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Abstract

In this study the impact of the Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS) on the interannual to decadal variability of the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation is quantified during the 1987-2017 period with the numerical results of the Adriatic Sea and Coast (AdriSC) historical kilometer-scale climate simulation. The time series associated with the first five Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) computed from the salinity, temperature and current speed monthly detrended anomalies at 1-km resolution are correlated to the BiOS signal. First, it is found that the AdriSC climate model is capable to reproduce the BiOS-driven phases derived from in-situ observations along a long-term monitoring transect in the middle Adriatic. Then, for the entire Adriatic basin, high correlations to the 2-year delayed BiOS signal are obtained for the salinity and current speed first two EOF time series at 100 m depth and the sea-bottom. Finally, the physical interpretation of the EOF spatial patterns reveals that Adriatic bottom temperatures are more influenced by the dense water circulation than the BiOS. These findings confirmed and generalized the known dynamics derived previously from observations, and the AdriSC climate model can thus be used to better understand the past and future BiOS-driven physical processes in the Adriatic Sea.
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1 Introduction

A decade ago, Gačić et al. (2010) proposed the first explanation of the physical mechanisms driving the Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System or BiOS, more than half a century after the existence of quasi-decadal variability of salinity and water masses in the Adriatic was noticed (Buljan, 1953; Buljan and Zore-Armanda, 1976). This explanation provides a scientific framework which connects the quasi-decadal reversals of the Northern Ionian Gyre (NIG) circulation to the salinity variability and the dense water formation in the Adriatic Sea (Figure 1). Namely, the southern Adriatic Sea salinity is decreased by the advection of the less-saline Modified Atlantic Water during the anticyclonic phase of the NIG and increased by the advection of the highly-saline Levantine/Eastern Mediterranean waters during the cyclonic phase of the NIG. Additionally, the reversals from anticyclonic to cyclonic (or cyclonic to anticyclonic) of the NIG are explained by the decreased (or increased) density of the Adriatic dense water outflow in the northern Ionian Sea, where the isopycnal surfaces are deepened (or raised) and thus the upper water layer is stretched (or squeezed) leading to a change in vorticity and hence a NIG reversal. Later, the BiOS regimes have also been connected to the salinity variability and the dense water formation of the Aegean basin (Krokos et al. 2014; Theocharis et al. 2014; Velaoras et al. 2014; Reale et al. 2017).

![Figure 1](image-url)

Figure 1. Orography/bathymetry of the AdriSC 1-km domain with the path of the two long-term monitoring Adriatic transects (northern Adriatic transect in blue and Palagruža Sill transect in red) and the location of the CTD observations marked as dots.

On the one hand, the BiOS phases in the northern Ionian have been widely studied based on in-situ and remote sensing observations (e.g. Malanotte-Rizzoli et al. 1997; Larnicol et al. 2002; Pujol and Larnicol, 2005; Borzelli et al. 2009; Bessières et al. 2013; Gačić et al. 2010, 2014). However, due to the well-documented difficulties that Regional Climate Models (RCMs) have to capture the hurricane-strength bora events driving the dense water formation in the northern Adriatic (Theocharis et al. 2014; Dumić et al. 2018; Denamiel et al. 2021a), the BiOS reversal mechanism – as defined by Gačić et al. (2010) – remained partially unproven till recently. Indeed, with a rotating tank experiment, Rubino et al. (2020) demonstrated...
that the injection of dense water on a sloping bottom could trigger the reversal of their near-surface gyre circulation. Further, the 100-year long realistic numerical simulation of Liu et al. (2021) reproduced the known BiOS phases between 1985 and 2010, despite not properly capturing the highest salinities and densities measured in the northern Ionian. By using an artificial cooling over the entire Adriatic Sea, Liu et al. (2021) also confirmed the results obtained by Rubino et al. (2020), and provided evidences that the NIG reversal from a cyclonic to an anticyclonic phase occurs 1-2 years after a major cooling event driving the Adriatic dense water formation.

On the other hand, many of the processes observed in the Adriatic Sea have been connected and even correlated to the decadal variability of the BiOS. For example, Civitarese et al. (2010) demonstrated the impact of the BiOS on the nutrient content in the Adriatic Sea (i.e. decrease/increase of the nitracline depending on the cyclonic/anticyclonic circulation of the NIG) while Mihanović et al. (2015) extracted BiOS-driven phases from long-term measurements of salinity, temperature and dissolved oxygen in the middle Adriatic. The abundance and species within the Adriatic zooplankton communities have also been connected to the BiOS by Batistić et al. (2014). More recently, Peharda et al. (2018) found a consistent anti-correlation between the bivalve chronology in the northern Adriatic Sea and the BiOS regimes while Vilibić et al. (2020) and Ciglenečki et al. (2020) strongly correlated bottom salinity and the surface active substances fraction of the dissolved organic carbon in the northern Adriatic to the delayed BiOS index. However, no numerical study has ever quantified the impact of the BiOS regimes on the decadal variability of the Adriatic Sea as the available long-term ocean runs were not properly simulating the dense water formation, spreading and storage within the Adriatic basin (Dunić et al. 2018).

In this study, the newly evaluated 31-year long ocean historical results (Pranić et al. 2021) of the kilometer-scale Adriatic Sea and Coast (AdriSC) climate model – which has demonstrated a decent level of skills in reproducing the Adriatic overall thermohaline properties and dynamics, including generation of dense waters – are thus used to connect the BiOS phases to the long-term variability of the Adriatic Sea. First, the AdriSC model, the available observations along two long-term monitoring transects in the Adriatic Sea and the methods used hereafter are briefly presented in Section 2. Then, in Section 3, along the long-term monitoring transects, the AdriSC results are evaluated against the observations and the modelled BiOS-driven phases are compared with those already derived in Mihanović et al. (2015). This section is continued by connecting and interpreting the temporal and spatial patterns of the AdriSC model results to the BiOS regimes. To conclude in Section 4, the validity of the presented methods is discussed and the obtained results are further connected to the knowledge derived, in the last decade, from observations or simple model experiments.

2 Model, data and methods

2.1 AdriSC climate model

The Adriatic Sea and Coast modelling suite (AdriSC; Denamiel et al. 2019a) has been recently developed with the aim to study atmospheric and oceanic processes in the Adriatic region at various temporal and spatial scales ranging from the impact of climate change on extreme events (Denamiel et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2021a) to the operational forecast of extreme sea-levels along the Croatian coasts (Denamiel et al. 2019b, Tojčić et al. 2021). The modelling suite is built around three main modules that can be used either together or separately, depending on the type and scale of studies performed in the Adriatic region. First, the basic module uses a modified version of the Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere-Wave-Sediment-Transport modelling system (COAWST; Warner et al. 2010) and produces atmospheric results with the Weather Research and
Forecasting model (WRF; Skamarock et al. 2005) at up to 3-km resolution and ocean results with the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS; Shchepetkin and McWilliams 2005) at up to 1-km resolution (hereafter referred as AdriSC ROMS 1-km; Figure 1). Second, the extreme event module is coupling offline the WRF model at 1.5-km resolution with the unstructured barotropic ADvanced CIRCulation model (ADCIRC; Dietrich et al. 2012) at up to 10 m resolution along the Adriatic coasts. And, third, the stochastic module based on uncertainty quantification methods (Denamiel et al. 2018, 2020c) is providing, along the Croatian coast, extreme sea-level hazard assessments during meteotsunami events (i.e. tsunami-like waves driven by atmospheric disturbances).

