This study aims to scientifically substantiate the influence of the quality, size of carrot roots, as well as storage techniques, on safety that could prolong their consumption.

The research into carrot roots has not been developed properly. In this regard, it is important to conduct comprehensive studies of objective indicators that exert the greatest impact on the intensity of natural weight loss of carrot roots and their preservation. The study reported here aimed to scientifically substantiate the influence of the quality, size of carrot roots, as well as storage techniques, on safety, which could allow them to be consumed longer.

It has been theoretically substantiated and experimentally confirmed that the longest storage period of 206 days with a commercial yield of 89.27% characterized large root crops. The output of marketable products of medium root crops was 86.36%, small ones after 161 days – 80.30%. Compared with large root crops, the shelf life of both small and mechanically damaged carrots decreased by 46 days, and the yield of marketable products decreased by 9.0 and 11.1%, respectively. Carrot roots damaged by pests were preserved almost the same as chopped ones. On average, over 191–192 days of storage, the yield of marketable products amounted to 83.43 and 83.90%, respectively. The shortest shelf life (142) and the worst preservation (68.34%) were observed in carrots with a torn peel, due to a large number of diseased root crops (48.53 and defective ones (6.85%).

Storage of carrots in boxes at a permanent storage facility with forced-air and exhaust natural ventilation turned out to be ineffective. The yield of marketable products amounted to 83.5%. The highest safety of 98.3–94.3% was observed when the roots were stored in plastic bags and perforated bags, respectively. A greater yield of marketable products is provided by bags with a capacity of 5 kg. It was found that the storage in cardboard boxes and paper bags contributed to the development of microorganisms. The number of affected root crops ranged from 2.4 to 2.8%.
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1. Introduction

The problem of vegetable preservation is quite relevant because during the acceptance of products from producer to consumer the losses can reach 30% or more. These losses can be significantly reduced by following the rules of use and product care during storage and transportation to the consumer. The main causes of product loss are, first, weight loss during respiration, water evaporation, and germination. Losses of water and dry matter are from 10 to 35% of the to-
However, its content should not exceed 3–5 %. At this concentration, the development of microorganisms is inhibited, slows down the intensity of respiration and other metabolic processes, prolongs the period of forced rest, germination is delayed, and weight loss is reduced. Therefore, it is very promising to store carrots and other roots in different types of packaging made of polymer film, leaky or perforated. If the content of CO$_2$ in these packages increases above the optimal concentration, fermentation and accumulation of incomplete oxidation products (ethanol, acetaldehyde) are observed. Due to the decomposition of pectin substances, root tissue tissues turn into a pulpy mass. However, scientists do not specify the capacity for storing roots. Note that research into the storage of carrots in packages of different capacities has not been conducted.

Good results are obtained when claying the produce. The roots are loaded into a bath with a creamy mess and then transferred to boxes with holes. The layer of clay that remains on the roots dries and forms a thin “cover”. It protects them from moisture evaporation and prevents wilting, as well as the spread of disease [5]. This method of root preparation has not been widely used. The reason for this is the objective difficulties associated with water consumption and energy for drying. In addition, the buyer prefers pure roots, without the presence of soil or clay.

The modern technique of carrot storing is in a modified gaseous medium using unscaled plastic bags, which are made of a film 150–200 μm thick with a capacity of 30–50 kg. Bags are placed in refrigerated storage on racks vertically, without tying the neck. Such packaging does not accumulate excess CO$_2$ and does not condense water vapor, which helps extend shelf life, reduce losses, preserve turgor and high-quality products [6]. But the weight of roots and their initial quality is also not studied.

Studies of the preservation of some vegetables depending on the size of the food organ were conducted. In [7], it was established that the safety of zucchini depends on the size of the fruit. Under the conditions of a raw material site, at a day temperature of 26…30 °C, fruits with a diameter of more than 8 cm are stored for 13–18 days, with a diameter of 4.5–6.0 cm for 2–6 days. At a temperature of 5±1 °C, fruits with a diameter of 4.5–6.0 cm are stored for 5 days, with a diameter of 6.1–8.0 cm for 13–16 days, a mixture of fruits with a size of 10–12 days, and large non-standard fruits (8.1–10.0 cm) – 18–21 days. The loss of fruit weight during storage at a temperature of 5±1 °C by 42 % depends on their size. The yield of marketable products by 22 % depends on the size of the fruit [9].

