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A B S T R A C T

Acetylcholine plays a major role in brain cognitive and motor functions with regional cholinergic terminal loss common in several neurodegenerative disorders. We describe age-related declines of regional cholinergic neuron terminal density in vivo using the positron emission tomography (PET) ligand \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FEOBV}\text{, vesamicol analogue selectively binding to the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT). A total of 42 subjects without clinical evidence of neurologic disease (mean 50.55 [range 20–80] years, 24 Male/18 Female) underwent \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FEOBV brain PET imaging. We used SPM based voxel-wise statistical analysis to perform whole brain voxel-based parametric analysis (family-wise error corrected, FWE) and to also extract the most significant clusters of regions correlating with aging with gender as nuisance variable. Age-related VAChT binding reductions were found in primary sensorimotor cortex, visual cortex, caudate nucleus, anterior to mid-cingulum, bilateral insula, parahippocampus, hippocampus, anterior temporal lobes/amygdala, dorsomedial thalamus, metathalamus, and cerebellum (gender and FWE-corrected, P < 0.05). These findings show a specific topographic pattern of regional vulnerability of cholinergic nerve terminals across multiple cholinergic systems accompanying aging.
© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Normal aging is associated with cholinergic system losses in the brain (see [1–3] for review). Most data relevant to age-related declines of cholinergic systems derives from older post-mortem studies of the basal forebrain cholinergic corticopetal complex (BFCC) evaluating markers of cholinergic terminal and perikaryal integrity [3].

Abbreviations: AChE, Acetylcholinesterase; BFCC, Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Corticopetal complex; COTC, Cingulo-Opercular Task Control; FEOBV, Fluoroethoxybenzovesamicol; IBVM, Iodobenzovesamicol; MVC, Medial Vestibular Complex; PPN/LDTC, pedunculopontine nucleus/lateral dorsal tegmental complex; PET, Positron Emission Tomography; SchIs, Striatal Cholinergic Interneurons; SPECT, Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography; VAChT, vesicular acetylcholine transporter.
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Other important brain cholinergic systems were largely ignored in these studies.

Important cholinergic projection systems include the BFCC, notably the nucleus basalis of Meynert (nbM), which provides the principal cholinergic input of the entire cortical mantle and amygdala [4]. The medial septal and vertical limb of the diagonal band nuclei of the BFCC innervate the hippocampal formation. The pedunculopontine nucleus/lateral dorsal tegmental complex (PPN/LDTC) provides cholinergic inputs to the basal ganglia, thalamus, cerebellum, several brainstem nuclei, and the spinal cord [5]. The medial vestibular nucleus (MVN) is a major source of cholinergic input to the cerebellum [6]. The striatum exhibits the highest density of cholinergic terminal markers in the brain. Cholinergic nerve terminals in the striatum may derive from striatal cholinergic interneurons (SChIs) or from extrinsic projections from the PPN/LDTC, with available evidence indicating that the great majority of striatal cholinergic terminals emanate from SChIs [7,8].

There is a paucity of information about the relationship between normal aging and changes in brain cholinergic systems other than the BFCC. Our recent positron emission tomography (PET) study using the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACHT) ligand \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-5-fluoroethoxybenzoylseramicol (\( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV) found significant age-associated decreases in \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV binding in striatum (approximately 4% binding loss per decade) and approximately 2.5% per decade FEOBV binding losses in primary sensorimotor cortex, anterior cingulum, and thalamus of neurologically intact adults [9]. VACHT expression is unique to cholinergic terminals and \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV PET is thought to be a specific and robust measure of regional cholinergic terminal density [10]. Assessment of aging effects in this study was based on limited volume-of-interest analysis using \textit{a priori} selection of relatively high binding regions. This approach lacks sensitivity to assess cholinergic aging effects in regions with lower tracer binding and also in characterizing changes within larger preselected volumes-of-interest, such as the striatum. A more systematic analysis requires a spatially unbiased approach.

