Mutual regulation of lactate dehydrogenase and redox robustness
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The nature of redox is electron transfer; in this way, energy metabolism brings redox stress. Lactate production is associated with NAD regeneration, which is now recognized to play a role in maintaining redox homeostasis. The cellular lactate/pyruvate ratio could be described as a proxy for the cytosolic NADH/NAD ratio, meaning lactate metabolism is the key to redox regulation. Here, we review the role of lactate dehydrogenases in cellular redox regulation, which play the role of the direct regulator of lactate–pyruvate transforming. Lactate dehydrogenases (LDHs) are found in almost all animal tissues; while LDHA catalyzed pyruvate to lactate, LDHB catalyzed the reverse reaction . LDH enzyme activity affects cell oxidative stress with NAD/NADH regulation, especially LDHA recently is also thought as an ROS sensor. We focus on the mutual regulation of LDHA and redox robustness. ROS accumulation regulates the transcription of LDHA. Conversely, diverse post-translational modifications of LDHA, such as phosphorylation and ubiquitination, play important roles in enzyme activity on ROS elimination, emphasizing the potential role of the ROS sensor and regulator of LDHA.
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Introduction

The nature of redox is electron transfer. Because electron transfer between matters is associated with energy release or absorption, redox process, to some extent, is the process of energy metabolism. The electron transport among proteins brings reductive power, together with energy transfer. A metabolic electron-transfer (ET) system catalyzes a complex network of biotransformations of organic compounds, while it is mainly NAD(P)H-dependent (Iyanagi, 2019). The NAD(P)H-dependent metabolic ET systems include three steps, where two electrons are transferred to the final metal-contained center. In this way, excess accumulation of NAD(P)H leads to reductive stress, which may be utilized by NADPH oxidases to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) for redox homeostasis maintenance (Handy and Loscalzo, 2012). As the coenzyme for oxidoreductases and the substrate of some enzymes, the NAD+/NADH redox couple is commonly known as a regulator of cellular energy metabolism, that is, of glycolysis and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (Xiao et al., 2018).
Glycolysis and tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) are common metabolic pathways in aerobic organisms. A molecule of glucose would be catabolized into two molecules of pyruvate through glycolysis, associated with the production of one NADH, while six NADHs are produced in the TCA cycle. With these essential metabolic pathways, redox homeostasis is also built up. For example, blockage of glycolysis by 2-DG increases intracellular ROS and results in autophagic cell death (Rashmi et al., 2018), while pyruvate kinase muscle 2 (PKM2) activation inhibits normal NK cell oxidative metabolism fueling (Walls et al., 2020).

Lactate is the “by-product” of glycolysis, which is transformed from pyruvate and gets away from TCA cycles (Brooks, 2020). Though once recognized as a metabolic waste, lactate is now viewed as an important fuel in energy homeostasis. Lactate production is catalyzed by lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), while LDHB catalyzes the reverse process. Due to its easy transportation inside and outside cells through monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), lactate is abundant in circulation (Brooks, 2018). A recent study found that the circulatory turnover flux of lactate is the highest of all metabolites and a primary source of carbon for the TCA cycle and thus of energy (Hui et al., 2017), verifying that lactate can be the key regulator of metabolism. The pool of intracellular and circulatory lactate, mediated by LDH and MCT, participates in the maintenance of redox robustness.

**Lactate homeostasis: Part and parcel of redox robustness**

Lactate is now recognized to play roles in maintaining energy and redox homeostasis. The regulation of redox robustness is mediated by intercellular and interorgan flows of lactate, while lactate production and consumption is a key to that (Brooks, 2020; Lagarde et al., 2021).

In a glycolytic cell, lactate production and its export are critical for intracellular redox homeostasis. Two steps of glycolysis, the cellular G3P-to-1,3-BPG and lactate-to-pyruvate, both require NAD as a substrate, catalyzed by G3P dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and LDH, respectively (Figure 1). The reduction of pyruvate into lactate by LDH activity is associated with the oxidation of NADH, H+ into NAD (Lagarde et al., 2021). As a reversible reaction, the transformation between lactate and pyruvate, catalyzed by LDH, effectively buffers NAD and NADH in the cytoplasm. Some points show that while the LDH reaction approaches equilibrium, the cellular lactate/pyruvate ratio could be described as a proxy for the cytosolic NADH/NAD+ ratio (Mintun et al., 2004; Rabinowitz and Enerback, 2020).

