The core root microbiome of *Spartina alterniflora* is predominated by sulfur-oxidizing and sulfate-reducing bacteria in Georgia salt marshes, USA
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Salt marshes are dominated by the smooth cordgrass *Spartina alterniflora* on the US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coastlines. Although soil microorganisms are well known to mediate important biogeochemical cycles in salt marshes, little is known about the role of root microbiomes in supporting the health and productivity of marsh plant hosts. Leveraging in situ gradients in aboveground plant biomass as a natural laboratory, we investigated the relationships between *S. alterniflora* primary productivity, sediment redox potential, and the physiological ecology of bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root microbial communities at two Georgia barrier islands over two growing seasons.

**Results:** A marked decrease in prokaryotic alpha diversity with high abundance and increased phylogenetic dispersion was found in the *S. alterniflora* root microbiome. Significantly higher rates of enzymatic organic matter decomposition, as well as the relative abundances of putative sulfur (S)-oxidizing, sulfate-reducing, and nitrifying prokaryotes correlated with plant productivity. Moreover, these functional guilds were overrepresented in the *S. alterniflora* rhizosphere and root core microbiomes. Core microbiome bacteria from the *Candidatus* Thiodiazotropha genus, with the metabolic potential to couple S oxidation with C and N fixation, were shown to be highly abundant in the root and rhizosphere of *S. alterniflora*.

**Conclusions:** The *S. alterniflora* root microbiome is dominated by highly active and competitive species taking advantage of available carbon substrates in the oxidized root zone. Two microbially mediated mechanisms are proposed to stimulate *S. alterniflora* primary productivity: (i) enhanced microbial activity replenishes nutrients and terminal electron acceptors in higher biomass stands, and (ii) coupling of chemolithotrophic S oxidation with carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fixation by root- and rhizosphere-associated prokaryotes detoxifies sulfide in the root zone while potentially transferring fixed C and N to the host plant.
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**Background**

Salt marsh ecosystems are structured by intertidal plant communities at the land-sea interface. Salt marshes are mostly distributed outside of the tropics and comprise a global area of ~5.5 Mha, with approximately 30% of its area located in the continental USA [64]. On the US coastlines of the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico, salt...
marshes are dominated by the smooth cordgrass *Spartina alterniflora* [69]. Salt marsh ecosystems are biogeochemical hotspots characterized by high rates of primary productivity, organic matter mineralization, and nutrient cycling [36, 43, 48]. As a consequence of their high biological activity, *S. alterniflora*-dominated salt marshes provide a broad range of ecosystem services to local and global human populations [3]. Ecosystem services provided by salt marshes include estuarine water purification, coastal protection from storm surges, sediment erosion control, maintenance of fisheries, carbon sequestration, and much more [3, 35].

At the local scale, bottom-up control of *S. alterniflora* primary productivity has been associated with nitrogen (N) uptake kinetics [68]. Low sediment redox potential and high sulfide concentration have been shown to reduce *S. alterniflora* root energy status, decreasing the plant's available energy for N uptake [6, 44, 67, 70]. Thus, naturally occurring gradients of *S. alterniflora* primary productivity are usually found as a function of the plant's distance to large tidal creeks [96]. Sediments at closer proximity to large tidal creeks are flushed more frequently, supplying oxygen, exchanging porewater nutrients, and oxidizing toxic metabolic products such as sulfide. Conversely, areas in the interior of the marsh tend to be stagnant, accumulating chemically reduced and toxic compounds in their interstitial porewater. The microbial mediation of major biogeochemical cycles along this natural gradient in aboveground *S. alterniflora* biomass has been extensively studied [18, 39, 47, 48, 73, 93]. However, the relationship between root-microbial interactions and *S. alterniflora* primary productivity has not been characterized in detail.

Biogeochemical evidence points to tightly coupled interactions between *S. alterniflora* and microbial activity in the root zone, which facilitate the rapid exchange of electron (e⁻) acceptors (O₂, NO₃⁻, Fe³⁺) and donors (e.g., rhizodeposits, reduced sulfur compounds). For example, reduced sulfur compounds such as pyrite store high amounts of chemically reduced energy, and their oxidation has long been hypothesized to be an important process limiting the energy flow of salt marsh ecosystems [36]. Part of this energy has been speculated to be used to enhance plant growth [36, 71], similar to the well-known symbiotic relationship between invertebrates and autotrophic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in marine ecosystems [19]. However, the *S. alterniflora* root-associated microbiome remains largely unexplored.

Most previous studies investigating the *S. alterniflora* root microbiome focused on understanding the factors influencing the activity and taxonomic diversity of root-associated nitrogen-fixing bacteria or diazotrophs [7, 16, 26, 57, 100]. A few reports of other functional guilds have shown that chemolithoautotrophs conserving energy through S, Fe, and ammonium oxidation are also enriched in the *S. alterniflora* root zone when compared to bulk sediment [45, 92, 103]. A drawback of these previous studies is that the majority did not thoroughly separate the root-associated compartment from the surrounding rhizospheric sediment (e.g., [45, 92, 104]). Thus, the ecology of the closely associated *S. alterniflora* root microbiome and its interaction with the plant host still represents an important knowledge gap to be addressed. In other plants, root microbial communities have been shown to be key players in improving plant resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, outcompeting soil-borne pathogens, modulating plant development, and transferring nutrients for plant uptake [55, 99, 102].

To gain a predictive understanding of the beneficial interactions between a host plant and its associated root microbiome, the ecology and potential physiology of its core microbiome must be investigated. A host’s core microbiome is composed of microbial taxa consistently found associated with host individuals and hypothesized to perform key functions in healthy host-microbiome systems (Shade and Handelsman, 2011). The *S. alterniflora* core root microbiome has yet to be defined. Understanding of the *S. alterniflora* root microbiome could pave the way to harnessing plant-microbe interactions for the adaptive management and restoration of salt marsh habitats.

