Abstract: In recent years, metal-free organic synthesis using triarylboranes as catalysts has become a prevalent research area. Herein we report a comprehensive computational and experimental study for the highly selective synthesis of N-substituted pyrazoles through the generation of carbenium species from the reaction between aryl esters and vinyl diazoacetates in the presence of catalytic tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \). DFT studies were undertaken to illuminate the reaction mechanism revealing that the in situ generation of a carbenium species acts as an autocatalyst to prompt the regiospecific formation of \( \text{N} \)-substituted pyrazoles in good to excellent yields (up to 81%).

An autocatalytic process is one wherein the products of a reaction act to catalyze the chemical reaction in which they themselves are formed.\(^{[1]}\) The fascinating nature of autocatalytic processes has resulted in many investigations to establish their mode and mechanism of action. Indeed, one area which has been thoroughly investigated for autocatalysis is organocatalysis\(^{[2]}\) where such autocatalytic process can often amplify the selectivity of reactions.\(^{[3]}\) Uses of boranes in an autocatalytic system remain underexplored. The usage of Lewis acidic boranes, such as tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \), as catalysts in organic synthesis has gained unprecedented attention as an alternative to many common transition metals.\(^{[4]}\) Recently ourselves\(^{[5]}\) and others\(^{[6]}\) have explored the catalytic activity of \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \) in the activation of diazoesters leading to the formation of carbene intermediates through the elimination of \( \text{N}_2 \). The carbene intermediate can subsequently be used as building block for the synthesis of novel organic molecules through a range of different reactions such as \( \text{O} \)--\( \text{H} \)--\( \text{N} \)--\( \text{H} \)--\( \text{C} \)--\( \text{H} \) insertion,\(^{[5a,6b,d,g]} \) azide/carbodiyne transfer,\(^{[6e]} \) cyclopropanation/cyclopropenation,\(^{[5a,6c]} \) and the ring-opening of heterocyclic compounds.\(^{[5a]} \) In this study, we were interested in the \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \)-catalyzed synthesis of pyrazoles from vinyl diazoacetates in which the \( \text{N}_2 \) functionality of the diazo starting material is not released. The metal-free synthesis of nitrogen-containing heterocycles is an important area of research as most of these heterocycles are of biological importance.\(^{[7]} \) Pyrazoles are an important class of nitrogen-based heterocycles that are omnipresent in natural products and therefore have a broad impact in medicinal chemistry.\(^{[8]} \) Thus, a metal-free synthesis of functionalized pyrazole compounds is desirable as synthetic routes need to avoid trace impurities of toxic metals in the final compounds. Herein we report the reactions between aryl esters and vinyl diazoacetates in the presence of catalytic \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \) to afford \( \text{N} \)-alkylated pyrazoles in a selective manner (Scheme 1C). The only report of a similar reaction is with gold-based catalysts where selective decomposition of a diazoester in the presence of a second diazoester generates a pyrazole product (Scheme 1B).\(^{[9]} \)

In our previous work, we demonstrated that, in the presence of catalytic amounts of \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \), \( \alpha \)-aryl \( \alpha \)-diazo-

**Scheme 1.** Previous and current work. A) General representation for borancatalyzed alkenylation. B) Gold-catalyzed pyrazole synthesis. C) This work on borancatalyzed autocatalytic system for pyrazole synthesis.
esters readily react with aryl esters to afford C=C cross-coupled products with the elimination of N₂ through an alkenylation reaction (Scheme 1A). Our initial interest was to develop this previous work further to make 1,3-diene ester compounds using vinyl diazoacetates rather than α-aryl α-diazoesters. To this end we synthesized the vinyl diazoacetate compound (1a, Scheme 2) and treated it with an aryl ester (2a), with catalytic amounts of B(C₆F₅)₃ (10 mol%). Following the reaction at 50 °C in 1,2-C₂H₄Cl₂ for 20 h, the resulting product was purified via preparative thin layer chromatography to yield a white solid. The solid however could not be attributed to the product from the alkenylation reaction when observing the multinuclear NMR spectra and high-resolution mass spectrometric data. Slow evaporation of the solid from CH₂Cl₂ gave a crop of colorless crystals of the product. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis unequivocally confirmed the product to be a substituted pyrazole ring compound 3a that was formed in 76% yield (Figure 1).

