Outcome of stroke patients receiving different doses of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator
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Background and purpose: Intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) at a dose of 0.9 mg/kg body weight is associated with a high hemorrhagic transformation (HT) rate. Low-dose tPA (0.6 mg/kg) may have a lower hemorrhage rate but the mortality and disability rates at 90 days cannot be confirmed as non-inferior to standard-dose tPA. Whether the doses 0.7 and 0.8 mg/kg have better efficacy and safety needs further investigation. Therefore, this study is to compare the efficacy and safety of each dose of tPA (0.6, 0.7, 0.8, and 0.9 mg/kg body weight) and to investigate the factors affecting early neurological improvement (ENI) and early neurological deterioration (END).

Methods: For this observational study, data were obtained from 274 patients who received tPA thrombolytic therapy in Chia-Yi Christian Hospital stroke unit. The tPA dose was given at the discretion of each physician. The definition of ENI was a >8 point improvement (compared with baseline) at 24 h following thrombolytic therapy or an improvement in the National Institutes of Health Stroke Score (NIHSS) to 0 or 1 toward the end of tPA infusion. The definition of END was a >4 point increase in NIHSS (compared with baseline) within 24 h of tPA infusion. The primary objective was to investigate whether 0.7 and 0.8 mg/kg of tPA have higher ENI rate, lower END rate, and better outcome at 6 months. Poor outcome was defined as having a modified Rankin Scale of 3 to 6 (range, 0 [no symptoms] to 6 [death]). The secondary objective was to investigate whether low-dose tPA has a lower risk of intracerebral HT than that with standard-dose tPA. We also investigated the factors affecting ENI, END, HT, and 6-month outcome.

Results: A total of 274 patients were included during the study period, of whom 260 were followed up for >6 months. There was a trend for the HT rate to increase as the dose increased ($P=0.02$). The symptomatic HT rate was not significantly different among the low-dose and standard-dose groups. The ENI and END ($P=0.52$) were not significantly different among the four dosage groups. The clinical functional outcome at 6 months after stroke onset was poorer in the standard-dose group ($P=0.02$). Stroke severity ($P<0.01$), stroke type ($P=0.03$), and diabetes mellitus ($P=0.04$) affected the functional outcome at 6 months.

Conclusion: Among the 274 patients receiving tPA thrombolytic therapy, the HT rate increased as dose increased. The symptomatic HT, ENI and END rates were not significantly different among the low-dose (0.6, 0.7, and 0.8 mg/kg) and standard-dose groups. Stroke severity (NIHSS >12), stroke type (cardioembolism and large artery atherosclerosis) and diabetes mellitus were associated with poor outcome at 6 months.
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Introduction

Intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) was approved for the treatment of patients with acute ischemic stroke within 3 h of onset by the US Food and Drug Administration in 1996 and is still a standard treatment for patients with ischemic stroke.1-3
Recently, the extended time window of thrombolysis to 4.5 h has been widely accepted in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{4,5} Because of a higher symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation (sHT) rate in patients who received thrombolytic therapy,\textsuperscript{1,6,7} a lower dose of tPA for ischemic stroke patients was suggested.\textsuperscript{8} Based on the J-ACT study that reported that IV low-dose recombinant tPA (0.6 mg/kg) could offer both clinical efficacy and safety as a standard dose,\textsuperscript{9} the Japanese drug safety authority has approved the use of tPA 0.6 mg/kg for patients with ischemic stroke. Some studies have investigated the use of low dose (IV recombinant tPA 0.6 mg/kg) for patients with ischemic stroke within 4.5 h of stroke onset and found the low dose to be as effective as the standard dose.\textsuperscript{10} Other studies found the standard dose to be better than the low dose in terms of functional outcome, and there was no difference in the hemorrhage rate.\textsuperscript{1,11} A study in Taiwan found that in comparison with the standard dose, patients who were treated with a low dose (0.55 – 0.84 mg/kg) had a lower hemorrhagic rate, lower mortality, and better functional outcome, especially in patients older than 70 years.\textsuperscript{7} In contrast to the study by Chao et al, a study in China found that in comparison with different low-dose tPA regimens, the standard dose had a better functional outcome, and the hemorrhagic rate was not different.\textsuperscript{1} An international study (the ENCHANTED study) showed that the sHT rate was significantly lower in patients treated with low-dose tPA, and both the mortality rate and functional outcome at 90 days were not significantly different between the low-dose and standard-dose groups.\textsuperscript{12} Because the results regarding the efficacy and safety of low-dose tPA are inconsistent, a well-controlled study about the efficacy and dose of tPA is necessary. The early neurological improvement (ENI) rate is believed to be related to the restoration of blood flow in the occluded artery.\textsuperscript{13,14} As the thrombolytic effect of tPA lasts for only 3.5 h,\textsuperscript{15} the response to thrombolysis is best evaluated after the first 24 h. Whether the doses 0.7 and 0.8 mg/kg have better efficacy and safety needs further investigation. Therefore, we performed a single-center study in the Chia-Yi Christian Hospital stroke unit. The primary objective was to investigate ENI, early neurological deterioration (END), and clinical outcome at both discharge and 6 months in patients administered each dose of tPA. The secondary objective was to investigate the relationship between the risk of intracerebral hemorrhagic transformation (HT) and dose of tPA infusion. We also investigated the factors affecting ENI, END, HT, and 6-month outcome.