Thus, this study sought to elucidate the plant-microbe interactions linked to *S. alterniflora* primary productivity over the course of 2 years at 2 sites in GA, USA. The objectives of the study were to 1) evaluate the taxa that constitute the *S. alterniflora* rhizosphere and root core microbiome in GA, USA; 2) characterize the potential metabolism, physiology, and ecology of the prokaryotic taxa enriched at closer proximities to *S. alterniflora* roots along primary productivity gradients; and 3) propose a mechanistic understanding of the relationship between *S. alterniflora* and its root-associated prokaryotic community.

**Results**

**Primary productivity gradient**

Eight transects along a *S. alterniflora* primary productivity gradient were studied in two barrier islands in the state of Georgia, USA. A total of 24 sampling points were established and sampled during the years 2018 and 2019 in the Georgia Coastal Ecosystem-Long Term Ecological Research (GCE-LTER) site 6 at Sapelo Island (Lat 31.389° N, Long 81.277° W) and the Saltmarsh Ecosystem Research Facility (SERF) adjacent to the Skidaway Institute of Oceanography on Skidaway Island (Lat 31.975° N, Long 81.030° W) (Fig. S1). *S. alterniflora* shoot height
and biomass revealed a pronounced primary productivity gradient with ranges observed within sampled points from 16.5 to 128.4 cm and 1.4 to 1769.8 g m$^{-2}$, respectively. S. alterniflora plants were operationally classified in three phenotypes based on shoot height: short (< 50 cm), medium (50–80 cm), and tall (> 80 cm). Shoot biomass averaged 149.4 g m$^{-2}$, 307.8 g m$^{-2}$, and 958.7 g m$^{-2}$ in the short, medium, and tall phenotype zones, respectively. Although shoot biomass was not closely associated with changes in leaf N concentration and total inorganic N in interstitial porewater, a strong relationship with leaf $\delta^{15}$N and sediment C:N ratio was observed (Fig. 1a, b, and Figs. S2, S3, and S4). Higher shoot biomass was also associated with zones in the marsh with elevated sediment redox potential (Eh), which was evidenced not only by direct redox measurements, but also by higher concentrations of interstitial Fe$^{3+}$, and NO$_3^-$ in the zones dominated by the tall S. alterniflora phenotype (Fig. 1c, Fig. S3). Conversely, in zones dominated by the short and medium phenotypes, concentrations of porewater $\Sigma S^2$ were elevated, reaching up to 1.5 mM (Fig. S3). Shoot biomass also showed a negative relationship with leaf temperature, a proxy for leaf stomatal conductance ([79]; Fig. 1d).

Rates of extracellular enzyme activity for enzymes that catalyze the catabolism of organic C, N, and P compounds showed a strong relationship to S. alterniflora primary production. Rates of extracellular $\beta$-glucosidase (C), chitinase (C and N), and phosphatase (P) activity in homogenized sediment slurries were consistently higher in zones with greater S. alterniflora shoot biomass at both Sapelo and Skidaway Island (Fig. 2).

**Microbiome diversity**

Prokaryotic diversity and abundance were investigated across the S. alterniflora biomass gradient in three compartments: bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root. The root compartment was recovered by sonicaton in an epiphyte removal buffer, thus likely containing mostly endosphere with residual rhizoplane microbial communities [81]. A total of 32,740 unique amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred using DADA2 v.1.10 [9]. After quality filtering, 10,068,980 high-quality small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) sequence reads with a median depth of 49,619 reads per sample were used for microbiome analysis (further details in the “Materials and methods” section). Prokaryotic communities associated with the tall S. alterniflora bulk and rhizosphere sediment were more diverse and abundant when compared to those of the short phenotype (Fig. 3a, b). In the root compartment, alpha diversity and prokaryotic abundance were highest in the short phenotype (Fig. 3a, b).
root compartment showed a significant decline in alpha diversity in all plant phenotypes driven by a decrease in both richness and evenness when compared to their bulk and rhizospheric counterparts (Fig. 3a, c). Mean richness ± 95% confidence interval (CI95%) across microbiome compartments was 922 ± 40, 981 ± 33, and 695 ± 40 observed ASVs for bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root prokaryotic communities, respectively. Decreased evenness in the root compartment was evidenced by the presence of highly dominant ASVs (Fig. 3c). Despite the decrease in prokaryotic diversity in the root, abundance remained high, in the range of $10^7$ SSU rRNA gene copies g$^{-1}$ fresh root in both tall and short S. alterniflora phenotypes (Fig. 3b).

S. alterniflora phenotype and microbiome compartment were the most significant deterministic forces controlling prokaryotic community assembly in GA salt marshes (Fig. 3d, e, Table 1). Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index showed that S. alterniflora phenotype explained less species exchange in the root when compared to the other two compartments (Table 1).

