Influence of Stent Length on Periprocedural Outcomes After Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients with ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction

Yan Chen1,2,*, Ya-Fang Gao3,*, Yun-Fan Wang2, Cheng-Jian Wang1, Ying Du1, Ya-Hui Ding1,2

1The Second Clinical Medical College, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, People’s Republic of China; 2Heart Center, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital (Affiliated People’s Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College), Hangzhou, People’s Republic of China; 3Graduate Department, Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, People’s Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Ya-Hui Ding, Heart Center, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital (Affiliated People’s Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College), No.158 Shangtang Road, Hangzhou, 310014, People’s Republic of China, Email dyh@zjheart.com

Purpose: A longer stent is associated with adverse events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). However, little information is available on the relationship between stent length and periprocedural prognosis in patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). We aimed to assess the target vessel stent length influence on angiographic outcomes and in-hospital major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) during primary PCI in patients with STEMI.

Patients and Methods: This single-center retrospective observational study included 246 patients with STEMI admitted to the Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital between January 2019 and December 2021, who underwent primary PCI and successful stent implantation. The exclusion criteria included left main lesion, multiple diseased vessel-stenting, bleeding disorders, contrast allergy, and incomplete data. Patients were divided into two groups based on the median stents length: group A (≤29 mm, n=125) and group B (>29 mm, n=121). Periprocedural outcomes were slow flow/no-reflow (SF-NR) and in-hospital MACE, which included acute heart failure, malignant arrhythmia, cardiovascular death, non-fatal stroke, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and urgent revascularization. Multivariate logistic analyses were used to explore the correlation between stent length and SF-NR.

Results: A total of 246 patients (82.9% males) with a mean age of 59.9±12.6 years were included in the analysis. The incidence of SF-NR was significantly higher in group B than in group A (36.4% vs 23.2%, p=0.024). However, the in-hospital MACE incidence rate was similar between the two groups (7.2% vs 7.4%, p=0.943). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that stent length and diameter, and peak troponin I level were independent risk factors for SF-NR.

Conclusion: Excessive stent length is an independent risk factor for SF-NR, without any significant influence on the risk of MACE during hospitalization.
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Introduction

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has become the preferred strategy for reperfusion therapy in patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) in recent years.1,2 However, after restoring the patency of the infarct-related artery with primary PCI in some patients with STEMI, coronary angiography has demonstrated significant slowing or loss of distal antegrade flow, resulting in inadequate perfusion of myocardial tissue. This is called the slow flow/no-reflow (SF-NR) phenomenon and is mainly associated with coronary microvascular dysfunction (MVD). Studies have shown that the SF-NR phenomenon can lead to progressive necrosis of cardiomyocytes and impaired left
ventricular function, which is associated with adverse events, such as the development of heart failure (HF) as well as increased in-hospital mortality. The SF-NR phenomenon can also lead to an increase in infarct size after the intervention. Moreover, it predicts 5-year mortality and is associated with poor prognosis in patients with STEMI. In the current drug-eluting stent (DES) era, patients undergoing long stent implantation (total stent length >50 mm) are reportedly at a higher risk of target lesion revascularization. Study results in women undergoing PCI showed that stent length does not influence stent thrombosis (ST) risk, but increases the risk of major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) at 3 years.

Previous studies focused on patients with stable coronary artery disease. Research on whether increasing the stent length is associated with adverse prognosis in patients with STEMI is limited. This study combined angiography and in-hospital clinical outcomes to evaluate the influence of stent length on periprocedural outcomes in patients with STEMI.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

Patients with STEMI who presented to Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital between January 2019 and December 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. The inclusion criteria were patients with STEMI who underwent primary PCI and successful stent implantation. The exclusion criteria were left main lesion, multiple diseased vessel-stenting, bleeding disorders, contrast allergy, and incomplete data. A total of 246 patients with STEMI were included, and they were divided into two groups based on the median stent length: 1) group A, stent length ≤29 mm and 2) group B, stent length >29 mm. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital (2022QQT024).

Operation Method and Used Drugs

Prior to primary PCI, the patients chewed 300 mg of aspirin, 300/600 mg of clopidogrel, or 180 mg of ticagrelor. All PCI procedures followed the PCI guidelines with radial or femoral artery access. At least three consecutive cardiac cycles were collected in each position. If no contraindications were found during the perioperative period, statin, β-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors were routinely administered.

Data Collection

Clinical Baseline Data

Patient characteristics included age, sex, family history, and comorbidities. Indications for baseline laboratory testing included white blood cell count, hypersensitive C-reactive protein, creatinine, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, type B natriuretic peptide, troponin I, and left ventricular ejection fraction. These data were collected from electronic medical records at Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital.

