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Study of the Effect of Quenching on Microstructural and Magnetic Properties of Cu-Doped Mg-Ferrite
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Mg₁₋ₓCuₓFe₂O₄ (x = 0.0–0.5) was prepared by the double sintering ceramic method, which sintered at 1100°C and 1200°C for 3 hours and investigated for structural, microstructural, and magnetic properties as a function of the Cu content and cooling process. XRD analysis of 1100°C sintered samples revealed that all the samples were crystallized in a single-phase cubic spinel structure. The microstructural and magnetic properties of slow cooled (furnace-cooled) and fast cooled (quenched) Mg-Cu ferrites have been studied using the scanning electron microscope (SEM), vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM), and Mössbauer spectroscopy after sintering at 1200°C. Homogeneous coaxial grains did not form for any furnace-cooled samples, while for the quenched sample, homogeneous grains were clearly visible even without doping with Cu. Substantial grain growth was witnessed by the samples with higher copper content for both cooling conditions, whereas quenched samples possessed a smaller grain size compared to furnace-cooled samples. The saturation magnetization experienced a higher value for quenched samples compared to furnace-cooled samples with increasing Cu content except for x = 0.4. The sextet pattern of Mössbauer spectroscopy confirmed all the samples were ferromagnetic in nature. Chemical shift, quadrupole shift, hyperfine field, and site occupancy of Fe³⁺ were also obtained using Mössbauer spectroscopy.

1. Introduction

Magnetic ferrites have gained a lot of attention from scientists, engineers, and industrialists due to their extraordinary physical and chemical properties along with their super surface activity. Ferrites that contain iron oxide as a significant constituent are considered one of the crucial categories of magnetic materials. Magnetic ferrites have an enormous impact on a broad range of applications in diverse areas such as electrical and electronic industries, microwave and satellite communications technology, digital recording devices, and biomedical [1–6]. Their versatile properties not only make them suitable for a wide range of applications but also make them appropriate materials as an alternative to the rare earth-based permanent magnetic materials.

Magnesium copper ferrites are ceramic-type magnetic materials with very high resistivity, high permeability, and low eddy current losses leading to applications in the high-frequency environment. Copper-doped magnesium ferrites are partially inverted spinel ferrites, and basically, this doping causes a rearrangement of Fe³⁺ ions into the two preexisting octahedral and tetrahedral sites. The magnetic interaction and cation distribution between tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B) lattice sites are responsible for the physical, electrical, and magnetic properties of spinel ferrites. The cation distribution of ferrite is influenced by many factors such as the synthesis method, cations substitution, and postpreparation heat treatments [7–9]. Moreover, the cooling rate from high temperatures can change the cation distribution of the ferrites, leading to modifying the room
Mössbauer spectra were analyzed by using WMOSS 4R software with the fast relaxation module.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. XRD Analysis. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for the series of samples Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ($x = 0.0$–$0.5$) sintered at 1100°C for 3 hours are shown in Figure 1. A phase analysis using the X-ray technique was performed to confirm the formation of a single-phase cubic spinel structure with no extra lines corresponding to any other crystallographic phase. The results obtained from the XRD pattern for all the samples of Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ with the (h k l) values corresponding to the diffraction peaks of different planes (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), (440), and (533) which represent either odd or even indicating the samples are spinel cubic phase. Peaks are matched with an indexed of reference pattern: 88–1936 for magnesium ferrite and 77–0010 for copper ferrite [25, 26]. The XRD patterns analysis indicated that the studied Mg-Cu ferrites samples have spinel cubic structures with a single phase.

The lattice parameter is calculated from the relation $a_0 = \lambda d/2 \sin \theta$, where $d = \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$, and is given in Table 1. An increase in lattice parameter of Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ is observed with the addition of Cu content in the lattice. These increases can be attributed to the ionic size difference, since the unit cell has to expand when larger Cu$^{2+}$ ions with ionic radii 0.73 Å tend to replace Mg$^{2+}$ ions with radii 0.65 Å. There exists a correlation between the ionic radius and the lattice constant; the increase of the lattice constant is proportional to the increase of the ionic radius [27].

