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Macrophages, found in circulating blood as well as integrated into several tissues and organs throughout the body, represent an important first line of defense against disease and a necessary component of healthy tissue homeostasis. Additionally, macrophages that arise from the differentiation of monocytes recruited from the blood to inflamed tissues play a central role in regulating local inflammation. Studies of macrophage activation in the last decade or so have revealed that these cells adopt a staggering range of phenotypes that are finely tuned responses to a variety of different stimuli, and that the resulting subsets of activated macrophages play critical roles in both progression and resolution of disease. This review summarizes the current understanding of the contributions of differentially polarized macrophages to various infectious and inflammatory diseases and the ongoing effort to develop novel therapies that target this key aspect of macrophage biology.

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages (Mφ) represent a ubiquitous yet complex and nuanced population of immune cells that play essential roles in both disease and homeostasis throughout the body (Hume, 2008). In addition to monocytes and Mφ circulating throughout the bloodstream, specialized tissue-resident Mφ can be found in most major organs, including Kupffer cells in the liver, Langerhans cells in the skin, microglia in the brain, splenic red pulp Mφ, lung alveolar Mφ, adipose tissue Mφ, and bone osteoclasts, to name a few (Davies et al., 2013; Gautier et al., 2012; Ji et al., 2013; You et al., 2013). While some identify these populations as the endpoint of bone marrow monocyte maturation, several lines of evidence indicate that tissue resident Mφ originate during embryogenesis in association with their specific tissue independently from blood monocytes and monocytes/Mφ recruited to sites of inflammation (Davies et al., 2013; Gomez and Geissmann, 2013; Schulz et al., 2012). Regardless of their location, Mφ are responsible for the maintenance of healthy tissues through phagocytic clearance of apoptotic cells and foreign materials and through tissue repair and remodeling during wound healing (Duffield, 2005; Ghavami et al., 2014; Majai et al., 2014; Mantovani et al., 2013). Mφ are also major regulators of the inflammatory response to disease and infection, acting as a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity by monitoring the microenvironment through an array of surface receptors and secreting appropriate cytokines and chemokines (Heydtmann, 2009; Schwabe et al., 2006).

Depending on the stimuli they encounter, tissue resident and circulating Mφ populations can be directed to distinct phenotypic programs in a process known as Mφ polarization (Fig. 1, Table 1). The diverse properties of different Mφ subsets can have drastic effects on health and disease within the tissues where they reside; while the induction of a particular subset can be protective during homeostasis or disease, this process can be altered or subverted to enhance pathogenesis and disease progression (by, for example, inappropriately dampening the immune response or exacerbating harmful inflammation) (Murray and Wynn, 2011). Therefore, this review aims to summarize recent findings regarding the identity, properties, and roles of polarized Mφ in various disease models and the development of therapeutic strategies that target both the process of Mφ polarization and individual Mφ subsets.

PHENOTYPIC POLARIZATION OF Mφ

The most well-described and commonly reported paradigm of Mφ polarization is the M1/M2 polarization axis (Mantovani et al., 2004; Martinez et al., 2009; Sica and Mantovani, 2012). Originally named to reflect relationships to Th1/Th2 polarization of immune responses, M1 and M2 Mφ are also referred to as classically or alternatively activated Mφ, respectively (Gordon, 2003; Mills et al., 2000).

Classical activation is stimulated by microbial products and proinflammatory cytokines (IFNγ and/or LPS or TNF), and the resulting M1 Mφ are characterized by high antigen presentation, high production of IL-12 and IL-23, and high production of nitric oxide (NO) and reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) (Verreck et al., 2004). M1 Mφ have been shown to produce several other inflammatory cytokines like TNFα; IL-1, -6, and -12; Type I IFN; CXCL1-3, 5 and 8-10; CCL2-5 and 11; CXCL16, and CX3CL1 (Mantovani et al., 2004; Sica and Mantovani, 2012).

