Development of a rapid homogeneous immunoassay for detection of rotavirus in stool samples
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Rotavirus is the main pathogen causing acute viral gastroenteritis. Accurate and rapid diagnosis of rotavirus infection is important to determine appropriate treatment, prevention of unnecessary antibiotics use and control of infection spread. In this study, we established a rapid, accurate, and sensitive amplified luminescent proximity homogeneous assay linked immunosorbent assay (AlphaLISA) for detecting rotavirus and evaluated its efficacy in human stool samples. Our results demonstrated that the sensitivity of AlphaLISA (5–8) significantly exceeded that of the immunochromatographic assay (ICA) for rotavirus antigen detection. The intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 2.99–3.85% and 5.27–6.51%, respectively. Furthermore, AlphaLISA was specific for rotavirus and did not cross-react with other common diarrhea viruses. AlphaLISA and real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR, which is considered a gold standard for detecting diarrhea viruses) tests showed consistent results on 235 stool samples, with an overall consistency rate of 97.87% and a kappa value of 0.894 (P < 0.001). The overall consistency rate of ICA compared with RT-qPCR was 95.74%. AlphaLISA showed better consistency with RT-qPCR than the routinely used ICA for rotavirus detection in stool samples. The AlphaLISA method can be used in clinical practice for the rapid, accurate, and sensitive detection of rotavirus infection.
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Introduction

Acute gastroenteritis (AGE) is a relatively common infectious disease that affects hundreds of millions of people worldwide every year, especially in low-income countries. It is one of the leading causes of illness and death in children under 5 years of age (1, 2). Rotavirus is the major cause of acute viral gastroenteritis in infants and young children,
which is transmitted primarily via the fecal-oral route (3). Watery diarrhea, vomiting, headache, fever, and stomachic abdominal cramps are all clinical symptoms of rotavirus illness (4). Rotavirus infection can cause asymptomatic or mild diarrhea in adults, but immunocompromised individuals are particularly susceptible to infection and can suffer from severe diarrhea (5). Patients with gastroenteritis are primarily treated with oral drugs or intravenous fluids. Viral gastroenteritis is usually not treated with antibiotics (6), so accurate and rapid identification of gastroenteritis pathogens could help reduce unnecessary antibiotic use.

Numerous techniques can be used for rotavirus detection, including traditional detection methods, immunological detection methods, and molecular biological detection methods. Traditional detection methods such as virus isolation in cell culture, electron microscopy, and serological tests are difficult and lengthy to operate (7). Immunological detection methods include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technology and immunochromatographic assay (ICA) to detect pathogens through the specific binding of antibodies and antigens. ELISA requires multiple washing steps to remove nonspecifically bound reactants, which is time-consuming (8). The immunochromatographic assay can give detection results in a short time, but has low sensitivity (9). Molecular biological methods such as real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) are highly sensitive and specific, but they require specialized techniques and equipment and take a lot of time, which is not conducive to rapid detection and large-scale screening (10).

This study developed a rotavirus detection method based on the amplified luminescent proximity homogeneous assay linked immunosorbent assay (AlphaLISA), which primarily depends on the interaction between donor microspheres and acceptor microspheres. The surface of donor microspheres has been labeled with streptavidin, which can capture biotinylated antibodies. The acceptor microspheres are then conjugated with detection antibodies. The two microspheres were close when the test antigen was bound to the specific antibody. The photosensitizer on the donor emitted singlet oxygen molecules if irradiated by a 680 nm laser, and the singlet oxygen molecules proliferate and reach the surface of acceptor beads in the proximity of 200 nm. This triggers a chemical reaction and generates a chemiluminescence signal at 615 nm on the surface of the acceptor beads (11). The AlphaLISA has many advantages over conventional detection methods: it is easy to operate, highly sensitive, fast, uses less volume of sample, and has become a highly accurate in-vitro diagnostic tool. In this study, we evaluate this system for rotavirus detection in stool and compare the efficacy of AlphaLISA with conventional detection methods.

Materials and methods

Stool samples

Two hundred and thirty five stool samples were collected from patients with symptoms of acute gastroenteritis from the Fifth Medical Center of PLA General Hospital and Dongfang Hospital in Beijing, between December 2019 and June 2022. Patient ages ranged from 7 days to 91 years (average, 42.9 years); 26 samples (11.1%) were from patients under 5 years of age and 54 samples (23.0%) were from patients who were 65 years of age or older. The fresh stool samples were aliquoted and frozen immediately at −80°C until they were used for the comparative tests (AlphaLISA, RT-qPCR and ICA). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Fifth Medical Center of PLA General Hospital (reference no. ky-2019-1-4) and Dongfang Hospital (reference no. JDF-IRB-2020003501). All samples were obtained with the patient’s consent.

