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Abstract

Background: Osteoarthritis (OA) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) are prevalent in India. However, there is dearth of literature among Indians studying the relationship between the two. This study was carried out to assess various cardiovascular (CV) risk factors in patients with knee OA with an objective to investigate their association, screening and management.

Methods: In total, 225 patients were included in this cross-sectional study. Participants were diagnosed with knee OA on the basis of the Kellgren and Lawrence (K-L) classification of their radiograph. Participants were also assessed for CV risk factors (age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, smoking) with the help of the Joint British Society QRisk3 calculator (JBS3), which gave three variables: JBS3 risk score, heart age, and life expectancy. Chi Square, Fishers exact test and one-way ANOVA tests were used to compare the categorical and quantitative variables, respectively. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between CV risk factors and knee OA.

Results: Patients with severe knee OA had a statistically significantly higher prevalence of CV risk factors (p<0.05). Grade 4 knee OA patients were found to have a mean JBS3 risk of 38%, heart age of 82 years and life expectancy of 77 years as compared to grade 2 patients who had a mean JBS3 risk of 11%, heart age of 63 years and life expectancy of 82 years.

Conclusions: Our study concluded that there is a strong positive correlation between knee OA and CVD, with CV risk score being...
directly proportional to the severity of OA. JBS3 is a comprehensive risk score calculator as well as a screening tool, which produces three more comprehensive variables, namely 10-years risk of developing CVD, physiological heart age and life expectancy.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA), a degenerative joint disease, is the sixth most predominant cause of disability around the world and a well-established cause of restricted activity, disability, and low quality of life. Its prevalence, currently at 3.5% of the world’s total population and about 35% in adults older than 60 years, is on the rise. OA has become an important public health issue and is burdensome for both personal health and social well-being. Both developed and developing nations see it as a major liability on their health care sector with it having severe social and economic impacts.

OA is associated with knee pain, functional limitation and deformity and it incurs articular cartilage degeneration, osteophyte formation in joints, reduced joint space and subchondral sclerosis. The etiology of OA is idiopathic, yet age, genetics, obesity, menopause, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus have been found to be major contributors. Some of these risk factors that are directly involved and others indirectly involved in the etiopathogenesis of OA are also seen to increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), namely congestive heart failure (CHF), ischemic heart disease (IHD), transient ischemic attacks (TIA) and stroke. This group of cardiovascular diseases are also the leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Therefore, it becomes important to identify the various cardiovascular risk factors like age, gender, obesity, hypertension, cholesterol, sedentary lifestyle, smoking and nutrition at the earliest opportunity through various screening methods, especially in patients with OA.

An interest in the association between OA and cardiovascular risk factors is increasing as recent studies have reported that OA is associated with higher blood sugar and serum cholesterol levels in females as compared to healthy females. Singh et al. also found a high prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in adults with knee OA. Recently, a new classification for phenotyping of OA, which includes metabolic syndrome, aging, and posttraumatic arthritis, has been developed. Obesity is a well-known contributor for metabolic syndrome. Observational studies have shown that hypertension is an independent risk factor for knee OA. Systemic inflammation is also a risk factor for CVD. Indirectly, physical inactivity, due to debilitating joint pain, also makes patients more prone to CVD.

Given that OA and CVD are common conditions in the elderly, a thorough knowledge of the relationship between OA and CVD could help further our understanding of potential biological and behavioral mechanisms, which could in turn help in making informed decisions regarding further management of OA. There is a dearth of literature relating knee OA and cardiovascular risk factors in the Indian population, hence we wanted to study this association among Indian participants. The objectives of the study were (i) to screen patients diagnosed with knee OA for cardiovascular risk factors who don’t have a history of cardiovascular diseases; (ii) to find out the risk of developing a cardiovascular disease in next 10 years in patients suffering from knee OA using a simple standardized risk score calculator; and (iii) to correlate CVD risk with severity of knee OA.

Methodology

Ethics statement

The research protocol with the informed consent form was approved in October 2018 by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Kasturba Medical College, Mangaluru (reference number IEC KMC MLR 10-18/363). Participants provided written informed consent to participate. In addition, case records of patients diagnosed with knee OA between January 2017 to September 2018 were studied. Permission to access the previous records was obtained from the medical superintendent of the hospitals associated with Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore through the proper channel including head of department of orthopedics and Institutional Ethics committee. Confidentiality of the participants was maintained by concealing their name and identity. Each participant was given a unique serial number. The patients were not charged extra for any test or investigation other than their routine healthcare charges.

Study design

This cross-sectional study was done in the tertiary care centres associated with Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, India. The study was carried out between October 2018 and September 2020.

