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Abstract: In the 30 years since the first report of parental imprinting in insulin-like growth factor 2 (Igf2) knockout mouse models, we have learnt much about the structure of this protein, its role and regulation. Indeed, many animal and human studies involving innovative techniques have shed light on the complex regulation of IGF2 expression. The physiological roles of IGF-II have also been documented, revealing pleiotropic tissue-specific and developmental-stage-dependent action. Furthermore, in recent years, animal studies have highlighted important interspecies differences in IGF-II function, gene expression and regulation. The identification of human disorders due to impaired IGF2 gene expression has also helped to elucidate the major role of IGF-II in growth and in tumor proliferation. The Silver–Russell and Beckwith–Wiedemann syndromes are the most representative imprinted disorders, as they constitute both phenotypic and molecular mirrors of IGF2-linked abnormalities. The characterization of patients with either epigenetic or genetic defects altering IGF2 expression has confirmed the central role of IGF-II in human growth regulation, particularly before birth, and its effects on broader body functions, such as metabolism or tumor susceptibility. Given the long-term health impact of these rare disorders, it is important to understand the consequences of IGF2 defects in these patients.

Keywords: IGF2; growth; Silver–Russell syndrome; Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome; parental imprinting

1. Introduction

Insulin-like growth factor two (IGF-II) is a key protein regulating growth, particularly during normal fetal development, but it is also often dysregulated during tumorigenesis [1–3]. In this review, we focus on the role of IGF-II in physiological functions and its regulation by cis or trans genetic factors. We do not consider here the role of IGF-II in the context of tumors, or its regulation by environmental factors.

IGF-II belongs to a larger system including several different regulatory factors and generally referred to as the “IGF system” that we will briefly present. IGF-I and IGF-II are the main ligands of the type 1 IGF receptor (IGF-1R). This tyrosine kinase receptor is composed of an extracellular domain consisting of an alpha chain, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular domain consisting of a beta chain carrying the tyrosine kinase sites. The ligand binds dimerized IGF-1R and transmit the resulting signal (Figure 1) [4].

The binding of IGF-I or IGF-II to IGF-1R leads to activation of the downstream MAP kinase and PI3 kinase signaling pathways [5,6]. IGF-1R has a higher affinity for IGF-I than for IGF-II (K_d = 1.5 nM and 3.0 nM, respectively) [7,8]. Thanks to its high degree of similarity to IGF-1R, the insulin receptor (INSR) can also bind IGFs, but with negligible affinity for IGF-I, and with only type A INSR having a five-fold lower affinity for IGF-II than for insulin [9,10]. Another receptor, the type 2 IGF receptor (IGF-2R), which is a mannose-6-phosphate cation-dependent receptor, also specifically binds IGF-II [11–13]. Its role has been demonstrated in IGF-II clearance through lysosomal degradation and
more recent studies in rodents stated for its role in memory enhancement processes [14–18]. The plasma half-lives of both IGF-I and IGF-II are extended by binding proteins (IGFBP). Six different IGFBPs have been identified, each with relative different affinities between IGFs but binding IGFs with a higher affinity than IGF-1R [19–23]. IGFBP-3 and -5 can also form a larger complex with the acid-labile subunit (ALS), which can bind IGFs, increasing the stability of these factors in the blood [24]. The bioavailability of IGFs is dependent from the homeostasis between their bound and free forms which is regulated through IGFBP proteolysis [23,25–28]. As an illustrating example, PAPP-A2 (Pregnancy-associated Plasma Protein-A2) is a metalloproteinase which specifically cleaves IGF from IGFBP-3 and -5 to allow IGF activity [29,30]. Recently, pathogenic variation in PAPPA2 has been reported in growth-retarded children resulting from decreased IGF bioactivity [31].

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the modes of action of IGF-II. In the bloodstream, IGF-II is mostly bound in a ternary complex with the acid-labile subunit (ALS) and IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP)-3 and -5. Once released from this complex by PAPP-A2 proteolysis, IGF-II can bind either the type A insulin receptor (INSR-A) or IGF receptor type 1 or 2 (IGF-1R and IGF-2R), inducing cell proliferation or IGF-II clearance.

IGF-II is secreted, mostly via the placenta, during pregnancy [32,33]. After birth, the IGF-II circulating in the bloodstream for the greater part results from secretion from hepatocytes, but unlike that of IGF-I, this secretion is not dependent on growth hormone (GH) secretion [34,35].

