Semi-analytical finite-element modeling approach for guided wave assessment of mechanical degradation in bones
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ABSTRACT

Numerical models based on the Semi Analytical Finite-Element method are used to study the characteristics of guided wave modes supported by bone-like multi-layered tubular structures. The method is first validated using previous literature and experimental studies on phantoms mimicking healthy and osteoporotic conditions of cortical bone, and later used to study a trilayer marrow–bone–tissue system at varying mechanical degradation levels. The results show that bone condition strongly affects the modal properties of axially propagating guided waves and indicates that L(0,3) and F(1,6) are suitable modes for assessing the mechanical condition of the bone. The work here reports suitable modal selection and their dispersion properties which would aid in development of a transduction mechanism for mechanical assessment of bones.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Osteoporosis is a serious medical condition characterized by degradation of biomechanical properties of bone, thus increasing fragility and posing an increased risk of fracture. Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA), currently used method for diagnosing osteoporosis, can be used to estimate the bone mineral density (BMD) by gauging the absorption level of the X-ray beams by the bone (excluding the soft tissue absorption). However, this method is intrinsically insensitive to mechanical properties or the micro-architecture of the bone (Kanis et al. 1994). DXA may also provide skewed results as a result of indirectly calculating fat mass (Minati 2014), and is not optimal for detection of high fracture risk (Kanis 1994; European Community 1998). Being a 2D projectional measurement, areal BMD is, for instance, also unable to distinguish between differential changes occurring in the cortical and trabecular bones at the femoral neck (Grimal et al. 2013). Other methods such as X-ray Quantitative Computed Tomography allow for much higher resolutions but are limited to certain skeletal sites and involve processing and technical challenges (Adams 2009; Cheung et al. 2013).

Guided ultrasonic waves have been studied as a potentially convenient, inexpensive, and radiation-free alternative method for characterizing cortical bones (see e.g. Tatarinov et al. 2005; Moilanen et al. 2008). For osteoporosis detection, guided waves could potentially characterize the bone as it is sensitive to change in elastic properties of the cortical and trabecular bones as well as to the presence of bone structural features. They may also allow for independent analysis on the cortical and trabecular compartments of the bone to characterize them separately and thus the results can be combined to assess the fracture risk for the complete bone system (Laugier & Haïat 2011).

Set in this context, this paper seeks to study the dispersion characteristics of low-frequency-guided wave modes in the multi-layered bone system with soft tissue and marrow. The studies are carried out using an implementation of the semi-analytical finite-element (SAFE) method validated against literature and experiments with bilayer bone-mimicking phantom tubes. The SAFE method involves a Finite-Element (FE) representation of only the cross-section of the waveguide as it assumes that the wave field is harmonic in the wave propagation direction ($x_3$ axis in this paper). The governing wave equations are...
expressed in the form of an Eigen value problem where each Eigen value represents an associated wave number. The SAFE approach has been detailed further in Section 2.2.

Following the computation of the dispersion properties of the bone system, the paper then attempts to identify guided wave modes which are most suitable for the assessment of mechanical degradation in bones. This is based upon the velocity difference shown by the guided wave modes between healthy and multiple degraded conditions. This then would then serve as a theoretical framework which can be utilized to design a transduction system for characterizing the bone condition. Hence suitability of guided wave modes in a practical implementation has also been discussed.

1.2. Background

Computational and experimental studies of Lamb waves in bone-mimicking plates incorporating viscoelasticity, anisotropy, and soft tissues have been reported in recent years (see: Naili et al. 2010; Nguyen and Naili (2012), (2013); Chen et al. 2012; Foiret et al. 2014; Bochud et al. 2015). More recently, modal characteristics in tubular waveguides (Bossy et al. 2004) have been studied, with reports on how features such as fracture-healing (Protopappas et al. 2006) and tube geometry, viscoelasticity and anisotropy of the bone, marrow, and surrounding tissue affect wave propagation (Haïat et al. 2011; Moilanen et al. (2007), (2008); Ta et al. 2009; Chen & Su 2014; Lee & Yoon 2012). However, most previous Lamb-based and 3D tubular (cylindrical)-guided wave studies do not address the essential interactions between the bone, tissue, and marrow and its influences on guided wave propagation.

