Modulation of AMPA receptor surface diffusion restores hippocampal plasticity and memory in Huntington’s disease models
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Impaired hippocampal synaptic plasticity contributes to cognitive impairment in Huntington’s disease (HD). However, the molecular basis of such synaptic plasticity defects is not fully understood. Combining live-cell nanoparticle tracking and super-resolution imaging, we show that AMPAR surface diffusion, a key player in synaptic plasticity, is disturbed in various rodent models of HD. We demonstrate that defects in the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)-tyrosine receptor kinase B (TrkB) signaling pathway contribute to the deregulated AMPAR trafficking by reducing the interaction between transmembrane AMPA receptor regulatory proteins (TARPs) and the PDZ-domain scaffold protein PSD95. The disturbed AMPAR surface diffusion is rescued by the antidepressant drug tianeptine via the BDNF signaling pathway. Tianeptine also restores the impaired LTP and hippocampus-dependent memory in different HD mouse models. These findings unravel a mechanism underlying hippocampal synaptic and memory dysfunction in HD, and highlight AMPAR surface diffusion as a promising therapeutic target.
Cognitive deficits and psychiatric disturbance prior to motor dysfunction have been widely documented in preclinical Huntington’s disease (HD) gene carriers. These manifestations have traditionally been attributed to degeneration or death of corticostriatal neurons. However, mounting evidence points to the involvement of deficits in hippocampal synaptic plasticity. This is supported by the findings that hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), a major form of synaptic plasticity widely regarded as a molecular basis for learning and memory, is greatly impaired in different categories of HD mouse models at pre- or early-symptomatic stage. Moreover, the abnormally increased ability to support long-term depression has also been reported in HD mice. Consistently, behavioral studies reveal deterioration of hippocampal-associated spatial memory in distinct HD murine models, primate model, and patients.

The molecular mechanisms underlying hippocampal synaptic and memory dysfunctions are not well understood but the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) signaling pathway seems to play an important role. BDNF is a potent, positive modulator of LTP. The downstream regulation of protein production and the imbalance between the expression of its TrkB receptor and the pan-neurotrophin receptor p75 (P75NTR) have been implicated in the hippocampal synaptic and memory defects in HD. Indeed, administration of BDNF or P75NTR gene knockdown ameliorates HD-associated synaptic and memory dysfunction. The signaling mechanisms mediating BDNF modulation of synaptic plasticity and mechanism-based pharmacological treatment strategies remain largely unexplored. This may have significant therapeutic implications as the application of exogenous BDNF is not clinically practical due to its instability in the bloodstream and its inability to cross the blood–brain barrier, and genetic intervention on human subjects may carry ethical issues.

AMPAR receptors (AMPARs) are the major excitatory neurotransmitter receptors. The regulated trafficking of AMPARs to and from the synapses is thought to be a key mechanism underlying glutamatergic synaptic plasticity. Animal studies reveal that AMPAR trafficking plays a pivotal role in experience-driven synaptic plasticity and modification of behavior. In particular, we recently established that AMPAR surface diffusion is mandatory for expression of LTP. Pathological conditions, such as acute stress or stress hormones, alter AMPAR trafficking and memory encoding processes. Thus monitoring and manipulating synaptic AMPAR trafficking emerges as a useful tool to study cognitive function and dysfunction in animal models. Synaptic delivery of AMPAR involves intracellular trafficking, insertion to the plasma membrane by exocytosis, and lateral diffusion at the neuronal surface. For many years, endocytosis/exocytosis have been considered to be the main routes for AMPAR insertion to the plasma membrane by exocytosis, and lateral diffusion can be pharmacologically modulated by the clinically used antidepressant tianeptine (S 1574, [3-chloro-6-methyl-5, 5-dioxo-6,11-dihydro-(c,f)-dibenzo-(1,2-thiazepine)-11-yl) amino]-7 heptanoic acid), which restores impaired LTP in a stress/depression model. Impaired synaptic plasticity is a common mechanism underlying both cognitive impairment and psychiatric disturbance such as anxiety and depression, the major early-onset symptoms in HD. Hence, we have examined whether AMPAR surface diffusion is disturbed in HD models, how this is linked with impaired BDNF signaling, and whether pharmacological modulation of AMPAR surface diffusion by tianeptine can serve as a promising therapeutic strategy to improve synaptic and memory dysfunction as well as anxiety/depression-like behavior in HD.

Results

AMPAR surface diffusion is deregulated in five HD models. AMPARs are heteromeric proteins composed of different combinations of GluA1, GluA2, GluA3, or GluA4 subunits. GluA1–GluA2 di-heteromers are the most common combination in adult neurons. We thus investigated endogenous GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface diffusion separately using the single nanoparticle tracking approach in which a quantum dot (QD) is coupled to an antibody specific for the extracellular domain of the endogenous GluA2 or GluA1 subunit (Fig. 1a). We initially used rat primary hippocampal neuronal cultures co-transfected with homer1c-GFP and exon1 mutant huntingtin, which contains 69 polyglutamine expansion (exon1-polyQ-HTT), with exon1 wild-type (WT) huntingtin with 17 polyglutamine (exon1-wHTT) and empty vector as controls. Compared to empty vector and exon1-wHTT, expression of exon1-polyQ-HTT significantly increased the surface diffusion of GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR (Fig. 1c, d, top panel). We confirmed the co-transfection efficiency of green fluorescent protein (GFP) and HTT fused with hemagglutinin (HA) tag (ratio 1:4). All GFP-expressing cells were successfully transfected with HTT as revealed by HA staining (Supplementary Fig. 1a, 1b). We next used rat primary hippocampal neuronal cultures co-transfected with GFP and full-length mutant huntingtin, which contains 75 polyglutamine expansion (FL-polyQ-HTT), with WT huntingtin with 17 polyglutamine (FL-wHTT) as controls. Compared to FL-wHTT-expressing cells, GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface mobility was significantly increased in FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing cells (Fig. 1e, f, top panel). To avoid possible transfection artifacts, we next used primary hippocampal neurons from R6/1 heterozygous transgenic mice, which overexpress the first exon of human HTT with 115 polyQ and represent a fast model of HD. Similarly, an increase in GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface diffusion was observed in neurons from R6/1 mice compared to WT littermate controls (Fig. 1g, h, top panel). Furthermore, to circumvent overexpression artifacts and to better mimic the genetic situation in patients, we used neurons from homozygous HdhQ111/Q111 knock-in (KI) mouse, in which polyQ repeats are directly engineered into the mouse HTT genomic locus and wHTT/polyQ-HTT is expressed at endogenous levels. Consistently, neurons from HdhQ111/Q111 KI mouse also displayed marked increase in GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface diffusion compared to WT littermates (Fig. 1i, j, top panel). Finally, we used CAG140 heterozygous KI mice. Heterozygous mice are highly relevant to the disease, as the majority of HD patients are heterozygous. In line with the above-mentioned findings, GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface diffusion was significantly increased in CAG140 mice compared to WT littermates (Fig. 1k, l, top panel). These changes were partially due to a decreased fraction of immobile GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR (surface diffusion ≤0.01 µm² s⁻¹) (Fig. 1c–l, bottom panels). Cumulative distributions of diffusion coefficients shift toward the right in all HD models, indicating an increased GluA2- and GluA1 AMPAR surface diffusion.

