Translation Initiation Factor eIF4E and eIFiso4E Are Both Required for Peanut stripe virus Infection in Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.)
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Peanut stripe virus (PStV) belongs to the genus Potyvirus and is the most important viral pathogen of cultivated peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.). The eukaryotic translation initiation factor, eIF4E, and its isoform, eIF(iso)4E, play key roles during virus infection in plants, particularly Potyvirus. In the present study, we cloned the eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E homologs in peanut and named these as PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E, respectively. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis showed that these two genes were expressed during all growth periods and in all peanut organs, but were especially abundant in young leaves and roots. These also had similar expression levels. Yeast two-hybrid analysis showed that PStV multifunctional helper component proteinase (HC-Pro) and viral protein genome-linked (VPg) both interacted with PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay showed that there was an interaction between HC-Pro and PeaeIF4E/PeaeIF(iso)4E in the cytoplasm and between VPg and PeaeIF4E/PeaeIF(iso)4E in the nucleus. Silencing either PeaeIF4E or PeaeIF(iso)4E using a virus-induced gene silencing system did not significantly affect PStV accumulation. However, silencing both PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E genes significantly weakened PStV accumulation. The findings of the present study suggest that PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E play important roles in the PStV infection cycle and may potentially contribute to PStV resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

Peanut is one of the most important oil crops and food legumes in the world. In China, peanuts are grown on 3.5 million hectares of land each year (Xu, 2008). Peanut stripe virus (PStV; genus Potyvirus, family Potyviridae) is one of the most widely distributed peanut viruses constraining peanut production. PStV has been detected in various countries, including China, the US, the Philippines, Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Korea (Xu et al., 1983; Demski and Lovell, 1985; Saleh et al., 1989; Choi et al., 2001, 2006). Recently, PStV has been reported in India and some African countries, which were possibly caused by exchanges in peanut seed resources (Xu, 2008).
In China, PSTV is a very serious infectious disease that afflicts peanut, particularly those grown in northern China. The infection incidence has reached 50% and recently, even 100% in some fields. PSTV has also infected various other crops, including soybean (*Glycine max*), sesame (*Sesamum indicum*), cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), hyacinth bean (*Dolichos lablab*), white lupin (*Lupinus albus*), and patchouli (*Pogostemon cablin*; Xu, 2008; Singh et al., 2009). To date, no effective method for controlling this virus has been established.

*Peanut stripe virus* is a member of the genus *Potyvirus*, an economically significant and one of the largest groups of viruses that infect plants. These viruses are about 10 kb in length, carry a single positive-strand RNA, and contain a 350-kD polyprotein that is translated by a single open reading frame (ORF). The polyprotein is cleaved by three virus-encoded proteases into 10 mature proteins and an additional protein called PIPO, which is embedded in the P3 cistron (Urcuqui-Inchima et al., 2001; Wei et al., 2010).

One of the three virus-encoded proteases is the multifunctional helper component protease (*HC-Pro*), which consists of C-proximal, central, and N-proximal domains. The C-proximal domain separates HC-Pro from the polyprotein precursor via proteolysis (Carrington and Herndon, 1992). HC-Pro contributes to various essential steps that are related to viral replication and infection cycles. HC-Pro is involved in some processes, including virus transmission by aphids (Govier et al., 1977) and virus movement from cell-to-cell (Rojas et al., 1997) to long-distance migration (Saenz et al., 2002). In addition, HC-Pro facilitates the development of virulence and symptom amplification (Atreya et al., 1992; Redondo et al., 2001); it is also a regulator of gene silencing suppression (LLave et al., 2000). HC-Pro interacts with numerous host proteins and some virus proteins, as well as mediates the function of host proteins and other viral proteins (Jin et al., 2007; Alapkoela et al., 2011).

