Considering Genomic and Immunological Correlates of Protection for a Dengue Intervention
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Abstract: Over three billion are at risk of dengue infection with more than 100 million a year presenting with symptoms that can lead to deadly haemorrhagic disease. There are however no treatments available and the only licensed vaccine shows limited efficacy and is able to enhance the disease in some cases. These failures have mainly been due to the complex pathology and lack of understanding of the correlates of protection for dengue virus (DENV) infection. With increasing data suggesting both a protective and detrimental effect for antibodies and CD8 T-cells whilst having complex environmental dynamics. This review discusses the roles of genomic and immunological aspects of DENV infection, providing both a historical interpretation and fresh discussion on how this information can be used for the next generation of dengue interventions.
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1. Introduction

Infecting over 390 million people each year [1], the dengue virus (DENV) is found worldwide covering over 100 countries (WHO), with either epidemic or endemic occurrence [1]. Its transmission is dependent on the key mosquito vectors, Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus [2,3]. The DENV itself is a positive sense RNA flavivirus which exists as four circulating serotypes [2]. The effects of DENV infection are subclinical in approximately 75% of cases. However, the remainder (over 96 million per year [1]) experience a spectrum typified initially by acute dengue fever (DF) that after defervescence can develop into severe haemorrhagic dengue fever (DHF), characterised by dangerous plasma leakage and hypovolaemic shock which can progress to organ failure and vascular haemorrhage [4–8]. Unfortunately, besides mitigation of symptoms there is no effective treatment for the severe disease [4] and consequently is a major cause of paediatric death and debilitation in many countries (WHO). With cases increasing yearly and steady geographical expansion there is urgent need for effective long-term prophylaxis [1].

Current interventions rely mostly on generic vector-based control [5,6], therefore there is a need for effective interventions specifically targeting the virus. Whilst there are many antiviral therapeutics in development [7–11] they are less attractive as an intervention as viral loads are already declining in symptomatic patients [12,13]. The most desirable intervention is an effective vaccine against the virus. However, due to the complex patho- and immuno-biology of the disease this has proven extremely difficult [14,15]. For example, in natural infections pre-existing immunity to a serotype enhances the infection of subsequent serotypes [4]. As a consequence of this the current and only licensed dengue
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vaccine was found to enhance the disease in infants [16–20]. It is therefore critical that we work towards a better understanding of the correlates of protection that a next generation dengue intervention must elicit and the dynamics of dengue infection they must target.

2. Viral Lifecycle

The DENV depends on both a human and mosquito host to complete its lifecycle, where it exists primarily in urban environments as either endemic or epidemic cycles [21]. The primary urban vector, *Aedes aegypti* is found across the globe in tropical and subtropical regions [2]. The virus also exists in sylvatic cycles in forested areas and has been known to infect humans on rare occasions [22]. Initially an *Aedes* mosquito will become infected by taking a bloodmeal from a febrile human host, following which the virus replicates over a period of 4–7 days [2] before it is found in the salivary gland in high numbers [23]. Following a bite from an infectious mosquito [15] the virus is then released into the human host via the insect’s saliva, which it injects while taking a bloodmeal [24]. The virus has also been shown to transmit maternally in mosquitoes to the female eggs, however its role within urban environments is unknown [25].

The virus itself is a 50 nm virion constructed from Capsid (C), preMembrane/Membrane (prM/M), and Envelope (E) protein in a lipid envelope [15]. Inside is a 10.7 kb positive sense capped RNA (+RNA) genome which also encodes seven non-structural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5; Figure 1) [15].

![Figure 1. Dengue virus genome schematic.](image)

During human infection DENV has an assorted cell tropism, with a particular preference for dendritic cells, macrophages, and monocytes [15]. Binding and entry of these cells is mediated by the viral E protein [26] and although many host binding proteins have been suggested (heparan sulfate, DC-SIGN, mannose receptor, TIM/TAM receptors, laminin, HSP90/70) the receptor mediating DENV entry remains elusive [15]. The virus enters host cells by clathrin-mediated endocytosis, although there may be alternative entry methods in some cell types [27]. Acidification of the endosomes and the E protein are critical to fusion with the host membrane and release of the viral genome into the cytoplasm. The E protein is a three-domain protein (EDI, EDII, EDIII) and acidification causes it to trimerise to reveal a fusion loop within EDII which mediates this fusion [26,28–30]. The EDIII domain distinguishes the four serotypes [14].

Subsequently, the viral RNA is translated by the host machinery as a single polyprotein anchored in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane [26,31] and the polyprotein is cleaved into its constituent proteins mostly by itself using the NS2B/NS3 protein on the cytoplasmic side, but also by host proteases in the ER luminal side [32–34]. NS2B is critical to NS3’s serine protease function [35] and recognition [36]. The RNA genome contains both 3′- and 5′-UTR hairpin loops which modulate viral replication [37]. Processed viral proteins with some host factors form a complex that mediates the replication of the viral genome via a negative-strand intermediate. Most importantly the NS5 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) synthesises the negative-strand RNA which it uses to produce the positive sense RNA with a double stranded intermediate [38,39]. NS3 and NS5 mediate the 5′ capping of the nascent +RNA [39]. NS3 also functions during replication via its helicase activity [40].

The capped RNA is then packaged with the capsid protein and localises with E and prM heterodimers which bud from the ER [31] as immature virions made from 180 prM/E dimers in 60 trimeric complexes [41]. prM acts to prevent the fusion loop from being revealed while in the host [14],
however as virions traverse the Golgi prM is cleaved into the pr fragment and M by host furin followed by a realignment of the E proteins into anti-parallel dimers [42]. Following budding from host cells the pr fragment is released, mediated by the change in pH forming mature virions [43]. Furin cleavage is however inefficient, leading to a mixture of prM containing virions [43]. The roles of the remaining non-structural proteins are less well characterised. NS4A is believed to be important in formation of replicative complexes [44], structures where viral RNA replication occurs [45]. NS1 functions in RNA replication and virion production [46]. NS2A and NS4B are also important in replication [47].

3. Immune Correlates of Protection

Understanding the correlates of protection for complex pathogens is critical to successful vaccine design in the modern era. They help us to engineer and tailor vaccines to specifically elicit these protective aspects of the immune system in a memory response. Consequently, a rudimental understanding appears only successful for some cases (e.g., smallpox [48]) and may even lead to disease enhancement with life-threatening consequences [49,50]. Unfortunately, dengue falls within the latter group [14,15], and a recent phase III dengue vaccine trial showed increased disease severity in young children [19]. This unfortunate side-effect is however not unexpected. The development of severe dengue following acute fever, epitomised by increased vascular permeability [15,51], almost exclusively occurs during a secondary infection with a different serotype to the primary infection [52–54]. Furthermore, infection with each serotype elicits long-term protection to the respective serotype, but only short-term (~2 months–3 years) protection to other serotypes [55–59].

It was therefore hypothesised that infection with one serotype enhanced the infection of a subsequent heterotypic serotype [14,54,56,57]. In support of this, increased viremia was shown to correlate with severe disease [60,61]. In 1988, Srisakul Kliks et al. [62] provided direct evidence that maternal dengue antibodies both provided immunity in infants from dengue infection and that their decline correlated with severe dengue symptoms. The lack of T-cells in this situation suggested antibodies as the main mediator of protection and disease severity. Early in vitro work however showed that antibodies could also enhance infection via Fc receptors (FcR) [63].

Since then, considerable work has expanded knowledge on this phenomenon referred to as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE); described as cross-reactive IgG antibodies from a previous infection with poor neutralizing capability which bind the heterologous serotype and enhances its uptake via FcR’s into host cells [51,64]. This is likely a mediator of increased viremia mediated through increased host cell infection [64].

Most work has however been inferred from in vitro or animal models [63,65–69]. Increased binding and uptake has been shown in P338D1 cells [70] and THP-1 cells [71]. Recent in vitro work suggests that in some FcR-containing cell types the increase in infected cells and viremia in ADE may in fact be mediated by enhanced fusion within endosomes and not increased host cell binding and uptake [70]. Work has also shown that FcR binding in ADE may modulate the antiviral response. For example, THP-1 monocyte cells infected via ADE showed that FcR signalling reduced type I IFN and pro-inflammatory cytokine production, Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression, and nitric oxide radicals, whilst increasing IL-10 expression. This consequently led to enhanced viral production and viral load [71–73]. It should be noted however, that IL-10 induction has not been found in macrophages [74]. Considerable work shows or suggests that the high viremia is associated with vascular leakage [72,75–77], offering a possible correlate to the severe disease. An in vivo mouse model with maternal antibodies, found increased TNFα and linked this to vascular leakage [78]. In humans with severe dengue TNFα levels have been found to be elevated [79,80].

An antibody that causes ADE can be defined as one which binds viral particles but does not prevent viral entry into host cells [51,65]. This is dependent not only on whether it binds to neutralising epitopes, but also circulating levels, its affinity, and how accessible the epitope is [14,64,81–84]. As such a neutralising antibody could theoretically become disease enhancing in low levels [14,64,81–84]. A key factor is cross-reactivity (heterotypic) with the secondary infecting serotype, but heterotypic antibodies
can be neutralising [85] and heterotypic neutralising antibodies have been associated with reduced symptoms [86].

Severe disease determinants mediated by ADE are therefore multi-factorial and present a complex dynamic process. These include the natural decline of heterotypic neutralising antibodies but not homotypic neutralising antibodies over time [64]. Additionally, the presence of anti-prM antibodies, which may enable non-infectious immature DENV particles with uncleaved M protein (prM) to infect host cells [65,87,88]. DENV particles are also extremely dynamic, undergoing a process known as viral ‘breathing’ which can also affect the ability of neutralising antibodies to bind [4,15].

During a primary infection the majority of antibodies are cross-reactive and non-neutralising (not protective) [66,89], most of these antibodies are anti-E antibodies against the conserved EDII fusion loop [14,89]. During secondary infection, however, anti-fusion loop antibodies are strongly neutralising [85]. Many of the best neutralising anti-E antibodies have been shown to bind complex epitopes dependent on the quaternary protein structure [90–94]. Included within this are antibodies that interact with the fusion loop [90–94].

