Radiosensitivity in patients affected by ARPC1B deficiency: a new disease trait?
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Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 1B (ARPC1B) deficiency is a recently described inborn error of immunity (IEI) presenting with combined immunodeficiency and characterized by recurrent infections and thrombocytopenia. Manifestations of immune dysregulation, including colitis, vasculitis, and severe dermatitis, associated with eosinophilia, hyper-IgA, and hyper-IgE are also described in ARPC1B-deficient patients. To date,
Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation seems to be the only curative option for patients. ARPC1B is part of the actin-related protein 2/3 complex (Arp2/3) and cooperates with the Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein (WASp) in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton remodeling and in driving double-strand break clustering for homology-directed repair. In this study, we aimed to investigate radiosensitivity (RS) in ARPC1B-deficient patients to assess whether it can be considered an additional disease trait. First, we performed trio-based next-generation-sequencing studies to obtain the ARPC1B molecular diagnosis in our index case characterized by increased RS, and then we confirmed, using three different methods, an increment of radiosensitivity in all enrolled ARPC1B-deficient patients. In particular, higher levels of chromatid-type aberrations and γH2AX foci, with an increased number of cells arrested in the G2/M-phase of the cell cycle, were found in patients’ cells after ionizing radiation exposition and radiomimetic bleomycin treatment. Overall, our data suggest increased radiosensitivity as an additional trait in ARPC1B deficiency and support the necessity to investigate this feature in ARPC1B patients as well as in other IEI with cytoskeleton defects to address specific clinical follow-up and optimize therapeutic interventions.
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**Highlights**
- Patient with a homozygous deletion c.212_226del in ARPC1B gene presenting with combined immunodeficiency, recurrent infections, thrombocytopenia, immune dysregulation, and increased radiosensitivity.
- Radiosensitivity is a new trait of ARPC1B defect.
- The arrest of damaged cells in the G2/M-phase is suggestive of a defective Arp2/3-ARPC1B complex that is unable to drive DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) clustering for homology-directed repair (HDR) but also of an impaired Aurora-A activation.

**Introduction**

Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 1B (ARPC1B) deficiency is a recently described autosomal recessive disease characterized by combined immunodeficiency (CID), thrombocytopenia, and immune dysregulation having eczema, allergy, autoimmunity, and inflammatory diseases, in addition to an increased risk of severe infections as common features (1–6). ARPC1B is mostly expressed in hematopoietic cells. It is one of the two ARPC1 isoforms belonging to the actin-related protein 2/3 (Arp2/3) complex, which is essential for actin nucleation and polymerization in different critical cellular processes (e.g., phagocytosis, vesicular trafficking, and lamellipodia extension) and, as a centrosomal protein, for proper chromosome segregation during mitosis (7–9). After binding to the activated Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein (WASp), ARPC1B supports the assembly and stability of new actin filaments. Moreover, the Arp2/3 complex plays an essential role in DNA damage response (DDR) by promoting chromatin dynamics, driving double-strand break (DSB)
clustering and repair by homology-directed repair (HDR) (7). Likewise, WASp plays a crucial role in the cell-protective and cell-repair mechanisms not only delimited in the nucleus but also involving the Golgi-dispersal response (GDR) in the cytoplasm (10). Although defects in actin polymerization explain several anomalies in chromosomal mobility and immune dysregulation, many aspects of the ARPC1B immunodeficiency are still poorly understood, and its role in DNA repair was never reported.

In the present study, starting from the characterization of a severe CID patient affected by ARPC1B deficiency presenting with an increased radiosensitivity (RS), we further investigated RS in other ARPC1B patients to assess whether it could be considered a trait of the disease.

Methods (see supplementary data for further details)

Patients and ethics statements

The case index patient (PtII-1) was enrolled, monitored, and treated during the follow-up at the Children’s Hospital Bambino Gesù (Rome). In addition, three previously molecularly and clinically defined ARPC1B patients (4, 5) were enrolled in the study to investigate the RS trait, other than WAS and AT patients who were analyzed as control subjects characterized by altered/increased radiosensitivity.

All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Blood samples, EBVB cell lines, and fibroblast cells were obtained from all enrolled subjects after obtaining informed consent following standard ethical procedures with approval of the Children’s Hospital Bambino Gesù Ethical Committee (1702_OPBG_2018), the Institutional Ethical Committee of San Raffaele Hospital (TIGET06, TIGET09), and Gaslini Hospital.

