Coherent periodic activity in excitatory Erdős-Rényi neural networks: The role of network connectivity
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In this article we investigate the role of connectivity in promoting coherent activity in excitatory neural networks. In particular, we would like to understand if the onset of collective oscillations can be related to a minimal average connectivity and how this critical connectivity depends on the number of neurons in the networks. For these purposes, we consider an excitatory random network of leaky integrate-and-fire pulse coupled neurons. The neurons are connected as in a directed Erdős-Rényi graph with average connectivity $\langle k \rangle$ scaling as a power law with the number of neurons in the network. The scaling is controlled by a parameter $\gamma$, which allows to pass from massively connected to sparse networks and therefore to modify the topology of the system. At a macroscopic level we observe two distinct dynamical phases: an Asynchronous State (AS) corresponding to a desynchronized dynamics of the neurons and a Partial Synchronization (PS) regime associated with a coherent periodic activity of the network. At low connectivity the system is in an Asynchronous State, while PS emerges above a certain critical average connectivity $\langle k \rangle_c$. For sufficiently large networks, $\langle k \rangle_c$ saturates to a constant value suggesting that a minimal average connectivity is sufficient to observe coherent activity in systems of any size irrespectively of the kind of considered network: sparse or massively connected. However, this value depends on the nature of the synapses: reliable or unreliable. For unreliable synapses the critical value required to observe the onset of macroscopic behaviors is noticeably smaller than for reliable synaptic transmission. Due to the disorder present in the system, for finite number of neurons we have inhomogeneities in the neuronal behaviors, inducing a weak form of chaos, which vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. In such a limit the disordered systems exhibit regular (non chaotic) dynamics and their properties correspond to that of a homogeneous fully connected network for any $\gamma$-value. Apart for the peculiar exception of sparse networks, which remain intrinsically inhomogeneous at any system size.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Xt, 84.35.+i, 87.19.lj, 87.19.ln

The spontaneous emergence of collective dynamical behaviours in random networks made of many (identical) interacting units is a subject of interest in many different research fields ranging from biological oscillators to power grids. In particular, how the macroscopic dynamics of the network is influenced by the topology is an active research line not only for nonlinear dynamics, but also for many other scientific disciplines, as for example (computational) neuroscience [11]. However, the most part of the performed analysis have been devoted to the emergence of the fully synchronized regimes, but in neuroscience a complete synchronization is usually a symptom of neural disorders, while coherent oscillations are often associated to a partial synchronization among neurons during brain activity [8]. Coherent oscillatory activities are prominent in the cortex of the awake brain during attention, and have been implicated in higher level processes, such as sensory binding, storage of memories, and even consciousness.

In this article, we analyse how the presence of disorder in the connections can influence the emergence of coherent periodic activity in Erdős-Rényi networks of excitatory pulse coupled spiking neurons. Our main result indicates that the parameter controlling the transition from asynchronous to coherent neural activity is simply the average connectivity. Furthermore, for (sufficiently large) networks the critical value of the average connectivity turns out to be independent of the network realization, sparse or massively connected, but it is instead influenced by the nature of the disorder, quenched or annealed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Neural collective oscillations have been observed in very many contexts in brain circuits, ranging from ubiquitous $\gamma$ oscillations to $\theta$ rhythm in the hippocampus. The origin of these oscillations is commonly associated with the balance between excitation and inhibition in the network, while purely excitatory circuits are believed to lead to "unstructured population bursts" [8]. However, recent "ex vivo" measurements performed on the rodent neocortex [8] and hippocampus [6] in the early stage of brain maturation reveal coherent activity patterns, such as Giant Depolarizing Potentials. These collective oscillations emerge despite the fact that the GABA transmitter has essentially an excitatory effect on immature neurons [3]. Therefore, also in purely excitatory networks one can expect non-trivial dynamics at a macroscopic level.

Numerical and theoretical studies of collective motions in networks of simple spiking neurons have been mainly devoted to balanced excitatory-inhibitory configurations, e.g. see [6] and references therein. Only few studies focused on coherent periodic activity in fully coupled excitatory networks of leaky integrate-and-fire (LIF) neurons. These analysis revealed a regime characterized by a partial synchronization (PS) at the population level, while the single neurons perform quasi-periodic motions [20]. It has been shown that the PS regime is quite robust to perturbations, since it survives to moderate levels of noise or dilution [14, 17].