Methods
In this retrospective observational study, all data were drawn from the stroke registry database of a teaching hospital in central Taiwan. We have been regularly using tPA for patients with acute stroke who are eligible for thrombolytic therapy since January 2007. According to the stroke management guidelines in Taiwan, tPA ≤ 0.9 mg/kg appears to be appropriate for ischemic stroke patients, and patients aged > 80 years should not be given thrombolytic therapy.\textsuperscript{16} A neurologist was consulted when patients presented to the emergency department with acute ischemic stroke within 3 h of stroke onset without contraindications to thrombolytic therapy. On the basis of the aforementioned guidelines, all the patients in whom thrombolytic therapy was feasible were allocated to receive one of the doses (0.6 to 0.9 mg/kg) at the discretion of each physician. Factors that may influence both tPA dose and treatment regimen were as follows: 1) Doctor experience: if the doctor had repeated experiences of patients having (sHT) or dying after being given standard-dose tPA, the physician may decide to use low dose. 2) Patient’s condition: if a doctor thinks that the patient had a higher risk of HT, the physician may choose low-dose tPA. In patients aged > 80 years without other contraindications who arrived within 3 h of stroke onset and requested thrombolytic treatment, tPA was given as well. Data from all the patients with stroke who received IV tPA treatment between January 2007 and December 2015 were included in the study. When the dosage of tPA was determined, IV 10% of the total dose was administered as a bolus, and the remainder as a continuous IV infusion for > 1 h. Brain computed tomography (CT) was performed for every patient before IV tPA. Patients were followed up with CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for 24 h following tPA infusion. The National Institutes of Health Stroke Score (NIHSS) was used to assess stroke severity. An NIHSS-certified stroke team member at our facility performed the scoring and evaluation after every 6 h for the first 24 h and at discharge. No response was defined as an increase in NIHSS ≤ 4 or a decrease in NIHSS ≤ 8. An ENI was defined as an > 8-point improvement (compared with baseline) after 24 h following thrombolytic therapy or an improvement in NIHSS to 0 or 1 toward the end of tPA infusion. Neurological improvement (NI) was defined as an > 8-point improvement (compared with baseline) or an improvement in NIHSS to 0 or 1 at discharge. Neurological deterioration (ND) was defined as a > 4-point increase in NIHSS (compared with baseline) at discharge. END was defined as a > 4-point increase in NIHSS (compared with baseline) within 24 h of tPA infusion. All brain CT and MRI scans were evaluated by an independent radiologist who was blind to the dose of tPA. HT was defined as any sign of hemorrhage on the follow-up CT or MRI scans. The
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Statistical analysis
Statistical significance of the differences between age groups was analyzed using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables including blood pressure, and time from stroke onset to tPA infusion (tPA time); and Kruskal–Wallis test for NIHSS. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to identify risk factors for HT, ENI, and clinical outcome. A P-value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 21.0 of the SPSS system for Windows (Version 21.0; IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA).

