Level Decreasing Kinetics Model of Heavy Metal Contents in The Coal Stockpile Wastewater with Electrocoagulation
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Abstract— Electrocoagulation is one of methods used to treat wastewater in the coal stockpile without using coagulants. Characteristics of wastewater observed are heavy metals (Fe and Mn) and pH. The decrease in the heavy metals content and the increase in the pH of this wastewater treatment process will then be proposed in the adsorption kinetics model. The influence of variations in currents and processing time were observed. The time variation of the adsorption process were 60 to 120 minutes with current variations from 1.3 to 3.0 Ampere at a fixed 12 voltages. The results obtained that the Fe content could be decreased to 0.03 ppm at 90 minutes while the content of Mn was decreased to 0.01 ppm at the same time. The increase in pH (7.11) was achieved when applying 2.5 A current at 90 minutes process time. The results obtained from the electrocoagulation method was then proposed in the model of the Langmuir isotherm adsorption kinetics and Freundlich isotherm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Electrocoagulation technique is an electrochemical technology for the treatment of water and wastewater uses an electrochemical cell, where a DC voltage is applied to the electrodes corroded to generate a coagulant, and the electrolyte is usually water effluents. Electrocoagulation is an efficient treatment process for various type of wastes such as soluble oil, liquid from food, textile industries, cellulose and effluents from paper industry [1].

Electrocoagulation process has been successfully employed for colouring, heavy metals and COD removal of industrial wastewater [2]. The electrocoagulation technique has several advantages including the ability to deliver a precise coagulant dose via control of the amount of applied electrical current, easy automation, low energy requirement, and the ability to destabilize, aggregate, and separate the pollutant in a single state [3,4].

The pollutant is generally adsorbed at the surface of the flocks (coagulant) generated electrochemically. Critical analysis of the electrocoagulation of pollutants reveals that there are two separate processes taking place, i.e. [5,6]:

- Electrochemical process through which the metal flocks are generated
- Physio-chemical process through which the effluent are adsorbed on the surface of the flocks.

The removal of pollutant is similar to conventional adsorption except the generation of coagulants. The electrode consumption can be estimated according to Faraday’s Law and the amount of flocks generated can be estimated stoichiometrically. Since the amount of coagulant can be modelled by adsorption phenomenon. During electrocoagulation the insoluble metal hydroxides removes pollutants by surface adsorption [7].

In the adsorption it is assumed that the pollutant can act as a liquid to bind a hydrous iron/Al in situ formed gelatinous precipitate, it is further attempted to extend the adsorption isotherm models for pollutant removal by Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin models [7,8,9,10].

The Langmuir isotherm assumes monolayer deposition of adsorbent surface (coagulant). It is well known that the Langmuir equation is intended for a homogeneous surface.

The Freundlich isotherm is an empirical model relating the adsorption intensity of the sorbent towards adsorbent. The isotherm is adopted to describes reversible sorption and not restricted to monolayer formation. The Temkin isotherm describes the behaviour of adsorption system on heterogeneous surface. To aim of this study was to determine the performance of electrocoagulation process in heavy metals removal and to predict a well fit mathematic models that describe the kinetics heavy metals removal from coal stockpile wastewater by means of electrocoagulation technique with various operating condition.
II. EXPERIMENTAL

A laboratory scale electrochemical set up made up of glass beaker cell, electrodes and other accessories were arranged as in (Fig. 1). However, in each run, 1 litre of sample was used to treat by electrocoagulation process. Two electrodes of aluminum with surface area of 40 cm$^2$. The separation between the anode and the cathode was kept 10 cm [11]. The solution in the reactor was stirred by a magnetic stirrer at rotating velocity of 100 rpm. Controlled direct current was supplied by a DC power supply. The current was kept invariant in each test by a rheostat and measured by an ammeter. The effect of coal stockpile wastewater heavy metals removal efficiencies were studied with different current densities. The electrical potential was held constant for each run, being at 12 volts. The calculation of Fe and Mn removal efficiencies after electrocoagulation treatment were performed using the following formula [12]:

$$C_R(\%) = \left[\frac{(C_0 - C)}{C_0}\right] \times 100$$

(1)

Where $C_R$ is contaminant removal, $C_0$ and $C$ are concentrations of wastewater before and after electrocoagulation.

Several electrocoagulation batch experimental runs were performed in the laboratory. Initially wastewater was rigorously stirred for 10 minutes by stirrer for homogenization of sample. Then a specific current density was applied for various time periods (ranging from 60 minutes to 120 minutes). Treated wastewater samples were collected after settling for predetermined time to estimate the effect of electrocoagulation treatment time on removal efficiency heavy metals (Fe and Mn). The sample was allowed to settle for 60 minutes after treatment so that the flocks (coagulates) that were formed during electrocoagulation may settle. In each experimental run, after settling, about 50 mL supernatant sample was collected for laboratory analysis.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All experiments were carried out under potentiostatic condition covering a range of electrocoagulation process time of 60 to 120 minutes with current density variations from 1.3 to 3.0 Ampere at a fixed 12 voltages.

A. Effect of Current Density and Process Time to Degradation Content of Fe and Mn

Degradation of Fe and Mn content on current and process time variations are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig 2. Fig. 1 shown that the percentage of degradation Fe content rose as the current and process time increased. The best results on degradation of Fe metal concentration was at 2.5 A and 90 minutes process time and reached the equilibrium in 120 minutes. The graphic also shown the degradation ranged from 0.08 ppm - 0.03 ppm.

Fig. 2 . Decreased percentage of Fe content by electrocoagulation current and process time variations

Fig. 3 . Decreased percentage of Mn content by electrocoagulation current and process time variations

Fig. 3 shown that by using electrocoagulation method, Mn content had been decreased in coal stockpile wastewater. The decreased of Mn concentration can be up to 99%. The longer the process time the greater the decreased of Mn metal concentration.

