The Murakami Cohort Study of vitamin D for the prevention of musculoskeletal and other age-related diseases: a study protocol
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Abstract

Background: Age-related musculoskeletal diseases are becoming increasingly burdensome in terms of both individual quality of life and medical cost. We intended to establish a large population-based cohort study to determine environmental, lifestyle, and genetic risk factors of musculoskeletal and other age-related diseases, and to clarify the association between vitamin D status and such diseases.

Methods: We targeted 34,802 residents aged 40–74 years living in areas of northern Niigata Prefecture, including Sekikawa Village, Awashimaura Village, and Murakami City (Murakami region). The baseline questionnaire survey, conducted between 2011 and 2013, queried respondents on their lifestyle and environmental factors (predictors), and self-reported outcomes. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentration, an indicator of vitamin D status, was determined with the Liaison® 25OH Vitamin D Total Assay. The primary outcome of this study was osteoporotic fracture; other outcomes included age-related diseases including knee osteoarthritis, perception of chronic pain, dementia, and long-term care insurance use. Mean ages of men and women were 59.2 (SD = 9.3, N = 6907) and 59.0 (SD = 9.3, N = 7457) years, respectively. From the blood samples provided by 3710 men and 4787 women, mean 25(OH)D concentrations were 56.5 (SD = 18.4) nmol/L (22.6 ng/mL) and 45.4 (SD = 16.5) nmol/L (18.2 ng/mL), respectively.

Discussion: Follow-up surveys are planned every 5 years for 15 years, and incident cases of our targeted diseases will be followed at hospitals and clinics in and nearby the cohort area. We anticipate that we will be able to clarify the association between vitamin D status and multiple disease outcomes in a Japanese population.
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Background

The average life expectancy in Japan is one of the highest in the world. This is attributed in part to successful prevention and treatment of fatal diseases, such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, and cerebrovascular disease. Consequently, ageing is accelerating. In 2016, 27.3% of the population comprised elderly persons over 65 years, and that in 2055 is projected to be as high as 38.0% [1]. In such an ageing society, common age-related diseases, such as musculoskeletal diseases, become highly burdensome in terms of both individual quality of life and medical cost. For example, osteoporotic fracture and knee osteoarthritis impair physical function, leading to decreased levels of activities of daily living (ADL), and eventually to higher numbers of elderly individuals with physical disabilities requiring care. The National Livelihood Survey of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan [1] reported that fractures and knee osteoarthritis account for 10.2 and 10.9%, respectively, of all cases of disability requiring care, and the sum of these (21.1%) is comparable to the percentage of individuals with cerebrovascular diseases (21.5%) and dementia (15.3%). Furthermore, musculoskeletal disorders are a
main cause of chronic pain, which is also becoming a major public health concern [2].

The economic burden on medical insurance companies from musculoskeletal and other age-related diseases causing disability has been enormous. For example, the annual cost of treatment and after-care for hip and vertebral fractures in Japan has been estimated as 750 and 150 billion yen (nearly equivalent to 7.5 and 1.5 billion dollars), respectively [3]. Rapid increases in the economic burden on long-term care insurances are also problematic in Japan. The cost of the long-term care insurance in 2000 was 3.6 trillion yen, but had over doubled this amount, to 9.8 trillion yen in 2015 [1]. Accordingly, prevention of age-related musculoskeletal diseases is a top priority at present and in the near future.

With regard to age-related diseases, vitamin D has received increasingly more attention, as its insufficiency is considered a potential risk factor for age-related bone diseases [4] and other age-related chronic diseases, such as some cancers, vascular diseases, diabetes, and dementia [5, 6]. Vitamin D status is also of great interest because vitamin D insufficiency is widespread worldwide [7]. Although a number of cohort studies on vitamin D status and chronic diseases have been conducted in European and North American countries, large cohort studies that target East Asian populations are lacking [8].

Over the last several years, we have conducted medium-scale epidemiologic studies on vitamin D status, osteoporosis, and osteoporotic fractures in Japanese populations and reported that higher vitamin D concentrations are associated with higher bone mass and a lower incidence of osteoporotic fractures [8, 9]. Japanese people are an interesting population in terms of vitamin D nutrition because they frequently eat fish, a major source of vitamin D, and consume much more fish than populations in Europe and North America [10]. This implies that some lifestyles, including dietary habits, could impact vitamin D status and bone health. Comprehensive approaches, including genetic information, should be used to determine risk factors for osteoporotic fractures.

