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A great deal of recent research has identified chemoattractants and cellular activators responsible for neutrophil trafficking into inflamed tissues, as well as for lymphocyte homing to secondary lymphoid organs in the steady state and into foci of chronic inflammation (1–5). Considerably less is known about the molecules regulating the trafficking of monocytes, particularly the constitutive trafficking of monocytes through tissues in health and the recruitment of monocytes to lymph nodes in disease. Two articles in this issue of The Journal of Experimental Medicine (6, 7) and one in a recent issue (8) shed some light on this subject and also prompt some questions for future investigation.

Under steady-state conditions in mice about half of the circulating monocytes leave the bloodstream each day (9, 10). Effete monocytes are destroyed in the spleen, but a considerable fraction of circulating monocytes enter the tissues of the body, differentiating into tissue macrophages (9, 10) or dendritic cells (DCs; references 11 and 12). The lifespan of individual tissue macrophages is controversial, but the permanence of tattoos attests to the ability of a stable or self-renewing population of macrophages to be maintained in place for the lifetime of the individual. In contrast, immature DCs within the tissues are able to leave via afferent lymphatic vessels for the draining lymph nodes, where they mature, present antigen to T cells, and die within a few days of arrival. Thus, a large fraction of monocytes can potentially be cleared as a byproduct of immune surveillance.

In mice responding to an inflammatory challenge, the number of monocytes leaving the circulation per day is at least double (10). The half-life of circulating monocytes in humans is about three times longer than in mice (13), but the thousandfold greater monocyte mass in humans means that ~340 million monocytes leave the circulation each day.

Monocyte Recruitment into Tissues. While chemokines such as monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP)-1 (CCL2) have been demonstrated to recruit monocytes into foci of active inflammation (14–16), it has not been clear whether monocytes use the same molecular signals to emigrate into tissues as part of the constitutive or steady-state efflux from blood. Prerequisites for a molecule that recruits monocytes into healthy tissues should include (i) constitutive expression of the chemoattractant by cells of that tissue (i.e., epithelia or stroma), (ii) preferential or selective response of monocytes to this molecule, and (iii) the ability to recruit monocytes into tissue without prematurely stimulating their respiratory burst or genetically programmed effector functions. The recent paper by Kurth et al. (8) describes a new chemokine pathway for monocyte recruitment during inflammation. This same pathway, however, might be used for the constitutive recruitment of monocytes to skin and gut.

Kurth et al. (8) provide evidence that breast and kidney expressed chemokine (BRAK, CXCL14) is selectively chemoattractive for monocytes activated by prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). During culture in the presence of PGE2 and possibly other mediators capable of raising intracellular cAMP, monocytes become markedly more responsive to BRAK, while losing chemoattractive responsiveness to traditional monocyte chemokines MCP-1, regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES), and stromal cell–derived factor 1 (CCL2, CCL5, and CXCL12, respectively) (8). Monocytes respond to BRAK through an unknown receptor in a pertussis toxin–sensitive manner. BRAK mRNA is expressed constitutively by a variety of epithelia including the basal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts of skin, and cells in the lamina propria of gut (8). The authors propose that once monocytes enter tissues in response to local inflammation, PGE2 at the site renders them responsive to the high levels of BRAK in these tissues, attracting them to the subepithelial locations where they mature into macrophages.

The findings reported in this paper imply a possible role for BRAK in the constitutive emigration of monocytes into these tissues as well. A fraction of monocytes present in peripheral blood are intrinsically responsive to BRAK in the absence of exogenous activation. Under baseline conditions ~1/5 as many monocytes migrate in response to BRAK as under optimal PGE2 activation. It is exciting to speculate that this subpopulation of monocytes might be constitutively recruited to BRAK-expressing tissues by BRAK bound to and presented by endothelial cell heparan sulfate. This would allow monocytes, but not lymphocytes or neutrophils, to leave the circulation and enter these tissues in the absence of inflammation.
Monocyte Recruitment into Lymph Nodes During Inflammation. Macrophages are omnipresent constituents of lymph nodes, where they are generally found along the sinusoidal vessels and in the medulla. However, they are not normally noticeable in the lymphocyte-rich cortex. While macrophages are plentiful in normal lymph nodes, their precursors, monocytes, are not. This is particularly true in the cortex where recirculating T cells come into contact with antigen presenting cells and immune responses are initiated. On the other hand, macrophages can become prominent in inflammatory lymphadenitis of a variety of etiologies, and in some cases of sinus histiocytosis become a predominant cell type. This rapid expansion of mononuclear phagocytes in the lymph node implies recruitment from outside the lymph node.

