A low surface brightness halo surrounding the globular cluster NGC 5694*
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ABSTRACT

We report on the discovery of an extended stellar halo surrounding the distant Galactic globular cluster NGC 5694, based on new deep (V ≃ 24.5) wide-field (24′ × 20′) photometry acquired with VIMOS at VLT. Stars with colour and magnitude consistent with the Main Sequence of the cluster are clearly identified out to r ≃ 9′ (≃ 93 pc) from the cluster center, much beyond the tidal radius of the King model that best fits the inner profile (r_t = 3.15′). We do not find a clear end of the structure within our field. The overall observed profile cannot be properly fitted with either a King (1966) model, an Elson et al. (1987) model, or a Wilson (1975) model; however it is very smooth and does not show any sign of the break near the tidal radius that is typically observed in stellar systems with tidal tails. The density map we derived does not show evidence of tidal tails, within the considered field. The extra-tidal component contains ≃ 3.5% of the cluster light (mass) and has a surface density profile falling as ∝ r^{-3/2}. The possible origin of the detected structure is discussed, as a clear-cut conclusion cannot be reached with the available data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

NGC 5694 is a relatively poorly studied globular cluster (GC) residing in the outer Halo of the Galaxy. Based on its large distance (∼ 30 kpc) and radial velocity (V_r ≃ 144 km s^{-1}), Harris & Hesser (1976) suggested that the cluster is on a hyperbolic orbit not bound to the Milky Way (MW). Ortolani & Gratton (1999) (OG99 hereafter) were the first to publish CCD photometry of the cluster (over a ∼ 4′ × 2.5′ field of view). They concluded that NGC 5694 is a metal-poor ([Fe/H] = −1.65) stellar system with an age comparable to that of the oldest GCs of similar metallicity (age ≃ 12.5 Gyr, see Dotter et al. 2010), lying at a distance D ≃ 32 kpc. From low-resolution Ca triplet spectroscopy of nine cluster giants, Keisler et al. (1993) obtained [Fe/H] = −1.87 ± 0.07, and slightly revised the systemic velocity, V_r = −140.7 ± 2.4 km s^{-1}. The low metallicity and old age were confirmed by De Angeli et al. (2003), based on HST/WFPC2 photometry of the cluster center. The Horizontal Branch (HB) is significantly populated only at colours bluer than the RR Lyrae instability strip and, indeed, no such variable was found in the cluster (Hazen 1996).

Eventually, Lee, López-Morales & Carney (2006, hereafter L06) obtained high-resolution spectroscopy of a star on the Red Giant Branch (RGB) of NGC 5694. They were able to derive the abundance of several elements, including Fe ([Fe/H] = −1.93 ± 0.07) and other iron-peak elements, α-elements, r- and s- elements. They found an abundance of α-elements (Ca + Ti)/(2Fe) ≃ 0.0, i.e. much lower than that typical of old GCs in the MW halo (∼ +0.3) and similar to stars of similar metallicity in nearby dwarf spheroidal (dSph) galaxies, in globular clusters of the LMC and Fornax dSph, and in a handful of MW GCs (see for example Cohen 2004, Venn et al. 2004, Monaco et al. 2005, Sbordone et al. 2007), that are known (or strongly suspected) to have been accreted from disrupted/disrupting dwarf Galactic satellites (see Pritzl et al. 2005, Law & Majewski 2010, Kirby et al. 2011, for references and discussion). Moreover, NGC 5694 appears to have [Cu/Fe] and [Ba/Eu] ratios among the lowest of any
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stellar system for which the abundances of these elements have been measured. L06 interpret these chemical anomalies and the high velocity as evidence for an extra-Galactic origin of the cluster. They did not find any significant correlation with existing satellites and/or other GCs, thus concluding that the original parent galaxy is presently dissolved. Indeed, the association of several GCs in the outer halo of the MW and M31 with relics of partially or totally disrupted dwarf galaxies they originally belonged to is now quite firmly established [Bellazzini, Ferraro & Ibata 2003; Perina et al. 2004; Law & Majewski 2010; Mackey et al. 2010].

