Interpreting ‘Torah’ in Psalm 1 in the light of Psalm 119

This article argued that Psalm 37 and Proverbs 1–4 served as sources for the composition of Psalm 1. The emphasis in both donor texts on the righteous people’s inheriting the Promised Land seems to have imprinted also on Psalm 1, a factor that could change our understanding of it. All three contexts in turn played a role in the composition of Psalm 119, but whilst the author of this long psalm also understood the ‘Torah’ of Yahweh as the incarnation of true wisdom, it seems that ‘Torah’ also subsumed the Promised Land for him. The investigation showed that ‘Torah’ in Psalm 1 should be understood as an arch-lexeme for all the religious texts its author used to compose, similar to what was the understanding of the author of Psalm 119 a little later.

Introduction

It stands to the credit of Alfonso Deißler to have shown convincingly that Psalm 119 was composed in what he called the ‘anthological style Gattung’ (anthologische Stilgattung) – a way of creating Scripture through the creative use of Scripture.1 By alluding to, borrowing from, rephrasing, and reinterpreting segments of the Torah, Prophets, wisdom literature, and Psalms, the author of Psalm 119 created a new authoritative text by replicating and re-contextualising what must have been considered to be authoritative texts in his day.2 By doing so, he gave a clear indication of what he considered to be included under ‘Torah’ in its general sense.3 His use of the eight ‘synonyms’ for ‘Torah’ suggests that it concerns the regulation of human action as much as it does the descriptions of acts of salvation by Yahweh in the past and the promises about his future intervention in the life of the faithful.4

What is coming more and more to light in recent research is that Psalm 119 was not the first psalm to be created on this basis. It seems that the author had examples to follow: many of the psalms that played an important role in the composition of Psalm 119 already – but to a lesser extent – made use of this mode of composition. In this regard I refer especially to Psalms 19 and 37 which played an important role in the composition of Psalm 119 already – but to a lesser extent – made use of this mode of composition. In this regard I refer especially to Psalms 19 and 37 which will be touched upon in this article.5 But his primary example of this post-exilic style of creating religious texts must have been Psalm 1.6 The author of Psalm 1, it seems, made use of phrases and images from Deuteronomy,7 Joshua, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and Psalms.8 To these well-known sources for the composition of Psalm 1, I would like to add the first chapters of Proverbs and from among the Psalms, Psalm 37 in particular. The connection between Psalm 37 and Proverbs surely played a role in establishing (indirect) connecting lines between Psalm 1 and Proverbs, but I believe Proverbs itself also made a direct impact on Psalm 1. Finally, I would also like

1. Für seinen Psalm vom Worte Jahwes wollte der Autor das ihm vorliegende schriftliche Wort Gottes möglichst selbst zur Sprache kommen lassen bzw. in seinem Sprechen aus der Schilderung seiner Meditation und Erfahrung heraus an ihm anknüpfen’ (Deißler 1955:268, cf. also 277).
2. Deißler speaks of ‘der überkommenen heiligen Texte’ (1955:277).
3. The word תורת functions as the arch-lexeme of Torah-words in Psalm 119, although the term תורת is used in very much the same way and thus is a close contender for the position. Cf. Botha (1989:20–21). This serves as proof that much more than ‘Mosaic’ material was intended. Weber (2006:88–9) infers the same to be true of the use of תורת in Psalm 1.
4. Cf. Botha (1986), and the article on the role of each term in the semantic field, Botha (1989:3–22).
5. Other psalms which were composed or edited by exponents of wisdom are too many to be listed here. Reference can be made to the important contribution of Bernard Grosse (2008:51–210) and my own more modest investigations into Psalm 12 (Botha 2012b:260–276), Psalm 14 (Botha 2010:43–58), Psalm 16 (Botha 2011a:30–48), Psalm 20 (Botha 2011b:424–442), Psalm 33 (Botha & Potgieter 2010:1–8), Psalm 34 (Botha 2008:18–37), and Psalm 91 (Botha 2012b:260–276).
6. Creach (1999:36, n. 6) speaks of the author of the psalm altering and transcending ‘his “sources” in such an orderly fashion that the psalm may be said to contain a kind of “exegesis”’.
7. In this regard, the most comprehensive investigation probably is the study of Weber (2007:179–200). He focuses on the influence of Deuteronomy 6:4–9 as key context, recognisable through the use of certain terms and the theme of memorialising the Torah. Cf. also Weber (2006:89–92). The influence of other biblical contexts has [inter alia] been investigated in the studies of Creach (1999), Botha (2005), Weber (2006) and Weber (2010).
8. Christoph Levin has pointed out many contacts with other psalms, amongst which the significant similarity between Psalm 1:6 and Psalm 146:8a and 9b. Cf. Levin (1993:362). A number of exegetes also find interplay with Malachi 3, for example Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:45) and Reinhard Kratz (1996:7). Malachi 3:19 (MT) does mention the wicked as ‘stubble’ (צורע) instead of ‘chaff’ and 3:22 does mention the ‘Torah of Moses’, whilst the wicked and the righteous are also contrasted.
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to point out that the author of Psalm 119 considered Psalm 1 itself as an authoritative text, but did not hesitate to adapt and reinterpret it for his own circumstances. 9