Cells resembling monocytes have been described to enter lymph nodes by squeezing between the endothelium of the high endothelial venules (HEVs), but only under inflammatory conditions (17). In the in vitro Stamper-Woodruff assay, monocytes and monocyte cell lines will bind to HEV draining sites of inflammation, but not HEVs in normal lymph nodes (18). This suggests that monocytes can be recruited across HEVs in vivo. Recruitment of monocytes to the lymph node cortex via HEVs could provide an important source of effector cells to defend against microorganisms or malignant cells that may have gained access to the lymph node via afferent lymphatic channels. It could provide a potential source of immature DCs as well. However, the signals regulating this influx and the anatomic pathways taken have not been defined.

Studies by Gretz et al. (19) provided some insight into how monocytes could be recruited into lymph node draining sites of inflammation. They demonstrated that chemokines and other small solutes arriving via afferent lymphatics travel through the lymph node via conduits that link the subcapsular sinus with the basal surface of HEVs. These conduits radiate out from the HEVs toward the lymph node capsule. Anatomically, the conduit consists of the space between the reticular fibroblasts and the collagen fibers that support them. In this way, they hypothesized, inflammatory agents, including chemokines that reach lymph nodes draining a site of inflammation would be shunted directly to the HEVs (bypassing the lymph node parenchyma) and aid in the recruitment of leukocytes into the nodes. Chemokines can be transcytosed from the basal to the apical surface of endothelial cells, where they can be presented for activation of leukocyte adhesion (20).

Other studies demonstrated that chemokines secreted in or injected into skin arrive in the draining lymph node where they can be presented on the apical surface of HEVs for recruitment of lymphocytes. This has been demonstrated with secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine (SLC, CCL21; reference 21) and Epstein-Barr virus–induced molecule 1 ligand chemokine (ELC, CCL19; reference 22). In principle, this system could be used to recruit monocytes to lymph nodes via HEVs.

Two articles in this issue demonstrate how inflammatory chemokines originating in the skin can indeed stimulate the influx of circulating blood monocytes into the draining lymph nodes via HEVs. Palframan et al. (6) show that MCP-1 produced at a cutaneous site of inflammation and carried via afferent lymphatics to draining lymph nodes can recruit monocytes into those lymph nodes via HEVs. This work takes advantage of transgenic mice in which CX3CR1–expressing cells constitutively express green fluorescent protein (23). (CX3CR1 is the fractalkine receptor and is expressed predominantly by monocytes, but also by subsets of natural killer cells, DCs, and microglia). This allows the workers to selectively track the relatively small population of monocytes in the murine circulation. Janatpour et al. (7) show that the monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG, CXCL9) is expressed on a subset of HEV draining sites of inflammation, and that this chemokine is also capable of recruiting monocytes selectively to these HEVs. MIG mRNA was detected in the lymph node, implying local production of MIG protein, but the authors did not address whether MIG was also produced at the site of inflammation and carried in via draining lymphatics. The important point made by both groups is that inflammation at a distance stimulates the de novo synthesis of inflammatory chemokines that are presented on HEVs of the draining lymph node, where they recruit monocytes by “remote control” (6).

