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Demand for innovative healthy snacks that achieve consumer satisfaction represents increased interest for competitive food producers. The aim of this work was the assessment of physicochemical and sensory quality of barley-soybean chips involving legume protein flours by studying the effects of different substitution levels (10, 20, and 30%) of defatted soybean (DSB) flour, frying temperatures (150, 170, and 190°C), and frying times (60, 90, and 120 sec). The chips’ moisture content was significantly decreased with increased frying temperature and time. The moisture content (1.40%) was achieved at 10% DSB fried at 190°C for 120 sec. The least absorbed oil (29.25%) was achieved at the least substitution percentage (10% DSB), the least frying temperature (150°C), and the least frying time (60 sec). These results were reflected on sensorial parameters that revealed that the most preferred chips were barley-soybean chips with 10% DSB fried at 150 and 170°C.

1.Introduction

Snacking is the intake of foodstuff between main meals and/or consuming “snack foods” typically identified as energy-dense and nutrient-poor [1]. Healthy snacking became recommended for weight gain control, increased mental ability, and boosting energy. Awareness about the benefits of healthy snacks can be effective strategies for promoting healthy snack consumption and helping consumers adhere to healthful diets. Blending cereals and legumes enhance diets by increasing protein and carbohydrate contents. Regular intake of these biofunctional flours is an attractive option for people who are looking for healthier options [2–4].

Barley (Hordeum vulgare) took an important role in human nutrition and health due to its nutritional characteristics and adaptability to different environmental growing conditions [5, 6]. Barley contains high carbohydrate, moderate protein, and significant content of phosphorus and potassium [7]. Additionally, barley seeds contain rich amounts of dietary fiber, especially β-glucan, which has useful health influences, e.g., lowering cholesterol, reducing the inflammatory response, and boosting the immune system [8]. Soybean (Glycine max) is a protein-rich oilseed widely employed in the food industry. The global soy food market is expected to reach the value of 53.1 billion US$ by 2024 [9]. Soybean is supplied as a source of high-quality protein, low saturated fat content, and high in vitamins C
and B and a good source of calcium and potassium and provides many health benefits (lowering of the blood cholesterol level, increasing of bone density, and minimization of the risk of cancer development) [9]. Additionally, defatting improves protein interaction, organoleptic qualities, and acid hydrolysis of phenolic compounds [10–12].

Frying is one of the oldest processing and widely used technologies for many starch-based foods targeting crisp texture, aromatic flavor, and golden-brown color [13]. Frying is a complex process involving simultaneous heat, mass, and momentum transfers accompanied with a series of physical and chemical reactions [14]. During the frying process, heat is transferred from oil to fried foods leading to physical reactions (water evaporation and oil uptake) and chemical interactions within legume components (starch, reducing sugars, amino acids, and water) leading to structural changes [15]. The sensory quality represented in texture and flavor is a significant attribute which is always issued in the manufacturing of fried foods as it is related to processing conditions and variables, such as frying time, frying temperature, and moisture content [16].

The aim of this work was the assessment of physicochemical and sensory quality of barley-soybean chips targeting healthier snacks involving legume protein flours with enhanced properties. For achieving this aim, the impact of different substitution levels (10, 20, and 30%) of defatted soybean (DSB) flour, frying temperatures (150, 170, and 190°C), and frying times (60, 90, and 120 sec) on physicochemical characteristics (moisture, oil absorption, amylose, and amylopectin content), texture profile analysis (TPA), and sensorial acceptability of barley-soybean chips was studied.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Organic unhulled barley flour was obtained from Al-Hajraciyah Organic Farm, Saudi Organic Farming Association (SOFA), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, while organic soybeans were obtained from Nature Oasis, origin: China, packed in Saudi Arabia.

2.2. Preparation of Defatted Soybean Flour. Clean soybean seeds were cracked in a rice sheller (6NF-9 (NF-400), China) and winnowed for hull removing. Cracked hulled soybeans were then milled in a hammer mill (Christy and Norris Ltd., England) with a speed of 8,000 rpm. Soybean flour was then defatted as described by Meyer [17], using hexane in a Soxhlet extractor for 6 h/50–55°C, dried at 40°C ± 5/24 h, and kept in polyethylene bags at −4°C.

