Evaluation of functional independence after discharge from the intensive care unit

INTRODUCTION

Functional independence can be defined as an individual’s ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs). Autonomy in performing tasks ensures a person’s ability to live alone in a domiciliary context. This ability may be diminished or even lost as a result of certain types of chronic illness or due to a traumatic, surgical, or acute pathological process. Patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) may exhibit decreased functional independence caused by neuromuscular blockers and the prolonged use of steroidal medication; however, the most important factor related to the impairment of functional independence is the length of the ICU stay and
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Objective: 1) To evaluate the functional independence measures immediately after discharge from an intensive care unit and to compare these values with the FIMs 30 days after that period. 2) To evaluate the possible associated risk factors.
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Conclusion: Functional independence, as evaluated by the functional independence measure scale, was improved at 30 days after discharge from the intensive care unit, but it was not possible to define the potentially related factors.
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the duration of invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) to which the patient is subjected. These factors can contribute to the post-discharge inability to perform tasks such as personal hygiene and feeding.\(^3\)

The functional independence measure (FIM) is an evaluation tool designed to monitor individuals during the rehabilitation process. The evaluation does not focus attention on a person’s ability to perform tasks but instead analyzes his or her effectiveness in independently performing the daily routine.\(^4\)

Functional independence after ICU discharge is one of the outcomes evaluated to verify patients’ development and to quantify their rehabilitation after hospitalization. Few studies have evaluated these long-term outcomes.\(^5,6\) Consequently, there must be a better understanding of the functional impairment resulting from a period of ICU stay in these patients and the impact that this hospitalization has on their functional independence.\(^7\)

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the FIM immediately after discharge, to compare this value with the FIM 30 days thereafter, and to correlate the FIM to the length of the ICU stay, duration of IMV, and the presence of sepsis, as well as to verify the associations with the patients’ gender, age, and clinical diagnosis.

**METHODS**

The present investigation was a prospective observational study conducted in the central ICU of the Hospital Santa Clara, Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa de Porto Alegre (CHSCPA). During the period from May to August 2011, patients who were discharged from the ICU, met the inclusion criteria, and accepted the invitation to participate in the research were evaluated.

Adult patients of both genders, who were aged above 18 years, had been admitted to the ICU for more than 24 hours, had undergone IMV, and received physical therapy assistance in the unit were included in the study. Patients with neuromuscular diseases, subjects with motor impairment, and individuals who had functional impairments prior to admission were excluded (Figure 1). The patients received two daily physical therapy sessions (average duration of 30 minutes) that consisted of bronchial hygiene, lung expansion, and passive-motion exercises for the arms and legs. When possible, active exercises were performed. After ICU discharge, the patients commenced treatment in the hospital inpatient unit, where walking exercises were performed in addition to the above-described therapeutic activities.

This study was approved by the research ethics committees of the institutions involved (CHSCPA - Protocol # 3505/11 and Centro Universitário Metodista - IPA - Protocol # 54/2011). All of the patients had previously signed and informed consent.

On the first day after discharge from the ICU, the evaluation form was completed in the hospital inpatient unit, and the following data were collected: patient identification, clinical diagnosis, length of the ICU stay, duration of IMV, and whether sepsis occurred during the hospitalization. At this point, the FIM scale was applied, which evaluated 18 items related to self-care, sphincter control, mobility, locomotion, communication, and social cognition. The scale was applied in an interview format (always by the same evaluator), and the score obtained was correlated to the patient’s condition at that time.\(^4\)

The total score of the FIM scale had a minimum of 18 points and maximum of 126 points. The individual scores for each scale item were defined as follows: 1 point when the patient required total assistance to perform the activity; 2 points when there was maximum assistance; 3 points when moderate care was necessary; 4 points for aid involving minimum contact from the assistant; 5 points when the patient performed his or her activities under supervision; 6 points when independence was contingent upon the aid of an object such as a handrail, crutches, etc.; and 7 points when the patient’s independence was complete, secure, and achieved within a normal timeframe.\(^4,8\) Individual scores were obtained for each item and then added together to produce the final score.

For the second evaluation using the FIM scale, the patient was located 30 days after discharge from the ICU and interviewed by telephone. All of the evaluations and interviews were conducted by the same examiner, who was blinded to the events that had occurred prior to evaluation.

The quantitative variables were expressed using the mean and standard deviation or the median and interquartile range (25th-75th percentile). In comparing the characteristics...
of the sample, Student's t test was used for variables with a parametric distribution, and the Mann-Whitney test was employed for the nonparametric analyses. Student's t test was used for comparisons within and between the groups of quantitative variables. The Pearson chi-square test was employed to evaluate the categorical variables. The evaluation of correlations between symmetrical variables was performed via the Pearson correlation test, and the Spearman correlation test was used for correlations between the non-symmetrical variables. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 18.0 was utilized, and a significance level of 5% was adopted.

**RESULTS**

Fifty-four patients were discharged from the ICU during the predetermined period for data collection. Of these, 44 were eligible for the study, and 41 were included in the sample. The mean age of the patients was 55.4±10.5; 61.4% were female, and 15 were admitted due to pulmonary disease (Table 1).