AMPAR level and synaptogenesis are unaltered in HD models. We next evaluated the surface and total GluA2 expression in different HD models. No significant difference was found in surface and total GluA2 expression in FL-wHTT/polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons (Supplementary Fig. 1c–f) neither in R6/1 mouse models (Supplementary Fig. 1g–j). We further assessed the synapse numbers and did not find significant difference between
FL-wHTT and polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons (Supplementary Fig. 1k, 1l). Altogether, these experiments indicate that disturbance of AMPAR surface diffusion occurs independently of AMPAR protein expression levels and synaptogenesis.

**BDNF synthesis and transport are decreased in HD models.**
BDNF is a prominent positive modulator of LTP, which has been proposed to induce the delivery of AMPARs to the synapse under basal conditions. However, it is not known whether and how AMPAR surface diffusion is modulated by BDNF signaling and whether it plays a role in HD pathogenesis. We thus asked whether deficient BDNF signaling could account for the aberrant AMPAR surface diffusion in HD mouse models. We first characterized changes in the protein level of BDNF in HD mice. Consistent with a previous report, using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), we observed a significant decrease in the protein level of BDNF in the hippocampus of 10-week-old R6/1 and HdhQ111/Q111 mice compared to respective.
Fig. 1 Deregulated GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface diffusion in five complementary HD cellular models. a Experimental scheme for endogenous GluA2- and GluA1-AMPAR surface tracking using quantum dot (QD). b Typical GluA2-QD trajectories (magenta) in hippocampal neurons expressing vector, exon1-wHTT, and exon1-polyQ-HTT, respectively. Lower panels represent enlarged GluA2-QD trajectories. Scale bars, 10 μm. c, e, g, i, k Top panels, GluA2-AMPAR diffusion coefficients (median ± 25–75% interquartile range (IQR)) in rat hippocampal neurons expressing vector, exon1-wHTT, and exon1-polyQ-HTT; n = 844, 382, and 695 trajectories, respectively (c), in rat hippocampal neurons expressing FL-wHTT or FL-polyQ-HTT; n = 603 and 760 trajectories, respectively (e), in hippocampal neurons from R6/1 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 1885 and 1994 trajectories, respectively (g), in hippocampal neurons from HdhQ111/Q111 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 1571 and 886 trajectories, respectively (i), and in hippocampal neurons from CAG140 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 2939 and 5457 trajectories, respectively (k). d, f, h, j, l Top panels, GluA1-AMPAR diffusion coefficients (median ± 25–75% IQR) in rat hippocampal neurons expressing vector, exon1-wHTT, and exon1-polyQ-HTT; n = 9337, 8320, and 10905 trajectories, respectively (d), in rat hippocampal neurons expressing FL-wHTT or FL-polyQ-HTT; n = 4331 and 6462 trajectories, respectively (f), in hippocampal neurons from R6/1 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 18565 and 14195 trajectories, respectively (h), in hippocampal neurons from HdhQ111/Q111 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 5612 and 5844 trajectories, respectively (j), and in hippocampal neurons from CAG140 mice and WT littermate controls; n = 8569 and 9170 trajectories, respectively (l). Bottom panels, cumulative probability of GluA2 and GluA1 diffusion coefficient of respective top panel. The first point of the probability corresponding to the fraction of immobile receptors with diffusion coefficients ≤0.01 μm² s⁻¹ shown by arrows. Note that the cumulative curve shifts toward right indicating an increased GluA2 and GluA1 surface diffusion. Data were from 6 to 12 cells from three separate experiments. Significance was determined by Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (c, d) or two-tailed Mann–Whitney test (e–l); ***P < 0.001

Fig. 2 BDNF synthesis and intracellular transport were impaired in various HD models. a Schematic diagram showing that BDNF is modulated at synthesis, transport, and secretion levels. B Hippocampal BDNF protein level determined by ELISA in R6/1 and HdhQ111/Q111 mice; values are mean ± s.e.m (% of WT); n = 21 and 14 mice for WT and R6/1; n = 6 and 9 mice for WT and HdhQ111/Q111, respectively. c Representative kymographs of intracellular transport of BDNF-containing vesicles (white trajectories) in a neurite (50 μm from soma) over 75 s in wHTT- and polyQ-HTT-expressing rat hippocampal neurons. The velocity of BDNF transport was reflected by the slope of trajectories (moving distance against time). d, f Anterograde and retrograde BDNF transport velocity in all neurites of wHTT- and polyQ-HTT-expressing rat hippocampal neurons (d) and hippocampal neurons from R6/1 mouse line (e) and in the axon of hippocampal neurons from HdhQ111/Q111 mouse line (f); values are median ± 95% c.i. (d, e) or mean ± s.e.m (f); n = 5569, 5656, 5227, and 5706 trajectories for anterograde and retrograde wHTT and polyQ-HTT, respectively; n = 1424, 1710, 1376, and 1487 trajectories for anterograde and retrograde WT and R6/1, respectively; n = 236, 261, 194, and 256 trajectories for anterograde and retrograde WT and HdhQ111/Q111, respectively. Significance was determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test (b, f) or two-tailed Mann–Whitney test (d, e); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001
protein production and impaired intracellular transport are common features of different categories of HD models.

