Fiber Identification from Ethiopian Ladies Skirt
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Abstract

Along with the trend toward automation in the textile industry, an objective, correct, and efficient fiber identification method and blend analysis has become an important component of rapid respondent systems the textile industry desires. This research is doing component and percentage quantity analysis for fabrics imported to Ethiopia at present situation for ladies skirts. Identification and analysis is done by assessing fiber hand touch feeling characteristics, burning nature, longitudinal microscopic appearance, solubility in many chemical solvents and blend ratio analysis calculation. Most of the fabrics imported to Ethiopia for ladies skirt are synthetics and blend of synthetics like polyester, acrylic, blend of polyester and wool, blend of polyester and cotton, blend of polyester, cotton and wool, blend of polyester, acrylic and wool, blend of polyester and acrylic, blend of polyester and nylon, blend of polyester, nylon and cotton. Polyester is the major of which imported in single component and blended with others. Some fabrics contain Lycra spandexes which give better stretch ability. Considering the comfort, cost effectiveness and serviceability blend of synthetics with natural are highly desired by Ethiopian ladies. Therefore it is advantageous to produce these kinds of fabrics the country for the future to satisfy the Ethiopian ladies need.
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Introduction

Nowadays wearing skirt is becoming a fashion and many styles of skirt are introduced. Ethiopian ladies wear skirts more than other country ladies. This shows that our country imports many finished fabrics which have different characteristics, services and costs. The style of the skirts can be made during sewing i.e. garment formation, finishing types given during wet processing, weaving mechanism and the type of the fiber/s used. To identify and analyze any type of skirt from which fiber/s is made, the study of textile fibers has become a very interesting and challenging science. This study is related to their identification methods and blend analysis techniques of the type/s of the fiber/s. The textile fiber products Identification Act requires that the manufacturers of cloth garments and household textiles attach labels to their products specifying the fiber content. These manufacturers are expected to know this information since it is they who tell the fabric producers the requirements for the fabrics they intended to purchase. Should product manufacturers to identify or verify the fiber content; they must be in a position either to make the appropriate technical analysis or refer samples to a testing laboratory [1].

The retailer, in turn, is held responsible under the act to see that labels or hangtags are attached to the textile products before being sold. Reliance must be placed on the retailer’s vendor to provide properly labeled merchandise. Sometimes imported merchandise arrives unlabeled or sometimes labels become detached and lost. It is then the merchant’s responsibility to get appropriate labels and to attach them to the merchandise [2].

Ready recognition and identification of fiber content in fabrics has become extremely difficult even for knowledgeable and experienced merchants because of the growing variety of types of fibers, the blending techniques in yarn and fabric construction and the finishes that affect the appearance and hand of the fabrics. Therefore, certain laboratory tests are used for identification and verification [2].

The consumer has the greatest difficulty in identifying fiber content of fabrics. Should the identification and/or care labels be mutilated or lost from textile product, one may not know the composition of the fabric and therefore not know what to expect of it. Because one is usually not in a position to make a laboratory analysis, reliance must be placed up on certain non-technical tests. A dye house or a dyeing enterprise should have a well qualified or shelled technical to identify the composition or fiber type supplied before processing. This is important to prepare the right recipe (formulation) and select suitable dye, machinery and processing [2].
Garment industry, custom, quality and standardization authorities and ministry of revenue should have a well qualified technique to identify the fiber type supplied from any country to control the quality and to confirm the customer requirements. Fabrics imported to our country have to be identified and analyzed their quality and percentage quantity composition, since it is necessary to decide the quality and cost of the fabrics based on their type and component.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

- a. Finished fabrics: Different types of finished fabrics of different weight, composition, surface character, color, with no specified ratio of different blends in their labels.

- b. Chemicals: Sulphuric acid (998% BDH), hydrochloric acid (36%BDH), glacial acetic acid (BDH), formic acid (BDH), nitric acid (69-72% BSSEX England), sodium hydroxide (Pellets) and acetone (EEC).

