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Abstract

Half-turn symmetric alternating sign matrices (HTSASMs) are special variations of the well-known alternating sign matrices which have a long and fascinating history. HTSASMs are interesting combinatorial objects in their own right and have been the focus of recent study. Here we explore counting weighted HTSASMs with respect to a number of statistics to derive an orthogonal group version of Tokuyama’s factorisation formula, which involves a deformation and expansion of Weyl’s denominator formula multiplied by a general linear group character. Deformations of Weyl’s original denominator formula to other root systems have been discovered by Okada and Simpson, and it is thus natural to ask for versions of Tokuyama’s factorisation formula involving other root systems. Here we obtain such a formula involving a deformation of Weyl’s denominator formula for the orthogonal group multiplied by a deformation of an orthogonal group character.

1 Introduction

Half-turn symmetric alternating sign matrices (HTSASMs) are $N \times N$ matrices that are invariant under a 180 degree rotation and that contain as entries only
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0, 1, or −1 arranged such that each row and column sums to 1 while all the partial row and column sums are either 1 or 0. HTSASMs are a variation on the well-known alternating sign matrices which have a long and fascinating history \[2\]. HTSASMs are interesting combinatorial objects in their own right, and while they occur in some of the earliest papers on ASMs (Robbins \[24\], Kuperberg \[17\], Okada \[21\]), they are also the focus of recent study: see, for example, \[1\] \[17\] \[21\] \[22\] \[23\] \[29\], among others. Here we take a view closest to that of Okada \[21\] and Simpson \[27\] and explore counting HTSASMs weighted with respect to a number of statistics to derive generalisations of deformations of Weyl’s denominator formula in what Okada called the $B_n$ case and in what Simpson called the $B'_n$ case.

In order to do this we go further and derive corresponding generalisations of Tokuyama’s identity \[30\]. Taking advantage of the bijective correspondence between strict Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns with top row specified by a strict partition $\lambda$ of length $n$ and corresponding generalisations of ASMs known as $\lambda$-ASMs \[21\], Tokuyama’s identity can be expressed in the form:

$$
\sum_{A \in A_n^{\lambda}} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in A_n^{\mu}} \text{wgt}(A) \ s_\mu(x)
$$

with

$$
\sum_{A \in A_n^{\mu}} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + t x_i x_j^{-1})
$$

where $t$ is an arbitrary parameter embodied in each summation through the $t$-dependent specification of wgt$(A)$ provided by Tokuyama. In these equations $\lambda = \mu + \delta$ with $\delta$ the strict partition $(n, n - 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mu$ an ordinary partition with no more than $n$ non-zero parts. The Schur function $s_\mu(x)$ is nothing other than the character of the irreducible representation of the general linear group $GL(n)$ of highest weight $\mu$ evaluated for a group element with eigenvalues $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$.

The identity (2) represents a deformation of Weyl’s denominator formula for the reductive Lie algebra $gl(n)$ of the general linear group $GL(n)$ in the sense that on setting $t = -1$ and $x_i = e^{-\epsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ one recovers Weyl’s two formulae. In particular with this specialisation the right hand side of (2) takes the form $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})$ in which $\Delta_+$ is the set of all positive roots of $gl(n)$, that is $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Similarly, the identity (1) represents a deformation of Weyl’s character formula for $gl(n)$ since it can be shown that the two summations each reduce to sums over elements in the Weyl group of $gl(n)$, that is the symmetric group $S_n$.

Deformations of Weyl’s denominator formula for other root systems analogous to (2) were discovered by Okada \[21\] and Simpson \[27\], see for example Theorems 2 and 3 below. It is therefore natural to ask for analogues of Tokuyama’s identity (1) for other root systems. Some cases are already known, and previous work includes \[4\], \[5\], \[6\], \[8\], \[9\].

The impetus for our approach to this problem comes from the 2010 BIRS workshop “Whittaker Functions, Crystal Bases, and Quantum Groups” at which
Ben Brubaker asked the first author whether we could discover a Tokuyama type factorisation formula based on orthogonal group shifted tableaux along the same lines as our previous work [9] on symplectic shifted tableaux. He also made us aware of Tabony’s [29] progress in this direction using HTSASMs, see Theorem 4 below. From this query we developed a number of conjectures [13] for certain $B_n, B'_n, C_n, C'_n, D_n,$ and $D'_n$ cases, some of which will be the subject of forthcoming papers, while here we present proofs of our Tokuyama type identities in the cases $B_n$ and $B'_n$ associated with the root system of the Lie algebra of the orthogonal group $SO(2n+1)$.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 covers definitions of the various types of ASMs including $\lambda$-HTSASMs. A number of known theorems regarding weighted sums of these ASMs are gathered together in Section 3 together with the statement of a new multi-parameter theorem, Theorem 5, whose proof will emerge only later. To establish this proof it is convenient to exploit two new combinatorial constructs; namely primed shifted tableaux and certain corresponding sets of non-intersecting lattice paths. These are introduced in Section 4 and then appropriately weighted in Section 5. Section 6 contains a lattice path proof of an intermediate theorem, Theorem 10 involving a determinant that is explicitly evaluated in Section 7. Following some preliminaries on Schur functions and orthogonal group characters in Section 8 our key results are established in Section 9 in the form of Theorems 13 and 14. In Section 10 it is shown that corollaries of the main results include extensions of Tokuyama’s factorisation identities (1) and (2) to the ASMs of the $B'_n$ and $B_n$ cases, each case involving a deformation of an orthogonal group character. Further corollaries also include the theorems of Okada, Simpson, Tabony and our own Theorem 5. In a final Addendum it is shown that the universal weighting scheme of Brubaker and Schultz [7] can not only be accommodated in our formalism, but can be analysed in such a way that a hitherto unidentified quotient also emerges as a deformed character of the orthogonal group. An Appendix provides an alternative derivation of one of the lemmas quoted in Section 7.

2 Half turn symmetric ASMs

The setting for this work is a particular type of alternating sign matrix (ASM), variously described either as an ASM invariant under 180 degree rotation (Okada [21]) or as a half-turn symmetric ASM (Robbins [24], Kuperberg [17], Tabony [29]). Using this perspective we can consider several types of HTSASMs, depending on whether the number of rows is even or odd, and depending on restrictions on the central row or column. However, in this paper we consider only two types: even sided $2n \times 2n$ HTSASMs, and odd-sided $(2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)$ HTSASMs with a special central column consisting of a 1 in the central $(n+1,n+1)$ position and zeroes elsewhere. Since there is rotational symmetry we can reconstruct the entire ASM from its rightmost $n$ columns. Thus we will often use the right hand portion of the matrix, with a turn on the left hand boundary, so that for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ row $i$ read from right to left continues as row $N+1-i$ read from...
left to right with $N = 2n$ or $2n + 1$ as appropriate.

The following are examples of the two types: **Type $B_n$** Even-sided half-turn symmetric ASM (Okada [21], Tabony [29]); and **Type $B'_n$** Odd-sided half-turn symmetric ASM (special central column) [Simpson [27]]. Here and elsewhere, for alignment purposes, we represent an ASM entry $-1$ by $\overline{1}$.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \overline{1} & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \overline{1} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hfill (3)

Just like ordinary ASMs [2, 10], HTSASMs can also be realised as compass points matrices (CPMs). While entries 1 and $-1$ in an ASM are mapped to $WE$ and $NS$, respectively, in the corresponding CPM, an entry 0 in an ASM is mapped to one or other of the CPM entries $NE$, $SE$, $NW$ or $SW$ in accordance with the compass point arrangements of their nearest non-vanishing neighbours as illustrated below:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\overline{1} & 1 & \overline{1} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\overline{1} & 1 & 1 & \overline{1} & 1 & 0 & \overline{1} & \overline{1}
\end{array}
\]

$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
WE & NS & SW & NE & SE & NW
\end{array}$

(4)

In the case of HTSASMs one can confine attention to the right hand portion. Doing this in the case of the above two examples, one obtains:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{1} & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
WE & SE & SE \\
NS & SW & WE \\
SE & SE & NE \\
WE & SE & NE \\
NW & WE & NE
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
SE & SE \\
WE & SE \\
NS & WE \\
SE & NE \\
WE & NE
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hfill (5)

The compass point matrices are equivalent to square ice configurations (SICs) that arise in the six vertex model in physics. Here there is a two–dimensional grid consisting of vertices and directed edges. Each vertex has four edges, and the directions of the edges are such that only six different in-out arrangements are permitted. Each of these types of vertex arrangement is in one-to-one correspondence with a type of entry in the ASM, and each can be uniquely labeled by the compass point directions of its two incoming edges. These labels are precisely those specifying the corresponding entry in the CPM.
For a given HTSASM and its associated CPM combining the designated vertex arrangements in a rectangular array yields a U-turn square ice configuration that is characterised by curving the edges on the left hand boundary in such a way that they join row \( i \) to row \( N - i + 1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) in the even and odd rowed cases \( N = 2n \) and \( N = 2n + 1 \), as illustrated below in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Example of a half HTSASM, its associated CPM and the corresponding SIC in the case \( N = 2n + 1 \) with \( n = 4 \).](image)

Before assigning weights to these combinatorial objects it is useful to extend their definition. Let \( P \) denote the set of all partitions \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots) \) with weakly decreasing non-negative integer parts, and let \( D \) be the subset consisting of strict partitions whose parts are strictly decreasing positive integers. In both cases the partition \( \lambda \) is said to have weight \( |\lambda| \) equal to the sum of its parts and length \( \ell(\lambda) \) equal to the number of its non-zero parts.

For our purposes in which we deal with the right hand portions of HTSASMs we require the following, which is the half-turn version of a definition due to Okada [21]:

**Definition 1** For fixed \( N, m \in \mathbb{N} \), with \( N = 2n \) or \( 2n + 1 \) and \( m > n \), and strict partition \( \lambda \in D \) of length \( \ell(\lambda) = n \) and largest part \( \lambda_1 \leq m \), an \( N \times m \) matrix \( A \) is said to be a \( \lambda \)-HTSASM if the entries \( a_{ij} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq N \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \) satisfy the conditions:
1. \( a_{ij} \in \{1, -1, 0\} \);

2. \( \sum_{k=j}^{m} a_{ik} \in \{0, 1\}, \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik} + \sum_{k=1}^{j} a_{N+1-i,k} \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{kj} \in \{0, 1\} \);

3. \( \sum_{j=1}^{m} (a_{ij} + a_{N+1-i,j}) = 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1 \) if \( j = \lambda_k \) for some \( k \) and is 0 otherwise.

