miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 suppress gastric cancer growth and invasion by targeting telomerase reverse transcriptase
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hTERT is the catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex. Elevated expression of hTERT is associated with the expansion and metastasis of gastric tumor. In this study, we aimed to identify novel tumor suppressor miRNAs that restrain hTERT expression. We began our screen for hTERT-targeting miRNAs with a miRNA microarray. miRNA candidates were further filtered by bioinformatic analysis, general expression pattern in different cell lines, gain-of-function effects on hTERT protein and the potential of these effects to suppress hTERT 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) luciferase activity. The clinical relevance of two miRNAs (miR-1207-5p and miR-1266) was evaluated by real-time RT-PCR. The effects of these miRNAs on cell growth, cell cycle and invasion of gastric cancer cells were measured with CCK-8, flow cytometry and transwell assays. Finally, the ability of these miRNAs to suppress the transplanted tumors was also investigated. Fourteen miRNAs were identified using a combination of bioinformatics and miRNA microarray analysis. Of these fourteen miRNAs, nine were expressed at significantly lower levels in hTERT-positive cell lines compared with hTERT-negative cell lines and five could downregulate hTERT protein expression. Only miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 interacted with the 3’ UTR of hTERT and the expression levels of these two miRNAs were significantly decreased in gastric cancer tissues. These two miRNAs also inhibited gastric tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. Altogether, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were determined to be hTERT suppressors in gastric cancer, and the delivery of these two miRNAs represents a novel therapeutic strategy for gastric cancer treatment.
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Telomeres lie at the ends of linear eukaryotic chromosomes and are composed of non-coding tandem repeated sequences.1 As DNA polymerase can only synthesize DNA in the 5’ to 3’ direction and the short RNA primers used during conventional DNA replication are lost, the lagging DNA strand is incompletely replicated and the telomere length decreases with each cell division. Human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT), the catalytic subunit of telomerase, can reverse telomere shortening. As normal proliferating cells enter into the process of apoptosis or necrosis, the expression of hTERT expression gradually decreases and cells cease proliferation.2 However, elevated hTERT expression and the activation of telomerase can result in unlimited proliferative ability in tumor cells.3 Studies have shown that hTERT has an important role in cancer tumorigenesis, growth, migration and invasion.4 In our previous studies, we found that hTERT expression is positively correlated with many clinicopathological variables, including tumor location, size, depth of invasion, histological grade, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and distance of metastasis.5 Therefore, hTERT is considered as an attractive therapeutic target for cancer treatment, and understanding the regulatory mechanisms controlling hTERT expression is of great interest.

To date, most research probing the regulation of hTERT has been focused on gene transcription. Sequence analysis has revealed that the hTERT promoter is GC-rich, lacks TATA and CAAT boxes, and contains binding sites for various transcription factors, including c-Myc, AP-1 and E2F and the tumor suppressors WT1 and p53.6 However, accumulating evidence has indicated that post-transcriptional regulation, specifically microRNA (miRNA)-mediated regulation, represents an additional level of control that fine-tune protein expression levels across multiple oncogenic signaling pathways. Consequently, the dysregulation of miRNAs is often detrimental to cells and can contribute to the development of human diseases, including cancer. Recently, the delivery of miRNA mimics or inhibitors has emerged as a promising strategy for cancer treatment.7 Therefore, the identification of the miRNA suppressors regulating hTERT expression and examination of their potential use in anti-tumor therapies is crucial.

Through miRNA expression profiling with hTERT-positive and hTERT-negative cancer cell lines, as well as bioinformatics
analyses, we identified miRNAs with the potential to directly regulate hTERT. The clinical association between miRNAs and hTERT was verified within 58 pairs of gastric cancer tissues and corresponding adjacent tissues. Finally, we determined the function of hTERT-targeting miRNAs in vitro and in vivo.

