Short-lived AUF1 p42-binding mRNAs of RANKL and BCL6 have two distinct instability elements each
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Abstract

Regulation of mRNA stability by RNA-protein interactions contributes significantly to quantitative aspects of gene expression. We have identified potential mRNA targets of the AU-rich element binding protein AUF1. Myc-tagged AUF1 p42 was induced in mouse NIH/3T3 cells and RNA-protein complexes isolated using anti-myc tag antibody beads. Bound mRNAs were analyzed with Affymetrix microarrays. We have identified 508 potential target mRNAs that were at least 3-fold enriched compared to control cells without myc-AUF1. 22.3% of the enriched mRNAs had an AU-rich cluster in the ARED Organism database, against 16.3% of non-enriched control mRNAs. The enrichment towards AU-rich elements was also visible by ARE Score with an average value of 5.2 in the enriched mRNAs versus 4.2 in the control group. Yet, numerous mRNAs were enriched without a high ARE score. The enrichment of tetrameric and pentameric sequences suggests a broad AUF1 p42-binding spectrum at short U-rich sequences flanked by A or G. Still, some enriched mRNAs were highly unstable, as those of TNFSF11 (known as RANKL), KLF10, HES1, CCNT2, SMAD6, and BCL6. We have mapped some of the instability determinants. HES1 mRNA appeared to have a coding region determinant. Detailed analysis of the RANKL and BCL6 3'UTR revealed for both that full instability required two elements, which are conserved in evolution. In RANKL mRNA both elements are AU-rich and separated by 30 bases, while in BCL6 mRNA one is AU-rich and 60 bases from a non AU-rich element that potentially forms a stem-loop structure.
mRNA stability plays a significant role in gene expression as it determines, together with transcription rates, mRNA steady state levels. Various cis-acting elements and trans-acting proteins can influence the fate of mRNA in the cytoplasm. Proteins that enhance or inhibit mRNA degradation may interact directly with RNA determinants or modulate mRNA decay indirectly by influencing RNA localization or translation. Furthermore, such proteins are targeted by signaling pathways. A “post-transcriptional operon” hypothesis postulates that RNA-binding proteins, analogous to transcription factors, can influence expression of many genes at once [1]. Although this hypothesis is reasonable and appealing, we are far from knowing which mRNAs are influenced by which proteins and mechanisms. To this end it is essential to analyze thoroughly the targets and binding specificities of RNA-binding proteins as they occur in vivo. Here we present such a study for AUF1 (also known as hnRNP D).

AUF1 is one of several proteins with a binding specificity for AU-rich elements (AREs) in 3’untranslated regions (3’UTRs) [2]. AUF1 exists in four isoforms as a result of alternative splicing of exons 2 and 7 [3]. AUF1 p45 includes 19 amino acids of exon 2 and 49 amino acids of exon 7, whereas AUF1 p37 has neither of them. p40 has only the exon 2 sequence and p42 the exon 7 sequence. AUF1 p37 and p42 bind more firmly to AREs than the other two isoforms both in vitro and in vivo [3–5]. However, this may change under conditions of kinase activation [6–8]. Based on RNA interference experiments and AUF1 binding studies, it was concluded that AUF1 is an mRNA destabilizing protein [4, 9–12]. In AUF1 knock-out mice, however, AUF1 is not required for TNF-α and IL-1β mRNA instability, except after lipo-polysaccharide injection and strong induction of proinflammatory cytokines [13]. This indicates that AUF1 is needed as a back-up to other mRNA destabilizing proteins that might be present in limited amount, like tristetraprolin (TTP) and its family members [14–16], or KSRP [17], that bind to AREs with well documented mRNA destabilizing functions. Binding to AREs may in addition be counteracted by HuR that appears to have overlapping RNA-binding specificity with mRNA stabilizing effects [11]. Overexpression of AUF1 on the other hand also stabilizes ARE-containing mRNAs [4, 18, 19] by an unknown mechanism that might relate to its oligomerization properties [3]. Two studies have defined potential AUF1 target mRNAs by microarray analysis of mRNAs co-immunoprecipitated with anti-AUF1 antibodies [11, 20]. More recently AUF1-binding sites were defined by the PAR-CLIP method [21]. In contrast to all previous studies, a consensus for AUF1-binding sites was predicted with highest frequency at U-rich sequences including G-residues.

AREs are among the best characterized mRNA destabilizing determinants which induce a rapid shortening of the poly(A)-tails prior to 3’-5’ degradation of the mRNA [22–24]. Deletion of AREs typically provokes increased mRNA levels, while grafting them into the 3’UTR of an otherwise stable mRNA can be sufficient to induce instability [25, 26]. In some pronounced cases, like GM-CSF mRNA, AREs consist of several AUUUA repeats with at least a tandem repeat of an UUAUUUA(U/A)(U/A) sequence [27, 28]. In other cases, as the mRNAs of G-CSF [29], TNF-α [30], endothelin-1 [31] or interleukin-6 (IL6) [4], the AUUUA repeats are shorter and adjacent regions contribute to rapid mRNA degradation. Although AREs were catalogued in an ARE database [32, 33], it remains impossible to predict which AREs contribute to instability. Predicted AUUUA repeats in 3’UTRs correlate in only 15 to 30 percent of cases with a short mRNA half-life [34–36]. Furthermore, 3–5% of all mRNAs in mouse ES cells [37], human T lymphocytes [35], or the human HepG2 cell line [36] are unstable with half-lives of less than 2 h, but many of them have 3’UTR sequences that do not contain classical AREs. This suggests that sequences other than AREs can induce instability.
The purpose of the present study was to define new AUF1 targets and to explore to which extent AUF1 contributes to mRNA instability. To define AUF1 targets we used RNP-co-immunoprecipitation (RNP-IP) in combination with microarrays. We took advantage of the myc-tagged AUF1 isoform p42, which binds firmly to a critical ARE of IL6 mRNA [4] and expressed it in NIH/3T3 cells prior to RNP-IP with anti-myc antibodies. We established a list of 508 potential target mRNAs that were enriched more than 3-fold in the specific RNP-IP. More than 20 of them were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR. The list was annotated with ARE scores [38], presence in the ARE database "ARED Organism" [32, 33] and known half-lives [35–37]. It became clear that AUF1 p42 does not preferentially bind to unstable mRNAs. Therefore, it seemed essential to analyze in further detail some unstable AUF1-targets. Three 3′UTRs of particularly unstable mRNAs in our list, HES1, TNFSF11 (more commonly known as Receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL) or as osteoclast differentiation factor), and BCL6 were dissected for instability elements and protein binding sites. While HES1 mRNA revealed a coding region instability determinant, the RANKL and BCL6 UTR showed two distinct instability elements each, one of which overlapped with an AUF1 p37 binding site.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and stable transfections

Cell culture. Mouse embryonic NIH/3T3 fibroblasts (ATCC CRL-1658), human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216), and Phoenix ecotropic packaging cells (ATCC CRL-3214) were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) Glutamax (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) and Penicillin-Streptomycin-Neomycin antibiotic mixture (Invitrogen).

Stable transfection for microarray analysis. To induce expression of mouse AUF1 p42 fused to an amino-terminal myc-tag, two retroviral vectors, pBSwitch and pSLHGC-MYC-AUF1 p42 harboring the mifepristone-inducible GeneSwitch expression system (Invitrogen) were used as described [4]. Retrovirus was produced in Phoenix cells by standard procedures [4], filtered through 0.45 μm filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA), supplemented with 8 μg/ml polybrene and used to infect exponentially growing NIH/3T3 cells seeded 20 h before at 10% confluence. Infected cells were selected for stable integration for 6–8 days with 10 μg/ml blasticidin in the presence of 1 nM mifepristone.

Stable transfection of EGFP-UTR constructs. Retrovirus was generated in subconfluent Phoenix cells. Plasmid transfection was performed for 6 h in two 10 cm²-wells with 7.5 μg DNA using an improved calcium phosphate method protocol [39]. 40 h later, 4 ml Phoenix culture medium was filtered through 0.45 μm filters, supplemented with 2 ml fresh medium and 8 μg/ml polybrene, and used to infect exponentially growing NIH/3T3 cells seeded 20 h before at 10% confluence. Infected cells were selected with 10 μg/ml puromycin to generate three independent cell pools with stably integrated virus.

RNP-IP and RNA isolation for microarray analysis

About 2.5 x 10⁶ logarithmically growing NIH/3T3 cells, stably transfected with pBSwitch and inducible pSLHGC-MYC-AUF1 p42 [4], were induced for myc-AUF1 expression with 1 nM mifepristone for 24 h. Cells were lysed in 750 μl Celllytic-M lysis reagent (C-2978; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), cleared by centrifugation at 10,000xg and the supernatant incubated at 4°C for 4 h with anti-c-myc agarose beads (A-7470; Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Immunoprecipitates were harvested by 15 sec centrifugation at 10,000xg and the beads washed twice with 1 x IP buffer (I-5779; Sigma), and resuspended in 100 μl of 0.1 x IP buffer. RNA was
isolated using Qiagen RNeasy minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) after DNase I digestion according to the Qiagen manual. Control cells were not induced with mifepristone but the rest of the procedure was kept identical. A small fraction of mRNA was quantified on a NanoDrop apparatus (ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and the RNA quality analyzed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). All samples showed a similar pattern with a clear 18S rRNA peak, submolar amounts of 28S rRNA, some specific degradation products of rRNA, and mRNA. RNP-IPs of control cells differed only in their threefold lower RNA concentration.

cRNA synthesis and microarray hybridization

For the analysis on Affymetrix GeneChips (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) 50 ng of immunoprecipitated RNA or of a total RNA control sample were processed with the two-cycle target labelling protocol (Technical Manual; Gene Expression Analysis 701021 Rev.3 of Affymetrix) to produce biotinylated cRNA target. 11 μg of this amplified material of each sample were fragmented and hybridized to GeneChip Mouse 430 2.0 arrays. Washing and staining was performed using the EuGE-WS2v5 protocol. Scanning was done on the Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 7G. Expression signals were normalized by robust multiarray average (RMA) [40]. Differentially hybridizing features were identified using Limma [41] and adjusted for multiple testing with the Benjamini and Hochberg’s method. Alternatively, Z scores and Z ratios were calculated according to [42]. Microarray data are available at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus at accession number GSE64761.

Annotation of microarray data

Besides adding the gene name of NCBI and gene ID of Entrez, we calculated the number of AREs with AREScore [38]. All UTRs with a score of 0 or a 3’UTR length <1000 bases were manually inspected for their polyadenylation signal. In case of doubts, the NCBI database was searched for a better 3’end prediction to calculate the AREScore. In case of alternative 3’UTRs, always the one with the highest score is reported. For qualitative comparison we screened the ARED Organism database [33] with the enriched mRNA list (S7 Table) and a control group of 800 non-enriched mRNAs. For known mRNA stability data, we consulted studies in mouse ES cells [37], human T lymphocytes [35], and human HepG2 cells [36].

