Diversity and activity of denitrifiers of Chilean arid soil ecosystems
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The Chilean sclerophyllous matorral is a Mediterranean semi-arid ecosystem affected by erosion, with low soil fertility, and limited by nitrogen. However, limitation of resources is even more severe for desert soils such as from the Atacama Desert, one of the most extreme arid deserts on Earth. Topsoil organic matter, nitrogen and moisture content were significantly higher in the semi-arid soil compared to the desert soil. Although the most significant loss of biologically preferred nitrogen from terrestrial ecosystems occurs via denitrification, virtually nothing is known on the activity and composition of denitrifier communities thriving in arid soils. In this study we explored denitrifier communities from two soils with profoundly distinct edaphic factors. While denitrification activity in the desert soil was below detection limit, the semi-arid soil sustained denitrification activity. To elucidate the genetic potential of the soils to sustain denitrification processes we performed community analysis of denitrifiers based on nitrite reductase (nirK and nirS) genes as functional marker genes for this physiological group. Presence of nirK-type denitrifiers in both soils was demonstrated but failure to amplify nirS from the desert soil suggests very low abundance of nirS-type denitrifiers shedding light on the lack of denitrification activity. Phylogenetic analysis showed a very low diversity of nirK with only three distinct genotypes in the desert soil which conditions presumably exert a high selection pressure. While nirK diversity was also limited to only few, albeit distinct genotypes, the semi-arid matorral soil showed a surprisingly broad genetic variability of the nirS gene. The Chilean matorral is a shrubland plant community which form vegetational patches stabilizing the soil and increasing its nitrogen and carbon content. These islands of fertility may sustain the development and activity of the overall microbial community and of denitrifiers in particular.

**Keywords:** denitrifiers, nirK and nirS, semi-arid soil, desert soil, Chile

**INTRODUCTION**

Five Mediterranean-type environments exist across the six continents of the world. One of them, the sclerophyllous matorral, located between the Andean premountains and the coastal range of Central Chile extends between 30° and 38°S. This semi-arid ecosystem is characterized by a Mediterranean climate with dry summers and rainy winters (Gajardo, 1994) and by a shrubland plant community of hardleaved plant species and small trees surrounded by grasses (Fuentes et al., 1984). Its Andean part is particular in that it is covered with vegetational patches of *Colletia hystrix* (Clos) a native actinorhizal plant forming a symbiosis with the nitrogen-fixing actinomycete *Frankia* (Carú, 1993; Carú et al., 2003). This symbiosis is an important source of nitrogen input into the soil resulting in higher amounts of nitrogen in soil associated to the plants than in the bulk soil (Orlando et al., 2007).

In addition to nitrogen, microbial communities in the vicinity of plants are selectively favored by elevated carbon content and hence differed in their bacterial composition from that of the bulk soil (Orlando et al., 2007; Farias et al., 2009) or soil associated with other non-actinorhizal plants (Farias et al., 2009).

The matorral in Central Chile is flanked in the south by temperate forest and in the north by the Atacama Desert (30° to 20°S). While the northern part of the Atacama Desert is a hyperarid Mars-like environment, the southern area of the desert is considered an arid environment because it receives just some annual precipitation in the range of <20 mm mainly as water condensation from fogs and heavy dew (Gómez-Silva et al., 2008). This input of water may sustain sufficient microbial activity to close the nitrogen cycle and hence to counterbalance the atmospheric deposition which could be responsible for the accumulation of unaltered nitrate in the hyperarid parts of the Atacama Desert (Ewing et al., 2007). In addition, in the arid zone of southern Atacama Desert sporadically irregular and short rainfall pulses occur resulting in temporary increased soil moisture that cause the “desert bloom” event. These short periods may reflect “hot moments” of microbial activity and resulted in shifts in nitrate levels (Orlando et al., 2010). Elevated nitrate levels during the bloom could for instance reflect enhanced nitrification activity which would be in line with compositional changes in the ammonia oxidizer community (Orlando et al., 2010).

