Protection Afforded by Fluoroquinolones in Animal Models of Respiratory Infections with Bacillus anthracis, Yersinia pestis, and Francisella tularensis
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Abstract: Successful treatment of inhalation anthrax, pneumonic plague and tularemia can be achieved with fluoroquinolone antibiotics, such as ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, and initiation of treatment is most effective when administered as soon as possible following exposure. Bacillus anthracis Ames, Yersinia pestis CO92, and Francisella tularensis SCHU S4 have equivalent susceptibility in vitro to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin (minimal inhibitory concentration is 0.03 μg/ml); however, limited information is available regarding in vivo susceptibility of these infectious agents to the fluoroquinolone antibiotics in small animal models. Mice, guinea pig, and rabbit models have been developed to evaluate the protective efficacy of antibiotic therapy against these life-threatening infections. Our results indicated that doses of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin required to protect mice against inhalation anthrax were approximately 18-fold higher than the doses of levofloxacin required to protect against pneumonic plague and tularemia. Further, the critical period following aerosol exposure of mice to either Bacillus anthracis spores or Y. pestis was 24 h, while mice challenged with F. tularensis could be effectively protected when treatment was delayed for as long as 72 h postchallenge. In addition, it was apparent that prolonged antibiotic treatment was important in the effective treatment of inhalation anthrax in mice, but short-term treatment of mice with pneumonic plague or tularemia infections were usually successful. These results provide effective antibiotic dosages in mice, guinea pigs, and rabbits and lay the foundation for the development and evaluation of combinational treatment modalities.
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INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are still considered to be the most effective therapeutic regimen in the treatment of patients with inhalation anthrax, pneumonic plague, and tularemia, although new treatment modalities, for example, with human monoclonal antibodies against protective antigen (PA) of Bacillus anthracis [1-5] and antitoxic drugs [6-8] are being developed, along with new second generation prophylactic vaccines [9-11]. It is likely that new therapeutics against these infections would be used in combination with effective antibiotics, and knowing dose and blood concentrations in different laboratory animal species would be essential for combinational studies in small animal models. Currently, two antibiotics have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of inhalation anthrax, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. Anthrax also can be effectively treated with other antibiotics including penicillin, tetracycline and streptomycin. Likewise, streptomycin, tetracycline, and gentamicin are antibiotics of choice against plague and tularemia [12-15]. Although currently, there is no available vaccine against plague, a recombinant vaccine containing capsular antigen (F1) and low calcium response antigen V (LcrV) has shown promising results in mouse and non-human primate models [16, 17]. Likewise, a live attenuated vaccine strain (LVS) of Francisella tularensis is approved for vaccination of military personnel and those investigators working with this pathogen [18].

The fluoroquinolones exhibit extensive tissue penetration, concentration-dependent killing and a prolonged post-antibiotic effect against susceptible bacteria [19]. Pharma-
codynamic data from in vitro, large animal, and human studies suggest that fluoroquinolone efficacy is associated with achieving a maximum serum concentration (C_max) to minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) ratio (Cmax/MIC) of >10 and area under the 24-hour serum concentration-time curve to MIC ratio (AUC/MIC) of >125 for most gram-negative bacteria [19-21]. For most gram-positive organisms, a lower 24-hour AUC/MIC ratio of >25 is required for optimal bacterial eradication [22]. Published pharmacodynamic data involving fluoroquinolones against B. anthracis [23-25] Y. pestis [26-28], or F. tularensis [29-31] are mostly limited to murine models; however, fluoroquinolone data against anthrax derived from in vitro modeling studies [32, 33] and nonhuman primate models [34-36] have been reported.

From a recent study conducted by Ambrose and colleagues [23] evaluating gatifloxacin in a murine model of B. anthracis inhalation infection, it was shown that the AUC24/MIC ratio is the pharmacodynamic parameter that best predicts outcome with 90% and 99% effective doses corresponding to AUC24/MIC ratios of 15.8 and 30, respectively. These degrees of fluoroquinolone exposure that predict a desirable outcome in mice correspond to a AUC2/MIC ratio of about 200-300 in humans [37]. Among the few studies of fluoroquinolone administration in the guinea pig and rabbit models of inhalation anthrax are reports by Altboum et al. [38], Shoop et al. [39], and Peterson et al. [4]. Since these are important small animal models for development of new treatment modalities against anthrax, plague, and tularemia, the current findings provide important information on dosing and blood levels of the fluoroquinolones useful in the development of adjunctive therapies [37, 40, 41].