Coronary Angiography Data

This study involved two experienced cardiac interventionalists who were blinded to the study’s purpose in recording and interpreting the coronary angiography images. We measured and recorded the preoperative and postoperative thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) grade and corrected the TIMI frame count (CTFC) immediately after the procedure. TIMI grade flow is a scoring system from 0 to 3, with TIMI grade <3 being defined as SF-NR. CTFC refers to the number of frames required to count coronary vessels from the start of contrast shading to the standardized distal marker. In this study, the CTFC proposed by Gibson et al was used to record the number of contrast frames filling each coronary artery, and all TIMI frames were corrected at 30 frames/s. The first frame is where the contrast agent reaches the coronary artery and fills the proximal transverse diameter. In the last frame, the contrast agent fills the target coronary artery and reaches the distal marker. The anatomical landmarks of each coronary artery are as follows: the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) is the apical “figure-of-eight” bifurcation; the left circumflex artery is the distal most obtuse marginal branch bifurcation; and the right coronary artery is the first branch of the posterior left ventricular branch.
artery. As the LAD is longer, the frame count is divided by 1.7 to obtain a corrected frame rate. CTFC was defined as a slow flow that was greater than two standard deviations from the regular coronary TIMI flow rate.

**Definition of Total Stent Length**
The total stent length was the sum of all the stents implanted at the lesion site. If multiple stents were connected and overlapped, the total length of the stents was calculated and subtracted from the length of the overlapping portions.

**Definition of Study Endpoints and MACE**
The primary study endpoint was the comparison of SF-NR incidence at the end of the procedure in both groups. All patients were assessed for coronary flow using CTFC immediately at the end of the interventional procedure to determine whether SF-NR occurred.

The secondary study endpoint was the comparison of the prevalence of in-hospital MACE between the two groups. MACE is defined as acute HF, malignant arrhythmia, cardiovascular death, non-fatal stroke, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and urgent revascularization. 13–15

**Statistical Analysis**
Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation or median with interquartile range. Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare differences between groups. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and compared using the chi-square test. Multivariate logistic analysis was used to analyze the risk factors affecting the SF-NR. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05, and all probabilities were two-tailed.

**Results**

**Clinical Baseline**
The study included 246 patients with a mean age of 59.9±12.6 years, and 82.9% were males. Of these, 209 (85%) had symptoms of pre-infarction angina. Clinical baseline and demographic data are shown in Table 1. No statistically

| Variable                        | Group A (n=125) | Group B (n=121) | p value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (years)                     | 58.42±12.70    | 61.50±12.33    | 0.055   |
| Male, n (%)                     | 104 (83.2)     | 100 (82.6)     | 0.908   |
| Smoking history, n (%)          | 83 (66.4)      | 76 (62.8)      | 0.556   |
| Hypertension, n (%)             | 69 (55.2)      | 72 (59.5)      | 0.495   |
| Diabetes, n (%)                 | 22 (17.6)      | 32 (26.4)      | 0.094   |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)             | 20 (16.0)      | 29 (24.0)      | 0.118   |
| Cerebrovascular disease, n (%)  | 5 (4.0)        | 8 (6.6)        | 0.360   |
| History of PCI, n (%)           | 7 (5.6)        | 3 (2.5)        | 0.360   |
| Pre-infarction angina pectoris, n (%) | 108 (86.4) | 101 (83.5)    | 0.521   |
| Pre-PCI Killip class, n (%)     |                |                | 0.615   |
| Killip class < 3                | 113 (90.4)     | 107 (88.4)     |         |
| Killip class ≥3                 | 12 (9.6)       | 14 (11.6)      |         |

**Note:** Values are presented as mean±SD, n (%).

**Abbreviation:** PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
significant differences in patient characteristics, such as age, sex, incidence of risk factors, comorbid diseases, and pre-PCI Killip class, were found between the two groups. The laboratory indications including white blood cell count, hypersensitive C-reactive protein, creatinine, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, Peak type B natriuretic peptide, Peak troponin I, and left ventricular ejection fraction were almost similar between the two groups (Table 2).

### Angiographic Characteristics
The lesions and procedural characteristics are shown in Table 3. During primary PCI, patients in group B had a higher rate of right coronary artery lesions (27.2% vs 47.9%, p=0.004) and a higher rate of multiple vessel disease (41.6% vs 56.2%, p=0.022). No significant differences in other coronary lesion characteristics were found between the two groups (p>0.05).

### Periprocedural Outcomes
The impact of stent length on the periprocedural outcomes is shown in Table 4. The incidence of MACE, including acute HF, malignant arrhythmia, cardiovascular death, non-fatal stroke, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and urgent revascularization, was considerably similar between groups A and B (7.2% vs 7.4%, p=0.943). However, the incidence of SF-NR was significantly higher in group B than in group A (36.4% vs 23.2%, p=0.024).

