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ABSTRACT

To calibrate stellar velocity dispersion measurements from optical and near-IR stellar lines, and to improve the black hole mass ($M_{\text{BH}}$)–stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma_*$) relation, we measure $\sigma_*$ based on high-quality H-band spectra for a sample of 31 nearby galaxies, for which dynamical $M_{\text{BH}}$ is available in the literature. By comparing velocity dispersions measured from stellar lines in the H-band with those measured from optical stellar lines, we find no significant difference, suggesting that optical and near-IR stellar lines represent the same kinematics and that dust effect is negligible for early-type galaxies. Based on the spatially resolved rotation and velocity dispersion measurements along the major axis of each galaxy, we find that a rotating stellar disk is present for 80% of galaxies in the sample. For galaxies with a rotation component, $\sigma_*$ measured from a single aperture spectrum can vary by up to $\sim 20\%$, depending on the size of the adopted extraction aperture. To correct for the rotational broadening, we derive luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ within the effective radius of each galaxy, providing uniformly measured velocity dispersions to improve the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The black hole mass ($M_{\text{BH}}$) correlation with host galaxy properties has been one of the main issues in understanding galaxy evolution and black hole growth. In particular, the relatively tight correlation between $M_{\text{BH}}$ and stellar velocity dispersion ($M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$) has been reported for nearby galaxies with dynamically measured $M_{\text{BH}}$ (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000a), as well as present-day active galaxies with $M_{\text{BH}}$ determined from reverberation-mapping results (Onken et al. 2004; Woo et al. 2010). While early studies claimed a remarkably tight $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation with its intrinsic scatter below $\sim 0.3$ dex (e.g., Tremaine et al. 2002), recent studies showed a larger intrinsic scatter and a steeper slope due to the increased sample size, inclusion of more diverse galaxies, i.e., late-type and pseudobulge galaxies, and the improvements of $M_{\text{BH}}$ measurements based on better dynamical modeling and data (Ferrarese & Ford 2005; Graham 2008; Gültekin et al. 2009a; McConnell et al. 2011, 2012).

In understanding BH–galaxy coevolution, the present-day $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation sets a local calibration point as most observational studies investigated cosmic evolution of the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation by measuring an offset from the local relationship (e.g., Woo et al. 2006, 2008; Bennert et al. 2010, 2011b). At the same time, the present-day $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation has been used for calibrating the $M_{\text{BH}}$ of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), which is determined from the kinematics of sub-pc scale broad-emission line region. The unknown virial factor for converting the line-of-sight velocity of broad-line region gas to the intrinsic velocity has been empirically determined by matching the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation of quiescent and active galaxies at $z \sim 0$ (Onken et al. 2004; Woo et al. 2010; Park et al. 2012). Thus, defining the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_*$ relation in the local universe is of importance to unveil the nature of BH–galaxy coevolution.

Stellar kinematics studies based on the near-IR stellar lines became powerful as near-IR spectrographs combined with laser-guide star adaptive optics provides the best spatial resolution for the ground-based facilities (e.g., Watson et al. 2008). Moreover, measuring $\sigma_*$ in the near-IR is more promising for AGN host galaxies since AGN-to-star flux ratios are much more favorable in the near-IR (Dasyra et al. 2007; Watson et al. 2008; Woo et al. 2010) while it is almost impossible to measure $\sigma_*$ in the optical for host galaxies of high-luminosity QSOs.

Despite the increasing usage of near-IR spectra for probing stellar kinematics, a proper comparison between optical and near-IR measurements is still lacking. By measuring velocity dispersion of 25 early-type galaxies based on the CO absorption band head at 2.29 $\mu$m in the K-band, Silge & Gebhardt (2003) claimed that velocity dispersion measured from near-IR stellar lines was systematically smaller by 10–30% than that measured from optical stellar lines. In contrast, Rotheberg & Fischer (2010) reported that optical and near-IR velocity dispersions were consistent for a sample of 23 early-type galaxies, by comparing $\sigma_*$ measured from the CO band heads in the K-band, with $\sigma_*$ measured from the Ca II triplet. Vanderbeke et al. (2011) also measured velocity dispersion based on the CO band heads for a sample of 22 early-type galaxies, and presented consistent results with respect to optical $\sigma_*$.

The discrepancy among various studies may have resulted from the systematic uncertainties of the velocity dispersion measurements since the...
line dispersion was measured from intrinsically broad CO band heads in the K-band and template mismatch could be very strong (see Silge & Gebhardt 2003). In contrast, the H-band spectral range (∼1.6–1.7 μm) contains many more stellar lines, e.g., Si i, CO, and Mg i than the K-band, and is possibly less susceptible to template mismatch although the presence of strong sky OH lines is a downside. To utilize the H-band stellar lines for studying stellar kinematics, a proper comparison is required between \( \sigma_* \) measured from H-band spectra with that measured from optical spectra stellar lines.

