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Abstract

In the present paper we prove a classification (uniqueness) theorem for stationary, asymptotically flat black hole spacetimes with connected and non-degenerate horizon in 4D Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory with an arbitrary dilaton coupling parameter \( \alpha \). We show that such black holes are uniquely specified by the length of the horizon interval, angular momentum, electric and magnetic charge and the value of the dilaton field at infinity when the dilaton coupling parameter satisfies \( 0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3 \). The proof is based on the nonpositivity of the Riemann curvature operator on the space of the potentials. A generalization of the classification theorem for spacetimes with disconnected horizons is also given.

1 Introduction

Key results in the 4D General relativity are the black hole classification (uniqueness) theorems [1]-[10]. Besides their intrinsic theoretical and mathematical value, these theorems have played important role in studying a wide range of topics from astrophysical black holes to thermodynamics of black holes. The advent of the theoretical physics leads to gravity theories and models generalizing general relativity and one of the important problems is the study of black holes and in particular the classification of black hole solutions in these new theories. An example of such a theory is the so-called Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity which naturally arises in the context of the low energy string theory [11, 12] and Kaluza-Klein gravity [13]. The action for the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity is given by

\[
\mathcal{A} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - 2g^{ab}\partial_a\phi\partial_b\phi - e^{-2\alpha \phi} F_{ab}F^{ab} \right)
\]

where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar curvature with respect to the spacetime metric \( g_{ab} \), \( F_{ab} \) is the Maxwell field and \( \phi \) is the dilaton (scalar) field. The parameter \( \alpha \) governs the coupling between the dilaton and electromagnetic field and is called a dilaton coupling parameter.
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is worth mentioning that the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity arises also in some theories with gradient spacetime torsion [14].

The black holes in 4D Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory were extensively studied in various aspects during the last two decades. The static asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes were classified in [15]. However, no classification is known for the more general case of rotating black holes. In the present paper we prove a uniqueness theorem classifying all stationary, asymptotically flat black holes in 4D Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory with a certain restriction on the dilaton coupling parameter. In proving the classification theorems in pure 4D general relativity one strongly relies on the high degree of symmetries of the dimensionally reduced stationary and axisymmetric field equations. In both cases of vacuum Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell equations the space of potentials is a symmetric space which insures the existence of nice properties and, in particular, insures the existence of the so-called Mazur identity [5], which is a key point in the uniqueness proof. Contrary to the vacuum Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell gravity, the space of potentials associated with the dimensionally reduced stationary and axisymmetric Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton field equations is not a symmetric space in the general case. This forces us to use in the proof of the classification theorem other intrinsic geometric properties of space of potentials instead of the absent symmetries (isometries). Such an intrinsic property is the nonpositivity of the Riemannian curvature operator of the space of potentials which also insures the nonpositivity of the sectional curvature. The mentioned property together with the Bunting identity [6], [16] and natural boundary conditions leads to the proof of desired classification theorem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 and 3 we give in concise form the necessary mathematical background. The main result is presented in Sec. 4. In the Discussion we comment on possible extensions of the classification theorem and give an explicit extension for spacetimes with disconnected horizons.

2 Stationary Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes

Let \((M, g, F, \phi)\) be a 4-dimensional, analytic, stationary black hole spacetime satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations

\[
R_{ab} = 2\nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi + 2e^{-2\alpha \phi} \left( F_{ac} F_b^c - \frac{g_{ab}}{4} F_{cd} F^{cd} \right),
\]

\[
\nabla_a \left( e^{-2\alpha \phi} F^{ab} \right) = 0 = \nabla_{[a} F_{bc]},
\]

\[
\nabla_a \nabla^a \phi = -\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-2\alpha \phi} F_{ab} F^{ab},
\]

derived from the action (1). Let \(\xi\) be the asymptotically timelike complete Killing field, \(\pounds_\xi g = 0\), which we assume is normalized so that \(\lim g(\xi, \xi) = -1\) near infinity. We assume also that the Maxwell tensor and the dilaton field are invariant under \(\xi\), in the sense that \(\pounds_\xi F = 0 = \pounds_\xi \phi\).

