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SUMMARY: Significant changes in the construction industry have been brought about by Building Information Modeling (BIM). While BIM has improved team collaboration and workflow efficiency, the model still faces multiple challenges. These are related primarily to the security, transparency, and reliability of the data shared in the model. A potential way to mitigate these problems, according to many studies, is blockchain technology. This paper reviews the recent literature on the integration of BIM and blockchain technology. Using a rigorous search-and-selection process, the authors conducted a systematic literature review by analyzing 70 studies relevant to BIM-blockchain integration. The state-of-the-art review explains how studies have implemented blockchain technology and provides an overview of different levels of adoption. Various application areas within the BIM process are explored to understand the ongoing research trend. The authors discuss limitations and offer recommendations on how best to implement future work in BIM-blockchain integration.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, the architectural, engineering, and construction (AEC) industry has been significantly impacted by the advent of Building Information Modeling (BIM). BIM technology not only supports the design phase of a project but facilitates the entire project lifecycle by generating virtual models of the facility (Eastman et al., 2011). According to Hartmann and colleagues (2008) and Mostafa and Leite (2018), the use of 3D/4D models can support construction management in multiple application areas. And over the past 10 years, practitioners have expanded their use of the 3D/4D model, addressing different challenges faced during the lifecycles of projects. It is evident that BIM enables the collaboration of the stakeholders through different disciplines (Leite, 2020). Using the accurate geometry and data contained in the model, BIM can accomplish various management tasks, thereby lowering costs, reducing project duration, and improving quality (Eastman et al., 2011).

While its framework enables a collaborative approach among multidisciplinary teams, BIM still has several limitations (Nawari and Ravindran, 2019a). As information is exchanged among multiple parties, there is no guarantee regarding the transparency and reliability of the information (Zhong et al., 2020). If the information exchanged through the BIM model cannot be trusted, it is difficult to identify the party that is liable for the input, which can lead to contractual claims and disputes (Eadie et al., 2015). Another crucial component is the security of the BIM model, as it contains sensitive project information (Das et al., 2021). Information can be easily leaked, lost, or corrupted by involved participants or, worse, by external criminal entities with malicious intent. Such cybersecurity threats can obviously have a negative impact on the BIM workflow and lead to financial loss (Nawari and Ravindran, 2019a).

Potential solutions to these challenges (as well as other changes to the practice of BIM) are offered by the emergent technology Blockchain. Blockchain, whose name became familiar to the general public with the rise of cryptocurrencies, is a Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT). Blockchain allows network members to digitally record and access transactions (Nakamoto, 2008). Users can interact directly through a peer-to-peer network, which removes the need for any central authority to manage every transaction (Yaga et al., 2019). This coincides with the collaborative nature of BIM where stakeholders continually trade information throughout a project’s lifecycle. In a blockchain network, information is recorded through blocks in a chronological order (Yaga et al., 2019). Cryptographic hash functions generate a unique output of the previous data, which makes the blockchain tamper-resistant and append-only (Hassan et al., 2019). Hence, blockchain is a linked list via hash pointers that is transparent and traceable to its users.

The use case of blockchain technology can be strengthened so as to be more practical by what are called smart contracts. Smart contracts can be defined as computerized transaction protocols that execute the terms of a contract (Buterin, 2014). Utilizing cryptographically signed transactions, these written lines of code can be deployed on the blockchain network (Swan, 2015). When the predefined conditions are met, smart contracts are automatically executed to process transactions across the blockchain network (Hassan et al., 2019). Therefore, the use of smart contracts can automate transactions, which could result in huge cost savings by minimizing the costs associated with third-party involvement (Swan, 2015). According to a report by Accenture, blockchain-based solutions can minimize intermediary costs and result in cost savings up to eight billion USD (Accenture, 2017; Osmani et al., 2021). Also, Dakhli and colleagues (2019) predicted potential cost savings from the use of blockchain for a real estate company, which was 8.3% of the total cost of residential construction.

Many different sectors have looked into blockchain’s potential applications, and the construction industry is not an exception. Several systematic literature reviews have been conducted to analyze the current state of blockchain technology adoption in the overall construction sector (Kiu et al., 2020; Li and Kassem, 2021; Scott et al., 2021). Current applications have been investigated in different themes such as supply-chain management, construction payment, information management, and so on. There have been minor differences in how researchers categorized the application areas, but studies took a similar approach in identifying what the potential use cases are in the built environment and construction industry. Among these various applications, studies have pointed out the possibilities that lie in the application of blockchain in the BIM workflow (Turk and Klinic, 2017; Wang et al., 2017). More research has been conducted, rapidly evolving from theoretical insights into conceptual frameworks, proofs-of-concept, and case studies (Li and Kassem, 2021).
While previous studies have indicated the potential of blockchain technology integrated with BIM process, they have not focused on how to implement this technology or in what areas. Especially, with the various management tasks leveraging BIM, there is a need to analyze how specifically blockchain could impact the BIM process. Thus, this paper conducts a systematic literature review to investigate the recent trends of BIM and blockchain technology integration. Based on the findings from the literature review, this study addresses the current status in the adoption of blockchain technology in BIM processes. It identifies BIM-specific application areas and blockchain integration studies. Finally, we discuss the limitations of BIM-blockchain integration along with possible lines for future work.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To perform a comprehensive and structured literature search on the research topic, the authors adopted the systematic literature review process developed by Tranfield et al. (2003). Fig. 1 illustrates the review process in four phases. Phase 1 is to discover the literature through multiple data sources using keyword searches. Phase 2 narrows the literature through the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In Phase 3, the selected papers are then classified by different levels of adoption and BIM-application areas. Finally, in Phase 4, we explain the descriptive analysis of the selected papers and discuss the review’s limitations and suggest future work for integrating blockchain technology with BIM.

**FIG. 1: Systematic Review Process**

### 2.1 Search Strategy

To discover the current understanding of how blockchain technology can benefit the BIM process, the research team conducted a systematic literature review. The search covered the papers that had been published by December of 2021 or papers that had been accepted by that date and already given access. As data sources, the research team selected the research databases Science Direct, Google Scholar, Taylor & Francis, Springer, and Emerald. In addition, to discover publications in related conference proceedings, researchers carried out the same keyword search on the ASCE Library. Fig. 2 illustrates the overall search-and-selection process.

To select papers from numerous publications that fit the research objective, the keyword search was formulated into two filters. The first was used to evaluate whether the selected paper was relevant to blockchain technology. As keywords, researchers used the following terms: “Blockchain,” “Distributed Ledger Technology,” “Smart Contracts.” The second filter screened out papers unrelated to BIM, i.e., “Building Information Modeling,” and “BIM.” Hence, the search keyword was set up as follows: ("Blockchain" OR "Distributed Ledger Technology" OR "Smart Contracts") AND ("Building Information Modeling" OR "BIM").

