Root system architecture in winter varieties of spelt (Triticum spelta L.)
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Abstract. The objective of the study was determination of the variability of morphometry and comparison of the morphological structure of the root system in winter cultivars of spelt. Four spelt cultivars were used in the study: Frankencorn, Oberkulmer Rotkorn, Schwabenkorn and Ostro. The material for the study originated from a field experiment. The roots were collected using the soil core method to the depth of 30 cm, from the rows and inter-rows, then the roots were separated using a semi-automatic hydropneumatic scrubber. The cleaned roots were manually separated and scanned, obtaining their digital images. Image analysis was performed using the Aphelion computer software. In order to characterize the root system of the spelt cultivars included in the study, values of the following indexes were determined: root dry mass (RDM), root length density (RLD), specific root length (SRL), mean root diameter (MD). Based on the obtained results it was determined that the RDM, MD and RLD indexes in all spelt cultivars attain the highest values in the row, at the depth 0–5 cm. The highest value of the RDM and MD indexes characterized the root system of the Ostro cultivar at the depth 0–5 cm. The Oberkulmerrotkorn spelt cultivar was distinguished among the tested objects by the highest value of the SRL index.

1 Introduction

Spelt (Triticum aestivum ssp. spelta L.) is a species included in the Poaceae family. It is one of the oldest wheat subspecies, which survived practically unchanged to the modern times. For centuries, it was the dominant cereal in Europe, cultivated in areas featuring cool climate: in Scandinavia, mountainous Germany, Switzerland and Poland. In the EU as well as Polish legislation spelt is considered to be a separate species (Triticum spelta L.) [1]. This cereal has gained increasing attention in recent years due to the possibility for cultivation under extensive conditions. In addition, its nutritional values are also appreciated. Spelt contains high amounts of essential nutrients such as proteins, unsaturated fatty acids, carbohydrates, vitamins and bioelements, as well as fiber, which supports body purification, distinguishing it from other cereals [2, 3]. Considering the increasing interest in spelt, primarily in the organic farming sector, a need has arisen for the study of natural characters of the existing cultivars of the cereal, determining the possibility to obtain satisfactory results of its cultivation in terms of qualitative as well as quantitative of the grain. The root system is the plant’s organ with a highest impact on this issue.

Research on the root systems constitutes the basic method for the evaluation of plant growth. Twisting, elongation and branching are three basic cellular processes in roots, which constitute the basis for the architecture of the root system. Together, these elements determine the distribution of roots in the soil environment, thus determining the access to water and nutrients by the plant and its anchoring in the substrate [4]. Roots determine the proper course of the key plant physiological processes, such as photosynthesis and gas exchange [5]. Information on the root systems of crops are the foundations for the efficient water resources and nutrient management. Understanding of the development and architecture of a root system is the basis for the optimization of arable land use and increase in the efficiency of the plants cultivated on them. One of the indexes commonly considered in analyzes of root systems is the root length density (RLD). Its values are important for the modeling process of the movement of water and nutrients in the aeration zone and for the study of soil-root-stem-air interaction [6, 7, 8, 9].

2 Materials and methods

The study was conducted in a 2-year period as a field experiment, located in Mydlniki near Krakow (latitude 50°04′N longitude 19°51′E) at the Institute of Machinery Exploitation, Ergonomics and Production Processes of the University of Agriculture in Krakow. The climatic conditions of the area where the study was conducted are characterized by monthly accumulated rainfall and average monthly temperatures in years 2012–2013.
The root images were subjected to analysis using the APHELION v3.2 software, following the methodology described by Bauhus and Messier [12]. The measured root length was separated into eight diameter classes: 0–0.02; 0.02–0.05; 0.05–0.1; 0.1–0.2; 0.2–0.5; 0.5–1.0; 1.0–2.0; >2.0. Root length density (RLD) was calculated dividing the total root length in the soil sample by the sample volume. Specific root length (SRL) was calculated by dividing the RLD by the dry mass of the roots. The mean root diameter (MD) was calculated as a weighted average of root lengths for individual diameter classes. After scanning, the roots were dried at temperature 70°C to determine their dry mass. Root dry mass (RDM) was calculated dividing the root dry mass in the soil sample by the sample volume.

In the period of obtaining soil-root samples the soil penetration resistance was also recorded using the STIBOKA penetrometer (Eijkelkamp Agrisearch Equipment, the Netherlands), with a conical base with the surface of 100 mm² and the apex angle 60° [13].
Results of the spelt root system study were statistically elaborated using the Statistica 12 software. In order to evaluate the significance of the differences between the means a Duncan test was used at the significance level of \(p=0.05\), distinguishing homogeneous groups.