Molecular investigations

External companies performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) (https://www.genewiz.com/en-GB/Public/Services/Next-Generation-Sequencing/). WES and WGS statistics and data output are reported in Supplementary Table S2. WGS variants within coding regions are reported in Supplementary Table S3. Sanger sequencing on gDNA isolated from total PBMCs was performed to confirm the presence of mutations in ARPC1B (NCBI NM_005720.4) and SLC6A19 (NCBI NM_001003841.3) genes (ABI PRISM 3130, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Radiosensitive assays

Irradiation

Epstein–Barr virus B (EBVB) cell lines were exposed at room temperature to 30 cGy X-rays (Gillardoni MGL 300/6D at 250 kV, 6 mA). After 3 h of incubation with $5 \times 10^{-6}$ M colchicine, cells were harvested, and chromosome preparations were obtained using conventional methods. Hundred Giemsa-stained metaphases were scored for each experimental point in repeated independent experiments. For the scoring of γH2AX foci, fibroblasts were exposed to 1 Gy, fixed at 30 min, 2 h, 4 h, and 24 h, and the quantitative analysis of γH2AX was performed by counting by eye foci in at least 50 nuclei after counterstaining with DAPI (Zeiss Axiophot).

Bleomycin assay

EBVB cells were treated with chemical radiomimetic-induced DNA damage bleomycin (BLM) (9 µM, 1 h/37°C) and analyzed by FACS or IF for γH2AX at different time points of incubation (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 24 h) depending on the type of experiments. DNA damage repair, cell cycle phases, and cell viability were investigated. Details relative to FACS analysis and immunofluorescence/confocal laser microscopy protocols are reported in the Supplementary section.

Results

Characterization of the ARPC1B index case

A 12-year-old girl (PtII-1, herein referred to as the index case) born from consanguineous parents (Supplementary Figure S1A) was admitted at the age of 2 months for hematemesis, severe hemorrhagic diarrhea, perianal and oral ulcers, persistent eosinophilia, failure to thrive, and severe atopic dermatitis refractory to treatment. Her clinical course was complicated by S. aureus sepsis with an abscess in the site of central venous catheter (CVC) insertion. The family history resulted positive for allergy and psoriasis. During the follow-up period, we observed marked improvement in diarrhea and ulcerations, but the persistence of recurrent infections, mostly otitis (P. aeruginosa, E. coli, P. mirabilis) and severe psoriatic dermatitis with lymph and mononuclear infiltrates (Supplementary Figure S1B). All the information related to the therapeutic treatment in the follow-up period was detailed and reported in the Supplementary section. Immune evaluation (Supplementary Table S1A) showed progressively increasing levels of IgA and total and antigen-specific IgE with the presence of ASMA, ANCA, anti-PLTs, and anticardiolipin autoantibodies (Supplementary Table S1B) together with mild thrombocytopenia. Monthly IVIG substitution and specific antibiotic treatment and prophylaxis
were started. Although she had normal values of lymphocytes with polyclonal TCR repertoire and normal T-cell proliferation upon in vitro stimulations (PHA/OKT3/Candida/Tetanus toxoid), an increased memory T cell subset was confirmed over time, and a low memory B-cell subset was found. Additionally, progressive lymphopenia with an increment of CD21low memory cells was observed. Regulatory T cells (CD4+CD25hiCD127lowFoxp3+) were also increased, showing mainly memory phenotype and reduced Helios (IKZF2) co-expression (Supplementary Figure S2) consistent with reduced suppressive activity (5). During the clinical follow-up CD4+CD45RO+CXCR5+ follicular helper T-cell (Tfh) frequencies varied accordingly to the therapy received (Supplementary Table S1A). Additionally, T-cell proliferation.

Hartnup disorder (OMIM 234500) (11) (Supplementary Figure S3) disclosed a high number of ARPC1B-deletion patients from two previous described ARPC1B patients (4, 5), we included a subcutaneous administration of dupilumab (300 mg every 4 weeks) with significant improvement in both skin rash and itchiness. The progressive and severe clinical picture suggestive of EI with immune dysregulation strongly pointed to the presence of an additional genetic defect/s as the underlying cause of the condition. A new analysis performed by trio-based WGS revealed (Supplementary Table S3) a homozygous in-frame 15-bp deletion, c.212_226del (p.Gly71_Asn75del) in the ARPC1B gene expression through FACS and immunofluorescence assay (IF).

To further confirm our results, RS was explored in ARPC1B and WAS patients’ EBV cells treated with the radiomimetic DNA-cleaving agent bleomycin (BLM) by assessing γH2AX expression through FACS and immunofluorescence assay (IF). The obtained results showed an increment of the γH2AX level in almost all ARPC1B-deficient patients’ cells following 2 h of treatment, which was more evident in PtII-1, Pt1-ARPC1B, and Pt1-WAS (Figure 2A). We also investigated cells obtained from PtII-1’s parents (carriers of mutations) that are in comparable to HDs and not radiosensitive (data not shown).