By contrast, alternative/M2 activation is mediated by IL-4, IL-13, CXCL12, and TGFβ. M2 Mφ are characterized by high collagen synthesis and repair, high production of IL-10 and TGFβ, and high production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-13 (Mantovani et al., 2004; Sica and Mantovani, 2012). M2 Mφ are also referred to as M0 Mφ, Mreg, or M2a and M2b, respectively (Gordon, 2003; Mills et al., 2000).
10, and IL-13, which were initially thought to produce “deacti-
vated Mφ” (Martinez et al., 2009). M2 Mφ are marked by the
upregulation of several surface molecules including Dectin-1, DC-SIGN, mannose receptor (MRC1/CD206), scavenger receptor A (CD204), scavenger receptor B-1, CD163, CCR2, CXCR1, and CXCR2 (Gordon, 2003; Mantovani et al., 2004; Martinez et al., 2009). M2 Mφ exhibit altered cytokine and chemokine production, and typically produce high levels of IL-10 and low levels of IL-12 (Mosser, 2003). CCL1, CCL2, CCL17, CCL18, CCL22, CCL24, and IL-1Ra are also made by alter-
atively activated Mφ (Mantovani et al., 2004). Genetic studies of M2 Mφ in mouse models have identified additional signatures of alternative activation, including arginase 1 (Arg1), YM1 (a member of the chitinase family) and FIZZ1 (found in inflamma-
tory zone 1, RETNL A) (Raes et al., 2002; 2005). Generally, the M2 polarization state is characterized by little to no secretion of proinflammat-
atory cytokines, increased secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines, enhanced scavenging of cellular debris, pro-
motion of tissue remodeling and repair, and, in some cases, increased capacity to fight parasitic infections (Alfano et al., 2013). Addi-
tionally, the concept of resolution of inflammation has evolved and is no longer perceived as a passive process that simply occurs when the insult disappears, but rather as a highly orchestrated response coordinated by a complex regulatory network of cells and anti-M1 mediators called pro-resolving mediators (Rius et al., 2012).

M2 Mφ can be further divided into subtypes according to their inductive stimuli and secreted chemokines (Martinez et al., 2008). M2a Mφ are stimulated by IL-4 and IL-13 and produce CCL24, CCL22, CCL17, and CCL18, which are recognized by CCR3, CCR4, and CCR8 and promote recruitment of eosino-
phils, basophils, and TH2 cells. M2b Mφ result from activation with immune complexes and TLR agonists (like LPS) and pro-
duce CCL1, which recruits Tregs. IL-10 drives M2a polarization to M2c cells, which produce CCL16 and 18, recruiting eosino-
phils and naïve T cells, respectively. M2d Mφ accumulate in the tumor microenvironment and present an IL-10 hiVEGF hi M2a state (Mylonas et al., 2009; Stout et al., 2005). Finally, while there is partial overlap of M1-and M2-identifying markers in murine and human studies, there are still markers in each system that fail to trans-
late to the other. The chitinase-like proteins YM1 and YM2, along with FIZZ1, are markers of murine M2 polarization which lack human orthologs, while CCL14, CCL18, and CCL23 are human-restricted M2 markers with no murine orthologs (Chang et al., 2001; Martinez et al., 2009; Raes et al., 2002). Finally, there are other specially activated Mφ (M4, Mhem, and MOx) that have been described in atherosclerosis and may lie on a separate activation axis from M1/M2 Mφ (Fig. 1). These athero-
sclerotic Mφ subsets have been discussed in recent reviews (Fenyo and Gafencu, 2013; Leitinger and Schulman, 2013), but will not be examined in detail here.

