The $p_{x,y}$-orbital counterpart of graphene: cold atoms in the honeycomb optical lattice
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We study the ground state properties of the interacting spinless fermions in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital bands in the two dimensional honeycomb optical lattice, which exhibit different novel features from those in the $p$-orbital system of graphene. In addition to two dispersive bands with Dirac cones, the tight-binding band structure exhibits another two completely flat bands over the entire Brillouin zone. With the realistic sinusoidal optical potential, the flat bands acquire a finite but much smaller band width compared to the dispersive bands. The band flatness dramatically enhanced interaction effects giving rise to various charge and bond ordered states at commensurate fillings of $n = \frac{i}{6}$ $(i = 1 \sim 6)$. At $n = \frac{1}{2}$, the many-body ground states can be exactly solved as the close packed hexagon states which can be stabilized even in the weakly interacting regime. The dimerization of bonding strength occurs at both $n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{5}{2}$, and the latter case is accompanied with the charge density wave of holes. The trimerization of bonding strength and charge inhomogeneity appear at $n = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$. These crystalline orders exhibit themselves in the noise correlations of the time of flight spectra.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There has been tremendous progress during the past decade in the cold atom physics. In the early days, Bose-Einstein condensation was first realized in magnetic traps by using dilute alkali atoms $^1, ^2$, where interaction effects are weak. Later on, important achievements have been made to realize strongly correlated systems by using optical lattices. The major advantage of optical lattices is the excellent controllability of interaction strength. For example, the superfluid to Mott insulator transition of bosons has been experimentally observed $^3$. Recently, cold atom physics in optical lattices is merging with condensed matter physics, which provides a wonderful opportunity to explore new states of matter.

An important aspect of strongly correlated systems is orbital physics, which studies an additional degree of freedom independent of charge and spin. In many transition metal oxides, the $d$-orbitals are partially filled, which enables the orbital degree of freedom active. Orbital physics is characterized by orbital degeneracy and spatial anisotropy of orbital orientation. The interplay between orbital, spin and charge degrees of freedom gives rise to many interesting phenomena such as metal-insulator transitions, superconductivity, and colossal magneto-resistance $^4, ^5, ^6$.

Orbital degrees of freedom also exist in optical lattices. Although most of current research of cold fermions and bosons focuses on the lowest $s$-orbital bands, large progress has been made in high orbital bands. An important advantage of optical lattices is the rigidity of lattices. They are free of the Jahn-Teller type lattice distortion which often occurs in transition metal oxides and quench the orbital degrees of freedom. Orbital physics in optical lattices exhibits new features which are not usually realized in solid state systems. Recently, the properties of bosons in the first excited $p$-orbital bands have been attracting a great deal of attention $^7, ^8, ^9, ^10, ^11, ^12, ^13, ^14$. Scarola et al. proposed to realize the supersolid state by using bosons in the high orbitals to generate the next-nearest neighbor interaction $^7$. Isacsson et al. investigated the sub-extensive $Z_2$ symmetry of the $p$-orbital bosons in the square lattice and its consequential nematic superfluidity $^8$. Liu and Wu $^9$, and Kuklov $^{10}$ studied the antiferromagnetic ordering of the on-site orbital angular momentum moment. It was also proposed in Ref. $^9$ to enhance the life-time of $p$-orbital bosons by using a Bose-Fermi mixture to reduce the available phase space of decay process of bosons. Wu et al. $^{11}$ further investigated the superfluid and Mott insulating states of $p$-orbital bosons in the frustrated triangular lattice, and found a novel stripe phase of orbital angular momentum. Xu et al. studied a model of bond algebraic liquid phase $^{12}$ and phase transitions in anisotropic $xy$-models $^{13}$ in the context in the $p$-orbital boson systems.

On the experimental side, the progress of orbital physics with cold atoms has also been truly exciting, which opens up the new opportunity to study orbital physics. Browaeys et al. $^{15}$ and Köhl et al. $^{16}$ have demonstrated the population of high orbital bands with both bosons and fermions. Furthermore, Sebby-Strabley et al. $^{17}$ have successfully pumped bosons into the excited bands in the double-well lattice. More recently, an exciting progress has been made by Mueller et al. $^{18}$ to realize the meta-stable $p$-orbital boson systems by using the stimulated Raman transition to pump bosons to high orbital bands. The spatially anisotropic phase coherence pattern has been observed in the time of flight experiments. This opens up a new experimental direction to investigate novel condensate of bosons in the excited $p$-bands.

On the other hand, fermions in the $p$-orbital bands also possess interesting behaviors $^{19, 20, 21, 22}$. Re-
ently, Wu et al. [19] studied the flat band structure in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital physics in the honeycomb lattice. Compared to the $p_z$-orbital system of graphene, which has been attracting tremendous attention since the discovery of quantum Hall effect therein [23, 24, 25], the $p_{x,y}$-orbital honeycomb systems exhibit new and even richer physics. In graphene, the active bands near the Fermi energy are "$\pi$"-type, composed of the $p_z$-orbital directly normal to the graphene plane, thus graphene is not a good system to investigate orbital physics. In contrast, it is the other two $p$-orbitals ($p_{x,y}$) that lie in-plane and exhibit both orbital degeneracy and spatial anisotropy, giving rise to the interesting flat band physics [19]. In solid state systems of graphene and MgB$_2$, $p_{x,y}$-orbitals hybridize with the $s$-orbital, resulting the $\sigma$-bonding (the $sp^2$ hybridization) band. This $\sigma$-band is fully filled and inert in graphene, but is partly filled and contributes to the two-band superconductivity in MgB$_2$ [26]. Due to the large $s$-orbital component, the essential feature of orbital physics, orbital anisotropy, is not prominent in these two systems. In contrast, the $p_{x,y}$-orbital bands in optical lattices are well separated from the $s$-band with negligible hybridization, providing an unique opportunity to study the pure $p_{x,y}$-orbital physics in the honeycomb lattice. This research will provide us another perspective in the honeycomb lattice and is complementary to the recent research focus on the single band system of graphene. Other works of the $p$-orbital fermions include the investigation of orbital exchange physics in the Mott-insulating states finding various orbital ordering and frustration behavior [20, 22], and the study of the possibility to enhance the antiferromagnetic ordering of fermions in the $p$-orbital of 3D cubic lattices [21].

