Rsd balances (p)ppGpp level by stimulating the hydrolyase activity of SpoT during carbon source downshift in Escherichia coli
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Bacteria respond to nutritional stresses by changing the cellular concentration of the alarmone (p)ppGpp. This control mechanism, called the stringent response, depends on two enzymes, the (p)ppGpp synthetase RelA and the bifunctional (p)ppGpp synthetase/hydrolase SpoT in Escherichia coli and related bacteria. Because SpoT is the only enzyme responsible for (p)ppGpp hydrolysis in these bacteria, SpoT activity needs to be tightly regulated to prevent the uncontrolled accumulation of (p)ppGpp, which is lethal. To date, however, no such regulation of SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolytic activity has been documented in E. coli. In this study, we show that Rsd directly interacts with SpoT and stimulates its (p)ppGpp hydrolytic activity. Dephosphorylated HPr, but not phosphorylated HPr, of the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar phosphotransferase system could antagonize the stimulatory effect of Rsd on SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolytic activity. Thus, we suggest that Rsd is a carbon source-dependent regulator of the stringent response in E. coli.

Significance

Most bacteria accumulate the molecular alarmone (p)ppGpp to divert resources away from growth and division toward bio-synthesis under various nutrient limitations. Despite its crucial role, uncontrolled accumulation of this alarmone causes severe growth inhibition and cell death. Thus, fine-tuning the cellular (p)ppGpp level is required to ensure survival and adaptation under harsh nutritional conditions. Here, we identify Rsd as a stimulator of the (p)ppGpp-degrading activity of SpoT during carbon source downshift in Escherichia coli, and this regulation is controlled by the phosphorylation state of HPr, a general component of the PE P-dependent sugar transport system. This study establishes a direct link between sugar signaling and the bacterial stringent response.
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sugars, and several sugar-specific enzyme IIs (EIIs) (18, 19). Each EII complex generally consists of one integral membrane domain forming the sugar translocation channel (EIIC) and two cytosolic domains (EIIA and EIIB). EI transfers a phosphoryl group from PEP to HPr. HPr then transfers the phosphoryl group to EIIA, which phosphorylates EIIB. In the presence of a PTS sugar, the phosphoryl group of EIIB is transferred to the sugar that is transported through the EIIC channel across the membrane. Thus, the phosphorylation states of the PTS components change depending on the availability of PTS sugar substrates (20). In this way, the PTS monitors nutritional changes in the environment and plays multiple regulatory roles in various metabolic processes, in addition to sugar transport (16, 21).

In this study, we show that Rsd interacts with SpoT and activates its (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity in E. coli. Only the dephosphorylated form of HPr inhibits the formation of the Rsd-SpoT complex and thus antagonizes the effect of Rsd on the (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT. We propose that Rsd functions as a regulator for the intracellular concentration of (p)ppGpp through direct interaction with SpoT, and this interaction is involved in the stringent response to a nutrient shiftont from a preferred carbon source to a less preferred one.

**Results**

**Interaction of SpoT with Rsd.** Several reasons led us to explore the possibility that Rsd might have a specific role other than as an antagonist of the housekeeping σ factor σ^70 in E. coli. First, cellular levels of Rsd have been measured to be lower than that of σ^70 (17, 22, 23), indicating that Rsd may reduce but not fully antagonize σ^70 activity. Second, although Rsd was identified as an antagonist of σ^70 in E. coli 20 y ago (17), no obvious phenotype has been observed in rsd deletion or overexpression strains to date. Therefore, the importance of Rsd as a σ^70 antagonist in vivo has still remained elusive. For this reason, we set up a study to explore an in vivo role for Rsd other than what its name implies, and thereby establish a characteristic phenotype associated with the deletion or overexpression of Rsd.

