This is a repository copy of Multi-material additive manufacturing of low sintering temperature Bi2Mo2O9 ceramics with Ag floating electrodes by selective laser burnout.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/155725/

Version: Published Version

Article:
Gheisari, R., Chamberlain, H., Chi-Tangye, G. et al. (13 more authors) (2020)
Multi-material additive manufacturing of low sintering temperature Bi2Mo2O9 ceramics with Ag floating electrodes by selective laser burnout. Virtual and Physical Prototyping.
ISSN 1745-2759

https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2019.1708026

Reuse
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.
Multi-material additive manufacturing of low sintering temperature Bi$_2$Mo$_2$O$_9$ ceramics with Ag floating electrodes by selective laser burnout

Reza Gheisari, Henry Chamberlain, George Chi-Tangyie, Shiyu Zhang, Athanasios Goulas, Chih-Kuo Lee, Tom Whittaker, Dawei Wang, Annapoorani Ketharam, Avishek Ghosh, Bala Vaidhyanathan, Will Whittow, Darren Cadman, Yiannis C. Vardaxoglou, Ian M. Reaney & Daniel S. Engstrøm

To cite this article: Reza Gheisari, Henry Chamberlain, George Chi-Tangyie, Shiyu Zhang, Athanasios Goulas, Chih-Kuo Lee, Tom Whittaker, Dawei Wang, Annapoorani Ketharam, Avishek Ghosh, Bala Vaidhyanathan, Will Whittow, Darren Cadman, Yiannis C. Vardaxoglou, Ian M. Reaney & Daniel S. Engstrøm (2020): Multi-material additive manufacturing of low sintering temperature Bi$_2$Mo$_2$O$_9$ ceramics with Ag floating electrodes by selective laser burnout, Virtual and Physical Prototyping, DOI: 10.1080/17452759.2019.1708026

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2019.1708026
Multi-material additive manufacturing of low sintering temperature Bi$_2$Mo$_2$O$_9$ ceramics with Ag floating electrodes by selective laser burnout

Reza Gheisari, Henry Chamberlain, George Chi-Tang Yie, Shiyu Zhang, Athanasios Goulas, Chih-Kuo Lee, Tom Whittaker, Dawei Wang, Annapoorani Ketharam, Avishek Ghosh, Bala Vaidhyanathan, Will Whittow, Darren Cadman, Yiannis C. Vardaxoglou, Ian M. Reaney and Daniel S. Engström

School of Mechanical, Electrical and Manufacturing Engineering, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK; Department of Materials, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

ABSTRACT
Additive manufacturing (AM) of co-fired low temperature ceramics offers a unique route for fabrication of novel 3D radio frequency (RF) and microwave communication components, embedded electronics and sensors. This paper describes the first-ever direct 3D printing of low temperature co-fired ceramics/floating electrode 3D structures. Slurry-based AM and selective laser burnout (SLB) were used to fabricate bulk dielectric, Bi$_2$Mo$_2$O$_9$ (BMO, sintering temperature = 620–650°C, $\varepsilon_r = 38$) with silver (Ag) internal floating electrodes. A printable BMO slurry was developed and the SLB optimised to improve edge definition and burn out the binder without damaging the ceramic. The SLB increased the green strength needed for shape retention, produced crack-free parts and prevented Ag leaching into the ceramic during co-firing. The green parts were sintered after SLB in a conventional furnace at 645°C for 4 h and achieved 94.5% density, compressive strength of 4097 MPa, a relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) of 33.8 and a loss tangent (tan $\delta$) of 0.0004 (8 GHz) for BMO. The feasibility of using SLB followed by a post-printing sintering step to create BMO/Ag 3D structures was thus demonstrated.

