Cosmological evolution of the Nitrogen abundance
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ABSTRACT
The abundance of nitrogen in the interstellar medium is a powerful probe of star formation processes over cosmological timescales. Since nitrogen can be produced both in massive and intermediate-mass stars with metallicity-dependent yields, its evolution is challenging to model, as evidenced by the differences between theoretical predictions and observations. In this work we attempt to identify the sources of these discrepancies using a cosmic evolution model. To further complicate matters, there is considerable dispersion in the abundances from observations of damped Lyα absorbers (DLAs) at \( z \sim 2 – 3 \). We study the evolution of nitrogen with a detailed chemical evolution model and find good agreement with observations, including the relative abundances of (N/O) and (N/Si). We find that the principal contribution of nitrogen comes from intermediate mass stars, with the exception of systems with the lowest N/H, where nitrogen production might possibly be dominated by massive stars. This last result could be strengthened if stellar rotation which is important at low metallicity can produce significant amounts of nitrogen. Moreover, these systems likely reside in host galaxies with stellar masses below \( 10^{8.5} M_\odot \). We also study the origin of the observed dispersion in nitrogen abundances using the cosmological hydrodynamical simulations Horizon-AGN. We conclude that this dispersion can originate from two effects: difference in the masses of the DLA host galaxies, and difference in their position inside the galaxy.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Measurements of chemical abundances in the interstellar medium (ISM) are a powerful probe of galaxy evolution and star formation processes. The total metallicity content, dominated by oxygen, reflects the star formation history, as well as the history of gas accretion and galactic outflows. To understand the chemical evolution, theoretical models have been developed (e.g. Daigne et al. 2006; Davé et al. 2012; Lilly et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2015; Belfiore et al. 2016) and studies of metal abundances in different galactic environments have unveiled important physical processes, such as galactic outflows (e.g. Belfiore et al. 2016) and the origin of star formation quenching (Peng et al. 2015).

Observations of individual element abundances, as well as their relative abundances are particularly informative, as they can constrain the nucleosynthetic processes as well as specific mass ranges of stars responsible for their production. Nitrogen is a particularly interesting (and challenging) element in this regard since it can be produced in both massive and intermediate-mass stars, which release it into the ISM on different timescales. Thus, the study of the nitrogen abundance in the ISM, and in particular its relative abundance with respect to oxygen, which is produced mostly by massive, short-lived stars, poses interesting challenges for chemical evolution models.

Nitrogen can be produced as a primary element, in a sequence of nuclear reactions that involve only hydrogen and helium present in the star. In this case its abundance grows in proportion to that of oxygen and (N/O) remains constant as (O/H) grows (Talbot & Arnett 1974), assuming metallicity-independent yields. Additionally, secondary nitrogen is produced from CNO elements present in the star, so that the nitrogen yield is metallicity-dependent and (N/O) is no longer constant (Clayton 1983; Arnett 1996). Interestingly, the observed (N/O) abundance ex-
hibits a low-metallicity plateau. This plateau at \([	ext{N/O}] \sim -0.8\) was found to be present in damped Lyα absorbers (DLAs) (Centurió et al. 2003; Molaro 2003) and is now evident in extragalactic HII regions with SDSS data (see Vincenzo et al. 2016, and references therein), which suggest primary nitrogen production by massive stars (Tomkin & Lambert 1984; Matteucci 1986). However observations of the nitrogen abundance in DLAs (Pettini et al. 1995; Molaro et al. 1996; Lu et al. 1998; Pettini et al. 2002; Centurió et al. 2003; Petitjean et al. 2008; Cooke et al. 2011; Zafar et al. 2014) have revealed a significant dispersion in \((\text{N/H})\) at any given redshift which adds another layer of difficulty. Thus there is some uncertainty in the main production sites of nitrogen (massive or intermediate-mass stars), and it is still unclear which of these sites, if any, produces primary nitrogen in significant amounts. Indeed in Centurió et al. (2003) it was concluded that DLAs do show evidence of primary N production at low metallicities and in addition that there are two plateaus in which the lower one may originate from massive stars due to the tight linear correlation between \(\text{N/H}\) and \(\alpha\) elements.

