Age-related changes of nasal cavity and conchae volumes and volume fractions in children: a stereological study
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Background: The anatomy of the human nasal cavity (NC) is complex and its structures are closely related to the functions of the NC. Studies which assessing the mean volumes of NC and conchae are very infrequent. The purpose of current study is to investigate development of NC and conchae according to age and sex by using stereological method.

Materials and methods: This retrospective volumetric study was carried out on 342 individuals (166 females and 176 males) between 0 and 18 years old with no pathological conditions or medical procedures that affected the skeletal morphology of the NC. Volumetric estimations were determined on computed tomography (CT) images using point-counting approach of stereological methods.

Results: NC, inferior nasal conchae (INC) and middle nasal conchae (MNC) volume measurements that obtained using point-counting method were increased with age in both sexes until 15 years old. Regardless of gender; no significant difference was determined between the left and right values for NC, conchae volumes and choanae measurements. Generally, significant differences were determined in NC and INC volumes according to gender after they reached maximum growth period. According to age the volume ratios of INC to NC and MNC to NC were ranged from 18% to 32% and 9% to 15%, respectively.

Conclusions: The current study demonstrated that the point-counting method is effective in determining volume estimation of NC and is well suited for CT studies. Our results could provide volumetric indexes for the NC and conchae, which could help the physician for both patient selections for surgery, and for the assessment of any surgical technique used to treatment of nasal obstruction. (Folia Morphol 2016; 75, 1: 38–47)
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INTRODUCTION

Nasal cavity (NC) is the first part of the respiratory system. This cavity opens anteriorly through the nostril and communicates posteriorly with nasopharynx through the choanae. NC is divided by nasal septum into right and left sides. The lateral walls on each side of NC are modified by the presence of 3 prominent bony projections called conchae or turbinates [36, 49]. The nasal epithelium covering the conchae serves to warm, moisten and cleanse the inspired air. Olfactory epithelium in the upper medial portion of the NC is concerned with sense of smell. Thanks
to these features it affects the voice by functioning as a resonating chamber. Conchae have a dynamic structure. This enables them to adjust the flow rate and volume of the air inhaled. Conchae increase the surface area that the air comes into contact with to achieve this function [23].

Nasal airway plays an important role in the normal craniofacial development [44, 45]. Animal studies revealed that nasal obstruction could cause malocclusion and craniofacial deformities and when nasal obstruction was removed, the malocclusion and craniofacial structural plane angles could be partially reversed [41, 45]. Nasal obstruction also cause sleep apnoea and hypopnoea [37] and snoring and nasal obstruction were the most common symptoms in a survey of children and adolescents with obstructive sleep apnoea–hypopnoea syndrome [16].

NC and its structures are of crucial importance for endoscopic sinus surgery, transverse maxillary deficiency, septal deformities and mucosal disease associated with conchae hypertrophy [20, 26]. Conchae hypertrophy is one of the causes of nasal air passage obstruction and is usually observed in allergic rhinitis, vasomotor rhinitis and septal deviation (compensatory hypertrophy) [4, 28]. Clinicians have investigated size and structure of middle nasal conchae (MNC) and inferior nasal conchae (INC) [14, 23]. A variety of surgical techniques have been reported for the treatment of nasal obstruction. Potential complications of the treatment techniques applied are still on the agenda [20, 34]. Acoustic rhinometry, rhinomanometry and computed tomography (CT) may be used for assessing the morphometry of NC and a comparison of pre- and postoperative results in patients with nasal obstruction [4, 21, 22]. Terheyden et al. [39] took CT as gold standard in measuring NC parameters, and many studies [5, 38] applied CT in indicating nasal cross-sectional area, volume, and anatomy structures. Changes of NC and its structures morphometry should be define before planning the treatment process. An understanding of age and sex related changes of NC structure would certainly assist the clinicians in deciding which surgical technique to utilise. Previous studies have investigated size and morphometry of NC and its structures for the evaluation and the treatment of patients with nasal obstruction [14, 47]. The value of our study lies in the fact that only 1 study in the literature have investigated the volume of the NC, MNC and INC with CT images using stereological method in healthy people [12] and no study has investigated the volume changes of NC and volume fraction of the nasal conchae according to age and sex by using stereological method.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was performed retrospectively on coronal scan images taken from 342 Turkish individuals (166 females and 176 males) aged between 0 and 18 years who had been admitted to Erciyes University Medical Faculty. Subjects for the present study were selected from a larger pool of individuals and were included in the analysis based on the absence of pathological conditions or medical procedures that affected the skeletal morphology of the NC. The CT images were examined to exclude the maxillofacial deformities, turbinate hypertrophy, severe nasal septum deviation, adenoidal or tonsillar hypertrophy, and other related diseases like inflammation or tumour which could impact nasal airway morphology and anatomy. The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Erciyes University, Turkey.