High levels of poor-neutralising prM antibodies are also found during secondary infection with in vitro ADE activity [65,89]. Additionally, antibodies against NS1 are found during infection [65,66,95] and have been shown to enhance NS1’s complement activation activity and cross-react with platelets and endothelial cells. This may be an important contributor to vascular leakage and haemorrhaging [96–100], however, antibodies against NS1 have also been shown to reduce vascular leakage [77]. This may be explained by differences in the amounts or type of antibodies raised against NS1. A few antibodies have also been detected against other proteins, including non-structural [101,102].

In-terms of vaccination this suggests that raising high levels of neutralising antibodies against E would be protective, these could be homotypic or heterotypic. However, a decline in the heterotypic levels could be detrimental. Avoiding prM antibodies may be beneficial to prevent immature virions from becoming infectious. In hindsight it is therefore not surprising that the tetravalent Sanofi CYD-TDV vaccine consisting E and prM caused increased virulence [19]. This could have been mediated by prM antibodies acting on immature virions or the tetravalent nature possibly encouraging cross-reactive antibodies. Alternatively, competition between each virus type may cause certain vaccine serotypes to replicate more skewing the antibody response, which has been seen in mosquitoes and humans [103,104]. It may also explain the differing levels of serotype protection seen [19,105]. However, in a Phase II trial for CYD-TDV, neutralising antibodies against all four serotypes were detected but there was no DENV2 protection [20]. Another consideration is that the titer of the antibody responses against each protein is not uniform (Table 1). Understanding the subtleties of the humoral response elicited by DENV is therefore not only important to avoid detrimental enhancement effects but also to identify potential DENV protein regions with the ability to generate autoreactive antibodies. This should therefore be strongly considered during vaccine design.

Another explanation for the limited vaccine efficacy could be a lack of appreciation for CD8 T-cell responses. As most dominant CD4 epitopes have been found in the, C.; E, and NS3 proteins, whereas most CD8 epitopes have been found in NS3, NS4B, and NS5 [106–110], which are missing from the CYD-TDV vaccine [19]. There are, however, only a few MHCI epitopes currently identified [105,110] therefore more data is needed to confirm a CD4 bias towards, C.; E, and NS3. A summary of the type of responses detected against each viral protein and their humoral intensity is illustrated in Table 1.
Table 1. Dengue virus genomic regions and their human immunological relevance.

| Region            | Function                                                                 | T-cell Epitope [111] | B-cell Epitope [112] |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 5' UTR [113,114]  | Assists viral RNA replication by direct binding with NS5 and stabilizes long range RNA–RNA interactions | —                    | 1° Response: none    |
| 5' untranslated regions |                                                                    |                      | 2° Response: none    |
| C [115] Capsid Protein | Assists nucleic acid rearrangements, crucial for nucleocapsid formation | CD4, CD8             | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| M [116] Membrane | Structural role in forming parts of the prM-E complex                   | —                    | 1° Response: +       |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +++      |
| E Envelope       | Key role in interaction of DENV with host cells                         | CD4                  | 1° Response: +++      |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +++      |
| NS1 [46] Non-structural 1 | Acts on immune evasion by binding into host complement proteins, modulates early events in RNA virus replication by interacting with virus dsRNA and NS4B protein. | —                    | 1° Response: +++      |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +++      |
| NS2A [47] Non-structural 2A | Antagonises the host immune response, component of the viral replication complex and plays a role in virus assembly | CD4                  | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| NS2B [117] Non-structural 2B | Regulates viral protease activity (co-factor of the NS3 protease)    | CD4                  | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| NS3 [118] Non-structural 3 | Acts as protease, RNA helicase and RTPase/NTPase                   | CD4, CD8             | 1° Response: +       |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: ++       |
| NS4A [44] Non-structural 4A | Induces host membrane alterations important for virus replication    | CD4, CD8             | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| NS4B [119] Non-structural 4B | Assists viral RNA replication through direct interaction with NS3    | CD4, CD8             | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| NS5 [120,121] Non-structural 5 | Fundamental role in viral genome amplification, counteracts antiviral response through STAT2 degradation | CD4, CD8             | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: +       |
| 3' UTR [122] 3' untranslated regions | Assists long range RNA–RNA interaction between the ends of the viral genome | —                    | 1° Response: none    |
|                  |                                                                         |                      | 2° Response: none    |

The major targets for human T-cell and B-cell epitopes with DENV. The main human primary and secondary antibody responses for each structure are marked with crosses: + (low titer), ++ (moderate titer), and +++ (high titer). DENV; dengue virus.
Until recently few MHCI epitopes were also known, however the seminal work of Daniela Weiskopf et al. [106] increased the number of CD8 epitopes by over 83%, and the Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (IEDB) [123] now has over MHCI 350 human epitopes. This, until recent scarcity of epitopes may partly explain the recent paradigm shift in CD8 correlates, driven partly by the Weiskopf group [105].

Traditionally CD8 T-cells are associated with protection from viral infections via a combination of antiviral activities, including cytotoxicity and the release of pro-inflammatory and anti-viral cytokines, which can be enhanced by CD4 T-cells [105,124–126]. However, in dengue, CD8 T-cells have historically been associated with severe disease and capillary leakage, and many refer to Juthathip Mongkolsapaya [127] on this. The only early evidence really excluding a role for CD8s in severe disease was the discovery that maternal antibodies in infants without a pre-existing T-cell response was associated with increased severity [62]. Only recently has there been a considerable increase in evidence for a non-pathogenic or protective role of CD8 T-cells in dengue infection [78,106,128–131].

The predicted detrimental effects of CD8 T-cells have been referred to as antigenic sin and is defined as the domination of cross-reactive memory CD8 T-cells from the original serotype during a heterotypic infection which have low avidity [105]. This proliferation of cross-reactive CD8s instead of naive CD8s in secondary infection is likely as memory T-cells have a lower activation threshold and are present in higher frequency [132]. The detrimental effects of antigenic sin are theorised to be mediated by the released of vasodilators leading to vascular leakage [133,134]. For example, T-cell secretions such as IFNα and IL-2R have been correlated with disease severity [80], however the concentration of blood products due to plasma leakage may confound such results [69]. Furthermore, although TNFα has been implicated in disease [135], it has also been implicated in disease in primary infection of mice with maternal antibodies [78]. This data suggests that pre-existing cross-reactive CD8s may not be a predisposing factor.

Most of the literature report dengue specific CD8 T-cells during the acute phase [127,128] and thus before the onset of severe disease, providing the premise that they could cause pathogenesis [128]. Commonly groups will, however, refer to the work by Nguyen Thi Phuong Dung et al. [69] who only detect dengue specific CD8s after the onset of vascular leakage. Although tempting, this does not necessarily mean that CD8s play no role in pathogenesis as they may be sequestered in tissues or enhance vascular leakage in late stages [69]. Alternatively, these differences may reflect host genetic backgrounds as all use the same NS3 epitope and HLA-A11 MHC [69,127,128]. This does highlight the restraints of using only a restricted number of HLA and epitopes to draw conclusions.

Interestingly, Heather Friberg et al. [128] reported that dengue specific CD8 T-cells reach peak frequency slightly earlier in primary than secondary infection. This observed difference may be explained by increased apoptosis of CD8s in secondary infection, which has been reported using an NS3 epitope measuring Ki67 positive cells [127]. In further support of a pathogenic CD8 role, an epitope- and HLA-independent measure for CD8 proliferation (CD96) has shown that during the acute febrile phase there is an increase in total activated CD8 T-cells in patients that developed vascular leakage [136]. Heather Friberg et al. [128] however showed no difference in the frequency of dengue specific CD8 or their activation level (CD38) in acute phase for primary or secondary infection and used multiple epitopes and HLAs.

In further support of antigenic sin, evidence exists to suggest that cross-reactive MHC binding does not necessarily elicit an effective CD8 response [132,137]. These cross-reactive CD8s are clearly able to bind epitopes across serotypes and in many cases due to serotype variability (25%–30%) these will have sequence differences (epitope variants) [132]. Considerable work on epitope variants (mostly with the NS3 GTS epitope and its variants) have shown that although stimulation with a heterotypic epitope variant (an epitope variant from another serotype) induces proliferation these T-cells are low avidity [127] and have reduced cytotoxic degranulation with elevated cytokine release [132]. Furthermore, Heather Friberg et al. [137] extensively characterised the activation of dengue specific CD8 T-cells and showed that some heterotypic epitope variants showed an atypical mono-functional
CD8 response characterised by MIP-1b release, whereas other heterotypic variants of the same epitope and the homotypic variant were associated with a polyfunctional response (MIP-1b, degranulation, TNFα, IFNγ) [137]. A poly-functional response is associated with protection in other viruses [138,139]. Consequently, this suggested that the heterotypic serotype (and therefore heterotypic epitope variant) that a person gets challenged with could actually affect the type of cross-reactive CD8 response they get, i.e., the order of serotype infection [137]. In support of this, greater numbers of dengue specific CD8s producing TNFα, IFNγ, and IL-2 prior to heterotypic infection was associated with an asymptomatic infection [130].

Additionally, Heather Friberg et al. [137] suggested that as the release of the CD8 mediators was sequential starting with MIP-1b, that the mono-functional response maybe due to inherent features of the epitope variant sequence effecting the length of TCR interaction. A process referred to by the Weiskopf group [105] as altered peptide ligands (APL) [140]. It has been suggested that cross-reactive CD8s may preferentially bind to heterotypic epitope variants [127], however this is not the case and instead epitope preference is due to inherent properties of the peptides affecting HLA avidity [128].

Finally, the retrospective study of Daniela Weiskopf et al. [106] which identified considerable numbers of new CD8 epitopes, showed that during primary infection most CD8 targeted serotype-specific epitopes, however this changed to mostly targeting cross-serotype conserved epitopes in secondary infection with a different serotype. Therefore, there is some skewing of CD8 responses dependent on what epitopes are conserved between the two serotypes you have been infected with. However, these conserved epitopes were of high avidity and produced a poly-functional response (INFγ, IL2, TNFα). Although, they did conduct a meta-analysis which linked HLA as a risk factor in disease severity which was associated with low CD8 response magnitude, showing that a low magnitude response was associated with a mono-functional response.

The combination of supporting evidence for both a protective and detrimental role for CD8 suggests that CD8 may play a multifaceted role in disease, which may depend on CD8 epitope characteristics in the context of epitopes variants and level of antigen presentation enhancement by ADE. This may have detrimental implications for the other two most progressed vaccines from NIH and Takeda which contain dengue proteins targeted by both antibodies and CD8 T-cells [105,141]. Furthermore, the tetravalent nature of these vaccines [141] may promote cross-reactive CD8 against epitope variants with unpredictable responses. The evidence therefore suggests that a vaccine based on cross-serotype conserved CD8 epitopes may more predictably raise protective poly-functional CD8 responses.

