Abstract: With the increasing depth of marine oil and gas exploitation, more requirements have been proposed on the structure of deep-sea oil pipelines. The influencing factors of lateral buckling of a pipe-in-pipe (PIP) structure containing initial imperfections and its critical force were investigated in this study by conducting an experiment, a finite element analysis, and a theoretical derivation. The change laws on the influence of initial imperfections of the PIP structure during thermal loading were revealed through an experimental study by using imperfection amplitude and wavelength as parameters. Appropriate finite element models were established, and the influences of initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and the height and the number of centralizers on the global buckling critical force of the PIP structure were analyzed. The formulas of global buckling critical force of inner and outer pipes and that under pipe-soil interaction was obtained by using a theoretical derivation method. A comparative verification with experimental and finite element (FE) models result was conducted, which provided a corresponding basis for steel pipeline design.
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1. Introduction

With the gradual increase of marine oil and gas exploitation depth, high temperature, high pressure, corrosion effect, and ocean currents are all extreme conditions experienced by subsea pipelines, which then result in enormous economic losses and catastrophic and irreversible environmental pollution [1]. Considering that the distance between deep-sea oil pipelines are usually large, which are generally over tens of kilometers, pipelines must provide excellent thermal insulation properties to prevent crude oil from wax deposition. Traditional single-layer insulation pipelines are becoming increasingly incapable of satisfy rigorous thermal insulation requirements. A pipe-in-pipe (PIP) structure consists of rigid outer pipe, inner pipe, and centralizer, which are connected using specialized joint nodes (bulkheads) at a certain distance [2]. Thermal insulation materials (materials without structural strength, such as particles, foams, and aerogel) are added in the circumferential space formed between the inner and outer pipes. Considering that inner and outer pipes have different force transfer patterns, the PIP structure can be subdivided into compliant and non-compliant types [3].

The global buckling of subsea pipelines belongs to the buckling strength of axial compression member problem; however, the pipe-soil interaction between the seabed and PIP structure and the internal interaction inside the PIP structure makes this a different problem [4]. Similar to traditional pipelines, the PIP structure experiences the global buckling problem. However, the axial
force computation of the PIP structure under the pressure effect is more complicated than that in a single-layer pipeline because the inner and outer pipes of the PIP structure work as a whole.

In the terms of theoretical studies, Liu [5] studied the mechanical behavior of high-strength X80 pipeline when subjected to strike-slip fault displacements. Goplen and Fyrileiv [6] indicated that the analysis and application of the PIP structure depend on taking it as a system rather than as two connected pipes. Sriskandarajah [2] analyzed the force transfer mechanism of the outer pipe in the PIP structure and the probability of global buckling and provided an approximate expression of the effective axial force of the PIP structure. However, this expression neglects the influences of the collaborative working mechanism of inner and outer pipes and pipe-soil interaction. Zhao [7] used finite element technology to analyze the global lateral buckling performances of compliant- and non-compliant-type PIP structures and considered the initial imperfections and nonlinear characteristics of the PIP structure in the calculation; however, the author did not provide a computational formula of corresponding buckling critical force of the PIP structure. Vaz and Patel [8] established a differential control equation of global lateral buckling of the non-compliant type PIP structure based on a single-beam differential control equation. However, the study by Vaz and Patel neglects the two important factors in the theoretical framework of overall buckling, namely, frictional force and initial imperfections. Therefore, their analysis was only the first step in conducting a global buckling analysis of the PIP structure, and a large quantity of work remains to be improved.

