Field Evaluation of Novel Fungicides against Late Blight of Potato caused by *Phytophthora infestans*
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**ABSTRACT**

The present field evaluation study was conducted at Agriculture Research Station, Gunjевu, Hassan, Karnataka, India during September 2109 to evaluate novel fungicides against late blight disease caused by *Phytophthora infestans*. Four novel combi-product fungicides were included along with the other six well known fungicides which were proven under field condition against late blight disease of potato. Among ten different fungicides, Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% (60%WG) found to be highly effective in managing late blight of potato with least percent disease severity of 18.00 per cent with highest yield of 15.13 t/ha. Followed by Dimethomorph 50% WP recorded 24.368 Per cent of disease severity with 14.70 t/ha of yield. Whereas, the control treatment recorded 94.04% disease severity with lowest yield of 7.80 t/ha.
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**Introduction**

Potato, popularly known as the King of Vegetables” is a starchy, tuberous crop (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), belonging to family Solanaceae. It originated from South America, in the mountains of southern Peru and Bolivia. It is the world's fourth-largest food crop, following rice, wheat, and maize. Potato is a valuable source of minerals such as potassium, magnesium and phosphorus and also of dietary antioxidants. A high content of vitamin enhances iron absorption, and high vitamin C / high iron potato varieties could significantly contribute to achieve the daily vitamin C and iron requirements (Lutaladlo and Castaldi, 2009).

More than 175 diseases/disorders of potato have been short listed by Wellman (1972). Shekhawat (1990) reported about 24 disease or disorders of potato from India. Potato crop is sensitive to many biotic and abiotic stresses and affected by many pests and pathogens (such as fungi, viruses, bacteria and nematode and several insects) which act as main constraints in potato cultivation and destabilize the annual production. Among the diseases, late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*), early blight (*Alternaria solani*), bacterial wilt
(Ralstonia solanacearum), potato viruses etc., are major constraints in different areas.

Late blight of potato, caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary, is one of the serious threats to potato growers throughout the world. It is one of the classic examples for pandemic disease of crops. With the introduction of late blight fungus in Europe, staple food of Europeans was destroyed, ultimately causing the devastating famine of history “the Irish famine” in 1845. In India, late blight of potato is one of the important fungal diseases which appear in every year, in serious form. Disease development is favoured by cool, moist weather.

The late blight disease of potato is becoming an endemic disease in Hassan district in recent years. The disease is most commonly managed by the use of fungicides by the farmer's. But now a day’s farmers are not able to save the crop even by spraying the fungicides because, the unscientific use of fungicides such as selection of effective fungicide, time of application, method of application, dose of application and schedule of fungicides.

Hence, scheduling of new fungicides for management of late blight of potato was taken in the present investigation. The best management fungicide schedule methods will be helpful to the potato growing farmers of the region.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was laid out in Agricultural Research Station, Gunjewu, during *Kharif*-2019. The crop was raised with standard cultivation practices of Hassan region. The experiment was performed with Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications and 11 treatments. Entire experimental plot was allowed for natural build of disease on highly susceptible potato cv. Kufri Jyothi. The efficacy novel fungicides against the growth of *P. infestans* tested under *in vitro* conditions were carried to field experiments. The fungicides were tested as 4 sequential sprays at an interval of 7 days. The first spray was carried out as soon as the first symptom of late blight was noticed.

The experimental site was geographically situated in the Southern transitional zone (Zone- 7) of Karnataka and the place, Hassan, considered as ‘hot spot' for late blight of potato is located at 12°N; 76°E; 695 MSL elevations and the average rainfall is around 705 mm/year.

The observations were recorded on germination percentage at 30 and 45DAS. The treatments were imposed by foliar application of fungicides in sequence from 30 DAS at weekly intervals for four times.

The observations were recorded on per cent disease severity before each application during the experimentation period. Observation on disease severity of foliage was recorded by using 1-9 scale as given by CIP (1997) and per cent disease index (PDI) was worked out using formula of wheeler1969.

The vegetative parameters viz., plant height, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant were recorded twice at regular peak growth period, Whereas, the yield parameters were recorded at the end of crop period by selecting five random plants per replicated plot in each treatment and mean yield data per hectare was calculated and expressed in tonnes.

CIP scale for recording the field observation on potato late blight:
The disease severity was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Per cent disease severity} = \frac{\text{Summation of numerical rating}}{\text{No of plants examined} \times \text{Maximum disease score}} \times 100
\]

**Area under disease progress curve (AUDPC)**

Area under disease progress curve is an important parameter being frequently used in analytical epidemiology. This is being used in place of disease severity for crop yield forecasters. AUDPC has advantage as it accounts both for disease severity as well as duration of disease development. Both these factors together are closely (positively) related to amount of damage and crop yield losses.

