Transient Thermal Flux as a Way to Stimulate the Loss Dormancy on Weed Seeds
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Abstract

Summertime weeds as Digitaria sanguinalis in many agro-ecosystems exist. In several cases, a well-defined weed control could be able to predict the seed emergence, to know the physiological status of the seeds in the soil bank, as well as, the factors that control and involving their germination. The goal of this work is to establish a relationship between the thermal properties and the process where the seeds start to be viable. In this study, a soil column device refilled with a calcareous silt loam soil was used, and two split seeds groups of Digitaria sanguinalis were buried at two height levels inside the column. The soil was monitored to determine the volumetric water content, temperature and thermal properties. Preliminary results allowed a rather complete understanding of the effect of the thermal flux on Digitaria sanguinalis seeds. The outcomes about the distribution of water content in the soil profile joint to thermal dataset allowed investigating into the variability of these properties and their relationships among them for this type of soil at laboratory scale.
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1. Introduction

Digitaria sanguinalis is troublesome summer annual weed in many worldwide crops. Seeds are dormant after shedding as well as when they enter the soil bank after displacement [1]. From the point of view of weed control, in order to predict seedling emergences, it will be necessary to know the factors that control seed germination. Several authors such as [2,3,4] cite factors such as water content, bulk density and temperature as the most likely variables to have an effect on the seeds behavior and their relationship with dormancy [5]. But in many cases the probability to change the dormancy status is owing to the where and the how of the process. One of these cases is related to the heat flux. The objective of this work is to observe the relationship between the thermal flux and the germination capacity of the seeds.

2. Methodology

In this study, a soil column device constructed specifically for this experiment was used. The device was refilled with a calcareous (403 g·kg⁻¹) silt loam soil (sand 340 g·kg⁻¹, clay 40 g·kg⁻¹), with mean bulk density around 1470 kg·m⁻³, and mean total organic carbon content roughly 31 g·kg⁻¹, obtained between soil surface and 30 cm depth, from one artichoke crop located at the Llobregat Delta Plain (NE, Spain).

Figure 1. Soil column device scheme used to determine the soil thermal and hydrodynamic properties. WC = FDRs probes to measure water content, h = distance (cm) of the height of displacement of the separating funnel, SH⁻¹ = thermal sensor to measure thermal properties, ECT =thermal sensor to measure temperature.
The soil column device (Figure 1) had 33 cm height. From the bottom, the first 3 cm were refilled with gravels (4<∅<8 mm). This layer had a support function. The five centimeters above were refilled with fine sand (250<∅<1000 μm) to allow (i) a well-drainage, and (ii) a homogeneous wetting up process. The soil layer was constructed using a silt loam soil, which occupied from 25 cm depth to the top. Two scenarios were sited inside the device, level A=4 cm depth and level B=12 cm depth. Thermal and hydrodynamic properties were monitored at both levels.

To determine the volumetric water content (WC, m³·m⁻³) two EC-5 frequency domain reflectometry probes, one for each level, were used. Soil temperature (T, °C) was monitored through ECT soil/air sensor. A Decagon Devices EM-50 data-logger was required to collect the data. To determine the thermal properties one small dual-needle sensor (SH-1) was employed [6]. This kind of sensor use the heat pulse transient method and yield reliable and accuracy soil thermal diffusivity (\( \alpha \), mm²·s⁻¹) and thermal conductivity (\( \lambda \), W·m⁻¹·C⁻¹) measurements, simultaneously, volumetric specific heat (\( \rho \cdot c \), MJ·m⁻³·C⁻¹) according to relation of both properties was estimated. The SH-1 thermal sensor was placed in the middle of the two levels. Hence, we obtained a data set of soil thermal properties. The data were collected using a KD2-Pro reader-logger.