The nitrogen abundance in the ISM was extensively studied in the context of galaxy evolution models (e.g. Pilyugin 1993; Fields & Olive 1998; Pilyugin 1999; Henry et al. 2000; Tissera et al. 2002; Pilyugin et al. 2003; Mollá et al. 2006; Gavilán et al. 2006; Wu & Zhang 2013; Vincenzo et al. 2016). It should be noted that there is an inherent uncertainty in chemical evolution models as stemming from the uncertainty in stellar nitrogen abundances. Nevertheless, these studies confirmed that while the origin of the nitrogen abundance is a mix of primary and secondary sources, the total nitrogen budget is dominated by intermediate-mass stars in star-forming galaxies (as well as in other systems including DLAs) except perhaps at low metallicities. We note that various theoretical models typically utilize different sets of chemical yields, making the comparison of results problematic.

The goal of the current study is to examine the uncertainties in different stellar evolution models and their effect on the predicted cosmic evolution of the nitrogen abundance using two methods. First, we use a uniform chemical evolution framework. We also compare the results of our semi-analytic model with the output of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we review the production of nitrogen and oxygen in several stellar evolution models. In particular, we discuss the dependence of nitrogen and oxygen yields on stellar mass, metallicity, and rotation velocity. In Section 3 we show how these yields are implemented in our galaxy evolution model and calculate the mean evolution of nitrogen and oxygen abundances in the interstellar medium as a function of redshift. In particular, we show that the bulk of nitrogen content is produced in intermediate-mass stars. In Section 4, we discuss the dispersion in nitrogen abundances in DLAs in hydrodynamical cosmological Horizon-AGN simulations (Dubois et al. 2014) of galaxies of different masses and at different redshifts. We conclude and discuss future prospects in Section 5.

### 2 STELLAR NUCLEOSYNTHESIS OF NITROGEN

While there are many uncertainties regarding nitrogen yields in stars of different mass, the nuclear reactions leading to its formation are fairly well understood. Nitrogen is mainly produced in the CN branch of the CNO cycle (Clayton 1983; Arnett 1996) via the following chain of reactions: $^{12}\text{C}(p, \gamma)^{13}\text{N}(\beta^+, \nu)^{13}\text{C}(p, \gamma)^{14}\text{N}$ (note that nitrogen can also be produced in the ON cycle by transformation of $^{16}\text{O}$, but at a much slower rate). The reaction $^{14}\text{N}(p, \gamma)^{15}\text{O}$ which depletes nitrogen has a relatively low cross section enabling $^{14}\text{N}$ to accumulate with time. In this formation scenario nitrogen is a secondary element, whose production rate depends on the abundance of the CNO elements initially present in the star.

Alternatively, nitrogen can be produced as a primary element (Talbot & Arnett 1974). In this case the reactions are the same as above, but the sequence of events is different: first, some $^{12}\text{C}$ is synthesized by the $3\alpha$ reaction in a helium burning region, and then this new $^{12}\text{C}$ is transported to the hydrogen burning region, where the CNO cycle converts it to nitrogen. Thus, primary nitrogen is likely to be formed in stars with a helium burning core and a CNO burning shell, provided there is some mechanism that transports carbon between the two regions.

Observationally, the nucleosynthetic origin of nitrogen can be deduced by comparing its abundance to that of other elements. The abundance of primary nitrogen is proportional to that of the other primary elements (assuming metallicity-independent yields), while if nitrogen is produced as a secondary element, the increase in its abundance is proportional to the initial CNO content. Overall, the abundance of secondary nitrogen is proportional to the square of the CNO content. Note, however, that the evolution of nitrogen abundance in the ISM of a given galaxy is more complex, as it depends on the chemical evolution history of the galaxy and the inflow of primordial gas that dilutes the ISM.