**CT procedure**

We analysed the intact cranial CT images of all the subjects. The CT images were prepared using the following protocol. The coronal CT scans of cranial images were obtained using a CT scanner (Multislice 16 detector GE) applying the following parameters: 120 kV, 130 mAs, field of view: 24–25 cm, section time: 2.7 s, slice thickness: 0.6 mm. CT images were taken from coronal plan from Picture Archive and Communication System (PACS).

**Cavalieri principle applied to CT sections and stereological analysis**

Point-counting method is based on the Cavalieri principle that is used for an unbiased estimation of the volume of any structure [6, 17]. Using the Cavalieri method (point-counting), an estimate of the volume of a structure of irregular shape and size may be obtained influentially and with known precision [31]. According to this method, the CT images of a section series 0.6 mm thickness were used to estimate the region of interest (ROI) volume. The nasopharyngeal meatus marked the posterior boundary of the entire NC. The first slice in the axial plane, in which the nostril opening was completely visible, was used as the anterior boundary. The films were displayed on computer and the transparent square grid test system with d = 0.3 cm between the test points was
superimposed, randomly covering the entire image frame (Fig. 1). The points hitting the ROI-sectioned surface area were counted for each section and the volumes of NC, MNC and INC were estimated using the modified (Eq. 1) for volume estimations of radiological images shown below [11, 32, 33].

\[
V = t \times \left( \frac{SU \times d}{SL} \right)^2 \times \sum P \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

Where ‘t’ is the section thickness of consecutive sections, ‘SU’ is the scale unit of the printed film, ‘d’ is the distance between the points of the grid, ‘SL’ is the measured length of the scale printed on the film. ‘\(\sum P\)’ is the total number of points hitting the sectioned cut surface areas of region of interest [13, 32, 33].

In addition to the volume measurements; the length and width of the posterior choanae were determined bilaterally on coronal CT images (Fig. 2).

**Volume fraction estimation**

Volume is a simple and very widely used parameter in biomedical science [24]. It is used to express the proportion of a phase or component within the whole structure. The volume fraction of an X phase within a Y reference volume is simply expressed as follows (Eq. 2):

\[
Vv (X, Y) = \frac{Volume of X phase in Y reference space}{Volume of Y reference space} \tag{Eq. 2}
\]

Where the ‘\(Vv (X, Y)\)’ indicates volume fraction of X phase within Y reference volume. Volume fraction rates change between 0 and 1 and is often expressed as a percentage [19].

The volume fraction of a phase can be estimated by means of the Cavalieri principle on radiological images using point-counting approach [25]. The volume fraction formula with the point-counting grid can be written as following Eq. 3.

\[
Vv (X, Y) = \frac{\sum P_x}{\sum P_y} \tag{Eq. 3}
\]

Where ‘\(\sum P_x\)’ indicates the number of points hitting the X phase and ‘\(\sum P_y\)’ the number of points hitting the reference space Y.

We estimated the volume fraction of the MNC and INC within the NC by means of volume fraction approach, i.e. the INC volume within the NC volume using the following formula (Eq. 4).

\[
Vv (\text{inferior nasal conchae}, \text{nasal cavity}) = \frac{\sum P_{\text{inferior nasal conchae}}}{\sum P_{\text{nasal cavity}}} \tag{Eq. 4}
\]

Where, ‘\(\sum P_{\text{inferior nasal conchae}}\)’ is the total number of points hitting the components of INC and ‘\(\sum P_{\text{nasal cavity}}\)’ is the total number of points hitting sectioned surface of NC including all parts.

