Preparation and anti-coking application of sol–gel SiO$_2$ coating in a delayed coking furnace
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To isolate iron sulphide and reduce coke adhesion reactions that occur on the surface of a delayed coking furnace, a SiO$_2$ coating was developed on Cr9Mo alloy by employing the sol–gel method. The coating was characterised through Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, energy dispersive spectroscopy, potentiodynamic scanning, InfiniteFocus optical 3D surface metrology, thermal shock and coking experiments. After heat treatment at 550 °C, the silicon methyl groups were oxidised and the coating exhibited a Si–O–Si connected crosslinked network structure. The coating surface was uniformly dense with a roughness and thickness of 0.2 and approximately 4 μm, respectively. The coating still adhered to the substrate tightly after 20 cycles of thermal shock treatment. Compared with an uncoated sample, the coating effectively improved the corrosion resistance of the substrate, suppressed the iron sulphide reaction, and reduced coke adhesion onto the sample. The coating had a better inhibition effect on coke fouling in a delayed coking furnace.

1 Introduction

As one of the most widely used residue conversion processes, delayed coking is employed to thermally crack residues into light components and value-added products.\textsuperscript{1–3} The residue is rapidly heated to the temperature required for coking (\(\sim 500 ^\circ \text{C}\)) using a heating furnace, and then it undergoes cracking and condensation in a coke drum. In this entire process, the coking reaction is delayed into a coke tower; hence, the process is called ‘delayed coking’.\textsuperscript{4,5} However, in actual production, coke becomes deposited on the surface of a furnace tube,\textsuperscript{1,4,6} which leads to a decrease in the heat transfer efficiency for high wall thermal resistance, an increase in the medium flow resistance in the tube, and furnace tube blowout.\textsuperscript{9,10} Therefore, the problem of coking inhibition in a delayed coking furnace has received increasing attention.

Currently, several approaches are used to suppress coking, described as follows. (i) Improving feedstock quality: the critical decomposition temperature of oil products is increased by adding aromatic hydrocarbons to the residue to delay the coking reaction into the coke tower.\textsuperscript{7,8,11} (ii) Adding inhibitors to raw materials: an inhibitor with specific functions can slow down the coking process because inhibitors can change free radical reactions to inhibit or stop free radical formation.\textsuperscript{9,12–14} (iii) Optimising heating furnace operations: by changing the flow field distributions in the furnace tube, the average flow velocity of a boundary layer medium is increased to the highest possible value to reduce the boundary layer thickness.\textsuperscript{15,16} Simultaneously, the selection of appropriate temperature and pressure is important.\textsuperscript{3,4} (iv) Surface treatment: a layer of inert coating is applied to the furnace tube surface, by using different methods to prevent direct contact between the oil and inner walls of the tube to stop carbonisation and metal catalytic coking.\textsuperscript{17–26}

Coking mainly results from formation of iron sulphide on the furnace tube surface.\textsuperscript{5,7,8,10,27–29} Dickakia found a visible layer of iron disulphide between a tube wall and the first layer of coke.\textsuperscript{30} The iron disulphide behaved like Velcro to trap bitumen molecules and attach them to the inner wall of the furnace tube.\textsuperscript{30} Inert coatings can cover metal active sites, prevent these sites from interacting with coke precursors, reduce metal surface roughness and surface energy, and change the thickness of furnace tube boundary layers to suppress the coking of the inner walls of furnace tubes. Efforts have been taken to resolve the problem of coke deposition on the furnace tube surface by using coating techniques. Coatings of Mn and Cr oxides on the metal surface manufactured through element diffusion and selective oxidation can reduce the occurrence of catalytic coking and prevent carburisation.\textsuperscript{17,18} A protective layer of SiO$_2$ forms on the wall of halogen-treated pyrolysis pipes containing silane, ethylsilane, and siloxane. Moreover, this SiO$_2$ layer can be used to isolate pipe walls from the gas present in the pipe to inhibit coking.\textsuperscript{19} TiO$_2$,\textsuperscript{20} SiO$_2$,\textsuperscript{21} Al$_2$O$_3$,\textsuperscript{22} TiN,\textsuperscript{23,24} and SiO$_2$/S\textsuperscript{25} coatings prepared through chemical vapour deposition can prevent direct contact between hydrocarbons and metal tube walls for coking inhibition. However, these coatings are not suitable for use in a delayed coking furnace. Metal-infused surface treatment is adopted for solving the problem of delayed
coking in a tube coking furnace. In this method, a protective nanofilm layer is formed. Nanofilms of 50–100 nm isolate the furnace tube from the residue, eliminate chemical foundations for coke adherence, and highly reduce the sticking effect of mesophase wetting.26

