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Abstract

In this paper we present a versatile language processing tool that can be successfully used for many Balkan languages. For its work, this tool relies on several sophisticated textual and lexical resources that have been developed for most Balkan languages. These resources are based on several de facto standards in natural language processing.
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1. Introduction

The software tool WS4LR (shortened for WorkStation for Language Resources) has been developed by the Language Technology Group organized at the Faculty of Mathematics for several years now. Its first version was introduced in 2004 [8] and it dealt mainly with harmonizing various heterogeneous lexical resources. Subsequently, many new features have been added, particularly those that have helped in the production and exploration of aligned texts on the basis of the lexical resources incorporated [9]. The new tool WS4QE (shortened for Work Station for Query Expansion) was developed on the basis of WS4LR that enables the expansion of queries submitted to the Google search engine [10]. The integrated lexical resources enable modifications of users’ queries for both monolingual and multi-lingual searches.

When presenting WS4LR and WS4QE, we have always stressed that, although they have been mainly used for Serbian, they are by no means language dependent as long as compatible lexical resources exist for any two languages. Nevertheless, the full potential of these tools was until now used only for Serbian, and in bilingual context, for Serbian and English.

In this paper we will show that the tools WS4LR and WS4QE are truly independent both from Serbian, for which they were initially developed, and from English which seems to be in the background of many natural language processing tools. The main presupposition for the usage of these tools for other languages is the existence of textual and lexical resources developed in the same methodological framework. Since this prerequisite has been satisfied for Bulgarian, and, to some extent, for some other Balkan languages (Greek, Romanian, etc…), we will therefore show that WS4LR and WS4QE can be successfully used for these languages.

WS4LR, written in C#, is organized in modules which perform different functions. The core of the system WS4LR_Core comprises four .Net libraries, used by two components: the stand-alone windows application WS4LR.exe and the web service wsQueryExpand.asm. Web application WS4QE.asp manages user query request, than uses web service in order to expand user query, submits the expanded query to Google search engine and finally presents retrieved result.

2. Integrated Language Resources

In order to prove the usability of WS4LR and WS4QE for languages other than Serbian and English, we have used various resources, both textual and lexical. In the following sections we will briefly present these resources, what methodological framework was used for their development, and how they were integrated for their successful usage.

2.1 Textual Resources – Aligned Texts

The aligned texts as a special form of multilingual corpora were the focus of many projects in the past few decades. A systematic approach to the development of multilingual corpora was initiated within the Multext project, which subsequently included East-European languages through the Multext-East project [5]. In the meantime, many multilingual corpora have been compiled from large corpora, usually fully automatically prepared, which have a range comprised from texts in the limited technical domain [18] to more versatile literary corpora [5] that are often more modest in size but minutely prepared.

The main textual resource used to explore WS4LR is Jules Verne’s novel Around the World in Eighty Days. This text was chosen for various reasons. First of all, the text is available in digital form for the majority of European languages, including Balkan languages. Regarding its content, it represents a suitable text for different types of analysis, especially in the domain of named entity recognition (geographical concepts and different measures). Besides this, it has already been used for some interesting research, e.g. multi-word tagging [13] and building models for machine translation [21]. Finally, from a practical point of view, its suitability stems from the fact that it presents a sample text for the French distribution of the Unitex system [15].
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Versions of the novel in fifteen different languages have been acquired, but not all of these texts have yet been aligned. Among the already aligned texts are the French original and translations in English and four Balkan languages – Serbian, Bulgarian, Greek, and Romanian.

In the preparatory phase each translation was marked in accordance with the TEI-standard in XML, and the title (<head>), paragraph (<p>) and segments (<seg>) were included as units of a text logical layout. At the beginning of the alignment process, all segments coincided with sentences automatically tagged by Unitex. The XAlign system [1] was used for the alignment process. Starting from the French version, the goal of the alignment was to establish 1:1 relations with all other languages on the segmental level. In order to achieve this goal and after manually checking all aligned segments, some of them had to be divided into smaller units, and some were grouped into larger units. Thus, we arrived at the total of 4,409 segments in all texts. This way, the missing segments or the inconsistencies between the source text and its translations were divided into smaller units, and some were grouped manually checking all aligned segments, some of them had to be divided into smaller units, and some were grouped into larger units. In order to achieve this goal and after establishing 1:1 relations with all other languages on the segmental level. In order to achieve this goal and after manually checking all aligned segments, some of them had to be divided into smaller units, and some were grouped into larger units. Thus, we arrived at the total of 4,409 segments in all texts. This way, the missing segments or the inconsistencies between the source text and its translations were identified in most of the cases. In the following example the English segment is given only for the sake of translation.

