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Abstract
We discuss the integrability of the bosonic and Grassmannian massive Thirring models in the presence of defects through the inverse scattering approach. We present a general method to compute the generating functions of modified conserved quantities for any integrable field equation associated with the $m \times m$ spectral linear problem. We apply the method to derive in particular the defect contributions for the number of occupation, energy and momentum of the massive Thirring models.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 11.10.Kk, 11.10.Lm, 11.30.–j

1. Introduction

There has been a great deal of progress in studying two-dimensional integrable field theories in the presence of defects or internal boundaries, in both classical and quantum contexts [1, 2]. From the classical point of view, the Lagrangian formalism [3, 4] has been shown to be significantly successful. In this framework, the usual variational principle from a local Lagrangian density located at some fixed point reveals frozen Bäcklund transformations as the defect conditions for the fields. There are many interesting features of these defects. It turns out that these kinds of defect conditions allow for several types of integrable field theories [3–5], not only the energy conservation but also the conservation of a modified momentum, which includes a defect contribution. Moreover, their integrability is provided by the existence of a modified Lax pair involving a limit procedure, but in general it was only checked explicitly for a few conserved charges. A novel feature of most of these models is that only physical fields were present within the formulation, therefore the associated Bäcklund transformations were called type-I [6]. However, it was noted that not all possible relativistic integrable models could be accommodated within this framework, and then a generalization was proposed by allowing a defect to have its own degree of freedom, and the associated Bäcklund transformations were named type-II [6]. Many examples were also discussed in [6] such as sine/sinh-Gordon, Liouville, massive free field and the Tzitzéica–Bulloch–Dodd model. Concerning type-II
defects, it is interesting to note that for the supersymmetric extensions of the sine-Gordon model [7, 8] and for the massive Thirring models [9, 10], those auxiliary boundary fields, which correspond to the degree of freedom of the defect itself, had already appeared naturally.

On the other hand, an alternative and systematic new approach was recently suggested to defects in classical integrable field theories [11]. The inverse scattering method formalism is used and the defect conditions corresponding to frozen Bäcklund transformations are encoded in a well-known defect matrix. This matrix provided an elegant way to compute the modified conserved quantities, ensuring integrability. Using this framework, the generating function for the modified conserved charges for any integrable evolution equation of the AKNS scheme was computed, and the type-II Bäcklund transformations for the sine-Gordon and Tzitzéica–Bullough–Dodd models have also been recovered [12]. It is worth noting that this method for constructing integrable initial boundary value problems based on the Bäcklund transformations has already been used in [13, 14].

The aim of this paper is to provide an alternative approach in order to establish the integrability of the bosonic Thirring (BT) and Grassmannian Thirring (GT) models with type-II defects, which were suggested by previous approaches [9, 10]. At this point, it is worth mentioning that there exists no totally clear definition of what integrability is for classical systems with infinitely many degrees of freedom. However, in this work we adopt the popular point of view where a system is regarded as integrable, if for its describing equations of motion it is possible to determine a constructive way of finding solutions and to show the existence of sufficient number of conserved quantities. Even though this viewpoint is not complete, it is sufficient for our immediate purposes. Since soliton solutions for these models have already been studied in [10], herein we will focus on the explicit construction of their conserved quantities.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, for the sake of clarity we present the standard setting of the Lax pair approach for the general $m \times m$ spectral linear problem (see for example [20]). One of the most important results of this approach and the main point for our purposes is the identification of sets of coupled Riccati equations in order to construct conservation laws by a simple generalization of the method used by Wadatti and Sogo for the particular case $m = 2$ [15]. Other different generalizations, considering particularly matrix Riccati-type equations, have also appeared in the literature with regard to multicomponent systems (see for example [16] and references therein).

Within this framework, for the simplest case $m = 2$ the defect contributions to the infinite set of modified integrals of motion were explicitly derived for several integrable equations associated with the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ Lie algebra-valued Lax pair like: (modified) Korteweg–de Vries ((m)KdV), nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), Liouville and sine/sinh-Gordon equations for which previous results derived from Lagrangian principles [3, 5] were also recovered. More recently, the modified energy and momentum for the Tzitzéica model with type-II defects, which can be described by an $A_2^{(1)}$ Lie algebra-valued Lax pair, were also explicitly computed [12] and showed complete agreement with the results obtained by the Lagrangian approach [6]. Then, motivated by these results, in section 3, we derive a general formula to compute the defect contributions to the infinite sets of modified conserved quantities for any $m \times m$ linear problem.

In section 4, we first use this formula to explicitly compute the defect contributions to the modified energy and momentum for the BT model. These significant results shed (interesting) new light on the question of what type of Bäcklund transformations may be used as defect conditions since its Lagrangian description has not been derived until now. Subsequently, in section 5, we extend the procedure to include the pure fermionic version of the Thirring model which is described by the $s\ell(2, 1)$ algebra-valued Lax pair. We recursively compute the defect
contributions to the modified energy and momentum and show that the results are in full agreement with the ones previously obtained by the Lagrangian formalism [9].

In section 6, we discuss in some detail how the question of involutivity of the charges can be addressed and how a Hamiltonian formulation can be provided to include type-II Bäcklund transformations which carry degrees of freedom corresponding to the defect itself.

Some concluding remarks are made in section 7. In the appendix, we give a very short review of the sine-Gordon model with type-I defects within the framework adopted in this paper.

2. The Lax pair approach

In this section, we want to discuss the main ideas of the Lax formulation in order to construct an infinite set of independent conserved quantities for some integrable evolution equations. Such equations can be formulated as a compatibility condition of an associated linear auxiliary problem as follows:

\[ \partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = V(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \]  
\[ \partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = U(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \]  
where \( \Psi(x, t; \lambda) \) is an \( m \)-dimensional vector, \( \lambda \) is a spectral parameter, and \( U \) and \( V \) are \( m \times m \) matrices, which usually are named the Lax pair. Then, from the compatibility condition, \( \partial_t \partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = \partial_x \partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) \), we obtain the zero-curvature equation

\[ \partial_t U - \partial_x V + [U, V] = 0, \]  
which gives the corresponding equations of motion for the integrable system. Now, let us show how to construct a generating function for the infinite set of conservation laws. Firstly, for every auxiliary field component \( \Psi_j \) with \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), we can define a set of \( (m-1) \) auxiliary functions \( \Gamma_{ij} = \Psi_j \Psi_i^{-1} \) with \( i \neq j \). Then, considering the linear system (2.1a) and (2.1b), it is not so difficult to identify the \( j \)th conservation equation,

\[ \partial_t \left[ U_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} U_{ji} \Gamma_{ij} \right] = \partial_x \left[ V_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ji} \Gamma_{ij} \right], \]  
where each of the auxiliary functions \( \Gamma_{ij} \) satisfy coupled Riccati equations for the \( x \)-part:

\[ \partial_t \Gamma_{ij} = (U_{ij} - U_{jj} \Gamma_{ij}) + \sum_{k \neq j} (U_{ik} - \Gamma_{ij} U_{jk}) \Gamma_{kj}, \]  
and respectively for the \( t \)-part:

\[ \partial_t \Gamma_{ij} = (V_{ij} - V_{jj} \Gamma_{ij}) + \sum_{k \neq j} (V_{ik} - \Gamma_{ij} V_{jk}) \Gamma_{kj}, \]  
where without loss of generality we have assumed that \( \Psi_j \) is a commuting field; however, we will show later that this procedure also works in the case of anticommuting fields.

Now, by considering solutions that vanish rapidly as \( |x| \to \infty \), we find that the corresponding \( j \)th generating function of the conserved quantities reads

\[ \mathcal{I}_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ U_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} U_{ji} \Gamma_{ij} \right]. \]  
A wide group of integrable nonlinear evolution equations can be formulated using this approach, among which most of the known examples correspond to the particular case \( m = 2 \),
e.g., the NLS, KdV and mKdV, Liouville and sine/sinh-Gordon equations. For a more complete review of these cases, see for example [17].

It is worth noting that if the respective analytic properties of the solutions are considered, then we can expand the functions $\Gamma_{ij}$ in positive and negative powers of $\lambda$ and then solve (2.4) and (2.5) recursively for each coefficient. This immediately provides an expansion of the $j$th generating function $I_j$ in powers of $\lambda$, obtaining in this way an infinite set of conserved quantities. In particular, the usual conserved energy and momentum integrals of motion, commonly also derived from the Lagrangian formalism, turn out to be linear combinations of these set of conserved quantities $I_j$, by taking into account coefficients for the expansions in both positive and negative powers $\lambda$. However, these sets of conserved quantities are not functionally independent in the bulk theory because not all of the auxiliary fields $\Gamma_{ij}$ are independent. Although it seems that there is no need to consider all the conservation laws to derive the apparently overdetermined sets of conserved quantities, the recent results on type-II integrable defects [12] suggest that to obtain the most general form for the defect potentials, it is necessary to consider all the information coming from the Lax pair, i.e. all the conservation laws. To make it clearer, in the following section we will derive the formula for obtaining the modified conserved quantities which helps us to compute integrable defect potentials.

3. Modified conserved charges from the defect matrix

In this section, we consider the Lax pair approach for constructing the infinite sets of modified conserved quantities in the presence of defects.

