MAGELLAN AO SYSTEM \( z' \), \( Y_\alpha \), AND \( L' \) OBSERVATIONS OF THE VERY WIDE 650 AU HD 106906 PLANETARY SYSTEM*
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ABSTRACT

We analyze archival data from Bailey and co-workers from the Magellan adaptive optics system and present the first 0.9 \( \mu \)m detection (\( z' = 20.3 \pm 0.4 \) mag; \( \Delta z' = 13.0 \pm 0.4 \) mag) of the 11 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) circumbinary planet HD 106906AB b, as well as 1 and 3.8 \( \mu \)m detections of the debris disk around the binary. The disk has an east–west asymmetry in length and surface brightness, especially at 3.8 \( \mu \)m where the disk appears to be one-sided. The spectral energy distribution of b, when scaled to the \( K_\alpha \)-band photometry, is consistent with 1800 K atmospheric models without significant dust reddening, unlike some young, very red, low-mass companions such as CT Cha B and 1RXS 1609. B. Therefore, the suggested circumplanetary disk of Kalas and co-workers might not contain much material, or might be closer to face-on. Finally, we suggest that the widest \( (a \gtrsim 100 \)AU) low mass ratio \( (M_p/M_\star \equiv q \lesssim 0.01) \) companions may have formed inside protoplanetary disks but were later scattered by binary/planet interactions. Such a scattering event may have occurred for HD 106906AB b with its central binary star, but definitive proof at this time is elusive.
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1. INTRODUCTION

HD 106906AB b, an 11 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) planetary mass companion orbiting a 13 Myr (Pecaut et al. 2012) spectroscopic binary \( (\gtrsim 2.5 M_\odot) \); Lagrange et al. (2016b) at a projected separation of 650 AU (7\( \theta \)1 at 92 pc), was discovered by Bailey et al. (2014) during the commissioning run of the Magellan adaptive optics system (MagAO; Close et al. 2012; Kopon et al. 2013; Morzinski et al. 2014). Mounted on the 6.5 m Clay telescope, MagAO utilizes a 585-actuator adaptive secondary mirror to correct for turbulence from 0.6 to 5 \( \mu \)m. One of MagAO’s key science goals is to search for young giant planets and characterize their atmospheres and environments. HD 106906AB b is the first exoplanet discovered by MagAO in a survey targeting stars with unusual debris disks.

The debris disk around HD 106906AB was first inferred from 24 to 70 \( \mu \)m excesses in a Spitzer survey toward the Scorpius–Centaurus OB association (Chen et al. 2005). It was estimated to have \( L_{\text{IR}}/L_\star = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( M_{\text{dust}} = 8.2 \times 10^{-2} M_\oplus \) (Chen et al. 2011). Recently, two teams have successfully imaged this disk with extreme adaptive optics (AO) systems. Lagrange et al. (2016a) carried out \( YJHK \) imaging with the Spectro-Polarimetric High-contrast Exoplanet Research (SPHERE), while Kalas et al. (2015) obtained \( H \)-band data with the Gemini Planet Imager (GPI). Together with \( \beta \) Pic (Lagrange et al. 2009), Fomalhaut (Kalas et al. 2008), HR 8799 (Marois et al. 2008), and HD 95086 (Rameau et al. 2013), HD 106906 has joined a family of systems with directly imaged planets and directly imaged debris disks.

In the GPI and SPHERE images, the disk appears as a nearly edge-on ring (inclination \( i \approx 85^\circ \)) with an inner cavity of radius \( \sim 50 \) AU. Particularly interesting is the asymmetry in length and surface brightness (SB) in the \( H \) band: the east extension is \( \sim 0^\circ.1 \) longer and 10–20% brighter than the west extension. This may imply an asymmetric dust distribution or that the disk is in fact elliptical (Kalas et al. 2015). In addition to the bright inner ring, Kalas et al. (2015) discovered diffuse nebulosity, including a “fan” extending to \( \sim 4'' \) (370 AU) at a position angle (PA) of \( \sim 45^\circ \) to 90° and a “needle” extending to \( \sim 6'' \) (550 AU) at PA \( \sim 285^\circ \) in archival Hubble Space Telescope (HST) data. The origin of this intricate morphology, whether sculpted by the interstellar medium and/or the planet, invites future observations.

