Insight Into Microbial Community Aerosols Associated With Electronic Waste Handling Facilities by Culture-Dependent and Culture-Independent Methods
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Airborne microorganisms in the waste associated environments are more active and complex compared to other places. However, the diversity and structure of airborne bacteria in waste-associated environments are still not clearly understood. The purpose of this study was to assess airborne bacterial community in electronic waste dismantling site and a waste transfer station based on culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. A total of 229 isolates were obtained from four airborne sites collected from residential area, electronic industrial park, and office area in or near an electronic waste dismantling site and a waste transfer station in Southern China in the morning, afternoon, and evening. Most of the isolates were isolated from air for the first time and 14 potentially novel species were identified by Sanger sequencing. Bacterial communities in waste-associated bioaerosols were predominated by Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes. Abundant genera (>1%) included Paracaedibacteraceae (uncultured EF667926), Ralstonia, Chroococcidiopsis, Chitinophagaceae (uncultured FN428761), Sphingobium, and Heliimonas. One-third of the species in these genera were uncultured approximately. Differences community structure existed in airborne bacterial diversity among different sampling sites. These results showed that waste-associated environments have unique bacterial diversity. Further studies on such environments could provide new insights into bacterial community.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterial diversity is central to explore the relationship between bacterial aerosols and human health or public health, mainly reflecting in both direct and indirect aspects (1). Bacterial diversity directly affects human health, which the rich bacterial community can prevent from certain metabolic diseases, gastrointestinal diseases or skin mucous membrane diseases (2, 3). Bacterial diversity indirectly alters public health. The isolation of microbial strains from bacterial communities is an essential precondition for the development of microbial function, exhibiting vast functional diversity. New unique functions are continuously discovered as the number of known species
increases (4). Studying new communities can therefore reveal previously unknown functions including exploitation of novel compounds, potential cause of drug side effects, therapeutic target, and the source of inflammatory disease (5–7). Studies on bacterial diversity can therefore be expected to provide important new insights with applications in biotechnology, human health, and public health (7).

The structure and diversity studies on bacterial bioaerosols focus on different environments, such as landfills, composting facilities, sewage treatment plants, farms, or hospitals, bio-waste and recycling industry (8–11). Electronic waste (e-waste), i.e., waste derived from electrical or electronic equipment, is chemically and physically distinct from other municipal waste (12). At present, microbial diversity in electronic waste areas has been extensively studied, but most of them focus on soil and water environments. Waste transfer stations are stations used for temporary storage of municipal waste, and the air bacteria around them may differ from those associated with e-waste. Previous studies provide the concentration and distribution of noxious gas in waste transfer station (13). However, with very few researches on e-waste bioaerosols and waste transfer stations, the diversity and structure of airborne bacteria in waste handling facilities-associated environments, such as, electronic waste and waste transfer station, are still not clearly understood.

Aerosols are also often rich in unidentified microorganisms and over 90% of airborne microorganisms were uncultivable (14). Molecular tools are widely used in airborne bacteriological research and many uncultivable bacteria have recently been discovered using next-generation sequencing technology (15). However, culture-dependent methods remain vital in bacteriological research because isolating and cultivating pure strains provides insights into their phenotype, metabolism, and genetic functions, potentially revealing new applications in biotechnology, human healthcare, and industry (7, 16–18). Greater diversity could potentially be uncovered by combining culture and non-culture methods (19). However, there have been very few studies on bacterial diversity in airborne microorganisms using such a combined approach.

In the present study, we hypothesized that the waste-associated environments have particular bacterial diversity. To test this hypothesis, aerosol samples were collected from an e-waste dismantling site in South China and a waste transfer station near the Southern Medical University in Guangzhou. The collected bacteria were isolated and identified by culture method, and non-culture method was used for the detection of the bacterial communities on structure and diversity. The results obtained provide new insights into bacterial diversity in e-waste-related aerosols.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection**

Sampling was mainly conducted at an e-waste dismantling site in South China (23.32°N, 116.36°E) and a waste transfer station near the Southern Medical University in Guangzhou (23.18°N, 113.33°E) in November 2019. Four different functional areas were chosen to study the biodiversity of bacterial aerosols in waste-related environments. The sampling sites included a residential area (A), an electronic industrial park (B), an office area (C), and a waste transfer station (D) (Supplementary Figure 1). Sampling at the points D was performed in the morning (Dm) and afternoon (Da), and at night (Dn). Sampling at points A, B, and C was performed in the morning, noon, and evening, respectively, on a different day with similar meteorological conditions as point D. The residential area, electronic industrial park (e-industrial park), and office area were in the town of Gуйyu, which is an e-waste site. These three functional areas were affected by e-waste to varying degrees but were all regarded as e-waste disassembly sites in this study. Waste stored at the waste transfer station included kitchen waste, plastic, paper, metal products, construction waste, and so on from surrounding schools, communities, and hospitals.