Results
From January 2007 to December 2015, a total of 274 patients received IV tPA for acute ischemic stroke within 3 h of stroke onset in our hospital. Being a single-center study with a relatively small number of patients receiving thrombolysis, the dose of tPA was given at the discretion of the attending physician. Of the 274 patients included in the current study, there were 71 patients in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 59 in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 88 in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 56 in the 0.9 mg/kg group. A bolus of 10% of the total tPA dose was given for 1–2 min, and the remaining dose was given within 60 min. The baseline characteristics of the patients, including sex, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, heart disease, smoking, lipemia, stroke severity, time of tPA infusion, blood pressure, and stroke subtype, were not significantly different among the four groups except for age (Table 1). Age was slightly higher in patients who received 0.6 mg/kg. Of the 274 patients, 260 were followed up for more than 6 months, and 14 lost to follow-up. There were 63 patients in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 57 in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 85 in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 55 in the 0.9 mg/kg group. In the 24 h after thrombolytic therapy, ENI was found in 31% (22/71) of patients who received 0.6 mg/kg, 35.6% (21/59) in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 36.4% (32/88) in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 25.0% (14/56) in the 0.9 mg/kg group. No response rates were 64.8% (46/71) in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 55.9% (33/59) in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 56.8% (50/88) in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 62.5% (35/56) in the 0.9 mg/kg group. The END rates were 4.2% (3/71) in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 8.5% (5/59) in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 6.8% (6/88) in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 12.5% (7/56) in the 0.9 mg/kg group. In the 24 h after thrombolysis, both the ENI and ND rates were not significantly different between the groups (P=0.52). The HT rate was highest in the 0.9 mg/kg group at 21.4% (12/56) followed by 5.6% (4/71) in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 8.5% (5/59) in the 0.7 mg/kg group and 9.1% (8/88) in the 0.8 mg/kg group (Table 2). In comparison with the 0.6 mg/kg group, the odds ratios of HT were 1.55 in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 1.67 in 0.8 mg/kg group, and 3.59 in 0.9 mg/kg group (P=0.02). There was a tendency for the HT rate to increase as the dose increased (trend for the hemorrhage rate <0.01) (Figure 2). The tendency of HT also increased as stroke severity increased. In comparison with the NIHSS 6–12 group, the odds ratios of hemorrhage were 1.90 in the NIHSS 13–20 group and 4.39 in the NIHSS 21–26 group (P<0.01). The factors associated with HT were stroke subtype of HT were HI1 (small petechiae), HI2 (more confluent petechiae), PH1 (≤30% of the infarcted area with mild space-occupying effect), PH2 (>30% of the infarcted area with significant space-occupying effect) (Figure 1).7

Abbreviations: HI1, small petechiae subtype; HI2, more confluent petechiae subtype; PH1, ≤30% of the infarcted area with mild space-occupying effect; PH2, >30% of the infarcted area with significant space-occupying effect.
Table 1 Characteristics of patients at baseline

| Variables                              | tPA       | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
|                                       | 0.6 mg (N=71) | 0.7 mg (N=59) | 0.8 mg (N=88) | 0.9 mg (N=56) |
| Sex (M), n                             | 31        | 38      | 55      | 34      | 0.05*    |
| Age (years), mean ± SD                 | 71.8±10.6 | 65.3±13.5 | 62.2±12.9 | 66.5±11.6 | 0.01     |
| HTN, n                                 | 57        | 43      | 67      | 43      | 0.70*    |
| DM, n                                  | 30        | 18      | 21      | 24      | 0.57*    |
| AF, n                                  | 27        | 17      | 25      | 22      | 0.92*    |
| Heart disease, n                       | 38        | 21      | 38      | 28      | 0.88*    |
| Smoking, n                             | 24        | 29      | 41      | 24      | 0.29*    |
| Lipidemia, n                           | 42        | 29      | 51      | 30      | 0.77*    |
| NIHSS, mean ± SD                       | 14.9±7.2  | 13.8±5.7 | 13.1±5.9 | 14.9±6.3 | 0.24     |
| tPA time (min), mean ± SD              | 111.7±37.0| 120.1±36.7| 113.6±44.2| 121.1±45.5| 0.41*    |
| Blood pressure                         |           |         |         |         |          |
| Systolic mmHg, mean ± SD               | 159.9±28.8| 160.7±28.9| 154.3±29.5| 155.8±27.8| 0.46*    |
| Diastolic mmHg, mean ± SD              | 90.1±17.6 | 90.5±17.4| 90.4±17.8| 92.9±16.3| 0.80*    |
| Stroke type                            |           |         |         |         |          |
| Large artery, n                        | 20 (28.2) | 18 (30.5)| 21 (32.9)| 21 (37.5)| 0.03*    |
| Small vessel, n                        | 7 (11.9)  | 15 (22.2)| 3 (5.0)  | 3 (5.3)  |          |
| Cardioembolism, n                      | 17 (23.9) | 18 (30.5)| 24 (27.3)| 23 (40.7)|          |
| Undetermined                           | 29 (40.9) | 16 (27.1)| 28 (31.8)| 9 (15.1) |          |
| LOS (days), mean ± SD (95% CI)         | 10±8.8 (9.5–13) | 9.3±7.3 (6.8–10.5) | 10.2±8.4 (8.3–11.9) | 13.5±10.9 (10.6–11.6) | 0.06*    |

