). Amongst 96 *P. falciparum* positive samples by
experiments modelled by microscopy, positive qPCR for further 4plex. Fig. 2. Comparing sensitivity level of 4plex qPCR and PCR for hrp2 and hrp3 detection. The same volume (3 μl) of template 3D7 DNA standard was amplified by 4plex qPCR and by conventional PCR from parasite culture using a range of parasitaemia from 0.001 p/μl to 603 p/μl. Lines represent probability of positive PCR or qPCR result as modelled by logistic regression and respective 95% confidence intervals. 4plex qPCR was performed in triplicates and 3 runs were done. PCR was done in duplicates and 2 experiments were done.

Fig. 3. P. falciparum positivity by 4plex qPCR (cytb), TBS, and/or RDT. 174/200 samples have been tested by all 3 methodologies and 107/174 were P. falciparum positive by any test (Venn diagram). In addition, 26/200 samples have been tested by 4plex qPCR either plus TBS (22/26) or plus RDT (4/26) with 2/26 being 4plex qPCR (cytb) positive only and 2/4 positive for RDT only. TBS: Thick blood smear microscopy, RDT: Rapid diagnostic test.

4plex qPCR (cytb), 95 were positive for btub and thus eligible for further hrp2 and hrp3 analysis (Fig. 4). All samples were positive for hrp3. Deletion of hrp2 gene was identified by 4plex qPCR (hrp2) in 2/95 P. falciparum positive samples. The 2 hrp2 deletions were found in a 58-year-old women (Hit 1) and a young girl (7 years old, Hit 2) both residing in the same village (Nzeg Bang) with a parasitaemia of 741 p/μl and 91 μg/l, respectively. Deletion of hrp2 gene was confirmed by conventional PCR, but hrp3 was false negative in Hit 2 in contrast to positive 4plex qPCR (hrp3) result (Cq = 27.8) (Fig. 5).

5. Discussion

Antimalarial treatment initiated only after a positive diagnostic test was a game changer launched by WHO in 2010 [5]. This initiative tackled the common practice of presumptive malaria treatment whenever there is fever and restricted the use of artemisinin-combination therapies to impede resistance development of P. falciparum parasites. This ‘test and treat’ strategy substantially increased the use of rapid diagnostic test (RDT) as they are easy to perform, and no infrastructure and expertise is needed. Despite the availability of alternative biomarkers of Plasmodia infection, virtually all commercially available and widely used RDTs detect P. falciparum histidine rich protein 2 (HRP2) - and to a certain extent structurally related HRP3. A recently published evaluation of RDTs confirmed HRP2-RDTs as indispensable malaria diagnostics due to their superior sensitivity and reliability and no well performing non-HRP2-RDT for P. falciparum detection is on the market. [6]. Nonetheless, several confounding factors are known including sequence variability of HRP2 and HRP3 proteins and low parasite
densities. A severe threat to HRP2-RDT utility are parasite strains deficient of hrp2 and/or hrp3 genes. These parasites are not detected by HRP2-RDTs and patients are left untreated putting them at high risk of developing severe malaria symptoms that might even become fatal.

Here, we developed and validated a novel methodology to rapidly and accurately monitor the prevalence and geographical distribution of hrp2/hrp3 deleted *P. falciparum* parasites. The novel multiplex, quantitative, real-time PCR (4plex qPCR) simultaneously informs about *P. falciparum* infection even at parasite densities below the limit of detection for standard molecular diagnosis [30] and probes their hrp2 and hrp3 gene status. In addition, the outcome is validated via incorporated btub amplification to prevent false deletion reporting due to too little parasite template DNA for single copy gene amplification and allows investigations adhering to the current WHO hrp2 response plan (fulfills assay re-

---

**Fig. 4. Flow of diagnostic outcomes and identification of hrp2 deletions.** Only 4plex qPCR (hrp2) results are displayed as all samples were 4plex qPCR (hrp3) positive. TBS: thick blood smear. RDT: Rapid diagnostic test.