IGF-II synthesis results from the expression of IGF2, an imprinted gene located in the chromosome 11p15.5 region. Imprinted genes are characterized by their monoallelic expression, which is dependent on the parental origin of the allele. This pattern of expression is controlled by epigenetic marks on differentially methylated regions (DMR) known as imprinting control regions (ICR) [36,37]. In the 11p15.5 region, H19/IGF2: InterGenic-DMR (IG-DMR or ICR1) is methylated on the paternal allele, driving the expression of the pa-
ternal IGF2 allele, whereas the absence of methylation on the maternal allele leads to the expression of H19, a non-coding transcript (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. The human IGF2/H19 11p15.5 locus. (A) The IGF2 and H19 genes are separated by about 80 kb. IGF2 is paternally expressed (blue arrow), whereas H19 is maternally expressed (red arrow). The four DMR (green boxes) and enhancers (yellow ellipses) are represented. (B) H19/IGF2:IG-DMR (ICR1) in detail: OCT4/SOX2 (blue stars) and CTCF (green circles) binding sites on the maternal allele (red), and methylation sites (black lollipops), ZFP57 binding sites (orange stars) and the undefined ZNF445 binding site consensus sequence (purple dash) on the paternal allele (blue) are shown.](image)

2. Structural and Regulation Aspects

2.1. Main Characteristics, Linear Organization

In 2019, Baral et al. unraveled the complexity of the genomic and transcriptional organization of the IGF2/Igf2 locus, by using human or mouse DNA segments as queries in genome analyses, and RNA sequencing libraries (complete review in [38]). IGF2 (ENSG00000167244) is composed of 10 exons and five promoters, whereas its mouse counterpart is located on chromosome 7, and is composed of eight exons and four promoters.

The five human IGF2 promoters control the expression of different non-coding exons, but all transcripts include exons 8–10, which encode the IGF-II protein precursor and the 3′ untranslated RNA. Human promoters 1 and 2 (P1 and P2) are species specific, and P2 regulates two classes of IGF2 transcripts differing due to alternative splicing of exon 5 (Figure 3).

The human-secreted IGF-II is composed of 67 amino acids organized into four domains, the B, C, A, and D domains (listed in order from the N- to the C-terminus) [39]. Two types of protein precursors with different presumptive N-terminal signal peptides (consisting of 24 or 80 amino acids) give rise to mature human IGF-II, depending on the inclusion or exclusion of exon 5 in the IGF2 mRNA. The E peptide of the IGF-II precursor (also named “big” IGF-II), encoded by the 3′-end mRNA, is 89 amino acids long (Figure 3). It has been involved in paraneoplastic pancreatic independent hypoglycemia [40,41].

The 11p15.5 locus also includes H19, which is separated from IGF2 by about 80 kb (Figure 2). In both humans and mice, IGF2 and H19 are imprinted in a reciprocal manner. Moore et al. detected three Igf2 antisense transcripts relative to P0 transcription, with no open reading frames, in mice [42]. The exact role of these transcripts is unclear, and it is unknown whether their co-expression with the sense transcript (which has yet to be demonstrated), within the same cell, would influence mRNA stability.
Figure 3. (A) Schematic representation of the structure of the IGF2 gene in humans. The IGF2 gene consists of 10 exons and is driven by five different promotors. The exons of the IGF2 gene are boxed. The black boxes indicate non-coding exons. The colored boxes indicate the coding exons. The turned arrows show the promotors (P) and indicate the transcription start sites. The blue lines indicate the differentially methylated regions (DMR) in the IGF2 gene. (B) Transcripts of the human IGF2 gene. IGF2 has six alternative transcripts, depending on promotors and splice sites used. (C) Human IGF-II proteins. IGF-II has two precursor proteins. Only exons 5, 8, 9 and 10 encode IGF-II proteins. Exon 5 is not included in the composition of the second precursor protein, which therefore has a smaller signal peptide. SP: signal peptide; AA: amino acids.

Different protein-binding sites are involved in regulating the expression of these two genes. The first evidence of differences in protein-binding sites and methylation status between the two alleles came from DNA hypersensitive site studies on the mouse Igf2/H19 locus [43]. These studies revealed clear differences in nuclease sensitivity between the parental chromosomes, with the presence of mutually exclusive hypersensitive sites (on the maternal chromosome) and DNA methylation sites (on the paternal chromosome).

