Along for the ride or missing it altogether: exploring the host specificity and diversity of haemogregarines in the Canary Islands
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Abstract

Background: Host-parasite relationships are expected to be strongly shaped by host specificity, a crucial factor in parasite adaptability and diversification. Because whole host communities have to be considered to assess host specificity, oceanic islands are ideal study systems given their simplified biotic assemblages. Previous studies on insular parasites suggest host range broadening during colonization. Here, we investigate the association between one parasite group (haemogregarines) and multiple sympatric hosts (of three lizard genera: Gallotia, Chalcides and Tarentola) in the Canary Islands. Given haemogregarine characteristics and insular conditions, we hypothesized low host specificity and/or occurrence of host-switching events.

Methods: A total of 825 samples were collected from the three host taxa inhabiting the seven main islands of the Canarian Archipelago, including locations where the different lizards occurred in sympatry. Blood slides were screened to assess prevalence and parasitaemia, while parasite genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships were inferred from 18S rRNA gene sequences.

Results: Infection levels and diversity of haplotypes varied geographically and across host groups. Infections were found in all species of Gallotia across the seven islands, in Tarentola from Tenerife, La Gomera and La Palma, and in Chalcides from Tenerife, La Gomera and El Hierro. Gallotia lizards presented the highest parasite prevalence, parasitaemia and diversity (seven haplotypes), while the other two host groups (Chalcides and Tarentola) harbored one haplotype each, with low prevalence and parasitaemia levels, and very restricted geographical ranges. Host-sharing of the same haemogregarine haplotype was only detected twice, but these rare instances likely represent occasional cross-infections.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that: (i) Canarian haemogregarine haplotypes are highly host-specific, which might have restricted parasite host expansion; (ii) haemogregarines most probably reached the Canary Islands in three colonization events with each host genus; and (iii) the high number of parasite haplotypes infecting Gallotia hosts and their restricted geographical distribution suggest co-diversification. These findings contrast with our expectations derived from results on other insular parasites, highlighting how host specificity depends on parasite characteristics and evolutionary history.
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**Background**

Understanding what determines the ability of a parasite to colonize and remain associated with a host is central to parasite evolution [1–4]. One of the most decisive factors shaping parasite distribution is host specificity [5, 6]. This trait determines the range of hosts a parasite can successfully infect and its ability to establish in new environments. The degree of host specificity is influenced by two main filters: ‘encounter’ probability with potential hosts, and ‘compatibility’, i.e. if the host provides suitable resources and the parasite can overcome host defenses [7–9]. Moreover, host specificity is not fixed in time, and plays a key role in parasite diversification, for example through co-speciation or expansion to novel hosts [4, 10, 11]. As such, the range of hosts a parasite can infect depends on ecological, physiological, geographical and historical factors [5, 8], alongside parasite transmission dynamics and life-cycle complexity [12–15]. To study host specificity, ideally the whole community of potential hosts of a parasite has to be considered. In this context, oceanic islands present a naturally simplified version of ecological interactions, being characterized by a depauperate and dis-harmonic fauna with high levels of endemics, which resulted from the non-random arrival of a small subset of the mainland pool followed by diversification processes [16, 17]. Colonizers face different selective pressures, which promote loss of genetic variability, increase in densities, niche broadening, among others [18]. These features are collectively referred to as the Island Syndrome. In the case of parasites, studies suggest that in insular systems they exhibit reduced diversity, higher prevalence values and an enlargement of the ecological niche, which is reflected in more frequent host-switching and more generalist parasites, in comparison to the mainland [19, 20].

Haemogregarines (Apicomplexa, Coccidia, Adeleorina) are intraerythrocytic parasites that infect a wide variety of vertebrates [21]. They have a heteroxenous life-cycle involving a hematophagous invertebrate vector (definitive host) and one vertebrate host (intermediate host) [22]. Additionally, these parasites can be transmitted via predation between vertebrate hosts [23–25]. Haemogregarines include three families: Haemogregarinidae, Karolyysidae and Hepatozoidae [21]. According to several phylogenetic studies, the family Haemogregarinidae (represented by the genus Haemogregarina) forms a separate clade, while the other families might not be monophyletic [26]. The genera Hemolivia and Karolyysus (both from the family Karolyysidae) clustered separately inside the genus Hepatozoon (family Hepatozoidae), leading some authors to propose a rearrangement of their taxonomy [27]. Moreover, a growing number of studies have uncovered high levels of undescribed diversity within this group ([26] and references therein), further complicating the taxonomical status of these parasites. Haemogregarines have generally been regarded as having low host specificity toward their vertebrate hosts [22, 28, 29]. However, patterns remain partially obscure as a combination of different factors complicate this assessment, including local host availability and distribution, transmission dynamics, co-evolutionary history and taxonomical uncertainties [23, 29–31].

