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Abstract
Objectives: The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships of workers being diagnosed with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and being identified as close contacts of infected persons with unemployment in Japan.

Methods: This was a prospective cohort study using questionnaires about COVID-19 administered to Japanese workers. A baseline survey conducted on December 22–25, 2020, was used to determine history of being diagnosed with COVID-19 or being identified as a close contact of an infected person. Unemployment since the baseline survey was ascertained with a follow-up survey on February 18 and 19, 2021. The odds ratios (ORs) of unemployment were estimated using a multilevel logistic model with adjusted covariates nested in prefecture of residence.

Results: Women (n = 8771) accounted for 44% of the total sample (n = 19 941), and the mean age was 48.0 years. In terms of unemployment because of negative reasons, the multivariate analysis showed that the OR of unemployment associated with being diagnosed with COVID-19 was 2.40 (95% CI: 1.15–5.01) and that the OR associated with being identified as a close contact was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.31–3.11).
1 | INTRODUCTION

The spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a global issue. The COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound impact not only on people’s daily lives but also on the economy. The introduction of strong restrictive measures such as lockdowns in response to the pandemic has influenced the global economy, including by leading to an increase in unemployment rates worldwide.1 In Japan, the total unemployment rate gradually increased as COVID-19 spread, reaching 2.9% for men and women together in February 2021.2

Patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and individuals identified as close contacts of infected persons are isolated from those who are not sick. When these isolated people are workers, they are not able to go to work for a certain period of time. In Japan, workers with COVID-19 cannot go to work until at least 10 days have passed since symptom onset, at least 72 h have passed since resolution of fever, and there has been an improvement in other symptoms.3 However, it is unclear how the subsequent continued employment of workers who are diagnosed with COVID-19 or identified as close contacts of infected persons is.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between workers being diagnosed with COVID-19 or identified as close contacts of infected persons and unemployment in Japan.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective cohort study about COVID-19 among Japanese workers was conducted under the CORoNaWork (Collaborative Online Research on the Novel-coronavirus and Work) Project. The details of the study protocol have been described elsewhere.4 Briefly, we administered a baseline questionnaire on December 22–25, 2020, and a follow-up questionnaire on February 18 and 19, 2021, when Japan was in its third wave of the pandemic.

For the baseline survey, on December 22–25, 2020, a total of 33 087 workers were recruited throughout Japan from 605 381 randomly selected panelists who were registered with an Internet survey company. The inclusion criteria for participants were currently working and being aged 20–65 years. This study did not invite healthcare professionals or caregivers to participate. We used cluster sampling with stratification by sex, job type, and region. We excluded 6051 invalid responses because of response time <6 min, body weight <30 kg or height <140 cm, inconsistent answers to similar questions, and incorrect answers to questions intended to identify fraudulent responses. We distributed the follow-up questionnaire to the 27 036 people with valid responses to the baseline questionnaire on February 18 and 19, 2021. In total, 19 941 participants completed both questionnaires (follow-up rate = 73.8%).

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079 and R3-006). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.1 | Explanatory variables

The baseline survey asked two questions about the participants’ COVID-19 diagnostic history. First, the participants were asked, “Have you had COVID-19?” with the response options of yes or no. If the answer to this question was yes, the respondent was considered a worker being diagnosed with COVID-19. If the answer to the question was no, to determine whether they had been identified as a close contact of an infected person, the respondent was asked, “Have you been identified as a close contact of a person diagnosed with COVID-19?” with the response options of yes or no. Workers answering yes to this question were identified as close contacts. Workers reporting that they had been diagnosed with COVID-19 or identified as close contacts should have been classified in this way according to public health center instructions, so we believe that these questions allowed us to identify infected and exposed people with a high degree of accuracy.
2.2 Outcomes