The pattern of an epidemic in terms of the number of lesions or the amount of disease tissue is given by a curve, that shows that progress of the disease over time in a cropped area is called area under disease progress curve, it was calculated by following formula (Shanner and Finey, 1997),

\[
\text{AUDPC} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_{i+1} + X_i) (t_2 - t_1)}{2}
\]

Xi = Disease severity at time ti
X_{i+1} = Disease severity at time t_{i+1}

n = Number of observation

### Statistical analysis

The data so generated from different experiments was analysed using WASP software developed by ICAR - central coastal Agriculture Research Institute, Goa and the inferences were drawn at one and five per cent probability for the laboratory and field experiments respectively.

### Results and Discussion

Results pertaining to the field evaluation of novel fungicides for scheduling on management of late blight disease revealed that spray of Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% WG proved most potent fungicide which recorded 18.00% of disease severity. Followed by Dimethomorph 50% WP recorded 24.36 per cent disease severity, Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22% SC and Metiram 55% + Pyroclostrobin 5% WG recorded 27.68 and 28.63 per cent disease severity which were on par with each other. Among all the treatments Mandipropamid 23.4%SC and Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% SC recorded 38.52 and 37.87 per cent disease severity were found least significant over other treatments. All the treatments were significantly superior over the control (94.04%) at final observation 70DAP (Table 1, Fig. 1, and Plate 1 and 2).

Similar results are in confirmation with studies of Anjaneya Reddy et al., (2015), studied field experiments at Hogalagere, Kolar to test the efficacy of new fungicides using the cultivar Kufri Jyothi. Among the different fungicides tested, Azoxystrobin 23% SC @ 1 mL per litre is most effective with average Per cent disease index of 13.63 and with the yield of 127.70 q/ha, followed by Fenamidone + Mancozeb -4 g per litre with Per cent disease index of 24.50 and with the yield of 119.05 q/ha. Similar results were reported by Nagaraj et al., (2011) and Amin et al., (2013).
Table.1 Field evaluation on efficacy of different fungicide on disease incidence during *Kharif*-2019

| Treatment Details                  | Dosage (L) | 35DAP  | 42DAP  | 49DAP  | 56DAP  | 63DAP  | 70DAP  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mancozeb 75% WP                   | 2.5g       | 3.30   | 9.59   | 16.79  | 24.34  | 31.41  | 36.15  |
| Mandipropamid 23.4% SC            | 0.8mL      | 3.43   | 5.45   | 13.08  | 20.51  | 27.54  | 38.52  |
| Cymoxanil 8% + Mancozeb 72%       | 3.0g       | 2.00   | 7.98   | 14.28  | 20.18  | 26.05  | 30.25  |
| Dimethomorph 50% WP               | 1.0g       | 1.50   | 11.88  | 16.46  | 21.47  | 26.29  | 24.39  |
| Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%     | 4.0g       | 1.25   | 4.45   | 8.49   | 11.70  | 14.80  | 18.00  |
| Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%  | 1.0mL      | 1.67   | 7.08   | 12.80  | 17.78  | 23.87  | 27.68  |
| Boscalid 25.2% + Pyraclostrobin 2.8% | 2.0g | 2.33   | 12.76  | 18.73  | 24.82  | 28.61  | 30.57  |
| Azoxystratin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% | 1.0mL | 4.10   | 9.60   | 17.32  | 23.56  | 32.74  | 37.87  |
| Metiram 55% + Pyraclostrobin 5%   | 2.1g       | 1.97   | 10.91  | 16.17  | 22.08  | 27.50  | 28.63  |
| Flupicloide 4.44% + Fosetyl-Al 66.6% | 3.0g | 3.22   | 8.83   | 15.38  | 22.32  | 28.91  | 33.26  |
| Untreated Control                 | --         | 5.60   | 15.19  | 34.39  | 53.30  | 72.06  | 94.04  |
| S.Em ±                            | 0.52       | 0.85   | 0.67   | 0.63   | 0.61   | 0.63   |
| CD @ 5%                           | 3.15       | 2.54   | 1.62   | 1.29   | 1.16   | 1.26   |

