Peritoneal vaginoplasty by Luohu I and Luohu II technique: a comparative study of the outcomes
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Abstract

Background: Surgical vaginoplasty is the standard treatment for women suffering from Mayer–Rokitansky–Küster–Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. This study compares the advantages and disadvantages of Luohu I technique or its modification, Luohu II technique.

Methods: Women with MRKH syndrome undergoing laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty using either the Luohu I (N = 145) or Luohu II (N = 155) technique were recruited. We compare the effectiveness of the Luohu II and one of Luohu I. Sexual satisfaction was checked by Female Sexual Function Index.

Results: There was no significant difference in the mean operation time, volume of intraoperative blood loss, time for the first passage of gas, sexual satisfaction (and hospital stay for patients in either group (P > 0.05). But patients in the Luohu II group had a significantly lower incidence of complications than patients in the Luohu I group. All patients had vaginal depths more than 9 cm over 3 months post-surgery.

Conclusions: Compared with the traditional Luohu I laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty, the Luohu II operation is easier to perform and causes less damage to the bladder and rectum. The physiological and anatomical features of the artificial vagina resemble the normal vagina in both techniques.
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Background

Artificial vaginoplasty is widely used to treat Mayer–Rokitansky–Küster–Hauser (MRKH) syndrome, a congenital disorder characterized by aplasia of the uterus and the upper part of the vagina. About 0.15 million women in China reportedly have the MRKH syndrome [1]. The main clinical manifestation in patients is primary amenorrhea and dyspareunia, while the vast majority of patients have normal ovarian function, good physical and intellectual development, with the development of typical female secondary sex characters and psychology. The principle aim of treating the congenital absence of vagina is to solve problems of sexual life and reconstruction of vagina. Currently, there are several methods for vaginal reconstruction. According to the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (ACOG), self-dilation is still the therapy of first choice. It applies to patients with a vaginal shallow fossa over 2.5 cm, with complications such as urinary tract infection, urinary fistula secondary prolapsed [2]. For patients having poor compliance, the indications should be strictly controlled [3–6]. Laparoscopic-assisted Vecchietti technique is the method for patients whose vulva is well developed and urethral mouth is located in the higher parts of the vestibule. The Vecchietti vaginoplasty technique is not effective if vaginal vulva conditions are poor [7–10].

Reconstructive surgeries using the sigmoid colon or ileum are common and provide satisfactory results [11]. But this method has the following disadvantages such as its complex procedure, there is a possibility of intestinal complication due to the large intestinal cavity, and the
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secretion smells bad early after operation, postoperative artificial vaginal prolapse and stenosis [12, 13]. Laparoscopic-assisted surgery is superior to laparotomy as it is not complicated and does not involve the risk of large incision or serious bleeding and the incidence of postoperative pelvic adhesion is less. Laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty provides a fully exposed surgical field, maintains a relatively stable environment in the pelvic cavity, reduces the risk of pelvic, provides cosmetically acceptable abdominal incisions, and alleviates psychological stress for patients [14, 15]. The traditional laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty, also known as the Luohu I operation, was first performed by Dr. Luo Guangnan, a Professor in Shenzhen Luohu District People’s Hospital, in 1998 [16]. A previous work suggested this operation should generally be performed on patients older than 18 years. However, it may be performed as early as possible if younger patients have a normal uterus and are suffering from periodic hyogastralgia or menstrual disorders [16].

A modified form of the operation, Luohu II, was developed later. In the present study, the surgical outcomes of the Luohu I and Luohu II operations are compared to understand the advantages and disadvantages so as to improve the curative effect of surgery in the future.