Phylogenetic community structure was assessed with the nearest taxon index (NTI) and beta nearest taxon index ($\beta$NTI) for within and between prokaryotic communities, respectively [86, 87]. An NTI value greater than 2 indicates greater phylogenetic clustering within a community than expected by chance. All bulk and rhizospheric prokaryotic communities had an NTI greater than 2, while 91% of the root prokaryotic communities met this threshold. NTI values decreased in closer proximity to roots (Fig. S5). Average ± CI95% NTI values per microbiome compartment were 7.0 ± 0.3, 5.6 ± 0.2, and 3.8 ± 0.3 for prokaryotic communities from bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root compartments, respectively.
Fig. 3  Diversity and abundance of the *S. alterniflora* microbiome. Boxplots of the Shannon diversity index (a) and prokaryotic abundance determined by qPCR of SSU rRNA genes (b) per microbiome compartment and *S. alterniflora* phenotype. Evenness across plant compartments assessed by a cumulative rank-abundance plot (c). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix across all collected samples with colors representing microbiome compartment (d) and *S. alterniflora* phenotype (e). nMDS stress 0.10

Table 1  Analysis of the deterministic parameters controlling microbiome assembly. PERMANOVA analysis was conducted using the Bray-Curtis metric with 999 permutations. Results are provided for the complete data set and for microbiome compartments

| Factor              | All                | Bulk sediment | Rhizosphere | Root   |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|
|                     | F-value            | R²            | F-value     | R²     | F-value | R²     | F-value | R²     |
| Compartment         | 16.6               | 12.1**        | -           | -      | -       | -      | -       | -      |
| *S. alterniflora* phenotype | 12.0               | 8.8**        | 8.2         | 17.6** | 7.1      | 16.1** | 4.2      | 10.7** |
| Location            | 13.9               | 5.1**        | 9.2         | 9.8**  | 8.1      | 9.2**  | 4.7      | 5.9**  |
| Depth               | 4.6                | 1.7**        | 3.2         | 3.5**  | 2.4      | 2.7**  | 2.7      | 3.4**  |
| Year                | 2.3                | 0.8**        | 2.6         | 2.8**  | 1.5      | 1.7    | 1.6      | 2.0*   |
In order to assess phylogenetic turnover between similar environments in the investigated salt marshes, βNTI values between samples from the same microbiome compartment, *S. alterniflora* phenotype, year, and location were calculated. An βNTI value lower than −2 indicates less phylogenetic turnover between samples than expected by chance. Pairwise comparison between bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root prokaryotic communities revealed that 92.2%, 92.6%, and 77.8% βNTI values were below −2, respectively. Similar to NTI analysis, a trend of lower phylogenetic relatedness was observed closer to the root (Fig. S5). Average ± CI95% βNTI was −5.2 ± 0.3, −4.6 ± 0.3, and −3.1 ± 0.2 in bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root pairwise comparisons, respectively. The microbiome compartment effect on NTI and βNTI was consistent in all *S. alterniflora* phenotypes.

*S. alterniflora* root-associated prokaryotic community composition

Overall, at the phylum level, prokaryotic communities were predominated by ASVs from the Proteobacteria (46.7%), Chloroflexi (15.2%), Bacteroidetes (8.4%), Epsilonbacteraeota (3.7%), Spirochaetes (3.6%), and Acidobacteria (3.5%) phyla (Fig. S6). At higher *S. alterniflora* biomass, an increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria ASVs and a decline in the relative abundance of Chloroflexi and Spirochaetes ASVs was observed (Fig. S6). At increasing proximity from the root, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, and Epsilonbacteraeota increased while Acidobacteria and Bacteroidetes decreased (Fig. S6). Prokaryotic taxa with the potential to catalyze redox reactions in the S, Fe, and N cycles were investigated in greater detail due to their known significance in salt marsh ecosystem functioning. Putative function was inferred based on homology at the genus level with described prokaryotic species (Table S1). Prokaryotes putatively capable of nitrification (a.k.a. nitrifiers) exhibited higher relative abundance in areas colonized by the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype, in comparison to areas occupied by the short and medium phenotypes (Fig. 4a). Dominant nitrifiers in the studied system included members of the bacterial genera *Candidatus* Thiodiazotropha and *Candidatus* Nitrosopumilus genera, putative metal (Fe and Mn) reducer *Georgfuchsia*, and a diverse set of aerobic or facultative anaerobic chemoheterotrophs (Fig. S7b).

*S. alterniflora* core microbiome

Taxa consistently found in independent host-microbiome samples have been suggested to perform key functions in healthy host-microbiome interactions (Shade and Handelsman, 2011), and the set of persistent taxa have been defined as the host’s core microbiome. For this study, an ASV prevalence threshold was operationally defined by plotting the relative abundance and richness of the rhizosphere and root core microbiomes at 10% intervals from 0 to 100% ASV prevalence cutoffs (Fig. S8). A conservative prevalence cutoff of 60% was determined by visually inspecting a threshold in which richness remained stable at increasing cutoff values (Fig. S8). The *S. alterniflora* core root microbiome was composed of only 38 out of 14,505 ASVs and 54 out of 19,435 ASVs in the root and rhizosphere, respectively. However, in both cases, the core microbiome comprised approximately 20% relative abundance of the total prokaryotic community (Fig. S8). Both the root and the rhizosphere core microbiomes were dominated by taxa with inferred metabolic potential for S redox reactions (Fig. 5). The *S. alterniflora* root core microbiome was comprised of putative autotrophic S oxidizers of the *Sulfurovum* and *Candidatus* Thiodiazotropha genera (Fig. 5), while sulfate reducers were represented by ASVs from the *Desulfatiglobus* and *Desulfosarcina* genera (Fig. 5). Core root taxa with diazotrophic potential included ASVs from the *Candidatus* Thiodiazotropha, *Desulfatitalea*, *Desulfobacula*, and *Desulfovibrio* genera were enriched at closer proximities to the *S. alterniflora* root (Fig. 4d).
Desulfobulbus, and Spirochaeta genera (Fig. 5). In the rhizosphere, the proportion of ASVs with unknown classification at the genus level according to the SILVA database (release 132) comprised up to ~60% relative abundance of the core microbiome. Core rhizosphere ASVs with the putative capacity for S oxidation included members of the *Sulfurovum* and *Thioalkalispira* genera (Fig. 5). Similar to the root core microbiome, nearly half of the identified taxa at the genus level in the core rhizosphere presented sulfate reduction capability, such as ASVs from the *Desulfatitalea, Desulfocarbo, Desulfatiglans*, *Desulfosarcina*, SEEP-SRB1, and Sva0081 genera (Fig. 5). Putative nitrifiers from the *Candidatus Nitrospira* genus were members of the rhizosphere core microbiome (Fig. 5). Finally, taxa with N fixation capability in the rhizosphere core microbiome included putative sulfur oxidizers from the *Thioalkalispira* genus, sulfate reducers from the *Desulfatitalea* genus, and bacterium from the *Spirochaeta* genus (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

