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The emerging role of circular RNAs in breast cancer
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Breast cancer (BCa) is one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers and leading cause of cancer deaths among females worldwide. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a new class of endogenous regulatory RNAs characterized by circular shape resulting from covalently closed continuous loops that are capable of regulating gene expression at transcription or post-transcriptional levels. With the unique structures, circRNAs are resistant to exonuclease RNase R and maintain stability more easily than linear RNAs. Recently, an increasing number of circRNAs are discovered and reported to show different expression in BCa and these dys-regulated circRNAs were correlated with patients' clinical characteristics and grade in the progression of BCa. CircRNAs participate in the bioprocesses of carcinogenesis of BCa, including cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell cycle, tumorigenesis, vascularization, cell invasion, migration as well as metastasis. Here we concentrated on biogenesis and function of circRNAs, summarized their implications in BCa and discussed their potential as diagnostic and therapeutic targets for BCa.

Introduction

Breast cancer (BCa) is the most common malignant tumor groups in women worldwide. Approximately 266120 new cases of BCa and 40920 BCa deaths are expected to occur among U.S. women in 2018 [1]. Despite the improved prognosis of patients with BCa resulting from early diagnosis, radical surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hormonal therapy and the development of targeted therapy, BCa remains the leading cause of cancer mortality in women [2,3]. The high mortality rates in BCa patients are associated with relapse and metastasis, which are largely unresponsive to conventional therapies. In most cases, patients with metastasis are not eligible for surgery, and chemotherapy or radiotherapy, which do not contribute significantly to cure them [4–6]. Therefore, it is important to understand the molecular pathways involved in the pathogenesis and causing metastasis and relapse of BCa. There is an imperative need for the development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for BCa based on biological and molecular mechanisms of recurrent or metastatic BCa.

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are newly classed regulatory RNA members, which are characterized by their circular shape resulting from covalently closed continuous loops, without either 5’ to 3’ polarity or polyadenylated tail [7,8]. In the last decade, circRNAs have been reported to play vital roles in the regulation of multiple diseases, including diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and malignant tumors [9]. Furthermore, growing evidence has confirmed that circRNAs are associated with multiform cancerous biological processes and play important roles in cancer progression [10,11]. For instance, circRNA ITCH (cir-ITCH) as a tumor-suppressor gene was down-regulated in glioma tissues and cell lines. The
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decreased cir-ITCH significantly promoted the capacities of glioma cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Subsequently, the gain and loss functional assays showed that cir-ITCH played an anti-oncogenic role through regulating ITCH-Wnt/β-catenin pathway and sponging miR-214. In addition, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis suggested cir-ITCH showed a relatively high diagnostic accuracy. Kaplan–Meier assay revealed that decreased levels of cir-ITCH were associated with poor prognosis of glioma patients [12]. In another study, hsa_circRNA_103809 showed overexpression in lung cancer tissues and served as a prognostic biomarker for patients with lung cancer. Further study showed that knockdown of hsa_circRNA_103809 significantly suppressed lung cancer cell proliferation and invasion by acting as a sponge of miR-4302. By sponging miR-4302, hsa_circRNA_103809 exerted its effect on lung cancer cells via facilitating ZNF121-dependent MYC expression [13]. Emerging evidence has revealed the importance of circRNAs involved in various disease states, and furthermore, dysregulated circRNAs were correlated with developmental processes of multiple cancers [14–17]. The correlations between dysregulated circRNAs and cancer patients’ clinical characteristics and circRNAs’ function indicate that circRNAs participate in various biological processes of cancer. We focused this review on biogenesis and function of circRNAs and their involvements in BCa development. In addition, we addressed the potential roles of circRNAs to be effectively used as diagnostic and/or prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets for BCa.

The discovery and biogenesis of circRNAs
Unlike classic coding RNAs, there is an enormous diversity of non-coding RNA types which are involved in the cells, and circRNAs are generally considered as a group member of this family whose discovered amounts and types show an accrescent manner [18]. Research for nearly half a century revealed that circRNAs are generally existing in nature and verified to be widely expressed, highly retained and stable in cytoplasm, resulting in the special functionalities of regulating transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene expression [19,20]. However, the development and research of circRNAs have experienced a long history. The concept of 'circRNA' was presented in the 1976 by Sanger et al. [21], who originally found that single-stranded covalently closed circRNA molecules exist in plant viroids. Later, in 1979, covalently closed RNA rings were first clearly observed in viroids through electron microscopy [22]. In the early 1990s, pre-mRNA processed endogenous circRNAs were identified in both human gene Ets-1 and mouse gene Sry [23,24]. However, circRNAs were initially regarded as a by-product of alternative splicing (AS) errors due to their low level and unknown functions [25,26]. In the last decade, with the advances in high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and bioinformatics analysis, a large number of circRNAs in humans and other eukaryotes have been identified and characterized, which gradually become a hotspot in transcriptome research [27–30].