Experimental studies have mainly concentrated on single-layer pipelines, and few studies have been reported on the buckling characteristics of the PIP structure. Allan [9] investigated the global buckling behavior of elastic steel bars on rigid basis and verified the sensitivity of their buckling secondary to imperfections. Duan [10] et al. used a small-size model and a mechanical loading mode to evaluate the global buckling of a PIP structure and obtained the axial force-displacement relationship and critical axial force during the buckling process. Maltby and Calladine [11] evaluated the vertical buckling problems of buried pipelines. Their experimental results re-verified the sensitivity of global pipeline buckling secondary to imperfections, and no “combinational buckling patterns”, namely, simultaneous existence of vertical buckling and lateral buckling, were observed and described in the theoretical solutions. Taylor and Tran [12] published a paper in 1996 in which they introduced their global buckling experimental device of the pipeline and observed that several imperfection patterns would reduce the vertical buckling critical temperature by 50%. Loen [13] conducted an experimental study on the vertical buckling of buried and grooved pipelines and assessed the vertical buckling performances of buried pipelines under different soil conditions and different imperfection patterns. Karampour [14] investigated the propagation buckling of subsea PIP structures under hydrostatic pressure and obtained new buckling modes in hyperbaric chamber tests of PIP structures. Alrsai [15] studied the buckling mechanisms in PIP structures with thin and moderately thin carrier pipes with a diameter-to-thickness ($D_0/t_0$) ratio in the range of 26–40, but the global buckling of the PIP structure was not mentioned.

Thus, previous studies have focused on theoretical studies, and the proposed computational formulas of buckling critical force of the PIP structure have not considered the influences of initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and centralizers between the inner and outer pipes, for which they have certain limitations. Moreover, experimental studies have been scarcely reported in articles. Therefore, experiments were conducted with nine specimens with different initial wavelengths and amplitudes. Then, based on these experiments, the influence of the initial imperfections on the critical axial force, the critical temperature difference and critical displacement are discussed. In addition, a finite element model was established to simulate the experimental specimens. Based on a nonlinear finite element analysis, a parametric study was conducted to analyze the influence of several variables in the lateral buckling of the PIP structure, namely, the initial imperfections, the pipe-soil interaction, and the height and number of centralizers. The obtained results are presented and discussed. Finally, an analytical equation to compute the lateral buckling axial force is derived and verified based on the obtained experimental and numerical results. By comparing results with a practical project in
South China Sea, the formula is shown to have high accuracy, which can provide a corresponding basis for steel pipeline design.

2. Global Buckling Experiment of the PIP Structure

2.1. Experimental Design

Considering that the elasticity modulus and density of aluminum alloy materials are 1/3 of those of steel materials and their thermal expansion coefficient is twice of that of steel materials, 6061-T6 aluminum alloy material can be used to achieve improved monitoring of the experimental phenomena in comparison to steel materials of the same size [16]. The material properties are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Material properties.

| Alloy Designation | Young's Modulus (GPa) | Poisson's Ratio | Thermal Expansion Coefficient (mm/°C) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 6061-T6           | 69.82                 | 0.3            | 1.881 × 10⁻⁵                        |

The length of the PIP structure is 9000 mm due to the size limitation of the experimental sand tank. Lateral initial imperfections are set at the midspan position, which are simulated by using triangular sine curves. Different imperfection wavelengths and amplitudes based on a sine function-based imperfection pattern given by Maltby and Calladine can be expressed as [11]:

\[
l = v_0 \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{l_0} \right)
\]  (1)

The initial imperfections on the inner pipes were the same as that on the outer pipes in one group of experiments. They were processed using a numerical control pipeline bender to ensure that they were a perfect sine function, as in Equation (1), and that the pipelines were eccentric on the machine. As shown in previous studies [17], the global buckling of pipelines occurs at a small part of a full-length range, where minimum \( l_0 \) is \( l/5 \). By considering the machining accuracy, the minimum \( l_0 \) was set as 2000 mm and minimum \( v_0 \) was set to 20 mm to avoid the effect on buckling and post-buckling of PIP structures caused by initial imperfections. The thickness of inner and outer pipes of the PIP structure was 3 mm, and their diameters were 36 mm and 62 mm, respectively. The centralizers were arranged every other 500 mm along the axial direction between the inner and outer pipes, and aluminum silicate cloth was filled between the inner and outer pipes as the thermal insulation material. The PIP structure was divided into 3 groups based on imperfection wavelength \( l_0 \), and the model parameters are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Initial imperfection design of the experimental models.

