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Abstract

A one-pot three-component synthesis of dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) via Biginelli reaction was carried out at 100 °C using benzaldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate and urea as reactants, in the presence of ethanol and free solvent, in heterogeneous catalytic medium. The Mo (30 wt%) metal oxides (Al₂O₃, SiO₂ or MgO) used catalysts were prepared by sol-gel, impregnation and microemulsion methods and characterized by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX), Nitrogen Adsorption - Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), and NH₃-Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) methods. The Mo-SiO₂ sample prepared by the sol-gel method exhibited the highest DHPM yield (87%), in free solvent with a reaction time of 30 min. The high efficiency, in the Biginelli reaction, of the Mo-SiO₂ catalyst is due to its structural, textural and acid properties. Highly dispersed αMoO₃ species of orthorhombic structure having a high contribution of strong acidic sites would be the active species in the Biginelli synthesis
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1. Introduction

The Biginelli synthesis is a multicomponent reaction that gives access, in a single operation, to molecule formation with several functions. This process also permits saving atoms, reducing both time and energy and also limiting waste and risks. In recent years, dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) and their derivatives Biginelli compounds, are being widely studied because of their biological, therapeutic and pharmaceutical properties, such as: antiviral, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antitumor activities [1-3]. It has been also reported that functionalized dihydropyrimidinones were used as antihypertensive agents, calcium channel
blockers, adrenergic and neuro peptide Y (NPY) antagonists [4-5]. One of the principal multicomponent reactions (MCRs), dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) synthesis, was reported by P. Biginelli (19\textsuperscript{th} Century). This reaction is defined as a process for assembling on one pot three reagents: benzaldehyde, ethyl acetooacetate and urea under strongly acidic conditions. However, this protocol presents several disadvantages such as the use of organic solvents, extensively long reaction times (from 24 to 36 h) and high reaction temperatures, leading frequently to low product yields (20-60\%), particularly in the case of substituted aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes [6]. In order to enhance the yields of Biginelli reaction, various catalysts, such as: Lewis acids [7-14], Bronsted mineral acids [15-17], heteropolyacids [18-19], Bronsted bases [20,21] and organometallic [22-24], were used, generally in homogeneous phase and sometimes under the assistance of microwave [25] or ultrasound irradiation. To overcome the disadvantages of the homogeneous medium such as corrosion, pollution and waste, the development of heterogeneous catalysts has been the objective of several works [26-27]. For example, Gupta et al. [28] reported the use of sulfonic acid covalently anchored onto silica as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the one-pot synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyrimidinones. However, high reaction times between 8 and 12 hours were registered to achieve good yields of reaction products; which limited the utility of this method.

Among the solid catalysts, those based on Mo oxides have been widely used in diverse organic reactions, such as: nitration [29], condensation [30], hydrodesulfurization [31], and transesterification [32]. Otherwise, they were found very effective in the synthesis of dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) and of their derivatives [33,34]. Nevertheless, it was underlined over these researches performed on the Mo based systems, that the catalytic performance of these materials depends on the metal loading, the nature of the support (SiO\textsubscript{2}, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, etc.) and the preparation method. In addition to the impregnation method, which is the most widely used because of its simplicity, sol-gel and co-precipitation procedures have been also used.

In this context, this work reports the use of Mo as an active phase combined to different metal oxides (Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, MgO, SiO\textsubscript{2}) as heterogeneous efficient catalysts in the DHPM synthesis via the Biginelli reaction. This study aims not only to examine the effect of the nature of metal oxide, but also discusses the influence of the preparation method on the physicochemical and catalytic properties, carried out on the most active catalyst which is Mo-SiO\textsubscript{2} sample prepared by three different methods (impregnation, sol-gel and microemulsion). The effects of the solvent, reaction time and temperature on DHPM yield were also examined in order to determine the optimum operating conditions of the Biginelli reaction over the elaborated Mo-based catalysts.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Catalyst Preparation

Supported molybdenum (30\%) on SiO\textsubscript{2}, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and MgO were prepared by sol-gel (noted MoSi-SG, MoAl-SG, and MoMg-SG), impregnation (noted MoSi-IMP) and micro-emulsion (noted MoSi-ME).

