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Abstract

**Background:** Wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina* (*Pt*) remains one of the most destructive diseases of common wheat worldwide. Cultivating resistant cultivars is an effective way to control this disease, but race-specific resistance can be overcome quickly due to the rapid evolution of *Pt* populations. The critical to control wheat leaf rust is to understand the pathogenicity mechanisms of *Pt*.

**Results:** In this study, the spores of the *Pt* race PHNT (wheat leaf rust resistance gene *Lr19*-avirulent isolate) were mutagenized with ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) and two *Pt Lr19*-virulent mutants named M1 and M2 were isolated, suggesting that they carry mutations affecting the *Lr19*-specific avirulent factor. RNA sequencing was performed on samples collected from the wheat cultivars Chinese Spring and TcLr19 that were infected by wild-type (WT) PHNT and the two *Lr19*-virulent mutant isolates at 14 days post-inoculation (dpi). The assembled transcriptome data were compared to the reference genome “*Pt* 1-1 BBBD Race 1.” A total of 216 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were found from three different sample comparisons including M1-vs-WT, M2-vs-WT, and M1-vs-M2. Of these DEGs, 29 common DEGs were shared between M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT comparisons. Among the 216 DEGs encoding proteins, 30 were predicted to be effector candidates. Then 6 effector candidates (PTTG_27844, PTTG_05290, PTTG_27401, PTTG_27224, PTTG_26282, PTTG_25521) were verified that these genes were differentially expressed during *Pt* infection by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and were validated on tobacco, and the results showed that PTTG_27401 could inhibit progress of cell death (PCD) induced by BAX.

**Conclusions:** Our results showed that a large number of genes participate in the interaction between *Pt* and TcLr19, which will provide valuable resources for the identification and targeting of *AvrLr19* effector candidates and pathogenesis-related genes. Furthermore, our analyses are of great significance to reveal the pathogenesis of *Pt*.

**Background**

Wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina* (*Pt*) is one of the most common and severe diseases in the wheat-growing regions worldwide. The yield losses of wheat infected with *Pt* ranges from 5% – 15% and the yield of wheat infected with leaf rust at the seedling stage can be reduced by 50% or even more [1]. Considering the impacts of global climate change, temperature and humidity conditions may be more suitable for the proliferation and epidemic of *Pt* in the future which will further deleteriously impact wheat yields. Control of leaf rust mainly relies on the fungicide application and deployment of cultivars carrying resistance genes. Genetic resistance is the most effective, environmentally safe, and economically feasible approach to reduce the damage caused by *Pt*. However, monoculture of select resistant varieties leads to the host selection pressures that drive *Pt* evolution and promote the continuous emergence of new toxic races, which often leads to the decline of wheat resistant varieties after several years of planting.

To date, over 80 genes conferring leaf rust resistance derived from *Triticum aestivum* wheat cultivars, wild wheat, grass species, and durum wheat have been identified and designated as *Lr1* to *Lr79* [2]. Among them, only *Lr9, Lr19, Lr24, Lr34, Lr37, Lr38, Lr46, Lr47, Lr51, Lr53*, and *Lr68* confer effective resistance to leaf rust currently. *Lr19* is derived from the grass *Agropyron elongatum* and was transferred to the long arm of wheat chromosome 7D [3]. The wheat cultivar carrying *Lr19* is still effective against all *Pt* races in Asia, Australia, Canada, and Europe, and resistance is expressed during the whole growth period [4, 5]. Therefore, *Lr19* holds the potential to be deployed in combination with other *Lr* genes in the field to confer durable resistance against leaf rust worldwide [4, 6]. It has
been reported that \textit{Lr19} is associated with increased grain yield, which promotes it as an important gene for wheat breeding against \textit{Pt}-mediate yield losses [7]. To prevent \textit{Lr19} from being out-competed by newly emergent virulent races, cloning avirulent gene \textit{AvrLr19} that can be recognized by wheat leaf rust resistance gene \textit{Lr19}, determining the molecular mechanism of \textit{AvrLr19} and \textit{Lr19} interactions, and monitoring the natural \textit{Pt} population changes in response to \textit{AvrLr19}-\textit{Lr19} resistance are key to enable the long-term deployment of \textit{Lr19} in leaf rust-resistant wheat varieties. At present, there is no virulent strain of \textit{Lr19} in the field, which not only benefits the use of \textit{Lr19} but also restricts the development of \textit{AvrLr19}.

Mutation is the most important avenue for creating new rust races and genotypes. Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) is an alkylating chemical mutagen that generates single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions and deletions (Indels), resulting in amino acid sequence variation, and finally leads to phenotype changes that can be selected upon. Mutagenesis integrated with genomic sequencing is an efficient way to study the relationships between phenotypic traits and associated genotypes, leading to the identification of fungal effectors or \textit{Avr} genes. For example, Salcedo \textit{et al.} obtained stripe rust mutants through EMS-induced mutation and performed genome sequencing to obtain \textit{AvrSr35} candidate genes, and then verified the candidate genes through co-expression of \textit{AvrSr35} and its corresponding resistance gene in tobacco and wheat to trigger cell death [8]. Li \textit{et al.} screened 30 mutant variants from the least virulent isolate generated by EMS mutagenesis and candidates \textit{Avr} genes were determined by sequencing [9]. Transcriptomics has proven to be an instrumental molecular tool to help identify virulence effectors and \textit{Avr} genes [10–12]. As the host and pathogen interact in a battle for supremacy, the underlying transcriptional regulation of gene expression in the plant and pathogen provides clues to their defense and virulence mechanisms, respectively [13].

