Association Between Serum Uric Acid And Major Chronic Diseases Among Centenarians In China: Based On The CHCCS Study
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Abstract

Introduction: This study aims to analyze the distribution of SUA level based on more than 1,000 centenarians and to explore the association with three common diseases including hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia.

Methods: All the 1002 centenarians from the CHCCS were included. Household survey was conducted.

Results: The mean SUA level of centenarians was 329.04±97.75μmol/L and the prevalence of hyperuricemia in centenarians was 26.5%. There was no statistical difference in the distribution of SUA levels among centenarians with or without hypertension/diabetes. For dyslipidemia, there was an independent positive association. The risk of dyslipidemia among those with hyperuricemia were 1.646 (95%CI: 1.078-2.298) compared with those who didn’t have hyperuricemia. By comparing different subtypes of dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia was positively associated with hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia, with the corresponding ORs of 2.553 (95%CI: 1.282-5.083) and 1.927 (95%CI: 1.273-2.917) respectively, while there was no statistically significant association with hypercholesterolemia 0.998 (95%CI: 0.574-1.732).

Conclusions: There was no relation between SUA with hypertension or diabetes, while there was independently and positively association with hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia. The health benefits of controlling SUA in centenarians still require evidence based on prospective studies.

Introduction

Serum uric acid (SUA) is an important index of renal function. It is the product of protein metabolism\(^1\). The current physiological reference value of SUA was mainly from data about adults\(^2-4\). However, it is well known kidney function deteriorates with age. The renal function of centenarians is far worse than that of adults and young elderly. But there is little data on the mean level of SUA about this extremely survivors\(^6\). Whether it is the same as the general elderly, or as a template for healthy aging, which is worth discussing.

On the other hand, SUA is not only an indicator of renal function, but also an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease and metabolic diseases. Meta-analysis showed that SUA was a risk factor for hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and coronary heart diseases\(^7-8\). Therefore, SUA has become one of the indicators for early warning and intervention of major chronic diseases including cardiovascular diseases. However, the current evidence is mostly about adults, a small part was from younger elderly aged <80 years old. There was a lack of basic data for centenarians. Whether this trend still exists among centenarians is unknown. That is to say, in centenarians, whether SUA is still an important risk factor for major diseases and needs to be controlled, there is no sufficient evidence yet. So, this study used data from one of Asia’s largest centenarian survey to analyze the distribution of SUA level based on more than
1,000 centenarians and to explore the association with three common diseases including hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia.

**Research Design And Methods**

**Study population**

All the subjects in this study were from CHCCS, and the detailed research design framework was shown in previous articles\(^9\). In brief, this was a full sample survey. Based on the demographic data of 18 cities of Hainan Province provided by the Civil Affairs Bureau, we included all the people aged 100 and over in the study. A total of 1002 centenarians were invited to the survey and included in the analysis.

**Investigation method**

Household survey was conducted. All the questions on the questionnaire were asked and recorded by trained investigators. Centenarians were asked to answer health-related questions themselves. For those who were unable to answer the questions, the caregivers or relatives should answer on their behalf. All investigators were medical staff from Hainan hospital of Chinese PLA general hospital and have received unified training. Unified questionnaires were used to collect detailed demographic characteristics, disease history, family history, and lifestyles. Measurement indicators include height (For those who have a pot, measure their length), weight, waist circumference (WC), and blood pressure. Elbow vein blood was taken at 7-8 a.m. (more than 8 hours of fasting), and sent to the biochemical division of Hainan hospital of Chinese PLA general hospital to test related biochemical index, including total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), fasting blood glucose (FPG), albumin (ALB), serum uric acid (SUC), creatinine (Scr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN).

**Definitions**

Centenarians referred to those who have certainly reached the age of 100 years old at the survey. Age was calculated by the survey minus the birth date. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of height (in meters).

Hyperuricemia was defined as positive if SUA level $\geq 420 \mu\text{mol/L}$ in men or $\geq 360 \mu\text{mol/L}$ in women or previous diagnosed.