### SIGNALING PATHWAYS INVOLVED IN Mφ POLARIZATION

The network of molecular mediators that regulate M1/M2 pola-
rization in response to various stimuli is incompletely under-
tood, but several signaling pathways have been implicated in this process (Fig. 2). One of the major pathways identified is the JAK/STAT pathway, which mediates responses to a collection of different cytokines and growth factors and regulates processes-
ses from hematopoiesis and immune development to lactation and adipogenesis (Rawlings et al., 2004). Binding of IFNγ to its cell surface receptor leads to activation of receptor-associated JAKs, which in turn cause STAT1 to dimerize and translocate to the nucleus where it initiates transcription of genes that pro-
-mote M1-associated functions like enhanced microbicidal activi-
ty and proinflammatory cytokine production (Hu et al., 2007; Rauch et al., 2013). Mφ-specific deletion of SOCS3, an inhibitor
of cytokine and JAK/STAT signaling, was found to increase levels of the M1 genes IL-1\(\beta\), IL-6, IL-12, IL-23, and iNOS (Qin et al., 2012a) and increase phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT3 (Qin et al., 2012b).

In contrast, STAT6 is activated by IL-4 and IL-13 to induce M2 polarization (Dailey et al., 2009; Moreno et al., 2003; Stolfi et al., 2011). C-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) involved in cell proliferation, transformation, differentiation, and apoptosis is likely involved in this pathway (Zhou et al., 2013). Upon activation, JNK can phosphorylate serine 707 on STAT6, thereby deactivating it (Shirakawa et al., 2011). A study of Mφ polarization in obesity showed that mice lacking the JNK activator MLK3 were also deficient in M1 Mφ polarization (Gadang et al., 2013). The transcription factors PPAR\(\gamma\) and PPAR\(\delta\) are activated by STAT6 and necessary for M2 polarization, and PPAR\(\gamma^{-}\) Mφ exhibit enhanced activation of JNK following treatment with adipocyte-conditioned medium, which contains the M2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13 (Kang et al., 2008; Odegaaard et al., 2007). The zinc-finger transcriptional regulator Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) is involved in this pathway as well and cooperates with STAT6 to skew polarization towards M2 by sequestering coactivators of NF-κB (Liao et al., 2011).

Furthermore, the phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathway, which activates multiple kinase cascades through the production of the second messenger PIP3, regulates Mφ survival and gene expression via activation of the Akt family of serine/threonine protein kinases (Liu et al., 2001; Luyendyk et al., 2008). Knockout studies have demonstrated that M1 polarization depends on the activation of Akt2 while M2 polarization requires Akt1 (Arranz et al., 2012). In addition, the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway controls the activation of mTOR, which promotes M2 polarization (Byles et al., 2013; Mercalli et al., 2013; Weichhart and Säemann, 2008).

Interferon-regulatory factor (IRF) proteins are also regulators of Mφ polarization. IRF5 is associated with M1 polarization and promotes the transcription of genes encoding IL-12 while repressing the gene that encodes IL-10 (Krausgruber et al., 2011). Notch signaling through the nuclear transducer RBP-J controls expression of IRF8, which induces M1 gene expression (Xu et al., 2012). IRF4 is highly expressed in adipose tissue Mφ (ATM) and its deletion leads to increased production of IL-1\(\beta\) and TNF\(\alpha\) and expression of M1 markers, indicating that IRF4 activation contributes to M2 polarization (Eguchi et al., 2013). The IRFs also underlie the ability of GM-CSF and M-CSF to induce polarization: GM-CSF leads to downstream activation of IRF5 (M1) while M-CSF leads to IRF4 (M2) activation (Lawrence and Notali, 2011).

