Unwinding of a cholesteric liquid crystal and bidirectional surface anchoring
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We examine the influence of bidirectional anchoring on the unwinding of a planar cholesteric liquid crystal induced by the application of a magnetic field. We consider a liquid crystal layer confined between two plates with the helical axis perpendicular to the substrates. We fixed the director twist on one boundary and allow for bidirectional anchoring on the other by introducing a high-order surface potential. By minimizing the total free energy for the system, we investigate the untwisting of the cholesteric helix as the liquid crystal attempts to align with the magnetic field. The transitions between metastable states occur as a series of pitchjumps as the helix expels quarter or half-turn twists, depending on the relative sizes of the strength of the surface potential and the bidirectional anchoring. We show that secondary easy axis directions can play a significant role in the unwinding of the cholesteric in its transition towards a nematic, especially when the surface anchoring strength is large.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A cholesteric (or chiral nematic) is a type of liquid crystal whose chiral nature causes the constituent molecules to align at a slight angle to one another. This leads to a periodic configuration in which the preferred direction of the long molecular axis (or director) twists continuously in space perpendicular to a helical axis. The length over which the director rotates by 2\pi radians is known as its pitch and can vary from 200 nm upwards. In the absence of any external influences such as an applied field, the cholesteric possesses a natural or equilibrium pitch that depends on the temperature of the liquid crystal. However, due to diamagnetic or dielectric anisotropy, the period of the helical structure can be changed by the application of a magnetic or electric field. De Gennes \cite{3} and Kedney and Stewart \cite{4} predict theoretically how the helix can be completely unwound in an infinite sample of cholesteric liquid crystal, resulting in a cholesteric to homeotropic (planar) nematic phase transition. The same field-induced transition is also observed experimentally by Meyer \cite{5}. Subsequent studies examine the dependence of the observed helical pitch on the field strength and critical fields for complete unwinding \cite{6,7}, and allow experimentalists to measure physical quantities, for example, the twist elastic constant of the cholesteric.

When considering an infinite sample of cholesteric (i.e. a relatively thick sample in which the bulk is unaffected by any boundary surfaces), the pitch changes continuously, increasing smoothly with the applied field until it becomes infinite and the helix is completely unwound. However, when the liquid crystal has a finite thickness, confined between two substrates with some degree of anchoring on the surfaces, changes in pitch may occur in discrete jumps. These pitchjumps can arise due to changes in the natural pitch with temperature \cite{10,12} and are often associated with thermal hysteresis \cite{13,14}. An applied field can also lead to stepwise changes in pitch and helix unwinding in confined samples \cite{15,16,19}. Kedney and Stewart \cite{20} present a theoretical analysis of the unwinding of a cholesteric with strong anchoring on the substrates, i.e. the angle of director twist is fixed on the boundaries. As we will discuss in Sect. III, different metastable states can exist for a given field strength. The discrete pitchjumps coincide with a change in nature of the twist profile that provides the global energy minimizer. More recently, Scarfone et al. \cite{21} generalize the problem of \cite{20} to consider an in-plane magnetic field tilted at some angle with respect to fixed parallel twist directions on the substrates. The analysis of Lelidis et al. \cite{22} allows for an incomplete number of half twists in the liquid crystal layer by imposing strong homogeneous anchoring with non-parallel director twists on the two confining plates.

More realistic boundary conditions for liquid crystals allow for the director angle on a boundary to vary because of the competition between the bulk alignment and a preferred surface direction (or easy axis direction). The director is thought to be weakly anchored at the substrate, with a degree of flexibility controlled by a finite anchoring strength combined with a surface energy. Easy axis directions can be imposed on solid substrates via a variety of methods, for example, surface rubbing and oblique evaporation of a SiO thin film on the surface. As the anchoring strength increases, we revert to strong boundary conditions with the direction fixed in the easy axis direction on the substrate, also known as infinite anchoring. Belyakov and co-workers present theoretical analyses of the untwisting of a cholesteric due to the action of a field or temperature \cite{23,28} with weak anchoring on the bounding plates, whereas the stability of the helical structures when there is asymmetry due to different anchoring strengths on the two surfaces is considered by Kiselev and Shuckin \cite{29}.