Plasmid constructs

Tet-off system. The retroviral plasmid vectors pBHTTA and pZTCTHI were constructed as described in S1 Methods. A stably transfected NIH/3T3-pBHTTA cell line expressing the tetracycline-sensitive trans-activator [43, 44] constitutively was selected with 150 μg/ml hygromycin and used to test all pZPCTHI-constructs.

Analysis of 3’UTRs. 3’UTR-constructs were made in the EGFP-reporter plasmid pZPCTHI. 3’UTRs of mouse and human RANKL, human KLF10, IL6, HES1, SMAD6 and BCL6 were PCR-amplified from cDNA-containing plasmids with primers shown in S1 Table and inserted as EcoRI-NotI fragment into corresponding sites of pZPCTHI. For pHES1-CD-S-UTR, the human HES1 coding region with its 3’UTR was PCR-amplified and subcloned as a BglII-NotI fragment in frame behind EGFP into pZPCTHI_no_stop.

Deletions and scanning mutations in mouse RANKL 3’UTR. They were made by PCR amplification with primers shown in S2 Table. Large deletion constructs pRANKL.2, 8, 11 and 12 and short deletion construct pRANKL.22 were amplified and cloned as EcoRI-NotI fragments into pZPCTHI. Constructs pRANKL.3 to 5 were amplified and cloned as BglII-NotI fragments into pRANKL.2. Constructs pRANKL.6 and 7 were amplified and cloned as EcoRI-BglII fragments into pRANKL.8 Constructs pRANKL.9 and 10 were amplified and cloned as
EcoRI-BglII fragments into pRANKL.5 and 4 respectively. Constructs pRANKL.13 and 14 were amplified from pRANKL.9 and cloned as EcoRI-NotI fragments into pRANKL.9. Short 50- to 70-base deletion constructs pRANKL.15 to pRANKL.21 were made by generating first two overlapping PCR-fragments that were then mixed and reamplified with external PCR primers for pRANKL.11 and cloned as EcoRI-NotI fragment into pZPCTHI. The deletion was replaced by the BglII restriction site AGATCT. The 15-base scanning mutations pRANKL.23 to pRANKL.30 were generated in the same way except that the deleted 15-base sequence was replaced by the 15-base linker sequence AGCAACGCGTAGCTC harbouring a unique MluI site.

**Deletions and scanning mutations in human BCL6 3’UTR.** They were made by PCR amplification with primers shown in S3 Table. Large deletion constructs pBCL6.1 to pBCL6.10 and short deletion constructs pBCL6.13 and pBCL6.20 were amplified and cloned as EcoRI-NotI fragments into pZPCTHI. For constructs pBCL6.11 and pBCL6.12, PCR-amplified fragments were inserted as EcoRI-BglII fragments into pBCL6.8 and pBCL6.5 respectively. Short 50-base deletion constructs pBCL6.14 to pBCL6.19 were made by generating first two overlapping PCR-fragments that were then mixed and reamplified with external PCR primers for pBCL6.7 and cloned as EcoRI-NotI fragment into pZPCTHI. The 50-base deletions were replaced by the BglII restriction site AGATCT. The 15-base scanning mutations pBCL6.21 to pBCL6.32 were generated in the same way except that the deleted 15-base sequence was replaced by the 15-base linker sequence AGCAACGCGTAGCTC harbouring a unique MluI site.

**3’UTR fragments of mouse RANKL and human BCL6 mRNA for RNP-IP.** Plasmids with long or medium 3’UTR inserts were used in transient expression assays to study RNA-protein interactions. Some of these plasmids were identical to those used for EGFP-3’UTR mRNA half-life measurements and are described above. Additional fragments of mouse RANKL and human BCL6 3’UTR were amplified with appropriate primers shown in S4 and S5 Tables and subcloned in corresponding restriction sites of either pZPCTHI or constructs pRANKL.6 and pBCL6.2. Primers for pBCL6.16i, in a region of difficulties for PCR, were annealed and directly ligated to pZPCTHI.

**Plasmids for transient protein expression and RNP-IP.** The interaction of RNA-binding proteins with potential RNA targets was analyzed by transient protein expression with the GeneSwitch expression system (Invitrogen). Myc-tagged mouse AUF p37 was expressed from pSLHGC-MYC-AUF1 p37 [4]. To express myc-tagged HuR, the coding sequence of human HuR (NM_001419.2) was amplified using the forward primer CCGGAATTCGATGTCTAATGGTTATGAAGACCACAT and reverse primer ATAAGAATGCGGCCGCTAAACTATTTATTGTGGGACTTGTTGGTTT. The PCR fragment was digested with EcoRI and NotI and ligated into corresponding sites of pSLHGC-MYC-AUF1 p37 [4]. A plasmid to express His-tagged KSRP expression plasmid was kindly provided by Helmut Holtmann (Hannover, Germany) [45]. All plasmid inserts were verified by sequencing.

**mRNA half-life measurements by real-time PCR**

**Endogenous mRNAs.** Cytoplasmic RNA was isolated with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) from cell cultures at 70% confluence. For RNA half-life measurements, actinomycin D (Sigma) was added at 6 μg/ml for 0, 30, 60, 120, or 180 min prior to RNA extraction. RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed into cDNA by standard methods. Real-time PCR measurements were carried out with the Roche Lightcycler (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) in conjunction with Master Sybr green I according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Primer sets used are shown in S6 Table. Measurements were normalized to mouse acidic ribosomal protein P0 (mARP0) mRNA that were considered to be stable within the time-frame tested [46].
mRNA degradation of EGFP-3’UTR constructs. For each pZPCTHI-based construct, three independent stably transfected NIH/3T3-pBHTTA cell pools were analyzed at 60 to 80% confluence. Transcription of pZPCTHI-constructs was blocked by inhibiting the tetracycline-sensitive trans-activator with 40 ng/ml doxycycline. RNA was isolated 8, 68 and 128 min after doxycycline addition, and the 8-min time-point was considered as the start of EGFP mRNA decay. Cytoplasmic RNA was isolated using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) or Nucleospin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany).

cDNA synthesis. 0.3 to 1 μg cytoplasmic RNA and 300 ng random primer (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg Germany) were denatured 10 min at 65°C and cooled on ice for 5 min. After addition of first strand buffer (1x final concentration) (Invitrogen), DTT (10 mM final), dNTPs (0.5 mM final for each), 5 U RNaseOUT (Invitrogen) and 1 μl (200 U) M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), the reaction in 20 μl total volume was allowed to proceed for 1.5 h at 37°C. The enzyme was inactivated 5 min at 95°C, and 480 μM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, with 50 ng/ml yeast tRNA were added to the reaction.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). We used the LightCycler FastStart DNA MasterPLUS HybProbe kit (Roche Diagnostics). 5 μl diluted cDNA were mixed with 5 μl of 2x reaction master mix in 20 μl LightCycler glass capillaries (Roche) to obtain a final 1x-reaction buffer with 5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs (dTTP instead of dTTP) and FastStart Taq DNA polymerase, supplemented with 0.1 U Uracil-DNA-glycosylase (Bioline, Taunton, MA), forward and reverse, and specific probe primers. The qRT-PCR conditions for the Roche Lightcycler were 2 min at 50°C, 15 min at 95°C, 37 cycles with 10 sec at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C (single acquisition), and finally 6 min at 37°C. Standard curves for the EGFP, GAPDH or mARP0 were prepared using a dilution series of total cDNA from stably transfected cells. Afterwards, two samples of this dilution series were included in every Lightcycler run as a standard.

Primers and probes. EGFP primers were GFPI-F (ACTTCATCTAGGCACGGCAGAA) and GFPI-R (CGTCCAGCTCGACCAGGAT) used at a final concentration of 500 nM and 700 nM, respectively, in combination with 200 nM GFPI-probe (FAM-TCCACTCCCAATGGCAGCAGG-TAMRA) and GAPDH-primers were GAPDH-F (GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTCA) and GAPDH-R (GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC) at 300 nM and GAPDH-probe (FAM-CAAGCTTCCGTTCTCAGCC-TAMRA) at 200 nM. Mouse ARP0 primers were mARP0-F (CTTTGGCATTACCACCCAGAA) and mARP0-R (GCTGGCTCCCACCTTGTCT) at 300 nM, and mARP0-probe (FAM-ATCAGCTGCACATCACTCAGAATTTCAATGGT-TAMRA) at 200 nM. Measurements were performed with a GeneAmp 5700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Calculation of mRNA half-life. Half-lives were calculated from three to six independent experiments by plotting on a semi-logarithmic graph in Windows Excel software the percent RNA left at time-points 0, 1, and 2 h after transcription inhibition. Time-point zero was considered as 100%. The decay-slope was calculated by linear regression of all experimental points from different cell pools. The half-life derived from the slope was expressed in min ± deviation of the slope within 95% confidence. Alternatively, decay slopes were calculated separately for each cell pool and final results expressed as the average ± SD. Usually values obtained by the two methods were very close.

Transient co-transfection of HEK 293T cells with Lipofectamine

HEK 293T cells were plated on 12-well plates, such as to reach 80–90% confluence at the time of transfection. For each well, a total of 1.6 μg plasmid DNA in 50 μl of Opti-MEM I (Gibco) was prepared. For HuR and AUF1 p37, this mix contained 30 ng of the following vectors:
appropriate pSLHGC construct, pBSwitch, pBHTTA and appropriate pZPCTHI construct, together with 1.48 μg pGEM-7Zf(-) as inert carrier. For KSRP, we used 30 ng of a plasmid expressing His-KSRP, pBHTTA and appropriate pZPCTHI vector, together with 1.51 μg pGEM-7Zf(-). The quantities were determined such as to obtain a good transient expression, but low enough to permit a full RNP-IP. For each transfection, 3.2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) were mixed with 50 μl Opti-MEM I, incubated 5 min at room temperature and then added to the DNA mixes. The DNA/Lipofectamine mixes were incubated for 20 min at room temperature and then added drop-wise to the wells. For AUF1 p37 and HuR expression only, 1 ml of medium with 4 nM mifepristone (Invitrogen) was added after 5 h and incubated for further 16 h.

