Spectroscopy across the brown dwarf/planetary mass boundary - I. Near-infrared JHK spectra
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ABSTRACT

With a uniform VLT SINFONI data set of nine targets, we have developed an empirical grid of J,H,K spectra of the atmospheres of objects estimated to have very low substellar masses of \(\sim 5-20\, M_{\text{Jup}}\) and young ages ranging from \(\sim 1-50\, \text{Myr}\). Most of the targets are companions, objects which are especially valuable for comparison with atmosphere and evolutionary models, as they present rare cases in which the age is accurately known from the primary. Based on the youth of the sample, all objects are expected to have low surface gravity, and this study investigates the critical early phases of the evolution of substellar objects. The spectra are compared with grids of five different theoretical atmosphere models. This analysis represents the first systematic model comparison with infrared spectra of young brown dwarfs. The fits to the full JHK spectra of each object result in a range of best fit effective temperatures of \(\pm 150-300\, \text{K}\) whether or not the full model grid or a subset restricted to lower log(g) values is used. This effective temperature range is significantly larger than the uncertainty typically assigned when using a single model grid. Fits to a single wavelength band can vary by up to 1000K using the different model grids. Since the overall shape of these spectra is governed by the temperature than surface gravity, unconstrained model fits did not find matches with low surface gravity or a trend in log(g) with age. This suggests that empirical comparison with spectra of unambiguously young objects targets (such as those presented here) may be the most reliable method to search for indications of low surface gravity and youth. Based on comparison with previous observations, the SINFONI spectra represent a second epoch for the targets 2M0141 and DH Tau B, and the combined data show no variations in the spectral morphology over time. The analysis of two other targets, AB Pic B and CT Cha B, suggests that these objects may have lower temperatures, and consequently lower masses, than previously estimated.
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1. Introduction

Since the discovery of the first substellar companions GD 165B (Becklin & Zuckerman 1988) and Gl 229B (Nakajima et al. 1995), there have been many surveys for additional low mass companions, but a very limited number have been discovered (e.g., McCarthy & Zuckerman 2004; Metchev & Hillenbrand 2006), particularly at the lowest masses (Zuckerman & Song 2009). Substellar objects that are companions to nearby stars and brown dwarfs are especially valuable for comparison with atmosphere and evolutionary models, as they present rare cases in which the brown dwarf age is inferred by the accurate age of the primary. Recent models (e.g., Fortney et al. 2008) have indicated the importance initial conditions may have in determining the flux from young objects, making confirmed young brown dwarfs important to gauge the effects of accretion history and atmospheric processes at low surface gravity.

The effects of low surface gravity on the infrared spectra of brown dwarfs have been investigated with isolated members of star-forming regions and young clusters. In the J-band, the alkali metal lines of K I and Na I and the FeH absorption were found to have lower equivalent widths in young brown dwarfs relative to field objects of the same spectral type in an initial sample of three young objects (McGovern et al. 2004) and a larger sample of 23 brown dwarfs in Upper Sco (Lodieu et al. 2008). Lower pressures in the atmospheres of the young objects cause a lower amount of recombination of K II and Na II to form K I and Na I which, along with pressure-broadening (Rice et al. 2010) and other processes, impacts the line strengths relative to the field objects, resulting in weaker lines. An index based on the Na I doublet was defined to assess surface gravity in the youngest objects (Allers et al. 2007). The triangular shape of the H-band which, along with pressure-broadening (Rice et al. 2010) and other processes, impacts the line strengths relative to the field objects, resulting in weaker lines. An index based on the Na I doublet was defined to assess surface gravity in the youngest objects (Allers et al. 2007). The triangular shape of the H-band was noted in the spectra of low luminosity \(\sigma\) Orionis members (Lucas et al. 2001) and associated with a decrease in H₂ collision induced absorption due to low gravity in a young field object (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006). Rice et al. (2011) demonstrate how the relative opacities from CIA-H₂ absorption and H₂O at
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different gravities shape the spectra of young objects. The CO bandhead shape in the K-band has also been linked with lower surface gravity due to the sensitivity of the absorption to microturbulence, and has been used to differentiate dwarf and giant stars (Kleinmann & Hall 1986).

Given the intrinsically low luminosities and temperatures of substellar objects, infrared observations provide higher signal-to-noise spectra than optical measurements, making this wavelength range important for the characterization of the physical properties of brown dwarfs. For example, J-band spectra taken at two resolutions of a sample of M6-M9 objects including 15 brown dwarfs with ages ~1-10 Myr were compared with a grid of model atmospheres to infer a number of properties – effective temperatures, surface gravities, radial velocities and projected rotational velocities (Rice et al. 2010).

This paper presents the combined flux-calibrated J,H,K spectra for a set of young (2-50 Myr), low mass (5-25 $M_{Jup}$) substellar objects and compares the observations with a suite of synthetic spectra developed from five different theoretical model atmosphere simulations. The range of physical properties inferred from the different models is discussed, along with the discrepancies obtained from fits over portions of the J,H,K wavelength range rather than the full combination. The spectral shape is the focus of this paper, and the investigation of spectral lines and longer wavelengths covering the L-band are the subject of a forthcoming paper (King et al. 2011, in prep.).

2. Sample and Summary of Previous Observations

The sample consists of 9 objects estimated to have very low masses of ~5-20 $M_{Jup}$ and young ages ranging from ~1-50 Myr, placing them at the boundary of the brown dwarf and planetary mass regimes. The sample covers three age bins, with three ~1-3 Myr old members of star-forming regions, three members of the ~8 Myr TW Hydra Association, and three targets with ages of ~30-50 Myr. Eight of the targets are members of binary systems discovered with adaptive optics imaging surveys, and one object is an isolated young brown dwarf. The youngest objects may still be surrounded by material from their formation environment and have the possibility of accretion and disks, while the older objects likely present bare photospheres. All are expected to have low surface gravity. The distinct position (reddened, when necessary) on the color-magnitude diagram of this young, low surface gravity sample compared to field objects is shown in Figure 1; the trend is as predicted in theoretical evolutionary models (e.g., Saumon & Marley 2008). The targets show redder colors than field L and T dwarfs, analogous to the imaged planets orbiting HR 8799. For all members of the sample, there are archive infrared J,H,K spectra from the VLT integral field spectrograph SINFONI, forming a uniform data set with which it is possible to construct an empirical grid of the atmospheres of substellar objects covering the critical early phases of their evolution.