To derive reliable \( \sigma_* \) to represent the kinematics of the pressure-supported bulge or spheroidal component, the effect of the rotation component should be corrected for. In the case of galaxies with a rotating stellar disk, the line-of-sight velocity dispersion can be easily overestimated due to rotational broadening if a large aperture is used to extract a spectrum (e.g., Bennert et al. 2011a; Harris et al. 2012). The effect of rotational broadening is stronger for more edge-on stellar disks, potentially producing systematic bias. Thus, it is important to correct for rotational broadening.

In this paper, we measure the stellar velocity dispersion of 31 nearby galaxies using high-quality H-band spectra. We compare \( \sigma_* \) measurements based on stellar lines in the H-band with optical \( \sigma_* \) measurements from the literature. We also correct for the rotation and aperture effect based on the spatially resolved kinematics measurements to improve the \( M_{BH} - \sigma_* \) relation. The paper is organized as follows. We describe sample selection, observations, and data reduction in Section 2. In Section 3, we present \( \sigma_* \) measurements, and the effects of rotation and aperture size. In Section 4, we compare our H-band \( \sigma_* \) measurements with optical \( \sigma_* \) from the literature, and derive the \( M_{BH} - \sigma_* \) relation for early-type galaxies based on the rotation-corrected \( \sigma_* \). The main results are summarized in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Sample Selection and Observations

To directly compare optical and near-IR stellar velocity dispersions and to calibrate the \( M_{BH} - \sigma_* \) relation, we select 31 nearby galaxies from the \( M_{BH} - \sigma_* \) sample (e.g., Gültekin et al. 2009a), for which dynamical \( M_{BH} \) measurements and optical \( \sigma_* \) measurements are available. The sample is mainly composed of early-type galaxies (20 ellipticals, 8 lenticulars, and 3 spirals) and spans a wide range in \( \sigma_* \) from 67 km s\(^{-1}\) to 385 km s\(^{-1}\) as listed in Table 1. Also, it covers three orders of magnitude in \( M_{BH} \) and constitutes about half the sample size of galaxies with dynamical \( M_{BH} \) measurements (McConnell & Ma 2013).

Observations were performed at the Palomar Hale 5 m telescope using the near-IR spectrograph TripleSpec, simultaneously covering the wavelength range from 1.0 μm to 2.4 μm. In this work we only employ the H-band spectra centered at ∼1.7 μm, as it covers many stellar absorption lines suitable for the \( \sigma_* \) measurement. We place an 1″ × 30″ long-slit along the major axis of each galaxy. The spectral resolution of TripleSpec is \( R = 2500–2700 \), corresponding to a Gaussian dispersion ∼50 km s\(^{-1}\). As the lowest optical \( \sigma_* \) is 67 km s\(^{-1}\) (for NGC 7457), this spectral resolution is suitable for our study.

For sky subtraction, in particular for the strong OH sky emission lines, we also observed blank sky, offset by several arc minutes from each galaxy, since the size of each galaxy is larger than the slit length and fills the entire slit. We divide the total exposure time into segments of 200 second exposures to avoid saturation in the K-band. The total on-source exposure time ranges from 600 to 1000 s depending on the magnitude of individual galaxies (see Table 1). We observed several A0V stars each night to correct for telluric lines. We also observed 11 K- and M-type giant stars as velocity templates for the stellar velocity dispersion determination.

2.2. Data Reduction

We performed standard data reduction, i.e., bias subtraction, flat-fielding and wavelength calibration using a series of IRAF scripts, then extracted one-dimensional spectra using various extraction windows. For telluric absorption correction, we constructed a telluric line template for each observing night, based on the spectra of A0V stars observed during the night. For each A0V star, we fitted their Brackett lines with double Gaussians and normalized the spectra by its continuum. Dividing the observed A0V star spectrum by this model spectrum provides a telluric template. We combined all telluric templates to construct a mean template for a given night. Then, we used the template to correct the galaxy spectra for telluric absorption lines.

To investigate the effect of galaxy rotation on stellar velocity dispersion measurements, we extracted spatially resolved spectra from a number of small extraction windows (4–16 pixels) along the galaxy’s major axis, which were allowed to overlap with each other and to slightly increase at larger radii for obtaining better signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). The resolution for spatial binning depends on the distance to each galaxy. Typically ∼10 spectra were extracted within a fraction of the \( R_e \) (see Section 3.5 for details).

A series of single-aperture spectra were also extracted using various aperture sizes in order to investigate the aperture effect. Many previous studies used spatially unresolved \( \sigma_* \) measurements, which were affected by line broadening due to galaxy rotation. This leads to an overestimation of the galaxy’s \( \sigma_* \), depending on how much rotation is included in the extraction aperture. Thus, the choice of different aperture sizes can affect the \( \sigma_* \) measurement as presented in Section 3.4. In contrast, we can correct the \( \sigma_* \) measurement for the rotational broadening using our spatially resolved spectra. Details on this correction are presented in Sections 3.4 and 3.5.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Stellar Velocity Dispersion Measurements

We measured the stellar velocity dispersion of 31 galaxies in the sample using the stellar lines in the 1.57–1.72 μm range, i.e., CO(4–1) 1.58 μm, Si i 1.59 μm, CO(5–2) 1.6 μm, CO(6–3) 1.62 μm, CO(7–4) 1.64 μm, CO(8–5) 1.66 μm, and Mg i 1.71 μm (see Figure 1). Using the Gauss–Hermite Pixel Fitting software (van der Marel 1994; Woo et al. 2004, 2005, 2006), we performed \( \chi^2 \) minimization in fitting the galaxy spectra directly in pixel space to stellar template spectra broadened by a Gaussian kernel with velocity widths ranging from 50 to 350 km s\(^{-1}\). The continua of the spectra of the template stars are fitted with low-order (2–3) polynomials while the Fe ii emission line at 1.65 μm, bad pixels and residuals from sky line subtraction were masked out before the fitting.