Interaction effects in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital honeycomb optical lattices can be much stronger that those in the $p_z$-orbital graphene systems. In real graphene the dimensionless coupling constant $r_s = e^2/(\epsilon \hbar v)$ has a maximum value of 2.3 in vacuum (and $r_s > 1$ for the current available graphene samples on SiO$_2$ or SiC substrates), taking $v = 10^6$ cm/sec. Thus graphene is very far from the $r_s = 39$ regime needed for Wigner crystallization [27]. Much of graphene interaction physics is described by perturbative weak-coupling renormalizations of the quasiparticle spectral function, as shown both theoretically and experimentally [28, 29, 30]. Furthermore, real graphene physics is complicated by electron-phonon interactions [31]. In contrast, in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital honeycomb lattices systems, the flat band quenches the kinetic energy, and thus interaction physics is non-perturbative and generic, leading to qualitatively new orbital physics phenomena, e.g. Wigner-Mott physics, can show up easily [19].

This paper works as an expanded version of a previous publication of Ref. [19], with new results and all the theoretical details of the behavior of spinless fermions in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital bands in the honeycomb lattice. The current work is motivated by considerations of using the optical lattices to go beyond what can be achieved in solid state systems, i.e. obtain exotic strongly correlated orbital quantum phases which have not yet been studied in condensed matter physics. The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. III we analyze the band structures in both the simplified tight-binding model and the realistic optical potential constructed from three co-planar laser beams [32]. The band structures contain both Dirac cones in two dispersive bands, and other two nearly flat bands over the entire Brillouin zone (BZ) whose flatness becomes exact if the $\pi$-bonding is neglected. Special attention is paid for the orbital configurations of the localized Wannier-like eigen-functions in the flat bands, and also at the Dirac points. In Sect. III the interacting Hamiltonian is introduced and methods of enhancing the Hubbard-like on-site interaction are proposed. In Sect. IV the interaction effect in the partially-filled flat band is discussed. The situation is somewhat analogous to that in the fractional quantum Hall effect of electrons in the lowest Landau level. When the flat band is partially-filled, the effects of interactions are entirely non-perturbative. We obtain the exact many-body plaquette Wigner crystal state at filling $\langle n_i \rangle = \frac{1}{3}$, which is the close packed hexagon state and is stable even in the weak interaction regime. In Sect. V we present various charge and bond ordered states, including dimerized and trimerized states at higher commensurate fillings in the strong interaction regime. In Sect. VI the noise correlation in the time of flight experiments are discussed. Conclusions and outlook for the future research are discussed in Sect. VII.

II. $p_{x,y}$-ORBITAL BAND STRUCTURE IN THE HONEYCOMB LATTICE

In this section, we will give a detailed analysis to the $p_{x,y}$-orbital band structure in the 2D honeycomb lattice which is featured by the interesting properties of both flat bands and Dirac cones. We will first discuss the experimental construction of such a lattice and then solve the band structure by using both the simplified tight-binding model and the realistic sinusoidal optical potential.

A. Construction of the optical honeycomb lattice

The honeycomb optical lattice was realized experimentally by using three laser beams with co-planar propagating wavevectors $\vec{q}_i (i = 1 \sim 3)$ quite some time ago [32]. The magnitudes of these wavevectors are the same and their directions form the angle of 120° with each other. Assuming the polarization of the electric fields of the three beams are all along the $z$-direction, the optical potential distribution can be expressed as

$$ V(\vec{r}) = V_0 \sum_{i=1,2,3} \cos(\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{r}), $$

where $\vec{p}_1 = \vec{q}_2 - \vec{q}_3$, $\vec{p}_2 = \vec{q}_3 - \vec{q}_1$, and $\vec{p}_3 = \vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2$. In the case of blue detuning, $V_0$ is positive and the potential
The optical potential around the center of each site is approximately an anisotropic harmonic well. We assume that the vibration frequencies along the $x$, $y$, and $z$-directions satisfy $\omega_x \gg \omega_y = \omega_z$, and thus the energy of the $p_x$-orbital is much higher than that of the $p_{x,y}$-orbital bands. When the lowest $s$-band is fully filled and thus inert, the active orbital bands will be of the $p_{x,y}$-type. Due to the spatial orientation of the $p$-orbitals, the hopping processes in the $p$-orbitals can be classified into the $\sigma$ and $\pi$-type bondings, respectively. The former describes the hopping between $p$-orbitals on neighboring sites with the orientation along the bond direction, while the latter describes the hopping between $p$-orbitals perpendicular to the bond direction. In other words, the $\sigma$-bonding is of the “head to tail” type, while the $\pi$-bonding is of the “shoulder by shoulder” type. Typically, the amplitude of the $\pi$-bonding is much smaller than that of the $\sigma$-bonding because of the strong orientational anisotropy.

The structure of the honeycomb lattice is depicted in Fig. 2A. Each unit cell in the honeycomb lattice contains two sites depicted as $A$ and $B$. We define three unit vectors from site $A$ to its three neighbouring sites $B$ as

\[ \hat{e}_{1,2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \hat{e}_x + \frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_y, \quad \hat{e}_3 = -\hat{e}_y, \]

and their differences $\hat{b}_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk}(\hat{e}_j - \hat{e}_k)$ as

\[ \hat{b}_3 = \sqrt{3} \hat{e}_x, \quad \hat{b}_{1,2} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \hat{e}_x \pm \frac{3}{2} \hat{e}_y. \]

The projections of the $p$-orbitals along the $\hat{e}_{1,2,3}$ directions are defined as

\[ p_{1,2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} p_x + \frac{1}{2} p_y, \quad \quad p_3 = -p_y. \]

Only two of them are linearly independent. In the realistic optical potential depicted in Fig. 3A, the potential distribution inside each optical site is only approximately isotropic in the $xy$-plane. Away from the center, the potential exhibits a 3-fold rotational anisotropy. The point group symmetry with respect to the center of each site is reduced into $C_{3V}$ including the 3-fold rotation and reflection. Nevertheless, as required by this symmetry, $p_{x,y}$ remain degenerate and each of $p_{1,2,3}$ defined above is still the on-site eigenstates with the orientation along the corresponding bond direction. But they are no longer purely parity odd due to the breaking of the inversion symmetry with respect to the center of each optical site. (The overall inversion symmetry with respect to the center of the each potential maximum is still preserved, but this involves the transformation among different sites.)
The \( \sigma \)-bonding part in the kinetic energy reads
\[
H_0 = t_{\parallel} \sum_{\vec{r} \in A, i=1 \rightarrow 3} \{ p_{\vec{r},i}^\dagger p_{\vec{r}+\hat{e}_i,i} + h.c. \} - \mu \sum_{\vec{r} \in A \oplus B} n_{\vec{r}}, \tag{5}
\]
where the summation over \( \vec{r} \) in the first term is only on the \( A \) sublattice, \( \sigma \) is the nearest neighbor distance, and \( n_{\vec{r}} = n_{\vec{r},x} + n_{\vec{r},y} \) is the total particle number in both \( p_x \) and \( p_y \) orbitals at the site \( \vec{r} \). \( t_{\parallel} \) is positive due to the dominant odd parity component of the \( p \)-orbitals and is set to 1 below. Eq. 5 neglects the much smaller \( \pi \)-bonding \( t_{\perp} \) terms which in principle exist, and their effects will be discussed in Sect. 4.

