Arsenic removal from industrial wastewater
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Abstract. The article presents current research on the removal of arsenic in one of copper smelter in Legnica-Głogów Copper District. Arsenic removal technology applied in the results of previous author’s researches has been described. Also, the content of arsenic in wastewater discharged into the receiver in 2012–2016 was examined. Additionally, the concentration of heavy metals in these wastewater was checked.

1 Introduction

The problem of excessive arsenic content in the air in the last three years in the cities belonging to Legnica-Głogów Copper District has been often the subject of intense debate in the local press or at meetings of municipal councils of each village of the region[1,2]. The problem is not trivial, because the research station of the Regional Environmental Protection Inspectorate located in Głogów has shown exceeded arsenic concentration in almost every month over the past few years, and they reach 250% of the permissible norm. Information about the risk of exceedances of permitted levels of substances in the air for 2017 shows the towns Głogów and Legnica as at risk of exceeding the annual average target level of arsenic[3]. The public opinion indicates KGHM Polska Miedź SA, a large producer of non-ferrous metals, as a potential perpetrator of exceedances. The problem of air pollution is therefore subject not only lively discussion, but also analysis and research. However, the issue of arsenic in wastewater from KGHM and the way of its treatment should be also analysed. This work is a continuation of the author's research in the years 2005–2011 and is an attempt at such analysis.

2. Ecotoxicology of arsenic

Arsenic belongs to the group of trace elements. This means that it is essential in the organism in some range in which. When exceeded it becomes to be toxic. The best-known and best described cases of mass poisoning by arsenic come from Asia (Bangladesh, India, Taiwan). In Bangladesh, 27% of shallow wells contained
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oversized, more than 50 mg / l, amount of arsenic (current WHO recommendation is 10 mg / l). Approximately 30-35 million people in this country and 6 million people in West Bengal (India) were exposed to high concentrations of arsenic in water (above 50 mg / l), while even 57 million people were exposed to concentrations between 10 and 50 mg / l. The most common symptoms are eczema, rashes and tumours leading to skin cancer [4]. Other studies indicate that the standardized mortality rate (which is the ratio of the observed death rate to the expected quantity, expressed as a percentage) for cancer of the respiratory system for persons exposed to arsenic with the contact time of more than 25 years is 833.3% [5].

Among many examples of the harmful effects of this element to the environment, national studies are worth quoting [6]. The research was conducted in the closed arsenic mine in Złoty Stok and in the immediate vicinity (the concentration of arsenic were dozens of times higher than accepted as normal for soils occurring there). A number of anomalies were showed. The most common were discoloration of plants, stunting and deformation of a whole or its parts.

A small number of indigenous species of trees and shrubs, low amount of specimen, and also the fact that the area of the mine was not inhabited by plants typical for this area after a few decades of closing the plant, is also characteristic for this area. At least 21 species of vascular plants avoid studied subsoils. Teratology changes were observed at 36.6% of vascular plants in this area.

3 Arsenic in the technological line of KGHM Polska Miedź

The deposits of copper sulphide LGOM contain a considerable amount of accompanying elements. Some of them are recovered in the technological processes used in KGHM Polska Miedź. Others, including arsenic, are a serious source of environmental and technological problems. Its content is complicated by, among others, processes of smelting, refining and disposal of SO₂ to sulphuric acid. Therefore, arsenic belongs to the group of associated metals, where content in metallurgical processes must be regulated. While being removed from the technology it goes to the flue dust, sewage, solid waste and is secreted in the form of a sponge Cu-As. Figure 1 illustrates a block diagram of copper production and the place of arsenic output.

Arsenic in metallurgical phases of concentrate processing accumulates in:
- by-products of galvanizing processes (dusts and sludges)
- primary production of galvanizing phase (copper matte, blister copper and finally copper anode)
- electrolyte.

Trace amounts of arsenic are present in the shaft slag, electric furnace slag and the anode sludge. Arsenic goes to the sewage system mainly from two directions: Sulfuric Acid Plants, where gases from the furnace processes are recycled and electrolyte treatment plant.
4 Method of arsenic disposal tested in the sewage treatment plants of KGHM Polska Miedź

Due to differences in the metallurgical technology, forms and concentration of the occurring arsenic, in the configuration of the sewage system, as well as wastewater treatment technology, the removal of arsenic is carried out differently by each of the three wastewater treatment plants. Since the arsenic content in the sewage is high at all three ironworks, the concentration of arsenic is reduced in each of the wastewater treatment plant in several processes.

In order to bring all wastewater treatment plant to such state, a number of methods for removing arsenic were tested.