Firstly, let us consider a defect placed at $x = 0$ and suppose that there are two column-vector functions $\Psi$ and $\Psi$ corresponding to the auxiliary linear problems for $x < 0$ described by the Lax pair $U$ and $V$, and for $x > 0$ by $U$ and $V$. Then, let us introduce $K(x, t; \lambda)$, a matrix polynomial of the spectral parameter $\lambda$, to connect the two solutions, namely

$$\Psi(x, t; \lambda) = K(x, t; \lambda)\Psi(x, t; \lambda),$$

(3.1)

where $K$ satisfies differential equations corresponding to a gauge transformation [15] as follows:

$$\partial_t K = \tilde{V}K - KV, \quad \partial_x K = \tilde{U}K - UV,$$

(3.2)

and is commonly named the defect matrix [3–5, 11]. This matrix is expected to generate the defect conditions and consequently the corresponding auto-Bäcklund transformation of the model. A classification of these defect matrices was performed and several examples corresponding to the $m = 2$ linear problem were examined by choosing a very simple form for this matrix [11].

We now present a straightforward extension in order to consider the $m \times m$ matrix auxiliary linear problem. Let us consider the generating functions (2.6) in the presence of the defect

$$I_j = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \left[ \tilde{U}_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} \tilde{U}_{jk} \tilde{\Gamma}_{kj} \right] + \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \left[ U_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} U_{jk} \Gamma_{kj} \right].$$

(3.3)

Hence, taking the time derivative and using the conservation equation (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{dI_j}{dt} = \left[ \tilde{V}_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} \tilde{V}_{j} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij} \right]_{x=0} - \left[ V_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} V_{jk} \Gamma_{ij} \right]_{x=0}.$$
Then, it is not difficult to show that the relation between the two sets of auxiliary functions $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}$ and $\Gamma_{ij}$ is given by

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{ij} + \sum_{k \neq j} K_{ik} \Gamma_{kj} \\ K_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} K_{jk} \Gamma_{kj} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(3.5)

Inserting in (3.4), one obtains

$$
\frac{d I_j}{dt} = \frac{\Omega_j}{\Delta_j}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_j = K_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} K_{jk} \Gamma_{kj},
$$

(3.6)

and

$$
\Omega_j = \left( \tilde{V}_{ij} - V_{ij} - \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ji} \Gamma_{ij} \right) \Delta_j + \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{V}_{ij} K_{ij} + \sum_{i, k \neq j} \tilde{V}_{ij} K_{ik} \Gamma_{kj}.
$$

(3.7)

Finally, we consider equations (2.5) and (3.2) to obtain

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ I_j - \ln \left| K_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} K_{jk} \Gamma_{kj} \right| \right|_{x=0} = 0,
$$

(3.8)

where the defect contribution to the $j$th generating function of infinite conserved quantities is given exactly by

$$
D_j = - \ln \left| K_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq j} K_{jk} \Gamma_{kj} \right|_{x=0}.
$$

(3.9)

This formula is an important result because its expansion in powers of $\lambda$ provides the defect contributions to the modified conserved quantities at all orders for each $m \times m$ associated linear problem. We will apply it to study the BT model, which is described by an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ Lie algebra-valued Lax pair, and for the GT model, which is associated with the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, 1)$ Lie algebra-valued Lax pair. In particular, it will be shown that the modified energy and momentum contributions can be computed from certain linear combinations of the set of conserved quantities $D_j$, taking into account all the possible conservation laws, i.e. for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. It is worth noting that a similar approach was already used in [18] to prove the classical and quantum integrability of the sine-Gordon model with defects by using the monodromy matrix language. In the same work, all higher conserved quantities were found by using a matrix Bäcklund transformation and a matrix Riccati equation. Some of these results are recovered using our approach in the appendix.

4. Case $m = 2$. The BT model

In this section, we apply the method described by explicitly computing the defect contributions to the modified energy and momentum for the BT model.

4.1. The bulk BT model and the linear problem

As is well known, the BT model in the bulk is integrable [19] and the associated linear problem can be formulated by using $2 \times 2$ matrices valued in the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra as follows:

$$
\partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = V(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda),
$$

(4.1a)

$$
\partial_x \Psi(x, t; \lambda) = U(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda),
$$

(4.1b)
where the auxiliary field $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2)^T$ is a 2-vector and the Lax pair can be written in a compact form as

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{2}[g\rho_+ - m(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})] & q(\lambda) \\ -\frac{i}{2}[g\rho_- - m(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})] \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2a)$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}[g\rho_+ + m(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})] & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & \frac{i}{2}[g\rho_+ + m(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})] \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2b)$$

where for convenience we have defined $\rho_{\pm} = (\phi_2 \phi_2 \pm \phi_1 \phi_1)$ and the following functions:

$$B(\lambda) = \frac{i \sqrt{mg}}{2} (\lambda \phi_1 - \lambda^{-1} \phi_2), \quad q(\lambda) = \frac{i \sqrt{mg}}{2} (\lambda \phi_1 + \lambda^{-1} \phi_2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3a)$$

$$C(\lambda) = -\frac{i \sqrt{mg}}{2} (\lambda \phi_1^+ - \lambda^{-1} \phi_2^+), \quad r(\lambda) = -\frac{i \sqrt{mg}}{2} (\lambda \phi_1^+ + \lambda^{-1} \phi_2^+).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3b)$$

From the zero curvature condition, we obtain the field equations for the BT model

$$i(\partial_t - \partial_x) \phi_1 = m \phi_2 + g \phi_2 \phi_2 \phi_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4a)$$

$$i(\partial_t + \partial_x) \phi_2 = m \phi_1 + g \phi_1 \phi_1 \phi_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4b)$$

$$i(\partial_t - \partial_x) \phi_1^+ = -m \phi_2^+ - g \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \phi_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4c)$$

$$i(\partial_t + \partial_x) \phi_2^+ = -m \phi_1^+ - g \phi_1^+ \phi_2 \phi_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4d)$$

Now, we define the auxiliary function $\Gamma_{21} = \Psi_2 \Psi_1^{-1}$. Then, by using the system of linear equations we have that the conservation equation can be written in the following form:

$$\partial_t \left[ q \Gamma_{21} + \frac{ig}{4} \rho_- \right] = \partial_x \left[ B \Gamma_{21} - \frac{ig}{4} \rho_+ \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)$$

The auxiliary function $\Gamma_{21}$ satisfies the following Riccati equations:

$$\partial_t \Gamma_{21} = r - \frac{i}{2}[g\rho_- - m(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})] \Gamma_{21} - q \Gamma_{21}^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6a)$$

$$\partial_t \Gamma_{21} = C + \frac{i}{2}[g\rho_+ + m(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})] \Gamma_{21} - B \Gamma_{21}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6b)$$

Now, we expand $\Gamma_{21}$ in the inverse powers of $\lambda$ around $\infty$:

$$\Gamma_{21}(x, t; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{21}^{(k)}(x, t)}{\lambda^k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)$$

Using the Riccati equation, each expansion coefficient $\Gamma_{21}^{(k)}(x, t)$ can be obtained easily in a recursive way. The first coefficients are given by

$$\Gamma_{21}^{(1)} = \frac{g}{m} \phi_1^+, \quad \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{21}^{(3)} = \sqrt{g/m} \left[ -2i m (\partial_x \phi_1^+) + \phi_2^+ + \frac{g}{m} (\phi_2^2 \phi_1^+) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)$$

Considering, as usual, the bosonic fields $\phi(x, t)$ vanish at $|x| \to \infty$, the corresponding generating function for the conserved quantities reads

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ q \Gamma_{21} + \frac{ig}{4} \rho_- \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)$$
and substituting (4.7) in the expression for $I_1$, we obtain an infinite number of conserved quantities given by the expansion

$$I_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{I_1^{(k)}}{\lambda^{2k}}.$$  (4.10)

Then, the first two conserved quantities are explicitly given by

$$I_1^{(0)} = i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \phi_1^+ \phi_1 + \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \right].$$  (4.11a)

$$I_1^{(2)} = -i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ i \phi_1 \left( \partial_1 \phi_1^+ \right) - \frac{m}{2} \left( \phi_2^+ \phi_1 + \phi_1^+ \phi_2 \right) - \frac{g}{2} \left( \phi_1^+ \phi_1 \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \right) \right].$$  (4.11b)

In addition, there is another set of conserved quantities that can be computed by taking an expansion of $\Gamma_{21}(x, t; \lambda)$ in positive powers of $\lambda$,

$$\Gamma_{21}(x, t; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{21}^{(k)}(x, t) \lambda^k.$$  (4.12)

In a very similar way, the first coefficients are

$$\Gamma_{21}^{(1)} = -\sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \phi_2^+, \quad \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{21}^{(3)} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \left[ -\frac{2}{m} \partial_1 \phi_1^+ \phi_1^+ \phi_2 + \frac{g}{2} \phi_1^+ \phi_1 \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \right].$$  (4.13)

Substituting (4.12) and (4.13) in (4.9), we will now obtain that the conserved quantities read

$$I_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{I}_1^{(k)} \lambda^{2k},$$  (4.14)

where the first two have been computed schematically, and the result is the following:

$$\tilde{I}_1^{(0)} = -i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \phi_1^+ \phi_1 + \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \right].$$  (4.15a)

$$\tilde{I}_1^{(2)} = -i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ i \phi_2 \left( \partial_1 \phi_2^+ \right) + \frac{m}{2} \left( \phi_2^+ \phi_1 + \phi_1^+ \phi_2 \right) + \frac{g}{2} \left( \phi_1^+ \phi_1 \phi_2^+ \phi_2 \right) \right].$$  (4.15b)

