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ABSTRACT

The summit of the Antarctic plateau, Dome A, is proving to be an excellent site for optical, NIR, and THz astronomical observations. GATTINI was a wide-field camera installed on the PLATO instrument module as part of the Chinese-led
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traverse to Dome A in January, 2009. We present here the measurements of sky brightness with the Gattini ultra-large field of view \((90^\circ \times 90^\circ)\) in the photometric \(B\)-, \(V\)-, and \(R\)-bands, cloud cover statistics measured during the 2009 winter season, and an estimate of the sky transparency. A cumulative probability distribution indicates that the darkest 10\% of the nights at Dome A have sky brightness of \(S_B = 22.98\), \(S_V = 21.86\), and \(S_R = 21.68\) mag arcsec\(^{-2}\). These values were obtained around the year 2009 with minimum aurora, and they are comparable to the faintest sky brightness at Mauna Kea and the best sites of northern Chile. Since every filter includes strong auroral lines that effectively contaminate the sky brightness measurements, for instruments working around the auroral lines, either with custom filters or with high spectral resolution instruments, these values could be easily obtained on a more routine basis. In addition, we present example light curves for bright targets to emphasize the unprecedented observational window function available from this ground-based site. These light curves will be published in a future paper.

Subject headings: methods: data analysis — methods: statistical — site testing — techniques: photometric — telescopes

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past centuries, people’s growing demand for large astronomical facilities keeps pushing forward the progress of astronomical site selections. Some of the most major considerations for ground-based optical and IR astronomy include the seeing, atmospheric transparency and cloud coverage, number of clear nights, wind speed, precipitable water vapor, and thermal backgrounds. Various site surveys in recent years have revealed the advantages of the Antarctic plateau sites. Low and stable count rates of sky backgrounds in optical bandpasses have been measured at Dome C (Kenyon & Storey 2006), South pole (Nguyen et al. 1996; Ashley et al. 1996), and Dome A (Zou et al. 2010; Sims et al. 2012a). High atmospheric transmission has been inferred at Dome A (Lawrence 2004; Yang et al. 2009) and various Antarctic sites (Lawrence 2004). Good average optical seeing above the boundary layer can be obtained at South Pole (i.e., \(0''.37\) in \(17-27\) m above the ground, Marks et al. 1996) and Dome C (i.e., \(0''.27\), Lawrence et al. 2004; \(0''.36\pm0''.19\) Agabi et al. 2006; \(0''.36\), Aristidi et al. 2009; \(<0''.3\), Giordano et al. 2012, in \(~30\) m above the ground.; also see Vernin et al. 2009; Aristidi et al. 2015). So far the best seeing among all the ground-based astronomical sites was measured to be \(0''.07\) at Dome C (Lawrence et al. 2004). Many astronomical observations could benefit from the consecutive periods of dark time at polar latitudes. Comparisons between different astronomical sites among the Antarctic plateau being tested for the cloud coverage, aurorae, thickness of the boundary
layer, seeing, humidity, and temperature are given by Saunders et al. (2009).

The high-altitude Antarctic sites of Dome A (latitude 80°22' S, longitude 77°21' E, elevation 4093m) offer intriguing locations for future large-scale astronomical observatories. Site testing work since 2008 has confirmed Dome A to be an excellent astronomical site. The extremely thin turbulent boundary layer measured to be 13.9 m near the ground at Dome A enables a free-of-atmosphere observing condition for a telescope on a small tower (Bonner et al. 2010). Some other advantages including the low sky brightness measured in SDSS i band (Zou et al. 2010), the outstanding low cloud coverage compared to other astronomical sites (Zou et al. 2010), and the extremely low atmospheric water vapor content (Sims et al. 2012b). Additionally, the airglow and aurorae at Dome A within optical and near-IR range during the 2009 winter season have been characterized by Sims et al. (2012b), and only 2% of the time during 2008 winter season (Solar minimum) have shown strong aurorae events in i-band (Zou et al. 2010). Dome A also has exceptional transmission and multi-day persistent superlative observing conditions in the Terahertz regime (Yang et al. 2010).

Time-series photometry has long been an essential tool to study the stellar properties as well as other astrophysical phenomena involving time-variant celestial objects. Long-term monitoring of stars to a very high degree of precision probes a wide range of frequencies. Over the last decades, there has been rapid progress in consecutive, high-quality, and high-cadence transiting surveys. Space-based missions including the Swift Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (Gehrels et al. 2004; Roming et al. 2005) is leading the high-energy regime, the CoRoT satellite (Baglin et al. 2006) and the Kepler satellite (Borucki et al. 2010) are searching for Earth-size planets and performing asteroseismology on the field stars. A summary of ground-based untargeted transient and variable surveys can be found at the Table 1 in Rau et al. (2009).

Consecutive monitoring for as long as months is not possible in single mid-latitude astronomical observatories. While space-based transient surveys achieve better accuracy due to the extremely low atmospheric absorption, turbulence, and light pollution, ground-based sites offer advantages such as unlimited cadence, flexible pointing, and the feasibility of following brighter targets. Taking the advantages of the long “winter night” as well as other remarkable observation conditions at Dome A, time-series carried out by small telescopes have already obtained data with high quality, opening a window for asteroseismology at Antarctica plateau sites.

Previous works demonstrated that high photometric accuracy can be acquired by small aperture telescopes at Dome A. The first and comprehensive studies on asteroseismology and stellar physics at Dome A Antarctica have been conducted by the 14.5 cm diameter Chinese Small Telescope ARRay (CSTAR, Yuan et al. 2008), which monitoring an area around the South Celestial Pole since 2008. The nature of the CSTAR wide field design (FOV of 4.5° × 4.5° and the
absence of sidereal tracking system introduced significant systematic uncertainties into stellar
photometry. Various efforts have been made to reduce the systematic errors and to push the
photometric precision below a few mmag, including the modeling of the inhomogeneous effects
of clouds (Wang et al. 2012), the ghost images (Meng et al. 2013), and the systematic diurnal
residuals (Wang et al. 2014b). Based on the detrended light curves obtained during the 2008
winter season, comprehensive studies on exoplanet candidates (Wang et al. 2014a), stellar vari-
ability (Wang et al. 2015), eclipsing binaries (Yang et al. 2015), and stellar flares (Liang et al.
2016) have been carried out. Other independent studies include the variable sources Wang et al.
(2011, 2013); Oelkers et al. (2015), and specific studies on the pulsation modes of RR Lyrae
(Huang et al. 2015) and δ Scuti variables (Zong et al. 2015) based on the CSTAR observations
in single or multiple years from 2008, 2009, and 2010; the variable stars observed during 2012
winter season (Li et al. 2015) with a single unit of the Antarctic Survey Telescopes (AST3,
Yuan et al. 2014). In addition, time-series photometry studies have also been conducted at
Dome C Antarctica, for instance, careful time-series multi-color photometry to study the stellar
pulsation and evolution using the Photometer AntarcIca eXtinction (PAIX, Chadid et al. 2010,
2014, 2016).

These transient surveys at Antarctic sites with ∼10 cm class and larger telescopes have measured
the stars within a magnitude range of ∼8 to ∼15 with a FOV of ∼ 20 square degrees. The multi-
band ultra-wide FOV imaging obtained by Gattini-Dome A camera during the 2009 winter
season is also a valuable dataset considering its continuous monitoring of the flux variation of
the 3-7 magnitude stars. Multi-band photometric results for bright targets obtained during the
2009 winter season by the Gattini-Dome A camera will be discussed in a future paper.

In this paper, we analyze a multi-wavelength dataset collected at Dome A, Antarctica, during the
2009 winter season. We have measured and calibrated the sky brightness in three photometric
bands. We estimate the transparency variations and perform aperture photometry for those
targets in the V magnitude range ∼3.5 to ∼7.5. We focus on targets in the sky south of
declination −50°. The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we describe the
instrument and observations. Section 3 discusses data reduction pipeline. In Section 4 we give
our results, and in Section 5 our conclusions.