### 2.2 Selection Process

After the initial keyword search discovered a significant number of publications, another selection process was implemented that narrowed down the selected papers for review. In this process, papers found from different data sources were merged and duplicated articles were removed. The research team excluded non-English articles as well as articles that were not accessible on the web, leaving 343 papers.
To specify the studies that should be included in the literature review, the research team established inclusion and exclusion criteria. First, the type of publication had to be articles from either peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings, excluding other types of manuscripts such as editorial notes or book reviews. Second, the publication had to be in the field of Construction, Architecture, and Engineering domains. Papers that were published in irrelevant domains were excluded. Third, the main content of the paper had to be the implementation of blockchain technology. Thus, any paper that briefly mentioned the use of blockchain for future work was not considered. Lastly, the study had to focus on how the adoption of blockchain technology was going to specifically impact the BIM process. Following these criteria narrowed down the number of papers to 64. Through a snowball search of cited papers, six additional papers were found that met the criteria. Therefore, for data extraction and content analysis, the researchers selected a total of 70 papers (listed in the Appendix).

2.3 Literature Classification

After completing the paper-selection process, the research team needed to extract the data and carry out a qualitative synthesis to present a tabulation of key characteristics and results (Tranfield et al., 2003). In order to investigate the current status of blockchain technology in BIM processes, we categorized papers based on different levels of blockchain technology adoption. The four categories are the following: providing direction for future research, establishing a conceptual framework, prototype development, and simulated project scenarios. These categories are not mutually exclusive since a single research can fall into all four categories at the same time. A similar approach was taken by Yang et al. (2020) and Li and Kassem (2021), where the review revealed the trend of blockchain adoption in the field of construction.

The earliest papers addressing both blockchain and BIM commenced by providing a direction for future research (Belle, 2017; Mathews et al., 2017; Turk and Kline, 2017; Wang et al., 2017). These papers analyzed the current state of research in BIM and proposed blockchain technology as the solution to challenges. The next level of papers established conceptual frameworks to illustrate how blockchain technology could be implemented for BIM processes (Das et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2019; Nawari and Ravindran, 2019a; Singh and Ashuri, 2019). Based on the conceptual frameworks, some studies took a step further to develop a working blockchain as a part of their research scope (Pradeep et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2020). The highest level of blockchain-technology adoption examined the use of the developed prototype by using simulated project scenarios (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021a, 2021b; Hunhevicz et al., 2022; Tao et al., 2021). Some of the studies in this category even utilized actual project data to properly validate the blockchain prototype.

Another standard for classifying the selected papers were different BIM application areas. Application areas indicate the specific BIM-related uses within the entire life cycle of a building. The study done by (Meng et al., 2021)
2020) summarized the current applications of BIM along with the integration of related technologies. Throughout a building’s life cycle, researchers defined a total of 24 application areas. To present a better overview on how blockchain technology impacts BIM processes, this study categorized the studies into 13 application areas. This categorization process was applied to the studies that at least established a conceptual framework for blockchain adoption. This is because papers providing direction for future research tend to provide a relatively wide range of potential applications, making the categorization unnecessary. The BIM-blockchain application areas are listed in Fig. 3, and the Appendix provides the BIM areas that have been proposed by each selected paper.

**FIG. 3: BIM-blockchain Application Area throughout Project Lifecycle (Modified from (Meng et al., 2020))**

3. STATE-OF-THE-ART PRACTICES OF BIM-BLOCKCHAIN INTEGRATION

This section provides a descriptive analysis based on the data extraction from our search of the literature. The selected papers were analyzed by year, publication sources, level of blockchain adoption, and area of BIM application. To illustrate the graphical representation of word frequency, a word cloud was generated using the titles and abstracts of the selected papers (see Fig. 4). The words have been visually weighted by size, which were determined by frequency of appearance in the titles and abstracts.

**FIG. 4: Word Cloud Generated from the Selected Papers**
3.1 Publication Trends by Year

Fig. 5 presents a chronological overview of the papers that have been selected for the review. The concept of Bitcoin was introduced by Satoshi Nakamoto, so the earliest papers talking about blockchain and BIM were published in 2017. The graph shows that except for year 2018, there has been, until recently, a gradual increase in the number of studies published. The search focused on papers that were accessible through the data sources through December of 2021. There were five papers that were found through the search but had a planned publication date in 2022. These papers were considered to be part of year 2021 to avoid confusion. It is evident from this publication trend that interest in and awareness of the integration of BIM and blockchain is growing. We may expect more papers to continue addressing this topic in the future.

![Publication Trend by Year](image)

3.2 Publication Sources

Based on the data extracted, the review discovered that 54 articles were published in journals and 16 articles were conference papers. Having published 18 studies, as shown in Table 1, Automation in Construction was the leading journal that addressed both BIM and blockchain. After that, Buildings and Computers in Industry each had four studies that talked about this topic. Four other journals published two studies each, while the rest only had one article each. As for conference proceedings, most of them had either one or two studies that were relevant. This result shows that the research around BIM-blockchain integration is still in its early stages with most sources just starting to publish papers on this topic (aside from Automation in Construction).

| Publication Source                                             | Count |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| **Journals**                                                   |       |
| Automation in Construction                                     | 18    |
| Buildings                                                      | 4     |
| Computers in Industry                                          | 4     |
| Built Environment Project and Asset Management                 | 2     |
| Frontiers of Engineering Management                            | 2     |
| Journal of Building Engineering                                 | 2     |
| Journal of Construction Engineering and Management              | 2     |
| **Conferences**                                                |       |
| CIB W78 – Information Technology for Construction              | 2     |
| International Conference on Computing in Civil Engineering (i3CE)| 2     |
| International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction (ISARC) | 2     |
| International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate | 2     |

Table 1. Publication Sources of Selected Papers
3.3 Level of Adoption

As explained earlier in Section 2.3, studies have been classified into four levels of adoption, as shown in Fig. 6. Out of 70 studies, 37 of them identified how blockchain technology can be used to overcome current challenges in BIM and suggested directions for future research. Many of them provided an overview of blockchain technology and recommended areas for potential applications. For instance, Turk and Klinic (2017) identified the barriers such as legal issues and model ownership management and proposed a system architecture that uses blockchain for BIM transactions. Wang and colleagues (2017) investigated the potential of applying blockchain technology in the construction domain and mentions how blockchain can be useful in managing and recording changes to the BIM model throughout the design and construction phases. Parn and Edwards (2019) specifically addressed the cybersecurity threats in the built environment and recommended the use of blockchain technology as a potential mitigation measure. A good portion of studies started to shed light on the possibility of BIM integration, paving the way for further research in this area.

![FIG. 6: Distribution of Papers by Level of Blockchain Technology Adoption](image)

Another research method that was taken by many studies to provide direction for future research was to conduct a systematic literature review. The study by Li et al. (2019) was one of the first systematic review papers that investigated the current state of DLT in the built environment and the construction sector. Along with the literature review, the authors proposed a socio-technical framework, which provides a knowledge foundation for analyzing challenges and opportunities of DLT applications. Further research was then conducted, which added 142 sources and categorized them into construction-specific themes (Li and Kassem, 2021). Other studies also took a similar approach. Kiu and colleagues (2020), for instance, identified six potential areas in which blockchain technology could drive changes in the construction industry. Scott et al. (2021) investigated a total of 121 documents to organize them into seven subject areas. Hijazi et al. (2021) looked closer into challenges in supply-chain data delivery to propose the integration of BIM and blockchain technology. While there were differences in how they categorized the blockchain-related studies into different construction applications, they all identified BIM as a major area where blockchain technology could be a game changer.

