Cobalt Nanoparticle-Embedded Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Catalyst Derived from a Solid-State Metal-Organic Framework Complex for OER and HER Electrocatalysis
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Abstract: Electrochemical water splitting is considered a promising way of producing hydrogen and oxygen for various electrochemical energy devices. An efficient single, bi-functional electrocatalyst that can perform hydrogen evolution reactions (HERs) and oxygen evolution reactions (OERs) is highly essential. In this work, Co@NC core-shell nanoparticles were synthesized via a simple, eco-friendly, solid-state synthesis process, using cobalt nitrate and pyrazole as the N and C source. The morphological analysis of the resulting Co@NC nanoparticles was performed with a scanning and transmission electron microscope, which showed Co nanoparticles as the core and the pyrolysis of pyrazole organic ligand N-doped carbon derived shell structure. The unique Co@NC nanostructures had excellent redox sites for electrocatalysis, wherein the N-doped carbon shell exhibited superior electronic conductivity in the Co@NC catalyst. The resulting Co@NC nanocatalyst showed considerable HERs and OERs activity in an alkaline medium. The Co@NC catalyst exhibited HERs overpotentials of 243 and 170 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² on glassy carbon and Ni foam electrodes, respectively, whereas OERs were exhibited overpotentials of 450 and 452 mV at a current density of 10 and 50 mA cm⁻² on glassy carbon electrode and Ni foam, respectively. Moreover, the Co@NC catalyst also showed admirable durability for OERs in an alkaline medium.
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1. Introduction

The increased global energy demand due to the increasing population escalates the use of greenhouse gas emitting fossil fuels, which has researchers searching for alternative, efficient, sustainable, and less carbon emission-free technologies. In this regard, electrochemical water splitting is considered a promising technology for obtaining hydrogen for energy-producing technology like hydrogen-based fuel cells and regenerative fuel cells [1]. The hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), during the electrolysis process, occurs at a cathode and anode, respectively. Both reactions require highly active electrocatalysts such as Pt-based catalysts for cathodes and Ir/Ru-based catalysts for anodes. Water electrolysis can be performed in acidic or basic electrolytes. Although acidic-based water electrolysis systems are widespread, they are limited, hindering
the market penetration due to the utilization of expensive Pt and Ir/Ru-based electrocatalysts [2]. On the other hand, alkaline water electrolysis is considered a promising way of reducing the overall cost of the electrolysis device, as it allows to use of cost-effective, earth-abundant transition metals as electrocatalysts for electrode reactions.

In view of this, considerable efforts have been made to develop efficient and cost-effective electrocatalysts from 3D transition metals such as Fe, Ni, Co, Mn, etc. [3–5]. Among all the transition metals, Co has emerged as attractive for electrochemical water splitting due to its rich redox chemistry and superior catalytic performance [6]. Accordingly, several researchers synthesized a variety of Co-based catalysts such as cobalt-chalcogenides, Co-catalysts derived from layered double hydroxide, and metal-organic frameworks [7,8]. Furthermore, Co-catalysts supported on porous, conductive, high surface area catalytic carbons were found to be an effective strategy for better exposure of the metal centers for rich redox reactions during the HER and OER processes, thus enhancing overall performance and electrocatalytic activity. A variety of conductive carbons such as Vulcan carbons, N-doped carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, etc., have been utilized [9]. Recently, carbon-encapsulated metal nanoparticles (metal@carbon) have attracted considerable attention due to their enhanced stability arising from the thick carbon layers around the Co nanoparticles and their stability over a wide pH range [10]. In addition, the encapsulated carbon shells have been found to greatly avoid Co nanoparticle aggregation, resulting in excellent long-term stability. Furthermore, it has been found that the doping of heteroatoms such as N (metal@N-carbon) into the carbon shells can give rise to unique electronic properties in the metal@NC nanoparticles due to their synergistic role in effective electron transfer between N-doped carbon shells and metal nanoparticles during OER and HER reactions. In this regard, a variety of M@NC (M=Fe, Co, Mn, Ni, etc.) catalysts have also been synthesized [3–5].