A typical \( \lambda \)-HTSASM is illustrated below in Figure 2 in the case \( N = 2n+1 \) with \( n = 3 \) and \( \lambda = (8, 6, 3) \), along with its associated CPM and U-turn square ice configuration.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & T & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
T & 0 & 1 & T & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T & 0 & 1 & 0 & T & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & T & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & T & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
SW & WE & SE & SE & SE & SE & SE & SE \\
SE & NS & SW & WE & SE & SE & SE & SE \\
WE & SE & SE & NE & SE & SE & SE & SE \\
NS & SW & WE & NS & SW & SW & WE & SE \\
SE & SE & NS & SW & WE & NS & WE & SE \\
SW & WE & SE & SE & NS & WE & SE & NE \\
SE & NS & WE & SE & SE & NE & SE & NE \\
SE & NS & WE & SE & SE & NE & SE & NE
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 2: Example of a \( \lambda \)-HTSASM, its associated CPM and the corresponding U-turn SIC in the case \( N = 2n+1 \) with \( n = 3 \) and \( \lambda = (8, 6, 3) \).

The column sums of the \( \lambda \)-HTSASM are 1 for those columns labelled by the parts 8, 6 and 3 of \( \lambda \), and 0 otherwise. By the same token, while the outer edge directions of the U-turn SIC are all upwards on the upper boundary and leftwards on the right hand boundary and on the left hand end of the central row, those on the lower boundary are downwards only in the columns labelled by the parts 8, 6 and 3 of \( \lambda \), and upwards otherwise.
3 Weighted $\lambda$-HTSASMs

The connection between alternating sign matrices and compass point matrices is such that a number of remarkable identities involving various statistics on ASMs can be recast in terms of statistics on the corresponding CPMs. First we have the following two theorems in the special case of $\delta$-HTSASMs:

**Theorem 2 (Okada [21])** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$ and let $B_{n}^\delta$ be the set of all $2n \times n \delta$-HTSASMs. For each $A \in B_{n}^\delta$ let $C$ be the corresponding $2n \times n$ CPM with matrix elements $c_{ij}$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a sequence of non-zero indeterminates. Then for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{A \in B_{n}^\delta} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-tx_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1-t^2x_ix_j)(1-t^2x_j^{-1})^i, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{wgt}(c_{ij}), \quad (8)$$

with $\text{wgt}(c_{ij})$ as tabulated below:

| Entry | $i \leq n$ | $i > n$ |
|-------|------------|---------|
| WE    | $\sqrt{-1}x_i$ | $x_{2n+1-i}$ |
| NS    | $-\sqrt{-1}(1-t^2)$ | $(1-t^2)$ |
| NE    | $\sqrt{-1}t$ | $\sqrt{-1}tx_{2n+1-i}$ |
| SE    | 1          | $x_{2n+1-i}$ |
| NW    | $x_i$      | 1       |
| SW    | $\sqrt{-1}tx_i$ | $\sqrt{-1}t$ |

**Theorem 3 (Simpson [27])** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$ and let $B_{n}^{\delta'}$ be the set of all $(2n+1) \times n \delta$-HTSASMs. For each $A \in B_{n}^{\delta'}$ let $C$ be the corresponding $(2n+1) \times n$ CPM with matrix elements $c_{ij}$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a sequence of non-zero indeterminates. Then for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{A \in B_{n}^{\delta'}} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+t^2x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1+t^2x_ix_j)(1+t^2x_j^{-1})^i, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{wgt}(c_{ij}), \quad (11)$$
with \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) as tabulated below:

| Entry | \( j \leq n \) | \( j > n \) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( WE \) | \( x_i \) \( t^{-1} x_i \) \( x_{2n+2-i} \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( NS \) | \( t(1 + t^2) \) \( t(1 + t^2) \) \( (1 + t^2) \) \( (1 + t^2) \) | \( -\sqrt{-1} (1 - t_i^2) \) \( 1 - t_i^2 x_{2n+1-i} \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_{2n+1-i} \) |
| \( NE \) | \( t \) \( t \) \( t \) \( t \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( x_{2n+1+i} \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( SE \) | \( 1 \) \( 1 \) \( 1 \) \( 1 \) | \( x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( NW \) | \( t x_i \) \( x_i \) \( 1 \) \( 1 \) | \( t^2 x_i \) \( t x_i \) \( t \) \( t \) |
| \( SW \) | \( t^2 x_i \) \( t x_i \) \( t \) \( t \) | \( t^2 x_i \) \( t x_i \) \( t \) \( t \) |

Then the identities appearing in these two theorems can be generalised in two different ways: firstly by replacing the single parameter \( t \) by a sequence of indeterminates, and then by passing from \( \delta \) to an arbitrary strict partition \( \lambda \in D \) of length \( n \) to obtain a factorisation formula of the Tokuyama type [30] in the \( \lambda \)-HTSASM case. For example, it was found by Tabony [29] that Okada's Theorem 2 can be generalised in both these ways. His result can be stated in the following way.

**Theorem 4 (Tabony [29])** For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) be a sequence of non-zero indeterminates, \( \mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \) be a sequence of arbitrary parameters, and \( \delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1) \). For any \( \lambda = \mu + \delta \), where \( \mu \) is a partition of length \( \ell(\mu) \leq n \) and \( \lambda_1 = m \geq n \), let \( B_n^\lambda \) be the set of all \( 2n \times m \) \( \lambda \)-HTSASMs. For each \( A \in B_n^\lambda \) let \( C \) be the corresponding \( 2n \times m \) CPM with matrix elements \( c_{ij} \) and let

\[
\text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{n-m-i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \text{wgt}(c_{ij}),
\]

with \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) as tabulated below:

| Entry | \( j \leq n \) | \( j > n \) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( WE \) | \( \sqrt{-1} x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( NS \) | \( -\sqrt{-1} (1 - t_i^2) \) \( (1 - t_i^2 x_{2n+1-i}) \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_{2n+1-i} \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_{2n+1-i} x_{2n+1+i} \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( NE \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( SE \) | \( 1 \) \( 1 \) \( 1 \) \( 1 \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( NW \) | \( x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |
| \( SW \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) | \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i \) \( \sqrt{-1} t_i x_i x_{2n+1+i} \) |

Then

\[
\sum_{A \in B_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in B_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) \Phi_{B_n^\lambda}^\mu(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{t}),
\]

where

\[
\sum_{A \in B_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t_i x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - t_i t_j x_i x_j)(1 - t_i t_j x_i x_j^{-1}),
\]
where $\Phi_{B_n}^{\mu}(x; t)$ is symmetric under all permutations of $t_i x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and any combination of inversions $x_i \mapsto 1/x_i$.

In the same way, with the introduction of still more parameters as inspired by a suggestion of Brubaker [3] (see also [7]), we were led to conjecture the validity of the following generalisation of Simpson’s Theorem 3:

**Theorem 5** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$, $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ and $t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$ be four sequences of non-zero parameters, and let $z_0$ be a further non-zero parameter. For $\delta = (n, n - 1, \ldots, 1)$ and any $\lambda = \mu + \delta$, where $\mu$ is a partition of length $\ell(\mu) \leq n$ and $\lambda_1 = m \geq n$, let $B_{n}^{\lambda}$ be the set of all $(2n + 1) \times m$ HTSASMs. For each $A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}$ let $C$ be the corresponding $(2n + 1) \times m$ CPM with matrix elements $c_{ij}$ and let

$$
\text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{-m-i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \text{wgt}(c_{ij}),
$$

with $\text{wgt}(c_{ij})$ as tabulated below:

| Entry | $i < n+1$ | $i = n$ | $i > n+1$ |
|-------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ | $j \geq 1$ |
| $WE$ | $y_{i}$ | $1$ | $y_{2n+2-i}$ |
| $NS$ | $1 + s_{i}x_{i}t_{i}y_{i}^{-1}$ | $1 + z_{0}^{2}$ | $1 + s_{2n+2-i}x_{2n+2-i}t_{2n+2-i}y_{2n+2-i}^{-1}$ |
| $NE$ | $t_{i}$ | $u_{0}$ | $s_{2n+2-i}x_{2n+2-i}$ |
| $SE$ | $1$ | $1$ | $y_{2n+2-i}$ |
| $NW$ | $y_{i}$ | $1$ | $1$ |
| $SW$ | $s_{i}x_{i}$ | $z_{0}$ | $t_{2n+2-i}$ |

Then

$$
\sum_{A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}} \text{wgt}(A) \ \Phi_{B_{n}^{\mu}}^{\lambda}(z),
$$

where $z = (s_{1}x_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}x_{n}, z_0, t_{1}y_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{n}y_{n}^{-1})$ and

$$
\sum_{A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + z_{0} s_{i}x_{i}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + s_{i}s_{j}x_{i}x_{j})(1 + s_{i}t_{j}x_{i}y_{j}^{-1}),
$$

and $\Phi_{B_{n}^{\mu}}^{\lambda}(z)$ is symmetric under all permutations of the $2n+1$ components of $z$.

The precise identification of $\Phi_{B_{n}^{\mu}}^{\lambda}(z)$ and $\Phi_{B_{n}^{\mu}}^{\lambda}(z)$ will be seen to emerge as by-product of our proof of the validity of these theorems. In order to construct this proof it is necessary to introduce two further combinatorial entities, namely primed shifted tableaux (PSTs) and corresponding lattice path configurations (LPCs). To prepare the ground for the use of these it is advantageous to rewrite the above statement of $\text{wgt}(A)$ in terms of entries $c_{ij}$ in an alternative form.

