Eribulin penetrates brain tumor tissue and prolongs survival of mice harboring intracerebral glioblastoma xenografts
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Abstract
Glioblastoma is one of the most devastating human malignancies for which a novel efficient treatment is urgently required. This pre–clinical study shows that eribulin, a specific inhibitor of telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT)-RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, is an effective anticancer agent against glioblastoma. Eribulin inhibited the growth of 4 TERT promoter mutation-harboring glioblastoma cell lines in vitro at subnanomolar concentrations. In addition, it suppressed the growth of glioblastoma cells transplanted subcutaneously or intracerebrally into mice, and significantly prolonged the survival of mice harboring brain tumors at a clinically equivalent dose. A pharmacokinetics study showed that eribulin quickly penetrated brain tumors and remained at a high concentration even when it was washed away from plasma, kidney or liver 24 hours after intravenous injection. Moreover, a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry imaging analysis revealed that intraperitoneally injected eribulin penetrated the brain tumor and was distributed evenly within the tumor mass at 1 hour after the injection whereas only very low levels of eribulin were detected in surrounding normal brain. Eribulin is an FDA-approved drug for refractory breast cancer and can be safely repositioned for treatment of glioblastoma patients. Thus, our results suggest that eribulin may serve as a novel therapeutic option for glioblastoma. Based on these data, an investigator-initiated registration-directed clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of eribulin in patients with recurrent GBM (UMIN000030359) has been initiated.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) is one of the most devastating brain tumors with limited therapeutic options. The median survival is only 14 months even with multimodal therapies with surgery, radiation therapy and chemotherapy with temozolomide.\(^1,^2\) Glioblastoma patients may respond to temozolomide when MGMT promoter in the tumor cells is hypermethylated; however, the development of temozolomide resistance is inevitable. Temozolomide is ineffective when MGMT is hypomethylated.\(^3\) Although a number of molecular targeting agents have been tested for their efficacy against glioblastoma in clinical trials, none has so far been proved to prolong overall survival of GBM patients.\(^4,^5\) Development of a new effective drug for GBM is urgently needed.\(^2,^6\)

Among the numerous genetic mutations,\(^7,^9\) telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) promoter mutations, which are found in 60%–80% of glioblastomas, are the most common mutational events.\(^10,^11\) TERT is a reverse transcriptase subunit of telomerase that maintains telomere length by utilizing TERC as an RNA subunit.\(^12\) Telomere length is shortened at each cell division, and the cells undergo replicative senescence when telomeres attain a critical length. Most cancers, including gliomas, activate telomerase to evade this process.\(^13\) The promoter mutations of TERT occur at 2 hotspots (−124C > T or −146C > T) in a mutually exclusive manner. Either of these mutations creates a de novo binding site for GABPA, which activates the promoter and upregulates TERT expression.\(^14,^15\) The introduction of TERT promoter mutation in induced pluripotent stem cells abrogates telomerase silencing upon differentiation, and these cells overcome replicative senescence and infinitely multiply upon acquisition of proliferating mutations.\(^16\) Thus, it appears that TERT promoter mutations are the most common driver oncogenic event in GBM, making it an attractive therapeutic target.

Telomerase targeting therapies have been a subject of interest for several decades. Although telomerase is activated in most cancer cells, the great majority of normal cells exhibit only a low level of telomerase, making it an ideal cancer-specific target. However, this strategy has not yet materialized in clinical settings.\(^17\) Imetelstat, an antisense oligonucleotide that inhibits telomerase by binding to TERC, is the only telomerase-targeting drug that has been evaluated in phase II clinical trials of brain tumors.\(^18\) Although telomerase inhibition was observed, no objective response of imetelstat was achieved in refractory pediatric brain tumors.\(^19\) An inherent problem of targeting telomerase is that the inhibition of telomerase in a cancer cell already having elongated telomeres would not yield an immediate anti-proliferative effect. Telomerase inhibition may also lead to the activation of alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT), a homologous recombination-based telomerase-independent telomere elongation mechanism, which would abrogate the effects of telomerase-targeting anticancer therapy.\(^17\)

