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Abstract
Different kinds of knitted fabric vest are chosen as underwear samples made of a novel pearl - cellulose blend fiber and other functional fibers including Modal, milk fiber, Richcel, Dupont C, Tencel, cotton, viscose etc. Then subjective experiment with four stages is taken by strictly controlling the test condition, wearers’ selection and training, questionnaire’s design to test the comfort properties of underwear. Finally, based on fuzzy set theory, the comprehensive comfort sensations of these fabrics in different wear conditions are analyzed and evaluated.
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1. Introduction
Comfort is one of the most important attributes of clothing demanded by modern consumers [1]. Thermal comfort means that clothing climate is in comfort state through clothing system regulating heat and moisture transfer between body and environmental conditions. Fanger defines three conditions for a person to be in thermal comfort: the body is heat balance; sweat rate is within comfort limits; and mean skin temperature is within comfort limits [2]. However comfort is a very subjective, vague and complex feeling, which involves multidimensional factors. LaMotte stated that physical comfort might be greatly influenced by the tactile and thermal sensations [3]. Li stated that comfort was a state of multiple interactions among psychological sensations that were determined by physical, psychological and environmental factors [4].

Wear tests or wearer trials are commonly used to evaluate the garments subjectively. Wearer trial is rated as an end-use performance test to collect the subjective comfort sensations of the wearers [5]. It is a relatively expensive, inconsistent and unsafe but realistic and comprehensive evaluation [6]. However, comfort is difficult to measure by objective approaches because the subjective assessment as human would become accustomed to different conditions gradually, so wearer trials are also preferable.

Based on fuzzy set theory, this study is focused on the subjective measurement of the heat and moisture transfer properties of knitted fabrics made of a novel pearl - cellulose blend fiber and other functional fibers including Modal, milk fiber, Richcel, Dupont C, Tencel, cotton, viscose etc.

2. Experimental
2.1. Fabric samples

| Sample No. | Composition of raw material | Material proportion (%) | Thickness (mm) | Mass per unit area (g/m²) |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 1          | Viscose                    | 100                     | 0.86           | 279.32                  |
| 2          | PC fiber                   | 100                     | 0.85           | 243.29                  |
| 3          | Milk fiber                 | 100                     | 0.73           | 200.34                  |
| 4          | Richcel                    | 100                     | 0.77           | 179.15                  |
| 5          | Tencel                     | 100                     | 0.56           | 259.92                  |
| 6          | Modal                      | 100                     | 0.75           | 207.15                  |
| 7          | Cotton                     | 100                     | 0.98           | 236.32                  |
| 8          | PC fiber/Tencel/Modal      | 30/40/30                | 0.69           | 199.29                  |
| 9          | PC filament                | 100                     | 0.63           | 209.65                  |
| 10         | Viscose filament           | 100                     | 0.63           | 227.78                  |
| 11         | PC fiber/cotton            | 50/50                   | 0.97           | 230.83                  |
| 12         | Modal/cotton               | 50/50                   | 0.94           | 240.82                  |

Table 1: The parameter of fabric samples.

Pearl - cellulose blend fiber Pearl fiber (abbreviation: PC fiber) is a novel functional cellulose fiber that is developed by Donghua University and Shanghai New Spinning Research Center. Its high technology is plus nano pearl powders during the spinning process of viscose fiber, so pearl corpuscles are evenly distributed on the surface of fiber and wealthy of amino acid and trace elements. Its fabric was proved to be good to skin and bear with excellent functional performance including far infrared emission,
ultraviolet radiation protection etc. Knitted fabric made of functional fiber is mainly developed for underwear. Therefore, plain jersey stitch is adopt in this study, which is the most easy single face structure and suitable for underwear and summer clothing. The parameter of samples is showed in Table 1.

All fabrics are made to vest with following size: L (long)-62, B (bust)-78, W (waist)-72, BL (band long)-22.

2.2. Subjects

Nine female volunteers aged between 24~26 participated as human subjects in the wear trial. All subjects were considered healthy and exercised regularly. Each subject tested one vest sample at one time. The details of the nine subjects are shown below.

| Bust Girth (cm) | Waist Girth (cm) | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 82±4            | 64±4             | 160±5cm     | 50±2kg      |

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of subjects’ demographic information

2.3. Wear trial and questionnaire

The test conditions were controlled at temperature (25±1) °C, relative humidity (65±3)% and with an air velocity varying below 0.1m/s. Subjects were invited to have a pre-trial before formal trials, to obtain training and understanding of the questions and the procedures involved.

