Physician Communication Coaching: How Psychologists can Elevate Skills and Support Resident Education, Professionalism, and Well-being
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Abstract
Many factors influence resident physician communication, including rigorous training demands that can contribute to professionalism issues or burnout. The University of Rochester Physician Communication Coaching program launched for attendings in 2011, and expanded to residency programs within 11 clinical departments of our institution. In this model, psychologists serve as coaches, drawing on their expertise in communication skills, behavior change, and wellness promotion. These coaches conduct real-time observation of patient encounters, coding communication with an expanded Cambridge-Calgary Patient-Centered Observational Checklist. Residents receive a written report with individualized feedback. From 2013 to 2020, 279 residents were coached. Since 2018, residents have been formally surveyed for feedback (n = 70 surveys completed; 61% response rate), with 97% rating the experience Very Helpful or Helpful. Of the 70 completed surveys, 54 (77%) included qualitative feedback that has also been positive. Due to the feasibility and growing demand for communication coaching from other residency and fellowship programs, in 2018 two authors (SM and LD-R) developed a 2-year, part-time program to train communication coaches.
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Introduction
Since the Institute of Medicine’s (now the National Academy of Medicine’s) 2001 emphasis on communication skills to promote patient-centeredness and satisfaction (Institute of Medicine, 2001), a robust literature on communication and patient satisfaction has emerged (Allenbaugh et al., 2019; Anderson et al., 2007; Beach et al., 2006; Boissy et al., 2016; Mauksch et al., 2008). While communication is formally taught in medical school education (Makoul, 2003), communication remains challenging for residents and other physicians. Psychologists, especially those at academic health centers, often have the competency and skill for teaching communication (Kaslow et al., 2008).

Many factors affect communication with patients, families, and teams, including competing demands of residency training: time pressures, clinical volume, patient complexity and acuity, and resultant physical and emotional fatigue. In response to these challenges, we extended an innovative, clinical communication coaching program for physician faculty to assess feasibility and acceptability with University of Rochester Medical Center (URMC) residents. This program uses trained psychologist coaches to assess and improve residents’ communication skills. Senior coaches provide communication coaching for attendings across the Medical Center. Junior faculty and postdoctoral psychologist coaches are embedded in residency programs also to address
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important health system goals including patient satisfaction, healthcare quality, and resident work-life satisfaction (Bodenheimer & Sinsky, 2014; Spinelli, 2013). As such, the coaching program contributes to a culture of feedback and self-improvement.

**The University of Rochester Physician Communication Coaching Program**

Dr. McDaniel developed the UR Physician Communication Coaching program in 2011 to enhance physician faculty skills in patient- and family-centered communication and improve the patient care experience. A pilot study focused on three skills associated with patient satisfaction and quality measures: Introduce yourself; elicit patient/family Concerns; and check for patient/family Understanding (or “ICU”). Results revealed differences in how high and low Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHP) scorers communicated with their patients on “ICU” behaviors (McDaniel et al., 2020). The program grew rapidly. In 2016, the URMC GME Senior Associate Dean and multiple Residency Program Directors requested the program be adapted for residents. Subsequently, the senior and second authors (SM and LD-R) began training communication coaches who are now embedded in multiple clinical departments throughout the medical center.

**Psychologists as Communication Coaches**

Psychologists are particularly well-suited to function as communication coaches. We are trained in clinical observation, behavior change, and understand the foundations of effective communication. Psychologists think systemically, use relational frames to conceptualize behavior, and understand factors that influence behavior change (motivation, confidence, skills, and modeling) (McDaniel et al., 2014). These skills allow psychologists to construct and deliver feedback in an individualized, developmental, and constructive manner. Kaslow et al. (2008) describe several competencies for psychologists in academic health centers that align with the communication coaching role. First, psychologists as teachers are in positions to train other healthcare professionals about communication, power hierarchies, group dynamics, and conflict management as essential to team functioning. Communication coaches maintain professional identities as medical educators and regularly incorporate these principles into conceptualizing communication behaviors with patients, families, and/or teams.

Consultation is another functional competency domain for psychologists in academic health centers (Kaslow et al., 2008). As of 2021, our communication coaches are embedded in 11 clinical departments of our medical center. Residency programs that have not historically hired behavioral scientists or psychologists have partnered with our program, demonstrating of the acceptability of the communication coaching role. These partnerships have resulted secondary faculty appointments and fellowship training opportunities within our institution. Coaches embedded in residency programs include psychologists, some faculty physicians, and psychology fellows, all of whom have completed or are currently completing a 2-year part-time coaching training program. Currently our psychology fellows have communication coaching built into their fellowship training experience to promote several of these core competencies for psychologists in academic health centers. This role requires experience as a psychotherapist and a medical educator such that postdoctoral fellows are the most junior trainees we believe are appropriate. Coaches must also have a solid professional identity to manage medical/system hierarchy, know how to navigate resistance, and have appropriate boundaries, all of which require more training than practicum. Communication coaching is a manifestation of many psychologists’ aims in academic healthcare settings to competently take on new roles using interpersonal skills and psychological expertise, and becoming visible, relevant, and integral in academic medical centers (Hong & Robiner, 2016).