2.1. Star-forming region systems

High angular resolution imaging surveys targeting members of the nearest star-forming regions have identified a number of very young (~1-2 Myr) wide orbit (>100 AU) low mass brown dwarf companions. The pre-Main Sequence sample considered for this paper are the three companions with archive SINFONI J,H,K spectra: GQ Lup B (Neuhäuser et al. 2005), DH Tau B (Itoh et al. 2005), and CT Cha B (Schmidt et al. 2008). The closest companion is GQ Lup B, with a separation of 0'.7, or ~105 AU at a distance of 150 ± 20 pc (Franco 2002), and has been the subject of considerable prior investigation into the inferred companion mass and evidence for ongoing accretion. Based on a comparison of theoretical models with photometry and K-band spectroscopy, the mass was initially estimated as 1-42 $M_{Jup}$ (Neuhäuser et al. 2005), while subsequent analysis of a larger wavelength range (e.g., Marois et al. 2007) revised the mass...
range to 10−20 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \). In Table 1, the mass is listed as 24 ± 12 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) (Luhman et al. 2006), since this estimate applied the same approach to both GQ Lup B and another target DH Tau B. The SINFONI spectra reported in Seifahrt et al. (2007) suggested evidence for active accretion from the Pa \( \beta \) emission line, while independent J,H,K spectra from OSIRIS (McElwain et al. 2007) and NIFS (Lavigne et al. 2009) do not confirm the line, which may have resulted from contamination from the primary. The SINFONI spectrum of GQ Lup is included in this paper for completeness.

The companion DH Tau B (Itoh et al. 2005) is in a wider orbit, at 2′′ (330 AU) from the primary, making contamination from the host star unlikely. The estimated mass for the companion, \( 11^{+0}_{-3} M_{\text{Jup}} \), listed in Table 1 is based on the same model comparison applied to GQ Lup B (Luhman et al. 2006) and within the 5−40 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) range reported in Itoh et al. (2008). The previous CISCO J,H,K spectrum (R≈440) showed absorption from \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) bands indicating a low effective temperature, and no evidence of emission lines from accretion was present (Itoh et al. 2005). The SINFONI data presented in this paper were taken at higher resolution (R≈1500) than the CISCO spectrum and at a different epoch, enabling a search for variability.

The widest young companion CT Cha B is 2′′7 (440 AU) from the primary (Schmidt et al. 2008). Based on fitting the SINFONI J,H,K spectra with Drift-PHOENIX models to determine the temperature and photometry to estimate the luminosity, the companion mass was estimated to be \( 17 ± 6 M_{\text{Jup}} \) (Schmidt et al. 2008) from comparison with evolutionary models (Baraffe et al. 2003; Chabrier et al. 2000; Burrows et al. 1997). The spectrum shows Pa \( \beta \) emission, an indication of ongoing accretion, though another accretion diagnostic Br \( \gamma \) is not seen (Schmidt et al. 2008). In this paper, we reconsider the SINFONI spectra in comparison with a larger set of models and with a different treatment of extinction.

### 2.2. TW Hydra Association systems

Two members of the TW Hydra Association – TWA 5 and 2M1207 – include three substellar objects, with both late-M and mid-L spectral types. TWA 5B was one of the earliest brown dwarf companions identified (Lowrance et al. 1999; Webb et al. 1999) and is located 2′′0 (≈100 AU) from a close pair of early M-stars with a ~5 yr orbit (Konopacky et al. 2007). Although the photometry of TWA 5B has been explored (Neuhäuser et al. 2000), the H- and K-band spectra have been published separately (Neuhäuser et al. 2009). The second TW Hydra member, 2M1207, is composed of two substellar objects (Chauvin et al. 2004, 2005a) – the primary is an M8 brown dwarf (Gizis 2002) similar to TWA 5B and the secondary is a L-type planetary mass object (Chauvin et al. 2004; Mohanty et al. 2007). The complete J,H,K spectrum of 2M1207B has been published (Patience et al. 2010), but not the corresponding spectrum of the primary. When compared with DUSTY models, the companion spectrum presents a spectral shape consistent with temperatures higher than expected from the luminosity (Mohanty et al. 2007; Patience et al. 2010). The discrepancy between spectral shape and flux level was initially explained by obscuration from a grey disk (Mohanty et al. 2007), and then updated to include models incorporating an improved treatment of clouds (Skemer et al. 2011) and both clouds and non-equilibrium chemistry (Barman et al. 2011) to simultaneously fit the spectrum shape and flux level. The 2M1207 B spectrum represents an example of how measurements of young, low mass object atmospheres can advance the understanding of the atmospheric physics.

### 2.3. Tucana-Horologium systems

An additional two substellar companions – AB Pic B and GSC 080647 B – are members of the older ~30 Myr Tucana-Horologium Association. AB Pic B is a wide orbit 5′′5 (≈260 AU) common proper motion companion (Chauvin et al. 2005c) to a K2 primary (Perryman et al. 1997). Based on a comparison of the system photometry with evolutionary models (Burrows et al. 1997; Baraffe et al. 2002) at 30 Myr, the mass of the companion is estimated to be 13−14 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) (Chauvin et al. 2005c), placing AB Pic B at the demarcation of planetary masses and brown dwarf masses. The J,H,K SINFONI spectra have been used to estimate a spectral type for the companion of L0−L1 and to compare each band of the spectrum with theoretical atmosphere models (Bonfey et al. 2010). In this paper, a model comparison of the combined flux-calibrated J-K spectrum is presented, and the combined spectrum shows differences with the models not apparent in the single band comparisons. Another Tucana-Horologium pair with very similar spectral types to AB Pic is GSC 080474, which is composed of a K3 primary with a confirmed substellar companion ∼3′′3 (279 AU) from the host star (Chauvin et al. 2005b). Previous spectra in the H- and K-bands taken with VLT/ISAAC and VLT/NACO were com-