Research indicated that circRNAs are generated through multiple mechanisms which are yet to be clearly illuminated. It is generally acknowledged that the biogenesis of circRNAs occurs during splicing, a cellular essential step that is catalyzed by either the spliceosomal machinery or by groups I and II ribozymes [31]. CircRNAs are distinct from the canonical linear RNAs because they lack the terminal structures [32]. The peculiar structures of circRNAs determine the fate of these transcripts escaping from the shearing behavior of exonucleases and remain stable [33]. Based on current knowledge, there are at least three distinct paths of circRNA generation. In the 'Intron-Driven Circulation Path', flanking intronic reverse complementary sequences can promote the circulation through alternative 5′ to 3′ splicing of nascent transcripts, and alternative formation of inverted repeated ALU pairs and the competition between them is a key factor of alternative circularization, that is why a single gene can produce multiple circRNA transcripts [30,32]. In addition, the circularization of circRNAs can be motivated by a lariat precursor containing skipped exons [34]. In this path, exon-skipping event may not occur and circRNA generation is identified as backsplicing, during which the 3′ splice acceptor (SA) of the skipped exon attacks 5′ splice donor (SD), and finally engenders a circularized exon [35]. In 'Lariat-Driven Circulation Path', the exon-skipping event during linear-RNA AS generates a lariat structure, which induces the formation of circRNAs by reverse complementary matches [30,36]. In this path, it is the ALU complementary elements that trigger the circularization and the inverted repeat sequences are necessary link [32]. Furthermore, RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) have also been reported to promote the biogenesis of circRNAs. In this path, circRNA formation can also be triggered by RBPs, and similar as in the 'Intron-Driven Circulation Path', RBPs could specifically bind with the flanking intronic motifs instead of to the intrinsic reverse complementary motifs [37].

Through above-mentioned three paths, different circRNAs could be produced, mainly classified into three types by its generation. EcircRNAs, arising from only one exon or multiple quantities of exons, make up a high proportion of circRNAs (over 80%) [38] and mostly exist in the cytoplasm [39]. This type of circRNA is formed through a shearing process called 'head-to-tail' or 'backsplicing' [29,35]. Another subset of circRNAs termed as exon–intron
circRNAs or ELciRNAs, predominantly located in the nucleus, whose circularization occurs in a form of retaining introns between exons. This pattern of circRNA formation derives from AS, which is considered as the key contributor of circRNA diversity [40,41]. Notably, another kind of circRNAs also have a preference toward nucleus localization is ciRNA. This kind of close loop structure is produced from lariat intron’s failure to debranch at the branch point site [20,42]. Although it is widely believed that exon skipping (ES) event theoretically may not occur during the process of exon circularization. Strikingly, recent in-depth investigation in biogenesis of circRNA discovered the complicated AS event, and in this process skipped circ-exon was found, accounting for 2.7~4.3% of total circRNAs [32,43,44]. To sum up, even sharing the same flanking introns, internal compositions of circRNA is variable (Figure 1).

In brief, the mechanism of circRNA biogenesis is complicated and hard to elucidate although several formation models have been proposed. Definitive explanation of how various factors regulate the circulation is not clear.

The biological function of circRNAs

CircRNAs have distinct biological functions owing to their distinctive structural characteristics and can be categorized into different classes based on their origin. Latest studies have shown that circRNAs were identified to post-transcriptionally regulate the gene expression and received considerable interest as molecular markers or potential targets [45]. Accumulated knowledge have suggested diverse possible biological functions of circRNAs. Their great diversities in biological activity specifically includes: serving as miRNA sponges, for example, Mao et al. found that circ_0068871 as an miRNA sponge could target miR-181a-5p to promote bladder cancer progressions by regulating FGFR3 expression and activating STAT3 [46–48]; binding to RBP, Abdelmohsen et al. [50] demonstrated that circPABPN1 could suppress HuR binding to PABPN1 mRNA and inhibit PABPN1 translation through binding to RBP mechanism [49]; modulating transcription of parent gene, Li et al. [40] study showed that circITGA7 could up-regulate the transcription of its parent gene ITGA7 through suppressing RREB1 via the Ras pathway [41,51], competing with linear splicing, according to Ashwal-Fluss et al. [37] research, circMbl could function in gene regulation by competing with linear splicing, and translating into protein, circβ -catenin was reported to produce a novel 370-amino
Table 1 The possible mechanisms of circRNAs