In this study, a uniformly dense SiO2 coating was prepared on the Cr9Mo alloy, using methyl triethoxysilane (MTES) and alkaline silica sol and employing the sol–gel method. The molecular structure and residual phase composition of the gel solution were analysed and identified through Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The surface morphology, surface element composition, and sectional element distribution of the SiO2 coating were characterised through scanning electron microscopy (SEM), InfiniteFocus optical 3D surface metrology, and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). The service resistibility of the coating against peeling off was studied using thermal shock experiments. The decay resistance of the coating was evaluated through potentiodynamic scanning (PDS). A delayed coking furnace simulation device was used to evaluate the anti-coking performance of the SiO2 coating, and coke was analysed and compared through XRD.

2 Experimental details
2.1 Chemical materials
MTES (SiC_H18O3, Aladdin, 98%) and alkaline silica sol (mSiO2·nH2O, Alfa Aesar, 30%) were used as SiO2 precursors. Acetic acid (CH3COOH, Aladdin, 99.5%) and deionised water (H2O, ECUST) were used as hydrolysis accelerators and isopropanol (C3H8O, Aladdin, 99.5%) was used as a component compatibiliser.

2.2 Materials pre-treatment and preparation
The sample was shaped into a sheet of 10 mm × 10 mm × 3 mm through electrical discharge machining, and a small hole with a diameter of 1 mm was drilled at the top of the sample for subsequent testing. 400–2000 grit sandpapers were used to remove oxides from the Cr9Mo alloy surface. Subsequently, the sample was placed in absolute ethanol for ultrasonic cleaning for approximately 5 min and was dried with hot air for further use.

2.3 Preparation of the coatings
First, 20 ml of MTES was mixed with 0.5 ml of acetic acid. After 30 min hydrolysis at 20 °C, the silica sol was slowly added to the mixed liquor. Specific amounts of deionised water and isopropanol were added, and the resulting mixture was placed on a magnetic stirrer at 20 °C. After stirring for 24 h, a gel was obtained. Subsequently, the pretreated Cr9Mo alloy sample was fixed on a computer-controlled vertical elevator (PTL-MMB02-200, Hefei tactic material technology) and immersed into the gel solution. After 30 s, the sample was removed at 15 mm min⁻¹ rate, and then the sample was heated in an oven at 60 °C for 5 min. Finally, the sample was placed in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 2 h. Fig. 1 illustrates the coating preparation process.

2.4 Characterisation of the coatings
The molecular structure and residual phase composition of the gel solution were analysed and identified through XRD (18KW/D/max2550VB/PC, Japan) and FTIR (7800–350/cm 0.01/cm/6700, USA). The surface morphology, surface element composition, and sectional element distribution of the SiO2 coating were characterised using SEM (Hitachi-S-3400N, Japan),
InfiniteFocus optical 3D surface metrology (InfiniteFocus G4, Austria) and EDS (133eV/Falcon 60S, USA). The decay resistance of the coating was evaluated through PDS (PARSTAT-2273, USA).

2.5 Anti-coking tests of SiO2 coatings
To evaluate the anti-coking performance of the SiO2 coating, Saudi Arabian light crude vacuum residues were added to the high-pressure reactor with 1/3 of total volume (Table 1). The uncoated and SiO2 coated samples (10 mm × 10 mm × 3 mm) were suspended in the tube to simulate furnace tube coking. Nitrogen was passed into the reactor at a 150 ml min⁻¹ rate for 10 min to replace the air. The reaction preservation time was 30, 60, and 120 min at 500 °C, and the rotation speed of the stirrer was adjusted to 120 rpm to make the residue flow in the heating vessel. After heat preservation, the test samples were removed and placed in acetone to dissolve the residual material present on the surface. Then, the samples were dried for analyses. Fig. 2 presents the experimental schematic diagram.