Electrocatalysts derived from metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have received a great deal of attention in recent times for use in various electrochemical reactions such as the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), OER, and HER [11,12]. MOFs are a class of porous materials made of metal ions and organic ligands that can be structured in one, two, and three-dimensional arrays. MOFs of various sizes, shapes, pore geometry can be synthesized by different metals and a wide variety of organic ligands. Furthermore, by using organic ligands consisting of heteroatoms such as N, S, etc., MOFs can be synthesized by selecting a specific pyrolysis temperature. A variety of synthetic protocols, such as solvothermal, hydrothermal, sono-chemical, microwave synthesis methods, have been adopted to synthesize MOF-derived catalysts [13]. However, synthesis of MOFs is generally performed in the presence of organic solvents such as dimethylacetamide, triethylamine, ethanol, methanol, N-methyl formamide, etc., which possess a continuous threat to the environment. Recently, it has been advised by EU REACH regulations (the EU regulations on registration, evaluation, authorization, and restriction of chemicals), promoting concerns about the use of organic solvents for various chemical reactions [14]. Many of the organic solvents generally used in chemical laboratories for the synthesis of various materials pose a severe threat due to their reproductive toxicity. It is likely that supplies of solvents such as dimethylformamide, N-methylpyrrolidone, and dimethylacetamide, etc., may be restricted under the EU REACH regulations soon. Hence, it is of paramount importance to shift the chemical synthesis protocols to the use of greener solvents or complete elimination of solvents during reaction steps; research into greener solvents is highly encouraging.

Solvent-free-mechanochemical synthesis protocols have been recently receiving great interest as the problems regarding the use of organic solvents can be eliminated. Mechanochemical synthesis involves simple mixing of the reagents in a motor and pestle by a simple mechanical grinding or by a specially designed reactor. Further, mechanochemical synthesis has great potential to scale up the reaction parameters to pilot scale. Recently, we have synthesized Co@NC nanoparticles from a solid-state, mechanochemical synthesis protocol with Zn and Co as metal atoms and pyrazole as the organic ligand (source of C and N). The successful MOF-like self-assembly of Zn-and pyrazole ligand to Zn\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{1−x}(C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2}) MOFs
was proven, and the pyrolysis of the Zn$_{x}$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) resulted in Co encapsulated by N-doped carbon (Co@NC). The Co@NC catalyst was tested for electrocatalytic activity for ORR in both acidic and alkaline electrolytes, which showed admirable activity and durability [15]. In this work, we have tested the ability of the Co@NC nanoparticles in the context of HER and OER activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis of the Co@NC Catalysts

All the chemicals and reagents were used as received without any further purifications. The Co@NC catalyst was synthesized in a similar way as reported in our previous work [15]. Briefly, a calculated amount of Zinc acetate (Zn(OAc)$_2$), pyrazole, cobalt nitrate precursors were placed into a mortar and mechanically ground uniformly with a pestle for about 30 min and left the material to room temperature until it dried. This led to the formation of the precursor, Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$). The dried mixture was subjected to the direct pyrolysis in an inert atmosphere in a tubular furnace at 950 °C for about 1 h, with a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$, under a N$_2$ atmosphere. After pyrolysis, the Co@NC catalyst was collected and finely ground, and used for physical and electrochemical characterizations. No solvent was utilized anywhere in the entire synthesis process. In a second process, the Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) mixture was applied manually onto the pre-cleaned Ni foam directly while wet and allowed the Ni foam (1 × 1 cm$^2$) to dry. The dried Ni foam was further subjected to pyrolysis in the tubular furnace at 950 °C for about 1 h, with a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$, under a N$_2$ atmosphere. After the pyrolysis, the Co@NC catalyst was deposited on the Ni foam (Co@NC/Ni foam) and was used for electrochemical studies.

2.2. Physical and Electrochemical Characterizations

Morphological characterization of the synthesized catalysts was performed by using a scanning and transmission electron microscope (FEI QUANTA FEG 650). X-ray diffraction analysis of the catalyst was performed using a PAN analytical X-ray diffractometer at 1.54 Å CuKα radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of the catalysts was obtained using a Thermo Fisher Scientific, ESCALAB 250 XPS system.