The gene to gene hypothesis proposed by Flor indicates that only the host with an “R gene” is resistant to the homologous \textit{Avr} gene in pathogens [14]. Jone proposed the famous “ZigZag” model in 2006 to analyze the molecular mechanism of interaction between plants and their pathogens [15]. In order to inhibit plant defense responses, pathogens secrete a series of effector proteins through the haustorium to interfere with or ablate the plant defense response, so as to meet their own growth needs. In recent years, with the continuous improvement of sequencing technology and the reduction of sequencing costs, and the development and application of prediction software such as SigalP [16], TargetP [17], TMHMM [18], PredGPI [19] and Pfam [20] have improved the screening efficiency of candidate \textit{Avr} genes of rust. To date, a handful of \textit{Avr} genes have been identified in rust pathogens, including \textit{AvrL567}, \textit{AvrP123}, \textit{AvrP4}, \textit{AvrM}, \textit{AvrL2}, and \textit{AvrM14} from the flax rust pathogen [21], as well as \textit{RTP1} in bean rust [22], and \textit{PGTAUSPE10–1} from wheat stem rust [23]. At the end of 2017, two articles reported the successful cloning of the \textit{Avr} genes \textit{AvrSr35} [8] and \textit{AvrSr50} [24] of wheat stem rust, and preliminarily revealed their interaction with corresponding resistance genes. Some effector candidates for \textit{Lr26}, \textit{Lr9}, and \textit{Lr24} materials were obtained from \textit{Pt} [25] and 20 effector candidates of \textit{Lr20} [26], but their biological functions have not been determined, so, no known \textit{Avr} genes have been identified in \textit{Pt} so far.

In this study, we aim to use an EMS mutagenized \textit{Pt} race PHNT to obtain \textit{Lr19}-virulent mutants, and identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with the \textit{Pt} infection. Our results provide resources for identification of \textit{AvrLr19} candidates and pathogenicity-related genes, and lay a foundation for revealing the pathogenic mechanism of \textit{Pt}.

\textbf{Results}
**Lr19 triggers a resistance response at early stages of infection**

Leaf rust resistance conferred by *Lr19* is best expressed in all stages of the wheat plant and culminates in a hypersensitive response (HR) at the infection site which is also known as race-specific resistance [5]. In order to confirm the recognition between *Lr19* and its respective *Avr* gene in the *Lr19*-avirulent *Pt* race PHNT, the phenotype and histopathology examination of *Pt*-infected leaf tissues from resistant TcLr19 (*Lr19+*) and susceptible Chinese Spring (*Lr19−*) wheat lines were analyzed. Compared to Chinese Spring (*Lr19−*) wheat lines, the development of fungal infection hyphae (stained blue) stopped before the formation of a haustorium, the structure with which the fungus extracts nutrients from its host plant, in the resistant wheat line TcLr19 (*Lr19+*). Imaging at 2 dpi revealed fungal growth in susceptible Chinese Spring but no further fungal growth in TcLr19, the dead host cells in TcLr19 were stained green, and no dead cells were revealed in Chinese Spring (Fig. 1). This early immune response is consistent with pronounced HR symptoms and suggested early expression of a fungal gene recognized by *Lr19*, which demonstrated that *Lr19* triggers a resistance response at the early stages of infection.

**Lr19-virulent mutants were obtained and confirmed**

The *Lr19*-avirulent *Pt* race PHNT was used to create *Lr19*-virulent mutants via EMS mutagenesis. The germination rate of PHNT spores under different EMS concentrations was calculated, and the results showed that the germination rate of spores was 50% at an EMS concentration of 0.005 M (Fig. 2A). Two *Pt* mutants virulent to the *Lr19* allele were isolated, named M1 and M2, suggesting that they carried *Lr19*-specific *Avr* factor (Fig. 2B).

Confocal microscopy of wheat leaves from the compatible Chinese Spring cultivar infected with the wild-type (WT) and mutant *Pt* strains was performed to investigate the effect of the *AvrLr19* gene mutations on pathogen virulence. In order to ensure the reliability of experimental materials of TcLr19, molecular markers of *Lr19* [27] were used to detect the existence of *Lr19* (Fig. 2C). To validate that only *Lr19*-virulence was affected by the EMS mutagenesis, M1 and M2 were analyzed by infecting a standard full set of near-isogenic lines that carry different *Lr* genes, with the WT PHNT race inoculated as controls (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Table S1). This panel is broadly used for quick pathotyping of unknown *Pt* isolates using a standard 4-letter code. Only TcLr19 inoculated with M1 and M2 demonstrated a change from resistant (’0’) to susceptible (’3 +’), while the susceptibility of other near-isogenic lines remained unchanged, indicating that *AvrLr19* was altered in M1 and M2 alleles and that *Lr19*-virulent mutant strains were successfully obtained.