1. Introduction
Additive manufacturing (AM) is a novel technology for producing prototypes as well as functional parts in a layer by layer manner. AM technologies have recently gained increased importance, as they allow production of complex geometries with high levels of accuracy and repeatability in a cost-effective way. A variety of different AM techniques capable of fabricating 3D ceramic parts have been developed over the past years, such as stereolithography, fused deposition of ceramics (FDC), selective laser sintering/melting (SLS/M), and slurry-based 3D printing (Hinczewski, Corbel, and Chartier 1998; Jafari et al. 2000; Chartier et al. 2002; Griffith and Halloran 2005; Liu et al. 2007). Jafari et al. (2000) developed an FDC machine to print green ceramic filaments and successfully made lead zirconate titanate (PZT) components with no observation of defects or delamination. The main disadvantage of FDC is the low resolution and the large amount of polymer in the green body which can require extremely slow burnout process with low densification (Feilden 2017). Yen (2012) developed a new slurry-based sequential layer manufacturing for fabricating ceramic green parts composed of SiO$_2$ powder using selective laser scanning. The investigated process derived gelling effect from water vaporisation generated by a hot air blower, so a uniform gelled layer without ablation was achieved before building 2D pattern with selective laser scanning and heat treatment in a conventional furnace, subsequently. Tang and Yen (2015) employed the SLB process to produce alumina green parts and replace the sheet material used in the process of laminated object manufacturing (LOM) which was costly, time consuming and required more space. An additional drying step was implemented prior to laser scanning likewise.

The attention of many researchers has now turned to AM of multi-material structures and a variety of AM processes capable of fabricating such structures have recently emerged with improved printing resolution. These techniques are developing rapidly owing to the capability of making composite structures that obtain their unique properties (e.g. mechanical, electrical, etc.) through a single component made of different materials (metal, ceramic, and polymer). Among the leading AM techniques, robocasting is highly utilised technique which takes advantage of computer-controlled robotic
system capable of depositing colloidal suspensions to create 3D structures with a wide range of materials and reduced fabrication cost (Tuttle et al. 2001; Lewis et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2009; Cai et al. 2012; Maazouz et al. 2014; Jakus et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2017; Peng et al. 2018). Since the process is in a layered manner, it is feasible to tailor the properties of such components by controlling the dimensions of its features.

Laser engineered net shaping has been used to produce Ti-6Al-4V with silicon carbide composite coatings to improve its wear resistance (Das et al. 2010). Similar process was used to manufacture compositionally gradient structures of vanadium carbide (VC) and stainless steel 304 (SS304) that exhibited higher hardness and wear resistance (Gualtieri and Bandyopadhyay 2018). Fabrication of Ti-Al₂O₃ compositionally graded structures to evaluate the capability of making a metal-ceramic composite via laser AM has also been successfully demonstrated (Zhang and Bandyopadhyay 2018). Overall, AM of metals and ceramics has been an area of interest as it allows manufacturing of components with outstanding properties compared to conventional alloys (Man et al. 2001; Pang, Man, and Yue 2005; Nummenn, Nääki, and Vuoristo 2009; Balla, Bose, and Bandyopadhyay 2010; Zheng, Chen, and Lian 2010; Das et al. 2011; Zhong et al. 2014; Zhang, Sahasrabudhe, and Bandyopadhyay 2015; Sahasrabudhe and Bandyopadhyay 2016). However, fitting metals and ceramics into one component using AM is still at the early stages of investigation to overcome the current limitations such as sintering/melting temperature mismatch, dimensional accuracy and chemical compatibility of different materials to make functional components for practical systems.

Low temperature co-fired ceramics (LTCC) applications include wireless telecommunication, electronic warfare, satellite broadcasting, and intelligent transport systems (Ziolkowski 2003; Parke et al. 2015; Sebastian, Wang, and Jantunen 2016; Zhang, Whittow, and Vardaxoglou 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019; Faouri et al. 2019). The growth of the mobile phone market in the 1990s led to extensive research and development in temperature stable, medium permittivity dielectric ceramics with applications such as resonators in filters for microwave (MW) communications. Owing to extremely low dielectric loss (high quality factor) and high permittivity, these materials facilitate the fabrication of smaller components with reduced fabrication cost and better performance (Reaney and Iddles 2006). LTCC modules are routinely made by stacking green dielectric layers upon which printed circuitry has been inscribed followed by co-firing to make a dense 3D circuit. Connection between layers are formed via soldering. A significant advantage of the slurry-based 3D printing is that it uses formulations identical to those in conventional tape casting and screen printing and can produce multi-material structures.