Electrochemical characterizations of the catalysts were performed in a 1.0 M KOH electrolyte with a VSP/VMP 3B-20, potentiostat/galvanostat provided by Biologic instruments Inc. All the characterizations were performed in a three-electrode system in which catalyst ink was deposited on to glassy carbon electrode (GCE, geometric area: 0.071 cm$^2$) or pre-treated Ni form (1 × 1 cm$^2$) as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl (sat. KCl) as the reference electrode and Pt wire as the counter electrode. The catalyst ink was prepared by dispersing a calculated amount of Co@NC/RuO$_2$/Pt/C catalysts in a mixed solution of isopropyl alcohol, water, and Nafion binder and ultrasonicated for 30 min. The catalyst ink was deposited by a drop-casting method onto GCE and allowed to dry under ambient conditions. In the second process, a mixture of isopropyl alcohol and Nafion (5 wt.%) binder was dropped on the Co@NC/Ni foam and allowed to dry at room temperature. In both the processes, the final catalyst loading was maintained at ~1 mg cm$^{-2}$ on GCE and ~2 mg cm$^{-2}$ on Ni foam. All potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale for convenience unless otherwise specifically stated ($E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$). All the electrochemical characterizations were performed using the 1.0 M KOH electrolyte.

3. Results

Figure 1a shows the pictorial representation of the synthesis of Co@NC nanoparticles by a solid-state mechanochemical synthesis process. A calculated amount of the precursors were taken (Co$^{2+}$, pyrazole, and Zn$^{2+}$) together into a mortar and mechanically ground with a pestle for about 30 min, which leads to the formation of a sticky pink-colored paste, which upon drying produced a solid pink colored powder. As in our proposed mechanism for forming self-assembled MOF structures in our previous work [15], during the
mechanical grinding process, the mechanical force allowed the formation of coordination bonds between -N groups of the pyrazole ligand with Zn, and -NH pyrazole with Co$^{2+}$ ions, finally forming a self-assembled Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) MOF structure. The resulted Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) MOF structures were further subjected to pyrolysis under an inert atmosphere. During the pyrolysis process, the pyrazole ligands carbonized into N-doped carbons shells, Co$^{2+}$ coordinated with pyrazole ligands underwent carbo-reduction to metallic Co nanoparticles, whereas metallic Zn atoms evaporated and were taken out via the gas stream (boiling point of metallic Zn is 908 °C). The possible formation of Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$)MOF structures was identified by the contrasting diffraction patterns for the add-mixture of Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) when compared to all other precursor’s diffraction patterns, as described in our previous work [15]. In a second synthesis step (Figure 1b), the self-assembled Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) precursor (in wet conditions) obtained right after mechanical grinding was directly applied to the pre-cleaned Ni foam uniformly and left to dry at room temperature. After drying, the Ni foam was subjected to pyrolysis at 950 °C. We hypothesized that by the similar mechanism discussed above, Co@NC nanostructures would form when directly deposited onto the Ni foam. Figure 2a shows the diffraction patterns of the Co@NC catalyst obtained after pyrolysis, which show the diffraction peaks at 25.9°, 44°, 51°, and 75°, which were attributed to C (002), Co (111), Co (101), and Co (110). The reflections in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were indexed based on the crystalline cubic system of cobalt (International center for diffraction data -powder diffraction file (ICDD-PDF Card No. 00-015-0806)). The crystal size of the Co (111) particles was calculated using the Scherrer equation from the diffraction data and was found to have an average value of ~15 nm. The morphological observation of the Co@NC catalyst was performed by SEM and TEM measurements. Figure 2b shows the SEM images of the Co@NC catalyst. From the SEM images, it can be clearly observed that the nanosized Co nanoparticles were evenly distributed on the surface of N-doped carbon support derived from the carbonization of the pyrazole ligand. Furthermore, the mapping of a selected area showed the presence of dense elements of C, N, O, and Co, as shown in Figure 2c,d.

![Figure 1](image-url) **Figure 1.** (a) Schematic representation of the synthesis of Co@NC catalyst by a mechanochemical synthesis process (b) synthesis of Co@NC catalyst on Ni foam (c) application of Co@NC catalyst for water splitting.
Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the synthesis of Co@NC catalyst. (b) SEM images of Co@NC catalysts. Corresponding quantitative energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping of C, O, N and Co (c) combined map (d) individual mapping of elements.

