Structural features of a bacterial cyclic α-maltosyl-(1→6)-maltose (CMM) hydrolase critical for CMM recognition and hydrolysis
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Cyclic α-maltosyl-(1→6)-maltose (CMM, cyclo-(→6)-α-D-Glc-(1→4)-α-D-Glc-(1→6)-α-D-Glc-(1→4)-α-D-Glc-(1→4)-α-D-Glc-(1→1)) is a cyclic glucotetrasaccharide with alternating 1,4- and 1,6- linkages. CMM is composed of two maltose units and is one of the smallest cyclic glucooligosaccharides. Although CMM is resistant to usual amylases, it is efficiently hydrolyzed by CMM hydrolase (CMMase), belonging to subfamily 20 of glycoside hydrolase (GH13) family 13 (GH13_20). Here, we determined the ligand-free crystal structure of CMMase from the soil-associated bacterium Arthrobacter globiformis and its structures in complex with maltose, panose, and CMM to elucidate the structural basis of substrate recognition by CMMase. The structures disclosed that although the monomer structure consists of three domains commonly adopted by GH13 and other α-amylase–related enzymes, CMMase forms a unique wing-like dimer structure. The complex structure with CMM revealed four specific subsites, namely –3′, –2, –1, and +1′. We also observed that the bound CMM molecule adopts a low-energy conformer compared with the X-ray structure of a single CMM crystal, also determined here. Comparison of the CMMase active site with those in other enzymes of the GH13_20 family revealed that three regions forming the wall of the cleft, denoted PYF (Pro-203/Tyr-204/Phe-205), CS (Cys-163/Ser-164), and Y (Tyr-168), are present only in CMMase and are involved in CMM recognition. Combinations of multiple substitutions in these regions markedly decreased the activity toward CMM, indicating that the specificity for this cyclic tetrasaccharide is supported by the entire shape of the pocket. In summary, our work uncovers the mechanistic basis for the highly specific interactions of CMMase with its substrate CMM.

Various types of cyclic oligosaccharides with unique properties such as cyclodextrins (CDs) (1, 2), cyclodextran (3, 4), cyclomaltooligosaccharide and cyclic α-1,6-linkage (ICG5) (5–7), and cyclic α-nigerosyl-(1→6)-nigerose (CNN, also denoted as cycloanteran) (8–14) have been studied. Cyclic oligosaccharides potentially have applications in various fields, such as food, cosmetic, pharmaceutical, chemical, textile, and agricultural industries, due to their ability to increase solubility and stability, to mask odors (sequestration), and to alter reactivity and physical properties (e.g. viscosity) of guest molecules (2). In relation to the functional cyclic oligosaccharides, enzymes that produce or hydrolyze CDs have been extensively studied. Cyclo(malto)dextrin glucanotransferase (EC 2.4.1.19) acts on α-1,4-glucan and generates CDs, which consist of α-1,4-linked 6 (α-), 7 (β-), or 8 (γ-) glucopyranose units by intramolecular transglycosylation (15–17). In the Carbohydrate-Active enZymes (CAZy) database (http://www.cazy.org) (18), cyclomaltooligosaccharides are categorized in the subfamily 2 of the glycoside hydrolase (GH) family 13 (GH13_2) (19). Although general α-amylases cannot hydrolyze CDs, several GH13 enzymes belonging to GH13_2 subfamily exhibit CD-hydrolyzing activity (e.g. cyclomaltooligosaccharidase, EC 3.2.1.54). For example, Thermoactinomyces vulgaris R-47 α-amylase II (TVaII) (20), Geobacillus stearothermophilus neopullulanase (GsNPL) (21), Thermus sp. IM6501 maltogenic α-amylase (ThMAA) (22), and Bacillus sp. I-5 cyclomaltooligosaccharidase (22) have been demonstrated to hydrolyze CDs. In particular, the molecular basis of the recognition and hydrolysis of CDs by TVaII has been extensively studied (23–27). The CD-hydrolyzing GH13_20 enzymes generally have broad-substrate selectivity. GsNPL is a unique enzyme that exhibits hydrolysis and glucosyltransferase activities for both α-1,4- and α-1,6-linkages (neopullulanase activity, EC 3.2.1.135) (28).
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The atomic coordinates and structure factors (codes 5ZXG, 6AOL, 6AOK, and 6AOU) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://wwwpdb.org/). Crystallographic data for the structure of CMM have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under accession number CCDC 1869312. This article contains Tables S1–S14.
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We have previously identified a novel enzymatically produced cyclic tetrasaccharide, cyclic α-maltosyl-(1→6)-maltose (CMM, cyclo-[(→6)-α-D-GlcP-(1→4)-α-D-GlcP-(1→6)-α-D-GlcP-(1→6)-α-D-GlcP-(1→6)] (29)). CMM consists of two maltose molecules cyclized with two α-1,6 linkages and thus has alternating α-1,4 and α-1,6 linkages (Fig. 1). CMM was initially obtained by reacting the culture supernatant of a soil-isolated bacterium, Arthrobacter globiformis M6, on starch. Further studies showed that the action of a single enzyme contained in the culture supernatant, 6-α-maltosyltransferase (6MT, EC 2.4.1.5), was responsible for the synthesis of CMM (30). It was also shown that 6MT acts on maltooligosaccharides of a degree of polymerization ≥ 3 and higher and produces CMM by a two-stage catalysis of inter- and intramolecular α-1,6-transglucosylation. Arthrobacter globiformis M6 can grow on CMM as the sole carbon source, but no CMM decomposition product was detected in the culture supernatant. A further study revealed that an intracellular enzyme, CMM hydrolase (CMMase, EC 3.2.1.2), plays a key role in the CMM catabolism (31–33). CMMase degrades CMM into two maltose molecules by a two-stage hydrolysis of the α-1,6 linkages via maltosyl-maltose (MM, Fig. 1). CMMase belongs to GH13.20, whereas 6MT is unclassified in the currently established 40 subfamilies of GH13. Interestingly, CMMase is highly specific to CMM and MM, although other GH13.20 enzymes generally do not hydrolyze CMM and exhibit wide substrate specificities toward CD, α-1,4, and α-1,6 linkages.

