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Abstract. This study aimed to analyze the influence of ethnicity and other demographic and social factors on urban slum dwellers’ threat appraisal, awareness, and protective practices against COVID-19. It was conducted via 20 semi-structured interviews and 453 questionnaires for different ethnic groups from Thailand, Myanmar, Laos, and Cambodia in the slum communities of Khlong Toei, Bangkok—the largest slum in Thailand. A phenomenological approach was used to analyze the semi-structured interviews to understand dwellers’ lived experiences and behaviors regarding COVID-19. The questionnaires data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and a multiple regression model. The main findings in this study were that age (elderly people), gender (female), nationality (foreign migrant groups), and type of residential occupancy (living in unoccupied spaces, under tollways, and by railroads) were significant risk factors for vulnerability to COVID-19. Type of residential occupancy and occupation (daily wage workers) were risk factors for severity of COVID-19. Higher education and female gender were factors influencing COVID-19 awareness in all ethnic groups; women tended to practice COVID-19 protection guidelines better than men. Foreign ethnic groups and daily wage workers also performed better in COVID-19 protection practices than other groups. This study appeals for urgent intervention and special assistance from development organizations, the government, and society to ensure slum communities’ access to clean water, sanitation, and health care, using dwellers’ sociodemographic characteristics and ethnicity to help enhance their threat appraisal capacity and coping strategies with regard to the pandemic.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 20% of the population in the Bangkok metropolis of Thailand live in slums. Slums are defined as “a wide range of low-income settlements and/or with poor human living conditions.”1 p.8 Rapid economic growth in developing countries has brought about social disparities and the growth of the slum population.2 Slums in Bangkok city are the living places of many poor migrants from the Thailand poor countryside and other southeast Asian neighboring countries. These migrants are low-paid workers and have no access to public housing. Many slum communities in Bangkok still use unsafe water from rivers with a quality that is less than standard for drinking and domestic use. The water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) conditions of slum communities do not meet standards. Women, and indigenous and disabled people in slums also face inequality in access to WASH and health services.3 The spread of COVID-19 has affected more than 3.8 million vulnerable Thai families, especially those living in urban slum communities. Slum dwellers are the most vulnerable to COVID-19 because of their unstable economic condition, limited access to social welfare, poor housing, overcrowded neighborhoods, and polluted environment.

The Thai government enforced a lockdown and social isolation for many months in 2020 and 2021 to limit the spread of COVID-19. These measures have been devastating for dwellers in urban slums, where physical space is scarce, and the majority of these people rely on daily wage work for survival. Social distancing is impossible in urban slums.4

This study aimed to examine 1) how urban slum dwellers in a metropolis such as Bangkok, Thailand, perceive their vulnerability to and the severity of COVID-19; 2) their awareness of and practices of protection against COVID-19 transmission; and how nationality, social, and demographic factors affect urban slum dwellers’ threat appraisal of, awareness of, and protective practice against COVID-19.

METHODS

Selection of the study area. Slum communities in Khlong Toei, Bangkok, Thailand, were selected purposefully as the study area. These are the largest slums in Thailand, with 49,225 households and more than 100,000 people. Most of those who live there are seasonal workers in Khlong Toei port and the largest fresh and retail markets in Bangkok. Their WASH conditions are likely poor. They use low-quality drinking water conserved in tanks and vending machines with high levels of bacteria. The Lock 1-2-3 and Ban Guay sub-communities were selected in which to conduct interviews and complete survey questionnaires. Both communities have a high density of households and are placed along the canal and under tollway roads (Figure 1).

Data collection. Data collection was carried out using semi-structured interviews and questionnaires. The surveys were conducted with 453 respondents. Respondents were selected randomly from two selected sub-communities by using Slovin’s formula and the snowball sampling method. These methods are suitable for hidden populations, particularly in slum communities with little information or unknown population behaviors, and anonymous population census data.5 To cover different socioeconomic, ethnic, and cultural characteristics of the entire slum community population, other data were acquired during sampling, including nationality, age range, gender, and occupation. The population list of Khlong Toei slum communities was obtained from the National Statistical Office of Thailand. Semi-structured interviews were performed further with 20 selected respondents who participated in the survey. The selection of interviewees was made purposefully based on their personal information
given during administration of the questionnaire. Both semi-structured interviews and questionnaires were conducted in the local languages.