2. Importance of Sky Background Measurements
When doing astronomical photometry, the ideal output is to determine the brightness of indi-
vidual celestial objects. However, various sources including the scattered light from the Sun, the
Moon, the aurora and airglow, will contaminate the flux from the astronomical sources. A sum-
mary of the contributions to the light of the night sky has been provided by Roach & Gordon
(1973) and Kenyon & Storey (2006). Considering the case of aperture photometry, the total flux
integrated over the circular aperture can be expressed as \( \text{Flux} = \text{Source} + \text{Sky} + \text{RN}^2 + \text{Dark} \). The terms on the right-hand side are the source counts enclosed by the aperture from a celestial object, the sky background, the readout noise \((\text{RN}^2)\) , and the electron counts from the dark current, respectively. Due to the discrete nature of the electric charge, a Poisson process models the counting process of the photons, and the error is given by the square root of the total counts. By adding the noise terms in quadrature, the signal-to-noise (S/N) for object measured in aperture with a radius \(r\) can be written as: 

\[
\frac{\text{S/N}}{\text{Source}} = \frac{\text{Source}}{\sqrt{\text{Source} + \text{Sky} + \text{RN}^2 + \text{Dark}}}
\]

In a sky limited case, i.e., \(\sqrt{\text{Sky}} > 3\text{RN}\), \(\frac{\text{S/N}}{\text{Source}} \approx \frac{\text{Source}}{\sqrt{\text{Sky}}}\). The temporal variation of the sky background significantly influences the efficiency and detection capability of ground-based astronomical facilities, especially in the low S/N regime.

Given the critical role played by sky brightness in astronomical site selection, the measurement of the background light of the sky has been carried out for over a century using photographic plates, photomultiplier tubes, and modern digital detectors. A classic monograph on the subject is *The Light of the Night Sky* by Roach & Gordon (1973). A comprehensive summary of the published sky background statistics is given by Benn & Ellison (1998). Some useful background can also be found in papers by Walker (1988), Krisciunas (1997), Patat (2003), Krisciunas et al. (2007), and references therein.

The daily and monthly variations of the sky brightness at the high-altitude Antarctic Dome A site are expected to be different than mid-latitude sites. It can be shown that the angle of solar elevation, \(\alpha\), can be approximated in terms of the Solar declination angle at a given date, \(\delta(d)\), the hour angle of observation at a given time during the day, \(h(t)\), and the observer’s latitude, \(\phi\):

\[
\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left[ \sin \delta(d) \sin \phi + \cos \delta(d) \cosh(t) \cos \phi \right] \quad (1)
\]

The declination angle can be calculated by:

\[
\delta = 23^\circ.45 \times \sin \left[ \frac{2\pi}{365} \times (d + 284) \right] \quad (2)
\]

Where \(d\) is the day of the year with January 1st as \(d = 1\); given \(\phi = -80^\circ 22'\) of Dome A site, on the summer solstice \((d = 172)\), the Sun obtains its lowest mean altitude over a sidereal day, i.e., \(h(t)\) from 0\(^{hr}\) to 24\(^{hr}\), \(\alpha\) from \(-13^\circ .8\) to \(-33^\circ .1\). Therefore, even continual darkness can be expected during the winter season at Antarctic sites, however, the latitude of Dome A never allows the Sun to stay more than 18\(^\circ\) below the horizon for an entire sidereal day. The twilight due to the scattered light from the Sun and the Moon without the additional scattering by clouds need to be modeled to better understand the scattering effect of the atmosphere at Dome A. For other sites, Krisciunas & Schaefer (1991) present a model of the \(V\)-band sky brightness when there is moonlight. Liu et al. (2003) also present a model of the brightness of moonlight as a function of lunar phase angle and elevation above the horizon. A much more advanced
moonlight model, based on spectra taken at Cerro Paranal, Chile, is presented by Jones et al. (2013).

3. INSTRUMENT AND OBSERVATIONS

3.1. Project goals
The multi-band sky brightness at Dome A, as well as the sky brightness among a large area of the night sky, are unknown quantities. The Gattini project was created to unambiguously measure the optical sky brightness within an incredibly large $90^\circ \times 90^\circ$ Field-Of-View (FOV), as well as the cloud coverage and aurora of the winter-time sky above such a high-altitude Antarctic site. The Gattini-Dome A All-Sky Camera (GASC, Moore et al. 2008) was installed on the PLATO (PLATeau Observatory) instrument module, which is an automated self-powered astrophysical observatory deployed to Dome A (Yang et al. 2009), as part of the Chinese-led expedition to the highest point on the Antarctic plateau in January 2008. This single automated wide-field camera contains a suite of Bessell photometric filters ($B, V, R$) and a long-pass red filter for the detection and monitoring of OH emission. We have in hand one complete winter-time dataset (2009) from the camera that was returned in April 2010. The extremely large FOV of the GASC allows us to monitor the night sky brightness in the $B, V,$ and $R$ photometric bands and the cloud cover beginning in the 2009 winter season at Dome A over a wide range ($\sim 30^\circ$ to $0^\circ$) of zenith angles. Multi-band sky intensities measured by GASC in combination with spectra obtained with the NIGEL instrument (Sims et al. 2010) will offer more comprehensive statistics on aurora and airglow. In addition, photometry of bright target stars in the GASC FOV with an unprecedented temporal window function is permitted by months of continual darkness during the Antarctic winter. An overview of the multi-band GASC FOV is shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. Dome A Camera design and assembly
The Gattini Dome A All-sky camera was a novel low-cost pathfinder that ambitiously set out to measure the multi-year sky properties of one of the most remote and desolate sites on the planet. It was assembled at Caltech during 2008, and consists of a Nikon 10.5 mm f/2.8 GED DX fisheye lens mated to an Apogee Alta U4000 2K×2K interline camera, and filter wheel with an assortment of photometric filters. The system is housed inside a heated enclosure and controlled by a rugged PC based supervisor system. The project cost, including labor for fabrication and test but excluding logistical costs, was approximately $50k (US 2008). The system was pointing near the SCP, without guiding or field rotation. The system gives a mean plate scale of approximately $150''$ pixel$^{-1}$, about $147''$ pixel$^{-1}$ near the center of the FOV and $\sim 155''$ pixel$^{-1}$ near the edges of the FOV. The entire field is about $85^\circ \times 85^\circ$. In between the lens and the camera is a 5-position filter wheel containing Bessell $B, V,$ and $R$ filters (Bessell 1990). The remaining two slots are for a long pass red filter ($\lambda > 650$ nm) for the study of airglow (OH).
emission and an opaque mask for dark current tests.

The heat permits successful operation of the off-the-shelf camera as well as preventing and eradicating ice on the window surface. A conductive indium tin oxide coating was employed on the window surface as a method of de-icing. However, it was found that when operational this was not sufficient to remove ice that was deposited in large amounts due to the surface wind. Some images showed very few sources over a small consecutive period of time, ranging from hours up to $\sim 3$ days. It is not possible to determine whether the lack of astronomical sources was caused by ice and frost formed on the cover window, or because of the atmosphere. The internal heating was sufficient to keep the window ice-free during the rest of the winter period.

The experiment was controlled by a low-power computer in an electronics rack inside the PLATO module. The continuous observation was operationally simple and repeated this sequence: $B$-band (100 s and 30 s), $V$-band (100 s and 30 s), $R$-band (100 s and 30 s), $OH$-band as a long pass red filter (100 s and 30 s), 100 s dark, and bias frame. During periods of bright twilight at the beginning and the end of the 2009 winter season, the camera adjusted its exposure time to adapt to the sky counts, or truncated integrations to prevent over-exposure.