In arid soils microbial activity is primarily dependent on the presence of water (Gómez-Silva et al., 2008). However, nitrogen is often the most limiting nutrient in almost all of these systems (Whitford, 1992) and at moderate to cold temperatures nitrogen...
flux is driven by the production and loss of reactive nitrogen species by microbial activity in the soil (McCalley and Sparks, 2009). The most significant loss of nitrate from terrestrial ecosystems in general and from desert ecosystems in particular occurs via denitrification which is the dominant anaerobic respiratory process based on nitrogen (Bowden, 1986; Megonigal et al., 2004). During denitrification oxidized nitrogen compounds (NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$) are used as alternative electron acceptors for energy production. Nitrogen oxides are reduced stepwise to gaseous end products (NO, N$_2$O, and N$_2$) which are concomitantly released. Thus, besides limiting ecosystem productivity the process is also responsible for the production of potent greenhouse gases causing well-known climatic effects (Conrad, 1996). Two structurally different but functionally equivalent enzymes catalyze nitrite reduction: a copper- and a cytochrome cd$_1$-containing nitrite reductase encoded by the genes nirK and nirS, respectively. Both genes were useful targets in PCR-based molecular approaches to detect denitrifier communities from a variety of soil environments such as meadow (Bremer et al., 2009; Falk et al., 2010), glacier foreland (Kandeler et al., 2006; Töwe et al., 2010), forest (Priemé et al., 2002; Katsuyama et al., 2008), and arable soil (Throßbach et al., 2004; Wolsing and Priemé, 2004). However, practically nothing is known about the composition of this functional group of arid or semiarid soils. While bacterial numbers and activity in general but also those associated with nitrogen cycling are low in desert ecosystems due to the lack of water (Gómez-Silva et al., 2008), in semiarid degraded areas nitrogen cycling processes may play a key role to assist sustainable restoration practices (Bastida et al., 2009). The sclerophyllous matorral has been shown previously to harbor a rather unique bacterial community making it an unique habitat compared to other Mediterranean-type semiarid ecosystems (Farías et al., 2009). However, whether this also applies for the functional group of denitrifiers is impossible to conclude from an approach to target the soil bacterial community in general. The present report focuses on a comparative evaluation of the diversity of nirK and nirS gene fragments in a semiarid and an arid soil from two types of arid ecosystems, the sclerophyllous matorral and the Atacama Desert, and how the genetic potential for denitrification is interlinked with potential activity. Although this report focuses on data obtained for only one sampling, we assume that denitrifier communities and activity were stable over time spans in the range of decades and hence representative for the prevalent conditions in these arid areas. These data therefore constitute a valuable contribution toward an enhanced understanding of microbial communities and N-turnover in arid soil systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
SOIL SAMPLE COLLECTION
Soil samples were taken at a depth of 0–10 cm from the sclerophyllous matorral of “El Romeral” (33°48′S, 70°14′W), Cajón del Maipo, RM and from the subdesert matorral of “Sierra Pajaritos” (27°59′S, 70°34′W), Desierto de Atacama, III Región, Chile during fall (April 2006 (Figure 1). Random sampling points were selected that were separated from each other between 1 and 2 m within a plot of 15 m x 15 m at each site. The semiarid matorral samples represented bulk soil samples avoiding islands of fertility and were taken before the beginning of the rainy season. Samples from the Atacama Desert represent arid soil taken during the dry season, without any trace of the “desert bloom” event. To minimize the effects of small scale heterogeneity 10 cores collected at each sampling site were pooled and homogenized by sieving to 2-mm aggregate size and stored at 4°C.

Edaphic factors were determined as follows: pH was measured using potentiometry; moisture content and organic matter were calculated gravimetrically before and after desiccation and calcination, respectively; nitrate concentration was measured with an ion chromatograph (IC; Forster, 1995).

DENITRIFICATION ACTIVITY
N$_2$O production by denitrification was determined by the denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) assay (Smith and Tiedje, 1979). Therefore, soil equivalent to 5 g dry weight to which 5 ml sterile filtered solution of glucose (1 mM), potassium nitrate (1 mM), and chloramphenicol (1 g l$^{-1}$) were added was incubated anaerobically at 25°C. Acetylene was also added at a final partial pressure of 10 kPa to inhibit N$_2$O reduction. N$_2$O was measured with a gas chromatograph (Carlo Erba Instruments, GC 8000) connected to a $^{63}$Ni-electron capture detector at 30 min intervals during 90 min after the addition of acetylene. Data were evaluated with the software Peak simple (version 2.66, SRI Instruments).

