Does exposure to radiation emitted from mobile jammers influence the spatial memory?
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ABSTRACT

Background: The central nervous system is sensitive to radiation exposure as environmental pollution. This project aimed to evaluate the influence of jammer exposure radiation on spatial learning and memory. Materials and Methods: 50 adult male Sprague-Dawley rats were divided into five groups. The experimental groups were exposed to jammer radiation for 2h/day once or 2h/day for two weeks. Sham groups were similar to the experimental groups which were exposed to switch-off. The distance from the jammer router to the animals’ cages was 30 cm. In the second phase, using Morris Water Maze, the effect of jammer exposure radiation on spatial learning and memory was studied. Results: Data showed that radiation exposure once a day for 2h caused a significant increase in the learning procedure in the experimental group 1, but we observed an increase in the parameters of distance and escape latency in finding the platform for two weeks’ exposure in the experimental group 2. Conclusion: Results indicated that probably the motivation of escape and use of the different cues led to learning in the animals, while disrupting mobile performance through jammer router from animal environment caused a better brain performance in the spatial learning and memory in short term jammer radiation-treated animals group. However, with the same test conditions, in long term jammer the radiation-treated animals’ motivation reduced, which affected the responses and performance and reduced the learning. Moreover, environmental conflicts such as radiofrequency waves lead to behavioral alternations.
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INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic waves, as the fourth source of environmental pollution, induce various biological effects in different organs (¹). The mobile phone can be considered as a widely used device; hence, anybody can call anytime, and this makes some problems and troubles for others. To solve this problem, mobile jammer devices are used extensively as a signal blocking system. This system prevents radio waves transferring by sending noise (radiofrequency waves with the same frequency as the mobile phone), and as a result, it interferes with the signal transmission. Therefore, mobile phones will be disabled. Today, jammer systems are used in different places, such as libraries, seminar rooms, hospitals, temples, etc. The range of the radius in which jammers can disable the mobile phone depends on the mobile jammer model (²).

For all organisms, learning ability is...
necessary, particularly because they need to get food and so on to leave their home and nest, etc. This capacity is vital for them, without this, they could not survive (3).

Learning is data acquisition and data storage, but memory is persistent storage and retention of learned information (4). Most of our knowledge and skills are not inherited and they are learned from our environment. Learning is obtaining new information from the environment surrounding us and memory is remembering and renewing of that knowledge over time (5). Synaptic plasticity involves the first step of learning and memory formation. Also, memory is the storage skill of the experiences and remembering the learned processes or events (6).

Many complications may happen as the result of memory loss in the central nervous system. Some steps of memory storage last from a few seconds until one minute. This is called short term memory or active memory. Temporary information is stored in the memory for a short period (up to a minute) (7,8); if it takes a longer time (up to several years or even life period), it is named long term memory (7,8). The short-term and long-term memories have a difference in duration and capacity of what is being stored (9). Morris water maze (MWM which was purchased from Azma Technique company, Tabriz, Iran.) is an instrument used for evaluation of the spatial memory and learning capabilities of the rodents (10). Rodents are innate swimmers; however, they have the tendency to stay outside water.

Hence, swimming induced motivation for the animal to actively seek a place within the pool. The rats with this technique learn by swimming to find the platform. Therefore, the animal actively seeks the platform situation, and uses the available spatial, proximal and distal cues in its environment (3). In the MWM method, rats try to learn the connecting link between the cue and place for finding the escape platform. This technique that involves four trails/day with a short time in each trail (120 seconds) allows the animal to gain good spatial learning within a short time (4-6 days) (3,10). The aim of this study was to evaluate the mobile jammer radiation effects on the spatial learning and memory in adult male rats.