**Communication Coaching and the “Hidden Curriculum”**

Medical school typically emphasizes communication skill development early in students’ education but less so as training progresses, possibly contributing to decreasing empathy through medical school (Hojat et al., 2004). Additionally, the “hidden curriculum” influences physician identity development as students absorb the commonly held “understandings” driven by institutional, systemic, and cultural guidelines (Hafferty, 1998). Trainees may enter residency with well-developed knowledge about pathophysiology and differential diagnosis, but less prepared for the self-reflection, professionalism, and interpersonal communication skills important for residency success (Lyss-Lerman et al., 2009).

Communication training tools address some, but not all, of these broader medical education challenges. Some tools use behaviorally-anchored checklists, record qualitative data (Keen et al., 2015) and offer coaching on specific communication skills (Brock et al., 2011) or a broader patient encounter framework (Kurtz et al., 2003). These approaches emphasize repeated observation of skills in real-time, to identify strengths, habits, and professional blind spots (Gawande, 2011; Mauksch et al., 2008). The URMC communication coaching program builds on these tools, providing a “deep dive” into patient, family, and team communication with individualized feedback for the resident. Coaching can be useful in various contexts: outpatient, inpatient, the...
operating room, intensive care units, and the emergency department.

Professionalism can be difficult to teach (Huffmyer & Kirk, 2017; Phillips & Dalgarno, 2017) as it focuses on behaviors and communication that determine the quality of patient, family, and collegial relationships. Professionalism behaviors include responsiveness and compassion to patient and family needs (Irby & Hamstra, 2016) while communicating clearly and respectfully with colleagues. Communication and professionalism issues can reflect skill deficits and underlying wellness concerns. Resident burnout is common (60%) (Dyrbye et al., 2014) and can manifest as diminished empathy (Wallace et al., 2009) and increased irritability, abruptness, and objectification (Passalacqua & Segrin, 2012). Recognizing this, ACGME proposed adjusting the professionalism milestones to include self-awareness and help-seeking subcompetencies (Edgar et al., 2018). Communication coaching can identify wellness concerns early, connect residents to resources to prevent and address burnout, and support positive patient and physician outcomes (Boissy et al., 2016; Gazelle et al., 2015). Our embedded coaches in residency programs are positioned optimally to promote the ACGME educational commitment to professionalism (Chervenak et al., 2018) and address conflicts that can emerge between the “hidden” and explicit curriculum.

Case Illustration

The following vignette illustrates how communication can suffer when a fatigued, stressed resident without strong communication skills works with a patient and family. In this example, the residents’ physician-centered approach resulted in unprofessional, disrespectful interactions with others. He did not partner effectively and ultimately hurt his relationship with his team. Finally, his limited self-awareness prevented recognition of his own deteriorated well-being, precluding him from seeking help from team members. The story is a composite of actual experience in resident communication coaching, using fictitious names:

Inpatient nurses paged 3rd year Medicine resident, Dr. Resident, several times regarding Mr. Jackson’s care. Busy with other patients, he forgot to respond. At 10 pm, he remembered the Jackson family was waiting. Though tired, he went to the unit. A nurse said, “Good, you finally made it! I hope they stuck around.” Frustrated, he snapped, “What do you mean, you hope they’re here? Didn’t you tell them I was busy?”

Dr. Resident entered Mr. Jackson’s room; he was asleep with his wife sitting nearby. Dr. Resident said, “Mr. Jackson, wake up! You wanted to speak to me? Did you want me to talk to ... it’s your wife, right?” Mr. Jackson barely nodded before dozing off again. Dr. Resident (Dr. R) sighed and turned to Mrs. Jackson (Mrs. J): “Is there something I can help with?” Exhausted and worried about her husband, she replied, “You are....?”

Dr. R: I’m the resident overseeing your husband’s care.

Mrs. J: Your team said conversations about my husband’s treatment need to go through you. My daughter has a lot of questions.

Dr. R: And they are...?

Mrs. J: You’ll have to ask her.

Dr. R: I’ll be back tomorrow.

Mrs. J: She can’t be here then. Can we call her now? I don’t understand what’s happening.

Dr. R: I’ve had a long day. My team knows the plan regarding your husband’s care... I don’t have time to look at his chart now.

Mrs. J: But...

Dr. R interrupted: See you tomorrow. If you need anything, tell the nurse.