**Biogeochemical processes linked to *S. alterniflora* primary productivity at the local scale**

*S. alterniflora* primary productivity is strongly linked to N uptake kinetics in field and lab studies [37, 66, 68]. At the local scale, reduced, anoxic, and sulfidic root conditions were shown to lead to a decline in root energy status, affecting ammonium uptake kinetics [44, 68]. In parallel, elevated salinity has been associated with a decrease in stomatal conductance and photosynthetic activity, along with an increase in dark respiration [29, 38]. Our observations corroborate these past results showing that *S. alterniflora* primary productivity is hampered at reduced sediment Eh and under highly sulfidic conditions, when the plants experience limited leaf gas exchange. Results from this study also support our previous research which revealed the dynamic interplay between the growth/physiology of macrophyte plants and macrofaunal bioturbation [30, 48], with crab burrow density shown to
directly correlate with aboveground plant biomass and sediment redox potential (Fig. S2).

Significant differences in the stable N isotope composition of sediment and *S. alterniflora* leaves provide evidence that N sources and dynamics are distinct along the studied *S. alterniflora* primary productivity gradient [13]. We argue that elevated leaf and sediment δ15N in the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype is the result of (i) greater nitrogen loss by coupled nitrification-denitrification and (ii) differences in the source of N input. Denitrification in Georgia salt marshes is limited and tightly coupled to prokaryotic nitrification, which discriminates against 15N [13, 18]. Prokaryotes capable of nitrification show higher relative abundance in sediments dominated by the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype (Fig. 4a), and their activity is known to be inhibited by sulfide toxicity [18, 41]. Porewater sulfide concentration in the short and medium *S. alterniflora* phenotype was an order of magnitude higher than in the tall phenotype. A second explanation for δ15N enrichment in the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype is the greater source of planktonic N at closer proximities to the tidal creek. Planktonic tissue has a δ15N signature of 8.6 ± 1.0‰ [76], which is more similar to the leaf δ15N measured in the tall *S. alterniflora* (7.6 ± 0.3‰) when compared to the short phenotype (5.5 ± 0.4‰) (Fig. S4). A larger discrepancy in δ13C between sediment and leaf tissue in the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype, as well as a lower sediment C:N ratio, supports our interpretation of a reduced relative contribution of vascular plant material to organic matter diagenesis (Fig. S4, Fig. 1b, [21, 28]). The average ± CI95% δ13C signature in sediments from the tall *S. alterniflora* phenotype (−20.3 ± 0.4 ‰) more closely resembles that of reported phytoplankton,

![Fig. 5 Prokaryotic identity and relative abundance of the *S. alterniflora* rhizosphere and root core microbiome. Phylogenetic characterization was conducted using an approximately maximum-likelihood model of the 72 ASVs comprising the *S. alterniflora* core rhizosphere and root microbiome. Taxonomic information at the genus level is provided for all ASVs. When the taxonomic assignment was unknown at the genus level, the “Unk” prefix was used before the highest resolution taxonomic level assigned](image-url)
which enters the marsh via tidal creeks (−17 to −24%, [22]; −21.3 ± 1.1‰, [76]; −20.16‰, [15]). The C:N ratio is considered as a proxy for soil organic matter reactivity, and a lower C:N ratio indicates a greater potential for rapid biodegradation and N mineralization [40]. In agreement with this interpretation, sediments with low C:N ratios from the tall S. alterniflora zone contained higher prokaryotic biomass, and higher rates of extra-cellular enzyme activities involved in the C, N, and P cycles. We propose that plant primary productivity is enhanced in the tall S. alterniflora zone in part due to more rapid microbial mineralization of higher-quality sediment organic matter of planktonic origin, with released inorganic nutrients then made available for plant uptake.

Assembly of the S. alterniflora root microbiome

Previous studies have reported contradictory results with regard to the relationship between the diversity of the S. alterniflora microbiome, plant productivity, and proximity to the root [45, 54, 104]. Our finding of higher prokaryotic alpha diversity in bulk and rhizospheric sediment associated with the tall S. alterniflora phenotype is consistent with previous findings from Skidaway Island, GA [45]. However, Zogg et al. [104] and Lin et al. [54] did not observe significant differences in prokaryotic alpha diversity between the tall and short S. alterniflora phenotypes in bulk and rhizospheric sediments from New England and Guangdong province in China, respectively. Contrasting results could be due to limitations in methodology and experimental design in previous work. Sequencing platforms continue to evolve, enabling higher sequence coverage at lower cost, and our sampling effort was approximately an order of magnitude more intensive than previous studies characterizing the S. alterniflora root microbiome, including our own past work [45, 54, 104]. Moreover, recently developed in silico technology to infer ASVs instead of clustering sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) could also impact the estimation of alpha diversity metrics.

