Existence of a strong solution to moist atmospheric equations with the effects of topography
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Abstract
In this paper, we consider the primitive three-dimensional viscous equations for large-scale atmosphere dynamics with topography effects and water vapor phase transition process. This modified climate model is commonly used in weather and climate predictions, and few theoretical analyses have been performed on them. The existence and uniqueness of a global strong solution to this climate model is established based on the initial data assumptions.
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1 Introduction
Applying the Boussinesq and hydrostatic approximation, the so-called primitive atmospheric equations can be derived, and this system is formulated in terms of the Navier–Stokes equations with the Coriolis force, the thermodynamic equations and the diffusion equation for vapor [19, 22, 28]. However, few studies have considered the effects of topography, changes of the external forcing with time and water vapor phase transitions, which have remarkable influences on climate dynamics. Based on realistic conditions, Zeng [29] showed the modified climate dynamics model in the following ways: (1) the effects of topography on the climate dynamics are considered; (2) the phase change of water vapor is studied; (3) the upper atmospheric pressure is set to zero; and (4) the anelastic approximation is not required in the system.

Then we show a moving frame \((\theta, \lambda, \zeta, t)\), where \(\theta \in [0, \pi]\) is the colatitude, \(\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]\) is the longitude, \(\zeta = \rho/\rho_0 \in [0, 1]\), \(\rho \in [0, \rho_0]\) is the atmospheric pressure, where \(\rho_0(\theta, \lambda, t)\) is the atmospheric pressure on the surface of the Earth, and \(t\) is the time. The atmospheric state functions can be defined by the atmospheric horizontal velocity \(V = (v_\theta, v_\lambda)\), vertical velocity \(\dot{\zeta}\), temperature deviation \(T'\), geopotential deviation \(\Phi'\) and Earth surface pressure deviation \(p'_s\), the specific humidity \(q\), and the liquid water content \(m_w\). As the reference standard temperature \(\tilde{T}(\zeta)\), the reference standard geopotential \(\tilde{\Phi}(\zeta)\) and the reference standard Earth surface pressure \(\tilde{p}_s(\theta, \lambda, t)\) are determined, we can find that
Here, we simply assume that Newtonian cooling holds,

\[ H_1 = -\kappa_\theta T', \tag{1.3} \]

where \( \kappa_\theta \) is a positive constant.

\( H_2 \) should be closely related to the microphysics processes of condensation and evaporation, and we have

\[ H_2 = -LF_q, \tag{1.4} \]

where \( L \) is the latent heat constant, and

\[ F_q = \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \left( \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right), \tag{1.5} \]

which represents the mass of water that is added by condensation or removed by evaporation. \( \delta_{21} \) and \( \delta_{22} \) have the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{21} &= \delta_1(q - q_m) = \begin{cases} 
1, & q > q_m, \\
0, & q \leq q_m,
\end{cases} \\
\delta_{22} &= \delta_2(m_w) = \begin{cases} 
1, & m_w > 0, \\
0, & m_w \leq 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( q_m \) is the saturation specific humidity. We assume that \( W(T) \) is a globally Lipschitz bounded function, namely
\[
W(T) = q_m T \left( \frac{RL - c_p R c T}{c_p R c T^2 + L^2 q_m} \right),
\]
(1.7)
where \( R_c \) is the gas constant for water vapor. The term \( P_r \) is the precipitation rate, which takes the following form:
\[
P_r = h_1(F_q) = h_1 \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \left( L \zeta \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right) \right),
\]
(1.8)
where
\[
h_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha x - \beta, & x < 0, \\
0, & x > 0,
\end{cases}
\]
and \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0 \).

In this work, we show that the differential operators \( \text{grad} := \nabla, \text{div} := \nabla \cdot \text{ and } \Delta \) on the spherical surface are
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla &= \left( \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right), \\
\nabla \cdot V &= \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta v_\theta) + \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\lambda}{\partial \lambda}, \\
\Delta &= \frac{1}{a^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2},
\end{align*}
\]
(1.10)
where \( a \) is Earth radius. And we study the system on \( \Omega \times [0, M] := S^2 \times [0, 1] \times [0, M] = [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 1] \times [0, M], \) where \( M > 0 \) is some time.

Moreover, we choose the modified smooth velocity field \( (V^*, \dot{\zeta}^*) \) [18]. Here we set \( \tilde{V} := \int_0^1 V(\theta, \lambda, \zeta, t) \, d\zeta \), and decompose \( \tilde{V}, \nabla \) into the three parts via
\[
\tilde{V}, \nabla = \nabla(\chi - \Psi) + \nabla \Psi + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \psi,
\]
(1.11)
where the function \( \Psi \) satisfies
\[
\Delta \Psi = -\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial t},
\]
(1.12)
and \( V^* \) can be obtained as follows:
\[
V^* = V - \tilde{V}^{-1} \nabla(\chi - \Psi) = (v_\theta^*, v_\lambda^*).
\]
(1.13)

Meanwhile, we get \( \dot{\zeta}^* \) as the solution of
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{P}_\lambda}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_\lambda v_\theta^* \sin \theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_\lambda v_\lambda^*}{\partial \lambda} \right) + \frac{\partial \tilde{P}_\lambda \dot{\zeta}^*}{\partial \zeta} = 0,
\]
(1.14)
with the boundary condition
\[
\dot{\zeta}^* = 0, \quad \text{as } \zeta = 0,
\]
(1.15)
which implies that

\[
\dot{\zeta}^* = -\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_s} \int_0^{\zeta^*} \left( \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s \nu^*}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s \nu^*}{\partial \lambda} \right) + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \right) ds \\
= -\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_s} \int_0^{\zeta^*} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \nu^*) ds - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_s} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \zeta^*.
\]

(1.16)

Then from the definition of \( V \), we know

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s \nu^*}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s \nu^*}{\partial \lambda} \right) d\zeta = \int_0^1 \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \nu^*) d\zeta = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t},
\]

(1.17)

and we have

\[
\dot{\zeta}^* = 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \zeta^* = 1.
\]

(1.18)

Then we can give the boundary conditions without relief are as follows: All functions are \( \pi \) periodical with respect to \( \theta \), \( 2\pi \) periodical with respect to \( \lambda \), and

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial V \big|_{\zeta^* = 0} &= \frac{\partial T'}{\partial \zeta} \big|_{\zeta^* = 0} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \big|_{\zeta^* = 0} = \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial \zeta} \big|_{\zeta^* = 0} = \dot{\zeta} \big|_{\zeta^* = 0} = 0, \\
(\nu_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} + k_{1f}(|V|) V) \big|_{\zeta = 1} &= 0, \quad (\nu_2 \frac{\partial T'}{\partial \zeta} + k_{2f} T') \big|_{\zeta = 1} = 0, \\
(\nu_3 \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} + k_{3f}(|V_{10}|)(q - q_{m}^*)) \big|_{\zeta = 1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial \zeta} \big|_{\zeta = 1} = 0, \\
\dot{\zeta} \big|_{\zeta = 1} &= 0, \quad \Phi \big|_{\zeta = 1} = \frac{\tilde{T}_s}{\tilde{p}_s} \nu^*(\theta, \lambda, t),
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.19)

where the given function \( \tilde{T}_s(\theta, \lambda) \) is the reference standard surface temperature of Earth, \( q_{m}^* \) is the reference standard surface saturation special humidity of Earth, the given function \( V_{10}(\theta, \lambda) \) is the 10-m wind speed, \( k_{1f}, k_{2f} \) and \( k_{3f} \) are positive constants and the chilling coefficient \( f(|V|) \) is a positive function of \(|V|\).

There is a huge literature on the study of various atmospheric problems; e.g., in the 1990s, Lions et al. [19–21] gave the new formulation for the primitive equations of large-scale atmosphere and ocean, and proved the existence of global weak solutions to the initial boundary value problem. The existence of global attractors to the primitive equations associated with the atmospheric evolution process have been studied by Chepzhov and Vishik [9]. Wu et al. [24] obtained the existence of global weak solutions to the climate model with the effects of topography. Furthermore, Huang and Guo [14, 15] proved the existence of the atmospheric global attractors of the atmospheric motion model without or with the effects of topography, respectively, and they also obtained the existence and the asymptotic behaviors of a weak solution. Recently, Lian et al. [16, 18] addressed the \( L^1 \)-stability of weak solutions to the atmospheric equations with or without the effects of topography.