First for given $A$ and corresponding $(2n+1) \times m$ compass point matrix $C$ let $L_{i}$ be the total number of entries $WE$, $NW$ or $SW$ that lie in the first column.
of \( C \) above the entry \( c_{11} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1 \). Then let \( \#XY_i \) be the number of pairs \( XY \) of compass point directions that appear in the \( i \)th row of \( C \). It can then be seen that

\[
\begin{align*}
\#WE_i &= \#NS_i + L_{i+1} - L_i; \\
\#NE_i &= L_i - \#NS_i - \#NW_i; \\
\#SE_i &= m - L_i + 1 - \#NS_i - \#SW_i.
\end{align*}
\]

The first of these identities follows from the fact that consecutive entries 1 in row \( i \) of the cumulative row sum matrix of \( A \) give rise to a consecutive sequence of compass point entries \( WE, SW \) or \( NW \) in row \( i \) of \( C \). Any entry to the immediate left of such a sequence is automatically \( NS \). However it will not be present if the leftmost entry in the first column is any one of \( WE, SW \) or \( NW \). In such a case \( L_{i+1} - L_i \) will be 1. Hence the result follows. The second identity follows from the fact that the column sum of entries of \( A \) above the position of any \( NS, NE \) and \( NW \) in row \( i \) of \( C \) is necessarily 1, and those of entries above the positions of \( WE, SE \) and \( SW \) is 0. The sum of all these column sums is therefore \( \#NS_i + \#NE_i + \#NW_i \), and this must coincide with the sum of all row sums of \( A \) for all rows above the \( i \)th. But this is just \( L_i \) since a row sum of entries in \( A \) is 1 if the leftmost entry in \( C \) is \( WE, SW \) or \( NW \), and 0 otherwise. The third identity is then just a consequence of the total number of entries in row \( i \) being \( m \).

In addition it should be noted that thanks to the half turn symmetry for a \((2n + 1) \times m \) \( \lambda \)-HTSASM we have

\[
L_{2n+2-i} = n - i + L_{i+1}
\]

for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1 \).

Using these identities the specification of weights in Theorem 5 can be re-expressed in the form

\[
wgt(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (s_i x_i)^{n-i} (s_i x_i i_{\overline{y}_i})^{L_i} (z_0 s_i x_i)^{L_{i+1}-L_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \text{wgt}(c_{ij}),
\]

with \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) as tabulated below:

| Entry | \( i < n + 1 \) | \( i = n \) | \( i > n + 1 \) |
|-------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| \( WE \) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \( NS \) | \( s_i x_i + t_i y_i \) | \( z_0 + \overline{z}_0 \) | \( \overline{z}_{2n+2-i} \overline{z}_{2n+2-i} + t_{2n+2-i} \overline{y}_{2n+2-i} \) |
| \( NE \) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \( SE \) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \( NW \) | \( t_i y_i \) | \( z_0 \) | \( \overline{z}_{2n+2-i} \overline{z}_{2n+2-i} \) |
| \( SW \) | \( s_i x_i \) | \( z_0 \) | \( t_{2n+2-i} \overline{y}_{2n+2-i} \) |

Here we have adopted a notation that will henceforth be used throughout the paper; namely the notation \( \overline{z} = z^{-1} \) for any \( z \).
4 Primed shifted tableaux and lattice paths

Alternating sign matrices, compass point matrices and square ice configurations are three combinatorial objects that describe the same thing. There are at least two more types of combinatorial objects in this family: shifted tableaux [13,9] and monotone triangles [20] that are themselves equivalent to strict Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns [30]. Of these we will focus our attention on what we call unprimed shifted tableaux as they are the precursor to what we really need, namely primed shifted tableaux [19,9], and through them sets of non-intersecting lattice paths.

Definition 6 For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) be a partition with \( n \) distinct non-zero parts. Then the set \( T^\lambda(2n+1) \) of all unprimed shifted tableaux \( T \) of shape \( \lambda \) over the alphabet \( 1 < 2 < \cdots < n < 0 < \pi < \cdots < \pi < 1 \) consists of those \( T \) which are an array of \( n \) rows of boxes of lengths \( \lambda_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) left adjusted to a diagonal line in which each box contains an entry from the above alphabet subject to the rules:

- entries weakly increase across rows from left to right and down columns from top to bottom;
- entries strictly increase down diagonals from top-left to bottom right;
- exactly one of \( \{k, \pi\} \) appears on the main diagonal for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

It might be noted that these rules imply that 0 cannot appear as an entry on the main diagonal. Such \( T \in T^\lambda(2n+1) \) are in bijective correspondence with all \( \lambda \)-HTSASMs \( A \in B^\lambda_n \). Quite generally the passage from an alternating sign matrix \( A \) to a corresponding unprimed shifted tableau \( T \) with entries from an alphabet \( \{e_1 < e_2 < \cdots\} \) may be accomplished as follows. For each \( A \) draw up a matrix \( B \) whose entries are the right to left accumulated row sums of the elements of \( A \). That is to say \( b_{ij} = \sum_{k\geq j} a_{ik} \). Then for each \( j \) the entries in the \( j \)th diagonal of the shifted tableaux \( T \) are found by reading down the \( j \)th column of \( B \) from top to bottom. If the \( r \)th non-zero entry 1 appears in row \( k = i_r \) of \( B \) then one places \( e_k \) in the \( r \)th position down the \( j \)th diagonal of \( T \).

For example, in the case \( n = 3 \), \( \lambda = (8,6,3) \), and alphabet \( \{1 < 2 < 3 < 0 < \pi < \pi < 1\} \) this correspondence between \( A \) and \( T \) is illustrated in Figure 3.

It may be seen on comparing Figure 2 and Figure 3 that the non-zero entries 1 in \( B \) correspond to entries \( WE \), \( NW \) and \( SW \) in the compass point matrix corresponding to \( A \), and it is these entries that correspond in a one-to-one way to the entries of \( T \).

As we have indicated these unprimed shifted tableaux are just a precursor to what we need, that is to say the set \( P^\lambda(2n+1) \) of primed shifted tableaux \( P \). These are defined by:
Figure 3: Example of the transition from a $\lambda$-HTSASM $A$ to its associated unprimed shifted tableau $T$ by way of a cumulative row sum matrix $B$ in the case $N = 2n + 1$ with $n = 3$ and $\lambda = (8, 6, 3)$.

**Definition 7** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition with $n$ distinct non-zero parts. Then the set $P^\lambda(2n+1)$ of all primed shifted tableaux $P$ of shape $\lambda$ over the alphabet

$1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \cdots < n' < n < 0 < \pi' < \pi < \cdots < \overline{2}' < \overline{2} < \overline{1}' < \overline{1}$

consists of those $P$ that may be obtained from all $T$ in $T^\lambda(2n+1)$ over the unprimed subset of this alphabet by adding primes to entries in such a way that

- entries weakly increase across rows from left to right and down columns from top to bottom;
- entries strictly increase down diagonals from top-left to bottom right;
- no two identical primed entries appear in the same row;
- no two identical unprimed entries appear in the same column;
- no primes appear on the main diagonal.

Moreover, as we made explicit in [11] pp. 450-2, (albeit with a different convention for the labelling of compass point matrix elements) there is an association between entries $SW$, $NW$ and $NS$ in the compass point matrix $C$ and primed and unprimed entries in the primed shifted tableau $P$. Specifically, for an alphabet $(e_1 < e_2 < \cdots)$ of entries in a shifted tableau $T$, an entry $SW$ in the $i$th row of $C$ is associated with an entry $e_i$ in $P$ that must remain unprimed, while an entry $NW$ in the $i$th row of $C$ is associated with an entry $e'_i$ that must be primed. On the other hand an entry $NS$ in the $i$th row of $C$ may be associated with an entry $e_i$ or $e'_i$ in $P$. It corresponds to the first entry
in a strip of $e_i$s in $T$ not starting on the main diagonal, and this entry has the freedom to be primed or unprimed in $P$. Expressed more precisely, the entries in column $j$ of $C$ are associated with the entries in diagonal $j+1$ of $P$. This offset is related to the fact that each entry $NS$ in row $i$ of $C$ is itself associated with an entry $WE$ in the same row, and separated from it by a sequence of $SW$ and $NW$ entries. We have chosen to associate entries $e_i$ and $e_i'$ with $NS$ rather than $WE$ for reasons that will become clear when weights are assigned to these entries. This leaves the unprimed entries $e_i$ on the main diagonal of $P$ to be obtained from $C$. This time the association is such that each entry $WE$, $SW$ or $NW$ in row $i$ and column 1 of $C$ is associated with an unprimed entry $e_i$ on the main diagonal of $P$.

The final combinatorial objects required here are sets of non-intersecting lattice paths. These are constructed in such a way that they are in bijective correspondence with primed shifted tableaux. The lattice path grid is the set of points $(j, i)$ with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, 0, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}, \overline{7}, \overline{8}$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, augmented by a line of points $(-n+k,0)$ with $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For given strict partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) = n$ there are $n$ lattice paths extending from $(-n+k,0)$, via either $(k,1)$ or $(\overline{k},1)$ by way of a curved edge, and thereafter to $(\lambda,0)$ for some $i$ by way of horizontal left to right, vertical top to bottom or diagonal top left to bottom right edges for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, as illustrated in Figure 4. The map from each primed shifted tableau $P$ with entries $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\lambda_i}$ in row $i$ is such that the $i$ lattice path is comprised of a curved edge terminating at $(e_1,1)$, and either a horizontal or a downward diagonal edge terminating at $(e_j,j)$ according as $e_j$ is unprimed or primed, respectively, for $j = 2, \ldots, \lambda_i$, together with precisely those vertically downward edges that make the path continuous and such that it terminates at $(\lambda_i,0)$.

![Figure 4: Example of the lattice paths for a given primed shifted tableau.](image)

One can see in this example that the leftmost $3$ in the primed shifted tableau could equally well be primed. If it is primed than the lattice paths remain non-intersecting as shown in Figure 5.
Figure 5: Example of the lattice paths for a second shifted primed tableau.

On the other hand if the leftmost 3 is replaced by a 0 the resulting primed shifted tableau is non-standard and the corresponding lattice paths intersect as shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Example of intersecting lattice paths corresponding to a pair of non-standard shifted primed tableaux.

It can be seen that in this particular there are 2 distinct primed shifted tableaux that give rise to the same set of lattice path edges depending upon how the edges are assigned to each path beyond the point of intersection. However both primed shifted tableaux are non-standard. Moreover the two choices of lattice paths correspond to a transposition of the end points. It is a characteristic of all such intersecting lattice paths that they can be associated in pairs through the identification of their rightmost point of intersection, and that the pairs differ in a simple transposition of their end points.
5 Weights of primed shifted tableaux and sets of non-intersecting lattice paths

The next step towards our result is the adoption of a sufficiently general weighting of the compass points matrix. Brubaker and Schultz [7] have defined a universal weighting for the compass points matrix for a large number of different types of HTSASMs (i.e. not just for the odd-sided ones we consider here). We reinterpret this universal weighting in a form more amenable to its subsequent use here in connection with primed shifted tableaux as follows.

