Multi-modal adaptor-clathrin contacts drive coated vesicle assembly
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Abstract

Clathrin-coated pits are formed by the recognition of membrane cargo and cargo by the AP2 complex and the subsequent recruitment of clathrin triskelia. A role for AP2 in coated-pit assembly beyond initial clathrin recruitment has not been explored. Clathrin binds the β2 subunit of AP2, and several binding sites have been identified, but our structural knowledge of these interactions is incomplete and their functional importance during endocytosis is unclear. Here, we analysed the cryo-EM structure of clathrin cages assembled in the presence of β2 hinge-appendage (β2HA). We find that the β2-appendage binds in at least two positions in the cage, demonstrating that multi-modal binding is a fundamental property of clathrin-AP2 interactions. In one position, β2-appendage cross-links two adjacent terminal domains from different triskelia. Functional analysis of β2HA-clathrin interactions reveals that endocytosis requires two clathrin interaction sites: a clathrin-box motif on the hinge and the "sandwich site" on the appendage. We propose that β2-appendage binding to more than one triskelion is a key feature of the system and likely explains why assembly is driven by AP2.
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Introduction

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) is the major route of entry for receptors and their ligands into cells (Mettlen et al, 2018). A clathrin-coated pit is formed at the plasma membrane that selects cargo for uptake into the cell via a clathrin-coated vesicle. Clathrin cannot recognize membrane or cargo itself and so an adaptor protein binds the membrane, selects the cargo, and associates with clathrin leading to pit formation (Fig 1A). Several adaptor proteins have clathrin binding sites and colocalize with clathrin structures in cells but the assembly polypeptide-2 (AP2) complex (α, β2, μ2 and σ2 subunits) is thought to primarily initiate clathrin recruitment.

The recruitment of clathrin by the β2 subunit is an essential step in CME. AP2 and clathrin arrive jointly at the membrane in a ratio of two AP2 complexes per triskelion (Cocucci et al, 2012). As the pit matures, the ratio decreases as clathrin polymerizes (Bucher et al, 2018). It is assumed that this polymerization—which is an innate property of clathrin triskelia—completes vesicle formation. However, AP2 is named after its ability to promote clathrin cage assembly in vitro (Zaremba & Keen, 1983; Pearse & Robinson, 1984), and a fragment of the β2 subunit of AP2, containing the hinge and appendage domains (β2HA), has been shown to promote the polymerization of clathrin (Gallusser & Kirchhausen, 1993; Shih et al, 1995; Owen et al, 2000). How these in vitro observations relate to endocytosis in cells is unclear. One intriguing but often overlooked idea is that AP2, via β2HA, serves a dual role in CME: initially recruiting clathrin to the plasma membrane and then driving coated vesicle assembly.

There are two clathrin-binding locations on β2HA (Fig 1B). The first is a linear peptide motif within the hinge region (Owen et al, 2000; Lundmark & Carlsson, 2002), LLNLD, called the clathrin-box motif (CBM). The second clathrin-binding location is within the β2-appendage domain, however, its precise nature is debated (Chen & Schmid, 2020). The appendage domain has two sites that interact distinctly with different binding partners (Owen et al, 2000; Edeling et al, 2006; Schmid et al, 2006). The first, termed the sandwich (or side) domain, which surrounds Tyr 815, binds AP180, amphiphysin and eps15. A second site, termed the platform (or top) domain, surrounds residues Y888 and W841 (Fig 1B). This binds the adaptor proteins epsin, β-arrestin and autosomal recessive hypercholesterolemia (ARH) protein and functions independently from the sandwich domain. The roles of these sites in clathrin binding remain to be clarified. In vitro pull-down experiments highlight the potential
importance of both Y888 and Y815 for clathrin binding but reports differ on their relative contribution (Owen et al., 2000; Edeling et al., 2006; Schmid et al., 2006).

Our structural understanding of how clathrin engages with AP2 is incomplete. The N-terminal domain (NTD, Fig 1C) of clathrin heavy chain is a seven-bladed β-propeller with four adaptor protein binding sites (Willox & Royle, 2012). Atomic structures have revealed that CBMs bind promiscuously to these sites, with the AP2 CBM binding to the “CBM site” between blades 1 and 2 and also to the “arrestin site” between blades 4 and 5 (Muenzner et al., 2017). The location where β2-appendage binds clathrin is uncertain. Knuehl et al. (2006) used biochemical approaches and yeast-2-hybrid studies to identify residues C682 and G710 on the heavy chain ankle region as a potential location for β2-appendage. Another potential location is where transforming acidic coiled-coil 3 (TACC3) binds clathrin (residues 457–507; Burgess et al., 2018; Hood et al., 2013). However, a full picture of how the β2HA interacts with assembled clathrin, central to the mechanism of clathrin recruitment, remains elusive.

Recently, two structural studies have visualized contradictory modes of binding for the β2-appendage in clathrin assemblies. Using cryo-electron tomography, Kovtun et al. investigated the structure of assembled clathrin and a form of AP2 lacking the alpha appendage and hinge region on lipid membranes containing cargo peptides and PI(4,5)P2 (Kovtun et al., 2020). They observed density beneath the clathrin vertex enclosed by one terminal domain and the ankle regions of two triskelion legs (see Fig 1D for orientation). In contrast, Paraan et al. isolated native coated vesicles from bovine brain and obtained a structure using single particle analysis. They observed density consistent with the β2-appendage, however it was
in a different location, between two adjacent terminal domains (Paraan et al., 2020).

In order to address the paradox, we have analysed the structure of purified clathrin bound to the β2HA using single particle cryo-EM approaches. We find that the β2-appendage binds in at least two positions on clathrin, within the same sample, demonstrating that multi-modal binding is a fundamental property of clathrin-AP2 interactions and reconciling the differing observations in the literature. Our functional analysis of β2HA-clathrin interactions reveals that endocytosis requires hinge and appendage interaction sites, with the Tyr 815 sandwich site being more important for productive vesicle formation than the Tyr 888 platform site. In consolidating all available structural and functional information, we find that β2-appendage binding to more than one clathrin triskelion is a key feature of the system and likely explains how clathrin assembly is driven by AP2.