Table 1 shows the results of the soil variables monitored inside the device. Mean water content at level A was higher than level B, with differences about 2.5% (vol-vol⁻¹). Average temperature values showed that this variable was at steady-state during all experimental process, and close to temperature room values (20.4 °C). The thermal integral between both levels presented differences about 15ºC, always higher for level A, also in the maximum temperature values (A=27.9 °C; B=22.9°C). Thus, the radiation source created a slight thermal gradient inside the device. On the other hand, thermal properties showed an homogeneous values, except the volumetric specific heat, with differences about 0.5 MJ·m⁻³·C⁻¹. In fact, it was owing to the complex moisture scenarios between both levels [9].

Table 2, shows the results of the Pearson correlation among the thermal and hydraulic variables studied. On the whole, most of variables had an acceptable correlation, especially thermal conductivity versus volumetric specific heat. The value obtained for the coefficient was 0.963 for \( p \leq 0.01 \). Thus, the transient thermal flux for this type of soil, according to the specific water content conditions was enough to transmit and storage a quantity of heat on the soil matrix, which could affect the seeds bank [10].

3. Results and Discussion

\[
q_s = \frac{(Y_A - Y_B)}{SE} \tag{1}
\]

Where \( Y_A \) is the larger of the two means being compared, \( Y_B \) is the smaller of the two means being compared, and \( SE \) is the standard error data in question. This \( q_s \) value can then be compared to a \( q \) value from the studentized range distribution. If the \( q_s \) value is larger than the \( q \) critical value obtained from the distribution, the two means are said to be significantly different.

To wrap up the whole experiment, an estimation of thermal damping depth was calculated. Damping depth is a constant characterizing the decreased in thermal amplitude with an increase in distance from the soil surface [14]. Calculations were performed following the equation 2.

\[
d = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\omega}\right)^{0.5} \tag{2}
\]

Where \( \alpha \) is the thermal diffusivity, and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of temperature fluctuations at the surface. The value of the damping depth will be key to predicting the penetration into the soil of a temperature variation at the surface [11,16]. Therefore, this relationship (eq. 2) is useful for getting a general idea of how soil temperature varies with depth and time. However, the use of this model assumes uniform soil properties throughout the soil profile and a sinusoidally varying surface temperature.
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The environment experienced by the DS during the burial period was reflected in their germination capacity (Figure 3). Dormant seeds placed at 4 cm (A) differed significantly from seeds bank B (12 cm depth) in their cumulative germination percentage from DS control (83.5% and 87%, respectively, versus 1.5%). There were no statistical differences between buried DS with respect to the depth position. The period of time lasted in the experiment was sufficient to break dormancy of the seeds simulating freshly dispersed situation. However, in comparison with NDS, both DS splits (A and B) did not reach the 100% of cumulative germination (significant differences between DS and NDS for each depth position and for control as well) [12].

The dynamic of the variables water content and temperature are showed in Figure 2. The probe placed in WC-B exhibited the lowest water content over the whole period even when the temperature was higher on the top of the soil. However, the level B remained more stable than level A, although the bulb had turned on until the end of the experiment.

On the other hand, at level A the effect of the additional heat source was obvious. Several peaks of temperature reached on the soil surface affected directly the water content. These peaks could be related on one hand with the evaporation process inside the column device, and on the other hand the peaks could be related with the effect of the temperature over the EC-5 probe [9,10]. To avoid the total loss of water provoking physiological consequences for the seeds, the water consumption was supplied with additional irrigation events (dot lines). Every dot line provides a 150 ml of water, except at the point 550 hour, where provided the soil with 115 ml of water. The evaporation rates were minimized with a wet filter paper on the surface of the soil.

As far as the temperature is concerned, the variable presented higher increases at the layer A, especially at the beginning of the experiment, when soil was dry. The thermal damping depth shown high evidences between two layers (A and B). The soil worked as a buffer [11,13] lessening the transfer of the heat flux in the deepest layers of the soil.