Most of the studies examining discrete jumps in pitch in cholesteric liquid crystal cells bounded by two parallel
substrates employ an anchoring potential of the form

$$w_a(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 \sin^2 \phi$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

on one or both plates, where \(\tau_0\) is the anchoring strength and \(\phi\) is the director azimuthal twist angle at the surface. This is the twist equivalent of the quadratic surface energy density first proposed by Rapini and Papoular and adopted widely in models for liquid crystals. The form represents a substrate that is rubbed to provide easy axes for the director at \(\phi = k\pi\) radians, where \(k\) is an integer. The quadratic expansion also ensures that the inversion symmetry of cholesterics is preserved. It is also possible, however, to obtain bidirectional surface ordering in liquid crystal devices with two easy directions on a substrate. This can be achieved via a variety of treatments, for example, patterned surfaces, SiO evaporation and non-parallel aligning films. Mathematically, bistable surface anchoring can be modelled by introducing a higher order surface potential into the Rapini-Papoular case. The theoretical studies of Sergan and Durand, Barberi et al. and Yoneya et al. incorporate a quartic expansion in \(\sin \phi\),

$$w_a(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 (\sin^2 \phi + \zeta \sin^4 \phi).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The dimensionless bidirection coefficient \(\zeta\) depends on the nature of the interaction between the liquid crystal and the surface, with \(\zeta = 0\) corresponding to the quadratic Rapini-Papoular anchoring. The higher-order potential still preserves the inversion symmetry of the cholesteric but also provides secondary easy directions corresponding to odd multiples of \(\pi/2\) radians when \(\zeta < -1/2\). In particular, \(\zeta = -1\) provides surface potential minima of equal strength at all integer multiples of \(\pi/2\) radians. The quartic form has been generalized by Pieranski and Jérôme in a study of discontinuous first-order anchoring transitions by introducing a phase angle in the fourth-order term. McKay employs in a study of the thermal hysteresis of pitchjumps in a planar cholesteric and discusses how the high-order term can still alter the pitchjump process even when \(\zeta > -1/2\). Apart from an initial discussion about the Rapini-Papoular case \(\zeta = 0\), here we concentrate on perpendicular easy directions and \(\zeta \approx -1\).

The aim of this paper is to examine the influence of a bidirecitonal anchoring potential on the unwinding of a cholesteric liquid crystal subject to the application of a magnetic field. We adopt the quartic surface energy on the upper boundary confining a layer of cholesteric, while maintaining a strong anchoring condition on the lower surface. In Sect. we introduce the model for the liquid crystal layer, including the elastic energy density and total energy per unit area. We then derive the differential equations from which we obtain the director twist across the layer. Section examines the unwinding of the cholesteric through a series of pitchjumps at critical values of the field strength. These can be quarter or half-turn changes in the twist angle depending on the choice of bidirectional anchoring parameter \(\zeta\) and the anchoring strength. We show that the influence of the bidirecitonal anchoring increases as the anchoring strength increases, although it may still be possible for the unwinding to bypass intermediate easy axis directions when the magnitude of the field is relatively large and the cholesteric is almost completely unwound.

II. MODEL

We consider a cholesteric liquid crystal of thickness \(d\) between two boundary plates at \(z = 0\) and \(z = d\). Assuming that the nematic director lies in the \(xy\)-plane and the helical axis is in the \(z\)-direction, the director can be described via

$$n = (\cos \phi(z), \sin \phi(z), 0),$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \(\phi(z)\) is the director twist angle measured with respect to the \(x\)-axis, as shown in Figure. The liquid crystal is subject to an in-plane magnetic field \(H = H(1, 0, 0)\) of magnitude \(H(\geq 0)\). Combining the magnetic and elastic energy densities, we can express the overall bulk energy density for the cholesteric as