2.3. Preparation of Barley-Soybean Chips. Barley-soybean chips were prepared according to Prakash et al. [18]. Based on preliminary sensory evaluation experiments, the production process was based on three treatments of barley substitution percentage with defatted soybean (DSB) flour (10, 20, and 30%) as a high-protein source, three treatments of frying temperature (150, 170, and 190°C), and three treatments of frying time (60, 90, and 120 sec) which make 27 treatment combinations which were examined. Masa, the dough used for making chips, was prepared by mixing barley/soybean flour with distilled water (41°C) (1000 mL/1 kg of dry flour) in a pilot-scale mixer at low speed for 5 min. The masa was allowed to rest in polyethylene bags for 10 min. The masa was then sheeted through model S-18-BNO 4458, Moline Machinery, Ltd., Duluth, MN, which was set at the lowest thickness (fourth lowest position: 0.2 cm). The masa was then rapidly cut into 5 cm side squares with a blade cutter, and each square was then cut diagonally with a pizza cutter.

2.4. Frying Process. The frying process was accomplished in a thermostatically temperature-controlled fryer (Crown Co., XB5356 model, China) containing 1.5 L frying maize oil. The fresh oil was preheated to frying temperature for 30 min before the barley-soybean chips were fried. Samples were immersed into the frying oil basket at the desired temperature (150, 170, or 190°C) for the desired frying time (60, 90, and 120 sec). The samples were then immediately removed from oil, blotted with a tissue paper to remove excess oil on the surface, and allowed to cool at room temperature (20°C ± 2) before analyses.

2.5. Quality Characteristics of Barley-Soybean Chips. The effect of substitution percentages, frying temperatures, and frying times on main physicochemical quality characteristics of fresh barley-soybean chips was studied.

2.5.1. Chemical Characteristics Affecting Chips’ Quality. The moisture content of the fried chips was determined by drying preweighed samples (2.0 g) in a hot air oven (130°C ± 1/h), and the moisture content in percentage was calculated from loss of weight (AACC 44-15.02) [19]. Fat content (for oil absorption determination) was estimated by extracting the sample with petroleum ether, and percent fat was calculated according to AOAC 990.19 [20]. The amylose and amylopectin contents were determined by the method prescribed by Hoover and Ratnayake [21].

2.5.2. Texture Profile Analysis of Barley-Soybean Chips. Instrumental texture measurements were conducted at room temperature (20°C ± 2) using Texture Analyzer (CNS Farnell Com, UK). Immediately after deep-fat frying and cooling, uniaxial compression test, by using a 35 mm-diameter plastic cylinder probe, was conducted on the barley-soybean chips after they were mounted over the platform. Samples were compressed to 30% strain at a constant speed of 25 mm/min [22]. The texture of the barley-soybean chips was expressed as fracture force (highest peak followed by a hardness peak compression force (N) at target deformation), apparent modulus of elasticity or initial target modulus (sample rigidity that is the linear part of the force-deformation curve (g/sec)), adhesiveness (negative force area during withdrawal (g/sec)), and compressive energy (area under the curve for the compression that is the work (g/sec) required to attain deformation indicative of internal strength of bonds within the product) [23].
2.5.3. Organoleptic Properties of Barley-Soybean Chips. Fresh barley-soybean chips' samples were examined for sensory evaluation parameters, flavor, color, crispiness, mouthfeel, and overall acceptability, by twenty panelists (14 men and 6 women) in Nutrition and Food Science Department, Faculty of Science, Taif University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, under the supervision and agreement of the Institutional Committee of Taif University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia [24]. The evaluation was done under normal white fluorescent lighting at a temperature of 20°C ± 1. Rating of samples was carried out using a nine-point scale where 1 = nonexistent, imperceptible characteristic, and 9 = too intense. Throughout panel sessions, panelists were instructed to rinse their mouths with water before testing each sample.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) and were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 software program. Results were analyzed by multiple comparisons one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Duncan’s test where probability p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Pearson’s correlation coefficient analyses (Pearson’s r) were performed to determine the relationships between parameters where +1 indicates a perfect positive relationship, −1 indicates a perfect negative relationship, 1–0.7 indicates a strong relationship, 0.7–0.3 indicates a moderate relationship, 0.3–0 indicates a weak relationship, and 0 indicates no linear relationship [25].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Quality Parameters of Barley-Soybean Chips