Another important region of the potyviral protein is *VPg*, which is translated into the polyprotein, NIa, which is also known as VPg-Pro. During the potyvirus infection, VPg participates in replication and proteolysis and is composed of N-terminal and C-terminal protease domains (Revers et al., 1999). VPg is a multifunctional protein that plays a crucial role in race-specific replication (translation and RNA synthesis), as well as in cell-to-cell and long-distance movement; it also interacts with host proteins as well as various recessive potyvirus resistance genes in different host species (Lellis et al., 2002; Rajamaki and Valkonen, 2002).

Because viruses have relatively small genomes and a limited number of proteins, they rely on the host-cell environment to complete their infection cycle. The characterization of host proteins, membranes, and nucleic acids, using a model host system, functional genomics, and modern molecular biology methods, help in the understanding of plant–virus interactions (Whitham and Wang, 2004). For example, positive-sense ssRNA viruses replicate in association with host endomembranes (Mackenzie, 2005) and different host factors (Ahlquist et al., 2003; Whitham and Wang, 2004). One of the most important genes is the translation initiation factor, *eIF4E*, which initiates the translation of mRNA and regulates protein synthesis (Sonenberg et al., 1978; Jackson et al., 2010). *eIF4E* also interacts with the 5′-terminal cap of mRNA and was initially named the ‘cap-binding protein.’ Moreover, *eIF4E* and its isoform *eIF(iso)*4E are functionally redundant and one or both of them interact with HCPro and VPg, which are indispensable for viruses to complete their infection cycle; therefore, abolishing this interaction may prevent the viral infection (Lellis et al., 2002; Browning, 2004; Kang et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2007; Charron et al., 2008; Alapkoela et al., 2011; Wang and Krishnaswamy, 2012; Sanfanco, 2015). Based on this concept, silencing or incurring mutation in the gene may disrupt infection. *pvr2* is a two-nucleotide substitution of the amino acid of pepper eIF4E and is resistant to PVY (Ruffel et al., 2002). A small number of amino acid substitutions in the tomato eIF4E pot-1 confer resistance against PVY and *Tobacco etch virus* (TEV) in tomato. Barley *rmy4* and *rmy5* are also amino acid substitutions that confer eIF4E resistance to *Barley yellow mosaic virus* (BaYMV) and *Barley mild mosaic virus* (BaMMV) in barley (Kanyuka et al., 2005; Stein et al., 2005). Pepper *pvr1(2)* contains an eIF4E mutation and *pvr6* is an eIF(iso)4E mutation; simultaneous mutations in eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E confer resistance to *Chilli veinal mottle virus* (ChVVM) in pepper, and silencing eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E reduces the ChiVMV accumulation (Ruffel et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2009). In plum, the silencing of eIF(iso)4E results in resistance to *Plum pox virus* (PPV; Wang et al., 2013; Cui and Wang, 2016). Thus, the dependence of potyviruses on eIF4E and/or eIF(iso)4E varies with each virus–host interaction.

To date, no effective way of controlling PSTV such as using genetically resistant varieties has been established, mainly because no resistance genes have been identified. We hypothesize that peanut eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E controls the effect of PSTV in peanut. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the effects of silencing the translation initiation factor, eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E, to confer PSTV resistance in peanut. Moreover, we examined the interaction between HC-Pro and VPg of PSTV with eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E using Y2H and BiFC. We also detected the expression of eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E in different peanut tissues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning and Sequencing of PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E Genes**

Total RNA was extracted from peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) leaves using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and cDNA was synthesized using an M-MLV RTase cDNA synthesis kit (Takara, Dalian, China), following the manufacturer’s recommendations. To design primers for cloning the eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes of peanut, we compared and downloaded the eIF4E sequences of *Medicago truncatula* (XM_003593785), *Pisum sativum* (AY423375), *Pisum sativum* (DQ641471), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (EF571276), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (EF571275), *M. tornata* (HQ735878), and *M. truncatula* (HQ735877). The conserved sequences were used in designing the primer pairs PeaeIF4E2-R and PeaeIF4E2-F (Supplementary Table S1) to amplify the peanut eIF4E gene. The PCR products showing the expected lengths were sequenced and compared. The product with the correct sequence was cloned into pET28a (+) (Novagen).

The PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes were expressed in E. coli and purified using Ni-NTA agarose (Takara). The examination of the purified proteins was carried out by 12% SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using polyclonal antibodies against eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E (Novagen).

**Infection**

Infection experiments were performed on 8-day-old peanut plants at the two-leaf stage. Plants were used for infection experiments until 21 days after inoculation (DAI).

**Results and Discussion**

The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes in different peanut tissues. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes in different peanut tissues was confirmed by RT-qPCR. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes was highest in the young leaves, followed by the mature leaves, and then the stems and roots. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes was significantly lower in the roots than in the leaves. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes was also examined in different peanut tissues using Western blotting. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes was detected in all the tissues examined, with the highest expression in the young leaves, followed by the mature leaves, and then the stems and roots. The expression of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes was significantly lower in the roots than in the leaves.
was then used in designing primers (Supplementary Table S1) for 5’ amplification of cDNA ends (5’-RACE) and 3’-RACE to obtain the full-length cDNA of _PeaIF4E_. A 5’-RACE kit (Invitrogen) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions to obtain the 5’ terminus of the _PeaIF4E_ gene. The 5’-RACE _eiF4E_ outer and inner primers and 3’-RACE _eiF4E_ outer and inner primer (Supplementary Table S1) were used to obtain the full-length _PeaIF4E_ cDNA. The _PeaIF(iso)4E_ gene was amplified using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China) was used to perform all the PCRs. A gel extraction kit (TianGen, Beijing, China) was used to purify the PCR products, which were then cloned into a pMD-18T easy vector (Takara) for sequencing. DNAMAN 6.0 was used for multiple sequence alignment to homologous proteins of different plant species. MEGA5 with the Equal input model was used for phylogenetic analyses by using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method, and confidence was estimated by using 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Tamura et al., 2011).

**Cloning and Sequencing of PStV VPg and HC-Pro**

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis of the PStV VPg and HC-Pro genes were similar to the method used in cloning _PeaIF4E_ and _PeaIF(iso)4E_. Based on the reported cDNA sequence of PStV (GenBank Accession No.: KF439722, U05771, and U34972), we designed primers for the amplification of segments that corresponded to PStV VPg and PStV HC-Pro (Supplementary Table S1). Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Takara) was used for all PCRs. A gel extraction kit (TianGen) was used to purify the PCR products. Then the purified PCR products were cloned into a pMD-18T easy vector (Takara) for sequencing.

**qRT-PCR Analysis**

Total RNA samples were extracted from the roots, stems, leaves, flower buds, leaf buds of “Huayu 20,” and cDNAs were synthesized using the same method employed in cloning the _PeaIF4E_ and _PeaIF(iso)4E_ genes. All peanut tissues were sampled from three different peanut plants as biological replicates. For the analysis of gene silencing in peanut, total RNA was extracted from the leaves of _eiF4E_ silenced, _eiF(iso)4E_ silenced, or _eiF4E_ and _eiF(iso)4E_ double silenced peanuts and used in RT-PCR as previously described. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) reactions were conducted by using a SYBR Premix _Ex Taq_ PCR kit (Takara) on an ABI7500 real-time PCR system (ABI, Foster, CA, USA). The primer pairs YG4E-R/YG4E-F and YG4E-R/YG4E-F were used to detect the expression of _PeaIF4E_ and _PeaIF(iso)4E_. The primer pair YGsPstV-R/YGsPstV-F was used to detect the accumulation of PStV after inoculation. The primer pair actin-R/actin-F was used to amplify the _actin_ gene of _A. hypogaea_, which was used as a reference. The PCR reaction system consisted of a total volume of 20 µL, which included 2 µL of the RT product, 10 µL of _Ex Taq_ 0.8 µL of the primers (Supplementary Table S1), and 7.2 µL of DEPC-water. All the reactions were performed in a 96-well optical plate. The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 15 s, 94°C for 6 s, and 60°C for 30 s for a total of 40 cycles. Data analysis was performed by using an ABI7500 real-time PCR system, and standard curves were also constructed.