In consideration of GAPDH’s lower Michaelis constant for NAD than LDH’s (Talaiezadeh et al., 2015), the export of lactate is required to enable the reaction to further move toward lactate production. Lactate transport is mainly mediated by four isoforms of MCT, which are also pyruvate and ketone body transporters (Halestrap and Wilson, 2012). Lactate transport mediated by MCT is associated with proton flux, depending on the electrochemical gradient (Halestrap, 2013). As for that, the transport of lactate through MCTs itself is also a way for maintenance of redox homeostasis. On the other hand, lactate consumption by oxidative cells is associated with proton uptake. In this case, the MCT-LDH reaction sequence would align the cytosolic NAD/NADH ratio to the circulating lactate, maintaining systemic redox robustness (Figure 1).

Lactate shuttle theory describes the roles of lactate in the delivery of oxidative and gluconeogenic substrates (Brooks, 2018). This theory not only elucidates lactate transport between cells but also between organelles. In oxidative cells, the TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) happening in mitochondria supply most of the energy for cell proliferation, which demands effective uptake and metabolism of lactate. Mitochondrial activity in some conditions could correspond to an electron dissemination process, and the electron load reflects the oxidative capacity of mitochondria. Some investigators put forward the “mitochondrial lactate oxidation complex” (mLOC) to describe several essential components of lactate oxidation in mitochondria, including MCT, its membrane chaperone basigin, LDH, and cytochrome oxidase (Brooks, 2018). This system has been reported in different tissues, such as the liver and muscle (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Passarella et al., 2014). However, it is controversial whether MCT is abundant in the inner membrane of mitochondria (Corbet et al., 2018; Rabionowitz and Enerback, 2020). Anyway, the lactate shuttle into mitochondria brings electrons and substrate for the TCA cycle, affecting the balance of the NAD/NADH ratio in the intermembrane space of mitochondria. Some overwhelming changes in cell metabolic states, like the Warburg effect in cancer cells and the uncoupling of the state of glycolysis and mitochondrial metabolism, result in the disruption of mitochondrial oxidative balance and the over-production of ROS (Lu et al., 2015). Through this metabolic and redox regulation, lactate facilitates electron management processes by activating specific signaling pathways, such as triggering mitochondrial biogenesis in muscle cells and adipocytes (Hashimoto et al., 2007; Li G. et al., 2017), and even uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) expression in adipocytes (Carriere et al., 2014). In addition, the redox state drives lactate flux to regulate mitochondrial Mg2+ dynamics (Daw et al., 2020). These effects, by mechanism, are the adaptive ways to alleviate redox pressure. Very interestingly, even though the aforementioned studies discussed showed that lactate regulates downstream pathways through NAD/NADH and ROS production, recent research showed lactate excretion is also strongly affected by mitochondrial NADH shuttle activity (Wang et al., 2022). The mutual relationship of lactate and redox state might be the core of cell metabolism.
Lactate, redox, and cell survival

Lactate metabolism has been proven to be associated with cell proliferation and survival, which is partly mediated by redox homeostasis. High lactate production is found in cancer cells correlating with tumor recurrence and metastatic potential, known as aerobic glycolysis or the Warburg effect (Zhang et al., 2019). This efficient glycolysis in cancer cells has been proven to maintain cell proliferation. Different alterations in glycolytic function have been approved to intervene in the cancer process (Ganapathy-Kanniappan and Geschwind, 2013). Some point out that the Warburg effect causes alterations in mitochondrial redox potential, ultimately changing ROS generation to affect cell survival (Tauffenberger et al., 2019). Different inhibitors of LDH, such as FX-11 and dichloroacetate (DCA), significantly increase oxidative stress, resulting in tumor cell death (Le et al., 2010; Haugrud et al., 2014). Targeting the lactate transporter MCT1 also increases the cell oxidative stress to the ferroptosis of HCC cells (Zhao et al., 2020). The Warburg effect also presents in some anabolism-activated tissues. In T cells, extracellular lactate correlates well with cell proliferation (Grist et al., 2018), which suggests immune-suppressive signaling of Treg cells in the tumor microenvironment (Watson et al., 2021). Further reports suggest that lactate leads to regulation of post-GAPDH glycolytic intermediates and T cell proliferation via the NAD(H) redox state (Quinn et al., 2020). In efficient glycolytic organs like adipose tissues, alteration of lactate transport promotes apoptosis of adipocytes, resulting in severe insulin resistance (Lin et al., 2022). All the research studies show that the redox homeostasis maintained by lactate decides cell fate.

