Fecal microbial dysbiosis in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease
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Abstract

AIM

To analyze the alterations of fecal microbiota in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

METHODS

Fecal samples from 15 patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) (11 active CD, 4 inactive CD), 14 patients with active ulcerative colitis (UC) and 13 healthy individuals were collected and subjected to 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) gene sequencing. The V4 hypervariable regions of 16S rDNA gene were amplified from all samples and sequenced by the Illumina MiSeq platform. Quality control and operational taxonomic units classification of reads were calculated with QIIME software. Alpha diversity and beta diversity were displayed with R software.

RESULTS

Community richness (chao) and microbial structure in both CD and UC were significantly different from those in normal controls. At the phyla level, analysis of the microbial compositions revealed a significantly greater abundance of Proteobacteria in IBD as compared to...
that in controls. At the genera level, 8 genera in CD and 23 genera in UC (in particular, the *Escherichia* genus) showed significantly greater abundance as compared to that in normal controls. The relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in the active CD group was markedly lower than that in the inactive CD group. The abundance of *Proteobacteria* in patients with active CD was nominally higher than that in patients with inactive CD; however, the difference was not statistically significant after correction. Furthermore, the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* showed a negative correlation with the CD activity index scores.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study profiles specific characteristics and microbial dysbiosis in the gut of Chinese patients with IBD. *Bacteroidetes* may have a negative impact on inflammatory development.
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**Core tip:** Intestinal microbiota plays an important role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. However, there are few data on global alteration of microbiota in Chinese patients. In this study, fecal samples were subjected to 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. Community richness and microbial structure in inflammatory bowel disease were significantly different from those in normal controls. The relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in the active Crohn’s disease group was significantly lower than that in the inactive Crohn’s disease group, and it showed a negative correlation with Crohn’s disease activity index, which indicates that *Bacteroidetes* may have a negative impact on inflammatory development.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is characterized by chronic relapsing inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract and includes two main clinical phenotypes: Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). The etiopathogenesis of IBD is not completely understood. Several disease susceptibility genes, such as *NOD2*, *ATG16L1* and *IRGM*, have been implicated in its pathogenesis[1]. However, the rapid increase in the incidence of IBD cannot be explained by genetic factors alone; an accumulating body of evidence indicates that environmental factors play a key role in the development of IBD by triggering intestinal microbiota dysbiosis[2].

Currently available data from experimental models and clinical studies suggest that intestinal microbiota plays an important role in the pathogenesis of IBD[3]. The alterations in intestinal microbiota related to IBD include decrease in *Bacteroides*, *Firmicutes*, *Clostridia*, *Ruminococcaceae*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, but increase in *Gamma Proteobacteria* and presence of *Fusobacterium* and *Escherichia coli*, especially adherent-invasive *E. coli* (AIEC). In addition, IBD is also associated with alterations in the microbial metabolic functions, including decrease of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and amino acid biosynthesis, and increase of axotrophy, amino acid and sulfate transport, oxidative stress, and type II secretion system[4-7].

With respect to changes (increase or decrease) in intestinal microbiota in IBD patients, some conflicting findings have been reported for several bacteria, including *Bifidobacterium*, *Clostridiales*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Campylobacter*, *Helicobacter* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*[8]. For example, the levels of *F. prausnitzii* in IBD patients were found to be reduced in several studies[9-11]. However, one study of de novo pediatric IBD revealed an increase in *F. prausnitzii* in CD, but not in UC[12]. Another study of twins showed an increase in *F. prausnitzii* in patients with colonic CD, but a decrease of *F. prausnitzii* in patients with ileal CD[13].

