Medium- and large-sized mammal composition in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park and adjacent areas, state of Goiás, Brazil
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Abstract. The Cerrado is the second largest Brazilian biome and only 2.8% is represented by protected areas. Considering the relevance of the Cerrado and Conservation Units in preserving the mammalian diversity, we provided the first assessment of the diversity of medium- and large-sized mammal species in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park (CVNP) and surroundings. We tested the effectiveness of the CVNP by assessing the difference in species composition within the park and its surroundings. We sampled CVNP in the rainy (October-December 2013) and dry seasons (March-June 2014) in order to characterize the seasonality within the community. We selected 36 sampling sites to evaluate the composition of the medium and large mammals in the CVNP and its surroundings, 18 in the CVNP and 18 in the park surroundings. We ordered mammalian composition and frequency of individuals data by using a Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling analysis (NMDS). We assessed the effect of season (dry and rainy seasons) and locality (within the CVNP and surroundings) on mammalian species richness with a two-way analysis of variance (Two-way ANOVA). We recorded 23 species, 13 within the CVNP and 17 species in its surroundings. Composition and frequency of records differed between dry and rainy seasons, with higher richness in the rainy season. Species’ composition and the frequency of records were also different between within the CVNP and its surroundings, with higher richness in the surroundings. These results provide information for the increase of the knowledge of mammalian ecology but also is useful as a tool for future strategies to the conservation of these species. More attention should be given to the monitoring of these species in the long term because this area still harbor some viable populations.
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INTRODUCTION

The Cerrado is the second largest Brazilian biome, covering about 2 million km² (approximately 24% of the country), presenting a heterogeneous mosaic of vegetation types that include natural grasslands, savanna and forest formations (Walter & Ribeiro, 2008). Due to the advanced population growth and the economic demand, since 1970, Brazil has undergone a great expansion in the agroindustry, which resulted in the increase of lands for cultivation, pastures and for urban areas, resulting in the degradation of the Cerrado which already exceeded 55% of its natural area (Klink & Machado, 2005). According to the features mentioned above along with the high endemism this biome was included among the 34 hotspots in the world (Myers et al., 2000; Mittermeier et al., 2005; Noguera-Urbano & Escalante, 2015). Of the entire territory covered by the Cerrado, only 2.8% is represented by areas protected by law (i.e., Conservation units; Klink & Machado, 2005).

Conservation Units in Brazil are areas protected by law and constitute natural environments. One of its functions is to guarantee the representativeness of significant samples of the different populations, habitats and ecosystems of the national territory (Freitas, 2009; Drummond et al., 2009). The effectiveness of these protected areas is affected by factors related to the configuration...
and structure of the landscape, loss of habitat, increase of human occupation, increase of human density, and invasion by exotic species (Le Saout et al., 2013; Lessa et al., 2016, 2017).

Brazil has a rich mammal fauna, consisting of 701 species, and is the richest country the world in terms of mammal species (Paglia et al., 2012). Of this total, 150 species of non-volant mammals occur in the Cerrado, representing the second most diverse group of terrestrial vertebrates in the biome (Aguilar et al., 2004; Paglia et al., 2012), with the high richness explained by the influence of other adjacent biomes, such as the Amazonia and the Atlantic Forest (Johnson et al., 1999; Costa, 2003). There are different factors, both abiotic as biotic that can determine the composition and abundance of mammals. Water resources availability, for example, can be a limiting factor for mammalian species because temporal environmental variation influences the structure and species composition (i.e., which species may occur) of a community (O’Connell, 1989; Goulart et al., 2009; Ferreguetti et al., 2017). Ecological studies with mammals confirm the importance of this group in protected areas, as they act on seed dispersal, herbivore control and nutrient cycling, especially larger mammals (Wilson & Reeder, 2005; Galetti et al., 2015). Larger species have predominantly nocturnal habits, relatively large home ranges, and low population densities, and are therefore good indicators of environmental quality (Galetti et al., 2009; Ahumada et al., 2011).