We consider 4-dimensional spacetime with asymptotic region \(M_\infty = \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\) and asymptotic metric

\[
g = -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + O(r^{-1})
\]
where $x_i$ are the standard Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Here $O(r^{-1})$ stands for all metric components that drop off at least as $r^{-1}$ in the radial coordinate $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$.

Denote by $H = \partial B$ the horizon of the black hole $B = M/I^-(\gamma^\pm)$ where $\gamma^\pm$ are the null infinities of spacetime. As a part of our technical assumptions we assume that:

(i) $H$ is non-degenerate and the horizon cross section is compact connected manifold of dimension 2.

(ii) The domain of outer communication $\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle$ is globally hyperbolic.

According to the topological censorship theorem [17], $\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle$ is a simply connected manifold with boundary $\partial\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle = H$. Moreover, one can show that the horizon cross section has spherical topology. In the present paper we shall consider the case when $\xi$ is not tangent to the null generators of the horizon

\[\kappa = \lim_{H} \nabla_a n \nabla^a n \]  

where $n = \nabla^a \kappa_b \nabla_a \kappa_b$. It is well known that $\kappa$ is constant on the horizon. The horizon non-degeneracy condition implies that $\kappa > 0$.

Due to the symmetries of the spacetime the natural space to work on is the orbit (factor) space $\hat{M} = \langle\langle M \rangle\rangle / \mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is the isometry group. The structure of the factor space in 4D is well known and is described by the following theorem [3], [9], [21]:

\textbf{Theorem:} Let $(M, g)$ be a stationary, asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black hole spacetime with isometry group $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R} \times U(1)$ satisfying the technical assumptions stated above. Then the orbit space $\hat{M} = \langle\langle M \rangle\rangle / \mathcal{G}$ is a simply connected 2-dimensional manifold with boundaries and corners homeomorphic to a half-plane. More precisely, the boundary consists of one finite interval $I_H$ corresponding to the quotient of the horizon, $I_H = H / \mathcal{G}$ and two semi-infinite intervals $I_\pm$ corresponding to the axis of $\eta$. The corners correspond to the points where the axis intersects the horizon.

\[\kappa = \lim_{H} \nabla_a n \nabla^a n \]  

1When $\xi$ is tangent to the null generators of the horizon, the spacetime time must be static.
In the interior of $\hat{M}$ there is a naturally induced metric $\hat{g}$ which has signature $++$. We denote derivative operator associated with $\hat{g}$ by $\hat{D}$. Let us now consider the Gramm matrix of the Killing fields $\Gamma_{IJ} = g(K_I, K_J)$, where $K_1 = \xi$ and $K_2 = \eta$. Then the determinant $\rho^2 = -\det \Gamma$ defines a scalar function $\rho$ on $\hat{M}$ which, as well known, is harmonic, $\hat{D}^a \hat{D}_a \rho = 0$ as a consequence of the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton field equations. It can be shown that $\rho > 0$, $\hat{D}_a \rho \neq 0$ in the interior of $\hat{M}$ and that $\rho = 0$ on $\partial \hat{M}$. We may define a conjugate harmonic function $z$ on $\hat{M}$ by $dz = \hat{\star} d\rho$, where $\hat{\star}$ is the Hodge dual on $\hat{M}$. The functions $\rho$ and $z$ define global coordinates on $\hat{M}$ identifying the orbit space with the upper complex half-plane $\hat{M} = \{z + i\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \rho \geq 0\}$ (9) with the boundary corresponding to the real axis. The induced metric $\hat{g}$ is given in these coordinates by

$$\hat{g} = \Sigma^2(\rho, z)(d\rho^2 + dz^2),$$

(10) $\Sigma^2(\rho, z)$ being a conformal factor.

In other words the orbit space is the half plane $\hat{M} = \{z + i\rho, \rho > 0\}$ and its boundary $\partial \hat{M}$ is divided into the intervals $I_- = (-\infty, z_1]$, $I_H = [z_1, z_2]$ and $I_+ = [z_2, +\infty)$:

$$(-\infty, z_1], [z_1, z_2], [z_2, +\infty).$$

(11)

Let us note that the requirement for non-degenerate horizon is equivalent to a non-zero length of the horizon interval, $l(I_H) = z_2 - z_1 > 0$. The parameter $l(I_H)$ is invariantly defined and will play important role in the classification theorem.