In addition to their direct antimicrobial effects, it is well recognized that fluoroquinolones possess potent concentration-dependent immunomodulatory properties [42]. In particular, they have been shown to protect mice from lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced death, presumably by decreasing the production/secretion of proinflammatory mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukins (IL-)1 α/β, -6, -8 and -12 [43, 44]. Further, enhancement of hematopoietic stimulating factors such as IL-2, IL-33 and pulmonary production of granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) has been associated with fluoroquinolone treatment in various animal models [45, 46]. The underlying mechanisms of these immunomodulatory properties are not well defined, but may involve their effects on signal transduction, intracellular transcription factors, and/or interactions with the type II topoisomerase complex [42].

In this report, we chose the nasal instillation models of inhalation anthrax with Swiss-Webster mice, Hartley guinea pigs, and Dutch-belted rabbits to evaluate the protection afforded by ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. Similarly, we evaluated the effectiveness of levofloxacin against pneumonic plague and tularemia using murine models. For the latter two diseases, we preferred to use only levofloxacin as our studies with anthrax indicated that it was as efficacious or better than ciprofloxacin and could be given to animals only once per day compared to ciprofloxacin that needed to be given to animals twice because of its shorter half life. Pharmacokinetic studies in all three animal models were designed to provide information about the blood levels of both antibiotics in the animals challenged with these pathogens. The results may be beneficial as a guide to health authorities in selecting antibiotic dose levels for patients in the event of future acts of bioterrorism involving these select agents.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Antibiotics

Sterile, injectable formulations of ciprofloxacin (Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corp., West Haven, CT) and levofloxacin (Janssen Pharmaceuticals, N.V., Beerse, Belgium) were purchased from the UTMB Pharmacy. Although normally infused intravenously into patients as 5% levofloxacin and 0.2% ciprofloxacin in 5% dextrose solution, respectively, animals in this study were injected with the antibiotics by the subcutaneous or intraperitoneal routes in the amounts indicated in the Results section.

MIC of Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin Against B. anthracis, Y. pestis, and F. tularensis

The MIC of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin against various pathogens was determined by using the E-Test (AB Biodisk North America Inc, Culver City, CA) [47]. Briefly, the overnight-grown bacterial cultures were diluted (1:4) with fresh Luria-Bertani (LB) medium and continued to grow at 28°C for Y. pestis CO92 and 37°C for B. anthracis Ames and F. tularensis SCHU S4 for 2 h (OD600nm of 0.6). The bacterial cultures were then spread evenly onto the Mueller–Hinton agar plates (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD) or on 5% sheep blood agar (SBA) plates (Teknova, Hollister, CA), and the predefined levofloxacin (range of 0.002 to 32 µg/ml) E-strips were placed onto the plates. Plates were incubated for 48 h at either 28°C or 37°C, and the MIC values were recorded.

Preparation of B. anthracis spores

Spores were prepared by inoculating B. anthracis Ames strain in Schaeffer's sporulation medium [48] as we previously described [7]. We confirmed sporulation to be at >99% via phase contrast microscopy and the Wirtz spore stain [49]. Aliquots of the stock spore suspension (1 x 10^2 to 1 x 10^10 cfu/ml) were stored at −70°C, and freshly diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to the desired colony forming units (cfu) immediately before each animal challenge experiment.

Preparation of Y. pestis CO92 culture

Virulent Y. pestis strain CO92 was obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA. To prepare Y. pestis cultures, we followed our recently described protocol [50, 51].

B. anthracis cultures and spores as well as Y. pestis cultures were prepared and stored in a restricted access BSL-2 laboratory registered with the CDC and inspected by the Department of Defense and the United States Department of Agriculture.

Preparation of F. tularensis SCHU S4 culture

An aliquot of stock was plated on cysteine heart infusion agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) plates for 2 days at
37°C with 5% CO₂. Bacterial colonies were expanded in modified Mueller-Hinton II broth supplemented with IsoVi-
taleX (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD) for 10 h with shaking at 37°C. After centrifugation, bacterial pellets were resuspended in PBS, the cell number determined with a Pet-
roff-Hausser cell counting chamber (Hauser Scientific, Hor-
sham, PA), and adjusted to the desired concentrations by diluting in PBS [52]. F. tularensis culture was stored and cultivated in the CDC approved BSL-3 laboratory.

Challenge of Mice, Guinea Pigs, and Rabbits Via the Intranasal Route

To evaluate the protective efficacy of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin in vivo, we challenged 8-week-old (25 to 30-g) female Swiss-Webster mice (Taconic, Germantown, NY) and 250 to 300-g Hartley guinea pigs (Charles River Labora-
tories, Wilmington, MA) with 5 x 10⁴ cfu and 6 x 10⁵ cfu of B. anthracis Ames spores, respectively. Swiss-Webster mice were also challenged with 5 LD₅₀ (1.7 x 10³) of Y. pestis CO92, BALB/c mice were challenged with 3 LD₅₀ (1.7 x 10² cfu) doses of F. tularensis SCHU S4. Dutch-belted rabbits (0.7-1.1 kg; Myrtle’s Rabbitry, Inc., Thompson Station, TN) were challenged with 100 LD₅₀’s (1 x 10⁷ cfu) of B. an-
thracis Ames spores.

