SOME WEIGHTED ISOPERIMETRIC PROBLEMS ON \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) WITH STABLE HALF BALLS HAVE NO SOLUTIONS
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Abstract. We show the counter-intuitive fact that some weighted isoperimetric problems on the half-space \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), for which half-balls centered at the origin are stable, have no solutions. A particular case is the measure \( d\mu = x_N^\alpha \, dx \), with \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \). Some results on stability and nonexistence for weighted isoperimetric problems on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) are also obtained.
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1. Introduction

A manifold with density is a manifold endowed with a positive function, the density, which weights both the volume and the perimeter. This mathematical subject is attracting an increasing attention from the mathematical community. The related bibliography is very wide and, in this short note, it is impossible to give an exhaustive account of it. Hence we remind the interested reader to \([20]\) and \([22]\) and the references therein. One natural issue in this setting consists of finding families of densities for which one can determine the explicit form of the isoperimetric set, see for instance \([24]\), \([5]\), \([17]\), \([8]\), \([11]\), \([25]\), \([7]\), \([6]\), \([9]\).

The problem becomes more challenging when perimeter and volume carry two different weights. One important example is when the manifold is \( \mathbb{R}^N \), \((N \geq 2)\), and the two weight functions are powers of the distance from the origin, see \([2]\), and the references cited therein. The theorem proved in \([2]\) states that all spheres about the origin are isoperimetric for a certain range of the powers. One can modify this problem by inserting a further homogeneous perturbation term, namely \( x_N^\alpha \), both in the volume and in the perimeter, see \([1]\) and \([3]\):

\[\text{(P) Minimize } \int_{\partial \Omega} |x|^k x_N^\alpha \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx) \text{ among all smooth sets } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+ \text{ satisfying } \int_{\Omega} |x|^\ell x_N^\alpha \, dx = 1\]

where \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\} \) and \( k, \ell, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \).

Adapting some new methods introduced in \([2]\), the authors find, for any given positive number \( \alpha \), a range of parameters \( k \) and \( \ell \) for which the isoperimetric sets are intersections of balls centered at the origin with \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \).

In the present paper we discuss again problem (P), but for \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \). It turns out that for a certain range of the parameters \( k \) and \( \ell \), the problem has no solution despite the fact that
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half-balls $B_R \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$ are stable (for precise meaning of stability see Section 4). More precisely our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$, and that the conditions

\begin{align*}
(1.1) & \quad k + N + \alpha - 1 < \sqrt{(N-1)(N+\alpha-1)}, \\
(1.2) & \quad N(k + N + \alpha - 1) < (\ell + N + \alpha)(N - 1), \\
(1.3) & \quad \ell + 1 \leq k + \frac{N + \alpha - 1}{k + N + \alpha - 1}
\end{align*}

are satisfied. Then the isoperimetric problem (P) has no solution, nevertheless half-balls $B_R \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$ are stable.

Note that the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied in the model case $k = \ell = 0$.

The delicate part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to find a stability criterion for half-balls. It is well-known - see e.g. [1], Theorem 4.1 - that an equivalent task is to determine the best constant, $\mu_1^0(S^{N-1}_+)$, in a weighted Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality on the half-sphere $S^{N-1}_+ := S^{N-1} \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$.

In Section 2 we prove a compact imbedding property for some weighted spaces for functions defined on the upper half-sphere. To this aim we use stereographic coordinates, since, in this coordinate system, the metric is just the conformal factor times the identity. This allows us to use an already known compact imbedding result for weighted spaces in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

In Section 3 we first note that $\mu_1^0(S^{N-1}_+)$ represents the first nontrivial Neumann eigenvalue of some self-adjoint compact operator on the half-sphere. In view of the imbedding result this implies that $\mu_1^0(S^{N-1}_+)$ appears as a minimum of an appropriate Rayleigh quotient. Then we write the operator in spherical coordinates and, using separation of variables and comparing the eigenvalues of two Sturm-Liouville problems, we show that the exact value of $\mu_1^0(S^{N-1}_+)$ is $N + \alpha - 1$. This implies the stability of half-spheres in view of Theorem 4.1 in [1], which holds true irrespectively of the sign of $\alpha$.

In order to prove that the problem has no solution, we show in Section 4 that the “isoperimetric ratio” (see (4.8)) for a unit ball centered at $(0, \ldots, 0, t)$ tends to zero when $t$ goes to infinity. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Our paper concludes with a few remarks on stability and nonexistence for some weighted isoperimetric problems on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in Section 5.