Abdominal obesity was defined as positive if WC $\geq 90\text{cm}$ in men or WC $\geq 80\text{cm}$ in women.

Blood pressure was classified into the following categories: normal blood pressure: no history of hypertension in the past and SBP $< 120$ and DBP $< 80$ mmHg; prehypertension: no history of hypertension in the past and $120$ mmHg $< \text{SBP} < 140$ mmHg or $80$ mmHg $< \text{DBP} < 90$ mmHg; Hypertension, previous diagnosis or SBP $\geq 140$ mmHg or DBP $\geq 90$ mmHg.
Blood glucose was classified into the following categories: normal blood glucose, no history of diabetes in the past and FPG ≥ 6.1 mmol/L; impaired fasting glucose (IFG), no history of diabetes in the past and 6.1 mmol/L ≤ FPG < 7.0 mmol/L; diabetes, previous diagnosis or FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L.

Blood lipids was classified into the following categories: normal blood lipids, no history of dyslipidemia in the past and total cholesterol (TC) < 5.18 mmol/L, triglyceride (TG) < 1.70 mmol/L, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) ≥ 1.04 mmol/L, and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) < 3.37 mmol/L; elevated blood lipids: no history of dyslipidemia in the past and 5.18 mmol/L ≤ TC < 6.22 mmol/L, 1.70 mmol/L ≤ TG < 2.26 mmol/L, and 3.37 mmol/L ≤ LDL-C < 4.14 mmol/L; dyslipidemia, previous diagnosis or TC ≥ 6.22 mmol/L, or TG ≥ 2.26 mmol/L, or HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L, or LDL-C ≥ 4.14 mmol/L. The subtypes of dyslipidemia were classified into the following categories: Hypercholesterolemia, TC ≥ 6.22 mmol/L; Hypertriglyceridemia, TG ≥ 2.26 mmol/L; Combined hyperlipidemia, TC ≥ 6.22 mmol/L and TG ≥ 2.26 mmol/L; low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia, HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L.

Patient and Public Involvement

All centenarians were from Hainan Province. The investigation group obtained the list of centenarians from the Civil Affairs Department, and conducted household survey on those who agreed to participate in the survey. Health related information of the centenarians were collected by face-to-face questionnaire. All the centenarians were told and aware of the design and purpose of the study and participated in the study after signing informed consent. The results of laboratory test and physical examination should be fed back to the elderly in time.

Statistical analysis

All the analysis was conducted in SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Mean±SD and n(%) were used for continuous and categorical variables. Variance analysis and chi-square test were used for comparisons among different groups. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to describe correlations between SUA levels and other variables. Multivariate logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) of SUA for related diseases. As the independent variable, SUA was included in the model as two forms: continuous value and binary variable (hyperuricemia). Three diseases were considered as dependent variables, including hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. We also used pre-hypertension/hypertension, IFG/diabetes, elevated lipids/dyslipidemia as dependent variables for sensitivity analysis. Covariates including demographic sociological characteristics (gender, age, ethnicity, culture, marriage, and previous work type), lifestyle (smoking, drinking, physical exercise, and dietary habits), and abdominal obesity were included in the logistic regression model.

Results

The mean age was 102.77±2.55 years, with 82.0% were female. WC, TG, and HDL-C were related with SUA levels (p<0.05). Correspondingly, there were statistical differences with abdominal obesity and dyslipidemia prevalence (table 1).
Distribution of SUA levels and prevalence of hyperuricemia

The mean SUA level of centenarians was 329.04±97.75 μmol/L. 45.7% of them were between 250-350 μmol/L and 81.4% were between 200-450 μmol/L. Only 5.7% were under 200 μmol/L and 11.0% were >450 μmol/L (appendix table 1).

