Exogenous application of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticle affects wheat root development
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Abstract

**Background:** Nanoparticle causes soil pollution, which affected plant development and then resulted in biomass decreased, especially in crops. However, little is known how sodium nanoparticles affect wheat root development at plant physiological level.

**Results:** We used NaBiF$_4$ (size of 50–100 nm) to analyze the effect in wheat development at plant physiological level. Under exogenous application of 50 $\mu$M NaBiF$_4$ for treatment, wheat root elongation was inhibited, but fresh weight and dry weight were increased. We also found that NaBiF$_4$ induced that the plant had lower content of sodium than negative control. Used no-sodium nanoparticle of BiF$_3$ for another negative control, it was also supported that NaBiF$_4$ entered into cell to replace of sodium and exported sodium out of plant. These results implied NaBiF$_4$ might induce sodium export to maintain the balance between sodium and potassium elements. Additionally, metabolism analysis demonstrated that SOD activity was increased, but CAT and POD activity reduced under exogenous treatment of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles.

**Conclusions:** Sodium nanoparticles (NaBiF$_4$) inhibited plant development by nanoparticle accumulation and sodium homeostasis broken, and then involved reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling system response. These results provided more sights of sodium nanoparticle effect in plant development.
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Background

In the past several decades, the world’s population has been increased year by year. And cereal production similarly increased from 1.2 billion tons in 1969 to 2.8 billion tons in 2014 (FAOSTAT 1. data). Environmental factors play an essential role in crop plants development, such as temperature, light, drought, soil quality, nutrition, nanoparticles and so on. Environmental pollution, especially in soil, caused the crops production reduced due to affect root activity and impeded substance transport activity.

Many nanoparticles contribute to their promising suitability for solar cells, drug delivery, temperature sensors, indoor illumination, and field emission displays. Once nanoparticle is taken in through root pathway, it resulted in beneficial or opposite effect in plant development. Until now, several nanoparticles have been reported on the interactions with the plants, including carbonaceous nanomaterials (fullerenes and nanotubes), metal oxides, zero-valent metals, nanopolymers, QDs and other NPs (Ni(OH)$_2$ and NaYF$_4$) [1–8]. Actually, nanoparticles are different with nutrition, which are
assimilated by root as anion or cation type. Base on the nanoparticle physical characteristic of composition, size, concentration and coating of nanoparticle, it plays different roles. To some degree, high concentrations or low concentrations of nanoparticles have opposite functions in plant development, as inhibited or promoted plants, respectively. Nevertheless, magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ even at the concentration of 2 mM does not cause serious injury in pumpkin (*Cucurbita maxima*) \[9\]. These positive effects of nanomaterials on plants were mainly reported for Au or Ag nanoparticles, Cu nanoparticles, Al related nanoparticles, TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, CeO$_2$ nanoparticles, SiO$_2$ nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes [10–15].

Always, most of high concentrations of the nanoparticles caused phytotoxicity by toxic ions, cell or tissue damage, production of excess ROS, catalytic reactions [16–20]. To detect nanoparticles in the plant tissues, there are several different detection mechanisms of nanoparticles, such as fluorescence signaling, QDs, in situ analysis, nanoparticles color and so on [21]. Until now, little is known how nanoparticle affects crop plant development at metabolism level.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important crop plants in the world, which supports the 1/3 of the food for human. Previously, it was reported that TiO$_2$ nanoparticles with diameters ranging from 14 nm to 655 nm, were accumulated in wheat root. And TiO$_2$ nanoparticles did not affect wheat seed germination, biomass and transpiration [22]. As the nanoparticles enter into plant cell, there are several different pathways for transport, such as: vascular system, membrane system, plasmodesmata system and so on. Base on the size of pathway in vascular, membrane, plasmodesmata or other system, we found nanoparticle size from 50 to 100 nm.
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**Fig. 1** Effect of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticle in wheat development at seedling stage. a Images of wheat plants grown in MS0 medium with various concentrations of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles at 10 DAG. Bar = 1 cm. b Primary root length as a function of DAG. c Fresh weight treated with different NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles at 10 DAG. d Dry weight treated with different NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles at 10 DAG. Error bars represent standard error for at least 5 samples. Values in the same column with different letters are significantly different (*P* < 0.05).
only depended on membrane. Previously, we used \( \text{NaBiF}_4 \) and \( \text{BiF}_3 \) for analysis the roles in rice root development [23, 24]. We found that \( \text{NaBiF}_4 \) inhibited rice root elongation, but promoted more crown root formation. We analyzed several ROS signaling genes, which displayed transcript level of \( \text{OsOVP1} \), \( \text{OsNIP2:1} \), and \( \text{OsMT2} \) was reduced, but expression of \( \text{OsMT2b} \) increased [24]. Exogenous application of nanoparticle of \( \text{BiF}_3 \) for treatment, which did not reduce rice root elongation, but not mediate \( \text{OsOVP1} \), \( \text{OsNIP2:1} \), \( \text{OsMT2} \), and \( \text{OsMT2b} \) transcript level changed [23]. Because the composition of these two nanoparticles, only one element (sodium) shows difference, which might interrupt the native balance system, for example, homeostasis of sodium-potassium balance.