### Table 1. Sample

| Source       | Primary | Comp. | Age (Myr) | \( M_{\text{prim}} \) | \( M_{\text{sec}} \) | D (pc) | Sep. (AU) | Membership | \( A_J \) | Refs.     |
|--------------|---------|-------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|
| GQ Lup B     | K7      | L1.5  | 1         | 0.7                  | 24±12 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 150±20 | 100       | Lupus SFR  | 0.1±0.2 | 1-5       |
| DH Tau B     | M0.5    | L2    | 1         | 0.33                 | 11±3 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 140±15 | 330       | Taurus SFR | 0.3±0.3 | 6.2       |
| CT Cha B     | K7      | ≥M8   | 2         | 0.7                  | 17±6 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 165±30 | 440       | Chameleon SFR | 0.4±0.2 | 7-8       |
| 2M1207 A&B   | M8      | L5    | 8         | 25±5 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 6-10 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 52.4±1.1 | 46       | TW Hydra Assoc. | – | 9-12      |
| TWA 5 B      | M1.5    | M8/8.5| 8         | 0.40                 | ~20                  | 44±4  | 98        | TW Hydra Assoc. | – | 13-15     |
| AB Pic B     | K2      | L0-L1 | 30        | 0.84                 | 13-14 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | 47±1.8 | 248       | Tuc-Hor Assoc. | 16-17   |           |
| GSC08047 B   | K3      | M9.5  | 30        | 0.80                 | 25±10 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | ~85   | 279       | Tuc-Hor Assoc. | 18-19   |           |
| 2M0141      | L0      | 1-50  | 15±10 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) | ~35                   | –       | –       | –       | –           | 20      |

References: (1) Neuhäuser et al. (2005) (2) Luhman et al. (2006) (3) Marois et al. (2007) (4) Franco (2002) (5) Batalha et al. (2001) (6) Itoh et al. (2005) (7) Schmidt et al. (2008) (8) Luhman (2004) (9) Gizis (2002) (10) Chauvin et al. (2004) (11) Chauvin et al. (2005a) (12) Mohanty et al. (2007) (13) Lowrance et al. (1999) (14) Webb et al. (1999) (15) Konopacky et al. (2007) (16) Chauvin et al. (2005c) (17) Bonfey et al. (2010) (18) Chauvin et al. (2005b) (19) Neuhäuser & Guenther (2004) (20) Kirkpatrick et al. (2006)
pared with late-M objects to estimate a spectral type of M8. The HIP 74459 companion is 0.6 ± 0.2 M☉ (Neuhäuser & Guenther 2004) and slightly earlier than the AP Pic companion. The new SINFONI spectra include the J-band and the IFU observations used in this analysis. The observing sequence for all targets includes a set of exposures on the target with offset positions on the array. For some targets, separate blank sky exposures were also recorded. Standard calibration observations of an early-type or solar-type star followed each target sequence to correct for telluric features and the instrument response. Additional calibrations were obtained to measure the wavelength scale, the detector dark current, distortion, and quantum efficiency variations. The data for this project were drawn from the ESO archive, and a summary of the instrument configuration, observation details and project ID codes for each target is given in Table 3. In some cases, only the subset of higher quality data were used; Table 3 lists only the observations used in this analysis.

2.4. Young Isolated Field Object
As part of the large scale search and characterization program for L-dwarfs with 2MASS, an isolated brown dwarf with indications of youth was identified with spectroscopy spanning 0.6 - 2.5 μm (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006). In the infrared, there is a J-K SpeX spectrum with similar resolution (R ~ 1200) to the SINFONI data, and a NIRSPEC J-band spectrum with higher (R ~ 2500) resolution, making SFX1 an ideal case to test for variability such as has been reported for TWA 30 (Looper et al. 2010). For SFX1, the spectral features of weak alkali lines such as K I in the J-band and the triangular shape of the H-band caused by a decrease in H₂ collision induced absorption provided evidence of a low surface gravity object (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006). The effective temperature and surface gravity were derived from fits to atmospheric models generated with the PHOENIX code (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006), and T eff and log(g) were compared with evolutionary models (Baraffe et al. 2002) to infer an age range of 1-50 Myr and object mass of 6-25 M⊙ (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006). Although SFX1 currently has a less certain age, future measurements of the parallax and space motion may determine membership in the Tucana-Horologium Association or the Flamingos-2 (Neuhäuser & Guenther 2004) and M9.5 (Chauvin et al. 1998) moving group.

3. SINFONI Observations
The observations were taken with the SINFONI (Spectrograph for INtegral Field Observations in the Near Infrared) instrument (Bonnet et al. 2004; Eisenhauer et al. 2003; Thalte et al. 1998), an AO-equipped integral field spectrograph mounted at the Cassegrain focus of the VLT (UT4). The target low mass companions are separated by less than a few arcseconds from the primaries, making the primaries ideal sources for the AO correction. The observing sequence for all targets includes a set of exposures on the target with offset positions on the array. For some targets, separate blank sky exposures were also recorded. Standard calibration observations of an early-type or solar-type star followed each target sequence to correct for telluric features and the instrument response. Additional calibrations were obtained to measure the wavelength scale, the detector dark current, distortion, and quantum efficiency variations. The data for this project were drawn from the ESO archive, and a summary of the instrument configuration, observation details and project ID codes for each target is given in Table 3. In some cases, only the subset of higher quality data were used; Table 3 lists only the observations used in this analysis.

There are four gratings available in SINFONI – J, H, K, and H+K – which produce spectral resolutions of approximately 2000, 3000, 4000, and 1500, depending on the scale. For all targets except GQ Lup B, a combination of J and H+K observations were taken. For GQ Lup B, separate J, H, and K spectra were recorded. Among the three available spaxel (spatial pixel) scales of 25mas, 100mas, and 250mas, the 100mas scale was employed for the CT Cha B and 2M1207A+B observations. The 100mas scale provides a field-of-view of 3′ × 3′, and both the brown dwarf primary and planetary mass secondary for 2M1207 were included within each observation. For the remaining target, the spaxel scale of 25mas was used and the field-of-view at this scale is 0′.8 × 0′.8 which excluded the primary host star from the observations. For one target, GQ Lup B, the diffraction spike from the primary intersected the position of the target companion in a subset of the observations.