Furthermore, in their recent study Bonifazi et al. [6] found that the functional connectivity of developing hippocampal networks is characterized by a truncated power-law distribution of the out-degrees with exponent $\gamma > 1$. However, recent studies focused on coherent periodic activity in fully connected networks of leaky integrate-and-fire (LIF) neurons. These analysis revealed a regime characterized by a partial synchronization (PS) at the population level, while the single neurons perform quasi-periodic motions [20]. It has been shown that the PS regime is quite robust to perturbations, since it survives to moderate levels of noise or dilution [14, 17].

The paper is organized as follows: the next Section is devoted to the introduction of the neural model and of the indicators employed to characterize the dynamics of the network. The phase diagram reporting the collective states emerging in our system is described in Sect. 3. The influence of finite size effects on the coherent activity is analyzed in Sect. 4, while a characterization of the neural dynamics in term of maximal Lyapunov exponent is reported in Sect. 5. A brief discussion of our results is outlined in Sect. 6.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

A. The Model

We study a network of $N$ LIF neurons with the membrane potential $x_i(t) \in [0:1]$ of the neuron $i$ evolving as:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = a - x_i(t) + I_i(t) \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,$$

where $a > 1$ is the suprathreshold DC current, $I_i$ the synaptic current. Whenever the neuron reaches the threshold $x_i = 1$, a pulse $s(t)$ is instantaneously transmitted to all the connected post-synaptic neurons and the membrane potential of neuron $i$ is reset to $x_i = 0$. The synaptic current can be written as $I_i(t) = g E_i(t)$, with $g > 0$ representing the synaptic excitatory strength while the field $E_i(t)$ is the linear superposition of the pulses $s(t)$ received by neuron $i$ in the past, in formula

$$E_i(t) = \frac{1}{k_i} \sum_{n \mid t_n < t} C_{j,i} \Theta(t - t_n) s(t - t_n),$$

where $k_i$ is the number of pre-synaptic neurons connected to the neuron $i$ (i.e. the in-degree of neuron $i$) and $\Theta(t)$ the causal $\Theta$ function. The connectivity matrix $C_{j,i}$ appearing in Eq. (2) has entry 1 (resp. 0) depending if the
pre-synaptic neuron \( j \) is connected (resp. not connected) to neuron \( i \) and in general it is not symmetric.

Following van Vreeswijk [24] we assume, for the single pulse emitted at \( t = 0 \), the shape \( s(t) = \alpha^2 t \exp(-\alpha t) \).

The explicit equation (2) can be thus rewritten as an implicit ordinary differential equation:

\[
\dot{E}_i(t) + 2\alpha \dot{E}_i(t) + \alpha^2 E_i(t) = \frac{\alpha^2}{k_i} \sum_{n|t_n(t)} C_{ji} \delta(t - t_n) .
\] (3)

The continuous time evolution of the network can be transformed in a discrete time event-driven map by integrating Eq. (3) from time \( t_n \) to time \( t_{n+1} \), \( t_n \) being the time immediately after the \( n \)-th spike emission.

Following Olmi et al. [17], the event-driven map read as:

\[
E_i(n + 1) = E_i(n) e^{-\alpha \tau(n)} + Q_i(n) \tau(n) e^{-\alpha \tau(n)} \] (4)

\[
Q_i(n + 1) = Q_i(n) e^{-\alpha \tau(n)} + C_{ji} \alpha^2 \] (5)

\[
x_i(n + 1) = x_i(n) e^{-\gamma \tau(n)} + a(1 - e^{-\gamma \tau(n)}) + gH_i(n) \] (6)

where \( Q_i = \alpha E_i + \dot{E}_i \) is an auxiliary variable, \( H_i = H_i(E_i, Q_i, \tau) \) is a nonlinear function and \( \tau(n) = t_{n+1} - t_n \) is the interspike time interval. This can be determined by solving the following implicit relationship

\[
\tau(n) = \ln \left[ \frac{a - m(n)}{a + gH_m(n) - 1} \right],
\] (7)

where \( m(n) \) identifies the neuron which will fire next at time \( t_{n+1} \) by reaching the threshold value \( x_m = 1 \).

