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Abstract

**Background:** Cisplatin (CisPT) is a chemotherapeutic that outcome in adverse effects including neurotoxicity. We examined the efficacy of *hydaspica* ethyl acetate extract (AHE) against CisPT-prompted neurotoxicity.

**Methods:** Group I: Distilled water; Group II: CisPT (12 mg/kg b.w. i.p.) on the 13th day of treatment. Group III: received AHE (400 mg/kg b.w) orally for 16 days. Group IV and V received 200 and 400 mg/kg b.w AHE orally for 16 days while CisPT injection on day 13, respectively. Group VI: received Silymarin (100 mg/kg b.w) orally for 16 days and CP (12 mg/kg b.w., i.p.) on day 13. TNF-α, IL6, brain acetylcholinesterase activity (AChE), oxidative trauma markers, genotoxicity, antioxidant enzymes, and morphological alterations in cerebral hemispheres were inspected.

**Results:** AHE administration before CisPT considerably reduced both tissue TNF-α and IL 6 expressions compared to CisPT treated group in a dose-dependent manner. AHE treatment (400 mg/kg b.w) significantly ameliorated brain AChE activity. Brain tissue MDA, H₂O₂, and NO content were markedly (*p* < 0.001) elevated after CisPT inoculation while a noticeable (*p* < 0.001) diminution was observed in AHE treatment groups. AHE treatment significantly (*p* < 0.001) improved brain antioxidant defense in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, AHE efficiently recused CisPT to induce DNA damage in brain tissue as revealed by ladder assay and DNA fragmentation patterns. Histopathological findings revealed severe neurodegenerations in CisPT treated group, however, AHE treatment noticeably precluded morphological alterations and neuron damages induced by CisPT.

**Conclusion:** *A. hydaspica* AHE extract may be provided as a prospective adjuvant that precludes CisPT-induced neurotoxicity due to its radical scavenging and antioxidant potential.
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Background

Cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (Cisplatin/ CisPT) is a platinum-based compound used as a part of standard chemotherapeutics for the treatment of various cancers [1]. Despite substantial anti-cancer efficiency, patients are often overwhelmed with detrimental side
effects from the treatment which can lead to dose reduction or even termination of chemotherapy that can risk the patient’s life. Following CisPT cytotoxicity is not specific to only cancerous cells so CisPT reception into healthy cells is higher than in cancer cells, rendering healthy cells more vulnerable to damage [2]. Nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity are the major downsides that restrict the clinical use of cisplatin at required doses. CisPT penetrated the human brain causing an intensification of CisPT accumulation in the cerebrospinal fluid, where it inhibits neuronal stem cell proliferation and causes oxidative stress in the brain [3]. Moreover, CisPT administration ensues massive cellular damage [4], 8-oxoguanine DNA damage, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction, consequently causing cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in the nervous system [5]. However, the therapeutic effectiveness of CisPT cannot be over sighted. Hence, the need to discover safe complementary remedies to protect against the neurotoxicity of CisPT is a leading goal of preclinical research. To achieve this goal, understanding the mechanisms of neurological damage triggered by CisPT is essential for the development of therapeutic interventions. As oxidative stress through reduction of plasma antioxidant enzyme levels and inflammation are key hallmarks in the development of CisPT neurotoxicity. Therefore, consuming composites with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory actions may be favorable against medicine-related injuriousness. Several antioxidant agents can reduce cisplatin-induced neurotoxicity [6]. The antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of polyphenols has been well studied in vivo and in vitro [7].

Acacia species have been used in medicines, baking components, and carpentry for epochs. Acacia hydaspica R. Parker synonyme Acacia eburnean (family: Leguminosae) is Pahari Kikar, Kikar; Marmat. The seeds and bark possess a high amount of tannins [8, 9]. A. hydaspica exhibited anticancer, antioxidant [10], anti-inflammatory [3], cardioprotective [11], and efficient against CisPT-induced reproductive and hepatic toxicity [12, 13]. GCMS study documented α-Amyrin (5.03%), 1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid mono (2-Ethyl-hexyl) ester (70.65%), Vitamin E (4.56%), Squalene (4%) and 2,6-dimethyl-N-(2-methyl-α-phenyl benzyl) aniline (2.51%) in A. hydaspica [1]. Bioassay-guided separation revealed 7-O-galloyl catechin, catechin, catechin gallate, and methyl gallate as chief antioxidant and anticancer phytoconstituents from A. hydaspica ethyl acetate fraction (AHE) [4, 10, 14]. Genus Acacia exposed antioxidant and neuroprotective abilities in animal prototypes [5]. Previous studies reported that green tea polyphenol (−)-epigallocatechin gallate possesses shielding influence against oxidative stress-induced neurological injuries [15]. Continuing administration of catechin improves spatial learning ability by reducing oxidative stress and improving endogenous antioxidant status [2]. An antioxidant active compound epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate; isolated from Acacia mearnsii, showed neuroprotection against Acrolein-induced oxidative damage by the attenuation of reactive oxygen species [16]. The efficacy of AHE to protect against CisPT-induced neurotoxicity has not yet been evaluated. This study considered the use of Acacia hydaspica against CisPT-induced brain toxicity and oxidative trauma in rats. We hypothesized that polyphenol-rich AHE could preclude CisPT-induced oxidative stress and inflammation due to its antioxidant properties. The effect of AHE on the antioxidant levels, biochemical variations, DNA damage, and histoarchitecture was studied.