2.1.1. Used precursors

Different commercial salts and reactants were used as precursors in our catalysts preparations: H\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{24}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (98\%, Biochem-Chemopharma), Al(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·9H\textsubscript{2}O (98.5\%, Riedel de Haëm®), Tetraethylorthosilicate TEOS (98\%, Sigma Aldrich), Mg(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O (97\%, Riedel-de Haën®), Citric acid (< 99\%, Riedel-Haën®), Ammonium hydroxide (30\%, Panreac), Cyclohexane (99.8\%, Aldrich), Butanol (99.5\%, Riedel-de Haen), Triton 100-x (99\%, Biochem-Chemopharma), Ethanol (99.8\%, Sigma – Aldrich).

2.1.2. Preparation procedures

Sol-gel method (SG): a mixture constituted of H\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{24}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (4.5·10\textsuperscript{-3} mol) and Al(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·9H\textsubscript{2}O (0.14 mol), tetraethylorthosilicate TEOS (0.1 mol) or Mg(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O (0.17 mol) was dissolved in 20 mL of distilled water. Then, a saturated solution of citric acid was added to the aqueous solution. This latter was stirred and heated at 100 °C until gel formation. The resulting gel was dried at 100 °C for 12 h and calcined at 500 °C during 4h. The different samples were noted: MoAl-SG, MoSi-SG, and MoMg-SG.

Impregnation method (IMP): the silica support was prepared according to literature procedure [35]. A mixture of tetraethylorthosilicate TEOS and ammonium hydroxide (1 M) was heated at 100 °C under agitation until gel formation. The gel was washed, filtered and dried at 100 °C for 12 h then calcined at 600 °C during 4 h. Mo-SiO\textsubscript{2} (noted MoSi-IMP) was prepared by wet impregnation of H\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{24}·4H\textsubscript{2}O on silica, dried at 100 °C for 12 h and calcined at 500 °C during 4 h.
Microemulsion method (ME): two solutions were prepared; the first (microemulsion A) containing, 38 mL of cyclohexane, 8.4 mL of butanol, 9.6 mL of Triton 100-x, (used as a surfactant), 2.3×10⁻³ mol of ammonium heptamolybdate and 24 mL of TEOS; and the second one (microemulsion B) contains the same reactants but ammonium heptamolybdate and tetraethylorthosilicate were replaced by 10 mL of ammonium hydroxide. Each microemulsion was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then, microemulsion B was added to the microemulsion A under rigorous agitation at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting suspension was filtered and washed with ethanol. The obtained solid (denoted as MoSi-ME), was dried for 12 h at room temperature and calcined at 500 °C for 4 h.

2.2 Characterization Techniques

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of samples were recorded on a Bruker AXS-D8 diffractometer with a Cu-Kα irradiation source (λ = 1.5406 Å). The scan range varied between 10 and 90° with a 0.020° step and an acquisition time of 0.80 s at each step. The infrared spectra were recorded using an Alpha Bruker spectrometer over a range of 4000 – 400 cm⁻¹. The specific surface (SBET) of the material was determined by nitrogen adsorption-desorption at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP 2420 instrument. Before analysis, the sample was outgassed under vacuum at 250 °C for 12 h. The specific surface values were determined by BET method in the range P/P₀ of 0.05-0.30.

In order to obtain information on morphological characteristics, the catalysts were subjected to a detailed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) study using a Hitachi TM-1000apparatus. TEM analyses were performed on a JEOL 2100F field emission electron gun microscope operated at 200 kV and equipped with an Energy-Dispersive X-Ray detector. The sample was ground to powder and a small amount was suspended in ethanol solution using an ultrasonic bath. Some drops of the resulting suspension were added to the copper grid (Aname, Lacey carbon 200 mesh) and the ethanol was evaporated at room temperature before introducing the sample holder into the microscope. The Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (STEM) was done using a spot size of 1 nm.

Acidic sites of the solids were determined by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of NH₃ in a flow system Micromeritics Autochem II 2920 equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Previously, the samples were treated in Helium at 550 °C and saturated with ammonia gas 5% at 50 °C, which was swept with Helium at 100 °C and then heated from 100 to 550 °C at 10 °C/min. ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker GPX instrument. Chemical shifts (δ) were reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual DMSO, δ6, and coupling constant (J) values are given in Hz.