The evolution of the system is now modeled with a discrete time map of \( 3N - 1 \) variables, \( \{E_i, Q_i, x_i\} \). In fact the degree of freedom associated with the membrane potential of the firing neuron has been removed by the implementation of the Poincaré-section, leading to the event driven map. More details on the model are reported in Ref. [17]: however at variance with that study the pulse amplitudes, appearing in eqs. (2), (3) and (5), are normalized by the in-degree \( k_i \) of neuron \( i \) and not by the total number of neurons \( N \).

The model parameters were fixed as \( a = 1.3, g = 0.4 \) and \( \alpha = 9 \), in order to ensure the emergence of a PS regime in the corresponding fully coupled network [20].

**B. The Connectivity Matrix**

Our choice for the connectivity matrix has been mainly motivated by the results obtained for “ex vivo” cells by Bonifazi et al. [6]. In particular, these authors have shown that in developing hippocampal networks the functional connectivity is distributed according to power-law distribution, at least over one/two decades. The distribution of links \( k \) per neuron is thus given by \( P(k) = pk^{-\gamma} \), where \( p \) is a normalization constant. Therefore, for a finite network made of \( N \) neurons the average connectivity for the truncated (power-law) distribution is:

\[
(k) = \frac{p}{2 - \gamma} \left[ N^{2-\gamma} - 1 \right] .
\] (8)

Furthermore, Bonifazi et al. [6] have measured quite low values for the exponent \( \gamma \), namely \( \gamma = 1.1 - 1.3 \), suggesting the existence of a large number of highly connected neurons, hubs, in the network. The role of hub neurons in orchestrating the level of synchrony in living brain networks has been clearly demonstrated in [6]. In spite of these findings the underlying network topology is maybe less important than the actual number of highly connected neurons, as suggested by Morgan and Soltesz [15].

In order to single out the effect of the average number of connections on the network dynamics we decided to limit our analysis to Erdős-Rényi (ER) random network [2], but with an average connectivity given by Eq. (8). In particular, we considered a directed ER random graph, where the distribution of links is well approximated by a Poisson distribution [2], namely:

\[
P(k) = e^{-(\langle k \rangle/k)} \frac{\langle k \rangle^k}{k!} .
\] (9)

According to Eq. (9) the degree distribution is completely defined once the value of \( \langle k \rangle \) is given. As a matter of fact, by choosing for \( \langle k \rangle \) the expression (8), the probability of existence of an unidirectional link connecting neuron \( j \) to \( i \) (i.e. the probability to have \( C_{ji,i} = 1 \)) is:

\[
Pr(N, \gamma) = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} = \frac{p}{2 - \gamma} \left[ N^{1-\gamma} - \frac{1}{N} \right] .
\] (10)

In the limit \( \gamma \rightarrow 1 \) the massively connected network is recovered [10], since the average connectivity \( \langle k \rangle = p \times (N - 1) \) is proportional to the system size and \( Pr(N, 1) = p(1 - 1/N) \) is, apart finite-size corrections, constant and coincident with \( p \). For \( \gamma < 2 \) (resp. \( \gamma > 2 \)) the average number of synaptic inputs per neuron will grow (resp. decrease) with \( N \), in the limiting case \( \gamma = 2 \) a sparse network will be essentially recovered [22] since \( \langle k \rangle = p \ln N \) will vary in a limited manner with respect to the system size. Indeed, by varying \( N \) by three orders of magnitude from \( 100 \) to \( 100,000 \) the value of \( \langle k \rangle \) will modify from \( 3.7 \) to \( 9.2 \) with \( p = 0.8 \). In the following, we studied networks of various sizes \( N \), ranging from \( N = 100 \) to \( N = 200,000 \), for different \( \gamma \)-values in the interval \([1:2]\). The value \( p \) is usually fixed to \( 0.8 \), apart for \( \gamma = 1 \) (constant probability case) where the dependence on \( p \) is examined in details in Section 3.