2. Notation and preliminary results

Throughout this paper the following notation will be in force:

$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ = \{ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0 \}, \quad |x| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2}, \quad N \geq 2,$$

$$H = \{ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) : x_N = 0 \},$$

$$B_R(x^0) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x^0| < R \},$$

$$B_R := B_R(0), \quad B^+_R := B_R \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+ \quad (x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ R > 0),$$

$$S^{N-1} = \partial B_1, \quad S^{N-1}_+ := S^{N-1} \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$
\[ B_1 := \left\{ y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} : |y| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_i^2} < 1 \right\}. \]

The stereographic projection
\[ S_{N-1} \ni \zeta \mapsto y = S(\zeta) \in B_1 \]
from the south pole \( P_S = (0, \ldots, 0, -1) \) and its inverse are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_i &= \frac{2y_i}{|y|^2 + 1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N - 1 \\
\zeta_N &= \frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \quad \text{for } i = N
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[ y_i = \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \zeta_N} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N - 1, \]
respectively. As well known, in this coordinate system, see e.g. [14] p. 444, the metric on \( S^{N-1} \) is
\[ g_{ij}(y) = \left( \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^2 \delta_{ij}. \]
Hence \( d\sigma \), the volume element on \( S^{N-1} \), is given by
\[ d\sigma = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(y)} \, dy = \left( \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^{N-1} dy. \]
For any function \( u : S_{N-1}^{+} \to \mathbb{R} \) we define \( \hat{u} : B_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) by
\[ \hat{u}(y) := u(\zeta), \quad (y = S(\zeta), \zeta \in S_{N-1}^{+}). \]
Note that, if \( u \) is a smooth function, then
\[ |\nabla_S u(\zeta)| = \sqrt{g^{ij} \hat{u}_{y_i}(x) \hat{u}_{y_j}(y)} = |\nabla \hat{u}(y)| \cdot \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1}, \quad (\zeta \in S_{N-1}^{+}). \]
For \( \alpha \in (-1, +\infty) \), we consider the measure \( d\sigma_\alpha \), defined on \( S_{N-1}^{+} \), given by \( d\sigma \) times \( \zeta_N^\alpha \). In stereographic coordinates, such a measure takes the following form
\[ d\sigma_\alpha = \left( \frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^\alpha \cdot \left( \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^{N-1} dy. \]
Define the weighted Sobolev space \( W^{1,2} (S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha) \) as the closure of \( C^\infty(S_{N-1}^{+}) \) under the norm
\[ \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha)}^2 := \|u\|_{L^2(S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha)}^2 + \|\nabla_S u\|_{L^2(S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha)}^2. \]

**Theorem 2.1.** The space \( W^{1,2} (S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha) \) is compactly embedded in \( L^2 (S_{N-1}^{+}; d\sigma_\alpha) \).
Proof. As already noticed the stereographic projection from the south pole of $S_{-1}^{-1}$ is just $B_1$. Let us first write the weighted norm of a function in stereographic coordinates.

\[
\|\nabla S u\|_{L^2(S_{-1}^{-1}, d\sigma_\alpha)}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \int_{B_1} \left[ g_{ij} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial y_j} \right] \left( \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^N \frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} dy
\]

\[
= \int_{B_1} \left[ \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right]^{N-1} \left( \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^{N-1} \left( \frac{1 - |y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^\alpha dy
\]

\[
= \int_{B_1} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 2^{N-3} (|y| + 1)^\alpha \cdot (1 - |y|)^\alpha dy
\]

and

\[
\|u\|_{L^2(S_{-1}^{-1}, d\sigma_\alpha)}^2 = \int_{B_1} \hat{u}^2 2^{N-1} (|y| + 1)^\alpha \cdot (1 - |y|)^\alpha dy.
\]

Note that there exists $C \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $y \in B_1$ there holds

\[(2.1)\]

\[
C \leq \frac{2^{N-3} (|y| + 1)^\alpha}{(|y|^2 + 1)^{N-3+\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{C}
\]

and

\[(2.2)\]

\[
C \leq 2^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{|y|^2 + 1} \right)^{N-1+\alpha} (|y| + 1)^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{C}.
\]

Now consider a bounded sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions in $W^{1,2}(S_{+}^{N-1}; d\sigma_\alpha)$, that is,

\[(2.3)\]

\[
\|u_n\|_{W^{1,2}(S_{+}^{N-1}; d\sigma_\alpha)} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Writing

\[d(y) = \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1) = 1 - |y|,\]

and using (2.1) and (2.2) one immediately realizes that (2.3) is equivalent to

\[
\int_{B_1} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^2 d(y)^\alpha dy + \int_{B_1} \hat{u}_n^2 d(y)^\alpha dy \leq C.
\]

Now using Theorem 8.8 in [13] we deduce that, up to a not relabelled subsequence, we have that there exists a function $u \in W^{1,2}(S_{+}^{N-1}; d\sigma_\alpha)$ such that

\[
\int_{B_1} |\hat{u}_n - \hat{u}|^2 d(y)^\alpha dy \to 0
\]

and therefore

\[
u_n \to u \text{ strongly in } L^2(S_{+}^{N-1}; d\sigma_\alpha).
\]
Theorem 2.2. The following Weighted Poincaré inequality holds true

\[(2.4) \quad \left\| u - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(S^{N-1}_+)} \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u \, d\sigma_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)} \leq C \| \nabla_S u \|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)}, \]

where \( C \in (0, +\infty) \) is a constant which does not depend on \( u \).