Then, we have found two infinite sets of independent conserved quantities as a consequence of the two possible choices for the $\lambda$-expansion of the auxiliary function $\Gamma_{21}(x, t; \lambda)$, i.e., around $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$. However, these integrals of motion are not really real charges. Then, it is necessary to add their corresponding complex conjugate terms. In fact, these terms naturally arise by considering the second conservation equation that can be derived from the linear system (4.1a) and (4.1b), namely

$$\partial_t \left( r \Gamma_{12} - \frac{i g}{4} \rho_- \right) = \partial_t \left[ C \Gamma_{12} + \frac{i g}{4} \rho_+ \right] ,$$  (4.16)

where we have introduced a new auxiliary function $\Gamma_{12} = \Psi_1 \Psi_2^{-1}$, which also satisfies a couple of Riccati equations,

$$\partial_t \Gamma_{12} = g + \frac{i}{2} \left[ g \rho_- - m \left( \lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2} \right) \right] \Gamma_{12} - r \Gamma_{12}^2 ,$$  (4.17a)

$$\partial_t \Gamma_{12} = B - \frac{i}{2} \left[ g \rho_+ + m \left( \lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2} \right) \right] \Gamma_{12} - C \Gamma_{12}^2.$$  (4.17b)
Then, using the same scheme we can obtain recursively the first few coefficients for the auxiliary function \( \Gamma_{12}(x, t; \lambda) \) by considering the corresponding expansion in the negative and positive powers of \( \lambda \). Doing that, the results obtained are as follows:

\[
\Gamma_{12}^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \phi_1, \quad \Gamma_{12}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{12}^{(3)} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \left[ \frac{2i}{m} (\partial_x \phi_1) + \phi_2 + \frac{g}{m} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2) \phi_1 \right].
\]  

(4.18a)

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(1)} = -\sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \phi_2, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(3)} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{m}} \left[ \frac{2i}{m} (\partial_x \phi_2) - \phi_1 - \frac{g}{m} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) \phi_2 \right].
\]  

(4.18b)

From the conservation equation (4.16), the second generating function of the conserved quantities can be written as follows:

\[
I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ ri\Gamma_{12} - \frac{ig}{4} \rho_- \right].
\]  

(4.19)

Substituting the corresponding coefficients of the auxiliary functions for each expansion in \( \lambda \), we obtained the following conserved quantities:

\[
I_2^{(0)} = -\frac{ig}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right] = \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(0)},
\]  

(4.20a)

\[
I_2^{(2)} = -\frac{ig}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ i\phi_1^\dagger (\partial_x \phi_1) + \frac{m}{2} (\phi_1^2 \phi_1 + \phi_1^\dagger \phi_2) + \frac{g}{2} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) \right].
\]  

(4.20b)

\[
\hat{I}_2^{(2)} = -\frac{ig}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ i\phi_2^\dagger (\partial_x \phi_2) - \frac{m}{2} (\phi_2^2 \phi_1 + \phi_1 \phi_2) - \frac{g}{2} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) \right].
\]  

(4.20c)

We note that the usual number of occupation, energy and momentum for the BT model can be expressed in the following form:

\[
N = \frac{1}{ig} \left[ (I_2^{(0)} - \hat{I}_2^{(0)}) - (\hat{\Gamma}_2^{(0)} - \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(0)}) \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ i \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 + i \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right],
\]  

(4.21a)

\[
E = \frac{im}{2g} \left[ (I_2^{(2)} - \hat{I}_2^{(2)}) - (\hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)} - \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)}) \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{i}{2} (\phi_1 \partial_x \phi_1^\dagger - \phi_1^\dagger \partial_x \phi_1 - \phi_2 \partial_x \phi_2^\dagger + \phi_2^\dagger \partial_x \phi_2) - m (\phi_2^2 \phi_1 + \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger) - g \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right],
\]  

(4.21b)

\[
P = \frac{im}{2g} \left[ (I_2^{(2)} - \hat{I}_2^{(2)}) + (\hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)} - \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)}) \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{i}{2} (\phi_1 \partial_x \phi_1^\dagger - \phi_1^\dagger \partial_x \phi_1 + \phi_2 \partial_x \phi_2^\dagger - \phi_2^\dagger \partial_x \phi_2) \right].
\]  

(4.21c)

In the following section, we derive the defect contribution for the energy and momentum by using directly the defect matrix.

### 4.2. Modified integrals of motion of the BT model from the defect matrix

As was shown in section 3, in order to compute the defect contribution to each bulk integral of motion, it is necessary to know the explicit form of the elements of the defect matrix. Using an ansatz for the expansion of this matrix as a very simple Laurent series,

\[
K = K_{-1} + K_0 + K_1,
\]  

(4.22)
where $K_i$ corresponds to an element of grade $\lambda^i$, a totally consistent defect matrix was determined [10], which can be written in the following form:

$$K = \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{2}X \\
\sqrt{2}\lambda X
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where

$$2\alpha = \arcsin\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}X^2\right),$$

which turns out to be related to the modified number of occupation by $4\alpha = gN_D$.

Here, the boundary fields $X$ and $X^\dagger$ satisfy the following algebraic relations:

$$X = \tilde{\phi}_1 e^{iu} + \phi_1 e^{-iu} = \frac{i}{a} [\phi_2 e^{-iu} - \phi_1 e^{-iu}],
$$

$$X^\dagger = \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 e^{-iu} + \phi^\dagger_1 e^{iu} = \frac{1}{1+4\alpha} [\phi^\dagger_2 e^{iu} - \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 e^{iu}],$$

and the respective time derivatives

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_t X &= \frac{m}{2a} (\phi_1 e^{iu} - \tilde{\phi}_1 e^{-iu}) - \frac{im}{2} (\tilde{\phi}_2 e^{iu} + \phi_2 e^{-iu}) - \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger \phi_1^\dagger + \phi_1^\dagger \tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2^\dagger + \phi^\dagger_1 \phi^\dagger_2] X, \\
\partial_t X^\dagger &= \frac{m}{2a} (\phi_1^\dagger e^{-iu} - \tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger e^{iu}) + \frac{im}{2} (\tilde{\phi}^\dagger_2 e^{-iu} + \phi^\dagger_2 e^{iu}) + \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 \phi_1^\dagger + \phi^\dagger_1 \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 + \phi^\dagger_2 \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_2 + \phi^\dagger_1 \phi^\dagger_2] X^\dagger,
\end{align*}$$

and

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_s X &= \frac{m}{2a} (\phi_1 e^{iu} - \tilde{\phi}_1 e^{-iu}) + \frac{im}{2} (\tilde{\phi}_2 e^{iu} + \phi_2 e^{-iu}) - \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger \phi_1^\dagger + \phi_1^\dagger \tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2^\dagger + \phi^\dagger_1 \phi^\dagger_2] X, \\
\partial_s X^\dagger &= \frac{m}{2a} (\phi_1^\dagger e^{-iu} - \tilde{\phi}_1^\dagger e^{iu}) - \frac{im}{2} (\tilde{\phi}^\dagger_2 e^{-iu} + \phi^\dagger_2 e^{iu}) + \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 \phi_1^\dagger + \phi^\dagger_1 \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_1 + \phi^\dagger_2 \tilde{\phi}^\dagger_2 + \phi^\dagger_1 \phi^\dagger_2] X^\dagger,
\end{align*}$$

where $a$ is a real parameter. Expressions (4.25a) and (4.27b) are the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the BT model. It was also shown in [10] that these transformations exhibit a complete consistency with the soliton solutions derived by applying the Dressing method for several transitions between them.

Once a defect matrix is given by (4.23), the defect contribution to the modified conserved quantities can be calculated using (3.9). Firstly, let us consider the generating function of the conserved quantities (4.9) in the presence of a defect,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \left[ q\Gamma_{21} + \frac{ig}{4} \tilde{\rho}^- + \frac{ig}{4} \rho^- \right] + \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \left[ q\Gamma_{21} + \frac{ig}{4} \tilde{\rho}^- + \frac{ig}{4} \rho^- \right].
$$

Then, taking the time derivative and using the field equations, we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{I}_1}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ B\Gamma_{21} - \frac{ig}{4} \tilde{\rho}^- \right] \bigg|_{x=0} - \frac{d}{dt} \left[ B\Gamma_{21} + \frac{ig}{4} \rho^- \right] \bigg|_{x=0} = -\frac{dD_1}{dt},
$$

with

$$D_1 = -\ln|K_{11} + K_{12}\Gamma_{21}| \bigg|_{x=0}.
$$

Now, the methodology used before to obtain the conserved quantities in the bulk can be applied directly. First, we calculate the conserved charges associated with the expansion of $\Gamma_{21}$ in the
inverse powers of $\lambda$. Then, we consider the corresponding expansion in the positive powers of $\lambda$. Implementing this procedure, we find the following results:

\[
D_1^{(2)} = \frac{i}{a} e^{2iu} + \frac{g}{m} X\phi_1^1 e^{iu}, \quad (4.31a)
\]

\[
\tilde{D}_1^{(2)} = -ia e^{-2iu} - \frac{iga}{m} X\phi_2^1 e^{-iu}. \quad (4.31b)
\]