Recently, crystal structures of enzymes and proteins related to the metabolism of CNN, which is the other type of cyclic glucotetrasaccharide linked by alternating α-1,3 and 1,6 linkages, by Listeria monocytogenes have been reported (34, 35). However, the structural basis for the CMM metabolism has not yet been elucidated.

In this study, we determined the crystal structures of CMMase in a ligand-free form and in complex forms with three glucooligosaccharide ligands, including CMM. A comparison of the active site of CMMase with the GH13.20 enzymes revealed significant differences responsible for the substrate specificity. The X-ray structure of a single CMM crystal was also determined, and its conformation was compared with the CMMase-bound CMM molecule. Furthermore, a site-directed mutational analysis of the key residues in the substrate-binding site confirmed their importance in the recognition of CMM.

Results

Overall structure of CMMase

The crystal structure of CMMase was solved by molecular replacement using the GsNPL structure (33.8% sequence identity by EMBOSS Needle Pairwise Alignment, PDB code 1J0H) as a search model. Structures in a ligand-free form and the complex forms with maltose (α-D-GlcP-(1→4)-α-D-GlcP), panose (α-D-GlcP-(1→6)-α-D-GlcP-(1→4)-α-D-GlcP), and CMM were determined at 1.6–2.4 Å resolutions (Table 1). The monomer enzyme is composed of three domains: a catalytic (B/α)8-barrel domain A (residues 1–119 and 175–377); domain B (residues 120–174), which protrudes from domain A; and domain C (residues 378–450) (Fig. 2B). The three-domain architecture is generally present in GH13 and α-amylase-related clan GH-H enzymes (e.g. GH70 and GH77) (36). In the GH13.20 subfamily, crystal structures of six bacterial and three archaeal enzymes, which have activities of α-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1) and cyclomaltoextrinase (EC 3.2.1.54) (37), debranching enzyme or amylo-α-1,6-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.133) (38), amylopullulanase (EC 3.2.1.41) (39), cyclomaltoextrinase (EC 3.2.1.54) and maltogenic α-amylase (EC 3.2.1.133) (22, 40), and neopullulanase (EC 3.2.1.135) (41, 42), have been reported. A database search using the DALI server (43) revealed that CMMase shows a high structural similarity to GsNPL (Z-score, 53.3; RMSD, 1.5 Å for 420 C atoms), TVAII (Z-score, 53.3; RMSD, 1.3 Å for 435 Ca atoms), and a debranching enzyme from Nostoc punctiforme (NpDBE, Z-score, 46.6; RMSD, 2.0 Å for 420 Ca atoms). Among them, GsNPL, TVAII, and ThMAA share an additional wing-like dimer structure in the crystal packing in the N terminus (domain N), whereas NpDBE has a typical three-domain monomer structure such as CMMase.

The molecular masses of CMMase, as deduced from the amino acid sequence, estimated by SDS-PAGE and calibrated by size-exclusion chromatography (in 150 mM NaCl and 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)), were 51.6, 53.4, and 105.2 kDa, respectively, suggesting that it is dimeric in solution. In the ligand-free structure and those of two complex forms (maltose and panose), a wing-like dimer structure was observed in the crystal packing in space group P21 (two molecules in the asymmetric unit) or P221 (one molecule in the asymmetric unit) (Fig. 2A). The dimer interface comprises two β-sheets in domain C. A molecular interface analysis using PISA (44) indicates that the interface area is 1,060 Å2, with 19 hydrogen bonds, 11 salt bridges, and an estimated ΔG of −3.2 kcal/mol, and it is implied to be the most likely dimer interface among crystal packing interfaces (complexation significance score = 1). However, this dimer structure is not present in the CMM complex structure of the P41,2 space group. This is probably because the CMM complex crystal was grown under alkaline conditions with a high-salt concentration (0.1 M glycine NaOH (pH 9.3), 0.2 M lithium sulfate, and 0.8 M sodium/potassium tartrate), and the salt bridges and the hydrogen bonds in the interface may have been broken during the crystal growth. The two active sites are well-separated in the dimer structure, and the dimerization apparently does not affect the enzymatic function.