The mean SUA level was higher among male than that of female (379.86±110.08 μmol/L vs. 317.91±9.19 μmol/L, p<0.001). Compared with different age groups, the mean SUA levels were basically the same for each 5 years’ age group (100-104, 105-109, 110- ). That is, there was no increasing or decreasing trend of SUA levels with age.

The prevalence of hyperuricemia in centenarians was 26.5%. Male had a relatively higher prevalence than that in female, although not statistically significant (30.0% vs. 25.8%, p= 0.247). Similarly, there was no age-related trend (p=0.361). (table 2)

Correlation analysis of SUA levels and three common diseases

As can be seen from table 3, SUA level was positively correlated with Scr and BUN level, with the Pearson’s correlation coefficients 0.528 and 0.389 respectively (p < 0.05). In addition, SUA level was positively correlated with obesity related indicators, including weight and WC, with the Pearson’s correlation coefficients 0.228 and 0.188 respectively (p < 0.05). The Pearson’s correlation coefficients of SUA with systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), blood glucose were -0.003, -0.056 and -0.001 respectively (p > 0.05). On the other hand, SUA level was related with blood lipid, including TG (r=0.119, p<0.05) and HDL-C (r=-0.101, p<0.05), but not with TC(r=-0.006, P 0.847) and LDL-C (r=0.027, p=0.401).

Association between SUA levels and three common diseases

There was no statistical difference in the distribution of SUA levels among centenarians with or without hypertension. The mean SUA level for the three groups (normal blood pressure, pre-hypertension and hypertension) were 335.30±91.33 μmol/L, 321.53±87.46 μmol/L and 330.30±100.69 μmol/L p=0.473) respectively (appendix table 2). The situation was similar with diabetes. The mean SUA level for the three groups (normal blood glucose, IFG and diabetes) were 328.84±95.58 μmol/L, 329.35±87.13 μmol/L, 330.49±122.62 μmol/L, p=0.987). There was statistical difference among different blood lipids groups. The mean SUA level for the three groups (normal blood lipids, elevated blood lipids and dyslipidemia) were 311.87±90.91 μmol/L, 325.19±89.63 μmol/L and 357.2±116.92 μmol/L, p<0.001) respectively.

Table 4 showed the result of multivariate logistic regression analysis of the association between SUA level and three common diseases. Hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia were used as dependent variables separately; SUA level was used as the independent variable; the related covariates including demographic variables, lifestyles, disease history were also adjusted included in the model. As can be seen from Table 4, SUA levels was not associated with hypertension, the ORs were 1.000 (95%CI: 0.999-1.002) and 1.221 (95%CI: 0.874-1.707) when the independent SUA levels as continuous variable and
dichotomous variable (hyperuricemia). Similarly, SUA levels was not associated with diabetes either, the ORs were 1.000 (95%CI: 0.998-1.002) and 1.290 (95%CI: 0.818-2.037) respectively. For dyslipidemia, there was an independent positive association. The risk of dyslipidemia among those with hyperuricemia were 1.646 (95%CI: 1.078-2.298) compared with those who didn't have hyperuricemia. By comparing different subtypes of dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia was positively associated with hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia, with the corresponding ORs of 2.553 (95%CI: 1.282-5.083) and 1.927 (95%CI: 1.273-2.917) respectively, while there was no statistically significant association with hypercholesterolemia 0.998 (95%CI: 0.574-1.732).

Discussion

This result based on CHCCS showed that the prevalence of hyperuricemia in centenarians was high, and it had independently and positively association with hypertriglyceridemia and low density lipoprotein cholesterolemia while no association with hypertension or diabetes.