In the work reported here, an attempt is made to predict the dispersion curves for axially propagating guided waves in the bone system using the SAFE method (explained in Section 2.2. of this paper). The SAFE approach allows incorporation of features such as bone anisotropy, surrounding tissue layers, and the viscoelastic marrow regime without any major changes in its central formulation. Moreover, irregular cross-sections can also be considered, as long as these are axially uniform.

2. Methods

2.1. Problem studied

2.1.1. Validation models

We first validated our SAFE implementation for modeling bone systems against results obtained from a previously published paper by Chen and Su (2014). The material properties for this study (called model 1) are shown in Table 1. Following this, we also compared our SAFE modeling approach against experimental studies performed on bone-mimicking phantoms in a healthy and osteoporotic

### Table 1. Material properties for model 1 (validation model of cortical bone coated with/without fluid layer).

| Material     | Young's modulus (GPa) | Poisson's ratio | Density (kg/m³) | Bulk's modulus (GPa) |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Cortical bone| 16.46                  | 0.373           | 1850            | –                     |
| Fluid layer  | –                      | –               | 1000            | 2.2                   |

Figure 1. Illustration of (a) model 1 – Cortical bone (inner radius 7 mm and thickness 3 mm) filled with marrow and (b) model 3 – Cortical bone additionally surrounded by tissue (thickness 5 mm).
The cross-sectional view of these phantoms is shown in Figure 1(a) which involves a healthy/osteoporotic cortical bone (inner radius 7 mm and thickness 3 mm) filled with marrow and coated with tissue (5 mm). For the purpose of SAFE simulations, all materials were assumed as isotropic. The marrow was modeled as an equivalent visco-elastic isotropic solid with complex material constants whose imaginary part accounts for the damping effect (Fan 2010). The properties for model 2 are tabulated in Table 2.

2.1.2. Bone model

Finally, we consider a multiple layered cortical bone model, (model 3) which is based on the properties of the bone phantoms but bear an extra outer coating layer of soft tissue. Figure 1(b) illustrates model three involving a cortical bone (inner radius 7 mm and a thickness of 3 mm) filled with viscous marrow and coated with soft tissue (thickness 5 mm). Model 3 will be studied at varying levels of degradation, where the Young’s modulus and density of the healthy cortical bone is reduced in steps and dispersion characteristics for each level are compared against the healthy case. Properties for varying degradation levels and soft tissue are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

2.2. SAFE method implementation

In this paper, we use the SAFE approach presented by Predoi et al. (2007) and Castaings and Lowe (2008). The procedure, given in some detail in our prior publications in the general context of guided ultrasound in complex media (see Pattanayak et al. 2015; Ramdhas et al. 2015; Manogharan et al. 2016), is briefly outlined below.

Equation (1) expresses the equilibrium wave equation as a 2-D Eigen value problem to be solved for wave number $k$ in the propagation direction:

$$\sum_{q=1}^{3} \sum_{r,s=1,2} C_{prqs} \frac{\partial^2 U_q}{\partial x_r \partial x_s} + \left(\sum_{q=1}^{3} C_{prqs} \right) \frac{\partial (k U_q)}{\partial x_r} - \kappa C_{p3q3} (k U_q) + \rho a^2 \delta_{pq} U_q = 0$$

where the subscript $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $r, s \in \{1, 2\}$. The procedure, given in some detail in our prior publications in the general context of guided ultrasound in complex media (see Pattanayak et al. 2015; Ramdhas et al. 2015; Manogharan et al. 2016), is briefly outlined below.

Equation (1) expresses the equilibrium wave equation as a 2-D Eigen value problem to be solved for wave number $k$ in the propagation direction:

The properties for model 2 are tabulated in Table 2.

