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Abstract
There is evidence that nerve flaps are superior to nerve grafts for bridging long nerve defects. Moreover, arterialized neurovenous flaps (ANVF) have multiple potential advantages over traditional nerve flaps in this context. This paper describes a case of reconstruction of a long defect of the ulnar artery and nerve with an arterialized neurovenous free flap and presents a literature review on this subject. A 16-year-old boy sustained a stab wound injury to the medial aspect of the distal third of his right forearm. The patient was initially observed and treated at another institution where the patient was diagnosed with a flexor carpi ulnaris muscle and an ulnar artery section. The artery was ligated and the muscle was sutured. Four months later, the patient was referred to our institution with complaints of ulnar nerve damage, as well as hand pain and cold intolerance. Physical examination and ancillary tests supported the diagnosis of ulnar artery and nerve complete section. Surgery revealed an 8 cm hiatus of the ulnar artery and a 5 cm defect of the ulnar nerve. These gaps were bridged with a flow through ANVF containing the sural nerve and the lesser saphenous vein. The postoperative course was uneventful. Two years postoperatively, the patient had regained normal trophism and M5 strength in all previously paralyzed muscles according to the Medical Research Council Scale. Thermography revealed good perfusion in the right ulnar angiosome. The ANVF may be an expedite, safe and efficient option to reconstruct a long ulnar nerve and artery defect.

Abbreviations: ANVF, arterialized neurovenous flap; CNF, conventional nerve flap.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Vascular and nerve injuries to the upper limb are relatively frequent (Jabaley, 2006; Rosberg et al., 2005; Rosberg, 2004; Slutzky, 2006; Trehan, Model, & Lee, 2016). However, functional results after peripheral nerve repair are far from perfect, especially for late repairs or in cases of long nerve defects (Dahlin, 2006; Slutzky, 2006; Trehan et al., 2016). This, in turn, often results in permanent and significant social and economic devaluation of those affected (Broback et al., 1978; Dahlin, 2006; Rosberg, 2004; Rosberg et al., 2005).

There is mounting experimental and clinical evidence that nerve flaps are superior to nerve grafts for bridging long nerve defects (Trehan et al., 2016; Wood, Johnson, & Myckatyn, 2015). In fact, nerve flaps, having a blood supply of their own since the moment of nerve transfer, are less prone to central necrosis, fibrosis, and histological disorganization compared to nerve grafts, which depend initially on diffusion and subsequently on neovascularization for survival (Desouches et al., 2005; Sinis et al., 2009; Taylor & Pan, 2014; Terzis, Skoulis, & Soucacos, 1995; Trehan et al., 2016).

Most literature refers to “conventional nerve flaps” (CNFs), that is to say to nerve segments pedicled on a given arterial and venous pedicle. However, CNFs entail laborious dissections, and sometimes cannot be raised due to local anatomical constraints (Hong & Taylor, 2006). To circumvent these limitations, in 1984, Townsend and Taylor (1984) suggested a new way of transferring nerve segments pedicled exclusively on their accompanying veins. In these nerve flaps, at least one of the veins was connected to a recipient site’s artery, whereas at least one of the other veins drained the flap’s venous blood. These flaps were named “arterialized neurovenous flaps” (ANVFs) (Townsend & Taylor, 1984). However, since then, ANVFs have been reported clinically only a few times in case reports or small case series (Casal et al., 2016).

In this paper, the authors describe a case report in which deferred reconstruction of a composite long arterial and nervous defect was performed with an ANVF in a teenage boy with an excellent functional outcome. Furthermore, the authors conducted a literature review on the use of ANVFs employed in the reconstruction of similar defects.

2 | CASE REPORT

A 16-year-old right-handed Portuguese teenage boy sustained a broken glass injury to the medial aspect of the distal third of his right forearm when the patient was inadvertently pushed against a window at school. The patient was initially observed and treated at another institution where the patient was diagnosed with a flexor carpi ulnaris muscle and an ulnar artery section. The artery was ligated and the muscle was sutured with horizontal 3/0 Vicryl® mattress sutures.

Four months later, the patient was referred to our institution for observation in the Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery outpatient clinic. The patient complained of hypoesthesia and paresthesia in the territory of the right ulnar nerve. Moreover, the patient referred exertional pain, as well as cold intolerance in the affected hand. Physical examination, revealed an ulnar claw, with paralysis and wasting of the intrinsic hand muscles dependent on the ulnar nerve (Figure 1). Allen’s test revealed a poorly perfused hand when pressing the radial artery at wrist level. Electroneuromyography was consistent with chronic ulnar neurotmesis at the distal forearm.