Study participants

Patients diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) grade ≥2 on knee X-ray) and ≥50 years old were invited to take part. The KL grading system is as follows:

1. Grade 0 (none): the definite absence of x-ray changes of osteoarthritis
2. Grade 1 (doubtful): doubtful joint space narrowing and possible osteophyte lipping
3. Grade 2 (minimal): definite osteophyte and possible joint space narrowing
4. Grade 3 (moderate): moderate multiple osteophytes, definite narrowing of joint space, and some sclerosis and possible deformity of bone ends.

5. Grade 4 (severe): large osteophytes, marked narrowing of joint space, severe sclerosis and definite deformity of bone ends.

Exclusion criteria were patients who refused to give consent, patients who were suffering from secondary knee OA, and patients who were known cases of coronary artery disease.

The sample size was calculated to be 187 using the Cochran’s formula: 

\[ n = \frac{p \times q \times Z^2}{d^2} \]

where, \( Z_{0.05} = 1.96 \) at 95% confidence level

\( p \) (estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population) = 34% with respect to study by Kim HS et al.\(^{13}\)

\( q = 66\% \ (q = 100-p) \)

\( d \) (desired precision) = 20% of \( p \) at 80% power

With 95% confidence level and 80% power. Though the sample size was calculated to be 187, we collected data from 225 patients due to additional time and resources.

**Sampling**

This study employed convenience sampling. Patients aged more than 50 years presenting to the orthopedics out-patient department with complains of knee pain between October 2018 and September 2019 were recruited for the study. Also, case records of patients diagnosed with knee OA between January 2017 to September 2018 were studied. No prior randomization was done.

**Variables**

Patient demographics such as age, gender, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), socioeconomic status and their smoking habits were recorded. Weight bearing knee radiographs in anterior, posterior and lateral views were done. Those with knee OA of K-L grade of 2 or more were included in the study. Serum total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) was determined. History of ongoing treatment for hypertension, diabetes, RA and CVD in relatives before age of 60 years was gathered. All these variables were added in the JBS3 risk score calculator which then produced three more variables; physiological heart age, life expectancy, and JBS3 risk of developing CVD in the next 10 years.

JBS3 risk score calculator was devised by joint British society for general practitioners to help guide their work with patients, in preventing CVD. A major role of the JBS3 risk score calculator is the idea of estimating CVD risk over a lifetime. Patients can also be screened for cardiovascular risk factors that they are unaware of.

**Procedure**

Case records of patients aged 50 years and above, diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis, between January 2017 and September 2018, and patients coming to the Out Patient Department (OPD) from October 2018 to September 2019 were studied. Patients were briefed about the aims and implications of the study, and consenting patients were recruited into the study.

Each patient was given a unique serial number and a detailed history and examination were done by the principle investigator of the study. History of presenting illness along with past, treatment and family history were gathered. Examination included general physical examination along with cardiovascular systemic examination and local knee examination. Orthopedic evaluation was done using K-L grading and CV risk was determined using the JBS3 CV risk score calculator. Fasting blood sample was collected to know the levels of their serum total cholesterol and serum high density lipoprotein. The standard procedure of phlebotomy was followed. 3ml of blood was drawn from the patient and collected in plain vacutainer for serum analysis of total cholesterol and serum high density lipoprotein. The sample was sent to Biochemistry laboratory where they analysed using enzymatic colorimetric method using enzyme cholesterol esterase and peroxidase for total cholesterol and polymer polyanion method for HDL. Patient details and blood test results were uploaded to the unique profile created for each one of them in the mobile application based JBS3 CV risk score calculator which then predicted physiological heart age, life expectancy, and JBS3 risk of developing CVD in the next 10 years.
Statistical analysis
The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used to do the statistical analysis. The categorical variables like age, gender, smoking habits, ongoing treatment for hypertension, diabetes, history of CVD in relatives before age of 60 years and history of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) were compared with K-L grade of knee OA using chi square test. Socioeconomic status and history of RA were compared with K-L grades using Fishers exact test. The quantitative variables like BMI, total cholesterol, HDL, physiological heart age, life expectancy and 10 years risk of developing CVD were compared with K-L grades using analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) test. A p value of <.05 was considered significant and less than 0.01 was considered highly significant.

Post hoc analysis was done to compare multiple group means. The mean heart age and mean physiological heart age among the three K-L grades of knee OA were compared using paired t test. A p value <.05 was considered significant. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was employed to determine the correlation between the K-L grade of knee OA and quantitative variables like serum total cholesterol (TC), HDL, BMI, SBP, physiological heart age, life expectancy and 10 years risk of developing CVD. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to show in a graphical way the connection/trade-off between clinical sensitivity and specificity for 10 years risk of developing CVD in the study population.

Results
A total of 225 patients visiting the orthopedics OPD with complaints of knee pain took part in the study. All participants were over 50 years of age with more than half (53%) of them between the age of 50-60 years (Figure 1). The majority of these patients were females (59%) (Figure 2). As per the BG Prasad socioeconomic scale (SES), the majority of them belonged to either the middle or lower socio-economic group (Figure 3).