Due to shortage of wastewater treatment plant where such high concentrations of arsenic could be removed, methods of sewage treatment were searched for in hydrometallurgy, chemistry sulfuric acid and water purification. Relatively low cost and easy insertion into existing wastewater treatment plants were required.

Several methods of arsenic removal were tested:
- preparations sulphide precipitation,
- Ca(OH)\(_2\) precipitation,
- iron salts precipitation,
- Mineral Like Precipitation (MLP),
- electro impulse method of wastewater treatment,
- use of waste materials with a high content of iron (II),
- salts of barium precipitation.
During application of some methods (MLP, Ca(OH)$_2$ precipitation and the precipitation of the iron salts) oxidation of As (III) to As (V) was tested. The results of some experiments have been already shown[8,9,10,11]. Generally, the usefulness of these methods for removing high concentrations of arsenic in laboratory and in real scale for all of the three treatment KGHM Polska Miedź SA was demonstrated.

4.1 Methodology

4.1.1 Flowsheet

Figure 2 shows a flowsheet for the removal of arsenic in the wastewater treatment plant of Copperworks Głogów II (HMG II).

![Schematic diagram of sewage treatment plant HMG II.](Fig. 2)
4.1.2 Materials, reagents

**Calcium hydroxide.** To test the precipitation of arsenic by calcium and wastewater alkalization, the 7% milk of lime (a suspension of Ca(OH)$_2$ in water) was used. Milk of lime was prepared on site from hydrated lime. Supplier of hydrated lime was Lhoist Poland.

**Iron salts.** To test the precipitation of arsenic by iron salts, iron sulphate (PIX 113) and ferric chloride (PIX 109) (produced by Kemipol Ltd) was used.

- **PIX 113** is an aqueous solution of ferrous sulphate - chemical formula Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$.
  The total iron content is 11.8% ± 0.4% and the iron (II) 0.4% ± 0.3%. The density of the solution varies in the range of 1.50 – 1.57 g / cm$^3$. The pH is less than 1.

- **PIX 109** is an aqueous solution of ferric chloride, chemical formula FeCl$_3$. The total iron content is 10.5% ± 0.5% and the iron (II) 0.5% max. The density of the solution ranges from 1.27 – 1.37 g/cm$^3$. The pH is about 1.

The liquid waste with a high content of Fe (II). In studies for arsenic removal by means of liquid waste with a high content of Fe (II) used technological baths from galvanizing processes from nearby galvanizing steel were used. They can be characterized by a low pH value = 0.7, Fe (III) content of 1100 g and 150 000 g Fe (II) per 1 m$^3$. They are also contaminated with heavy metals (nickel, lead, copper).

4.1.3 The composition of waste water used in tests

The composition of raw sewage has been shown in Table 1. Other wastewater groups are not characterized by such high concentrations of arsenic (sporadically exceeding 1 g/m$^3$, max 4g/m$^3$) or other pollutants, therefore their compositions was neglected. Acidic wastewater is responsible for 95 % of the arsenic load flowing into the wastewater treatment plant.

| Table 1. Composition of acid sewage from HMG II (2016) [Own study based on the database from Energetyka Ltd.]. | Unit | Average  | Maximum |
|-------------------------------------------------|------|----------|---------|
| pH                                              | -    | <2.0     | <2.0    |
| Cl [g Cl/m$^3$]                                 |      | 4876     | 8453    |
| SO$_4$ [g SO$_4$/m$^3$]                          |      | 10086    | 16299   |
| Susp. [g/m$^3$]                                 | 66   | 240      |
| COD [g O$_2$/m$^3$]                             | 153  | 346      |
| Cu [g Cu/m$^3$]                                 | 16   | 62       |
| Fe [g Fe/m$^3$]                                 | 19   | 160      |
| Pb [g Pb/m$^3$]                                 | 9.9  | 22       |
| Zn [g Zn/m$^3$]                                 | 4.5  | 28       |
| Ni [g Ni/m$^3$]                                 | 3.1  | 19       |
| As [g As/m$^3$]                                 | 310  | 532      |
4.1.4 Analytical methods

Table 2 summarizes the analytical methods used, together with standards according to which the analysis was performed.

| Type of examination | Research method                                      | Standard                  |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| COD                 | Spectrophotometric method                            | PN-ISO 15705:2005         |
| Chloride            | Titrimetric method                                   | PN-ISO 9297:1994          |
| pH                  | Electrometric method                                 | PN-90/C-04540/01          |
| Sulfate             | Ion chromatography (IC) method                       | PN-EN ISO 10304           |
| Total Arsenic       | Inductive atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-OES)     | PN-EN 11885:2001          |
| Zinc                |                                                      |                           |
| Copper              |                                                      |                           |
| Nickel              |                                                      |                           |
| Lead                |                                                      |                           |
| Iron                |                                                      |                           |