Next we discuss the spectrum of the tight-binding Hamiltonian Eq. 5. In momentum space, we define a four-component spinor as
\[
\psi(\vec{k}) = (p_{Ax}(\vec{k}), p_{Ay}(\vec{k}), p_{Bx}(\vec{k}), p_{By}(\vec{k}))^T, \tag{6}
\]
where each component is the Fourier transform of the \( p_{x,y} \)-orbit in site \( A \) or \( B \). Then Eq. 5 becomes
\[
H_0 = t_{\parallel} \sum_{\vec{k}} \psi_{\alpha}(\vec{k}) \left\{ H_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) - i \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right\} \psi_{\beta}(\vec{k}), \tag{7}
\]
where the matrix kernel \( H_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) \) takes the structure as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 3 e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_1} + e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_1} - e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1/4 (e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_1} + e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{e}_2}) & 0 \\
h.c.
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are determined by \( t_{\parallel} \). We construct a new set of basis which are orthogonal to \( \psi_{1,4}(\vec{k}) \) and span the subspace for the \( E_{2,3} \) bands as
\[
\phi(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_0}} \begin{cases} f_{12}(\vec{k}), & f_{23}(\vec{k}) - f_{31}(\vec{k}), \ 0, \ 0 \end{cases}, \quad \phi'(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_0}} \begin{cases} 0, \ 0, \ f_{12}^*(\vec{k}), \ f_{23}(\vec{k}) - f_{31}^*(\vec{k}) \end{cases}. \tag{11}
\]
Then the Hamiltonian becomes the same as in graphene
\[
H_{23}(\vec{k}) = -\frac{t_{\parallel}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_i e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{b}_i} \\
\sum_i e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{b}_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}
\]
Two Dirac cones appear at \( K_{1,2} = (\pm \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}, 0) \). The eigenvectors of the bands \( E_{2,3}(\vec{k}) \) read
\[
\psi_{2,3}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\phi(\vec{k})}{|\phi(\vec{k})|^2} \pm e^{i\theta_k} \phi'(\vec{k}) \right\}, \tag{13}
\]
with the angle of \( \theta_k \)
\[
\theta_k = \arg(\sum_i e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{b}_i}). \tag{14}
\]

C. The localized eigenstates

The complete flatness of the \( E_{1,4} \) bands means that these eigenstates can be represented as linear superposition of a set of degenerate localized states. The construction of these localized state is depicted in Fig. 4. For each hexagon plaquette denoted by its center position \( \vec{R} \), there exists one such eigenstate for the bottom band \( E_1 \)
\[
|\psi_{\vec{R}}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{6} (-j)^{-1} \left\{ \cos \theta_j |p_{j,x}\rangle - \sin \theta_j |p_{j,y}\rangle \right\}, \tag{15}
\]
where \( j \) is the site index and \( \theta_j = (j - 1)\frac{2\pi}{N} \). The localized eigenstates of the \( E_4 \) band can be obtained by flipping the signs of the \( p \)-orbits on sites 2, 4 and 6 and keep those on sites 1, 3 and 5 unchanged. The \( p \)-orbital configuration on each site is perpendicular to the hexagonal loop, thus the \( \sigma \)-bonding forbids the particle to directly hop outside through these links. Furthermore, the amplitudes for the particle to hop to the \( p \)-orbital in the radial direction from the neighbouring sites vanish due to the destructive interference as shown in Fig. 4. The particle is trapped in the plaquette without “leaking” to outside, and thus \( |\psi_R\rangle \) is the eigenstate with the energy of \( E_1 \). The states \( |\psi_R\rangle \) are all linearly-independent apart from one overall constraint \( \sum_R |\psi_R\rangle = 0 \) under periodic boundary conditions. The localized states on two neighbouring edge-sharing plaquettes are not orthogonal to each other.

The Bloch wave states in the flat band \( E_1 \) are constructed as

\[
|\psi_{1,k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_k}} \sum_k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_k} |\psi_{\vec{R}}\rangle \quad (\vec{k} \neq (0, 0)).
\]

The doubly degenerate eigenstate at \( \vec{k} = (0, 0) \) cannot be constructed from the above plaquette states. They are \( |\psi_{\vec{k}=(0,0)}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{r} \in A} |p_{x(y)}\rangle_{\vec{r}} - \sum_{\vec{r} \in B} |p_{x(y)}\rangle_{\vec{r}} \).

D. Orbital configuration at \( \vec{k} = (0, 0) \) and \( K_{1,2} \)

The major difference between the physics of \( p_{x,y} \)-orbital bands and that of graphene is the orbital degree of freedom. The orbital configuration for each band varies as lattice momentum \( \vec{k} \) changes in the Brillouin zone. Around the center of the Brillouin zone \( \vec{k} = (0, 0) \), the Hamiltonian can be expanded as

\[
H = \frac{3}{2} \tau_1 \otimes I - \frac{3}{4} k_y \tau_2 \otimes \sigma_3 - \frac{3}{4} k_x \tau_2 \otimes \sigma_1,
\]

where Pauli matrices \( \sigma_{1,2,3} \) describe the \( p_{x,y} \)-orbital degrees of freedom, and \( \tau_{1,2,3} \) describes the sublattices \( A,B \) degrees of freedom. The eigenvectors of \( \psi_{1,2,3,4} \) can be approximated as

\[
\psi_{1,4}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{k}|}} \left\{ -k_y, k_x, \mp k_y, \mp k_x \right\},
\]

\[
\psi_{2,3}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\vec{k}|}} \left\{ k_x, k_y, \mp k_x, \mp k_y \right\}.
\]

Thus around \( \vec{k} = (0, 0) \), the orbital configuration is polar-like, i.e., a real combination of \( p_{x,y} \). The orbital orientation in each site is either parallel or perpendicular to \( \vec{k} \).