An alternative/additional explanation for the considerable contradiction and confusion with the literature regarding CD8 function during dengue infection is likely due to the different methods, HLA’s and epitopes used, the quick dynamics of immune cells [128,137], lack of standardised assays [14], and the problem with obtaining human samples especially at different time points during infection (acute or severe). A final consideration is the limited availability of animal models to study infection which can fully simulate a human infection [78].

4. Viral Dynamics

Although early serological work identified four distinct circulating dengue viruses (DENV1, 2, 3 and 4), more recent genetic analysis has identified distinct genotypes within each serotype which consist of further clades [142]. These are commonly geographically separated [142], however some ‘cosmopolitan’ lineages are found in multiple continents [142–144] and in some cases more than one lineage is present in the same region [145].

Dengue dynamics in endemic regions are complex, characterised by continual lineage turnover and changes in prevalence [146,147]. These lineage turnover dynamics have however been classified on a genetic level as following two non-mutually exclusive patterns, (i) an incremental spectrum of dead-end variants within lineages and (ii) dramatic replacements of lineages [144,147]. Recent phylogenies based
on E genome sequences have identified five genotypes in DENV1 and 2, and four genotypes in DENV3 and 4 [142,148].

DENV lineages have been associated with varying disease severity [149] and transmission rates [147,150], therefore an understanding of these dynamics would greatly aid future intervention methods including vaccine design. However, in the field there is considerable controversy regarding the factors modulating lineage dynamics, with many papers supporting alternative hypotheses [142,144,146,147,150–153]. Although, most agree that as yet we do not have a firm enough grasp to predict these dynamics. Within the field, three different factors have each been hypothesised to control dengue dynamics; (i) genetic fitness, (ii) population immunological status, and (iii) stochastic events, each with supporting evidence from the field [142,144,146,147,150–153]. This controversy is likely a consequence of the multifactorial nature of dengue infection dynamics. Coincidently, recent work [142,144,146,154] is starting to appreciate that all three factors likely contribute in a complex cross-talk. Using the current sphere of knowledge, a hypothetical diagrammatic representation of this complex dynamic process has been created (Figure 2), which is discussed in detail below.

**Figure 2.** Dengue dynamics and its implications for vaccine design. Lineage turnover and dynamics depend on three key factors; genetic fitness, population immunological status, and stochastic events. (1) Genetic fitness linked to increased transmission and out competition of other lineages has been associated with positive selection of non-synonymous mutations [142,144,146] and purifying/negative selection by introduction of mutations fixed by chance that have fitness advantages in an immunological context [144]. (2) Stochastic events such as mosquito population fluctuations, human movement, and seasonality may influence lineage dynamics [142,153]. (3) The immunological status of the population in context of previous dengue infection likely effects lineage dynamics by balancing between ADE-mediated infection enhancement and short-term cross-serotype protection [153,155–157]. Both viral replication rates and previous serotype/lineage infections have been associated with disease severity [146]. ADE; antibody-dependent enhancement.

(i) **Genetic fitness** is one of the most controversial areas. This is the idea that ‘fitter’ or more ‘virulent’ strains will outcompete and increase in prevalence and replace the weaker lineages (positive selection) [147]. A fitter virus can be seen as one with greater transmission, which is theorised to be related to increase replication in the vector or host and thus more ‘virulent’ [158,159]. Recent work supports this showing that more virulent South East Asian DENV2 strains outcompete less virulent strains in mosquitoes co-infected with both [160].
Importantly, increased DENV viral replication has been associated with more severe disease [60,161]. Evidence for positive selection and its association with virulence has been reported. For example, a replacing clade in Thailand in the 1990s was found to have higher viral titers within the mosquito hemocoel, suggesting increase transmission [147]. Furthermore, the replacing DENV2 lineage in Vietnam during the late 1990s was associated with increase viral titers in humans which was linked to increased transmission [150]. In Nicaragua a replacing DENV2 clade had nine amino acid substitutions not found in the old clade, two of which were found in the NS5 RdRp region which could affect its RdRp activity (R401K and T290I) [146]. Positive selection of non-synonymous amino acid substitutions, assessed by maximum-likelihood, has also been associated with replacement clades. For example, minor positive selection has been identified for NS2A [144,162,163] E protein [143,154] and NS1 [152]. Mutations in E, for example, may affect cell tropism or cell fusion [154].

Positive selection of mutations is not surprising since RNA viruses lack a proofreading RdRp and are estimated to introduce one mutation per replication [142,150]. However, paradoxically and controversially, most the DENV genome has been shown to be under purifying selection, shown by low non-synonymous (dN/dS) substitution rates [151,164]. It is hypothesised that having to replicate alternately in two hosts puts significant genetic restraints on the virus, hence purifying selection. In support of this a group showed that the virus, cycling in 10 alternating passages between insect and mammalian cells caused an increase in fitness in their respective cells [163]. This was not seen with one cycle alternating culture, indicating that using two hosts restricts fitness to balance effective replication in both hosts [142]. This suggests that mutations are deleterious to survival and therefore ‘purified’ [152]. Consequently, this provides support for use of a conservation-based approach to design a vaccine.

Groups that support purifying selection (amino acid changes fixed by chance) suggested that clade replacements are instead due to stochastic bottlenecks [145,165–168]. (ii) Stochastic events likely playing a contributing role in lineage dynamics include human population movements, mosquito population dynamics, and other environmental factors [144,169]. Indicating that controlling these may have implications for vaccine efficacy by effecting the pool of genetic variants (i.e., lineages) that hosts need to be protected against.

However, it has been suggested that some amino acid substitutions fixed by chance during purifying selection, i.e., not positively selected, could actually be associated with a fitness advantage when an (iii) immunological selection pressure is present within the population [144]. Although serotype protection is serotype-specific [4], cross-protection between serotypes has been reported for a short period after a DENV infection [4,146,153]. In support of this hypothesis a study in Thailand in the late 1990s found that a new DENV1 clade replacement was associated with an overall decline in DENV1 prevalence, but increase in overall DENV4 prevalence, suggesting that the surviving DENV1 clade may have had initial less cross-protective immunity with DENV4 than the preceding DENV1 clade [144]. In further support of this, they found that amino acids changes between the two DENV1 clades were not under positive selection [144]. Furthermore, it has been suggested that the replacement of DENV1 and 2 in Iquitos with DENV3 was due to low population immune protection against DENV3, which lead to increased DENV3 infections, which in turn lead to short-term cross-protection against DENV1 and 2 [170].

Conversely, an increase in prevalence of lineages has been associated with infection enhancement by ADE in secondary infection using models [171], which coincidently has been linked to increased chances of severe disease [146]. In support of this, OhAinle et al. [146] showed that a replacing DENV2 clade in Managua was associated with more severe disease. Interestingly, they found that disease severity risk was associated to which DENV2 clade they became infected with following a primary DENV3 infection [146]. Intriguingly a model which includes cross-protection suggested that it is cross-protection which causes the more unpredictable dramatic lineage changes [153]. Models supporting both these scenarios have predicted that ADE enhancement and serotype cross-protection are large factors controlling dengue lineage dynamics (including replacement) and prevalence [153,155–157,172].
This considerable evidence for positive selection above, and this, suggest that both positive and purifying selection likely play a key role in dengue dynamics.

5. Current Vaccine Strategies

Early attempts at vaccinating against dengue have failed due to the risk of disease enhancement, where pre-existing antibodies from an earlier serotype cross-react with poor neutralizing ability against different serotypes in a subsequent infection [4]. The reason for this is the inclusion of B-cell epitopes and the use of tetravalent approaches. The recently and only approved tetravalent live attenuated chimeric dengue vaccine (CYD-TDV) by Sanofi Pasteur [173], also known as Dengvaxia® is believed to suffer from the enhancement phenomenon as it showed an increase in the risk of severe dengue in infants below five years [16–20] and increase enhanced disease in vaccinated seronegative population regardless of age [174]. It is based on four chimeric yellow fever viruses with the structural genes replaced by DENV structural genes [18] and therefore aims to elicit neutralizing antibodies. It does, however, exclude NS1 in an attempt to avoid pathogenic effects [175]

The enhancement seen with CYD-TDV is a major concern to the many other tetravalent vaccines also in development, all of which contain regions of the DENV proteome known to elicit robust antibody responses [105,141]. Two of these are currently undergoing phase III trials; the attenuated DENVax (Takeda; http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02747927) containing four chimeric constructs based on a DENV2 backbone, and the TV003/TV005 (NIAID; http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02406729) based on four attenuated serotypes [173]. Both contain CD8 inducing non-structural dengue proteins that are absent from Sanofi CYD-TDV, however, they also contain structural proteins raising the concern of eliciting enhancing or autoreactive antibodies. Furthermore, the tetravalent nature of these vaccines raises the question of how you can ensure each construct raises similar level immune responses to prevent enhancing effects against some of the serotypes.

In flavivirus seronegative adults, TV003/TV005 did however, elicit a near-sterilising immunity [171]. Nevertheless, the estimated date for study completion of these second-generation dengue vaccines are not expected until late 2021 and 2025 for TDV and TV003/TV005, respectively therefore the long-term effects are not yet known. A CD8 T-cell only vaccine would avoid antibody mediated disease enhancement, however they are currently none published, even though recent evidence suggests they could be highly protective [106,111,137].

6. Conclusions

The clinical outcome of dengue infection (1 or 2°) in individuals depends on a considerable set of factors both (i) dengue specific, such as dengue immunological status (previous infections, order of infections) [105], genetic fitness of the lineages infected [147,149,150], and (ii) patient specific, such as age, HLA status, and unknown genetics [133]. Understanding the factors that determine the clinical outcome of a disease and the correlates of protection are key to the development of effective interventions.

In the context of dengue these factors are complex, and although still not fully understood, mean that interventions must be specifically tailored to not only be effective against all four serotypes but to avoid detrimental enhancement effects in subsequent DENV infections or based on previous infections. Once such example of this is the Sanofi antibody-based vaccine which showed limited efficacy and elicited ADE in some trial participants [19].

Therefore, the key to the future of dengue eradication will be the use of novel designs and approaches with a significant theoretical founding. In this review, we have summarised many of the key correlates that must be considered when designing future dengue interventions, incorporating both immunological and genomic data for a rational design.

