Heat Transfer and Hydrodynamic Properties Using Different Metal-Oxide Nanostructures in Horizontal Concentric Annular Tube: An Optimization Study
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Abstract: Numerical studies were performed to estimate the heat transfer and hydrodynamic properties of a forced convection turbulent flow using three-dimensional horizontal concentric annular. This paper applied the standard k-ε turbulence model for the flow range 1 $\times$ 10^4 $\leq$ Re $\geq$ 24 $\times$ 10^3. A wide range of parameters like different nanomaterials (Al2O3, CuO, SiO2 and ZnO), different particle nanoshapes (spherical, cylindrical, blades, platelets and bricks), different heat flux ratio (HFR) (0, 0.5, 1 and 2) and different aspect ratios (AR) (1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3) were examined. Also, the effect of inner cylinder rotation was discussed. An experiment was conducted out using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) to characterize metallic oxides in spherical morphologies. Nano-platelet particles showed the best enhancements in heat transfer properties, followed by nano-cylinders, nano-bricks, nano-blades, and nano-spheres. The maximum heat transfer enhancement was found in SiO2, followed by ZnO, CuO, and Al2O3, in that order. Meanwhile, the effect of the HFR parameter was insignificant. At Re = 24,000, the inner wall rotation enhanced the heat transfer about 47.94%, 43.03%, 42.06% and 39.79% for SiO2, ZnO, CuO and Al2O3, respectively. Moreover, the AR of 2.5 presented the higher heat transfer improvement followed by 3, 2, and 1.5.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background and Motivation

Heat exchangers are used extensively in thermal engineering applications. Specifically, annuli pipes are used in various applications such as electronic equipment, Heating, ventilation, air conditioning (HVAC), nuclear reactors, turbomachinery and gas turbines. In this regard, researchers and experts from all around the world are interested in developing new strategies to improve the heat transfer efficiency of annular tubes [1–3]. The primary heat transport fluids like pure water (DW), engine oil (EO), and ethylene glycol (EG) show low thermal efficiency [4,5]. Therefore, many researchers have been working on improving the thermal-physical properties of heat transfer fluids for better enhancements in heat transfer and hydrodynamic properties [6–8]. Experimental, theoretical, and numerical efforts have been performed to suspend nanoparticles in host fluids to produce nanofluids as alternatives to the basic working fluids [9–12]. Adding particles, characterized by nano dimensions, is found to improve a number of properties of base conventional engineered material significantly [13], and therefore has been applied in a number of practical applications, for example porous media [14], fuel cells [15], the power industry [16], and medical science [17], etc.

1.2. Adopted Literature Review on Annulus Heat Transfer Enhancement

Over the past decade, a remarkable advancement in the domain of heat transfer technologies can be noticed. This is owing to the massive demand for the diverse applications of high heat flux reduction. However, the introduced fluids mentioned in the literature (e.g., oil, water, ethylene, etc.) are associated with the limitation of low conductivity in addition to the shortcomings to meet the high standard of heat transfer properties. As per the high demand of exploring new modern technologies, the development of new types of fluids that are characterized with an effective and efficient heat transfer exchange performance. For this purpose, several propositions have been suggested and incorporated such as including microelectronics or chemical production.

