Exogenous Expression of Exonuclease Domain-deleted WRN Interferes with the Repair of Radiation-induced DNA Damages
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Werner syndrome (WS) is an autosomal recessive disease characterized by multiple progeroid features. The gene responsible for WS, WRN, is a member of the human RecQ helicase family. WRN is unique among this family, associated with an exonuclease activity. In the present study, we established the human 293-derived cell lines, which expressed exogenously truncated WRN protein, lacking the N-terminal exonuclease domain but having normal helicase activity, and found that they were slightly, but nonetheless significantly, radiosensitive than control cell lines, into which the empty vector had been introduced. The truncated WRN-expressing cells also exhibited increased numbers of micronuclei, chromosome aberrations, and the foci of phosphorylated histone H2AX with X-rays. These results suggested a function of WRN exonuclease activity that is separable from helicase activity and is essential for the repair of radiation-induced DNA damages.

INTRODUCTION

Werner syndrome (WS) is a rare autosomal recessive disease. Affected individuals are characterized by multiple progeroid features such as premature graying of the hair, cataracts, osteoporosis, diabetes and accelerated atherosclerosis accompanied by a high incidence of cancers.¹²) Studies using cultured cells demonstrate that chromosome aberrations and large deletion mutations are frequently engendered in WS cells.³–⁵) These facts suggest that the WRN protein act as a caretaker for maintaining genomic integrity.

The gene responsible for WS (WRN) was identified by positional cloning in 1996 and turned out to encode a 1,432 amino acid protein.⁶) The WRN protein possesses both 3’→5’ helicase and 3’→5’ exonuclease activities and is one of human five RecQ DNA helicases.⁷–⁹) Although the cellular function of the WRN protein is not well understood, several reports indicate its role in maintaining genomic integrity by being involved in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). For example, in vitro studies demonstrate that the WRN exonuclease activity is enhanced by interacting with the Ku70/80 complex, which is essential for nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) for DSB repair.¹⁰–¹⁵) However, the WRN helicase activity is increased by interacting with replication protein A (RPA), which is involved in homologous recombination (HR).¹⁶) These findings have evoked the hypothesis that the WRN exonuclease and the WRN helicase play a role in the NHEJ and HR repair pathways, respectively, by independent functions. However, this hypothesis has never been examined in experiments.

In the present study, we established immortalized human kidney 293 cell lines overexpressing the N-terminal truncated WRN protein, lacking the exonuclease domain. We measured the radiosensitivity of these cells and demonstrated that the overexpression of N-terminal truncated WRN protein leads to increased radiosensitivity in cell killing, and the induction for micronuclei, chromosome aberrations, and the foci of phosphorylated histone H2AX. These results suggest that the overexpression of the N-truncated WRN protein attenuates the endogenous WRN exonuclease activity in DNA repair and further that the WRN exonuclease participates in a DSB repair pathway by an independent function.
from the WRN helicase.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture**

Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s essential medium (DMEM; Nissui Pharmaceutical, Tokyo) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), 100 μg/ml hygromycin, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. The cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

**Introduction of a truncated mutant WRN gene into a human cell line**

A truncated mutant WRN gene whose exonuclease encoding region was deleted was prepared by PCR using pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as previously described. An expression vector, into which the mutant WRN gene was inserted, was introduced to an immortalized human 293 cell line using the Flap-In system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacture’s instruction, and hygromycin (100 μg/ml)-resistant cells were used for further analyses.

**Western blotting**

Cells were lysed in a radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS) containing 1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)-benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride as described previously. The cell lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was used as total cellular protein. The protein concentration was determined by the BCA protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Protein samples (20 μg) were electrophoresed on a SDS-polyacrylamide gel. The proteins were electrophoretically transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane in transfer buffer (100 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine). After an overnight incubation with blocking solution (10% skim milk), the membrane was sequentially incubated with an anti-WRN antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), a biotinylated secondary antibody, and streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase. The bands were visualized after the addition of nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as substrate.

**X-irradiation and hydroxyurea treatment**

For X-irradiation, exponentially growing cells were irradiated with X-rays using an X-ray generator (M-150 WE; Softex, Osaka) operating at 150 kVp and 5 mA with a 0.1 mm Cu filter at a dose rate of 0.425 Gy/min. For hydroxyurea (HU) treatment, exponentially growing cells were treated with 2 mM HU for 3, 6, 12 and 24 hr.