**Results**

**The appendage of β2 is critical for coated vesicle formation**

We previously developed a strategy to trigger clathrin-coated vesicle formation in cells, termed “hot-wired endocytosis” (Wood et al., 2017). It works by inducibly attaching a clathrin-binding protein (clathrin “hook”) to a plasma membrane “anchor” using an FKBP-rapamycin-FRB dimerization system; and this is sufficient to trigger endocytosis (Fig 2A). Using the hinge and appendage of the β2 subunit of the AP2 complex (FKBP-β2HA-GFP) as a clathrin hook allows us to examine endocytosis that is driven by the interaction of β2HA and clathrin, that is, independent of other clathrin-adaptor interactions. Hot-wired endocytosis can be detected in live cells by visualizing the formation of intracellular bright green puncta that also contain an antibody to the extracellular portion of the anchor. These puncta move inside the cell, away from the plasma membrane and we have shown previously that they are clathrin-coated vesicles that have pinched off from the surface and are competent for traffic inside the cell (Wood et al., 2017). Using FKBP-β2HA-GFP as a clathrin hook, the formation of numerous puncta was observed, while a control construct (FKBP-GFP) elicited no response (Fig 2B and C).

An analogous construct from the AP3 complex, FKBP-β3HA-GFP, with the hinge and appendage of β3, was not competent for hot-wiring (Fig 2B and C). This is a surprising result for two reasons: first, the clathrin-box motif in the hinge of β3 binds clathrin in vitro (Dell’Angelica et al., 1998), and second, we had assumed that the role of the clathrin hook in the hot-wiring system was solely to recruit clathrin initially, with downstream polymerization being driven by clathrin alone.

To investigate this result in more detail, we tested whether the hinges of β2 or β3 were competent for hot-wiring. Despite the presence of a clathrin-box motif in both hinges, with the appendage domains removed neither FKBP-β2H-GFP nor FKBP-β3H-GFP was able to induce endocytosis (Fig 2B and C). Next, we transplanted the appendage of β3 onto the β2 hinge, and the appendage of β2 onto the β3 hinge. We observed hot-wiring with FKBP-β3Hβ2A-GFP but not with FKBP-β2Hβ3A-GFP (Fig 2B and C). Thus, the β2 appendage was able to drive endocytosis with a β3 hinge but the β2 hinge alone or in the presence of the β3 appendage could not. These results indicate firstly that the β2 appendage is critical for endocytosis and that the β3 appendage cannot substitute for this activity. Secondly, hooks containing a clathrin-box motif are not sufficient for vesicle formation. This suggested to us that the β2 appendage is active in clathrin polymerization.

**Structure of clathrin-β2HA minicoat cages**

If the β2 appendage contributes to clathrin polymerization, the nature of its interaction with assembled clathrin is of particular interest. In order to investigate this, we analysed cryo-electron micrographs of clathrin assembled in the presence of β2HA (Fig EV1A–G). Saturation of β2HA binding sites on clathrin was achieved using a 60-fold molar excess of β2HA (Fig EV1A and B). Of the 57,528 particles analysed, 29% of the total particle data set (16,641 particles) was occupied by the minicoat class of cages (Fig EV1C–G). Subsequent extensive supervised and unsupervised 3D classifications identified the particles most stably associated with the minicoat cage architecture (Appendix Figs S1 and S2). These 13,983 minicoat particles were refined to a gold standard resolution of 9.1 Å (Appendix Fig S3).

In order to locate β2HA within the map density, we compared the β2HA-clathrin map to a map of clathrin cages assembled in the absence of β2HA. While a difference map did reveal density in a location just above the terminal domains, it was not well-defined (Fig 3A and B). We therefore conducted a voxel-by-voxel comparison between the two maps to locate statistically significant differences (Young et al., 2013). This method allows the location of differences to be determined with confidence but does not define the shape of difference density. This enabled us to evaluate the entire minicoat particle data set globally for potential β2HA binding locations. The results of this analysis confirmed a significant difference just above the terminal domains (Fig 3C). We also noted significant differences in some other areas, away from β2HA, that may be related to triskelion leg movements or other conformational changes upon β2HA binding.

**Finding β2HA in clathrin-β2HA minicoats**

Our global difference analysis suggested that the β2HA was indeed bound to the cages but not well-resolved. Association of β2HA with clathrin cages may increase sample heterogeneity either through effects on the cage structure itself or through variations in mode of binding, ultimately affecting resolution. In addition, clathrin terminal domain flexibility may result in weaker density in the terminal domain and linker region (Fotin et al., 2004; Morris et al., 2019). We therefore used signal subtraction to reduce the dominance of the strong features of the outer clathrin cage in order to classify the weaker terminal domain signal more precisely (Bai et al., 2015) (Appendix Fig S4). 13,983 particles of the inner region of the minicoat were classified into 20 classes, with occupancy ranging from 1.4 to 12.2%, reflecting the heterogeneity of this cage region. Particles belonging to each class were refined individually to a higher resolution (Fig EV2). The outputs of the individual refinements (each at contour level 63) varied in the quality and completeness of the terminal domain density. However in two classes, 15 and 18, distinct density consistent with bound β2HA was observed.
In the case of class 15, these densities were in a different location to that shown by our global analysis, on alternate terminal domains within a polyhedral face (Fig EV3A–D). Comparison of equivalent positions in a minicoat cage without adaptor bound demonstrated that the densities present at the terminal domains were a consequence of β2HA binding (Fig EV3A and B). Looking at adjacent polyhedral faces, for a given hub region where 3 terminal domains (from separate triskelia) converge, two terminal domains are engaged in an interaction with a single β2-appendage leaving one terminal domain unoccupied (Fig EV3C). Interestingly, β2HA density was not present at any of the 4 hubs in the minicoat cage where 3 pentagonal faces join. This class was refined further using localized reconstruction (described below).

For class 18, density could be seen on every terminal domain in all the hexagonal faces of the minicoat volume, but was less well-resolved (Fig 4A and B). In contrast to class 15 these densities lay parallel to the terminal domain beta-propeller and did not contact neighbouring terminal domains. We used localized reconstruction...
H-P hubs, Fig EV4B and C), consistent with the reduced number of global resolutions of (Fig EV3C and D). These two classes were refined separately to emerging from two pentagonal faces or from one pentagonal face due to map and statistical comparison confirmed the presence of density, were excluded from this refinement. A difference map around three pentagonal faces, which did not show additional density, were excluded from this refinement. A difference map and statistical comparison confirmed the presence of density due to β2HA (Fig 5A-D). We also found that separating the hubs according to whether the β2HA density linked terminal domains emerging from two pentagonal faces or from one pentagonal face and one hexagonal face resulted in improved map definition (Fig EV3C and D). These two classes were refined separately to global resolutions of ~10 Å (10.5 Å for P-P hubs and 10.1 Å for H-P hubs, Fig EV4B and C), consistent with the reduced number of particles in each subset. Despite this slightly lower resolution, the β2HA density in these maps was more clearly defined than in previous maps, with an intensity equal to the adjoining terminal domains (at contour level σ3), and a 1:2 β2HA:terminal domain binding ratio for both hub volumes (Fig EV4A–C).