Now let us investigate the orbital configurations around the vertices of \( K_{1,2} \) of the Brillouin zone. Around \( K_1 \), the Hamiltonian can be expanded as

\[
H(\vec{k}) = -\frac{3}{8} \Delta k_x \tau_1 \otimes I + \frac{3}{4} \Delta k_y \tau_2 \otimes I

\]

\[
- (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{8} \Delta k_x) \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_3 - \frac{3}{8} \Delta k_y \tau_2 \otimes \sigma_3

\]

\[
- \frac{3}{8} \Delta k_y \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_1 - (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{8} \Delta k_x) \tau_2 \otimes \sigma_1.
\]

(19)

Similarly, the eigenvectors of the dispersive bands \( E_{2,3} \) are approximated as

\[
\psi_{1,4}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{g_+(\vec{k})}{2}, -i[1 - \frac{1 - g_+(\vec{k})}{2}] \right\},

\]

\[
\pm [1 + \frac{g_-(\vec{k})}{2}, i[1 - \frac{1 - g_-(\vec{k})}{2}] \right\}^T.
\]

(20)

Similarly, the eigenvectors of the dispersive bands \( E_{2,3} \) are approximated as

\[
\psi_{2,3}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{g_-(\vec{k})}{2}, i[1 + \frac{1 + g_-(\vec{k})}{2}] \right\},

\]

\[
\pm e^{i\alpha_k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{g_+(\vec{k})}{2}, -i[1 + \frac{1 + g_+(\vec{k})}{2}] \right\}^T.
\]

(21)
The configuration at \( \vec{k} = (0, 0) \). The orbital configuration at \( \vec{k} = \vec{K}_2 \) can be obtained by performing time reversal transformation.

E. \( \pi \)-bonding term and other perturbations

The \( \pi \)-bonding term in principle exists in the realistic optical lattices. We define the projections of \( p_{x,y} \)-orbitals perpendicular to the \( \hat{e}_{1,2,3} \) directions as

\[
p_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} p_x \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} p_y, \quad p_3 = p_x.
\]

The \( \pi \)-bonding term can be written as

\[
H_{\pi} = -t_\perp \sum_{r \in A, i = 1 \sim 3} \{ p_r^i \hat{p}_r^{i+1} \hat{e}_{i,i+1} + h.c. \}.
\]

Please note that the hopping integral of the \( \pi \)-bonding has the opposite sign to that of the \( \sigma \)-bonding. In this case, the bottom and top bands \( E_{1,4} \) are no longer rigorously flat but develops a narrow width \( 3t_\perp \) as depicted in Fig. 6. The \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) bands still touch at the center of the Brillouin zone. This can be understood from the structure of the localized eigenstates in Fig. 4. The \( \pi \)-bonding term causes the particle leaking off the plaquette and thus correspondingly develops the band width. Nevertheless we will show in Sect. III D that in the realistic optical potential that such an effect is negligibly small.

Next we discuss the case that the \( A \) and \( B \) sites are with different on-site potentials. In the graphene-like systems, this corresponds to a mass term in the Dirac point. In the \( p_{x,y} \)-orbital systems, such a term can be described as

\[
H = \Delta E \left\{ \sum_{r \in A} n_r - \sum_{r \in B} n_r \right\}.
\]

The spectrum is depicted in Fig. 7 with the opening of a gap of \( \Delta E \) in the Dirac points as usual. Interestingly, the flat band feature remains unchanged. This can be understood in terms of the localized eigenstate picture. In this case, the localized eigenstates of the \( E_1 \) band still possess a similar configuration as in Fig. 4 but their wavefunctions distribute with different weights on \( A \) and \( B \) sublattices.

F. Band structure from the continuum optical potential

We numerically calculate the band structure in the realistic optical potential of Eq. 1. The band Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_0 \sum_{i=1 \sim 3} \cos(\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{r}).
\]

Since this is a non-singular sinusoidal potential, we use the plane wave basis to calculate the matrix elements \( \langle \vec{k}' | H | \vec{k} \rangle \) where \( \vec{k}' = \vec{k} \pm \vec{p}_i (i = 1 \sim 3) \). For each \( \vec{k} \) in the Brillouin zone, we truncate the matrix up to 120 plane-wave basis, which should be sufficient for the lowest several bands.

The band dispersions along the path from \( O \) to \( K_1 \), \( M \) and \( K_2 \) are depicted in Fig. 8. The locations of \( O, K_{1,2}, M \) in the Brillouin zone are depicted in Fig. 2. The lowest two bands are of the \( s \)-orbital exhibiting Dirac cones at \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \). The next four are of the \( p_{x,y} \)-orbitals. The band flatness is largely preserved even with the realistic optical potential of Eq. 1. In Fig. 8A with \( V/E_r = 5 \), the bottom one of the four \( p \)-orbital bands is nearly flat with the width of \( 7 \times 10^{-3} E_r \) which is only 2% of that of the second one which is 0.35\( E_r \). The width of the top band is \( 4 \times 10^{-2} E_r \) which is still small but considerably larger than that of the bottom one. The third band is the widest one with the width of 0.62\( E_r \). As we can see, the particle-hole symmetry in the tight-binding model is no longer kept because of the unavoidable hybridization with other bands and long range hoppings. The spectra become more symmetric with a strong optical potential.
as shown in Fig. 8B \((V/E_r = 10)\) in which the tight-binding model is a better approximation and long range hoppings can be neglected. The widths of the beginning six bands (the \(s\) and \(p_{x,y}\)-orbital bands) as a function of the \(V_0/E_r\) are depicted in Fig. 9.

### III. INTERACTIONS IN THE \(p_{x,y}\)-ORBITAL SPINLESS FERMIONS

In the following, we will mainly consider interacting spinless fermions in the \(p_{x,y}\)-orbital bands in the honeycomb lattices, and leave the research for spinful fermions in future publications. The preparation of spinless fermions can be controlled by cooling the system in the external Zeeman field. Due to the lack of spin relaxation mechanism in cold atom systems, the system will remain in the spin polarized state. The spinless fermions have been realized in many experiments. In particular, the strongly correlated polarized spinless fermion systems have been realized by using the \(p\)-wave Feshbach resonance \([33, 34, 35, 50]\). Therefore, in contrast to solid state electronic systems, where spin is almost always an important quantum dynamical variable, the cold atom fermionic systems created by Feshbach resonance, can be prepared as spinless (i.e. spin polarized), and consequently, our current spinless theory applies to such systems without any modifications. Of course, the problem of creating a laboratory \(p_{x,y}\) orbital graphene system in cold atomic gases still remains, but given the rapid current experimental developments in fermionic cold atom matter, we are optimistic that our proposed system should soon be realized in practice.