4.1.5 A method of operation.

Removal of pollutants in sewage treatment plants HMG II was carried out according to the scheme on Fig. 2, using the following doses of chemicals and process parameters:
- Sanitary sewage - the elimination of solids and suspensions in physical processes.
- Acidic sewage - dosage to reservoirs of waste FeCl₂ at a dose of 1000 g/m³. Alkalisation carried out in reactors (until pH > 11.5) and the neutralization products of sedimentation in settling tanks Dora. Then precipitation / coagulation by waste liquid with high concentration of FeCl₂ - a dose of 500 g/m³ and sedimentation of reaction products.
- Averaging of composition in reservoirs where all wastewater goes: sanitary and acidic - after preliminary treatment and industrial and rainy-cooling water - directly from the drains. In advance liquid waste with high concentration of FeCl₂ (dose of 150 g/m³) is dosed.
- In the second stage treatment, after calibration with lime milk to pH 8.5-9.0, process of coagulation by assisted iron (III) sulphate, assisted by an anionic polyelectrolyte was conducted (coagulant dose - 40 g/m³, flocculant dose 0.25 – 0.4 g/m³).
4.2 Results

In the period preceding the initiation of effective arsenic removal trials, arsenic content exceeded the permissible content of arsenic in sewage discharged into the Odra river. The wastewater treatment technology used in this period did not guarantee the attainable concentration of arsenic in the effluent from the treatment plant. The permissible concentration of arsenic, i.e. 0.1 g/m³, was practically continuous. In some samples the excess was 3100% (!).

Laboratory research and technical trials led to the development of a technology that effectively eliminates arsenic from sewage. These technologies have been, therefore, implemented. As part of this work effectiveness of arsenic (and heavy metals) removal after the implementation period has been verified. The data is summarised in tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Arsenic concentration in effluent from sewage treatment plant HMG II
[Own study based on the database from Energetyka Ltd.].

| Year | Arsenic concentration [g/m³] | Average | Maximum |
|------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| 2012 | 0.025                       | 0.098   |
| 2013 | 0.011                       | 0.077   |
| 2014 | 0.007                       | 0.059   |
| 2015 | 0.006                       | 0.040   |
| 2016 | 0.035                       | 0.090   |

Table 4. Heavy metal concentration in effluent from sewage treatment plant HMG II
[Own study based on the database from Energetyka Ltd.].

| Heavy Metal | Unit       | Average | Maximum       |
|-------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| pH          | -          | 8.6     | 8.9           |
| Cl          | [g Cl/m³]  | 940     | 1388          |
| SO₄         | [g SO₄/m³] | 740     | 1165          |
| COD         | [g O₂/m³]  | 23.7    | 38.2          |
| Cu          | [g Cu/m³]  | 0.048   | 0.075         |
| Fe          | [g Fe/m³]  | 0.649   | 1.130         |
| Pb          | [g Pb/m³]  | 0.118   | 0.190         |
| Zn          | [g Zn/m³]  | 0.076   | 0.138         |
| Ni          | [g Ni/m³]  | 0.054   | 0.119         |
| Ag          | [g Ag/m³]  | 0.005   | 0.028         |
| Cr          | [g Cr/m³]  | 0.005   | 0.058         |
| Cd          | [g Cd/m³]  |         |               |
| Hg          | [g Hg/m³]  |         | Below the determination threshold |

5 Summary

Several years of work on the implementation of effective method of arsenic removal in wastewater treatment plant HMG II were successfully ended. Initially, the concentration of this element exceeded the limits in wastewater discharged into a receiver from several dozen to several hundred times. Several methods on
a laboratory and technical scale were tested. Some of them were tested in real
conditions of operation of the plant. It has been shown that it is possible to
effectively remove arsenic present in high concentrations in industrial wastewater to
the level required by the law in daily work conditions.

After the initial tests, it was checked whether the proposed methods, despite
changing the conditions of the infestations, still fulfilled their role. The results were
positive. Within 5 years the water permit was not exceeded once. The finally
proposed technologies proved to be very effective. Maximum concentrations of
arsenic in wastewater discharged to the receiver do not exceed 0.1 g/m³, and average
values are 0.006–0.035 g As/m³.

It is worth pointing out that both arsenic and heavy metals in 2012–2016 in
wastewater discharged to the receiver never exceeded the limit values and their
mean concentrations are significantly lower than the limit values. This demonstrates
the correct choice of arsenic removal technology.
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