The volume fraction of the INC volume within the NC was estimated as:

\[
Vv (\text{inferior nasal conchae}, \text{nasal cavity}) = \frac{\sum P_{\text{inferior nasal conchae}}}{\sum P_{\text{nasal cavity}}} = \frac{0.17 \text{ cm}^3}{0.90 \text{ cm}^3} = 0.18 = 18\%
\]

**The coefficient of error for point-counting**

The coefficient of error (CE) of the point-counting method was calculated using the formula described...
Statistical analysis

The comparing of the volume results between the genders were analysed using the independent t test and the comparing of the volume results between right and left NC and its structures were analysed using pair samples t test. The estimation of the effects of aging on the size of the NC and its structures was applied using Pearson correlation test. Results have been expressed as the number of observations and mean ± standard deviation (SD). A p value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (Version 16.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The NC, MNC and INC and nasal septum volumes were shown in Table 1. When the bilateral values were compared, statistically significant differences were determined only in 1 and 7 years age groups in males and females for NC and INC, respectively (p < 0.05). Regardless of gender; no significant difference was determined between the left and right values for all of the parameters. Age-related changes in NC and its structures were in general similar and positive correlation was found between age and them. They reached adult dimensions at 15–18 years of age during the teenage years (Table 1, Fig. 3).

In addition, there were significant differences in the NC volume according to gender in five age groups (1, 14, 15, 16 and 17 years of age), for INC in four age groups (10, 15, 16 and 18 years of age) and for MNC in three age groups (4, 11 and 18 years of age) (Table 2). Generally significant differences were determined in NC and INC volumes according to gender after they reached maximum growth period and males had greater values than females for all the parameters. The mean of CEs for the estimation of NC, INC, MNC and nasal septum were 2%, 2.5%, 3% and 4%, respectively.

Our results show that the male choanal dimensions were as follows (Table 3): right width: 5.4–16 mm; left width: 5.5–15.7 mm; right height: 7.1–26.9 mm; left height: 6.2–26.9 mm and for females; right width: 5.2–14.7 mm; left width: 4.8–15.1 mm; right height: 6.1–25 mm; left height: 5.8–24.9 mm. Statistical analysis showed no statistically significant differences between left- and right-sided measurements. When the gender comparison was made, we didn’t find any significant difference, but male values were larger than females.

When the correlations between the NC, INC, MNC volume measurements and choanae values were statistically analysed, a positive correlation was determined between the results. Correlation values (r) for NC/choanae height (CH), INC/CH and MNC/CH were determined as 0.822, 0.763 and 0.755 for right, 0.828, 0.772 and 0.761 for left, respectively. In addition, r values for NC/choanae width (CW), INC/CW and MNC/CW were found 0.747, 0.721 and 0.647 for right, 0.743, 0.724 and 0.687 for left, respectively (p < 0.001).

By the Cavalieri principle (point-counting) using coronal CT images, the volume ratios of INC to NC and MNC to NC were given (Fig. 4). According to age, the volume ratios of INC to NC and MNC to NC ranged from 18 to 32 and from 9 to 15, respectively. The development of MNC and INC exhibited faster growth than NC up to 3 years of age. After this age their developments were parallel.

DISCUSSION

Because the nose is the entrance point of the respiratory and olfactory systems, previous studies focused on the anatomy and physiology of the nose [8]. Many anatomical and physiological factors, such as development, age, ethnicity, nasal cycle and posture, affect the anatomy of a normal human nose [30, 46]. The nasal functions will be affected by structural changes of the nasal airway initiated by nasal diseases [48]. Chronic nasal obstruction is a common symptom that can result either from conchae hypertrophy or from septal deformities. Conchae hypertrophy may be treated with medical and surgical techniques; these treatments are aimed to decrease the volume of the conchae. More than a dozen surgical techniques for the treatment of enlarged conchae have been described over the past 130 years. Ideally, conchae surgery should result in volumetric reductions of the conchae volumes without interrupting the normal function [18, 20, 29].
Measuring structure, function, and volume of the NC is necessary for both patient selections for surgery, and the assessment of any surgical technique used to reduce the size of the conchae. At present, there is no consensus on the best method with which to objectively assess nasal obstruction in surgery. Rhinomanometry gives a physiological measurement of nasal airflow as it measures the degree of obstruction during normal breathing by measuring nasal airflow and pressure [9]. Acoustic rhinometry gives a physiological measurement of nasal airflow as it measures the degree of obstruction during normal breathing by measuring nasal airflow and pressure [9].