Mice and guinea pigs were anesthetized by intraperito-
neal (i.p.) and subcutaneous (s.c.) routes, respectively, with a mixture of ketamine-HCl (48 mg/kg for mice and 30 mg/kg for guinea pigs) and xylazine-HCl (9.6 mg/kg for mice and 7 mg/kg for guinea pigs). Rabbits were anesthetized with ketamine (35 mg/kg) and xylazine (5 mg/kg) by intramuscu-
ar (i.m.) injection. For spore/bacteria instillation in animals, we used the method of Comer et al. [7]. The challenge volume was 20 μl/naris for mice, 25 μl/naris for guinea pigs, and 50 μl/naris for rabbits. PBS (20, 25, and 50 μl/naris) was then used to wash any non-adherent spores from the nasal cavity into the lungs for each animal species, respectively. All animal challenges were performed in a select agent-
approved, restricted-access Animal Biosafety Level 3 Labo-
ratory under approved protocols in accordance with guide-
lines recommended by the National Institutes of Health, Centers for Disease Control, and United States Department of Agriculture. In the animal studies, antibiotics were given 24 h post infection or at various delayed time points as indicated for a period of 6-13 days. In mice, the antibiotics were given by the i.p. route, in guinea pigs by the s.c. route, while in rabbits, antibiotics were injected via the i.m. route.

Fluoroquinolone Assay

All serum specimens were assayed by the reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method with fluorescence detection as previously described [53-54].

Pharmacokinetic Studies and Analysis

Studies in mice were performed using a sample size of n=4 at each time point (e.g., 0, 0.25, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 h) following dose administration of ciprofloxacin (90 mg/kg [i.p.]) and levofloxacin (5 and 90 mg/kg [i.p.]). Studies in guinea pigs (n=8 per regimen: ciprofloxacin 15 mg/kg [s.c.] and levofloxacin 8.5 mg/kg [s.c.]) and rabbits (n=10 per regimen: ciprofloxacin 10 mg/kg [i.m.]; levofloxacin 7.1 mg/kg [i.m.]) were conducted, which provided n=6 serum concentrations over the 48 h time period. The dose of each fluoroquinolone was based on the effective dose for 100% of the animals (ED₁₀₀) as determined from the survival studies.

The serum concentration-time data were analyzed by standard noncompartmental methods [55]. The maximum concentration (Cₘₐₓ) in serum and the time to reach Cₘₐₓ (Tₘₐₓ) were determined directly from the individual concentra-
tion-time data. The terminal elimination rate constant (λₑ) was obtained by least-squares regression of the log serum drug concentrations in the log-linear phase. A minimum of three concentra-
tion-time points were used to represent the log-
linear phase for rabbits and guinea pigs in which each animal provided multiple serum specimens for concentration deter-
mination. Composite data were used to assess this parameter in mice. The elimination half-life (t₀) was calculated by di-
viding 0.693 by λₑ. The area under the concentration-time curve for ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin from time zero (predose) to infinity (AUC₀-∞) was calculated by the linear trapezoidal rule with extrapolation to infinity, using C₀/λₑ, where C₀ is the last measurable concentration. The apparent total body clearance (TBC) was calculated by the adminis-
tered dose divided by AUC₀-∞.

Statistics

All of the animal survival data were analyzed with the Fisher’s exact test using the SigmaStat Statistical package (SYSTAT, Richmond, CA). Pharmacokinetic parameters for ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin within species were com-
pared using the Student’s t-test unless normality failed in which case the nonparametric Mann-Whitney rank sum test was employed. All statistical tests were performed at the 5% level of significance. Results were expressed as means ± standard deviations, with the exception of Tₘₐₓ values, which were given as the median (range).

RESULTS

LD₅₀ doses for B. anthracis, Y. pestis, and F. tularensis

Table 1 lists the LD₅₀ doses of the above-mentioned agents in Swiss-Webster and BALB/c mice. These three bacterial pathogens could be ranked in order of decreasing infectivity based on LD₅₀ dose in a murine model; F. tu-
arensis > Y. pestis > B. anthracis. Based on these data, we elected to use Swiss-Webster mice for the study of B. anthracis and Y. pestis, while the increased sensitivity of BALB/c mice influenced our selection of this species for the study of F. tularensis. Also shown are the LD₅₀ values of B. anthracis Ames spores for Hartley guinea pigs and Dutch-belted rabbits.

Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin Protect Mice Against Inhalation Exposure to B. anthracis Ames spores

Fig. (1A) illustrates that administration of ciprofloxacin in doses of 90-120 mg/kg/day (b.i.d.) was required to achieve complete protection during treatment of Swiss-
Webster mice against challenge with 5 LD₅₀ of B. anthracis spores, but sustained treatment was essential. The highest dose of 180 mg/kg/day appeared to reach a toxic level, since survival decreased compared to that of 90-120 mg/kg/day doses. Ciprofloxacin doses of 60 mg/kg/day or below were not protective. Interestingly, even relatively high doses of
Table 1. Fifty Percent Lethal Dose (LD_{50}) of \textit{B. anthracis}, \textit{Y. pestis}, and \textit{F. tularensis} in Laboratory Animals Using Nasal Instillation

| Animal Model          | \textit{B. anthracis} Ames Spores (cfu) | \textit{Y. pestis} CO92 Cells (cfu) | \textit{F. tularensis} SCHU S4 Cells (cfu) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Swiss-Webster Mice    | $1.1 \times 10^4$                      | $3.4 \times 10^2$                 | $2.2 \times 10^7$                          |
| BALB/c Mice           | $1.7 \times 10^4$                      | $1.0 \times 10^2$                 | $3.3 \times 10^1$                          |
| Hartley Guinea Pigs   | $1.2 \times 10^5$                      | N.D.                              | N.D.                                       |
| Dutch-belted Rabbits  | $1.0 \times 10^5$                      | N.D.                              | N.D.                                       |

N.D. = Not done.

Fig. (1). \textbf{A}. Protection afforded to Swiss-Webster mice by various doses of ciprofloxacin initiated 24 h after intranasal challenge with 5 LD_{50} of \textit{B. anthracis} Ames spores. One-half the daily dose was administered every 12 h. The LD_{50} dose was previously determined in the nasal instillation model [4]. The horizontal bar indicates the period of ciprofloxacin treatment (6 days). The two highest doses were significant from days 2 through 11.5, while 90 mg/kg/day was significant from days 2 through 16.5. Significance for the 60 mg/kg/day group was delayed until day 3.5 and only remained so until day 4.5. Significance at 30 mg/kg/day was only at days 2 and 2.5, while the lowest dose showed no significance. \textbf{B}. Protection afforded to Swiss-Webster mice by various doses of levofloxacin. The daily dose was administered once every 24 h. The horizontal bar indicates the period of levofloxacin treatment (13 days). All groups, except the lowest dose, was significant beginning at day 2 and continued through the end of the study. Significance from the control was determined by the Fisher Exact Test (p<0.05).
ciprofloxacin that were completely protective during short-term therapy failed to provide long-term survival once treatment ceased. Within the period of treatment, a dose of approximately 70 mg/kg/day was the ED₅₀ for ciprofloxacin in these mice; however, mouse deaths occurred after antibiotic administration ceased.

During treatment, doses of 90-120 mg/kg/day of levofloxacin were completely protective for Swiss-Webster mice challenged with 5 LD₅₀ of *B. anthracis* Ames spores (Fig. 1B). Like for ciprofloxacin, some toxicity of the antibiotic was noted at the highest dose of 180 mg/kg/day. Levofloxacin in doses of 30-60 mg/kg/day provided approximately 70% protection, while the lowest dose of 15 mg/kg/day of levofloxacin was ineffective. Further, as noted with ciprofloxacin, once treatment with higher doses ceased, mice began to die of anthrax. In this study, we opted to treat animals for 13 days with levofloxacin, although in our subsequent experiments, we reduced the treatment time to 6 days with similar results.

**Protection of Hartley Guinea Pigs Against Inhalation Anthrax with Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin**

All guinea pigs challenged with 5 LD₅₀ of *B. anthracis* Ames spores were completely protected while being injected with doses of ciprofloxacin equal to or greater than 15 mg/kg/day; however, deaths began to occur 6 days after the antibiotic treatment ceased (Fig. 2). Identical protection results were observed in other guinea pigs challenged with 25 LD₅₀ of *B. anthracis* spores (data not shown). By titrating the antibiotic dose, we were able to establish a threshold, non-protective dose (3.7 mg/kg/day), which we have used in synergy studies with other treatment modalities [4]. We were unable to establish an ED₅₀ dose of ciprofloxacin in guinea pigs against inhalation anthrax, since regardless of antibiotic dose, all animals eventually succumbed to the infection after treatment ceased; however, during treatment the ED₅₀ was estimated to be 8.8 mg/kg/day.