LTCC ceramics are known to undergo controlled densification at relative low temperatures with respect to associated internal electrodes. Most LTCC modules require temperature stable low loss (tan δ < 0.001) dielectrics (10 < εr < 60) compounds that densify at <90°C and do not react with Ag internal electrodes. BMO has been reported (Sebastian, Wang, and Jantunen 2016; Cho et al. 2005; Zhou et al. 2008, 2009; Zhou et al. 2010) in part to satisfy these criteria with a densification temperature ~645°C, εr = 38 and tan δ~0.0002 at MW frequencies but is known to partially react with Ag on co-firing.

Artificial dielectrics and metamaterials have extraordinary properties and can control electromagnetic (EM) wave propagation and tailoring EM properties (Zhang, Whittow, and Vardaxoglou 2017). Currently, manufacturing of metamaterials is costly and time consuming as several processes are required such as micromachining, etching and assembling (Ziolkowski 2003; Parke et al. 2015; Walia et al. 2015). Laser AM of ceramics and metals offers an alternative manufacturing method. To date however, multi-material 3D structures have only been fabricated by heat treating the sample in a furnace layer by layer which causes interfacial reaction by electrode (e.g Ag) migration and stress due to mismatched shrinkage rates and thermal expansion coefficients. The AM technique described in this contribution results in reduced process time, less material waste, lower cost fabrication, and potentially greater material system compatibility for integrated metal/ceramic architectures. The process is the first step towards highly dense ceramics with great geometric design freedom, capable of complex metal/ceramic structures with geometric potentials beyond traditional LTCC, such as 3D metamaterials and metacomponents.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Slurry preparation

2.1.1. BMO slurry

The Bi₂MoO₉₃ (BMO) powder was synthesised by the solid-state reaction method. Raw chemicals Bi₂O₃ (99.9%, Acros Organics) and MoO₃ (>99%, Acros Organics) were weighted stoichiometrically (Bi₂O₃ : MoO₃ = 1:2) and planetary ball-milled in isopropanol for 4 h. Afterwards, the dried mixed powders were calcined for 4 h at 630–650°C (Zhou et al. 2009). Further ball-milling was carried out to reduce the average particle size to 1–5 μm (Figure 1(a)) to minimise nozzle clogging induced by the presence of large particles. The ceramic slurry was prepared by mixing 81 wt.% BMO powder in a ball-mill (Fritsch Pulverisette 7 Micro Mill) with 14 wt% ethylene
glycol dimethacrylate, 3 wt.% propylene carbonate and 2 wt% diisononyl phthalate to achieve appropriate viscoelastic properties for 3D printing. It was purposely made with a low viscosity for several reasons:

1. The slurry flows slightly after deposition filling in gaps between the individual tracks, thereby minimizing the porosity.
2. Pressure drops during printing and air bubbles in the slurry creates discontinuities in the print which is filled in by subsequent layers because of the relatively low viscosity.

2.1.2. Ag paste

The conductive Ag paste (PMC3 silver, Mitsubishi Materials Trading Corporation) was used to print the floating electrodes. It consists of 90 wt.% Ag particles (<5μm), an organic binding agent and water. It can be fired at temperatures between 600°C and 900°C and has a low shrinkage (approximately 10%). The silver paste used in this study has been chosen due to its ideal rheological properties that make it a good choice for the proposed AM technique in terms of printability. Through the SLB process and furnace sintering all the additives are burned off and what remains is pure silver unlike the conventional pastes containing glass frit. Conventional Ag pastes with glass frit has also a low storage modulus making only thin structures possible and is therefore not suitable to print in the 3rd dimension. Additionally, the conductivity of the used paste is higher ($5.7 \times 10^6$ S/m) than the commonly used pastes ($1.75-4.63 \times 10^6$ S/m) and the shrinkage rate of that is very close to the shrinkage rate of the BMO. Thus, the shrinkage rate mismatch is reduced.