Under normal refrigeration conditions, cucumbers in an open box retain their properties for two days, greater natural losses are observed in greens with a length of 91–110 mm than in the mixture. Cucumbers are stored for almost two weeks with almost no losses at a temperature of 5±1 °C in boxes with plastic wrap or in plastic bags with a capacity of 20 kg. The average daily loss of fruits, when stored in plastic bags, did not exceed 0.08–0.10 % [10]. However, similar studies of the preservation of carrot roots have not been conducted. An option to overcome these difficulties may be the results of this study.

Methods and techniques of fruit and vegetable storage at the present stage of science development remain mostly quite conservative. However, new research is emerging in a variety of fields to develop new ways to store fresh vegetables.
Analysis of modern scientific literature allows us to identify storage methods that are actively used in practice. In [11], it is noted that after harvesting vegetables, they remove the soil from the vegetables. Cold running water is used to clean vegetables. Then they dry them well to prevent rot. It is possible to dry vegetables in the sun. The top of the roots is cut about half an inch. It is not recommended to wax roots for storage. It was found that unwashed roots stored in the open boxes were affected by the disease by 0.7 % more than washed. Storage of washed roots in plastic bags increased their damage by almost 3.5 times than unwashed.

There is a developed method of storing vegetables that involves loading them into special containers for pre-treatment with ozone at a concentration of 45–50 mg/m³ for a specified time [12]. Such a method of processing vegetables requires certain equipment, which is not economically feasible. Ozone treatment may not always be safe.

There is a developed method of storage and transportation of fruits and vegetables in special boxes with sterilization, which reduces pesticide residues [13]. Storage in such a package requires sterilization, which is fundamentally impossible in production conditions. The cost of such packaging is increasing, which makes the relevant research with carrots economically impractical.

The structure of vegetables is a hallmark of the species and their varieties. Carrot roots have thin integumentary tissues, have more lentils, more intensely evaporate moisture. The condition of integumentary tissues is determined not only by the type and variety of vegetables but also by growing conditions, the degree of ripeness of the size of the food organ. Vegetables grown in cold and rainy summers are less formed, their integumentary tissues are thin and contain less cutin, wax, or suberin. In [14], it was found that the period of ripening of guam fruits grown in mountainous areas is delayed, the integumentary tissues are insufficiently formed. This leads to the fact that the losses from water evaporation increase significantly. The condition of the integumentary tissues depends on the degree of ripeness and for root crops – the size of the root. Studies of the preservation of carrot roots are scarce. All this suggests that it is appropriate to conduct this study.

The study aims to determine carrot preservation depending on the initial quality before storage, their size, as well as storage techniques, which could extend the duration of carrot consumption.

To accomplish the aim, the following tasks have been set:
- to determine the effect of initial quality on the preservation of carrot roots;
- to study the dynamics of natural weight loss depending on the size of the root;
- to carry out a comparative assessment of carrot preservation depending on the storage technique.

### 4. The study materials and methods

The study was conducted with a variety of carrots Shan-tene skyvrska. The product weight was divided into three fractions: version 1 are roots weighing 150–180 g (large), version 2 – weighing 100–140 g (medium), version 3 – weighing 60–90 g (small). A mixture of root crops was taken as a control. Certain defects are allowed in the standards for vegetable products. For carrots, these are deviations in shape, mechanical damage, damage by agricultural pests. These types of damage are biological defects. Therefore, the relevant variants of the experiment were identified: 4 – distorted roots; 5 – mechanically damaged; 6 – cracked roots; 7 – damaged by pests; 8 – with peeled skin.

In the first experiment, carrots sorted into fractions by root size; deviations were detected in bulk, in boxes with a capacity of 5 kg in uncooled storage with supply and exhaust ventilation. The preservation of root crops was established.

The second experiment studied the dynamics of natural weight loss depending on the size of the root crop. Observations were performed visually, 1 month after storage. To determine the weight loss during storage of root crops, each accounting sample (weighing 5 kg) was weighed, numbered, recorded in the journal with the characteristics of the quality of root crops.

Natural weight loss was determined by the formula

\[
X = \frac{A-B}{A} \times 100,
\]

where \(X\) is the weight loss, %; \(A\) is a produce mass when laying for storage, g; \(B\) is a mass of produce at the end of the storage, g.

In the third experiment, the roots were placed in storage with supply and exhaust ventilation in open boxes (control), in cardboard boxes, in plastic bags, plastic bags with perforations, in paper bags. The safety of carrots was determined in a storage facility without artificial cooling, depending on the type of container.

The duration of storage finished when the natural weight loss reached 10 % or more and the products showed signs of disease and physiological disorders. The presence of pathogens was detected under a microscope. The absolute shortage is a product that has completely lost its consumer properties and whose defects cannot be eliminated.