DNA EXTRACTION, PCR, AND CLONE LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION
Soil DNA was extracted from 0.25 g of each soil composite sample using the FastDNA Soil Spin kit (Qbiogene, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was quantified and analyzed photometrically at 260 and 280 nm with a ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop® Technologies Inc., Rockland,
ME, USA). Concentrations of DNA extracted from matorral and desert soil were >50 and 10–15 ng μl⁻¹, respectively and DNA extracts were pure (A₂₆₀/₂₈₀ > 1.8). Subsequently, nirK and nirS gene fragments were PCR amplified from 1 μl of DNA (matorral soil) or 1 μl of fivefold concentrated DNA (desert soil) using primers nirK₁F–nirK₅R (Braker et al., 1998) and cd₃aF–R₃cd (Throbäck et al., 2004), respectively. PCR reactions were done as described previously (Braker et al., 1998; Throbäck et al., 2004) but 1.25 U RedAccuTaq™ LA DNA Polymerase (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany) was used. For nirK amplification the concentration of each primer was reduced to 10 pmol μl⁻¹ and annealing temperatures during the first 10 touchdown cycles started with 56°C and were kept at 54°C during the following 30 cycles. For nirS amplification the reactions were run with annealing temperatures of 57 and 55°C for 40 cycles. Amplicons of 514 bp and 425 bp for nirK and nirS, respectively, were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% [w/v (weight in volume)] agarose gels (Biozym Diagnostik, Hess. Oldendorf, Germany) followed by a 15 min staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg l⁻¹). Bands were visualized by UV excitation.

The nirK and nirS amplicons from semiarid soil were PCR amplified using the Wizard® DNA Clean-Up System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) whilst the nirK amplicons obtained from the desert soil DNA had to be gel purified using the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega) to eliminate non-specific PCR-products. Clone libraries of nirK and nirS gene fragments were constructed using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). After blue–white selection clones were screened for insertion of the proper length (nirK, 514 bp; nirS, 425 bp) using T7/M13 vector primers and inserts from positive clones were sequenced using an ABI PRISM 3100 Avant-Genetic-Analyzer Prism sequencer. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using ARB (www.arb-home.de) and the PHYLIP program package (Felsenstein, 1989). Initially, trees were calculated based on >2000 nirK and nirS amino acid sequences of nitrite reductase genes (nirK/nirS). The clone libraries of the matorral soil consisted of 31 and 40 clones for nirK and nirS, respectively and all of them contained a nirK- or nirS-type insert. For the desert soil the nirK amplification was less specific resulting in only 43 positives out of 70 clones. No amplification was obtained for this soil using nirS specific primers even if the DNA was concentrated by precipitation presumably due to very low target numbers in the extract. Threshold values of 90% amino acid sequence identity were used to define OTU which were used to calculate rarefaction curves as well as the coverage of the clone libraries. Based on this definition a Chao 1 richness of 6.5 (95%-confidence interval of 5.1–20.1) NirK–OTUs was estimated for the denitrifier community in the matorral soil while community richness was lower with only 3.0 (3.0–3.0) NirK–OTUs (data not shown) estimated for the desert soil this contrast was significant owing to the non-overlapping confidence intervals. A surprisingly high richness was estimated for nirS-type denitrifiers in the semiarid soil with 17.0 (14.5–31.9) different OTUs (data not shown).

To assess denitrifier community composition in soils of the Chilean sclerophyllous matorral and the Atacama Desert, a cultivation-independent approach was applied using PCR amplification, subsequent cloning, and phylogenetic analysis of deduced amino acid sequences from nitrite reductase genes (nirK/nirS). The clone libraries of the matorral soil consisted of 31 and 40 clones for nirK and nirS, respectively, and all of them contained a nirK- or nirS-type insert. For the desert soil the nirK amplification was less specific resulting in only 43 positives out of 70 clones. No amplification was obtained for this soil using nirS specific primers even if the DNA was concentrated by precipitation presumably due to very low target numbers in the extract. Threshold values of 90% amino acid sequence identity were used to define OTU which were used to calculate rarefaction curves as well as the coverage of the clone libraries. Based on this definition a Chao 1 richness of 6.5 (95%-confidence interval of 5.1–20.1) NirK–OTUs was estimated for the denitrifier community in the matorral soil while community richness was lower with only 3.0 (3.0–3.0) NirK–OTUs (data not shown) estimated for the desert soil this contrast was significant owing to the non-overlapping confidence intervals. A surprisingly high richness was estimated for nirS-type denitrifiers in the semiarid soil with 17.0 (14.5–31.9) different OTUs (data not shown).