Definition 8 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ be two sequences of non-zero parameters, and let $z_0$ be a further non-zero parameter. Let $\lambda$ be a strict partition of length $\ell(\lambda) = n$ and first part $\lambda_1 = m$. Then for any $(2n + 1) \times m \lambda$-HTSASM $A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}$ let the corresponding compass point matrix be $C$. Then we define

$$wgt(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{n-i} (x_i y_i)^{L_i} (z_0 x_i)^{L_{i+1}} L_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} wgt(c_{ij})$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where $wgt(c_{ij})$ is as tabulated below

| Entry | at $(i, j)$ | $i < n + 1$ | $i = n + 1$ | $i > n + 1$ |
|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| $WE$  | $x_i + y_i$ | $z_0 + z_0$ | $m_{2n+2-i}$ + $m_{2n+2-i}$ |
| $NS$  | $1$         | $1$         | $1$         |
| $NE$  | $1$         | $1$         | $1$         |
| $SE$  | $1$         | $1$         | $1$         |
| $NW$  | $y_i$       | $z_0$       | $m_{2n+2-i}$ |
| $SW$  | $1$         | $1$         | $1$         |

This may appear somewhat less general than that used in the statement of Theorem 5 but that is not the case since Table 24 may be recovered from Table 29 by mapping $x_i$ to $s_i x_i$ and $y_i$ to $t_i y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

The great merit of adding primes to the unprimed shifted tableaux is that for each $T \in T^{\lambda}(2n + 1)$ corresponding to a $\lambda$-HTSASM $A$ there exist precisely $2^{\neg(A)}$ distinct primed shifted tableaux $P \in P^{\lambda}(2n + 1)$ where $\neg(A)$ is the number of entries $-1$ in $A$, or equivalently the number of entries NS in the corresponding compass point matrix $C$. This means that the $2^{\neg(A)}$ terms that arise in the expression for $wgt(A)$ based on the weights $wgt(c_{ij})$ tabulated in (29) can be separated into a sum of monomials with just one for each distinct shifted primed tableau $P$. To be precise the above weighting in equation (29) of compass point matrices $C$ leads directly to the following:

Lemma 9 With the notation of Definition 8

$$\sum_{A \in B_{n}^{\lambda}} wgt(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{n-i} \sum_{P \in P^{\lambda}(2n+1)} wgt(P)$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)
where
\[ \text{wgt}(P) = \prod_{e \in P} \text{wgt}(e), \tag{31} \]
where for each entry \( e \) in \( P \) the map from \( e \) to its weight \( \text{wgt}(e) \) is given by:

| Diagonal weights | Off-diagonal weights |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| \( k \mapsto z_0 x_k \prod_{j > k} (x_j y_j) \) | \( k \mapsto x_k \) |
| \( \overline{k} \mapsto 1 \) | \( k' \mapsto y_k \) |
| \( \overline{k} \mapsto \overline{y}_k \) | \( \overline{k} \mapsto \overline{x}_k \) |
| \( 0 \mapsto z_0 \) | \( 0' \mapsto \overline{z}_0 \) |
| \( 0' \mapsto \overline{z}_0 \) |

(32)

Proof: It suffices to note that under the map from \( A \) to \( P \), by way of the accumulated row sum matrix \( B \), the compass point matrix \( C \) and the shifted tableau \( T \), entries \( SW \) and \( NW \) in row \( i \) of \( C \) give rise to entries \( k \) and \( k' \), respectively, in \( P \) if \( i = k < n + 1 \), and to 0 and 0’ if \( i = n + 1 \), and to \( \overline{k} \) and \( \overline{k'} \) if \( i = 2n + 2 - k > n + 1 \). As can be seen from table (29) each entry \( NS \) in any row \( i \) of \( C \) has weight equal to the sum of the weights of entries \( SW \) and \( NW \) in the same row. These weights are then ascribed to a corresponding entry in \( T \) that, as we have seen, may be unprimed or primed under the map from \( A \) to \( P \). Such an entry is the first of the continuous strip of identical entries in \( T \) arising from the sequence of 1’s in \( B \) that extends as far as the position of the next entry \( WE \) in \( C \). However this does not apply to strips starting on the main diagonal. These arise if the leftmost entry in row \( i \) of \( C \) is either \( WE \), \( SW \) or \( NW \), so that there is no room for any entry \( NS \) to their left. The condition for this to happen is \( L_{i+1} - L_i = 1 \). The contribution to the weights of the entries on the main diagonal of \( P \) is then determined by the product \( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i \overline{y}_i)^{L_{i+1} - L_i} \) appearing in (28). These factors could be distributed more or less arbitrarily between the weights of \( k \) and \( \overline{k} \) but we have chosen to set the weight of \( \overline{k} \) to be 1, thereby fixing the weight of \( k \) as shown in (32).

6 Lattice path result

Before proving our main result in Section 9 we need an intermediate result that we prove using lattice path techniques.

Theorem 10 For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \lambda \) be a strict partition of length \( \ell(\lambda) = n \). Then with our weighting of primed shifted tableaux given in (32) we have

\[ \sum_{P \in P^\lambda(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) = |q^\lambda| \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (h_{k,\ell}(q)) \tag{33} \]

where

\[ h_{k,\ell}(q) = f_{k,\ell}(q) + (-1)^{n-k} g_{k,\ell}(q) \tag{34} \]
with
\[
f_{k,\ell}(q) = \frac{u_k q^\ell}{1 - x_k q^\ell} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{1 + y_i q^\ell}{1 - x_i q^\ell} \frac{1 + z_0 q^\ell}{1 - y_i q^\ell},
\] (35)

and
\[
g_{k,\ell}(q) = \frac{v_k q^\ell}{1 - y_k q^\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1 + x_i q^\ell}{1 - y_i q^\ell}.
\] (36)

where
\[
u_k = z_0 x_k \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} x_i y_i \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = 1.
\] (37)

Proof: To prove this result we construct a lattice path argument along the lines of that employed by Okada\[20\]. The lattice paths have starting points \(P_i = (-n + i, 0)\) and ending points \(Q_j = (\lambda_j, 0)\) for \(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), and for each primed shifted tableau \(P\) extend from \(P_i\) to \(Q_{\pi(i)}\) for some permutation \(\pi\) of \((1, 2, \ldots, n)\). The weights on curved, horizontal, and diagonal edges are as follows, with each vertical edge weighted 1):

1) For a curved edge from \((-n + k, 0)\) to \((0, k)\) the weight is \(u_k\), and from \((-n + k, 0)\) to \((0, 0)\), the weight is \(v_k\).

2) For a horizontal edge for unbarred nonzero \(i\) from \((j, i)\) to \((j+1, i)\), the weight is \(x_i\). For a horizontal edge for barred nonzero \(i\) from \((j, i)\) to \((j+1, i)\), the weight is \(y_i\). For a horizontal edge for 0 from \((j, 0)\) to \((j+1, 0)\), the weight is \(z_0\).

3) For a diagonal edge for unbarred nonzero \(i\) from \((j, i-1)\) to \((j+1, i)\) the weight is \(y_i\). For a diagonal edge for barred nonzero \(i\) from \((j, i+1)\) to \((j+1, i)\) the weight is \(x_i\). For a diagonal edge for 0 from \((j, n)\) to \((j+1, 0)\) the weight is \(z_0\).

It is not hard to see that with this weighting, the set of non-intersecting lattice paths from \(P_i\) to \(Q_j\) gives the generating function for the required sum of weights of primed shifted tableaux on the left hand side of (33). In particular, the row starting with \(k\) or \(\overline{k}\) corresponds to the lattice path starting at \((-n + k, 0)\).

It remains to show that the right hand side of (33) counts all possible lattice paths (intersecting and nonintersecting) of this form. To do this we show that \(f\) and \(g\) as defined in equations (35) and (36) are the generating functions for the weights of individual paths starting at \(k\) and \(\overline{k}\) respectively. Then from Okada’s argument in [20] about cancellations between pairs of intersecting paths we know that the determinants of these functions counts the weights of the set of nonintersecting paths. The final step is to combine determinants.

\[1\] Note that we could have also followed a different path, so to speak, making use of Theorem 4.2, equation (32), of Ishikawa and Wakayama [14] instead. Their theorem is a more general case of Stembridge’s result [28]; however, their theorem holds in the case when the starting and ending points are not \(D\)-compatible (as defined in [28]), which is the situation we would construct here. The connection to [14] and [28] implies there would be Pfaffian results as well, and these will be the subject of some of our future work.
First we show that the generating function for the weights of all possible rows starting with \( k \) in the tableaux can be expressed as

\[ f_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) := \frac{u_k q_\ell}{1 - x_k q_\ell} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{1 + y_i q_\ell}{1 - z_i q_\ell} \frac{1 + z_0 q_\ell}{1 - z_0 q_\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 + \overline{g}_i q_\ell}{1 - \overline{g}_i q_\ell}. \tag{38} \]

As justification for this assertion, we point out that the first (curved) step is at height \( k \) and has weight \( u_k \). After that we cannot have any diagonal steps at height \( k \) but we can have more horizontal steps. Their weights are generated by \((1 - x_k q_\ell)^{-1}\). Then we can have the remaining steps from any height bigger than \( k \). We can have at most one of these as a diagonal step—and these weights are generated by \((1 + y_i q_\ell)\) for unbarred entries, by \((1 + z_0 q_\ell)\) for zero entries, and by \((1 + \overline{g}_i q_\ell)\) for barred entries—or many horizontal steps—and these are counted by \((1 - x_i q_\ell)^{-1}\) for unbarred entries, by \((1 - z_0 q_\ell)\) for zero entries, and by \((1 - \overline{g}_i q_\ell)^{-1}\) for barred entries.

A similar argument implies that the generating function for the weights of all possible rows starting with \( \overline{k} \) in the tableaux can be expressed as

\[ g_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) := \frac{\overline{u}_k q_\ell}{1 - \overline{y}_k q_\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 + \overline{g}_i q_\ell}{1 - g_i q_\ell}. \tag{39} \]

In the expansion of each of these generating functions \( f_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) \) and \( g_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) \) the parameter \( q_\ell \) carries the total number of steps to the right in each lattice path, and thereby its exponent gives the the number of the column in which each lattice path terminates. In dealing with lattice paths associated with primed shifted tableaux of shape \( \lambda \) it is convenient to use the notation whereby \([q^\lambda]\) picks out the coefficient of \( q^\lambda \) in the expansion of these generating functions and \([q^\lambda]\) the coefficient of \( q_1^{\lambda_1} q_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots q_n^{\lambda_n} \).