Lactate pre-treatment reduces cancer cell death induced by oxidative stress and delays aging-evoked phenotypes in C. elegans (Tauffenberger et al., 2019). Different inhibitors of LDH, such as FX-11 and dichloroacetate (DCA), significantly increase oxidative stress, resulting in tumor cell death (Le et al., 2010; Haugrud et al., 2014). Targeting the lactate transporter MCT1 also increases the cell oxidative stress to the ferroptosis of HCC cells (Zhao et al., 2020). The Warburg effect also presents in some anabolism-activated tissues. In T cells, extracellular lactate correlates well with cell proliferation (Grist et al., 2018), which suggests immune-suppressive signaling of Treg cells in the tumor microenvironment (Watson et al., 2021). Further reports suggest that lactate leads to regulation of post-GAPDH glycolytic intermediates and T cell proliferation via the NAD(H) redox state (Quinn et al., 2020). In efficient glycolytic organs like adipose tissues, alteration of lactate transport promotes apoptosis of adipocytes, resulting in severe insulin resistance (Lin et al., 2022). All the research studies show that the redox homeostasis maintained by lactate decides cell fate.

**FIGURE 1**
Lactate metabolism and redox homeostasis. Glycolytic flux from glucose to pyruvate generates NADH from NAD at the GAPDH reaction, while LDHA-catalyzed pyruvate to lactate consumes NADH. The pyruvate–lactate ratio in some conditions reflects the NAD–NADH ratio. MCT-mediated proton-dependent lactate transport maintains the balance between intracellular and circulated lactate.
LDH: Structure and location

The lactate/pyruvate ratio, the representation of cellular redox robustness, is directly regulated by LDHs. LDH is a family of 2-hydroxyacid oxidoreductases that is found in almost all animal tissues and microorganisms, whose roles in metabolism have been well-established since 1932 (Granchi et al., 2010). Human LDH is a family of tetrameric isozymes (Neilands, 1952), and there are at least six isozymes of LDH. It is now recognized that active LDH is a homo- or hetero-tetramer assembled from two types of subunit: LDHA (M) and LDHB (H), encoded by separate genes located on chromosomes 11p15.4 and 12p12.2-p12.1 (Krieg et al., 1967; Augoff et al., 2015). The combinations of LDHA and LDHB result in five major isoforms of LDH (LDH1-5), with an increased composition ratio of LDHA. A third subunit, LDHC, likely a duplicate of LDHA, forms a testis-specific isoform known as LDH-6 (Goldberg, 1971).

LDHA and LDHB enzymes possess about 75% amino acid sequence identity, signifying significant similarity of overall structures except the residues forming substrate-binding pockets (Bellamacina, 1996). Despite the negligible structural diversity, dissimilarity in the kinetic properties of each form is pronounced. The overall turnover rate is two-fold higher for LDHA than for LDHB, whereas the LDHB shows about a three-fold increase in the ability to bind pyruvate (Granchi et al., 2010). These differences determine that LDHA mainly converts pyruvate to lactate and transforms NADH to NAD⁺ (Feng et al., 2018). On the contrary, LDHB protein kinetically favors the lactate-to-pyruvate conversion. Due to the differences in function, the distribution pattern of LDHs depends on the metabolic state. For example, LDH-5 and LDH-4 are found especially in anaerobic tissues, like the liver, while LDH-1 strongly predominates in the cardiac muscle with principal aerobic metabolism (Cameron et al., 2004; Granchi et al., 2010).