Triggered by this fascinating hypothesis on the origin of NGC 5694, we obtained new deep photometry over a wide Field of View (FoV), to search the surroundings of the cluster. For this deed, the association of several GCs in the outer halo of the MW and M31 with relics of partially or totally disrupted stellar system for which the abundances of these elements have been measured. L06 interpret these chemical anomalies and the high velocity as evidence for an extra-Galactic origin of the cluster. They did not find any significant correlation with existing satellites and/or other GCs, thus concluding that the original parent galaxy is presently dissolved. Indeed, the association of several GCs in the outer halo of the MW and M31 with relics of partially or totally disrupted dwarf galaxies they originally belonged to is now quite firmly established [Bellazzini, Ferraro & Ibata 2003; Perina et al. 2004; Law & Majewski 2010; Mackey et al. 2010].

Triggered by this fascinating hypothesis on the origin of NGC 5694, we obtained new deep photometry over a wide Field of View (FoV), to search the surroundings of the clusters for stellar features that might reveal hints on the origin and evolution of the system. In this paper we report on the detection of stars far beyond the reported tidal radius of the cluster, that appears to have a profile smoothly extending at least out to \( \simeq 9 \)′ from the center.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

Observations were performed on the night of July 29, 2008 with the VIMOS camera mounted at ESO-VLT UT3, Paranal Observatory (Chile), under stable and clear conditions (seeing \( \simeq 1 \)″ FWHM). VIMOS is a mosaic of four \( \simeq 2000 \times 2300 \) px\(^2\) CCDs (with pixel scale 0.26″/px), each covering a FoV of 7′ \( \times \) 8′, separated by 2′ gaps. We obtained one B and one V exposure per pointing, in four different pointings, thus covering a total FoV of \( \sim 24′ \times 20′\) centered on the cluster, without gaps. The exposure time was always set to \( t_{\text{exp}} = 200 \) s.

The images were corrected for bias and flat-field with standard IRAF procedures. Photometry of individual stars was performed with the well-known Point Spread Function fitting code DAOPHOT [Stetson 1987]. The images were searched for sources with intensity peaks at \( \geq 3 \sigma \) above the background. The pixel coordinates of the catalogues with instrumental B,V magnitudes for each chip were transformed into J2000 Equatorial coordinates by means of third-order polynomials obtained using several (\( \geq 60 \) per chip) astrometric standards from the GSC2.2 catalogue. As an independent test we found that the standard deviation of the residuals in both RA and Dec for the 513 stars in common with the 2MASS catalogue [Skrutskie et al. 2006] over the whole FoV of the mosaic is \( \leq 0.2″\). The instrumental magnitudes of all the catalogues were measured. L06 interpret these chemical anomalies and the high velocity as evidence for an extra-Galactic origin of the cluster. They did not found any significant correlation with existing satellites and/or other GCs, thus concluding that the original parent galaxy is presently dissolved. Indeed, the association of several GCs in the outer halo of the MW and M31 with relics of partially or totally disrupted dwarf galaxies they originally belonged to is now quite firmly established [Bellazzini, Ferraro & Ibata 2003; Perina et al. 2004; Law & Majewski 2010; Mackey et al. 2010].

