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Dirofilaria is a vector-borne zoonotic disease caused mainly by Dirofilaria immitis and Dirofilaria repens that affect dogs and humans all over the world. Serbia is considered an endemic country to both forms of dirofilariasis, although most of the population is concentrated in the north of the country. The aims of this study were to show the prevalence of D. immitis and D. repens in dogs and the seroprevalence in humans compared to previous studies in Northern Serbia. In total, 346 dog sera samples and 265 human samples were analyzed. Dog blood samples were analyzed using the modified Knott’s method to check whether there were Dirofilaria spp. microfilariae and serum samples were checked by a commercial D. immitis antigen test. Human serum samples were analyzed with a non-commercial ELISA for detection of specific anti-D. immitis, anti-D. repens, and anti-Wolbachia IgG antibodies, and confirmed by western blotting. The overall prevalence for Dirofilaria spp. in dogs was 29.19%. The overall prevalence for D. immitis was 26.30%. The percentages of D. immitis and D. repens microfilaremia in dogs were 25.72 and 1.45%, respectively, while D. immitis/D. repens microfilaremia co-infections were also 1.45%. The overall seroprevalence for Dirofilaria spp. in humans was 3.77%. The overall seroprevalence for D. immitis was 1.51, 1.13% for D. repens, and for D. immitis/D. repens co-infections was 1.13%. The results indicate that D. immitis and D. repens are present in dogs and humans in the province of Vojvodina, in the northern part of Serbia. It is most likely associated with the presence of many rivers, the climate, and presence of mosquitoes in the area, so there could be a real public health risk.
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INTRODUCTION

Dirofilaria is a vector-borne zoonotic disease caused mainly by Dirofilaria immitis and Dirofilaria repens. Dirofilaria immitis causes heartworm disease in canines and pulmonary dirofilariasis in humans, whereas D. repens causes canine subcutaneous dirofilariasis and ocular/subcutaneous dirofilariasis in humans. Both parasites are transmitted by culicid mosquitoes, which inoculate larva 3 into definitive hosts in both animals and humans. For that reason, dirofilariasis is considered a veterinary and public health problem (1, 2).
Canine heartworm disease is a chronic, progressive, and life-threatening disease in which adult worms stay in the pulmonary artery and the heart, in the right ventricle of definitive hosts. In canine subcutaneous dirofilariasis, the adult worms are usually beneath the skin forming a subcutaneous nodule. In both cases, microfilariae circulate in the blood stream and are ingested by several species of mosquito vectors during their blood-feeding (3) and after two successive molts, during the next blood meal (4), stage-3 larvae are inoculated into the definitive host. In humans, *D. immitis* immature worms cause embolization in the pulmonary microarteries, leading to the formation of benign lung nodules (pulmonary dirofilariasis), although most cases are asymptomatic (1). On the other hand, *D. repens* worms do not usually reach the adult stage and immature worms may cause larva migrans syndrome and form subcutaneous nodules in the ocular region and other organs (1, 3, 5–7). Pulmonary dirofilariasis usually has no clinical symptoms, so most diagnostic tools cannot be used, making it much more difficult to identify. However, subcutaneous/ocular dirofilariasis presents clinical signs that are easier to detect (1, 3). Moreover, *D. immitis* and *D. repens* harbor endosymbiotic bacteria of the genus *Wolbachia*. This bacteria participates in the parasite's life cycle and embryogenesis and plays a key role in the immune and inflammatory response of the organism to the disease (4, 8–10).

Dirofilariasis is on the rise in the European population of dogs and humans (1, 3, 11, 12). It is considered to be an endemic disease in southern European countries and in central and northern countries such as Switzerland, Germany, Netherlands, Lithuania, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Slovakia, and Russia (2, 4, 13–18). In addition, in the last decade, different epidemiological and seroepidemiological studies, alongside clinical reporting, have shown that dirofilariasis has been introduced into the countries of the Balkans peninsula (1, 3, 4, 11, 19). Serbia is considered as an endemic country to both forms of dirofilariasis in dogs (20–24). Few human cases have been reported to be caused by *D. repens* or have had specific antibodies found (25, 26). To explain the rise of dirofilariasis, studies suggest that red foxes and golden jackals may serve as reservoir hosts (27) and *Culex pipiens* and *Aedes vexans* act as vectors of both diseases in Northern Serbia (28).

The aim of this study was to show the prevalence of *D. immitis* and *D. repens* in dogs and the seroprevalence in humans compared to previous studies in Northern Serbia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Area**

The northern part of Serbia (Province of Vojvodina) lies between Hungary, Croatia, and Romania. This northern part of the country is largely plains with a continental climate and a lot of rivers. Summers are hot and have lengthened over time due to climate change, so temperatures over 14°C usually last even through to the end of October. Winters are less and less cold and there has not been much snow during the last several winters. All this means that the mosquito season is prolonged from March to October. The air humidity during the warm period of the year is mostly high, meaning that the conditions for the development of mosquitoes are appropriate. During springtime there is a lot of rain and often in some parts of the country there are floods.