Table 2. Material properties for cortical bone and tissue Wydra and Maev (2013) used in the bone models (Bone models 2&3).

| Material          | Young’s modulus (GPa) | Poisson’s ratio | Density (kg/m$^3$) |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Healthy cortex    | 14.95                  | 0.31            | 2300               |
| Osteoporotic cortex | 12.17                 | 0.31            | 2150               |
| Soft tissue       | 6                      | 0.43            | 1250               |

Table 3. Material properties for varying levels of degradation w.r.t healthy cortical bone in model 3-cortical bone (inner radius of 7 mm & thickness 3 mm) filled with marrow and coated with tissue (5 mm).

| Osteoporosis level | Young’s Modulus (GPa) (%age reduction) | Poisson’s ratio | Density (kg/m$^3$) (%age reduction) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1                  | 11.96 (20%)                            | 0.31            | 2145 (7%)                           |
| 2                  | 10.465 (30%)                           | 0.31            | 2070 (10%)                          |
| 3                  | 8.97 (40%)                             | 0.31            | 1993 (13%)                          |

Figure 2. A photograph of the experimental setup used for experimental validation studies.
Olympus V125-RM, central frequency of 2.25 MHz and diameter of 6.35 mm) or Longitudinal (for instance, Olympus V154-RM, central frequency of 2.25 MHz and diameter of 12.7 mm) and a laser Doppler vibrometer (Polytec OFV-2570). In order to scan a range of frequencies, the input signal was set to a five cycle Hanning windowed toneburst with a center frequency between 50 and 200 kHz as appropriate. The peak voltage used in all measurements was 200 V. The laser vibrometer picked up the stress waves at the receiving end and hence this allowed us to capture the transmitted signal amplitude vs. time. The experimental velocities were then extracted using the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) (Kwun et al. 1999; Niethammer et al. 2001; Ta et al. 2006). The STFT was used to provide a time-amplitude representation as a function of frequency. The time-of-flight of each mode was then extracted as a function of frequency.

The material and geometrical properties of the phantoms were adapted into model 2 which involves a healthy/osteoporotic cortical bone filled with a viscous marrow. The cortical bone has been modeled as an isotropic solid with properties as given in Table 2 and the bone marrow axial power flow in the bone, which represents the propagating mode guided along the bone. The phase velocity $V_{ph} = \omega/k$ is then calculated for all propagating modes.

### 2.3. Experimental studies with bone phantoms

Experimental studies were performed on bone phantoms to validate the SAFE approach. Phantoms of cortical bone were acquired from the Institute for Diagnostic Imaging Research, University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada (see Wydra & Maev 2013). The dimensions were chosen to be similar to the middle section of a typical cortical bone like the radius. The phantoms were made of a specially designed composite material using epoxy resin and alumina powder (Wydra & Maev 2013) which closely mimics the cortical bone with properties as shown in Table 2. The phantoms were 150-mm long filled with a polyurethane material which matches the acoustical properties of bone marrow (Bulk modulus 2.2 GPa, viscosity of 37cP and a density of 1000 kg/m$^3$) (Gurkan & Akkus 2008).

The experimental setup, as shown in Figure 2, comprised of an ultrasonic transducer, either Shear (for instance, Olympus V125-RM, central frequency of 2.25 MHz and diameter of 6.35 mm) or Longitudinal (for instance, Olympus V154-RM, central frequency of 2.25 MHz and diameter of 12.7 mm) and a laser Doppler vibrometer (Polytec OFV-2570). In order to scan a range of frequencies, the input signal was set to a five cycle Hanning windowed toneburst with a center frequency between 50 and 200 kHz as appropriate. The peak voltage used in all measurements was 200 V. The laser vibrometer picked up the stress waves at the receiving end and hence this allowed us to capture the transmitted signal amplitude vs. time. The experimental velocities were then extracted using the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) (Kwun et al. 1999; Niethammer et al. 2001; Ta et al. 2006). The STFT was used to provide a time-amplitude representation as a function of frequency. The time-of-flight of each mode was then extracted as a function of frequency.

The material and geometrical properties of the phantoms were adapted into model 2 which involves a healthy/osteoporotic cortical bone filled with a viscous marrow. The cortical bone has been modeled as an isotropic solid with properties as given in Table 2 and the bone marrow

**Figure 3.** Phase velocity dispersion curves from SAFE analysis (dots) and from Chen and Su (2014) (solid line, dashed line), for model 1 (cortical tube coated without and with a fluid layer, respectively).
has been modeled as an equivalent viscoelastic solid admitting complex modulus (see e.g. Fan 2010).