Plants generally maintain a stable \( K^+/Na^+ \) ratio and a negative electrical membrane potential difference across the plasma membrane under a normal physiological state. \( Na^+ \) enters into the roots through different channels and transporters [25]. However, if the balance was broken, plant may start ROS response reactions. In this study, we found that wheat root was much more sensitive to \( \text{NaBiF}_4 \) nanoparticles than \( \text{BiF}_3 \) nanoparticles in root development, which caused the balance of sodium potassium pump affected.

**Results**

**Effect of nanoparticles on the wheat root development**

To analyze the effect of synthesized nanoparticles in wheat root development, wild type (WT) (\textit{Triticum aestivum L} cultivar \textit{Yangmai 13}) were grown in MS medium without sucrose (MS0), but with multiple concentrations of \( \text{NaBiF}_4 \) nanoparticles. The images of the cultivated wheat were shown at 10 days after germination (DAG) in Fig. 1a. As demonstrated, the development of wheat root was significantly reduced by the 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration of nanoparticles. Clearly, compared with that of the wheat grown on MS0 medium without nanoparticles as a negative control (Mock), the elongation speed of primary roots was much slower for the seedlings treated with 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration of \( \text{NaBiF}_4 \) nanoparticles (WT-HT) (Fig. 1b). And the length of WT-HT root reduced about 57.14%. Nevertheless, when the concentration of nanoparticles was declined to as low as 20 \( \mu \text{M} \) (WT-LT), the length of the primary roots was not significantly changed compared with the Mock (Fig. 1a-b). When the
seedlings plants were treated with high concentration of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles, the fresh weight and dry weight were measured. Interestingly, although the primary root elongation was inhibited, the fresh weight and dry weight were increased up to 131.25 and 130%, respectively (Fig. 1c-d). Here, we also used BiF$_3$ nanoparticles as another controls, these data indicated that 50 μM NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles induced wheat biomass accumulation.

Nanoparticles caused sodium export from wheat seedling plant

Previously, we reported that NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles caused rice root elongation inhibited due to phytotoxicity [24]. Eu acts as one type of earth element, which was visualized as red emission in the RFP channel. And, the NaBiF$_4$:Eu$^{3+}$ nanoparticles not only emitted dazzling visible red emission under the NUV excitation but also exhibited similar characteristic as the NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles in rice [24]. To get deep insight into the location of the nanoparticles, the cross section of root tip further confirmed that the NaBiF$_4$:Eu$^{3+}$ nanoparticles were distributed in the cells (Fig. 2a-c). Similarly, the negative results did not have any obvious signals in the wheat root grown in the MS0 medium (Fig. 2d-f). These results demonstrated that nanoparticles were accumulated in root tip. These results were similar with in rice, as the previous reported (Du et al., 2018).

Multiple factors affect ROS signaling response by phytotoxicity, such as sodium stress, nutrition transport disrupt, and so on. To further understand the mechanism by nanoparticles treatment, we measured sodium concentration. We found wheat seedling by 50 μM NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles treatment had lower level of sodium (71.874%) than Mock, but 20 μM NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles treatment was not significant changed (Fig. 3a). Here, we used potassium content for negative control, which demonstrated that there were no obvious changed (Fig. 3b) in these three groups. It implied that NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles entered into cell resulted in less sodium in cell. Meanwhile, NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles induced sodium export from cell.

To further confirm this hypothesis, we used 50 μM NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles treatment for the similar experiments. And the solution used water to instead of MS0 medium in case sodium contamination from MS0 medium. With the treatment of NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles, sodium concentration was decreased about 81.39% compare with negative control. Also, we measured the sodium content in left solutions, sodium under NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles treatment had more than WT-CK (137.5%). And we also measured potassium concentration that there was no affected in Fig. 4b-d. This stated clearly that NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticle caused extra sodium export out of plant into solution.
Additional, this phenotype might due to Bismuth (Bi) or Fluorine (F). we chose another nanoparticle BiF$_3$ for synchronization. Exogenous application of 50 μM nanoparticle BiF$_3$, which does not have sodium, did not inhibit root elongation in rice (Du et al., 2018a), as well as in wheat (Fig. 1). Also, with 50 μM BiF$_3$ nanoparticles for treatment, sodium and potassium concentrations in plant were not affected in plant and export solutions (Fig. 4a-b). It further demonstrated that NaBiF$_4$ displaced the sodium in cell to maintain the balance of sodium and potassium.

**ROS metabolism due to nanoparticles**

As deduced above, less sodium and much NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles entered into plant cells, which might affect cell metabolism reaction (phytotoxicity). This reaction includes two parts: affect sodium content, and xenobiotic substance, which induced by the nanoparticles might be the main factor to affect the development of the wheat roots. To response the phytotoxicity, several ROS system metabolism could be response to the wheat root, such as the superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidase (POD) [11]. To better comprehend the nanoparticles induced phytotoxicity in the wheat root, the activity level of SOD, CAT, POD to several phytotoxicity related metabolism were analyzed in Fig. 5a-c. Compared with Mock, the activity of the SOD was much higher in these wheat roots treated with the NaBiF$_4$ nanoparticles (50 μM), as well as treated with the BiF$_3$ nanoparticles (50 μM). Noted that, with the treatment of
the resultant nanoparticles, the activity level of the CAT and POD were reduced (Fig. 5b-c). Since the nanoparticles treated to the seedlings exhibited higher activity of SOD, and then lower activity of CAT and POD involved, it were expected to response to ROS system.