### 3.3. The 2009 Data Set

The full dataset contains approximately 160,000 images obtained from 2009-04-18 to 2009-10-10. Unfortunately, the images obtained before 2009-05-19 were affected by snow over more than one-third of the FOV. Considering the unknown transmission and reflection caused by the anisotropic snow coverage, those images were discarded. Additionally, the sky became continuously bright due to the Sun after 2009-09-18, so we excluded from the data reduction all the images obtained after that date. Images obtained prior to that date, however, with Sun’s elevation angle greater than $-10^\circ$ and median count rate above a certain threshold, have also been excluded. Images with a 100 second exposure time have been used to inspect and calibrate the sky brightness. Approximately 11925 frames were obtained in each photometric band for each exposure time between 2009-05-19 and 2009-09-18 ($\sim 123$ days), resulting in a total of 331.25 hours of 100 s exposures in each filter. The typical cadence for the $B$, $V$, and $R$ band 100 s exposures sequences gives $\sim 737$ s.

### 3.4. Instrumental Effects

GASC was set out to measure the multi-year sky properties of one of the most remote and desolate sites on the planet. Given the nature of the wide field design, combined with an interline CCD and no sidereal tracking system, non-negligible effects needed to be modeled to process the data effectively. These effects are summarized as follows.
3.4.1. Absence of a Sidereal Tracking System

The camera was mounted in a heated enclosure, with fixed pointing in the direction of the SCP. The absence of a mechanical tracking system, together with an extremely large FOV, produces stellar images that exhibit a different Point Spread Function (PSF) at different positions throughout the FOV. Over the course of the 100 s exposures the stars produced elongated circular tracks owing to the Earth’s rotation. This effect is most obvious for stars furthest from the exact location of the SCP, which was close to the center of the GASC FOV. The observed largest elongations are $\sim 6$ pixels in the $X$ direction and $\sim 6$ pixels in the $Y$ direction for each 100s exposure frames.

3.4.2. Angle between the Optical Axis and the South Celestial Pole

The optical axis of the camera was closely aligned with the SCP, while the horizontal axis of the cover window of the heated enclosure was fixed to be aligned with the zenith. Because stars obtain different zenith angles as a result of the travel along declination circles, both the optical path length through the material of the cover window and the thickness of the Earth’s atmosphere changes with stellar azimuths and elevation angles, introducing a periodic, asymmetrical variation of the stellar light curves, even for stars of constant brightness. Additionally, since the GASC camera was pointing near the SCP, while the cover window was pointing at the zenith, the $\sim 10^\circ$ offset between the SCP and zenith introduced transmission differences as the light passed through the cover window. A schematic of this set-up is presented in Figure 2.

3.4.3. Vignetting

In large field astronomical images, as well as photography and optics, vignetting causes a reduction of flux at the periphery compared to the image center. In the optical design of GASC, vignetting was necessary to minimize the scattered light from the Moon when it is above the horizon. However, vignetting also significantly reduces both the flux from the stars and from the sky background, especially at the edges of the GASC FOV.

3.4.4. Interline Transfer Sensor

The detector situated behind the multi-band filter wheel is a 2K×2K interline transfer CCD. It has a parallel register that has been subdivided into two stripes to create opaque storage register fits between each pair of columns of pixels. These opaque masks occupy a large portion of the area of the CCD. Although micro-lenses have been annealed to the CCD that focus light from a large area down to the photo-diode, when light beams are incident at large angles, the micro-lens array will fail to direct all the photons directly down to the photo-diode. For this reason, the CCD is less sensitive to some incident directions of light. As the stars move around the SCP this effect will cause periodic fluctuations in the resulting light curves. The amplitude
of those variations is strongly correlated with a star’s angular distance from the SCP. For the GASC optical system, this effect can reach $\sim 0.2$ magnitude. Further test and analysis will be presented in Section 4.4.3.

4. Data Reduction

GASC has a FOV approximately $85^\circ \times 85^\circ$, and the absence of a mechanical system for tracking will lead to star trails on the CCD over the course of the exposures. The instrument is fixed in orientation and stars sweep out circular arcs centered on the South Pole every sidereal day. The illumination response of the GASC across the large FOV is highly varying, in cases up to 30% variation from the center to the edge of the field, due to inherent qualities of the fish-eye lens and due to mechanical baffling introduced to minimize the scattering of light due to the moon. In addition, there are sidereal variations on the order of 0.2 magnitudes, due to instrumental effects expanded in Sections 3.4. A custom data reduction pipeline is comprised of a set of routines written in IDL that processes the $\sim 11925$ raw sky frames for each filter band and produces calibrated sky brightness measurements. The pipeline by necessity also produces calibrated light curves of all the stars brighter than $\sim 7.5$ in $V$. An overview of the essential steps is presented in Figure 3. Each step is detailed in the respective sub-section below.

4.1. Pre-reduction

The overscan region of each frame was subtracted to remove the consequences of any voltage variations. In each half-day period of observation, a “master bias frame” was made by combining single overscan-subtracted bias frames. For each half-day period of observation, this “master bias frame” has been subtracted from the data frames to remove the internal bias structure across the chip. The internal temperature variations within the heated enclosure may lead to implied (and artificial) variations of the sky brightness as well as the photometry of bright targets. We tested the possibility that the enclosure temperature and CCD temperature affect the photometric magnitudes by calculating any possible cross-correlations between the enclosure temperature, the CCD temperature, together with typical light curves for bright stars in the GASC FOV during the entire 2009 winter season. No correlations between any pairs of those factors have been identified, indicating a stable work state of GASC during the 2009 winter season and a reasonable bias subtraction technique.

Acquisition of usable sky flats for this type of system is difficult to perform on the sky, due to the non-tracking capability of the system and the sheer size of the FOV. We measured the flat field illumination properties of the GASC with a uniform illumination screen after the system was returned to Caltech from the Dome A. A multi-band lab flat shows that the optical center of the lens is, fortuitously, coincident with the SCP. For each photometric bandpass, a
fourth order polynomial has been directly applied to fit the lab flat. The lab flat was used as a method to remove global transmission variations across the field, whereas, pixel-to-pixel variations were removed by compiling a sky reference flat. The pixel-to-pixel variations turn out to be negligible (less than \( \sim 0.3\% \)) when compared to the photometric accuracy GASC is able to achieve. However, it was not able to remove the remaining \( \lesssim 0.2 \text{ mag} \) variations that were removed by the “ring correction” technique, which will be discussed in Section 4.3.

4.2. Image Profiles and Astrometry

The DAOFIND and APPHOT packages within IRAF\(^1\) were used to detect and perform photometry on approximately 2600 bright stars in the GASC FOV, most of which are between 3.5 to 7.5 magnitude in \( V \). Without tracking, stars trail along concentric rings around the SCP and present elongated, curved PSFs on each frame. Figure 4 presents the typical profiles of stars at different distances to the SCP.

The astrometry routine adopted in the GASC data reduction pipeline makes use of the almost-polar location of the instrument. We derotated the physical coordinates of the sources in each image relative to known reference images.

To reduce the uncertainty caused by distortion and increase the accuracy of matching, as reference frames we selected 20 high quality frames equally spaced in time over one entire rotation cycle (i.e. one sidereal day). Given the time of exposure of any other frames, all stellar coordinates can be obtained by rotating those 20 templates within \( \pm 9 \) degrees. This provided a time economical solution for performing the astrometry required by the GASC science goals on the \( \sim 36,000 \) sample images. An overview of the GASC FOV and field stars used to perform aperture photometry is shown in Fig. 5.

4.3. Ring Correction

Due to the combined effect of the presence of the cover window and the different response of the interline transfer CCD to different incident angles, light curves for \( \sim 2600 \) stars imaged in the GASC FOV show asymmetrical sidereal fluctuations. The amplitude of this variation grows as the distance of stars to the SCP increases. We looked at the behavior of bright, isolated stars which sweep out concentric rings in the GASC FOV. As the “standard stars” have higher S/N, a weighted combination of their light curves gives us feedback on the entire optical system. This feedback, however, also applies for all the other stars with a lower S/N.