Resolving β2HA in the minicoat hub substructure

Having established through our analysis of whole cages that β2HA has at least two different binding locations on assembled clathrin, we next improved the resolution of the most defined density for β2HA by making use of the local symmetry present within the cages. We extracted and refined the hub regions from each vertex of the minicoat cage particles belonging to Class 15 (Fig EV2), using localized reconstruction within RELION (Ilca et al, 2015). Using this approach we refined a total of 26,624 minicoat hub regions to a localized reconstruction within RELION (Ilca et al, 2015; Morris et al, 2019) to improve the resolution of the minicoat cage particles. Rigid-body fitting of the clathrin terminal domain atomic structure revealed surplus density on either side of the beta-propeller structure (Fig 4C). The location of this density is consistent with our earlier global difference analysis. The surplus density at either side of the terminal domain was large enough to accommodate the atomic structure of the β2-appendage (Fig 4C) but could not support an unambiguous fit of this structure.

Defining β2-appendage interactions with clathrin terminal domains

The improved definition of the β2HA density in the P-P hubs allowed us to carry out rigid-body fitting of the atomic structures of β2-appendage (PDB 1E42; Owen et al, 2000) and clathrin terminal domain (PDB 1BPO; ter Haar et al, 1998). The optimal orientation of the β2-appendage was found by selecting the fit with the greatest occupation of density (Fig 6A and C). A molecular model of the clathrin heavy chain formed from the model of Morris et al (6SCT) and the terminal domain X-ray structure of ter Haar et al (1BPO) was fitted into the P-P hub map using a combination of manual fitting and FlexEM (ter Haar et al, 1998; Topf et al, 2008; Joseph et al, 2016; Morris et al, 2019). Based on this fit, the alignment of the β2-appendage and the terminal domains was then optimized according to predicted intermolecular interaction energies calculated using the programme BUDE to determine a plausible binding interface (Fig 6D and E). The resulting model has been deposited as 7OM8.pdb.

We then conducted a systematic analysis of the potential contribution residues at the interface made to binding energy using the programme BudeAlaScan (BAlaS; Ibarra et al, 2019; Wood et al, 2020) which performs computational alanine scanning (Table 1). In addition to looking at single residues we examined the effect of multiple weaker interactions to define residue clusters that, through a cooperative effect, may prove important for binding. As a control, we performed a similar analysis with the Δ-appendage domain.

Figure 3. Global difference analysis of clathrin-β2HA compared to clathrin alone.
A Unsharpened cryo-EM map of clathrin-β2HA minicoat cage architecture at 9.1 Å resolution.
B Difference map of clathrin-β2HA minicoat and clathrin-only minicoat. Differences in density are shown in orange.
C Clathrin-β2HA and clathrin-only minicoat maps. Statistically significant differences are shown on a rainbow colour scheme (see inserted panel) with red, orange, yellow and green being the areas of significant difference. The light blue and dark blue areas indicate regions where the significance is below our threshold, or there is no significant difference between the two maps. The regions with the most significant difference density at $P < 0.0005$ (in red) were interpreted as the binding site of β2HA. Other regions show significant differences due to conformation changes related to binding. The contour level of all maps is 3.0 times sigma above the mean of the map. All images were created in UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al, 2004).
which does not bind clathrin. These results predict that residue Tyr 815 on the β2-appendage makes the largest contribution (14 kJ mol⁻¹) with Asp 812, Gln 804 and Lys 759 contributing at or above a 5 kJ mol⁻¹ threshold at that interface. There were also contributions from Glu 847 and Arg 904 at the 888 platform domain interface with a second terminal domain. Tyr 888 itself, implicated in adaptor interactions with the β2-appendage, does not form contacts with the terminal domain in our model. In the control experiments with the α-appendage, only Glu 849 showed a contribution > 5 kJ mol⁻¹ (7.2 kJ mol⁻¹).

A similar analysis looking at the terminal domain interactions showed only two residues contributing more than 5 kJ mol⁻¹ to the 815 sandwich interface; Thr 235 and Trp 164, while three terminal domain residues contributed more than 5 kJ mol⁻¹ to the 888 platform domain interface; Lys 140, Asp 187 and Lys 189. In the α-appendage control, three residues contributed more than 5 kJ mol⁻¹; Lys 140, Trp 164 and Lys 189. In all cases, there were no contributions comparable to that of Tyr 815. This suggested that individual residue interactions are less important for terminal domain binding, so we investigated potential cooperativity from groups of weaker-binding residues. We performed a constellation analysis using BALaS for residues with an interaction energy greater than 3 kJ mol⁻¹. This showed that cooperative clusters formed at the interfaces between the terminal domains and both the 815 sandwich and 888 platform domains (Table 2 and Fig 6B). At the 815 sandwich domain, these complementary clusters involved β2-appendage residues Lys 759, Gln 804, Asp 812 and Tyr 815 and terminal domain residues Asp 159, Lys 161 and Trp 164. At the 888 platform domain interface, the complementary clusters consisted of β2-appendage residues Lys 842, Asn 846, Glu 847 and Glu 849 and terminal domain residues Lys 140, Asp 187 and Lys 189. For the α-appendage control, there were no cooperative clusters at the
888 platform domain but at the 815 sandwich domain some pairs of residues showed high cooperativity (Table 2). Interestingly, in our model Lys 140, Lys 189 and Asp 187 form salt bridge contacts with Glu 847, Glu 849 and Arg 904, respectively. In the $\alpha$-appendage control, only Glu 849 and Lys 189 form a plausible salt bridge, suggesting a role for Lys 140/Glu 847 and Asp 187/Arg 904 salt bridges in the specificity of this interaction.

In all, this analysis of our proposed model suggests that Tyr 815 plays a key role in $\beta_2$-appendage clathrin binding, supported by residues Asp 812, Gln 804 and Lys 759. On the terminal domain, residues Thr 235 and Trp 164, supported by Asp 159 and Lys 161 contribute to the interface (Fig 6B,D,E). It also suggests the potential for cooperative clusters of weaker binding interactions to support a binding interface between the 888 platform domain and the terminal domain.