3 Dimensionally reduced Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations

In the context of the present paper it is important to perform the dimensional reduction in terms of the axial Killing field $\eta$. This insures positively definite metric in the space of the potentials and in this formulation we also avoid the ergosurface "singularities". First we consider the twist 1-form $\omega$ associated with $\eta$ and defined by

$$\omega = \star(\eta \wedge d\eta) = i_\eta \star d\eta.$$

(12)

By definition, $\omega$ is invariant under the symmetries and naturally induces corresponding 1-form $\hat{\omega}$ on the orbit space $\hat{M}$. Taking the exterior derivative of $\omega$ we obtain:

$$d\omega = di_\eta \star d\eta = (\xi_\eta - i_\eta d) \star d\eta = -i_\eta d \star d\eta = i_\eta \star d^\perp d\eta = -2i_\eta \star R[\eta]$$

(13)

where $R[\eta]$ is the Ricci 1-form. Making use of the field equations we find
Using again the fact that there exist globally defined potentials which are still closed. The domain of outer communications the symmetries they naturally induce corresponding 1-form $\hat{\omega}$ such that $\chi$ is invariant under the symmetries and they are naturally defined on the orbit space $\hat{M}$ Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations on the orbit space. Let $\hat{M}$ be functions on $\hat{M}$ defined by

$$X = g(\eta, \eta), \quad e^{2h}X^{-1} = g(d\rho, d\rho).$$

Then the stationary and axisymmetric Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations are equivalent to the following set of equations on the orbit space $\hat{M}$:

$$
\rho^{-1} \hat{D}_a (\rho \hat{D}^a X) = X^{-1} \hat{D}_a X \hat{D}^a X - X^{-1} \left( \hat{D}_a \chi + 2 \Phi \hat{D}_a \Psi - 2 \Psi \hat{D}_a \Phi \right) \left( \hat{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \hat{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \hat{D}^a \Phi \right) - 2 e^{-2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}_a \Phi \hat{D}^a \Phi - 2 e^{2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}_a \Psi \hat{D}^a \Psi, 
$$

$$
\hat{D}_a \left[ \rho X^{-2} (\hat{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \hat{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \hat{D}^a \Phi) \right] = 0,
$$

$$
\rho^{-1} \hat{D}_a (X^{-1} \rho e^{-2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}^a \Phi) = X^{-2} \hat{D}_a \Psi (\hat{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \hat{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \hat{D}^a \Phi),
$$

$$
\rho^{-1} \hat{D}_a (X^{-1} \rho e^{2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}^a \Psi) = - X^{-2} \hat{D}_a \Phi (\hat{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \hat{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \hat{D}^a \Phi),
$$

$$
\rho^{-1} \hat{D}_a (\rho \hat{D}^a \phi) = - \alpha X^{-1} (e^{-2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}_a \Phi \hat{D}^a \Phi - e^{2\alpha \phi} \hat{D}_a \Psi \hat{D}^a \Psi),
$$

together with

$$
d\omega = 4i_\eta F \wedge i_\eta \left( e^{-2\alpha \phi} \star F \right).
$$
satisfies the equations (17) - (21) derived from the functional (25). It is well known that \( \eta \) belongs to the class of the so-called harmonic maps.

Further we consider the mapping

\[
\rho^{-1} \dot{D}^a \rho \dot{D}_a h = \left[ \frac{X^{-2}}{4} \dot{D}^a X \dot{D}^b X + \frac{X^{-2}}{4} \left( \dot{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \dot{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \dot{D}^a \Phi \right) \left( \dot{D}^b \chi + 2 \Phi \dot{D}^b \Psi - 2 \Psi \dot{D}^b \Phi \right) \right] \cdot \left[ \hat{g}_{ab} - 2 \hat{D}_a \hat{D}_b \eta \right],
\]

(22)

\[
\rho^{-1} \dot{D}^a z \dot{D}_a h = 2 \left[ \frac{X^{-2}}{4} \dot{D}^a X \dot{D}^b X + \frac{X^{-2}}{4} \left( \dot{D}^a \chi + 2 \Phi \dot{D}^a \Psi - 2 \Psi \dot{D}^a \Phi \right) \left( \dot{D}^b \chi + 2 \Phi \dot{D}^b \Psi - 2 \Psi \dot{D}^b \Phi \right) \right] \hat{D}_a \rho \hat{D}_b \dot{z}.
\]

(23)

The equations (22) and (23) are decoupled from the group equations (17) - (21). Once the solution of the system equations (17) - (21) is known we can determine the function \( h \). Therefore the problem of the classification of the 4D Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes can be studied as 2-dimensional boundary value problem for the nonlinear partially differential equation system (17) - (21) as the boundary conditions are specified below.