\(^1\)IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation (NSF).
Here we introduce a “ring correction” to remove the residual instrumental effects, to the order of ±0.2 mag in the raw photometry. The methodology is to consider the features of the light curves for bright stars that have similar distances from the SCP, as they sweep out paths along the same ring with different hour angles but similar declination. The systematic light curve features do not change drastically as slightly different radii. The GASC FOV has been subdivided into 10 concentric rings, each with a width of 100 pixels with an exception of 60 pixels for the outermost ring. Figure 6 shows the concentric rings dividing the GASC FOV. Within each ring we investigated the behavior of standard stars which are non-variable stars with $V \sim 3.5 - 5.5$, mapped the gradient of its flux variation over different position angles (PA) on the CCD chip relative to the SCP, i.e., $d\text{Flux}/d\text{PA}$. Then, we combined the gradients calculated from each standard star at each $PA$ over a continuous run of observations under good weather conditions, and applied a spline interpolation to obtain a gradient map over that ring. We then integrate over the $PA$ and convert the integrated flux into a magnitude. This produces a phase diagram of magnitude variations within each ring, representing systematic behavior of the stars as they trail along certain rings of the CCD chip.

We refer to this procedure as the “ring correction”. The light curve corrections for all the other stars can be obtained by subtracting the “ring correction” after proper time phase matching. The “ring corrections” have been built based on a 4-day continuous run of high-quality data obtained from 04:25 UT on 2009-06-22 to 03:47 UT on 2009-06-26. This has been applied successfully to the data obtained during the entire season. The “ring corrections” for typical stars within each one of the ten rings are shown in Fig. 7, and they work well for most of the cases. Additionally, the $\sigma-$ magnitude diagram, after applying both the pseudo-star correction and the ring corrections is shown in Fig. 8. For instance, we obtained $\sim 3\%$ photometric accuracy for stars with apparent magnitude $V \approx 5.5$. In summary, with the ring correction procedure completed, the light curves have been corrected for instrumental effects that cause intensity variations across the field and as a function of time.

4.4. Calibration for Sky Brightness

4.4.1. Determination of Catalog Magnitude

This step of the GASC data reduction pipeline converts instrumental magnitudes to catalog magnitudes. Furthermore, the sky brightness can be determined by applying this offset to the GASC measured sky flux. If we define the “radius” of each star as its distance from the SCP (pixel coordinates $X = 1063$, $Y = 972$), we find that the amplitude of the daily fluctuation in a star’s light curve depends on (1) its radius, (2) observing bandpass, as shown in Fig. 9, together with (3) the mean value of the difference between the standard star’s catalog and instrumental magnitude. As radius increases, the more stable the mean difference between a standard star’s catalog and instrumental magnitude, the less affected the standard star’s flux is.
due to instrumental effects.

The upper panel in Fig. 9 shows a stable trend of the mean difference between standard stars’ catalog and instrumental magnitudes in the V- and R-bands, which means that as stars travel around in GASC FOV, though the distance to the SCP varies for different stars, it is still reasonable to treat the brightest magnitude in one cycle as the true instrumental magnitude of that star. Instrumental effects become more significant near the edges of the FOV. Strong geometrical distortions, as well as the large incident angle near the edges of the FOV, will cause an unexpected and non-negligible reduction of the flux transmitted through the optical system. Giving special consideration to the case of the B- and V-bands, we set a cutoff radius of 700 pixels, corresponding to $\sim 30^\circ$ from the SCP, and we use all the standard stars within this radius to calibrate the sky brightness.

We rely on the linearity of the CCD and minimize the $\chi^2$ value of the fit using the offset between the standard stars’ photometric magnitudes and their catalog magnitudes. We consider data only within 700 pixels of the SCP and weight by the area of each ring. This gives us our multi-band sky brightness measures at Dome A calibrated by the standard stars. Once GASC was shipped back to Caltech, we performed tests at Palomar Observatory. Table 1 gives the $BVR$ photometric offsets from instrumental to calibrated values. The details of the Palomar GASC test are discussed in the next section. The offset in the constant term between Palomar and Dome A calibration model was due to the absence of the cover window in the Palomar test and the different exposure times between two observation epochs.

4.4.2. Determination of Photometric conditions

Variations of global transparency, including weather changes, possibly snow and frost formed in front of the enclosure’s cover window, will dramatically affect many quantities in measuring sky brightness, the fraction of the sky covered by cloud, as well as photometry of bright sources. This global effect can be subtracted off by introducing a “pseudo-star”, with a count rate $f^p$ and instrumental magnitude $m^p = -2.5 \times \log_{10} f^p$, which has been constructed from the observed counts of 2600 target stars in each frame according to:

$$f^p_i = \frac{f_{i,j}}{(\sigma^r_{j})^2 + (\sigma_{i,j})^2}, \quad m^p = -2.5 \times \log_{10} f^p + ZP^P$$

(3)

where $i$ is the frame number in the observing sequence and $j$ is the star number in each frame. $\sigma^r_{j}$ gives the standard deviation of the residuals in counts for the $j^{th}$ star after the ring correction during the 4-day continuous run of high-quality data obtained from 04:25 UT on June 22 to 03:47 UT on June 26, $\sigma_{i,j}$ gives the measured photometric error for the $j^{th}$ star in the $i^{th}$ observation, $ZP^P$ is the zero point for instrumental magnitude and assigned to be 25. We subtract $m^p$ from the rough photometric results to remove the global variations in the entire
GASC FOV. Furthermore, the variation of the pseudo-star can be an indicator of transparency variations and further used to estimate the cloud coverage. A more detailed discussion will be presented in the following sections.

4.4.3. GASC Test at Palomar Observatory

To test the quality of GASC measurements and the calibration of sky brightness, another experiment intended to measure and calibrate the sky brightness at an astronomical site was implemented at Palomar Mountain Observatory. The sky at Palomar during a moonless night is sufficiently dark to check the Dome A measurements. The Palomar Night Sky Brightness Monitor (NSBM)\(^2\) allows a real-time comparison between the night sky brightness measured by the two different instruments. The Palomar NSBM consists of two units deployed at Palomar Observatory. A remote photometer head and a base station receive data from the remote head via a wireless spread-spectrum transceiver pair. The remote head has two photometers that sample areas of the sky \(\sim 5.6^\circ\) in diameter at two elevation angles. The photodetectors used to measure the sky brightness receive filtered light to define a spectral response centered in the visual range, with a strong cutoff in the near infrared.

One unit of the NSBM uses a 1.5-cm diameter photodetector, which measures the brightness of the sky \(\sim 5.6^\circ\) in diameter at the zenith. Without rejecting stellar contaminants the mean value for this region is taken to represent the night sky brightness. The output data from the NSBM consists of the measured frequency and ambient temperature of each sensor. The sky brightness is calculated as\(^3\):

\[
\text{Zenith magnitude} = -2.5 \log_{10}(\text{Zenith reading} - 0.012) + ZP. \tag{4}
\]

The detector output frequency (in Hertz) constitutes the raw data, as the NSBM uses a light to frequency converter. The dark frequency to be subtracted for the zenith is 0.012 Hz. The zero point of the NSBM system adjusted to the National Parks System from one night’s data (4 July 2013), is 19.41 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) and for a band comparable to the Johnson V-band is 18.89.

Fig. 10 shows the time variations of the sky brightness measured by NSBM and GASC. The sky brightness measured by two different instruments, with two completely different calibration methods agrees overall to \(\sim 0.12\) mag arcsec\(^{-2}\).