**RNA-seq analyses display the information of *Pt* race PHNT and mutants**

To characterize the DEGs between Chinese Spring-*Pt* and TcLr19-*Pt* mutants during infection, RNA-seq of TcLr19 inoculated with different *Pt* strains were performed. Following inoculation and incubation, leaves with obvious phenotypes were harvested, then the total RNA was extracted from M1, M2, and WT (Fig. 3A) and was used for RNA-seq cDNA library construction. Quality statistics of sequencing data and the percentages of reads for each sample were mapped to the *Pt* BBBD race reference genome (Supplementary Table S2 and Table S3). The percentage of reads that aligned to the *Pt* reference in M1, M2, and WT are shown in Fig. 3B, respectively. The proportion of reads aligning to the wheat reference Chinese Spring was higher in WT than that in the mutants (Fig. 3B). Based on the density plot (Fig. 3C), box-whisker plot (Supplementary Figure S1A), and the expected number of fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base pairs (FPKM) expression distribution plot (Supplementary Figure S1B) of gene expression levels, it is clear that the expression level of protein-coding genes in each sample is highly similar. Variability among the samples was determined by preparing a principal
component analysis (PCA) (Supplementary Figure S1C). PCA displayed a clear distinction between the transcriptomes of mutant and WT strains.

**DEGs were characterized in different combinations**

In order to understand the overall distribution of DEGs, volcano maps of DEGs were prepared (Supplementary Figure S2A). The cluster diagram of sample to sample distance matrix was prepared for DEGs to calculate the direct correlation of samples (Supplementary Figure S2B). From the comparison made between M1 and WT (M1-vs-WT), a total number of 168 DEGs were obtained, 74 of which were up-regulated and 94 of which were down-regulated. From the comparison made between M2 and WT (M2-vs-WT), 76 DEGs were obtained, 45 of which were up-regulated and 31 of which were down-regulated. From the comparison made between M1 and M2 (M1-vs-M2), 4 DEGs were obtained, 2 of which were up-regulated and 2 of which were down-regulated (Fig. 4A). Similar DEGs found among the comparisons were shown in a Venn diagram (Fig. 4B). Finally, a total of 216 genes were identified as differentially expressed.

To understand the roles of various biological processes and pathways throughout the infection process, we analyzed Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment of the 216 DEGs. GO analysis was performed to classify the 216 DEGs into 3 major biological categories. The 216 DEGs belonged to 18 classes in the biological process category, 11 classes in the cellular component category, and 7 classes in the molecular function category (Fig. 5). Among the 216 DEGs, 31 genes are enriched in terms of metabolic processes, 32 genes are enriched in terms of the cell, and 32 genes are enriched in terms of catalytic activity. Through the analysis of KEGG enrichment, we explored the main biochemical metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways involved in DEGs. The results show that these DEGs are distributed among 10 pathways (Table 1).

| Class                              | No. of DEGs (M1-vs-WT) | No. of DEGs (M2-vs-WT) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Signal transduction                | 1                      | 1                      |
| Membrane transport                 | 1                      | -                      |
| Translation                         | 1                      | -                      |
| Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins| 1                      | -                      |
| Lipid metabolism                   | 4                      | 3                      |
| Glycan biosynthesis and metabolism  | 3                      | 2                      |
| Energy metabolism                  | 1                      | 1                      |
| Carbohydrate metabolism            | 9                      | 2                      |
| Biosynthesis of other secondary metabolism | 2                  | 1                      |
| Amino and metabolism               | 2                      | 1                      |

**29 common DEGs were analyzed to confirm the mutated sites**
There were 29 DEGs both in M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT comparisons, 15 of which were up-regulated and 14 of which were down-regulated in expression (Supplementary Table S4, Fig. 6A-6B). Among them, the expression of PTTG_30094 in WT was 23.4- and 20.64-fold higher than that of M1 and M2, respectively, and the expression of PTTG_01444 in WT was 10.67- and 6.88-fold higher than that of M1 and M2, respectively. Domain analysis was performed using Pfam software to elucidate biological functions, and their domains are related to the rare lipoprotein A (RlpA) like domain superfamily, the cytochrome P450 superfamily, the glycoside hydrolase superfamily, the major facilitator superfamily, and the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase superfamily.

EMS mutagenesis generates SNPs and Indels, thereby inducing base substitutions in the genome, and eventually leading to phenotype changes based on mutations. SNPs were screened by selecting only CG to TA mutations since mutations caused by EMS had the strong CG to TA transition bias. By mapping the Illumina reads of M1 and M2 to the reference genome, we identified 142 SNPs and 36 Indels from 29 DEGs both in M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT comparisons. The effects of SNPs were mainly missense (36.62%), in upstream regions (26.06%), in downstream regions (13.38%), synonymous mutations (11.97%), introns (5.64%), 3'-UTRs (2.11%), 5'-UTRs (2.11%), splice regions (1.41%), and stop gain (0.70%) variants (Fig. 6C). Since missense, splice variants and stop gain variants were predicted to have a moderate or high impact on the genome, those variants were regarded as mutations impacting gene functions. Similarly, Indels were mostly found in upstream (27.60%), intron (19.44%), downstream (11.11%) and splice acceptor (11.11%) regions (Fig. 6D). At the extreme, a 12-bp insertion and a 68-bp deletion were the largest Indels detected among the 29 DEGs containing Indels.