Meanwhile, there are many studies of strong solution for viscous primitive large-scale ocean and atmosphere equations. Taking the values of the initial data to be sufficiently small, the global existence of a strong solution to primitive equations was investigated by Guillem-González et al. [10], and the local existence of strong solution to the system for all initial data was also proved. Furthermore, Temam and Ziane [23] considered the coupled atmosphere–ocean equations and showed the local existence of strong solution. Cao
and Titi [5] proved the global well-posedness and finite-dimensional global attractors of the 3D planetary geostrophic model. The well-posedness and long-time behavior of the strong solution to the horizontal hyper-diffusion 3D thermocline planetary geostrophic model were also obtained by Cao et al. [8]. In particular, for all initial data, Cao and Titi [6] proved the global existence of strong solution to three-dimensional viscous primitive equations. Furthermore, Cao et al. [1, 7] investigated the global well-posedness of three-dimensional viscous primitive equations with only vertical diffusion, and proved the global existence of strong solution using $H^2$ initial data. Cao et al. [2] also considered the initial boundary value problem of the primitive equations with only horizontal diffusion in the temperature equation, and they obtained the global existence of strong solutions using $H^2$ initial data. Recently, the initial boundary value problem of the primitive equations with only horizontal eddy viscosity in the horizontal momentum equations and only horizontal diffusion in the temperature equation were addressed by Cao et al. [3]. Cao et al. [4] also studied the 3D primitive equations with only horizontal eddy viscosity in the horizontal momentum equations and only vertical diffusivity in the temperature equation. In these studies, Cao et al. gave the $H^2$ regularity estimates of the strong solution. However, the upper atmospheric pressure in the references above is treated as a positive constant, in particular, Lian and Zeng [17] proved the existence of strong solution and trajectory attractor to a modified climate dynamic model, while the upper atmospheric pressure is treated as zero.

Up to now, there are some important studies about the well-posedness of the moist atmosphere. For example, Guo and Huang [11] proved the existence of global weak solutions and attractors to the primitive equations of a moist atmosphere. In addition, Guo and Huang [12] investigated the existence and uniqueness of global strong solution, and they addressed the existence of the universal attractor for the large-scale moist atmosphere. Under a physically reasonable assumption, Zelati and Temam [27] derived the existence and uniqueness of solution for the specific humidity equation. They also studied the coupling of the specific humidity equation with the temperature equation. Based on [27], Zelati et al. [25] addressed the uniqueness of solution for the system of moist atmosphere with saturation. Additional properties and regularity results of the solutions were also proven. Zelati et al. [26] proved the global existence of quasi-strong and strong solutions of primitive equations in the interest of thinking over vital phase transition phenomena due to air saturation and condensation. Using the ideas of Cao and Titi [6], Hittmeir et al. [13] showed the well-posedness for the full moist atmospheric flow model, where the moisture model is coupled to the large-scale atmosphere equations.

In this paper, we investigate the initial boundary value problem for the climate dynamics model with effects of topography and water vapor phase transition. Moreover, the heating is caused by internal sources depending on the atmospheric motion rather than by an external one, and the internal heating function due to the phase change of water vapor is approximated by some properly analytical functions suitable for mathematical analyses. Meanwhile, the upper atmospheric pressure is treated as zero. Based on the initial data assumptions, the existence and uniqueness of a global strong solution to this modified climate model is established.

We organize this paper as follows. In Sect. 2, we present the major results associated with the existence of a unique global strong solution. Section 3 gives some useful lem-
mas. In Sect. 4, we will provide some useful proofs, followed by several important a priori estimates. Finally, the conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

2 Main results

We will show the global well-posedness of strong solution to (1.1) and give a simple version of system (1.1) firstly. From the boundary conditions (1.19), we have

\[
p'(\theta, \lambda, t) = -\int_0^t \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}(\theta, \lambda) \nabla (\theta, \lambda, r)) \, dr,
\]

\[
\tilde{p}_x\tilde{\zeta} = -\frac{\partial p'}{\partial t} \tilde{\zeta} - \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}, \nabla) \, ds = \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}, \nabla) \tilde{\zeta} - \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}, \nabla) \, ds,
\]

and

\[
\Phi' = \frac{R T_x}{p_s} p'(\theta, \lambda, t) + R \int_\xi^1 \frac{T'(\theta, \lambda, s, t)}{s} \, ds.
\]

Substitute (2.1)–(2.3) into (1.1) and define the unknown function \( U := (V, T', q, m_w)^T \), then we give the simplification of the system (1.1)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + (V^* \cdot \nabla) V + \zeta^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + (2\omega \cos \theta + \frac{\cos \theta}{\pi} v_c) f_{10}^{\pi} 0 \, V + R \nabla f_2^{T(\theta, \lambda)} \, ds \\
= -RT_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}, \nabla) \, dt + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \Delta V + \frac{v_1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi_T} \right)^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} , \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + (V^* \cdot \nabla) q + \zeta^* \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \frac{v_3}{\pi} \Delta q + \frac{v_3}{\pi} \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi_T} \right)^2 \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + F_q, \\
\frac{\partial m_w}{\partial t} + (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w + \zeta^* \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial t} = \frac{v_4}{\pi} \Delta m_w + \frac{v_4}{\pi} \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi_T} \right)^2 \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial t} - F_q + \frac{P_r}{\pi}, \\
U|_{t=0} = (v_0, v_2, T', q, m_w)|_{t=0} = (v_{00}, v_{10}, T_{10}, q_0, m_{w0}) = U_0, \\
U(\theta, \lambda, \zeta) = U(\theta + \pi, \lambda, \zeta), \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} |_{\xi=0} = 0, \\
(v_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + k_2 f(\pi) V)|_{\xi=1} = 0, \\
(v_2 \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} + k_2 T')|_{\xi=1} = 0, \\
(v_3 \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + k_2 f(\pi) q)|_{\xi=1} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial m_w}{\partial t}|_{\xi=1} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

Then we can state the main results of the present paper as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** For any \( M > 0 \), we assume that

\[
\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}(\xi) \in C^1(0, 1), & \quad \bar{T}(\xi) \geq 0, \quad \bar{T}'(\xi) \geq 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{\xi}{\bar{T}(\xi)} := T_0 > 0, \\
\bar{\theta}(\theta, \lambda), & \quad \bar{\theta}_x(\theta, \lambda, t), \quad \bar{q}^{-1}(\theta, \lambda, t) \in W^{1, \infty}(\{0, M\}; W^{1, \infty}(\{0, \pi\} \times [0, 2\pi])), \\
V_{10}(\theta, \lambda), & \quad V_{10}'(\theta, \lambda) \in L^\infty([0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]), \\
q_m(\theta, \lambda) \in L^\infty([0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]), & \quad q_m^*(\theta, \lambda) \in W^{1, \infty}([0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]), \\
f(s) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+), & \quad C_2 s^\alpha \leq f(s) \leq C_2 (1 + s^\alpha), \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \\
\| W(s_1) - W(s_2) \| \leq C_3 |s_1 - s_2|, & \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in R.
\end{aligned}
\]
\[ |W(s)| \leq C_4, \quad \forall s \in R, \quad (2.11) \]

where \( W(s) \) is a globally Lipschitz bounded function, \( T_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and \( C_4 \) are positive constants.