Performing the same procedure for the generating function (4.19), one obtains

\[
\frac{dD_2}{dr} = \left[ C\Gamma_{12}^{\infty} + \frac{ig}{4} \rho_1^1 \right] \bigg|_{x=0} - \left[ C\Gamma_{12} + \frac{ig}{4} \rho_1^1 \right] \bigg|_{x=0} \equiv -\frac{dD_2}{dr}, \quad (4.32)
\]

where

\[
D_2 = -ln[K_{22} + K_{21}\Gamma_{12}]|_{x=0}. \quad (4.33)
\]

and from which, we obtain the following coefficients:

\[
D_2^{(2)} = -\frac{i}{a} e^{-2iu} + \frac{g}{m} X\phi_1^1 e^{-iu}, \quad (4.34a)
\]

\[
\tilde{D}_2^{(2)} = ia e^{2iu} + \frac{iga}{m} X\phi_2^1 e^{iu}. \quad (4.34b)
\]

By analogy we find that defect energy and momentum for the BT model can be written in the following way:

\[
E_D = \frac{im}{2g} \left[ (D_1^{(2)} - D_2^{(2)}) - (\tilde{D}_1^{(2)} - \tilde{D}_2^{(2)}) \right] = -\frac{m}{2g} \left[ a + \frac{1}{a} \right] \left( e^{2iu} + e^{-2iu} \right) \\
+ \frac{i}{2} \left( X\phi_1^1 e^{iu} - X^1\phi_1 e^{-iu} \right) - \frac{a}{2} \left( X\phi_1^1 e^{-iu} + X^1\phi_2 e^{iu} \right), \quad (4.35a)
\]

\[
P_D = \frac{im}{2g} \left[ (D_1^{(2)} - D_2^{(2)}) + (\tilde{D}_1^{(2)} - \tilde{D}_2^{(2)}) \right] = \frac{m}{2g} \left[ a - \frac{1}{a} \right] \left( e^{2iu} + e^{-2iu} \right) \\
+ \frac{i}{2} \left( X\phi_1^1 e^{iu} - X^1\phi_1 e^{-iu} \right) + \frac{a}{2} \left( X\phi_1^1 e^{-iu} + X^1\phi_2 e^{iu} \right). \quad (4.35b)
\]

We note that it is possible to rewrite these results in an alternative form by using the Bäcklund transformations (4.25a)–(4.27b) as follows:

\[
E_D = \frac{i}{2} \left[ (\phi_1^1 \phi_1 - \phi_2^1 \phi_2) e^{2iu} - (\phi_1^1 \phi_1 - \phi_2^1 \phi_2) e^{-2iu} \right] - \frac{m}{g} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \cos (2\alpha), \quad (4.36a)
\]

\[
P_D = \frac{i}{2} \left[ (\phi_1^1 \phi_1 - \phi_2^1 \phi_2) e^{2iu} - (\phi_1^1 \phi_1 + \phi_2^1 \phi_2) e^{-2iu} \right] + \frac{m}{g} \left( a - \frac{1}{a} \right) \cos (2\alpha). \quad (4.36b)
\]

These expressions for the defect energy and momentum seem not to have been reported elsewhere in the literature and constitute a very important result in order to address in future works the question of the Lagrangian formalism as well as quantum aspects like the transmission matrix.

Since the integrable defect conditions for the BT model have already been determined by giving the corresponding auto-Bäcklund transformations, the integrability of the model in the presence of defects, following the integrability criteria adopted in this work, is provided by the existence of the defect matrix and the explicit computations of the modified conserved quantities.
5. Case \( m = 3 \). The GT model

In this section, we will apply the formalism on the GT model. As was done in the bosonic case, we will construct an infinite set of independent conserved quantities for the bulk theory, and then derive the corresponding defect contributions to the modified conserved quantities.

5.1. The bulk GT model and the linear problem

The equations of motion for the GT model can be described as a compatibility condition of the following associated linear problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) &= U(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \quad (5.1a) \\
\partial_t \Psi(x, t; \lambda) &= V(x, t; \lambda) \Psi(x, t; \lambda), \quad (5.1b)
\end{align*}
\]

where the 3-wavefunction \( \Psi \) has the form \((\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3)^T\). We remark that the bosonic or Grassmannian property of these functions depends on the conservation law that will be considered. The Lax pair \(U, V\) of \(3 \times 3\) matrices belong to the \(\mathfrak{s}l(2,1)\) Lie algebra (see for example appendix C in [12]), and can be explicitly written as follows:

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\nu}{2} \rho_+ + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}) & 0 & q_1(\lambda) \\
0 & -\frac{\nu}{2} \rho_- + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}) & q_2(\lambda) \\
r_1(\lambda) & r_2(\lambda) & \text{im}(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
V = \begin{bmatrix}
-A + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) & 0 & B_1(\lambda) \\
0 & A + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) & B_2(\lambda) \\
C_1(\lambda) & C_2(\lambda) & \text{im}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where just for simplicity, we have also defined the functions

\[
A = \frac{ig}{2} \rho_+, \quad \rho_\pm = (\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 \pm \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1), \quad (5.3)
\]

\[
q_1 = -C_2 = -i \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\lambda \psi_1 + \lambda^{-1} \psi_2), \quad q_2 = -C_1 = i \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\lambda \psi_1^\dagger - \lambda^{-1} \psi_2^\dagger), \quad (5.4)
\]

\[
r_1 = -B_2 = -i \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\lambda \psi_1^\dagger + \lambda^{-1} \psi_1), \quad r_2 = -B_1 = i \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\lambda \psi_1 - \lambda^{-1} \psi_2). \quad (5.5)
\]

By applying the zero-curvature condition we obtain the field equations for the GT model:

\[
i(\partial_t - \partial_x) \psi_1 = m \psi_2 + g \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 \psi_1, \quad (5.6)
\]

\[
i(\partial_t + \partial_x) \psi_2 = m \psi_1 + g \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 \psi_2, \quad (5.7)
\]

\[
i(\partial_t - \partial_x) \psi_3^\dagger = -m \psi_2 - g \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 \psi_3^\dagger, \quad (5.8)
\]

\[
i(\partial_t + \partial_x) \psi_3^\dagger = -m \psi_1^\dagger - g \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 \psi_3^\dagger. \quad (5.9)
\]

In components, the set of differential equations (5.1a) and (5.1b) read

\[
\partial_t \Psi_1 = \left[\frac{ig}{2} \rho_+ + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})\right] \Psi_1 + q_1 \Psi_3, \quad (5.10a)
\]

\[
\partial_t \Psi_2 = -\left[\frac{ig}{2} \rho_- + \frac{im}{2} (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})\right] \Psi_2 + q_2 \Psi_3, \quad (5.10b)
\]

\[
\partial_t \Psi_3 = r_1 \Psi_1 + r_2 \Psi_2 + \text{im}(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}) \Psi_3, \quad (5.10c)
\]
and
\[
\partial_t \Psi_1 = - \left[ A - \frac{im}{2}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Psi_1 + B_1 \Psi_3, \quad (5.11a)
\]
\[
\partial_t \Psi_2 = \left[ A + \frac{im}{2}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Psi_2 + B_2 \Psi_3, \quad (5.11b)
\]
\[
\partial_t \Psi_3 = C_1 \Psi_1 + C_2 \Psi_2 + im(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \Psi_3. \quad (5.11c)
\]

Now, by defining the auxiliary functions \( \Gamma_2 = \Psi_2 \Psi_1^{-1} \) and \( \Gamma_3 = \Psi_3 \Psi_1^{-1} \), we obtain the first conservation equation from (5.10a) and (5.11a), namely
\[
\partial_t \left[ q_1 \Gamma_3 + \frac{ig}{2} \rho_\sigma \right] = \partial_t [B_1 \Gamma_3 - A], \quad (5.12)
\]
where \( \Gamma_2 \) and \( \Gamma_3 \) satisfy the following coupled Riccati equations for the \( x \)-part,
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_2 = - (ig \rho_\sigma) \Gamma_2 + q_2 \Gamma_3 - q_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3, \quad (5.13a)
\]
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_3 = r_1 + r_2 \Gamma_2 - i \frac{1}{2} \left[ g \rho_\sigma - m(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Gamma_3, \quad (5.13b)
\]
and for the \( t \)-part,
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_2 = 2A \Gamma_2 + B_2 \Gamma_3 - B_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3, \quad (5.14a)
\]
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_3 = C_1 + C_2 \Gamma_2 + \left[ A + \frac{im}{2}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Gamma_3. \quad (5.14b)
\]

