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Abstract
Viscous liquid causes a loss of acoustic energy for acoustic wave propagation through the liquid. From an acoustical point of view, Glycerin being Newtonian is a more complex medium as it is heterogeneous, anisotropic and viscoelastic. Non-Newtonian liquids like PEG-SiO₂, DMF-SiO₂ solutions show shear-dependent nonlinear Viscosity. Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility (φ_k), as well as bulk moduli (K) and apparent molar volumes (Ø_v) of different glycerin-water solutions, are evaluated in the present investigation. At the lower concentration region for glycerin-water solutions apparent molar adiabatic compressibility (φ_k) varies linearly with the independent variable either molality or m^1/2. The pulse-echo method has been followed to measure attenuation coefficient and sound velocity in these liquids at room temperature. This sound velocity has been compared with the measurement from high precision density and velocity meter (Anton Paar DSA 5000 M for the same temperature. The measurement technique has been reported to quantify adiabatic compressibility as 10% glycerin in water was found to be 41.1×10^{-11} Pa^{-1} (K=2.43GPa) including 1.86dB/cm/MHz of attenuation, less compared to pure water but the ultrasonic absorption coefficient (μ_a) approx. 0.53) of 10% glycerin in water is nearer to pure water whereas the Non-Newtonian fluids show higher attenuation (>3dB/cm) and higher absorption (μ_a ≈0.6).
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1. Introduction
Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluids are separated due to their viscosity behavior as a function of shear rate, stress, deformation history. Hence, viscosities, the adiabatic compressibility of any fluid is of great use in characterizing the structure and behavior of a fluid that is Newtonian or non-Newtonian in nature [1-3]. Additionally, the acoustic properties of a viscous medium (sound velocity and sound absorption) [4-8] contain unique microscopic information that cannot be obtained by other methods. Among the various acoustic properties measurement techniques, pulse-echo testing (PE) is an interesting engineering tool because of its wide range of capabilities, ease of use and an absence of damage to the system or material under study. Its non-destructive mode allows many functions [9-14] like Acoustic Emission Testing (AET), Electromagnetic Testing (ET), Acoustic Resonance Testing (ART), Infrared Testing (IT), Magnetic Particle Testing (MPT), Ultrasonic Testing (UT) and Radiographic Testing (RT) etc. The ultrasonic pulse-echo technique involves a mechanical pulse that is merely a stress a mechanical perturbation of the medium that propagates within it. The present work is an attempt to study both the Newtonian and Non-Newtonian liquids by the mechanical pulse of 1MHz at 25°C. The measured ultrasonic velocity (u) has been implemented to derive the other parameters such as adiabatic compressibility (β), relative compressibility (β/β_o) and change in adiabatic compressibility (β − β_o), apparent molal volume (φ_v), apparent molal compressibility (φ_k) and dynamic viscosity (η). Hence, many important physical properties of the fluids may be easily to obtain.

2. Materials and Methods
High purity and analytical grade samples of Glycerin (AR ≥ 99.5), N,N-Diethylformamide (AR ≥ 99), Polyethylene Glycol (AR ≥ 99), Ethylene Glycol (AR ≥ 99), Ethanol and Cellulose microcrystalline powder procured from Merck specialties PVT. Ltd, Methylcellulose from Loba Chemie, Amylopectin from Tokyo chemical were used as raw materials. The mixtures were prepared on the percentage basis (W/W) from these chemicals. A piezoelectric transducer of 1MHz introduced mechanical pulses into the material under study. A reflector was placed parallel to the transducer face at a suitable distance to produce a series of echoes to analyze the specimens and the various interactions inside it. The experimental setup is shown in the Fig. 1. A DL1640 digital oscilloscope of the
frequency range of 200MHz was used to study the amplitudes and the delay of each echo. The delay between two successive echoes was in microseconds that were used to measure the ultrasonic velocities. The unit conversion cm\(^{-1}\) to dBcm\(^{-1}\) was done as [10]

\[
\alpha [dBcm^{-1}] = 8.686 \alpha cm^{-1}
\]

In this paper, the measurement of \(\alpha\) was done by exponential fitting the echo amplitudes using the formula

\[
V = V_0 e^{-\alpha z}
\]

Where \(V\) is the echo amplitude in voltage and \(Z\) is the distance between two echoes in cm. We measured the absorption coefficient, \(\mu_a\) from the following equation.

\[
\mu_a = 1 - (B/A)^2
\]

Where \(A\) and \(B\) are the amplitudes of the incident and reflected waves. In fluids, the adiabatic bulk modulus of elasticity \(K\) is reciprocal of the adiabatic compressibility \(\beta\) in Pa\(^{-1}\) given by the relation,

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{u^2 d} = \frac{1}{K}
\]

Where \(d\) is the density of the medium in kgm\(^{-3}\) and \(u\) is the velocity in ms\(^{-1}\) of the sound wave through that medium. \(K\) physically corresponds to the force opposing compression of the fluid. Compressibility is the relative change in volume when the pressure changes by one unit. The apparent molar compressibility as well as bulk modulus.