The goal of this paper is to perform a whole brain voxel-based analysis to investigate the topography of age-related cholinergic terminal changes using \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV PET in normal adults and across a wide age range and complemented by a cluster peak analysis. Identification of the topographic vulnerability of cholinergic systems during aging is relevant to understand the contributions of normal aging to age-related neurodegenerative disorders exhibiting cholinergic systems degenerations, such as Parkinson’s or Alzheimer’s disease. Identifying the topography of normal age-related changes in cholinergic systems may also be relevant to understand potential sensitivity to the use of commonly prescribed anticholinergic drugs in older adults.

**Subjects and methods**

**Subjects**

This cross-sectional study involved 42 neurologically intact normal control subjects (24 males, 18 females), mean age 50.55 \( \pm \) 19.35, age range 20–80 years. Data from 29 subjects was used in a previous study describing the normal biodistribution of VACHT binding with limited (volume-of-interest method only) aging effects analyses [9]. Ten subjects (5/5 M/F) out of 42 were part of the Dutch Parkinson Cohort (DUPARC) study [11]. No subjects had histories of the neurologic or psychiatric disease, none took medications that might affect cholinergic neurotransmission (either cholinergic or anticholinergic drugs) and all had a normal neurological examination at the time of this study. The study was approved by and study procedures were followed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board of the University of Michigan and the medical ethical committee of the University of Groningen. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

**Imaging techniques** All subjects underwent brain MRI and VACHT \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV PET imaging. MRI was performed on a 3 Tesla Philips Achieva system (Philips, Best, The Netherlands) at the University of Michigan and a 3 Tesla Philips Intera system (Philips, The Netherlands) at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG). PET imaging was performed in 3D imaging mode with an ECAT Exact HR + tomograph (Siemens Molecular Imaging, Inc., Knoxville, TN) as previously reported [12] or Biograph 6 TruePoint PET/CT scanner (Siemens Molecular Imaging, Inc., Knoxville, TN) as previously described [13] at the University of Michigan and 40-mCT or 64-mCT TruePoint PET/CT scanner (Siemens Molecular Imaging, Inc., Knoxville, TN) at the UMCG as previously described [11]. \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV were prepared as described previously [14]. Inter-camera data harmonization was performed as described [15]. We constructed TruePoint images with 3 mm filters to match the resolution and to account for differences between the scanners. To reduce the scanner variability, the acquisition parameters used at the University of Michigan were followed at the UMCG. We reconstructed all \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV PET images obtained at the UMCG using software, methodology and reconstruction parameters identical to those used at the University of Michigan.

**Image analysis**

**Spatial preprocessing**

We constructed parametric images to reflect Distribution Volume Ratios (DVR) of \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-FEOBV in the brain by using the supratentorial white matter as a reference region as previously reported [16–18]. All structural MRI images were segmented into native and ‘Dartel-imported’ gray matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid using the Statistical Parametric mapping 12 (SPM12) software package (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). Using this segmentation, a Muller-Gartner partial-volume correction method was used to remove the partial volume effect (PVE) on our PET images [19]. Each subject’s structural MRI along with registered PVE corrected PET image is then normalized to the study specific template in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using high-dimensional DARTEL registration. To remove random noise, normalized PVE corrected PET images were spatially smoothed to 8 mm full
width at half maximum (FWHM). We performed the images preprocessing steps from both the centers at the University of Michigan. Before including the Groningen subjects in our analysis and to account for any biases present in the images due to scanning at two sites, we applied an inter-scanner normalization method as listed above [15] between age and gender-matched subjects between the center and found no significant difference between any of the images. The mask of the basal forebrain in MNI space was drawn from a multilevel atlas framework based on the Julich Brain atlas (https://www.fz-juelich.de/inm/inm-1/EN/Forschung/JulichBrain/JulichBrain_Webtools/JulichBrain_Webtools_node.html) using the previously described method [20–22].

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the effect of neurologically intact adults on \(^{18}\text{F}\) FEOBV DVR in the brain, a voxel-based correlation analysis of the images in MNI space was performed using SPM12, with age as the variance of interest and gender as the nuisance covariate. Both positive and negative correlations were evaluated. A cluster-based analysis was also performed and statistical parametric mapping results were thresholded at voxel level \(p < 0.001\) and corrected for whole-brain comparisons using cluster-level family-wise error rate (\(p < 0.05\)). Clusters that survived the cluster-level family-wise error rate were interpreted as significant.

