EGFR-TKI treatments are recommended to be used in non-small cell lung carcinomas with sensitizing mutations, and acquired resistance still is a vital issue for the treatment. More than 50% of resistance develops \textit{EGFR} T790M (1-3). Besides, \textit{MET} amplification has been described as a resistance mechanism in 5% to 20% of patients treated with first/second generation and up to 30% with third-generation (osimertinib) TKIs (4). Here We report a rare case of later T790M mutation that occurred with a secondary \textit{MET} amplification after first line icotinib treatment in \textit{EGFR}-mutated lung adenocarcinoma. The emergence of two resistance mechanisms after EGFR-TKI may be challenging for successful treatment.

The patient was a 65-year-old female never-smoker who presented with intermittent chest pain and was found to have bilateral lung infiltrates with a maximum of a 6x5 cm left lower lobe mass and mediastinal adenopathy. CT-guided lung biopsy showed lung adenocarcinoma positive for an \textit{EGFR} exon 19del mutation by direct sequencing. Then she was started on induction icotinib (150 mg tid PO). Image scans after four weeks showed partial response (PR) of the lung mass. After a progression-free survival (PFS) of ~12 months, she experienced progressive disease (PD) with new lung metastasis. Liquid biopsy and CT-guided lung re-biopsy revealed newly acquired \textit{MET} amplification (2-fold in Tissue) conjunction with \textit{EGFR} 19del (level: plasma 20.2%; tissue 87%), while no T790M mutation by next generation sequencing (NGS) assay. NGS also detected \textit{TP53} mutation (level: plasma 1.7%; tissue 32.8%), and amplification of \textit{EGFR} (6.3-fold in tissue) and \textit{KRAS} (2.1-fold in tissue). She was switched to palliative chemotherapy with single pemetrexed due to a patient's score of ECOG performance status is two and fear of chemotherapy. Unfortunately, she developed progression as she was completing four cycles of chemotherapy, and then switched to third-line chemotherapy with single docetaxel. Also, she was undergoing disease progression with a left lung and mediastinal adenopathy, accompany with new malignant pleural effusions (PE). Molecular analysis by NGS was again performed and revealed persistent \textit{EGFR} 19del (level: Plasma 27.9%; PE 84.8%), \textit{TP53} mutation (level: Plasma 1.9%; PE 74.3%), \textit{MET} and \textit{EGFR} amplification (2.9- and 3.9-fold in PE). The patient's clinical condition rapidly switched to a performance status of 4 when coming back in 2018-8. The patient developed respiratory failure with assisted oxygen requirement, and fourth-line joint therapy with crizotinib (200 mg bid PO) and icotinib (150 mg tid PO) was urgently initiated. The patient's symptoms significantly improved within three days. Restaging scans obtained after one month of crizotinib and icotinib joint therapy showed marked pulmonary improvement and resolution of PE. Seven months after treatment,
Figure 1 Dynamic changes of genetic alterations in plasma over the course of the patient’s treatment (A). Dynamic changes of genetic alterations in PE (B). PE amplification copy evolution. PE, pleural effusion.

The patient developed new chest wall pain and dyspnea. Repeat scans showed multiple new lung lesions and progressive osseous metastases. Plasma NGS detected original EGFR 19del (level: plasma 15.1%), TP53 (level: plasma 0.9) and newly acquired EGFR T790M (level: plasma 2.6%). Then, the patient was then treated with osimertinib and experienced significant improvement in dyspnea and pain, and CT scan after 1-month osimertinib therapy showed a dramatic tumor response. However, after approximately seven months of therapy, the patient was admitted to the hospital with further dyspnea and malaise. Imaging showed progressive lung metastases and increasing pleural effusion. NGS revealed variational EGFR 19del (level: PE 76.6%), EGFR T790M (level: PE 0.1%), TP53 mutation (level: 93.9%), MET and EGFR amplification (2.9- and 6.0-fold in PE). Then, the patient was suggested to take Anlotinib, an antivascular drug, combined with osimertinib, and she experienced ~three months of stable disease (SD) until 2020-1. The patient developed worsening respiratory failure with continuously assisted 3 L/min oxygen requirement when returned to the clinic in 2020-1, re-test by NGS revealed persistence of EGFR 19del (level Plasma 20.5%; PE 66%), EGFR T790M (level Plasma 0.7%; PE not detected), TP53 mutation (level Plasma 2.5%; PE 70%), MET and EGFR amplification (4.0- and 3.0-fold in PE) (Figure 1). The patient clinical condition rapidly worsened due to respiratory failure, and she died a few days later.

EGFR T790M accounts for more than 50% mechanism of first-generation TKI resistance, MET amplification accounts for 5% to 20% resistance mechanism in patients treated with first generation. Generally speaking, EGFR T790M mutations are firstly reported when drug resistance occurs after first-generation EGFR-TKI (icotinib), followed by MET amplification after resistance to third-generation (osimertinib) in NSCLC EGFR-mutated patient. What’s more, several studies report MET amplification, sometimes co-occur with EGFR T790M as molecular mechanisms of resistance to EGFR TKIs (5,6). However, we are reporting a rare case of a patient with EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma who had an excellent initial response to icotinib, with a MET amplification detected by liquid biopsy when first acquired resistance occurred, and the patient showed clear clinical and radiographic response to the combination therapy with crizotinib and icotinib, followed by progression, at which time plasma cell-free DNA analysis identified acquired EGFR T790M mutation that was not detected at the time of the first acquired resistance diagnosis. After ~seven months of PFS of osimertinib treatment, the patient developed drug-resistance, meantime liquid biopsy analysis identified clearance of EGFR T790M mutation and reappearance of MET amplification in liquid (PE) biopsy (Figure 2). Efforts have been made to interrogate mechanism of targeted therapy in a primary EGFR mutation lung adenocarcinoma. Analysis of EGFR on DNA from MET amplification detected by cfDNA as an acquired resistance mechanism to TKIs (8).
clinical practice to identify drivers of resistance to targeted therapies and the need for novel approaches to prevent and overcome the resistance mechanisms of targeted treatment. We are hopeful that an improved understanding of mechanisms of EGFR-TKIs resistance will lead to more treatment options for the emerging populations of EGFR-driven lung cancers.
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**Figure 2** The course of the disease with treatment history, driver mutations (A), and CT images (B).
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