| CircRNA         | Mechanism                        | Function                                      | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| circ_0068871    | Competing endogenous RNAs        | Sponge miR-181a-5p to regulate FGFR3 expression | [48]      |
| circPABPN1      | RNA-binding protein              | Suppress HuR binding to PABPN1 mRNA           | [50]      |
| circITGA7       | Regulate parent gene             | Increase the transcription of ITGA7            | [51]      |
| circMbl         | Compete with linear mRNA         | Compete with pre-mRNA splicing                 | [37]      |
| circβ-catenin   | Translate into protein           | Produce a novel 370-amino acid β-catenin isoform | [53]      |

Figure 2. The biological function of circRNAs

(A) CircRNAs can function as miRNA sponge to compete endogenous RNA and sequester miRNAs from binding mRNA targets to influence downstream protein translation. (B) CiRNAs and ElciRNAs can bind to RNA polymerase II, and then interact with U1 snRNP and promote transcription of their parental genes. (C) CircRNAs can also act as RBP sponge to interact with RBPs, forming RNA–protein complex (RPC) to function in the assembly of RBP factories or their allosteric regulators. (D) Some circRNAs which contains the IRES could have translation potential.

Acid β-catenin isoform which could stabilize full-length β-catenin by antagonizing GSK3β-induced β-catenin phosphorylation and degradation, leading to activation of the Wnt pathway [52,53] (Table 1).

Majority of circRNAs located in the cytoplasm have shown huge miRNA-binding capacity and have been identified to function as miRNA sponges and enhance downstream gene expression by mediating miRNAs’ activities [46,54–56]. Such as CiRS-7, an earlier discovered circRNA, was revealed as an ideal molecule to act as an miR-7 sponge, containing more than 70 miRNA target sites for miR-7, thus could regulate miR-7 activities on downstream mRNA [19,57]. By systematic deep research in circRNAs, it is becoming apparent that their functions are based on their miRNA sponge ability and protein-binding properties as well as on their potential of modulating transcription and translation. Therefore, circRNAs can exert an influence both in nuclear and cytoplasmic processes [58]. CiRNAs and ElciRNAs are predominantly retained in the nucleus and they can bind to RNA polymerase II, and then interact with U1 snRNP and promote transcription of their parental genes [40,41,52]. In addition, circRNAs are also reported to work as RBP sponge to interact with RBPs or function in the assembly of RBP factories or their allosteric regulators [10,59]. Another arrestive phenomenon is that circRNAs could function as ‘mRNA trap’. As circRNAs are usually associated with transcription and processing of their parent gene, circRNAs may compete with the abundance of linear splicing [37,52,60]. Intriguingly, some circRNAs which contain the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) could have translation potential, which might be triggered under certain conditions [61,62] (Figure 2).
Table 2 Dysregulated circRNAs in BCa