The specific parent-of-origin pattern of expression of H19 and IGF2 at 11p15.5 is controlled by the allele-specific methylation status of H19/IGF2:IG-DMR. This locus is composed of seven CTCF-binding sites (CBS1-7) located in the A and B blocks of repeated domains (Figure 2). CTCF is a highly conserved zinc-finger DNA-binding protein with multiple roles in gene regulation [44]. The region orthologous to ICR1 in mouse contains only four CBS at the Igf2 locus. Several studies in humans have reported methylation at all CBS in H19/IGF2:IG-DMR on the paternal allele and established a correlation between the methylation of these CBS and IGF2 expression from the paternal allele [45]. Our team has also demonstrated homogeneous methylation levels for all CBS in humans [46]. In mice, CTCF binding to the unmethylated maternal ICR is essential for imprint maintenance in somatic cells, providing protection against aberrant de novo methylation at DMR throughout the locus. Furthermore, CTCF acts as an insulator, and CTCF binding creates a small loop of silent chromatin (CTCF binding to the maternal ICR regulates its interaction with matrix attachment region (MAR)3 and DMR1 at Igf2) (Figure 4), preventing enhancers
from gaining access to the IGF2 promoter [47]. H19/IGF2:IG-DMR methylation on the paternal allele abolishes CTCF binding and ICR-mediated insulation, resulting in functional communication between promoters and enhancers, and an activation of IGF2 expression (see below).

In addition to CBS, the human IGF2/H19 domain contains four binding sites for the pluripotency factors OCT4 and SOX2 [48]. There is evidence to suggest that the function of CTCF is modulated by the binding of OCT4/SOX2 to neighboring areas of DNA [49]. These factors play a role in maintaining or establishing the unmethylated status of the maternal allele. This hypothesis is strongly supported by in vitro experiments and by studies in transgenic mouse models showing that the OCT4/SOX2 binding sites in the maternal H19/IGF2:IG-DMR are essential for the full protection of DNA methylation during the establishment or maintenance phases [50,51]. Indeed, in humans, mutations of these OCT4/SOX2 binding sites in the maternal allele lead to hypermethylation of the CBS, followed by an increase in IGF2 expression leading to Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome [52]. Two other factors, ZFP57 and ZNF445, protect ICR from DNA demethylation after fertilization. ZNF445 seems to be sufficient on its own in humans, whereas ZFP57 and ZNF445 cooperate in rodents (Figure 2) [53,54]. The IGF2/H19 domain also contains several other DMR: three within the IGF2 gene (DMR0, DMR1 and DMR2) and an additional DMR located in the H19 promoter (H19DMR), all of which are secondary DMR (somatic DMR that acquire their parent-specific DNA methylation mark in somatic diploid cells) methylated on the paternal allele [55] (Figure 2).

2.2. Three-Dimensional Organization

The regulation of IGF2 expression must be considered in three dimensions, with CTCF playing a major role in this aspect. There is experimental evidence to suggest that CTCF confers allele-specific effects on transcription via long-range chromatin interactions. The generation of these data was made possible by the emergence of 3C technology [56]. These interactions are dependent on the parental origin of the chromatin.

Series of deletions at the H19/IGF2 locus have made it possible to demonstrate the presence of several enhancers, two of which are predominantly endodermal and located 10 kb from the start site of the H19 transcript. These two enhancers target the H19 and IGF2 promoters, allowing expression of the corresponding genes (see below and Figures 2 and 4) [57]. For the maternal allele, for which IGF2 expression is silent, 3C data are generally consistent with a model in which the CTCF-bound ICR contacts both the upstream DMR1 and a downstream matrix attachment region (MAR). Genetic studies have confirmed that CTCF binding to the ICR is required for both the formation of ICR-DMR1-MAR contacts and the prevention of maternal-specific enhancer-IGF2 promoter interactions. By contrast, on the paternal allele, which displays active IGF2 expression, all DMR sequences are methylated, preventing CTCF binding, and most of this region appears to be accessible, allowing more fluid contact with the enhancers [47,58].