Several studies took different approaches to promote the use of blockchain technology for BIM. Hunhevicz and Hall (2020) developed a decision framework for deciding what type of blockchain was suitable for various applications. McNamara and Sepasgozar (2020) interviewed industry experts to establish a theoretical technology acceptance model, which evaluates the readiness of blockchain-based construction contracts. Other studies discovered how blockchains can be used together with specific technologies such as Internet of Things (IoT) or artificial intelligence (Elghaish et al., 2021; Pan and Zhang, 2021).

More research has been conducted afterwards, providing more detail on how blockchain technology can deal with the challenges facing BIM. A number of studies have established a conceptual framework that provides a more step-by-step process on how blockchain technology is going to improve the BIM workflow. Liu and colleagues (2019), for instance, proposed architecture for information management of sustainable-building-design processes.
The architecture illustrates the connection between the BIM user and the transaction recorded on the blockchain. Nawari and Ravindran (2019a) suggested a permissioned blockchain framework, where protected data exchanges can happen between a group of permissioned entities. Similarly, Singh and Ashuri (2019) created a conceptual framework that can track and register design commands from the BIM model into a blockchain platform. Also, (Das et al., 2021) proposed two different frameworks for facilitating secure storage of BIM and recording its changes in a tamper-resistant ledger. All these conceptual models are aligned with different parts of the BIM workflow and emphasizes the vital role that blockchain technology can play in improving BIM.

Based on the detailed conceptual models, studies have started developing blockchain prototypes to demonstrate the use of this novel technology. Depending on the use of the blockchain, different platforms have been employed to develop prototypes. Ethereum is one of the most commonly used platforms, which provides public and permissionless blockchains for different applications (Buterin, 2014). Pradeep and colleagues (2021) developed a prototype blockchain on Ethereum due to its stronger security for recording sensitive information. The blockchain was able to track individual design inputs so that a trustable record of transactions could prevent any design-liability issues. Another widely used platform is Hyperledger Fabric, which targets private, permissioned blockchains (Androulaki et al., 2018). In the Hyperledger Fabric network, users must be granted access to connect to the blockchain, which is suitable for multiple organizations operating on a network without public access. Zhong and colleagues (2020) presented the use case of a Hyperledger Fabric-based blockchain, where the system supports construction-quality management. Much work has been and continues to be done to develop functioning blockchains for use in actual projects.

While prototypes have been useful in demonstrating the blockchain use and smart contract algorithms, in the end, they are still prototypes. Further validation is necessary to see the true value of the technology in a capital project where BIM is being implemented. Thus, studies have started to create simulated project scenarios to verify the use of blockchains. (Tao and colleagues (2021) did so with a proposed framework that was evaluated based on real-world project scenarios. The authors created three illustrative scenarios to validate the performance of the blockchain. Studies have also validated their technical architecture by testing their prototype on data from a real-world building or projects that have been implemented (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021a, 2021b; Hunhevicz et al., 2022). So far, project scenarios have been an essential part of research to validate the prototype and prove the main contributions of the paper. More publications that explain the use of a simulated project scenario can be found in the Appendix.

3.4 Application Area

This section illustrates how blockchain has been implemented in different application areas within the BIM process. Fig. 3 illustrates the various application areas of BIM-blockchain integration studies, and Fig. 7 shows the number of publications for each application area.

One major application area is data security. As sensitive project information may be stored and distributed through BIM models, if they end up being lost or stolen, it could result in financial loss (Das et al., 2021). Thus, cybersecurity threats that may impact the digital built environment have been identified, and blockchain technology has been recommended as a potential solution (Parn and Edwards, 2019; Parn and de Soto, 2020). Studies have identified the information-security requirements of BIM platforms and proposed a conceptual framework that utilizes encryption algorithms to enable secure storage and distribution of BIM models (Das et al., 2021). Additionally, since blockchains are inherently unsuitable for storing large-sized design files like BIM models, studies have come up with ways to protect BIM data integrity. One such way was to utilize the interplanetary file system (IPFS) for storing separately large BIM models (Tao et al., 2021). IPFS is a novel protocol for storing and sharing files in a peer-to-peer fashion without a centralized server (Benet, 2014). Another study proposed using a semantic differential transaction (SDT) approach to minimize information redundancy (Xue and Lu 2020). Their approach is applicable to the standard Industry Foundation Classes (IFC), which is used across various BIM platforms and captures the model changes at the semantic level not the byte level (Xue and Lu, 2020). Thus, incremental changes to BIM semantics can be recorded instead of capturing every unnecessary data changes. Li and colleagues (2021) proposed a two-layer blockchain model that prevents any privacy leaks among the stakeholders. As can be seen from Fig. 7, data the most popular topic for BIM-blockchain integration revolved around security.

ITcon Vol. 27 (2022), Chung et al., pg. 979
The use of BIM is crucial for collaborative design where multiple stakeholders of different trades need to work together. This is where blockchain technology can be leveraged since stakeholders can reach a consensus by providing evidence of trust on a distributed decentralized ledger (Nawari and Ravindran 2019b). Blockchain technology has been identified as the enabler in the design-development phase that can track and input design changes in a secure, transparent, and collaborative manner (Singh and Ashuri, 2019). A conceptual architecture for blockchain-aided BIM has been proposed and validated for design coordination and collaboration during the building life cycle (Liu et al., 2019). Moreover, in a collaborative design process lack of design liability in a 3D BIM model can lead to contractual disputes (Pradeep et al., 2019). A commercial tool named BIMCHAIN has been developed to register proofs of data transactions in a BIM model that can be legally binding and traceable (Pradeep et al., 2020). Any individual design inputs are recorded as snapshots on the blockchain to enable a trustable record of transactions, which could prevent design-liability issues in the BIM workflow (Pradeep et al., 2021). Hence, BIM-blockchain integration has the potential to bring improved communication and transparency for collaborative design processes (Nawari and Ravindran, 2019a).

The use of blockchain technology with the BIM process can even contribute to solving payment delays. Payment delay is a prevalent issue in the construction industry, often resulting in cost overruns, delays, and further slowing down the processing of payments (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021b). Therefore, studies have started linking the payment-related data to the BIM-based data and incorporated blockchain technology to achieve an automated financial system (Ye et al., 2020). The use of smart contracts has enabled automated payments without any third-party intervention (Elghaish et al., 2020). Hamledari and Fischer (2021a) have gone one step further—automating progress payments based on integrating the physical and financial chain. In this particular use case, construction-progress data is generated on BIM, which in turn processes the payment using crypto assets. Based on the literature, automated payments through the integration of BIM and blockchain is a promising application area that could revolutionize the industry.