Mrs. Jackson called the nurse and shared what happened. The nurse contacted the attending who quickly developed a care plan and called the daughter to answer her questions. The attending emailed Dr. Resident, providing feedback about her understanding of the day’s events and the poor communication observed by multiple parties; she copied in the Residency Program Director. This was the 2nd complaint about Dr. Resident. Dr. Director met with Dr. Resident the next day. She inquired about what happened, and asked more generally about how he was doing. Dr. Resident felt he had done nothing wrong; “I was tired. You say I can’t work past 80 hours, so I set some boundaries.” Dr. Director expressed concern about the event and suggested that the resident meet with the program’s communication coach. Dr. Director spoke with Dr. Coach about the resident and then emailed both, asking them to work together.

Dr. Resident’s interactions with the patient, family, team, and program leadership suggest growth opportunities in several ACGME milestones universal to residency programs, including Interpersonal and Communication Skills (ICS) and Professionalism (PROF) (Edgar et al., 2018). Residency leaders regularly face similar situations in which skill development may reduce risk of
communication problems, work hour violations, exhaustion, or mood disorders (Passalacqua & Segrin, 2012). Communication coaching provides direct assessment and functional evaluation of these milestones and skills.

**Materials and Methods**

The standardized five-step coaching process for residents is described in Table 1. Coaches arrange a 4-h observation of resident clinical work, write a comprehensive report, and meet with residents to discuss communication strengths, growth areas, and strategies to improve identified communication skills. Residents are encouraged to fill out an

| Step | Who | What | Time involved | Example |
|------|-----|------|---------------|---------|
| 1    | Coach and resident | Establish observation date | Email communication | “I’ll shadow you in clinic on January 2, 2020. My role on this day is only to observe and take notes. I will not slow you down or interrupt your workflow.” |
| 2    | Coach and resident | Clinical observation using an expanded Cambridge-calgary patient-centered observational check-list (CCPCOC+) | 4 h | Coach records physician communication behaviors on the CCPCOC+ and comments made during the clinical encounter, such as:  ● Made eye contact (7 of 7 patients)  ● Greet patient (6 of 7); “Hi Ms. Smith, good to see you”  ● Set agenda early (3 of 7); “What concerns would you like us to address today? [Then:] I’d like to review your lab results, then together we can make a plan”  ● Listen and reflect back what the patient said (5 of 7); “you’ve had this cough for a week and it’s not getting better”  ● Ask for patient and family input (5 of 7); “What are your thoughts about this plan?”  ● Check for understanding (2 of 7); “Just so I am sure I was clear, tell me how you plan to take this medication tomorrow” |
| 3    | Coach | Report including a quantitative summary and qualitative description | 4 h | “You set an agenda with 3 of 7 patients”  ● “You asked for family input with 2 of 4 family members when present”  ● “When your 2nd patient gave an empathetic cue (“I’ve cut out so many sweets, but my sugars are still high. It feels pointless.”), you responded as many physicians do, with a biomedical question or comment. (“Let’s talk about going up on your metformin.”) Practice responding to the patient’s cue with an empathic statement, such as “It really can feel frustrating when you’re sacrificing something you like and your sugars haven’t yet come down” |
| 4    | Coach and resident | Feedback | 1 h | “Tell me about your experience of the observation.”  ● “What surprised you in the report?”  ● “What strengths did you recognize?”  ● “What areas would you like to improve?” |
| 5    | Coach, resident, and program director | Develop a plan | Email communication | Routinely share summary of milestone competencies program director on shared email, and provide full report regarding strengths/growth areas to the resident Follow-up as needed, with more time invested in those demonstrating difficulties |
anonymous online survey asking them to rate the degree of helpfulness for their coaching feedback (not helpful, somewhat unhelpful, neither helpful nor unhelpful, helpful, or very helpful). They are also given an open-text field to include additional comments about the coaching program. The survey evaluation for this program with residents was reviewed by the University of Rochester Research Subject Review Board and determined not human subject research.

The clinical setting in which the coaching occurs can vary depending on the clinical learning environment (ambulatory, inpatient, surgical, acute care settings) and the identified learning needs of the individual. Many residents participate in the standard coaching process once/year. Some are coached more frequently for ongoing skill development once a domain or potential improvement area is identified, with the next coaching session further individualized. For most residents, the purpose of communication coaching is formative, for their own learning. Thus the written report is shared with the resident only, with Program Directors informed of the milestones achieved. Exceptions occur if a resident has significant problems, with coaching designated as part of a remediation plan. In these cases, the resident receives the full report and feedback shared with faculty focuses on areas of concern.