This article proposes to table arguments that will show how the authors of Psalms 1, 19, and 37 reinterpreted Proverbs 1–4 to define ‘Torah’ – which included wisdom teaching – as true wisdom. 10 The author of Psalm 37 was particularly concerned with arguing that those who have the Torah of Yahweh in their heart (rather than wisdom as the psalm’s ‘base text,’ Pr 2, suggests) will ‘inherit the land’. The connection between Psalm 1 and Psalm 37, I would further like to argue, implies that the author of Psalm 1 understood ‘success’ also as eventual inheritance of the Promised Land, and attachment to the Torah – as the complete revealed will of God (inclusive of wisdom texts) – as the way to achieve this. Finally, I would like to argue that the author of Psalm 119 considered Psalm 1 in a similar way as the author of Psalm 37 and Psalm 1, but made a further adaptation to these wisdom psalms. Where Psalm 1 still implies (like Ps 37) that the way to success and blessedness is to walk in the Torah as true wisdom, the author of Psalm 119 argues that success and blessedness is to be able to walk in the Torah of Yahweh.

Torah is true wisdom according to Psalms 19 and 37

Bernard Gosse published a fine short article (Gosse 2006) in which he shows how Proverbs, especially Proverbs 1:20–23, served as inspiration for Psalms 1, 19, and 119. He focuses on the call of personified wisdom to the ‘simple ones’ and its reinterpretation in Psalms 19 and 119 to indicate that it is the Torah – in the form of the ‘witness’ of Yahweh (תור, Ps 19:8) and the ‘words’ of Yahweh (דברי, Ps 119:130) respectively – that gives wisdom and understanding to the simple ones. He also notes how the warning against ‘scoffing’ (in Pr 1:22) finds an echo in Psalms 1:1 and 119:51. His insight into the process of how Proverbs inspired or influenced these three wisdom psalms may be summarised as seen in Table 1.

He also points out many other connections between Psalm 19 and Proverbs (such as the dependence of Ps 19:11 on Ps 1:20–23.11). He shows convincingly how the language associated with the ‘Torah’ in Psalms 1, 19 and 119 uses the terminology associated with wisdom in the book of Proverbs.

There can be little doubt that the author of Psalm 19 drew inspiration from the book of Proverbs and that ‘Torah,’ which he summarised with the words פִּתי לך, פִּתי פִּתי, פִּתי פִּתי, פִּתי פִּתי, assumed for him the position accorded to wisdom in the book of Proverbs.

It seems to me that Psalm 37 has a similar connection with Proverbs. Zenger has pointed out the conspicuous similarities between Psalm 37:1 and Proverbs 24:1 and 19, as well as the similarity of Psalm 37:37–38 with Proverbs 24:20 (Hossfeld & Zenger 1993:230). Wilson (2002:602) pointed out the similarity between Psalms 37:16–17 and Proverbs 15:16.12 But it seems that Psalms 2:20–2212 was also considered particularly important by the author of Psalm 37. These verses read as follows:

20. So that you can walk in the way of good (men), and keep the paths of the righteous. 21. For the upright will live (לחי) in the land (לאר), and the blameless will remain in it. 22. But the wicked will be cut off (לכרי) from the land (לאר), and the faithless ones will be torn out from it.

This has a parallel in Psalm 37:5 and 9:

Commit your way to Yahweh, trust also in him, and he will do it … For evildoers (פשעים כלאים) will be cut off (לכרי כלאים) from the land (לאר), but the humble will inherit (לחי כלאים) the land (לאר).

The idea of inheritance of the land is stressed through repetition in the rest of Psalm 37. It seems as if the whole Psalm actually comments on the text in Proverbs 2:

But the humble will inherit (לחי כלאים) the land, and will delight themselves in abundant prosperity (לחי כלאים) (Ps 37:11).

Yahweh knows the days of the blameless; and their inheritance (לחי כלאים) will be forever (Ps 37:18).

For those blessed by him will inherit (לחי כלאים) the land; but those cursed by him will be cut off (לכרי כלאים) (Ps 37:22).

Depart from evil, and do good, so you will stay (לחי כלאים) forever. For Yahweh loves justice, and does not forsake his godly ones; they are preserved forever; but the descendants of the wicked will be cut off (לכרי כלאים). The righteous will inherit (לחי כלאים) the land, and dwell (לחי) in it forever (Ps 37:27–29).