In Fig. 1 we show the derived CMD for different radial annuli around the cluster center. This is the deepest and cleanest CMD ever obtained for NGC 5694, for such a wide FoV. The upper-left panel shows the most central annulus considered and rms scatter = 0.035 mag in both B and V (from 166 stars in common with V \( \leq 21.0\)). We estimated the reddening by interpolating on the Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) maps on a grid covering the whole FoV at the resolution of the maps (\( \simeq 5′\)). According to this source, the reddening is very uniform over the field, with maximum differences smaller than 0.015 mag. The average value is \( E(B - V) = 0.099 \) which is in excellent agreement with the result by OG90 and is adopted in the following. To estimate the distance we matched, on the Colour-Magnitude Diagram (CMD), the HB of NGC 5694 with that of M 68 (from Walker 1994), a GC with a similar metallicity and a well populated BHB. The best match is obtained assuming \( (m - M)_0 = 17.75 \pm 0.4 \) corresponding to \( D = 35.5 \) kpc, in agreement with the distance reported in the Harris (1996) catalogue (2010 edition), and slightly larger than what was found by OG90. At this distance, 1 arcmin corresponds to 10.3 pc. In the following we will adopt the coordinates of the cluster center provided by Novola & Gebhardt (2006; NG06 hereafter). Since the incompleteness in the innermost 0.5′ is quite large, due to the high degree of crowding, in the present work we will limit our analysis only to the stars at distances larger than 1′ from the cluster center.

3 RESULTS

In Fig. 1 we show the derived CMD for different radial annuli around the cluster center. This is the deepest and cleanest CMD ever obtained for NGC 5694, for such a wide FoV. The upper-left panel shows the most central annulus considered

| log r [arcmin] | \( \mu_V^a \) [mag/arcmin\(^2\)] | err(\( \mu_V \)) [mag/arcmin\(^2\)] |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.1761         | 23.92                       | 0.05                        |
| 0.2304         | 24.27                       | 0.06                        |
| 0.2788         | 24.65                       | 0.06                        |
| 0.3222         | 24.93                       | 0.07                        |
| 0.3617         | 25.06                       | 0.07                        |
| 0.3979         | 25.36                       | 0.08                        |
| 0.4314         | 25.70                       | 0.09                        |
| 0.4624         | 25.89                       | 0.09                        |
| 0.4914         | 26.22                       | 0.10                        |
| 0.5185         | 26.52                       | 0.12                        |
| 0.5441         | 26.61                       | 0.12                        |
| 0.5911         | 26.94                       | 0.13                        |
| 0.6532         | 27.52                       | 0.07                        |
| 0.7404         | 28.46                       | 0.11                        |
| 0.8129         | 29.17                       | 0.16                        |
| 0.8751         | 29.57                       | 0.20                        |
| 0.9294         | 29.91                       | 0.23                        |

\( ^a \) Background subtracted. Not corrected for reddening.
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Figure 1. Colour-Magnitude Diagram of NGC 5694 in different radial annuli. $r = 4.28'$ corresponds to the tidal radius derived by TKD. The average errors are reported as errorbars on the blue side of the upper-left panel. A comparison with the predictions of the TRILEGAL Galactic model (Girardi et al. 2005) for this direction suggests that the sparse vertical plume of blue stars at $B - V \sim 0.3$ and $18.0 \lesssim V \lesssim 23.0$ that is seen in the lower two panels is likely due to nearby White Dwarfs belonging to the Galactic Disc.