Antigen, antibody, and reagent

Rotavirus antigen (Simian rotavirus SA11) inactivated using gamma irradiation was purchased from Microbiex (Toronto, Canada). Rotavirus antibodies 10R-30C and 10R-30E were purchased from Fitzgerald (North Acton, MA, USA). Unconjugated Eu-acceptor beads, streptavidin-coated donor beads, 1/2 AreaPlateTM-96 well plate, and 10× AlphaLISA immunoassay buffer were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, USA). EZ-Link® Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotinylation Kit was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). NaBH3CN and carboxymethoxylamine were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

AlphaLISA test

Three microliter biotin (10 mM) was added to 100 μg of the rotavirus antibody (10R-30E) solution. After 1 h of incubation at room temperature, the excess biotin was removed by a Zeba Spin Desalting Column. Conjugation of the rotavirus antibody (10R-30C) to acceptor beads was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions as previously described (12).

Five milligram stool samples were weighed and placed in 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes 0.1 ml PBS (pH 7.4) was added to make a 0.5% (w/v) suspension. This
RT-qPCR test

Since most infection from human rotavirus is caused by group A viruses, group A rotavirus nonstructural protein 3 gene was tested by RT-qPCR. The total RNA of stool samples was extracted using a QiAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). RT-qPCR was performed for each sample using AgPath-ID Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). RT-qPCR was performed on a ViiA 7 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The cycling conditions were 45°C for 10 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 45 s. The result was considered positive when the cycle threshold (Ct) value was ≤ 38 and was considered negative when it was > 38. Fourteen stool samples were re-tested using Rotavirus (Group A) Nucleic Acid Assay Kit (Shanghai Liferiver Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), and reverse transcription, amplification, and detection were conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

ICA test

A Rotavirus Antigen Assay Kit (Guangzhou Wondfo Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) was used for the immunochromatographic assay. Ten milligram or 50 µl of stool samples were collected and mixed with the sample diluent. Two to three drops (about 60 µl to 80 µl) of the sample solution were added to the sample loading area. After 10 min, the result was considered positive if one line was observed in the control area (C) and another line was observed in the test area (T).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SD. The student’s t-test was used to compare two groups. The ROC curve, area under the ROC curve (AUC), cutoff value, and kappa coefficient were calculated using the statistical analysis software SPSS 22.0. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Optimal concentration of biotinylated antibodies, acceptor-conjugated antibody beads, and streptavidin-coated donor beads

Optimal concentrations of biotinylated antibodies would be determined first. The concentration of the biotinylated antibodies varied (0.075, 0.15, and 0.30 µM) while we kept the acceptor-conjugated antibody beads and streptavidin-coated donor beads constant to ensure optimal performance when detecting rotavirus. Results of this assay (Figure 1A) showed that using 0.15 µM of biotinylated antibodies produced the largest S/N ratios, regardless of the antigen concentration. Therefore, 0.15 µM was chosen for subsequent AlphaLISA assay.

The concentration of acceptor beads and streptavidin-coated donor beads was essential for the immunoassay sensitivity and linear range. On the one hand, an excessive amount of chemibeads would provide more opportunities for random collisions between the acceptor beads and donor beads, which increases the background signal and decreases sensitivity. On the other hand, an extremely low amount of chemibeads would decrease the signal and affect the sensitivity of the analysis (14). Therefore, in this assay, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml antibodies labeled acceptor beads and 20, 40, and 80 µg/ml streptavidin-coated donor beads were separately tested to determine the optimum concentration for the AlphaLISA experiment. After
Optimization of the AlphaLISA experiment. The effect of a single variable on AlphaLISA S/N was examined by making the other factors constant. (A) Effect of the concentration of biotinylated antibodies (µM); (B) Effect of the concentration of antibody labeled acceptor beads (µg/ml); (C) Effect of the concentration of streptavidin-coated donor beads (µg/ml). Low: the low concentration of rotavirus antigen (dilution ratio: $5^{-7}$); Middle: the middle concentration of rotavirus antigen (dilution ratio: $5^{-5}$); High: the high concentration of rotavirus antigen (dilution ratio: $5^{-3}$). Mean values from 3 trials are plotted, with error bars denoting the standard deviation.

Considering both S/N and sensitivity, the optimal concentration of acceptor beads was 50 µg/ml (Figure 1B). Similarly, the optimal concentration of streptavidin-coated donor beads was 40 µg/ml (Figure 1C).