- c. Equipments and Apparatus: Different equipments, which are found in the wet processing and fiber-testing laboratory, have been used.

4.2. Methods

The experiment must do stepwise to save time, material and cost. Thus as we have done our experiment the steps to reduce these things are as follow below respective.

**Feeling test**

**Table 1:** Feeling character of fabrics obtained.

| Sample no. | Hand feeling test                                                                 | Sample no. | Hand feeling test                                      |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.         | Smooth, inelastic                                                                 | 11         | Slightly smooth, soft, elastic                          |
| 2.         | Slightly soft, slightly smooth, inelastic, cool                                   | 12         | Smooth, stiff, inelastic                               |
| 3.         | Slightly slippery, warm, inelastic, light weight                                  | 13         | Slightly smooth, slightly soft, elastic                 |
| 4.         | Smooth, inelastic, some yarns are smooth elastic and lustrous woven in weft direction | 14         | Slightly smooth, elastic, stiff                        |
| 5.         | Smooth, stiff, inelastic                                                          | 15         | Smooth, heavyweight, elastic, some fibers are lustrous woven in weft direction |
| 6.         | Smooth, elastic, luster, light weight, slippery                                   | 16         | Slightly stiff, slightly elastic                        |
| 7.         | Smooth inelastic                                                                  | 17         | Smooth, soft, inelastic                                |
| 8.         | Smooth, elastic, lustrous                                                        | 18         | Smooth, stiff, inelastic                               |
| 9.         | Smooth, soft, inelastic                                                           | 19         | Smooth, slightly stiff, inelastic                       |
| 10.        | Smooth, slippery, lightweight, elastic                                            | 20         | Smooth, slightly stiff, inelastic                       |

This result is obtained by gentle handling of the two sides of the fabric surfaces. The fabrics we have tested have different smoothness, softness, stiffness and elasticity but this depends also on the finishing technique given to the fabric and its construction. The handling sensation of each fabric is in the Table 1 below.
Burning nature

When we bring to flame, all most all the fabrics shrinks and then burn giving yellow orange flame with different odors. During burning some fibers distinguish themselves and others extinguish in the opposite manner. In this method we have identified fabrics containing cotton or wool blended with other fabrics depending on their odour, self-extinguish ability and residues obtained Table 2.

Table 2: Burning nature of fabrics observed.

| Sample | Initial reaction | Flam description | Smell      | Self-extinguish | Residue                  | Expected fibers                        |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1      | Shrink          | Yellow orange   | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 2      | Paper burning   |                  | Paper burning | Distinguish | Solidified melt         | Blend of cotton or viscose rayon with synthetics |
| 3      | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Hard, dark, brittle melt | Synthetic                              |
| 4      | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 5      | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 6      | Plastic burning |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Hard, dark, brittle melt | Synthetic                              |
| 7      | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 8      | Pungent         |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Hard, black bead        | Synthetic                              |
| 9      | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Hard, dark, solidified melt | Synthetic                              |
| 10     | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Hard, dark melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 11     | Paper burning   |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Slightly hard ash       | Blend of cotton or viscose rayon with synthetics |
| 12     | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 13     | Odorless        |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Hard, dark, brittle melt | Synthetic                              |
| 14     | Paper burning   |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Hard, dark ash          | Blend of cotton or viscose rayon with synthetics |
| 15     | Rubber burning  |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Solidified ash          | Synthetic                              |
| 16     | Feather burning |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Black, hard, brittle ash | Blend of wool or silk with synthetic |
| 17     | Paper burning   |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Brittle melted ash      | Blend of cotton or viscose rayon with synthetics |
| 18     | Plastic burning |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Glassy, solidified melt | Synthetic                              |
| 19     | Plastic burning |                  | Odorless   | Extinguish     | Solidified melt         | Synthetic                              |
| 20     | Pungent or bad  |                  | Odorless   | Distinguish    | Hard melted ash         | Synthetic                              |

Longitudinal viewed appearances

Different longitudinal views were obtained even in one fabric. Some fibers with rod like or circular straight longitudinal appearance, some fibers have fewer margins, and some fibers have fewer convolutions and combination of the above. There are also fibers of thin, thick or blend of these in one fabric. Standing from their structure i.e. presence of convolutions, scale margins and regular rod like structure we have identified cotton, wool and synthetic fibers as show below in Table 3.