SUA level was higher than that of adults and younger elderly. The prevalence of hyperuricemia was also at a high level among centenarians. Previous study based on 22983 Chinese adults based on Chinese Physiological Constant and Health Conditions Study showed that the average SUA level in Chinese population was 346.1μmol/L and 258.6μmol/L for males and females respectively. The prevalence of hyperuricemia was 13.0%, while the prevalence went up to 20.5% among elderly. The study based on elderly from Beijing showed the mean SUA levels were 345.1μmol/L and 309.4μmol/L in male and female respectively, and the prevalence of hyperuricemia was 16.7%. The meta-analysis based on 38 studies showed that the prevalence of hyperuricemia in Chinese people was 13.3%. This study showed that the mean SUA level was 329.04μmol/L in centenarians, which was higher than previous studies based on adults or younger elderly. Male had slightly higher SUA levels than female, but there was no statistical difference, which was consistent with previous results. Meta-analysis showed that the gender difference of hyperuricemia prevalence narrowed among people aged 50 and over. It's partly because that with the decrease of estrogen after menopause, female lose the protective effects.

There was no relation between SUA with hypertension or diabetes, which was inconsistent with previous studies based on adults or younger elderly. Meta-analysis based on 18 cohort studies with 55607 people showed that the risk of hypertension among hyperuricemia patients were 1.41(95%CI: 1.23-1.58) Another meta-analysis based on 16 cohort study with 61714 people showed that the risk of diabetes among hyperuricemia patients were 1.131(95%CI: 1.084-1.179). However, the above meta-studies only included data on adults and people less than 80, and lacked data on centenarians. The association between SUA and diabetes or hypertension tends to weaken with the increase of age. The results from the Project of Longevity and Aging in Dujiangyan study also showed that SUA was not directly associated with hypertension based on 870 nonagenarians/centenarians. Results from Health Professionals Follow-Up Study also showed similar results based on elderly men aged 60-85. These results were consistent with the conclusion of our study. On the other hand, this study showed that SUA was
independently and positively correlated with hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia. A 5-year cohort study of 6476 adults from Japan showed that the risk of low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia for every 1 mg/dL increase in SUA level was 1.159 (95% CI: 1.009-1.331) in male and 1.215 (95% CI: 1.061-1.390) in female respectively\textsuperscript{17}. Another study based on the results of 8-year follow-up of 4190 adults, the incidence of hypertriglyceridemia was 28.2%, 29.1%, 36.9% and 45.6% respectively with the quartile of SUA level\textsuperscript{18}. Those results were consistent with this study, which was the first to analyze the association of SUA with dyslipidemia and its subtypes (including hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia) in centenarians. The results showed that there was a significant positive correlation between SUA and hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia.

Our study has several strengths. This is the largest centenarian study in Asia with good representativeness. Second, unlike other sociological surveys that focus on sociology and less focus on health-related issues, this CHCCS survey was conducted by professional medical staff, which provided reliable and sufficient medical information. Third, we analyzed the association between SUA with all three common chronic diseases at the same time, which may be helpful for the comparisons.

Also, this study had several limitations. First, this was a cross-sectional study with limited causal inference, and the strength of causal inference is low, which still needs to be verified by prospective research. Second, SUA was a one-time test, which may be affected by diet and other factors. Third, lack of information about drug use may have an impact on the outcome. But, according to the appendix table 3, there was less than 1/4 of the centenarians who were taking medicine. Fourth, there may be survivor bias; the centenarians surveyed were relatively healthy people, which may underestimate the risk.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the results based on CHCCS with the largest sample among centenarians showed that centenarians had higher SUA levels and higher hyperuricemia prevalence. There was no relation between SUA with hypertension or diabetes, while there was independently and positively association with hypertriglyceridemia and low-density lipoprotein cholesterolemia. The health benefits of controlling SUA in centenarians still require evidence based on prospective studies.
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Tables

