Alternative hosts increase the difficulty of disease management in crops because these alternate hosts provide additional sources of primary inoculum or refuges for diversity in the pathogen gene pool. Agropyron cristatum (crested wheatgrass), Bromus inermis (smooth bromegrass), Pascopyrum smithii (western wheatgrass), Stipa viridula (green needlegrass), and Thinopyrum intermedium (intermediate wheatgrass), commonly identified in range, prairie, verge, and soil reclamation habitats, serve as additional hosts for Pyrenophora tritici-repentis, the cause of tan spot in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). A. cristatum (five lines), B. inermis (seven lines), P. smithii (four lines), S. viridula (two lines), and T. intermedium (six lines) were tested for their reactions to 30 representative P. tritici-repentis isolates from races 1–5. Plants were grown until the two-three-leaf stage in a greenhouse, inoculated individually with the 30 isolates, held at high humidity for 24 h, and rated after 7 days. All lines developed lesion types 1–2 (resistant) based on a 1–5 rating scale. Also, leaves from an additional plant set were infiltrated with two host selective toxins, Ptr ToxA as a pure preparation and Ptx ToxB as a dilute crude culture filtrate. All lines were insensitive to the toxins. Results indicate that these grass hosts have a limited or nonsignificant role in tan spot epidemiology on wheat in the northern Great Plains. Additionally, the resistant reactions demonstrated by the grass species in this research indicate the presence of resistance genes that can be valuable to wheat breeding programs for improving wheat resistance to P. tritici-repentis.
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Alternative hosts for plant pathogens are plant species that can be parasitized by a pathogen in addition to its principal economic host (Dinoor, 1974). Alternative hosts can be as advantageous to a plant pathogen as its primary host. They can serve as a bridge between the two growing seasons to enhance pathogen survival, a source of primary inoculum infecting the next season’s crop, and alter fungal race structure or provide sources of genetic variability by maintaining different pathogen subpopulations than that established on the primary host. In contrast, alternative hosts potentially provide good sources of resistant genes for breeding crops with superior host plant resistance. The wheat stem rust resistance genes, Sr 26 and Sr 17, are examples of genes obtained from a wild relative and utilized in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Lenne and Wood, 1991).

The fungus Pyrenophora tritici-repentis (Died) Drechs. (anamorph Drechslera tritici-repentis (Died) Shoemaker) causes tan spot, a wheat foliage disease that occurs worldwide causing significant yield losses (Hosford, 1982). The fungus produces lens-shaped tan necrotic lesions with a chlorotic halo on leaves of susceptible wheat cultivars (Hosford, 1971). Lamari and Bernier (1989) identified two lesion types with distinct symptoms, necrosis or chlorosis, produced by the fungus on appropriate wheat differential genotypes. Based on these two distinct symptoms, P. tritici-repentis isolates have been grouped into eight races (Lamari and Bernier 1989; Lamari et al., 2003). Of these eight races, races 1 through 5 are present in the US (Ali and Francl, 2003; Engle et al., 2006). P. tritici-repentis produces host-specific toxins that are associated with fungal pathogenicity and/or virulence (Balance et al., 1989; Brown and Hunger, 1993; Effertz et al., 1998; Tomas and Bockus, 1987; Touri et al., 1995). In addition, the toxins have been correlated to the development of the necrotic or chlorotic symptoms found on wheat differentials (Lamari and Bernier, 1989; Orolaza et al., 1995).

Historically, P. tritici-repentis was observed and reported on quackgrass (Agropyron repens) (Diedicke, 1902), but he did not test the fungus from this host to determine if it...
was pathogenic on wheat. However, other studies indicate that *P. tritici-repentis* is pathogenic on several wild relatives of wheat, including smooth bromegrass (*B. inermis* (Ryd.) Barkworth & D.R. Dewey), western wheat grass (*P. smithii* (Host) Barkworth & D.R. Dewey), intermediate wheatgrass (*T. intermedium* (Host) Barkworth & D.R. Dewey), crested wheatgrass (*A. cristatum* (L.) Gaertn), and green needlegrass (*S. viridula* Trin.) (Drechsler, 1923; Hosford, 1982; Howard and Morall, 1975; Krupinsky, 1992b). The fungal isolates obtained from grasses and wheat were able to infect both wheat and grasses, irrespective of the isolate’s host origin (Hosford, 1971; Howard and Morall, 1975; Krupinsky, 1987 and 1992a). In contrast, *P. tritici-repentis* isolates recovered from various grass species were found to be avirulent on wheat (Ali and Francl, 2003). However, all these studies except one (Ali and Francl, 2003) were conducted when the role of host-specific toxins in disease development and the race structure of the fungal populations was not well documented (Ali and Francl, 2003; DeWolf et al., 1998; Lamari et al., 2003).