Table 1 - Characteristics of patients included in the study

| Variables               | N = 44 |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Gender                  |        |
| Female                  | 27 (61.4) |
| Age (years)             | 55.4±10.5 |
| Clinical diagnosis      |        |
| Pulmonary disease       | 15 (34.1) |
| Kidney disease          | 7 (15.9) |
| Cardiopathy             | 9 (20.5) |
| Neoplasms               | 10 (22.7) |
| Liver disease           | 2 (4.5) |
| Gastrointestinal disease| 1 (2.3) |
| Length of ICU admission (days) | 5 (4.9) |
| IMV duration (days)     | 3.5 (2.7) |
| Sepsis                  |        |
| Yes                     | 19 (43.2) |
| No                      | 25 (56.8) |

ICU - intensive care unit; IMV - invasive mechanical ventilation. Results are expressed as the number (%), the mean ± standard deviation, or the median (25%-75%).

Table 2 lists the FIM values at discharge and 30 days thereafter. The statistical analysis was performed, excluding the lost patients (N=3), who did not complete the second assessment due to death.

When the functional independence upon discharge was compared to the measure 30 days thereafter, there was significant improvement in the latter case for all of the FIM variables except for sphincter control (which was impaired in the patients prior to admission) and communication.

It was evident that the lowest scores on the scale, both at discharge and 30 days thereafter, were determined for the locomotion variable, for which the mean patient scores did not exceed 8 points and 13 points, respectively. The variable that was least affected by the imposed limitations was self-care, for which the scores were higher than for all other FIM variables.

Analysis of the total FIM score for the study variables revealed no significant differences when comparing the gender, age, clinical diagnosis, length of the ICU stay, duration of IMV, and the presence of sepsis during the study period (Table 3).

### Table 3 - Evaluation of the change in the total score of the functional independence measure, according to the study variables

| Variables                           | Δ FIM (after 30 days - post-discharge)* | p value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| **Gender**                          |                                       |         |
| Male                                | 41.3±17.7                             | 0.078   |
| Female                              | 29 ±16.5                              |         |
| **Age (years)**                     |                                       |         |
| r                                   | 0.012                                 | 0.939   |
| **Clinical diagnosis**              |                                       |         |
| Pulmonary disease                   | 27.5±17.6                             |         |
| Kidney disease                      | 36.3 ±21.5                            |         |
| Cardiopathy                         | 33.5±21.6                             |         |
| Neoplasms                           | 45.1±20.2                             |         |
| Liver disease                       | 11±0                                  |         |
| Gastrointestinal disease            | 46±20                                 |         |
| **Length of ICU admission (days)**  |                                       |         |
| - rs                                | 0.218                                 | 0.170   |
| **IMV duration (days)**             |                                       |         |
| - rs                                | 0.272                                 | 0.086   |
| **Sepsis**                          |                                       |         |
| Yes                                 | 35.5±19.9                             | 0.690   |
| No                                  | 33±20.6                               |         |

Δ - difference in functional independence measure after the 30-day period; r - Pearson correlation coefficient; rs - Spearman correlation coefficient; ICU - intensive care unit; IMV - invasive mechanical ventilation. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.
DISCUSSION

The main finding of the present investigation was a functional improvement at 30 days after ICU discharge, which was not related to the length of the ICU stay, duration of IMV, or the presence of sepsis.

Due to the available technological resources and improvements in ICU care, chronically and critically ill patients now survive longer and consequently remain in the hospital environment for extensive periods, thus creating a population of chronically and critically ill patients.\(^9\)

In a cohort study, van der Schaaf et al. evaluated 116 patients who had undergone IMV for a period greater than 48 hours, using the Sickness Impact Profile for a period of 3, 6, and 12 months after discharge from the ICU. The authors demonstrated that, 1 year after ICU discharge, 69% of the patients still experienced restrictions in their ADLs and only 50% of the patients had returned to their work-related activities.\(^10\)

In another study\(^11\) evaluating patients who had undergone IMV for prolonged periods, it was found that 1 year after hospital discharge, only 9% of the patients had achieved positive results with respect to independence from major functional and social-cognition limitations. According to those authors, this fact could be related to the duration of mechanical ventilation, which despite numerous benefits can produce systemic complications (such as changes in the mechanics of the lungs, muscles, and multiple organs) that cause high morbidity among these patients.

Other tools may be used to assess functional outcomes after discharge from the ICU. Some of these tools have been reported in the study of Secombe et al.,\(^12\) who evaluated patients considered at high risk 6 months after discharge and performed a functionality analysis using the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) and the ability to perform ADLs. The authors demonstrated that the distance covered during the 6MWT did not improve 6 months after ICU discharge and that the values were below those predicted for the population.