Based on this there is considerable evidence [105,106,137], although mixed [127,132] which suggests that eliciting a carefully targeted CD8 response, possibly one with MHCI interactions involving long TCR engagement that elicit polyfunctional responses and/or against epitopes conserved
across serotypes without variants [106,127,137] could achieve this goal (Figure 3). Key benefits of this approach include the avoidance of antibody responses which may enhance infection or have autoreactivity to host. It also avoids the use of a tetravalent approach which increases the chances of raising unequal immune responses against each serotype due to differences in replication of each virus within a tetravalent vaccine. Although including CD4 epitopes such as ones raising neutralising antibodies against E would be protective, you need to be able to maintain high titers to prevent disease enhancement. Additionally, by using CD8 epitopes conserved across serotypes you ensure all genetic lineages are covered, avoiding the replacement of circulating lineages with more virulent lineages. Whilst avoiding CD4 epitopes also avoids ADE-mediated lineage replacement. Such an example candidate shows how a greater understanding of dengue correlates of protection could help to achieve the ideal cross-serotype prophylactic dengue vaccine and we hope that this review encourages further thoughts on the development of new and distinct dengue interventions.

![Figure 3: A monovalent CD8 Dengue vaccine. CD8; cluster of differentiation 8.](image)

**Definitions**

- **ADE**: The process where cross-reactive IgG antibodies from a previous infection with poor neutralising capability bind the heterologous serotype and enhances its uptake via FcRs into host cells.
- **ADE Antibody**: Antibody that binds viral particles but does not prevent viral entry into host cells.
- **Antigenic-Sin**: The domination of cross-reactive memory CD8 T-cells from the original serotype during a heterotypic infection which have low avidity.
- **Heterotypic**: A different DENV serotype.
- **Homotypic**: The same DENV serotype.
- **Cross-reactive**: Immune cells which react to multiple serotypes.
- **Epitope Variants**: Epitopes across serotypes with sequence differences between serotypes.
- **Purifying Selection**: Amino acid changes fixed by fitness advantage.
- **Positive Selection**: Amino acid changes fixed by chance.

**Author Contributions**: Writing—original draft preparation, J.B., E.A.; writing—review and editing, J.B., E.A.; supervision, A.R.-S.; funding acquisition, A.R.-S.

**Funding**: J.B. was supported by an MRC research training and support grant awarded to (Ref 1240480). A.R. is a Jenner Investigator and Oxford Martin Fellow. This work was supported by the UK Department of Health through Innovate UK “New vaccines for global epidemics: development and manufacture” grants No. 972214 and 971557 (A.R.S.), and also funded from an ODA budget (Global Health (ODA), 16/107/05 (Design, development and GMP manufacture of a Zika vaccine). This research is funded by the Department of Health and Social Care using UK Aid funding and is managed by the NIHR. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Department of Health and Social Care.

**Acknowledgments**: I would like to acknowledge all researchers in the field and apologise if any groups have not been represented. Although all attempts have been made, with such a large field it can be difficult to include everyone.

**Conflicts of Interest**: The authors J.B. and A.R.-S. disclosed a patent application: WO2016181147A1; Dengue Vaccines.
References

1. Bhatt, S.; Gething, P.W.; Brady, O.J.; Messina, J.P.; Farlow, A.W.; Moyes, C.L.; Drake, J.M.; Brownstein, J.S.; Hoen, A.G.; Sankoh, O.; et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* **2013**, *496*, 504–507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Simmons, C.P.; Farrar, J.J.; Van Vinh Chau, N.; Wills, B. Dengue. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2012**, *366*, 1423–1432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Campion, E.W.; Weaver, S.C.; Lecuit, M. Chikungunya Virus and the Global Spread of a Mosquito-Borne Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 1231–1239.

4. Guzman, M.G.; Harris, E. Dengue. *Lancet* **2015**, *385*, 453–465. [CrossRef]

5. Bowman, L.R.; Donegan, S.; McCall, P.J. Is Dengue Vector Control Deficient in Effectiveness or Evidence? Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2016**, *10*, e0004551. [CrossRef]

6. Hemingway, J.; Beaty, B.J.; Rowland, M.; Scott, T.W.; Sharp, B.L. The Innovative Vector Control Consortium: Improved control of mosquito-borne diseases. *Trends Parasitol.* **2006**, *22*, 308–312. [CrossRef]

7. Lescar, J.; Luo, D.; Xu, T.; Sampath, A.; Lim, S.P.; Canard, B.; Vasudevan, S.G. Towards the design of antiviral inhibitors against flaviviruses: The case for the multifunctional NS3 protein from Dengue virus as a target. *Antiviral Res.* **2008**, *80*, 94–101. [CrossRef]

8. Noble, C.G.; Chen, Y.-L.; Dong, H.; Gu, F.; Lim, S.P.; Schul, W.; Wang, Q.-Y.; Shi, P.-Y. Strategies for development of dengue virus inhibitors. *Antiviral Res.* **2010**, *85*, 450–462. [CrossRef]

9. Cheung, Y.Y.; Chen, K.C.; Chen, H.; Seng, E.K.; Chu, J.J.H. Antiviral activity of lanatoside C against dengue virus infection. *Antiviral Res.* **2011**, *111*, 93–99. [CrossRef]

10. Abdelnabi, R.; Neyts, J.; Delang, L. Towards antivirals against chikungunya virus. *Antiviral Res.* **2015**, *121*, 59–68. [CrossRef]

11. Lani, R.; Hassandarvish, P.; Chiam, C.W.; Moghaddam, E.; Chu, J.J.H.; Rausalu, K.; Merits, A.; Higgs, S.; Vanlandingham, D.; Bakar, S.A.; et al. Antiviral activity of silymarin against chikungunya virus. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 11421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Bin Masri, M.F.; Rathore, A.P.S.; John, A.L.S. Therapeutics for Dengue. *Curr. Treat. Options Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *11*, 199–214. [CrossRef]

13. Vaughn, D.W.; Green, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Innis, B.L.; Nimmannitya, S.; Suntayakorn, S.; Rothman, A.L.; Ennis, F.A.; Nisalak, A. Dengue in the early febrile phase: Viremia and antibody responses. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1997**, *176*, 322–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Srikiatkhachorn, A.; Yoon, I.-K. Immune correlates for dengue vaccine development. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2016**, *15*, 455–465. [CrossRef]

15. Diamond, M.S.; Pierson, T.C. Molecular Insight into Dengue Virus Pathogenesis and Its Implications for Disease Control. *Cell 2015*, *162*, 488–492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Halstead, S.B.; Russell, P.K. Protective and immunological behavior of chimeric yellow fever dengue vaccine. *Vaccine* **2016**, *34*, 1643–1647. [CrossRef]

17. Capeding, M.R.; Tran, N.H.; Hadinegoro, S.R.S.; Ismail, H.I.H.M.; Chotpitayasunondh, T.; Chua, M.N.; Luong, C.Q.; Rusmil, K.; Wirawan, D.N.; Nallusamy, R.; et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of a novel tetravalent dengue vaccine in healthy children in Asia: A phase 3, randomised, observer-masked, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **2014**, *384*, 1358–1365. [CrossRef]

18. Villar, L.; Dayan, G.H.; Arredondo-García, J.L.; Rivera, D.M.; Cunha, R.; Deseda, C.; Reynales, H.; Costa, M.S.; Morales-Ramirez, J.O.; Carrasquilla, G.; et al. Efficacy of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine in Children in Latin America. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 113–123. [CrossRef]

19. Hadinegoro, S.R.; Arredondo-Garcia, J.L.; Capeding, M.R.; Deseda, C.; Chotpitayasunondh, T.; Dietze, R.; Hj Muhammad Ismail, H.I.; Reynales, H.; Limkittikul, K.; Rivera-Medina, D.M.; et al. Efficacy and Long-Term Safety of a Dengue Vaccine in Regions of Endemic Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *373*, 1195–1206. [CrossRef]

20. Sabchareon, A.; Wallace, D.; Sirivichayakul, C.; Limkittikul, K.; Chanthavanich, P.; Suvannadabba, S.; Jiwariyavej, V.; Dulyachai, W.; Pengsa, K.; Wartel, T.A.; et al. Protective efficacy of the recombinant, live-attenuated, CYD tetravalent dengue vaccine in Thai schoolchildren: A randomised, controlled phase 2b trial. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 1559–1567. [CrossRef]

21. Guzman, M.G.; Gubler, D.J.; Izquierdo, A.; Martinez, E.; Halstead, S.B. Dengue infection. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2016**, *2*, 1–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Cardosa, J.; Ooi, M.H.; Tio, P.H.; Perera, D.; Holmes, E.C.; Bibi, K.; Manap, Z.A. Dengue Virus Serotype 2 from a Sylvatic Lineage Isolated from a Patient with Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever. *PloS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2009, 3, e423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Vick, S.; Ferraris, P.; Choumet, V.; Missé, D. The effects of mosquito saliva on dengue virus infectivity in humans. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2016, 21, 139–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Briant, L.; Després, P.; Choumet, V.; Missé, D. Role of skin immune cells on the host susceptibility to mosquito-borne viruses. *Virology* 2014, 464–465, 26–32. [CrossRef]

24. Ferreira-de-Lima, V.H.; Lima-Camara, T.N. Natural vertical transmission of dengue virus in *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*: A systematic review. *Parasites Vectors* 2018, 11.

