Correlation of Feedstock Powder Characteristics with Microstructure, Composition, and Mechanical Properties of La$_2$Ce$_2$O$_7$ Coatings Produced by Plasma Spray-Physical Vapor Deposition
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Abstract: By virtue of plasma spray-physical vapor deposition (PS-PVD) process, coatings in possession of columnar structures can be obtained by suitable processing parameters coupled with specially designed powder feedstock. In this paper, the influence of powder characteristics on the La$_2$Ce$_2$O$_7$ (LC) coating microstructures was investigated by using three kinds of feedstock powders with same PS-PVD processing parameters. It was found that small agglomerated feedstock, weak binding strength, and small primary particle sizes can enhance the feedstock evaporation rate, thus obtaining well columnar structured coatings. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns revealed that except for a very small amount of La$_2$O$_3$ the main phase of all the coatings is LC. The La/Ce atomic ratios reduced in the coatings compared to the feedstocks, especially the coatings with better columnar structure. Super-lattice patterns were observed by transmission electron microscope (TEM), which means that the LC phase is supposed to be pyrochlore structure. Furthermore, the mechanical properties evaluated by nano-indentation tests indicated that both the hardness and Young’s modulus of each coating show negative correlations with the porosity inside the columns.
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1. Introduction

Plasma spray-physical vapor deposition (PS-PVD), a cutting-edge technology on the foundation of plasma spraying, has been regarded as an alternative technology to deposit thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) in possession of columnar structures [1,2], since yttria partially stabilized zirconia (YSZ) columnar coatings deposited via PS-PVD process have shown excellent performances as TBCs [3–5]. Compared to electron beam-physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD), PS-PVD enables not only more economic profit and higher deposition rate [6] but also non-line-of-sight deposition, hence probably achieving homogeneous TBCs deposition on intricate geometric components [7,8].

To realize vapor deposition by plasma spray method, it relies not only on the development of the facility, but also on specific feedstock powders [9]. Currently, a kind of YSZ powder, named M6700, is the only commercial powder specially for PS-PVD utilization [10–14]. The agglomerated...
particle sizes of M6700 are very small. Additionally, the inner structure of single particle of M6700 is quite loose and porous. Such characteristics of M6700 are beneficial to breaking the agglomerated particles into small primary particles and thus to achieve a high evaporation rate [9].

Due to the restriction of feedstock supply, PS-PVD coatings other than YSZ were hardly manufactured and investigated [15–17]. It cannot be denied that YSZ used as TBC is beyond comparison below temperature of 1200 °C [18,19]. However, the application of YSZ is limited due to accelerated sintering and phase transformation at higher temperatures [20–22]. LaCeO$_3$ (LC) was proposed as alternative ceramic material for application at higher temperatures, since it can provide high phase stability below 1400 °C and very low thermal conductivity [23]. Previously, a double-ceramic-layer (DCL) LC/YSZ coating deposited by EB-PVD has shown a thermal cyclic lifetime of 2007 times at 1250 °C [24], which further demonstrated that columnar structured LC coatings have potential on TBCs application at higher temperature. In our previous investigation, LC coatings in possession of columnar structures have been achieved by PS-PVD [25]. However, the processing window was rather narrow because of the restriction of the feedstock [25]. Thus, it is necessary to investigate the influence of LC feedstocks and to further modify the feedstock for using in PS-PVD.

In this paper, three kinds of feedstock powders were synthesized and manufactured for comparing the influence of powder characteristics on the coatings’ microstructures. One of them has large agglomerated particle size close to air plasma spray (APS) powder in consideration that LC is one of the easiest to be vaporized materials among current TBCs candidates [26]. The other two have small agglomerated particle sizes like YSZ feedstock M6700 [9]. The primary particle sizes of them are different. In the PS-PVD process, same processing parameters were conducted for the three feedstocks. Accordingly, the relationships among powder characteristics, coating microstructures, and compositions, as well as mechanical properties were studied.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Feedstock Fabrication

Three kinds of LC powders were agglomerated by spray-drying granulation. Raw material of Powder 1 was manufactured by chemical co-precipitation, and those of the other Powders 2 and 3 were manufactured by solid state reaction. To achieve stoichiometric coatings in possession of columnar microstructures [27,28], the La/Ce atomic ratios in the three feedstocks were 1.24, 1.11, and 1.48, respectively.