Few studies have investigated microbial diversity in wetland plant roots. Nonetheless, consistent with our results, a single report from S. alterniflora and studies from other wetland/estuarine plants show a decline in diversity in the root compared to the bulk and rhizosphere compartments [20, 34, 61]. Prokaryotic abundance in the endosphere has been previously estimated in the 10^4 to 10^6 cells g^-1 range across an array of plant species [8]. Taking into consideration that many of these previous estimates employed cultivation-based methods, and prokaryotic genomes often contain multiple SSU rRNA operons, our observation of prokaryotic abundance (10^7 SSU rRNA gene copies g^-1) would be placed at the high-end of that range. A marked decrease in prokaryotic alpha diversity with high abundances is an indication that the S. alterniflora root compartment is enriched in dominant and highly active species taking advantage of labile carbon sources, reduced inorganic compounds, and the oxidized environment found in the S. alterniflora root [11, 59]. Increased relative abundances of S and Fe chemolithotrophs, and aerobic and facultative anaerobic chemoorganotrophs at closer proximities to the root further support this interpretation.

Microbial community assembly in the root endosphere has been proposed as a two-step colonization process [8]. The first step is driven by microbial proliferation in the rhizosphere by species capable of utilizing plant-released substrates, while the second is a fine-tuning step in the rhizoplane, where selection by the plant’s genotype-dependent immune system takes place [8]. Endospheric microbial species have co-evolved to evade the plant immune system by secreting effector proteins that mimic plant proteins [95]. In S. alterniflora, a decrease in prokaryotic richness in the root compartment and the fact that plant phenotype was the most important deterministic factor assembling the root prokaryotic community suggest that plant selection is an important process in community assembly. However, community assembly is a result of co-occurring deterministic and stochastic processes [17, 87]. Generally, environmental filtering has been shown to be the main deterministic process assembling microbial communities spatially, as evidenced by phylogenetic clustering [23, 86]. Nevertheless, in our study, environmental filtering was relaxed at closer proximity to the root, a microenvironment characterized by an abundance of high-quality e^- donors and acceptors. Most likely, increased competition, the dominance of fast-growing bacteria filling a resource-rich niche, and historical contingency (i.e., first prokaryotic species to colonize the root successfully outcompete other taxa) are co-occurring ecological processes reducing the relative importance of environmental filtering in the root zone [24, 31, 94]. Increased species dominance, decreased richness, and increased phylogenetic dispersion with high prokaryotic abundances in the S. alterniflora root support this hypothesis.

Characterization of the S. alterniflora core microbiome and potential plant-microbe interactions driving primary productivity

Our results indicate that putative sulfate-reducing and sulfur-oxidizing prokaryotes comprise a large proportion of the S. alterniflora root and rhizosphere core microbiomes. Sulfate-reducing communities are comprised of sulfate-reducing bacteria filling a resource-rich niche, and historical contingency (i.e., first prokaryotic species to colonize the root successfully outcompete other taxa) are co-occurring ecological processes reducing the relative importance of environmental filtering in the root zone [24, 31, 94]. Increased species dominance, decreased richness, and increased phylogenetic dispersion with high prokaryotic abundances in the S. alterniflora root support this hypothesis.
In salt marsh ecosystems, biogeochemical data indicate that the C and S cycles are tightly coupled, with oxidize plant-derived aromatic compounds (e.g., lignin) [1, 90]. In salt marsh ecosystems, biogeochemical data indicates that the C and S cycles are tightly coupled, with S. alterniflora photosynthetic activity fueling the activity and C utilization of sulfate reducers in the rhizosphere [39, 49, 85]. Similarly, sulfide oxidizers thrive in the S. alterniflora root zone, especially in the short phenotype, where sulfide concentration is elevated ([45, 92]; this study). In our study, ASVs from the Sulfurovum genus were enriched in all compartments of the short S. alterniflora phenotype. This genus has been described as highly versatile and diverse, allowing for efficient niche partitioning in highly dynamic sulfidic and oxic environments [65, 72]. The co-occurrence of prokaryotic ASVs associated with S anaerobic and aerobic metabolisms in the S. alterniflora rhizosphere and root, and highly dynamic O₂ concentrations at the microscale [46] support the interpretation of a rapid and cryptic S cycle at close proximity to, or even inside, the root tissue. Rapid and coupled cycling of C and S are crucial to the replenishment of nutrients and electron acceptors in the S. alterniflora root zone to support high microbial and plant activity. Rapid organic matter mineralization is especially relevant to effectively recycle N, most often the limiting nutrient for plant productivity in the salt marsh (the “Biogeochemical processes linked to S. alterniflora primary productivity at the local scale” section).

Previous studies in marshes of the southeastern US show that plant photosynthetic activity and rhizodeposition stimulate N fixation by root-associated sulfate reducers [26, 57, 100]. Consistently, prokaryotic species from the Desulfobulbus, Desulfatitalea, Desulfovibrio, and Sulfurospirillum genera, either significantly enriched or members of the S. alterniflora root core microbiome, have been shown to couple sulfate or sulfur respiration to N fixation [33, 91]. Species from the root and rhizosphere core microbiome genera Candidatus Thiodiazotropha and Thioalkalispira have the metabolic potential to couple S oxidation with C and N fixation [4, 75]. Intriguingly, Candidatus Thiodiazotropha is a recently described genus of endosymbionts discovered in the gills of lucinid bivalves [75]. Lucinid bivalves are not generally found in salt marshes, but they have been associated with reduced plant sulfide stress in seagrass meadows and mangroves [25, 52, 53]. In these ecosystems, a tripartite symbiotic relationship occurs, whereby bivalves provide O₂ to endosymbiotic sulfide oxidizers that detoxify the plant’s environment from sulfide, a known phytotoxin [25]. Recent studies revealed that Candidatus Thiodiazotropha is also an important and prevalent member of the seagrass root microbiome, suggesting that these chemolithoautotrophic S oxidizers form a direct association with subtidal marine plant species without the need of a lucinid bivalve partner [14, 62]. Our study confirms this finding and expands the distribution range of Candidatus Thiodiazotropha to the roots of intertidal, estuarine plant species.