3 Results and discussion
3.1 FT-IR and XRD analyses
To determine the characteristics of the coating formed on the Cr9Mo alloy surface, FTIR was used to characterise the coating after each heat treatment at 450, 500 and 550 °C for 2 h (Fig. 3). With an increase in temperature, the variable-angle vibration peak of the methyl group appearing near 1276 cm⁻¹ weakened and eventually disappeared, indicating that the methyl group underwent complete oxidative decomposition at 550 °C. The Si–O–Si stretching vibration, and characteristic SiOₓ and Si–O–Si swing vibration peaks observed near 1008, 790 and 472 cm⁻¹, respectively, indicated that the coating was composed of a Si–O–Si connected crosslinked network structure.31,32

To further determine the composition of the coating, the gel solution was analysed through XRD after heat treatment at 550 °C for 2 h (Fig. 4). The result showed only one peak at 2θ = 22°, which confirmed that the coating contained amorphous silica.33,34

3.2 SEM and EDS analyses
The surface morphology of the pre-treated and 550 °C heat-treated coated samples was compared using SEM (Fig. 5a–d). The coated surface was uniformly dense without cavities or cracks, and the coating covered the uneven area resulting from grinding with the 2000 grit sandpaper. The EDS results (Fig. 6) revealed the main chemical components of the coating as O and Si. The Fe signal originated from the substrate. Fig. 7 shows the...
SEM morphology and EDS maps of the coating surface. Si and O were uniformly distributed in the coating. The coating was tightly bonded to the substrate, and the measured coating thickness was approximately 4 μm (Fig. 8a). Along the direction perpendicular to the coating, the coating surface was free of Fe and Cr, and the surface silicon and oxygen content was relatively higher, indicating that the substrate surface was completely covered with the coating (Fig. 8b). As the depth increased, the Fe and Cr content gradually increased and a transition layer appeared. According to the mechanism of coating formation, the gradual increase in Fe and Cr content may be caused by the formation of oxide and Si–O–M covalent bonds at the junction of the coating and substrate. The transition layer tightly combined the coating and metal substrate, which can effectively prevent the coating from being peeled off during use.

3.3 Surface roughness analyses

On the inner surface of the heating furnace tubes, the surface roughness is an important factor that affects the transportation, adhesion, and removal of coke. The surface roughness of the uncoated sample after pre-treatment with 400–2000 grit sandpaper (Fig. 9a) and that of the coated sample after heat treatment at 550 °C (Fig. 9b) were analysed using InfiniteFocus optical 3D surface metrology. The microscope can capture lateral and longitudinal resolutions of 400 and 10 nm, respectively. After the substrate was coated, its roughness reduced from 0.417 to 0.199 μm (Table 2), and only small protrusions and depressions were present on the coating surface because of the evaporation and condensation of the gel liquid during the heat treatment. The lower the roughness is, the smoother the surface is. Hence, coke is difficult to grow and deposit on the surface, which results in a thin flow boundary layer, and coke is easily carried away by the fluid shear stress.

3.4 Service resistibility analysis

The service resistibility of coatings depends on their structural quality. When the furnace tube is subjected to a temperature change, such as start-up and stop-down, stresses are produced that may cause coatings to rupture. Fig. 10 shows the SEM morphology of the coated samples after 20 cycles of thermal shock from 500 to 25 °C. In this process, the sample was repeatedly heated in a muffle furnace for 30 min and taken out to cool down to room temperature at about 25 °C. After 20 cycles of thermal shock experiment, the coated sample was still uniformly dense without any obvious cracks on the surface (Fig. 10a), and the coating remained tightly adhered to the

| Status      | $R_a/\mu m$ | $R_d/\mu m$ | $R_z/\mu m$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Substrate   | 0.417       | 0.533       | 3.230       |
| Coating     | 0.199       | 0.255       | 1.447       |

Table 2 Composition of the vacuum residue
substrate (Fig. 10b). The coating can withstand the temperature changes in the delayed coking production process.

3.5 Electrochemical performance analysis

The presence of sulphides in the residue is likely to result in the corrosion of the walls of the heating furnace tube, thereby causing coke to deposit on the furnace tube surface and making it difficult to peel off the coke. Therefore, the corrosion potential and current were obtained using polarisation curves to analyse the electrochemical performance of the coating. A Princeton electrochemical test system (PARSTAT-2273) was used to determine the corrosion resistance of the samples (Fig. 11). The experiment adopted a three-electrode system, and the coated or uncoated sample was used as the working electrode. A platinum electrode was used as the auxiliary electrode, and a saturated calomel battery (SCE) was used as the reference electrode. The area exposed to the test solution was 1 cm$^2$. The measured potential was relative to the SCE potential. The test medium was a mixed solution of 0.35% $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ and 0.05% NaCl. The scanning range and speed were $-0.8$ to 0 V and 1 mV s$^{-1}$, respectively. A comparison between the results showed that the corrosion potential of the coated substrate substantially moved in the positive direction. The self-corrosion current density and polarisation resistance of the coated substrate decreased and increased, respectively, indicating that electron transfer on the electrode surface and alloy dissolution corrosion were difficult to achieve. The coating effectively improved the corrosion resistance of the substrate and provided a better protection effect to a certain extent for the substrate in the residue environment.

