An Accurate Circuit Model for the Statistical Behavior of InP/InGaAs SPAD
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Abstract: In the field of near-infrared weak light detection, an InP/InGaAs single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD) is preferred due to the advantages of high sensitivity, low cost and room-temperature operation. To properly simulate and optimize the SPAD’s front-end circuit, a comprehensive and compact behavior model of the InP/InGaAs SPAD is normally required to accurately describe the statistical behavior of the detectors. In this paper, an InP/InGaAs SPAD analytical model is constructed, which not only includes the direct current (DC) and alternating current (AC) behavior simulating the avalanche and quenching processes, but also describes the dark count, after-pulsing and photon detection efficiency. For dark count noise, three important generation mechanisms are considered, including thermal generation, trap-assisted tunneling and band-to-band tunneling. The model described by the Verilog-A hardware description language (HDL) can be directly implemented in the commercial circuit simulator. A gated mode, passive quenching and recharging circuit is used to simulate and verify the developed model. The simulation results are in good agreement with the reported test data, demonstrating the accuracy of the developed InP/InGaAs SPAD model.
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1. Introduction

As an important weak light detection technology, single-photon detection has been widely used in numerous applications, such as high-resolution, three-dimensional (3D) imaging [1], quantum information processing [2], astronomical exploration [3] and spectrum resolution [4]. Particularly, with the rapid development of quantum secure communication technology, long-distance quantum key distribution technology has made a great breakthrough, thus making the single-photon detector working in the infrared band attract more and more attention. Since the InP/InGaAs single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD) has features such as high sensitivity and non-cooling at 1310 nm and 1550 nm, it has become one of the typical detectors in the field of single-photon detection. To achieve high performance, a structure referred to as separate absorption, grading, charge and multiplication (SAGCM) is commonly applied presently [5]. When an InP/InGaAs SPAD with an SAGCM structure operates in Geiger mode, a self-sustaining avalanche current may be triggered by the carriers generated by photon absorption, or other excitation mechanisms, and keeps flowing until the applied voltage across the SPAD is lowered below the breakdown voltage $V_{brk}$. Subsequently, the bias state must be restored to detect the next single photon. Therefore, the front-end electronics, including the quenching and reset circuit and the readout circuit, are required for successive single-photon detection [6]. To properly simulate and optimize the overall performance of the front-end circuit, a compact and accurate model for an InP/InGaAs SPAD operating in the commercial circuit simulator is urgently needed.
Up to now, the circuit model for Si-based SPADs has been successfully developed. For example, an accurate Si-based SPAD circuit model, including the important generation mechanisms of the statistical behaviors, was proposed in [7], and key device parameters, such as the avalanche triggering probability and electric field profile, were extracted from the Geiger-mode TCAD simulation to improve the model’s accuracy. Different to the typical Si-based SPAD, whose absorption and multiplication processes all occur in the depletion region of the p–n junction, the InP/InGaAs SPAD usually separates the absorption and multiplication regions to obtain optimal device performance. As a result, the generation mechanisms and contributions of dark carriers are apparently different from that of Si-based SPADs. To obtain optimal device performance, many theoretical models have been established to analyze the characteristics of InGaAs/InP SPADs. For example, Donnelly et al. [8] presented an experimental model to predict the dark count rate (DCR) and photon detection efficiency (PDE), but after-pulsing was not considered. Subsequently, [9] supplemented the after-pulsing, but the temperature effect of the carrier lifetime related to the Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) model was ignored. Additionally, Mofasser et al. [10] proposed a semi-numerical iterative model to calculate the photo current and the dark count probability, but the iterative procedure increased computational complexity. Moreover, the location dependence of the triggering probability was not included. Although great progress has been achieved in the field of the theoretical model, there are few reports on the InP/InGaAs SPAD circuit model for the cooperative design of the SPAD and the front-end circuit. Recently, a PIN InP/InGaAs SPAD model was constructed [11] based on the Si-based circuit model proposed by Dalla Mora [12], but the DCR and after-pulsing were not considered in that model.