Some of the greatest features of blockchain technology is the transparency and traceability of transactions on the shared ledger, which are characteristics that can improve the information management in a BIM model. For example, several studies have proposed a blockchain system for governing a construction project, where a tamper-resistant record of project progress is maintained and tracked over time (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021c; Jiang et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Shojaei, Flood, et al., 2020). Smart contracts enable the automatic recording of each step in the BIM model, which can lead to significantly fewer disputes over construction progress. Another use of such features is a framework for managing construction-quality information (Ciotta et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Sheng et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2020). The project participants can access and

![FIG. 7: Distribution of Papers by BIM-blockchain Application Area](image-url)
share the quality information stored on the blockchain and prevent any disputes over construction quality (Sheng et al., 2020). Quality-compliance checking is a manual task that involves the assistance of a third party, often being a subjective and inefficient process. The smart contracts can be written using business logics that may contain specific quality constraints from building codes and regulations, which can run automatically. As quality information is uploaded into the system, compliance-checking outcomes generated from smart contracts are recorded and shared to all stakeholders, which assures that the quality of construction products meets the requirements (Zhong et al., 2020). Accurate recording of quality information on the blockchain can not only improve the coordination of project stakeholders, but also reduce unnecessary disputes that result from nonconformances (Sheng et al., 2020).

Supply chain management is another application area that can benefit from the information traceability of blockchain technology. Information sharing in supply chain management can be fragmented due to involvement of stakeholders through multiple stages (Li et al., 2022). Thus, a framework that uses real-time digital ledgers for tracking the flow of materials from production to installation can improve the quality of the project (Brandin and Abrishami, 2021). The main contribution of these studies has been designing a system architecture that links the physical supply chain to the virtual layer to enable transparent and traceable management (Brandin and Abrishami, 2021; Hamledari and Fischer, 2021a; Li et al., 2021, 2022; Wu et al., 2022). A few studies have designed a blockchain prototype that is specifically for the supply chain management of off-site modular construction (Li et al., 2021, 2022; Wu et al., 2022). Furthermore, the study conducted by Hamledari and Fischer (2021a) demonstrated how physical and financial supply chains can be integrated by using blockchain-based crypto assets. This work demonstrated how the progress on the product flow can be linked to a BIM model, which in turn generates payment processing based on crypto assets. The systems developed from the studies have been validated using case studies and project scenarios based on actual commercial projects.

More recently, research has been conducted on how blockchain technology can be integrated with digital twins to achieve secure and traceable data communication among project participants (Lee et al., 2021). Studies have found that some of the key factors enabling the integration between these two themes are providing immutable records for BIM data and sharing a trustable single-source BIM model on a distributed ledger (Teisserenc and Sepasgozar, 2021). A digital twin updates the BIM model using the real-time data from IoT sensors. Because of this, researchers have developed conceptual models with multiple layers to store the sensor data and create a digital twin, with blockchain technology being used at the core of the model (Jiang et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021; Teisserenc and Sepasgozar, 2021). Götz and colleagues (2020) investigated how blockchain-based digital twins can be used for asset-lifecycle management Hunhevicz and colleagues (2022) proposed a connection between digital twin and blockchain-based smart contracts that automates performance-based digital payments. These two hot topics—blockchain and digital twins—are sure to generate more studies exploring their integration.

Lastly, sustainable design using BIM is a growing area where the potential role of blockchain technology is being explored. Sustainability in construction is an issue that cannot be neglected, and recent studies have identified how BIM and blockchain technology make the sustainable design process more efficient (Copeland and Bilec, 2020; Liu et al., 2019; Shojaei, Wang, et al., 2020). One example is a BIM-blockchain approach for sustainable building design information management, which proposes a conceptual framework for ensuring the realization of sustainable design goals (Liu et al., 2019). Blockchain technology can be used to improve built-asset sustainability as well, improving life-cycle-assessment methods to be much more inclusive and reliable (Shojaei, Wang, et al., 2020). Another study proposed a framework wherein buildings use material banks in a circular economy (Copeland and Bilec, 2020). Information from radio-frequency identification (RFID) data tags are housed in a blockchain network to keep track of material information. The versatility of these studies shows that BIM and blockchain can be integrated in different ways to improve the sustainability of the construction industry.

4. DISCUSSION

In the past few years, interest has grown regarding how blockchain technology can be applied in the construction industry. One potential application is Building Information Modeling (BIM). As can be seen in Fig. 7, the literature review has highlighted studies that discuss a wide range of blockchain applications within the BIM process. The top three application areas are data security, collaborative design, and construction payment.

In its application with data security, blockchain technology operates a peer-to-peer network using asymmetric encryption and consensus algorithms, thus improving the security by recording data in a distributed manner (Das
et al., 2021). This main feature of the technology led to studies related to data security on the BIM platform, which out of all application areas had the highest number. The application area that had the second highest number of studies was collaborative design. One of the purposes of BIM is to enable multiple stakeholders to work together (Leite, 2020). The blockchain enables stakeholders to track model changes transparently, contributing to more studies being conducted related to design liability during the collaborative design process (Pradeep et al., 2020). The third application area was construction payment, which tried to handle the common issue of payment delay in the industry (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021b). The fact that blockchain technology is mostly used for cryptocurrency seems to have affected studies to focus on smart-contract-administered payments via cryptocurrencies and tokens (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021a).

What is promising about BIM-blockchain integration is that over the years more and more contractors are adopting blockchain technology. Fig. 8 summarizes the yearly count of papers for different levels of adoption. The earliest papers that provided direction for future research began being published in 2017; papers continued to be published as more researchers found interest in how to apply blockchain technology to BIM processes. It was not until 2019 that studies started to create conceptual frameworks for blockchain implementation. Out of the 12 studies that created a conceptual framework, 9 of them developed a working prototype and 4 of them validated the prototype using project scenarios in 2020. The numbers increased in 2021, as 12 studies created conceptual frameworks, and 9 of them developed and validated the prototype through scenarios. This trend suggests that the number of papers testing the technology and validating them will increase so that in the future blockchain applications will be used for construction projects.

**Fig. 8: Number of Papers per Year in Different Levels of Adoption**

However, while different studies talk about how blockchain technology can be integrated with BIM, there has to be sufficient consideration given to whether a blockchain is really necessary for specific applications. Multiple studies have developed frameworks to decide if the application actually requires blockchain technology (Hunhevicz and Hall, 2020; Li et al., 2019; Wust and Gervais, 2018). As it is for the application of any new
technology, an irrational adoption could result in an existing system being run on a blockchain architecture that fails to offer benefits for the overall process.

Studies have discovered the key drivers and functions of blockchain technology that should be required to address the challenges in the BIM process (Perera et al., 2020; Pradeep et al., 2020). Perera and colleagues (2020) identified the following drivers: security, anonymity, no single point of trust, fraud resistance, non-physicality, and financial incentives. Pradeep and colleagues (2020) provided the key functional requirements for the blockchain to be integrated with BIM. According to their study, blockchain should enable secure storage of data off-chain, assign and transfer asset ownership, and establish a transparent record of transactions that is tamper resistant. Furthermore, transactions should be made without additional third-party access, and incorporate functions such as multi-signature and time-stamped approvals. The use of blockchain should enable some type of evaluation of the data that is stored, and data recording from sensors and IoT devices should be made autonomously. Reflecting on the key drivers and functions of blockchain technology, future studies should review them thoroughly so that the proposed applications make use of the benefits of blockchain technology as much as possible.