3.2. Template Mismatch

Since the \( \sigma_* \) measurement is affected by the choice of template star, it is necessary to quantify the uncertainty due to the template mismatch. Using 11 velocity template stars of various spectral types, namely, K0 III, K1 III, K2 III, K5III,
two M0 III, M1 III, two M2 III, M3 III, and M5 III, which were observed with the same instrumental setup during our observing runs, we measured and compared $\sigma_*$ for individual galaxies in the sample, in order to investigate the variation in the $\sigma_*$ measurement caused by template mismatch. Then, we accounted for template mismatch in the determination of $\sigma_*$ by averaging $\sigma_*$ measurements using various template stars. 

To compare the overall spectral shapes, we present the spectra of the individual template stars, after broadening them with a Gaussian velocity (red thick lines) in Figure 1. The observed spectrum of NGC 1023 is overplotted (black lines) to demonstrate the template mismatch. In the stellar spectra, the line strength of the CO absorption lines increases toward later-type stars (from upper panels to lower panels). This trend is in particular clearly shown for the CO(6–3) line at 1.62 $\mu$m and also for the Mg I line at 1.71 $\mu$m. On the other hand, the Si I line strength shows no strong variation with spectral type. The comparison in Figure 2 clearly shows that spectra of K-type stars provide a poor match to the observed galaxy spectrum in this wavelength range, while spectra of M-type stars can fit the observed galaxy spectrum reasonably well.

In Figure 2, we compare the multiple measurements of $\sigma_*$ of NGC 1023, using each template star for the fitting. As expected from Figure 1, the $\sigma_*$ measured from M-type stars shows small variation, while $\sigma_*$ measured from K-type stars exhibits a larger scatter, suggesting that M-type stars provide a fair representation
of the luminosity-weighted stellar population in the H-band. Therefore, we excluded the measurements from K-type stars and calculated the mean $\sigma_*$ based on the six M-type stars. Since the M5 III star shows slightly different line shapes compared to other M-type stars (see Figure 1), we also excluded the measurement based on the M5 III template. After calculating the standard deviation of the measurements from six M-type stars as the uncertainty of template mismatch, we added the uncertainty of template mismatch to the mean measurement errors from six M-type stars in quadrature, in order to determine the uncertainty of $\sigma_*$. For example, the red diamond in Figure 2 indicates the mean $\sigma_*$, derived from six template stars and its uncertainty. In Figure 3, we present the normalized observed spectrum (black solid line) of each galaxy in the sample, overplotted with the best-fit model (red solid line).

3.3. Spatially Resolved Stellar Velocity Dispersions

By extracting spectra over several bins along the major axis, we obtained spatially resolved kinematics. In Figures 4 and 5 we show the radial profiles of line-of-sight velocities (upper panel) and velocity dispersions (lower panel) for each galaxy. We used the line-of-sight velocity of the galaxy center as a reference and normalized all velocities with respect to the central value. For most galaxies we extracted 9–13 spectra along the slit (in the direction of the major axis) out to $\pm 7''$ from the center. This is smaller than the slit size ($\pm 15''$) since we were not able to use outer pixels due to much lower S/N than the central bins to measure $\sigma_*$ and the ABBA dither pattern along the slit.

Among the sample galaxies, we find a clear rotation component for 25 out of 31 objects. The amplitude of the projected rotation velocity ranges from $\sim 40$ km s$^{-1}$ to over 200 km s$^{-1}$ while six galaxies, namely NGC 1068, NGC 3608, NGC 4261, NGC 4374, NGC 4486, and NGC 6251 show a weak or no rotation component. For the galaxies with a significant rotation component, we expect line broadening due to the rotation, leading to overestimation of $\sigma_*$, if a large single aperture is used for extraction. While the magnitude of this effect depends on the details of radial profiles of rotation and velocity dispersion of each galaxy, it will lead to a systematic bias if not taken into account. We will account for the rotation component in the stellar velocity dispersion measurement in the next subsection.