Unemployment was ascertained in the following way. First, the baseline survey included only people who were employed at the time of response. In the follow-up survey, in response to the question “Have you changed your place of work since December 2020?” respondents were asked to select one of the following six options: no change, I was transferred to another company, I resigned and got a new job right away, I stopped working and was unemployed for a period of time but am now working, I stopped working and started a business (eg, managing a company, running a sole proprietorship, or engaging in self-employment), and I stopped working and am currently not working (including job seeking). We wanted to identify unemployment because of negative reasons as the primary outcome to achieve the research objectives. We intended negative reasons to mean that people were unemployed because they did not want to be but were forced into an environment where they had to be. For this reason, we excluded unemployment for reasons such as starting a business or finding a new job immediately after leaving the company. We defined unemployment using the responses of “I stopped working and was unemployed for a period of time but am now working” and “I stopped working and am currently not working (including job seeking).” As a secondary outcome, we also considered unemployment regardless of the reason, comparing respondents who chose “no change” or “I was transferred to another company” with those who chose any other option.

2.3 Control variables

We retrieved the following data from the baseline survey for inclusion as control variables: age, sex, equivalent annual income, educational attainment, marital status, occupation, industry, self-rated health, and region.

Age was treated as a continuous variable. Education was classified into five as follows: junior high school, high school, junior college or technical school, university, and graduate school. Equivalent annual income was classified into four as follows: less than 2.50 million Japanese yen (JPY), 2.50–3.75 million JPY, 3.75–5.25 million JPY, and greater than 5.25 million JPY. Marital status was classified into three as follow: married, divorced or widowed, and never married. Occupation was classified into 10 categories: general employee; manager; executive manager; public employee, faculty member, or non-profit organization employee; temporary or contract employee; self-employed; small office home office (SOHO); agriculture, forestry, or fishing; professional occupation (lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related, etc); and others. Industry was classified into nine categories: manufacturing, public service, information and technology, retail and wholesale, eating/drinking, medical and welfare, finance, construction, and others. The following question was asked to assess self-rated health: “Please choose the option that best describes your overall health condition, including both physical and mental health” with the following five response options: extremely good, very good, good, fair, and bad.

In addition, prefecture of residence was included as a community-level variable.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The odds ratios (ORs) of unemployment (primary and secondary outcome) for infected workers and persons identified as close contacts of infected persons were estimated using a multilevel logistic model nested in prefectures of residence. The multivariate model was adjusted for age and sex, educational attainment, equivalent annual income, marital status, occupation, industry, and self-rated health. The incidence rate of COVID-19 by prefecture was included as a prefecture-level variable. p-values less than .05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted using Stata (Stata Statistical Software release SE16.1; StataCorp LLC).

3 RESULTS

The basic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Table 1. There were 8771 women in the sample, accounting for 44% of the total (n = 19,941). The mean age was 48.0 years, with 454 (2.3%) reporting experiencing unemployment because of negative reasons and 725 (3.6%) reporting experiencing unemployment for any reason.

Table 2 shows the associations of being diagnosed with COVID-19 and being identified as a close contact of someone with COVID-19 with unemployment. For the primary outcome of unemployment because of negative reasons, the multivariate analysis showed that the OR of unemployment associated with being diagnosed with COVID-19 was 2.40 (95% CI: 1.15–5.01) and that the OR associated with being identified as a close contact was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.31–3.11).