Proof. One can obtain the proof repeating the arguments of the classical one for the unweighted case (see, e.g., [16], Th. 8.11, page 218). We include it for reader’s convenience. Assume, arguing by contradiction, that there exists a sequence \( \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,2}(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha) \) such that

\[\left\| u_k - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(S^{N-1}_+)} \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u_k \, d\sigma_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)} \geq k \| \nabla_S u_k \|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)} \]

Consider now the normalized sequence

\[v_k := \frac{u_k - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(S^{N-1}_+)} \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u_k \, d\sigma_\alpha}{\left\| u_k - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(S^{N-1}_+)} \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u_k \, d\sigma_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.\]

Clearly

\[(2.5) \quad \int_{S^{N-1}_+} v_k \, d\sigma_\alpha = 0, \quad \|v_k\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \| \nabla_S v_k \|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \]

for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Thanks to Theorem 2.1 we have that there exists a function \( v \in W^{1,2}(S^{N-1}_+; d\sigma_\alpha) \) such that, up to a subsequence,

\[v_k \to v \text{ strongly in } L^2(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha).\]

Finally from (2.5) we deduce that

\[\int_{S^{N-1}_+} v \, d\sigma_\alpha = 0, \quad \|v\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha)} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_S v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } S^{N-1}_+\]

which is impossible. \( \square \)

Remark 2.1. Note the aim of the next Section is to find the best constant in (2.4).

Using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we immediately deduce the following

Theorem 2.3. Let

\[V_\alpha := \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha) : \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u \, d\sigma_\alpha = 0 \right\}. \]

Every sequence \( \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V_\alpha \) such that

\[\| \nabla_S u_n \|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha)} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\]
for some \( C \in (0, +\infty) \), admits a subsequence, still denoted by \( u_n \), such that
\[
\|u_n - u\|_{L^2(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha)} \to 0 \text{ for some } u \in V_\alpha.
\]

3. An optimal weighted Wirtinger inequality

The spherical coordinates on \( S^{N-1}_+ \) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_N &= \cos \theta_1 \\
\zeta_{N-1} &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \\
\zeta_{N-2} &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 \\
&\quad \vdots \\
\zeta_2 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{N-2} \cos \theta_{N-1} \\
\zeta_1 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{N-2} \sin \theta_{N-1}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\theta_1 \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-2} \in (0, \pi) \quad \theta_{N-1} \in (0, 2\pi).
\]

Let \( \Delta_{S^m} \) be the classical Laplace Beltrami operator on \( S^m \). We consider the following differential operator
\[
\Delta_{S^{N-1}_+} u := \frac{1}{\sin^{N-2} \theta_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \left( \sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^\alpha \theta_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_1} \right) + \frac{\cos^\alpha \theta_1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \Delta_{S^{N-2}} u.
\]

Note that
\[
\Delta_{S^{N-1}_+}^0 u = \Delta_{S^{N-1}_+} u.
\]

Finally we will denote by \( \mu_1^\alpha(S^{N-1}_+) \) the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the following problem
\[
\begin{align*}
- \Delta_{S^{N-1}_+} u &= \mu \cos^\alpha \theta_1 u \quad \text{on } S^{N-1}_+ \\
\int_{S^{N-1}_+} u d\sigma_\alpha &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
\[(3.1)\]

Note that, by Theorem 2.3, \( \mu_1^\alpha(S^{N-1}_+) \) has the following variational characterization
\[
\mu_1^\alpha(S^{N-1}_+) = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{S^{N-1}_+} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\sigma_\alpha}{\int_{S^{N-1}_+} u^2 \, d\sigma_\alpha}, \text{ with } u \in W^{1,2}(S^{N-1}_+, d\sigma_\alpha) \setminus \{0\} : \int_{S^{N-1}_+} u \, d\sigma_\alpha = 0 \right\}.
\]

Indeed, the differential operator appearing in (3.1) is self-adjoint and compact.

**Theorem 3.1.** The following holds true:
\[
\mu_1^\alpha(S^{N-1}_+) = N + \alpha - 1.
\]

**Proof.** We start by using standard separation of variables. Hence let
\[
\psi = g(\theta_1) f(\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) : S^{N-1}_+ \to \mathbb{R}
\]
be an eigenfunction of problem (3.1) corresponding to an eigenvalue $\mu$. A straightforward computation yields

$$-\frac{1}{\sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \frac{dg}{d\theta_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \frac{\Delta_{\delta N^{-2}} g}{f} = \mu.$$ 

Since, see [10] and [23],

$$\frac{\Delta_{\delta N^{-2}} f}{f} = \text{Constant} \iff \frac{\Delta_{\delta N^{-2}} f}{f} = k (k + N - 3), \text{ with } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

we have

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{1}{\sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \frac{dg}{d\theta_1} \right) + \frac{k (k + N - 3)}{\sin^2 \theta_1} g = g \mu.$$ 