9. The question of which psalm preceded which will be touched upon a little later. Cf. the valuable discussion on the dating of Psalm 1 in Weber (2006:95–97). One should acknowledge, however, that the date of writing is not necessarily relevant for determining the time when or place where a psalm was inserted into the Psalter.

10. These psalms are not the only ones with wisdom connections in Book I of the Psalter, but Psalm 19 is seen as the form-historical centre of the first book (Millard 1994:140) with connections to Psalm 1 in terms of Torah-piety and similar connections to the composition of Psalms 32–41 in terms of wisdom.

11. He refers to Proverbs 16:8 and 28:6 as well.

12. Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:232) also refer to Proverbs 2:21–22. The same thought is also expressed in other words in Proverbs 10:30.
If there were any doubt whether Proverbs really served as the inspiration for the author of Psalm 37, the similarity between Psalm 37:5–6 and Proverbs 4:18 should be considered (see Table 2).

The characteristics of a righteous person, according to Psalm 37, are trust in Yahweh (vv. 3, 5; cf. Pr 3:5), doing what is good and practicing faithfulness (vv. 3, 27; cf. Pr 3:27; 12:22), taking delight in Yahweh (v. 4), silently waiting for Yahweh (vv. 7, 34), being humble (v. 11; cf. Pr 3:34), being gracious by giving to others and lending out (vv. 21, 26; cf. Pr 14:21, 31), and taking refuge in Yahweh (v. 40; cf. Pr 30:5). There is a conspicuous emphasis on the correct disposition before Yahweh, something which might point towards a redaction on behalf of the poor.

But for an investigation of the impact of wisdom on Psalm 37, the most important characteristics of a righteous person are probably found in verses 30–31:

The mouth of the righteous utters wisdom (יִנְבֹּט יִשְׁמָא), and his tongue speaks justice: The law of his God is in his heart (אֵלִיָּהֵי נֶפֶשׁ יִשְׁמָא); his steps do not slip.

This should be compared to Proverbs 2:10: ‘For wisdom will enter into your heart (רֹפֵא לֹא יִהְיוּב יַעֲשָׂה שָׁמַיִם); with Proverbs 8:7: ‘For my mouth will utter truth (יִקְרָא הַפּוֹתָה יִתְכּוּר); and wickedness is an abomination to my lips.’

It is clear from Psalm 37:30–31 that there is a close connection between wisdom and Torah in the mind of the author of this psalm. It seems that only someone who has the Torah of God as the true wisdom in his heart is able to utter wisdom, to speak justice and to prevent his steps from slipping. This is the kind of person who will inherit the land.

The connection between Psalm 1 and Psalm 37 and the inheritance of the land

The author of Psalm 1 considered the book of Proverbs as a valid source for creating a religious text about the ‘Torah’ of Yahweh. As was remarked above, Gosse has pointed out the similarity of the mentioning of the הַפּוֹתָה [mockers] in Proverbs 1:22, Psalm 1:1, and Psalm 119:51 (Gosse 2006:390–391). The word occurs many times in Proverbs, but these are the only two occurrences in Psalms, a fact which should be seen as significant. Gosse also noted the similarity between the introductory formulas of blessing in Psalm 1:1 and Psalm 119:1–2 and those in Proverbs 3:13: ‘(blessed is the man who finds wisdom, and the man who gains understanding’) and also 8:32: ‘(blessed are they who keep my ways,‘ wisdom being the speaker) (Gosse 2006:391). These are indeed other instances of wisdom being replaced by Torah in wisdom Psalms such as Psalm 1 and Psalm 119.

But it seems the connection between Psalm 1 and Proverbs is even closer. Psalm 1 echoes the call of Proverbs 1:10–15 not to fall for the enticement of sinners (תְּרוּפַתָה) who urge one to join in their murderous robberies, but rather to resist going ‘with them on the way’ (אֶלְּכִּי נְתַנְּשֶׁה תְּרוּפַתָה, v. 15). Wisdom then warns the mockers (תְּרוּפַתָה) in Proverbs 1:22 to stop ‘delighting’ (תְּרוּפַתָה) in mockery, since she will laugh at them and mock them when their destruction comes like a whirlwind (תְּרוּפַתָה, v. 27, cf. ‘wind,’ יָרָא, in Ps 1:4) since they would not accept her counsel (תְּרוּפַתָה) (v. 30; cf. Ps 1:1). Yahweh himself is later also said to ‘scotch at the scoffers’ (Pr 3:34). They will eat of the fruit (תְּרוּפַתָה) of their own way (Pr 1:31, cf. Ps 1:3). It is the complicity of these fools that destroys them (תְּרוּפַתָה, v. 32, cf. Ps 1:6, רַע).

The two ways are also contrasted in Proverbs 2:12–20 and it is described how wisdom (תְּרוּפַתָה) will save one from the way of evil and the ways of darkness, so that one can walk in the way of good men and keep to the paths of the righteous (תְּרוּפַתָה).

