Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery is an effective revascularization strategy utilized in treating coronary artery disease (CAD). The use of the left internal mammary artery (LIMA) to bypass the stenosed left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery has become the gold standard, given its proven long-term patency and survival benefits. One potential complication of CABG is recurrent angina. Recurrent angina is most often caused by progressive stenosis in the graft or progression of native disease. A rare cause of recurrent angina is stenosis of the left subclavian artery (LSCA), resulting in recurrent ischemia secondary to reduced blood flow through the LIMA graft.

Coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome is an uncommon phenomenon, in which coronary flow is diverted into the LSCA through the patent LIMA conduit due to critical subclavian stenosis. Atherosclerotic disease of the proximal LSCA is the most common cause of coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome. However, several other pathologic processes, such as Takayasu arteritis and radiation arteritis, can also compromise the subclavian artery flow. Herein, we present 2 cases of coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome as a result of left subclavian artery stenosis with a history of CABG.

**Case 1**
A 71-year-old man with a history of CAD, hypertension, and diabetes previously underwent a 2-vein CABG with LIMA to the LAD artery and a saphenous vein graft (SVG) to the obtuse marginal (OM) artery. He presented to the emergency department 2 years later, complaining of a 3-week history of shortness of breath at rest and chest tightness. He was admitted with a non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and decompensated heart failure. An echocardiogram showed normal biventricular function with no regional wall motion or valvular abnormalities. Coronary angiography showed a patent
SVG-to-OM graft and complete occlusion of the left subclavian artery (LSCA) causing steal syndrome of the patent LIMA graft (Fig. 1A).

Carotid Doppler showed no significant left carotid stenosis. The revascularization strategy chosen by the multidisciplinary team was a left carotid to subclavian artery bypass, which was uneventful. He was discharged 3 days postoperatively, with no evidence of ischemia.

**Case 2**

A 70-year-old woman with a history of hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and CAD underwent a 4-vessel CABG with LIMA to LAD and SVG sequentially to the diagonal, OM, and posterior descending arteries. She presented to the emergency department nearly 10 years later, complaining of chest tightness and shortness of breath on exertion. She was admitted with a non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and decompensated heart failure.

An echocardiogram showed an ejection fraction of 40%-45%, with moderate anterior and anteroseptal regional wall motion abnormality. Mild right ventricular systolic dysfunction was also present.

A coronary angiogram showed a widely patent LIMA graft to the LAD. However, the flow was compromised by a heavily calcified eccentric stenosis at the LSCA origin (Fig. 2). The sequential SVG to the diagonal, OM, and posterior descending arteries showed multifocal significant narrowings. Carotid doppler showed no significant disease. Retrograde flow was present within the left vertebral artery, in keeping with known LSCA disease.

The multidisciplinary team decided to proceed with a left carotid to subclavian artery bypass for coronary revascularization. She tolerated the procedure and was discharged the following day in a stable condition. The residual coronary stenoses were treated medically, as the postoperative myocardial perfusion scan showed no significant perfusion abnormalities.

**Operative Technique**

Both procedures were performed in a similar fashion under general anesthesia. Near-infrared spectroscopy was utilized to monitor cerebral saturations intraoperatively. Through a left supraclavicular incision, the lateral head of the sternocleidomastoid was retracted medially. The scalene fat pad was excised to aid with exposure. The LSCA and the brachial plexus nerves were identified. The patients were then systemically heparinized. The LSCA was mobilized, achieving proximal and distal control. An 8-mm Dacron graft was anastomosed in an end-to-side fashion using 5-0 polypropylene suture to the LSCA. It was then tunneled under the internal jugular vein (Fig. 3). The left common carotid artery was clamped proximally and distally, with no change in the near-infrared spectroscopy. The graft was anastomosed in an end-to-side fashion to the left common carotid artery, also using running 5-0 polypropylene suturing. Total clamp time of the carotid artery is approximately 10-15 minutes. Protamine was administered, and hemostasis was achieved. The incision was then closed in layers.