3.1.1. Moisture Content and Oil Absorption. Moisture content is one of the most critical parameters as it affects the crisp texture that is a unique property of extruded snacks. Effects of frying temperature and frying time on the moisture content of different barley-soybean chips’ blends are illustrated in Table 1. The moisture baseline of the masa was 3.61 ± 0.75%, while, after frying, it ranged from the highest of 2.2% in 10% DSB fried at 150°C/60 sec to the least of 1.4% in the same substitution percentage fried at 190°C/120 sec. This result reflects the significant effect of temperature and time of chips’ properties. Obtained results agreed with those of Yadav et al. [26]. The differences in the moisture content of barley-soybean chips for the treatments can be attributed to a moderate negative correlation (r = −0.68, −0.66, and −0.63) between the frying temperature and frying time. An inverse relationship was detected when moisture contents of barley-soybean chips showed a significant decrease along with increasing frying temperature from 150°C to 190°C and frying time from 60 to 120 min. On the contrary, higher moisture contents lead to hard and chewy in chips. These findings are in agreement with those of Cruz et al. [15], who reported that when the final moisture content is less than 2%, it helps to obtain a crisp texture. Elevation of frying temperature and frying time engages the alteration of the moisture content in the chips, while nixtamalization (the process of cooking) results in a higher quality of cereal chips [15]. These effects were in consistency with decreased adhesiveness announced in texture profile analyses (Figure 1) and the sensory evaluation results that showed enhanced crispness of chips along with increased frying time.

Table 1 shows the effects of frying temperature and frying time on oil absorption of different barley-soybean chips' blends. The oil absorption showed an elevated pattern along with increased frying time, temperature, or DSB substitution percentage. The values of the oil absorption of barley-soybean chips with different treatments can be attributed to a moderate positive correlation (r = 0.44, 0.45, and 0.47) between the frying temperature and frying time. Elevation in frying temperature from 150 to 190°C for frying time 60, 90, and 120 sec of 10% DSB barley-soybean chips showed significant increase in oil absorption from 29.25, 29.50, and 29.75% to 30.50, 30.80, and 31.10%, respectively. Same patterns were observed in 20 and 30% DSB barley-soybean chips. On the contrary, increasing DSB flour substitution percentage significantly increased the chips’ moisture content and oil absorption. The ability to absorb oil of soybean flour may be due to a high protein content and particle size that may have contributed to the uptake of excess frying oil [9]. Oil absorption capacity increasing with increased soybean flour percentage in blends was reported by Oladeji Alamu et al. [10]. Oil enters chips through the water replacement mechanism, which involves changes in cellular structures and the formation of pores during frying, to fill some of the spaces created by induced dehydration [27].
temperature and frying time. The significant decrease in the amylopectin content along with increased frying temperature, frying time, and DSB substitution percentage was previously reported by several authors due to heat stress [29–31]. The amylose-to-amylopectin ratio is one of the most important factors affecting the physicochemical and sensorial properties, processing performance, and functionality of starch [13]. Amylopectin is a glucose polymer in a large number of short-chain branches organized in semicrystalline granules [32]. This may introduce an explanation of the decreased scores of mouthfeel of barley-soybean chips in sensory evaluation results illustrated in Figure 2, along with the declined amylopectin content.

### 3.2. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

The effect of treatments on texture profile analysis (TPA) of barley-soybean chips is presented in Figures 1(a)–1(d). Obtained results indicated a significant variation in all texture indices among different blends of barley-soybean chips. The hardness of barley-soybean chips (Figure 1(a)) was significantly increased by increasing the substitution percentage of DSB flour, whilst frying time and frying temperature showed an adverse effect on chips’ hardness. The highest hardness value (12.57 N) was recorded for barley-soybean chips prepared with 30% DSB flour at 150°C fried for 60 sec followed by chips prepared with 20% DSB flour at 150°C for 60 sec (11.72 N) and then barley-soybean chips prepared with 10% DSB flour (10.82 N) at 150°C for 60 sec. An opposite pattern was observed for texture profile parameters elasticity and adhesiveness, while compression energy did not show any significant changes between different DSB substitution percentages in Figures 1(b)–1(d)). Modulus of elasticity is connected to the firmness of the sample. These results may be due to the intensive water evaporation during deep frying that promotes the expansion of the food matrix and allows oil absorption [32]. During the frying process, heat is transferred from oil to fried foods accompanied by mass transfer (water evaporation and oil uptake). Apart from heat, mass, and momentum transfers, chemical constituents such as starch, reducing sugars, amino acids, and water within the plant tissue react with each other during frying, and physical reactions occur accompanied by structural changes that consequently increase hardness [14]. Additionally, less force and work values were due to the foamy structure created by soybean flour in fried products along with the increasing level of DSB substitution. As the hardness increases, the elasticity and adhesiveness decrease for more crispness feeling which was observed in sensory evaluation (Figures 2–4).