Considering the relevance of the Cerrado and Conservation Units in preserving the mammalian diversity, we aimed to provide the first assessment of the diversity and composition of medium- and large-sized mammal species in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park (CVNP). This primary information is necessary and very important because it contributes to the knowledge about the distribution of mammals and are the first step to the establishment of species conservation strategies (Costa et al., 2005). We also aimed to test the effectiveness of the CVNP by assessing the difference in species composition within the park and its surroundings. We expect to find a higher species richness within the CVNP. In addition, we tested the influence of seasonality on the mammal community. Once that the Cerrado has two well-defined seasons, we expect to find a higher richness in the rainy season due to increased resources (water and food availability) in this season.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area

We performed the study in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park (CVNP) and established a buffer zone (up to 10 km outside the park surroundings), called the surrounding zone (Fig. 1). The CVNP has an area of approximately 240,000 ha and is located in the northeast of the state of Goiás, between the municipalities of Alto Paraíso de Goiás, Cavalcante and Colinas do Sul and is inserted within the Cerrado biome (coordinates of 13°51’ to 14°10’S and 47°25’ to 47°42’W). The CVNP is considered the largest area of environmental conservation and the most important ecotourism attraction in the region (Barbosa, 2008). The Cerrado region is located in mid-latitudes and presents the main seasonal climatic type (Aw), with annual temperature between 24°C and 26°C and 90% of rainfall concentrated from October to April, while in the dry season (May to September), the air humidity is very low (below 20% in August and September) and the amount of rainfall can reach zero millimeters in a few months (Marcuzzo et al., 2012). In the region of CVNP, there is a predominance of soils poor in nutrients, which ends up preventing the larger plant colonization, whichever the grasslands of the Cerrado Sensu strictu (Eiten, 1972; Felfili et al., 2007). In total, the CVNP presents 77% of savanna formation, and 9% corresponds to the forest fragments (Porto et al., 2011). On the other hand, the surrounding of the park has the largest sum of private reserves (Private Reserves of Natural Heritage – RPPN) of the State of Goiás, are 21 RPPNs with 21,515.87 ha (30% of CVNP area) and these are hold a higher percentage of forest cover (Falconi & Diniz-Filho, 2003; Silva et al., 2016). 80% of the RPPNs area, located in the Alto Paraíso region, are protected near the areas sampled in this study (Silva et al., 2016).

Data survey

We sampled CVNP in the rainy season (October to December 2013, with an average rainfall of 354 mm) and dry season (March to June 2014, with a mean rainfall of 4 mm) in order to characterize the seasonality within the community. We selected 36 sampling sites, according to accessibility, to evaluate the composition of the medium and large mammals in the CVNP and its surroundings (i.e., 10 km maximum buffer, Fig. 1). At each sampling site a camera-trap was installed (Model: Bushnell Trophy Cam HD) distant by at least 1 km, installed 30 cm above the ground, and an area of 3 m² in front of the camera.
was partially cleaned (i.e., removed from small shrubs, vines that could affect the visibility and motion sensor). Camera-traps were placed close to trails where we found evidence of use by medium-sized or large mammals and programmed to take pictures 24 h per day. We did not use any bait near the camera-traps to avoid attracting or drive away certain species. To obtain the sampling effort per site, we calculated the number of camera days that each individual camera functioned in the field by counting the number of days from when the camera was active to the date of the last picture taken. We considered a camera day as a period of 24 h during which the camera was operating, and we used each camera-trap as a sampling unit. The total sampling effort was obtained by adding the number of camera days that every camera operated in each site during all study period. We considered medium and large mammals, the species recorded with a camera-trap above 1 kg of mass, as reported in previous studies (Chiarello, 1999; Ferreguetti et al., 2017). We used field guides for species identification (Eisenberg & Redford, 1999), we classify the endemic species according to Paglia et al. (2012). The species have also been classified in relation to the degree of threat according to the national and international lists of threatened fauna (ICMBio, 2018; IUCN, 2018).

Data analysis

The observed and estimated richness were represented by the rarefaction curve. The expected richness curve corresponds to the richness of each sample calculated by one of the estimators (Bootstrap, Chao 1, and Jackknife 1). We obtain the model with estimators with 1,000 randomizations using the software EstimateS 9.0 (Colwell, 2013). We used the frequency of individuals as input to perform the analysis.

We considered the frequency of individuals of each species at intervals of 1 hour apart to maintain independence between the processed photos in each camera-trap. We ordered the data obtained from camera-traps by using a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) with Bray-Curtis metric to evaluate the similarity in composition and frequency records of the species. We used this analysis to verify the existence of some pattern in composition and frequency records of the species. We assessed the relationship between the season (dry and rainy seasons) and locality (within the CVNP and surrounding) on mammalian species richness with a two-way analysis of variance (Two-way ANOVA). These analyses were performed in SYSTAT 13* program.