Let us denote with $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the sequence of eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problem (3.2). We claim that

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_0 > (N - 1)(1 - \alpha).$$

Clearly the first “radial” eigenfunction, $g_0(\theta_1)$, of (3.1) corresponds to $k = 0$. Since $g_0(\theta_1)$ has exactly two nodal domains there exists $\tilde{\theta} \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that

$$g_0(\theta_1) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \theta = \tilde{\theta}.$$ 

Therefore

$$\mu_0 = \lambda_1(\tilde{\theta}),$$

where $\lambda_1(\tilde{\theta})$ is the first eigenvalue of the following Dirichlet problem

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} 
-\Delta_{\delta N^{-1}} v = \lambda \cos \theta_1 v & \text{on } S_{N}^{-1} \cap \left\{ 0 < \theta_1 < \tilde{\theta} \right\} \\
\quad v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \left[ S_{N}^{-1} \cap \left\{ 0 < \theta_1 < \tilde{\theta} \right\} \right].
\end{cases}$$

Since, as well known, the Dirichlet eigenvalues are monotone with respect to the inclusion of sets, we have

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{\theta}) > \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right).$$

Let us conclude the proof of the claim by showing that

$$\lambda_1 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = (N - 1)(1 - \alpha).$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\psi_0(\theta_1) := \cos^{1-\alpha} \theta_1$$

is an eigenfunction of problem (3.4) with $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{\pi}{2}$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $(N - 1)(1 - \alpha)$. Indeed we have

$$-\frac{1}{\sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \frac{d\psi_0}{d\theta_1} \right) + \frac{k (k + N - 3)}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \psi_0 \bigg|_{k=0, g=\cos^{1-\alpha} \theta_1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \frac{d\psi_0}{d\theta_1} \right) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{\sin N^{-2} \theta_1 \cos \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin N^{-1} \theta_1 \right)$$
\[
\psi_0(\theta_1) = -\sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha} \theta_1 = (1 - \alpha) (N - 1) \cos^{1-\alpha} \theta_1.
\]

Since \(\psi_0(\theta_1)\) does not change sign on \(S^N_+ \cap \{0 < \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}\}\), it must be an eigenfunction corresponding to \(\lambda_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\), and the claim follows.

Now let us turn our attention to the case \(k = 1\), which corresponds to the first “angular” eigenfunction. That is an eigenfunction \(\varphi\) of problem (3.1) in the form \(\varphi = g_1(\theta_1)f(\theta_2, ..., \theta_{N-1})\)

where \(g_1(\theta_1) > 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\).

Note that, since any eigenvalue of the problem (3.2) is simple, the function \(g_1(\theta_1)\) is unique, up to a multiplicative constant.

We claim that \(g_1(\theta_1) = \sin \theta_1\).

Indeed we have

\[
- \frac{1}{\sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha} \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha} \theta_1 \frac{dg_1}{d\theta_1} \right) + \frac{N - 2}{\sin^2 \theta_1} g_1
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{\sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha} \theta_1} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} \left( \sin^{N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{\alpha+1} \theta_1 \right) + \frac{N - 2}{\sin \theta_1}
\]

\[
= - (N - 2) \frac{\cos^{2} \theta_1}{\sin \theta_1} + (\alpha + 1) \sin \theta_1 + \frac{N - 2}{\sin \theta_1}
\]

\[
= (N + \alpha - 1) \sin \theta_1 = (N + \alpha - 1) g_1(\theta_1).
\]

The claim is proved.

Gathering the above estimates, taking into account that \(\alpha \in (-1, 0)\), we have

\[
\mu_0 = \lambda_1(\hat{\theta}) > \lambda_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = (N - 1)(1 - \alpha) = -N \alpha + N + \alpha - 1 > N + \alpha - 1 = \mu_1.
\]

\[\square\]

Remark 3.1. By equality (4.11) of [1], we have just proven that, the second variation of the perimeter w.r.t. volume-preserving smooth perturbations at the half ball is nonnegative for \(\alpha \in (-1, +\infty)\). Note that in [7], see Proposition 2.1, the case of nonnegative \(\alpha\) is addressed.

## 4. An isoperimetric problem in the half space and a curious example

In this section we consider an isoperimetric problem that we have studied in [1], but we will change the range of one of the parameters in it.