$$w_h = \frac{1}{2} K_2 \left( n \cdot \nabla \times n - \frac{2\pi}{p} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_a (n \cdot H)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} K_2 \left( \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} - q \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_a H^2 \cos^2(\phi),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \(K_2\) is the elastic constant associated with twist of the cholesteric and \(\chi_a\) is the magnetic anisotropy, here assumed to be positive so that the liquid crystal director prefers to align with the field. The wavenumber \(q = 2\pi/p\) is also assumed to be positive so that the cholesteric exhibits a right-handed helix. The natural, or equilibrium, pitch \(p\) is the distance along the helical axis over which the director twists \(2\pi\) radians in the absence of the applied field or
surface anchoring. On the lower plate at \( z = 0 \) we assume that the director is fixed such that \( \phi(0) = 0 \). At the upper surface we introduce the bidirectional surface energy (2), where \( \vec{\phi} \) represents the twist on the substrate.

Combining the bulk and surface energies, we can now construct the total energy of our system per unit area,

\[
W = \int_0^d w_b \, dz + w_s,
\]

where \( w_s \) is the quartic surface potential (2). Equilibrium profiles for the director twist can be found by minimizing the total energy \( W \) with respect to the angle \( \phi \). Before doing this, we first non-dimensionalize (5) by rescaling \( z \to z/d \) and introducing a modified total energy

\[
\hat{W} = \frac{2d}{K_2} W = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\phi}{dz} - \pi \hat{q} \right)^2 - \lambda^2 \cos^2(\phi) \, dz + \frac{2\pi}{\rho} \hat{w}_s
\]

\[
\equiv \int_0^1 \hat{w}_b \, dz + \frac{2\pi}{\rho} \hat{w}_s,
\]

where the dimensionless surface energy \( \hat{w}_s = (\sin^2 \vec{\phi} + \zeta \sin^4 \vec{\phi})/2 \) and \( \vec{\phi} \) still represents the director twist on the upper surface. We have also introduced non-dimensional parameters

\[
\rho = \frac{\pi K_2}{\Omega_0}, \quad \hat{q} = \frac{qd}{\pi}, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{d^2\chi_a H^2}{K_2}.
\]

Non-negative \( \rho \) is a rescaled reciprocal of the anchoring strength, with \( \rho = 0 \) corresponding to infinite anchoring. The parameter \( \hat{q} \) represents the number of half (or \( \pi \))-twists in a sample of depth \( d \) if the director was allowed to rotate freely on the upper plate (zero anchoring) and the magnetic field is switched off. Finally, \( \lambda \) is a measure of the magnitude of the magnetic field relative to the twist elastic constant.

We calculate the equilibrium twist profiles for the cholesteric by minimizing the energy \( \hat{W} \). The Euler-Lagrange equation derived from (6) is

\[
\frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} - \lambda^2 \cos(\phi) \sin(\phi) = 0, \quad z \in (0, 1).
\]

The boundary condition for the twist at the upper plate can be obtained by invoking a balance of couples condition (30), (39),

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{w}_b}{\partial \phi} + \frac{d\hat{w}_s}{d\phi} = 0 \quad \text{on } z = 1,
\]
where $\phi' = d\phi/dz$. Substituting $\hat{w}_b$ and $\hat{w}_s$ defined in (6) into (8), we can write the boundary condition for $\phi$ incorporating weak anchoring as

$$\frac{d\phi}{dz} - \pi \hat{q} + \frac{\pi}{\rho} \sin \phi \cos \phi (1 + 2\varsigma \sin^2 \phi) = 0 \quad \text{on } z = 1. \tag{9}$$

Equilibrium twist profiles are now solutions of (7) and (9), in conjunction with the condition that the angle vanishes at $z = 0$.