The flat slope in the \((\text{N/O})\text{ vs. } (\text{O/H})\) plane found in low-metallicity halo stars in the Galaxy and star-forming dwarf galaxies (Thuan et al. 1995; Berg et al. 2012; Vincenzo et al. 2016) led to the conclusion that primary nitrogen has to be produced in massive stars, that promptly release it into the ISM (Matteucci 1986). However, at low metallicity, intermediate mass stars are also expected to produce nitrogen and as we will see, our detailed stellar evolution models do not generically predict sufficient primary nitrogen from massive stars to match the bulk of the observations.

Furthermore, various stellar evolution models predict different nitrogen yields, which adds an additional complication to the analysis. These yields are metallicity dependent and as a consequence, primary nitrogen yields from massive stars may not produce a plateau in \(\text{N/O}\) vs. \(\text{O/H}\) if the \(\text{N/O}\) ratio varies with metallicity as we will see below. We next summarize several sets of nucleosynthetic yields used in this work for comparison.

#### 2.1 Massive stars

In order to estimate the theoretical uncertainties in nitrogen yields in massive stars we consider three different stel-
lar evolution models: Woosley & Weaver (1995, ; WW95), Chieffi & Limongi (2004) and Nomoto et al. (2006). Specifically, WW95 present the evolution of massive stars at 5 different metallicities ($Z/Z_\odot = 0, 10^{-4}, 10^{-2}, 0.1, 1$) and masses from $11M_\odot$ to $40M_\odot$. Chieffi & Limongi (2004) produce another set of explosive yields for masses in the range $13 - 35M_\odot$ at 6 different metallicities ($Z = 0, 10^{-6}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-2}, 6 \times 10^{-3}, 0.02$). Nomoto et al. (2006) also study the mass range $13 - 35M_\odot$ for 4 different metallicities: $Z = 0, 10^{-3}, 0.004, 0.02$. For illustration, Table 1 compares typical yields produced by these models at three metallicities ($Z/Z_\odot = 0, 0.001, 1$) and two masses: $15M_\odot, 30M_\odot$ by interpolating the published yields. There are significant differences between the models, especially at low metallicities. These discrepancies are the result of the different models for the microphysics of pre-supernova evolution (such as the treatment of the convective layers) and different reaction rates, for example $^{12}$C($\alpha, \gamma$)$^{16}$O (see the discussion in Chieffi & Limongi 2004). Another major difference is the production of primary nitrogen, which is not included in WW95. Additional yields can be found in Heger & Woosley (2010), Limongi & Chieffi (2012), and Limongi (2017).

### 2.2 Intermediate-mass stars

We consider two stellar evolution models that target the evolution of intermediate-mass stars: van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997) and Karakas (2010). van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997) present the evolution of stars at 5 different metallicites ($Z = 0.001, 0.004, 0.008, 0.02, 0.04$; note that the last value is super-solar) and masses in the range $0.8 - 8M_\odot$. Karakas (2010) present another set of yields for masses in the range $1 - 6M_\odot$ at 4 different metallicities ($Z = 0.0001, 0.004, 0.008, 0.02$). Note that a recent study (Fishlock et al. 2014) has presented yields at $Z = 0.001$ for the same mass range. Table 2 presents typical yields for three metallicities ($Z = 0.0001, 0.004, 0.02$) and two masses, $2M_\odot$ and $7M_\odot$. The results of these two models are similar (note that the largest differences are again at the low-metallicity end). As we will show in what follows, intermediate-mass stars play a dominant role in producing the nitrogen observed in the interstellar medium, therefore we do not expect large uncertainties in the modeled total nitrogen abundance. Note however that, since the yields are metallicity-dependent, uncertainties in the total metallicity (mainly due to oxygen abundance) may affect also the result for nitrogen.