Levofloxacin provided 80% protection to guinea pigs, during sustained treatment with doses 8.5-17 mg/kg/day against inhalation anthrax; however, the lowest dose of levofloxacin (e.g., 4.2 mg/kg/day) was ineffective in protecting the animals during treatment. Upon cessation of treatment at 6 days, death of most of the animals ensued even at higher doses of 8.5 and 17 mg/kg/day (data not shown).

**Protection of Dutch-Belted Rabbits Against Inhalation Anthrax with Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin**

Fig. (3A) depicts the amount of protection afforded to rabbits against inhalation anthrax using 100 LD₅₀ of *B. anthracis* spores when various doses of ciprofloxacin were administered 24 h post-challenge for 6 days. A 10 mg/kg/day dose regimen of ciprofloxacin provided 80% protection. Unlike the results obtained in similar experiments with mice and guinea pigs, cessation of short-term treatment with ciprofloxacin did not result in increased mortality. The ED₅₀ of ciprofloxacin against inhalation anthrax in the rabbit model was 7.5 mg/kg/day (Table 3); however, due to the short half-life of the drug, ciprofloxacin was given twice each day.

As shown in Fig. (3B), levofloxacin was equal to or slightly better than ciprofloxacin in protecting rabbits against inhalation anthrax, and the drug could be given once per day. A levofloxacin dose of ≥7 mg/kg/day was completely protective. The ED₅₀ of levofloxacin against anthrax in rabbits was determined to be 4.0 mg/kg/day (Table 3) when given by the s.c. route. Like for ciprofloxacin, we did not observe further deaths after cessation of the levofloxacin treatment. Fig. (4) shows the effect of delaying treatment with levofloxacin in the rabbit model against inhalation anthrax. In this study, levofloxacin treatment was initiated at various times post challenge and continued for 13 days. Complete protection of the rabbits was achieved during the 13 days of treatment, when initiated 24 h post challenge, but dropped to 83% (1 death) after treatment ceased. In comparison, when initiation...
of treatment was delayed until 48 h postinfection, survival dropped to 40% during treatment and was maintained at this level until the end of the study. Further delay in initiating levofloxacin treatment as late as 57, 72, or 96 h was completely ineffective in protecting the rabbits. We preferred to treat the animals with levofloxacin for 13 days in this experiment for its protective effects as the antibiotic treatment was delayed after challenge.

**Levofloxacin Protects Mice Against Inhalation Exposure to Y. pestis CO92**

Swiss-Webster mice were challenged with 5 LD$_{50}$ of Y. pestis, and 24 h postinfection were injected by the i.p. route with various doses (0.1-15 mg/kg/day) of levofloxacin for 6 days. Complete protection of the mice against pneumonic plague was achieved with doses of levofloxacin equal to or greater than 5 mg/kg/day, and 1 mg/kg/day yielded 80% protection. No protection of animals was observed at lower doses of levofloxacin (0.5 and 0.1 mg/kg/day) with 5 LD$_{50}$ of Y. pestis CO92 (Fig. 5A). The ED$_{50}$ of levofloxacin for completely protecting mice against pneumonic plague was 0.7 mg/kg/day (Table 3).

Even though initiation of the 6 day levofloxacin treatment (5 and 10 mg/kg/day) was delayed as late as 24 h postchallenge with Y. pestis (Fig. 5B), 100% of the mice survived; whereas, 90% survival was observed when treatment with levofloxacin was delayed as late as 36 h. In contrast, a delay in treatment with levofloxacin as late as 48 h resulted in only 10-20% survival.

**Fig. (3).** A. Survival of Dutch-belted rabbits treated with various doses of ciprofloxacin for six days beginning 24 h after nasal instillation challenge with 100 LD$_{50}$ B. anthracis Ames spores. The horizontal bar indicates the period of treatment (6 days). The highest dose was significant starting at day 3 and continuing through the end of the study. Significance for the 5 mg/kg/day group only lasted for days 2.5 and 3, while the two lowest doses were not significant. Significance from the control was determined by the Fisher Exact Test (p<0.05). B. Survival of Dutch-belted rabbits treated with various doses of levofloxacin. For all groups, significance (p<0.05) started on day 2.5, and while the two highest doses were significant through the end of the study, the 3.57 mg/kg group was only significant through day 4, and 1.78 mg/kg/day, only through day 3.
Levofloxacin Protects Mice Against Inhalation Exposure to *F. tularensis* SCHU S4

Fig. (6A) shows that 13 days of levofloxacin treatment, initiated 24 h post-challenge, in concentrations (0.5-10 mg/kg/day) completely protected the mice against tularemia during treatment. After treatment with levofloxacin at 1 mg/kg/day ceased, a death occurred. At the lowest dose of 0.1 mg/kg/day, animals succumbed to infection during the antibiotic treatment, which progressively increased to 50% survival (Table 3) after cessation of antibiotic therapy. We preferred 13 days of antibiotic treatment as *F. tularensis* is an intracellular pathogen, and we suspected that longer treatment might be required for observing protection.