**Data analysis.** Mixed qualitative and quantitative data analysis methods were applied in the study. Data from the interviews and questionnaires were treated anonymously. In the qualitative data analysis method, a phenomenological approach was used to discover slum dwellers' lived experiences and behaviors with regard to COVID-19. Themes were generated for analyzing significant statements. During quantitative data analysis, statistical analysis was used to analyze questionnaire data. Descriptive statistics were used to describe respondents' perceptions of vulnerability to, severity of, awareness of, and practices against contracting COVID-19. Multiple regression analysis was performed to determine factors influencing respondents' perception of vulnerability, severity, awareness, and practices. A model was developed to explain the relationships among multiple independent variables, including age, gender, education, ethnicity, marital status, residential occupancy, and occupation, and each dependent variable (perceived vulnerability, perceived severity, awareness, and practices). The multiple regression equation

\[ Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_{19} X_{19} + \varepsilon \]

was used, where \( Y_i \) is the dependent variable of the regression; \( X_1, X_2, \ldots X_{19} \) is the independent variable of the regression, and \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept term.

**RESULTS**

**Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents.** Table 1 depicts the diversity of sociodemographic characteristics of the slum community in the study area. There is an even distribution of respondents among age groups, gender, and education. Many different ethnic groups living in the slum areas in Bangkok are from Thailand, Myanmar, Laos, and Cambodia. The major population is Thai, and these individuals come from other rural, remote, impoverished provinces. Most of them are small sellers, daily wage workers, or unemployed. Consequently, many people are homeless or squatters, and they live in unoccupied spaces or in houses with unclean, unsafe conditions, with water leaks and poor ventilation, which lead to mold, mites, and other allergens associated with poor health. As one man shared, "I live under a tollway bridge. I do not have my own toilet. I must use the canal or ask for the help of my friend."

Approximately 100 households that do not have access to tap water must rent water from their neighbors at very high prices. During the pandemic, most people in the slums have waited for donations of drinking water and food from both government and nongovernmental organizations.

**Slum dwellers’ perceived vulnerability to and severity of COVID-19.** A high percentage of respondents understood their vulnerability to COVID-19 exposure and infection (Table 2). Nearly 50% of respondents believed their poor health would prevent them from coping with COVID-19 if infected. They considered their working environment unsafe and
knew they were exposed to risks. One Thai male respondent said, “I am easily infected with COVID-19 because of my chronic disease.” A Thai woman added, “Many elderly adults and children in my family are easily affected by COVID-19.”

More than 60% of respondents perceived themselves to be vulnerable because they believed COVID-19 infection was associated with poor housing conditions, inadequate water, poor sanitation, and water pollution. A Myanmar migrant expressed concern: “My house condition is [inappropriate] to fulfill COVID-19 guidelines and our community is a very small, densely populated area.” A Cambodian woman noted “I believe that access to safe water and sanitation can reduce COVID-19 transmission.”

These dwellers also perceived the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic, which can lead to mental health problems, and loss of jobs and income. One Laotian man worried, “COVID-19 is killing a million people. But most of us are daily wage workers. Yes . . . we are afraid of COVID-19, less than no food and loss of job.” A Cambodian woman said, “COVID-19 has made many people stressful and hopeless as we have to stay at home and do nothing. It affects our mental health.” They considered the situation to be severe because they are not able to afford costly medical expenses and there is no access to appropriate WASH facilities. They also do not receive adequate COVID-19 information or social assistance. A Thai adolescent urged, “We do not have access to fast and adequate information about COVID-19, and thus do not know how to protect ourselves.”

