The collective X-ray luminosity of HMXB as a SFR indicator
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We study the relation between the X-ray luminosity of compact sources and the SFR of the host galaxy. Our sample includes 38 galaxies for which a uniform set of X-ray, infra-red and ultraviolet data from Chandra, Spitzer and GALEX has been collected. Our primary goals are (i) to obtain a more accurate calibration of the \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation and (ii) to understand the origin of the dispersion in the \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation observed in previous studies. Preliminary results of this project are reported below.

1 Introduction

The recent star formation rate (SFR) of a galaxy is measured from the light emitted by massive stars: given their short lifetimes, their luminosity is, to the first approximation, proportional to the rate at which they are currently being formed. The conversions between luminosities at different wavelengths and SFR are usually derived from stellar population synthesis models (see review by Kennicutt, 1998). Most of the SFR indicators are known to be subject to various uncertainties, one of which is correction for the interstellar extinction.

Another method of SFR determination is based on the X-ray emission of a galaxy. As X-rays are able to penetrate large columns of gas and dust, such an estimator is expected to be independent of this uncertainty of the more conventional indicators. The X-ray emission of a normal gas-poor galaxy is dominated by the collective emission of its X-ray binary (XRB) population (e.g. Fabbiano, 2006, and references therein). The latter can be divided into low- and high-mass X-ray binaries according to the mass of the donor star. The difference in mass results in different evolution time-scales. The characteristic time-scale of luminous high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXB) does not exceed \(\sim \text{few} \times 10^7\) yr, making them a potentially good tracer of the recent star formation activity in the host galaxy.

Compact stellar mass X-ray sources more luminous than \(\sim 10^{39}\) erg/s are named ULXs (ultra-luminous X-ray sources, Mushotzky, 2004). They are predominantly located in star-forming galaxies. Grimm et al. (2003) showed that ULXs form the bright end extension of the luminosity function of compact sources in star-forming galaxies, the fainter end of which is populated by “normal” HMXBs. Due to relatively shallow slope of the HMXB XLF, the collective X-ray luminosity of compact sources in a galaxy is dominated by its brightest sources, thus ULXs drive the correlation between X-ray luminosity and the SFR of the host galaxy.

In the past decade several authors have discussed the X-ray emission of compact sources as an estimator of the SFR of the host galaxy (e.g. Grimm et al., 2003; Persic & Rephaeli, 2007; Ranalli et al., 2003). Their work has established the general correlation between the X-ray luminosity of the galaxy (and/or of its binary population) and its SFR. However some discrepancy between the scale factors derived by different authors remained. Moreover, a rather large scatter in the \(L_X/\text{SFR}\) ratio has been seen in the individual samples. One of the limiting factors of previous studies was the heterogeneous nature of the X-ray and SFR data. With the large numbers of galaxies available nowadays in Chandra, Spitzer and GALEX public data archives it is became possible to construct large samples of galaxies for which homogeneous sets of multiwavelength measurements are available. This motivated us to revisit the problem of the \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation in order to (i) obtain its more accurate calibration and (ii) understand the origin of the dispersion seen in previous studies.

2 The sample

We started to build the sample of star-forming galaxies from the entire "Normal Galaxies" section of the Chandra Data Archive. It includes over 300 galaxies of all morphological types. We based our selection on the following four criteria.

i) Hubble type: we selected only late-type galaxies, i.e. those having numerical index of stage along the Hubble sequence \(T > 0\);

ii) Specific SFR: as star-forming galaxies contain also LMXBs, unrelated to the recent star-formation activity, the
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contribution of the latter will contaminate the $L_X -$ SFR relation. Although separation of the high- and low-mass XRBs in external galaxies is virtually impossible, the LMXBs contribution can be controlled in a statistical way. To this end we use the fact that their population is proportional to the stellar mass $M_*$ of the host galaxy (Gilfanov 2004), whereas HMXBs scale with SFR (Grimm et al. 2003), therefore the specific SFR (SFR/$M_*$) can serve as a measure of their relative contributions to the X-ray luminosity of the galaxy. Based on the scale-factors for HMXB and LMXB populations we estimated that a threshold of SFR/$M_* > 1 	imes 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ would be a reasonable compromise, sufficient for our purpose. In applying this criterion we estimated the $M_*$ and SFR from 2MASS and IRAS catalogues respectively.

iii) Number of compact sources: in order to perform the spatial analysis of the detected sources (see Sect. 3), we required that galaxies in our sample have 10 or more compact sources within the isophotal diameter $D_{25}$. After applying this criterion, all remaining galaxies had X-ray point source detection sensitivity of $L_{\text{lim}} < 5 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$;

iv) Distance: for the galaxies passed through the above criteria, a number of redshift independent distances, determined with different methods, were collected from NED. Following the results of Jacoby et al. (1992), we chose the most accurate among them. For our primary sample we chose a distance threshold of 40 Mpc, in order to spatially resolve the X-ray compact sources with Chandra. This also allows us to identify and separate the central AGN from the rest of detected point sources. The thus defined primary sample includes 29 galaxies, with SFR values ranging from 0.1 to 15 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ in the 0.5 – 8 keV band.