in detail by Federici et al. (2007), we obtained the surface density profile from star counts on concentric annuli (before subtraction of the background and limited to $r \gtrsim 1.2'$) that is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2. The stars were selected as likely cluster members based on the selection boxes shown in the upper-right inset and were counted out to $r = 10'$, i.e. the largest circle that is fully enclosed within our field. The area outside this circle was used to estimate the background density. It is clear that even in the outermost point of the profile the excess of surface density above the background is very significant ($> 5\sigma$). This suggests that the distribution of cluster stars likely extends beyond this limit. If true, this would imply that the adopted background level is an overestimate of the true surface density of the background. To verify this possibility we would need observations of similar deepness over a FoV wider than the one considered here, that are not available. In the lower panels of Fig. 2 we compare the observed CMD for the $r > 10'$ region, with the corresponding synthetic CMD predicted by the Galactic model of Robin et al. (2003, R03 hereafter). To do that (a) we retrieved model predictions for a FoV of 1 deg$^2$ in the direction of NGC5694, (b) we rescaled the extinction of the
model to have the same asymptotic value as the observed one, and corrected the stars magnitudes accordingly, (c) we randomly extracted a subsample by rescaling the number of stars to the ratio of the considered FoVs, (d) we cut the data according to the limiting magnitude line of the observed CMD, and, (f) we added an observational error to each magnitude of each star, randomly extracted from a Gaussian distribution having the same $\sigma$ of observed stars of the same magnitude (see Fig. 1). We made $10^4$ random extractions of the synthetic sample, like the one shown in the lower right panel of Fig. 2. For each extraction we counted the number of stars falling within the over-plotted selection box and having $21.5 \leq V < 23.5$. We limited the comparison to MS stars since the MS is the only cluster sequence that is populated at large radii, and we adopted $V < 23.5$ to minimize the contamination by background galaxies. The distribution of this number $N_{\text{mod}}$ has average $\langle N_{\text{mod}} \rangle = 57.3$, standard deviation $\sigma = 7.5$, a minimum $N_{\text{mod}}^{\text{MIN}} = 36$ and a maximum $N_{\text{mod}}^{\text{MAX}} = 86$, while the observed value is $N_{\text{obs}} = 88$, i.e. in excess of the model predictions in the 100 per cent of the cases. Very similar results are obtained if the TRILEGAL Galactic model (Girardi et al. 2005) is adopted, instead of R03. It should be noted that $N_{\text{mod}}$ is an estimate for the upper limit of the expected $N_{\text{obs}}$, since the synthetic sample is not affected by incompleteness, while the observed one is. On the other hand it is still possible that some background galaxy spilled into the selection box, thus contaminating the counts of the observed sample. The only conclusion that can be drawn is that the predictions of the R03 and TRILEGAL models are fully consistent with the hypothesis that the distribution of NGC5494 stars extends beyond the limits sampled by the profile of Fig. 1.

The Surface Brightness (SB) profile of NGC 5694 was studied by TKD based on accurately assembled heterogeneous data from CCD and photographic images. McLaughlin & van der Marel (2005, McV hereafter) re-analyzed the same data-set finding slightly different solutions for the best-fitting K66 model (obtaining $r_t = 5.09^\prime$). On the other hand, NG06 used archival HST data to obtain accurate and homogeneous SB profiles in the innermost 2.4'. In Fig. 3 we adopted the smoothed version of the observed profile provided by NG06 as a reference to convert into absolute units the background-subtracted profile of the outer region, that we obtained from star counts. The excellent match in the overlapping region $1.0^\prime \leq r \leq 2.5^\prime$ guarantees that our profile is not affected by radial variations of the completeness, since the NG06 profile is obtained from integrated light, that, by definition, cannot suffer from incompleteness (see Federici et al. 2007, for a thorough discussion).

It is quite clear that, while the innermost $1.0^\prime$ of the profile (including $\gtrsim 80\%$ of the cluster light) is well fitted by a K66 model with the reported parameters, having $r_t = 3.15^\prime$, the observed profile smoothly extends far beyond this radius without any sign of a discontinuity. By numerical integration of the observed profile we estimated that $\simeq 3.5\%$ of the cluster light is found outside this radius; we obtained also purely empirical estimates of the half-light radius ($r_h = 0.28^\prime$) and of the integrated absolute $V$ magnitude ($M_V = -8.0$), both in good agreement with the values reported by Harris (1996).

It is interesting to note that the newly derived profile extends beyond any estimate of $r_t$ that can be found in the literature, by a factor $\geq 2$. We tried also to fit the SB distribution with an EFF profile, as these models were introduced to describe (young) extragalactic clusters that were more extended than what is allowed for by classical K66 models (see McV for discussion). Again, we find that the EFF model that fits well the inner parts is unable to fit the observed profile beyond $r \sim 1.2^\prime$, because it predicts too much light (stars) in this region.