Various studies have been conducted on the exposure to 2.45 GHz MW radiation, showing controversial results on the learning and memory performance. Therefore, this research through evaluation of the jammer radiation effects on the learning and memory performance using MWM test as active avoidance test would bridge these research gaps. Important point in this project is that we used mobile jammer router as a signal blocking system, but pervious research mainly concentrated on the mobile/WiFi radiation effects on the learning and memory.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

In the present study, 50 mature male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-250 g, and age about 6-7 weeks) were housed in a temperature-controlled room (25±1°C) and 12 h light/dark cycle (light on at 7:00 a.m.) with free access to water and food (standard rodent chow) all the time. Before the experiment, all the rats were adapted to the new environment/housing about one week. All experimental procedures as well as housing conditions were in accordance with international principles of (European Council Directive 86/609/EEC of 24 November 1986) about animals' treatment.

Experimental design

Rats were randomly divided into 5 groups (N=10). For the control group there was no intervention, the sham groups 1 and 2 included the rats exposed to switch-off instrument for 2h once in a day or 2h/day for two weeks, respectively. Jammer router was located at 30 cm distance from the rats' cages. Thus, jammer router was arranged in the center of the circle and the rat cages were in the circle perimeter in a distance of 30cm from the center. Experimental groups 1&2 included the animals exposed to a switched-on mobile jammer system once a day for 2h or 2h/day for two weeks in sequential order.
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Exposure set up

The animals were placed in Plexiglas cages individually and exposed to radiation. The electromagnetic field measurements were done using an Aaronia Spectran HF-4060 device (Euscheid, Germany) with the frequency range 100 MHz - 6 GHz. The frequency band, power output, and power density measured from electromagnetic fields of Jammer router were 1052-1979 MHz, -32±3 (dB mw), 86.18000 (µw/m²), and ICNIRP 0.31(%) respectively. Jammer router (MB06Mobile Blocker) works in four different frequency ranges (Global System for Mobile Communications [GSM], digital cellular service, code division multiple access, third generation). Shielding radii for this jammer are up to 40m.

Behavioral Study-Morris Water Maze

MWM test usually assesses the spatial memory and learning via recording of escape latency and distance. Briefly, MWM consists of a round pool. MWM (purchased from Azma Tecknique company, Tabriz, Iran.) is comprised of a large black circular water tank (130cm in diameter, 50cm in height). Water pool is filled up with tap water and it should be used as the maze; two hypothetically principal axes bisect the maze perpendicular to each other and to create four positions of North, South, East and West.

In this way, dividing the maze produces four quadrants/areas equally. In other words, four cardinal points of the hypothetical compass directions (N, E, S, and W) are formed. In this phase, learning experiment began through MWM set up. The training for the first step of Morris water maze test with platform was performed one day after exposure radiation phase. This step was called the acquisition phase. The rats were handled for 4 days; in the start position, they were individually dropped in the water pool at one of the four quadrant/cardinal points of the hypothetical compass directions (quadrant NW, quadrant NE, quadrant SW, quadrant SE). Rats from one trial to the others were dropped in the water pool in a quasi-random sequence [11].

The location of the metal platform (diameter/surface: 10 cm) was in the middle of quadrant 4 or SE. Initially, the platform was above the water surface (1cm) and it was visible for rats. When the animals found it, they rested on the platform for 20s. If the rat could not find the platform within 60s, it was led toward the platform, and allowed to rest for 20 sec. Then, it was returned to the cage. Each rat repeated this procedure for 4 trials; however, the drop area of a rat in the water tank was different. Also, the break time between the trials was 1 min.

This procedure was repeated on days 2, 3, 4, but in these steps the platform was hidden 1cm below the water. A learning test or Probe trial (without platform) was performed on day 5. The rat was dropped into the water pool from the furthest place relative to the hidden platform place. The video tracking system of MWM was set up to monitor the pathway of the rat swimming. This system records how long it takes for the rat to find the platform and stay on it. Also, the system records the duration of the presence of the rats in each quadrant as well as the distance and velocity spent in each zone by each rat. When the rat lay on the platform, tracking system stopped recording [12]. This is to inform you that for carrying out this project on animals, we acted exactly based on all the principles of research on animals issued by International Council for Laboratory Animal Science (ICLAS) 1986 in UK and 2010 /63/in EU and also, ethics committee of Fasa University of Medical Sciences. The Ethics Committee approved this project with the Ethics Code: IR.FUMS.REC.1394.4

Statistical analysis

All data are reported via means ± SEM. Statistical differences among groups were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc tests, using SPSS software (ver. 15; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

I-Effects of short term (once, 2h/day) exposure to mobile jammer radiation on the spatial learning in rats.