In the province of Vojvodina there is the Danube river, which crosses the country from east to west, while the Tisa river flows from north to south, and there are smaller rivers all around the region (Figure 2). There is also an artificial canal system called Danube–Tisa–Danube Canal. It covers the total area in Vojvodina of about 12,700 km² and it consists of a number of canals. This Canal is a unique hydro-engineering system for flood control and hydrotechnical management, forestry, water supply, wastewater evacuation, navigation, tourism, fishing, and hunting. Besides these purposes, it also represents a substantial amount of water, convenient for development of mosquitoes.

**Serum Samples**

We analyzed a total of 611 sera samples: 346 dog sera samples (173 male and 173 female) were obtained from dogs analyzed by several veterinary clinics (Table 1) and 265 human samples (208 male and 57 female) were provided to the research laboratory from different departments of clinical centers in Northern Serbia (Table 2). Human samples were taken from patients with different symptoms, not only those with specific symptoms that could point to dirofilariasis. Variables considered for the analyses were gender, age, and municipality of residence. Samples were collected preserving the privacy of the patients and informing them of how the samples would be used. All samples were collected during the period of 2018 to 2019.

**Methods**

Dog blood samples were analyzed by applying the modified Knott's technique (29) to check whether there were *Dirofilaria* spp. microfilariae in the blood of the animals included in the study. Morphological characteristics of microfilariae (cephalic and caudal ends) were used in order to differentiate *D. immitis* and *D. repens* microfilariae (30). Dog serum samples were tested for the presence of *D. immitis* adult antigens using a commercial immunochromatographic test kit (VetLine *Dirofilaria* Antigen, NovaTec, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. There is no commercial laboratory test of any kind for *D. repens* in dogs.

Human serum samples were analyzed using a non-commercial ELISA for detection of specific anti-*D. immitis*, anti-*D. repens*, and anti-*Wolbachia* IgG antibodies with some modifications (16, 17, 31, 32). *D. immitis* and *D. repens* adult worm extracts (DiSA and DrSA, respectively) and 1:100 serum dilutions were used to detect the presence of anti-DiSA and DrSA IgG antibodies. Sera samples diluted at 1:40 with a recombinant form of the *Wolbachia* Surface Protein (rWSP) were used to detect the presence of anti-rWSP IgG antibodies. In both cases, goat anti-human IgG (H+L) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich, Spain) was used at a 1:4000 dilution. Easy Reader (Bio-Rad laboratories, USA) was used for measuring optical densities (OD) at 492 nm. The cut-off point (OD = 0.8 for DiSA and DrSA and 0.5 for rWSP) was determined by calculating the mean value + 3 standard deviations (SD) of 50 serum samples obtained from dogs and clinically healthy humans (negative controls) who belonged to an area free of
**TABLE 1** | Distribution of prevalence of *D. immitis*, *D. repens*, and co-infections in dogs in Northern Serbia by gender and age.

|                  | *D. immitis* | *D. repens* | *D. immitis/D. repens* | *Dirofilaria* spp. |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                  | Test Positive (%) | Knott Positive (%) | Test Positive (%) | Knott Positive (%) | Test Positive (%) | Knott Positive (%) | TOTAL Positive (%) |
| **Gender**       |               |              |                        |                   |                  |                    |                  |
| Male             | 173 (60.00%) | 54 (31.21%) | 52 (30.06%) | No test | 1 (0.58%) | 2 (1.16%)/No test | 2 (1.16%) | 57 (32.95%) |
| Female           | 173 (60.00%) | 37 (21.39%) | 37 (21.39%) | No test | 4 (2.31%) | 3 (1.73%)/No test | 3 (1.73%) | 44 (25.43%) |
| **Age**          |               |              |                        |                   |                  |                    |                  |
| <3               | 162 (46.82%) | 44 (27.16%) | 44 (27.16%) | No test | 1 (0.62%) | 0 (0.00%)/No test | 0 (0.00%) | 45 (27.78%) |
| 3–5              | 133 (38.44%) | 34 (25.56%) | 34 (25.56%) | No test | 2 (1.50%) | 2 (1.50%)/No test | 2 (1.50%) | 38 (28.57%) |
| 6–8              | 30 (8.67%)    | 11 (36.66%) | 9 (30.00%) | No test | 1 (3.33%) | 2 (6.67%)/No test | 2 (6.67%) | 14 (46.66%) |
| >9               | 21 (6.07%)    | 2 (9.52%)   | 2 (9.52%) | No test | 1 (4.76%) | 1 (4.76%)/No test | 1 (4.76%) | 4 (19.05%) |
| Total            | 346           | 91 (26.30%) | 89 (25.72%) | No test | 5 (1.45%) | 5 (1.45%)/No test | 5 (1.45%) | 101 (29.19%) |

**TABLE 2** | Distribution of seroprevalence of *D. immitis*, *D. repens*, and co-infections in humans in Northern Serbia by gender and age.