**Quantitative RNP-IP**

The flow-sheet (Fig 1) was carried out with transiently transfected HEK 293T cells expressing a myc- or His-tagged ARE-binding protein and an EGFP reporter mRNA with a 3’UTR of interest. Cells were washed with 1 ml ice-cold PBS and lysed in 220 μl ice-cold TD lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) containing Complete Mini protease inhibitor (Roche; 1 tablet/7 ml buffer) and ANTI-RNase ribonuclease inhibitor (Ambion/Life Technologies; 1.5 μl/ml lysis buffer). The lysate was immediately transferred to a microfuge tube, kept on ice for 15 min and centrifuged for 15 min at 13000 rpm. 100 μl supernatant were mixed with 300 μl of RA1 buffer of NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) containing 1% β-mercaptoethanol and stored as “Input” fraction at -20˚C. 10 μl were mixed with NuPAGE 4x LDS Sample Buffer (NP0008, Novex/Life Technologies) and used for immunoblotting. 100 μl lysate supernatant were mixed with 10 μl of

1. **Transient transfection 21-24h before IP**

![Flow-sheet of the experiment](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206823.g001)

2. **RNP-IP**

   - Input
   - Cell lysate (220 μl)
   - 100 μl
   - 100 μl

3. **Western blot**: Confirm uniform protein expression among samples

4. **RNA isolation and reverse transcription** of Input, Supernatant and Pellet

5. **qRT-PCR**: (EGFP and GAPDH) and data analysis

**Fig 1. Outline of a co-immunoprecipitation experiment and analysis.** Tagged ARE binding proteins as well as an EGFP reporter mRNA containing 3’UTR fragments of interest were transiently co-expressed in HEK 293T cells.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206823.g001
magnetic $\mu$MACS anti-c-myc or anti-His microbeads (130-091-123 or 130-091-285, Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) and incubated at 4°C for 60–90 min, slowly rotating on a wheel. One $\mu$ column (130-042-701, Miltenyi Biotec) per reaction was placed in the magnetic field of the $\mu$MACS Separator (130-042-602, Miltenyi Biotec) attached to a MACS MultiStand (130-042-303, Miltenyi Biotec) and washed once with 200 $\mu$l TD lysis buffer. The IP reaction was loaded on top of the column matrix, and the flow-through dripping out of the column was collected as “Supernatant” fraction. The column was washed three times with 200 $\mu$l of TD lysis buffer and once with 200 $\mu$l of Low-Salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5). Afterwards, 20 $\mu$l of elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 50 mM DTT, 1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 0.005% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol) pre-heated to 98°C was applied directly on top of the column matrix and incubated for 5 min. Then, another 55 $\mu$l pre-heated elution buffer was applied on top of the column and the flow-through of about 50 $\mu$l was collected in a microfuge tube and kept as “Pellet” fraction. Both the “Supernatant” and “Pellet” fractions were also mixed with 300 $\mu$l of RA1 buffer and stored at -20°C. RNA was isolated from all three fractions, reverse transcribed and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

To determine, whether a 3’UTR was bound to a protein, the enrichment of EGFP mRNA in the “Pellet” was compared to that of endogenous GAPDH mRNA. For each IP the sum of mRNA in the “Supernatant” and “Pellet” was designated as the “Total”. The recovery of “Total” was usually between 50 and 80% of the “Input”. Next, the “Pellet” was divided by the “Total” to calculate the percent of mRNA bound by a given protein. To determine the relative enrichment, the percentage obtained for EGFP mRNA was then divided by the percentage for GAPDH mRNA. The significance of the enrichment data was determined with the two-tailed homoscedastic t-test using the RNP-IP with pZPCTHI as the reference.

**Western blots**

Gel electrophoresis and western blots were done by standard procedures. The following antibodies were used: anti-c-myc-HRP (R951-25, Novex), anti-His-HRP (130-092-785, Miltenyi Biotec), mouse anti-$\alpha$-tubulin (T9026, Sigma), goat anti-mouse-HRP (sc-2005, Santa Cruz, Dallas TX, USA), goat anti-rabbit HRP (sc-2004, Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-human AUF1 (07-260, Upstate/Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA), mouse anti-human HuR (sc-5261, Santa Cruz).

**Statistical analysis**

Spearman correlation coefficients and corresponding P-values were computed with the R function `cor.test`. ARE scores were computed with the program AREScore [38]. Match scores to Gorospe’s signatures were computed with the COVELS program from the COVE software package [47] using the SCGF kindly provided by authors of the signature. Optimal local sequence alignments between selected 3’UTRs and/or parts of it were computed with the program PRSS3 (version 3.4t26) from the FASTA package [48]. Statistical significance (E-value) was computed by shuffling the target sequence 1000 times in windows of 10 bp.

**Results**

**mRNA sequences enriched by RNP-IP with myc-AUF1 p42**

To identify new AUF1 target mRNAs, we expressed myc-tagged AUF1 p42 that binds firmly to a specific ARE in the IL6 3’UTR and for which cytoplasmic RNA-AUF1 complexes can be readily enriched by RNP-IP with anti-myc tag antibody beads [4]. We expressed the myc-tagged AUF1 p42 in mouse NIH/3T3 cells using retroviral vectors with the mifepristone-inducible GeneSwitch system (Invitrogen) [4]. These vectors show no background expression prior to induction (Fig 2).
After addition of 1 nM mifepristone, myc-AUF1 was strongly induced (Fig 2) with levels up to 10-fold compared to endogenous AUF1 [49]. We then compared mRNAs in RNP-IPs from cell extracts with and without myc-AUF1 p42 by hybridization of fragmented cRNA on GeneChip Mouse 430 2.0 arrays. Independently of myc-AUF1 p42 induction and in addition to specifically enriched mRNAs, anti-c-myc agarose beads adsorbed non-selectively some background material with an mRNA composition very similar to total control mRNA. Rather than being a problem, this background was convenient to normalize systematic differences in the average intensity of hybridization due to sample concentration or other factors.

The microarray analysis was performed with two independent RNP-IPs of myc-AUF1 p42-expressing cells, two RNP-IPs from the same cells without AUF1 induction and once with total mRNA. We made a list of all mRNAs that showed a 3-fold enrichment with an adjusted p-value <0.5 on anti-c-myc beads after AUF1 p42 induction (2 samples) compared to control beads without AUF1 p42 induction and total mRNA (2 + 1 samples). We further removed from the list all redundant sequences, 2 outliers (>70-fold enriched) and all sequences with neither a predicted function nor a human homolog. The remaining list comprises a total of 508 mRNAs (Tables 1 and S7). This corresponds to 3.3% of expressed mRNA sequences in NIH/3T3 cells as judged by the 15100±1520 presence/absence calls of the array hybridization signals. 11 of them were loci just predicted on the basis of open reading frames in mouse and human. Our experiment was validated by the presence of IL6 mRNA, for which we have previously shown that it is enriched at least 4-fold by RNP-IP of myc-AUF1 p42 [4]. Its enrichment in the present microarray analysis was 5.8-fold, confirming that the 3'UTR of IL6 mRNA binds firmly to AUF1 42.

In order to confirm the enrichment revealed by the microarray data, a selection of 21 mRNAs of particular interest and with functions in signaling and transcription were submitted to quantitative RT-PCR in 2 independent RNP-IPs of cells expressing myc-AUF1 p42 or non-induced cells (Table 2). 18 of the selected mRNAs showed a strong enrichment, 1 was only 2-fold enriched and for 2, the amount in the control pellet without myc-AUF1 p42 was too low to be measured by RT-PCR (marked with "nd"). It confirms that most mRNAs of Tables 1 and S7 are interacting with AUF1 p42, and that microarray data are reliable in this respect.

**Co-immunoprecipitation with AUF1 p42 did not enrich for unstable mRNAs**

We next wanted to know whether RNP-IP of AUF1 p42 selects for unstable mRNAs. Extensive data for mRNA stability are not available for mouse NIH/3T3 cells, but exist for mouse ES cells.
| Gene Symbol | Entrez Gene ID | Gene Description | Refseq RNA Accession | n-Fold Enrichment | ARE Score | 3'AUUUA Count | 3'UTR Length | ARED Cluster | mRNA Half-life (h) |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Tnfsf11     | 21943         | tumor necrosis factor superfamily, member 11 (RANKL) | NM_011613           | 26.5              | 7.8       | 6            | 1138        | Cluster 5     | 1.5(a)          |
| Mbnl3       | 171170        | muscleblind-like 3 (Drosophila) | NM_134163           | 26.1              | 19.9      | 16           | 7513        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Dck         | 13178         | deoxycytidine kinase | NM_007832           | 21.9              | 7.5       | 6            | 2007        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Dus4l       | 71916         | dihydrouridine synthase 4-like (S. cerevisiae) | NM_028002           | 19.6              | 0         | 0            | 621         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Tmed2       | 56334         | transmembrane emp24 domain trafficking protein 2 | NM_019770           | 19.6              | 7.0       | 5            | 1352        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Sap18       | 20220         | Sin3-associated polypeptide 18 | NM_009199           | 18.1              | 6.7       | 4            | 2916        | Cluster 5     | stable          |
| Pcsk6       | 18553         | proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 6 | NM_011048           | 16.9              | 0         | 0            | 1358        | N/A           | stable          |
| Bicc1       | 83675         | Bicaudal C homolog 1 (Drosophila) | XM_00651434         | 16.8              | 12.6      | 9            | 2541        | N/A           | stable          |
| Cisd1       | 52637         | CDGSH iron sulfur domain 1 | NM_134007           | 16.7              | 1         | 1            | 543         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Cct4        | 12464         | chaperonin subunit 4 (delta) | NM_009837           | 15.7              | 1.3       | 1            | 185         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Car13       | 71934         | carbonic anhydrase 13 | NM_024945           | 15.6              | 4.1       | 2            | 1428        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Rmnd5a      | 68477         | required for meiotic nuclear division 5 hom. A (S. cerev.) | NM_024288           | 15.4              | 14.2      | 11           | 4485        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Maf         | 17132         | avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma (v-maf) homolog | NM_001025577        | 15.3              | 4.9       | 4            | 2452        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Tnfrsf21    | 94185         | tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 21 | NM_178589           | 13.6              | 2.6       | 2            | 1176        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Cnot7       | 18983         | CCR4-NOT transcription complex, subunit 7 | NM_011135           | 13.5              | 6.2       | 5            | 1449        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Man2a2      | 140481        | mannosidase 2, alpha 2 | NM_172903           | 12.9              | 1.3       | 1            | 2585        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Klf10       | 21847         | Kruppel-like factor 10 | NM_013692           | 12.9              | 4.9       | 4            | 1499        | no ARE        | 2.0(a)         |
| Limsl1      | 110829        | LIM and senescent cell antigen-like domains 1 | NM_026148           | 12.6              | 5.2       | 4            | 3123        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Slc16a1     | 20501         | solute carrier family 16, member 1 | NM_009196           | 11.9              | 13.4      | 11           | 2740        | Cluster 5     | stable          |
| Gatad1      | 67210         | GATA zinc finger domain containing 1 | NM_026033           | 11.4              | 5.2       | 4            | 1427        | Cluster 5     | stable          |
| Tmem62      | 96957         | transmembrane protein 62 | NM_175285           | 11.2              | 0         | 0            | 717         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Lpgat1      | 226856        | lysophosphatidylglycerol acyltransferase 1 | NM_172266           | 11.2              | 16.7      | 14           | 5726        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Dnajb4      | 67035         | DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 4 | NM_025926           | 11.1              | 5.2       | 4            | 1276        | Cluster 5     | stable          |
| Cmbl        | 69574         | carboxymethylenobutenolidase homolog (Pseudomonas) | NM_181588           | 11.1              | 0         | 0            | 284         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Mmd         | 67468         | monocyte to macrophage differentiation-associated | NM_026178           | 11.0              | 2.6       | 2            | 1680        | Cluster 5     | stable          |
| Atp6v1a     | 11964         | ATPase, H+ transporting, lysosomal V1 subunit A | NM_007508           | 11.0              | 7.8       | 6            | 2020        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Serpinb9    | 20706         | serine (or cysteine) peptidase inhibitor, clade B, memb. 9 | NM_009256           | 10.9              | 6.2       | 5            | 2107        | no ARE        | stable          |
| Rassf6      | 73246         | Ras association (RalGDS/AF-6) domain family 6 | NM_028478           | 10.6              | 1.3       | 1            | 768         | no ARE        | stable          |
| Tnfaip6     | 21930         | tumor necrosis factor alpha induced protein 6 | NM_009398           | 10.6              | 8.4       | 6            | 710         | no ARE        | 1.8(a)         |