**Role of $\beta_2$ residues 815 and 888 in functional clathrin assembly**

Previous work had identified Tyr 815 and Tyr 888 (shown in Fig 1B) as being important for $\beta_2$HA-clathrin interactions (Edeling et al, 2006; Schmid et al, 2006). Our model and in silico alanine scanning analysis had identified the importance of both the platform and sandwich sites on $\beta_2$-appendage in this interaction, so we returned to the hot-wired endocytosis system to address their relative functional importance. We had found that the hinge and appendage of $\beta_2$ or $\beta_1$ but not $\beta_3$, were competent for hot-wiring

---

**Figure 5. Identification of $\beta_2$HA in clathrin minicoats.**

A 105 Å resolution cryo-EM map of clathrin-$\beta_2$HA minicoat hub region particles belonging to class 15. The hub region atomic model (PDB 6SCT) was flexibly fitted (Topf et al, 2008; Joseph et al, 2016) into the cryo-EM map (blue).

B Underside view of the cryo-EM map and fitted clathrin model shown in panel A. Density attributed to $\beta_2$HA is coloured red.

C Difference map of the clathrin-$\beta_2$HA minicoat and clathrin-only minicoat hub maps. Differences in density are shown in orange. The orange density located at the junction of the three terminal domains (marked with a star) is consistent with the location of $\beta_2$HA shown in panel B.

D Pixel by pixel comparison between clathrin-$\beta_2$HA and clathrin-only minicoat hub maps. Statistically significant differences are shown on a rainbow colour scheme (see inserted panel) with red, orange, yellow and green being the areas of significant difference. Red indicates the regions with significant differences at the highest level, $P < 0.0005$. Differences reflect the binding of $\beta_2$HA and induced movements of the legs. The light blue and dark blue areas indicate regions where the significance is below our threshold, or there is no significant difference between the two maps. The density attributed to $\beta_2$HA is marked with a star.
Deletion of the clathrin-box motif (ΔCBM) or mutation of Tyr 815 to alanine (Y815A) impaired the ability of FKBP-b2HA-GFP to generate endocytic vesicles (Fig 7A and B). Mutation of Tyr 888 to valine (Y888V), a mutation previously reported to reduce clathrin binding (Schmid et al., 2006) had no measurable effect on hot-wiring (Fig 7B). Moreover, the Y888V mutation had no additional effect when combined with ΔCBM, whereas the combination of ΔCBM and Y815A completely ablated hot-wiring (Fig 7B).

These results suggest that functional clathrin-b2HA interactions depend on the clathrin-box motif in the hinge and the sandwich site of the β2 appendage (centred on Tyr 815) while the role of the platform site of the β2 appendage (centred on Tyr 888) is undetectable in this assay.

Discussion

In this paper, we describe two positions in the clathrin cage where β2-appendage binds in the assembled state. These occur within the same sample, demonstrating that multi-modal binding is a fundamental property of clathrin-AP2 interactions. Our functional analysis demonstrated that endocytosis depends on two interactions between β2HA and clathrin. Together these observations provide an explanation for how AP2 drives coated vesicle formation in cells.

Our observation that β2HA can bind in multiple positions on clathrin within the same sample casts a new perspective on the apparently contradictory observations of Kovtun et al. (2020) and Paraan et al. (2020), suggesting they instead form part of a wider spectrum of possible β-appendage binding modes. We have summarized these multi-modal clathrin-β2HA interactions in Fig 8. The first location (Class 15) is between two of the three terminal

---

**Figure 6. Orientation of β2-appendage with respect to clathrin terminal domains.**

A Plot of fraction of atomic structure inside the cryo-EM density (Y-axis) versus correlation of fit (X-axis). The fit with the largest fraction inside density is shown in black. Although it had the second highest correlation value, this orientation yielded the highest hit-rate in the rigid body fitting, accounting for 34% of possible fits calculated. Two possible orientations (panels 1 and 2) of the β2-appendage were determined through rigid body fitting of the atomic structure of Owen et al, PDB 1E42 into the P-P minicoat hub volume from class 15.

B Diagrammatic summary of the analysis of binding interfaces using BudeAlaScan (BAlaS). Full results are given in Table 1.

C The selected best fit of the β2-appendage (purple) is shown in the context of the surrounding clathrin legs (Model in blue, density in grey). The atomic structures of Tyr 815 and Tyr 888 are displayed and highlighted in dashed ellipses. In the orientation shown, Tyr 815 is obscured by the β-sheets of the β2-appendage.

D Predicted interface between terminal domain β-propeller (blue) and β2-appendage sandwich or side domain (purple) surrounding Tyr 815.

E Predicted interface between terminal domain β-propeller (blue) and β2-appendage platform or top domain (purple) surrounding Tyr 888.

(Fig 2B and C; Wood et al., 2017). Consistent with these results, Tyr 815 and Tyr 888 are conserved in β2 and β1 but missing in β3 (Fig EV5A–D).

Deletion of the clathrin-box motif (ΔCBM) or mutation of Tyr 815 to alanine (Y815A) impaired the ability of FKBP-β2HA-GFP to generate endocytic vesicles (Fig 7A and B). Mutation of Tyr 888 to valine (Y888V), a mutation previously reported to reduce clathrin binding (Schmid et al., 2006) had no measurable effect on hot-wiring (Fig 7B). Moreover, the Y888V mutation had no additional effect when combined with ΔCBM, whereas the combination of ΔCBM and Y815A completely ablated hot-wiring (Fig 7B).

These results suggest that functional clathrin-β2HA interactions depend on the clathrin-box motif in the hinge and the sandwich site of the β2 appendage (centred on Tyr 815) while the role of the platform site of the β2 appendage (centred on Tyr 888) is undetectable in this assay.
domains that sit directly beneath the vertex. The second location (Class 18) that we identified is above the terminal domain and maps closely to the location identified by Kovtun et al, where the appendage faces CHC repeat 2 from one triskelion and CHC repeat 1 of another. The third location, described by Paraan et al is analogous to our Class 15 in that two terminal domains are linked, but they are adjacent domains within a hexagonal face rather than being beneath a cage vertex (Fig 8A and B). A common feature of all three modes is the potential for β2HA to cross-link clathrin triskelia.

