A New Index Developed for Fast Diagnosis of Meteorological Roles in Ground-Level Ozone Variations
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ABSTRACT

China experienced worsening ground-level ozone (O₃) pollution from 2013 to 2019. In this study, meteorological parameters, including surface temperature (T₂), solar radiation (SW), and wind speed (WS), were classified into two aspects, (1) Photochemical Reaction Condition (PRC = T₂ × SW) and (2) Physical Dispersion Capacity (PDC = WS). In this way, a Meteorology Synthetic Index (MSI = PRC/PDC) was developed for the quantification of meteorology-induced ground-level O₃ pollution. The positive linear relationship between the 90th percentile of MDA8 (maximum daily 8-h average) O₃ concentration and MSI determined that the contribution of meteorological changes to ground-level O₃ varied on a latitudinal gradient, decreasing from ~40% in southern China to 10%–20% in northern China. Favorable photochemical reaction conditions were more important for ground-level O₃ pollution. This study proposes a universally applicable index for fast diagnosis of meteorological roles in ground-level O₃ variability, which enables the assessment of the observed effects of precursor emissions reductions that can be used for designing future control policies.
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Article Highlights:
• A Meteorology Synthetic Index (MSI) was developed for fast diagnosis of meteorological roles in ground-level O₃ variation.
• Meteorological conditions contributed to a 10%–40% increase in ground-level O₃ in China for the period 2013–2019.
• The contribution of meteorological parameters to ground-level O₃ decreased from ~40% in southern China to 10%–20% in northern China.

1. Introduction

Ground-level O₃, a secondary pollutant, is formed by sunlight-initiated chemical reactions between nitrogen oxides (NOₓ = NO + NO₂) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). O₃ controls the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere and causes damage to vegetation growth and human health (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). Aircraft observations have revealed an increase in tropospheric O₃ across the Northern Hemisphere since the mid-1990s (Gaudel et al., 2020). While ground-based observations indicate that ground-level O₃ has declined in large urban regions across the United States and Europe owing to the effective control of NOₓ and VOC emissions since the 1990s (Cooper et al., 2012; Paolletti et al., 2014), the situation is still severe in China. The spread and worsening of ground-level O₃ in most urban areas of China has become one of the top environmental issues in recent years (Lu et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Liu and Wang, 2020a, b; Wang et al., 2020). Lu et al. (2020) concluded that MDA8-O₃ levels increased by 2.4 ppb (5.0%) yr⁻¹ in China during the warm season (April–September) for the period 2013–19. More importantly, worsening O₃ pollution with a greater frequency of high-concentration events is
predicted to continue due to the combined effects of emission variations and climate change (Wang et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Cao and Yin, 2020).

Precursor emissions and meteorological conditions are the most important factors controlling the levels and trends of ground-level O$_3$ (Lu and Chang, 2005; Lu et al., 2019a, b). Stringent clean air actions have been implemented in China since 2013, leading to significant decreases in anthropogenic emissions of NO$_x$ with a relative change of –21% from 2013 to 2017, and further abatement is expected, while VOC emissions increased by 2% in 2017 relative to 2013 and have remained stable since 2017 (Zheng et al., 2018; Dang and Liao, 2019; Li et al., 2020). Significant progress has been made in understanding ground-level O$_3$ formation from precursor emissions under different meteorological conditions (Steiner et al., 2010). Extensive studies have pointed out that anthropogenic emissions are the dominant factor driving the increase in ground-level O$_3$ (Lu et al., 2018, 2019a; Li et al., 2019, 2020; Liu and Wang, 2020b), while meteorological conditions have also exerted considerable influence on ground-level O$_3$ variability (Li et al., 2013; Fu and Tian, 2019; Gong and Liao, 2019; Li et al., 2019, 2020; Han et al., 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). In general, higher surface temperatures and stronger solar radiation, coupled with lower relative humidity (RH), collectively linked with lower cloud fraction, favor the chemical production of O$_3$ (Peterson and Flowers, 1977; Xu et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014; Coates et al., 2016; Gong and Liao, 2019; Li et al., 2020; Dang et al., 2021), whereas lower wind speed (WS) and planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) are conducive to the accumulation of O$_3$ (Haman et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017; Liu and Wang, 2020a), which results in higher O$_3$ concentrations. The quantification of meteorology-induced ground-level O$_3$ is of great importance since meteorological variation may mask the trends in O$_3$ concentration caused by precursor emissions and influence the development of further mitigation policies (Lu and Chang, 2005; Wang et al., 2018; Liu and Wang, 2020a; Ordóñez et al., 2020). Dang et al. (2021) and Li et al. (2020) reported that meteorological change favored MDA8-O$_3$ increases, with respective contributions of –40% and 80% in northern and eastern China during 2012–19.