Methods

Plant sample collection and extraction

A. hydaspica (Aerial parts) were collected from the Kirpa Charah area in Islamabad, Pakistan. For the collection of plant material, field studies were conducted following Pakistan legislation. Authors comply with the IUCN Policy Statement on Research Involving Species at Risk of Extinction and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. The plant material was authenticated by Dr. Sumaira Sahreen (Botanist/Curator at Herbarium of Pakistan, Museum of Natural History, Islamabad) and the voucher specimen was deposited (accession No. 0642531) in the Herbarium of Pakistan, Museum of Natural History, Islamabad for future reference. The extract preparation and fractionation were reported in detail in our previous investigations on A. hydaspica pharmacological activities [17], and its ethyl acetate extract (AHE) showed maximum bioactivity under in vitro and in vivo investigations and possesses bioactive polyphenols [4, 11, 17]) was selected for the current study.

Dose preparation

Cisplatin (CisPT) dose (12 mg/kg bw) was preferred to study neurotoxicity potential following published literature [18]. The drug (CisPT injection) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). 200 mg/kg b.w and 400 mg/kg b.w doses of AHe were selected based on our previous lab investigations that confirmed the efficacy of these selected doses [19–21]. Silymarin (100 mg/kg b.w) and AHE (200 and 400 mg/kg b.w) were dissolved in distilled water just before treatment.

Treatment regimen

Male rats (Sprague Dawley, 200–230 g) were housed in the animal husbandry facility Department of Animal
The rats were captive in steel cages and fed with the usual pellet diet and tap water. The temperature was maintained at 25 ± 3 °C under 12 h light/dark cycles. 42 rats were randomly separated into six groups (n = 8) and grouped in separate cages. The study procedure was designed following an earlier protocol [22] with slight adjustments. The study is reported under ARRIVE guidelines [23].

The experimental method for the use of animals was authorized (Bch#0256) by the ethical board of Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan.

Group I: Given water orally for 16 days, and saline (2 ml/kg, i.p) injection on day 13.
Group II: One dose of CP (12 mg/kg b.w., i.p.) was inoculated on day 13.
Group III: Received AHE (400 mg/kg b.w) orally for 16 consecutive days.
Group IV and V: Taken AHE (200 and 400 mg/kg b.w) doses for 16 consecutive days and a single dose of CisPT (12 mg/kg, i.p) on day 13 respectively.
Group VI: received silymarin (100 mg/kg b.w) orally for 16 consecutive days and CP (12 mg/kg b.w., i.p.) on day 13.

AHE was given for 12 days before CisPT to fortify the bioavailability of test sample components (antioxidant) to ascertain the anti-oxidative immunity of animals before CisPT introduction. The reason for the sacrifice of animals on day 17, after 4 days of CisPT inoculation was to make sure the bioavailability of CisPT stimulated the neurotoxic effect, thereby authorizing the effectiveness of AHE in precluding the neurotoxicity interceded by CisPT.

Body weights of rats were documented at the start and end of the experiment. 24 h after the last dose rats were euthanized by cervical dislocation [24]. This method is declared a humane method of euthanasia by AVMA recommendations for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2013 Edition. Cervical dislocation is a method that may induce rapid loss of consciousness. It does not chemically contaminate tissues [25]. Blood was drawn and serum was extracted, which was later stored at -80 °C for further examination. The cranium was open and the brain was carefully removed. Brain tissue from 4 animals per group was cut into small sections carefully and brain cerebral cortex sections were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Five-micrometer slices of neutral formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues were routinely cut by a microtome for histological examination while remaining brain tissues were dried with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for enzymatic and DNA damage examinations.

**Biochemical testing**

**Tissue homogenization**

100 mg of tissue slice was grounded in homogenizer using a mixture of 100 mM KH2PO4 buffer and 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.4). The samples were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for half an hr at 4 °C. The supernatant was separated and used to estimate the level of oxidative stress indicators, antioxidant enzyme status, and acetylcholinesterase activity. Bradford method was used to measure the protein concentration [26].

**Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) enzyme action**

The brain acetylcholinesterase enzyme regulation was measured by the procedure described previously [27]. Briefly, the reaction mixture includes distilled water (135 μL), 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 20 μL), 10 mM DTNB (20 μL), diluted tissue homogenate sample (1:10 v/v, 5 μL), and 8 mM acetylthiocholine (20 μL) as a substrate. The drop in acetylthiocholine iodide activity was recorded for 5 min (30 s intervals) at 412 nm and the data were recorded as μmol/min/mg protein.

**Measurement of pro-inflammatory cytokines**

TNF-α and IL-6 antibody activity was measured both in the brain as well as serum samples by ELISA kit procedure. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm, and antibody expression was measured as pg/mg protein in the brain and as pg/mL when calculated in the serum sample.

**Assessment of brain enzymatic antioxidants**

CAT and POD enzyme activity was monitored in samples following earlier reports [13]. Kakkar et al. protocol was used for the assessment of SOD activity [28]. The quinone reductase levels in tissue samples were calculated as previously designed protocol [29]. Reduced glutathione quantity was estimated as described by Jollow [30]. The technique of Habig et al. [31] was used for the estimation of GST content. Glutathione reductase and Glutathione peroxidase consumption in tissue samples was observed as cited formerly [32, 33]. The utility of γ-glutamyl transpeptidase was checked following Orlowski et al. scheme [34].