Heat transfer properties were tested in a horizontal concentric annulus using Cu, Ag, TiO₂, and Al₂O₃ suspended in DW with different volume concentrations [18]. Nanoparticles with excellent thermal conductivity significantly improve heat transfer properties for high Rayleigh numbers and high L/D ratios. On the other hand, nanoparticles with low thermal conductivity produce a reduction in heat transfer at intermediate values of the Rayleigh number. The inclusion of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles enhances heat transfer for Ra = 10⁵ and Ra = 10⁶. On the other hand, the addition of nanoparticles has only a minimal effect on heat transfer properties for Ra = 10⁴. Cu-H₂O nanofluids were tested mathematically and numerically in a semi-annulus for natural convection [19]. Effect of Cu nanoparticles was more pronounced at low Ra than at high Ra due to greater enhancement, and increasing Ra showed a decrease in heat transfer enhancement ratio. Experimental studies on heat transfer and sub-cooled flow boiling using CuO-H₂O nanofluids inside a vertical annulus were conducted in the range of (353 kg/m² s–1059 kg/m² s) [20]. The heat transfer rate was enhanced due to increasing the value of mass flux and CuO concentration in the force convective and nucleate boiling regions. In contrast, increasing the solid nanoparticle concentration did not affect the pressure drop. The lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) was applied to study Cu-H₂O nanofluid in horizontal cylindrical annulus with inner triangular cylinder [21]. Their results showed that the heat transfer enhanced by increasing the CuO volume concentration and when the inner pipe moved downward. The two-
phase flow was applied to solve the laminar Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$O flow in differentially-heated horizontal annuli [22]. As Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticle size and radius of the inner cylinder decreased, the radius ratio, the temperature of the cooled cylinder and the temperature difference increased, the impact of nanoparticle dispersion on thermal performance increased. 3D geometry was modeled to examine the Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$O two-phase flow in an annulus [23]. The computed findings demonstrated that increasing the volume percentage raised the Nu at the inner and outer walls for a given Re and Gr, but has no influence on the friction factor. In conclusion, both $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ and $\text{Cf}$ showed higher values at the inner cylinder than the outer cylinder. Izadi et al., [2] used Al$_2$O$_3$–DW to examine an annulus’s hydrodynamics and thermal performances. In general, the heat transfer rate was enhanced by increasing the Al$_2$O$_3$ volume fraction. Also, high $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ was observed at a peak mean nanoparticles concentration for Al$_2$O$_3$-H$_2$O inside annuli, meanwhile, $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ only increased insignificantly with the solid concentration of TiO$_2$/H$_2$O [24]. An annular pipe was tested to study the turbulent flow of different metal oxides (CuO, Al$_2$O$_3$, and SiO$_2$) suspended in Pure DW and EG [25]. The $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ increased by adding more nanoparticles to the base fluids. The effect of 0.002 vol.%- TiO$_2$/DW and 0.02 vol.%- TiO$_2$/DW nanofluids on the heat transfer pressure loss performance was studied inside a concentric horizontal tube with $8000 \leq \text{Re} \leq 51,000$ [26]. The $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ increased by increasing the Re and adding more nanoparticles to the host fluid. Furthermore, the $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ values of nanofluids were higher compared to the host fluid. Three different mass fractions of MWCNTs were chosen for use in an annular heat exchanger from a green synthesis [12]. The thermo-physical parameters of the nanostructures were measured after they were characterized with various instruments. The convective heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number were found to be 35.89% and 20.15%, respectively, for 0.175 wt.% and Re of 7944. Recently, hybrid nanofluids (Al$_2$O$_3$@MWCNTs)/H$_2$O was prepared as working fluids in the annular passage of various eccentricities [27]. For the concentric case, the greatest enhancement of the convection heat transfer coefficient was 6.49%, 4.86%, and 2.98%, respectively, for concentrations of 0.1 wt.% , 0.075 wt.% percent, and 0.05 wt.%.

1.3. Research Motivation

It was observed from the reported previous studies that most of the conducted research was established using a single type of nanofluids or hybrids of different types of nanomaterials. This results in some limitations for the better understanding of the effect of nanoparticle shape on the heat transfer and hydrodynamic properties. Hence, the current research was motivated to be conducted on the investigation of a wide range of parameters such as different nanomaterials (Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$, and ZnO), different particle nano-shapes (spherical, cylindrical, blades, platelets and bricks), different heat flux ratio (HFR) (0, 0.5, 1 and 2) and different aspect ratios (AR) (1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3). In addition, the effect of inner cylinder rotation was analyzed and discussed. In summary, the research findings can provide a general visualization of the various types of nanofluids/nano-shapes’ behavior.

1.4. Research Objectives

As per the mentioned literature, no previous research has investigated the heat transfer and hydrodynamic performance of a concentric pipe using different types of nanoparticles such as aluminum oxide, silicon dioxide, copper oxide and zinc oxide, the metal oxides in morphologies like (nanospherical, nanoblades, nanoplatelets and bricks) [28]. An experimental study was conducted to verify the spherical morphologies using an electron microscope. Thermophysical properties of nanofluids in different shapes suspended in DW were estimated via correlations and equations for different volume concentrations at 293 K. Moreover, a geometry in 3-D was built and solved via computational fluid dynamics (CFD) using ANSYS-Fluid flow analysis to examine different
testing settings for heat transfer and pressure loss optimizations. The fully-developed turbulent flow in the range of $1 \times 10^4 \leq \text{Re} \leq 24 \times 10^3$ was selected to test the impacts of different heat flux ratios (HFR: 0, 0.5, 1, and 2) and different aspect ratios (AR: 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3). Besides, the rotation of the inner cylinder was taken into account. The influences of different cases on the temperature and velocity of annuli were illustrated through contours presentations.

2. Thermophysical Properties of Nanofluids

Most earlier investigations used experimentally [29] to test the thermal-physical properties of nanofluids. Because the method is costly, several other numerical/mathematical methods with high accuracy are available, such as molecular dynamics (MD), classical density functional theory (c-DFT) and others [30,31]. In this study, the Brownian motion was included in the measurements of thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity, meanwhile, the Pac and Cho [32] equations were used to estimate the density and specific heat capacity of nanofluids.

2.1. Nano-Spherical Particles

Thermal and physical properties of the effective nanofluids in different types and shapes were theoretically measured at various volume fractions in the range of (0–4%-vol.) under the condition of 293 K. Essentially, the required thermal-physical properties for the simulations are effective density ($\rho_{\text{eff}}$), effective specific heat capacity ($C_{p\text{eff}}$), effective thermal conductivity ($k_{\text{eff}}$), and effective dynamic viscosity ($\mu_{\text{eff}}$).