**Impaired BDNF-TrkB signaling impacts AMPAR surface diffusion.** Next, we dissected the potential signaling mechanism by which BDNF modulates AMPAR surface diffusion in HD models. BDNF is known to bind to TrkB receptors, leading to the activation of CaMKII12, which is known to regulate AMPAR surface diffusion via impacting the interaction between TARP-2 (stargazin) and PSD95 during plasticity13. Active CaMKII phosphorylated at threonine 286 (T286) is reported to be reduced in the hippocampus of HdhQ111/Q111 mouse models12. We also detected the decrease in CaMKII activity in a HD cellular model by co-transfecting rat hippocampal neurons with FL-wHTT/FL-polyQHTT and a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based CaMKIIα, named REACH-CaMKII. The amino and carboxy termini of REACH-CaMKII are labeled with the FRET pair of monomeric enhanced GFP (mEGFP) and resonance energy-accepting chromoprotein (REACH), a non-radiative yellow fluorescent protein variant9. The activation of REACH-CaMKII associated with T286 phosphorylation changes the conformation of CaMKII to the open state in which its kinase domain is exposed, thereby decreasing FRET and increasing the fluorescence lifetime of mEGFP (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Rat hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP-PSD95 alone were used as negative control. GFP-PSD95-expressing cells displayed long lifetime (≥2.4 ns) in both dendritic puncta and shaft indicating no FRET. FL-wHTT- and FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing cells both exhibited FRET revealed by shorter lifetime than GFP-PSD95-expressing cells, indicating activated CaMKIIα. However, the REACH-CaMKIIα lifetime in dendritic puncta (Supplementary Fig. 2c) and shaft (Supplementary Fig. 2d) in FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing cells are significantly lower than in FL-wHTT-expressing cells, indicating stronger FRET and thus weaker CaMKIIα activity.

The CaMKII-induced AMPAR immobilization requires the interaction between stargazin and PSD9522,28. We thus examined whether stargazin–PSD95 interaction was weakened in different HD models. We first used co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and demonstrated that PSD95–stargazin interaction was impaired in the brain tissue from HdhQ111/Q111 mice relative to WT littermates (Fig. 3a, b, Supplementary Fig. 6a). We further performed DUOLINK in situ proximity ligation assay (PLA), which allows for endogenous detection of protein interactions at the single molecule level, in hippocampal neurons co-transfected with GFP and vector, wHTT, or polyQ-HTT. Consistently, we found that the PSD95–stargazin interaction was also markedly decreased in polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons compared with vector and wHTT controls (Fig. 3c, d).

We reasoned that, if reduced CaMKII activity is responsible for aberrant AMPAR surface trafficking, then overexpression of constitutively active CaMKII should be able to rescue the FL-polyQ-HTT-induced increase in AMPAR surface diffusion. This is indeed what we observed (Fig. 3e, f). We assumed that, if reduced CaMKII activity results from impaired BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway, then the application of exogenous BDNF should have similar effect. As expected, the application of exogenous BDNF similarly restored a lower GluA2-AMPAR transport in hBDNFΔ/Δ C-HdhQ111/Q111 mice (Fig. 4e, f) as well as in hippocampal neurons from R6/1 (Fig. 4g) and HdhQ111/Q111 mice (Fig. 4h). In addition, tianeptine also augmented BDNF intracellular trafficking in wHTT-expressing neurons and in neurons from WT control for HdhQ111/Q111 mice (Supplementary Fig. 4). These data suggest that tianeptine regulates hippocampal BDNF signaling at least at two levels, namely, BDNF protein production and intracellular transport.

**Tianeptine rescues BDNF and AMPAR trafficking deficits via BDNF-TrkB pathway.** To further clarify the functional mechanism of tianeptine, we examined whether the tianeptine-induced increase in BDNF intracellular trafficking could be prevented by a selective TrkB receptor inhibitor, Cyclotaxatin-B (CB), which is a small inhibitor peptide mimicking the reverse turn structure of the variable region III that protrudes from the core of BDNF36. Indeed, tianeptine (50 μM) induced improvement of anterograde and retrograde BDNF intracellular transport was fully blocked by pre-incubation with CB (1 μM) (Fig. 5a, b). This suggested that tianeptine’s effect on BDNF intracellular trafficking is likely mediated through TrkB receptor. Since BDNF is not the sole ligand for TrkB receptor, we then postulated that, if
tianeptine influences BDNF intracellular trafficking through BDNF signaling rather than working in parallel, then addition of exogenous BDNF should be able to occlude tianeptine's effect. Indeed, the administration of BDNF (100 ng ml$^{-1}$) similarly rescued the decreased BDNF intracellular trafficking induced by polyQ-HTT and the combination of BDNF and tianeptine did not exhibit additive effect (Fig. 5c). These data indicate that tianeptine affects BDNF intracellular trafficking possibly through BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway. We next asked whether tianeptine is also able to restore AMPAR surface traffic and whether this effect is mediated by TrkB receptors. The application of tianeptine significantly slowed down AMPAR surface diffusion in
polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons, an effect fully blocked by the TrkB receptor inhibitor CB and TrkB-Fc (Fig. 5d, e). Collectively, these data suggest that the tianeptine effect on BDNF intracellular trafficking and AMPAR surface diffusion is mediated by BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway.

Tianeptine restores AMPAR dynamics after LTP induction. The increased AMPAR surface diffusion in basal conditions in HD models prompted us to ask whether this could potentially lead to abnormal AMPAR surface stabilization during activity-dependent synaptic plasticity, such as LTP. Indeed, on the one hand, it has been shown that activity-dependent synaptic potentiation is associated with immobilization and subsequent accumulation of AMPARs at synapses and that AMPAR surface diffusion is mandatory for LTP17. On the other hand, polyQ expansion of HTT is associated with impaired LTP4. We thus examined AMPAR surface diffusion before and after 3-min chemical LTP (cLTP) stimuli37 (300 µM Glycine, 1 µM Picrotoxin, without Mg2+). In rat hippocampal neurons expressing FL-wHTT or FL-polyQ-HTT and CamKII-GFP, n = 656, 685, and 349 trajectories, respectively (f), in neurons co-expressing FL-polyQ-HTT and GFP and treated with Vehicle, BDNF, TrkB-Fc plus BDNF, or kn93 plus BDNF, n = 1649, 1742, 480, and 1380 trajectories, respectively (g), and in vehicle- or BDNF-treated neurons co-expressing FL-polyQ-HTT and GFP or GFP-fused wild-type stargazin (WT-stg-GFP) or ΔC stg, in which the binding domain to PDZ-domain scaffold protein was deleted; n = 495, 568, 376, 300, and 573, and 498 trajectories, respectively (h). Diffusion coefficients were shown as median ±25–75% IQR; Values are mean ± s.e.m (b, d); data are representative of a minimum of three independent experiments. Significance was determined by one-sample t test (b), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (d), or Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (f–h); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ns no significance.