The Canary Islands have been the setting of several parasitological works (e.g. [32–34]), some of them reporting cryptic diversity in cestodes and nematodes [35, 36]. In a recent study on intestinal nematodes of the genus *Spauligodon*, Jorge et al. [37] found four lineages infecting Canarian lizards, three of which present host-switching events dating to the time of parasites’ colonization. However, this widening of host breadth seems to have been temporary, as contemporary host-parasite associations show a high level of host specificity, differing from the Island Syndrome expectations. Regarding haemogregarines, these parasites have been found in the archipelago infecting lizards of the genera *Gallotia* [38–43] and *Tarentola* [44]. However, in most cases detection was based on microscopy, and only one work suggests different haemogregarine morphological forms infecting *Gallotia* [37]. In the current study, we use microscopy and phylogenetic approaches to analyze the diversity, distribution and host specificity of haemogregarines infecting the Canarian lizard communities. We sampled the three native lizard groups (*Tarentola, Chalcides and Gallotia*) across the archipelago. These different lizard genera present multiple colonization events of the Canary Islands [45–47] (Fig. 1) and can occur on the same localities in close proximity. In fact, there are reports of *Gallotia* spp. consuming members of the other lizard genera, along with conspecifics and other vertebrates [48–51]. Given these factors, the regarded low host specificity of haemogregarines, their alternative modes of transmission, and what has been described for insular parasites [19, 20], all conditions seem to favor the occurrence of host-switches and the use of multiple hosts (i.e. low specificity). So, past-punctual or still-present expansions of the host range of Canarian haemogregarines are expected. Additionally, as the Canary Islands are a biodiversity hotspot with high levels of endemism [52], we also expect to find new haemogregarine haplotypes unique to the archipelago.

**Methods**

**Study area and hosts**

The Canary Islands are a volcanic archipelago located 100 km off the northwest African coast (Fig. 1a). They have a well-known geological genesis, with a chronological east-west island emergence ranging from 20.6 to 1.12 Ma [52, 53]. Despite their proximity and considerable age, these islands were never connected to the
mainland, and native fauna and flora originated from long-distance dispersals from Africa, Europe or other archipelagos [54]. The current reptile endemic fauna includes 16 native lizard species of three genera, each belonging to a different family. The three lizard groups can be found inhabiting the same locations, though they differ in their ecology: Gallotia lacertids are diurnal and ground-dwelling; Chalcides skinks are diurnal but semi-fossorial; and Tarentola geckos are crepuscular/nocturnal and saxicolous [55]. Gallotia ancestors colonized the archipelago shortly after the first island emerged [45]. This happened in a single event from the mainland (about 17 to 20 Ma), followed by gradual expansion and diversification through all the seven main islands (Fig. 1b). While eastern and central islands are inhabited by a single species each, the western islands harbor representatives of two other Gallotia lineages: one of giant lizards (all critically endangered or extinct), and another of smaller, common species. By contrast, the two other lizard groups arrived in multiple colonization events. The Chalcides skinks reached the archipelago from the mainland twice [46]: first to the western islands and Gran Canaria (around 7 Ma); and later to the eastern group (Fuerteventura and Lanzarote, 5 Ma) (Fig. 1c); and never colonized La Palma. The Tarentola geckos present the most complex history, with three independent colonizations [47] (Fig. 1d). The first established in the eastern islands (about 5.3–11.2 Ma), the second in Tenerife, La Gomera and La Palma (dated 4.1 to 8 Ma), and the third in Gran Canaria and El Hierro (5.3–6.7 Ma). It should however be noted that successive waves of colonization and ecological replacement may have taken place [56], with recent extinctions being known [57].