For the secondary outcome (unemployment regardless of the reason), the multivariate analysis showed that the OR of unemployment associated with being diagnosed with COVID-19 was 3.79 (95% CI: 2.28–6.28) and that the OR associated with being identified as a close contact was 1.27 (95% CI: 0.55–2.92).
|                  | Workers who were not infected | Workers identified as close contacts<sup>a</sup> | Workers diagnosed with COVID-19 |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Number of respondents | 19,646                        | 141                                             | 154                             |
| Age, mean (SD)     | 48.0 (10.1)                   | 45.7 (10.6)                                     | 43.8 (11.5)                     |
| Men               | 10,998 (56.0%)                | 87 (61.7%)                                      | 85 (55.2%)                      |
| **Education**      |                               |                                                 |                                 |
| Junior high or high school | 5,300 (27.0%)               | 23 (16.3%)                                      | 37 (24.0%)                      |
| Vocational school, junior college, or technical school | 4,513 (23.0%)               | 37 (26.2%)                                      | 35 (22.7%)                      |
| University        | 8,742 (44.5%)                 | 70 (49.6%)                                      | 68 (44.2%)                      |
| Graduate school    | 1,091 (5.6%)                  | 11 (7.8%)                                       | 14 (9.1%)                       |
| **Equivalent annual income (million JPY)** |                               |                                                 |                                 |
| <2.50             | 4,183 (21.3%)                 | 17 (12.1%)                                      | 33 (21.4%)                      |
| ≥2.50 and <3.75   | 5,388 (27.4%)                 | 34 (24.1%)                                      | 36 (23.4%)                      |
| ≥3.75 and <4.99   | 4,750 (24.2%)                 | 35 (24.8%)                                      | 36 (23.4%)                      |
| ≥4.99             | 5,325 (27.1%)                 | 55 (39.0%)                                      | 49 (31.8%)                      |
| **Marital status**|                               |                                                 |                                 |
| Married           | 11,004 (56.0%)                | 85 (60.3%)                                      | 96 (62.3%)                      |
| Widowed/divorced  | 2,012 (10.2%)                 | 10 (7.1%)                                       | 14 (9.1%)                       |
| Never married     | 6,630 (33.7%)                 | 46 (32.6%)                                      | 44 (28.6%)                      |
| **Occupation**     |                               |                                                 |                                 |
| General employee  | 8,944 (45.5%)                 | 67 (47.5%)                                      | 87 (56.5%)                      |
| Manager           | 2,049 (10.4%)                 | 20 (14.2%)                                      | 19 (12.3%)                      |
| Executive manager | 681 (3.5%)                    | 5 (3.5%)                                        | 5 (3.2%)                        |
| Public employee, faculty member, or non-profit organization employee | 1,982 (10.1%) | 10 (7.1%)                                      | 18 (11.7%)                      |
| Temporary or contract employee | 2,095 (10.7%) | 8 (5.7%)                                      | 6 (3.9%)                        |
| Self-employed     | 1,720 (8.8%)                  | 7 (5.0%)                                        | 10 (6.5%)                       |
| Small office home office (SOHO) | 303 (1.5%) | 0 (0.0%)                                      | 1 (0.6%)                        |
| Agriculture, forestry, or fishing | 155 (0.8%) | 0 (0.0%)                                      | 0 (0.0%)                        |
| Professional occupation (lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related, etc) | 1,217 (6.2%) | 23 (16.3%)                                      | 6 (3.9%)                       |
| Others            | 500 (2.5%)                    | 1 (0.7%)                                        | 2 (1.3%)                        |
| **Industry**       |                               |                                                 |                                 |
| Manufacturing     | 3,304 (16.8%)                 | 31 (22.0%)                                      | 41 (26.6%)                      |
| Public service    | 1,354 (6.9%)                  | 7 (5.0%)                                        | 10 (6.5%)                       |
| Information and technology | 1,036 (5.3%) | 7 (5.0%)                                      | 6 (3.9%)                        |
| Retail and wholesale | 1,340 (6.8%) | 6 (4.3%)                                      | 7 (4.5%)                        |
| Eating/drinking   | 964 (4.9%)                    | 3 (2.1%)                                        | 10 (6.5%)                       |
| Medical and welfare | 2,968 (15.1%) | 36 (25.5%)                                      | 18 (11.7%)                      |
| Finance           | 855 (4.4%)                    | 6 (4.3%)                                        | 7 (4.5%)                        |
| Construction      | 730 (3.7%)                    | 3 (2.1%)                                        | 6 (3.9%)                        |
| Others            | 7,095 (36.1%)                 | 42 (29.8%)                                      | 49 (31.8%)                      |
| **Self-rated health**|                               |                                                 |                                 |
| Extremely good    | 542 (2.8%)                    | 6 (4.3%)                                        | 22 (14.3%)                      |
| Very good         | 1,938 (9.9%)                  | 19 (13.5%)                                      | 35 (22.7%)                      |
TABLE 1 (Continued)