Within the AdriSC modelling suite, the climate component – based solely on the basic module – is dedicated to the study of long-term kilometer-scale processes occurring in the Adriatic region. The complete description of the AdriSC climate component, including the set-up of the atmospheric and oceanic models, is presented in Denamiel et al. (2020a, 2021b) and Pranić et al. (2021). Additionally, the performances of the AdriSC climate component have already been thoroughly assessed for both the atmosphere (Denamiel et al. 2021b) and the ocean (Pranić et al. 2021). Overall, the evaluation revealed that the AdriSC climate component is better suited to study the long-term processes over the Adriatic region than the classical Regional Climate Models (RCMs) used in the Mediterranean Sea. In this study, the daily results from the evaluation run performed with the AdriSC climate component and spanning over a 31-year long period (1987-2017) are thus analyzed. These results can be accessed and retrieved via the web interface https://vrtlac.izor.hr/ords/adrisc/interface_form (Ivanković et al. 2019; Denamiel et al. 2021b).

2.2 Data and methods

Even though the AdriSC ROMS 1-km performances have already been assessed by Pranić et al. (2021), temperature and salinity from in situ temperature and salinity quality-checked observations collected along the long-term monitoring Palagruža Sill transect (by the Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Croatia) and the northern Adriatic transect (by the Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia) are used to evaluate the thermohaline properties of the model (Figure 1). These two datasets present the advantage of having been collected regularly (i.e. nearly every month since 1979 for the northern Adriatic transect and twice a year to seasonally since 1952 for the Palagruža Sill transect) and sampled at predefined stations (i.e. 6 for the northern Adriatic transect and 5 for the Palagruža Sill transect; Figure 1) for standard depths (i.e. 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 35 m depth for the northern Adriatic transect and 0, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100, 120, 150, 170 m depth for the Palagruža Sill transect). These transects have been established as being the most convenient for maintenance by the monitoring institutions (that are positioned at the transect ends), while covering two important areas for the Adriatic basin-wide dynamics. Namely, the northern Adriatic transect is overlaying the dense water formation site (Bergamasco et al. 1999; Janeković et al. 2014; Vilibić et al. 2019) where the densest Mediterranean waters are being generated (Zore 1963), bringing oxygen to the bottom layers and driving the thermohaline circulation of the Adriatic-Ionian region (Orlić et al. 2006). The Palagruža Sill transect is covering a key region for the exchanges of water masses along the Adriatic Sea, and is a passage where quasi-permanent topographically-driven Southern Adriatic Gyre and Middle Adriatic Gyre are exchanging water masses (Artegiani et al. 1997; Martin et al. 2009). Additionally, dense water outflow from the northern Adriatic can be traced at the deepest and southern parts of the sill (Artegiani et al. 1987), while the across-sill transport driven by the Western Adriatic Coastal Current is confined to the western coast (Burrage et al. 2009). Consequently, the evaluation of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity and temperature daily results along these transects provides a representative picture of the quality of the model during the 1987-2017 period, which is relevant for a study aiming to connect the long-term variability of the Adriatic Sea with the northern Ionian BiOS.
Except for the evaluation along the long-term monitoring transects for which the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model results are directly compared to the observations, the hereafter presented sea-level, temperature, salinity and current speed analyses are all performed with the monthly means of the detrended daily anomalies. Along the two transects, the AdriSC 1-km model results are extracted at regular depths (i.e. every meter for the northern Adriatic transect and every 5 meters for the Palagruža Sill transect) as linear segments defined by two stations. The results are then presented as one single section oriented from west to east for the northern Adriatic transect and from south to north for the Palagruža Sill transect. Finally, long-term variabilities are derived from Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) which are used to compare, in space and time, the most important variability patterns in the Adriatic and northern Ionian seas. Indeed, Gačić et al. (2010, 2011, 2014) have demonstrated that the BiOS – consisting in the decadal switch from cyclonic to anticyclonic of the circulation in the northern Ionian Sea (and vice versa) – is well described with the change of sign of one of the main EOF components derived from sea-level products in the Ionian Sea. In this study, for consistency, the BiOS signal is derived from the sea-surface height field (SSH) of the MEDSEA reanalysis (Simoncelli et al. 2019) distributed by the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS). MEDSEA reanalysis was also used to force the open boundaries of the AdriSC ocean model in the evaluation run. All the presented spatial EOFs (also known as Principal Component Analysis or Eigen Analysis) are obtained via a covariance matrix and are normalized (i.e. the sum of squares for each EOF pattern equals one). The time series of the amplitudes (also known as principal components or expansion coefficients) associated with each eigenvalue in the EOF are derived via the dot product of the data and the EOF spatial patterns, and the mean is subtracted from the value of each component time series. Consequently, the BiOS signal derived from one of the MEDSEA sea-level EOFs can be correlated with the long-term variability of temperature, salinity and current speed given by the EOF time series derived from the AdriSC 1-km model results. All presented correlations are significant with levels below 5% following the null-hypothesis and the statistical significance of the correlation is conducted by a Monte Carlo simulation using random phase resampling (Ebisuzaki 1997). It should be noted (1) that all correlations and time series comparisons between the BiOS signal and the long-term Adriatic Sea thermohaline variabilities have been made for signals smoothed with a 3-month running mean and (2) that, for visual purposes, EOF time series have been rescaled in order to have similar amplitudes in the comparison plots.

3 Results

3.1 Evaluation along the long-term monitoring Adriatic transects

First, the performances of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model along the long-term monitoring northern Adriatic and Palagruža Sill transects are investigated with scatter plots (Figure 2). Overall, they show that the hexagons with the largest number of points are following the reference line for both temperature and salinity, which indicates that the vast majority of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km results corresponds well to the observations in both intensity and timing.

However, for the northern Adriatic transect, the model systematically overestimates the salinity observations below 37. This highlights that the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model is not accurately reproducing the intensity and the extent of the Po River fresh water plume known to strongly influence the thermohaline circulation in the northern Adriatic Sea (Kourafalou 1999; Manzo et al. 2018). It should also be highlighted that, along the northern Adriatic transect, the temperatures above 20 °C (i.e. temperatures in the surface layer modelled during summer) are also systematically underestimated by up to 2.5 °C while they can be overestimated by up to 5 °C between 10 °C and 20 °C. The AdriSC ROMS 1-km has been demonstrated to
present a cold summer temperature bias linked to various factors such as a deficit of solar radiation by the AdriSC atmospheric model during the summer, or the fact that the river temperatures are imposed by taking the ERA-Interim skin temperatures the closest to the river estuaries (Pranić et al. 2021). Additionally, the northern Adriatic transect is located in shallow waters (below 40 m depth) where the optical properties of the water, not well parameterized in the Adriatic Sea, are playing a crucial role in modelling the turbidity and hence vertical mixing. The turbidity is responsible for most of the downward shortwave radiation absorption in the upper layer and hence highly influences all the temperatures along the transect. By contrast, for the Palagruža Sill transect, despite some minor scattering for few occurrences up to ±0.25 for the salinity and ±2 °C for the temperature, the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model is in good agreement with the observations and thus provide reliable results concerning the thermohaline circulation.

Figure 2. Evaluation of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km temperature (left panels) and salinity (right panels) results for the 1987-2017 period against observations from the northern Adriatic (top panels) and Palagruža Sill (bottom panels) transects with scatter plots showing the density (number of occurrences #) with hexagonal bins.