(Continued)
and partially for human HepG2 cells [36] and human lymphocytes [35]. We therefore annotated our list of AUF1 p42-bound mRNAs (Tables 1 and S7) with the half-life of these other studies. Of 508 mRNAs, 493 were in the list for ES cells [37] and 141 in the work on HepG2 cells [36]. 23 had a reported half-life of ≤2 h in at least one study and 15 scored ≤2 h in one study but were reported more stable in another one. Additional 35 mRNAs had reported half-lives between 2 and 3 h. Provided mRNA half-lives are similar in different cell types, about 7.5% of the mRNAs in our AUF1 target list would be predicted unstable with a half-life ≤2 h, a value close to the random frequency of unstable mRNAs in HepG2 cells [36]. We tentatively concluded that myc-AUF1 p42 does not preferentially bind to unstable mRNAs. We then tested experimentally whether some of our enriched mRNAs coding for proteins in transcription and signal transduction were unstable. 21 mRNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR for their decay after actinomycin D treatment (Table 2; S1 Fig). The half-life of 8 mRNAs (38%) was ≤60 min and of 3 additional ones ≤2 h, with an average for the entire set of about 2 h. Although this set showed a statistically significant enrichment for unstable mRNAs, we believe, in view of the overall comparison with half-lives in ES cells [37], that the selected mRNAs showed a bias towards instability that was probably introduced by the educated choice of mRNAs coding for a specific category of proteins. Previous studies in HepG2 cells reported a frequency of 13.1% unstable mRNAs among transcription factors [36]. Nonetheless, there was a strong correlation between our half-life measurements in NIH/3T3 cells and the ones reported in ES cells (p<0.001; Table 2). 6 of our 9 short-lived mRNAs had a half-life ≤2 h in both studies (Tnfsf11 = RANKL, Klf10, Tnfaip6, Hes1, Smad6 and Bcl6) while 2 additional ones (IL6 and Fzd4) were not present in the ES cell study [37]. It supports the idea that mRNA instability is conserved in different cells types and that it is legitimate to annotate our list with data from ES-cells.

Presence of enriched mRNAs in ARED database and AREScore values

To be enriched by RNP-IP of AUF1 p42, mRNAs are expected to have binding sites for AUF1, which may consist of a specific sequence element. Alternatively, interactions might also occur at multiple sites or indirectly via other proteins. Since previous studies have shown that classical AREs can bind AUF1, we searched the ARED Organism database [32, 33]. Of the 508 enriched mouse mRNAs in our list, 467 were recognized by the program and 104 (22.3%) identified with an ARE cluster (S7 Table). In a control set of 800 non-enriched mRNAs, 130 (16.3%) were present in the ARED Organism database. We conclude that RNP-IP of myc-AUF1 p42 leads to an enrichment of about 1.4-fold for ARE-containing mRNAs. If we restrict our comparison to the 11 sequences with short half-lives of <120 min (Table 2), 4 were in the ARED database.

Table 1. (Continued)

| Gene Symbol | Entrez Gene ID | Gene Description | Refseq RNA Accession | n-Fold Enrichment | ARE Score | 3'AUUUA Count | 3'UTR Length | ARE Cluster | mRNA Half-life (h) |
|-------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Erbb3       | 13867         | v-erb-b2 erythrobl. leukemia viral oncogene hom. 3 (avian) | NM_010153           | 10.6              | 4.9       | 4             | 1830        | no ARE      | stable           |

The list comprises the 30 most enriched mRNAs. Complete data are reported in S7 Table. n-Fold enrichment refers to microarray data comparing RNP-IPs from cells with myc-AUF1 p42 to RNP-IPs from cells without. The ARE score, number of AUUUA elements in the 3' UTR, and 3' UTR length were determined by the program AREScore [38]. The ARED cluster refers to the presence in the ARED Organism database [32, 33]. Known mRNA half-lives in mouse ES cells (a) are given in numbers below 3 h or as ‘stable’ above 3 h [37]. N/A, not available. Microarray data are available at NCBI GEO with ID GSE64761.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206823.t001
AU-rich regions in 3’UTR can also be analyzed with the program AREScore that calculates scores based on the number of AUUUA elements and their environment [38]. We submitted the 508 enriched 3’UTR sequences and 800 3’UTR sequences of non-enriched mRNAs to this program. The results are reported in Tables 1 and S7 with the RefSeq numbers used for the analysis. We found an average score of 5.2 for the enriched sequences and 4.3 for the non-enriched controls. The average 3’UTR length was close to 1700 b in both sets. The score distribution was significantly different in the two sets. The control list comprised 34.4% of

Table 2. List of selected mRNAs enriched by RNP-IP of myc-AUF1 p42.

| Gene symbol | Gene full name                                                                 | Enriched on array | Enriched RT-PCR | mRNA half-life<sup>a</sup> | mRNA half-life<sup>c</sup> | EGFP-UTR half-life<sup>d</sup> | Number of 3’-AUUUA | ARED cluster |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Tnfsf11     | Tumor necrosis factor family member 11 (RANKL)                                  | 26.5              | 89              | 30                        | 90                        | 63.8±7.6 (5)           | 6               | 5           |
| Bicc1       | Bicaudal C homolog 1 (Drosophila)                                              | 16.8              | nd              | 315                       | 780                       | nd                     | 9               | N/A         |
| Maf         | v-maf AS42 oncogene homolog (avian)                                             | 15.3              | 5               | 90                        | 400                       | nd                     | 4               | no          |
| Tnfrsf21    | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 21                            | 13.6              | 14              | 132                       | 460                       | nd                     | 2               | no          |
| Cnot7       | CCR4-NOT transcription complex, subunit 7                                       | 13.5              | 11              | 198                       | 265                       | nd                     | 5               | no          |
| Klf10       | Kruppel-like factor 10                                                          | 12.9              | 43              | 45                        | 120                       | 77.0±11.2 (2)           | 4               | no          |
| Lims1       | LIM and senescent cell antigen-like domains 1                                   | 12.6              | 2               | 230                       | 400                       | nd                     | 4               | no          |
| Tnsfip6     | Tumor necrosis factor alpha induced protein 6                                   | 10.6              | 43              | 120                       | 105                       | nd                     | 6               | no          |
| ErbB3       | v-erb-b2 erythroleukemia oncogene homolog 3                                    | 10.6              | 49              | 125                       | 340                       | nd                     | 4               | no          |
| Ptp4a1      | Protein tyrosine phosphatase 4a1                                                | 9.0               | 22              | 130                       | 215                       | nd                     | 15              | 5           |
| Sin3a       | SIN3 homolog A, transcriptional regulator (yeast)                                | 9.0               | 4               | 90                        | 205                       | nd                     | 2               | no          |
| Ptpn2       | Protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 2                               | 8.8               | 6               | 131                       | 260                       | nd                     | 12              | no          |
| Smad5       | Mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 5 (Drosophila)                          | 8.7               | 5               | 128                       | 235                       | nd                     | 6               | no          |
| Bmpr1a      | Bone morphogenetic protein receptor, type 1A                                    | 6.3               | 15              | 134                       | 260                       | nd                     | 7               | no          |
| Il6         | Interleukin 6                                                                   | 5.8               | 14              | 27<sup>b</sup>           | nd                        | 25.1±5.2 (5)           | 5               | 5           |
| Hes1        | Hairy and enhancer of split 1 (Drosophila)                                      | 5.7               | 47              | 20                        | 70                        | 87.4±14.4 (6)           | 0               | no          |
| Fzd4        | Frizzled homolog 4                                                              | 5.4               | 16              | 52                        | nd                        | nd                     | 3               | no          |
| Cctn2       | Cyclin T2                                                                       | 5.0               | nd              | 52                        | 185                       | nd                     | 5               | no          |
| Smad6       | Mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 6 (Drosophila)                          | 4.4               | 14              | 20                        | 115                       | 111.1±20.5 (5)         | 2               | 5           |
| Cdc42       | Cell division cycle 42 homolog (S. cerevisiae)                                  | 4.3               | 7               | 418                       | 460                       | nd                     | 1               | no          |
| Bcl6        | B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6                                                      | 3.8               | 16              | 35                        | 80                        | 43.7±7.8 (5)           | 1               | no          |

nd, not determined; N/A, not available.
<sup>a</sup> Measured in mouse 3T3 cells (this study; results in S1 Fig).
<sup>b</sup> Measured in mouse 3T3 cells by Paschoud et al. [4].
<sup>c</sup> Measured in mouse ES cells by Sharova et al. [37].
<sup>d</sup> EGFP-human 3’UTR construct expressed in mouse NIH/3T3-BHETA cells and mRNA half-life tested (this study); in parenthesis, number of independant experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206823.t002
sequences with scores of 0 and 1, similar to total mouse mRNAs [38], while only 18.9% had such low scores in the list of AUF1 p42 enriched mRNAs (Fig 3). In addition the enriched list showed higher number of sequences with scores of 3 to 8 (48.2%) versus the control list (36.8%). Again, we conclude on a moderate selection towards AUUUA sequences by AUF1 p42.