Proverbs 3:18 compares wisdom to ‘a tree of life’ (תְּרוּפַתָה) for those who take hold of her, so that it can be seen that Psalm 1 has in fact here also substituted wisdom for Torah, since in this psalm it is the Torah that nourishes the righteous like canals nourish a tree (cf. Ps 1:2–3). It is no longer the wise who produce fruit like a tree of life (Pr 11:30), but those who meditate on the Torah of Yahweh (Ps 1:3). Finally, according to Proverbs 10:28 it is the ‘hope’ of the wicked that will perish (תְּרוּפַתָה), a pronouncement that reminds one of Psalm 1:6.

There is no suggestion in this comparison between Psalm 1 and Proverbs that the author of Psalm 1 thought of the inheritance of the land as the reward for an attachment to the Torah of Yahweh. But the conspicuous links Psalm 1 has with Psalm 37, and the emphasis Psalm 37 places on inheritance of the land as the reward for piety through observance of the Torah as wisdom may suggest new insight into the meaning of Psalm 1 as well. It is certainly possible that it was Psalm 1 that inspired the author of Psalm 37, but for the moment let us accept the dominant view that Psalm 1 was composed later than Psalm 37.16

16. Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:46) see a similar parallel with Proverbs 4:14, ‘Do not set foot on the path of the wicked or walk in the way of evil men.’

17. For the second part of this statement, cf. Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:48), although he would add also the ‘streams’ of the book of Psalms itself.

18. Weber (2006:99) interprets Psalm 37:30–31 ‘as Auslegung, ja als Folgerung von dem in Ps Gesagten.’

19. Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:45) recognise the role of Psalm 37 in the composition of Psalm 1, but regard Psalm 119 also as one of its sources. I would rather say that Psalm 119 is later than Psalm 1 and that its author made use of this text as well. Weber (2006:101) also understands Psalm 1 as the predecessor of Psalm 119: it concludes what Psalm 1 initiated.
If that is indeed the case, it seems that the author of Psalm 1 made use of a host of images found in Psalm 37:26. Evildoers will wither like green herbs (יהוה, Ps 37:2; cf. the opposite description of the righteous in Ps 1:3 as a tree the leaves of which do not wither); way-imagery is used extensively in both contexts, although this is a typical feature of wisdom texts and one that Psalm 1 also shares with Proverbs (cf. Ps 37:5, 7, 14, 23, 34); Psalm 37:7 urges the righteous not to be jealous of the success of someone who carries out wicked schemes (יהוה is used to describe the success; cf. the opposite description of the righteous as the successful in Ps 1:3); Psalm 37:18 which states that ‘Yahweh knows the days of the blameless persons,’ has a close parallel in Psalm 1:6 (‘Yahweh knows the way of the righteous persons’); Psalm 37:20 (‘But the wicked will perish’) has an echo in Psalm 1:6 (‘but the way of the wicked shall perish’); in Psalm 37:23 it is said that Yahweh delights (יהוה) in the way of a man, an expression which might have prompted the description of a righteous person as one whose delight (יהוה) is in the Torah of Yahweh in Psalm 1:2; Psalm 37:3 describes the righteous person as one whose mouth speaks wisdom, whilst Psalm 1:2 describes the righteous person as one who repeats יהוה the Torah day and night; and finally, Psalm 37:35 speaks of a ‘violent, wicked man’ who ‘spreads himself out’ like a luxuriant indigenous tree (יהוה הרחייש). It is well known that the other instances of this word, ‘luxuriant,’ in Jeremiah 17:8, Psalms 52:10 and 92:11 and 15, occur in contexts that are known to have exerted an influence on the imagery of Psalm 1. Once again, Psalm 1 has an opposing description of the righteous as the tree with foliage that does not wither. Whilst Psalm 37 exhorts the audience not to be angry or jealous of evildoers, but to trust in Yahweh, to do good and wait for Yahweh to act, Psalm 1 does not accept the possibility of evildoers being more successful than righteous people. The descriptions of the arrogant success of the wicked in Psalm 37 are seemingly turned into images of the blessing of the righteous in Psalm 1. And yet, the two psalms are very similar in the conviction that the wicked will be uprooted and cut off and will perish. Psalm 37:20 describes this as their disappearing like rich pastures that go up in smoke. The effect is very much the same as the description in Psalm 1 of the wicked being scattered by the wind like chaff. Since there are strong associations of the image of a transplanted tree with the settling of Israel in the Promised Land, it seems feasible that the author of Psalm 1 tried to suggest that those who meditate on the Torah and trust in the judgement of Yahweh are the only ones who will eventually inherit the land. Many of the other contexts with which Psalm 1 has indisputable connections also emphasise the possession of the Promised Land. In Joshua 1:6, Yahweh promises Joshua that he will give possession (יהוה hi) of the land to the Israelites before he is given the command to meditate on the Torah in verse 8. Deuteronomy 6 begins by reminding the Israelites that the commandments, statutes, and judgements are given so that they can be ‘done’ (יהוה) in the land where you are going over to possess it (יהוה’) (Dt 6:1). The close parallel to Psalm 1 then follows in verses 6–7. After the shema, the gift of the land is once again referred to (Dt 6:10). The important context of Ezra 47 concludes, after a description of the stream of water coming from the temple and the fruit trees nourished by it, by redrawing the boundary ‘by which you are to divide the land for an inheritance (יהוהים) among the twelve tribes of Israel’ (Ezr 47:13, cf. 47:14 where the stem יהוה is used another two times).

