Mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter with ovarian fimbriae, case reports
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Abstract
The number of treated patients with peritoneal dialysis accounts for 2.8% of all kidney replacement therapy methods and constantly decreases. Peritoneal dialysis should be the first choice as the kidney function replacement treatment method in a transplantation waiting period. Besides all other possible infectious and mechanical complications of peritoneal catheter placement and maintenance, mechanical obstruction by ovarian fimbriae is very rare but potentially dangerous. We present four clinical cases (women 32–56 years) of mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter by ovarian fimbriae during 2012–2014 in Clinical Hospital Merkur, Zagreb, Croatia. We use a laparoscopic technique with Čala trocar for peritoneal catheter placement in the right part of the Douglas cavity using a double-cuff Tenckhoff straight catheter. In our cases, all described obstructions were on the right side of the abdominal cavity. Only one patient had a displaced catheter. This problem was described only in a few case reports in which were presented with fluid exchange difficulties or initial vaginal secretion which can be easily mistaken for urinary incontinence. Mechanical complications connected with a peritoneal catheter can be successfully solved with laparoscopy intervention, which includes the ovary fimbriae obstruction.
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Introduction
A global prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) counts about 10–13%. The exact data about CKD prevalence and its changes in Croatia do not exist. The number of treated patients with one of the kidney replacement therapy methods in 2014 was 957 patients per million but only 2.8% took peritoneal dialysis. The number of treated patients with peritoneal dialysis constantly decreases from 2009 [1]. Peritoneal dialysis is related to a higher survival rate after kidney transplantation if it was used in the treatment regime before the procedure [2].

Peritoneal dialysis is a method of kidney function replacement therapy in which the peritoneum is used as a semipermeable membrane. Dialyzing fluid intake and its evacuation are done by the peritoneal catheter. There are several techniques for catheter placement: percutaneous, fluoroscopic, peritoneoscopic, laparoscopic, and open surgical approaches. Today, the decision of how the catheter will be placed is still made by the surgeon because there is no evidence one of these techniques is superior to the other [3, 4].

Complications due to peritoneal catheter placement and maintenance are divided to infectious (infection of the catheter starting point, infection of the catheter tunnel, peritonitis) and mechanical (bowel perforation, bleeding, obstruction by omentum or fibrous tissue, malposition, hernias, dialyzing fluid leaking) [5, 6]. Also, one of the possible complications is a peritoneal catheter mechanical obstruction by ovarian fimbriae. The aforementioned complication is described in only a few case reports [7–14]. In the treatment of this complication, today salpingectomy, fimbrrectomy, or fimbroplasty are used [11].
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Case reports
We present four clinical cases of peritoneal catheter mechanical obstruction by ovarian fimbriae in Clinical Hospital Merkur, Zagreb during 2012–2014. In our Clinic, we use a laparoscopic technique with Čala trocar for peritoneal catheter placement in the right part of the Douglas cavity using a double-cuff Tenckhoff straight catheter. This technique was conceived in 1994 [12].

1. Female 56 years A lavage with saline solution was done after the successful catheter placement with great fluid input and output. The treatment with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) with 4 exchanges with 1.5% glucose the solution was started 40 days after the procedure. The first difficulties in fluid exchange were noticed 16 days after. The problem was solved with the partial catheter replacement and heparin use in the dialyzing fluid. Unfortunately, a few minutes later there was no fluid exchange. 8 mL of saline solution combined with 1 mL heparin was instilled into the catheter with no improvement. One month after these complications, exploratory laparoscopy was done (Fig. 1). Mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter by right ovarian fimbriae was found after which fimbriectomy was done. Peritoneal dialysis was performed during the next six months with no complications. Suddenly, a patient developed acute peritonitis which was successfully treated in the hospital. One year later, the peritoneal catheter was removed due to recidivating peritonitis. AV fistula was formed, and the patient was afterward treated with hemodialysis.

2. Female 34 years, nullipara A peritoneal catheter was placed with no complications. Three days later, there were difficulties in the output of dialyzing fluid. The undetermined catheter obstruction was confirmed by diascopy (Fig. 2). Two weeks after, laparoscopy was performed in which catheter obstruction with right ovarian fimbriae was found. The peritoneal catheter was replaced with no complications afterward. After two months, the peritoneal dialysis fluid exchange was started with a satisfactory fluid exchange. One and a half years later, simultaneous kidney and pancreas transplantation was done with peritoneal catheter removal.

3. Female 44 years A peritoneal catheter was placed with no complications. Two months later, the CAPD procedure was started with 4 exchanges of 1.5 L fluid with a 1.5% glucose solution. At the first exchange, there were difficulties with the fluid exchange. The X-ray showed the malposition of the catheter, but the cause was not found on the exploratory laparoscopy procedure. These complications were explained as right ovarian fimbriae leaning on the catheter perforations and the catheter was replaced. One month later the same complications appeared when the other catheter was placed at the left side of the abdominal cavity. Two months after, the patient developed bilateral pretibial edema and heart failure due to inadequate kidney function replacement. Nine months after, simultaneous kidney and pancreas transplantation was performed.