Based on the previous modeling work [13–16] and the operating principle of InP/InGaAs SAGCM SPADs, a compact and accurate circuit simulation model was established. This model not only describes the static and dynamic behavior, but also includes the noise statistical behavior and PDE. Most importantly, both the absorption region and the multiplication region are taken into account, and the temperature dependence of the carrier lifetime related to the SRH process is considered. Furthermore, the triggering probability of different positions in the multiplication region is calculated, and the expression is simplified through curve fitting, which is convenient to embed in our developed circuit model. As a result, this model implemented in the Verilog-A HDL is compatible with a commercial circuit simulator. The simulation results in gated mode fit well with the measured data, validating the accuracy of the proposed model.

2. Structure and Principle of an InP/InGaAs SPAD

Figure 1 illustrates a two-dimensional (2D) schematic of the InP/InGaAs SAGCM SPAD used in the work. The n⁺-InP buffer layer, serving as the n-side of the junction, was grown on the n⁺-InP substrate, and an undoped InGaAs absorption layer with band gap energy of 0.75 eV was deposited. Then, a large band gap InP layer (1.35 eV) was adopted as the multiplication region to suppress the tunneling current in the avalanche multiplication process. To buffer the abrupt change of the band gap between the InGaAs and InP and eliminate the accumulation effect of photo-generated carriers at the interface, an InGaAsP grading layer was added. Additionally, a charge layer adjacent to the grading layer was used to flexibly adjust the electric field profile of the InP/InGaAs SPAD. After the epitaxial growth, two-step p-type zinc diffusion was introduced to confine the high field in the active area and avoid the premature edge breakdown.

When incident photons were absorbed in the InGaAs layer of an SPAD operating in Geiger mode, the photo-induced electrons were captured by the cathode, and the holes drifted through the charge layer and were injected into the multiplication region. Subsequently, avalanche events happened by a chain collision with the InP lattice under the acceleration of a high electric field. In the absence of photons, dark carriers generated in different regions may have drifted into the multiplication region, thus triggering avalanche events and causing false counts. Although there are many dark carrier generation mechanisms in an InP/InGaAs SPAD, the dominant contributions to the DCR [17] are as follows: (1) generation–recombination in the depletion region; (2) band-to-band tunneling (BTBT);
and (3) trap-assisted tunneling (TAT). Since the thicknesses of the grading and the charge layers were much smaller than those of the absorption and the multiplication regions, the dark count in these two layers was neglected for simplicity.

![Figure 1. Two-dimensional (2D) schematic of the InP/InGaAs single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD). The electric field profile is shown on the right.](image)

When the avalanche was triggered by photons or dark carriers, the generated carriers may have been trapped by the deep-level traps and subsequently released after a delayed amount of time. If the SPAD was biased above its breakdown voltage $V_{brk}$ at this moment, an after-pulsing event may have been induced which contributed to the secondary dark counts [18].

### 3. SPAD Analytical Model

Based on the previous modeling works [13–17] and the operating principle described in Section 2, a modified analytical model of an InP/InGaAs SPAD under a reversed biasing condition was developed, as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Analytical model of an InP/InGaAs SPAD.](image)

Five different DC paths were presented to emulate the operating process of an InP/InGaAs SPAD. In each path, a voltage-controlled switch $S_X$, a nonlinear breakdown resistance $R_X$ and a DC voltage generator $V_X$, where $X$ represented different working regions, were adopted to accurately reconstruct the measured I–V curves.

The first and the second paths simulated the static and linear operating region, respectively, where the SPAD was reversely biased under $V_{brk}$. The third path was used to emulate the Geiger mode triggered by incident photons. The fifth path mimicked the avalanche triggering caused by the dark carriers generated by thermal generation, TAT and BTBT, respectively. The last path represented the generation of after-pulsing, where three-type traps illustrated by switches were assumed according to the theoretical analysis of experimental results [19]. Since the carriers generated by photons or dark carriers might be captured by the traps, the switches in the fourth path were determined by the third and fifth paths. The total DC generated by these paths was denoted as $I_{SPAD}$, and the AC
behavior was modeled by three capacitors marked as $C_J$, $C_{KS}$ and $C_{AS}$. Here, $C_J$ is a voltage-dependent junction capacitance, and $C_{KS}$ and $C_{AS}$ are the cathode-to-substrate and anode-to-substrate stray capacitors, respectively.