B. Kinetic Model

Kinetics study of reduced levels of Fe and Mn contents proposed three models, namely: model of pseudo first order reaction kinetics, Langmuir isotherm of adsorption kinetics, and Freundlich isotherm of adsorption kinetics.
C. First order pseudo kinetic model

First order reactions is a reaction in which the rate depends on reactant concentration that is exponentially by number 1. Generally, first order reaction can be represented by this equation:

\[ V = k \ (q_o - q_t) \text{ or } V = k \ (q_o - q_t) \]  

(2)

Integral of the equation create equal:

\[ \ln (q_s - q_t) = -kt + ln \ q_s \]  

(3)

Where \( q_s \) is the adsorbate concentration initially (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( q_t \) is adsorbate concentration adsorbed at time \( t \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \( k \) represent first order reaction constant and \( t \) is reaction time (minute). The linear curve can be drawn from \( \ln (q_s - q_t) \) versus \( t \). This first order kinetic models can be seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.

The value to first order adsorption rate constants for each current density are as follow:

| Current (A) | \( k_1 \) | \( q_e \) | \( R^2 \) |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------|
| 1.5 A       | 0.0244   | 21.29383 | 0.8391 |
| 2.0 A       | 0.0260   | 17.33333 | 0.8539 |
| 2.5 A       | 0.0247   | 14.56593 | 0.9284 |

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 yielded \( R^2 \) values (regression coefficient) that stated the pseudo first order kinetic model is not suitable for Fe and Mn adsorption by mean of electrocoagulation.

D. Langmuir isotherm adsorption model

The liquid solid system of Langmuir isotherm adsorption equation is based on adsorption process equilibrium and desorption of adsorbate on the solid surface. The Langmuir equation model is stated as follows:

\[ q_c = \frac{Q \ c_e}{1+b \ c_e} \]  

(4)

The linear form is:

\[ \frac{c_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{c_e}{Q} \]  

(5)

Where \( q_e \) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per mass unit adsorbent (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \( c_e \) is concentration of the adsorbate in equilibrium state (mg L\(^{-1}\)), while \( Q \) and \( b \) are Langmuir constant. The value of \( Q \) and \( b \) can be obtained from the intercept and slope of \( c_e q_e \) versus \( c_e \). Langmuir isotherm adsorption kinetics can be seen in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, the constant values and \( R^2 \) can be seen Table 3 and Table 4.

And the value for kinetic models are as follow:

| Current (A) | \( K_1 \) | \( q_e \) | \( R^2 \) |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------|
| 1.5 A       | 0.0184   | 8.098765 | 0.8501 |
| 2.0 A       | 0.0284   | 7.051852 | 0.5364 |
| 2.5 A       | 0.0191   | 5.807407 | 0.4444 |

Fig. 6 and Fig. 5 yielded \( R^2 \) values (regression coefficient) that stated the pseudo first order kinetic model is not suitable for Fe and Mn adsorption by mean of electrocoagulation.
TABLE IV
LANGMUIR ISOTHERM CONSTANTS FOR Mn ADSORPTION

| $R^2$ | Constants | Value   |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| 0.9997 | Q         | 8.319468|
|        | B         | 85.85714|

Fig. 7 Langmuir Mn – Adsorption Isotherm

Based on the results obtained from graph between Ce/qe versus Ce, Langmuir isotherm is best adsorption kinetic compared to others. The regression coefficient closer to 1 means that the kinetic model is fit to the experiment data produced.

E. Freundlich isotherm of adsorption kinetic

Freundlich isotherm is used to model the kinetics of adsorption on heterogeneous adsorbent surface. The linear form of Freundlich equation is as follows:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $K_F$ and $n$ are Freundlich constants. Linear form of Freundlich equation is stated in the equation:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$K_F$ and $n$ constants is the adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity. $K_F$ and $n$ values obtained from the intercept and slope of the plot graph between log $q_e$ versus log $C_e$.

Based on the plot of ln $q_e$ vs ln $C_e$ which is obtained a straight line with a slope $1/n$ and intercept ln $K_F$. Freundlich plot line for the adsorption Fe and Mn are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 and the result of straight-line plot are presented in Table 5 and Table 6. From the resulting figure shows the adsorption intensity value $1/n < 1$, it can be said adsorption isotherm enough Freundlich good for adsorption kinetics proposed. However, when compared with the results obtained from the regression constant, kinetic the model Langmuir adsorption isotherm is much better and greater than Freundlich isotherms adsorption.

TABLE V
FREUNDLICH ISOTHERM ADSORPTION CONSTANTS FOR Fe

| $R^2$ | Constants | Value       |
|--------|-----------|-------------|
| 0.9798 | $n$       | 4.226543    |
|        | $K_F$     | 21.1206     |

Fig. 8. Freundlich Fe – Adsorption Isotherm

Fig. 9. Freundlich Mn – Adsorption Isotherm

TABLE VI
FREUNDLICH ISOTHERM ADSORPTION CONSTANTS FOR Mn

| $R^2$ | Constants | Value   |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| 0.9894 | $n$       | 9.157509|
|        | $K_F$     | 8.9909  |

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In electrocoagulation of effluent coal stockpile wastewater, the percentage Fe and Mn removal is influenced by the applied current density and process time. The percentage heavy metals removal increase with an increase in the applied current density and process time for aluminum electrode. The electrocoagulation process was modeled using adsorption isotherm kinetic and it has been observed from the present investigation that Langmuir isotherm model match satisfactorily with the experimental results.
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