To put equations (38) and (39) together to handle the entirety of paths, we begin by using the notation of Okada to refer to the sequence of entries \( \mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) \) on the main diagonal of \( P \) as its profile, and note that there are \( 2^n \) distinct profiles \( \mathbf{d} \) of those \( P \) of shape \( \lambda \), where each such profile \( \mathbf{d} \) contains either \( k \) or \( \overline{k} \) but not both. It follows from Okada’s argument [20] that the generating function for all non-intersecting paths corresponding to a particular profile \( \mathbf{d} \) is given by \( \det( f_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) ) \) for \( k \in \mathbf{d} \) and \( \det( g_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) ) \) for \( \overline{k} \in \mathbf{d} \).

Let \( \pi \) be the permutation mapping \( \mathbf{d} \) to \( \mathbf{d}^\pi \) where \( d_k^\pi = k \) or \( \overline{k} \). For each allowed profile \( \mathbf{d} \) the permutation \( \pi \) is a product of cycles having parity \((-1)^{n-k}\) moving \( \overline{k} \) to position \( k \). Hence summing over all distinct profiles \( \mathbf{d} \) and permuting rows and combining determinants one arrives at \( \sum_{\pi} \det( \tilde{h}_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) ) = \det( f_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) + (-1)^{n-k} g_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) ) \). The proof is completed by restricting attention to those primed shifted tableaux of shape \( \lambda \) in which case one picks out the coefficient of \( q_1^{\lambda_1} \) from each term in the \( \ell \)th column. Since \( q_\ell \) only appears in this column the operator \([q^\lambda]\) may be taken out of the determinant yielding the required result. \( \square \)

Now it remains to expand the determinant on the right hand side of (38).
7 Determinantal expansion

The determinant appearing in Theorem 10 does not, as it stands, appear to be one that has already been evaluated in the extensive collection of various types of determinant in the papers of Krattenthaler [15, 16] or indeed elsewhere. However, explicit evaluation in the cases \( n = 1, 2 \) and \( 3 \) suggests the validity of the following Lemma which we will state and prove:

**Lemma 11** For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( z = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, z_0, y_n, \ldots, y_1) \) and let \( q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \). Then for \( h_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell}) \) as given in Theorem 10 we have

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (h_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell})) = Z(z) \ K(z, q) \ Q(q)
\]

where

\[
Z(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-(n-i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j)(1 + x_i y_j);
\]

\[
K(z, q) = 1/(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q_i z_0) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (1 - q_j x_i)(1 - q_j y_i));
\]

\[
Q(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - q_j) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (1 + q_i q_j).
\]

**Proof:** First it is convenient to extract a factor of

\[
K(z; q_{\ell}) := 1/(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q_{\ell} x_i)(1 - q_{\ell} y_i))
\]

from each element in the \( \ell \)th column of the determinant together with a factor of \( q_{n+1}^{\ell} \), and to extract a factor of \( u_k \) from each element in the \( k \)th row. This gives

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (h_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell})) = K(z, q) \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{n+1} u_i \ \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( \hat{f}_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell}) - \hat{f}_{k, \ell}(-\bar{q}_{\ell}) \right)
\]

with

\[
\hat{f}_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell}) = q_{\ell}^{-n}(1 + z_0 q_{\ell}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i q_{\ell}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - x_i q_{\ell}) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 + y_i q_{\ell}).
\]

The two terms in the determinant owe their origin to identities:

\[
f_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell}) = K(z; q_{\ell}) \ u_k q_{\ell}^{n+1} \ \hat{f}_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell});
\]

\[
g_{k, \ell}(q_{\ell}) = (-1)^{n-k+1} K(z; q_{\ell}) \left( \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} x_i y_i \right) q_{\ell}^{n+1} \ \hat{f}_{k, \ell}(-\bar{q}_{\ell}),
\]
and the fact that
\[ \nu_k \sum_{k \leq n} \prod_{i=k+1}^n x_i y_i = u_k , \quad (44) \]
as follows from the specification of \( u_k \) and \( \nu_k \) given in (37).

One advantage of the form (42) is that it shows immediately that the determinant vanishes if \( q_\ell = -\overline{q}_\ell \), since in such a case all elements in the \( \ell \)th column vanish, or if either \( q_i = q_j \) or \( q_i = -\overline{q}_j \) for any \( i \neq j \), since in either case the \( i \)th and \( j \)th columns are proportional to one another. This is sufficient to show that the factors \((q_i - q_j)\) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) and \((1 + q_i q_j)\) for \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \), are necessarily factors of the given determinant.

However, a more significant advantage of this form is that the determinant on the right-hand side is strikingly similar to the one evaluated by Rosengren and Schlosser [25] in their Corollary 5.8, and contained in the compendium assembled by Krattenthaler [16] as his Lemma 19. Indeed, applying this Corollary to a particular sequence of polynomials, \( P_{j-1}(x_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (1 + b_k x_i) \) of degree at most \( j - 1 \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), along with a change of parameters whereby \( x_i, a_i, b_i, c_i \) are mapped to \( I q_i, I a_i, I b_i \) and \( I c_i \) with \( I = \sqrt{-1} \), yields the following:

**Lemma 12** For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \), \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \), \( \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \) and \( \mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, c_{n+1}) \) be four sequences of indeterminates, with \( q_i \neq 0 \) and \( \overline{q}_i = q_i^{-1} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and set \( m_k,\ell(q_\ell) = g_k,\ell(q_\ell) - g_k,\ell(-\overline{q}_\ell) \) where
\[
\hat{g}_k,\ell(q_\ell) = q_\ell^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + c_i q_\ell) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - b_i q_\ell) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 + a_i q_\ell). \quad (45)
\]

Then
\[
\det_{1 \leq k,\ell \leq n} \left( m_k,\ell(q_\ell) \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (1 + c_i c_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_i + a_j)
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{-n} (1 + q_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - q_j)(1 + q_i q_j). \quad (46)
\]

By comparing the expressions for \( f_k,\ell(q_\ell) \) and \( \hat{g}_k,\ell(q_\ell) \) in (43) and (45), respectively, it can be seen that setting \( a_i = y_i \), \( b_i = x_i \) and \( c_i = \overline{x}_i \) for
\[ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \] and \( c_{n+1} = z_0 \) in Lemma 12 gives

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( \tilde{f}_{k, \ell}(q \ell) - \tilde{f}_{k, \ell}(-q \ell) \right)
\]

\[ = Q(q) \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{-(n+1)} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i + y_j) \]

\[ = Q(q) \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{-(n+1)} u_i^{-1} x_i^{n-i} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i y_j) \]

\[ = Q(q) Z(z) \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{-(n+1)} u_i^{-1} . \]

Using this in (42) immediately yields (40), thereby completing the proof of Lemma 11. □

An alternative more self-contained proof of Lemma 12, avoiding any reliance on Corollary 5.8 of Rosengren and Schlosser [25], is provided here in Appendix A.

8 Schur functions and universal characters

In order to recognise our various weighted sums of ASMs as being deformations of Weyl character and denominator formulae, it is necessary to introduce some classical results on these topics. In particular, for the results in Section 9 we will need a number of well-known properties of the GL\( (n) \) characters known as Schur functions [18, 19], and their extension to the case of O\( (2n+1) \) characters.

For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and each partition \( \mu \) of length \( \ell(\mu) \leq n \) there exists an irreducible representation \( V^\mu_{GL(n)} \) of GL\( (n) \) of highest weight \( \mu \), whose character evaluated for a group element with eigenvalues \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is given by

\[
\text{ch} V^\mu_{GL(n)} = s_\mu(x), \tag{47}
\]

where, if we let \( \delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1) \), the Schur function \( s_\mu(x) \) may be defined for an arbitrary sequence of indeterminates \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) by

\[
s_\mu(x) = \frac{a_{\mu+\delta}(x)}{a_{\delta}(x)} = \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( x^{\mu_j+n+1-j}_i \right)}{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( x^{n+1-j}_i \right)} \tag{48}
\]

where it is easy to see that the Schur function denominator \( a_{\delta}(x) \), as defined here, is given by

\[
a_{\delta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j). \tag{49}
\]

Moreover in the expansion of the numerator \( a_{\mu+\delta}(x) \) as a signed sum of monomials of the form \( x^\kappa = x_1^{\kappa_1} x_2^{\kappa_2} \cdots x_n^{\kappa_n} \), the parts of \( \kappa \) are necessarily distinct and
there is only one term for which $\kappa$ is a partition, namely the leading term $x^{\mu+\delta}$. It follows that for any strict partition $\lambda$

\[ [x^\lambda] a_{\mu+\delta}(x) = \delta_{\lambda,\mu+\delta}. \quad (50) \]

The decomposition of Schur function products and quotients take the form

\[ s_\mu(x) s_\nu(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) \quad \text{and} \quad s_{\lambda/\mu}(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}} c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} s_\nu(x), \quad (51) \]

where the coefficients $c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}$ are non-negative integers determined by the famous Littlewood-Richardson rule, and the quotient is usually referred to as a skew Schur function.

Finally, there are three generating functions of relevance here, namely the Cauchy formula \[ \prod_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} s_\sigma(x) s_\sigma(y) \quad (52) \]

for any two sequences of indeterminates $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$, and the formulae \[ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}_t} s_\alpha(x); \]
\[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}_t} s_\gamma(x); \quad (53) \]

where $\mathcal{P}_t$ is the set of all the partitions which in Frobenius notation \[ [19] \]
are such that the arm length minus the corresponding leg length is $t$ for any integer $t$.

Now we turn attention to the orthogonal group $SO(2n+1)$ and its corresponding Lie algebra $B_n \sim so(2n+1)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each partition $\mu$ of length $\ell(\mu) \leq n$ there exists an irreducible representation $V_\mu^{SO(2n+1)}$ of $SO(2n+1)$ of highest weight $\mu$, whose character evaluated for a group element with eigenvalues $(1, x, \overline{x}) = (1, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \overline{x}_1, \ldots, \overline{x}_n)$ is given by

\[ \text{ch } V_\mu^{SO(2n+1)} = s_\mu(1, x, \overline{x}), \quad (54) \]

where

\[ s_\mu(1, x, \overline{x}) = \frac{\det_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \left( x_i^{\mu_j+n-j+1/2} - x_i^{-(\mu_j+n-j+1/2)} \right)}{\det_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \left( x_i^{n-j+1/2} - x_i^{-(n-j+1/2)} \right)}. \quad (55) \]

It is possible, and convenient to extend this definition so as to encompass what is sometimes referred to as a universal character for the orthogonal group. When
expressed in terms of Schur functions this takes the form

$$s_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{|\gamma|/2} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \quad (56)$$

where now $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2n+1})$ involves $2n + 1$ parameters, that have to be specialised to recover the actual group character. It is this universal character that will be shown to emerge naturally in our later analysis.