Let \( U_0 = (V_0, T_0, q_0, m_0) \in H^1(\Omega) \), then there exists a unique global strong solution \( U \) to the system (2.4) on the interval \([0, M]\) satisfying

\[
\begin{cases}
V \in C([0, M]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, M; H^2(\Omega)), \\
T \in C([0, M]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, M; H^2(\Omega)), \\
q \in C([0, M]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, M; H^2(\Omega)), \\
m_w \in C([0, M]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, M; H^2(\Omega)).
\end{cases} 
\quad (2.12)
\]

3 Some lemmas

Lemma 3.1 Note that, from [17], letting \( \phi \in C^\infty(S^2) \) and \( V, V_1 \in C^\infty(S^2) \), we have

\[
\int_{S^2} \nabla \phi \cdot V \, d\sigma = -\int_{S^2} \phi \nabla \cdot V \, d\sigma 
\]

and

\[
-\int_{\Omega} \Delta V \cdot V_1 \, d\sigma \, d\xi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \theta} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \lambda} \, d\sigma \, d\xi. 
\]

Lemma 3.2 Let \( V, T, q, m_w \in H^1(\Omega) \), then for \( n = 1, 2, 3 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{p}_s V^* \cdot \nabla V - \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) + \zeta \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} \right) \cdot V \, d\sigma \, d\xi &= 0, \\
\int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{p}_s V^* \cdot \nabla T - \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) + \zeta \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial \zeta} \right) T^n \, d\sigma \, d\xi &= 0, \\
\int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{p}_s V^* \cdot \nabla q - \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) + \zeta \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right) q^n \, d\sigma \, d\xi &= 0, \\
\int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{p}_s V^* \cdot \nabla m_w - \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) + \zeta \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right) m_w^n \, d\sigma \, d\xi &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\nabla T(s)}{s} \, ds \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) + \frac{1}{\zeta} \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) \, ds \right) T \right) \, d\sigma \, d\xi = 0. 
\]

Furthermore, we recall some useful interpolation inequalities as follows:

1. For \( f \in H^1(S^2) \),

\[
\|f\|_{L^4(S^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{H^1(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

\[
\|f\|_{L^6(S^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|f\|_{H^1(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{3}},
\]

\[
\|f\|_{L^8(S^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|f\|_{H^1(S^2)}^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\]
(2) For \( f \in H^1(\Omega) \),
\[
\|f\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{4}}.
\]  
(3.11)

4 The a priori estimates

Next, we will consider the a priori estimates for the strong solution \( \mathcal{U} \) to the system (2.4).

Using definition of the fluctuation \( \tilde{V} \) in [17], we can give the equations of the fluctuation \( \tilde{V} \) as follows:
\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \int_{0}^{1} \left( \nabla V^* \cdot (\tilde{p}_t V^*) + \zeta \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_t}{\partial \zeta} \right) d\zeta + 2\omega \cos \theta \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) V
\]
\[
+ R \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{\nabla T'(s)}{s} \right) ds d\zeta + R \frac{\tilde{p}_t}{\tilde{p}_s} \int_{0}^{1} T' d\zeta - \nabla \left( R \frac{\tilde{T}_s}{\tilde{p}_s} \right) \nabla \left( \tilde{p}_s V^* \right) d\tau
\]
\[
= \frac{\mu_1}{\tilde{p}_s} \Delta V - k_{i1} \left( \frac{g \Xi}{RT} \right)^2 \left( f(|V|) V \right) \bigg|_{\zeta=1},
\]  
(4.1)

where
\[
\nabla V^* = \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 V^* \cdot \nabla V^* + v_2 \cot \theta \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) V \right).
\]  
(4.2)

We let
\[
\tilde{V} = V - \bar{V},
\]  
(4.3)

which also implies
\[
\tilde{V}^* = V^* - \bar{V} = V - \bar{V} = \tilde{V}, \quad \bar{V}^* = \bar{V}.
\]  
(4.4)

Note that
\[
\bar{V} = 0, \bar{V}^* = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_s \bar{V}^*) = \frac{\partial \bar{p}_s}{\partial \zeta},
\]  
(4.5)

and we have
\[
- \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{\zeta} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) \right) d\zeta \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} V \bigg|_{\zeta=1} + \int_{0}^{1} \bar{V} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) d\zeta
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} V \bigg|_{\zeta=1} - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} \bar{V} + \int_{0}^{1} \bar{V} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \bar{V}^*) d\zeta,
\]  
(4.6)

and
\[
- \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta = - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} V \bigg|_{\zeta=1} + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial \zeta} \bar{V},
\]  
(4.7)

and
\[
\int_{0}^{1} \nabla \bar{V}^* \cdot V d\zeta = \int_{0}^{1} \nabla \bar{V}^* \cdot V d\zeta + \nabla \bar{V}^* \bar{V},
\]  
(4.8)
where

\[
\nabla_{\nabla^*} \nabla \nabla^* \equiv \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 \nabla^* \cdot \nabla \nabla^* + 2 \cot \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right),
\]

(4.9)

\[
\nabla_{\nabla^*} \nabla \equiv \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 \nabla^* \cdot \nabla \nabla^* + \nabla \cot \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right).
\]

(4.10)

From (4.1), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

\[
\nabla \nabla^* \nabla \nabla^* = \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 \nabla^* \cdot \nabla \nabla^* + \nabla \cot \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right) + 2 \omega \cos \theta \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right) + R \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \nabla T'(s) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \int_0^1 T' d\xi - \nabla \left( \frac{R \nabla^*}{p_i} \int_0^1 \nabla \cdot (p_i \nabla) d\tau \right) = \mu_1 \frac{\Delta \nabla}{\Delta^1} - k_1 \left( \frac{g_1}{RT} \right)^2 f(|V|) V \right|_{\xi=1}.
\]

(4.11)

Subtracting (4.11) from (2.4), we also find that the fluctuation \( \nabla^* \) satisfies the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \nabla^*}{\partial t} + \nabla \nabla^* \nabla - \left( \frac{1}{p_i} \int_0^1 \nabla \cdot (p_i \nabla^*) \frac{ds}{s} \right) \frac{\partial \nabla^*}{\partial \xi} + \nabla_{\nabla^*} \nabla + \nabla \nabla^* \nabla
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{p_i} \nabla \nabla^* (p_i \nabla^*) + \nabla \nabla^* \nabla + 2 \omega \cos \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla + R \int_0^1 \frac{T'(s)}{s} ds
\]

\[
- R \int_0^1 \frac{T'(s)}{s} ds d\xi + \frac{\nabla p_i}{p_i} T' - R \frac{\nabla p_i}{p_i} \int_0^1 T' d\xi
\]

\[
- k_1 \left( \frac{g_1}{RT} \right)^2 f(|V|) V \right|_{\xi=1} = \mu_1 \frac{\Delta \nabla}{\Delta^1} + v_1 \frac{\partial \nabla}{\partial \xi} \left( \frac{g_1}{RT} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \nabla}{\partial \xi}.
\]

(4.12)

with the boundary conditions

\[
\frac{\partial \nabla^*}{\partial \xi} \bigg|_{\xi=0} = 0, \quad \left( v_1 \frac{\partial \nabla^*}{\partial \xi} + k_1 f(|V|) V \right) \bigg|_{\xi=1} = 0,
\]

(4.13)

where

\[
\nabla_{\nabla^*} \nabla^* \equiv \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 \nabla^* \cdot \nabla \nabla^* + 2 \cot \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right),
\]

(4.14)

\[
\nabla_{\nabla^*} \nabla \equiv \frac{1}{a} \left( a^2 \nabla^* \cdot \nabla \nabla^* + 2 \cot \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla \right).
\]

(4.15)

Then we have the usual energy inequality as follows.
Lemma 4.1 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any $M > 0$ given, the strong solution $U$ to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[
\|V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|T\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|m_u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left|\int_0^T \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla U) \, dt\right|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
+ \int_0^T \|U\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \, dt + \int_0^T \|T\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{S^2} f(\|V\|^2) |\sigma_{\zeta}| \, d\sigma \, dt \\
+ \int_0^T T^2 |\sigma_{\zeta}| \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{S^2} f(\|V_{10}\|) q^2 |\sigma_{\zeta}| \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M], \quad (4.16)
\]

where $C(M) > 0$ denotes a constant dependent of time $M$.