Growing evidence indicates that TERT may have a telomerase-independent activity ("non–canonical function").\(^20\) One of the non–canonical functions of TERT is RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) activity, which catalyzes the synthesis of an RNA strand complementary to a template RNA.\(^17,^21\) Although TERT is well known as a reverse transcriptase, it has phylogenetic and structural similarities to viral RdRP, such as those present in hepatitis C virus, poliovirus and influenza virus.\(^17\) In addition, TERT has been shown to be involved in the production of siRNA,\(^21\) heterochromatin maintenance\(^22\) and de novo synthesis of RNA.\(^23\) Thus, TERT appears to function as an RdRP in mammals. Moreover, TERT forms a complex with Brahma-related gene 1 (BRG1) and nucleostemin (NS), which contributes to heterochromatin maintenance, mitotic progression\(^22\) and maintenance of tumor-initiating cell phenotypes.\(^24\) The suppression of TERT, BRG1 or NS disrupted heterochromatin formation and induced mitotic arrest.\(^22\) These data suggest that the inhibition of RdRP activity instead of TERT activity may serve as a novel TERT-targeted anticancer strategy.\(^17\)

We have recently identified eribulin as a specific inhibitor of TERT-RdRP through drug screening.\(^25\) Eribulin mesylate (Halaven, Eisai, Tokyo, Japan) is a fully synthetic analog of halichondrin B, a natural product isolated from marine sponge and originally developed as a microtubule inhibitor.\(^26\) Eribulin is currently approved in more than 60 countries for the treatment of refractory breast cancers and liposarcomas. In a series of preliminary preclinical experiments, eribulin showed anticancer activities in diverse cancer cell lines, including a GBM cell line.\(^27-29\) In this study, we investigated the efficacy of eribulin in inhibiting GBM cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo, as well as the pharmacokinetics of eribulin in a mouse harboring intracerebrally-transplanted human GBM cells. Our results showed that eribulin is active against GBM with TERT promoter mutations and penetrated mouse brain tumors. These data strongly suggest that eribulin can serve as an effective anticancer drug against GBM.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell lines, vector, WST assay, pyrosequencing and ion proton analysis for targeted sequencing

Details of cell lines, vector, WST assay, pyrosequencing and ion proton analysis are described in a supporting document (Doc S1). Genotypes of cell lines used in this study and ion proton analysis data are shown in the supporting information (Tables S1 and S2).

2.2 | Studies on brain tumor xenografts

Female BALB/c nu/nu athymic mice or SCID-beige (6-week to 8-week-old, Charles River Japan, Tokyo, Japan) were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. To establish a mouse brain tumor xenograft, U87MG, U87MG-Fluc2, GSC23, G5-Y03 (1 × 10\(^5\) cells in 2 μL PBS) or LN229 (5 × 10\(^5\) cells in 2 μL PBS) cells were stereotactically inoculated into the right cerebral hemisphere of immunodeficient mice by using a Hamilton syringe and stereotactic micro-injector (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). Saline (control) or eribulin (0.5 mg/kg) was administered to the mice 3 times per week (q2d × 3 per week). A 1-week treatment (3 injections) period was defined as 1 cycle, and an appropriate number of therapy cycles was applied to
each study. All animal studies were conducted under the protocols approved by the Committee for Ethics of Animal Experimentation of National Cancer Center, and the experiments were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experiments.

2.3 | Subcutaneous tumor xenografts

U87MG cells (2 × 10^6 cells in 100 μL PBS) were implanted into the right flank of female BALB/c nu/nu athymic mice to establish subcutaneous tumor xenografts. Saline (control) or eribulin (0.125, 0.25, or 0.5 mg/kg) were intraperitoneally administered 3 times per week (q2d × 3 per week), and 1 week of therapy was defined as 1 cycle. Two cycles of therapy were applied to each group. The tumor volume was measured 3 times per week, compared between groups, and statistically analyzed. For RdpRP assay, eribulin was applied to an independent set of subcutaneous tumor xenografts at the same dose level (see below). On the final day of saline or eribulin (day 20) administration, all the mice were killed, and the tumor specimens were harvested and quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen to measure RdRP activity as described below.