Surgical exploration of the lesion under tourniquet control, revealed interruption of the ulnar nerve and artery (Figure 2A). After debriding the fibrous tissue and removing the proximal stump’s neuroma using surgical magnifying loops, there was an 8-cm hiatus of the ulnar artery and a 5-cm defect of the ulnar nerve (Figure 2A).

These gaps were bridged with a flow through ANVF raised from the left lower leg (Figure 2B). This flap was composed of the sural nerve and of the lesser saphenous vein (Figure 2C). The flap comprised two branches of the sural nerve that were used to reconstruct the
ulnar nerve according to its internal topographical anatomy at the distal forearm level (Figures 2D and 3). It was assumed that the motor component is medially placed whereas the sensory component is in the lateral aspect of the nerve (Davidge & Boyd, 2015; Wood et al., 2015). The ulnar artery hiatus was reconstructed with an inverted segment of the lesser saphenous vein included in the flap. Hence, blood flow in the ANVF was orthodromic. Vascular and neural anastomoses were performed with interrupted 9/0 Nylon stitches under the operating microscope.

In the flap’s donor zone, the proximal stump of the sural nerve was stitched with a 6/0 Nylon suture to the belly of the lateral gastrocnemius muscle after creating a small window in the muscle fascia. The surgical wounds were closed in anatomical layers. The surgery’s duration was 242 min.

After surgery, the patient’s wrist was splinted for 15 days to prevent maximal extension and thus excessive tension on the vascular and nerve repairs. The patient was allowed to ambulate and freely use the patient’s fingers immediately after surgery. The patient was discharged home 3 days after surgery. Postoperatively, the patient underwent an intensive physiotherapy program for one year. The patient was followed regularly at the outpatient clinic for 2 years. Five months after surgery, Tinel’s sign could be observed at the wrist level. Intrinsic muscles innervated by the ulnar nerve started to show voluntary contraction at 8 months postoperatively. The patient referred gain of sensibility in the ulnar aspect of his hand 6 months after the surgical procedure. At the last follow up visit, the patient had regained normal trophism and M5 strength in all previously paralyzed muscles according to the Medical Research Council Scale, i.e., muscle strength was no different from that observed in the opposite side (Figure 4A,C,D). Furthermore, according to this scale, his sensory recovery was S3 in the territory of the ulnar nerve, i.e., return of superficial cutaneous pain and tactile sensibility without over-response (Wang, Sunitha, & Chung, 2013). Two years after the last surgery, two-point discrimination in the hypothenar region was 5 and 7 mm in the palmar aspect of the fifth finger. At this time, the patient presented a relatively inconspicuous scar in the donor zone, as well as absence of limb edema (Figure 4E). Since the last surgery, the patient denied either cold intolerance or exertional fatigue in the affected hand. Two years after this surgery, thermographic examination of the upper limbs was performed with a FLIR® E6 camera placed 25 cm above the hands (Sheena, Jennison, Hardwicke, & Titley, 2013). This exam revealed a symmetrical pattern with good perfusion throughout, including in the territory of the right ulnar angiosome (Figure 4A).

Two years after the last surgery, electroneuromyography confirmed reinnervation in the territory of the ulnar nerve.

3 | DISCUSSION

Oddly, although potentially advantageous, the reconstruction of nerve defects using ANVFs is rarely mentioned in the literature (Table 1) (Casal et al., 2016). Townsend and Taylor (1984) pioneered this field in 1984 with a seminal paper describing 13 lower limb cadaveric dissections, an histological study in the greyhound dog comparing axonal elongation in nerve grafts and ANVFs, and seven clinical cases. In this series, five combined nerve and arterial defects of the upper limb and two facial nerve lesions were successfully reconstructed using ANVFs.
The next year, Gu et al. described 14 clinical cases in which upper limb nerve defects over 10 cm in length associated with vascular injuries were reconstructed using ANVFs (Gu, Wu, Zheng, Li, & Xu, 1985). Most of these patients presented good results, although there were two vascular thrombosis of the ANVFs and there were two cases of absence of neurological recovery in patients with longstanding lesions (Gu et al., 1985). Since 1989, there were multiple papers describing the simultaneous reconstruction of nerve and skin defects using ANVFs associated with a skin paddle (Casal et al., 2016; Gu, Zhang, Chen, Yan, & Cheng, 1989; Hussmann, Bahr, Steinau, & Vaubel, 1996; Rose, 1989). In that same year, Rose et al. presented a series of 14 ANVFs fabricated from the medial fibular nerve and from the dorsalis pedis venae comitantes that were effectively used to bridge digital nerve defects associated with significant local fibrosis (Rose, Kowalski, & Norris, 1989).