4. Data Analysis
4.1. SINFONI data reduction
The initial steps of the data reduction for all targets were performed with the Gasgano (Gebbink et al. 2007) implementation of the SINFONI data reduction pipeline (Modigliani et al. 2009; Dumas et al. 2007). The processing began with the raw data and calibration files in the ESO archive and is independent of previ-

Table 3. SINFONI Observations

| Source | Grating | Scale | NDIR | DIT | #exp. | Dates | ESO Project ID | PI |
|--------|---------|-------|------|-----|-------|-------|---------------|----|
| CT Cha B | J | 100mas | 2 | 138.5s | 6 | 18May07 | 279.C-5010(A) | Schmidt |
| CT Cha B | H+K | 100mas | 2 | 138.5s | 6 | 16May07 | 279.C-5010(A) | Schmidt |
| DH Tau B | J | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 32 | 18Dec07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| DH Tau B | H+K | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 8 | 22Oct07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| GQ Lup B | J | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 27 | 18Sep06 | 077.C-0264(A) | Neuhäuser |
| GQ Lup B | H | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 11 | 24Apr06 | 077.C-0264(A) | Neuhäuser |
| GQ Lup B | K | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 8 | 17Sep05 | 077.C-0264(A) | Neuhäuser |
| TWA 5B | J | 25mas | 1 | 120s | 6 | 12Dec07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| TWA 5B | H+K | 25mas | 2 | 60s | 7 | 13Dec07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| 2M1207A+B | J | 100mas | 1 | 600s | 22 | 25Feb07 | 078.C-0800(B) | Thatte |
| 2M1207A+B | H+K | 100mas | 1 | 300s | 24 | 28Jan07, 07Feb07 | 078.C-0800(B) | Thatte |
| AB Pic B | J | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 40 | 5-7Dec07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| AB Pic B | H+K | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 8 | 11Nov07 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| GSC 08047 B | J | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 24 | 6&18Jan08 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| GSC 08047 B | H+K | 25mas | 1 | 300s | 27 | 5&9Jan08 | 080.C-0590(A) | Rojo |
| 2M0141 | J | 250mas | 1 | 300s | 4 | 19Oct06 | 078.C-0800(A) | Thatte |
| 2M0141 | H+K | 250mas | 1 | 60s | 4 | 19Oct06 | 078.C-0800(A) | Thatte |
Fig. 2. The evolution in surface gravity over the 1-100 Myr age range for objects with masses of $\sim 1-50 M_{\text{Jup}}$. The values are taken from the DUSTY00 models (Chabrier et al. 2000) and show the systematic increase in log(g) over time as the objects contract. These tracks are used to determine the restricted range of surface gravity to allow in model fits to our young targets.

Fig. 3. The extinction $A_J$ of the secondary as a function of the $A_J$ of the primary for spatially resolved binary systems in Lupus (red), Chameleon (light blue), and Taurus (dark blue) based on observations of the individual components of each system (Brandner & Zinnecker 1997; White & Ghez 2001; Prato et al. 2003). These binaries have comparable separations to the target systems and are located in the same star-forming regions. The data show a trend of comparable extinction for each component.

4.2. Comparison with theoretical model grids

The target spectra were compared with five sets of theoretical models, spanning a range of effective temperature and surface gravity, as described in Section 5. The model spectra were smoothed to the same resolution as the data and interpolated to the same dispersion before performing a fitting procedure using the least-squares statistic defined in Mohanty et al. (2007) and allowing the model flux to be scaled to find the best fit. This flux scaling is related to the object radius, enabling an estimate of the radius in addition to the effective temperature and surface gravity.
Fig. 4. For a fixed value of log(g)=4.5, the solar metallicity model spectra at temperatures ranging from 1400K (upper left) to 2900K (lower right) in steps of 300K, corresponding to the target effective temperatures. From top to bottom in each panel, the models are: DUSTY (grey), BT-Settl (green), Drift-PHOENIX (red), Gaia-Dusty (purple), and Marley et al. (blue). The Marley et al. models do not include temperatures above 2400K, and the plots show models with f_{sed}=1, the dustiest set of models within this model grid.

Table 4. Cloud Models*

| Physics        | DUSTY | BT-Settl | Drift-PHOENIX | Gaia-Dusty | Marley et al., |
|----------------|-------|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Opacity source | 30 dust species | 43 dust species | 7 dust species | updated DUSTY | 5 dust species |
| Gas-dust phase | S = 1 | S = 1.001 | S = f(z, s)   | S = 1      | S = 1         |
| Mixing         | none | timescale from RHD | damping of turnover timescale | none | diffusivec |
| Settling/Rainout | none | timescale comparison | equation of motion | none | parameterised in f_{sed} |
| Dust sizes     | f(a) ∝ a^{-3.5}, (ISM) | 0.00625-0.24μm | 0.00625-0.24μm | 10^{-6} - 100μm | 0.00625-0.24μm | 10^{-5} - 1μm |
| Chemistry      | equilibrium | equilibrium | equilibrium | equilibrium | equilibrium except CO/CH₃, N₂/NH₃ |

Notes: * PHOENIX (Hauschildt et al. 1999) DUSTY (Allard et al. 2001), BT-Settl (Allard et al. 2003, 2010), Drift-PHOENIX (Helling et al. 2008; Witte et al. 2009), Gaia-Dusty (Rice et al. 2010), Marley et al. (Ackerman & Marley 2001; Marley et al. 2003; Saumon & Marley 2008; Freedman et al. 2008). S quantifies the supersaturation ratio. S = 1 for thermal equilibrium between the gas and dust. c Parameterised in k_{sed}. f(a, z) is the grain size distribution at height z and provides the number of grains of size a. f(z, s) is the grain size distribution for a grain species s at height z. c Updated chemistry treatment compared to DUSTY.

Theoretical Models

The data were compared with five grids of theoretical atmosphere models. Four of the models represent different extensions of the PHOENIX (Hauschildt et al. 1999) code and incorporate a range of dust properties and physical effects. In chronological order of development, the PHOENIX-based models considered in this paper include: DUSTY (Allard et al. 2001), BT-Settl (Allard et al. 2003, 2010), Drift-PHOENIX (Helling et al. 2008; Witte et al. 2009), and Gaia-Dusty (Rice et al. 2010), with the latter three representing ongoing modelling efforts. The earlier generation DUSTY model is included for comparison, although the BT-

- Theoretical Models

Two sets of fits were performed to the model grids – a fit including the full range of log(g) values and a fit restricted to log(g)≥3.0 and with an upper limit based on evolutionary models (Chabrier et al. 2000) shown in Figure 2. The targets have known young ages, so the predicted evolution in surface gravity was used to set log(g) limits: 4.0 for the companions to pre-Main Sequence stars, 4.5 for the TW Hydra members, and 5.0 for the 30-50 Myr targets.

