Evaluation of the Acromioclavicular Joint Morphology for Minimizing Subacromial Erosion after Surgical Fixation of the Joint Using a Clavicular Hook Plate
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Background: Subacromial erosion remains a major concern after surgical fixation of acromioclavicular (AC) joint using a clavicular hook plate. To minimize postoperative subacromial erosion, we investigated the structural relationship between distal clavicle and acromion around the AC joint by considering the surgical fixation of the joint using the hook plate technique.

Methods: Computed tomography scans of 101 AC joints without any inherent pathology were analyzed. The angle between the distal clavicle and acromion around the AC joint (AC angle), depth of the acromion, differences in height between distal clavicle and acromion (AC height difference), and thickness of distal clavicle and acromion at the AC joint were measured. Descriptive statistics were calculated for each anatomical parameter, and all results were compared between gender groups.

Results: The mean AC angle was 17.1° (range, -8.0° to 39.0°), and the mean AC height difference was 3.5 mm (range, -0.7 to 8.7 mm). Both factors showed very high variability (coefficients of variation = 62.6% and 46.6%, respectively). The mean AC angle was significantly higher in the female gender than in the male gender (19.8° vs. 13.8°, p = 0.048). The mean acromion thickness and distal clavicular thickness were both significantly thinner in the female group than in the male group (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: Taken together, we believe our results might be helpful in minimizing postoperative subacromial erosion when performing surgical fixation of the AC joint using the hook plate, and be valuable in improving future design of the hook plate.

(Clin Shoulder Elbow 2018;21(3):138-144)
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Introduction

Surgical fixation of the acromioclavicular (AC) joint dislocation or unstable fracture of the distal clavicle involves a complicated procedure for orthopedic surgeons. The recently developed clavicular hook plate is designed to be fixed on the distal clavicle with its hook being inserted beneath the acromion, just posterior to the AC joint. The hook works as a lever for depressing the clavicle to the level of the acromion at the AC joint, and facilitate bony or ligamentous healing.¹ ² Several studies have described favorable surgical outcomes and fast bony union or ligamentous healing with few complications.² ³ However, complications such as postoperative pain, acromial erosion (Fig. 1), or fractures are known to occur.⁸ ¹² To minimize these complications, the pressure between the hook tip and undersurface of the acromion needs to be dispersed.² ³ Since the designing of the hook plate requires it to be placed across the distal clavicle and the acromion around the AC joint, a thorough knowledge of the structural relationship between these two bony structures is important for the safe positioning. Although one previous study described the surgical anatomy around the AC joint in relation to the hook plate implantation,¹ it mainly focused on the anatomy of the
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horizontal plane of the acromion and did not include the coro-
nal plane structural relationship between these two bones. The
current study therefore aims to investigate the in vivo coronal
plane structural relationship between the distal clavicle and ac-
romion around the AC joint using computed tomography (CT)
of the shoulder joint. We believe that the results generated from
the data would help in the selection and bending of the hook
plate, and be valuable to facilitate in improving the future design
of the hook plate from the perspective of surgical fixation of the
AC joint using this technique.

Methods

Patient Selection

Between January 2007 and October 2011, 427 patients who
underwent CT evaluations for AC joint at our institute were
eligible for the current study. The inclusion criteria enrolled
patients with CT scans comprising clear images of the AC joint
without any pathology. Exclusion criteria were as follows: AC
joint arthritis, glenohumeral joint arthritis, fracture in the scapula
or the clavicle, previous history of AC joint injury, and presence
of os acromiale. The CT images inappropriate for analysis were
also excluded. Of the 427 cases considered, 101 cases were
included in the final analysis. Diagnosis of the patients enrolled
in the present study are listed in Table 1. CT scans were done
for the patients with anterior instability to assess the bony defect
on the glenoid. There were 61 male and 40 female patients; 72
were right side and 29 were left side cases. Mean age of patients
was 25.8 years (range, 16 to 39 years; standard deviation [SD],
5.88).

Computed Tomography Scanning

CT scan was performed with a spiral, double-slice CT system
(Brilliance 64; Philips Electronics, Eindhoven, Netherlands). Us-
ing a Y-shaped filter, 1 mm slices were produced with a slice
increment of 0.5 mm, at 350 mA and 140 kV, with a matrix of
512×512 pixels. All images were obtained with the patient in
the supine position.

Measurement of the Anatomical Parameters

Anatomical parameters used in present study were measured
in the coronal plane of the CT images and are summarized in
Table 2. The measurement protocol of the anatomical para-
eters is described in Fig. 2 and 3. We also assessed the thicknesses
of the acromion and the distal clavicle. All the measurements
were performed using the PiviewSTAR measurement tools (Pi-
view 5.08; Infinitt, Seoul, Korea). For assessing the reliability, all
measurements were continually done by a single observer, and
repeated by another independent observer blinded to the prior
observer’s results. Descriptive statistics were performed for each
anatomical parameter. All results were then compared between
the gender groups.

