Successful rescue treatment of right posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) vascular stasis with tirofiban following endovascular coil implantation
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SUMMARY
This report describes a patient who developed intraprocedural vascular stasis immediately following elective endovascular coil embolisation. Urgent antiplatelet treatment with the GpIIb/IIIa agent tirofiban was used. It was infused intra-arterially during the procedure, followed by a fixed rate intravenous continuous infusion, and successfully restored normal circulation. There were no reports of further bleeding or haemodynamic compromise during the hospital stay. The patient's condition returned to baseline and he was discharged the following day with no neurological deficits.

BACKGROUND
Thromboembolic events are common during endovascular coil embolisation and can increase mortality in neuroendovascular procedures. No standard dosing regimen currently exists for consecutive intra-arterial and intravenous tirofiban during coil embolisation rescue therapy.

CASE PRESENTATION
A man in his 60s presented for elective endovascular repair of a right posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) aneurysm known to be causing thromboembolic phenomena (figure 1). A transradial approach was employed for catheterisation and endovascular repair of the aneurysm.

A 300 mg clopidogrel and 325 mg aspirin loading dose was administered prior to the procedure. On placing the patient under general anaesthesia in the angiography suite, placement of the microcatheter and primary embolisation of the aneurysm was successfully performed using Stryker target coils without incident. A total of 4200 units of heparin, in the form of heparinised saline, was administered during the procedure. Subsequently, a follow-up angiogram via the vertebral artery-guided catheter demonstrated occlusion of blood flow in the right PICA from the region of the newly implanted coils (figure 2). Rescue therapy was promptly initiated using repeated doses of 0.1 mg tirofiban, administered intra-arterially. A total of 0.3 mg of tirofiban, was administered over 20 min directly into the PICA region. A follow-up angiogram was obtained showing improved perfusion and the microcatheter was removed without incident (figure 3).

Subsequent to the procedure, a tirofiban infusion was started at the fixed rate of 0.1 μg/kg/min when the measured anti-Xa level returned to therapeutic range. The infusion was continued for 15 hours. No adverse drug events were observed.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient presented for follow-up 30 days after primary coiling. He was alert and oriented, with no loss of function, in no acute distress and stated that he is quite active physically. (National Institutes of Health score=0, mRankin=0). He remains on the aspirin and clopidogrel combination. He noted...
no significant side effects, except for some initial dizziness on standing during the immediate postoperative phase.

DISCUSSION
Thromboembolic events remain one of the most significant adverse events during coil embolisation for intracerebral aneurysm and range in frequency from 2% to 15%. GpIIb/IIIa agents, specifically eptifibatide and tirofiban, have been reported to be effective in eradication of periprocedural thrombus.

Tirofiban is a short-acting, reversible glycoprotein (GpIIb/IIIa) platelet function inhibitor that has been studied extensively for acute coronary syndrome (ACS), with a half-life of approximately 2 hours. Confirmation of efficacy and safety of tirofiban in ACS has been long established. From a standpoint of safety during neuroendovascular procedures, the short half-life and reversible binding attributes, are an advantage with respect to abciximab, which has a longer half-life and irreversible binding. These attributes, combined with the low detrimental effect on postoperative bleeding, make it an attractive candidate for rescue therapy during coil embolisation.

GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors have been used to treat coil embolisation thrombus both intravenously and intra-arterially using various methods and dosing combinations. An intravenous loading infusion followed by pulsatile intra-arterial injections, or typically intra-arterial injections alone, have been described. Additionally, there is at least one report of simultaneous intravenous and intra-arterial loading administration of tirofiban followed by maintenance therapy. Intra-arterial administration appears...
to be preferred over intravenous, due to intra-arterial access being most proximal to the thrombus and the risk of dose-dependent complications, such as a cerebral haemorrhage, may be reduced. To our knowledge, there is currently no ideal standard dosing regimen that has been established for using GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors to treat acute thromboembolism during endovascular procedures. In this instance, the flow disturbance was presumably caused by an irregular coil surface or coil protrusion into the vessel lumen, resulting in vessel narrowing and subsequent thromboembolism via platelet aggregation. This happened in spite of the fact that the patient was loaded with a P2Y 12 inhibitor and aspirin just prior to the procedure and received intraprocedural heparin per protocol. It is worth noting that we do not currently have access to point-of-care (POC) testing for platelet inhibition at our institution. POC testing methods, such as VerifyNow (Accumetrics), can be used to measure platelet function and screen for responsiveness to help determine the optimal dosing and duration of antplatelet agents prior to procedure.

During the procedure, the activated clotting time (ACT) was measured as high as 336 s. When the thrombus was identified, intra-arterial tirofiban was given in 0.1 mg aliquots until successful recanalisation. Due to the risk of lingering thrombus at the aneurysm site, it was decided that the patient was a candidate for post-treatment with a continuous infusion of tirofiban post procedure. However, the combination of GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors can prolong ACT, and the combination of heparin with a GpIIb/IIIa can increase the likelihood of both major and minor bleeding when compared with heparin alone. Data from the primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) literature indicate a 49% increase in minor bleeding and a trend towards a 22% increase in major bleeding when GpIIb/IIIa inhibitors are combined with heparin. Due to these bleeding risks, and the absolute need to avoid cerebral haemorrhage post endovascular repair, the decision was made to delay the intravenous tirofiban infusion post procedure until the measured heparin activity was within normal limits. We chose to measure the anti-Xa level over several hours, and once it returned to a baseline level (0.1 IU/mL), the tirofiban infusion was initiated at 0.1 μg/kg/min and continued for 15 hours post surgery. No thrombocytopenia was noted; platelet count was measured at 169 × 10^3 μL at the end of the infusion.

Intra-arterial bolus dosing allows for focal drug delivery at the site of the thrombus. The exact method, and dosing scheme for rescue therapy, appears to vary depending on the clinician’s experience and preference. In this case, giving tirofiban as an intra-arterial bolus + intravenous infusion protocol produced a positive outcome by allowing for thrombus obliteration and complete recanalisation, without unwanted bleeding or thrombocytopenia.
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Learning points

▶ Fixed dosing of tirofiban was shown to be safe and efficacious in resolving thrombosis during this coil embolisation procedure.
▶ The combination of intravenous and intra-arterial tirofiban was successfully used without any complications, or adverse effects, in a patient who received loading doses of clopidogrel and aspirin, who also was heparinised.
▶ For those patients who are at high risk of thrombus post procedure, the continuous infusion of tirofiban can be initiated post embolisation during recovery in the intensive care unit.
▶ Newer point-of-care testing methods may help to identify poor responders to P2Y 12 and aspirin therapy, allow for dosing to be individualised prior to endovascular procedures, and reduce the risk of intraprocedural complications.