Let \(k, \ell,\) and \(\alpha\) be real numbers satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &> -1, \\
\ell + N + \alpha &> 0, \\
k + N + \alpha &> 0.
\end{align*}
\]
We define a measure $\mu_{\ell,\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ by
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.4}
d\mu_{\ell,\alpha}(x) = |x|^\ell x_N^\alpha \, dx.
\end{equation}
If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+$ is a measurable set with finite $\mu_{\ell,\alpha}$-measure, then we define $M^*$, the $\mu_{\ell,\alpha}$-symmetrization of $M$, as
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.5}
M^* := B_R^+,
\end{equation}
where $R$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.6}
\mu_{\ell,\alpha}(B_R^+) = \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) = \int_M d\mu_{\ell,\alpha}(x).
\end{equation}
Following \cite{22}, the $\mu_{k,\alpha}$-perimeter relative to $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ of a measurable set $M$ of locally finite perimeter - henceforth simply called the relative $\mu_{k,\alpha}$-perimeter - is given by
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.7}
P_{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(M, \mathbb{R}^N_+) := \int_{\partial M \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+} x_N^\alpha |x|^k \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx).
\end{equation}
Here and throughout, $\partial M$ and $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}$ will denote the essential boundary of $M$ and $(N-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff-measure, respectively.

We will call a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+$ a $C^m$-set, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, if for every $x^0 \in \partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$, there is a number $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x^0) \cap \Omega$ has exactly one connected component and $B_r(x^0) \cap \partial \Omega$ is the graph of a $C^m$-function on an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

We consider a one-parameter family $\{\varphi_t\}_t$ of $C^m$-variations
$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni (x, t) \mapsto \varphi(x, t) \equiv \varphi_t(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N_+,$$ with $\varphi(x, 0) = x$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$. The measure and perimeter functions of the variation are $m(t) := \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(\varphi_t(\Omega))$ and $p(t) := P_{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(\varphi_t(\Omega))$, respectively. We say that the variation $\{\varphi_t\}_t$ of $\Omega$ is measure-preserving if $m(t)$ is constant for any small $t$. We say that a $C^1$-set $\Omega$ is stationary if $p'(0) = 0$ for any measure-preserving $C^1$-variation. Finally, we call a $C^2$-set $\Omega$ stable if it is stationary and $p''(0) \geq 0$ for any measure-preserving $C^2$-variation of $\Omega$.

If $M$ is any measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^N_+$, with $0 < \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) < +\infty$, we set
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.8}
\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) := \frac{P_{\mu_{k,\alpha}}(M)}{(\mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M))^{(k+N+\alpha-1)/(\ell+N+\alpha)}}.
\end{equation}
Finally, we define
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.9}
C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}^{rad} := \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(B_1^+).
\end{equation}
We study the following isoperimetric problem:

Find the constant $C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha} \in [0, +\infty)$, such that
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.10}
C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha} := \inf\{\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) : M \text{ is a measurable set with locally finite perimeter and } 0 < \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) < +\infty\}.
\end{equation}
Moreover, we are interested in conditions on $k$, $\ell$ and $\alpha$ such that
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:4.11}
\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) \geq \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M^*)
\end{equation}
holds for all measurable sets $M \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+$ with $0 < \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) < +\infty$ and locally finite perimeter. Let us begin with some immediate observations.
The conditions (4.1), (4.3) and (4.2) have been made to ensure that the integrals (4.6) and (4.7) converge. The cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ were analysed in the articles [2] and [1], respectively. Here we are only interested in the case

$$\alpha \in (-1, 0),$$

that is, our weight functions are singular on the hyperplane $\{x_N = 0\}$. Hence our definition (4.7) gives a relative perimeter: boundary parts contained in the hyperplane $H$ do not count.

The functional $R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}$ has the following homogeneity properties,

$$R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) = R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(tM),$$

where $t > 0$, $M$ is a measurable set with $0 < \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) < +\infty$ and $tM := \{t\zeta : \zeta \in M\}$, and there holds

$$(4.13) \quad C_{rad}^{\ell,N,\alpha} = R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(B_1^+).$$

Hence we have that

$$(4.14) \quad C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha} \leq C_{rad}^{\ell,N,\alpha},$$

and (4.11) holds if and only if

$$(4.15) \quad C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha} = C_{rad}^{\ell,N,\alpha}.$$ 

We have the following

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$. Then a necessary condition for the existence of minimizers of problem $(P)$ is

$$(4.16) \quad kN \geq \ell(N - 1) - \alpha.$$ 

**Proof.** In the following we write for any two continuous functions $f, g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$,

$$f \simeq g \iff c_1 f(t) \leq g(t) \leq c_2 g(t) \quad \forall t \in [1, +\infty),$$

for some constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$.

Assume that (4.16) does not hold. Let $\Omega(t) := B_1(0, \ldots, 0, t), (t > 1)$. Then we have

$$R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(\Omega(t)) \simeq t^{\alpha + k - (k + N + \alpha - 1)(\alpha + \ell)/(\ell + N + \alpha)}.$$ 

Since $KN < \ell(N - 1) - \alpha$, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(\Omega(t)) = 0,$$

that is, problem $(P)$ has no minimizer.

**Remark 4.1.** (a) Observe that (4.16) is equivalent to

$$(4.17) \quad N(k + N + \alpha - 1) \geq (N - 1)(\ell + N + \alpha).$$

Note also that (4.16) is not satisfied if

$$k = \ell = 0,$$

that is, problem $(P)$ has no minimizer in this case.