3. Results

3.1. Validation against previous literature

The results obtained from SAFE analysis and the published results for model 1 involving a cortical tube with and without a fluid coating are shown in Figure 3. The dots represent the results obtained using the SAFE approach presented in this paper, for both the above cases. As seen from the figure, the results show an excellent match with the theoretical dispersion curves published in the above paper with a maximum error of 2% between the computed and reported velocities.

3.2. Experimental validation

The group velocity dispersion curves have been obtained using SAFE for model 2, as the group velocity can be easily determined using experimental procedures. Results obtained from SAFE to experiments are compared in Figure 4, for a frequency range of 50–200 kHz, in order to limit the number of modes existent in the range and thus simplify the experimental post-processing procedure.

Overall, the experimental results are within 5% of those predicted by SAFE simulations, and this gives confidence to our simulation approach. The manufacturing process of the phantom has about 2% variability in material parameters (Wydra & Maev 2013).

3.3. Dispersion characteristics for cortical bone coated with soft tissue

The method was then extended to a more realistic bone structure such that it includes a coating of soft tissue on the outside, in addition to marrow on the inside. Phase velocity dispersion curves obtained using SAFE for modified model 2 with tissue coating are shown in Figure 5. As observed from the figure, the dispersion characteristics in case of soft tissue have the cut-off frequencies of the
both axisymmetric and non-axisymmetric modes is investigated here.

The most promising longitudinal mode that has a notable velocity difference in this case is the L(0,3) mode with an average velocity difference of approximately 142 m/s (~3.7% reduction) in the frequency range of 90–130 kHz. Among non-axisymmetric modes, F(1,6) mode seems suitable as it shows the highest average velocity difference of 156 m/s (~4.77% reduction) in the frequency region of 120–180 kHz. The numerical comparison of L(0,3) and F(1,6) modes is shown in Table 4. This case will be discussed further in Section 4.1 which investigates the effect of varying levels of degradation.

4. Discussion

4.1 Effects of bone anisotropy and porosity

Although a bone is anisotropic and poroelastic, it has been approximated as an isotropic material in the literature (Nicholson et al. 2002; Protopappas et al. 2006; Moilanen et al. 2007; Ta et al. 2009; Chen & Su 2014). Cortical bone is a compact material with a small degree of internal porosity, which varies between 0 and 10% in healthy and young subjects and may increase up to 10–18% in disease states (Cooper et al. 2004; Nishiyama et al. 2010) depending upon...
the measurement site. However, with the use of guided waves we are looking at wavelength of modes in the order of few millimeters, which is much larger than the porosity in a typical cortical bone. This serves as a basis for our assumption of homogeneity of the cortical bone.

4.2. Varying levels of mechanical degradation

Based on properties of the bone phantom used in the experimental study, our results showed that the guided wave phase velocity reduces in a degraded bone as compared to a healthy bone. In order to observe if this is a general and consistent trend, further levels of material property degradation were considered. Let us examine the lowest longitudinal mode \( L(0,1) \). Since it is a dispersive mode, we will look at the low-frequency asymptote for \( L(0,1) \) mode which is given by:

\[
V_p = \sqrt{\frac{K(v)}{\rho}}
\]

(3)
as shown in Table 3. A higher degradation level corresponds to a greater decrease in the material properties and therefore models a more degraded bone structure. However as explained before, the degradation levels have been selected such that the ratio of relative reduction in Young’s modulus to relative reduction in density ($\frac{\Delta E}{\rho} / \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho}$) is maintained constant. Guided wave phase velocity dispersion curve for model 3 obtained using SAFE is presented in Figure 6. It shows that higher the degradation of the bone, lesser the phase velocity of any guided wave mode. This is evident as at a particular frequency, the phase velocity of any mode at that frequency decreases as the degradation level increases.

