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1. Introduction

Let $C^k; k=1,2,$ be a Faber curve in the $z_k$- plane and suppose that the corresponding Faber transformation is

$$z_k = \varphi_k(t_k) = t_k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t_k^{-n}$$

(1.1)

where the function $\varphi_k(t_k)$ is regular and one-to-one for $|t_k| > T_k$. Thus the curve $C^k$ is the map in the $z_k$-plane of the circle $|t_k| > \gamma_k$ by the transformation (1.1) where $\gamma_k > T_k$. For $r > T_k$ the map of the circle $|t_k| = r$ is the curve $C^k_r$ so that $C^k$ is actually $C^k_{\gamma_k}$. Following Breadze [2] the product set

$$B_{r_1,r_2} = D(C'_{r_1}) \times D(C'_{r_2}), \quad r_k > T_k$$

(1.2)

and its closure $\bar{B}_{r_1,r_2}$ are called Faber regions in the space $C^2$ of the two complex variables $z_1$ and $z_2$. For studies on Faber transformation and regions
An open polycylinder in $C^2$ is the open connected set

$$\Gamma_{r_1,r_2} = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_k| < r_k\}; \ r_k > 0, \ (1.3)$$

and its closure is denoted $\bar{\Gamma}_{r_1,r_2}$. By reasoning as in [1], [2] and [4], we take as a Banach space the class of functions regular in Faber regions $B_{r_1,r_2}$ or $\bar{B}_{r_1,r_2}$, and let a norm defined on this space be given by

$$S(F) = \sup_{B_{\rho_1,\rho_2}} |F(z_1, z_2)| = M'(F; \rho_1, \rho_2) \quad (1.4)$$

for all functions $F$ regular in $B_{\rho_1,\rho_2}$, where

$$m, n \geq 0, T_k < r_k < R_k,$$

and

$$\{f^1_m(z_1)\} \text{ and } \{f^2_n(z_2)\} \quad (1.7)$$

are the sets of Faber polynomials corresponding to the respective transformations $z_1 = \phi_1(t_1)$ and $z_2 = \phi_2(t_2)$.

In view of the representation (1.5), the base for this space is the set $\{f^1_m(z_1), f^2_n(z_2)\}$. Thus, if $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ is a sequence of basic set of polynomials of the two complex variables $z_1$ and $z_2$, we can write the unique representation

$$f^1_m(z_1).f^2_n(z_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pi_j^m.n P_j(z_1, z_2), \ m, n \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

If $F(z_1, z_2)$ is a function regular in $B_{r_1,r_2}$ then the basic series associated with $F$ is given by

$$F(z_1, z_2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pi_j(F) P_j(z_1, z_2), \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\Pi_j(F) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \Pi_j^m.n \quad (1.10)$$

In order to formulate conditions for effectiveness of basic sets in Faber regions we shall consider only Cannon sets of polynomials, for which, with analogy
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to the single variable case, the number \( N_{m,n} \) of non-zero coefficients in (1.8) satisfy the condition that

\[
\lim_{m+n\to\infty} N_{m,n} = 1.
\]

The Cannon sum of the basic set \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) for the Faber region \( B_{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_k < r_k} \) is given by

\[
\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1^n \rho_2^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_{j}^{m,n}| M'(P_j, \rho_1, \rho_2).
\]

(1.11)

We can easily deduce from (1.8), (1.1) and the formula of Newns [4, p.749] that

\[
\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \geq \rho_1^n \rho_2^m M'(f_1^m; C_{\rho_1}) M'(f_2^m; C_{\rho_2}^k) > (\rho_1 \rho_2)_{m+n}\left\{1 - \frac{l_k}{2\pi \Delta_k} \left(\frac{T'_k}{\rho_1^m}\right)^m \right\}\left\{1 - \frac{l_k}{2\pi \Delta_k} \left(\frac{T'_k}{\rho_2^n}\right)^n \right\}
\]