### Table 1. The mean volumes of bilateral nasal cavity calculated by using stereological method in both gender; *p < 0.05

| Age | G  | N  | NC [cm³] | INC [cm³] | MNC [cm³] | NS  |
|-----|----|----|----------|-----------|-----------|-----|
|     |    |    | Right    | Left      | Right     | Left |
| 1   | M  | 9  | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.043* | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 0.302 | 0.04 ± 0.00 | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.729 | 0.23 ± 0.04 |
|     | F  | 10 | 0.32 ± 0.09 | 0.31 ± 0.09 | 0.490 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.168 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.186 | 0.24 ± 0.16 |
| 2   | M  | 9  | 0.45 ± 0.13 | 0.47 ± 0.13 | 0.073 | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 0.438 | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 1.000 | 0.28 ± 0.07 |
|     | F  | 9  | 0.53 ± 0.18 | 0.54 ± 0.14 | 0.716 | 0.14 ± 0.06 | 0.15 ± 0.07 | 0.121 | 0.07 ± 0.03 | 0.07 ± 0.03 | 0.169 | 0.30 ± 0.09 |
| 3   | M  | 9  | 0.64 ± 0.18 | 0.65 ± 0.18 | 0.598 | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.710 | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 1.000 | 0.40 ± 0.08 |
|     | F  | 9  | 0.62 ± 0.10 | 0.62 ± 0.07 | 0.890 | 0.17 ± 0.03 | 0.18 ± 0.03 | 0.244 | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.169 | 0.36 ± 0.09 |
| 4   | M  | 11 | 0.88 ± 0.24 | 0.86 ± 0.24 | 0.143 | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 0.23 ± 0.05 | 1.000 | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.307 | 0.46 ± 0.12 |
|     | F  | 10 | 0.72 ± 0.13 | 0.71 ± 0.14 | 0.385 | 0.21 ± 0.05 | 0.22 ± 0.05 | 0.193 | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.081 | 0.40 ± 0.06 |

NC — nasal cavity; INC — inferior nasal conchae; MNC — middle nasal conchae; NS — nasal septum; G — gender; M — male; F — female
reliability and reproducibility. Kim et al. [22] compared nasal obstruction symptoms before and after decongestion with several parameters of objective tests. They determined that acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry results were inconsistent with patients’ subjective assessment of nasal obstruction. They concluded that rhinomanometry and acoustic rhinometry may be of no diagnostic value in estimating the severity of nasal obstruction symptoms [22]. In addition, acoustic rhinometry is insufficient for estimating the volume of the posterior part of the NC [21]. The volume of biologic structures can be estimated by combining the sectional radiologic imaging techniques with the Cavalieri principle of stereological volume estimation as described in the previous studies [25, 32]. Stereological methods provide quantitative data on 3-dimensional structures using 2-dimensional images. The Cavalieri theorem of systematic sampling in combination with point-counting is considered an unbiased, simple, inexpensive and efficient method and is ideally suitable for estimating volumes on magnetic resonance (MR) and CT images [3, 7]. Volumetric analysis by CT and MR data using Cavalieri method are commonly used and its high rate of reproducibility and reliability has been verified in various studies [1, 2, 15]. Evaluation of the accuracy of acoustic rhinometry is more difficult because of the lack of a gold standard. Some researchers demonstrated that stereological results are very close to gold standard results in their studies [1, 3]. In addition, stereology method provides a CE of the measurement of the volume of the structure of interest. So it may be used to identify the optimal parameters of sampling needed to achieve a given precision such as we need the number of MR images

Table 2. The comparison of male–female (M–F) volume results (p values) for both sides in each groups; *p < 0.05