| CircRNA       | Alias   | Gene symbol | Chromosome | Expression change | Function          | Possible mechanism                  | Reference |
|---------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| hsa_circ_0000911 | -       | GTPBP3      | Chr19      | Down              | Proliferation (+) | Sponge to miR-449a                  | [65]      |
| hsa_circ_0006220 | circTADA2A- E6 | TADA2A      | Chr17      | Down              | Proliferation (-) | Sponge to miR-203a-3p                | [66]      |
| hsa_circ_0141206 | circVRK1 | VRK1        | Chr14      | Down              | Stemness maintenance (-) | Sponge to miR-153                  | [71]      |
| hsa_circ_0005239 | circGFRA1 | GFRA1       | Chr10      | Up                | Proliferation (+) | Sponge to miR-34a                  | [73]      |
| hsa_circ_0005505 | circRAK3 | IRAK3       | Chr12      | Up                | Migration (↑)     | Sponge to miR-3607                  | [77]      |
| hsa_circ_0007294 | circANKS1B | ANKS1B      | Chr12      | Up                | Invasion (↑)       | Sponge to miR-148a-3p and miR-152-3p | [78]      |
| hsa_circ_000479 | circEPSTI1 | EPSTI1      | Chr12      | Up                | Proliferation (↑) | Sponge to miR-475 and miR-8829     | [79]      |
| hsa_circ_0008717 | circABCB10 | ABCB10      | Chr1       | Up                | Proliferation (↑) | Sponge to miR-1271                  | [80]      |
| hsa_circ_0005684 | circDENND4C | DENND4C     | Chr9       | Up                | Proliferation (↑) | -                                   | [85,86]  |
| hsa_circ_001982 | -       | RNF111      | Chr1       | Up                | Proliferation (↑) | -                                   | [87]      |
| hsa_circ_0008039 | -       | PRKAR1B     | Chr7       | Up                | Cell cycle (↑)    | Sponge to miR-432-5p                | [88]      |
| hsa_circ_0011946 | -       | SCM1H1      | Chr1       | Up                | Migration (↑)     | Sponge to miR-26a/b                 | [3]       |
| hsa_circ_0006528 | -       | PRELID2     | Chr5       | Up                | Adriamycin resistance (↑) | Sponge to miR-7-5p                 | [89]      |

The functional roles of circRNAs in BCa

CircRNAs can regulate gene expression regulators through different regulatory modes. CircRNAs that are closely associated with BCa have been elucidated in many studies over the last few years. In addition, these studies can be divided into two main categories: those that examine the regulatory role of circRNAs in BCa development and those that detect the different expression patterns of circRNAs to identify potential biomarkers for BCa diagnosis or molecular subtypes. The dysregulated circRNAs have been annotated by a tool named CircPrimer [63] and their expression and function in BCa are listed in Table 2.

CirRNAs and their associations with BCa

Lu et al. [64] used a bioinformatics detection tool to explore the predictive value of circRNAs in BCa. The results showed that among 1155 differentially expressed circRNAs, 440 were down-regulated and 715 were up-regulated in BCa tissues. The validation study demonstrated that hsa_circ_100219, hsa_circ_006054 and hsa_circ_406697 were down-regulated, whereas hsa_circ_104689, hsa_circ_104821 and hsa_circ_103110 levels were elevated in BCa tissues. In addition, the area under the ROC curve for distinguishing BCa was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.73–0.90) when hsa_circ_406697, hsa_circ_006054 and hsa_circ_100219 were used in combination, suggesting circRNAs can be used as biomarkers for diagnosis of BCa.

Coscujuela Tarrero et al. [14] developed a novel computational tool, named CircHunter, which allowed them to more accurately characterize circRNAs and to quantitatively evaluate their expression in publicly available RNA-Seq data from BCa cell lines and tumor tissues. They observed that the expression of nine circRNAs (circ_HIPK3_2, circ_GFRA1_5-7, circ_IGF1R_2, circ_PGR_2-7, circ_CDYL_4, circ_MANIA2_2-5, circ_NCOA3_4-9, circ_RELL1_4-6 and circ_CDH1_9-10) with patient clinical data highlighted a significant correlation between the immuno-histochemical ER status. In addition, the immuno-histochemical PR status was also correlated to circ_PGR_2-7 expression. Interestingly, the expression of circ_CDH1_9-10 and circ_RELL1_4-6 was positively correlated with lymph node invasion while circ_JGF1R_2 expression was significantly related to the mitosis score. These
results indicated that the subtype-specific circRNAs may represent the basis for development of novel biomarkers in BCa [14].

**Down-regulation of anti-cancer circRNAs in BCa**

The characteristic expression of circRNAs in BCa tissues implies that circRNAs may be involved in the development of BCa. Among them, circRNA-000911 was relatively lower in BCa cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-453, SKBR-3 and T47D) than that in normal breast cell line (MCF-10A). Gain and loss functional assays suggested that the enhanced expression of circRNA-000911 inhibited BCa cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and promoted the apoptosis of BCa cells. Mechanistic investigations suggested that circRNA-000911 could sequester miR-449a and thus activate the expression of its target genes. Subsequently, Notch1 was identified as the functional target of miR-449a, and the up-regulated circRNA-000911 in BCa elevated Notch1 expression. Furthermore, nuclear factor–κB (NF–κB) signaling was identified as a functional target of the circRNA-000911/miR-449a [65].