The effective thermal conductivity and effective dynamic viscosity of nanofluids can be obtained by taking into account the Brownian motions between solid particles in the base fluids as following [33,34]:

\begin{align}
    k_{\text{eff}} &= k_{\text{static}} + k_{\text{Brownian}} \\
    k_{\text{static}} &= k_f \left[ \frac{(k_s + 2k_f) - 2\varphi(k_f - k_s)}{(k_s + 2k_f) + \varphi(k_f - k_s)} \right] \\
    k_{\text{Brownian}} &= (5 \times 10^4) \times \beta \varphi \rho_f C_f \left( \frac{KT}{\rho_s d_s} \right)^f(T, \varphi) \\
    \frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}}{\mu_f} &= \frac{1}{1 - 34.87(d_s/d_f)^{0.8} \varphi^{1.03}} \\
    d_f &= \left[ \frac{6M}{N\pi\rho_{\text{f0}}} \right]^{2/3}
\end{align}

In the above, ($k_f$, $k_s$, $\varphi$, $\beta$) refer to the base fluid and solid nanoparticles’ thermal conductivity, volume fraction, and thermal expansion. Meanwhile, ($K$) is the Boltzmann constant, ($T$) the working fluid temperature. Similarly, ($\mu_f$) refer to the dynamic viscosity of the base fluid. ($d_s/d_f$) refers to the ratio between solid nanoparticle diameter to base fluid molecules diameter. ($M$) refers to the molecular weight of the working liquid, ($N$) is the Avogadro number, and ($\rho_{\text{f0}}$) is the density of DW.

Meanwhile, the effective density and effective specific heat capacity are calculated from Equations (6) and (7) [34,35]:

\begin{align}
    \rho_{\text{eff}} &= (1 - \varphi)\rho_f + \varphi \rho_s \\
    (C_p)_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{(1 - \varphi)(\rho C_p)_f + \varphi (\rho C_p)_s}{(1 - \varphi)\rho_f + \varphi \rho_s}
\end{align}
Here, \( (\rho_{\text{eff}}) \), \( (\rho_s) \) and \( (\rho_f) \) refer to the effective nanofluid density, solid nanoparticles density, and base fluid density, respectively. Similarly, \( (C_{p,\text{eff}}) \), \( (C_{p,s}) \) and \( (C_{p,f}) \) refer to the specific heat capacity of effective nanofluid, solid nanoparticles, and base fluid, respectively. Table 1 shows the thermophysical properties of the DW and metallic oxide nanoparticles.

### Table 1. Values of thermophysical properties for DW and metallic oxides [33,35,36].

| Nanoparticle/DW | \( \rho \) (kg/m\(^3\)) | \( C_p \) (J/kg·K) | \( \mu \) (N·s/m\(^2\)) | \( k \) (W/m·K) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 3970            | 765             | -               | 40              |
| CuO             | 6500            | 535.6           | -               | 20              |
| SiO\(_2\)       | 2200            | 703             | -               | 1.2             |
| ZnO             | 5600            | 495.2           | -               | 13              |
| DW              | 997.78          | 4076.4          | 0.0009772       | 0.60475         |

2.2. Nanoparticles with Different Shapes

The above equations and formulas were designed for nanospherical particles and the effective thermal conductivity and effective dynamic viscosity of nanoplatelets, nanoblades, nanocylinders, and nanobricks will be estimated as per Equations (8)–(9) [37]. Meanwhile, the different shapes of nanoparticles did show impacts on the values of effective density and effective specific heat capacity of nanospherical nanofluids:

\[
\frac{k_{\text{eff}}}{k_{bf}} = 1 + \left(C_{K,\text{shape}} + C_{K,\text{surface}}\right)\varphi = \left(1 + C_{K}^\varphi\right)
\]

(8)

\[
\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu_{bf} \times (1 + A_1\varphi + A_2\varphi^2)
\]

(9)

Table 2 shows the different coefficients in Equations (8) and (9) for the effective thermal conductivity and effective dynamic viscosity.

### Table 2. Coefficients of thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity of different nanoparticle shapes [37].

| Nanoparticle Shapes | AR | \( C_{K,\text{shape}} \) | \( C_{K,\text{surface}} \) | \( C_K \) | \( A_1 \) | \( A_2 \) |
|---------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Nanoplatelets       | 1:1/8 | 5.72           | -3.11           | 2.61    | 37.1   | 612.6  |
| Nanoblades          | 1:6:1/12 | 8.26         | -5.52           | 2.74    | 14.6   | 123.3  |
| Nanocylinders       | 1:8   | 4.82           | -0.87           | 3.95    | 13.5   | 904.4  |
| Nanobricks          | 1:1:1 | 3.72           | -0.35           | 3.37    | 1.9    | 471.4  |