Note: Figures in the parenthesis are arc sine transformed values
Table.2 Effect of Fungicide Scheduling on AUDPC (Area under disease progress curve) during Kharif-2019

| Treatments                               | 35-42DAP | 42-49 DAP | 49-56 DAP | 56-63DAP | 63-70 DAP | 70-77 DAP |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Mancozeb 75% WP                          | 11.55    | 45.11     | 92.33     | 143.95   | 195.12   | 236.46   |
| Mandipropamid 23.4% SC                    | 11.90    | 30.97     | 64.85     | 117.56   | 168.17   | 231.21   |
| Cymoxanil 8% + Mancozeb 72%              | 7.00     | 34.93     | 77.91     | 120.61   | 161.80   | 197.05   |
| Dimethomorph 50% WP                       | 5.25     | 46.83     | 99.19     | 132.75   | 167.16   | 177.39   |
| Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%            | 4.37     | 19.95     | 45.29     | 70.66    | 92.75    | 114.80   |
| Famoxidone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%         | 5.84     | 30.62     | 69.58     | 107.03   | 145.77   | 180.42   |
| Boccalid 25.2% + Pyraclostrobin 2.8%     | 8.15     | 52.81     | 110.21    | 152.42   | 187.00   | 207.13   |
| Azoxytrobina 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3%    | 14.35    | 47.95     | 94.22     | 143.08   | 197.05   | 247.13   |
| Metiram 55% + Pyraclostrobin 5%          | 6.89     | 45.08     | 94.78     | 133.87   | 173.53   | 196.45   |
| Flupicicolide 4.44% + Fosetyl-Al 66.6%   | 11.27    | 42.17     | 84.73     | 131.95   | 179.30   | 217.59   |
| Untreated Control                         | 19.60    | 72.76     | 173.53    | 306.91   | 438.76   | 581.35   |
| S.Em ±                                   | 0.29     | 0.44      | 0.31      | 0.28     | 0.27     | 0.34     |
| CD @ 5%                                   | 0.85     | 1.31      | 0.92      | 0.84     | 0.81     | 1.01     |

Table.3 Effect of fungicides against late blight of potato on vegetative parameters during Kharif-2019

| Treatments                               | % Emergence | Plant height(cm) | No. of haulms per plant | Number of leaves per plant |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mancozeb 75% WP                          | 30DAP       | 45DAP            | 30DAS                    | 60DAS                     |
| Mandipropamid 23.4% SC                    | 87.19       | 91.24            | 30.46                    | 50.53                     |
| Cymoxanil 8% + Mancozeb 72%              | 89.51       | 92.92            | 25.63                    | 45.63                     |
| Dimethomorph 50% WP                       | 88.35       | 93.70            | 37.00                    | 55.67                     |
| Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%            | 85.36       | 91.99            | 42.33                    | 64.00                     |
| Famoxidone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%         | 90.42       | 96.57            | 44.64                    | 64.66                     |
| Boccalid 25.2% + Pyraclostrobin 2.8%     | 91.44       | 93.73            | 42.33                    | 62.66                     |
| Azoxytrobina 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3%    | 86.88       | 91.69            | 32.83                    | 55.40                     |
| Metiram 55% + Pyraclostrobin 5%          | 82.45       | 95.48            | 22.23                    | 43.56                     |
| Flupicicolide 4.44% + Fosetyl-Al 66.6%   | 85.71       | 92.63            | 41.67                    | 61.66                     |
| Untreated Control                         | 84.05       | 91.83            | 31.63                    | 51.36                     |
| S.Em ±                                   | 0.93        | 1.49             | 2.27                     | 2.60                      |
| CD @ 5%                                   | 2.59        | 1.49             | 2.27                     | 2.60                      | 0.08     | 0.60     | 3.57     | 0.91     |
Table 4 Effect of fungicides against late blight of potato on yield parameters during Kharif-2019