The intestinal microbiota of Western IBD patients has been extensively studied. However, the intestinal microbial profiles of Chinese IBD patients are not well characterized[14]. In the present study, we profiled and compared the fecal microbial community of IBD patients at different disease stages and healthy controls by using 16S rDNA amplicon-based analysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

Twenty-nine IBD patients (11 active CD, 4 inactive CD and 14 active UC patients) who regularly visited the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Jiangsu, China) from 2014 to 2016 were recruited to the study. The diagnosis of IBD was based on standard clinical, endoscopic, radiological and histological criteria[15]. The control group consisted of sex- and age-matched healthy subjects. Patients with IBD who met any of the following criteria were excluded: (1) use of antibiotics, probiotics or prebiotics in the 3-mo period immediately preceding the sampling time point; (2) current infectious diarrhea; and (3) malignancy. UC activity was evaluated using the Mayo score[16], active UC was defined as UC disease activity index > 2. Activity of CD was scored by Crohn’s disease activity...
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**RESULTS**

**Patients’ characteristics and sequencing data**

Fecal samples from patients with active CD ($n = 11$), inactive CD ($n = 4$), active UC ($n = 14$), and 13 healthy individuals were analyzed in the current study. The median disease duration in patients with CD and UC was 10 mo (range: 3-48 mo) and 30 mo (range: 2-93 mo), respectively. Detailed clinical characteristics of the study subjects are presented in Table 1.

Paired-end reads were generated with the Illumina MiSeq platform. The reads with sequencing adapters,
those of controls; however, the differences were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, the pattern of richness was found to be similar in CD and UC. When considering the species diversity of microbiota (Shannon and Simpson), the differences between each group were not statistically significant.

We subsequently surveyed the alpha diversity in IBD patients at different disease stages (see supplementary Figure 1). Generally, the richness indices in IBD patients showed a decreasing trend (controls > inactive CD > active CD), but the between-group differences were not statistically significant. However, the diversity indices in IBD patients were not significantly different from those in controls.

Microbial community structures in IBD are distinct from those in normal controls

When comparing bacterial alpha diversity, including community richness (observed species, chao, and ace) and diversity (Shannon and Simpson) between CD, UC and control groups, we found overall differences with respect to each diversity index (Figure 1). Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with respect to community richness (chao) were observed both between CD and controls and between UC and controls. The observed species and ace indices of CD patients were lower than those of controls; however, the differences were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, the pattern of richness was found to be similar in CD and UC. When considering the species diversity of microbiota (Shannon and Simpson), the differences between each group were not statistically significant.

We subsequently surveyed the alpha diversity in IBD patients at different disease stages (see Supplementary Figure 1). Generally, the richness indices in IBD patients showed a decreasing trend (controls > inactive CD > active CD), but the between-group differences were not statistically significant. However, the diversity indices in IBD patients were not significantly different from those in controls.

### Characteristics of the microbial community in IBD patients and controls

When comparing bacterial alpha diversity, including community richness (observed species, chao, and ace) and diversity (Shannon and Simpson) between CD, UC and control groups, we found overall differences with respect to each diversity index (Figure 1). Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with respect to community richness (chao) were observed both between CD and controls and between UC and controls. The observed species and ace indices of CD patients were lower than those of controls; however, the differences were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, the pattern of richness was found to be similar in CD and UC. When considering the species diversity of microbiota (Shannon and Simpson), the differences between each group were not statistically significant.

We subsequently surveyed the alpha diversity in IBD patients at different disease stages (see Supplementary Figure 1). Generally, the richness indices in IBD patients showed a decreasing trend (controls > inactive CD > active CD), but the between-group differences were not statistically significant. However, the diversity indices in IBD patients were not significantly different from those in controls.

### Microbial community structures in IBD are distinct from those in normal controls

We used principal component analysis (PCA) to investigate the community structure of microbiota in CD, UC and controls. We found that samples tended to cluster together...
based on disease; however, to a certain extent, there was an overlap between all groups. IBD samples were mostly distinct from those of normal controls, which indicated differences with respect to community structure of the microbiota between IBD and controls (Anosim: CD vs control, P = 0.02; UC vs control, P = 0.001). However, samples of CD and UC were located closely, which suggested a similar bacterial community structure in the context of both CD and UC (Anosim: P = 0.133) (Figure 2A).