### 2.3 Stellar rotation

Stellar rotation, particularly important at low metallicities, can strongly affect the nucleosynthetic yields (Meynet & Maeder 2002a,b; Meynet & Pettini 2004). In particular, Meynet & Maeder (2002a) found that rotating, low-metallicity ($Z = 10^{-5}$) stars naturally produce primary nitrogen as a consequence of enhanced mixing between the hydrogen-burning shell and the core. Table 3 compares the yields calculated by Meynet & Maeder (2002b) of rotating ($v = 300$ km/s) and non-rotating stars of different masses ($2 - 20M_\odot$) at $Z = 10^{-5}$. It can be seen that both nitrogen and oxygen are produced in larger amounts in rotating stars, the difference with the non-rotating case reaching two orders of magnitude. Note however that this finding cannot have a significant impact on the evolution of the total nitrogen abundance, most of which is taking place in higher-metallicity environments. We will nevertheless explore the impact of this set of yields, were it to hold for the entire range of metallicities, below.

### 3 CHEMICAL EVOLUTION MODEL

#### 3.1 Model description

In order to describe the cosmic chemical evolution of the interstellar medium we use the model developed by Daigne et al. (2004, 2006) and Vangioni et al. (2015) as briefly outlined in the following. The initial gas content of galaxies is taken to be equal to the cosmic mean $f_{baryon} = \Omega_b/\Omega_m$, where $\Omega_b$ and $\Omega_m$ are the densities of baryons and total dark matter, respectively, in units of the critical density of the Universe. The model then follows two gas reservoirs, the intergalactic matter (IGM) and the ISM. Matter flows from IGM to ISM as the galaxies form, where baryons are assumed to follow dark matter, so that the mean baryon accretion rate in each region is given by $a_b(t) = \Omega_b df_{baryon}/dt$ where $f_{baryon}$ is the fraction of mass contained in galaxies and is given by the hierarchical model of structure formation (Press & Schechter 1974), and we assume that the minimum mass of dark matter haloes for star-forming structures is $10^7 M_\odot$. Once inside galaxies, baryons form stars with rate $\psi(t)$ which we calibrate to observations, as described below. We assume a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF), $\Phi(m)$, with slope $x = 1.35$, for $m_{\inf} \leq m \leq m_{\sup}$ with $m_{\inf} = 0.1M_\odot$ and $m_{\sup} = 100M_\odot$. Baryons can flow from structures back to the IGM due to galactic winds or feedback from supernovae (SNe). To sum up, the evolution of the total baryonic mass in the IGM and ISM is given by

$$ \frac{dM_{\text{IGM}}}{dt} = -a_b(t) + a(t) \tag{1} $$

and

$$ \frac{dM_{\text{ISM}}}{dt} = -\psi(t) + e(t) + a(t) - a(t). \tag{2} $$

where $\psi(t)$ is the cosmic star formation rate (SFR), $\epsilon(t)$ is the rate at which stellar mass is returned to the ISM by mass loss or stellar deaths and $a(t)$ is the baryon outflow rate from structures into the IGM, which is obtained by energy arguments assuming the conservation of a fraction of the energy released in SNII and assuming outflows with escape velocities.

In addition, we follow the chemical composition of the ISM and the IGM as a function of time as described in Daigne et al. (2004). In particular, we do not use the instantaneous recycling approximation but calculate the rate at which gas is returned to the ISM including the effect of stellar lifetimes and computing stellar yields for each element and for different stellar mass ranges. Further details on the chemical evolution model can be found in Daigne et al. (2004, 2006) and Vangioni et al. (2015).

The cosmic SFR is modeled as in Vangioni et al. (2015). Fig. 1 (upper panel) shows the SFR in our model (black line) and observations compiled by Behroozi et al. (2013),
as well as high-redshift measurements by Bouwens et al. (2014); Oesch et al. (2014). For comparison, we also show the SFR from Madau & Dickinson (2014).