| Group   | Specimen No.   | Wavelength \( l_0 \) | Amplitude \( v_0 \) (mm) |
|---------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Group I | S1-1000-20     | 1000                  | 20                       |
|         | S2-1000-30     | 1000                  | 30                       |
|         | S3-1000-40     | 1000                  | 40                       |
| Group II| S4-2000-20     | 2000                  | 20                       |
|         | S5-2000-30     | 2000                  | 30                       |
|         | S6-2000-40     | 2000                  | 40                       |
| Group III| S7-4000-40    | 4000                  | 40                       |
|          | S8-4000-60     | 4000                  | 60                       |
|          | S9-4000-80     | 4000                  | 80                       |

An oil temperature control loading device was used for loading, and this device mainly included a test sand tank as shown in Figure 1a, a hot-oil circulation heating control device which can display the
temperature of oil and the pressure in the inner pipe as shown in Figure 1b, and a fastening device at the pipe ends as shown in Figure 1c. A monotonous temperature loading system was used to gradually increase temperature from outside atmospheric temperature $T_0$ until buckling of the PIP structure occurs, and a loading temperature step was set every other 10 °C. The strain gauge and displacement data were acquired before and post-buckling. Figure 1d shows a real example of the end structure of the PIP structure. At the ends of the PIP structure, the outer pipe is connected to the end fastening device through the adapter ring, the inner pipe is directly screwed with the oil supply hole, and neither inner nor outer pipes can move along the axial direction but can rotate. PIP structure buckling occurred in the loading device due to thermal expansion and the applied longitudinal constraints, and no other external forces were applied.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Experimental device: (a) hot-oil circulation heating control device; (b) test sand tank; (c) fastening device at pipe ends; (d) structure of pipe ends; (e) layout plan of experimental loading device.

### 2.2. Analysis of Experimental Results

By analyzing the results of strain, temperature difference of inner pipe, lateral displacement of the midpoint on the outer pipe, we defined when the global buckling happened. The axial force of the PIP remain unchanged, and the corresponding critical temperature and displacement were measured. The critical axial force, critical temperature, and displacement under the buckling state of the experimental PIP structure are shown in Table 3. For the first group of pipelines, imperfection increases from 2 cm to 50% and 100%, and the temperature difference reduces by 1.7% and 7.1%,...
respectively, which indicates that the increased imperfection amplitude does not have an obvious effect on reducing the critical temperature difference. The critical temperature differences of the pipelines in the second group are 11.4 °C, 12.1 °C, and 12.4 °C when \( l_0 \) increases from 1000 mm to 2000 mm compared with the first group of pipelines. Thus, the increase of pipeline length \( l_0 \) has a remarkable effect on critical temperature difference. \( v_0/l_0 = \lambda \) is defined, S1-1000-20, S-2000-40, and S-4000-80 are used, and critical temperature differences at the time are 16.4 °C and 17.9 °C, which indicate that the change of critical pipeline buckling temperature exerts the main effect and effectively reduces the critical pipeline buckling temperature with the increase of \( l_0 \).

| Specimen No. | Critical axial force (N) | Critical temperature difference (°C) | Critical displacement (mm) |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| S1-1000-20   | -1251.8                 | 56.6                                | 118.3                     |
| S2-1000-30   | -943.4                  | 55.6                                | 115.3                     |
| S3-1000-40   | -899.6                  | 52.6                                | 110.6                     |
| S4-2000-20   | -760.2                  | 45.2                                | 78.5                      |
| S5-2000-30   | -550.1                  | 43.5                                | 70.2                      |
| S6-2000-40   | -510.9                  | 40.2                                | 48.7                      |
| S7-4000-40   | -417.2                  | 35.7                                | 22.3                      |
| S8-4000-60   | -333.3                  | 32.2                                | 20.3                      |
| S9-4000-80   | -270.6                  | 22.5                                | 18.4                      |

For pipelines with \( l_0 = 1000 \) mm, pipeline buckling displacement is slightly reduced, and lateral displacement is reduced by 4.2% with the increase of amplitude. Thus, the change on \( v_0 \) does not have an obvious effect in reducing the critical pipeline buckling force when \( l_0 \) is certain. The critical pipeline buckling displacement differences are 41.8, 45.1, and 61.0 mm with the increase of \( l_0 \) from 1000 mm to 2000 mm. For the third group of pipelines, global buckling can easily occur, and the increase of pipeline length \( l_0 \) can reduce critical buckling displacement. Figure 2 shows the displacement deformation before and after pipeline buckling. The global buckling phenomenon becomes slightly obvious with the increase of \( l_0 \).