2.2. 3D printing

The fabrication process uses layered slurry dispensing followed by selective laser burnout (SLB) to fabricate
mono-material (ceramic only) and multi-material (ceramic/silver) green parts. During the SLB process, binder and solvent are removed from the layers by localized laser heating so that only the inorganic ceramic remains which is lightly sintered, maintaining its rigidity. After sintering the SLB green body densified to ~95% theoretical and its dielectric and mechanical properties measured along with an assessment of its microstructure. Finally, a co-sintered BMO/Ag floating electrode multi-material was 3D printed and sintered following similar procedures to that described below for mono-material parts.

Printing and laser processing experiments were carried out using an OurPlant XTec system (Häcker Automation GmbH, Schwarzhausen, Germany) equipped with a DX-30 syringe dispensing module, using 3 mL syringe barrels and 610 µm diameter tapered tips (Nordson EFD, Aylesbury, United Kingdom) and a Coherent-DILAS InGaAs fiber-coupled multimode diode laser (DILAS Diodenlaser GmbH, Mainz, Germany), operating at a wavelength of 980 ± 10 nm, producing a beam of 330 µm diameter.

The BMO slurry was extruded onto an Al₂O₃ substrate located on the base platform with a controlled flowrate (0.3 µl/s) through a circular nozzle (d = 610 µm), Figure 1(b). Once the deposition of a layer was complete, it was scanned with a low laser energy density so that generated heat is conducted into the wet layer to uniformly burn out the organic components of the slurry with only ceramic powder remaining without sintering/melting that, Figure 1(c).

It is worth-mentioning that mechanism of heat distribution and dissipation is identical to that in the SLS process (Sofia, Barletta, and Poletto 2018). However, during the SLS process high energy densities are applied to consolidate powder particles and achieve full densification. During the SLB, laser beam is irradiated and generates a hot spot on the deposited layer. Thus, the top surface of the layer is heated up first by absorbing incident photons. After the local temperature reaches a stable state (corresponding to the melting point of the binder), the generated heat is conducted into the wet layer to uniformly burn out the organic components of the slurry with only ceramic powder remaining without sintering/melting that, Figure 1(c).

2.3. Characterisation

Micrographs were collected using a JEOL 7800F scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Powders samples were characterised using the same SEM device and a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 laser diffraction based particle size analyser. The phase assemblage of sintered bodies identified using Bruker D2 PHASER X-ray diffractometer. TGA analysis for the dried slurry was carried out with a TA Instruments Q5000 IR. Compression test was performed using an Instron 3369 for samples of 10 mm × 10 mm × 3 mm. In addition to density measurements which was performed using Archimedes technique, percentage of porosity was calculated by using ImageJ software that converted images into a binary code. ε, for the Bi₂MoO₉ samples of 22.86 mm in length, 10.16 mm in width and 1.5 mm in height were obtained using Anritsu ShockLine Vector Network Analyzers (MS46522B) between 8 and 12 GHz. BMO pellets were also measured using the TE₀₁₀ dielectric resonator method with a vector network analyser (R3767CH, Advantest Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

3. Results and discussion

The final weight loss and the number of necessary passes are shown in Figure 2(a) for the tested laser powers and scan speeds. Figure 2(b) shows that the onset of full laser burn out occurs at 0.4 J/ mm² (equivalent to 2.5 W laser power and 30 mm/s scan speeds). The theoretical limit for the weight loss is 19% (Figure 1(d)) but this value is exceeded or receded for several samples, possibly due to uneven printing caused by slurry segregation whilst...
in the syringe which results in an uneven binder/solvent/ceramic ratio during deposition. The printed green bodies shrank by $15 \pm 0.84\%$ in length, $14 \pm 0.65\%$ in width, and $3.22 \pm 0.18\%$ in height after laser burnout.