Proof Multiplying (2.4) by $\tilde{p}_3 U$ and using the boundary conditions, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \left( V^2 + \frac{R}{c_T} T^2 + q^2 + m_u^2 \right) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S^2} \frac{R T}{\tilde{p}_3} \left( \int_0^T \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla U) \, dt \right)^2 \, d\sigma \\
+ \mu_1 \int_\Omega |\nabla V|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{\mu_2 R}{c_T^2 c_0} \int_\Omega \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \mu_1 \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_4 \int_\Omega |\nabla m_u|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ v_1 \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{v_2 R}{c_T^2 c_0} \int_\Omega \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ v_3 \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla q}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + v_4 \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla m_u}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ k_1 \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 f(\|V\|^2) |\sigma_{\zeta}| \, d\sigma + \frac{k_2 R}{c_T^2 c_0} \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 T^2 |\sigma_{\zeta}| \, d\sigma \\
+ \frac{k_3}{\|V_{10}\|} \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla V}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 (q - q^* m) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_\Omega \frac{R p_3}{c_T^2 c_0} k_3 \int_\Omega |\sigma_{\zeta}|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{d}{dt} \left( V^2 + \frac{R}{c_T} T^2 + q^2 + m_u^2 \right) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_\Omega \frac{R p_3}{c_T^2 c_0} \frac{\partial \delta_{21} \delta_{22}^* \cdot \frac{W(T)}{\zeta}}{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, dt \\
+ \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{\nabla \cdot \nabla q}{\tilde{p}_3} \right)^2 \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 m_u \delta_{21} \delta_{22}^* \cdot \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 m_u \delta_{21} \delta_{22}^* \cdot \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta. \quad (4.17)
\]

Thanks to the Young inequality, the Hardy inequality and the Hölder inequality, we get

\[
\left| \int_\Omega \delta_{21} \delta_{22}^* \cdot \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \, T^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \\
\leq C \int_\Omega T^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_\Omega |\nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla V)|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla V) \, ds \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
\leq C \int_\Omega T^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int_\Omega |\nabla V|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon C \int_\Omega |\nabla V|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \quad (4.18)
\]

\[
\left| \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_3 \delta_{21} \delta_{22}^* \cdot \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\]
where $C > 0$ denotes a constant independent of time $M$. Using (4.18)–(4.22) and the Young inequality, we deduce

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \left( V^2 + \frac{R}{c_0^2} T^2 + q^2 + m^2 \right) d\sigma d\zeta
+ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S^2} \frac{RT}{\tilde{p}_s} \left( \int_0^t \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \nabla) dt \right)^2 d\sigma + C \| U \|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2
+ C \int_{S^2} T^2 \mid_{\xi^{-1}} d\sigma + C \int_{S^2} f \left( |V| \right) |V|^2 \mid_{\xi^{-1}} d\sigma
\leq C + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \left( V^2 + \frac{R}{c_0^2} T^2 + q^2 + m^2 \right) d\sigma d\zeta,
$$

(4.23)

by applying Gronwall inequality, we can prove (4.16). □

Remark 4.2 Note that, from [17], for the strong solution $V, T$ to the system (2.4)$_{1,2}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{V}|^4 d\sigma d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{V}|^2 \mid_{\xi^{-1}} d\sigma d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 |\tilde{V}|^2 d\sigma d\tau
+ \int_0^t \int_{S^2} |\tilde{V}|^4 \mid_{\xi^{-1}} d\sigma d\tau \leq C(M),
$$

(4.24)

$$
\int_{S^2} |\nabla V|^2 d\sigma + \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \left| \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \nabla) dt \right|^2 d\sigma + \int_0^t \int_{S^2} |\Delta \tilde{V}|^2 d\sigma d\tau \leq C(M),
$$

(4.25)

$$
\int_{\Omega} |V_{\xi}|^2 d\sigma d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V_{\xi}|^2 d\sigma d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |V_{\xi}|^2 d\sigma d\tau
$$
\[ + \int_{S^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 (f(|V|)|\nabla V|)^2 \right) |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma + \int_{S^2} \left( \frac{f(|V|)}{|V|} \right) |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \leq C(M), \quad (4.26) \]

\[ \int_\Omega T^2_\xi \, \, d\xi + \int_\Omega T^2_\xi |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |\nabla T'_{\xi}|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega T^2_{\xi} \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(M), \quad (4.27) \]

\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla (\tilde{q} V)|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p} V) \, d\tau \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |\Delta V|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \]

\[ + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \left( \frac{f(|V|)}{|V|} \right) |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \leq C(M), \quad (4.28) \]

\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla T'|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |\Delta T'|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |\nabla T'|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \]

\[ + \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \left| \nabla T'_{\xi} \right|^2 |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(M), \quad (4.29) \]

and we omit the details of proof here.

**Lemma 4.3** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the specific humidity \( q \) to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[ \int_\Omega |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, d\tau + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, d\tau \]

\[ + \int_0^t \int_{S^2} f(|V_0|)|q|^3 |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M], \quad (4.30) \]

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \).

**Proof** Multiplying (2.4) by \( \tilde{p}, |q|q \) and integrating the result over \( \Omega \), we get

\[ \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}, |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + 2\mu_3 \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 |q| \, d\sigma \, d\xi + 2v_3 \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}, \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right|^2 \, d\sigma \]

\[ + k_\alpha \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}, \left( \frac{g_\xi}{R T} \right)^2 f(|V_0|)|q|^3 |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \frac{1}{3} \int_{S^2} \frac{d\tilde{p}}{dt} \left| q \right|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\xi - \int_\Omega \left( V^* \cdot \nabla \right) q + \xi^* \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right) \tilde{p}, |q|q \, d\sigma \, d\xi \]

\[ + \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}, \left( \frac{g_\xi}{R T} \right)^2 \left( |V_0| \right)^2 |q|^3 |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \]

\[ + \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}, \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \xi^* \frac{W(T)}{\xi} |q|q \, d\sigma \, d\xi. \quad (4.31) \]

By virtue of (3.5) and (4.16), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the Hardy inequality, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality and the Young inequality, we find that

\[ \int_\Omega \left( V^* \cdot \nabla \right) q + \xi^* \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right) \tilde{p}, |q|q \, d\sigma \, d\xi = 0, \quad (4.32) \]
\[
\left| \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{p}_3 \left( \left( \frac{g_\zeta}{RT} \right)^2 f(|V_{10}|)q_m^* |q| q \right) \, d\sigma \right|_{L^1} \leq C + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} f(|V_{10}|) |q|_4^3 \, d\sigma, \tag{4.33}
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla) (W(T)|q|q) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \leq C\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|q\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\left( \|q^\frac{3}{2}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla (q^\frac{3}{2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial (q^\frac{3}{2})}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^\frac{2}{3}
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\left( \|q^\frac{3}{2}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla (q^\frac{3}{2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial (q^\frac{3}{2})}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^\frac{2}{3}
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{2\varepsilon}{9} \left( \|\nabla (q^\frac{3}{2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial (q^\frac{3}{2})}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \tag{4.34}
\]

\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21}\delta_{22} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \int_{0}^{\zeta} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla) \right) q|q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C\left\| \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|q\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \tag{4.34}
\]

\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 \delta_{21}\delta_{22} \tilde{W}(T) \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} |q|q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla) \tilde{W}(T) \right| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ C\left| \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 \nabla) \right) \tilde{W}(T) \right| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \tag{4.35}
\]

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant such that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C\int_{\Omega} |\nabla| |q| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ C\int_{\Sigma} f(|V_{10}|) |q|_4^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta 
\]

\[
\leq C(M) + C\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 |q|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \tag{4.37}
\]

by applying the Gronwall inequality, we get (4.30).
Lemma 4.4 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any $M > 0$ given, the liquid water content $m_w$ to the system (2.4) satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega} |m_w|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w|^2 |m_w| \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \big| m_w \big| \, \partial \nabla w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \, d\tau \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M],
$$

(4.38)

where $C(M) > 0$ denotes a constant dependent of time $M$.