TEM images (Figure 3) of Co@NC catalyst show the Co nanoparticles of nanometer range were deposited on the N-doped carbon support. A closer look at the images further revealed clear Co@NC core-shell structures, in which N-doped carbon wraps around the Co nanoparticles. The d-spacing of the lattice fringes of metallic Co nanoparticle was identified as being 0.209 nm, corresponding to the Co (111) plane (Figure 3e, inset). Furthermore, the Co nanoparticles particle size measured using TEM images, the corresponding histogram, and distribution curve shows, Co@NC catalyst exhibited an average particle size ranging from 10–12 nm (Figure 3f, inset). During pyrolysis, the carbonization of the pyrazole ligand to N-doped carbon shells took place together with the carbo-reduction of Co$^{2+}$ ions to metallic Co atoms, in parallel to the evaporation of Zn species. Because the mass of the pyrazole ligand was higher than the Co$^{2+}$, the Co$^{2+}$ ions are spatially isolated from each other, and the presence of Zn atoms further ensured this special distance in the Zn$_x$Co$_{1-x}$(C$_3$H$_4$N$_2$) MOF structures. Due to this definite distribution, the aggregation of Co nanoparticles during the pyrolysis process was mitigated [16]. Furthermore, the continuous carbonation of the pyrazole ligand to N-doped carbon also acted as a protective covering around the Co metal nanoparticles. All these phenomena led to the formation of definite Co@NC core-shell nanostructures. The metallic evaporation of Zn species during pyrolysis led to the porous structure of the N-doped carbon. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of the Co@NC nanostructures was found to 371 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, along with the presence of mesopores in a 4–6 nm range (measured in our previous studies [15]). The presence of mesopores ensured the enhanced transfer of reactants towards the active catalytic sites and the desorption of the products away from the catalytic sites.
XPS analysis was performed to identify the chemical composition of the electrocatalyst. Figure 4a shows the XPS survey spectrum of the Co@NC catalyst. The survey scan showed the presence of C, N, O, and Co in the catalyst. Furthermore, high-resolution scanning was performed to identify the chemical states of each element in the catalyst. All the high-resolution scanning data were deconvoluted into individual peaks corresponding to individual chemical states of the elements. Figure 4b shows the C1s spectra deconvoluted into four peaks corresponding to C—C, C—N, C=O, and O—C=O chemical states of carbon at binding energies of 284.2, 284.9, 286.4, and 289.0 eV, respectively. The deconvoluted C1s spectra infer the presence of C, N, and O in the Co@NC catalysts; the presence of C—N especially demonstrates the successful doping of N into the carbon matrix of Co@NC catalyst. Figure 4c shows the deconvoluted N1s spectra, which revealed that the doped N in the carbon matrix existed in three different forms, which were pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and graphitic-N at the binding energies of 397.3, 399.8, 401.1 eV, respectively, which further confirms the presence and successful doping of the N into the carbon matrix. Both theoretical and experimental studies demonstrate that all these forms contribute to the electrocatalytic activity of carbons in different proportions. Especially, graphitic-N and pyridinic-N are known to play important roles in enhancing the electronic conductivity of the catalyst through induced spin density and charge distribution on the carbon π conjugation system [17]. And graphitic-N and pyridinic-N are also known as the major catalytic sites with the lowest barrier for the rate-determining first electron transfer step, in addition to their selectively in promoting a dominant 4 electron O2 electrode pathway [18]. Pyridinic-N and graphitic-N share their lone pair of electrons with the carbon shell, which increases the electronic density around the Co nanoparticles by decreasing the work function of Co nanoparticles towards the OER and HER [19]. N1s spectra also showed a peak associated with the possible atomic Co coordinated with the pyridinic-N, which are popularly called M—Nx—C or Co—Nx—C moieties, the existence of which was confirmed in many M—Nx—C catalyst systems by advanced characterization techniques [20]. These special M—Nx—C are known to enhance the OER and HER kinetics via enhanced charge transfer from the N—C shell to the Co atoms [21]. Figure 4d shows the Co 2p3/2 spec-
tra, separated into three deconvoluted peaks at 778.7, 779.7, and 781.4 eV, which were attributed to the metallic Co, Co — N — C, and CoN x, respectively. Co spectra also showed a broad satellite peak at the binding energies of ~800 eV, which revealed the presence of antibonding orbitals between Co and possible oxygen moieties. The presence of a satellite peak suggested that the electronic configuration of Co was close to t_{2g}^6 e_g, which is near the optimal e_g filling, and hence effectively promotes the breaking of M—OH— bond, therefore favoring high electrocatalytic activity towards oxygen catalysis [22]. It was also demonstrated by the density functional theory (DFT) calculations that Co—N—C structure plays a key role in HER activity, while the Co active-sites play a role in OER activity [23].