3 Results and discussion

Results of the measurement of soil penetration resistance on the experimental fields are provided in Table 2. Analysis of the obtained values of the parameter demonstrated that on the depth of 25 and 30 cm the resistance for the penetrometer probe is highest and it does not exhibit variability in statistical terms. Its mean value for these depths was 3.494 MPa. In higher layers of soil, at depths of 10, 15 and 20 cm, the resistance was significantly lower and amounted to 2.685 MPa on average. The lowest value of penetration resistance was registered at the depth of 5 cm, where it was 1.345 MPa (Table 2).

### Table 2. Soil penetration resistance at a given depth.

| Depth (cm) | Soil penetration resistance (MPa) |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| 5          | 1.354 d*                         |
| 10         | 2.228 c                          |
| 15         | 2.771 b                          |
| 20         | 3.056 bc                         |
| 25         | 3.254 a                          |
| 30         | 3.735 a                          |

*a, b, c, d – homogeneous groups according to the Duncan test

The performed analysis of variance of the RDM index describing the root dry mass exhibited interaction between individual cultivars and the vertical distribution of roots in the soil. The RDM index attained highest values at depth from 0 to 5 cm for the Ostro cultivar, where the mean value was 0.0023 g cm\(^{-3}\). The remaining cultivars in the discussed soil layer were characterized by lower root dry mass amounting to the mean 0.0015 g cm\(^{-3}\). In the deeper soil layers (5–30 cm), the discussed index did not exhibit any statistically significant differences between the tested cultivars and its mean value was 0.0002 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Table 3).

The root dry mass index further exhibited variability depending on the depth and sampling site. At the depth between 0 to 5 cm, higher root mass was determined in samples obtained from rows as compared to inter-rows (Table 3). This can be explained by the thicker initial and seminal roots with higher mass present in this zone.

The index for specific root length (SRL) is one of the most commonly determined morphological parameters of fine roots. It characterizes economic aspects of a root system, linked to the capability to absorb water and nutrients. The SRL index is negatively correlated to the root thickness. Higher value of the SRL index means thinner roots, thus their greater surface area. Root systems with a high value of the SRL index possess better contact with the soil, thus increasing their absorption potential [14, 15]. Under suboptimal concentrations of nutrients in soil, large root surface area is favorable, as it facilitates absorption of less mobile components, such as phosphorus (P) [16]. In the study conducted by Loes and Gahoonia [17], concerning root systems of spring wheat and barley, the obtained mean values of the SRL index under the conditions of soil cultivation were 30 000 and 26 300 cm g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Cornevo et al. [18], who determined, among others, value of this index among 23 genotypes of wheat, belonging to different breeding groups observed that in the layer 0–30 cm it ranges from 2895 to 8962 cm g\(^{-1}\). For the tested spelt cultivars the mean value of specific root length in the 0–30 cm layer remained at the level of 13291.9 cm g\(^{-1}\), not exhibiting variation on specific depth levels (Table 4). But, significant differences were observed for the values of this index between individual cultivars. The highest specific root length characterized the Oberkulmer Rotkorn cultivar, for which the mean value of the parameter was 14873.6 cm g\(^{-1}\). The cultivars: Ostro and Schwabenkorn were characterized by similar values of the SRL index, whose mean value for these cultivars was 13114.7 cm g\(^{-1}\). The lowest specific root length with the value of 12064.674 cm g\(^{-1}\) characterized the Franckenkorn cultivar. The SRL index also exhibited statistically significant variation depending on the depth and sample collection site. For the depth 0–5 cm and samples obtained from row, the specific root length was lowest, attaining the value of 4357.6 cm g\(^{-1}\) (Table 4).

### Table 3. Index values describing the root dry mass (RDM).

| Cultivar           | Collection site | RDM (g cm\(^{-3}\)) for the depth |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
|                    | 0-5 cm         | 5-10 cm                          | 10-15 cm | 15-20 cm | 20-25 cm | 25-30 cm |
| Franckenkorn       | 0.00146 bc*    | 0.00033 d                        | 0.00024 d | 0.00022 d | 0.00018 d | 0.00013d |
| Schwabenkorn       | 0.00114 c      | 0.00026 d                        | 0.00023 d | 0.00025 d | 0.00021 d | 0.00015d |
| Oberkulmer Rotkorn | 0.00179 b      | 0.00028 d                        | 0.00020 d | 0.00021 d | 0.00020 d | 0.00011 d |
| Row                | 0.00288 A**    | 0.00033 BC                       | 0.00021 C | 0.00022 BC | 0.00020 C | 0.00015 C |
| Inter-row          | 0.00049 B      | 0.00027 BC                       | 0.00026 BC | 0.00025 BC | 0.00021 C | 0.00012 C |

