Wound healing and postsurgical complications in breast cancer surgery: a comparison between PEAK PlasmaBlade and conventional electrosurgery – a preliminary report of a case series
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last 30 years the surgical treatment for breast cancer has deeply and continuously changed. We witnessed the introduction of conservative surgery associated with radiotherapy, the development of more accurate techniques to...
localize and treat nonpalpable tumors, and the introduction of sentinel lymph node biopsy into routine practice. Last, but not least, we have seen an improvement of patients’ quality of life due to oncoplastic surgery, combining general and plastic surgery techniques in order to overcome the contention between the extent of surgical resection and final aesthetic result. Nevertheless, breast surgery still relies on very simple, typical surgical instruments for dissection and hemostatic control, such as scalpel and electrosurgery instruments [1-4].

Since the main goal of our Senology Research Centre (University of Insubria in Varese - ASST Setteleggi) is to look for the best treatment available for patients with breast cancer, we were intrigued by the new PEAK PlasmaBlade (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Powered by the PULSAR II Generator (Medtronic), the PEAK PlasmaBlade is a single-use-only electrosurgical device, able to use brief and high-frequency pulses of radio frequency energy to induce electrical plasma along the cutting edges of a thin insulated electrode. The PEAK PlasmaBlade works at significantly lower temperatures than traditional electrosurgery technologies; therefore, it guarantees both the same accuracy as the scalpel and the same bleeding control as a conventional electrosurgical device without extensive collateral tissue damage [5].

Due to its properties, PEAK PlasmaBlade may be used to incise skin, replacing the scalpel, and to dissect and coagulate the underlying tissues, replacing the conventional electrosurgery [6].

Previous animal- and human-based studies have already confirmed some meaningful advantages in the use of PEAK PlasmaBlade instead of conventional electrosurgery, such as equivalent hemostatic capacity, less thermal injury depth, reduced inflammatory response, increased wound strength, and reduced scar width associated with a better aesthetic outcome [5-8]. An interesting study, conducted by Dogan et al. [9], showed that plasmakinetic surgery in mastectomy shortens the drainage amount and duration compared to electrosurgery.

Nevertheless, very little is known about this device, in particular about its use in breast cancer surgery. With the present study we would like to assess the effectiveness of PEAK PlasmaBlade, compared to standard electrosurgery, not only in mastectomy but also in breast conservation surgery. The purpose of our study is to test the hypothesis that this instrument improves the wound-healing process in breast cancer surgery. The endpoints are: wound complications, drainage amount, and duration of stay. In addition, we measured blood loss, surgical duration, length of hospital stay, and number of medications required.

We chose to focus only on the type of breast surgery, not evaluating its utility in axillary lymph node dissection.

METHODS

Subjects and study design
A single-institution observational study was carried out between November 2015 and August 2016. Sixty patients undergoing breast cancer surgery were enrolled. For 20 of those we used the PEAK PlasmaBlade. The other 40 were selected as control. For them, we used a standard electrosurgical device (FIAB F4797 disposable sterile pencil powered by Erbe VIO 300S generator).

We excluded from the study patients who had undergone neoadjuvant chemotherapy and patients who had undergone previous ipsilateral breast surgery. Subjects enrolled aged between 34–82 years and their body mass index (BMI) range was between 17.8–45.0 kg/m². We included only patients who were undergoing mastectomy or quadrantectomy, excluding other types of breast surgery. For each case, we matched two controls that had similar age, similar BMI, same comorbidities (mainly diabetes mellitus and hypertension) and who were undergoing the same type of surgical procedure. In this way, we maintained the same ratio between quadrantectomies (60%) and mastectomies (40%) in both groups.

Surgical procedure
Either the PEAK PlasmaBlade or the standard electrosurgical device was used on both cut and coagulation modes.

The PEAK PlasmaBlade was also used to incise skin, while in the standard electrosurgery group incision was performed with a common scalpel.

In the respective groups, the PEAK PlasmaBlade and the standard electrosurgical device were used to prepare the skin flaps (in case of mastectomy), to excise breast tissue and to remove pectoralis fascia. and to control small bleeding vessels. Larger vessels, instead, were ligated in both groups. Both pectoralis muscles were preserved in mastectomy. In quadrantectomy, the edges of breast tissue around the cavity were shifted together and sutured using 3-0 absorbable sutures. Subcutaneous tissue was closed with an interrupted 3-0 absorbable suture. In similar proportions between the 2 groups. 3 different techniques were used for the skin closure: subcuticular continuous suture, simple interrupted suture, or staples.