The characterization of cell cycle phases in patients’ EBV cells revealed their different distribution during the cell cycle,
with an expansion of the S- and G2/M-phases for all patients' untreated cells and PtII-1, Pt1-ARPC1B, and Pt1-WAS after BLM treatment (Figure 2B). Notably, combining this evidence with the analysis of γH2AX expression in cell cycle phases, we highlighted an increased number of cells arrested in G2/M that expressed high levels of γH2AX in all patients' BLM-treated cells, ranging up to threefold compared to HDs, with the exception of Pt3-ARPC1B (Figure 2C). To investigate the inter/intrapatent variability in terms of cell viability and γH2AX accumulation, we then performed kinetic experiments on EBVB cells from patients and HDs for 24 h of culture after BLM treatment (Figure 3). As reported in Figure 3A, all investigated patients showed a higher γH2AX level at almost all time points, albeit with different rates with respect to HDs. Interestingly, the analysis of surviving fractions (7AAD-negative cells) revealed a comparable viability profile in all samples, including HDs, although with a variable percentage of survival that was particularly reduced in some ARPC1B and WAS patients (Figure 3B). Of note, the cell cycle progression analysis confirmed an expansion of γH2AX+ cells upon BLM treatment, particularly evident in the G2/M-phase in PtII-1 (at all time points) and Pt3-ARPC1B1 cells (after 8 h) (Figure 3C). The comparison of ARPC1B and WAS patients with HD groups disclosed a statistically significant higher frequency of γH2AX during the first 8 h, although with slight differences between patients' groups (Figure 3D), whereas PtII-1 showed the largest increase in RS over time compared to other patients (ARPC1B, WAS) and HDs.

Finally, immunofluorescence analysis revealed a higher γH2AX protein expression in EBVB cells derived from ARPC1B- and WAS-deficient patients after 4 h of BLM treatment (Figures 4A, B). The γH2AX increment was statistically significant for all ARPC1B patients compared with HDs (**0.01 < p-value < ****0.0001) (Figure 4C). Interestingly, Pt3-ARPC1B, which displayed a borderline increased expression...
of γH2AX after 2 h of treatment by FACS, showed a higher increment after 4 h by both FACS and IF analysis. Moreover, cell radiosensitivity was also corroborated in Pt3’s derived fibroblasts along with an altered F-actin expression with abnormal branch morphology, suggesting a defective cytoskeleton organization (Supplementary Figure S7).

All this evidence seems to suggest a diverse time-dependent accumulation and clearance of γH2AX foci among the different ARPC1B and WAS patients.

**Discussion**

The present study started with the investigation of a CID patient presenting with recurrent infections, thrombocytopenia, and immune dysregulation. Additionally, the patient showed increased memory T cells, low memory B cells, and increased Treg frequency with low Helios expression, other than an unbalanced Th2 polarization with increased IgE reactivity. Surprisingly, the patient’s cells showed an increased IR-induced RS.

Preliminary molecular studies revealed a homozygous mutation in the SLC6A19 gene (11). Since the identified mutation did not explain the clinical and immunological phenotype and no evidence of RS has been described in Hartnup disease, we performed advanced molecular investigations. Following two inconclusive WES approaches, only a WGS allowed the identification of a second homozygous deletion in the ARPC1B gene, highlighting the importance of re-evaluating molecular and functional investigations of unresolved cases strongly suggestive of IEI on a periodic basis.

Thus, our patient represents the first case of ARPC1B deficiency in which an increased radiosensitivity was identified. Moreover, in contrast with other reported cases of ARPC1B patients (1–6), a marked increase of multiple autoantibodies and an increased percentage of CD21low B cells were detected since the first months of life. In addition,
such immune perturbation was associated to severe autoimmunity at the gastrointestinal level in our patients, with symptoms mimicking very early inflammatory bowel disease. Indeed, an altered B-cell tolerance resulting from defective BCR stimulation due to an altered actin polymerization has recently been described (14).

In light of these preliminary findings, the purpose of this study was to investigate RS in other ARPC1B patients to evaluate if this trait can be considered a common hallmark. Indeed, although some IEI show higher RS (15, 16) and other ones show actin cytoskeletal defects (17–20), these two features were not considered together previously.

We confirmed an increased RS in ARPC1B-deficient patients using different methods, including the evaluation of chromatid aberrations and the γH2AX accumulation (21–23) in irradiated EBVB and fibroblast cells, respectively, or in bleomycin-treated EBVB cells by FACS and IF assays (22–24). Accordingly, in our index patient, we also found a decrement of TCR-Va7.2 expressing T-lymphocytes and an altered PROMIDISα signature that could partially explain the immune dysregulated manifestations (12).