2.3 Catalytic Test

A mixture of benzaldehyde (2×10⁻³ mol), ethyl acetoacetate (2×10⁻³ mol), urea (3×10⁻³ mol) and catalyst (0.1 g) was heated at reflux at 100 °C under rigorous agitation with a reaction time varying from 30 min to 8 h (Scheme 1). After reaction, the obtained DHPM product was washed with boiling ethanol and the catalyst was recovered by filtration. After crystallization at 2 °C, the DHPM product was recovered and dried at 100 °C overnight. The DHPM was identified by attenuated total reflectance (ATR) spectroscopy analysis and ¹³C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR).

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Catalyst Characterization

The XRD patterns of the prepared samples are presented in Figure 1. Regardless the preparation method, the diffractograms of MoSi and MoAl samples show similar peaks characteristic of αMoO₃ oxide crystallizing in an orthorhombic system, observed at 2θ = 12.7, 23.3,
25.6, 27.3, and 33.7° (JCPDS 00-005-0508). It can also be noted that no diffraction lines assigned to the carrier oxide (Al₂O₃ or SiO₂) were detected for these samples. This result is in good agreement with those reported in the literature confirming the formation of bulk molybdenum oxide phase (MoO₃) when molybdenum loading is higher than 20 weight% [36-38]. Furthermore, no phase corresponding to βMoO₃ is observed, probably due to the low calcination temperature of materials [39]. On the other hand, in the case of MoMg-SG, a major MgO phase was identified at 2θ = 18.6, 28.8, 42.9, 47.1, and 62.1° with a presence of αMoO₃ peaks of low intensity. This can be due to the formation of small crystallites of Mo species on the surface support.

In order to more investigate the structure of our samples and the nature of the Mo species, the FT-IR analysis was carried out (Figure 2). The obtained FT-IR spectra of MoSi prepared by SG, ME, and IMP methods are quite similar. Three intense vibration bands, characteristic of of Si-O-Si [40-41] were identified at around 450, 800 et 1060 cm⁻¹. Whilst in the 550-600 and 850-920 cm⁻¹ ranges, the observed vibration bands are assigned to Mo-O-Mo polymolybdate species and that at 994 cm⁻¹ to Mo = O groups of the MoO₃ phase [42-43], result in agreement to those observed in XRD analysis. For the MoAl-SG and MoMg-SG solids, the same vibration bands corresponding to polymolybdate Mo-O-Mo and MoO₃ phase were observed in addition to Mo-O-M (M = Al or Mg) band at around 600 cm⁻¹ [44-45].

Indeed, our results seem to be coherent with those observed by Smith et al. on Mo/SiO₂ systems [46] that reported the formation of highly dispersed silicomolybdic species with terminal Mo=O sites for low Mo percentage. Whereas, with increasing molybdenum loading, the silicomolybdic species would transform into polymolybdate species, forming Mo-O-Mo bridging sites at the expense of Mo-O sites. These same authors have also confirmed the formation of crystalline MoO₃ at high loading of Mo.

Table 1 regroups the textural parameters of the prepared materials. As can be seen, MoSi-SG exhibits the highest specific surface area (132 against 20-85 m²/g), highest pore volume (0.23 against 0.03-0.20 cm³/g) and an intermediate pore size (6.9 against 5.5-7.8 nm). The results collected in Table 1 also gave insight on the influence of the synthesis method on the textural properties of MoSi system. The
obtained values are very sensitive to the preparation procedure; so the microemulsion method permits to obtain the highest values of these different parameters with 205 against 33-132 m$^2$/g BET surface area, 0.64 against 0.07-0.23 cm$^3$/g for pore volume and 13.5 against 6.9-9.1 nm pore size. Among the preparation techniques, impregnation is the one that leads to the smallest surface area and pore volume. Similar observations have been obtained for the Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Ni/CeO$_2$ systems [47,48].