Tianeptine restores hippocampal LTP and memory in HD models. BDNF-TrkB signaling and AMPAR surface diffusion are critically involved in hippocampal plasticity and learning and memory11,14,17. We thus asked whether tianeptine could rescue the impaired hippocampal LTP and hippocampal-dependent memory in three different mouse models of HD. Besides male heterozygous R6/1 transgenic mice and homozygous HdhQ111/111 KI mice, we employed a third mouse model, male CAG140 heterozygous KI mice, for behavior test. CAG140 KI mice carry 140 polyQ and thus have earlier onset of symptoms than HdhQ111/111 KI mice. Only male HD mice were used throughout the paper in order to eliminate the possible influence of gender differences. The field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded from mouse hippocampal CA1 region following Schaffer collateral stimulation (Fig. 7a, b). R6/1 transgenic mice and HdhQ111/111 KI mice were used at the age of 10–12 weeks. R6/1 mice showed a decrease in LTP of fEPSP slope compared to WT littermate controls, which was partially rescued by chronic treatment with tianeptine at 25 mg kg−1 (i.p. daily for 8 weeks) (Fig. 7a) but not tianeptine at 10 mg kg−1 (i.p. daily for 8 weeks) (data not shown). This suggested a dose-dependent effect of tianeptine. Very similar results were obtained in HdhQ111/111 mice, in which LTP defects were normalized by a single injection of tianeptine (10 mg kg−1) (Fig. 7b).

The restorative effect of tianeptine on LTP in HD mice raises the question of whether it can also rescue HD-related hippocampus-dependent cognitive impairments. In order to test early therapeutic intervention, we started to administer saline/tianeptine (10 mg kg−1, i.p. daily) to R6/1 and WT littermate mice from 4 weeks of age, when the mice do not typically present cognitive deficits4. At 12 weeks of age, the mice were subjected to open field test, Y-maze, and contextual fear conditioning. The latter two tasks are hippocampal-dependent memory tasks, respectively, based on novelty attractiveness and associated threat39. Vehicle-treated R6/1 mice spent much less time in the novel arm than vehicle-treated WT mice, suggesting that R6/1 mice have impaired spatial working memory (Fig. 7c). Interestingly, tianeptine administration improved Y-maze performance of R6/1 mice but not that of WT mice. This improvement is not due to a change in moving velocity, as vehicle- and tianeptine-treated R6/1 mice had similar moving velocity in open field (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Contextual fear conditioning, assessed by measuring the freezing behavior a mouse typically exhibits when re-exposed to a context in which a mild foot shock was beforehand delivered, reflects hippocampal-dependent memory40. Vehicle-treated R6/1 mice exhibited less freezing in the contextual fear test compared to vehicle-treated WT littermates, indicating a worse memory, which was rescued by tianeptine treatment (Fig. 7d). Similar to the spatial working memory tested in Y-maze, no beneficial effect was observed in tianeptine-treated WT mice. We thus propose that tianeptine specifically rescued the hippocampal-dependent memory of R6/1 mice.

As HD mice also typically present an anxiety/depression-like phenotype, we asked whether chronic tianeptine treatment would...
correct the anxiety/depression-like phenotype in CAG140 heterozygous KI mice. The anxiety/depression-like behavior of CAG140 mice was assessed using the elevated plus maze (EPM) paradigm and novelty suppressed feeding (NSF) paradigm. Anxiety-like phenotypes are characterized by decreased time spent in open arms in EPM or an increase in latency to feed in NSF, which we observed in 6-month-old CAG140 mice (data not shown). Here we specifically investigated the early intervention therapy in HD and treated CAG140 mice starting from 3 months of age, when the anxiety/depression-like phenotype is not fully established (compared to 6-month-old mice, data not shown) and tested at 4 months of age. We found that, in comparison to vehicle-treated CAG140 mice, chronically tianeptine-treated CAG140 mice spent significantly more time in open arms in EPM (Fig. 7e), while their locomotor activity revealed by ambulatory distance was not significantly affected (Supplementary Fig. 5b). The treatment also markedly decreased the latency to feed in NSF (Fig. 7f) without altering the home food consumption (Supplementary Fig. 5c). In contrast, tianeptine did not significantly alter the behavioral phenotype of WT mice, suggesting that chronic tianeptine
treatment also specifically improves the anxiety/depression-like behavior in HD mice.

**Discussion**

AMPA receptor surface diffusion plays a key role in regulating AMPA synaptic content during glutamatergic synaptic plasticity. AMPARs constantly switch on the neuronal surface between mobile and immobile states driven by thermal agitation and reversible binding to stable elements such as scaffold or cytoskeletal anchoring slots or extrasynaptic anchors. Even in synapses, AMPARs are not totally stable with around 50% of them moving constantly by Brownian diffusion within the plasma membrane, promoting continuous exchanges between synaptic and extrasynaptic sites. This process is highly regulated by neuronal activity and other stimuli. The majority of AMPARs incorporated into synapses during LTP is from surface diffusion while exocytosed receptors likely serve to replenish the extrasynaptic pool available for subsequent bouts of plasticity.

This AMPAR redistribution followed by immobilization and accumulation of AMPARs at synapses is the crucial step for the enhanced synaptic transmission during synaptic potentiation. In the present study, we found that HD-causing mutation leads to deregulated AMPAR surface diffusion and that AMPARs fail to stabilize at the surface after cLTP stimuli using complementary HD models including ectopic expression of overexpression of exon1, we also investigated receptor mobility in neurons from two other HD KI mouse models. We confirmed the defect in AMPAR mobility using mouse neurons from Hdh\textsuperscript{CAG140/+} heterozygous KI mice. These mice are generated on a C57BL/6j background and express human HTT exon1 sequence with 140 repeats of CAG as described previously. We chose to use neurons from Hdh\textsuperscript{CAG140/+} mice rather than BAC models of HD as they recapitulate the genetic situation observed in HD patients (endogenous expression and heterozygous status). In addition, Hdh\textsuperscript{Q111/Q111} and Hdh\textsuperscript{CAG140/+} mice are derived from different genetic constructs. Importantly, Hdh\textsuperscript{Q111/Q111} and Hdh\textsuperscript{CAG140/+} mice show mild phenotypes as compared to R6/1 mice, further supporting the relevance of the results obtained on AMPAR mobility.

Our findings open a new perspective into the molecular mechanism underlying the impaired hippocampal synaptic plasticity in HD. It is noteworthy that disturbed AMPAR trafficking is also proposed to be one of the first manifestations of synaptic dysfunction that underlies Alzheimer’s disease, which shares many clinical and pathological similarities with HD, such as early-onset cognitive deficiency before perceptible neuronal degeneration. Together with our previous finding that deregulated AMPAR surface diffusion underlies impaired LTP in stress/depression models, these lines of evidence indicate that dysregulation of AMPAR surface diffusion may represent a common molecular basis for the impaired hippocampal synaptic plasticity and memory in various neuronal disorders.