Both the Newtonian and Non-Newtonian fluids have been studied by the attenuation coefficient, sound velocity, adiabatic compressibility as well as bulk modulus. Different concentrations of 99.5% pure glycerin in distilled water were used as the Newtonian fluids. The other types of fluids i.e. Non-Newtonian have been prepared by the

### Table 1. Sample preparations

| Sample preparations | Non-Newtonian Fluid | Heat | Stirring | Sonication |
|---------------------|---------------------|------|----------|------------|
| 50ml H\(_2\)O+ 2.5g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose | 60\(^\circ\)C | 600rpm | 6h |
| 75ml Polyethylene Glycol + 15g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[P]+ 20ml Ethanol | 60\(^\circ\)C | 400rpm for 3h | 9h |
| 75ml Polyethylene Glycol + 10g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[F] + 20ml Ethanol | 60\(^\circ\)C | 600rpm for 3h | 7h |
| 20ml N,N-dimethyle Formamide + 4g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose+1.3g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[F] | 60\(^\circ\)C | Not done | 5h 30min |
| 20ml N,N-dimethyle Formamide + 1g Methyl Cellulose+0.8g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[F] | 60\(^\circ\)C | 600rpm for 3h | 9h |
| 30ml H\(_2\)O+2g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose+8g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[P] | 60\(^\circ\)C | 600rpm for 3h | 10h |
| 50ml Polyethylene Glycol+3g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose+5g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[P] | 30 | 600rpm for 2h | 5h 30min |
| 30ml H\(_2\)O+1.29g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose+5g Amylopectin | 30 | Not done | 7h |
| 40ml Ethylene Glycol + 1g Carboxy-methyle Cellulose+3g S\(_2\)O\(_3\)[F] + 20ml Ethanol | 60\(^\circ\) - 80\(^\circ\)C | 600rpm for 6h | 7h |

Both the Newtonian and Non-Newtonian fluids have been studied by the attenuation coefficient, sound velocity, adiabatic compressibility as well as bulk modulus. Different concentrations of 99.5% pure glycerin in distilled water were used as the Newtonian fluids. The other types of fluids i.e. Non-Newtonian have been prepared by the
stirring and sonication process including heat treatment at 60°C to 80°C as shown in Table 1

4. Result and Discussion

A typical case of pulse-echo with the envelopes, each was captured from the oscilloscope screen and shown in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B. Best exponential decay fitting (R²=0.999) was found for the liquid column height of 0.74cm. Hence overall behavior of echoes as a function of different concentrations of glycerin in water (Fig. 2B) was chosen for a fixed liquid column height of 0.74cm.

Ultrasonic velocity of 1MHz for different concentrations of glycerin solution was determined from the pulse-echo method using a single transducer. These data were compared with those from the high precision Density and Sound Velocity Meter, model DSA 5000 M at the same temperature. In Fig. 3 we compared these data from the two techniques and they were found to be very close. Increases in sound velocity as a function of different concentrations come from changes in compressibility in the medium. This also affected the attenuation of sound waves in those different media. Ultrasound attenuation is characterized by the exponential decrease of the maximum peak values, i.e. amplitude (V) with the travelling distance z.

| Glycerin solution in water | \( \rho \) (gm/cm³) | \( \eta \) (MPa.s) | \( \alpha \) (dB/cm) | \( v_1 \) (m/s) | \( v_2 \) (m/s) |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0%                        | 0.99           | 0.8752         | 2.57           | 1488.51        | 1482.32        |
| 10%                       | 1.03           | 1.3754         | 1.86           | 1523.53        | 1537.71        |
| 20%                       | 1.05           | 1.7639         | 3.1            | 1569.70        | 1579.88        |
| 30%                       | 1.07           | 2.4232         | 3.17           | 1593.85        | 1624.63        |
| 40%                       | 1.08           | 2.65           | 3.15           | 1670.97        | 1652.34        |
| 50%                       | 1.10           | 3.56           | 2.75           | 1698.36        | 1678.78        |
| 60%                       | 1.11           | 4.42           | 2.77           | 1726.67        | 1705.67        |
| 100%                      | 1.26           | 945.95         | 4.75           | 1927.08        | 1920           |

Figure 3. Sound velocities measured by DSA 5000M and PE technique as a function of densities for glycerine water mixture.

We calculated the attenuation coefficient, \( \alpha \) from the exponential fitting shown in Fig. 3A. The fitting followed the following equation:

\[
V = V_0 + A e^{-\frac{z}{\rho}} = V_0 + A e^{-\alpha z}
\]

(9)

Where \( V_0 \) and \( V \) are the amplitudes of pulse 1 and 2 respectively. Distances were calculated from \( z = 2 \times \text{echo number} \times \text{liquid column height} \). Time gap between two successive pulses was used to calculate the sound velocities. Fig. 4A shows the analysis of attenuation coefficient and viscosity as a function of weight percent of glycerin in H₂O. Attenuation varies with the concentration as well as viscosity also. Sound absorption is by three ways that is viscous losses, thermal elastic damping and mechanical damping in the material itself. Fig. 4B shows the sound attenuation anomaly for the different types of non-Newtonian fluids. Viscosities of all Non-Newtonian fluids are not constant and show the dependency on the shear rate.
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Figure 4. Ultrasonic attenuation and viscosity (A) in different weight percentage of C$_3$H$_8$O$_3$ (B) in different non-Newtonian fluids and (C) inset showing nonlinearity of viscosity in H$_2$O +CMC