**Assessment of brain oxidative stress indicators**

Iqbal et al. procedure [35] were implemented for the calculation of lipid peroxidation. Approximation of the hydrogen peroxide content in the brain tissue was verified by the scheme described earlier [36]. For the execution of nitrite assay, Griess reagent was utilized [37].
Examination of DNA Damage

DNA fragmentation test

Brain tissue (100 mg) was homogenized using TTE mixture (5 mM Tris–HCl + 20 mM EDTA + 0.2% Triton X-100). 100 μl aliquot was poured into the separate tube (B) and centrifuged at 200 × g (4°C) for 10 min. Over again the supernatant was pipette out in a new tube (S) and centrifuged at 20,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min to extract the intact chromatin and marked as “T”. Subsequently, 1 ml of 25% Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was dispensed in all labeled tubes and left overnight at 4°C. The next day the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 18,000 × g (4°C) to recover the precipitated DNA. Then 160 μl 5% TCA was dispensed in each tube and heated for 15 min (4°C) to recover the precipitated DNA. Then 160 μl 5% TCA was dispensed in each tube and heated for 15 min at 90°C. DNA content was estimated by adding 320 μl of freshly prepared Diphenylamine (DPA) dye. The changes in optical density were recorded at 600 nm (Smart spec TM Plus, catalog # 170–2525).

The amount of DNA fragmentation was quantified by using the given equation.

\[ % \text{DNA fragmentation} = \frac{100}{X} \times \left( \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{B} \right) \]

DNA ladder assay

DNA was isolated from tissue samples by standard protocol [25]. Brain tissue (100 mg) was rinsed and homogenized in 1 ml of lysis buffer. 100 μl of proteinase K (10 mg/ml) and 240 μl of SDS (10%) were added to homogenate and stirred slowly and incubated over night in a water bath at 45°C. The next day 400 μl of phenol was added to the homogenate mixture and kept in a shaker for 5–10 min and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm (10°C) for 5 min. The supernatant was separated carefully and diluted with 1.2 ml of phenol+1.2 ml of a mixture containing chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1, 1.2 ml). The reaction tubes were shaken for 5–10 min and centrifuged at 3000 rpm (10°C) for 5 min. Subsequently, the supernatant was separated and mixed with 25 μl of sodium acetate (pH 5.2, 3 M) and 5 ml ethanol, and kept on a shaker until DNA was precipitated. DNA was washed with 70% ethanol and uncontaminated DNA was dissolved in TE buffer. The content of DNA was measured at 260 and 280 nm. 5 μg of each sample DNA and 0.5 μg DNA standards were loaded on 1.5% agarose gel. Electrophoresis was run for 45 min at 100 V batteries, and DNA was imaged under a digital gel doc system and photo’d.

Histopathology examination

Tissue slices from each group were poured into buffered formalin. After the dehydration procedure, the tissues were fixed in paraffin blocks for microtomy. 4–5 μm thin tissue slices were cut with a microtome and stained with Hematoxylin–Eosin (H&E). The slides were examined and photographed with a light microscope (DIALUX 20 EB) at 40X.

Statistics

Data are expressed mean ± SEM (n = 8). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test was applied for calculating the statistical changes among different experimental groups using Graph pad prism 5 software. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Effect on body weight

The effect of CisPT, and pretreatments with AHE on the rat body weight was presented in Table 1. The inoculation of CisPT did not exterminate any rats in the course of the testing. However, following the CisPT injection, the animals showed significantly (p < 0.001) reduced body weight compared to a stable weight improvement in control rats. The pretreatment of AHE in CisPT treated animals significantly increases body weight in a dosedependent manner, and a 400 mg/kg dose seems to be more effective (p < 0.001) in improving the weight loss induced by CisPT. The gain in body weight in rats pretreated with AHE depicts the growth-promoting advantageous health effect of AHE during CisPT treatment in rats.

| Treatment (mg/kg) | Body weight (g) |
|------------------|-----------------|
|                  | Initial         | Final          |
| Control          | 210.0 ± 0.427   | 253.3 ± 0.523* |
| CisPT            | 211.3 ± 0.617   | 222.3 ± 0.551**|
| AHE alone        | 210.3 ± 0.577   | 256.3 ± 0.721**|
| AHE (200) + CisPT| 215.7 ± 0.812   | 233.1 ± 0.561**|
| AHE (400) + CisPT| 212.3 ± 0.682   | 245.7 ± 0.712**|
| Sily + CisPT     | 214.0 ± 0.537   | 244.3 ± 0.553**|

Table 1: Effect of Cisplatin (CisPT) and AHE treatment on body weight of rats

Data expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 8). Asterisks *,** indicated a significant difference in the final body weight of the group Vs. Control group at p < 0.05 and p < 0.001 respectively, +++ indicated significant difference of final body weight of group Vs. CisPT-treated group at p < 0.001, ** indicated significant difference of final body weight of AHE (200 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group Vs. AHE (400 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group at p < 0.001. Non-significant difference (p > 0.05) was recorded between the control and AHE alone treated group in all parameters. (One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests). Sily-Silymarin
AHE (400 mg/kg b.w.) significantly prevented the adverse effect of CisPT as shown by the decrease in AChE action in brain tissue. The effect of AHE is equivalent to the standard drug silymarin.