The coverage of the libraries was 96.8% for nirK and 87.5% for nirS in the matorral thus indicating that the extent to which the sequences in the libraries represent the total populations was rather high. No singletons were found for NirK sequences from the desert soil reflected by 100% coverage of the clone library.

| Table 1 | Comparison of the edaphic parameters and denitrification activity for the two soil types. |
| Edaphic parameters | Soil type | p Value* |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| Annual precipitation (mm) | Semiarid soil | 350 | <2.0 |
| Nitrate (μg N-NO₃⁻/gsdw⁻¹) | | 37.20 ± 10.25 | 17.71 ± 0.17 |
| Organic matter (%) | | 4.51 ± 0.95 | 0.52 ± 0.07 |
| Moisture content (%) | | 35.43 ± 5.39 | 11.99 ± 3.46 |
| pH | | 6.29 ± 0.05 | 750 ± 0.13 |
| Denitrification (ng N-N₂O₉₆⁻¹ h⁻¹) | | 1.81 ± 0.41 | ndd |

Data are means ± SD of three independent replicates.

* p Values according to unpaired t-test.

a from McKay et al., 2003.

b grams soil dry weight.

c non-detectable.
Deduced NirK amino acid sequences from the matorral soil grouped into only two distinct clusters (I and VI; Figure 2) and five OTUs. Rarefaction analysis, however, indicated that owing to the high fraction of singletons the nirK diversity was not sufficiently
The majority of NirK sequences (90.4%) were clustered with NirK from *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and *Nitrosomonas* sp. TA-921i-NH4 in three OTUs (M1, M2, and M3) in cluster I. These sequences were most similar (average 94 [87–100]% identity) to environmental clones previously obtained from rhizosphere (Henry et al., 2008), mixed deciduous marsh (Priemé et al., 2002), agricultural land (Avrahami et al., 2002; Wolsing and Priemé, 2004), and sewage sludge-amended soil (Throbäck et al., 2007). Three additional sequences (OTUs M4 and M5) grouped in two different subclusters (Cluster VIa/b) and showed amino acid similarity to cultivated denitrifiers. One of them was related to NirK from organisms belonging to the order Rhizobiales (on average 83% identity). Two others were most closely affiliated with NirK from an uncultured rhizosphere soil bacterium and clustered with NirK sequences from a variety of organisms [e.g., *Alcaligenes* sp., *Acidovorax* sp., *Paracoccus* sp., *Enterococcus* sp., *Chryseobacterium* sp., *Brucella suis*, *Pseudomonas* sp., and *Rhizobium hedyari* (88% identical)]. Many of these organisms were isolated in a cultivation-dependent study of denitrifiers from activated sludge of a municipal wastewater treatment plant (Heylen et al., 2006). NirK-type denitrifiers were even less diverse in the desert soil belonging to only three different OTUs (D1, D2, and D3) within clusters III and VIb (Figure 2) and the diversity seemed sufficiently covered by the clone library as shown by rarefaction analysis (Figure 3). These clusters are both dominated by NirK sequences from cultured denitrifiers but comprised only few environmental sequences such as those from the desert soil which clustered separately from the cultured representatives. The only overlap between NirK types from both soils occurred in cluster VIb which also included one sequence derived from the semiarid soil besides the desert soil subbranch.