Figure 3 displays the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the calcined samples. According to the IUPAC classification [49], the obtained isotherms in the case of MoSi-SG and MoAl-SG solids are similar to type IV, while those of the MoSi-ME, MoSi-IMP, and MoMg-SG samples are characteristics of type V. Both types of isotherms indicate the presence of mesopores with pore diameter between 5 and 15 nm (Table 1).

The SEM images presented in Figure 4, reveal that the morphology of the prepared materials is strongly affected by the preparation method and the oxide carrier. Thus, in the case of MoSi system, the sol-gel method leads to the formation of lamellae αMoO$_3$ species, while the microemulsion one gives rise to αMoO$_3$ species in the form of small particles of similar shapes and sizes. On the other hand, the surfaces of MoSi-IMP and MoAl-SG solids are composed of large aggregates whereas a spongy appearance is observed for the MoMg-SG sample.

To further study the morphology and surface composition of the calcined samples, STEM-EDX and FFT analyses of TEM images were carried out on the MoSi system prepared by sol gel and microemulsion, presenting the high activity in the Biginelli test. The TEM images in Figures 5 and 7 of the MoSi sample synthesized by microemulsion and sol-gel respectively, show that molybdenum forms micro-domains of homogeneous size highly dispersed on the silica support; results confirmed by the EDX images (Figures 6 and 8, respectively).

Figure 9 shows a TEM image of the MoSi-SG sample and the corresponding fast Fourier transform patterns of a selected molybdenum microdomain. The FFT pattern demonstrated that the molybdenum microdomain corresponds to MoO$_3$ with an orthorhombic crystal structure (JCPDS file Card No. 05-0508), where the spacing of the lattice of 3.97 and 3.65 Å can be indexed to the (100) and (001) planes of the orthorhombic MoO$_3$, respectively [50-52]. Moreover, crystalline SiO$_2$ or mixed Mo-Si oxide structures were not detected by the FFT analysis.

The TEM study also revealed that MoSi-SG and MoSi-ME samples form crystalline structures (Figure 10), in addition to the Mo microdomains observed in Figures 4 and 5. The crystal structures correspond to molybdenum oxide, as confirmed by EDX elemental mapping (Figure 11). The abundance of these segregated MoOx crystals is much higher in the sample with a higher Mo charge (MoSi-SG).

Temperature programmed desorption of ammonia was performed for the different samples in order to obtain the distribution of acidic sites that can be seen in Figure 12. The classification of the type of acid sites depends on the NH$_3$ desorption temperature. So, weak acid sites are found between 150-300 °C; moderate acid sites: 300-420 °C and strong acid sites: 420-600 °C [53]. Although this values may differ a bit when consulting other literature sources as is found in a literature [54] which identify weak acid sites as those between 100-250 °C; moderate 250-350 °C and strong acid sites: 350-550 °C.

Bearing this in mind, in our study the peak maximum in most samples is between 180-210 °C indicating the higher proportion of weak acid sites. On the other hand, the peak width and envelope shape differ from one sample to another. Broad peaks indicate wide distribution of acid sites with different strength. This would be particularly interesting for MoSi-SG sample, where the participation of stronger acid sites is deduced from its profile, since it is the only sample noticeably desorbing ammonia above 250 °C. As for the total amount of acid sites, the normalized area beneath the curves offers the following relative order: MoSi-ME > MoSi-SG > MoSi-IMP > MoMg-SG > MoAl-SG > SiO$_2$. The order that can clearly be related to the specific surface area of the samples (see Table 1) since the sample with the greatest surface area, MoSi-ME, is the one that shows the

| Catalyst   | BET surface area (m$^2$/g) | Pore size (nm) | Pore volume (cm$^3$/g) |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| MoMg-SG    | 85                        | 7.8           | 0.20                   |
| MoAl-SG    | 20                        | 5.5           | 0.03                   |
| MoSi-SG    | 132                       | 6.9           | 0.23                   |
| MoSi-ME    | 205                       | 13.5          | 0.64                   |
| MoSi-IMP   | 33                        | 9.1           | 0.07                   |