25. Mukhopadhyay, S.; Kuhn, R.J.; Rossmann, M.G. A structural perspective of the flavivirus life cycle. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2005, 3, 13–22. [CrossRef]

26. Cruz-Oliveira, C.; Freire, J.M.; Conceição, T.M.; Higa, L.M.; Castanho, M.A.; Da Poian, A.T. Receptors and routes of dengue virus entry into the host cells. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2015, 39, 155–170. [CrossRef]

27. Scranton, G.; Mongkolasaphya, J.; Jacoub, S.; Roberts, C. New insights into the immunopathology and control of dengue virus infection. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2015, 15, 745–759. [CrossRef]

28. Screaton, G.; Mongkolasapaya, J.; Yacoub, S.; Roberts, C. Recent advances in deciphering viral and host determinants of dengue virus replication and pathogenesis. *J. Virol.* 2008, 82, 1830–1834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Allison, S.L.; Schalich, J.; Stiasny, K.; Mandl, C.W.; Heinz, F.X. Mutational Evidence for an Internal Fusion Peptide in Flavivirus Envelope Protein E. *J. Virol.* 2001, 75, 4268–4275. [CrossRef]

30. Rodenhuis-Zybert, I.A.; Wilschut, J.; Smit, J.M. Dengue virus life cycle: Viral and host factors modulating infectivity. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2010, 67, 2773–2786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Chanprapaph, S.; Saparpakorn, P.; Sangma, C.; Niyomrattanakit, P.; Hannonbua, S.; Angsuthanasombat, C.; Katzenmeier, G. Competitive inhibition of the dengue virus NS3 serine protease by synthetic peptides representing polyprotein cleavage sites. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2005, 330, 1237–1246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Chambers, T.J.; Hahn, C.S.; Galler, R.; Rice, C.M. Flavivirus Genome Organization, Expression, and Replication. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 1990, 44, 649–688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Falgout, B.; Petheil, M.; Zhang, Y.M.; Lai, C.J. Both nonstructural proteins NS2B and NS3 are required for the proteolytic processing of dengue virus nonstructural proteins. *J. Virol.* 1991, 65, 2467–2475.

34. Yusof, R.; Clum, S.; Wettzel, M.; Murthy, H.M.; Padmanabhan, R. Purified NS2B/NS3 serine protease of dengue virus type 2 exhibits cofactor NS2B dependence for cleavage of substrates with dibasic amino acids in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 9963–9969. [CrossRef]

35. Noble, C.G.; Seh, C.C.; Chao, A.T.; Shi, P.Y. Ligand-Bound Structures of the Dengue Virus Protease Reveal the Active Conformation. *J. Virol.* 2011, 86, 438–446. [CrossRef]

36. Clyde, K.; Kyle, J.L.; Harris, E. Recent advances in deciphering viral and host determinants of dengue virus replication and pathogenesis. *J. Virol.* 2006, 80, 11418–11431. [CrossRef]

37. Malet, H.; Massé, N.; Selisko, B.; Romette, J.-L.; Alvarez, K.; Guillemot, J.C.; Tolou, H.; Yap, T.L.; Vasudevan, S.G.; Lescar, J.; et al. The flavivirus polymerase as a target for drug discovery. *Antiviral Res.* 2008, 80, 23–35. [CrossRef]

38. Selisko, B.; Wang, C.; Harris, E.; Canard, B. Regulation of Flavivirus RNA synthesis and replication. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2014, 7, 94–83. [CrossRef]

39. Luo, D.; Xu, T.; Hunke, C.; Grüber, G.; Vasudevan, S.G.; Lescar, J. Crystal structure of the NS3 protease-helicase from dengue virus. *J. Virol.* 2008, 82, 173–183. [CrossRef]

40. Li, L.; Lok, S.M.; Yu, I-M.; Zhang, Y.; Kuhn, R.J.; Chen, J.; Rossmann, M.G. The Flavivirus Precursor Membrane-Envelope Protein Complex: Structure and Maturation. *Science* 2008, 319, 1830–1834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Yu, I-M.; Zhang, W.; Holdaway, H.A.; Li, L.; Kostyuchenko, V.A.; Chipman, P.R.; Kuhn, R.J.; Rossmann, M.G.; Chen, J. Structure of the immature dengue virus at low pH primes proteolytic maturation. *Science* 2008, 319, 1834–1837. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Junjhon, J.; Lausumpao, M.; Supasa, S.; Noisakran, S.; Songjaeng, A.; Saraithong, P.; Chaichoun, K.; Utaipat, U.; Keelapang, P.; Kanjanahaluethai, A.; et al. Differential Modulation of prM Cleavage, Extracellular Particle Distribution, and Virus Infectivity by Conserved Residues at Nonfurin Consensus Positions of the Dengue Virus pr-M Junction. *J. Virol.* 2008, 82, 10776–10791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Miller, S.; Kastner, S.; Krijnse-Locker, J.; Bühler, S.; Bartenschlager, R. The non-structural protein 4A of dengue virus is an integral membrane protein inducing membrane alterations in a 2K-regulated manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007, 282, 8873–8882. [CrossRef]

45. Boon den, J.A.; Diaz, A.; Ahlquist, P. Cytoplasmic viral replication complexes. *Cell Host Microbe* 2010, 8, 77–85. [CrossRef]

46. Scaturro, P.; Cortese, M.; Chatel-Chaix, L.; Fischl, W.; Bartenschlager, R. Dengue Virus Non-structural Protein 1 Modulates Infectious Particle Production via Interaction with the Structural Proteins. *PLoS Pathog* 2015, 11, e1005277.

47. Xie, X.; Gayen, S.; Kang, C.; Yuan, Z.; Shi, P.-Y. Membrane topology and function of dengue virus NS2A protein. *J. Virol.* 2013, 87, 4609–4622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Riedel, S. Edward Jenner and the history of smallpox and vaccination. *Proceedings (Baylor University. Medical Center)* 2005, 18, 21–689. [CrossRef]

49. Dudas, R.A.; Karron, R.A. Respiratory syncytial virus vaccines. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 1998, 11, 430–439. [CrossRef]

50. Kim, H.W.; Canchola, J.G.; Brandt, C.D.; Pyles, G.; Chanock, W.M.; Jensen, K.; Parrott, R.H. Respiratory syncytial virus disease in infants despite prior administration of antigenic inactivated vaccine. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1969, 89, 422–434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Halstead, S.B. Dengue Antibody-Dependent Enhancement: Knowns and Unknowns. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2014, 2, 249–271.

52. Gibbons, R.V.; Kalanarooj, S.; Jarman, R.G.; Nisalak, A.; Vaughn, D.W.; Endy, T.P.; Mammen, M.P.; Srikiatkhachorn, A. Analysis of repeat hospital admissions for dengue to estimate the frequency of third or fourth dengue infections resulting in admissions and dengue hemorrhagic fever, and serotype sequences. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2007, 77, 910–913. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Burke, D.S.; Nisalak, A.; Johnson, D.E.; Scott, R.M. A prospective study of dengue infections in Bangkok. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1988, 38, 172–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Halstead, S.B. Observations related to pathogenesis of dengue hemorrhagic fever. VI. Hypotheses and discussion. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* 1970, 42, 350–362.

55. Sabin, A.B. Research on dengue during World War II. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1952, 1, 30–50. [CrossRef]

56. Montoya, M.; Gresh, L.; Mercado, J.C.; Williams, K.L.; Vargas, M.J.; Gutierrez, G.; Kuan, G.; Gordon, A.; Balmaseda, A.; Harris, E. Symptomatic Versus Inapparent Outcome in Repeat Dengue Virus Infections Is Influenced by the Time Interval between Infections and Study Year. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2013, 7, e2357. [CrossRef]

57. Anderson, K.B.; Gibbons, R.V.; Cummings, D.A.T.; Nisalak, A.; Green, S.; Libraty, D.H.; Jarman, R.G.; Srikiatkhachorn, A.; Mammen, M.P.; Darune, B.; et al. A Shorter Time Interval Between First and Second Dengue Infections Is Associated With Protection From Clinical Illness in a School-based Cohort in Thailand. *J. Infect Dis.* 2014, 209, 360–368. [CrossRef]

58. Salje, H.; Lefever, J.; Endy, T.P.; Currierio, F.C.; Gibbons, R.V.; Nisalak, A.; Nimmannitya, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Jarman, R.G.; Thomas, S.J.; et al. Revealing the microscale spatial signature of dengue transmission and immunity in an urban population. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 9535–9538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Reich, N.G.; Shrestha, S.; King, A.A.; Rohani, P.; Lessler, J.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Yoon, I.-K.; Gibbons, R.V.; Burke, D.S.; Cummings, D.A.T. Interactions between serotypes of dengue highlight epidemiological impact of cross-immunity. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 2013, 10, 20130414. [CrossRef]

60. Vaughn, D.W.; Green, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Innis, B.L.; Nimmannitya, S.; Suntayakorn, S.; Endy, T.P.; Raengsakulrach, B.; Rothman, A.L.; Ennis, F.A.; et al. Dengue viremia titer, antibody response pattern, and virus serotype correlate with disease severity. *J. Infect Dis.* 2000, 181, 2–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Libraty, D.H.; Endy, T.P.; Hourgi, H.S.H.; Green, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Suntayakorn, S.; Chansiriwong, W.; Vaughn, D.W.; Nisalak, A.; Ennis, F.A.; et al. Differing influences of virus burden and immune activation on disease severity in secondary dengue-3 virus infections. *J. Infect Dis.* 2002, 185, 1213–1221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Kliks, S.C.; Nimmannitya, S.; Nisalak, A.; Burke, D.S. Evidence that maternal dengue antibodies are important in the development of dengue hemorrhagic fever in infants. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1988, 38, 411–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
63. Halstead, S.B.; O’Rourke, E.J.; Allison, A.C. Dengue viruses and mononuclear phagocytes. II. Identity of blood and tissue leukocytes supporting in vitro infection. *J. Expe. Med.* 1977, 146, 218–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Guzman, M.G.; Vazquez, S. The Complexity of Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Dengue Virus Infection. *Virology* 2010, 2, 2649–2662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Dejnirattisai, W.; Jumminsongs, A.; Onsirisakul, N.; Fitton, P.; Vasanawathana, S.; Limpitikul, W.; Puttikhunt, C.; Edwards, C.; Duangchinda, T.; Supasa, S.; et al. Cross-reacting antibodies enhance dengue virus infection in humans. *Science* 2010, 328, 745–748. [CrossRef]

66. Beltramello, M.; Williams, K.L.; Simmons, C.P.; Macagno, A.; Simonelli, L.; Quyen, N.T.H.; Sukupolvi-Petty, S.; Navarro-Sanchez, E.; Young, P.R.; de Silva, A.M.; et al. The Human Immune Response to Dengue Virus Is Dominated by Highly Cross- Reactive Antibodies Endowed with Neutralizing and Enhancing Activity. *Cell Host Microbe* 2010, 8, 271–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Halstead, S.B. In vivo enhancement of dengue virus infection in rhesus monkeys by passively transferred antibody. *J. Infect Dis.* 1979, 140, 527–533. [CrossRef]

68. Goncalvez, A.P.; Engle, R.E.; St Claire, M.; Purcell, R.H.; Lai, C.-J. Monoclonal antibody-mediated enhancement of dengue virus infection in vitro and in vivo and strategies for prevention. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007, 104, 9422–9427. [CrossRef]