The environment experienced by the DS during the burial period was reflected in their germination capacity (Figure 3). Dormant seeds placed at 4 cm (A) differed significantly from seeds bank B (12 cm depth) in their cumulative germination percentage from DS control (83.5% and 87%, respectively, versus 1.5%). There were no statistical differences between buried DS with respect to the depth position. The period of time lasted in the experiment was sufficient to break dormancy of the seeds simulating freshly dispersed situation. However, in comparison with NDS, both DS splits (A and B) did not reach the 100% of cumulative germination (significant differences between DS and NDS for each depth position and for control as well) [12].
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Figure 2. Behavior of the temperature and water content in the soil column device, before and after to start the irrigation process, and to switch on the additional heat source.
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Figure 3. Evolution of the cumulative percentage of germination on Digitaria sanguinalis seeds after the burial period.

Consequently, the low value of thermal diffusivity provided more than 5°C of attenuation between the two seeds levels, i.e. when at 4 cm depth reached the maximum temperature around 16 hours, the temperature at the deepest level with seeds had only increased 3 °C from their initial temperature conditions. An important factor to affect the thermal diffusivity is the water content. For mineral soils α increases with water content at low water contents, even though α gradually decreases with increasing water contents at high water contents [18,19,20]. In fact, that is the impact of water content upon the soil temperature, which governs the three thermal properties. Besides, bulk density and mineral particles are important variables, yet in the experiment were not decisive, because they did not vary on the spatial-temporal pattern.

Regarding the weed seeds, they were buried at correct depths, usually 1 and 5 cm [21]. According to Dawson and Bruns and Defelice [22,23], the maximum reported depth for emergence was about 12 cm. On the other hand, the most important environmental parameter reported to affect the dormancy/ non-dormancy cycle of most summer

---

**Table 2. Correlation among thermal and hydrodynamics variables.**
The correlation was significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). (See text for legend)

|      | λ  | ρc | α  | WC-A | WC-B | T-A | T-B |
|------|----|----|----|------|------|-----|-----|
| ρc   | .963 | .486 | .867 | .876 | .528  | .538 | .376  |
| α    |    | -.703 | .864 | .826 | .680  | .430 | .315  |
| WC-A |    |      | -.529 | -.364 | .680  | .367 | .795  |
| WC-B |    |      |      | -.395 | .376  | .757 | .827  |
| T-A  |    |      |      |      | -.703 | .757 | .827  |
| T-B  |    |      |      |      |      | -.703 | .827  |

---

**Figure 2.** Behavior of the temperature and water content in the soil column device, before and after to start the irrigation process, and to switch on the additional heat source.

**Figure 3.** Evolution of the cumulative percentage of germination on Digitaria sanguinalis seeds after the burial period.
annual species is temperature [24,25]. Accordingly, the seeds of this species require exposure to low winter temperatures to emerge from dormancy. In spring and early summer, the seeds are non-dormant and ready to germinate under favourable conditions [21,26]. Such as it is shown in Figure 4, the seeds banks were on the range determined for their germination [27]. Yet they probably did not acquire the necessary days-degree to germinate. The water content stored in the soil presented a continuous and decreasing effect of the temperature over the seeds; it prevented the 100% of their germination.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. Estimation of the thermal damping depth. Dot lines show the mean minimum (Tmmin) and mean maximum (Tmmax) temperature threshold during the experiment

### 4. Conclusions

After a period of 33 days, in the monitored micro-environmental conditions of the experimental column device, an important fraction of the DS buried seeds lost the dormancy. Furthermore, the high resolution of the used sensors allowed measuring the minimal spatial differences for the water content and temperature variables between both levels. It would be related to smaller differences observed in the rate of germination of pre-dormant seeds. The main recommendation and outlook would be to investigate the environmental differences between the two scenarios inside the soil column device, since in this research Digitaria sanguinalis seeds had not obtained the same mean accumulative percentage of germination. Despite the fact that the values were different, the differences between both environments (A and B) were not statistically significant. On the other hand, a higher value of thermal diffusivity had allowed increasing the temperature in the deepest level, and probably a quantity of seeds germination had been higher.
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