The SFR can also be constrained by using the optical depth to reionization, which depends on the rate of production of ionizing photons by massive stars. We calculate the optical depth to reionization \( \tau \) as described in Vangioni et al. (2015), in particular we use the tables in Schaefer (2002) for the number of photons produced by massive stars and assume an escape fraction of \( f_{\text{esc}} = 0.2 \). The resulting optical depth is shown in Fig. 1 (bottom panel) and compared to the constraints obtained from measurements of the cosmic microwave background (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016a,b). Note that the SFR of Madau & Dickinson (2014), is flatter than the SFR used in our model and produces slightly higher rates than observed at \( z \sim 10 \). Consequently, the optical depth is also larger than that observed by Planck, unless \( f_{\text{esc}} \) is as low as 0.05. Note that there are other parameters which introduce additional uncertainties in the model prediction of the optical depth. Finally, we stress that this model does not follow galaxy properties individually but averaged properties of the ISM and of the IGM within a sub-volume of the Universe.

3.2 Evolution of nitrogen abundance with redshift

In order to study the production of nitrogen and its abundance in the ISM we implement the stellar evolution models discussed in Section 2 in our galaxy evolution model. We use the yields discussed in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 for stars in the mass ranges \( 8 < M/M_\odot < 40 \) and \( 0 < M/M_\odot < 8.0 \), respectively. An interpolation is made between different metallicities and masses, and tabulated values are extrapolated for masses above \( 40 M_\odot \), which correspond to a small fraction of the population of massive stars (i.e. \( 40^{-x} - 100^{-x} \) / \( 8^{-x} - 100^{-x} \) \( \approx \) 8\%). We adopt the solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2009). We stress the importance of including metallicity-dependent yields, rather than constant yields, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. In this figure, we compare the resulting ratio of [N/O], assuming constant yields (blue curves) to a metallicity dependent yield (red curve). In each case, we employ the yields from Nomoto et al. (2006). For constant yields, we show results for both solar (solid curve) and metal-free (dashed curve) yields. As one might expect from Table 1, the produced N/O ratio is significantly larger in solar metallicity stars than in metal-free stars. The model with metal-dependent yields interpolates between the two.

We now compare the results of our model to the observed abundance measurements, focusing on the comparison between different stellar evolution models and the contribution of massive vs. intermediate-mass stars to the nitrogen content of the ISM. Fig. 3 (upper panel) shows the evolution of nitrogen abundance in our model with different sets of yields: blue dotted and solid lines correspond to models that include both intermediate mass and massive stars, respectively. We show the resulting abundance at redshift 3 and compare it to the observations.
Cosmological evolution of Nitrogen

Figure 1. Upper panel: The SFR as a function of redshift used in our model (black line) compared to observations: Behroozi et al. (2013) (red points), Bouwens et al. (2014); Oesch et al. (2014) (blue points). The SFR in Madau & Dickinson (2014) is shown for comparison (red dashed line). Lower panel: Evolution of the optical depth to reionization as a function of redshift. The observational constraint is indicated by a red horizontal strip (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016a, b).

Figure 2. The evolution of nitrogen in the total ISM coming from the semi-analytical model and produced in massive stars only. Blue lines: corresponding to the constant yields from Nomoto et al. (2006) for $Z = Z_\odot$ and $Z = 0$ for solid and dashed lines, respectively. Red line: metallicity-dependent yields from Nomoto et al. (2006). Note that in the latter case $[N/O]$ increases sharply with metallicity, as can be anticipated from Table 1.