The scientific assumption was put forward to extend the shelf life of carrots depending on the quality of the roots before storage, their size, and storage techniques.

The data presented in this paper are the average value from three measurements. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2007 (USA). Differences were considered statistically significant at the significance level \(\alpha=0.05\).
5. Results of studying carrot roots preservation

5.1. Determining the effect of the initial quality on the carrot roots preservation

The results of studying the yield of standard production depending on the size, shape, and character of mechanical damage on the preservation are given in Table 1.

| Version | Size, shape, and type of root-damage | Storage time | Natural weight loss | Damaged by disease | Absolute defect | General loss | Yield of produce |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1       | Medium                              | 205          | 6.04                | 5.18              | 2.22          | 13.44       | 86.56           |
| 2       | Large                               | 161          | 8.21                | 5.49              | 6.00          | 19.70       | 80.30           |
| 3       | Small                               | 206          | 5.25                | 3.87              | 1.61          | 10.73       | 89.27           |
| 4       | Distorted                           | 207          | 6.53                | 5.39              | 2.91          | 15.03       | 84.97           |
| 5       | Defective mechanically              | 161          | 6.64                | 10.21             | 4.97          | 21.82       | 78.28           |
| 6       | Cracked                             | 197          | 6.59                | 6.13              | 3.38          | 16.10       | 83.90           |
| 7       | Damaged by pests                    | 192          | 6.84                | 6.23              | 3.50          | 16.57       | 83.43           |
| 8       | With peeled skin                    | 142          | 6.28                | 18.53             | 6.85          | 31.66       | 68.34           |

It was established that large roots had the longest shelf life of 206 days with the yield of marketable products of 89.27 %. They were less affected by disease and had the lowest daily weight loss (0.025 %). Average root crops were 1.4 times more affected by diseases than large ones in 205 days of storage. Their daily weight loss was 0.029 %. The yield of marketable products was 86.56 %. Small and mechanically damaged ones were much worse preserved. The duration of their storage was 161 days. Their daily losses were 1.9 times greater than the average, respectively, by 1.7 times due to natural loss – 3.4 times of defect.

Carrot roots in version 8 were stored in the same way as 7. On average, during 191–192 days of storage, the yield of marketable carrots with peeled skin was 83.43 % and cracked roots 83.90 %.

Thus, it is possible to extend the shelf life of carrots by sorting and calibrating the roots before storage.

5.2. Natural weight loss depending on a root’s size

Natural weight loss of carrot roots during the storage period occurs unevenly. At the beginning of storage, it was quite high, then it gradually decreases. During the period of forced rest – the smallest, and in March, due to the intensification of life processes, begin to grow (Fig. 1).

The correlation between the yield of marketable products by shelf life, weight loss, loss structure is given in Table 2. It is established that there is a close linear relationship between the shelf life and the yield of marketable carrot products: the correlation coefficient is 0.924315.

5.3. The correlation of yield of marketable product, shelf-life, weight loss, structure loss

It is possible to predict the loss of root mass during storage by regression analysis. It was found that weight loss depending on the size of the vegetable is described by the regression equation given in Table 3.

### Table 1

| Factors | x1 | x2 | x3 | x4 | x5 | x6 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| x1 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| x2 | 0.924315 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| x3 | -0.28696 | -0.44421 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| x4 | -0.95034 | -0.80357 | -0.0198 | 1 | 0  | 0  |
| x5 | -0.92176 | -0.96358 | 0.604807 | 0.758158 | 1 | 0  |
| x6 | 1 | -0.92432 | 0.286962 | 0.950337 | 0.921758 | 1 |

Note: x1 – yield of produce; x2 – storage time, days; x3 – natural weight loss, %; x4 – disease damage, %; x5 – absolutely defected, %; x6 – general losses, %.

The trend line was used for analysis (estimation) of regression analysis errors. The accuracy of regression analysis was determined by the value of \( R^2 \). According to \( R^2 = 0.297 – 0.636 \) on the linear dependence of the weight loss of carrot roots on their size. The curvilinear correlation dependence of the second order of root mass loss \( R^2 = 0.851 – 0.991 \) is more reliable.

