An evidence-based algorithm for the management of common peroneal nerve injury associated with traumatic knee dislocation
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Traumatic knee dislocation is a complex ligamentous injury that may be associated with simultaneous vascular and neurological injury.

Although orthopaedic surgeons may consider CPN exploration at the time of ligament reconstruction, there is no standardised approach to the management of this complex and debilitating complication.

This review focuses on published evidence of the outcomes of common peroneal nerve (CPN) injuries associated with knee dislocation, and proposes an algorithm for the management.
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**Epidemiology**

Knee dislocations are devastating injuries. It is estimated that this injury accounts for 0.02% of all musculoskeletal injuries.\textsuperscript{1,2} However this is likely to be an under-estimation as a result of spontaneous reduction and failure of recognition in some cases.\textsuperscript{1,3-5}

The Schenk classification\textsuperscript{6} and its subsequent modification is the classification currently in most common usage. The modified Schenk classification includes the presence of vascular and neurological injury.

**Diagnosis**

The knee dislocation may be associated with fractures, and plain radiographs should be supplemented with computed tomography (CT) where indicated. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is indicated in all multi-ligament-injured knees in order to define the injury and identify any associated osteochondral and meniscal injuries. In the setting of a peroneal nerve palsy, peripheral nerve conduction studies (NCS) and electromyography (EMG) are also useful.\textsuperscript{7}

**Ligament injuries**

There is a paucity of high-level published evidence regarding the optimal management and timing for these complex injuries. However, the available evidence suggests that reconstruction is better than attempted repair\textsuperscript{8-11} and should be performed early (less than three weeks), as staged surgery has a trend toward more favourable results when compared with delayed reconstruction.\textsuperscript{12-16}

**Vascular injury**

The incidence of associated neurovascular complications has been estimated at 20% following knee dislocations.\textsuperscript{17} The popliteal artery is particularly vulnerable. All vascular injuries resulting in inadequate distal perfusion should be treated urgently with exploration and reconstruction. In such cases, a staged reconstruction of the ligamentous injury could still yield favourable results.\textsuperscript{1,11,16,18,19}

**Common peroneal nerve injury**

The Sunderland classification of peripheral nerve injury defines five grades based on the patho-anatomy and physiological changes following injury (Table 1). A sixth grade was added later to describe a mixed injury where fascicle groups have variable depths of injury, and therefore different potential for recovery.\textsuperscript{20,21}

Table 1. Sunderland classification of peripheral nerve injury

| Grade | Patho-anatomy | Physiological changes |
|-------|--------------|----------------------|
| 1     | Suspected injury | Block to conduction |
| 2     | Complete transection | Axonal regeneration, return within 12 weeks |
| 3     | Partial transection | Axonal regeneration, some function |
| 4     | Axonal degeneration | Axonal regeneration, slow recovery |
| 5     | Axonal destruction | Axonal regeneration, minimal function |
| 6     | Axonal destruction with extrinsic compression | Axonal regeneration, minimal function |

In Sunderland grade 1 injuries, there is a physiological block to conduction. Function will return within 12 weeks as long as there is no residual extrinsic compression. Grade 2 injuries are favourable for recovery with rapid axonal regeneration that progresses at 2-3 mm per day, and there is no axonal misdirection. The resultant sensory and motor recovery is usually excellent. Grade 3 injuries have more potential for misdirection, and there is typically a slower rate of regeneration at around 1 mm per day. Axonal death may follow hold-up in intra-neural scar.
Recovery is typically incomplete and unpredictable. Grades 4 and 5 refer to the functional or anatomical disruption of the nerve, and there is no recovery potential without surgery. Grade 4 injuries result in neuroma-in-continuity, and grade 5 in end-neuroma formation. Grade 6 injuries refer to cases where there is good early spontaneous recovery of some motor or sensory function within the first 12 weeks, but further recovery relies on axonal re-growth which may be unpredictable.

**Incidence**

The reported incidence of common peroneal nerve (CPN) injury following multi-ligament knee injury varies from 4.5% to 40%.\(^1,22-24\) The wide range of incidence reflects the heterogeneous nature of the reported series and is likely to be related to the differing levels of energy involved in the accidents. Although the tibial nerve may also be injured, the majority of nerve injuries involve the CPN\(^22,25-27\) due to its course around the posterolateral knee, closely approximated to the neck of the fibula.