stars (IMS) with yields taken from Karakas (2010)) and van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997), respectively, and massive stars (MS), with yields taken from Nomoto et al. (2006). As can be anticipated from Table 1, the two sets of yields for intermediate-mass stars produce very similar results. Red solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to models that include only the contribution of massive stars, with the yields taken from Chieffi & Limongi (2004), Woosley & Weaver (1995) and Nomoto et al. (2006) respectively. In this case, the differences in nitrogen and oxygen yields shown in Table 1 are translated into a relatively large vertical offset between the red curves. It is clear, however, that intermediate-mass stars dominate the production of nitrogen at all redshifts and this contribution is needed to explain the abundances with the highest values of $[N/H]$. Note that the differences in the yields of massive stars do not affect the uncertainty in the total nitrogen budget. This result confirms the finding of Wu & Zhang (2013) for massive star-forming galaxies. We also note that the scatter in $[N/H]$ observed at any given redshift is much greater than the observational uncertainty. This scatter can originate from differences in structure formation histories of the different galaxies that host these DLAs, as we discuss below, including different accretion and star formation histories. In addition, the scatter, for now, masks any trend in the observations of $[N/H]$ with redshift as predicted by the models.

As in the upper panel of Fig. 3, Fig. 4 shows the evolution of the relative $[N/O]$ abundance in our model as a function of $z$, with different sets of yields. We note that massive stars (red curves, modulo uncertainties related to stellar yields) which form the first nitrogen atoms can reproduce the lowest part of DLA observations ($[N/O] = -1.5$). It is interesting to note that blue and red curves frame the bulk of observations possibly corresponding to a progressive increase in nitrogen coming from intermediate mass stars.

The lower panel in Fig. 3 shows the effect of stellar rotation, where we used constant yields (computed for $Z = 10^{-5}$) taken from Meynet & Maeder (2002b). While, as evident also from Table 3, rotating low-metallicity stars produce significant amounts of primary nitrogen, this model is in fact not realistic since the actual metallicity at the relevant redshifts is much higher than $10^{-5}$. Further studies of rotating stars including yield tables at higher metallicity are required in order to elucidate their role in the cosmic nitrogen enrichment.

Fig. 5 shows the nitrogen abundance as a function of metallicity in DLAs, from a compilation of Zafar et al. (2014) and references therein, in HII regions of spiral galax-
Figure 3. Evolution of nitrogen abundance with redshift for different stellar evolution models. Upper panel: Nitrogen produced in massive stars only, with yields taken from Chieffi & Limongi (2004), Woosley & Weaver (1995) and Nomoto et al. (2006) (red solid, dashed and dotted lines, respectively) and the total nitrogen budget (blue lines). The yields for intermediate-mass stars are taken from Karakas (2010) and van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997) for the dotted and solid blue lines, respectively, the yields for massive stars are taken from Nomoto et al. (2006) in both cases. Lower panel: The evolution of nitrogen abundance assuming all stars are rotating (solid) or non-rotating (dashed) and using constant yields, taken as the yields from Meynet & Maeder (2002b) at $Z = 10^{-5}$. Data taken from Zafar et al. (2014) and references therein (black points).

Figure 4. As in Fig. 3, the relative abundance $[\text{N}/\text{O}]$ as a function of redshift.

It is interesting to note that our models with only massive stars (red curves) do not exhibit the characteristic slope of 1 associated with primary production. As we have stressed earlier, this is due to the metallicity-dependent yields used in the calculation. In contrast, as seen by the black dashed curve, when metallicity-independent yields are used, the slope is indeed found to be 1. One sees a slight steepening starting at a metallicity of roughly $\log(O/H) \sim -3.5$.

We further emphasize that due to the substantial dispersion in the data for $O/H$ vs redshift (or more precisely $\alpha/H$ vs redshift), that a direct mapping of $N/H$ vs $O/H$ as predicted by the model. The deficiency in a uniform data set introduces additional uncertainty and scatter when comparing with $O/H$.