(1.12)

where \( l_k \) is the length of the curve \( C_{r_k}^k; T'_k > T_k \) and \( \Delta_k (> 0) \) is the distance between the curves \( C_{r_k} \) and \( C_{T'_k}^k \). If the Cannon function of the same set for the same Faber region is defined as

\[
\Omega(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \lim_{m+n\to\infty} \sup \{\Omega_{m,n}(\rho_1, \rho_2)\} \frac{1}{m+n}
\]

(1.13)

then (1.12) yields

\[
\Omega(\rho_1, \rho_2) \geq \rho_1 \rho_2.
\]

(1.14)

We observe that if the series

\[
\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n}| \left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_{j}^{m,n}| M'(P_j, \rho_1, \rho_2)\right\}
\]

converges, then the arrangements of the terms of the series

\[
\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pi_{j}^{m,n} P_j(z_1, z_2)\right\}
\]

associated with the function (1.5) which leads to the basic series (1.8) is justifiably and hence the basic series associated with function (1.5) will represent it in \( \bar{B}_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \).

Analogous notation is used for polycylinder (cf. [1]).

2. The required condition for effectiveness of the basic set \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) in Faber regions is the following.
Theorem 2.1. Let \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) be a basic set for which the Cannon function is
\[
\Omega(R_1, R_2) = \sigma^2 \geq R_1 R_2, R_k > T_k. \tag{2.1}
\]
Then \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) will be effective in \( B_{R_1, R_2} \) for the class of functions regular in \( \bar{B}_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \), where
\[
r_1 = \frac{\sigma^2}{R_2} \geq R_1, r_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{R_1} \geq R_2. \tag{2.2}
\]
We observe from (2.2) that
\[
\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{R_1}{R_2}
\]
and hence Theorem 2.1 is the analogue for Faber regions of the sufficiency assertion of [1, Theorem 3.2], for polycylinders and may be derived in a manner, replacing the monomials
\[
\{z_1^m z_2^n\}\{f_1^1(z_1) f_1^2(z_2)\}
\]
and, in view of (1.4) replacing the polycylinders \( \Gamma_{r_1, r_2} \) by the Faber regions \( B_{r_1, r_2} \).

We, however, give another proof:

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let \( F(z_1, z_2) \) be any function regular in \( B_{r_1, r_2} \) where it admits the expansion
\[
F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} f_1^1(z_1) f_1^2(z_2).
\]
Then there are numbers \( \rho_k > r_k \) such that the function \( F(z_1, z_2) \) is regular in \( B_{\rho_1, \rho_2} \). Hence, we can deduce that
\[
\lim_{m+n \to \infty} \sup \{|a_{m,n}| (\rho_1^{-n}, \rho_2^{-m}) \}^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1 \rho_2}. \tag{2.3}
\]
Now supposing that \( \frac{\rho_1}{R_1} \leq \frac{\rho_2}{R_2} \) we obtain from (1.10) that
\[
|a_{m,n}| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_j^{m,n}| M'(P_j, \rho_1, \rho_2) \right) \leq |a_{m,n}| \rho_1^{-n} \rho_2^{-m} \left( \frac{\rho_2}{R_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \Omega_{m,n}(R_1, R_2).
\]
Therefore, applying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we easily derive the inequality
\[
\lim_{m+n \to \infty} \sup |a_{m,n}| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_j^{m,n}| M'(P_j, R_1, R_2) \right) \leq \frac{\rho_2}{R_2} \sigma^2 < 1.
\]
Similarly if \( \frac{\rho_2}{R_2} \leq \frac{\rho_1}{R_1} \), we can obtain the inequality

\[
\lim_{m+n \to \infty} \sup \{ |a_{m,n}| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_j^{m,n}|M'(P_j, R_1, R_2) \right) \} \frac{1}{m+n} \leq \frac{R_2}{\rho_2} < 1.
\]

Hence the series

\[
\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n}| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Pi_j^{m,n}|M'(P_j, R_1, R_2) \right)
\]

converges and thus the basic series associated with \( F(z_1, z_2) \) represents it in \( \bar{B}_{R_1, R_2} \), and the theorem is proved.

