A Novel Collateral Imaging Method Derived from Time-Resolved Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MR Angiography in Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Pilot Study
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Assessment of the collateral status has been emphasized for appropriate treatment decisions in patients with acute ischemic stroke. The purpose of this study was to introduce a multiphase MRA collateral imaging method (collateral map) derived from time-resolved dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA and to verify the value of the multiphase MRA collateral map in acute ischemic stroke by comparing it with the multiphase collateral imaging method (MRP collateral map) derived from dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MR perfusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: From a prospectively maintained registry of acute ischemic stroke, MR imaging data of patients with acute ischemic stroke caused by steno-occlusive lesions of the unilateral ICA and/or the M1 segment of the MCA were analyzed. We generated collateral maps using dynamic signals from dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA and DSC-MRP using a Matlab-based in-house program and graded the collateral scores of the multiphase MRA collateral map and the MRP collateral map independently. Interobserver reliabilities and intermethod agreement between both collateral maps for collateral grading were tested.

RESULTS: Seventy-one paired multiphase MRA and MRP collateral maps from 67 patients were analyzed. The interobserver reliabilities for collateral grading using multiphase MRA or MRP collateral maps were excellent (weighted $\kappa = 0.964$ and 0.956, respectively). The agreement between both collateral maps was also excellent (weighted $\kappa = 0.884$; 95% confidence interval, 0.819–0.949).

CONCLUSIONS: We demonstrated that the dynamic signals of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA could be used to generate multiphase collateral images and showed the possibility of the multiphase MRA collateral map as a useful collateral imaging method in acute ischemic stroke.

ABBREVIATIONS: AIS — acute ischemic stroke; DCE-MRA — dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA; DSC-MRP — dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MR perfusion; mMRA — multiphase MRA; MRP — MR perfusion

The cerebral collaterals are alternative vascular channels for maintaining blood perfusion to the ischemic brain distal to an arterial occlusion. The collateral status varies among patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS) and determines the final infarct size and clinical outcome. Prior studies of endovascular treatment showed that better collaterals are associated with less infarct growth and better functional outcome, whereas poor collaters are linked to hemorrhagic complications and poor recanalization rates. In terms of endovascular treatment in patients beyond 5 hours from onset, it was suggested that a better collateral status could extend the time window. Therefore, assessment of the collateral status has been emphasized for appropriate treatment decisions in patients with AIS.

The imaging methods for collateral assessment have progressed from single-phase to multiphase imaging. The arterial scoring method based on single-phase CTA had a critical limitation of underestimating the leptomeningeal collaterals with a longer transit time due to early triggering of a static acquisition. A method based on multiphase CTA overcame this limitation but still has problems, including radiation exposure, use of iodine contrast media, and imperfect prediction of infarct volume. An-
other multiphase collateral imaging method (collateral flow map) derived from dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MR perfusion (DSC-MRP) was introduced, and the study showed that the collateral flow map had prognostic value in AIS with a major artery occlusion. However, DSC-MRP does not provide angiographic information, which is an indispensable imaging parameter for endovascular treatment. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA (DCE-MRA) is also an angiographic imaging method, and like DSC-MRP, it detects intracranial dynamic signals according to the flow of MR imaging contrast media. We developed a new multiphase collateral imaging method, (the mMRA collateral map), using the dynamic signals of DCE-MRA. This study was conducted to verify the value of the mMRA collateral map as a collateral imaging method by comparing it with the multiphase collateral imaging method derived from DSC-MRP (MRP collateral map).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

We analyzed MR imaging data of patients with AIS from a prospectively maintained registry. The MR imaging data of consecutive patients from September 2015 to March 2017 at 2 university medical centers were analyzed retrospectively. Inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: 1) patients who presented with symptoms consistent with AIS within 8 hours of symptom onset; 2) patients older than 18 years of age; 3) patients who underwent brain MR imaging, including DWI, DSC-MRP, and DCE-MRA, at admission; and 4) patients with occlusion or severe stenosis (≥70%) of the unilateral ICA and/or M1 segment of the MCA (M1 MCA) and the associated symptoms. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with occlusion or severe stenosis of the contralateral ICA and/or MCA; 2) patients with intracranial hemorrhage on admission MR imaging; 3) patients with previous major stroke with a residual disability (modified Rankin Scale score, ≥2); 4) patients with other brain lesions, such as neoplasm, infection, or demyelination, and so forth; 5) patients unable to undergo MR imaging; and 6) patients with poor-quality MR imaging due to various artifacts. Institutional review boards of Konkuk University Medical Center and Daejeon St. Mary’s Hospital approved this study. All participants provided informed consent.