LDHA contains 332 amino acids, usually existing as a tetramer (LDH-5) (Figure 2). As the most effective isoform in the family to catalyze pyruvate to lactate, most studies on this family focus on LDHA, and aberrant activation of LDHA has been found to be closely related to diverse cancers (Fantin et al., 2006; Le et al., 2010). LDHA is mainly located in the cytoplasm, acting as the glycolysis regulator through the pyruvate/lactate ratio, but it has also been reported inside mitochondria as part of mLOC (Brooks et al., 1999). More interestingly, about 0.5% of the total LDH-5 has been reported to be present in the nucleus, where it works as a single-stranded DNA-binding protein, participating in DNA duplication and transcription (Grosse et al., 1986). The location of LDHA has been investigated to be dependent on post-translational regulation in a stimulatory fashion (Cooper et al., 1984; Corbet et al., 2018).

LDH and ROS

Due to the toxicity and metabolic affinity, the level of lactate in circulation is much higher than that of pyruvate, which shows the importance of LDH (Brooks, 2018). LDH mediates lactate production and LDHB for consumption, becoming the key role in the lactate shuttle (Brooks et al., 1999). As circulated lactate is considered the main energy carrier in mammals (Hui et al.,
LDH, in a certain sense, mediates energy flux and balance of the whole body. Some reports have shown that LDH deficiency affects muscle stem cells and the tumor microenvironment through metabolic homeostasis (Theret et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2021), indicating the essential function of LDH in regulating energy flux.

Because of the enzyme activity, LDH is the direct handler of the lactate/pyruvate ratio, suggesting its correlation with redox homeostasis. LDHA induces the conversion of pyruvate to lactate, associated with NADH to NAD. This process supplies reducing power against reactive oxygen species (Brand and Hermesse, 1997; Wu et al., 2021). More importantly, in glycolytic cells, the activity of LDHA ensures a low demand of mitochondria to produce ATP through oxidative phosphorylation, which avoids the elevation of mitochondrial ROS (Fantin et al., 2006; Jeong et al., 2006). A series of research studies have suggested high activity of LDHA to be a hallmark of cancer, where the regulation of ROS plays an important role (Le et al., 2010; Sheng et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2018). Therefore, LDHA expression or activity has become a valued target of cancer treatment (Liu et al., 2018). Nuclear LDHA produced through metabolic homeostasis (Theret et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2021), indicating the essential function of LDH in regulating energy flux.

ROS controls transcriptional regulation of LDHA

The promoter region of LDHA contains multiple elements for diverse transcription factor binding, such as HIF-1, MYC, KLF4, and FOXM1 (Feng et al., 2018). Thus, transcriptional regulation is usually thought to be the main regulatory mode of LDHA expression.

Hypoxia-induced factor 1 (HIF-1) is a heterodimeric binding to hypoxia-responsive elements (HRE) to activate targeted genes, which is one of the most important transcriptional factors of LDHA. Not only a responder to hypoxia, HIF is also a prominent determinant of the metabolic shift from glucose oxidation to aerobic glycolysis in cancer (Talaiezadeh et al., 2015). In hypoxic or stimulatory states, HIF-1α stabilization is augmented by mitochondrial ROS in an oxidant-dependent manner (Majmundar et al., 2010; Willson et al., 2022). HIF-1α guides the shift of glucose metabolism by promoting the expression of glycolytic enzymes and LDHA, which replenishes NAD⁺ for further metabolism in cancer cells (Gordon et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2022), resulting in the state of aerobic glycolysis. Through HIF-1α, LDHA is activated by ROS to maintain redox robustness.

MYC, a well-established oncogene, binds to the E-box in the promoter of LDHA, thus activating LDHA expression (Shim et al., 1997). MYC cooperates with HIF to activate PDK1 and LDHA and regulate mitochondrial biogenesis (Dang, 2012), but there is a lack of evidence that MYC would be directly regulated by ROS signaling.