High-SFR sample. In order to explore the $L_X -$ SFR relation at higher SFR, we relaxed the distance constraint and constructed the secondary high-SFR sample of more distant galaxies. A total number of 8 galaxies were found beyond the distance of $D > 40$ Mpc with the SFR in the $13 - 412$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ range. They were treated as spatially unresolved in our analysis (see section 4 for details and caveats). Five of them are classified as ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) and two as luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs). Although they were contained in the normal galaxies section of the Chandra archive, we verified that the contribution of AGN to the bolometric luminosity in these galaxies is $< 30\%$ (Nardini et al. 2009), to ensure that the IR-based SFR measurement is not compromised by the latter. The AGN contribution in the X-ray band was constrained directly from the Chandra images (these galaxies are partly resolved by Chandra, the smallest one having angular size of $D_{25} \sim 24$ arcsec). Finally, we included 8 normal galaxies from the Chandra Deep Field North (Brandt et al. 2001). The K-corrected X-ray and radio luminosities for these galaxies were taken from Gilfanov et al. (2004). Thus, our high-SFR sample included 16 galaxies.

3 Spatial analysis

In order to identify regions of galaxies where the HMXBs dominate the compact X-ray source population, we analyzed the spatial distribution of the detected compact sources in resolved galaxies of the primary sample.

To minimize the contribution of CXB sources we constructed cumulative and differential radial profiles of the detected X-ray point sources. These were compared with the surface density of CXB sources predicted from $\log N - \log S$ of Georgakakis et al. (2008). Based on this analysis we chose regions where the predicted number of CXB sources did not exceed 30\% of the total number of detected sources.

Although only star-forming galaxies with SFR/$M_* \geq 1 \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ have been selected, we further minimized the contribution of LMXBs to the total X-ray luminosity by locating the bulge regions of spiral galaxies and excluding them from X-ray and multiwavelength analysis.

4 Multiwavelength analysis and SFR estimation

The X-ray luminosity for galaxies in our primary sample was measured as the sum of luminosities of point sources detected above the corresponding sensitivity limit. As Chandra observations of different galaxies achieved different sensitivity, these luminosities need to be transformed to the same threshold. The luminosity of “missing” unresolved sources was computed by integrating the luminosity function which differential slope was assumed to be 1.6 (Grimm et al. 2003). The normalization was determined for each galaxy individually, from the number of sources detected above its sensitivity limit. We chose $L_X = 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ as the common luminosity threshold, i.e. the luminosities presented below are an estimate of the combined luminosity of compact sources brighter that this threshold. The count-to-erg conversion was done assuming a power law spectrum with slope of 2.0 and absorption of $n_H = 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

The galaxies from the secondary high-SFR sample were treated as unresolved objects and their luminosity was measured as total luminosity inside the $D_{25}$ ellipse. The count-to-ergs conversion in this case was determined based on the modeling of their X-ray spectra.

For the purpose of studying the $L_X -$ SFR relation for X-ray binaries we have subtracted from the total luminosity of point sources in the primary sample the contribution of the CXB sources. The latter was estimated statistically, based on the CXB $\log N - \log S$ of Georgakakis et al. (2008). The resulting correction does not exceed $\approx 35\%$. This correction is insignificant for unresolved galaxies from the high-SFR sample.