Next, we visualized the PCA to compare the microbial structure in patients at different disease stages (Figure 2B). The results showed that samples could be well separated between active CD and controls.
(Anosim: P = 0.016) as well as between active CD and active UC (Anosim: P = 0.01). However, there were no distinct microbiota structural patterns apparent between active CD and inactive CD groups, although the samples seemed to be clearly separated (Anosim: P = 0.719).

There was also no separation between inactive CD and controls (Anosim: P = 0.564) based on the PCA. Our results indicated that the bacterial community structure in active CD was different from that in active UC; however, there was no difference with respect to the alterations of bacterial community structure in fecal samples of the total UC and CD patients.

**Overall taxonomic analysis of IBD patients and controls**

Taxonomic composition distribution histograms of each sample were summarized at the phyla level (Figure 3A). The dominant sequences belonged to four bacterial phyla (Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Fusobacteria), which accounted for over 97% of taxonomy generally (Figure 3B). Among all the relatively abundant dominant strains in IBD and normal controls, Bacteroidetes was, as a rule, the most abundant bacterial phylum.

Phylum-level analysis (Figure 3B, Table 2) revealed a nominal decrease in the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes in both CD and UC patients (CD vs control, 47.49% vs 66.85%, P = 0.015; UC vs control, 48.94% vs 66.85%, P = 0.019); however, these differences were not significant after adopting the FDR. On the contrary, Proteobacteria was significantly

---

**Table 2 Significant differences in microbial distribution of taxa (phylum and genus) in patients with inflammatory bowel disease**

| Phylum                | CD       | UC       | CD/UC    | CD.A/CD.I | CD.A/UC.A |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Firmicutes            |          |          |          |           |           |
| Abiotrophia           | ↑c       |          |          |           |           |
| Butyricoccus          | ↓c       |          |          |           |           |
| RFN20                 | ↑c       |          |          |           |           |
| Pseudobacter_Eubacterium | ↑b     |          |          |           |           |
| Holdemania            |          |          |          |           |           |
| 02_B06                |          |          |          |           |           |
| Lachnobacterium       |          |          |          |           |           |
| Megamonas             |          |          |          |           |           |
| Mitsuokella           |          |          |          |           |           |
| Granulicatella        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Peptonstreptococcus   |          |          |          |           |           |
| Schwartzia            |          |          |          |           |           |
| Morquella             |          |          |          |           |           |
| Staphylococcus        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Epulopiscium          |          |          |          |           |           |
| Sarcina               |          |          |          |           |           |
| Bacteroidetes         |          |          |          |           |           |
| Alistipes             |          |          |          |           |           |
| Butyricimonas         |          |          |          |           |           |
| Capnocytophaga        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Prevotella            |          |          |          |           |           |
| Proteobacteria        | ↑b       |          |          |           |           |
| Escherichia           | ↑c       |          |          |           |           |
| Haemophiles           | ↓c       |          |          |           |           |
| Desulfovibrio         |          |          |          |           |           |
| Oxalobacter           |          |          |          |           |           |
| Jauatinobacterium     | ↑b       |          |          |           |           |
| Campylobacter         | ↑b       |          |          |           |           |
| Cardobacterium        | ↑c       |          |          |           |           |
| Lautropia             |          |          |          |           |           |
| Lupinus               |          |          |          |           |           |
| Shewanella            |          |          |          |           |           |
| Actinobacteria        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Actinomycetes         |          |          |          |           |           |
| Eggerthella           | ↑b       |          |          |           |           |
| Corynebacterium       | ↑b       |          |          |           |           |
| Slackia               |          |          |          |           |           |
| Synergistetes         |          |          |          |           |           |
| Pyramidobacter        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Synergistes           |          |          |          |           |           |
| TCS                   |          |          |          |           |           |
| Spirochaetes          |          |          |          |           |           |
| Lentisphaerana        |          |          |          |           |           |
| Viciobacillus         |          |          |          |           |           |