*a, b, c, d – homogeneous groups for the cultivar × depth interaction based on the Duncan test

**A, B, C – homogeneous groups for the collection site × depth interaction based on the Duncan test
**Table 4. Index values of specific root length (SRL).**

| Cultivar          | Collection site | SRL (cm∙g⁻¹) for the depth | Mean for cultivar (cm∙g⁻¹) |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                   | 0-5 cm | 5-10 cm | 10-15 cm | 15-20 cm | 20-25 cm | 25-30 cm |                   |
| Renkorn            | 9127.4 | 13028.8 | 13204.8 | 12303.9 | 12825.9 | 11897.2 | 12064.7 a*       |
| Schwabenkorn       | 10708.6| 15197.5| 13097.9 | 13846.5 | 14242.0 | 12653.3 | 13291.0 b        |
| Oberkulmer Rotkorn| 9299.6 | 16086.6| 16044.6 | 16324.0 | 15493.2 | 15993.8 | 14873.6 a        |
| Ostro              | 7699.18| 14368.4| 13129.3 | 14612.5 | 14777.4 | 13074.2 | 12938.5 b        |

*a, b, c, d – homogeneous groups for cultivars based on the Duncan test
*A, B, C – homogeneous groups for the collection site × depth interaction based on the Duncan test

Analysis of the architecture of a root system indicates the importance of root diameter. It is directly linked to the level of biomass accumulation in the roots [19]. The diameter influences the possible root length and number of roots in the system [20]. Root systems with particularly high number of very fine roots, formed by numerous plant species, especially monocotyledons, result from optimization of the root length to mass (nutrient reservoir) ratio, determining the absorption surface. Thin roots possess limited capability to penetrate soil due to their lower length [21]. The root diameter is likely to have an influence on the efficiency of water transport to the stem via the vascular system. Longitudinal flow resistance increases in fine roots.

The mean root diameter index (MD) for the tested spelt cultivars exhibited variability depending on the cultivar and depth. Its greatest value, amounting to 0.189 mm was determined for the Ostro cultivar at the depth 0–5 cm. For the remaining cultivars, in the same layer of soil, the MD index attained lower and similar values, remaining at the average level of 0.022 mm. All tested objects formed thinnest roots in the layer 5–10 cm. The mean spelt root diameter also depended on the sample collection site. Considering both depth and root sample collection site, its greatest diameter was recorded in row at depth 0–5 cm (Table 5). Greater root diameter in the surface layer and in the row is likely linked to the greatest share of thicker initial and seminal roots. Corneo et al., [18], who tested root systems of different genotypes of wheat determined that in the soil layer 0–30 cm, the MD values remain in the range from 0.25 to 0.40 mm. The spelt root diameter is lower, in the arable layer to the depth of 30 cm it remained in the range from to 0.18 mm. As mentioned earlier, the root system characterized by a low diameter of the basic roots creating the system, has a greater absorbing surface and absorption capabilities. But, fine roots have a limited range in the vertical soil profile, limiting the capacity to absorb water from deeper soil layers in deeper layers of soil during prolonged droughts. In the case of the tested spelt cultivars, this phenomenon is exhibited by the values of the RLD and RDM indexes. Their considerably decrease was marked already from the depth of 5 cm.

**Table 5. Values of the mean root diameter (MD) index.**

| Cultivar          | Collection site | MD (mm) for the depth |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                   | 0-5 cm | 5-10 cm | 10-15 cm | 15-20 cm | 20-25 cm | 25-30 cm |
| Renkorn            | 0.169 b* | 0.127 ikl | 0.129 hijk | 0.135 fghijk | 0.137 fghij | 0.143 fgh | 0.143 fgh |
| Schwabenkorn       | 0.159 cd | 0.124 ikl | 0.138 fghi | 0.138 fghi | 0.146 defg | 0.155 de | 0.143 fgh |
| Oberkulmer Rotkorn| 0.174 b | 0.115 l | 0.121 kl | 0.125 ijkl | 0.135 fghijk | 0.133 ghj | 0.133 ghj |
| Ostro              | 0.189 a | 0.122 ikl | 0.126 ikl | 0.131 hijk | 0.135 fghijk | 0.148 def | 0.148 def |

*a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l – homogeneous groups for the cultivar × depth interaction based on the Duncan test
**A, B, C, D, E, F,G – homogeneous groups for the collection site × depth interaction based on the Duncan test