Also, the formation of HD 106906AB b is hard to understand. At such a wide separation, canonical core accretion is not efficient. Bailey et al. (2014) favored in situ star-like formation, although they noted that the observed planet/star mass ratio \( M_p/M_\star \equiv q \lesssim 0.01 \) is rare among binary stars that form by fragmentation. Lagrange et al. (2016a) discussed the possibility that the planet might have been captured from somewhere in the cluster. On the other hand, Kalas et al. (2015) explored the planet–planet scattering scenario in which the planet initially formed close to the primary and was later scattered by another planet to its current remote orbit. One of their predictions is that in the course of scattering, the planet might acquire material from the protoplanetary disk to form its own circumplanetary disk. Recently, the radial velocity study by Lagrange et al. (2016b) uncovered the binary nature of the primary, which could also provide a mechanism for scattering the planet outward from an initially tight orbit. The orbital eccentricity is key to constraining the formation and dynamical history of this system, but is hard to measure due to the long
orbital period (10,000–100,000 years). Therefore, numerical simulations reproducing the observed disk asymmetry throughout the age of the system (13 ± 2 Myr; Pecaut et al. 2012) will be invaluable (e.g., Jilková & Portegies Zwart 2015).

Motivated by the GPI and SPHERE discoveries, we examine archival MagAO data and present the detection of HD 106906 AB b at 0.9 μm, as well as the debris disk at 1 and 3.8 μm. We quantify the overall SB asymmetry and leave dust grain modeling to future work.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Observations

Using a dichroic dewar window, MagAO can simultaneously operate its two cameras, VisAO (0.6–1 μm; Close et al. 2013; Males et al. 2014) and Clio2 (1–5 μm; Sivanandam et al. 2006; Morzinski et al. 2015). VisAO has a 7.9 mas plate scale and an 8″ × 8″ field of view (FOV). On the other hand, the Clio2 camera has a 15.9 mas plate scale and a 5″ × 16″ FOV for the specific operating mode in this study.

We imaged the HD 106906 system at z′ (0.91 μm; equivalent width Δλ = 0.12 μm) on UT 2013, April 12, and at Ys (0.98 μm; Δλ = 0.09 μm) and L′ (3.8 μm; Δλ = 0.68 μm) on UT 2013, April 4. Weather on both nights was photometric with ~1″ seeing. We operated the AO system at 300 spatial modes and 1 kHz control loop speed with the primary (V ~ 7.8 mag) being the guide star. In total, we obtained 86.16 s × 10 saturated frames for z′, 15 s × 399/2.273 s × 34 (saturated/unsaturated) for Ys, and 8 s × 600/0.164 s × 60 for L′. Data were taken with the instrument rotator fixed in order to allow for angular differential imaging (ADI; Marois et al. 2006). These observations spanned parallactic angle rotations of 15′6, 54′7, and 61′9 at z′, Ys, and L′, respectively. From circular Gaussian fitting to the unsaturated data sets, we estimated the FWHM at Ys and L′ to be 54 mas (6.8 pixels) and 122 mas (7.7 pixels), respectively.

Each of these observation sets was optimized for a different system component: z′ was for the planet only; Ys was only for the disk; and L′ was for both the disk and planet. We did not detect the planet at Ys because we placed the primary around the center of the 8″ × 8″ FOV to facilitate disk imaging, causing the planet to lie outside the FOV.

2.2. z′ Reduction and Companion Photometry

We carried out z′ data reduction using IRAF7 (Tody 1986, 1993) and MATLAB. After subtracting the dark, we registered images using the Radon transform of diffraction spikes because, in these long-exposure z′ data, the star had a highly saturated core of radius ~0.2′. The Radon transform projects an image by computing its line integrals along different directions; therefore, the exact positions of diffraction spikes will correspond to the largest integrals. The intersection of spikes then determine the center of a star. We first filtered out the stellar halo using a Gaussian kernel of FWHM ~60 pixels, and masked out unwanted regions such as the saturated core and image boundaries. Next, we performed a Radon transform with MATLAB’s built-in function radon from 0° to 180° in 0.1 increments in order to determine the star’s position. After locating the star in these high-pass filtered images to sub-pixel precision (~0.2 pixels), we shifted and aligned the original non-filtered data accordingly. These registered images were rotated so that north was up and east was left, following the astrometric calibrations in Males et al. (2014), and then average-combined (Figure 1). For display purposes, we slightly smoothed it with a Gaussian kernel of width = 4 pixels to bring out the companion.