3.1. Basic Behavior Modeling

As mentioned above, the DC behavior was reconstructed by using a nonlinear SPAD resistor $R_{X,i}$, derived from the piecewise linear I–V modeling under the condition of reversed biasing [13]. To avoid the convergence problem at the joints of curves, a fully differentiable pseudo-min-max function was introduced, and the DC avalanche current flowing through the SPAD is given by [13]

$$I_{SPAD} = I_{X,i} + \frac{V_n}{R_{X,i}} \ln(1 + e^{\frac{V_{X,i} - V_{X,i-1}}{V_n}}), i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots n$$

(1)

where, $I_{X,i}$ and $V_{X,i}$ represent the corresponding current and voltage, respectively, of the $i_{th}$ selected point in $X$ region and $V_n$ is a normalization voltage of about 10 mV.

The dynamic behavior of the SPAD was determined by the charges stored in the depletion region and the stray capacitors. The two stray capacitors, $C_{KS}$ and $C_{AS}$, depended on the structural dimensions and packaging in practical applications, but they were regarded as constants here to simplify the modeling. The charges stored in $C_J$, $C_{KS}$ and $C_{AS}$ can be expressed respectively with [20]

$$Q_J = A V_{bi} C_{J0} \left(1 - m_j \right) \left(1 + \frac{V_{KA}}{V_{bi}} \right)^{1-m_j}$$

(2)

$$Q_{KS} = C_{KS} V_K$$

(3)

$$Q_{AS} = C_{AS} V_A$$

(4)

where $A$ is the effective area, $V_{bi}$ is the built-in voltage, $m_j$ is the junction grading coefficient whose value is 0.5, $V_{KA}$ is the applied voltage across the SPAD and $C_{J0}$ is the zero-voltage junction capacitance per unit area. $V_K$ and $V_A$ are the voltages of the cathode and anode with respect to the substrate. Based on Kirchhoff’s current law, the total cathode and anode currents are given by

$$I_K = I_{SPAD} + \frac{dQ_J}{dt} + \frac{dQ_{KS}}{dt}$$

(5)

$$I_A = -I_{SPAD} - \frac{dQ_J}{dt} + \frac{dQ_{AS}}{dt}$$

(6)

3.2. Dark Count Modeling

As the primary noise source of the SPAD, the dark count strongly depends on temperature and excess voltage. For a well-designed InP/InGaAs SPAD, the dark carriers generated in the absorption and multiplication regions are the main causes of the dark count. For the absorption region, the electrons from the valence band can be elevated into the conduction band due to thermal activation, thus generating intrinsic carriers. If these carriers move to the multiplication region, they may trigger false avalanche events when the SPAD is biased above its $V_{brk}$. Since the electric field in the InGaAs absorption region is normally much lower than that in the multiplication region, by adjusting the charge regions, as shown in Figure 1, the tunneling effect in this region is negligible.

As the multiplication region undergoes a high electric field, the dark carriers generated by TAT and BTBT dominate in the InP multiplication region, and the contribution of thermal generation to the dark count in this region can be ignored due to the large band gap of InP [21].

Additionally, experimental results showed that the dark current related to surface recombination only leaked through the low electric field periphery [22] and did not succeed in triggering avalanche events, so the influence of dark current carriers was not considered here.
For a well-designed InP/InGaAs SPAD, the InGaAsP grading region eliminates the hole accumulation between the InGaAs absorption region and the InP multiplication region. Thus, most of the holes generated in the absorption region will drift to the multiplication region. In this work, the hole transport efficiency from the absorption region to the multiplication region was assumed to be one, and no multiplication occurred in the absorption region due to the low electric field in this region.

Usually, SRH theory is used to determine the carrier thermal generation rate. As described in Figure 1, the InGaAs absorption region was undoped, so the electron and hole concentrations were much lower than the intrinsic carrier concentration $n_i$. In such a case, the carrier thermal generation rate in the InGaAs absorption region can be simply expressed as [13]

$$G_{ab,SRH} \approx \frac{n_i}{\tau_e^{-1} + \tau_h^{-1}} AW_{ab}$$

(7)

where $\tau_e$ and $\tau_h$ represent the electron and hole lifetimes, respectively, $E_i$ is the intrinsic Fermi level, $E_t$ is the trap level, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature and $W_{ab}$ is the absorption region thickness.