Against this backdrop, the present study aimed to establish a large population-based cohort study to determine (1) environmental, lifestyle, and genetic risk factors of osteoporotic fractures, and their interactions; (2) factors related to other age-related diseases, including knee osteoarthritis, chronic pain, dementia, disability, and some cancers; and (3) the impact of vitamin D status on the incidence of these diseases or disorders.

**Methods/design**

**Participants**

The Murakami Cohort Study is a population-based study of age-related musculoskeletal diseases that targeted individuals aged between 40 and 74 years living in areas of northern Niigata Prefecture under the jurisdiction of the Murakami Public Health Centre (Murakami region). These areas include Sekikawa Village (3065 residents on April 1, 2011), Awashimaura Village (178 residents on January 1, 2011), and Murakami City (31,559 residents on January 1, 2012) (Fig. 1). All 34,802 residents in the Murakami region aged between 40 and 74 years were invited to participate in the study. Of these, 14,364 (41.3%)
participated in the cohort study, and 8497 of the 14,364 participants provided blood samples. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Niigata University School of Medicine (No. 1324 for study design and 452 and 481 for genetic analysis).

**Baseline study**

In the baseline survey, a self-administered questionnaire was distributed through a community-based communication network to Sekikawa residents and Awashimaura residents in early 2011 and to Murakami City residents in late 2011 and early 2012. Questionnaires were completed by those who agreed to participate in the study and collected through the network. A supplemental mail survey was used for communities where such a network is not available. At the time of the baseline survey, participants were asked to provide blood samples for future biochemical and genetic analyses. Blood sampling was performed only among those who provided consent between 2011 and early 2013 at one of the following settings: annual health check examinations provided by the local government, workplace health check examinations, local hospitals, clinics, and health care facilities of internal medicine, and an additional setting provided by our team. Blood samples were collected directly by our team, except at local hospitals, clinics, and health care facilities, where quality control of samples was ensured by respective clinical laboratories and samples were collected through BML, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Additional participants were recruited from annual health check examinations and workplace health check examinations between 2012 and 2013. In a subsample, clinical examination data of participants were collected from annual health check examinations provided by the local government or workplace health check examinations.

**Follow-up plans**

Follow-up questionnaire surveys are planned every 5 years for 15 years, with the aim to reevaluate exposures, such as lifestyles, and obtain self-reported outcomes, such as chronic pain.

Most outcome measures, e.g., incident cases of target diseases, are thoroughly followed every year for 20 years at relevant hospitals and clinics in the Murakami region and secondary or tertiary medical centres around the Murakami region (Niigata Prefectural Shibata Hospital and Tsuruoka Municipal Shonai Hospital). Incident cases of osteoporotic fractures and osteoarthritis are followed at hospitals and clinics involved in orthopaedics, including Murakami General Hospital, Sakamachi Hospital, Sanpoku Tokushukai Hospital, Sasaki Orthopaedic Clinic, Takahashi Orthopaedic Clinic, and Arakawa Chuo Clinic in Murakami City, Nakajo Chuo Hospital in Tainai City, Niigata Prefectural Shibata Hospital in Shibata City, and Tsuruoka Municipal Shonai Hospital in Tsuruoka City. Certification of long-term care insurance is investigated by the local governments. Dementia cases are tracked in the abovementioned hospitals and three additional medical institutions involved in neurology, including Murakami Hamanasu Hospital, Sano Clinic in Murakami City and Kurokawa Hospital in Tainai City. Information regarding disabilities is obtained from the long-term care insurance registry of local governments. Incident cases of cancers are followed with the cancer registry of Niigata Prefecture. Mortality and moving information are obtained from residency registration and death registration according to the Basic Residential Registry Law and Family Registry Law to calculate person-years of observation, because cases of death and moving out are censored.

**Self-administered questionnaire**

The questionnaire was designed according to that used in the Japan Public Health Centre-based Prospective Study [11] (JPHC Study) and JPHC Study for the Next Generation (JPHC-NEXT Study) [12]. The questionnaire collected sociodemographic characteristics, family information, medical histories, body size, lifestyle, dietary intake [13]; physical and mental health (including chronic pain [14, 15]); and reproductive history (for women only). Details are provided in Table 1. Data management procedures were carried out based on the JPHC Studies [11, 12].