Chemical transport models (CTMs), one of the most widely used methods to quantify the contribution of meteorological variation, can provide a comprehensive evaluation of the effects of meteorology on the temporal variation of ground-level O$_3$; however, the large consumption of computational resources and high uncertainties in O$_3$ simulations (e.g., emission inventory, chemical mechanisms) could make the application of CTM inconvenient (Foley et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2019a; Butler et al., 2020; Liu and Wang, 2020b). Statistical models that develop a relationship between O$_3$ and meteorological parameters represent another approach to quantify the contribution of meteorological variation to O$_3$ trends (Kovač-Andrić et al., 2009). Among them, multiple linear regression (MLR) is one of the most frequently employed methods for predicting O$_3$ concentration as a function of meteorological parameters (Zhong et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019, 2020; Yang et al., 2019). MLR models often consider a certain number of meteorological parameters, which could cause an overfitting issue when the model is too complex and produce misleading R (Correlation Coefficient)-squared values, regression coefficients, and p-values that represent noise rather than genuine relationships. It is known that ground-level O$_3$ formation and evaluation are comprehensively influenced by multiple meteorological parameters, and the processes of each individual meteorological parameter on ground-level O$_3$ are quite different and could have specific physical implications (Kayes et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). Although great effort has been devoted to elucidating the complex interaction between ground-level O$_3$ and meteorological conditions in recent years (Kovač-Andrić et al., 2009; Otero et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2019), a fast and effective method is still urgently needed to better describe and quantify the comprehensive effects of meteorological conditions on ground-level O$_3$ variation in the face of the worsening O$_3$ situation, and ultimately to determine the gaps between precursor emissions controls and the desired reductions in peak O$_3$ levels.

In this study, a Meteorology Synthetic Index (MSI), as a function of surface temperature, solar radiation, and wind speed, was developed for fast diagnosis of meteorological roles in ground-level O$_3$ formation by integrating ground-based measurements and outputs from a mesoscale numerical weather prediction model (Weather Research and Forecasting model, WRF). The index was further applied to evaluate the meteorology-induced ground-level O$_3$ changes in China during 2013–19 based on the linear relationship established between the meteorology index and O$_3$. The meteorology index established in this study not only enables a fast method for quantitative assessment of meteorological influences on ground-level O$_3$ variability but also provides meteorological insight into the formation and evaluation of ground-level O$_3$ pollution through chemical and physical aspects. This study facilitates an in-depth understanding of meteorology-induced ground-level O$_3$ variations and urges a re-examination of the significance of meteorology for the responses of O$_3$ pollution to precursor emissions in the face of climate change.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the materials and methods used in this study, section 3 presents the status of ground-level ozone, section 4 quantifies the contribution of meteorological conditions to annual ozone enhancement and section 5 provides a brief conclusion and discussion.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data

2.1.1. Observed ground-level ozone data

Hourly observational ground-level O$_3$ concentration
data for 2013–19 were obtained from the public website of the China Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE) (http://www.mee.gov.cn/). Five key regions that have been experiencing the most serious O\textsubscript{3} pollution in China were targeted in this study (Fig. 1). These five regions were categorized as follows: Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH, 55 cities, 280 sites), Fenwei Plain city cluster (FWP, 11 cities, 59 sites), Yangtze River Delta (YRD, 41 cities, 243 sites), Sichuan Basin (SCB, 16 cities, 68 sites), and Pearl River Delta (PRD, 9 cities, 56 sites). A total of 568 air quality stations were used in this study.

