AIR CAVITY-BASED VIBRATIONAL PIEZOELECTRIC ENERGY HARVESTERS

**Introduction.** Known vibrational energy harvesting methods use a source of vibration to harvest electric energy. Piezoelectric material works as a sensing element converted mechanical energy (vibration) to electrical energy (electric field). The existing piezoelectric energy harvesting (PEHs) devices have low sensitivity, low energy conversion, and low bandwidth. The novelty of the proposed work consists of the design of PEH’s structure. Air cavity was implemented in the design where it is located under the sensing membrane to improve sensitivity. Another novelty is also consisting in the design structure where the flexural membrane was located at the top of electrodes. The third novelty is a new design structure of printed circuit board (PCB). The purpose of improved design is to increase the stress in between the edges of PEH and increase energy conversion. With the new structure of PCB, it will work as a substrate that absorbs surrounding vibration energy and transfers it to sensing element. Methods. Three techniques were successfully designed in PEH and fabricated namely PEH A, PEH B, and PEH C were characterized by two experiments: load and vibration. The load experiment measured load pressure towards the PEH, whereas the vibration experiment measured stress towards the PEH. Results. PEH C has the highest induced voltage for a weight of 5.2 kg at the frequency of 50 Hz and the highest stored voltage for a period of 4 min. The three techniques applied in PEHs were showed improvement in transducer sensitivity and energy conversion. Practical value. A piezoelectric acoustic generator was used in the experiment to compare the performance of the designed PEH with available piezoelectric transducers in the market. The new flexible membrane worked as a sensing element was worked as a cantilever beam. PVDF was used as a sensing element due to the flexibility of the polymer material, which is expected to improve sensitivity and operating bandwidth. References 21, tables 6, figures 19.
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harvesters. A BMP harvester with a 0.267 mm thick layer of PZT5H was attached to a polymer beam (1.6 mm × 4.9 mm × 20.0 mm) and a steel tip mass. The peak voltages increased to 6.20, 15.1, 29.2, and 54.3 V with resonant frequencies of 45 Hz at 0.25 g to 44 Hz at 1 g. Shorter beams were preferred in the design to improve electromechanical coupling and generate more induced voltage [15]. A cantilever beam consisted of a sensing element called piezoelectric bimorph and an electrode called copper with the dimension of 79 mm × 1.55 mm (length × thickness) and attached to the proof mass with the dimension of 20 mm × 4 mm (length × thickness). This device generated an induced voltage of 37 V and output power at 145 Hz. It was installed under a smart road system [16]. For a low mechanical damping ratio, a vacuum package energy harvester (VPH) was designed to cater the problem of 50 % power drop, corresponding to 2 % deviation of frequency. The VPH was similar to the design previously which was consisted of a piezoelectric bimorph with the dimension of 28.6 × 12.7 × 0.508 mm³ and stiffness of $K = 760 \text{ N/m}$. The VPH generated output power of 90.3 µW at the frequency of 50 Hz [17].

A multi-degree of freedom vibration system has been added in the design of PEHs to improve the wideband performance. This design offers high power density and increases the generated induced voltage. Three proof masses were located at the centre, top left, and bottom right. Then, the cantilevers were attached to the mass centre, top left mass, and bottom right mass. The bandwidths were increased to 5.3, 9.8, 14, and 16 Hz for the acceleration of 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, and 1 m/s², respectively. The average power harvested by the PEHs were 0.34–2.80 µW [18]. Two parallel beam structures were designed to improve the operating bandwidth of PEH. Each beam consisted of a top electrode and a bottom electrode, then a zinc oxide (ZnO) was a sensing element with a thickness of 2.73 µm sandwiched between the electrodes. This PEH generated an induced voltage of 18 V at the frequency of 142 Hz with a bandwidth of 15 Hz [19]. A cantilever beam was sandwiched with two sensing element and one end of the cantilever beam was installed with a tip mass, whereas the other end was nailed to the wall. The PEHs generated an average power of 25 µW at the frequency range of 33–35 Hz [20]. This type of design can be applied for slow swinging movement.

The goal of the paper is to design a new flexible membrane worked as sensing element called piezoelectric polymers, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) were attached together with a printed circuit board (PCB) and it was worked as a cantilever beam. PVDF was used as a sensing element due to the flexibility of the polymer material, which is expected to improve sensitivity and operating bandwidth. A PEH with good sensitivity can generate a high induced voltage. A new technique of substrate, PCB was used to absorb impact of surrounding vibration and transfer it to flexible sensing element which to improve sensitivity and bandwidth.

