cases between 10–15 years of operation in patients whose initial lesion was malignant. In gastrectomy for peptic ulcer disease the peak incidence occurred around the fourth decade after operation.

Gastric stump cancer surgically treated has bad prognosis. Was observed lower five-year survival in patients with gastric stump cancer than those with primary gastric cancer. The treatment of choice is surgical D2 resection of remaining stomach, plus lymphadenectomy including organs and other adjacent lymph nodes resection.

To improve results is necessary early diagnose. Therefore, endoscopic surveillance should be considered. However, there is no consensus in the literature on the screening of gastric stump cancer after gastrectomy. For some, the endoscopic surveillance program should start one year till at least ten years. For others, gastrectomy for peptic ulcer should continue beyond ten years. But everyone agrees on the need for early cancer detection and appropriate follow-up program.
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FIGURE 1 - Coronal computed tomography of the neck clearly showing the fish impacted in cervical esophagus.

FIGURE 2 - Esophagotomy and removal of the intact fish.

DISCUSSION

The greater part of foreign bodies (80%) pass through the gastrointestinal tract without difficulties, but 20% can obstruct the lumen, requiring endoscopic or surgical removal (1% of cases). As the esophagus is a narrow portion of the gastrointestinal tract, 28-68% objects are found in this region. The symptoms depend on the location. Dysphagia, odynophagia and salivation suggest esophageal foreign body. It can also present chest pain, cough, dyspnea, wheezing or stridor. In more severe cases, particularly in large or sharp foreign bodies, there may be intense pain, vomiting, refusal to eat, saliva ink with blood or shock.

A medical review of database present several accidents involving foreign bodies ingestion, including food-bolus impactions, coins, fish bones, dental prostheses, chicken bones, iron slices, lighters, little metallic foreign bodies, toothbrushes, needles, and spoons, but no reports involving the ingestion of whole fish. Impaction events with fish bones includes 12.6% of the accidents, the third highest in incidence. As the majority of the bodies are radiopaque, the diagnosis can easily be done with plain radiography in posteroanterior and lateral projections. Endoscopy and contrasted study are needed in the case of radiotransparent objects. In all radiological exams it must be looked for signs of subcutaneous emphysema, which indicates drilling. The treatment of choice is the endoscopic removal of the foreign body, which is successful with little or no complications for the patient. The surgical treatment should be performed when endoscopic management is not possible to solve the problem, or if there is impairment of progression in the gastrointestinal tract or complications such as perforation, obstruction and bleeding.
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NEISSERIA MENINGITIDIS PERITONITIS SEROTYPE C: CASE REPORT

Peritonite por Neisseria meningitidis sorotipo C: relato de caso
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INTRODUCTION

The meningococcal disease manifestation as acute abdomen with meningococcal peritonitis is rare. Is reported primary peritonitis and bacteremia by Neisseria meningitidis serotype C occurring in conjunction with the obstructive acute abdomen.
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