Data processing followed the strict criteria presented in the work of Lu et al. (2020) and Song et al. (2017). In brief, 1-h O\textsubscript{3} concentration at each site in a specific city was averaged first, and then the values in certain cities in a specific region were averaged to represent regional results. O\textsubscript{3} exceedance was defined as the number of days with MDA8-O\textsubscript{3} exceeding the Chinese Grade-II (urban/industrial and surrounding rural areas) National Air Quality Standard (160 \textmu g m\textsuperscript{-3}) (General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People's Republic of China, and Standardization Administration, 2016).

2.1.2. Observed meteorological data

Observational daily meteorological data, including 2-meter temperature (\text{\textit{T}}\textsubscript{2}), wind speed (WS), relative humidity (RH), and pressure for China from 2013 to 2019 were obtained from the National Meteorological Information Center, China Meteorological Administration (CMA) (http://data.cma.cn/). A total of 103 meteorological stations were used in this study (Fig. 1). It is worth noting that only 10 stations were available for observed solar radiation, which located in different provinces across all of China (Fig. 1). Hourly observational solar radiation (SW) and planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) obtained by Jinan University (JNU, 23.015°N, 113.419°E), Guangzhou, China, from October 2019 to May 2020 were also collected in this study.

2.1.3. Simulated meteorological data

Owing to the small amount (only 10 sites) of available contemporaneously measured public data for SW, \text{\textit{T}}\textsubscript{2}, and WS in China, simulated meteorological results from numerical models were applied in this work. Simulated daily meteorological data at a horizontal resolution of 27 km × 27 km, including \text{\textit{T}}\textsubscript{2}, SW, WS, RH, PBLH, pressure, and precipitation for the period 2013–19, were obtained from the WRF model (Grell et al., 2005). Detailed information for the WRF model configuration is provided in our previous study (Ma et al., 2020). The WRF-derived data can characterize the meteorological properties of China at a finer scale better than the coarse-resolution reanalysis data that have been used in previous studies, such as the 1° × 1° National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Final (FNL) reanalysis data (Han et al., 2020), the 1° × 1° ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Han et al., 2020), the 1° × 1° ERA-Interim reana-
ysis dataset (Cao and Yin, 2020; Mousavinezhad et al., 2021), and the 0.5° (lat) × 0.625° (lon) NASA Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2) product (Li et al., 2019, 2020).

2.2. Development of the meteorology index

Apart from precursor emissions, the formation of ground-level \( O_3 \) is controlled by multiple meteorological parameters through different physical and chemical processes. Previous studies have revealed that \( T_2 \) and SW are the critical factors determining \( O_3 \) photochemical reactions since they respectively affect the reaction kinetic rates and drive photolysis to trigger chain reactions, irrespective of season or region (Peterson and Flowers, 1977; Hsu, 2007; Im et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Jing et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Pusede et al., 2015; Coates et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021), while the WS and PBLH control the horizontal dilution and vertical mixing of \( O_3 \) and its precursors, respectively (Haman et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017; Liu and Wang, 2020a). In addition, RH, cloud fraction, precipitation, and wind direction also show impacts on ground-level \( O_3 \) (Li et al., 2019, 2020; Han et al., 2020; Dang et al., 2021).

Previous studies have also pointed out that high temperatures, strong solar radiation, weak wind, and high pressure are usually followed by \( O_3 \) episodes, although the meteorological factors affecting ozone formation and accumulation depend on the region (Mousavinezhad et al., 2021). The meteorological factors interact with each other and are not independent variables: temperature can be a surrogate for pressure; solar radiation can be a surrogate for other factors such as relative humidity, cloud fraction, and precipitation; and wind speed can be a surrogate for PBLH (Gong and Liao, 2019). Therefore, \( T_2 \), SW, and WS were selected as the most important meteorological parameters impacting ground-level \( O_3 \) concentration. PBLH should not be a strong predictor for atmospheric pollutants (e.g., \( \text{PM}_{2.5}, O_3 \) (Banta et al., 2011; Su et al., 2018) since the relationship between PBLH and atmospheric pollutants is quite complex and is believed to be nonlinear (Wang et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2020); for example, Wang et al. (2020) demonstrated that variation in PBLH was not the driving factor that led to the increase in ground-level \( O_3 \) over China from 2013 to 2017. As an example, observed data from JNU revealed that MDA8-\( O_3 \) was positively and significantly correlated with daily \( T_2 \) [Pearson correlation coefficient \( (r) = 0.37, p\)-value \( (P) < 0.01 \)] and daily SW \( (r = 0.56, P < 0.01) \), and negatively associated with daily WS \( (r = -0.28, P < 0.01) \) during the monitored period (Fig. S1 in the Electronic Supplementary Material, ESM), while it showed no significant correlation with PBLH and RH.