The analogue for Faber regions of the results of [1, Theorem 3.4] for poly-cylinders and proved along the same lines is the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** A necessary condition for the effectiveness of the Cannon set \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) of polynomials in \( B_{R_1, R_2} \) for the class of functions regular in \( \bar{B}_{r_1, r_2} \), \( R_k \leq r_k \)

\[
\Omega(R_1, R_2) \leq \max(r_1 r_2, R_2 r_2 r_1).
\]

Letting \( r_k \) tend to \( R_k \) in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 and appealing to the relation (2.1) we obtain the familiar Cannon’s theorem for Faber regions in the form.

**Theorem 2.3.** The Cannon set \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) of polynomials will be effective in \( B_{R_1, R_2} \) if and only if

\[
\Omega(R_1, R_2) = R_1 R_2.
\]

3. We now consider effectiveness of composite sets of polynomials of two complex variables \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) in Faber regions.

Let \( \{ P^1_\mu(z_1) \} \) and \( \{ P^2_\nu(z_2) \} \) be basic sets of polynomials. If for any mode of arrangement we write

\[
P^1_\mu(z_1) \cdot P^2_\nu(z_2) = P_j(z_1, z_2),
\]

then \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) is called the composite set of polynomials whose constituents are the sets \( \{ P^1_\mu(z_1) \} \) and \( \{ P^2_\nu(z_2) \} \), (c.f. [3]).

Following [3], suppose that the Faber polynomials \( \{ f^1_m(z_1) \} \) and \( \{ f^2_n(z_2) \} \) admit the unique representations

\[
f^1_m(z_1) = \sum_{\mu} \Pi^1_{m,\mu} P^1_\mu(z_1); \quad f^1_m(z_1) = \sum_{\mu} \Pi^1_{m,\mu} P^1_\mu(z_1)
\]

(3.2)
then the product $f^1_m(z_1)f^2_n(z_2)$ will admit the unique representation

$$f^1_m(z_1)f^2_n(z_2) = \sum_j \Pi_j^{m,n} P_j(z_1, z_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

where, besides (3.1) we have

$$\Pi_j^{m,n} = \Pi_{m,\mu}^{1} \Pi_{n,\nu}^{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

so that $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ is in fact a basic set of polynomials of the two complex variables $z_1$ and $z_2$.

We shall suppose each of the basic sets $\{P^1_m(z_1)\}$ and $\{P^2_n(z_2)\}$ is Cannon set in the sense that if $N^1_m$ and $N^2_n$ are the numbers of nonzero coefficients in the representations (3.2), then

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} N^1_m = 1; \lim_{m \to \infty} N^2_n = 1.$$

Therefore if $N_{m,n}$ is the number of nonzero coefficients in the representation (3.3), we should have $N_{m,n} \leq N^1_m N^2_n$, and hence $\lim_{m+n \to \infty} N_{m,n} = 1$. Hence, it follows that the composite set $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ is also a Cannon set.

It has been shown in [3, Theorem 5] that the composite set $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ whose constituents are Cannon sets $\{P^1_m(z_1), P^2_n(z_2)\}$ will be effective in the ball $\tilde{S}_R = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 \leq R^2\}, R > 0$ if and only if, each of the constituent sets is effective in the disk $|z_k| \leq r$ for $0 < r \leq R$. To generalize this result to Faber regions, we write for the Cannon sum of the Cannon set $\{P^1_\mu(z_1)\}$ in $\tilde{D}(C_{\tau_1})$, 

$$\omega^1_n(C_{\tau_1}) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N^1} |\Pi^1_{n,\mu}| M(P^1_\mu; C_{\tau_1}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