Because of the orbital degeneracy, the on-site interaction for spinless fermions remains Hubbard-like

\[
H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_{\mathbf{r}} n_{\mathbf{r}s,x} n_{\mathbf{r}s,y},
\]

where the on-site interaction \(U\) is

\[
U = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \left( \psi_{p_x}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{p_y}(\mathbf{r}_2) \right)^2 - \left( \psi_{p_x}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{p_y}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{p_x}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{p_y}(\mathbf{r}_2) \right).
\]

Due to Paul’s exclusion principle, the \(s\)-wave scattering vanishes, and thus the \(p\)-wave scattering is the leading order contribution which is typically weak for low energy particles. The \(p\)-band fermions have high kinetic energy, and thus their \(p\)-wave scattering might not be small. To enhance \(U\), we can use the \(p\)-wave Feshbach resonances among spinless fermions (e.g. \(^{40}\)K \([33, 50]\), \(^{6}\)Li \([35]\)). Although we do not want the system staying too close to the resonance because of the large atom loss rate there, an enhancement of \(U\) to the order of the recoil energy \(E_R\) while maintaining the stability of the system is still reasonable.

Another possible method is to use atoms with large magnetic moments which interact through magnetic dipole-dipole interactions as

\[
V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{1}{r^3} \left\{ \mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{m}_2 - 3(\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\mathbf{m}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \right\},
\]
where $r = |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|$ and $\hat{r} = (\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2)/r$. The fermionic atom of $^{53}$Cr is a good candidate whose magnetic moment is $m_{Cr} = 6\mu_B$ (Bohr magneton). The spin polarization can be controlled by an external magnetic field. Below we give an estimation of $U$ from the magnetic dipole interaction. The vibration frequency in each site can be obtained as $\omega_{x,y} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a} V_0 E_r}$. The length scale of the $p_{x,y}$-orbitals ($l_{x,y} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{x,y}}$) is typically one order smaller than $a$. For example, we estimate that $l_{x,y} / a \approx 0.2$ at $V_0/E_r = 5$. Assuming strong confinement in the $z$-axis $l_z \ll l_{x,y}$, the vector $\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$ linking two atoms in $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbits almost lies in the $xy$-plane. When the fermion spin is polarized along the $z$-axis, the interaction is repulsive and $U$ can be approximately estimated as

$$U \approx \frac{m_{Cr}^2}{r^3} (1 - 3\cos^2 \theta), \quad (29)$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $\hat{r}_1 - \hat{r}_2$ and the $z$-axis. We estimate $\langle \hat{r} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2l_{xy}^2 + l_z^2}{r^3}}$ and $\cos \theta = l_z / r$, and find that $U$ can reach the order of $E_r$. For example, if we use the laser wavelength $\lambda \approx 0.8 \mu m$, $V/E_r = 5$ (so that $l_{x,y} \approx 0.2a$) and $l_z = 0.2l_{x,y}$, we arrive at $U = 2.2$ KHz or approximately 100nK. Increasing $V/E_r$ can further increase $U$ and suppress $t_j$, thus drive the system into even stronger correlation regime. $U$ can be adjusted from repulsive to attractive by tuning the polarization direction from perpendicular to parallel to the $xy$-plane.

### IV. THE WIGNER CRYSTAL STATE AT $1/6$-FILLING

In this section, we discuss interaction effects in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital systems. When the flat band is partially filled, interaction effects dominate the physics. In particular, a Wigner crystal state is stabilized even with the shortest range on-site interaction. We will mainly study the spinless fermion system below, and also give a brief discussion on the boson systems, but leave the study of the spinful fermion systems to a future publication.

#### A. Close packed plaquette state

Due to the complete suppression of the kinetic energy in the flat band, the effect of interactions is non-perturbative when the flat band is partially filled. Interestingly, at sufficiently low particle density $n \leq \frac{1}{6}$, the exact many-body ground state can be easily constructed as follows. Each individual particle localizes into a plaquette state depicted in Fig. 4. Any arrangement of these plaquette states avoiding touching each other is the kinetic energy ground state and costs zero interaction energy. Since the interaction is repulsive, this class of states also minimize the interaction energy and thus they constitute the many-body ground states. If we fix the particle density at $n < 1/6$, the ground state configurations have large degeneracy corresponding to all the possible ways to arrange these hard hexagons. The completely filled lowest flat band corresponds to $n = \frac{1}{2}$, thus this close packed plaquette pattern corresponds to $\frac{1}{2}$-filling of the flat band. This state breaks the lattice translational symmetry and is three-fold degenerate. The other two equivalent states can be obtained by translating the state in Fig. 4 along $x$-axis in the right or left direction at one lattice constant.

#### B. Stability of the Wigner crystal state

The above Wigner crystal state is a gapped state. We can give a rough estimation for a upper limit of the charge gap by constructing a trial wavefunction for putting an
extra particle in the close packed state in Fig. 10. In the weak interaction case (\(U/t_\parallel \ll 1\)), we can put the extra atom in the plaquette state located at \(\vec{R}\) which is adjacent to three occupied plaquettes \(\vec{R}_{1,2,3}\). Since there is already 1/6 atom on average per site, the cost of the repulsion is \(\frac{U}{6}\). On the other hand, in the strong coupling case (\(U/t_\parallel \gg 1\)), we put the particle into an excited state of the occupied plaquette \(\vec{R}_1\) while fixing the orbital configuration on each site. Because fermions are spinless, the cost of energy comes from the kinetic part \(\sum_\vec{r} \langle \vec{r}, \vec{r}\rangle\). Thus we obtain the upper limit for the charge gap which is determined by interaction at small values of \(U\) and by kinetic energy \(t_\parallel\) at large values of \(U\) as

\[
\Delta < \min(\frac{1}{6}U, \frac{3}{4}t_\parallel). \tag{30}
\]

The above intuitive picture can be make more rigorous by performing self-consistent mean field treatment to the Hamiltonian described below.

We decouple Eq. 26 both in the direct and exchange channels as

\[
H_{mf, int} = U \sum_{\vec{r} \in A \oplus B} \left\{ n_{\vec{r}, x} \left[ \frac{n_{\vec{r}, x}}{2} - \langle n_{\vec{r}, 1} \rangle \right] + n_{\vec{r}, y} \left[ \frac{n_{\vec{r}, y}}{2} - \langle n_{\vec{r}, 1} \rangle \right] + \langle n_{\vec{r}, 1} \rangle - p_{\vec{r}, x}^+ p_{\vec{r}, y}^+ \left[ \langle n_{\vec{r}, 2} \rangle - i \langle n_{\vec{r}, 3} \rangle \right] + h.c. \right\}, \tag{31}
\]

\[
n_{\vec{r}, 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_{\vec{r}, x}^+ p_{\vec{r}, x} - p_{\vec{r}, y}^+ p_{\vec{r}, y} \right],
\]

\[
n_{\vec{r}, 2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_{\vec{r}, x}^+ p_{\vec{r}, y} + h.c. \right],
\]