It is generally accepted that BDNF via interaction with TrkB receptors enhances synaptic transmission and plasticity in adult synapses, while its binding to p75\textsuperscript{NTR} has been demonstrated to negatively modulate synaptic plasticity, spine–dendrite morphology, and complexity. Recent studies show that impaired BDNF delivery, as well as the abnormally reduced expression of TrkB receptor and enhanced p75\textsuperscript{NTR} expression account for the hippocampal synaptic and memory dysfunction. The phosphorylation of GluA1 on Ser-831 through activation of protein kinase C and CaMKII via TrkB receptors has been proposed to be responsible for AMPAR synaptic delivery. However, other evidence indicates that GluA1 phosphorylation at Ser-831 alters single-channel conductance rather than receptor anchoring. Here we provide the first evidence in HD models that administration of BDNF slows down the increased AMPAR surface diffusion possibly via interaction between TARP and PDZ-domain scaffold protein, which is downstream of TrkB-CaMKII signaling pathway. It is possible that both processes, that is, change in the single-channel conductance and receptor anchoring (this study), occur in parallel and affect AMPAR signaling. Interestingly, the reduced CaMKII activity reported in Hdh\textsuperscript{Q111/Q111} KI mice could be prevented by normalization of p75\textsuperscript{NTR} levels. This effect could be attributable to the preservation of TrkB signaling, as it has been shown that decreasing p75\textsuperscript{NTR} expression or blocking its coupling to the small GTPase RhoA normalizes TrkB signaling, while upregulation of p75\textsuperscript{NTR} signaling through PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10) results in impaired TrkB signaling. Thus impaired BDNF delivery and aberrant processing of BDNF signal may converge on the TrkB-CaMKII signaling pathway.
which impacts the interaction between TARP and PDZ-domain containing scaffold proteins and thus affects AMPAR surface diffusion.

However, the fact that the stargazin–PSD95 interaction is dramatically impaired while the decrease in phosphorylated CaMKII in HD models is modest, indicates that additional signaling pathways downstream or in parallel to BDNF signaling may also contribute to the disruption of stargazin–PSD95 interaction and AMPAR surface trafficking. An imbalance between synaptic versus extrasynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate–type...
Glutamate receptor (NMDAR) activity has been reported in HD models. NMDAR is known to activate CaMKII, phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and crosstalk with BDNF signaling pathways. It is possible that multiple signaling pathways synergistically regulate AMPAR surface trafficking.

Although we specifically studied the role of stargazin–PSD95 interaction in regulating AMPAR surface diffusion in HD models, other TARP family members may also be involved. TARP family members (γ-2, γ-3, γ-4, and γ-8) share the binding motif (TTPV) to PDZ-domain scaffold proteins. Notably, γ-8 is the most abundant TARP in the hippocampus. In addition to PSD95, the C-tail of TARPs also enables its potential interaction with other PDZ scaffold proteins, such as SAP97 and SAP102. However, compared to PSD95, SAP97 and SAP102 have much less effect on AMPAR excitatory postsynaptic current. We thus propose that the interaction between TARP and PSD95 may represent a prominent target in regulating trapping and stabilization of diffusing AMPARs on the postsynaptic membrane.

Tianeptine is a well-tolerated antidepressant primarily used in the treatment of major depressive disorders. It is structurally similar to a tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) but has different pharmacological properties than typical TCAs as it produces its antidepressant effects likely through the alteration of glutamate receptor activity. Tianeptine alters glutamatergic transmission, increasing, for instance, the phosphorylation of GluA1 subunits and activating CaMKII and protein kinase A via the p38, p42/44 MAPK, and c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathways. Through unknown mechanisms, tianeptine prevents stress-induced dendritic atrophy, improves neurogenesis, reduces apoptosis, and normalizes metabolite levels and hippocampal volume. In the present study, we show in complementary HD models that tianeptine restored AMPAR surface diffusion, via BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway, and rescued defective LTP and hippocampal-dependent memory. Interestingly, the activation of BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway is also required for the effect on the depression-like behavior of some typical antidepressants, such as fluoxetine and imipramine. The mechanisms underlying

**Fig. 6** AMPAR fails to stabilize on the neuronal surface after LTP stimulation, an effect rescued by tianeptine (TIA) treatment in an HD cellular model. a, c, e Typical GluA2 trajectories (magenta) before and after cLTP induction in FL-wHTT-expressing neurons without TIA treatment (a), FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons without TIA treatment (c), and FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons with TIA treatment (10 μM) (e). Scale bar, 5 μm. b, d, f The ratio of mobile to immobile fraction of the diffusion coefficient (D) at synapses before and after cLTP induction in FL-wHTT-expressing neurons without TIA treatment (b), FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons without TIA treatment (d), and FL-polyQ-HTT-expressing neurons with TIA treatment (10 μM) (f). Data were from 10 to 14 neurons from three separate experiments. Immobile fraction was identified as the proportion of receptors with D ≤ 0.01 μm² s⁻¹ while mobile fraction with D > 0.01 μm² s⁻¹. Paired t test was used; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.1; ns not significant.
chronic tianeptine treatment may involve BDNF-induced neurogenesis; however, our finding that a single-dose administration of tianeptine is sufficient to rescue aberrant LTP in HdhQ111/Q111 KI mice points to additional mechanisms. Given the critical role of AMPAR surface diffusion in hippocampal synaptic plasticity, we argue that the beneficial effects of tianeptine on the impaired LTP and hippocampal-dependent memory stem, at least in part, from its normalization of AMPAR surface diffusion. Although tianeptine is also able to augment BDNF intracellular signaling that a single-dose administration of tianeptine is sufficient to rescue aberrant LTP in HdhQ111/Q111 KI}
immobilize AMPAR surface diffusion under basal conditions\(^2\), it did not significantly improve hippocampal-dependent memory in WT mice, suggesting that the maintenance of a physiological dynamic equilibrium is key to an effective treatment. The present study also shows beneficial effect of tianeptine on the anxiety/ depression-like behavior in CAG140 KI mouse model. Note that cognitive dysfunction and psychiatric pathologies such as depression, stress, and anxiety are typical features of HD, which occur well before the onset of motor dysfunction, thus the use of tianeptine may represent a promising early therapeutic strategy for HD targeting both psychiatric and cognitive defects. Moreover, that tianeptine is a clinically used drug will facilitate clinical evaluation.