Proof Multiplying (2.4) by $\tilde{p}_l |m_w| m_w$ and integrating the result over $\Omega$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l |m_w|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + 2\mu_3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w|^2 |m_w| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + 2\nu_4 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \big| m_w \big| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\tilde{p}_l}{dt} |m_w|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_{\Omega} \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w + \tilde{p}_l \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_l |m_w| m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
+ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l \partial \zeta \left( \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right) m_w |m_w| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
+ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l \partial \zeta \left( \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right) |m_w| m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta.
$$

(4.39)

Thanks to (3.6), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the Hardy inequality, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality and the Young inequality, we know that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w + \tilde{p}_l \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_l |m_w| m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta = 0,
$$

(4.40)

$$
\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_l \nabla) W(T) |m_w| m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\leq C(\| V \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla V \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) + C \| m_w \|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4
\leq C(M) + C \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\leq C(M) + C \left( \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \partial (m_w) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq C(M) + C \left( \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \partial (m_w) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq C(M) + C \left( \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \partial (m_w) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq C(M) + C \left( \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \partial (m_w) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l |m_w|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{2\mu_3}{9} \left( \| \nabla m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \partial (m_w) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_l |m_w|^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w|^2 |m_w| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
+ \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \big| m_w \big| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta,
$$

(4.41)
\[
\leq C \left\| \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_{3} V) \, ds \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + C \|m_{w}\|_{L^{4}(\Omega)}^{4} \\
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3}|m_{w}|^{3} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{w}|^{2}|m_{w}| \, d\sigma \, d\xi \\\n+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_{w}}{\partial \xi} \right|^{2} |m_{w}| \, d\sigma \, d\xi,
\]
(4.42)

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant such that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3}|m_{w}|^{3} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{w}|^{2}|m_{w}| \, d\sigma \, d\xi + C \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_{w}}{\partial \xi} \right|^{2} |m_{w}| \, d\sigma \, d\xi
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3}|m_{w}|^{3} \, d\sigma \, d\xi,
\]
(4.44)

using the Gronwall inequality, we can obtain (4.38).

\section*{Lemma 4.5} Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the specific humidity \( q \) to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} q^{4} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q|^{2} q^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, dt + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right|^{2} q^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, dt
\leq C(M), \quad t \in [0,M],
\]
(4.45)

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \).

\section*{Proof} Taking the inner product of the (2.4) with \( \tilde{\rho}_{3} q^{3} \) and integrating the result over \( \Omega \), we get

\[
\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3} q^{4} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + 3\mu_{3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q|^{2} q^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + 3\nu_{3} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} \right|^{2} q^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi
\leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{3} \left( f(|V_{10}|) \left( \frac{g\xi}{RT} \right)^{2} q^{4} \right) \bigg|_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \, d\xi
\leq \int_{\Omega} f(|V_{10}|) \left( \frac{g\xi}{RT} \right)^{2} q^{4} \, d\sigma \, d\xi
\]

where \( \tilde{\rho}_{3} \) is a constant dependent of time \( M \).
Using (3.5), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the Hardy inequality, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality and the Young inequality, we know that

\[
\int_\Omega \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) q + \frac{\xi^e}{\xi^\zeta} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_\lambda^e q^2 \, d\zeta = 0, 
\]

(4.47)

\[
\int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_\lambda \tilde{V}) W(T) q^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \leq C \bigg( \int_\Omega \nabla q^2 \bigg) \left( \int_\Omega q^2 \bigg) \leq C(M) \left( \int_\Omega \nabla q^2 \bigg) \left( \int_\Omega q^2 \bigg) \leq C(M) \left( \int_\Omega \nabla q^2 \bigg) \left( \int_\Omega q^2 \bigg)
\]

(4.48)

\[
\leq C(M) + C \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_\lambda q^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \epsilon \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \epsilon \int_\Omega \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \bigg| q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta,
\]

(4.49)

\[
\left| \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_\lambda \tilde{V}) \, vs(T) q^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right) \right| \leq C \left( \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_\lambda \tilde{V}) \, vs(T) q^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega q^6 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(4.50)

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small constant such that

\[
d \left[ \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_\lambda q^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int_\Omega \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \bigg| q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right]
\]

(4.51)
\[ \leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s q^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \quad (4.52) \]

applying the Gronwall inequality, we deduce (4.45).

\textbf{Lemma 4.6} Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the liquid water content \( m_w \) to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[ \int_{\Omega} m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla m_w \|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \, dt + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \, dt \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M], \quad (4.53) \]

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \).

\textbf{Proof} Multiplying (2.4) by \( \tilde{p}_s m_w^3 \) and integrating the result over \( \Omega \), we know

\[ \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + 3\mu_4 \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla m_w \|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]
\[ + 3\nu_4 \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \left( \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 m_w^2 \right) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\tilde{p}_s}{dt} m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_{\Omega} \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w + \zeta^* \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_s m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s h_1 \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right) m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta. \quad (4.54) \]

Thanks to (3.6), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the Hardy inequality, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality and the Young inequality, we get

\[ \int_{\Omega} \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w + \zeta^* \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_s m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta = 0, \quad (4.55) \]
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s \nabla) W(T) m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C \left( \| V \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla V \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \| m_w \|_{L^4(\Omega)}^3 \]
\[ \leq C(M) \left( \| m_w^2 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \| m_w \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla (m_w^2) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial m_w^2}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq C(M) \left( \| m_w^2 \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla (m_w^2) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial m_w^2}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \]
\[ \leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla m_w \|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]
\[ + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \quad (4.56) \]
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) \, ds \right) W(T) m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_0^\zeta \| \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right)^\frac{1}{2} \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\leq C(M) \left( \|m_w^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \nabla s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w| m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, \\
\left| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \zeta \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} m_w^3 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \\
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \nabla s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w| m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, 
\end{align*}
\] (4.57)

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
\leq C(M) + C \int_{\Omega} \nabla s m_w^4 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta, 
\end{align*}
\] (4.59)

by applying the Gronwall inequality, we infer (4.53).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.7** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the specific humidity \( q \) to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} q_{\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q_{\zeta}|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} q_{\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_{\delta_{21}} q^2_{\zeta-1} \, d\sigma \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\delta_{21}} |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M], 
\end{align*}
\] (4.60)

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \).

**Proof** Taking the derivative with respect to \( \zeta \) of (2.4), we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial q_{\zeta}}{\partial t} - \mu_3 \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_3} \Delta q_{\zeta} - v_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 q_{\zeta} \right) \\
+ \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\zeta} + \zeta^* q_{\zeta} \right) + \left( (V^*_\zeta \cdot \nabla) q - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_3} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_3 V^*) q \right) \\
= v_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 q_{\zeta} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \zeta \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \right). 
\end{align*}
\] (4.61)

Multiplying (4.61) by \( \tilde{p}_s q_{\zeta} \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s q_{\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q_{\zeta}|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + v_3 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_3 \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 q_{\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
= \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\tilde{p}_s}{dt} q_{\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_{\Omega} \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\zeta} + \zeta^* q_{\zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_s q_{\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.
By applying the Hardy inequality, we find that

\[ \left| - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_s \zeta} \int_{0}^{r} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{p}_s \nabla V) \, ds \right) \tilde{p}_s \zeta \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \]

\[ = \frac{k_2}{R v_2} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \int_{0}^{r} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{p}_s \nabla V) \, ds \left| q \right|_{\xi_s} + R \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{0}^{r} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{p}_s \nabla V) \, ds \right) q_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]

\[ \leq C(M) + C \| q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{r} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{p}_s \nabla V) \, ds \left\| q_{\xi_s} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \| q_{\xi_s} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]

\[ \leq C(M) + C \| q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \| q_{\xi_s} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \]  

(4.65)

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant, by (4.65),

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial f(|V_{10}|) (|q_m - q|/|q|)_{\xi_s}}{\rho_s \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_s \zeta \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \]

\[ \leq C(M) + C \| q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \| q_{\xi_s} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \]  

(4.66)