**Subcellular Localization of PStV VPg, PStV HC-Pro, and _eiF4E/eiF(iso)4E_**

The ORF of the target gene without its stop codon was amplified using the Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Takara) using the corresponding primer pairs, ORF4E-R/ORF4E-F, _4E(isoORF)F/4E(isoORF)R_, _PV-F/PV-R_, and _PH-R/PH-F_, and then cloned into a pMD-18T easy vector (Takara) for sequencing. After confirmation of the correct clone from pMD-18T, these were then introduced into an entry vector pGWCm by TA cloning, and finally, via LR gateway recombination reaction (Invitrogen), was transferred to the plant expression vector, pHZM03. Plasmid DNA with green fluorescent protein (GFP) was transiently introduced into _Arabidopsis_ protoplasts (Meng, 2012). After incubating for 12–16 h in the dark, GFP expression was visualized using a confocal laser microscope (Leica SP5, Mannheim, Germany).

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay**

Yeast two-hybrid screening was conducted using a Matchmaker Gold Yeast two-hybrid system (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA). The coding sequences of PStV VPg, PStV HC-Pro, and _eiF4E/eiF(iso)4E_ were PCR amplified by using Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Takara) with the primer pair listed in Supplementary Table S1. PStV VPg, PStV HC-Pro were cloned into the prey vector, pGADT7, and _eiF4E/eiF(iso)4E_ were cloned into the bait vector, pGBK7T. Confirmed correct clones were transformed into _Escherichia coli_ DH5α cells for subsequent DNA sequencing. Both the confirmed correct prey and bait vectors were then co-transformed into AH109 yeast cells. SD/-Leu-Trp, SD/-Leu-Trp-His, SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade, and SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade+X-α-gal (Clontech) were used as selective media to detect any interactions. Yeast that contained both empty pGADT7 and pGBK7T were used as negative controls, and yeast containing both pGBK-p53 and pGAD-RecT were used as positive controls.

**Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC)**

The Gateway compatible BiFC vectors pEarleyGate202-NYFP and pEarleyGate202-CYFP were used. DNA fragments corresponding to PStV VPg, PStV HC-Pro, and _eiF4E/eiF(iso)4E_ were introduced individually into the entry vector pGWCm as previously described. pGWCm-VPg and pGWCm-HC-pro were transferred to the pEarleyGate202-CYFP vector, whereas pGWCm-eiF4E and pGWCm-eiF(iso)4E were transferred to the pEarleyGate202-NYFP vector via the LR Gateway recombination reaction (Invitrogen). Plasmid DNA with YFP fusion was introduced into _Arabidopsis_ protoplasts for transient expression (Meng, 2012). After incubation for 12–16 h in the dark, confocal laser-scanning microscopy (Leica SP5) was performed to evaluate YFP expression.
Silencing of Peanut Using the Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) Vector System

For VIGS assays, PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E were amplified by using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. The PCR products were digested with XhoI and BamHI (New England Biolabs) and ligated into vector ALSV-RNA2, which was digested with the corresponding enzymes. The plasmid constructs were then sequenced (Takara) to confirm that we obtained the correct inserts. Apple latent spherical virus (ALSV)-based VIGS method was used. The constructed ALSV-RNA2 and ALSV-RNA1 were transformed into E. coli DH5α cells for sequencing; then the confirmed correct plasmid was cultured in E. coli DH5α cells using TransGEN plasmid Maxi Kit (TransGEN) for purification. Approximately 1 µg/µL of modified ALSV-RNA2 and ALSV-RNA1 were mechanically inoculated into Chenopodium quinoa plants. Two to three weeks later, symptomatic leaves were collected, homogenized in three volumes of extraction buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 0.1 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2), and re-inoculated in C. quinoa plants. Then the total RNA of symptomatic leaves were extracted and digested to about 0.02 µg/µL to be mechanically inoculated into peanuts (2 weeks after sprouting; Igarashi et al., 2009).

