Microsurgical resection of high-flow cerebral arteriovenous malformations after recurrent stroke
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Arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are tangled lesions that may digress the blood flow particularly the high flow ones and microsurgical resection is challenging. The natural history of AVM is not completely understood and its management is controversial. Microsurgical resection of cerebral arteriovenous malformation is a challenging procedure, particularly for high-flow type. Embolization, microsurgery, or radiotherapy are treatment options. Preoperative planning to control the feeders, arachnoid dissection around the AVM for identification and control of all arterial feeders around the AVM surfaces, lastly control of draining vein is the principal of microsurgery. The goal of surgery is to remove the AVM completely to eliminate the risk of bleeding avoiding neurological deterioration. In this paper, we reviewed a case of high-flow cerebral AVM in recurrent bleeding with successful microsurgical resection.

The patient in this case report significantly improved his neurological condition and demonstrated a good quality of life during long-term follow-up. The results of this study showed that the microsurgical removal of AVMs represents an efficient treatment with good clinical outcomes. In the future, more investigations of the factors that lead to AVM rupture are required for the advancement of effective medical procedures.
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Mікрохірургічна резекція високопотокових артеріовенозних мальформацій головного мозку після повторного інсульту
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Артеріовенозні мальформації (АВМ) являють собою патологічні сплетення артерій і вен, які можуть відхиляти потік крові, зокрема високопотоковий, що істотно ускладнює мікрохірургічну резекцію. Природна динаміка АВМ ще не цілком вивчена, в зв'язку з чим думки щодо ведення хворих з даною патологією носять суперечливий характер. Мікрохірургічна резекція артеріовенозних мальформацій головного мозку є досить складною процедурою, особливо при високопотоковій формі. До можливих варіантів лікування відносять емболізацію, мікрохірургічні втручання та променеву терапію. Доопераційне планування з метою контролю живлячих артерій, дисекція арахнідної оболонки навколо АВМ для визначення і контролю живлячих артерій навколо поверхні АВМ і нарешті контроль дренуючої вени становлять базові принципи при проведенні мікрохірургічного втручання. Метою операції є видалення АВМ в повному обсязі, що дозволить скоротити ризик крововиливу і уникнути погіршення неврологічного статусу. У представленій статті розглядається випадок успішної мікрохірургічної резекції високопотокової АВМ головного мозку при повторному крововиливі.

В описаному випадку у пацієнта достовірно покращилися неврологічний статус, якість життя протягом довгострокового періоду спостереження. Результати даного дослідження підтверджують, що проведення мікрохірургічного видалення АВМ забезпечує ефективне лікування з успішним результатом. Необхідне проведення подальших досліджень факторів, які викликають розрив АВМ, з метою поліпшення методів ефективного ведення даної патології.

Ключові слова: артеріовенозні мальформації головного мозку; повторний інсульт
Introduction
Arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are congenital anomalies of the intracranial vessels that comprise a direct communication between the arterial blood vessels and venous systems and come up short on an interceding capillary bed. The absence of obstruction inside the nidus prompts high-flow shunting of blood among blood vessels and venous courses [1]. Hemorrhagic introduction of AVM is an autonomous indicator of future hemorrhage and it is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Both genders are influenced similarly but it is more common in males. It is a complex disease and, for symptomatic versus asymptomatic, emergency, or elective cases, the treatment approach may vary. Not only neuroimaging as angiographic data, but also the full history, evaluation, and general state of the patient should be incorporated into the management of AVMs. [2]

In low-grade AVM, mean surgical morbidity and mortality are 2.2 % and 0.3 %, respectively, but can exceed 63 % in high-grade AVMs [3, 4]. Cerebral AVMs represent 1.4 % to 2 % of all hemorrhagic strokes [5]. The normal history of asymptomatic cerebral AVMs remains inadequately comprehended.