69. Dung, N.T.P.; Duyen, H.T.L.; Thuy, N.T.V.; Ngoc, T.V.; Chau, N.V.V.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.L.; Dong, T.; Farrar, J.; Wills, B.; et al. Timing of CD8+ T cell responses in relation to commencement of capillary leakage in children with dengue. *J. Immunol.* 2010, 184, 7281–7287. [CrossRef]

70. Flipse, J.; Diosa-Toro, M.A.; Hoornweg, T.E.; van de Pol, D.P.I.; Urcuqui-Inchima, S.; Smit, J.M. Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Dengue Virus Infection in Primary Human Macrophages; Balancing Higher Fusion against Antiviral Responses. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Modhiran, N.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Ubol, S. Subversion of Innate Defenses by the Interplay between DENV and Pre-Existing Enhancing Antibodies: TLRs Signaling Collapse. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2010, 4, e924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Chareonsrisuthigul, T.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Ubol, S. Dengue virus (DENV) antibody-dependent enhancement of infection upregulates the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, but suppresses anti-DENV free radical and pro-inflammatory cytokine production, in THP-1 cells. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2007, 88, 365–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Ubol, S.; Phukia, W.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Modhiran, N. Mechanisms of Immune Evasion Induced by a Complex of Dengue Virus and Preexisting Enhancing Antibodies. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2010, 201, 923–935. [CrossRef]

74. Kou, Z.; Lim, J.Y.H.; Beltramello, M.; Quinn, M.; Chen, H.; Liu, S.-N.; Martinez-Sobrido, L.; Diamond, M.S.; Schlesinger, J.J.; de Silva, A.; et al. Human antibodies against dengue enhance dengue viral infectivity of Dengue Virus and Preexisting Enhancing Antibodies: TLRs Signaling Collapse. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2010, 201, 324–331. [CrossRef]

75. Boonnak, K.; Dambach, K.M.; Donofrio, G.C.; Tassaneetrithep, B.; Marovich, M.A. Cell Type Specificity and Host Genetic Polymorphisms Influence Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Dengue Virus Infection. *J. Virol.* 2011, 85, 1671–1683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Modhiran, N.; Watterson, D.; Muller, D.A.; Panetta, A.K.; Sester, D.P.; Liu, L.; Hume, D.A.; Stacey, K.J.; Young, P.R. Dengue virus NS1 protein activates cells via Toll-like receptor 4 and disrupts endothelial cell monolayer integrity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2015, 7, 304ra142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Beatty, P.R.; Puerta-Guardo, H.; Killingbeck, S.S.; Glasner, D.R.; Hopkins, K.; Harris, E. Dengue virus NS1 triggers endothelial permeability and vascular leak that is prevented by NS1 vaccination. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2015, 7, 304ra141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Ng, J.K.W.; Zhang, S.L.; Tan, H.C.; Yan, B.; Gomez, J.M.M.; Tan, W.Y.; Lam, J.H.; Tan, G.K.X.; Ooi, E.E.; Alonso, S. First Experimental In Vivo Model of Enhanced Dengue Disease Severity through Maternally Acquired Heterotypic Dengue Antibodies. *PLoS Pathog* 2014, 10, e1004301. [CrossRef]

79. Hobr, D.; Poli, L.; Roblin, B.; Gestas, P.; Chungue, E.; Granic, G.; Imbert, P.; Pecarere, J.L.; Vergez-Pascal, R.; Wattre, P. Serum levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 beta) in dengue-infected patients. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1993, 48, 324–331. [CrossRef]

80. Green, S.; Vaughan, D.W.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Nimmannitya, S.; Suntayakorn, S.; Nisalak, A.; Lew, R.; Innis, B.L.; Kurane, I.; Rothman, A.L.; et al. Early Immune Activation in Acute Dengue Illness Is Related to Development of Plasma Leakage and Disease Severity. *J. Infect Dis.* 1999, 179, 755–762. [CrossRef]
81. Lok, S.-M.; Kostyuchenko, V.; Nybakken, G.E.; Holdaway, H.A.; Battisti, A.J.; Sukupolvi-Petty, S.; Sedlak, D.; Fremont, D.H.; Chipman, P.R.; Roehrig, J.T.; et al. Binding of a neutralizing antibody to dengue virus alters the arrangement of surface glycoproteins. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2008, 15, 312–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
82. Pierson, T.C.; Diamond, M.S. Molecular mechanisms of antibody-mediated neutralisation of flavivirus infection. Expert Rev. Mol. Med. 2008, 10, e12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
83. Halstead, S.B. Neutralization and antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue viruses. Adv. Virus Res. 2003, 60, 421–467. [PubMed]
84. Halstead, S.B.; Venkateshan, C.N.; Gentry, M.K.; Larsen, L.K. Heterogeneity of infection enhancement of dengue 2 strains by monoclonal antibodies. J. Immunol. 1984, 132, 1529–1532.
85. Tsai, W.-Y.; Durbin, A.; Tsai, J.-J.; Hsieh, S.-C.; Whitehead, S.; Wang, W.-K. Complexity of Neutralizing Antibodies against Multiple Dengue Virus Serotypes after Heterotypic Immunization and Secondary Infection Revealed by In-Depth Analysis of Cross-Reactive Antibodies. J. Virol. 2015, 89, 7348–7362. [CrossRef]
86. Kliks, S.C.; Nisalak, A.; Brandt, W.E.; Wahl, L.; Burke, D.S. Antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue virus growth in human monocytes as a risk factor for dengue hemorrhagic fever. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1989, 40, 445–451. [CrossRef]
87. Rodenhuis-Zybert, I.A.; van der Schaar, H.M.; da Silva Voorham, J.M.; van der Ende-Metselaar, H.; Lei, H.-Y.; Wilschut, J.; Smit, J.M. Immature Dengue Virus: A Veiled Pathogen? PLoS Pathog 2010, 6, e1000718. [CrossRef]
88. Rodenhuis-Zybert, I.A.; Moesker, B.; da Silva Voorham, J.M.; van der Ende-Metselaar, H.; Diamond, M.S.; Wilschut, J.; Smit, J.M. A fusion-loop antibody enhances the infectious properties of immature flavivirus particles. J. Virol. 2011, 85, 11800–11808. [CrossRef]
89. Lai, C.Y.; Tsai, W.Y.; Lin, S.R.; Kao, C.L.; Hu, H.P.; King, C.C.; Wu, H.C.; Chang, G.J.; Wang, W.K. Antibodies against Multiple Dengue Virus Serotypes after Heterotypic Immunization and Secondary Infection Revealed by In-Depth Analysis of Cross-Reactive Antibodies. J. Virol. 2008, 82, 6631–6643. [CrossRef]
90. De Alwis, R.; Smith, S.A.; Olivarez, N.P.; Messer, W.B.; Huynh, J.P.; Wahala, W.M.P.B.; White, L.J.; Diamond, M.S.; Baric, R.S.; Crowe, J.E.; et al. Identification of human neutralizing antibodies that bind to complex epitopes on dengue virions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2012, 109, 7439–7444. [CrossRef]
91. Teoh, E.P.; Kukkaro, P.; Teo, E.W.; Lim, A.P.C.; Tan, J.L.; Yip, A.; Schul, W.; Aung, M.; Kostyuchenko, V.A.; Leo, Y.S.; et al. The Structural Basis for Serotype-Specific Neutralization of Dengue Virus by a Human Antibody. Sci. Transl. Med. 2012, 4, 139ra83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
92. Fibriansah, G.; Ten, J.L.; Smith, S.A.; de Alwis, R.; Ng, T.-S.; Kostyuchenko, V.A.; Jadi, R.S.; Kukkaro, P.; de Silva, A.M.; Crowe, J.E.; et al. A highly potent human antibody neutralizes dengue virus serotype 3 by binding across three surface proteins. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 6341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
93. Rouwinski, A.; Guardado-Calvo, P.; Barba-Spaeth, G.; Duquerroy, S.; Vaney, M.-C.; Kikuti, C.M.; Navarro Sanchez, M.E.; Dejnirattisai, W.; Wongwiat, W.; Haouz, A.; et al. Recognition determinants of broadly neutralizing human antibodies against dengue viruses. Nature 2015, 520, 109–113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
94. Dejnirattisai, W.; Wongwiat, W.; Supasa, S.; Zhang, X.; Dai, X.; Rouvinsky, A.; Jumainsong, A.; Edwards, C.; Quyen, N.T.H.; Duangchinda, T.; et al. A new class of highly potent, broadly neutralizing antibodies isolated from viremic patients infected with dengue virus. Nat. Immunol. 2014, 16, 170–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
95. De Alwis, R.; Beltramello, M.; Messer, W.B.; Sukupolvi-Petty, S.; Wahala, W.M.P.B.; Kraus, A.; Olivarez, N.P.; Pham, Q.; Brian, J.; Tsai, W.-Y.; et al. In-Depth Analysis of the Antibody Response of Individuals Exposed to Primary Dengue Virus Infection. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2011, 5, e1188. [CrossRef]
96. Avirutnan, P.; Punyadee, N.; Noisakran, S.; Komoltri, C.; Thiemmeca, S.; Auethavornanan, K.; Jairungsri, A.; Kanlaya, R.; Tangthawornchaikul, N.; Putthikhunt, C.; et al. Vascular Leakage in Severe Dengue Virus Infections: A Potential Role for the Nonstructural Viral Protein NS1 and Complement. J. Infect. Dis. 2008, 197, 170–177. [CrossRef]
97. Sun, D.S.; King, C.C.; Huang, H.S.; Shih, Y.L.; Lee, C.C.; Tsai, W.J.; Yu, C.C.; Chang, H.H. Antiplatelet autoantibodies elicited by dengue virus non-structural protein 1 cause thrombocytopenia and mortality in mice. J. Thromb. Haemostasis 2007, 5, 2291–2299. [CrossRef]
98. Lin, C.F.; Lei, H.Y.; Liu, C.C.; Liu, H.S.; Yeh, T.M.; Wang, S.T.; Yang, T.I.; Sheu, F.C.; Kuo, C.F.; Lin, Y.S. Generation of IgM anti-platelet autoantibody in dengue patients. J. Med. Virol. 2001, 63, 143–149. [CrossRef]
Vaccines 2019, 7, 203

99. Falconar, A.K.I. The dengue virus nonstructural-1 protein (NS1) generates antibodies to common epitopes on human blood clotting, integrin/adhesin proteins and binds to human endothelial cells: Potential implications in haemorrhagic fever pathogenesis. *Arch Virol.* 2013, 142, 897–916. [CrossRef]