The image of the evergreen fruit tree which gives its fruit unfaillingly also has strong reminiscences of the fruitfulness of the land which Yahweh promised on condition that the commandments were complied with: Leviticus 26:3–4, Deuteronomy 11:14, 26:2, 10, and 28:11–12 come to mind. Especially Leviticus 26:3–4 seems to form a parallel to Psalm 1: If you walk (יהוה) in my statutes and keep my commandments so as to do them (יהוה), then I shall give you rains in their season (יהוה), so that the land will yield (יהוה) its produce and the trees (יהוה) of the field will bear their fruit (יהוה).

It is significant that both Psalm 1 and Psalm 37 have strong ties with Joshua 1, the chapter in which Joshua is conscripted to take possession of the Promised Land. The connection between Psalm 1 and Joshua 1:7–8 is well known. It seems possible that this connection was inspired by the author of Psalm 1’s knowledge of Psalm 37, since the author of Psalm 37 definitely made use of Joshua 1 as well: The occurrence of the words ‘to take possession’ (יהוה in Jos 1:11, 15 and Ps 37:9, 11, 22, 29, 34), ‘to do’ (יהוה, Jos 1:7, 8, 16 and Ps 37:3, 5, 27), ‘mouth’ (יהוה) and ‘meditate’ (יהוה) in close proximity to the Torah (Jos 1:8; Ps 37:30 respectively), ‘keep’ (יהוה) (Jos 1:7, 8; Ps 37:4), ‘deviate’ (יהוה, Jos 1:7; Ps 37:27), ‘be successful in one’s ways’ (יהוה, Jos 1:8 & Ps 37:7), and Yahweh’s promise not to abandon the faithful (יהוה, Jos 1:5; Ps 37:25, 28, 33) seems to be convincing evidence in this regard.

In summary, it thus seems that the author of Psalm 1, very similar to the author of Psalm 119, created a religious text by alluding to and rephrasing sections of Scripture that must have been regarded by him as authoritative material. Since wisdom material such as Proverbs and Psalm 37 are included in the library from which he drew inspiration, and since he endorsed the substitution of ‘wisdom’ by ‘Torah’ made before his time by the authors of Psalms 19 and 37, a book such as Proverbs must surely have been regarded by him as part of the ‘Torah’ of Yahweh. There seems to be evidence, furthermore, that he expected the judgement of Yahweh

---

21.Reinhard Kratz (1996:3) has made a similar comparison and has also pointed out most of the similarities between the two psalms.
22.Also noted by Hossfeld and Zenger (1993:49).
23.Cf. the article of Creach (1999) and my article on Psalm 1 and intertextuality (Botha 2005).
24.If this is to be translated with ‘as smoke,’ the preposition must be understood as bet esse (Hossfeld & Zenger 1993:233, n. on v. 20c).
25.Cf. the use of the passive of יהוה in contexts such as Ezekiel 17 and 19 and of the idea in general in Psalm 80. This may also be the reason why Psalm 1:3a was interpreted by the Midrashim on Psalms and Leviticus respectively as referring either to the planting of Adam in the garden of Eden or the planting of Abraham or Levi in the land of Israel. Cf. Creach (1999:44).
26.Investigated in detail in Weber (2006:91–92).
27.Cf. Weber (2010).
28.Cf. the discussion and overview in Weber (2006:92–95).
on the wicked and the restoration of the Promised Land to the ‘righteous’ who found their joy in the Torah of Yahweh, that is, who lived according to his revealed will in Scripture. Psalm 37:4 urges the faithful to find joy in Yahweh (יהוה) and promises that they will enjoy (יהוה) abundant peace (Ps 37:11); for the author of Psalm 1 there is joy (切入点) in having the Torah of Yahweh to meditate upon.