To the best of our knowledge, the C domain–mediated wing-like dimer structure of CMMase (Fig. 3A) is unique among...
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GH13 enzymes, and no similar type of dimer structures have been reported. In GH13_20 enzymes, GsNPL forms a dimer with the characteristic domain N (Fig. 3B) (42), and the dimer interface is substantially involved in the formation of the active site. Similar dimer formation has also been observed in other GH13_20 enzymes containing domain N, such as TVAII and ThMAA (22, 41). As shown in Fig. 3C, NpDBE exhibits a unique boat-shaped dimer structure mediated by domains A and B (38). A neighboring molecule is only slightly involved in the formation of the active site, and it has been shown that dissociation into monomers does not affect the activity (45).

A calcium-binding site is observed in the CMM complex structure (Fig. 2B). The same calcium ion was observed in chain B of the maltose complex structure (Fig. 2B). The neighboring molecule is only slightly involved in the formation of the active site, and it has been shown that dissociation into monomers does not affect the activity (45).

A calcium-binding site is observed in the CMM complex structure (Fig. 2B). The same calcium ion was observed in chain B of the maltose complex structure (Fig. 2B). The neighboring molecule is only slightly involved in the formation of the active site, and it has been shown that dissociation into monomers does not affect the activity (45).

Table 1

| Data collection | Wild-type | Panose | D201N, CMM |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|------------|
| Beamsite        | SPring-8  | KEK-PF | KEK-PF     |
| Wavelength (Å)  | 1.000     | 1.000  | 1.000      |
| Space group     | P2₁       | C222₁  | P2₁        |
| Resolution (Å)  | 50.0–2.40 | 50.0–1.94 | 50.0–1.60 |

This table includes data collection and refinement statistics for CMMase crystals.

Active site of CMMase complexed with substrate and products

In addition to the natural substrate (CMM), a partial structure of the intermediate product/substrate MM (panose) and the final degradation product (maltose) of CMMase (Fig. 1) were located in the active site of the complex structures. The electron densities of these ligands were clearly observed (Fig. 2, C–E) near the catalytic residues (Asp-201 as the nucleophile and Glu-230 as the acid/base catalyst), which are conserved in GH13. To avoid the hydrolysis of CMM, a nucleophile residue variant (D201N) was made and used for the co-crystallization with CMM.

In the maltose complex structure, one maltose molecule is bound at subsites −1 and −2 (Fig. 4). The maltose molecule is extensively recognized by protein side chains through hydrogen bonds (Tyr-168, Asp-341, and Arg-345 at subsite −2, and Glu-230, His-296, Asp-297, and His-121 at subsite −1) and stacking interactions (His-79 and Tyr-81 at subsites −2 and −1, respectively) (Fig. 5A). These interactions are well-conserved among the GH13_20 enzymes.

In the panose complex structure, two panose molecules are bound at subsites −3′ to −1 (panose 1) and at subsites +1 to +3 (panose 2) (Fig. 4). Notably, the nonreducing end Glc moiety of panose 1 was significantly deviated from the normal subsite −3 position of GH13_20 enzymes (discussed below); thus, we designated this subsite as −3′. The α-1,6 linkages of panose 1 and 2 are located between the subsites −3′ and −2 and −1, respectively. Therefore, panose 1 mimics the binding of MM, whereas panose 2 appears to represent binding of linear α-glucans such as pullulan. The maltose moiety of panose 1 is bound very similarly to the maltose complex structure, but subsite −3′ of CMMase is located in a vertical position (Fig. 5B). The subsite −3′ is not extensively recognized by the protein, but the side chains of Ser-164 and Cys-163 make a hydrogen bond to the O6 hydroxyl and a hydrophobic interaction, respectively. Panose 2 is loosely recognized compared with panose 1. The side chains of Tyr-204 and Glu-231 form...
direct hydrogen bonds and a stacking interaction at subsites +2 and +3, and several water-mediated hydrogen bonds additionally support the recognition. The reducing end Glc of panose 2 was observed as the β-anomer (Fig. 2E), probably due to the loose recognition at this subsite (+3). The observed oxygen–oxygen distances between the O1 atom of Glc at subsite −1 and the O6 atom of Glc at subsite +1 are too close (1.2 Å, dotted line in Fig. 4); thus, we set the occupancies of the two panose molecules to 0.5. This implies that the two Glc moieties mimic an α-1,6 linkage of isopanose and pullulan, which can be cleaved by CMMase (Fig. 1) (31). The O1 and C1 atoms of Glc at subsite −1 are located near the oxygen atoms of the side chains of the acid/base catalyst (Glu-230, distance = 2.6 Å) and the nucleophile (Asp-201, distance = 2.9 Å), suggesting that the Glc moiety canonically occupies the catalytic subsite.

In the complex structure with CMM, the α-1,6 linkage is positioned near the catalytic residues. The distances between the C1 of Glc at subsite −1 and the side-chain oxygen of
Asp(Asn)-201 and the scissile glycosidic bond oxygen and that of Glu-230 are 3.1 and 3.2 Å, respectively (Fig. 5C). Because the Glc moiety connecting the sugars in subsites −3’ and −1 is again significantly deviated from the normal subsite +1 position for linear glucan substrates, we designated this subsite as +1’ (Fig. 4). The Glc at subsite +1’ forms hydrogen bonds with Asp-297 and several water molecules. The binding mode of CMM to subsites −3’ to −1 is similar to that of panose 1. At subsite −3’, there are also interactions with Cys-163 and Ser-164. The maltose moiety at subsites −2 and −1 are extensively recognized with similar interactions as those of the maltose complex, as described above.