Inflammatory biomarkers
Effects of various treatments on serum and brain tissue TNF-α and IL-6 levels measured are shown in Fig. 2. CisPT shot significantly elevated serum and brain tissue inflammatory biomarkers as compared to the untreated group. However, AHE pretreatment significantly ameliorated the activity of TNF-α and reverted pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 levels to values near that of control. AHE administration showed substantial preventive potential in a dose-dependent fashion.

Pretreatment with AHE improved antioxidant defense mechanisms in the brain
Tables 2 and 3 illustrate the effect of AHE pretreatment on the antioxidant defense system in rat brains. CisPT inoculation induced a remarkable (p < 0.0001) reduction in renal POD, SOD, CAT, and QR activity in contrast to untreated rats. However, pretreatment of rats with AHE initiated a substantial escalation of POD, SOD, CAT, and QR levels in comparison to CisPT alone treated group.

Furthermore, CisPT alone considerably decreases brain tissue GSH, GR, GST, γ-GT, and GPx content. On the other hand, pretreatment with AHE substantially (p < 0.001) prevented CisPT-persuaded diminution in the phase II antioxidant enzymes in a dose-dependent manner. GSH, GR, GST, γ-GT, and GPx activity were highly improved in 400 mg/kg AHE and silymarin-administered animals. Depletion of Glutathione act as a major contributing factor involved in brain injury. We noticed that AHE administration at 400 mg/kg dose rescued GSH content near to the normal range. Whereas significant improvement in the activity of GR, GST, γ-GT, and GPx was provided by AHE in comparison to the CisPT group.

AHE precluded CisPT triggered oxidative stress
MDA, NO, and H₂O₂ are the main oxidative trauma indicators. CisPT dosage noticeably reduced protein content in brain tissues while amplifying the activity of oxidative biomarkers (MDA, H₂O₂, and NO) in contrast to control. AHE works in dose-dependent mode as 400 mg/kg dose retrieved the brain protein, NO, H₂O₂, and MDA content more efficiently than the amounts similar to the control group. The AHE 400 mg/kg dose produced similar effects as the standard drug silymarin. AHE administration in both low and high doses before CisPT-inoculation minimized oxidative stress in contrast to the CisPT alone treated group (Table 4).

Evaluation of DNA damage
DNA ladder test
Gel electrophoresis represented different band patterns in various groups (Fig. 3a). The genomic DNA presented distinct bands with no smearing in the control group. CisPT caused damage to brain genomic DNA that
appear as smearing as well as a specific pattern of band fragmentation that was visibly different from the control group. We observed obliteration of bands for the amplified DNA retrieved from CisPt group. AHE 400 mg/kg dose offers more evident repairing of the DNA damage. A comparable effect was recorded with the Silymarin administration. The group treated with AHE alone did not display any signs of DNA injuries.

AHE inhibited CisPt-persuaded % fragmentation of DNA DNA fragmentation (%) pattern explicit clear changes in various groups (Fig. 3 b). CisPT induced significant DNA
Table 3 Effect of CisPT and different treatments of AHE on brain GSH profile and phase II antioxidants

| Treatment (mg/kg) | GSH (µM/g tissue) | GR (nM/min/mg protein) | GST (nM/min/mg protein) | y-GT (nM/min/mg Protein) | GPx (nM/min/mg Protein) |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Control          | 18.01 ± 0.529+++   | 155.4 ± 0.625+++       | 139.1 ± 0.375+++        | 397.4 ± 1.446+++        | 130.7 ± 1.300+++        |
| CisPT            | 9.12 ± 0.323***    | 94.2 ± 1.480**         | 92.60 ± 0.756**         | 109.9 ± 1.166***        | 74.85 ± 0.964***        |
| AHE alone        | 18.28 ± 0.673+++   | 154.9 ± 0.409+++       | 139.5 ± 0.452+++        | 398.1 ± 1.379+++        | 130.9 ± 1.101+++        |
| AHE (200) + CisPT| 12.03 ± 0.145+++***| 122.2 ± 0.779+++       | 111.1 ± 0.288+++        | 218.2 ± 0.672+++        | 96.00 ± 1.134+++        |
| AHE (400) + CisPT| 17.13 ± 0.302+++##| 142.1 ± 1.146+++##     | 133.1 ± 0.853+++##      | 380.9 ± 0.610+++##      | 126.3 ± 1.052+++##      |
| Silly + CisPT    | 17.00 ± 0.145+++   | 141.0 ± 1.201+++       | 132.8 ± 1.049+++        | 381.5 ± 0.691+++        | 125.6 ± 0.985+++        |

Data expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 8). Asterisks *, **, *** indicated significant difference of final body weight of group Vs. Control group at p < 0.05, p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001 respectively. ++, +++ indicated significant difference of final body weight of group Vs. CisPT-treated group at p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001 respectively. *+, ++, ++++ indicated significant difference of final body weight of AHE (200 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group Vs. AHE (400 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group at p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001 respectively. Non-significant difference (p > 0.05) was recorded between control and AHE alone treated group in all parameters. (One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests). Sily-Silymarin