3. Computational Method

3.1. Physical Model

The computational model for the annuli pipes with the boundary conditions is illustrated in Figure 1a. The inner diameter of the annuli was \( (D_{in} = 20 \text{ mm}) \) with a wall thickness of \( (t = 5 \text{ mm}) \), i.e., the hydraulic diameter of the annuli was \( (D_h = 10 \text{ mm}) \). The total heated length of the annulus \( (L) \) was 400 mm. DW as a base fluid and various metallic oxide nanoparticles across multiple nanoparticle shapes were chosen as working fluids. Also, the thermal-physical properties of different nanofluids were temperature independent. The working fluids were in different velocities based on varied Reynolds number \( (Re) \) at the inlet boundary condition and exposed to pressure condition at the annuli outlet. The external cylinder pipe was subjected to constant heat wall flux. Meanwhile, the inner tube was kept insulated at a constant \( (T_i) \).

The operating conditions of the annulus were based on several assumptions:

i) The 3D annuli pipes operate under the condition of steady-state.
ii) The heat transfer fluids are Newtonian and incompressible.
iii) Working fluids flow under the conditions of single-phase and fully-developed.
iv) The heat transfer losses are ignored.
v) The thermal-physical properties of working fluids are evaluated at a constant temperature.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 1.** (a) Geometric model for the annular heat exchanger; (b,c) Computational meshing domain for 3D and front view of the model.

### 3.2. Governing Equations and Mathematical Model

As stated by many researchers [38,39], the finite volume method (FVM) can be used to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) by converting them to algebraic equations. The base fluid flows in similar velocity solid nanoparticles since the nanosuspensions were under the condition of a homogeneous single-phase flow, and therefore, and the effective thermal-physical properties of working fluids (DW and nanofluids) can be utilized to solve the transport equations such as continuity, momentum, and energy as below [40]:

**Continuity Equation:**

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \rho r v_r \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \rho r v_\theta \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho r v_z \right) = 0
\]  

**r-Momentum Equation:**

\[
\rho_{\text{eff}} \left( v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v^2}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} \right) = \rho_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_r) \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial z^2}
\]  

**\theta-Momentum equation:**
\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} \left( v_r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial z} \right) = \rho_{\text{eff}} g_\theta - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + u \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rv_\theta)}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 v_\theta}{\partial z^2} \]  

(12)

\[ z\text{-Momentum equation:} \]

\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} \left( v_r \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial z} \right) = \rho_{\text{eff}} g_z - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + u \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rv_z)}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} \]  

(13)

\[ \text{Energy Equation:} \]

\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} c_p \left( v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} + v_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = k_{\text{eff}} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right] + \mu_{\text{eff}} \phi \]  

(14)

The current problem is considered as a fully-developed flow, and the \((k-\varepsilon)\) turbulent model was applied [38,41,42]. As per Equations (15)–(16), the turbulent kinetic energy and specific rate of dissipation are written as follows:

\[ \nabla \cdot (\rho k V) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k} \nabla (k) \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon \]  

(15)

\[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \varepsilon V) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \left( C_{1k} G_k + C_{2k} \rho \varepsilon \right) \]  

(16)

where, \((G_k)\) means the generation of turbulent kinetic energy as a result of mean velocity gradient and \((\mu_k = \rho C_{\mu} k^2 / \varepsilon)\) is the term of turbulence viscosity. \((\varepsilon)\) refers to a specific Rate of dissipation for kinetic energy. The values of the constants in Equation (16) \(C_{\mu}, C_{1k}, C_{2k}, \sigma_k, \) and \(\sigma_\varepsilon\) are 0.09, 1.44, 1.92, 1.0, and 1.3, respectively.

Moreover, the dimensionless quantities used in this study (\(Nu_{avg}, f\) and \(Re\)) are written in Equations (17)–(20). The simulation data using pure water were validated with the equations of Dittos-Boelter (Equation (17)) for \(Nu_{avg}\) and Blasius (Equation (19)) for friction factor \(f\) [38]. For all the governing equations, the convergence solutions were set for residuals <10\(^{-6}\).

\[ Nu_{avg} = \frac{h_f D_{eff}}{k_f} = 0.023 Re^{0.8} Pr^{0.4} \]  

(17)

\[ Nu_{avg} = \frac{hD_h}{k_{eff}} \]  

(18)

\[ f = \frac{0.316}{Re^{0.25}} \]  

(19)

\[ Re = \frac{UD_h \rho_{eff}}{\mu_{eff}} \]  

(20)

In this regard, \((U), (D_h), (\rho_{eff})\) and \((\mu_{eff})\) are the working fluid velocity, hydraulic diameter, effective density, and effective dynamic viscosity, respectively. Moreover, \((k_{eff})\) refers to effective thermal conductivity.