Frying time and frying temperature were inversely proportional with assessed texture parameter values. The elasticity of barley-soybean chips ranged from 124.8 to 940.0 g/sec at 10.0% DSB and 110.4 to 775.0 g/sec at 20.0% DSB flour to reach 85.4 to 709.9 g/sec in 30% DSB products. Adhesiveness indicates the quantity of work required for controlling the attractive power among the surface of the product and the material with which in contact [33]. The least adhesiveness was observed in 30% DSB flour fried at 170 and 190°C for 90 and 120 sec. Frying enhances the interactions between oil and fried food leading to increased oil absorption (Table 1). Surface-active agents can increase

### Table 1: Effects of frying temperature and frying time on the moisture content and oil absorption of barley-soybean chips.

| DSB substitution (%) | Frying temperature (°C) | 60 | 90 | 120 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----|----|-----|
| **Moisture content** |                         |    |    |     |
| 10                   | 150                     | 2.20 ± 0.24<sup>ab</sup> | 2.10 ± 0.18<sup>b</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.15<sup>b</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 1.90 ± 0.21<sup>c</sup>  | 1.80 ± 0.14<sup>c</sup> | 1.50 ± 0.14<sup>d</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 2.30 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup>  | 2.20 ± 0.19<sup>ab</sup> | 2.10 ± 0.21<sup>b</sup> |
| 20                   | 170                     | 2.00 ± 0.18<sup>b</sup>  | 1.90 ± 0.15<sup>d</sup> | 1.80 ± 0.17<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 1.90 ± 0.16<sup>c</sup>  | 1.70 ± 0.12<sup>cd</sup> | 1.60 ± 0.13<sup>d</sup> |
|                      | 20                      | 1.90 ± 0.16<sup>c</sup>  | 1.70 ± 0.12<sup>cd</sup> | 1.60 ± 0.13<sup>d</sup> |
|                      | 150                     | 2.50 ± 0.21<sup>a</sup>  | 2.40 ± 0.21<sup>a</sup>  | 2.30 ± 0.26<sup>a</sup>  |
|                      | 170                     | 2.30 ± 0.24<sup>a</sup>  | 2.25 ± 0.24<sup>ab</sup> | 2.15 ± 0.26<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 2.20 ± 0.22<sup>ab</sup> | 2.15 ± 0.26<sup>ab</sup> | 2.10 ± 0.21<sup>b</sup> |
| **Pearson’s correlation r** |                   | −0.680 | −0.666 | −0.633 |

| Oil absorption       |                         |    |    |     |
|                      | 150                     | 29.25 ± 2.14<sup>d</sup> | 29.50 ± 2.14<sup>d</sup> | 29.75 ± 2.16<sup>d</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 30.00 ± 2.18<sup>c</sup> | 30.22 ± 2.18<sup>c</sup> | 30.40 ± 2.52<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 30.50 ± 2.45<sup>c</sup> | 30.80 ± 2.41<sup>c</sup> | 31.10 ± 2.49<sup>b</sup> |
|                      | 150                     | 30.30 ± 2.35<sup>cb</sup> | 30.40 ± 2.68<sup>c</sup> | 30.55 ± 2.46<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 30.65 ± 2.37<sup>c</sup> | 30.80 ± 2.57<sup>c</sup> | 30.95 ± 2.74<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 31.15 ± 2.49<sup>b</sup> | 31.25 ± 2.49<sup>b</sup> | 31.35 ± 2.61<sup>b</sup> |
|                      | 150                     | 31.45 ± 2.51<sup>b</sup> | 31.65 ± 2.94<sup>b</sup> | 31.80 ± 2.38<sup>b</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 31.95 ± 2.65<sup>b</sup> | 32.20 ± 2.76<sup>a</sup> | 32.35 ± 3.12<sup>a</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 32.45 ± 2.17<sup>a</sup> | 32.65 ± 3.01<sup>a</sup> | 32.85 ± 3.28<sup>a</sup> |
| **Pearson’s correlation r** |                   | 0.448 | 0.457 | 0.472 |