Now, by first considering an expansion in the inverse powers of \( \lambda \) for the auxiliary functions as
\[
\Gamma_{ij}(x, t; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\Gamma_{ij}^{(k)}(x, t)}{\lambda^k}, \quad (5.15)
\]
and inserting this in the Riccati equations (5.13a) and (5.13b) we find that the first coefficients of the expansion are given by
\[
\Gamma_{31}^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_1, \quad \Gamma_{31}^{(2)} = - \left( \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_1 \right) \Gamma_{21}^{(1)}, \quad (5.16a)
\]
\[
\Gamma_{31}^{(3)} = - \frac{2i}{m} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_1) + i \frac{mg}{2} (\psi_2 \psi_1 - \psi_1 \psi_2) \psi_1 \right], \quad (5.16b)
\]
where \( \Gamma_{12}^{(1)} \) and \( \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} \) satisfy the following differential equations:
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_{21}^{(1)} = -ig(\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 + \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1) \Gamma_{21}^{(1)}, \quad (5.17a)
\]
\[
\partial_t \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} = -ig(\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 + \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1) \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} + \frac{2g}{m} (\psi_1^\dagger \partial_x \psi_1^\dagger + 2i \partial_x \psi_1^\dagger \psi_2^\dagger). \quad (5.17b)
\]

Then, we find out in this case that the associated generating function of the conserved quantities is given by
\[
I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ q_1 \Gamma_3 + \frac{ig}{2} \rho_\sigma \right], \quad (5.18)
\]
and substituting the respective coefficients for the auxiliary function $\Gamma_{31}$ in the expansion in $\lambda$, we find that the lowest conserved quantities are given by

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^{(0)}_1 = \frac{ig}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1 \right],
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^{(2)}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -\frac{2g}{m} (\psi_1 \partial_\xi \psi_1^{\dagger}) + ig (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_1 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_2) + \frac{ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1) \right].
\end{equation}

(5.19a)

(5.19b)

Now, to compute the second infinite set of conserved quantities we have to expand the auxiliary functions around $\lambda = 0$, i.e. in the positive powers of $\lambda$, as follows:

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_{ij}(x, t; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma^{(i)}_{kj}(x, t) \lambda^k.
\end{equation}

(5.20)

By following the same procedure, we obtain that the respective first few coefficients for each expansion are given by

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{31} = -\sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_2, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{31} = -\left( \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_2 \right) \hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{21},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Gamma}^{(3)}_{31} = -\frac{2i}{m} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_\xi \psi_2^{\dagger}) - i \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\psi_1^{\dagger} + \psi_2 \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{21}) - \frac{ig}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1) \psi_2^{\dagger} \right],
\end{equation}

(5.21a)

(5.21b)

with

\begin{equation}
\hat{\partial}_\xi \hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{21} = ig (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1) \hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{21},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{\partial}_\xi \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{21} = ig (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1) \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{21} - \frac{2g}{m} \left( \psi_2^{\dagger} \partial_\xi \psi_2^{\dagger} - 2ig \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2^{\dagger} \right).
\end{equation}

(5.22a)

(5.22b)

Then, we have that the corresponding first charges associated with this expansion of $\Gamma_{31}$ are given as follows:

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Gamma}^{(0)}_1 = -\frac{ig}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1 \right].
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -\frac{2g}{m} (\psi_2 \partial_\xi \psi_2^{\dagger}) - ig (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_1 + \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_2) - \frac{ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2 \psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1) \right].
\end{equation}

(5.23a)

(5.23b)

Clearly, these charges are not totally real and therefore it is necessary to add the Hermitian conjugate terms. To do that, we need to consider other contributions coming from two more conservation equations that can be derived using (5.10b), (5.10c), (5.11b) and (5.11c), namely

\begin{equation}
\hat{\partial}_\xi \left[ q_2 \Gamma_{32} - \frac{ig}{2} \rho_- \right] = \hat{\partial}_\xi \left( B_2 \Gamma_{32} + A \right),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{\partial}_\xi \left[ r_1 \Gamma_{13} + r_2 \Gamma_{23} \right] = \hat{\partial}_\xi \left( C_1 \Gamma_{13} + C_2 \Gamma_{23} \right),
\end{equation}

(5.24a)

(5.24b)

where we have introduced some other auxiliary functions $\Gamma_{12} = \Psi_1 \Psi_1^{-1}$, $\Gamma_{32} = \Psi_3 \Psi_2^{-1}$, $\Gamma_{13} = \Psi_1 \Psi_3^{-1}$ and $\Gamma_{23} = \Psi_2 \Psi_3^{-1}$. It is very easy to check that the set of Riccati equations satisfied by these auxiliary functions can be written as

\begin{equation}
\hat{\partial}_\xi \Gamma_{12} = ig \rho_- \Gamma_{12} + q_1 \Gamma_{32} - q_2 \Gamma_{12} \Gamma_{32},
\end{equation}

(5.25a)
\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{32} = r_2 + r_1 \Gamma_{12} + \frac{i}{2} (g \rho - m (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})) \Gamma_{32}, \]

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{13} = q_1 + \frac{i}{2} [g \rho - m (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})] \Gamma_{13} + r_2 \Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{23}, \]

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{23} = q_2 - \frac{i}{2} [g \rho + m (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})] \Gamma_{23} - r_1 \Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{23}, \]

and

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{12} = -2 \Delta \Gamma_{12} + B_1 \Gamma_{32} + B_2 \Gamma_{12} \Gamma_{32}, \]

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{32} = C_2 + C_1 \Gamma_{12} - \left[ A - \frac{\text{im}}{2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Gamma_{32}, \]

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{13} = B_1 - \left[ A + \frac{\text{im}}{2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Gamma_{13} + C_2 \Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{23}, \]

\[ \partial_1 \Gamma_{23} = B_2 + \left[ A - \frac{\text{im}}{2} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}) \right] \Gamma_{23} - C_1 \Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{23}. \]

Now, these equations are solved by expanding each of the auxiliary functions in positive and negative powers of the spectral parameter \( \lambda \). Performing similar computations, the first few coefficients for these auxiliary functions can be determined, and the results read

\[ \Gamma^{(1)}_{23} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_1^+, \quad \Gamma^{(1)}_{23} = \Gamma^{(1)}_{32} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_1, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{13} = -\hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{32} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_2, \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{(1)}_{23} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_2^+, \quad \Gamma^{(2)}_{32} = \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_1^+, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{32} = -\sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} \psi_2^+, \]

\[ \Gamma^{(2)}_{13} = \Gamma^{(2)}_{23} = \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{13} = \hat{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{23} = 0, \]

and

\[ \Gamma^{(3)}_{32} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_1) + \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\psi_2 + \psi_1^+ \Gamma_{122}) + \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2) \psi_1 \right] \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{(3)}_{32} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ -i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_2) + \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} (\psi_1 - \psi_2^+ \hat{\Gamma}_{122}) + \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_1^+ \psi_1 \psi_2) \right] \]

\[ \Gamma^{(3)}_{13} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ -i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_1) - \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} \psi_2 - \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2) \psi_1 \right] \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{(3)}_{13} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ -i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_2) + \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} \psi_1 + \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_1^+ \psi_1 \psi_2) \right] \]

\[ \Gamma^{(3)}_{23} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_1^+) - \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} \psi_2^+ - \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_2 \psi_2^+) \psi_1^+ \right] \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{(3)}_{23} = \frac{2}{m} \left[ -i \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\partial_x \psi_2^+) - \sqrt{\frac{mg}{2}} \psi_1^+ - \frac{g}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2g}{m}} (\psi_1 \psi_2^+) \psi_2^+ \right]. \]
I obtain the following results:

\[ \partial_t \Gamma_{12}^{(1)} = ig(\psi_1^+ \psi_1 + \psi_2^+ \psi_2) \Gamma_{12}^{(1)} , \]

\[ \partial_t \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(1)} = -ig(\psi_1^+ \psi_1 + \psi_2^+ \psi_2) \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(1)} , \]  

\[ \partial_t \Gamma_{12}^{(2)} = ig(\psi_1^+ \psi_1 + \psi_2^+ \psi_2) \Gamma_{12}^{(2)} + \frac{2g}{m} (\psi_1 \partial_t \psi_1) - 2ig(\psi_1 \psi_2) , \]

\[ \partial_t \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(2)} = -ig(\psi_1^+ \psi_1 + \psi_2^+ \psi_2) \hat{\Gamma}_{12}^{(2)} - \frac{2g}{m} (\psi_2 \partial_t \psi_2) + 2ig(\psi_1 \psi_2) . \]

Now, we will compute the corresponding conserved quantities from the conservation equations (5.24a) and (5.24b), namely

\[ \Gamma_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ q_2 \Gamma_{32} - \frac{ig}{2} \rho^2 \right] , \]

\[ \Gamma_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ r_1 \Gamma_{13} + r_2 \Gamma_{23} \right] . \]

Therefore, by a straightforward substitution of the each expansion coefficient, we easily obtain the following results:

\[ \Gamma_2^{(0)} = -\frac{ig}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \psi_2^+ \psi_2 + \psi_1^+ \psi_1 \right] = -\hat{\Gamma}_2^{(0)} , \quad \Gamma_3^{(0)} = 0 = \hat{\Gamma}_3^{(0)} , \]

\[ \Gamma_2^{(2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -2g \frac{m}{\psi_2^+ \partial_t \psi_2} + ig(\psi_2^+ \psi_1 + \psi_1^+ \psi_2) + \frac{ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2 \psi_1^+ \psi_1) \right] , \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -2g \frac{m}{\psi_2^+ \partial_t \psi_2} - ig(\psi_2^+ \psi_1 + \psi_1^+ \psi_2) - \frac{ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2 \psi_1^+ \psi_1) \right] , \]

\[ \Gamma_3^{(2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -2g \frac{m}{\psi_2^+ \partial_t \psi_2} + \psi_1 \partial_t \psi_1 + \psi_1^+ \psi_1 \right] + 2ig(\psi_2^+ \psi_1 + \psi_1^+ \psi_2) + \frac{2ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2 \psi_1^+ \psi_1) \right] , \]

\[ \hat{\Gamma}_3^{(2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ -2g \frac{m}{\psi_2^+ \partial_t \psi_2} + \psi_2 \partial_t \psi_2 + \psi_2^+ \psi_2 \right] - 2ig(\psi_2^+ \psi_1 + \psi_1^+ \psi_2) - \frac{2ig^2}{m} (\psi_2^+ \psi_2 \psi_1^+ \psi_1) \right] . \]