(b) Using trial domains

$$\Omega(t) = B_1(t, 0, \ldots, 0),$$

$\square$
and proceeding similarly as in the above proof, leads to another necessary condition for existence of minimizers of (P), namely:

$$k(N + \alpha) \geq \ell(N + \alpha - 1).$$

This necessary condition has been obtained in the case \( \alpha \geq 0 \) in [1], Lemma 4.1. Note that in our case, \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \), it holds true, too. However, if \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \), then (4.18) is more restrictive than (4.16).

**Lemma 4.2.** A necessary condition for radiality of the minimizers of problem (P) is

$$\ell + 1 \leq k + \frac{N + \alpha - 1}{k + N + \alpha - 1}.$$

Moreover, if (4.19) is satisfied, then half-balls \( B_R^+ \), \( (R > 0) \), are stable for problem (P).

**Proof.** This property has been obtained for the case \( \alpha \geq 0 \) in [1], Theorem 4.1. The proof essentially depends on the fact that the first eigenvalue of the problem (3.1), \( \mu_1^\alpha(S_{N-1}^-) \) is equal to \( N + \alpha - 1 \). As we have proven above in Theorem 3.1, that property still holds for \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \). Hence the proof of [1] carries over to our case. \( \square \)

Now we are the position to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Non-existence follows from Lemma 4.1, while the fact that half-balls are stable for problem (P) follows from Lemma 4.2 - see also [1], Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.2 for the special case \( N = 2, k = \ell = 0 \). \( \Box \)

**Remark 4.2.** Observe that for each \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \), the set of pairs \( (k, \ell) \) satisfying the conditions (1.2) and (4.19) is non-empty in view of (1.1). In particular, it contains the point \( (0, 0) \).

We conclude with a result that has been obtained for the cases \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \) in the papers [2] and [1], respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( k \geq \ell + 1 \) and \( \alpha \in (-1, 0) \). Then (4.14) holds. Moreover, if \( k > \ell + 1 \) and \( (4.20) \) \( R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) = C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}^{rad} \) for some measurable set \( M \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N \) with \( 0 < \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) < +\infty \), then \( M = B_R^+ \) for some \( R > 0 \).

For the proof we need a property that has been known for the cases \( \alpha \geq 0 \), see [1], Lemma 4.1. The proof carries over to our situation without changes.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( k, \ell \) and \( \alpha \) be as above and \( \ell' \in (-N - \alpha, \ell) \). Further, assume that \( C_{k,\ell,N,\alpha} = C_{k,\ell',N,\alpha}^{rad} \). Then we also have \( C_{k,\ell',N,\alpha} = C_{k,\ell',N,\alpha}^{rad} \). Moreover, if \( R_{k,\ell,N,\alpha}(M) = C_{k,\ell',N,\alpha}^{rad} \) for some measurable set \( M \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N \), with \( 0 < \mu_{\ell',\alpha}(M) < +\infty \), then \( M = B_R^+ \) for some \( R > 0 \).

**Proof of Theorem 4.1:** We proceed similarly as in [1], proof of Theorem 4.1. The idea is to use Gauss’ Divergence Theorem. We split into two cases.

1. Assume that \( k = \ell + 1 \), and let \( \Omega \) a \( C^1 \)-set. Define the domain

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega \cup (H \cap \partial \Omega) \cup \{ x = (x_1, \ldots, -x_N) : x \in \Omega \}.$$
Then we have in view of the assumptions (4.1), (4.3) and (4.2),
\[ 2 \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, (x \cdot \nu) \, \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx) = \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, (x \cdot \nu) \, \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx), \]
(\nu : \text{exterior unit normal}),
(4.21)
\[ 2 \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, dx = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, dx. \]
Furthermore, Gauss’ Divergence Theorem yields
\[ 2 \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, dx = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \text{div} (|x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha) \, dx \]
(5.2)
\[ = \int_{\partial\tilde{\Omega}} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha (x \cdot \nu) \, \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx) \]
\[ \leq \int_{\partial\tilde{\Omega}} |x|^\alpha x_N^\alpha \, \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx), \]
with equality for \( \tilde{\Omega} = B_R \). Using this, (4.21), and (4.22), we obtain (4.15) for \( C_1 \)-sets when \( k = \ell + 1 \), and then by approximation also for sets with locally finite perimeter.

2. Let \( k > \ell + 1 \). Then, using Lemma 4.3 and the result for \( k = \ell + 1 \), we again obtain (4.15), and (4.20) can hold only if \( \mathcal{M} = B_{R_k} \). \( \square \)

5. Some Remarks on Isoperimetric Problems on \( \mathbb{R}^N \)

Ideas as they were used in the last section are useful in other situations as well. In this section we are interested in criteria for nonexistence and nonradiality of solutions to some weighted isoperimetric problems on \( \mathbb{R}^N \). More results to these and related questions can be found in the papers [22], [11], [15], [21] and in [19].