| Age | N | Comparison of M–F values (p values) |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|
|     | Right M–F | Left M–F | Right M–F | Left M–F | Right M–F | Left M–F | Right M–F | Left M–F |
| 1   | 0.007* | 0.005* | 0.197 | 0.472 | 0.516 | 0.638 | 0.196 | 0.139 |
| 2   | 0.333 | 0.266 | 0.318 | 0.180 | 0.406 | 0.315 | 0.173 | 0.372 |
| 3   | 0.740 | 0.654 | 0.711 | 0.918 | 0.571 | 0.688 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 4   | 0.079 | 0.096 | 0.391 | 0.558 | 0.084 | 0.046* | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 5   | 0.478 | 0.354 | 0.189 | 0.199 | 0.623 | 0.723 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 6   | 0.191 | 0.144 | 0.296 | 0.215 | 0.147 | 0.077 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 7   | 0.276 | 0.540 | 0.084 | 0.091 | 0.376 | 0.267 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 8   | 0.467 | 0.509 | 0.283 | 0.536 | 0.173 | 0.372 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 9   | 0.779 | 0.868 | 0.522 | 0.691 | 0.464 | 0.292 | 0.084 | 0.046* |
| 10  | 0.080 | 0.998 | 0.036* | 0.011* | 0.196 | 0.036* | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 11  | 0.454 | 0.429 | 0.327 | 0.352 | 0.036* | 0.011* | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 12  | 0.354 | 0.327 | 0.656 | 0.677 | 0.700 | 0.574 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 13  | 0.986 | 0.932 | 0.554 | 0.544 | 0.677 | 0.488 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 14  | 0.005* | 0.006* | 0.499 | 0.449 | 0.335 | 0.135 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 15  | 0.000* | 0.000* | 0.029* | 0.027* | 0.155 | 0.075 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 16  | 0.019* | 0.021* | 0.020* | 0.021* | 0.077 | 0.179 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 17  | 0.033* | 0.033* | 0.325 | 0.260 | 0.662 | 0.657 | 0.036* | 0.011* |
| 18  | 0.070 | 0.097 | 0.013* | 0.029* | 0.030* | 0.036* | 0.036* | 0.011* |

Figure 3. The volume values of the nasal cavity (NC), inferior nasal conchae (INC) and middle nasal conchae (MNC) according to age.
sections and the density of the point grid. Thus, the stereological method provides an opportunity for the investigator to make appropriate changes to their sampling or estimating procedures. If researchers obtain high CE, there are problems with accuracy of their results. So they may change parameters of sampling (the spacing of points in the grid or the number of slices available in any MR imaging study) to provide a reasonable CE value [17, 32].

In literature, Thorstensen et al. [40] investigated nasal airway patency in asthmatics compared to non-asthmatic controls. Nasal cavity volume (NCV) was measured using acoustic rhinometry. The rhinometer was programmed for NCV 0–3 and NCV 3–5.2, defined