Recent efforts to elucidate chromatin organization at the IGF2/H19 mouse locus, based on a combination of studies of allelic CTCF binding with both high-resolution and single-cell 3D chromatin organization assays, defined topologically associated domains (TAD) [59]. These studies determined the dynamic structure of the imprinted IGF2/H19 domain, and showed that CTCF binding occurred at multiple sites in both alleles, exclusively in ICR1 for the maternal. Furthermore, combinations of allelic 4C-seq and DNA-FISH revealed that CTCF binding to the paternal chromosome alone was correlated with a first level of sub-TAD structure. Additional CTCF binding to the differentially methylated region on the maternal chromosome adds a further layer of sub-TAD organization. This allele-specific sub-TAD organization may, thus, provide an instructive or permissive context for the correct activation of imprinted genes during development.
Recent efforts to elucidate chromatin organization at the Igf2/H19 mouse locus, based on a combination of studies of allelic CTCF binding with both high-resolution and single-cell 3D chromatin organization assays, defined topologically associated domains (TAD) on a combination of studies of allelic CTCF binding with both high-resolution and single-cell 3D chromatin organization assays, defined topologically associated domains (TAD). In humans, a specific parent-of-origin pattern of expression through TAD generation according to CTCF binding has been described, leading to IGF2 expression or silencing [60]. As in mice, the most important proteins for TAD architecture are CTCF (which bind to the ICR) (Figure 4). Moreover, crosstalk between IGF2/H19 and the CDKN1C/HCNQ1OT1 domain (another imprinted domain located in the same chromosome region) has been detected on the basis of a higher order of chromatin folding, suggesting the involvement of a mechanism for coordinating the expression of genes with the same expression status: IGF2 and KCNQ1OT1 on the paternal allele and H19 and CDKN1C on the maternal allele [60].

2.3. Trans-Regulation Mechanisms

In addition to being regulated by H19/IGF2:IG-DMR methylation and the three-dimensional organization of chromatin, IGF2 can be directly regulated through the activation of its promoters by several transcription factors, including those of the oncogenic HMGA2-PLAG1 pathway [61]. PLAG1 (pleiomorphic adenoma gene 1) overexpression was first observed in pleiomorphic adenomas of the salivary glands, identifying PLAG1 as an oncogene [62]. PLAG1 is a nuclear factor with seven zinc-finger domains that can bind IGF2 promoter P3, upregulating its transcriptional activity [62]. This finding has been confirmed in various other tumors, including hepatoblastomas, lipoblastomas and leukemia (review in [63]). Interestingly, Plag1 inactivation in mouse models results in pre- and postnatal growth retardation, despite an absence of change in Igf2 expression in embryos and pups [64].

HMGA2 (high mobility group AT-hook 2), initially named HMG1-C, is a member of the high-mobility group of proteins. Its expression is usually barely detectable in normal adult cells, but increases in cells transformed with viral oncogenes and in malignant tissues [65]. Mouse models of Hmga2 inactivation have a pygmy phenotype, with prenatal and postnatal growth restriction and craniofacial abnormalities (a shortened head) [66]. The expression levels of HMGA2 and PLAG1 are highly correlated in thyroid tumors, and HMGA2 overexpression in cellular models is associated with an increase in PLAG1 expression [67].
The role of this oncogenic pathway in the control of IGF2 expression was highlighted in 2017, with the identification of additional mutations of HMGA2 and the first mutations of PLAG1 in patients referred for Silver–Russell syndrome (in addition to original mutations of IGF2). One of these PLAG1 mutations led to a downregulation of IGF2 expression in fibroblasts through a specific change in P3 promoter activity. Finally, the overexpression of HMGA2 and PLAG1 or their silencing in transfection assays result in a gain in expression or the downregulation of IGF2 expression, respectively [61].

DIS3L2 (DIS3-like 3′-5′ exoribonuclease 2) encodes a protein involved in the processing of mRNA and small non-coding RNAs. Homozygous loss-of-function variants of DIS3L2 lead to a rare condition called Perlman syndrome. This syndrome is characterized by excessive fetal growth and an increase in the risk of Wilms’ tumor [68]. In a mouse model, Dis3l2 invalidation was associated with an overexpression of Igf2 in nephron progenitor cells that was not associated with a loss of imprinting, as Igf2 still displayed monoallelic expression. The mechanism of Igf2 overexpression in this model remains to be determined [69].