Sample collection and parasite screening
Eleven of the sixteen Canarian lizard species were sampled for this study, as it was not possible to assess the endangered ones (Gallotia bravona, Gallotia simonyi, Gallotia intermedia, Gallotia auraitae and Chalcides simonyi). Sampling was carried out under permits by the corresponding island authorities. Blood slides from 825 individuals (406 Gallotia, 266 Tarentola and 153 Chalcides) were collected from 46 locations across the seven main Canary Islands in several field trips between 2009 and 2014 (Fig. 2, Additional file 1: Table S1). In 27 of these locations, lizards of different genera occurred in sympatry (14 locations with Gallotia and Tarentola, two with Gallotia and Chalcides, two with Tarentola and Chalcides, and nine with the three genera). Blood was collected on slides for microscopy observation and on Whatman paper for genetic characterization, along with tail-tip host tissue samples which were used when the blood on paper was not available (for more details, see [58]). Additionally, 54 samples from the genus Psammodromus from North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula, the closest known relative of the genus Gallotia [59], were also screened.

Blood slides were examined at 400× magnification, under an Olympus CX41 microscope with an in-built
digital camera (SC30) (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). All slides were initially screened for the presence of haemogregarines to assess their prevalence (i.e. the percentage of samples infected with haemogregarines). Each slide was examined until an infected cell was detected or for a minimum of 5 min (approximately ranging between 5–15 min) [41], after which the sample was considered as negative. Parasitaemia levels were then estimated for the infected samples, and calculated as the percentage of haemogregarine-infected cells per 2500 erythrocytes. For such, five random areas of each slide were photographed at 400× magnification with the Cell^B software (Olympus, Münster, Germany), and 500 erythrocytes per area were counted using the Cell Counter plugin from the image processing software ImageJ v. 1.50b [60]. In 5.3% of the positive cases parasitaemia could not be estimated due to poor slide quality. Differences in overall prevalence between host genera and islands were estimated using a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with a binomial distribution, with prevalence as the dependent variable and host genera and island as explanatory variables. Given that not all host lizard genera are present in all the islands, the interaction effect between the two explanatory variables was not considered. A second GLM analysis was performed for the Gallotia subset to confirm the differences in prevalence among islands. Analyses were performed in R version 3.3.1 [61].

**Molecular identification and genetic analyses**

Molecular characterization was performed on a subset of the infected samples. For Gallotia, up to five random representatives from each infected population were extracted (plus some extra individuals were sequenced to confirm parasite identification). Moreover, all infected Tarentola and Chalcides samples were also analyzed (although for one Chalcides individual, all PCR amplification attempts were unsuccessful). DNA from host blood or tissue was extracted using standard high-salt methods [62]. The PCR reactions were performed using primers specific for a 600 bp long region of the 18S rRNA gene, HepF300 and HepR900 [63]. For details on PCR conditions, see Harris et al. [64]. The 18S rRNA gene remains the most used genetic marker for the majority of haemogregarine clades [27, 65]. The pair of primers was chosen given its higher amplification success across different haemogregarine lineages infecting reptiles when compared to other primers (e.g. HEMO1 and HEMO2 [66], and EF and ER [67]), and because it provides comparable results to longer sequences in phylogenetic analysis [68]. Efforts to amplify other genetic markers available for haemogregarines [65], namely other fragments of the 18S rRNA gene [66, 67] and the ITS1 region [69], were unsuccessful. The amplified products were purified and sequenced by an external company (Beckman Coulter Genomics, UK).

Sequences were compared to the GenBank database to confirm the identity of the amplified products using the
NCBI nucleotide BLAST. A total of 137 haemogregarine sequences were obtained from *Gallotia* hosts, 12 from *Tarentola* and four from *Chalcides*. Sequences were corrected and aligned in Geneious v5.6.7 [70], using the MAFFT algorithm [71]. Clean high-quality sequences were first used to identify the distinct haplotypes present, then the sequences of lower quality and with ambiguities were compared to these to ascertain their identity. Cases of sequences with double peaks were consistent with the previously identified haplotypes and are regarded as mixed infections. Uncorrected pairwise distances (p-distances) between haplotypes were calculated in MEGA7 [72], using a 569 bp alignment of the nine new haplotypes (Additional file 2: Table S2). New haplotype sequences are deposited in the GenBank database, under the accession numbers MG787243-MG787253.