We further analyzed by statistical methods whether enrichment on myc-AUF1 p42 correlates with a specific sequence motifs (Table 3). For this, the list of 508 enriched mRNAs was combined with a control list of 800 non-enriched mRNAs. We used the Spearman’s Rank correlation coefficient statistics to estimate the association of AUF1 p42 enrichment with various sequence-derived predictor variables: percentage of individual nucleotides, combined A+U and G+U content, the number of various oligonucleotides, sequence length, presence or absence of the mRNA in the ARED database, ARE motif score and best match score to the AUF1 binding signature published by Gorospe and coworkers [50]. In addition, we analyzed the 5 to 6 most highly enriched trimers, tetramers and pentamers identified by experimentally derived fold enrichment scores, as well as consensus sequences identified by PAR-CLIP analysis [21]. Whether this was done on the entire mRNA or the 3’UTR, the results were similar (Table 3). The most significant positive and negative correlations were obtained for % U- and % C-content, respectively. The combined % A+U as well as % G+U contents correlated...
positively. Oligonucleotides with highest correlation coefficients were U-rich sequences flanked by A or G, and the sequences GUUA and UUAA were most prominent among tetramers and pentamers. Of the consensus sequences identified by PAR-CLIP [21] the number of AGUUU sequences correlated also positively with AUF1 p42 enrichment in our 3'UTRs, but the GUUUG or UUAGA sequences did less. Similarly there was a positive correlation for the number of AUUUA or AUUUA sequences and the ARE score. The correlation with the presence in the ARED Database was weak and no correlation was found with the RNA sequence length or Gorospe’s signature [50].

| Correlation of enrichment for | Only 3'UTR stat. value | p-value | Full mRNA stat. value | p-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| % of A nucleotides            | 0.102                  | 2.37E-04| 0.118                 | 1.86E-05|
| % of C nucleotides            | -0.249                 | <2.20E-16| -0.279               | <2.20E-16|
| % of G nucleotides            | -0.129                 | 3.06E-06| -0.157                | 1.23E-08|
| % of U nucleotides            | 0.278                  | <2.20E-16| 0.287                | <2.20E-16|
| % of A + U nucleotides        | 0.231                  | <2.20E-16| 0.246                | <2.20E-16|
| % of G + U nucleotides        | 0.231                  | 1.51E-16| 0.246                | <2.20E-16|
| number of UUA sequences       | 0.161                  | 4.76E-09| 0.108                | 9.26E-05|
| number of UUU sequences       | 0.140                  | 4.03E-07| 0.069                | 1.16E-02|
| number of UAA sequences       | 0.162                  | 3.62E-09| 0.113                | 4.22E-05|
| number of GUU sequences       | 0.139                  | 4.32E-07| 0.038                | 1.73E-01|
| number of UUG sequences       | 0.129                  | 2.74E-06| 0.019                | 4.93E-01|
| number of GUUA sequences      | 0.168                  | 1.07E-09| 0.109                | 7.57E-05|
| number of UUUA sequences      | 0.194                  | 1.68E-12| 0.159                | 7.38E-09|
| number of UUUU sequences      | 0.162                  | 4.39E-09| 0.117                | 2.25E-05|
| number of UUUA sequences      | 0.162                  | 3.78E-09| 0.127                | 3.95E-06|
| number of GUUU sequences      | 0.142                  | 2.61E-07| 0.073                | 8.15E-03|
| number of CGUUA sequences     | 0.088                  | 1.43E-03| 0.033                | 2.26E-01|
| number of GUUAA sequences     | 0.170                  | 5.71E-10| 0.141                | 3.07E-07|
| number of GUUAC sequences     | 0.119                  | 1.51E-05| 0.028                | 3.17E-01|
| number of CGAUA sequences     | 0.041                  | 1.41E-01| 0.017                | 5.42E-01|
| number of UUAAU sequences     | 0.182                  | 3.46E-11| 0.142                | 2.78E-07|
| number of UUGUU sequences     | 0.148                  | 8.35E-08| 0.119                | 1.54E-05|
| number of AGUUU sequences a   | 0.135                  | 9.64E-07| 0.074                | 7.33E-03|
| number of GUUUG sequences a   | 0.108                  | 9.27E-05| 0.015                | 5.91E-01|
| number of UUAGA sequences a   | 0.094                  | 6.73E-04| 0.076                | 6.21E-03|
| number of AUUUA sequences     | 0.136                  | 7.75E-07| 0.113                | 4.58E-05|
| number of AUUUA sequences     | 0.145                  | 1.52E-07| 0.158                | 1.01E-08|
| sequence length               | 0.036                  | 1.54E-01| -0.101               | 2.54E-04|
| Gorospe signature b           | 0.0054                 | 8.86E-01| 0.037                | 3.08E-01|
| ARE Scores c                  | 0.141                  | 3.08E-07| nd                   | nd|
| ARED clusters d               | 0.062                  | 2.98E-02| nd                   | nd|

Calculations are based on 508 enriched mRNAs and 800 non-enriched mRNAs. nd, not determined.

a Refers to consensus motifs identified by PAR-CLIP analysis [21].

b Refers to a previously published AUF1 binding motif [50].

c Based on AREScore [38] (see also Fig 3).

d Refers to presence in the ARED Organism database [32, 33].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206823.t003
GO-term analysis and comparison to other studies

To see whether enriched mRNAs code for specific protein classes, we analyzed our list at the DAVID Bioinformatics Resources [51]. There was no striking enrichment for any specific terms, but the highest scores of annotation clusters were obtained for “protein localization” and “regulation of cell death”. Whether this has any specific meaning remains unclear.

In addition we checked whether the human homologues of our enriched list overlap with published lists from two similar microarray studies [11, 20]. To our surprise we found no significant number of shared sequences between any of the three studies. Moreover, a direct comparison with the PAR-CLIP analysis of Yoon et al. [21] is difficult due to major technical differences. A limited number of 84 sequences of our list was found among 1365 targets with +strand hits in 3'UTRs of the PAR-CLIP study. But as we do not know how good the genome-wide coverage of PAR-CLIP was, we cannot decide whether the overlap is significant.

Identification of mRNA instability elements

In order to identify mRNA instability elements, we constructed two retroviral pBabe-derived vectors, pZPCTHI and pBHTTA, that support the analysis of mRNA decay after Tet-off transcriptional inactivation (Fig 4). Various 3'UTRs of the most unstable mRNAs in Table 2 including those of human RANKL, KLF10, IL6, HES1, SMAD6 and BCL6 were fused to the stable EGFP reporter coding region of pZPCTHI and tested in NIH/3T3-pBHTTA cells. mRNA decay was measured after doxycycline addition inactivating the constitutive tetracycline-sensitive trans-activator. pZPCTHI without an insert showed an EGFP mRNA half-life of 400 min, whereas each of the inserted 3'UTRs reduced this half-life considerably. For IL6 [4] and BCL6 the EGFP-UTR constructs were as unstable as the endogenous mRNAs, whereas for RANKL and KLF10 they were somewhat more stable. For HES1 and SMAD6, the 3'UTR appeared to account only partially for the instability. In the case of HES1 we proceeded to test an additional construct including the HES1 coding region and 3'UTR in frame behind EGFP.
**Fig 5. Identification of instability elements in RANKL mRNA.** The 3'UTR of the mouse RANKL sequence 1106–2235 (NM_011613.3) with its own natural polyadenylation signal was amplified and inserted into pZPCTHI and transfected stably together with pBHTTA into NIH/3T3 cells. The EGFP-RANKL mRNA half-life was determined by inhibition of the transcriptional transactivator, measuring the mRNA level at 8, 68 and 128 min after doxycycline.

| Long deletions  | Half-life (min) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| RANKL.1         | 58.3 ± 16.2 (5) |
| RANKL.2         | 46.4 ± 7.5 (4)  |
| RANKL.3         | 91.4 ± 32.2 (6) |
| RANKL.4         | 335 ± 121 (3)   |
| RANKL.5         | 234 ± 58 (2)    |
| RANKL.6         | 375 ± 149 (3)   |
| RANKL.7         | 69.6 ± 14.5 (3) |
| RANKL.8         | 45.8 ± 9.4 (3)  |
| RANKL.9         | 44.8 ± 8.1 (3)  |
| RANKL.10        | 166.7 ± 40.7 (4) |
| RANKL.11        | 30.0 ± 1.9 (6)  |
| RANKL.12        | 28.9 ± 2.4 (3)  |
| RANKL.13        | 45.0 ± 7.2 (3)  |
| RANKL.14        | 54.3 ± 12.0 (3) |
|                |                 |
| Short deletions | Half-life (min) |
| RANKL.15        | 59.2 ± 11.1 (3) |
| RANKL.16        | 171.2 ± 31.2 (3) |
| RANKL.17        | 159.4 ± 57.1 (3) |
| RANKL.18        | 35.0 ± 5.0 (3)  |
| RANKL.19        | 36.0 ± 10.8 (3) |
| RANKL.20        | 37.2 ± 2.8 (3)  |
| RANKL.21        | 50.0 ± 9.5 (3)  |
| RANKL.22        | 31.2 ± 7.8 (3)  |
|                |                 |
| 15-base scanning mutations | Half-life (min) |
| RANKL.23        | 61.0 ± 20.4 (3) |
| RANKL.24        | 91.2 ± 13.3 (5) |
| RANKL.25        | 86.6 ± 19.5 (3) |
| RANKL.26        | 45.9 ± 2.2 (3)  |
| RANKL.27        | 54.6 ± 8.6 (3)  |
| RANKL.28        | 106.8 ± 11.8 (3) |
| RANKL.29        | 41.1 ± 9.5 (3)  |
| RANKL.30        | 55.0 ± 8.1 (3)  |
in the vector pZPCTHI_no_stop. This mRNA showed a half-life of 50.0 ± 12.2 min (3 experiments) and was clearly more unstable than with the 3'UTR alone (Table 2), indicating that HS1 mRNA has instability elements in the coding region.

Based on the premise that relevant instability elements should be conserved in evolution, we tested the EGFP-3'UTR with human UTRs on purpose. For reasons of verification and other projects at our Institute, we proceeded to verify the instability of RANKL mRNA with the mouse 3'UTR. The half-life was 58.3 ± 16.2 min (5 experiments) and thus very close to the one with the human 3'UTR (Table 2), suggesting an evolutionary conservation of mRNA decay determinants.