Results

Identification of fourteen candidate hTERT-targeting miRNAs through expression profiling and bioinformatic analysis. To identify the tumor suppressor miRNAs controlling hTERT expression, we hypothesized that the expression levels of such miRNAs should be restricted in hTERT-positive tissues. hTERT-positive gastric cancer tissues and hTERT-negative corresponding adjacent tissues (Figure 1a) were collected from the same patient undergoing surgical operations. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to miRCURY LNA Array analysis. The results are on the website: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc= GSE47107; the GEO accession number is GSE47107. With a cutoff set at a twofold change, 210 miRNAs were determined to be significantly downregulated (P<0.05, analyzed in triplicates) in gastric cancer tissues compared with corresponding adjacent tissues (Figure 1b, details shown in Supplementary Table 2). Additionally, we scanned the 3’ UTR region of hTERT using the widely used online target-gene prediction software program TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/mamm_31/). As shown in Figure 1c, 57 miRNAs were predicted to have binding potential in the 3’ UTR region of hTERT mRNA (details shown in Supplementary Table 3). Among these 57 miRNAs, 14 expressed at lower level in the hTERT-positive gastric tumor tissue than that of hTERT-negative corresponding adjacent tissue (Figure 1d).

Shortening the candidate list with a general miRNA expression pattern. We reasoned that the restricted expression should be a general pattern for the most critical miRNA regulators of hTERT in various tumor cells. We utilized this rationale to shorten the candidate list generated above by comparing miRNA levels in hTERT-positive (BGC823, MKN28, SGC-7901 and KATO-III) tumor cell lines to hTERT-negative cell lines (U2OS, HF) (Figures 2a and b). The relative quantity (RQ) of the 14 previously identified miRNAs was determined using real-time RT-PCR (Figure 2c). N/P values were determined as the mean miRNA expression level of the two hTERT-negative cell lines compared with the mean expression level of the four hTERT-positive cell lines. Consistent with our earlier findings, in general, the 14 miRNAs that were predicted to target hTERT were downregulated in the hTERT-positive cancer cell lines. We established the selection threshold as N/P ratios >2, and as a result, 9 of the 14 miRNAs were determined to be putative hTERT-regulating miRNAs (Figure 2d).

miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 directly regulate hTERT expression. To determine whether these nine miRNAs suppress the expression of endogenous hTERT, western blotting was performed after SGC-7901 cells were transiently transfected (for 48h) with miRNA mimics or together with their corresponding specific inhibitor (antago-miR). As shown in Figure 3a, only five miRNAs (miR-138, miR-491-5p, miR-1182, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266) suppressed hTERT expression in SGC-7901 cells compared with the control miRNA (Scramble), while the suppression of hTERT could be partly rescued in the presence of specific antago-miRs. To confirm whether this suppression directly affected hTERT, dual-luciferase reporter assays were conducted to test the potential interaction between hTERT 3’ UTR and these five miRNAs. The reporter assays demonstrated that only miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 repressed Luc-hTERT-3’UTR directly and the repression could be partly restored by antago-miR-1207-5p or antago-miR-1266, respectively. However, miR-138, miR-491-5p and miR-1182 had no significant effects on luciferase activity in the presence or absence of antago-miR (Figure 3b). Furthermore, when the predicted targeting site in hTERT 3’ UTR was mutated to avoid binding to the ‘seed region’ (Figure 3c, left panel), miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were not able to effectively suppress the luciferase expression under the control of the hTERT 3’ UTR. To further confirm that the miRNA inhibited hTERT expression by interacting with the 3’ UTR of hTERT, we performed forced-expression experiments in SGC-7901 cells with constructs expressing individual miRNAs and hTERT cDNAs lacking the full-length 3’ UTR. Consistent with its suppressive role in controlling the endogenous level of hTERT protein (Figure 3a), miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p were able to significantly inhibit hTERT expression in the presence of its 3’ UTR; in contrast, miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p failed to regulate exogenous hTERT when the hTERT 3’ UTR was missing (Figure 3d). Taken together, these results demonstrate that both miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p are direct miRNA suppressors that restrict hTERT expression.