The critical buckling axial forces of pipelines of the three groups are shown in Table 3. On the basis of a comparative analysis of three specimens, S3-1000-40, S5-2000-40, and S7-4000-40 (\( v_0 = 40 \) mm), their wavelengths \( l_0 \) are 1000, 2000, and 4000 mm, respectively. Pipeline \( l_0 = 1000 \) mm based on the standard, the wavelengths of S5-2000-40 and S7-4000-40 increased by 100% and 300%, and their critical buckling axial forces reduced by 43.8% and 53.7%, respectively. Therefore, the change of the wavelength value can obviously reduce the critical buckling axial force when the amplitude remains unchanged. For the intra-group comparison of three groups of pipelines, the maximum differences of critical axial forces between pipelines in each group are 351.8, 249.3, and 146.6 N, and their critical axial forces are reduced by 28.1%, 32.7%, and 35.1%, respectively, when wavelength \( l_0 \) is compared with the critical axial forces under the minimum amplitude value of each specimen. This finding indicates that the change of amplitude value \( v_0 \) basically presents a first-order linear relation with the reduction of critical pipeline buckling force.
3. Parameter Analysis of Global Lateral Buckling

3.1. Finite Element Modeling Method

ABAQUS finite element software (ABAQUS 6.14-4, SIMULIA, Johnston, RI, USA) was used to establish a finite element model of the PIP structure and to predict the global buckling performance of the PIP structure under the effect of temperature load. As shown in Figure 3, PIPE31 units were used to simulate the inner and outer pipes, and a single-node ITT (tube-to-tube contact element) unit was used to simulate the contactor between the inner and outer pipes [18]. The ITT was attached to the beam unit nodes of the inner pipe, and a virtual slip line was attached to the outer pipe, which consists of nodes of the outer pipe. During calculation, the ITT unit detects its own normal displacement. Normal acting and tangential frictional forces were generated between the inner and outer pipes when the ITT contacted with the outer pipe. In the process of calculation, linear heating of the inner pipe from 0 to 100 °C temperature difference to simulates the continuous heating process of crude oil, and the outer pipe is consistent with the project which keeps the temperature constant at 0 °C.
The nonlinear SPRING1 unit was used to simulate nonlinear pipe-soil interaction. The displacement at one end of the unit was fixed, and the other end was interconnected with the outer pipe node. The bottom of the spring element was assumed to be rigid. A bilinear pipe-soil interaction model was used to simulate the pipe-soil interaction of horizontal displacement, as shown in Figure 4, where $H$ and $V$ are the seabed resistance and underwater pipeline weight, respectively. The relationship between $H$ and $V$ can be expressed as [19]:

$$\frac{H}{V} = \mu$$

(2)

![Figure 4. Pipe-soil interaction model.](image)

The global lateral buckling problem of the PIP structure belongs to a localized and nonlinear buckling problem; that is, the local transfer of strain energy exists in the buckling process [20]. Therefore, the use of a traditional pure Newton-Raphson method in solving this results in a convergence difficulty near the buckling point. Good solutions to this problem are through mainly using dynamic and static methods that consider artificial numerical damping. A momentary rigid body displacement phenomenon occurs when the inner pipe undergoes buckling and breaks from the constraint of the outer pipe. At the same time, a certain numerical damping should be added to prevent the singularity phenomenon of the stiffness matrix. Thus, the matrix can smoothly surpass the buckling point, and a post-buckling analysis can be implemented. Obviously, the added artificial damping affects the properties of the stiffness matrix itself when its value is large, which results in the distortion of calculation results. Therefore, during the calculation process, the proportional relationship between numerical damping dissipation energy (ALLSD) and total strain energy (ALLIE) of the system was continuously monitored to ensure that the proportion of numerical damping dissipation energy to total strain energy of the system did not exceed 0.5%. Specifically, the influence of artificial numerical damping on global energy distribution of the system should be smaller than 0.5%. Otherwise, the artificial numerical damping remarkably influences the calculation results.