4. Female 32 years After the catheter placement with no complications, in the next nine months, the patient did not indicate starting the CAPD. The CAPD procedure was started because of uremic symptoms with 3 exchanges with 2 L of 1.5% glucose solution. Five
days later, the fluid exchange was blocked. The total mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter by right ovarian fimbriae was confirmed with exploratory laparoscopy. A total right salpingectomy was performed, and a new peritoneal catheter was placed. The postoperative period was remarkable with no further complications in fluid exchange procedures.

Discussion

As the number of patients on peritoneal dialysis tends to increase, we need to pay attention to mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter by ovarian fimbriae as a rare possible complication. Laparoscopy is a superior method for setting the right diagnosis and catheter obstruction removal with better outcomes. Because there is no discontinuation of the abdominal wall, the peritoneal dialysis can be continued immediately [14–24]. The mechanical obstruction of the peritoneal catheter by ovarian fimbriae was described in only a few case reports in which were presented with fluid exchange difficulties or initial vaginal secretion which can be easily mistaken for urinary incontinence. According to the available data, in nine cases the ovarian fimbriae obstructed the peritoneal catheter placed on the right side of the abdominal cavity, and in five on the left side (Table 1) [7–14, 24–31].

In our cases, all described obstructions were on the right side of the abdominal cavity, and only one patient had a displaced catheter. To save the reproductive function, in younger patients fimbriectomy, catheter fixation or salpingopexy can be performed. In all other patients, the main treatment method is a salpingectomy.

In the literature, the time from catheter placement to the first signs of mechanical obstruction varies from 2 to 5 months due to unknown reasons [9, 10, 14, 24–31]. A list of all cases can be seen in Table 1. In our patients, that time was 3 days to 9 months, and after the laparoscopic removal of the ovarian fimbriae with one of the suggested methods, there were no repeated complications caused by them [13].

Mini review

Obstruction of the peritoneal dialysis catheter of all causes occurs in 3–20% of cases [32]. These cases were associated with omentum wrapping with or without peritonitis, ovarian tube fimbriae blockage, or clotting. Lowering the position of the catheter insertion may reduce the omental wrapping, but there is no data about the obstruction by fallopian tube fimbriae [33]. Although the mechanism of catheter obstruction by fallopian tube fimbriae is still unclear, it is known that these fimbriae contract during ovulation and thus cover the ovaries. Since most cases are found in women of generative age, this

| Age (years) | Time from placement to obstruction | Side of the abdominal cavity | Displacement of the catheter? | Procedure | Successful? | References |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 39          | ND                               | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [7]        |
| 51          | 2 months                         | ND                          | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [8]        |
| 56          | 3.5 months                       | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopic salpingectomy | Yes        | [10]       |
| 45          | 2 weeks                          | Left                        | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [11]       |
| 49          | 2 months                         | Left                        | No                            | Mini laparotomy | Yes | [14]       |
| 65          | 4 months                         | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [24]       |
| 37          | ND                               | Right                       | No                            | Laparotomy  | Yes        | [27]       |
| 29          | 3 days                           | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopic salpingectomy | Yes        | [28]       |
| 2           | ND                               | Left                        | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [29]       |
| 1.5         | 5 months                         | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [30]       |
| 32          | 1 month                          | Left                        | No                            | Laparoscopic omentopexy | Yes        | [31]       |
| 24          | 2 weeks                          | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopic omentopexy | Yes        | [31]       |
| 57          | 2 months                         | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [31]       |
| 79          | 3 months                         | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | [31]       |
| 56          | 16 days                          | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | OWN        |
| 34          | 3 days                           | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | OWN        |
| 44          | 2 months                         | Right                       | Yes                           | Laparoscopy | Yes        | OWN        |
| 32          | 9 months                         | Right                       | No                            | Laparoscopy | Yes        | OWN        |

ND no data
Table 2 Characteristics of minilaparotomy and laparoscopy procedures [13]

| Procedure                        | Minilaparotomy | Laparoscopy |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Structure display                | Direct vision  | Video camera|
| Procedure duration               | 28–51 min      | 45–75 min   |
| Hospital stay                    | 2–3 days       | 1–2 days    |
| Peritoneal dialysis resumption   | After 2 weeks  | Immediately |

mechanism could play the key role in the pathogenesis of this disease, especially during ovulation. In children, only two cases in 18 month and two-year-old girls have been described [28, 29]. It is also unclear why more of these obstructions occur on the right side. Because of the aforementioned, salpingectomy is the most successful method in releasing the peritoneal catheter from the fimbriae, but in case of repeated obstruction at the generative age, salpingectomy is necessary. The indications for salpingectomy are very strict, and in addition to the previous one, they include only postmenopausal obstruction [9]. Japanese authors Sakurada et al. [13] suggest minilaparotomy as the main method of approach to obstruction, emphasizing its advantages such as showing obstruction under direct vision and shorter operation time. It should be noted that after a minilaparotomy it is necessary to do a pause from peritoneal dialysis for at least two weeks, while it can be immediately continued after laparoscopy (Table 2). Overall, the laparoscopic approach still has an advantage in diagnosing this disease and due to the later performance of peritoneal dialysis should remain the first method in the treatment of catheter obstruction.

Conclusion
Mechanical complications connected with a peritoneal catheter and ovarian fimbriae are more often seen on the right side of the abdominal cavity and can be successfully solved with laparoscopy intervention. There is a need for more data from similar cases to evaluate the cause of this problem.
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