Fig. (6B) illustrates that initiation of levofloxacin treatment (40 mg/kg/day) for 13 days in mice infected with *F. tularensis* could be delayed as long as 72 h postchallenge and still achieve 100% protection. Even when levofloxacin treatment was delayed as late as 96 h, 80% of the mice survived; however, delay until 120 h resulted in no protection.

Pharmacokinetics and ED50/ED100 of Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin

Table 2 lists the pharmacokinetic parameters of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin for each of the three models based on ED100 dosing. Within each animal species, the Cmax, AUCs, elimination T1/2, and TBC values were remarkably similar between the two fluoroquinolones, despite the slightly higher milligram per kilogram dose required for ciprofloxacin to achieve the same efficacy in the guinea pig and rabbit models. The only significantly different parameters included higher peak serum concentrations of levofloxacin compared to ciprofloxacin (45.2 ± 10.3 μg/ml vs. 25.5 ± 10.3 μg/ml, P < 0.001) in mice receiving the same 90 mg/kg dose and a longer T1/2 of levofloxacin versus ciprofloxacin in the rabbit model (2.8 ± 1.2 h vs 1.5 ± 0.7 h, P = 0.009). The mean elimination half lives of both agents ranged from 1.4 to 2.8 hours in all three species.

Table 3 shows a summary of the antibiotic dose protection data providing ED50 and ED100 values against inhalation anthrax in the three different animal models. The ED50 values for ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin against inhalation anthrax in Swiss-Webster mice were 70 and 28 mg/kg/day, respectively. When ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were tested against anthrax in the guinea pig model, the ED50 values were 8.8 and 5.0 mg/kg/day, respectively. Similarly, we determined that the ED50s of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin against anthrax using rabbits were 7.5 and 4.0 mg/kg/day, respectively. The ED50 values for levofloxacin in mice infected with *Y. pestis* and *F. tularensis* were 0.7 and 0.1, respectively (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The infectivity profile (Table 1) of the three bacterial pathogens revealed that *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 and *Y. pestis* CO92 had lower LD50’s than *B. anthracis* Ames, when the agents were administered by nasal instillation. In related experiments (in mice), the virulence of all three select agents, when administered by subcutaneous injection, was essentially the same, that is, approximately 5-6 cells/spores per animal (data not shown). With a low LD50 nasal instillation dose of 33 cells in BALB/c mice, *F. tularensis* barely exceeded the infectivity of *Y. pestis*, which was 100 cells. In Swiss-Webster mice, the LD50s of these two pathogens were about two logs lower than that of *B. anthracis* Ames spores. The LD50’s for *B. anthracis* Ames spores by nasal instillation in guinea pigs and rabbits were approximately 1 log greater than that for mice. Importantly, the LD50 of *B. anthracis* Ames spores by aerosolization to Dutch-belted rabbits was determined earlier to be 1 x 10^7 cfu, which was the same as the nasal instillation dose [4, 56].
It was interesting to note in the mouse models that the ED$_{50}$ for levofloxacin against _B. anthracis_ was approximately 40-fold higher than the ED$_{50}$ for _Y. pestis_ (ED$_{50}$=0.7). Similar results were observed with levofloxacin against _B. anthracis_ and _F. tularensis_ in BALB/c mice (ED$_{50}$=0.1). We suspect that the basis for these observed differences in ED$_{50}$s is multifactorial and could be related to the number of bacteria constituting the challenge dose (5 LD$_{50}$), rather than to the potency of levofloxacin against Gram-negative versus Gram-positive bacteria. Alternatively, the resistance of spores to antibiotics and chemicals and/or the presence of capsule around _B. anthracis_ vegetative cells could have affected the antibiotic dose or exposure needed to reduce the bacterial load. In fact, the MICs with levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin for _B. anthracis_ Ames and _Y. pestis_ CO92 were each determined to be 0.03 μg/ml, while for _F. tularensis_ it was 0.03 μg/ml with levofloxacin and 0.01 μg/ml with ciprofloxacin. In addition, a recent study examining the spore subpopulations suggested suppression of resistance required greater drug exposure with more frequent antibiotic dosing [57].