To verify the numerical analysis in this section, a comparison with the experimental pipelines was conducted, and a corresponding numerical model was established for the calculation. The corresponding model parameters are provided in Table 4.

| Diameter ($D$) (mm) | 62 |
|---------------------|----|
| Diameter ($d$) (mm) | 36 |
| Wall thickness ($t$) (mm) | 3 |
| Elasticity modulus ($E$) (MPa) | 68,000 |
| Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$) | 0.3 |
| Linear expansion coefficient ($\alpha$) ($/°C$) | $1.73 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Underwater mass ($W$) (KN/mm) | 300 |
| Pipe–seabed frictional coefficient ($\mu_3$) | 0.3 |
| Temperature difference ($°C$) | 100 |
3.2. Model Verification

To verify the accuracy of the proposed numerical model, the experimental results of S1-1000-20, S4-2000-20, and S7-4000-40 were taken as the control group. Figure 5 shows the relationships of axial forces of inner and outer pipes in the PIP structure with a midpoint buckling displacement. As shown in Figure 5, the resultant force of axial forces of inner and outer pipes represents the stability of the PIP structure. The axial force of the inner pipe gradually increases with the increase in temperature, and the outer pipe bears tensile force, which gradually increases with the increase in temperature. The resultant force of the inner and outer pipes gradually increases with temperature and remains constant when the limit buckling axial force is reached. This condition indicates that the structure experiences buckling. The critical buckling axial forces obtained in the numerical simulation are $-1.02$, $0.71$, and $-0.47$ KN; their relative errors with experimental values are all controlled within 10%, and the change laws of resultant force curve of inner and outer pipes is identical with those shown in the experiment. Therefore, the proposed model can capture the global buckling features of the PIP structure.

![Figure 5. Comparison of axial force-lateral displacement curve with finite element (FE) and experimental results. (a) S1-1000-20; (b) S4-2000-20; (c) S7-4000-40.](image)

3.3. Parameter Analysis

Parameterized models are established based on the above analysis to discuss the influences of initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and the height and number of centralizers on global buckling of the PIP structure. Initial imperfection length $l_0$ is set to 1800 mm in this section; the length of the PIP structure model is $5l_0$, namely, 9000 mm; diameter of the outer pipe is $D = 60$ mm; diameter of the inner pipe is $d = 30$ mm; wall thickness of the inner and outer pipe is $t = 3$ mm; amplitude is
20 mm; and the spacing between the centralizers and outer pipe is 2 mm. These settings are taken as the standard model.

3.3.1. Influence of Initial Imperfections

Figure 6 indicates the influence of initial imperfections on the global lateral buckling path of the PIP structure. Two global buckling paths of the PIP structure are expressed as follows: The buckling path is relatively gentle without any obvious buckling point when no straightness is large, such that $\lambda$ is greater than 0.2; and the buckling path has an obvious buckling point when no straightness is small, such that $\lambda$ is smaller than 0.2. The pipeline displacement rapidly increases when the critical buckling point is crossed. This condition is because the PIP structure can be simplified into an overall stability problem of axial compression of the PIP structure that contains initial imperfections when other conditions are unchanged and only the size of initial imperfection is changed. On the basis of an analysis of compression-bending members that contain initial flexural imperfections, the greater the initial imperfection is, the smaller the critical force of the pressure bar will be. The global compression of the inner pipe stimulates buckling of the outer pipe. The PIP structure tends to accumulate deformation toward the midpoint imperfection due to pipe-soil interactions. For the critical imperfection length, the tensile force of the outer pipe increases more rapidly than the pressure of inner pipe, and a large negative force and bending moment are generated at the starting point of imperfection to generate displacement. The growth rate of axial force of the inner pipe is higher than that of tensile force of the outer pipe when the critical length is exceeded. The PIP structure experiences compression, midpoint displacement becomes positive, and the PIP structure easily undergoes buckling when initial imperfection wavelength $l_0$ of the PIP structure is large.