To make contact with Weyl’s denominator formula it should be recalled that the set of positive roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(2n + 1)$ of the orthogonal group $SO(2n + 1)$ is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\epsilon_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}. \quad (57)$$

It follows that Weyl’s denominator takes the form

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i x_j^{-1}) \quad (58)$$

where $x_i = e^{-\epsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. It is deformations of this formula through the introduction of additional parameters that we will meet in what follows.

9 Tokuyama type factorisation

The significance of Lemma 11 lies in the fact that it allows us to proceed smoothly from Theorem 10 to our main result, namely the following Tokuyama type factorisation theorem involving group characters.

**Theorem 13** For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\lambda = \mu + \delta$ where $\delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$ with $\mu$ a partition of length $\ell(\mu) \leq n$. Then for $z = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, z_0, y_n, \ldots, y_2, y_1)$ and the contributions to the weight $\text{wgt}(P)$ of each primed shifted tableau $P \in \mathcal{P}^\lambda$ as specified in Table 32 we have

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^\lambda(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^\lambda(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \quad (59)$$

with

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^\lambda(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-(n-i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j)(1 + x_i y_j). \quad (60)$$
Proof: Our previous results taken together imply that
\[
\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^{\lambda}(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) = [q^\lambda] \det(h_{k, \ell}(q_\ell)) = [q^\lambda] K(z, q) Q(q) Z(z)
\]
\[
= Z(z)[q^\lambda]\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} s_\pi(z) s_\pi(q) a_\delta(q) \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_\gamma(q)
\]
\[
= Z(z)[q^\lambda]\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\tau/\gamma}(z) a_\delta(q) s_{\tau}(q)
\]
\[
= Z(z) \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\tau/\gamma}(z) [q^\lambda] a_{\tau+\delta}(q)
\]
\[
= Z(z) \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\tau/\gamma}(z) \delta_{\mu+\delta, \tau+\delta} = Z(z) \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z).
\]
(61)

Here the successive steps may be justified as follows. The first step just corresponds to noting that, for the given \( z \) and \( q \), (41) and (52) imply
\[
K(z, q) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{2n+1,n} \frac{1}{1 - z_i q_j} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} s_\pi(z) s_\pi(q),
\]
(62)
while (41), (49) and (53) imply \( Q(q) = \sum_{\gamma \in C} a_\delta(q) s_\gamma(q) \). The next step is a consequence of the dual nature of the two formulae of (51) for Schur function products and quotients. These imply first that \( s_\pi(q) s_\tau(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} c_{\tau/\gamma}^{\pi/\gamma} s_{\tau}(q) \) and then that \( \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} c_{\tau/\gamma}^{\pi/\gamma} s_{\tau}(z) = s_{\tau/\gamma}(z) \). The final three steps arise from the Schur function definition (48) giving \( a_\delta(q) s_{\tau}(q) = a_{\tau+\delta}(q) \), followed by the use of (60) and the fact that by hypothesis \( \lambda = \mu + \delta \).

Then setting \( \mu = 0 \) in (61) gives
\[
\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^{\lambda}(2n+1)} \text{wgt}(P) = Z(z).
\]
(63)
Substituting this back into (61) gives (69), while the explicit form of \( Z(z) \) in (41) gives (60), thereby completing the proof of the Theorem 13.

If the entries in the primed shifted tableaux \( P \) are restricted to those in the alphabet
\[
1' < 1 < 2 < \cdots < n' < n < \pi < \pi' < \cdots < \delta < \delta' < \delta' < \delta < \delta < \delta < \delta < \delta < \delta
\]
(64)
so that entries 0 and 0’ are no longer allowed, then we arrive at:

**Theorem 14** For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \lambda = \mu + \delta \) where \( \delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1) \) with \( \mu \) a partition of length \( \ell(\mu) \leq n \). Then for \( z = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, 1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2, \overline{y}_3, \ldots) \) and the contributions to the weight \( \text{wgt}(P) \) of each primed shifted tableau \( P \in \mathcal{P}^{\lambda} \) as specified in the following table

| Diagonal weights | Off-diagonal weights |
|------------------|----------------------|
| \( k \rightarrow (-1)^{n-k+1} x_k \prod_{j>k} (x_j \overline{y}_j) \) | \( k \rightarrow 1 \) |
| \( k \rightarrow 1 \) | \( k' \rightarrow -I y_k \) |
| \( k \rightarrow -I \overline{y}_k \) | \( k \rightarrow -I \overline{y}_k \) |

(65)
we have
\[ \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(2n)} \text{wgt}(P) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^o(2n)} \text{wgt}(P) \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{|\gamma|/2} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \] (66)

with
\[ \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^o(2n)} \text{wgt}(P) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i y_j). \] (67)

**Proof:** Entries 0 and 0' are excluded in the sum over lattice paths associated with \( P \) merely by setting \( z_0 = \sqrt{-1} \) since in this case the factor \((1 + z_0 q_\ell)/(1 - z_0 q_\ell)\) appearing in \( f_{k,i}(q_\ell) \) in (63) reduces to 1. The result then follows from Theorem 13 under the additional substitutions \( x_i = I x_i \) and \( y_i = I y_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

### 10 Corollaries

Thanks to Lemma 9 this result can be restated immediately in terms of ASMs as

**Corollary 15** For all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \lambda = \mu + \delta \) where \( \delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1) \) with \( \mu \) a partition of length \( \ell(\mu) \leq n \). Then for \( z = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, z_0, y_n, \ldots, y_2, y_1) \) and the contributions to the weight \( \text{wgt}(A) \) of each \( \lambda \)-HTSASM \( A \in \mathcal{B}_n^\lambda \) as specified in Table 22 of Definition 8 we have
\[ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^{\delta}} \text{wgt}(A) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \] (68)

with
\[ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n^{\delta}} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + z_0 x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i x_j)(1 + x_i y_j). \] (69)

It can be seen that (68) is a direct generalisation of Tokuyama’s identity (11) from the general linear group \( GL(n) \) to the orthogonal group \( SO(2n+1) \) in which not only is Weyl’s denominator deformed from (58) to (69) through the dependence on both \( x_i \) and \( y_i \), but so is the corresponding character which is expressed here as a sum of Schur functions that can be thought of as a deformation of the expression for the universal orthogonal group character \( so_\mu(z) \) specified in (50).

We have concentrated so far on the \( \lambda \)-HTSASMs of type \( \mathcal{B}_n^\lambda \) with an odd number of rows, but our results also encompass those of type \( \mathcal{B}_n^\delta \) with an even number of rows. The latter are in bijective correspondence with the former restricted to those cases in which the central row has no non-zero entries to the right of the central 1. The bijection just involves the removal of both the...
central row and the central column. This is illustrated in the case \( n = 3 \) and 
\( \lambda = \delta = (3, 2, 1) \) by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \top & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \top & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \top & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \top & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The corresponding shifted tableau \( T \) is the same in both cases, and as shown 
above contains no entries 0. This observation remains true for all \( n \) and all 
strict partitions \( \lambda \).

To eliminate contributions to our various sums over weights, \( \text{wgt}(A) \), from 
those \( A \in B'_n \) that are not of the above type it suffices to note that they will 
necessarily contain at least one entry \( \top = -1 \) in the central row, and corre-
spondingly an entry \( NS \) in row \( i = n + 1 \) of the associated CPM. Such an entry 
gives rise to a factor \((z_0 + \overline{z_0})\) in the expression for \( \text{wgt}(A) \), as can be seen from 
the weight assignments given in (29). The required elimination is therefore au-
tomatically accomplished by setting \( z_0 = I = \sqrt{-1} \). If one does this, and then 
for convenience replaces \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) by \( Ix_i \) and \( Iy_i \), respectively, one arrives at 
the following corollary that could also be obtained from Theorem 14:

**Corollary 16** For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \), \( \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \) and 
\( \mathbf{z} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1, \overline{y}_n, \ldots, \overline{y}_1) \). For any \( \lambda = \mu + \delta \) with \( \delta = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1) \) and 
\( \mu \) a partition of length \( \ell(\mu) \leq n \) and \( \lambda_1 = m \geq n \), let \( B^\lambda_n \) be the set of all \( 2n \times m \) 
\( \lambda \)-HTSASMs. For each \( A \in B^\lambda_n \) let \( C \) be the corresponding \( 2n \times m \) CPM with 
matrix elements \( c_{ij} \) and let

\[
\text{wgt}(A) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{n-i} (-1)^{L_i+1} (x_i \overline{y}_i)^{L_i} x_i^{L_i+1-L_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \text{wgt}(c_{ij})
\]

where \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) is as tabulated below

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Entry at} (i, j) & i \leq n & i > n \\
\hline
WE & 1 & 1 \\
NS & x_i - y_i & \overline{y}_{2n+1-i} - \overline{y}_{2n+1-i} \\
NE & 1 & 1 \\
SE & 1 & 1 \\
NW & -y_i & -\overline{y}_{2n+1-i} \\
SW & x_i & \overline{y}_{2n+1-i} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

(72)
Then
\[ \sum_{A \in B_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in B_n^\delta} \text{wgt}(A) \sum_{\gamma \in C} (-1)^{|\gamma|/2} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z), \] (73)

where
\[ \sum_{A \in B_n^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i \overline{y}_j). \] (74)

This time while we have a minor variation of the deformation of Weyl’s denominator formula (58), obtained in the \( B_n' \) case, the deformed character that arises in this \( B_n \) case is nothing other than the universal orthogonal group character \( so_\mu(z) \) defined in (56).