The meteorological parameters selected above were classified into two terms, one defined as Photochemical Reaction Conditions (PRC), which is a function of \( T_2 \) and SW, to indicate the effect of meteorological conditions on the photochemical production of \( O_3 \). Observed data from JNU showed that MDA8-\( O_3 \) has a stronger positive correlation with PRC \( (R = 0.82, P < 0.01) \) than that of \( T_2 \) and SW. Physical Dispersion Capacity (PDC), represented by WS, is defined to characterize the capability of \( O_3 \) dispersion. With higher values of PRC and PDC, meteorological conditions are more conducive to photochemical reactions and dynamic ventilation of ground-level \( O_3 \), respectively. Based on the linear relationships between MDA8-\( O_3 \), PRC, and PDC, and the MSI, a function of PRC and PDC, is here introduced as a new indicator to comprehensively describe the effect of meteorological variability on ground-level \( O_3 \) concentration. MSI can be described as follows:

\[
\text{MSI} = \frac{\text{PRC}}{\text{PDC}} = \frac{T_2 \times \text{SW}}{\text{WS}}.
\]

The meteorological parameters used in Eq. (1) were first nondimensionalized to make them comparable. In contrast to mean normalization—one of the methods for dimensionless parameters that use the mean value of a specific vector as the denominator, which cannot characterize the geographic and seasonal differences in meteorology—a specific value is used as the denominator in this study to characterize the geographic and seasonal differences in meteorology. It is worth noting that the specific value of the denominator neither affects the trends of meteorological parameters nor the relative contribution of meteorology to \( O_3 \) variation, although the magnitude of the meteorological factors will be different. Therefore, the specific values were set to be 25°C, 300 W m\(^{-2}\), and 3 m s\(^{-1}\) for \( T_2 \), SW, and WS, respectively, which are the average values in southern China. Higher values of MSI denote favorable meteorological conditions for the formation of \( O_3 \) and result in higher ground-level \( O_3 \) concentrations. Days with rain events were removed from the analysis because \( O_3 \) concentration is relatively lower during a rain event, and precipitation is an obvious meteorological parameter affecting \( O_3 \) concentration (Wang et al., 2018).

2.3. Evaluation of the meteorology index

The limited hourly observational data obtained from JNU were used to evaluate the performance of MSI in predicting ground-level \( O_3 \) concentration. Hourly \( O_3 \) concentration data were derived from the nearest (straight line distance is ~10 km) air quality monitoring site at Panyu Middle School (PYMS, 22.948°N, 113.352°E). In addition, observed meteorological parameters at the 10 sites across all of China were also used to evaluate MSI performance. Observed \( O_3 \) concentration at these 10 meteorological sites was derived from the nearest air quality monitoring site because \( O_3 \) observation stations are not collocated with meteorology observation stations. To evaluate MSI, the correlation coefficients between MDA8-\( O_3 \) concentration and individual meteorological parameters (i.e., \( T_2 \), SW, PBLH, WS, and RH), MSI, and other possible configurations of MSI at JNU and the 10 sites were calculated, as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3. The other possible configurations of MSI include: \( T_2 \times \text{SW}, T_2 \times \text{SW}/\text{RH}, T_2 \times \text{SW}/(\text{WS} \times \text{RH}), \) and \( T_2 \times \text{SW}/(\text{WS} \times \text{RH} \times \text{PBLH}) \).
At JNU sites (Fig. 2), the correlation coefficient between MDA8-O₃ and MSI with a value of 0.77 and 0.94 during the whole period and polluted period, respectively, was higher than that between MDA8-O₃ and the individual meteorological parameters; meanwhile, the corresponding coefficient for MSI was also higher than that of other configurations of MSI, except for $T_2 \times SW / (WS \times RH)$. In terms of the 10 stations (Fig. 3), the corresponding coefficients for MSI were again higher than that of individual meteorological parameters, except for $T_2$, which was comparable with MSI. As compared with other configurations of MSI, 6 out of 10 sites showed the highest coefficients for MSI, whereas only Kunming presented the lowest coefficient for MSI. The coefficient for MSI was comparable with $T_2 \times SW$ in the rest of the three sites. Overall, the results at JNU and the 10 stations suggested that the MSI developed in this study can better represent the meteorological influences compared with single meteorological parameters and other configurations of MSI.