Thanks to (2.4) and by the boundary conditions, we know that

\[ v_3 \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s q_{\xi_s} \left( \left( \frac{\rho_s \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 q_{\xi_s} \right)_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s f(|V_{10}|) (q_m - q)_{\xi_s} \left( \frac{\partial q_{\xi_s}}{\partial t} + (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\xi_s} - \frac{\mu_s}{\rho_s} \Delta q_{\xi_s} \right) \, d\sigma \]

\[ - v_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{\rho_s \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 \right) \left| q_{\xi_s} \right|_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \frac{k_3}{2 v_3} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s f(|V_{10}|) q_m^2 \, d\sigma + \frac{k_3}{2 v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \frac{d\tilde{p}_s}{dt} f(|V_{10}|) q_m^2 \, d\sigma \\

- \frac{k_2}{2 v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \nabla q_m^2 \, d\sigma \]

\[ - \frac{k_2}{v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s f(|V_{10}|) (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma \]

\[ - \frac{k_2}{v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{\rho_s \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 \right) f(|V_{10}|) q_m^2 \, d\sigma \]

\[ + k_2 \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s f(|V_{10}|) q_m \left( \frac{\partial q_{\xi_s}}{\partial t} + (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\xi_s} - \frac{\mu_s}{\rho_s} \Delta q_{\xi_s} \right) \, d\sigma \]

\[ - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{\rho_s \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 \right) \left| q_{\xi_s} \right|_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma. \]  

(4.67)

By virtue of (4.16) and (4.26), we obtain

\[ \left| \frac{k_3}{2 v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \frac{d\tilde{p}_s}{dt} f(|V_{10}|) q_m^2 \, d\sigma \right| \leq C \| q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \]  

(4.68)

\[ \left| \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_{\xi_s}^{r} \tilde{p}_s f(|V_{10}|) (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_{\xi_s} \, d\sigma \right| \]
Using (4.63)–(4.71), we have

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_q \cdot \xi \, d\sigma \right) + C \int_\Omega \left| \nabla q \right|^2 \, d\sigma + C \int_\Omega \tilde{p}_q \cdot \xi \, d\sigma + C \int_\Omega \left| \nabla \tilde{q} \right|^2 \, d\sigma \leq C(M) + C(\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \]

which combining with (4.16), (4.26), (4.45) and the Gronwall inequality shows (4.60), where we use the fact that

\[ \left| \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_f (|V|) q_m \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \, d\sigma \, dt \right| \]

\[ = \left| \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_f (|V|) q_m q \right|_{\xi = 1} \, d\sigma - \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_f (|V|) q_m q_0 \, d\sigma \]

\[ - \frac{k_3}{v_3} \int_0^t \int_{S^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_f}{\partial t} (|V|) q_m q \, d\sigma \, dt \]

\[ \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]

Lemma 4.8 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the liquid water content \( m_{lw} \) to the system (2.4) satisfies

\[ \int_\Omega m_{lw}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\tau + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left| \nabla m_{lw} \right|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\tau \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M], \]

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent of time \( M \).
Proof Taking the derivative with respect to $\zeta$ of (2.4)$_4$, we find that

$$\frac{\partial m_{\omega\zeta}}{\partial t} - \mu_4 \frac{1}{\bar{p}_s} \Delta m_{\omega\zeta} - \nu_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{g_\zeta \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 m_{\omega\zeta} \right)$$

$$+ \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_{\omega\zeta} + \zeta^* m_{\omega\zeta} \right) + \left( (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_s} \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_s V^*) m_{\omega\zeta} \right)$$

$$= \nu_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{g_\zeta \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 m_{\omega} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} W(T) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( h_1 \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} W(T) \right) \right).$$

(4.75)

Multiplying (4.75) by $\bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta}$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{\omega\zeta}|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \nu_4 \int_{\Omega} \bar{p}_s \left( \frac{g_\zeta \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 m_{\omega\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_{\Omega} ((V^* \cdot \nabla) m_{\omega\zeta} + \zeta^* m_{\omega\zeta}) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_s} \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_s V^*) m_{\omega\zeta} \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$+ \nu_4 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \left( \frac{g_\zeta \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 m_{\omega} \right) \right) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( h_1 \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} W(T) \right) \right) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} W(T) \right) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta.$$ (4.76)

One can easily check that $(V^*, \zeta^*)$ satisfies

$$- \int_{\Omega} ((V^* \cdot \nabla) m_{\omega\zeta} + \zeta^* m_{\omega\zeta}) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta = 0.$$ (4.77)

By virtue of (4.16), (4.24)–(4.26), (4.53), the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality, the Young inequality and the fact that $V^*_\zeta = V^*_\zeta$, we deduce that

$$- \int_{\Omega} \left( (V^*_\zeta \cdot \nabla) m_w - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_s} \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_s V^*_\zeta) m_{\omega\zeta} \right) \bar{p}_s m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left( |V_\zeta| + |\nabla V_\zeta| \right) m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + |V_\zeta| m_{\omega\zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta$$

$$\leq C \left( \|V_\zeta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2 + \|\nabla V_\zeta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ C \left( \|V_\zeta\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \right)^2$$

$$\leq C \|V_\zeta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla V_\zeta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$+ C \left( \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2$$

$$+ C \left( \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_{\omega\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2.$$
Then we get
\[ \text{which combining with (4.16), Lemma 4.3 and the Gronwall inequality gives (4.74).} \]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.

Using (4.16), the Young inequality and the Hardy inequality, we find that

\[
\left| -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \delta_{2122} \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_{21}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\rho}_{1} V) \, ds \right) \tilde{\rho}_{1} m_{w \xi} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right|
\]
\[
= \left| \int_{\Omega} \delta_{2122} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\rho}_{1} V) \, ds \right) m_{w \xi} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right|
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\rho}_{1} V) \, ds \right) m_{w \xi} \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \|m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega),
\]
\[\text{Using (4.16), the Young inequality and the Hardy inequality, we find that}
\]
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\rho}_{1} V) \delta_{2122} W(T) m_{w \xi} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right|
\]
\[
\leq C(M) + \varepsilon \|m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega),
\]

Then we get
\[
\left| -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \delta_{2122} \frac{W(T)}{\xi} \right) \tilde{\rho}_{1} m_{w \xi} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right| \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \|m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega),
\]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.

Applying (4.77)–(4.81), we deduce that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_{1} m_{w \xi} \, d\sigma + C \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla m_{w \xi}\|^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi + C \int_{\Omega} m_{w \xi}^{2} \, d\sigma \, d\xi \leq C(M) + C(M) \|m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega) + C \|\nabla V_{\xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega) + C \|V_{\xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega)
\]
\[
+ \varepsilon C(\|\nabla m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega) + \|m_{w \xi}\|_{2}^{2}(\Omega)),
\]

which combining with (4.16), Lemma 4.3 and the Gronwall inequality gives (4.74).
Lemma 4.9 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any $M > 0$ given, the specific humidity $q$ to the system (2.4)$_3$ satisfies

$$
\int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\Delta q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\tau + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{S^2} |\nabla q|^2 \, \sigma \, d\sigma \, d\tau \leq C(M), \quad t \in [0, M],
$$

(4.83)

where $C(M) > 0$ denotes a constant dependent on time $M$.

Proof Taking the inner product of (2.4)$_3$ with $\Delta q$, we find that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_3 \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\rho} |\Delta q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \nu_3 \int_\Omega \left( \frac{g \xi}{RT} \right)^2 |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \nu_3 k_3 \int_{S^2} \left( \frac{g \xi}{RT} f(|V|)|\nabla q|^2 \right)_{\zeta=1} \, d\sigma
$$

$$
= \int_\Omega (V^* \cdot \nabla) q \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_\Omega \dot{\zeta} q \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \int_\Omega \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \xi W(T) \right) \dot{\rho}_q \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
+ \int_{S^2} \left( \frac{g \xi}{RT} f(|V|) q_m \Delta q \right)_{\zeta=1} \, d\sigma.
$$

(4.84)

Thanks to (4.16) and (4.25), we get

$$
\left| \int_\Omega (V^* \cdot \nabla) q \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\leq C \|V^* \nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
$$

$$
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
$$

$$
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) + \epsilon \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
$$

$$
\leq C \left( 1 + \|V\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^8 \right) \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon C \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
$$

$$
\leq C(M) \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon C \|\Delta q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
$$

(4.85)

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant.