Expression levels of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 are clinically relevant. The above experiments clearly demonstrate that the five identified miRNAs repress hTERT expression and, furthermore, that two of these miRNAs can directly bind to the 3’ UTR of hTERT. To explore whether the dysregulation of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 is involved in tumorigenesis, we compared the expression levels of these miRNAs in gastric cancer tissues and matched corresponding adjacent tissues (n = 58). Our data show that miR-1182, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 are significantly repressed in gastric tumor samples (P<0.05) (Figure 4a). We further evaluated the relationship between the expression levels of these miRNAs in the patients’ gastric cancer tissues and the survival times of the patients. For each miRNA analysis, the patients were classified as the higher miRNA expression group (relative quantity of miRNA) or the lower miRNA expression group (relative quantity of miRNA ≤ average relative quantity of miRNA). No significant association was found between miRNA (miR-1207-5p, miR-1266) expression and age or gender (Supplementary Table 4). As shown in Figure 4b, miR-1207-5p expression in gastric cancer tissues does not correlate with the survival time of the patients. Interestingly, higher miR-1266 expression in gastric cancer tissues correlates with a longer patient survival time.
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Figure 1 Identification of 14 candidate hTERT-targeting miRNAs through expression profiling and bioinformatic analysis. (a) Immunohistochemistry of hTERT-positive gastric cancer tissues and hTERT-negative corresponding adjacent tissues. (b) Alteration of miRNAs in gastric cancer tissues in comparison to corresponding adjacent tissues as determined by miRNA microarray analysis (the red part represents the up-regulated miRNAs, while the green part represents the down-regulated miRNAs). All the miRNAs are listed in Supplementary Table 2. (c) Prediction of miRNAs that have hTERT mRNA 3’ UTR region-binding potential by TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/mamm_31/). Fifty-seven miRNAs (listed in supplementary table 3) were predicted to have hTERT-binding potential. (d) miRNAs screened from the results of expression profiling (b) and bioinformatic analysis (c)
miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 function as tumor suppressors in cultured SGC-7901 gastric cancer cells in vitro.

The above data suggest that, through the deregulation of hTERT expression, the loss of miR-1207-5p or miR-1266 might be critical for cells to acquire a malignant phenotype; reciprocally, we speculated that reintroduction of miR-1207-5p or miR-1266 could interfere with hTERT expression and affect the tumorigenic potential of gastric cancer cells. To test this hypothesis, SGC-7901 cells were infected with lentivirus expressing individual miRNAs. Subsequently, these cells were transfected with piRES2-hTRET or control (piRES2). As shown in Figure 5a, both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 inhibited SGC-7901 cell proliferation compared with Scramble.

Flow cytometry revealed that miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 induced cell cycle arrest at the G1-to-S transition (Figure 5b). Furthermore, these miRNAs also significantly suppressed gastric cancer cell invasion (Figure 5c and d). Interestingly, the above figures also showed that reintroduction of hTERT in SGC-7901 cells partly restored the above phenotype. These results suggest that miR-1207-5p or miR-1266 have anti-tumor roles via hTERT. In addition to miR-1207-5p and miR-1266, three other hTERT-inhibiting miRNAs identified in our screening (miR-138, miR-491-5p and miR-1182) also demonstrated similar suppressive effects on proliferation, the cell cycle and invasion in SGC-7901 cells (Supplementary Figure 3). These results were observed despite the lack of direct interaction between...
Figure 3  Searching for miRNAs that directly regulate hTERT expression. (a) The effect of the miRNAs on hTERT protein expression. After SGC-7901 cells were transfected with different miRNA mimics or together with their corresponding antago-miRs for 96 h, western blots were performed to test hTERT expression. The results indicated that five miRNAs (miR-138, miR-491-5p, miR-1182, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266) downregulated hTERT expression. (b) Luciferase assays for hTERT 3′ UTR. Two miRNAs (miR-1207-5p and miR-1266) were found to reduce luciferase activity, while the reduction could be partly rescued by their specific antago-miRs. (c) Luciferase assays for the wild type and the mutated type of hTERT 3′ UTR. Left panel, the design of mutated sites in hTERT 3′ UTR. Right panel, the effect of the miRNAs on luciferase of hTERT 3′ UTR. mut1, hTERT 3′ UTR with the mutated miR-1207-5p binding site; mut2, hTERT 3′ UTR with the mutated miR-1266 binding site. (d) The effect of the exogenous hTERT to rescue the miRNAs-mediated downregulation of endogenous hTERT. Left panel, the design of the exogenous hTERT expression plasmid. The pIRES2-hTERT plasmid lacks the hTERT 3′ UTR; thus, miRNAs could not bind to the 3′ UTR of the hTERT Transcript. Right panel, SGC-7901 cells were transfected with mimics of miRNAs together with the pIRES2 or the pIRES2-hTERT plasmid (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, one-way ANOVA)
these miRNAs and hTERT 3′ UTR. To demonstrate the specific regulation of hTERT by miRNAs, corresponding antago-miRs were also transfected into the stable expression cells. As shown in Figure 6, antago-miRs rescued the phenotype of the corresponding miRNAs on cell proliferation (Figure 6a), cell cycle arrest (Figure 6b) and cell invasion (Figures 6c and d). Meanwhile, knockdown of hTERT is sufficient to phenotype the effects of the above miRNAs (Supplementary Figure 4A-D). Both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 inhibit the growth of transplanted SGC-7901 cells in nude mice. To explore whether miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 could mediate tumor suppression in vivo, suspensions (10⁷ pfu/ml) of miR-1207-5p, miR-1266 or the negative control lentivirus vector Scramble were injected into transplanted gastric tumors in nude mice. As shown in Figure 7a–c, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 significantly inhibited the growth of tumors and reduced tumor volume compared with Scramble. This result is quite similar to that of knockdown of hTERT in the xenograft nude mouse (Supplementary Figures 4E–F). The tumors were harvested and subjected to real-time RT-PCR analysis, which showed that the expression levels of both miRNAs were clearly increased in the miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 groups compared with Scramble (Figure 7d, left panel). Consistently, hTERT expression in the transplanted tumors was clearly downregulated in the miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 groups compared with controls (Figure 7d, right panel). Moreover, immunohistochemistry of both PCNA and Ki-67 revealed that the two miRNAs repressed tumor cells proliferation (Figures 7e and f).