Methods

Subject characteristics

Informed consent was received from the patients who participated in the study; the study was approved by the hospital ethics committee. Patients with the MRKH syndrome who underwent either the Luohu I or Luohu II operations in affiliated Shenzhen Nanshan People’s Hospital and affiliated Shenzhen Luohu People’s Hospital, Guangdong Medical College were recruited between November 2001 and December 2012. All the patients were unmarried. A total of 300 patients were enrolled with a mean age of 23.6 ± 2.9 years. No abnormalities were identified during the preoperative gynecologic examinations, and all the patients had normally developed vulva. The depth of vaginal vestibules ranged from 1.6 ± 0.2 cm. The ultrasonic examination revealed normal sized ovaries. However, 291 patients had a rudimentary uterus and 9 patients had an infantile uterus. All patients enrolled had normal karyotypes (46XX).

Surgical procedure

Patients were prepared using the established standard of care for laparoscopic surgery. The bowel was prepared 3 days prior to the operation, and oral intestinal antibiotics were prescribed. Patients were instructed to consume a no-residue diet the day before the surgery and made to fast for 12 h prior to the surgery. They were given a cleansing enema prior to the surgery. Surgery was carried out under intravenous anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. Patients were placed in the head-low hip-high lithotomy position.

All patients had two 10-mm trocars inserted, one through the umbilical site and the other through an incision at McBurney’s point. A third trocar (5 mm) was inserted through an incision in the left lower abdomen. The laparoscope was used to examine the pelvic cavity to evaluate the rudimentary uterus, connective tissue cords, bilateral ovaries and oviducts, relaxation of the perineum, and location of the anterior rectal wall, which defined the extent and location of the bladder rectum lacunae.

Luohu II operation

A total of 155 patients underwent the Luohu II operation. A puncture needle (size 22, without a needle core) for epidural anesthesia was inserted through the gap between the bladder and rectum toward the peritoneum beyond the fiber cord. A total of 200 ml of physiological saline solution containing 6 U of pituitrin and 0.1 ml of epinephrine were injected to form a water cushion. The cushion was expanded until the peritoneum became thin and white, and was bulging toward the pelvic cavity. The needle was withdrawn slowly, while the fluid was being injected to ensure that the gap was filled with the solution. A pair of medium-sized, curved pliers was inserted through the vaginal vestibule mucosa to divide the gap between the bladder and rectum. This formed a vaginal tunnel that could accommodate approximately 2–3 fingers and that extended to the pelvic extraperitoneal region. During the procedures, a suction flusher was used for the formation of the vaginal tunnel from the pelvic peritoneum posterior to the fiber cord to the peritoneum at the vaginal vestibule. A mold (size 1) (Fig. 1), 2.2 cm
in diameter, was inserted through the tunnel to push the bladder upward and form a bulge in the peritoneum. Near the end of the first mold, the peritoneum and tissues at the bottom of the pelvic cavity were incised to create a tunnel connecting the vaginal vestibule and the abdominal cavity. A second mold (size 2–6, 2.5–3.5 cm in diameter) was used to gradually dilate the tunnel. Once the tunnel was dilated, the peritoneum was pushed downward through the tunnel. The peritoneum layer formed the inner surface covering the vaginal tunnel and making the artificial vagina. An absorbable thread (size 3/0) was used to suture the peritoneum and mucosa at the vaginal vestibule at four points. A purse-string suture was made along the fiber cord, peritoneum at the pelvic wall, anterior rectal wall at the same level, and bilateral perirectal ditches using non-absorbable thread (Fig. 2). A mold was placed to hold the vaginal tunnel in shape and the vaginal opening was sutured to avoid the mold slipping. The vaginal mold was made of 5 ml injector outsourcing vaseline gauze sheathed with 3 layers condoms (Fig. 3).

**Luohu I operation**
Clinicians designed a custom peritoneal push rod of 55 cm in length and 1.8 cm in diameter for use in the Luohu I operation. The angle between the head (1.8 cm) and the body of the push rod was 30°. A 10-cm metal stick (0.5 cm diameter) was inserted into a hole of 10 cm
from the end of the rod to help manipulate the push rod and keep the head of the rod upward.