**Fig. 5. PCR and sensitive, high-resolution capillary electrophoresis of deletion candidates.** The two hrp2 deletion candidates Hit 1 and Hit 2 identified by 4plex qPCR (hrp2) were re-analysed by conventional PCR for hrp2 and hrp3 amplification. 3D7PC, Dd2PC, and HB3PC: laboratory controls. Field control: clinical sample 4plex qPCR positive for hrp2 and hrp3.
lated criteria 2, 3, 4, and 5) [19]. All is done within a single measurement, in a single patient sample. In contrast to laborious conventional PCR protocols and a SYBR green assay commonly used in hrp2/hrp3 deletion studies [10–12,31] that require at minimum three rounds of individual measurements and a processing time in days the novel 4plex qPCR allows efficient high throughput testing indispensable for large-scale surveillance and mapping studies suffering from restricted resources and manpower. Additionally, the 4plex qPCR is more accurate in deletion reporting compared to a conventional PCR protocol with no nested amplification rounds and reduced the risk of misclassifying a sample as a deletion when the reason was a parasite density below the detection limit. A similar qPCR assay was published during the review process of this report that detects three genes and is less sensitive for P. falciparum detection [32]. We validated the 4plex qPCR in a cross-sectional, accuracy study in Gabon, a malaria endemic country adhering to criteria 1 to 5 of the current WHO hrp2 deletion response plan [19]. Diagnosing P. falciparum infections via 4plex qPCR (cytb) was a 1000-fold more sensitive when compared to TBS or RDT. We investigated for the first time the P. falciparum population in Gabon for hrp2/hrp3 deletions and found all isolates positive for hrp3 and two negative for hrp2 amongst 95 validated P. falciparum positive samples. The two deletions were found in two consecutively enrolled participants from the same rural area (Ngaz Bang) that may suggest that they were from the same household, although this cannot be confirmed retrospectively. The two samples were positive by HRP2-RDT by cross-reactivity of HRP3 antigen that shares epitopes with HRP2 and can trigger a positive test result at low-level parasitaemia [33]. The current WHO RDT performance evaluation confirms this finding for the here used HRP2-RDT (Paracheck) that shows an overall reduced performance for detection of hrp2-deleted P. falciparum [6]. Contribution of hrp2 and hrp3 single and double deletions to false-negative HRP2-RDTs is varying and depending on the RDT product (precisely: in-built monoclonal anti-HRP2 antibodies) that is not yet systematically investigated. A recent study in Eritrea did not only find a large proportion of deletions of hrp2 but worryingly also of hrp3 leading to double deletions and those parasites will ultimately escape HRP2-RDT detection regardless of the monoclonal used [34]. We report here the first and preliminary investigation of false negative HRP2-RDTs and hrp2/hrp3 deletions in Gabon that requires further careful monitoring.

Reports of deletions are accumulating from all malaria endemic regions. Nonetheless, data on deletion frequency and their geographical distribution are scattered. In WHO AFRO region only data for 13 out of 46 countries are available and deletion frequencies as high as 28% in Ghana and 6% in DRC up to 22% in Kinshasa alone are reported [11,16,35]. This is of particular concern for people who are not protected by acquired partial immunity, e.g. young children, pregnant women, and travellers from non-malaria endemic countries. These mutant parasites have a survival benefit as they are not immediately cleared by an antimalarial and can be transmitted. Although the exact mechanisms are not known, they can further spread in the population, fuel malaria transmission, and interfere with malaria elimination efforts [36]. Particularly regions with declining or even stopped transmission are endangered by circulating cryptic P. falciparum strains that can be re-introduced. Verma et al. just recently sounded a warning of jeopardized malaria elimination by hrp2/hrp3 deleted parasite strains in India [13]. A further scare scenario of the threatened utility of HRP2-RDTs is loss of confidence of healthcare providers in the ‘test and treat’ strategy resuming presumptive malaria treatment in regions of malaria endemicity accompanied by increasing use anti-malarials fuelling antimalaria resistance. WHO classifies hrp2/hrp3 deletions as a biological threat to malaria control and elimination and requests accurate and reliable monitoring and mapping of deletions to circumvent these scenarios by timely adoption of malaria policies [19]. For this reliable and all over the country data are vital to precisely estimate the problem and the need for harmonized study designs and standardized approaches to deletion investigations have been repeatedly highlighted [13,15,18]. We provide here a robust and accurate methodology that can be directly applied to ongoing surveys of P. falciparum infections and mapping of hrp2 and hrp3 single and double deletions that enables well-founded and timely policy adaptation when necessary in malaria endemic regions.
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