At lower energy density, the laser does not fully remove the solvent and binder as shown in Figure 2(c), resulting in spreading of the material rather than binder burn-out. For a laser energy density above 0.41 J/ mm$^2$, black deposits were observed within the printed layers which currently remain unidentified (Figure 2(d)). This by-product of the process is, however, undesirable and would become embedded in the ceramic upon further deposition. Moreover, higher energy densities induce local heterogeneous melting as shown in Figure 2(d,e) which destroys the printed geometry and creates an inhomogeneous microstructure detrimental to MW properties. In summary, $2.5$ W, $30$ mm/s, and $V_S = 200$ µm were chosen as the laser scanning parameters to not only avoid damaging the layers but to also limit the number of passes thereby reducing processing time.

Figure 3(a,b) show BMO green bodies fabricated using the same slurry, design and print parameters without and with SLB, respectively. There is a significant difference between the produced samples in terms of dimensional accuracy and edge definition which further justifies the application of laser scanning. Figure 3(a) demonstrates the poor geometric retention, $13$ mm (length) × $7.5$ mm (width), due to a combination of capillary action and fluidity of the material when SLB is not employed. In contrast, the SLB process (Figure 3(b)) results in a fine edge definition and superior dimensional accuracy, $8$ mm (length) × $4.5$ mm (width). We note that with further optimisation, better edge definition may be possible without SLB but we emphasise that SLB facilitates slurry-based 3D printing with low viscosity slurries for high resolution printing.

During SLB some ceramic particles have ablated onto the substrate by rapid vaporisation of the solvent and additives. This phenomenon can result in increased surface roughness of the green part (Yen 2012). Gases can also be seen around the laser spot during binder burnout due to chemical reactions with the organics and partial ablation of BMO powder. The effect of the laser beam on the microstructure is evident in Figure 3. At low magnification, pits up to $1$ mm long in the direction that the laser raster are present on the surface of the
green layers (Figure 3(c)) but are filled by the next layer deposited. At higher magnification (Figure 3(d,e)), non-laser irradiated and laser irradiated layers are similar so that the SLB process causes only minor microstructural effects on the ceramic. As it was mentioned earlier, the raw powder was ball-milled to reduce the average particle size to approximately 5 µm. However, controlling the particle size through ball-milling process is difficult and having small particles (≤ 1 µm) within the powder distribution is inevitable. Therefore, it can be inferred that when laser irradiates the layer to burnout the binder, these very small particles are lifted to the surface by vaporisation pressure, Figure 3(e).

To find the optimum dwell time, samples were sintered in the furnace at 645°C for 2, 3, 4 and 5 h. Figure 4(a–d) presents the surface morphologies of the sintered Bi₂Mo₂O₉ ceramics for the investigated dwell times, respectively. Incomplete sintering occurred when the dwell time was < 4 h. Porosity decreased with increasing the dwell time to 5 h with a sharp drop between 3 and 4 h. The ceramic samples sintered for 4 h showed a dense structure (≈ 94.5 ± 2%) with no evidence of filaments or layer gaps from the print process (Figure 4(e)).

Properties of the SLB/sintered BMO samples are listed in Table 1. Density and porosity significantly affect the mechanical strength of ceramics along with macroscopic defects. The total porosity in the SLB/sintered samples is ~5.5%, potentially caused by laser interaction during binder burnout which increases surface roughness (Figure 3(c)). Although the SLB may not volatilise all the binder, the final sintering step ensures that all the organics are removed.