**SLUM DWELLERS’ PERCEPTIONS OF COVID-19**

**Table 1**

| Characteristic                  | No. of respondents | Frequency, % |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Age, y                         |                    |              |
| 15–17                          | 80                 | 17.66        |
| 18–35                          | 130                | 28.70        |
| 36–69                          | 136                | 30.02        |
| > 70                           | 107                | 23.62        |
| Gender                         |                    |              |
| Male                           | 220                | 48.57        |
| Female                         | 233                | 51.43        |
| Education                      |                    |              |
| Illiterate                     | 79                 | 17.44        |
| Primary school                 | 145                | 32.01        |
| Secondary school               | 112                | 24.72        |
| Tertiary school                | 92                 | 20.31        |
| Other                          | 25                 | 5.52         |
| Nationality                    |                    |              |
| Thailand                       | 401                | 88.52        |
| Myanmar                        | 32                 | 7.06         |
| Laos                           | 8                  | 1.77         |
| Cambodia                       | 12                 | 2.65         |
| Marital status                 |                    |              |
| Single                         | 195                | 43.05        |
| Married                        | 195                | 43.05        |
| Separated                      | 13                 | 2.87         |
| Co-living                      | 25                 | 5.52         |
| Widow                          | 25                 | 5.52         |
| Residential occupancy          |                    |              |
| Own home                       | 54                 | 11.92        |
| Squatter                       | 216                | 47.68        |
| Renter                         | 106                | 23.40        |
| Living with host family        | 21                 | 4.64         |
| Other                          | 56                 | 12.36        |
| Occupation                     |                    |              |
| Small seller                   | 65                 | 14.35        |
| Daily wage worker              | 153                | 33.77        |
| Unemployed                     | 101                | 22.30        |
| Student                        | 81                 | 17.88        |
| Employee                       | 24                 | 5.30         |
| Other                          | 29                 | 6.40         |

**Table 2**

| Perceived vulnerability and severity | No. of agreed respondents | Frequency, % (N = 453) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Poor health                          | 205                       | 45.25                  |
| Unsafe and exposed work environment   | 225                       | 49.67                  |
| Many children and elderly people in the family | 295 | 65.12 |
| House condition not fit for preventing COVID-19 | 260 | 57.40 |
| Living in a crowded, dense community | 285                       | 62.91                  |
| Elderly, children, and sick people affected most. | 380 | 83.89 |
| COVID-19 causes mental health issues. | 308                       | 67.99                  |
| Unaffordable medical expenses and inappropriate WASH facilities | 301 | 66.45 |
| Loss of job and income during COVID-19 | 329                       | 72.63                  |
| Inadequate protection information and assistance | 300 | 66.23 |

WASH = water, sanitation, and hygiene.
wash my hands after coming home. I think those practices help us protect our health and community."

Factors influencing slum dwellers’ threat appraisal, awareness, and protective practices. Table 4 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of respondents that affect their perceived vulnerability to, severity of, awareness of, and practices against contracting COVID-19.

Age did not affect respondents’ awareness, practices, or perceived severity, but did affect perceived vulnerability. The elderly perceived themselves as more vulnerable than younger people (β = 0.182, P < 0.001). There was no difference between men and women with regard to perceived severity and awareness of the COVID-19 pandemic, but women tended to perceive a greater vulnerability (β = -0.102, P = 0.02) and to practice better protection (β = -0.113, P = 0.02) than men. Education level affected respondents’ awareness positively (β = 0.136, P = 0.02). Respondents who had higher levels of education generally had a greater awareness of the COVID-19 pandemic and protective practices. Foreign migrants tended to be more vulnerable (β = -0.173, P < 0.001), but performed better protective practices (β = -0.171, P = 0.02) than Thai respondents. Respondents from different types of residences had different levels of awareness (β = -0.105, P = 0.02). The type of residential occupancy affected respondents’ perceptions of vulnerability (β = -0.105, P = 0.02) and severity (β = -0.146, P < 0.001). People who live in unoccupied spaces, under tollways, and near railroads perceived greater vulnerability and severity than those who live in houses. Type of occupation also affected respondents’ perception of severity (β = -0.24, P < 0.000) and protection practices (β = -0.134, P = 0.01).