Data represent means ± SD. Means followed by different superscript letters in each column are significantly different (p < 0.05). DSB: defatted soybean; Pearson’s correlation r: Pearson’s correlation coefficient.
Figure 1: Continued.
the foaming tendency of oil and reduce the interfacial tension leading to the increase of surface hydrophobicity, leading to less elasticity and adhesiveness [14].

3.3. Sensory Evaluation of Barley-Soybean Chips. Sensory evaluation results of barley-soybean chips’ attributes at different frying times (60, 90, and 120 sec) with different DSB substitution percentages (10, 20, and 30%) are exhibited in Figures 2–4, respectively. Obtained results revealed that the most preferred chips based on sensory evaluation were barley-soybean chips with 10% DSB fried at 150 and 170°C (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)) with no observed effects of frying time. At the same conditions of frying temperature and frying time, increased DSB flour substitution percentage scored less values of flavor, color, crispness, mouthfeel, and

![Figure 1: Effect of treatments on the texture profile analysis (TPA) of barley-soybean chips.](image)

**Table 2: Effects of frying temperature and frying time on the amylose content of barley-soybean chips.**

| DSB substitution (%) | Frying temperature (°C) | Frying time (sec) | Amylose content | Amylopectin content |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                      |                         | 60                | 90              | 120                |
| 10                   | 150                     | 27.16 ± 1.90<sup>c</sup> | 27.50 ± 2.11<sup>c</sup> | 28.00 ± 2/18<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 28.35 ± 2.04<sup>d</sup> | 28.74 ± 2.17<sup>d</sup> | 29.07 ± 2.27<sup>d</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 29.44 ± 2.15<sup>d</sup> | 29.82 ± 2.21<sup>d</sup> | 30.11 ± 2.23<sup>d</sup> |
| 20                   | 150                     | 29.43 ± 2.35<sup>d</sup> | 29.77 ± 2.25<sup>d</sup> | 30.01 ± 2.61<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 30.31 ± 2.58<sup>c</sup> | 30.86 ± 2.19<sup>c</sup> | 31.22 ± 2.43<sup>b</sup> |
| 30                   | 190                     | 31.68 ± 2.67<sup>b</sup> | 32.12 ± 2.28<sup>b</sup> | 32.43 ± 2.57<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.04<sup>d</sup> | 3.68<sup>ab</sup> | 4.35<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.17<sup>d</sup> | 3.12<sup>c</sup> | 4.16<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.21<sup>d</sup> | 3.06<sup>c</sup> | 4.19<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.25<sup>d</sup> | 3.08<sup>c</sup> | 4.29<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.61<sup>d</sup> | 3.10<sup>c</sup> | 4.39<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.77<sup>d</sup> | 3.12<sup>c</sup> | 4.49<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.81<sup>d</sup> | 3.14<sup>c</sup> | 4.59<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 2.97<sup>d</sup> | 3.16<sup>c</sup> | 4.69<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 3.07<sup>d</sup> | 3.18<sup>c</sup> | 4.79<sup>d</sup> |