More and more evidence indicated that circRNAs have important roles in several diseases, especially in cancers. To identify differentially expressed circRNAs in BCa, Xu et al. [66] performed high-throughput circRNA microarray analysis and found circTADA2A-E6 (hsa_circ_0006220) was ranked in the top five down-regulated circRNAs. Down-regulation of circTADA2A-E6 was significantly related to LNM (P=0.012) and advanced TNM stages (P=0.022). Moreover, the gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies suggested that circTADA2A-E6 played an anticancer role in BCa progression and metastasis. The molecular mechanism study showed that circTADA2A-E6 could sponge miR-203a-3p to protect SOCS3 from miR-203a-3p-induced degradation [66].

Currently, accumulating evidence suggested that BCa departed from a fraction of cancer-initiating cells called cancer stem cells (CSCs) [67,68]. CSCs are considered as the cause of treatment failure and are liable for metastatic dissemination because of their capacities including self-renewal and pluripotency [69,70]. Yan et al. [71] obtained BCa stem cells (BCSCs) from MCF-7 cells through mammosphere formation. Then, RNA-sequencing and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) revealed that circRNA VRK1 (circVRK1) was down-regulated in BCSCs compared with non-BCSCs. Further study found that BCa cells showed enhanced capacities to form mammospheres and colonies after loss of circVRK1. Similarly, reduced circVRK1 increased the proportion of BCSCs with CD44+CD24− phenotype. CircRNA/miRNA interaction network showed that miR-153-5p was one of the predicted miRNA targets of circVRK1 [71]. Additionally, a previous study revealed that miR-153 was associated with the stemness maintenance of triple-negative BCa (TNBC) [72].

**Up-regulation of oncogenic circRNAs in BCa**

Currently, there is a growing body of literature showing that circRNAs are up-regulated in BCa and serve as oncogenic role in the carcinogenesis and progression of BCa. Among the overexpressed circRNAs, circGFRA1, also known as hsa_circ_005239, located at chr10:117,849, 251-117,856,275, is derived from gene GDNF family receptor α 1 (GFRA1). The expression level of circGFRA1 was positively correlated with tumor size (P=0.029), TNM staging (P<0.001), lymph node metastasis (P<0.001) and histological grade (P=0.036). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed that patients with high circGFRA1 expression level had shorter overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) than patients with low circGFRA1 expression level (P<0.01). Further studies demonstrated that knockdown of circGFRA1 impaired the proliferation potential and colony-forming ability of TNBC cells. Via luciferase reporter assays, circGFRA was observed to functionally interact with miR-34a and served as a sponge for miR-34a [73]. Previous study showed that miR-34a inhibited cancer proliferation migration, invasion, as well as suppressed CSCs self-renewal and differentiation in numerous cancers [74–76].

TNBC cells have more metastatic potential than estrogen receptor positive (ER-positive) BCa cells or normal mammary epithelial cells. CircIRAK3, also named hsa_circRNA_0005505, was derived from the IRAK3 gene and contained seven exons. The expression of circIRAK3 was elevated in TNBC cell lines and BCa tissues. Gain and loss functional studies demonstrated that circIRAK3 promoted BCa cells migration, invasion and metastasis both in vitro and in vivo. Further study identified that circIRAK3 served as a competitive inhibitor of miR-3607. Moreover, RNA-seq and bioinformatics analysis showed that forhead box C1 (FOXC1) as a target of miR-3607, was decreased in circIRAK3-silenced cells and mediated circIRAK3-induced BCa cell migration. Intriguingly, FOXC1 could directly bind to the linear IRAK3 promoter, and thus triggering a positive-feedback loop that perpetuated the circIRAK3/miR-3607/FOX1 signaling axis [77].

Accumulating evidence shows that epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is the pivotal step for BCa cells to metastasize. During EMT process, the polarity and adhesion capacity of epithelial cells gradually lose but instead of mesenchymal traits. CircANKS1B (hsa_circ_0007294) originated from exons 5 to 8 of the ANKS1B gene which was
up-regulated in TNBC compared with non-TNBC tissues and cell lines. Up-regulation of circANKS1B was closely related to LNM and advanced clinical stage.