Statistical Analysis

Intraclass correlation coefficients for continuous variables
and Cohen’s kappa for nominal variables were used for estimat-
ing the inter- and intra-observer reliability. Interpretation of the
Kappa value was based on the criteria provided by Landis and
Koch[13]: ≥0.81, almost perfect agreement; 0.61 to 0.80, sub-
stantial; 0.41 to 0.60, moderate; 0.21 to 0.40, fair; 0 to 0.20,
slight. The following criteria were used for interpreting intraclass

Table 1. Diagnoses of the Patients

| Diagnosis                                      | No. of case |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
| Anterior instability of the shoulder joint    | 57 (56.4)  |
| Bony neoplasm of the proximal humerus of the scapula | 19 (18.8) |
| Shoulder contusion without fracture           | 10 (9.9)   |
| AC joint appeared on chest CT images          | 15 (14.9)  |
| Total                                         | 101 (100)  |

Values are presented as number (%).
AC: acromioclavicular, CT: computed tomography.

Table 2. Anatomical Parameters Used in the Present Study

| Parameters                                | Definition                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Acromioclavicular (AC) angle              | The angle between the upper surface of the distal clavicle at the AC joint and lower surface of the acromion just posterior to the AC joint (Fig. 2A–C) |
| Depth of the acromion                    | The vertical distance between the upper margin of the distal clavicle at the AC joint and lower margin of the acromion just posterior to the AC joint (Fig. 2D) |
| AC height difference                     | The distance between the upper margin of the distal clavicle and upper margin of the acromion at the AC joint (Fig. 3) |

*Acute angle was used for measuring the AC angle.
†Positive value means the distal clavicle is higher than the acromion.
correlation coefficient values: 1, perfect reliability; 0.8 to 1, very good; 0.61 to 0.8, good; 0.41 to 0.6, moderate; ≤0.4, poor. 13,14

To analyze the individual variability of the anatomical parameters, we estimated coefficients of variation (CV) for each parameter: <10% low variation, 10% to 20% medium variation, 20% to 30% high variation, and >30% very high variation. 13,14 The independent two sample Student t-test compared the continuous variables, and the Mann-Whitney U-test compared the ordinal variables between the gender groups. The chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to compare variables with nominal scales. The SPSS software package ver. 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Measurement Reliability
The intra-observer reliability of repeated measurements ranged from 0.816 to 0.945, and the inter-observer reliability ranged from 0.702 to 0.926. Our results demonstrate that all measurements done in the current study have good to excellent measurement reliability.13,14

Anatomical Parameters
The anatomical parameters evaluated are listed in Table 3. The mean AC angle was 17.1° ± 10.51°, ranging from -8° to 39° with very high variability (CV=62.6%). The mean AC height difference was 3.5 ± 1.65 mm and ranged between -0.7 to 8.7 with a very high variability (CV=46.6%). Of the 101 cases evaluated, 100 cases (99.0%) showed positive AC height difference, and 1 case showed negative AC height difference (-0.7 mm). The depth of the acromion, thickness of the acromion, and the distal clavicle all showed medium variability (CV=16.6%, 15.0%, and 12.9%, respectively).

Differences of the Anatomical Parameters between Genders
Comparison of anatomical parameters between genders are listed in Table 4. The mean AC angle is significantly higher in female than in male gender (p=0.048). The mean depth of the acromion and mean AC height difference were significantly greater in male than in female gender (p=0.001 and 0.013, respectively). The mean acromion thickness and the distal clavicular thickness were significantly thinner in the female group than male group (p<0.001 for both factors).
Retrospective Power Analysis

We performed a retrospective power analysis considering the AC angle as the primary outcome measurement. With the statistical model of two-tailed independent two sampled Student t-test, the standardized effect size of this statistical analysis is 0.576 (19.8–13.8/10.42; 10.42 is a pooled SD of the two gender groups). With the significance level at 0.05, the power of this statistical analysis was calculated as 80.1%.

Discussion

The current study analyzed the coronal plane structural anatomy around the AC joint and its variability between individuals, and evaluated the differences between genders. Furthermore, we propose several clinically relevant anatomical parameters in the perspective of surgical fixation of the AC joint using the hook plate.

Although several authors account complications related to the stress concentration on the undersurface of the acromion after hook plate fixation,8,9,16) surgical anatomy of the AC joint in relation to the hook plate fixation is seldom reported in literature, except one previous study. ElMaraghy et al.2) analyzed the acromion anatomy of 15 cadaveric samples using a three-dimensional model. They analyzed the antero-posterior width at the lateral aspect of the acromion, medial to lateral length of the acromion, and its thickness. They concluded that these anatomical parameters are unique for each patient, and therefore ‘standard’ acromial dimensions could not be found. Another conclusion was that a substantial portion of the samples revealed the hook to be in contact with the supraspinatus tendon, subacromial bursa, and acromial bone, with stress concentrated at the hook tip. This study is relevant since it evidences the concerns of subacromial structural damage after hook plate fixation. However, the study did not include the clinically relevant coronal plane structural relationship between the distal clavicle and the acromion during execution of the hook plate technique.