**RESULTS**

We recorded, in a 2,230 camera-days effort, a total of 240 records (98 and 142, within the CVNP and in the surroundings, respectively) of 23 native mammal species, 13 species within the CVNP and 17 species in the surroundings of the park (Fig. 2, Table 1). In addition, we recorded the presence of two exotic species, *Canis familiaris* both within the CVNP and its surroundings, and *Bos Taurus*, with 51 records in the surrounding area of the park. The orders with the highest frequency of registrations were Carnivora, with 39.1%, followed by Xenathra (17.3%), Rodentia and Artiodactyla (both with 13%). We recorded 10 exclusive species in the surrounding area of the CVNP and seven within the park (Table 1). Of the 23-species recorded in total, three species (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla, Priodontes maximus* and *Tapirus terrestris*) are listed in the Brazilian and IUCN threatened species, classified as Vulnerable. *Chrysocyon brachyurus, Lycalopex vetulus, Puma yagouraoundi, Puma concolor, Panthera onca*, and *Ozotoceros bezoarticus* are threatened in the Brazilian list of endangered species.

In the species rarefaction curve, the Chao 1 richness estimator showed the lowest standard deviation in relation to the observed richness and estimated a maximum average of 25 species in total (SD: 0.87), 12 species

| Species                          | Threatened category | CVNP | Surroundings |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------|--------------|
| Didelphimorphia                  |                      |      |              |
| Didelphis asutus (Wed-Neuwied, 1826) | 0                   | 11   |              |
| Xenarthra                        |                      |      |              |
| Myrmecophaga tridactyla Linnaeus, 1758 | **VU**               | 9    | 3            |
| Procyon cancrivorus* Linnaeus, 1758 |                      |      |              |
| Chrysocyon brachyurus (Illiger, 1815) |                      |      |              |
| Lycalopex vetulus Lund, 1842     | **VU**              | 8    | 3            |
| Nasua nasus (Linnaeus, 1766)     |                      | 0    | 13           |
| Procyon cancrivorus (G.[Baron] Cuvier, 1798) |      | 0    | 5            |
| Puma yagouraoundi (E. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1803) |      | 5    | 0            |
| Leopardus pardus (Linnaeus, 1758) |                      | 0    | 7            |
| Puma concolor (Linnaeus, 1771)   | **VU**              | 3    | 0            |
| Panthera onca (Linnaeus, 1758)   |                      | 2    | 0            |
| Perissodactyla                   |                      |      |              |
| Tapirus terrestris (Linnaeus, 1758) | **VU**               | 14   | 18           |
| Artiodactyla                     |                      |      |              |
| Mazama americana (Erxleben, 1777) |                      | 7    | 7            |
| Mazama gouazoubroda (G. Fischer [von Waldheim], 1814) |      | 9    | 8            |
| Ozotoceros bezoarticus (Linnaeus, 1758) |      | 5    | 0            |
| Bos taurus* (Linnaeus, 1758)     |                      | 0    | 51           |
| Rodentia                         |                      |      |              |
| Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris (Linnaeus, 1766) |      | 0    | 4            |
| Cuniculus paca (Linnaeus, 1766)  |                      | 0    | 9            |
| Dasypus lepturus (Linnaeus, 1758) |                      | 0    | 7            |

* exotic species.
(SD: 0.92) in the dry season and 23 species (0.96) in rainy season (Fig. 3).

The NMDS result showed that the composition and frequency of records of medium and large mammals in the CVNP differed between dry and rainy seasons (Fig. 4), and the rainy season had a higher species richness and frequency of records with 10 species in the dry season and 19 species in the rainy season (Two-way ANOVA, F = 133.98, p < 0.001). The composition and the frequency of species records were also different between the interior of the CVNP and its surroundings (Fig. 4), presenting a higher richness and frequency of records in the surroundings of the CVNP (Two-way ANOVA, F = 81.81, p < 0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

We found 23 species of native mammals in the CVNP region. The record of *Panthera onca* in the region was the first using camera-traps in the CVNP. It is worth mentioning that the species was recorded only inside the park.
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addition, we observe, according to the rarefaction curve
as a function of the camera-days effort (Fig. 3), that during
the rainy season there is an increase in recording new spe-
cies, and during the dry season the curve tends to stabi-
lize with smaller values of new species. This study showed
a similar species richness compared to other inventories in
the Cerrado and the presence of endangered species
(i.e., nine species) is also noteworthy. In Goiás, other stud-
ies with medium and large sized mammals had previous-
ly recorded 23 species from the Silvânia National Forest
(Campos
2013) and 28 species in Emas National Park
Silveira
et al.,
2003), both in protected areas.

The sampling methods were effective for recording
the species and showed evidence of a high species
richness in this study. Camera trapping was demonstrat-
ed to be the most effective methods to detect mammals
(Goulart
et al.,
2009; Ferreguetti
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Silveira
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2003), camera trapping is the most appro-
prise method for mammal inventory in all environmental
conditions.