For the phylogenetic analyses, 137 GenBank sequences of other haemogregarines were added (Additional file 3: Table S3). As putative outgroups of the Canarian haemogregarines, sequences of specimens infecting *Chalcides*, *Tarentola* and other lizard species from the African and Iberian mainland were included (previously assessed in [44, 68, 73, 74]), as well as the two new sequences from the host genus *Psammodromus*, the closest relative to *Gallotia*. In accordance with Barta et al. [29], *Haemogregarina balli* and *Dactylosoma ranarum* were used as outgroups for the overall phylogeny. In total, the final alignment matrix included 148 sequences and 583 nucleotide positions. The substitution model of evolution was chosen according to the BIC criterion selected by jModelTest 2 (model TIM1+I+G) [75]. Phylogenetic relationships were estimated using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods. ML analysis was performed in PhyML 3.1 [76], with nodal support estimated using the bootstrap technique [77] with 1,000 replicates. For the BI analysis, MrBayes v.3.2.6 [78] was used, with parameters estimated as part of the analysis. The analysis was run for $1 \times 10^7$ generations, saving 1 tree each 1000 generations. The log-likelihood values of the sample point were plotted against the generation time and all the trees prior to reaching stationarity were discarded as ‘burn-in’ samples (25%). Remaining trees were combined in a 50% majority consensus tree, in which frequency of any particular clade represents the posterior probability. Additionally, phylogenetic networks for the clades containing Canarian haemogregarines were constructed using the statistical parsimony approach implemented in TCS [79] and displayed graphically using tcsBU [80].

A hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed to test the hypotheses regarding genetic structure among haemogregarine haplotypes, using islands and host species as variables, and locations within these. Analysis was run both in the software Arlequin version 3.5.2 [81] and in the poppr package [82] in R [61], which implements the AMOVA tests from the packages ade4 [83] and pegas [84], with 1000 Monte Carlo permutations in all cases to assess statistical significance.

**Results**

**General assessment of haemogregarine prevalence and parasitaemia**

In total, 301 specimens (36.4% of the individuals sampled) from 32 locations (69.6% of the localities) were infected with haemogregarines (see Fig. 2, Additional file 1: Table S1, Additional file 4: Table S4). The three reptile groups differed in total prevalence values ($\chi^2 = 399.28, df = 2, P < 0.001$), with the genus *Gallotia* being the most commonly infected (69.7%). By contrast, only 4.9% of *Tarentola* and 3.3% of *Chalcides* individuals were parasitized with haemogregarines. We also found a significant effect of the factor island ($\chi^2 = 120.44, df = 6, P < 0.001$). Differences of prevalence between islands were also observed when testing only the dataset of *Gallotia* spp. ($\chi^2 = 31.44, df = 6, P < 0.001$), with Gran Canaria showing the lowest infection levels (18.2%) while Tenerife had the highest (84.4%, Additional file 4: Table S4). As for parasitaemia (see Additional file 4: Table S4), *Gallotia* and *Tarentola* had similar mean values (1.07%), although the range for the former was wider (0.02–29.48 vs 0.02–4.36%, respectively). In *Chalcides*, parasitaemia was lower (mean = 0.05%, range = 0.02–0.16%).