**Discussion**

Recurrent angina is a concern post-CABG and may result in reduced quality of life and significant morbidity, especially if reoperation is required. Progression of LSCA stenosis has
been found to cause ischemia, since the utilization of the LIMA for CABG has been widely adopted. Subclavian artery stenosis occurs in approximately 2% of the general population, 7% of those with peripheral arterial disease, and between 0.5% and 4% of people with CAD. Coronary-subclavian steal has been reported to complicate 0.2%-6.8% of CABG cases. Cases of coronary-subclavian steal in the early postoperative period are often due to preexisting stenosis of the subclavian artery or proximal LIMA. Risk factors for the development of late coronary-subclavian steal include atherosclerotic risk factors, such as diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, age, and tobacco abuse, along with less-common risk factors, such as Takayasu arteritis or stenosis secondary to radiation therapy. Signs of developing coronary-subclavian steal include recurrent angina without significant lesions in the coronary artery or LIMA, arm claudication, discrepant blood pressure between the arms, discrepant amplitude between the radial arteries, and carotid or subclavian bruits, which should be evaluated on preoperative physical exam. In these cases, the patients did not experience arm claudication or angina with the use of their left arm preoperatively or postoperatively.

Treatment options of coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome include aorto-subclavian artery bypass, carotid-subclavian artery bypass, percutaneous interventions, and transposition of the LIMA. In our case, LSCA occlusion was treated with left carotid to LSCA bypass grafting (Fig. 1, B and C). In general, the risk of stroke is approximately 1%-2% for patients at this centre. Preoperatively, the contralateral carotid artery is assessed using carotid doppler ultrasound. Near-infrared spectroscopy is utilized to monitor cerebral saturations during all carotid interventions, and clamping is typically required for 10-15 minutes in order to perform the anastomosis.

Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty is an alternative for subclavian stenosis, and using the LIMA for coronary revascularization is a potential treatment in patients whose LSCA stenosis was diagnosed preoperatively. However, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stenting of the LSCA during the postoperative follow-up period may result in obstruction of the LIMA by the stent itself, transient ischemic attack or stroke, pseudoaneurysm formation, access-site hematoma, vessel rupture, dissection, stent migration, or distal embolization. Additionally, percutaneous techniques are not always feasible. In some cases, such as chronic occlusions, proximal disease, and coronary steal, passage of a guidewire through the stenotic segment is difficult or impossible. Aorto-subclavian artery bypass or transposition of the LIMA maintains the advantage of the long-term patency of the LIMA. However, these strategies require a redo-sternotomy, which carries a higher risk of potential complications. In a meta-analysis comparing open vs endovascular treatment of subclavian artery stenosis, open techniques had improved rates of 1-, 3-, and 5-year patency over endovascular techniques. Rates of recurrent symptoms and survival did not differ significantly. But these were not patients with previous CABG. This difference in patency rate is of paramount importance in this population, as restenosis of the subclavian artery risks compromise of the LIMA graft, which may result in recurrent symptoms, reduced quality of life, risk of myocardial infarction, and mortality.

This case illustrates the successful use of carotid to subclavian bypass in addressing coronary-subclavian steal syndrome. Both patients had complete resolution of their symptoms.
symptoms immediately postoperatively. The patient in case 1 had recurrent shortness of breath with New York Heart Association class II symptoms 1 year postoperatively, but he did not have recurrent angina. Essential to the success of this procedure is a strong multidisciplinary team, to manage the various aspects of these complex cases. The multidisciplinary team involved in this case included those in vascular surgery, cardiac surgery, cardiology, anesthesiology, and radiology, all of which were essential in the planning and operative management of these cases.

Therefore, based on the successful management described in this case report, the limitations of other options in addressing coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome, and previous literature demonstrating improved patency rates of the left subclavian artery following open vs endovascular repair, left carotid to LSCA bypass surgery should be considered for revascularization in patients who develop post-CABG coronary-subclavian artery steal syndrome due to LSCA stenosis. The decision for surgical or endovascular intervention should be made with a multidisciplinary team based on individual patient characteristics, such as symptoms, anatomy, and surgical risk.
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