**BACTERIAL INFECTIONS**

Given the ability of Mφ to acquire enhanced microbicidal abilities following stimulation with microbial products and the preeminent roles of Mφ in both innate and adaptive immune responses, one might predict that pathogens would evolve strategies to redirect and alter Mφ activation in their favor. Several transcriptome analysis studies have established that innate immune cells, particularly Mφs, engage in a common response to pathogen challenge that involves a shared pattern of gene expression (Jenner and Young, 2005; Nau et al., 2002). A multi-study review of transcriptional responses of mononuclear phagocytes to bacteria and bacterial components focusing specifically on genes involved in Mφ polarization identified a common response program that mainly involved the upregulation of M1-associated genes, including the cytokines TNF, IL-6, IL-12, IL-1\(\beta\), the cytokine receptors IL-7R and IL-15RA, the chemokines CCL2, CCL5, and CXCL8, and the chemokine receptor CCR7 (Benot et al., 2008). This M1 activation program is typically associated with protection against disease, and M1 polarization has been shown to aid host control of several bacteria, including *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium ulcerans*, and *Chlamydia* infections (Benot et al., 2008; Chacón-Salinas et al., 2005; Jouanguy et al., 1999; Kisewski et al., 2006; Rottenberg et al., 2008).
in vitro (Thurlow et al., 2011). Mφ expression but robust Arg1 expression, signifying an M2 profile (ciello et al., 2013). During pulmonary infection in mice, TLR4 and elicits decreased production of proinflammatory cytokines from murine bone marrow derived Mφ (Pa-cielo et al., 2013). During pulmonary infection in mice, Staphylococcus aureus induces Akt1 signaling to enhance SOCS1 activity and inhibit NF-κB activity, shifting Mφ from an antimicrobial M1 phenotype to a functionally inert one (Xu et al., 2013). M. tuberculosis secretes the virulence factors lipoarabinomannan and early secretory antigenic target-6 (ESAT-6), which inhibit M1 activation by inhibiting phagosome maturation and NF-κB activation, respectively (Lugo-Villarino et al., 2011). M. tuberculosis also subverts the inflammatory response by stimulating Wnt8 signaling in infected Mφ in granulomatous lesions in the lung, driving M2-like polarization (Schaale et al., 2013). S. aureus biofilms are resistant to Mφ invasion, but those Mφ that do successfully penetrate catheter-associated biofilms in vitro display decreased expression of IL-1β, TNFα, and iNOS expression but robust Arg1 expression, signifying an M2 profile (Thurlow et al., 2011). S. typhimurium has been shown to preferentially associate with M2 Mφ, and PPARδ expression is upregulated in Salmonella-infected Mφ while PPARα deficiency severely inhibits bacterial replication and persistence (Eisele et al., 2013). Interestingly, the dependency of S. typhimurium on PPARδ expression was shown to be due to its metabolic effects rather than its ability to reduce production of antimicrobial mediators by promoting M2 polarization, and it remains to be determined whether S. typhimurium directly augments PPARδ activity to promote persis-tence.

**VIRAL INFECTIONS**

Similar to evasion strategies employed by bacterial pathogens, many viruses take advantage of the Mφ polarization system to enhance their own growth and virulence. However, unlike bac-terial pathogens, which generally tend to thrive within and encourage production of M2-polarized Mφ, viral pathogens more commonly activate M1 polarization. This inflammatory pheno-type is often correlated with disease severity. Hepatitis C virus preferentially infects hepatocytes and establishes a chronic inflammatory infection, often leading to fibrotic cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (Lavanchy, 2011). It has been demonstrated that the viral protein NS3 enhances IL-12 and TNFα production by THP-1 Mφ, implicating M1 polarization in sustaining inflammation (Hajizadeh et al., 2013). Furthermore, activation of M1 Mφ with TLR agonists triggers the secretion of TNFα, which promotes HCV entry into polarized hepatoma cells by relocalizing the tight junction protein and HCV entry factor occludin (Fletcher et al., 2013). Of the three common clades of avian H5N1 influenza virus circulating in poultry (2.3.2, 2.3.4, and 7), clade 2.3.4 is the most successful at infecting, replicating within, and inducing cytopathic effects in human monocyte-derived Mφ (MDM) (Sun et al., 2013). H5N1 clade 2.3.4 also stimulated the highest expression of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, IFNγ, and MCP-1 in MDMs (Sun et al., 2013). M2 Mφ polarization by S. aureus, which is commonly present among the airway mucosal microbiota, inhibits influenza-mediated lung injury, implying that M1 Mφ exacerbate flu infection (Wang et al., 2013).

