Second Cancers in Patients with Neuroendocrine Tumors
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Abstract

**Background:** Second cancers have been reported to occur in 10-20% of patients with neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). However, most published studies used data from a single institution or focused only on specific sites of NETs. In addition, most of these studies included second cancers diagnosed concurrently with NETs, making it difficult to assess the temporality and determine the exact incidence of second cancers. In this nationwide population-based study, we used data recorded by the Taiwan Cancer Registry (TCR) to analyze the incidence and distribution of second cancers after the diagnosis of NETs.

**Methods:** NET cases diagnosed from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 2006 were identified from the TCR. The data on the occurrence of second cancers were ascertained up to December 31, 2008. Standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of second cancers were calculated based on the cancer incidence rates of the general population. Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the risk of second cancers associated with sex, age, and primary NET sites.

**Results:** A total of 1,350 newly diagnosed NET cases were identified according to the selection criteria. Among the 1,350 NET patients, 49 (3.63%) developed a second cancer >3 months after the diagnosis of NET. The risk of second cancer following NETs was increased compared to the general population (SIR = 1.48, 95% CI: 1.09-1.96), especially among those diagnosed at age 70 or older (HR = 5.08, 95% CI = 1.69-15.22). There appeared to be no preference of second cancer type according to the primary sites of NETs.

**Conclusions:** Our study showed that the risk of second cancer following NETs is increased, especially among those diagnosed at age 70 or older. Close monitoring for the occurrence of second cancers after the diagnosis of NETs is warranted.

Introduction

The occurrence of second cancers could be attributed to the late effect of cancer treatment, genetic susceptibility, such as hereditary cancer-predisposing syndromes, and shared etiologic factors, such as smoking and alcohol [1]. Increased risk of developing second cancers has been reported for various cancers, including testicular, leukemia, lymphoma, head and neck, breast and ovarian cancers [2-8]. In US, 18% of incident cancer cases are second-order or higher-order cancers [1]. Connecticut Tumor Registry reported that the incidence rate of non-simultaneous second cancers was 6.6% among 253,536 cancer patients diagnosed from 1935 to 1982[9]. Among the 57,871 cancer patients treated at the National Cancer Center Hospital of Japan from 1962-1989, the incidence of second cancer was 4% and 59% of second cancers occurred within one year of the first primary cancer [10]. Because the survival of cancer patients has been prolonged due to the improvement in diagnosis and treatments, the risk of developing second cancers is increasingly becoming a serious problem for cancer survivors.
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Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan. Data were provided by The Collaboration Center of Health Information Application (CCHIA), Department of Health, Executive Yuan, Taiwan. The CCHIA houses several national databases of Taiwan, including data from the TCR, which can be accessed by researchers through a formal application with a scientific proposal. CCHIA provides de-identified data to the researchers who are only allowed to perform statistical analyses on-site. Researchers have no access to the databases outside the CCHIA. Researchers are only allowed to keep the analytical results (tables or figures) that conform to the CCHIA’s policy (i.e. no individuals can be identified by viewing the analytical results).

Data used for this study were ascertained from the TCR, which was started in 1979 to monitor and track the changes in rates of cancer incidence and mortality in Taiwan [6]. The TCR captures about 97% of the cancer cases diagnosed in Taiwan [6]. Two indices, the percentage of death certificate only cases (DCO%) and the percentage of morphologically verified cases (MV%), are often used to evaluate the data quality of a cancer registry and the best data quality is indicated by a DCO% of 0 and a MV% of 100 [17]. The DCO% of the TCR improved from 14.2 % in 1996 to 1.2% in 2008 [6]. The MV% ranged from 87.5% in 2002 to 89% in 2008 [6]. These indices showed that the TCR has good data quality that is comparable to that of the other well-established cancer registries around the world [18,19].

Incidence of second cancers following NETs

A total of 1,350 newly diagnosed NET cases, who did not have another cancer before or within 3 months after the diagnosis of NET, were recorded in the TCR from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 2006. Eight hundred twenty-nine were men (61%) and 521 were women (39%) (Table 1). Among the 1,350 NET patients, 49 (3.63%) developed a second cancer >3 months after the diagnosis of NET. The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the SIR was calculated using the number of new cancer cases by age, sex, and sites recorded in the TCR divided by the age- and sex-specific population reported by the Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting, and Statistics of Taiwan. The expected number of cancer was calculated by multiplying the total person-years accrued from the follow-up of NET patients by the corresponding age-, sex-, and site- specific incidence rates of the general population. The age-, sex-, and site- specific incidence rates of the general population were calculated using the number of new cancer cases by age, sex, and sites recorded in the TCR divided by the age- and sex-specific population reported by the Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting, and Statistics of Taiwan. The expected number of cancer was compared to the expected number of cancer based on the age- sex-, and site-specific incidence rates of cancer in the general population. The age-, sex-, and site- specific incidence rates of the general population were calculated using PAMCOMP version 1.41 [20]. Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for the risk of second cancers associated with sex, age, and primary NET sites. The Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis was performed using SAS version 9.2 (Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are neoplasms originating from neuroendocrine cells located throughout the body. Some NETs are associated with familial neuroendocrine syndromes, such as multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN-1) and multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN-2), while some NETs are sporadic. The cells of NETs can secrete various neuropeptides, which may or may not cause symptoms. Some NET patients are diagnosed due to presentation of symptoms related to “carcinoid syndrome”, whereas some are diagnosed incidentally while undergoing medical examinations for another disease. The behavior and prognosis of NETs are different and may depend on the primary sites and cell differentiation. The median overall survival of NETs is more than 5 years and a longer overall survival is observed for well-differentiated NETs and NETs located in the rectum [11,12].