2.4. Quantitative diagnosis of meteorology-induced ozone variation

The regional-scale MSI was calculated through simulated historical meteorological parameters from the WRF model during 2013–19. The simulated data were first evaluated by comparison with ground-based measurements (Fig. S2 in the ESM). The statistical results for meteorological parameters are presented in Table S1 in the ESM. Figure S2 illustrates that most data points fall around the 1:1 line for $T_2$, and most are within the twofold range for WS. The root mean square error (RMSE) was 0.66°C–2.33°C for $T_2$ and 1.20–2.66 m s⁻¹ for WS. Overall, modeled meteorology trends closely resembled the observed trends, with a $R$ of −0.99 for $T_2$ and 0.31–0.74 for WS ($P < 0.01$). Solar radiation data collected from JNU and 10 sites over China were also used to evaluate the simulation results (Fig. S3 in the ESM). While the WRF model underestimated SW at JNU from October 2019 to May 2020 with an RMSE of 145 W m⁻², it captured the tendency well, with an $R$ of 0.5 ($P < 0.05$). Simulated SW over China agreed well with the observations for the period 2013–19, with an RMSE and $R$ of 18 W m⁻² and 0.85 ($P < 0.01$), respectively. Overall, the WRF model reasonably captured the magnitude and spatiotemporal distribution of meteorological parameters in China during 2013–19.

To quantify the meteorology-induced ground-level O₃ variation, a linear regression model between the monthly 90th percentile MDA8-O₃ and MSI was established and further applied to quantify the contribution of meteorology to ground-level O₃ variability over China for historical (2013–19) periods. The fitting parameters for the linear regression model are listed in Table S2 and shown in Fig. S4 in the ESM. The determination coefficient ($R^2$) represents the percentage of the variance in the observed data explained by the model. $R^2$ ranged between 0.47 and 0.82 in the regression ($P < 0.01$), indicating that the meteorological variables selected in this study can explain 47%–82% of the variance of the 90th percentile MDA8-O₃ for the period 2013–2019. The discrepancy between the meteorology-induced 90th percentile MDA8-O₃ variation and the observed changes in the 90th percentile MDA8-O₃ might be attributed to contributions from other meteorological parameters not considered in this study (e.g., RH, pressure, cloud fraction), precursor emission variations, PM₁₅ level, etc. (Zhong et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019).

3. Status of ground-level ozone

The 12-month moving average for the 2nd, 50th, and 90th percentiles of MDA8-O₃ showed significant positive trends ($P < 0.05$) in China for the entire period (Fig. 4a), with a rate of 0.22, 0.28, and 0.32 µg m⁻³ month⁻¹, respectively. The growth rate for MDA8-O₃ increased with the percentiles, indicating that heavy O₃ pollution has been getting worse across all of China. Accordingly, significant enhancement in the magnitude and frequency of high O₃ events was
observed (Fig. S5 in the ESM), with the annual average \(O_3\) exceedance rapidly increasing from 8 days (2\%) in 2013 to 36 days (10\%) in 2019 at the rate of 5 d yr\(^{-1}\) \((P < 0.05)\) over China. To be more specific, relatively higher growth rates occurred in the BTH and FWP regions, with values ranging between 0.35 and 0.61 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3} \ \text{month}^{-1}\), followed by the YRD and SCB regions with a value of 0.13–0.37 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3} \ \text{month}^{-1}\), while MDA8-\(O_3\) climbed with fluctuation in the PRD region at a rate of 0.16–0.29 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3} \ \text{month}^{-1}\). The \(O_3\) exceedance was the most serious in the BTH region, where it reached up to 40–60 days (10\%–15\%) since 2017 with a growth rate of 8 d yr\(^{-1}\), followed by the FWP, YRD, and PRD regions, with a value of 20–30 days (10\%) since 2017 and a growth rate of ~5 d yr\(^{-1}\); however, SCB had relatively slight exceedance (< 10 days), with a growth rate of ~2 d yr\(^{-1}\).