The richness was different between the areas sam-
pled within the CVNP and in its surroundings with higher
richness in the surrounding zone of the CVNP. We did not
corroborate the hypothesis about the higher richness in
the sites within of CVNP. One of the factors that can
explain this difference is the type of vegetation cover
of each of these two areas sampled. In total, the CVNP

Figure 3. Rarefaction curves of the number of mammalian species recorded
in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park and its surroundings during the
dry and rainy seasons, state of Goiás, central Brazil.

Figure 4. Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) with Bray-Curtis
distance matrix between the frequencies of mammalian registered in each
camera trap located in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park, state of
Goiás, central Brazil.

Figure 4. Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) with Bray-Curtis
distance matrix between the frequencies of mammalian registered in each
camera trap located in the Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park, state of
Goiás, central Brazil.

presents 77% of savanna formation and 9% corresponds
to the forest fragments (Porto
et al.,
2011). On the oth-
er hand, the CVNP surroundings have the largest sum
of private reserves (Private Reserves of Natural Heritage –
RPPN) of the State of Goiás, totaling 21 RPPNs with
21,515.87 ha (30% of CVNP area) and these areas hold
a high percentage of forest cover (Falconi & Diniz-Filho,
2003; Silva
et al.,
2016). About 80% of the RPPNs area,
located in the Alto Paraíso region, are protected near
the sampling areas in this study (Silva
et al.,
2016). This
higher richness corroborates with the studies that indi-
cate the importance of the surroundings of the CVNP as
a buffer zone of the anthropic impacts (Silva
et al.,
2016). It is possible that the vegetation type mosaic in the CVNP
surroundings contributed to the higher mammal rich-
ness found in the present study, because the large-sized
mammal species need larger areas for foraging and di-
versified environments to explore a large resource vari-
ety (Lyra-Jorge
et al.,
2008; Brady
et al.,
2011).

Common species such as Cuniculus paca, Dasypodoptera
leporina, Sapajus nigritus and Dasypus novemcinctus,
which are abundant in forest areas, had a high frequency
of records in these surroundings areas (Table 1). Although
these species can increase the richness of species, rare
species such as Myrmecophaga tridactyla and Chrysocyon
brachyurus were also found in the CVNP surroundings
but had a smaller frequency of records when compared to
the areas located within the CVNP. Even without con-
sidering the conservation value of the species (i.e., rare
and endangered species) found in the surroundings, the
forest regions present important habitat suitability char-
acteristics for certain species of medium- and large-sized
mammals (Klink & Machado,
2005). The highest number
of species and records were found in the camera-traps
located in forest areas located in both areas, confirming
studies that demonstrate the importance of forest vege-
tation types for the conservation of the Cerrado (Alho,
1981; Bonvicino
et al.,
2005). Other factors, especially an-
thropic pressures (suppression of vegetation, burning,
increased tourism, paving of roads and the presence of
exotic species such as domestic dogs and cattle) need to
be better studied in the region urgently, to verify how
these factors are affecting the different species. It is nec-
necessary to map with higher accuracy as the anthropic ef-
fects may be influencing the difference of richness within
the CVNP and in its surroundings.

In addition to the native species, we also recorded
domestic ones which are recognized as disease vectors
and as predators of wild animals (Lessa
et al.,
2016). Dogs
(Canis familiaris) is the domestic animal considered to be
the most common and widespread invasive exotic spe-
cies worldwide, living in intense association with humans
(Vanak & Gompper,
2009; Hughes & Macdonald,
2013; Lessa
et al.,
2016). Futhermore, we recorded the pres-
ence of Bos taurus in the surrounding area of the CVNP.
The impacts related to this species mainly consists of the
deforestation of native areas for the creation of pasture
for the species (Durigan
et al.,
2007). It is necessary to
verify the impacts that the cattle causes, mainly because
the presence of this species can remarkably change the
structure and dynamics of the Cerrado vegetation, by selective herbivory and mechanical impact (Souza et al., 2006). Every change in land use around natural areas brings its own consequences to the ecosystem, and yet this relationship has rarely been studied. Such threats require special attention, particularly for the nine species regarded as endangered by the IUCN and Brazilian lists (IUCN, 2018; ICMBio, 2018).

Our results also indicate the relevance of long-term water availability when designing protected areas to ensure habitat quality for mammal species, especially in environments with marked seasonality such as the Cerrado. The CVNP and its surroundings contribute to the conservation of endangered species, but a population study is needed to verify the conservation status of these species in a more refined way. Therefore, more attention should be given to the monitoring of these species in the long term because this area still harbor some viable populations of large mammals, highlighting the importance of CVNP for the conservation.
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