Finally, McV fitted the observed profiles of all the GCs they considered, also with isotropic and spherical Wilson (1975, W75 hereafter) models, finding that “...in the majority of case the Wilson models which are spatially more extended than King models but still include a finite, "tidal" cutoff in density, fit clusters of any age ... as well as or better than King models”. The long-dashed curve in Fig. 3 is the

---

4 Note that this is not a problem for the profile shown in Fig. 3 below, since any contamination by unresolved galaxies should equally affect the cluster area and the (observed) background area, while these sources are not included in Galactic models.

5 Including NGC5694, where the three models have remarkably similar performances in fitting the observed profile, in the radial range covered by the data used by McV ($r \leq 3^\prime$; see their Tab. 10 and Fig. 12f).
profile of a spherical and isotropic W75 model that provides an excellent fit to the observed profile within r < 1'. The dotted line is the power-law best-fitting the profile beyond ~ 1.2'. The small vertical segments mark the position of the tidal radius as derived with K66 model fitting, from left to right, in the present paper, by TKD, and by McV.

4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The low SB extended component in NGC 5694 might be, of course, of tidal origin. In fact, it is well known that GCs lose stars because of tidal stripping (see, Gnedin & Ostriker 1997; Combes, Leon, & Mevlan 1999; Montuori et al. 2007, and references therein), and, indeed, extended extra-tidal components or tidal tails have been observed in several cases (Grillmair et al. 1995; Leon, Mevlan & Combes 2000; Federici et al. 2007; Odenkirchen et al. 2001; Belokurov et al. 2006; Chun et al. 2010; Jordi & Grebel 2010; Sollima et al. 2011, among others).

The main arguments supporting this interpretation are (a) the CMD of the stars found beyond the cluster tidal radius do not appear to differ from the CMD of stars residing in the main body of the cluster, and (b) the surface density steadily (and steeply) decreases from the cluster center out to the farthest radius sampled by our data, i.e. the cluster appears as the center of symmetry for the distribution of extra-tidal stars.

However, there are also relevant differences with respect to the ordinary cases of clusters tidal tails studied in the literature and NGC5694. First of all, the observed extra-tidal portions of the profiles typically display a much shallower decline with radius, ~\(r^{-1}\), instead of ~\(r^{-3}\) as found here (Grillmair et al. 1995; Leon, Mevlan & Combes 2000; Chun et al. 2010 and references therein). Even more remarkably, we do not detect any break in the SB profile in the vicinity of the tidal radius, which is instead observed (Grillmair et al. 1995; Leon, Mevlan & Combes 2000; Chun et al. 2010) and predicted (Combes, Leon, & Mevlan 1999; Johnston, Sigurdsson & Hernquist 1999) as a general feature of extra-tidal components. It is particularly interesting to note that the bright M31 GC B514, which is the only other case we are aware of presenting an extra-tidal component falling as \(r^{-3}\), also displays an obvious break in the profile near the King tidal radius (Federici et al. 2007). On the contrary, the SB profile of NGC5694 appears perfectly smooth and continuous over all its declining branch, i.e. from the core radius out to the last observed point. Finally, the density map shown in Fig. 4 does not show any obvious

\[ \mu_V \propto r^{-2.5} \log I \]

\[ I \propto V^{-2} \]

\[ \mu_V \propto -2.5 \log I \]

However, in their theoretical analysis, Combes, Leon, & Mevlan (1999) predicted a ~\(r^{-3}\) decline of the surface density of extra-tidal components in the immediate surroundings of the tidal radius.
elbowing or characteristic S-shape structure that is typical of tidal tails (see, e.g. Montuori et al. 2007), and also the inner iso-density levels are remarkably round (ellipticity $\epsilon \approx 0.04$; Harris 1996). An interesting analogy comes to mind with the case of the Galactic GC NGC1851, that Olszewski et al. (2009) recently found to be surrounded by a low-SB halo extending out to $\gtrsim 250$ pc from the cluster center. Also in that case no sign of tidal tails was found. However, at odds with the present case, in NGC1851 the onset of the extra tidal halo is marked by an obvious break in the profile, which, beyond the break, declines as $I \propto r^{-1.24}$.