100. Chuang, Y.-C.; Wang, S.-Y.; Lin, Y.-S.; Chen, H.-R.; Yeh, T.-M. Re-evaluation of the pathogenic roles of nonstructural protein 1 and its antibodies during dengue virus infection. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2013, 20, 42. [CrossRef]

101. Churdboonchart, V.; Bhamarapravati, N.; Peampramprecha, S.; Sirinavin, S. Antibodies against dengue viral proteins in primary and secondary dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1991, 44, 481–493. [CrossRef]

102. Vazeille, M.; Gaborit, P.; Mousson, L.; Girod, R.; Pujol, F.; Failloux, A.B. Competitive advantage of a dengue 4 virus when co-infecting the mosquito Aedes aegypti with a dengue 1 virus. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2016 16:1 2016, 16, 318. [CrossRef]

103. Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.; Farrar, J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 5665–5675. [CrossRef]

104. Weiskopf, D.; Angelo, M.A.; de Azeredo, E.L.; de Azevedo, M.A.; Broadwater, A.; de Silva, A.D.; de Silva, A.M.; et al. Comprehensive analysis of dengue virus-specific responses supports an HLA-linked protective role for CD8+ T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, E2046–E2053. [CrossRef]

105. Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.; Farrar, J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 5665–5675. [CrossRef]

106. Duangchinda, T.; Dejnirattisai, W.; Vasanawathana, S.; Limpitikul, W.; Tangthawornchaikul, N.; Malasit, P.; Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Chantawanich, P.; Yoksan, S.; Forrat, R.; Attanath, P.; Sirivichayakul, C.; Pengsaa, K.; Sabchareon, A.; Lang, J.; Chanthavanich, P.; Chanthawanich, P.; Forrat, R.; Attanath, P.; Sirivichayakul, C.; Pengsaa, K.; Pujol, F.; Failloux, A.B. Competitive advantage of a dengue 4 virus when co-infecting the mosquito Aedes aegypti with a dengue 1 virus. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2016 16:1 2016, 16, 318. [CrossRef]

107. Vazeille, M.; Gaborit, P.; Mousson, L.; Girod, R.; Pujol, F.; Failloux, A.B. Competitive advantage of a dengue 4 virus when co-infecting the mosquito Aedes aegypti with a dengue 1 virus. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2016 16:1 2016, 16, 318. [CrossRef]

108. Weiskopf, D.; Angelo, M.A.; de Azeredo, E.L.; Sidney, J.; Greenbaum, J.A.; Fernandez, J.; Koll, A.V.; de Silva, A.D.; de Silva, A.M.; et al. Comprehensive analysis of dengue virus-specific responses supports an HLA-linked protective role for CD8+ T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, E2046–E2053. [CrossRef]

109. Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.; Farrar, J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 5665–5675. [CrossRef]

110. Duangchinda, T.; Dejnirattisai, W.; Vasanawathana, S.; Limpitikul, W.; Tangthawornchaikul, N.; Malasit, P.; Mongkolsapaya, J.; Screaton, G. Immunodominant T-cell responses to dengue virus NS3 are associated with DHF. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 16922–16927. [CrossRef]

111. Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.; Farrar, J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 5665–5675. [CrossRef]

112. Weiskopf, D.; Angelo, M.A.; de Azeredo, E.L.; Sidney, J.; Greenbaum, J.A.; Fernandez, J.; Koll, A.V.; de Silva, A.D.; de Silva, A.M.; et al. Comprehensive analysis of dengue virus-specific responses supports an HLA-linked protective role for CD8+ T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, E2046–E2053. [CrossRef]

113. Simmons, C.P.; Dong, T.; Chau, N.V.; Dung, N.T.P.; Chau, T.N.B.; Thao, L.T.T.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Rowland-Jones, S.; Farrar, J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 5665–5675. [CrossRef]

114. Alvarez, D.E.; Lodeiro, M.F.; Luduena, S.J.; Pietrasanta, L.I.; Gamarnik, A.V. Long-Range RNA-RNA Interactions Circularize the Dengue Virus Genome. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 6631–6643. [CrossRef]

115. Byk, L.A.; Gamarnik, A.V. Properties and Functions of the Dengue Virus Capsid Protein. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2016, 3, 263–281. [CrossRef]

116. Wong, S.-S.; Haqshenas, G.; Gowans, E.J.; Mackenzie, J. The dengue virus M protein localises to the endoplasmic reticulum and forms oligomers. *FEBS Lett.* 2012, 586, 1032–1037. [CrossRef]

117. Leung, D.; Schroder, K.; White, H.; Fang, N.X.; Stoermer, M.J.; Abbenante, G.; Martin, J.L.; Young, P.R.; Fairlie, D.P. Activity of recombinant dengue 2 virus NS3 protease in the presence of a truncated NS2B co-factor, small peptide substrates, and inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 45762–45771. [CrossRef]
118. Perera, R.; Kuhn, R.J. Structural proteomics of dengue virus. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2008, 11, 369–377. [CrossRef]

119. Umareddy, I.; Chao, A.; Sampath, A.; Gu, F.; Vasudevan, S.G. Dengue virus NS4B interacts with NS3 and dissociates it from single-stranded RNA. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2006, 87, 2605–2614. [CrossRef]

120. Egloff, M.-P.; Benaroch, D.; Selisko, B.; Romette, J.-L.; Canard, B. An RNA cap (nucleoside-2′-O-)methyltransferase in the flavivirus RNA polymerase NS5: Crystal structure and functional characterization. *EMBO J.* 2002, 21, 2757–2768. [CrossRef]

121. Mazzon, M.; Jones, M.; Davidson, A.; Chain, B.; Jacobs, M. Dengue virus NS5 inhibits interferon-alpha signaling by blocking signal transducer and activator of transcription 2 phosphorylation. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 200, 1261–1270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Hahn, C.S.; Hahn, Y.S.; Rice, C.M.; Lee, E.; Dalgarno, L.; Strauss, E.G.; Strauss, J.H. Conserved elements in the 3′ untranslated region of flavivirus RNAs and potential cyclization sequences. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1987, 198, 33–41. [CrossRef]

123. Vita, R.; Overton, J.A.; Greenbaum, J.A.; Ponomarenko, J.; Clark, J.D.; Cantrell, J.R.; Wheeler, D.K.; Gabbard, J.L.; Hix, D.; Sette, A.; et al. The immune epitope database (IEDB) 3.0. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015, 43, D405–D412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Murphy, K.; Travers, P.; Walport, M. *Janeway’s Immunobiology*, 7th ed.; Goatly, B., Ed.; Garland Science: New York, NY, USA, 2008.

125. Remakus, S.; Sigal, L.J. Memory CD8+ T Cell Protection. In Crossroads Between Innate and Adaptive Immunity IV; Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013; Volume 785, pp. 77–86.

126. Sant, A.J.; McMichael, A. Revealing the role of CD4(+) T cells in viral immunity. *J. Exp. Med.* 2012, 209, 1391–1395.

127. Mangkolsapaya, J.; Dejnirattisai, W.; Xu, X.-N.; Vasanawathana, S.; Tangthawornchaikul, N.; Chairunsri, A.; Sawasdivorn, S.; Duanchinda, T.; Tong, D.; Rowland-Jones, S.; et al. Original antigenic sin and apoptosis in the pathogenesis of dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Nat. Med.* 2003, 9, 921–927. [CrossRef]

128. Friberg, H.; Bashyam, H.; Toyosaki-Maeda, T.; Potts, J.A.; Greenough, T.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Gibbons, R.V.; Nisalak, A.; Srikiatkhachorn, A.; Sae-Jang, K.; et al. Cross-Reactivity and Expansion of Dengue-Specific T cells During Acute Primary and Secondary Infections in Humans. *Sci. Rep.* 2011, 1, 2. [CrossRef]

129. Zellweger, R.M.; Miller, R.; Eddy, W.E.; White, L.J.; Johnston, R.E.; Shresta, S. Role of Humoral versus Cellular Responses Induced by a Protective Dengue Vaccine Candidate. *PLoS Pathog.* 2013, 9, e1003723. [CrossRef]

130. Hatch, S.; Endy, T.P.; Mathew, A.; Potts, J.; Pazoles, P.; Libraty, D.H.; Gibbons, R.; Rothman, A.L. Intracellular Cytokine Production by Dengue Virus-specific T cells Correlates with Subclinical Secondary Infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2011, 203, 1282–1291. [CrossRef]

131. Yauch, L.E.; Zellweger, R.M.; Kotturi, M.F.; Qutubuddin, A.; Sidney, J.; Peters, B.; Prestwood, T.R.; Sette, A.; Shresta, S. A Protective Role for Dengue Virus-Specific CD8+ T Cells. *J. Immunol.* 2009, 182, 4865–4873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Mangkolsapaya, J.; Duanchinda, T.; Dejnirattisai, W.; Avirutnan, P.; Jairungsri, A.; Khennu, N.; Tangthawornchaikul, N.; Chotiyarnwong, P.; Sae-Jang, K.; et al. T Cell Responses in Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever: Are Cross- Reactive T Cells Suboptimal? *J. Immunol.* 2006, 176, 3821–3829. [CrossRef]

133. Mathew, A.; Rothman, A.L. Understanding the contribution of cellular immunity to dengue disease pathogenesis. *Immunol. Res.* 2008, 25, 300–313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Kurane, I.; Rothman, A.L.; Livingston, P.G.; Green, S.; Gagnon, S.J.; Janus, J.; Innis, B.L.; Nimmannitya, S.; Nisalak, A.; Ennis, F.A. Immunopathologic mechanisms of dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome. *Arch. Virol. Suppl.* 1994, 9, 59–64. [PubMed]

135. Mangada, M.M.; Endy, T.P.; Nisalak, A.; Chunsuttiwat, S.; Vaughn, D.W.; Libraty, D.H.; Green, S.; Ennis, F.A.; Rothman, A.L. Dengue-specific T cell responses in peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained prior to secondary dengue virus infections in Thai schoolchildren. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2002, 185, 1697–1703. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Green, S.; Pichyangkul, S.; Vaughn, D.W.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Nimmannitya, S.; Nisalak, A.; Kurane, I.; Rothman, A.L.; Ennis, F.A. Early CD69 Expression on Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes from Children with Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1999, 180, 1429–1435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
137. Friberg, H.; Burns, L.; Woda, M.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Endy, T.P.; Stephens, H.A.F.; Green, S.; Rothman, A.L.; Mathew, A. Memory CD8+ T cells from naturally acquired primary dengue virus infection are highly cross-reactive. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 2011, 89, 122–129. [CrossRef]