Let \( f, g \) be two positive functions on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with \( g \) locally integrable and \( f \) lower semi-continuous. For any measurable set \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) we define its weighted measure and perimeter by
\[ |M|_g := \int_M g(x) \, dx, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ P_f(M) := \int_{\partial M} f(x) \, \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx). \]
(5.1)
Then \( C^m \)-sets, stationary and stable sets are defined analogously as in Section 4, replacing \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), \( P_{\mu_k,\alpha}(M) \) and \( \mu_{\ell,\alpha}(M) \) by \( \mathbb{R}^N \), \( P_f(M) \) and \( |M|_g \), respectively.

We consider the isoperimetric problem
\[ \text{Find} \quad \inf \left\{ P_f(M) : M \text{ has locally finite perimeter and } |M|_g = d \right\}, \quad (d > 0). \]
(5.3)
Let us first assume that \( f \) and \( g \) are equal and radial, that is, there is a function \( h : [0, +\infty) \to (0, \infty) \) such that
\[ f(x) = g(x) = h(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]
(5.4)
It has been known for some time - see for instance [4], Corollary 3.11 - that if \( h \in C^2(0, +\infty) \), and if \( \log h \) is convex (equivalently, if \( h \) is log-convex) then balls centered at the origin are stable for the isoperimetric problem (5.3). Recently G. Chambers, see [9] proved the beautiful Log-convex
Theorem:
If \( f = g \), \( f \in C^1 \) and \( h \) is log-convex, then balls centered at the origin solve problem (5.3).
Note that the smoothness assumption for \( f \) at zero in the theorem forces \( h \) to be non-decreasing.
We will show below that the situation is different when \( h \) is log-convex, but decreasing on some interval.

Lemma 5.1. Assume that \( f \) satisfies (5.4), where \( r \mapsto h(r) \) is log-convex and strictly decreasing for \( r \in (0, R_0) \), for some \( R_0 > 0 \). Then there exists a number \( d_0 > 0 \), which depends only on \( R_0 \), such that for any \( d \in (0, d_0] \), balls centered at the origin with measure \( d \) are not isoperimetric for problem (5.3).

Proof. For any \( d > 0 \) choose positive numbers \( R(d), \rho(d) \), such that
\[
|B_{R(d)}|_f = |B_{\rho(d)}(y(d))|_f = d,
\]
where \( y(d) = (R_0 - \rho(d), 0, \ldots, 0) \).
If \( d \) is small enough - say \( d \in (0, d_0] \) - then we have that
\[
R(d) \leq R_0 - 2\rho(d) \quad \text{and} \quad [h(R_0 - 2d)]^N < h(R(d)) [h(R_0)]^{N-1}.
\]
From (5.5) we find, using the monotonicity of \( h \),
\[
\omega_N h(R(d))(R(d))^N > \omega_N h(R_0)(\rho(d))^N,
\]
that is,
\[
\rho(d) < \left( \frac{h(R(d))}{h(R_0)} \right)^{1/N} R(d).
\]
Hence the monotonicity of \( h \), (5.6) (5.7) and (5.8) yield
\[
P_f(B_{\rho(d)}(y(d))) < N \omega_N h(R_0 - 2\rho(d))(\rho(d))^{N-1}
\]
\[
< N \omega_N h(R_0 - 2\rho(d)) \cdot \left( \frac{h(R(d))}{h(R_0)} \right)^{(N-1)/N} \cdot (R(d))^{N-1}
\]
\[
< N \omega_N h(R(d))(R(d))^{N-1}
\]
\[
< P_f(B_{R(d)}).
\]
This proves the Lemma. \( \square \)

We conclude this section with a non-existence result.