**Assay for cell survival**

The killing effect of X-rays or hydroxyurea (HU) (Wako, Osaka) was determined by a colony formation assay. X-irradiated or HU treated cells were immediately seeded into 100 mm dishes and incubated for 10–14 days, and colonies containing more than 50 cells were scored as survivors.

**Micronuclei assay**

To investigate the induction of micronuclei by X-irradiation, cells were irradiated with 4 Gy of X-rays and then treated with 2 μg/ml cytchalasin B for 24 h in a 100 mm dish. The cells were then harvested, treated with 3 ml of hypotonic (0.1 M) KCl for 20 min, and fixed with 3 ml of fixative (methanol: acetic acid, 5:1). The cell suspensions were then centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5 min. After removal of the supernatant, the cells were resuspended in 5 ml fixative, and then incubated on ice for 5 min. The centrifugation was repeated followed by fixation with 5 ml of fixative. After the second removal of the supernatant, the cells were resuspended in 0.5–1 ml fixative and dropped onto glass slides. The slides were stained with 4% Giemsa and the yield of micronuclei per 1000 binucleate cells was scored.

**Chromosome analysis**

Chromosome aberrations induced with X-rays were examined by the premature chromosome condensation (PCC) method. Cells were irradiated with 1 or 2 Gy of X-rays and treated with 500 nM Okadaic acid (Wako, Osaka) for 2 h in a 25 cm² flask. The cells were then harvested and subjected to chromosome preparation, as previously described. Fifty G₂ PCC spreads were examined for each sample, and the number of chromatid breaks was scored.

**Immunostaining for phosphorylated histone H2AX**

Cells cultured on cover slips (22 × 22 mm) were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, and treated with 0.1% Triton X for 5 min on ice. Then, the cells were sequentially treated with a monoclonal antibody against phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX) (Upstate-Inc., Lake Placid, NY) for 2 h at 37°C, and an FITC-labeled anti-mouse Ig G (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Tokyo) for 1 h at 37°C. Finally, the cover slips were mounted on glass slides with a PI solution (10 μg/ml propidium iodide, 200 μg/ml RNase A). The foci of γ-H2AX were scored using a fluorescence microscopy (AX70, Olympus, Tokyo).

**RESULTS**

**Establishment of cell lines overexpressing the N-terminal truncated WRN protein**

Figure. 1A shows the structure of the wild-type and truncated WRN proteins. The truncated WRN protein was deleted for 231 amino acids including the N-terminal exonuclease domain. By transfecting a vector including a null gene or a truncated mutant WRN gene into an immortalized human 293 cell line, we established three control cell lines...
expressing the endogenous wild-type WRN protein and three mutant cell lines expressing the N-terminal truncated WRN protein, respectively. Western blotting analysis revealed that three mutant WRN cell lines, i.e., D231-1, D231-4 and D231-9, expressed the excess level of the truncated WRN protein as shown in Fig. 1B. In contrast, the expression level of the endogenous wild-type WRN protein was equivalent in all cell lines examined (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that the mutant WRN cell lines provide the opportunity to examine the phenotypic change in DNA repair by the overexpression of the exonuclease-deficient WRN protein.

**Radiosensitivity of the mutant WRN cells**

To gain an understanding of the biological effects of the overexpression of the N-terminal truncated WRN protein, we examined the radiosensitivity of three mutant WRN cell lines that overexpressed the truncated WRN protein and three control cell lines that expressed the endogenous wild-type WRN protein. Figure 2A shows cell survival of each cell line that was exposed to graded doses of X-rays. To compare the radiosensitivity of the mutant WRN cell lines and that of the control 293 cell lines, the average surviving fractions of each cell line were calculated and plotted in Fig. 2B. As shown, the mutant WRN cell lines were found slightly but significantly more radiosensitive than the control 293 cell lines. For example, the D0 values for the mutant WRN cell lines and the control 293 cell lines were 0.60 Gy and 0.77 Gy, respectively, and the surviving fraction of the former was 2.2-fold lower than that of the latter (p < 0.05 by Student’s t-test) when they were exposed to 4 Gy of X-rays.