5. FUTURE OUTLOOK

Out of different application areas identified in this study, the authors believe that the use case for blockchain can be stronger when the study falls into multiple areas at the same time. One promising area is automating construction payments. Since payment-related data is extremely sensitive for a project, it requires a transparent record of transactions and resistance to tampering. Payment processing can be integrated with construction-progress tracking. By using automated data-collection technologies and machine-learning algorithms, project managers can capture construction progress and analyze it to process payments to contractors (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021c).

Another great example of how blockchain technology can be applied to multiple BIM aspects is connecting digital twins with construction payments. When collecting data from IoT sensors into the virtual representation of the physical project, the data can be stored in a more secure and transparent way. Performance evaluation of a facility can be made with contract details embedded, and through smart contracts reward payments to stakeholders may be executed automatically (Hunhevicz et al., 2022). This ensures the payment is made on time and based on a trustable source that is stored on the distributed ledger. As seen from these examples, blockchain technology can be utilized to close the gap between different BIM application areas. More studies should focus on how blockchain functions can be applied to multiple areas.

Also, future research should delve into incentive mechanisms and privacy-preserving mechanisms of blockchain technology. BIM is a collaborative process that involves different actors. It is crucial to understand the interests of each party to provide them with an award for making transactions on the blockchain network. While sharing information is important and beneficial for the users, some of the information in the model can be sensitive and should be kept confidential. Preserving confidentiality could be a key element that would allow BIM users to protect their sensitive data but utilize smart contracts to generate an output that can be shared in a transparent and trustable way (Chung and Caldas, 2022). As advanced algorithms for incentivizing users and preserving privacy are developed, researchers should continually assess whether they are things that can be applied to improve the BIM process.

Furthermore, the future outlook of BIM and blockchain-technology integration is dependent on the concepts being tested in real life. Studies involving prototype development and simulated project scenarios are increasing, but researchers should look into how developed systems can be used in practice for BIM to fully adopt the technology. Case studies of BIM-blockchain applications being implemented in real-world projects should be conducted and compared with previous systems. The benefits of integrating BIM and blockchain can be confirmed only after studies validate its use in actual projects.

6. CONCLUSION

For construction projects, BIM is a crucial process that has progressed over the past decades. Despite the advantages that it brings to construction, the BIM process still faces challenges related the transparency, reliability, and security of the data stored in the BIM model. Recent studies have suggested that blockchain technology could solve these problems and improve the current BIM process. Thus, this study conducted a systematic literature review by going through keyword searches on multiple data sources, screening papers based on inclusion and
exclusion criteria. As a result, a total of 70 papers were selected. Data was extracted from the papers to provide a descriptive analysis based on the year of publication, publication sources, level of blockchain adoption, and BIM application area. The papers were categorized into different levels of blockchain adoption and BIM application areas to illustrate the state-of-the-art of BIM-blockchain implementation.

The descriptive analysis and categorization have shown that the past few years have advanced blockchain research in BIM processes. Starting from suggesting potential research directions, studies have developed conceptual frameworks that implement blockchain technology. More recently, multiple studies have focused on developing prototypes and validating them through simulated project scenarios. We divided the BIM-blockchain implementations into 13 application areas. Having the highest number of publications was data security around the BIM model, followed by collaborative design and construction payment. Other popular topics included supply chain management, quality information management, digital twins, and construction-progress tracking.

This research was able to identify the recent trends of research in BIM-blockchain integration. While more studies exploring this topic may be a promising thing, the authors suggest that researchers carefully consider whether a blockchain is really necessary to their future BIM applications. Prior to making a technology selection, project managers should review decision frameworks and key functional requirements for adopting blockchain developed from previous studies. This in turn will elevate the quality of research and ultimately improve potential applications.

**REFERENCES**

Accenture. (2017), “Banking blockchain: A Value Analysis for Investment Banks”

Androulaki, E., Barger, A., Bortnikov, V., Cachin, C., Christidis, K., de Caro, A., Enyeart, D., et al. (2018), “Hyperledger Fabric: A Distributed Operating System for Permissioned Blockchains,” pp. 1–15.

Belle, I. (2017), “The architecture, engineering and construction industry and blockchain technology,” Proceedings of 2017 National Conference on Digital Technologies in Architectural Education and DADA 2017 International Conference on Digital Architecture, No. September 2017, pp. 279–284.

Benet, J. (2014), “IPFS - Content Addressed, Versioned, P2P File System”

Brandin, R. and Abrishami, S. (2021), “Information traceability platforms for asset data lifecycle: blockchain-based technologies,” Smart and Sustainable Built Environment, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 364–386.

Bu, Z., Yang, H. and Wang, X. (2021), “The Management of Full Life Cycle for Architecture Based on BT and BIM Technology,” Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate, Springer Singapore, pp. 791–796.

Buterin, V. (2014), “Ethereum white paper: A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform,” Ethereum, pp. 1–36.

Çevikbaş, M. and Işık, Z. (2021), “An overarching review on delay analyses in construction projects,” Buildings, Vol. 11 No. 3.

Cheng, M., Liu, G., Xu, Y. and Chi, M. (2021), “When blockchain meets the aec industry: Present status, benefits, challenges, and future research opportunities,” Buildings, Vol. 11 No. 8.

Chong, H.Y. and Diamantopoulos, A. (2020), “Integrating advanced technologies to uphold security of payment: Data flow diagram,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 114.

---

*ITcon Vol. 27 (2022), Chung et al., pg. 984*
Ciotta, V., Mariniello, G., Asprone, D., Botta, A. and Manfredi, G. (2021), “Integration of blockchains and smart contracts into construction information flows: Proof-of-concept,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 132.

Copeland, S. and Bilec, M. (2020), “Buildings as material banks using RFID and building information modeling in a circular economy,” Procedia CIRP, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 90, pp. 143–147.

Dakhli, Z., Lafhaj, Z. and Mossman, A. (2019), “The potential of blockchain in building construction,” Buildings, Vol. 9 No. 4

Darabseh, M., W78, J.M.-Proc. of the C.C. and 2021, U. (2021), “Protecting BIM Design Intellectual Property with Blockchain: Review and Framework,” Researchgate.Net, No. October.

Darko, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yang, Y. and Tetteh, M.O. (2020), “Building information modeling (BIM)-based modular integrated construction risk management – Critical survey and future needs,” Computers in Industry, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 123.

Das, M., Tao, X. and Cheng, J.C.P. (2021), “BIM security: A critical review and recommendations using encryption strategy and blockchain,” Automation in Construction, Vol. 126.

Dounas, T., Lombardi, D. and Jabi, W. (2020), “Towards Blockchains for architectural design–Consensus mechanisms for collaboration in BIM,” Architecture in the Age of the 4th Industrial Revolution: 37th ECAADe and 23rd SIGraDi Conference, Porto, Portugal, pp. 267–274.