It is worth noting that there was a rightward shift in the histogram of daily MDA8-\(O_3\) across the five key regions relative to the periods of 2013–16 and 2017–19 (Fig. 5), and the corresponding values for peak frequency of daily MDA8-\(O_3\) increased by about 20 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3}\) during these two periods, suggesting that a wide range of worsening \(O_3\) pollution has been particularly prominent since 2017 across all of China. In addition, the frequency of high \(O_3\) events with daily MDA8-\(O_3\) above 160 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3}\) has grown by 3.6\% from 2013–16 to 2017–19 on average, varying between 1.9\% in the SCB region and 9.9\% in the BTH region. More importantly, an elevated frequency of extremely high \(O_3\) events (MDA8-\(O_3\) > 200 \(\mu g \ \text{m}^{-3}\)) was also detected, with a value of +1.2\% on average, and the situation was the most serious in the BTH region with a corresponding value of +4.7\%. From the perspective of anthropogenic emissions, lower \(O_3\) titra-
tion by NO resulting from the continuous reduction in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions could have resulted in the enhancement of ground-level O\textsubscript{3} since 2017 under conditions where VOC emissions remained stable after 2017 (Zheng et al., 2018; Dang and Liao, 2019). Meteorological conditions are likely to have been another important factor contributing to the more severe O\textsubscript{3} pollution during 2017–19 compared with that during 2013–17 (Li et al., 2020).

4. Meteorological conditions contributing to annual ozone enhancement

Long-term trends of the meteorology indices presented in Fig. S6 reveal that statistically significant upward trends...
(0.07%–0.29% month$^{-1}$, $P < 0.05$) and downward trends ($-0.17%–0.04%$ month$^{-1}$, $P < 0.05$) were observed for PRC and PDC ($P < 0.05$) from 2013 through 2019, respectively, except for PDC in the BTH region, where WS remained stable. Consequently, significant positive changes in MSI have been noted in the study regions ($0.15%–0.44%$ month$^{-1}$, $P < 0.05$). This illustrative analysis suggests that meteorological conditions with stronger photochemical reaction conditions and weaker physical dispersion conditions could have progressively increased ground-level $O_3$ concentrations in recent years.