Nonetheless, some viruses do benefit by skewing Mφ polarization towards an M2 phenotype. During infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), lung...
Damage resulting from both intrinsic viral infection and dysregulation of the host immune response rapidly progresses to diffuse alveolar damage, resulting in acute respiratory distress syndrome and pulmonary fibrosis (Franks et al., 2003; Peiris et al., 2003). A recent study revealed that SARS-CoV-infected mice lacking hematopoietic STAT1 expression have greater weight loss and lung pathology associated with upregulation of the M2 indicators YM1, FIZZ1, IL-4, and IL-13 (Page et al., 2012). Absence of lung disease and prefibrotic lesions in infected STAT1/STAT6 double-knockout mice also supported the notion that M2 Mφ contribute to SARS-CoV pathogenesis. Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) has a more complex relationship with Mφ polarization. The HCMV gene UL111A encodes a homolog of human IL-10 that is capable of polarizing monocytes towards an anti-inflammatory M2c phenotype including high expression of the scavenger receptor CD163, suppression of MHC expression, and expression of heme oxygenase 1 (which suppresses TNFα and IL-1β) (Avdic et al., 2013). Additionally, HCMV optimally infects M2 but not M1-polarized Mφ and late-phase HCMV infection is dependent on the M2-promoting activation of mTOR (Poglichtsch et al., 2012). Despite this, HCMV-activated Mφ have been shown to adopt an M1 transcriptional profile (Chan et al., 2008). HIV-1 similarly seems to benefit from M2 polarization: HIV-1 displays impaired viral DNA synthesis, delayed proviral integration, and reduced proviral transcription in M1 Mφ, while the M2a surface receptor DC-SIGN facilitates HIV-1 entry, DNA synthesis, and transmission from infected Mφ to CD4+ T cells (Cassettai et al., 2013; Cassol et al., 2010; 2013). Notably, clathrin-mediated endocytosis of HIV-1 is increased in M1 and decreased in M2 Mφ, but this method of endocytosis leads to increased viral degradation and is unlikely to result in productive infection (Gobei et al., 2012). Yet, like HCMV, HIV-1 infection of MDMs drives them toward M1 polarization, and the viral protein Nef is preferentially taken up by M2 Mφ and stimulates an M2-to-M1 transition (Cassol et al., 2010; Chihara et al., 2012; Lugo-Villarino et al., 2011). These contradictions may be explained by a viral survival strategy that takes advantage of both M1 and M2 Mφ as means to different ends: M2 Mφ as a reservoir of replication and M1 Mφ to recruit fresh immune cells to spread the infection. This can also be inferred from the ability of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines from HCMV-infected Mφ to enhance virus replication and dissemination (Alfano et al., 2013; Smith and Bentz, 2004a; 2004b).

**DIABETES, OBESITY, AND NON-ALCOHOLIC STEATOHEPATITIS**

Type 1 diabetes is an autoimmune disease that results in high blood sugar following the destruction of insulin-producing pancreatic beta cells via activation of innate immunity and expansion of auto-reactive T cells and autoantibody-producing B cells. Monocytes/Mφ from patients with Type 1 diabetes present a proinflammatory profile (high levels of TNFα, IL-6 and IL-1β) when compared to normal subjects (Bradshaw et al., 2009; Devaraj et al., 2006; Shanmugam et al., 2004). Moreover, elevated levels of glucose and islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP) deposition lead to the activation of TLRs and inflammasomes, resulting in beta cell death and decreased insulin secretion (Henao-Mejia et al., 2013). Recently, it has been suggested that M1 Mφ may contribute to diabetes-related complications such as cardiovascular diseases by altering the immune system of type 1 diabetics (Burke and Kolodgie, 2004). Furthermore, the sustained increase of growth hormone in murine models of type 1 diabetes leads to a reduction of diabetes symptoms by attenuating the apoptosis and increasing the expansion of beta cells (Villares et al., 2013). Growth hormone also triggers M2 polarization of Mφ via modulation of the cytokine milieu, stimulating the activity of suppressor T cells and limiting Th17 cell activation (Villares et al., 2013).