In summary, for the structural features of the active site, CMMase binds a Glc moiety at subsite −1 by placing the O6 hydroxyl near the acid/base catalyst (cleavage preference for an α-1,6 bond). In addition, CMMase has strong subsites for the maltose moiety at subsites −2 and −1, whereas the flanking subsites (−3’ and +1’/+1) appear to be relatively weak. The extensive interactions at subsites −2 and −1 suggest that only α-1,4-linked Glc moieties are allowed to bind here. These structural features are consistent with the substrate preference for CMMase (31), with hydrolysis ratios of 99.4% for CMM, 76.2% for MM, 34.6% for pullulan, 28.7% for isopanose, 4.2% for maltotetraose (G4), and 1.8% for maltopentaose. Furthermore, we have measured the kinetic parameters of CMMase to CMM and MM. CMMase exhibited typical Michaelis-Menten–type kinetics (hyperbolic saturation curve) to the both substrates (data not shown). The $K_m$, $k_{cat}$, and $k_{cat}/K_m$ values for CMM were 3.6 ± 0.2 mM, 59.7 ± 2.9 s⁻¹, and 17.8 ± 1.4 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). The $K_m$, $k_{cat}$, and $k_{cat}/K_m$ values for MM were 51 ± 14 mM, 250 ± 39 s⁻¹, and 5.0 ± 2.8 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹, respectively. Therefore, CMMase preferentially binds CMM for hydrolysis compared with MM. From a structural comparison of the ligand-free and complex structures, it was found that CMMase did not significantly change its structure upon substrate (ligand) binding, as the RMSD values for the Cα atoms...
between all pairs are within 0.19–0.47 Å. A significant movement was only observed for Arg-233 in the panose complex (Fig. 5B), whose side chain moves into the subsite +3 when it is occupied.

We also examined inhibition behavior and potency of maltose and panose, whose binding interactions with CMMase were revealed by the crystallography. Both of the compounds exhibited typical competitive inhibition with CMM substrate (data not shown), and the $K_i$ values for maltose and panose were 4.8 ± 0.3 and 2.8 ± 0.4 mM, respectively. The $K_i$ values were comparable with the $K_m$ value for CMM (3.6 mM). The relatively small $K_i$ value of panose is consistent with the more extensive interactions of the panose 1 molecule in subsites −3′ to −1 compared with maltose in subsite −1 and −2 (Fig. 5, A and B).

**Comparison of the active site and ligand-binding mode with neopullulanase belonging to GH13_20**

Fig. 6 shows a comparison of the active sites of the two representative GH13_20 enzymes, CMMase and GsNPL. The
Structure and substrate recognition of CMMase

Table 2
Kinetic parameters of the wild-type CMMase and variants for CMM

| Enzyme               | $k_m$ | $k_{cat}$ | $k_{cat}/k_m$ |
|----------------------|-------|-----------|---------------|
| WT                   | 3.6 ± 0.2 | 59.7 ± 2.9 | 17.8 ± 1.4 |
| Y168A                | 45.5 ± 5.3 | 111.1 ± 8.9 | 2.4 ± 0.3 |
| Y204A                | 5.0 ± 0.3 | 101.4 ± 2.7 | 20.4 ± 1.5 |
| P203A/Y204N/F205E    | 40.8 ± 6.3 | 126.1 ± 12.9 | 3.1 ± 0.6 |
| Y168Q/Y204N          | 29.5 ± 2.1 | 146.7 ± 7.0 | 5.0 ± 0.8 |
| Y168Q/P203A/Y204N/F205E | 110.6 ± 56.3 | 45.0 ± 18.6 | 0.4 ± 0.3 |
| C163A                | 69.7 ± 5.0 | 74.4 ± 4.4 | 1.1 ± 0.1 |
| C163V                | 152.0 ± 0.5 | 326.0 ± 0.6 | 2.1 ± 0.1 |
| C163L                | 85.9 ± 14.8 | 97.7 ± 13.3 | 1.1 ± 0.2 |
| C163S                | 43.5 ± 5.3 | 33.4 ± 3.0 | 0.8 ± 0.2 |
| S164A                | 16.8 ± 0.9 | 127.1 ± 3.9 | 7.9 ± 0.5 |

Identification of important residues for activity by site-directed mutational analysis