Table 3: Longitudinal viewed appearances of the fabric.

| Sample No. | Longitudinal view              | Expected fiber | Sample No. | Longitudinal view              | Expected fiber |
|------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1          | Regular, rod like              | Synthetic      | 11         | Regular, rod like, black thick, white thin | Synthetic       |
| 2          | Smoother, fewer convolution     | Cotton         | 12         | Regular, rod like              | Synthetic      |
| 3          | Straight, smooth rod like       | Synthetic      | 13         | Some fibers have fewer margins and others are straight rod like | Blend of wool and synthetic |
| 4          | Body rod like, brown and silver yarns smooth variable in diameter | Blend of silk and synthetic | 14         | Some fibers have fewer convolutions and others are straight rod like | Blend of Cotton and synthetic |
| 5          | Very regular, rode like         | Synthetic      | 15         | Some fibers rod like Some fibers variable in diameter | Blend of Silk   |
Solubility

By feeling, burning and microscope test we identified natural fibers from synthetic fibers and cellulosic fibers (cotton) from protein fibers (wool and silk). Within solubility test we have indicated each definite groups and specific fibers.

Table 4: Weight of undisclosed components of the fabrics in gm.

| Sample No. | H2SO4 75% | NaOH boiling | NaOH | HNO3 100% | HCl 28% | Formic 100% | Glacial acetic boiling | Acetone |
|------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----------|---------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| 1          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 2          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 3          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 4          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 5          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 6          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 7          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 8          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 9          |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 10         |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 11         |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 12         |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 13         |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
| 14         |           |              |      |           |         |             |                        |         |
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| Sample No. | Components blended          | Sample No. | Components blended          |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1          | Polyester                   | 11         | Polyester + Cotton + Nylon  |
| 2          | Polyester + Cotton          | 12         | Polyester                   |
| 3          | Acrylic                     | 13         | Polyester + Wool            |
| 4          | Polyester + Silk            | 14         | Polyester + Cotton          |
| 5          | Polyester                   | 15         | Nylon + Cotton + Silk       |
| 6          | Acrylic + Nylon + Spandex   | 16         | Polyester + Wool + Cotton   |
| 7          | Polyester                   | 17         | Polyester + Acrylic + Cotton|
| 8          | Nylon + Polyester           | 18         | Polyester                   |
| 9          | Polyester + Acrylic         | 19         | Polyester                   |
| 10         | Acrylic + Nylon + Spandex   | 20         | Polyester + Cotton          |

Qualitative Component

Table 5: Qualitative components of the fabrics.

Qualitative component of the fabric is content of the fabric i.e., the fabric from what type of fiber is made which is represented by word without definite amount of contents of the fibers. The result we have obtained is below in the Table 5.

Quantitative component (blend ratio analysis by Calculation)

Quantitative component is defined as way of expressing the composition of fibers found in unknown fabric by numerical percentage. Then as we have done in this project the fabrics have different types of fibers and composition percents.

\[ C_1 = \left( \frac{M_1}{M_2} \right) \times 100\% \]

Where, \( M_1 \) = Mass of the dried insoluble fibers, \( M \) = Mass of the dried initial specimen, \( C_1 \) = Blend ratio of the dried insoluble fibers (%), 100-\( C_1 \) = Blend ratio of the dissolved fiber (%).