As described in the Appendix, we estimated z′ = 20.3 ± 0.4 mag for HD 106906 AB b and a contrast with respect to the primary of 13.0 ± 0.4 mag. The companion’s astrometry, ρ = 7′12 ± 0′15 and PA = 307°2 ± 1°2, was similar to the literature values. The astrometric error budget includes plate scale uncertainty (±0.012 mas pix−1; Close et al. 2013), centroid error, image distortion (Close et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2015a), and a 0.3″ rms uncertainty for the MagAO rotator angle (Close et al. 2013; Males et al. 2014).

2.3. Companion Photometry in the Literature

We adopted the planet’s near-infrared fluxes (J, Ks, L′) reported in Bailey et al. (2014). For the HST F606W flux, we used the revised measurement in Kalas et al. (2015).

2.4. Ys Disk-optimized Reduction

Dark-subtraction and image registration using cross-correlation were carried out with IRAF. Then, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) using MATLAB’s built-in function pca with 10–30 modes over the entire region ~2″ from the primary. We did not require a minimum sky rotation between the target frame and the frames used to build the point-spread function (PSF). For each PCA mode, we subtracted the reconstructed PSF from the input data, rotated each residual frame to make north up, and average-combined these rotated frames. To bring out the debris disk, we smoothed the combined image with a Gaussian kernel of 1 PSF FWHM (6.8 pixels) derived from the unsaturated data set.

---
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To determine the optimum number of PCA modes, 27 in this case, we followed the procedures delineated in Rodigas et al. (2012, 2014, 2015) to construct a signal to noise per resolution element (SNRE) map for each PCA mode. In short, the SNRE map is the ratio between the original map (smoothed by 1 FWHM) and a noise map. The noise map is constructed by calculating the standard deviation in 1 pixel width concentric annuli in the disk-masked-out smoothed map. The optimum mode generally gives a higher SNRE on both sides of the disk. Figure 2 displays the result processed with 27 modes. The east extension has a median SNRE of \( \sim 2.5 \), and the west extension is detected at SNRE \( \sim 1.7 \).

2.5. L′ Disk-optimized Reduction

Following the procedures in Bailey et al. (2013, 2014) and Morzinski et al. (2015), we used custom MATLAB scripts to linearize counts, flag bad pixels, correct channel bias and electronic crosstalk, subtract the sky background using adjacent nods, and pad and register images. The reader is referred to the Appendix of Morzinski et al. (2015) for a thorough documentation of the detector calibrations. Finally, we performed PCA with 3–15 modes over the central \( \sim 2'' \). We also did not mask the star, nor did we apply any minimum sky rotation cut to select the frames. In this data set, the disk was severely attenuated above 15 modes. The result with 8 modes is shown in Figure 3. The median SNRE for the east side is \( \sim 2.1 \), and \( \sim 0.7 \) for the west side. Therefore, we only detected the east extension of the disk at 3.8 \( \mu \)m. This extension is also just visible in Figure 1 of Bailey et al. (2014).

For visualization purpose, we also ran PCA with 8 modes for the entire FOV to show the planet and the disk (Figure 4).

2.6. Disk Surface Brightness

We measured the disk surface brightness in \( Y_5 \) and \( L' \) following Rodigas et al. (2012, 2014, 2015). We rotated the images by \( 14^\circ \) clockwise to make the disk horizontal, and centered a square box with width \( \sim 1 \) FWHM (7 pixels for \( Y_5 \) and 8 pixels for \( L' \)) on the brightest pixel along each column. We summed over the columns to create the average vertical profile of the disk (perpendicular to the midplane) across that box. We fit a Gaussian to this profile and took the peak as the disk midplane location at the mean stellocentric distance of the box. Next, we centered circular apertures with 1 FWHM in diameter on the fitted midplane and took the median value in each aperture as the disk SB.