**Cytogenetic damage by X-irradiation**

To confirm the enhanced radiosensitivity of the mutant WRN cell lines, we examined the induction for micronuclei and chromosome aberrations by X-irradiation. As shown in Table 1, three mutant WRN cell lines (Δ231-1, Δ231-4, Δ231-9) were more susceptible to ionizing radiation than three control 293 cell lines (B4, F4, G4) in terms of micronucleus induction. The average rate of micronuclei in the mutant WRN cell lines (0.153) was 1.8-fold higher than that in the control 293 cell lines (0.084) (p < 0.01 by Student’s t-test). In contrast, no significant difference was observed in the spontaneous yields of the micronuclei between the mutant and control 293 cells.

Since DNA double-strand breaks induced by X-irradiation lead to the formation of chromosome aberrations, we studied the induction of chromatid breaks at 2 h after X-irradiation by the PCC method. As shown in Table 2, the level of chromatid breaks increased in a dose-dependent manner in all cell lines examined. The yields of chromatid breaks by 1 Gy and 2 Gy irradiations were higher in two mutant WRN cell lines.
lines (Δ231-4, Δ231-9) than two control 293 cell lines (B4, F4). Significant differences were observed in the average yields of the mutant WRN cell lines (0.164 for 1 Gy and 0.213 for 2 Gy) and the control 293 cell lines (0.052 for 1 Gy and 0.141 for 2 Gy) (p < 0.05 by Student’s t-test for each dose). These results, together with the data for cell survival, indicate that the overexpression of the exonuclease-deficient WRN protein enhances cellular radiosensitivity.

**Repair kinetics for DNA double-strand breaks**

To determine the repair kinetics for DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), we examined the focus formation of phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX) at 0.25, 2, 4, 6, and 24 hr after X-irradiation with 3 Gy in a mutant WRN cell line (Δ231-4) and a control 293 cell line (F4) (Fig. 3). Accumulated evidence showed that the focus formation of γ-H2AX was a sensitive indicator responsible for the repair process.

---

Fig. 2. Cell killing effect by X-irradiation in the mutant WRN cell lines and the control 293 cell lines. (A) Three mutant WRN cell lines (293/Δ231-1, 293/Δ231-4 and 293/Δ231-9) that overexpressed the exonuclease-deficient WRN protein (lower) and three control cell lines (293/B4, 293/F4 and 293/G4) that expressed the endogenous wild-type WRN protein (upper) were examined for cell survival by exposure to graded doses of X-rays. The average values calculated from three independent trials were plotted in each cell line. (B) The average values calculated from three control cell lines (293/B4, 293/F4 and 293/G4) and from three mutant WRN cell lines (293/Δ231-1, 293/Δ231-4 and 293/Δ231-9) were plotted. Closed and open symbols represent the control cell lines and the mutant WRN cell lines, respectively.
of DSBs. The number of γ-H2AX foci increased in a dose-dependent manner at doses from 1 Gy to 4 Gy at 15 min after X-irradiation in both cell lines (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 3, the disappearance rate of the foci after X-irradiation was much slower in the mutant WRN cell line (D231-4) than in the control 293 cell line (F4). Differences in the number of foci between the mutant D231-4 cells and the control F4 cells were significant (Mann-Whitney's test, p < 0.01) at all time points except 15 min. This observation suggested that the WRN exonuclease plays an important role in DSB repair process.

Sensitivity to HU in the mutant WRN cells
To know an effect of the overexpression of the exonuclease-deficient WRN protein on the recovery from DNA replication block, we examined the sensitivity to hydroxyurea (HU), an inhibitor of DNA replication, in a mutant WRN cell line (Δ231-4) and a control 293 cell line (F4). As shown in Fig. 4, the mutant Δ231-4 cells were more resistant to HU than the control F4 cells when they were treated with

---

**Table 1. Rate of micronuclei after 4Gy-irradiation**

| Cell line  | X-ray dose (Gy) | No. of binucleated cells scored | No. of micronuclei | Rate of micronuclei (per binucleated cell ± SE) |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 293/B4     | 0               | 1000                            | 40                 | 0.040 ± 0.006                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 92                 | 0.092 ± 0.010                                 |
| 293/F4     | 0               | 1000                            | 45                 | 0.045 ± 0.007                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 76                 | 0.076 ± 0.009                                 |
| 293/G4     | 0               | 1000                            | 30                 | 0.030 ± 0.006                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 85                 | 0.085 ± 0.009                                 |
| 293/Δ231-1 | 0               | 1000                            | 50                 | 0.050 ± 0.007                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 159                | 0.159 ± 0.013                                 |
| 293/Δ231-4 | 0               | 1000                            | 60                 | 0.060 ± 0.008                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 172                | 0.172 ± 0.013                                 |
| 293/Δ231-9 | 0               | 1000                            | 55                 | 0.055 ± 0.007                                 |
|            | 4               | 1000                            | 129                | 0.129 ± 0.011                                 |