To find a new physiological function of Rsd, we conducted a ligand fishing experiment (16, 24–26) using an N-terminally hexahistidine-tagged Rsd (His-Rsd) as bait. A crude extract of E. coli MG1655 grown to stationary phase was mixed with TALON resin (Takara Bio) in the absence or presence of His-Rsd. After brief washes, proteins bound to the resin were eluted with 150 mM imidazole and analyzed by SDS/PAGE and staining with Coomassie brilliant blue. The elution of a protein band for HPr, previously identified as the binding protein of SpoT fused to the T25 domain of E. coli–deficient E. coli strain BTH101 and their interactions were assessed by measuring β-galactosidase activity (Fig. 1C). While Rsd interacted with the CTD of SpoT (SpoTC1), little interaction was detected with the NTD (SpoTN1). To pinpoint the domain in the CTD of SpoT that interacts with Rsd, we examined the interaction of Rsd with two more nonoverlapping fragments of SpoT: one consisting of the NTD and the TGS domain (construct SpoTN2; amino acids 1–447) and the other corresponding to the CTD lacking the TGS domain (construct SpoTC2; amino acids 448–702), both fused to the T25 domain. While Rsd interacted with SpoTN2, no interaction was detected with SpoTC2. These data suggest that the TGS domain of SpoT is necessary for the interaction with Rsd.

The TGS domain-dependent interaction between Rsd and SpoT was further confirmed using metal affinity pull-down assays with His-Rsd and crude extracts of E. coli cells overexpressing the truncated constructs SpoTN2 and SpoTC1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). As the amount of His-Rsd increased, the amounts of pulled down SpoTN2 and SpoTC1 proteins also increased. Together, these data confirm that Rsd interacts with the TGS domain of SpoT. However, we have not been able to test the direct interaction of Rsd with the TGS domain alone, because expression of the TGS domain itself has been unsuccessful, even after repeated attempts.

RelA and SpoT share a similar domain organization and significant amino acid sequence identity (~31% throughout the entire protein) in E. coli. While both of them possess (p)ppGpp synthetase activity, the most conserved region between the two proteins corresponds to the TGS domain, with ~52% amino acid sequence identity with each other, rather than the synthetase domain (~50% identity). To examine whether RelA can also interact with Rsd through its TGS domain, RelA was fused to the T25 domain of E. coli–deficient E. coli strain BTH101. When protein–protein interactions were assayed in this strain cotransformed with both plasmids harboring T18 and T25 fusions, full-length SpoT showed a strong interaction with Rsd in both vector combinations (Fig. 1B and C). While the BTH101 strain coexpressing T18-SpoT and T25-Rsd hybrid proteins exhibited high β-galactosidase activity, those coexpressing T18 and T25, T18-SpoT and T25, and T18 and T25-Rsd did not develop blue color on 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) plates (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). These data indicate that Rsd interacts with SpoT both in vitro and in vivo.
to the T25 domain (T25-RelA) and the resulting hybrid protein was tested in two-hybrid assays with T18-Rsd (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). While the BTH101 strain coexpressing T18-Rsd and T25-SpoT showed a significantly higher \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity than the negative control coexpressing T18 and T25, the strain coexpressing T18-Rsd and T25-RelA did not produce \( \beta \)-galactosidase. This result suggests that Rsd specifically interacts with SpoT. It is interesting to note that ACP also interacts with the TGS domain of SpoT but not with that of RelA in *E. coli* (31).

Enzyme IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr} of the nitrogen PTS was previously shown to interact with SpoT in *Ralstonia eutropha*, the ortholog of *E. coli* SpoT protein (35), and a single RelA/SpoT homolog protein of *Caulobacter crescentus* (36). Rsd has a similar molecular mass and pl (18.24 kDa and 5.65, respectively) to IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr} (17.96 kDa and 5.57, respectively) in *E. coli*. Therefore, we wanted to test whether the interaction of IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr} with SpoT also occurs in *E. coli*. Because SpoTC1 showed a relatively higher solubility than other SpoT constructs, we used SpoTC1 to study its interaction with IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr}. The crude extract overexpressing the truncated construct SpoTC1 was mixed with various amounts of purified Rsd or IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr} and incubated with TALON resin. To the mixture containing IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr}, pyruvate was added to dephosphorylate or PEP to phosphorylate IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr}. After brief washes, proteins bound to the resin were eluted with 150 mM imidazole and analyzed by SDS/PAGE and Coomassie brilliant blue staining. As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, SpoTC1 interacted with Rsd but not with IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr}, regardless of its phosphorylation state. The interaction between IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr} and full-length SpoT was also tested in vivo by BACTH assays (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). Neither of wild-type IIA\textsuperscript{Ntr}, its phosphomimetic (H73E) and dephosphomimetic mutant (H73A) could interact with SpoT, indicating that the SpoT–Rsd interaction is specific in *E. coli*. Our data also suggest that stress signals and regulatory mechanisms for the stringent response could be diverse among microorganisms, consistent with the previous report (35).