**Effector candidates were identified from the 216 DEGs**

In total, 30 effector candidates less than 300 amino acids were identified among the 216 proteins encoded by the DEGs using SignalP 5.0, TMHMM 2.0, Targetp 2.0, PredGPI (Table 2). 10 effector candidates were identified as cysteine-rich proteins with the percentage of cysteine greater than or equal to 3%. Pfam software analysis showed that PTTG_02997 has a Polysacc-deac-1 domain, and PTTG_04779 has a Thaumatin domain. Three effector motifs including [Y/F/W]xC, [L/I]xAR and G[I/F/Y][A/L/S/T]R were found in 30 effector candidates. However, no proteins containing the RxLR, YxSL[R/K] and [R/K]VY[L/I]R motifs were found.
Table 2
Characterization of 30 effector candidates of *Pt*.

| Gene name   | Protein ID     | Start   | End     | AA  | Cys | SPL% | Pfam family | motif         |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------|-------------|---------------|
| PTTG_25982  | OAV97527.1     | 283774  | 284535  | 177 | 11  | 99.67|             | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_00528  | OAV99683.1     | 1293484 | 129492  | 245 | 5   | 98.61|             |               |
| PTTG_05706  | OAV91377.1     | 99128   | 100145  | 180 | 3   | 98.49|             |               |
| PTTG_08504  | OAV96694.1     | 959195  | 960977  | 261 | 9   | 98.50|             |               |
| PTTG_11983  | OAV96685.1     | 846080  | 847237  | 200 | 1   | 97.66|             |               |
| PTTG_25529  | OAV98838.1     | 843242  | 844456  | 165 | 12  | 99.87|             | [L/I]xAR      |
| PTTG_25534  | OAV98849.1     | 938968  | 940077  | 202 | 7   | 98.74|             |               |
| PTTG_02944  | OAV96672.1     | 803183  | 804937  | 242 | 4   | 99.80|             | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_02997  | OAV95795.1     | 123092  | 124677  | 188 | 3   | 98.95| PF01522.21  | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_04712  | OAV91195.1     | 364128  | 365858  | 217 | 8   | 99.65|             |               |
| PTTG_26199  | OAV96956.1     | 706361  | 707112  | 181 | 7   | 99.35|             | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_26534  | OAV95830.1     | 464431  | 465799  | 173 | 4   | 97.11| PF11937.8   | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_04779  | OAV95943.1     | 830568  | 832307  | 252 | 16  | 99.08| PF00314.17  | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_07625  | OAV97261.1     | 671834  | 672602  | 87  | 8   | 99.30|             | [Y/F/W]xC     |
| PTTG_08990  | OAV98722.1     | 1550599 | 1552165 | 200 | 4   | 99.50|             |               |
| PTTG_27221  | OAV93774.1     | 500716  | 501141  | 115 | 3   | 99.63|             |               |
| PTTG_27510  | OAV92868.1     | 376480  | 378161  | 227 | 1   | 95.97|             |               |
| PTTG_04892  | OAV95869.1     | 750867  | 752437  | 193 | 2   | 98.84|             | [L/I]xAR      |
| PTTG_01155  | OAV98136.1     | 1170869 | 1172267 | 98  | 1   | 99.88|             |               |
| PTTG_03106  | OAV94725.1     | 657164  | 658778  | 222 | 0   | 89.89|             | [L/I]xAR      |
| PTTG_11858  | OAV93820.1     | 182749  | 184468  | 235 | 3   | 94.09| PF01161.20  |               |

*AA=Amino acid

*Cys=Cysteine residues

*SPL=signal peptide likelihood

*Motifs RxLR, YxSL[R/K], [L/I] xAR, [R/K]VY[L/I] R, G[I/F/Y][A/L/S/T]R and [Y/F/W]xC were searched for motifs in the effector candidates

*Effector candidates for qRT-PCR and functional studies
| Gene name        | Protein ID    | Start  | End    | AA | Cys | SPL% | Pfam family | motif  |
|------------------|---------------|--------|--------|----|-----|------|-------------|--------|
| PTTG_06997       | OAV89660.1    | 15529  | 16630  | 220| 1    | 92.54|             |        |
| PTTG_11662       | OAV87798.1    | 22227  | 23882  | 235| 1    | 82.77|             |        |
| PTTG_25561       | OAV98928.1    | 614656 | 615491 | 70 | 2    | 55.34|             |        |
| PTTG_27401*      | OAV93118.1    | 90218  | 90912  | 211| 12   | 99.68|             |        |
| PTTG_05290*      | OAV98857.1    | 980461 | 981705 | 217| 6    | 99.79|             |        |
| PTTG_27844*      | OAV91894.1    | 362162 | 363027 | 127| 6    | 99.80| [Y/F/W]xC   |        |
| PTTG_25521*      | OAV98813.1    | 614656 | 615491 | 153| 2    | 61.75| [Y/F/W]xC   |        |
| PTTG_26282*      | OAV96625.1    | 282698 | 283700 | 251| 3    | 92.38| [Y/F/W]xC   |        |
| PTTG_27224*      | OAV93778.1    | 530795 | 531223 | 112| 3    | 99.74| [Y/F/W]xC   |        |