Traction and compression injuries involving less than 7 cm of the nerve are more likely to recover than those involving longer segments.\(^24\) The deep peroneal nerve often recovers less well than the superficial nerve. This is related to the proximity of the deep nerve to the joint and the distal tether, which results in a more severe traction injury and hampers the regenerating axon progression (Fig. 1).\(^28,29\)

**Current evidence: injury patterns and management practices**

Although complete, useful functional motor recovery occurs in only about 21% of CPN injuries,\(^24\) there are multiple factors affecting neurological recovery. The most consistent predictor is whether or not the injury was complete or incomplete at presentation. Krych et al\(^29\) compared complete and incomplete palsies of the CPN and reported recovery rates of 38% to 83% respectively. Being younger in age is another good prognostic factor for recovery.\(^30\)

Defining a comprehensive management algorithm is challenging due to the heterogeneous nature of the nerve injuries. A number of strategies may be employed including observation, decompression, neurolysis, nerve grafting, nerve transfer and tendon transfer. The challenge for the treating orthopaedic surgeon lies in recognising high-grade nerve injuries such that prompt treatment may be instituted, while the selected lower-grade injuries may be released in a timely manner to prevent deterioration.

The treatment of a CPN injury depends, therefore, on whether the palsy is complete or incomplete, based on clinical examination and EMG testing. Incomplete lesions are often mixed injuries with a combination of physiological conduction block and low-grade axonal disruption. Progressive recovery is to be expected from axonal regeneration. Complete palsies at presentation without a positive Tinel sign (tingling sensation along the distribution of the nerve when the nerve is lightly tapped), or neuropathic pain with preservation of deep muscle pain represent conduction block lesions and may recover spontaneously, although some will need decompression to provide a favourable environment for recovery. Complete painful lesions with a Tinel rarely demonstrate any spontaneous recovery.\(^31\)

Even in instances where ligament repair is deferred, early exploration of the complete nerve lesion is recommended, with intra-operative stimulation to guide subsequent management, provide an expected timeframe for recovery and ultimately an early prediction of prognosis for the patient. Extrinsic pressure from haematoma, fracture displacement or oedema may be alleviated, improving the prognosis for the continuity lesion. Neurolysis should be undertaken when there is a delay to exploration and peri-neural scar formation.\(^32\)

In the confirmed continuity lesion with distal stimulation, serial clinical examination to measure the progression of the Tinel sign is recommended one week following injury. Rapid and complete recovery is to be anticipated within three months. Neuropathology studies with electromyography (EMG) may confirm muscle denervation with fibrillation potentials in the targeted muscle. Deep muscle tenderness on palpation is the first clinical sign of re-innervation, and is usually followed by visible contractions within a few weeks. EMG may also be used at this stage to confirm re-innervation, with polyphasic responses being typical findings. If recovery is slow, pain increases or there is deterioration of residual function then re-exploration is recommended (Table 2). If there is neuroma-in-continuity formation then this can be resected and grafted at an early stage. Typically this decision can be made with systematic repeated clinical examination by three to four months following injury.

---

**Table 1. The Sunderland classification of peripheral nerve injuries**

| Sunderland classification grade | Description |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                              | Conduction block +/- segmental demyelination |
| 2                              | Axon discontinuity with intact endoneurium |
| 3                              | Axon and endoneurium discontinuity with intact perineurium |
| 4                              | Axon, endoneurium and perineurium discontinuity with intact epineurium |
| 5                              | Nerve discontinuity |
| 6                              | Mixed nerve injury |
If there is a loss of continuity of the nerve at first exploration, the nerve should be debrided under the operating microscope until normal fascicular architecture is identified. Isolated nerve grafts are recommended for short nerve gaps up to 6 cm. Larger gaps do less well with grafting, perhaps related to the larger zone of injury. In these latter cases we recommend grafting with adjunctive distal nerve transfer from a flexor digitorum longus fascicle to the nerve to tibialis anterior. Early re-innervation of the tibialis anterior with the possibility of augmentation through hallux and toe extensors indicates improved chances of functional dorsiflexion in severe cases. Nerve transfer surgery can be successful up to nine months from injury before irreversible end-plate degeneration occurs at 12 months.

There have been several studies that have reported good results with nerve transfer for CPN palsy. However, there are no large studies of early nerve transfer in the setting of complete CPN palsy associated with traumatic knee dislocation. A small series showed mixed results but surgery was typically undertaken late after failed grafting, or in isolation as a late salvage technique when recovery through an attenuated but in continuity, high-grade CPN lesion did not show any spontaneous recovery. In all cases, if there is no functional recovery then musculo-tendinous transfer of the tibialis posterior is a reliable salvage option.

Table 2. Indications for nerve exploration or re-exploration in non-healing lesions

- To provide a diagnosis
- In cases where there is doubt about the diagnosis
- Decompression
- Dislocations or displaced fracture fragments
- Deterioration in function under observation (deepening nerve lesion)
- Debilitating nerve pain
- Delay in anticipated recovery