Network of imprinted genes: In recent years, several studies in humans or animal models have shown that abnormalities at a given imprinted locus can impact at the expression of genes not only at the locus concerned, but also at other imprinted or non-imprinted loci [70–73]. This finding raised the possibility of an imprinted gene network, within which, imprinted genes are co-regulated. This pattern of regulation may partly account for the clinical overlap between imprinting disorders due to (epi)genetic defects at different imprinted loci [74]. For example, a strong clinical overlap between Silver–Russell syndrome (SRS, OMIM #180860) and Temple syndrome (TS14, OMIM #616222) has been described, despite the existence of several syndrome-specific traits, including pre- and postnatal growth restriction, relative macrocephaly, feeding difficulties and a protruding forehead [75]. IGF2 downregulation is thought to be the molecular mechanism underlying the SRS phenotype, with about 40% of SRS patients presenting hypomethylation at the H19/IGF2:IG-DMR [76]. TS14 is mostly due to abnormalities of the imprinted 14q32.2 locus. This locus contains non-coding RNA sequences that are expressed from the maternal allele only (including the two long non-coding RNA, MEG3 and MEG8). In cases of maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 14 or hypomethylation at the MEG3/DLK1:IG-DMR, MEG3 and MEG8 are expressed from both the paternal and maternal alleles, leading to an increase in the level of expression of these two genes. Abnormally low levels of IGF2 expression have been reported in the fibroblasts of TS14 patients, despite normal H19/IGF2:IG-DMR methylation. Furthermore, in control fibroblasts, the overexpression of MEG3 and MEG8 leads to a downregulation of IGF2. Conversely, the silencing of MEG3 and/or MEG8 in control fibroblasts leads to an upregulation of IGF2 expression. Thus, MEG3 and MEG8, which are expressed from the maternal 14q32.2 locus, regulates IGF2 expression at 11p15.5, providing support for the hypothesis of an imprinted gene network [77].

3. Physiological Roles

3.1. IGF-II: A Key Factor in Development

IGF-II is a growth factor with a structural and regulatory complexity associated with pleiotropic tissue-specific and developmental-stage-dependent action (Figure 5). Paternally expressed imprinted genes are usually associated with a pro-proliferative role during development. This is particularly true for the IGF2 gene, which encodes a key factor for feto-placental growth [78]. Indeed, IGF-II is highly mitogenic, and together with IGF-I, it promotes the proliferation of various types of cells during the fetal period, thereby playing a major role in organ growth and development.

In mice, the Igf2 gene plays a crucial role during the embryonic period. The P0 promoter operates specifically in the placenta, leading to extremely high levels of Igf2 expression in placental tissues during gestation. The complete inactivation of Igf2 (Igf2 null (mat/−pat)), and specific inactivation of the placental transcript Igf2-P0 (Igf2 P0 (mat/−pat)) have been studied experimentally in mice. Igf2-null mice display intrauterine growth restriction and placental hypoplasia. Furthermore, the specific inactivation of Igf2-P0 leads to intrauterine
growth restriction through placental restriction [36,79]. These mouse models also present changes to feto-maternal exchanges, including, in particular, the supply of maternal nutrients to the fetus [1,80]. These alterations can be explained by the role of the Igf2/Igf2R axis in placental vascularization and the adaptation of the placenta to fetal needs [81].

![Diagram summarizing the physiological roles of IGF-II described in humans and mouse models.](image)

However, there are important differences between humans and mice, particularly in the placenta. For example, the human placenta is monochorial, with interanvil spaces, whereas the mouse placenta is trichorial and has a labyrinth region. Moreover, the human placenta is associated with some extremely specific molecular patterns, such as the expression of certain genes (miR-194, C19orf53, SIGLEC6, estrogens, glycodelin A, chorionic gonadotropins, etc.) [82,83]. Furthermore, in humans, IGF2-P0 is not specific to the placenta and is expressed in other tissues, including skeletal muscle. There is no clear evidence to suggest that the mouse model is strictly comparable to humans, so it remains unclear whether the same placental dysfunctions occur in mice and humans [55,84]. Data obtained from human placenta explants and the human BeWo cell (a choriocarcinoma cell line) model suggest that abnormalities in the functioning of the IGF system, including IGF2R impairment, in particular, would lead to an imbalance between proliferation and apoptosis in trophoblasts [85]. In addition, patients with SRS (i.e., with low levels of IGF expression, see below) display hypoplasia of the placenta and chorionic villi. Moreover, this hypoplasia is commonly associated with oligohydramnios, consistent with placental dysfunction in this syndrome [84]. By contrast, a study on patients with Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome (BWS, #130650, see below) with various molecular etiologies showed that most individuals with BWS, which is caused by IGF2 overexpression, displayed placentomegaly [86,87]. These studies clearly show that deregulations of the IGF2 and IGF system can cause changes to placental structure and function in humans [85]. IGF2 is also highly expressed in fetal tissues, under the control of various promoters, depending on the tissue concerned, and is involved in the maturation and development of mesoderm-derived tissues, in particular [88–91].