Table 4 Effect of CisPT and different treatments of AHE on brain tissue protein, oxidative stress markers and lipid peroxidation

| Treatment (mg/kg) | Protein (µg/mg Tissue) | H₂O₂ (nM/min/mg Tissue) | Nitrite (µM/ml) | TBAR (nM/min/mg protein) |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Control          | 2.330 ± 0.046+++       | 1.743 ± 0.039+++        | 47.84 ± 1.156+++| 5.026 ± 0.301+++        |
| CisPT            | 0.801 ± 0.125***       | 4.946 ± 0.051***        | 85.00 ± 0.105***| 11.92 ± 0.321***        |
| AHE alone        | 2.370 ± 0.019+++       | 1.707 ± 0.013+++        | 45.46 ± 1.302+++| 5.007 ± 0.183+++        |
| AHE (200) + CisPT| 1.81 ± 0.045***++      | 3.381 ± 0.036***+++     | 66.73 ± 1.620+++| 8.643 ± 0.279+++        |
| AHE (400) + CisPT| 2.298 ± 0.076+++###    | 2.04 ± 0.027+++###     | 50.84 ± 1.051+++##| 6.119 ± 0.077+++###     |
| Silly + CisPT    | 2.285 ± 0.039+++##     | 2.109 ± 0.007+++##     | 49.13 ± 1.075+++| 6.171 ± 0.191+++##      |

Data expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 8). Asterisks *, **, *** indicated significant difference of final body weight of group Vs. Control group at p < 0.05, p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001 respectively. **, ### indicated significant difference of final body weight of AHE (200 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group Vs. AHE (400 mg/kg) + CisPT treated group at p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001 respectively. Non-significant difference (p > 0.05) was recorded between control and AHE alone treated group in all parameters. (One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests). Sily-Silymarin

fragmentation that indicated instability of genomic DNA after CisPT administration. The AHE administration prevented DNA instability by lowering % DNA fragmentation revealing the protective potential at the genetic level. A high dose (400 mg/kg) of AHE predisposes comparable protection to the Silymarin-treated group and the percent DNA fragmentation (%) in both groups was near to the control group.

Histopathology

Figure 4 shows the histopathological changes observed in different experimental groups. The control and AHE alone groups showed characteristic tissue morphology with cellular size and intensely basophilic Purkinje cells. CisPT inoculation induced obvious histological damage in the brain tissue. The Purkinje cells of the CisPT group were shrunken with some exhibiting features of karyolysis and had peri-cellular halos. Many vacuoles of variable sizes were observed in maximum numbers of cells. Treatments with AHE considerably rescued brain tissue from the toxic effects of CisPT in a dose-dependent mode. Few darkly stained nuclei, pericellular halos, and the vacuolated neuropil were observed. The evident protection of morphological features was recorded in the 400 mg /kg AHE treatment group.

Discussion

Neurotoxicity is a common adverse effect that has been detected in nearly 50% of the patients treated with CisPT, due to this clinical use of CisPT has been limited. Explanation of mechanisms involved in CisPT neurotoxicity is essential to discover potential complementary remedies. The exact mechanism of CisPT inducing neurotoxicity is still under investigation however, oxidative trauma, DNA damage and inflammation appear to be the main mechanisms [38]. Antioxidant composites have shown compelling neuroprotective actions both in vitro and in vivo [39]. Previous studies had testified that AHE stimulated antioxidant levels via inhibition of lipid peroxidation and inflammation in rats [3, 40, 41]. In the current investigation two doses of AHE were tested (200 and 400 mg/kg, b.w) and results showed that both doses of AHE, especially the high dose, were potent in lessening the neurotoxic effect of CisPT on the brain. AHE considerably restored the deteriorated brain antioxidant levels, and
DNA damages, and suppressed the neuro-inflammation and histological alterations.

Acetylcholinesterase governs cholinergic neurotransmission by hydrolyzing acetylcholine at synapses [37]. Cholinergic deterioration is a principal sign of neurotoxicity. The marked increase in the AChE activity observed in CisPT-treated rats eventually leads to decreased acetylcholine amount in the synaptic cleft and thus impairs the normal neurotransmission which may be linked to the decreased motor function. AHE pretreatment ameliorated AChE activity in a dose-dependent manner. The marked reduction in acetylcholinesterase activity in rats pretreated with AHE suggests the preventive role of AHE in CisPT-mediated neurotoxicity in rats. The AChE inhibiting action of AHE depicts the potential of this plant in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease as well. Amplified generation of ROS may be one of the reasons that account for disturbed AChE activity which affects the functions of the cholinergic system. The observed protective effect of AHE might be linked to the occurrence of the rich source of flavonoids in AHE, in particular, catechin, which might be liable for its cholinesterase inhibitory and antioxidant activities. Previous research indicated that catechin is brain permeable and possesses
neuroprotective actions [42, 43]. Similarly, a study conducted by Crowch et al. demonstrated the acetylcholinesterase inhibitory property of Acacia nilotica in vitro models.