and in view of (3.2) the Cannon function is given by

$$\lambda^1(C_{\tau_1}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{\omega_n(C_{\tau_1'})\}^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Similar notation is adopted for the Cannon set $\{P^2_\nu(z_2)\}$. With this notation our result concerning composite set is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\{P^1_\mu(z_1)\}$ and $\{P^2_\nu(z_2)\}$ be Cannon sets of polynomials and suppose that $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ is their composite set. Then the Cannon function of $\{P_j(z_1, z_2)\}$ for their Faber region $\tilde{B}_{R_1,R_2}; R_k > T_k$ is given by

$$\Omega(R_1, R_2) = \max\{R_1 \lambda^2(C_{R_2}) , R_2 \lambda^1(C_{R_1})\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

**Proof.** Write

$$S_{m,n}(R_1, R_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_{m,n}} |\Pi_j^{m,n}| M'(P_j, R_1, R_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)
then (3.4) yields

\[ |\Pi_{m,n}^j| = |\Pi_{m,\mu}^1||\Pi_{n,\nu}^2| \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

while (3.1) with our notation yields

\[ M(P_j, R_1, R_2) = M(P_1^{\mu}; C_{R_1}'); (M'(P_2^{\nu}; C_{R_2}'). \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

Introducing (3.9) into (3.8) it follows from (3.5) that

\[ S_{m,n}(R_1, R_2) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} |\Pi_{m,\mu}^1||M'(P_1^{\mu}; C_{R_1}');|\Pi_{n,\nu}^2||M'(P_2^{\nu}; C_{R_2}') = \omega_m^1(C_{R_1}');\omega_n^2(C_{R_2}') \]

Hence in view of (1.11) we obtain

\[ \Omega_{m,n}(R_1, R_2) = R_1^m R_2^n \omega_m^1(C_{R_1}');\omega_n^2(C_{R_2}'). \]

Suppose that

\[ \lambda^{(k)}(C_{R_k}^k) = \rho_k \geq R_k \]

and that

\[ R_1 \lambda^{(2)}(C_{R_2}''') = R_1 \rho_2 \leq R_2 \rho_1 = R_2 \lambda^1(C_{R_1}'), \]

then

\[ \frac{R_1}{\rho_1} \leq \frac{R_2}{\rho_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

Let \( \sigma_1 \) be any finite number greater than \( \rho_1 \) and choose the number \( \sigma_2 > \rho_2 \) such that

\[ \frac{\sigma_1}{\rho_1} = \frac{\sigma_2}{\rho_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

Then (3.12) and (3.13) together yield

\[ \frac{R_1}{\sigma_1} \leq \frac{R_2}{\sigma_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

From the definition (3.16) of \( \lambda^{(k)}(C_{R_k}^k) \) it follows that

\[ \omega_k^m(C_{R_k}^k) < K \sigma_1^m; (m \geq 0), K \]

a constant, and hence (3.11) and (3.14) imply that

\[ \Omega_{m,n}(R_1, R_2) < K(R_2 \sigma_1)^{m+n}; m, n \geq 0. \]

Hence, in the limit as \( m + n \to \infty \) we have

\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) = \lim_{m+n \to \infty} \sup \{\Omega_{m,n}(R_1, R_2)\} \leq R_2 \sigma_1 \]

and since \( \sigma_1 \) can be taken arbitrarily close to \( \lambda^1(C_{R_1}') \) we deduce that

\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) = R_2 \lambda^1(C_{R_1}'). \]
Similarly, if
\[ R_2 \lambda^1(C'_{R_1}) \leq R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}) \]
we can arrive at the inequality
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) \leq R_1 \lambda^{(2)}(C''_{R_2}) \]
and we conclude that
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) \leq \max\{R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}), R_2 \lambda^1(C'_{R_1})\} \tag{3.15} \]
On the other hand, we have from the inequalities,
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) = \lim_{m+n \to \infty} \sup \left[ R_1 \omega_{m}^1(C'_{R_1}) \omega_{n}^1(C'_{R_1}) \right]^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \]
\[ \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left[ R_2 \omega_{n}^2(C''_{R_2}) \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}) \]
and
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left[ R_1 \omega_{n}^2(C''_{R_2}) \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}) \]
that
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) \geq \max\{R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}), R_2 \lambda^1(C'_{R_1})\} \tag{3.16} \]
A combination of (3.15) and (3.16) gives the desired equality (3.7) and the theorem is established.