Eadie, R., McLernon, T. and Patton, A. (2015), “An investigation into the legal issues relating to building information modelling (BIM),” Rics Cobra Aubea, Sydney, Australia, p. 12.

Eastman, C., Teicholz, P., Sack, R. and Liston, K. (2011), BIM Handbook, a Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers, and Contractors, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Second Edi.

Elghaish, F., Abrishami, S. and Hosseini, M.R. (2020), “Integrated project delivery with blockchain: An automated financial system,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier, Vol. 114 No. March, p. 103182.

Elghaish, F., Hosseini, M.R., Matarneh, S., Talebi, S., Wu, S., Martek, I., Poshdar, M., et al. (2021), “Blockchain and the ‘Internet of Things’ for the construction industry: research trends and opportunities,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 132 No. August, p. 103942.

Götz, C.S., Karlsson, P. and Yitmen, I. (2020), “Exploring applicability, interoperability and integrability of Blockchain-based digital twins for asset life cycle management,” Smart and Sustainable Built Environment.

Hamledari, H. and Fischer, M. (2021a), “The application of blockchain-based crypto assets for integrating the physical and financial supply chains in the construction & engineering industry,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 127.

Hamledari, H. and Fischer, M. (2021b), “Role of Blockchain-Enabled Smart Contracts in Automating Construction Progress Payments,” Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction, Vol. 13 No. 1, p. 04520038.

Hamledari, H. and Fischer, M. (2021c), “Construction payment automation using blockchain-enabled smart contracts and robotic reality capture technologies,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 132.
Hargaden, V., Papakostas, N., Newell, A., Khavia, A. and Scanlon, A. (2019), “The Role of Blockchain Technologies in Construction Engineering Project Management,” Proceedings - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation, ICE/ITMC 2019.

Hartmann, T., Gao, J. and Fischer, M. (2008), “Areas of Application for 3D and 4D Models on Construction Projects,” Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 134 No. 10, pp. 776–785.

Harty, J. (2019), “Rewarding performance in construction,” WIT Transactions on the Built Environment, Vol. 192, pp. 15–20.

Hassan, F. ul, Ali, A., Rahouti, M., Latif, S., Kanhere, S., Singh, J., AlaAl-Fuqaha, et al. (2019), “Blockchain And The Future of the Internet: A Comprehensive Review;”, pp. 1–25.

Hijazi, A.A., Perera, S., Calheiros, R.N. and Alashwal, A. (2021), “Rationale for the Integration of BIM and Blockchain for the Construction Supply Chain Data Delivery: A Systematic Literature Review and Validation through Focus Group,” Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 147 No. 10, p. 03121005.

Huang, Y., Shi, Q., Zuo, J., Pena-Mora, F. and Chen, J. (2021), “Research Status and Challenges of Data-Driven Construction Project Management in the Big Data Context,” Advances in Civil Engineering, Vol. 2021.

Hunhevicz, J.J. and Hall, D.M. (2020), “Do you need a blockchain in construction? Use case categories and decision framework for DLT design options,” Advanced Engineering Informatics, Elsevier, Vol. 45 No. February, p. 101094.

Hunhevicz, J.J., Motie, M. and Hall, D.M. (2022), “Digital building twins and blockchain for performance-based (smart) contracts,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 133, p. 103981.

Jiang, Y., Liu, X., Kang, K., Wang, Z., Zhong, R.Y. and Huang, G.Q. (2021), “Blockchain-enabled cyber-physical smart modular integrated construction,” Computers in Industry, Elsevier, Vol. 133, p. 103553.

Kiu, M.S., Chia, F.C. and Wong, P.F. (2020), “Exploring the potentials of blockchain application in construction industry: a systematic review,” International Journal of Construction Management, Taylor and Francis Ltd.

Lee, D., Lee, S.H., Masoud, N., Krishnan, M.S. and Li, V.C. (2021), “Integrated digital twin and blockchain framework to support accountable information sharing in construction projects,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 127.

Leite, F. (2020), BIM for Design Coordination, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Lemeš, S. and Lemeš, L. (2020), “Blockchain in Distributed CAD Environments,” Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, Springer, Vol. 76, pp. 25–32.

Li, J., Greenwood, D. and Kassem, M. (2019), “Blockchain in the built environment and construction industry: A systematic review, conceptual models and practical use cases,” Automation in Construction, Vol. 102, pp. 288–307.

Li, J. and Kassem, M. (2021), “Applications of distributed ledger technology (DLT) and Blockchain-enabled smart contracts in construction,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 132 No. August.
Li, X., Lu, W., Xue, F., Wu, L., Zhao, R., Lou, J. and Xu, J. (2022), “Blockchain-Enabled IoT-BIM Platform for Supply Chain Management in Modular Construction,” Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Vol. 148 No. 2.

Li, X., Wu, L., Zhao, R., Lu, W. and Xue, F. (2021), “Two-layer Adaptive Blockchain-based Supervision model for off-site modular housing production,” Computers in Industry, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 128.

Liu, Z., Chi, Z., Osmani, M. and Demian, P. (2021), “Blockchain and building information management (Bim) for sustainable building development within the context of smart cities,” Sustainability (Switzerland), Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 1–17.

Liu, Z., Jiang, L., Osmani, M. and Demian, P. (2019), “Building information management (BIM) and blockchain (BC) for sustainable building design information management framework,” Electronics (Switzerland), Vol. 8 No. 7, pp. 1–15.

Lokshina, I. v., Greguš, M. and Thomas, W.L. (2019), “Application of integrated building information modeling, iot and Blockchain Technologies in System Design of a Smart Building,” Procedia Computer Science, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 160, pp. 497–502.

Lou, J., Lu, W. and Xue, F. (2021), “A Review of BIM Data Exchange Method in BIM Collaboration,” Proceedings of the 25th International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate, pp. 1329–1338.

Mathews, M., Bowe, B. and Robles, D. (2017), “BIM+Blockchain: A Solution to the Trust Problem in Collaboration?,” CITA BIM Gathering 2017.

McNamara, A.J. and Sepasgozar, S.M.E. (2020), “Developing a theoretical framework for intelligent contract acceptance,” Construction Innovation, Emerald Group Holdings Ltd., Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 421–445.

Meng, Q., Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Shi, W., Wang, J., Sun, Y., Xu, L., et al. (2020), “A review of integrated applications of BIM and related technologies in whole building life cycle,” Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Emerald Group Holdings Ltd., Vol. 27 No. 8, pp. 1647–1677.

Mohammed, A., Almousa, A., Ghaithan, A. and Hadidi, L.A. (2021), “The role of blockchain in improving the processes and workflows in construction projects,” Applied Sciences (Switzerland), Vol. 11 No. 19.

Mostafa, K. and Leite, F. (2018), “Evolution of BIM Adoption and Implementation by the Construction Industry over the Past Decade: A Replication Study,” Construction Research Congress, Vol. 1996 No. BuildingSMART 2007, pp. 148–157.

Nakamoto, S. (2008), “Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System”

Nawari, N.O. and Ravindran, S. (2019a), “Blockchain technology and BIM process: Review and potential applications,” Journal of Information Technology in Construction, Vol. 24, pp. 209–238.