As seen from Figure 6 and the numerical comparison of the modes as shown in Table 5, F(1,6) and L(0,3) show the highest velocity difference in all the three cases. In the frequency range of 100–140 kHz, L(0,3) shows an average phase velocity difference (with respect to healthy case) of 145 m/s (~4.33% reduction) for Level-1, 236 m/s (~7.1% reduction) for Level-2, and 346 m/s (~10.3% reduction).
for Level-3. In the frequency range of 120–200 kHz, F(1,6) shows an average phase velocity difference (with respect to healthy case) of 159 m/s (~5.13% reduction) for Level-1, 258 m/s (~8.35% reduction) for Level-2, and 373 m/s (~12.1% reduction) for Level-3. This is further supported by the relative attenuation of these modes in the case of the healthy cortical bone as shown in Figure 7. These results agree with the findings of modified model 2 (with soft tissue coating) to confirm that F(1,6) and L(0,3) are suitable modes for assessing bone condition in the presence of soft tissue.

4.3. Power flow and mode excitability

In order to illustrate the relative energy in the cortex region of the bone across different guided wave modes, the power flow in the axial or propagating direction (Castaings & Lowe 2008) (z-axis) in the cortex compartment alone was calculated for all modes using SAFE in the frequency region of 100–200 KHz. The power flow was calculated using the Poynting vector which can be quantified as follows

\[
P_{xs} = -\text{Re} \left[ \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) (u_1^* \sigma_{31} + u_2^* \sigma_{32} + u_3^* \sigma_{33}) \right]
\]

where \( \sigma_{31}, \sigma_{32}, \) and \( \sigma_{33} \) are the corresponding axial stress components, \( u_1^*, u_2^*, \) and \( u_3^* \) are the complex conjugate of the vertical, horizontal, and axial displacements. The bone model used for illustration was model three at level 3 degradation. The power flow was then normalized with respect to the highest power flow observed across all modes at a particular frequency, which was F(1,6) mode in this case, at 110 KHz. The variation of normalized power flow in cortex region with frequency is shown in Figure 8. As seen from the figure, F(1,6) mode shows highest power flow in the cortex compared to all other modes. Additionally, L(0,3) mode shows high-power flow after F(1,6) up to a frequency of 130 KHz and previously L(0,3) mode was identified to be a promising mode in a frequency regime of 100–130 KHz. Hence, the above power flow analysis confirms that F(1,6) and L(0,3) are suitable candidates for bone characterization, since across all modes these modes show a relatively high concentration of energy in the cortex region.

**Figure 8.** Variation of normalized power flow in cortical region with frequency for model 3 at level 3 osteoporosis (40% reduction in modulus and 13% reduction in density).
4.4. Modeling assumptions

The work reported here assumes the bone to be uniform in the axial direction and having a circular cross-section with isotropic properties. The properties of the cortex were decided based upon the phantoms used for experimental studies, while the soft tissue properties were chosen based on previously published studies. Any departure from the above assumptions might influence the absolute values of the velocities reported for the guided wave modes supported by the bone system. However, the study aims to show that given a bone system incorporating a soft tissue and marrow, the modes F(1,6) and L(0,3) would potentially show higher velocity differences between healthy and degraded conditions. For instance, a study investigating the effect of dimensional degradation (change in thickness) in an anisotropic bone system shows similar results as the work reported here (Thakare et al. 2016). The non-uniformity in the bone structure in the axial and radial directions can also affect the dispersion characteristics of the bone system and hence needs further consideration.

5. Conclusions and further work

The paper presented a SAFE approach for analyzing guided wave dispersion behavior toward bone condition assessment and detection of osteoporosis. Experimental and SAFE results show a similar trend in the behavior of the dispersion characteristics however exhibiting a noted and measurable offset in velocity values. SAFE simulations of a bone model involving different levels of degradation and a coating of soft tissue show that L(0,3) and F(1,6) have the highest velocity difference in the studied frequency range.

This work is only the initial step of modal selection for guided wave assessment of bone condition. Once the optimal mode has been identified, suitable transducers capable of practical inspection will have to be developed. The transducer development process will rely on excitability of the mode (see for example, surface displacement/axial power flow definition in Wilcox et al. 2001) which can be extracted from SAFE models as described in the present paper.
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