To recover all the results stated in Section 3 it is only necessary to specialise appropriately the parameter appearing in Corollary 15. The required specialisations are as follows:

| Parameters | \( \lambda - \text{HTSASMs} \) | \( x_i \) | \( z_0 \) | \( \overline{y}_i \) |
|------------|-----------------|-----|---------|--------|
| Theorem 5  | \( A \in B_n'^\lambda \) | \( s_i x_i \) | \( t_i, i \) | \( t_i \overline{y}_i \) |
| Theorem 4  | \( A \in B_n'^\lambda \) | \( s_i x_i \) | \( I_i, I \) | \( I_i, \overline{x}_i \) |
| Theorem 3  | \( A \in B_n'^\lambda \) | \( t x_i \) | \( I \) | \( I, t \overline{x}_i \) |
| Theorem 2  | \( A \in B_n'^\lambda \) | \( t x_i \) | \( I \) | \( I, t \overline{x}_i \) |

Undertaking the first two specialisations immediately yields the hitherto unknown factors appearing in Theorem 5 and Theorem 4:

\[ \Phi_{b_n'}^{\mu}(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \]
\[ \Phi_{b_n'}^{\mu}(z) = I^{[\mu]} \sum_{\gamma \in C} (-1)^{|\gamma|/2} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) = I^{[\mu]} so_\mu(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1, \overline{y}_n, \ldots, \overline{y}_1). \] (76)

11 Addendum

While this work was in progress a further private communication from Brubaker prompted us to consider a final generalisation of our deformation parameters to accommodate the universal parameterisation of Brubaker and Schultz [7]. This takes the form of the assignment of universal weights \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) to compass point...
matrix elements shown on the left:

| Entry at (i, j) | Universal | i < n + 1: j ≥ 1 | i = n: j ≥ 1 | i > n + 1: j ≥ 1 |
|----------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| WE            | $c_2^{(i)}$ | 1                | 1             | 1                |
| NS            | $c_1^{(i)}$ | $a_1^{(i)}a_2^{(i)} + b_1^{(i)}b_2^{(i)}$ | $(a_0^{(0)})^2 + (b_0^{(0)})^2$ | $a_1^{(5)}a_2^{(7)} + b_1^{(5)}b_2^{(7)}$ |
| NE            | $b_2^{(i)}$ | $b_2^{(i)}$      | $b_0^{(0)}$    | $b_2^{(7)}$      |
| SE            | $a_2^{(i)}$ | $a_2^{(i)}$      | $a_0^{(0)}$    | $b_2^{(7)}$      |
| NW            | $a_1^{(i)}$ | $a_1^{(i)}$      | $a_0^{(0)}$    | $a_2^{(7)}$      |
| SW            | $b_1^{(i)}$ | $b_1^{(i)}$      | $b_0^{(0)}$    | $b_2^{(7)}$      |

We have set this alongside those weights adopted by Brubaker and Schultz [7] that are appropriate to the $B'_n$ case as dictated by the free-fermion condition

$$c_1^{(i)}c_2^{(i)} = a_1^{(i)}a_2^{(i)} + b_1^{(i)}b_2^{(i)},$$

(78)

Together with the symmetry conditions appropriate to $U$-turn $\lambda$-HTSASMs

$$a_1^{(i)} = a_2^{(i)}, \quad b_1^{(i)} = b_2^{(i)}, \quad c_1^{(i)} = c_2^{(i)}, \quad c_2^{(i)} = c_2^{(i)},$$

(79)

And the normalisation condition

$$c_2^{(i)} = 1,$$

(80)

All for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1$. The notation used here is such that $\overline{i} = 2n + 2 - i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1$, $a_0^{(0)} = a_1^{(n+1)} = a_2^{(n+1)}$ and $b_0^{(0)} = b_1^{(n+1)} = b_2^{(n+1)}$.

Greatly encouraged by correspondence with Brubaker, we were led to the following extension of the result appropriate to $B^\lambda_n$ in the long list of factorisation results appearing in Theorem 4.5 of [7]:

**Theorem 17** For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\lambda = \mu + \delta$ where $\delta = (n, n - 1, \ldots, 1)$ with $\mu$ a partition of length $\ell(\mu) \leq n$ and $m = \lambda_1$, and let

$$z = \left(\frac{b_1^{(1)}}{a_2^{(1)}}, \ldots, \frac{b_1^{(n)}}{a_2^{(n)}}, \frac{b_0^{(0)}}{a_0^{(0)}}, \frac{b_2^{(2)}}{a_2^{(2)}}, \frac{c_1^{(i)}}{a_1^{(i)}}, \frac{c_2^{(i)}}{a_2^{(i)}}\right)$$

(81)

Then for each $\lambda$-HTSASM $A \in B^\lambda_n$ let

$$\text{wgt}(A) = w_0w_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_1^{(i)}}{a_2^{(i)}}^{n-i} \left(\frac{b_0^{(0)}}{a_0^{(0)}}\right)^i \left(\frac{b_1^{(i)}}{a_1^{(i)}}\right)^{L_i-1} \left(\frac{b_2^{(2)}}{a_2^{(2)}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \text{wgt}(c_{ij})$$

(82)

With

$$w_0 = \left(a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_1^{(i)}a_2^{(i)}\right)^{m-n}, \quad w_1 = a_0^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_1^{(i)})^{i-1} (a_2^{(i)})^{2n-i}$$

(83)
and \( \text{wgt}(c_{ij}) \) specified by

| Entry at \((i, j)\) | \(i < n + 1\) | \(i = n\) | \(i > n + 1\) |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
|                      | \(j \geq 1\)   | \(j = 1\)  | \(j \geq 1\) |
| \(WE\)              | 1              | 1          | 1            |
| \(NS\)              | \(b_1^{(i)}/a_2^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}/b_2^{(i)}\) | \(b_0^{(0)}/a_0^{(0)} + a_0^{(0)}/b_0^{(0)}\) | \(b_1^{(i)}/a_1^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}/b_1^{(i)}\) |
| \(NE\)              | 1              | 1          | 1            |
| \(SE\)              | 1              | 1          | 1            |
| \(NW\)              | \(a_1^{(i)}/b_2^{(i)}\) | \(a_0^{(0)}/b_0^{(0)}\) | \(a_1^{(i)}/b_1^{(i)}\) |
| \(SW\)              | \(a_1^{(i)}/b_2^{(i)}\) | \(a_0^{(0)}/b_0^{(0)}\) | \(a_1^{(i)}/b_1^{(i)}\) |

Then

\[
\sum_{A \in B_i^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \sum_{A \in B_i^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) \, w_0 \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \tag{85}
\]

and, as derived by Brubaker and Schultz \[7\],

\[
\sum_{A \in B_i^\lambda} \text{wgt}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( a_0^{(0)} b_0^{(0)} + b_0^{(0)} a_1^{(i)} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( a_1^{(i)} a_1^{(j)}/b_1^{(i)} b_1^{(j)} + a_1^{(j)} a_1^{(i)}/b_1^{(i)} b_1^{(j)} \right). \tag{86}
\]

**Proof:** First it should be noted that the passage from the Brubaker and Schultz weighting specified in the final three columns of (77) to that of (82) – (84) is accomplished through the use of the crucial compass point matrix identities (21) and the symmetry condition (22). Then comparison of (82) and the tabulation (84) with (28) and the tabulation (29), respectively, of Definition 8 in Section 5 shows that with the identification

\[
z_0 = b_0^{(0)}/a_0^{(0)}\quad\text{and}\quad x_i = b_1^{(i)}/a_2^{(i)}, \quad y_i = a_1^{(i)}/b_2^{(i)} \quad\text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{87}
\]

the hypotheses of Theorem 17 coincide with those of Corollary 15 apart from the inclusion of additional scaling factors \(w_0\) and \(w_1\). The factor \(w_0\) emerges unscathed on the right hand side of (85) since \(w_0 = 1\) in the case \(m = n\) that arises when \(\lambda = \delta\). The other additional factor \(w_1\) is just what is required to rewrite the outcome (69) of Corollary 15, which was deliberately normalised so as to have leading term 1, in the form (86) which has leading term \(w_1\). The final observation is that \(z\) as defined in Corollary 15 reduces to \(z\) as specified in (81) under the identification (87). \(\square\)

It should be noted that this Theorem 17 serves to determine explicitly the ratio of partition functions appearing in the \(B_i^\lambda\) model of Brubaker and Schultz \[7\]. This ratio takes the form

\[
\mathcal{Z}(B_i^\lambda)/\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{B}_i^\lambda) = w_0 \sum_{\gamma \in C} s_{\mu/\gamma}(z) \tag{88}
\]

with \(w_0\) and \(z\) defined in terms of the Brubaker-Schultz parameters by (83) and (81), respectively.
Finally, it may be noted that on comparing our formalism with that of Brubaker and Schultz, our labelling of the vertices in the square-ice configuration model are identical, but the configurations themselves are different: our diagrams are upside down and left-right reversed compared with those of [7]. This implies that the compass point matrix elements differ by the mutual interchange of NE and SW, and of SE and NW. However, with the relabelling of rows from top to bottom, the U-turn symmetry conditions for $\lambda$-HTSASMs are just what is required to ensure that our weighting used in Theorem [17] coincides with that of Brubaker and Schultz.
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13 Appendix

Our aim here is to provide an independent proof of Lemma 12, which for convenience we repeat here as
Lemma 18 For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( \mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \), \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \), \( \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \) and \( \mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, c_{n+1}) \) be four sequences of indeterminates, with \( q_i \neq 0 \) and \( \tilde{\mathbf{q}_i} = q_i^{-1} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and set \( m_{k,\ell}(q_e) = \tilde{g}_{k,\ell}(q_e) - \tilde{g}_{k,\ell}(-\tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) \) where

\[
\tilde{g}_{k,\ell}(q_e) = q_\ell^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + c_i q_e) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - b_i q_e) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 + a_i q_e) .
\]

Then

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (m_{k,\ell}(q_e)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_i + a_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (1 + c_i c_j) \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{-n} (1 + q_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - q_j)(1 + q_i q_j) .
\]

Proof: Our starting point is the required determinant expressed in the form

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (m_{k,\ell}(q_e)) = \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( L(\mathbf{c}, q_e) \tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - b_i q_e) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 + a_i q_e) - L(\mathbf{c}, -\tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) (-q_e)^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + b_i \tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 - a_i \tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) \right)
\]

where

\[
L(\mathbf{c}, q_e) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + c_i q_e) .
\]

We first separate out the dependence on the various \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) as follows. Subtracting row \( k + 1 \) from row \( k \) yields a factor \((b_k + a_{k+1})q_e\) from the left hand term and a factor \((b_k + a_{k+1})/(-q_e)\) from the right hand term for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \). We can take out the common factor \( b_k + a_{k+1} \) and reduce the powers of \( \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e \) and \((-q)_e\) from \( n \) to \( n - 1 \). Then subtracting row \( k + 1 \) from row \( k \) yields a common factor \( b_k + a_{k+2} \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2 \) while the powers of \( \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_e \) and \((-q)_e\) are reduced from \( n - 1 \) to \( n - 2 \). Continuing in this way one finds that

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (m_{k,\ell}(q_e)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_i + a_j) \times \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( L(\mathbf{c}, q_e) \tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - b_i q_e) - L(\mathbf{c}, -\tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) (-q_e)^k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + b_i \tilde{\mathbf{q}_e}) \right).
\]

Now adding \( b_k \) times row \( k \) to row \( k + 1 \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), followed by adding \( b_k \) times row \( k \) to row \( k + 2 \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2 \), and again continuing in this way removes all further dependence on \( b_k \) for any \( k \), so that

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (m_{k,\ell}(q_e)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_i + a_j) \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (\tilde{m}_{k,\ell}(q_e)) .
\]
where
\[ \hat{m}_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) = \left( L(c, q_\ell) \overline{q}_\ell^k - L(c, -\overline{q}_\ell) (-q)^k_\ell \right). \]

This provides the \( a \) and \( b \) dependence required in (90).