Results

Age-related reductions of VAChT binding were found in primary sensorimotor cortex, visual cortex, caudate nucleus, anterior to mid cingulum, bilateral insulae, para-hippocampus, hippocampus, anterior temporal lobes/amygdala, metathalamus (lateral and medial geniculate nuclei), dorsomedial thalamus, and cerebellum (gender and FWE-corrected, \(P < 0.05\); Fig. 1).

Scatter plots of distribution volume ratio of parahippocampal gyrus and caudate nucleus show age-associated reductions of VAChT binding (Fig. 2). Similarly, a voxel-based morphometric analysis of the basal forebrain detects age-related reduction of volume in basal forebrain in neurologically intact adults, gender and FWE-corrected, \(P < 0.05\) (Fig. 3).

Table 1 lists the major clusters with cluster size 50 voxels or more, FWE-corrected \(p\)-values, the coordinates (\(X, Y, Z\)) of the local maxima within that cluster in MNI space, peak voxel \(z\) and \(t\)-score at the local maxima, and brain regions associated with the clusters.

Discussion

Post-mortem studies show that neurologically intact control persons exhibit age-related declines of BFCC perikaryal density, and within the cortex, in biochemical and histochemical markers of cholinergic terminal density. Other cholinergic systems are less studied. Molecular imaging methods allow in vivo evaluation of cholinergic systems integrity but there is only scarce in vivo molecular imaging data on the relationships between normal aging and cholinergic systems integrity. A prior VAChT ligand \(^{123}\text{I}\)-iodobenzovesamicol (IBVM) single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging study in neurologically intact controls found cortical IBVM binding declined 3.7% per decade between the ages of 21 and 91 [23]. The limited resolution of SPECT precluded more detailed analysis and results in subcortical structures were not reported. A \(\chi^2\) \(^{123}\text{I}\)-5-IA-85380 SPECT study of nicotinic cholinergic receptors found an inverse correlation between age and receptor binding availability in neurologically intact adults aged 18–85 years [24]. Declines ranged from 32% (thalamus) to 18% (occipital cortex) over the adult lifespan, or up to 5% per decade, but these results may partly reflect post-synaptic changes. Our more recent VAChT \(^{18}\text{F}\) FEOBV PET study, with a subset of the normal participants (\(n = 29\)) used for this analysis, found significant age-associated decreases in \(^{18}\text{F}\) FEOBV binding of the striatum (approximately 4% binding loss per decade) with approximately 2.5% per decade binding losses in the primary sensorimotor cortex, the anterior cingulum, and the thalamus. Other regions did not show significant age-related reductions [9].

Our current analysis, performed with a larger study sample and using a whole-brain voxel-based analysis method, as opposed to the volumes-of-interest analyses of prior studies, confirms our prior results and depicts a more granular topography of age-related cholinergic terminal declines. Novel findings include preferential vulnerability of the caudate nucleus cholinergic terminals relative to those of the putamen, and similar relative vulnerability of the metathalamus cholinergic terminals compared to those of the thalamus. Other novel findings include age-related declines of \(^{18}\text{F}\) FEOBV binding in hippocampal and parahippocampal regions, the calcarine cortex, and parts of the cerebellar cortex. The striatal and cerebellar results show the potential strengths of this voxel-by-voxel analysis. The striatal cluster of significant voxels suggests preferential involvement of caudate SChIs. The prior volume-of-interest analysis did not detect any changes in the cerebellum, even in regions of high \(^{18}\text{F}\) FEOBV binding such as nodulus and flocculus.

A FEOBV PET study of aging rodents [25] found aging associated with hippocampal and parietotemporal cortical cholinergic losses. Our study in neurologically intact adults found evidence of more widespread age-related losses extending beyond these regions. This may either reflect a critical difference between rodents and the human brain versus more detailed neuroimaging analysis techniques applied to the anatomically larger human brain.

