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Falloplian tube disease is one of the most common causes of infertility in women that results from tubal damage or obstruction. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine classification, based on scoring system of tubal changes verified by laparoscopy, is most widely used in practice.

The aim of the paper is to determine the involvement and stage of hydrosalpinx and surgical therapy options in patients treated for marital infertility due to tubal factors during the preparation for in vitro fertilization after laparoscopy. In the two-year period, at the Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Clinical Center Niš, 75 patients underwent videolaparoscopy to confirm previously established diagnosis of hydrosalpinx. Surgical treatment performed depended on the severity stage of hydrosalpinx. Removed Fallopian tubes were submitted for a histopathological analysis to the Institute of Pathology, Clinical Center Niš.

An early stage hydrosalpinx was diagnosed by laparoscopy in 32 tubes. Out of them, tubal removal was performed in 9 tubes, and reconstructive surgery in 21. The most conspicuous changes were present in 46 Fallopian tubules. Surgical removal was performed in 41 tubes, occlusion of the isthmic portion of the tube was present in 5 tubes, and no reconstructive surgery was performed (significant correlation p < 0.001 between the stage of hydrosalpinx and type of surgery). HP analysis of the removed Fallopian tubes revealed chronic inflammation in 84.27%.

It is necessary to employ always the same, clear criteria in the diagnosis and to select properly the patients who will benefit from hydrosalpinx surgery, either tubal removal or reconstructive surgery.

Key words: hydrosalpinx, laparoscopy, in vitro fertilization

Introduction

Falloplian tube disease (a tubal factor) represents the damage or obstruction of the tubes and is one of the major causes of female infertility responsible for 25–30% of female infertility cases (1). A tubal factor is an obstruction or damage of the tubes. The most common cause of tubal damage is pelvic inflammatory disease, followed by ectopic pregnancy, endometriosis, and adhesions from previous surgeries in the pelvic area, or after the rupture of the appendix. In 1975, according to Westrom, permanent infertility after one pelvic infection was registered in 11.4% of cases, after two in 23.13%, and after three or more infections even 54% of women faced infertility (2). Furthermore, the risk of an ectopic pregnancy is 10 times greater after acute salpin-gitis.

Tubal occlusion may involve the proximal part (most commonly caused by endometriosis), mid-part and distal tubal part. Distal tubal occlusions are the most common ones. Distal tubal part is the outer half of the ampulla, together with the infundibulum and fimbria (pavilion) (3). Infectious lesions of the distal tubal part are separated or attached to each other and comprise 70–85% of the tubal lesions (4–6).

Hydrosalpinx is the result of end-stage salpin-gitis and consequence of distal tubal occlusion. The term comes from the Greek words ‘hydro’ – meaning water and ‘salpinx’ – meaning tube. Distal tubal occlusion results in hydro-salpinx. There are different criteria for the staging of distal tubal changes. Strandell in his papers from 2000 and
2001 reported the classification of hydrosalpinx into:

- Hydrosalpinx simplex – represented with excessive distention and thinning of the tubal wall, with flattened and widely separated mucosal folds;
- Hydrosalpinx follicularis – represented without central cavity, and the lumen being broken up into compartments, resulting from fusion of tubal plicae (6, 7).

The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) classification of hydrosalpinx, using the scoring system of tubal changes verified by laparoscopy, is most widely used in practice and is based on:

- tubal dilation: >3 cm; 3-5cm; <5cm.
- tubal wall thickness: normal, moderately thickened, thick, and rigid.
- condition of mucosal folds-rugae: <75% preserved, 35-75% preserved, 35% preserved with mucosal fusion.
- presence and type of adhesions (8).

The presence of hydrosalpinx (9, 10) decreases the rate of clinical pregnancies during in vitro fertilization (IVF) procedure. The mechanism of implantation failure is not completely understood. The following explanations have been proposed:

1. The content of tubal fluid is embryotoxic due to the presence of bacterial cultures or other microorganisms, presence of lymphocytes, cytokines, prostaglandins, and catecholamines.
2. Mechanical explanation – the hydrosalpinx fluid washes out the embryos – hydroma.
3. Hydrosalpinx fluid lacks essential nutritional factors, primarily glucose (glucose pyruvate-primary nutrients), necessary for the embryonic development, thus changing endometrial receptivity for embryonic implantation.
4. There is a hypothesis for simultaneous damage to the endometrium caused by inflammatory substances transported via blood or the lymphatic system (11, 12).