At this stage we introduce the strictly positive definite metric

\[
dL^2 = G_{AB} dX^A dX^B = \frac{dX^2 + (d\chi + 2 \Phi d\Psi - 2 \Psi d\Phi)^2}{4X^2} + \frac{e^{-2\alpha \Phi} d\Phi^2 + e^{2\alpha \Phi} d\Psi^2}{X} + d\psi^2
\]

(24)

on the 5-dimensional manifold \( \mathcal{N} = \{(X, \chi, \Phi, \Psi, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^5; X > 0\} \).

The equations (17) - (21) can be obtained from a variational principle based on the functional

\[
I[X^A] = \int_M d^2 x \rho \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ab} G_{AB}(X^C) \partial_a X^A \partial_b X^B.
\]

(25)

Further we consider the mapping

\[
\chi : \hat{M} \mapsto \mathcal{N}
\]

(26)

of the 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( \hat{M} \) onto the 5-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( \mathcal{N} \) the local coordinate representation of which

\[
\chi : (\rho, z) \mapsto X^A
\]

(27)

satisfies the equations (17) - (21) derived from the functional (25). It is well known that \( \chi \) belongs to the class of the so-called harmonic maps.

We shall close this section with important comments. The Riemannian manifold \( (\mathcal{N}, G_{AB}) \) is not a symmetric space in the general case for arbitrary dilaton coupling parameter \( \alpha \). This is seen from the fact that for the Riemannian curvature tensor we have

\[
\nabla_E R_{ABCD} \neq 0
\]

(28)

The axial Killing field \( \eta \) is strictly spacelike everywhere, i.e. \( X = g(\eta, \eta) > 0 \) except on the symmetry axis where \( \eta \) vanishes. In the present work we exclude the possibility of closed timelike curves.
as one can check. Only in the cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^2 = 3$, $(\mathcal{M}, G_{AB})$ is a symmetric space. The first case corresponds to the pure Einstein-Maxwell gravity for which it is well known that metric $G_{AB}$ possesses the maximal group of symmetries (isometries) which in turn insures the complete integrability of the stationary and axi-symmetric Einstein-Maxwell equations. The second case corresponding to 4D Kaluza-Klein gravity inherits its symmetries via the dimensional reduction of the 5D vacuum Einstein gravity which also possesses high degree of symmetry, especially for $\mathbb{R} \times U(1)^2$ group of spacetime isometries.

4 Classification theorem

We start this section with intermediate results which will be used in the central classification theorem.

**Lemma:** The manifold $(\mathcal{M}, G_{AB})$ is geodesically complete for any $\alpha$.

**Proof:** Let $s \mapsto \gamma(s)$ be an affinely parameterized geodesic,

$$\gamma(s) = (X(s), \chi(s), \Phi(s), \Psi(s), \varphi(s)). \quad (29)$$

Then $G(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = C > 0$ is a constant of motion, i.e.

$$G_{AB} \dot{X}^A \dot{X}^B = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \right)^2 + \frac{\left( \chi + 2\Phi \Psi - 2\Psi \Phi \right)^2}{4X^2} + \frac{e^{-2\alpha \varphi} \Phi^2}{X} + \frac{e^{2\alpha \varphi} \Psi^2}{X} + \Phi^2 = C. \quad (30)$$

Hence it follows that we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \right)^2 \leq C, \quad \Phi^2 \leq C, \quad (31)$$

and

$$\Phi^2 \leq CX e^{2\alpha \varphi}, \quad \Psi^2 \leq CX e^{-2\alpha \varphi}, \quad (\dot{\chi} + 2\Phi \dot{\Psi} - 2\Psi \dot{\Phi})^2 \leq 4CX^2. \quad (32)$$

Therefore any geodesic can be extended to arbitrary values of the affine parameter, i.e. the metric is geodesically complete. Even though there is an edge at $X = 0$ this edge is at an infinite distance from any point of the manifold.