**Discussion**

In this study, using a combination of miRNA microarrays and bioinformatics, we identified 14 miRNAs that were potentially involved in the regulation of hTERT. Next, we narrowed our focus to nine miRNAs using a general miRNA expression pattern. Of these nine miRNAs, five were able to inhibit hTERT expression, but only miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 could bind to the hTERT 3′ UTR. The expression levels of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were significantly decreased in 58 pairs of the gastric cancer tissues compared with their matched corresponding adjacent tissues. We also found that both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 could bind to the hTERT 3′ UTR. The expression levels of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were significantly decreased in 58 pairs of the gastric cancer tissues compared with their matched corresponding adjacent tissues. We also found that both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 triggered the downregulation of hTERT expression, suppressed proliferation, decreased invasion and induced cell cycle arrest in gastric cancer cells in vitro. Furthermore, these two miRNAs inhibited the growth of xenograft gastric tumors in nude mice (summarized in Supplementary Figure 1).

Strategies that target hTERT expression in tumors mainly involve the following techniques: antisense genes, ribozymes, inhibitors of reverse transcriptase, nucleoside analogs, chemical drugs and immunotherapy. Nucleoside analogs, such as base-modified 3′-azido-2′, 3′-dideoxynucleoside triphosphate, Abacavir oligonucleotide n3 → p5 phosphoramidates and thio-phosphoramidates have been reported to effectively inhibit TERT and telomerase activity. Widely used clinical anticancer drugs, such as vindesine, temsirolimus and cyclosporine, were found to be more potent than the standard inhibitor of TERT curcumin. Multiple phase I clinical trials involving hTERT immunotherapy have already been conducted in patients with advanced
cancer and involved the injection of I540 and E611 hTERT peptides.\textsuperscript{14,15} In a previous study, we found that exogenous antisense hTERT can inhibit proliferation and partially reverse malignant phenotypes in SGC-7901 cells by suppressing telomerase activity.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, the transduction of dendritic cells with recombinant-adenovirus hTERT induced hTERT-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{17,18} During a previous study, we showed that hTERT promoted the invasion of telomerase-negative tumor cells \textit{in vitro}.\textsuperscript{19} Moreover, we also demonstrated that hTERT RNAi overcame the resistance of HCC cells to TRAIL via the mitochondrial type II apoptosis pathway and a telomerase-dependent pathway.\textsuperscript{20} To provide a valuable noninvasive method to evaluate the real-time therapeutic response of tumors \textit{in vivo}, we constructed a lentivirus system in which an optimized hTERT promoter is used to drive expression of the cytosine deaminase (CD) gene, allowing telomerase-positive tumors to be visualized and their growth to be repressed following intra-tumoral injection of the lentivirus.\textsuperscript{21}

