Avian *Eimeria* spp. are recognized as the parasitic protozoans with the greatest economic impact on poultry production worldwide [1]. Protozoan parasites of the genus *Eimeria* multiply in the intestinal tract and cause tissue damage and increase susceptibility to other diseases [2]. Sporulation of *Eimeria* spp. oocysts is affected by moisture, temperature, and oxygen levels [3]. Oocysts are enclosed in a thick outer shell and consist of a single cell that undergoes the process of sporulation to enter the infective stage after approximately 48 hr. Four sporocysts, each containing 2 sporozoites, are generally discernible within the oocysts. The oocyst wall has 2 distinguishable layers: an inner and outer layers [4]. In *vivo*, the oocyst wall may be opened through mechanical injury, such as being crushed in the gizzard of a bird. A high percentage of sporocysts are quickly released from crushed oocysts within the gizzard [5], and the sporozoites then enter the intestine. Sporozoites of *Eimeria tenella* invade chick cecal folds ~4 hr after infection, followed by sporozoite migration into the crypt epithelium. The first asexual replication occurs 48 hr later [6]. At least 2 generations of asexual reproduction occur before the sexual phase, where motile microgametes seek out and unite with macrogametes. The zygote matures into oocysts, which are then released from the intestinal mucosa and expelled in the feces. The life cycle of *E. tenella* is typical of all *Eimeria*.

To break the wall of *Eimeria* spp. oocysts for PCR analysis, glass beads, a mortar and a pestle, or chemical agents may be used [7]. *Eimeria* antigens are obtained from suspensions of oocysts in PBS by disruption with glass beads followed by repeated freezing and thawing [8]. The excystation of sporozoites from *Eimeria* oocysts is an important step for in vitro studies of these parasites. The aim of the present study was to assess the degree of released sporocysts of *E. tenella* oocysts using different sizes of glass beads and treatment durations.

Oocysts were field-isolated samples (Namwon Farm, Namwon, Korea). The correct species assignment and purity of samples were confirmed by singular ITS-PCR. Genes of *E. tenella* were collected using a Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (Exprep™ Plasmid DNA, GeneAll, Seoul, Korea). Genes were sequenced at the JeonJu Biomaterials Institute and submitted to GenBank (accession no. FJ447468). Since 2009, our laboratory has maintained generations of *E. tenella* on chickens. Feces were collected from 5 to 7 days post inoculation. Oocysts were suspended at ~3.0 × 10⁵ per milliliter in 2% (w/v) potassium dichromate in a conical flask. Oocysts were sporulated under...
air supply within 5-8 days [9] and were checked for complete sporulation. A 200-µl sample of $3.0 \times 10^5$ oocysts per milliliter was added to 800 µl of saturated salt solution. The oocysts suspension was then mixed at a ratio of 1:1 with glass beads (0.5, 1, and 3 mm in diameter) [10] and vortexed for 5 sec, 30 sec, 1 min, or 5 min. For vortexing, Vortex-2 Genie (G 560, Scientific Industries, Springfield, Massachusetts, USA) was used. During vortexing, the conical tube was kept angular using a maximum speed (3,200 rpm). Same vortex speed was fixed in all groups. After oocysts were vortexed and added to 4 ml of saturated salt solution, the remaining oocysts were counted by the standard MacMaster method [11]. The data were subjected to ANOVA with SAS (Ver. 8x). Duncan’s multiple range tests was used to determine significant differences among the various durations or bead sizes.

During in vitro experiments, oocysts may be made to release 80% of viable sporocysts [16]. There are several methods that can be used to rupture the cell wall of Eimeria species; the most common includes sonication, microwave, heating, and pestle and mortar. The greatest advantage of using glass beads for wall rupture is that they are an efficient way to inexpensively process large quantities of oocysts. The concept of mechanical crushing with glass beads came from the in vivo mechanism by which oocysts are crushed in the gizzard [12]. Our study for oocyst disruption of Eimeria did not require enzymes. Landers [13] demonstrated that excystation of E. nieschulzi could not be achieved using pepsin or trypsin. It was also found that, for release of E. separta sporocysts, hydrochloric acid, pepsin, and trypsin were required [14]. Pre-treatment of E. nieschulzi oocysts with pepsin destabilizes the oocyst wall structure, ensuring that mechanical disruption by vortexing with glass beads is more efficient [15]. Chai et al. [16] described that the wall structure of E. tenella oocysts was ruptured using a teflon-coated tissue homogenizer. The high mechanical resistance of Eimeria spp. oocysts required a greater number of glass beads during vortexing. Dulski and Turner [17] described a protocol similar to ours for disrupting E. tenella oocysts using 3 mm glass beads. They found that roughly 60% of oocysts were broken by orbital shaking at 200 rpm. Sporocysts have also been released from oocysts by agitating oocyst suspensions with 4 mm glass beads [18]. E. tenella oocysts placed in an equal volume of 0.5 mm glass beads and exposed to the maximum speed of agitation resulted in invisible sporocysts [19]. If too many glass beads are used, sporocysts may be damaged; in order to ensure a high yield of undamaged sporocysts, the agita-

![Fig. 1. Effect of glass beading on the excystation of Eimeria tenella oocysts. (A) Sporulated oocysts. ×1,000. (B) No treatment of oocysts. ×400. (C) Oocysts were vortexed with 1 mm glass bead for 5 sec. ×400. (D) Oocysts were vortexed with 1 mm glass bead for 1 min. ×400.](image)

![Fig. 2. Sporocyst release rate of Eimeria tenella oocysts at different glass beads and treatment times. Oocysts were vortexed with 0.5, 1, and 3 mm glass beads for 5 sec, 30 sec, 1 min, and 5 min. Oocysts release of sporocysts is depicted as the mean release of 4 times ± SD. *Significance ($P<0.01$).](image)
tion procedure must be stopped after a very specific duration. After the oocysts wall is crushed, the sporocysts and glass beads are collected separately. The use of smaller glass beads may result in greater difficulty of recovering sporocysts. Our results suggest that sporocyst release from oocysts was efficient after vortexing with 0.5 mm glass beads for 5 to 30 sec, with 1 mm glass beads for 30 sec to 1 min and with 3 mm glass beads for 1 to 5 min. However, the use of 0.5 mm glass beads presented greater difficulties in recovering the sporocysts compared to larger glass beads. Therefore, the present study recommended the use of 1 mm glass beads for 30 sec to 1 min in order to maximize sporocyst release and recovery. Oocyst walls of Eimeria spp. have various characteristics. Some Eimeria species are more susceptible to chemical agents while others are more susceptible to mechanical injury. Because each Eimeria species is unique, with each being lysed upon application of different degrees of either mechanical or chemical stresses, different methods should be tested to improve the yield of viable sporozoites for use in biochemical, tissue culture, and immunological applications of coccidia.
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