Grade 2 K-L classification of knee OA was found to be the most common (45%) among the study population. Higher grades of knee OA, i.e. 3 and 4, were found to be 42% and 13%, respectively (Figure 4). Overall, 57% of the patients belonging to the lower socio-economic class as per the BG Prasad SES had knee OA grade 2, 33% of them had grade 3 and

Figure 1. Age distribution of the study population.

Figure 2. Gender distribution of the study population. 59% of the study population were female, 41% were male.
only 10% had grade 4 OA. Among the lower middle and middle-class groups, a decrease in the percentage of patients with
grade 2 and increase in percentage of patients with grade 3 and 4 was found as socioeconomic status increased. The same
trend was observed, not strictly though, as we go further up the SES. Upper middle and upper-class patients had either
grade 3 or 4 knee OA (Figure 5).

The Fishers exact test was used to find out the statistical significance of the relationship between socioeconomic status
and knee OA grade, which was found to be highly significant (p value<.01). Chi square test, when applied to compare
knee OA grade with age \(X^2(4, 225) = 3.801, p = .434\) or gender \(X^2(2, 225) = 1.016, p = .602\), was not found to be
statistically significant. A one-way ANOVA test was applied to K-L grade of knee OA with BMI and found to be highly
statistically significant \(F(4,225) = 60.652, p < .01\). An increasing trend in the BMI of patients was noted with a higher
grade of knee OA, e.g. 60% of grade 2 knee OA patients had a BMI of less than 25 as compared to 40% in grade 3 and
only 7% in grade 4. In addition, 57% of patients with grade 4 knee OA had a BMI of more than 30 as compared to 13% in
grade 3 and only 4% in grade 2 (Figure 6).

The mean BMI of patients with grade 2 knee OA was 24.82, grade 3 was 26.39 and grade 4 was 30.96, with each knee OA
grade showing statistically significant data when compared with the BMI of patients. In post hoc analysis using the
Bonferroni test with BMI as the dependent variable, it was found that multiple comparisons of each of grade of knee OA with the other were found to be statistically significant, as depicted in Table 1.

Of the 225 participants, 18.2% (n = 41) were smokers. All the smokers were male, and 39% of them had grade 2 knee OA, 44% of them had grade 3 and 17% of them had grade 4. However, this data was not statistically significant on chi square test \( \chi^2(2, 225) = 0.964, p = .617 \).

The mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) of participants was 128mmhg, 139mmhg and 150mmhg for grade 2, grade 3 and grade 4 knee OA, respectively. Figure 7 shows the representation of mean SBP for each K-L grade. On comparing each grade with each other using post hoc analysis with SBP as the Bonferroni dependent variable, the comparisons came out to be highly significant (Table 2).

**Figure 5. Distribution of knee osteoarthritis (OA) severity by socioeconomic group.** Higher socioeconomic groups had a higher proportion of patients with severe grade knee OA.

**Figure 6. Distribution of participant BMI per knee osteoarthritis (OA) grade.** Participants with higher grade of knee OA have higher BMI.

Bonferroni test with BMI as the dependent variable, it was found that multiple comparisons of each of grade of knee OA with the other were found to be statistically significant, as depicted in Table 1.
Of the 225 participants, 88 had a SBP reading of 140 or more, out of which 28 (33%) were not taking any antihypertensive medication. In total, 25.8% of the entire sample had a history of diabetes mellitus (DM), which is much lower than more than half (53%) of patients with grade 4 knee OA. Of grade 3 patients, 40% had DM, yet of grade 2, only 4% had DM. This was found to be statistically significant ($X^2(2, 225) = 47.574, p < .01$) as per the chi square test. Figure 8 depicts the comparison between each grade of knee OA and diabetes mellitus.

Similar trends were seen in the history of CVD among the relatives of the study population. A total of 35 (15.5%) patients gave a history of their relatives suffering from CVD in the past. Compared by grade, 46.7% of the patients with grade 4 knee OA gave a positive relative CVD history as compared to only 17% and 5% of the patients with grade 3 and 2 knee OA, respectively (Figure 9). The chi square test for this difference was statistically significant ($X^2(2, 225) = 30.907, p < .01$).

None of the patients in the study population suffered atrial fibrillation (AF) or gave a history of chronic kidney disease in the past. Only 11 patients gave a history of rheumatoid arthritis which was found to be statistically significant by Fisher exact test ($p < .01$).

### Table 1. Bonferroni test comparing different grades of knee osteoarthritis with the dependent variable as body mass index.