In cases of sentinel lymph node biopsy or axillary lymph node dissection, a separated axillary incision was performed. Exceptions were made either for upper-outer quadrantectomy or for mastectomy. In such cases a single incision extending to axilla was performed. Both the PEAK PlasmaBlade and the conventional electrosurgical device were used in sentinel lymph node biopsy, but not in axillary lymph node dissection. To perform this procedure, both instruments were replaced by an ultrasonic dissector. Axillary lymph node dissection
was performed up to level-3, preserving the axillary pedicle, the thoracodorsal pedicle, and the long thoracic nerve.

At the end of the procedure, either none, 1 or 2 suction drains were placed under the skin flap or in the axilla, according to the type of surgery.

Among the patients, 15% in each group underwent breast reconstruction. For these patients, plastic surgeons performed a 2-stage reconstruction: a short-term tissue expander was placed during the mastectomy procedure and substituted by a permanent breast implant a few months later, compatibly with the oncological treatment.

After discharge from the hospital, patients were regularly followed-up with ambulatory setting in order to control the healing process, to dress the wound, to remove the stitches, to evaluate the amount of drainage, and finally to remove drains. Drains were removed when the daily drainage volume was less than 50 mL.

Data collection
Tumor size, number of lymph nodes removed and involved were collected from the pathology reports. Surgical time was considered from incision to dressing. In cases of breast reconstruction, the plastic surgery time was included. The length of hospital stay is the number of days of hospitalization after surgery. We deliberately excluded the preoperative period. For drainage duration, in subjects with two drains, we considered the one that lasted longer.

Seroma was defined as the collection of clear serous fluid under the wound, which required at least one fine-needle aspiration. On the other hand, either when the same collection consisted mainly of blood or we observed a typical skin color change, we accepted the presence of hematoma. Surgical site infection was considered as the presence of erythema, pain, tenderness, rise in temperature around the wound area or purulent drainage regardless of culture confirmation. Skin flap necrosis was accepted when skin became gradually dark and necrotic, forming an eschar.

Statistical analysis
IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) for Mac OS X was used for statistical analysis. Parametric and nonparametric tests were performed. We used the Student t-test to compare the means of continuous variables (age, BMI, tumor size, number of axillary lymph nodes involved and removed, permanence of the sutures, operation duration, length of hospital stay, blood loss, duration and daily amount of drainage, and number of medications). Fisher exact test was used to analyze categorical variables in 2×2 contingency tables (proportions of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, mastectomies/quadrantectomies, patients that underwent breast reconstruction, the use of antibiotic therapy, and all wound complications). We used the chi-square test to compare more than 2 proportions (type of axillary surgery, suture technique for skin closure, and number of surgical drains). If any of the expected frequencies was less than five, chi-square test was repeated after merging categories whenever possible. However, in all of these cases, the proportions were equal in both groups or so similar that the P-values were not significant at conventional levels either with or without merging categories. The results are reported in the tables as obtained without merging categories.

Significance level was set at 5%.

RESULTS

The 2 groups were homogenous in age (62.80 ± 9.908 years in the PEAK group vs. 59.43 ± 11.648 years in the control group, P = 0.272), BMI (24.94 ± 6.786 kg/m² vs. 24.78 ± 4.209 kg/m², P = 0.910) and frequency of diabetes mellitus (5% vs. 7.5%, P > 0.999). The frequency of hypertension (40%) and the ratio between mastectomies (40%) and quadrantectomies (60%) were equal in both groups (P > 0.999).

We did not observe significant differences in other variables that might influence the outcomes of this study (Table 1): type of axillary surgery (axillary lymph node dissection was performed in 35% of the patients in each group, P > 0.999), tumor size (2.43 ± 2.499 cm in the PEAK group vs. 1.95 ± 1.442 cm in the control group, P = 0.344), number of axillary lymph nodes involved (2.25 ± 4.940 vs. 0.88 ± 1.727, P = 0.118), number of lymph nodes removed (7.00 ± 7.766 vs. 6.98 ± 7.724, P = 0.991), use of prophylactic and postoperative antibiotic therapy (respectively 100% in both groups, P > 0.999, and 95% vs. 85%, P = 0.407), suture technique (P = 0.390) and permanence of the sutures (13.60 ± 3.52 days vs. 14.00 ± 2.82 days, P = 0.686).

No significant difference was observed between treatment and control groups in these outcomes (Table 2): surgical duration (95.15 ± 36.595 minutes vs. 92.08 ± 31.456 minutes, P = 0.737), length of hospital stay (2.10 ± 1.021 days vs. 2.33 ± 1.328 days, P = 0.509), and number of medications (5.70 ± 2.577 vs. 5.63 ± 3.372, P = 0.931).