Subject of investigations. The PEH design focused on the design of the electrode circuit. Figure 1 shows the interdigitated electrode (IDE) circuit designed on a PCB. The IDE circuit consists of the IDE finger, the IDE path, and a terminal pad. The IDE finger used generated an induced voltage together with the sensing element, PVDF. Then, the IDE path lays the current to the terminal pad.

Three different electrode finger widths were fabricated and the width of electrode fingers were 0.5, 1, and 2 mm. The gap between the electrode fingers for all designs was fixed to 0.5 mm and the number of electrode finger pairs was 4. Three fabricated designs were namely PEH A, PEH B, and PEH C. They are shown in Table 1.

| PEH design | Finger width, $W_f$, mm | Finger gap, $W_g$, mm | Area of PVDF, mm² |
|------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| A          | 0.5                    | 0.5                  | 142.5             |
| B          | 1                      | 0.5                  | 218.5             |
| C          | 2                      | 0.5                  | 370.5             |

The IDE design constructed using Proteus software is shown in Fig. 2.

**D₃₃ mode piezoelectric energy harvester.** The new design structure of PEH using the method of $d_{33}$ mode piezoelectric material was implemented in the design. The first number of $d_{33}$ mode indicates the voltage generated at z-axis and the second number indicates the force applied to the piezoelectric material that causes stress inside the piezoelectric material. A new design structure applied in PEH was the flexible sensing element placed at the top IDE electrode. The substrate of PEH was PCB used to absorb surrounding vibration energy and transfer to flexible sensing element, thus the sensing element got stress and converted to electric field. $D_{33}$ component inside sensing element was converted two times energy compared to $d_{33}$ mode.

Two new techniques approach, (1) flexible sensing element on top of electrode, and (2) substrate made of PCB were improved energy conversion and sensitivity. The operation of $d_{33}$ mode piezoelectric material on the PEH is shown in Fig. 3.

**Fig. 1. IDE design circuit on a PCB: (a) schematic diagram and (b) digital microscope image of the IDE circuit on a PCB**

**Fig. 2. IDE circuit design constructed using Proteus**

**Table 1**

Parameters of the IDE circuit for PEH A, PEH B, and PEH C.
The operation of $d_{33}$ mode polarization is that when stress occurs between the electrodes in three directions, polarization is also created between the electrodes in three directions. The electron moves from low potential (negative terminal) to high potential (positive terminal) and the movement of electrons induces voltage, as shown in Fig. 3.a. Figure 3.b illustrates the cross-section of the PEH, where the top of the PEH is a single tape, followed by PVDF, a row of copper and air space, and lastly the FR4. The new design structure of backing layer called air cavity was placed under sensing element and in between of finger electrodes. When the cantilever beam moves up and down, more stress occurs at the sensing element at cavity side and generated more induced voltage, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

**Development of readout circuitry.** The induced AC voltage accumulates at the terminal of PEH, and then the readout circuitry is rectified, filtered, and stored the DC voltage in a capacitor. Figure 5 shows the schematic diagram of the parallel synchronized switching harvesting inductor circuit (SSHI) readout circuit introduced by [21], which consisted of the PEH, a switch (S), an inductor (L) of 22 µH, bridge diodes (D1 – D4) of Schottky type, a capacitor (C) of 12 nF, and resistor of 600 kΩ. The maximum working voltage for the capacitor is 35 V. A multimeter was used to measure the output DC voltage at $V_c(t)$. Diodes D1 to D4 worked as full-wave rectifiers to rectify all AC to DC.

**Fabrication.** The overview of the fabrication process is shown in Fig. 6.

**Experimental setup.** The PEH was characterized using load and vibration experiments. The load experiment measured load pressure towards the PEH, whereas the vibration experiment measured stress towards the PEH. A piezoelectric acoustic generator was used in the experiment to compare the performance of the designed PEH with available piezoelectric transducers in the market. The parameters of the piezoelectric acoustic generator are listed in Table 2.

| Diameter and area of the piezoelectric acoustic generator |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Value |
| Diameter of piezo ceramic, mm | 20 |
| Area of piezo ceramic, mm$^2$ | 314.16 |
Figure 9 presents the piezoelectric acoustic generator. The diameter of the piezoelectric ceramic was 20 mm, which was sandwiched between two copper layers.