In conclusion, we unravel AMPAR surface diffusion as a potential novel therapeutic target for early intervention in HD and propose a new therapeutic strategy for HD using the anti-depressant tianeptine, which improves hippocampal synaptic and memory deficits as well as anxiety/depression-like behavior in HD mice possibly through the modulation of BDNF signaling and AMPAR surface diffusion.

**Methods**

**Transgenic mice, primary neuronal cultures, and transfection.** The heterozygous male R6/1 mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were crossed with female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River, Lyon). Homozygous Hdh\(^{Q111/Q111}\) KI mice of HD on the same genetic background from M. MacDonald\(^9\). The CAG140 are heterozygous mice with C57Bl6/J background. The animals were housed with food and water ad libitum under a 12 light–dark cycle. All work involving animals was conducted according to the rules of the Ethics Committee of University of Bordeaux and the Aquitaine (France, AS5012127) and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (European Directive, 2010/63/EU for the protection of laboratory animals, permissions # 92-256B, authorization ethical committee CEEA 26 2012_100). Polymerase chain reaction genotyping with DNA extracted from a piece of tail was carried to identify mice genotype. Primary cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared following a previously described method from (1) Sprague-Dawley rats at E18; (2) Hdh\(^{Q111/Q111}\) KI mice and WT littermates at P0 for AMPAR surface tracking and Hdh\(^{Q111/Q111}\) KI mice and WT mice at E15 for BDNF intracellular tracking; and (3) R6/1 mice and WT littermates at P0\(^6,28\). Cells were plated at a density of 200 × 10\(^3\) cells for rat culture and 450 × 10\(^3\) cells for mouse culture on 60 mm dish on polylysine pre-coated cover slips. Cultures were maintained in serum-free neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) and kept at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\) for 20 days in vitro (DIV) at maximum. Cells were transfected with appropriate plasmids using Effectene (Qiagen).

**Plasmids and chemical products.** Homer 1C:GFP with CaMKII promoter was generated by subcloning homer 1C cDNA into the eukaryotic expression vector pcDNA3 (Invitrogen); EGFP was inserted at the N-terminal of the Homer 1C sequence. exon1 mutant huntingtin contains 69 polyglutamine expansion (exon1-polyQ-HTT) and WT huntingtin with 17 polyglutamine (exon1-wHTT)\(^25\). Full-length HTT plasmids encode full-length huntingtin with 17 polyQ (FL-HTT) or 68 glutamines (Nter-polyQ-HTT)\(^59,60\). Tianeptine was purchased from T & W group (Préfu) and Norleucine (λ), a more stable isostere. Linear peptide was cleaved (TFA:H\(_2\)O:EDT:TIS, 94:2.5:2.5:1) and purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Disulfide bond formation was carried out for 10 h in H\(_2\)O in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (5%) and ammonium acetate (0.05 M) at high dilution of the peptide (100 µM). Solvent excess was removed and the peptide was purified by reversed-phase (RP)–HPLC (YMC C18, ODS-A S 5/120, 250 × 20 mm, ultraviolet detection at 228 and 280 nm, using an standard gradient: 5% MeCN containing 0.1% TFA for 5 min followed by a gradient from 10% to 40% over 40 min in d\(_4\)H\(_2\)O containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at a flow rate of 12 ml min\(^{-1}\)). Peptides were characterized by automated RP-HPLC and matrix-assisted light desorption/ionisation. Peptides were quantified by absorbance measurement at 280 nm, aliquoted, lyophilized, and stored at −80°C until usage.

**Single-particle tracking of AMPAR using QD.** Rat primary hippocampal neurons were co-transfected at DIV 10–11 with GFP/homer1C-GFP and wHTT/polyQ-HTT at the ratio of 1:9 to ensure that the majority of GFP-transfected neurons were transfected with HTT. Homer1C was used as a postsynaptic marker. Endogenous GluA2 and GluA1 QD tracking was performed at DIV 11–12 as previously described\(^23\). Neurons were first incubated with mouse monoclonal antibody against N-terminal extracellular domain GluA2 subunit (a kind gift from E. Gouaux, Oregon Health and Science University, USA) (1:1000) or rabbit polyclonal antibody against N-terminal extracellular domain GluA1 subunit (PC246, Calbiochem) (1:200) for 7 min, followed by incubation with QD 655 Goat F(ab)\(^2\) anti-mouse (Invitrogen, 1:10,000 to 1:20,000) or anti-Rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 1:10,000 to 1:20,000) for 15 min. The specificity of the antibodies was confirmed by the hippocampal neuron culture from GluA2- or GluA1-knockout mice in our laboratory (data not shown). Non-specific binding was blocked by 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich). QDs were detected by using a mercury lamp and appropriate excitation/emission filters. Images were obtained with an interval of 50 ns and up to 1000 consecutive frames. Signals were detected using a CCD camera (Quantum, Roper Scientific). QDs were focused in randomly selected dendritic regions for up to 20 min. QD recording sessions were processed with the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). The instantaneous diffusion coefficient, D, was calculated for each trajectory, from linear fits of the first 4 points of the mean-square-displacement versus time function using MSD(t) = r\(^2\) = D t. The two-dimensional trajectories of single molecules in the plane of focus were constructed by correlation analysis between consecutive images using a Vogel algorithm. QD-based trajectories were considered synaptic if colocalized with Homer 1C dendritic clusters for at least five frames.

**BDNF intracellular transport.** Rat hippocampal neurons were co-transfected at DIV 4 with homer1C-GFP and FL-wHTT/polyQ-HTT for 2 weeks. Homer1C was used as a postsynaptic marker. Atto667-conjugated mCherry-BDNF (emission maximum N(360–N(370) nm) was coinjected into extracellular domain GluA2 subunit (a kind gift from E. Gouaux, Oregon Health and Science University, USA) (1:5000–1:10,000) was used. The microscope was a Nikon Ti Eclipse (Nikon France S.A.S., Champigny-sur-Marne, France) equipped with a Perfect Focus System (PSF) and a TIRF ×100 oil NA 1.49 and a sensitive Evolve EMCCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, USA). The objective HCX PL used was a CS APO ×63 NA 1.49 oil immersion objective. The movement of BDNF-containing vesicles was tracked using video microscopy on an inverted Leica DMI 6000 Year microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a HQ2 camera (Photometrics, Tucson, USA). The objective HCX PL used was a CS APO ×63 NA 1.32 oil. The atmosphere was 37°C incubator created with year box and air heating system (Life Imaging Services, Basel, Switzerland). Acquisitions and calculation were done on the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). For BDNF axonal trafficking in hippocampal neurons of mouse Hdh\(^{Q111/Q111}\) KI and WT mice, hippocampal neurons at E15 were used. Microchambers, neuronal culture preparation as well as videomicroscopy was generated as previously described\(^7\). Briefly, images were collected in stream mode using a Micromax camera (Roper Scientific) with an exposure time of 100–150 ms. Projections, animations, and analyses were generated using ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/, NIH, USA). Maximal projection was performed to identify the vesicle paths, which in our system corresponds to vesicle movements in axons. Kymographs and analyses were generated with the KymoToolBox, a home-made plug-in\(^7\).