Obesity is a major health problem in western countries and a risk factor for insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, hepatic steatosis, and atherosclerosis. Obesity is closely associated with chronic inflammation in adipose tissues, suggesting that the chronic excess of nutrients triggers an immune response in adipose tissues (Goh et al., 2011; Hotamisligil, 2006; Kanneganti and Dixit, 2012). White adipose tissues store energy in the form of fat and regulate systemic metabolism through the release of adipokines by adipocytes that control insulin sensitivity in the liver and skeletal muscle (Sun et al., 2011; Tateya et al., 2013). In lean subjects and mice, adipose tissue Mφ (ATM) present an M2 phenotype and are critical to maintaining insulin sensitivity in adipocytes through IL-10 production (Liao et al., 2011; Lumeng et al., 2007a; 2007b). In metabolic homeostasis, M2 ATMs are maintained by IL-4 and IL-13 secreted by adipocytes in a PPARγ-dependent and KL6-dependent manner (Wynn et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). In obese subjects and mice, adipocytes release proinflammatory mediators (i.e. CCL2/MCP-1, TNFα, CCL5, CCL8 and free fatty acid), promoting the infiltration of Ly6C+ inflammatory monocytes which differentiate into M1-polarized ATMs that express high levels of TNFα, iNOS, IL-6 and IL-1β (Cinti et al., 2005; Lumeng et al., 2007a; Olefsky and Glass, 2010; Tateya et al., 2013; Weisberg et al., 2003, 2006). Therefore, the severity of obesity-related metabolic dysfunctions correlates with M1 ATM infiltration whereas chronic inflammation in adipose tissue inhibits the production of adiponectin, thus contributing to the development of insulin resistance in surrounding adipocytes (Lumeng et al., 2007a; Weisberg et al., 2003, 2006).

Recently NAFLD (Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) has emerged as an obesity-related health problem characterized by steatosis (accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes). Hepatic steatosis can evolve to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) when accompanied by liver injury (ballooning hepatocytes) and hepatic inflammation, which may be associated with fibrosis and eventually culminates in cirrhosis and HCC. The development of the complex pathology of NASH involves a variety of liver cells including hepatocytes, hepatic Mφ, and stellate cells. Inflammatory mediators, especially those derived from adipose tissues, the gut, and the liver, have recently been reported to play a major role in initiating and controlling the progression of NASH by regulating lipid metabolism (Day and James, 1998; Racanelli and Rehermann, 2006; Ting and Moschen, 2010). In particular, the activation of innate immune cells such as Kupffer cells and infiltrating blood-derived monocytes is a major event of NASH development. In homeostatic conditions, Kupffer cells perform immune surveillance by removing pathogens and toxins from the circulation and maintain liver tolerance through IL-10 secretion (Thomson and Knolle, 2010). Kupffer cells communicate with a variety of hepatic immune cells and interact directly with hepatocytes passing through the space of Disse (Racanelli and Rehermann, 2006). In early mouse models of diet-induced steatohepatitis, Kupffer cells are the first innate cells responding to injured hepatocytes and differentiate toward M1 Mφ, promoting the recruitment of blood-derived CD11b+ Ly6C+ monocytes through secretion of TNFα and chemokines (MCP-1 and IP-10) (Tosello-Trampont et al., 2012). The recruitment of these inflammatory M1-polarized Ly6C+ blood-derived monocytes is dependent on CCR2 and MCP1 (Karimark et al., 2013).
The hallmarks of NASH (i.e., steatosis, low-grade inflammation, and hepatic recruitment of M1-polarized Mφ) are reduced/delayed following specific depletion of Kupffer cells or by silencing of TNFα in myeloid cells (Rosillo-Trampont et al., 2012). Moreover, M1-polarized Kupffer cells also produce inflammatory mediators such as IL-1β and ROS, which induce hepatic steatosis and fibrosis (Miura et al., 2012; Schwabe and Brenner, 2006). NF-κB and JNK activation in Kupffer cells may contribute to the development of hepatic inflammation by promoting M1-like Mφ polarization (Zhou et al., 2013).