RLD is an important character of plant root system, changing with water availability. If it is unlimited, the RLD values may be higher in the surface layer of soil, yet during prolonged droughts it may also attain higher values in the deeper layers of the soil profile [22]. Values of the index constitute a basic information on the capability of a root system to utilize the water and nutritional resources of soil. The literature data shows that the critical value of a RLD, sufficient for the use of these resources is 1 cm cm⁻² [23, 24, 25]. High
variability of RLD depending on the moisture conditions of soil results in different publications, concerning the same species a wide range of the values can be found. According to Gregory et al., [26], Baraclough et al., [24, 27], the root length density (RLD) for the winter wheat cultivars in soil to depth of 20 cm is from 5.6 to 10 cm cm$^{-3}$ and from 0.4 to 1.5 cm cm$^{-3}$ at the depth from 80–100 cm. Pillinger et al., [28] report that in the surface layer of soil RLD, also in the case of winter wheat may attain values at the level of 7 cm cm$^{-3}$ and 0.2 cm cm$^{-3}$ at depth 80–100 cm. Hoad et al., [29] state that in the arable layer of soil, at good root development of winter heat, the RLD amounts from 3 to 6 cm cm$^{-3}$ and below 1 cm cm$^{-3}$ at depth exceeding 40 cm. In the case of inferior root development, RLD may amount to less than 1 cm cm$^{-2}$, also in the arable layer. In Australia, Atta et al., [30], who published results of study concerning 15 genotypes, report that the values of the RLD index in the upper 15 cm soil layer remain in the range from 0.6 to 2 cm cm$^{-3}$, whereas Narayanan et al., [31], who examined 30 spring wheat genotypes state that in the soil layer between 0 to 30 cm the values of the index range from 0.86 to 1.83 cm cm$^{-3}$.

In the case of the tested spelt cultivars in the layer 0–30 cm, values of the tested index remained in the range from 0.179 cm cm$^{-3}$ in the inter-row at depth 25–30 cm to 1.388 for row and surface layer 0–5 cm (Table 6).

A statistical analysis of the values of the root length density (RLD) index did not exhibit significant differences in this field between the tested spelt cultivars at individual depths. The mean value of the index was 0.467 cm cm$^{-3}$. The root length density exhibited variation depending on the depth and site of sample collection. The highest values of the index were obtained in row at depth 0–5 cm, where its mean value was 1.388 cm cm$^{-3}$. On the other hand, the lowest values were recorded at the depth from 20 to 30 cm, both in the row as well as in the inter-row (Table 6). These results demonstrate that to the depth of 5 cm the root systems of the tested cultivars may exhibit satisfactory water and nutrient absorption capacity, yet it solely applies to a thin layer located near the plant, as in the inter-row the RLD index assumes values below 1 cm cm$^{-3}$ for the same depth.

**Table 6. Values of the root length density (RLD) index.**

| Cultivar         | Collection site | RLD (cm cm$^{-3}$) for the depth | 0-5 cm | 5-10 cm | 10-15 cm | 15-20 cm | 20-25 cm | 25-30 cm |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Franckenkorn     |                | 1.023                            | 0.504  | 0.370   | 0.342    | 0.289    | 0.192    | 0.204    |
| Schwabenkorn     |                | 0.862                            | 0.477  | 0.353   | 0.361    | 0.320    | 0.248    | 0.204    |
| Oberkulmer Rotkorn |              | 1.047                            | 0.552  | 0.416   | 0.421    | 0.334    | 0.214    |          |
| Ostro            |                | 1.069                            | 0.574  | 0.393   | 0.388    | 0.328    | 0.182    |          |
| Row              |                | 1.388 a                          | 0.546 bc| 0.365 def| 0.364 def| 0.309 efg| 0.217 fg |
| Inter-row        |                | 0.612 b                          | 0.508 bcd| 0.401 cde| 0.391 cdef| 0.327 efg| 0.179 g  |

*a, b, c–homogeneous groups for the collection site x depth interaction based on the Duncan test.

4 Conclusions

Analysis of the obtained study results allows to formulate the following conclusions:

1. The highest value of the RDM and MD indexes characterized the root system of the Ostro cultivar at the depth 0–5 cm.
2. Spelt of the OberkulmerRotkorn cultivar is distinguished among the remaining objects the greatest value of the specific root length (SRL) index, amounting to 14873.6 cm g$^{-1}$.
3. The root length density (RLD) index in the layer 0–30 cm assumes similar values for all tested spelt cultivars. Its mean value remains at the level of 0.467 cm cm$^{-3}$.
4. For all tested spelt cultivars, the RDM, MD, RLD indexes assume greatest values in rows at depth 0–5 cm.
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