To identify the precise elements that confer instability, systematic deletions were made in the mouse RANKL and human BCL6 3'UTRs in the ZPCTHI vector (Fig 4) and tested whether they affect the short half-life. We initially tested large deletions of 200–250 bases, then small deletions of 50–70 bases within a reduced region and finally made linker scanning mutants of 15 bases. Each construct was stably transfected into mouse NIH/3T3 cells and the half-life measured using the Tet-off system. For the mouse RANKL 3'UTR we identified two regions that affect the half-life in deletions pRANKL.16 and pRANKL.17 (Fig 5). Particularly, the sequences 1584–1613 (mutants pRANKL.23 and pRANKL.24) and 1644–1658 (mutant pRANKL.28) of mouse RANKL mRNA (NCBI reference sequence NM_011613.3) showed a significantly increased half-life when mutated. Both sequences were AU-rich, although not conforming to classical AREs. The first region showed a sequence conservation of 90% among all mammalian species analyzed (S2 Fig) and was present with 80% conservation in chicken. The second instability region was conserved to 93% among mammals analyzed (S2 Fig) and present in the chicken with 87% conservation. The two elements in RANKL mRNA are 30 bases apart. RNA-folding predictions showed no structural elements in this region. However, sequence alignment of the first instability element TTTTTATATAATGTCTAAAGTTATATTT CAGG with other unstable AUF1 targets revealed a statistically significant alignment with the SMAD6 3'UTR sequence TTTATATATTATAGAAATATTATATT (position 2647–2673 of NM_008542.3) with 20 out of 27 nucleotides at the same position.

For the human BCL6 3'UTR we also indentified two regions that affect the half-life contained within deletions pBCL6.16 to pBCL6.18 (Fig 6). The sequences 2946–2990 (mutants pBCL6.21 to pBCL6.23) and 3051–3080 (mutants pBCL6.28 and pBCL6.29) of human BCL6 mRNA (NCBI reference sequence NM_001706.4) showed a significantly increased half-life when mutated. The first sequence was highly AU-rich, at least in the second half, lacking again the precise signature of an ARE as defined in the ARED database. It was conserved to 95% among mammals analyzed (S2 Fig) and also present in chicken with some additionally inserted nucleotides. However, the second sequence had no AU-rich features at all. It was to 100% conserved among mammals analyzed (S2 Fig) and 70% in chicken. Analysis of the human sequence CUCGAUUUUGAUCUGCAGGCAGACGCUGAG with the RNA-fold program predicted its folding into a hairpin structure that is conserved in the chicken. The two elements in BCL6 mRNA were separated by 60 bases.

**Analysis of in vivo RNA-protein interactions**

We further analyzed whether AUF1 p37, HuR and KSRP, bind to the 3'UTRs of RANKL and BCL6 mRNA. EGFP-3'UTR constructs were transiently expressed from pZPCTHI together...
Fig 6. Identification of instability elements in BCL6. The 3'UTR of the human BCL6 sequence 2484–3529 (NM_001706.4) was amplified and inserted into pZPCTHI and stably transfected together with pBHTTA into NIH/

| Long deletions | Half-life (min) |
|----------------|-----------------|
| BCL6.1         | 43.7 ± 7.8 (5)  |
| BCL6.2         | 341 ± 115 (3)   |
| BCL6.3         | 49.8 ± 14.7 (3) |
| BCL6.4         | 135.3 ± 35.5 (3)|
| BCL6.5         | 186.2 ± 82.2 (3)|
| BCL6.6         | 59.6 ± 13.5 (5) |
| BCL6.7         | 28.0 ± 3.4 (3)  |
| BCL6.8         | 84.5 ± 9.0 (3)  |
| BCL6.9         | 36.4 ± 4.6 (3)  |
| BCL6.10        | 36.6 ± 8.6 (3)  |
| BCL6.11        | 127.0 ± 27.8 (3)|
| BCL6.12        | 149.1 ± 23.5 (3)|

| Short deletions | Half-life (min) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| BCL6.13         | 31.6 ± 6.9 (3)  |
| BCL6.14         | 34.5 ± 4.9 (3)  |
| BCL6.15         | 29.7 ± 6.9 (3)  |
| BCL6.16         | 67.9 ± 11.6 (3) |
| BCL6.17         | 46.0 ± 5.5 (5)  |
| BCL6.18         | 47.3 ± 11.3 (3) |
| BCL6.19         | 31.0 ± 3.1 (3)  |
| BCL6.20         | 30.9 ± 6.6 (3)  |

| 15-base scanning mutations | Half-life (min) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| BCL6.21                     | 55.5 ± 5.8 (3)  |
| BCL6.22                     | 55.6 ± 8.2 (3)  |
| BCL6.23                     | 45.4 ± 5.7 (5)  |
| BCL6.24                     | 38.1 ± 5.0 (3)  |
| BCL6.25                     | 34.6 ± 7.7 (6)  |
| BCL6.26                     | 34.6 ± 5.0 (3)  |
| BCL6.27                     | 40.1 ± 4.6 (3)  |
| BCL6.28                     | 55.4 ± 6.3 (6)  |
| BCL6.29                     | 78.4 ± 19.3 (3) |
| BCL6.30                     | 43.0 ± 9.7 (5)  |
| BCL6.31                     | 40.3 ± 6.8 (3)  |
| BCL6.32                     | 35.1 ± 7.1 (3)  |
with appropriate protein expression vectors for myc-tagged AUF1 p37 [4], myc-tagged HuR or His-tagged KSRP. Experiments were carried out in human HEK293T cells that are more reliable than 3T3 cells in transient transfections. These cells only served as recipients for measuring the interaction of RNA constructs with tagged proteins in an intracellular environment. The tagged proteins were then isolated on magnetic beads by RNP-IP with antibodies directed against their tag as explained in Fig 1. Endogenous GAPDH mRNA that did not specifically bind to tested proteins was used as a control for normalization. Results were expressed as n-fold enrichment of EGFP mRNA on beads compared to GAPDH mRNA. Depending on the presence or absence of protein-binding sites in 3'UTR fragments, EGFP mRNA was better or less well co-precipitated. Presence of the total RANKL 3'UTR showed a strong enrichment with all three proteins (Fig 7). AUF1 p37 was most significant binding to 3'UTR fragments RANKL.17i and RANKL.20i, the first of which overlapped with sequences relevant for mRNA decay (indicated as black lines in the graphs below). In contrast, HuR interacted most strongly with the end of the 3'UTR, significantly with a region near RANKL.20i, and more weakly with a region common to RANKL.15-16i and RANKL.16-17i. This same region was also weakly bound by KSRP. In summary, HuR and KSRP may bind near the first instability element, while AUF1 p37 showed clear binding at the sequence 1628–1685 overlapping with the second instability element of mouse RANKL mRNA. This region is to 90% conserved in mammals analyzed (S2 Fig), and in its first 36 bases to 86% in the chicken. It comprises an AUUUA sequence in an AU-rich environment. The second clear binding site of AUF1 p37 at RANKL.20i lies outside the instability elements. It is U-rich and appears to coincide with a binding site for HuR. It is only to 57% conserved among the mammalian mRNAs aligned in S2 Fig.

An identical survey was made for the human BCL6 3'UTR (Fig 8). Here again mainly AUF1 p37 showed a specific peak of RNP-IP with UTR transcripts of pBCL6.15i and pBCL6.16i at the sequence 2887–3011 that overlap with the first sequence of the instability region as determined in Fig 6. None of the proteins was convincingly bound to the second instability sequence. HuR and KSRP showed peaks of binding outside these sequences, mainly towards the end of the 3'UTR. The binding region of AUF1 p37 is conserved to 89% among 8 mammalian species analyzed (S2 Fig) and largely present in the chicken. In summary, both in RANKL and BCL6 mRNA, one of the two mRNA instability sequences (S2 Fig) overlapped with a strong AUF1 p37 binding site (Figs 7 and 8).

Further analysis of instability elements and AUF1 p37-binding regions

To test whether the instability elements and AUF-binding regions of RANKL and BCL6 3'UTRs studied here and of IL6 3'UTR studied previously [4] are representative for similar elements in other unstable mRNAs, we carried out optimal sequence alignments. Test sequences corresponding to instability elements and AUF1 binding sites (S8 Table) were aligned with the UTRs of all unstable mRNAs with a half-life of ≤2h present in our AUF1 p42-bound mRNA list. We added to this analysis some well-established 3'UTRs with typical AREs, some 3'UTRs that were previously studied as AUF1-targets and some 3'UTRs with the potential of being
### Identification of AUF1 p42 target mRNAs

| Long inserts | GFP | RANKL 3'UTR | AUF1 IP | HuR IP | KSRP IP |
|--------------|-----|-------------|---------|--------|---------|
| ZPCTHI       |     |             | 2.3 ± 0.3 (4) | 3.9 ± 2.1 (2) | 3.2 ± 1.0 (5) |
| RANKL.1      |     |             | 28.0 ± 2.1 (3) | nd       | 18.8 ± 2.2 (2) |
| RANKL.1-6    |     |             | 4.5 ± 0.3 (3)  | 4.5 ± 1.2 (2) | 5.8 ± 1.0 (3) |
| RANKL.12     |     |             | nd         | nd       | 16.2 ± 0.4 (2) |
| RANKL.5      |     |             | nd         | nd       | 8.5 ± 0.3 (3) |
| RANKL.15-16i |     |             | 2.8 ± 0.3 (3) | nd       | 6.1 ± 0.1 (2) |
| RANKL.16-17i |     |             | 7.9 ± 0.2 (4) | nd       | 6.2 ± 0.9 (3) |
| RANKL.17-18i |     |             | 3.4 ± 0.5 (3) | nd       | 5.2 ± 0.4 (3) |
| RANKL.18-19i |     |             | 3.6 ± 0.4 (3) | nd       | 4.2 ± 0.3 (2) |
| RANKL.19-20i |     |             | 7.5 ± 0.5 (4) | nd       | 3.1 ± 0.4 (2) |
| RANKL.20-21i |     |             | 7.1 ± 0.2 (4) | nd       | 4.7 ± 0.5 (2) |
| RANKL.21-22i |     |             | 4.0 ± 0.6 (4) | nd       | 3.6 ± 0.1 (2) |
| RANKL.5A     |     |             | 3.0 ± 0.3 (3) | nd       | 3.7 ± 0.8 (2) |
| RANKL.5B     |     |             | 5.3 ± 0.6 (3) | nd       | 3.6 ± 2.0 (2) |
| RANKL.6/15-16i|   |             | nd         | 14.5 ± 2.2 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/16-17i|   |             | nd         | 14.4 ± 2.2 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/17-18i|   |             | nd         | 4.3 ± 2.2 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/18-19i|   |             | nd         | 5.8 ± 0.3 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/19-20i|   |             | nd         | 17.0 ± 1.8 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/20-21i|   |             | nd         | 18.3 ± 0.4 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/21-22i|   |             | nd         | 4.1 ± 1.3 (2) | nd |
| RANKL.6/5A    |     |             | nd         | 35.7 ± 7.9 (3) | nd |
| RANKL.6/5B    |     |             | nd         | 41.6 ± 8.2 (3) | nd |

| Short inserts | AUF1 | HuR | KSRP |
|---------------|------|-----|------|
| RANKL.15i     | 2.0 ± 0.1 (3) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.16i     | 2.7 ± 0.3 (4) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.17i     | 5.7 ± 0.6 (4) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.18i     | 3.3 ± 0.5 (4) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.19i     | 2.2 ± 0.2 (2) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.20i     | 6.7 ± 0.5 (3) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.21i     | 4.4 ± 0.6 (3) | nd | nd |
| RANKL.22i     | 2.4 ± 0.2 (3) | nd | nd |
degraded by Zc3h12a endonuclease (S9 Table). A potential stem-loop that confers instability in IL6 mRNA [4] was postulated to be the target of Zc3h12a endonuclease (also known as monocyte chemo-attractant protein-induced protein 1 (MCPIP1) or Regnase-1)[53, 54]. The same RNase was implicated in the degradation of IL-12βmRNA [53], IL-1βmRNA [55], IL-2mRNA [56], and possibly other upregulated mRNAs including c-Rel, Ox40, TnfR2, and Icos, in Regnase-1 knock-out mice [57].