Although sulfide is generally considered as a potent phytotoxin, moderately sulfidic conditions (< 1 mM) have been shown to actually stimulate S. alterniflora growth in a controlled laboratory experiment [71]. Energy conservation from sulfide oxidation in the root tissue was speculated to be the driver of increased plant primary production [68]. Furthermore, sulfide oxidation to sulfate has been demonstrated inside S. alterniflora root tissues using isotope tracers [11, 50]. However, it is still not clear what process, biological or chemical, dominates sulfide oxidation inside S. alterniflora root. We propose that S. alterniflora shares a symbiotic relationship with S oxidizers in both the rhizosphere and root compartments. Sulfur oxidation may be mediated by not only Candidatus Thiodiazotropha bacteria, but also members of the Sulfurovum and Thioalkalispira genera or endosymbionts from the Thiomicrspirales order. Previously studied microbial species from the Sulfurovum genus and endosymbionts from the Thiomicrspirales order have been demonstrated to fix C; whereas members from Desulfovibrio, Thioalkalispira, and Candidatus Thiodiazotropha genera have been shown to perform both C and N fixation [4, 75, 89, 91]. Moreover, Crump et al. [14] studying the root microbiome of seagrass Zostera spp. found high transcript levels of N fixing and sulfur-oxidizing genes from Gammaproteobacteria species, including endosymbionts of marine invertebrates from the Sedimenticolaceae family, which includes the Candidatus Thiodiazotropha genus. Given that the S. alterniflora root zone is enriched in reduced S and its growth is limited by N uptake, we suggest that diazotrophy coupled to sulfide oxidation may be a key process that was previously overlooked. However, direct measurements of N and C fixation, and sulfur oxidation in the roots of S. alterniflora, their rates and controls, along with their relative contribution to plant growth remain unclear and require further research. Given that most studies, including this study, have inferred the coupling of S oxidation and N fixation based on gene homology and/or taxonomic placement, this interpretation should be treated with caution.
Conclusions
We studied a gradient in S. alterniflora productivity to characterize the ecology and physiology of the S. alterniflora root-associated microbiome and its potential role in shaping plant physiological performance. In sediments from the tall S. alterniflora phenotype, higher prokaryotic biomass and more rapid microbial mineralization of organic matter were linked to greater inorganic nutrient replenishment for plant uptake. Prokaryotic communities from bulk and rhizospheric sediment associated with the tall S. alterniflora phenotype contained the highest alpha diversity, while a decline in diversity was observed in the root in comparison to the bulk and rhizosphere sediment compartments in all S. alterniflora phenotypes. A marked decrease in prokaryotic alpha diversity with high abundances and increased phylogenetic dispersion was observed in the S. alterniflora root compartment. Thus, we propose that the S. alterniflora root microbiome is dominated by highly active and competitive species taking advantage of available carbon substrates in the oxidized root zone. The high relative abundance of prokaryotic ASVs with putative S oxidation and sulfate reduction capability in the S. alterniflora rhizosphere and root suggests a rapid S cycle at close proximity to, or even inside, the root tissue. Moreover, both functional guilds were overrepresented in the S. alterniflora rhizosphere and root core microbiome. Rapid recycling of S is crucial for organic matter mineralization in anoxic marsh sediments. Thus, we propose that S. alterniflora shares a symbiotic relationship with S oxidizing bacteria in both the rhizosphere and root compartments. Sulfur oxidizers may benefit S. alterniflora plants not only by removing potentially toxic sulfide from the root zone, but also by coupling S oxidation with N and/or C fixation. The contribution to plant growth of each of these microbial processes represents a knowledge gap that warrants further research.

Materials and methods
Sampling design and general site description
The study was carried out in two salt marshes for which long-term data is available in the state of Georgia, USA: (i) the Georgia Coastal Ecosystem-Long Term Ecological Research (GCE-LTER) site 6 at Sapelo Island (Lat 31.389° N, Long 81.277° W) and (ii) the Saltmarsh Ecosystem Research Facility (SERF) adjacent to the Skidaway Institute of Oceanography on Skidaway Island (Lat 31.975° N, Long 81.030° W) (Fig. S1). The GCE-LTER site was sampled twice, during July 2018 and 2019, while the SERF site was sampled once in July 2019. Four ~100-m transects adjacent to large tidal creeks with two to four sampling points along S. alterniflora primary productivity gradients were sampled at each site (total: 24 sampling points, Fig. S1).

At each sampling point along the transects, a 50 cm × 50 cm quadrat was established to measure the density of marsh periwinkle snails (Littoraria irrorata) and fiddler crab (Uca pugnax) burrows. In situ sediment pH and redox potential (Eh) were measured in triplicate at two sediment depths (2.5 and 7.5 cm) with a hand-held pH/ORP meter during the two sampling events at Sapelo Island (HI-98121 tester, Hanna instruments, Woonsocket, RI, USA).