2.4 | Bioluminescence study

For in vivo bioluminescence study, eribulin or saline was injected into mice harboring U87MG-Fluc2 intracerebral tumors until the first mouse of the control group died. Bioluminescence signals were monitored on the same day of receiving injections, and the intensities of bioluminescence signals were statistically compared between the groups.

2.5 | Survival study

For in vivo survival study, eribulin or saline was intraperitoneally injected into mice harboring intracranial U87MG, LN229, GSC23 or GS-Y03 tumors. A total of 5 (U87MG), 9 (LN229), 2 (GSC23) or 3 (GS-Y03) cycles of treatment were applied to each group. The survival of mice was observed and analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method.

2.6 | Pharmacokinetics study

Eribulin (0.5 mg/kg) was intravenously injected via the tail vein to mice bearing intracerebral U87MG xenografts. The mice were killed at several time points (15 minutes, and 1, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours after injection; n = 3 for each time point). Normal brain tissue, brain tumor tissue and plasma were collected from each mouse at each time point and quickly frozen for further analysis. Plasma and tissue concentrations of eribulin were measured by using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis (LC-MS/MS). The method is described in detail in a supporting document (Doc S1).

2.7 | Immunoprecipitation-immunoblotting

Immunoprecipitation-immunoblotting (IP-IB) was performed as previously described. Briefly, 1 × 10^7 cells were lysed in 1 mL of lysis buffer A (0.5% NP-40, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4] and 150 mM NaCl). After sonication, the lysate was pre-absorbed with Pierce Protein A Plus Agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) for 30 minutes at 4℃. The pre-absorbed lysate was mixed with 10 μg of anti-human TERT mAb (clone 10E9-2, MBL) and Pierce Protein A Plus Agarose, and incubated overnight at 4℃. After washing the beads, the immune complexes were subjected to SDS-PAGE. An anti-human TERT mAb (clone 2E4-2) and MouseTrueBlot ULTRA (Rockland) were used for immunoblotting.

Molecular imaging of the distribution of eribulin in the brain tumor tissue was performed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI-MSI). The method is described in detail in a supporting document (Doc S1). Briefly, frozen sections of 8 μm were thaw-mounted onto microscopic glass slides and were covered with 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid by using a sublimation apparatus (SVC-700TMSG/7PS80, SANYU Electron, Tokyo, Japan) and an ImagePrep (Bruker Daltonics, Tokyo, Japan) in 2 steps. The distribution of eribulin in the brain tumor tissue was visualized at a high resolution using an atmospheric pressure imaging ion source (AP-SMALDI 10, TransMIT) coupled to a quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q Exactive, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.8 | Immunoprecipitation-RdRP assay

The immunoprecipitation-RdRP (IP-RdRP) assay was performed as described previously with some modifications. For all cell lines, 1 × 10^7 cells were lysed in 1 mL of lysis buffer A. After sonication, the cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 21 000 g at 4℃ for 15 minutes. For xenografts, tissue pieces were homogenized in lysis buffer A by using the MagNA Lyser Instrument (Roche Diagnostics) at 6500 r/min for 30 seconds. The tissue lysates were cleared by centrifugation twice at 21 000 g at 4℃ and assayed for protein concentration. Cell lysate (1 mL) or protein of tissue lysate (650 μg) was pre-absorbed with Pierce Protein A Plus Agarose and incubated overnight with 10 μg of anti-human TERT mAb (clone 10E9-2) and Pierce Protein A Plus Agarose at 4℃. After washing, the bead suspension was treated with 20 units of Micrococcal Nuclease at 25℃ for 15 minutes and washed again. The immune complexes were mixed with a synthetic RNA template (RNA #1, 5'-GGGAUCGUUGGGUGCUUUAAUUUAACCCA-3'), ribonucleotides and [α-^32P]UTP, and incubated at 32℃ for 2 hours for RdRP reaction. The RdRP products were treated with RNase I and electrophoresed in a polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea. Images were captured by using a Typhoon FLA 7000 Phosphorimager and the signal intensities of the bands were quantified using ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare Japan).