It is worth-mentioning that particular care must be taken when synthesising and manufacturing ceramic powders to ensure optimum properties. Otherwise, nominal changes can result in different batches of material with variable properties and temperature stability (e.g. shrinkage rate). The comparison of surface microstructures in Figure 4(a–e) reveals the growth of a plate-like phase between grains perpendicular to the surface of the samples. Figure 5 shows the X-ray diffraction spectra from the BMO green body fabricated by SLB and fully sintered BMO sample. All major peaks are indexed as a single monoclinic phase (space group, P2₁/n) identical to the raw powder. Although a dense microstructure is achieved, Bi₂MoO₆ and Bi₂Mo₂O₁₂ minor secondary phases are present. The plate-like structures in Figure 4(a–d) is most likely Bi₂MoO₆ since this phase has a plate like morphology due to its layered crystal structure (Aurivillius) and the higher secondary XRD intensity is due to increased crystallinity after sintering (Liu et al. 2010).

Figure 6(a) shows a rectangular sample of BMO fabricated after furnace sintering, but post-SLB sintering also allowed the fabrication of more complex shapes, Figure 6(b,c). The suspended beam was deposited over a 3D printed PVA block that was burnt away during furnace sintering. The use of PVA as pre-prepared support material was particularly effective for the bridge structure. The PVA blocks ensured that shape of the green body was maintained not only during the fabrication process but also until sintering was complete. For more complex suspended shapes, a multi-nozzle printer can be used. A multi-nozzle printer will also be needed for further design freedom in fully dense multi-material structures such as BMO/Ag microwave electronics with a close match in shrinkage and thermal expansion between the materials.
Ceramics are known as materials with high hardness, brittleness, more resistant to high temperatures and sensitive to thermal shocks. However, previous studies report the SLS of different ceramic materials (Sofia, Barletta, and Poletto 2018; Gahler, Heinrich, and Günster 2006; Bertrand et al. 2007; Tian et al. 2010; Tang, Chiu, and Yen 2011; Basile et al. 2012; Sofia et al. 2015). Thus, SLS can potentially be considered as an alternative to produce multi-material parts through heat treating each material optimally. The greater utilisation of SLS process for ceramics is yet to come.

Dielectric properties of BMO samples were initially measured between 8–12 GHz in a WR90 waveguide (22.86 × 10.16 mm²). As shown in Figure 7, εᵣ increases from ∼10 to 29 after sintering while tan δ decrease from about 0.05 to 0.01. However, to achieve the waveguide dimensions, samples were manually ground and marginally smaller than the cavity, resulting a lower εᵣ and parasitic losses from the waveguide/ceramic interface. A TE₀₁₀ dielectric resonator method was thus employed which gave εᵣ = 33.81 and tan δ = 0.0004, values considerably closer to the bulk ceramic (Zhou et al. 2009). This technique can only measure the dielectric properties at one resonant frequency but is more

**Figure 7.** SEM images of the surface microstructure of BMO samples sintered at 645°C for 2, 3, 4, and 5 h with 13.59% ±1.41, 11.15% ±0.5, 5.5% ±0.3 and 3.8% ±0.18 porosity, respectively, (e) Cross section SEM image of the sintered sample at 645°C for 4 h.

**Table 1.** Properties of SLB/sintered BMO (three samples of 24 × 22 × 3 mm sintered at 645°C for 4 h).

| Compress. Strength (MPa) | Density (g/cm³) | Total Porosity (%) | Shrinkage (%) | Length (μm) | Width (μm) | Height (μm) |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 4097 ± 59.5             | 6.25 ± 2.0     | 5.5 ± 0.74         | 15 ± 0.84     | 14 ± 0.65   | 3.22 ± 0.18|
likely to represent the true MW properties. Comparison of the results acquired by both measurements techniques at 8 GHz is shown in Table 2.

BMO is reported to have \( \varepsilon_r = 38 \) (Zhou et al. 2008, 2009; Liu et al. 2010) for phase pure samples with >98% density. The disparity between reported and measured values is attributed to the presence of minor secondary phases and a greater volume faction of porosity, each of which drive \( \varepsilon_r \) to be lower than BMO bulk ceramics (Zhou et al. 2009). Further studies are underway to improve properties but we note that 3D printed SLB BMO has 3–4 orders of magnitude lower tan \( \delta \) at 10 GHz than
any known polymer used in AM and an order of magnitude greater $\varepsilon_r$, opening up new design space for miniaturised high frequency RF devices.