For the multiplication region in Geiger mode, the electric field was so high that local band bending may have been sufficient to allow electrons to tunnel from the valence band into the conduction band. In this case, the contribution of carriers generated by the BTBT process should be considered, and the corresponding generation rate of dark carriers is defined as [9]

$$G_{ab,SRH} \approx \frac{2m_e}{E_{InP}} \frac{q^2 e^2}{4\pi^2 h^2} \exp \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{m_e E_{InP}^3}{q^2 h^2} \right) AW_{mul}$$

(8)

where $q$ is the electric charge, $m_e$ is the reduced mass of the conduction band’s effective mass $m_c$ and the light hole’s effective mass $m_h$, $h$ is the reduced Planck constant, $F$ is a position-dependent electric field that varies with the excess voltage and $W_{mul}$ is the multiplication region thickness.

Since there may be some defects in the InP multiplication region due to epitaxial growth or fabrication processing, the TAT mechanism may have had a strong impact on the occurrence of dark counts. The generation rate of dark carriers caused by TAT can be expressed as [9]

$$G_{ab,SRH} \approx \frac{2m_e}{E_{InP}} \frac{q^2 e^2}{4\pi^2 h^2} N_{trap} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{m_e E_{B1}^3}{q^2 h^2} \right) AW_{mul}$$

$$N_{mul} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{m_e E_{B2}^3}{q^2 h^2} \right) + N_{c,InP} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{m_e E_{B2}^3}{q^2 h^2} \right) - AW_{mul}$$

(9)

where the barrier heights $E_{B1}$ and $E_{B2}$ govern tunneling from the valence band to the trap and the trap to the conduction band, respectively, and the parameters are set as $E_{B1} = 0.75E_{InP}$ and $E_{B2} = 0.25E_{InP}$. $N_{c,InP}$ and $N_{c,InP}$ are the effective state densities for the valence and the conduction bands, respectively, and $N_{trap}$ is the trap concentration.

The avalanche triggering probability is an important parameter in the numerical calculation of statistical performance, and relates to the positions of the carriers [23]. In this work, an empirical equation described in [11,13,23] is used:

$$P_A = 1 - e^{-\frac{V_{ex}}{\eta T}}$$

(10)

where $\eta$ is exponential slope at a given temperature and $V_{ex}$ is the excess voltage.

Following the derivation of the avalanche triggering probability described by Oldham [24], the theoretical triggering probability $P_A(x)$ at position $(x)$ in the multiplication region could be calculated. At a certain temperature, the avalanche triggering probabilities at a fixed position under
different excess voltages were fitted using Equation (10), and the position-dependent triggering probabilities \( P_A(x) \) could be calculated successively. Then, the exponential slopes \( \eta_T \) at different positions were extracted from equations and fitted to \( \eta_T(x) \). For example, \( \eta_T(x) \) at 225 K can be expressed as

\[
\eta_T(x) = 170400x + 0.0855 \quad 0 \leq x \leq W_{\text{mul}}
\]  

(11)

As a result, the triggering probabilities of photo-generated carriers and dark carriers generated in the absorption region were considered to be \( P_A(0) \), where \( x = 0 \) corresponded to the beginning of the multiplication region [8]. To simplify the calculation, the triggering probability in the multiplication region was supposed to be the probability of the center of the region \( P_A(W_{\text{mul}}/2) \) [7].

Based on the above analyses, the total DCR in the absorption and the multiplication regions can be calculated by

\[
DRC \approx G_{\text{ab},SRH}P_A(0) + (G_{\text{mul},BBT} + G_{\text{mul},TAT})P_A(W_{\text{mul}}/2)
\]  

(12)

3.3. Photon Detection Efficiency Modeling

Due to the small thicknesses of the grading and charge regions, as well as the wide band gap of InP multiplication, the photon absorption in these regions could be ignored [25]. Thus, the photon detection efficiency of the SPAD is expressed as

\[
PDE = (1 - R)P_{ab}P_A(0)
\]  

(13)

where, \( R \) is the surface reflectivity, \( P_{ab} \) is the absorption efficiency (which is modeled by \( P_{ab} = 1 - \exp(-\alpha_{ab}W_{ab}) \) [8] and \( \alpha_{ab} \) is the absorption coefficient of the InGaAs material and can be obtained in [26]. As discussed in Section 3.2, the transport efficiency of a photo-generated hole from the absorption region to the multiplication region was assumed to be one in this paper.