Since then, multiple papers have been published describing the use of ANVFs in virtually all anatomical regions. The largest of these series describe the use of several ANVFs to simultaneous reconstruct composite vascular and nerve defects of the upper limb, either occurring proximally at the arm level, or distally at the finger level (Hussmann, Bahr, Russell, Steinau, & Vaubel, 2003; Woo et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2012). Multiple variations in the composition of ANVFs were introduced, including tendon (Karakal & Ozcan, 1994; Woo et al., 2007), deep fascia (Li et al., 2014), bone (Hussmann et al., 1996; Patradul, Ngarmukos, Parkpian, & Kitidumrongsook, 1999), and/or the nail complex (Patradul et al., 1999). However, only a few authors have reported the use of ANVFs similar to that described in this paper for the reconstruction of arterial and nerve defects at the forearm level (Bullocks, Naik, Lee, & Hollier, 2006; Casal et al., 2016; Townsend & Taylor, 1984; Woo et al., 2007). Moreover, all these reconstructions were performed in adults. Consequently, as far as the authors could determine, this is the first report of an ANVF being used to reconstruct a composite long nerve and arterial defect in a pediatric patient. One reason to justify this may be that extensive vascular and nerve damage is increasingly rare in children and teenagers in most countries (Ciaramitaro et al., 2010; Lad, Nathan, Schubert, & Boakye, 2010). Moreover, these lesions are frequently associated with damage to other structures, namely the integumentary system, mandating reconstruction of concomitant tissue injuries with flaps containing muscle and/or skin paddles. Finally, having an incompletely understood physiology, ANVFs are often not the first reconstructive option for most surgeons (Casal et al., 2016; Trehan et al., 2016).

**FIGURE 3** Schematic representation of the composition and vascular architecture of the lesser saphenous/sural neurovenous flap used to bridge the long arterial and nerve defect. The arrows indicate the direction of blood flow. 1, Proximal segment of the ulnar artery; 2, Distal segment of the ulnar artery; 3, Lesser saphenous vein in an inverted position used to bridge the vascular gap; 4, Proximal stump of the ulnar nerve; 5, Distal stump of the ulnar nerve; 6, Sural nerve cables used for the somatotopic reconstruction of the ulnar nerve.

**FIGURE 4** Appearance of the recipient and donor zones 2 years after surgery. A, Anterior view of the distal aspect of the upper limbs showed no evidence of atrophy of hand muscles. B, Infrared thermography of the anterior aspect of the forearms and hands showed good perfusion of the ulnar aspect of the right hand. C, Posterior view of the forearms and hands showed absence of ulnar claw in the right hand, as well as good finger abduction. D, Posterior view of the hands demonstrated adequate finger adduction. E, Posterior view of the lower legs and feet showed a relatively inconspicuous scar in the donor zone (arrow), as well as absence of limb edema.
**TABLE 1** Summary of the studies reporting unconventional perfusion flaps including nerves for reconstructive purposes

