Inverse Analysis of Concrete Meso-constitutive Model Parameters Considering Aggregate Size Effect

Abstract: The meso numerical simulation has become an important method to study the characteristics of materials; however, the key to its further application is determining the parameters of meso-constitutive model. Considering that the meso-scale parameters of materials are hard to measure, this paper took into account the aggregate size effect and proposed a meso-parameter identification method by combining random aggregate numerical simulation and genetic algorithm. First, a random aggregate model of concrete was established, and its meso-model parameters were analyzed. The Morris method was used to analyze the sensitivity of meso-component parameters to the macro-responses, and results showed that the elastic modulus of mortar matrix, interface and large aggregates had a great effect on the peak strain and that the elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio and tensile strength of interface and mortar matrix, as well as the Poisson's ratio of large aggregates and the elastic modulus of small aggregates all had an effect on the peak stress, among which the interface tensile strength produced the greatest effect. Second, a parametric inversion and optimization function was established. The uniaxial compression numerical simulation test and genetic algorithm were combined to invert the meso-parameters, and results showed that compared with the single-aggregate parameter inversion curve, the multi-aggregate inversion stress-strain curve was much closer to the measured curve. That was because the aggregates of small size had lower elastic modulus, easing the stress concentration at the interface between aggregates and cement stone, and delaying the formation and growth of cracks.
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1 Introduction

The mesoscopic failure characteristics of concrete need to be tested with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) or other advanced experimental equipment, but it usually costs much and the test results are a little discrete. In recent years, with the rapid development of computer technology, using numerical simulation to study the process of material damage and fracture has become a popular trend [1–3], while the first problem to be solved is how to quantitatively determine the meso-parameters of concrete materials.

The concrete aggregates are often millimeter sized, and are hard to measure directly. Generally, their sizes are obtained by processing measured data in a lab, which, however, lacks directivity and purpose. For this reason, many scholars have done a lot of research. Chen Pengyu [4] studied the relationship between macro and meso parameters, and proposed a trial-and-error method to calibrate meso-parameters; Li Shouju [5] used linear regression to obtain the mapping relationship between meso-parameters and macro-responses, which was compared with the measured macro-response optimization to get the estimations of meso-parameters; Han Xiao [6] proposed a meso-mechanical parameter identification method based on the tensile curve of unidirectional ceramic matrix com-
posite materials; Wang Zhiyun [7] established a function of meso-constitutive model parameters based on the macro deformation data of concrete samples to obtain meso-parameters by the minimum difference between the function value and the measured value. Most of the above research attempt to derive meso-parameters by quantifying the relationship between meso-parameters and macro-properties. However in fact, the complex nonlinear relationship is difficult to quantify accurately. Therefore, this paper proposes a meso-parameter identification method based on the finite element calculation and genetic algorithm. In this paper, the numerical simulation was adopted to establish a non-linear relationship between meso-parameters and macro-responses, the genetic algorithm was adopted to optimize macro-responses, thereby inverting meso-parameters, and the meso-parameter setting considered the size effect of aggregates. This study may provide a theoretical basis for the meso-numerical simulation in the subsequent research of materials and structural properties.

2 Theory of Computation

2.1 Random aggregate model and parameterization

The concrete sample showed a random distribution of aggregate particles on its cross-section, with the help of the pseudo-random number generated through the Monte Carlo method [8].

In computer simulation, the most basic random variables were those evenly distributed in the range [0, 1]. The probability density function of $X$ was established as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & x \not\in [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

(1)

The sampling sequence of the random variable $X$ was generated in the computer. Since the random variable in the range [0, 1] was the most basic one, those distributed in other forms can be obtained by its transformation. For example, random variables evenly distributed in the range [a, b] can be obtained by transformation of the formula $X' = a + (b - a)X$.

The Monte Carlo method was used to randomly determine the location of aggregates in different particle size ranges on the sample. The number of aggregate particles was calculated by the concrete grading and aggregate content according to the three-dimensional Fuller grading theory [9] based on the principle of maximum compaction, Figure 1 shows the Fuller curves of aggregates. In the two-dimensional plane, the probability $P_c(D < D_0)$ that Walraven J C [10] converted the three-dimensional Fuller grading curve to any point with the aggregate diameter $D < D_0$ on the cross-section of the sample was:

$$P_c(D < D_0) = P_k \left[ 1.065 \left( \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}} \right)^{0.5} - 0.053 \left( \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}} \right)^4 - 0.012 \left( \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}} \right)^6 - 0.0045 \left( \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}} \right)^8 - 0.0025 \left( \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}} \right)^{10} \right]$$

(2)

Where, $P_k$ represents the percentage of aggregate volume to the total volume of the sample. According to different values, the probability distribution curve was obtained from the formula (2), and thereby, the number of aggregate particles of each size on the cross-section of the sample was determined.