**Activation of the (p)ppGpp Hydrolase Activity of SpoT by Rsd.** Because Rsd specifically interacts with SpoT through the TGS domain, we predicted that Rsd could regulate the catalytic activity of SpoT. The (p)ppGpp hydrolysis function of SpoT is critical for balancing cellular (p)ppGpp concentrations in the stringent (*relA\textsuperscript{+}*) strains, and therefore disruption of the spoT gene is lethal in *E. coli* (*relA\textsuperscript{+}*) strains (7). Thus, we first tested the effect of Rsd on the ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT by measuring the amounts of hydrolyzed ppGpp and produced GDP using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). As

---

**Fig. 1.** Interaction of Rsd with SpoT. (A) Ligand fishing experiment was carried out to search for proteins specifically interacting with Rsd. Crude extract prepared from *E. coli* strain MG1655 was mixed with (lane +) or without (lane −) 150 \( \mu \)g of purified His-Rsd. Each mixture was incubated with 50 \( \mu \)L TALON resin for metal-affinity chromatography. Proteins bound to each column were analyzed as described in Materials and Methods. Protein bands bound specifically to His-Rsd are indicated by arrows. Lane M refers to the molecular mass markers (KOMA Biotech). (B) A schematic diagram of SpoT and its truncated forms. HD domain indicates ppGpp hydrolase domain; SD domain, ppGpp synthetase domain; TGS domain named for three protein families containing it (threonyl-tRNA synthetase, Obg family of GTPases, and SpoT); ACT domain, named after aspartokinase, chorismate mutase and TyrA. (C) Quantitative BACTH assays to assess the in vivo interaction of Rsd with SpoT. \( \beta \)-Galactosidase activity was measured with permeabilized cells cotransformed with derivatives of pUT18C and pKT25 as indicated by direct enzyme assay (Miller units). Zip, the leucine zipper domain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* GCN4, served as a positive control. The mean and standard deviation of three independent measurements are shown. Statistical significance was determined using Student’s \( t \) test (*** \( P < 0.005 \) and **** \( P < 0.001 \)).
shown in Fig. 2A, GST–SpoT hydrolyzed ppGpp to GDP in vitro, with the \( K_m \) and \( K_{cat} \) values of SpoT for ppGpp being 24.48 μM and 3.38 min\(^{-1} \), respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). The \( K_m \) value is well within the physiological ppGpp concentrations given that the cellular level of ppGpp corresponds to 1 mM during the stringent response (37). Interestingly, the ppGpp hydrolysis activity of SpoT increased with the addition of Rsd but not by the same amount of BSA, a known carrier protein. Rsd alone did not show ppGpp hydrolysis activity, indicating no contamination with SpoT during purification of Rsd. Therefore, these data suggest that Rsd activates the ppGpp hydrolysis activity of SpoT by direct interaction in vitro.

As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S4C, the stimulatory effect of Rsd on the SpoT ppGpp hydrolase activity appeared to be concentration-dependent and saturable. The concentration of Rsd required for half-maximum stimulation of the ppGpp hydrolase activity (\( K_{0.5} \)) was \( \sim 3.65 \) μM. This \( K_{0.5} \) value corresponds to \( \sim 2,200 \) molecules per cell when calculated assuming an \( E. \) coli cell volume of \( 1 \times 10^{-15} \) L (22). Rsd levels were reported to increase by approximately twofold during stationary phase, with \( \sim 6,200 \) molecules in an \( E. \) coli cell, compared with the levels in exponentially growing cells (\( \sim 3,300 \) molecules per cell) (22). The cellular levels of SpoT are approximately one-tenth of that for Rsd (23). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that regulation of the SpoT ppGpp hydrolase activity by Rsd can occur in vivo, especially in the stationary phase or under stress conditions.