Then, from all these conserved quantities together with the ones derived in (5.19a), (5.19b), (5.23a) and (5.23b), we note that

\[ \Gamma_1^{(n)} + \Gamma_2^{(n)} = \Gamma_3^{(n)} , \quad \hat{\Gamma}_1^{(n)} + \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(n)} = \hat{\Gamma}_3^{(n)} . \]

Therefore, it is convenient to define the following quantities:

\[ \|^{(0)} = (\Gamma_1^{(0)} - \Gamma_2^{(0)} - \Gamma_3^{(0)}) , \quad \hat{\|}^{(0)} = (\hat{\Gamma}_1^{(0)} - \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(0)} - \hat{\Gamma}_3^{(0)}) , \]

\[ \|^{(2)} = (\Gamma_1^{(2)} + \Gamma_2^{(2)} + \Gamma_3^{(2)}) , \quad \hat{\|}^{(2)} = (\hat{\Gamma}_1^{(2)} + \hat{\Gamma}_2^{(2)} + \hat{\Gamma}_3^{(2)}) , \]

in order to obtain the usual conserved number of occupation, energy and momentum for the GT model by performing a simple combination, namely

\[ N = \frac{1}{2ig} [\|^{(0)} - \hat{\|}^{(0)}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [\psi_2^+ \psi_2 + \psi_1^+ \psi_1] . \]
\[ E = \frac{m}{8\pi g} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\psi_1 \partial_x \psi_1^\dagger + \psi_1^\dagger \partial_x \psi_1 - \psi_2 \partial_x \psi_2^\dagger + \psi_2^\dagger \partial_x \psi_2 + m (\psi_2^\dagger \psi_1 + \psi_1^\dagger \psi_2)) \right], \]

\[ P = \frac{m}{8\pi g} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\psi_1 \partial_x \psi_1^\dagger + \psi_1^\dagger \partial_x \psi_1 + \psi_2 \partial_x \psi_2^\dagger + \psi_2^\dagger \partial_x \psi_2) \right]. \]  

(5.34b)

(5.34c)

In the following section, we show how this framework can be used in order to compute the modified conserved quantities from the defect matrix for the GT model.

5.2. Modified integrals of motion from the defect matrix

Let us implement a defect placed at the origin \( x = 0 \), and the relation between the respective auxiliary wavefunctions \( \tilde{\Psi}(x; t; \lambda) = K_G(x; t; \lambda) \Psi(x; t; \lambda) \),

where the defect matrix \( K_G \) satisfies the gauge transformations

\[ \partial_x K_G = \tilde{V} K_G - K_G \tilde{V}, \]

(5.36a)

\[ \partial_t K_G = \tilde{U} K_G - K_G \tilde{U}. \]

(5.36b)

The explicit form of the defect matrix \( K_G \) was also computed in [10] and can be written in the following simple form:

\[ K_G = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2 \pi} X & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2 \pi} X^\dagger \end{bmatrix} \]

where the elements \( K_{\pm} \) are given by

\[ K_{\pm} = \lambda \exp \left[ \pm \frac{i a \pi}{2 m} X^\dagger X \right] - i (\lambda a)^{-1} \exp \left[ \pm \frac{i a \pi}{2 m} X^\dagger X \right] \]

\[ = [\lambda - i (\lambda a)^{-1}] \pm \frac{i a \pi}{2 m} [\lambda + i (\lambda a)^{-1}] X^\dagger X, \]

(5.37)

(5.38)

and the Grassmannian boundary fields \( X \) and \( X^\dagger \) satisfy the following defect conditions:

\[ X = (\tilde{\psi}_1 + \psi_1) + \frac{i a g}{2 m} \tilde{\psi}_1 X^\dagger X = i a^{-1} (\psi_2 - \tilde{\psi}_2) - \frac{g}{2 m} X^\dagger X \psi_2, \]

(5.39)

\[ X^\dagger = (\tilde{\psi}_1^\dagger + \psi_1^\dagger) - \frac{i a g}{2 m} \tilde{\psi}_1^\dagger X^\dagger X = - i a^{-1} (\psi_2^\dagger - \tilde{\psi}_2^\dagger) - \frac{g}{2 m} X^\dagger X \psi_2^\dagger, \]

(5.40)

together with their respective time derivatives

\[ \partial_t X = \frac{m}{2 a} (\psi_1 - \tilde{\psi}_1) - \frac{m}{2} (\psi_2 + \tilde{\psi}_2) - \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\psi}_1 \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 + \tilde{\psi}_1^\dagger \psi_1 + \tilde{\psi}_2 \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 + \tilde{\psi}_2^\dagger \psi_2] X, \]

(5.41a)

\[ \partial_t X^\dagger = \frac{m}{2 a} (\psi_1^\dagger - \tilde{\psi}_1^\dagger) + \frac{m}{2} (\psi_2^\dagger + \tilde{\psi}_2^\dagger) + \frac{ig}{4} [\tilde{\psi}_1^\dagger \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 + \tilde{\psi}_1 \psi_1^\dagger \psi_2 + \tilde{\psi}_2^\dagger \psi_2 + \tilde{\psi}_2 \psi_2^\dagger] X^\dagger, \]

(5.41b)
and their $x$-derivatives
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_x X &= \frac{m}{2a} (\psi_1 - \tilde{\psi}_1) + \frac{im}{2} (\psi_2 + \psi_2) - \frac{ig}{4} \left[ \tilde{\psi}_1 \tilde{\psi}_1 + \psi_1 \psi_1 - \tilde{\psi}_2 \tilde{\psi}_2 - \psi_2 \psi_2 \right] X, \quad (5.42a) \\
\partial_x X^i &= \frac{m}{2a} (\psi^i_1 - \tilde{\psi}^i_1) - \frac{im}{2} (\psi^i_2 + \tilde{\psi}^i_2) + \frac{ig}{4} \left[ \tilde{\psi}^i_1 \tilde{\psi}^i_1 + \psi^i_1 \psi^i_1 - \tilde{\psi}^i_2 \tilde{\psi}^i_2 - \psi^i_2 \psi^i_2 \right] X^i, \quad (5.42b)
\end{align*}
\]
which correspond precisely to the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the classical GT model [9, 21].

Let us consider now the defect contributions to the conserved quantities. As we have discussed across this work, the entries of the defect matrix determine the modified conserved quantities from (3.9). First of all, let us consider the first set of conserved quantities given by (5.18) in the presence of a defect:
\[
I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \left[ \tilde{q}_1 \tilde{\Gamma}_{31} + \frac{ig}{2} \rho_- \right] + \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \left[ q_1 \Gamma_{31} + \frac{ig}{2} \rho_- \right]. \quad (5.43)
\]
Then, taking the time derivative and using the formula we found that $I_1 + D_1$ is conserved, where the defect contribution $D_1$ to this first set of conserved quantities is explicitly given by
\[
D_1 = -\ln[K_{11} + K_{12} \Gamma_{21} + K_{13} \Gamma_{31}]_{|x=0}. \quad (5.44)
\]
Hence, by taking the both expansions in negative and positive powers of $\lambda$ and the explicit form of the defect matrix (5.37), we obtain
\[
D^{(0)}_1 = \left( \frac{iga}{2m} \right) \tilde{X}^i X, \quad \tilde{D}^{(0)}_1 = -\left( \frac{iga}{2m} \right) X^i, \quad (5.45a)
\]
\[
D^{(2)}_1 = -\frac{g}{m} \tilde{X}^i X - \frac{2g}{m} X^i \psi^1_1, \quad \tilde{D}^{(2)}_1 = \frac{ga^2}{m} \tilde{X}^i X + \frac{2iag}{m} X^i \psi^1_2. \quad (5.45b)
\]
In a similar way, repeating the computations for the other two generating functions (5.30a) and (5.30b), we find that the respective defect contributions are given by
\[
D_2 = -\ln[K_{21} \Gamma_{12} + K_{22} + K_{23} \Gamma_{32}]_{|x=0}, \quad (5.46a)
\]
\[
D_3 = -\ln[K_{31} \Gamma_{13} + K_{32} \Gamma_{23} + K_{33}]_{|x=0}. \quad (5.46b)
\]
Using them, we obtain
\[
D^{(0)}_2 = -\left( \frac{iga}{2m} \right) \tilde{X}^i X, \quad \tilde{D}^{(0)}_2 = \left( \frac{iga}{2m} \right) \tilde{X}^i X, \quad D^{(0)}_3 = 0 = \tilde{D}^{(0)}_3, \quad (5.47a)
\]
\[
D^{(2)}_2 = \frac{g}{m} \tilde{X}^i X - \frac{2g}{m} \tilde{X}^i \psi^1_1, \quad \tilde{D}^{(2)}_2 = \frac{ga^2}{m} \tilde{X}^i X - \frac{2iag}{m} \tilde{X}^i \psi^1_2, \quad (5.47b)
\]
\[
D^{(2)}_3 = \frac{g}{m} \tilde{X}^i \psi^1_1 - \frac{2g}{m} X^i \psi^1_1, \quad \tilde{D}^{(2)}_3 = -\frac{2iag}{m} \tilde{X}^i \psi^1_2 + \frac{2iag}{m} X^i \psi^1_2. \quad (5.47c)
\]
As was expected, we also have the relations $D^{(n)}_n = D^{(n)}_1 + D^{(n)}_2$ and $\tilde{D}^{(n)}_n = \tilde{D}^{(n)}_1 + \tilde{D}^{(n)}_2$. Then, defining by analogy the following defect quantities
\[
\begin{align*}
D^{(0)} = D^{(0)}_1 - D^{(0)}_2 - D^{(0)}_3 &= \left( \frac{iga}{m} \right) \tilde{X}^i X, \quad (5.48a) \\
\tilde{D}^{(0)} = \tilde{D}^{(0)}_1 - \tilde{D}^{(0)}_2 - \tilde{D}^{(0)}_3 &= -\left( \frac{iga}{m} \right) \tilde{X}^i X, \quad (5.48b)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ D^{(2)} = D^{(2)}_1 + D^{(2)}_2 + D^{(2)}_3 = \frac{4g}{m} X^\dagger \psi_1 - \frac{4g}{m} X \psi_1^\dagger, \]
\[ \widehat{D}^{(2)} = \widehat{D}^{(2)}_1 + \widehat{D}^{(2)}_2 + \widehat{D}^{(2)}_3 = -\frac{4ag}{m} X^\dagger \psi_2 + \frac{4ag}{m} X \psi_2^\dagger, \]