Second, as the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model is showing some skill in representing the thermohaline properties along the two long-term monitoring Adriatic transects, an EOF analysis is performed in order to find potential long-term variabilities. For this section, the five main EOF components (representing the highest percentages of the signal) of salinity and temperature monthly detrended anomalies are extracted and presented along both transects in Figure 3 for the first component (hereafter referred as EOF 1) while the remaining components (EOFs 2 to 5) are not shown in this study. Along the northern Adriatic transect,
for both temperature and salinity, none of the EOF components captures interannual to decadal variabilities. This predominant lack of long-term variability can be probably explained by the influence of the Po River plume, which affects the full transect, even the eastern stations (Vilibić et al. 2019). For example, dissolved salinity and organic carbon measured at the easternmost station have been strongly correlated to the long-term variability of the Po River discharge (Vilibić et al. 2020; Ciglenečki et al. 2020). The impact of the Po River plume is also seen on the spatial patterns of the salinity EOF 1 (66.2% of the signal) which highlight a difference in the strength of EOF signals between the upper layer up to 10 m depth and the rest of the profile (i.e. presence in the upper layer of a stronger signal for salinity along almost entire northern Adriatic transect). For the temperature, EOF 1 (79.5% of the signal) contains no decadal variability, reflecting the fact that the northern Adriatic transect is located along a shallow shelf (at less than 40 m depth), highly affected by and rapidly responding to local atmospheric processes and tides and the respective heat distribution within the water column.

**Figure 3.** First normalized spatial EOF components and associated time series of amplitude derived along the northern Adriatic (left panels) and the Palagruža Sill (right panels) transects during the 1987-2017 period from the AdriSC ROMS 1-km temperature (top panels) and salinity (bottom panels) monthly anomalies. The dotted white lines represent the locations of the sampling stations along the long-term monitoring transects.

By contrast, the Palagruža Sill transect located in the middle of the Adriatic Sea in deeper waters less influenced by river discharges, tides and atmospheric conditions, is more likely to capture long-term variabilities. Still, for the AdriSC ROMS 1-km temperature results, none of the EOF components displays
any decadal variability even though EOF 1 (representing 58.4% of the signal) presents some interannual variabilities, particularly strong in the northern shallower part of the transect. However, for the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity results, the time series of amplitude associated with the EOF 1 (representing 78.5% of the signal) clearly shows some well-defined interannual to decadal oscillations. Additionally, the EOF 1 spatial patterns highlight some differences: the weakest signal can be seen in the deepest part of the transect while the strongest signal is present in the northern shallower part. More importantly, the oscillations obtained with the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity results are in good agreement with the BiOS driven phases defined by Mihanović et al. (2015) with a Self-Organizing Maps (SOM) method applied to temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen observations along the Palagruža Sill transect during the 1952-2010 period. Indeed, the mostly negative amplitudes are obtained for the 1987-1990, 1999-2006 and 2012-2017 periods instead of the 1987-1990 and 1999-2005 periods found in Mihanović et al. (2015). And, the mostly positive amplitudes are occurring for the 1991-1998 and 2007-2011 periods instead of 1991-1996 and 2009-2010 periods described in Mihanović et al. (2015). The fact that certain phases are shifted by a year or two compared to Mihanović et al. (2015) can be largely attributed to their definition of intermittent phases for the 1997-1998 and 2006-2008 periods. This definition is directly linked to their interpretation of the results derived with the SOM method and does not apply to the EOF method presented in this study nor, more generally, to the BiOS indices (Gačić et al. 2010, 2014; Civitarese et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2021). Further, Mihanović et al. (2015) used an observational dataset stopping in 2010 and the phases obtained with the SOM method may have been slightly shifted if the records extended till 2018 (as seen in the sensitivity experiments provided Figure 5 of their study).

In brief, while the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model struggles to simulate the Po River dynamics which influences the entire long-term monitoring northern Adriatic transect, it performs well along the Palagruža Sill transect. Additionally, despite not seeing substantial long-term variability along the northern Adriatic transect due to the dominance of local processes such as Po River plume spreading, the EOF analysis of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity results along the Palagruža Sill transect does reproduce the phases previously extracted from the observations by Mihanović et al. (2015). Consequently, both the AdriSC ROMS 1-km results and the EOF methodology presented in this study are reliable enough to be applied over the entire Adriatic Sea.

3.2 Interannual to decadal variability of the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation

In this section, the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity, temperature and current speed monthly detrended anomalies are extracted over the entire Adriatic Sea at the sea-surface, the sea-bottom and at 100 m depth. First, the five main EOF components (representing the highest percentages of the signal) derived from these results are analyzed by correlating the Ionian BiOS signal (hereafter simply referred as BiOS signal) with the time series of EOF amplitude (Table 1 and Figure 4).

In this study, the temporal variability of the BiOS signal is defined as the second normalized spatial EOF component and associated time series of amplitude derived from the MEDSEA SSH fields in the Ionian Sea during the 1987-2017 period (top panels, Figure 4). The spatial extent as well as the interannual to decadal variabilities of the obtained signal are in good agreement with indices calculated from altimetry data (Gačić et al. 2010, 2014), cruise observations (Civitarese et al. 2010), and model results (Liu et al. 2021). More precisely, the anticyclonic phases (positive sign of the EOF amplitude) are clearly seen for the 1987-1997 and 2006-2009 periods, while the cyclonic phases (negative sign of the EOF amplitude) are present for the 1998-2005 and 2010-2017 periods. However, small discrepancies concerning the timing of the reversals exist between the different BiOS indices. For example, the obtained 2006-2009 anticyclonic
In fact, uncertainties concerning the precise timing of the reversals are known to be introduced by the presence of mesoscale eddies during the transition periods of the BiOS (Gačić et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2021) and different methods can give slightly shifted phases. Consequently, it can be safely concluded that the BiOS regimes are appropriately reproduced by the second normalized EOF of the MEDSEA SSH fields in the Ionian Sea.

Table 1. Correlation coefficients between the time series of normalized EOF amplitudes of the BiOS signal extracted from MEDSEA (EOF 2 of SSH) with 0-year to 3-year lags and the temperature, salinity, current speed signals at the surface (Surf.), the bottom (Bot.) and 100 m depth (100m) extracted from the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model (EOFs 1 to 5). Non-significant correlations following the null hypothesis are marked with the "/" symbol. The highest correlations are highlighted in bold.