We estimated the background noise as follows. For \( Y_5 \), we used a sufficiently large number of modes so that the background tends to be Gaussian. Hence, the photometric uncertainties were derived from non-overlapping apertures along azimuthal directions. For \( L' \), we did not use enough PCA modes, 27 in this case, to construct a signal to noise per resolution element (SNRE) map for each PCA mode. In short, the SNRE map is the ratio between the original map (smoothed by 1 FWHM) and a noise map. The noise map is constructed by calculating the standard deviation in 1 pixel width concentric annuli in the disk-masked-out smoothed map. The optimum mode generally gives a higher SNRE on both sides of the disk. Figure 2 displays the result processed with 27 modes. The east extension has a median SNRE of \( \sim 2.5 \), and the west extension is detected at SNRE \( \sim 1.7 \).
modes to make the noise exactly Gaussian. The light and dark background “features” in Figure 3 were caused by the prevailing wind direction (the AO “wind butterfly” effect). We therefore measured the photometric noise only using apertures from similar parts of the sky (i.e., avoiding the dark regions). However, we also calculated the change between the light and dark areas as the background systematic error.

In addition to the non-uniform background, self-subtraction inherent in PCA may also skew our measurements. To understand how it would affect the observed SB, we inserted symmetric artificial disks into the raw data at a PA roughly orthogonal to the real disk and measured the SB ratio after running the reduction pipeline. The disk model was detailed in Rodigas et al. (2015), and we adopted the best-fit parameters in Lagrange et al. (2016a): 0.6 for the Henyey–Greenstein coefficient $g$, 0°7 for the radius of peak density $r_0$, 85°3 for the inclination $i$, and 10 and $-$4.2 for the inward and outward power-law slopes $\alpha_{in}$ and $\alpha_{out}$, respectively.

Our artificial disk tests showed that PCA processing effects can lead to spurious asymmetry. The amount and cause of asymmetry is dependent on the number of PCA modes. With fewer PCA modes, the stellar halo is not subtracted uniformly, and uncertainties in the background dominate. With more PCA modes, self-subtraction of the disk dominates. For the numbers of modes used in this paper, we found that PCA processing could induce a spurious asymmetry signal at the $\sim$25% level. The error budget of the SB profile (shaded areas in Figures 5, 6) hence includes the photometric uncertainty, background systematic error (only for $L'$), and this 25% PCA self-subtraction error.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Debris Disk

Figures 2–4 display MagAO imaging of the debris disk. At 1 $\mu$m, both sides of the disk are bowed toward the star, which is similar to the earlier GPI and SPHERE images. The bow-shaped structure is similar to what would be expected for a nearly edge-on disk, e.g., HD 32297 (Schneider et al. 2005, 2014; Rodigas et al. 2014) or HD 15115 (Kalas et al. 2007; Rodigas et al. 2012; Mazoyer et al. 2014; Schneider et al. 2014). On the other hand, at 3.8 $\mu$m, the disk looks one-sided; only the east part is detected.

In Figures 5 and 6, we plot the disk SB profile and the ratio between 0°3 and 0°9. At 1 $\mu$m, the eastern disk is $\sim$50% brighter than the western counterpart at $\sim$1$\sigma$ level. However, as described previously, PCA self-subtraction can easily create a $\sim$25% asymmetry. Thus, the true SB asymmetry in $Y_S$ may be around 20%, which is similar to what GPI and SPHERE have found. On the contrary, at 3.8 $\mu$m, the east side is likely $>50$% brighter than the other side, even after taking self-subtraction into account. Hence, we hypothesize a wavelength-dependent asymmetry, perhaps arising from different surface densities or grain properties. Detailed dust grain modeling is essential to test this idea; however, it is beyond the scope of this paper.

3.2. Testing for a Circumplanetary Disk with SED Fitting

Judging from the planet’s infrared color and marginally wider Airy ring, along with the unusual debris disk morphology, Kalas et al. (2015) proposed that HD 106906AB b might have captured material from the disk in past dynamical interactions, thereby forming a circumplanetary disk. They also suggested that the optical $HST$ flux of HD 106906AB b is greater than theoretical predictions, so that this excess may come from stellar light scattered by the circumplanetary disk. We investigate this hypothesis with the addition of our $z'$ photometric point.

Figure 7 compares the planet’s spectral energy distribution (SED) to 1800 K atmospheric models (Phoenix-ACES, Barman et al. 2011a, 2011b; BT-Settl, Allard et al. 2011). We normalize the models in the $K_s$ band because it has a higher signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) compared to other filters and is less affected.
by extinction than \( J \). We also note that normalizing at \( L' \) (our other high S/N photometric point) produces a result very similar to \( K_s \).