### Multivariate Analysis
Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted to identify independent predictors of SF-NR (Figure 1). A multivariate regression analysis was performed on variables with p<0.05 on a univariate basis, showing that stent length, stent diameter, and peak troponin I were independent predictors of SF-NR.

### Discussion
In the study, we report the effect of stent length on in-hospital clinical and angiographic outcomes in patients with STEMI. Our research found that gradual stent length increase was associated with an increased risk of SF-NR but had no significant effect on the incidence of in-hospital MACE. Multivariate analysis showed that stent length, stent diameter, and peak troponin I level...
were independent risk factors for SF-NR. Previous studies have reported that an incidence of SF-NR at the time of intervention was up to 50%. It is more common in patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI. This study showed that the incidence of SF-NR after primary PCI was 29.7% in patients with STEMI. A significantly higher incidence was observed in group B than in group A (36.4% vs 23.2%, p=0.024).

| Variable                        | Group A (n=125) | Group B (n=121) | p value  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SF-NR, n (%)                    | 29 (23.2)       | 44 (36.4)       | 0.024    |
| MACE, n (%)                     | 9 (7.2)         | 9 (7.4)         | 0.943    |
| Acute heart failure, n          | 6               | 3               |          |
| Malignant arrhythmia, n         | 2               | 4               |          |
| Cardiovascular death, n         | 0               | 0               |          |
| Non-fatal stroke, n             | 0               | 2               |          |
| Non-fatal myocardial infarction, n | 1            | 0               |          |
| Urgent revascularization, n     | 0               | 0               |          |

**Table 3** Angiographic Characteristics of the Patients

| Variable                        | Group A (n=125) | Group B (n=121) | p value  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Target vessel, n (%)            |                 |                 | 0.004    |
| LAD                             | 75 (60.0)       | 52 (43.0)       |          |
| LCX                             | 16 (12.8)       | 11 (9.1)        |          |
| RCA                             | 34 (27.2)       | 58 (47.9)       |          |
| Pre-PCI TIMI grade, n (%)       |                 |                 | 0.175    |
| TIMI grade<3                    | 82 (65.6)       | 89 (73.6)       |          |
| TIMI grade=3                    | 43 (34.4)       | 32 (26.4)       |          |
| Multiple vessel disease, n (%)  | 52 (41.6)       | 68 (56.2)       | 0.022    |
| Transradial approach, n (%)     | 122 (97.6)      | 119 (98.3)      | 1        |
| Use of balloon pre-dilation, n (%) | 122 (97.6)  | 119 (98.3)      | 1        |
| Use of balloon post-dilatation, n (%) | 86 (68.8) | 90 (74.4)       | 0.332    |
| Use of Thrombus aspiration, n (%) | 11 (8.8)      | 10 (8.3)        | 0.881    |
| Use of IABP, n (%)              | 1 (0.8)         | 2 (1.7)         | 0.977    |
| Use of ICD, n (%)               | 2 (1.6)         | 6 (5.0)         | 0.260    |
| Use of IVUS, n (%)              | 0 (0)           | 3 (2.5)         | 0.234    |
| Time from onset to operation (h)| 4.50 (3.00,7.50)| 4.40 (3.00,7.00)| 0.647    |
| Stent diameter (mm)             | 3.00 (2.75,3.50)| 3.00 (2.75,3.50)| 0.927    |

**Note:** Values are presented as median (25–75 interquartile range), n (%).

**Abbreviations:** LAD, left anterior descending; LCX, left circumflex; RCA, right coronary artery; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pumping; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; IVUS, intravascular ultrasound.

| Variable                        | Group A (n=125) | Group B (n=121) | p value  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SF-NR, n (%)                    |                 |                 | 0.024    |
| MACE, n (%)                     |                 |                 | 0.943    |
| Acute heart failure, n          |                 |                 |          |
| Malignant arrhythmia, n         |                 |                 |          |
| Cardiovascular death, n         |                 |                 |          |
| Non-fatal stroke, n             |                 |                 |          |
| Non-fatal myocardial infarction, n |                 |                 |          |
| Urgent revascularization, n     |                 |                 |          |