![Figure 6. Force-displacement curve of different $\lambda$.](image)

3.3.2. Influence of Pipe-Soil Interaction

Pipe-soil frictional coefficients are set to 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8. As shown in Figure 7, the frictional force between the outer pipe and seabed increases with the increase of pipe-soil frictional coefficient, which limits lateral displacement. The straight segment of the PIP structure has a squeezing tendency in the buckling segment when the PIP structure undergoes global buckling, and the axial frictional force constrains the squeezing of the straight segment to prevent buckling deformation. The frictional force that should be overcome is greater with the increase of frictional coefficient when the PIP structure experiences lateral buckling. At the same time, the axial force in the straight segment is greater. Thus, the greater the pipe-soil frictional coefficient is, the greater the critical buckling axial force will be.
3.3.3. Influence of Height of Centralizers

The spacing of centralizers is defined as \( \gamma = (r_0 - h)/r_0 \). Figure 8 shows the axial force-displacement curve of the inner pipe under different heights of centralizers. As shown in the figure, the global lateral buckling of the PIP structure can be decomposed into two parts when the spacing of centering rings is not zero. The inner pipe experiences lateral buckling, and the inner pipe drives the outer pipe to drive lateral buckling of the entire PIP structure due to the contact between the inner pipe and outer pipe. In the post-buckling phase, the influence of the spacing of centralizers on buckling path of the PIP structure is minor, as shown in the figure.

3.3.4. Influence of the Number of Centralizers

The main effect of centralizers is in providing lateral forces on the inner and outer pipes during the buckling process. The influence of the number of centering rings can be ignored in the straight segment. The centralizers in the model present a uniform distribution along the axial direction, and the spacing of centralizers can be changed to analyze the influence of the number of centralizers on global buckling in the imperfection segment. On the basis of the axial force-temperature difference curve in Figure 9, the influence of the number of centralizers is approximately zero for before and post-buckling, which renders it negligible.
4. Computational Formula of Lateral Buckling Axial Force

4.1. Derivation of Lateral Buckling Axial Force Formula

Based on the experimental and FE parameter analysis, we find that the initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and height of centralizers has a great influence on the PIP global buckling. The basic constitution of the PIP structure is shown in Figure 10. As shown in the figure, the PIP structure mainly consists of three parts: inner pipe, outer pipe, and centralizers. The centralizers and inner pipe are welded together, which allows the inner pipe to slide along the inner wall of the outer pipe. Meanwhile, the centralizers and outer pipe are incompletely fitted and have a certain gap. The spacing is generally from 1 mm to 10 mm based on engineering practice. The spacing of the centering rings is generally from 1 m to 2 m. The gap between the inner and outer pipes is generally filled with light, low-strength, and high-performance insulation materials.

Figure 10. Constitution of the PIP structure.

Figure 11 shows the force diagram of the inner and outer pipes caused by the influence of centralizers during the buckling process of the PIP structure. No gap exists between the inner and outer pipes. For the PIP structure with simple supports at two ends, its lateral displacement value along the lateral direction can be obtained based on second-order elasticity theory as follows [21]:

$$ w = \frac{v_0}{1 - N_{in}/N_{cr}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{l_0}\right) $$

(3)

where $l_0$ is the length of imperfection segment of the PIP structure, $v_0$ is the initial imperfection amplitude, $N_{cr}$ is the critical load of overall buckling of the PIP structure, and $N_{in}$ is the axial force borne by the inner pipe.
The inner and outer pipes undergo a single-wave type deformation. The contact force between the inner and outer pipes is $q_t$, and a concentrated force $F_{\text{end}}$ is formed at the starting point of imperfection. This is expressed as [22]:

$$q_t = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{in}}v_0}{1 - N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{cr}}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{l}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$F_{\text{end}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l q_t \, dx = \frac{\pi}{12} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{in}}v_0}{1 - N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{cr}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Mechanical equilibrium formula of the outer pipe in axial direction is:

$$f_{\text{end}}\mu_1 + W\mu_2 = N_{\text{out}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where

$$f_{\text{end}} = F_{\text{end}}/n$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$n$ is the number of centralizers in the imperfection segment;

$N_{\text{in}}$ is the axial force of the inner pipe;

$N_{\text{out}}$ is the axial force of the outer pipe;

$\mu_1$ is the frictional coefficient between the centering ring and outer pipe;

$\mu_2$ is the axial frictional coefficient between the outer pipe and seabed;

$\mu_3$ is the lateral frictional coefficient between the outer pipe and seabed;

$W$ is the underwater self-weight of the PIP structure.

