INTRODUCTION

Yellow drum (Nibea albiflora; Figure 1) belongs to the Sciaenidae, one of the largest family of the Perciforms, and is naturally distributed in southern Japan and East China Sea (Takita, 1974; Xu et al., 2017). The yellow drum can grow to ~44 cm in length and ~1.5 kg in weight (Shunpei & Kazuo, 1980), reaching sex maturity at age of 2 ~ 3 years. It inhabits preferentially in coastal waters with depth of 70 ~ 80 meters and has seasonal migratory patterns. Yellow drum, together with large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea, another species in the Sciaenidae
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family ranking first in annual production among all marine fish aquaculture in China (Guo and Zhao, 2017), account for an important part of sea food consumption in China, especially in east China. Yellow drum shares similar external characteristics, meat quality and flavors with large yellow croaker. Now, yellow drum is expected to partially replace the market of the large yellow croaker, which suffers from the shortage of germplasm resource due to overfishing and severe bacterial and virus diseases in aquaculture (Chen, Lin, & Wang, 2003; Han et al., 2016). Recently, the wild population of the yellow drum is declining due to overfishing and aquaculture of this fish is expanding to meet market needs (Cheng, Xu, Jin, & Wang, 2011). It is worthwhile to conduct researches on wild population conservation and genetic improvement of this fish. The genus Nibea consists of eleven recognized species, widely distributed in the Indo-West Pacific oceans (Lo et al., 2015; Lo, Liu, Nor, & Chen, 2017). Up to date, no reference genome for the Nibea species is present, hampering the studies on conservation and genetic investigation of these species.

In the present study, we took efforts to assemble the first and near-complete reference genome of an ultra-homologous gynogenic female yellow drum using Illumina short sequencing reads. Based on the assembled genome, the genome-wide nucleotide diversity of cultured yellow drum has been investigated, which can reflect the degree of inbreeding of aquaculture populations. Besides, the phylogenetic relationships of yellow drum with other teleosts were previously described (Lo et al., 2015, 2017); however, there is not a study conducted so far for phylogenetic analysis based on whole-genome data, and we thus inferred the phylogeny with the yellow drum and other teleosts. And analysis of expanded and contracted genome data, and we thus inferred the phylogeny with the yellow drum and other teleosts. Analysis of expanded and contracted gene families can aid to investigate the evolution of specific characteristics of this fish. In conclusion, the near-complete reference genome of the yellow drum we provided laid a solid foundation for the conservation, evolutionary studies, and genetic improvement of this species.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample, library construction, and sequencing

An ultra-homozygous female yellow drum homozygous at 11 highly polymorphic microsatellite loci (Supporting Information Table S1) was produced through two generations of gynogenesis in a mariculture farm in Ningde, Fujian province, China. Genomic DNA was extracted from its muscle tissue using the traditional phenol-chloroform isolation method (Sambrook & Russell, 2006). DNA concentration was measured using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). During the whole-genome shotgun sequencing, four paired-end libraries (two with insert sizes of 300 bp and two with insert sizes 450 bp) were constructed using the Illumina TruSeq Nano method (Illumina, CA, USA). Three mate-pair libraries with long-insert sizes of 2 kb, 5 kb, and 10 kb were generated using the Nextera Mate Pair Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, CA, USA). In brief, 4 μg of genomic DNA was fragmented and tagged with bionytinylated mate-pair junction adapters. Subsequently, the strand displacement reaction was performed and the DNA was purified with AMPure XP beads. The 2 kb, 5 kb, and 10 kb DNA fragments were selected using a 0.75% cassette for the BluePippin (Sage Science, MA, USA). DNA was recovered and ligated overnight at 30°C following the manufacturer’s protocol. After incubation and heat inactivation, exonuclease was added to remove non-circularized DNA. The samples were subsequently end repaired, A-tailed, and adapter ligated, and enrichment was achieved with 10 rounds of PCR. All prepared libraries were sequenced (150 bp × 2) on a HiSeq X platform (Illumina, USA) at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). For quality control, FASTQC (Andres, 2013) was used to check quality of the raw reads; Trimmomatic (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014) was employed to trim the adapter sequences and to remove low-quality bases (Phred score < 20) of the paired-end reads, and reads shorter than 50 bp were discarded. All reads from mate-pair libraries were trimmed to a length of 50 bp to avoid potentially spanning the junctions of the DNA circularization.