**Imaging Protocol and Postprocessing to Generate the Collateral Map**

All MR imaging examinations were performed on a 3T Magnetom Skyra MR system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 20-channel head/neck coil. The routine MR imaging protocol for AIS included DWI, SWI, DCE-MRA, DSC-MRP, and FLAIR. The acquisition parameters are summarized in Table 1. DCE-MRA was performed by the repetitive acquisition of coronal 3D imaging slabs extending from the aortic arch to the cranial vertex, including the whole brain, with a temporal resolution of 1.6 seconds per dynamic acquisition. 3D rotational arteriography was reconstructed with a dataset of an arterial time point of DCE-MRA to interpret the arterial status. The total acquisition time for the MR imaging was 6 minutes 36 seconds, and the total amount of contrast medium was 7.5 mL of gadobutrol (Gadovist; Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) (Fig 1).

We developed a Matlab-based program (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) to produce an mMRA collateral map and an MRP collateral map (Fig 2). The sequential postprocessing steps are as follows: 1) opening and reading DICOM source images of DCE-MRA and DSC-MRP; 2) cropping all DICOM images of DCE-MRA to obtain only the brain region by removing the cervical and aortic arch areas, which are not necessary for the mMRA collateral map; 3) storing all acquired DCE-MRA or DSC-MRP image data in a 4D matrix; 4) creating MIP images for each phase by comparing it with the multiphase collateral imaging method derived from DSC-MRP (MRP collateral map).
first phase noncontrast image from each subsequent time-series image to eliminate the background signal; 5) obtaining arterial and venous signal intensity–time curves for ROIs on the MCA in nonischemic hemisphere and the superior sagittal sinus by plotting signal changes in the ROIs, and 4 phases (arterial phase = from the beginning of arrival of the contrast in the MCA to the arterial bolus peak; capillary phase = from just past the arterial bolus peak to just before the venous bolus peak in the superior sagittal sinus; early venous phase = first half of the venous phase from the venous bolus peak to the starting point of the venous plateau; late venous phase = second half of the venous phase) of collateral maps are determined according to the signal intensity–time curves automatically. In mMRA collateral maps, an axial reformatting process for the original coronal images is added before generating the collateral map.

**Collateral Grading and Statistical Analysis**

We developed a preliminary collateral grading system with a 6-point scale for collateral maps to define the collateral-perfusion status and severity of ischemia in the MCA territory by comparison with the unaffected cerebral hemisphere. The collateral grading system was defined as follows: 5 = excellent, 4 = good, 3 = intermediate to good, 2 = intermediate to poor, 1 = poor, 0 = very poor (Table 2). This grading system was made by modifications of 2 previously reported grading systems by Tan et al.\(^\text{14}\) and Menon et al.\(^\text{7}\). To make the collateral grading easy and straightforward, we adopted the criterion of one-half of the MCA territory at each phase. Additionally, one-half of the MCA territory is widely considered the threshold above which a poor outcome is highly probable.\(^\text{15}\)