Table 1. BET surface areas, pore size and pore volume of the elaborated samples.
Figure 3. N$_2$-sorption isotherms of the calcined samples: MoSi–SG, MoSi-ME, MoSi–IMP, MoAl-SG and MoMg-SG
Figure 4. SEM images of calcined materials: MoSi–SG, MoSi-ME, MoSi–IMP, MoAl-SG, and MoMg-SG
Figure 5. TEM images of the MoSi-ME sample

Figure 6. STEM dark field micrograph and corresponding EDX elemental mapping of MoSi-ME catalyst. Color code refers to the distribution of elements (Mo, green; Si, red; O, blue)

Figure 7. TEM images of the MoSi-SG sample.
Figure 8. STEM dark field micrograph and corresponding EDX elemental mapping of MoSi-SG catalyst. Color code refers to the distribution of elements (Mo, green; Si, red; O, blue).

Figure 9. TEM image and FFT pattern of the MoSi-SG sample

Figure 10. TEM images of the MoSi-SG sample
greatest amount of acid sites, although all of them are weak acid sites.

3.2 Biginelli Reaction

Preliminary tests were carried out at 100 °C, to optimize the operation conditions (catalyst amount and reaction temperature) of the Biginelli reaction, in the presence of MoAl-SG catalyst. With catalyst amount of 0.05 g, the DHPM yield is about 15% (entry 1 Table 2) but with increasing the catalyst mass to 0.1, the obtained yield is twice (30%) as high as the first. Whereas, the use of more higher catalyst weights (0.2 and 0.5 g) has no significant effect on the DHPM yields (32 and 34%, respectively). A similar evolution of the DHPM was obtained as function of the increase of reaction temperature (Table 3). So based on these results the optimum catalyst mass and reaction temperature chosen in the following Biginelli experiments will be an amount catalyst of 0.1 g and a reaction temperature of 100 °C.

The effect of reaction time on DHPM yield was also studied in the interval of 1-8 h (Figure 13) using MoSi-SG and MoAl-SG. The obtained results show that both systems have a different catalytic behavior. Thus, in the presence of MoSi-SG, the DHPM yield increases progressively from 30 to 99% with the reaction time from 1 to 6 h. Beyond 6 h, it decreases until 62%. This DHPM yield decrease can be explained by the formation of DHP product via competitive Hantzsch reaction [55]. Whilst in the presence of MoAl-SG catalyst, the DHPM yield increases progressively from 7 to 84% with the reaction time. Thus, it can be concluded that for a reaction time of 6 h, MoSi-SG is more efficient than MoAl-SG (99 against 67% of DHPM yield).

Table 4 summarizes the obtained DHPM yields as a function of the catalyst nature and reaction time. The study was carried out with and free solvent. The reaction temperature was fixed at 100 °C and the catalyst amount at 0.1 g. The reaction did not take place in the absence of catalyst (entry 1). In the presence of SiO₂ support, the DHPM yield is < 10% for a reaction time of 3 h (entry 2) and reaches 40% .

Table 2. Effect of catalyst amount on the product yields. (Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (2×10⁻³ mol), ethyl acetoacetate (2×10⁻³ mol), urea (3×10⁻³ mol), MoAl-SG and ethanol (solvent) for 3 h at 100 °C. All products were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ATR)

| Entry | Catalyst amount (g) | Yield (%) |
|-------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1     | 0.05                | 15        |
| 2     | 0.1                 | 30        |
| 3     | 0.2                 | 32        |
| 4     | 0.5                 | 34        |

Table 3. Effect of the reaction temperature on the product yields. (Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (2×10⁻³ mol), ethylacetoacetate (2×10⁻³ mol), urea (3×10⁻³ mol), MoAl-SG (0.1 g) and ethanol (solvent) for 3 h at 100 °C. All products were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ATR)

| Entry | Temperature (°C) | Yield (%) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1     | 70              | 14        |
| 2     | 80              | 22        |
| 3     | 100             | 30        |
| 4     | 120             | 35        |

Figure 11. STEM dark field micrograph and corresponding EDX elemental mapping of MoSi-SG catalyst. Color code refers to the distribution of elements (Mo, green; O, blue).