Sensitivity and repeatability of detection

Rotavirus antigen was tested using AlphaLISA and ICA, to compared the sensitivity of the two methods. AlphaLISA could detect rotavirus antigens at a dilution of 1: $5^8$, whereas ICA could only detect rotavirus antigens in 1: $5^4$ dilutions (Figure 2). Therefore, the sensitivity of AlphaLISA significantly exceeded that of ICA. The repeatability experiment showed that, for AlphaLISA, the intra-assay CV was 2.99-3.85%, and the inter-assay CV was 5.27-6.51% (Table 1). This indicates that the AlphaLISA had sufficient repeatability.

Optimization of sample pretreatment conditions

Due to the complexity and heterogeneity of the stool samples, they often must be pretreated to remove interfering substances that might be present. We prepared sample suspensions at different concentrations (1, 0.5, and 0.25%) to evaluate the influence of sample dilution on detection. To avoid false-positive results and ensure a high S/N ratio in the positive
TABLE 1 Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV).

| Antigen dilution ratio | Intra-assay (n = 12) | Inter-assay (n = 36) |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                        | Mean ± SD            | CV (%)               |
|                        |                      |                      |
| 5−7                    | 12805.25 ± 493.48    | 3.85                 |
| 5−3                    | 1930305.08 ± 57758.57| 2.99                 |
|                        | 12253.03 ± 797.85    | 6.51                 |
|                        | 1884488.69 ± 99378.86| 5.27                 |

Optimal cutoff value

ROC was plotted based on 235 stool samples, and RT-qPCR is considered the gold standard for detecting rotavirus. The AUC was 0.974 ($P < 0.001$, Figure 4). An AUC > 0.95 typically indicates a very high diagnostic value for a test. Therefore, we chose a cutoff value of 4.9142 on the ROC based on the optimal sensitivity and specificity (Figure 4). Samples with S/N ratio ≥ 4.9142 are considered rotavirus positive, while samples with S/N ratio < 4.9142 are considered rotavirus negative.

Cross-reactivity of AlphaLISA

In addition to rotavirus, other viruses can cause acute gastroenteritis (15–17). For the cross-reactivity evaluation of the detection method used in this study, stool samples with rotavirus, adenovirus, astrovirus, norovirus genogroup I, and norovirus genogroup II were tested using the AlphaLISA method. Triplicate samples and negative controls were set in this assay, and the cutoff value was used to classify them as negative or positive. Results (Figure 5) showed that AlphaLISA could accurately distinguish rotavirus from other diarrhea viruses.

Comparison of AlphaLISA, ICA, and RT-qPCR for detecting rotavirus in clinical stool samples

Two hundred and thirty five stool samples were tested using AlphaLISA, RT-qPCR, and ICA. The comparative results of AlphaLISA, ICA, and RT-qPCR for detecting rotavirus were shown in Table 2. The overall agreement rates between
Comparison of the AlphaLISA and RT-qPCR tests showed that the results agreed with each other (Kappa > 0.75). However, AlphaLISA yielded four false-positive results (for which RT-qPCR and ICA yielded negative results). This suggested that AlphaLISA might provide false-positive results. One stool sample showed negative results using the AlphaLISA and ICA methods but positive results using RT-qPCR. The Ct value of this sample was 36.89 (Table 3). A low viral load in this sample could be the reason for negative results with AlphaLISA and ICA. In contrast, ICA yielded six false-positive results (RT-qPCR and AlphaLISA yielded negative results) and four false-negative results compared to RT-qPCR, showing that AlphaLISA was more accurate than routine ICA methods for rotavirus detection. Additionally, we found that AlphaLISA was less consistent with ICA, with the weighted kappa coefficient of 0.732 and the asymptotic 95% confidence interval of 0.593–0.871. The overall agreement, positive agreement, and negative agreement of AlphaLISA compared with ICA were 94.47, 77.78, and 96.63%, respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Discussion