There are many diagnostics tests for the evaluation of Fallopian tubes: hysterosalpingography (HSG), sonohysterography (SIS), transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy, videolaparoscopy with salpingoscopy, falloploscopy.

Laparoscopy is the „gold standard“ method, enabling direct visualization of the peritoneal cavity. The advantage of this method is the possibility of a continuous treatment of the observed changes.

The aim of the paper was to determine the involvement and stage of hydrosalpinx and surgical therapy options in patients who underwent laparoscopy and were treated for marital infertility due to tubal factors during the preparation for in vitro fertilization.

Material and methods

In the period of two years (2010 and 2011), 75 patients underwent videolaparoscopy at the Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Clinical Center Niš, to confirm the previously established diagnoses of hydrosalpinx during the preparation for in vitro fertilization. Diagnosed changes in the form of hydrosalpinx were classified as stages I-III. Hydrosalpinx stage I was classified as the Fallopian tube dilated up to 3 cm, with normal wall thickness and over 75% preserved mucosal folds, without surrounding adhesions; hydrosalpinx stage II as the tube up to 5 cm in diameter, with moderately thickened wall and with 50-75% preserved mucosal folds, with or without surrounding adhesions; and hydrosalpinx stage III was classified as the tube dilated over 5 cm, with a thick wall, and with less than 35% of preserved mucosal folds, and prominent surrounding adhesions. The patients were divided into four groups according to their age – I group ≤30 years; II group 31-35 years; III group 36-40 years; and group IV ≥41 years.

The surgical treatment applied depended on the stage of hydrosalpinx: salpingoneostomy (unilateral, bilateral), salpingectomy (unilateral, bilateral), and tubal occlusion (unilateral, bilateral) using Karl Storz videolaparoscopic apparatus. The removed Fallopian tubes were submitted for histopathological (HP) analysis to the Institute of Pathology, Clinical Center Niš. Statistical data processing was performed electronically. A data base was created in MS Office Excel, and the SPSS 19.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) was used for statistical analysis. Statistically significant differences in the incidence of main characteristics of the studied problems were tested by the Chi Square Test for Independence. The values of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 shows the distribution of operated patients according to the age and type of infertility treatment.

As illustrated in Table 1, the majority of patients 40 (53.34%) were in the age group II, and most of the patients were treated for primary infertility 68 (90.67%).

In our 75 patients, on video-laparoscopy, we registered 7 missing tubes due to previous surgeries, 24 tubes without changes, and based on these data the stages of hydrosalpinx of 119 Fallopian tubes were shown in Table 2. The greatest number of tubes (46 - 38.66%) had the highest stage of damage.

Out of 119 damaged tubes, 2 tubes were inaccessible due to massive adhesions to the surrounding organs, and in 117 tubes different surgical treatments were thus performed, as shown in Table 3, with a comparable age distribution of the patients. Tubal reconstruction was performed in only 19.66% of the tubes. Since the majority of patients were in the age group II, the greatest number of surgeries were performed in this group.
### Table 1. Distribution of patients according to the age groups and type of infertility treated

| Number of patients | Age groups | Total |
|--------------------|------------|-------|
|                    | I (N) (%)  | II (N) (%) | III (N) (%) | IV (N) (%) |
| 15 (20)            | 40 (53.34) | 16 (21.33) | 4 (5.33)    |
| Type of infertility |            |          |            | 75 (100)   |
| Primary N (%)      | 68 (90.67) |            |            | 7 (9.33)   |
| Secondary N (%)    |            |          |            |            |

### Table 2. Classification of damaged Fallopian tubes

| Hydrosalpinx stage | Total |
|--------------------|-------|
|                    | I (N (%)   | II (N %)   | III (N %) |
| Number of tubes    | 32 (26.89) | 41 (34.45) | 46 (38.66) |
|                    | 119 (100)  |

### Table 3. Type of surgery used for altered tube in relation to age groups

| Age groups | Type of surgery | Total |
|------------|-----------------|-------|
|            | Salpingectomy   | Tubal occlusion |
|            | unilateral       | bilateral |
|            | N (%)            | N (%)    | N (%) |
| ≤30        | 7 (5.98)         | 2 (1.71) | 23 (19.66) |
| 31-35      | 17 (14.53)       | 7 (5.98) | 63 (53.84) |
| 36-40      | 8 (6.84)         | 3 (2.56) | 25 (21.37) |
| ≥41        | 2 (1.71)         | 2 (1.71) | 6 (5.13)   |
| Total      | 34 (29.06)       | 12 (10.25) | 117 (100) |