Notes: *Chi-square test; †ANOVA test; ‡Kruskal–Wallis test.

Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; LOS, length of stay; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Score; SD, standard deviation; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator.

severity and tPA dose (0.9 mg/kg) (Table 3). The HT rates were 22.0% (18/82) in cardioembolism, 5.0% (4/80) in large artery atherosclerosis, 0 in small vessel occlusion, and 9.8% (8/82) in patients with an undetermined cause. The subtypes of HT were H11 in six, H12 in eight, PH1 in nine and PH2 in six patients. In patients without HT, the ENI and END rates were not significantly different among the four groups. During patient discharge following stroke treatment, functional outcome was not significantly different among the four groups. There were 33.8% (24/71) of patients in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 40.7% (24/59), in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 48.9% (43/88) in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 30.3% (17/56) in the 0.9 mg/kg group (P=0.08) with good functional outcome (Figure 3). At 6 months after stroke onset, diabetes mellitus, stroke severity (NIHSS >12), and stroke type (cardioembolism and large artery atherosclerosis) were associated with poor outcome. Functional outcome was poorer in patients receiving the standard dose (0.9 mg/kg). In comparison with the 0.6 mg/kg group, the odds ratios of poor outcome were 1.67 in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 2.07 in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 2.97 in the 0.9 mg/kg group (P=0.02) (Table 4). Good functional outcome was observed in 50.8% (32/63) of the patients in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 56.1% (32/57) in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 64.7% (55/85) in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 38.2% (21/55) in the 0.9 mg/kg group.

Table 2 Primary and secondary outcomes at 24 h and discharge

| Variables                              | tPA       | P-value (χ²) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
|                                       | 0.6 mg (N=71) | 0.7 mg (N=59) | 0.8 mg (N=88) | 0.9 mg (N=56) |
| Response at 24 h                       |           |              |              |              |
| No                                     | 46 (64.8) | 33 (55.9)    | 50 (56.8)    | 35 (62.5)    | 0.52         |
| ENI                                    | 22 (31.0) | 21 (35.6)    | 32 (36.4)    | 14 (25)      |              |
| END                                    | 3 (4.2)   | 5 (8.5)      | 6 (6.8)      | 7 (12.5)     |              |
| Response at discharge                  |           |              |              |              |
| No                                     | 30 (42.3) | 26 (44.1)    | 31 (35.1)    | 23 (41.1)    | 0.51         |
| NI                                     | 34 (47.9) | 26 (44.1)    | 49 (55.7)    | 23 (41.1)    |              |
| ND                                     | 7 (9.9)   | 7 (11.8)     | 8 (9.1)      | 10 (17.8)    |              |
| Hemorrhagic                            | 4 (5.6)   | 5 (8.5)      | 8 (9.1)      | 12 (21.4)    | 0.02 (trend <0.01) |
| SICH                                   | 1 (1.4)   | 5 (8.5)      | 1 (1.1)      | 3 (5.4)      | 0.07         |
| Good outcome                           | 24 (33.8) | 24 (40.7)    | 43 (48.9)    | 17 (30.3)    | 0.08         |
| 6 m good outcomea                      | 32/63 (50.8)| 32/57 (56.1) | 55/85 (64.7) | 21/55 (38.2) | 0.02         |

Notes: Data shown as n (%). *Modified ranking scale = 2 at 6 months.