Each trial has 4 stages. In stage 1 the subjects were required to change the test garments with nothing on the top and cotton pajama trousers on the bottom. Then they had a rest in the test garments and environment prior to the testing for 10 minutes, to get equilibrium. And then in stage 2 the subject would walk on a treadmill (IMPEX) for 10 minutes with the speed of 2.0km/hour. In stage 3 the subject was required to run on the treadmill (IMPEX) for 10 minutes with the speed of 6.5km/hour. In stage 4 they have a rest for another 10 minutes.

| No | Thermal | Moisture | Clinging | Stifling | Rough |
|----|---------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1  | 2.61    | 2.67     | 2.24     | 2.72     | 3.54  |
| 2  | 2.74    | 2.78     | 2.91     | 3.03     | 3.83  |
| 3  | 3.55    | 2.85     | 2.88     | 3.01     | 3.23  |
| 4  | 3.19    | 2.94     | 3.24     | 2.70     | 4.06  |
| 5  | 1.79    | 2.96     | 2.04     | 2.48     | 4.65  |
| 6  | 2.97    | 3.00     | 2.87     | 2.81     | 3.73  |
| 7  | 3.24    | 2.93     | 2.76     | 3.83     | 2.43  |
| 8  | 2.98    | 3.04     | 2.14     | 2.77     | 3.63  |
| 9  | 2.14    | 2.95     | 2.24     | 2.55     | 4.09  |
| 10 | 2.32    | 2.69     | 2.27     | 2.40     | 4.60  |
| 11 | 3.41    | 3.47     | 3.43     | 3.28     | 3.18  |
| 12 | 3.33    | 2.93     | 2.72     | 3.16     | 2.79  |

Table 3: Data of subjective sensations (stage 1)

3. Results and discussion

The average of nine subjects’ data was calculated and Table 3 shows the result of stage 1. Results of other stages are omitted here.

3.1. Test of Kendall’s coefficient of concordance

The data process is done on SPSS data processing system. First, the validity of subjective experimental results is examined by using Kendall coordination coefficient of pair’s samples. The Kendall’s coefficient of concordance ($W$) is used for measuring agreement among k sets of species rating values. $W$ is an index of the divergence of the actual agreement shown in the data from the possible perfect agreement. Values of $W$ can range from 0 to 1, with 0 indicating perfect disagreement, and 1 indicating perfect agreement (Landis & Koch, 1977) [7].
Take $k$ columns with $n$ items in each and rank each column from 1 to $n$. The null hypothesis is that the rankings disagree.

Compute a sum of ranks $SR_i$ for each row. Then

$$S = \sum (SR_i^2 - n\overline{SR}^2),$$

where $\overline{SR} = \frac{(n+1)k}{2}$ is the mean of the $SR_i$s. If $H_0$ is disagreement, $S$ can be checked against a table for this test. If $S > S_\alpha$ reject $H_0$. For $n$ too large for the table use

$$\chi^2 = \frac{S}{\frac{1}{2}kn(n-1)}$$

is the Kendall Coefficient of Concordance and must be between 0 and 1.

### Table 4: Test result of Kendall’s coefficient of concordance

| Index  | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Thermal | $W$ | .368 | .210 | .203 | .205 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .036 | .044 | .042 |
| Moisture| $W$ | .208 | .205 | .220 | .203 |
|         | $S$ | .038 | .041 | .026 | .045 |
| Clinging| $W$ | .267 | .204 | .214 | .119 |
|         | $S$ | .006 | .043 | .032 | .049 |
| Stifling| $W$ | .388 | .212 | .200 | .287 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .033 | .049 | .003 |
| Rough   | $W$ | .596 | .496 | .273 | .300 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .000 | .005 | .002 |
| Soft    | $W$ | .293 | .243 | .202 | .207 |
|         | $S$ | .002 | .012 | .045 | .039 |
| Drape   | $W$ | .360 | .522 | .428 | .442 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Prickle | $W$ | .486 | .343 | .278 | .394 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .000 | .004 | .000 |
| Tight   | $W$ | .416 | .362 | .285 | .264 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .000 | .003 | .006 |
| overall | $W$ | .442 | .342 | .229 | .349 |
|         | $S$ | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 |

Table 4: Test result of Kendall’s coefficient of concordance

We could see from Table 4, in all stages, $S<0.05$. So reject null hypothesis. The result shows although there are differences between weavers, their grades are consistency. The data meets the requirement of further analysis.

### Table 5: Weight coefficient (Stage 1)

| Index  | Thermal | Moisture | Clinging | Stifling |
|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Relative value | 0.288 | 0.353 | 0.258 | 0.359 |
| Weight coefficient | 0.074 | 0.091 | 0.066 | 0.092 |

### 3.3. Fuzzy evaluation on subjective sensations

Comprehensive evaluation is concluded on the comfort sensations of twelve vests made of different fabrics of four stages by adopting DPS data system [9].