DISCUSSION

Our findings show that slum dwellers in Bangkok, Thailand, perceived their vulnerability to and severity of COVID-19. Sociodemographic factors such as age, gender, nationality, occupation, and housing conditions affected how people perceived vulnerability to, severity of, awareness of, and practices against contracting COVID-19. Many of these individuals are unable to practice COVID-19 prevention because of poor housing conditions, limited access to clean water and sanitation, and unaffordable protective equipment.

WASH played a vital role in combating COVID-19, but slum communities all over the world still struggled with access to clean water, sanitation, personal protective equipment, and public health services during the pandemic. Many dwellers in the study area use water from the canals for washing because they are not able to afford tap water during the pandemic. The quality of water in vending machines and canals has been found to be unsafe, with pathogens and high Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) values (>52.6%), according to a report of the Office of Water Quality Management, Thai Ministry of the Interior.
SLUM DWELLERS’ PERCEPTIONS OF COVID-19

The difficulties encountered by slum communities in Bangkok during the pandemic are similar to those in many other developing countries. The slum dwellers in our study are mainly low-skilled migrant workers who lack adequate access to WASH and health-care services, and cannot afford protective medical equipment. They highly perceived their vulnerability to and severity of contracting COVID-19 because they live in densely populated areas with poor-quality housing, lack of adequate living space and public services, low daily earnings, and unstable jobs and lives. The elderly, women, foreign migrants, and people living in unoccupied spaces, under tollways, and near railroads were perceived as being more vulnerable to contracting COVID-19. Similar findings were found in other studies in which the elderly were perceived as more vulnerable than younger people because of their physical and mental health conditions. Elderly people had a self-perception of being at greater risk than young people because of psychosocial factors such as fear of death and anxiety. One study found that women perceived themselves to be more vulnerable to COVID-19 than men because women are often employed retail markets that are prone to disruption and environmental impacts and because they are family caregivers. Foreign migrants in our study perceived themselves as more vulnerable than local dwellers, which was also found by Niño et al. Foreign migrants perceived threats from the pandemic as a result of barriers to access health care and ethnic discrimination. Our study found that type of residential occupancy (living in unoccupied spaces, under tollways, and near railroads) was a significant factor for dwellers’ perceived vulnerability to COVID-19. Poor-quality housing and poor ventilation lead to mold, mites, and allergens causing poor health and lack of basic WASH facilities, is a favorable environment for infectious disease spread. In addition, people with residential instability and unstable occupations (daily wage workers) had a high perception of the severity of COVID-19, because they might lose their living space, job, and income, resulting in hunger and homelessness.

Because slum dwellers in this study had a high perception of their vulnerability to and severity of COVID-19, most have a good awareness COVID-19 symptoms and practice good protective techniques. Higher education levels and female gender were factors that influenced COVID-19 awareness in all ethnic groups, and women tended to follow COVID-19 protection guidelines better than men. Foreign ethnic groups and daily wage workers also practiced more COVID-19 protection than other groups. Awareness along with motivation and ability to understand and appraise health risks or vulnerable situations help people make better decisions regarding improving their health practices, preventing disease, and protecting their health. Perceived vulnerability can help people enhance preventive practices because of emotions such as fear and anxiety. Individual good preventive practices can help reduce the severity of harmful events and increase self-efficacy regarding disease prevention benefits.

CONCLUSION

Our study depicted a realist picture of the lives of urban marginalized people who live in slums during the pandemic. People in these informal settlements are being deprived of their right to water and sanitation, with severe implications on their ability to prevent and survive COVID-19 infection. Despite the great efforts of international development organizations and governments in urban WASH and health-care programs, many slum communities exist in poor urban environments across the world. The results of our study note the need for urgent intervention and special assistance from development organizations, the government, and society in responding to the requirements of slum dwellers by ensuring access to clean water, sanitation, and health-care services. Any WASH and health programs responding to future pandemics must reflect slum dwellers’ sociodemographic characteristics to enhance their threat appraisal capacity and coping abilities. This requires the continuous engagement of international development organizations and governments with slum communities to identify possible barriers to disease prevention, access to public health-care services, and social protection. Efforts should also be made to improve the availability of immediate information about the ways to combat the pandemic, including both offline and online information platforms in different languages for different ethnic groups in slum communities.
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