The water cushion and vaginal tunnel were formed as described above. The vaginal tunnel was dilated using the index and middle fingers of the clinician as described for the Luohu II operation. The push rod was inserted instead of the molds to push the peritoneum downward. In brief, the laparoscope was inserted through the trocar at the McBurney point. After the trocar at the umbilical site was withdrawn, the incision was extended to a length of 18 cm through which the custom-designed peritoneal push rod was inserted into the abdominal cavity. The push rod was manipulated by the clinicians through the vaginal tunnel to push the pelvic peritoneum at the bladder rectum lacunae toward the opening of the tunnel at the vaginal vestibule. The operation was then completed as described for the Luohu II operation (Fig. 4).

**Postoperative treatment**

The patients were given a 5-day course of anti-inflammatory drugs. In addition, the patients were asked to scrub the perineum using Iodophor (0.1 %) twice daily which started at 9 am and 5 pm, each time sustained 15 min. A liquid diet was provided for the patients 6 h post-surgery and they returned to normal diet after being able to pass gas. The patients were allowed to ambulate 24 h post-surgery, and the catheter was removed 48 h post-surgery. There were not swelling red and swollen, exudation in vulva vagina for “recovery” 10 days post-surgery. After recovery, the sutures were removed and the vaseline gauze wrapped mold was removed from the vaginal tunnel. The patients were asked to rinse the vaginal tunnel with Iodophor® (0.1 %) 1–2 times per day and dilate the tunnel using fingers wearing sterile gloves at 10–13 days post-surgery. A mold with 2.2 cm diameter was used to dilate the vaginal tunnel once daily for 14–30 days post-surgery (Fig. 5). Gradually the mold was switched to one with a 2.5–2.8 cm diameter to dilate the vaginal tunnel 2–3 times/day for 60 days post-surgery. The patients were asked to learn how to dilate the tunnel themselves and were allowed to have sex and were surveyed about sexual satisfaction at the 90th day post-surgery.

Sexual life quality was checked by Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), The total score is 36 points, dissatisfaction is below 23.45 in china [17]. The length was distance between the vaginal orifice and posterior fornix by vaginal expansion stick; the width was the diameter of accommodate maximum stick (Fig. 5).
Statistical analysis
SPSS 13.0 software was used for the statistical analysis. Quantitative data were presented as the mean ± SD. The student t test and χ² test were used to appropriately compare the two groups. Results with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Operation time and intraoperative blood loss
There was no significant difference in the mean operation time and the mean intraoperative blood loss volume in the two groups.

Time to gas passage, hospital stay
There was no significant difference in the meantime to gas passage or the mean hospital stay for the two groups.

Complications
All 155 patients in the Luohu II group were successfully treated. However, rectal injury was found in one patient (incidence rate: 0.64 %) during the operation. The injury was immediately repaired and the vaginoplasty was completed for the patient. In the Luohu I group, six patients developed complications during or post-surgery. The incidence rate (4.14 %) was significantly higher in the Luohu I group than in the Luohu II group. The complications fell into two classes, peritoneal rupture during the operation (N = 3) and anterior rectum wall injuries (N = 3). In the patients with peritoneal ruptures, the margin of the ruptured peritoneum was pulled down through the vaginal tunnel and sutured with the vaginal opening. In all three patients, the Luohu I operation was then completed successfully. The majority of the injuries to the anterior rectum wall occurred during the surgery. They occurred while making the crucial incision on the peritoneum by the end of the push rod (N = 1), and by division of the anterior rectum wall during the formation of the vaginal tunnel (N = 2). To repair the anterior rectum wall division, the injury was sutured prior to continuing to push the peritoneum downward in the Luohu I operation. If a rectal injury occurred during the surgery, it was immediately repaired (N = 2). If rectal injuries were identified post-surgery, the rectovaginal fistula was repaired 6 months post-surgery (N = 1).