In the lower panels of Figures 4 and 5, we show the stellar velocity dispersion profiles along the major axis. While stellar velocity dispersion decreases from the center to the outer regions for most galaxies, several galaxies, e.g., NGC 1068, NGC 4261, NGC 4374, NGC 4596, and NGC 7052, do not show such a decreasing trend of $\sigma_*$, but rather show irregular shapes; flat, increasing or asymmetric trends as similarly reported by previous studies based on optical kinematics studies (e.g., Dressler 1984; Bender et al. 1994; Kent 1990; Pinkney et al. 2003).
Thus, when measuring $\sigma_*$ from a large aperture it is possible to either overestimate or underestimate $\sigma_*$. The magnitude and direction of this bias depends on two factors: (1) the overestimation caused by rotational line broadening and (2) the natural decrease of $\sigma_*$ as a function of radius. The galaxies in the upper panels in Figure 6 are dominated by the first effect. They show relatively strong galaxy rotation and only a mild decrease in their velocity dispersion profile, as shown in Figures 4 and 5, leading to a net increase in $\sigma_*$ with increasing aperture size. For these galaxies, when the extraction aperture covers outer parts of the galaxy where the rotation curves flatten, the aperture effect on $\sigma_*$ also flattens. This is clearly seen, for example, in the case of NGC 3384, which shows a flattening of $\sigma_*$ beyond the third bin, corresponding to the flattening of the rotation curve in Figure 4. NGC 4742 even shows a decrease in $\sigma_*$ beyond the radius where the rotation curves becomes flat.

The decreasing $\sigma_*$ trend for the galaxies in the lower panels of Figure 6 can be explained in a similar way. For these galaxies the decrease in $\sigma_*$ profile is dominating over line broadening due to rotation. For example, NGC 4486 shows the largest variation in $\sigma_*$ as a function of aperture size since it has no significant rotation component while the velocity dispersion profile is strongly decreasing toward larger radii. Similarly, NGC 3608 and NGC 4649 also show strong decrease, which is dominated by the strong decrease in $\sigma_*$ with radius. In contrast, there are galaxies, e.g., NGC 821, where the effect from the rotation curve and the decreasing $\sigma_*$ profile are roughly of the same order, leading to a small net variation of $\sigma_*$ measured from different apertures.

### 3.5. Correction of the Galaxy Rotation Effect

As discussed above, $\sigma_*$ measured within a certain aperture will be susceptible to line broadening by galaxy rotation. In contrast, the spatially resolved stellar velocity dispersions, represented in Figures 4 and 5, do not suffer rotational broadening. Thus, we can use these measurements to compute a rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$. We compute luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ within a radius $R$:

$$\sigma_R = \frac{\int_{-R}^{R} \sigma_*(r) I(r) \, dr}{\int_{-R}^{R} I(r) \, dr},$$

where $I(r)$ is the surface brightness profile of the galaxy and $R$ is the outer radius within which we compute $\sigma_*$. Using the spectral images, we measure the surface brightness profile of each galaxy by fitting with two Gaussian models, and use this fit to compute the luminosity-weight for $\sigma_*$ measured at each radius. We chose an outer radius $R$ for each galaxy based on the $R_e$ (see Table 1). For 20 galaxies, we were able to measure spatially resolved $\sigma_*$ only at the central parts, due to the limited spatial coverage and/or lower S/N at the outer part. Thus, we chose one-eighth of $R_e$ as an outer radius in Equation (1). For the other 11 galaxies, we measured $\sigma_*$ over a larger fraction of $R_e$ (one-fourth to unity) as an outer radius and corrected for the rotation component as listed in Table 2.

In Figure 7 we illustrate the effect of the correction for galaxy rotation. Here, $\sigma_R$ is the luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ within $R$ as computed from Equation (1) while $\sigma_R(uncorr)$ is measured from a single aperture with an aperture size of $R$. As expected, most galaxies show a decrease in velocity dispersion when accounting for the rotation component, while for galaxies without strong rotation component, the correction is marginal. Including six galaxies that show no rotation, the average correction is 6%, while the correction for individual galaxies can be up to $\sim20\%$.

### 3.4. Aperture Size Effect

We investigate the effect of using different aperture sizes on the measured stellar velocity dispersion by directly measuring $\sigma_*$ from apertures of increasing size. In Figure 6 we present the $\sigma_*$ measurements as a function of aperture size, after normalizing them to the $\sigma_*$ measured from the smallest aperture (4–10$''$). We find three different trends (increasing, flat and decreasing) of $\sigma_*$ with increasing aperture size. The 8 galaxies shown in the upper panels exhibit an increase of $\sigma_*$ as a larger aperture size is used, while for 13 galaxies shown in the lower panels, $\sigma_*$ decreases with increasing aperture size. These galaxies show variation of $\sigma_*$ up to 20% as aperture size changes. In contrast, 10 galaxies (middle panels) do not show clear change of $\sigma_*$ as a function of aperture size.

![Normalized spectra of the 31 galaxies and their best-fit models. The broadened template star spectra (thick red solid line) fit the observed galaxy spectra (thin black solid line) reasonably well. Residuals of OH sky emission lines (sharp features in Figure 1) and the AGN Fe emission line (e.g., in NGC 1068) were masked out before fitting.](image)

Figure 3. Normalized spectra of the 31 galaxies and their best-fit models. The broadened template star spectra (thick red solid line) fit the observed galaxy spectra (thin black solid line) reasonably well. Residuals of OH sky emission lines (sharp features in Figure 1) and the AGN Fe emission line (e.g., in NGC 1068) were masked out before fitting.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