The use of phosphorylated γH2AX as a biomarker for RS has been supported by evidence showing that it is one of the earliest events during the DNA damage response and that its activation correlates with the rate of DSB re-joining (a sensitive marker of DSB repair) (21, 22). In light of this, an increment of γH2AX in the G2/M-phase after DNA damage induction could be explained by a defective HDR mechanism mostly in ARPC1B patients compared to the other WAS and AT patients. As reported by Schrank et al. (7), the arrest of damaged cells could be caused by a defective Arp2/3 complex in driving DNA DSB clustering for HDR repair during the G2-phase of the cell cycle. Differently, WASp has been demonstrated not only to specifically activate Arp2/3 at DSB sites undergoing HDR but also to exert its specific activity in DSB repair independently of the cell cycle stage, as ATM predominantly does in the nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) mechanism repair (7). Although the mechanism of action is not very clear, and further studies will be needed to discriminate the roles of ARPC1B and WAS proteins in these two different mechanisms of DNA repair, we supposed that ARPC1B patients’ cells are able...
to trigger the DNA damage response, but they fail in its resolution, causing the maintenance of γH2AX. Moreover, ARPC1B localizes to centrosomes and interacts with Aurora-A kinase, influencing cell cycle progression, particularly in the G2/M-phase, and DNA end-resection in the HDR process, preventing mitotic entry (8, 25–27). Indeed, the negative effect of ARPC1B on Aurora-A activity has been clearly described by Molli et al. (8) to be responsible for delayed mitotic entry in ARPC1B-depleted cells (8, 27). However, in PttII-1 patients, γH2AX accumulation was particularly higher compared to the other ARPC1B patients, so we cannot exclude that other factors influence the RS trait.

Investigating the fate of damaged cells, we found a similar kinetic profile of cell survival during the 24 h of recovery culture in all tested samples, although with a cell line-specific rate before and after BLM treatment.

Of note, although a higher incidence of cancer has not been reported in ARPC1B patients so far, probably due to the early age of patients, our results encourage the need to monitor the disease evolution in these patients as in other defective HDR proteins that, albeit mildly affected, can lead to an increased risk of developing cancers (28–31).

Concluding remarks

In conclusion, here we described, for the first time, an increased RS as a disease trait in ARPC1B deficiency. This trait can occur at different levels of severity depending on host factors that are still undefined. Further studies are needed to address the clinical relevance to designing specific clinical management and optimizing therapeutic interventions, including the choice of the HSCT conditioning regimen.
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SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1
(A) Family pedigree showing proband (II1), carrier parents (I1, I2) and sister (II2). (B) Dermatological manifestations: blepharitis, papular and macular lesions of the face (a); dryness and lichenification on the upper arm and papular lesions on the trunk (b); flat warts on the dorsum of the right hand (c); erythematous plaques and erosions on the left axilla (d).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2
Increased patient’s Treg cells. Frequency of CD4+CD25hiCD127lowFoxp3+ Treg cells, on the left, and Treg memory phenotype (CD45RA-) with Helios expression, on the right, from PtII-1 compared to an age-matched HD.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3
Sanger sequencing characterization of PtII-1 and her family members. Sanger sequencing confirmed the homozygous deletion c.212_226del in ARPC1B gene (on the left panel). The red box in the ARPC1B wt sequence indicates the fifteen deleted nucleotides absent in Pt-II1 in which two inverted brackets show the break point; the overlapping ARPC1B sequence (black-wt and red-deleted) in I1, I2 and II2 indicates the carrier status. The right panel shows the homozygous mutation c.1606G>A in the SLC6A19 gene. The red point in the SLC6A19 sequence indicates the substituted nucleotide and double picks indicate the carrier status.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4
(A) FACS analysis of ARPC1B protein expression on T-, B-, NK- cells and monocytes: the gate indicates the ARPC1B positive percentage relative to PtII-1. FMO means fluorescence minus one. (B) Western Blot of ARPC1B protein performed on EBVB and PHA-derived T cell lines. (C) showed the percentage of migrated PHA T-cells after 3 hours of stimulation with increasing concentrations of SDF1-a evaluated in PtII-1 and Pts ARPC1B (Pt2 and Pt3). Column bar graph shows mean ± standard error mean (SEM).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5
Radio-sensitive studies: the upper panel shows primary fibroblasts stained with γH2AX after irradiation with 1 Gy and the lower panel shows the chromosomal sensitivity of EBVB cells treated in the G2-phase of the cell-cycle with 30 cGy X-rays. Statistical significance was evaluated with Student’s t-test: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6
T Va7.2+/CD161- subset cells and TCRα signature in PtII-1 and parents’ cells. (A) up FACS analysis of the TCR-Va7.2 expression on CD5+ cells from patient (on the right), mother (in the middle) and father (on the left); (A)-down shows the measure of the naive T cell subset (CD5+CD4
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SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 7

Radiosensitivity and cytoskeleton characterization of P3 fibroblasts. Confocal representative images of F-actin (red) and γH2AX (green) expression with nuclei counterstained in blue on primary fibroblasts obtained from P3-ARPC1B and HD, w/o or with BLM treatment (9µM/ln) and a repair incubation time (4 hours).