We verified self-reported height and body weight using anthropometric data from health check examinations. Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation coefficients between self-reported and measured data were 0.9160 and 0.9743 for male height, 0.9249 and 0.9753 for female height, 0.9490 and 0.9720 for male body weight, and 0.8737 and 0.9729 for female body weight, respectively (male N = 1752, female N = 2259, P < 0.0001 for all coefficients).

**Baseline blood collection and examination**

Fasting or non-fasting blood specimens were drawn with EDTA-2Na-containing tubes (7 mL) during the day and immediately stored at 4 °C in all settings. Their plasma and buffy coat were obtained by centrifugation at 1613 × g for 10 min in the laboratory of Niigata University Division of Preventive Medicine and stored at −80 °C until biochemical analysis. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) concentrations were determined with the Liaison® 25OH Vitamin D Total Assay (DiaSorin Inc.; Stillwater, MN, USA). Intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variation (CV) values were 3.2–8.1% and 6.9–12.7%, respectively.
For laboratory analyses at health check examinations, routine blood tests were analysed at two certified clinical laboratories of the Shibata Comprehensive Health Care Service Centre (Niigata, Japan) and BML, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Routine standardisation and calibration tests were conducted at these facilities.

**Main outcome measures**

The primary outcome of this study was osteoporotic fracture. The secondary outcomes were age-related diseases, including knee osteoarthritis, perception of chronic pain, dementia, disability (long-term care insurance use), and some cancers. Osteoporotic fracture was defined as a fracture caused by minimal trauma due to reduced bone strength [16] and typically included vertebral compression fracture, hip fracture, and other long-bone fractures. Knee osteoarthritis was diagnosed with the Kellgren-Lawrence grade scale. Chronic pain is defined as pain lasting more than 6 months [17], and its presence was determined with the self-administered questionnaire. Other outcomes included some cancers potentially involved in vitamin D status, such as colorectal and breast cancers.

**Future statistical plans**

Cox’s proportional hazards model will be used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) of most outcome variables in relation to levels of exposure. Regarding self-reported outcomes, including chronic pain, a logistic regression model will be used to calculate odds ratios (ORs). In the multivariate model, HRs and ORs will be adjusted for potential confounders.

**Sample size**

Validity of the sample size of this study should be discussed. We predict that we will observe 193,914 person-years (14,364 participants × 90% × 15 years) in the 15-year follow-up. Regarding hip fracture, one of our major outcomes, 211 new cases will be observed during the 15-year follow-up (with a 90% follow-up rate), according to data from Fujiwara et al.’s 14-year cohort study on hip fracture [18]. With these constraints, we could detect relative risks of 1.7 for the highest quartile to the lowest quartile of a predictor, given an average estimated incidence of 0.016 (211/[14,364 × 90%]), 80% statistical power, and \( \alpha = 0.05 \). When limited to participants who provide blood samples (\( N = 8497 \)), we could detect relative risks of 2.0 for the highest quartile of plasma 25(OH)D, applying the same assumption. Because the incidences of the other outcomes in this study are higher than that of hip fracture, we could determine their risk factors with more statistical power.

**Participant characteristics**

Mean ages of men and women were 59.2 (SD = 9.3, \( N = 6907 \)) and 59.0 years (SD = 9.3, \( N = 7457 \)), respectively. Demographic, physical, and lifestyle characteristics among the 14,364 participants are displayed by sex and blood sample status in Table 2. Past or current histories of self-reported musculoskeletal events or disorders are shown in Table 3. In women, prevalence of all histories

---

**Table 1** Items of the self-administered questionnaire at baseline

| Measures                        | Items                                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Sociodemographics               | Age                                        |
|                                 | Sex                                        |
|                                 | Marital status                             |
|                                 | Occupation                                 |
|                                 | Education                                  |
|                                 | Household income                           |
| Family information              | Family structure                           |
|                                 | Family disease histories                    |
| Medical history                 | Fractures                                  |
|                                 | Knee osteoarthritis                         |
|                                 | Cancer                                     |
|                                 | Cardiovascular disease                     |
|                                 | Cerebrovascular disease                    |
|                                 | Other chronic diseases                      |
|                                 | Histories of medication use                 |
| Body size                       | Current weight                             |
|                                 | Weight in the previous year                |
|                                 | Weight at 20 years of age                  |
|                                 | Birth weight                               |
|                                 | Height                                     |
|                                 | Waist circumference                        |
| Lifestyle                       | Smoking status                             |
|                                 | Alcohol consumption                        |
|                                 | Tea and coffee consumption                  |
|                                 | Physical activity                          |
|                                 | Time spent outdoors                        |
|                                 | Dietary habits                             |
|                                 | Dietary and nutritional intake (intakes of energy, 53 nutrients, and 29 food groups) using a validated food frequency questionnaire [13] |
|                                 | Sleep                                      |
| Physical and mental health      | Activities of daily living                 |
|                                 | Chronic pain*                              |
|                                 | General health status                      |
|                                 | Quality of life                            |
| Reproductive history (for women only) | Menstrual history                         |
|                                 | Pregnancy and birth histories              |
|                                 | Breastfeeding history                      |
|                                 | History of hormone use                     |
|                                 | History of toxaemia in pregnancy           |