Further studies showed that circANKS1B promoted BCa invasion and metastasis by inducing EMT. Mechanistically, miR-148a-3p and miR-152-3p were sponged by circANKS1B and then increase the level of transcription factor USF1, which could transcriptionally increase the expression of TGF-β1, resulting in the activation of TGF-β1/Smad signaling to promote EMT. Interestingly, the present study also uncovered that circANKS1B biogenesis was promoted by splicing factor ESRP1, whose expression was also regulated by USF1. In summary, ESRP1/circANKS1B/miR-148a/152-3p/USF1 regulatory circuit was associated with EMT via the TGF-β1 signaling pathway, thereby promoting invasion and metastasis of BCa) [78].

In the current study, the expression of circEPSTI1 (hsa_circRNA_0000479) was also significantly up-regulated in TNBC tissues. Knockdown of circEPSTI1 inhibits TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231, BT549 and MDA-MB-468) proliferation and induces apoptosis. Furthermore, the MRE analysis and luciferase reporter assay demonstrated that circEPSTI1 binds to miR-4753 and miR-6809 as an miRNA sponge to regulate BCL11A expression and then affect TNBC cells proliferation and apoptosis. Thus, the circEPSTI1-miR-4753/6809-BCL11A axis influences the proliferation and apoptosis of TNBC through the mechanism of ceRNA [79].

Circ_ABCB10 (hsa_circ_008717), derived from the ABCB10 gene, is located at chr1:229665943-229678118 with a length of 724 nt. It was identified to be significantly up-regulated in BCa tissues compared with adjacent non-cancerous tissues and the increased circ_ABCB10 was correlated with tumor size. Further studies demonstrated that knockdown of circ_ABCB10 suppressed the proliferation and increased apoptosis of BCa cells. Bioinformatics analysis predicted that eight nucleotides of miR-1271 could complementarily combine with circ_ABCB10 and the following luciferase reporter assay revealed the direct binding of miR-1271 targeting circ-ABC10. MiR-1271 expression was significantly decreased in several BCa cell lines, particularly in MCF-7 cells. The rescue experiments demonstrated miR-1271 could reverse the function of circ_ABCB10 in MCF-7 cells. Moreover, cycle analysis and apoptosis assay showed that decreased miR-1271 rescued the suppression role of si-circ_ABCB10 [80].

Hypoxia level is reported to be positively correlated to prognosis of patients with cancer. A vast body of evidence has shown that hypoxia plays a key role in regulating proliferation of BCa cells [81,82]. To adapt to hypoxia stress, cancer cells respond by increasing the level of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) which works as a transcription factor and regulates transcriptions of coding genes [83,84]. CircDENND4C was identified as a hypoxia-associated circRNA in BCa cells, as its expression was enhanced after hypoxia induction and restrained after knocking down HIF1α. CCK8 assay showed that knocking down circDENND4C inhibited proliferation of BCa cells in a hypoxic environment. In addition, clinical analyses suggested that circDENND4C level was associated with tumor size ($P < 0.0001$) [85,86]. All these results suggested that circDENND4C as an HIF1α-associated circRNA could promote the proliferation of BCa cells under hypoxia and may be a novel biomarker for HIF1α in predicting the clinical impact of BCa.

Hsa_circ_0001982 is derived from the RNF111 gene and is located at chr1:173833934-173836181. The expression of hsa_circ_0001982 was markedly over-expressed in BCa tissues and cell lines. Bioinformatics analysis and dual-luciferase reporter assay verified that miR-143 acted as a target of hsa_circ_0001982. Moreover, loss-of-function and rescue experiments revealed that knockdown of hsa_circ_0001982 restrained the proliferation and clone formation number of BCa cell, and miR-143 inhibitor powerfully recovered them. Furthermore, knockdown of hsa_circ_0001982 inhibited BCa cell invasion and facilitated apoptosis, nevertheless, being rescued by miR-143 inhibitor, which suggested that hsa_circ_0001982 may function as a sponge of miR-143, and may serve as a potential therapeutic target to reduce BCa tumor growth [87].

Hsa_circ_0008039 was significantly up-regulated in BCa tissues compared with adjacent non-tumor tissues. Functional experiments suggested that hsa_circ_0008039-depleted cells were arrested in G0/G1 phase while less cells entered S phase. Moreover, down-regulated hsa_circ_0008039 led to reduced migration in BCa cells. Mechanistic investigations revealed that hsa_circ_0008039 served as a ceRNA and contained two potential binding sites of miR-432-5p. The level of miR-432-5p was inversely correlated with that of hsa_circ_0008039 in BCa tissues. Subsequently, E2F3 was identified as a target of miR-432-5p and up-regulated hsa_circ_0008039 elevated E2F3 expression in BCa cells. The restoration of E2F3 expression could attenuate the inhibitory effects of hsa_circ_0008039 knockdown on BCa cells proliferation and migration [88].