Pearson’s correlation <i>r</i> = 0.413, 0.398, 0.377

| DSB substitution (%) | Frying temperature (°C) | Frying time (sec) | Amylose content | Amylopectin content |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                      |                         | 60                | 90              | 120                |
| 10                   | 150                     | 72.83 ± 3.45<sup>d</sup> | 72.49 ± 4.35<sup>d</sup> | 71.90 ± 4.39<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 71.64 ± 3.68<sup>ab</sup> | 71.25 ± 4.16<sup>ab</sup> | 70.93 ± 4.16<sup>ab</sup> |
|                      | 190                     | 70.55 ± 3.12<sup>c</sup> | 70.17 ± 3.84<sup>c</sup> | 69.88 ± 3.48<sup>d</sup> |
| 20                   | 150                     | 70.56 ± 3.69<sup>c</sup> | 70.22 ± 3.68<sup)c</sup> | 69.98 ± 3.59<sup>c</sup> |
|                      | 170                     | 69.68 ± 3.11<sup>c</sup> | 69.13 ± 4.19<sup>c</sup> | 68.77 ± 2.97<sup>c</sup> |
| 30                   | 190                     | 68.31 ± 3.19<sup>c</sup> | 67.87 ± 4.28<sup>d</sup> | 67.57 ± 3.28<sup>d</sup> |
|                      |                         | 67.55 ± 3.79<sup>c</sup> | 67.00 ± 3.81<sup>d</sup> | 66.50 ± 3.93<sup>c</sup> |
|                      |                         | 66.85 ± 2.95<sup>cd</sup> | 66.60 ± 2.99<sup>cd</sup> | 66.20 ± 3.37<sup>cd</sup> |

Pearson’s correlation <i>r</i> = −0.414, −0.399, −0.373

Data represent means ± SD. Means followed by different superscript letters in each column are significantly different (<i>p</i> < 0.05). DSB: defatted soybean; Pearson’s correlation <i>r</i>: Pearson’s correlation coefficient.
consequently overall acceptability. This could be explained as soybean proteins are rich in lysine residues which are highly sensible to the Maillard reaction. Nutritional impairment of protein, as a consequence of the destruction of its essential amino acids or the decrease in their bioavailability, is one of the most known nutritional implications of the Maillard reaction [12].

Accordingly, barley-soybean chips with 30% DSB fried at 170 and 190°C received the least scores of all sensory parameters. Color is a significant quality parameter of fried snacks concerning consumer understanding and frequently is the foundation for their chosen fried snacks. Increased frying temperature or long frying time during the deep-frying process initiates nonenzymatic browning reactions (Millard reaction) that affect products’ color [15]. Maillard reaction leads to the formation of brown polymers called melanoidins. They affect the color (as well as flavor) of heat-treated samples indicating the extent of the Maillard reaction. Gradual changes in color intensity starting with preferable cream to dark golden brown along with stages of the Maillard reaction should be controlled for accepted products. Another hypothesis expects that thermal oxidation of oil may also contribute to the color of the fried products [10].

Crispness is detected during a collection of different key texture advantages for snack products. Crispness is also connected to mastication which is based on break in crisp material that generate sound participation in crispness sensation [34]. Increased crispness of barley-soybean chips showed to be related with increased frying temperature. It may be due to the spongy porous structures accompanied with losing moisture that plays a significant function in crispness [35]. These results are correlated with the moisture content (Table 1). Panelists experienced a fatty mouthfeel when the temperature and frying time increased, which may be due to greater oil absorption as indicated in Table 1.
Although less adhesiveness (Figure 1(c)) is correlated with enhanced mouthfeel [23], the greasy feeling caused the mouthfeel scores to decrease.

To sum sensory attributes’ evaluation, panelists preferred barley-soybean chips at level 10 and 20% DSB substitution fried at 150 and 170°C as increased substitution percentage along with elevated frying temperature and frying time caused undesirable attributes either due to progressed Maillard reaction or excess oil absorption. These results are in agreement with what Oladeji Alamu et al. reported [10].

![Figure 3: Sensory evaluation of barley-soybean chips (20% DSB) at different frying times (60, 90, and 120 sec). (a) Frying temperature 150°C (CF). (b) Frying temperature 170°C. (c) Frying temperature 190°C. DSB: defatted soybean.](image-url)
4. Conclusion

Evaluation of the effects of different substitution levels (10, 20, and 30%) of defatted soybean (DSB) flour, frying temperatures (150, 170, and 190°C), and frying times (60, 90, and 120 sec) on physicochemical characteristics of barley-soybean chips revealed that the moisture content was substituted by oil absorption and amylose and amylpectin contents along with the increase in testing factors. These influences were reflected on the texture profile analysis (TPA) of barley-soybean chips resulting in increased hardness in parallel with decreased elasticity and adhesiveness. This in turn consequently affected sensorial acceptability to reveal that the most preferable substitution level was 10 and 20% DSB that were fried at temperature which did not exceed 170°C. These findings encourage snacks production with acceptable substitution levels of legume protein flour while maintaining consumer satisfaction which is the main pillar for innovative food preparations.
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