| Author          | Year | n  | Mean | Min–max | M:F | Defect location | Flap origin | Flap composition | Outcomes                                                                 | Complications |
|-----------------|------|----|------|---------|-----|-----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Townsend and Taylor | 1984 | 7  | 33.2 | 20–54   | 4:3 | HN; F; HF       | Tu; B; Tr   | L                | Five combined nerve and arterial defects of the upper limb and 2 facial nerve lesions were reconstructed with good results | 0             |
| Gu              | 1985 | 14 | 30.8 | 20–54   | 10:4| F               | Tr          | L                | Fourteen clinical cases of upper limb nerve defects over 10 cm in length associated with vascular injuries were successfully reconstructed; 12 patients presented significant neurological recovery | 14.2% vascular anastomosis thrombosis |
| Gu              | 1989 | 4  | 29.8 | 17–54   | 3:1 | F; L; HF        | SC          | F; L             | Skin and nerve hand defects were reconstructed with success in 3 out of 4 cases | 25% FTN       |
| Rose            | 1989 | 1  | 38   | 38      | 1:0 | HF              | SC          | n/a              | Skin and nerve digital defects were reconstructed with success in one patient | 0             |
| Rose            | 1989 | 14 | 29   | 18–55   | 9:1 | HF              | Tr          | Ft               | Fourteen digital nerve defects in poorly vascularized tissues were reconstructed with good results in 10 patients | 0             |
| Karacalar       | 1994 | 13 | 23.9 | 12–35   | 11:2| HF              | n/a         | F                | Three skin and sensory digital defects were successfully reconstructed with innervated AVFs | 15.4% FTN    |
| Hussman         | 1996 | 69 | 47   | n/a     | n/a | HN; F; L; HF    | B; CM; Tr; Tu; F; L; Ft | S; stnb; sc | Multiple cases involving integumentary and nerve defects were successfully reconstructed with AVFs | 18.8% FTN    |
| Woo             | 1996 | 12 | 36.2 | 18–59   | 11:1| HF              | B; Tr; SC   | F; L             | Nine cases of complex hand defects were successfully reconstructed in 9 patients with AVFs | 25% FTN       |
| Kayikcioglu     | 1998 | 8  | 28.4 | 19–41   | 8:0 | HF              | Tr          | HF               | Seven out of eight digital pulp defects were successfully reconstructed including two cases of simultaneous skin and | 12.5% FTN    |

(Continues)
TABLE 1  (Continued)

| Author | Year | n   | Mean | Min–max | M:F | Defect location(s) | Defect origin | Flap(s) donor site(s) | Flap composition | Outcomes                                                                 | Complications |
|--------|------|-----|------|---------|-----|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Patradul | 1999 | 10  | 25.3 | 6–47    | 4:5 | HF                 | Tr            | Ft                   | S; stnb           | Successful distal finger reconstruction, including the nail complex, in 9 out of 10 patients. There was a case of simultaneous skin, tendon, bone and nerve reconstruction | 10% FTN       |
| Takeuch | 2000 | 2   | 23.5 | 21–26   | 2:0 | HF                 | Tr            | Ft                   | Sne              | Two innervated AVFs from the dorsum of the foot were successfully used to provide a sensate covering of degloved fingers in two patients. Nearly full range of motion of the fingers was obtained | 0             |
| Murata  | 2001 | 7   | 39   | 20–57   | 6:0 | HF                 | Tr            | HF                   | S; sne            | Seven venous flaps from the dorsum of the hand, including 3 sensate flaps, were successfully used to reconstruct digits | 14.2% SpN    |
| Hussmann| 2003 | 70  | 47.4 | 7–78    | n/a | HN; F; L; HF       | Tu; B; Tr; CM | F; L; Ft             | S; stnb; sc       | Multiple cases involving integumentary and nerve defects were successfully reconstructed with AVFs | 18.6% FTN    |
| Nakazawa| 2004 | 4   | 41   | 20–71   | n/a | L                  | CM            | L                    | S; sne            | Four cases of extensive contractures of the palm were successful reconstructed using large AVFs, including a sensate flap | 0             |
| Woo    | 2007 | 154 | 35.7 | 16–65   | 112:40 | HF            | B; Tr         | F; L; Ft; HF         | S; st; sne | 154 cases of AVFs were used successfully in 92.9% of cases to reconstruct upper limb defects, including 8 sensate flaps. Innervated AVFs allowed an average static two-point discrimination of 10 mm, ranging from 8 to 15 mm | 7.1% FTN     |
| Davami | 2012 | 18  | 30.6 | 15–40   | 18:0 | HF                 | Tr            | HF                   | Sne              | Sensate AVFs were used successfully in 18 patients to reconstruct the dorsum of the fingers | 5.6% SpN     |