AA=Amino acid  
Cys=Cysteine residues  
SPL=signal peptide likelihood  
Motifs RxLR, YxSL[R/K], [L/I] xAR, [R/K]VY[L/I] R, G[I/F/Y][A/L/S/T]R and [Y/F/W]xC were searched for motifs in the effector candidates  
*Effector candidates for qRT-PCR and functional studies

The expression profiles of selected effector candidates were validated by qRT-PCR assay

We searched the data of Pt race PHTT(P) [28] and found that 6 of 30 effector candidates were differently expressed (Fig. 7A). So they were verified the expression levels of 6 of 30 effector candidates were different at different time point post during Pt race PHNT infection by qRT-PCR analysis. The expression level of PTTG_27844 at 0.5 days post-inoculation (dpi) was 3-fold higher than that of germ tubes (GT), 10-fold higher at 1 dpi, and increased to 304-fold higher at 4 dpi. The expression level of PTTG_05290 at 0.5 dpi was 36-fold higher than that of GT, 28-fold higher at 1 dpi, and increased to 218-fold higher at 4 dpi. In addition, the expression patterns of PTTG_27401 and PTTG_25521 were similar to PTTG_27844 and PTTG_05290, but the expression levels of PTTG_27844 and PTTG_25521 were lower. PTTG_26282 expression peaked at 0.5 dpi and 4 dpi. PTTG_27224 expression peaked at 0.5 dpi (Fig. 7B). These results were similar with the gene expression patterns predicted based on their FPKM values [28].

PTTG_27401 inhibition the progress of cell death (PCD) induced by BAX in Nicotiana benthamiana

To test whether the effector candidates could inhibit PCD, the coding regions of 6 effector candidates were separately cloned into a plant expression vector pEarleyGate103 (35S:Gene, T-DNA) (Supplementary Figure S3) and transiently expressed in N. benthamiana using Agrobacterium infiltration. The result showed, PTTG_27844,
PTTG_05290, PTTG_26282, PTTG_27224, and PTTG_25521 could not suppressed PCD triggered by the expression of BAX gene in tobacco leaves (Supplementary Figure S4). However, there was no necrosis at the sites where BAX and PTTG_27401 were infiltrated together, indicating that PTTG_27401 inhibited BAX action, preventing PCD from being induced, with potential toxic function (Fig. 8).

Discussion

It is well-known that mutation is the ultimate source of genetic variation, providing new alleles and genotypes [29]. The innovation of the present study is that we developed two Lr19-virulent mutants via EMS mutagenesis, M1 and M2, and confirmed that the mutation site only altered the virulence of Pt race PHNT to Lr19, but not other Lr genes, which benefits the screening of AvrLr19 effector candidates.

RNA-seq has been extensively used to characterize transcriptional changes in both the host and pathogen at different stages of pathogen infection. Thus, it is possible to utilize transcriptomic data to identify DEGs during compatible and incompatible interactions. In this study, 216 DEGs including 29 DEGs found in both M1 and M2 were obtained, which suggested that they may play a certain role in infection of PHNT on TcLr19. PTTG_03515 is annotated as tyrosinase which can form melanin through a series of catalytic reactions. Melanin has been reported to play a role in the pathogenicity of fungi, and it is believed to contribute to fungal structure formation during infection, or to influence the host response to infection [30]. Another putative protein, PTTG_05196 is annotated as zinc finger protein that plays a role in pathogenic bacteria infection, and it has been proven that it can promote the occurrence of diseases [31].

30 effector candidates with certain criteria were identified. These genes provide valuable resources for screening AvrLr19 candidates and pathogenesis-related genes. Among them, PTTG-01075 and PTTG-11895 are annotated as clan D glycoside hydrolases (GH-D), which are commonly referred to as plant cell wall degrading enzymes (PCWDEs) [32]. PTTG-12205 is annotated as a Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) of sugar transporters, which facilitates the transport of diverse molecules like sugars, vitamins, amino acids, hormones, etc. across cell membranes. MFS proteins might be relevant to the uptake of nutrients following the lysis of the host plant tissues, as well as the transport of toxins and antimicrobial compounds [33]. PTTG_25982 was not only a common DEG in M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT comparisons, but was also predicted to be an effector candidate. Zhao et al sequenced Pt race PHTT(P) and analyzed the functional factors with conserved motifs [28], in this study, four common genes (PTTG_25271, PTTG_04128, PTTG_08504, and PTTG_08503) encoding PNPi like effectors were found.