Psalm 119’s interpretation and use of wisdom texts in defining ‘Torah’

It thus seems that the modern researcher has to understand the final phase of the growth of the book of Psalms in terms of a constantly growing circle of literary influence which served as a source for the creation of new religious texts. In these new creations one has to note not only the allusions to and quotations from the earlier material, but especially the critical attitude of the author in interpreting earlier material. The authors of Psalms 19 and 37, we have seen, have incorporated and interpreted Proverbs to show that true wisdom equals the Torah of Yahweh. The author of Psalm 1 has made use of a wide variety of texts, most probably all of which were considered to be authoritative material by him: Deuteronomy, Joshua, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Psalms, Job, and Proverbs seem to be the most important corpuses. All these contexts were probably included when he thought of the ‘Torah’ of Yahweh as the comprehensive teaching of Yahweh in Scripture, since he made the same connection between wisdom and the Torah of Yahweh as did the authors of the other wisdom psalms.

The author of Psalm 119 most probably had in his library copies of Deuteronomy (he was fond especially of Dt 4:19; 6:1–7), the early Prophets (he was fond of the prayers in these texts), Isaiah (esp. ch. 64), Jeremiah (he found the figure of Jeremiah to be typical of his own person), Ezekiel, Proverbs (especially Pr 1–9), Job, (Deiβl er 1955:274), Lamentations, and Psalms (especially the acrostic and other wisdom Psalms such as Ps 19, 25, 33, 37, 67, 134, 139, 147) (Deiβl er 1955:265–267), What has probably not yet been investigated satisfactorily is the relationship between Psalm 119 and Psalm 1. It seems that the author of Psalm 119 deliberately incorporated Psalm 1 into his composition by beginning with a double formula of blessing (vv. 1, 2) and by concluding his composition with the use of ‘stray like a sheep,’ a word that also occurs in the last verse of Psalm 1. Other similarities between the two psalms include the role accorded to ‘Torah,’ the extensive use of road imagery, the importance attached to meditation on the Torah, the joy that this provides, and the description of his continual involvement with the Torah, similar to the qualification of the righteous in Psalm 1 as someone who mediates ‘day and night’ on it. In this regard, Psalm 119 almost seems like a commentary on Psalm 1:1–2 and it is hard to accept that the very precisely constructed and terse Psalm 1 should be seen as the summary of Psalm 119. For the sake of comparison, and to argue that Psalm 119 is the younger text, Psalm 1 is quoted below with words or ideas taken up in Psalm 119 put in italics and with footnotes indicating the way in which these ideas were alluded to in Psalm 119:

1. Blessed is the man who walks in the counsel of the wicked, but stands in the way of sinners, but sits in the seat of scoffers; but his delight is in the law of Yahweh, and on his law he meditates day and night. He is like a tree planted by streams of water, that yields its fruit in its season, and its leaf does not wither. In all that he does, he prospers. 4. The wicked are not so, but are like chaff which the wind drives away. 5. Therefore the wicked will not stand in the judgement; nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous; 6. for Yahweh knows the way of the righteous, but the way of the wicked will perish.

2) and by concluding his composition with the use of road imagery, the importance attached to meditation on the Torah, the joy that this provides, and the description of his continual involvement with the Torah, similar to the qualification of the righteous in Psalm 1 as someone who mediates ‘day and night’ on it. In this regard, Psalm 119 almost seems like a commentary on Psalm 1:1–2 and it is hard to accept that the very precisely constructed and terse Psalm 1 should be seen as the summary of Psalm 119. For the sake of comparison, and to argue that Psalm 119 is the younger text, Psalm 1 is quoted below with words or ideas taken up in Psalm 119 put in italics and with footnotes indicating the way in which these ideas were alluded to in Psalm 119:

1. Blessed is the man who walks not in the counsel of the wicked, but stands in the way of sinners, but sits in the seat of scoffers; but his delight is in the law of Yahweh, and on his law he meditates day and night. He is like a tree planted by streams of water, that yields its fruit in its season, and its leaf does not wither. In all that he does, he prospers. 4. The wicked are not so, but are like chaff which the wind drives away. 5. Therefore the wicked will not stand in the judgement; nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous; 6. for Yahweh knows the way of the righteous, but the way of the wicked will perish.