"<tu id="n2941">"
"<seg lang="en">
  Between Omaha and the Pacific, the railway crosses a territory which is still infested by Indians and wild beasts, and a large tract which the Mormons, after they were driven from Illinois in 1845, began to colonise.\(</seg>
"<seg lang="fr">
  Entre Omaha et le Pacifique, le chemin de fer franchit une contrée encore fréquentée par les Indiens et les fauves, -- vaste étendue de territoire que les Mormons commencèrent à coloniser vers 1845, après qu'ils eurent été chassés de l'Illinois.\(</seg>
"<seg lang="ro">
  Izmeu Omaha i Tihog okeana pruga prolazi kroz predeo u kome još ima Indijanaca i divljih zveri - vaste ținută pe care mormonii au început s-o colonizeze pe la 1845 după ce au fost izgoniți din Illinois.\(</seg>
"<id=Verne80days.n2941>
</tu>"

2.2 Morphological Dictionaries in LADL Format

Morphological dictionaries are a necessary resource in various phases of the automatic analysis of a text. The tool WS4LR expects morphological dictionaries to be in the format known as DELAS/DELAF presented in [2] that was developed in LADL (Laboratoire d'Automatique Documentaire et Linguistique) under the guidance of Maurice Gross. The format of a DELAS-type dictionary basically consists of simple word lemmas accompanied with inflectional class codes which allow for the production of a DELAF-type dictionary, which consists of all inflectional forms with their grammatical information.

In the Unitex environment, one finite-state transducer responsible for the generation of all inflectional forms of each DELAS lemma corresponds to each inflectional class code. The Serbian morphological dictionary of simple words contains 121,000 lemmas which yield the production of approximately 1,450,000 different lexical words. Close to 87,000 simple lemmas belong to the general lexica, while the remaining 34,000 lemmas represent various kinds of simple proper names [11]. The Bulgarian Grammar dictionary (DELAS dictionary) consists of 127,000 lemmas distributed as follows: app. 85,000 simple lemmas belong to the general lexis, app. 6,000 lemmas represent domain specific lexis and app. 36,000 lemmas are simple proper names. The corresponding DELAF dictionary consists of app. 1,260,000 entries [7].

2.3 Semantic Networks - Wordnet

Semantic networks, seen as one important node in the hierarchy of ontologies, are used more and more in various phases of the automatic analysis of texts. The tool WS4LR expects them to be in the form of wordnets, that is, nodes representing sets of synonymous words (synsets) which are linked by various semantic relations. The first built wordnet was an English wordnet, the so-called Princeton Wordnet (PWN), having today approximately 140,000 synsets. Due to its remarkable size and successful inclusion in various computer-based applications, it is considered as the de facto standard upon which wordnets for many other languages have been built. One successful application of this concept was achieved by the Balkanet project which was funded by the European Commission from (2001-2004). In the scope of this project, the development of wordnets for the following Balkan languages was initiated [20]: Bulgarian, Greek, Romanian, Serbian, and Turkish. The important feature of these wordnets is that they are all aligned with PWN via the Interlingual index (ILI) [22]. Namely, ILI consists of concepts, while wordnets represent
the lexicalization of concepts in various languages and the way which they are connected.

The Serbian wordnet today consists of more than 15,000 synsets built by app. 25,000 literals. All of them are linked to PWN, except for 532 Balkan specific concepts that are connected with other Balkan languages, and 155 Serbian specific concepts that remain unconnected with other languages. The Bulgarian wordnet consists of more than 31,000 synsets built by more than 66,000 literals. The synsets are linked with the PWN as well; again there are 436 Balkan specific concepts shared with other Balkan languages and 182 Bulgarian language specific concepts. Both Serbian and Bulgarian wordnets, as well as wordnets for other Balkan languages, are represented in WS4LR using common XML schema.