Density plays a vital role in controlling the properties of polycrystalline ferrites. The X-ray density ($\rho_x$) is calculated using the equation $\rho_x = 8M/Na^3$, where $M$ is the molecular weight, $N$ is Avogadro’s number. The bulk density ($\rho_b$) is calculated by using the mass and bulk volumes of the samples. The percentage of porosity for Mg-Cu ferrites is also calculated from the value of X-ray density and bulk density using the equation $\%P = 1 - \rho_b/\rho_x$. The value of X-ray density, bulk density, and the percentage of porosity is given in Table 1. From Table 1, it is shown that the X-ray density increases slightly before decreasing slowly with substituent concentration since it depends on the lattice parameter and molecular weight of Mg-Cu ferrite. The highest X-ray density is observed for $x = 0.3$ ($\rho_x = 4.782$ g/cm$^3$) and the lowest density is observed at $x = 0.00$ ($\rho_x = 4.373$ g/cm$^3$). The bulk density is found to increase with the Cu content. It is also observed that the bulk density is lower than the corresponding X-ray density. This may be due to the existence of pores, which were formed and developed during the sample preparation or sintering process [28]. The increase in X-ray density is also because of the difference in ionic radii between Mg and Cu. On the other hand, increasing the Cu content in Mg-Cu ferrites can achieve a significant decrease in porosity. It is observed that the lowest porosity is obtained for the sintering temperature of 1100°C for $x = 0.5$, which is 23.789%, and the highest porosity is seen to occur when $x = 0.1$. The range of porosity from 49% to 23% indicated that the samples are highly porous.
3.2. SEM Study. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) represent the microstructures and particlesizedistributionoffurnace-cooled and quenched Mg$_{1-x}$CuxFe$_2$O$_4$ ($x\leq0.0$–$0.5$) ferrites after sintered at 1200°C, respectively. The average particle diameter $<D>$ is estimated from the histograms and presented in the figure insets. It is clear that the microstructure of Mg-Cu ferrites strongly depends on the amount of Cu$^{2+}$ present in the samples. A gradual increase in grain size distribution is observed with an increase in Cu content. Cu$^{2+}$ influences the microstructure Mg-Cu ferrites by the formation of a liquid phase during sintering. It facilitates grain growth by increasing the cation interdiffusion rate due to its segregation to the grain boundaries [29]. It can be noticed that proper densification was not attained for slow cooled (furnace-cooled) samples until $x \geq 0.5$, while for fast cooled samples (quenched), densification was fairly higher even for $x = 0.0$. It is seen from the figure that the samples up to $x = 0.2$ show a homogeneous microstructure with small grain size in both cooling conditions. However, considerable abnormal and inhomogeneous grain growth is observed with sample $x = 0.3, 0.4,$ and $0.5$. Moreover, the intergranular porosity is observed to reduce with the increasing content of Cu. This grain growth that occurs for $x > 0.2$ could be due to the dominance of thermal energy-generated driving force over the force exerted by the pores. Besides, the inhomogeneous deriving force of the grain boundary in each grain results in a nonuniform grain size distribution and vice versa [30]. The major difference between the furnace-cooled and quenched samples is the grain size for the respective Cu content. The quenched samples are characterized by smaller particles compared to the furnace-cooled samples. Quenching of microstructured materials preserves its microstructural features developed at high temperature, whereas microstructures could slowly transform to thermodynamically stable structure (lower Gibbs free energy) for the slowly cooled materials. It is well known that the small grains are energetically less stable than the large grain for their higher specific surface area. Therefore, the

| Copper content | Lattice parameter, $a_0$ (Å) | X-ray density, $\rho_x$ (g/cm$^3$) | Bulk density, $\rho_B$ (g/cm$^3$) | Porosity, P (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------
| $x = 0.0$      | 8.764                         | 4.373                            | 2.477                         | 43.356          |
| $x = 0.1$      | 8.773                         | 4.556                            | 2.305                         | 49.407          |
| $x = 0.2$      | 8.775                         | 4.722                            | 2.663                         | 43.604          |
| $x = 0.3$      | 8.778                         | 4.782                            | 3.126                         | 34.629          |
| $x = 0.4$      | 8.779                         | 4.717                            | 3.463                         | 26.584          |
| $x = 0.5$      | 8.781                         | 4.481                            | 3.414                         | 23.790          |
Figure 2: Continued.
microstructure achieved for the quenched materials is then said to be metastable.