**Note:** Values are presented as n (%).

**Abbreviations:** SF-NR, slow flow/no-reflow; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event.
The pathophysiological mechanisms of the SF-NR phenomenon, including ischemia-reperfusion injury, microvascular spasm, distal thromboembolism, endothelial dysfunction, and individual susceptibility, are complex. Inadequate epicardial coronary blood supply can result in endothelial cell dysfunction and interstitial edema of cardiomyocytes. This can compress the microvascular lumen and increase its stenosis, ultimately leading to the development of anatomical SF-NR. After revascularization, reperfusion injury leads to persistent coronary microvascular obstruction by activating endothelial cells to produce more oxygen radicals, mediating the release of inflammatory mediators and triggering intracellular calcium ion overload in cardiomyocytes, and other mechanisms. The function of the vascular endothelium is impaired in patients with STEMI, and thrombi are often present on the surface of the target vessel. During mechanical stent implantation, the longer the total length of the stent, the larger the area of contact with the vessel wall, thereby increasing the number of collateral vessels being affected accordingly. Meanwhile, guidewire pulling, stent release, and vascular recanalization during the intervention can cause cardiac sympathetic nervous system reflex, vasospasm induction, and microvascular constriction. In addition, stent deployment and balloon dilation tend to dislodge plaque fragments and cause mechanical obstruction, ultimately leading to microvascular ischemia and injury. The ruptured atherosclerotic plaque releases vasoconstrictive substances, such as thromboxane and 5-hydroxytryptamine, and inflammatory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor-α, which are involved in leukocyte and platelet activation of the coagulation system, further aggravating microcirculatory ischemia. Advanced age, history of smoking, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and renal failure are associated with SF-NR. In particular, longer duration of disease in patients with diabetes have indicated that the body is more likely to be in a state of prolonged hypercoagulation and inflammation and more likely to undergo vascular endothelial damage. This can aggravate oxidative stress in the body and eventually lead to MVD after PCI. Studies have shown that distal thromboembolism and ischemia-reperfusion injury are the main mechanisms of microvascular injury in patients with dyslipidemia and that high-dose statin therapy before PCI significantly reduces the risk of SF-NR. Furthermore, reports have suggested that longer the stents, higher are the chances of myocardial injury, which may lead to thromboembolism and hence, greater risk of complications. A long stent implantation is more prone to lead to stent under-expansion and malapposition, thus increasing the need for balloon post-dilation. Excessive post-dilation during primary PCI may increase type B natriuretic peptide, troponin I and hypersensitive C-reactive protein levels, resulting in MVD and consequently increasing the risk of SF-NR. However, a recent prospective observational study combining coronary physiology and intracoronary imaging showed that post-dilation in patients with STEMI is beneficial in increasing fractional flow reserve after PCI, with no significant effect on coronary microcirculation overall. In this study, balloon post-dilation did not increase the risk of SF-NR.

Longer stents were reported to be a risk factor for ST and in-stent restenosis, leading to long-term MACE. Patients with stent lengths >50 mm were significantly more likely to experience MACE and bleeding events in a current registry of 2399 patients treated with second-generation DES. All patients in our study experienced in-hospital MACE at a rate of 7.3%, with no significant difference between the two groups, possibly due to the low rate of in-hospital MACE in patients with STEMI. The presence of SF-NR suggests poor clinical prognosis; therefore, necessitating its early identification and prevention. Diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension are risk factors for SF-NR, and preoperative control of blood glucose levels and intensive statin therapy with primary PCI can significantly reduce coronary MVD.
ischemic time, avoiding long-stent implantation, high-pressure release stents, and multiple balloon dilation during primary PCI are can significantly reduce myocardial injury and decrease the risk of SF-NR. If SF-NR occurs during the intervention, vasodilator drugs, such as adenosine, nitroprusside, calcium channel blockers (nicardipine, verapamil, diltiazem), and antiplatelet agents (glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors), can be administered in the distal vessels via a microinfusion catheter. Coronary angiography showed a heavy thrombus load and vulnerable plaque, allowing the prophylactic use of thrombus aspiration or distal protection devices. A recent prospective study in patients with anterior STEMI showed that adjuvant treatment with pressure-controlled intermittent coronary sinus occlusion after primary PCI significantly reduces early infarct size. As one of the serious complications of PCI, finding effective approaches to reduce the incidence of SF-NR is essential to improve the efficacy of primary PCI and the clinical prognosis of patients with STEMI.

Study Limitations
This study has several limitations. First, this was a single-center retrospective study, the sample size was relatively small, and long-term follow-up data were lacking. Second, this study lacked data on bare metal stents, as DES is the main choice in the present era. And third, this study was performed to assess SF-NR using CTFC frames after coronary angiography, which lacked cardiovascular magnetic resonance data. Therefore, more extensive studies are necessary to elucidate the prognostic role of stent length in periprocedural outcomes of patients with STEMI.

Conclusion
Long stent length is an independent risk factor for SF-NR without influencing the risk of in-hospital MACE in patients with STEMI who had undergone primary PCI. Therefore, we recommend avoiding unnecessary use of long stent implantation to improve patient prognosis.
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