Theorem 5.1. Assume that \( f \) and \( g \) satisfy
\[
f(x) \leq c_1 |x|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \geq c_2 |x|^{-\beta} \quad \text{for} \ |x| \geq R_1,
\]
where \( \alpha, \beta, R_1, c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are positive numbers and
\[
\beta \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha > \frac{N-1}{N} \cdot \beta.
\]
Then the isoperimetric problem (5.3) has no solution.
Proof. Fix $d > 0$, and set $z(t) := (t, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for every $t > 0$. Choose $R(t) > 0$ such that
\[ |B_{R(t)}(z(t))|_g = d. \tag{5.13} \]
In view of (5.11) this implies that
\[ \lim_{t \to +\infty} (t - R(t)) = +\infty. \tag{5.14} \]
When $t$ is large enough - say $t \geq t_0$ - assumption (5.11) and (5.14) yield
\[ |B_{R(t)}(z(t))|_g = \int_{B_{R(t)}(z(t))} g(x) \, dx = t^N \int_{B_{R(t)/t}(z(1))} g(ty) \, dy \geq c_2 t^{N-\beta} \int_{B_{R(t)/t}(z(1))} |y|^{-\beta} \, dy. \tag{5.15} \]
Now from (5.15) we obtain the following alternative:
\[ \begin{align*}
\text{If } \beta < N, \text{ then } & \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{R(t)}{t} = 0, \text{ and } \\
\text{if } \beta = N, \text{ then } & \frac{R(t)}{t} \leq 1 - \delta \text{ for } t \geq t_0, \text{ for some } \delta \in (0, 1). \tag{5.16} \\
\text{Further, from (5.13) we have } & d \geq \omega_N (R(t))^N c_2 (t + R(t))^{-\beta}. \tag{5.17} \\
\end{align*} \]
Using this, (5.16), (5.17), (5.12) and again (5.10), leads to
\[ \begin{align*}
\Pr_B(B_{R(t)}(z(t))) & = \int_{\partial B_{R(t)}(z(t))} f(x) \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(dx) \\
& \leq c_1 (t - R(t))^{-\alpha} N \omega_N (R(t))^{N-1} \\
& \leq c_1 (t - R(t))^{-\alpha} N \omega_N \left( \frac{d(t + R(t))^\beta}{c_2 \omega_N} \right)^{(N-1)/N} \\
& \to 0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty. \tag{5.19} \\
\end{align*} \]
The Theorem is proved. □

Remark 5.1. The case that $f(x) = |x|^{-\alpha}$, $g(x) = |x|^{-\beta}$, $(x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$, with $\beta < N$, was treated in [2], Lemma 4.1. See also [11], Proposition 7.3 for the special case $f(x) = g(x) = |x|^{-\beta}$.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Alvino, F. Brock, F. Chiacchio, A. Mercaldo, M.R. Posteraro, The isoperimetric problem for a class of non-radial weights and applications, arXiv:1805.02518
[2] A. Alvino, F. Brock, F. Chiacchio, A. Mercaldo, M.R. Posteraro, Some isoperimetric inequalities on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with respect to weights $|x|^\alpha$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 451 (2017), no. 1, 280–318.
[3] A. Alvino, F. Brock, F. Chiacchio, A. Mercaldo, M.R. Posteraro, On weighted isoperimetric inequalities with nonradial densities, to appear in: Applicable Analysis.
[4] V. Bayle, A. Cañete, F. Morgan, and C. Rosales, On the isoperimetric problem in euclidean space with density, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 31 (2008), 27–46.
[5] C. Borell, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space, Invent. Math. 30 (1975), no. 2, 207–211.
[6] F. Brock, F. Chiacchio, A. Mercaldo, A weighted isoperimetric inequality in an orthant, Potential Anal. 41 (2012), 171–186.
[7] F. Brock, F. Chiacchio, A. Mercaldo, Weighted isoperimetric inequalities in cones and applications. Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), no. 15, 5737–5755.
[8] A. Cañete, M. Miranda Jr., D. Vittone, Some isoperimetric problems in planes with density. J. Geom. Anal. 20 (2010), no.2, 243–290.
[9] G. R. Chambers, Proof of the Log-Convex Density Conjecture, J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear, arxiv.org:1311.4012.
[10] I. Chavel, Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press, New York, 2001.
[11] A. Diaz, N. Harman, S. Howe, D. Thompson, Isoperimetric problems in sectors with density, Adv. Geom. 12 (2012), 589–619.
[12] E. Giusti, Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation, Monographs in Mathematics, 80. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
[13] P. Gurka, B. Opic, Continuous and compact imbeddings of weighted Sobolev spaces II, Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (113) (1989), no. 1, 78–94.
[14] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis. Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2009.
[15] S. Howe, The log-convex density conjecture and vertical surface area in warped products. Adv. Geom. 15 (2015), no.4, 455–468.
[16] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
[17] C. Maderna, S. Salsa, Sharp estimates for solutions to a certain type of singular elliptic boundary value problems in two dimensions, Appl. Anal. 12 (1981), 307–321.
[18] F. Morgan, Manifolds with density and Perelman’s proof of the Poincaré conjecture, Amer. Math. Monthly 116 (2009), no. 2, 134–142.
[19] F. Morgan, The log-convex density conjecture. Frank Morgan’s blog.
[20] F. Morgan, Geometric Measure Theory. A Beginner’s Guide. 5th edition. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2016. viii+263 pp.
[21] F. Morgan, Isoperimetric Symmetry Breaking: a Counterexample to a Generalized Form of the Log-Convex Density Conjecture, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces 4 (2016), 314–316.
[22] F. Morgan, A. Pratelli, Existence of isoperimetric regions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with density, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 43 (2013), 331–365.
[23] C. Müller, Spherical Harmonics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1966.
[24] V.N. Sudakov, B.S. Cirel’son, Extremal properties of half-spaces for spherically invariant measures, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 41 (1974), 14–24.
[25] X. Cabré, X. Ros-Oton, Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities with monomial weights, J. Differential Equations 255 (2013), 4312–4336.