In an attempt to explain the product formation, we hypothesized that the B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyst could either (i) activate the diazoester compound through the ester (1·B(C₆F₅)₃) or diazo (1·B(C₆F₅)₃) functionalities, or (ii) activate the aryl ester (2·B(C₆F₅)₃) in the first step of the reaction (Scheme 3A). In the scenario that B(C₆F₅)₃ activates diazo compound 1, one plausible pathway for the reaction could be initial heterocycle 4 formation (formed from the intramolecular attack of the nitrogen atom onto the alkenyne) followed by B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzed N-alkylation. To investigate the mechanism for the reaction, we undertook DFT calculations at the SMD/M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVP//SMD/M06-2X/6-31G(d) level of theory using CH₂Cl₂.

4 was found to be 34.7 kcal mol⁻¹ in the absence of a catalyst (Scheme 3B).

Interestingly, addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to activate the ester functionality (1b·B(C₆F₅)₃) does not significantly change the activation barrier (32.3 kcal mol⁻¹) to generate the pyrazole as an ester O·B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct 4·B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 3C). In addition, experimental data reveals that the 1:1 stoichiometric reaction between 1b and B(C₆F₅)₃ led to the formation of an

---

**Scheme 2.** Reaction between vinyl diazoacetate (1a) and aryl ester (2a). Ar = p-FC₆H₄.

**Scheme 3.** B(C₆F₅)₃ activation modes (A). Calculated energy barriers (kcal mol⁻¹) for the cyclization of the diazo compound (B–D). DFT calculations at the SMD/M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVP//SMD/M06-2X/6-31G(d) level of theory using CH₂Cl₂.

**Scheme 4.** DFT-based proposed reaction mechanism for the formation of pyrazole-alkylated compound.
allylic substituted product. Alternatively, activation of the terminal nitrogen atom of the diazo functionality lowered the activation barrier slightly to 29.4 kcal mol⁻¹ to yield the N-B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct 4·B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 3D). Given the high activation barrier for this reaction in all scenarios, we next investigated B(C₆F₅)₃ activation of the ester 2 as the initial step of the reaction (Scheme 4 and Figure 2) as observed in our previous work. Initial coordination of the borane to the ester 2 was found to be favorable by 5.6 kcal mol⁻¹ yielding 2·B(C₆F₅)₃ (Figure 2). Indeed, the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the 1:1 stoichiometric reaction of 2 and B(C₆F₅)₃ showed initial adduct formation indicated by a broad peak at 4.87 ppm. This promotes the formation of carbenium ion 7 through TS₁ of 15.3 kcal mol⁻¹. Reaction of the carbenium ion with the vinyl diazoacetate 1b through the terminal nitrogen atom generates the high energy intermediate 8 through TS₂ (13.9 kcal mol⁻¹), which in turn rapidly cyclizes through a low energy transition state (TS₃ = 4.7 kcal mol⁻¹) generating the heterocycle 9 in which the pyrazole core has been formed. Alkylated vinyl diazoacetate 8 is much more reactive than vinyl diazoacetate 1b towards cyclization, supported by a small energy difference between TS₃ and 8 (4.7 kcal mol⁻¹). Indeed, the Caryl ester-N diazoester bond strength in compound 9 is calculated to be 26.7 kcal mol⁻¹ whereas the same bond in 8 is much weaker with ΔHrxn = 1.3 kcal mol⁻¹ (Figure 2, insert). As such, the relatively low activation barrier to the cyclization via transformation 8—9 can be explained by strengthening of the Caryl ester-N diazoester bond upon moving from 8 to 9 acting as a driving force to facilitate the process. Heterocycle 9 then undergoes a deprotonation step aided by borate anion 6 to yield the pyrazole compound 10. Notably, pyrazole 10 is the isomer of the obtained product in which the bis(aryl)methyl group is bonded to the nitrogen atom adjacent to the phenyl functionality. The overall energy barrier to generate the pyrazole isomer was calculated to be 21.3 kcal mol⁻¹.