It is also plausible that, differences between the three organisms with respect to ED$_{50}$/ED$_{100}$ doses of the two quinolones may be illustrating variations in the immune response related to the various virulence factors and the bacterial load. In fact, the MICs with levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin for _B. anthracis_ Ames and _Y. pestis_ CO92 were each determined to be 0.03 μg/ml, while for _F. tularensis_ it was 0.03 μg/ml with levofloxacin and 0.01 μg/ml with ciprofloxacin. In addition, a recent study examining the spore subpopulations suggested suppression of resistance required greater drug exposure with more frequent antibiotic dosing [57].
known immunomodulatory properties of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin [42]. For example, the down-regulation of LPS-mediated proinflammatory cytokine production associated with fluoroquinolone administration may, in part, explain why lower effective doses are needed for infection with endotoxin-producing *F. tularensis* and *Y. pestis*, as compared to infection with *B. anthracis*. Clearly, further study of the indirect effect of fluoroquinolones on the immune response following infection with these pathogens is needed to address this speculation.

Our results illustrated that levofloxacin is an effective antibiotic in protecting experimental animals against all three bacterial infections; however, the fluoroquinolones appeared more potent in their *in vivo* bactericidal effect against pneumonic plague and tularemia, than against inhalation anthrax.

The serum concentrations and pharmacokinetic parameters of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were nearly identical in the guinea pig and rabbit models despite the slightly higher *ED$_{100}$* dosage of ciprofloxacin required to obtain the same effectiveness in the survival studies. Therefore, with respect to these two models, there appears to be concordance between *ED$_{50}$/ED$_{100}$* doses, fluoroquinolone concentrations and a desirable therapeutic effect. In addition, the serum concentrations and the derived kinetic parameters are consistent with the published literature [40, 47, 58, 59]. The noted limitation of these concentration data is the fact they were obtained in uninfected animals and involved single-dose

---

**Fig. (6).** A. Survival of BALB/c mice challenged with 3 LD$_{50}$ *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 by nasal instillation followed by treatment with various doses of levofloxacin. The horizontal bar indicates the period of treatment (13 days). Significance from the control was determined by the Fisher Exact Test (p<0.05), and all of the groups of mice except for the 0.1 mg/kg/day dose of levofloxacin were significant. B. Survival of BALB/c mice challenged with *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 followed by treatment with 40 mg/kg/day starting at various times post challenge. The period of treatment was 13 days. Significance from the control was determined by the Fisher Exact Test (p<0.05) and all of the groups of mice, except for the one to which antibiotic treatment, was administered 120 h postinfection, were significant.
The extremely high 90 mg/kg dose of both ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin required for 100% efficacy against \textit{B. anthracis} in mice resulted in roughly dose-dependent increases in C\textsubscript{max} and AUC parameters. These values correspond to C\textsubscript{max}/MIC and AUC/MIC ratios of 800 to nearly 1900. Therefore, the observed differences between animal species with regard to \textit{B. anthracis} infection are not readily explained on the basis of achievable serum concentrations relative to the MIC; however, it has been suggested that other pharmacodynamic parameters such as time above the MIC (T>MIC) may be an important determinant of outcome for the metabolically inactive spore population that may germinate at antibiotic concentrations at or below the MIC [37, 40, 57]. In addition, this disparity among models may be related to species-specific immune response interactions to the virulence factors associated with \textit{B. anthracis}. Regardless, the ED\textsubscript{100} for ciprofloxacin in rabbits given by the i.m. route was 10 mg/kg/day for anthrax. In human adults, the recommended dose for ciprofloxacin for inhalational anthrax by the oral route is 400-500 mg (5.7-7.1 mg/kg for an average 70 kg body weight) every 12 h for 60 days. For the highest oral dose, the equivalent injectable (i.v.) dose of ciprofloxacin is 400 mg every 12 h.

Table 2. Summary of Pharmacokinetic Data in Three Animal Species Dosed with Ciprofloxacin or Levofloxacin