*Pain was evaluated using the Short Form 36 verbal rating scale [14, 15]
Table 2  Characteristics (numbers) of 14,364 participants by sex and blood sample status

| Characteristics                        | Sex                          | Blood samples          |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                        | Men (N = 6907) | Women (N = 7457)       | Present (N = 8497) | Absent (N = 5867) |
| Age group (years)                      |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| ≤ 49                                   | 1277 (18.5%)              | 1429 (19.2%)          | 1483 (17.5%)      | 1213 (20.7%)      |
| 50–59                                  | 1938 (28.1%)              | 2161 (29.0%)          | 2333 (27.5%)      | 1746 (29.8%)      |
| 60–69                                  | 2596 (37.6%)              | 2759 (37.0%)          | 3399 (40.0%)      | 1952 (33.3%)      |
| ≥ 70                                   | 1096 (15.9%)              | 1108 (14.9%)          | 1282 (15.1%)      | 956 (16.3%)       |
| Education level                        |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| Junior high school                     | 1889 (28.2%)              | 2368 (32.6%)          | 2330 (28.0%)      | 1927 (34.2%)      |
| High school                            | 3597 (53.6%)              | 3360 (46.3%)          | 4258 (51.1%)      | 2699 (47.9%)      |
| Junior college                         | 614 (9.2%)                 | 1338 (18.4%)          | 1263 (15.2%)      | 689 (12.2%)       |
| University or higher                    | 607 (9.0%)                 | 194 (2.7%)            | 482 (5.8%)        | 319 (5.7%)        |
| Household income (yen)                 |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| 0–2,990,000                            | 2199 (33.6%)              | 2687 (41.2%)          | 1967 (38.3%)      | 2919 (36.8%)      |
| 3,000,000–5,990,000                    | 2786 (42.6%)              | 2409 (36.9%)          | 1956 (38.1%)      | 3239 (40.8%)      |
| 6,000,000–8,990,000                    | 1056 (16.1%)              | 944 (14.5%)           | 795 (15.5%)       | 1205 (15.2%)      |
| 9,000,000–11,990,000                   | 305 (4.7%)                | 295 (4.5%)            | 253 (4.9%)        | 347 (4.4%)        |
| ≥ 12,000,000                           | 190 (2.9%)                | 195 (3.0%)            | 160 (3.1%)        | 225 (2.8%)        |
| Activities of daily living             |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| No disability                          | 6369 (93.5%)              | 6798 (92.3%)          | 7968 (94.1%)      | 5199 (91.1%)      |
| Some disability, but able to go out    | 399 (5.9%)                 | 520 (7.1%)            | 481 (5.7%)        | 438 (7.7%)        |
| Living by oneself indoors, but need    | 25 (0.4%)                 | 26 (0.4%)             | 11 (0.1%)         | 40 (0.7%)         |
| Need help indoors                      | 4 (0.1%)                  | 2 (0.0%)              | 0 (0.0%)          | 6 (0.1%)          |
| Bedridden                              | 14 (0.2%)                 | 19 (0.3%)             | 6 (0.1%)          | 27 (0.5%)         |
| Body mass index                        |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| < 18.5                                 | 206 (3.0%)                | 492 (6.6%)            | 400 (4.7%)        | 298 (5.2%)        |
| 18.5–24.9                              | 4614 (67.2%)              | 5313 (71.7%)          | 6040 (71.2%)      | 3887 (67.2%)      |
| 25.0–29.9                              | 1810 (26.4%)              | 1341 (18.1%)          | 1791 (21.1%)      | 1360 (23.5%)      |
| ≥ 30.0                                 | 233 (3.4%)                | 261 (3.5%)            | 256 (3.0%)        | 238 (4.1%)        |
| Smoking (cigarettes/day)               |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| Non-smoker                             | 1244 (18.1%)              | 6335 (85.5%)          | 4868 (57.4%)      | 2711 (46.8%)      |
| Past smoker                            | 3338 (48.6%)              | 548 (7.4%)            | 2312 (27.3%)      | 1574 (27.2%)      |
| 1–19                                   | 824 (12.0%)               | 394 (5.3%)            | 582 (6.9%)        | 636 (11.0%)       |
| ≥ 20                                   | 1462 (21.3%)              | 130 (1.8%)            | 721 (8.5%)        | 871 (15.0%)       |
| Alcohol consumption (grams of ethanol/week) |                         |                        |                    |                   |
| None or rarely                          | 1333 (19.4%)              | 4899 (66.0%)          | 3715 (43.8%)      | 2517 (43.3%)      |
| 1–149                                  | 1730 (25.2%)              | 2009 (27.1%)          | 2419 (28.5%)      | 1320 (22.7%)      |
| 150–299                                | 1335 (19.4%)              | 271 (3.7%)            | 935 (11.0%)       | 671 (11.6%)       |
| 300–449                                | 1213 (17.6%)              | 149 (2.0%)            | 753 (8.9%)        | 609 (10.5%)       |
| ≥ 450                                  | 1265 (18.4%)              | 91 (1.2%)             | 666 (7.9%)        | 690 (11.9%)       |
| Sex                                    |                             |                        |                    |                   |
| Men                                    | –                           | –                      | 3710 (43.7%)      | 3197 (54.5%)      |
| Women                                  | –                           | –                      | 4787 (56.3%)      | 2670 (45.5%)      |