A final factor affecting the slope of the observed spectrum is the amount of extinction to each target. This is particularly important for the three objects in star-forming regions. None of the secondaries have measured values of extinction, however, the primaries have reported A_f values. Rather than treat extinction as a free parameter, we use the results of binary star component extinction comparisons to gauge the likelihood of very different A_f values for the primary and secondary. The secondary A_f is shown as a function of primary A_f in Figure 3 for binary stars in the same regions as the targets (Brandner & Zinnecker 1997; White & Ghez 2001; Prato et al. 2003), and a clear trend of similar extinction values is seen. Based on the binary stars, the targets in this sample are assumed to have the same extinction as the primary, which is reported in Table 1.

5. Theoretical Models

The data were compared with five grids of theoretical atmosphere models. Four of the models represent different extensions of the PHOENIX (Hauschildt et al. 1999) code and incorporate a range of dust properties and physical effects. In chronological order of development, the PHOENIX-based models considered in this paper include: DUSTY (Allard et al. 2001), BT-Settl (Allard et al. 2003, 2010), Drift-PHOENIX (Helling et al. 2008; Witte et al. 2009), and Gaia-Dusty (Rice et al. 2010), with the latter three representing ongoing modelling efforts. The earlier generation DUSTY model is included for comparison, although the BT-
Settl and Gaia-Dusty models have superseded the DUSTY models with improved treatments of abundances and line lists. The independent model grid developed by Marley et al. (Ackerman & Marley 2001; Marley et al. 2003; Saumon & Marley 2008; Freedman et al. 2008) is also compared with the target spectra.

A summary of the physics incorporated into the five models is given in Table 4; details of the models are given in the references listed above and the comparison of models with two benchmark test cases is reported in (Helling et al. 2008). The treatment of some physical processes such as convection is identical for all models, while the approach to other factors such as condensate settling and chemistry varies between the models. Since dust plays a large role in determining the temperature-pressure structure of the atmospheres in the temperature regime appropriate for the targets, the number of dust species and dust opacity. Typically, a higher overall dust opacity results in a relative lowering J-band flux and weakens the water bands while opacity. For the Marley et al. spectra, the value of $f_{sed}$ is 1 which represents the dustiest atmospheres. At the coolest temperature shown, 1400 K, there is a significant difference in the synthetic shape of the H-band spectrum and the overall slope for the different models. These effects are reduced by 1700K, but still impact the peak of the J-band. By a temperature of 2000 K, the slope across the J-band ranges from flat to increasing. At hotter temperatures, the line strengths differentiate the models more than the overall shape, and the atomic and metal hydride lines will be investigated for this sample in a future paper (King et al. 2011, in prep.).

These model differences are primarily caused by the different treatments of condensate settling and the differences in dust opacity. Typically, a higher overall dust opacity results in a relatively lowered J-band flux and weakens the water bands while smoothing their edges. The H-band shape is dominated by the two adjacent water bands and the collision induced absorption (CIA) which both grow stronger with decreasing dust opacities as flux emerges from the deeper, hotter atmosphere resulting in a bluer spectrum.

Another difference between the models is apparent upon close comparison of the strong absorption lines and bands between models calculated at different resolutions. In regions of strong, varied absorption, models calculated at lower resolution (2 Å) look ‘noisier’, i.e. a larger spread in the flux values over small wavelength ranges (see Fig. 4). For example, the DUSTY models show the largest ‘noise’ of the spectrum over the full temperature range, while the Marley et al., BT-Settl, and Gaia-Dusty models are typically much narrower in the range of flux at a given wavelength. These differences are a numerical artifact induced when the convolution with a Gaussian instrument dispersion profile is undersampled, resulting in lower fidelity model spectra for DUSTY and Drift-PHOENIX. The numerical origin of the difference was confirmed by calculating one grid (Gaia-Dusty) with two initial resolutions and comparing the results. Due to the fact that the model fits in this paper are based only on the spectral shape, the additional width in the DUSTY and Drift-PHOENIX models does not impact this analysis, however the future comparison of spectral lines and indices requires models with sufficient resolution to fit line strengths.

Table 5 shows the range of $T_{eff}$ and log($g$) covered by a solar metallicity models. The Marley et al. grids also incorporate a parameter $f_{sed}$ describing the sedimentation efficiency, and the values considered for $f_{sed}$ in this grid are 1 and 2, with the higher value associated with more efficient sedimentation from more rapid particle growth and larger average particle sizes. In addition to the solar metallicity models summarized in Table 5, the Drift-PHOENIX grid also covered non-solar metallicities with values of -0.6, -0.3, 0.0, and +0.3. The data are first compared

### Table 5. Model Grid Parameters

| Model          | $T_{eff}$ range | $T_{eff}$ step | log($g$) range | log($g$) step | $\Delta \lambda$ |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| DUSTY          | 500-4000        | 100            | 3.5-6.0        | 0.5          | 2 Å             |
| BT-Sett$^b$    | 400-3000        | 100            | 3.5-5.5        | 0.5          | 0.2 Å           |
| Drift-PHOENIX  | 1000-3000       | 100            | 3.0-5.5        | 0.5          | 2 Å             |
| Gaia-Dusty     | 1400-3500       | 50             | 3.0-6.5        | 0.1          | 0.5 Å           |
| Marley et al.$^a$ | 900-2400       | 100            | 4.0-5.0        | 0.25         | R~50,000        |

Note: $^a$ for $T_{eff}$=2000-3000K, the log($g$) range is 0.0-5.5 $^b$ the wavelength step $\Delta \lambda$ is a function of $\lambda$.

Another difference is that the data are first compared
with the full grid summarized in Table 5 and then with a restricted subset with limits on the log(g) values appropriate to the ages of the targets, based on evolutionary models (Chabrier et al. 2000). Finally, the impact of metallicity is explored with a comparison of the data with the expanded grid with different metallicitics for the Drift-PHOENIX model.

