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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer and one of the most common causes of death in women, impacting almost 2 million women each year. Tenacity or perseverance of breast cancer in women is very high these days with an extensive increasing rate of 3 to 5% every year. Along with hurdles faced during treatment of breast tumor, one of the crucial causes of delay in treatment is invasive and poor diagnostic techniques for breast cancer hence the early diagnosis of breast tumors will help us to improve its management and treatment in the initial stage.

Main body: Present review aims to explore diagnostic techniques for breast cancer that are currently being used, recent advancements that aids in prior detection and evaluation and are extensively focused on techniques that are going to be future of breast cancer detection with better efficiency and lesser pain to patients so that it helps to a physician to prevent delay in treatment of cancer. Here, we have discussed mammography and its advanced forms that are the need of current era, techniques involving radiation such as radionuclide methods, the potential of nanotechnology by using nanoparticle in breast cancer, and how the new inventions such as breath biopsy, and X-ray diffraction of hair can simply use as a prominent method in breast cancer early and easy detection tool.

Conclusion: It is observed significantly that advancement in detection techniques is helping in early diagnosis of breast cancer; however, we have to also focus on techniques that will improve the future of cancer diagnosis in like optical imaging and HER2 testing.
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Background

Breast cancer is the most predominant form of cancer in females worldwide. It has been observed that its persistence rate is also very high, ranges from 20-30% [1] that shows an extensive increase of 3.1% cases from 641,000 to 1,643,000 cases in 1980. The persistence rate during stage 0 and 1 is 98% whereas in stage 3 it is 65%. Incidence of breast cancer rates is found to be maximal in the 55-65 year age group [2] (as shown in Table 1), and its survival rates are very less as soon as patients reach stage 3 of the disease (as shown in Table 2). It is found that one in every six females is prone to breast cancer these days [5] as stated in Fig. 1 [6]. In most cases, patients do not get treatment at the right time due to tumor progression hence early diagnosis is the most critical requirement that helps in the early treatment of this disease [5]. Majorly, mammography is the uttermost favored technique used for cancer detection; however, due to the severe disadvantage in younger women, numerous other techniques have been evolved [7]. Recent expansion in the biology of breast tissues has led to the easier measurement of different biological procedures like an evaluation of gene expression, molecular biology, and cellular biochemistry using digital methods, tomosynthesis, CAD, and other methods like radionuclide method [8] that has led to the detection of breast tumors devoid of using in vivo method and directly using radiation contact [9].
Mammography and its advancements
Currently, it is the most accepted method used for tumor detection in the breast using a low dose of X-rays. It is mainly recommended as a routine examination for women above 40 years of age [10]. Based on the display of images, it is of two types: film mammography that gives an image on films, and digital mammography that gives images on the computer system [11]. Film mammography has been used for so long but film processing is slow and we cannot change image contrast later that presently limits its uses [12]. Different advanced approaches (as shown in Fig. 2) such as digital mammography, contrast-enhanced mammography, tomosynthesis, and CAD are developed and used recently which are described below.

Digital mammography
Digital mammography gives an improved quality of images in a shorter duration of time as compared to film mammography [14]. In this, all the steps, such as accession, processing, and display of images separated individually. It gives a broader range of resolution and densities in dense breasts with minimal noise during image accession [15]. It does not require additional images or exposure of patients to unnecessary radiation and uncomfortable tests for the processing of breast images [16]. DM has given a platform to the CAD software that is used to produce data in digital formats with improved sensitivity in the dense breasts in pre- or post-menopausal females or females under the age of 50 years [17]. Management and manipulation of images are controlled electronically with better efficiency and reliability. Dense breast tissues which appear white in film mammograms; DM provides better contrast image with clear differences from other tissues [18]. Image fusion and image transmission from one physician to another are fast and easy. Spurgeon et al. have shown the efficacy of digital mammography is more in tumor or lesions diagnosis [19]. This suggests that DM is continuously putting back to conventional mammography techniques in soft copy evaluations, diagnostic, and screening settings in breast cancer detection [20].

Contrast-enhanced digital mammography
Contrast enhancement digital mammography is an advancement of digital mammography that includes two techniques, temporal subtractions technique, and bifold-energy techniques [21]. The temporal subtraction technique provides images before and after the exposure of iodine-based contrast agents. Dual-energy techniques provide twain of images with variable energies after exposure of contrast agents [22]. Recently available methods in contrast-enhanced mammography are confined to the diagnosis of one breast at a time whereas MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) of breast detects the presence of tumors in both breasts at a time and helps in the diagnosis of contralateral tumors [23]. Hence, a combination of contrast agent’s exposure using magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound provides the advantage of breast imaging with greater sensitivity and precision [24].