The interaction between *P. tritici-repentis* and Great Plains grass populations includes its effect on these grasses and the economics of their uses as biomass and wildlife habitats as well as its economic impact on wheat and the dynamics of tan spot epidemiology. In addition to the uncultivated grassland prairie populations, many grasses are utilized for pastures, soil reclamation, wildlife habitats, and windbreaks around agronomic crops in the northern Great Plains (Hass et al., 1997). In addition to contributing to the tan spot inoculum in wheat fields, susceptibility of non-cereal grasses, especially those utilized in pastures, could lead to reduction in the yield and quality of the pasture biomass similar to damage caused by other foliar diseases (El-liot, 1961; Zeiders et al., 1986). These grasses need to be tested for their reactions to *P. tritici-repentis* races obtained from both wheat and grass hosts to provide a better understanding of the various host-pathogen interactions and their impact on the economics of pasture and other grass habitats as well as their impact on wheat.

In the present study, the reactions of five non-cereal grass host species, intermediate wheatgrass, smooth bromegrass, green needlegrass, crested wheatgrass, and western wheatgrass, were tested for their reaction to *P. tritici-repentis* races (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) and two host-specific toxins, Ptr ToxA and Ptr ToxB.

**Materials and Methods**

**Seedling production.** Seedlings of all five grass species were raised in 16.5 cm deep and 3 cm wide tapered containers as described by Ali and Francl (2001). The five grass species were: crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum*) cultivars Nordan, Hycrest, Kirk, Parkway and Ruff; smooth bromegrass (*B. inermis*) cultivars Lincoln, Carlton, Barton, Saratoga, Rebound, Radison, and Badger; western wheatgrass (*P. smithii*) cultivars Lincoln, Carlton, Barton, Saratoga, Rebound, Radison, and Badger; and intermediate wheatgrass (*T. intermedium*) cultivars Reliant, Manska, Oahe, Clark, Chief, and Slate. Seed of these grasses were provided by Dr. Berdahl, USDA, Northern Great Plains Research Center, Mandan, ND. Four wheat genotypes: ND495 (susceptible to race 1 and Ptr ToxA sensitive); 6B365 (susceptible to race 1 and race 3); Katepwa (susceptible to race 1 exhibiting necrosis, race 5 exhibiting chlorosis, Ptr ToxA exhibiting necrosis, and Ptr ToxB exhibiting chlorosis); and M-3 (resistant to all five currently described and prevalent races in the US) were included for comparison. One single-spore isolate (SM19) of *Pyrenophora bromi* was included in as a positive control when smooth bromegrass cultivars were tested.

**Fungal isolates, inoculum production and inoculations.** Thirty single-spore isolates representative of *P. tritici-repentis* (races 1–5), recovered from wheat and grass hosts, were selected randomly to test their pathogenicity on the five grass species (Table 1). The reaction of wheat differential genotypes to *P. tritici-repentis* races 1 to 5 are available at http://tinyurl.com/nr5dssz. The isolates used in this study were previously obtained from wheat, durum (*Triticum durum* Desf.), and non-cereal grasses for their race characterization (Ali and Francl, 2003) and stored as dried mycelial plugs at −20°C (Jordhl and Francl, 1992).

Twenty-one seedlings of each genotype raised in seven containers (three seedlings/container) were inoculated at the 2- to 3-leaf stage with a individual fungal isolate from the thirty isolates listed above. The inoculum and inoculations were carried out as described in Ali and Francl (2001). Briefly, individual seedlings were inoculated by spraying with a spor suspension (3,000 spores/ml) from one of the *P. tritici-repentis* isolates until fluid ran off the plant. Thereafter, the seedlings were placed in humidity chambers at 100% humidity for 24 h. The humidity period was employed to parallel protocols utilized by prior researchers in their *P. tritici-repentis* fungal isolates race characterization and in their genetic and cultivar evaluations against tan spot (Ali and Francl, 2003; Effertz et al., 2001; Faris et al., 1997; Lamari and Bernier, 1989 and 1994; Riede et al., 1996). Following the humidity chamber, they were moved to a growth chamber set at 21°C/18°C with 16 h...
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light and 8 h dark cycle. Seedlings were rated 8 days post-inoculation using the rating scale 1–5 (Lamari and Bernier, 1989) where 1–2 is resistant to moderately resistant, 3 is moderately susceptible and 4–5 are susceptible. Leaves of all inoculated genotypes described earlier were clipped 10 days post-inoculation for fungal recovery. Isolations were made as described by Ali and Francl (2001) except 30–40 leaf segments were used instead of 45–50 segments.