**Lemma:** The manifold $(\mathcal{M}, G_{AB})$ is manifold with nonpositive Riemann curvature operator for a dilaton coupling parameter $\alpha$ satisfying $0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3$.

**Proof:** Let $\Lambda^2 T^*(\mathcal{M})$ be the linear space of 2-forms on $\mathcal{M}$. We regard the Riemann curvature tensor $\text{Riemann} = \{ R_{ABCD} \}$ as an operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} : \Lambda^2 T^*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*(\mathcal{M}). \quad (33)$$
which is symmetric with respect to the naturally induced metric on $\Lambda^2 T^*(\mathcal{N})$. The nonpositivity of the curvature operator then means that $\langle R^\mathcal{N} \Omega, \Omega \rangle = R^\mathcal{N} (\Omega, \Omega) \leq 0$ for all $\Omega \in \Lambda^2 T^*(\mathcal{N})$.

In order to show the non-positivity of the Riemannian operator $\hat{R}$ we fix an orthonormal basis $\{\omega^i \wedge \omega^j\}$ in $\Lambda^2 T^*(N)$ where $\{\omega^i\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T^*N$ explicitly given by

$$
\omega^1 = \omega^X = \frac{dX}{2X}, \quad \omega^2 = \omega^\chi = \frac{d\chi + 2\Phi d\Psi - 2\Psi d\Phi}{2X},
$$

$$
\omega^3 = \omega^\Phi = e^{-2\alpha \phi} \frac{d\Phi}{\sqrt{X}}, \quad \omega^4 = \omega^\Psi = e^{2\alpha \phi} \frac{d\Psi}{\sqrt{X}}, \quad \omega^5 = \omega^\varphi = d\varphi.
$$

In this orthonormal basis the matrix representing the Riemann operator is symmetric and is explicitly given by

$$
\hat{R} = \begin{pmatrix}
-4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^2 - 4 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^2 \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The eigenvalues of this symmetric matrix are

$$
\lambda_1 = -4 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{16 + \alpha^4}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = -4 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{16 + \alpha^4}}{2},
$$

$$
\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = -2 - \alpha^2, \quad \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = \lambda_8 = \lambda_9 = \lambda_{10} = 0.
$$

Obviously, for $0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3$ all the eigenvalues are nonpositive and therefore the Riemann operator is nonpositive. As an immediate consequence we also have that $\mathcal{N}$ is a manifold with nonpositive sectional curvature for $0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3$.

Before going to the formulation of the classification theorem let us give the definitions of the angular momentum $J$, electric charge $Q$ and magnetic charge $P$. They are respectively given by the integrals

\footnote{For separable forms $x \wedge y$ and $u \wedge w$ the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle x \wedge y, u \wedge w \rangle = G(x, u)G(y, w) - G(x, w)G(y, u)$.}
\[ J = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S^2_\infty} d\eta, \]
\[ Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2_\infty} e^{-2\alpha \Phi} \star F, \]  
\[ P = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2_\infty} F, \] (37)

where \( S^2_\infty \) is the sphere at infinity.

**Classification (Uniqueness) Theorem:** There can be at most only one stationary, asymptotically flat black hole spacetime satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton field equations and the technical assumptions stated in Sec. 2 for a given set of parameters \( \{ l(I_H), J, Q, P \} \) and for a dilaton coupling parameter \( \alpha \) satisfying \( 0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3 \).

**Remark:** In the theorem we assume that \( \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \Phi = \Phi_\infty = 0 \). When \( \Phi_\infty \neq 0 \) it is easy to see that the set of parameters should be expanded to \( \{ l(I_H), J, Q, P, \Phi_\infty \} \).