AVM incorporates the presence of single or numerous direct arteriovenous associations that grant high-flow arteriovenous shunting through little feeding arteries that come up short on a middle arterial layer and the absence of a capillary bed. In an AVM, a rapid and straightforward abnormal blood flow from arteries to veins exists, bypassing the encompassing tissues. Encompassing brain tissues are hardly able to assimilate oxygen from the high-flowing blood. High-flow AVMs cause harm to the brain or spinal cord by a few mechanisms. The gold standard for the diagnosis of AVM is cerebral angiography.

Case report
A 20-year old male presented with recurrent hemorrhagic stroke with loss of consciousness in the emergency room. The patient had a similar episode eight months ago and he recovered with conservative treatment over two months without any residual neurological deficit. The examinations revealed the patient’s Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score E3M5V1 on admission, vital signs (pulse, blood pressure, respiration, and temperature) were stable. He developed right-sided hemiplegia and aphasia. His clinical condition was improved with conservative treatment, although hemiplegia and aphasia were persistent. His computer tomography (CT) scan of the brain revealed a hematoma in the left frontoparietal region (Figure 1). He underwent a CT angiogram of the brain and digital subtraction angiography (DSA) which suggests a high-flow AVM in the relative area causing hematoma formation. The DSA revealed an intranidal aneurysm, venous arterIALIZATION, and rapid filling (Figure 2, 3). He was recommended to do a microsurgical resection of AVM with hematoma removal and warned regarding all possible complications. The patient’s party agreed and a day later, we performed
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a left frontoparietal craniotomy for microsurgical removal of AVM which was uneventful. Gradually over 8 weeks, he becomes ambulant and his speech improved over 3 months. At the 2nd year of follow-up, he recovered completely (Figure 4).

Assessment of performance in activities of daily living by Barthel Index Score

Acute stroke results in functional disability which is measurable using Barthel Index. It is an ordinal scale used to measure performance in activities of daily living.
living and in most extreme cases the lower the Barthel Index, the worse the performance. In our study, the physicians identified the patient’s preoperative and postoperative daily living status using this scale. Scores in the scale range from 0–20 (total dependency) to 100 (no dependency). The patient’s preoperative Barthel Index score was 65. There were no neurological deficits, impairment, disability found in the patient and he was considered independent in his daily activities. Thus after 2 months of the surgery, he improved with a score of 75. Gradually after 2 years, he recovered completely, having a score of 95 (Figure 5).

**Surgical treatment outcome scale (Spetzler Martin Grades, Size, and Eloquence)**

The Spetzler Martin Grading Scale appraises the danger of open neurosurgery for a patient with AVM by assessing AVM size, type of venous drainage patterns, and eloquence of brain area. A Grade I AVM would be considered as small, superficial, and situated in a non-eloquent cerebrum, and low risk for a medical procedure. Grade IV or V AVM is huge, deep, and adjacent to the eloquent brain; grade VI AVM is not operable. In this case report, CT angiogram of brain and DSA had revealed a Spetzler Martin grade IV AVM in the left frontoparietal region with feeding arteries from the left posterior cerebral artery, deep drainage into the vein, and superficial drainage through a cortical vein. It showed a decreased size of the AVM nidus (< 6 cm and located in an eloquent area with deep venous drainage). The scale required both DSA and cross-sectional imaging to make the conclusions.

**Complete resection rate, procedure time, blood loss, and procedural complications**

The time required for surgery was 4 hours. In this case, it was possible to resect AVM completely and there were no intraoperative ruptures as the proximal arterial feeders were anticipated preoperatively. The estimated blood loss was approximately 150 ml. There were no procedural complications nor any new neurological deficit. The hospital stay was 11 days.

**Digital subtraction angiography**

DSA is the reference standard for the analysis of AVMs. Past examinations have announced a few angioarchitectural indicators of seizure, superficial venous drainage, shallow area with the outer carotid course or middle cerebral artery feeding, and presence of venous ectasia [6, 7]. Injuries with a little nidus may not be noticeable on CTA or MRA or may not be discernable from typical vessels. Follow-up vascular imaging after the goal of the clots was significant for the patient, contingent upon the clinical circumstance. For this case, DSA demonstrated an intranidal aneurysm along with tangled blood vessels over the left frontoparietal region with deep drainage into the vein and there was the very rapid filling of the shunted vessels (Figure 2). Previously a scoring framework dependent on AVM angioarchitecture was proposed and also it approved the AVM stream acquired by DSA with genuine stream estimations from quantitative MRA [8, 9].