In visually undetermined, borderline cases with a collateral-perfusion delay of approximately one-half of the MCA territory,
The collateral score was decided by counting the number of regions with a collateral-perfusion delay similar to that of ASPECTS. The differentiation of 3 subcortical structures (eg, caudate, lentiform nucleus, and internal capsule) might be arbitrary or limited with the resolution of the collateral map, and subcortical structures are less influenced by collateral circulation; therefore, we divided the MCA territory into 8 areas: M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, the insular ribbon, and the subcortical structures (Fig 5). For example, a collateral-perfusion delay greater than one-half of the MCA territory means that the collateral-perfusion delay involves >4 of the 8 regions in the MCA territory. Two experienced neuroradiologists (H.J.K. and H.G.R. with 15 years and 17 years of experience, respectively) independently graded the collateral scores of the mMRA and MRP collateral maps in a blinded fashion on 2 separate occasions 1 week apart. Both neuroradiologists were also blinded to all clinical and other imaging data. To assess the intermethod agreement between the mMRA collateral map and the MRP collateral map, we determined the final scores by consensus. The functional outcome of patients was assessed at day 90 with mRS. A favorable outcome was defined as an mRS of ≤2, or an mRS equal to the prestroke mRS if the prestroke mRS was >2, at day 90.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics, Version 21 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The interobserver reliabilities for collateral grading of both collateral maps and the intermethod agreement between the mMRA and the MRP collateral maps were measured by the Cohen weighted κ. Coefficients were interpreted as follows: κ = 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; κ = 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; κ = 0.61–0.80, substantial agreement; κ = 0.81–1.0, almost perfect agreement.

RESULTS

Sixty-seven patients, including 34 women and 33 men, with a mean age of 69.7 ± 11.5 years (range, 38–93 years), met the inclusion criteria. All patients presented with AIS. Thirteen patients (19.4%) presented with occlusion of the extracranial ICA, 7 patients (10.4%) presented with severe stenosis of the extracranial ICA, 4 patients (6.0%) presented with occlusion of the intracranial ICA, and 43 patients (64.2%) presented with M1 M1 occlusion. The collateral score was determined for 66 patients (98.5%); 1 patient was excluded because of a poor image quality. The results of the interobserver and intermethod agreements are displayed in Table 1. The interobserver agreement was substantial for the mMRA collateral map (κ = 0.61) and almost perfect for the MRP collateral map (κ = 0.81). The intermethod agreement was almost perfect for both collateral maps (κ = 0.81).

One patient had a subcortical infarct, which was scored as 4 (good). Another patient had a large cortical infarct, which was scored as 2 (poor). The functional outcomes of these 2 patients were measured at day 90 with mRS. A favorable outcome was defined as an mRS of ≤2, or an mRS equal to the prestroke mRS if the prestroke mRS was >2, at day 90.

The interobserver reliabilities for collateral grading of both collateral maps and the intermethod agreement between the mMRA and the MRP collateral maps were measured by the Cohen weighted κ. Coefficients were interpreted as follows: κ = 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; κ = 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; κ = 0.61–0.80, substantial agreement; κ = 0.81–1.0, almost perfect agreement.

RESULTS

Sixty-seven patients, including 34 women and 33 men, with a mean age of 69.7 ± 11.5 years (range, 38–93 years), met the inclusion criteria. All patients presented with AIS. Thirteen patients (19.4%) presented with occlusion of the extracranial ICA, 7 patients (10.4%) presented with severe stenosis of the extracranial ICA, 4 patients (6.0%) presented with occlusion of the intracranial ICA, and 43 patients (64.2%) presented with M1 M1 occlusion. The collateral score was determined for 66 patients (98.5%); 1 patient was excluded because of a poor image quality. The results of the interobserver and intermethod agreements are displayed in Table 1. The interobserver agreement was substantial for the mMRA collateral map (κ = 0.61) and almost perfect for the MRP collateral map (κ = 0.81). The intermethod agreement was almost perfect for both collateral maps (κ = 0.81).