3.3. Magnetic Properties Measurement. The room temperature magnetic hysteresis (M-H) loop of the samples (furnace-cooled and quenched from 1200°C) has been measured and is shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b). The samples exhibited a minimal hysteresis effect with small coercivity, which indicates that all the samples belong to the family of soft ferrites. The magnetization is saturated with an applied field of around 5 kOe of all samples. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) and Table 2 represent the saturation magnetization ($M_s$), coercivity ($H_c$), and remanence ($M_r$) obtained from VSM at room temperature for furnace-cooled and quenched samples. In the case of quenched samples, it is observed that the value of $M_s$ gradually increases with increasing Cu content, becomes a maximum for $x = 0.3$, and decreases slightly at $x = 0.5$. The saturation magnetization dramatically soared at $x = 0.4$, which might be attributed to experimental error. The saturation magnetization is found to be increased because of the super exchange interaction between the antiparallel magnetic moment of A site and B site strengthened because of the substitution of Cu ion in Mg-Cu ferrite. Besides, the magnetization decreased after $x = 0.3$, maybe for the decrease of the fraction of Cu$^{2+}$ ion of the A site and the fraction of the Mg$^{2+}$ ions being more on the B site. This similar behavior has been reported in several works subjected to the study of substitution influence [31, 32]. For furnace-cooled samples, the saturation magnetization for pure Mg-ferrite is 37.28 emu/g, which decreases to 30.22 emu/g for $x = 0.1$, starts to increase, and reaches 42.34 emu/g at $x = 0.5$. This variation with Cu content can be explained based on cation distribution [33].

Moreover, it is evident in Figure 3(c) and Table 2 that the quenched samples possess higher saturation magnetization than the furnace-cooled samples. Due to the high-temperature sintering, nonmagnetic Mg$^{2+}$ ions migrated to the A site, allowing magnetic Fe$^{3+}$ ions to have B sites. Hence, the magnetization on the B site increases while A site magnetization decreases, causing increases in net magnetization. Therefore, after a height temperature sintering, the quenching results whatever that the cation distribution at high temperature get frozen and remain same even at room
temperature. On the other hand, in the furnace-cooled samples, the cations are redistributed while cooling slowly. This redistribution resulted in lower saturation magnetization for the furnace-cooled samples. This higher saturation magnetization of Mg-Cu ferrite obtained through quenching is the main finding of this work. The coercivity is found to decrease with the addition of Cu\(^{2+}\) content for both quenched and furnace-cooled samples except for \(x = 0.1\) in 

![Graphs showing M-H curves for furnace-cooled and quenched samples.](Figure 3)

**Figure 3:** M-H curve of (a) furnace-cooled and (b) quenched Mg\(_{1-x}\)Cu\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) \((x = 0–0.5)\) samples. Variation of (c) saturation magnetization and (d) coercivity of furnace-cooled and quenched Mg-Cu ferrites with Cu content.

**Table 2:** Saturation magnetization, coercivity, and remanence measured by VSM at room temperature for furnace-cooled and quenched Mg\(_{1-x}\)Cu\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) after sintering at 1200°C.