We observe that if the set \( \{P_1(z_1)\} \) is effective in \( \bar{D}(C''_{R_2}) \) and the set \( \{P_2(z_2)\} \) is effective in \( \bar{D}(C''_{R_2}) \), then \( \lambda^k(C''_{R_2}) = R_k \) and hence (3.7) implies that
\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) = R_1 R_2. \]
Moreover, if the composite set \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) is effective in \( \bar{B}_{R_1,R_2} \) then the same equation (3.7) yields
\[ R_1 \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}) \leq \Omega(R_1, R_2) = R_1 R_2, \]
so that
\[ \lambda^2(C''_{R_2}) = R_2. \]
In a similar manner we can deduce that \( \lambda^1(C'_{R_2}) = R_1. \)
We have, therefore proved the following result which is a generalization of the result of Nassif [3, Theorem 5] for balls:

**Theorem 3.2.** The composite set \( \{P_j(z_1, z_2)\} \) of the Cannon sets \( \{P_1(z_1)\} \)
and \( \{P_2(z_2)\} \) is effective in the Faber region \( \bar{B}_{R_1,R_2} \), \( R_k > T_k \) if and only if the sets \( \{P_1(z_1)\} \) and \( \{P_2(z_2)\} \) are effective in \( \bar{D}(C_{R_1}) \) and \( \bar{D}(C''_{R_2}) \) respectively.
We note that if the sets \( \{ P_m^k(z_k) \} \) are not effective in \( \mathcal{D}(C_{R_k}^k) \) so that \( \lambda^k(C_{R_k}^k) > R_k \), then we would have\[ \Omega(R_1, R_2) < \lambda^1(C_{R_1}^1)\lambda^2(C_{R_2}^2). \]
We claim that the method used in establishing Theorem 3.1 for Faber regions is also valid for polycylinders \( \Gamma_{r_1, r_2} \) with obvious modifications (c.f. [1]). Indeed, the Cannon function \( \lambda^k(R_k) \) of the constituent Cannon set \( \{ P_m^k(z_k) \} \) for the disk \( |z_k| \leq R_k \) is given by\[ \lambda^k(R_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_n \{ \omega_n(R_k) \} \frac{1}{n} \]
where \[ \omega_n(R_k) = \sum_{j=0}^n |P_{j,n}^m|R(R_k) > 0 \]
and \( M \) denotes maximum modulus in polycylinders.

The Cannon function of the composite set \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) for the closed polycylinder \( \Gamma_{R_1, R_2} \) is given by\[ \omega(R_1, R_2) = \lim_{n+m \to \infty} \sup_{n+m} \{ \omega_{n,m}(R_1, R_2) \} \frac{1}{n+m} \]
where \[ \omega_{n,m}(R_1, R_2) = R_{n,1}^m R_{n,2}^m \sum_{j=0}^m |P_{j,n}^m(R_1, R_2)|. \]
With this notation the analogue of Theorem 3.1 for polycylinders is

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \{ P_1^1(z_1) \} \) and \( \{ P_2^2(z_2) \} \) be Cannon sets of polynomials and suppose that \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) is their composite set. Then the Cannon function of the set \( \{ P_j(z_1, z_2) \} \) for the polycylinders \( \Gamma_{R_1, R_2}; R_k > 0 \) is given by
\[ \omega(R_1, R_2) = \max \{ R_1 \lambda^2(R_2), R_2 \lambda^1(R_1) \}. \] (3.17)
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