One factor that is strongly influenced by the loss of functionality is the quality of life. This fact was clearly demonstrated in a study that followed 329 patients in four ICUs, using the Nottingham Health Profile and Perceived Quality of Life Scale questionnaires, which address the quality of life related to health. The results of both questionnaires in this patient population revealed a low quality of life, with the main reason being the presence of diseases pre-hospitalization.\(^13,14\) Wehler et al.\(^15\) demonstrated that the pre-admission quality of life, age, and disease severity are factors that affect the quality of life in the long term. One possible explanation for this finding is that after departure from the unit, survivors experience a better quality of life and functional independence, although this level would still be below the population mean.\(^16\) In our study, the patients’ quality of life was not evaluated, and this variable would demonstrate whether the quality of life is closely related to the functionality, as demonstrated by the studies cited.

An evaluation of the relationship between functionality and the presence of sepsis revealed no correlation between the variables. However, the presence of sepsis is a risk factor for critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP) with consequent muscle weakness, making it difficult to achieve functional and respiratory autonomy compared with patients without sepsis.\(^17\)

One factor that may modify the functional outcomes of ICU patients is physical therapy, which aims to promote the recovery and preservation of functionality, thereby reducing the mechanical ventilation duration and weaning time and consequently shortening the hospital stay, which enhances the functionality and quality of life after discharge.\(^18\)

Several studies\(^19-22\) have demonstrated that early mobilization of ICU patients may be conducted by physical therapists to maintain the patients’ muscle mass and strength. This phenomenon was observed in the study of Dantas et al.,\(^23\) who evaluated the effects of a systematic protocol of early mobilization on the respiratory and peripheral muscles of critically ill patients. The results revealed increased muscle strength in the treated patients.

An important point highlighted by the present study is the respiratory and motor therapy that was provided to all of the subjects, both in the ICU and after discharge from the unit, because physical therapy affects the independence of the individual after discharge.\(^18,24\) The present investigation demonstrated a significant improvement at 30 days after discharge. This finding deserves further reflection and interpretation, as physical therapy in the ICU and in the inpatient units up until discharge is a factor...
that might have caused this outcome. Physical therapy in the ICU yields improved functional outcomes after discharge and a reduction in the duration of IMV, which may be observed in the study of Schweickert et al.\(^\text{(25)}\) who evaluated the combination of a daily break from sedation with physical therapy. The authors demonstrated that a return to functional independence occurred in 59% of the patients who underwent this protocol compared with 35% of the patients in the control group.

The strengths of the present investigation include the evaluation of a specific population and the elucidation of the patients’ functional status after ICU discharge. The limitations of the study are related to sample heterogeneity with respect to the length of hospitalization and duration of IMV, as these factors may be linked to functional improvements; furthermore, the population did not consist of critically and chronically ill patients, as was the case in the other investigations. Furthermore, information such as the clinical severity scores (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II – APACHE and Simplified Acute Physiology Score - SAPS) or the number of affected organs (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment - SOFA) might have improved the characterization of the sample, along with being able to control for the medications used, which was not possible in this study. Another missing factor in the present investigation was the correlation of the number of physical therapy sessions and the improvement in FIM, corrected by the duration of admission; this analysis might possibly explain the real effect of physical therapy on the outcome of the study.

The results of this investigation suggest that ICU patients can benefit from a program of physical therapy and that functional limitations persist at 30 days after discharge from the unit.

**CONCLUSION**

Increased functional independence was observed 30 days after discharge from the clinical ICU. No correlation existed between the FIM and the length of the ICU stay, duration of IMV, or the presence of sepsis. Moreover, there was no association between improved functional independence and the gender, age, or clinical diagnosis.

**RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** Avaliar a medida de independência funcional após alta imediata da unidade de terapia intensiva e compará-la com a medida de independência funcional de 30 dias após esse período, além de avaliar possíveis fatores de risco a ela associados.

**Métodos:** Estudo de coorte-prospectivo que incluiu indivíduos que receberam alta da unidade de terapia intensiva e que realizavam fisioterapia nessa unidade. Foi avaliada a independência funcional por meio da medida de independência funcional no momento da alta da unidade de terapia intensiva e 30 dias após esse período, por meio de telefonema. Os pacientes estiveram internados na unidade de terapia intensiva do Hospital Santa Clara (Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa de Porto Alegre), no período de maio a agosto de 2011.

**Resultados:** Durante período preestabelecido de coleta de dados, 44 pacientes preencheram os critérios de inclusão no estudo. A média de idade dos pacientes foi de 55,4±10,5 anos, 27 eram do gênero feminino e 15 dos casos internaram por doença pulmonar. Os pacientes apresentaram medida de independência funcional de 84,1±24,2. Quando essa medida foi comparada à de 30 dias após alta, observou-se melhora da independência funcional, exceto para a variável que dizia respeito ao controle de esfíncteres. Não houve significância estatística ao se comparar em gênero, idade, diagnóstico clínico, tempo de internação na unidade de terapia intensiva, tempo de ventilação mecânica e a presença de sepse nesse período.

**Conclusão:** A independência funcional, avaliada por meio da escala de medida de independência funcional, mostrou-se melhor 30 dias após a alta da unidade de terapia intensiva, não sendo possível definir possíveis fatores a ela relacionados.

**Descritores:** Exercício; Autonomia pessoal; Unidades de terapia intensiva; Respiration artificial/métodos; Sepse
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