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Introduction

Morphological analysis is the measurement and mathematical analysis of the configuration of the earth's surface, shape and dimension of its landforms. The quantitative analysis of morphometric parameters is found to be of immense utility in river basin evaluation, watershed prioritization for soil and water conservation and natural resources management at watershed level. The morphological analysis is important in any hydrological study such as assessment of groundwater potential, groundwater management, pedology and environmental assessment (Sreedevi et al., 2009). Hydrologists and geomorphologists have established that certain relations are most important between runoff characteristics, and geographic and geomorphic characteristics of drainage basin systems. Various important hydrologic phenomena can be correlated with
the physiographic characteristics of drainage basins such as size, shape, slope of drainage area, drainage density, size and length of the contributors etc. Remote sensing techniques using satellite images and aerial photographs are convenient tools for morphometric analysis. The satellite remote sensing has the ability to provide synoptic view of large area and is very useful in analysing drainage morphometry (Chopra et al., 2005). Jasani and Mansuriya (2011) worked on geomorphological studies of Meghal river basin using Remote Sensing and GIS. In the study, linear parameters like bifurcation ratio, drainage frequency, length of overland flow, drainage density and shape parameters like elongation ratio, form factor, circularity ratio and compactness coefficient were estimated. Mishra et al., (2011) analysed the morphometric characteristics of Tons basin, Madhya Pradesh, based on watershed approach. The stream numbers, orders, lengths and other morphometric parameters like bifurcation ratio, drainage density, stream frequency, shape parameters etc. were measured. In many studies, morphometric analysis has been used for the prioritization of watersheds (Sethupathi et al., 2011; Singh and Singh, 2011). Saeedrashed and Guven (2013) studied the geomorphological parameters of the Lower Zab watershed based on a more precise DEM method alternative to the conventional digitized map method. They concluded that the geomorphological parameters of the Lower Zab watershed derived by GIS-based DEM could be practically used in many hydrologic modelling studies such as generating synthetic unit hydrograph or flood routing researches as well.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This study was conducted on Hiran-1 river watershed located in Gir Sanctuary, Gujarat, India. Catchment area falls between 21° 7’ N to 21° 14’ N latitudes and 70° 39’ E to 70° 46’ E longitudes (Fig. 1). Area receives annual average rainfall of 1080 mm.

The stream ordering was done using Strahler and Chow (1964) technique. The methodology adopted to determine the different morphometric parameters are as described in Table 1. The drainage map was prepared in GIS software ArcMap 10.3 using Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of 30×30 m resolution obtained from Bhuvan portal of NRSC/ISRO, which was originally generated using Indian Remote Sensing Satellite Cartosat-1 imagery. The prepared drainage map of the basin was used in the ArcMap to prepare the stream order map. The stream ordering can be done in ArcMap using Stream Order function of Spatial Analyst Hydrology tool. The stream ordering was done manually using the Editor tool of ArcMap. Each stream segment was edited and stream order was given in attributes table simultaneously. The various tools like clip and merge were used to change the direction of flow and to join the stream segment, respectively. Prepared stream order map of the study area is shown in Figure 2. The stream order map was used for further morphological analysis including manually counting the number of streams in each stream order and measuring the length of various streams for further use in analysis. Different morphometric parameters estimated for the study area including linear, areal and relief aspects are described in the Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Linear aspects

Prepared stream order map of the study area is shown in Figure 2. Numbers of streams were calculated manually from the prepared map. The study area of Hiran-1 River watershed was fifth order basin. For the first, second, third, fourth and fifth order streams,
numbers of streams were 203, 44, 10, 3 and 1 respectively. According to Horton (1945), the numbers of stream segments of each order form an inverse geometric sequence with order number. In the study, stream number (Nu) supported Horton’s law. Study area basin perimeter (P) and maximum basin length (Lb) were found to be 64.04 km and 14.92 km respectively.