We look for the presence of three potential disk signatures in the SED: (1) reddening at \( F606W - J \), (2) thermal emission from hot dust at \( K_s \) and \( L' \), and (3) scattered light at \( F606W \). Although the Phoenix model tends to overestimate the \( z' \) and \( J \) fluxes, both models give overall reasonable fits, consistent with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 1800 \pm 100 \) K derived by Bailey et al. (2014) using evolutionary models. This suggests that HD 106906Ab b does not have much, if any, dust extinction, in contrast to CT Cha B and 1RXS 1609 B (Wu et al. 2015a, 2015b). HD 106906Ab b does not have significant dust reddening.

We prefer the SED of HD 106906AB b compared with the 1800 K atmospheric models. We normalize models at \( K_s \). Squares represent synthetic fluxes. Unlike CT Cha B and 1RXS 1609 B (Wu et al. 2015a, 2015b), HD 106906AB b does not have significant dust reddening.
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**Figure 7.** SED of HD 106906AB b compared with the 1800 K atmospheric models. We normalize models at \( K_s \). Squares represent synthetic fluxes. Unlike CT Cha B and 1RXS 1609 B (Wu et al. 2015a, 2015b), HD 106906AB b does not have significant dust reddening.

4. DISCUSSION

In Figure 8, we plot the planet-to-star mass ratio \( q \) versus the projected separation for directly imaged companions. Objects close to their parent stars (\( \lesssim 100 \) AU) can form inside a protoplanetary disk, e.g., LkCa 15 b, c (Sallum et al. 2015), but this planet-like formation (core accretion) is inefficient in situ for wide companions. Though suffering from small number statistics and observational bias, in Figure 8 most of the wide companions beyond 100 AU have \( q \gtrsim 0.01 \), while HD 106906Ab b has the lowest ratio \( q \sim 0.004 \). If HD 106906Ab b and most of the wide companions follow in situ binary-star-like fragmentation formation, then this may imply that binary formation by fragmentation is very inefficient, if not ceased, at mass ratios \( q \lesssim 0.01 \) (e.g., Low & Lynden-Bell 1976; Zuckerman & Song 2009).

However, Figure 8 also shows that circumbinary companions (colored triangles) have large separations around 100–1000 AU; thus, three-body interaction may play a role in scattering this subset of objects to ultra-wide orbits (e.g., Reipurth & Clarke 2001). This is appealing for the HD 106906 system as Lagrange et al. (2016b) recently discovered that HD 106906 is a spectroscopic binary. Therefore, it is possible that HD 106906AB b was initially born in a massive protoplanetary disk, and then scattered out as the least-massive member from a disk close encounter with one of the stars to its current position. In this manner, we can explain how such a wide 650 AU solar system could have formed.

![Figure 8](Image 323x539 to 564x739)

**Figure 8.** Mass ratio versus projected separation for directly imaged companions from 10 to 3000 AU. We exclude companions to highly evolved stars (like white dwarfs) or very low-mass primaries (brown dwarfs). For known circumbinary systems (marked by colored triangles), stellar mass is taken to be the sum of both primary components. We label three formation scenarios for three different areas of parameter space (see the text). Other than Fomalhaut b, HD 106906Ab b has the lowest mass ratio beyond 100 AU. We note that if HD 106906Ab b is coplanar with the debris disk, then its physical separation can be as large as \( \sim 3000 \) AU (Kalas et al. 2015; Lagrange et al. 2016a). Data are retrieved from the exoplanets.eu database (and LkCa 15 b, c from Sallum et al. 2015).

Clearly, future multi-wavelength observations are critical to test the existence of this circumplanetary disk. New or improved \( J \)- and \( H \)-band measurements would be very helpful to constrain the planet’s SED, while (sub)millimeter interferometry would be sensitive to the parent body population in the disk. At the moment, we can only speculate that the proposed circumplanetary disk may be rather depleted and not able to sustain on-going accretion, or it is in a nearly face-on configuration so that along our line of sight is optically thin with no extinction.
scattering events. A spectroscopic survey may provide clues to the relative abundance of spectroscopic binary primaries of wide very low-mass companions. Hence, if spectroscopic binaries like HD 106906AB are common, then scattering is very likely the cause of the widest planetary systems.