Table 1. Origin of Pyrenophora tritici-repentis isolates tested for their reactions on crested wheat grass, green needlegrass, intermediate wheatgrass, smooth bromegrass, and western wheatgrass

| Race | # of isolates | Source | Place of collection |
|------|---------------|--------|--------------------|
| 1    | 11            | Spring wheat (8), winter wheat (2) smooth bromegrass (1) | Argentina (1), Canada (1), Uruguay (1), USA (8) |
| 2    | 3             | Spring wheat | Canada (1), Uruguay (1), USA (1) |
| 3    | 1             | Durum | Canada |
| 4    | 13            | Spring wheat (2), winter wheat (1), intermediate wheatgrass (6), smooth bromegrass (2), Altai wheatgrass (2) | Canada (1), USA (12) |
| 5    | 2             | Durum | USA |
| Total isolates | 30 |

Table 2. Reaction of five non-cereal grasses to five races and two host-specific toxins of Pyrenophora tritici-repentis

Grass species | Reactiona | Host-specific toxinsb |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------|
|              | Race1  | Race2  | Race3  | Race4  | Race5  | Ptr ToxA | Ptr ToxB |
| Crested wheatgrass | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1      | 1–2    | Insensitive | Insensitive |
| Smooth bromegrass | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1      | 1–2    | Insensitive | Insensitive |
| Western wheatgrass | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1      | 1–2    | Insensitive | Insensitive |
| Green needlegrass | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1      | 1–2    | Insensitive | Insensitive |
| Intermediate wheatgrass | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1–2    | 1      | 1–2    | Insensitive | Insensitive |

aReaction types based on a 1–5 scale derived by Lamari and Bernier (1989). Lesion type 1 and 2 signify resistance (R).

bPurified Ptr ToxA was provided by Dr. Steve Meinhardt, Department of Biochemistry, NDSU. Culture filtrates of Ptr ToxB was obtained from isolate DW7 as described by Orolaza et al. (1995) Insensitive reactions indicate no necrosis or chlorosis was developed on Ptr ToxA or Ptr ToxB infiltration.
M-3 developed lesion types 1 or 2 (resistant to moderately resistant) to all isolates tested. The reaction of races 1–5 observed on the wheat genotypes verified the virulence and successful inoculations of all isolates.

The results of this study are contradictory to the previous studies where smooth bromegrass, intermediate wheatgrass, western wheatgrass, crested wheatgrass, and green needlegrass were found as susceptible as wheat to *P. tritici-repentis* (Hosford, 1971; Krupinsky 1982; Krupinsky, 1986). These contradictory results may be due to differences in the virulence of the fungal isolates used in the different studies. Also, detached leaf bioassay was used in most previous isolate evaluations. In this bioassay, heavy spore loads placed directly on one site of the leaf segments under high humidity may provide conditions for disease development or tissue necrosis that are not representative of field situations and lead to inaccurate conclusions. For example, 23 isolates of *P. tritici-repentis* tested by Hosford (1971) did not induce any symptoms on Russian wild rye. However, in another study, Russian wild rye was ranked second to wheat in susceptibility to *P. tritici-repentis*. (Krupinsky, 1982). Krupinsky (1987) tested 27 *P. tritici-repentis* isolates from smooth bromegrass on wheat and smooth bromegrass. All the smooth bromegrass isolates tested produced symptoms on wheat and smooth bromegrass; however, isolates recovered from smooth bromegrass varied in virulence, which correlated with the different symptoms they induced. In another study, Ali and Franel (2003) tested 92 isolates recovered from various non-cereal grasses including smooth bromegrass, intermediate wheatgrass, and crested wheatgrass leaf samples collected from national grasslands, state parks, and national parks. All isolates did not produce any symptoms on wheat. Later research indicated that smooth bromegrass and other non-cereal grasses may have a different *P. tritici-repentis* population (Ali and Franel, 2003). In another study, quackgrass (*Agropyron* species) was rated as immune to *P. tritici-repentis* isolates obtained from Belgium (Maraite et al., 1992). Moreover, the results here are in agreement with Maraite et al., (1992) as crested wheatgrass and western wheatgrass, members of *Agropyron* were resistant to all five currently identified races in North America. All seven smooth bromegrass genotypes tested developed brown leaf spots to *P. bromi* (Fig. 1). These results are in agreement with the previous studies (Berg and Sherwood, 1994; Zeider et al., 1986) that the majority of tested smooth bromegrass cultivars, including Lincoln, Saratoga, and Radisson, were susceptible to *P. bromi*.