In the first and third modes, the sandwich site and platform site of the β2-appendage are positioned to make interactions with two distinct terminal domains. Whereas in the second mode, according to the best fit of the β2-appendage reported by Kovtun et al (2020), neither site faces clathrin; although we note that the second highest scoring fit would place the platform site in apposition to CHC repeat 2. This region contains Cys 682 and Gly 710, previously identified as important for binding (2020), or use of coated vesicles purified from source (Paraan et al, 2020) do not explain differences in β-appendage binding location since in our study with only two purified protein components we see multiple binding locations that

| Protein             | Appendage Residue | ΔΔG (kJ mol⁻¹) | Appendage Subdomain | TD Residue | ΔΔG (kJ mol⁻¹) | Appendage Subdomain, Terminal Domain (TD) |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| β2 appendage        | Tyr 815           | 14.4           | 815 sandwich        | Thr 235    | 7.0            | 815 sandwich, TD chain Y                 |
|                     | Lys 759           | 6.4            | 815 sandwich        | Trp 164    | 6.9            | 815 sandwich, TD chain Y                 |
|                     | Asp 812           | 5.9            | 815 sandwich        |            |                |                                          |
|                     | Gln 804           | 5.1            | 815 sandwich        |            |                |                                          |
|                     | Glu 847           | 7.2            | 888 platform        | Lys 140    | 7.7            | 888 platform, TD chain Z                 |
|                     | Arg 904           | 5.8            | 888 platform        | Asp 187    | 5.7            | 888 platform, TD chain Z                 |
| Control. α appendage mapped onto 815 sandwich domain | Glu 849 | 7.2 | 888 platform | Trp 164 | 6.9 | 815 sandwich, TD chain Y |
| Control. α appendage mapped onto 888 platform domain | Glu 849 | 6.1 | 888 platform | Lys 189 | 5.5 | 888 platform, TD chain Z |

Table 2. Analysis of binding interfaces using BAlaS: constellation analysis for ΔΔG = 3 kJ mol⁻¹.

| Protein             | Constellation | Constellation ΔΔG (kJ mol⁻¹) | Summed Individual ΔΔGs (kJ mol⁻¹) | Cooperativity (kJ mol⁻¹) |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| β2 appendage (β2-TD)| B759_B804_B815| 9.7                         | 25.8                             | −16.2                   |
|                     | B804_B812_B815| 14.1                        | 25.3                             | −11.2                   |
|                     | B842_B846_B847| 3.8                         | 15.1                             | −11.3                   |
|                     | B842_B846_B849| −2.4                        | 12.3                             | −14.7                   |
|                     | B842_B847_B849| 1.5                         | 15.2                             | −14.7                   |
|                     | B846_B847_B849| 2.3                         | 16.1                             | −13.8                   |
| TD (β2-TD)          | Y159,Y161,Y164| −1.4                        | 14.7                             | −16.1                   |
|                     | Z140,Z187,Z189| 3.7                         | 19                               | −35.3                   |
| α (α mapped onto 815 sandwich domain) | A761_A763 | −15.1 | 7.7 | −22.8 |
| TD (α mapped onto 815 sandwich domain) | Y161,Y164 | −13.8 | 10 | −23.8 |
| (α mapped onto 888 platform domain) | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
either confirm (Kovtun et al, 2020) or are similar to (Paraan et al, 2020) those seen in more complex systems. One interpretation of this is that the long linker domain on β2-adaptin permits the β-appendage to move freely, even within a coated vesicle, and form stable interactions unencumbered by the need for additional components or a specific orientation. Further structural and functional studies in systems that more closely reflect the physiological environment will be needed to confirm this.

The location of the β2-hinge is unknown in all three modes of binding. The clathrin-box motif in the hinge has been shown to interact as an extended peptide slotted between blades 1 and 2 or between blades 4 and 5 of the beta-propeller at clathrin heavy chain’s N-terminal domain (ter Haar et al, 2000; Zhuo et al, 2015; Muenzner et al, 2017). At the current resolution, density relating to a peptide in such an extended conformation would be very hard to distinguish, and the promiscuous nature of clathrin-box motif binding reduces the observable density further. However, we know that the interaction of this motif is essential for coated vesicle formation possibly because it is involved in initial clathrin recruitment. Assuming that it maintains contact with the terminal domain as the coat forms, all three modes of binding allow for β2HA to make contact with clathrin heavy chains from up to three distinct triskelia.

Our hot-wiring results indicate that for endocytosis to proceed, the two most important sites on β2HA are the sandwich site and the clathrin-box motif in the hinge, with no detectable contribution from the platform site. Previous biochemical experiments investigated the importance of Tyr 815 in the sandwich site and Tyr 888 in the platform site in the interaction of β2HA with clathrin (Owen et al, 2000; Edeling et al, 2006; Schmid et al, 2006). Owen et al (2000) and Schmid et al (2006) showed a significant effect on clathrin binding to the β-appendage and hinge when Tyr 888 was altered to Val. In experiments where Tyr 815 was altered to Ala, Edeling et al (2006) showed that the effect on clathrin binding was most apparent when the hinge region was either absent, or the clathrin-binding motif within the hinge was deleted or mutated. While these experiments used brain extract for binding, where indirect interactions via other proteins known to bind both clathrin and β2HA remain a possibility, they nonetheless support our conclusion that the sandwich site, in partnership with the CBM domain within the β2HA hinge, is required for clathrin polymerization in functional coat formation. Our in silico analysis indicates that, in one mode, the platform site of β2HA does make contact with the terminal domain, and that two pairs of salt bridges (Lys140/Glu847 and Asp187/Arg904) may stabilise this interaction. We interpret our hot-wiring results to mean that this contact occurs only after binding of the hinge and the sandwich site. Further studies testing the effect of mutagenesis at this interface would help determine whether clathrin binding at this site is of functional importance. Such a cooperative role would allow interaction with other adaptor and accessory proteins such as epsin, β-arrestin and ARH at the platform domain (Schmid et al, 2006), creating flexibility to recruit the additional cargo associated with these adaptors to the growing vesicle.

All available data thus suggest that the β2 subunit of AP2 has a dual function in first recruiting clathrin to the membrane and then driving coated vesicle formation by promoting clathrin polymerization. The alternative model, where β2 only recruits clathrin and clathrin self-assembles in the absence of contribution of the adaptors, is highly unlikely. First, a single clathrin-box motif, which is sufficient to bind clathrin in vitro is not sufficient to induce coated vesicle formation in the hot-wiring assay. Second, under the alternative model, the requirement for the appendage in addition to the
hinge would mean that both interactions must occur on one triskelion exclusively. The multiple modes of binding described from cryo-EM data all feature triskelia cross-linking and therefore make this model improbable.