The influence of mobile jammer radiation on the spatial learning and memory was examined; all rats during training learned to locate the platform.

A-Parameter of traveled distance

Learning is indicated by reducing the swimming path or the length of travel distance for finding the hidden platform in the quadrant SE. Statistical analysis of the mean of the length of traveled distance or swim path (table 1) showed a significant decrease during the trial phase on the 5th day in experiment 1 compared with the sham1 group (P=0.001) in traveled distance from the area quadrant NE to quadrant SE, as well as swim path inside the quadrant SE. The values in the control and experiment 1 groups were statistically similar.

B-Parameter of escape latency

Learning is determined through time reduction, the time it takes the animal to find the platform (latency period) in the designed zone. (table 2), One way ANOVA showed a significant decrease in the mean of escape latency (table 2) for finding the hidden platform in quadrant SE, during quadrant NE (the time it takes the animal to reach to quadrant SE from zone SE) in experiment 1 compared with the sham1 group (P=0.034). The values of escape latency in the control and experiment 1 groups in all quadrants separately and totally were statistically similar.

Table 1. The effect of jammer exposure radiation for 2h/day in the distance that rats moved to find the platform in the Morris water maze.

| Groups       | Quadrant NW [cm] | Quadrant NE [cm] | Quadrant SW [cm] | Quadrant SE [cm] (hidden platform) | Total distance to hidden platform |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Control      | 163.43±32.96     | 241.187±32.1     | 279.06±41.25     | 160.47±28.28                      | 813.68±115.13                   |
| Sham1        | 292.14±23.15     | 319.23±23.64     | 494.5±29.10      | 353.86±28.09                      | 1349.47±94.36                   |
| Experiment1  | 223.37±22.02     | 200.03±20.85*    | 370.37±28.9      | 220.44±22.22*                     | 964.25±73.98*                   |

Values are expressed as Mean± SEM (n=10). * Significant difference with sham 1, (P=0.001, P=0.001, P=0.004 respectively).

Table 2. Effect of jammer radiation for 2h/day once in escape latency to the platform in the Morris water maze.

| Groups       | Quadrant NW Sec | Quadrant NE Sec | Quadrant SW Sec | Quadrant SE (hidden platform) | Total latency to the platform |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Control      | 6.99±1.56       | 11.23±1.92      | 22.10±2.85      | 7.71±1.44                     | 46.69±6.09                    |
| Sham1        | 15.22±1.18      | 15.59±1.28      | 33.94±2.62      | 17.97±1.25                    | 79.41±3.97ne                  |
| Experiment1  | 12.357±1.34     | 11.24±1.13*     | 30.67±2.41      | 15.07±1.96                    | 66.08±5.05                   |

Values are expressed as Mean± SEM (n=10). * Significant difference with sham 1, P=0.034.

II-Effects of long term (2h/day for two weeks) exposure to mobile jammer radiation on the spatial learning in rats.

A-Parameter of traveled distance

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the results of jammer radiation exposure for 2h/day during two weeks on the animal’s spatial memory. The results showed the mean of traveled distance or swim pathway (table 3) for finding the hidden platform in quadrant SE, revealing a significant increase during the trial phase on the 5th day in experiment 2 compared with the sham 2 and control groups in all quadrants separately (the traveled distance from each quadrant1/NW, NE, SW, or SE separately) for finding the platform in quadrant SE and totally (the sum of the swim...
path from each quadrant NW, NE, SW, or SE for climbing on the platform \((P=0.001)\). The values in the control and the sham 2 group were statistically similar in all zones. In this part of the experiment, we found that exposure to jammer radiation for two weeks impaired learning owing to the results showing an increase in the traversed distance or swim pathway for finding the hidden platform. This is in conflict with the learning definition.

