Transcatheter interruption of large residual flow after device closure of “Type A” patent ductus arteriosus
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ABSTRACT

We report a case of 3-year-old girl who had persistence of large residual flow following transcatheter closure of a 6 mm ‘Type A’ patent ductus arteriosus using a 12 x 10 mm duct occluder. Angiography revealed a large left-to-right shunt coursing through and exiting around the implanted device. Near total abolition of the residual shunt was achieved by initial implantation of an embolization coil within the duct occluder and subsequently an Amplatzer duct occluder (ADO II) adjacent to the duct occluder. This challenging case describes an additional technique of abolishing a large residual flow in and around a Nitinol duct occluder device.
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INTRODUCTION

Residual flow after device occlusion of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) is not uncommon, and is usually due to inadequate thrombus formation in the device. We present a case with large residual flow persisting 6 months after Nitinol device closure of a Type A ductus, which was successfully managed by intra-device coil placement and additional deployment of a second Nitinol device.

CASE REPORT

A 3-year-old symptomatic girl was diagnosed to have a large PDA, left to right (L-R) shunt, left atrial and left ventricular volume overload (LALVVO), and moderate mitral regurgitation (MR). Moderate MR was considered secondary to annular dilation from the marked LALVVO. On angiogram the PDA measured 6 mm at the pulmonary arterial end and 13 mm at the aortic ampulla. She underwent device closure of PDA using a 12 x 10 mm Cocoon duct occluder (Vascular Innovations Co., Thailand) using a standard technique.[1] The pulmonary arterial pressure was documented to be less than 50% of the systemic pressure prior to device deployment. The final angiogram revealed a well-deployed device with a moderate (L-R) residual shunt [Figure 1a]. The shunt was expected to resolve with progressive thrombus formation and the child was discharged on diuretics. At 6-month follow-up, echocardiogram revealed a significant (L-R) shunt persisting through the duct occluder, with LALVVO and moderate MR. Although there was no hemolysis, the child was symptomatic with exertional dyspnea and poor weight gain.

Deployment of a coil inside the Cocoon duct occluder followed by deployment of an Amplatzer duct occluder (ADO II) adjacent to the Cocoon device successfully reduced the large shunt to a trivial one. Hemodynamic data revealed half-systemic pulmonary artery pressure. An aortogram done in lateral, right anterior oblique and anteroposterior view revealed good positioning of the previously implanted PDA device with no deformation or change in orientation. However, there was a large left to right shunt, with contrast flowing through the device as well as exiting superolaterally from around the body of the device. Endothelialization around the central part of the device was evident angiographically with at least 1 mm separation between the device and vascular lumen Figure 1b similar to the observation by Javois,[2] suggesting that the device was secure. The tip of a 5F Judkin’s right coronary artery (RCA) catheter was forced from the retrograde route into the device. The RCA catheter was exchanged for an M-Vert 5F catheter (Merit Medical Systems, South Jordan, USA) through which a
post-procedure aortogram showed a significantly reduced shunt [Figure 3b], which further decreased to show only a restrictive trivial shunt by echocardiography 24 hours later [Figure 3c]. Five months post-procedure, the child is reportedly asymptomatic with good weight gain and with no further episodes of respiratory tract infections. There was also no evidence of hemolysis after the second procedure.

DISCUSSION

The mechanical resistance offered by the nitinol mesh combined with the thrombogenicity of the internal polyester fabric in the currently used occluder devices contribute to the immediate reduction in shunt flow. Pseudoendothelialization, which starts as early as 1 week after implantation, and complete endothelialization later, contributes to the later closure.1,5

Significant residual flows are likely to occur with large ductus arteriosus, tubular (type C) ductus and with vascular plug devices lacking internal fabric.2,6 In our patient, a 5Fr guiding catheter over a guide wire could be maneuvered through the aortic disk of the occluder into the ductal lumen and out into the pulmonary artery. This suggested that the device had probably not conformed to the ductal lumen completely and apposition with the ductal wall was incomplete. Although endothelialization appeared to be incomplete [Figure 1b], an interval period of 6 months between catheterizations ensured stability of the device during catheter manipulation.

In most instances, the aim of transcatheter occlusion of residual flow is to reduce the large left-to-right shunt to a low velocity flow, so as to prevent complications and facilitate thrombus formation and endothelialization. In our patient, a large shunt persisted even after 48 hours of placing a sizeable embolization coil within the Nitinol occluder, needing a second device to be placed adjacent
to it. Though it lacks internal polyester fabric, ADO II was our choice for second device because of the presence of retention disk at both ends and easy delivery through a smaller delivery sheath.

Our experience reveals that transcatheter intervention to occlude residual ductal flow is feasible and can be safely performed about 3-6 months after the initial procedure. It is important to look for shunt both through and around the device. The ADO II appears to be a useful device in such a challenging situation.
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