In mice, Igf2 expression decreases rapidly in all tissues after birth, potentially accounting for the intrauterine growth phenotype but minimal effects on postnatal growth in Igf2-null mice [36,92]. However, Igf2 expression is maintained in the brain, particularly in
the hippocampus, where it plays a role in memory processes, learning and brain plasticity [18,93,94]. This postnatal expression of Igf2 is also involved in homeostasis of stem cells niches in brain and intestine [95].

In humans, serum IGF-II concentration remains high (400–1000 ng/mL) during the postnatal period, despite the significant decrease in IGF2 expression observed in tissues. The exact physiological role of this circulating IGF-II and the absence of interference with the GH-IGF-I regulation, despite the fact that IGF-I and IGF-II have fairly similar affinities for IGFR1 remains to be elucidated.

The IGF-II in serum is produced by the liver under the control of the P1 promoter and released into the bloodstream. The difference in postnatal IGF2 expression between humans and mice is thought to be due to the presence of the IGF2 P1 promoter in humans, and its absence in mice [96]. During the postnatal period, the P3 and P4 promoters are responsible for IGF2 expression in most tissues [34,89,96]. Interestingly, the P1 promoter is not imprinted (IGF2-P1 expression is, therefore, biallelic) and has been reported to be liver-specific, although doubts have been raised about this specificity following the demonstration that cells in other tissues, such as chondrocytes, express the IGF2-P1 transcript [34,96–98]. IGF2 displays monoallelic expression in the fetal liver, and is dependent mainly on the P3 and P4 imprinted promoters.

3.2. Some Roles of IGF-II in Tissues

The other roles of IGF-II in tissues, apart from those cell proliferation and organ growth, remain unclear. Many studies have sought to elucidate the role of IGF-II in the development, maintenance and function of various tissues. Loss- or gain-of-function models (mice or cellular models) have been developed to shed light on the mechanism of action of IGF-II.

Several studies in mice have shown that Igf-II is involved in endochondral ossification within the growth plate, which governs bone growth. Autocrine Igf-II in the growth plate activates the PI3K/Akt and TGF-β signaling pathways, leading to the expression of proliferative factors that stimulate chondrocyte proliferation, pro-osteogenic factors, such as BMP-9 and alkaline phosphatase, which mediate ossification, and constituents of cartilage, such as proteoglycans. Igf-II has also been implicated in partial regulation of the development and organization of the growth plate, through the regulation of glucose metabolism [99–101].

Other studies have revealed the role of IGF-II in angiogenesis. Igf-II promotes the mesodermal, and then endothelial differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. In HUVEC cells, IGF-II activates sprouting, leading to the activation of endothelial cells and vasodilation. IGF-II and IGF-1R are essential for the maintenance of tip cells, a particular type of endothelial cell responsible for guiding de novo angiogenesis. The binding of IGF-II to IGFR1-R activates the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, switching on the cell migration programs necessary for angiogenesis. IGF-binding proteins, such as IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-4, modulate the bioavailability of IGF-II, which regulates the effect of IGF-II on sprouting angiogenesis. IGF-II is also involved in angiogenesis through its role in maintaining hypoxia-induced factor α (HIF-α) levels, leading to expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene [102–104].

In human adipose tissue, IGF-II induces the differentiation of subcutaneous preadipocytes and inhibits the differentiation of visceral preadipocytes. IGF-II also down-regulates the insulin receptor IR-A and expression of the glucose transporter GLUT4 in visceral adipocytes, thereby preventing the development of adiposity in visceral compartments [105].

In mouse fetal liver, Igf-II regulates glycogen production. Igf-II binds type A INSR, which activates the PI3K/Akt pathway, leading to the phosphorylation of glycogen synthase, which catalyzes the production of glycogen in fetal liver [106]. Igf-II is also involved in hepatocyte proliferation in mice [107].
Some studies on mouse models have postulated a role for Igf-II in the regulation of pancreatic size and function. Indeed, Igf-II synthesized in the pancreatic mesenchyme exerts a paracrine effect on the proliferation of pancreatic β-cells and, thus, on the size and function of the exocrine pancreas during the pre- and postnatal periods. By contrast, the autocrine Igf-II produced by pancreatic β-cells plays a role in adaptation to energy demands in pregnant mice [108–110].