Tomosynthesis
To overcome the problem of digital mammography that sometimes gives superimposable tumor structures or may abstruse lesions in the breast with dense tissues during diagnosis, tomosynthesis has come as a useful advancement for breast cancer evaluation [25] with more sensitivity and specificity than conventional mammography technique. This term tomosynthesis was first termed by Grant et al. [26]. Tomosynthesis involves the use of tungsten or rhodium anodes and filters with panel detectors along with different multi-slit scanning systems [27]. This is based on the parallax method that shows the structure’s closer to the detector will move for shorter distances between images than structures that are far away from the detector [28]. Tomosynthesis also provides better differentiation between benign and malignant tumors. It removes noise and separates planes of images through the breast for quality images but due to this, tomosynthesis also detect calcified tissues as similar to tumor tissues with clarity up to 92% that is one of the reasons of its limited use [29].
CAD mammography

Computer-aided detection (CAD) applies computer technology with mammography or MRI techniques to detect structural changes, masses, etc. that helps in early diagnosis of breast cancer [30]. It provides a bridge between conventional techniques and artificial technology. It applies successfully to both film and digital mammography. CAD helps in the easy detection of micro calcified tissues than masses of tumors [31]. It is still under improvement and its major drawback is its overemphasized sensitivity to changes in breast tissues that result in unnecessary biopsies [32]. However, significant benefits have been obtained from this in the past several years so it may be considered as a significantly useful technique in the future [33].

Recent advanced techniques for early diagnosis of breast cancer

2D FDTD analysis

2D FDTD stands for 2 dimensional finite difference time domain technique that uses electromagnetic rays for computational analysis of tumors [34]. It includes analysis of the physical properties of breast tissues such as the interaction of biomolecules of breast tissues based on dielectric constant comparable to tissue water content with microwave radiations and location of tumors beneath the skin. It has shown experimentally that the dielectric constant and conductance of malignant cells is greater than normal cells which are measurable by microwaves technology [34]. 2D FDTD aims to increase tumor detection in breast and eradication of tissue heterogeneity by using pulsed confocal method ad time gating. 2D FDTD is effective to detect tumors with 2 mm or smaller in width in the presence of heterogeneity of normal breast tissues [35]. 2D FDTD aims to increase tumor detection in breast and eradication of tissue heterogeneity by using pulsed confocal method ad time gating [36]. It is comparably safer and comfortable than conventional mammography as it requires examination of only one side of the breast and no exposure to ionizing radiations that have been facilitating its use for screening and monitoring of individual persons with breast cancer.
Radionuclide methods
Radionuclide methods provide greater specificity and contrast between tumor and normal breast cancer tissue [37]. It also helps in the assessment of the stage of cancer and improvement in therapy responses. Methods using these techniques include positron emission tomography (PET), scintimammography, positron emission mammography (PEM), and breast specific gamma imaging (BSGI) [38]. Scintimammography was used earlier using MIBI radiopharmaceutical agents which is cationic in nature, along with gamma cameras [39]. Lieberman et al. used scintimammography for breast cancer detection and found sensitivity up to 85% and specificity about 87% [40]. It has poor detection sensitivity to breast lesions less than 1 cm in size and those are non-palpable in nature.

Positron emission tomography used for imaging anatomy and understanding molecular biology differences between normal and tumor tissues [41] uses mainly FDG, i.e., 6F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose and 18F-fluoride as tracers in breast imaging [42]. Accumulation of FDG reduces with a decrease in tumor viability in the area concerned. PET has better spatial resolution and greater accuracy for image quantification. The use of PET is still limited in use because of low sensitivity for smaller and non-palpable lesions and poor ability in primary cancer detection [43]. Breast staging gamma imaging (BSGI) consists of gamma cameras for breast cancer imaging. It is also used for the detection of dense breasts and lesions of 1 cm or less. It has limited use in clinical practice because of high dose exposure of radionuclide to patients hence only used in clinical trial investigation of breast cancer [44]. Positron emission mammography or PEM uses a lower dose of radionuclides and having a high spatial resolution as compared to PET. PEM helps to detect lesions in the breast even less than 2 mm in size. Along with MRI, it provides more specificity to the tumor and avoids unnecessary biopsy for cancer diagnosis [45]. Currently, different variants of PEM system containing different types of detectors are used like for compressed breast diagnosis: stationary flat detector-based PEM scanners and for uncompressed breast diagnosis: rotating detector-based PEM scanners or stationary full or partial detector ring-based PEM scanner is used [46]. Hence, new advanced systems improve the use of radionuclide imaging in breast cancer diagnosis.