Nawari, N.O. and Ravindran, S. (2019b), “Blockchain Technologies in BIM Workflow Environment,” Computing in Civil Engineering 2019: Visualization, Information Modeling, and Simulation - Selected Papers from the ASCE International Conference on Computing in Civil Engineering 2019, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), pp. 343–352.

Nawari, N.O. and Ravindran, S. (2019c), “Blockchain and Building Information Modeling (BIM): Review and applications in post-disaster recovery,” Buildings, Vol. 9 No. 6, p. 149.
Nawari, N.O. and Ravindran, S. (2019d), “Blockchain and the built environment: Potentials and limitations,” Journal of Building Engineering, Vol. 25.

Olawumi, T.O., Chan, D.W.M., Ojo, S. and Yam, M.C.H. (2022), “Automating the modular construction process: A review of digital technologies and future directions with blockchain technology,” Journal of Building Engineering, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 46.

Osmani, M., El-Haddad, R., Hindi, N., Janssen, M. and Weerakkody, V. (2021), “Blockchain for next generation services in banking and finance: cost, benefit, risk and opportunity analysis,” Journal of Enterprise Information Management, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp. 884–899.

Pan, Y. and Zhang, L. (2021), “Roles of artificial intelligence in construction engineering and management: A critical review and future trends,” Automation in Construction.

Parn, E.A. and Edwards, D. (2019), “Cyber threats confronting the digital built environment: Common data environment vulnerabilities and block chain deterrence,” Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Emerald Group Holdings Ltd., Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 245–266.

Parn, E.A. and de Soto, B.G. (2020), “Cyber threats and actors confronting the Construction 4.0,” Construction 4.0, Routledge, pp. 441–459.

Perera, S., Nanayakkara, S., Rodrigo, M.N.N., Senaratne, S. and Weinand, R. (2020), “Blockchain technology: Is it hype or real in the construction industry?,” Journal of Industrial Information Integration, Elsevier, Vol. 17 No. August 2019, p. 100125.

Pradeep, A.S.E., Amor, R. and Yiu, T.W. (2020), “Blockchain Improving Trust in BIM Data Exchange: A Case Study on BIMCHAIN,” Construction Research Congress 2020: Computer Applications - Selected Papers from the Construction Research Congress 2020, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), pp. 1174–1183.

Pradeep, A.S.E., Yiu, T.W. and Amor, R. (2019), “Leveraging blockchain technology in a bim workflow: A literature review,” International Conference on Smart Infrastructure and Construction 2019, ICSIC 2019: Driving Data-Informed Decision-Making, Vol. 2019, pp. 371–380.

Pradeep, A.S.E., Yiu, T.W., Zou, Y. and Amor, R. (2021), “Blockchain-aided information exchange records for design liability control and improved security,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 126, p. 103667.

Safa, M., Baeza, S. and Weeks, K. (2019), “Incorporating Blockchain technology in construction management,” Strategic Direction, Emerald Group Holdings Ltd., Vol. 35 No. 10, pp. 1–3.

San, K.M., Choy, C.F. and Fung, W.P. (2019), “The Potentials and Impacts of Blockchain Technology in Construction Industry: A Literature Review,” IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. 495 No. 1.

Scott, D.J., Broyd, T. and Ma, L. (2021), “Exploratory literature review of blockchain in the construction industry,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 132 No. August, p. 103914.

Sheng, D., Ding, L., Zhong, B., Love, P.E.D., Luo, H. and Chen, J. (2020), “Construction quality information management with blockchains,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 120.
Shojaei, A., Flood, I., Moud, H.I., Hatami, M. and Zhang, X. (2020), “An Implementation of Smart Contracts by Integrating BIM and Blockchain,” Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, Springer, Vol. 1070, pp. 519–527.

Shojaei, A., Wang, J. and Fenner, A. (2020), “Exploring the feasibility of blockchain technology as an infrastructure for improving built asset sustainability,” Built Environment Project and Asset Management, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 184–199.

Singh, S. and Ashuri, B. (2019), “Leveraging Blockchain Technology in AEC Industry during Design Development Phase,” Computing in Civil Engineering, Vol. 1, pp. 105–113.

Swan, M. (2015), Blockchain: Blueprint for a New Economy, O’Reilly Media, Inc.

Tao, X., Das, M., Liu, Y. and Cheng, J.C.P. (2021), “Distributed common data environment using blockchain and Interplanetary File System for secure BIM-based collaborative design,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 130.

Teisserenc, B. and Sepasgozar, S. (2021), “Adoption of Blockchain Technology through Digital Twins in the Construction Industry 4.0: A PESTELS Approach,” Buildings, Vol. 11 No. 12, p. 670.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D. and Smart, P. (2003), “Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review,” British Journal of Management, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 207–222.

Turk, Ž. and Klinic, R. (2017), “Potentials of Blockchain Technology for Construction Management,” Procedia Engineering, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 196, pp. 638–645.

Wang, J., Wu, P., Wang, X. and Shou, W. (2017), “The outlook of blockchain technology for construction engineering management,” Frontiers of Engineering Management, Vol. 4 No. 1, p. 67.

Xue, F. and Lu, W. (2020), “A semantic differential transaction approach to minimizing information redundancy for BIM and blockchain integration,” Automation in Construction, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 118.
Ye, X., Sigalov, K. and König, M. (2020), “Integrating BIM- and Cost-included Information Container with Blockchain for Construction Automated Payment using Billing Model and Smart Contracts,” Proceedings of the 37th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction, ISARC 2020: From Demonstration to Practical Use - To New Stage of Construction Robot, No. Isarc, pp. 1388–1395.

Ye, Z., Yin, M., Tang, L. and Jiang, H. (2018), “Cup-of-Water theory: A review on the interaction of BIM, IoT and blockchain during the whole building lifecycle,” ISARC 2018 - 35th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction and International AEC/FM Hackathon: The Future of Building Things.

Zhao, R., Wu, Y., Lou, J., Chen, Z., Wu, L., Xue, F., Zhao, R., et al. (2021), “Comparison of BIM Collaboration Paradigms for Digital Twin Readiness: Centralized Files, Decentralized Clouds, and Distributed Blockchains,” Proc. of the Conference CIB W78, Vol. 2021 No. October, pp. 11–15.

Zheng, R., Jiang, J., Hao, X., Ren, W., Xiong, F. and Ren, Y. (2019), “BcBIM: A Blockchain-Based Big Data Model for BIM Modification Audit and Provenance in Mobile Cloud,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Vol. 2019.