**Figure 5** Biological effects of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 on gastric cancer cells. SGC-7901 cells were infected with lentivirus packaged with different miRNAs. Each experiment was performed in triplicates. (a) Effects of miRNAs on cell proliferation. After the cells infected with the lentivirus were seeded in 96 wells for 18 h, they are transfected with pIRES2 or pIRES2-hTERT, CCK-8 assays were performed 48 h later. (b) Effects of miRNAs on cell cycle. Cells were treated the same as (a) and flow cytometry were performed. (c and d) Effects of miRNAs on the invasion of gastric cancer cells. Cells were treated the same as in (a) and then they were seeded into the Matrigel invasion chamber. Subsequently, the chambers were transferred to wells filled with culture medium containing 10% FBS. After 48 h of incubation, the number of invasive cells from five random areas of the membrane was counted using light microscopy. Both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 significantly suppressed cell invasion. The mean of triplicate experiments ± S.D. is shown (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, one-way ANOVA)
In this study, we focused on targeting hTERT at the post-transcriptional level. Our study is the first to identify miRNAs that target hTERT in gastric cancer, and miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were shown to directly suppress hTERT expression. To date, other studies have identified two different miRNAs that affect hTERT expression. A previous report showed that miR-138 directly repressed hTERT expression in anaplastic thyroid carcinomas. However, we found that forced expression of miR-138 downregulated hTERT expression in SGC-7901 cells without influencing the luciferase of the hTERT 3' UTR. We suggest that (at least) two probable mechanisms to explain these findings exist. First, although most studies have demonstrated that miRNAs bind to the 3' UTRs of their target genes, a growing body of evidence has expanded the scope of functional miRNA–mRNA interaction regions to include target-gene coding regions as well. Therefore, we speculate that miR-138, in addition to miR-491-5p and miR-1182, may interact with the
coding regions of the hTERT mRNA. Furthermore, as a variety of transcription factors bind to hTERT promoter region, these miRNAs may also affect the regulation of these transcription factors, eventually leading to the inhibition of hTERT expression. Wang et al. showed that miR-21 upregulates STAT3-mediated hTERT expression, thereby promoting glioblastoma cell growth. We can conclude from the above studies that one miRNA perhaps affects the expression of the same gene via different mechanisms in different cancers.

In 58 pairs of clinical gastric cancer tissues and matched corresponding adjacent tissues, we found that miR-1182, miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 were significantly downregulated in the cancer tissues, whereas miR-138 and miR-491-5p were not differentially expressed in the cancerous tissues (Supplementary Figure 2). These data suggest that the loss of miR-1182, miR-1207-5p or miR-1266 may contribute to elevated hTERT protein expression and promote the development of gastric cancer. However, only miR-1266, but not the other four miRNAs (miR-138, miR-491-5p, miR-1182, miR-1207-5p), is positively correlated with better prognosis for gastric patients.

In tumor xenograft models, many miRNAs have been shown to promote gastric tumor growth, including miR-409-3p, miR-223, miR-663, miR-27a and miR-126. Conversely, several miRNAs have been shown to inhibit tumor growth in vivo, including miR-148a, miR-622, miR-148b and miR-126. However, few of these studies involved microarray analyses, general expression patterns in cancer cell lines or clinical relativity at the same time. In our study, the ectopic expression of miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p inhibited the growth and invasion of gastric cancer cells, and the delivery of miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p into transplanted tumors in nude mice significantly reduced tumor growth. These data suggest that the delivery of miR-1266 and miR-1207-5p could represent a novel therapeutic strategy.

