Testing the Einstein’s equivalence principle with polarized gamma-ray bursts
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ABSTRACT

The Einstein’s equivalence principle (EEP) can be tested by using parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters, of which the parameter $\gamma$ has been constrained by comparing the arrival times of photons with different energies. It has been constrained by a variety of astronomical transient events, such as gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), fast radio bursts as well as pulses of pulsars, with the most stringent constraint of $\Delta \gamma < 10^{-15}$. In this letter, we consider the arrival times of lights with different circular polarization. For a linearly polarized light, it is the combination of two circularly polarized lights. If the arrival time difference between the two circularly polarized lights is too large, their combination may lose the linear polarization. We constrain the value of $\Delta \gamma_p < 1.6 \times 10^{-27}$ by the measurement of the polarization of GRB 110721A, which is the most stringent constraint ever achieved.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Einstein’s equivalence principle (EEP) is an important foundation of metric theories of gravity. EEP can be tested through parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters, of which the parameter $\gamma$ indicates how much space curvature is produced by unit rest mass. One statement of EEP is that any uncharged test body traveling in empty space follows a trajectory independent of its internal structure and composition. Therefore, if EEP is satisfied, the parameter $\gamma$ will be the same for different particles, and two objects traveling through the same distance will arrive at the same time.

The arrival time delays between photons with different energies emitted from astronomical sources have been widely used to test EEP by constraining the parameter $\gamma$ discrepancy between different photons. The time delay values are usually obtained in the following two methods. First, the light curves of photon fluxes with different energies have similar features for a source, e.g. a gamma-ray burst (GRB, Gao et al. 2015; Nusser 2016), a TeV Blazer (Wei et al. 2016) and pulses of a pulsar (Yang & Zhang 2016). The time delay is measured by cross correlation of two light curves. Second, when a burst event lasts a very short time, e.g. a short GRB (Sang et al. 2016), a fast radio burst (FRB, Wei et al. 2016; Tingay & Kaplan 2016), or a giant pulse of a pulsar (Yang & Zhang 2016), the burst duration time is applied as the time delay between the highest and lowest energies within the bandpass of the observing telescope.

By far, the most stringent constraint obtained by the first method and second method are $\gamma_{903} - \gamma_{903} < 4 \times 10^{-11}$ (3$\sigma$), or $\gamma_{35} - \gamma_{35} < 2.3 \times 10^{-12}$ (2$\sigma$), for GRB 090510 with a high redshift of $z = 0.903 \pm 0.003$ (Nusser 2016), and $\gamma(10.35 \text{ GHz}) - \gamma(8.15 \text{ GHz}) < (0.6 - 1.8) \times 10^{-15}$ using a 0.4-nanosecond giant burst of the Crab pulsar (Yang & Zhang 2016), respectively.

In this letter, we report a measurement of the time delay between lights with different circular polarization. This method has been applied to constrain the Lorentz invariance violation (LIV) (Fan et al. 2007; Toma et al. 2012). Linearly polarized light is a superposition of two opposite circularly polarized lights. Its polarization angle rotates $\Delta \phi$ if the two beams of light arrive with a time difference. We propose a method to constrain the parameter $\gamma$, by using linearly polarized light from GRBs. In the rest of this letter, we will describe our method in §2, and apply it to GRBs in §3. The conclusion and discussion will be presented in §4.

2 METHODOLOGY

In PPN approximation, Shapiro time delay in a gravitational potential $U(r)$ is given by (Shapiro 1964; Krauss & Tremaine 1988; Longo 1988)

$$\delta t_{\text{gra}} = - \frac{1 + \gamma}{c^3} \int_{r_o}^{r_s} U(r) \, dr,$$

where $r_s$ and $r_o$ are the locations of the source and the observer, respectively. We consider a linearly polarized light, which is composed by two circularly polarized beams (labeled with ‘r’ and ‘l’). If the two beams pass through the same gravitational potential with different Shapiro time delays because of different $\gamma$, the time lag of these two beams is then

$$\Delta t_{\text{gra}} = \left| \frac{\Delta \gamma}{c^3} \int_{r_o}^{r_s} U(r) \, dr \right|.$$
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where \( \Delta \gamma_p = \gamma_l - \gamma_r \) is the different of \( \gamma \) for the left polarized light and the right polarized light. The time lag results in the rotation of the linear polarization angle as

\[
\Delta \phi = \Delta t_{\text{grw}} \frac{2rc}{\lambda}.
\]

(3)

The exact value of \( \Delta \phi \) is unknown because the initial angle of the polarized light is not available. Yet, we can set an upper limit for \( \Delta \phi \) so that it cannot exceed more than \( 2\pi \), otherwise, the light will become unpolarized as the path difference goes beyond the coherence length. If one observes some object with linear polarization, it indicates \( \Delta \phi < 2\pi \). This puts an upper limit on the parameter \( \gamma \)

\[
\Delta \gamma_p < \frac{c^2}{\int_{r_e}^{r_o} U(r) dr} \lambda.
\]

(4)

It can be seen that, with the shorter wavelength, the constraint is more stringent.