As order increased, numbers of streams were found to be decreasing; contrary to that, the mean stream lengths were increased with the increase in order. In the study, stream length decreases with increasing stream order, which supports Horton’s law. Mean stream lengths were calculated by dividing the total length of all streams in a particular order by the number of streams in that order. Mean stream length of first, second, third, fourth and fifth order were 0.42 km, 0.98 km, 2.65 km, 3.63 km and 4.19 km respectively. The bifurcation ratio is dimensionless property and generally ranges from 3.0 to 5.0. The lower values of bifurcation ratio are characteristics of the watersheds, which have suffered less structural disturbances (Strahler, 1964) and the drainage pattern has not been distorted because of the structural disturbances. Bifurcation ration in study area ranges from 3 to 4.61. Mean bifurcation ratio of the watershed was found to be relatively low of 3.84, which indicates that watershed is not affected by structural disturbances. Table 2 shows the stream order, number of streams, mean stream length, stream length ratio and bifurcation ration for the respective stream orders.

Areal aspects

The areal aspect is the two dimensional properties of a basin. In areal aspects, basin area (A), drainage density (Dd), drainage texture (D2), form factor ratio (Rf), elongation ratio (Re), circularity ratio (Rc), constant of channel maintenance (C) and length of overland flow (Lg) were determined. The Hiran-1 catchment area found as 7819 km². All the morphometric parameters of areal aspects for the study area are shown in Table 3.

Drainage density has long been recognized as topographic characteristic of fundamental significance. Drainage basin with high drainage density indicates that a large proportion of the precipitation runs off, on the other hand a low drainage density indicates the most rainfall infiltrates the ground and few channels are required to carry the runoff (Nag, 1998). Drainage density of the study area was found to be moderate around 2.18 km/km², which suggests that study area has moderate permeable sub-soil and thick vegetative cover.

Drainage texture is the total number of stream segments of all order in a basin per perimeter of the basin (Horton, 1945). It is important to geomorphology which means that the relative spacing of drainage lines. Smith (1950) has classified drainage texture into 5 different textures i.e., very coarse (<2), coarse (2 to 4), moderate (4 to 6), fine (6 to 8) and very fine (>8). More is the texture more will be dissection and leads more erosion. The study area drainage texture was calculated 4.08 km⁻¹ and falls under moderate drainage texture category.

Three parameters viz. elongation ratio, circulatory ratio and form factor are used for characterizing drainage basin shape, which is an important parameter from hydrological point of view. As Strahler (1964), elongation ratio <0.7 is considered to be elongated, 0.8-0.7 is less elongated, 0.9-0.8 as oval and >0.9 to be circular. Elongation ratio of the Hiran-1 River watershed was found to be 0.67, which indicates that the watershed is elongated. Miller (1953) has defined the circularity ratio
as the ratio of the area of the basin to the area of the circle having same circumference as the basin perimeter. The value of circularity ratio of the study area is 0.24. According to Horton (1932), form factor may be defined as the ratio of basin area to square of the basin length. The value of form factor would always be less than 0.754 for a perfectly circular watershed. Smaller the value of form factor, more elongated will be the basin. The study area form factor ratio is 0.35 which favors lower peaks of longer duration.

The term length of overland flow is used to describe the length of flow of water over the ground before it becomes concentrated in definite stream channels. Length of overland flow of Hiran-1 River basin was 0.23 km which shows low surface runoff of the study area. Constant of Channel Maintenance indicates the requirement of units of watershed surface to bear one unit of channel length. Constant of Channel Maintenance of the study area was found to be 0.46 km. The drainage basin having higher values of this parameter reveals a surface of high permeability.

**Relief aspects**

Linear and areal features have been considered as the two dimensional aspect lie on a plan. The third dimension introduces the concept of relief. Maximum (Z) and minimum (z) elevations of the Hiran-1 River basin are 418 m and 182 m from mean sea level respectively. Basin relief (H), relief ratio (R_r), channel gradient (C_g) and ruggedness number (R_u) are the relief aspects of the basin which are calculated under morphometric analysis. Table 4 shows all the relief aspect parameters of the morphometric analysis for Hiran-1 River watershed.