2.4 The Prolex Database

The Prolex project was initiated in the 1990’s with the study of toponyms in French and had the aim of appropriately processing proper names in natural language applications [16]. This work was followed by the development of a Serbian version, which finally led to the design and construction of a relational multilingual dictionary of Proper Names, the Prolexbase, in the form of a relational database [19]. This model is based on two main concepts: the pivot (that represents the conceptual proper name) at a language independent level and the prolexeme (the projection of the pivot onto a particular language) which is a set of lemmas that includes the name, but also its aliases (variations in orthography, abbreviated forms, acronyms, etc.) and its derivatives. For instance, if a meronymy relation is established between the concepts “New York” and “the United States of America”, then their Serbian Cyrillic equivalents Države and Sjedinjene Američke Države, their Serbian Cyrillic equivalents Јужор and Сједињене Америке Државе, and their Bulgarian equivalents Ню Йорк and Съединени американски щати are all connected automatically.

3. Using WS4LR with Aligned Texts

The WS4LR module that works with aligned texts expects them to be in the Translation Memory eXchange (TMX) format. It can transform texts previously aligned by XAlign into this format as well as into several other formats: textual, XML and tabular. This is particularly important since XAlign has been integrated into Unitex software starting from its version 2.1. In addition, the user can also produce various visualizations of aligned texts by applying appropriate XSLT transformations. Thus, the user can freely browse with such visualized texts. One such visualization is represented in Figure 1.

Browsing, however, is not a particularly successful form of text exploration. The WS4LR module for aligned texts offers the user the ability to posit different forms of queries that can be automatically expanded by using various bilingual lexical resources presented in the previous section. WS4LR offers the user the possibility to expand the query not only morphologically and semantically, but also to another language. If the first language is Serbian, the second language can be English, Bulgarian, or any other. A user can choose two working languages by adjusting the parameters in the “Preferences” menu of WS4LR. Besides this, WS4LR provides further possibilities for the user to control their query formulation, since in addition to expansion it also offers the query to be narrowed. Namely, a user can reject some of the automatically offered query expansions.

User queries can be semantically expanded by the wordnets and by the Prolex database. WS4LR obtains the semantic expansion of a query by means of the wordnet of the first language (the Serbian wordnet – SWN, as is the case in our examples), selecting all synsets containing a given word and offering them to the user. This provides the user with an insight into all the concepts the keyword pertains to, through sets of synonyms used for these concepts. A user then gains the possibility to delete some of these synsets if he or she decides that they pertain to concepts which are not of interest at that particular moment. Also, the user can formulate a bilingual query by adding a second language to it. Namely, WS4LR can, for a given set of concepts, identify all corresponding concepts in the second language wordnet by using ILL. Thus, for an expanded Serbian query, one could obtain the corresponding expanded query in Bulgarian. The form used to bi-lingually expend a simple query glava “head” with the Bulgarian čelovek is presented in Figure 2. The semantic expansion is obtained by

---

1 For details on TMX format see http://www.lisa.org/tmx/tmx.htm
checking the box “Semantic extension” in this form and by choosing the appropriate resource (Wordnet in this case), while the bilingual expansion is obtained by checking the box “Another language extension”.

In the same form, the user can choose to morphologically inflect all chosen keywords in both languages. If he or she wishes to do so the box “With inflection” should be checked. Morphological expansion is performed by Unitex modules that use morphological dictionaries of simple words as well as inflectional transducers. This options works only if a particular query keyword is listed in the morphological dictionary of the corresponding language. If this is not so, the aligned text will be searched only with the original keyword. As shown in Figure 2, the automatically added inflected forms of chosen keywords are presented in an editable form in which some of these inflected forms can be deleted or modified. For instance, the Serbian word put “path” has two plural forms: putevi and puti. The second one is restricted to poetical usage and a user can choose to delete it from the expended query if the working text is not of that kind.

Finally, when a query is launched, the result is obtained with all the retrieved occurrences highlighted (see Figure 3). The query can be further semantically expanded by the choice of a particular semantic relation (e.g. hypernymy/hyponymy), in which case synsets pertaining to hypernms/hyponyms of concepts from the initial group will also appear among the query set. This feature will be illustrated by a query which starts with the Serbian keyword brodić “small boat”. We would like to perform a bilingual search with a semantic expansion. The chosen Serbian keyword belongs to only one synset {brodica:1, brodić:1} whose corresponding Bulgarian synset is {ложка:1, лада:1}. Figure 4 shows that these synsets are deep in the hypernymy/hyponymy hierarchy. In such a situation, expanding query with hypernym synsets can be useful.
Since in this case a bilingual search is initiated, the user can perform the same semantic expansion for the second language, presented in Figure 6. The two Bulgarian literals thus obtained are пловецът съд and матяг кораб which are multi-word units. Since inflection of multi-word units for Bulgarian is not yet integrated in WS4LR, as will be explained in the final section, the user can choose to delete it from the final query set or to keep only the nouns съд и кораб, as we have done in our example search.