The concrete aggregates were made by crushing, and showed convex in the shape, which can be simplified into irregular polygons. The aggregate surface had an interface. The aggregate and its interface were generated as follows: (1) Determining the number of required aggregates of different sizes according to the aggregate and grading curve; (2) Generating an aggregate random circle and an interface random circle and record their radii; (3) Dividing quadrants within the inner and outer circles, determining the number of corner points in each quadrant, and generating coordinates of each corner point; (4) Connecting all corner points in the inner and outer circles to generate polygons,
and setting the start number of polygons in the outer circle as the total number of aggregates +1.

The deformation of concrete cracks varies from their meso-components. Considering the size effect of aggregates, the concrete aggregates of different particle sizes were differentiated according to their equivalent particle sizes. Here were the specific material parameterization steps: (1) determining the serial number of aggregate materials according to the test results and grading analysis; (2) circulating the generated polygon number [1, (maximum number −1/2)]; (3) judging the particle size of each polygonal aggregate, and assigning the material number and parameter according to the particle size.

2.2 Optimized inversion

Genetic Algorithm (GA) is a computational model that simulates the natural selection of Darwin’s biological evolution theory and the biological evolution of genetic mechanism, and is also a method to search for the optimal solution by simulating the natural evolution [11].

GA optimization is achieved through data transfer between Fortran and Ansys, in which the data format adopts .dat file. ANSYS reads data through APDL language, and Fortran reads data through programming. The specific optimization process is as follows: (1) Setting the population size, number of variables, number of iterations, crossover probability and mutation probability in the Fortran program; (2) FORTRAN generates the initial population under constraint conditions and saves it in the input.dat file; (3) ANSYS reads parameters in the input.dat file and substitutes them into the APDL language to complete the numerical calculation; (4) ANSYS stores stress values extracted from different load segments into the output.dat file; (5) FORTRAN reads the data in the output.dat file, which will be used as the objective function value and fitness value to judge whether the termination condition is met or not; if the termination condition is met, the optimal design variable will be output; if it is not met, perform the step (6); (6) a new initial population is generated through selection, crossover and mutation to overwrite the original initial population, and saved in input.dat file. Repeat steps (3)-(5).

2.3 Morris parameter screening method

Morris method [12] is a discrete search method based on parameter space, which can study the model parameters in a global scope. The standard deviation of sensitivity discriminant factor is used to measure the interaction between parameters. The calculation formula is as follows:

\[
d_i(j) = \frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_i + \Delta, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\Delta}\quad (3)
\]

Where, \(d_i(j)\) is the base effect of the j-th sample of the i-th parameter, \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, R\) (R is the sampling frequency); \(n\) is the number of parameters; \(x_i\) is the i-th parameter; \(\Delta\) is a small change in a single parameter; \(f(\ )\) is the response output of the corresponding parameter group, and takes the values of peak stress and peak strain in the stress-strain curve of the uniaxial compression simulation test in this paper.

To evaluate the sensitivity of parameters, the Morris method was modified so that the independent variable could change with a fixed-step percentage, and the average of multiple Morris coefficients was taken as the final sensitivity, namely:

\[
SN = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(Y_{i+1} - Y_i)/Y_0}{(P_{i+1} - P_i)}/n\quad (4)
\]

Where, \(SN\) is the sensitivity discrimination factor of the meso-parameters of the material; \(Y_i\) is the peak stress or peak strain in the i-th operation based on the random aggregate model; \(Y_{i+1}\) is the peak stress or peak strain in the i+1-th operation based on the random aggregate model; \(Y_0\) is the initial value (peak stress or peak strain) of the calculated result after parameter inversion; \(P_i\) is the percentage of changes in parameters with the inverse initial parameter after the i-th simulation calculation; \(P_{i+1}\) is the percentage of changes in parameters with the inverse initial parameter after the i+1-th simulation calculation; and \(n\) is the number of simulation calculations.

3 Constitutive model and parameters of concrete meso-components

3.1 Constitutive model

From a mesoscopic angle, ordinary concrete is composed of aggregate, cement mortar and interface between the aggregate and the cement mortar. Considering the size effect of different graded aggregates, the concrete features n+1 (n is the number of equivalent aggregate sizes) materials and one interface (see Figure 2). The random aggregate model of concrete includes three elements: aggregate element, mortar element, and interface element.
To simplify the calculation, this paper selected a simpler form for the constitutive relation and failure criterion of meso-component materials. The constitutive model used a linear elastic model, and the failure criterion used the first strength theory, that is, when the maximum tensile stress of the material exceeds the ultimate tensile strength, the material will crack.