| Human samples | *D. immitis* | *D. repens* | *D. immitis/D. repens* | *Dirofilaria* spp. |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|               | ELISA Positive (%) | ELISA Positive (%) | ELISA Positive (%) | TOTAL Positive (%) |
| **Gender**    |               |              |                        |                   |                  |
| Male          | 208 (78.49%) | 4 (1.92%)   | 3 (1.44%) | 2 (1.44%) | 9 (4.33%) |
| Female        | 57 (21.51%)  | 0           | 0                      | 1 (1.75%) | 1 (1.75%) |
| **Age**       |               |              |                        |                   |                  |
| <20           | 2 (0.75%)    | 0           | 0                      | 0 (0.00%) | 0 (0.00%) |
| 20–40         | 48 (18.11%)  | 2 (4.17%)   | 0                      | 1 (2.08%) | 3 (6.25%) |
| >40           | 215 (81.13%) | 2 (0.93%)   | 3 (1.40%) | 2 (0.93%) | 7 (3.25%) |
| Total         | 265          | 4 (1.51%)   | 3 (1.13 %) | 3 (1.13 %) | 10 (3.77%) |

*D. immitis* and *D. repens*. When both non-commercial ELISAs gave positive results for the same serum sample, that human sera were considered positive. Additionally, by using western blot analysis performed according to a previously described methodology (16, 33–36), these results were confirmed. Both antigenic extracts were subjected to SDS–PAGE in 12% gels under reduced conditions, and proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose. Human sera were analyzed at a 1:40 dilution and anti-conjugates at a 1:500 dilution. All samples that were positive with these kits were also analyzed by western blot to determine if they recognized the specific bands for *D. immitis* (17–22 kDa) and for *D. repens* (43–70 kDa).

**Geographical Information System**

The ArcGIS Pro online software was used for the construction of a map of the sampling area. All layers of relevant environmental information (rivers, irrigated croplands, natural parks, among others) were included and symbolized for a better understanding of the map. All dog and human samples infected with *D. immitis*, *D. repens*, and co-infections were manually georeferenced by GPS at the point of capture. Georeferenced positive data for both hosts are shown in the map.

**Statistical Analysis**

The SPSS Base 18.0 software for Windows was used for the data analysis. The descriptive analysis of the considered variables was carried out studying the proportions in the qualitative variables. To compare proportions, Chi-square tests were performed. In all the cases, the significance level was established at $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

The overall prevalence for *Dirofilaria* spp. in dogs was 29.19% (*Table 1*). The overall prevalence for *D. immitis* was 26.30%. The percentage of *D. immitis* microfilaria in dogs was 25.72% (in all cases with a positive *D. immitis* antigen test), 1.45% for...
Dirofilaria spp. have developed limited mutual adaptation (1). L3s inoculated by mosquitoes are usually eliminated from the host by the immune system. However, an undetermined percentage survives and continues to develop until pre-adult, and in some cases of *D. repens*, until adult, causing pulmonary and subcutaneous nodules.
With regards to the human population, the seroprevalence for *Dirofilaria* spp. in humans was 3.77, 1.51% for *D. immitis*, 1.13% for *D. repens*, and 1.13% for *D. immitis/D. repens* coinfections. This is the first time these tests have been conducted in this region of Serbia. Human cases originating from *D. repens* have only previously been reported in the region of southeastern Serbia (24, 40, 41). In addition, other studies have reported seroprevalences of 9.7% and 8.1% against *D. repens* and *D. immitis* polyproteins specific antibodies, respectively, and 2.3% in individuals with specific antibodies to both species (26). Similar studies in neighboring countries such as Romania and Moldova have reported seroprevalences of 10.7% for *D. immitis*, 0.2% for *D. repens*, and 0.9% for both parasites (16). In addition, in Croatia there have been human *D. repens* cases reported (3). These seroepidemiological studies are a good tool to measure the risk of infection in a population where there is a high population of infected animals, as well as the presence of vectors, which serve as a vehicle for transmission of the disease (1, 42).

Both animals and infected people were geolocated in the immediate vicinity or in a relatively close environment of potential mosquito breeding areas, which poses a risk to those areas. The two cases of humans infected by *D. immitis* and *D. repens* in the northeast of the country are close to cities where cases of dogs and humans had already been reported (38) and were located in areas near rivers and green areas where mosquitoes breed. The spatial distribution of positive cases has a clear association with different geo-environmental factors, humidity and temperature, the existence of irrigated areas, areas with abundant water, rivers in valleys protected from winds, and proximity to the coast, which are considered risk factors for the transmission of dirofilariasis (43).

In conclusion, the results indicate that *D. immitis* and *D. repens* are present in dogs and humans in the province of Vojvodina, in the northern part of Serbia. It is most probably associated with the presence of many rivers, the climate, and the presence of mosquitoes, so there is a real public health risk. Serology studies in humans can be very useful for indicating the exposure to *Dirofilaria* spp. in a healthy population in order to obtain useful data on the epidemiological scenario of human dirofilariasis in Serbia and in Europe. That exposure was confirmed in the current study. Further studies addressing the control of dirofilariasis in the dog population are needed to reduce the risk of infection in the human population.
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