P values were calculated by the χ² test between men and women and between those with and without blood samples. Missing values are generated except for "age group" and "sex".
increased significantly with age. In men, prevalence of history of spinal fracture, knee osteoarthritis, and chronic pain increased significantly with age, but prevalence of histories of forearm and hip fractures and fall did not. The mean plasma 25(OH)D concentration in all participants who provided blood samples was 50.3 nmol/L (SD = 18.2, \(N = 8497\)). Mean plasma 25(OH)D concentrations by sex, age group, and season of blood collection are shown in Table 4. Health check examination data by sex (\(N = 4014\)) are shown in Table 5.

**Discussion**

A number of population-based cohort studies to determine risk factors for osteoporotic fracture have been conducted in European and North American countries [19]. Among them, large-scale studies (\(n > 5000\)) include the CaMos (\(N = 5143, \geq 25\) years old) [20], EPIC-Norfolk (\(N = 14,824, 42–82\) years old) [21], EPIHOS (\(N = 7598, \geq 75\) years old) [22], OSTPRE (\(N = 12,191, 47–56\) years old) [23], PERF (\(N = 5564, 45–70\) years old) [24], Rotterdam (\(N = 14,926, \geq 45\) years old) [25], and SOF (\(N = 9516, \geq 65\) years old) [26] studies. In Japan, the Fujinawa-kyo Study (\(N = 4427, \geq 65\) years old) [27] is an ongoing cohort study on osteoporotic fractures. The Murakami Cohort Study is considered one of the largest studies on osteoporotic fractures, comparable to previous studies.

We have established a population-based cohort study on musculoskeletal and other age-related diseases with a sufficient sample size and plan to follow our population for 15 years. We anticipate that we will be able to clarify the association between vitamin D status and multiple disease outcomes in a Japanese population. The present study is one of the largest cohort studies to clarify the effects of vitamin D in an Asian population. One strength of this cohort study is related to the geographical features of the Murakami region. As it is surrounded by mountains and

### Table 3 Past or current histories of self-reported musculoskeletal events or disorders in 14,364 participants by sex and age groups

| Musculoskeletal disorders                  | Men                                    | Women                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Past history of fracture*                 |                                        |                                       |
| Lumbar region                             | 3/1272 (2.6%)                          | 1/1423 (0.1%)                        |
| Forearm                                   | 37/1272 (2.9%)                         | 20/1423 (1.4%)                       |
| Hip                                       | 6/1272 (0.5%)                          | 0/1423 (0.0%)                        |
| Past history of fall†                     | 272/1234 (22.0%)                       | 212/1395 (15.2%)                     |
| Current knee osteoarthritis               | 13/1265 (1.0%)                         | 31/1417 (2.2%)                       |
| Current chronic pain†                     | 389/1265 (30.8%)                       | 442/1417 (31.2%)                     |

*Subjects were asked to report fractures that were not caused by high energy trauma, such as a motor vehicle accident, fall from a higher level than a standing height, or occupational accident.
†Subjects were asked to report falls from a standing height or less.
‡Subjects were asked to report pain lasting for more than 6 months in any part of the body.