**Table 2. Rate of chromatid breaks after X-irradiation**

| Cell line  | X-ray dose (Gy) | No. of cells scored | Total no. of chromosome | Rate of chromatid breaks (per chromosome ± SE) |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 293/B4     | 0               | 50                  | 2855                    | 0.005 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 1               | 50                  | 2830                    | 0.056 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 2               | 50                  | 2871                    | 0.119 ± 0.002                                 |
| 293/F4     | 0               | 50                  | 2791                    | 0.004 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 1               | 50                  | 2660                    | 0.047 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 2               | 50                  | 2859                    | 0.162 ± 0.003                                 |
| 293/Δ231-4 | 0               | 50                  | 2745                    | 0.002 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 1               | 50                  | 2796                    | 0.116 ± 0.002                                 |
|            | 2               | 50                  | 2822                    | 0.244 ± 0.005                                 |
| 293/Δ231-9 | 0               | 50                  | 2737                    | 0.002 ± 0.001                                 |
|            | 1               | 50                  | 2732                    | 0.096 ± 0.006                                 |
|            | 2               | 50                  | 2778                    | 0.181 ± 0.008                                 |
HU for 3, 6 and 12 hr (p < 0.05 by Student’s t-test), suggesting an enhanced WRN helicase activity by overexpressing the mutant WRN protein in the Δ231-4 cells. However, the difference in HU sensitivity was no longer observed when both cell lines were exposed to HU for 24 hr, indicating that the resistance to HU by overexpressing the mutant WRN protein was limited in the exposed condition less than 24 hr.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we established human cell lines overexpressing the N-terminal truncated WRN protein, which possessed the helicase activity but not the exonuclease activity in vitro, and demonstrated that the cell lines expressing exogenously the N-terminal deleted WRN protein were slightly but, nonetheless, significantly more radiosensitive in terms of cell killing and cytogenetic damage than the control cell lines expressing only endogenous WRN protein.

We speculate that the reason for enhanced radiosensitivity in the mutant WRN cells is that the overexpression of the exonuclease-deficient WRN protein disturbs the endogenous WRN exonuclease by diluting the endogenous protein that localizes in a nucleus. This putative dominant-negative effect of the overexpressing mutant WRN protein on exonuclease activity is suggested by the fact that the cell line overexpressing the mutant WRN protein (Δ231-4) becomes temporarily resistant to HU (Fig. 4), implying that the cells possess the substantial WRN helicase activity possibly by a high level of the mutant WRN protein that retains the helicase activity.

A dominant-negative effect caused by a mutant WRN protein is reported in the former studies. For example, down-regulation of the endogenous wild-type WRN protein was observed in transgenic mice expressing a mutant WRN protein, which abolished the ATPase and helicase activities, but not the exonuclease activity, by a single amino acid substitution at position 577 (K577M). In addition, an exogenous expression of the K577M-WRN protein resulted in telomeric instability in a human cancer cell line, while no such instability was caused in a cell line without expression of the mutant WRN protein. These results suggest that the exogenous expression of the mutant WRN protein that defects in an enzymatic activity disturbs the endogenous WRN function by a dominant-negative effect.

Although WS has not been categorized as a repair-deficient genetic disease, there are several lines of evidence showing the defective repair capacity in WS cells. Grigorova et al. demonstrated an enhanced susceptibility to chromosome aberrations induced by X-irradiation in WS cells compared to the control cells. Similarly, we examined X-ray-induced micronuclei in a SV40-transformed WS cell line (WS780) and found that WS780 cells were more sensitive to the induction of micronuclei by X-irradiation compared to a control cell line (GM638) (data not shown). In addition,
Yannone et al. reported that hTERT immortalized WS cells were radiosensitive, and that this hypersensitivity was complemented by introduction of the WRN gene. These findings suggest that a defective WRN function leads to increased radiosensitivity. Moreover, Oshima et al. demonstrated that the loss of WRN function caused deletion mutations in plasmid DNA in an assay for nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), suggesting the possibility that the WRN protein plays a role in NHEJ. Previous studies using in vitro assays, which indicated that the WRN exonuclease is activated by interacting with Ku proteins, strongly supports this possibility. These results indicate that WS cells have characteristics that would cause a deficient repair of DSBs, and that the WRN protein participates in a repair pathway for DSBs.