**Proof:** Consider two solutions \((M, g, F, \Phi)\) and \((\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi})\) as in the statement of the theorem. We use the same "tilde" notation to distinguish any quantities associated with the two solutions. Since \( l(I_H) = l(\tilde{I}_H) \), we can identify the orbit spaces \( \hat{M} \) and \( \hat{\tilde{M}} \). Moreover we can identify \( \langle \langle M \rangle \rangle \) and \( \langle \langle \tilde{M} \rangle \rangle \) as manifolds with \( \mathbb{R} \times U(1) \)-action since they can be uniquely reconstructed from the orbit space. We may therefore assume that \( \langle \langle M \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \tilde{M} \rangle \rangle \) and that \( \xi = \tilde{\xi}, \eta = \tilde{\eta} \). We may also assume that \( \rho = \tilde{\rho} \) and \( z = \tilde{z} \).

As a consequence of these identifications, \((g, F, \Phi)\) and \((\tilde{g}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi})\) may be considered as being defined on the same manifold. Moreover, on the base of these identifications, we can combine the two solutions into a single identity playing a key role in the proof as we will see below.

Further we consider two harmonics maps \((26) \quad X : \hat{M} \mapsto \mathcal{N} \) and \( \tilde{X} : \hat{\tilde{M}} \mapsto \mathcal{N} \) and a smooth homotopy

\[ \tau : \hat{M} \times [0, 1] \mapsto \mathcal{N} \]  
\[ \tau (\tau = 0) = X, \quad \tau (\tau = 1) = \tilde{X} \] (38)

so that

\[ \tau (\tau = 0) = X, \quad \tau (\tau = 1) = \tilde{X} \] (39)

where \( 0 \leq \tau \leq 1 \) is the homotopy parameter. Rephrasing in local terms we consider two solutions \( X^A (\rho, z) \) and \( \tilde{X}^A (\rho, z) \) and a smooth homotopy \( \tau : \hat{M} \times [0, 1] \mapsto \mathcal{N} \) such that

\[ \tau^A (\rho, z; \tau = 0) = X^A (\rho, z), \quad \tau^A (\rho, z; \tau = 1) = \tilde{X}^A (\rho, z) \] (40)

for each point \((\rho, z) \in \hat{M} \). As a further requirement we impose that the curves \([0, 1] \mapsto \mathcal{N} \) be geodesic which in local coordinates means that
\[
\frac{dS^A}{d\tau} + \Gamma^A_{BC} S^B S^C = 0 \tag{41}
\]

where
\[
S^A = \frac{dT^A}{d\tau} \tag{42}
\]
is the tangent vector along the curves and \(\Gamma^A_{BC}\) are components of the Levi-Civita connection on \(\mathcal{N}\).

The existence and uniqueness of the geodesic homotopy follow from the Hadamard-Cartan theorem [22] since the Riemannian manifold \((\mathcal{N}, G_{AB})\) is geodesically complete, simply connected and with nonpositive sectional curvature.

The length of the geodesics will be denoted by \(S\), i.e.
\[
S = \int_0^1 d\tau G_{AB} S^A S^B. \tag{43}
\]

Let us also note that the tangent vector satisfies the \(\tau\)-independent normalization condition
\[
S^A S_A = S^2. \tag{44}
\]

Now we are at position to write the Bunting identity [6], [16]
\[
\hat{D}_a (\rho \hat{S} \hat{D}^a S) = \rho \int_0^1 d\tau \left( \hat{\nabla}^a S_A \hat{\nabla}_a S^A - R_{ABCD} S^A \hat{\nabla}_a T^B S^C \hat{\nabla}_a T^D \right). \tag{45}
\]

Here \(\hat{\nabla}_a\) is the induced connection along the harmonic map, i.e.
\[
\hat{\nabla}_a V^A = \partial_a T^C V_C = \partial_a V^A + \partial_a T^C \Gamma^A_{CB} V^B. \tag{46}
\]

Since \(\rho \geq 0\), \(\mathcal{N}\) has positive definite metric and nonpositive sectional curvature for \(0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3\) we conclude that
\[
\hat{D}_a (\rho \hat{S} \hat{D}^a S) \geq 0. \tag{47}
\]

Applying the Gauss theorem we obtain
\[
\int_M \hat{D}_a (\rho \hat{S} \hat{D}^a S) \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^2 x = \int_{\partial M \cup \infty} \rho \hat{S} \hat{D}^a S d\Sigma_a. \tag{48}
\]