6. Results and Discussion

6.1. SINFONI Spectra

The J, H, K flux-calibrated SINFONI spectra for the nine young substellar objects in the sample are plotted in Figure 5, grouped in the three age bands and ordered in decreasing temperature determined from the model comparisons described in Section 6.2. Approximately half of the SINFONI data are previously unpublished: all wavelength bands of DH Tau B, 2M1207B, and 2M0141 are newly reported along with the J- and H-bands of TWA 5B and GSC 080447B. For the youngest targets, the spectra have been dereddened according to the reddening law of Cardelli et al. (1989) using the extinction measured for the primary, as described in Section 4.

The overall spectral shape is dominated by the H_2O absorption at both sides of each wavelength band and the CO absorption band at the red edge of the K-band. All the object spectra exhibit a distinct triangular shape in the H-band portion of the spectrum, a signature of young substellar objects. As noted in other spectra of young objects, the peak of the K-band also occurs at a longer wavelength near 2.3\mu m than is typical for older field L-dwarfs (Mohanty et al. 2007). Two of the coolest objects, 2M1207B and AB Pic B, show an unusually depressed J-band portion of the spectrum relative to the spectra of the other substellar objects of the same age.

6.2. Comparison with Atmosphere and Evolutionary Models

6.2.1. Full Model Grid

Each object spectrum was compared with a grid of the five solar-metallicity models summarized in Section 5 – DUSTY, BT-Settl,
Drift-PHOENIX, Gaia-Dusty, and Marley et al. The range in the parameters of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log($g$) are summarized in Table 5. The model fits were performed on each full J,H,K spectrum and the J and H+K subsets to investigate the variation of inferred physical properties on the wavelength coverage of the data. The results of the model fits for $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log($g$) are given in Table 6, divided by the age groups and ordered by average $T_{\text{eff}}$ from the J,H,K fit.

Based on the J,H,K spectrum fits, the effective temperatures range from 1100 K to 3100 K and log($g$) of 1.0 to 6.0, considering the extremes of any given model fit. Some of the values for log($g$) are outside the bounds expected from evolutionary models, and the fits also do not show a clear trend of increasing log($g$) with increasing age. The lack of a clear correlation between log($g$) and age may simply be a consequence of the coarseness of the grids and the dominant impact of temperature over surface gravity in shaping the overall spectral energy distribution, as opposed to specific gravity-sensitive features. The range of masses included in the sample also complicates the comparison of log($g$) and age.

### 6.2.2. Restricted log($g$) Model Grid

Since the targets all have well-constrained young ages, the spectra were also compared with a restricted model grid limited to log($g$) values appropriate for each age range, as detailed in Section 4 and based on the evolutionary models shown in Figure 2 (Chabrier et al. 2000). Further support for using a restricted log($g$) grid comes from measurements of the Na index defined in (Allers et al. 2007) and shown to be sensitive to surface gravity, but independent of spectral type. For the six targets for which the Na index was measured, and young brown dwarfs in star-forming regions (Allers et al. 2007), only 2M1207A has a higher value of 1.14, though this target may be older than the rest of the sample. The inferred values of $T_{\text{eff}}$ from the restricted grid model fits to the data are used to estimate the range of possible temperatures consistent with the observations. Uncertainties based on comparisons of the five different synthetic atmospheres should be more representative of...
the true range of values than uncertainties estimated from a comparison with a single model set.

The SINFONI data and best-fit atmosphere model (restricted grid) from each of the five models is shown in Figures 6, 7, and 8 for the three age groups. The region of the spectrum most difficult to fit for the models is the peak and slope on the blue side of the H-band. The models often show a steeper slope and higher peak than the data. The peak of the H-band is also shaped by the dust cloud opacity, which is largely flat across the H-band. A high cloud opacity can flatten the spectrum over this wavelength range, and may explain the model discrepancy in the H-band shape. The portion of the data with the lowest signal-to-noise is the blue edge of the J-band, and improving this section of the data would enable more reliable fits, as some of the tar-
get show a range of model best fits with significantly different slopes across the J-band. AB Pic B presents the most unusual shape, with a J-band notably lower flux than the H-band and no model is able to match the combination of flux levels and spectral shape as well as the other targets without adding other effects artificially. Unlike 2M1207 B, the inferred radius is not anomalously low. The inferred radii of all targets is discussed in section 6.2.3.

The results of the model fits to the full and partial spectra of each target are given in Table 7. Although some of the effective temperatures based on fits to subsections of the data are different for the full and restricted grids, the $T_{\text{eff}}$ values for all targets using the full J,H,K spectrum differ by 100K or less with either the full grid or the restricted log(g) grid. The exception to this is 2M1207B where the difference in effective temperature is 200K. The trend of higher inferred temperatures from J-band fits compared to H+K-band fits is seen in both the full grid fits and the restricted log(g) fits, as shown in Figure 9. The higher values of $T_{\text{eff}}$ from the J-band fits compared to the H+K fits are most pronounced for the lower temperature objects below 2200K. There may be too much dust in the models in this temperature regime, resulting in underestimated J-band flux which a J-band fit compensates for with a bias towards higher $T_{\text{eff}}$ values.

Figure 10 shows the inferred $T_{\text{eff}}$ from each model as a function of the average $T_{\text{eff}}$ value. The calculation of the average excluded any values that corresponded to the limits of a model grid, and those limits are noted in Figure 10. The range in best-fit temperatures for a given object is ±150-300K, considering all of the five different models. While no model always suggests the highest or lowest temperature, the DUSTY and Gaia-Dusty models give typically higher effective temperatures, and the Drift-PHOENIX and Marley et al. models are usually asso-

**Fig. 8.** The SINFONI spectra (black lines) and best-fit model (colored lines) to the full spectrum is plotted for the Tucana-Horologium and Field targets: GSC 08047 B (left), 2M0141 (middle), and AB Pic B (right). The restricted log(g) model grids are used.