3 APPLICATION TO GRBS

As shown above, the most stringent constraint can be obtained from the highest energy band of polarization observations. There are several GRBs with reported polarization at \( \gamma \)-ray band (see McConnell 2017, for a review), such as GRB 930131 with linear polarization degree \( \Pi = (35 \pm 100\%) \) (Willis et al. 2005), GRB 041219a with \( \Pi = 96\% \pm 40\% \) (McGlynn et al. 2007), GRB 110301A with \( \Pi = 70\% \pm 22\% \) (Yonetoku et al. 2012) and GRB 110721A with \( \Pi = 84\% \pm 28\% \) (Yonetoku et al. 2012). Here GRB 110721A is selected as it has a high linear polarization with low uncertainty. The redshift of the source has been measured by Berger (2011), i.e. \( z = 0.382 \), corresponding to a comoving distance of \( d = 4.6 \times 10^{27} \) cm.

The gravitational potential of a cosmological source is \( U(r) = U_{\text{MW}}(r) + U_{\text{IG}}(r) + U_{\text{host}}(r) \), where \( U_{\text{MW}}(r) \) is the gravitational potential of the Milky Way galaxy, \( U_{\text{IG}}(r) \) the intergalactic background between host galaxy and the Milky Way, and \( U_{\text{host}}(r) \) the host galaxy of the source. Although \( U_{\text{IG}}(r) \) and \( U_{\text{host}}(r) \) are unknown, the contributions of these terms are significantly less than \( U_{\text{MW}}(r) \) as discussed in Gao et al. (2015). Adopting the Keplerian potential of the Milky Way galaxy, namely \( U_{\text{MW}}(r) = -G(M_{\text{MW}}/r) \), one has (Gao et al. 2015)

\[
\int_{r_e}^{r_o} U(r) dr \approx \frac{GM_{\text{MW}} d}{b}.
\]

(5)

where \( G = 6.68 \times 10^{-8} \text{erg cm g}^{-2} \) is the gravitational constant, \( M_{\text{MW}} \approx 6 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot} \) is the mass of the Milky Way (McMillan 2011), \( d \) is the proper distance between the source and the observer, and \( b \) is the impact parameter of the light rays relative to the Galactic center. The impact parameter \( b \) can be estimated as (Gao et al. 2015)

\[
b = r_G \sqrt{1 - (\sin \delta_s \sin \delta_G + \cos \delta_s \cos \delta_G \cos(\beta_s - \beta_G))^2},
\]

(6)

where \( r_G = 8.3 \) kpc is the distance from the Sun to the Galactic center, \( \beta_s \) and \( \delta_s \) the right ascension and declination of the source in equatorial coordinates, and \( (\beta_G = 1^\circ 7^\prime 45^\prime 40.04^\prime, \delta_G = -29^\circ 00' 28.1') \) the coordinates of the Galactic center (Gillessen et al. 2009).

GRB 110721A was first detected by Fermi-GBM at the direction \( (\beta_s = 333.66^\circ, \delta_s = -38.59^\circ) \) with uncertainty of \( 1^\circ \) (Foley 2011; Tierney & von Kienlin 2011). The polarization was measured with IKAROS/GAP at the band of \((70, 300) \) keV (Yonetoku et al. 2012). To get a conservative constraint, the lowest energy 70 keV is considered as the corresponding wavelength.

Taking all the values above into Equation (4), the constraint of the \( \gamma \) discrepancy is \( \Delta \gamma_p < 1.6 \times 10^{-27} \).

4 CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

By taking a beam of light with two different circular polarization (left and right) as different objects, we tested the Einstein’s equivalence principle with the parameter \( \gamma \). Taking GRB 110721A with high linear polarization into consideration, the \( \gamma \) discrepancy between two beams with different circular polarization is constrained by \( \Delta \gamma_p < 1.6 \times 10^{-27} \), which is the most stringent constraint ever achieved. This result benefits from the fact that the phase information is taken into account. For the GRB photons at 70 keV, the wavelength is \( 1.8 \times 10^{-9} \) cm, corresponding to a time lag of \( \Delta t \sim 6 \times 10^{-9} \) s for a phase difference of \( 2\pi \). This is much shorter than the shortest time difference obtained from the light curve, such as \( 10^{-9} \) s for the nano-shot from the Crab pulsar (Yang & Zhang 2016). Therefore, the much more stringent constraint of \( \Delta \gamma \) is yielded.

This method of testing of EEP can be refined by laboratory experiments. A beam of light with linear polarization can be produced and emitted from the Earth and received by a satellite in the space. The polarization angle \( \Delta \phi \) is measured as an exact value rather than an upper limit, and can be substituted into Equations (2) and (3). Consequently, the parameter \( \Delta \gamma_p \) is measurable in principle.

The change of the linear polarization angle is also affected by the magnetic field. It is the so-called Faraday rotation. The dependence of \( \Delta \phi \) on the Faraday rotation is \( \Delta \phi \propto \lambda^2 \), different from its dependence on the EEP violation as \( \Delta \phi \propto \lambda^{-1} \) shown in Equation (5). For the astrophysical object, if there is linear polarization measured in several bands, the Faraday term can be subtracted with the fitting of \( \lambda^{-2} \) term.
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