Salcedo et al. [8] successfully cloned AvrSr35 and analyzed the expression patterns in wheat infected by wheat stem rust at 0, 1, 2 and 4 dpi, the results showed that AvrSr35 expression peaked at 4 dpi. In this study, we identified that PTTG_27401 suppressed PCD triggered by BAX and its expression peaked at 4 dpi. Further investigations on the molecular receptor of effector candidates should greatly improve our understanding of the avirulent mechanism of the Pt.

We profiled genes associated with the infection process of the Lr19-virulent mutants Pt strains derived from PHNT. By analyzing the transcriptomes of all samples, we found that the ratio of M1_sample 1, M1-sample 3, and M2-sample 6 to the reference genome were lower than other samples. It may be due to insufficient spores in the
sample. It was reported that 15 Sr35-virulent mutants generated by EMS were used to clone AvrSr35 [8]. In the future, more Lr19-virulent mutants may need to be obtained in order to improve the power of this study. In addition, the function of AvrLr19 candidates and pathogenesis-related genes of Pt will be identified by using the bacteria type III secretion system and host-induced gene silencing. By defining AvrLr19 and its key functions, AvrLr19 can be used to develop gene-specific molecular markers for directly monitoring Pt population changes in the field, which can be used for real-time monitoring of leaf rust races combined with marker-assisted selection (MAS) of wheat leaf rust resistance genes to predict the resistance of varieties and inform the correct use of resistant varieties. Our results provide valuable resources for identifying and characterizing AvrLr19 effector candidates and pathogenic genes, and lay a foundation for further elucidating the pathogenic mechanism of Pt and analyzing the disease-resistance mechanism of Lr19.

Conclusion

In the present study, we obtained 2 Lr19-virulent mutant isolates developed by EMS mutagenesis of Pt race PHNT. By RNA-seq the WT isolate and the mutants M1 and M2, we aligned the sequences of the mutants with the wild-type isolate. We profiled genes associated with the infection process of the Lr19-virulent mutants Pt strains derived from PHNT. A total of 216 DEGs were found from M1-vs-WT, M2-vs-WT, and M1-vs-M2. Of these DEGs, 29 common DEGs were shared between M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT comparisons. Among the 216 DEGs encoding proteins, 30 were predicted to be effector candidates. Among them, 6 effector candidates were chosen and validated expression pattern and validated on tobacco, the results showed that PTTG_27401 could inhibit necrosis induced by BAX. Our results provide valuable resources for identifying and characterizing AvrLr19 effector candidates and pathogenic genes, and lay a foundation for further elucidating the pathogenic mechanism of Pt and analyzing the disease-resistance mechanism of Lr19.

Methods

Plant materials and Pt isolates

Wheat seedlings of cultivar TcLr19 (Tc*6/RL6040), which is a near-isogenic line containing the Lr19 gene, were requested from the Cereal Disease Lab of the USDA located at the University of Minnesota and were preserved in the Pt laboratory at Hebei Agricultural University. Wheat seedlings of cultivar Chinese Spring collected from our laboratory. Seedling plants of the all wheat cultivar were grown in a glasshouse. Pt pathotype 07-10-426-1 (PHNT race), collected from China and preserved in our laboratory, was used to inoculate wheat according to Roelfs’ standards [34]. Seedlings (14 days old) from wheat lines Chinese Spring (Lr19) inoculated by spraying with a suspension of Pt urediniospores were used as controls.

Staining and microscopic observation

To identify fungal tissues and the presence of dead cells in the leaf mesophyll of infected plants, the samples were stained via the Rohringer fluorescent staining method [35]. Stained tissues were observed under optical microscopy, and at least 10 fungal infection sites from three biological replicates were analyzed for each stained leaf sample.

EMS mutagenesis of Pt spores of the PHNT race
The *Lr19*-avirulent *Pt* race PHNT was used to create *Lr19*-virulent mutants by treatment with EMS as previously described [8]. Four different concentrations of EMS were used for mutagenesis: 0.1 M, 0.05 M, 0.01 M, and 0.005 M. After treated 2 hours with EMS, the germination rate of spores was observed using microscopy and unmodified *Pt* spores were used as control. Spores with at least 50% germination rate were inoculated on 12-day-old wheat TcLr19 seedlings. Inoculated seedlings were incubated in a dew chamber (22 °C) in dark conditions for 12 hours and then were kept in a growth chamber at 22 °C with a 16 h/8 h (day/night) photoperiod.

**Confirmation of mutation site**

To ensure that the obtained *Lr19*-virulent mutant strains were not escapes of other rust strains and to validate that only *Lr19*-virulence was affected by EMS mutagenesis, the mutants and wild type leaf rust were analyzed by infecting a standard set of differential wheat near-isogenic lines that carry different *Lr* genes. Wheat seedlings of near-isogenic lines were requested from the Cereal Disease Lab of the USDA located at the University of Minnesota and were preserved in the *Pt* laboratory at Hebei Agricultural University. After 14 days, the phenotypes of hosts infected with wild-type and mutant strains were recorded according to Roelfs’ 6-level (0, ;, 1, 2, 3, 4) classification method [34]. In addition, the molecular marker of *Lr19* was used to detect the validity of TcLr19 [27].