Virus Inoculation

In the present study, the PSTV isolate was from Laixi, Qingdao city, Shandong province, China. It was cultured in our laboratory via mechanical inoculation for maintenance. PSTV-infected plants were maintained at 25°C with 8 h photoperiod. Two weeks after silenced peanut, PSTV was mechanically inoculated into peanut. After infection, the presence of PSTV was tested by real-time RT-PCR.

RESULTS

Cloning and Sequencing of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E Genes

The sequence of the peanut eIF4E gene was amplified by using 5’-RACE and 3’-RACE. The full-length cDNA sequence of the eIF4E gene was deposited in GenBank (Accession No. HE985069). The eIF4E gene was 764-bp long, with a 59’-39 bp untranslated region, a 696-bp ORF, and a 29-bp 3’ untranslated region, and encoded a putative 231-amino acid polypeptide. Moreover, it had 92% nucleotide sequence identity to its homolog in M. truncatula (GenBank Accession No. AY423375.2) and 70% nucleotide identity to its homolog in M. truncatula (GenBank Accession No. BT134162.1).

The peanut eIF(iso)4E gene was isolated as described above. The obtained peanut eIF(iso)4E ORF was 612 bp (GenBank Accession No. KF956378) and was predicted to encode a 203-amino acid polypeptide. The cloned peanut eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E genes were designated as paeIF4E and paeIF(iso)4E, respectively. The identity of the paeIF4E and paeIF(iso)4E genes at the nucleotide sequence level was 53.55%, whereas that at the amino acid sequence level was 42.67%.

Expression Profiles of PeaelF4E/PeaelF(iso)4E in Different Tissues of Peanut

To detect the expression levels of peanut PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E in various tissues, quantitative real-time PCR was performed. RNA was isolated from various tissues such as the roots, stems, leaves, flower buds, and leaf buds of “Huayu 20.” The expression of the peanut actin gene is constant under different conditions and in different tissues (Chi et al., 2012), and was thus selected as a reference. The mRNA transcript levels showed significant differences in different tissues from peanut plants. The expression patterns and the mRNA transcript levels of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E were similar in all tissues (Figure 2A). The highest transcript levels, for both genes, were observed in the leaf bud and the lowest in flowers (Figure 2A).

Subcellular Localization of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E in Arabidopsis

To test the subcellular localization of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E, PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E were fused to the GFP by cloning of the ORFs of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E into the entry vector pGWCm. Recombinant plasmids that expressed the PeaelF4E-GFP and PeaelF(iso)4E-GFP fusion proteins were introduced into Arabidopsis protoplasts cell. The Arabidopsis protoplasts cell were cultured in the dark at 23°C for about 12–16 h and observed by the confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica SP5). The results suggested that the PeaelF(iso)4E and PeaelF4E fusion proteins were present in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Figure 2B).

Subcellular Localization of VPG and HC-Pro in Arabidopsis

We obtained the VPG and HC-Pro protein cDNAs from PSTV by RT-PCR, and their ORFs were fused to GFP as above. The fusion proteins were expressed in Arabidopsis protoplasts as above. The results suggested that the VPG fusion protein was present in the nucleus, and HC-Pro fusion protein was observed in the cytoplasm (Figure 3).

Interaction Analysis between eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E and PSTV HC-Pro/PSTV Vpg

Yeast two-hybrid analysis was used to determine whether there was an interaction between viral proteins and peanut proteins. Yeast two-hybridization showed interactions between VPG and PeaelF4E/PeaelF(iso)4E, and between HC-Pro
FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic analysis of eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E sequences of different plant species. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using ClustalW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/). The GenBank accession numbers of the amino acid sequences used are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The two peanut sequences are highlighted in bold and italics.
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**FIGURE 2** | mRNA transcript levels (A) of PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E and their subcellular localization (B). Relative mRNA expression levels of peaeIF4E and peaeIF(iso)4E were determined by real-time reverse transcript PCR (RT-PCR). The values represent means of three biological repeats and the value of each biological repeat is the mean of three technical repeats. All values were normalized to the reference gene peanut actin. PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E were fused with green fluorescent protein (GFP) and delivered into protoplasts of *Arabidopsis*. The GFP fluorescence was observed 12–16 h after transfection. Scale bars = 10 µm.