With BMI as dependent variable there is significant difference when all the three grades of OA knee are compared with each other. OA = osteoarthritis; HS = highly significant.

| Knee OA grade | Knee OA grade | Bonferroni test p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 2       | Grade 3       | .004                    | HS           |
|               | Grade 4       | .000                    | HS           |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .000                    | HS           |

### Table 2. Bonferroni test comparing different grades of knee osteoarthritis with the dependent variable as systolic blood pressure (SBP).

With SBP as dependent variable there is significant difference when all the three grades of OA knee are compared with each other. OA = osteoarthritis; HS = highly significant.

| Knee OA grade | Knee OA grade | Bonferroni test p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 2       | Grade 3       | .000                    | HS           |
|               | Grade 4       | .000                    | HS           |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .005                    | HS           |

Of the 225 participants, 88 had a SBP reading of 140 or more, out of which 28 (33%) were not taking any antihypertensive medication. In total, 25.8% of the entire sample had a history of diabetes mellitus (DM), which is much lower than more than half (53%) of patients with grade 4 knee OA. Of grade 3 patients, 40% had DM, yet of grade 2, only 4% had DM. This was found to be statistically significant ($X^2(2, 225) = 47.574, p < .01$) as per the chi square test. Figure 8 depicts the comparison between each grade of knee OA and diabetes mellitus.
Serum total cholesterol (TC) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) were tested for all the patients. Figure 10 shows the mean levels of TC and HDL with respect to each of the KL grades of knee OA. An increase in mean value of TC and decrease in mean value of HDL were noted as K-L grades of knee OA increase. Patients with grade 2 knee OA had a mean TC value of 188.34 and mean HDL value of 53.74. Similarly, patients with grade 3 knee OA had a mean TC and HDL value of 213.66 and 51.99, respectively. Patients with grade 4 knee OA had a mean TC and HDL value of 234.90 and 49.30, respectively (Figure 10).

In post hoc analysis using the Bonferroni test with TC as the dependent variable, it was found that multiple comparisons of each grade of knee OA with the other were found to be highly statistically significant (Table 3). The same was not true, however, with HDL, which showed statistically significant data only when K-L grade 2 was compared to grade 4 knee OA (Table 4).

Figure 8. Distribution of diabetes mellitus of participants per knee osteoarthritis (OA). Overall, 25.8% of all patients gave history of diabetes mellitus (DM) which is much lower as compared to more than half (53%) of patients with Grade 4 OA knee having DM. 40 % of grade 3 patients had DM and only 4 % of grade 2 patients had DM.

Figure 9. A total of 35 (15.5%) patients gave history of their relatives suffering from cardiovascular disease in the past. 46.7% of the patients with Grade 4 knee OA gave a positive history in this aspect as compared to only 17% and 5% of the patients with grade 3 and grade 2 respectively.
This JBS3 risk score calculator gave us three parameters about the cardiovascular condition of the heart, i.e. physiological heart age, 10-year risk in percentage of developing a CVD and life expectancy provided any other cause of death is ruled out. Statistically significant results were obtained when studying the severity of knee OA with heart age and life expectancy. Physiological heart age came out lesser but life expectancy higher for patients with a lower grade of knee OA. As we go up the K-L grade of knee OA, the physiological heart age went up and the life expectancy came down (Figure 11).

The mean physiological heart age was found to be 70 years; 10 years older than the mean chronological age (60) of the study population.

The JBS3 risk score also increased with the increase in the K-L grade of knee OA. Patients with grade 2 knee OA had a mean risk of around 11% of developing a CVD in the next 10 years and this increased with K-L grades, i.e. there was a 23% risk among the patients with grade 3 knee OA and 38% risk among those with grade 4 knee OA (Figure 12). Chi square test found this to be statistically significant \( X^2(4,225) = 70.776, p < .01 \).

**Figure 10.** Patients with Grade 2 knee OA had a mean TC value of 188.34 and mean HDL value of 53.74. Similarly, patients with Grade 3 knee OA had a mean TC and HDL value of 213.66 and 51.99 respectively. And patients with Grade 4 knee OA had a mean TC and HDL value of 234.90 and 49.30 respectively.

**Table 3.** Bonferroni test comparing different grades of knee osteoarthritis with the dependent variable as serum total cholesterol. With serum total cholesterol as dependent variable there is significant difference when all the three grades of OA knee are compared with each other. OA = osteoarthritis; HS = highly significant.

| Knee OA grade | Knee OA grade | Bonferroni test p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 2       | Grade 3       | .000                   | HS           |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .000                   | HS           |

**Table 4.** Bonferroni test comparing different grades of knee osteoarthritis with the dependent variable as high-density lipoprotein. With HDL as dependent variable there is significant difference when Grade 2 is compared with grade 4 but not when grade 3 is compared with grade 2 or grade 4. OA = osteoarthritis; HS = highly significant.