Mean drainage duration was 14.31 ± 5.234 days in the PEAK group and 10.93 ± 5.173 days in the control group, but the difference was not significant (P = 0.061). It appears useful to report that 2 patients accidentally removed the drain, before the daily amount of drainage was less than 50 mL. Both patients were in the standard electrosurgery group and both removed it after 9 days. Also, the daily amount of drainage was similar between the 2 groups (60.15 ± 28.225 mL/day vs. 56.78 ± 34.531 mL/day, P = 0.761). In order to evaluate blood loss we calculated the difference in the hemoglobin count between the preoperative and the postoperative examination. Mean blood
Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Variable                     | PEAK PlasmaBlade<sup>a</sup> (n = 20) | Standard electrosurgery (n = 40) | P-value |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                     | 62.80 ± 9.908                        | 59.43 ± 11.648                  | 0.272   |
| Body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) | 24.94 ± 6.786                      | 24.78 ± 4.209                   | 0.910   |
| Patients with diabetes mellitus | 1 (5.0)                             | 3 (7.5)                         | >0.999  |
| Patients with hypertension   | 8 (40.0)                             | 16 (40)                         | >0.999  |
| Breast surgery               |                                       |                                 | >0.999  |
| - Mastectomies               | 8 (40.0)                             | 16 (40.0)                       |         |
| - Quadrantectomies           | 12 (60.0)                            | 24 (60.0)                       |         |
| Axillary surgery             |                                       |                                 | >0.999  |
| - None                       | 1 (5.0)                              | 2 (5.0)                         |         |
| - Only sentinel lymph node biopsy | 12 (60.0)                        | 24 (60.0)                       |         |
| - Axillary lymph node dissection | 7 (35.0)                         | 14 (35.0)                       |         |
| No. of axillary lymph nodes removed | 7.00 ± 7.766                   | 6.98 ± 7.724                    | 0.991   |
| No. of lymph nodes involved  | 2.25 ± 4.940                         | 0.88 ± 1.727                    | 0.118   |
| Breast reconstruction after mastectomy | 3 (15.0)                         | 6 (15.0)                        | >0.999  |
| Tumor size (cm)              | 2.43 ± 2.499                         | 1.95 ± 1.442                    | 0.344   |
| Suture technique for skin closure |                                 |                                 | 0.390   |
| - Only subcuticular continuous sutures | 7 (35.0)                         | 16 (40.0)                       |         |
| - Subcuticular continuous + simple interrupted sutures | 4 (20.0)                         | 14 (35.0)                       |         |
| - Only staples               | 7 (35.0)                             | 9 (22.5)                        |         |
| - Subcuticular continuous sutures + staples | 1 (5.0)                         | 0 (0)                            |         |
| - Staples + simple interrupted sutures | 1 (5.0)                         | 1 (2.5)                          |         |
| Permanence of the sutures (day) | 13.60 ± 3.52                      | 14.00 ± 2.82                    | 0.686   |
| Antibiotic prophylaxis        | 20 (100)                             | 40 (100)                        | >0.999  |
| Postoperative antibiotic therapy | 19 (95.0)                         | 34 (85.0)                       | 0.407   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). Significance level was set at 5%.
<sup>a</sup>PEAK PlasmaBlade (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Table 2. Results

| Variable                     | PEAK PlasmaBlade<sup>a</sup> (n = 20) | Standard electrosurgery (n = 40) | P-value |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Surgical duration (min)      | 95.15 ± 36.595                        | 92.08 ± 31.456                  | 0.737   |
| Length of hospital stay (day)| 2.10 ± 1.021                          | 2.33 ± 1.328                    | 0.509   |
| Blood loss (g/dL)            | 0.92 ± 0.263                          | 1.52 ± 0.809                    | 0.095   |
| No. of surgical drains       |                                       |                                 | 0.332   |
| No. of drains                | 7 (35.0)                              | 13 (65.0)                       |         |
| 1                            | 6 (30.0)                              | 19 (47.5)                       |         |
| 2                            | 7 (35.0)                              | 8 (20.0)                        |         |
| Drainage stay (day)          | 14.31 ± 5.234                         | 10.93 ± 5.173                   | 0.061   |
| Daily amount of serum (mL/day)| 60.15 ± 28.225                      | 56.78 ± 34.531                  | 0.761   |
| No. of medications          | 5.70 ± 2.577                          | 5.63 ± 3.372                    | 0.931   |
| Wound complications          |                                       |                                 |         |
| - Seroma                     | 2 (10.0)                              | 15 (37.5)                       | 0.034   |
| - Hematoma                   | 3 (15.0)                              | 3 (7.5)                         | 0.390   |
| - Surgical site infection    | 1 (5.0)                               | 2 (5.0)                         | >0.999  |
| - Skin flap necrosis         | 1 (5.0)                               | 0 (0)                           | 0.333   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). Significance level was set at 5%.
<sup>a</sup>PEAK PlasmaBlade (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA).
loss was $0.92 \pm 0.263$ g/dL in the PEAK group and $1.52 \pm 0.809$ g/dL in the control group. This difference between the 2 groups was not significant ($P = 0.095$).