Sequence alignments with statistic significance are reported in S10 Table. Most strikingly, each tested sequence showed similarities with just a few 3'UTRs. RANKL instability element 1 aligned best with AU-rich sequences in Smad6, Gadd45a [11], and classical AREs of Csf2 3'UTR [26]. RANKL instability element 2 showed highest similarity with AU-rich 3'UTR sequences of Gadd45a, Hivep2 and Myc mRNA. We tentatively conclude that these instability elements have characteristics shared with AREs although they contain no classical AUUUA sequences. BCL6 instability element 1 showed good alignments with sequences of Suv420h1, Bmp4, Tnfaip1, and Cdkn2a, but these sequences did not show any good alignments between each other. The IL6 element 2, which itself has features of AREs, aligned readily with a large number of 3'UTRs usually at ARE-like regions some of which are known as instability regions, for example in mRNA of Ptg2, Csf2, Tnf, or Fos. In contrast, the two instability elements with non-AU-rich sequences but potential stem-loop structures, the BCL6 element 2 and IL6 element 1 [4], generated only few significant alignments for which we found no evidence of conservation of potential hairpin structures. Overall, besides RANKL and BCL6 mRNA, only 16 of the 30 unstable AUF1 p42-binding mRNAs of our study aligned with one of the test sequences. In conclusion, besides the AU-richness, we found no evidence for a specific shared sequence element among the unstable or AUF1-bound 3'UTRs.

Discussion

We provide here information on target mRNAs of AUF1 p42 in mouse NIH/3T3 cells using RNP-IP followed by microarray analysis of cDNA derived of bound mRNA. Two previous microarray studies have taken a similar approach, but have generated a different set of data each [11, 20]. The poor overlap of results may have its cause in technical differences. Unlike in our study where we over-expressed myc-tagged AUF1 p42, did not UV-crosslink RNPs, and used antibodies against the myc-tag, Lal et al. (2004) isolated AUF1 target mRNAs from UV-crosslinked cytoplasmic RNPs of human HeLa cells with polyclonal anti-AUF1 antibodies [11]. We also noticed overall much lower Z-scores in the study of Lal et al. than those calculated for our enriched mRNAs suggesting a less effective selection. Wu et al. (2013) have analyzed enriched RNAs by incubating an in vitro transcribed human cDNA library with recombinant His-AUF1 p37 and isolating RNPs with anti-His-tag antibodies [20]. The in vitro assembly may have generated different interactions than those observed for RNPs in vivo. The more recent approach of PAR-CLIP [21] is impressive and certainly more direct towards the goal of identifying AUF1 binding sites than our present approach. However, as PAR-CLIP identifies target mRNAs on the basis of the frequency of short sequence runs at
### Identification of AUF1 p42 target mRNAs

| Long inserts | AUF1 IP | HuR IP | KSRP IP |
|--------------|---------|--------|---------|
| ZPCTHI       | 2.2 ± 0.5 (7) | 5.3 ± 2.5 (2) | 4.0 ± 0.5 (3) |
| BCL6.1       | 8.9 ± 1.9 (3) | 29.0 ± 2.1 (2) | 17.4 ± 4.1 (2) |
| BCL6.2       | 2.2 ± 0.3 (2) | 6.4 ± 3.4 (2) | 4.1 ± 0.8 (2) |
| BCL6.3       | nd       | nd     | 9.5 ± 0.6 (3) |
| BCL6.4       | nd       | nd     | 8.0 ± 0.1 (3) |
| BCL6.5       | nd       | nd     | 9.6 ± 0.9 (3) |
| BCL6.2/13-14i| 2.8 ± 0.3 (3) | 6.4 ± 0.9 (2) | 6.3 ± 0.7 (2) |
| BCL6.2/14-15i| 9.1 ± 0.9 (3) | 13.5 ± 4.4 (2) | 7.6 ± 0.5 (2) |
| BCL6.2/15-16i| 9.9 ± 0.4 (3) | 9.3 ± 0.7 (2) | 4.2 ± 0.7 (2) |
| BCL6.2/16-17i| 8.6 ± 1.9 (3) | 7.8 ± 2.1 (2) | 5.2 ± 0.1 (2) |
| BCL6.2/17-18i| 9.4 ± 1.9 (4) | 4.8 ± 1.7 (2) | 4.5 ± 0.7 (2) |
| BCL6.2/18-19i| 4.8 ± 0.3 (3) | 13.0 ± 2.4 (2) | 5.2 ± 0.1 (2) |
| BCL6.2/19-20i| 4.8 ± 0.2 (3) | 9.2 ± 2.2 (2) | 8.7 ± 0.1 (2) |
| Short inserts |        |        |     |
| BCL6.14i     | 2.5 ± 0.3 (4) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.15i     | 4.4 ± 0.9 (4) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.16i     | 4.4 ± 0.1 (4) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.17i     | 2.7 ± 0.5 (4) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.18i     | 1.7 ± 0.2 (2) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.19i     | 2.5 ± 0.1 (2) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.20i     | 2.3 ± 0.1 (2) | nd | nd |
| BCL6.5A      | 2.4 ± 0.1 (2) | 27.7 ± 0.4 (2) | nd |
| BCL6.5B      | 2.4 ± 0.2 (2) | 3.7 ± 0.8 (2) | nd |
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cross-linked binding sites, the coverage might be a limitation in identifying mRNAs with low expression levels. This might explain why RANKL, BCL6 or HES1 do not appear in the PAR-CLIP data set [21]. Alternatively, specific gene expression in different cell lines may also cause discrepancies.

Our study reveals that AUF1 p42 binds to many different mRNAs with a preference for U-rich sequences flanked by A or G residues (Table 3). The motives with the highest significant enrichment are compatible both with studies that concluded on AUF1 binding to AREs [2, 4] and with the PAR-CLIP study [21] that identified GU-rich consensus sequences for AUF1 target sites. In spite of a moderate enrichment for classical AUUUA-motives by anti-AUF1 RNP-IP (see AREScore analysis [38] in Fig 3), we conclude that AUF1 p42 has a broader binding specificity. In accordance only a limited number of enriched mRNAs were represented in the ARED Organism database [32, 33]. AUUUA was clearly less enriched than in a similar microarray study for TTP-bound targets [38, 58] and thus the classical ARE may have a higher affinity for TTP. In spite of progress in defining new mRNA targets, the precise specificity range of most RNA-binding proteins remains largely unsolved. Pentameric motives as those identified in Table 3 are rather redundant and certainly insufficient to predict AUF1-binding sites. It is therefore essential to define binding sites experimentally as done here.

We cannot exclude that there are a certain number of false positive mRNAs in our list, mainly because we overexpressed the myc-tagged AUF1 p42. This may provoke complexes with lower affinity targets, and at the same time saturate real target sites that may become more easily detectable. The potential distortion resulting from this is difficult to estimate. We do not know, how low-affinity complexes in absence of cross-linking survive washing steps after co-immunoprecipitation. As it is technically convenient to use tagged proteins expressed from inducible vectors both for the specificity of antibodies and for being able to estimate the background of non-specific co-precipitation, others have similarly adopted overexpression of tagged AUF1 isoforms in their approach [21].

An important goal of this study was to find out whether RNP-IP of myc-AUF1 p42 enriched for unstable mRNAs. In absence of genome-wide information on mRNA stability in NIH/3T3 cells, we annotated our AUF1 p42 target list with half-life data from ES cells [37]. We consider this justified because RNA instability is often a conserved feature among mammalian species and tissues. In addition we found a strong correlation for ES-cell mRNA half-lives and half-lives of 20 mRNAs tested in our study (p < 0.001) (Table 2). Following the assumption that the ES cell data are representative, only about 7.5% of AUF1-bound mRNAs are unstable. Thus, it seems unlikely that binding of AUF1 per se can be sufficient to induce mRNA instability. This is not surprising, as AUF1 interacts with RNA through RRM motifs but lacks enzymatic activity. Unstable AUF1-bound mRNAs probably comprise additional sequences or structures to explain their instability.

Taking advantage of newly designed tet-off vectors we analyzed three particularly unstable mRNAs for their instability elements. We conclude that Hes1 mRNA comprises an instability element in the coding region while instability regions of RANKL and BCL6 mRNA are in their 3’UTRs. We show newly that RANKL and BCL6 mRNA have each two instability regions...
separated by 30 to 60 bases, one of which is AU-rich and overlaps with an AUF1 p37 binding site. The existence of two or more instability regions in a single mRNA has not been widely high-lighted so far, but might be frequent in mRNA decay. We have previously identified a similar pattern in the human IL6 3'UTR, where a destabilizing AUF1-binding site was within about 50 bases of a second destabilizing element with a putative stem-loop structure [4].

The easiest way to explain our instability data of RANKL and BCL6 UTRs, is to postulate that two independent elements contribute each partially to mRNA instability. This idea is entirely compatible with our deletion analysis where missing one of the two elements makes mRNA half-way more stable (Figs 5 and 6). Based on the instability sequences observed in RANKL and BCL6 mRNA (S2 Fig), or in IL6 mRNA previously [4], we conclude that they can be distinct in nature: either a non-AU-rich sequence with the potential of forming a RNA stem-loop, or short U-rich sequences flanked by A or G nucleotides without necessarily con-forming to a classical AUUUA motif. Each of these might independently favor a degradation pathway.