Hsa_circ_0011946 had an obviously higher expression in BCa tissues compared with corresponding adjacent non-cancerous tissues. More importantly, hsa_circ_0011946 was stably expressed in the majority of BCa cell lines. Out of these, cellular function experiments were used to distinguish the effects of hsa_circ_0011946 and down-regulation of hsa_circ_0011946 was verified to suppress the migration and invasion of the BCa cells. The subsequent bioinformatics analysis predicted that hsa_circ_0011946 acted as a sponge of miR-26a/b, which could directly target replication
factor C subunit 3 (RFC3). Furthermore, knockdown of hsa_circ_0011946 could inhibit RFC3 expression and significantly suppressed the migration and invasion of MCF-7 cells [3].

Adriamycin (ADM) is a chemotherapeutic drug for the clinical treatment of BCA. The efficacy of ADM in the treatment of BCA is reduced by drug resistance. Hsa_circ_0006528 expression levels in the ADM-resistant cell lines and tissues were higher than those in corresponding ADM-sensitive groups. Moreover, the down-regulated hsa_circ_0006528 significantly increased the sensitivity of ADM-resistant cell lines to ADM. Further verification revealed that hsa_circ_0006528 was negatively correlated with miR-7-5p expression in ADM-resistant BCA cells. The further experimental results showed that the mRNA and protein levels of Raf1 were decreased after knocking down hsa_circ_0006528 in ADM-resistant BCA cell lines and the levels of Raf1 were increased when miR-7–5p was restrained [89]. These results revealed that hsa_circ_0006528 played a role in ADM-resistant BCA and might be a promising strategy for overcoming ADM-resistance in BCA.

The cases described above suggest that up-regulated oncogenic circRNAs could promote BCA progression and result in poor prognosis of BCA patients. In this context, it might be important to determine how oncogenic circRNAs lead to the lost control of proliferation, malignant growth, invasion and metastasis of BCA. It is possible that through change asymmetric distribution of the cancer-promoting circRNAs in BCA cells may result in inhibition of BCA progression.

Conclusions and perspectives
Accumulating evidences demonstrated that circRNAs were dysregulated in cancer tissues, and were correlated with carcinogenesis, progression and clinicopathological features in BCA patients. CircRNAs possess significant pre- and post-transcriptional regulatory functions in mammalian cells. By functioning as regulators of gene expression, circRNAs participate in cancer cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis, chemoresistance and contribute to BCA progression. Although, the biogenesis of circRNAs is very slow in the cells, owing to the absence of free ends, which leads to their inaccessibility to exonucleases, circRNAs can exist highly stably and extremely resistant to degradation [90]. Resistance to exonucleases also makes them long living in the extracellular environment. Thus, identification of dysregulated circRNAs in body fluids may be beneficial for non-invasive BCA diagnosis. Notably, mounting evidence depict the systematic profiling and characterization of circRNA expression pattern in different subtypes of BCA). The subtype-specific set of circRNA may be used for distinguishing the tumor subtypes, thus suggesting that circRNAs can be exploited as novel molecular biomarkers and even drug targets for BCA. However, the research on circRNA is still in its infancy and a large number of questions concerning their biological functions are waiting to be investigated. The biogenesis, degradation, cellular locations and mechanisms of action of circRNAs are still needed to be elucidated [91]. Furthermore, it is not clear yet what is the exact contribution of circRNAs to BCA generation and progression. This is not easy, as circRNAs do not seem to act through a common mechanism, but have various molecular modes of action. Although most of the recent studies focus on the miRNA sponging effect of circRNAs, in fact the suggested function of circRNAs as ceRNAs, which represents a possibility, but certainly not the only one. Most importantly, some studies did not prove in a correct way. For example, some data were obtained using poly(A)-selected RNA which almost did not contain circRNAs. Instead, linear RNA digestion with exonuclease R or poly(A)+ RNA depletion should be employed as enrichment strategy to detect circRNAs [15,92].

In summary, the advances in the field of circRNAs research will be important to unravel their potential significance in BCA. Further understanding of the association between circRNAs and BCA would make circRNAs promising candidate not only as valuable biomarkers for BCA but also as potential targets or drugs in BCA therapy.
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