The bifunctional OER and HER analysis of the Co@NC catalyst were studied in a 1.0 M KOH electrolyte solution. Figure 5a shows the HER linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) polarization curves of bare GCE, Co@NC catalyst, and Pt/C (20 wt.%)%. Indeed the Pt/C catalyst showed excellent HER activity, very close to the thermodynamic HER potential of the Pt electrode. When compared to bare glassy carbon (GC), which almost had no considerable HER activity, the deposited Co@NC catalyst showed appreciable HER activity. An overpotential of 243 and 59 mV was observed at a current density of 10 mA·cm⁻² for Co@NC and Pt/C catalysts, respectively. The Co@NC catalyst was 184 mV away from the benchmark Pt/C catalyst. Further, the Tafel analysis revealed that the GC, Co@NC, and Pt/C catalysts showed a Tafel slope of 409, 101, and 61 mV·dec⁻¹, respectively (Figure 5b). The Co@NC catalyst’s Tafel slope was lesser than the bare GC’s, which confirmed HER activity arose from the Co@NC catalyst. For practical applications evaluating electrode material activity on the large area of a current collector such as Ni foam is essential.

Figure 4. (a) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey spectra of the Co@NC catalyst and high-resolution deconvoluted spectra of the (b) C1s, (c) N1s, and (d) Co 2p spectra.
HER activity of Co@NC catalyst deposited on Ni foam also showed similar results, in which the Pt/C catalyst outperformed the Co@NC catalyst, as shown in Figure 5c. The Co@NC catalyst showed an overpotential of 170 mV at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) current density, much higher than the Pt/C catalyst (2 mV); however, the HER activity of Co@NC catalyst was still appreciable due to the low cost of Co compared to Pt. The stability of the Co@NC catalyst was studied using the potential cycling process for 1250 cycles (Figure 5d). After 1250 potential cycles, the HER curves shifted negatively, with the overpotential being increased by 40 mV, at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) current density. These results indicate that the Co@NC catalyst under potential cycling conditions was still vulnerable to degradation. The degradation could be caused by various reasons such as carbon corrosion, leaching of Co nanoparticles, or detachment of the catalyst from the Ni foam due to a weaker interaction between catalyst and Ni foam. The further HER Co@NC catalyst activity improvement could be realized by optimizing the synthesis conditions such as (i) increasing the loading of the precursor Zn\(_x\)Co\(_{1-x}\)(C\(_3\)H\(_4\)N\(_2\)) structures and hence the loading Co@NC catalyst, (ii) doping of a second heteroatom such as S or P, (iii) introducing second metal atom such as Fe, Se, Mn, etc., and (iv) optimizing the binder compositions. Despite the lower activity of the Co@NC catalyst, the obtained HER performance was still appreciable in terms of the lower cost of the Co-based catalyst when compared to the expensive Pt-based catalyst.