A significant difference between the 2 groups was detected in incidence of seroma: only 2 patients out of 20 (10%) in the PEAK group versus 15 out of 40 (37.5%) in the conventional electrosurgery group developed this complication ($P = 0.034$). Other wound complications occurred with no significant difference between the 2 groups. Hematoma occurred in 3 patients in each group; therefore, a difference in proportion was noticed, but this difference was not significant (15% vs. 7.5%, $P = 0.390$). Surgical site infection was observed with the same frequency between the 2 groups (5%, $P > 0.999$). We observed only one case of skin flap necrosis and it was in the PEAK group (5% vs. 0%, $P = 0.333$).

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate if PEAK PlasmaBlade, due to its properties, could improve the wound-healing process in breast surgery (mainly in quadrantectomy and mastectomy).

Our Senology Research Centre (University of Insubria in Varese - ASST Settegaghi) is composed of a single surgical team that provides standard medical and surgical treatments. These features enabled proper case and control selection, significantly reducing the possibility of hidden variables.

The major limitation of the study was the enrollment of few subjects (we used PEAK PlasmaBlade for only 20 subjects). This is a possible explanation of why many of the results did not reach a statistical difference, such as the difference in hemoglobin count between preoperative and postoperative examination and drainage duration. Hemoglobin count was used as an indirect measure of blood loss. Mean blood loss was $0.92 \pm 0.263$ g/dL in the PEAK group and $1.52 \pm 0.809$ g/dL in the control group ($P = 0.095$). The preoperative value was usually taken a couple weeks before operation. In addition, many factors, besides blood loss during operation, could have influenced the difference in hemoglobin value. For these reasons, we cannot definitively state that PEAK PlasmaBlade reduced blood loss. Drain duration was the other variable that resulted close to significance ($14.31 \pm 5.234$ days in the PEAK group vs. $10.93 \pm 5.173$ days in the control group, $P = 0.061$). Clarification is required for these data: 2 patients in the standard electrosurgery group prematurely removed the drain by accident.

However, despite these considerations, this study showed a significant result, which is in support of the PEAK PlasmaBlade: a lower incidence of seroma. The reduction of seroma was very clear (only 2 patients out of 20 that were treated with the PEAK PlasmaBlade versus 15 out of 40 that were treated with standard electrosurgery, $P = 0.034$). This represents a very interesting result, considering the fact that seroma is one of the most common wound complications in breast surgery. According to Srivastava et al. [10] in a survey about seroma formation after breast surgery, it is so frequent that it is now believed to be a side effect of surgery rather than a complication. The etiology of seroma is multifactorial. Several factors have been accepted: increased BMI, early shoulder movements in the postoperative period and surgical factors including technique, extent of dissection and the surgical devices used for dissection, such as electrocautery [10,11]. A detailed analysis showed that the use of single or multiple drains, early or late removal, and drains with or without suction do not influence the incidence of seroma [10]. Only very early removal, within 24 hours, seems to increase formation of seroma.

Many hypotheses have been postulated in the literature to explain the association between electrocautery and seroma [12-14]. Some authors emphasized the role of thrombosis of subdermal vessels caused by cautery [15], others stressed the effect on subcutaneous fat [12], others further state an association between tissue devitalization and seroma [16]. These fluid collections need to be removed with fine-needle aspiration, because they can lead to wound dehiscence, increase the risk of infections, and may delay adjuvant therapy. Therefore, seroma represents a further inconvenience for patients that have already undergone breast surgery. For this reason, the research of new instruments and surgical techniques that might reduce its incidence is desirable.

If we compare new and old surgical devices, we have to consider also the cost effectiveness. Price represents perhaps the greatest issue limiting the use of PEAK PlasmaBlade, as the device costs significantly more than a conventional electrocautery tip (cost of which is approximately €1.50). Further studies, based on a larger sample size, should ascertain if the routine use of this new technology, reducing complications and, eventually, the number of medications and hospitalization, could lead to an overall cost saving.

PEAK PlasmaBlade may therefore be a valid option for surgical device in breast cancer surgery. However, in order to validate or deny the results of this study, it is necessary to enroll more subjects and to consider the impact of this instrument on axillary lymph node dissection. If a lower incidence of seroma is confirmed in a larger sample size, the use of the PEAK PlasmaBlade in breast surgery should be taken into serious consideration.
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