2.2 Genome assembly and evaluation

Platanus v1.2.4 (Kajitani et al., 2014) was employed to assemble the genome sequence of N. albiflora through three steps: contig assembling, scaffold construction, and gap closing. Although Platanus was originally developed for assembling highly heterozygous genomes, it is also with better performance in constructing super large scaffolds compared to other assemblers, irrespective of heterozygosity of the genomes (Kajitani et al., 2014). First, all the paired-end sequencing data with short inserts were used to construct de Bruijn graphs with automatically optimized k-mer sizes. Then, the mate-pair sequencing reads were used to link contigs into scaffolds. At gap-closing step, all sequencing reads were utilized to fill intra-scaffold gaps using...
paired-end information, where one end of a pair uniquely mapped to a scaffold and the other end located within a gap.

The quality of the assembled genome was evaluated as follows: (a) The sequencing reads with short inserts (300 bp and 450 bp) were realigned to the assembled genome using BWA v0.7.17 (Li & Durbin, 2009). (b) RNAseq data of a pool of multiple tissues were aligned to the genome assembly using STAR v2.5.3a (Dobin et al., 2013). (c) BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) v3.0 (Simao, Waterhouse, Ioannidis, Kriventseva, & Zdobnov, 2015) was employed to assess the completeness of the assembly using database of actinopterygii_odb9.

### 2.3 | Repeat-content identification and classification

To identify known repeats and transposable elements (TEs), RepeatMasker v4.0.6 (Smit, Hubley, & Green, 2013–2015) was used to align the genome assembly against the Repbase TE library with the default parameters (Jurka et al., 2005). In addition, a de novo repeat library was constructed using RepeatModeler v1.0.4 (Smit & Hubley, 2008–2015) with the genomic sequences of *N. albiflora*. The RepeatMasker was employed again to identify repeat elements using the de novo repeat library. The repeat elements identified from Repbase library and de novo library were merged together and masked for further analysis.

### 2.4 | Gene prediction and annotation

The repeat-masked genome was utilized to predict gene structures through ab initio gene prediction, protein homology-based prediction, and transcript evidence. Augustus v3.2.3 (Stanke, Diekhans, Baertsch, & Haussler, 2008), GeneMark-ET v4.32 (Lomsadze, Burns, & Borodovsky, 2014), and Braker v1.9 (Hoff, Lange, Lomsadze, Borodovsky, & Stanke, 2016) were applied for the ab initio gene prediction. For Augustus v3.2.3 (Stanke et al., 2008), the known genome structures of zebrafish and the RNAseq assembled transcripts (Han, Xiao, Li, Ye, & Wang, 2018) were used in model parameter training, and the optimum parameters were obtained after two rounds of training. The homology-based gene prediction was done by aligning protein sequences of *Homo sapiens* (human), *Danio rerio* (zebrafish), *Takifugu rubripes* (pufferfish), *Oryzias latipes* (medaka), *Gasterosteus aculeatus* (three-spined stickleback), and *L. crocea* (large yellow croaker), and *Dicentrarchus labrax* (European seabass) to the *N. albiflora* genome assembly using tblastn (E-value: 1e−5) (Altschul et al., 1997). Aligned sequences were submitted to Exonerate v2.2.0 (Slater & Birney, 2005) for defining precise splicing sites and exons. In addition, transcripts assembled from RNAseq, which was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2,500 platform (2 × 125 bp) with pooled library of 11 tissues (Han et al., 2018), were employed to build comprehensive transcripts database and identify open reading frame (ORF) using PASA v2.1.0 program (Haas et al., 2003). After removing the genes with coding region length shorter than 150 bp, a consensus gene set was created from the genes of the three different sources using EVM (Evidence Modeler) v1.1.1 (Haas et al., 2008). All predicted genes were then aligned to NCBI nr, trEMBL, SwissProt, and KOG databases for function annotation using blastx (E-value: 1e−5) (Camacho et al., 2009).