To investigate the importance of these residues for the activity of CMMase, we constructed 10 variant enzymes containing single to quadratic site-directed mutations at the three wall positions by mimicking the active site of GsNPL with substitution of PYF, CS, and Y for ANE, FA, and Q, or by substituting them with Ala. Their kinetic parameters for CMM (Table 2) were compared with the WT enzyme. A single Ala substitution in the PYF wall (Y204A) caused a concomitant increase of the $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ values for CMM, resulting in slight increase of the $k_{cat}/K_m$ value. A single Ala substitution at the Y wall (Y168A) also caused increases in both the $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ values, but the significant increase of the $K_m$ value had a large impact on the $k_{cat}/K_m$ value (7.4-fold decrease compared with the WT). The triple substitutions from PYF to ANE (P203A/Y204N/F205E) and the combinational double mutation of Tyr-204 and Tyr-168 (Y168Q/Y204N) also significantly decreased the $k_{cat}/K_m$ value due to increases of the $K_m$ value. The quadratic variant from PYF-Y to ANE-Q (Y168Q/P203A/Y204N/F205E) exhibited the highest $K_m$ and the lowest $k_{cat}/K_m$ values among all variants tested. These results indicate that the PYF and Y walls at the −2 and plus subsites concertedly support formation of the bowl-shaped cleft of CMMase that is suitable for the small cyclic substrate. Substitutions at the CS wall also significantly increased the $K_m$ value for CMM. The effect of the substitution at Ser-164 (S164A) was milder than those at Cys-163 (C163A, C163V, C163L, and C163S). Among the four Cys-163 variants that we tested, C163V and C163S showed the highest and lowest $k_{cat}/K_m$ values, respectively. Therefore, we conclude that the small side chain of Cys-163 mainly contributes to the substrate affinity at the unique −3′ subsite of CMMase with its hydrophobicity.

We also measured activities (hydrolysis ratio) of the variant enzymes toward various substrates and compared them with those of the WT enzyme (Table 3). Notably, the Y204A and P203A/Y204N/F205E variants exhibited a significantly increased activity toward G4, suggesting that elimination of the large side chain of Tyr-204 at subsite +2 shifted the specificity of CMMase from α-1,6 linkage toward the linear α-1,4-linked substrate. This result is consistent with the conservation pattern of the residue corresponding to Tyr-204 of the PYF motif (Fig. 7). Enzymes with neopolullanase-like activity (GsNPL, TVAII, and ThMMA), which have intermediate specificities to α-1,4 and α-1,6 linkages, have Asn at this position, although the α-1,6-specific debranching enzyme (NpDBE) has an aromatic residue (Phe-213). In the study of TVAII, substitution of Val-326 (the residue corresponding to Val-202) could modulate the preference for α-1,6 and α-1,4 linkages (47). The Y326A variant of TVAII favored the α-1,4 linkage, although V326I favored the α-1,6 linkage, suggesting that size and hydrophobicity of the residue at this position modulate the linkage preference. As shown in Fig. 5, Val-202 of CMMase is located close to the PYF wall.
The substitution at Tyr-168 (Y168A, Y168Q/Y204N, and Y168Q/P203A/Y204N/F205E) significantly decreased the activity toward pullulan and isopanose. Moreover, the substitutions at the CS wall generally decreased the activities toward substrates other than CMM. In particular, the Cys-163 substitutions showed significantly decreased activities toward MM. These results indicate that changes at the CS and Y walls, which constitute the essential part of the pocket of CMMase, are also destructive for binding of the linear substrates.

Crystallography of CMM

Previously, we reported the preparation process and characteristics of pentahydrate crystals of CMM (29). Here, we determined the X-ray crystal structure of a single CMM crystal (Table 4 and supporting information). Fig. 8 shows superimposition of the structure of CMM in the single crystal (Fig. 8, cyan) with the CMM molecule bound to CMMase (white). The two CMM molecules unexpectedly exhibit large conformational differences. We also modeled an energy-minimized CMM molecule in the active site (Fig. 8, thin orange sticks), and we found that it adopts a similar conformation as the CMMase-bound CMM molecule. The CMM in the single crystal shows the largest deviations from the other two at the Glc unit at subsite −1,6 bonds (b1 between −3′ and −2 and b3 between −1 and +1′) and the two α-1,4 bonds (b2 and +1′).
between −2 and −1 and b4 between +1' and −3; see Fig. 4 for the designation). Therefore, we measured the torsion angles of the four glycosidic bonds of the three CMM structures (Table 5). Dowd et al. (48) calculated isoenergy surfaces of the H9251-1,6 bond of isomaltose based on the MM3 force field. The conformations of the b1 and b3 bonds in the CMM single crystal are placed near the second lowest local minimum of the energy map of H9251-isomaltose (44.3°, 174.6°, and 50.5°), and those in CMM complexed with CMMase are close to the third minimum (43.5°, 179.7°, and 60.6°). Therefore, the two α-1,6 bonds are not high-energy conformers even though they adopt distinct conformations (58° in a single crystal and 60° in complex in CMMase). For the H9251-1,4 bond of the H9251-maltose unit, the GlycoMapsDB web tool was used to map the torsion angles with 2,512 PDB entries (black for 1,988 disaccharide fragments and red for 524 exact structures) onto a calculated energy map (Fig. 9) (49). The b2 and b4 bonds in CMMase were mapped in the most frequently observed low-energy conformation area, although those in the single CMM crystal were mapped in a rarely observed high-energy conformer area, whose calculated energy is more than 5 kcal/mol higher than that of the global minimum. Therefore,
the single-crystal structure of CMM may be a relatively high-energy conformer trapped during the crystal formation, and the CMM molecule bound in CMMase represents one of the lowest energy conformations in solution.