Second cancers have been reported to occur in 10-20% of NET patients [13-16]. However, most of the previous studies used data from a single institution and focused on specific sites of NETs. In addition, most of these studies included second cancers diagnosed concurrently with NETs, making it difficult to assess the temporality and determine the exact incidence of second primary cancers. In this nationwide population-based study, we used data recorded by the Taiwan Cancer Registry (TCR) to analyze the incidence and distribution of second cancers after the diagnosis of NETs. In addition, the risk factors for second cancers after the diagnosis of NETs were evaluated.

Incidence of second cancers following NETs

A total of 1,350 newly diagnosed NET cases, who did not have another cancer before or within 3 months after the diagnosis of NET, were identified from the TCR. The morphology (M) codes of the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Field Trial Edition (ICD-O-FT) (for those diagnosed from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 2001) or the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition (ICD-O-3) (for those diagnosed after January 1, 2002) were used to identify NET cases. The M codes for NETs included: 8240 (carcinoid tumor), 8241 (enterochromaffin cell carcinoid), 8242 (enterochromaffin-like cell tumors), 8243 (goblet cell carcinoid), 8244 (composite carcinoid), 8245 (adenocarcinoid), 8246 (neuroendocrine carcinoma), 8249 (atypical carcinoid), 8013 (large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma), and 8574 (adenocarcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation). Those with other cancer diagnosis before and within three months after the diagnosis of NET were excluded. The data on the occurrence of second cancers were ascertained up to December 31, 2008. The observed number of second cancer was compared to the expected number of cancer based on the age- sex-, and site-specific incidence rates of cancer in the general population. The age-, sex-, and site- specific incidence rates of the general population were calculated using the number of new cancer cases by age, sex, and sites recorded in the TCR divided by the age- and sex-specific population reported by the Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting, and Statistics of Taiwan. The expected number of cancer was calculated by multiplying the total person-years accrued from the follow-up of NET patients by the corresponding age-, sex-, and site- specific cancer incidence rates of the general population. The standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) were then calculated by dividing the observed number of second cancers to the expected number of second cancers. The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the SIR was calculated using PAMCOMP version 1.41 [20]. Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for the risk of second cancers associated with sex, age, and primary NET sites. The Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis was performed using SAS version 9.2 (Cary, NC, USA).
cancers had primary prostate NET (4%) than those without second cancers (0.4%) (Table 1).

**Risk of developing second cancer in patients with NETs: COX proportional hazards regression**

Because rectum was the most common site of NETs among our NET patients, we used rectum as the referent group to analyze the risk of developing second cancers after NETs by different primary sites. Compared to the rectal NET, the risk of developing second cancer was not elevated for the other primary sites, except for prostate NET (univariable HR = 31.71, 95% CI: 6.87-146.35; multivariable HR = 15.21, 95% CI: 3.19-72.44). The risk of second cancer following NETs was not significantly different between women and men. The risk of second cancer was higher for NET patients aged 70 years or older compared to those younger than 40 years (multivariable HR = 5.08, 95% CI: 1.69-15.22). See Table 2.

**Risk of second cancer in patients with NETs: standardized incidence ratio**

The sites of second cancers are listed in Table 3. The most common second cancer developed following NETs was colon cancer (N=6) and lung cancer (N=6). There appeared to be no preference of second cancer type according to the primary site of NETs. Overall, the risk of developing second cancers was higher for NET patients than the general population with a SIR of 1.48 (95% C.I., 1.09-1.96). Compared to the general population, the risk of developing bladder and kidney/renal pelvis/urethra cancer following NETs was higher with a SIR of...
Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End-Results (SEER) database included synchronous second cancers. For example, 9.6% [21,22]. However, most of the published studies included developed metachronous cancers among the 8,331 patients from 1973 to 2007 [23]. Compared to the general population, those with small intestine carcinoid tumors registered in the SEER from 1988 to 2000 [25]. Excluding those diagnosed within 1 year after the diagnosis of NETs, the incidence of second cancers was 5.2% [26]. The SIRs for second cancer in any site with more than 1 year of follow-up were significantly greater than one in both men and women [26]. Overall, the incidence rate of second cancer following NET is lower in our study population than those in the US and Sweden, but consistent with previous reports, our results indicated that the risk of second cancers following NETs is increased.