We further assessed the OER performance of Co@NC catalyst in 1.0 M aqueous KOH electrolyte and compared it with the commercially obtained RuO\(_2\) benchmark-catalyst activity. As shown in Figure 6a, the Co@NC catalyst showed a sudden increase in the current in the potential range of 1.4–1.6 V, signifying the considerable OER activity of the Co@NC catalyst. Both the catalysts reached a similar potential of 1.680 V (450 mV...
overpotential) at a current density of 10 mA·cm⁻². However, one could observe that the Co@NC catalyst showed positive OER onset potential compared to the benchmark RuO₂. These results indicate that the Co@NC catalyst was comparable to the RuO₂ catalyst. Further, the Tafel slope of the Co@NC catalyst was also close to the RuO₂ one, indicating that the Co@NC catalyst possessed comparable OER activity. We then tested the OER activity of the Co@NC catalyst deposited on Ni foam. For that, the in-situ generated Co@NC on Ni foam was tested by recording the LSV curve, which is shown in Figure 6b. For comparison, the LSV curves of bare Ni foam and RuO₂/Ni foam were recorded and are shown in Figure 6b. At the current density of 50 and 100 mA·cm⁻², the overpotential of the Co@NC/Ni foam, RuO₂/Ni foam, and the bare Ni foam were 452, 585, 666 and 552, 694, and 784 mV, respectively. This indicated the high catalytic activity of the RuO₂/Ni foam towards OER. The LSV curve of the Co@NC/Ni foam showed an oxidation peak in the potential region of ~1.35–1.5 V, which was ascribed to the surface oxidation of Co (Co→Co(II)→Co(III)) [24–26].

![Figure 6](image-url)

*Figure 6.* OER LSVs measured at 5 mV s⁻¹ in 1.0 M KOH solution of Co@NC and commercial RuO₂ catalysts in (a) glassy carbon electrode (b) Ni foam, the corresponding Tafel plots derived from data of (a) given in the inset of figure (a). (c) cyclic voltammograms of the Co@NC/Ni foam catalyst (d) LSVs recorded before and after 250 and 1250 cycles using Co@NC/Ni foam catalyst.

Strikingly, the OER activity of the Co@NC catalyst on Ni foam was found to be much higher than on the GC electrode. The significantly lower overpotential of the Co@NC/Ni foam compared to the RuO₂/Ni foam was due to the enhanced kinetics in the form of fast electron and ion/molecule transportation on the conductive Ni foam. Moreover, the generated gas (H₂/O₂) bubbles on the Co@NC/Ni foam were immediately eliminated from the surface because of the microporosity of NC and the macroporosity of the Ni foam, which provided the active area for further oxygen evolution. The stability of the Co@NC/Ni foam catalyst was further evaluated by recording 1250 cyclic voltammogram curves continuously in the OER potential region (Figure 6c). In order to check the stability, the LSVs were recorded...
recorded after 250 and 1250 cycles and are shown in (Figure 6d. After 250 cycles, the catalytic activity was almost the same as that of the initial activity; however, after 1250 cycles, the potential at 50, and 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) current density shifted to a lower potential region (positive direction) with an overpotential gain of 37 and 55 mV, respectively. These results showed that the Co@NC/Ni foam has a relatively similar catalytic activity to that of RuO\(_2\), under similar experimental conditions and admirable stability towards OER. Generally, the alkaline electrochemical water splitting was hindered by the large overpotential of the anode electrode. Therefore, the low overpotential of the Co@NC/Ni foam electrode could significantly enhance overall water splitting.

Although a detailed understanding of the electrocatalytic activity of the Co@NC catalyst is indeed required, it was proposed in the literature that the electrocatalytic activity of the Co@NC catalyst might come from the structural and electronic interaction between the metal cores and the carbon shells [27,28]. Based on the literature results, we propose the following reasons being responsible for the superior electrocatalytic activity of the Co@NC catalyst towards HER and OER; (i) it has been well reported that the N doped carbon itself acts as a metal-free catalyst for electrocatalytic reactions, which include ORR, OER, and HER reactions, due to the presence of active graphitic-N and pyridinic-N sites [29]; (ii) the N-doped carbon shells increases the electronic conductivity of the Co@NC catalyst by fast transfer of electrons from the NC shells to the Co core nanoparticles and increased electronic density on the Co nanoparticles thus reduces the work function of Co nanoparticles towards the HER and OER reaction kinetics [30] (iii); the porous NC shell enhances the release of the product gases H\(_2\) and O\(_2\) from the Co@NC catalyst and also ensures the stability of the catalyst [31]. Based on the above reasons, it can be concluded that a prime factor responsible for the electrocatalytic activity of the Co@NC catalyst is the unique electronic interactions that arise between the metal cores and the carbon shells. And this interaction can be further tuned (i) by alloying the Co metal core with other transition metals and (ii) by synthesizing the catalyst with varying carbon layers (thickness of the carbon shells), and (iii) by doping the carbon shells with various heteroatoms with different electron-donating and withdrawing ability. Hence, there are still great opportunities for researchers that exist for tuning the electrocatalytic properties of the Co@NC catalyst for various electrochemical reactions. Table 1 shows the comparison of overall electrochemical water splitting performance of the Co@NC electrodes with those of various reported non-precious metal-based electrocatalysts [32–52]. After comparing from the literature values, the OER and HER activity of Co@NC catalyst was found to be better than other catalysts, and hence Co@NC could be a possible choice of catalyst for electrochemical water splitting applications.