| Age | Gender | N | Height | Choanae [mm] | Width | P |
|-----|--------|---|--------|--------------|-------|---|
|     |        |   | Right  |  Left        | Right |  Left | P |
| 1   | Male   | 9 | 9.73 ± 1.97 | 9.85 ± 2.36 | 0.639 | 7.45 ± 0.95 | 7.46 ± 0.60 | 0.961 |
|     | Female | 10| 8.64 ± 1.85 | 8.61 ± 1.86 | 0.782 | 7.29 ± 1.37 | 7.31 ± 1.49 | 0.901 |
| 2   | Male   | 9 | 10.94 ± 1.89 | 10.98 ± 1.85 | 0.685 | 8.06 ± 1.27 | 7.97 ± 1.10 | 0.470 |
|     | Female | 9 | 11.77 ± 1.62 | 12.01 ± 1.17 | 0.317 | 8.08 ± 0.79 | 8.00 ± 0.95 | 0.474 |
| 3   | Female | 9 | 12.41 ± 2.18 | 12.23 ± 1.90 | 0.489 | 8.72 ± 1.27 | 8.64 ± 0.85 | 0.773 |
|     | Male   | 11| 15.42 ± 2.46 | 15.29 ± 2.66 | 0.077 | 10.04 ± 0.95 | 10.19 ± 1.01 | 0.062 |
| 4   | Female | 10| 14.31 ± 2.44 | 14.40 ± 2.50 | 0.639 | 10.42 ± 1.98 | 10.57 ± 1.93 | 0.334 |
|     | Male   | 11| 14.99 ± 2.47 | 14.91 ± 2.22 | 0.531 | 9.71 ± 0.88 | 9.85 ± 0.82 | 0.387 |
| 5   | Female | 8 | 15.08 ± 1.97 | 15.20 ± 2.33 | 0.660 | 9.48 ± 1.07 | 9.90 ± 1.17 | 0.130 |
|     | Male   | 9 | 15.75 ± 2.15 | 16.01 ± 2.18 | 0.090 | 10.45 ± 1.67 | 10.36 ± 1.73 | 0.338 |
| 6   | Female | 10| 15.54 ± 1.81 | 15.46 ± 1.58 | 0.687 | 9.72 ± 0.86 | 9.81 ± 0.86 | 0.225 |
|     | Male   | 10| 16.65 ± 1.39 | 16.53 ± 1.65 | 0.472 | 10.97 ± 0.85 | 10.81 ± 0.77 | 0.305 |
| 7   | Female | 10| 16.03 ± 1.49 | 16.19 ± 1.51 | 0.355 | 10.54 ± 0.69 | 10.65 ± 0.75 | 0.117 |
|     | Male   | 10| 17.52 ± 1.53 | 17.61 ± 1.41 | 0.481 | 11.41 ± 0.78 | 11.54 ± 0.67 | 0.253 |
| 8   | Female | 8 | 15.43 ± 1.96 | 15.36 ± 2.08 | 0.460 | 10.67 ± 1.61 | 10.58 ± 1.55 | 0.155 |
|     | Male   | 9 | 16.75 ± 1.64 | 16.89 ± 1.57 | 0.307 | 10.73 ± 1.29 | 10.85 ± 1.29 | 0.377 |
| 9   | Female | 9 | 16.20 ± 2.61 | 16.35 ± 2.59 | 0.188 | 10.11 ± 1.43 | 10.06 ± 1.27 | 0.772 |
|     | Male   | 11| 18.32 ± 2.08 | 18.30 ± 2.11 | 0.783 | 11.11 ± 1.26 | 11.10 ± 1.32 | 0.931 |
| 10  | Female | 8 | 16.71 ± 2.99 | 16.62 ± 2.81 | 0.429 | 11.95 ± 1.10 | 10.97 ± 1.05 | 0.402 |
|     | Male   | 10| 18.85 ± 2.28 | 18.73 ± 2.30 | 0.089 | 11.75 ± 1.43 | 11.54 ± 1.38 | 0.051 |
| 11  | Female | 10| 17.90 ± 1.26 | 17.80 ± 1.37 | 0.343 | 11.01 ± 1.52 | 11.05 ± 1.41 | 0.705 |
|     | Male   | 10| 18.12 ± 1.87 | 18.05 ± 1.82 | 0.363 | 11.97 ± 1.35 | 11.85 ± 1.21 | 0.126 |
| 12  | Female | 8 | 19.12 ± 1.81 | 19.02 ± 1.75 | 0.121 | 11.56 ± 1.00 | 11.40 ± 0.87 | 0.061 |
|     | Male   | 8 | 19.72 ± 1.63 | 19.70 ± 1.65 | 0.756 | 12.02 ± 1.12 | 11.92 ± 1.15 | 0.068 |
| 13  | Female | 9 | 18.04 ± 2.99 | 17.93 ± 2.98 | 0.206 | 11.28 ± 1.59 | 11.14 ± 1.70 | 0.096 |
|     | Male   | 10| 22.40 ± 1.96 | 22.34 ± 1.88 | 0.297 | 12.76 ± 1.30 | 12.60 ± 1.28 | 0.119 |
| 14  | Female | 9 | 19.86 ± 4.24 | 19.91 ± 4.26 | 0.569 | 11.12 ± 1.80 | 11.12 ± 1.71 | 1.000 |
|     | Male   | 10| 22.88 ± 2.53 | 22.80 ± 2.50 | 0.300 | 12.43 ± 1.80 | 12.46 ± 1.71 | 0.790 |
| 15  | Female | 10| 20.70 ± 2.64 | 20.62 ± 2.65 | 0.111 | 12.40 ± 1.28 | 12.39 ± 1.26 | 0.343 |
|     | Male   | 10| 23.98 ± 2.47 | 24.08 ± 2.56 | 0.128 | 12.68 ± 1.16 | 12.66 ± 1.11 | 0.591 |
| 16  | Female | 10| 19.13 ± 1.42 | 19.11 ± 1.45 | 0.775 | 12.19 ± 1.57 | 12.08 ± 1.58 | 0.054 |
|     | Male   | 8 | 22.73 ± 2.96 | 22.62 ± 2.84 | 0.208 | 12.81 ± 0.92 | 12.73 ± 0.98 | 0.244 |
| 17  | Female | 9 | 18.21 ± 2.77 | 18.27 ± 2.52 | 0.682 | 12.36 ± 1.33 | 12.27 ± 1.35 | 0.111 |
|     | Male   | 10| 21.78 ± 2.33 | 21.72 ± 2.33 | 0.357 | 12.64 ± 1.29 | 12.50 ± 1.35 | 0.116 |
| 18  | Female | 10| 20.73 ± 1.61 | 20.67 ± 1.70 | 0.460 | 12.17 ± 1.59 | 12.17 ± 1.48 | 1.000 |