The following equations are obtained by substituting Equation (4) into Equation (5):

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\pi}{l} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{in}}v_0}{1 - N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{cr}}} \mu_1 + W\mu_2 = N_{\text{out}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$N_{\text{cr}} = \frac{\mu_1\pi N_{\text{in}}v_0 + Wl\mu_2 - nN_{\text{out}}l}{(W - nN_{\text{out}}l)N_{\text{in}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

According to a previous study [23], the following equation can be expressed as:

$$N_{\text{in}} = E_{\text{in}} A_{\text{in}} \alpha \Delta T - \frac{\nu_{\text{in}} P_{\text{in}} D_{\text{in}} A_{\text{in}}}{2l_{\text{in}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
In the imperfection segment, the outer pipe mainly bears a frictional force between the lateral pipeline and seabed, and the horizontal supporting force of the inner pipe is towards the outer pipe, and the balance equation is expressed as:

$$\int_0^l W_3 \mu_3 \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{l_0} \right) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i$$ \hfill (11)

On the basis of the balance equation of the inner pipe, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n F_i = 2F_{end} = \int_0^l q_1 dx = \frac{2\pi}{l} \frac{N_{in}v_0}{1 - N_{in}/N_{cr}}$$ \hfill (12)

Thus:

$$\frac{l}{\pi} W_3 = \frac{2\pi}{l} \frac{N_{in}v_0}{1 - N_{in}/N_{cr}}$$ \hfill (13)

Then:

$$N_{cr} = \frac{l^2 W_3 N_{in}}{l^2 W_3 - 2\pi^2 v_0 N_{in}}$$ \hfill (14)

$$N_{cr} = N_{in} + N_{out}$$ \hfill (15)

Formula 15 is used to represent the stability of the PIP structure \cite{24}. The PIP structure experiences global buckling when $N_{cr}$ becomes stable, meaning the sum of $N_{in}$ and $N_{out}$ remains unchanged, but the horizontal displacement of the PIP structure will keep increasing. Thus, the axial force of the outer pipe during the buckling process is:

$$N_{out} = N_{in} \left( \frac{l^2 W_3}{l^2 W_3 - 2\pi^2 v_0 N_{in}} - 1 \right)$$ \hfill (16)

On the basis of the analysis of Formula (14), the critical buckling forces of the PIP structure mainly include (1) frictional force between the outer pipe and seabed; (2) imperfection wavelength and amplitude; (3) underwater self-weight of the PIP structure; and (4) material characteristics and wall thickness of the inner pipe. The influence of the number and height of centering rings is minor, which is mutually verified by the finite element parameter analysis and experimental verification and analysis.

4.2. Formula Verification

The critical buckling forces obtained in the theoretical formulas were compared with the experimental values, as shown in Table 5. The relative error between the calculated critical buckling axial force and experimental result is approximately 13.7%, which indicates that the formula has high precision. The theoretical value obtained through the formula is relatively greater than the experimental value because the PIP structure has an initial imperfection during the lateral buckling process, which results in a certain axial component. However, this component is excluded in the theoretical calculation.