Nanoparticles
These days, biocompatible and active nanoparticles are used as contrast agents to increase specificity in breast cancer imaging [47]. It avoids unnecessary biopsies and provides radioactive signals with increased sensitivity [48]. Nanoparticles are considered beneficial diagnostic agents due to increased blood retention time, large surface area, or different ligands interaction that may use in the diagnosis of breast cancer. Nanoparticles with iron oxides produce strong MRI contrast of breast tissues having variable surface characteristics [49]. Nanoparticles using Bismuth metal are used as contrast agents in computed tomography resulted in increased pharmacokinetics and absorption properties with improved safety than iodinating agents [50]. It is still under development, and in the future, it can play a significant role in the future in oncology.

Futuristic approaches to be used for breast cancer detection
Breathe biopsy
Exhaled breath consists of more than thousands of volatile components that represents a rich source of biological components in the human body. Breath biopsy (BB) helps to access this information by analyzing breath samples to stratify biomarkers for early disease diagnosis, detect response to the therapy, etc. BB is a completely non-invasive technique that maximizes patient comfort. BB can act as an important means for the people suffering from the beginning time of malignancy and show asymptomatic or imprecise side effects [51]. Patients can allude from essential consideration into specific consideration. Authorities can, in this way, execute further developed techniques such as biopsies of tissues to examine their illness.

A spearheading breath analyzer was intended to gather emanated breath with volatile organic compounds [52] that can be possibly related to provocative disorders, irresistible ailments, malignant growth, and possibly particular sorts of malignant cancer. Gas chromatography, heat desorption, and field asymmetric ion mobility spectrometry (FAIMS) are passed down for sample detection by cutting edge recognition microchip innovation for built-up mass spectroscopy (MS) for a biomarker for volatile organic compound revelation. Such complex accumulation and investigation methods build the medical breath biopsy R stage [53]. Early and less costly disease location is the main real zone for medical’s breath biopsy (BB) stage is taking advantage of and is troth to profit. ReCIVAR sampler is non-invasive, torment, and unpaid, having a moderately low cost contrasted and various disease location strategies take 1 min to gather breathed out breath with signified volatile organic compound is a reproducible course for breath accumulation and can be utilized anyplace not simply the emergency clinic. The cartridge catches do not require exceptional dealing with after transportation. Owlstone’s FAIMS innovation gives quick, delicate, and specific VOC examination. This relatively small-in-size breathalyzer is seeking to achieve a monumental mission, saving 100,000 lives and US$1.5 billion in healthcare costs [54].
Mammary ductoscopy

Mammary ductoscopy refers to a technique, which allows introduction of a micro endoscope into breast milk ducts facilitating inspection of the ductal epithelial lining and recovery of cells for analysis by lavage and biopsy. Mammary ductoscopy is a developing strategy enabling a forthright viewable approach to the ductal arrangement of bosom using the nipple hole cannula and investigation [55]. Initial studies of MD evaluated women with pathologic spontaneous nipple discharge (PND), while more recent reports are also using MD to assess women without PND for the presence of breast cancer [56]. It offers the upsides of exact localization of pathophysiology and intraoperative direction particularly for sores profound inside the ductal framework. The mammary pipe framework was effectively cannulated for the viability studies [57]. Furthermore, tumors detection could be categorized as polypoid, superficial, and combined type. The polypoid type was most normal in instances of intraductal papilloma, and the superficial sort was most basic in instances of carcinoma [58]. Mammary ductoscopy is embedded through a cannula into the hole of intracystic tumors. Apparently, malignant tumors appeared to be as irregular and rough in shape and cause bleeding also whereas benign tumor does not cause any bleeding and have smooth surfaces. Additional sample evaluation using image or molecular analysis may improve the sensitivity and specificity of MD in breast cancer detection [59].

X-ray diffraction of hair

X-ray diffraction of hair is a non-invasive method of diagnosing breast cancer which is reported with the use of synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering of hair fibers. A correlation has been observed in women with breast cancer showing a change in X-ray diffraction in their hair, with a new ring superimposed on the normal diffraction pattern of α-keratin [60]. The use of X-beam diffraction on hairs of people with tumors in the breast has shown that an “extra segment” could hypothetically tie to the α-keratin strands shaping in the middle of the road fibers or to other auxiliary components, for example, the lipid bi-layers [61]. It is likewise possible that expulsion of any unessential material from the fiber would return its X-beam diffraction example to resemble that of a typical hair. This idea was tried during the present as a component of an inquiry for the hidden physiology [62]. X-ray beam diffraction investigation needs a solitary hair fiber to be tenderly expelled from by the compartment utilizing sharp forceps and stacking it onto an authentic sample holder for holding 10 singular hair filaments [63]. Such sample holders utilize small expansion springs to get a handle on fiber to find the filament in the fitting direction for the X-rays. Spring was used to unwind the clip to fiber. The hairs were set neighboring the finding pins then the spring was delicately discharged. A lot of consideration was taken with the stacking procedure that was not contorted during stacking or that it was not harmed by extending. When stacked, the hairs were inspected under a dismembering stereo magnifying lens. All things considered, the hair was inspected at a separation of 10 mm from the trim ends, likening to about a month of hair development. This technique has been observed sensitive for both early and metastatic breast cancer diagnosis [62].