Let us stress that in the present study the distributions of pre-synaptic (in-degree) and of post-synaptic (out-degree) connections are identical, and this is guaranteed by the above outlined procedure to determine unidirectional links for ER networks.

In what follows, we consider two different ways to select the random connectivity matrix: in the first case the synaptic connections are randomly chosen and are
constant in time (quenched disorder); while for the second procedure the neurons receiving the excitatory pulse are randomly selected each time a neuron fires (annealed disorder). The latter choice can be justified from a physiological point of view by the fact that the synaptic transmission of signals is an unreliable process [3]. It should be noticed that in the annealed case, since the network modifies in time, the pulse amplitudes are normalized by the average in-degree \( \langle k \rangle \) and not by \( k_i \) as in the quenched case.

C. Characterization of Macroscopic Attractors

In order to perform a macroscopic characterization of the dynamical states of the network we exploit the average fields:

\[
\bar{E}(t) = \frac{g}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i(t) \quad , \quad \bar{Q}(t) = \frac{g}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i(t) ;
\]

where the fields have been also rescaled by the synaptic strength as done in Ref. [17].

As a measure of the level of homogeneity among the neurons of the network, we consider the standard deviation \( \sigma(t) \) of the fields \( \{ E_i(t) \} \) acting on the single neurons

\[
\sigma(t) = \left( \frac{g^2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i^2(t) - \bar{E}^2(t) \right)^{1/2} ;
\]

for completely homogeneous systems, such as globally coupled networks, \( E_i(t) \equiv \bar{E} \) and \( \sigma \equiv 0 \).

The degree of synchronization among the neurons is quantified by the order parameter usually employed in the context of phase oscillators [12]

\[
R(t) = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{i\theta_j(t)} \right| ,
\]

where \( \theta_j \) is the phase of the \( j \)th neuron, that can be properly defined as a (suitably scaled) time variable [21], \( \theta_j(t) = 2\pi(t - t_{j,n})/T_{q,n} \), where \( t_{j,n} \) indicates the time of the last spike emitted by the \( j \)th neuron, while \( T_{q,n} = t_{q,n+1} - t_{q,n} \) is the \( n \)th interspike interval associated to the neuron \( q \) which was the last to fire in the network [23].

A non-zero value of \( R \) represents an indication of partial synchronization among the neurons, while a vanishingly small \( R \sim 1/\sqrt{N} \) is observable for asynchronous states in finite systems [12].

D. Lyapunov Analysis

The dynamical microscopic instabilities of a system can be characterized in terms of the maximal Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda \); a positive \( \lambda \) being a measure of the degree of chaoticity of the considered system. In particular, we have employed the standard method developed in Ref. [19] to estimate the maximal Lyapunov exponent by following the evolution of an infinitesimal perturbation to a reference trajectory.

III. PHASE DIAGRAM

In this model two different macroscopic regimes can be observed: the asynchronous state (AS) and the partial synchronization (PS). AS is characterized by an incoherent dynamics of the neurons in the network leading to a spot-like macroscopic attractor in the \((\bar{E}, \bar{Q})\) plane and an almost constant average field \( \bar{E} \), while to the coherent PS regime corresponds a closed curve attractor [14] and a periodic behavior of \( \bar{E} \) in time as shown in Fig. 1a and b. The incoherent and coherent neural dynamics can be clearly appreciated, in the two regimes, also at microscopic level by examining the corresponding raster plots reported in Fig. 1c and d.

As a first aspect, we will investigate the occurrence of AS and PS for finite networks and different average connectivity \( \langle k \rangle \). In order to distinguish the two regimes we have examined the macroscopic attractor shape, the extrema values of the average field \( \bar{E} \), and the synchronization parameter \( R \) as a function of \( N \).