3.4. After-Pulsing Behavior

As described above, there were many deep-level traps in the SPAD epitaxial structure. The lifetime of each energy level can be expressed by [21]

\[
\tau_{api} = \tau_{0i}e^{E_{ai}/kT}
\]  

(14)

where \( E_{ai} \) is the activation energy and \( \tau_{0i} \) is the \( i_{th} \) pre-exponential factor. The trap’s lifetime decreased with the increasing temperature.

Additionally, the after-pulsing probability also depended on time. If the time interval between the avalanche and the release of trapped carriers was very small, the released carriers had high avalanche triggering probabilities. Therefore, the after-pulsing probability can be expressed as follows [18]:

\[
P_{ap}(t) = \sum A_i \frac{1}{\tau_{api}} e^{-t/\tau_{api}}
\]  

(15)

where \( A_i \) is the correction factor of the \( i_{th} \) level and \( t \) is the delay from an avalanche.

In this model, the after-pulsing parameters of the InP/InGaAs SPAD were exacted from [27], and three-type deep-level traps in the band gap were obtained by a fitting method described in [13].

3.5. Consideration of Temperature Dependence

To suppress the dark count noise, an InP/InGaAs SPAD often operates at a low temperature in practical applications, so it is necessary to consider the temperature dependence of key parameters. In this model, the primary parameters, including the InGaAs intrinsic carrier concentration \( n_{i,\text{InGaAs}} \),
the band gap energies of InGaAs and InP and the SPAD breakdown voltage $V_{brk}$, can be calculated by the following equations [28,29]:

$$n_{i,InGaAs} = \sqrt{N_{c,InGaAs}N_{v,InGaAs}e^{-\frac{E_{g,InGaAs}}{kT}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$$E_{g,InGaAs} = E_{g0,InGaAs} - \frac{a_1 T^2}{a_1 + b_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

$$E_{g,InP} = E_{g0,InP} - \frac{a_2 T^2}{a_2 + b_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

$$V_{brk} = V_{brk0} + \gamma(T - T_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

where $N_{c,InGaAs}$ and $N_{v,InGaAs}$ are the effective state densities in the conduction band and valence band, respectively, $E_{g0,InGaAs}$ is the band gap energy of InGaAs at 4.5 K, $E_{g0,InP}$ is the band gap energy of InP at 1.5 K, $a_1$, $a_2$, $b_1$ and $b_2$ are the temperature coefficients of the band gap energy, $V_{brk0}$ is the breakdown voltage at room temperature $T_0$ and $\gamma$ is the temperature coefficient of the breakdown voltage.

4. Verilog-A HDL Implementation

The circuit model of the InP/InGaAs SPAD was implemented in the Verilog-A hardware description language (HDL), and a state transition diagram similar to [13] is shown in Figure 3. The operating procedure can be described as follows. Firstly, the physical parameters and internal signals are initialized, and the model is set to Geiger mode. When a photon is an incident photon, there will be an avalanche triggering probability $P_A$. If $P_A$ is larger than the threshold probability $P_{thr}$, the avalanche will be triggered, and the dark count timer and after-pulsing timer are updated simultaneously. If $P_A$ is smaller than $P_{thr}$, it returns to Geiger mode for the next judgment. If there is no incident photon when the simulation time reaches the set value, the dark count timer or after-pulsing timer will be triggered and execute the judgment of event occurrence; the judgment mechanisms are similar to that of the photon trigger. If the dark count events or after-pulsing events occur, the internal signal and the timers will be updated to mark the occurrence time of the next event. When the event ends, the model is set to the state of below breakdown to start the next round of avalanche judgment.