(Continues)
**TABLE 1** (Continued)

| Author | Year | n | Age (years) | Flap(s) donor site(s) | Flap composition | Outcomes | Complications |
|--------|------|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| Yan    | 2012 | 27| n/a - n/a   | HF                   | S, sne           | Twenty-seven AVFs were successfully used in the reconstruction of finger pulp defects in 23 patients, including 15 sensate flaps and 12 insensate flaps. Almost all the flaps in the sensate group obtained normal sensation, while most cases of the insensate group only achieved protective sensation. | 0 |
| Yu     | 2012 | 6 | 24.5 - n/a  | HF; Ft               | S, sne           | Five skin defects of the hands, and one defect of the dorsum of the foot were successfully reconstructed with AVFs, including a sensate flap | 0 |
| Giesen | 2014 | 14| 37.1 - 58   | HF                   | S, st; sne       | Fourteen defects of the hand were reconstructed with AVFs including 5 innervated flaps; one of the latter suffered complete necrosis | 14.2% FTN; 7.1% AR |
| Liu    | 2014 | 11| 31 - 44     | HF                   | Sne              | Eleven innervated AVFs were used to successfully reconstruct digital defects. In 4 cases, AVFs vascular pedicle was used to effectively revascularize fingers | 0 |

* n, number of patients in each series; M, male; F, female; AVF, arterialized venous flap.  
* **Defect location and flap donor site:** F, forearm; L, leg; Ft, foot; HN, head and neck; HF, hand and fingers; T, thigh.  
* **Defect origin:** B, burn and its sequelae; I, infection; CM, congenital malformation; SC, scar contracture; Tr, trauma; Tu, tumor; O, others.  
* **Flap composition:** nv, nerve and vein; s, skin with its appendages and subcutaneous tissue; sb, skin and bone; sc, skin and cartilage; sne, skin and nerve; st, skin and tendon; stnb, skin, tendon, nerve and bone.  
* **Complications:** AR, anastomosis revision; FTN, full thickness necrosis; I, infection; MN, marginal necrosis; SpN, superficial necrosis.
Comparatively to CNFs, ANVFs, as the one used in this patient, have the significant merit of being easy to raise and tailor due to the constant proximity of superficial veins to superficial nerves (Taylor & Pan, 2014; Trehan et al., 2016). Furthermore, the architecture of the ANVF used in this case, also allowed the simultaneous reconstruction of the ulnar artery and nerve (Figure 3). The inclusion of two terminal branches of the sural nerve made possible to reconstruct the ulnar nerve in a somatotopic fashion. It is well established that in the distal aspect of the forearm, the ulnar nerve is composed of a motor branch centrally located between the ulnarly-placed dorsal cutaneous branch and the radially-placed palmar sensory component (Davidge & Boyd, 2015). This topographical nerve reconstruction may have played a significant role in the full recovery presented by the patient. This is stark contrast with the poor results generally observed with ulnar nerve reconstruction even in the distal portion of the upper limb (Barrios, Amillo, de Pablos, & Canadell, 1990; Meek, Coert, & Robinson, 2005; Taylor & Pan, 2014; Trehan et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the authors must concede that one of the factors responsible for the good functional outcome was the young age of the patient (Trehan et al., 2016).

The patient presented a positive Tinel’s sign at the wrist level five months after surgery. Roughly, this corresponded to an average axonal growth of 1.3 mm/day (i.e., the fastest axons elongated around 200 mm in approximately 150 days). This value is similar to that generally reported in ideal conditions with nerve grafts and conventional nerve flaps at the patient’s age (Boyd & Fox, 2015; Sulaiman & Gordon, 2013; Wilbourn, 2015). In fact, it has been estimated that in optimal repair conditions axonal growth can occur at a speed of 1–3 mm per day (Boyd & Fox, 2015; Sulaiman & Gordon, 2013; Wilbourn, 2015).

Similarly to what has been described by other authors, no significant donor site morbidity was observed in this patient.

CNFs are generally considered superior to nerve grafts for reconstructing long and thick nerve defects, particularly in regions of relative ischemia, such as during radiotherapy, intense fibrosis subsequent to extensive trauma or in the particular case of prior deep burns (D’Arpa et al., 2015; Taylor & Pan, 2014; Trehan et al., 2016; Wood et al., 2015). However, the utility of ANVFs in similar situations is still based on scarce experimental data, anecdotal case reports and small case series. The good results obtained in this case report lend support to the use of ANVFs for reconstructing long nerve defects in teenagers. Notwithstanding, further experimental and clinical studies are warranted to confirm or dismiss these findings.

Overall, this case report suggests that the arterialized sural nerve/lesser saphenous neurovenous flap may be an expedite, safe, and efficient option to reconstruct a long ulnar nerve and artery defect in the forearm of teenagers.
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