One of the best-known and most-studied roles of IGF-II is that in myogenesis. IGF-II plays a direct role in the differentiation of mesoderm into myoblasts by upregulating the expression of MyoD, a key factor determining musculoskeletal fate. It also acts on striated skeletal muscle homeostasis and, thus, on the maturation, maintenance and healing of such muscles. In skeletal muscle, IGF2 transcription depends on the P3 promoter and the mTOR protein. IGF-II acts by binding to the IGF-1R, thereby triggering the PI3K/Akt signaling cascade required for the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into musculoskeletal cells [111–113]. Several microRNAs, such as miR-223 and miR-125b, play a role in skeletal muscle homeostasis by modifying IGF2 expression and, thus, its action on myoblast proliferation and differentiation [114,115].

The role of IGF-II in the brain remains to be clearly defined in humans, but studies on rodent models have shown that Igf-II makes a major contribution to the correct functioning of the brain. Igf-II is the most abundant Igf in the adult rodent central nervous system, with particularly high levels in the hippocampus. Moreover, Igf-2R is expressed in almost all regions of the brain, including the hippocampus, olfactory bulb, dentate gyrus, choroid plexus, and the cerebral vascular system. Thus, by regulating Igf-II bioavailability, Igf-2R controls not only neuronal growth and differentiation, but also the mechanisms of neuronal regeneration. Moreover, Igf-I and Igf-II control neuronal survival by inhibiting apoptosis [116]. Studies in mouse models have also revealed a role for Igf-II in the maintenance of adult neural stem-cell niches. Thus, Igf2 deletion results in the differentiation of neural stem cells into neurons, leading to hyposmia, due to an increase in the number of neurons in the olfactory bulb, together with cognitive deficits and increased anxiety [95].

Studies in rats have shown that Igf-II is involved in hippocampus-dependent learning mechanisms. Indeed, Igf-II plays an important role in the consolidation of memory, the retention of information and the prevention of forgetfulness phenomena. These effects are mediated by the Igf2 receptor and lead an increase in the expression of the AMPA receptor subunit GluA1 (ionotropic receptor for glutamate heavily involved in synaptic plasticity) at the synapses, and to activation of the glycogen synthase kinase 3-β enzyme [17,18]. These phenomena participate in the long-term potentiation (LTP) responsible for memory consolidation.

Conversely, several studies in mice have suggested that the deregulation of Igf2 expression may contribute to certain mental illnesses, leading to the hypothesis of a link between decreases in hippocampal Igf-II levels and increases in anxious and depressive behaviors [117,118]. In humans (post-mortem analysis), IGF2 downregulation in the prefrontal cortex is associated with schizophrenic disorders [119–121]. Studies on mice and cell models derived from mice have shown that the misregulation of Igf2 is associated with autistic behavior and neurodegenerative diseases, such as Huntington’s disease and Charcot’s disease. In these two neurodegenerative diseases, Igf2 stimulation has a positive effect by preventing the degeneration of motor neurons and promoting their regeneration [122–124]. In autistic disorders, Igf2 stimulation leads to a reversal of the clinical signs of autism (restoration of social behavior, abolition of repetitive behaviors, etc.) [125]. Few cognitive studies have been performed in SRS patients with low levels of IGF2 expression, and one study showed that SRS patients did not actually present cognitive deficits relative to a control population, but that they had a smaller frontal and parietal lobe volume in the brain [126].
4. Pathological Aspects

4.1. Silver–Russell Syndrome (SRS)

SRS is a well-recognized imprinting disorder including prenatal and postnatal growth retardation. Clinical diagnosis is currently based on a combination of the characteristic features evaluated with a clinical scoring system (Netchine–Harbison Clinical Scoring System, NHCSS) [127]. Relative macrocephaly at birth is a key criterion for diagnosis and exposes the patient to a high risk of hypoglycemia, which should be carefully monitored. The first international consensus conference on SRS was held in 2015 [76]. A molecular abnormality can be identified in about 60% of patients with a positive clinical diagnosis of SRS (NHCSS > 3). The main molecular causes are low levels of IGF2 expression, due to a loss of methylation of the distal imprinting control region (H19/IGF2:IG-DMR) on 11p15.5 (50%), other rare 11p15.5-related molecular defects, such as IGF2 point mutations affecting the paternal allele, mutations or deletions of HMG A2 and PLAG1, or gain-of-function mutations of CDKN1C [61,128–132]. However, after birth, serum IGF-II levels are within the normal range. Indeed, the IGF-II in the serum, which is principally of hepatic origin, results from biallelic IGF2 expression regulated by the P1 promoter. However, as pointed out above, IGF2 remains imprinted and its expression in other tissues is monoallelic and regulated by the P3 and P4 promoters [130].