† and ↓ relative to controls; †increase in value; †decrease in value. ·P < 0.05; ·P < 0.01; ·P < 0.001. CD: Crohn’s disease; CD.A: Active CD; CD.I: Inactive CD; IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis; UC.A: Active UC.
Figure 3  Taxonomic composition distribution in samples of phylum level. A: Individually; B: Integrally. CD: Crohn’s disease; CD.A: Active CD; CD.I: Inactive CD; UC: Ulcerative colitis; UC.A: Active UC.
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increased in both CD and UC, as compared to that in controls (CD vs control, 26.79% vs 7.34%, \( P = 0.002 \); UC vs control, 17.48% vs 7.34%, \( P = 0.005 \)). In addition, no *Spirochaetes* phylum was detected in CD and controls but it was observed in UC (0.015%). Similarly, *Lentisphaerae* phylum was found in the control group (accounting for 0.031%), but almost none was found in patients with IBD.

At the genus level, the relative abundance of all genera varied between different samples (Figure 4A). The top 10 abundant genera in UC, CD and controls were *Bacteroides*, *Escherichia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Fusobacterium*, *Bacteroides* in CD and Prevotella, *Roseburia*, *Streptococcus*, and *Sutterella* (Figure 4B). Among these, the relative abundance of *Escherichia* in CD and UC was significantly higher than that in controls. In addition, abundance of *Haemophilus* in CD and Prevotella in UC patients were both markedly lower than that in normal controls. Moreover, the abundance of *Haemophilus* in CD was dramatically lower than that in UC. Besides the top 10 abundant genera, the relative abundance of remaining genera was comparable between IBD patients and normal controls (Table 2). The abundance of 12 genera, *Butyricicoccus*, *Mitsuokella*, *02d06*, *Actinomyces*, *Alistipes*, *Butyrifirmonas*, *Campylobacter*, *Desulfovibrio*, *Granulicatella*, *Lachnobacterium*, *Megasphaera* and *Peptostreptococcus*, was significantly different after correction among each group within the community; the sequence percentages for each of these 12 genera were more than 0.01%.

**Taxonomic comparisons in IBD patients at different disease stages**

On analysis of the alterations at the phyla level between active CD and inactive CD, we found that the dominant bacterial phyla were the same as described earlier (accounting for over 99% of taxonomy), with the exception that *Fusobacteria* was replaced by *Actinobacteria* in inactive CD (Figure 3B, Table 2). However, the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* was dramatically decreased in active CD group, as compared to that in the inactive CD group (CD.A

---

Figure 4  A: The taxonomic composition distribution in samples of genus level; B: Genera shown represent the 10 most abundant genera of CD, UC and control. \(^1 P < 0.05\ vs\ control, \(^2 P < 0.05\ vs CD. CD:\ Crohn’s\ disease; UC: Ulcerative\ colitis.
The abundance of Proteobacteria was just nominally increased in active CD, as compared to that in inactive CD ($P = 0.023$), which did not hold significance after correction. Similarly, no differences were detected with respect to the remaining dominant bacteria between active CD and inactive CD. Microbiota in active CD and active UC were found to be similar at the phyla level.

We then investigated the genera with percentages of sequences > 0.01% of community in different phases of IBD and found that the abundance of Proteobacteria was just nominally increased in active CD, as compared to that in inactive CD ($P = 0.001$). The abundance of Proteobacteria was just nominally increased in active CD, as compared to that in inactive CD ($P = 0.023$), which did not hold significance after correction. Similarly, no differences were detected with respect to the remaining dominant bacteria between active CD and inactive CD. Microbiota in active CD and active UC were found to be similar at the phyla level.