Our present results indicate age-related changes in all major brain cholinergic systems, including declines of BFCC terminals, PPN-LDTC cholinergic terminals, MVN cholinergic terminals, and likely SChI terminals. Our findings suggest that the BFCC afferents to limbic and paralimbic cortices appear more vulnerable than BFCC afferents to most of the neocortex with the exception of primary sensorimotor and calcarine cortices. These results suggest preferential age-related effects on medial septal/vertical limb of the diagonal band nucleus and nBM subpopula-
Recent studies indicate that the BFCC is not a diffuse projections system but rather composed clusters of cholinergic projection neurons with terminals in limited numbers of cortical fields [26,27]. What factors might account for BFCC subpopulation specific cholinergic terminal losses is unclear. In the case of calcarine cortex, it is plausible that this may be a "dying back" phenomenon. Cholinergic efferents from the nBM traverse 2 major pathways, one projecting initially anterior and coursing around the corpus callosum and one traveling through the external capsule [2,26]. Some BFCC afferents to calcarine cortex might travel through the anterior projection with their extended length making them particularly vulnerable to metabolic impairments.

Similarly, our results in regions innervated by other cholinergic systems – metathalamus/thalamus (PPN/LTDC), cerebellum (MVN), and striatum (SChIs) – suggest preferential involvement of subpopulations of cholinergic neurons.

We recently reported that cholinergic terminals in components of the cingulo-opercular task control (COTC) network in patients with PD correlated with the degree of cognitive impairment [28]. The identification of age-related cholinergic terminal deficits within the anterior cingulum, thalamus, metathalamus, insula, and caudate nucleus point to greater vulnerability of COTC hubs [29]. The COTC network plays important roles in the maintenance of tonic alertness and task performance [30]. Maintenance of alertness is a critical function subserving multiple higher cognitive domain functions, such as executive functions and memory. Age-associated cholinergic terminal deficits within COTC nodes may provide a partial explanation of why normal aging is associated with declines in cognitive abilities such as processing speed and certain memory, language, visuospatial, and executive functions [31]. Our finding that cholinergic terminals within COTC nodes may be vulnerable to aging effects expands the growing literature that normal aging is associ-

Fig. 1. Age-related reduced VACHT binding reductions are shown in primary sensorimotor cortex, visual cortex, caudate nucleus, anterior to mid cingulum, bilateral insula, para-hippocampus, hippocampus, anterior temporal lobes/amygdala, epithalamus, and cerebellum (gender and FWE-corrected, P < 0.05).
ated with loss of large-scale neural network functions [32] and may increase vulnerability to age-associated neurodegenerations, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD). FEOBV PET has also potential to be used as a diagnostic test for AD or other types of dementia. For example, a recent VACH [18F]FEOBV PET study found that cholinergic denervation in AD was more accurate and sensitive in differentiating AD from normal controls compared to [11C]-PIB amyloid PET and [18F]-Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) tracers [33]. Similarly, our voxel-based metabolic covariance group analysis between AD and DLB using VACH [18F]FEOBV PET reveals a specific covarying pattern of cholinergic losses in DLB supporting the use of VACH [18F]FEOBV PET to distinguish DLB from AD [34].

Our results also implicate age-related brain cholinergic deficits in other common age-related clinical phenomena. Given the involvement of the metathalamus and caudate nucleus cholinergic deficits in postural imbalance and falls in PD, cholinergic deficits of these regions in normal aging may increase risk of falling in normal older adults, particularly those taking anti-cholinergic drugs [35]. Our findings emphasize the importance of avoiding anti-cholinergic drugs in the elderly.

Our current findings underscore the notion that brain cholinergic deficits found neurodegenerative disorders such as PD reflect both disease-specific and normal aging-related degenerative processes. Similar mixed effects of disease and normal aging were shown for nigrostriatal dopaminergic losses in PD [36,37].

There are several limitations of this study. First, gender distribution was not equally distributed across the older (relatively more males) vs. the younger (relatively more...
Table 1 lists of significant age-associated FEOBV PET clusters with a minimum of 50 voxels with the location of the peak voxel, peak voxel z and t-score, and the regions associated with the clusters.