The preparation procedures of Powder 1 can be described as follows: commercial La(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Analytical reagent (AR), Jiuliao Chemistry Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Analytical reagent (AR), Jiuliao Chemistry Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) were used as the starting materials. Starting material (La(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, 30% w.t. in total) was dissolved in deionized water. NH$_3$·H$_2$O was added as the precipitant into the prepared solution with 25–30 drops per minute to keep the pH value of 9. Then, the particles were filtered out and thoroughly washed by deionized water for several times. After that, the particles were dried in a vacuum at 80 °C for 24 h. After the preparation of raw material finished, the spray-drying procedures started. The slurry composed of 30% w.t. dried particles, 1% w.t. polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) as the binder and deionized water as the solvent was prepared for ball-milling. Zirconia balls with the dimension of φ1 mm were used as the milling media. The mechanical mixtures were ball-milled for 12 h. The slurries after ball-milling were spray-dried by a LGZ-8 spray dryer (Dongsheng Spray Dryer Mechanical Co., Ltd., Wuxi, China). Detailed parameters are shown in Table 1.

The preparation procedures of Powders 2 and 3 are different and can be described as follows: commercial La$_2$O$_3$ (99.99%, Xiangtian Nano Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and CeO$_2$ (99.99%, Xiangtian Nano Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) nanopowders were used as the starting materials. For Powder 2, the slurry composed of 20% w.t. starting material (La$_2$O$_3$ and CeO$_2$ nanopowders in total), 2% w.t.
PVA as the binder, 2% w.t. polyethylene glycol (PEG) as the dispersant, and deionized water as the solvent was prepared for ball-milling. For Powder 3, the slurry was composed of 20% w.t. starting material (La2O3 and CeO2 nanopowders in total), 2% w.t. PVA as the binder, and deionized water as the solvent. Zirconia balls with the dimension of Φ1 mm were used as the milling media. Both of the mechanical mixtures were ball-milled for 24 h. The slurries after ball-milling were dried by a LGZ-25 spray dryer (Dongsheng Spray Dryer Mechanical Co., Ltd., Wuxi, China). Detailed parameters are shown in Table 1. Then, both of the agglomerated powders were sintered at 1400 °C for 24 h to form LC phase (Face-Centered Cubic (FCC) structure). After that, for Powder 2, the slurry of 20% w.t. agglomerated powders, 2% w.t. PVA as the binder, 2% w.t. PEG as the dispersant and deionized water as the solvent was prepared again for ball-milling. For Powder 3, the slurry was composed of 20% w.t. agglomerated powders, 2% w.t. PVA as the binder and deionized water as the solvent. The ball-milling time was set as 24 h and 36 h for Powders 2 and 3, respectively. In the last step, the slurries were spray-dried again using the same parameters given in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters used for spray-drying granulation.

| Powder | Inlet Temperature (°C) | Outlet Temperature (°C) | Nozzle Rotation Speed (r/min) | Slurry Feeding Rate (L/min) |
|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1      | 320                    | 120                     | 16,000                        | 5                           |
| 2      | 300                    | 100                     | 17,000                        | 2                           |
| 3      | 300                    | 100                     | 17,000                        | 2                           |

2.2. Coating Preparation

PS-PVD deposition equipment (Medicoat AG, Mägenwil, Switzerland) in utilization of a MC-100 plasma torch was adopted to prepare all the coatings. The substrates for deposition were polished superalloy K403 [29] specimens. Before coating deposition, the substrates were heated by the plasma jet until the deposition temperature reaching ~900 °C. The detailed information can refer to our previous work [25]. The PS-PVD processing parameters to produce columnar structured YSZ coatings as listed in Table 2 were used for the three feedstocks to reveal the influence of each powder feedstock on the corresponding coating. Powders 1, 2, and 3 are one-to-one correspondence to Coatings 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The processing parameters may be not the most suitable for all the feedstocks, but in a reasonable range for feedstock evaporation based on our previous studies [4,12,13]. By keeping the same rotation speed in the same powder hopper, the corresponding powder feed rates are 8, 9, and 5 g/min, respectively.