Abbreviations: ENI, early neurological improvement; END, early neurological deterioration; ND, neurological deterioration; NI, neurological improvement; No, no neurological improvement and no neurological deterioration; SICH, symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation.
in the 0.9 mg/kg group (Figure 4). In comparison with the patients in the NIHSS 6–12 group, the odds ratios of poor outcome at 6 months were 3.7 ($P < 0.01$) in the NIHSS 13–20 group and 7.8 ($P < 0.01$) in the NIHSS 20–26 group.

### Table 3 Factors affect hemorrhagic transformation

| Variables                        | Patients (N=274) | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| **Sex (F)**                      | 15/116 (9.3%)    | 1.41 (0.66–3.02) | 0.37    | 1.38 (0.43–4.44) | 0.58    |
| **Dose**                         |                  |             |         |             |         |
| 0.6 mg/kg                        | 5/71 (7.0%)      | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        |
| 0.7 mg/kg                        | 5/59 (8.5%)      | 2.73 (0.96–9.00) | 0.05    | 2.65 (0.90–7.78) | 0.03    |
| 0.8 mg/kg                        | 8/88 (9.1%)      | 2.95 (1.04–7.18) | 0.04    | 3.21 (0.94–10.9) | 0.06    |
| 0.9 mg/kg                        | 12/56 (21.4%)    | 3.60 (1.19–10.9) | 0.02    | 3.78 (1.12–12.7) | 0.03    |
| **Atrial fibrillation**          | 14/91 (15.4%)    | 1.90 (0.88–4.08) | 0.10    | 3.58 (0.09–1.04) | 0.05    |
| **Diabetes mellitus**            | 8/93 (8.6%)      | 0.68 (0.29–1.59) | 0.38    | 0.90 (0.33–2.40) | 0.83    |
| **Hypertension**                 | 25/210 (11.9%)   | 1.60 (0.58–4.35) | 0.36    | 1.36 (0.43–4.28) | 0.59    |
| **Stroke severity**              |                  |             |         |             |         |
| NIHSS 6–12                       | 8/130 (6.2%)     | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        |
| NIHSS 13–20                      | 12/94 (12.8%)    | 1.71 (0.68–4.29) | 0.25    | 1.77 (0.60–5.15) | 0.29    |
| NIHSS 21–26                      | 10/50 (20.0%)    | 3.81 (1.41–10.3) | 0.007  | 2.64 (0.84–8.21) | 0.09    |
| **Smoking**                      |                  |             |         |             |         |
| Smoking                          | 11/118 (9.3%)    | 0.93 (0.57–1.52) | 0.77    | 1.01 (0.30–3.38) | 0.98    |
| **Alcohol**                      | 1/28 (3.6%)      | 0.78 (0.35–1.76) | 0.55    | 0.40 (0.04–3.63) | 0.41    |
| **Hyperlipidemia**               | 13/152 (8.6%)    | 1.24 (0.76–2.03) | 0.3     | 0.58 (0.24–1.37) | 0.21    |
| **Stroke type**                  |                  |             |         |             |         |
| Cardiembolism                    | 18/82 (22.0%)    | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        | 1.00 (0.33–3.00) |        |
| LAA                              | 4/80 (5.0%)      | 0.38 (0.16–0.94) | 0.04    | 0.25 (0.07–0.92) | 0.04    |
| SMO                              | 0/30             | NA          | NA      | NA          | NA      |
| **UN**                           | 8/82 (9.8%)      | 2.05 (0.59–7.11) | 0.25    | 2.75 (0.73–10.39) | 0.13    |
| **Age (years)**                  |                  |             |         |             |         |
| ≤50                              | 1/32             | 1.22 (0.56–2.66) | 0.62    | 0.85 (0.34–2.12) | 0.72    |
| >70                              | 16/123           | 4.64 (0.59–36.4) | 0.14    | 2.18 (0.24–198) | 0.48    |

**Notes:** aMultiple logistic regression (univariate analysis); bmultiple logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, dose, atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke severity, smoking, alcohol, hyperlipidemia, and stroke type.

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; LAA, large artery atherosclerosis; NA, not available; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Score; OR, odds ratio; SMO, small vessel occlusion; UN, undetermined.