Table 1. Comparison of HER and OER performance of Co@NC catalyst with reported non-precious transition metal-based electrocatalysts.

| Catalyst                        | Electrolyte       | Overpotential @10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (mV) | Ref  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Co\(_2\)B/CoSe\(_2\)          | 1 M KOH           | 300                                  | [33] |
| Cubic CoSe\(_2\)/GD           | 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 200                                  | [34] |
| Intertwoven CoSe\(_2\)/CNT     | 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 186                                  | [35] |
| CoSe\(_2\)/CNT                | 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 174                                  | [36] |
| CoSe\(_2\) hollow microsphere/rGo | 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 250                                  | [37] |
| CoS/CC                         | 1 M KOH           | 197                                  | [38] |
| Co\(_9\)S\(_8\)/NC@MoS\(_2\)  | 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 217                                  | [38] |
### Table 1. Cont.

| Catalyst          | Electrolyte | Overpotential @10 mA cm⁻² (mV) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Co@BCN            | 1.0 M KOH   | 183                             | [39]|
| Co-NCoN Ts-10     | 1.0 M KOH   | 204                             | [40]|
| N-Co@G           | 0.1 M NaOH  | 337                             | [41]|
| Co₂₀.₇₅Fe₀.₂₅-NC  | 1.0 M KOH   | 202                             | [42]|
| G-Coated Cu NWs   | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 252                             | [43]|
| FeCo@N-C         | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 230                             | [44]|
| Ni@graphene      | 1.0 M KOH   | 240                             | [45]|
| Co@NC/GC         | 1.0 M KOH   | 243 @ 10 mA cm⁻²                 | This work |
| Co@NC/Ni         | 1.0 M KOH   | 170 @ 10 mA cm⁻²                 | This work |

### Oxygen Evolution Reaction

| Catalyst            | Electrolyte | Overpotential @10 mA cm⁻² (mV) | Ref |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Fe/N-CNTs           | 1.0 M KOH   | 520                             | [46]|
| Ni/N-CNTs           | 1.0 M KOH   | 590                             | [46]|
| NiCo₂O₄             | 1.0 M KOH   | 490                             | [47]|
| CuCo₂O₄/NrGO        | 1.0 M PBS   | 1150                            | [48]|
| MnFe₃O₄             | 1.0 M KOH   | 470                             | [49]|
| Ni Fe₂O₄            | 1.0 M KOH   | 440                             | [49]|
| Ni₃N bulk           | 1.0 M KOH   | 490                             | [50]|
| FeOOH               | 1.0 M KOH   | 533                             | [51]|
| IrO₂                | 1.0 M KOH   | 587                             | [52]|
| Co@NC/GC           | 1.0 M KOH   | 450 @ 10 mA cm⁻²                 | This work |
| Co@NC/Ni           | 1.0 M KOH   | 452 @ 50 mA cm⁻²                 | This work |

### 4. Conclusions

In summary, we developed a simple, cost-efficient strategy to synthesize well-defined Co@NC core-shell nanostructures by a simple mechano-chemical synthesis process. TEM analysis showed a Co@NC nanoparticle of an average ~10–12 nm size was obtained by the synthesis process. TEM analysis showed Co@NC core-shell nanoparticles and even the distribution on the N-doped carbon support. Electrochemical characterization of the catalysts showed that Co@NC nanoparticles showed an enhanced OER activity, with excellent stability for 1250 cycles. In addition, the Co@NC catalyst also showed decent HER activity; however, under the HER region, the Co@NC catalyst showed a slightly degraded characteristic. These results indicate that the Co@NC catalyst could be a good choice for OER reactions in various electrochemical energy devices.
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