Effects of grain size on the spallation behavior of pure copper under plate-impact loading
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Abstract. The effects of grain size on the dynamic tensile fracture (or spall) response were investigated for high purity copper materials by plate-impact experiments. The spall strength estimated from the free surface velocity profile is nearly constant with no significant effect from the grain size. However, differences are observed in the acceleration rate of velocity rebound beyond the minima. This may be attributed to the effect of grain size on the growth rate of damage. Metallographic analyses of the fracture surface show that the characteristic feature of the fracture surface clearly depends on the grain size. In the smaller samples, the fracture surfaces are decorated with large, high-density ductile dimples suggesting that the preferential failure mode is ductile intergranular fracture. In the larger samples, the fracture surfaces have a rock candy appearance with small, brittle, high density dimples as well as large ductile dimples suggesting that the fracture mode is a mix of both brittle intergranular fracture and ductile transgranular fracture.

1. Introduction

The dynamic tensile fracture (or spall) behaviors of metallic materials produced by the interaction of release waves after shock loading is a complicated physical process that can be strongly influenced by many variables related to the applied loading, temperature, and the material itself [1–4]. This extensive work has shown that the grain size plays an important role in spall failure. Because many parameters and mechanisms are intertwined, previous studies regarding grain size effects on spall behavior have reported different and sometimes contradictory results [5,6]. Recently, a comprehensive set of incipient spall experiments on copper was performed by Escobedo et al. [7]. The free surface velocity measurements show no significant grain size dependence on the spall strength of 30-, 60-, 100- and 200-µm copper samples. However, there were obvious differences in the free surface velocity behavior after the pull-back velocity minima. This is attributed to the different active mechanisms of damage, some of which depend on the grain size and grain boundary types. To ensure a good correlation between a particular microstructural feature and the spall response, it is important that only one parameter be varied at a time. In this paper, plate impact experiments were performed on high purity copper samples to examine the relationship between grain size and spall response. In order to eliminate the effect of loading on spall behavior, the peak compressive stress and tensile strain rate in plate impact experiments are carefully controlled and held constant. The results are presented and discussed in terms of free surface velocity measurements as well as metallographic examinations of the spall surface with scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Materials

Polycrystalline copper plate material with 99.99% purity was subjected to an additional cold-rolling procedure followed by recrystallization to produce a wide range of grain sizes. Samples were annealed in vacuum with furnace cooling at 500°C for 3.5 hours to produce an average grain size of 78 µm, 700°C for 3.0 hours to produce a grain size of 273 µm, and 850°C for 3.0 hours to produce a grain size of 400 µm. Microstructural characterization of different heat-treated copper samples is shown in Fig. 1. The grain size was measured using the line intercept method.

2.2. Plate-impact experiments

A 57-mm light gas gun was used for the planar symmetrical impact spall experiments. The diameters of the flyer and the target are 50 mm and 35 mm with thicknesses of 3 mm and 6 mm, respectively. Time-resolved free surface velocity profiles of the shocked sample were measured with a compact all-fiber single point displacement interferometer system for any reflector (DISAR) to monitor spall fracture [8]. A 1550-nm laser beam was used as the light source for the DISAR system. A single-mode 1-mm optic fiber pigtail was used to collect light reflected from the moving surface. The output DISAR signals were recorded using a high-frequency digital Tektronix with a time resolution of 0.5 ns. To examine
Figure 1. Optical micrographs of high purity copper with the average grain sizes: (a) 78 µm, (b) 247 µm, and (c) 400 µm.

Figure 2. (a) Free surface velocity profiles for copper samples with different grain sizes. (b) Pullback wave measured at different grain sizes.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Free surface velocity profiles

Figure 2(a) shows the measured free surface velocity profiles for the different grain size copper samples. The peak free surface velocities range from 254 to 258 m/s, corresponding to peak compressive stresses of 4.68–4.76 GPa. Figure 2(b) shows the free surface velocity profiles once significant yielding begins causing a drop in the free surface velocity. The times and velocities are shifted so that the drop from the peak state starts at \( t = 0 \). From the slope of the drop in free surface velocity profiles (Fig. 2(a)) the tensile strain rate of \( 2.27 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \) is estimated in all cases via [9]:

\[
\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2c_0} \frac{du_{fs}}{dt}
\]

where \( c_0 = 3940 \text{ m/s} \) is copper’s bulk sound speed. The velocity difference between the first peak plateau and the first valley, commonly referred to as the pullback velocity \( (\Delta u_{fs}) \), estimates the spall strength or stress threshold for fracture (Fig. 2(a)). For materials undergoing elastic-plastic deformation either during compression or tension, the spall strength is calculated from the observed pullback velocity using [9]:

\[
\sigma_s = \rho c_1 \Delta u_{fs} \left( 1 + \frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^{-1}
\]

where \( c_1 = 4770 \text{ m/s} \) is copper’s longitudinal elastic wave speed. The measured and calculated key parameters are listed in Table 1. A spall strength of 1.29 ~ 1.33 GPa was calculated for different grain size samples by holding the loading profile constant, i.e., peak stress and tensile strain rate are the same for each experiment. Figure 3 shows the spall strength as a function of the average grain size for pure copper samples along with previous results from Escobedo et al., which indicates no significant effect of grain size on the spall strength.

3.2. Post-impact metallurgical characterization

Careful fractography of the recovered samples was conducted by SEM to illustrate the damage characteristics and fracture mechanism. Although grain size does not affect spall strength of the high purity copper, grain size does markedly influence the type of fracture surface produced during spall. Figure 4(a)-(c) shows SEM images of the fracture surface at low magnification (40×) with different grain sizes. From Fig. 5(a) and (b), we see that the fracture surfaces of the 78-µm and 273-µm samples are completely decorated with high-density dimples indicative of microvoid coalescence. The fracture surface of the 400-µm sample shown in Fig. 4(c) is mainly filled with rock candy-like grains and exhibits a mixture of brittle intergranular fracture facets and ductile transgranular fracture regions. The higher magnification image reveals a dimpled

| Shot No. | Grain size (µm) | Impact velocity (m/s) | \( \sigma_s \)/GPa | \( \sigma_c \)/GPa |
|---------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1       | 78             | 258                  | 4.76             | 1.32             |
| 2       | 273            | 254                  | 4.68             | 1.29             |
| 3       | 400            | 256                  | 4.72             | 1.33             |

Table 1. Summary of the experimental parameters and results.

Figure 3. Spall strength as a function of the average grain size for pure copper materials.

Figure 4. Scanning electron micrographs of the fracture surface at low magnification (40×): (a) 78 µm, (b) 273 µm and (c) 400 µm.
4. Conclusion

Plate impact experiments and microscopic observations on post-impact recovered samples were used to study the influence of grain size on the dynamic tensile/spall behavior of high purity copper samples. By holding the loading conditions constant, the free surface velocity profiles were studied as a function of grain size. The spall strength estimated from the pullback velocity has no significant effect of the grain size. The post-impact metallographic analyses show that samples with smaller grain sizes (78 µm and 273 µm) have fracture behavior dominated by ductile intragranular fractures with many large ductile dimples on the fracture surface. In the 400-µm samples, the fracture mode is dominated by a mixture of both brittle intergranular fractures and ductile transgranular fractures that combine smaller dimples with larger ductile dimples on the fracture surface.
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