INTRODUCTION

Every middle-size vehicle uses between 12 and 14 kg of textile products, without including tire cords for pneumatic and fibres which are used in composite materials. The 65% of this quantity is used approximately in the interior (40 to 45 m² of textile material per car) with a weight between 350 and 450 g/m² for the seats upholstery [1–4].

Textile advances in the automotive industry have been spearheaded by advances in science and technology of fibres and fabric/web forming technologies. These advances have led to the development of textiles and textile-based components for a broad variety of automotive applications which are capable of meeting the industry’s tough specifications regarding high performance during use [5–10].

Development of car seat components. Parts, pieces and materials are orientated by the following criteria:

- Comfort
- Functionality
- Safety
- Economy
- Ecology

EXPERIMENTAL PART

In this research work, classical PU foam of 30 mm and 5 mm thickness is used. The foams have area of 165 cm². Figure 1 description of real sample of PU-foam with holes

Air permeability of samples

Concerning the terminology “permeability”, used in textile technologies is defined as volume flow (m³/s) through the sample area (m²), (i.e. (m/s = m³/s·m²). In the fluid mechanics the same parameter is known as “volume flow density”.
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It should be better to use the "mass flow density (kg/s·m²)”, which is independent on state quantities (pressure, temperature). This term is used in simulations below and in the fluid mechanics, too. Therefore for later comparison of measured and simulated flows the measured volume flows (ml/s) are recalculated into mass flows (kg/s).

Air permeability describes the rate of flow of a fluid through a porous material, and the mathematical expression is given by:

\[ q = \frac{Q}{At} \]  

Where \( q \) is rate of flow (m/s), \( Q \) – volume of flow of fluid through the sample (m³), \( t \) – time (s) and \( A \) – the cross-sectional area (m²).

Textile material stands out as a unique class of porous media, which contain relatively high volume of air and very complex structure due to the random arrangement of fibres or pores. Air permeability is one of the most important properties of textile materials in many applications, Numerous researchers have worked on the air permeability of non-woven fabrics in both experiment [2–4] and analytical prediction [5–7]. Darcy derived an equation for calculating the air permeability based on hydraulic radius theory, which states that rate of flow is directly proportional to the pressure gradient causing the flow. The equation is as follows:

\[ Q = \frac{A t (K_p \Delta P)}{\mu L} \]  

Where \( q \) is the rate of flow (m/s), \( K_p \) – the flow permeability coefficient (m²), \( \Delta P \) – the pressure gradient (pa), \( \mu \) – the viscosity of the flow (pa·s), \( L \) – the thickness of sample (m), \( Q \) – the volume flowing in time (m³), \( A \) – the cross-section area (m²) where flow goes through, \( t \) – time (s).

As explained in the Literature review part that the air flow and the water vapor permeability are the connected properties. This gives us the idea to theoretically analyses the flow of air through the textile layers and predict the performance of the car seat materials. For this research the software FLUENT is used for the theoretical analyses and the results are compared with the experimental results.

The permeability is defined as the volume flow \( V \) (m³/s) through the cross-section of the sample \( S \) (m²). So the permeability is identical with flow velocity through tested sample:

\[ w = \frac{V}{S} \]  

APPLICATION OF MEASURED DATA

Used samples

Thick PU cushion part of the car seat is used for this research. The classical foam and the foam with the perforation are used for this research the properties are shown in table 1 below.

The hole dimensions of used samples are shown in figure 2.

Measured air permeability

The air permeability of the samples are tested under different pressure difference by standard method ISO standard 9237. Results are shown below. It is quite obvious that the PU-foam without holes is almost impermeable and the flow increases with the size of hole.

| Samples | Foam thickness (mm) | Number of holes | Hole diameter (mm) | Total area of foam sample (mm²) | Area of holes (mm²) | Area of solid foam (mm²) |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| A       | 60                  | 0               | 0                 | 16505                           | 0                 | 16505                    |
| A1      | 60                  | 7               | 10                | 16505                           | 550               | 15955                    |
| A2      | 60                  | 7               | 15                | 16505                           | 1236              | 15268                    |
| A3      | 60                  | 7               | 20                | 16505                           | 2198              | 14307                    |

Table 1

| Press (Pa) | Unit (mL/sec) |
|------------|---------------|
| F0         | 0             |
| F1         | 1.1           |
| F2         | 1.5           |
| F3         | 2             |
| 1.1        | 0.2           |
| 1.5        | 0.6           |
| 2          | 1.1           |
| 2.2        | 1.25          |

Table 2

Fig. 1. Real samples of foams
Permeability of the classical foam (F0) is 64–188 times lower permeable than cases F1–F3 as shown in figure 3.