In the case of NGC 3608 and NGC 4649 also show strong decrease, which is significant rotation component while the velocity dispersion profile is strongly decreasing toward larger radii. Similarly, the increasing trend for the galaxies in the lower panels is caused by line broadening by galaxy rotation. In contrast, the spatially resolved stellar velocity dispersions, represented in Figures 4 and 5, do not suffer rotational broadening. Thus, we can use these measurements to compute a rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$. We compute luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ within a radius $R$:

$$\sigma_R = \frac{\int_{-R}^{R} \sigma_*(r) I(r) \, dr}{\int_{-R}^{R} I(r) \, dr},$$

where $I(r)$ is the surface brightness profile of the galaxy and $R$ is the outer radius within which we compute $\sigma_*$. Using the spectral images, we measure the surface brightness profile of each galaxy by fitting with two Gaussian models, and use this fit to compute the luminosity-weight for $\sigma_*$ measured at each radius. We chose an outer radius $R$ for each galaxy based on the $R_e$ (see Table 1). For 20 galaxies, we were able to measure spatially resolved $\sigma_*$ only at the central parts, due to the limited spatial coverage and/or lower S/N at the outer part. Thus, we chose one-eighth of $R_e$ as an outer radius in Equation (1). For the other 11 galaxies, we measured $\sigma_*$ over a larger fraction of $R_e$ (one-fourth to unity) as an outer radius and corrected for the rotation component as listed in Table 2.

In Figure 7 we illustrate the effect of the correction for galaxy rotation. Here, $\sigma_R$ is the luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ within $R$ as computed from Equation (1) while $\sigma_R(uncorr)$ is measured from a single aperture with an aperture size of $R$. As expected, most galaxies show a decrease in velocity dispersion when accounting for the rotation component, while for galaxies without strong rotation component, the correction is marginal. Including six galaxies that show no rotation, the average correction is 6%, while the correction for individual galaxies can be up to $\sim20\%$.
Figure 4. Line-of-sight velocities (upper panel) and stellar velocity dispersions (lower panel) along the major axis. Most galaxies show a clear rotation component and a radial decrease of $\sigma_\star$. The object name and the spatial scale are shown in each upper panel.

The magnitude of the rotation correction tends to be smaller for more massive galaxies. NGC 7052 with the highest $\sigma_\star$ in the sample seems to be an outlier from this trend since it has relatively large rotation while $\sigma_\star$ mildly decreases within $R_e/8$. In summary, we find that stellar velocity dispersions measured from single-aperture spectra can be biased by up to $\sim 20\%$. This is consistent with the results of Bennert et al. (2011a) and Harris et al. (2012).

A possible drawback for the comparison with previous studies, that usually report $\sigma_\star$ measured within $R_e$, is the limited spatial coverage in our work, restricting our measurements to $R_e/8$. To investigate the effect on the $\sigma_\star$ measurement, we tested two extreme cases. First, we assumed a constant $\sigma_\star$ from $R_e/8$ to $R_e$, equal to the value at $R_e/8$. Second, we extrapolated the decreasing stellar velocity dispersion profile out to $R_e$. For both cases we computed the luminosity-weighted $\sigma_\star$ within $R_e$ via
Equation (1). We found that $\sigma_*$ values decrease by only a few percent by increasing the outer radius from $R_e/8$ to $R_e$ in both cases, due to the much lower luminosity weight at outer radii. Thus, our $\sigma_*$ measurements within $R_e/8$ will closely resemble the value that would be measured at $R_e$.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Optical versus Near-IR Velocity Dispersions

In Figure 8 we compare the stellar velocity dispersions measured using our near-IR spectra ($\sigma_{\text{IR}}$) with the literature values measured from optical spectra ($\sigma_{\text{opt}}$). For this comparison, we collected $\sigma_{\text{opt}}$ measurements from McConnell & Ma (2013), who listed their own measurements as well as previously measured values from the literature (see Table 2). Although these optical measurements were based on spatially resolved stellar kinematics and the quoted values were luminosity-weighted or averaged velocity dispersions within the $R_e$ for most galaxies, these values were not homogeneously measured due to the various data quality and the measurement methods. In addition, some of these values in the original works were measured with a smaller aperture size than $R_e$ or the aperture...
size was not clearly stated for many cases in the original references.

Thus, we decide to compare both H-band $\sigma_*$ measurements with/without rotation correction to the optical $\sigma_*$ measurements. As shown in Figure 8, we find no significant difference between $\sigma_{\text{opt}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{IR}}$. The best fit between optical and near-IR measurements is close to a one-to-one relation with a scatter of $\sim 0.04$ dex (10%) when rotation is not corrected for $\sigma_{\text{IR}}$. The lower panel in Figure 8 shows that the rotation-corrected $\sigma_{\text{IR}}$ is slightly smaller than the optical $\sigma_*$ at lower mass range. However, the average offset is only 7%, which is not significant compared to the measurement uncertainties of stellar velocity dispersions.