![Figure 3. State transition diagram of a Geiger model.](image-url)

The key parameters used in the InP/InGaAs SPAD model are summarized in Table 1. The values of the active area, layer thickness, after-pulsing parameter and $V_{brk0}$ are from [27]. The values of stray capacitances are from [30], and other values were obtained by simulation fitting.
Table 1. Summary of key parameters.

| Parameter | Description                  | Value          |
|-----------|------------------------------|----------------|
| $V_{th0}$ | Breakdown voltage at room temperature | 69 V           |
| $\gamma$ | Temperature coefficient of $V_{th0}$ | 2.3 mV/°C     |
| $N_{trap}$ | Trap concentration in multiplication | $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ |
| $N_d$    | Doping concentration in multiplication | $10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ |
| $E_a1$   | Activation energy of 1st-level trap | 0.0609 eV     |
| $\tau_{01}$ | The factor of the 1st trap | 4.378 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ s |
| $E_a2$   | Activation energy of 2nd-level trap | 0.0913 eV     |
| $\tau_{02}$ | The factor of the 2nd trap | 2.412 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ s |
| $E_a3$   | Activation energy of 3rd-level trap | 0.1569 eV     |
| $\tau_{03}$ | The factor of the 3rd trap | 9.867 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ s |
| $C_{j0}$ | Zero-bias junction capacitance per unit | 1.19 pF       |
| $C_{CS}$ | Cathode stray capacitance | 1.69 F         |
| $C_{AS}$ | Anode stray capacitance | 2.04 pF        |
| $W_{mul}$ | Multiplication thickness | 1 µm           |
| $W_{ab}$ | Absorption thickness | 1.5 µm         |
| $A$      | Effective area | 490.6 µm$^2$  |

5. Simulation and Verification

The SPAD is described as a three-terminal module. $A$ and $K$ stand for the anode and cathode, respectively, and $P$ is used to emulate the photon arrival. A gated mode, passive quenching-and-recharging circuit (PQRC), as shown in Figure 4a, was used to validate the accuracy of the developed SPAD model. A 10 ps narrow pulse was used to simulate the incident photon. A DC voltage source $V_{dc}$ of 58 V was applied to provide a basic bias, and a 7 V pulse voltage $V_{gate}$ coupled through a capacitor $C_{g}$ (10 nF) was superposed on the $V_{dc}$ to make the SPAD avalanche. The values of the load resistor $R_l$ and the sense resistor $R_S$ were 50 Ω and 10 MΩ, respectively. In the following simulations, the gate-ON time was fixed at 20 ns, and the gate-OFF time varied between 1 µs and 100 µs.

Figure 4b illustrates an example of transient simulation waveforms at 225 K with an excess voltage of 5 V and a gate-OFF time of 1 µs. Two avalanche events caused by photons were detected at 15 µs and 18 µs, and then a dark noise (dark count or after-pulsing) was observed at 22 µs. To analyze the statistical behavior of the dark count at low temperatures, the $P$ port of the SPAD was connected to the ground during the simulation. Figure 5 shows the simulated primary dark count’s dependence of excess voltage at 225 K, with a gate-OFF time of 100 µs to rule out after-pulsing. For comparison, the experimental data reported in [27] are given too. The simulation DCR had
good agreement with the experimental data at various excess voltages, and a maximum relative error of 11.2% happened at 3 V of excess voltage. It is clear that the contribution of TAT in the multiplication region dominated for the InP/InGaAs SPAD at 225 K. This was apparently different to the dark noise of the Si-based SPAD, where BTBT contributed the main component of the DCR at low temperatures [13]. Compared with TAT, the contributions of BTBT and thermal generation could be ignored at low temperatures.

Figure 5. Simulation results of the voltage dependence of the primary dark count rate (DCR) ($T = 225$ K).

Figure 6 shows the simulated primary DCR as a function of the temperature with an excess voltage of 5 V. As expected, TAT and BTBT were less temperature dependent than thermal generation. Thermal generation dominated at high temperatures, but TAT was the main source of dark counts when the temperature decreased below 245 K.

Figure 6. Simulation results of the temperature dependence of the primary DCR ($V_{ex} = 5$ V).