A. ER networks with constant probability

As an initial reference study we consider ER networks with unidirectional links chosen at random with a constant probability (CP) \( p \) for any network size \( N \). This amounts to consider the limiting case \( \gamma \to 1 \) and to have an average connectivity scaling linearly with the size, i.e. \( \langle k \rangle = pN \). Let us firstly examine the minima and maxima of \( \bar{E} \) of a network of size \( N \) by varying the probability \( p \) between 0 and 1, as shown in Fig. 2, for \( N = 1, 600 \). At low probability one has AS while the PS regime emerges only for sufficiently large \( p \), both in the quenched and annealed case. This result is related to the fact that the presence of noise reduces the coherence needed to observe the PS regime [14]. As a matter of fact increasing \( p \) (i.e. diminishing the number of broken links in the network) the attractor size increases and finally reaches the fully coupled result. The degree of coherence can be measured in terms of the average synchronization indicator \( \langle R \rangle \). As shown in Fig. 2b the system coherence steadily increases with \( p \), except in the AS regime where \( \langle R \rangle \approx 0 \), apart for finite size fluctuations.

Let us now report the phase diagram for the macroscopic activity of the network in the \((N, \langle k \rangle)\) plane, for both annealed and quenched disorder. Increasing the average connectivity, keeping the system size fixed, leads to a transition from AS to PS regimes (see Fig. 3). The transition occurs at a critical average connectivity \( \langle k \rangle_c \),
FIG. 1: (Color online) AS and PS characterization in terms of macroscopic fields and single neuron dynamics. Panel a: macroscopic attractors in the \((\bar{E}, \bar{Q})\) plane. Panel b: the average field \(\bar{E}\) as a function of time. Panel c and d: raster plots. The data refer to ER networks with \(\langle k \rangle = p \times N\), quenched disorder, and \(N = 1,600\), the black (resp. red) symbols correspond to AS observable for \(p = 0.2\) (resp. PS for \(p = 0.7\)).

indicates by the asterisks connected by a black solid line in Fig. 3, which for low \(N\) increases steadily with \(N\), but eventually saturates for \(N > 10,000\) to an asymptotically constant value which depends on the noise realization: namely, \(\langle k \rangle_{as} = 725 \pm 25\) for the quenched case and \(\langle k \rangle_{as} = 225 \pm 25\) in the annealed one [24].

B. ER networks with \(\gamma\)-dependent probability

To verify the generality of these results we investigate ER networks with \(\gamma\)-dependent probability. In particular, we have estimated, for system sizes in the range \(100 < N < 200,000\), the macroscopic attractors for various \(\gamma\)-values (namely, \(\gamma = 1.1, 1.3, 1.5\) and 1.7), after discarding long transient periods. For small system sizes the network is in the AS regime which is characterized by a spot-like attractor in the \((\bar{E}, \bar{Q})\)-plane. For larger number of neurons, PS emerges in the system characterized by closed curve (macroscopic) attractors. Furthermore, similarly to the results reported in Ref. [17], increasing \(N\) the curves tend to an asymptotic shape, corresponding to the fully coupled attractor, while fluctuations diminish.

To exemplify this point various macroscopic attractors for \(\gamma = 1.3\) and annealed disorder are reported in Fig. 4a. As already reported for the CP networks the systems with annealed disorder converge more rapidly with \(N\) towards the asymptotic fully coupled attractor with respect to the quenched case, as shown in Fig. 4b for \(\gamma = 1.1, 1.3, 1.5\). Increasing \(\gamma\) we observe that the transition from AS to PS occurs at larger and larger system size, both for annealed and quenched disorder. The results for \(\gamma = 1.7\) are not shown in Fig. 4b, since for all the examined network sizes (up to \(N = 200,000\)) \(\bar{E}\) extrema coincide within the error bar, indicating that the system is in the AS regime [25].

AS (resp. PS) regimes are reported in the phase diagram displayed in Fig. 4 as filled (resp. empty) symbols for the investigated \(\gamma\)-values. The critical line \(\langle k \rangle_c = \langle k \rangle_c(N)\) (indicated by the solid black line in Fig. 3) denoting the transition from AS to PS coincides with that determined previously for CP networks. We can
thus safely affirm that the dynamical regimes of the ER networks depend, at a macroscopic level, simply on the average connectivity, once the system size $N$ is fixed. This could be expected from the fact that for ER networks the distribution of links per neuron is completely determined by $⟨k⟩$ (see Eq. (3)). However, the independence of $⟨k⟩$ from $N$ at $N > 10,000$ is unexpected and suggests that coherent behaviors, like PS regimes, can be observed in networks of any size for vanishingly small relative connectivity $⟨k⟩/N$.