**B- Parameter of escape latency**

Statistical analysis on the mean of escape latency (table 4) for finding the hidden platform in quadrant 4 showed a significant increase during the trial phase on the 5th day in experiment 2 compared with the sham 2 and control groups in all quadrants separately (the time spent from each quadrant NW, NE, SW or SE to quadrant SE separately) and totally (the sum of the time taken from quadrant NW, NE, SW or SE to quadrant SE to reach quadrant SE) \((P=0.001)\). The values in the control and the sham 2 groups were statistically similar in all zones. Given that learning was determined through time reduction, these data showed the opposite this issue.

Table 3. The effect of jammer radiation 2h/day for two weeks on the distance needed to find the platform in the Morris water maze.

| Groups     | Quadrant NW [cm] | Quadrant NE [cm] | Quadrant SW [cm] | Quadrant SE [cm] | Total distance to hidden platform |
|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Control    | 163.4±32.94      | 241.19±32.11     | 279.06±41.25     | 160.4±28.28      | 813.6±115.13                    |
| Sham2      | 163.4±19.04      | 241.19±23.83     | 208.67±25.79     | 155.94±24.51     | 626.87±73.66                    |
| Experiment2| 302.2±25.3*3     | 344.69±24.34*    | 426.65±27.51*    | 343.35±23.87*    | 1352.32±76.81*                  |

Values are expressed as Mean± SEM (n=10). * Significant difference with sham 2 in all quadrant separately and total quadrant \((P=0.001)\) respectively.

Table 4. The effect of jammer radiation 2h/day for two weeks on the escape latency for finding the platform in the Morris water maze.

| Groups     | Quadrant NW (sec)  | Quadrant NE (sec)  | Quadrant SW (sec) | Quadrant SE (hidden platform)(sec) | Total latency to the Platform |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Control    | 6.52±1.52         | 11.23±1.92        | 22.10±2.85       | 7.23±1.43                        | 46.69±6.09                   |
| Sham2      | 7.05±1.08         | 11.03±1.53        | 18.04±2.09       | 8.50±1.66                        | 42.43±4.85                   |
| Experiment2| 17.60±1.53*       | 17.11±1.18*       | 29.94±2.11*      | 19.30±1.49*                      | 80.33±3.75*                  |

Values are expressed as Mean ±SEM (n=10) * Significant difference with control and sham2 \((p=0.001)\) respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used the Morris water maze technique to evaluate the influence of short term and long term exposure to jammer radiation on the spatial memory in rats. The results showed that once a day for 2h exposure to the jammer radiation caused a significant increase in the learning procedure of the Morris water maze (in jammer radiation-treated animals’ experimental group 1), but we observed an increase in the parameters of distance (swim path length) and escape latency in finding the hidden platform for two weeks’ exposure to the jammer radiation (in jammer radiation-treated animals experimental group 2). Our results indicated that probably in groups of exposure to jammer radiation once a day for 2h the motivation of escape from the water, and the use of different cues (outside of the water pool) provided better performance learning in these animals.

However, the long term exposure process probably have provided adaptation with water and different cues (outside of the water pool) in the animal’s environment, so the escape motivation has reduced. Moreover, change in motivation influences the response and performance, which affects the learning \((14)\) Also, effortful learning depends on performance-related feedback \((15)\). On the other hand, learning requires practice through repetition which usually undermines the motivation. \((16)\) These results and those of other research studies show that impaired memory following exposure to...
EMF radiation are in this line. Hence, disrupting mobile performance through jammer router from the animal's environment couldn't result in better brain performance on the spatial memory and learning in jammer radiation-treated animals experimental 2 for a subsequent two weeks-exposure.