Because (p)ppGpp plays a crucial role in the stringent response to amino acid starvation, strains lacking (p)ppGpp are unable to grow on minimal medium without amino acids (7). Therefore, we assumed that if Rsd stimulates the (p)ppGpp hydrolase function of SpoT in vivo, increased expression of Rsd might deplete intracellular (p)ppGpp pools, resulting in a growth defect under amino acid starvation conditions. To test this assumption, we compared the growth of \( E. \) coli relA mutant strains
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with different levels of Rsd expression in the absence and presence of serine hydroxamate (SHX), an inhibitor of seryl-tRNA synthetase as a serine homolog (Fig. 2B). It is known that the addition of SHX induces serine starvation and thereby the stringent response (38). As expected, an E. coli relA spoT double-mutant lacking (p)pGpp ([pppGpp]<sub>−</sub> strain) could not grow in MOPS minimal medium with galactose as a sole carbon source in the absence of amino acids, whereas the relA rsd double-mutant carrying the control plasmid pACYC184 showed normal growth regardless of the presence of SHX, indicating that the weak (p)pGpp synthetase activity of SpoT alone is enough to cope with serine starvation. However, the relA mutant carrying pACYC184 and the relA rsd double-mutant carrying pACYC184–Rsd expressing Rsd from its own promoter (16) had a severe growth defect similar to the (p)pGpp<sub>−</sub> strain as the SHX concentration increased, while they exhibited a similar growth rate to the relA rsd double-mutant carrying pACYC184 in the absence of SHX. These data indicate that Rsd stimulates SpoT-mediated (p)pGpp hydrolysis in vivo.

While the Rsd(D63A) mutant (substitution of Asp-63 to Ala) was shown unable to interact with and therefore to antagonize the activity of σ<sup>70</sup> in a previous study (39), this mutant protein could still interact, as tightly as wild-type Rsd, with SpoT (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A and B), and overexpression of Rsd(D63A) resulted in the same phenotype as overexpression of the wild-type Rsd (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). Moreover, no obvious difference in the transcriptional levels of relA and spoT was detected in rsd deletion and overexpression strains (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Thus, we verified that the growth defect of rsd<sup>−</sup> strains in the relA mutant background under amino acid starvation conditions is not due to the anti-σ<sup>70</sup> activity of Rsd.

To confirm that the effect of Rsd was due to an increase in the (p)pGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT, we measured the intracellular (p)pGpp levels (Fig. 2C). A robust induction of (p)pGpp synthesis was observed in both rsd deletion and overexpression strains 30 min after the addition of SHX, indicating that induction of the stringent response by SHX is little affected by the expression level of Rsd. However, the cellular levels of (p)pGpp decreased much more rapidly in the rsd mutant strain carrying pJK1113–Rsd than in the same strain carrying pJK1113, indicating that Rsd stimulates the hydrolysis of (p)pGpp in vivo. This observation explains that the growth defect of rsd<sup>−</sup> strains under SHX-induced serine starvation (Fig. 2B) is due to the increased degradation of (p)pGpp.

The Stimulatory Effect of Rsd on the (p)pGpp Hydrolase Activity of SpoT Is Blocked by Dephosphorylated HPr. In a previous study, we showed that HPr, a general component of the sugar PTS, is predominantly dephosphorylated during glucose transport and...
only dephosphorylated HPr interacts with Rsd to antagonize its activity (16, 21, 40). We therefore expected that the Rsd–SpoT interaction could be affected by the phosphorylation state of HPr, resulting in changes in the intracellular level of (p)pGpp. To verify this assumption, we first examined the effect of the phosphorylation state of HPr on formation of the Rsd–SpoT complex by pull-down assays under various conditions (Fig. 3A). After the E. coli cell lysate containing overexpressed SpoTC1 was mixed with purified His-Rsd alone or together with HPr and EI, this mixture was incubated either with glucose to dephosphorylate or with PEP to phosphorylate HPr. Then each mixture was incubated with TALON resin for metal-affinity chromatography. After brief washes, proteins bound to the resin were eluted and analyzed by SDS/PAGE (Fig. 3A). The amount of eluted SpoTC1 significantly increased in the presence of His-Rsd, supporting the specific interaction of SpoTC1 with Rsd (compare lanes 1 and 2 in Fig. 3A). When the mixture of the SpoTC1 lysate and His-Rsd was incubated with HPr and glucose (thus HPr dephosphorylated), the amount of SpoTC1 bound to Rsd significantly decreased with a concomitant increase in the amount of HPr bound to His-Rsd (compare lanes 2 and 3 in Fig. 3A). However, when the same mixture was incubated with HPr and PEP (and thus HPr phosphorylated), formation of the Rsd–SpoTC1 complex was little affected by HPr (lane 4 in Fig. 3A).

These data indicate that only the dephosphorylated form of HPr can interact with Rsd and sequesters it from SpoTC1. We then tested the effect of HPr on the stimulation of the SpoT (p)pGpp hydrolase activity by Rsd in vitro using HPLC (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, whereas dephosphorylated HPr apparently inhibited the stimulatory effect of Rsd on the ppGpp hydrolysis by SpoT, phosphorylated HPr did not.