...
As one could probably expect, Psalm 119 continues in the vein of Psalms 19, 37, and 1 by substituting wisdom with Torah. The comparison of Proverbs 3:13–14, that it is better to find wisdom than to find silver and fine gold, is matched by Psalm 119:72 which states that Yahweh’s Torah is better than thousands of pieces of gold and silver. Whilst it is wisdom that makes one wise (רְפָאָה) according to Proverbs 2:1 (cf. 7:1) urges one to ‘treasure up’ (רְפָאָה) the commandments of the wisdom teacher, the suppliant in Psalm 119:11 confesses that he ‘treasured up’ (רְפָאָה) the word of God instead. Proverbs 8:18 promises that wisdom will give enduring riches (רְפָאָה), but the author of Psalm 119:14 insists that he rejoices in the testimonies of Yahweh as in riches (רְפָאָה). The audience of Proverbs 4:5 is exhorted to acquire wisdom and not to forget the words (מקרא) of the wisdom teacher. The author of Psalm 119 repeatedly states that he will not forget the word (פָּרֹשָת) of Yahweh (v. 16), or his Torah (vv. 61, 109, 153), nor the statutes (וַתְּכַלְחֵם), the precepts (וַתְּכַלְחֵם) of the wisdom teacher. One final example should suffice to show how Proverbs is reinterpreted by the author of Psalm 119: According to Proverbs 3:19, Yahweh founded (תִּשָּׂא) the earth through wisdom. The author of Psalm 119 states in verse 152 that it is the testimonies of Yahweh that are ‘founded’ (תִּשָּׂא) forever. This is clear proof that the role accorded to wisdom in creation, as it is expressed in Job 28:23 and 25–27, and also in Proverbs 8:22–31, is taken over by Torah in the mind of the author of Psalm 119. The clearest indication of this can be found in verses 89–92 which contain an explicit reinterpretation of the role of wisdom in creation (cf. Botha 1986:378).

Two further differences between Psalm 119 and other wisdom texts should be mentioned. Where Psalm 37:9 and 34 (cf. v. 7 with its textual problem) exhorts its audience to ‘wait for Yahweh,’ the suppliant of Psalm 119 is willing to simply ‘wait’ for the Torah of Yahweh: ‘his judgements’ (v. 43), and his ‘word’ (v. 74, 81, 114, 147). The Torah seems to function as a substitute for Yahweh in these instances, indicating how authoritative the body of literary religious material had become by then.

The second difference is a tendency to regard the material rewards promised to those who heed wisdom as a spiritual reward for those who have a proper regard for the Torah. It was already noted above how wisdom promised ‘enduring wealth’ (טומע) in Proverbs 8:18, but that the author of Psalm 119 confessed to experience joy in the ‘way of (Yahweh’s) testimonies’ as one rejoices in great riches (טומע) (Ps 119:14). Where Psalm 37 and Psalm 1, according to the findings of this investigation, see the way to success and blessedness (possession of the land) as walking in the Torah of Yahweh – with Torah representing true wisdom as it is revealed in the whole of Scripture – the author of Psalm 119 would rather say that success and blessedness is to have the opportunity to walk in the Torah as the revealed will of God. Scripture is God’s gift to the faithful. Verse 111 states, for instance, ‘I have inherited (נִרְבָּת) your testimonies forever, for they are the joy of my heart.’ To ‘inherit’ is the classical term for the division of the Promised Land and for taking possession of it (Deißler 1955:209). The closest parallel to the expression used here is found in Exodus 32:13 where Moses reminds Yahweh of his promise to give Canaan as an everlasting inheritance to the Israelites. In comparison to Psalm 37, the end purpose for the righteous is not to have possession of the land forever, but it is not to inherit property and acquire fulltreasuries as wisdom promises to those who hold on to her (Pr 8:21), but simply enjoyment of the Torah. This seems to be the reason why the aspect of delight or joy in the Torah (which is also found in Ps 1) is expanded to such proportions in Psalm 119.55 Psalm 119:19 also seems to suggest that the suppliant has accepted the fact that he is a stranger in the land.54 The same idea is expressed also in Psalm 119:54: ‘Your statutes were songs to me in the house of my temporary citizenship.’

Deißler (1955:130–132, 211) has argued that verses 33 and 112 provide the clearest evidence that the author had transcended the idea of a material reward for piety, still expressed in Proverbs 1–9, and that he described a life according to the revealed will of God itself as profit, good fortune and grace. His argument is based on the meanings of the word (רכה), usually translated in these two verses with ‘to the end’: Psalm 119:33, ‘Teach me, Yahweh, the way of your will, and I will observe it to the end (רכה יֹתִּיס)’ and Psalm 119:112, ‘I have inclined my heart to perform your statutesforever, to the end.’ He argues that the author substituted ‘instruction’ with ‘Torah’ and ‘life’ as a reward, since the form does not have a temporal meaning anywhere else in the Old Testament and this was a very late development of the meaning in Aramaic, which, according to him, caused the misinterpretation of the translator of the LXX. The author probably had Psalm 19:12 (in the keeping of Yahweh’s judgements there is ‘great reward’ (רכה)), Deuteronomy 7:12 (‘the reward will be if you listen...’), and Proverbs 4:13 (‘Hold on to instruction, do not let it go; guard it well, for it is your life’) in mind. This last context does not contain the word (רכה), but uses (לָכֵי) for ‘observe’, the same as Psalm 119:33, and describes ‘life’ as the reward. The argument is that the author substituted ‘instruction’ with ‘Torah’ and ‘life’ as a reward with (רכה), so that the keeping of the Torah itself should be seen as a reward.

