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ABSTRACT

The present study uses a review of the literature and the views of tourism and management professors and experts in order to the identification of organizational intelligence elements in tourism management (STO) for the first time. The census method was used to determine the research sample and the Delphi technique was used to design the questionnaire. Construct divergence was then used to determine the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. The final results indicated that providing e-services for tourists had the greatest impact on the development of STO (loading factor: 0.677). Moreover, New Tourism Marketing Methods (NTMM) had the least effect on the development of STO (loading factor: 0.431). Overall, the most important achievement of the present research is introducing the concept of STO in tourism management.

1. Introduction

Tourism organizations are facing radical changes at the beginning of the new millennium. Modern technologies used for the organization of industries such as the tourism industry have eliminated a number of occupations and specializations and have instead created some new occupations and specializations. On the other hand, tourism is the organization’s industry and the organization of tourism is a complex process with several units. Organizations in tourism can be classified by ownership, function or type of activity and their consumer class. Small business units such as travel agencies and Royal hotels are examples of tourism organizations. Also, tourism is one of the pioneer industries in the application of new age technologies. Thus the structure of tourism organizations such as travel companies, hotels, and airlines will be changed by new technologies in the near future.
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2018) and seeks a new type of organization in the subject of STO. This new type of organization recognizes the different dimensions of intelligence in the external environment of organizations and seeks to train them. The primary purpose of this study is to select the dimensions of intelligence in tourism organizations and the effect of these dimensions on the development of a Smart Tourism Organization and finally designing of Smart Tourism Organization (STO) for Tourism Management for tourism organization of South Khorasan province. The main criterion for determining the factors is the Delphi methodology of the experts.

2. Theory

The idea of a smart organization has a profound impact on how they think about the life of these organizations (Al Shobaki et al., 2018:49). In the 1990s, since the development of ICT was growing, this subject gained popularity which changed the economic surroundings as well as the growth in market competition. A smart organization is an organization having the capacity for creating, acquiring, classifying and sharing knowledge as well as applying in order to increase the global market growth in market competition. A smart organization is an organization that has all the capacity of creating, acquiring, classifying and sharing knowledge as well as applying in order to increase the global market growth in market competition.

2.1. Structural intelligence

Structural intelligence is very important in tourism management. The number of holidays per tourist has been increasing, according to the current tourism trends (Santana and Gil, 2018:315). The importance of increasing competition between tourist destinations is also growing. The kind of intelligence is one of the pillars of competition in tourism (Ghorbani et al., 2014:126). This type of intelligence includes non-human knowledge resources in the organization, which are instilled and stored in the organization’s database, organizational chart, executive directives for processes, strategies, administrative plans and similar items, and is more important to the organization than its material (raw material).

2.2. Human and organizational intelligence

Many tourism products actually include people as an integral sector of the service (Baum, 2016). In other words, HRM remains at the forefront of global tourism challenges. In the modern world, tourism human resources are becoming increasingly important with the paradigm shift in the man factor of production from physical products to products of human creativity. Education has thus become increasingly crucial and employment increasingly competitive (Brata and Pemayun, 2018:187). Developmental and organizational behavioral specialists must give their attention to organizational intelligence. Organizational intelligence is as crucial to empowering dependent forces in essential activities and processes ruling the organizational life. Organizations which apply organizational intelligence a significant increasing have been observed in the efficiency of using the existing knowledge structures in line with their targets. Operational and restricted-to-use-in-the-organizational-layers for use by managers have made this knowledge empowered (Rezaei et al., 2018:222).

2.3. Technological intelligence (TI)

The rapid increasing of the internet and other new technologies has converted the organization of the tourism (Triebel et al., 2016:116). On the other hand, since competition in the tourism is strongly growing, it has become vital for businesses to investigate the capabilities of new technologies (Neuhofer et al., 2015:234). The main purpose of this type of intelligence in the tourism organization is to use possible opportunities to eliminate possible risks, by providing up-to-date information about technology trends in the organizational environment (Kilic et al., 2016). In the postmodern organization, scientific/technological intelligence has experienced a scarcity period followed by a period of popularization and now is moving towards the era of intelligence holography (Wu et al., 2018:2). The existence of this type of intelligence in tourism organizations is consequently very important.

2.4. Competitive Intelligence (CI)

Competitive Intelligence (CI) is a process which identifies the information required for decision-makers about their competitors. It further collects data from public sources, gives meaning to and analyzes data so as to answer the information demands and transfer the results to decision-makers (Colchado and Melgar, 2018:772). The tourism organization uses these analyses to make better strategic decisions. CI also helps tourism companies learn more about themselves and their competitors. It helps tourism organization improve their decision-making and strategy-building; essentially, it helps organizations improve their productivity and efficiency and leads them to greater profits (Mohamad et al., 2018:1022).