3.3. Boundary Conditions

The thermal-physical properties of different metallic oxides nanofluids in different shapes were evaluated under the conditions of varying volume concentrations (1%-vol., 2%-vol., 3%-vol., and 4%-vol.) at 293 K. Numerical studies were performed with a steady velocity profile at the inlet boundary condition and pressure-outlet condition used at the outlet of the annuli tube. Turbulent intensity formula \((I = 0.16 \times Re^{-0.9})\) was stated for the first value of both turbulent quantities \((k \text{ and } \varepsilon)\).

The boundary conditions of the problem are given by [43]:

**Momentum equation:**

\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} \left( v_r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} + v_z \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial z} \right) = \rho_{\text{eff}} g_z - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + u \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rv_\theta)}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} \]  

**Energy Equation:**

\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} c_p \left( v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} + v_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = k_{\text{eff}} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right] + \mu_{\text{eff}} \phi \]  

**The current problem is considered as a fully-developed flow, and the \((k-\varepsilon)\) turbulent model was applied [38,41,42].** As per Equations (15)–(16), the turbulent kinetic energy and specific rate of dissipation are written as follows:
\[ z \geq 0 \text{ and } r = R_i, v = u = 0 \text{ and } w = R_i \omega \]
\[ z \geq 0 \text{ and } r = R_o, v = u = w = 0 \]
\[ z = 0 \text{ and } R_i < r < R_o, u = u_o \]

At \( z = 0, p = p_o \)

3.4. Grid Independence Test and Code Validation

The SIMPLE algorithm was applied to solve the pressure-velocity coupling, while the second-order-upwind scheme was used for solving the equations of pressure, momentum, turbulent kinetic energy, turbulent dissipation rate, and energy. The structured uniform grid distribution was utilized to discretize the computation zones (see Figure 1b,c). Although the velocity and temperature gradients are high, it is finer near the annulus entry and the wall. Six distinct grid distributions were put to the test to confirm that the estimated findings were accurate. Increases in the grid sizes in the \( \theta \)-direction, \( r \)-direction, and \( z \)-direction have no significant effect on \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) and \( f \) at the outer wall boundary condition, as shown in Figure 2. As a result, for the current calculations in the axial \((z)\), tangential \((\theta)\), and radial \((r)\) directions, the grid of \( 350 \times 30 \times 30 \) elements were chosen.
Figure 2. Grid Independence Test against different Reynolds numbers and different CFD grid sizes at 293 K; (a) Average Nusselt number, (b) friction factor.

The numerical validation method entails simulating numerical codes for benchmark issues under certain conditions. These simulation results are compared to experimental data published in the literature. This should produce results that are identical to or extremely similar to those obtained in earlier studies. The study of Hosseini et al. [12] was used to validate the current findings. Their report discussed the effects of using MWCNTs suspended in DW on the values of heat transfer and thermal-physical properties in an annular heat exchanger. As per Figure 3, it was noted that, the average error was 6.2% (Figures 3a,b and 5.5% as in Figure 3c).
4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Morphologies of Spherical Nanoparticles

For this study, four different dry nanoparticles were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Selangor, Malaysia) (M) SDN BHD namely; Al₂O₃, CuO, SiO₂, and ZnO. First, the produced nanosuspensions were characterized by using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Sonics Vibra-Cell, VC 750, Sonics & Materials, Inc., MS, USA) to identify the equal dispersion of all metal oxide nanoparticles in the base fluid. Then, an ultrasonic probe (FE-SEM, ZEISS Sigma, Oberkochen, Germany) was applied for 1 hr. to obtain a homogenous distribution of nanoparticles and break down any large agglomerates [44]. Regular use of the ultrasonic probe or a magnetic stirrer decreases nanoparticle agglomeration. Nanoparticles tend to aggregate due to their high surface area [45,46]. Therefore, investigators recommended a two-step technique for preparing oxide nanofluids over those with metallic nanofluids [47–49]. The two-step technique is standard as the most cost-effective procedure for making nanofluids [50]. Figure 4 displays that the four
samples have negligible agglomeration, and they presented better suspension. Also, FE-SEM images showed that the metallic oxide nanoparticles were found to be well dispersed and primarily spherical in shape. Meanwhile, the particles were in the nano-sizes of Al$_2$O$_3$, 13 nm, CuO, <50 nm, SiO$_2$, 10–20 nm and ZnO, <100 nm.