Figure 7 Both miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 inhibit the growth of transplanted SGC-7901 cells in nude mice. SGC-7901 cells (3 × 10^6) were injected s.c. into the left and right axillae of nude mice. When the tumors reached ~100 mm³ in size (8 days after the injection of tumor cells), 0.1 ml Lv-miR-1266/1207-5p or Lv-cel vector suspension (10^7 pfu/ml) was injected intra-tumorally into the center of the tumor masses. Six weeks later, the tumors were visualized and harvested. Three mice were used for each group. (a) The tumors in vivo were photographed with an In-Vivo Imaging System. Left: Lv-cel vs. miR-1207-5p groups; right: Lv-cel vs. Lv-miR-1266 groups. (b) The harvested transplanted tumors. (c) The weight of the harvested transplanted tumors. (d) The expression of miRNAs and hTERT in the tumors revealed by real-time RT-PCR (left panel) or western blot (right panel) respectively. The optical density ratio refers to mean value of the target gene/GAPDH ratio from three independent experiments. (e and f) Immunohistochemistry for cell proliferation markers of PCNA (e) and Ki-67 (f), respectively.
for the treatment of gastric cancer. Papagregoriou et al.\(^{34}\) demonstrated that miR-1207-5p directly represses heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HBEGF) expression and is associated with disease severity in C3-glomerulopathy. Ichihara et al.\(^{35}\) reported that serum miR-1207 level was considerably higher in psoriasis patients than in healthy control subjects. Our studies are the first to reveal a role for these miRNAs as cancer suppressors, and the discovery that miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 target hTERT may provide novel insights into their roles in C3-glomerulopathy. In this study, miRNA interactions were predicted using only the TargetScan website; however, as more bioinformatic tools emerge, such as MicroCosm, Microrna, RNA22, MultiMiTar,\(^{36}\) and PmirP,\(^{37}\) other potential hTERT-regulating miRNAs may be discovered.

In addition, the other three miRNAs we identified that downregulated hTERT expression (miR-138, miR-491-5p and miR-1182) also inhibited SGC-7901 cell proliferation and invasion and induced cell cycle arrest (Supplementary Figure 3), suggesting that the delivery of these miRNAs into tumors may also be an effective therapy for gastric cancer. These three miRNAs suppressed hTERT expression without binding to the 3' UTR of the hTERT mRNA. Therefore, the mechanisms underlying how these miRNAs affect hTERT expression require further study.

Taken together, our results show that miR-1207 and miR-1207-5p expression levels might serve as novel biomarkers for gastric cancer and that these miRNAs exert their functions in gastric cancer cells by suppressing hTERT expression. These findings further elucidate the molecular mechanisms involved in gastric cancer tumorigenesis and development, and our results indicate that the delivery of miR-1207-5p and miR-1266 may be an effective treatment for gastric cancer.

### Materials and methods

#### Ethics statement.

All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Third Military Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained for all patient samples.

#### Human tissue samples and gastric cancer cell lines.

All gastric tumor tissues and corresponding adjacent tissues were collected from 58 patients with gastric cancer at Southwest Hospital (during 2010 and 2011), which was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Third Military Medical University. Gastric cancer diagnosis was made based on HE staining, immunophenotype. Information on the following was collected: age, sex, differentiation, metastasis, TNM stages, tumor size and depth of invasion. The clinical pathologic details of these 58 patients are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The following cell lines were derived from the vendor’s instructions: U2OS, KATO-III and HEK293 cells. HF, BGC823, MKN28 and SGC-7901 cells were purchased from GeneChem Management Inc. (Shanghai, China).

#### Immunohistochemistry.

The slides were incubated with an anti-hTERT primary antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The primary antibodies were detected with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) secondary antibodies. Immunoreactivity was detected using a horseradish peroxidase (3'-3'-diaminobenzidine) kit (BioGenex, San Francisco, CA, USA), followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin, dehydration and mounting. Antibody against Ki-67 and PCNA were from Bioworld (San Francisco, CA, USA), followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin, and then all the real-time RT-PCR were performed with Q SYBR Green Supermix (BIO-RAD, Richmond, CA, USA). The housekeeping gene U6 was used as an internal control.

#### Detection of miRNAs using real-time RT-PCR.

cDNA was synthesized using Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and then all the real-time RT-PCR were performed with IQ SYBR Green Supermix (BIO-RAD, Richmond, CA, USA).