the corresponding defect number of occupation, energy and momentum can be written in the following way:

\[ N_D = \frac{1}{2ig} (D^{(0)} - \widehat{D}^{(0)}) = \frac{a}{m} X^\dagger X, \]
\[ E_D = \frac{m}{8ig} (D^{(2)} - \widehat{D}^{(2)}) = \frac{i}{2} \{ (X^\dagger \psi_1 + X \psi_1^\dagger) - ia(X^\dagger \psi_2 - X \psi_2^\dagger) \}, \]
\[ P_D = \frac{m}{8ig} (D^{(2)} + \widehat{D}^{(2)}) = \frac{i}{2} \{ (X^\dagger \psi_1 + X \psi_1^\dagger) + ia(X^\dagger \psi_2 - X \psi_2^\dagger) \}. \]

Note that we can rewrite these results by using the Bäcklund transformations (5.39) and (5.40) in a more convenient form by eliminating the auxiliary fields \( X \) and \( X^\dagger \). The results are the following:

\[ E_D = \frac{i}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi}_1^\dagger \psi_1 - \psi_1^\dagger \bar{\psi}_1 + \bar{\psi}_2^\dagger \psi_2 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\psi}_2 \right] - \frac{ag}{2m} (\bar{\psi}_1^\dagger \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1) - \frac{g}{2ma} (\bar{\psi}_2^\dagger \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2). \]
\[ P_D = \frac{i}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi}_1^\dagger \psi_1 - \psi_1^\dagger \bar{\psi}_1 - \bar{\psi}_2^\dagger \psi_2 + \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\psi}_2 \right] - \frac{ag}{2m} (\bar{\psi}_1^\dagger \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1) + \frac{g}{2ma} (\bar{\psi}_2^\dagger \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2). \]

Then we have particularly derived in an alternative way the defect energy and momentum for the GT model in the presence of type-II defects. These results are in complete agreement with the ones obtained based on variational principles [9]. The advantage of the approach used in this work is that it provides us a formal way to compute explicitly an infinite number of conserved quantities ensuring integrability of these models. However, taking into account recent developments in the area of integrable defects [22] it is interesting to investigate how to provide a Hamiltonian formulation to include the models discussed in this work, since the role of the degree of freedom corresponding to the defect itself needs to be clarified. In the following section, we discuss some useful ideas in addressing this question, but a more complete description of the Hamiltonian approach will be postponed to a future work.

6. Comments on Liouville integrability

So far, an infinite set of independent modified conserved quantities arising from the defect contributions have been systematically constructed through a general formula derived from a variant of the classical inverse scattering method, which are from our point of view sufficient for these kinds of defects to be regarded as integrable. However, the question of the involutivity of such quantities (required to discuss complete integrability in the sense of Liouville) still has to be answered. In this section, we address some relevant facts related to this problem.

Certainly, the Hamiltonian formulation of the classical inverse scattering method, which is essentially based on the concept of a classical \( \tau \)-matrix [23], is perhaps the most elegant and convenient framework to discuss involutivity. Let us start with the main aspects of the method in order to discuss this issue in the bulk. In the inverse scattering method, the construction of the action-angle variables depends basically on the entries of the monodromy matrix

\[ \tau(\lambda) = T(\infty, -\infty; \lambda), \]

where

\[ T(x, y; \lambda) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ \int_x^y U(z; \lambda) \, dz \right\} \]

(6.1)
is the transition matrix, $U(x; \lambda)$ is the $x$-part of the Lax (2.1b) at a given time and $P$ is the path ordering. As was noted in [23, 24], the existence of the classical $r$-matrix, an $m^2 \times m^2$ matrix which satisfies the relation

$$[U(x; \lambda_1) \otimes U(y; \lambda_2)] = \delta(x - y)[r(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), U(x; \lambda_1) \otimes I_2 + I_2 \otimes U(y; \lambda_2)].$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.2)}$$

permits us to write down the Poisson brackets between matrix elements of the transition matrix in the following form:

$$\{T(x, y; \lambda_1) \otimes T(x, y; \lambda_2)\} = [r(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), T(x, y; \lambda_1) \otimes T(x, y; \lambda_2)].$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.3)}$$

from which it is derived that the logarithm of the traces of the monodromy matrix commutes for different values of the spectral parameter, namely

$$\ln \{\tau(\lambda_1), \ln \tau(\lambda_2)\} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.4)}$$

Expanding (6.4) with respect to $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, we obtain the involutivity of the conserved quantities $\{I_n\}$, which means that $\ln \tau(\lambda)$ is the generating functional for the integrals of motion. Let us mention that the explicit form of the $r$-matrix for the massive Thirring models can be found in [23].

Now, let us discuss how the classical $r$-matrix approach is modified by including jump defect (or point-like defect) in the system. As was noted in [18] and more recently in [22], the description of an integrable defect in the $r$-matrix approach requires to introduce a modified transition matrix

$$T(x, y; \lambda) = T(x, 0^+; \lambda)K^{-1}(0; \lambda)\tilde{T}(0^-, y; \lambda),$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.5)}$$

which is a combined bulk-defect transition matrix, where $T(x, 0^+; \lambda)$ and $\tilde{T}(0^-, y; \lambda)$ are the bulk transition matrices corresponding to $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ respectively, and $K(\lambda) \equiv K(0; \lambda)$ is the defect matrix whose entries are evaluated in the single point $x = 0$. The key point in order to show Liouville integrability is to require that the defect matrix satisfies the Poisson algebra (6.7), namely

$$\{K^{-1}(\lambda_1) \otimes K^{-1}(\lambda_2)\} = [r(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), K^{-1}(\lambda_1) \otimes K^{-1}(\lambda_2)].$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.6)}$$

where $r(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the same classical $r$-matrix for the bulk transition matrices. Hence, the above requirement is a sufficient condition to obtain the important result:

$$\{T(x, y; \lambda_1) \otimes T(x, y; \lambda_2)\} = [r(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), T(x, y; \lambda_1) \otimes T(x, y; \lambda_2)],$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.7)}$$

which guarantees the existence of the infinite set of modified conserved quantities. Similar to the bulk theory, the explicit form of these integrals of motion can be extracted by introducing the following representation for the bulk transition matrix [25]:

$$T(x, y; \lambda) = (1 + W(x; \lambda))e^{Z(x, y; \lambda)}(1 + \tilde{W}(y; \lambda))^{-1},$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.8)}$$

where $W(x; \lambda)$ is an off-diagonal matrix and $Z(x, y; \lambda)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then, the logarithm of the trace of the modified monodromy matrix (6.5) is the generating function of the modified conserved quantities, where the defect contributions in an appropriate expansion in $\lambda$ read [18, 22]

$$D(\lambda) = \ln[(1 + W(0^+, \lambda))^{-1}K^{-1}(\lambda)(1 + \tilde{W}(0^-, \lambda))]_{ii},$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(6.9)}$$

where the subscript $ii$ denotes the leading term coming from the trace of the modified monodromy matrix for the given expansion. At first sight, it seems that a direct relationship does not exist between the above result and the generating function (3.9), that we have worked with. However, note that $W(x; \lambda)$ satisfies a matrix Riccati equation similar to (2.4), which permits us to derive recursively its coefficients in an asymptotic series expansion as $\lambda \to \infty$ and $\lambda \to 0$, and to demonstrate order by order that the results are complete equivalent for
the massive Thirring models. This analysis deserves more attention than we could give at this moment and is left for future investigations.