**Haemogregarine diversity and phylogenetic relationships**

In total, nine haplotypes (A, B1, B2, C, D1, D2, E, F and T) were found infecting the Canarian lizards. The phylogenetic analysis showed congruent patterns for the ML and BI analyses. Haplotypes grouped into two well-supported clades (Fig. 3a). The first includes only Haplotype T, which clusters within a recently discovered clade of haemogregarines infecting geckos from Morocco, Algeria and Oman. Haplotype T was only found in three localities (La Palma and La Gomera, with an overall prevalence of 19.4%). Haplotype T diverged by 0.058 (uncorrected p-distance) from the other Canarian haplotypes. The other eight haplotypes (haplotypes A to F) are part of a second ‘Karyolysus’ clade (sensu Karadjian et al. [27]) and are further distributed in two subclades (Fig. 3a). Haplotype A is placed in a subclade together with haemogregarines infecting lizards from the Iberian Peninsula and North-western Africa, while the second subclade comprises the remaining haplotypes (p-distance = 0.0018 between haplotype A and haplotypes B1 to F). This second subclade comprises haemogregarines from a wide range of reptile hosts, including lacertid lizards, snakes and skinks from Europe, Morocco and Oman. Unfortunately, the relationships within this subclade are not highly supported (Fig. 3a). The highest p-distance
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performed on the set of haplotypes with a plausible common origin: B1, B2, C, D1, D2 and E. Results were the same for the three different methodologies and showed a high correlation of genetic distances to both islands (69.32% of molecular variance) and Gallotia host species (72.82%) (Table 1). It should also be noted that Gallotia individuals presented mixed infections (in 13 of the 32 localities with infected Gallotia, see Additional file 1: Table S1 and Fig. 3b). From the island perspective, the number of haplotypes present varied (Fig. 3b). Gran Canaria and La Gomera had only one haplotype each (C and D1, respectively). Two haplotypes were present in Fuerteventura, Lanzarote (A and E) and El Hierro (B and D1). Four haplotypes were found in Tenerife: B1, B2, D1, together with F infecting Chalcides. Finally, La Palma harbored the highest number of haplotypes, with haplotypes B1, B2, D1 and D2 infecting Gallotia and T infecting Tarentola.

Differences in prevalence and parasitaemia

Because only a subset of parasite specimens was sequenced for Gallotia, we could not thoroughly assess the prevalence for the different haplotypes. However, as sequenced samples were randomly selected, the relative frequency between sympatric Gallotia haplotypes gives a reasonable approximation of their prevalence (Additional file 1: Table S1 and Fig. 3b). Within the eastern islands (Lanzarote and Fuerteventura) haplotype A was more frequent than haplotype E. In the Central island of Gran Canaria, only haplotype C was present, in a very low frequency (18.2%). In both Tenerife and La Palma, haplotype B was more frequent than haplotype D, while in El Hierro the reverse occurred. Lastly, haplotype D was the only one present in La Gomera, in a lower frequency (52.2%) than the overall mean prevalence in the genus Gallotia (69.7%). Regarding parasitaemia, haplotype A had the highest mean (1.15%) and E the lowest (0.13%), though it should be noted that sample size was quite disparate between haplotypes (Additional file 4: Table S4). Haplotype B was the only one for which cross-infections were detected, having a mean parasitaemia of 1.38% in the genus Gallotia, while for the only two cases of Chalcides and Tarentola infected with this haplotype, values were 0.02% and 0.04%, respectively.

Discussion

Haemogregarine distribution and transmission

This is the first comprehensive overview on the haemogregarines infecting the endemic lizards of the Canary Islands. This archipelago is considered a biodiversity hotspot due to its high levels of endemism [52] and our results further support this, as we identified a high diversity of haemogregarine haplotypes previously unknown, none matching sequences from other geographical areas. Moreover, and although sampling included host sympatric localities, haplotypes were mostly restricted to a particular lizard genus, suggesting that these parasites are highly specific to their vertebrate hosts. Seven of the nine haplotypes were found infecting a single host species (haplotype C in Gallotia stehlini, E in Gallotia atlantica, and F in Chalcides viridanus) or species with a recent common ancestry (haplotype D in Gallotia galloti and Gallotia caesars, and haplotype T in Tarentola gomerosis and Tarentola delalandii). In contrast, haplotype B1, which mostly infected western Gallotia, was also found in Tarentola and Chalcides. Similarly, haplotype A, in this study frequently found in G. atlantica (but not geckos), was previously reported infecting one Tarentola angustimentalis from Fuerteventura [44]. Given the low prevalence of these two haplotypes in Tarentola and Chalcides hosts, we consider these cases as occasional cross-infections (although these lizards might still be competent hosts, as peripheral blood stages were observed). This also highlights that, to