| Lag  | EOF | Temperature |         | Salinity |         | Current Speed |         |
|------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|---------|
|      |     | Surf. | 100m | Bot. | Surf. | 100m | Bot. | Surf. | 100m | Bot. |
| 0-year | 1  | /    | -0.16 | /    | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.15 | -0.21 | -0.43 | 0.19 |
|      | 2  | 0.38 | 0.31 | /    | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 | /    | 0.19 | -0.19 |
|      | 3  | 0.24 | /    | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.47 | /    | /    | /    | 0.41 |
|      | 4  | /    | -0.38 | -0.16 | -0.12 | -0.20 | /    | /    | /    | -0.17 |
|      | 5  | 0.17 | -0.34 | /    | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.14 | -0.16 | -0.13 | -0.22 |
| 1-year | 1  | /    | -0.19 | /    | /    | 0.55 | 0.41 | /    | -0.13 | -0.33 | 0.41 |
|      | 2  | 0.30 | 0.58 | /    | 0.34 | /    | 0.40 | /    | 0.35 | /    |         |
|      | 3  | 0.19 | /    | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.19 | /    | 0.37 | /    |         |
|      | 4  | /    | -0.17 | /    | -0.26 | -0.15 | /    | -0.27 | /    | /    |         |
|      | 5  | 0.18 | -0.22 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.14 | /    | -0.13 | -0.22 | -0.18 |
| 2-year | 1  | /    | -0.21 | /    | /    | 0.66 | 0.53 | /    | -0.24 | 0.51 |
|      | 2  | 0.14 | 0.55 | /    | 0.28 | -0.13 | 0.48 | /    | 0.44 | 0.17 |
|      | 3  | /    | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.55 | /    | 0.12 | -0.13 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
|      | 4  | /    | /    | /    | -0.37 | /    | /    | -0.19 | /    | 0.14 |
|      | 5  | /    | /    | 0.43 | 0.41 | /    | 0.43 | 0.12 | -0.15 | /    |
| 3-year | 1  | /    | 0.13 | -0.21 | /    | 0.60 | 0.43 | /    | -0.16 | 0.48 |
|      | 2  | 0.13 | 0.38 | /    | 0.20 | -0.22 | 0.50 | /    | 0.36 | 0.21 |
|      | 3  | /    | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | /    | /    | 0.21 | /    | /    |
|      | 4  | 0.18 | /    | /    | -0.39 | 0.18 | /    | -0.13 | /    | 0.15 |
|      | 5  | /    | /    | 0.44 | 0.33 | /    | /    | /    | /    | -0.16 |

The correlation coefficients obtained between the BiOS signal and the time series of temperature, salinity and current speed EOF amplitudes at the surface, 100 m depth, and the bottom of the Adriatic Sea are presented in Table 1 for the BiOS signal with 0-year to 3-year lags. The re-scaled time series of the BiOS signal on top of the re-scaled EOF amplitudes with the highest correlation coefficients and representing the highest percentages of the signal are also plotted in Figure 4 (bottom panels). For the temperature, the highest correlations are obtained between the BiOS signal with 1-year lag and EOF 2 amplitudes at the sea-surface (0.30) and at 100 m depth (0.58) as well as EOF 3 amplitude at the bottom (0.57). For the salinity, the highest correlations are obtained between the BiOS signal with 2-year lag and EOF 3 amplitude at the sea-surface (0.55) as well as EOF 1 amplitudes at 100 m depth (0.66) and the sea-bottom (0.53). For the current speed, the highest correlations are also obtained between the BiOS signal with 2-year lag and EOF 2 amplitude at 100 m depth (0.44) as well as EOF 1 amplitude at the sea-bottom (0.51). As expected, surface current speeds that are mainly driven by the atmospheric processes only present anti-correlations with the
BiOS signal and EOF 4 that has the strongest anti-correlation with the BiOS signal including a 0-year, 1-year or 2-year lag is used hereafter. Further, except for the sea-surface, the temperature EOF amplitudes are still well-correlated with the BiOS signal, including 2-year lag with 0.55 for EOF 2 at 100 m depth and 0.50 for EOF 3 at the sea-bottom.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients between the time series of normalized EOF amplitudes of the BiOS signal extracted from MEDSEA (EOF 2 of SSH) with 0-year to 3-year lags and the temperature, salinity, current speed signals along the northern Adriatic and Palagruža Sill long-term monitoring transects extracted from the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model (EOFs 1 to 5). Non-significant correlations following the null hypothesis are marked with the “/” symbol. The highest correlations are highlighted in bold.

| Lag  | Northern Adriatic | Palagruža Sill |
|------|-------------------|----------------|
|      | Temperature       | Salinity       | Temperature | Salinity |
|      |                   |                |             |          |
| 0-year | EOF 1 |            0.12 | /           | -0.23    | 0.27 |
|        | EOF 2 |             / | -0.20      | /         | 0.20 |
|        | EOF 3 |          -0.15 | /           | 0.22     | -0.29 |
|        | EOF 4 |             / | /           | -0.14    | /    |
|        | EOF 5 |             / | /           | 0.42     | /    |
| 1-year | EOF 1 |              / | /           | -0.29    | 0.55 |
|        | EOF 2 |              / | -0.36      | /         | /    |
|        | EOF 3 |              / | 0.11       | 0.31     | -0.14 |
|        | EOF 4 |          0.26  | /           | -0.28    | /    |
|        | EOF 5 |             / | -0.25      | 0.41     | /    |
| 2-year | EOF 1 |          0.11  | -0.22      | -0.22    | 0.62 |
|        | EOF 2 |             / | -0.48      | -0.11    | -0.12 |
|        | EOF 3 |              / | 0.16       | 0.27     | /    |
|        | EOF 4 |          0.32  | /           | -0.35    | /    |
|        | EOF 5 |             / | -0.23      | 0.17     | 0.11 |
| 3-year | EOF 1 |              / | -0.26      | -0.14    | 0.52 |
|        | EOF 2 |              / | -0.38      | -0.16    | -0.27 |
|        | EOF 3 |              / | /           | 0.30     | 0.14 |
|        | EOF 4 |          0.24  | /           | -0.19    | /    |
|        | EOF 5 |             / | -0.12      | /        | /    |

Correlation analyses are also performed between the time series of temperature and salinity EOF amplitudes extracted along the long-term monitoring northern Adriatic and Palagruža Sill transects and the BiOS signal with 0-year to 3-year lags, and are presented in Table 2. As before, the only meaningful correlation is found between the BiOS signal with a 2-year lag and the salinity EOF 1 amplitude time series along the Palagruža Sill transect (i.e. correlation coefficient of 0.62). The fact that the Adriatic Sea haline response is delayed by approximately two years compared to the BiOS reversals sheds some light on the previously presented results. For example, the salinity EOF 1 amplitude sudden and short reversal from negative to positive seen in 2014-2015 along the Palagruža Sill (bottom right panel, Figure 3) can be associated to the observed premature inversion of the BiOS signal in late 2012 caused by substantial generation of dense waters (Gačić et al. 2014). Other sudden and short reversals from negative to positive or variability of the salinity EOF 1 amplitude along the Palagruža Sill transect could also be the 2-year delayed response to BiOS signal variability driven by extreme bora events, like the ones documented between 1998 and 2017 in Denamiel et al. (2021a). However, it should be noted that these reversals are not present in the obtained BiOS signal (top right panel, Figure 4).
Figure 4. Second normalized spatial EOF component (top left panel) and associated time series of amplitude (top right panel) – characteristic of the BiOS signal – derived in the Ionian Sea during the 1987-2017 period from the MEDSEA reanalysis sea-surface height results. Comparison of the re-scaled BiOS signal with the time series of re-scaled normalized EOF amplitudes – with the highest correlations to the BiOS signal including a 1-year or 2-year lag – derived during the 1987-2017 period from the AdriSC ROMS 1-km temperature, salinity and current speed monthly anomalies at the surface, the bottom and 100 m of depth (3 bottom panels).
Yet the 2000-2001 and 2013-2014 periods are marked with a pronounced increase of the SSH EOF amplitude, from approximately -6.0 to -1.5 and -4.0 to -0.25. This is probably linked to the lack of accuracy of the atmospheric forcing used to produce the MEDSEA fields as the change of vorticity in the northern Ionian Sea leading to the change of sign of the SSH EOF highly depends on the intensity of the bora-induced cooling.