**Table 1** Morphometric parameters with formulae

| Parameter                          | Formula                                      | Reference       |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Linear aspects**                |                                              |                 |
| **Stream Order (S_o)**            | Hierarchical rank                            | Strahler and Chow (1964) |
| **Bifurcation Ratio (R_b)**       | \( R_b = \frac{N_o}{N_{o-1}} + 1 \)         | Schumm (1956)  |
|                                    | Where, \( R_b \) = Bifurcation ratio, \( N_o \) = No. of stream segments of a given order, \( N_{o-1} \) = No. of stream segments of next higher order. |                 |
| **Stream Length (L_o)**           | Length of the stream (km)                    | Horton (1945)  |
| **Stream Length Ratio (R_L)**     | \( R_L = \frac{L_{sm}}{L_{sm-1}} - 1 \)     | Horton (1945)  |
|                                    | Where, \( L_{sm} \) = Mean stream length of a given order, \( L_{sm-1} - 1 \) = Mean stream length of next lower order |                 |
| **Length of Overland Flow (L_g)** | \( L_g = \frac{1}{2D} \)                    | Horton (1945)  |
|                                    | Where, \( D \) = Drainage density (km/km^2) |                 |
| **Basin Perimeter (P)**           | \( P = \) Outer boundary of drainage basin measured in kilometres. | Schumm (1956) |
| Parameter                        | Formula                                                                 | Reference   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Basin Length \((L_b)\)          | \(L_b = 1.312 \times A^{0.568}\)                                       | Schumun (1956) |
| **Areal aspects**               |                                                                         |             |
| Basin Area \((A)\)              | Area from which water drains to a common stream and boundary determined by opposite ridges. | Strahler and Chow (1964) |
| Drainage Density \((D_d)\)      | \(D_d = L_\mu / A\)                                                    | Horton (1932) |
|                                  | Where, \(D_d\) = Drainage density (km/km²), \(L_\mu\) = Total stream length of all orders and \(A\) = Area of the basin (km²). |             |
| Drainage Texture \((D_t)\)      | \(D_t = N_\mu / P\)                                                   | Horton (1945) |
|                                  | Where, \(N_\mu\) = No. of streams in a given order and \(P\) = Perimeter (km) |             |
| Form Factor Ratio \((R_f)\)     | \(R_f = A / L_b^2\)                                                   | Horton (1932) |
|                                  | Where, \(A\) = Area of the basin and \(L_b\) = (Maximum) basin length |             |
| Elongation Ratio \((R_e)\)      | \(R_e = 2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}} \right) / L_b\)                | Schumun (1956) |
|                                  | Where, \(A\) = Area of the basin (km²) and \(L_b\) = (Maximum) Basin length (km) |             |
| Circularity Ratio \((R_c)\)     | \(R_c = 4\pi A / P^2\)                                                | Miller (1953) |
|                                  | Where, \(A\) = Basin area (km²) and \(P\) = Perimeter of the basin (km) |             |
| Constant of Channel Maintenance \((C)\) | \(C = 1 / D_d\)                                                  | Schumun (1956) |
|                                  | Where, \(D_d\) = Drainage density (km/km²) |             |
| **Relief aspects**              |                                                                         |             |
| Basin Relief \((H)\)            | \(H = Z - z\)                                                          | Schumun (1956) |
|                                  | Where, \(Z\) = Maximum elevation of the basin (m) and \(z\) = Minimum elevation of the basin (m) |             |
| Relief Ratio \((R_r)\)          | \(R_r = H / L_b\)                                                      | Schumun (1956) |
|                                  | Where, \(H\) = basin relief (m) and \(L_b\) = Basin length (m) |             |
| Channel Gradient \((C_g)\)      | \(C_g = H / \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \times C_{lp} \right)\)              | Bulkley (1975) |
|                                  | Where, \(H\) = basin relief (m) and \(C_{lp}\) = Longest Dimension Parallel to the Principal Drainage Line (km) = \(L_b\) |             |
| Ruggedness number \((R_n)\)     | \(R_n = H \times D_d\)                                                | Strahler (1968) |
|                                  | Where \(H\) = basin relief (m) and \(D_d\) = Drainage density (km/km²) |             |
**Table 2** Linear aspect morphometric parameters

| Stream Order ($S_x$) | Number of Streams ($N_x$) | Total Stream Length ($L_x$), km | Mean stream length, km | Bifurcation ratio ($R_b$) | Mean of $R_b$ | Stream length ratio ($R_L$) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 1                    | 203                       | 85.55                           | 0.42                   | 4.61                     | 3.84         | -                           |
| 2                    | 44                        | 43.53                           | 0.98                   | 4.4                      | 2.35         | 2.35                        |
| 3                    | 10                        | 26.50                           | 2.65                   | 3.33                     | 2.68         | 2.68                        |
| 4                    | 3                         | 10.88                           | 3.63                   | 3                        | 1.37         | 1.37                        |
| 5                    | 1                         | 4.19                            | 4.19                   | -                        | -            | 1.16                        |