Air sampling was carried out simultaneously using two different samplers at each sampling site and was performed in triplicate at each site. The sampler was 2–3 m from the waste and 1.5 m above the ground. Culturable airborne bacteria were pumped using six-stage Andersen Cascade Impactors at a flow rate of 28.3 L/min for 10 min. The Andersen Impactor was equipped with nutritional agar plates and stage 1–6 filters with cut-off diameters of 7.0, 4.7, 3.3, 2.1, 1.1, and 0.65 µm, respectively. Non-culturable bacteria were collected using a high-flow portable bioaerosol aerosol sampler (Beijing Dingblue Technology Co., Ltd) with a 2 µm cutoff size at a flow rate of 1,000 L/min for 30 min. The high-flow sampler used not nutritional agar but a plate wrapped in aluminum foil with 800 µL of mineral oil. The detailed information of the high-flow portable bioaerosol aerosol sampler can be referred to Li et al. (20). In total, six samples of airborne bacteria (both culturable airborne bacteria and non-culturable bacteria) were collected. Concentrations of PM2.5 and PM10 (particles with aerodynamic diameters below 2.5 and 10 µm, respectively) and meteorological parameters were acquired from the Guangdong Meteorological Service. Detailed environmental data on all the sampling sites is presented in Supplementary Table 1 in the Supplementary Material.

**Isolation of Culturable Bacteria**

Colonies that grew on a nutrient agar plate were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Single colonies were obtained by repeated plate streaking. All purified colonies were identified by Sanger DNA sequencing performed by the GENEWIZ Company. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession Nos. MT214102–MT214329, MT218357, and MT214107. Sanger sequencing results were compared to the NCBI database to test the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. Sequences with similarity below 98.65% were sent to the MAGIGENE company for Whole genome sequencing. Calculation of Average Nucleotide Identity and DNA-DNA hybridization (<95 or 70%, respectively) from whole genome sequencing data to identify potentially novel bacteria (These data were given in another article).
Sequencing of Non-culturable Bacteria

Genomic DNA from aerosol samples was extracted using the phenol-chloroform method (21). The 16S rRNA gene V4 region was amplified by the following barcoded primers (shown from 5’ to 3’): V4F: GTGYYACGYYMCGCGYG Y TAA and V4R, GGACYTACNYVGGYTWTCTYTAAT. The following PCR conditions were used: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 52°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 45 s and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. All PCR products were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2,500 using 500 cycle version 2 reagent kits (The Beijing Genomics Institute) at Zhuijiang Hospital (Guangzhou, China). The method of sample detecting, library construction, end repair, A-tailing, adaptor ligation, size-selected library, and products purification, validation of the library, sequencing libraries Amplification, and data processing were performed as previously described (22). For bacteria that could not be classified, their genetic sequences were searched against the NCBI and EZbiocloud database to identify them at the genus level. Three replicate samples were collected at each site, and each sample was sequenced five times. The complete DNA sequence dataset was deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under study accession no. PRJEB38065.

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.3) was used to plot box plots, bar charts, and heatmaps related to alpha diversity. Principal coordinate analysis plots, Cladogram, and Venn diagram related to beta diversity were performed in R (version 3.2.2) using the “capsule” function in the “vegan” R package. The SPSS software (version 25) was used for data analysis. The bacterial abundance among different sampling sites was assessed by one-way analysis of variance. Pearson Correlation analysis was conducted to explore the correlations between culturable airborne bacterial concentration and weather parameters. For those data that do not conform to the normal distribution, such as, alpha diversity indices, the Kruskal-Wallis H test was performed. Beta diversity was then analyzed with a permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA). The statistical significance threshold was set at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Concentrations and Size Distributions of Airborne Bacteria