A separate test was conducted at Palomar to show that the camera orientation, specifically the azimuth angle of the camera, results in a variation in the magnitudes of bright stars. This test

\(^2\)http://www.sao.arizona.edu/FLWO/SBM/SBMreport_McKenna_Apr08.pdf

\(^3\)Zenith readings are available at: [http://www.palomar.caltech.edu:8000/maintenance/darksky/index.tcl](http://www.palomar.caltech.edu:8000/maintenance/darksky/index.tcl)
used exposures taken very close to one another in time. The results of this test confirmed the variations we see in the original data.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Sources of Sky Brightness

Artificial light pollution is essentially nonexistent at Dome A, Antarctica. The main contribution to the sky background is usually from the atmospheric scattering of the light from the Sun and the Moon. At Dome A (80° 22′ S, 77° 21′ E) there is some twilight time even on the first day of the southern winter, as the Sun is roughly −13.8° below the horizon at local noontime. The closer the Sun is to the horizon at local noontime on other days of the year, the greater will be the variation of the sky brightness, even on days when the Sun does not rise and set.

Airglow persistently provides photon emission and gives the dominant component of the optical and near-IR night sky brightness \cite{BennEllison1998}. The Antarctic sites such as Dome A, however, are particularly prone to aurora that can be extremely bright in the optical passbands. Broadband filters and low resolution spectrographs covering the auroral lines are sufficiently likely to be contaminated by strong emission lines from aurorae, i.e., the $\text{N}_2$ second positive (2P) and $\text{N}_2^+$ first negative (1N) bands dominating the $U$ and $B$ bands, the $\text{[O I]}$ 557.7 nm emission dominating the $V$ band, and the $\text{N}_2$ first positive (1P), $\text{N}_2^+$ Meinel (M) and $\text{O}_2$ atmospheric bands dominating the $R$ and $I$ bands \cite{GattingerJones1974,JonesGattinger1975}. Customized filters or spectrographs with a moderately high resolving power can minimize the contamination from aurora and airglow emissions. We refer to \cite{Simsetal2012b} for a more comprehensive review of airglow and aurorae as dominant sources of sky brightness in Antarctica sites.

Diffuse light from the Milky Way Galaxy could also contribute to the sky brightness. The Galactic Latitude $b$ of the SCP is $-27^\circ.4$, and part of the Galactic plane was included in the GASC FOV. The plate scale of GASC is approximately 147” per pixel, and the sub-pixel stellar contamination needs to be calculated and removed from the measured sky brightness data. Airglow, zodiacal light, and aurorae also contribute to the sky brightness. The intensity and frequency of occurrence of aurorae depend upon the solar activity. \cite{Rayleigh1928} and \cite{RayleighJones1935} were the first to note a correlation between the sky brightness and the 11-year solar cycle. This is due to the airglow being brighter at solar maximum and fainter at solar minimum \cite{Krisciunas1997,Krisciunasetal2007}. The 10.7-cm radio flux of the Sun is widely used as an index of solar activity. The 2009 winter season occurred during solar minimum, so the sky at Dome A should have been as dark as other sites at solar minimum, or $B \approx 22.8$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ and $V \approx 21.8$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. We do not expect that the Dome A measurements of 2009 are significantly affected by auroral events.
An approach to determine the sky brightness and estimate the cloud cover is given in the following subsections. Due to the extremely wide FOV and the fisheye optical design of GASC, scattered light from the edges of the optical system, as well as the reflection and refraction inside the optical system, is inevitable. The actual contribution from the Sun and the Moon cannot be well modeled when the sky becomes too bright. A rough model of the Sun and the Moon’s contribution to the sky brightness will be discussed.

5.2. GASC Measurements of Sky Brightness

The sky brightness is transformed from analog-to-digital units (ADU) into units of mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for each photometric band. The GASC instrumental magnitude is defined as:

$$m_0 = 25 - 2.5 \log_{10}(\text{ADU})$$

(5)

The sky brightness in units of mag arcsec$^{-2}$, which varies from band to band, can be defined as:

$$S_\lambda = a + b \times [25 - 2.5 \times \log_{10}(\text{ADU}/\text{pix}^2)]$$

(6)

Where “pix” is the pixel scale in unit of arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. The constant term in the linear calibration models is $a$, and the coefficient scaling the instrumental magnitude is $b$. In a certain sky region we wish to calibrate, we draw a box and investigate the statistics of the ADU values amongst all the pixels inside. We choose the ‘mode’ value to best represent the sky brightness which is a more stable measurement as it less affected by contamination from the bright sources, the wide-spread PSF of stars due to the GASC optical system, and other unexpected events such as bright local aurorae. However, even the smallest pixel scales in GASC are 147.3 arcsec pix$^{-1}$ near the center of the FOV, corresponding to a box of $2.5' \times 2.5'$ on the sky. The measured sky brightness will inevitably be contaminated by the unresolved faint sources.

We looked at several small regions which lack bright sources to reduce the effect of stellar contamination. For instance, a box centered at RA = 2h24m, DEC = −86°25' and 25×25 pixels in size ($\sim 1' \times 1'$) was inspected. The $B$-band and $R$-band magnitudes of 9550 stars in this region were obtained from the USNO-A2.0 catalog. We estimated a stellar contamination of 24.14 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ in the $B$-band. Using a mean $V$-band contamination of 23.31 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ and a calculated median color of $V - R = 0.4$ mag based on the catalog from Landolt (1992), we estimated the $R$-band contamination to be 22.91 mag arcsec$^{-2}$.

Fig. 11 shows the sky brightness variations during the 2009 observing season. At such a southerly latitude as that of Dome A, the Moon is always fairly full when it is above the horizon from April to August, leading to a strong correlation between lunar elevation and sky brightness (Zou et al. 2010). There is a monthly variation of sky brightness which is strongly correlated with the lunar elevation angle. The GASC sensitivity did not allow data acquisition when the
sky brightness was above a certain threshold. A dramatic enhancement in the sky brightness can be identified by looking at the data obtained late in the 2009 winter season. Fig. 12 is a zoomed-in plot for four consecutive days during the midwinter of 2009. In Fig. 13 the Moon’s contribution is negligible when it is more than 7° below the horizon. However, a variation of the sky brightness of more than 1 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) can be identified which shows a strong correlation with the Sun’s elevation angle.

5.3. Comparison with Sky Brightness at Palomar

Additional tests of GASC were conducted at the Palomar Observatory. The “ring correction” to light curves and the fitting of calibration models only works based on an entire cycle of the track of the stars. This allows the determination of the position within a ring where stars are least affected by instrumental effects. Though it is not feasible to find the maximum transmission for each star cycle from tests at Palomar, we can still point GASC near the zenith and obtain different calibrations based on the instrumental magnitudes measured by GASC and the corresponding catalog magnitudes.

On July 5, 2013, GASC arrived at Palomar Observatory and was reassembled. Two tests were carried out. The first test was to compare GASC-measured sky brightness with Palomar NSBM measurements. We pointed the GASC at the zenith and set the exposure time to 50 seconds for the Bessell B, V, and R filters. The calibration was carried out based on single frames of high image quality for each bandpasses. We used the instrumental magnitudes of the standard stars in one single high-quality frame per filter taken under photometric conditions. This is different than the method used for data obtained at Dome A, where the brightest instrumental magnitudes over the course of a day were adopted as the throughput of the system.

For each star in the FOV of each single exposure, the orientation of its maximum transmit position on the CCD chip is randomly distributed. In order to compare the Palomar calibration with the calibration of Dome A data (whose calibration models have been based on the standard stars’ maximum transmitted flux), we performed another calibration of Dome A data, based on the median instrumental magnitude of each standard star as it tracks during one daily cycle to simulate the calibration that use the stars’ flux at random positions like the Palomar test. By treating either the brightest or the median magnitude of standard stars along complete circles in Dome A data as the instrumental magnitude, an intrinsic offset of \((-8.670) - (-8.564) = -0.11\) magnitude is obtained due to the different measures of instrumental magnitude. The difference

\[4\] Geographical coordinates of Palomar Observatory: latitude 33° 21' 21'' N, longitude 116° 51' 50'' W.

\[5\] For the measurements at Palomar we note a roughly 0.5 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) variation of the sky brightness over the course of the night due to the band of the Milky Way passing overhead.
in the V-band median sky brightness on the night of 5 July 2013 UT at Palomar Observatory, as measured by NSBM and GASC, was \((20.880 - 20.653) = 0.23\) mag arcsec\(^{-2}\). Thus, GASC and NSBM agree within \(-0.11 + 0.23 = 0.12\) mag arcsec\(^{-2}\), and the “ring calibration” method gives a reasonable calibration for the GASC data.