The brain is highly vulnerable to oxidative trauma because of its maximum utilization of oxygen, and increase quantity of non-heme iron which enhances the generation of ROS that outcome in damaging of various subcellular macromolecules. CisPT can disturb redox homeostasis and this fact is similarly convinced in the present study. We noticed an enhanced level of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, lipid peroxidation and NO levels in the brain of CisPT

![Fig. 4 Cerebral cortex sections from the untreated group showing normal histological features of brain morphology with well-shaped neurons. Cisplatin (CisPT, 12 mg/kg) shot induces severe damage in cerebral cortex regions. Sections of Acacia hydaspica ethyl acetate extract (AHE) pretreated group at 200 mg/kg for 16 days showed significant protection and rescue of neuron damages with slight signs of the CisPT damage tissues. Sections of AHE (400 mg/kg) pretreated for 16 days showed brain regions with fewer damaged tissues than CisPT group and showed near-to normal brain morphology with well-organized nuclei devoid of vacuolation and irregular features.](image-url)
treated rats. NO is a strong damaging free radical that can dynamically react with lipids, proteins, and DNA, and besides it inactivates GSH and GPx [44]. Our findings are in agreement with previous findings indicating an increase in oxidative stress biomarkers in the brain of CisPT-treated rats [6]. Furthermore, CisPT induced a noticeable decrease in the brain SOD, CAT, and GST expression with a simultaneous reduction in GSH quantity indicating compromised antioxidant defense mechanisms in the brain. After CisPT injection, platinum sulfhydryl group complexes are absorbed by neuronal cells and naturally alleviated by intracellular GSH for several hours. However, under intracellular GSH depletion the complexes endure prompt alteration to reactive metabolites [20]. GSH depletion also results in Lipid peroxidation and overall compromised antioxidant defense system. These observations support the hypothesis that the mechanism of neurotoxicity in CP-treated animals is related to the depletion of the antioxidant defense system [39, 40]. CisPT-induced changes in MDA, H2O2, and NO levels were markedly prevented by pretreatment with AHE in a dose-dependent fashion. Besides, AHE pretreatment augments brain antioxidant enzyme activities and GSH levels thus indicating the beneficial effect of AHE against CisPT-induced brain oxidative damage. The ameliorative effect of AHE may infer its aptitude to reactivate the normal brain oxidative levels by eradicating free radicals and antioxidant properties. A previous report revealed the potent radical scavenging and metal chelating potential of AHE [45].

Neuro-inflammation is the major mechanism in CisPT-induced brain damage [46]. Among the pro-inflammatory cytokines that trigger the CisPT-mediated pathophysiological developments associated with brain injury; TNF-α and IL-6 are extremely potent as they also stimulate the discharge of other cytokines as well. This was evident in our study by the increase in the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 in both the brain and the serum which agrees with the previous study. In our study, high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by CisPT showed a worthy response to pretreatment with AHE as evident by the drop in the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 in the brain as well as serum. Therefore, the anti-inflammatory perspective of AHE by deactivating TNF-α and IL-6 and its antioxidant activity by enhancing GSH might be the imperative mechanisms behind the observed protective effect of AHE. Besides other bioactive compounds, AHE is rich in catechins and reports have testified to the anti-inflammatory properties of catechins. EGCG impedes the release of vascular endothelial growth factors IL-6, and IL-8 in human astrocytoma (U373MG cells). Anti-inflammatory activities of various cytokines are suppressed by EGCG by a decline of IL-1β and Aβ-induced COX-2 expression [47]. EGCG impedes LPS-stimulated microglial activation and protects against neuronal injury triggered by inflammation [48]. So the occurrence of catechin in AHE might be responsible for the noticed anti-inflammatory activity in brain tissue.

Histopathological study corresponds to the biochemical findings. We observed severe degenerative alterations in CisPT treated group with severe dystrophic and apoptotic variations in the neurocytes of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus. Brain tissue histology revealed deterioration in the cerebellum of rats as illustrated by shrinkage and reduction of the size of the Purkinje neurons [13, 24]. CisPT supposedly interacts with DNA via the creation of covalent adducts among certain DNA and the platinum compound resulting in cellular toxicity [42] and consequently neuronal death. CisPT exposure leads to relative weakness and death of Purkinje neurons in the treated rats. The vacuoles in the neighboring neuropil might be attributed to the shrinkage of cells and removal of their secondary processes leaving pericellular spaces. Besides, CisPT mediated deteriorating changes could be an upshot of decrease in the amount of brain antioxidant enzymes and neurons due to widespread neurodegeneration. On the other hand, pretreatment of rats with AHE prevented CisPT-induced changes in the brain tissue. AHE administration (200 and 400 mg/kg) showed marked reduction in the neurodegeneration with observable improvement in neuronal morphology and regeneration of neurons. AHE rescued CisPT-induce alterations due to its antioxidant potential and phytoconstituents. Previous study reported that epicatechin exhibited increased levels of endogenous antioxidants, protective against lipid peroxidation, prevented neuronal death and neuronal necrosis, and accuracy of brain tissue morphology [49].

Conclusion

Biochemical and histological data indicated that CisPT-induced brain damage involved inconsistency in the ratio of brain tissue oxidant and antioxidant, and AHE showed protection against CisPT-induced brain impairments via reversing the altered levels of CisPT-induced enhanced activities of AChE, altered oxidative/antioxidative status, DNA damage and enhanced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, suggesting a potential role for AHE in CisPT-induced brain toxicity.

Limitations of the study

Large-scale prospective studies are obligatory to approve the biochemical results obtained in our study using molecular tools and more immune-histochemical techniques. For this evaluation of the gene expression of GSH, AChE, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 using PCR and their
protein expression using western blotting is suggested. Besides we were unable to compare the current findings with others because of a lack of investigations on the protective effects of *Acacia hydaspica* against drug-induced brain damage. Demonstration of the possible behavioral benefits of reducing oxidative stress with AHE is recommended in the future.