Both papers use similar methods to induce inflammation. Palframan et al. (6) used an intracutaneous injection of keyhole limpet hemocyanin in complete Freund’s adjuvant in the flanks or lateral thorax. Janatpour et al. (7) used a subcutaneous injection of complete Freund’s adjuvant alone in the footpads. In both cases draining lymph nodes were harvested. Both groups found an increase in MCP-1 protein in lymph nodes. Janatpour et al. expected MCP-1 to be the major chemokine working to recruit monocytes in their system, but found it not to be expressed on HEVs by immunofluorescence. On the other hand, MIG, the levels of which were increased even more than MCP-1 in lymph nodes, was expressed on HEVs. The Palframan study did not directly localize MCP-1; they measured it by ELISA of lymph node homogenates. However, there could be technical reasons to explain the differences in their findings. The presence or absence of KLH at the injection site might affect the cytokine and chemokine response. Furthermore, the relative roles of chemokines may change over the time course of the inflammatory response. In the Janatpour study most data were collected at 3 d, while the Palframan study concentrated on 5–7 d. Both studies used mice of the C57Bl/6 strain (although the number of backcrosses from the 129Sv background for the knockout mice could potentially affect the response). However, variables as seemingly innocuous as differences in the environment at the animal housing facility can affect the inflammatory response. Carefully controlled experiments demonstrated that MIG and MCP-1, respectively, were the main chemokines responsible for recruiting monocytes in those studies. There is every reason to be-
lieve that both studies are correct; several chemokines are capable of recruiting monocytes to sites of inflammation, and the predominant chemokine may differ depending on the inflammatory stimulus, timing, genotype, and presence of other inflammatory agents.
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pear to enter the lymph node via afferent lymphatics. An exception to this could be the plasmacytoid DCs (see above) that are efficiently recruited to inflamed nodes, although the true fate of these cells in vivo is presently not known. More likely, monocytes recruited across HEVs provide a rapidly-mobilized source of effector cells to a zone of the inflamed lymph node where monocytes/macrophages are normally scarce.

I wish to thank Drs. Ralph Steinman and Marco Baggiolini for critical review of the manuscript.

The author is supported by National Institutes of Health grants HL46849 and HL64774.