| Copper content | Saturation magnetization, \(M_s\) (emu/g) | Coercivity, \(H_c\) (Oe) | Remanent magnetization, \(M_r\) (emu/g) | \(M_r/M_s\) |
|----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| \(x = 0.0\)    | 37.28/36.77                               | 97.73/100.45             | 3.98/4.18                              | 0.11/0.11 |
| \(x = 0.1\)    | 30.22/38.11                               | 106.59/94.43             | 4.57/2.61                              | 0.15/0.07 |
| \(x = 0.2\)    | 36.88/41.12                               | 85.18/87.34              | 8.34/2.98                              | 0.23/0.07 |
| \(x = 0.3\)    | 36.41/46.82                               | 83.52/83.38              | 2.96/2.64                              | 0.08/0.06 |
| \(x = 0.4\)    | 41.14/36.26                               | 79.57/79.32              | 2.54/2.11                              | 0.06/0.06 |
| \(x = 0.5\)    | 43.23/46.37                               | 78.77/76.31              | 2.39/2.47                              | 0.06/0.05 |
Figure 4: Mössbauer spectra of (a) furnace-cooled and (b) quenched Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ($x = 0–0.5$) samples.
the furnace-cooled sample. The decrease of coercivity is due to the increases of particle size with Cu doping. However, no significant effect of quenching on coercivity and remanent magnetization is evident. The squareness ratio ($M_r/M_s$) of the hysteresis loop is an essential parameter of determining the domain state. The squareness ratio less than 0.5 indicates the formation of a multidomain state and equal to or above 0.5 refers that the particles are in the single domain state [34, 35]. The value of squareness ratio in the range 0.06–0.23 and 0.05–0.11 for furnace-cooled and quenched samples, respectively, suggested that the samples belong to the multidomain state.

3.4. Mössbauer Study. The Mössbauer spectra of furnace-cooled and quenched Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ($x = 0.0–0.5$) ferrites are shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b) and were measured at room temperature. The spectral parameters, such as isomer shift ($\delta$), quadrupole shift (QS), and hyperfine field ($H_{hf}$), are computed and summarized, as given in Table 3. All samples show very well-resolved four sextets. The cation distributions at tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B) are calculated based on the relative area of each component. The sextet pattern results from the interaction of the nuclear $^{57}$Fe magnetic moment with the electromagnetic field. The appearance of the sextet is characteristics of magnetically ordered ferrimagnetic materials.

The values of the isomer shift in Table 3 are quoted relative to the metallic iron at room temperature. The isomer shifts arise due to the Columbic interaction between the nuclear charge density and the surrounding S-electron cloud, causing a slight shift of the centroid position of the Mössbauer spectrum and varies with a different composition of Mg-Cu ferrites. The range of isomer shift ($\delta$) of the Fe atom at A and B sites is observed between 0.260 and 0.459 mm/s for furnace-cooled and 0.265 and 0.393 mm/s for quenched samples, indicating all samples are in high-spin Fe (III) oxidizing state [36]. The minimal change in the value of the isomer shift suggests that the Cu substitution and cooling process barely impact the S-electron distribution of Fe$_3^+$. The quadrupole shift (QS) is a measure of how the electric field gradient around the atom affects the atom’s nuclear energy levels. The electric quadrupole interaction between the quadrupole moment of the nucleus and the electric field gradient of electrons in the nucleus leads to quadrupole shift (QS). The QS of the Fe atoms at A and B sites is observed in the range of 0.00–0.757 mm/s and 0.00–0.828 mm/s for furnace-cooled and quenched samples. It is also observed that the nonzero quadrupole splitting values of A and B sites are varying randomly with Cu content, which suggests the presence of chemical disorder due to the arbitrary distribution of Cu$^{2+}$ ions around Fe$^{3+}$ ions. The mean hyperfine field values acting on A and B sites are essentially independent of Cu content and cooling conditions. Apparently, the mean hyperfine field values for the octahedral sites are higher compared to the tetrahedral sites. This is because Fe$^{3+}$-O$^{2-}$ bonds at tetrahedral sites are more covalent in nature than that of the octahedral sites [37].

### Table 3: Mössbauer parameters for furnace-cooled and quenched Mg$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples after sintering at 1200°C.