**Abbreviations**

CisPT: Cisplatin; AHE: *Acacia hydaspica* Ethyl acetate extract; ACHE: Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity; IL-1β: Interleukin-1-beta; IL-6: Interleukin-6; TTE: Tris-TAPS-EDTA; TCA: Trichloroacetic acid; EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor; GSH: Glutathione; POD: Peroxidase; CAT: Catalase; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; MDA: Malondialdehyde; H2O2: Hydrogen peroxide; NO: Nitric oxide.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors extend their appreciation to the Researcher supporting project number (RSP2022R502), King Saud University, Riyadh Saudi Arabia for funding this project.

**Authors’ contributions**

TA made major work in the conception, design, testing, data interpretation, and manuscript writing. SR and AA provide significant assistance in the analysis of data and revising the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors read and accepted the final manuscript.

**Funding**

We are grateful to the Deanship of Researcher supporting project number (RSP2022R502), King Saud University, Riyadh Saudi Arabia for funding this project.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Declarations**

**Ethics and consent to participate**

Guidelines for the maintenance and consumption of laboratory animals (NIH publications no. 80–23, 1996) were followed to conduct the animal experiments. The manuscript confirming the study is reported in harmony with ARRIVE guidelines. The experimental method for the use of animals was authorized (Bch#0256) by the ethical board of Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan.

**Consent for publication**

Not relevant.

**Competing interests**

The authors affirm that they have no competing interests.

**Received**: 7 February 2022 **Accepted**: 24 June 2022 **Published online**: 05 July 2022

**References**

1. Afsar T, Razak S, Khan MR, Almajwal A. Anti-depressant and anxiolytic potential of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker aerial parts extract: Modulation of brain antioxidant enzyme status. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2017;17(1):228.

2. Xu Y, Zhang J-J, Xiong L, Zhang L, Sun D, Liu H. Green tea polyphenols inhibit cognitive impairment induced by chronic cerebral hypoperfusion via modulating oxidative stress. J Nutr Biochem. 2010;21(8):741–8.

3. Afsar T, Khan MR, Razak S, Ullah S, Mirza B. Antipyretic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker and its phytochemical analysis. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2015;15:136.

4. Afsar T, Trembley JH, Salomon CE, Razak S, Khan MR, Ahmed K. Growth inhibition and apoptosis in cancer cells induced by polyphenolic compounds of *Acacia hydaspica* involvement of multiple signal transduction pathways. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23077.

5. Puga CD, Hilario MC, Mendoza JGE, Campos OM, Jijon EM, Martinez MD, Izazaga MAA, Solano JAL, Chaverri JP. Antioxidant activity and protection against oxidative-induced damage of *Acacia shaffneri* and *Acacia farnesiana* pods extracts: in vitro and in vivo assays. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2015;15(1):435.

6. Almutairi MM, Alanaazi WA, Alshammari MA, Alotaibi MR, Alhoshani AR, Al-Reajee SS, Hafez MF, Al-Shabanah OA. Neuro-protective effect of rutin against Cisplatin-induced neurotoxic rat model. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2017;17(1):472.

7. Zhang H, Tao R. Dietary polyphenols, oxidative stress and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. Curr Opin Food Sci. 2016;8:33–42.

8. Chakraborty T, Gangopadhyay M. The genus *Acacia P.* Miller (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae) in India. Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany 1996, 20(3):599–633.

9. Jabeen A, Khan MA, Ahmad M, Zafar M, Ahmad F. Indigenous uses of economically important flora of Margallah hills national park, Islamabad, Pakistan. African Journal of Biotechnology 2009, 8(5).

10. Afsar T, Razak S, Khan MR, Mawash S, Almajwal A, Shabir M, Haq IU. Evaluation of antioxidant, anti-hermolytic and anticancer activity of various solvent extracts of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker aerial parts. BMC Complementary and alternative medicine 2016, 16(1):258.

11. Afsar T, Razak S, Batoo KM, Khan MR. *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker prevents doxorubicin-induced cardiac injury by attenuation of oxidative stress and structural Cardiomyocyte alterations in rats. BMC Complementary and alternative medicine 2017, 17(1):554.

12. Afsar T, Razak S. Modulatory influence of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker ethyl acetate extract against cisplatin inveigled hepatic injury and dyslipidemia in rats. BMC Complementary and alternative medicine 2017, 17(1):307.

13. Afsar T, Razak S, Almajwal A. *Acacia hydaspica* ethyl acetate extract protects against cisplatin-induced DNA damage, oxidative stress and testicular injuries in adult male rats. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):883.

14. Afsar T, Razak S, Shabbir M, Khan MR. Antioxidant activity of polyphenolic compounds isolated from ethyl-acetate fraction of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker Chemistry Central Journal. 2018;12(1):5.

15. Etus V, Altug T, Belce A, Ceylan S. Green tea polyphenol (→) epigal-locatechin gallate prevents oxidative damage on ponsventricular white matter of infantile rats with hydrocephalus. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2003;200(4):203–9.

16. Huang W, Niu H, Xue X, Li J, Li C. Robinetinidol-(4β→8)-epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate, a galloyl dimer prorobinetinidin from *Acacia mearnsii* De Wild, effectively protects human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells against acrolein-induced oxidative damage. J Alzheimers Dis. 2010;21(2):493–506.