The multi-modal nature of β2HA-clathrin interactions that cross-link triskelia raise the question of whether other adaptors have the same property. Alternative adaptors such as epsin, β-arrestin and AP180 have multiple motifs that interact with clathrin or with AP2 (Traub, 2009; Smith et al., 2017). This suggests that they may also be able to contribute to initial recruitment and to cross-linking during assembly. In the case of epsin, the linear unstructured domain alone is capable of forming coated pits in vitro (Dannhauser & Ungewickell, 2012) and forming vesicles in cells using hot-wired endocytosis (Wood et al., 2017). The interpretation of these experiments was simply that epsin recruited clathrin and then clathrin self-assembled into a cage. It is possible that this region of epsin may also cross-link triskelia and thereby contribute to coat formation. If this is the case, it suggests a mechanism whereby adaptor proteins included in a growing coat can enhance clathrin polymerization and thereby stabilize, or even accelerate, coated vesicle formation. Since epsin, β-arrestin and AP180 also bring cargo to the growing vesicle, this has implications for understanding how particular cargos may, through their associated adaptor, increase the likelihood of completion of a growing vesicle and consequently drive forward their own internalization.

Materials and Methods

Molecular biology

The hinge and appendage of the human β2 subunit of the AP2 complex (designated β2HA), corresponding to residues 616–951 of the long isoform, was used for all experiments. Numbering of residues in the appendage is after the structure of β2-appendage which uses the numbering of the shorter isoform, ending at residue 937 (Owen et al., 2000).

CD8-mCherry-FRB, FKBP-β2HA-GFP, FKBP-β3HA-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(Y815A)-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM)-GFP and FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM,Y815A)-GFP were described previously (Wood et al., 2017). The Y888V mutation was added by site-directed mutagenesis to FKBP-β2HA-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(Y815A)-GFP and FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM)-GFP. FKBP-β2H-GFP was made by substituting β2-hinge (616–704) in place of β2HA in FKBP-β2HA-GFP using BamHI and AgeI. Similarly, FKBP-β3H-GFP was made by substituting β3-hinge (702–859) in FKBP-β3HA-GFP using PspOMI and AgeI. FKBP-β2Hβ3A-GFP was made by inserting the β3-appendage (860–1,094) into FKBP-β2A-GFP via Sall and NotI. FKBP-β3Hβ2A-GFP was made by inserting the β2-appendage (705–951) into FKBP-β3 hinge-GFP via Sall and NotI. Plasmid to express GST-β2HA in bacteria was available from previous work (Hood et al., 2013).

Figure 8. Summary of multi-modal clathrin-β2HA interactions.

A For orientation, an indigo triskelion and the three NTDs situated below its vertex are shown, contributed by maroon-coloured triskelia (viewed from the vesicle, towards the vertex). A “side view” with the same colouring and with alternative colouring (also used in panel B) where the six triskelia that interact with the indigo triskelion are depicted (below).

B Three modes of binding reported in this study and in two recent studies (Kovtun et al., 2020; Paraan et al., 2020). Common and contrasting features of each binding mode are shown. The inset in Class 18 & Kovtun shows the side view from A. Each panel indicates the number of cross-links, whether CBM density was observed and whether Tyr 888 and Tyr 815 are in an orientation likely to bind to the terminal domain.
Cell culture and light microscopy

HeLa cells (Health Protection Agency/European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures, #93021013) were kept in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO₂. DNA transfection was performed with Genejuice (Merck Millipore) using the manufacturer’s protocol. HeLa cells were transfected with CD8-mCherry-FRB and one of the hooks (GFP-FKBP, FKBP-β2HA-GFP, FKBP-β3HA-GFP, FKBP-β2H-GFP, FKBP-β3H-GFP, FKBP-β2Hβ3A-GFP, FKBP-β3Hβ2A-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM)-GFP, FKBP-β2 HA(Y185A)-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(Y888V)-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM,Y185A)-GFP, FKBP-β2HA(ΔCBM,Y888V)-GFP or FKBP-β2HA(Y185A,Y888V)-GFP).

After 24 h, the cells were put on coverslips. The next day, surface CD8 was labelled with 10 μM AlexaFluor647-anti-CD8 antibody (Bio-Rad, MCA1226A647) at 37°C for 5 min. To induce dimerization of the hook to the CD8, the medium was changed for DMEM with 200 μM rapamycin (Alfa Aesar) for 15 min at 37°C. The cells were then fixed with fixation buffer (4% formaldehyde, 4% sucrose, 80 mM K-PIPES, 5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 6.8) for 10 min at RT. The coverslips were rinsed 4 × 5 min with PBS and mounted in Mowiol and DAPI.

Cells were imaged using a spinning disc confocal system (Ultra-view Vox; PerkinElmer) with a 100× 1.4 NA oil-immersion objective. Images were captured in Volocity using a dual-camera system (ORCA-R2; Hamamatsu) after excitation with lasers of wavelength 488 and 640 nm.

Image analysis

The images acquired were duplicated and thresholded to isolate vesicular structures. To analyse only coinciding structures, thresholded images were multiplied with one another using the “Image calculator” plugin in FIJI and the vesicular structures measuring between 0.03 μm² and 0.8 μm² and of 0.3-1 circularity were counted in the resulting image using the “analyse particles” plugin. A one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc test was performed using GFP-FKBP as control.

Buffer compositions

HKM buffer: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 125 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM Tris buffer: 1 M Tris pH 7.1, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT. Ficoll/Sucrose buffer: 6.3% w/v Ficoll PM 70, 6.3% w/v sucrose in HKM pH 7.2. Saturated ammonium sulphate: excess ammonium sulphate dissolved in 10 mM Tris pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA. Depolymerization buffer: 20 mM MES pH 6.4, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EGTA. Depolymerization buffer: 20 mM TEA pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT. Purification buffer: 20 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 200 mM NaCl. Elution buffer: 20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM reduced glutathione. Precipitation buffer: 50 mM tris–HCl pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT.

Protein expression and purification

Clathrin was purified from pig brain clathrin-coated vesicles (see detailed method in Rothnie et al., 2011 Supporting Information). Clathrin cages were assembled for harvesting by dialyzing the purified triskelia into polymerization buffer at 4°C and then harvested by ultracentrifugation. Pellets containing clathrin cages were resuspended in a small volume of polymerization buffer. Concentration of clathrin cages was assayed by A280 of triskelia to avoid the effects from light scattering.