![FE-SEM images of different metal oxides nanostructures at the nanoscale of 200 nm.](image)

**Figure 4.** FE-SEM images of different metal oxides nanostructures at the nanoscale of 200 nm.

### 4.2. Thermophysical Properties of Different Nanostructures

Figure 5 presents the estimated effective thermal conductivity and effective dynamic viscosity of the different nanofluids at nanoparticle size of 20 nm and temperature of 293 K. Figure 5a,b show the nanofluids' thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity profiles versus the various volume fractions of alumina nanofluid, respectively. The nanospherical shape showed the highest thermal conductivity due to the uniform distribution of Van der Waals forces along their surface, leading to a better suspension and better contact between the nanoparticles and pure water. In addition, the influence of particle-host fluid homogeneity was visible in viscosity, with spherical shape nanoparticles showing the lowest percentage increase in viscosity compared to the base fluid of all nanoparticle shapes.
Figure 5. Thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity for different metal oxide nanostructures and different nanoparticles shape at 20 nm and 293 K; (a) k-Al₂O₃, (b) µ-Al₂O₃, (c) k-CuO, (d) µ-CuO, (e) k-SiO₂, (f) µ-SiO₂, (g) k-ZnO, (h) µ-ZnO.

The thermal conductivity of spheres-Al₂O₃ and spheres-CuO was enhanced by (8.98%, 11.53%, 14.28%, 17.14%) and (8.05%, 10.96%, 13.85%, 16.74%) for 1 vol.%, 2 vol.%, 3 vol.% and 4 vol.%, respectively. Also, the thermal conductivity of SiO₂ spheres and ZnO spheres was enhanced by (2.72%, 3.13%, 3.83%, 4.62%) and (7.53%, 9.91%, 12.45%, 15.08%) for 1 vol.%, 2 vol.%, 3 vol.% and 4 vol.%, respectively. Meanwhile, the increments in thermal conductivity of nanoblades, nanoplatelets, nanocylinders and nanobricks for all nanoparticles type were (2.74%, 5.48%, 8.22%, 10.96%), (2.61%, 5.22%, 7.83%, 10.44%), (3.95%, 7.90%, 11.85%, 15.80%) and (3.37%, 6.74%, 10.11%, 13.48%), respectively, relative to DW. As per equations (4) and (9), the increase in dynamic viscosity was unaffected by the type of nanofluid used, but depended on the shape of the nanoparticles. In this regard, the increments were (10.24%, 23.41%, 40.45% and 63.21%), (15.83%, 34.13%, 54.90%, 78.13%), (43.23%, 98.70%, 166.43%, 246.42%), (22.54%, 63.18%, 121.90%, 198.70%) and (6.61%, 22.66%, 48.13%, 83.02%) for spheres, blades, platelets, cylinders and bricks, respectively, for all types of materials, at various concentrations.

4.3. Heat Transfer and Hydrodynamic Properties

Figure 6 shows the average Nusselt number (Nu̅) and friction factor (f) of different metal-oxide nanostructures under the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K and 5000 W/m². In all scenarios, the Nusselt number rises as the Reynolds number rises. Inflow velocity increases due to the increase in the Re since the cross-sectional area and hydraulic diameter are constant. As the inflow velocity rises, the fluid’s residence time lowers, resulting in a lower output temperature. On the other hand, increased input velocity increases the convective heat transfer coefficient, resulting in larger Nusselt number values and increased heat transfer [51]. Figure 6a shows the Nu number profiles of Alumina at different Re number where, the average enhancements were 8.47%, 9.51%, 37.69%, 28.91% and 9.69% for the nanosphere, nanoblade, nanoplatelet, nanocylinder and nanobrick shapes, respectively. The enhancement percentages of Nu number can be attributed to the effect of the Prandtl number (Pr = kₑff × ρₑff × cₑff / kₑff) of each nanofluid sample where the highest Pr the highest Nu̅ at the same Re, and these results match with the published work of Abdelrazek et al. [27]. Figure 6b shows the variation of (f) versus Re for the different alumina nanostructures compared to the DW. As shown in Figure 6b, the results proved that the friction factor of nanofluids is Reynolds number-dependent, as stated in Equation (19), indicating that nanofluids can be considered single-phase fluids. Other nanofluids of CuO
nanostructures confirmed the same findings of the friction factor for alumina nanostructures in Figure 6d, silica nanostructures in Figure 6f, and ZnO nanostructures in Figure 6h.
The image contains two graphs.

Graph (c) shows the Nusselt Number (Nu) vs. Reynolds number (Re) for different types of nanomaterials:
- **DW**
- **Nanospheres**
- **Nanoblades**
- **Nanoplatelets**
- **Nanocylinders**
- **Nanobricks**

Graph (d) shows the Friction Factor (f) vs. Reynolds number (Re) for the same types of nanomaterials.
Figure 6. Simulation data of average Nusselt numbers and friction factors for different metal oxides and different nanoparticles shape at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, and 293 K; (a) Nu-Al$_2$O$_3$, (b) f-Al$_2$O$_3$, (c) Nu-CuO, (d) f-CuO, (e) Nu-SiO$_2$, (f) f-SiO$_2$, (g) Nu-ZnO, (h) f-ZnO.