The evidence has been accumulated to suggest that WRN protein plays a role in the recovery process from replication block in view of hypersensitivity to some chemicals, such as hydroxyurea (HU) and 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4NQO), in WS cells. Although the reason for high susceptibility to those chemicals in WS cells is not well understood, recent studies suggest a putative role of the WRN helicase activity to confer resistance to those chemicals. For example, primary tail fibroblasts from transgenic mice overexpressing K577M-WRN protein, defective in the helicase activity but not in the exonuclease activity, exhibited hypersensitivity to 4NQO.

Similar hypersensitivity to 4NQO was also observed in a human cell line expressing the K577M-WRN protein. These results support the assumption that the helicase activity but not the exonuclease activity of WRN protein is involved in the recovery from the damage, which is supposed to be replication block, induced by HU or 4NQO.

In summary, the present study indicates that a defect in the WRN exonuclease enhances cellular radiosensitivity, possibly due to the reduced repair fidelity of DSBs, despite retaining the WRN helicase activity. This suggests that each enzyme behaves independently in their function for genome stabilization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by a Grant for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan and by the Research Project Fund for “Studies on the Molecular Biological Basis for Low-Dose Radiation Effects” from the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute through a contract with the Nuclear Safety Research Association. The authors are grateful to Dr. Kevin M. Prise for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Epstein, C. J., Martin, G. M., Schultz, A. L. and Motulsky, A. G. (1966) Werner’s syndrome. A review of its symptomatology, natural history, pathologic features, genetics and relationship to the natural aging process. Medicine 45: 177–221.
2. Goto, M., Tanimoto, K., Horii, Y. and Sasazuki, T. (1981) Family analysis of Werner’s syndrome: a survey of 42 Japanese families with a review of the literature. Clin. Genet. 19: 8–15.
3. Salk, D., Bryant, A., Au, K., Hoehn, H. and Martin, G. M. (1981) Systematic growth studies, co-cultivation, and cell hybridization studies of Werner syndrome cultured skin fibroblasts. Hum. Genet. 88: 310–316.
4. Fukuchi, K., Martin, G. M. and Monnat Jr, R. J. (1989) Mutator phenotype of Werner syndrome is characterized by extensive deletions, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86: 5893–5897.
5. Kodama, S., Kashino, G., Suzuki, K., Takatsuji, T., Okumura, Y., Oshimura, M., Watanabe, M. and Barrett, J. C. (1998) Failure to complement abnormal phenotypes of simian virus 40-transformed Werner syndrome cells by introduction of a normal human chromosome 8. Cancer Res. 58: 5188–5195.
6. Yu, C.-E., Oshima, J., Fu, Y.-H., Wijman, E. M., Hisama, F., Alisch, R., Matthews, S., Nakura, J., Miki, T., Ouais, S., Martin, G. M., Mulligan, J. and Schellenberg, G. D. (1996) Positional cloning of the Werner’s syndrome gene. Science 272: 258–262.
7. Suzuki, N., Shimamoto, A., Imanou, O., Kuromitsu, J., Kitao, S., Goto, M. and Furuichi, Y. (1997) DNA helicase activity in Werner’s syndrome gene product synthesized in a baculovirus system. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 2973–2978.
8. Gray, M. D., Shen, J. C., Kamath-Loeb, A. S., Blank, A., Sopher, B. L., Martin, G. M., Oshima, J. and Loeb, L. A. (1997) The Werner syndrome protein is a DNA helicase, Nat. Genet. 17: 100–103.
9. Huang, S., Li, B., Gray, M. D., Oshima, J., Mian, I. S. and Campisi, J. (1998) The premature ageing syndrome protein, WRN, is a 3'→5' exonuclease. Nat. Genet. 20: 114–116.
10. Cooper, M., Machwe, A., Orren, D., Brosh, R. M., Ramsden, D. and Bohr, V. A. (2000) Ku complex interacts with and stimulates the Werner protein. Genes & Dev. 14: 907–912.
11. Li, B. and Comai, L. (2000) Functional interaction between Ku and the Werner syndrome protein in DNA end processing. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 28349–28352.
12. Li, B. and Comai, L. (2001) Requirements for the nucleolytic processing of DNA ends by the Werner syndrome protein. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 9896–9902.
13. Yannone, S. M., Roy, S., Chau, D. W., Murphy, H. B., Huang, S., Campisi, J. and Chen, D. J. (2001) Werner syndrome protein is regulated and phosphorylated by DNA dependent protein kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 38242–38248.
14. Karmakar, P., Snowden, C. M., Ramsden, D. A. and Bohr, V. A. (2002) Ku heterodimer binds to both ends of the Werner protein and functional interaction occurs at the Werner N-terminus. Nucleic Acids Res. 30: 5383–5391.
15. Karmakar, P., Piotrowski, J., Brosh Jr, R., Sommers, J. A., Miller, S. P. L., Cheng, W., Snowden, C. M., Ramsden D. A. and Bohr V. A. (2002) Werner protein is a target of DNA-PK in vivo and in vitro and its catalytic activities are regulated by phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 18291–18302.
16. Constantinou, A., Tarsounas, M., Karow, J. K., Brosh, R. M.,
Bohr, V. A., Hickson, I. D. and West, S. C. (2000) Werner’s syndrome protein (WRN) migrates Holliday junctions and co-localizes with RPA upon replication arrest. EMBO Rep. 1: 80–84.