Usually, inland astronomical sites are affected to some degree by artificial light pollution from populous cities. The sky brightness as a function of elevation angle obtained from the Tucson lab sites shows that there is a significant difference in sky brightness between the zenith and 20° elevation (McKenna 2008). At Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory the V-band sky brightness deviates from the model of Garstang (1991) due to light pollution at elevation angles of \(\leq 10^\circ\) in the direction of La Serena (Krisciunas et al. 2010). Without accounting for stellar contamination, Table 2 presents the median sky brightness for different regions at Dome A, Antarctica, during the 2009 winter season, both for the dark time and whole season (the values within parentheses). Five concentric circular areas, of increasing radius and centered at the SCP, were inspected. Though the regions were centered at the SCP instead of the zenith, the approximate 10° offset has been ignored. From Table 2 no significant increase in brightness can be identified as a function of increasing angular radius. This indicates that within 30° of the SCP there is dark sky that remains roughly constant in brightness.

### 5.4. Sun and Moon Model

Liu et al. (2003) modeled the relationship between the sky brightness and the phase and elevation angle of the Moon. Independent to the scattering of light caused by reflection and refraction in the GASC optical system, the B-, V-, and R-band data should exhibit the same functional form relating to the Sun’s and Moon’s effects. We can write:

\[
F_{\text{Sun}} = a 10^{b \theta} + c ,
\]

where \(F_{\text{Sun}}\) gives the sky flux when the Moon’s contribution is negligible, and \(a, b, c\) are constants determined for different bandpasses, \(\theta\) is the elevation angle of the Sun. The multi-band sky brightness has been fitted with a nonlinear least-squares method using the images with good transparency and negligible contributions from the Moon.

The model for the sky surface brightness due to the Moon’s contribution involves factors such as the Earth-Moon distance and the Moon’s phase. Following Liu et al. (2003), the apparent magnitude of the Moon can be approximated by this empirical formula:

\[
V(R, \Phi) = 0.23 + 5 \log_{10} R - 2.5 \log_{10} P(\Phi) .
\]

where \(R\) is the Earth-Moon distance, \(\Phi\) is the lunar phase angle, and \(P(\Phi)\) is the function of the full Moon luminance. Following Zou et al. (2010), we apply the same approach for the sky
surface brightness contribution by the Moon. $F_{\text{Moon}}$ can be expressed as a form of Equation 7 multiplied by the Moon phase factor $P(\Phi)$. Then,

$$F_{\text{Moon}} = AP(\Phi)10^{B\Theta} + C,$$

where $\Theta$ is the elevation angle of the Moon and $A$, $B$, $C$ are constants determined for each bandpass. For a more refined but slightly complicated sky brightness model one can consult Krisiunas & Schaefer (1991). The multi-band sky brightness has been fitted with a nonlinear least-squares method using images with good transparency and negligible contribution from the Sun. The models for the Sun’s and the Moon’s effect are shown in Table 4.

5.5. Astronomical Twilight

When the Sun sets, civil twilight occurs, by definition, when the Sun is $-12^\circ$ below horizon. Astronomical twilight ends when the Sun reaches $-18^\circ$ below the horizon. If the sky brightness changes when the Sun is further below the horizon, it is due to changes in the airglow contribution, aurorae, or stellar contamination. However, the definition of twilight depends not only on the photometric band pass, but also the atmospheric conditions at the site. Fig. 13 shows the relationship between the Sun and the Moon elevation on the sky brightness. The flux from the Moon, however, becomes significant only very close to the time of moonrise. Table 5 roughly shows the quantitative effect of the Sun’s elevation below the horizon on the sky brightness.

Fig. 14 shows the measured sky brightness in $B$, $V$, and $R$ (the top panel). The middle panel shows our model of the solar and lunar contributions to the sky brightness. The bottom panel shows the observed sky brightness minus the contributions of the Sun and Moon from our model. The residuals are predictably flatter because we have subtracted off the contribution of the Moon when it is above the horizon. Theoretically, the contributions of the aurora and airglow can be estimated after properly removing the solar and lunar contributions to the sky background. However, there is still a significant fraction of scattered light that cannot be well modeled within the area of study $20^\circ$ in diameter, especially when the Moon has a higher elevation angle. Hence, we do not provide any quantitative estimate of aurora and airglow in our inspecting area. During the 2009 observing season there were few large enhancements of the sky brightness when the Sun and Moon had low elevation angles. We have minimal evidence of aurorae in our data.

5.6. Extinction, Transparency Variations, and the Estimation of Cloud Cover

The GASC FOV was centered near the SCP and extended to a zenith angle of $40^\circ$. The “air mass” $X$ is the path length through the atmosphere at zenith angle $z$ compared to the path length at the zenith, and $X = \sec(z)$. At $z = 40^\circ$, $X \approx 1.3$. At the far south latitude of Dome A any individual star within $40^\circ$ of the zenith exhibits a small range of zenith angle over
the course of the night. GASC observed many stars at any given time over a range of 0.3 air masses. Moreover, the measurement of atmospheric extinction with GASC data is made more complicated by vignetting, the angular response of the interline sensor, as well as the different paths of light transmission through the cover window.

Atmospheric extinction is expected to be small at Dome A. For reference, at the summit of Mauna Kea, Hawaii (which has a comparable elevation of 4205 m), the mean $B$- and $V$-band extinction values are 0.20 and 0.12 mag airmass$^{-1}$, respectively (Krisciunas et al. 1987). The $R$-band extinction would be lower, about 0.10 mag airmass$^{-1}$. Let $\Delta$ be the difference of the instrumental magnitudes and the catalog magnitudes of stars of known brightness. If the extinction at Dome A is comparable to that at Mauna Kea, over the GASC FOV we would expect $\Delta$ to exhibit a range vs. air mass of roughly 0.06 mag in the $B$-band, 0.04 mag in the $V$-band, and 0.03 mag in the $R$-band. No effect caused by the range of airmass has been detected with GASC data given its photometric accuracy, indicating a smaller atmospheric extinction coefficient at Dome A Antarctica compared to the Mauna Kea.

We used the ”pseudo-star“ described in Section 4.4.2 as an indicator of the relative transparency variations to derive the likelihood of cloud cover at Dome A during the 2009 winter season. The reduction in transparency could be due to clouds, seasonal atmospheric variations, or even ice formed on the entrance transmission window. Some of those pairs of effects can be hardly separated, as they produce the same effect in the change of the transparency. Therefore, our results represent the upper limits to the cloud cover. Fig. 15 shows the transparency and the estimated cloud cover during the 2009 winter season. A long-term variation in transparency inferred from the brightness of the “pseudo-star” is unlikely due to cloud coverage, but is more likely attributable to a seasonal variation of the atmosphere above Dome A. A fifth-order polynomial has been used to fit this long-term trend, and the residuals were used to calculate the upper limit of the cloud coverage. The estimation of the cloud coverage is also based on the “pseudo-star” after applying a correction to this long-term variation. The brightest values of the “pseudo-star” indicate very clear sky with cloud coverage estimated to be 0, and the reduction of the “pseudo star” magnitude, defined as $\Delta m$, was correlated with the cloud coverage as follows:

$$\Delta m = -2.5 \log \frac{\text{flux}_1}{\text{flux}_2} = -2.5 \log (1 - \text{cloud cover}).$$

(10)

We find that the seasonal transparency degraded after June 2009, during which the Sun was furthest below the horizon for the year. This agrees with Zou et al. (2010) to some extent. However, the possibility that such a long-term transparency variation is due to a change in the condition of the instrument cannot be ruled out. Table 6 gives the cloud coverage percentages at Dome A from 2009-05-19 to 2009-09-18. A rough comparison of the cloud coverage at Mauna Kea is given in Table 7. This includes the cloud cover measured at the Gemini North Telescope and
measurements with CSTAR in the $I$-band at Dome A during the 2008 winter season (Zou et al. 2010). CSTAR pointed at the SCP with a FOV of diameter 4.5° while the GASC FOV was 85°. The results from 2008 and 2009 are comparable. At Dome A it is “cloudy” or worse 2% to 3.5% of the time, while at Mauna Kea this number is much higher, 30%. At Dome A there is less than 0.3 mag of extinction 62-67% of the time, while at Mauna Kea the sky is photometric only 50% of the time.