\[
n_{\vec{r}, 3} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_{\vec{r}, x}^+ p_{\vec{r}, y} - h.c. \right], \tag{32}
\]

where \(n_{1,2,3}\) are the pseudo-spin operators, \(n_{\vec{r}, 1}, n_{\vec{r}, 2}\) are time-reversal invariant, and describe the preferential occupation of a “dumbbell-shaped” real \(p\)-orbital orientation; \(n_{\vec{r}, 3}\) is the orbital angular momentum, and is time-reversal odd. We perform a self-consistent mean field solution to Eq. 31 plus Eq. 5 for the filling level in the range of \(\langle n \rangle = 0 \sim 1\). The self-consistent equation reads

\[
\langle n_{\vec{r}, i} \rangle = \langle \Omega(n_{\vec{r}, j}) | n_{\vec{r}, i} \Omega(n_{\vec{r}, j}) \rangle \langle i, j = 1 \sim 3 \rangle, \tag{33}
\]

where \(|\Omega(n_{\vec{r}, j})\rangle\) is the mean field ground state with the specified configuration of \(\langle n_{\vec{r}, j} \rangle\).

At the mean field level, we found that \(\langle n_{\vec{r}, 3} \rangle\) is zero which means the time reversal symmetry is kept. We need to take an enlarged unit cell to allow the spatial variation of the order parameters. In order to obtain the plaquette order in Fig. 10 this enlarged unit cell covers six sites around a plaquette. We present the range of chemical potential \(\mu\) for the \(\frac{1}{6}\)-Wigner crystal state in Fig. 11 which corresponds to the excitation gap. The charge gap grows roughly linearly with \(U\) in the weak interaction regime, and saturates at a value comparable to \(t_\parallel\) in the strong interaction regime. Both agree with the above variational analysis.

Next we discuss the effect of the \(\pi\)-bonding term to the \(\frac{1}{6}\)-state. Such a term gives a width determined by \(t_\perp\) to the originally flat band. Because the \(\frac{1}{6}\)-state is gapped, it should remain stable if the band is sufficiently narrow. The plaquette state costs the kinetic energy at the order of \(t_\perp\) per particle while it saves the repulsive interaction at the order of \(\frac{U}{6}\). Thus for small values of \(t_\perp\), a stability condition of this state can therefore be roughly estimated as \(U > 6t_\perp\). We have checked this numerically. For example, setting the \(t_\perp/t_\parallel = 0.1\), we find that the \(\frac{1}{6}\)-state survives \(U > t_\parallel\). In realistic systems, the ratio of \(t_\perp/t_\parallel\) is much smaller than 0.1 with reasonable values of \(V/E_\perp\) as shown in Fig. 9 thus the 1/6-state can be stabilized at much smaller values of \(U\).

C. Bosonic Wigner crystal state

In the above hard hexagon state at \(n = \frac{1}{6}\), particles are separated from each other, thus particle statistics do not play any role. Such a Wigner crystal state should also occur with bosons or Bose-Fermi mixtures with repulsive interactions. The on-site interaction for \(p\)-band bosons reads

\[
H_{int} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_\vec{r} \left\{ n_{\vec{r}}^2 - \frac{1}{3} L_{z, \vec{r}}^2 \right\}, \tag{34}
\]

where \(n\) is the total particle number and \(L_z\) is the orbital angular momentum. The \(p\)-band bosonic systems have been created experimentally. The life time of the \(p\)-band bosons is significantly enhanced when the particle density per site is less than one, which can be hundreds of times longer than the hopping timer from one site to its neighbours. Thus the 1/6-state is also experimentally feasible in bosonic systems.
FIG. 12: The filling $\langle n \rangle$ vs. the chemical potential $\mu$ for spinless fermions for weak A) and strong B) interactions. Due to the particle-hole symmetry, only the part with $\mu$ from the band bottom $-3/2t_{\parallel}$ to $U/2$ is shown. Only one plateau appears in A) at $\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{6}$, while a series of plateaus appear in B) at $\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, 1$. From Wu et al [19].

V. CHARGE AND BOND ORDERINGS AT COMMENSURATE FILLINGS OF $n > \frac{1}{6}$

In this section, we investigate the charge and bond orderings at commensurate fillings higher than $\frac{1}{6}$ by using the mean field theory to solve the interacting Hamiltonian self-consistently. We will present the result in both weak and strong coupling regimes, but leave the detailed investigate of the physics of orbital exchange at $\langle n \rangle = 1$ to a future research. In the following calculation, we confine ourselves to the unit cell up to 6-sites.

A. Weak coupling regime

When the filling $n > 1/6$, exact solutions are no longer available. Again we perform the self-consistent mean field solution to the interacting Hamiltonian. In the weak coupling regime ($U/t_{\parallel} = 1$), we plot the relation of the filling $\langle n \rangle$ vs. $\mu$ in Fig. 12A. As $\mu$ passes the charge gap, the system enters a compressible state. $\langle n \rangle$ increases with $\mu$ quickly with a finite but large slope. This means that particles fill in other states in the flat band. Due the preexisting crystalline ordered background, these states are no longer exactly flat and develop weak dispersions. This corresponds to adding additional $\frac{N}{6}$ particles into the background of the $\frac{1}{6}$-state as depicted in Fig. 10 ($N$: the total number of lattice sites). Roughly speaking, these new particles also go into the localized plaquette states. When $\langle n \rangle \approx \frac{1}{3}$, we see a significant reduction of density of states compared to those of the flat bands, which still has a finite density of state attributed to the filling of the dispersive band.

Let us look at the quasi-plateau at $\langle n \rangle \approx \frac{1}{3}$. Although these newly occupied plaquettes can be arranged to avoid each other as we did before, they unavoidably will touch the preoccupied ones. As a result, for each occupied plaquette state, three of its six neighbours are occupied alternatively. The orbital configuration in such a state is as depicted in Fig. 13 for each bond shared by two occupied plaquettes, the $p$-orbital orientation is parallel to the bond direction as a compromise between two neighbouring plaquettes. The bonding strength exhibits a dimerized pattern. The ratio between the weakened and strengthened bonds is approximately 0.44. Compared to the gapped dimerized phase discussed below in Sect. V B, this is a relatively weakly dimerized phase.

At $\langle n \rangle > 1/2$, all the flat band states are completely filled. In the weak coupling regime, interaction effects are no longer important and crystalline orders vanish. Near $\langle n \rangle = 1$, the density of states becomes linear with energy
the low temperature regime much more accessible. A large energy scale here renders quantum degeneracy and due to a much larger band width as shown in Fig. 9. The strong coupling regime as described by thickened bonds. Each trimer contains one particle at \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \) and two particles at \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{5}{6} \).