Bearing this ambiguity in mind, the relative abundance of nitrogen and oxygen is further explored in Fig. 6 which also exhibits the scatter observed in DLAs (black points) and compact dwarf galaxies (green, blue and yellow points). It can be seen that the ratio $[\text{N}/\text{O}]$ for nitrogen that originates in massive stars is well below the observational points. Thus, according to our model, the bulk of the nitrogen observed in DLAs and dwarf galaxies originates from intermediate-mass stars. A similar conclusion was reached by Henry et al. (2000) who found that the bulk of cosmic nitrogen to be formed in intermediate mass stars as a primary source at low metallicity and secondary source at higher metallicity. We see once again that the model with rotating stars with constant yields is flat when plotting $[\text{N}/\text{O}]$ in contrast to the models with metallicity-dependent yields. Note that our models do not include secondary production from intermediate-mass stars.
Figure 5. The nitrogen abundance as a function of metallicity in our model with different sets of yields (line notation as in Fig. 3) compared to observations in DLAs Zafar et al. (2014) (black points), HII regions of spiral galaxies (magenta points van Zee et al. 1998; van Zee & Haynes 2006) and blue compact dwarf galaxies (green, blue and yellow points, respectively; Izotov & Thuan 2004; James et al. 2015; Berg et al. 2012; Izotov et al. 2012). Dashed black line corresponds to the case of rotating stars, with constant yields (at $Z = 10^{-5}$) taken from Meynet & Maeder (2002b).

Figure 6. As in Fig. 5, the relative abundance [N/O] as a function of metallicity.

not attain solar abundances (of either O/H or N/H) as the curves represent average abundances (throughout the universe) and are thus heavily weighted by low mass objects with subsolar abundances.

Finally, we use our model to explore the relative abundances of nitrogen and other elements. The upper panel in Fig. 7 shows the tight correlation between [N/H] and [Si/H] (albeit with a considerable dispersion), reproduced by our model. Note that the observed correlation between [Si/H] and [O/H], shown in the lower panel of Fig. 7, is much tighter, since both O and Si are produced in massive stars and are simultaneously released into the ISM.

4 DISPERSION IN NITROGEN ABUNDANCES

As can be seen in Figs. 3 and 6, there is a significant dispersion in nitrogen abundance at any given redshift. Here we study the dispersion due to the difference in the masses of DLA host galaxies.

The masses of the DLA host galaxies may vary across our sample, and while they are very difficult to measure, they are expected to affect the DLA properties. Indeed, a mass-metallicity relation, similar to that observed in galaxies in emission, might be present in DLAs (Neeleman et al. 2013). The semi-analytic models presented above are not suitable to study this issue since they do not resolve individual galaxies. In this Section we therefore use the outcome of the Horizon-AGN simulation (Dubois et al. 2014) to estimate the dispersion in nitrogen abundance in the ISM of $z \sim 2$ galaxies.

The details of the simulation can be found in Dubois et al. (2014) and references therein, but we recall here the main aspects of the simulation. The Horizon-AGN simulation is a hydrodynamical cosmological simulation of a flat Lambda Cold Dark Matter universe performed with the adaptive mesh refinement code RAMSES (Teyssier 2002) using a WMAP-7-like cosmology (Komatsu et al. 2011) with $\Omega_m = 0.272$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.728$, $\sigma_8 = 0.81$, $\Omega_b = 0.045$, $H_0 = 70.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and $n_s = 0.967$. The box size is $100 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ filled $1024^3$ dark matter particles with a mass resolution of $8 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. The mesh refinement is triggered according to a quasi-Lagrangian criterion when the mass within a cell is 8 times the dark matter mass resolution down to a spatial resolution of 1 kpc. The Horizon-AGN simulation includes a metal-dependant gas cooling with a ultra-violet background heating after reionization at $z = 10$, a Schmidt star formation law of constant efficiency of 2 per cent, feedback from stars including stellar winds, type II and type Ia supernovae assuming a Salpeter-like initial mass function (Kaviraj et al. 2017), and feedback from active galactic nuclei together with the self-consistent growth of black holes following an Eddington-limited Bondi-Hoyle-Littleton accretion rate.