### 3.2 Calculation parameters and sensitivity analysis

The calculation parameters in the linear elastic constitutive model include elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio. The ultimate tensile strength needs to be known for the first strength theory that is used to judge whether the meso-component material damages or not.

Concrete aggregates are generally crushed stone or pebbles. Existing aggregate parameters through meso numerical simulation are often obtained based on lab physical tests or engineering analogies of standard test blocks. A large number of tests at home and abroad have shown that [13, 14], with the increase of aggregate particles, the elastic modulus decreases, the strength increases, and the Poisson’s ratio changes insignificantly. Combined with the generation of random aggregates, it is deemed that the parameters in each particle size range are the same, which is obtained by inversion. For example, if an aggregate is second graded, aggregates in the 10-20mm range have the same elastic modulus and allowable tensile strength based on their equivalent particle size, while in the 20-40mm range, the elastic modulus of aggregates is the same as their allowable tensile strength.

The porosity formed near the surface of the aggregate is higher than that on the interfacial transition zone (ITZ) of the mortar [15]. Because the cause and composition of ITZ is very complicated, the ITZ performance parameters obtained through the test method cannot be truly reflected in the composition of the concrete [16]. Therefore, its mechanical parameters are mostly based on assumptions and experience. Assuming that the interface is a uniform material, the effective elastic modulus of the interface is related to its volume fraction, and the interface is related to the ratio of the elastic modulus of the matrix. Yang [17] combined the three-phase model, and concluded that when the interface is 40um thick, the ITZ elastic modulus is 50%–70% of the matrix. According to the Literature [18], the interface tensile bond strength is about 1/3 of the mortar tensile strength.

Results of the multi-parameter inversion depend on the selection of parameters and their initial values. Through the quantitative analysis of the sensitivity of meso-parameters to macro-responses, the parameter identification is realized to improve the quantification of the meso-parameters. This paper adopted the numerical simulation for uniaxial compression test to survey the sensitivity of the parameters to the macro-responses (peak stress and peak strain) by changing a meso-parameter with other parameters unchanged. The numerical simulation test was made with reference to the lab uniaxial compression test of Ertan Arch Dam concrete in the Literature [19]. The concrete mix ratio is shown in Table 1. The cement uses 525# Emei Dam cement (28d compressive strength: 59.9MPa), both coarse and fine aggregates use syenite (wet compressive strength: 173MPa), and the sample size is 100mm×100mm. See the model in Figure 2.

According to the stress-strain curve measured by the test, the peak compressive stress is 29.45MPa and the peak strain 0.00188.

In order to truly and wholly reflect the sample failure, the end displacement control loading method was used during the numerical simulation analysis. According to the
Table 1: Concrete mix proportion

| Cement grade | Water | Cement | Fly ash | Artificial sand | Crushed stone 5-20mm | Crushed stone 20-40mm | 0.2% sodium lignosulphonate |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 525          | 180.3 | 280.6  | 112.7   | 736.4           | 530.2                 | 530.2                  | 0.75                         |

Table 2: Parameters of material micro components

| Material Science | Tensile strength / MPa | Poisson's ratio | Initial value of elastic modulus / GPa |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Cement mortar    | 4-6                    | 0.16-0.18       | 13-25                                 |
| Interface        | 1-3                    | 0.16-0.18       | 10-12.5                               |
| 5-20mm aggregate | 5-10                   | 0.2-0.24        | 22-40                                 |
| 20-40mm aggregate| 5-10                   | 0.2-0.24        | 33-50                                 |

maximum deformation of the sample in a physical test, it was divided into 31 displacement (load) steps to load one by one. The boundary conditions were fully constrained at the bottom, and free at the top. See the Literature [20–22]. The initial parameters for numerical simulation are shown in Table 2.

The sensitivity analysis requires sampling of parameters according to the Principles of Statistics. This paper adopted the Morris sampling method. 12 parameters were repeatedly sampled 40 times to obtain 480 groups of parameter samples. A mesoscopic uniaxial compression test was carried out to simulate these samples. The Morris method was used to analyze and obtain the sensitivity ranking of 12 parameters, as shown in Figure 3.