Adaptation of measured data
Following evaluation of measured data and determination of permeability parameters is explained for the case F0 (full foam) only. Next cases (F1–F3) are created as foam bodies (F0) with additional perforations and grooves.

The flow starts at 1 Pa approx. – from the physical point of view it is not precise – and might be influenced by the insensitivity of measuring device under 1 Pa pressure. Measured volume flows (ml/s) are recalculated in permeability m/s (= m³/s.m²) and inverted.

Values are shifted, to correct any measuring error (at zero mass flow the flow resistance must be zero, too). After such correction the permeability parameters can be determined.

Permeability parameters determination
The method is described in [10] for so-called “pressure jump” in one-dimensional flow and thin porous layer. Here is the method used for so-called “porous zone”, generally as the flow in 3-dimensional permeable volume (thick porous layer). Theoretical formulas...
[10] are analogous, so it is supposed to use the same procedure in 3D for homogenous permeability. Generally, the qualitative results (images of flow fields), are all right, but the quantitative results of simulations (m/s, kg/s etc.) are different from measured values.

The permeability of the observed layer is given by its flow resistance. This resistance consists in general from the linear term, typical for instance for small velocities (so-called Darcy’s law) and from the quadratic term, typical for flow around bodies or through channels (Weissbach’s or Moody’s law):

\[
\Delta p = C_2 \cdot \frac{\rho}{2} \cdot t \cdot w^2 + \frac{\mu}{\alpha \cdot t \cdot w} \tag{4}
\]

where:

- \( w = \frac{V}{S} \) (m/s) is flow velocity;
- \( V \) (m³/s) – volume flow;
- \( S \) (m²) – flow cross-section (here 20 cm²);
- \( t \) (m) – layer thickness;
- \( \rho \) (kg/m³) – medium density (for atmospheric air 1.2 kg/m³);
- \( \mu \) (m²/s) – viscosity (for air 1.806e-5);
- \( \alpha \) (m²), \( C_2 \) (1/m) – unknown permeability parameters [10].

Two unknown permeability parameters \( \alpha, C_2 \), depending on the layer structure, can be determined from the experimental data.

In previous graphs the function \( Pa = f \) (m/s) is substituted by quadratic function with very high correlation coefficient. Parameters of this substitution are used as follows:

Comparing the formula for pressure resistance as function of velocity:

\[
\Delta p = A \cdot w^2 + B \cdot w + C \tag{5}
\]

From Eq. 5 we can determine two unknown permeability parameters \( C_2, \alpha \) from:

\[
A = C_2 \cdot \frac{\rho}{2} \cdot t \tag{6}
\]

\[
B = \frac{\mu}{\alpha t} \tag{7}
\]

where \( A, B \) (and \( C \), which should be equal zero) are parameters of the quadratic substitution.

For given values of:
- thickness \( t = 0.03 \) m,
- air density \( \rho = 1.2 \) kg/m³,
- dynamic viscosity \( \mu = 1.806e-5 \) (Pa·s)

the permeability parameters are as follows:

\[
C_2 = \frac{2 \cdot A}{\rho \cdot t} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{\mu \cdot t}{B} \tag{9}
\]

Determined permeability parameters for F0 are

\( C_2 = 1.526e+09 \) (1/m), \( 1/\alpha = 2.698e+10 \) (1/m²)

For testing purposes the foam F0 is used, only, foams F1, F2, F3 are not evaluated here, because the relevant models are created as foam body (permeability parameters of F0) with perforations and grooves.

**NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS**

The basic model of the numerical simulation was designed and the software was run with the input equation and the parameters form the experimental results. The basic model is shown in figure 7.

The main results of the numerical simulation are shown in the table 3. Differences between flows of inlet and outlet are not important. Even if the holes area is 1.1% of the total area of sample, the main flow is going through holes and the flow through the foam material is less than 1% approximately. It could be stated that the foam is practically impermeable and used as compressible cushion.