| Clusters (voxels) | P     | Peak MNI coordinates [x,y,z] | Z    | T    | Regions                                                                 |
|------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1146             | <0.001| −6 8 10                     | 7.8  | 12.28| Left and Right Caudate  
Left and Right Thalamus  
Left and right lateral geniculate nuclei  
Left and right medial geniculate nuclei  
Left and Right Olfactory |
| 2289             | <0.001| −2 8 26                     | 7.58 | 11.57| Left and Right Mid Cingulum  
Left and Right Ant Cingulum  
Left and Right Precuneus  
Left and Right Supp Motor Area  
Left and Right Frontal sup medial  
Left and Right paracentral lobule  
Left post cingulum |
| 244              | <0.001| 18 −24 −18                  | 6.74 | 9.37 | Right Parahippocampal  
Right Lingual  
Right Cerebellum lobules 3, 4 & 5  
Right Hippocampus  
Right Fusiform gyrus |
| 967              | <0.001| 44 −12 2                    | 6.72 | 9.33 | Right Insula  
Right Temporal sup  
Right Heschl gyrus  
Right temporal superior pole  
Right parahippocampal gyrus  
Right Rolanid operculum  
Right Amygdala  
Right Hippocampus  
Right frontal inferior orbitofrontal lobe |
| 1386             | <0.001| −14 −8 −16                  | 6.52 | 8.86 | Left Hippocampus  
Left Superior temporal pole  
Left Insula  
Left Parahippocampal gyrus  
Left Frontal inferior orbitofrontal lobe  
Left Heschl gyrus  
Left Amygdala  
Left Cerebellum lobules 3, 4 & 5  
Left Olfactory cortex  
Left Rolandic operculum  
Left fusiform gyrus  
Left lingual gyrus  
Left inferior frontal gyrus triangular part |
| 362              | <0.001| 42 −34 50                   | 6.49 | 8.79 | Right postcentral cortex  
Right precentral cortex  
Right inferior parietal lobe |
| 36               | <0.001| 2 −38 18                    | 6.13 | 8.02 | Left and Right posterior cingulate cortex |
| 267              | <0.001| 0 −60 2                     | 6.12 | 7.99 | Vermis sections of lobules 4, 5 & 6  
Left and right lingual gyrus  
Left Cerebellum lobules 4, 5 & 6  
Left and Right Calcarine cortex  
Left Cerebelum lobules 7b & 8  
Left Inferior Temporal lobe |
| 308              | <0.001| −40 −38 −42                 | 5.72 | 7.33 | Left Cerebellum Crus 1 & 2  
Left Cerebelum lobules 7b & 8  
Left Inferior Temporal lobe |
| 102              | <0.001| 40 −40 −42                  | 5.84 | 7.44 | Right Cerebelum Crus 1 & 2  
Right Cerebelum lobules 7b & 8  
Left Postcentral cortex  
Left Precentral cortex |
| 157              | <0.001| −40 −20 52                  | 5.69 | 7.16 | Left Postcentral cortex  
Left Precentral cortex |
| 110              | <0.001| 4 −98 −4                    | 5.68 | 7.15 | Left Calcarine cortex |
| 129              | 0.007 | −30 −34 50                  | 5.08 | 6.10 | Left Inferior parietal cortex  
Left Postcentral cortex  
Left Supramarginal gyrus |
| 50               | 0.002 | 58 −66 −32                  | 5.35 | 6.55 | Right Cerebellum Crus1  
Right Superior temporal lobe  
Left postcentral cortex  
Left Supramarginal gyrus |
| 51               | 0.004 | −56 −22 12                  | 5.24 | 6.36 | Left Superior temporal lobe  
Left postcentral cortex  
Left Supramarginal gyrus |
| 53               | 0.004 | 20 2 4                      | 5.21 | 6.32 | Right Putamen  
Right Pallidum |
females) age groups in the study participants. Our analysis, however, was adjusted for the effects of gender. Furthermore, despite the smaller number of women in the older age group, post hoc analysis showed similar regional topographic effects of aging in women in uncorrected analyses. Second, we did not assess the presence of asymmetric or prodromal biomarkers of Alzheimer and Lewy body disorders, which might have resulted in possible inclusion of otherwise neurologically intact adults in our study.

We conclude that all major cholinergic cell groups and projections, including the BFCC, PPN-LDTC, MVN and SCls, are vulnerable to effects of normal aging. Our results suggest that subpopulations of these cholinergic systems are particularly vulnerable. Our findings may have clinical implications and may help to explain the deleterious effects of anti-cholinergic drugs in the otherwise neurologically intact elderly without the history of neurological disease.
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