2.5. Environmental intelligence

Managers in search of long-term success must take account of the industry to increase their chances of staying ahead of the variations occurring in the environment of business (Jogaratnam and Law, 2006; Costa and Teare, 2000; Ginter and Duncan, 1990; Hamel and Prahalad, 1994). In the tourism industry, the external environment of organizations and entities impacts on them (Jogaratnam and Law, 2006:170). This type of intelligence in the organization involves analyzing the internal and external environment to identify its effects on organizational processes. All the pillars and factors that are outside the tourism organization and determine the organization’s performance are considered a beneficiary (Ghorbani and Iran, 2013:77).

2.6. Emotional intelligence

Tourists are not all alike and are in fact enormously diverse in age, motivation, level of affluence and preferred activities. Tourist behavior analysts should avoid the fault of assuming all tourists similar and treating them similarly, because tourists' behaviors are not only important to the tourists themselves, but also to those who make decisions about tourists, such as business stakeholders and public-sector managers (Pearce, 2005:2-7). Also, in service industries, employees should be flexible and project a separate behavior for each client (Lovelock and Wright, 2002:87). Emotional intelligence is one of the individual factors that have a latent safeguarding effect on stress in organizations. Stress is entirely dependent on the individual’s extent of emotional intelligence (Naseem, 2018:8). Training emotional intelligence to tourism staff is essential because tourist services are characterized by extensive personal contact with tourists.

2.7. The internal dimension of the smart tourism organization

Depending on the tourism organization in question (hotel, tourism agencies or airlines), the internal dimension consists of all the elements and factors that fall within the organization’s boundaries and are
supervised by the management of the organization. The present study identified the following factors as the internal dimensions of STO after the Delphi technique was applied.

2.8. E-services

The service encounter is a key point in the tourism delivery and hospitality services. It includes the time when the customers meet the firm and eventually make an assessment about the firm and their own feelings to patronize or recommend the firm to others (Sparks and Weber, 2008: 138). Due to in tourism organizations, the service encounter has a direct relationship with the tourists’ dissatisfaction, the need to move towards the provision of electronic services in tourism is thus dire.

2.9. Trained and fluent-in-language staffs

Language proficiency is essential in the tourism due to its specific nature and concepts (Maci et al., 2018; Zahedpisheh and Saffari, 2017). Moreover, instead of teaching the employees in the industry to be only as a workforce, one of the vital purposes of hospitality and tourism programs is to teach students and employees to be future leaders in different departments of the industry. The internationalization and advances of technology are the major forces behind most variations occurring, together with demographic shifts such as population aging and the growing minorities. Hospitality and tourism programs are obliged to modify their curricula to satisfy the industry’s HR demands in a creative fashion. Today’s modern technologies, enables higher education in hospitality and tourism to penetrate every corner of the globe (Kim and Jeong, 2018:119–122).

2.10. New tourism marketing methods (NTMM)

The concept of “tourism market” is to be redefined as Information Technology (IT) may be able to bring in more demand and supply to the virtual market. Nowadays, the use of new technologies including Virtual Reality (VR) plays a vital role in tourism marketing. IT advances along with the rapid developments in network technologies has provided some opportunities for tourism enterprises. Moreover, the supply and demand mutual growth of tourism has caused more frequent use of IT in the industry (Kozak and Andreu, 2007:31). Presenting a rich environment for potential visitors enables the 3D virtual world to create opportunities for tourism organizations (Huang et al., 2016; Häring et al., 2017). Compared to traditional marketing methods, STO uses smart marketing methods based on new technology, such as VR and augmented VR.

2.11. Organizational innovation (OI)

OI has defined new approaches to the information for carrying out management functions and new processes that create variations in the organization’s strategy, structure, executive procedures and systems (Damanpour and Aravind, 2011). There have been limitations in tourism innovation policies. The tourism industry is in a state of metamorphosis and is undergoing rapid and radical changes. Therefore, the Smart Tourism Organization (STO) should be an innovative organization.

2.12. Complementary activities

In tourism, complementary products and services may be added to the tourists’ experience in order to expand and diversify the market of the service. Restaurants can add complementary services; for example, McDonald’s and Burger King have introduced breakfast menus to their original hamburger operations. Hotels can also add complementary services such as gymnasiums or nightclubs to their routine services. Many tourism organizations such as hotels hold exhibitions and various festivals. Due to the presence of Iran’s national football team in the FIFA World Cup 2018, many hotels played this tournament on TVs placed in public rooms as complementary services. Moreover, many tourism organizations such as hotels and recreational centres have expanded their main activity and added complementary activities such as music concerts and art shows and have thus attracted many tourists—even in the low tourist season (Ghorbani, 2018:70).