We also determined the effect of dephosphorylated HPr on the cellular level of (p)pGpp in vivo (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Consistent with the data in Fig. 2C, the level of (p)pGpp decreased more rapidly in the Rsd-overexpressing strain than in the rsd-deficient strain. However, the (p)pGpp hydrolysis rate was significantly lower in the strain coexpressing Rsd and unphosphorylatable HPr(H15A), compared with that in the strain overexpressing Rsd alone. These results suggest that HPr of the sugar PTS can influence the formation of the Rsd–SpoT complex and thereby regulate the cellular level of (p)pGpp depending on its phosphorylation state in vivo.

**Regulation of (p)pGpp Hydrolase Activity of SpoT by Rsd Is Dependent on Carbon Sources.** We have previously shown that the phosphorylation state of HPr is determined by sugars supplemented to the medium (16, 24). As shown in Fig. 4A, HPr was mostly dephosphorylated in the presence of glucose or N-acetylglucosamine, whereas it was predominantly phosphorylated in the presence of galactose or glycerol. Therefore, we assumed that the (p)pGpp level would be less, since the concentration of free Rsd should be higher, thus cells might be more sensitive to the SHX-induced serine starvation in the presence of galactose or glycerol than in the presence of glucose or N-acetylglucosamine. To verify this assumption, we constructed a relA rsd double-mutant, and transformed this mutant with the low copy-number plasmid pACYC184 (as a control) or the plasmid pACYC-Rsd expressing Rsd from its own promoter, in an attempt to examine only the direct effects of Rsd on the SpoT-triggered stringent response.
depending on carbon sources. Thus, the growth patterns of these strains were compared in the presence of various carbon sources with or without SHX (Fig. 4 B–E and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). While the relA spoT double-mutant [(p)ppGpp] strain carrying pACYC184 showed a severe growth defect in MOPS medium containing glucose, N-acetylglucoamin, galactose, or glycerol as the sole carbon source regardless of the presence of SHX, the relA rsd double-mutant carrying pACYC184 exhibited normal growth regardless of the supplemented carbon source, although the addition of SHX caused some growth retardation (compare Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Expression of Rsd from its own promoter on pACYC-Rsd caused a slight but not significant growth retardation of the relA rsd double-mutant compared with the same strain carrying pACYC184, regardless of the supplemented carbon sources in MOPS medium in the absence of SHX (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Interestingly, however, growth of the relA rsd double-mutant expressing Rsd was significantly inhibited by SHX in the presence of galactose or glycerol as the sole carbon source, to a similar level to that of the (p)ppGpp strain carrying pACYC184 (Fig. 4 D and E), whereas the same strain showed only moderate growth retardation compared with the relA rsd double-mutant carrying pACYC184 when glucose or N-acetylglucoamin was supplemented as the sole carbon source (Fig. 4 B and C). These data suggest that the carbon source can influence the stringent response by regulating the stimulatory effect of Rsd on the SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity through altering the phosphorylation state of HPr in vivo.

**Rsd Regulates the Stringent Response During Carbon Source Downshift.**

Microorganisms face the constant challenge of fluctuating conditions in their natural environments, such as nutrient shifts. On exposure to nutrient downshifts, the cellular concentration of (p)ppGpp displays an abrupt increase followed by a gradual decrease (41). This mechanism of balancing the (p)ppGpp level by stimulating the hydrolase activity of SpoT appears to be important because the uncontrolled accumulation of (p)ppGpp is lethal (42).

Because the stimulatory effect of Rsd on SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity is mediated by the type and availability of carbon sources, we assumed that Rsd may function in response to a carbon source downshift from a preferred carbon source to a less-preferred one. When *E. coli* is cultured on a mixture of various carbon sources, glucose is preferentially utilized by inhibiting the consumption of other carbon sources (43). Once glucose is depleted, growth is transiently arrested and (p)ppGpp accumulates rapidly in the cell (44). As a result, the accumulated (p)ppGpp controls regulatory networks that coordinate resumption of growth (45). Considering that the phosphorylation state of HPr is determined by the availability of preferred PTS sugars, such as glucose (16, 24) (Fig. 4A), it seems reasonable to assume that (p)ppGpp levels need to be reduced before growth can resume during a carbon source downshift, and this explains the requirement of Rsd for the stimulation of SpoT-catalyzed (p)ppGpp hydrolysis.