**Discussion**

Fig. 10 illustrates our proposed reaction steps of the two-stage hydrolysis of CMM to maltose by CMMase, according to the results of this study: step 1, CMM binds to the active site; step 2, CMM is cleaved at one of the two α-1,6 linkages, and the reaction product, MM, is tentatively released from the active site. For step 3, MM rebinds so that the remaining α-1,6 linkage is placed near the catalytic residue, and for step 4, the second α-1,6 linkage is hydrolyzed, and the products (two molecules of maltose) are released from the active site. The −2 and −1 subsites strongly recognize the maltose molecule and play a critical role in the substrate binding. In addition, interaction at −3′ subsite, which is mainly supported by the hydrophobic interaction by Cys-163, fixes the cyclic molecule. The PYF and Y walls also support formation of the bowl-shaped cleft, which is complementary for CMM. Comparison between the apo and complex structures suggested that the active site of CMMase does not have an induced-fit–type feature. Our kinetic analysis indi-

**Table 4**

X-ray data collection and refinement statistics of a CMM crystal.

| Property                        | CMMase                     | Energy-minimized | Single crystal |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| a (Å)                           | 221.5 (18)                 | 91.7 (11)       | 4992 (16)     |
| b (Å)                           | 21.595 (5)                 | 91.671 (11)     | 4992 (16)     |
| c (Å)                           | 22.159 (3)                 | 91.671 (11)     | 4992 (16)     |
| V (Å³)                          | 4992.0 (16)                | 4992 (16)       | 4992 (16)     |
| Z                               | 2                          | 2               | 2             |
| d_{calc} (g/cm³)                | 1.474                      | 1.474           | 1.474         |
| R(int)                          | 0.1350                     | 0.1463          | 0.1957        |
| No. of observations (all reflections) | 22,371                    | 16.91           | 1.091         |
| Reflection/parameter ratio      | 16.91                      | 16.91           | 16.91         |
| Final R indices (I > 2σ(I))     | R₁ = 0.1463                | 0.1463          | 0.1957        |
| Final R indices (all data)      | R = 0.1957                 | 0.1957          | 0.3963        |

Figure 9. α-1,4-Glycosidic bond torsion angles (°) of α-maltose units in PDB (GlycoMAP ID: 7565) and CMM structures. Exact α-maltose structures (red) and α-maltose-containing glycans (block in the PDB) are plotted on a calculated conformational free energy map (coded green to red for low- to high-energy conformations). The torsion angles of CMM molecules in the single crystal (blue) and in the CMMase complex structure (magenta) are also plotted. The b2 and b4 bonds of CMM are designated in Fig. 4.

**Table 5**

Torsion angles (°) of the glycosidic bonds of CMM structures

| Bond and angles* | In CMMase | Energy-minimized | Single crystal |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| b1 ϕ/ψ/ω       | 48.5/−149.8/40.0 | 43.2/163.4/−158.7 | 63.6/−177.6/−58.7 |
| b2 ϕ/ψ/ω       | −7.6/13.3 | 2.1/−38.9 | 49.7/158.3 |
| b3 ϕ/ψ/ω       | −56.9/−151.1/41.8 | −61.1/−150.1/19.5 | 58.9/177.9/−58.4 |
| b4 ϕ/ψ/ω       | −26.9/17.5 | 6.0/30.8 | 56.1/164.0 |

* Designation of the bonds (b1–b4) are shown in Fig. 4. Definitions of the torsion angles are as follows: H1–C1–O4–C4 for ϕ, C1’–O4–C4–H4 for ψ, and O1–C6’–C5’–H5’ for ω (α-1,6 bond).

Figure 8. Stereographic superimposition of CMM in a single crystal (cyan sticks), CMM bound to CMMase (white sticks), and energy-minimized CMM molecule (thin orange sticks). The catalytic residues (green sticks) and subsites of CMMase are shown. Energy minimization of the CMM molecule model was performed using PCModel version 9.20 (Serena Software) with MMX force field. The CMM molecules were superimposed using the Glc unit bound at subsite −1.
cated that the enzyme preferentially binds CMM for hydrolysis compared with MM. This is probably due to the rigid and cyclic structure of the CMM substrate, whose low-energy conformer fits into the static active site.

Among the several known cyclic oligosaccharides, the metabolic pathways of CDs have been extensively studied for various bacteria and archaea, such as Klebsiella oxytoca, Thermococcus sp., Bacillus subtilis, and T. vulgaris (50–53). The microbial CD metabolism generally consists of three stages: synthesis of the cyclic oligosaccharides (CDs) outside the cells, uptake into the cells via ATP-binding cassette transporters, and degradation by intracellular enzyme(s). It has been suggested that this type of metabolic pathway is advantageous in the competition of carbon source acquisition by transiently changing the molecular form of a digestible glucan (starch) into a special cyclic form (CDs), which is rarely assimilated by other microorganisms. A similar three-stage metabolic pathway for CNN has been studied for L. monocytogenes (35). The CNN metabolic pathway is coded in two operons with 10 genes in total (lmo2446–lmo2444 and lmo0178–lmo0184). The functional and structural study revealed that the CNN metabolic pathway involves at least two extracellular enzymes for CNN formation, a specific ABC transporter, several intracellular enzymes, and a translational regulation system of a ROK family protein. In the CNN metabolic pathway, the crystal structure of GH31 Trueperella pyogenes cycloaltersman-degrading enzyme (TpCADE), which is a homolog of the intracellular CNN-hydrolyzing enzyme of L. monocytogenes (Lmo0182), has been reported (34, 35). Fig. 11 shows a comparison of the active sites of CMMase and TpCADE by aligning the structures with the catalytic components for α-glucosidic bond hydrolysis. However, the two enzymes having the hydrolytic activity toward cyclic glucotetrasaccharides adopt completely different substrate-recognition architectures. TpCADE has the cleavage specify toward the α-1,3 bond of CNN and recognizes the substrate by a stacking interaction ranging from subsites −1 to +1, and two direct hydrogen bonds to sugars in subsites −1 and −2.