FOXM1 belongs to the Forkhead transcriptional superfamily, found positively associated with LDHA in pancreatic cancer (Cui et al., 2014). Further investigation demonstrates that FOXM1 bound to the promoter of LDHA and promotes its transcription in pancreatic and gastric cancer to influence the glycolytic state of cancer (Cui et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015). It is noteworthy that FOXM1 is a typical transcriptional factor that responds to ROS. It has been reported that Ras-induced FOXM1 expression requires ROS (Park et al., 2009). Recently, studies show that NOX4 stimulates FOXM1 expression and further LDHA expression by increasing mitochondrial ROS in glioma (Su et al., 2021), and FABP4-associated ROS production could induce FOXM1 expression (Wu et al., 2018). Deletion of FOXM1 induces ROS accumulation and cell apoptosis (Kopanja et al., 2015), partly explained by the regulation of glycolytic gene expression.

KLF4 transferred into the nucleus exerts transcriptional regulation by binding to the GC box, 5’-CACCC-3’ sequence, of the promoter region (Feng et al., 2018). KLF4 was found negatively related to LDHA level by binding to −371 to −367 bp or −1,310 to −1,306 bp promoter region of LDHA (Shi et al., 2014). Some reports suggest that ROS may be responsible for eliciting a KLF4-mediated response to glucose starvation (Blum et al., 2021). Vascular smooth muscle cell migration and proliferation are also thought to be regulated by ROS-
mediated KLF4 pathways (Huynh et al., 2022). Through KLF4, ROS regulates an indirect effect on LDHA expression. However, KLF4 activation-mediated ROS elimination could not be explained with LDHA function.

Posttranslational modification of LDH

LDHA could also be modulated by posttranslational modification in specific amino acids. The posttranslational modification of LDHA, impacting protein stability and function, seems tighter and more direct in relationship to redox balance. Diverse modifications of LDHA have been reported, including phosphorylation, acetylation, and succinylation (Figure 2). In addition, a few studies report phosphorylation and acetylation of LDHB, performing a function in glycolytic regulation.

Phosphorylation predominantly promotes lactate production

Phosphorylation is the main and earliest discovered pattern of LDHA posttranslational modification, and most of the studies report its positive regulation on lactate-producing activity. It has been reported that the subcellular localization of LDHA appears to be dependent on the phosphorylation state of Y238 in 1984 (Cooper et al., 1984). Y10 is the most reported phosphorylated site of LDHA, which is shown upregulated in thyroid cancer tissues as compared to goiter (Kachel et al., 2015). The authors demonstrate that phosphorylation of LDHA occurs in an FGFR1-specific manner, which is an additional regulatory mechanism underlying the Warburg effect and lactate production in thyroid cancer cells. Further explorations show that an adenylate kinase, hCINAP, promotes FGFR1-catalyzed LDHA Y10 phosphorylation in CRC (Li et al., 2017) and an lncRNA, HULC, modulates the phosphorylation of LDHA Y10 through FGFR1, elevating aerobic glycolysis of liver cancer cells (Wang et al., 2020). The tyrosine phosphorylation of LDHA is important for NADH/NAD+ redox homeostasis in cancer cells, due to a compensatory increase in mitochondrial respiration in Y10F cells (Fan et al., 2011).

It deserves to be mentioned that phosphorylation of LDHA has recently been found in p53-deficient cancer cells (Cheng et al., 2019). Aurora-A directly binds and phosphorylates LDHB, resulting in significantly rapid NAD + regeneration and upregulation of glycolysis. Together with LDHA, an interesting observation is that the phosphorylation of different types of LDH so far seems to promote glycolysis for NAD regeneration.

Acetylation accelerates degradation of LDHA but inhibits LDHB activity

LDHA is found acetylated at lysine 5 (K5) in pancreatic cancer cells, which reduces LDHA catalytic activity and decreases its protein level (Zhao et al., 2013a). The K5-acetylated LDH-A is recognized by the HSC70 chaperone and delivered to lysosomes for degradation. Replacement of endogenous LDHA with an acetylation mimic mutant leads to a decrease in cancer cell proliferation and migration, indicating the critical role of LDHA acetylation in cell growth control (Zhao et al., 2013b). In this model, acetylation decreases the LDHA protein level and further affects the TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation for efficient energy production in cancer cells.