![Figure 9. Illustration of a piezoelectric acoustic generator: (a) schematic diagram and (b) camera image](image)

The load experiment. The experiment was carried out by obtaining the output from different mass ranges of 200 g to 5.2 kg with a step of 1 kg placed on top of the PEH. The study investigated the induced voltage for different weights and designs of PEHs. The equation for pressure $P$ in load experiment is:

$$P = \frac{f}{A}, \quad (1)$$

where $f$ is the gravitational force; and $A$ is the area of PVDF surface.

The equation of gravitational force is:

$$f = mg, \quad (2)$$

where $m$ is the mass; $g$ is the gravity acceleration (9.81 m/s$^2$).

Six different weights were used: 200 g, 1.2 kg, 2.2 kg, 3.2 kg, 4.2 kg, and 5.2 kg. The load experiment is shown in Fig. 10. Figure 10,a shows the schematic diagram of the load experiment setup; Fig. 10,b shows the use of 200 g and 1 kg loads. Meanwhile, Fig. 10,c shows the DC voltage measurement setup using a digital multimeter and an energy harvester circuit.

![Figure 10. Load experiment setup: (a) schematic diagram; (b) 200 g and 1 kg loads; (c) DC voltage measurement setup at the output of the energy harvester circuit using a digital multimeter](image)

For load experiment, the loads were placed on the PEH and the output of the PEH was rectified and stored in a capacitor using an energy harvester circuit. The output of the energy harvester circuit was measured using a digital multimeter. For every measurement of load, three readings were recorded and the average reading for each load was recorded in a table.

Vibration experiment. The experiment was carried out by placing the PEH on a vibration machine. This experiment investigated the induced voltage generated by the PEH during vibration. A sieve shaker was used as a vibrator machine at 50 Hz and the AC voltage of the PEH output was measured using an oscilloscope. The vibration experiment setup is presented in Fig. 11.

![Figure 11. Vibration experiment setup: (a) schematic diagram and (b) digital image of vibration experiment setup](image)

Three different designs of PEHs were investigated in this experiment. A sieve shaker was set at 4 min of vibration and the generated AC output voltage of the PEH was recorded every minute. Two parts of measurement were conducted in this experiment, namely the measurement of the generated voltage versus input frequency and the measurement of the generated voltage for a period of time. The input frequency was set to 50 Hz because almost all vibration equipment in Malaysia used 50 Hz as an input of the machine.

Results and discussion. This section is divided into two parts, which are induced DC output voltage from load experiment and induced AC output voltage from vibration experiment. Both parts are discussed in terms of the performance of output voltage produced by the PEH.

DC output voltage from load experiment. The DC output voltage for all PEH designs (PEH A, PEH B, and PEH C) with different mass ranges of 0.2 g to 5.2 kg was measured and the mean values of the recorded voltage are shown in Table 3, 4, 5, respectively. Each table presents the weight, mean output voltage, and standard error for the particular design. The mean output voltage was calculated from three readings of the same weight measurement and divided by the number of readings. For this experiment, three readings were taken for calculating the mean output.
value and the standard error was a standard deviation of the mean value. The pressure was calculated from the input weight and area of PVDF.

The standard error for all readings is acceptable because the error is less than 10%. The highest standard error of 6% was recorded for the load weight of 1.2 kg. The mean induced voltage increased proportionally with the input pressure given by load weight. Figure 12 shows that at the pressure of 29430 to 36437.14 N/m², the mean output voltage was saturated at 1.123 mV. The mean output from 0.202 to 1.097 mV was proportional with the increase of pressure from 1400 to 2940 N/m², respectively.

Figure 13 shows a significant output voltage because the mean output voltage from the range of 0.306 to 2.301 mV increased proportionally with the input pressure from 897.94 to 23346.45 N/m². The mean output voltage of PEH B was higher than PEH A for the low pressure input. It is shown that PEH B is more sensitive and generated more induced voltage than PEH A.

The results for PEH B are tabulated in Table 4. The readings are also acceptable because the standard error is less than 10%. The input pressure started at a lower value of 897.94 N/m² compared to PEH A of 1401.429 N/m² and until the final load of PEH B, the input pressure was less than the final load of PEH A.