2.9 | Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of eribulin concentration in brain tissue sections

The concentrations of eribulin in the targeted tissues/plasma were measured using a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (QTRAP 5500, AB SCIEX) coupled to a Shimadzu HPLC system (Nexera X2, Shimadzu). Chromatographic separation was performed on the
Unison UK-C18 column (3 μm, 250 × 3 mm; Lmktak). The method is described in detail in a supporting document (Doc S1).

2.10 | Statistical analysis

The IC_{50} values of the different cell lines obtained from the in vitro cytotoxicity assay were compared by 2-way ANOVA. Comparison of bioluminescence signals obtained from the in vivo bioluminescence assay was performed by ANOVA. The results of in vivo survival assays were compared by log-rank test. A P-value <0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Eribulin inhibits growth of glioblastoma cell lines with telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter mutations

The cytotoxic effect of eribulin on the TERT-mutated GBM cell lines U87MG, U251MG, U118MG and LN229 and patient-derived sphere-cultured cells GSC23 and GS-Y03 was examined in vitro using the WST assay (Figure 1). All 6 cell lines had TERT promoter mutations (Table S1). ES-2 and TOV-21G (OCCC with wild-type TERT promoter) were used for comparison. The results showed that eribulin suppressed the growth of all GBM cells tested in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). The IC_{50} values of the TERT-mutated cells U87MG, U251MG, U118MG, LN 229, GSC23 and GS-Y03 (mean 0.32, 0.15, 0.36, 2.12, 0.21 and 1.10 nmol/L, respectively) were significantly lower than those of TERT-wild-type ES-2 (17.16 nmol/L) and TOV-21G (IC_{50} not reached) cells (P < 0.001) (Figure 1B). Thus, GBM cell lines that harbored TERT promoter mutations appeared to be more susceptible to eribulin than TERT-wild-type ES-2 and TOV-21G cells.

3.2 | Eribulin significantly inhibited intracranial tumor growth

Next, we evaluated whether eribulin inhibited the growth of intracerebrally xenografted luciferase-expressing U87MG cells (U87MG-Fluc2) by using an in vivo imaging system. Transduction with a conventional replication-defective lentiviral vector

**FIGURE 1** Effects of eribulin on proliferation of human glioblastoma cell lines examined by WST assay. A, The survival rates of U87MG, U251MG, U118MG, LN229 cells (established adherent-cultured human GBM cell lines), GSC23, GS-Y03 (patient-derived sphere-cultured human GBM cells) as well as ES-2 and TOV-21G cells (human ovarian cancer cell lines) were plotted against increasing concentrations of eribulin. Each assay was performed in triplicate. B, The IC_{50} value of each cell line (A) is shown in a bar graph. Mean IC_{50} value is plotted at the top of each bar. GBM cells with TERT mutation were significantly more sensitive to eribulin than the control ovarian cancer cells with wildtype TERT. Error bars indicate standard error. N.R., not reached; mut, mutated; wt, wildtype; ***P < 0.001
expressing firefly luciferase resulted in a comparable level of bioluminescence from U87-Fluc2 cells exposed to luciferin. A highly robust quantitative correlation between cell count and bioluminescent signal intensity was confirmed by optical imaging in vitro (Figure S1).