Surprisingly, NirS in the semiarid soil showed a much greater genetic diversity than NirK. NirS-type nitrite reductase sequences grouped into 14 OTUs in 9 distinct clusters within the nirS gene tree (Figure 4). Most NirS sequences in this report (47.5%) were clustered with NirS from *Corynebacterium* sp. 12a (Cluster IX) and were most similar (average 85% identity) to environmental sequences obtained from a temperate mixed forest soil (Katsuyama et al., 2008), an agricultural field soil (Throbäck et al., 2004) and a short-term soil restoration chronosequence (Smith and Ogram, 2008). In addition, a significant number of clones (25%) were grouped in part with environmental clones related to the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Alcaligenes*, and *Azoarcus*; and some formed a group with *Dechloromonas* sp. R-28400 (cluster I). Additional clones fell into clusters composed of divergent lineages and shared the greatest similarity with sequences obtained from agricultural field soil (Throbäck et al., 2004) and temperate forest soil (Priemé et al., 2002; Katsuyama et al., 2008) within clusters II, III, and VII. The remaining NirS sequences were most closely affiliated with those of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* C7R12 (cluster IV), *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7 (cluster VIII), *Paracoccus* sp. (Cluster X), and *Simplicispira psychrophila* 5408T (cluster XI). However, it should be noted that the majority of NirS sequences obtained from this semiarid soil grouped together forming distinct subclusters of arid soil clones within the major clusters of the gene trees, which were divergent from previously described sequences in the database including sequences from world soils and thus revealing an unknown diversity of nirS-type denitrifiers in the matorral ecosystem.

**DISCUSSION**

It was estimated that globally up to 30% of the loss of nitrogen gas from terrestrial ecosystems originate from arid soil ecosystems,
at least in part due to the vast area (31.6% of total uncultivated land) they occupy (Bowden, 1986). Although this loss was primarily attributed to denitrification, virtually nothing is known on microbial communities in arid soils with the potential to

FIGURE 4 | Neighbor joining tree (Kimura amino acid replacement model) based on 131 deduced amino acid positions of nirS gene sequences retrieved from soil samples of the

clophyllous matorral (in bold) and other terrestrial habitats as well as from isolates (accession numbers are given in parentheses).
denitrify. This study addresses whether denitrifier communities thrive in arid soils and whether they may contribute to nitrogen losses from these ecosystems. Therefore, we explored denitrifier communities from two soils, an arid soil from the Atacama Desert and a semiarid sclerophyllous matorral soil in Central Chile, and their propensity to contribute to ecosystem nitrogen losses via denitrification. Soils of the hyperarid part of the Atacama Desert located between approximately 22° and 26° S are rich in nitrate (up to 150 μg g⁻¹ soil; Connan et al., 2007) which is introduced into the soil via lightning. It accumulates in the soil system owing to lack of denitrification activity caused by severe water limitation (Mancinelli et al., 2006; Ewing et al., 2007; Gómez-Silva et al., 2008). In the wetter southern extends of the Atacama Desert soil nitrate content is much lower, for instance 17.71 ± 0.17 μg N-NO₃⁻-g⁻¹ dw⁻¹ at our sampling station (Table 1). These lower levels are believed to result mainly from nitrogen losses via denitrification which counterbalances nitrate accumulation by closing the nitrogen cycle (Ewing et al., 2007). More active nutrient cycling may indeed be expected due to higher microbial abundance with cultivable bacteria in the range of 10⁶ CFU g⁻¹ of soil in desert soils of the southern Atacama Desert compared to <100 CFU g⁻¹ of soil in the hyperarid zone (Navarro-González et al., 2003; Connan et al., 2007).

In this study we demonstrated the presence of nirK-type denitrifiers in an arid desert soil from the southern Atacama using a cultivation-independent approach. Diversity of nirK was restricted to only few genotypes in clusters with NirK sequences of cultured denitrifiers and other environmental clones but our sequences formed habitat-specific branches separate from these sequences (Figure 2). Although we did not measure gene copy numbers, our study suggests that the abundance of nitrite reductase containing denitrifiers in this soil was presumably very low. Amplification of nirK–gene fragments was successful only if DNA extracts were concentrated by ethanol precipitation while amplification of nirS-type denitrifiers failed despite our various attempts to optimize PCR conditions.