In our study, the risk of urinary tract cancer, including bladder, kidney, renal pelvis, and urethra, was significantly higher for NET patients compared to the general population. In addition, the risk of male breast cancer among men with NETs was higher than the men in the general population. We didn’t see a higher risk of metachronous gastrointestinal tract cancer for NET patients, although more than 50% of NETs were GEP-NETs. According to the previous studies, the sites of second cancers following NETs did not have a clear pattern. The common sites of second cancers following carcinoids in the small intestine and colon were small bowel, liver, prostate, and thyroid [23,24]. Among lung carcinoids, excess risk of breast and prostate cancers was reported [24]. In the Swedish study, increased risk of metachronous second cancer after diagnosis of carcinoid was noticed in small intestine, prostate, skin, and...
endocrine glands, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma for men. For women, cancers of upper aerodigestive tract, small intestine, colon, breast, urogenital, melanoma, and leukemia were found to be increased in carcinoid patients [26]. Overall, studies showed that the increased risk of second cancers after NETs may occur in a wide array of body sites.

The increased risk of second cancer following NETs could be caused by several factors, including genetic, lifestyle, and treatment-related factors. MEN-1 and MEN-2 are the well-known syndromes associated with familial NETs with mutation in the MEN-1 and RET gene, respectively. Patients with familial NETs may be more susceptible to developing another cancer other than NET. In addition to the familial NETs, genetic aberrations in MEN1, ATRX/DAXX, or mTOR pathway, and TP53 have also been noticed in sporadic pancreatic NETs [27]. The genetic instability could increase the potential for developing second cancers. Receptors for peptides secreted by neuroendocrine cells, such as serotonin, gastrin, bombesin, cholecystokinin, and vasoactive intestinal peptide, have been identified in many cancer types, including cancers of lung, ovarian, thyroid, brain, genitourinary and gastrointestinal tract [21]. Bombesin has been shown to stimulate the growth of breast and pancreatic cancer cells [28,29]. Multiple growth factors, including PDGF, TGF-β, and BFGF, are expressed in the tumor and stroma of GEP-NET and may play a role in the carcinogenesis of second cancers [30-34]. Radiation therapy and chemotherapy have been shown to increase the risk of second cancer. Although there is no evidence of second cancer caused by chemotherapy or radiation therapy for NET patients due to limited case numbers, chemotherapeutic drugs commonly used for NET, such as temozolomide, and doxorubicin, were associated with the development of second lymphoma and leukemia in brain tumor and lymphoma patients receiving treatment consisting of these drugs [35-39]. Streptozotocin, an alkylating agent commonly used to treat NETs, also has the potential to induce oncogenesis. Contribution of behavioral and lifestyle factors to second cancers cannot be neglected. Smoking and alcohol are risk factors associated with various cancers, especially aerodigestive tract cancers. The interaction between environmental factors and genetic factors and/or treatment-related factors may promote the carcinogenesis of second cancers after NETs. The interplay between genetic, treatment, and environmental factors in the risk of second cancer after NETs should be further investigated by a large population-based cohort study of patients with NETs.

Several potential biases must be addressed for the current analysis. First, there might be a concern for the incomplete ascertainment of the cancer cases by the cancer registry (either for the primary NETs or for the second cancers). However, the Taiwan Cancer Registry has a very high coverage for the cancer diagnosed in Taiwan, capturing 97% of the cases. In addition, the registry quality as assessed by MV% and DCO% is comparable to the other well-established cancer registries in the world. Second, diagnostic bias could be a concern due to the closer monitoring of NET patients compared to the general population. In our study, the majority of primary NETs occurred in the gastrointestinal tract. During the follow-up examination of patients with gastrointestinal NETs, one would expect a diagnostic bias to occur due to the increased incidental findings of other gastrointestinal tumors. But to the contrary, although our results indicated an increased risk of second cancers for NET patients, this increase was not over-represented by the gastrointestinal cancers. Rather, the highest SIR for the second cancer was observed for the second cancers occurring in the urinary tract. Therefore, we believe that the diagnostic bias is minimal in our study.

In summary, our study showed that the risk of second cancer following NETs is increased, especially among those diagnosed at age 70 or older. The second cancer may occur in a wide array of body sites. Close monitoring for the occurrence of second cancers after the diagnosis of NETs is warranted. The contribution of genetic, environmental, and treatment-related factors for second cancers after NETs deserves further exploration.
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