We shall show that the boundary integral on the right hand side of (48) vanishes. To do this we have to consider the boundary conditions. In the asymptotic region \((r \to \infty)\) we have the standard boundary conditions
\[ X = \rho^2 \left( 1 + o(r^{-1}) \right) = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left( 1 + o(r^{-1}) \right), \]
\[ \chi = 2J \cos \theta (2 + \sin^2 \theta) + o(r^{-1}), \]
\[ \Phi = P \cos \theta + o(r^{-1}), \]
\[ \Psi = Q \cos \theta + o(r^{-1}), \]
\[ \varphi = o(r^{-1}), \]
\[ (49) \]

where we have introduced the asymptotic coordinates \( r \) and \( \theta \) given by \( \rho = r \sin \theta \) and \( z = r \cos \theta \).

On the horizon interval the fields have to be regular and we have remarkably simple boundary conditions

\[ X = o(1), \quad \chi = o(1), \quad \Phi = o(1), \quad \Psi = o(1), \quad \varphi = o(1). \]
\[ (50) \]

The regularity on the axis intervals \( I_{\pm} \) requires the following boundary conditions

\[ X = o(\rho^2), \]
\[ \chi = \pm 4J + o(\rho^2), \]
\[ \Phi = \pm P + o(\rho^2), \]
\[ \Psi = \pm Q + o(\rho^2), \]
\[ \varphi = o(1). \]
\[ (51) \]

These boundary conditions can be derived as follows. Since \( i_\eta F \) and \( i_\eta e^{-2\alpha \varphi} \ast F \) vanish on the axis the potentials \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) are constant on the semi-infinite intervals \( I_{-} \) and \( I_{+} \) and comparing with the asymptotic behavior we conclude that constants are exactly \( \pm P \) and \( \pm Q \). Together with the fact that \( d\Phi = i_\eta F \) and \( d\Psi = i_\eta e^{-2\alpha \varphi} \ast F \) also vanish on the axis we conclude that near the axis we have \( \Phi = \pm P + o(\rho^2) \) and \( \Psi = \pm Q + o(\rho^2) \). The same considerations can be applied to the twist potential \( \chi \). By definition \( \omega \) vanishes on the axis which together with the fact that \( d\Phi = d\Psi = 0 \) on the axis, implies that \( \chi \) is a constant on the axis. From the asymptotic behavior we find that constant is exactly \( \pm 4J \) which gives \( \chi = \pm 4J + o(\rho^2) \).

It is worth noting that the boundary conditions are formally independent of the dilaton coupling constant \( \alpha \) and have the same form as in the pure Einstein-Maxwell gravity.
Let us go back to the boundary integral on the right hand side of (48). The contribution from the boundary intervals corresponding to the horizon and the symmetry axis in $\partial \hat{M}$ vanishes due to the boundary conditions (50) and (51) and the fact that $\rho = 0$ on the mentioned intervals. The asymptotic boundary conditions imply vanishing of the line integral on the infinite arc where $\rho \to \infty$. Therefore we have
\[
\int_{\hat{M}} D_a (\rho S D^a S) \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^2 x = 0 \quad (52)
\]
which means that $S$ is constant everywhere. Since $S$ vanishes at infinity, so it must vanish everywhere. This completes the proof.

Let us note that the black hole uniqueness theorem for the pure 4D Einstein-Maxwell equations follows from our classification theorem as a particular case for $\alpha = 0$.

As a consequence of the theorem one might expect that there would be non-unique black hole solutions in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity with dilaton coupling parameter $\alpha^2 > 3$. Signs for the existence of such non-uniqueness seem to be found numerically in [23]. At least, the results of [23] show that beyond the critical value $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$ there are strange and interesting stationary Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black hole solutions.