### Table 2

| Root size | Regression equation of linear dependence | \( R^2 \) | Regression equation of curvilinear dependence | \( R^2 \) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------|------|
| Control   | \( y = -2.0x^2 + 1.7 \) | 0.636 | \( y = -0.91x^2 - 0.83x + 2.55 \) | 0.917 |
| Small     | \( y = 0.41x^2 + 0.76 \) | 0.297 | \( y = 0.38x^2 - 2.28x + 4.36 \) | 0.851 |
| Medium    | \( y = -0.16x^2 + 1.61 \) | 0.448 | \( y = 0.12x^2 - 1.05x + 2.79 \) | 0.991 |
| Large     | \( y = -0.15x^2 + 1.43 \) | 0.497 | \( y = 0.103x^2 - 0.88x + 2.4 \) | 0.958 |

It is established that the size of root crops affects the duration of the state of forced rest. From the end of January, weight loss begins to increase, which indicates the release of roots from dormancy.

### Table 3

![Trend line for roots, reliability degree (R²)](image_url)

- Small Y = 0.38x² - 2.28x + 4.36, \( R^2 = 0.851 \)
- Medium Y = 0.126x² - 1.056x + 2.79, \( R^2 = 0.991 \)
- Large Y = 0.103x² - 0.882x + 2.4, \( R^2 = 0.958 \)
5.3. Comparative assessment of carrot preservation depending on the storage technique

In the literature, one can find recommendations for storing roots in different containers: wooden and cardboard boxes, paper and plastic bags, containers [3–6]. A comparative assessment of different types of containers when storing carrots shows that the highest weight loss was demonstrated by carrots, which were stored in wooden boxes in bulk (Table 4).

Carrots in a storage facility without artificial cooling when stored for 6 months in wooden boxes lost much moisture but were significantly affected by diseases. The content of absolutely dry moisture produce among the studied containers was high – 1.2%.

In a permanent storage facility with a natural supply and exhaust ventilation, the storage of carrots in boxes proved to be ineffective (Table 2). The yield of marketable products was 85.5%.

Table 4

| Container type               | Weight loss | Affected by disease | Totally damaged | The yield of healthy produce |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Wooden boxes (control)       | 1.7         | 1.6                 | 1.2             | 85.5                         |
| Cardboard boxes              | 5.8         | 6.2                 | 0.3             | 87.7                         |
| Plastic bags                 | 0.7         | 2.8                 | 0.2             | 96.3                         |
| Polyethylene perforated bags | 0.7         | 2.1                 | 0.6             | 94.3                         |
| Paper bags                   | 0.9         | 3.3                 | 2.2             | 85.7                         |

The highest preservation of 96.3–94.3% of carrots was demonstrated when they were stored in plastic bags and perforated bags, respectively. At the end of storage, the roots retained turgor, bright color, good appearance but storage under these techniques in artificially uncooled storage, where temperature fluctuations often occur leads to increased damage to root crops by disease. It was established that storage in cardboard boxes and paper bags contributed to the development of microorganisms. The number of affected roots ranged from 2.4 to 2.8%.

The preservation of carrots in a plastic bag is affected by its capacity, as well as the roots that are put in it–washed or contaminated with soil (Table 5). It was found that unwashed roots were less affected by diseases but germinated more intensively.

The preservation of washed carrot roots averages 91.69%. The yield of unwashed roots is 94.47%.

It was established that the loss of root mass depends on the capacity of the bag.

The short-term change in the air temperature inside the storage does not significantly affect the change in the temperature inside the plastic bag while the spring steady rise in storage temperature is faster than in bags.

Table 5

| Experiment variant | Losses at storage, % | Yield of marketable carrot root crops, % |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                    | Weight               | Affected by diseases | Totally damaged | weight of germ |
| Washed roots       |                      |                        |                  |                |
| In 5 kg bags       | 1.2                  | 2.97                   | 0.53             | 0.53           | 94.12          |
| In 10 kg bags      | 1.9                  | 5.77                   | 1.00             | 0.47           | 90.86          |
| In 15 kg bags      | 1.87                 | 5.53                   | 2.27             | 0.27           | 90.06          |
| On average         | 1.66                 | 4.75                   | 1.48             | 0.42           | 91.69          |
| Unwashed roots     |                      |                        |                  |                |
| In 5 kg bags       | 1.07                 | 1.20                   | 0.10             | 0.70           | 96.93          |
| In 10 kg bags      | 1.40                 | 3.83                   | 0.40             | 0.63           | 93.76          |
| In 15 kg bags      | 2.37                 | 3.90                   | 0.57             | 0.47           | 92.69          |
| On average         | 1.61                 | 2.97                   | 0.36             | 0.60           | 94.47          |

6. Discussion of results of carrot preservation depending on the quality, size of roots, as well as storage techniques

It was experimentally determined that the quality of roots affects the shelf life and the amount of weight loss. Compared to large roots, the shelf life of small and mechanically damaged carrots decreased by 46 days and the yield of marketable products decreased by 9.0 and 11.1%, respectively (Table 1). Losses over a day of storage of small and mechanically damaged roots were 0.122 and 0.136%, which is 1.9 and 2.1 times more than the average. Root crops weighing 60–90 g lose weight more intensively due to the processes of respiration and evaporation of moisture due to the thin cover tissue. There is a loss of turgor and self-protective properties. As a result, roots weighing 150–180 g by 3.82% while the weight of 60–90 g by 5.49%. A larger number of roots with signs of microorganisms was in mechanically damaged ones, 10.21%.