By (4.16), (4.28) and (4.60), we infer

$$
\left| \int_\Omega \dot{\zeta} q \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\leq C \int_{S^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\zeta \left| \nabla \cdot (\vec{\rho}_q V^*) \right| \, ds + \left| \frac{\partial \vec{\rho}_q}{\partial \zeta} \right| q \, |\Delta q| \, d\zeta \right) \, d\sigma
$$

$$
\leq C \int_{S^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \nabla \cdot (\vec{\rho}_q V^*) \right|^2 \, d\zeta \right) + \epsilon \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
$$

$$
\leq C \left( \int_{S^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \nabla \cdot (\vec{\rho}_q V^*) \right|^2 \, d\zeta \right) \, d\sigma \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{S^2} \left( \int_0^1 |q|^2 \, d\zeta \right) \, d\sigma \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
$$
\[ + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq C \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_{S^2} | \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V^*) |^4 \, d\sigma + C(M) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\xi \right) \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_{S^2} | q_\xi |^4 \, d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\xi \right) \]
\[ + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq C \left( \int_0^1 \| \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V^*) \|_{L^2(S^2)} \| \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V^*) \|_{H^1(S^2)} \, d\xi + C(M) \right) \]
\[ \times \left( \int_0^1 \| q_\xi \|_{L^2(S^2)} \| q_\xi \|_{H^1(S^2)} \, d\xi \right) + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq C \left( \| \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(M) \right) \left( \| \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \Delta (\hat{p}_s V) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \]
\[ \times \| q_\xi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \| q_\xi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla q_\xi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ \leq C(M) + C(M) \| \Delta V \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \| \nabla q_\xi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.86) \]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.

Applying (4.16), (4.60), the Hardy inequality and the Young inequality, we also obtain
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \frac{1}{P_s} \left( \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V) \, ds \right) \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right| \]
\[ \leq C \| \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.87) \]
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \frac{1}{P_s} \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_s V \Delta q) \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right| \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.88) \]
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \frac{g \xi}{RT} \int_0^{\delta_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} q_\sigma \Delta q \, d\sigma \right) \, d\sigma \right| \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \| \nabla q_\sigma \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.89) \]
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \delta_2 \hat{\xi} W(T) \hat{p}_s \Delta q \, d\sigma \, d\xi \right| \leq C(M) + \varepsilon \| \Delta q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.90) \]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.

By (4.85)−(4.90), we obtain
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega | \nabla q |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + C \int_\Omega | \Delta q |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + C \int_\Omega | \nabla q_\xi |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \]
\[ + C \int_{S^2} | \nabla q |^2 |_{\xi=1} \, d\sigma \]
\[ \leq C(M) + C(M) \| \nabla q \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \Delta V \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.91) \]

which combining with (4.16), (4.28), (4.60) and the Gronwall inequality shows (4.83). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.10** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, for any \( M > 0 \) given, the liquid water content \( m_w \) to the system (2.4) satisfies
\[ \int_\Omega | \nabla m_w |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi + \int_0^t \int_\Omega | \Delta m_w |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, d\tau \]
\[ + \int_0^t \int_\Omega | \nabla m_w \xi |^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \, d\tau \]
Taking the inner product of (2.4) with $\Delta m_w$, we know that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla m_w|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_4 \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\rho} |\Delta m_w|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \nu_4 \int_\Omega \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 |\nabla m_w^*|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
= \int_\Omega (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_\Omega \dot{\varepsilon}^* m_w \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
+ \int_\Omega h_1 \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \zeta \right) \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \dot{p}_1 \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
- \int_\Omega \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \zeta \frac{W(T)}{\zeta} \dot{p}_1 \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta. 
$$

(4.93)

By (4.16) and (4.25), we obtain

$$
\left| \int_\Omega (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\leq C \int_\Omega |V^*|^2 |\nabla m_w|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\bar{M}
+ \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\leq C (1 + \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^8) \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon C \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\leq C(M) \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon C \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},
$$

(4.94)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small constant.

Thanks to (4.16), (4.28) and (4.74), we have

$$
\left| \int_\Omega \dot{\varepsilon}^* m_w \Delta m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\leq C \int_\Sigma \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\zeta |\nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V^*)| \, |ds| + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_1}{\partial \sigma} \right| \right) |m_w| |\Delta m_w| \, d\zeta \right) \, d\sigma
\leq C \int_\Sigma \left( \int_0^1 |\nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V^*)|^2 \, d\zeta + C(M) \int_0^1 |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right) \, d\sigma + \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\leq C \left( \int_\Sigma \left( \int_0^1 |\nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V^*)|^2 \, d\zeta + C(M) \right) \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_\Sigma \left( \int_0^1 |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^2 \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2}
+ \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\leq C \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_\Sigma |\nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V^*)|^4 \, d\sigma + C(M) \right)^{1/4} \, d\zeta \right) \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_\Sigma |m_w|^4 \, d\sigma \right)^{1/4} \, d\zeta \right)
+ \varepsilon \|\Delta m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$

(4.95)
where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small constant.

By (4.28), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the Hardy inequality and the Young inequality, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\| \int_{\Omega} h_{1\frac{1}{2}} \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{W(T)}{\xi} \right) \frac{\partial_{\xi} \Delta m_{w}}{\xi} d\sigma d\xi \right. \\
&\quad - \left. \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{W(T)}{\xi} \frac{\partial_{\xi} \Delta m_{w}}{\xi} d\sigma d\xi \right\| \\
&\leq C \left\| \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{W(T)}{\xi} \frac{\partial_{\xi} \Delta m_{w}}{\xi} d\sigma d\xi \right. \\
&\quad + C \left\| \int_{\Omega} \left( \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \partial_{\xi} V) d\xi \right) W(T) \Delta m_{w} d\sigma d\xi \right. \\
&\quad + C \left\| \int_{\Omega} \Delta m_{w} d\sigma d\xi \right. \\
&\leq C(M) + \epsilon \| \Delta m_{w} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \tag{4.96}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( C(M) > 0 \) denotes a constant dependent on time \( M \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small constant such that

\[
\frac{d}{d\xi} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{w}|^2 d\sigma d\xi + C \int_{\Omega} |\Delta m_{w}|^2 d\sigma d\xi + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_{w}|^2 d\sigma d\xi \\
\leq C(M) + C(M) \| \Delta V \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(M) \| \nabla m_{w} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \tag{4.97}
\]

thanks to the Gronwall inequality, we deduce (4.92).

\( \square \)

## 5 Conclusions

### 5.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

**Proof** By (4.16), (4.26)–(4.29), (4.60), (4.74), (4.83), (4.92) and the proof of the short time existence in Refs. [10, 23], we can extend the strong solution \( U \) to the system (2.4) beyond \( M_{*} \), contradicting the fact that \( M_{*} \) is a finite maximal time of existence. This contradiction means that \( M_{*} = +\infty \); then we get the global existence of strong solution.