Formula for calculation of quantitative components

**PPC** = picks per centimeter i.e. number of yarns in weft direction

**EPC** = ends per centimeter i.e. number of yarns in warp direction

\[ A = Weight \ of \ one \ warp \ in \ mg, \ B = Weight \ of \ one \ weft \ in \ mg \]

K1 = percentage value of first warp fibers, L1 = percentage value of second warp fibers

K2 = percentage value of first weft fibers, L2 = percentage value of second weft fiber

M = percentage value of third weft fibers

Since there are PPC numbers of weft yarns in one centimeter and EPC number warp yarns in one centimeter, then:

\[ \text{Weft/cm in mg} = \frac{PPC \times B \times PB}{A \times EA} \]

\[ \text{Warp/cm in mg} = \frac{EPC \times A \times EA}{K1 \times E4 - Yp} \]

\[ \text{Weight of first warp fiber in mg/cm} = \frac{100 \times E4 - Yp}{K1} \]

\[ \text{Weight of second warp fiber in mg/cm} = \frac{100 \times E4 - Yp}{L1} \]

\[ \text{Weight of first weft fiber in mg/cm} = \frac{K2 \times PB - Yt}{100} \]

\[ \text{Weight of second weft fiber in mg/cm} = \frac{100 \times PB - Yt}{K2} \]

\[ \text{Weight of third weft fiber in mg/cm} = \frac{M \times PB - Zt}{100} \]

Quantitative component or blend ratio of the first fiber = \( \frac{Xp + Xt}{PB + EA} \times 100\% \)

Quantitative component or blend ratio of the second fiber = \( \frac{Yp + Yt}{PB + EA} \times 100\% \)

Quantitative component or blend ratio of the third fiber = \( \frac{Zt}{PB + EA} \times 100\% \)
Therefore the fabric is a blend of Q%, R% and S% of first, second and third fiber respectively.

Example 1: for sample 17

| Warp:          | Acrylic, $\frac{0.22}{1} \times 100\% = 22\%$ | Polyester and $\frac{0.28}{1} \times 100\% = 28\%$ Cotton |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Weft:         | Acrylic, $\frac{0.21}{0.22 + 0.28} \times 100\% = 21\%$ | Polyester and $\frac{0.28}{0.22 + 0.28} \times 100\% = 28\%$ Cotton, therefore the fabric is a blend of: |
|               | $50\%$ Cotton, $51\%$ Polyester and $28\%$ Cotton. |

Example 2: for sample 15

| PPC=24, EPC=30, 10warp=3.68mg 10weft=4.06mg |
| Warp: 45% nylon, 55%cotton |
| Weft: $\frac{29.5+29}{2} = 29.5\%$ Nylon, $61-29.5 = 30.5\%$ Cotton, $100-(30.5+29.5) = 40%$ Silk |

The discussion goes to identify any fabric or to analyze its percentage it should be in the sequence of feeling, burning, microscopic and finally solubility. By feeling characteristic estimation of the fabric whether it is made up of natural or synthetic fiber is possible and then by burning it is possible to distinguish it in to definite groups i.e. possibility to identify Protein from cellulosic fibers and these from synthetic fibers. Following by microscope viewing some specific fibers are identified, like cotton and wool from any fiber. Finally solubility test will give definite specific fibers and blend ratio for blended fabrics, by this method any fiber is identified.

Consider the market phenomena with respect to the cost, serviceability and demand of the fabrics, sample 13, 16 and 2 are costly, serviceable and highly demanded fabrics for ladies who keep their cultural dressing, religious dressing and their protocols.

Sample 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, and 18 are cost effectives, good serviceable and highly demanded fabrics. The rest are cost effectives (very cheap), serviceable but they are not preferred by the ladies though they bought to reduce their expense. This is due to the weight and component of the fabrics which hare