Figure 7 shows the simulated total DCR variation with the gate-OFF time (which corresponds to the dead time) at 225 K. Although there is a little gap at the long gate-OFF time, the simulation and the measured results are in reasonable agreement. When a short gate-OFF time was set, the total DCR increased because of after-pulsing. The after-pulsing was apparently visible at a higher excess bias when the gate-OFF time was smaller than 10 μs, and it could be obtained by subtracting the primary dark counts from the total DCR. The shadow areas in the figure show the contribution of after-pulsing to the total DCR.
Therefore, an InP/InGaAs SPAD operating at a low temperature is preferred in practical applications. As the excess voltage increased, the PDE at 1550 nm improved thanks to the higher avalanche ionization coefficients and the tunneling mechanism. Thus, the SPAD needed a trade-off between the DCR and the PDE. Figure 9 shows the relationship between the primary DCR and PDE at different operating temperatures. As shown in the figure, the simulation results accorded well with the experimental data [27], and a maximum error of 5.3% happened at 3 V of excess voltage. Since a low DCR and a high PDE could be obtained at low temperature, a low temperature was preferred for the InP/InGaAs SPAD.

\[
\text{NEP} = \frac{h \nu}{\text{PDE}} \sqrt{2 \text{DCR}}
\]  

(20)

where \( h \) is the Planck constant and \( \nu \) is the frequency of the incident radiation.
Figure 7. The variety of the total DCR with gate-OFF times.

To apply the developed InP/InGaAs SPAD model to different working conditions, the DCR was predicted under different temperatures and excess voltages, as shown in Figure 8. As can be seen in the figure, a low operating temperature and a small excess voltage were good for improving the dark noise, but they would deteriorate the photon detection efficiency. By comparing the trends in Figure 8a,b, it can be seen that the DCR's temperature dependence was stronger than its excess voltage dependence. Therefore, an InP/InGaAs SPAD operating at a low temperature is preferred in practical applications.

Figure 8. (a) Simulation results of the primary DCR with temperatures at three different excess voltages. (b) Simulation results of the primary DCR with excess voltages at five different temperatures.

As the excess voltage increased, the PDE at 1550 nm improved thanks to the higher avalanche probability. Unfortunately, the primary DCR also increased because of the electric field-related impact ionization coefficients and the tunneling mechanism. Thus, the SPAD needed a trade-off between the DCR and the PDE. Figure 9 shows the relationship between the primary DCR and PDE at different operating temperatures. As shown in the figure, the simulation results accorded well with the experimental data [27], and a maximum error of 5.3% happened at 3 V of excess voltage. Since a low DCR and a high PDE could be obtained at low temperature, a low temperature was preferred for the InP/InGaAs SPAD.

Figure 9. The relationship between the DCR and the photon detection efficiency (PDE).

Figure 10 shows the dependence of the NEP on the excess voltage for the SPAD. At 225 K and a lower voltage, the NEP increased with the decreasing excess voltage due to the reduction of the PDE, but it increased with the increasing excess voltage at higher voltages. This was because the dependence of the DCR on the electric field was stronger than that of the PDE. An optimal excess voltage was located at around 4 V. When the SPAD operated at a higher temperature, due to the DCR being dominated by the thermal generation, the NEP always decreased with the increasing excess voltage. The simulated NEP was close to the data reported in [32].

Figure 10. The dependence of the noise equivalent power (NEP) on the excess voltage.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, a comprehensive circuit model for an InP/InGaAs SPAD was developed to precisely describe the noise statistical performance and photon detection efficiency. In this model, three main generation mechanisms, including SRH recombination in the absorption region, BTBT and TAT in the multiplication region, were taken into account to simulate dark count events. The after-pulsing was characterized by three-type traps, whose lifetime was temperature-dependent and triggering probability was the temporal dependent. The developed model was implemented in Verilog-A HDL, and the simulations were successfully performed in Cadence Spectre, thus showing good compatibility. The simulation results accorded with the tested data, validating the feasibility of the model. Furthermore, the primary DCRs, PDE, and NEP under different excess voltages and
temperatures were predicted to evaluate the SPAD performance. The work described here is very suitable to perform SPAD front-end circuit simulation and optimization with good universality and compatibility. Timing jitter, an important statistical feature, was not taken into account in this work, but the circuit model can be applied in many scenarios. For example, in photon-counting imaging, the performance of the SPAD detector can be evaluated in a simulation, since imaging quality is mainly limited by the dark noise rather than by timing jitter.
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