and PeaeIF4E/PeaeIF(iso)4E (Figure 4). The interactions were further confirmed by using BiFC. In this system, the YFP was split into N-terminal and C-terminal fragments, and the PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E were attached to the N-terminal fragment of YFP (eIF4E-NY and eIF(iso)4E-NY). VPg and HC-pro were fused to the C-terminal fragment of YFP (VPg-CY and HC-pro-CY). The eIF(iso)4E-NY+VPg-CY, eIF4E-NY+VPg-CY, eIF4E-NY+HC-pro-CY, and eIF(iso)4E-NY+HC-pro-CY plasmids were then transformed into *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. A nuclear fluorescence signal was, respectively, observed in eIF(iso)4E-NY+VPg-CY and eIF4E-NY+VPg-CY combination and the signal was observed throughout the nucleus (Figure 5C). Cytoplasmic fluorescence signals were observed in the eIF4E-NY+HC-pro-CY and eIF(iso)4E-NY+HC-pro-CY combinations, and the signals were observed throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 5A). As expected, the negative controls, i.e., the combinations of eIF4E-NY+CY and NY+HC-Pro-CY did not emit fluorescence signals (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results show that PeaeIF4E/PeaeIF(iso)4E interacts with VPg in the nucleus, whereas PeaeIF4E/PeaeIF(iso)4E interacts with HC-Pro in the cytoplasm.

**Silencing of PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E Genes Confers Resistance against PSTV in Peanut**

To confirm the role of the PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E genes in PSTV infection, gene silencing was performed. The PeaeIF4E (355-nt) and PeaeIF(iso)4E (326-nt) fragments were inserted into the ALSV-RNA2 vector. The recombinant viruses (ALSV-eIF4E and ALSV-eIF(iso)4E) were then inoculated into a peanut. Two weeks after inoculation, real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed, which demonstrated that the expression levels of PeaeIF4E and PeaeIF(iso)4E were significantly lower in the inoculated plants as compared with control (Figure 6A), although no significant phenotypic alterations were observed.
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FIGURE 3 | Subcellular localization of PStV HC-Pro and PStV VPg. PStV HC-Pro and PStV VPg fused with GFP were transfected into protoplasts of Arabidopsis. The GFP fluorescence was observed 12–16 h after transfection. Scale bars = 10 µm.

FIGURE 4 | Yeast two-hybrid assay of protein–protein interaction between the PeaelF4E/PaeelF(iso)4E from peanut and PStV-HC-pro/PStV-VPg. Yeast co-transformants were grown on selective medium SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade plus X-α-Gal and incubated for 4 days at 30°C (A). Frame (B) corresponds to the clones left (A).

in the transgenic plants (Figure 6C). The expression level of PeaelF4E decreased by 60% (upon inoculation with ALSV-eIF4E) while that of PeaelF(iso)4E decreased by 65% (ALSV-eIF(iso)4E inoculation) as compared with control. When inoculated with ALSV-eIF4E+ALSV-eIF(iso)4E, the expression levels of PeaelF4E and PeaelF(iso)4E decreased by 53 and 57%, respectively, as compared with control (Figure 6A). Peanut plants where either the PeaelF4E or PeaelF(iso)4E were silenced,
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FIGURE 5 | Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay showing interaction between PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E and PSTV HC-Pro/PSTV VPg. The full-length open reading frame (ORF) of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E was cloned into the vector pEarleyGate202-NYFP [eIF4E-NY, eIF(iso)4E-NY] and that of PSTV HC-Pro/PSTV VPg into pEarleyGate202-CYFP (HC-Pro-CY, VPg-CY). The recombinant plasmids were transfected into protoplasts of Arabidopsis. Fluorescence was observed at 14–16 h post-transfection by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. Scale bars = 10 µm. (A) BiFC analysis of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E and PSTV HC-Pro. (B) A range of negative controls. (C) BiFC analysis of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E and PSTV VPg.
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DISCUSSION