**Transcriptome sequencing**

Before sequencing, the *Pt* culture was purified by single-pustule isolation and pathotyped according to Roelfs’ standards [34]. 14-day-old seedlings of TcLr19 inoculated with the urediniospores of the PHNT mutants were collected, and susceptible Chinese Spring wheat cultivar inoculated with the urediniospores of *Pt* race PHNT were used as a control. Plant samples were collected from severely diseased leaves at 14 dpi and three biological replicates were prepared for each group. Transcriptome sequencing and analyses were conducted by OE Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Total RNA was extracted from the different samples and one microgram of high-quality total RNA was taken for RNA-seq library construction. The quantity and quality of extracted RNA were assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, UK). Sequencing was carried out on the Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform. Raw reads were processed using Trimmomatic [36] to obtain the clean reads. Then, the clean reads were mapped to reference genome “*Pt* 1–1 BBBD Race 1” [37] using Hisat2 [38] to obtain the location information on the reference genome or gene, as well as the specific sequence feature information of the sequenced sample. The FPKM values for each of the extracted transcripts were calculated using Cufflinks [39]. The read counts of each gene were obtained by HTSeq-count [40]. DEGs were identified using the DESeq (2012) R package [41]. “FDR-adjusted *p*-value < 0.05” and “|fold Change| >2” were set as the thresholds for significantly differential expression. Hierarchical clustering analysis of DEGs was performed to explore gene expression patterns. GO enrichment and KEGG [42] pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs were respectively performed using R based on the hypergeometric distribution.

**Effector candidates among the 216 DEGs**

To search for *Pt* effector candidates, we adopted the pipeline that shares common features with the effector prediction pipelines described for filamentous plant pathogens [43]. We first detected the presence of an N-terminal signal peptide through SignalP 5.0 [16], which indicates that candidates are presumed to have extracellular functions. Next, proteins with predicted sequences containing transmembrane helices were excluded from the set of predicted extracellular proteins using TMHMM 2.0 [18]. Subcellular localization signals was analyzed by TargetP 2.0 [17]. The presence of a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor was predicted using PredGPI [19] to identify proteins anchored to the membrane. Conserved protein domains were identified using
Pfam software [20]. Perl software was used to search for known motifs, including YxSL[R/K] [44] and RxLR [45] motif commonly detected in oomycetes, [L/I]xAR in some effector candidates of the rice blast pathogen, G[I/F/Y][A/L/S/T]R [46] in some effector candidates of flax rust, [Y/F/W]xC [47] and [R/K]VY[L/I]R [48] in the wheat powdery mildew fungi.

**qRT-PCR analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from infected leaves at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 14 dpi, samples collected from the GT of *Pt* uredospores served as a control. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from an equal amount of RNA using a PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase kit (TaKaRa, Beijing, China). The *Pt β-actin* gene (GenBank accession OAV91054) was utilized as an internal reference gene, and 3 biological repeats were used in our experiments. The qRT-PCR reactions were conducted using a TransStart R Top Green qRT-PCR SuperMix (TransGen, Beijing, China) with a Roche- LightCycler 96 qRT-PCR instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using different primers designed according to different candidates (Supplementary Table S5). The transcriptional abundance of genes was quantitated relative to that of the *β-actin* following the $2^{-\Delta \text{CT}}$ method [49].

**Transient expression assay in N. benthamiana**

6 effector candidates without signal peptide were constructed into pEarleyGate103 with GFP tag by Gateway cloning [50]. The recombinant vector was transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 using the freeze/thaw method [51]. *A. tumefaciens* mediated transient expression in *N. benthamiana* (seedlings of *N. benthamiana* were provided by our laboratory) was performed according to methods described previously [52]. The mammalian *BAX* gene (GenBank accession NP_031553) was used as positive control, and GFP as negative control. Leaves from 4–6-week-old seedling plants of *N. benthamiana* were initially infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* transformants carrying different effector candidates, and then infiltrated with BAX at the same site 24 h later. A cell death phenotype was observed around 3–5 days postinoculation with effector candidates. For each of the effector candidates, this complete experiment was systemically repeated four times.
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Figure 1