Figure 12. NH₃-TPD curves of synthesized Mo supported catalysts.
Table 4. DHPM yields via Biginelli reaction. (Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (2×10^{-3} mol), ethyl acetoacetate (2×10^{-3} mol), urea (3×10^{-3} mol), ethanol as solvent. The reaction was performed at 100 °C. All products were characterized by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR)

| Entry | Catalyst  | Solvent | Time  | Yields (%) |
|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|
| 1     | None      | Ethanol | 6 h   | -          |
| 2     | SiO$_2$   | Ethanol | 3 h   | <10        |
| 3     | SiO$_2$   | Ethanol | 6 h   | 40         |
| 4     | MoSi-SG   | Ethanol | 3 h   | 50         |
| 5     | MoAl-SG   | Ethanol | 3 h   | 30         |
| 6     | MoSi-SG   | Ethanol | 6 h   | 99         |
| 7     | MoAl-SG   | Ethanol | 6 h   | 67         |
| 8     | MoMg-SG   | Ethanol | 3 h   | -          |
| 9     | MoMg-SG   | Ethanol | 6 h   | 55         |
| 10    | MoSi-ME   | Ethanol | 3 h   | 42         |
| 11    | MoSi-IMP  | Ethanol | 3 h   | 36         |
| 12    | MoSi-ME   | Ethanol | 6 h   | 94         |
| 13    | MoSi-IMP  | Ethanol | 6 h   | 87         |
| 14    | MoSi-SG   | -       | 30 min| 87         |
| 15    | MoSi-ME   | -       | 30 min| 80         |
| 16    | MoSi-IMP  | -       | 30 min| 75         |
| 17    | MoMg-SG   | -       | 30 min| 60         |
| 18    | MoAl-SG   | -       | 30 min| 70         |

Figure 13. DHPM yield as a function of the reaction time a) MoSi-SG, b) MoAl-SG. (Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (2×10^{-3} mol), ethyl acetoacetate (2×10^{-3} mol), urea (3×10^{-3} mol), ethanol as solvent. The reaction was performed at 100 °C. All products are characterized by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR)
for a reaction time of 6 h (entry 3). The molybdenum incorporation, active species, in the system prepared by sol-gel method (MoSi-SG) permitted the reduction of the reaction time from 6 to 3 h and an increase of DHPM yield from 40 to 50% (entry 4). The replacement of silica by alumina (MoAl-SG) decreased the yield from 50 to 30% (entry 5) and from 99 to 67% (entries 6,7) after 3 and 6 h of reaction time respectively. In the case of the MoMg-SG system, the reaction did not take place after 3 h (entry 8) and the DHPM yield achieves 55% after 6 h (entry 9).

The evolution of DHPM yield for a reaction time of 6 hours, depending on the nature of support, follows this sequence: MoSi-SG (99%) > MoAl-SG (67%) > MoMg-SG (55%). Indeed, based on this order, it could be suggested that the DHPM yield depends on the acidity of the used support which determined this order of acidity for the different oxides (SiO$_2$ > Al$_2$O$_3$ > MgO). On the other hand, the production of DHPM is also due to the presence of the active aMoO$_3$ species of orthorhombic structure as confirmed by the XRD analysis. According to K Kouachi et al. [56], the use of only alumina support in the Biginelli reaction leads to a DHPM yield of 8% in the 10 hours of reaction while supporting active MoO$_3$ phase onto Al$_2$O$_3$ support, increases significantly the yield of DHPMs to 87%.

The high yield of DHPM obtained via the MoSi-SG sample could also be attributed to the formation of more homogeneous and highly dispersed aMoO$_3$ species on the surface of SiO$_2$ as demonstrated by SEM, TEM, and FFT analyses, compared to its other homologues. In addition, the most active sample (MoSi-SG) has the highest contribution of strong acid sites according to ammonia test results. Hence, the combination of these different parameters (structure, surface and acidity) could be at the origin of the observed results. Therefore, it can be concluded that the Biginelli reaction requires strong acid sites with the presence of highly dispersed orthorhombic structure aMoO$_3$ species. In relation to acidity, our results agree with those of literature which reported that higher yields of DHPM in homogeneous phase, are obtained by using very strong mineral acids, such as HCl and H$_2$SO$_4$ [57].