**Association between the inflammatory index of CD patients and microbiome**

We assessed the correlation between the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes and CDAI scores of each CD patient; surprisingly, we found a negative correlation between the two ($r = -0.538, P = 0.039$) (Figure 5A). On the contrary, there was a trend of positive correlation between the abundance of Proteobacteria and CDAI ($r = 0.250, P = 0.369$); however, the correlation was not statistically significant.

Next, we analyzed the correlation between microbial composition and disease severity. Patients with mild and moderate CD had notably decreased levels of Bacteroidetes as compared to that in patients with inactive CD; however, no significant difference in this respect was noted between patients with mild and moderate CD (Figure 5B). Interestingly, Proteobacteria exhibited a noteworthy trend (controls < inactive CD < mild CD < moderate CD); however, the trend did not attain statistical significance (Figure 5C).

**Effect of age and sex on intestinal microbial compositions**

Although IBD mostly occurs in young adults (20- to 30-years-old), it can happen at any age. In the present research, no correlation was observed between microbial composition and age (see Supplementary Figure 2). Considering that most participants in our study (with the exception of one patient aged 14 years with UC) were adults, we divided the participants into two groups: age < 40 years and age > 40 years. However, no significant difference in microbial compositions was observed between the two groups (see Supplementary Table 1). On subgroup analysis based on sex, no notable
differences were observed between male and female patients in either subject subgroup (see Supplementary Table 2).  

**DISCUSSION**

IBD is one of the most frequently studied human diseases linked to the gut microbiota. Distinctive microbial composition and its interaction with the host immunological response are believed to play a critical role in the pathogenesis of IBD\(^{27,28}\); however, several aspects of the relationship are not well-characterized.

In this study, we demonstrated differences with respect to fecal microbiota between Chinese IBD patients and healthy controls based on 16S rDNA sequencing analysis.

The dominant dysbiosis pattern unraveled by the present study was the decrease in community abundance of fecal microbiota both in CD and UC patients; while microbial diversity in CD patients was lower than that in controls, the difference was not statistically significant. Previous studies have shown reduced diversity of fecal microbiota in both Western\(^{29,30}\) and Chinese patients with IBD\(^{14}\), as compared to that in healthy controls. These inconsistencies are likely attributable to differences with respect to study design, stage of disease, or technique employed to survey the gut microbiota. The reasons for the changes of diversity in these conditions are still not known. Indeed, despite general trends such as a reduction in diversity, the response to IBD may, to some extent, be subject-specific.

We analyzed the bacterial community structure of microbiota in IBD patients and healthy individuals. The results showed distinct differences both in CD and UC, as compared to controls; however, the microbiota were similar within CD and UC groups or within active CD and inactive CD groups, which were not structurally distinguishable according to PCA. These data were also consistent with the previous studies conducted in Chinese and Western populations\(^{14,31}\). However, Forbes et al\(^{32}\) found a difference in the structure of microbiota between CD and UC. This result differed from those of other studies, as this study involved analysis of intestinal mucosa, while other studies were based on fecal analysis.

Detailed compositional alterations in fecal microbiota in IBD patients were detected at distinct taxonomic levels. The principle finding in our study was that the phylum *Proteobacteria* was significantly increased in IBD patients, which was in agreement with a consistent finding across published literature\(^{13,34}\). The genus *Escherichia*, especially *Escherichia coli* (data not shown), was also found to be notably higher in IBD patients, as compared to that in normal controls. *Escherichia coli*, particularly AIEC, as an important pathobiont that may play a role in IBD development, has been isolated from ileal CD biopsy specimens\(^{35}\). The initial lesions in the colon mucosa can be aggravated by alpha-hemolysin secreted by *Escherichia coli*, which can damage host cell membranes and epithelial barrier\(^{36}\).

Moreover, both *E. coli* and *Campylobacter* (affiliated with *Proteobacteria*) are known to release cytotoxic distending toxins, which leads to cellular arrest, chromatin fragmentation and apoptosis, all of which are involved in the pathogenesis of IBD\(^{37}\).