### 2.5 | Calculation of nucleotide diversity

The nucleotide diversity of yellow drum was measured using four randomly sampled fish (two males and two females) from the aquaculture populations in Fujian Province in 2016. DNA was extracted from dorsal fins of the four fish using TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). And paired-end sequences (150 bp × 2) were generated on an Illumina HiSeq X platform. The resequencing data of the four individuals were sequenced at 32.8 ~ 38.4 × coverage and were aligned to the genome assembly of the yellow drum using BWA v0.7.17 (Li & Durbin, 2009). Duplicated reads were subsequently removed, and aligned reads around insertions/deletions (Indels) were realigned using GATK v3.8.0 (McKenna et al., 2010). Platypus v0.8.1 (Rimmer et al., 2014) was utilized to call SNPs and small Indels (≤10 bp) on the refined bam files. Nucleotide diversity was calculated by counting the frequency of heterozygous sites in high-quality variants (genotype quality > 60 and 10 < depth < 100) in each individual.

### 2.6 | Phylogeny and gene family comparison

The protein sequences of 11 species, including *Homo sapiens* (human), *Gallus gallus* (chicken), *Lepisosteus oculatus* (spotted gar), *Tetraodon nigroviridis* (spotted green pufferfish), *Xiphophorus maculatus* (platyfish), *Oreochromis niloticus* (tilapia), *D. rerio* (zebrafish), *T. rubripes* (pufferfish), *O. latipes* (medaka), *G. aculeatus* (three-spined stickleback), and *L. crocea* (large yellow croaker), were obtained from Ensembl. The longest sequences extracted from each gene were aligned with each other among the 12 species using ClustalW v2.0 (Larkin et al., 2007). Based on the alignment information, the OrthoMCL v2.0.9 (Li, Stoeckert, & Roos, 2003) was employed to obtain pairs of one-to-one protein-coding orthologs, and the orthologs were used to construct the phylogenetic tree using maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method with MEGA7 (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016). The divergence time for *N. albiflora* and other vertebrates was estimated based on the time-calibrated divergence between human and chicken (320 million years ago (MYA), obtained from the TimeTree database (Hedges, Dudley, & Kumar, 2006)).

In gene family analysis, protein sequences coded by the longest isoform of each gene from all the 12 species were aligned to each other exhaustively using blastp (E-value: 1e−5). Based on the alignments, gene clustering and gene copy number of a certain gene family within each species were obtained using OrthoMCL v2.0.9 (Li et al., 2003). And node time was estimated via r8s software (Sanderson, 2003). Finally, gene family expansion and contraction analyses were conducted by CAFÉ (Bie, Cristianini, Demuth, & Hahn, 2006).
3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Genome size estimation and assembling

We generated a total of 154.2 Gb of raw data (~260 × coverage) for the gynogenetic yellow drum on an Illumina HiSeq X platform. After quality trimming and filtering, we retained 119.8 Gb data for genome assembling (Table 1). A subset of the cleaned sequencing reads of 300 bp insert library (~29.2 Gb) was used to obtain a frequency distribution of 17-, 21-, 25-, and 29-mers of the yellow drum genome through Jellyfish v2.4.0 (Marcais & Kingsford, 2011) (Supporting Information Figure S1). The resulting histograms were explored to estimate the size, repeat content, and heterozygosity of the yellow drum genome via the GenomeScope software (Vurture et al., 2017). The estimated genome size of the yellow drum was between 573.2 Mb (17-mers) and 581.0 Mb (29-mers) (Supporting Information Table S2). The results were consistent with the estimated genome size (595.7 Mb) using flow cytometry (Cao, Zheng, Wang, Liu, & Cai, 2015). The 29-mer analysis indicates that the yellow drum genome possesses a low level of repeat content (45.6 Mb, 7.9%).

As shown in Figure 2, all the paired-end reads were first assembled into contigs with N50 of 5.2 kb. Then, scaffolding was conducted using the mate-pair reads to link contigs into 75,113 scaffolds. And the contig N50 reached 14.4 kb, and the scaffold N50 was 2.1 Mb. Finally, the gap closing increased the contig N50 to 50.3 kb, and the scaffold (>2 kb) N50 was 2.2 Mb (Table 2). The final assembled genome of the \textit{N. albiflora} is 565.3 Mb in length with 1,252 scaffolds, which accounts for 97.3%–98.6% of the k-mer estimated genome size and hence indicates a near-complete genome assembly.