At this point it must also be mentioned that correlations should be interpreted with some caution. As seen in Section 3.1, the temperature EOFs did not show any decadal variability along the long-term monitoring transects and thus may not be such a strong indicator of the Adriatic Sea response to the BiOS signal, contrarily to the salinity EOFs. That can be assessed also from much lower percentages of explained variance in temperature vs. salinity for different EOFs correlated with the BiOS signal: EOF 2 in temperature (18.3%) vs. EOF 1 in salinity (60.8%) at 100 m and EOF 3 in temperature (6.5%) vs. EOF 1 in salinity (37.1%) at the bottom. Indeed, the wintertime cooling, in particular during severe bora events (Janečković et al. 2014; Denamiel et al. 2021a), may substantially uptake the heat from the deep Adriatic (i.e. up to 900 m) to the atmosphere (e.g. Gačić et al. 2002; Cardin et al. 2020). Consequently, temperature might not be as a conservative tracer in the deep Adriatic as salinity. Coming from correlations with salinity EOFs, the response time of the Adriatic thermohaline circulation to the BiOS phases is thus likely to be of approximately 2 years.

As one of the major drawbacks of any EOF analysis is the potential lack of physical meaning of the obtained components, the spatial patterns of the temperature, salinity and current speed EOF components with the highest correlation to the BiOS signal (including a 2-year lag) are now analyzed with the aim to connect them to the known dynamical properties of the Adriatic Sea (Figures 5 to 7).

At the sea-surface where the influence of the atmospheric forcing and the river fresh water discharges are the highest (Figure 5) and masking the BiOS signal, the time series of the EOF amplitudes tend to display important interannual variabilities for temperature, salinity and current speed. Additionally, the associated spatial patterns highlight some major differences. First, the spatial patterns of the temperature EOF 2 (representing only 5.5% of the signal) is strongly negative in the south and strongly positive in the north and associated with time series where the delayed BiOS reversals cannot be easily distinguished. Second, the spatial patterns of the salinity EOF 3 (representing only 9.5% of the signal) are homogeneously negative over the entire Adriatic Sea, except along the coast where the influences of the river discharges are the strongest (the Po River plume, other northern Adriatic rivers, Neretva river, Albanian rivers). Such a multipolar structure might resemble river plumes being more confined towards river mouths and coastlines during high salinity conditions in the Adriatic and vice versa. Third, the spatial patterns of the current speed EOF 4 (representing only 3.6% of the signal) are extremely complex and the associated time series disconnected to the BiOS signal. Still, it seems that the BiOS sporadically influences the size of the South Adriatic Gyre, which can be confined either closer to the coastlines or to the center of the pit as the result of different BiOS regimes, while also affecting the transport rates in the Strait of Otranto.

At 100 m depth (Figure 6) where, contrarily to the sea-surface, the thermohaline circulation is dominant, (1) the obtained EOFs all represent a large or major part of the signal (18.3% for the temperature, 60.8% for the salinity and 9.0% for the current speed), (2) the associated time series all display the 1 year (for temperature) or 2 year (for salinity and current speed) delayed response in reaching maximum correlations to the BiOS signal and (3) the spatial patterns with a mostly negative signal are all nearly homogeneous over the entire Adriatic Sea, in particular for the salinity. Additionally, for all the variables, the weakest signal is found in the middle of the South Adriatic Pit which seems less influenced by the BiOS signal than the rest of the domain.
Indeed, the center of the South Adriatic Pit is the center of the cyclonic gyre, where upwelling and deep convection take place (i.e. vertical processes are bringing more water masses from the deep and surface Adriatic than at the perimeter of the gyre) while being somehow separated from the advected waters. In contrast, the perimeter of the South Adriatic Pit is characterized by a persistent and strong current, bringing waters from the Ionian Sea and keeping about 90% of them in the loop (Gačić et al. 2014). This can be seen in the spatial patterns of the current speed EOF 2 where the South Adriatic Gyre is highly sensitive to the BiOS signal despite the associated time series of amplitudes displaying a BiOS related signal strongly embedded in the interannual variabilities. Further, the spatial EOF of the temperature at 100 m clearly shows a distinct area with positive signal in the western part of the Jabuka Pit and southern Palagruža Sill.
which are known collectors of the dense waters formed during extreme bora events. It should also be noted that the brief inversions of the BiOS signal, already mentioned for the salinity EOF analysis along the Palagruža Sill transect, can clearly be seen in the time series of both temperature and salinity EOF amplitudes. At 100 m depth, it even seems that the temperature inversions are stronger than the ones of the salinity.

Finally, at the sea-bottom (Figure 7), the obtained EOFs most correlated to the BiOS signal are spatially homogeneous (negative over the entire Adriatic Sea) and represent a large part of the signal (more than 30%) for the salinity and the current speed. Precisely, salinity EOF 1 spatial amplitude (accounting for 37.1% of the signal) is the largest in the northern Adriatic and along the Western Adriatic Coastal Current.
Such a strong effect of the delayed BiOS signal to the northern Adriatic bottom salinity indicates that wintertime cooling and dense water production are preconditioned by the salinity content driven by the BiOS. Interestingly, this even affects the shallow northern Adriatic somehow contrasting with the findings along the northern Adriatic transect indicating no BiOS driven salinity variability (Figures 3). Yet, the transect analyses included the surface layer strongly shaped by local processes and masking the effects of the basin wide processes like the BiOS. Regarding the bottom temperature (Figure 7), EOF 3 is correlated to the delayed BiOS phases. But it represents only 6.5% of the temperature signal and, consequently, the local cooling due to extreme bora events probably affects the bottom temperature changes much more than the advection of waters driven by BiOS. Still, the EOF 3 spatial patterns present an interesting distribution.

Figure 7. Same as Figure 5 but for AdriISC ROMS 1-km temperature (top panels), salinity (middle panels) and current speed (bottom panels) at the sea-bottom.
They are strongly negative along the south- and middle-eastern Adriatic coast till the Kvarner Bay and along the south-western Adriatic. But they are positive in areas where the northern Adriatic dense waters are known to be either (1) formed during extreme bora events like the northern Adriatic shelf (Bergamasco et al. 1999) or the Kvarner Bay (Janeković et al. 2014; Vilibić et al. 2018), (2) travelling towards the northern Ionian Sea — i.e. along the Italian coast (Artegiani et al. 1987; Vilibić and Mihanović 2013) or (3) collected within the Adriatic Sea — i.e. the Jabuka Pit (Marini et al. 2006) and the South Adriatic Pit (Querin et al. 2016). Therefore, this EOF is describing the connection between the BiO S signal and the Adriatic dense water formation and spreading, which is not as intuitive for temperature as for salinity. Precisely, the cyclonic BiOS signal is resulting in an advection of warmer waters, reaching maximum correlations after 2 years, while simultaneously connected with colder-than-usual winters that produced dense waters with lower temperatures. In fact, such a coincidence between these two unrelated processes is not frequent in the Adriatic — for that reason accounts just for a small percentage of EOF solutions — but still recognized as the third most frequent EOF driving the bottom temperature. For the current speed, the EOF 1 spatial patterns (consisting in 30.5% of the signal) are similar to the one described at 100 m depth which highlights that the South Adriatic Gyre is mostly affected by the 2-year delayed BiOS signal below 100 m depth.