| Table 1 AMOVA tests of genetic structure for haplotypes B1 to E |
|------------------|-----|------|------|--------|------|
| Source of variation | df  | SS   | Var. comp. | Var. % | ϕ-statistic<sup>a</sup> | P-value<sup>b</sup> |
| By island | | | | | | |
| Among islands | 6  | 107.70 | 1.12 | 69.32 | ϕ<sub>CT</sub> = 0.65 | >> 0.001 |
| Among locations within islands | 22 | 6.86  | 0.06 | -3.90 | ϕ<sub>SC</sub> = -0.13 | 0.68 |
| Within locations | 89 | 49.74 | 0.56 | 34.58 | ϕ<sub>ST</sub> = 0.69 | >> 0.001 |
| By Gallotia spp. | | | | | | |
| Among host species | 3  | 104.19 | 1.40 | 72.82 | ϕ<sub>CT</sub> = 0.71 | >> 0.001 |
| Among locations within host spp. | 25 | 10.38 | -0.04 | -1.87 | ϕ<sub>SC</sub> = -0.69 | 0.52 |
| Within locations | 89 | 49.74 | 0.56 | 29.05 | ϕ<sub>ST</sub> = 0.73 | >> 0.001 |

<sup>a</sup>The ϕ-statistics indicate the degree of genetic differentiation within and among groups in relation to the total (i.e., zero would be a situation of panmixia and one total separation).

<sup>b</sup>P-values were calculated from 1000 permutations.

Abbreviations: df, degrees of freedom; SS, sums of squares; Var. comp., variance components; Var. %, percentage of variation.

Results from the analysis performed in the Arlequin software, by island and by Gallotia host species. Numbers have been reduced to two decimals for presentation.
from North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula. Canarian lizards share common ancestors with lizards of European and African reptiles (Fig. 3a). Particularly, some of them were related to haemogregarines from the hosts’ closest relatives, such as the genus Psammomorus and other Tarentola species from the continent. The pattern is, however, not so clear for the haemogregarines infecting skinks (haplotype F). Nonetheless, further conclusions are difficult due to the low support of the phylogenetic relationships within this group. Additionally, our results provide preliminary insights on how these haemogregarines colonized the Canary Archipelago. We note, however, that some inferences remain preliminary as additional data are necessary, namely the identity of haemogregarine definitive hosts, the haplotypes infecting the endangered Canarian lizard species, and phylogenetic information from additional faster-evolving molecular markers.

Our results suggest that haemogregarines arrived to the Canary Islands along with the ancestors of the three lizard genera. The haemogregarine with the clearest colonization is haplotype T. The ancestors of one lineage of Tarentola arrived from the African mainland to the Canary Islands, reaching first Tenerife and then dispersing to La Palma and La Gomera (Fig. 1d). The haemogregarine ancestors of haplotype T might have followed the same path, and its absence in Tenerife could be explained by extinction events or low detectability as a consequence of low prevalence values. Regarding haemogregarines infecting Gallotia, haplotypes A and E were found in the two easternmost islands. These were the first islands of the archipelago colonized by Gallotia’s ancestors (Fig. 1b). Although haplotypes A and E belong to two distinct clades, they could have “shared the boat”, colonizing these islands in the same event aboard their Gallotia ancestor hosts (and/or vector hosts). The absence of haplotype A (or any close relatives) on the remaining islands suggests that it “missed the boat” when Gallotia further expanded through the archipelago (or went extinct during these new colonizations). Contrarily, haplotype E has closely related haplotypes (B, C and D) in the other islands, supporting further colonizations of this haplotype ancestor to other islands together with its Gallotia host. Unfortunately, phylogenetic relationships within this clade are not fully resolved, preventing us from drawing more conclusions. The results from the AMOVA show a high level of genetic structure concordant with both Gallotia host species and geography, supporting the hypothesis that these haplotypes followed the colonization paths of their Gallotia hosts. Finally, regarding haplotype F, which was detected in skinks from Tenerife, the simplest scenario would be that it arrived there along with the Chalcides ancestors that colonized the western islands.

Phylogenetic relationships and biogeography

Canarian lizards share common ancestors with lizards from North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula, so it is likely that their parasites share this origin and our results support this. In fact, the haplotypes detected here clustered in haemogregarine clades found in European and African reptiles (Fig. 3a). Particularly, some of them were related to haemogregarines from the hosts’ closest relatives, such as the genus Psammomorus and other Tarentola species from the continent. The pattern is, however, not so clear for the haemogregarines infecting skinks (haplotype F). Nonetheless, further conclusions are difficult due to the low support of the phylogenetic relationships within this group. Additionally, our results provide preliminary insights on how these haemogregarines colonized the Canary Archipelago. We note, however, that some inferences remain preliminary as additional data are necessary, namely the identity of haemogregarine definitive hosts, the haplotypes infecting the endangered Canarian lizard species, and phylogenetic information from additional faster-evolving molecular markers.