**Fig. 9.** The best-fit effective temperature inferred from a single bandpass (left: J-band, middle: H-band, right: K-band) portion of the spectrum of each companion as a function of the effective temperature based on the full J, H, K spectrum. Results from the full grid are shown with open circles and from the restricted log(g) grid with crosses. All five models give systematically higher temperatures from fits using only the J-band spectrum.
Table 8. Inferred Radii

| Target     | Radius (\(R_\odot\)) |
|------------|----------------------|
|            | 1-3 Myr              |
| DH Tau B   | 0.20 – 0.34          |
| GQ Lup B   | 0.45 – 0.89          |
| CT Cha B   | 0.34 – 0.55          |
|            | 8 Myr                |
| 2M1207 A   | 0.16 – 0.20          |
| TWA 5B     | 0.24 – 0.31          |
| 2M1207 B   | 0.043 – 0.065        |
|            | 30-50 Myr            |
| GSC 08047 B| 0.12 – 0.19          |
| 2MASS 0141 | 0.13 – 0.20          |
| AB Pic B   | 0.14 – 0.23          |

6.2.3. Inferred Radii

The fitting procedure to obtain the best match for effective temperature from each model grid includes adjusting the object radius until the flux level corresponds to the synthetic spectrum. For the restricted log(g) fits reported in Table 7, each model \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and log(g) combination has an associated object radius. The range of inferred radii from the five separate models is given in Table 8; values based on best-fits at an extreme of a model grid are excluded. Although the radius is estimated from a restricted log(g) atmosphere model grid, the radius is not fixed by the value of log(g).

As expected for young objects in the process of contracting, the radii are larger than the \(\sim 0.1 \, R_\odot\) predicted for older field planets and brown dwarfs (Baraffe et al. 2003). The only exception is 2M1207 B, and the apparent small radius – or underluminosity – of this object has been reassessed with updated models different from those considered in this study and found to be have an inferred radius consistent with evolutionary models (Barman et al. 2011). Due to the young ages of the targets, the radii may retain an imprint from the initial conditions, including the early accretion history of the objects (e.g., Baraffe et al. 2002; Fortney et al. 2008; Baraffe & Chabrier 2010). Figure 11 plots the inferred radii of the targets as a function of age, along with the evolutionary tracks for the size of 5-30 \(M_{\text{Jup}}\) objects. The data exhibit a systematic decline with stellar age and are largely within the range predicted by the models (Baraffe et al. 2003).

6.2.4. Metallicity Grid

For one of the model grids – the Drift-PHOENIX set (Witte et al. 2009) – a range of non-solar metallicities are also included, and the targets were fit with the expanded library of synthetic spectra to investigate the impact of metallicity on the inferred physical properties of \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and log(g). The results of the metallicity comparison are given in Table 9, including fits to both the full spectrum and the J- and H+K-bands. For approximately half the targets, the best fit metallicity over the full spectrum was the solar value, supporting the use of solar metallicities for these young stars. For the remaining targets with best fit non-solar metallicities, the impact on the effective temperature and surface gravity estimates was minimal and resulted in a change of one step size in log(g) or \(T_{\text{eff}}\), except in one case. For DH Tau B, the difference in best fit effective temperatures is 700K. Overall, the ability to fit for metallicity does not typically change the inferred properties by an amount larger than the uncertainty estimated from the range in values from the different models.
Table 9. Best Fitting Atmosphere Model Parameters, Metallicity Comparison

| Wavelength Range | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | Drift, log g | [M/H] | Drift, [M/H]=0.0 | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | log g |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|--------|
|                  | DH Tau B$^a$          |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 2700 3.5 -0.6 2000 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 1600 3.5 0.0 1600 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 2700 3.0 -0.6 2700 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | GQ Lup B$^a$          |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 1700 3.5 0.0 1700 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 2600 3.5 -0.6 2600 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1600 4.0 -0.6 1700 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | 2MASS 1207 A          |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 2900 4.5 -0.6 3000$^b$ 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 2900 4.5 -0.6 2900$^b$ 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 2900 4.5 -0.6 3000$^b$ 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | TWA 5 B               |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 2200 3.0 0.0 2200 3.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 2700 4.0 -0.6 2800 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1800 4.0 0.3 1700 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | 2MASS 1207 B          |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 1700 4.0 0.3 1500 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 1500 3.5 -0.6 1700 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1700 4.0 0.3 1500 3.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | GSC 08047 B           |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 1900 4.5 0.0 1900 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 1600 3.5 0.0 1600 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1800 4.5 0.3 1800 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | 2MASS 0141            |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 1700 4.0 0.0 1700 4.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 1600 3.5 0.0 1600 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1800 5.0 0.3 1800 5.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
|                  | AB Pic B              |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| JHK (1.10–2.46$\mu$m) | 1600 4.5 0.0 1600 4.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| J (1.10–1.34$\mu$m) | 1800 4.5 0.3 1600 3.5 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |
| HK (1.46–2.46$\mu$m) | 1800 5.0 0.0 1800 5.0 |              |        |                  | CT Cha B$^a$          |        |

Note: $^a$ These star formation region members have been dereddened as described in Sect. 4. $^b$ Note that this $T_{\text{eff}}$ falls at the extremes of the grid used.

6.3. Comparison with Previous Observations
6.3.1. Effective Temperatures

The inferred effective temperatures for the majority of the sample are consistent with previous estimates, however two of the targets – CT Cha B and AB Pic B – are notably different. In both cases, the range of best fit values for $T_{\text{eff}}$ is shifted to cooler temperatures, with the range of $T_{\text{eff}}$ 1500-1950 K for CT Cha B compared to 2350-2850 K from previous estimates (Schmidt et al. 2008) and 1400-1800 K for AB Pic B compared to 1700-2100 K from the literature (Bonnefoy et al. 2010). The implications for the masses implied from evolutionary models (Chabrier et al. 2000) are shown in Figure 12 for CT Cha B and in Figure 13 for AB Pic B. The lower effective temperatures are associated with lower masses, overlapping with the planetary mass regime. The origin of the discrepancy in inferred $T_{\text{eff}}$ for CT Cha B is clear – the different treatment of extinction. By treating A$_v$ as a free parameter rather than using the value measured for the primary, Schmidt et al. (2008) find a much higher extinction which results in a large change in slope across the J-K range. For AB Pic B, a previous model comparison (Bonnefoy et al. 2010) considered each of the J, H and K bands separately, and so the different results reported here arise from the systematically higher temperatures derived from fits restricted to the J-band, as shown in Figure 6. The $T_{\text{eff}}$ values in Table 7 estimated from the J-band portion of the spectrum are comparable to the upper bound of the previously reported effective temperature. In both cases, obtaining spectra over a larger wavelength range will enable more accurate estimates of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and determine if CT Cha B and AB Pic B are analogues of 2M1207 B and represent wide orbit companions with planetary masses, ideal cases for high signal-to-noise measurements of very low mass companions.