In cycle 2, the carbenium ion 7 acts as an autocatalyst to convert the kinetic pyrazole product 10 into the thermodynamic pyrazole isomer 3 with a barrier of 20.0 kcal mol⁻¹. Finally, we investigated the scope of the reaction to examine if this process was general to other aryl esters and

Figure 2. DFT-computed reaction pathways for the formation of pyrazole-alkylated compound from the reaction of methyl (E)-2-diazo-4-phenylbut-3-enoate (1b) with aryl ester (2b) calculated using the SMD/M06-2X-D3/def2-TZVP/SMD/ M06-2X/ 6-31G(d) level of theory in CH₂Cl₂. Energies given in kcal mol⁻¹. Ar = p-FC₆H₄.
vinyl diazoacetates (Scheme 5). Initially, we synthesized three vinyl diazoacetates according to literature report[14] bearing electron withdrawing (p-F: 1a), electron-neutral (p-H: 1b), and electron-donating (p-OMe: 1c) groups on the aryl ring. Likewise, several symmetrical diaryl esters were prepared bearing electron-neutral (π-H: 2a), electron-withdrawing (p-F, p-CF3: 2b and 2c, respectively), and electron-donating (p-OMe, 2d) groups. The unsymmetrical esters containing naphthyl/methyl (2e), phenyl/cyclohexyl (2f), and 2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl (2g) were also synthesized. Each of the vinyl diazoacetates was reacted with all ester compounds in 1,2-C2H4Cl2 for 20–22 h at 50°C with catalytic B(C6F5)3 (10 mol%) generating 3a–3j in good to excellent isolated yields (70–81%). For all reaction products in Scheme 5, the 1H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture clearly showed the formation of only one regioisomer of the pyrazole being formed. Slow evaporation of a solution of 3d in CH2Cl2 afforded crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurement (Figure 1).

Highly electron-deficient ester (2c) was unsuccessful in the reaction due to the reduced basicity of the ester and high activation barrier for the carbenium ion formation.[15] Likewise, the non-aromatic ester 2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl 4-fluoro benzoate (2g) failed to react with vinyl diazoesters due to the instability of the carbenium ion formed following B(C6F5)3 activation. Strongly electron-donating groups in both the vinyl diazoacetate (1c) and diaryl ester (2d) afforded complicated reaction mixtures and attempts to isolate any pure compound failed.

Given the low activation barrier (20.0 kcal mol−1) calculated for the conversion of pyrazole isomer 10 into 3, we wondered if we could observe this isomerization experimentally. To examine this, we took product 3e and subjected it to the carboxylation species 7 (Ar = C6H5) which was generated in situ from compound 2a (1 equiv) using 10 mol% B(C6F5)3 (Scheme 6). The reaction was carried out in 1,2-C2H4Cl2 at 50°C. By 1H NMR spectroscopy it was found that the starting material 3e and product 3d were formed in an approximate 1:1 ratio with a small amount of the minor isomer 10d being observed. After 22 h 3e was isolated in 17% yield, and 3d was isolated as the major product in 49% yield. The latter species was formed from the exchange between the two diarylmethylene groups through cycle 2 (Scheme 4, Figure 2). Interestingly, we also isolated the less thermodynamically stable isomer 10d in 16% yield. We propose that compound 3e first reacts with in situ generated carboxation 7 (Ar = C6H5) to generate cationic intermediate 11 (Scheme 4xschr4). Loss of carbenium 7 (Ar = p-FC6H4) leads to the kinetic isomer 10d. Reaction of 10d with carbenium ion 7 (Ar = C6H5) generates the thermodynamic isomer 3d as the major product. This observation supports our DFT-based mechanism to account for the formation of pyrazole compounds.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a new metal-free synthetic approach for the preparation of regioselective N-alkylated pyrazoles. A new reactivity pattern has been observed whereby catalytic B(C6F5)3 does not decompose the diazo compound allowing the N2 functionality to be exploited in the generation of N-heterocycles. Detailed mechanistic studies were carried out to explain the mechanism for the reaction. DFT calculations revealed that catalytic amounts of B(C6F5)3 are required to activate the aryl ester first reacts with in situ generated carbocation generating a carbenium ion. Interestingly, this in situ generated carbenium species subsequently acts as an autocatalyst to promote the regioselective formation of N-alkylated pyrazoles in good to excellent yields. This new reactivity pattern and metal-free synthetic approach for the preparation of novel pyrazoles will have a broad impact in future applications towards the synthesis of biologically important molecules.
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