#### Construction of the luciferase reporter.

To generate the luciferase reporter plasmid carrying the hTERT 3'-UTR, we amplified the 505 bp hTERT 3'-UTR from cDNA using the PCR primers 5'-ATCGGTGACAGTCACTAG-3' and 5'-TACGGATAAACAGTAGCTACACTAG-3'. The amplified fragment was cloned into the SpeI and HindIII sites of the pmIR-REPORT (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The pmIR-REPORT vectors containing mutated versions of the hTERT 3' UTR were generated from the wild-type construct with the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Strategene, La Jolla, CA, USA), the mutated pmIR-REPORT reporter vectors are referred to as pMIR-1207-5p M1 and pMIR-1266 M2.

#### Dual-luciferase assay.

Wild-type or mutated versions of Luc-hTERT-3'-UTR, together with the pRL-TK vector plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), were cotransfected into HEK293 cells with either an hTERT-regulating miRNA mimic or an miRNA negative control oligonucleotide (Scramble) with lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). In the recovery experiments, wild-type Luc-hTERT-3' UTR, together with the pRL-TK vector plasmid, were cotransfected into HEK293 cells with either an hTERT-regulating miRNA mimic or antago-miR by lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The cells were harvested 48h after transfection. Luciferase activity was determined using a Dual-luciferase assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized against Renilla luciferase activity.

#### Western blotting analysis.

Both the hTERT and GAPDH antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). The signals were detected with the ECL Western blotting analysis system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA), as described previously.

#### Design of miRNA oligonucleotides, lentiviral constructs and cell infection.

All miRNA oligonucleotides and antago-miR were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). Lentiviral constructs containing the candidate miRNAs were from GeneChem Management Inc. (Shanghai, China). Precursor miRNA expression constructs were prepared using a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-based lentiviral vector system. The packaged lentiviruses are referred to as LV-mir-138, LV-mir-491-5p, LV-mir-1182, LV-mir-1207-5p, LV-mir-1266 and LV-Scramble. These viruses, as well as the lentiviral to knockdown hTERT expression (si hTERT), were used to infect SGC-7901 cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10; the infection efficiency was 100%.

#### Cell proliferation and cell cycle assays.

Relative cell growth was determined using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) assay, as described previously. For the cell cycle analyses, cells were plated into six-well plates and flow cytometry was performed 48h later, as described previously.

#### Invasion assay.

The effect of hTERT-regulating miRNAs on the invasive abilities of SGC-7901 cells was determined using matrigel-coated Matrigel Invasion Chambers (8 μm pore size, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Cells infected with lentivirus described above were seeded into chambers with serum-free medium (to monitor cell growth at lowest rapid). Subsequently, the cells were transfected with antago-miR or pRE52 for certain hours, the chambers were then transferred to wells filled with culture medium containing 10% FBS. After 48 h of incubation, invasive cells on the bottom were fixed and stained with 0.05% crystal violet. The number of invasive cells from five random areas of the membrane was counted with light microscopy.

#### Gastric cancer xenografts.

The studies were performed in accordance with the NIH Animal Use Guidelines and a protocol approved by the SIU Animal Care Committee. SGC-7901 cells (3 x 10^5) in 100 μl medium were injected...
subcutaneous (s.c.) into the left and right axillae of female nude mice, as previously described. When the tumors reached 100 mm³ in size (~8 days after the injection of tumor cells), either 0.1 ml Lv-miR-1266/1207-5p or Lv-cel vector suspension (10⁶ pfu/ml) was injected intra-tumorally into the center of the tumor mass. After 6 weeks, the tumors were visualized with an In-Vivo Imaging System (Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, MA, USA). Then, the tumors were harvested to evaluate their weight, miRNA expression and hTERT expression. Three mice were used for each group.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as the means ± S.E. and were analyzed with Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). The independent-samples t-test was used to assess the differences in the miRNA expression levels between cancer tissues and corresponding adjacent tissues. The independent-samples t-test is used for two groups, while one-way ANOVA is used for three or more groups. Kaplan–Meier’s method was used for survival analysis. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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