Table 2. Processing parameters for LaCeO2 (LC) coatings by plasma spray-physical vapor deposition (PS-PVD).

| Plasma Gas | Ar 30 slpm \(^1\)/He 60 slpm \(^1\) |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| Power      | 65 kW                            |
| Chamber pressure | 1 mbar                        |
| Spray time | 5 min                            |
| Carrier gas flow | Ar 10 slpm \(^1\)          |
| Spray distance | 1000 mm                        |

\(^1\) Slpm: standard liters per minute.

2.3. Characterization

The microstructures of LC feedstocks and coatings were observed by scanning electron microscopes (SEM, Gemini 300 and Quanta 200F). The feedstocks’ compositions were examined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The distributions of particle sizes were carried out by a laser diffraction analyzer. The phases were detected in the Bragg angle.
(2θ) range of 20°–90° by X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/max 2500 PC, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan). The step size was set as 6°/min with Cu Kα radiation. A focused ion beam (FIB) sample was extracted for transmission electron microscope (TEM) observations by a JEM 2100F microscopy. The porosity inside the columns was estimated by image analysis (IA) method via the software (Image-Pro Plus, Version 6.0). Ten images with magnification of 5000× at the center of randomly selected columns were used for each coating. The hardness and elastic modulus were obtained by a Berkovich type indenter under continuous stiffness mode (CSM). The measurements were conducted by a G-200 facility (Agilent Technologies, Oak Ridge, TN, USA). In order to get reliable values, for each sample, 10 random indentations were performed at the columns’ centers. The penetration depth was determined as 500 nm. To calculate the Young’s modulus, the Poisson’s ratio of LC (0.24) [30] was utilized.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure and Composition of LC Feedstocks

Particle size distributions of the feedstocks are listed in Table 3, wherein Powders 2 and 3 have similar size distributions while Powder 1 is much larger than them. Since PS-PVD requires agglomerated feedstock powders to be easily broken up, thus be easily evaporated [9], ultrasonic treatments were conducted to semi-quantitatively evaluate the binding strength between primary particles in the feedstock powders. It can be seen that Powder 3 underwent the most significant particle size decrease among all the three powders, which indicates that the binding strength of Powder 3 is the weakest, thus it is supposed to be evaporated most easily. Figure 1 shows the microstructures of the three LC feedstocks. From the surface morphologies (Figure 1a,d,g), particles in Powders 1 and 3 have rather higher sphericity than the particles in Powder 2. The cross-sectional morphologies (Figure 1b,e,h) revealed porous feedstocks agglomerated from small primary particles. Such agglomeration is supposed to be fragmented easily and thus evaporated easily in the nozzle. According to the magnified cross-sectional morphologies (Figure 1c,f,i), the primary particle size of Powder 1 is larger than 500 nm, while those of Powders 2 and 3 are obviously smaller. The primary particle sizes of Powders 2 and 3 are less than 350 nm and 250 nm, respectively. The phase analyses depicted in Figure 2 revealed that Powders 2 and 3 are nearly pure LC phase (FCC structure), and Powder 1 is composed of LC and La₂O₃ phases.