The cap-binding protein eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E confers resistance to some RNA viruses in specific plant species (Nicaise et al., 2003; Nieto et al., 2006; Ruffel et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2009). In the present study, we cloned the peanut eIF4E/eIF(iso)4E genes and analyzed their protein sequences. Phylogenetic analyses of these sequences demonstrated that PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E showed high homologies with orthologs from related plant species. PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E were closely related to their homologs from soybean (G. max) and kidney bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). The expression levels of PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E were similar in different peanut tissues with both genes being upregulated in leaf buds and roots and downregulated in flowers (Figure 2). Previous studies have also shown that these two genes are upregulated in young tissues and downregulated in mature tissues of Arabidopsis and plum, which corroborated our results (Rodriguez et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2013).

Confocal microscopy showed that PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E were both localized in the nucleus and the cytoplasm of Arabidopsis cells (Figure 2B). In Chrysanthemum morifolium, eIF(iso)4E was also localized in the nucleus, cytoplasm, and cytomembrane (Song et al., 2013). In Arabidopsis, in quiescent cells, eIF4E was localized in the nucleus, whereas in proliferating cells, this was detected in the cytoplasm. Both in quiescent and proliferating cells, eIF(iso)4E has been observed in the cytoplasm and nucleus (Bush et al., 2009). In mature Arabidopsis cells, PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E were localized to both the nucleus and the cytoplasm, but whether the two proteins have different cellular locations during different growth stages needs further investigation. In animals, eIF4E has been detected in both cytoplasm and nucleus, and about 68% of the eIF4E was detected in mammalian nuclei. eIF4E plays different roles depending on its subcellular location; when it is localized in the cytoplasm, it functions in translation initiation. When it is localized in the nucleus, it participates in the export of mRNAs that contain 4E-sensitive elements (SE; Iborra et al., 2001; Culjkovic et al., 2007, 2008). The two peanut proteins that localized in different places may play overlapping or redundant roles in the virus multiplication cycle.

showed mosaic symptoms of infection at about 10–14 days after inoculation with PSTV. On the other hand, silencing of both PeaIF4E or PeaIF(iso)4E caused the symptoms to appear later at about 18–20 days after inoculation with PSTV, and the symptoms were milder compared with plants with only one gene silenced and the control (Figure 6C). Real-time RT-PCR analysis indicated that silencing both PeaIF4E or PeaIF(iso)4E reduced PSTV accumulation by 70% compared to control plants. No significant differences were observed between plants in which either PeaIF4E or PeaIF(iso)4E was silenced as compared with controls (Figure 6B) suggesting that the two isoforms play overlapping or redundant roles in the virus multiplication cycle.