It is remarkable that the approach we have adopted (see also [12]) uses essentially an on-shell defect matrix which implies that its entries have non-vanishing Poisson brackets with the bulk monodromy matrices elements. This fact has already been outlined in [6] for the Hamiltonian formulation of the type-II defects in the sine-Gordon and Tzitzéica model, where the defect conditions appear as a set of second class constraints on the fields, which induces a slight modification of the canonical Poisson brackets. This issue can indeed be solved by working firstly with the off-shell defect matrix to compute the Poisson brackets and then deriving the constraints as consistency conditions in constructing the time-like operator in the Lax pair such that the zero curvature condition provides the same equations of motion as the ones coming from the Hamiltonian evolution derived via Poisson brackets [22].

7. Concluding remarks and perspectives

In this paper, we have presented a systematic approach to the integrability problem of the bosonic Thirring (BT) and Grassmannian Thirring (GT) models with type-II defects using the inverse scattering formalism. Such a formulation allows us to explicitly compute the modified conserved quantities to all orders in terms of the defect matrix.

We have followed the approach to defects in classical integrable field theories given in [11] to present for the $m \times m$ linear problem, a direct generalization for the generating function of the defect contributions for the modified conserved quantities to all orders. We have successfully applied this procedure in the case of BT ($m = 2$), and GT ($m = 3$) models. For the latter, we have recovered previous results obtained from the Lagrangian approach [9]. For the BT model, we have also derived explicitly the defect contributions for the energy and momentum. These results seem not to be reported elsewhere in the literature and should be of crucial importance for further studies on its possible Lagrangian description.

However, a remarkable aspect is that despite the duality relation between the sine-Gordon and Thirring models, it is not clear to the author why they allow different types of integrable defects in the sense that the sine-Gordon model allows type-I and type-II defects, but the Thirring model apparently only allows type-II integrable defects. It is worth exploring in more detail the relation between these type-II defects through bosonization techniques in future developments.

Finally, taking into account the reasons already mentioned in section 6 about the classical $r$-matrix description of Liouville-integrable point-like defects in integrable field theories, it should be interesting to investigate the aspects of the complete integrability of the BT and GT models with type-II defects within the Hamiltonian framework. Perhaps the most important motivation to perform that further study is the possibility of considering the quantization by the so-called quantum inverse scattering method [24, 26, 27]. Some of these questions are expected to be developed in future investigations.
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**Appendix. A brief review of type-I defect sine-Gordon model**

The sine-Gordon equation

\[ \partial_t^2 \psi - \partial_x^2 \psi = -m^2 \sin \psi \]  

(A.1)

can be derived as a compatibility condition for the associated linear problem given by the Lax pair

\[ U = \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \left( \partial_x \right) \frac{q(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right], \quad V = \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \left( \partial_x \right) \frac{A(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right], \]  

(A.2)

where it has defined the fields

\[ q(\lambda) = -\frac{m}{4} (\lambda e^{i \pi} - \lambda^{-1} e^{-i \pi}), \quad r(\lambda) = \frac{m}{4} (\lambda e^{i \pi} - \lambda^{-1} e^{-i \pi}), \]  

(A.3)

\[ A(\lambda) = -\frac{m}{4} (\lambda e^{i \pi} + \lambda^{-1} e^{-i \pi}), \quad B(\lambda) = \frac{m}{4} (\lambda e^{i \pi} + \lambda^{-1} e^{-i \pi}). \]  

(A.4)

From the linear system, we can derive two conservation equations, namely

\[ \partial_t \left[ q \Gamma_{21} - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_x \psi) \right] = \rho \left[ A \Gamma_{21} - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_x \psi) \right], \]  

(A.5)

\[ \partial_t \left[ r \Gamma_{12} + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_x \psi) \right] = \rho \left[ B \Gamma_{12} + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_x \psi) \right], \]  

(A.6)

where the auxiliary functions \( \Gamma_{21} = \Phi_2 \Phi_1^{-1} \) and \( \Gamma_{12} = \Phi_1 \Phi_2^{-1} \) have been introduced, which satisfy the Riccati equations

\[ \partial_t \Gamma_{21} = r + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \psi) \Gamma_{21} - q \Gamma_{21}^2, \quad \partial_t \Gamma_{12} = q - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \psi) \Gamma_{12} - r \Gamma_{12}^2. \]  

(A.7)

Solving these equations for \( \Gamma_{21} \) and \( \Gamma_{12} \), by considering the respective expansions in both positive and negative powers of \( \lambda \), we obtain

\[ \Gamma_{21}^{(0)} = i e^{-i \psi}, \quad \Gamma_{12}^{(0)} = i e^{i \psi}, \quad \dot{\Gamma}_{21}^{(0)} = i e^{i \psi}, \quad \dot{\Gamma}_{12}^{(0)} = i e^{-i \psi}, \]  

(A.8)

\[ \Gamma_{21}^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{m} [\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi] e^{-i \psi}, \quad \dot{\Gamma}_{21}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{m} [\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi] e^{i \psi}, \]  

(A.9)

\[ \Gamma_{12}^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{m} [\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi] e^{i \psi}, \quad \dot{\Gamma}_{12}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{m} [\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi] e^{-i \psi}, \]  

(A.10)

\[ \Gamma_{21}^{(2)} = e^{-i \psi} \left[ -\frac{2}{m} \partial_x (\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi) + \frac{i}{2m^2} (\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi)^2 + \sin \psi \right], \]  

(A.11)

\[ \dot{\Gamma}_{21}^{(2)} = e^{i \psi} \left[ -\frac{2}{m} \partial_x (\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi) + \frac{i}{2m^2} (\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi)^2 - \sin \psi \right], \]  

(A.12)

\[ \Gamma_{12}^{(2)} = e^{i \psi} \left[ \frac{2}{m} \partial_x (\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi) + \frac{i}{2m^2} (\partial_x \psi + \partial_x \psi)^2 - \sin \psi \right], \]  

(A.13)

\[ \dot{\Gamma}_{12}^{(2)} = e^{-i \psi} \left[ \frac{2}{m} \partial_x (\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi) + \frac{i}{2m^2} (\partial_x \psi - \partial_x \psi)^2 + \sin \psi \right]. \]  

(A.14)
by (A.5) and (A.6), we have that the first non-vanishing conserved quantities are given by

\[ I_1^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi - \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right], \]  

(A.15)

\[ \hat{I}_1^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi - \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right], \]  

(A.16)

\[ I_2^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi + \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right], \]  

(A.17)

\[ \hat{I}_2^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi - \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right]. \]  

(A.18)

Therefore, from the two generating functions of the infinite conserved quantities determined by (A.5) and (A.6), we have that the first non-vanishing conserved quantities are given by

\[ I_1^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi + \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right], \]  

(A.19)

\[ P = \text{im} (I_1^{(1)} - I_2^{(1)} - \hat{I}_1^{(1)} + \hat{I}_2^{(1)}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x \varphi \right)^2 + \left( \partial_y \varphi \right)^2 - m^2 \cos \varphi \right]. \]  

(A.20)

Now, considering the generating function of infinite charges in the presence of a defect, we find that the first contributions to the modified conserved quantities are given by

\[ D_1 = -\ln |K_{11} + K_{12} \Gamma_{21}|_{|\omega = 0}. \]  

(A.21)

where the defect matrix can be explicitly written as [4]

\[ K = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i(\varphi - \psi)} & \lambda^{-1}\sigma e^{-i(\varphi + \psi)} \\ -\lambda^{-1}\sigma e^{i(\varphi + \psi)} & e^{i(\varphi - \psi)} \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(A.22)

Hence, taking into account the expansion in both negative and positive powers of \( \lambda \) and the form of the defect matrix (A.22), we find that

\[ D_1^{(1)} = -i \sigma e^{-i(\varphi + \psi)/2}, \quad \hat{D}_1^{(1)} = \frac{i}{\sigma} e^{-i(\varphi - \psi)/2} - \frac{1}{m} \left( \partial_x \varphi - \partial_y \varphi \right). \]  

(A.23)

Now, following the same procedure for the second generating function, one obtains

\[ D_2 = -\ln |K_{21} \Gamma_{12} + K_{22}|_{|\omega = 0}. \]  

(A.24)

from which we obtain the following contributions:

\[ D_2^{(1)} = i \sigma e^{i(\varphi + \psi)/2}, \quad \hat{D}_2^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{\sigma} e^{i(\varphi - \psi)/2} - \frac{1}{m} \left( \partial_x \varphi - \partial_y \varphi \right). \]  

(A.25)

Then, the corresponding defect energy and momentum are given by

\[ E_D = \text{im} \left[ D_1^{(1)} - D_2^{(1)} - \hat{D}_1^{(1)} + \hat{D}_2^{(1)} \right] = 2m \left[ \sigma \cos \left( \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \cos \left( \frac{\varphi - \psi}{2} \right) \right]. \]  

(A.26)

\[ P_D = \text{im} \left[ D_1^{(1)} - D_2^{(1)} + \hat{D}_1^{(1)} - \hat{D}_2^{(1)} \right] = 2m \left[ \sigma \cos \left( \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \cos \left( \frac{\varphi - \psi}{2} \right) \right]. \]  

(A.27)

which are in complete agreement with the results previously obtained from both the Lagrangian [4] and the \( r \)-matrix [18] approaches.
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