Table 3. Particle size distributions of LC feedstocks before and after ultrasonic treatments.

| Powder | d₁₀ (μm) | d₅₀ (μm) | d₉₀ (μm) |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1      | 30       | 53       | 80       |
| 1 (after) | 24   | 51       | 73       |
| 2      | 9        | 15       | 25       |
| 2 (after) | 2    | 14       | 23       |
| 3      | 10       | 17       | 27       |
| 3 (after) | 1    | 8        | 23       |
3.2. Microstructure of LC Coatings

Microstructures of corresponding LC coatings deposited by the three feedstocks named as Coatings 1–3 are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The coatings are all columnar structured from the top views. Many clusters are found on top of the columns in Coating 1 (Figure 3b), which is supposed to be solidification of tiny liquid droplets or condensation of vapors [31]. In contrast, the surface of the columns in Coating 2 is quite clean without clusters (Figure 3d). In Coating 3, clusters adhere on the column tops again (Figure 3f). From the cross-sectional microstructure, the bottom part of Coating 1 shows no obvious inter-columnar gaps (Figure 4a). Coatings 2 and 3 are well columnar structured from the bottom of the coatings (Figure 4b,c). However, Coating 2 has a deposition rate of 16.9 μm/min which is two times more than that of Coating 1. One reason must be the high powder feed rate of 9 g/min.
Figure 3. Surface morphologies of corresponding LC coatings: (a) Coating 1, (b) Coating 1 (magnified); (c) Coating 2, (d) Coating 2 (magnified); (e) Coating 3, (f) Coating 3 (magnified).

Figure 4. Cross-sectional morphologies of corresponding LC coatings: (a) Coating 1, (b) Coating 2, (c) Coating 3.

Figure 5 shows magnified cross-sectional morphologies of different parts in the three coatings. At the bottom part of Coating 1 in Figure 5a, large amount of tiny splats with the size of less than 1 μm (marked with white dashed lines) can be observed. The tiny splats can cover the deposited coating and decrease the surface roughness at the early stage of coating deposition. It was investigated that shadowing effect is a factor responsible for column growth of PS-PVD coatings [32]. And shadowing effect is correlated to the angle between surface roughness and the direction of arriving deposits, hence column growth needs adequate surface roughness. With coating deposition proceeding, the tiny splats have less and less influence on the surface roughness due to the deposition of vapor phase. Accordingly, large column shape can form and inter-columnar gaps develop at the
upper part of Coating 1 (Figure 4a). The internal structure of the developed large columns in Coating 1 displayed in Figure 5b is similar to that of the bottom part (Figure 5a). In Coating 2 many very fine particles can be seen and are marked with white dashed lines in Figure 5c. The unmelted primary particles are supposed to be a source of the very fine particles. In addition, supersaturation may occur in the boundary layer and therefore condensation of vapor phase might also be a source of the very fine particles [31,33,34]. Large number of defects exist in the internal structures of this column, which makes it look porous. Compared to Coating 2, fine particles are not found in Coating 3, which should be related to the high feedstock evaporation rate owing to the low powder feed rate and small primary particles.

3.3. Composition of LC Coatings

The analyzed phase constituents of the coatings are depicted in Figure 6. Other than the main phase LC (FCC structure), a very small amount of La₂O₃ can also be identified in all the coatings. As there is hardly any La₂O₃ in Powders 2 and 3, the La₂O₃ in the Coatings 2 and 3 can only be formed during deposition. For Coating 1, another possibility is from the feedstock powder. The average La/Ce atomic ratios of LC feedstocks and corresponding coatings are listed in Table 4. The results of powders were measured by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), while the values of coatings were obtained by electron dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). To get convincible EDS results, 10 random positions were selected in each coating. At every position, average result of a large area on the coating surface was measured. According to the measured results, average values of powders are close to the nominal ones. Compared with the La/Ce atomic ratios in the powders, La losses are evident in the coatings. This phenomenon is related to much higher vapor pressure of CeO₂, leading to higher content of Ce deposition in column-like coatings [35]. Additionally, more La lost in Coatings 2 and 3. Taking into consideration of coating morphologies, it may have correlations with higher feedstock evaporation rates. Contrary to vapor deposition, liquid deposition is supposed to reserve more La in the coatings [27].

