Organoboron oxides comprising three-coordinate boron have been known since the mid-1930s,[11] and typically exist as cyclotrimeric anhydrides, [RBO]3, of the corresponding organoboronic acids.[2] Similarly, naturally occurring inorganic metabolates such as NaBO2 comprise the trimeric [B2O3]3− unit rather than discrete [BO2]− anions.[3] These observations are a thermodynamic consequence of the strong B−O bond (809 kJ mol−1) and the latent Lewis acidity of the boron center.[4] A number of noteworthy recent advances in the chemistry of lower nuclearity oxoborane derivatives, however, have been achieved through the incorporation of kinetically stabilizing substituents,[5] for example in Aldridge’s isolated oxoborane anion (I, Figure 1a),[6] or by saturation of the Lewis basic oxo and Lewis acidic boron units.[7,8] This latter approach is exemplified by Rivard and coworkers’ isolation of [(IPr)ClB(O)] (2, IPr = N,N′-bis(2,6-di-isopropylphenylimidazol-2-ylidene, Figure 1a) in which the stability of the HO−O unit is maintained through the donor-acceptor combination of an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) and the potent Lewis acid, B(C6F5)3.[8] Isoelectronic carbon-for-boron replacement identifies compound 2 as a neutral B(C6F5)3-stabilized boron analogue of a carboxylic acid. Although further chemistry of 2 is yet to be described, recognition of this relationship prompts speculation that the conjugate bases of such species (A, Figure 1b) may be exploited in a similar manner to carboxylate anions, which are among the most commonly applied narrow bite angle bidentate or bridging ligands in coordination, supramolecular, biomedical and bioinorganic chemistry.[9] Compound 2 is also a progenitor to other classes of unprecedented boron-centered anions with isoelectronic organic equivalents, for example, the carbamate analogue B (Figure 1b).

Although viable quantities of 2 were achieved by Si−OH/B−Cl metathesis between Ph3SiOH and the chloroboroxane, [(IPr)ClB=OB(C6F5)3], the reaction required forcing conditions and generic syntheses of such species are currently unavailable.[10] More attractive routes to anions such as A and B, therefore, would emulate those applied in the synthesis of their wholly organic analogues. The reaction of an organyl or amide anion with CO2, for example, provides a classical means to access carbamate and carbamate anions, respectively. In contrast, similar routes to boron analogues are precluded by the unavailability of any suitable “boron dioxide” synthone. While the radical species, BO2, and the isoelectronic equivalent of CO2, [BO2]−, have been identified spectroscopically as short lived intermediates in borane flames or under matrix isolation conditions,[10] and both have attracted significant theoretical attention as highly oxidising “hypohalogens”,[11] these species neither exist as discrete entities nor have they been implicated in any productive synthesis. This lacuna is reminiscent of oxoborane (BO) chemistry prior to Braunschweig’s report of trans-[Cy3P2]Br Pt(BO), which achieved the in situ generation of a terminal B=O ligand through the reversible elimination of Me2SiBr from the B-Br oxidative addition product of Br2BOSiMe3 and [Pt(PCy3)2].[4,12] In this contribution, we demonstrate the accessibility of [BO2]− as a synthone through alkene elimination from isolable magnesium pinacolatoboryl species and its in situ trapping to provide boron-centered analogues of carbamate and carbamate anions.

The current work emerged from our studies of magnesium-centered boryl nucleophiles and,[13–15] specifically, their use in the construction of B−B‘ bonds (Scheme 1).[16,17] We have previously reported that treatment of [(BDI)MgBu] (BDI = HC(C(Me)N=2,6-i-Pr2C6H4)) with bis(pinacolato)diboron (B2pin2) provides the diboranate derivative, compound 3 (Scheme 1). The [B(sp2)2−]− bond of compound 3 cleaves heterolytically when treated with bases such as 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), providing compound 4 that comprises a terminal boryl anion without the direct use of a strong reductant (Scheme 1).[15,14,18] The boron center of

Figure 1. a) Compounds 1 and 2; b) boron-centered isosteres of carbamate (A) and carbamate (B) anions,
compound 4 displays naphilic character and reacts with carbon- and boron-centered electrophiles to enable the construction of C–B and B–B' bonds.\[15–17\] Although the copper(I) derivative [[IPr]CuBpin] has recently been utilized in a similar manner,\[19\] examples of unsymmetrical [B(sp²)₂–B(sp³)] diboranes were previously limited to compounds obtained by the desymmetrization of pre-existing diborane(4) B–B bonds.\[20,23\] A notable case in point is pinB-B(Mes),\(\text{6}\), synthesized by reaction of B.pin, with mesityl magnesium bromide, which has been shown to effect the activation of a variety of small molecule substrates.\[21–23\]