HER2 testing

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is an oncogene, with huge homology to human epidermal growth factor receptor 1 (HER1). HER2 testing consists of HER2-focused on operators’ trastuzumab, lapatinib, pertuzumab, and trastuzumab emtansine [68] have altogether amended diagnostic results in patients with HER2-positive breast malignant growth in the metastatic & ancillary settings [69]. Various such testing methods are currently accessible—that is already affirmed by the USFDA [70]. These tests are categorized into two parts: the IHC test means immunohistochemical tests and the FISH test means fluorescent in situ hybridization tests. These tests are completely mechanized, medium-term measures that physicians can decipher by using a splendid field magnifying instrument [71]. Present FISH HER2 tests include numerous manual methods, everyone represents the danger of changeability, which improves the probability of an off base test outcome [72]. For instance, fluorophores are corrupted by presentation to light, so all arrangements having these chemicals must be dealt with in diminished light.

Magnetic resonance elastography

Magnetic resonance elastography is also known as MRE, which is a quickly rising and constructive system considering the location and portrayal of a wide scope of ailment forms [73]. Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) is a novel non-invasive technique to measure the spatial stiffness of soft tissues. Contrast-enhanced breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the most sensitive
imaging modality for breast cancer detection and is indicated for screening women at high risk for breast cancer, evaluating extent of disease in patients with a known breast carcinoma, and monitoring therapy. By investigating the biological and mechanical properties or both tissues that differ broadly in physiologic and pathologic states MRE restores data that is past the capacities of traditional imaging procedures that depend on etymological changes for recognizing illness [74]. In a time of expanding reception of multi-methodology procedures for assessing complex sickness forms [75], MRE might be joined to a magnetic resonance imaging assessment to give a quick, dependable, and complete imaging evaluation at a solitary patient arrangement [76]. Another use of MRE that is being examined with extraordinary intrigue is for the appraisal of breast malignant growth. It is generally rigid than kind-hearted sores and ordinary bosom tissue. Standard percussion is a suggested piece of screening technique for bosom malignancy and aides in the identification of such hard tissues [77]. While breast MRE is highly sensitive for cancer detection, it has been historically limited in its use due to a lower specificity because of an overlap in appearances between benign and malignant lesions [78].

Optical imaging

Optical breast imaging is a novel imaging technique that uses near-infrared (NIR) light to assess the optical properties of tissues, and is expected to play an important role in breast cancer detection. Optical imaging is an assistant gadget to conventional imaging methods [79], in light of the fact that the data picked up with the optical sign is uniquely not quite the same as that of the clinical imaging data [80]. Because of various logical prospects and innovative advancement in histological optics, optical imaging currently seems possible in a different stratum of explicitness and goals better than previous improvements [81]. Deeper tissue penetration allows optical imaging to be implemented as an imaging technology for breast cancer detection, diagnosis, and/or prognosis. Optical imaging provides a functional imaging approach with decent spatial resolution and contrast. Optical imaging also requires less imaging time compared to MRI, and is also less expensive. At the point where fluorescent tests are energized by infrared radiation, breast imaging utilizing a fluorescent differentiation operator that may expand sore complexity and also conceivably recognize variations in bosom tissue histology [82]. These tests can either ties explicitly to specific targets related to disease or can collect non-specifically at the tumor area, for the most part by extravasations through defective vessels. In the future optical imaging agents could, potentially, be used as “theranostics,” combining the process of diagnosis and therapy [83].

Conclusion

One of the major issues in the treatment of breast cancer is the lack of timely detection of tumor which is due to poor diagnostic techniques that are being used currently that delay detection of this cancer as these methods are time consuming and cumbersome in nature. Incidence of breast cancer rates is found to be maximal in the 55-65 year age group and its survival rates are very less as soon as patients reach stage 3 of the disease. To combat these problems, modern techniques and recent advancements in diagnosis are a boon to mankind as they can provide early detection in lesser time and are also non-invasive in nature; thus, breast cancer can be treated at its earliest stage when compared to conventional methods. Therefore, it is necessary to use new techniques and concentrate on development in the diagnosis of the disease so that treatment of the disease can be made easier and in less possible time.
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