Next, we will show the uniqueness of global strong solution as follows: let \((V_1, T_1', q_1, m_{w1})\) and \((V_2, T_2', q_2, m_{w2})\) be two strong solutions of system (2.4) on the time interval \([0, M]\) with the initial data \((V_{01}, T_{01}', q_{01}, m_{w01})\) and \((V_{02}, T_{02}', q_{02}, m_{w02})\), respectively. Define \( V = V_1 - V_2, T' = T_1' - T_2', q = q_1 - q_2, m_{w} = m_{w1} - m_{w2} \). Then \( V, T', q, m_{w} \) satisfy the
following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} \Delta V - \nu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\| \dot{V} \|^2}{R^2 T^2} \right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\tens{p}_V V) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

with the initial data and boundary conditions as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(V|_{t=0}, T|_{t=0}, q|_{t=0}, m_w|_{t=0}) &= (V_01, V_02, T_01, T_02, q_{01}, q_{02}, m_{w01}, m_{w02}), \\
(V, T, q, m_w)(\theta, \pi, \lambda, \zeta) &= (V, T, q, m_w)(\theta, \pi, \lambda + 2\pi, \zeta), \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}|_{\zeta = 0} = 0, & \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}|_{\zeta = 0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta}|_{\zeta = 0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta}|_{\zeta = 0} = 0, \\
(V_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} + k_3 (f(|V_1|) V_1 - f(|V_2|) V_2)|_{\zeta = 1} = 0, \\
(V_2 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \zeta} + k_2 T)|_{\zeta = 1} = 0, & \quad (V_3 \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} + k_2 f(|V_1|) q)|_{\zeta = 1} = 0, \quad (V_4 \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} + k_2 f(|V_1|) m_w)|_{\zeta = 1} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that, from [17], we can find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tens{p}_V \| V \|^2 d\sigma + \frac{R}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tens{p}_V \left( \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tens{p}_V V) d\tau \right) \| V \|^2 d\sigma &+ \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \| V \|^2 d\sigma + \nu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\| \dot{V} \|^2}{R^2 T^2} \right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\tens{p}_V V) d\sigma d\zeta \\
&+ (f(|V_1|) V_1 - f(|V_2|) V_2) \cdot (V_1 - V_2) \Bigg|_{\zeta = 1} d\sigma \\
&\leq C(M) (1 + \| \nabla V \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \| V \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| T \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&+ R \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_0^\xi \nabla \cdot (\tens{p}_V V) d\sigma d\zeta \\
&+ \| V \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| V \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
\]
and

\[
\frac{R}{\epsilon_0^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s T^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{R \mu_2}{c_p \epsilon_0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \frac{RV_2}{c_p \epsilon_0} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \frac{k_2 R}{c_p \epsilon_0^2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_s \left( \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 T^2 \right)_{|\zeta=1} \, d\sigma
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(M) (1 + \|\nabla T^*_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \|T^*\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[-R \int_{\Omega} T^* \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) \, ds \right) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \epsilon \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|\nabla T^*\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|T^*\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

(5.4)

Next, multiplying (5.1) by \(\tilde{p}_s q\), we know

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + v_3 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_s \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ k_3 \int_{S^2} \tilde{p}_s \left( \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right)^2 f(|V_{10}|) q^2 \right)_{|\zeta=1} \, d\sigma
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\tilde{p}_s}{dt} q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta - \int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{p}_s V^*_2 - \nabla q - \left( \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*_2) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right) q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[-\int_{\Omega} (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_2 \tilde{p}_s \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \, q d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[-\int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) \, ds \right) W(T) q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[+ \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V) W(T) q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta,
\]

(5.5)

by applying (4.16), (4.24)–(4.26) and (4.45), we get

\[
-\int_{\Omega} \left( V^*_1 \cdot \nabla q - \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_s} \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_s V^*_1) - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_s}{\partial t} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{p}_s q \right) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta = 0,
\]

(5.6)

\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} (V^* \cdot \nabla) q_2 \tilde{p}_s \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right|
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{\Omega} |V| |q| |\nabla q_2| \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla q_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|^\frac{1}{2}_{L^2(\Omega)} (\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|V_\xi\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^\frac{3}{2}
\]

\[
\times \|q_1\|^\frac{1}{2}_{L^2(\Omega)} (\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q_\xi\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla q_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|^\frac{1}{2}_{L^2(\Omega)} + C (1 + \|\nabla q_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|q_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
+ \epsilon \|V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|\nabla q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|q_\xi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(M) \|q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|V_\xi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{\xi} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V^\omega) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial \zeta} \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C \int_{S_0} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |V|^2 + |\nabla V|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |q_{2\zeta}|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |q|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\sigma \]
\[ \leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \int_{S_0} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |q_{2\zeta}|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |q|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{S_0} |q|^4 \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \]
\[ \leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|q_{2\zeta}\|_{L^2(S_0)} \|q_{2\zeta}\|_{H^1(S_0)} \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|q\|_{L^2(S_0)} \|q\|_{H^1(S_0)} \, d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \|q_{2\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|q_{2\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \times \left( \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \leq C(M)(1 + \|\nabla q_{2\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ + \varepsilon \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \] (5.7)

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_1 V) W(T) q \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \right| \leq C + C\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \] (5.8)

By (5.6)–(5.10), we have:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_1 q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla q|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + v_3 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_1 \left( \frac{g_{\xi}}{RT} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \]
\[ + k_3 \int_{S_0} \left. \tilde{p}_1 \left( f(|V_{10}|) \left( \frac{g_{\xi}}{RT} \right)^2 q^2 \right) \right|_{\zeta=1} \, d\sigma \]
\[ \leq C\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(M)(1 + \|\nabla q_{2\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]
\[ + \varepsilon \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \] (5.11)
Similarly, taking the inner product of (5.1) with \( \tilde{p}_w m_w \), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_w m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \mu_4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla m_w|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + \nu_4 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_w \left( \frac{g \zeta}{RT} \right) \left| \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \right|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\tilde{p}_w}{dt} m_w^2 \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \int_{\Omega} - \left( \tilde{p}_w (V_1^* \cdot \nabla) m_w \right)
\]

\[
- \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V_1^*) - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_w}{\partial t} \zeta \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \, m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \int_{\Omega} (V^* \cdot \nabla) m_w \tilde{p}_w m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_w} \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V^*) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \tilde{p}_w m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \int_{\Omega} \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V) \, W(T) m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Omega} \delta_{21} \delta_{22} V(\tilde{p}_w \cdot \nabla) W(T) m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \int_{\Omega} \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V) \, W(T) m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
= \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V^*) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \tilde{p}_w m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta = 0, \quad (5.12)
\]

then using (4.16), (4.24)–(4.26) and (5.53), we obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V^*) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \tilde{p}_w m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{\Omega} |V| \|m_w| \nabla m_w| \| |d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_w\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|^\frac{1}{2} \|m_w\|^\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + \|\nabla m_w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\]

\[
+ \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(M) \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\]

\[
+ \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla w|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (5.14)
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^\zeta \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_w V^*) \, ds \right) \frac{\partial m_w}{\partial \zeta} \tilde{p}_w m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^\zeta (|V|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) \, ds \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^\frac{1}{2} \, d\sigma
\]

\[
\leq C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^1 |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right)^2 \, d\sigma \right)^\frac{1}{2}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\times \left( \int_{S^2} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |m_w|^2 \, d\zeta \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&\leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{S^2} |m_w|^4 \, d\sigma \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&\times \left( \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{S^2} |m_w|^4 \, d\zeta \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&\leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_w\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \, d\zeta \right) \\
&\times \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_w\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \, d\zeta \right) \\
&\leq C(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \left( \int_{0}^{1} \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\
&\times \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\
&\leq C(M) (1 + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \\
&\quad (5.15)
\end{align*}
\]

Thanks to (5.13)–(5.17), we deduce

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \int\sum_{\delta 22} \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_w V)W(T)m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
&\leq C + C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \\
&\quad (5.16)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \int\sum_{\delta 22} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_w V) \, d\sigma \right) W(T)m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta \\
&\leq C + C \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \\
&\quad (5.17)
\end{align*}
\]

Summing (5.3), (5.4), (5.11) and (5.18), we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int\sum_{\delta 21} \nabla \cdot (\hat{p}_w V) \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int\sum_{\delta 22} \nabla m_w \, d\sigma \, d\zeta + C \int\sum_{\delta 22} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \nabla m_w \, d\sigma \right) \frac{1}{2} \, d\zeta \\
&\leq C(M) + C(M) (1 + \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|m_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \\
&\quad (5.18)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \leq C(M)(1 + \| \nabla V_{2\xi} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{\xi}|V|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \\
+ C(M)(1 + \| \nabla T_{2\xi} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{\xi}T^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \\
+ C(M)(1 + \| \nabla q_{2\xi} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{\xi}q^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi \\
+ C(M)(1 + \| \nabla m_{w2\xi} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_{\xi}m_{w}^2 \, d\sigma \, d\xi, \] (5.19)

applying the Gronwall inequality, we can complete the proof. □
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