**Table 3** Areal aspect morphometric parameters

| Parameter                              | Value       |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| Basin Area ($A$)                       | 78.19 km²   |
| Drainage Density ($D_d$)               | 2.18 km/km² |
| Drainage Texture ($D_t$)               | 4.08 km⁻¹   |
| Form Factor Ratio ($R_f$)              | 0.35        |
| Elongation Ratio ($R_e$)               | 0.67        |
| Circularity Ratio ($R_c$)              | 0.24        |
| Constant of Channel Maintenance ($C$)  | 0.46 km     |
| Length of Overland Flow ($L_o$)        | 0.23 km     |

**Table 4** Relief aspect morphometric parameters

| Parameter                              | Value       |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| Maximum elevation of the basin ($Z$)   | 418 m       |
| Minimum elevation of the basin ($z$)   | 182 m       |
| Basin Relief ($H$)                     | 236 m       |
| Relief Ratio ($R_r$)                   | 0.0158      |
| Channel Gradient ($C_g$)               | 10.07 m/km  |
| Ruggedness number ($R_n$)              | 0.515       |
Fig. 1 Study area location

Fig. 2 Stream order map of Hiran-1 River watershed
Basin relief is the elevation difference of the highest and lowest point of the basin. Basin relief of the study area was found 236 m. Relief ratio is defined as the ratio between the total relief of a basin i.e. elevation difference of lowest and highest points of a basin, and the longest dimension of the basin parallel to the principal drainage line (Schumm, 1956). Relief ratio is an indicator of intensity of erosion processes operating on the slope of the basin. Relief ratio of the current study was found to be relatively low about 0.016. Channel gradient is the grade measured by the ratio of drop in elevation of a stream per unit horizontal distance (Bulkley, 1975), usually expressed as meters per kilometer. Channel gradient of the study area was 10.07 m/km. Strahler (1968) describes ruggedness number as the product of basin relief and drainage density. Extremely high values of ruggedness number occur when slopes of the basin are not only steeper but long, as well. Study area ruggedness number was moderately low around 0.52 which implies that area is less prone to soil erosion.

Morphometric analysis for Hiran-1 river watershed located in Gir Sanctuary was done on three aspects; linear, areal and relief aspects. Stream order ($S_\mu$), number of streams in particular order ($N_\mu$), total stream length ($L_\mu$), mean stream length, bifurcation ratio ($R_b$) and stream length ratio ($R_L$) were estimated under linear aspects. In areal aspects, basin area ($A$), drainage density ($D_d$), drainage texture ($D_t$), form factor ratio ($R_f$), elongation ratio ($R_s$), circularity ratio ($R_c$), constant of channel maintenance ($C$) and length of overland flow ($L_g$) were estimated. Basin relief ($H$), relief ratio ($R_r$), channel gradient ($C_g$) and ruggedness number ($R_n$) were the relief aspects of the basin which were calculated under morphometric analysis. Mean bifurcation ratio of the watershed has been calculated to be 3.84 which indicates slightly dissected drainage basin. Low drainage density of 2.18 km/km² well explains high infiltration in soil and less runoff due to dense forest cover. Form factor ratio and elongation ratio were 0.35 and 0.67 respectively, which favours in lower peaks of longer duration with elongated watershed, which is good for avoiding the floods in downstream. All the above morphometric characteristics calculated suggested that the study area is categorised as 5th order watershed with coarse drainage texture, elongated watershed, the morphometric analysis implies that area has moderate permeable sub-soil and thick vegetative cover that is less prone to erosion.
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