Table 4

| Weight, kg | Mean output, voltage, mV | Standard error, % | Pressure, f/A, N/m² |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 0.2        | 0.306                    | 2                 | 897.94              |
| 1.2        | 0.904                    | 3                 | 5387.64             |
| 2.2        | 1.103                    | 3                 | 9877.35             |
| 3.2        | 1.303                    | 5                 | 14367.05            |
| 4.2        | 2.004                    | 4                 | 18856.75            |
| 5.2        | 2.301                    | 5                 | 23346.45            |

Figure 14 shows that PEH C is more sensitive compared to PEH B and PEH A. The low pressure input generated high induced voltage. PEH C has the widest IDE electrode finger width, followed by PEH B and PEH A. Furthermore, the highest generated induced voltage was obtained by PEH C, followed by PEH B and PEH A. Therefore, the width of finger electrodes improved the generated induced voltage and sensitivity of PEH.

The results for PEH C are tabulated in Table 5. The mean output voltage generated by the piezoelectric acoustic generator was in the range of 0.124 to 0.365 mV for the range of input pressure input of 6248 N/m² to 162458.6 N/m².

Table 5

| Weight, kg | Mean output, voltage, mV | Standard error, % | Pressure, f/A, N/m² |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 0.2        | 0.124                    | 3                 | 6248.41             |
| 1.2        | 0.185                    | 2                 | 37490.45            |
| 2.2        | 0.219                    | 2                 | 68732.48            |
| 3.2        | 0.212                    | 2                 | 99974.52            |
| 4.2        | 0.255                    | 3                 | 131216.60           |
| 5.2        | 0.365                    | 3                 | 162458.60           |

Figure 15 shows the mean output voltage for the piezoelectric acoustic generator. The generator has low sensitivity due to the low generated induced voltage as high pressure input was introduced to the acoustic generator. All PEH designs have high sensitivity compared to the piezoelectric acoustic generator. The IDE design shows good performance compared to a simple sandwiched piezoelectric acoustic generator. Although the...
area of the electrode of the piezoelectric acoustic generator is larger than the area for PEH A, this design has more induced voltage compared to the piezoelectric acoustic generator.

Output voltage from vibration experiment. For vibration experiment, two parts of the results were obtained. Part B.1 shows the results of the generated output voltage versus input frequency of 50 Hz and part B.2 shows the results of the generated voltage for the given period.

Output voltage versus input frequency. Figure 16 shows the generated output voltage versus the input frequency of 50 Hz for PEH A. The generated output voltage generated fluctuated from 0.23 to 0.28 mV.

Figure 17 shows the generated output voltage versus the input frequency of 50 Hz for PEH B. The generated output voltage fluctuated from 0.25 to 0.52 mV for the input frequency of 50 Hz. The generated voltage of PEH B consists of two parts: 0.25 to 0.30 mV and 0.45 to 0.50 mV.

Figure 18 shows the generated output voltage for PEH C for the given input frequency of 50 Hz. The pattern of PEH C output is almost similar to the pattern of PEH B output, where the generated output of PEH C oscillated from 0.28 to 0.55 mV. The generated voltage of PEH C also consists of two parts: 0.28 to 0.30 mV and 0.50 to 0.55 mV.

All three plotted graphs show that the generated output of load weight is higher compared to vibration. In this experiment, PEH C has higher generated voltage than PEH B and PEH A, where PEH C recorded the generated voltage of 0.55 mV.

Output voltage versus period of time. The results of generated voltage for the period of time are shown in Fig. 19. The generated voltage for all design of PEHs increased significantly at 3 to 4 min. The highest energy stored in the capacitor was generated by PEH C with 34560 mV.

Conclusions.

1. A new design structure of PEH using flexible sensing element, PVDF and PCB substrate with IDE circuits were successfully design, fabricated and characterized in this project. Three PEHs with different IDE circuit width were fabricated together with a PVDF sheet and single transparent tape.

2. All designs namely PEH A, PEH B, and PEH C were successfully characterized by two experiments namely load and vibration experiments. PEH C generated the highest voltage in load experiment of 2.502 mV for the weight of 5.2 kg. In vibration experiment, PEH C generated the highest voltage of 0.55 mV for the input frequency of 50 Hz. PEH C also stored the highest voltage of 34560 mV for the time period of 4 min.

3. PEH C is the best design of an energy harvester if applied for a vibration machine of 50 Hz or from footsteps in the walking area of an airport. For future recommendations, this device can be installed in the walking area of an airport and used as a free energy source for charging a small electronic device. The device can be placed under the walking area, and then the energy is harvested and stored in a battery bank.
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