Liver Mφ are also implicated in the severity of NASH via the expression of Toll-like receptors (TLR2, TLR4, TLR9, MyD88) and scavenger receptors (scavenger receptor A and CD36) (Biegls et al., 2010; Miura et al., 2010; 2012; 2013). TLRs and scavenger receptors trigger proinflammatory responses following recognition of hepatic free fatty acids, damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMPs) expressed by stelmatocyte hepatocytes, and/or bacterial products derived from the gut (Farrell et al., 2012; Roh and Seki, 2013). NASH patients show increased intestinal permeability, resulting in greater hepatic abundance of bacterial products and other TLR ligands derived from the gut via portal vein circulation (Zhu et al., 2013). The imbalance of gut flora may influence liver disease by activating TLRs expressed on liver cells and leading to the activation of NLRP3 (Csak et al., 2011; Farrell et al., 2012; Henao-Mejia et al., 2013; Roh and Seki, 2013). In models of diet-induced NASH and obesity, inflammasome-deficient mice develop more severe NASH which is fully transferable to WT mice upon prolonged cohousing, suggesting that commensal bacteria in the GI tract play an important role in NASH disease progression (Csak et al., 2011; Henao-Mejia et al., 2012; 2013; Weisberg et al., 2003).

### CANCER

Mφ are a highly influential cell type in most varieties of cancer and are recruited to all solid tumors (Cassetta et al., 2011). The contributions of different subsets of polarized Mφ to the tumor microenvironment and cancer progression are therefore a subject of great interest. M1 Mφ are generally considered to be beneficial to the host, and peritumoral Mφ that express M1 cytokines like IFNγ, IL-1β, and IL-6 have been shown to have antitumoral effects and are associated with improved prognoses (Dumont et al., 2008; Klimp et al., 2002; Niino et al., 2010; Öberg et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2010). M1 Mφ may have the opposite effect in virally induced cancers, however: administration of IFNγ or TNFα to patients infected with Kaposi sarcoma virus enhances disease progression (Monini et al., 1999). Proinflammatory Mφ are also harmful in intraocular tumors, where TNFα- and iNOS-dependent antitumor responses lead to necrosis of bystander cells and destruction of the eye (Coursey et al., 2012).

M2-polarized tumor-associated Mφ (TAM), on the other hand, have been repeatedly and consistently associated with unfavorable effects like tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis in malignant cancers (Alfano et al., 2013). The M2 cytokines IL-4, IL-13, and IL-10 are present within the tumor microenvironment and TAMs from various cancer models have been shown to express an M2 activation profile that includes enhanced expression of CD163, MRC-1, c-type lectins, IL-10, and Arg-1 and decreased production of IL-12 (Beck et al., 2009; Biswas et al., 2006; Schmieder et al., 2012; Sica et al., 2002). The small secretory lectin Reg3β is an important inhibitor of inflammation in pancreatic and intestinal tissues, and deficiency of Reg3β (an activator of the STAT3 pathway) drastically impairs pancreatic tumor growth by skewing Mφ polarization away from M2 and towards M1 (Gironella et al., 2013). M2 TAMs have also been shown to increase fibroblastic morphology, vimentin and snail expression, metalloproteinase activity, and proliferation and migration of pancreatic cancer cells, implicating them in the development of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis (Liu et al., 2013). In HCC, high expression of the heparin-sulfate proteoglycan glypicanc-3 (GPC3) on the surface of cancer cells is associated with increased Mφ infiltration in human patients, and human/mouse xenograft transplantation with a GPC3-overexpressing cell line leads to infiltration by Mφ expressing M2-specific markers (Takai et al., 2009a; 2009b). M2 TAMs worsen HCC both by promoting tumor growth and angiogenesis and by encouraging liver fibrosis through IL-13 and TGFβ secretion (Sica et al., 2013).

### THERAPEUTIC TARGETING Mφ POLARIZATION

Given that Mφ play important roles in maintaining tissue homeostasis and fighting disease, polarized Mφ subsets that specifically contribute to the pathogenesis or amelioration of various diseases present themselves as attractive targets for therapeutic intervention. Different therapeutic strategies include either targeting the polarized Mφ themselves or manipulating the signaling pathways involved in the process of Mφ polarization to a desirable outcome.