### Table 6: Quantitative comments of fabrics obtained.

| Sample No. | Qualitative Components | Quantitative Components |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|            |                        | Warp | Weft          | Fabric                |
| 1          | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 2          | Polyester/Cotton       | 21.33/78.67 | 20.5/79.67     | 21/79                 |
| 3          | Acrylic                | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 4          | Polyester/Silk         | 100% polyester | 91/8.75        | 95.5/4.37             |
| 5          | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 6          | Acrylic/Nylon/Spandex  | 69/24/7        | 69/24/7        | 69/24/7               |
| 7          | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 8          | Nylon/Polyester        | 52/48         | 51.5/49        | 48.5/51.5             |
| 9          | Polyester/Acrylic      | 55/44         | 54/45          | 54.5/44.5             |
| 10         | Acrylic/Nylon/Spandex  | 71/22/7       | 71/22/7        | 71/22/7               |
| 11         | Polyester/Cotton/Nylon | 100% polyester | 41/39.5/19.5   | 70.5/19.7/9.75       |
| 12         | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 13         | Polyester/Wool         | 50.5/49.5     | 50.5/49.5      | 50.5/49.5             |
| 14         | Polyester/Cotton       | 54.67/45.3    | 54.67/45.3     | 54.6/45.3             |
| 15         | Nylon/Cotton/Silk      | 49.8/60.72 (nylon/cotton) | 22.03/29.57/38.78 | 37.75/43.55/18.7     |
| 16         | Polyester/Wool/Cotton  | 41/25/34.6    | 41/25/35       | 41/25/35              |
| 17         | Polyester/Acrylic/Cotton | 22/50/28         | 21/51/28       | 21.5/50.5/28         |
| 18         | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 19         | Polyester              | 100% | 100%          | 100%                  |
| 20         | Polyester/Cotton       | 100%cotton    | 100%polyester | 44.8/55.2             |
obstacle for comfort. Sample 5 and 14 are stiff though they are serviceable and cost effective this leads to discomfort. Thus in our country light weight, soft fabrics are preferable due to the higher temperature condition. The fabrics dyes were striped with Acids, alkalis and reducing agents like acetic acid 5% at boiling, hydrosulphite (5%) with sodium hydrosulphide (1%) at boiling, pyridine, 1% ammonia at boiling, ammonia and hydrosulphite and combination of these, but it could not stripped. this is may be due to the final finishing given to the fabrics. Identification of textile materials [3-5] says dyes can be striped using reducing agents like hydrosulphite (5%) with sodium hydrosulphide (1%), pyridine, acetic acid, ammonia, ammonia and hydrosulphite giving for specific types of dyes [6]. Pyridine used to remove disperse and direct dyes, 5% boiling Acetic acid use to stripe basic dyes from wool and silk,1% boiling Ammonia to remove acid dyes from silk and wool, sodium hypochlorite for any dye, and boiling sodium hydro-sulfite (5%) + Sodium hydrosulphide (1%) for any dye.

Conclusion

Fibers can be identifying at fiber stag, yarn stage, before dying, before garment formation during marketing. To perform these identifications, the physical (strength, longitudinal and cross-sectional appearance, handle, absorbency, elasticity, drapability etc.) and chemical properties (resistant to alkalis, acids and other specific chemicals, dye affinity, burning nature etc.) of the fibers being studying is important.

Now days so many similar chemical composition, textured, dyed and finishing agent are given fibers are producing [7]. These fibers are converted to fabrics in single component or combing two or more fibers. This combining system is called blending and the produced fabric is called bled fabric. Fibers are blended to obtain combed property, to reduce cost of expensive fibers and to fid decorative color effect of garments in coloration during fiber, yarn formation and fabric formation. Single or blended fabrics can be identified and analyzed by feeling, burning, microscope test, solubility staining, density etc.

Non-technical technical (feeling and burning) and technical (microscopic longitudinal appearance and solubility) tests are used to identify twenty different fabrics of ladies skirt, which are mostly wear by Ethiopian ladies and then we have calculated the qualitative and quantitative components of all the fibers [8].

From the result most of Ethiopian ladies skirt fabrics are made of synthetic fibers like polyester, acrylic and nylon and a blend of these fibers with wool and cotton. This shows these kinds of fabrics are imported to satisfy the demand of the customers.

The Ethiopian textile industries have to produce polyester, nylon and acrylic fibers and blend of these with natural fibers like cotton and wool in enough quantity for the future, since fabrics made of these fibers are cost effective, good serviceable and highly demanded by the Ethiopian ladies. If these fabrics will produced there will be additional advantage to our country since market will be in local exchange for both the customer and country’s economy.
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