The unstable mRNAs studied here were previously only partially analyzed for instability elements. HES1 is a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, which regulates Notch signaling proteins that are central in development and cancer. HES1 acts as a repressor with oscillations of 2 h periodicity. These oscillations result from negative autoregulation of HES1 mRNA transcription and rapid HES1 degradation by the proteasome implicating that both the mRNA and protein are highly unstable with half-lives below 25 min [59]. HES1 oscillation is thought to be essential for maintaining neural progenitors in embryonic brain [60]. HES1 mRNA is itself negatively regulated by miR-9 that binds to the 3'UTR [61, 62]. Overexpression of miR-9 reduces HES1 mRNA half-life to 20 min while miR-9 knockdown increases it to about 40 min and dampens HES1 oscillations [61, 62]. Here we confirm the HES1 mRNA instability, but show newly that the 3'UTR does only partially account for it, and that additional coding region determinants are important. Thus, HES1 mRNA appears to belong to a group of mRNAs, the stability of which is also controlled during translation, like c-Fos and c-Myc mRNA [63, 64]. The precise elements in the coding region remain to be defined.

RANKL is a cell surface protein with important functions in bone formation [65] and mammary gland development [66]. RANKL mRNA was previously found to be unstable in ES cells [37] and more recently in the mammary gland [66] where its stability is influenced by proges-terone through an unknown mechanism. Our identification of two AU-rich instability ele-ments may help us to investigate this further.

The proto-oncogene BCL6 is a repressing transcription factor [67]. Mainly due to chromosomal translocations it is often misregulated in B cell lymphomas [68]. The instability of BCL6 mRNA was noticed previously [36, 37] but not further investigated. Our results now indicate two 3'UTR instability elements composed of an AU-rich region and a highly conserved adja-cent non-AU-rich element with the potential of forming a hairpin.

The pathways which lead to the rapid degradation of RANKL and BCL6 mRNA need to be further studied. For RANKL mRNA one may speculate that even in absence of a classical AUUUA sequence at the two instability elements, these sequences are highly AU-rich and may con-form to degradation pathways of classical ARE-containing mRNAs that are thought to be initiated by poly-A tail shortening followed by a 3' to 5' exonuclease attack [69]. In BCL6 mRNA one of the two elements may trigger the same pathway. In addition, BCL6 mRNA, as IL6 mRNA [4] has a second non-AU-rich element with the potential to form RNA hairpin, a feature also postulated for instability elements of IL-2 [56] and c-Rel mRNA [57]. Such elements have been postulated to be targets of Zc3h12a (MCPIP1, Regnase-1) [53, 54], which may exert effects on RNA stability either as a riboendonuclease or by translational silencing [70]. Whether the BCL6 hairpin element is a target of this protein remains to be investigated.
Alignments of the BCL6 hairpin element with the unstable mRNAs of this study or with other postulated mRNA targets of Zc3h12a, such as IL-12β mRNA [53], IL-1β mRNA [55], IL-2 mRNA [56], and c-Rel [57] showed no clear sequence homologies (S10 Table). Thus, we cannot find a conserved element as for example the hairpin of iron-responsive elements [71] that might serve as a shared recognition element in mRNA decay.

We notice that in each of our carefully dissected 3’UTRs at least one AU-rich instability element overlaps with a preferential binding site for AUF1 p37. Possibly, these AU-rich sequences may interact as well with other AU-binding proteins. While we have not found any preferred binding for HuR or KSRP at these sites, we were missing a functional tagged TTP for our assays. We also found little evidence for an overlap of specificity comparing our list with microarray data obtained by others for KSRP [45], HuR [11], and TTP [58], suggesting that targets are indeed separate. As shown by others, binding sites for AUF1 and HuR, dependent on the target, can either be distinct or concurrent [11, 21].

We have no clues why AU-rich sequences near or at the AUF1 p42 binding sites of RANKL, BCL6 and IL6 mRNA contribute to instability and in what respect they differ from other, non-destabilizing AUF1 binding sites. Preliminary experiments with AUF1 siRNA suggest that AUF1 contributes to BCL6 mRNA instability, similar to our previous findings on IL6 mRNA [4]. A possible direct role of AUF1 in mRNA destabilization seems plausible as previously shown after AUF1 knock-down [20] or AUF1 deletion in mice [13]. AUF1 was reported to interact directly with exosomal components [72, 73] or to cooperate with Argonaute 2 in the degradation of a subset of mRNAs [20]. We have noticed three potential miR-410 seed sequences (TTATAT) in the RANKL instability elements and similarly two potential seed sequences (GGCAGA) of miR-346 in the BCL6 instability elements. Others have implicated miR-142-3p in the regulation of IL6 mRNA [74] with interaction sites at or near the putative stem-loop instability element [4]. Such predictions require further experimental testing in the case of RANKL and BCL6 mRNA. Alternatively, AUF1 was shown to act as a regulator of translation, impeding or promoting polysome size depending on the target [21].

In conclusion, AUF1 binding may be sufficient in certain mRNAs to provoke mRNA instability, but not all AUF1-bound mRNAs are unstable and there must be additional features that decide on rapid mRNA decay. It seems possible that this is due to two or more instability elements as uncovered here. It remains to be seen whether these elements play a role in facilitating endonuclease attack or rather ARE-mediated mRNA decay that starts with deadenylation.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Methods.** Details of plasmids for mRNA half-life measurements by the Tet-off system. (DOC)

**S1 Fig.** mRNA half-life of a selected set of enriched mRNAs that bind to AUF1 p42. Mouse 3T3 cells were exposed to medium with 6 μg/ml actinomycin D at time-point 0. mRNA was isolated at 0, 30, 60 and 120 min and individual mRNAs, including mouse GAPDH mRNA as a control, quantified by RT-PCR. Values are of two independent experiments. Note that the log-scale was extended to 1% in the case of Hes1 and Smad6 mRNA. Data of IL-6 mRNA were previously published [4]. Half-life values were calculated by linear regression and are reported in Table 2. (TIF)

**S2 Fig.** 3’UTR sequence alignment of instability regions of mouse RANKL and human BCL6 mRNA. For A, the sequence 1539–1717 of mouse RANKL (NM_011613.3) was aligned by ClustalW with corresponding RANKL sequences of human (NM_003701.3), bovine
(NM_001205770.1), dog (XM_846672.2), killer whale (XM_004274560.1), pig (XM_001925694.4) and sheep (XM_004012051.1). For B, the sequence 2877–3112 of human BCL6 (NM_001706.4), was aligned by ClustalW with corresponding RANKL sequences of mouse (NM_009744.3), bovine (NM_001206450.1), horse (XM_003991804.1), dog (XM_005639719.1), killer whale (XM_004278481.1), sheep (XM_004003049.1) and Dasypus novemcinctus (nine-banded armadillo; XM_004467199.1). Stars below the alignments indicate full sequence conservation. Elements that confer instability are highlighted in bold and a preferred AUF1-binding region is shown as a grey background.

(TIF)

**S1 Table. Primers used for 3’UTR amplification and subcloning into pZPCTHI.** Numbering is based on NCBI reference sequences. Bold letters indicate restriction sites used for cloning. When the natural polyadenylation site is absent, a site in the vector is used for poladenylation.

(PDF)

**S2 Table. Primers used for 3’UTR deletion mutants of mouse RANKL.** Numbering is based on NCBI reference sequence NM_011613.3. Bold letters indicate restriction sites used for cloning or linker sequence in scanning mutants.

(PDF)

**S3 Table. Primers used for amplification of 3’UTR fragments of human BCL6.** Numbering is based on NCBI reference sequence NM_001706.4. Bold letters indicate restriction sites used for cloning or linker sequence in scanning mutants.

(PDF)

**S4 Table. Primers used for amplification of 3’UTR fragments of mouse RANKL.** Numbering is based on NCBI reference sequence NM_011613.3. Bold letters indicate restriction sites used for cloning.

(PDF)

**S5 Table. Primers used for amplification of 3’UTR fragments of human BCL6.** Numbering is based on NCBI reference sequence NM_001706.4. Bold letters indicate restriction sites used for cloning.

(PDF)

**S6 Table. Primers used for microarray data confirmation and endogenous half-life measurements by RT-PCR.**

(PDF)

**S7 Table. Complete list of mRNAs of mouse NIH/3T3 cells enriched by RNP-IP of myc-AUF1 p42.** The list comprises all mRNAs that were more than 3-fold enriched on microarrays, sorted according to the n-fold enrichment. The ARE score and number of AUUUA elements in the 3’UTR was determined by the program AREScore (Spasic et al., 2012)[38]. The ARED cluster refers to the presence in the ARED Organism database (Halees et al., 2008)[33]. N/A, not available, indicates mRNAs not found in the ARED database. mRNA decay rates known prior to this study in mouse ES cells (a) (Sharova et al., 2009)[37], or for human homologs in lymphocytes (b) (Raghavan et al., 2002)[35] and HepG2 cells (c) (Yang et al., 2003)[36], or known from other studies (d) (Paschoud et al., 2006)[4]. Known half-lives >3h in Sharova et al. (2009)[37] are reported as stable. Original data can be obtained from the authors.

(PDF)
S8 Table. Test sequences used for optimal local sequence alignments between instability elements and selected 3'UTRs. The test sequences correspond to the instability elements (pink sequences) determined for mouse Rankl mRNA and human BCL6 mRNA in this study, and for human IL6 mRNA in a previous study (Paschoud et al., 2006)[4]. They include adjacent AUF1-binding regions. In addition, a mouse Smad6 sequence with a predicted good alignment with the mouse Rankl A test sequence was used as a test sequence. Each test sequence was aligned with 3'UTRs of mRNAs listed in S9 Table.

S9 Table. Target 3'UTR sequences used in optimal local sequence alignments. These target sequences were aligned with the test sequences of S8 Table. They correspond to 3'UTRs of the most unstable mRNAs of this study (S7 Table), to 3'UTRs of mRNAs previously recognized as unstable, to known binding targets of AUF1, or to potential targets of Zc3h12a. mRNA half-lives are either known prior to this study in mouse ES cells (a) (Sharova et al., 2009)[37], or for human homologs in lymphocytes (b) (Raghavan et al., 2002)[35] and HepG2 cells (c) (Yang et al., 2003)[36], or known from other studies (d) (Paschoud et al., 2006)[4], (e) (Shaw and Kamen, 1986)[26], (f) (Li et al., 2012)[56], (h) (Gorospe et al., 1993), or were determined in this study (g). For Cdkn2a, Rel, and Zfp266 the alignment between mouse and human UTRs were very poor.

S10 Table. Significant local sequence alignments in 3'UTRs. 3'UTRs of mouse mRNAs listed in S9 Table were aligned with specific instability elements and AUF1-binding regions described in detail in S8 Table. Most alignments are in regions with conservation between mouse and human mRNAs.
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