To verify this assumption, we examined the effect of Rsd on the diauxic shift of *E. coli* cells during growth in the medium supplemented with 0.02% glucose and 0.1% casamino acids (Fig. 5). To rule out the effect of amino acid starvation during the diauxic shift and to assess only the effect of the carbon downshift, casamino acids were added at a much higher concentration than glucose. All strains showed similar growth curves during the glucose consumption period, and then transient growth arrest after glucose was exhausted. As shown in Fig. 5, the rsd mutant carrying pACYC184 (Fig. 5, red triangles), had a longer diauxic lag and showed less-efficient growth on casamino acids than the wild-type strain carrying pACYC184 (Fig. 5, black circles). The lag time and growth of the rsd mutant was restored by complementation with pACYC-Rsd (Fig. 5, gray squares) or pACYC-Rsd(D63A) (Fig. 5, white diamonds), indicating that the phenotype of the rsd mutant is not due to loss of the anti-σ^{70} activity. Because the (p)ppGpp level was previously shown to increase in a RelA-dependent manner during a nutrient downshift in *E. coli* (41, 46), we examined whether Rsd is required to balance the

**Fig. 5.** Implication of Rsd during a carbon source downshift. Growth curves were measured during a carbon downshift from glucose to casamino acids. Cells grown overnight in LB medium were harvested, washed, suspended in MOPS minimal medium containing 0.1% casamino acids and 0.02% glucose. Then, 100-μl aliquots of each strain were transferred into a 96-well plate and growth was monitored at 600 nm using a multimode microplate reader (TECAN). The mean and standard deviation of three measurements are shown. In the presence of glucose, HPr is predominantly dephosphorylated and then sequesters Rsd because the uncontrolled accumulation of (p)ppGpp is lethal (42). This mechanism of balancing the (p)ppGpp level by inhibiting the consumption of other carbon sources (43). Once glucose is depleted, growth is transiently arrested and (p)ppGpp accumulates rapidly in the cell (44). As a result, the accumulated (p)ppGpp controls regulatory networks that coordinate resumption of growth (45). Considering that the phosphorylation state of HPr is determined by the availability of preferred PTS sugars, such as glucose (16, 24) (Fig. 4A), it seems reasonable to assume that (p)ppGpp levels need to be reduced before growth can resume during a carbon source downshift, and this explains the requirement of Rsd for the stimulation of SpoT-catalyzed (p)ppGpp hydrolysis.
SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity was influenced by the sugar available in the medium (16, 24), the stimulatory effect of Rsd on hydrolase activity both in vitro and in vivo (Figs. 1 and 2). As recently shown, the TGS domain of RelA has been shown to be the site of Rsd binding (Fig. 1). An rsd-deficient mutant exhibited a longer diauxic lag and showed less efficient growth on succinate than the wild-type strain. These results suggest that Rsd functions as a direct link between the PTS-dependent diauxic shift and the SpoT-mediated stringent response. We also identified a distinct phenotype of an rsd mutant associated with the stringent response which is independent of its anti-σ70 activity.

Discussion

The activities of RelA and SpoT are regulated by different stress signals. While RelA possessing only (p)ppGpp synthetase activity responds primarily to amino acid starvation, SpoT having both (p)ppGpp synthetase and hydrolitic activities functions as a hub protein that integrates various stress signals including fatty acid, phosphate, iron, and carbon source starvation (13). Because the hydrolitic activity of SpoT is crucial for balancing cellular (p)ppGpp concentrations, disruption of the spoT gene is lethal in relA-proficient E. coli strains due to the accumulation of too much (p)ppGpp (7, 47). It was recently revealed that the enzymatic activity of RelA is also activated by ppGpp itself (48). This positive allosteric feedback mechanism also supports the importance of the SpoT-mediated (p)ppGpp hydrolase in relaxing the stringent response.

There have been a few studies on the regulation of the SpoT activity through protein–protein interactions. ObgE was previously shown to interact with SpoT to maintain the low level of (p)ppGpp under normal growth conditions (49). However, a more recent study has shown that the effect of ObgE overexpression on the intracellular level of (p)ppGpp is negligible (50). Similarly, the obgE deletion mutant did not show an increase in the (p)ppGpp level as opposed to previous findings, suggesting that ObgE is not responsible for the regulation of SpoT activity in vitro and in vivo (51). ACP has also been shown to interact with SpoT to stimulate the (p)ppGpp synthetase activity of SpoT in response to fatty acid starvation (31). A direct interaction of SpoT with enzyme IIA(C) of the nitrogen-related PEP-dependent phosphotransferase system has been reported in R. eutropha (35) and C. crescentus (36), but these interactions appeared to inhibit SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity.