In an attempt to develop an alternative synthesis of compound 6, therefore, we carried out the reaction of compound 4 with Mes₂BF (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl). The formation of compound 6 was identified in the resultant \(^1\)H NMR spectrum after five hours at 60 °C. This analysis, however, also revealed that the adduct complex, Mes₂BF·DMAP (7), identified by its independent synthesis, accounted for ca. 50% of the initially added fluoroborane. While minor quantities (<10%) of the anticipated dimeric magnesium fluoride by-product, \(\text{[(BDI)MgF]}\)\(_2\), were observed,\[24\] the majority of the magnesium \(\beta\)-diketiminate \(^1\)H NMR resonances could be ascribed to a single new BDI-containing product (9). Compound 9 was isolated in 48% yield by fractional crystallization and identified by single crystal X-ray diffraction as a dinuclear magnesium \(\mu\)-fluoride in which charge balance is maintained by a bridging \(\text{[4-Me}_2\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{NBO}_2}\)⁻ anion (Scheme 2). Insight into the fate of the [pinB]⁻ anion of 4 and the origin of the \(\text{[4-Me}_2\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{NBO}_2}\)⁻ ligand was provided by a further experiment performed in C₆D₆. Although this reaction proceeded identically, vacuum transfer of the volatile products delivered a single component, most clearly manifested as a singlet carbon resonance centered at 1.62 ppm in its \(^1\)H NMR spectrum, that was readily identified as 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene.

The structure of 9 comprises two effectively identical dinuclear complexes (Figure 2, only the Mg₁/Mg₂-containing molecule is discussed) in which the magnesium centers are connected by a single \(\mu\)-bridging fluoride and an unprecedented boron-centered \(\text{[4-Me}_2\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{NBO}_2}\) monoanion.

The Mg–O bond lengths in 9 [1.918(2); 1.904(2) A] are somewhat shorter than those observed in the only similarly dinuclear magnesium carbamates [ca. 1.95–2.0 A], albeit the group 2 centers of these previously reported compounds are five- rather than four-coordinate.\[25\] Like the [CBO₃]⁻ unit of compound 2, N₅, B₁ and O₂ in 9 are coplanar and this plane subtends an angle of only 5.3° with the mean plane defined by the DMAP ligand. Despite this near coplanarity, the B₁–N₅ distance [1.589(4) A] is elongated in comparison to the shorter B–N bond of [1.31(3) A] of 2.\[9\] Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis of compound 9 also afforded Wiberg bond indices for the B–O bonds (1.1365, 1.1390) that are closely comparable to that reported for the shorter B–O linkage in 2 (1.123).\[8\] The values are indicative of multiple bond character, such that the planarity of the dioxoborane unit is a consequence of pronounced B(2p)–O(2p) π–π overlap across the [O-B-O] unit (Figure 2b).

These observations support the legitimacy of the simple valence bond depiction (structure B in Figure 1b) of this anion as a boron-centered carbamate analogue.

Although the complexity of the reaction precluded more quantitative analysis, re-examination of the aliphatic region of the \(^1\)H NMR spectra recorded at one hour intervals revealed the emergence of a further BDI-magnesium species (10) [6(4)H, 4.97 ppm], which, although comprising ca. 20% of the total BDI signals after three hours, diminished significantly in intensity during the latter stages of the reaction. Two signals at almost identical frequencies in the \(^1\)F[\(^1\)H] NMR spectra (δ = 113.77 and -113.83 ppm) displayed an analogous increase and decrease in relative intensity during the same time period and are also, therefore, attributed to compound 10. Significantly, these latter resonances appeared in a strict 1:4 ratio of intensities throughout the reaction (Figure S5) and are, thus, assigned to natural abundance boron-fluorine bonded \(^1\)B and \(^1\)B isotopomers of \(\text{[}\text{BDI}||\text{Mg}[\text{pinB-BF}(\text{Mes})_\text{2}]\text{]}\) (10).\[27\] Although a dimesitylfluorodiborate analogue of compound
5 (Scheme 1), which proved stable to boron-to-magnesium hydride elimination,[15] compound 10 evidently degrades via boron-to-magnesium fluoride transfer and elimination of Yamashita's diborane (6).[21]

These observations lead us to suggest that the formation of compound 9 is a consequence of two competitive pathways, the thermodynamic viability of which have been confirmed by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Scheme 3 summarizes the results of this analysis (see also Tables S2 and S3 in the SI).

The route identified as pathway (i) requires the elimination of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene and the in situ generation of a [BO₂]⁻ equivalent. While [BO₂]⁻ is not viable as a persistent species, its immediate trapping by a molecule of DMAP provides a cogent rationale for the generation of the [4-Me₂NC₅H₄NB(O₂)]⁻ anion. Although the intermediacy of a monomeric species, 11, is questionable, 9 may be considered to result from its combination with the putative three-coordinate magnesium fluoride, compound 12. We suggest, however, that the concurrent accumulation of minor quantities of the dimeric fluoride, [[BDI][MgF]] (8), provides circumstantial evidence for the generation of 12 as a common intermediate.