5 Discussion

In this paper we proved a classification theorem for stationary rotating asymptotically flat black holes in 4D Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory. The present results can be extended in several directions. The extension of the classification theorem to the case with a degenerate horizon seems to be more delicate and the formal proof will be presented elsewhere. The generalization of the theorem for spacetimes with disconnected non-degenerate horizons is as follows. The orbit space for spacetimes with disconnected horizons is again the upper half plane with boundary divided by intervals in the form
\[
(-\infty, z_1] [z_1, z_2] [z_2, z_3] \ldots [z_{2N-1}, z_{2N}] [z_{2N}, +\infty)
\]
with $N$ finite intervals corresponding to the factor spaces of the horizons and $N - 1$ finite intervals corresponding to the axes between the horizons. Finite intervals associated with the horizons and axes between the horizons we denote by $I^H_i$ and $I^{axis}_j$, respectively. The semi-infinite axis intervals are again denoted by $I_{\pm}$. The described structure of the boundary of the factor space together with the lengths of the finite intervals $l(I^H_i)$ and $l(I^{axis}_j)$ we call interval structure.[21]

The boundary conditions at infinity are the same as (49) with the only difference that $J$, $Q$ and $P$ are the total angular momentum, the total electric and the total magnetic charge, i.e. $J = \sum_i J^H_i$, $Q = \sum_i Q^H_i$ and $P = \sum_i P^H_i$. Here $J^H_i$ is the total angular momentum of the $i$-th horizon, i.e. the angular momentum with the electromagnetic field contribution taken into account
\[
\tilde{J}^H_i = J^H_i - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\hat{H}_i} (\Phi d\Psi - \Psi d\Phi) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\hat{H}_i} d\chi
\]

\[
(54)
\]
where

\[ J_i^H = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{H_i} \star d\eta = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\partial_i} \omega. \] (55)

On the intervals \( I_i^H \) corresponding to the horizons the boundary conditions are the same as (50). On the intervals \( I_i^{\text{axis}} \) we have the following boundary conditions:

\[ X = o(\rho^2), \]

\[ \chi = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{i} J_k^H - 4 \sum_{k=i+1}^{N} J_k^H + o(\rho^2) = -4J + 8 \sum_{k=1}^{i} J_k^H + o(\rho^2), \] (56)

\[ \Phi = \sum_{k=1}^{i} P_k^H - \sum_{k=i+1}^{N} P_k^H + o(\rho^2) = -P + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{i} P_k^H + o(\rho^2), \]

\[ \Psi = \sum_{k=1}^{i} Q_k^H - \sum_{k=i+1}^{N} Q_k^H + o(\rho^2) = -Q + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{i} Q_k^H + o(\rho^2), \]

\[ \varphi = o(1). \]

On the semi-infinite intervals \( I_{\pm} \) the boundary conditions are as before \( \chi = \pm 4J + o(\rho^2), \Phi = \pm P + o(\rho^2), \Psi = \pm Q + o(\rho^2), \varphi = o(1). \)

Again the boundary conditions are formally independent of the dilaton coupling parameter \( \alpha \) and have the same form as for the pure Einstein-Maxwell gravity. Having the boundary conditions and repeating the same steps as in the previous section one can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem:** There can be at most only one stationary, axisymmetric and asymptotically flat black hole spacetime with non-degenerate horizons satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton equations for a given interval structure, given set of parameters \( \{J_i^H, Q_i^H, P_i^H\} \) associated with the horizons, given \( \Phi_\infty \) and for dilaton coupling parameter satisfying \( 0 \leq \alpha^2 \leq 3 \).

It is important to note that, in the general case, the spacetimes with disconnected horizons will have conical singularities on the axes between the horizons. The question whether there can be completely regular solutions without conical singularities is at present unclear.

It seems also possible to extend the classification theorem to the case of rotating asymptotically non-flat Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes. In particular, the uniqueness theorem could be extended for rotating Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black hole solutions with C-metric and Melvin-Ernst asymptotic generalizing in this way the uniqueness theorems for static \( \alpha = 1 \) Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes with C-metric and Melvin-Ernst asymptotic [24].

An important step will be the generalization of our results to the case of higher dimensional spacetimes. In higher dimensions and in five dimensions in particular, even the potential space of the pure Einstein-Maxwell gravity is not a symmetric space. That is why the
approach of present paper will be crucially important for proving the general uniqueness theorems for rotating Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton black holes in both cases of asymptotically flat and Kaluza-Klein spacetimes. In other words using the present approach we would be able to generalize the uniqueness theorems of [25] and [26] for the case when the electromagnetic field is fully excited.
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