Our results suggest that haemogregarines arrived to the Canary Islands along with the ancestors of the three lizard genera. The haemogregarine with the clearest colonization is haplotype T. The ancestors of one lineage of Tarentola arrived from the African mainland to the Canary Islands, reaching first Tenerife and then dispersing to La Palma and La Gomera (Fig. 1d). The haemogregarine ancestors of haplotype T might have followed the same path, and its absence in Tenerife could be explained by extinction events or low detectability as a consequence of low prevalence values. Regarding haemogregarines infecting Gallotia, haplotypes A and E were found in the two easternmost islands. These were the first islands of the archipelago colonized by Gallotia’s ancestors (Fig. 1b). Although haplotypes A and E belong to two distinct clades, they could have “shared the boat”, colonizing these islands in the same event aboard their Gallotia ancestor hosts (and/or vector hosts). The absence of haplotype A (or any close relatives) on the remaining islands suggests that it “missed the boat” when Gallotia further expanded through the archipelago (or went extinct during these new colonizations). Contrarily, haplotype E has closely related haplotypes (B, C and D) in the other islands, supporting further colonizations of this haplotype ancestor to other islands together with its Gallotia host. Unfortunately, phylogenetic relationships within this clade are not fully resolved, preventing us from drawing more conclusions. The results from the AMOVA show a high level of genetic structure concordant with both Gallotia host species and geography, supporting the hypothesis that these haplotypes followed the colonization paths of their Gallotia hosts. Finally, regarding haplotype F, which was detected in skinks from Tenerife, the simplest scenario would be that it arrived there along with the Chalcides ancestors that colonized the western islands.
Additionally, host-switching events might have taken place, from the skinks to the Gallotia or vice-versa, although with the current data it is not possible to infer if this is the case. The presence of paralogs is a characteristic of the 18S rRNA gene that might cloud the interpretation of phylogenetic results, and it has been confirmed for some apicomplexans (e.g. [98–100], suspected for haemogregarines in [101]). However, the haemogregarine haplotypes detected here present a non-random distribution (as shown by the aforementioned geographical structuration) and, although we did find a few cases with more than one haplotypic form within the same host individual, these were instead more suggestive of mixed infections (as they were rare and correspond to the haplotypes retrieved in single infections). Given these reasons, we do not suspect paralogy is an issue in the current dataset, though this can only be ruled out completely when other sequence data are available for these parasites, for example from mitochondrial genes.

Factors shaping haemogregarine diversity and host specificity
Parasite prevalence, parasitaemia and genetic diversity were higher in Gallotia hosts than in Tarentola and Chalcides (values in Gallotia spp. were consistent with previous studies [39–43]). Interestingly, a similar trend was also observed in nematodes of the genus Spauligodon infecting the same hosts [37]. Such congruence for two radically different parasites might suggest that host ecological characteristics play a role in parasite abundance, diversity distribution and transmission dynamics [31, 102], alongside parasite adaptation or host immunity. The three lizard genera can be found inhabiting the same localities but differ in daily activity and microhabitat use [55]. This segregation and differences in host densities might lead to heterogeneity in the encounter opportunity, affecting the chances of parasite transmission and expansion [103–105]. For example, Martin et al. [106] have conjectured that a fossorial lifestyle (such as in Chalcides [46]) could lead to a lower exposure to vectors, and consequently to haemogregarines. The lizard groups also differ in their body temperatures, with Gallotia lizards thermoregulating at higher temperatures than Tarentola geckos [107, 108]. Host internal temperature might be also relevant since the infection levels of haemogregarines seem to be affected by this factor [109]. Additionally, distinct lizard populations are exposed to different environmental stressors (either natural climatic or human related), which might locally influence prevalence and parasitaemia, e.g. [110]. Nonetheless, nematodes and haemogregarines did not show the same patterns of diversity distribution and potential colonization pathways. While both Spauligodon nematodes and haemogregarines show high levels of diversity and host specificity, past host-switch events were detected for the former, but not for haemogregarines. The two parasites differ in many characteristics; most notably Spauligodon nematodes have a direct life-cycle while haemogregarines have a multiple-host one. This might have relevant repercussions, as a heteroxenous life-cycle complicates the maintenance of the transmission dynamics, and increases the constraints for a successful establishment ([95, 111] but see [15]).