**Super-resolution imaging of AMPAR surface diffusion.** uPAINT was used. Rat primary hippocampal neurons were co-transfected at DIV 4 with homerc1C-GFP and FL-wHTT/polyQ-HTT for 2 weeks. Homer1C was used as a postsynaptic marker. Atto667-conjugated mouse GluA2 and polyclonal antibody against N-terminal extracellular domain GluA2 subunit (a kind gift from E. Gouaux, Oregon Health and Science University, USA) (1:5000–1:10,000) was used. The microscope was a Nikon Ti Eclipse (Nikon France S.A.S., Champigny-sur-Marne, France) equipped with a Perfect Focus System (PSF) and a TIRF ×100 oil NA 1.49 and a sensitive Evolve EMCCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, USA). The diode lasers were used at 491 and 635 nm. This system is equipped by a motorized stage Ti-S-ER. The 37°C atmosphere was created with an incubator box and an air heating system (Life Imaging Services, Basel, Switzerland). This system was controlled by the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA).

**BDNF enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.** The BDNF concentration was evaluated using the BDNF ELISA Kit (Millipore, Abnova) following the protocol provided by the company.

**Western blot.** Western blot was performed as previously described\(^22\). Ten µg of protein was loaded per lane and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE). Primary antibodies anti-BDNF antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-546, 1:200) and anti-Tubulin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, T5168, 1:6000) were used.

**Co-immunoprecipitation.** For each experiment, one mouse brain of 5-week-old littermate WT or HDH-Q111 were homogenized in 6 ml of homogenization buffer (20 mM Hepes, 0.15 mM EDTA, 0.4 mM EGTA, 10 mM KCl pH 7.5, cocktail of protease inhibitors (aprotinin, leupeptin, pepstatin-A, MG132, and peffablof, 10 µg/ml each), and 100 µM sodium orthovanadate). The suspension was homogenized for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was homogenized with 20 strokes in 15 ml of the same buffer at adjusted at 15% sucrose. The homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × g.
860 x g, in order to remove genomic DNA. The supernatant containing the membranes was centrifuged again for 30 min at 17,000 x g. Brain membranes were solubilized in a medium containing 20 mM Hepes, 1% Triton-X100, 0.15 mM EDTA, 4 mM EGTA (pH 7.5) and the antiprotease cocktail, with 20 dimes with a potter. Sample was centrifuged for 45 min at 17,000 x g. All steps were performed at 4 °C. Protein concentration was evaluated with a BCA assay. Triton-X100 supernatant (0.5 mg) was incubated with the anti-stargazin antibody (AB-9876, Millipore, 1:150) for 1 h at 4 °C and then incubated overnight with 20 µl of protein-A Sepharose at 4 °C. Resin was washed with 1 ml of loading buffer and 0.5 ml of the same buffer containing 500 mM NaCl. Beads were resuspended in 100 µl of gel loading buffer, run on 4–15% SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with anti-stargazin and anti-PSD95 (Neuramob, 75-928, 1:1000) antibodies. Pictures and quantitative analysis of western blots were performed using the Li-Cor apparatus (Odyssey scanner v3.0).

DUOLINK in situ PLA. PLA was performed to evaluate the interaction between stargazin and PSD95 in situ using Duolink In Situ Detection Reagents (Sigma DUOLINK in situ PLA). Antibodies were anti-stargazin (Millipore 07-577, 1:100) and anti-PSD95 (Thermo Scientific MAI-046, 1:100).

Extracellular recording in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. Male heterozygous R6/1 mice, Hdh(111Q111) KI mice and respective WT littermates were used for ex vivo extracellular recording. Hdh(111Q111) KI mice (10–12 weeks of age) received a single injection of saline (10 mg kg$^{-1}$ with glucose to control blood pressure; R6/1 mice received chronic tianeptine treatment (25 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p. daily) starting from 4 weeks of age until 12 weeks of age. As described previously, a hippocampal slice was transferred to a superfusion recording chamber with temperature controlled at 33.5 °C and continuously perfused with oxygenated artificial cerebral cerebrospinal fluid using a peristaltic pump (Ismatec, Switzerland). A teflon-coated tungsten bipolar stimulating electrode (Phymep, Paris, France) was positioned in stratum radiatum, allowing the afferent Schaffer collateral–commissural pathway from the CA3 area to the CA1 region to be stimulated. The field excitatory post-synaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded from stratum radiatum of CA1 area, using a glass electrode (3–5 MΩ) pulled from borosilicate glass tubing (Harvard Apparatus, USA: 1.5 mm O.D x 1.17 mm LD) and filled with ACSF. Pulses were delivered at 7.5 s by a stimulus isolator (Isoflex, AMPI, Jerusalem, Israel), with adjusting current intensity to obtain 30–40% of the maximum fEPSP. A theta-burst stimulation (TBS) protocol (4 pulses, respectively, delivered at 100 Hz, repeated 10 times, at an interval of 200 ms) was delivered by Clampex10.4 (Molecular Devices, USA) and the stimulus isolator to induce LTP. Recordings were made continually for ~60 min, following the TBS. Data were recorded with a Multiclamp700B (Axon Instruments, USA) and acquired with Clampex10.4. The slope of the fEPSP was measured using the clampfit10.4 software, with all values normalized to a 5 min baseline period; the values of potentiation during 50–60 min after TBS were reported in the figures. The percentages of potentiation during the last 10 min of each recordings were plotted in cumulative probability curves. We did not include recordings in which the fiber volley changed >30% from the baseline value or the global evolution. No difference in fiber volley time course was detected between the compared groups. The recording was performed blindly in terms of mice genotype and treatment.