| Knee OA grade | Knee OA grade | Bonferroni test p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 2       | Grade 3       | .299                   | Not significant |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .013                   | Significant |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .252                   | Not significant |
The Bonferroni post hoc analysis of K-L grade with the JBS3 risk score as the dependent variable showed multiple comparisons of each K-L grade with the others as statistically significant (Table 5). The ROC curve showing area under the curve of sensitivity vs specificity of grade 4 vs 2 and 3 for risk score is depicted in Figure 13. According to ROC analysis for the JBS3 risk score, it was determined that patients with K-L grade 4 knee OA were more likely to run a 10-year risk of developing CVD at minimum 14% with sensitivity of 72.3% and specificity of 66%. Pearson’s correlation was derived, showing a positive correlation between K-L grade and JBS3 risk score with a correlation factor of 0.57 (p < .01). Similarly, a positive correlation was seen with age, TC, SBP, BMI, and physiological heart age. A negative correlation is seen with HDL and life expectancy (Figure 14).

Table 5. Bonferroni test comparing different grades of knee osteoarthritis (OA) with the dependent variable as JBS3 Risk. With JBS3 risk as dependent variable there is significant difference when all the three grades of OA knee are compared with each other. OA = osteoarthritis; HS = highly significant.

| Knee OA grade | Knee OA grade | Bonferroni test p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 2       | Grade 3       | .000                    | HS           |
| Grade 4       | Grade 4       | .000                    | HS           |
| Grade 3       | Grade 4       | .000                    | HS           |

The Bonferroni post hoc analysis of K-L grade with the JBS3 risk score as the dependent variable showed multiple comparisons of each K-L grade with the others as statistically significant (Table 5). The ROC curve showing area under the curve of sensitivity vs specificity of grade 4 vs 2 and 3 for risk score is depicted in Figure 13. According to ROC analysis for the JBS3 risk score, it was determined that patients with K-L grade 4 knee OA were more likely to run a 10-year risk of developing CVD at minimum 14% with sensitivity of 72.3% and specificity of 66%. Pearson’s correlation was derived, showing a positive correlation between K-L grade and JBS3 risk score with a correlation factor of 0.57 (p < .01). Similarly, a positive correlation was seen with age, TC, SBP, BMI, and physiological heart age. A negative correlation is seen with HDL and life expectancy (Figure 14).
Discussion

Osteoarthritis is a common disease in the elderly population, with its higher prevalence in the 50+ year age group well documented in the literature. Many studies have been done in European and American populations to measure the prevalence of OA, but there is a scarcity of studies in Asian populations. One study done by Chandra Prakash Pal et al. on the epidemiology of knee OA in India showed a prevalence of 28.7% in the study population. Another study in Korea showed increased prevalence with increasing age.

Figure 13. ROC analysis for the JBS 3 risk score showed that a patient with K-L grade 4 of knee OA is more likely to be running a 10 years risk of developing CVD at minimum 14 percent with sensitivity of 72.3% and specificity of 66%.

Figure 14. A positive Pearson’s correlation was seen between K-L grade and JBS 3 risk, age, TC, SBP, BMI, and physiological heart age. A negative correlation was seen with HDL and life expectancy.
The current study’s entire sample of 225 patients were above the age of 50 years. Higher prevalence of knee OA in the 50-60-year age group was seen but with no statistical significance when compared with groups of higher ages. This could be attributed to the fact that this study did not include the entire population of the given area but rather only the patients coming to orthopedic OPD with pain in the knee joint. Women are more likely to have knee pain above the age of 50 years and with no surprise our study also had women forming almost two thirds (60%) of the sample size. A cross-sectional study done in Korea among their population found a higher prevalence of OA in women (45%) as compared to men (25%). The estimated global prevalence of OA is around 10% in men and 20% in women. However, studies to determine the relationship between estrogen and prevalence of OA have shown contradictory results and there is no proven molecular level association.

American and European studies have found OA to be a disease of the upper class. The COPCORD study from Bangladesh also showed the same. On the contrary, a study conducted in south Delhi found the prevalence of OA was higher in perimenopausal women of lower classes than upper classes. Our study had higher numbers of patients belonging to lower and lower middle classes but showed increased severity in upper class people. Participants of lower socioeconomic status mostly had grade 2 knee OA but upper classes had the more severe grades of 3 or 4 as per K-L grading. A study in Japan revealed a higher prevalence of OA from mountainous rather than rural or urban regions, attributing the effect of activity leading to wear and tear of joints. Although increased activity causes more wear and tear of knee joints, there are other modifiable risk factors that also in some way directly or indirectly affect the knee joint, e.g. obesity, sedentary lifestyle, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, diabetes mellitus and other cardiovascular risk factors. In the current study, BMI was found to be higher in groups with severe grades of knee OA as compared to milder grades, and there was a statistically significant association found between BMI and K-L grade of knee OA. Upper class people tend to have more modifiable risk factors, which is quite evident in the current study, showing increased prevalence of these modifiable risk factors (BMI, TC, HDL, SBP, DM) in these groups.