### Table 4. Type of surgery used for impaired tubes in relation to hydrosalpinx stage

| Hydrosalpinx stage | Type of surgery | Total |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|
|                    | Salpingectomy   | Tubal occlusion |
|                    | unilateral       | bilateral |
|                    | N (%)            | N (%)    | N (%) |
| I                  | 1 (1.68)         | 1 (0.84) | 32 (26.89) |
| II                 | 13 (10.92)       | 15 (13.45) | 40 (34.45) |
| III                | 21 (17.65)       | 5 (4.2) | 46 (38.66) |
| Total              | 35 (30.25)       | 21 (18.49) | 117 (100) |

*Salpingectomies integrated (unilateral + bilateral) in calculating the values of χ² test.

### Table 5. Type of obstruction of blocked Fallopian tubes

| Type of obstruction | Total |
|---------------------|-------|
| Hydrosalpinx dex.   | N (%) |
| Hydrosalpinx sin.   | N (%) |
| Hydrosalpinx bill.  | N (%) |
| Number of tubes     | 10 (8.4) | 23 (19.33) | 86 (72.27) | 119 (100) |
The most common surgery was bilateral salpingectomy – in 24 tubes (20.51%).

Table 4 shows the type of surgical procedure used in relation to the stage of obstructed tube change. The least conspicuous stage of hydrosalpinx was diagnosed on laparoscopy in 32 tubes, salpingectomy was performed in 9 tubes, and reconstructive surgery was the most common procedure performed (in 21 tubes - 65.62%). Out of 46 tubes with most severe changes (hydro-salpinx stage III), surgical removal was performed in 41 tubes, 5 of them occluded in the isthmic portion, and no reconstructive surgery was performed.

The Chi-Square test of independence (with Yeats correction) showed a statistically significant correlation ($p < 0.001$) between the stage of hydrosalpinx and type of surgery, $\chi^2 (4, n = 119) = 74.23$.

During the surgical procedure it was observed that the most common changes involved both tubes – 72.27%, as shown in Table 5.

The results of histopathological (HP) verification of 89 tubes were also analyzed in the study. HP results verified chronic inflammation in 75 tubes (84.27%), 2 tubes had acute inflammation, and 2 tubes showed subacute inflammation. Endometrial changes were diagnosed in 4 tubes, and 2 tubes were of normal structure, as seen in Table 6.

### Table 6. Histopathological findings of the impaired tubes

| Histopathological finding | Total | Stage III |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Salpingitis chronica       | 49    | 14        |
| Salpingitis chronica fibrosa | 23   | 11        |
| Salpingitis isthmica nodosa | 2    | 2         |
| Salpingitis chronica follicularis | 1  | -         |
| Hematosalpinx             | 1     | 1         |
| Salpingitis acuta         | 2     | 1         |
| Hyperema massiva          | 2     | 1         |
| Salpingitis subacuta      | 2     | 2         |
| Necrosis isthemic         | 1     | 1         |
| Endometriosis             | 4     | -         |
| Normal structure          | 2     | -         |
| **Total**                 | **89**| **33**    |

**Discussion**

Out of 75 patients in the experimental group, 60 (80%) patients were over 30 years of age. The majority of patients were in the II age group (40 patients, 53.34%). The modern lifestyle (pursuit of education, career, use of birth control) has brought women to delay their pregnancy. Decreased fertility results in reduced chances of conceiving naturally and in an increased number of patients seeking IVF treatment.

The majority of our patients were treated for primary infertility. Many authors reported that in recent decades primary infertility has been predominant (up to 60%) compared to secondary infertility (1, 2, 10). It is a consequence of modern women’s belief that delayed childbearing is normal and safe and that modern reproductive techniques may help them conceive when they think the time is right.