![Figure 5](image-url). Magnified cross-sectional morphologies of corresponding LC coatings: (a) Bottom part of Coating 1, (b) column internal part of Coating 1, (c) column internal part of Coating 2, (d) column internal part of Coating 3.
To further study the local phase compositions of the columns, an FIB specimen was prepared from the center of a column in Coating 3 as illustrated in Figure 7. As liquid droplets are supposed to be too thin to recognize in the cross-sectional view of TEM images [25], the FIB specimen was derived parallel to the surface direction. In Figure 7, gaps between sub-columns cannot be obviously observed, which may be related to different growth directions of sub-columns [25]. Due to the existence of solid particles, the original growth route of sub-columns can be changed. Moreover, round parts similar to the particles can be observed. However, sub-columns can also act like this from the top view. Therefore, only those sub-columns growing nearly parallel to the surface direction, thus looking to be in a rod-like shape, can be confirmed as vapor deposition. One of them was selected and shown in Figure 8a. As for liquid deposition, splashed shape is supposed to be the feature. As depicted in Figure 8b, the region was selected because the bottom edge has splashed tendency. According to the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns, both the vapor and liquid deposition not only have FCC structures, but also super-lattice patterns. This indicates that it is pyrochlore LC phase [25,36–38]. The La/Ce atomic ratios of vapor and liquid deposition are 1.15 and 1.61, respectively.

Table 4. Average La/Ce atomic ratios of LC feedstocks and corresponding coatings.

| No. | 1   | 2   | 3   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Powder | 1.24 | 1.11 | 1.48 |
| Coating | 1.17 | 0.77 | 1.19 |
3.4. Nano-Indentation Tests of LC Coatings

A comparison among the porosity inside the columns of LC coatings is depicted in Figure 9. It should be noted that the porosity only refers to the value at the middle region of columns rather than the entire coating. As illustrated in Figure 9, Coating 2 has the highest average value, which is in accordance with the porous morphology in Figure 5b. The deviation of the porosity in Coating 1 is the largest, which could be caused by non-uniform deposition of unmelted particles and liquid droplets as mentioned before.
Figure 9. Porosity inside the columns of LC coatings.

Figure 10 displays the hardness and Young’s modulus of the LC coatings. As illustrated, the lowest value of the average hardness belongs to Coating 2, while the highest one belonging to Coating 3 is approximately double of that. The Young’s modulus also presents a similar tendency. These results indicate that even though the effects of coating compositions cannot be totally excluded, both of the hardness and Young’s modulus have strong correlations with the porosity. For TBCs utilization, high hardness is necessary as it can enhance the erosion resistance [39], but very high Young’s modulus is unfavorable for reducing the strain tolerance [40]. Therefore, further investigations to control the porosity are still in need to optimize the hardness and Young’s modulus simultaneously.

Figure 10. Hardness and Young’s modulus of LC coatings.

4. Conclusions

LC coatings in possession of columnar structures were prepared by PS-PVD using three different feedstocks with same processing parameters. The correlations among powder characteristics, coating microstructures and compositions, as well as mechanical properties were also investigated. The main conclusions can be drawn as follows:

(1) Small agglomerated feedstock, weak binding strength and small primary particle sizes can enhance the feedstock evaporation rates, thus obtaining well columnar structured coatings. Nevertheless, fine particles can be co-deposition inside the columns if the powder feed rate is too high.

(2) The La/Ce atomic ratios of the coatings reduced compared to those of the feedstocks, especially the coatings with better columnar structure. Thus, to achieve stoichiometric LC coatings with well columnar structure, adding reasonable excess La in the feedstock is necessary.
(3) Both the hardness and Young’s modulus of each coating show negative correlations with the porosity inside the columns. To optimize the hardness and Young’s modulus simultaneously for TBCs utilization, the porosity can be modified by adjusting the powder feed rate.
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