Submitted: 21 September 2001
Revised: 21 September 2001
Accepted: 8 October 2001

References

1. Baggiolini, M. 1998. Chemokines and leukocyte traffic. Nature. 392:565–568.
2. Campbell, J.J., and E.C. Butcher. 2000. Chemokines in tissue-specific and microenvironment specific lymphocyte homing. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 12:336–341.
3. Wiedle, G., D. Dunon, and B.A. Imhof. 2001. Current concepts in lymphocyte homing and recirculation. Gr. Rev. in Clin. Lab. Sci. 38:1–31.
4. Sallusto, F., C.R. Mackay, and A. Lanzavecchia. 2000. The role of chemokine receptors in primary, effector, and memory immune responses. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 18:593–620.
5. Baggio, M. 2001. Chemokines in pathology and medicine. J. Int. Med. 250:91–104.
6. Palframan, R.T., S. Jung, G. Cheng, W. Weninger, Y. Luo, M. Dorf, D.R. Littman, B.J. Rollins, H. Zweierink, A. Rot, and U.H. von Adrian. 2001. Inflammatory chemokine transport and presentation in HEV: a remote control mechanism for monocyte recruitment to lymph nodes in inflamed tissues. J. Exp. Med. 194:1361–1373.
7. Janatpour, M.J., M. Sathe, J.D. Sedgwick, and L.M. McEvoy. 2001. Tumor necrosis factor–dependent segmental control of mononuclear phagocytes during an acute inflammatory reaction. J. Exp. Med. 194:1375–1384.
8. Kurth, I., K. Willimmann, P. Schauerli, T. Hunziker, I. Clark-Lewis, and B. Moser. 2001. Monocyte selectivity and tissue localization suggests a role for BRAK in macrophage development. J. Exp. Med. 194:855–869.
9. van Furth, R., and Z.A. Cohn. 1968. The origin and kinetics of mononuclear phagocytes. J. Exp. Med. 128:415–433.
10. van Furth, R., M.C. Dieselhoff-den Dulk, and H. Mattie. 1973. Quantitative study on the production and kinetics of mononuclear phagocytes during an acute inflammatory reaction. J. Exp. Med. 138:1314–1330.
11. Banchereau, J., and R. Steinman. 1988. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature. 392:245–252.
12. Randolf, G.J., K. Inaba, D.F. Robbiani, R.M. Steinman, and W.A. Muller. 1999. Differentiation of phagocytic monocytes into lymph node dendritic cells in vivo. Immunity. 11:753–761.
13. van Furth, R., M.C. Dieselhoff-den Dulk, J.A. Raeburn, T.L. van Zwet, and A.B. van Oud Alblas. 1980. Characteristics, origin, and kinetics of human and murine mononuclear phagocytes. In Mononuclear Phagocytes: Functional Aspects, vol. 1. R. van Furth, editor. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague. 279–298.
14. Ajuebor, M., R. Flower, R. Hannon, M. Christie, K. Bow-
15. Lu, B., B.J. Rutledge, L. Gu, J. Fiorillo, N. Lukacs, S.L. Kunkel, R. North, C. Gerard, and B.J. Rollins. 1998. Abnormalities in monocyte recruitment and cytokine expression in monocyte chemoattractant protein–1–deficient mice. Mol. Cell. 2:275–281.
16. Marchesi, V.T., and J.L. Gowans. 1964. The migration of lymphocytes through the endothelium of venules in lymph nodes: an electron microscope study. Proc. Royal Soc. B. 159: 283–290.
17. McEvoy, L.M., M.A. Jutila, P.S. Tsao, J.P. Cooke, and E.C. Butcher. 1997. Anti-CD43 inhibits monocyte-endothelial adhesion in inflammation and atherogenesis. Blood. 90:3587–3594.
18. Grez, J.E., C.C. Norbury, A.O. Anderson, A.E.I. Proud-foot, and S. Shaw. 2000. Lymph-borne chemokines and other low molecular weight molecules reach high endothelial venules via specialized conduits while a functional barrier limits access to the lymphocyte microenvironment in lymph node cortex. J. Exp. Med. 192:1425–1439.
19. Kim, J.I., J. Wintle, I. Clark-Lewis, H. Moore, C. Lam, M. Auer, E. Hub, and A. Rot. 1997. Transcytosis and surface presentation of IL-8 by venular endothelial cells. Cell. 91:385–395.
20. Stein, J.V., A. Rot, Y. Luo, M. Narasimhaswamy, H. Nakano, M.D. Gunn, A. Matsuda, E.J. Quackenbush, M. Dorf, and U.H. von Adrian. 2000. The CC chemokine thymus-derived chemotactic agent 4 (TCA-4, secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine, 6Ckine, exodus-2) triggers lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1-mediated arrest of rolling T lymphocytes in peripheral lymph node high endothelial venules. J. Exp. Med. 191:61–75.
21. Baekkevold, E.S., T. Yamanaka, R.T. Palframan, H.S. Carlsen, F.P. Reinholdt, U.H. von Adrian, P. Brandtzæg, and G. Haraldsen. 2001. The CCR7 ligand ELC (CCL19) is transcytosed in high endothelial venules and mediates T cell recruitment. J. Exp. Med. 193:1105–1112.
22. Jung, S., J. Aliberti, P. Graemmel, M.J. Sunshine, G.W. Kreutzberg, A. Sher, and D.R. Littman. 2000. Analysis of fractalkine receptor CX3CR1 function by targeted deletion and green fluorescent protein reporter gene insertion. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20:4106–4114.
23. Grouard, G., M. Rissoan, L. Filgueira, I. Durand, J. Banchereau, and Y.-J. Liu. 1997. The enigmatic plasmacytoid DCs form monocytic interleukin (IL)-3 and CD40–ligand-expressing DCs that develop into dendritic cells with interleukin (IL)-3 and CD40-ligand. J. Exp. Med. 185:1101–1111.
24. Cella, M., D. Jarrossay, F. Facchetti, O. Alebardi, H. Nakajima, A. Lanzavecchia, and M. Colonna. 1999. Plasmacytoid monocytes migrate to inflamed lymph nodes and produce large amounts of type I interferon. Nat. Med. 5:919–923.
25. Siegel, F.P., N. Kadowaki, M. Shodell, P.A. Fitzgerald-
Bocarsly, K. Shah, S. Ho, S. Antonenko, and Y.-J. Liu. 1999. The nature of the principal type 1 interferon-producing cells in human blood. *Science*. 284:1835–1837.

27. Blom, B., S. Ho, S. Antonenko, and Y.-J. Liu. 2000. Generation of interferon α-producing predendritic cell (Pre-DC)2 from human CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 192:1785–1796.

28. Galibert, L., C.R. Maliszewski, and S. Vandenabeele. 2001. Plasmacytoid monocytes/T cells: a dendritic cell lineage? *Semin. Immunol.* 13:283–289.

29. Randolph, G.J., S. Beaulieu, S. Lebecque, R.M. Steinman, and W.A. Muller. 1998. Differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells in a model of transendothelial migration. *Science*. 282:480–483.