| Regimen (Drug-mg/kg-Route) | C\textsubscript{max} (\(\mu\)g/ml) | T\textsubscript{max} (h) | AUC\textsubscript{0-6h} (\(\mu\)g·h/ml) | AUC\textsubscript{0-24} (\(\mu\)g·h/ml) | T\textsubscript{1/2} (h) | TBC (ml/min) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Swiss-Webster Mice        |                 |              |                               |                               |                 |              |
| Cip-90-ip                 | 25.5 ± 4.5@     | 0.25         | 56.5                          | 62.1                          | 1.8             | 0.80         |
| Lev-5-ip                  | 13.4 ± 6.3      | 0.25         | 14.6                          | 16.7                          | 2.1             | 0.17         |
| Lev-90-ip                 | 45.2 ± 10.3@    | 0.25         | 36.2                          | 37.4                          | 1.7             | 1.33         |
| Hartley Guinea Pigs       |                 |              |                               |                               |                 |              |
| Cip-15-sc                 | 2.2 ± 1.0       | 1.0 (0.25-1.5)| 5.0 ± 0.6                    | 5.5 ± 0.7                     | 1.4 ± 0.2       | 18.0 ± 2.4   |
| Lev-8.5-sc                | 2.7 ± 2.1       | 1.0 (0.25-1.5)| 3.8 ± 0.9                    | 6.6 ± 3.3                     | 1.7 ± 0.6       | 10.5 ± 4.2   |
| Dutch-Belted Rabbits      |                 |              |                               |                               |                 |              |
| Cip-10-im                 | 2.3 ± 1.5       | 0.63 (0.25-2)| 3.2 ± 0.5                    | 5.3 ± 1.9                     | 1.5 ± 0.7       | 32.9 ± 11.7  |
| Lev-7.1-im                | 1.2 ± 0.2       | 1.25 (1-1.5) | 3.3 ± 0.5                    | 5.1 ± 1.7                     | 2.8 ± 1.2*      | 31.3 ± 10.1  |

Data given as mean ± S.D except T\textsubscript{max} given as median (range); *P=0.009, Lev-7.1 vs Cip-10; #P=0.013, Lev-90 vs Cip-90; @P=<0.001, Cip-90 and Lev-90 vs Lev-5.

Note: composite nature of most PK parameters in mice prevents statistical analysis.

Table 3. Summary of \textit{In Vivo} ED\textsubscript{50} and ED\textsubscript{100} Values During Treatment with Fluoroquinolones Against \textit{B. anthracis}, \textit{Y. pestis}, and \textit{F. tularensis} Following Challenge by Nasal Instillation

| Animal                     | Antibiotic | \textit{B. anthracis} Ames Spores | \textit{Y. pestis} CO92 Cells | \textit{F. tularensis} SCHU S4 Cells |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Swiss-Webster Mice         | Ciprofloxacin | 70/90                           | N.D.                         | N.D.                             |
|                            | Levofloxacin | 28/90                           | 0.7/5.0                      | 0.1/5.0                          |
| Hartley Guinea Pig         | Ciprofloxacin | 8.8/15                          | N.D.                         | N.D.                             |
|                            | Levofloxacin | 5.0/17                          | N.D.                         | N.D.                             |
| Dutch-belted Rabbit        | Ciprofloxacin | 7.5/10                          | N.D.                         | N.D.                             |
|                            | Levofloxacin | 4.0/7.0                         | N.D.                         | N.D.                             |
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Despite the effectiveness of the fluoroquinolones in treating inhalation anthrax, as demonstrated in these animal models, resistance to fluoroquinolones is emerging among other bacteria that cause clinical infections [61-63]. It is also important to consider the possibility of bacteria acquiring resistance to a particular antibiotic during treatment. Further, physicians must have other effective antibiotics to prescribe in the event of a bioterrorism attack involving a B. anthracis strain or other bacterial threat agents carrying multiple antibiotic resistance factors. This scenario is of particular concern because additional time is required to ascertain the antibiotic susceptibility profile of the bacteria, and the models indicate that prompt initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy postexposure is essential to prevent a lethal infection with any of these select agents.

Treatment with levofloxacin, is also very effective against plague and tularemia and a 6-13 day treatment 24 h post infection resulted in 100% protection. Therefore, levofloxacin could be a drug of choice for all of the three category A select bacterial agents. Recently, antibiotic-resistant strains of Y. pestis emerged that were isolated from human cases of bubonic plague in Madagascar [64, 65]. These plague isolates with natural resistance to antibiotics contained transmissible plasmids; in one case, the plasmid possessed multi-drug resistant determinants for chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, streptomycin-modifying enzyme and TEM-1 beta-lactamase; and in the other case, the plasmid encoded high-level resistance to streptomycin. The bioweapon programs of the Former Soviet Union engineered drug-resistant variants of highly pathogenic B. anthracis strains specific for at least 10 different antibiotics (including the fluoroquinolones) [66]. Similarly, spontaneous-resistant mutants of B. anthracis Sterne have been isolated that were resistant to 18 different antibiotics [67]. The same could be true for Y. pestis and F. tularensis. Therefore, studies should continue to develop new therapeutics and antibiotics against these deadly pathogens, as currently there are no vaccines for plague and tularemia. Further, although Biothrax vaccine (Emergent Biosolutions, Gaithersburg, MD) is available for anthrax, it provides short-term protection and requires multiple injections.
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