β2HA was expressed as a GST-β2HA fusion protein in Escherichia coli strain, BL21. Bacteria were grown at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 and then induced with 0.8 mM IPTG at 20°C overnight. Cells were harvested and resuspended in purification buffer (supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet as per Roche Applied Science instructions) and lysed by sonication. The soluble fraction was obtained by centrifugation at 75,400 g for 30 min. GST-β2HA was purified from the soluble fraction using glutathione resin (GE Healthcare) and GST-β2HA was displaced from the glutathione resin using elution buffer. The GST tag was removed by a GST fusion 3C protease (Prescission, GE Healthcare) by dialysing GST-β2HA with GST fusion 3C protease, in prescission buffer, overnight at 4°C. The fusion protease was removed using glutathione resin and the cleaved β2HA was collected in the flow-through. Cleaved β2HA was concentrated and loaded onto a HiLoad Superdex 200 (equilibrated in purification buffer) for further purification via size exclusion chromatography. Fractions containing purified β2HA were pooled and concentrated on Vivaspin columns (Sartorius).

β2HA-clathrin complex preparation

To identify the maximum amount of β2HA that could bind the clathrin cages, increasing molar amounts of β2HA was reconstituted with 3 μM clathrin in depolymerization buffer at 4°C and subsequent dialysis overnight into polymerization buffer at 4°C. The β2HA-clathrin cage complexes were harvested by centrifugation at 230,000 g for 30 min and concentrated 10-fold by pellet resuspension into a small volume of polymerization buffer. The protein composition of the resuspended pellets was analysed by SDS–PAGE and densitometry in ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

Negative stain transmission electron microscopy

Clathrin cages reconstituted in the presence of 180 μM β2HA were screened under negative stain. Assembled β2HA-clathrin cage complexes (5 μl of 1 μM) were pipetted onto a glow-discharged formvar carbon 300-mesh copper grid (Agar Scientific) and incubated for 1 min at room temperature. Excess sample was removed by blotting with Whatman filter paper and 5 μl of 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate stain was subsequently applied to the grid and left to incubate for 1 min at room temperature. Excess negative stain was removed by blotting with Whatman filter paper. Samples were imaged using a JEOL 2100Plus and Gatan OneView IS at 200 keV.

Cryo-electron microscopy

3 μl of β2HA clathrin cage complexes (clathrin at 9 μM) were applied to glow-discharged 300-mesh copper Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 grids and blotted at 4°C and > 90% humidity for 4.5 s before plunging into an ethane/propane mix (80%/20%) liquefied and cooled by liquid nitrogen using a Leica EM GP automated plunge freezing device.
Cryo-electron micrographs were collected as movies using a Titan Krios and Falcon III detector (Leicester Institute of Structural and Chemical Biology), operating at 300 keV. EPU was used for automated data collection, movies were acquired at a total dose of \(64 \text{ e}^- \text{Å}^{-2} \) over 1 s at a dose rate of \(1.65 \text{ e}^- \text{Å}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) with a magnified pixel size of \(1.39 \text{ Å px}^{-1}\) using a 1 μm beam and 70 μm C2 aperture. Three images were acquired per hole with some illumination of the carbon support. Micrographs were targeted for collection between 1.1 and 2 μm defocus.

Data processing

Beam-induced motion of the specimen was anisotropically corrected, with and without dose-weighting, using MotionCor2 (Li et al, 2013). The contrast transfer function of the motion-corrected summed micrographs was estimated from non-dose-weighted micrographs using gctf v1.06 (Zhang, 2016) employing equiphase averaging and validation functions. RELION v3.0.5 (Scheres, 2012) was used for particle picking, extraction, and all classifications and refinements. 57,528 particles were manually picked from the non-dose-weighted, motion-corrected micrographs and then extracted at a binned pixel size of 10.8 Å px\(^{-1}\). Reference-free 2D classification, over 25 iterations, was first used to analyse the quality of the extracted particles. The highest quality classes, containing 51,133 particles, were selected for further 3D classification. As previously described, supervised asymmetric 3D classification successfully sorted the particles into ten structural classes (Morris et al, 2019). The particles associated with the minicoat cage type, which produced the highest quality 3D classification output, were selected for subsequent hierarchical, supervised 3D classifications to identify the particles most stably associated with this particular cage geometry (Appendix Fig S1). Further unsupervised 3D classification of these stable minicoat particles subdivided the particles into 3 classes and was carried out using a regularization parameter (T) of 4, no imposed symmetry and no mask (Appendix Fig S2). 3D auto refinement of the most stable minicoat particles (at 10.8 Å px\(^{-1}\), without symmetry imposed) yielded a 24 Å minicoat volume. These particles were reextracted from non-dose-weighted micrographs with a box size of 500 px and a pixel size of 2.78 Å px\(^{-1}\); large enough to include clathrin cages over 1,000 Å diameter. The minicoat cage architecture was refined at 2.78 Å px\(^{-1}\) (i.e. binned twofold) without imposing symmetry. The refinement reference (from the previous 3D auto refinement of minicoat particles) was low pass filtered to 40 Å. Since the output volume was a minicoat with mixed handedness, an unsupervised 3D classification was conducted on the minicoat particles (no symmetry imposed, and no alignment of particles). Only the minicoat particles contributing to volumes that had 100% surface density were saved and used in subsequent processing. These particles were refined as described for the previous 3D auto refinement, and yielded a 11.7 Å volume. A mask was generated from this C\(_2\) reconstruction at 3σ, extended and softened by 2 and 9 px. This mask was employed in subsequent C\(_2\) refinements that used dose-weighted minicoat particles, solvent flattening and a Gaussian noise background. Reconstructions with and without imposed symmetry correlated well.

Resolution of each reconstruction was estimated using the gold standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) measurement within a mask created from the refinement volume (using threshold value of 3σ), expanded by 2 px to 4 px and softened by 9 px. The MTF of the Falcon III camera (operated at 300 keV) was applied and the B factor of the map was automatically calculated if the resolution exceeded 10 Å. In instances, where sub-10 Å refinements were calculated, a user-defined B factor value was given.

In order to identify β2HA in the minicoat volume we subtracted the signal contributed by the outer coat region and subsequently conducted a masked, unsupervised 3D classification on the signal-subtracted inner cage region (Appendix Fig S4) with a regularization parameter (T) of 20 tetrahedral (T) symmetry imposed and no alignment of particles calculated. The mask was created from the tetrahedral refinement volume using a threshold value of 3σ, expanded by 5 px and softened by 9 px. The particles contributing to each of the 20 classes were saved separately and refined with T symmetry imposed. Qualitative analysis of the individual refinement outputs (visualized at contour level 3σ), identified two classes that possessed strong additional density that was not present in reconstructions calculated using signal from the whole cage (i.e. prior to signal subtraction) or in a minicoat cage volume reconstructed without adaptor protein present.