In Sect. III we calculate metastable twist profiles from (7) and (9) numerically using a boundary value solver in MATLAB [40]. Although it is not possible to derive analytically an explicit solution for $\phi(z)$ from (7) and (9), we can obtain an implicit form by following a procedure similar to that adopted in Kedney and Stewart [4] and Scarfone et al. [21]. First, we multiply (7) by $d\phi/dz$ and integrate with respect to $z$ to obtain, after some rearranging,

$$\frac{d\phi}{dz} = \lambda \sqrt{k + \sin^2 \phi} \tag{10}$$

where the constant $k$ is to be determined. We can separate the integral in (10) and integrate across the layer to obtain an implicit expression for $\phi(z)$ in terms of $k$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi, k) - \lambda z = 0, \tag{11}$$

with the function

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi, k) = \int_0^\phi \frac{d\psi}{\sqrt{k + \sin^2 \psi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} F\left(\phi \mid -k^{-1}\right)$$

where $F(\phi \mid m)$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind. The limiting value of $z = 1$ in (11) provides an implicit form relating $k$ and $\phi = \phi(1)$, the twist on the upper plate,

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi, k) - \lambda = 0. \tag{12}$$

However, if we replace the derivative at $z = 1$ in (9) by the term given in (10), we can obtain another expression for the constant $k$ in terms of $\phi$, namely

$$k = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \pi \hat{q} - \frac{\pi \rho}{\rho} \frac{dw_s}{d\phi} \right)^2 - \sin^2 \phi. \tag{13}$$

Together, (12) and (13) provide the constant $k$ and the angle $\phi$ corresponding to the chosen non-dimensional parameters $\rho$, $\hat{q}$ and $\lambda$. These lead, in turn, to an implicit form for $\phi(z)$ from (11).

### III. DISCUSSION

We now concentrate on solutions of (7) and (9), along with $\phi(0) = 0$, obtained numerically rather than the analytical approach of (10)–(13). The magnetic field contribution to the total energy (6) is minimized when the director is aligned in directions which are integer multiples of $\pi$ radians. Therefore, as the magnetic field strength increases and dominates elastic or weak anchoring effects, the cholesteric undergoes a series of transitions as its helix unwinds in an attempt to align with the magnetic field. Since multiple metastable states can coexist for a given $\lambda$, the pitchjumps coincide with discrete changes in the overall twist of the energy global minimizer. This is demonstrated in Figure 2 for a simple Rapini-Papoular surface energy (11). The profiles for $\phi(z)$ in each case correspond to critical values of $\lambda$ where the helix expels approximately a half (or $\pi$)-twist and aligns with the field in more of the cell. Note that only selected unwinding jumps are shown in Figure 2. Also, in the analysis that follows we refer to quarter and half-turn changes in the overall twist across the entire cell, i.e. variations in the director angle at the upper plate. In reality, these jumps will not be exact integer multiples of $\pi/2$ or $\pi$ radians, respectively, because of the weak anchoring. We can categorize each profile in Figure 2 by $n$, the number half-twists it possesses rounded to the nearest integer multiple of 0.5. For example, the pitchjump at $\lambda = 35.38$ corresponds to a transition from an $n = 10$ to an $n = 9$ state. Figure 4 shows the full cascade of transitions as the field strength increases until the cholesteric is virtually completely unwound, although a small residual twist remains at the upper surface for the $n = 0$ state due to the finite surface energy and elastic effects. As the magnetic field strength is increased even further, this residual surface twist will decrease towards zero.
FIG. 2: Unwinding of the director twist $\phi(z)$ at specific values of parameter $\lambda$ with $\rho = 0.1$ and $\zeta = 0$. In each case, the chosen $\lambda$ corresponds to a critical value where the helix expels a half-twist as the cholesteric unwinds. For each profile, $n$ represents the number half-twists rounded to the nearest integer multiple of 0.5.

FIG. 3: Azimuthal twist angles at the upper plate for variable parameter $\lambda$, with $\rho = 0.1$ and $\zeta = 0$. As the field strength increases, the global minimum energy state expels (approximate) half-twists and the cholesteric helix unwinds. The cascade of pitchjumps from $n = 4$ to $n = 0$ takes place over a very short interval when $\lambda$ is large and the helix is nearly unwound. Note, as seen in Figure 2, the twist angles at the upper surface are close but not equal to integer multiples of $\pi$ radians because of the weak anchoring condition.