**FIG. 14:** The trimerized states at fillings \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \) in the strong coupling regime as described by thickened bonds. Each trimer contains one particle at \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \) and two particles at \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \).

the physics in the strong coupling regime is very different from that in the weak coupling regime. Much more crystalline ordered states appear in the strong coupling regime at commensurate fillings exhibiting rich structures of dimerization and trimerization orders. The relation of the filling \( \langle n \rangle \) vs. \( \mu \) at \( U/t = 10 \) is depicted in Fig. 12. A series of plateaus occur at commensurate fillings of \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \) \( (i = 1 \sim 6) \), which correspond to a set of charge and bond ordered insulating states. The charge gap for each insulating state is at the order of \( t_{\parallel} \) except for that at \( \langle n \rangle = 1 \) which is at the order of \( U \). Since these gapped state appears at strong interaction regime, they are not sensitive to a small \( t_{\perp} \). The band structure described in previous sections is completely changed by the strong interactions. Roughly speaking, at \( \langle n \rangle > \frac{1}{3} \), the preoccupied plaquette states exert strong effects to the extra particles and vice versa. The remaining part of the flat band disappear and the Dirac cone structure is also destroyed.

At \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \), the strong coupling crystalline ordering pattern is different from that in the weak coupling regime depicted in Fig. 13. The system exhibits trimerized pattern as depicted in Fig. 14. Each trimer is represented as two thickened bonds and contains one particle. In other words, each hexagon plaquette in the \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \) case is occupied by two particles. Such a state is also three-fold degenerate, and the other two equivalent states can be obtained by translating the system one lattice constant right and left directions. Let us consider one plaquette unit cell and describe the orbital configuration. We mark the six sites as 1 \( \sim \) 6. The \( p \)-orbital configurations at sites 1, 6 and 2 are \( p_x \), \( \cos \theta p_x \pm \sin \theta p_y (\theta = 158.4^\circ) \), respectively, and those at 4, 5 and 3 are related by a reflection operation respect to \( x \)-axis. In other words, the occupied \( p \)-orbital at site 1 is parallel to \( x \)-axis, and that at site 6 is almost along the direction of bond \( (1,6) \) with a small deviation of \( 8.4^\circ \). The particle density at each site is \( n_{6,2,3,5} = 0.27 \) and \( n_{1,4} = 0.46 \). The bonding strength between neighbouring sites \( i,j \) are defined as \( B_{ij} = -(p_i^\dagger \cdot \hat{e}_{ij}) (p_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij}) + h.c. \). There are four non-equivalent bond strengths of \( (i,j) = (2, 1); (2, 3); (2, 5); (1, 4) \), where \( 4' \) and \( 5' \) are the equivalent sites of 4 and 5 in the neighbourng plaquettes. We have \( B_{2,1} = 0.58 t_{\parallel}, B_{2,3} = 0.04 t_{\parallel}, B_{2,5'} = 0.14 t_{\parallel} \) and \( B_{1,4'} = 0 \). The average bonding energy per site can be evaluated from the above bonding strengths as \( 0.44 t_{\parallel} \). Instead of the above trimer pattern, one may also think of the dimer covering with filling \( \frac{1}{3} \) in which only two third of sites are covered by dimers. However, a rough estimation of the average bonding energy per site is approximately about \( 0.45 t_{\parallel} \), which is less energetically favorable because particles are more localized in the dimer configuration.

The crystalline order pattern at the filling of \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \) is similar to that at \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \) with each trimer containing two particles. In this case, the parameters above change to \( \theta = 153^\circ; n_{6,2,3,5} = 0.76; n_{1,4} = 0.49; B_{2,1} = 0.70 t_{\parallel}, B_{2,3} = 0.07 t_{\parallel}, B_{2,5'} = 0.12 t_{\parallel} \) and \( B_{1,4'} = 0.02 t_{\parallel} \).

At \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \), the system exhibits a dimerized pattern

![Diagram](image-url)

**FIG. 15:** The orbital configuration at the filling \( \langle n \rangle = \frac{5}{6} \) exhibits the dimerized state of holes in the strong coupling regime. Holes mainly distribute on the position of sites 1 and 4 in each unit cell with a large bonding strength.

B. Strong coupling regime at \( n > \frac{1}{6} \)

The physics in the strong coupling regime is very different from that in the weak coupling regime. Much more...
similar to that of $\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ in the weak coupling regime as illustrated in Fig. 13. The major difference is that the dimerized state here is an incompressible insulating state while that in the weak coupling regime is with a small but still non-vanishing compressibility. The dimer is represented by a thickened bond in which one particle hops back and forth. It can be considered as a superposition of the two states of two sites where one is occupied and the other is empty. There are only two non-equivalent bonding strengths: $B_{1,6} = 0.95t_||$ and $B_{1,2} = 0.1t_\perp$. The former is about one order larger that the latter, thus the system is in the strong dimerization limit. As shown in Fig. 12B, the energy scale of this dimerized phase is set by $t^2/U$, which is much larger than the usual one in dimerized magnetic systems with $t^2/U$.

The ordering pattern at another commensurate filling of $\langle n \rangle = \frac{5}{6}$ as shown in Fig. 15. The p-orbital configurations at sites 1, 6 and 2 are $p_x$, $\cos \theta p_x \pm \sin \theta p_y$, respectively with $\theta = 150.2^\circ$, and those at 4, 5 and 3 are related by a reflection operation respect to $x$-axis. The particle density at each site is $n_{6,2,3,5} = 0.94$ and $n_{1,4} = 0.62$. The four non-equivalent bond strengths read $B_{2,1} = 0.36$, $B_{2,3} = 0.08$, $B_{2,5'} = 0.08$ and $B_{1,4'} = 0.83$. The $\frac{5}{6}$-filling state can be considered as doping the insulating state of one particle per site with $\frac{1}{2}$ holes. Holes are mainly concentrated on the positions of sites 1 and 4 in each unit cell. The corresponding bonds have the largest bonding strength. Such a state is the dimerized state of holes.