The concentrations and size distributions of culturable airborne bacteria at sampling sites A, B, C, Dm, Da, and Dn are presented in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2. Bacteria concentrations were highest in the office area (744 ± 78 CFU/m$^3$) and lowest in the e-industrial park (70 ± 5 CFU/m$^3$). The particle size distributions at sites A, B, and C were similar, peaking in stages 5 (1.1–2.1 μm) or 6 (0.65–1.1 μm). The average daily concentration of bacterial aerosols in the waste transfer station was 463 ± 316 CFU/m$^3$ (μ ± sd). There was pronounced intraday variation in bacterial concentration and particle size, with the highest concentration occurring in the afternoon and the lowest in the morning. For the waste transfer station, 80% of the bacteria were deposited in stages 4 and 5 in the morning. The dominant particle size increased over the course of the day, from small to medium or even large; by nightfall, the number of bacteria > 7 μm in diameter exceeded 55%.

16S rRNA Gene Identification of Isolates

A total of 229 strains were isolated from nutritional agar, 149 of which originated in e-waste disassembly sites. These bacteria belonged to 21 genera and 4 phyla (Figure 1). Firmicutes were the most heavily represented phylum in sites A (51.5%) and B (54.5%), followed by Actinobacteria (A: 39.4%, B: 27.3%). Conversely, Actinobacteria (49.0, 53.8, 72, and 78.6%, respectively) dominated at sites C, Dm, Da, and Dn, followed by Firmicutes (46.9, 42.9, 24.0, and 21.4%, respectively). Bacteroidetes were detected only at site C. At the genus level, Bacillus dominated sites A, B, C, and Dm, while Microbium and Micrococcus dominated in Da and Dn. The genus *Microbacterium* was represented at all sampling sites. Among the isolates were 17 strains of three species classified as conditional pathogenic bacteria, namely *Staphylococcus* (12/17), *Corynebacterium* (3/17), and *Pseudomonas* (2/17). These bacteria were mainly found in office area (7/17), followed by residential area (6/17), e-industrial park (2/17), and waste transfer station (2/17).

Microbial Profiling Based on 16S rRNA Gene High-Throughput Sequencing

There were 1,529 bacterial Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) and 527,615 total counts acquired after high-throughput sequencing. The range of counts in the samples was 1,813–20,512, with a mean of 7,537 counts per sample. To evaluate the species richness and alpha diversity of the microbial communities, the number of observed OTUs and the Chao1 index, Shannon index, and PD whole tree index were calculated for each sample (Figures 2A–D). The alpha diversity index of airborne bacteria in site A was significantly higher than those for sites B, Dm, and Da ($P < 0.05$). Although Dn was higher than Da in the OTUs and PD whole tree index, when combined with the Chao1 and Shannon index, we believed that Da and Dn’s alpha diversity was similar. The alpha diversity indices of e-industrial park, office area, and waste transfer station showed no statistical difference.

The community structures of the collected airborne bacteria differed between the sites. Proteobacteria (51.5–66.0%) was the most dominant phylum, followed by Bacteroidetes (4.3–26.9%), Firmicutes (4.4–10.4%), and Cyanobacteria (0.3–17.6%) (Figure 2E). Proteobacteria was mainly represented by Alpha-proteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Gamma-proteobacteria. Alpha-proteobacteria had high relative abundances (58.86%). Bacteroidetes were mainly represented by Saprospirae and Cytophagia. Firmicutes were mainly represented by *Bacillus*, *Clostridia*, and *Erysipelotrichi*. The relative abundance of Cyanobacteria and Thermi in the residential area was higher ($P < 0.001$) than in the other sites. Additionally, Bacteroidetes were more abundant in the electronic industrial park, office area, and waste transfer station than the residential area ($P < 0.001$).

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on weighted UniFrac distance (beta diversity) with 62.0% explained variation for the x-axis and 15.1% for the y-axis (77.1% in total).
The total diversity captured by the top two principal coordinates was 53.1% by unweighted UniFrac (Figure 3B). There were statistically significant differences in microbe composition between the sampling sites: the $R^2$ values for the weighted and unweighted distances were 0.718 and 0.544, respectively ($P < 0.001$). LDA Effect Size (LEfSe) analysis showed that 6 bacterial genera were abundant in sites A, Dm, Da, and Dn (Figure 3C). As shown by the Venn diagram in Figure 3D, we identified 752, 395, 717, 191, 152, and 263 OTUs in samples A, B, C, Dm, Da, and Dn, respectively. Sixty-one OTUs were shared, comprising 3.99% of all identified OTUs. These results demonstrate the existence of differences in the structure and diversity in site-specific bacterial communities.