FIGURE 6 | Real-time PCR analysis for target gene expression in peanut (A), accumulation of PSTV RNA (B) and the growth condition and symptoms of peanut after inoculated PSTV (C). Virus-induced gene silencing of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E in representative plants belonging to each of the four different treatments. For qRT-PCR detection of the expression of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E, three plants from each group were pooled as one sample and the experiments were performed in triplicate (A). Effects of silencing of PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E on PSTV infection. The accumulation of PSTV RNA in inoculated peanut plants was detected by RT-PCR 15 days after PSTV inoculation (B). The growth condition and symptoms of peanut after inoculated PSTV (C). Four different treatments peanuts were mechanically infected by PSTV. Three plants from each group were pooled as one sample and the experiments were performed in triplicate, 10–14 days later, PSTV disease symptom began to appeared. The peanut growth condition after inoculated with PSTV, except the different symptoms on peanut leaves, different treatments peanuts growth condition showed no significant differences compared with control. 1, control group; 2, silencing PeaIF4E; 3, silencing PeaIF(iso)4E; 4, silencing both PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E.
observed in the cytoplasm. In the case of MDMV (*Maize dwarf mosaic virus*) and TuMV (*Turnip mosaic virus*), HC-Pro was detected in the cytoplasm (Li et al., 2001; Zheng, 2011). In the case of *Potato virus Y*, HC-Pro was localized throughout the cytoplasm, whereas it displays different subcellular localization patterns depending on the cellular environment (del Toro et al., 2014). HC-Pro was also distributed throughout the cytoplasm in CAVM (*Cowpea aphid-borne mosaic virus*) infected plants (Mlotshwa et al., 2002). In WYMV (*Wheat mosaic virus*) infected plants, VPG occurred in two forms in the nucleus; one gathered into one or several irregular shape inclusions, whereas the other was evenly distributed across the entire nucleus (Bian, 2013). These results were consistent with the findings of our study as well as with the results of BiFC analysis of HC-Pro and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E, and that of VPG and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E. We also observed interactions between VPG and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E and between HC-Pro and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E. The interaction between VPG and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E interactions was observed in the nucleus. These results coincided with the findings on viral protein location in our study. The interaction between VPG and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E in the nucleus provides additional evidence that both proteins are localized in the nucleus. In potyvirus, the interaction between VPG and elf4E/elf(iso)4E plays a major role in cellular transport and localization of RNA (Lellis et al., 2002). HC-Pro and PeaIF4E/PeaIF(iso)4E interactions were detected in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus, which supported the absence of HC-Pro in the nuclei of infected plant cells (Rajamaki and Valkonen, 2003).

The interaction between translation initiation factors and viral proteins is essential for viral replication and infection (Dreher and Miller, 2006; Robaglia and Caranta, 2006). The interaction between elf4E/elf(iso)4E and HC-Pro/VPG may be necessary for potyvirus infection and amplification. The silencing of both PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E conferred moderate resistance against PSTV in peanut, as evidenced by symptom delay and reduced virus accumulation. These findings strongly suggest that PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E play important roles to facilitate virus infection and that they are functionally interchangeable. The silencing of PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E hindered the interaction between the host and the virus, which in turn prevented infection and viral replication in the host. Furthermore, viral accumulation was lower in gene-silenced peanut plants. Silenced plants with decreased expression of PeaIF4E and/or PeaIF(iso)4E did not phenotypically differ from control plants. In tobacco, antisense depletion of either elf4E and two elf(iso)4E isoforms displayed normal development, but antisense depletion of both elf4E and elf(iso)4E resulted in semi-dwarf phenotype (Combe et al., 2005). It is possible that the remaining low levels of expression of PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E in the silenced plants were sufficient to sustain peanut growth. Alternatively, it is possible that the two genes are dispensable for peanut growth and that some other genes possess complementary functions.

In other virus-host compositions, simultaneous mutations in the elf4E and elf(iso)4E genes result in a decrease in viral resistance, such as resistance to *Pepper veinal mosaic virus* (PVMV) and ChiVMV in pepper (Ruffel et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2009). Furthermore, knocking down the elf(iso)4E in peach plants results in peach resistance to PPV (Cui and Wang, 2016). Silencing of the elf(iso)4E gene in plum confers resistance to PPV (Wang et al., 2013) and silencing the elf4E gene in melon plants confers upon it broad-spectrum viral resistance (Rojez-Hernandez et al., 2012). These reports suggest that these viruses probably utilize one or two translation initiation factors during infection. PSTV may use either translation initiation factors of PeaIF4E and PeaIF(iso)4E during its infection because silencing only one gene does not confer resistance to PSTV in peanut. Our study suggests that the elf4E/elf(iso)4E gene may be utilized in increasing PSTV resistance in peanut by gene silencing, gene mutation, or the TILLING strategy (Ruffel et al., 2002; Kanyuka et al., 2005; Stein et al., 2005; Piron et al., 2010). We have a variety of peanut cultivars that could be employed in TILLING to detect allelic variants of a target gene.

Therefore, the findings of the present study suggest that elf4E/elf(iso)4E plays important roles in the PSTV infection cycle and may serve as a novel method for increasing the PSTV resistance in economically important peanut cultivars. The two genes may also be used as genetic resources for improving PSTV resistance in peanut breeding programs.
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