Table1. General characteristics of centenarians
| Characteristics | Hyperuricemia |  |  | p  |
|----------------|--------------|---|---|----|
| mean±SD        | Yes          | No |    |    |
| Age (yrs)      | 102.79±3.01  | 102.76±2.65 | 0.853 |
| Weight(kg)     | 38.72±8.18   | 37.17±7.31  | 0.004 |
| WC(cm)         | 77.01±8.95   | 74.53±8.74  | <0.001 |
| BMI(kg/m²)     | 18.37±3.47   | 17.92±3.37  | 0.271 |
| SBP(mmHg)      | 154.05±25.75 | 152.03±23.93 | 0.247 |
| DBP(mmHg)      | 75.01±12.68  | 76.02±13.03 | 0.276 |
| TC(mmol/l)     | 4.64±0.99    | 4.69±0.99   | 0.459 |
| TG(mmol/l)     | 1.27±0.75    | 1.14±0.61   | 0.005 |
| HDL-C(mmol/l)  | 1.39±0.43    | 1.45±0.37   | 0.041 |
| LDL-C(mmol/l)  | 2.81±0.82    | 2.81±0.78   | 0.926 |
| FPG(mmol/l)    | 5.18±1.46    | 5.10±1.44   | 0.466 |
| ALB(g/L)       | 38.42±3.88   | 38.52±4.05  | 0.734 |
| SUA(μmol/L)    | 450.04±76.59 | 285.31±60.69 | <0.001 |
| n(%)           |              |              | 0.247 |
| Gender         |              |              |    |
| Male           | 54(30.0)     | 126(70.0)    |    |
| Female         | 212(25.8)    | 610(74.2)    |    |
| Nationality    |              |              | 0.330 |
| Han            | 230(26.0)    | 653(74.0)    |    |
| Minority       | 36(30.3)     | 83(69.7)     |    |
| Marriage status|              |              | 0.343 |
| Widowed        | 217(26.0)    | 619(74.0)    |    |
| Married/divorced/others | 49(29.5) | 117(70.5) |    |
| Education level|              |              | 0.880 |
| Illiteracy     | 241(26.3)    | 674(73.7)    |    |
| Primary school | 19(28.4)     | 48(71.6)     |    |
|                                | Yes        | No        |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Middle school or above         | 6(30.0)    | 14(70.0)  |
| Work types                     |            | 0.410     |
| Mental work                    | 8(34.8)    | 15(65.2)  |
| Light or moderate physical labor| 148(27.6)  | 388(72.4) |
| Heavy physical labor           | 110(24.8)  | 333(75.2) |
| Smoking                        |            | 0.665     |
| Never smoking                  | 236(26.3)  | 660(73.7) |
| Ever or current smoking        | 30(28.3)   | 76(71.7)  |
| Alcohol drinking               |            | 0.086     |
| Never alcohol drinking         | 213(25.5)  | 623(74.5) |
| Ever or current alcohol drinking| 53(31.9)   | 113(68.1) |
| Vegetables(≥1 time/day)        |            | 0.641     |
| Yes                            | 236(26.8)  | 645(73.2) |
| No                             | 30(24.8)   | 91(75.2)  |
| Fruits(≥1 time/day)            |            | 0.335     |
| Yes                            | 41(29.9)   | 96(70.1)  |
| No                             | 225(26.0)  | 640(74.0) |
| Meat(≥1 time/day)              |            | 0.568     |
| Yes                            | 92(25.5)   | 269(74.5) |
| No                             | 174(27.1)  | 467(72.9) |
| Fish(≥1 time/day)              |            | 0.397     |
| Yes                            | 81(24.8)   | 245(75.2) |
| No                             | 185(27.4)  | 491(72.6) |
| Eggs(≥1 time/day)              |            | 0.054     |
| Yes                            | 26(27.4)   | 69(72.6)  |
| No                             | 250(27.6)  | 657(72.4) |
| Milk(≥1 time/day)              |            | 0.774     |
| Yes                            | 31(27.7)   | 81(72.3)  |
| No                             | 235(26.4)  | 655(73.6) |
| Condition                | Yes   | No    |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Abdominal obesity        | 81(34.2) | 156(65.8) |
| Hypertension             | 206(27.5) | 542(72.5) |
| Dyslipidemia             | 72(35.6) | 130(64.4) |
| Diabetes                 | 30(31.3) | 66(68.8) |