Next we want to separate out the dependence on \( c \) and \( q \). First it should be noted that \( L(c, q) \) is nothing other than the generating function for the elementary symmetric functions \( e_m(c) \) in the sense that
\[ L(c, q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + q c_i) = \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} q^m e_m(c). \]

Hence
\[ \hat{m}_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) = \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} e_m(c) \left( q_\ell^{m-k} - (-1)^{m-k} q_\ell^{k-m} \right). \]

The term \( m = k \) is identically zero and reorganising the remaining terms gives
\[ \hat{m}_{k,\ell}(q_\ell) = (q_\ell + \overline{q}_\ell) \left( \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r e_{k-1-r}(c) h_r(q_\ell, -\overline{q}_\ell) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} e_{k+1+r}(c) h_r(q_\ell, -\overline{q}_\ell) \right). \]

To obtain this, use has been made of the fact that
\[ \frac{q_r^{r+1} + (-1)^r q^{-r-1}}{q + q^{-1}} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^s q^{-2s} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{r-s} (-\overline{q})^s = h_r(q, -\overline{q}) \]
for all \( r \geq 0 \) and all non zero \( q \), where \( h_r(q, -\overline{q}) \) is the complete homogeneous symmetric function of the two parameters \( q \) and \(-1/q\). Hence
\[ \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (\hat{m}_{k,\ell}(q_\ell)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q_i + \overline{q}_i) \times \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-1)^r e_{k-1-r}(c) h_r(q_\ell, -\overline{q}_\ell) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} e_{k+1+r}(c) h_r(q_\ell, -\overline{q}_\ell) \right) \]

To proceed we need a further Lemma:

**Lemma 19** For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \) be a sequence of indeterminates, and let \( p, q \) be two non-zero indeterminates. Then for all \( y \) and all \( r \geq 0 \)
\[ h_r(p, -\overline{q}, y) - h_r(q, -\overline{q}, y) = (p - q)(1 + \overline{pq}) h_{r-1}(p, -\overline{q}, q, -\overline{q}, y). \]

where, as usual, \( h_{-1}(p, -\overline{q}, q, -\overline{q}, y) = 0 \) for all \( y \) in the \( r = 0 \) case.

**Proof:** For any alphabet \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \) the generating function for \( h_r(x) \) takes the form
\[ \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - t x_i)^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t^r h_r(x). \]
Comparing the coefficients of \(t^r\), where we have set \(h - r\) replaced by \(g\). Repeating this process of subtracting column \(\ell\) gives rise to common factors \((1 - t)\) in row \(\ell\) for all \(\ell = 2, \ldots, n\). This leaves the \((1, 2)\) times this \(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^s h(p, -q, q, -r, y)\).

\(\square\)

Returning to our problem of evaluating the determinant on the right of (100), subtracting column \(\ell - 1\) from column \(\ell\) for \(\ell = 2, \ldots, n\) and using the above Lemma one can extract the common factors \((q_{\ell-1} - q_{\ell})(1 + \tilde{q}_{\ell-1} q_{\ell})\) that are independent of the row number \(k\) to leave terms in which each \(h_r(q_{\ell}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell})\) is replaced by \(-h_{r-1}(q_{\ell-1}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell})\) for \(\ell = 2, \ldots, n\). It might be noted in particular that the number of terms is reduced since those in \(h_0(q_{\ell}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell})\) go to 0. Repeating this process of subtracting column \(\ell - 1\) from column \(\ell\) but now for \(\ell = 3, \ldots, n\) gives rise to common factors \((q_{\ell-2} - q_{\ell})(1 + \tilde{q}_{\ell-2} q_{\ell})\) and terms in \(h_r(2q_{\ell-2} - q_{\ell-1}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell-2}, q_{\ell-1}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell-1}, q_{\ell}, -\tilde{q}_{\ell})\). Continuing one finds

\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( \tilde{m}_{k\ell}(q_{\ell}) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q_i + \tilde{q}_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - q_j)(1 + \tilde{q}_i \tilde{q}_j) \\
\times \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{k-\ell} (-1)^r e_{k-r}(c) h^{(\ell)}(q) + \sum_{r=0}^{n+1-k-\ell} (-1)^{\ell-1} e_{k+\ell+r}(c) h^{(\ell)}(q) \right)
\]

(104)

where we have set \(h_r^{(\ell)}(q) := h_r(q_1, \tilde{q}_1, q_2, \tilde{q}_2, \ldots, q_{\ell}, \tilde{q}_{\ell})\) for all \(\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). Remarkably the displayed determinant is independent of \(q\). To see this one should notice that \(n\)th column contains only two non-zero terms namely \((-1)^n e_{n+1}(u)\) in row \(k = 1\), and \(e_0(u)\) in row \(k = n\), where we have used the fact that \(h_0^{(n)}(q) = 1\). Subtracting \((-1)^n e_{n-\ell}(u)\) times this \(n\)th column from the \(\ell\)th column for all \(\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\) eliminates all terms in \(h^{(\ell)}(q)\) from the \(\ell\)th column. This leaves the \((n-1)\)th column bereft of any dependence on \(q\) since \(h_0^{(n-1)}(q) = 1\). One then repeats the process subtracting \((-1)^{n-1-\ell} h^{(\ell)}_{n-\ell-1}(q)\) times this \((n-1)\)th column from the \(\ell\)th column for all \(\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2\) eliminating all terms in \(h^{(\ell)}_{n-\ell-1}(q)\). Continuing, the only surviving terms are
those in $h^r_\ell(q)$ with $r = 0$. Thus
\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (\tilde{m}_{k\ell}(q)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - q_j) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (1 + q_i q_j) 
\times \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (e_{k-\ell}(c) \chi(k - \ell \geq 0) + (-1)^{\ell-1} e_{k+\ell}(c) \chi(k + \ell \leq n + 1))
\]
where \(\chi(P)\) is the truth function whereby \(\chi(P)\) is 1 if the proposition \(P\) is true and 0 otherwise. This has separated out all the dependence on \(q\), leaving the dependence on \(c\) expressed as a determinant of elementary symmetric functions.

Moreover the truth functions may be dropped since \(e_r(c) = 0\) for all integer \(r < 0\) and \(e_r(c) = 0\) for all \(r > n + 1\) as \(c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n+1})\) has only \(n + 1\) components. Hence
\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (\tilde{m}_{k\ell}(q)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (1 + c_i c_j)
\]
where the \(q\) dependent factors have been rearranged so as to show that they conform precisely with what is required in (103).

To complete our proof we need just one more lemma.

**Lemma 20** For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and any sequence of indeterminates \(c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n+1})\) we have
\[
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (e_{k-\ell}(c) + (-1)^{\ell-1} e_{k+\ell}(c)) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (1 + c_i c_j).
\]

**Proof:** We follow a procedure introduced in [12], applied this time to a determinant whose entries involve a signed sum of a pair of elementary symmetric functions rather than as previously a weighted sum of a pair of complete homogeneous symmetric functions.

The expansion of the determinant on the left of (107) yields
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{\kappa} (-1)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \cdots + \ell_r - r} \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (e_{\kappa_\ell - \ell + k}(c))
\]
where the sum is over those \(\kappa\) such that \(\kappa_\ell = 2\ell\) for \(\ell \in \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_r\}\) with \(n \geq \ell_1 > \ell_2 > \cdots > \ell_r \geq 1\) and \(\kappa_\ell = 0\) otherwise. Clearly \(\kappa\) is not a partition, but we may permute the columns of the determinant on the right, keeping track of the number of transpositions of columns. This gives
\[
(-1)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \cdots + \ell_r - r} \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (e_{\kappa_\ell - \ell + k}(c)) = \det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} (e_{\gamma_\ell - \ell + k}(c)) = s_{\gamma'}(c)
\]
where the second equality is just the dual Jacobi-Trudi identity, and $\gamma$ is the partition given in Frobenius notation by

$$
\gamma = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\ell_1 & \ell_2 & \cdots & \ell_r \\
\ell_1 - 1 & \ell_2 - 1 & \cdots & \ell_r - 1 
\end{array} \right).
$$

(110)

Thus we have $\gamma \in C = P_1$, the set of all the partitions which in Frobenius notation are such that each arm length exceeds the corresponding leg length by 1. In fact the sum over $\kappa$ yields all such partitions $\gamma$ of arm length at most $n$, since the only restriction is that $n \geq \ell_1 > \ell_2 > \cdots > \ell_r \geq 1$. It follows that

$$
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( e_{k-\ell}(c) + (-1)^{\ell-1} e_{k+\ell}(c) \right)
= \sum_{\gamma \in C; \gamma_1 \leq n+1} s_{\gamma'}(c) = \sum_{\alpha \in A; \ell(\alpha) \leq n+1} s_{\alpha}(c) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} s_{\alpha}(c)
$$

(111)

where $A = P_{-1}$ is the set of partitions conjugate to those in $C = P_1$ and use has been made of the fact that $s_{\alpha}(c) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in A$ of length $\ell(\alpha) > n + 1$ since $c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n+1})$ has no more than $n + 1$ components.

Finally, from (53) it follows that

$$
\det_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} \left( e_{k-\ell}(c) + (-1)^{\ell-1} e_{k+\ell}(c) \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (1 + c_i c_j),
$$

(112)

as required, to complete the proof of Lemma 20.

This in turn provides the required dependence on $c$ of the right hand side of (90), thereby completing the proof of Lemma 18.