Figure 6. The semantic expansion in the second language – Bulgarian – using hypernym relation

The results obtained by this query are very interesting and, show the potential this tool offers for various linguistic and literary researches on their own. This query retrieved 129 aligned segments, each of which contained at least one of the keywords from the produced query set in at least one of the languages. It comes as a surprise that only 8 of these segments contained query keywords in both languages. This is mainly due to the fact that adjectives пловецът and матяг were omitted from the Bulgarian keywords thus broadening the query on the Bulgarian side too much.

Figure 7 shows some examples of a partial retrieval. The first (n1616) and third (n2286) segments in this sample occur due to the fact that the reference to a “boat” is missing in one of the languages. The other segments show that the Serbian брод, besides corresponding to the English ship and the Bulgarian кораб, is also a generic notion and should probably be added to the hypernym synset (segments n2274, n2356 and n2439). On the other hand, Serbian једрилица and једренjak “sailing vessel” are translated in Bulgarian with the “sister” synsets пловецът instead of using a more specific Bulgarian word пловецът (segments n2299 and n2323). In the last example (n3707), in Bulgarian a rather arbitrary choice лодка is made for a more specific type of a vessel referred to in Serbian as кутер “cutter”.

Figure 8. All occurrences of a full retrieval

When a search is performed not with common keywords but with proper nouns then a query expansion with Prolex database offers more possibilities. Semantic relations incorporated into this database are adapted to proper names. Here, the user can choose to expand his query both on the conceptual and the linguistic level. It can be seen in Figure 9 how a query launched with a pivot Paris is linguistically expanded into two languages. A morphological expansion can be chosen here as well and it
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is performed in the same way, using the same methods as for common words. In the given example, the query expansion for Serbian gives more results since the Prolex database for Bulgarian has only some sample entries.

4. Additional Possibilities

We have illustrated the functions of WS4LR for working with aligned texts in the previous section by using the Serbian and Bulgarian pair. This can be successfully used for other Balkan languages as well. Wordnets have been being developed through the Balkanet project for Greek, Romanian and Turkish, which have enabled the experiments to have semantic query expansions for those languages as well. For Greek [12] and Romanian [3], morphological dictionaries in the LADL format have also been developed – however, these resources were not at our disposal so we have not been able to experiment with morphological expansion for these languages.

The possibility and the need for some of the functions developed within WS4LR to become available also on the web have led to the development of the WS4QE web application for lexical resources. This application is still under development, but some of its functions can already be used. Numerous user functions are envisaged for this tool, but the largest set is related to the expansion of queries submitted to the Google search engine, and they have already been implemented. In fact, they are very similar to those presented in the previous section. The only difference is that expanded queries are not applied to an aligned text but are rather forwarded to the search engine.

Figure 10 shows such a retrieval that starts with the Serbian keyword barka “boat” and is further expanded by the Serbian synset {barka:1, čamac:1, čum:1} and Greek corresponding synset {βάρκα:0, θάλασσα:0}. Figure 11 represents the first results retrieved with such an expanded query by Google.

5. Further Work

Our main concern for our future work is the adequate processing of multi-word units. That is, we would like our tool to treat multi-word units in the same way as simple words and to inflect them correctly upon request. The first version of this approach was presented in [10]. Although this version gave promising results for Serbian, it was hardwired into the tool itself so that it was not easy to modify the Serbian module or to apply it to other languages. With a new approach that relies on the feature structure description of a particular language’s morphology [6] and widely uses XML technology, the portability to other languages will be much easier [17]. On a more practical level, our aim is enrich our lexical resources, first of all to enrich the Prolex database, as we plan to use it in a translation environment [14]. It is our wish to work in a future with a true aligned Balkan text – that is, a text originally written in a Balkan language and translated into other Balkan languages.
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