| Copper content | Site occupancy | Isomer shift, $\delta$ (mm/s) | Quadrupole splitting, QS (mm/s) | Hyperfine field, $H_{hf}$ (kG) | Relative area, A (%) |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
|                |                | Furnace-cooled | Quenched | Furnace-cooled | Quenched | Furnace-cooled | Quenched | Furnace-cooled | Quenched |
| $x = 0.0$      | B              | 0.330           | 0.314    | 0.232          | 0.008    | 493.583       | 483.181   | 61.9          | 61.8     |
|                | A              | 0.330           | 0.251    | 0.431          | 0.499    | 429.129       | 398.011   | 38.8          | 38.4     |
| $x = 0.1$      | B              | 0.383           | 0.316    | 0.362          | 0.000    | 479.924       | 479.369   | 61.1          | 61.4     |
|                | A              | 0.286           | 0.265    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 473.591       | 460.300   | 43.1          | 43.2     |
| $x = 0.2$      | B              | 0.428           | 0.278    | 0.152          | 0.000    | 475.169       | 460.032   | 61.8          | 61.4     |
|                | A              | 0.459           | 0.375    | 0.444          | 0.000    | 450.737       | 406.684   | 38.8          | 38.4     |
| $x = 0.3$      | B              | 0.273           | 0.290    | 0.433          | 0.828    | 456.518       | 430.809   | 38.1          | 38.2     |
|                | A              | 0.262           | 0.331    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 468.198       | 488.309   | 61.8          | 61.4     |
| $x = 0.4$      | B              | 0.452           | 0.314    | 0.499          | 0.191    | 468.198       | 488.309   | 61.8          | 61.4     |
|                | A              | 0.459           | 0.375    | 0.444          | 0.000    | 450.737       | 406.684   | 38.8          | 38.4     |
| $x = 0.5$      | B              | 0.323           | 0.308    | 0.009          | 0.202    | 490.436       | 483.249   | 61.8          | 61.4     |
|                | A              | 0.324           | 0.321    | 0.216          | 0.269    | 415.907       | 387.688   | 38.2          | 38.6     |
|                | B              | 0.327           | 0.274    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 468.369       | 460.480   | 38.2          | 38.6     |
|                | A              | 0.326           | 0.265    | 0.000          | 0.462    | 450.879       | 438.040   | 38.2          | 38.6     |
|                | B              | 0.395           | 0.344    | 0.080          | 0.090    | 495.884       | 487.695   | 62.4          | 63.1     |
|                | A              | 0.324           | 0.321    | 0.216          | 0.269    | 415.907       | 387.688   | 37.6          | 36.2     |
|                | B              | 0.276           | 0.294    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 370.146       | 464.775   | 37.6          | 36.2     |
|                | A              | 0.276           | 0.265    | 0.000          | 0.462    | 400.490       | 445.160   | 37.6          | 36.2     |
|                | B              | 0.291           | 0.393    | 0.388          | 0.008    | 450.624       | 413.646   | 37.6          | 36.2     |
|                | A              | 0.369           | 0.343    | 0.138          | 0.050    | 496.815       | 485.470   | 62.9          | 63.3     |
|                | B              | 0.278           | 0.281    | 0.000          | 0.070    | 473.511       | 462.075   | 37.1          | 36.7     |
|                | A              | 0.323           | 0.378    | 0.757          | 0.000    | 414.853       | 401.219   | 37.1          | 36.7     |
With the increase of Cu$^{2+}$ content, the relative area of the B site increases due to moving the Fe$^{3+}$ ions from A site to B site. Moreover, the quenched samples possess a higher relative area on the B site compared to the furnace-cooled samples except for the case of $x = 0$.

4. Conclusion

The influence of the Cu content and quenching after sintering on microstructure and magnetic properties of Mg-Cu ferrite has been focused on in this study. The X-ray diffraction analysis for 1100°C revealed the formation of a single-phase cubic spinel structure with no secondary phase. Two different processes of cooling after sintering at 1200°C exhibited different microstructures and magnetic behaviors. SEM result clearly showed that the microstructure of the samples was highly influenced by the addition of Cu and the cooling process. All samples demonstrated soft magnetic behavior, and saturation magnetization was relatively higher for the quenched samples compared with the furnace-cooled samples. Mössbauer spectroscopy confirmed all samples were ferromagnetic. Magnetic hyperfine parameters measured by Mössbauer spectroscopy showed that hyperfine parameters vary randomly with Cu$^{2+}$ and the cooling process. This work shows that water quenching may result in the fabrication of high saturation Mg-Cu ferrite for soft magnetic applications.
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