In addition, in order to verify the reliability of the formulas, the basic model of PIP in a practical project in South China Sea is established. The material of pipeline is X65 and the parameters are $d = 273.1$ mm, $D = 355.6$ mm, $t_{in} = 11.1$ mm, $t_{out} = 12.7$ mm, $E = 207,000$, $\alpha = 1.17 \times 10^{-15}$, $\mu_1 = 0.1$, $\mu_3 = 0.2$, $l_0 = 50$ m, $l = 900$ mm. The critical buckling force is 2.29 MN, which is 2.6% different from 2.23 MN in the literature \cite{25}. It shows that the formula has high accuracy.
Table 5. Theoretical formula errors.

| Specimen No.     | Experimental Value N | FE Value (N) | Theoretical Value (N) | Experimental Error % | FE to Theoretical Error % |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| S1-1000-20       | 1251.8               | -1021.1      | -1343.7               | 6.8                   | 24.1                      |
| S2-1000-30       | 943.4                | -973.2       | -1062.8               | 11.2                  | 8.4                       |
| S3-1000-40       | -899.6               | -865.7       | -976.4                | 7.8                   | 11.3                      |
| S4-2000-20       | -760.2               | -712.5       | -849.8                | 10.5                  | 16.1                      |
| S5-2000-30       | -550.1               | -630.8       | -686.4                | 19.8                  | 8.1                       |
| S6-2000-40       | -510.9               | -573.5       | -618.7                | 17.4                  | 7.3                       |
| S7-4000-40       | -417.2               | -475.3       | -534.2                | 21.91                 | 11.0                      |
| S8-4000-60       | -333.3               | -392.8       | -461.7                | 27.8                  | 14.9                      |
| S9-4000-80       | -270.6               | -312.7       | -352.7                | 23.2                  | 11.3                      |

5. Summary and Conclusions

The change laws of pipeline buckling under different imperfection wavelengths and amplitudes were analyzed based on the experimental data of nine pipeline specimens in three groups that contained initial imperfections under different parameters. An appropriate finite element model was established to analyze the action mechanism of the initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and height and number of centering rings on the global buckling critical force of the PIP structure. The formulas of critical axial forces of the PIP structure were obtained through theoretical derivation and were compared with experimental values. The main conclusions are as follows:

1. An oil temperature loading test was conducted on the PIP structure that contained initial imperfections for the first time, and the critical buckling temperature, displacement, and axial force of the PIP structure were obtained. For the nine test specimens in three groups, initial imperfection wavelength $l_0$ was the main factor that influenced overall buckling of the PIP structure. Amplitude $v_0$ basically presented a linear, progressive, and decreasing relation with critical buckling force.

2. A high-efficiency calculation model of global buckling was established to analyze the influences of multiple parameters on stimulating the global buckling properties of the PIP structure. The action mechanism of initial imperfections, pipe-soil interaction, and height and number of centralizers on global buckling of the PIP structure was revealed. Two buckling phases of the PIP structure, namely the inner pipe buckling and outer pipe buckling driven by inner pipe buckling, were obtained. Moreover, the influence of the height of centralizers on the post-buckling phase was minor, and the influence of the number of centering rings on critical buckling force could be neglected.

3. A refined critical buckling force formula was established based on theoretical derivation. In the formula, the combined influence of the centralizers-outer pipe friction and the pipe-soil frictional coefficient was considered for the first time. The theoretical calculation result was compared with the experimental and FE models result. On the basis of the comparative verification result, the formula has favorable accuracy and provides a reference for relevant pipeline designs.
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Nomenclature

pipe-in-pipe system  PIP structure

\( l_0 \)  Initial wavelength (mm)

\( v_0 \)  Initial amplitude (mm)

\( l \)  Initial horizontal imperfection (mm)

\( E \)  Elasticity modulus (MPa)

\( I \)  Cross-sectional moments of inertia (mm\(^4\))

\( d \)  Diameter of inner pipe (mm)

\( D \)  Diameter of outer pipe (mm)

\( t \)  Wall thickness (mm)

\( \nu \)  Poisson’s ratio

\( \alpha \)  Linear expansion coefficient (/°C)

\( \mu_1 \)  The frictional coefficient between the centering ring and outer pipe

\( \mu_2 \)  The axial frictional coefficient between the outer pipe and seabed

\( \mu_3 \)  The lateral frictional coefficient between the outer pipe and seabed

\( W \)  Underwater mass (KN/mm)

\( P_{cr} \)  Critical axial force

\( \lambda \)  Length factor

\( n \)  The number of centralizers

\( N_{in} \)  The axial force of the inner pipe

\( N_{out} \)  The axial force of the outer pipe
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