![Fig. 7. Basic model of numerical simulation](image-url)

| Samples | Area (m²) | Mass flow (kg/s) |
|---------|-----------|------------------|
| inlet | Foam      | 0.012858 (78.2%) | 0.92794e-6 (0.3) |
|         | Grooves   | 0.003415 (20.8%) | 2.24480e-6 (0.8) |
|         | Holes     | 0.000178 (1.1)   | 2.80000e-4 (98.8) |
|         | Sum       | 0.016452         | 2.83300e-4 (0.415e-4) |
| outlet | Foam      | 0.016273 (98.9%) | 2.07184e-6 (0.7) |
|         | Grooves   | 0.000178 (1.1)   | 2.81000e-4 (99.2) |
|         | Holes     | 0.000178 (1.1)   | 2.81000e-4 (99.2) |
|         | Sum       | 0.016451         | 2.83300e-4 (0.415e-4) |

The main serial of flow fields presents main qualitative results. To get detailed of individual flow fields different scales are used. The model is shown with different graphical representation.

Pressure is penetrating into blind/closed grooves, stopped at the groove bottom. In continuous holes the value is low due to conversion of the pressure into velocity.

The main flow is through holes, the flow through foam is extremely low.
Flow in grooves is stopped at the groove bottom and slightly is penetrating into foam volume. Simulation can explain details of flow in permeable volume. The velocity field at the inlet plane is maximum in the holes. Local maximum in larger cross sections (in T-junctions). Individual irregularities could be suppressed by finer mesh.

Figures 8–13 show flow fields from main qualitative results. To get full details of individual flow fields different scales are used. The model is shown with different graphical representation.

**Foam under load (pressed)**

Hypothesis for this part of the model is that if the foam is pressed then the foam volume by 50% in the flow direction. The inner permeable cells are also pressed by 50% too, so eventually the permeability is also decreasing by 50% too. And more, it is supposed that geometry deformation is along the axis of deformation and there is no abnormal shape or buckling in cross directions. For simple verification the model geometry remains the same. New parameters of permeability are determined as in the table 4 from the condition of 50% of measured flow. Results are summarized in the tabel 5 where original mass flows mass flows through foam and grooves is decreased by 28% and 27% respectively of original values. It means from 1% of the whole flow to 0.3%...
approximately. It has not any influence on the flow through holes, as it represents 99% of the whole flow and some small flow changes in foam and grooves does not have any influence.

Testing cases of full foams

Two cases of full foams (F0, F1) were solved; differences between simulated and measured mass flows are 1%–5%, only. The detailed geometry gives good imagination about fine details of velocity and pressure field in the foam volume, the main flow is going through perforations.

On the other side, the simple model of “foam” presents the average flow, regardless of perforations and grooves. Therefore the correlation of measured and simulated mass flow is very good, but details of flow field inside the foam volume cannot be perceived.

Figure 14 shows differences between mass flows in F0 and F1.

CONCLUSION

The results from many solved cases of numerical flow simulation in the PU-foam with the perforation can be summarized as below

| PERMEABILITY PARAMETERS FOR 50% FLOW (DUE TO FOAM PRESSING) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| $C_2$ | $\alpha$ (m²) | $1/\alpha$ (1/m²) |
| F0    | 1.111E+10     | 7.052E-12         | 1.418E+11 |

1. It is possible to state that the method of numerical flow simulation used for the air permeability through porous medium gives a good imagination about the main features of the flow field in complicated structures, considering both continuous and blind air volumes and foam volumes (for instance the pressure or velocity distribution. concentration of the flow in the foam area around individual holes and grooves, flow spreading from the groove bottom in foam. flows in foam. induced by strong flow in adjoining holes etc.).

2. Using the simple geometry of full foam (case F0). The difference between mass flows measured and simulated is very low (few percentage only). In case of F0 there is not much flow of air. Therefore it could be stated that strong flows through perforated holes have the main effect in total mass flow balance and the flow through foam is negligible.

3. Influence of pressed foam layer has practically no influence on the total flow. According to previous points. The main part is flowing through “empty” holes practically without resistance (compared with reduced flow through the foam volume. due to the higher foam resistance after pressing). The simulation can be used to test it with even more added layers and check the permeability of sandwich car seat covers.
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