The credibility of pathway (i) relies on the instability of the [Mg-Bpin] unit toward alkene extrusion under the applied reaction conditions. Yamashita and co-workers have reported that treatment of pinB-B(Mes)₂ (6) with 2,6-dimethylphenyl isonitrile results in pinB ring contraction to provide a spirocyclic compound comprising a 4-membered cyclic [BO₂]⁻ 1,2-oxaboretane structure.[23] In this earlier case, however, C=O bond cleavage was deduced to proceed via a carbocationic mechanism. The alkene elimination process identified in the formation of compound 9, therefore, appears to be a unique observation that could carry important implications for Bpin-related chemistry in general.

Calculations by Schleyer and co-workers as long ago as 1995 highlighted that the stability of singlet model boryl derivatives, X-B-Li, is predicated on not only electronegative X substitution (e.g. F, O, N) but also the direct interaction of boron with the more electropositive lithium.[29] These theoretical deductions were foreshadowed by Corey’s even earlier demonstration that desulfurization of a cyclic pinacol-derived thionocarbonate derivative (Scheme 4a) results in elimination of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene due to the relative instability of the resultant carbene toward olefin and carbon dioxide formation.[30] DFT calculations indicated that analogous transformation of the isoelectronic [pinB]⁻ anion to 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene and [BO₂]⁻ is significantly exergonic (ΔG° = −79.5 kcal mol⁻¹, Scheme 4b).

The thermodynamic viability of this process prompted us to attempt similar boryl decomposition to provide a boron-centered carboxylate analogue akin to the NHC-based anion A (Figure 1). The magnesium boryl [BDI][Mg(Bpin)(i-Pr-NHC)] (13, i-Pr-NHC = 1,3-di-isopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene)[31] was, therefore, prepared by an equimolar reaction of compound 3 and the N-heterocyclic carbene (Scheme 5). Characterization by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 3a) revealed that the resultant Mg–B bond of compound 13 [2.3192(19) Å] is closely comparable to that observed in compound 4 [2.324(2) Å] indicating that incorporation of i-Pr-NHC results in only very limited perturbation to the electronic character of the [Bpin] ligand.

A reaction of compound 13 and Mes₂BF provided broadly analogous observations to those resulting from the reaction with compound 4, albeit the transformation was significantly more facile and complete after only one hour at room temperature. Approximately 50% of the Mes₂BF was converted to the adduct species, Mes₂BF(i-Pr-NHC) (14), which was identified through its independent synthesis and clearly characterized in the resultant ¹H NMR spectrum by the emergence of two deshielded (1H) multiplet resonances at δ 5.45 and 5.01 ppm and a series of twelve differentiated (3H) methyl resonances. The formation of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene was also clearly identifiable as a 12H signal at δ 1.62 ppm, alongside the simultaneous production of an approximately equimolar quantity of pinB·BMes₆ [6, δ 2.35 (s, 12H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 1.07 (s, 12H) ppm]. Most significantly, these transformations were accompanied by the emergence of a series of
broadened BDI-ligand resonances attributed to the formation of a single new compound, identified by a subsequent X-ray diffraction analysis as the dinuclear β-diketiminate magnesium complex (15). The overall stoichiometry of the reaction, therefore, may be rationalized as depicted in Scheme 5.

Like 9, compound 15 (Figure 3b) comprises a dinuclear [(BDI)Mg–μ2-F–Mg(BDI)] unit. In the case of 15, however, the coordination environment of each Mg center is completed by a dioxo borane monoanion in which the final bond to the trigonal boron is provided by an equivalent of the i-P/N=CH donor. This unit as a whole, therefore, may be classified as a conjugate base of a boron-centered carboxylic acid analogue (cf. A, Figure 1b). The O–Mg bonds of 15 [1.9131(9), 1.9170(9) Å] are effectively identical to the shorter of the comparable measurements in the similarly four-coordinate carboxylate derivatives, [(BDI)Mg(μ-O2CR)]; [R = Me, 1.918(2), 1.941(2); R = Ph, 1.918(2), 1.958(2) Å].[23] As in the case of compound 9, the O–B–O bond distances are similar [O1–B1 1.3336(17), O2–B2 1.3324(17) Å], while the NH–C to-boron interaction [C59–B1 1.6596(17) Å] is only marginally elongated in comparison to the C–B distance [C–B 1.356(3) Å] reported for the formally charge neutral [CBO2]– unit of compound 2.[25]

In conclusion, we report the synthesis of unique dioxo borane analogues of the ubiquitous carbamate and carboxylate anions. We are continuing to study this reactivity and to elaborate the more general coordination chemistry of these unprecedented anions.

Deposition Numbers 1997822, 1997823, 1997824, and 1997825 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
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