2.13. Offering a smart experience

Travel is defined cannot be constrained to the physical; rather, should also encompass the movements of the mind. Today’s advanced technologies makes Virtual Reality (VR) boom in tourism and keep it progressing. Virtual reality plans are applied to prepare for flights by plane, whether the flight simulating or the possibility investigation of destinations chosen in tourism. It can enables passengers to experience a destination visually prior to buying the trip. Rapid growing of online society-based websites, including YouTube makes the expectations of visual content and their expansion rise. With the help of VR and other high-quality pictures, passengers are capable of, trying out the travel experience by dynamic visualization. The use and implementation of VR in tourism are expected to show growth in the future, primarily concerning airlines and hotels (Magal and Slivka, 2017:59). Therefore endogenous and exogenous variables of the proposed model presented in Table 1.

3. Methodology

The present study uses reviews of literature and the opinions of professors and experts in the field of management and tourism and seeks to provide a new framework for these organizations. This framework is adapted to tourism in the postmodern era and is extendable and functional. The Delphi method of the panel of experts was used to reach a consensus about the dimensions of smart tourism organization and to design the questionnaire. The Fist, the literature on the subject was fully reviewed. The variables related to the topic were then extracted, and the Delphi method was used to confirm these variables and their relevant questions. A total of 24 management faculty members (Ph.D.) and senior tourism officers were selected as members of the Delphi panel. Twelve people with specialist organizations and 12 people with tourism expertise were also selected for the panel. After four Delphi rounds, the consensus was reached on the questionnaire and its variables. In the next stage, the questionnaire validity and reliability were measured, and their data are presented in the quantitative analysis section. The research population consisted of all the experts working at tourism organizations in South Khorasan Province, including Iran, tourism agencies, hotels, and experts of cultural heritage, handicrafts and tourism in this province. The questionnaires were distributed among 37 tourism organizations and 356 experts (See Table 2). In the last step, for the quantitative analysis of the data, Smart PLS and SPSS software were used.

3.1. Presenting the initial model and setting the research hypothesis

In this level the research model was designed on the basis expert opinions, and then the hypothesis were set according to the model.

As specified in the model, each of the exogenous variables can be effective in the development of STO. Therefore, each of them is

| Endogenous variables | Exogenous variables |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Structural intelligence | E-services |
| Human and organizational intelligence | NTMM |
| Technological Intelligence (TI) | Organizational Innovation (OI) |
| Competitive Intelligence (CI) | Trained and fluent-in-language staffs |
| Environmental Intelligence | Complementary activities |
| Emotional Intelligence | Offering a smart experience |
considered as a hypothesis. Endogenous variables (intelligence in STO) as an integral part of the PLS model at the level of $R^2$ calculated. So, according to the model the research hypotheses expressed as follows: (see Fig. 1)

1. Offering complementary services has a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
2. Offering e-services have a positive and notable influence on the STO creation.
3. Trained staffs who are fluent in languages have a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
4. Offering a smart experience has a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
5. Organizational Innovation (OI) has a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
6. New Tourism Marketing Methods (NTMM) have a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.

3.2. Data analysis with SPSS (descriptive analysis and normality tests)

3.2.1. Descriptive analysis of the variables.

In order to compare samples from one study with another study sample, descriptive statistics can be applied. Descriptive statistics are also used to help researchers detect sample properties which may affect their results (Thompson, 2009:59) (Table 3).

3.2.2. Normality tests for statistical analysis

Normality tests are supplemental to the graphical evaluation of normality. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov ($K–S$) test is the primary test for the normality evaluation (Ghasemi and Zahediasl, 2012:486). If the data distribution is not normal the structural equations of PLS were therefore used. The hypotheses for this test are.

H0. The data distribution is normal.