Other factors like the role of systemic inflammation in the pathomechanisms of knee OA have been long studied; however, there have been some contradictory results. Atherosclerosis, hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia cause systemic inflammation in the body, which can lead to CVD. Their association with knee OA has been studied by many doctors and researchers who believe that there must be some unknown relationship either directly or indirectly between CV risk factors and knee OA as they both involve systemic inflammation in the body. This belief of some sort of relationship between CV risk factors and knee OA is based on past observational studies that showed prevalence of severe grade OA in patients with CVD and vice versa. Louati K et al. in their meta-analysis of 299 publications found a high prevalence of OA in patients with DM. They also emphasized that metabolic OA phenotypes needs to be studied further. Huajun Wang et al. in their attempt to explore the correlation between metabolic syndrome and knee OA using meta-analysis of eight studies, found a significant odds ratio (OR) even after adjusting for many risk factors. The aforementioned Korean study displayed a significantly higher knee OA prevalence in patients with hypertension and impaired glucose tolerance. Veronese et al. also found an association between knee OA and CVD, studying 2158 elderly participants without CVD and finding that OA at baseline was associated with subsequent incident CVD. In the current study, the mean SBP was significantly higher in patients with K-L grade 3 and 4 of knee OA. The mean SBP was 128 mmHg for K-L grade 2, 139 mmHg for K-L grade 3 and 150 mmHg for K-L grade 4. The chi square test found the differences to be statistically significant and post hoc analysis showed them to be highly significant with each increasing grade. Of the total 225 patients, 88 had a BP reading equal to or higher than 140 mmHg, most of which had a severe knee OA. Similar trends were seen while studying the prevalence of DM in these patients. In the entire sample, 25.8% patients had DM, yet the largest percentage (53%) was seen in patients with K-L grade 4 knee OA, showing the risk of DM increases with severity of knee OA, as found by Louati K et al. Hypercholesterolemia was also studied here, being another systemic inflammatory marker. It showed a statistically significant relationship with K-L grades of knee OA; mean serum TC was found to be higher in patients with severe grades of knee OA, whilst mean serum HDL was found to be lower in patients with severe grades of OA.

Systolic BP, DM and hypercholesterolemia are three of the major contributors to CVD. As per the results of this study it would be safe to say that patients with severe knee OA have a higher risk of developing a CVD in the future. On the contrary, Hoeven et al. believe that OA-related disability and not OA predicts CVD. They postulated that neither clinical nor radiological knee OA predicts a CV event in the future, rather it’s the disability, independent of OA, that predicts the OA. This is along the lines of belief of many other researchers who postulate that OA doesn’t directly lead to a CVD, rather it renders a patient physically more inactive and disabled than those without OA, which ultimately increases the CV risk factors. Whether OA causes CVD directly or indirectly, it is hard to ignore the fact that they often exist co-dependently in a patient’s body. Assessment of a patient with knee OA for CV risk factors would be of no harm and rather provide the doctor a systemic approach to the patient’s morbid condition and also make the patient aware about their unknown CV risk factors and risk of developing a CVD. Assessment of CV risk factors in patients with OA has been
a topic of research in Korea. Jeong et al. studied data from the Korean National Health Survey and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) and found a higher prevalence of hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, angina and myocardial infarction in patients with OA compared with healthy individuals.28

Contrary to our assumption, smoking was not found to have any statistically significant effect on the K-L grade of knee OA. However, it has a role to play in determining the increased risk of CVD in these patients.29 Other variables such as atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease and rheumatoid arthritis were not found to be prevalent in our study population, preventing us from analyzing any relationship between them and knee OA.

This study has attempted to collaborate the basic mechanical, causal and shared risk factors (age, obesity, gender) between knee OA and CVD. It has also tried to study various other CV risk factors (hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, smoking, family history) in patients with OA. Ho Sun Kim et al.13 used the Framingham risk score (FRS) in south Koreans to study the association between OA and CV risk factors; however, Manish Bansal et al.30 found that the FRS cannot be applied efficiently to the Indian population. They did, however, find the JBS3 risk score calculator to be the best predictor of a CV event in high risk Indians. We used the JBS3 risk score to calculate 10-year risk of developing CVD, physiological heart age and life expectancy. Statistically significant data revealed the physiological heart age of the patients to be much higher than their actual age and their life expectancy shortened with more CV risk factors. The shortened life expectancy was much more common in patients with severe OA as they also had a higher JBS3 risk of developing CVD over the next 10 years. It was observed that the mean physiological heart age was as much as 10 years higher than the mean age for the entire study population, drawing a strong correlation between OA and the cardiovascular system.