|                        | Workers who were not infected | Workers identified as close contacts* | Workers diagnosed with COVID-19 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Good                   | 7374 (37.5%)                 | 50 (35.5%)                           | 42 (27.3%)                      |
| Fair                   | 7270 (37.0%)                 | 49 (34.8%)                           | 45 (29.2%)                      |
| Bad                    | 2522 (12.8%)                 | 17 (12.1%)                           | 10 (6.5%)                       |
| Unemployment           |                               |                                      |                                 |
| Unemployment because of negative reasons | 443 (2.3%) | 3 (2.1%)                           | 8 (5.2%)                        |
| Unemployment regardless of the reason | 700 (3.6%) | 6 (4.3%)                           | 19 (12.3%)                      |

Note: Abbreviation: JPY, Japanese Yen.
*Workers identified as close contacts of people who were diagnosed with COVID-19.

4 | DISCUSSION

We evaluated the relationships of workers having COVID-19 and being identified as close contacts with unemployment. We observed an association between workers being diagnosed with COVID-19 and unemployment but not between being identified as close contacts and unemployment.

There are several possible reasons why workers diagnosed with COVID-19 subsequently became unemployed. First, workers who had COVID-19 may have resigned as a result of feeling uncomfortable in the workplace. Workers with COVID-19 have to be away from work for about 2 weeks. If coworkers are in close contact with the infected people, the coworkers must also take time off work. Workers with COVID-19 may have felt bad about having a major impact on their workplace and therefore have resigned by their own will. However, the workers with being identified as a close contact with the infected person also needed to take a leave of absence from the company for a certain period of time, but since they did not fall into the situation of thinking that they caused the trouble to the workplace. This is consistent with the findings of this study that workers being identified as a close contact were not unemployed.

Second, bullying and harassment in the workplace may have caused the unemployment. Such harassment has been reported to be a common occurrence among healthcare workers, but it can also occur in the general workforce. Workplace bullying, in addition to increasing mental distress and even work-related suicide, also has socioeconomic consequences related to sick leave and unemployment. However, it is not clear, including our study, whether workplace bullying and harassment cause unemployment, and further study is needed in the future.

Third, workers with COVID-19 may have recovered once but then been unable to work as a result of suffering from the long-term effects of COVID-19. A recent longitudinal prospective cohort study of post-COVID-19 patients found that 30% had at least one symptom persisting for 9 months or longer. The sequelae of COVID-19 are referred to as “post-COVID syndrome” or “COVID long haul syndrome.” However, in our analysis, the relationship between being diagnosed with COVID-19 and unemployment remained significant even after adjusting for self-rated health; thus, poor health may not be the reason for unemployment.

Some limitations of this study warrant mention. First, exposure was assessed by asking about the existence of a history of COVID-19 in the baseline survey (at the end of December 2020), but it was not clear when respondents had been diagnosed with COVID-19 from January 16, 2020 (when the first case of COVID-19 was reported in Japan) and December 2020 (when the baseline survey was conducted). However, the number of people in Japan diagnosed with COVID-19 was overwhelmingly higher after October 2020, so it is very likely that most respondents in this study who had COVID-19 were diagnosed from October to December 2020. In that case, we observed a relatively short period of time, about half a year, from COVID-19 infection to unemployment. We were not able to evaluate the long-term effects, while it is unlikely that this short-term effect will disappear with long-term observation. Long term observational studies are needed in the future. Second, information on past diagnosis was self-reported. However, almost all patients with COVID-19 and people identified as close contacts are diagnosed after undergoing polymerase chain reaction testing. This process is rigorously followed to prevent the spread of infection, so the information is likely to be fairly accurate. Third, we did not investigate the reasons for unemployment except for starting their own business. As a result, we were unable to fully distinguish between cases in which workers resigned voluntarily and those in which their employment was terminated against their will. Future research should conduct analyses using
In conclusion, there is an association between workers being diagnosed with COVID-19 and unemployment. The reason is not clear, but if the unemployment is unwanted by the individual, workplace adjustment may help prevent unwilling unemployment. Occupational health professionals need to hear fully from workers diagnosed with COVID-19 about their work situation and environment after their return to work to prevent them from becoming unemployed against their will.
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