![Conceptual framework](image)

**Fig. 1. Conceptual framework.**

| Variable                  | Number | AVG  | Mid-Range | Mode  | SD1  | Variance | VR2 | Min  | Max  |
|---------------------------|--------|------|-----------|-------|------|----------|-----|------|------|
| Complementary activities  | 356    | 3.586| 3.333     | 4.000 | 0.803| 0.645    | 4.000| 1.000| 5.000|
| E-services                | 356    | 3.690| 4.000     | 4.000 | 0.836| 0.700    | 4.000| 1.000| 5.000|
| Trained staff             | 356    | 3.601| 3.667     | 4.000 | 0.859| 0.738    | 4.000| 1.000| 5.000|
| Smart experience          | 356    | 3.577| 3.833     | 4.000 | 0.735| 0.540    | 3.833| 1.167| 5.000|
| OI                        | 356    | 3.569| 3.636     | 4.000 | 0.582| 0.338    | 3.000| 1.727| 4.727|
| NTMM                      | 356    | 3.422| 3.480     | 3.480 | 0.521| 0.272    | 2.760| 2.000| 4.760|
| STO                       | 356    | 3.491| 3.556     | 3.778 | 0.551| 0.304    | 3.333| 1.500| 4.833|

1. Standard deviation.
2. Variation range.

| Complementary activities  | E-services | Trained staff | Smart experience | OI  | NTMM | STO  |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-----|------|------|
| N                         | 356         | 356           | 356              | 356 | 356  | 356  |
| AVG                       | 3.586       | 3.690         | 3.601            | 3.577| 3.569| 3.422|
| SD                        | 0.803       | 0.836         | 0.859            | 6.735| 0.582| 0.521|
| K-S                       | 2.874       | 4.355         | 4.242            | 3.946| 1.580| 1.189|
| SIG.                      | 0.074       | 0.023         | 0.004            | 0.003| 0.059| 0.081|

Table 4

| Normality test for the statistical analysis. |
|----------------------------------------------|
| Complementary activities | E-services | Trained staff | Smart experience | OI  | NTMM | STO  |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-----|------|------|
| N                         | 356         | 356           | 356              | 356 | 356  | 356  |
| AVG                       | 3.586       | 3.690         | 3.601            | 3.577| 3.569| 3.422|
| SD                        | 0.803       | 0.836         | 0.859            | 6.735| 0.582| 0.521|
| K-S                       | 2.874       | 4.355         | 4.242            | 3.946| 1.580| 1.189|
| SIG.                      | 0.074       | 0.023         | 0.004            | 0.003| 0.059| 0.081|

4. Offering a smart experience has a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
5. Organizational Innovation (OI) has a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
6. New Tourism Marketing Methods (NTMM) have a positive and significant influence on the STO creation.
The data distribution is not normal.

Given the value of the test statistic and compared with the critical value and at the error level of 5%, the data are not normally distributed. Therefore the structural equations of PLS were therefore used.

### 3.2.3. Convergent validity

The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and the composite reliability calculated to assess the convergent validity (Domingues and Gonçalves, 2018) (Table 4) (see Table 5). Where:

- CR > 0.7.
- CR > AVE.
- AVE > 0.5.

Based on the table; the above condition is confirmed.

### 3.5. Data analysis with smart partial least squares (smart PLS)

#### 3.5.1. Factor loading

In PLS method the power relationship between the agent (hidden variable) and the visible variable is represented by factor loading, which is between zero and one. If the factor loading is lower than 0.3, the relationship is considered weak and is discarded; in the 0.3–0.6 range, it is deemed acceptable, and if greater than 0.6, it is very desirable. As shown in Fig. 2, the factor loading is at an appropriate level. These figures (Factor loading, T-value and R²) are the output of the smart PLS software.

#### 3.5.2. The T-value statistics using the bootstrapping method

Next, the statistical significance test is performed using Bootstrapping. If the t-value in Bootstrapping is higher than 1.96, then the correlations observed are significant (Amani et al., 2011: 41). The t-value of +/-1.96 is the size of t-value that should only occur by a random chance of 5%. As shown in Fig. 3, the T-value is at an appropriate level (see Fig. 4).

#### 3.5.3. R-square or R²

A measure used to connect the measurement section and the structural part of the structural equation modeling and indicates the effect that an exogenous variable has on an endogenous variable (Ghorbani, 2019: 73). The researchers sets the three values of 0.19–0.33–0.67 as weak, moderate, and robust for the R² value. According to Table 6, R² values of 0.536 are substantial for the proposed model.

| Variable          | Cronbach's alpha | AVE  | CR   |
|-------------------|------------------|------|------|
| Complementary activities | 0.847            | 0.598| 0.877|
| E-services        | 0.806            | 0.631| 0.849|
| Trained staff     | 0.781            | 0.592| 0.799|
| Smart experience  | 0.852            | 0.648| 0.854|
| OI                | 0.744            | 0.573| 0.782|
| NTMM              | 0.870            | 0.682| 0.881|
| STO               | 0.841            | 0.694| 0.870|
3.5.4. The Goodness of Fit (GOF) test

Tenenhaus et al. (2004) suggest the Goodness of Fit (GoF) test for a PLS path model validation globally and specifically. The GOF is defined as follows:

$$
\text{GOF} = \sqrt{\text{Avg}(\text{Communalities}) \times R^2}
$$

Therefore:

$$\text{GOF} = \sqrt{0.710 \times 0.36} = \sqrt{0.380} = 0.616$$

To determine the quality of the GOF of the overall PLS-SEM model, Witzels et al. (2009) suggest the following criteria: GOF small (0.10), GOF medium (0.25), and GOF large (0.36) (Al-Taie et al., 2018:562). Accordingly, the GOF of the model is confirmed with a value of 0.616. The model is confirmed and the hypotheses are tested in Table 7.