Even though four putative acetylation sites were identified in LDHB by mass spectrometry, only K329 has been identified as functional in cancers (Shi et al., 2019). SIRT5, which is demonstrated to directly deacetylate LDHB at lysine 329, increases LDHB activity and promotes autophagy in human colorectal cancer, leading to tumor growth and poor prognosis.

Succinylation maintains the stability of LDHA

Succinylation has recently been found to be another modification affecting LDHA stability. Proteomics analysis suggests LDHA is upregulated in gastric cancer tissues and highly succinylated on K222 (Li X. et al., 2020). CPT1A binds to and succinylates LDHA on K222, which inhibits ubiquitinated LDHA binding to SQSTM1. This modified pattern of lysosomal pathway inhibition increases the levels of LDHA, leading to poor prognosis in patients with GC.

Ubiquitination plays diverse roles in LDHA function

Ubiquitination is an essential posttranslational modification that regulates protein stability or function. Even though there are numerous predicted ubiquitination sites in human LDHA protein, as shown on the Phosphosite website (https://www.phosphosite.org) (Akimov et al., 2018), such as K14 and K118, few studies focus on that. A former study identifies mono-ubiquitinated LDHA in skeletal muscle cells exposed to oxidative stress (Onishi et al., 2005). In this study, H2O2 treatment specifically enhances the levels of mono-ubiquitinated LDHA and lysosomal catabolism of LDH, illustrating the regulated relationship between ROS and LDHA stability. The authors infer that LDHA may be mono-ubiquitinated without further extension of the poly-ubiquitination chain because mono-ubiquitination of LDHA may also mediate its sorting into the lysosome to enhance the degradation. Li X. et al. (2020), however, showed that poly-ubiquitination of LDHA exists and LDHA was mainly ubiquitinated by K63-linked ubiquitin, though the degradation of LDHA is not mediated by the proteasome pathway. Another recent research shows that CTLH E3 ligase mediates poly-ubiquitination of LDHA and PKM2 (Maitland et al., 2021). However, this ubiquitination inhibits the activity levels of enzymes but not the stability. Whether poly-ubiquitinated LDHA exists in vivo and its function needs further detection.
Methylation is associated with LDHA activity

A recent investigation shows that methylation of LDHA influences protein activity but not stability. Protein arginine methyltransferase 3 enhances arginine methylation of LDHA in HCC (Lei et al., 2022). LDHA could be methylated at R112, which is located at the nucleotide-binding Rossmann fold and near the catalytic loop (Woodford et al., 2020). Methylation at this residue might influence LDH activity because the growth of PRMT3-overexpressed cancer cells is affected by this site mutation. However, how methylation promotes LDHA function and whether this modification exists in other cancers remain a mystery.

LDH in clinical translation

As discussed previously that LDH is involved in energy and redox regulation, more and more recent studies focus on LDH function in diseases, as shown in Figure 3. The Warburg effect was mainly reported in cancer, resulting in significant attention on LDH in cancer therapy, especially how different LDHA inhibiting methods show a positive effect. LDHA is overexpressed and associated with poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), where LDHA inhibition by oxamate remarkably increased radiosensitivity through ROS accumulation and cellular ATP depletion (Yang et al., 2021). Targeting LDHA through miR-30a-5p could be a potential therapeutic strategy in breast cancer for its obvious effect in suppressing breast cancer metastasis (Li L. et al., 2017). As an LDHA inhibitor, berberine plays a critical role in suppressing LDHA/AMPK-mTOR-mediated pancreatic adenocarcinoma progression (Cheng et al., 2021). Galloflavin, which inhibits LDH, improves cell viability and survival in acute liver failure (Ferriero et al., 2018), suggesting that the LDHA inhibitor plays roles in not only cancer therapy. In consideration of the clinical permission of the last two inhibitors, LDHA inhibition might be a promising treatment in clinical practice.