It could be interesting, in this context, to estimate expected limiting radius imposed by the Galactic tidal field, or Jacobi radius ($r_J^t$), computed with the formula:

$$r_J^t = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{m_c}{2\pi G M_{GC}} \right) \frac{1}{r_{GC}}$$

(1)

that is formally correct for circular orbits within a logarithmic potential (see Bellazzini 2004, for references and discussion). $m_c$ is the cluster mass, derived from the total luminosity by adopting $L_c = 2$, $R_{GC}$ is the galactocentric distance of the cluster, $M_{GC}$ is the mass of the MW enclosed within $R_{GC}$, computed as $M_{GC} = G V_{rot}^2/2 R$. Assuming $V_{rot} = 220$ km s$^{-1}$, this gives a total mass of the MW in good agreement with the most recent analyses (Watkins et al. 2010). Adopting $R_{GC} = 29.9$ we obtain $r_J^t = 194$ pc for NGC5694, more than a factor of 2 larger than the outermost point in our profile, at $r \approx 93$ pc (assuming that the cluster do not extend much beyond this limit). Hence, at the present orbital phase the Galactic tidal field cannot have a significant impact on the structure of the cluster, at least in the region of the profile sampled by our data. The Jacobi radius would match $r \approx 93$ pc for a circular orbit at $R_{GC} \approx 10$ kpc, thus suggesting that the peri-galactic point of the cluster orbit should be at a similar (or larger) distance, a quite plausible occurrence, given the large apo-galactic distance estimated by L06.

McV interpreted the good overall performance of spherical and isotropic W75 models in fitting the profile of any kind of massive cluster even if extended, as an indication that “self-gravitating clusters commonly have envelope structures that do not match the extrapolations of simple K66 models fitting the cluster cores. This phenomenon is not confined exclusively to young clusters and is not obviously only transient; it may point instead to generic, internal cluster physics not captured by King’s stellar distribution function”. This can be the case also for NGC5694. An obvious example of physics that are not captured by K66 models is provided by the initial conditions at the cluster birth. These may leave their imprint even after a Hubble time in the outer fringes of the cluster, where the effects of two-body relaxation may be too slow to completely erase them, and Galactic tides possibly have not played a major role. Further theoretical work is clearly needed to fully understand the nature of these features (Oh, Lin & Aarseth 1992, 1993).

In this framework NGC5694 appears as especially interesting, since the outer branch of its profile cannot be fitted even by W75 models, that are considered by McV as rather ad-hoc solutions. In any case, the present result, as well as many other recent findings (Chun et al. 2011, for example), suggest that there is still much to be learned about the outer structure of GCs, that can be revealed with modern wide field surveys allowing us to probe the very low SB regime of cluster profiles ($\mu V \gtrsim 26$ mag/arcsec$^2$), that is largely unexplored (see McV and NG06).

In conclusion we are unable to draw a firm conclusion about the origin of the newly detected extended component surrounding NGC 5694. It is clear, however, that the evidence presented here, coupled with the results by L06, strongly indicate that this object deserves further investigation, as it may fit into the scenario in which (at least some) GCs are supposed to be the remnants of disrupted dwarf satellites, hence one of the final end-states of the building blocks concurring to the hierarchical assembly of the MW (see Bellazzini et al. 2008; Boker 2008; Carretta et al. 2010, and references therein). Abundance analysis of a significant sample of NGC 5694 giants as well as a photometric survey covering a significantly wider area than that explored here are clearly needed to search for a metallicity spread and determine chemical abundance ratios to shed more light on the nature of this very interesting, and for a long time neglected, GC.
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