It can be seen from Figure 3 that the elastic modulus of mortar matrix, interface and large aggregates have a large sensitivity to the peak strain, with the sensitivity parameters ranked as $E_s > E_i > E_d$; and all parameters of the interface and the mortar matrix, the Poisson's ratio of large aggregates and the elastic modulus of small aggregates all have an effect on the peak stress, and the biggest effect is generated by the allowable tensile strength of the interface. This is because cracking starts from the interface. In this paper, 9 parameters with greater effect (except for the tensile strengths $f_d$, $f_x$ of large and small aggregates and the Poisson's ratio $v_x$ of small aggregates) were selected and inverted.

4 Inverse analysis of cubic sample

Similarly, the lab uniaxial compression test of Ertan Arch Dam was simulated to set up comparison of working conditions of single-aggregate inversion for other meso numerical simulation methods. The numerical simulation parameters of each working condition are shown in Table 3.

Note: $E$, $v$, $f$ represent elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio and tensile strength respectively; the subscript $s$ represents mortar; $i$ represents interface; $d$ represents large aggregates, and $x$ represents small aggregates.

Figure 3: Sensitivity analysis of parameters

Figure 4: Comparison of stress-strain curve
Table 3: Inversion results of material micro components

| Calculation condition | Material Science       | Tensile strength / MPa | Poisson’s ratio | Initial Value of elastic modulus / GPa |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
| Multiaggregate inversion | Cement mortar          | 5.0                    | 0.18            | 13                                     |
|                       | Interface              | 2.4                    | 0.16            | 10                                     |
|                       | 5-20mm aggregate       | 10                     | 0.24            | 22                                     |
|                       | 20-40mm aggregate      | 8                      | 0.24            | 30                                     |
| Single aggregate inversion | Cement mortar         | 5.1                    | 0.18            | 16                                     |
|                       | Interface              | 3.6                    | 0.18            | 10                                     |
|                       | aggregate              | 8                      | 0.24            | 26                                     |

Due to the use of displacement control loading, the strain in the lab uniaxial compression test was controlled by the load step, and accordingly the stress value was measured. The objective function of the inverse analysis is:

$$\min J = \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\sigma_s^k - \sigma_e^k]^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where, $N$ is the total number of load steps, and 31 is taken here; the first 6 steps in the elastic stage are 0.002 long, and the other steps are 0.0003 long; $\sigma_s^k$ is the simulated stress value of the k-th load step, and $\sigma_e^k$ is the measured stress value of the k-th load step.

The maximum number of genetic iterations is 30, the number of populations is 9, the crossover probability is 0.9, and the mutation probability is 0.01. The comparison between the stress-strain curve of numerical inversion and the actual measurement is shown in Figure 4, and horizontal displacement after six steps loading of the lab uniaxial compression test is shown in Figure 5.

According to the figure, (1) the measured data and the multi-aggregate inversion stress-strain curve are consistent in the peak range, but differ greatly in the ascent stage. This is because the random aggregate model has a large mesh and the simulated cracks are larger than the actual cracks of the sample, which needs to be improved in the subsequent research; (2) whether the aggregate is single or not significantly affects the descent stage of the concrete stress-strain curve. The descent stage of the multi-aggregate stress-strain curve is flatter than that of the single-aggregate stress-strain curve. This may be because the small-sized aggregates have a lower elastic modulus, easing the stress concentration at the interface between aggregates and cement stone, and delaying the formation and growth of cracks [24].

5 Conclusions

Due to the limited test methods, this paper proposed a meso-parameter identification method. First, the meso-
components of concrete were analyzed; the uniaxial compression test was simulated; and the Morris method was used to analyze the sensitivity of meso-component parameters to the macro-responses. Second, coupled with the sensitivity index and based on the combination of finite element method and genetic algorithm, an optimization function was established using the measured results and the simulated results, and the inversion of the meso-parameters showed that:

1. According to the meso-parameter sensitivity analysis based on the relationship between the meso-parameters and the macro-responses, the elastic modulus of mortar matrix, interface and large aggregates had a great effect on the peak strain, and all parameters of the interface and the mortar matrix, the Poisson’s ratio of large aggregates and the elastic modulus of small aggregates all had an effect on the peak stress, among which the allowable tensile strength of the interface produced the greatest effect.

2. The multi-aggregate inversion stress-strain curve was closer to the measured curve than the single-aggregate parameter inversion curve, because the elastic modulus of small-sized aggregates was lower, easing the stress concentration at the interface between aggregates and cement stone, and delaying the formation and growth of cracks.

3. The difference between the numerical simulation and the measured stress-strain curve in the ascent stage mainly lay in that the cracks simulated through the random aggregate model were larger than the actual ones. It needs to be improved in the subsequent research.
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