Following stereotactic intracerebral implantation of U87MG-Fluc2 cells into athymic mouse \((10^5 \text{ cells/mouse})\) on day 0, all mice were intraperitoneally administered saline or eribulin \((1.25 \text{ mg/kg); q2d})\) on days 5, 7, 9 and 12 (Figure 2A, black triangle). The bioluminescence signals in brain tumors were monitored by in vivo optical imaging at sequential time points (days 4, 6, 9 and 13; Figure 2). The initial bioluminescence signal intensities of both the groups were virtually the same at the first time-point (day 4). Thereafter, the bioluminescence signals from mice treated with saline increased continually, indicating tumor growth, until 1 mouse in the saline group died on day 14 (Figure 2A, blue line). In contrast, the bioluminescence signals from mice treated with eribulin decreased (Figure 2A, red line). On day 13, the signal intensities in eribulin-treated mice were significantly lower than those in the saline group \((P < 0.001)\). These results indicated that eribulin inhibited the growth of intracerebrally transplanted U87MG-Fluc2 tumors in vivo.

3.3 | Intraperitoneal eribulin administration significantly prolonged survival of mice with intracerebral tumors

We next evaluated the efficacy of eribulin in prolonging survival of mice harboring intracerebrally transplanted glioblastoma cells, U87MG, LN229 (established glioblastoma cell lines), GSC23 and GS-Y03 (patient-derived glioblastoma cells), which were highly susceptible to eribulin in vitro with an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.32 nmol/L. U87MG, GSC23 or GS-Y03 cells \((10^5 \text{ cells/mouse})\) were stereotactically implanted into the brain of BALB/c nu/nu athymic mice on day 0. LN229 cells \((2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mouse})\) were also implanted into the brain of SCID-beige mice. Treatment schedules were modified for each cell line according to each survival time of the model. Saline or eribulin was intraperitoneally administered q2d \(\times 3\) per week
for 5 (U87MG), 9 (LN229), 2 (GSC23) or 3 (GS-Y03) cycles (weeks) and the survival of mice was observed. Eribulin treatment significantly prolonged survival of mice harboring intracerebral xenografts of established cell lines U87MG or LN229 tumor (median survival: 49 days vs 28 days, \( P < 0.001 \) or 81 days vs 76 days, \( P < 0.01 \), respectively, Figure 3A,B), as well as patient-derived glioblastoma cells GSC23 or GS-Y03 (median survival: 32 days vs 26 days, \( P < 0.001 \) or 34 days vs 28 days, \( P < 0.001 \), respectively, Figure 3C,D). All mice treated with eribulin in this survival study developed no neurological symptoms, including seizure, gait disturbance or abnormal behavior.

### 3.4 | Intravenously injected eribulin efficiently penetrated brain tumors and remained in the tumor tissue for more than 24 hours

We examined the pharmacokinetics of eribulin in mice with intracerebral tumors. U87MG cells were intracerebrally transplanted into athymic mice 21 days prior to the administration of eribulin. On day 21, eribulin (0.5 mg/kg) was injected via the tail vein, and plasma, normal brain tissues and intracerebral tumor tissues were harvested at different time points (0.25, 1, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours after injection; \( n = 3 \) for each time point). As expected, the plasma...
The concentration gradually decreased from 140 nmol/L (mean of 3 animals) at 0.25 hour after injection to 6.8 nmol/L at 6 hours after injection and was undetectable after 24 hours (Figure 4A, blue line). The concentration of eribulin in the normal brain tissue remained very low (maximum 2.16 nmol/L at 1 hour after injection) and quickly plunged below the detection limit after 2 hours. In contrast, the concentration of eribulin in U87MG brain tumor was equivalent to that in the plasma (127 nmol/L at 0.25 hours after injection) and remained high even after 24 hours of injection (91.7 nmol/L, Figure 4A, red line). Accordingly, the tumor/plasma ratio of eribulin increased with time up to 6 hours after intravenous injection (Figure 4B). A similar pharmacokinetic study was performed in subcutaneous U87MG xenografts by intravenously injecting eribulin (0.5 mg/kg). Then, the concentration of eribulin in the kidney, liver, subcutaneous tumor and plasma at 1, 4, and 24 hours after injection (n = 3 for each time point, Figure S2) was measured. Although eribulin concentration rapidly decreased in the kidney, liver and plasma and was undetectable after 24 hours, its concentration in the subcutaneous tumor remained high for 24 hours.