In summary, except at the sea-surface where the atmospheric- and river-driven processes are dominant, the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation is well correlated with the 2-year delayed BiOS phases derived from the sea-surface height variability in the Ionian Sea. Overall, salinity is found to be the best indicator of the response of the Adriatic Sea to the BiO S phases. Indeed, salinity EOFs always (1) have correlations above 0.5 with the 2-year delayed BiOS signal, (2) represent a large part of the signal (from 9.5% in surface to 60.8% at 100 m depth) and (3) are mostly homogeneous spatially. Temperature variability, however, is too much influenced by the atmospheric-driven extreme bora events in the northern Adriatic to be a reliable tracer of the impact of the BiO S in the Adriatic Sea.

4 Discussions and conclusions

The principal novelty of the presented study consists in using, for the very first time, a kilometer-scale climate model to investigate, via an EOF and correlation approach, the impact of the BiO S on the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation at different depths over the entire basin. The main findings are twofold. On the one hand, the AdriSC ROMS 1-km model is proven to be, to this date, the only numerical model capable to reproduce the BiO S-driven phases observed along the Palagruža Sill long-term monitoring transect on a climate scale. On the other hand, over the entire Adriatic basin, the BiO S signal is demonstrated to be only weakly correlated to the sea-surface circulation and the two main temperature EOF time series (except at 100 m depth with a 1- or 2-year lag), but better correlated with a 2-year lag to the salinity and current speed two main EOF time series at 100 m depth and the sea-bottom. However, due to the uncertainties associated with the numerical results and the methods used in this work, the validity of this approach is further discussed hereafter.

First, EOF methods have been widely used within the climate community in order to identify the modes of variability and the predictability of the Earth system (Navarra and Simoncini 2010). Some known examples are the extraction of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) interdecadal variabilities by Zhang et al. (1997) and Newman et al. (2003) or the study of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation variability by Hawkins and Sutton (2007). However, EOF analyses suffer from major drawbacks such as the orthogonality of the EOF patterns and the uncorrelatedness of the associated time series (e.g. Jolliffe 2002; Hannachi 2007; Monahan et al. 2009). Consequently, the interpretation of EOF results in physical terms is not necessarily straightforward, except when an outstanding mode is present (e.g. ENSO in global sea-surface...
temperature or North Atlantic Oscillation in mean sea-level pressure). In the presented results of the
Adriatic thermohaline circulation response to the BiOS regimes, such a predominant mode exists
particularly for the salinity and current speed results at 100 m depth and the sea-bottom. Consequently, the
correlation between the EOF time series obtained in the Adriatic Sea and the BiOS signal extracted in the
northern Ionian Sea provides relevant information concerning the BiOS mechanisms, with relatively high
values obtained for the correlation coefficients (i.e. maximum value of 0.66).

Second, during the studied 1987-2017 period, the BiOS reversals are found to impact the Adriatic
thermohaline circulation with approximately 2-year delay. Mihanović et al. (2015) observed similar
response time during 1993-2014 period, based on the long-term monitoring Palagruža Sill transect
measurements and satellite altimetry data. Additionally, the 2-year delay corresponds the decay time of 26
months of the Adriatic Deep Water estimated by Vilibić and Orlić (2002) with a simple box model. Thus,
it may be the natural response time of the southern Adriatic basin to a change in water masses, which was
proving to be driven by the BiOS in this study. However, Mihanović et al. (2015) also highlighted that
during exceptional conditions such as the Eastern Mediterranean Transient (EMT; Roether et al. 2007) in
the 1990s, the delay may be reduced to 1 year, while prolonged to more than 2 years during slow BiOS
reversals.

Finally, spatial results of the EOFs obtained for the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity, temperature and current
speed, clearly display three different types of circulation. At the surface, the circulation is nearly totally
disconnected from the BiOS signal. This can be explained by the known influence of the temporal changes
of both the atmospheric forcing (Béranger et al. 2010; Janeković et al. 2014) and the river freshwater
discharges (Orlić et al. 1992; Lazar et al. 2007) on the Adriatic surface thermohaline circulation. In
intermediate and deep layers – here represented by EOFs at 100 m and the bottom layer – the haline
circulation displaying strong interannual and decadal oscillations associated with nearly homogenous
spatial patterns is known to be driven mostly by the BiOS and the EMT (Roether et al. 2007; Gačić et
al. 2010, 2014). Further, the bottom temperature EOF 3 spatial patterns – interpreted as dense water
formation, spreading and storage locations – were connected with a delayed BiOS signal. In the Adriatic
basin, dense waters were observed at the exact locations (Vilibić et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2006) and are
known to drive the deep Adriatic thermohaline circulation (Orlić et al. 2006; Vilibić et al. 2013). However,
this signal is an order of magnitude less pronounced than the BiOS-induced intermediate and deep salinity
signal, nominating the salinity as the major tracer of the incoming Adriatic water masses.

To conclude, the analysis of the AdriSC ROMS 1-km salinity, temperature and current speed EOF modes
has confirmed and generalized the known influence of the BiOS on the Adriatic Sea thermohaline
circulation derived from in-situ observations. Consequently, further analysis of the model results can now
be performed in order to study the BiOS-driven physical processes within the Adriatic Sea as well as the
effect of the Adriatic dense water on the NIG reversals. Additionally, the impact of extreme warming (i.e.
Representative Concentration Pathway or RCP 8.5 scenario) on the Adriatic-Ionian BiOS regimes can also
be derived from the recently completed AdriSC simulation for the 2070-2100 period following the Pseudo-
Global Warming (PGW) methodology presented in Denamiel et al. (2020a). Kilometer-scale climate
modelling in the Adriatic basin has thus open the door to a better understanding of the complex processes
driving the atmospheric and oceanographic baroclinic circulation but also to a better assessment and,
eventually, mitigation of the coastal hazards under past, present and future climate warming conditions.
5 References

Artegiani A, Salusti E (1987) Field observation of the flow of dense water on the bottom of the Adriatic Sea during the winter of 1981. Oceanol Acta 10:387–391

Artegiani A, Bregant D, Paschini E, Pinardi N, Raicich F, Russo A (1997) The Adriatic Sea general circulation. Part I: Air-sea interactions and water mass structure. J Phys Oceanogr 27:1492–1514

Batistić M, Garić R, Molinero JC (2014) Interannual variations in Adriatic Sea zooplankton mirror shifts in circulation regimes in the Ionian Sea. Clim Res 61:231-240. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01248

Béranger K, Drillet Y, Houssais M-N, Testor P, Bourdallé-Badie R, Alhammoud B, Bozec A, Mortier L, Bouruet-Aubertot P, Crépon M (2010) Impact of the spatial distribution of the atmospheric forcing on water mass formation in the Mediterranean Sea. J Geophys Res 115:C12041. doi:10.1029/2009JC005648

Bergamasco A, Oguz T, Malanotte-Rizzoli P (1999) Modeling dense water mass formation and winter circulation in the northern and central Adriatic Sea. J Mar Syst 20:279–300

Bessières L, Rio MH, Dufau C, Boone C, Pujol MI (2013) Ocean state indicators from MyOcean altimeter products. Ocean Science 9(3)

Borzelli GL, Gačić M, Cardin V, Civitarese G (2009) Eastern Mediterranean transient and reversal of the Ionian Sea circulation. Geophys Res Lett 36(15)