However, despite the physiological importance, little is known about the molecular mechanism stimulating the (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT in E. coli. In this study, we demonstrate that Rsd directly interacts with SpoT to stimulate its (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity both in vitro and in vivo (Figs. 1 and 2). As expected from the fact that Rsd can form a tight complex only with the dephosphorylated form of HPr (16, 21) and that the phosphorylation state of HPr can be changed by the type of sugar available in the medium (16, 24), the stimulatory effect of Rsd on SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity was influenced by the sugar source supplemented (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Thus, this study provides an example of a regulator stimulating SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity and an example of a direct link between the sugar PTS and the stringent response. The TGS domain of SpoT functions as the site for Rsd binding (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Fig. S2) as well as for acyl-ACP binding (31). Recently, the TGS domain of RelA has been shown to be the site for recognition of charged or uncharged CCA ends of cognate tRNA (6, 52). Given these pivotal roles of the TGS domain, this domain appears to be a regulatory link between carbon, lipid, and amino acid metabolism, and the stringent response.

Although Rsd was identified as an antagonist of σ70 in E. coli (17), no obvious phenotype has been observed in rsd deletion or overexpression strains to date. However, we showed that the overproduction of Rsd significantly decreased the intracellular (p)ppGpp levels (Fig. 2C). Moreover, the relA mutant strains expressing Rsd exhibits severe growth defects under SHX-induced stress condition similar to that of the relA spoT double mutant [(p)ppGpp] strain (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the rsd mutant showed a longer diauxic lag and less-efficient growth during the carbon source downshift from glucose to casamino acids or succinate (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S10) than the wild-type strain. We confirmed that these growth characteristics of both the Rsd-overexpressing and rsd-deficient strains were not associated with the anti-σ70 activity of Rsd but with its stimulation of the SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Therefore, this study provides clear genotype–phenotype correlations in both Rsd overexpression and deletion strains.

Considering its critical role in the stringent response, the (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT must be tightly regulated to ensure that it is stimulated only when necessary. Under good carbon conditions, the intracellular (p)ppGpp concentrations are maintained at a low level (53). Thus, stimulation of the SpoT (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity is unnecessary. When cells are growing on glucose, HPr is dephosphorylated and sequesters Rsd so that the (p)ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpoT will be maintained at a basal level. However, when glucose is exhausted, the (p)ppGpp level abruptly increases in a RelA-dependent manner to efficiently coordinate gene expression necessary to resume growth on poor carbon sources (41). However, uncontrolled accumulation of (p)ppGpp can cause severe growth inhibition (42) or cell death (54). Therefore, sophisticated control of SpoT-mediated (p)ppGpp hydrolysis is necessary to balance the intracellular (p)ppGpp concentrations. This can be achieved by phosphorylation of HPr. When cells are transient to poor carbon conditions, such as casamino acids or succinate, HPr is predominantly phosphorylated and thus Rsd can interact with and stimulate the SpoT-catalyzed (p)ppGpp hydrolysis to counterbalance the RelA-catalyzed synthesis (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Figs. S9 and S10). In this way, the intracellular level of (p)ppGpp can be adjusted to allow E. coli to adapt to carbon source fluctuations. Therefore, Rsd functions as a direct link between the PTS-dependent diauxic shift and the SpoT-mediated stringent response.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Culture Conditions. The bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in SI Appendix, Tables S1 and S2. Bacterial cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium or MOPS minimal medium (pH 7.2) supplemented with the indicated carbon sources. All plasmids were constructed using standard PCR-based cloning procedures and verified by sequencing. In-frame deletion mutants used in this study were constructed using the pKD46 plasmid, as previously described (55). The relA gene was replaced by the kanamycin-resistance gene and the spoT gene was replaced by the chloramphenicol-resistance (cat) gene or streptomycin-resistance gene.