Previous research has shown that multi-material structures with Ag floating electrodes in a polymer dielectric host (polyester polyactic acid) are promising for metamaterial applications (Zhang, Whittow, and Vardaxoglou 2017). Ag floating electrodes are used to tailor the effective $\varepsilon_r$ and therefore, the feasibility of printing BMO with embedded metallic floating electrodes was investigated. BMO slurry and Ag paste were used to print the host dielectric and metallic electrodes onto Al$_2$O$_3$ substrates layer by layer, Figure 8(a,b). BMO layers were scanned by the laser (SLB) after deposition to ensure the structural integrity and promoted bonding between the particles followed by the Ag deposition within the described cavities.

Figure 8(c) shows an optical image of a sintered Ag electrode embedded in the BMO matrix without using SLB which reveals an interaction layer (dark contrast) surrounding the electrode, but by applying the SLB process (Figure 8(d)) this interaction layer is greatly reduced. Figure 8(e) is an SEM image overlaid with an EDS elemental map showing the Ag content (green colour) in the interaction layer. Figure 8(f) is an SEM image of the ceramic surface near the interface between the Ag/BMO in which EDS analysis has identified the regions of AgBi(MoO$_4$)$_2$ phases which has formed during the reaction of Ag with the BMO. AgBi(MoO$_4$)$_2$ is known to have a lower sintering temperature than the matrix phase and hence has the appearance of a phase that was molten at the sintering temperature. Cooling to room temperature has resulted in crystallization of the AgBi(MoO$_4$)$_2$ and the formation of local microcracks.

Although limited through the use of SLB (Figure 9), even the partial reaction of BMO with Ag may well limit this combination of components being used commercially. However, there are a number of low sintering temperature compounds that can be utilised within a slurry that do not react with Ag (Zhou et al. 2017a, 2017b, 2018), thereby eliminating this problem in further iterations in the development of 3D printed RF structures using the SLB.

The BMO dielectric properties are appealing for radio frequency (RF) applications due to its high permittivity, for device miniaturisation, and low loss. A conventional microstrip was designed to demonstrate that the SLB process can form functional devices. For RF circuits 50 Ohms transmission line sections are commonly used to transfer electrical signals around the circuit. The appropriate microstrip line dimensions were calculated using the design equations presented by (Bahl and Garg 1977) assuming a substrate permittivity of 33.81 and a substrate thickness of 3 mm. The calculated characteristic impedance of the transmission line was 48.6 Ohms. A BMO substrate (20 mm $\times$ 20 mm $\times$ 3 mm) was prepared by the SLB process with a printed Ag transmission line (0.5 mm wide and 0.2 mm high). Copper tape acted as the ground plane, SMA connectors were mounted with super glue and a highly conductive silver ink ($\sigma = 3.33 \times 10^6$) was then used to obtain electrical connection between the SMA outer sheath to the copper tape ground plane and the SMA inner signal pin to the silver transmission line. The SMA connectors allow the transmission line performance to be measured by the vector network analyser.

Figure 10(a) illustrates the microstrip design and Figure 10(b) shows the final device. There were no signs of delamination between the microstrip and substrate. The transmitted power ($S_{21}$) and the reflected power ($S_{11}$) measured by the VNA was compared to the results from an electromagnetic simulation in CST Studio (Figure 10(c,d)). The $S_{21}$ parameter displays the proportional power in decibels propagated for one connector to the other whilst the $S_{11}$ parameter displays the proportional power in decibels reflected back to the same connector that launched the signal. As can be seen the curves are in agreement and follow a similar trend. As frequency increases reflections in the line also increase and the transmitted power is reduced. At 4.4 GHz a quarter of the wavelength is approximately equal to the substrate thickness which causes unwanted higher order electromagnetic modes to propagate inside the substrate. The frequency at which other modes start to propagate can be calculated by (Transmission line design 1917):

$$f_c = \frac{c}{4h\sqrt{\varepsilon_r} - 1}$$

Where $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum ($3 \times 10^8$), $h$ is the substrate thickness and $\varepsilon_r$ is the permittivity of the microstrip transmission line substrate.