Lr19 provides prehaustoria resistance against Pt. Infected leaves of susceptible cultivar Chinese Spring (Lr19-) and resistant line TcLr19 (Lr19+) were collected at 1- and 2-days post inoculation (dpi). (a and b) Fungal infection hyphae (IH) (stained blue) entered the leaf mesophyll tissue (stained blue) through the plant stoma (S) in both wheat lines at 1 dpi. Fungal haustoria (H) developed only in susceptible Chinese Spring (b). (c and d) Imaging at 2 dpi revealed fungal growth in susceptible Chinese Spring (d) but no further fungal growth in TcLr19 (c). At 2 dpi revealed presumably dead host cells (stained green) in TcLr19 (c); no dead cells were revealed in Chinese Spring (d). IH: infection hypha; HMC: haustorial mother cell; SH: second hypha; HR: hypersensitive response; U: spores; SV: substomatal vesicle; Ap, appressorium. Scale bar, 50 μm.
Lr19- virulent Mutants were obtained and confirmed. (A) The germination rate of Pt spores of PHNT race under different EMS concentration; (B) The phenotypes of Lr19- virulent Mutants M1 and M2 were compared with wild-type (WT); (C) Molecular markers detection of Lr19. There was a specific band at 500 bp for forward primer detection and there was no specific band at 750 bp for reverse primer detection, M is the abbreviation of marker, 1-9 represents 9 test samples. (D) Heatmap of WT and its mutants (M1 and M2) of Pt based on infection types, the virulence characterization of all isolates was conducted on the 39 wheat Lr single-gene differentials, ITs 0 to 4 were transformed to the color key ranging from green to red, which indicate avirulent (resistant) to virulent (susceptible) reactions.
Figure 3

Lr19- virulent Mutants were obtained and confirmed. (A) The germination rate of Pt spores of PHNT race under different EMS concentration; (B) The phenotypes of Lr19- virulent Mutants M1 and M2 were compared with wild-type (WT); (C) Molecular markers detection of Lr19. There was a specific band at 500 bp for forward primer detection and there was no specific band at 750 bp for reverse primer detection, M is the abbreviation of marker, 1-9 represents 9 test samples. (D) Heatmap of WT and its mutants (M1 and M2) of Pt based on infection types, the virulence characterization of all isolates was conducted on the 39 wheat Lr single-gene differentials, ITs 0 to 4 were transformed to the color key ranging from green to red, which indicate avirulent (resistant) to virulent (susceptible) reactions.
Figure 4

The differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (A) Number of up-regulated and down regulated DEGs in different samples; (B) DEGs that are unique or shared among various samples comparisons in mutants (M1–M2) and WT. The numbers of DEGs are noted in each section of the Venn diagrams.

**Gene Ontology Classificant**

| GO Terms                  | No. of significant DEGs for a given GO terms |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| transporter activity      |                                             |
| structural molecule activity|                                          |
| nucleic acid binding      |                                             |
| transcription factor activity|                                      |
| enzyme regulator activity |                                             |
| catalytic activity        |                                             |
| binding                   |                                             |
| antioxidant activity      |                                             |
| symplast                  |                                             |
| organelle part            |                                             |
| organelle                 |                                             |
| membrane-enclosed lumen   |                                             |
| membrane part             |                                             |
| membrane                  |                                             |
| macromolecular complex    |                                             |
| extracellular region      |                                             |
| cell part                 |                                             |
| cell junction             |                                             |
| cell                      |                                             |
| single-organism process   |                                             |
| signaling                 |                                             |
| response to stimulus      |                                             |
| reproductive process      |                                             |
| reproduction              |                                             |
| regulation of biological process |                          |
| positive regulation of biological process |                      |
| negative regulation of biological process |                      |
| multicellular organismal process |                       |
| multi-organism process    |                                             |
| metabolic process         |                                             |
| localization              |                                             |
| immune system process     |                                             |
| establishment of localization |                                   |
| developmental process     |                                             |
| cellular process          |                                             |
| cellular component organization or biogenesis |               |
| biological regulation     |                                             |

Figure 5

Bar graph showing the number of 216 DEGs identified utilizing gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for involvement in specific molecular function, cellular component and biological processes. In the figure, red color represents molecular function, green color represents molecular function, blue color represents molecular function, the Y coordinate is the name of GO term, and the X coordinate is number of gene.
Figure 6

29 common DEGs between the M1-vs-WT and M2-vs-WT. (A, B) directly reflect the expression amount and difference multiple of genes in M1, M2 and WT. (A) 15 up-regulated DEGs; (B) 14 down-regulated DEGs. (C, D) Types and frequencies of SNPs/Indels effects detected from the 29 DEGs. The number and percentage of all EMS-induced SNPs/Indels for each 29 DEGs. 5’UTR is the acronym of 5’UTR premature start codon gain variant. (C) Types and frequencies of SNPs effects detected from the 29 DEGs. (D) Types and frequencies of Indels effects detected from the 29 DEGs.
Figure 7

Transcriptional profile of genes during the Pt pathotypes infection measured by qRT-PCR. The transcript levels of the six selected effector candidates during Pt infection at 0.5, 1, 4, 6, 8, 11, and 15 dpi were determined by qRT-PCR assay. Samples collected from the GT of Pt uredospores served as a control. The transcript levels for all genes were expressed as linearized fold-PtActin levels, which were calculated according to the formula $2^{\Delta CT}$. Data were expressed as mean values ± SE from 3 biological replicates. An asterisk (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001) indicates a significant difference between the control and infection samples by Dunnett’s test.
Figure 8

PTTG_27401 could inhibit PCD induced by BAX in N.benthamiana. The PTTG_24701 inhibit the cell death triggered by BAX was observed on 3 day and 4 day, we can see the area change PCD induced by BAX.
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