Note that McConnell & Ma (2013) included rotation in calculating luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$ by adding rotation velocity to velocity dispersion in quadrature (see their Equation (1)). Thus, the slight offset between our $\sigma_{\text{IR}}$ and optical $\sigma_*$ from McConnell & Ma (2013) may be explained by the rotation effect. To test this hypothesis, we derive rotation-included velocity dispersions using the same integral as adopted by McConnell & Ma (2013, Equation (1)). For these consistently measured velocity dispersions, we find that optical and IR velocity dispersions show a one-to-one relationship with a slope of $1.00 \pm 0.05$ and a 0.03 dex (7%) intrinsic scatter. Thus, we...
Table 2
Near-IR and Optical Stellar Velocity Dispersions

| Name       | $\sigma_{R,\text{unc}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_R$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R$ ($R_e$) | $\sigma_{\text{corr}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_{\text{opt}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| N221       | 70 ± 2                           | 65 ± 2                 | 1/8         | 70 ± 2                              | 75 ± 3                            | 1, 2|
| N821       | 207 ± 5                          | 191 ± 8                | 1/8         | 208 ± 5                            | 209 ± 10                          | 3   |
| N1023      | 216 ± 5                          | 205 ± 6                | 1/4         | 217 ± 5                            | 205 ± 10                          | 4   |
| N1068      | 133 ± 3                          | 133 ± 3                | 1/8         | 129 ± 3                            | 151 ± 7                           | 5   |
| N2778      | 170 ± 4                          | 148 ± 11               | 1/4         | 161 ± 4                            | 175 ± 8                           | 3   |
| N2787      | 188 ± 4                          | 170 ± 4                | 1           | 186 ± 3                            | 189 ± 9                           | 6   |
| N3061      | 166 ± 3                          | 165 ± 4                | 1/8         | 157 ± 3                            | 143 ± 7                           | 7   |
| N3115      | 272 ± 12                         | 236 ± 9                | 1/8         | 272 ± 12                           | 230 ± 11                          | 7   |
| N3245      | 203 ± 5                          | 192 ± 6                | 1/2         | 206 ± 7                            | 205 ± 10                          | 7   |
| N3377      | 148 ± 4                          | 127 ± 3                | 1/8         | 147 ± 4                            | 145 ± 7                           | 8, 9|
| N3379      | 205 ± 4                          | 205 ± 6                | 1/8         | 203 ± 5                            | 206 ± 10                          | 10, 2|
| N3384      | 154 ± 3                          | 129 ± 4                | 1/2         | 151 ± 3                            | 143 ± 7                           | 3   |
| N3607      | 210 ± 8                          | 198 ± 7                | 1/8         | 210 ± 8                            | 229 ± 11                          | 11  |
| N3608      | 187 ± 4                          | 193 ± 5                | 1/8         | 187 ± 4                            | 182 ± 9                           | 3   |
| N4258      | 119 ± 3                          | 109 ± 4                | 1/4         | 111 ± 2                            | 115 ± 10                          | 6   |
| N4261      | 290 ± 5                          | 304 ± 8                | 1/8         | 286 ± 6                            | 315 ± 15                          | 12, 2|
| N4291      | 247 ± 7                          | 245 ± 7                | 1/4         | 248 ± 7                            | 242 ± 12                          | 3   |
| N4342      | 224 ± 5                          | 199 ± 8                | 1           | 224 ± 5                            | 225 ± 11                          | 13, 2|
| N4374      | 289 ± 7                          | 292 ± 7                | 1/8         | 290 ± 8                            | 296 ± 14                          | 14, 2|
| N4459      | 157 ± 7                          | 156 ± 7                | 1/8         | 164 ± 6                            | 167 ± 8                           | 7   |
| N4473      | 186 ± 3                          | 173 ± 5                | 1/2         | 186 ± 3                            | 190 ± 9                           | 3   |
| N4486      | 331 ± 11                         | 346 ± 12               | 1/8         | 331 ± 11                           | 375 ± 18                          | 15  |
| N4564      | 177 ± 7                          | 166 ± 6                | 1/8         | 175 ± 7                            | 162 ± 8                           | 3   |
| N4568      | 137 ± 3                          | 136 ± 5                | 1/2         | 139 ± 3                            | 136 ± 6                           | 7   |
| N4649      | 317 ± 11                         | 326 ± 13               | 1/8         | 327 ± 11                           | 385 ± 19                          | 3, 15|
| N4697      | 174 ± 4                          | 153 ± 4                | 1/8         | 172 ± 4                            | 177 ± 8                           | 3   |
| N4742      | 109 ± 3                          | 86 ± 3                 | 1/4         | 104 ± 3                            | 90 ± 5                            | 7   |
| N5845      | 238 ± 4                          | 223 ± 5                | 1           | 237 ± 4                            | 234 ± 11                          | 9   |
| N6251      | 301 ± 11                         | 296 ± 13               | 1/8         | 290 ± 8                            | 290 ± 14                          | 17, 2|
| N7052      | 365 ± 14                         | 334 ± 15               | 1/8         | 327 ± 13                           | 266 ± 13                          | 18  |
| N7457      | 63 ± 2                           | 60 ± 3                 | 1/8         | 63 ± 2                             | 67 ± 3                            | 3   |

Notes. Column 1: object name. Column 2: $H$-band stellar velocity dispersion measured using a single aperture size $R$ without rotation correction. Column 3: luminosity-weighted $H$-band $\sigma_R$ within $R$. Column 4: aperture radius $R$ used for $H$-band $\sigma_R$ in units of $R_e$. Column 5: $H$-band velocity measured using a single aperture within $\pm 7\sigma$. Column 6: optical stellar velocity dispersions. Column 7: reference for optical velocity dispersions.