### IV. NETWORK HOMOGENEITY

We observe that for any considered $γ$ the fields $(E_i, Q_i)$ associated with the different neurons tend to synchronize for increasing $N$. Therefore in the thermodynamic limit ($N \to \infty$) disordered networks tend to behave as fully coupled ones, where all the neurons are equivalent and a single field is sufficient to describe the macroscopic evolution of the system.

In order to quantify the level of homogeneity among the various neurons, we measured the standard deviation (Eq. (12)) relative to the fluctuations of the different local fields $E_i$ with respect to the average field $\bar{E}$. In Fig. 5a we plot the time average of the standard deviation, $⟨\sigma⟩$, for annealed disorder and various $γ$ values. We observe a power law decay $⟨\sigma⟩ \propto N^{-\beta}$, where the exponent $\beta$ depends on the $γ$-parameter as $\beta = 1 - γ/2$ (see Fig. 5a). Furthermore, for the limiting case $γ = 2.0$ the decay of $⟨\sigma⟩$ is consistent with a scaling $1/\sqrt{\ln N}$ as displayed in the inset of Fig. 5a. Altogether, the reported dependencies suggest the following relationship to hold:

$$⟨\sigma⟩ \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{⟨k⟩}} ;$$  

Thus fields fluctuations are driven by the average in-degree value irrespectively of the total number of neurons. These results confirm once more that in the limit
\( \beta \rightarrow \infty \) the neural field dynamics converges to that of homogeneous networks, for both quenched and annealed disorder.

The relationship among \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) and the average connectivity reported in eq. (14) clearly indicates that for a sparse network, with constant average connectivity, \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) will remain finite even in the thermodynamic limit. Therefore, we can conclude that a sparse network cannot be ever reduced to a fully coupled one by simply rescaling the synaptic coupling as done in Ref. [17], even for very large systems.

V. CHAOTIC VS REGULAR DYNAMICS

Homogeneous fully connected pulse coupled networks exhibit regular dynamics [20]. In particular, for excitatory network and finite pulses the AS becomes a splay state characterized by all neurons spiking one after the other at regular intervals with the same frequency and by a constant mean field \( \bar{E} \), while the PS regime becomes perfectly periodic at a macroscopic level [1].

The introduction of disorder in the network leads to irregularity in the dynamics of the single neurons, which are reflected also at a macroscopic level. This kind of deterministic irregular behavior has been identified as weak chaos whenever the irregularity vanishes for sufficiently large system size [16]. The chaotic motion can be characterized in terms of the maximal Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda \); regular orbits have non positive exponents, while chaotic dynamics are associated with \( \lambda > 0 \).

For finite size networks chaotic dynamics is observed both for annealed and quenched disorder. However, for all \( \gamma \)-values examined in this work, \( \lambda \) tends to decrease for a sufficiently large number of neurons in the network. Therefore we can safely affirm that for any ER network with average connectivity given by Eq. (8) the neuronal dynamics is weakly chaotic, i.e. the evolution will become completely regular for infinite networks. Numerical results for quenched disorder are reported in Fig. 6a for various \( \gamma \)-values. The maximal Lyapunov exponent exhibits clear power-law decays \( N^{-\delta} \), with \( \delta \) decreasing and eventually vanishing for \( \gamma \rightarrow 2 \) (see Table I). These results generalize previous indications reported in Olmi et al. for a specific realization of diluted network [17].