Various studies show that restudying the same material or repeating the same work can reduce long-term retention and knowledge acquisition (17). On the other hand, cognitive neuroscience explains that learning level depends on dopamine neurotransmitter release (18) since dopamine increases the association of between stimulus and response, and develops target behavior level such as learning, memory, and motor performance. RF-EMF exposure decreases the dopamine concentration in the striatum. (The striatum is an area in the forebrain which affects the cognition processes). RF-EMF exposure leads to reduction in the number and size of the synaptic vesicles in the striatal presynaptic nerve endings. (19) Also, neuropsychological researches explain performance-contingent reward in a test or performance pressure-induced test, providing a reduction in long term memory (20).

The sensitivity of CNS to environmental conflicts such as radiofrequency waves causes behavioral alternations; therefore, a change in behavior leads to alternation in the activity of the nervous system(21). Lahirjani et al. (2011) observed histological changes after exposure of EMF in the brain of chicks (22).

In 2012, it was revealed that mobile phone radiation-induced impairments in MWM performance (23). Also, the results of animal studies have been demonstrated that radiation is disrupting spatial learning and memory performance via Morris water maze technique. Frangopoulos et al. (2010) for 2 hr./day during a period of 4 days, Megha et al. (2012), for 2 hr./day during a 30-day period as well as Ntzouni et al. (2013) for 90 min/day during 66-148 day period showed spatial memory deficit. (24, 25, 26).

However, Haghani et al. (2013) did not observe any behavioral effect on the spatial memory after 15 min/day during an 8 or 24-week exposure duration (27). On the other hand, maybe irradiation led to an increase in the reactive oxygen species (ROS) level(28, 29), ROS effects on the cognitive behavior, and impairs spatial memory (25, 28, 30). The current results obtained from EMF researchers are inconsistent; some of them reported radiofrequency waves emitted from a cell phone could stimulate ROS production in some different tissues including neural tissue. It was also reported that ROS production after RF radiation exposure was induced in neuroblastoma cells (91), in SN56 cells (32) probably, because of electrical activities in the neural tissue and the nearness of cell phone to head during communication; hence, the C.N.S will be the favored target (32). Although the intensity of RF-EMFs is very low, the oscillatory frequencies correspond to some of the oscillation frequencies recorded in the neuronal tissue and could interfere with neuronal activity (33).

Moreover, another research reported RF-EMFs emitted by cell phones were absorbed in the brain within a range that could influence the neuronal activity (34, 35). The research findings of increased metabolic activities in the closest regions to the antenna during acute radiation exposure to cell phone suggest that brain RF-EMFs absorption probably improve the brain tissue excitability (30).

One study indicated 50-minute cell phone exposure was associated with increased brain glucose metabolism in the region closest to the antenna (37). One of these studies reported CBF decreases in the region with maximal RF-EMF exposure (38). In line with this, our research center observed the radiation emitted from mobile jammer router reduced fasting blood glucose level(39). Besides, long-term exposure radiation effects emitted by mobile jammer on reproduction parameters in rats are different based on the life stage (neonate, immature and mature)(40). Exposure of mature rats to mobile phone jammer radiation led to significant differences in RBC, hematocrit and hemoglobin, MCHC, MCV, RDWCV, and platelets compared to the control group, but immature rats didn't show significant effects on these factors (41).

In a way, learning about the results of one's
actions requires feedback, which can modify the learner’s behavior. Motivation for doing work probably influences the performance feedback and effectively improves learning (42). It has been suggested that Jammer router may lead to better brain function and improvement in the spatial memory and learning in experimental groups compared to other groups.

CONCLUSION

Our results indicated that probably in short term Jammer radiation-treated animals group, the motivation of escape from the water and the use of the different cues (outside of the water pool) accompanied by blocking the transfer of signals and disrupting mobile radiation provided better learning. However, in long term Jammer, radiation-treated animals group repeating the same work (test) undermines the motivation; this influences the neural responses and the performance, and then reduces the long-term retention and knowledge acquisition. Moreover, environmental conflicts such as radiofrequency waves lead to behavioral alternations; however, disrupting mobile performance through Jammer router from the animal’s environment couldn’t improve the brain performance on the spatial memory and learning.
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