References. (1) van der Marel et al. 1998; (2) Gebhardt et al. 2000a; (3) Pinkney et al. 2003; (4) Bowler et al. 2001; (5) Nelson & Whittle 1995; (6) G{"u}ltekin et al. 2003a; (7) Kormendy & Gebhardt 2001; (8) Kormendy et al. 1998; (9) Gebhardt et al. 2003; (10) Gebhardt et al. 2008b; (11) G{"u}ltekin et al. 2009b; (12) van der Marel et al. 1990; (13) Cretton & van den Bosch 1999; (14) Bower et al. 1998; (15) Gebhardt et al. 2011; (16) McConnell et al. 2011; (17) Smith et al. 1990; (18) van den Bosch & van der Marel 1995.

conclude that $\sigma_*$ measurements derived from optical and $H$-band stellar lines are consistent.

While many studies have been devoted to measure $\sigma_*$ of galaxies using optical spectra, the number of $\sigma_*$ studies based on near-IR data, either $H$-band or $K$-band spectra is growing. However, there are currently only few studies that actually compared the results from both wavelength regimes. For example, Silge & Gebhardt (2003) measured $\sigma_*$ of a sample of 25 elliptical and lenticular galaxies using the 2.29 $\mu$m CO(2–0) band head in the $K$-band spectra. Comparing their IR results to optical velocity dispersions from the literature, they concluded that IR stellar velocity dispersions can be lower than optical stellar velocity dispersions, by up to 30%–40% and with a median offset of 11%. The inconsistency between optical and near-IR measurements is probably due to a sample bias and measurement uncertainties. First, their sample mainly consists of S0 galaxies and the systematic difference between optical and near-IR velocity dispersion in their study is mainly caused by S0 galaxies while their elliptical subsample does not show a difference between optical and near-IR measurements. Second, Silge & Gebhardt (2003) measured $\sigma_*$ using solely a single CO band head in the $K$-band, which is much more susceptible to template mismatch as explained in their analysis.

In contrast to Silge & Gebhardt (2003), Rothberg & Fischer (2010) reported no inconsistency between optical and near-IR stellar velocity dispersion measurements for elliptical galaxies. Using a sample of 23 elliptical galaxies and 14 merger remnants, they measured $\sigma_*$ from stellar lines in the $K$-band spectra, i.e., CO(2–0), CO(3–1), and CO(4–2) band heads, and compare them with velocity dispersion measured from the optical Ca II triplet line, showing that optical and near-IR stellar velocity dispersions are virtually the same for elliptical galaxies. For merger remnants, Rothberg & Fischer (2010) reported a discrepancy between optical and near-IR velocity dispersions, presumably due to the presence of young stellar population, which are obscured at optical wavelengths. However, for elliptical galaxies, their results indicate that optical and near-IR stellar lines represent the same kinematics and a dust effect is negligible. Similarly, a recent study by Vanderbeke et al. (2011) presented near-IR $\sigma_*$ measurements also based on the CO band heads for a sample of 22 galaxies, consisting of similar numbers of ellipticals and lenticulars. Comparing with previous optical
measurements, they reported that optical and near-IR $\sigma_*$ were consistent for their sample, which is consistent with our results.

In the case of velocity dispersions using $H$-band stellar lines, there has been no systematic comparison with optical velocity dispersions. Using various stellar lines in the $H$-band spectra and carefully accounting for the template mismatch problem (see Section 3.2), for the first time, we show that optical and $H$-band $\sigma_*$ measurements are consistent for early-type galaxies, indicating that optical and $H$-band stellar lines represent the same kinematics and that a dust effect, i.e., obscuration at optical wavelengths, is negligible. These results are consistent with K-band stellar kinematics (Rothberg & Fischer 2010; Vanderbeke et al. 2011). Our results imply that near-IR $\sigma_*$ measurements carried out for AGN host galaxies, for which optical measurements are more difficult to perform due to the strong AGN contribution (e.g., Woo et al. 2010), provide unbiased results, compared to optical measurements.

### 4.2. The $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ Relation for Early-type Galaxies

In this paper we present homogeneously measured $\sigma_*$ for 31 galaxies with dynamical $M_{BH}$ measurements. By accounting for galaxy rotation and implementing a uniform analysis for measuring velocity dispersions, our $\sigma_*$ measurements are slightly different from previous optical values. In this section we demonstrate the effect of these new velocity dispersions on the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation by fitting the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation for 31 galaxies, for which we obtained the rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$. Results on the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation for the full sample of galaxies with dynamical $M_{BH}$ will be presented in a companion paper (J.-H. Woo et al. 2013, in preparation). For this analysis, we used the most recent $M_{BH}$ updates from McConnell & Ma (2013).