The mass loss from stellar winds and SNe is modelled using STARBURST99 (Vázquez & Leitherer 2005). Specifically, Horizon-AGN adopts the ‘Evolution’ model (Leitherer et al. 1992) with the Padova tracks plus thermally pulsing AGB stars (Girardi et al. 2000), which is based on the SN yields from Woosley & Weaver (1995) for massive stars with mass $8 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 40$. The stellar yields with mass $0.1 \leq M \leq 100 M_\odot$ are also taken into account in the model by using the chemical abundance at the stellar surface computed from the Padova tracks. Note that most ($\sim 80\%$) of the nitrogen is produced before the age of 50 Myr via SNe.
whereas intermediate mass stars account for only 20%. The yields for SN Type Ia are taken from Nomoto et al. (2006), but their contributions to the total production of nitrogen are negligible.

To extract the metal mass content of the galaxies, we include all gas cells within twice the effective radius of the galaxy and with gas density above 0.1 H cm$^{-3}$, which is our gas density threshold for star formation. The result of the abundance of nitrogen in galaxies as a function of the galaxy stellar mass in Horizon-AGN is shown in Fig. 8. We notice first that there is very little dispersion at larger masses ($M > 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}$) with the amount of dispersion increasing at lower masses. Below $10^{9.5} M_{\odot}$, the amount of dispersion is approximately 1.5 dex and is slightly less what is observed. Note that the observations of the lowest DLA abundances of N/H can be well explained if their mass corresponds to a stellar mass of $10^{9.5} M_{\odot}$ or less.

Finally, we study the effect of differences in DLA position within the host galaxy. We draw several line-of-sights through our simulation box, one every 1 kpc in the x and y coordinates, and compute the nitrogen abundance in the cold ISM gas along each line-of-sight (at $z = 2$ there are $\sim 7 \times 10^6$ line-of-sights containing cold gas with nitrogen). We repeat this procedure for three different redshifts and compare the average and the standard deviation with data points from Zafar et al. (2014). As can be seen in Fig. 9, the Horizon-AGN simulation predicts higher values of the nitrogen abundance at $z = 2$ and 3 compared with observations, but its value is comparable to the few data points at $z = 4$.

Finally the dispersion of the nitrogen line-of-sight in Horizon-AGN is large $\sim 1$ dex at $z = 2$ and 3 and $\sim 2$ dex at $z = 4$, which is very close to the dispersion observed in the data points for the lowest redshift range.

This is the result of having a large variety of possible line-of-sights going through galaxies of various masses, that are different stages of their chemical evolution, as well as line-of-sights probing different regions within the same galaxy: either gas with old population of stars in the center of galaxies, or freshly accreted gas from the IGM forming young stars in the outskirts.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper we explored the evolution of the nitrogen abundance in the ISM and its dispersion using a cosmological galaxy evolution model. In particular, we explored several sets of nucleosynthetic yields currently used by various groups and showed that the bulk of nitrogen in the ISM is produced by intermediate-mass stars. At very low metallicity, nitrogen production can be explained by massive stars production while at higher metallicity nitrogen is essentially produced by IMS. Since the yields of this class of objects are consistent between models (contrary to the case of massive stars) it follows that the total nitrogen mass produced by a
given stellar population can be calculated with reasonably high accuracy.

Furthermore, we explored the sources of dispersion in the nitrogen abundance in DLAs using the Horizon-AGN hydrodynamical simulations and concluded that it can be caused by the difference in the masses of the host galaxies. In particular, we found that this dispersion grows with decreasing galaxy mass. Another source of dispersion is the difference in the lines of sights that corresponds to different DLAs. While these effects contribute to the observed dispersion, further work is needed in order to understand the relative contribution of each effect.
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