The metabolic pathway of A. globiformis M6 for CMM also consists of three-stage components (29–31, 33), but it is relatively simpler than that for CNN. The CMM metabolic pathway consists of a single cluster containing seven genes (cmmA–G). Among them, an extracellular CMM-forming enzyme, 6MT (CmmA), and an intracellular CMM-degrading enzyme, CMMase (CmmF), have been characterized. The cmmB gene encodes an intracellular GH13_30 α-glucosidase (33). The α-glucosidase exhibits high activity toward MM, panose, and maltose, and it has been suggested that CMM is degraded to glucose by the synergistic action of CMMase and the α-glucosidase CmmB (31). The cmmC, -D, and -E genes show 27, 44, and 49% sequence identity (by BLAST) to a solute-binding protein from Streptomyces avermitilis, a putative permease from Deinococcus geothermalis, and a putative permease from Bacillus clausii of the ABC sugar transport system, respectively. Therefore, CmmC, CmmD, and CmmE likely form an ABC importer system specific for CMM. The cmmG gene encodes a putative Lacl/PurR family transcriptional regulator.

Our study revealed that the shape and interactions in the active site of CMMase are highly specific for the CMM substrate, and we identified several critical residues for the recognition. CMMase might have emerged through molecular evolution from a GH13_20 family enzyme, which has a wide substrate specificity similar to that of neopullulanase, under pressure from the “selfish” metabolic pathway for the cyclic oligosaccharide, in combination with the molecular evolution of the CMM-forming enzyme, 6MT. To date, the CMMase activity has not been confirmed for enzymes other than CMMase from A. globiformis M6, even though many GH13 enzymes show a certain sequence identity (>40% by BLAST). For example, neopullulanase-like enzymes, cyclomaltoextrinases, general type α-amylases, dextranases (EC 3.2.1.11), isoamylases (EC 3.2.1.63), pullulanases, and debranching enzymes cannot hydrolyze CMM. To investigate putative CMMases from the gene database, we performed a protein BLAST search.
Table 6 shows the amino acid sequence alignment of the top 10 homologous proteins from different organisms. Most of the bacterial species belong to the Actinobacteria class, except for Chlamydia. These putative proteins exhibit relatively higher sequence identities (>55%) to CMMase than to the nonpseudomonad-like enzymes, and the critical residues forming the PFY, CS, and Y walls are basically conserved. Therefore, we assume that these putative homologs also have CMMase activity and that their source organisms likely have a three-stage metabolic pathway for CMM, similar to that of A. globiformis M6. Further studies on 6MT and the putative ABC transporter (CmmC solute-binding protein) will identify key residues for their functions and clarify the whole picture of the three-stage metabolic pathway of CMM, which may be prevalent in Actinobacteria.

Experimental procedures

Protein preparation

The CMMase-encoding gene was amplified from pRSET–CMMase (33) by PCR to express as an N-terminally His-tagged (His₆) protein, and inserted between the NdeI and BamHI sites prevalent in Actinobacteria.

The CMMase-encoding gene was amplified from pRSET–CMMase (33) by PCR to express as an N-terminally His-tagged (His₆) protein, and inserted between the NdeI and BamHI sites. To clone these putative homologs also have CMMase activity and that their source organisms likely have a three-stage metabolic pathway for CMM, similar to that of A. globiformis M6. Further studies on 6MT and the putative ABC transporter (CmmC solute-binding protein) will identify key residues for their functions and clarify the whole picture of the three-stage metabolic pathway of CMM, which may be prevalent in Actinobacteria.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutants were constructed using a PrimeSTAR mutagenesis basal kit (TaKaRa Bio, Ohtsu, Japan) and a QuikChange multisite–directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The following primer pairs were used for the PrimeSTAR mutagenesis basal kit: 5′-CGCAGTGCGTGGCTACTACCTG-3′ and 5′-GCCGGAACACTACCGCA-TGGACTGCGTGGCTACTACCTG-3′ (restriction enzyme sites are underlined). This plasmid is designated pET28b_CMMase. Escherichia coli Rosetta2 (DE3) (Novagen) transformed by this plasmid was cultured in Luria–Bertani medium containing antibiotics (50 mg/liter kanamycin and 34 mg/liter chloramphenicol) at 37 °C until A₆₀₀ nm = 0.6. To induce protein expression of the transfor-
mant, 0.1 mM (final concentration) of isopropyl 1-thio-
B galactopyranoside (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan) was added to the medium. The medium was then continuously cultured at 15 °C for 24 h. The cultured cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 × g for 15 min and resuspended in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) and 500 mM NaCl. To obtain cell-free extracts, the suspended solution was sonicated and centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 45 min. First, CMMase was purified by nickel affinity column chromatography using cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin (Sigma). CMMase was then further purified by column chromatography with a Mono Q 10/100 GL and a Superdex 200 pg 16/60 using the ÄKTA system (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). The concentration of CMMase was determined by a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) using the extinction coefficient ε₉₇₀ nm = 90,090 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, which was estimated from the amino acid sequence.