Firstly, set up set of evaluation object $D=\{\text{Sample 1, Sample 2, Sample 3, Sample 4, Sample 5, Sample 6, Sample 7, Sample 8, Sample 9, Sample 10, Sample 11, Sample 12}\}$.

Secondly, set up set of factors of evaluation object $U=\{\text{thermal, moisture, clinging, stifling, rough, soft, drape, prickle, tight, overall}\}$.

Thirdly, set up set of comment $V$ and give value $Q_0=\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Fourthly, decide the according weighted value of factors.

Then, data are processed on DPS platform. Take the overall comfort sensations as mother element, other index as daughter elements, set up mother matrix and daughter matrix. Original data are transferred by standard method. When calculate relative coefficient, take distinguish coefficient $\rho=0.1$. Calculate relative coefficient of index first, and then get weight coefficient of every matrixes with normalization. Results are showed in Table 5.

### Table 5 continued

| Index  | Rough | Soft | Drape | Prickle | Tight |
|--------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|
| Relative value | 0.647 | 0.431 | 0.498 | 0.647 | 0.389 |
| Weight coefficient | 0.167 | 0.111 | 0.128 | 0.167 | 0.100 |

We could see from Table 5, in the stage of static, prickle sensation, rough sensation and drape sensation are the important factors affecting wearer’s comfort sensations. In the stage of static, people are very sensitive to the tiny stimulus by skin. And it is not apparent that moisture transmission, air permeability...
affect overall comfort sensation since people haven’t any movement.

Calculate the relative matrix by DPS, the results are as following.

Stage 1: $B = (0.7018, , 0.6111, , 0.7656, , 0.6834, , 0.5037, , 0.7287, , 0.8753, , 0.8039, , 0.5002, , 0.4752, , 0.8124, , 0.6849)$

According to maximum subordination principle, comfort sensation from best to good to not good are: cotton, PC fiber/cotton, PC fiber/ Tencel/ Modal, Milk fiber, Modal, Viscose, Modal/ cotton, Richcel, PC fiber, Tencel, PC filament, Viscose filament.

The result of stage 2 to stage 4 is following.

Stage 2: $B = (0.8229, , 0.6605, , 0.8102, , 0.7182, , 0.5638, , 0.7892, , 0.8632, , 0.8095, , 0.6193, , 0.5825, , 0.8082, , 0.7863)$

According to maximum subordination principle, comfort sensation from best to good to not good are: cotton, Viscose, Milk fiber, PC fiber/ Tencel/ Modal, PC fiber/cotton, Modal, Modal/ cotton, Richcel, PC fiber, PC filament, Viscose filament, Tencel.

Stage 3: $B = (0.5717, , 0.5440, , 0.5293, , 0.5165, , 0.5129, , 0.5087, , 0.5994, , 0.5153, , 0.5686, , 0.5710, , 0.5007, , 0.5036)$

According to maximum subordination principle, comfort sensation from best to good to not good are: cotton, Viscose, Viscose filament, PC filament, PC fiber, Milk fiber, Richcel, PC fiber/ Tencel/ Modal, Tencel, Modal, Modal/ cotton, PC fiber/cotton.

Stage 4: $B = (0.8468, , 0.6659, , 0.8722, , 0.8327, , 0.7142, , 0.8146, , 0.8793, , 0.8322, , 0.6085, , 0.6454, , 0.8865, , 0.8812)$

According to maximum subordination principle, comfort sensation from best to good to not good are: PC fiber/cotton, Modal/ cotton, cotton, Milk fiber, Viscose, Richcel, PC fiber/ Tencel/ Modal, Modal, Tencel, PC fiber, Viscose filament, PC filament.

### 4. Conclusions

Based on subjective experiments, the comfort sensations of underwear made of different functional fibers are tested. Although there are differences between wearers, their grades are proved to be consistency by test of Kendall’s coefficient of concordance.

Fuzzy synthetic evaluation of subjective sensations is following.

Stage 1 – rest: In the stage of rest before sports, the comprehensive comfort sensation of knitted fabric made of PC blended fiber are better than that of Modal, Richcel, but worse than viscose fiber.

Stage 2 – walking: In the stage of light sports, the comprehensive comfort sensation of knitted fabric made of PC blended fiber are descending, but still better than that of Modal, Richcel, meanwhile worse than viscose fiber.

Stage 3 – running: In the stage of running state, the comprehensive comfort sensation of knitted fabric made of pure PC fiber are better than that of Modal, Richcel. The worst one is PC fiber/cotton.

Stage 4 – rest: In the stage of rest after sports, the comprehensive comfort sensation of knitted fabric made of PC blended fiber are worse than that of viscose, Modal. The worst one of comfort sensations is PC fiber filament underwear.

In general, comfort sensation of cotton and PC blended fiber are better in four stages.
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