A simple but effectively reliable way to check the cloud coverage estimated from the “pseudo-star” is to look at the original frames for certain fractions of cloud cover. Fig. 16 presents four sample images of cloud coverage of 0, 20, 70, and 95 percent obtained on 2009-06-26 at 01:16:22, 04:10:56, 18:23:18, and 20:54:41 UT. Many images estimated to have high cloud cover in GASC data did not show obvious cloudy patches. Instead, they showed a reduction in transparency over the entire FOV. It is hard to determine whether those extremely low transparency events were due to the sky or ice formation on the entrance window. However, we can look at the sky brightness and the transparency estimated by the pseudo-star to see whether the estimation in transparency biased the sky background. Figure 17 shows the transparency-sky brightness diagram. The lower panel shows that the transparency is independent of the sky brightness in seasonal statistics, indicating our estimation of the cloud coverage based on the pseudo-star is not biased by the different sky background.

5.7. Example Light Curves for Bright Stars

High-precision, high-cadence, non-consecutive time-series photometry serves as one of the major technical requirements for conducting asteroseismology. The search of exoplanets also benefits from high-quality photometric monitoring of stars. Stars within a magnitude range of $\sim$8 to $\sim$15 can be measured with $\sim$10 cm class and larger telescopes, however, consecutive monitoring of stars are even brighter, i.e., 3–7 magnitude, has not been feasible for previous Antarctic observations due to very short time to reach the saturation level of a detector.

Our “ring correction” technique allows us to obtain a dispersion level of $\sim$0.03 mag for stars around 5.5 mag in four consecutive days. This valuable long-term, multi-color, consecutive photometric dataset allows the studies on eclipsing binaries, Cepheids, and other stellar variables. In Figure 18 we briefly present example light curves for a bright eclipsing binary $\zeta$ Phoenicis and a W Vir type Cepheid variable $\kappa$ Pavonis with a short (4-day) and a long (120-day) period, respectively. More than 60 variables have been monitored by the GASC in $B$, $V$, and $R$ band. The multi-band photometric studies of these bright variables will be presented in another paper.

6. Conclusions
In 2009 the Gattini-Dome A All-Sky Camera was deployed at Dome A in Antarctica to monitor the sky background, the variation of atmospheric transparency, and to perform photometry of bright targets in the field with an unprecedented window function. About 36,000 scientific images with 100 second exposure time, covering the Bessell $B$, $V$, and $R$ photometric bands have been used to quantify the $B$-, $V$-, and $R$-band sky brightness, and to estimate the upper limit of cloud coverage. In a subsequent paper, we shall present photometry of more than 60 bright stars in our FOV that show significant variability based on GASC data after applying the method we developed to correct for the systematical error.

The median value of sky brightness when the Sun elevation is less than $-18^\circ$ and the Moon is below the horizon is 22.45 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for $B$-band, 21.40 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for the $V$-band, and 20.56 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for the $R$-band. If we consider a cumulative probability distribution, the darkest 10 percent of the time the $B$-, $V$-, and $R$-band sky brightness is 22.98, 21.86, and 21.68 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, respectively. These are comparable to the values obtained at solar minimum at other best astronomical sites such as Mauna Kea and the observatories in northern Chile. For future instruments operating at Dome A, customized filters or high spectral resolution designs could easily obtain better values on a more routine basis. A test carried out with GASC at Palomar Observatory indicated that the GASC “ring correction” method agrees with the Palomar NSBM within 0.12 mag arcsec$^{-2}$. At Dome A the sky brightness is quite constant within 30$^\circ$ of the SCP.

A “pseudo-star” was constructed based on all the stars over the FOV as an indicator of transparency variations. The cloud coverage during the 2009 winter season has been estimated. We found that the seasonal transparency worsened in June. The transparency changed considerably in June and July when the Sun was at its lowest below the horizon for the year. About 63% of the time there was little or thin cloud coverage, using the same criteria for the cloud coverage adopted at the Gemini North Observatory at Mauna Kea, and also the cloud coverage estimation from CSTAR [Zou et al., 2010].

Solar and lunar models for the flux contributions to the sky background have been fitted, and the different flux enhancements in the sky background for different bandpasses have been obtained. Aurora and airglow are hard to quantify with GASC observations due to limited photometric accuracy and unexpected instrumental effects. A visual inspection of the sky background after removing the solar and lunar contributions indicates a very limited effect of auroral events during the recent solar minimum.
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### Table 1. Calibration Models

| Band | Dome A median mag | Dome A brightest mag | Palomar |
|------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
| B    | $m_{\text{inst}} - 9.02$ | $m_{\text{inst}} - 8.92$ | $m_{\text{inst}} - 9.52$ |
| V    | $m_{\text{inst}} - 8.67$ | $m_{\text{inst}} - 8.56$ | $m_{\text{inst}} - 9.00$ |
| R    | $m_{\text{inst}} - 9.21$ | $m_{\text{inst}} - 9.10$ |         |

### Table 2. Sky Brightness for Different Percentage of Time Value$^a$

| Band | Value | 80% | 50% | 20% | 10% | 5% |
|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
|      | mode  | 21.68 (19.17) | 21.99 (20.91) | 22.22 (21.95) | 22.31 (22.15) | 22.37 (22.26) |
| B    | subtracted | 22.01 (19.20) | 22.45 (21.06) | 22.82 (22.40) | 22.98 (22.70) | 23.10 (22.90) |
|      | corrected | 22.13 (19.32) | 22.57 (21.18) | 22.94 (22.52) | 23.10 (22.83) | 23.22 (23.02) |
|      | subtracted | 20.93 (19.05) | 21.22 (20.61) | 21.48 (21.24) | 21.59 (21.43) | 21.67 (21.56) |
|      | corrected | 20.19 (19.20) | 21.52 (20.83) | 21.84 (21.54) | 21.98 (21.77) | 22.08 (21.93) |
|      | mode  | 20.13 (18.69) | 20.44 (19.91) | 20.75 (20.49) | 20.90 (20.70) | 20.99 (20.85) |
| V    | subtracted | 20.21 (18.71) | 20.56 (19.98) | 20.91 (20.61) | 21.68 (20.85) | 21.20 (21.03) |
|      | corrected | 20.34 (18.54) | 20.68 (20.10) | 21.03 (20.73) | 21.02 (20.97) | 21.15 (21.32) |

$^a$Values without parentheses are for dark time. Values in parentheses are for the whole season.

$^b$mode: the ‘mode’ value amongst all the pixels inside the inspected region; subtracted: the ‘mode’ value subtracted for the stellar contaminations; ‘corrected’: the ‘subtracted’ values further corrected for the offset between the GASC and Palomar NSBM.