Upon observing the unexpectedly high concentration of eribulin in the brain tumor tissue, we investigated the distribution of eribulin within the tissue further by using MALDI-MSI. A U87MG brain tumor model was developed and tumor-bearing brain tissues were obtained at 1 and 24 hours after a single intravenous injection of eribulin (0.5 mg/kg). MALDI-MSI analysis revealed that intraperitoneally injected eribulin penetrated the brain tumor and was distributed evenly within the tumor mass at 1 hour after the injection (Figure 5). Only very low levels of eribulin were detected in normal brain parenchyma. To validate these results, the concentrations of eribulin in microdissected tumor lesion or contralateral normal brain lesion were measured by LC-MS/MS at either 1 or 24 hours after the injection. The concentrations of eribulin in the tumor lesion were 113.86 and 74.19 ng/cm³ at 1 and 24 hours after the injection, respectively, whereas the concentration in the normal brain parenchyma was below the lower limit of quantitation, at both 1 and 24 hours after the injection (Figure S3).

3.5 RdRP activity decreased in subcutaneous tumors after eribulin treatment

Finally, we evaluated the effect of eribulin on RdRP activity in the xenografted tumor tissues. The cell lines used in this study showed varying degrees of RdRP activities (Figure S4). U87MG cells (2 x 10⁶ cells) were implanted into the right flank of athymic mice on day 0 (n = 6 per group). When the tumors reached an average size of 5 mm in diameter, eribulin (0.125, 0.25 or 0.5 mg/kg) or saline was injected intraperitoneally 3 times per week for 2 weeks (days 8, 11, 13, 15, 18 and 20), and the sizes of the subcutaneous tumors were measured. The growth of tumors treated with eribulin at all the 3 doses tested was significantly suppressed compared with that of the untreated control (P < 0.0001) (Figure 6A). The experiment was repeated (n = 3 per group) and all mice were killed 1 hour after the final administration of eribulin or saline on day 20. Then, the subcutaneous tumors were harvested. The tumor specimens were quickly frozen and RdRP activities analyzed. The results showed that eribulin treatment decreased RdRP activities in the subcutaneous tumors in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6B). The intracerebral tumor model also demonstrated a similar tendency (Figure S5). Of note, TERT messenger RNA expression was upregulated in all glioma cell lines tested (Figure S6).

4 DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed that eribulin has an anticancer efficacy against human glioblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo. The results of in vitro growth inhibition assay showed that the growth of all 4 glioma cell lines tested was strongly suppressed by eribulin, with most of the IC₅₀ values being below 1 nM (Figure 1). Because most glioma cell lines harbored TERT promoter mutation, 2 ovarian cancer cell lines without TERT promoter hotspot mutations were tested as TERT promoter wild-type cancer cells for comparison. It was previously reported²⁻³ that the IC₅₀ values of these TERT-wild-type cells were much higher, with one of them (TOV-21G) exhibiting a value over

FIGURE 5 The whole brain was collected 1 h after intravenous administration of a single dose of eribulin (0.5 mg/kg). A, H&E staining of brain tumor tissue from mice. Scale bar, 1 mm. B, A fusion image of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry imaging in the same brain tissue section. Spatial resolution: 90 μm. Red signals, which indicates eribulin, were predominantly localized and evenly distributed in the tumor lesion. Blue and green signals indicate arbitrary molecules located outside the tumor. C, Enlarged image of a part of Figure 5B. Red and blue signals indicate eribulin and normal brain tissue, respectively.
Effects of eribulin in a mouse subcutaneous tumor model. Mice with U87MG subcutaneous tumors were administered an intraperitoneal injection of saline or eribulin (0.125, 0.25 or 0.5 mg/kg; q2d × 3 per week) for 2 weeks, and the tumor size was recorded at several time points. A, Tumor size of each group on days 4, 7, 11, 14, 18, 21 and 25 were plotted. Eribulin treatment significantly suppressed subcutaneous tumor growth in all groups tested when compared with the saline group. Error bars indicate standard error. *P < 0.05. B, For RdRP activity analysis, the same experiment was repeated and subcutaneous tumors were harvested 1 h after eribulin injection on day 20. The RdRP activities of eribulin treated-tumors decreased in a dose-dependent manner. Error bars indicate standard error.