Table 3. The mean values of width and height of choanae in both gender; *p < 0.05
T. Ertekin et al., Development of nasal cavity

as NCV at 0–3 cm and 3–5.2 cm, respectively from the nasal orifice. NCV_{0-5.2} is the sum of NCV_{0-3} and NCV_{3-5.2}. They calculated the NCV_{0-5.2}; it was 5.50 ± 1.20 cm³. Schriever et al. [35] assessed relationship between intranasal volume and the size of the nares in healthy volunteers aged 19–77 years on MR images by software. They determined that average total intranasal volume was 16,449.81 mm³. They didn’t find any significant difference between the participating men (17,268.71 ± 4278.60 mm³) and women (15,654.31 ± 4206.07 mm³) in terms of total intranasal volume. Similarly, in our study NC volume did not differ significantly between male and female up to 14 years of age. We thought that numerical differences among our study and others may be derived from the subjects’ race, gender, age and methods that were used in researches [35, 40]. Only 1 study has investigated the volume of the NC, MNC and INC with CT images using stereological method in healthy people. They determined that the mean volumes of NC, MNC and INC were 5.95 ± 0.10 cm³, 0.56 ± 0.22 cm³, and 1.45 ± 0.68 cm³; 7.01 ± 0.18 cm³, 0.67 ± 0.31 cm³ and 1.59 ± 0.98 cm³ in females and males, respectively. There were statistically significant differences in the volume of NC and MNC between males and females, but no significant difference was determined for INC [12]. However, no study has investigated the volume changes of NC and volume fraction of the nasal conchae according to age and sex by using stereological method. In this study, we calculated the volumes of the nasal cavities and each of the conchae with both the CT technique and the Cavalieri method. According to the data obtained, regardless of gender; there was no significant difference in size between the right and left parts of the NC and the conchae, but when evaluated by gender, a significant difference was determined only in two groups. Similarly to Emirzeoglu et al. [12], we reported that a male–female significant difference existed for the NC when the age is over 15 years old and we found that the males’ NC volumes were systematically greater than the females. Age-related changes in NC and its structures were in general similar. They reached adult dimensions at 15–18 years of age.

Despite the development of modern imaging techniques, a few studies have been carried out to establish the normal size of the children posterior choanae according to age. Violaris et al. [43] investigated normal anatomical sizes of the adult (18 and 73 years) posterior choanae. They determined right width: 0.9–2.1 (mean 1.5) cm; left width: 0.9–2.2 (mean 1.5) cm; right height: 2.5–4.0 (mean 2.6) cm; and left height: 1.5–4.0 (mean 2.5) cm. Chi-square analysis showed no statistically significant differences between left- and right-sided measurements [48]. In other study, the size of the posterior choanae was assessed in 72 children. The size of the posterior choanae ranged from 3 mm to 9.3 mm (3–5.5 mm when less than 1 year old, and 6.1–9.3 mm when older than 1 year). The two sides were of equal size in 51 children (p < 0.001), in 16 children the right side was larger than the left (p < 0.001), and in 5 children the left was larger than the right side [42]. Our results are consistent with the previous studies [42, 43]. The different numerical results that reported for the size of posterior choanae by previous studies and present study may originate from the subjects’ race, gender, age and methods that were used in researches.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we demonstrated increasing volumes of NC and its structures in children related to age. Up to 14 and 15 years of age, males’ and females’ nasal airway dimensions were similar; in subsequent years the differences in NC and INC volumes were evident between genders. In addition, the volume ratios of INC to NC and MNC to NC ranged from 18% to 32% and from 9 to 15 according to age, respectively. We thought that these volume ratios could help the physician for both patient selections for surgery, and the assessment of any surgical technique.
used for treatment of nasal obstruction. However, current study revealed that point-counting method is effective in determining volume estimation of NC and its structures and is well suited for CT studies. The point-counting is reliable, simple, inexpensive, and efficient method for estimating volumes in CT images. For each structure, we found that counting 150–210 points on 15 or 20 systematically sampled CT sections with 0.6 mm section thickness and a point spacing of 0.3 cm enables volume estimation of NC and its structures with a CE below 5%. We think that the proposed method can produce accurate volume estimations, providing an objective tool for quantitative assessment of some disease related to NC and its structures and the data obtained in this study can help clinicians in the evaluation and planning of treatment of pathological cases.
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