Proposal of the final model for STO.

4. Results

The present study was conducted to identify the factors affecting the creation of the Smart Tourism Organization (STO), the effectiveness of each of these factors and the design of the STO model. For this purpose, six hypotheses were initially proposed and were approved at the end after the analysis of the data. According to the table of hypothesis testing and the loading factor column, e-services for tourists has the greatest impact on the creation of STO (with a loading factor of 0.677). Chen (2009) believes that it is important to develop specific e-service strategies which can make the competitiveness of tourism improve in the global market. The trained and fluent-in-language staff comprised the second factor contributing to the creation of STO (with a loading factor of 0.657). Complementary activities were categorized as the third factor contributing to STO (with a loading factor of 0.536). Offering smart experience, organizational innovation and new tourism marketing methods took the fourth to sixth place in the list of the most contributing factors Fig. 5.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The results of this study indicate that the development of intelligence in the tourism industry is not solely by technologies and data; instead, various factors are at play. Similar to Leung’s results (2018), the present study conceptualized intelligence in tourism enterprises of the future and proposed a smart tourism ecosystem that adds value to all stakeholders. In the study by Tussyadiah et al. (2018), similar to the results of the present study, virtual reality and smart travel experience are listed as features of tourism in the new era. Liberato et al. (2018) emphasized the
internet access importance at the destination, particularly in places including airports and hotels, and thus demonstrated the importance of e-services for tourism organizations. Another internal feature of STO in the present study is new tourism marketing methods such as VR to help reduce the risk of shopping tourists. Griffin et al. (2017) argued that, as a new tourism marketing method, VR positively affects the destination image and most items within the conative destination image and the effectiveness of the advertisement. Offering smart experience and complementary activities were the other dimensions of STO in this research. Young (2016) emphasized the importance of tourism agencies offering smart travel experience and argued that a smart experience platform such as VR, augmented VR and similar tools allow tourists to experiment tour without the need to move and reach the definition of “non-travel.” For example, Marriott is one of the pioneering providers of travel technology. In the near future, it will soon be possible for global tourists to choose from an additional 100,000 plus authentic local experiences in 800 worldwide tourism destinations by booking directly on their related apps or websites such as Marriott.com or SPG.com. In accordance with what has been said in research due to the technological advances in the tourism industry, tourists’ diverse interests, the failure of traditional tourism marketing approaches, the reduced demand for tourism services and finally the tourists’ desire to work during their travel using their smartphones, tourism organizations have to cultivate these dimensions in order to remain in business. The present study is thus the first step in expanding the field of smart tourism organization in tourism literature that has contributed to the design of a model and presented dimensions that can be further developed and transformed in the future by other researchers. STO can be considered an introduction to a new form of tourism business. This study is the first attempt at developing STO and identifying the diverse dimensions of this concept. The findings may help tourism organization managers and other researchers better identify and develop intelligence in tourism organizations and research, especially given the limited works of research dedicated to tourism organization and management.

Table 6
R-square or R².

| Variable                  | R²   | Variable                  | R²   |
|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| Emotional intelligence    | 0.755| Environmental intelligence| 0.850|
| Competitive intelligence  | 0.712| Structural Intelligence   | 0.738|
| Technological intelligence| 0.707| Human/organizational     | 0.553|

Smart Tourism Organization (STO) R²=0.536

Table 7
Hypothesis testing.

| Independent Variable  | Dependent Variable | Loading Factor | T-Statistic | Result   |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Complementary activities| STO                | 0.536          | 7.334       | Confirmed|
| E-services            | STO                | 0.677          | 9.345       | Confirmed|
| Trained staff         | STO                | 0.657          | 8.536       | Confirmed|
| Smart experience      | STO                | 0.514          | 6.957       | Confirmed|
| OI                    | STO                | 0.488          | 5.037       | Confirmed|
| NTMM                  | STO                | 0.431          | 4.256       | Confirmed|
Fig. 5. Final model.
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