| Treatment Details                        | Number of tubers per plant | Per cent increase over control | Tuber Yield per plot (kg) | Tuber Yield (t/ha) | Per cent increase over control |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Mancozeb 75% WP                          | 4.12                       | 04.00                          | 10.72                     | 10.47             | 34.23                          |
| Mandipropamid 23.4% SC                   | 4.10                       | 03.33                          | 10.16                     | 9.93              | 27.30                          |
| Cymoxanil 8% + Mancozeb 72%             | 4.67                       | 22.33                          | 13.99                     | 13.67             | 75.25                          |
| Dimethomorph 50% WP                      | 6.00                       | 66.66                          | 15.05                     | 14.70             | 88.46                          |
| Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%           | 6.63                       | 87.66                          | 15.49                     | 15.13             | 93.97                          |
| Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%        | 5.33                       | 44.33                          | 14.87                     | 14.53             | 86.28                          |
| Boscalid 25.2% + Pyraclostrobin 2.8%     | 4.33                       | 11.00                          | 13.24                     | 12.93             | 65.76                          |
| Azoxyostrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3%  | 4.10                       | 03.33                          | 10.13                     | 09.90             | 26.92                          |
| Metiram 55% + Pyraclostrobin 5%         | 5.00                       | 33.33                          | 14.33                     | 14.04             | 80.00                          |
| Flupicolide 4.44% + Fosetyl-Al 66.6%     | 4.33                       | 11.00                          | 12.42                     | 12.13             | 55.51                          |
| Untreated Control                        | 4.00                       | 00.00                          | 07.16                     | 07.80             | 00.00                          |
| S.Em ±                                   | 0.63                       | -                              | 0.21                      | 0.23              | -                              |
| CD @ 5%                                   | 1.85                       | -                              | 0.63                      | 0.69              | -                              |
Fig. 1 Graphical representation of efficiency of novel fungicide schedules on disease severity during Kharif-2019

T1- Mancozeb 75% WP  
T2- Mandipropamid 23.4% SC  
T3- Cymoxanil 8% + Mancozeb 72%  
T4- Dimethomorph 50% WP  
T5- Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%  
T6- Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%  
T7- Boscalid 25.2% + Pyraclostrobin 2.8%  
T8- Azoxytocerin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3%  
T9- Metiram 55% + Pyraclostrobin 5%  
T10- Flupicolide 4.44% + Fosetyl-Al 66.6%  
T11- Untreated Control

Fig. 2 Graphical representation of efficiency of different fungicide schedules on AUDPC during Kharif-2019
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**Fig. 3** Graphical representation of influence of different fungicide schedules on tuber yield of Potato during Kharif-2019

**Plate 1** Comparison of treatments T₅, T₄ and T₆ plots with control at 52DAP

- Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% (60% WG)
- Dimethomorph 50% WP
- Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22% (38.6% SC) Control

**Plate 2** Overall view of potato field raised to carried out experiment on testing efficacy new fungicides on potato late blight
Lowest value of AUDPC was documented in Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% WG of 114.80 followed by Dimethomorph 50% WP of 117.39. The recorded AUDPC increased with time, further rate of increasing AUDPC values is highest in followed by treatment nd lowest rate of increase of AUDPC values recorded in and (Table 2 and Fig. 2). These results are in line of work done by Khadka et al., (2016) carried out the field experiments in two consecutive years 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Khajura, Nepal to evaluate the efficacy of eight fungicides viz. Realbet (Dimethomorph 50% WP), Sectin (Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%WG), Indofil M-45 (Mancosce 75% WP), Prtector (Chlorothalonil 70% WP), Galaxy (Metalaxyl 35% WS) and Copper oxychloride for the management of potato late blight, *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont) de Bary. The results shows that lowest AUDPC and rAUDPC was recorded in Realbet (Dimethomorph 50%) and Sectin (Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%WG) among the tested fungicide while highest yield per ha was also recorded in these fungicide.

Treatment Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50%WG recorded maximum plant height of 64.66 cm as compared to all treatments and found on par with Dimethomorph 50% WP (64.00cm) followed by Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22% SC (62.66cm) at 60 DAP.

Maximum number of haulms per potato plant of 7.33 was documented in Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% WG at 60 DAP fond substantially superior with other treatments, followed by Dimethomorph 50% WP of 93.90 found on par with Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22% SC of 93.00, while the minimum number of leaves were observed in control (80.33) (Table 3).

Maximum number of 6.63 tuber per plant was obtained in Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% WG which found on par with Dimethomorph 50% WP (6.00) were highly effective over other. Whereas control plot recorded least number of tubers (4.00) per plant. Maximum tuber yield per hectare was obtained in Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% WG (15.13 t/ha) followed by Dimethomorph 50% WP (14.70 t/ha) found on par with Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22%SC (14.53t/ha) whereas, in control treatment recorded lowest yield of 7.80t/ha and found inferior to all other treatments (Table 4 and Fig. 3). The results are in agreement with findings of Kavya (2016) conducted field study to evaluate different fungicides and result revealed that, the treatment Fenamidone 10% + Mancozeb 50% found to be highly effective in managing late blight of potato with least percent disease severity of 16.67 and highest yield of 28.42 t/ha. Whereas, the control treatment recorded 100% disease severity with lowest yield of 7.12 t/ha. Similar results also obtained by Harisha (2017).
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