VI. TIME OF FLIGHT SPECTRA

Noise correlation has become an important method to detect the ordering in cold atom systems in optical lattices [42, 43]. In all the Mott-insulating states at commensurate fillings described in figures of [10, 12, 14] and [15] the enlarged unit cell contains six sites forming a plaquette. They should exhibit themselves in the noise correlation of the time of flight (TOF) signals. In the presence of the charge and bond orders, the reciprocal wavevector of the reduced Brillouin zone becomes $\vec{G}_1 = \left( \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \vec{G}_1 + \frac{4}{3} \vec{G}_2$ and $\vec{G}_2 = \left( \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \vec{G}_1 - \frac{4}{3} \vec{G}_2$, where $\vec{G}_{1,2}$ are the reciprocal wavevectors for the original Brillouin zone. The correlation function is defined as

$$C_t(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \langle n(\vec{r}) n(\vec{r}') \rangle_t - \langle n(\vec{r}) \rangle_t \langle n(\vec{r}') \rangle_t,$$

(35)

where $t$ is the flying time.

For the close pack hexagon state at $\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$, Eq. 35 can be easily calculated. We have $\langle n(\vec{r}_i) \rangle = (\frac{m}{6})^3 |\psi(\vec{k})|^2$, where $\vec{k} = m\vec{r}/(\hbar t)$, and $\psi(\vec{k})$ is the Fourier transform of the plaquette-Wannier state depicted in Fig. 8. Thus

$$C_t(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \mp \frac{N}{6} \frac{m}{\hbar t} |\psi(\vec{k})|^2 |\psi(\vec{k}')|^2 \times \sum_{\vec{G}'} \delta (m\vec{G} - m\vec{G}')$$

(36)

where $'-' ('+')$ is for fermions (bosons) respectively, $\vec{G}' = m\vec{G}_1 + n\vec{G}_2$ with $m, n$ integers, and $\vec{k} = m\vec{r}/(\hbar t)$. After a spatial averaging and normalization, we find

$$C_t(\vec{d}) = \int d\vec{r} C_t(\vec{r} + \frac{\vec{d}}{2}, \vec{r} - \frac{\vec{d}}{2}) \propto \pm \sum_{\vec{G}'} \delta (m\vec{G} - \vec{G}')$$

(37)

where $\vec{k} = m\vec{d}/(\hbar t)$. All the $\delta$-peaks are with equal weight because of the cancellation of the Fourier transform of the Wannier function, and the six-fold rotational symmetry in Fig. 8.

For the crystalline ordering of fermions at other commensurate fillings, the noise correlation functions still exhibit the $\delta$-peaks located at the same reciprocal wavevectors of the reduced Brillouin zone. However the form factors are more complicated. Generally, $\langle n(\vec{r}) \rangle$ and $C_t(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ can be calculated as

$$\langle n(\vec{r}) \rangle \propto \sum_{\mu \nu} \psi^*_\mu(\vec{k}) \psi_\nu(\vec{k}) \langle \Omega | p^\dagger_\mu (\vec{k}) p^\dagger_\nu (\vec{k}) | \Omega \rangle,$$

$$C_t(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \propto \pm \left| \sum_{\mu \nu} \psi^*_\mu(\vec{k}) \psi_\nu(\vec{k}') \langle \Omega | p^\dagger_\mu (\vec{k}) p^\dagger_\nu (\vec{k}') | \Omega \rangle \right|^2 \times \sum_{\vec{G}'} \delta (m\vec{G} - \vec{G}')$$

(38)

where the Greek indices $\mu$ and $\nu$ denote the Wannier functions for the 12 $p_{x,y}$ orbitals in one plaquette. In particular, due to the loss of the six-fold lattice rotational symmetry in [14] and [15] the noise spectra of $C_t(\vec{d})$ should show the reduced two fold rotational symmetry. Fig. 13 still keeps the six-fold rotational symmetry, but the weight of the $\delta$-functions should not be the same as in Eq. 37.

VII. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In summary, we have proposed the laboratory analog simulation of a new kind of artificial graphene, unavailable in nature, where the $p_{x,y}$ orbitals are the key, unlike the real graphene made of the $p_x$ orbital. This switching of orbitals, as shown in this work, lead to novel strong correlation physics which cannot be studied in the corresponding solid state graphene systems.
We have shown the band structure of $p_{x,y}$-orbital honeycomb lattices contains both Dirac cones and flat bands. Particle interactions stabilize various incompressible Wigner crystal-like states at commensurate fillings. In particular, we have described the exact many body ground state at $\langle n \rangle = 1/8$, which exhibits close packed hexagon plaquette order. Various charge and bond orderings appear in the strong coupling regime at higher commensurate fillings. These states exhibit their patterns in the noise correlation of time of flight experiments. Taking into account the recent exciting experimental realization of the $p$-orbital bosons \textsuperscript{[13]} and the fact that the honeycomb optical lattices were experimentally constructed quite some time ago \textsuperscript{[22]}, the $p_{x,y}$-orbital counterpart of graphene may be achieved in the laboratory in the near future.

Let us compare the Wigner crystal states in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital systems with those in the electron gas systems. Quantum Monte-Carlo simulations show that the Wigner crystal state is stable in the very low density regime at $r_s > 39$ in two dimensions, where $r_s$ is the ratio between the average inter-electron distance and the Bohr radius. The long range Coulomb interactions dominate over the kinetic energy when $r_s$ is large. In contrast, even the shortest range repulsive interaction can stabilize the crystal state in the $p_{x,y}$-orbital honeycomb lattice due to the suppression of the kinetic energy by the band flatness. Wigner crystal state also occurs in the fractional quantum Hall systems due to the suppression of kinetic energy by the magnetic field. At low filling factors, crystalline ordered states energetically win over the Laughlin liquid state. It is also interesting to note the difference between our system and the $p_z$-orbital system of graphene, where the characteristic ratio of between Coulomb interaction and kinetic energy $\frac{e^2}{\kappa v_f}$ ($v_f$ is the slope of the Dirac cone) is a constant independent of charge carrier density. As pointed out in Ref. \textsuperscript{[28,31,46]}, interactions in graphene are not strong enough to stabilize the Wigner crystal state at any density.

Many interesting problems still remain open for further exploration, and we will leave them in future publications. For example, for the spinful fermions with repulsive interactions, it is natural to expect ferromagnetism due to the flat band structure. It would be interesting to study the competition between antiferromagnetic exchange and flat band ferromagnetism. If interactions are attractive, the pairing problem and the corresponding BCS-BEC crossover in the flat band might prove interesting. On the other hand, if we load bosons into the flat band beyond the density of $\langle n \rangle = 1/8$, the frustration effect due to the band flatness to the superfluidity is a challenging problem. Most intriguing is the possibility of exotic incompressible states analogous to the Laughlin liquid in fractional quantum Hall effect. These cannot be captured within the mean-field approximation used here for $n > 1/6$. If one could devise appropriate variational liquid states projected into the flat band, these could be compared energetically with the Wigner crystals found here. Given the richness and surprises encountered in the fractional quantum Hall effect, flat band physics in optical lattices appears rife with possibility.
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