There is a marked contrast in the number of haemogregarine haplotypes between eastern (Gran Canaria, Fuerteventura and Lanzarote) and western islands (El Hierro, La Palma, La Gomera and Tenerife). This is partly due to the presence of the haplotypes infecting skinks and geckos but, considering only the haplotypes infecting Gallotia lizards, this pattern is still maintained. There is a lower Gallotia species richness in the East (only two species), compared to the radiation that took place in the West (in total seven species of two distinct lineages [45]). Here, co-speciation with the host [112] and/or isolation in the different western islands might have fomented parasite diversification (as also hypothesized for nematodes by Jorge et al. [113]). On the other hand, an impoverished biodiversity in the eastern islands relative to the rest of the archipelago is a general pattern [114, 115], which has also been observed in the helminth fauna infecting G. atlantica [116], and relates to the nonlinear relation between the age of an oceanic island and its biodiversity [117, 118]. Also, Fuerteventura and Lanzarote were connected several times during the Pliocene [119, 120], which would dilute the effect of their previous isolation. Within-island geological events could explain the heterogeneity in prevalence and in the relative abundance of the parasite haplotypes. For example, volcanic eruptions and landslides have led to repetitive isolations and secondary contacts of host populations [121–123]. Another possible phenomenon is parasite spillover from now-extinct or introduced hosts [124], as insular systems are particularly prone to extinction-recolonization events and to introductions [17, 125]. However, we found no evidence of this in the current results, given the congruence between haemogregarine and host distributions, and the fact that we did not identify parasite haplotype exchange between islands with known lizard translocations or introductions [126].

Our results showed that haemogregarines infecting the lizards of the Canary Island did not have a low host specificity or represent switching events between host groups. This contrasts with our initial predictions, as it does not seem to support the hypothesized parasite niche broadening expected in insular systems [19]. Although such might have still occurred, it is not evident based on the currently available data. While the mechanism behind the high host
specificity pattern of these haemogregarines is not elucidated, we list some of the factors that might be involved. Segregation between lizard groups due to their ecology and differences in transmission (such as their vectors) seem major suspects, but molecular factors may (also or instead) play a role, including host immunity response or the ability of the parasite to exploit host resources [127]. It should however be noted that occasional infections show that the different lizard groups might be competent hosts, at least for some of the Canarian haemogregarine haplotypes. Thus, even if these haemogregarines can have a lower specificity regarding their potential host range, their realized niche seems to actually be restrictive in the hosts used. This is relevant as haemogregarines are generally considered as having low host specificity for their vertebrate hosts [22, 29, 128]. This has been supported by experimental studies (e.g. [69], references within [129]), but might not reflect the reality in the wild [129]. Additionally, it should be noted that host specificity and host-switch potential might depend on parasite lineage [31, 37], so the patterns might differ between haemogregarine groups and explain incongruences across studies.

Conclusions
This is the first comprehensive study on haemogregarines of the Canary Islands, specifically those infecting lizards. Our results seem to suggest a high level of host specificity for haemogregarine parasites infecting lizards of the Canary Islands, with no evidence of host-switching events. Moreover, for haemogregarines infecting Gallotia hosts, co-diversification apparently took place, as a high diversity of geographically-structured parasite haplotypes is present. The possible factors shaping the host specificity and biogeography of these parasites include differences in host ecology, vector identity and parasite transmission. The observed patterns contrast with our initial expectations, and similarly to Spauligodon nematodes, no long-lasting host range broadening was observed. This highlights how host specificity depends on parasite characteristics and evolutionary history, and questions what is generally assumed for insular parasites and haemogregarines. Lastly, we note that the nine parasite haplotypes found in the Canary Islands are distinct from those detected until this date on the mainland. Although more markers and samples are needed to fully confirm our results, the evolutionary history inferred with the 18S rRNA gene suggests that the Canarian haemogregarines underwent a strong diversification process, representing one more example of the high diversity present in this archipelago.
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