**Recovery of *P. tritici-repentis* from inoculated grasses.** The fungus could not be recovered from any non-cereal grass cultivar green leaf pieces with lesion type 1 or 2. However, the fungus was recovered from dried or senescent leaf pieces infrequently (Fig. 2). The recovery of the fungus from senescent or dried leaf portions may be expected as the fungus can survive as a saprophyte or may be dormant in moderately-resistant genotypes until tissue senescence. The fungus was recovered from inoculated leaves of all wheat genotypes that exhibited susceptible reactions. There were few senescent or dried wheat leaves, as the inoculated leaves were still green when rated. These results contrast to previous studies where the fungus was recovered from the inoculated leaves of smooth bromegrass, intermediate wheatgrass, quackgrass, and western wheatgrass (Hosford, 1971; Krupinsky, 1982). This discrepancy may be due to the differences in the cultivars and isolates used during this study and previous studies. In previous studies, the cultivars used in the experiment exhibited susceptible reactions. It was possible to recover the fungus from those susceptible grasses, as the fungus was recovered from susceptible wheat genotypes but not the resistant one in this current study. Krupinsky (1982) attempted to re-isolate *P. tritici-repentis* from inoculated leaves of various non-cereal grasses.
grass and observed variation in the fungal recovery among the grass species. Sand reed and switchgrass harbored the least amount of fungus. The same two grass species were also the least susceptible to the fungus. It indicated that the *P. tritici-repentis* may not be establishing in the moderately resistant or resistant grass species; thus, making the fungal recovery difficult. This may also have occurred in our study, as all grass species tested were resistant. In the present study, the inoculated leaves were clipped 7 days after inoculation, placed directly on moistened Whatman filter paper #1 and incubated for 24 h at 22°C under light and 24 h at 16°C in dark. The fungus was recovered from almost all the leaf pieces of susceptible wheat genotypes but not from the leaf pieces of non-cereal grasses. A 48 h incubation period and green leaf pieces for isolation were utilized to maximize the likelihood of fungal recovery especially for a facultative saprophytic fungus.

**Reaction of host-selective toxins.** The wheat genotypes, ND495 and Katepwa, developed necrosis to Ptr ToxA; whereas, Katepwa developed chlorosis to Ptr ToxB. Wheat genotypes, 6B365 and M-3, did not develop any symptoms to the toxins. The reaction of differential wheat genotypes used as checks to the toxins verified the infiltration process and effectiveness of the toxins.

All 24 cultivars of the five non-cereal grasses failed to develop necrosis to Ptr ToxA (Fig. 3) or chlorosis to Ptr ToxB. These results were expected as all the five grass species showed resistance to the fungal isolates of races 1, 2, and 5, which produce the toxins, and these toxins are associated with fungal pathogenicity/virulence (Ciuffetti et al., 1999; Lamari and Bernier, 1989). Insensitivity of these five non-cereal grasses to the toxins strengthens our conclusion that these grasses are very resistant or may be non-hosts to races of *P. tritici-repentis* virulent on wheat.

**Conclusion**

The resistant reaction to fungal inoculation, the insensitivity to two host-specific toxins, and the minimal recovery of the pathogen from leaves of intermediate wheatgrass, crested wheatgrass, green needlegrass, smooth bromegrass, and western wheatgrass is evidence that these hosts may have a limited or nonsignificant role in the epidemiology of tan spot on wheat in the Great Plains. Also, these five species can apparently be grown in areas where wheat is cultivated without having a potential negative effect on their pasture biomass and habitat quality due to tan spot. However, the fungal population may gradually become adapted to non-cereals if large acreages of these grasses are grown in the vicinity of wheat production due to selection pressure. Additionally, these grass species with their resistant reactions represent a potential pool of resistance genes that could be valuable in the improvement of wheat cultivars. More research to evaluate and identify these genes remains a future goal of this research.
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