Localized subparticle extraction and reconstruction

To improve the resolution of the strong additional density resolved after masked 3D classifications of signal-subtracted minicoat particles, we performed localized reconstruction (Ilca et al, 2015) as previously described for single particle data sets of clathrin cages (Morris et al, 2019). Hub regions were extracted and recentred as new subparticles in 350 px boxes from whole minicoat cage particles. Each of the extracted hub subparticles were reconstructed separately to serve as references in subsequent refinements.

All refinements were conducted in C\(_1\) with masking applied from a 3σ extended 2 px and softened 9 px mask (3σ/e2/s9). Global resolution of the hub region was estimated as described previously using the gold standard FSC approach (within a mask 3σ/e2/s9). The refinement was found to have converged at 9.6 Å. Local resolution estimations were made using ResMap (Kucukelbir et al, 2014) revealing lower resolutions in the terminal domain regions of the minicoat hub. To improve the quality of the β2HA density located between the terminal domains under the hub vertex, the hub subparticles were classified based on whether the β2HA density connected terminal domains from two separate pentagonal faces (PP) or connected a hexagonal and pentagonal face (HP). Compared to the whole-cage volume (post-signal subtraction), the resolution of the β2HA (and neighbouring clathrin heavy chain regions) is improved, allowing PDBs of the clathrin heavy chain (residues 1–361, 362–487 and 488–834) to be fitted into the hub volume.

Global difference analysis

Student’s t-test was used to determine the significance of differences between two structures, using SPIDER and the programmes of Milligan and Flicker as previously published (Milligan & Flicker, 1987; Frank et al, 1996; Young et al, 2013). In order to do this, independent maps of each structure (four in the case of whole cages, three for the hubs) were created using RELION. The -split command in the relion star_handler script was used to divide the data into separate sets, taking care to distribute images of particles evenly from each micrograph and therefore the defocus spread. A low pass Fourier filter, 11 Å in the case of whole cages and 12 Å for the hubs,
was applied to the maps. In order to avoid potential false differences due to variations in the quality of the two structures solved, or random effects such as the sampling of defocus values, the two structures were scaled together in reciprocal space by calculating their radial amplitude-profiles. A reciprocal-space scaling profile was calculated by comparing the amplitude profile of the clathrin-only map with the β-adaptin map (Young et al., 2013). Using this, all the β-adaptin sub-maps were rescaled to fit the profile of the clathrin-only map. These maps were used to calculate an average and variance for each structure. The per voxel value of t and the significance of differences was computed from these, using the appropriate degrees of freedom. Many regions had significant differences with $P < 0.05$. Regions we have interpreted to show direct density differences relating to ligand binding have $P < 0.0001$. The images show the original maps, with the value of $P$ coloured onto the surface according to the scale shown.

Redocking the adaptor proteins

Initially, the β2-appendage protein structure (1E42) was docked by fitting into the unoccupied density in the β2HA-clathrin map. However, this led to an overlap of residues between the C-terminal domain and its neighbouring terminal domain, while leaving a gap at the putative interface between the N-terminal domain and a second local terminal domain. The docking programme BUBE (McIntosh-Smith et al, 2012, 2015) was used to refine this structure as follows. The complex was centred on the centre-of-coordinates of the β2-appendage and the complex split into clathrin as the receptor and β2-appendage as the ligand. The docking grid was defined: $-10$ to $10$ in $2\degree$ increments for rotation and $-10$ to $10$ in $1\AA$ increments for translation. A genetic algorithm, EMC (Abraham et al., 2015), sampling 1.1 million poses was used to find low energy poses.

The best pose was inspected and new rotamers chosen for a few interfacial sidechains to optimize putative interfacial interactions (β2-appendage: R732, R759, N846, E849, E882; clathrin: R188) and the above docking procedure repeated. Next, Gromacs 2019.4 (Abraham et al., 2015) was used to parameterize the complex with the Amber99SB-ildn (Lindorff-Larsen et al, 2010) forcefield at pH 7 and place it in box of TIP3P water containing 0.15 m NaCl. A short energy minimization (200 steps of steepest descents) was performed to remove bad intermolecular atom-atom contacts, permitted by BUBE’s very soft empirical free energy forcefield, and yield the finished model.

The initial α-appendage complex was prepared by superimposing on the α-appendage (1B9K) on the β2-appendage C-terminal domain of the finished complex. This preliminary model of the α-appendage complex was subjected to the same docking and minimization procedure described above. Because the angle between the platform and sandwich domains of the α-appendage is smaller, we also prepared complexes where either the platform or sandwich domain of the α-appendage (1B9K) were directly superimposed onto the corresponding region of the β2-appendage domain of the finished complex using the Matchmaker function in UCSF Chimera.

In silico alanine scanning

The two energy-minimized complexes and the individual platform and sandwich domain α-appendage complexes were presented to the BAlaS server http://balas.app (Wood et al., 2020). The three clathrin domains were assigned as the receptor and the appendages (either β2 or α) as the ligand. Alanine scanning and constellation calculations were performed and the results downloaded.

Figure preparation

Maps and models were visualized using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004). Simplified views of triskelia were generated using IgorPDB and 3iYV. Microscopy figures and plots were made Fiji and Igor Pro (WaveMetrics Inc.). All figures were assembled in Adobe Illustrator.

Data availability

EM maps supporting this study have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank with accession codes EMD-12980, EMD-12981, EMD-12983 and EMD-12984 (relating to Figs 4B and C, 4A, 3 and 5, respectively). Particle stacks associated with EMD-12980, EMD-12983 and EMD-12984 were deposited to EMPIAR as 10784, 10779 and 10783, respectively. The fitted model of clathrin terminal domains and β2-appendage has been deposited in the protein data bank as 7OM8.pdb. Original models of both the clathrin terminal domain [1BPO.pdb, (ter Haar et al., 1998)] and β2-appendage [1E42.pdb, (Owen et al., 2000)] were used to generate the fitted model with only the interfaces between the protein molecules remodelled. No intermolecular clashes have been identified in the fitted model. The intermolecular clashes and geometric outliers are historical.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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