100 nM. Although the correlation between sensitivity to eribulin and TERT status needs to be further investigated, all cell lines tested in this study and the cell lines with TERT promoter hotspot mutations tested in a previous study were highly sensitive to eribulin. The growth-suppressing effect of eribulin in glioma cells was further demonstrated by real-time in vivo bioluminescence monitoring of tumor growth by using mouse intracerebral xenografts (Figure 2). Moreover, continual administration of eribulin at a clinically equivalent dose (0.5 mg/kg, 3 times per week) to mice harboring brain tumors, both with established glioblastoma cell lines and patient-derived glioblastoma sphere–cultured cells, significantly prolonged their survival compared with untreated control mice (Figure 3). These results strongly suggested that eribulin may serve as a clinically applicable novel agent for glioblastoma.

In a previous preclinical study, glioblastoma was among the several cancer types that showed sensitivity to eribulin. However, glioblastoma was not considered a target for eribulin because the drug could not penetrate the blood-brain barrier (BBB). This was most likely because eribulin is a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), an efflux transporter that plays an important role in elimination of drugs at the BBB. To explain the unexpectedly high efficacy of eribulin against intracerebral tumor, we hypothesized that although eribulin could not penetrate the BBB of normal brain, it may penetrate the brain tumor tissues. Large brain tumors (>0.25 mm in diameter) may develop abnormal tumor vessels with disrupt BBB, which eventually allows intravenously injected drugs to reach the brain tumor tissue. Therefore, we studied the pharmacokinetics of eribulin in brain tumor-bearing mice by chronologically measuring the concentrations of eribulin in the plasma, brain tumor tissue, and non–neoplastic brain tissues after a single intravenous injection. Notably, we found that eribulin concentration in the brain tumor tissue was almost as high as that in plasma within 15 minutes of injection (Figure 4). Although the plasma concentration gradually decreased and was below the detection level after 24 hours, the concentration of eribulin in the brain tumor tissue remained high for at least 24 hours. The concentration of eribulin in the normal brain tissue was very low and undetectable 2 hours after administration, confirming the previous findings. These results indicated that the high efficacy of eribulin against mouse brain tumor xenografts resulted from the efficient transfer of eribulin to brain tumor tissues. This transfer most likely occurred because the BBB in the brain tumor tissue was at least partially disrupted, which would otherwise have prevented eribulin from entering the brain. In support of this, it has been reported that eribulin reduced the size of metastatic brain tumors developed from breast cancers, suggesting that eribulin penetrated intracerebral tumors in these cases.

The most intriguing finding in our study was that the concentration of eribulin in the U87MG brain xenograft remained high even 24 hours after the injection (91.7 ± 35.0 nM), although its concentration in the plasma decreased below the detectable level (Figure 4). MSI showed that eribulin was evenly distributed within the tumor tissue (Figures 5 and 6). These data confirmed that eribulin penetrated the brain tumor tissue and remained in the tumor hours after the injection. A similar phenomenon was observed in subcutaneous U87MG xenograft, where eribulin concentration remained stable for 24 hours after intravenous injection, while it gradually decreased and became undetectable after 24 hours in the plasma, kidney and liver (Figure S2). Furthermore, it was reported recently that eribulin quickly penetrated LOX human melanoma xenografts subcutaneously transplanted into mice. The concentration of eribulin in the tumor was high and remained stable for at least 36 hours after single or multiple (q2d × 3) intravenous injection, while plasma eribulin was cleared after 12-24 hours. Thus, the above findings and the results of our study demonstrated that eribulin is retained in the tumor tissue for a long period of time (>24 hours) even after it is cleared from the plasma, regardless of the tumor type or location.