CuO nanofluids show about 4.96%, 10.41%, 39.45%, 30.46% and 10.51% for nanospheres, nanoblades, nanoplatelets, nanocylinders, and nanobricks, respectively. Meanwhile, the average enhancement in Nu using SiO$_2$ was 14.66%, 15.84%, 45.91%, 36.57%, 16.03% for nanospheres, nanoblades, nanoplatelets, nanocylinders and nanobricks, respectively. Also, the Nusselt number enhancement for ZnO nanofluids was 6.42%, 11.33%, 40.54%, 31.50% and 11.52% using nanospheres, nanoblades, nanoplatelets, nanocylinders and nanobricks, respectively. Figure 6 indicates that, SiO$_2$ nanofluids show the higher heat transfer enhancement followed by ZnO, CuO, and Al$_2$O$_3$. While platelets, nanoparticles show the highest reading, followed by cylinders, bricks, blades, and spheres. For friction factor, only Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluids show slight variations by about 6.93% with DW data using nanoblade, nanoplatelet, nanocylinder and nanobrick shapes. Meanwhile, the condition was not applicable for nanospheres-Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$ and ZnO in different nanoparticle shapes did not present any significant differences with the water data. Temperature and
velocity contours of different nanofluids and different nanoparticles shape under the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, Re = 10,000 and 5000 W/m² are presented in Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials.

4.4. Effect of Heat Flux Ratio

Figure 7 illustrates the Nusselt number of different heat flux ratios (HFR) and different nanofluids at the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, and platelet nanoparticles. The values of heat flux ratio \( q_l/q_s \) ranged from 0 to 2. As can be seen in Figure 7, the effect of HFR did not show significant impacts on the values of Nu of different nanofluids, which matches the basic knowledge of convection heat transfer. The heat transfer rates can be changed when the working fluid bulk temperature changes. The working fluid bulk temperature results from different values of heat source at the inner and outer pipes. In the current case, the bulk temperature is very close to the inner wall temperature. Moreover, the contours of temperature for different heat flux ratios (HFR) and different nanoparticle shapes at the conditions 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K and Re = 10,000 are reported in Figure S2 in Supplementary Materials.
Figure 7. Simulation data of average Nusselt number for different metal oxides and different heat flux ratios at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, and 293 K; (a) Nanoplatelets -Al₂O₃, (b) Nanoplatelets -CuO, (c) Nanoplatelets -SiO₂, (d) Nanoplatelets -ZnO.

4.5. Effect of Inner Shaft Rotation ($\omega$)

Figure 8 presents the values of the average Nusselt number and friction factor of different nanofluids with and without the inner cylinder rotation (500 RPM and 0 RPM). With an inner shaft rotation speed of 500 RPM, the average heat transfer was enhanced by (37.28% to 42.62%), (38.90% to 45.58%), (45.27% to 50.44%) and (40.13% to 45.04%) for Al₂O₃, CuO, SiO₂ and ZnO, respectively, under the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, and platelet nanoparticles. The enhancements can be credited to Taylor vortices [52], which are developed after the entry region. The vortices interrupt the steady development of the boundary layers and improve the annular boundary’s heat transfer coefficients in forced convection. As a result, the turbulent kinetic energy improved as the rotation speed increased [53], resulting in a significant heat transfer and momentum improvement. Meanwhile, only Al₂O₃ nanofluids showed substantial differences in friction factor values for the two cases of 0 RPM and 500 RPM by about 6.72% and 6.15% relative to DW.
4.6. Effect of Concentric Aspect Ratio

The annulus aspect ratio ($D_o/D_i$) effect is also studied and depicted in Figure 9. The radial component of the annulus is not simulated since the hydraulic diameter is only considered in the axial direction. Relative to pure water, as the annulus aspect ratio (AR) increased from 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3, the average enhancements in the heat transfer were (37.28%, 42.12%, 41.67%, 41.39%), (38.97%, 37.94%, 37.51%, 37.24%), (45.35%, 44.11%, 43.68%, 43.38%) and (40.05%, 38.95%, 38.56%, 38.29%) for Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$, and ZnO, respectively. Figure 9 clarifies the influence of dispersed metal oxides nanoparticles on the heat transfer properties of the base fluid owing to Brownian motion, which increases energy transfer within the fluid (diffusion) and then increases the rate of heat transfer from the wall to the next stagnant layer of the fluid (conduction). The heat transfer rate increases in the thermal applications due the increments in the diffusivity and Prandtl number [27]. In this regard, AR = 2 and SiO$_2$ nanofluids showed a higher value of heat transfer.