The quadratic term in the surface energy (2) is minimized when the surface twist aligns at an integer multiple of $\pi$ radians, in a fashion similar to the director in the bulk of the liquid crystal cell when acted upon by the field. However, if we introduce bidirectional surface anchoring by including the quartic term in (2) for $\zeta < -1/2$, then the new intermediate surface energy minima at odd multiples of $\pi/2$ radians will compete with the magnetic field alignment. Figure 4 illustrates this for $\zeta = -1$ and contrasting values of the surface anchoring parameter $\rho$. The intermediate twist profiles correspond to $n = 9.5, 8.5$, etc. For the relatively strong anchoring condition ($\rho = 10^{-4}$), most of the intermediate metastable states act as the global energy minimizer at some stage as $\lambda$ increases. The step unwinding of the cholesteric occurs in $\pi/2$ pitchjumps until the liquid crystal is almost fully unwound, with only the final intermediate states $n = 3.5$ to $n = 0.5$ skipped when $\lambda$ is large. Significantly, for the weaker surface anchoring $\rho = 10^{-2}$, a reduced number of the intermediate twists play a role in the cascade of pitchjumps. At higher magnetic fields, the cholesteric bypasses the secondary easy axis directions and unwinds in an extended series of half instead of quarter-twist pitchjumps at the upper surface.

Figure 5 examines the influence of the anchoring strength in determining whether the director twist will bypass one or more of the intermediate metastable states as the helix unwinds. Critical values of $\lambda$ are plotted for each pitchjump transition and variable $\rho$. The branches of Figure 5 demarcate the regions in $(\lambda, \rho)$ space corresponding to the different $n$-states. For a fixed $\rho$, we can determine the sequence of unwound twists as $\lambda$ increases in a manner similar to Figures 3 and 4. We observe from Figure 5 that the intermediate states at odd multiples of $\pi/2$ radians play a diminishing role as $\rho$ increases. When the anchoring strength is relatively weak, the magnetic and bulk elastic
terms dominate the energy of the system, especially for large field strengths. Consequently, the surface energy can no longer constrain the upper surface twist to an angle close to a secondary easy axis direction. For mid-strength anchoring, quarter-turn pitchjumps may occur initially as the helix unwinds, but are bypassed at higher fields as also shown previously in Figure 4.

The surface energy term in (6) is very sensitive to the choice of \( \zeta \), as can be seen by re-expressing (6) in the form
\[
\hat{w}_s = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\phi + \frac{1}{2} (\zeta + 1) \sin^4 \phi. 
\] (14)

The first term in (14) vanishes for all easy directions \( \tilde{\phi} = k\pi/2 \ (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \). However, when combined with the \( 2\pi/\rho \)
As \( \zeta \) decreases the secondary states dominate the cholesteric transition to a planar nematic. As \( \zeta \) decreases the secondary states dominate the cholesteric transition to a planar nematic.

![FIG. 6: Regions in \((\lambda, \rho)\) space where the different \(n\)-states are the global energy minimum for (a) \(\zeta = -1.01\) and (b) \(\zeta = -1.1\).](image)

As \( \zeta \) decreases the secondary states dominate the cholesteric transition to a planar nematic.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have examined the unwinding of a planar cholesteric liquid crystal subject to bidirectional anchoring on its upper plate. By determining the states which minimize the total free energy described in terms of the director twist angle, we have modelled the unwinding of the cholesteric helix via a series of near quarter or half-turn pitchjumps depending on the choice of bidirectional coefficient. In the transition to the nematic state, a competition exists between the twist angles favoured by the magnetic field and the easy axis directions imposed by the surface potential. Secondary easy axes can influence the unwinding when the surface anchoring strength is relatively strong and when the potential is biased towards secondary twisted states via the coefficient \( \zeta \). Although not considered here, the behaviour of a cholesteric as it transitions to the nematic state could also be altered by a surface treatment which leads to non-perpendicular easy directions. Another method of controlling the nature of the helix as it unwinds could be the application of an in-plane magnetic field that is tilted with respect to the easy axes, as considered by Scarfone et al. [21] for Rapini-Papoular anchoring. For example, consider a magnetic field that is tilted at a specific angle and its strength increased until the cholesteric helix has unwound. If the tilt angle is then changed by a small amount and the field strength decreased, the helix rewinding may be characteristically different from the unwinding process because the field is more closely aligned with a different easy direction.
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