S. alterniflora ecophysiology and elemental analysis
S. alterniflora shoot density was quantified at every sampling point in 50 cm × 50 cm quadrats, and shoot height measured for 10 plants per sampling point. S. alterniflora plants were operationally classified in three phenotypes based on shoot height: short (< 50 cm), medium (50 – 80 cm), and tall (> 80 cm). S. alterniflora shoot biomass was estimated by allometry, with an equation calibrated at Sapelo Island (Table S2, [101]). During the 2019 sampling events, leaf C and N concentrations and 13C and 15N isotopic natural abundance were determined for 3 plants per sampling point with elemental and isotope analyses conducted at the University of Georgia – Center for Applied Isotope Studies (CAIS https://cais.uga.edu/). Leaf elemental analysis was performed by the micro-Dumas method, while isotopic natural abundance was measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. 13C natural abundance was expressed as the per mille (‰) deviation from the Pee Dee Belemnite standard (PDB) 13C:12C ratio (δ13C), while 15N natural abundance expressed as the % deviation from the N2 atmospheric 15N:14N ratio (δ15N). Leaf temperature, a proxy for stomatal conductance [79], was measured between 11:00 and 12:00 utilizing a Fluke-62 MAX+ infrared thermometer (Fluke Co. USA, Everett, WA). All leaf ecophysiological measurements were performed in young, expanded, and sun-exposed leaves.

Porewater and sediment sampling and chemical analysis
Rhizon samplers with 0.15-µm pore size filters (model CSS, Rhizosphere Research Products, Wageningen, The Netherlands, https://www.rhizosphere.com/rhizons) were used to extract sediment porewater at 2.5- and 7.5-cm depth from every sampling point. For each porewater sample, a 2-ml subsample was frozen at −20°C for salinity, nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate concentration analysis; a 2-ml subsample was immediately acidified with 20 µl 12N HCl for Fe2+ and Fe3+ concentration analysis; and a 100-µl subsample was immediately fixed into 1 ml zinc acetate 2% (w/v) solution for sulfide concentration analysis [39, 48].
Porewater concentrations of nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, sulfide, Fe$^{3+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ were quantified based on spectrophotometric methods as previously described [12, 27, 84, 88, 98]. Porewater chloride concentration was determined by HPLC with ultraviolet detection as described by Beckler et al. [5]. Salinity was calculated based on porewater chloride concentration.

Sediment samples were collected at all sampling points from two depth intervals, 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm. An approximately 30-g sediment subsample was oven-dried at 60°C for 72 h. The oven-dried sample was homogenized using a PowerGen high-throughput homogenizer (Fisherbrand, Pittsburgh, PA) and sent to the University of Georgia CAIS (https://cais.uga.edu/) for organic C and N concentration and $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N isotopic natural abundance analyses. Sediment organic C and $^{13}$C isotopic natural abundance was analyzed in acid-fumigated samples [80].

Samples collected for microbial community analysis were flash-frozen in an ethanol and dry ice bath and stored at −80°C until nucleic acid extraction. Sediment samples collected for enzymatic rates were kept at 4 °C and analyzed within 4 h after sampling.

**Extracellular enzyme activity**

Rates of extracellular enzymatic activity (β-glucosidase, phosphatase, and chitinase) were measured in 2019 in Sapelo and Skidaway Island. Technical duplicates of 0–10-cm-deep sediment samples were collected for each sampling point. Rates were analyzed in a homogenized sediment slurry using fluorescent 4-methylumbelliferone (MUF)-linked substrates (Table S3). Slurry preparation consisted of mixing wet sediment with 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7) in a 1:2 (w/v) ratio. The slurry was homogenized with a stomacher homogenizer (model 400; Seward Medical, London, England) at 200 rpm for ~1-mm filter bags. Sediment slurry (800 μl) was incubated in the dark with 200 μl of fluorescent-linked substrate (initial substrate concentration 40 μM). Product accumulation was measured at 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 3, 4, 6, and 8 h after the start of the incubation based on fluorescence intensity (excitation 355 nm, emission 460 nm) using a microplate fluorescence reader (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). Enzymatic rates were calculated by fitting a linear regression and reported in μmol kg$_{wet~sediment}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$.

**Plant sampling, compartment fractionation, and molecular biology**

*S. alterniflora* roots were sampled at two depths (0–5 and 5–10 cm). Sediment loosely attached to the root was immediately washed two times with creek water in the field. Roots with remaining rhizospheric sediment were flash-frozen in an ethanol-dry ice bath and stored at −80°C until analysis.

**Plant compartment separation**

Separation of the root and rhizosphere compartments was performed by sonication in an epiphyte removal buffer (0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer) [81]. Sonication was performed at 4°C for 10 min with pulses of 160W for 30 s interspersed with 30-s pauses. Sediment detached from the roots was centrifuged and considered to be the rhizosphere compartment, while the sonicated roots were considered as the root compartment. The root compartment was washed with PBS buffer (pH 7.4) three consecutive times and ground with liquid N before DNA extraction. Simmons et al. [81] protocol was designed to isolate endospheric DNA; however, since we did not confirm the separation by microscopy, it is possible that our root compartment contained residues of the rhizoplane compartment.

**Removal of extracellular DNA**

Prior to bulk sediment DNA extraction, extracellular dissolved or sediment-adsorbed DNA was removed according to Lever et al. [51]. Briefly, 2 g of sediment was incubated with 2 ml carbonate dissolution solution (0.43 M sodium acetate, 0.43 M acetic acid, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM sodium metaphosphate, 3% (w/v) NaCl, pH 4.7) for 1 h while orbital shaking at 300 rpm and room temperature. Afterwards, 16 ml of a 300mM Tris–HCl and 10mM EDTA solution (3% NaCl, pH 10.0) was added into the slurry and incubated for 1 additional hour at the same orbital shaking condition. After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 min at room temperature, the pellet was composed by extracellular-DNA free sediment and used for prokaryotic community characterization.