Moreover, denitrification activity determined by the DEA assay was below the detection limit suggesting that the number of enzymes which pre-existed in the soil at the sampling time was not sufficient to sustain measurable activity even at the surplus of nitrate provided for the DEA assay. Our sampling took place during a dry period without any signs of plant growth, so the data of this study reflect only the status of denitrifier communities during dry periods which may be different from that following a rainfall event. Activity and biomass of desert soil microbial communities in general are permanently and predominantly limited by water availability, but also by carbon (Ewing et al., 2008) and nitrogen (Xie et al., 2001) or by low C:N ratios (Gallardo and Schlesinger, 1992). Occasionally, however, they can become active in response to one of the rare rainfall events that occur, for instance during the El Niño phenomenon every 7–8 years. Indeed, indication of soil nitrate reduction activity which could at least in part be due to denitrification in the southern Atacama Desert came from a previous study of Orlando et al. (2010) who observed nitrate disappearance following a desert bloom during which it had accumulated in the soil. Further support comes from another study, which confirmed the potential to denitrify of the desert soil microbial community upon incubation of the soil at 60% water holding capacity for 84 days albeit with potentials in the low range of all activities measured to date (Orlando, unpublished results). Interestingly, even after this incubation or after combined amendment with water and nitrate nirS gene remained undetectable by the PCR assays used. Taken together, our data suggest that at least nirK-type denitrifier communities are present in this desert soil, which are predominantly dormant and thus stable over decades but may become active given conditions become favorable for denitrification. Then, during short time spans they may contribute to a large part of the N-loss from this system.

The sclerophyllous matorral differs from this arid soil ecosystem by several edaphic factors including higher precipitation and soil moisture, carbon and nitrogen content but lower pH (Table 1). Hence we hypothesized more abundant and more active microbial communities. Potential denitrification activity was detectable for the semiarid matorral soil albeit only at a very low level. Although annual precipitation at our sampling site at Cajón del Maipo, Chile (350 mm; Table 1) was higher compared to, for instance, that of the Mojave desert (137 mm; www.usgs.gov), the potential denitrifying enzyme activity of the soil (1.81 ± 0.41 ng N-N₂O g⁻¹ dw⁻¹ h⁻¹) was below the range measured for the Mojave and other desert soils (9–237 ng N g⁻¹ h⁻¹) during the dry season (Peterjohn, 1991).

However, in agreement with detectable potential activity, nitrite reductase gene (nirK and nirS) fragments could be readily amplified from this soil which is indicative for the presence of denitrifiers. Denitrifiers of the nirK-type were of very limited diversity (Figures 2 and 3) and their nirK genes were in their majority closely related to nirK from Bradyrhizobium spp. recently cultivated from an experimental agricultural soil (Hashimoto et al., 2009) and from a grassland soil (Falk et al., 2010). However, rhizobial and bradyrhizobial symbionts were also isolated from a mesquite woodland soil of the Sonoran desert and were in their majority capable of nitrate respiration and denitrification in particular (Jenkins, 2003) suggesting that related organisms may play a major role in denitrification in arid soil systems. In contrast to nirK, nirS-type denitrifiers were surprisingly diverse (Figures 3 and 4), which could be in accordance with the higher numbers of nirS than nirK detected in another semiarid soil (Bastida et al., 2009). It may, however, also agree with the higher level of diversity of NirS compared to NirK in an acid soil with pH 5.4 (Braker et al., 2012), which to our knowledge was never described for neutral soils. Whether this reflects environmental preferences of nirK- and nirS-containing denitrifiers is currently unknown, probably because only few of the organisms which dominate in nature have been cultivated. Although analysis of molecular data suggests that the assembly of nirK- and nirS-type denitrifier communities in nature is governed by environmental gradients this is not well studied (Jones and Hallin, 2010). It is well-known for instance, that pH is a strong driver for bacterial community development in soils (Fierer and Jackson, 2006) but this was never addressed systematically with respect to differences between nirK- and nirS-type denitrifiers.

A previous report using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) to characterize the diversity of the bacterial community of the matorral soil showed a dominance of
Firmicutes and in addition that a significant number of the T-RFs could not be identified using suitable databases (Farias et al., 2009). When this study was refined using a cloning and sequencing approach it turned out that this semiarid soil was dominated by Proteobacteria but that Firmicutes, Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria were the next most abundant groups (unpublished data). Hence, the sclerophyllous matorral could be an ecosystem different to other arid and semiarid soils with respect to the bacterial community suggesting that this habitat could be colonized by unique bacteria especially adapted to this semiarid soil. Nevertheless, more information on the functional and genetic diversity of the microbial assemblages related to nitrogen cycling is necessary to understand the functioning of these arid soil ecosystems.
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