6.3.2. Variability

For two of the targets, DH Tau B and 2M0141, there are previously reported J,H,K spectra taken at different epochs from the SINFONI data, and the combination of data sets are used to test for variability in the spectral shape, such as observed for the young low mass object TWA 30 in the TW Hydra Association (Looper et al. 2010). DH Tau B and 2M0141 are also young objects bracketing the age of TWA 30, making these targets interesting comparisons to TWA 30. The first epoch of DH Tau B spectra were obtained in 2003 (K-band) and 2004 (J+H+K-band) with CISCO at Subaru (Itoh et al. 2005), several years prior to the SINFONI observations in 2007 (Table 3). Over the 3-4 year time period between observations, the spectrum shape does not change. The first epoch of the 2M0141 observations were obtained in 2004 with Spex on the IRTF (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006), two years prior to the SINFONI observations (Table 3).
the same photometry used to calibrate the first epoch spectra. Additional coordinated photometric and spectroscopic measurements are required to determine the frequency, wavelength dependence and physical origin of variability in low mass object atmospheres.

7. Summary and Conclusions

With data from the SINFONI integral field unit, an empirical grid of J,H,K spectra for young ~1-50 Myr substellar objects has been constructed and compared with a suite of five theoretical atmospheric model grids – DUSTY (Allard et al. 2001), BT-Settl (Allard et al. 2003, 2010), Drift-PHOENIX (Helling et al. 2008; Witte et al. 2009), Gaia-Dusty (Rice et al. 2010), and Marley et al. (Ackerman & Marley 2001; Marley et al. 2003; Saumon & Marley 2008; Freedman et al. 2008). The spectra should also serve as observational comparisons for updated models including more advanced treatment of physical processes. Since most of these objects are companions, there is additional information on the age and extinction of the substellar object to aid in the model fitting. All spectra show the distinct triangular shape over the H-band, a region of the spectrum which was found to be particularly difficult for most models to match in detail.

Based on the best-fit synthetics atmosphere spectrum for each target from each restricted log(g) model grid, the uncertainty in the temperature estimate for a young substellar object is ±150–300 K from fits to the J,H,K spectrum. This is considerably larger than the uncertainty usually inferred from comparisons to a single model grid (~ 50–100 K, cf. Burrows et al. 2006). For all targets except 2M1207B, the inferred radius from the fit is greater than 0.1 \( R_\odot \), and generally consistent with the expectations of evolutionary models of young brown dwarfs (Baraffe et al. 2003).

We note that fits to small wavelength ranges do not account for the broad spectral morphology and so may suggest effective temperatures incompatible with observations of a broader range in wavelength. Fitting only the J-band portion results in systematically higher temperatures than fitting the H+K-band, likely due to systematic uncertainties in the model dust opacities. When the spectrum covers the full J,H,K range, the inferred temperatures are approximately the same whether or not the model grids include surface gravities limited to the range for young objects or expanded to include the higher values of older objects. While the temperatures were consistent using the full or restricted log(g) model grids, it is important to note that the spectral shape fit to the full model grid did not find the expected low surface gravities of these objects with known young ages. This apparent inconsistency is due to the fact that differences in spectral morphology caused by different effective temperatures dominate over spectral changes due to low surface gravity. Empirical comparison with observed spectra such as these may provide a more reliable method to determine the surface gravity; specific spectral lines and indices will be investigated in a follow-up paper (King et al. 2011). For the Drift-PHOENIX model grid, it was possible to include fits to non-solar metallicities, and varying the metallicity did not impact the inferred temperatures by more than the uncertainty estimated from the range of model fits presented here.

From comparison of the SINFONI data with previous spectra of two targets, there is no evidence of substantial changes in the spectral shape, however more observations and coordinated photometry and spectroscopy are required to rule out variability in these young substellar objects. The spectrum of AB Pic B shows an unusually low J-band spectrum relative to the H-band spectrum, analogous to 2M1207B, and the overall shape of the spectrum is more difficult to fit with the model grid than the remaining targets. For CT Cha B and AB Pic B, the new fits to the spectra suggest that the objects may have lower temperatures and, consequently, lower masses compared to previous estimates (Schmidt et al. 2008; Bonnefoy et al. 2010). Additional wavelength coverage in the spectra will refine the temperature estimates to determine if these companions represent additional examples of planetary mass companions that can be investigated with high signal-to-noise spectroscopy, like 2M1207 B.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully acknowledge grant support to Exeter from the Leverhulme Trust (F/00144/BJ) for J. P. and R. R. K., and STFC (ST/F003277/1) for J. P. and A. V. The studentship for R. DR. is provided by STFC (ST/007124/1). ChH acknowledges an ERC starting grant from the the EU program FP7 Ideas for the LEAP project. We thank F. Allard, T. Barman, M. Marley, and D. Saumon for constructive comments and providing theoretical model grids used in this analysis, and we thank the referee for suggestions that improved the paper.

References

Ackerman, A. S. & Marley, M. S. 2001, ApJ, 556, 872
Allard, F., Guillot, T., Ludwig, H.-G., et al. 2003, Brown Dwarfs, 211, 325
Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., Tamanai, A., & Schweitzer, A. 2001, ApJ, 556, 357
Allard, F., Homeier, D., & Freytag, B. 2010, eprint arXiv, 1011, 5405, cool Star 16 proc.
Allers, K. N., Jaffe, D. T., Luhman, K. L., et al. 2007, ApJ, 657, 511
Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., Tamanai, A., & Schweitzer, A. 2001, ApJ, 556, 357
Allard, F., Homeier, D., & Freytag, B. 2010, eprint arXiv, 1011, 5405, cool Star 16 proc.
Allers, K. N., Jaffe, D. T., Luhman, K. L., et al. 2007, ApJ, 657, 511
Baraffe, I. & Chabrier, G. 2010, A&A, 521, 44
Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2002, A&A, 382, 563
Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T. S., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2003, A&A, 402, 701
Barman, T. S., Macintosh, B., Konopacky, Q. M., & Marois, C. 2011, ApJ Letters, 735, L39
Batalha, C., Lopes, D. F., & Batalha, N. M. 2001, ApJ, 548, 377
Becklin, E. E. & Zuckerman, B. 1988, Nature, 336, 656