**DISCUSSION**

The airborne bacteria at the six sampling points differed in concentration and particle size distribution. These eigenvalues varied in relation to the environmental characteristics of the sampling sites, sampling times, and human activities. Residential area (A), office area (C), and waste transfer station in afternoon (Da) had high concentrations of culturable airborne bacteria. The bacterial concentrations in the C and Da aerosols exceeded 700 CFU/m$^3$, which is the threshold above which airborne bacteria may significantly affect the occurrence of airborne
infection according to Parker (24). The characteristics of these environments may be the main cause of the high levels of bacteria. High concentrations of cultivable bacteria have previously been detected in plant-rich and densely populated sites such as offices (20, 25), which is unsurprising because both leaves and human skin could greatly increase numbers of indoor bacteria (26, 27). Also, sampling in the office area occurred during off-hours, when temperatures were high and there was frequent movement of people. Such high levels of human activity could have re-suspended precipitated bacteria into the air. Likewise, the complex demographic composition of the residential area (A) contributed to the high bacterial concentrations.

In this study, the bacterial concentrations did not correlate with temperature or relative humidity (Supplementary Table 2) even though meteorological conditions can influence bioaerosols (28). It was possible that other influences were playing a decisive role. Sampling time and human activities also shaped
the characteristics of airborne bacteria, such as, waste transfer station. The high bacterial concentrations in the afternoon at waste transfer station were also related to human activity. The particle distributions at the different time periods varied appreciably. Many factors may explain this outcome, such as differences in temperature and bacterial sources (28, 29). The impact of human activities was observed at the waste transfer station in the afternoon, when large amounts of floating bioaerosols were produced during sorting and transporting of accumulated waste (Da). Lower bacterial concentrations were observed in the morning, when sorting and transfer were not performed (Dm). The dominant bacterial particle size at the waste disposal site in the afternoon was also larger than that in the morning (when sorting and transfer were not done), in keeping with the results of an earlier study conducted in Poland (13). The particle size distribution at night (Dn) was dominated by coarse particles because the residual garbage was cleaned with a water gun in the evening, causing large amounts of coarse particulate matter to spatter (30).

Both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods found different airborne bacterial communities at different sampling points, with differences not only in diversity indices, but also in the abundance of bacteria at different levels (e.g., phylum or genus). For the cultivation method, a similar study identified Firmicutes and Actinobacteria as the most abundant bacterial phyla in aerosols (31). However, at the genus level, the
dominant bacteria varied widely between sites, with the exception of Bacillus (32–34). High concentrations of Bacillus have been suggested to cause local infections (35); this was attributed to its ability to produce elliptical endospores that enable adaptation to harsh environmental conditions via extended periods of dormancy (34).

Of the 229 strains that were isolated above, 14 were found to be potentially novel strains, with a 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity below 98.65% (Figure 1), a threshold value used to differentiate species (36). These bacteria were classified as Agrococcus, Bacillus, Brachybacterium, Herbaspirillum, Janibacter, Lysinibacillus, Microbacterium, Nocardoides, Porphyrobacter, Pseudarthrobacter, Psychrobacter, Quadrirhabda, Roseicella, and Yonghaparkia at the genus level, especially Roseicella sp. GB24, a novel bacterial genus previously isolated from an air conditioning system in South Korea (37). A detailed list could be found in Supplementary Table 3. Most of them had not previously been detected or were only rarely detected in the atmosphere. Bacillus, as mentioned above, can adapt to a variety of environments. Microbacterium produces a pigment that protects other microorganisms from radiation (38). Their ability to resist or tolerate specific adverse environmental factors and stand out from their crowd under the selective pressure of waste may partly explain why these bacteria could become potential novel species and isolated in waste-related sites.