SRS patients require multidisciplinary care, as they have many different health issues, including growth failure, severe feeding difficulties in early childhood, gastrointestinal problems, hypoglycemia, puberty and reproductive disturbances, motor and speech delay, sleep apnea and psychosocial challenges [76]. They have also been reported to experience metabolic disturbances in early adulthood, illustrating Barker’s developmental origin of health and diseases theory, according to which, fetal growth retardation triggers long-term health issues [133–135], and suggesting that low levels of IGF2 expression during fetal development may have long-term consequences for key physiological processes.

4.2. Temple Syndrome (TS14)

The phenotypes of TS14 and SRS overlap [75,136,137]. TS14 is characterized by pre- and postnatal growth failure, albeit not as severe as in SRS. Fetal growth restriction may be present in up to 75% of cases, a frequency similar to that in SRS. About 50% of TS14 patients have relative macrocephaly at birth. Severe neonatal-onset hypotonia is a prominent feature. Early obesity and precocious puberty onset are typical (86%), often requiring treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs [75]. The molecular abnormalities underlying TS14 include hypomethylation of the MEG3/DLK1:IG-DMR in the human 14q32.2 imprinted region. As pointed out above, the downregulation of IGF2 expression in the fibroblasts of TS14 patients may account for the clinical overlap between TS14 and SRS [77].

4.3. Wilms’ Tumors and Beckwith–Wiedemann Syndrome

It has been known for decades that IGF2 is overexpressed in Wilms’ tumors relative to normal postnatal kidney [138]. A loss of heterozygosity (i.e., loss of the maternal allele) or loss of imprinting at the H19/IGF2:IG-DMR (i.e., biallelic expression of IGF2) in Wilms’ tumors was subsequently demonstrated by several teams [139,140]. Finally, in 1995, Taniguchi et al. showed that the loss of IGF2 imprinting in Wilms’ tumors was associated with hypermethylation at the H19/IGF2:IG-DMR, as reported in patients with BWS [141].

Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome is an overgrowth syndrome. The patients often present with macroGLOSSIA, Excellence wall defects, hemihyperplasia, enlarged abdominal organs, and a high risk of embryonal tumors (especially Wilms’ tumors) during early childhood. BWS is mostly due to genetic or epigenetic defects in the 11p15.5 region. The first consensus statement on BWS was released in 2016 [142]. Various molecular defects were identified, including mosaic segmental paternal uniparental isodisomy of 11p15.5 (commonly referred to as segmental upd(11)pat), which can be detected in 20% of patients, and gain of methylation (GOM) at the maternal H19/IGF2:IG-DMR allele, which is present in 5–10% of cases. Both lead to IGF2 overexpression during fetal life. In addition to molecular
abnormalities of the IGF2 locus, abnormalities of the CDKN1C locus (i.e., hypomethylation of the KCNQ1OT1:TSS-DMR or maternal loss-of-function mutations of the CDKN1C gene) account for about 75% of the molecular defects. Interestingly, tumor risk is highly correlated with the involvement of the H19/IGF2 locus, as patients with H19/IGF2:IG-DMR GOM or with upd(11)pat have tumor risks of 28% and 16%, respectively, whereas patients with KCNQ1OT1:TSS-DMR LOM have a much lower prevalence of tumors, at 2.6% [143]. IGF2 overexpression, as observed in BWS, is thus responsible for macrosomia, organomegaly and an increase in the risk of embryonal tumors.

5. Conclusions

IGF2 belongs to an imprinted gene network. Its expression is regulated by several upstream factors, and it regulates numerous downstream effectors. The application of new high-throughput technologies (i.e., next-generation sequencing, evaluations of DNA methylation and RNA sequencing) to imprinted disorders in mice or induced pluripotent stem cells and promising dental pluripotent stem cells models should make it possible to decipher more precisely the upstream and downstream actors involved in multiple tissue-specific functions of IGF-II [144,145]. The potential breakthroughs associated with such modeling of IGF2-linked diseases open up new challenges and expand this field of research still further.
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Nomenclature

IGF-II (IGF-I, IGF-1R, etc.) is used for the protein in humans, and Igf-II (Igf-I, Igf1r, etc.) is used in other species. IGF2 (IGF1, IGF1R, etc.) is used for the gene in humans, and Igf2 (Igf1, Igf1r, etc.) is used in other species.
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