17. Suzuki, N., Shiratori, M., Goto, M. and Furuichi Y. (1999) Werner syndrome helicase contains a 5'→3' exonuclease activity that digests DNA and RNA strands in DNA/DNA and RNA/DNA duplexes dependent on unwinding. Nucleic Acids Res. 27: 2361–2368.

18. Suzuki, K., Kodama, S. and Watanabe, M. (1999) Recruitment of ATM protein to double strand DNA irradiated with ionizing radiation. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 25571–25575.

19. Kodama, S., Komatsu, K., Okumura, Y. and Oshimura, M. (1992) Suppression of X-ray-induced chromosome aberration in ataxia telangiectasia cells by introduction of a normal human chromosome 11. Mutat. Res. 293: 31–37.

20. Ojima, M., Hamano, H., Suzuki, M., Suzuki, K., Kodama, S and Watanabe, M (2004) Delayed induction of telomere instability in normal human fibroblast cells by ionizing radiation. J. Radiat. Res. 45: 105–110.

21. Rogakou, E. P., Pilch, D. R., Orr, A. H., Ivanova, V. S. and Bonner, W. M. (1998) DNA double-stranded breaks induce histon H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139. J. Biol. Chem. 273: 5858–5868.

22. Rothkamm, K. and Lobrich, M. (2003) Evidence for a lack of DNA double-strand break repair in human cells exposed to very low x-ray dose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100: 5057–5062.

23. Wang, L., Ogburn, C. E., Ware, C. B., Ladiges, W. C., Yousoufian, H., Martin, G. M. and Oshima, J. (2000) Cellular Werner phenotypes in mice expressing a putative dominant-negative human WRN gene. Genetics 154: 357–362.

24. Bai, Y and Murnane, J. P (2003) Telomere instability in a human tumor cell line expressing a dominant-negative WRN protein. Hum. Genet. 113: 337–347.

25. Grigorova, M., Balajee, A. S. and Natarajan, A. T. (2000) Spontaneous and X-ray-induced chromosomal aberrations in Werner syndrome cells detected by FISH using chromosome-specific painting probes. Mutagenesis 15: 303–310.

26. Oshima, J., Huang, S., Pae, C., Campisi, J. and Schiestl, R. H. (2002) Lack of WRN result in extensive deletion at nonhomologous joining ends. Cancer Res. 62: 547–551.

27. Sakamoto, S., Nishikawa, K., Heo, S-J., Goto, M., Fruichy, Y. and Shimamoto, A. (2001) Werner helicase relocates into nuclear foci in response to DNA damaging agents and co-localizes with RPA and Rad51. Gene Cells 6: 421–430.

28. Pichierri, P., Franchitto, A., Mosesso, P. and Palitti, F. (2001) Werner’s syndrome protein is required for correct recovery after replication arrest and DNA damage induced in S-phase of cell cycle. Mol. Biol. Cell 12: 2412–2421.

29. Pichierri, P., Rosselli, F. and Franchitto, A. (2003) Werner’s syndrome protein is phosphorylated in an ATR/ATM-dependent manner following replication arrest and DNA damage induced during the S phase of the cell cycle. Oncogene 22: 1491–1500.

Received on July 8, 2005
1st Revision received on September 22, 2005
2nd Revision received on October 5, 2005
Accepted on October 5, 2005