By reducing substrate thickness or dielectric constant these higher order modes will be suppressed, and the line will be useable at higher frequencies. As stated, this substrate thickness was chosen to ensure a more reliable print of the silver transmission line, but with a finer nozzle or single line print a thinner line could be printed and the substrate thickness reduced. However, this is a proof of concept that 3D printed BMO and silver structures can be used to create electronic circuits.
The BMO transmission line achieves a miniaturisation factor of 4.3 compared to propagation in free space as the high permittivity BMO greatly compresses the electromagnetic waves. An example application would be for compact delay lines or miniaturised microstrip antennas due to high wave compression. Reducing the substrate thickness would increase the usable frequency range of the structure whilst adding BMO on top, making an embedded structure, would further increase miniaturisation benefits. Figure 10(e) shows a different example of 3D printed BMO/Ag i.e. capacitively coupled ring resonator such that Ag was printed onto BMO. As this material can be 3D printed unconventional ceramic resonators can also be produced that cannot be made with conventional means.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a 3D printable material system based on cofired Ag and BMO slurries was developed and processed using SLB to create dense metal-ceramic parts. The SLB process was optimised to achieve near full binder burn-out of the BMO slurries which improved edge definition. Using laser to burn out the binder serves several purposes: (1) The storage modulus of the slurry is high enough to retain the shape of single layers
(only flows slightly as explained above) but not subsequent layers due to the added weight. Removing the binder layer by layer enables 3D shapes to be made. (2) Removes binder from the Ag paste, thereby greatly reducing the interaction between ceramic and Ag. (3) The shrinkage during sintering is greatly reduced,

**Figure 9.** Effect of the SLB process on cracking and interfacial reaction between BMO matrix and embedded Ag electrodes with (a) reduced and, (b,c) full (top and bottom view) SLB process.

**Figure 10.** (a) Side view (schematic) of the microstrip made from BMO (yellow) and Ag (grey), (b) fabricated device (20 mm × 20 mm × 3 mm), (c,d) S21 and S11 simulations and measurements results for the fabricated device, respectively, (e) slightly more complex Ag design i.e. a ring resonator printed onto BMO.
which will benefit later complex designs by reducing cracking. (4) Selective partial removal of the binder can tailor the sintering shrinkage so each material in a multi-material samples will shrink equally.

Sintering BMO for 4 h at 645°C resulted in parts with ~95% theoretical density whilst maintain phase assemblage and good mechanical (compressive strength of 4097 MPa) and dielectric ($\varepsilon_r = 33.8$ and tan $\delta = 0.0004$) properties. SLB samples showed little or no Ag leaching but a partial reaction was observed between Ag and BMO upon sintering (Zhou et al. 2009). A conventional microstrip was fabricated and the results showed that the SLB process can form functional devices. The printing resolution used for this investigation was approximately 500 $\mu$m resulting in resonance around 4 GHz for a microstrip transmission line ($\varepsilon_r = 33.8$), sufficient for many applications. Further optimisation of the process should enable 100 $\mu$m resolution and the substrate dielectric constant can be lowered by design or use of different dielectric material, leading to frequency applications up to approximately 60 GHz. For metamaterials the substrate thickness does not have to be as dominant and the frequency limit could be 100 GHz.

Thus, this study presents the first step towards full 3D design freedom for co-fired, printed ceramic/metal architectures for the communications and electronics communities. It is envisaged that the use of SLB alongside ultra-low temperature sintering dielectrics will enable the direct fabrication of antennas, artificial dielectrics with variable $\varepsilon_r$ and 3D metamaterial components for RF and microwave communication with fully integrated feedlines.
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