Bacterial biofilms that form on body surfaces or on surgical implants lead to chronic and recurrent infections, and are difficult to treat with antibiotics (Donlan and Costerton, 2002; Otto, 2008). Early, local administration of M1 Mφ or the C5a receptor agonist EP67, which stimulates M1 polarization, significantly attenuated biofilm formation in a mouse model (Hanke et al., 2013). Furthermore, treatment of established biofilms significantly reduced bacterial burden compared to antibiotic treatment, suggesting the potential of a therapeutic alternative (Hanke et al., 2013). Microbes themselves may also prove to be useful sources of therapeutics that modulate Mφ polarization. In vitro application of extracellular polysaccharide secreted by an oligotrophic bacteria found in Lop Nur Desert, Bacillus sp. LB3P2, was found to limit LPS-induced inflammation in the Mφ cell line RAW 264.7 by inhibiting NF-κB and JNK activation, and may prove useful in diseases characterized by excessive M1 polarization (Diao et al., 2013). Similarly, the small-molecule compound bis-N-norgliovictin isolated from the marine-derived endophytic fungus S3-1-c inhibits LPS-induced M1 polarization of RAW 264.7 cells and murine peritoneal Mφ, and improves survival in mouse models of sepsis (Song et al., 2013). As a proof of concept for treating inflammatory gastrointestinal diseases, a lab strain of E. coli was created that secretes a Herpes virus homolog of IL-10 via a Sec-dependent transporter construct. Viral IL-10 delivered in this manner was shown to activate STAT3 and suppress TNFα production in the J774.1 murine Mφ cell line (Förster et al., 2013).

IKKγ, a downstream mediator of insulin resistance and activator of the NF-κB pathway (and therefore of M1 polarization), is inhibited by anti-inflammatory salicylates like aspirin, which attenuates hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia in obese rodents (Yin et al., 1998; Yuen et al., 2001). Several small trials in patients with type 2 diabetes have demonstrated that treatment with salicylates results in a marked reduction of diabetic metabolic parameters and improved glycemic control (Tateya et al., 2013).

Apolipoprotein A-I mimetics are a class of therapeutic mole-
cules that attempt to modulate HDL to treat atherosclerosis and are the subject of extensive clinical and mechanistic study, as reviewed in Leman et al. (2013). Interestingly, the mimetic D4F also has potential for cancer therapy: D4F inhibits the M2-associated scavenger receptor CD204/SRA on TAMs, preventing metastatic spread (Neyen et al., 2013). Anticancer therapies also seek to convert protumoral M2 Mφ into M1 Mφ. M2 Mφ generated by IL-6 and prostaglandin E2 secreted by cervical cancer cells can be repolarized to M1 by coculture with Th1 cells, and this interaction could possibly be reproduced by activation with CD40L and IFNγ (Heusinkveld et al., 2011). Moreover, IFNγ was shown to successfully switch M2 TAMs purified from human ovarian tumors to an M1 phenotype, and the addition of IFNγ skewed de novo tumor-induced M2 differentiation of monocytes to favor M1 polarization (Duluc et al., 2011). Other potentially therapeutic molecules found to repolarize TAMs from an M2 to an M1 phenotype include zoledronic acid, Cpg oligonucleotide, and histidine-rich glycoprotein (Csocia et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Rolny et al., 2011).

CONCLUSION

The integral importance of Mφ in the maintenance of nearly every tissue throughout the body and their position as the first line of defense against many diseases guarantees that they play critical roles in both disease progression and in resolution, and that altering the behavior of these cells can mean the difference between healthy recovery and severe illness. Mφ polarization itself is an extremely nuanced and fine-tuned process and can produce nearly infinite variations of endpoint phenotypes, each of which has the potential to affect various diseases in different ways. While polarized Mφ subsets and the polarization process itself are attractive and novel therapeutic targets in both infectious and inflammatory disease, better understanding of how polarization is controlled and how polarized Mφ modulate specific diseases is necessary to fully harness the potential of these strategies.
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