Of these 14 potentially novel strains, 9 (2 from the office area, 4 from the residential area, 3 from the e-industrial park) were collected in the e-waste dismantling site, and 5 from the waste transfer station. The proportion of airborne fine particulate matter (PM) decreased in the e-waste environment (39). The reduction of fine PM with a large surface area prevented nutrients from being well-enriched. The oligotrophic state drove changes in bacteria, leading to the possibility of the evolution of novel bacteria (40, 41). The sequencing results showed that at all sampling points, the proportion of uncultivated bacteria in the e-waste sites was highest for the dominant genus. These 14 strains have not previously been detected in the atmosphere, indicating that the e-waste area and waste transfer station therefore may have unique bacterial diversity.

Unlike culturable bacterial concentrations, the residential area had the highest alpha diversity, which may be related to its characteristics. Residential areas were complex environments with variable population compositions; consequently, they can vary widely in terms of temperature, humidity, availability of air conditioning, pets, the presence of infants and young children, and level of urbanization, all of which could affect bacterial diversity; high alpha diversity may be a manifestation of this variability (42, 43). The airborne coarse PM (2.5–10 µm) in e-waste was 3–4 times more abundant than fine/ultrafine PM (<2.5 µm) (39). High concentrations of heavy metal ions, such as Ni, Pb, and Zn, were attached to these coarse PM. The processing of e-waste also released high concentrations of organic pollutants, such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers, into the air (44). High exposure to heavy metals and organic pollutants both contributed to the reduction of bacterial diversity in e-industrial park (45, 46). Given the likely toxicity of e-waste pollutants toward bacteria, it was unsurprising that the electronic industrial park aerosol had the lowest levels of microbial diversity (47, 48).

For the non-culture method, different bacterial community structures have been observed in other regions; for example, Proteobacteria comprised 50–80% of the bacterial community in PM2.5 from Beijing and Shanghai, while Firmicutes was the most abundant phylum in Guilin, followed by Proteobacteria (34). In general, Proteobacteria and Firmicutes seem to be the most abundant airborne phyla, but there appears to be site-specific variation in their relative abundance (49). Genus-level distribution data are presented in Figure 2F. Paracaeidelbergbacteraceae uncultured bacteria EF667926 and Chitinophagaceae uncultured bacteria FN428761 comprised over 30% of the total genus-level bacterial population in sites B and C. A high proportion of the detected strains were unknown or poorly understood (uncultured) (50) suggesting that the waste-associated aerosols were quite different from those in other places, which may be rich repositories of previously uncultured bacteria.

High-throughput sequencing showed that Proteobacteria was the most abundant phylum, followed by Bacteroidetes. However, only a few Proteobacteria strains and one Bacteroidetes strain could be isolated. This may be because airborne bacteria have limited ability to survive in a state amenable to culture after collection and atomization in a sampler (51). Conversely, Bacillus was the most frequently isolated bacterial genus in nutritional agar, but was outside the top 10 bacterial genera by abundance based on the high-throughput sequencing results. Most bacterial strains identified by high-throughput sequencing were Gram-negative (55.8–89.0%), but 91.7% of those isolated by culture were Gram-positive. This may be related to the loss of cultivability of Gram-negative bacteria after atomization (51). High levels of Gram-negative bacteria can indirectly lead to an increase in endotoxins in the air (52). Additionally, cultivation was performed on nutritional agar, which may be unsuitable for certain bacteria. Finally, cells out of the cell division cycle would also not be cultivable (53). Combining next-generation sequencing methods with a culture-dependent method thus increases the diversity of microorganisms that can be detected.

This work investigated the airborne bacteria of waste-related areas with culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. Based on both culture and sequencing data, we concluded that bacterial aerosols in e-waste associated environment and waste transfer station exhibited different and unique diversity. From the perspective of the culture method, the cultivable airborne bacteria in these two places had different concentrations, particle sizes and abundances. Human activities may partly explain why this difference occurs. Fourteen potentially novel strains of bacteria were isolated in the waste-related environment, mainly distributed in the e-waste dismantling site. In terms of sequencing results, differences in genus levels and 3.99% of the shared OTUs indicated differences in air bacteria between the two places, despite the differences of diversity indices only existed in the residential area. However, practical constraints prevented us from sampling in non-e-waste or non-waste environments near the e-waste dismantling sites, and the limited number of samples weakens the strength of our evidence. Nevertheless, we
still believe that aerosols in the waste associated environment have unique bacterial diversity.
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