Behavioral tests. Male R6/1 and WT littermate mice were used for behavioral tests. At 4 weeks of age, littermate mice with mixed genotypes were housed (3–5 per cage) in polycarbonate standard cages (33 x 15 x 14 cm$^3$) and randomly allocated to vehicle or drug treatment groups. Simple randomization method was used for allocating WT and R6/1 mice to vehicle or drug treatment groups. The sample size was chosen based on previous experience and publications. Mice received daily i.p. injection of 0.9% saline (vehicle) or tianeptine (10 mg kg$^{-1}$) dissolved in 0.9% saline until 12 weeks of age, when the animals were subjected to a battery of behavioral tests. On day 1, all mice were subjected to open field test; on day 2, spatial memory was assessed in Y-maze. Following a week of rest, on day 9, a subset of mice was further tested for contextual fear conditioning, which is performed lastly in order to minimize confounding factors. All behavioral testing was carried out in the light phase (light intensity: 45 µW cm$^{-2}$). Each animal was placed in the center of the arena and allowed to explore for 20 min. Images tracked from a camera above the maze were analyzed with Ethovision (version 9.1). The total distance traveled and the time spent moving were analyzed as readouts of locomotor activity. The apparatus was cleaned by ethanol 70% between mice.

Y-maze. Hippocampal-dependent spatial working memory was evaluated using Y-maze. The apparatus consisted of three identical gray plastic arms (42 x 42 x 15 cm$^3$) and spaced at 120° of each other. The maze was located in the middle of a room containing a variety of extramaze cues. A digital camera was mounted above the maze transmitting 20 mV H from a PC running the Ethovision system. Mice were assigned two arms (start and familiar arm) to which they were exposed during the first phase of the test (habituation phase). The remaining third arm blocked by a gray plastic door constituted the novel arm during the second phase (testing phase). Mice were placed at the end of the start arm and allowed to explore freely both the start arm and the other unblocked for 5 min before being removed from the maze and returned to the waiting cage. After 10 min in the waiting cage, the test phase began. During this phase, the door was removed and all three arms were unblocked; mice were placed at the end of the start arm and allowed to explore the entire maze for 2 min. During the habituation and testing phase, video timer was started once the mouse had left the start arm and entered the center, thus, two mice which did not leave start arm during test phase were excluded from the analysis. The apparatus was cleaned between the two phases in order to avoid olfactory cues. Time spent in the novel arm in comparison to time in all three arms was used as one readout for hippocampal-dependent spatial memory. Y-maze analysis was carried out blindly with respect to genotype or treatment.

Contextual fear conditioning. Contextual fear conditioning provides a measure of memory by assessing a memory for the association between mild foot shock and a salient environmental cue. In the fear conditioning test, freezing behavior is defined as the complete lack of movement, which is a characteristic fear response in rodents, providing a readout of hippocampal-dependent memory. Fear conditioning was performed in a conditioning chamber equipped with temperature controlled at 33.5 °C and continuously perfused with oxygenated artificial cerebral cerebrospinal fluid using a peristaltic pump (Ismatec, Switzerland). A teflon-coated tungsten bipolar stimulating electrode (Phymep, Paris, France) was positioned in stratum radiatum, allowing the afferent Schaffer collateral–commissural pathway from the CA3 area to the CA1 region to be stimulated.

Elevated plus maze (EPM). Male CAG 140 heterozygous KI mice received daily i. p. injection of saline or tianeptine at 10 mg kg$^{-1}$ at 12 weeks of age. No randomization methods were used for allocating WT and CAG 140 mice to vehicle or drug treatment groups. Behavioral tests were performed at 16 weeks of age unblinding. Each animal, over a week, was successively tested in the EPM and NSF, which represent different anxiety and depression behavior paradigms. Behavioral testing was performed during the light phase between days 1700 and 1900 hours. The EPM was performed as previously described. The maze is a plus-cross-shaped apparatus, with two open arms and two arms closed by walls linked by a central platform 50 cm above the floor. Mice were individually put in the center of the maze facing an open arm and were allowed to explore the maze during 5 min. The time spent in and the number of entries into the open arms were used as an anxiety index. Locomotion and spontaneous alternation was also measured to ensure any confounding effects. All parameters were measured using a videotracker (EPM3C, Bioseb, Vitrolles, France).

Novelty suppressed feeding (NSF). The NSF is a conflict test that elicits competing motivations: the drive to eat and the fear of venturing into the center of a brightly lit arena. The latency to begin to eat is used as an index of anxiety/ depression-like behavior, because classical anxiolytic drugs as well as chronic antidepressants decrease this measure. The NSF test was carried out during a 15-min period as previously described. Briefly, the testing apparatus consisted of a plastic box (50 x 50 x 20 cm$^3$), the floor of which was covered with approximately 2 cm of wooden bedding. Twenty-four hours prior to behavioral testing, all food was removed from the home cage. At the time of testing, a single pellet of food (10 mg) was placed on a white paper platform positioned in the center of the box. Each animal was placed in a corner of the box, and a stopwatch was immediately started. The latency to eat (defined as the mouse sitting on its haunches and biting the pellet with the use of forepaws) was timed. Immediately afterwards, the total duration of the test ended and the amount of food consumed by the mouse in the subsequent 5 min was measured, serving as a control for the measurement of appetitive as a possible confounding factor.

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 7.04 (GraphPad, USA). D’Agostino & Pearson normality test and Shapiro–Wilk normality test were used to test normal distribution. For two-group comparison, F test was used to test variance within each group, for multiple group comparison, Brown–Forsythe test and Bartlett’s test were used. Datasets, which were not normally distributed or with unequal population variances, were transformed by converting the values to their logarithms or square roots to fit Gaussian distribution or to equalize the standard variance within each group; for multiple group comparison, Brown–Forsythe test was used to test variance. For two-group comparison, F test was used to test variance within each group, for multiple group comparison, Brown–Forsythe test and Bartlett’s test were used. Datasets, which were not normally distributed or with unequal population variances, were transformed by converting the values to their logarithms or square roots to fit Gaussian distribution or to equalize the standard variance within each group; for multiple group comparison, Brown–Forsythe test was used to test variance.
deviations. Data values were shown as mean ± s.e.m. for normally distributed data; median ± 95% confidence interval (95% c.i.) or median ± interquartile range for non-normally distributed data. Normally distributed datasets with equal population variances were compared using paired or unpaired Student’s t test (for two-group, one-factor comparison), one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (for multi-group, one-factor comparison), or two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (for multi-group, two-factor comparison). Non-normally distributed datasets were tested by non-parametric Mann–Whitney test (for two-group, one-factor comparison) or Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (for multi-group, one-factor comparison). Cumulative probability curves were compared using Mann–Whitney/Wilcoxon’s test. Statistical significance was indicated by P values: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.
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