17. Afsar T, Khan MR, Razak S, Ullah S, Mirza B. Antipyretic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of *Acacia hydaspica* R. Parker and its phytochemical analysis. BMC Complementary and alternative medicine 2015, 15(1):136.

18. Khadrawy YA, El-Gizawy MM, Sorour SM, Sawie HG, Hosny EN. Effect of curcumin nanoparticles on the cisplatin-induced neurotoxicity in rat. Drug Chem Toxicol. 2019;42(2):194–202.

19. T Afsar 2017 Razak S Almajwal A: *Acacia hydaspica* ethyl acetate extract protects against cisplatin-induced DNA damage, oxidative stress and testicular injuries in adult male rats 17 1 883

20. T Afsar S Razak A Almajwal M Shabbir 2019 Khan MRb, medicine a: Evaluating the protective potency of *Acacia hydaspica* R Parker on histiological and biochemical changes induced by Cisplatin in the cardiac tissue of rats 19 1 182

21. T Afsar S Razak 2019 Almajwal A: *Acacia hydaspica* R Parker extract on lipid peroxidation, antioxidant status, liver function test and histopathology in doxorubicin treated rats 18 1 126

22. Owoeye O, Adedara IA, Farombi EO. Pretreatment with taurine prevented brain injury and exploratory behaviour associated with administration of anticancer drug cisplatin in rats. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018;102:375–84.

23. Percie du Sert N, Alhwailela A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, Browne WJ, Clark A, Cuthill IC, Dinnagl U, Emerson M. Reporting animal research.
Explanation and elaboration for the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0. PLoS Biology 2020, 18(7):e3000411.

24. Yang X, Lian D, Fan P, Xu Y, Wang J, Chen F, Lai H, Jiang W, Zhang L, Huang P. Effects of Radix Linderae extracts on a mouse model of diabetic bladder dysfunction in later decompensated phase. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2019;19(1):41.

25. Leary SL, Underwood W, Anthony R, Cartner S, Corey D, Grandt D, Greenacre C, Gwalney-Bran S, McCrackin M, Meyer R. AIVMA guidelines for the euthanasia of animals. 2013 edition. In: 2013: American Veterinary Medical Association Schaumburg, IL; 2013.

26. Kruger NJ. The Bradford method for protein quantitation. In: The protein protocols handbook. edn.: Springer; 2009: 17–24.

27. RA Khan 2012 Khan MR Sahreen SJ. functions B. Brain antioxidant markers, cognitive performance and acetylcholinesterase activity of rats: efficiency of Sonchus asper B 117.

28. Kakkar R Das B, Viswanathan PA. modified spectrophotometric assay of superoxide dismutase. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 1984;21(2):130–2.

29. Benson AM, Hunkeler MJ, Talalay P. Increase of NAD (P) H: quinone reductase by dietary antioxidants: possible role in protection against carcinogenesis and toxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1980;77(9):5216–20.

30. Jollow D, Mitchell J, Zampaglione NA, Gillette J. Bromobenzene-induced liver necrosis. Protective role of glutathione and evidence for 3,4-bromobenzene oxide as the hepatotoxic metabolite. Pharmacol. 1974;11(3):151–69.

31. Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB. Glutathione S-transferases: the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem. 1974;249(22):7130–9.

32. Carlberg I, Mannervik B. Purification and characterization of the flavoenzyme glutathione reductase from rat liver. J Biol Chem. 1975;250(14):5475–80.

33. Y Zhu PM, Carvey 2006 Ling ZJBr: Age-related changes in glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in rat brain 1090 1 35 44.

34. Orlowski M, Sessa G, Green JP. γ-Glutamyl transpeptidase in brain capillaries: possible site of a blood-brain barrier for amino acids. Science. 1974;184(4132):66–8.

35. Iqbal S, Bhanage M, Anwar F. Antioxidant properties and components of some commercially available varieties of rice bran in Pakistan. Food Chem. 2005;93(2):265–72.

36. Pick E, Mizel D. Rapid microassays for the measurement of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide production by macrophages in culture using an automatic enzyme immunoassay reader. J Immunol Methods. 1981;46(2):211–26.

37. Green LC, Wagner OA, Glogowski J, Skipper PL, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. Analysis of nitrate, nitrite, and [15 N] nitrate in biological fluids. Anal Biochem. 1982;126(1):131–8.

38. Chitourou Y, Aouey B, Kebeche M, Fetou H. Protective role of naringin against cisplatin induced oxidative stress, inflammatory response and apoptosis in rat striatum via suppressing ROS-mediated NF-κB and P53 signaling pathways. Chem Biol Interact. 2015;239:76–86.

39. Choi D-Y, Lee K-M, Myung N-Y, An N-H, Yang WM, Park SK, Lee H-J, Hong S-H, Kim H-M. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate suppresses NF-κB activation and phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and JNK in human astrocytoma U87MG cells. J Nutr Biochem. 2007;18(9):587–96.

40. Li R, Huang YG, Fang D, Le WD. (–)–Epigallocatechin gallate inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced microglial activation and protects against inflammation-mediated dopaminergic neuronal injury. J Neurosci Res. 2004;78(5):723–31.

41. M Lalkovičová V Danielisová 2016 Neuroprotection and antioxidants Neural regeneration research 116 865.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

At BMC, research is always in progress.
Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:
• fast, convenient online submission
• thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
• rapid publication on acceptance
• support for research data, including large and complex data types
• gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
• maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year