Zhong, B., Wu, H., Ding, L., Luo, H., Luo, Y. and Pan, X. (2020), “Hyperledger fabric-based consortium blockchain for construction quality information management,” Frontiers of Engineering Management, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 512–527.
## APPENDIX

| No. | References                          | Year | Publication Type      | Method or Area of Application                                      |
|-----|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | (Belle, 2017)                       | 2017 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 2   | (Mathews et al., 2017)              | 2017 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 3   | (Wang et al., 2017)                 | 2017 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 4   | (Turk and Kline, 2017)              | 2017 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 5   | (Ye et al., 2018)                   | 2018 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 6   | (Pradeep et al., 2019)              | 2019 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 7   | (Li et al., 2019)                   | 2019 | Journal               | Systematic Literature Review                                    |
|     |                                     |      |                       | Focus Group Discussion                                           |
|     |                                     |      |                       | Decision Framework for Blockchain Use                           |
| 8   | (Lokshina et al., 2019)             | 2019 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 9   | (Nawari and Ravindran, 2019)        | 2019 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 10  | (Parn and Edwards, 2019)            | 2019 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 11  | (Safa et al., 2019)                 | 2019 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 12  | (San et al., 2019)                  | 2019 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 13  | (Darko et al., 2020)                | 2020 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 14  | (Hargaden et al., 2019)             | 2019 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 15  | (Harty, 2019)                       | 2019 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 16  | (Hunhevicz and Hall, 2020)          | 2020 | Journal               | Decision Framework for Blockchain Use                           |
| 17  | (Kiu et al., 2020)                  | 2020 | Journal               | Systematic Literature Review                                    |
| 18  | (Lemeš and Lemeš, 2020)            | 2020 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 19  | (McNamara and Sepasgozar, 2020)     | 2020 | Journal               | Technology Acceptance Framework                                  |
|     |                                     |      |                       | Expert Interview                                                 |
| 20  | (Parn and de Soto, 2020)            | 2020 | Journal               | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 21  | (Perera et al., 2020)               | 2020 | Journal               | Systematic Literature Review                                    |
| 22  | (Bu et al., 2021)                   | 2021 | Conference            | Overview and Potential Applications                              |
| 23  | (Çevikbaş and Işık, 2021)           | 2021 | Journal               | Systematic Literature Review                                    |
|   | Authors                          | Year | Journal / Type                                    |
|---|----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------|
|24 | Cheng et al., 2021              | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|25 | Elghaish et al., 2021           | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|26 | Hamledari and Fischer, 2021b   | 2021 | Journal Case Study                              |
|27 | Hijazi et al., 2021             | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review Focus Group Discussion |
|28 | Huang et al., 2021              | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|29 | Li and Kassem, 2021             | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|30 | Liu et al., 2021                | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|31 | Lou et al., 2021                | 2021 | Conference Overview and Potential Applications  |
|32 | Mohammed et al., 2021           | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|33 | Pan and Zhang, 2021             | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|34 | Scott et al., 2021              | 2021 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|35 | Zhao et al., 2021               | 2021 | Conference Overview and Potential Applications  |
|36 | Oluwumi et al., 2022            | 2022 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|37 | Xu et al., 2022                 | 2022 | Journal Systematic Literature Review            |
|38 | Liu et al., 2019                | 2019 | Journal Collaborative Design Sustainable Design |
|39 | Nawari and Ravindran, 2019a     | 2019 | Journal Data Security Collaborative Design      |
|40 | Nawari and Ravindran, 2019c     | 2019 | Journal Data Security Collaborative Design      |
|41 | Nawari and Ravindran, 2019d     | 2019 | Journal Data Security Collaborative Design      |
|42 | Singh and Ashuri, 2019          | 2019 | Journal Data Security Collaborative Design      |
|43 | Zheng et al., 2019              | 2019 | Journal Data Security                           |
|44 | Chong and Diamantopoulos, 2020  | 2020 | Journal Data Security Construction Payment      |
|45 | Copeland and Bilec, 2020        | 2020 | Journal Sustainable Design                      |
|46 | Götz et al., 2020               | 2020 | Journal Digital Twin                            |
| No. | Authors (Year)                           | Year  | Type       | Title                                                                 |
|-----|----------------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47  | (Darabseh et al., 2021)                | 2021  | Conference | Data Security                                                         |
| 48  | (Das et al., 2021)                     | 2021  | Journal    | Data Security                                                         |
| 49  | (Teisserenc and Sepasgozar, 2021)      | 2021  | Journal    | Digital Twin                                                          |
| 50  | (Dounas et al., 2020)                  | 2020  | Conference | Collaborative Design                                                 |
| 51  | (Elghaish et al., 2020)                | 2020  | Journal    | Construction Payment                                                 |
| 52  | (Shojaei, Flood, et al., 2020)         | 2020  | Journal    | Construction Progress Tracking                                        |
| 53  | (Ye et al., 2020)                      | 2020  | Conference | Engineering Quantity Statistics                                       |
|     |                                         |       |            | Construction Payment                                                 |
| 54  | (Zhong et al., 2020)                   | 2020  | Journal    | Quality Information Management                                        |
| 55  | (Pradep et al., 2020)                  | 2020  | Conference | Collaborative Design                                                 |
| 56  | (Sheng et al., 2020)                   | 2020  | Journal    | Quality Information Management                                        |
| 57  | (Shojaei, Wang, et al., 2020)          | 2020  | Journal    | Sustainable Design                                                   |
| 58  | (Xue and Lu, 2020)                     | 2020  | Journal    | Data Security                                                         |
| 59  | (Brandin and Abrishami, 2021)          | 2021  | Journal    | Supply Chain Management                                               |
| 60  | (Ciotta et al., 2021)                  | 2021  | Journal    | Construction Safety                                                  |
|     |                                         |       |            | Quality Information Management                                        |
| 61  | (Pradep et al., 2021)                  | 2021  | Journal    | Collaborative Design                                                 |
|     |                                         |       |            | Data Security                                                         |
| 62  | (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021c)         | 2021  | Journal    | Building Data Collection                                              |
|     |                                         |       |            | Construction Payment                                                  |
|     |                                         |       |            | Construction Progress Tracking                                        |
| 63  | (Hamledari and Fischer, 2021a)         | 2021  | Journal    | Construction Payment                                                  |
|     |                                         |       |            | Supply Chain Management                                               |
| 64  | (Jiang et al., 2021)                   | 2021  | Journal    | Construction Progress Tracking                                        |
|     |                                         |       |            | Digital Twin                                                          |
| 65  | (Lee et al., 2021)                     | 2021  | Journal    | Construction Progress Tracking                                        |
|     |                                         |       |            | Digital Twin                                                          |
| 66  | (Li et al., 2021)                      | 2021  | Journal    | Construction Progress Tracking                                        |
|     |                                         |       |            | Quality Information Management                                        |
|     |                                         |       |            | Supply Chain Management                                               |
| Page | Reference                                    | Year | Journal            | Category                                      |
|------|---------------------------------------------|------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 67   | (Tao et al., 2021)                          | 2021 | Journal            | Data Security                                 |
|      |                                             |      |                    | Collaborative Design                          |
| 68   | (Hunkevicz et al., 2022)                    | 2022 | Journal            | Construction Payment                          |
|      |                                             |      |                    | Digital Twin                                  |
|      |                                             |      |                    | Building System Analysis                      |
| 69   | (Li et al., 2022)                           | 2022 | Journal            | Supply Chain Management                       |
| 70   | (Wu et al., 2022)                           | 2022 | Journal            | Quality Information Management                |
|      |                                             |      |                    | Supply Chain Management                       |