### Discussion

Our study has three important findings regarding the dosage of tPA infusion. First, the ENI and END rates were not significantly different among the four groups. Second, the HT rate increased as the tPA dose increased. Third, the functional outcome at 6 months after stroke onset was associated with stroke severity, stroke type, and diabetes mellitus. In the current study, the total HT rate was 10.6% (29/274), which is compatible with those of previous studies that reported HT rates were between 9.1% and 10.6%. However, in our patients who received 0.9 mg/kg tPA, the HT rate was 21.4% (12/56), which is twice that of previous studies. In our study, the HT rate in patients in the lower-dose group was between 5.6% and 9.1%. Although this HT rate is lower than the rate reported in Japan, it is similar to the studies performed in Western countries with IV tPA 0.9 mg/kg in patients with ischemic stroke. Their HT rate was between 23.2% and 25.7%. Our study confirmed the findings of Mehta et al, who found that in comparison with Caucasian patients, the HT rate was higher in African-American and Asian patients. Our results show that the HT rate increased rapidly in patients who received 0.9 mg/kg IV tPA (Figure 2). Whether these results imply that 0.9 mg/kg is not the best...
choice of tPA for Taiwanese people is an unresolved issue that requires further investigation. This result is compatible with those of previous studies.3,21 Our results are also compatible with the results of the ENCHANTED study with respect to both HT rate and long-term outcome. The ENCHANTED study showed that the fatality rate and disability rate at 90 days was not significantly different between the low-dose and the standard-dose groups. That study also showed the sHT rate was significantly lower in the low-dose group than in the standard-dose group.12 Our result showed the sHT rate was not different between the low-dose and standard-dose groups and the clinical outcome at 6 months was poorer in the 0.9 mg/kg group. This difference may be related to the international level enrollment of the ENCHANTED study, which included 63% of Asians and 37% of non-Asians, whereas our study had a relatively small patient number. However, the results of our study showed a trend toward increase in the hemorrhage risk as the dose increased. The ENI rate is considered to be related to re-canalization of the occluded artery.13,14 The ENI rates were 32.5% (89/274) of the total 274 patients, 31.0% in the 0.6 mg/kg group, 35.6% in the 0.7 mg/kg group, 36.4% in the 0.8 mg/kg group, and 25% (14/56) in patients who received 0.9 mg/kg tPA. Our study showed a higher ENI rate than that reported by Felberg et al (22%).14 However, this difference may be because Felberg et al study included only patients with middle cerebral artery occlusion. In our study, the ENI rate was not significantly different among

![Figure 3](image-url) Functional outcomes at discharge according to mRS score.

Notes: The figure shows the distribution of scores on the mRS at discharge. Scores on the mRS range from 0 to 6, with 0 indicating no symptoms, 1 – without clinical disability, 2 – mild disability, 3 – moderate disability, 4 – moderately severe disability, 5 – severe disability, and 6 – death.

Abbreviation: mRS, modified Rankin Scale.