**Results**

URMC coaches assess the resident’s development as a communicator and deliver constructive and supportive feedback, accounting for each discipline’s culture, practice, team dynamics, pace, and expectations. From 2013 to 2020, 279 residents have been coached from nine programs. Coaching is offered as an innovative educational opportunity supported by residency programs. Residency and coaching leadership collaborate on decisions about coaching timing based on program need and coaching availability. One hundred percent of eligible residents participated in communication coaching as these programs now require all residents to be coached at least once during their training. See Fig. 1 for residents’ ratings on the program. Of 70 surveys completed (response rate 61%), 97% of residents rated the experience Very Helpful or Helpful. Fifty-four of the 70 surveys (77%) also included qualitative comments such as: “The coaching was a good way to learn things about how I am with patients and colleagues in ways that I am not always self-aware…” See Table 2 for resident comments. Returning to our illustration:

Dr. Coach observed Dr. Resident with patients, families, and his team. She compiled a detailed report. During feedback, Dr. Coach emphasized his strengths, including interacting well with patients and team members individually. She noted opportunities for growth when multiple people were in the room, specifically acknowledging everyone, gather-

![Fig. 1 Anonymous survey results about communication coaching program from University of Rochester Medical Center residents coached between 2018 and 2020 (n = 70)](image)

Table 2: Illustrative samples of qualitative feedback from residents about the communication coaching program from 2018 to 2020

| Feedback Sample                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| “She was a true silent observer for the afternoon. I continually forgot she was there (in a good way)” |
| “This was helpful and insightful. I was worried it would further slow me down but was actually enlightening how I was slowing myself down” |
| “To be completely honest, I was kind of dreading this! I get very self-conscious. But I found this incredibly helpful in figuring out what unhelpful habits I kept perpetuating. [She] helped me recognize inefficiencies and sub-optimal communication techniques that even translate into other areas of my life. I found this entire experience very eye-opening” |
| “I really appreciated the feedback. Since we met, I’ve been trying some of the relaxation techniques mentioned and find I’m more patient. I’m looking forward to practicing my interview skills. It gives me hope that the department had the insight to hire you!” |
| “I didn’t think I needed feedback… I didn’t appreciate how nuanced communication with patients could or should be” |
| “I had no idea the extent of what you would be able to observe. You captured so much. It’s like you got me and the environment” |
| “I found the coaching program to be a fantastic experience. While I understand it is very time and resource intensive, getting the objective data on my behaviors when talking to patients was invaluable, along with [her] excellent explanations of the data-driven evidence behind avoiding vs encouraging certain behaviors in patient visits. This has already helped me improve my practice and I anticipate going over the entire report again many times in the future looking for new things I can focus on. I would strongly encourage Rochester to continue providing this service for its residents” |
coaches recognize many benefits to this work, including diversity of role and function, novel application of skills, the appreciation of the residents and faculty, and the recognition of psychologists as leaders within academic health centers.

An element critical to our program’s success is that our senior coaching team first provide communication coaching to departmental and program leadership, prior to engaging the residents. Leaders experience the process first-hand, and can testify to the personal and professional benefits of coaching. They normalize the experience, model skills, and help establish a feedback culture, reinforcing that communication is a complex skill worthy of life-long attention. Our “leadership first” approach, the organizational design that all residents receive coaching, and transparency with residents about what feedback will be shared with residency program leadership, all serve to normalize the process and promote psychological safety among medical learners (Torralba & Puder, 2017).

Limitations and Next Steps

Program limitations include not having data on how coaching improves patient outcomes nor generalizability of results to resident training programs outside our institution. An additional limitation to the generalizability of our results is that communication behavior is multifactorial, influenced by residency culture, mentorship and support, and quality/consistency of other feedback residents receive within their programs. One next step will be to gather data on how many communication coaching sessions residents engage in to identify the range and frequency of coaching session repetitions that meet individual goals and program needs.

An ongoing process is expanding our communication coaching training program, as coaching requests within our institution outpace our supply of trained coaches. In response, two authors (SM and LD-R) developed a 2-year, part-time communication coaching training program for appropriate faculty and psychology postdoctoral fellows. Faculty accepted as coaches-in-training already possess skills as medical educators and psychotherapists or behavior change agents. As a result, in 2021 we have seven faculty and five postdoctoral fellows as coaches-in-training, now embedded in residencies in 11 clinical departments, including Surgery, Internal Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Family Medicine, Pediatrics, Neurology, Emergency Medicine, Neurosurgery, Ophthalmology, Orthopaedics, and Psychiatry. Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, which placed a significant psychological and physical toll on our healthcare settings, coaching requests within our physician faculty coaching program have grown substantially and further reflect the value-added of this type of program in academic health centers.
In sum, physician communication coaching is an educational innovation well-received by residents that can address the complex relationship between communication skills, professionalism, and wellness. Our coaching program provides the dual-benefit of filling an educational gap for residents while simultaneously contributing to programs’ efforts promoting professionalism and wellness.
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