All kinds of mechanical damage contribute to the penetration of microorganisms, which leads to disease and evaporation of moisture. For the little ones, mechanically damaged, and peeled roots, a common feature is a high respiration rate.

Study [16] reports methods for measuring the internal and external quality characteristics of vegetables, such as size and shape, taste, texture, and no defects. Different methods are organized according to their physical principles of measurement.

In paper [17], it is proposed to treat roots with ozone to improve the safety of injured and withered roots. Treatment under optimal conditions with an ozone-air mixture or ozonated water severely damaged roots provides an average of 35-day storage reduction: the formation of rotten mass by 70%, weight loss of 20–30%, sugar losses by 30–40%, compared to control. The use of ozone-dried root crops (up to 15% moisture loss) promotes the formation of rotten mass by an average of 30–40%. After 55 days of storage, weight loss is reduced by 15–25%, sugar losses by 20–30%.

Similar studies are reported in [18]. Late-ripening radishes at 0°C in plastic bags with a capacity of 5–10 kg can be stored until February. The study of the preservation of the roots of beets was carried out in [19], radish – [20], uterine roots – in [21]. Similar studies are described in [22]. The greatest weight loss during carrot storage was observed for perforated bags stored under retail conditions (2.3%) and
cold conditions (1.4 %). The weight loss was below 0.34 % for all other packages with different combinations of perforation and storage. Carrots in laser and needle perforated packaging stored in cold conditions had the highest odor and terpene aroma. The percentage of diseased carrots was highest in laser-perforated packaging stored in retail. The experiment showed that the quality of carrots is best maintained in perforated packages with a needle. A gaseous atmosphere close to the air is created. This reduces weight loss, ethanol and disease are not formed. Research into the development of new technologies to improve the post-harvest processing of fresh fruit and vegetables is very important, as well as products with minimal processing. The phenomenon of abiotic stress hormesis in fresh vegetables shows the potential for the use of protective compounds, which can reduce disease during post-harvest storage. Protective films extend shelf life and increase the content of substances able to promote health [23].

At present, polymers derived from petroleum are widely used for packaging material. This situation is a matter of concern for environmental pollution. In the natural environment, polyethylene decomposes for more than 200 years. The limitation of this study is that Europe began to struggle with plastic reality 3 years ago. Then the directive was adopted, which oblige EU countries to limit the use of polyethylene. Therefore, by next year the amount of plastic used should be halved. The European Union wants to ban plastic bags altogether disposable tableware, straws, etc. This initiative has already been supported by more than 250 companies of well-known brands. The production of biodegradable biomaterials paves the way for the development of more environmentally friendly alternatives including polysaccharides and polypeptides. The use of these food biomacromolecules in addition to fruits and vegetables provides appropriate packaging with appropriate physical and mechanical properties as well as unique sensory and nutritional characteristics [24]. In this aspect, we envisage further research.

7. Conclusions

1. It was determined that the longest shelf life of 206 days with a high yield of marketable products 89.27 % characterized large roots. The yield of marketable products of medium-sized root crops was 86.56 %, small ones over 161 days – 80.30 %. Pest-damaged carrot roots were stored almost in the same way, as well as cracked. On average, during 191–192 days of storage, the yield of marketable products was 83.43 and 83.90 %, respectively. The shortest shelf life (142 days) and the worst shelf life of 68.34 % are observed in carrots with peeled skin.

2. Natural losses of carrot root mass in the first month of storage were quite high, 1.7–2.1 %, in the period of forced rest – decrease to 0.6–1.1, and in March, in connection with the intensification of life processes, they begin to grow – 0.8–5.0 depending on the size of the root crop.

3. Storage of carrots in boxes proved to be ineffective in a permanent storage facility with natural supply and exhaust ventilation. The yield of marketable products was 85.5 %. The highest preservation of 96.3–94.3 % of carrots was when stored in plastic bags and perforated bags, respectively. At the end of storage, the roots stored turgor, bright color, good appearance. It was determined that storage in cardboard boxes and paper bags contributed to the development of microorganisms. The number of affected roots ranged from 2.4 to 2.8 %.
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