Considering networks with annealed disorder it must be underlined that for sufficiently large number of neurons and \( \gamma < 2 \), the maximal Lyapunov reveals a tendency to decrease. However, clear scaling laws cannot be inferred for all the examined \( \gamma \)-values on the range of affordable system sizes, namely \( 50 \leq N \leq 12,500 \). Larger network sizes are probably required with unreliable synapses to have clear scaling laws, due to the fact that the transition from AS to PS occurs at critical sys-
TABLE I: Power law exponents giving the decay of the maximal Lyapunov $\lambda \propto N^{-\delta}$ for the data reported in Fig. 4, for quenched disorder.

| $\gamma$ | $\delta$ |
|---------|---------|
| 1.1     | 0.26 ± 0.01 |
| 1.3     | 0.228 ± 0.007 |
| 1.5     | 0.174 ± 0.006 |
| 1.7     | 0.085 ± 0.009 |

The network is always in an AS for $\gamma$ fixed an unique regime is observable for almost all the investigated sizes. In particular, the network is always in an AS for $\gamma = 1.1$ and 1.3, while it is in the PS regime for $\gamma = 1.7$ and 1.3 for (almost) all the considered number of neurons. These additional findings strengthen the above reported conclusions: finite size systems are weakly chaotic for any considered dynamical regime.

According to the results reported above, we expect that for sparse networks the maximal Lyapunov exponent will eventually saturate to some constant value, apart for possible logarithmic corrections. Thus, sparse networks should remain chaotic for any system size, paralleling the behavior of the microscopic fluctuations shown in the previous Section. Therefore microscopic inhomogeneities and chaotic behavior appear as deeply related.

VI. DISCUSSION

Collective periodic oscillations in excitatory ER networks can be observed only above a critical average in-degree. This latter quantity saturates to a constant value for networks with a sufficiently large number of neurons, thus suggesting that the key ingredient responsible for the emergence of collective behaviors is the number of pre-synaptic neurons both for massively connected networks as well as for sparsely connected ones. This result confirms and generalizes previous findings on the stability of the complete synchronized state for pulse-coupled Hindmarsh-Rose neurons [4]. Furthermore, our results indicate that the minimal network size required to observe collective oscillations diverges with the exponent $\gamma$ ruling the scaling of the average connectivity with the number of neurons.

The presence of annealed disorder in the network (corresponding to unreliable synapses) favors the emergence of coherent activity with respect to the quenched case (associated with reliable synapses), since in the first case the asymptotic average connectivity required to observe collective oscillations is much smaller. This is probably due to the fact that in the annealed situation each neuron is on average subjected to the same train of stimuli, while with quenched disorder the dynamics of each neuron depends heavily on its neighbors. Furthermore, for sufficiently large networks the macroscopic behavior observable with reliable or unreliable synapses becomes identical. This seems to indicate that at the level of population dynamics the reliability or unreliability in the synaptic transmission can be irrelevant.

The average in-degree $\langle k \rangle$ also controls the fluctuations among different neurons, being the fluctuations proportional to the inverse of the square root of $\langle k \rangle$. Therefore, for ER networks with average in-degree proportional to any positive power of $N$, the fluctuations will vanish in the limit $N \to \infty$, leading to a homogeneous collective behavior analogous to that of fully connected networks. On the contrary, inhomogeneities among neurons will persist at any system size in sparse networks.

Recent experimental results on the intact neuronal network of the barrel cortex of anesthetized rats seems to clearly suggest that the dynamics of this system is chaotic [13]. This result poses severe questions about the possibility of reliable neural coding. The evolution of our models is chaotic for any finite networks. However, in presence of coherent periodic activity, the chaoticity present in the system is not so strong to destroy the average collective motion. Thus the trial-to-trial variability induced by chaos does not prevent the possibility of the network to encode information. The information can be coded in some property associated to the global oscillations of the network which are robust to local fluctuations, and this collective coding can represent an alternative to the dilemma rate versus spike timing coding [13].

The level of chaos in the examined networks decreases with $N$ and the dynamics becomes regular in the thermodynamic limit. However, the decrease of the maximal Lyapunov exponent with $N$ slows down dramatically for $\gamma \to 2$, suggesting an erratic asymptotic behavior for sparse networks.

Our results represent only a first step in the analysis of what matters in the network topology for the emergence of coherent neural dynamics. In future works, our findings should be critically verified for more complex topologies, like scale-free and small-world, and the influence of other ingredients, like the asymmetry in the in-degree and out-degree distributions [13], should be also addressed.
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