3.6 Anti-coking performance of SiO$_2$ coatings

Coking experiments were performed on both the coated and uncoated samples. Fig. 12 shows the SEM morphology of the coating can withstand the temperature changes in the delayed coking production process.

Fig. 10  SEM morphology of the coated sample after 20 cycles of thermal shock circulation: (a) surface of the coated sample; (b) cross-section of the coated sample.

Fig. 11  Polarization curves of uncoated and coated samples.

Fig. 12  SEM morphology of the uncoated sample coking for: (a) 30 min; (c) 60 min; (e) 120 min. SEM morphology of the coated sample coking for: (b) 30 min; (d) 60 min; (f) 120 min.

Fig. 13  Coking amount of coated and uncoated sample after deferent coking time.
samples after different coking times and Fig. 13 shows the coke amount deposited on the samples. For the coking time of 30 min, the coke amount present on the coated sample surface is considerably lower than that on the uncoated sample surface. The uncoated sample was severely coked with a large amount of coke. Coke present on the coated sample (Fig. 12b) fell off and that on the uncoated sample (Fig. 12a) remained firmly adhered to the substrate. This may be because the sulphide present in the residue formed a sulphide corrosion layer on the metal surface and provided a basis for coke to adhere to the pipe walls.\textsuperscript{30} In contrast, the coated samples revealed a bare silica coating. Lesser coke adhered onto the surface of the coated samples, indicating that the coating eliminated metal sulphuration and coke adhesion. Therefore, the coating surface cannot form a sulphide corrosion layer, and coke can be easily peeled off with a flow of residual oil. With an increase in the coking time, coke gradually accumulated on the uncoated sample surface and became hard (Fig. 12c and e). The removal of this coke from the base surface was difficult. The coke amount of the coated sample also increased, but the coke layer was thin and cracked (Fig. 12d and f). Peeling began to occur due to the internal stress caused by the temperature difference, which further confirmed that the coating can substantially reduce coke adhesion. A comparison of the XRD results of the uncoated and coated samples (Fig. 14) revealed that the coke of the uncoated sample contained iron sulphide and that of the coated sample did not.\textsuperscript{4} This finding indicated that the iron sulphide reaction was difficult on the coated sample. It was difficult for coke to adhere to the surface and coke was easily removed along with the flowing oil.\textsuperscript{30} Thus, the SiO\textsubscript{2} coating has a good coking inhibition ability.

4 Conclusions

Metal sulphide and coke adhesion reactions can lead to carbon deposit formation in delayed coking furnaces. In this work, a uniformly dense SiO\textsubscript{2} coating was prepared on Cr9Mo alloy using the sol–gel method. This coating effectively inhibited coke fouling in a delayed coking furnace.

The structural results (FTIR and XRD) evidenced that the silicon methyl groups of the coating oxidised at 450 to 550 °C and that the coating was composed of a Si–O–Si connected crosslinked network structure after heat treatment at 550 °C. Morphological tests using SEM, EDS and InfiniteFocus optical 3D surface metrology showed that the 4 μm coating was uniformly dense and smooth and was firmly bound to the substrate. The coating was composed of silicon and oxygen and isolated the substrate from the residue. The coating withstood 20 cycles of thermal shock treatment from 500 °C to 25 °C and was still uniformly dense and tightly adhered to the substrate. According to the PDS results, compared with the uncoated sample, the corrosion potential of the coated samples increased from −0.4 to −0.23 V. The coating effectively improved the corrosion resistance of the substrate.

Coking experiments were performed on both coated and uncoated samples at 500 °C. The coke present on the surface of the uncoated samples was hard, and this coke contained iron sulphide. The coke present on the surface of the coated sample was brittle and was easily peeled off from the coating. The SiO\textsubscript{2} coating has better coking suppression performance in delayed coking heating furnaces.
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