The mean JBS3 risk was 11% for patients with grade 2 OA, 23% for patients with grade 3 OA and 38% with grade 4 OA. This was along the same lines as studied by Ho Sun Kim et al. in Korea,12 where multiple comparisons were made within the different grades of knee OA while studying the relationship with JBS3 risk and all were found to be highly significant. Our study had 225 patients with a prevalence of grade 4 knee OA of 13%. With the help of the ROC curve, the cut-off value of JBS3 was calculated to be 14%, which implies the amount of risk patients with grade 4 knee OA had of developing a CVD in the next 10 years, with a sensitivity of 72.3% and specificity of 66%. It would not be an overstatement to say that a patient with grade 4 knee OA undergoing total knee replacement could have a CV risk of as much as 14%.

This is the first study of its type to be conducted in this part of the world. India, although one of the countries where both OA and CVD is most prevalent, has very little literature stating the relationship between the two. The strength of this study lies in the different variables (demographic and CV risk factors) that were studied. With the genetic and phenotypic variations in the population in this part of the world, BMI plays a major role in understanding various pathologies in human body. The BG Prasad scale has helped us to divide the study population into various socio-economic strata and study its relation to both OA and CV risk factors. In addition, the diagnosis of knee OA has been made with the help of standard radiographs using K-L classification. The potential confounding factors (CV risk factors) are clubbed together with the help of a standardized risk score calculator devised by the Joint British Society. JBS3 also tells us about the physiological heart age and life expectancy, which may be easier for patients to comprehend. Although these factors have an individual effect on the pathomechanisms of knee OA, when they are used as a part of this scale, various limitations are eliminated, and they are studied as a whole. The risk factors thus are studied both individually as well as co-dependently. However, this study has its limitations too. It is a cross-sectional study, which comes with its own inherent limitations. The study population only has patients with K-L grade of ≥2, so the risk assessment of patients with K-L grade 1 was not done here. The JBS3 risk score calculator used here could only come close to being ideal for the study population of our country. A risk score calculator developed in our country for Indian genotypes and phenotypes would be ideal. Also, it doesn’t include stress as a variable, which has a known effect on cardiovascular status.31 The SBP values entered here are of single reading, which can be affected by the hemodynamic status of the patient at one particular moment. The readings of TC and HDL values may have differed depending on the investigator and equipment available from place to place. Some variables of this tool depend upon the history given by the patient, which has its own limitations. The JBS3 risk score calculator is not a tool to initiate treatment for a patient, rather a tool that gives a fair idea of the patient’s general condition and CV status.

**Conclusion**

Our study concluded that there is a strong positive correlation between knee OA and CVD with CV risk score being directly proportional to the severity of OA. JBS3 is a comprehensive risk score calculator as well as a screening tool that produces three more variables, namely 10-year risk of developing CVD, physiological heart age and life expectancy, which are easy to comprehend.
Implication
The JBS3 risk score calculator, a comprehensive tool, can be applied to the general population in routine clinical practice to comprehensively address the CV risk factors in patients with knee OA. At the same time, screening for the same risk factors can be carried out in unaware high-risk patients. A population-based study with similar tools can help us derive a JBS3 risk score cut-off, which would aid in management of patients undergoing total knee replacement. The JBS3 risk score calculator/mobile-based application could help health care professionals to better illustrate the risk of CVD and the gains that can be made by early interventions.

Data availability
Underlying data
Dryad: Assessment of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.79cnnp5hv.32

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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It's been a great pleasure reviewing this manuscript. The authors examined various cardiovascular (CV) risk factors in 225 patients with knee OA, concluding that a strong positive correlation between knee OA and CVD existed.

Generally, the manuscript is well written though there is still a large space for much improvement. I have several comments to improve it.

Abstract:
- In the conclusion section, we would suggest omitting some sentences as it is not appropriate to mention them here. Perhaps the best place would be in the methodology as the outcome measure: “JBS3 is a comprehensive risk score calculator as well as a screening tool, which...”.

Introduction:
- Third paragraph: Generally, systemic inflammation is not part of the OA disease process nor florid as in rheumatoid arthritis which has an obvious association with CVD.
- References 3 and 4 you used for OA being associated with high cholesterol levels did not mention such - page 3, third paragraph: “recent studies have reported that OA is associated with high serum cholesterol levels in females”.

Methodology:

Study design
- Do you mean that a convenience sample was used? Any retrospective data? If so, please mention it.

Study participants
- Just wondering why the American College of Rheumatology criteria was not used for case
definition. How are references 9 and 10 appropriate here, especially for case definition?

- For the sample size calculation, the estimated proportion was taken from a Korean study in which the prevalence of knee OA may be different from an Indian population, and it may be overestimated as the study was not conducted in the community setting.