Although the reason for the paradoxical retention of eribulin in the tumor tissue compared to other organs, including the normal brain or plasma, is currently unknown, several underlying mechanisms can
be proposed. Eribulin has been shown to bind to microtubule plus ends with high affinity\textsuperscript{40} and induce irreversible mitotic blockade, suggesting that the binding of eribulin to microtubules may be stable.\textsuperscript{41} Therefore, it is possible that eribulin bound to growing microtubules may be retained within replicating cells such as tumor cells, while quickly cleared from non-replicating cells. These findings are supported by the high distribution of eribulin in the bone marrow as documented by Eisai (http://medical.eisai.jp/products/di/IF/HAL_V_IF/HAL_V_IF.pdf). The high rate of retention in tumors may be a property specific to eribulin, because paclitaxel, another tubulin-binding drug, shows reversible activity and is not retained in colon tumor xenografts.\textsuperscript{41,42} Although elucidating the mechanism underlying eribulin retention in tumors is beyond the scope of this paper, it warrants further investigation. In addition, it remains unclear whether eribulin impairs self-renewal ability in sphere-forming glioblastoma cells. It is important to clarify this aspect of eribulin in the future because glioblastoma is a heterogeneous tumor that contains tumor-initiating cells that cause recurrence of the tumor. Further study is warranted.

Eribulin was originally developed as a microtubule inhibitor.\textsuperscript{23,44} However, it has recently been shown to have specific inhibitory activity against TERT-RdRP as well.\textsuperscript{25} TERT-RdRP appears to be involved in M-phase progression through the promotion of heterochromatin assembly and the maintenance of stem-cell property.\textsuperscript{21,22,24} Inhibition of TERT using shRNA immediately induced mitotic arrest.\textsuperscript{22} A previous study reported that the suppression of ovarian cancer cell growth by eribulin was dependent on the TERT status.\textsuperscript{25} In the present study, we showed that TERT-RdRP activity in subcutaneous U87MG xenografts treated with eribulin decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6). A similar tendency was observed in an intracerebral U87 MG xenograft model (Figure S5), although the difference was not statistically significant, most likely because the mouse brain tumor specimen treated with eribulin was too small. These results suggested that eribulin may exert anticancer effect through its function as a TERT-RdRP inhibitor in addition to microtubule inhibitor activity. Further investigation assessing the exact mechanism by which eribulin suppresses tumor cell growth is underway.

A few studies have been conducted to detect the biomarker of eribulin in breast cancer and liposarcoma;\textsuperscript{45-47} however, no robust biomarker for eribulin mesylate confirmed in clinical settings has been identified yet. No paper has ever described molecular profiles of glioblastoma in association with eribulin treatment. In fact, although all TERT-mutated cells used in this study exhibited high sensitivity to eribulin, there were small differences in IC\textsubscript{50} (0.15-2.12 nmol/L) among these cell lines. However, no distinct genetic changes were observed among the 93 genes examined for mutations (Table S2). We are currently conducting a multicenter, phase 2, investigator-initiated clinical trial using eribulin in patients with recurrent glioblastoma and surgical specimens are being collected for molecular analysis for biomarkers to predict response to eribulin.

In conclusion, we showed that eribulin exerted anticancer activity against glioblastoma in vitro and in vivo, thereby significantly prolonging survival of mice harboring intracerebral glioblastoma xenografts. Eribulin penetrated brain tumor tissues and remained at a high concentration for more than 24 hours after its administration. Molecular imaging confirmed that eribulin was evenly distributed within the brain tumor tissues. In addition to microtubule inhibition, TERT-RdRP inhibition may account for the highly efficient anti-GBM activity of eribulin. Our results thus suggested that eribulin may serve as an effective drug against GBM. Based on these data, an investigator-initiated registration-directed clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of eribulin in patients with recurrent GBM (UMIN000030359, https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr_e/ctr_view.cgi?recptno=R000034631) has been initiated and is currently enrolling patients.
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