FIG 3. mMRA and MRP collateral maps at 2 hours 18 minutes and 2 hours 21 minutes after symptom onset in a 61-year-old woman with occlusion of the right MCA. The 3-month mRS score of the patient was 2. A, DCE-MRA shows occlusion of the right M1 MCA. Gray-scale (B) and color-coded (C) mMRA collateral maps. On the mMRA collateral maps, the collateral-perfusion delay involves more than one-half of the MCA territory, including the subcortical structures in the capillary phase and no collateral-perfusion delay in the early venous phase. The collateral score is 3 (intermediate to good). Gray-scale (D) and color-coded (E) MRP collateral maps. The MRP collateral map shows the same collateral score as the mMRA collateral map.
nal ICA, 2 patients (3.0%) presented with severe stenosis of the intracranial ICA, 15 patients (22.4%) presented with occlusion of the ICA and M1 MCA, 1 patient (1.5%) presented with severe stenosis of the ICA and M1 MCA, 23 patients (34.3%) presented with occlusion of the M1 MCA, and 2 patients (3.0%) presented with severe stenosis of the M1 MCA. After good recovery (mRS 0 or 1) from the initial stroke during the study period, 4 of 67 patients had a recurrent stroke (n = 2) or TIA (n = 2) due to occlusion of the left MCA (n = 1), severe in-stent restenoses at the left distal ICA (n = 1) and right MCA (n = 1), and persistent severe stenosis of the proximal left ICA (n = 1), respectively. Finally, 71 paired mMRA collateral maps and MRP collateral maps of 67 patients were analyzed (Figures 4 and 5).

### Table 2: Collateral grading system for analysis of the collateral map

| Score          | Description of Collateral Status                                                                 |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5 (Excellent)  | No or small* collateral-perfusion delay in the MCA territory in the capillary phase regardless of the collateral status in the arterial phase |
| 4 (Good)       | Collateral-perfusion delay equal to or less than one-half of MCA territory in the capillary phase and no or small delay in the early venous phase |
| 3 (Intermediate to good) | 1) Collateral-perfusion delay equal to or less than one-half of the MCA territory in the capillary phase and equal or less than one-half in the early venous phase  
|                | 2) Collateral-perfusion delay more than one-half of the MCA territory in the capillary phase and no or small delay in the early venous phase |
| 2 (Intermediate to poor) | Collateral-perfusion delay more than one-half of the MCA territory in the capillary phase and equal to or less than one-half in the early venous phase |
| 1 (Poor)       | Collateral-perfusion delay in the early venous phase equal to or less than one-half in the late venous phase |
| 0 (Very poor)  | Collateral-perfusion delay/defect more than one-half of the MCA territory in the late venous phase regardless of perfusion status at previous phases |

* "Small" indicates an area <1 of 8 MCA regions (Fig 5).
patients were generated successfully and compared. The interobserver reliability for collateral grading of the mMRA collateral map (weighted $\kappa = 0.964$; 95% confidence interval, 0.929–0.999) and of the MRP collateral map (weighted $\kappa = 0.956$; 95% confidence interval, 0.919–0.993) was almost perfect. The agreement between both collateral maps was also excellent (weighted $\kappa = 0.884$; 95% confidence interval, 0.819–0.949) (Figs 3 and 4). Among 63 patients, excepting 4 patients with recurrent stroke or TIA, excellent collateral grade was found in 8 patients (12.7%); good collateral grade, in 8 (12.7%); intermediate to good collateral grade, in 16 (25.4%); intermediate to poor collateral grade, in 17 (27.0%); poor collateral grade, in 9 (14.3%); and very poor collateral grade, in 5 (7.9%). The functional outcome of the patients was demonstrated in Fig 6.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated that the dynamic signals of DCE-MRA could be used to generate multiphase collateral images, called the mMRA collateral map, and showed good agreement between the mMRA collateral map and the MRP collateral map for the grading of collateral status. Kim et al reported that multiphase collateral images derived from DSC-MRP, a similar concept to our MRP collateral map, could provide information about collateral circulation and had prognostic value in 134 patients with AIS. Their collateral flow map consisted of early, mid-, and late phases divided according to reference time point and representing the midpoint of the midphase on the signal intensity–time curve, and the 3 phases were correlated with arterial, capillary, and venous phases on DSA, respectively. They showed good correlation between MRP- and DSA-based collateral grades.