We used data from Spitzer, GALEX and 2MASS archives to obtain accurate and homogeneous estimation of SFR and stellar mass. For resolved galaxies we measured fluxes of the FIR ($70 \mu$m and $24 \mu$m), NIR ($K_S$ band) and
We computed the SFR of each galaxy combining IR- and UV-based estimates (see Hirashita et al. 2003; Iglesias-Páramo et al. 2006). This method accounts for the light emitted by the dust heated by young stars as well as the UV photons escaping the dust clouds. It has the advantage of being free of the model dependent attenuation correction of the UV emission. We also included a correction for the IR emission from old stellar population:

$$\text{SFR} = \text{SFR}^0_{\text{UV}} + (1 - \eta)\text{SFR}_{\text{IR}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where SFR$^0_{\text{UV}}$ and SFR$_{\text{IR}}$ are computed using standard calibrations for the Salpeter IMF from 0.1 to 100 \(M_\odot\) (Kennicutt 1998):

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{IR}}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1}) = 4.6 \times 10^{-44}L_{\text{IR}}(\text{erg s}^{-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\text{SFR}^0_{\text{UV}}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1}) = 1.2 \times 10^{-43}L_{\text{NUV,obs}}(\text{erg s}^{-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$L_{\text{NUV,obs}}$ is the luminosity at 2312 \(\text{Å}\) un-corrected for dust attenuation, \(L_{\text{IR}}\) is the 8 – 1000 \(\mu\)m luminosity, \(\eta\) is the cirrus correction, i.e. the fractional contribution of the old stellar population to \(L_{\text{IR}}\). We used standard values of \(\eta = 0\) for starbursts and \(\eta = 0.4\) for disk galaxies.

The SFR for HDFN galaxies were computed based on their 1.4 GHz luminosities and calibration of Bell (2003):

$$\text{SFR}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1}) = 5.52 \times 10^{-29}L_{\text{1.4 GHz}}(\text{erg s}^{-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

5 \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation

The obtained \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation is shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 1. An important caveat is that we have plotted galaxies from both resolved and high-SFR samples in the same plot, ignoring the fact that X-ray luminosity of the latter includes contribution of unresolved emission (Sect. 4), which potentially may be significant in star-forming galaxies. This issue will be fully addressed in the future publication. Our preliminary estimates show that contribution of unresolved emission does not change the X-ray luminosity of star-forming galaxies by a large factor. This is consistent with the fact that galaxies from the unresolved sample appear to lie on the extension of the relation for resolved galaxies.

It has been shown that \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation for discrete sources is subject to effects of statistic of small numbers which tend to steepen the apparent slope of its low-SFR part (Gilfanov et al. 2004). Therefore a fit to the relation in the entire SFR range is likely to produce biased results, depending on the distribution of the galaxies of the sample across SFR. We defer detailed consideration of this effect to the forthcoming publication and plot for the reference in the Fig. 1 a linear relation

$$L_x(\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 3 \times 10^{39}\text{SFR}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1})$$  

6 Dispersion around the \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation

Despite of the special effort to create a sample with a homogeneous set of multi-wavelength measurements and to minimize contamination by CXB sources and LMXBs, the resulting \(L_X - \text{SFR}\) relation bears a rather large dispersion of \(\sim 0.4\) dex rms. In order to investigate the origin of this dispersion we searched for correlation of the \(L_X/\text{SFR}\) ratio with different quantities characterizing importance of various potential contaminating factors. These included the sensitivity limit of \textit{Chandra} data \(L_{\text{lim}}\), contribution of CXB and LMXB sources characterized by the ratio of the stud-
ied area and enclosed stellar mass to the SFR, dust attenuation characterized by the $L_{IR}/L_{UV}$ ratio and inclination of the galaxy $i$. The results are shown in Fig. 2. In these plots, the symbols without error bars represent individual galaxies, and symbols with error bars show binned values. The vertical error bars represent the bin rms. We searched for statistically significant correlations in these data using the Spearman’s rank correlation test and found none, with the possible exception of the dust attenuation where the associated probability was on the ∼0.4−6% level, depending on the samples combination. As it is obvious from the plot, the correlation is such that galaxies with stronger dust attenuation have smaller $L_X/SFR$ ratio. This is to be expected. We note however, that in order to suppress the 0.5–8 keV luminosity by a factor of 10, a column density of $\sim 5 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ is needed.

7 Conclusions

We presented preliminary results of the study of the relation between SFR and X-ray luminosity of galaxies based on Chandra, Spitzer, GALEX and 2MASS observations of nearby star-forming galaxies. We found an $L_X - SFR$ relation that is in a general agreement with results of earlier studies. Despite our attempt to minimize the contribution of various contaminating factors, we still see the relatively large dispersion in the $L_X - SFR$ relation, of the order of ∼ 0.4 dex rms. We investigated the possible role of various effects and concluded that the observed dispersion is unlikely to be caused by any of the obvious contaminating factors and may have a physical origin. Plausible candidates are differences in the recent star-formation history, metallicity, attenuation by the dust and gas etc.
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