138. Almeida, J.R.; Sauce, D.; Price, D.A.; Papagno, L.; Shin, S.Y.; Moris, A.; Larsen, M.; Pancino, G.; Douek, D.C.; Autran, B.; et al. Antigen sensitivity is a major determinant of CD8+ T-cell polyfunctionality and HIV-suppressive activity. *Blood* 2009, 113, 6351–6360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

139. Park, S.H.; Shin, E.C.; Capone, S.; Caggiari, L.; Re, V.D.; Nicosia, A.; Folgori, A.; Rehermann, B. Successful Vaccination Induces Multifunctional Memory T-Cell Precursors Associated With Early Control of Hepatitis C Virus. *Gastroenterology* 2012, 143, 1048–1060.e4. [CrossRef]

140. Yachi, P.P.; Ampudia, J.; Zal, T.; Gascoigne, N.R.J. Altered Peptide Ligands Induce Delayed CD8-T Cell Receptor Interaction—a Role for CD8 in Distinguishing Antigen Quality. *Immunity* 2006, 25, 203–211. [CrossRef]

141. Rothman, A.L. Immunity to dengue virus: A tale of original antigenic sin and tropical cytokine storms. *Nat. Rev. Immunol* 2011, 11, 532–543. [CrossRef]

142. Weaver, S.C.; Vasilakis, N. Molecular evolution of dengue viruses: Contributions of phylogenetics to understanding the history and epidemiology of the preeminent arboviral disease. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2009, 9, 523–540. [CrossRef]

143. Twiddy, S.S.; Farrar, J.J.; Vinh Chau, N.; Wills, B.; Gould, E.A.; Gritsun, T.; Lloyd, G.; Holmes, E.C. Phylogenetic relationships and differential selection pressures among genotypes of dengue-2 virus. *Virology* 2002, 298, 63–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

144. Zhang, C.; Mammen, M.P.; Chinnawirotpisan, P.; Klonthong, C.; Rodpradit, P.; Monkongdee, P.; Nimmannitya, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Holmes, E.C. Clade Replacements in Dengue Virus Serotypes 1 and 3 Are Associated with Changing Serotype Prevalence. *J. Virol.* 2005, 79, 15123–15130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

145. Nuegoonpipat, A.; Berlioz-Arthaud, A.; Chow, V.; Endy, T.; Lowry, K.; Mai, L.Q.; Ninh, T.U.; Pyke, A.; Reid, M.; Reynes, J.-M.; et al. Sustained transmission of dengue virus type 1 in the Pacific due to repeated introductions of different Asian strains. *Virology* 2004, 329, 505–512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

146. OhAinle, M.; Balmaseda, A.; Macalalad, A.R.; Tellez, Y.; Zody, M.C.; Saborio, S.; Nuñez, A.; Lennon, N.J.; Birren, B.W.; Gordon, A.; et al. Dynamics of Dengue Disease Severity Determined by the Interplay Between Viral Genetics and Serotype-Specific Immunity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2011, 3, 114ra128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

147. Lambrechts, L.; Fansiri, T.; Pongsiri, A.; Thaisomboonsuk, B.; Klongthong, C.; Richardson, J.H.; Ponlawat, A.; Jarman, R.G.; Scott, T.W. Dengue-1 Virus Clade Replacement in Thailand Associated with Enhanced Mosquito Transmission. *J. Virol.* 2012, 86, 1853–1861. [CrossRef]

148. Wittke, V.; Robb, T.E.; Thu, H.M.; Nisalak, A.; Nimmannitya, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Vaughn, D.W.; Endy, T.P.; Holmes, E.C.; Aaskov, J.G. Extinction and Rapid Emergence of Strains of Dengue 3 Virus during an Interepidemic Period. *Virology* 2002, 301, 148–156. [CrossRef]

149. Rico-Hesse, R. Dengue Virus Virulence and Transmission Determinants. In *Dengue Virus; Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2009; Volume 338, pp. 45–55.

150. Hang, V.T.T.; Holmes, E.C.; Veasna, D.; Quy, N.T.; Hien, T.T.; Quail, M.; Churcher, C.; Parkhill, J.; Cardosa, J.; Farrar, J.; et al. Emergence of the Asian 1 Genotype of Dengue Virus Type 3 in Viet Nam: In Vivo Fitness Advantage and Lineage Replacement in South-East Asia. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2010, 4, e757. [CrossRef]

151. Costa, R.L.; Voloch, C.M.; Schrago, C.G. Comparative evolutionary epidemiology of dengue virus serotypes. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2012, 12, 309–314. [CrossRef]

152. King, C.-C.; Chao, D.-Y.; Chien, L.-J.; Chang, G.-J.; Ling, T.-H.; Wu, Y.-C.; Huang, J.-H. Comparative analysis of full genomic sequences among different genotypes of dengue virus type 3. *Virology* 2008, 5, 63. [CrossRef]

153. Adams, B.; Holmes, E.C.; Zhang, C.; Mammen, M.P.; Nimmannitya, S.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Boots, M. Cross-protective immunity can account for the alternating epidemic pattern of dengue virus serotypes circulating in Bangkok. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 14234–14239. [CrossRef]

154. Holmes, E.C.; Twiddy, S.S.; Woelk, C.H. Phylogenetic evidence for adaptive evolution of dengue viruses in nature. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2002, 83, 1679–1689.

155. Wearing, H.J.; Rohani, P. Ecological and immunological determinants of dengue epidemics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 11802–11807. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
156. Adams, B.; Boots, M. Modelling the relationship between antibody-dependent enhancement and immunological distance with application to dengue. *J. Theor. Biol.* 2006, 242, 337–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

157. Recker, M.; Blyuss, K.B.; Simmons, C.P.; Hien, T.T.; Wills, B.; Farrar, J.; Gupta, S. Immunological serotype interactions and their effect on the epidemiological pattern of dengue. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2009, 276, 2541–2548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

158. Cologna, R.; Armstrong, P.M.; Rico-Hesse, R. Selection for Virulent Dengue Viruses Occurs in Humans and Mosquitoes. *J. Virol.* 2004, 79, 853–859. [CrossRef]

159. Armstrong, P.M.; Rico-Hesse, R. Efficiency of dengue serotype 2 virus strains to infect and disseminate in *Aedes aegypti*. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2003, 68, 539–544. [CrossRef]

160. Armstrong, P.M.; Rico-Hesse, R. Differential susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* to infection by the American and Southeast Asian genotypes of dengue type 2 virus. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2001, 1, 159–168. [CrossRef]

161. Libraty, D.H.; Young, P.R.; Pickering, D.; Endy, T.P.; Kalayanarooj, S.; Green, S.; Vaughn, D.W.; Nisalak, A.; Ennis, F.A.; Rothman, A.L. High Circulating Levels of the Dengue Virus Nonstructural Protein NS1 Early in Dengue Illness Correlate with the Development of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2002, 186, 1165–1168. [CrossRef]

162. Bennett, S.N. Selection-Driven Evolution of Emergent Dengue Virus. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2003, 20, 1650–1658. [CrossRef]

163. Vasilakis, N.; Holmes, E.C.; Fokam, E.B.; Faye, O.; Diallo, M.; Sall, A.A.; Weaver, S.C. Evolutionary Processes among Sylvatic Dengue Type 2 Viruses. *J. Virol.* 2007, 81, 9591–9595. [CrossRef]

164. Holmes, E.C. Patterns of intra- and interhost nonsynonymous variation reveal strong purifying selection in dengue virus. *J. Virol.* 2003, 77, 11296–11298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

165. Holmes, E.C.; Twiddy, S.S. The origin, emergence and evolutionary genetics of dengue virus. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2003, 3, 19–28. [CrossRef]

166. Foster, J.E.; Bennett, S.N.; Carrington, C.V.F.; Vaughan, H.; McMillan, W.O. Phylogeography and molecular evolution of dengue 2 in the Caribbean basin, 1981–2000. *Virology* 2004, 324, 48–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

167. Thu, H.M.; Lowry, K.S.; Myint, T.T.; Shwe, T.N.; Han, A.M.; Khin, K.K.; Thant, K.Z.; Thein, S.; Aaskov, J.G. Myanmar dengue outbreak associated with displacement of serotypes 2, 3, and 4 by dengue 1. *Facul. Sci. Tec.* 2004, 10, 593–597. [CrossRef]

168. Sittisombut, N.; Sistayanaranain, A.; Cardosa, M.J. Possible occurrence of a genetic bottleneck in dengue serotype 2 viruses between the 1980 and 1987 epidemic seasons in Bangkok Thailand. *Am. J. Tropical. 1997, 57, 100–108. [CrossRef]

169. Halstead, S.B. Dengue virus-mosquito interactions. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 2008, 53, 273–291. [CrossRef]

170. Morrison, A.C.; Minnick, S.L.; Rocha, C.; Forshey, B.M.; Stoddard, S.T.; Getis, A.; Focks, D.A.; Russell, K.L.; Olson, J.G.; Blair, P.J.; et al. Epidemiology of Dengue Virus in Iquitos, Peru 1999 to 2005: Interepidemic and Epidemic Patterns of Transmission. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2010, 4, e670. [CrossRef]

171. Cummings, D.A.T.; Schwartz, I.B.; Billings, L.; Shaw, L.B.; Burke, D.S. Dynamic effects of antibody-dependent enhancement on the fitness of viruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, 102, 15259–15264. [CrossRef]

172. Nagao, Y.; Koelle, K. Decreases in dengue transmission may act to increase the incidence of dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 2238–2243. [CrossRef]

173. Pang, T.; Mak, T.K.; Gubler, D.J. Prevention and control of dengue—the light at the end of the tunnel. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2017, 17, e79–e87. [CrossRef]

174. Halstead, S.B. Dengvaxia sensitizes seronegatives to vaccine enhanced disease regardless of age. *Vaccine* 2017, 35, 6355–6358. [CrossRef]

175. Muller, D.A.; Young, P.R. The flavivirus NS1 protein: Molecular and structural biology, immunology, role in pathogenesis and application as a diagnostic biomarker. *Antiviral Res.* 2013, 98, 192–208. [CrossRef]