I do not want to express an opinion on this thesis of Deißler, since Psalm 119 is a very late text in which the meaning of (רכה) could already have changed. The asyndetic insertion of the term in verses 33 and 112 also causes some doubt about his interpretation.56 But it is clear from other verses in Psalm 119 that dedication to the Torah of Yahweh is no longer a means to the temporal meaning anywhere else in the Old Testament and this was a very late development of the meaning in Aramaic, which, according to him, caused the misinterpretation of the translator of the LXX. The author probably had Psalm 19:12 (in the keeping of Yahweh’s judgements there is ‘great reward’ (רכה)), Deuteronomy 7:12 (‘the reward will be if you listen...’), and Proverbs 4:13 (‘Hold on to instruction, do not let it go; guard it well, for it is your life’) in mind. This last context does not contain the word (רכה), but uses (לָכֵי) for ‘observe’, the same as Psalm 119:33, and describes ‘life’ as the reward. The argument is that the author substituted ‘instruction’ with ‘Torah’ and ‘life’ as a reward with (רכה), so that the keeping of the Torah itself should be seen as a reward.

52.Cf. Psalm 37:18, where it is said that the (inauguration) of the blameless persons will be ‘forever’.

53.Partly using wisdom-like descriptions!

54.I am not convinced by Deißler’s arguments that (ראה) should be translated here as ‘earth’ on the basis of David’s prayer in 1 Chronicles 29:15. Cf. Deißler (1955:112).

55.Seybold (1996:463, 467), in following Deißler, translates in verse 33 ‘Lehre mich (lassen).

56.Seybold (1996:463, 467), in following Deißler, translates in verse 33 ‘Lehre mich (lassen).” but in verse 112 keeps to the temporal meaning (lilezeit bis zum Ende).
of obtaining blessing, but a blessing in itself. The Torah is the Promised Land for the author. Psalm 119:55–57 state:

In the night I remembered your name, Yahweh, and I kept your Torah. This has become mine, that I observe your precepts. My portion, Yahweh, I said, is to keep your words.

Verse 98 notes in a similar vein: ‘Your commands make me wiser than my enemies, for it (יִהְיֶה) is mine forever.’ The word יִהְיֶה, used in verse 57, has reminiscences of the conquest of Canaan. Similar to הָיָה, it calls thepartitioning of the Promised Land to mind (Deißler 1955:157).36 The tribe of Levi did not receive a separate portion of land, and it is said in Deuteronomy 10:9 that Levi did not receive יִהְיֶה [portion] and וַתִּהְיֶה [inheritance], but that Yahweh would be his יִהְיֶה. This gave rise to the figurative use of the word in which Yahweh is described as the ‘portion’ of the suppliant in Psalms 16:5, 73:26, and 142:6. The author of Psalm 119 adapted this to describe the Torah of Yahweh as his share in life.

Conclusion

Psalm 119 uses eight synonyms to refer to the diverse aspects of the Torah of Yahweh. I have argued elsewhere that the syntagmatic connections of these terms indicate that – although they are interchangeable to a certain extent – some of them belong to the domain of ‘instruction’ terms, aspects of the Torah which should be observed, complied with, and meditated upon, whilst others belong to the domain of ‘word’ terms, aspects of the Torah from which promises and hope about salvation are drawn by the suppliant. The allusive intertextual connections made by the author indicate that the Pentateuch, the Prophets, wisdom material, and Psalms, even Lamentations, were included in his understanding of Torah as a comprehensive arch-lexeme for the revealed will of God and the record of his dealings with Israel. This should not be surprising, since the same ‘anthological’ and inclusive understanding of ‘Torah’ was detected in Psalm 1. Similar to Psalm 19, Psalm 37, and Psalm 1, Ps 119 has also recast wisdom as Torah. But the focus has shifted between these texts and Psalm 119: Torah in the clothes of wisdom is no longer seen as a way to the gift of possession of the Promised Land, but as the gift itself.

How does Psalm 119 help us to understand ‘Torah’ in Psalm 1? I should think that it helps us to see that Psalm 1 served as an example of an anthological text to the author of Psalm 119 – in which use was made of all sections of the Tanakh, including wisdom material such as Proverbs and Psalm 37. It should help us to recognise that the author of Psalm 1 probably saw ‘Torah’ – similar to the author of Psalm 119 at a later stage – as a comprehensive term which included regulatory aspects that had to be meditated upon and used as a guide on the way of life, but that the promises of prosperity in the Promised Land, judgement on the wicked, and care for the faithful in a life before Yahweh were probably also included in ‘Torah’ in its representation of the true wisdom of Yahweh. This means that the Psalter itself, or at least that part of the Psalter that existed at the time, forms part of the ‘Torah of Yahweh,’ but that the term in Psalm 1 hardly referred only to the Psalms as the ‘Torah of David.’
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