Table 4 Prognostic factors for unfavorable outcome at 6 months

| Variables                  | Patients (N=260) | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Sex (F)                    | Total            |             |         |             |         |
| 0.6 mg/kg                  | 31/63 (49.2%)    | 1           |         |             |         |
| 0.7 mg/kg                  | 25/57 (43.9%)    | 1.67 (0.80–3.49) | 0.17    | 1.50 (0.72–4.18) | 0.22    |
| 0.8 mg/kg                  | 30/85 (35.3%)    | 2.07 (0.97–4.41) | 0.58    | 1.68 (0.74–4.39) | 0.19    |
| 0.9 mg/kg                  | 34/55 (61.8%)    | 2.97 (1.47–5.99) | 0.02    | 3.55 (0.97–15.15) | 0.06    |
| Atrial fibrillation        | 43/86 (50.0%)    | 1.26 (0.75–2.11) | 0.38    | 0.77 (0.28–1.61) | 0.38    |
| Diabetes mellitus          | 49/86 (57.0%)    | 1.92 (1.14–3.24) | 0.14    | 1.97 (1.02–3.80) | 0.04    |
| Hypertension               | 103/201 (51.2%)  | 2.60 (1.37–4.86) | 0.003   | 2.14 (0.98–4.67) | 0.06    |
| Stroke severity            |                  |             |         |             |         |
| NIHSS 6–12                 | 34/125 (27.2%)   | 1           |         |             |         |
| NIHSS 13–20                | 51/88 (58.0%)    | 2.12 (0.97–4.62) | 0.06    | 1.49 (0.72–4.14) | 0.22    |
| NIHSS 21–26                | 35/47 (74.5%)    | 7.81 (3.63–16.8) | <0.01   | 18.9 (2.96–37.50) | <0.01   |
| Smoking                    | 51/113 (45.1%)   | 0.12 (0.53–2.47) | 0.72    |              |         |
| Alcohol                    | 11/27 (40.7%)    | 0.78 (0.35–1.79) | 0.552   | 0.50 (0.50–4.32) | 0.47    |
| Hyperlipidemia             | 69/142 (48.6%)   | 1.24 (0.76–2.03) | 0.39    | 0.38 (0.66–2.18) | 0.53    |
| Stroke type                |                  |             |         |             |         |
| Cardioembolism             | 40/79 (50.6%)    | 1           |         |             |         |
| LAA                        | 45/73 (61.6%)    | 0.68 (0.36–1.28) | 0.2     | 0.78 (0.30–1.97) | 0.60    |
| SMO                        | 3/30 (10%)       | 0.43 (0.23–0.83) | 0.01    | 0.42 (0.19–0.91) | 0.03    |
| UN                         | 32/78 (41.0%)    | 6.26 (1.75–22.4) | 0.005   | 3.16 (0.79–12.5) | 0.10    |
| Age (years)                |                  |             |         |             |         |
| 51–70                      | 50/114 (43.9%)   | 1.52 (0.91–2.56) | 0.11    | 0.97 (0.72–2.63) | 0.32    |
| >70                        | 63/116 (54.3%)   | 3.91 (1.55–9.82) | 0.004   | 3.06 (0.88–8.68) | 0.08    |

Notes: aMultiple logistic regression (univariate analysis); bmultiple logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, dose, atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke severity, smoking, alcohol, hyperlipidemia, and stroke type.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; LAA, large artery atherosclerosis; NA, not available; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Score; OR, odds ratio; SMO, small vessel occlusion; UN, undetermined.
ENI rate was not significantly different among the four dose groups. Functional outcome was not significantly different at discharge, but functional outcome at 6 months after stroke onset was poorer in the 0.9 mg/kg group than in the 0.6 mg/kg group. After multiple variable analyses, diabetes mellitus, stroke severity (NIHSS >12), and stroke type (cardioembolism and large artery atherosclerosis) were associated with poor 6-month outcome. Our results echo the findings of Chao et al, who reported that lower doses of tPA had lower HT rates and better outcome.7 Previous studies that compared the functional outcome between the low dose and standard dose showed the standard dose to be better than the low dose or found no difference between the low and standard doses.10,12,21 In the present study, the ENI rate and NI rate increased as the dose increased from 0.6 to 0.8 mg/kg and declined at 0.9 mg/kg. The HT, END, and ND rates mildly increased at doses from 0.6 to 0.8 mg/kg and sharply increased at dose 0.9 mg/kg. These results suggest that 0.8 mg/kg is the optimal dose for Taiwanese patients. However, this hypothesis needs to be confirmed by further large-scale investigations. Our study has several limitations. First, the study was not a randomized study; the selection of tPA dose was decided by a neurologist, and the selection of a dose by a physician may be affected by the patient’s condition. The patients who may be considered at higher risk of HT may be given a lower dose. This may lead to an underestimation of the benefits of a lower dose. However, the condition of the patients in each of our groups did not significantly differ. Second, most of our patients who were older than 80 years received 0.6 mg/kg tPA. Third, this was a single-center study involving a relatively small number of patients; therefore, the present study could not offer definitive evidence of the usefulness of this thrombolytic therapy. Fourth, the bolus dose was different in each group, which may affect both the ENI and the HT rates.

Conclusion
The HT rate increased as the dose increased. The sHT, ENI and the END rates were not significantly different among the lower-dose and standard-dose groups. Diabetes mellitus, stroke severity (NIHSS >12) and stroke type (cardioembolism and large artery atherosclerosis) were associated with poor outcome at 6 months.
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