**DNA extraction and sequencing library preparation**

DNA extraction for all assessed compartments was performed using the DNeasy PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of extracted DNA was determined with the Qubit HS assay (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Amplification of the SSU rRNA gene V4 region was performed using the primers 515F (5′-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA′) and 806R (5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAAT′) [10]. Reactions were performed in triplicate of 5-ng DNA template in a solution containing DreamTaq buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.5 μM of each primer, 0.75 μM of each mitochondrial (mpRNA) and plastid (pRNA) peptide nucleic acid (PNA) clamps, and 1.25 U DreamTaq DNA polymerase as previously described [45], further details in Table S4. PNA clamps have been shown to reduce plant plastid and mitochondrial DNA amplification in
PCR reactions [58]. Triplicate PCR products were pooled together, barcoded with 10-base unique barcodes (Fluidigm Corporation, San Francisco, CA), and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq2000 platform using a 500-cycle v2 sequencing kit (250 paired-end reads) at the Research Resources Center in the University of Illinois at Chicago. The raw SSU rRNA gene amplicon sequences have been deposited in the BioProject database (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject) under accessions PRJNA666636.

Quantification of prokaryotic abundance

Prokaryotic abundance was quantified by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) of the SSU rRNA gene with general primers set in a subset of 24 samples collected in Sapelo Island in 2018 and 2019. The subset comprised superficial (0–5 cm) samples from all three compartments, collected from the four established transects in Sapelo Island. Only samples from the tall and short extreme S. alterniflora phenotypes were included into the analysis. Samples were analyzed in triplicate using the StepOnePlus platform (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Reactions were performed in a final volume of 20μl using the standard primers set 515F (5′-GTG CCA GCMGCC GCGGTAA) and 806R (5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWT CATAT) specific for the prokaryotic SSU rRNA gene ([10], Table S4). To avoid plant plastid and mitochondrial DNA amplification from rhizosphere and root samples, peptide nucleic acid PCR blockers (PNA clamps, 0.75 μM) were added to all qPCR reactions [58]. Standard calibration was performed from a 10-fold serial dilution (10^1 to 10^8 molecules) of standard pGEM-T Easy plasmids (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) containing target sequences from Escherichia coli K12. Specificity of PCR products was confirmed by melting curve analyses. Prokaryotic SSU rRNA gene copy numbers were calculated as gene copy number g^-1 of fresh material.

Ecological, phylogenetic, statistical, and bioinformatic analysis

Amplification primers were removed from raw fastq files using Cutadapt v.2.0 [60]. Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred from quality-filtered reads utilizing DADA2 v.1.10 [9]. Paired reads were merged, and reads between 251 and 255 bp in length were conserved. Chimeras were removed using the removeBimeraDenovo function from the DADA2 package. Taxonomy was assigned utilizing the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Naïve Bayesian Classifier [97] against the SILVA SSU rRNA reference alignment (Release 132, [78]). Sequences classified as chloroplast, mitochondrial, and eukaryotic or that did not match any taxonomic phylum were excluded from the dataset. Reads were filtered to remove ASVs that appeared in less than 5% of the samples and/or had less than 10 total counts. A total of 32,740 unique ASVs were aligned to the SILVA SSU rRNA reference alignment (Release 132, [78]) in mothur v.1.43 [82], and an approximately maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using FastTree v.2.1 [77]. Finally, 10,068,980 high-quality SSU rRNA sequence reads with a median depth of 49,619 reads per sample were used for subsequent analysis.

Shannon diversity index was estimated using the phyloseq v.1.26 package [63]. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination utilizing the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance was performed. Multivariate variation of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix was partitioned to microbiome compartment (bulk sediment, rhizosphere, and root), S. alterniflora phenotype (tall, medium, and short), depth (0–5 cm and 5–10 cm), location (Sapelo Island and Skidaway Island), and year (2018 and 2019) based on a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis with 999 permutations performed in vegan v. 2.5 [74]. PERMANOVA analysis was run for the complete dataset and in subsets per microbiome compartment. Differential abundance analysis was performed to assess genera that were significantly enriched in specific plant compartments, and in zones of the marsh associated with different S. alterniflora phenotypes, using DESeq2 v.1.26 [56].

To evaluate phylogenetic community structure within (alpha) and between (beta) communities, we quantified the nearest taxon index (NTI) and the beta nearest taxon index (βNTI), respectively [86, 87]. NTI and βNTI indices were calculated as the number of standard deviations of the observed mean-nearest-taxon-distance (MNTD) and βMNTD from a null distribution (999 randomizations of all ASV names across phylogenetic tree tips) using the picante package v. 1.8 [42]. For within community analysis, an NTI greater than +2 indicates that coexisting taxa are more closely related than expected by chance (phylogenetic clustering due to environmental filtering), while an NTI less than –2 indicates that coexisting taxa are more distantly related than expected by chance (phylogenetic overdispersion due to greater competition between closely related ASVs) [86]. For βNTI, we assessed pairwise comparisons for samples from the same plant compartment, S. alterniflora phenotype, and sampling event in order to evaluate if phylogenetic structure within communities (NTI) replicated at a greater scale (βNTI between samples occupying the same marsh microenvironment). A βNTI value <-2 or >+2 indicates less or greater than expected phylogenetic turnover between two samples than expected by chance, respectively [86].
The *S. alterniflora* core root microbiome was investigated. For this study, an ASV prevalence threshold was operationally defined by plotting the relative abundance and richness of the rhizosphere and root core microbiomes at 10% intervals from 0 to 100% ASV prevalence cutoffs (Fig. S8). A conservative prevalence cutoff of 60% was determined by visually inspecting a threshold in which richness remained stable at increasing cutoff values (Fig. S8). Finally, putative nitrifying, S oxidizing, S/sulfate reducing, and Fe oxidizing function was inferred based on homology of ASVs at the genus level with previously described prokaryotic species (Table S1).
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