Table 2. Distribution of SUA levels and hyperuricemia prevalence
| Age groups | Male          | Female         | p    | Total          |
|------------|---------------|----------------|------|----------------|
| 100-104 yrs | 379.18±113.48 | 319.29±89.34   | <0.001 | 330.59±97.16  |
| 105-109 yrs | 383.27±92.75  | 311.11±100.28  | <0.001 | 323.41±102.46 |
| ≥110 yrs    | –             | 321.39±85.96   |      | 321.39±85.96  |
| P           | 0.853         | 0.606          |      | 0.615          |
| Total       | 379.86±110.08 | 317.91±91.19   |      | 329.04±97.75  |

| Age groups | Hyperuricemia [n(%)] | Hyperuricemia [n(%)] | Hyperuricemia [n(%)] |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 100-104 yrs| 42(28.0)             | 170(26.4)            | 0.682                |
| 105-109 yrs| 12(40.0)             | 31(21.2)             | 0.059                |
| ≥110 yrs   | —                    | 11(35.5)             | —                    |
| P          | 0.190                | 0.356                | 0.361                |
| Total      | 54(30.0)             | 212(25.8)            | 0.247                |

Table3. Correlation analysis
| Variables    | r    | p    |
|--------------|------|------|
| Age (yrs)    | -0.020 | 0.529 |
| Weight(kg)   | 0.228 | <0.001 |
| WC(cm)       | 0.188 | <0.001 |
| BMI(kg/m²)   | 0.114 | <0.001 |
| SBP(mmHg)    | -0.003 | 0.918 |
| DBP(mmHg)    | -0.056 | 0.076 |
| TC(mmol/l)   | -0.006 | 0.847 |
| TG(mmol/l)   | 0.119 | <0.001 |
| HDL-C(mmol/l)| -0.101 | <0.001 |
| LDL-C(mmol/l)| 0.027 | 0.401 |
| FPG(mmol/l)  | -0.001 | 0.967 |
| ALB(g/L)     | 0.048 | 0.132 |

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of SUA Level and major chronic diseases
| Independent variables                        | SUA level (continuous, per μmol/L) | hyperuricemia (dichotomous) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                             | OR(95%CI)                          | p                           | OR(95%CI)                          | p |
| Hypertension                               | 1.000(0.999-1.002)                 | 0.286                       | 1.221(0.874-1.707)                 | 0.242 |
| Prehypertension & hypertension              | 1.000(0.997-1.002)                 | 0.578                       | 1.115(0.650-1.912)                 | 0.691 |
| Diabetes                                    | 1.000(0.998-1.002)                 | 0.879                       | 1.290(0.818-2.037)                 | 0.273 |
| IFG& diabetes                               | 1.000(0.998-1.002)                 | 0.995                       | 1.255(0.878-1.793)                 | 0.213 |
| Dyslipidemia                                | 1.003(1.002-1.005)                 | <0.001                      | 1.646(1.078-2.298)                 | 0.003 |
| Elevated BL& Dyslipidemia                   | 1.001(1.000-1.002)                 | 0.142                       | 1.201(1.001-1.594)                 | 0.024 |
| Hypercholesterolemia                        | 1.001(0.999-1.004)                 | 0.222                       | 0.998(0.574-1.732)                 | 0.993 |
| Hypertriglyceridemia                        | 1.005(1.002-1.008)                 | 0.001                       | 2.553(1.282-5.083)                 | 0.008 |
| Combined hyperlipidemia                     | 1.007(1.002-1.011)                 | 0.005                       | 2.794(0.694-11.252)                | 0.148 |
| Low HDL-C                                   | 1.004(1.002-1.006)                 | <0.001                      | 1.927(1.273-2.917)                 | 0.002 |

Adjusted for age, gender, nationality, education, marital status, BMI, work types, smoking, alcohol drinking, eating habits (vegetables, fruits, meat, fish, milk), abdominal obesity

**Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- AppendixTables.docx