Discussion
As the industry development, soil contaminated day by day due to heavy metal, salinization, nanoparticles accumulation. Previously, we used multiple concentrations of NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ for exogenous application to another crop plant (rice) for treatment. These results demonstrated that high content (100 μM) of NaBiF₄ caused toxicity by the root length reduced and more crown root number. For the particles location, it is accumulated at division and elongation zone. Further phytotoxicity related genes, transcript level of OsOVP1, OsNIP2;1, and OsMT2 was reduced and OsMT2b increased [24]. Similar content ofBiF₃ exogenous treatment with NaBiF₄ to rice did not show any obvious phenotype, although BiF₃ also located at root tip, as NaBiF₄ [23]. It implied that NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ have significant and different roles in plant development. In this study, we reported that same unsoluble nanoparticles, NaBiF₄ and BiF₃, which affected wheat development similar with in rice. Exogenous application of 50 μM NaBiF₄ caused root length decreased, but BiF₃ not. Interestingly, higher activity of SOD and lower of POD by the treatments of NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ nanoparticles, reduced CAT activity by NaBiF₄, which demonstrated that both NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ affected ROS response reaction by tissue or cell abnormal in wheat root. Previously, Wang reported that nanoparticles caused phytotoxicity might due to (i) the dissolution and release of toxic ions; (ii) size- or shape-dependent mechanical damage and clogging; (iii) the production of excess ROS; (iv) binding interactions caused surface reconstruction of biological molecular structures; (v) oxidation of biomolecules through catalytic reactions [21]. Compare with BiF₃ nanoparticles, NaBiF₄ has one more element of sodium. We found less sodium concentration in plant than control, as well as used BiF₃ treatment for negative control. Meanwhile, the reduced the sodium exported from the tissue into the solutions. It means that NaBiF₄ play as sodium might cause sodium and potassium balance, BiF₃ acts as one type of the exogenous substance, which might due to tissue damage and pathway clogging [21]. These results, above, indicated that NaBiF₄ nanoparticles resulted in wheat root toxicity both in NaBiF₄ accumulation in root and sodium export out of plant, as depicted as Fig. 6a. And BiF₃ nanoparticles can also induce ROS signaling response only in BiF₃ accumulation in root (Fig. 6b).

Conclusion
Previously, we found that NaBiF₄ accumulated at rice root elongation zone, and then induced ROS system signaling response by several genes transcript level affected, such as, OsOVP1,OsNIP2;1,OsMT2, and OsMT2b. Here, we used another crop plant, wheat, to further analyze these phytotoxicity reactions from plant physiological level. As the root assimilated NaBiF₄ nanoparticle into cell, stable sodium from nanoparticle caused sodium export from root cell and then move into growth solution. Due to nanoparticle accumulation and less floating sodium level for plant physiological reaction, ROS related metabolism reactions were induced, which generated higher activity of SOD, and then lower activity of CAT, and POD. In the future, we will further analyze how nanoparticles move into cell.

Methods
Plant materials
The wheat cultivars selected in this study was Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. ‘Ningmai13’), which were provided by the Lixiahe Agricultural Research Institute.
Synthesis of NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ nanoparticles
High-purity powders of NaNO₃, Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O, and NH₄F acted as the raw materials to prepare the nanoparticles [23]. To prepare the NaBiF₄ nanoparticles, two solutions were prepared. BiF₃, NaBiF₄ BiF₃:Eu³⁺ and NaBiF₄:Eu³⁺ were synthesized previous reported [23, 24].

Determination of K⁺ and Na⁺ concentrations
The K⁺ and Na⁺ concentrations were measured as described previously [26–28].

SOD, CAT, POD assay
The activities of SOD, CAT, and POD activity of wheat root was measured as described previously [29, 30]. 4 day after germination, the seedling wheat plants were move to 50 μM NaBiF₄ and BiF₃ nanoparticles water solution for 3 days. About 100 mg of mixed material were harvested and ground in liquid nitrogen to a fine powder and then homogenized in 5 ml 10 mM PBS (pH 7.0) containing 1% PVP (w/v), 1 mM PMSF, 0.1% Triton-X100 (w/v) and 0.1 mM EDTA. The extraction was performed at 4 °C. After centrifugation at 12,000 g for 20 min, the supernatant solution was used as the preparation for individual enzyme activity. Then SOD and CAT activity were measured by spectrophotometer at 560 nm and 240 nm, respectively. The adrenochrome formation in the next 3 min was recorded at 470 nm in a UV–Vis spectrophotometer.

Statistical analysis
The experimental data was performed using t-test at a probability significance level of P < 0.05 in SPSS.
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