Figure 6 summarizes the variation of 90th percentile MDA8-$O_3$ (hereafter referred to as $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$) for the period 2013–19 caused by meteorological changes. Overall, $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$ caused by meteorological changes was estimated to be $1.4 \pm 0.4 \mu g m^{-3} yr^{-1}$, accounting for 28% of observed $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$ over China. Interestingly, the contribution of meteorological changes to $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$ varied on a latitudinal gradient, decreasing from ~40% in southern China (YRD, SCB, and PRD regions) to ~20% in the FWP region and ~10% in the BTH region. Upon further analysis, a region-specific difference in the relative importance of PRC and PDC to MSI was detected across China. Among them, the weakening of wind speed (PDC) played a more important role in the increment of MSI in the SCB region, where the blocking effects of the terrain can lead to stagnant conditions and thermal inversion (Wang et al., 2018; Miao et al., 2019), while the influences of PRC and PDC were comparable in the FWP and YRD regions. The intensification of ambient conditions favoring photochemical reactions, with increasing PRC, was more crucial than that of physical dispersion conditions for the growth of MSI in the PRD and BTH regions. The results demonstrate that meteorology exerted a larger influence on ground-level $O_3$ pollution in southern China and less influence in northern China. This is because, on the one hand, the variation in MSI was much lower in northern China (0.0011 per month in the BTH) than in southern China (0.0033 per month in the PRD), as shown in Fig. S6c; on the other hand, the amount and variation of anthropogenic emissions were more obvious in northern China than in southern China (Ding et al., 2019; Liu and Wang, 2020b), resulting in the relatively lower contribution of meteorology in northern China. Previous studies have also proven that anthropogenic emission vari-
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Fig. 6. Variation of 90th percentile MDA8-$O_3$ ($\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$) attributed to meteorology (MET) and other factors (OTHERS) in the study regions across China during 2013–2019. Pies with dark green and grey represent the relative contribution (%) of MET and OTHERS to the $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$ concentration. Variation rates ($\mu g m^{-3} yr^{-1}$) of $\Delta$90th MDA8-$O_3$ are inserted below the pies and characterized by the size of the pies. Bars indicate the variation rates (%) yr$^{-1}$ of the normalized meteorological indices.
The contribution of meteorological variation estimated in this study was compared with results from previous studies that used chemical transport models or statistical methods, as is summarized in Table S3 in the ESM. In general, the magnitude and direction of meteorology-induced O$_3$ variation were quite different, depending on the study region and methods used. Most studies concluded that meteorological conditions favored the incremental increase in O$_3$ concentration in China, except for Ma et al. (2016) and Li et al. (2020), who found that meteorological variation led to a decrease in O$_3$ in northern China and the SCB region. In contrast, Ding et al. (2019) and Lou et al. (2015) pointed out that the negative effect of changes in emissions was offset by meteorological variation, and the O$_3$ incremental changes were caused mainly by changes in meteorological conditions, with a contribution higher than 100%, rather than by emissions in China based on the CMAQ and GEOS-Chem models, respectively. Specifically, the contribution of meteorological factors (10%–20%) in northern China estimated in this study was relatively lower than that calculated in previous studies (32%–80%). The corresponding value was ~40% in eastern China, which was comparable to or relatively lower than that derived from previous studies (43%–84%). In southern China, the contribution was ~40%, which was comparable with previous studies in the range of 15%–92%. Overall, the meteorological contribution was underestimated in this study compared with some previous studies since this study included only three dominant meteorological factors while previous studies considered many more meteorological parameters.

5. Conclusion and discussion

Severe ground-level O$_3$ pollution with significant enhancement in the magnitude and frequency of high O$_3$ events was observed in China from 2013 to 2019. In this study, the most important meteorological parameters, including $T_2$, SW, and WS, were selected and classified into two terms, defined as PRC = $T_2 \times$ SW and PDC = WS, to separate the meteorological influences on O$_3$ through different aspects. Then a MSI was developed as a function of PRC and PDC to better outline and quantify the comprehensive impacts of meteorological conditions on ground-level O$_3$ variability. The results demonstrated that the change in meteorology-induced 90th percentile MDA8–O$_3$ was estimated to be $1.4 \pm 0.4$ μg m$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$ on average. Adverse meteorology, with stronger photochemical reaction conditions and weaker physical dispersion capacity, accounted for 10%–40% of the increase in 90th percentile MDA8–O$_3$, with a higher (lower) contribution in southern (northern) China.

This study is subject to high uncertainty. First, only three meteorological parameters were considered, which cannot fully represent the influences of meteorological conditions since O$_3$ is controlled by multiple meteorological parameters, such as PBLH, RH, cloud fraction, pressure (Li et al., 2019, 2020; Zhao et al., 2021). Second, ground-level O$_3$ is affected not only by local meteorology, but also by large-scale weather circulation conditions (Gong and Liao, 2019; Liao et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2021), such as typhoons (Wei et al., 2016), the East Asian monsoon (Zhou et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014), the western Pacific subtropical high (Liao et al., 2017; Zhao and Wang, 2017), the mei-yu front (Han et al., 2020), and El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Sekiya and Sudo, 2014). Third, this study did not consider chemical reactions that also affect ground-level O$_3$ since precursor emissions are also impacted by meteorological conditions (Lu et al., 2019b; Liu and Wang, 2020b; Dang et al., 2021). Although high uncertainty exists, the meteorology index established in this study not only enables fast diagnosis of meteorological roles in ground-level O$_3$ formation but also provides insight into meteorological influences on the formation and evaluation of ground-level O$_3$ pollution through its chemical and physical aspects. Results in this study signify that precursor emission reductions will need to be more stringent to counteract the adverse effects of long-term meteorological variation on ground-level O$_3$ pollution in the face of climate change.
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