Different methods were used to evaluate the quality of our assembled genome. Libraries of paired-end sequencing data of 300 bp and 450 bp were realigned to the assembly, total mapping rates were 99.4% and 99.3%, and mapping ratios of properly paired reads were 95.4% and 91.2%, respectively. We further aligned transcriptomic data to the assembly, demonstrating a mapping ratio of 92.2%. The gene completeness assessment shows that 4,478 (97.7%) among 4,584 Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCOs) were completely detected by BUSCO annotation, including 4,362 complete and single-copy, 116 complete and duplicated, 39 fragmented, and 67 missing orthologs (Supporting Information Table S3).

### Table 1: Summary statistics of the whole-genome sequencing data

| Library   | Library type | Insert size (bp) | Reads number   | Total base (bp) | Reads number after trimming | Total bases after trimming (bp) |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| DES00946  | Paired-end   | 300              | 214,014,344    | 32,102,151,600  | 213,149,506                 | 31,435,660,732                  |
| DES00947  | Paired-end   | 300              | 175,073,346    | 26,261,001,900  | 174,401,308                 | 25,728,984,413                  |
| DES00948  | Paired-end   | 450              | 158,969,122    | 23,845,368,300  | 157,188,702                 | 22,982,429,030                  |
| DES00949  | Paired-end   | 450              | 166,828,960    | 25,024,344,000  | 164,607,364                 | 24,031,348,725                  |
| DEL00758  | Mate-pair    | 2,000            | 104,631,768    | 15,694,765,200  | 103,664,548                 | 5,231,588,400                   |
| DEL00757  | Mate-pair    | 5,000            | 116,137,928    | 17,420,689,200  | 114,947,454                 | 5,806,896,400                   |
| DEL00775  | Mate-pair    | 10,000           | 91,955,960     | 13,793,394,000  | 90,954,304                  | 4,597,798,000                   |

### Table 2: Summary statistics of the \textit{Nibea albiflora} genome assembly

| Assembly   | Contig   | Scaffold |
|------------|----------|----------|
| Size (bp)  | 557,190,737 | 565,299,463 |
| GC content (%) | 42.4 | 41.8 |
| Number     | 25,182   | 1,252    |
| N50 size (bp) | 50,300 | 2,254,189 |
| Shortest (bp) | 301 | 2,002 |
| Longest (bp) | 641,168 | 13,214,368 |
| Average length (bp) | 22,127 | 451,517 |
| N bases (bp) | 0 | 8,026,962 |
The assessment analyses again suggested that the genome assembly of the yellow drum was sufficiently accurate and near complete.

3.2 Repeat sequences in the yellow drum

Structure-based searching with Repbase identified 813,598 repeat elements in the yellow drum, which constituted approximately 78.1 Mb (13.8%) of the assembled genomes. And the dominant type is simple repeat elements, accounting for 2.8% of the yellow drum genome (Table 3). The proportion of repeated elements in the yellow drum was much lower than those found for other teleosts, such as medaka (Kasahara et al., 2007) (17.5% of 700 Mb), large yellow croaker (Ao et al., 2015) (18.1% of 728 Mb), and Atlantic cod (Star et al., 2011) (25.4% of 830 Mb), which might be one of the reasons that the yellow drum owns a smaller-size genome than those species.

3.3 Functional annotation of predicted gene

Based on integrated methods of ab initio prediction, protein-based homology and transcript evidence, we obtained a final gene set containing 22,448 genes with an average gene length of 12,764 bp, coding homology and transcript evidence, we obtained a final gene set containing 22,448 genes with an average gene length of 12,764 bp, coding.

3.4 Nucleotide diversity of aquacultured yellow drum

Yellow drum aquaculture has existed for more than 20 years in east China. To get an idea of the population diversity of the aquacultured yellow drum, we measured the nucleotide diversity of four individuals randomly sampled from the aquaculture populations. As a result, the average nucleotide diversity of the four individuals was 0.26% (~1/390; range: 0.23%-0.27%), which was comparable to or even higher than that of wild populations of the previously reported marine fish (1/309 in herring (Barrio et al., 2016), 1/435 in coelacanth (Anemiya et al., 2013), 1/500 in cod (Star et al., 2011), and 1/700 in stickleback (Jones et al., 2012)). This indicates that the current aquaculture population of the yellow drum could be still genetically diverse. During 2004–2005, the nucleotide diversity of the wild-caught yellow drum was estimated using 33 polymorphic SNPs from three locations along the coastal regions of the Yellow Sea and the East China Sea, and it ranged from 0.30% to 1.16% (Qingdao), from 0.33% to 1.27% (Zhoushan), and from 0.42% to 1.56% (Xiamen), respectively (Han, Gao, Yanagimoto, & Sakurai, 2008). The nucleotide diversity in wild population is much higher than that found in this study, suggesting the aquaculture population might have suffered from inbreeding.