To construct pJK1113-HPr(H15A), the bla gene in pJK1113 was replaced with the cat gene as described previously (56). The cat gene PCR product and the linearized PCR product of pJK1113 were combined using the EZ-Fusion Cloning kit (Enzymogenics). Then, the psl promoter and ORF (His15 to Ala) amplified by PCR from pACYC-HP(H15A) (24) using the primers RS953 and S954 (SI Appendix, Table S2) were digested with Sall and inserted into the corresponding site of pJK1113.

Purification of Overexpressed Proteins. Purification of Ei and HPr was accomplished using MonoQ 10/100 GL and HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 75 prepgrade columns (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) as recently reported (16, 24, 57), and Rsd was purified in a similar manner. His-tagged protein (His-Rsd) was purified using TALON metal-affinity resin according to the manufacturer's
Both. After incubation at 37 °C for the indicated time, the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 μL 88% formic acid, and then trifluoroacetic acid was added to a final concentration of 10%. The reaction product generated from ppGpp was then analyzed by HPLC using a Varian dual pump system connected to an UV-visible detector. A 20-μL reaction mixture was applied to a Supelcosil LC-18-T column (Sigma Aldrich) equilibrated with 100 mM KH₂PO₄ and 8 mM tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate (pH 2.6) in water and then chromatographed using a linear gradient of 0–30% methanol containing 100 mM KH₂PO₄ and 8 mM tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate (pH 2.6) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min for 30 min. The eluted nucleotides were detected at 254 nm.

In the absence of nickel, the ppGpp levels were determined by in-gel digestion and peptide mapping of the tryptic digestes were performed as described previously (16, 25).

**BACTH Assays.** Protein–protein interactions in live _E. coli_ cells were assayed using the BACTH system based on the reconstitution of adenylate cyclase activity as described previously (28). Briefly, the cya-deficient _E. coli_ K-12 strains were _β_-lactamase transformed with derivatives of VT1E105. Each mixture was then incubated with 50 μL TALON metal affinity resin in a 1.5-mL tube at 4 °C for 20 min. After three brief washes with the binding buffer containing 10 mM imidazole, proteins bound to the resin were eluted with the binding buffer containing 150 mM imidazole. Aliquots of the eluted protein sample (10 μL each) were analyzed by SDS/PAGE and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. Protein bands specifically bound to the His-tagged bait protein were excised from the gel, and in-gel digestion and peptide mapping of the tryptic digestes were performed as described previously (16, 25).

**In Vitro Assay for ppGpp Hydrolase Activity of SpO.** The in vitro ppGpp hydrolase activity of SpO was assayed in a 30-μL reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 4 mM MnCl₂, 15 mM Mg-acetate, 10 mM DTT, 90 mM sodium formate, 25 mM ammonium acetate, and indicated concentrations of ppGpp and GST–SpO protein in the presence of Rdp, HPr or both. After incubation at 37 °C for the indicated time, the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 μL 88% formic acid, and then trifluoroacetic acid was added to a final concentration of 10%. The reaction product generated from ppGpp was then analyzed by HPLC using a Varian dual pump system connected to an UV-visible detector. A 20-μL reaction mixture was applied to a Supelcosil LC-18-T column (Sigma Aldrich) equilibrated with 100 mM KH₂PO₄ and 8 mM tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate (pH 2.6) in water and then chromatographed using a linear gradient of 0–30% methanol containing 100 mM KH₂PO₄ and 8 mM tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate (pH 2.6) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min for 30 min. The eluted nucleotides were detected at 254 nm.

**Detection of Intracellular (pp)Gpp Levels.** Overnight grown _E. coli_ culture was inoculated into fresh low-phosphate (0.2 mM) MOPS minimal medium (59) containing 0.2% galactose and 0.02% arabinose and 100 μCi/mL ^32P_2PO₄ to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05 and incubated with shaking at 37 °C. When OD₆₀₀ reached ~0.2, serine hydroxamate (1 mg/mL) was added to trigger the stringent response. At the indicated times, 20-μL samples were mixed with an equal volume of 17 M formic acid (30). After four freeze–thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen, the samples were centrifuged at 16,000 × g for at least 1 min to remove cell debris. Then 5 μL of supernatants was spotted onto a polyethyleneimine plate (Merck Millipore) and developed in 1.5 M KH₂PO₄ (pH 3.4) as mobile phase at room temperature. The plate was autoradiographed using Bio-imaging Analyzer (FujiFilm) and (pp)Gpp signals were measured using myImageAnalysis Software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
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