The effect of the preparation method on the activity of the most efficient catalyst (MoSi) was also examined (Table 4). The entries 4, 10, and 11 shows that obtained DHPM yields with SiO$_2$ as a support, are sensitive to preparation mode. Thus, the following sequence was observed: MoSi-SG (50%) > MoSi-ME (42%) > MoSi-IMP (36%). These results confirm that the sol-gel method is the best one probably due to a better distribution and accessibility of the active sites by the substrates.

Concerning the solvent effect, it has been noted that in free solvent and with a reaction time of 30 min, high DHPM yields were obtained in the presence of MoSi-SG (87%), MoSi-ME (80%), MoSi-IMP (75%), MoAl-SG (70%), and MoMg-SG (60%); yields (60-87%), superior to those obtained in the presence of ethanol and with a reaction time of 3 h (0-50%). These results revealed the negative effect of the solvent on the Biginelli reaction. Indeed, ethanol could block some active acid sites of the catalyst, by behaving like a base, leading consequently to a decrease in active sites dedicated to substrates.

In addition to the influence of solvent, Kour et al. [58] studied the one-pot synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-ones using supported copper(II) chloride as heterogeneous catalyst, under two set of conditions: thermal (in free solvent) and microwave irradiations. It has been proved over this study that the operating conditions strongly affect the catalytic activity. So, with a catalyst mass of 0.05 g, high production of DHPM estimated at 94 and 90% were obtained using respectively free solvent conditions and acetonitrile (microwave method).

Among the tested systems in the Biginelli reaction, MoSi-SG exhibits the best catalytic performance with 87% of DHPM yield and a reaction time of 30 min, in free solvent. The obtained products obtained over this sample were characterized by ATR and $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR. The physical data for 5-ethoxycarbonyl-4-phenyl-6-methyl-3,4-dihydropyridin-2(1H)-one (DHPM) are:

$^\text{T_{ fus}}$: 202-206°C Lit 202–204°C [33]; RMN 1H (400MHz DMSO-d6): $^\delta$H 9.21 (s,1H,NH); 7.75 (s,1H,NH); 7.34 (m,5H,CH arom); 5.15 (d, 1H, 3 J=3.2, CH); 4.00 q,2H, 3J=7.1,CH2); 2.25 (s,3H,CH3); 1.11 (t, 3H, 3J=7.1,CH3); RMN 13C (DMSO-d6): $^\delta$C 165.34 (COOEt); 152.14(C2); 148.38(C6); [144.87;128.4; 127.28; 126.25] C arom; 99.25(C5); 59.2 (OCH2CH3); 53.93 (C4); 17.79 (CH3);14.09 (OCH2CH3); ATR $\lambda_{ max}$: 3106 (NH); 1705 (C=O ester); 1627 (C=O ester); 1216 (C-N) cm$^{-1}$. 
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4. Conclusions

The synthesis of dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one via the Biginelli reaction was carried out in the presence of molybdenum metal oxides (MgO, Al2O3, and SiO2) catalysts prepared using different methods (impregnation, sol-gel, microemulsion). Regardless, the preparation procedure and the metal oxide, the structural and surface analyses revealed for the elaborated samples, the formation of αMoO3 phase with an orthorhombic structure and the existence of molybdenum microdomains. However, the form and the size of the Mo species as well as their catalytic activity, are very sensitive to the synthesis method and nature of meal oxide. The reactivity tests in the Biginelli reaction showed that the use of SiO2 oxide and sol-gel method leads to the most efficient catalyst (MoSi-SG) which exhibited a DHPM yield of 87% under the following operating conditions: 0.1 g of catalyst, a reaction time of 30 min and a reaction temperature of 100 °C in the solvent-free test. The best catalytic performances obtained over the MoSi-SG sample are strongly assigned to the formation of more homogenous and highly dispersed αMoO3 species on SiO2 surface, possessing a high contribution of strong acid sites, compared to the other catalysts. Based on these results, the Biginelli synthesis performed in heterogeneous phase mode seems to be a very interesting process, allowing a simple separation of the product-catalyst and therefore the recovery of the latter for possible reuses. Moreover, the absence of solvent avoided the harmful products use.
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