In the present study, patients with IBD exhibited relatively less number of *Bacteroidetes* compared to that in controls. The lower proportion of *Bacteroidetes* was mainly attributable to notably reduced abundance of *Prevotella* genus. The results were largely similar to those of another study which employed 16S rDNA sequencing analysis\(^{38}\). Actually, alterations in *Bacteroidetes* in CD still remain controversial. Rehman et al\(^{39}\) reported increased *Bacteroidetes* in CD patients and even demonstrated a notable increase in transcriptional activity, as compared to that in controls. Further studies are needed to clarify this issue. To minimize potential confounding factors, future studies should define gut dysbiosis in detail. Moreover, prospective cohort studies on newly diagnosed treatment-naïve patients will provide more definitive evidence in this respect.

In the present study, we documented increased abundance of *Haemophilus* and decreased *Desulfovibrio* (affiliated with *Proteobacteria*) in patients with UC. These findings were not observed in a previous study on fecal microbiota dysbiosis conducted by Chen et al\(^{40}\) in Chinese patients with IBD. Recently, *Haemophilus* has been reported to contribute to oral dysbiosis in patients with IBD\(^{40}\) and *Haemophilus* spp., like the *Enterobacteriaceae*, are well adapted to survive under conditions of increased oxidative stress\(^{41}\). To our knowledge, Rowan et al\(^{42}\) demonstrated an increase of *Desulfovibrio* (sulfate-reducing bacteria) in patients with UC. *In vitro* studies have shown that 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) inhibits fecal sulfide production and fecal samples from patients not treated with this drug revealed higher levels of sulfide\(^{43}\). It is conceivable that all participants in the present study were treated with 5-ASA, which may have contributed to the opposite phenomenon.

In addition, the study found an abundance of *Butyricicoccus, Mitsuokella, 02d06, Lachnobacterium* and *Megamonas* (all affiliated with *Clostridium* class, *Firmicutes* phylum), which are obligate anaerobes. These were found significantly decreased in IBD patients in the current study. Dysanaerobiosis in patients with UC was observed recently\(^{44}\) and there seems to be a shift from anaerobiosis in healthy state to dysanaerobiosis in IBD, with an elevated oxygen level in the gut\(^{45}\). Furthermore, studies conducted on experimental colitis models showed decrease in obligate anaerobes of *Firmicutes* and increase in facultative anaerobes of *Proteobacteria*, which indicates a role of oxygen in gut dysbiosis\(^{46}\). In fact, both *Butyricicoccus* (affiliated
with *Ruminococcaceae* family) and *Lachnobacterium* (affiliated with *Lachnospiraceae* family) produce SCFAs, which are known as the primary energy source for colonic epithelial cells[47] and were shown to induce the expansion of colonic regulatory T cells[48]. These alterations in microbial composition suggested that reduction in beneficial microbiota (*Clostridia* class and SCFA-producing bacteria) is more associated with IBD patients compared to the increment of pathobionts (*Escherichia* and *Campylobacter*).

When analyzing the fecal microbiota at different disease stages of IBD, only the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* was dramatically decreased in active CD, as compared to that in inactive CD. About the relationship between microbiome and disease activity, we also found a negative correlation between the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* and CDAI in the present study. The relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in active CD patients was lower than that in inactive CD or controls, but the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* was similar between mild and moderate CD. All these findings suggest that *Bacteroidetes* may have a negative impact on inflammatory development.

Potential links between age or sex and microbial compositions have been suggested recently[49]. Gut microbiota vary in different age groups: infants, adults or the elderly. The microbiota in infants is often affected by the birth route, feeding patterns and illness history[50]. Not until adulthood does the microbiota become stable, complex and shows improved resilience against perturbations[51]. Then, the stability decreases in the elderly (≥ 65 years of age)[52]. However, we did not find the effect of age and sex on microbiota in the current study. So, a different role for the microbiota in disease initiation and progression should be researched.