Follow-up study
The patients were followed up for 3–12 months post-surgery. It included following items in every follow-up: length and width of vaginal, having sex life or no, sex life quality, vaginal discharge, defecation, and so on. The vaginas were in good condition and the vaginal speculum-assisted examination was possible. The vaginal mucosa was pink, moist, and had good elasticity. The length of the vagina for patients in both groups was approximately 9.18 ± 1.27 cm and the width was 2.82 ± 0.73 cm post-operative 3–12 months (Table 1).

The majority of patients chose to have sexual relations, including 148 patients who underwent the Luohu II procedure and 138 patients who underwent the Luohu I procedure.

Sexual satisfaction was checked by Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI). A total of 140 patients from the Luohu II group were satisfied with the sexual experience, and 129 patients from the Luohu I group reported satisfaction. The difference in sexual satisfaction was not significantly different between the two groups. The patients with dissatisfaction included those having vaginal stenosis and too short, early having sex post-surgery.

Table 1 Situation comparison of operation and post operation in two groups

| Group | Operation time (min) | Blood loss (ml) | Complication | Time to gas passage (h) | Hospital stay (day) | Vagina length/width (cm) | Sexual satisfaction |
|-------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| II    | 65.38 ± 14.36        | 27.34 ± 14.68  | 1/155        | 14.65 ± 8.96           | 9.05 ± 2.12         | 9.58 ± 1.25/2.75 ± 0.6 | 140/148           |
| I     | 70.43 ± 16.53        | 29.09 ± 15.01  | 6/145        | 15.35 ± 8.76           | 8.75 ± 1.89         | 8.88 ± 1.27/2.91 ± 0.7 | 129/138           |
| P value | 0.742                | 0.637          | 0.045*       | 0.839                  | 0.786               | 0.435/0.586            | 0.690             |
Discussion

Several widely used surgical methods are available to treat the MRKH syndrome which includes amniotic membrane vaginoplasty, vulvar skin flap vaginoplasty, skin graft vaginoplasty, intestinal vaginoplasty, autologous tissue vaginoplasty and peritoneal vaginoplasty [18–22]. The sigmoid colon vaginoplasty has several disadvantages including long operation time, serious trauma, and an unpleasant smell [23]. Patients who have undergone bladder vaginoplasty have been reported with a long-term lower urinary tract infection [24]. Currently, it is difficult to achieve a breakthrough in obtaining a large number of seed cells [25].

The peritoneum is soft, moist, has good elasticity, and is similar to normal vaginal mucosa in function and form. The smooth surface and its ability to secrete mucus, is similar to normal vaginal mucosa in function and form. The laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty has several advantages over other surgical options including small incisions, speedy recovery and an artificial vagina that is highly elastic. These traits make it a promising surgical method for treating the MRKH syndrome.

To avoid stenosis, the patients should use molds to dilate the vaginal tunnel regularly after surgery. Women were able to engage in sexual intercourse 3 months post-surgery, which could also dilate the vaginal tunnel. However, patients should be aware that scars generally form within 1-month post-surgery. Most of the scars vanish by 3 months post-surgery. To ensure adequate width and depth, the vaginal tunnel should be dilated with molds for more than 3 months. In the present study, the patients were reexamined at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months post-surgery.

In summary, the Luohu II laparoscopic peritoneal vaginoplasty is an effective and simple surgical method. The keys to avoid the injury of the anterior wall of the rectum are to push rectum through water pressure and expand fully the vaginal tunnel by vaginal expansion stick, the patients should dilate the vaginal tunnel regularly after surgery by molds and engage in sexual intercourse 3 months post-surgery preventing from vaginal stenosis. This method is not suitable for patients who have a limited area of pelvic floor peritoneum, have previously undergone operations in the pelvic cavity, or who have a history of pelvic adhesions [28, 29]. However, surgeons must still be aware of how to perform other surgical procedures for vaginoplasty in case the intended procedure fails. The first recommended way is laparoscopic vaginoplasty using sigmoid colon for cases where the previous operation was a failure [30–32]. The William technique is suitable in case of the vagina operation failure, pelvic radical operation or radiotherapy, and the vulva development is good without urethral dilatation [33].
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