3.5 Phylogenetic analysis and gene family

To determine the evolutionary position of *N. albiflora*, we performed systematic genome comparisons among *N. albiflora* and 11 other vertebrates. The phylogenetic tree was finally constructed based on 1,070 pairs of one-to-one protein-coding orthologs using the MLE method. The yellow drum is evolutionarily close to the large yellow croaker, and the divergence time between them is about 19.7 MYA (Figure 3). Among all other teleosts, the three-spined stickleback is a close sister group with the yellow drum and large yellow croaker (MYA: 64.8), which is consistent with the previous phylogenetic analysis of Sciaenidae and Gasterosteiformes (Ao et al., 2015).

Furthermore, 44 significantly expanded and 11 contracted gene families (p < 0.01) were identified via comparing the gene families of the yellow drum with that of other vertebrates used in the phylogenetic analysis (Supporting Information Table S4). Expansion and contraction analyses can provide clues for inference of underlying genetic basis of specific physiological characteristics. For example, myosin family genes (such as MYH6, MYH7, and MYH11) were significantly expanded in the genome of yellow drum (33) compared with the large yellow croaker (12). Myosin acts as functional protein and structural protein, and is directly involved in many biological functions such as muscle contraction, cardiac regulation, cell movement, and signal transduction in animals (Fu & Zhang, 2008). Yellow drum is closer to large yellow croaker in phylogeny (Figure 3). However, it has been noted that yellow drum has better swimming ability than the large yellow croaker in the mariculture practice, which could be partially attributed to the expansion of family of myosin genes. Besides, sodium: neurotransmitter symporter (SNF) family genes (such as SLC6A1, SLC6A6, SLC6A8, SLC6A11, SLC6A12, and SLC6A13) were also expanded in yellow drum in contrast to large yellow croaker. Those genes are essential for the release, re-uptaking, and recycling of neurotransmitters at synapses (Attwell & Bouvier, 1992). SLC6A1, SLC6A11, and SLC6A13, widely distributed in brain, encode sodium-dependent transporters that uptake gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Zhou et al., 2012). Defects in those genes may result in epilepsy, behavioral problems, or intellectual problems. SLC6A6, SLC6A8, and SLC6A12, expressed abundantly

| Repeat element | Fragments | Total length (bp) | % of genome |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| SINE           | 20,650    | 2,761,049         | 0.5         |
| LINE           | 40,857    | 7,549,448         | 1.3         |
| LTR element    | 16,278    | 3,870,965         | 0.7         |
| DNA element    | 84,443    | 12,838,672        | 2.2         |
| RC element     | 4,504     | 1,205,596         | 0.2         |
| Small RNA      | 1,578     | 127,371           | 0.02        |
| Simple repeat  | 380,033   | 15,842,155        | 2.8         |
| Low complexity | 40,161    | 2,123,227         | 0.4         |
| Unclassified   | 225,094   | 31,820,793        | 5.5         |
| Total          | 813,598   | 78,139,276        | 13.7        |
in the skeletal muscle, play key roles in optimal uptake and osmotic regulation (Borden, Smith, Gustafson, Branchek, & Weinshank, 2002; Sora et al., 1994). Those expanded genes might be also important for motility and osmotic regulation in the yellow drum. The detailed mechanism should be investigated in the future.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Here, we report the first genome assembly of the yellow drum, which has been demonstrated to be highly accurate and near complete. The results showed that our strategy of using a homozygous individual (gynogen) and better assembly algorithm (e.g., Platanus) in de novo genome assembling was powerful with just Illumina short reads. The near-complete genome and its annotation allowed us to perform population genetics and evolutionary analyses in the yellow drum. These resources will also be necessary in conservation efforts and genetic breeding in this fish species. In addition, this study will illuminate undiscovered genetic characteristics of Nibea genus in the future studies on these species. Furthermore, the assembly is fragmented in contigs and is not ideally used for chromosome-scale assembling. Hence, the genome still needs to be improved in the future with advanced technologies (e.g., long-read sequencing and optical mapping).
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