Rotaviruses is the major pathogen cause of acute viral gastroenteritis in infants and young children worldwide, producing a significant disease burden. There is also an extensive literature linking rotavirus to gastroenteritis in adults throughout the world (18). Acute gastroenteritis due to rotavirus can lead to vomiting and watery diarrhea, which in turn causes body fluid loss leading to dehydration and...
| Sample number | RT-qPCR | AlphaLISA | ICA |
|---------------|---------|-----------|-----|
|               | Ct      | Results   | S/N | Results | Picture | Results |
| 1             | 16.50   | P         | 2972.18 | P | ![Image](image1) | P |
| 2             | 16.52   | P         | 3228.74 | P | ![Image](image2) | P |
| 3             | 17.14   | P         | 3349.40 | P | ![Image](image3) | P |
| 4             | 17.69   | P         | 2624.43 | P | ![Image](image4) | P |
| 5             | 19.5    | P         | 2874.82 | P | ![Image](image5) | P |
| 6             | 19.88   | P         | 1356.93 | P | ![Image](image6) | P |
| 7             | 19.94   | P         | 2152.41 | P | ![Image](image7) | P |
| 8             | 20.95   | P         | 1957.64 | P | ![Image](image8) | P |
| 9             | 21.22   | P         | 1338.63 | P | ![Image](image9) | P |
| 10            | 21.48   | P         | 2985.35 | P | ![Image](image10) | P |
| 11            | 21.80   | P         | 1714.18 | P | ![Image](image11) | P |
| 12            | 21.97   | P         | 3183.47 | P | ![Image](image12) | P |
| 13            | 22.86   | P         | 2978.86 | P | ![Image](image13) | P |
| 14            | 23.13   | P         | 3206.75 | P | ![Image](image14) | P |
| 15            | 25.91   | P         | 998.82  | P | ![Image](image15) | P |
| 16            | 25.93   | P         | 1011.61 | P | ![Image](image16) | P |
| 17            | 31.73   | P         | 7.49    | P | ![Image](image17) | P |
| 18            | 32.28   | P         | 203.46  | P | ![Image](image18) | P |
| 19            | 32.71   | P         | 161.93  | P | ![Image](image19) | P |
| 20            | 33.01   | P         | 140.19  | P | ![Image](image20) | P |
| 21            | 33.18   | P         | 39.09   | P | ![Image](image21) | P |
| 22            | 34.68   | P         | 14.38   | P | ![Image](image22) | N |
| 23            | 35.06   | P         | 5.14    | P | ![Image](image23) | N |
| 24            | 35.98   | P         | 7.31    | P | ![Image](image24) | N |
| 25            | 36.89   | P         | 1.03    | N | ![Image](image25) | N |

P, Positive; N, Negative.
affects patient's quality of life seriously. Although the rotavirus incidence has dramatically declined with vaccination among high-income countries, the number of diarrhea and rotavirus deaths remains high in low-income populations with poor access to safe water, sanitation, and urgent medical care in developing countries (1–3). Hence, in order to enable rapid confirmation of acute gastroenteritis pathogens, a rapid and sensitive detection method is still a major concern for monitoring rotavirus outbreaks.

AlphaLISA is a homogeneous immunoassay with high sensitivity which have no need for any separation/washing steps but 5 μl sample and a two-step mixed reaction for detection (19–21). Currently, AlphaLISA has been used for the detection of a wide variety of analytes from proteins to peptides and other small molecules. And it has been extensively used for the detection of several infectious viruses, namely, Hepatitis B virus in human serum and African swine fever virus in porcine serum with high sensitivity and specificity (19, 22). In this study, AlphaLISA method was developed for rapid and sensitive detection of rotavirus.

Numerous AlphaLISA assays have been reported in a variety of sample types ranging from cell lysates (23, 24), to serum (25, 26), to food (12, 27). In this study, we showed, for the first time, the applicability of the AlphaLISA technology for the detection of stool samples. The stool suspension samples were pretreated by dilution and centrifugation to remove interfering substances that might be present, and non-specific reactions of the AlphaLISA assay had been greatly reduced. The AlphaLISA method could detect rotavirus well in stool samples.

The sensitivity and specificity of AlphaLISA in detecting rotavirus was lower than the RT-qPCR method, since the molecular biological methods were considered more sensitive than the immunological method and the RT-qPCR test is considered a gold standard for detecting diarrhea viruses (28, 29). For patients with acute gastroenteritis, the RT-qPCR method is time-consuming as it requires 3 to 4 h to conduct and get the test results. AlphaLISA was a rapid and homogeneous immunoassay which could test rotavirus in 30 min. It could be used as a novel potential on-site rapid detection method and showed better consistency with RT-qPCR than routine ICA methods for rotavirus detection. Compared to convenient operation of ICA, AlphaLISA method still requires manual operation and specific laboratory instruments. But AlphaLISA method is performed according to simple “mix-and-measure” protocols, which is ideally suited for miniaturization and automation. Miniaturization, automated instrumentation will enable this method to be used for point-of-care-testing (POCT) of rotavirus infection. In addition, the method can be used to test up to 384 samples simultaneously by using 384-well plates to increase throughput, reduce reagent consumption. Using portable instruments and reducing reagent costs will facilitate the commercialization and wide application of AlphaLISA for rotavirus detection. Before that larger-scale and multicenter clinical specimens test should be conducted to further validate the commercial utility of AlphaLISA.

In conclusion, the AlphaLISA method developed in this study have high sensitivity and specificity in detection of rotavirus, with short turnaround time (30 min), high reproducibility, and high consistency of detection results to the RT-qPCR method. Therefore, AlphaLISA could be a useful screening tool for rapidly and accurately diagnosing rotavirus infection during viral outbreaks.
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