Structure and substrate recognition of CMMase

Figure 11. Comparison of the active sites of CMMase and TpCADE. A. CMMase (green)–CMM (gray) complex structure. B. TpCADE (cyan)–CNN (black) complex structure (PDB 5I0G). The structures were superimposed with the following atoms and presented side-by-side: side chain oxygen atoms of the catalytic residues (nucleophile and acid/base catalyst), the scissile glycosidic bond oxygen, and the sugar ring atoms in subsite −1. Hydrogen bonds and the nucleophilic attack distances are shown as yellow and red dashed lines, respectively.
Structure and substrate recognition of CMMase

Table 6
Partial amino acid sequence alignment of CMMase and its homologs

| Species       | Annotation                  | GenBank and RefSeq ID | Partial sequence conservation |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| A. globiformis M6 (Micrococcaceae) | Cyclic maltosyl–maltose hydrolase | BA167607              | NYRTCSGCYLP RLDVVFINH         |
| Microbacterium hydrocarbonoxydans (Micrococcaceae) | GH13 protein | 73                  | WP_045259135                  |
| Corynebacterium-like bacterium B27 (Corynebacteriales) | GH13 protein | 70                  | WP_022897950                  |
| Cellulomonas sp. KRCY2 (Micrococcaceae) | GH13 protein | 69                  | WP_024285493                  |
| Actinomyces sp. Marseille-P3257 (Actinomycetales) | GH13 protein | 66                  | WP_076644478                  |
| Actinobacteria bacterium 69-20 (Actinomycetales) | α-Amylase | 63                  | OYV25818                      |
| T. pyogenes (Actinomycetales) | GH13 protein | 60                  | WP_024963853                  |
| Gardnerella sp. (Bifidobacteriales) | GH13 protein | 57                  | WP_004105641                  |
| Alloscardovia omnicolens (Bifidobacteriales) | GH13 protein | 57                  | WP_049206603                  |
| Chlamydia trachomatis (Chlamydiales) | GH protein | 55                  | CRH79918                      |
| Mobilicutes mutieris (Actinomycetales) | GH13 protein | 55                  | WP_004012112                  |

* The order of each organism is shown in parentheses.

Sequence identity was by BLAST.

Protein crystallography of CMMase

Protein crystalzatization was performed by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 20 °C. WT crystals were obtained by mixing 0.5 μl of protein solution containing 20 mg/ml CMMase in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), and an equal volume of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 5.6), 0.22 M ammonium sulfate, and 30% (w/v) PEG4000. The crystals were cryoprotected in the reservoir solutions supplemented with 30% (w/v) PEG400 or 30% maltose (for maltose complex). To obtain the complex structure with panose, 50 mM panose was further built manually with COOT (56). X-ray diffraction images were processed with HKL2000 (54). Molecular replacement was performed with MOLREP (55). The model was further built manually with COOT (56) and refined with REFMAC5 (57). Molecular graphic images were prepared using PyMOL (Schrodinger LLC, New York).

Crystallography of CMM

Crystals of CMM were prepared as described previously (29). Details of the X-ray data collection, data reduction, structure solution, and crystallographic refinement are described in Table 4 and the supporting information.

Enzyme assay and kinetic analysis

For the kinetic analysis using CMM as substrate, the enzymatic reaction was initiated by mixing enzyme solution (1 μl), containing 1 mg/ml CMMase (or its variant), in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), and substrate solution (9 μl), containing 1, 4, or 12 mM CMM and 0, 5, 10, or 30 mM inhibitor (maltose or panose) at final concentrations were used, and an increased amount of MM after 6 min of incubation at 25 °C was measured by HPLC. The kinetic parameters were calculated by nonlinear global curve-fitting the experimental data to the theoretical equation for competitive inhibition using R 3.51 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

For the substrate specificity assay, the enzymatic reaction was initiated by mixing enzyme solution (1 μl), containing 1 mg/ml CMMase (or its variant), in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), and substrate solution (9 μl), containing 10.0 mM CMM, 10.0 mM MM, 10.0 mM maltotetraose, 10.0 mM isopanose, or 1.00% pullulan, in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 6.0). The mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 18 h. After stopping the reaction by heat treatment at 100 °C for 10 min, 2 μl of the mixture was spotted onto a TLC Silica Gel 60 F254 plate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Subsequently, the TLC plate was developed by a solution consisting of 55% (v/v) 1-butanol, 36% (v/v) pyridine, and 9% (v/v) water. Sugar spots were detected by spraying 20% (v/v) sulfuric acid/methanol and heating in an oven for several minutes. The contrast of the spot was then visually judged.
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