### Table 3. Mode of Sky Brightness for Regions of Different Angular Size$^a$

| Diameter (deg) | B     | V     | R     |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 4.6           | 21.92 (20.41) | 21.16 (20.25) | 20.40 (19.65) |
| 20            | 21.90 (20.40) | 21.16 (20.27) | 20.39 (19.66) |
| 40            | 21.90 (20.41) | 21.17 (20.30) | 20.40 (19.69) |
| 60            | 21.96 (20.46) | 21.24 (20.37) | 20.47 (19.77) |

$^a$Values without parentheses are for dark time. Values in parentheses are for the whole season.
**Table 4. Sun and Moon Models for Sky Brightness**

| Band | Sun Model | Moon Model |
|------|-----------|------------|
| B    | $F_{\text{Sun}} = 2.076 \times 10^6 \times 10^{0.342\theta} + 16.283$ | $F_{\text{Moon}} = 118.098 \times P(\Phi)10^{0.017\theta} - 18.544$ |
| V    | $F_{\text{Sun}} = 1.596 \times 10^6 \times 10^{0.360\theta} + 35.463$ | $F_{\text{Moon}} = 151.629 \times P(\Phi)10^{0.015\theta} - 26.084$ |
| R    | $F_{\text{Sun}} = 2.158 \times 10^6 \times 10^{0.353\theta} + 75.622$ | $F_{\text{Moon}} = 232.785 \times P(\Phi)10^{0.013\theta} - 31.993$ |

**Table 5. Sun Elevation Angles Corresponding to Increased Sky Brightness**

| Flux Increase | B | V | R |
|---------------|---|---|---|
| 20%           | -17.2° | -15.0° | -14.7° |
| 50%           | -16.0° | -13.9° | -13.6° |
| 100%          | -15.1° | -13.1° | -12.7° |
| 200%          | -14.2° | -12.2° | -11.9° |

**Table 6. Cloud Cover at Dome A**

| Flux Extinction (mag) | GASC2009 | GASC2009 a | Cstar2008 | Description |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| < 50%                 | > 0.75   | 17.2%      | 19.9%      | 9%          | Thick       |
| 50% – 75%             | 0.31 – 0.75 | 19.4%      | 27.2%      | 17%         | Intermediate|
| 75% – 90%             | 0.11 – 0.31 | 29.1%      | 42.1%      | 23%         | Thin        |
| > 90%                 | < 0.11   | 34.3%      | 10.8%      | 51%         | Little or none |

*Values obtained without correcting for the long-term transparency variation.*

**Table 7. Cloud Cover Compared to Mauna Kea**

| Description       | Extinction (mag) | Mauna Kea | DomeA (GASC2009) | Dome A (GASC2009) a | Dome A (Cstar2008) |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Any other usable  | > 3              | 10%       | 1.0%             | 1.1%                | 0%                 |
| Cloudy            | 2 – 3            | 20%       | 2.5%             | 2.8%                | 2%                 |
| Patchy cloud      | 0.3 – 2          | 20%       | 34.2%            | 45.1%               | 31%                |
| Photometric       | < 0.3            | 50%       | 62.4%            | 51.0%               | 67%                |

*Values obtained without correcting for the long-term transparency variation.*
Fig. 1.— Multi-band images obtained by GASC on 2009-06-21. The upper left, upper right, lower right, and lower left panels present the in $B$-, $V$-, $R$-, and OH-band images, respectively. The Milky Way runs from the top middle towards the lower right in each panel, and the LMC and SMC can be identified in lower left quadrant of each panel.

Fig. 2.— Schematic diagram showing the set-up of GASC.
Fig. 3.— Flow chart showing the customized GASC data reduction pipeline.

Fig. 4.— Typical profiles of stars at different distances to the SCP.
Fig. 5.— The stellar field in the GASC FOV obtained on 2009-06-22. The left panel shows the central FOV and the right panel shows one corner of the FOV. Sources chosen to perform aperture photometry have been circled by $r = 4$ pixel apertures. The images were taken in defocussed mode to account for the huge pixel scale. The right panel shows significant star tracks near the corner of the FOV due to the Earth’s rotation.

Fig. 6.— Concentric rings dividing the GASC FOV. The + marks the physical position of the zenith on the GASC FOV.
Fig. 7.— The “ring corrections” for $V$-band light curves for 10 different annuli are shown as 10 sub-figures. Each panel represents an annulus width of 100 pixels in radius. The upper sub-panels represent the output light curves after applying the ring corrections. In the lower sub-panels, the gray dots represent the input light curves before applying the corrections, and the red symbols represent the models of corrections within each corresponding radius range.

Fig. 8.— Photometric errors vs. stellar brightness. From left to right we show the photometric accuracy in the Bessell $B$, $V$, $R$-bands, respectively, after applying the “ring corrections.” The photometric uncertainties were calculated from data obtained on 4 consecutive days.
Fig. 9.— A radius-magnitude offset diagram for the “ring correction” for different radii. The offset between standard stars’ catalog magnitude and instrumental magnitude has been calculated based on two different considerations of instrumental magnitude. The results are based on the median values of all the standard stars’ brightest (represented by solid lines) and median (represented by dashed lines) magnitudes during a sidereal day. The lower panel shows radius-amplitude diagrams for the “ring correction” in different annuli. A significant increase in amplitude occurs if the radius is increased from 700 pixels to 800 pixels. A vertical dashed line and the shaded region indicate the 700 pixel radius cut-off for stars to be used for calibration.

Fig. 10.— Palomar night sky brightness measured and calibrated by NSBM (red dots) and GASC (black dots) on UT 07-05-2013.
Fig. 11.— Multi-band sky brightness within a 1 square degree region near the SCP, as well as the Sun’s and Moon’s elevation during the 2009 winter season. The upper and lower left panels present the time series while the top and bottom right-hand panels show the histograms. The results for the Bessell $B$-, $V$-, and $R$-bands are represented by blue, green, and red symbols, respectively. In the right panels, the histograms with solid thick lines represent the statistics for sky brightness during dark time, when the solar elevation angle is less than $-18^\circ$ and lunar elevation angle is less than $0^\circ$. Stellar contamination has already been removed by subtracting the contribution of a total of 9550 stars in the inspection area. Their magnitudes were obtained from the USNO A-2.0 catalog.

Fig. 12.— A four-day subset of data shown in Fig. 11 from 04:25 UT on 2009-06-22 through 03:47 UT on 2009-06-26. When the Moon is many degrees below the horizon, the daily variation of sky brightness is dominated by the elevation of the Sun.
Fig. 13.— Multi-band sky brightness vs. the Sun and Moon elevation. The upper panels show the measurements in mag arcsec$^{-2}$ while the lower panels show the data as ADU’s per square arcsec. The left-hand panels show the relation between the sky brightness and the elevation angle of the Sun together with the model from Equation 7. Only the data with Moon elevation less than 0° have been included. The right panels show the relation between the sky brightness and the elevation of the Moon. Only the data with Sun elevation less than $-18^\circ$ have been included.

Fig. 14.— Application of the sky brightness models to correct the effects of the Sun and the Moon. Top panel: Measured sky brightness in ADU’s per square arcsec. Middle panel: Our Sun and Moon model in the same units. Bottom panel: Data from the top panel minus the Sun and Moon model shown in the middle panel.
Fig. 15.— The atmospheric transparency estimated from the “pseudo-star” after correction of the long-term transparency variations. The black dots in the top panel are intentionally plotted with a small range of brightness of the pseudo-star. The red curve is a polynomial fit to the upper envelope and shows a long-term trend in the atmospheric transparency. The middle panel shows the variation of the “pseudo-star” after removing the seasonal transparency variation. The lower panel shows the time-series diagram of the implied cloud cover, with a histogram of the cloud cover data at the right. All magnitudes are uncalibrated instrumental magnitudes.

Fig. 16.— Four sample images showing cloudless sky, 20 percent cloud cover, 70 percent cloud cover and 95 percent cloud cover, from left to right, respectively.
Fig. 17.— The $V$-band sky brightness derived from the median ADU’s within a 20° circle centered at the SCP vs. the transparency (upper panel). The blue and red dots represent the sky brightness for the entire season and during the dark time, respectively. The lower panel shows the normalized histograms for the $V$-band sky brightness. The blue asterisks with red dashed lines show the ratio of the bin counts of the two histograms. The bottom panel shows that the transparency is independent of the sky brightness in seasonal statistics.

Fig. 18.— The $B$, $V$, and $R$ band light curves for an eclipsing binary $\zeta$ Phoenicis (left panel) and a $\kappa$ Pavonis (right panel).
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