**Discussion**

Treatment of cerebral AVMs is a major challenge, particularly high-flow grade IV–V AVMs are a major problem in the field of cerebrovascular microneurosurgery. In most cases, surgical developments involve rapid disposal of the AVM and a reduced risk of potential hemorrhage [10]. Previously promising results for surgical management in patients with AVM have been observed. The patient of this study had high-flow cerebral AVMs after a recurrent hemorrhagic stroke and had 100% successful microsurgical resection without any neurological deficits. In a study, microsurgery in just 4.6 percent of patients resulted in death or permanent neurological defects [11].

In any case, early data showed that evaluation scales can be applied reliably to most AVMs with great understanding among observers [12]. In this analysis, we used the Barthel Index Score and Spetzler Martin Grades to assess the patient’s case and postoperative recovery. The patient’s postoperative improvements were measured on the Barthel Index Score. He recovered well, became ambulant, and independent in his daily activities over 2 months which helped him to increase the Barthel Index score gradually.

The Spetzler Martin Grading Scale can be extended reliably to most AVMs by developing the most appropriate and efficient grading scale for AVMs. The cautious grimness associated with microsurgery for AVMs may involve hemorrhagic brain injury and its auxiliary impacts. Touchy proportions of clinical outcomes are bound to show minor changes in patients with no

Figure 5. Preoperative and postoperative Barthel Index Score of the patient
undergoing radiotherapy identified a neurological Lawton et al. [13]. A multicenter study of 1,255 patients drawbacks of radiosurgical treatments compared to AVMS, the immediate cure can be obtained" [18]. The of its high efficacy rate and low permanent morbidity was that "microsurgery for small AVMS is superior to performed in a previous paper, and there the conclusion — microsurgery, embolization, and radiosurgery —was occlusion. A comparison of three treatment modalities — microsurgery, embolization, and radiosurgery —was performed in a previous paper, and there the conclusion was that "microsurgery for small AVMS is superior to radiosurgery or interventional neuroradiology because of its high efficacy rate and low permanent morbidity rate and because in the vast majority of patients with AVMS, the immediate cure can be obtained" [18]. The drawbacks of radiosurgical treatments compared to microsurgery were illustrated in a recent statement by Lawton et al. [13]. A multicenter study of 1,255 patients undergoing radiotherapy identified a neurological deficiency in 102 (8%) patients after radiosurgery [19]. Other drawbacks of radiosurgery include the 1 to 2-year delay risk of bleeding, cognitive deficits from natural brain tissue edema, and necrosis; which is not found in the microsurgery of our studied patient.

In this study, we did not notice any postoperative neurological problems in the patient and after a few months of surgery, the patient gradually recovered a lot. Previously it has been observed that complications may occur and postoperative deterioration may mainly be due to occlusion of arteries, re-bleeding from the retained AVM nidus, or small coagulated feeding vessels at the time of the postoperative seizure, normal perfusion. During the late stage of surgery or after surgery, microsurgical removal of large high-flow cerebral AVMS is often impeded by massive brain swelling and uncontrollable hemorrhage. Though this study only focused on one patient, using this method successful management can be done in selected patients based on AVM anatomy, clinical presentation, course of treatment, etc. The status of this treatment modality should be familiar to neurosurgeons who operate on AVMs under a surgical microscope.

Conclusion
The main purpose of surgery in AVM management is to prevent recurrent hemorrhages, controlling seizures, and to restrain the progressive neurological deficit. The patient in this case report significantly improved his neurological condition and demonstrated a good quality of life during long-term follow-up. The results of this study showed that the microsurgical removal of AVMs in well-experienced hands represents an efficient treatment with good clinical outcomes. In the future, more investigations of the factors that lead to AVM rupture are required for the advancement of effective medical procedures.
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