In the current study, the angle between the distal clavicle at the AC joint and the acromion just posterior to the AC joint was observed to be variable (AC angle, 16.8° ± 10.38°). Since the plate to be fixed on the distal clavicle and the hook to be inserted beneath the acromion are designed as a fixed angle in shape, the point of contact between the hook tip and the undersurface of the acromion seems inevitable in many cases (Fig. 4). The hook plate is designed such that it maintains the normal biomechanics of the AC joint, and the hook portion is free to move during arm elevation.17) Many authors suggest that if the point contact between hook tip and undersurface of the acromion occurs, this movement of the hook under the acromion might con-
sequently result in bony erosion.\textsuperscript{2,8,10,18,19} Hence, to avoid stress concentration between the hook tip and the undersurface of the acromion, bending of the hook portion or plate portion of the plate in accordance with the AC angle might be necessitated. We therefore suggest that the AC angle, which shows very high individual variability in our results, should be thoroughly examined for each patient (Fig. 2, 5).

The depth of the acromion at the AC joint might be important when choosing the depth of the acromial hook plate. Inserting a plate with smaller depth of the hook portion than the depth of the acromion could result in over-reduction of the AC joint as well as occurrence of pin point contact between the hook tip and the acromion. Many studies recommend using an accurate depth of the hook of the plate to avoid excessive pressure on the undersurface of the acromion.\textsuperscript{3,8,18}

In terms of AC height difference, the mean value in the current study was 3.5 mm, and almost all cases showed positive values (except 1 case with -0.7 mm). This indicates that the distal clavicle is usually located at a higher position than the acromion in the AC joint. It might be clinically important for determining the proper reduction of the AC joint. For example, in AC joints with large positive AC height difference before injury, neutralization of the AC height difference after surgical fixation could indicate excessive depression of the distal clavicle. Over-reduction of the AC joint causes excessive stress on the distal clavicle, and especially on the point contact area of the acromion. The large AC angle, inappropriate selection of the depth of the hook, and over-reduction of the AC joint result from the inconsequential to the normal positive AC height difference, and all these factors aggravate the stresses imposed on the undersurface of the acromion by the hook.

We observed significant differences between genders in the anatomy around the AC joint, which we believe to be a clinically relevant observation. Similarly, Wisanuyotin et al.\textsuperscript{20} also reported that the anatomy of the clavicle is significantly different between genders. In the present study, the female gender has a significantly larger AC angle (13.8° vs. 19.8°), and thinner distal clavicle (12.2 mm vs. 10.9 mm) and acromion (8.7 mm vs. 7.7 mm) as compared to the male gender. This might indicate that the female patients are at a higher risk of having complications related to the stresses on the undersurface of the acromion, although we do not have evidences to prove this assumption. ElMaraghy et al.\textsuperscript{2} similarly suggests that females might have more complications after surgical fixation of the AC joint using the hook plate. They describe that despite the hook being positioned posterior to the AC joint, the base of the hook still rests in the middle of supraspinatus fossa, which may subsequently lead to subacromial impingement between the hook and the supraspinatus tendon. Further studies analyzing the relationship between the occurrence of postoperative complications and the degree of the AC angle or the gender are required to validate this hypothesis.

The current study has many limitations. The main drawback of this study is that we could not conduct a statistical comparison between the groups with and without subacromial erosion after surgical fixation with the hook plate. After experiencing the first case with subacromial erosion subsequent to the use of the hook plate, we initiated bending the hook of the plate prior to final fixation in accordance with the AC angle assessed with in-
traoperative fluoroscope. Hence, there are insufficient cases with subacromial erosion for performing the statistical comparison to confirm that large AC angle is a prognostic factor for subacromial erosion. Second, since there are no prior literatures describing the AC angle that can be used as a reference value for sample size calculation in comparison between gender groups, we were unable to perform sample size calculation before collecting data. However, we performed a retrospective power analysis for the AC angle between the two gender groups. The result showed the beta error probability of the analysis was low enough for a clinical study (power=80.1%). Third, our measurements to estimate the structural relationship between the acromion and the distal clavicle were based only on the coronal plane, which may not be the correct representation of the three-dimensional relationship. Fourth, all the CT scans were performed on patients in the supine position; thus, our findings may differ slightly from scans performed in the standing or sitting position. Fifth, this study includes the shoulder joints with anterior instability, contusion, and neoplasm, which may cause subtle abnormalities in the structural relationship at the AC joint. However, we used strict criteria in selecting subjects in order to reflect the closest to normal anatomy of the AC joint. In spite of all these limitations, we believe that our observations could be helpful in improving the future design of the hook plate, and also be the corner stone for future studies investigating the relationship between the post-operative acromial complications and the anatomy around the AC joint.

Conclusion

The angle between the distal clavicle and the acromion around the AC joint is not parallel in many cases, and there are high individual variabilities in this angle. The distal clavicle seems to be usually located higher than the acromion at the AC joint. The female gender has a greater angle between the distal clavicle and the acromion, and thinner acromion and distal clavicle around the AC joint. During surgical fixation of the AC joint using the hook plate, considering these anatomies may help in selecting and bending the hook plate. Moreover, our results may help improve the future designs of the hook plate for minimizing postoperative acromial erosion.
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