Figure 8. Variations of (a) average Nusselt number and (b) friction factor for different metal oxide nanostructures and different rotation speeds at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, and 293 K.
enhancements. Moreover, SiO$_2$ has the lowest thermal conductivity than other nanofluids but higher than base fluid and has the highest average velocity among other working fluids due to its lowest density. The heat transfer improves by using higher hydraulic diameter ratios, and the problems due to heating and cooling in the industry can be reduced. High hydraulic diameter ratio gave a small hydraulic diameter, then the risks of sedimentations of nanofluids and abrasion on annulus can be reduced. Meanwhile, the different aspect ratios did not show significant impacts on the values of friction factors. Figure S3 in Supplementary Materials shows the DW temperature and velocity contours and different nanofluid types (Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$, and ZnO) at 4 vol.% 20 nm 293 K and Re = 10,000.
Figure 9. Simulation data of average Nusselt number and friction factor for different metal oxides and different aspect ratios at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, and 293 K; (a) Nu-Al$_2$O$_3$, (b) f-Al$_2$O$_3$, (c) Nu-CuO, (d) f-CuO, (e) Nu-SiO$_2$, (f) f-SiO$_2$, (g) Nu-ZnO, (h) f-ZnO.

5. Conclusions

Heat transfer and hydrodynamic properties of a turbulent convection flow were numerically simulated using in three-dimensional horizontal concentric annuli. According to the current study, the following conclusions can be made:

i) Six different grids were tested, but $350 \times 30 \times 30$ elements were selected for the present calculations.

ii) FE-SEM analysis showed that, Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$, and ZnO were well dispersed and found to be predominantly spherical.

iii) At 4 vol.%, the best enhancements in thermal conductivity were 17.14% (spheres-Al$_2$O$_3$), 16.74% (spheres-CuO), 15.80% (bricks-SiO$_2$) and 15.08% (spheres-ZnO). Meanwhile, ZnO presented a sharp increment in the viscosity for all nanoparticle shapes.

iv) SiO$_2$ nanofluids showed a higher heat transfer enhancement, followed by ZnO, CuO, and Al$_2$O$_3$. In comparison, platelet nanoparticles show the highest reading, followed by cylinders, bricks, blades, and spheres. Different metallic oxides and different nanoparticle shapes did not show significant variations of friction factor.

v) The effect of HFR did not show significant impacts on the values of Nu of different nanofluids.

vi) With an inner shaft rotation speed of 500 RPM, the average heat transfer enhanced by (37.28% to 42.62%), (38.90% to 45.58%), (45.27% to 50.44) and (40.13% to 45.04%) for Al$_2$O$_3$, CuO, SiO$_2$, and ZnO, respectively, at the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, and platelets nanoparticles. Meanwhile, only Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluids showed any significant differences in friction factor values.

vii) AR = 2 and nanoplatelets-SiO$_2$ nanofluids showed the higher value of heat transfer enhancements of 43.68% at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K.
Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/10.3390/nano11081979/s1, Figure S1: Temperature and velocity contours of different nanofluids and different nanoparticles shape under the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, Re = 10,000 and 5000 W/m², Figure S2: Temperature contours of different nanofluids and different heat flux ratios (HFR) under the conditions of 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K, Re = 10,000 and 5000 W/m², Figure S3: Temperature and velocity contours of DW and different nanofluid types (Al₂O₃, CuO, SiO₂, and ZnO) at 4 vol.%, 20 nm, 293 K and Re = 10,000.
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Abbreviations

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| Ag     | Silver      |      |
| Al₂O₃  | Aluminum Oxide |      |
| AR     | Aspect ratio, [Dₒ/Dᵢ] |      |
| Cₚ     | Specific Heat capacity, [kJ/kg. K] |      |
| Cu     | Copper      |      |
| CuO    | Copper Oxide |      |
| Dₙ     | Hydraulic Diameter, [mm] |      |
| Dᵢ     | Inner Pipe Diameter, [mm] |      |
| Dₒ     | Outer Pipe Diameter, [mm] |      |
| EG     | Ethylene Glycol |      |
| FVM    | Finite Volume Method |      |
| Gr     | Grashof number |      |
| h      | Heat transfer coefficient, [W/m². K] |      |
| HFR    | Heat Flux Ratio, [q_/qₒ] |      |
| k      | Thermal Conductivity, [W/m. K] |      |
| kₑ     | Boltzmann constant |      |
| L      | Total length of annuli, [mm] |      |
| M      | Molecular Weight |      |
| N      | Avogadro number |      |
| NSE    | Navier Stokes Equations |      |
| Pr     | Prandtl Number |      |
| Rₑ     | Reynolds number |      |
| SiO₂   | Silicon dioxide |      |
| Tₑ     | Inner Cylinder Temperature, [K] |      |
| Uₒ     | Velocity inlet, [m/s] |      |

Greek alphabet symbols & letters

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| α      | Thermal diffusivity, [m²/s] |      |
| β      | Thermal expansion coefficient, [1/K] |      |
| ε      | Turbulent dissipation rate, [m²/s²] |      |
| μ      | Working fluid viscosity, [N. m/s] |      |
| ν      | Kinematic viscosity, [m²/s] |      |
| ρ      | Density, [kg/m³] |      |
| φ      | Volume fraction [vol.%] |      |

Indexes

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| bf     | Basic fluid |      |
| ef     | Effective   |      |
| f      | Fluid       |      |
| nf     | Nanofluid   |      |
| s      | Solid       |      |
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