Figure 5. (A) Ultrasonic velocity and adiabatic compressibility for (B) different weight percent of C$_3$H$_8$O$_3$ (b) different types of non-Newtonian fluids

Table 3. Molal concentration, Apparent molar volumes, Adiabatic compressibility coefficients, apparent molar adiabatic Compressibility, $\Delta \beta$ and $\Delta \beta/\beta_0$ for glycerin solution.

| m (mol/kg) | $\phi_v$ (M$^2$mol$^{-1}$) | $\beta$ (kg$^{-1}$ms$^{-2}$ or Pa$^{-1}$) | $\phi_\Lambda$ (M$^2$mol$^{-1}$Pa$^{-1}$) | $\Delta \beta$ (Pa$^{-1}$) | $\Delta \beta/\beta_0$ |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1.21       | 0.07            | 41.15$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -8.46$\times$10$^{-12}$ | -4.36$\times$10$^{-11}$ | -0.09          |
| 2.71       | 0.07            | 38.19$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 2.35$\times$10-15     | -7.32$\times$10$^{-11}$ | -0.16          |
| 4.65       | 0.07            | 35.37$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 3.59$\times$10$^{-12}$ | -1.01$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -0.22          |
| 7.24       | 0.07            | 33.77$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 8.81$\times$10$^{-12}$ | -1.17$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -0.26          |
| 10.86      | 0.08            | 32.33$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 1.23$\times$10$^{-11}$ | -1.32$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -0.29          |
| 16.29      | 0.08            | 30.94$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 1.48$\times$10$^{-11}$ | -1.46$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -0.32          |
| 108.04     | 0.07            | 21.53$\times$10$^{-11}$ | 1.31$\times$10$^{-11}$ | -2.40$\times$10$^{-10}$ | -0.52          |

For the glycerin-water mixtures, the decrease in compressibility attributes by the formation of flexible H-bond in the aqueous medium. This results in decrease in sound velocity in the higher dilution limit. For pure glycerin the adiabatic compressibility shows 21.53$\times$10$^{-11}$ and by using the speed of sound value measured by the pulse-echo technique which is comparable to a standard value. It may be of interest to note that $\nu$ is linear in concentration only in the dilute limit, mimicking a Vegard’s law behavior for binary mixtures. However, it breaks down in the concentrated region (>60%), showing nonlinearity in the behavior. The negative values of $\Delta \beta$ and $\Delta \beta/\beta_0$ is due to the solute–solvent interaction [13-14]. Increase in $\Delta \beta$ and $\Delta \beta/\beta_0$ values with an increase in glycerin concentration may be attributed to an overall increase in the cohesive forces in the solutions [15]. In addition, the successive increase of glycerin concentration in the water enhances the incompressible part of the solution.

The Apparent molar volumes ($\phi_v$) for all compounds in aqueous solution were calculated using eq. [1.6] and the data shown in the table 3.
The variation of apparent molar volumes ($\phi_v$) and apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\phi_k$) in the glycerin-water mixtures as a function of concentration is shown in Fig. 6. A tendency to assume linear relation between the apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\phi_k$) and the independent variable either molality or $m^{1/2}$, is seen to hold in the lower concentration region. $\Psi$ as a function of the square root of molal concentration of glycerin has intercept $A$ and slope $B$ is calculated using Jones-Dole equation. “A” coefficient describes the impact of charge-charge interactions on the viscosity of a solution and estimated using the Falkenhagen equation [16]. The value of “A” is generally positive for the electrolyte solutions and we got the positive value 0.33 for the different concentrations of glycerine in water. The positive value 0.17 for “B” coefficient indicates a tendency for the solute to enhance water-water interactions and thus raise the viscosity and the solute is a structure former. [17]

5. Conclusions

The attenuation ultrasonic wave through a fluid results from several mechanisms of absorption. At the beginning of the propagation, the absorption is mainly due to the viscosity. Each layer of the fluid tends to slow down the displacement of the adjacent layers, causing thus the damping of the wave as it penetrates into the fluid. In these samples, which are Non-Newtonian viscous fluid contains a polymer (like cellulose or starch) along with very small molecular particles and Silica of diameter ~0.3micron. So while passing through these solutions the ultrasonic waves loses its energy in two ways- one, to propagate through this solution the sound wave has to work to produce mechanical vibrations on those large chains of Cellulose or Starch polymers, Second, while travelling through this chemical the sound wave is scattered by the silica micro-particles. The propagation of sound waves through this special type of solutions involves displacement of positions of molecules, which in turn increases the potential energy of those molecules in expense of sound energy and this internal energy has a little contribution to the thermal agitations to the samples. With our present setup of pulse-echo method, we deduce a lot of information about internal parameters of the fluid. We have done the measurements at a fixed temperature only, however, the experiment as a function of temperature will give more details of sample properties like activation energy for structural relaxation etc.
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