5. SUMMARY

We present the MagAO $z'$ detection of the circumbinary planet HD 106906AB b, as well as the $Y_S$ and $L'$ detections of the primary stars' debris disk. The disk seems to have a higher surface brightness asymmetry in $L'$ than $Y_S$. The SED of the planet, when scaled to the $K_S$ (or $L'$) flux, suggests that it does not harbor a warm, dusty circumplanetary disk, or the disk is closer to face-on. Finally, we suspect that circumbinary planets at wide separations ($\gtrsim 100$ AU) like HD 106906AB b may be scattered to their current remote orbits by interactions with one of their primary stars.
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APPENDIX

PHOTOMETRY ON THE 0.9 $\mu$m DETECTION OF THE PLANET

Our $z'$ photometry suffers from some complications. First, we could not obtain unsaturated images of the primary to perform relative photometry. Therefore, for photometric calibration, we used $z'$ data from two other stars observed during the same observing run. Second, anisoplanatism (the decrease in AO quality with increasing separation from the guide star) causes PSF variability across the FOV. We must correct for this variability when using on-axis standard stars as photometric calibrators for our off-axis planet. In addition to anisoplanatic effects, intrinsic variability in the quality of AO correction between HD 106906AB and these photometric standards also contributes to our uncertainty in photometric calibration. Here, we describe our efforts to better measure the planet’s flux by fitting the effects of anisoplanatism on the planet and applying them to unsaturated PSF stars of known $z'$ magnitudes.

We modeled the PSF of the planet with an elliptical Gaussian after removing the contribution from the primary stars’ halo. As the entire FOV was immersed in the halo, we fit the background around HD 106906AB b using a third-order polynomial and subtracted it from the image (Figure 9). Then, we rotated the resulting image by 37° clockwise so that the major axis of the PSF was horizontal.
to each axis independently, we found 0".22 and 0".17 for the major and minor axes, respectively (Figure 10). We adopted the geometric mean of both axes, 0".19, as the effective FWHM.

We next compared the planet to CT Cha A (ζ′ = 10.50 ± 0.05 mag; Wu et al. 2015a) and 1RXS 1609 A (ζ′ = 10.60 ± 0.06 mag; Wu et al. 2015b). Both stars were observed on UT 2013, April 6. Weather was stable and photometric with ∼0".7 seeing. To approximate the Strehl ratio degradation seen in the planet’s PSF, we convolved both stars with elliptical Gaussians so that they shared a similar ellipticity with the planet (Figure 11). In the absence of detailed modeling of anisoplanatic effects, this procedure broadly compensates for the systematic errors in relative photometry between our off-axis target and on-axis standards.

We performed aperture photometry with 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 effective FWHMs (0".095, 0".19, 0".285, 0".38) in diameter. We found that the contrast between the planet and reference stars remained stable with respect to aperture sizes. For CT Cha A, the contrasts were, respectively, 9.66, 9.64, 9.61, and 9.62 mag, suggesting ζ′ ∼ 20.1 mag for the planet. For 1RXS 1609 A, the contrasts were, respectively, 9.80, 9.82, 9.79, and 9.77 mag, suggesting ζ′ ∼ 20.4 mag. There was likely a 0.3 mag systematic error between these two reference stars, possibly arising from slightly different AO correction qualities (CT Cha A had a lower Strehl ratio than 1RXS 1609 A). On the other hand, air mass effect is not likely to significantly contribute to the photometric uncertainty. Detailed atmospheric models show that over our range of air masses (∼1.2 for HD 106906AB b; ∼1.0 for 1RXS 1609 A; ∼1.5 for CT Cha A), there is less than a 5% difference theoretically predicted by integrating telluric models over those different air masses at ζ′ (Wu et al. 2015a). Hence, we derive ζ′ = 20.3 ± 0.4 mag for HD 106906AB b from taking the average of both measurements. The total error budget includes the 0.3 mag systematic error, as well as uncertainties in relative and absolute photometry.

Finally, inferring the primary’s ζ′ brightness by interpolation between its BVJHK magnitudes (from the SIMBAD data base), we calculate a ζ′ contrast of 13.0 ± 0.4 mag between the spectroscopic binary and HD 106906AB b.

Figure 11. We artificially applied the anisoplanatic effects to CT Cha A and 1RXS 1609 A by convolving their PSFs with elliptical Gaussian functions so that their major and minor axes matched those of HD 106906AB b. To facilitate visual comparison, in the right panel we applied a 3 × 3 block average so that the planet’s PSF elongation can be readily seen.
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