Several studies have shown that a large ischemic core and poor collaterals among imaging parameters were strong predictors of an unfavorable response to endovascular treatment and poor functional outcome, and excluding patients with a large ischemic core and poor collateral circulation may avoid futile and dangerous recanalization therapy. Conversely, good collateral circulation can limit ischemic core expansion and prolong the time that penumbral tissue-at-risk remains salvageable until reperfusion therapy, and some studies have suggested that the time window for endovascular treatment can be successfully extended in patients with good collaterals. Therefore, a quick and accurate estimation of the collateral status is essential for endovascular treatment in patients with AIS. Multiphase CTA was recently used in the Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Anterior Circulation Proximal Occlusion with Emphasis on Minimizing CT to Recanalization Times (ESCAPE) trial and was shown to help determine the clinical outcome. The multiphase CTA technique is quick and easy to use without complex postprocessing and acquires temporal information at 3 time points. However, the MR imaging–based collateral map has several fundamental advantages over multiphase CTA, in addition to the avoidance of radiation and iodine contrast media: 1) The phases of the MR imaging–based collateral map are not fixed and can be individualized after obtaining time-intensity curves and delay correction. Therefore, the MR imaging–based collateral map may overcome intrinsic patient factors and problems due to scan timing and may reflect each phase more precisely. 2) MRP and MRA permit repeat scanning if the first scan is deemed inappropriate. 3) The MR imaging–based collateral map can provide more detailed information about the tissue-level, brain parenchymal collateral perfusion in addition to cortical artery information.
Previous studies have shown that half-dose single-phase contrast-enhanced MRA and perfusion did not negatively affect either the image quality or the quantitative analysis of perfusion data.\textsuperscript{20,21} Another study showed that time-resolved DCE-MRA with a low dose (2–3 mL) of gadobutrol could provide good image quality with a signal-to-noise ratio comparable with that of conventional single-phase 3D high-resolution contrast-enhanced MRA with a standard dose.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, we could adopt a low-dose protocol for DCE-MRA, and the remaining contrast media could be used for DSC-MRP. We succeeded in generating mMRA and MRP collateral maps in all patients. Additional angiographic imaging is not necessary in the stroke MR imaging protocol when the mMRA collateral map is applied as collateral imaging, unlike the MRP collateral map, which shortens the imaging time while also requiring a minimal dose of contrast media.

We used a self-developed collateral grading system because there was no established grading method for 4-phase collateral imaging. Our grading system was largely based on that of multiphase CTA according to the perfusion lag and its extent. The differences were that we used the criterion of one-half of the MCA territory at each grading score, and we included parenchymal collateral perfusion as well as cortical arteries in comparison with the healthy contralateral MCA territory. Because an infarct volume of more than one-half of the MCA territory has been widely considered the threshold for poor outcome of acute MCA stroke, we thought that the one-half-area criterion could be optimal for visual assessment while avoiding ambiguous terms, such as “some,” “a few,” or “periphery,” used in the existing grading systems.\textsuperscript{11,12,23} In borderline cases with collateral-perfusion delay in approximately one-half of the MCA territory, the 8-region MCA diagram was used to resolve the ambiguity in collateral grading. We divided the venous phase into early venous phase and late venous phase because we observed that patients with a larger area of collateral-perfusion delay/defect in the late venous phase tended to have a large ischemic core and a worse prognosis than patients with a smaller area. We considered that validation of the clinical meaning of early and late venous phases was necessary in the application of the collateral map to patients with AIS.

Our study has several limitations. First, the clinical efficacy of the mMRA collateral map as a collateral imaging method was not evaluated. We showed a chart with a tendency for an increasing number of patients with favorable outcome as increasing collateral grade, but the numbers in these data are too small to evaluate statistically. Although the DSC-MRP–based collateral imaging has been evaluated clinically, the evaluation was a retrospective observational study at a single medical center.\textsuperscript{12} Further clinical studies are necessary to validate the clinical usefulness of the mMRA collateral map and the grading method. We are pursuing a prospective clinical study for this validation. Second, 5 additional minutes were needed for postprocessing to generate an mMRA collateral map. Therefore, the total imaging time was 11 minutes 36 seconds for the MR imaging acquisition and postprocessing in this study. Although this was an acceptable time for acute stroke imaging, further effort should be made to shorten the imaging time.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We introduced a new collateral imaging method derived from time-resolved DCE-MRA and showed that this method can provide collateral information, like the collateral imaging method derived from DSC-MRP. Future validation of the clinical usefulness of the mMRA collateral map and large clinical studies are needed.
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