- Are there any Indian studies for the record of community prevalence of knee OA? We think it would be more relevant if available.

**Variables**
- In knee X-rays, did you consider only patellofemoral or tibiofemoral OA or both eligible?

- Physical inactivity (sedentary lifestyle) and NSAID usage should be included and adjusted as the potential confounders.

**Statistical analysis**
- Just wondering why the multiple regression model for adjusting the confounders was not used.

- For post-hoc analysis, did you conduct any correction of the p-value for multiple comparisons? If so, please mention it here.

**Results**
- Instead of using many figures for each of the baseline characteristics, one table should work well for all variables. Strongly suggest doing so.

- Table 2 is difficult to understand without the inputs of blood pressure calculated. Suggested to modify it.

- Too many figures and interpretations for a research article. Please be concise.

- The current or previous medication used for OA should be reported as NSAID especially Cox-2 inhibitors may increase the CVD risk and morbidity. Therefore, such confounders should be adjusted in the statistical analysis to draw a valid interpretation.

**Discussion**
- Too long and involves the repetition of much of the results section instead of correlating with the findings of other papers.

- The redundancy of the language should be avoided, i.e. “This is the first study of its type to be conducted in this part of the world. India...”.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
Partly

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**
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**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Yes
If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
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Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly
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Thank you for your feedback. We have tried our best to respond to some of your queries and made some modifications to the manuscript as per your suggestions.

**Abstract:**

- In the conclusion section, we would suggest omitting some sentences as it is not appropriate to mention them here. Perhaps the best place would be in the methodology as the outcome measure: "JBS3 is a comprehensive risk score calculator as well as a screening tool, which...".

  **Author response:** Done.

**Introduction:**

- Third paragraph: Generally, systemic inflammation is not part of the OA disease process nor florid as in rheumatoid arthritis which has an obvious association with CVD.

  **Author response:** Done.

- References 3 and 4 you used for OA being associated with high cholesterol levels did not mention such - page 3, third paragraph: “recent studies have reported that OA is associated with high serum cholesterol levels in females”.

  **Author response:** The text has been modified and the concerned reference is added.

**Methodology:**

**Study design**

- Do you mean that a convenience sample was used? Any retrospective data? If so, please mention it.
**Author response:** Yes, a convenience sample was used. Moreover, retrospective data was also collected as mentioned under the heading Ethics Statement and Sampling: “Also, case records of patients diagnosed with knee OA between January 2017 to September 2018 were studied...”

**Study participants**
- Just wondering why the American College of Rheumatology criteria was not used for case definition. How are references 9 and 10 appropriate here, especially for case definition?

**Author response:** We believed Kellgren and Lawrence grading of OA knee based on the X-ray was more suitable for case definition here. Using reference no 9, we decided to keep 50 years of age as a cutoff for patient selection.
- For the sample size calculation, the estimated proportion was taken from a Korean study in which the prevalence of knee OA may be different from an Indian population, and it may be overestimated as the study was not conducted in the community setting.
- Are there any Indian studies for the record of community prevalence of knee OA? We think it would be more relevant if available.

**Author response:** The Prevalence of knee OA among Indian and Korean Populations is comparable and has been mentioned with reference.

**Variables**
- In knee X-rays, did you consider only patellofemoral or tibiofemoral OA or both eligible?

**Author response:** Both were considered.
- Physical inactivity (sedentary lifestyle) and NSAID usage should be included and adjusted as the potential confounders.

**Author response:** We used the JBS3 risk score calculator which did not include physical inactivity and NSAID usage as variables.

**Statistical analysis**
- Just wondering why the multiple regression model for adjusting the confounders was not used.

**Author response:** Done and added.
- For post-hoc analysis, did you conduct any correction of the p-value for multiple comparisons? If so, please mention it here.

**Author response:** Yes, it was done.

**Results**
- Instead of using many figures for each of the baseline characteristics, one table...
should work well for all variables. Strongly suggest doing so.

**Author response:** Done.

- Table 2 is difficult to understand without the inputs of blood pressure calculated. Suggested to modify it.

**Author response:** Done.

- Too many figures and interpretations for a research article. Please be concise.

**Author response:** Done.

- The current or previous medication used for OA should be reported as NSAID especially Cox-2 inhibitors may increase the CVD risk and morbidity. Therefore, such confounders should be adjusted in the statistical analysis to draw a valid interpretation.

**Author response:** We used the JBS3 risk score calculator which did not include physical inactivity and NSAID usage as variables.

**Discussion**

- Too long and involves the repetition of much of the results section instead of correlating with the findings of other papers.

**Author response:** Done.

- The redundancy of the language should be avoided, i.e. "This is the first study of its type to be conducted in this part of the world. India...".

**Author response:** Done.
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