Our study faces several limitations. First of all, due to the small sample number and relatively high variability of microbial composition in each group, some of the relative abundances of specific bacteria between groups could not reach statistical significance after adopting the FDR. Secondly, 16S rDNA sequencing mainly focuses on the taxonomic profiling rather than providing greater insight into the function of the intestinal microbiota in disease[53,54]. Thirdly, the nature and extent of difference between the fecal microbiota and mucosa-associated microbiota in IBD remains unclear. Controversy still exists between them because of different techniques used in separate studies[55]. Several studies indicated that the fecal microbiota and mucosa-associated microbiota were similar[13,36,57]. However, some studies have found a significant difference between them[14,58,59]. It seems that the fecal microbiota represents a combination of a separate nonadherent luminal population and shed mucosal bacteria[59]. Further study with a large population is required to confirm our data and mucosa-associated microbiota needs to be researched in Chinese patients with IBD.

In conclusion, we presented a comprehensive analysis of fecal microbiota in Chinese patients with IBD. Significant differences in microbial composition of patients with IBD and controls were observed. Additionally, the negative correlation between *Bacteroidetes* and CDAI suggested that *Bacteroidetes* might have a negative impact on development of inflammation.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is generally defined by two nonspecific inflammatory disorders, Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), which are characterized by chronic persistent inflammation of the intestinal mucosa lining the intestinal tract. Recently, distinctive microbial composition and its interaction with the host immunological response are believed to play critical roles in the pathogenesis of IBD. Although the intestinal microbial composition of Western IBD patients has been extensively studied, there are conflicting reports about changes of the bacterial abundance. What’s more, the intestinal microbial profiles of Chinese IBD patients are not well characterized. In the present study, we use 16S rDNA amplicon-based analysis to analyze the alterations of fecal microbiota in Chinese patients with IBD.

**Research motivation**

Although the microbial community is gaining increasing attention for its influence on IBD, there is a lack of data on global alteration of microbiota in Chinese patients and the relationship is poorly understood. This study would characterize the important differences of fecal microbiota between Chinese IBD patients and healthy controls based on a 16S rDNA sequencing analysis, hoping to explore which kinds of the microbiota could be involved in the pathogenesis of IBD or providing important references for diagnosis or treatment of IBD.

**Research objectives**

The research aimed to investigate the differences in quantity, diversity and similarity of the fecal bacterial population taken from Chinese IBD patients at different stages of disease and healthy individuals.

**Research methods**

Twenty-nine IBD patients (11 active CD, 4 inactive CD and 14 active UC patients) from the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Jiangsu, China) and 13 sex and age well-matched healthy individuals were enrolled in the study. 16S rDNA amplicon-based sequencing was used to analyze the fecal microbiota of each sample.

**Research results**

In this study, community richness (chao) and microbial structure in IBD were significantly different from those in normal controls. The relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in the active CD group was significantly lower than that in the inactive CD group, and it showed a negative correlation with Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI). At the phyla level, the abundance of *Proteobacteria* was significantly higher in IBD than in controls. At the genera level, 8 genera in CD and 23 genera in UC (in particular, the *Escherichia* genus) showed significantly greater abundance as compared to that in normal controls.

**Research conclusions**

Our study presented a comprehensive analysis of fecal microbiota in the gut of Chinese patients with IBD. Significant differences in microbial composition of patients with IBD and controls were observed. Additionally, the negative correlation between *Bacteroidetes* and CDAI suggested that *Bacteroidetes* might have a negative impact on development of inflammation.

**Research perspectives**

Fecal microbial examination is noninvasive and easily collected compared
with the mucosal biopsy, which may increase the risk of unexpected bleeding. However, the mucosa-associated microbiota is believed to directly affect epithelial and mucosal function. In the future, both the fecal and mucosa-associated microbiota should be investigated together to better understand the role of the intestinal microbiota in health and disease.
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