Surgical options for common peroneal nerve palsy

**Surgical exploration**

Exploration establishes the continuity of the nerve. In the first seven days following injury the use of adjunctive intra-operative nerve stimulation must be interpreted with caution. Wallerian degeneration may not be complete and therefore it may still be possible to elicit a muscle twitch when stimulating distal to a high-grade lesion. After seven days the axonal degeneration is complete and a distal stimulation with muscle twitch confirms some axonal continuity through the zone of injury. If the stimulation is then undertaken proximal to the injured segment without a twitch, this then demonstrates a focal physiological conduction block lesion typical of segmental demyelination. Neurolysis is a useful adjunct to simple decompression in late exploration cases where established scar formation contributes to nerve ischaemia, reduced neural glide and degenerative axonopathy. Neurolysis should always be combined with intra-operative stimulation to establish nerve continuity and to demonstrate reduction in stimulation thresholds after scar release, which is a good prognostic factor.
Nerve repair and grafting

Nerve repair and grafting are the available techniques to deal with discontinuity of the nerve in Sunderland grade 4 or 5 lesions. Direct nerve repair is advocated with clean-cut lacerations with a healthy and vascularised nerve bed but is not possible in ruptures associated with high-energy knee dislocations. In such cases it may be preferable to confirm the discontinuity and to tag the nerve ends with a non-absorbable monofilament suture or a radio opaque vascular clip, then refer the patient for nerve grafting if the wound precludes this at the first exploration.

Nerve grafting typically using reversed ipsilateral sural nerve cables to bridge between fascicle groups can provide a conduit for axon re-growth across the defect. Kim et al have reported good results with grafts bridging distances less than 6 cm with progressively worsening outcomes as the gap increases. Niall et al demonstrated that the length of the injured segment predicts recovery potential, with segments greater than 6 cm having unfavourable outcomes. The poorer results with long grafts may represent the more severe nerve injuries, longer reinnervation times and the axonal misdirection seen when mixed motor and sensory nerves are grafted.

Nerve transfers

Nerve transfers involve the targeted transfer of expendable nerve branches or fascicles from a donor nerve with direct microscopic coaptation to the denervated muscle branch close to the motor end-plates. Nerve transfers may be used to salvage a failed recovery through a graft as a primary treatment strategy, to salvage cases with a late diagnosis or in combination with grafts as an adjunctive treatment. In recent years, nerve transfers have been gaining popularity as treatment modalities for nerve palsies in the upper and lower extremities. Keeping pace with this trend have been several studies looking into the anatomical feasibility as well as the outcomes of nerve transfer surgery to treat peroneal nerve palsy.

Early nerve transfer may prevent muscle degeneration. Appropriately-designed studies are needed in order to establish whether early nerve transfer provides more favourable results than early short segment grafting, although the reduced time to re-innervation provides good supportive evidence for this approach in the surgical management of nerve injuries at other sites, including the brachial plexus.

Results

Although the early results of lower limb nerve transfers show promise, longer-term studies are required to fully establish its role in the management of CPN injuries. A Medical Research Council (MRC) grade 4 power or above is required for a lower limb muscle to be functional; studies to date report few patients achieving this level of function. However, it should be stated that the results of nerve transfers in the upper limb demonstrate improvement in function for at least three years following surgery.

Giuffre et al published their results on tibial nerve fascicle transfer to the tibialis anterior, which demonstrated that four in 11 did not regain dorsiflexion of the ankle, while two in 11 were classified as MRC grade 2, one of 11 MRC grade 1, three of 11 MRC grade 3 and one of 11 regained MRC grade 4. The sample size was too small and the follow-up too short to draw any meaningful conclusions, but it is the senior author’s opinion that when targeted distal nerve transfer to the tibialis anterior is performed early in combination with nerve grafts, useful ankle dorsiflexion may be achieved with facilitation from weak hallux and toe extensors.

Tendon transfers

Tendon transfers have provided the most reliable outcomes in terms of regaining functional ankle dorsiflexion. Garozzo et al and Ferraresi et al reported outstanding results with a combined nerve repair/grafting and tibialis posterior tendon transfer. They argued that the tendon transfer enhances neural regeneration. However tendon transfers are usually performed as salvage procedures more than 12 months after injury and knee reconstruction. After 12 months the motor end-plates in denervated muscle undergo irreversible degeneration, and by two years they are mostly replaced by fat cells, rendering attempts at muscle re-innervation unsuccessful.

Conclusions

The British Orthopaedic Association Standards for Trauma (BOAST 5) guidelines on the management of peripheral nerve injury advocate early diagnosis and prompt referral to a specialist. This approach improves functional outcomes and reduces the risk of long-term debilitating neuropathic pain. We believe that the vascular and ligamentous priorities and the expectation of poor neurological outcome result in a failure to adequately manage the CPN injury in cases of traumatic knee dislocation. We recommend accurate and repeated examination of the nerve, early neurolysis and evaluation with the use of neuropsychological studies. Continuity lesions can then be monitored, and failure to progress can be recognised and promptly treated with re-exploration. Discontinuity lesions can be treated early with grafts and if the graft is long, or in cases where surgery is undertaken late, then the distal nerve transfer can be utilised. The suggested algorithm should be employed to guide the treating orthopaedic surgeon at the time of ligament reconstruction.
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