We fit the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation as a single-index power law:

$$\log(M_{BH}/M_\odot) = \alpha + \beta \log(\sigma_*/200 \text{ km s}^{-1}).$$

We used the FITEXY method, modified to account for intrinsic scatter in the relation (Tremaine et al. 2002; Park et al. 2012), to perform the fit as shown in Figure 9.

By fitting the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation using the optical $\sigma_*$ from McConnell & Ma (2013), we obtain $\alpha = 8.27 \pm 0.07$, $\beta = 3.98 \pm 0.40$ and an intrinsic scatter of 0.38 $\pm$ 0.05 dex. Our sample has a large overlap with the sample used by Tremaine et al. (2002), and indeed we obtain consistent results for the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation. The slight difference arises mainly from a few different galaxies in both samples and from updated $M_{BH}$ determinations (e.g., Schulze & Gebhardt 2011). By fitting the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation using our rotation-corrected near-IR $\sigma_*$, we find $\alpha = 8.35 \pm 0.08$, $\beta = 3.78 \pm 0.43$ and an intrinsic scatter of 0.38 $\pm$ 0.05 dex. This relation is slightly shallower than that derived from optical $\sigma_*$, but consistent within the uncertainties.

Note that the previous studies on the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation by Gültekin et al. (2009a) and McConnell & Ma (2013) explicitly included rotation in calculating luminosity-weighted $\sigma_*$. In this case, we expect systematic effect on the measured $\sigma_*$ due to the random orientation of stellar disk with respect to the line of sight. To investigate this effect, we calculated $\sigma_*$ by adding velocity to velocity dispersion in quadrature using Equation (1) in McConnell & Ma (2013). The result shows that rotation-included $\sigma_*$ is slightly larger than rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$, particularly at low mass scale, by 0.02 dex ($\sim$5%) on average with a 0.02 ($\sim$5%) scatter. Consequently, when we replace rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$ with rotation-included $\sigma_*$ in fitting the $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation, the slope slightly increases from $3.78 \pm 0.43$ to $3.97 \pm 0.49$ as the $\sigma_*$ values increase preferentially at low mass scale, while intrinsic scatter remains the same.

![Figure 9](image-url)  

**Figure 9.** $M_{BH}$–$\sigma_*$ relation of 31 nearby galaxies, using rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$ measured from our $H$-band spectra (red circles, red line) and rotation-included optical $\sigma_*$ from McConnell & Ma (2013; gray diamonds, gray line), respectively. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Based on these two updated $\sigma_*$, the slope of the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation slightly increases from $3.78 \pm 0.43$ to $3.79 \pm 0.45$; however, two slopes are consistent within the uncertainties. Using only two galaxies, it is not clear whether excluding or including the sphere of influence in determining the effective $\sigma_*$ improves the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation.

Compared to the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation recently presented by McConnell & Ma (2013), we find a significantly shallower slope. They report a slope of $5.64 \pm 0.32$, using a much larger galaxy sample, which includes in particular more galaxies at higher and lower masses. We will investigate the implications of our results on the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation in detail in a companion paper (J.-H. Woo et al. 2013, in preparation).

5. SUMMARY

We observed a sample of 31 nearby galaxies with TripleSpec, a near-IR long-slit spectrograph at the Palomar 5 m telescope in order to homogeneously measure velocity dispersions from the $H$-band stellar lines. The galaxies in the sample cover a wide range in $\sigma_*$ ($67 \text{ km s}^{-1} < \sigma_* < 385 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and their dynamical central BH masses are also available. To account for template mismatch, we used 11 giant stars with spectral type ranging from K0 to M5 as velocity templates, and found that M giants generate the most reliable fits and velocity dispersion measurements.

By measuring velocity and velocity dispersion as a function of radius along the major axis of each galaxy, we determined the rotation curve and velocity dispersion profile. Using these spatially resolved velocity dispersion measurements, we calculated the luminosity-weighted stellar velocity dispersions within the $R_e$ of each galaxy. For 25 out of 31 galaxies in the sample, we found a clear rotation component, indicating that stellar velocity dispersions can be significantly overestimated due to the rotational broadening if a large single aperture is used to extract spectra. Compared to rotation-corrected velocity dispersions, velocity dispersions measured from single-aperture spectra showed systematically larger values by up to $\sim 20\%$.

We compared velocity dispersions measured from $H$-band stellar lines with those measured from optical lines and found no systematic difference, suggesting that optical and near-IR stellar lines represent the same kinematics and that dust effect is negligible for early-type galaxies. Our results confirm that optical and near-IR lines represent the same kinematics and that dust effect is negligible for early-type galaxies. Using the rotation-corrected $\sigma_*$ measurements based on the spatially resolved $H$-band spectra of 31 nearby galaxies, we derived the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation to investigate rotation effect. The slope of the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation is slightly shallower than that based on the rotation-included optical or near-IR $\sigma_*$ measurements. Although rotation effect is not dramatically strong for early-type galaxies, it is potentially important to correct for, particularly for low-mass, late-type galaxies with a strong rotation component, in order to properly determine the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation and its intrinsic scatter. A future study based on spatially resolved spectra for late-type galaxies is required to fully quantify rotation effect on the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation.
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