Many studies examined the incidence of hydrosalpinx diagnosed by ultrasound in women who were due to undergo in vitro fertilization procedure. In 2009, Standell reported the prevalence of hydrosalpinx in these patients ranging from 10% - 13% (13). Although in vitro fertilization is primarily used in patients with tubal damage, the patients with severe tubal damage, such as hydrosalpinges, were identified as those having significantly lower rate of implantation and pregnancy in comparison to patients with other forms of tubal damage. Meta-analyses have shown that the probability of achieving a delivery in the presence of hydrosalpinx during in vitro fertilization procedure is reduced by half, and the incidence of spontaneous abortion is doubled. The rate of pregnancies in patients with ultrasono-graphically (US) visible hydrosalpinx is 15%, and 31% in patients with hydrosalpinx not visible by ultra-sound (14). Standell reported in 1999 and 2000 that pregnancy rates in patients with bilateral hydrosalpinx were 12%, in contrast to unilateral hydrosalpinx pregnancy rates of 24%.

Given the proper selection of patients with distal tubal occlusion, patients with mild and moderate hydrosalpinx should undergo salpingostomy, but most authors advocate surgical removal of the diseased tubes in patients with severe hydrosalpinx verified by ultrasound (US) (15-17). In patients with a pronounced or bilateral hydrosalpinx, IVF should be advocated in achieving pregnancy (18, 19).

Our study showed that laparoscopy was performed to confirm or rule out the presence of hydrosalpinx in the preparation for in vitro fertilization, and corrective or radical surgery was performed depending on the stage of tubal damage. During laparoscopy, the least pronounced hydrosalpinx was diagnosed in 32 tubes, salpingectomy was performed in 9 tubes, and reconstructive surgery in 21 of them. In 46 tubes with the most severe stage III hydrosalpinx, 41 tubes were surgically removed (89.13%), 5 of them occluded in the isthmic part, and no reconstructive surgery was performed (with an adequate statistical significance).

The results of the HP analysis of the removed 84.27% chronically inflamed tubes confirm the surgeon’s assessment and validity of the decision to take a radical approach during laparoscopy.

**Conclusion**

Our two-year study revealed a high involvement of hydrosalpinx in patients with tubal infertility factor who were planned to undergo IVF.
The authors believe that it is necessary to employ always the same and clear criteria in the diagnosis and management of hydrosalpinx. A proper selection of patients eligible for certain surgical tubal procedure in improving in vitro fertilization treatment outcomes is the main duty of a doctor. Further studies are required to show if when hydrosalpinx treatment is concerned, the principle "primum non nocere" can be fully observed.
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Oboljenje jajovoda (tubarni činilac) jedan je od najčešćih uzroka neplodnosti žene i
predstavlja oštećenje ili opstrukciju jajovoda. Klasifikacija Američkog društva za
reproduktivnu medicinu, koja se bazira na skorovanju promena na jajovodu verifikovanih
u toku laparoskopije, najčešće se primenjuje u praksi.

Cilj rada bio je da se kod žena lečenih od neplodnosti u braku zbog tubarnog
činioaca, a u toku pripreme za postupke vantelesnog oplodjenja i kod kojih je urađena
laparoskopija, utvrdi zastupljenost i stepen hidrosalpinga, kao i vrsta operativne tera-
pije.

U periodu od dve godine, u Klinici za ginekologiju i akušerstvo Kliničkog Centra Niš
kod 75 bolesnica je urađena videolaparoskopija u cilju potvrde prethodno postavljene
dijagnoze hidrosalpinga. Operativna terapija je primenjena u zavisnosti od stepena
izraženosti hidrosalpinga. Odstranjeni jajovodi su histopatološki analizirani u Institutu za
patologiju KC Niš.

U najmanjem izraženom stepenu hidrosalpinga, koji je u toku laparoskopije
dijagnostikovan u 32 jajovoda, odstranjeno je - urađena je salpingektomija 9 jajovoda, a
rekonstruktivna operacija je urađena kod 21 bolesnice. Od 46 jajovoda sa najizraženijim
promenama (hidrosalping III stepena) operacijom je odstranjena 41 jajovod, 5 je
okludirano u ističnom delu, a nijedna rekonstruktivna operacija nije urađena (statistički
značajna veza na nivou p <0,001 između stepena hidrosalpinga i vrste operacije). HP
analiza odstranjениh jajova pokazuje hroničnu upalu u 84,27%.

Neophodno je koristiti jasne i iste kriterijume u dijagnostikonju i napraviti
pravilan odabir bolesnica koje će imati korist od operacije hidrosalpinga, bilo u vidu
odstranjena ili rekonstrukcije jajovoda.
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