Buljan M (1953) Fluctuations of salinity in the Adriatic, Izvještaj Republičke ribarstveno-biološke ekspedicije “Hvar” 1948–1949. Acta Adriatica 2:1–64

Buljan, M, Zore-Armanda M (1976) Oceanographic properties of the Adriatic Sea. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 14:11–98

Burrage DM, Book JW, Martin PJ (2009) Eddies and filaments of the Western Adriatic Current near Cape Gargano: Analysis and prediction. J Mar Syst 78:S205–S226. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.01.024

Cardin V, Wirth A, Khosravi M, Gačić M (2020) South Adriatic Recipes: Estimating the Vertical Mixing in the Deep Pit. Frontiers in Marine Science 7:1015. doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.565982

Ciglenečki I, Vilibić I, Dautović J, Vojvodić V, Ćosović B, Zemunik P, Đunić N, Mihanović H (2020) Dissolved organic carbon and surface active substances in the northern Adriatic Sea: long-term trends, variability and drivers. Sci Total Environ 730:139104. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.13910

Civitarese G, Gačvić M, Lipizer M, Borzelli G (2010) On the impact of the Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS) on the biogeochemistry and biology of the Adriatic and Ionian Seas (Eastern Mediterranean). Biogeosci Discussions 7(5)

Denamiel C, Šepić J, Vilibić I (2018) Impact of geomorphological changes to harbor resonance during meteotsunamis: The Vela Luka Bay test case. Pure Appl Geophys 175(11):3839–3859. doi:10.1007/s00024-018-1862-5

Denamiel C, Šepić J, Ivanković D, Vilibić I (2019a) The Adriatic Sea and Coast modelling suite: Evaluation of the meteotsunami forecast component. Ocean Modell 135:71–93. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.02.003
Denamiel C, Šepić J, Huan X, Bolzer C, Vilibić I (2019b) Stochastic surrogate model for meteotsunami early warning system in the eastern Adriatic Sea. J Geophys Res Oceans 124:8485–8499. doi:10.1029/2019JC015574

Denamiel C, Pranić P, Quentin F, Mihanović H, Vilibić I (2020a) Pseudo-global warming projections of extreme wave storms in complex coastal regions: The case of the Adriatic Sea. Clim Dyn 55:2483–2509. doi:10.1007/s00382-020-05397-x

Denamiel C, Tojčić I, Vilibić I (2020b) Far future climate (2060–2100) of the northern Adriatic air–sea heat transfers associated with extreme bora events. Clim Dyn 55:3043–3066. doi:10.1007/s00382-020-05435-8

Denamiel C, Tojčić I, Vilibić I (2021a) Balancing accuracy and efficiency of atmospheric models in the northern Adriatic during severe bora events. J Geophys Res Atmos 126:e2020JD033516. doi:10.1029/2020JD033516

Denamiel C, Pranić P, Ivanković D, Tojčić I, Vilibić I (2021b) Performance of the Adriatic Sea and Coast (AdriSC) climate component – a COAWST V3.3-based coupled atmosphere–ocean modelling suite: atmospheric dataset. Geosci Model Dev 14:3995–4017. doi:10.5194/gmd-14-3995-2021

Dietrich JC, Tanaka S, Westerink JJ, Dawson CN, Luettich Jr RA, Zijlema M, Holthuijsen LH, Smith JM, Westerink JG, Westerink HJ (2012) Performance of the Unstructured-Mesh, SWAN+ADCIRC Model in computing hurricane waves and surge. J Sci Comput 52:468–497. doi:10.1007/s10915-011-9555-6

Dunić N, Vilibić I, Šepić J, Somot S, Sevaut F (2018) Dense water formation and BiOS-induced variability in the Adriatic Sea simulated using an ocean regional circulation model. Clim Dyn 51(3):1211–1236

Ebisuzaki W (1997) A method to estimate the statistical significance of a correlation when the data are serially correlated. J of Clim 10(9):2147–2153. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<2147:amets>2.0.co;2

Gačić M, Civitarese G, Miserocchi S, Cardin V, Crise A, Mauri E (2002) The open-ocean convection in the Southern Adriatic: A controlling mechanism of the spring phytoplankton bloom. Cont Shelf Res 22:1897–1908. doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00050-X

Gačić M., Borzelli GLE, Civitarese G, Cardin V, Yari S (2010) Can internal processes sustain reversals of the ocean upper circulation? The Ionian Sea example. Geophys Res Lett 37:L09608. doi:10.1029/2010GL043216

Gačić M, Civitarese G, Eusebi Borzelli GL, Kovačević V, Poulain PM, Theocharis A, Menna M, Catucci A, Zarakanellos N (2011) On the relationship between the decadal oscillations of the northern Ionian Sea and the salinity distributions in the eastern Mediterranean. J Geophys Res 116(C12)

Gačić M, Civitarese G, Kovačević V, Ursella L, Bensi M, Menna M, Cardin V, Poulain PM, Cosoli S, Notarstefano G, Pizzi C (2014) Extreme winter 2012 in the Adriatic: an example of climatic effect on the BiOS rhythm. Ocean Sci 10(3):513–522

Hannachi A, Jolliffe IT, Stephenson DB (2007) Empirical orthogonal functions and related techniques in atmospheric science: a review. Int J Climatol 27:11191152
Hawkins E, Sutton R (2007) Variability of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation described by three-dimensional empirical orthogonal functions. Clim Dyn 29:745–762. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0263-8

Ivanković D, Denamiel C, Jelavić D (2019) Web visualization of data from numerical models and real-time stations network in frame of Adriatic Sea and Coast (AdriSC) Meteotsunami Forecast. OCEANS 2019 – Marseille, France, 17-20 June 2019, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/OCEANSE.2019.8867225

Janeković I, Mihanović H, Vilibić I, Tudor M (2014) Extreme cooling and dense water formation estimates in open and coastal regions of the Adriatic Sea during the winter of 2012. J Geophys Res Oceans 119:3200–3218. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC009865

Jolliffe IT (2002) Principal Component Analysis. 2nd ed. Springer, New York, NY.

Kourafalou VH (1999) Process studies on the Po River plume, North Adriatic Sea. J Geophys Res 104( C12):29965–29985. doi:10.1029/1999JC900217

Krokos G, Velaoras D, Korres G, Perivoliotis L, Theocaris A (2014) On the continuous functioning of an internal mechanism that drives the Eastern Mediterranean thermohaline circulation: the recent activation of the Aegean Sea as a dense water source area. J Mar Syst 129:484–489.