Not only in cancer but also in metabolic syndromes including obesity and diabetes, LDH is now found operating. LDH is found upregulated in human islets during type 2 diabetes, which was mainly localized in human α-cells, while it is expressed at a very low level in β-cells, indicating a possible role of LDHA in the islet secretory dysfunction and diabetes progression (Sanchez et al., 2021). The expression and phosphorylation of LDHA might be involved in type 1 diabetes by β-cell apoptosis (Huang et al., 2021). Lactate production is highly increased during obesity (Lin et al., 2022), while hypothalamic LDH is regulated by leptin signaling in obese rats (Abraham et al., 2018). Due to these effects, some researchers tried mediating LDH to intervene in metabolic syndromes. LDHA inhibitor oxamate significantly abolished the TGF-β1-induced expression of renal fibrosis-related proteins, which might be applied in the treatment of diabetic kidney disease (Lee et al., 2022). LDH-mediated ROS generation in chondrocytes is now thought to be a pathogenic factor of osteoarthritis, where inhibiting LDHA with FX-11 is efficacious in articular chondrocytes (Arra et al., 2020). Unfortunately, there is still a lack of clinical applications of LDH inhibitors for metabolic diseases, which remains to be explored.
LDHB in cancer is also regarded as a target recently. In uterine cancer patients, high expression of MCT1, together with LDHB, predicts poor survival because LDH activity controls lysosomal activity in oxidative cancer cells (Brisson et al., 2016). In this perspective, silencing LDHB decreases the cell number in most cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo. R-2-hydroxyglutarate mediated anti-leukemia activity partly through LDHB inhibition in primary patient samples (Qing et al., 2021), supporting the inhibition of LDHB as a potential treatment for most cancer. However, the tissue selectivity of LDHB inhibition is key to clinical application due to its possible side effects on immune systems. LDHB inhibition facilitates the progression of classical swine fever virus (CSFV) infection resulting from its induction of cellular mitophagy (Fan et al., 2021). miR-375-mediated downregulation of macrophage LDHB skewed TAMs to function as a lactate and sterol source for proliferation of tumor cells (Frank et al., 2021), emphasizing that the targeting cells should be strictly in LDHB inhibition treatment.

In another aspect, the side effects of direct handling of lactate metabolism should be known. LDHA deficiency was first reported in the 1980s (Kanno et al., 1980), and the patients have been found to present with exertional myopathy, erythematousquamous skin lesions, and uterine stiffness during pregnancy (Lai et al., 2018). Though most symptoms were not shown under non-exercise conditions, LDHA deficiency in muscles still poses an enormous risk (Ariceta et al., 2021). LDHA deficiency cripples the cellular redox control and diminishes ATP production in effector T cells, resulting in attenuated PI3K signaling and defective antimicrobial immunity (Xu et al., 2021). LDHB inhibition causes lactate accumulation in some of the aforementioned research studies, which might result in cell apoptosis or necrosis in other organs (Lin et al., 2022). All these suggest the risk of application of LDH intervention, mainly the dose and the targeted cells for inhibition.

Conclusions and perspective

Considering the relationship between metabolism and redox homeostasis, lactate homeostasis, associated with NAD/NADH regeneration, regulates cellular redox robustness. The lactate shuttle theory also suggests that lactate in circulation is a pool participating in systemic regulation. LDH is the direct regulator of lactate–pyruvate balance, the function of which is linked tightly to cellular oxidative stress. The transcriptional and post-translational regulation of LDHA adjusts the lactate–pyruvate ratio; through this way, the mutual effect between LDH and redox robustness is built.

Though the relationship between LDH and redox has been discussed for decades, there are still many questions that remain to be solved. Do the very differently expressed patterns of LDHA and LDHB suggest diverse regulatory modes among tissues? Most studies focus on LDHA, but not on LDHB, and how LDHB is regulated and its role in ROS regulation deserves discovery. Moreover, we still know little about the posttranslational regulation of LDH. Substantial modification of LDHA has been predicted or detected by MS, but the sites and functions that have been reported are limited. These questions, potentially, would promote our understanding of metabolic regulation on redox homeostasis.
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