### Table 4 Mean plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and standard deviations (SDs) by sex, age group, and season in 8497 participants providing blood samples

| Season of blood collection | Men Mean (SD) | Women Mean (SD) | P value* |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| Spring (Mar–May)           | 1905 (44.9)   | 44.9 (17.4)     | < 0.0001 |
| Summer (Jun–Aug)           | 3890 (50.8)   | 50.8 (17.6)     | < 0.0001 |
| Autumn (Sep–Nov)           | 2375 (53.9)   | 53.9 (18.6)     | < 0.0001 |
| Winter (Dec–Feb)           | 327 (48.8)    | 48.8 (19.5)     | < 0.0001 |

Multiply the values by 0.4 to convert nmol/L to ng/mL for 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

*\(P\) test was used to analyse sex, and Dunnett’s test was used for the other variables.
Table 5 Results of health check examinations (N = 4014)

| Characteristics                  | Men       |         | Women     |         |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
|                                  | N         | Mean (SD)| N         | Mean (SD)|
| Body mass index (kg/m²)          | 1753      | 23.6 (3.1)| 2261      | 22.6 (3.3)|
| Waist circumference (cm)         | 1695      | 84.7 (8.5)| 2237      | 80.5 (9.1)|
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)   | 1753      | 129.6 (17.3)| 2261      | 123.5 (16.8)|
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 1753      | 79.3 (10.7)| 2261      | 73 (10.3)|
| Serum HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 1712      | 56 (14.7) | 2247      | 63.7 (14.5)|
| Serum LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 1712      | 109.8 (28.7)| 2247      | 118.5 (27.4)|
| Serum triglyceride (mg/dL)       | 1712      | 151.1 (117.5) | 2247 | 114.8 (73.4) |
| Serum ALT (U/L)                  | 1712      | 24.5 (13.9) | 2247      | 18.6 (10) |
| Serum AST (U/L)                  | 1712      | 26.4 (11.9) | 2247      | 22.3 (7) |
| Serum γ-GTP (U/L)                | 1712      | 49.6 (64.8) | 2247      | 21.3 (19.5) |
| Blood sugar (mg/dL)              | 1474      | 112.2 (31.6) | 1820      | 104.3 (26.8) |
| Blood HbA1c (%)                  | 1441      | 5.7 (0.6) | 1870      | 5.6 (0.4) |
| Blood haemoglobin (g/dL)         | 1691      | 14.5 (1.3) | 2018      | 12.9 (1.2) |
| Red blood cell count             | 1691      | 463 (42) | 2018      | 433 (35) |

ALT alanine aminotransferase, AST aspartate aminotransferase, γ-GTP gamma-glutamyltransferase, HbA1c glycated haemoglobin
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sea, this region has high rates of self-sufficient medical care, namely, its self-sufficient rates for the three fatal diseases in Murakami City have been reported to be 68.5% for cancer, 91.1% for cerebrovascular disease, 80.8% for cardiovascular disease, and 81.4% for a total of the three diseases [28]. Self-sufficiency for medical care of unfatal diseases, such as musculoskeletal diseases, is considered to be equal to or higher than that for fatal diseases. Therefore, we should be able to identify most cases of our targeted diseases.

This study has some potential limitations. First, although a large number of people participated, the participation rate was not very high (41.3%). In addition, no information on medication use was collected from participants. Therefore, our results may not accurately reflect the entire population of the study area. Second, generalisation of our results should be made with caution. The Murakami region includes medium- and small-sized local governments. Therefore, while our results can be generalised to regions with similar-sized populations, they may not apply to regions with larger local governments or metropolitan governments (e.g., Tokyo). Moreover, lifestyles differ between the Murakami region and metropolitan regions. For instance, many elderly living in medium or small communities in Japan retain old, traditional lifestyles, which also include particular dietary habits. Finally, in some fracture studies, bone mineral density (BMD) is measured to evaluate individual bone mass, because low BMD, i.e., osteopenia or osteoporosis, is established as a clinical risk factor for osteoporotic fracture. For this reason, the present study does not contribute to the prevention of osteoporosis or to the secondary prevention of osteoporotic fracture.
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dataset may be available upon ethical approval by the Ethics Committee of Niigata University.
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