Larnicol G, Ayoub N, Le Traon P-Y (2002) Major changes in Mediterranean Sea level variability from 7 years of TOPES/ Poseidon and ERS-1/2 data. J Mar Syst 33–34:63-89

Lazar M, Pavić M, Pasarić Z, Orlić M (2007) Analytical modelling of wintertime coastal jets in the Adriatic Sea. Cont Shelf Res 27:275–285. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2006.10.007

Liu F, Mikolajewicz U, Six KD (2021) Drivers of the decadal variability of the North Ionian Gyre upper layer circulation during 1910–2010: a regional modelling study. Clim Dyn. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05714-y

Manzo C, Braga F, Zaggia L, Brando VE, Giardino C, Bresciani M, Bassani C (2018) Spatio-temporal analysis of prodelta dynamics by means of new satellite generation: the case of Po river by Landsat-8 data. Int J Applied Earth Obs and Geoinformation 66:210-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2017.11.012

Malanotte-Rizzoli P, et al. (1997) A synthesis of the Ionian Sea hydrography, circulation and water mass pathways during POEM Phase I. Prog Oceanogr 39:153–204

Marini M, Russo A, Paschini E, Grilli F, Campanelli A (2006) Short-term physical and chemical variations in the bottom water of middle Adriatic depressions. Climate Research 31(2/3):227–237. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24869280

Martin PJ, Book JW, Burrage DM, Rowley CD, Tudor M (2009) Comparison of model-simulated and observed currents in the central Adriatic during DART. J Geophys Res 114:C01S05. doi:10.1029/2008JC004842

Mihanović H, Vilibić I, Dunić N, Šepić J (2015) Mapping of decadal middle Adriatic oceanographic variability and its relation to the BiOS regime. J Geophys Res 120(8):5615–5630

Monahan AH, Fyfe JC, Ambaum MHP, Stephenson DB, North G R (2009) Empirical orthogonal functions: the medium is the message. J Clim 22:65016514
Navarra A, Simoncini V (2010) The Canonical Correlation Analysis. In: A Guide to Empirical Orthogonal Functions for Climate Data Analysis. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3702-2_7

Newman M, Compo GP, Alexander MA (2003) ENSO-Forced Variability of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. J Clim 16(23):3853-3857

Orlić M, Gačić M, La Violette PE (1992) The currents and circulation of the Adriatic Sea. Oceanol Acta 15:109–124

Orlić M, et al. (2006) Wintertime buoyancy forcing, changing seawater properties, and two different circulation systems produced in the Adriatic. J Geophys Res 111:C03S07. doi:10.1029/2005JC003271

Peharda M, Vilibić I, Black BA, Markulin K, Đurić N, Džoić T, Mihanović H, Gačić M, Puljas S, Waldman R (2018) Using bivalve chronologies for quantifying environmental drivers in a semi-enclosed temperate sea. Sci Rep 8(1):1–9

Pranić P, Denamiel C, Vilibić I (2021) Performance of the Adriatic Sea and Coast (AdriSC) climate component – a COAWST V3.3-based one-way coupled atmosphere–ocean modelling suite: ocean results. Geosci Model Dev 14:5927–5955. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-5927-2021

Pujol M-I, Larnicol G (2005) Mediterranean sea eddy kinetic energy variability from 11 years of altimetric data. J Mar Syst 58(3–4):121–142

Querin S, Bensi M, Cardin V, Solidoro C, Bacer S, Mariotti L, Stel F, Malačič V (2016) Saw-tooth modulation of the deep-water thermohaline properties in the southern Adriatic Sea. J Geophys Res Oceans 121:4585–4600. doi:10.1002/2015JC011522

Reale M, Salon S, Crise A, Farneti R, Mosetti R, Sannino G (2017) Unexpected covariant behavior of the Aegean and Ionian Seas in the period 1987–2008 by means of a nondimensional sea surface height index. J Geophys Res 122(10):8020–8033

Roether W, Klein B, Manca BB, Theocharis A, Kioroglou S (2007) Transient Eastern Mediterranean deep waters in response to the massive dense-water output of the Aegean Sea in the 1990's. Prog Oceanogr 74:540–571. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.03.001

Rubino A, Gačić M, Bensi M, Kovačević V, Malačič V, Menna M, Negretti ME, Sommeria J, Zanchettin D, Barreto RV, Ursella, L et al. (2020) Experimental evidence of long-term oceanic circulation reversals without wind influence in the North Ionian Sea. Sci Rep 10(1):1–9

Simoncelli S, Fratianni C, Pinardi N, Grandi A, Drudi M, Oddo P, Dobricic S (2019) Mediterranean Sea Physical Reanalysis (CMEMS MED-Physics)_(Version 1) [Data set]. Copernicus Monitoring Environment Marine Service (CMEMS). https://doi.org/10.25423/MEDSEA_REANALYSIS_PHYS_006_004

Skamarock WC et al. (2005) A description of the advanced research WRF version 2. In: NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-468+STR. doi:10.5065/D6DZ069T

Shchepetkin AF, McWilliams JC (2005) The regional oceanic modeling system: A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following- coordinate ocean model. Ocean Modell 9(4):347–404. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.08.002

Theocharis A, Krokos G, Velaoras D, Korres G (2014) An internal mechanism driving the alternation of the Eastern Mediterranean dense/deep water sources. Mediterranean Sea 10:113–137
Tojičić I, Denamiel C, Vilibić I (2021) Performance of the Adriatic early warning system during the multi-meteotsunami event of 11–19 May 2020: an assessment using energy banners. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 21:2427–2446. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-2427-2021

Velaoras D, Krokos G, Nittis K, Theocharis A (2014) Dense intermediate water outflow from the Cretan Sea: a salinity driven, recurrent phenomenon, connected to thermohaline circulation changes. J Geophys Res 119(8):4797–4820

Vilibić I, Orlić M (2002) Adriatic water masses, their rates of formation and transport through the Otranto Strait. Deep Sea Res Part I 49:1321–1340. doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(02)00028-6

Vilibić I, Grbec B, Supić N (2004) Dense water generation in the north Adriatic in 1999 and its recirculation along the Jabuka Pit. Deep Sea Res Part I 51:1457–1474. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.07.012

Vilibić I, Šepić J, Proust N (2013) Weakening of thermohaline circulation in the Adriatic Sea. Clim Res 55:217–225. doi:10.3354/cr01128

Vilibić I, Mihanović H (2013) Observing the bottom density current over a shelf using an Argo profiling float, Geophys. Res Lett 40:910–915. doi:10.1002/grl.50215

Vilibić I, Mihanović H, Janeković I, Denamiel C, Poulain P-M, Orlić M, Dunić N, Dadić V, Pasarić M, Muslim S, Gerin R, Matić F, Šepić J, Mauri E, Kokkini Z, Tudor M, Kovač Ž, Džoić T (2018) Dense water formation in the coastal northeastern Adriatic Sea: the NAdEx 2015 experiment. Ocean Sci 14:237–258. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-237-2018

Vilibić I, Zemunik P, Šepić J, Dunić N, Marzouk O, Mihanović H, Denamiel C, Precali R, Djakovac T (2019) Present-climate trends and variability in thermohaline properties of the northern Adriatic shelf. Ocean Sci 15:1351–1362

Vilibić I, Zemunik P, Dunić N, Mihanović H (2020) Local and remote drivers of the observed thermohaline variability on the northern Adriatic shelf (Mediterranean Sea). Cont Shelf Res 199:104110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2020.104110

Wang XH, Oddo P, Pinardi N (2006) On the bottom density plume on coastal zone off Gargano (Italy) in the southern Adriatic Sea and its interannual variability. J Geophys Res 111:C03S17. doi:10.1029/2005JC003110

Warner JC, Armstrong B, He R, Zambon JB (2010) Development of a coupled ocean atmosphere-wave-sediment transport (COAWST) modeling system. Ocean Mod 35:230–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2010.07.010

Zhang Y, Wallace JM, Battisti DS (1997) ENSO-like interdecadal variability. J Clim 10:1004–1020

Zore M (1963) Les masses d'eau de la mer Adriatique. Acta Adriatica 10:5–88