Correlations of expression of nuclear and mitochondrial genes in triploid fish
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Abstract

The expression of nuclear and mitochondrial genes, as well as their coordinated control, regulates cell proliferation, individual development, and disease in animals. However, the potential coregulation between nuclear and mitochondrial genes is unclear in triploid fishes. The two triploids (R2C and RC2) with distinct mitochondrial genomes but similar nuclear genomes exhibit different embryonic development times and growth rates. They are an excellent model for studying how nuclear and mitochondrial genes coordinate. Here, we performed the mRNA-seq of four stages of embryonic development (blastula, gastrula, segmentation, and hatching periods) in the two triploids (R2C and RC2) and their diploid inbred parents (red crucian carp and common carp). After establishing the four patterns of mitochondrial and nuclear gene expression, 270 nuclear genes regulated by mitochondrial genes were predicted. The expression levels of APC16 and Trim33 were higher in RC2 than in R2C, suggesting their potential effects on regulating embryonic development time. In addition, 308 differentially expressed genes filtered from the list of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes described by Mercer et al. in 2011 were considered potential genes for which nuclear genes regulate mitochondrial function. The findings might aid in our understanding of the correlation between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes as well as their synergistic effects on embryonic development.
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Introduction

Mitochondria are intracellular energy-producing units that provide energy for a series of cellular activities, including Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase pump activity, endocytosis, protein synthesis, and some other processes (Brown 2008; Tao et al. 2014). The capacity of mitochondria to undergo reprogramming between different situations is important for all cell types (Schirmacher 2020). Consequently, normal levels of mitochondrial (MT) fission and fusion are important for proper cellular function and development, while abnormal variations cause disease phenotypes in animals (Chan 2006; Luo et al. 2018). The MT genome in vertebrates is compact, generally spanning 16–17 kb in size, with overlapping coding sequences on the heavy and light strands for several genes and a complete lack of introns (Barrell et al. 1979; Satoh et al. 2016).

To adapt to the environmental challenges, nuclear-mitochondrial genetic interactions and collaboration occur continuously (Fetterman and Ballinger 2019). Endosymbiosis and the coevolution of the mitochondrial (MT) and nuclear (NU) genomes over 1.5 billion years resulted in eukaryotes (Dunham-Snary et al. 2018). The dynamic nature of the MT genome probably needs to be coordinated by the rapid coevolution of the MT proteins encoded in the NU genome. It is likely that interactions between MT and NU genes play an important role during speciation, e.g. in yeast (Lee et al. 2008). Previous experiments showed that pairing MT and NU genomes from two different strains resulted in reduced fitness (Luo et al. 2013). Another study demonstrated that the nuclear-mitochondrial genome combination significantly altered metabolic efficiency and body composition. The influence of MT DNA on regulating NU gene expression was clearly demonstrated by comparative gene expression analysis in adipose tissues (Dunham-Snary et al. 2018).

Growth heterosis in hybrid fish could benefit aquaculture (Houston et al. 2020). Two triploid fishes (R2C and RC2) were obtained from back-crossing of the male allotetraploid (4n = 200, 4nAT) of red crucian carp (Carassius auratus red var., RCC) × common carp (Cyprinus carpio L., CC) with female RCC and CC, respectively (Shen et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2009). The types of MT genomes in R2C and RC2 are the same as in RCC and CC, respectively (Ren et al. 2021). The combined effects of dosage compensation and incomplete dominance were predicted by gene expression profiling and had potential effects on their growth heterosis (Ren et al. 2019). The different expressions of GH/IGF axis genes were detected in the triploid fish and considered as one of the factors for growth heterosis (Zhong et al. 2012). This study focused on the expression profiles of NU and MT genes during embryonic development. The different expression patterns of
NU and MT genes in R₂C and RC₂, which possess distinct MT genomes but similar NU genomes, would provide insights into the mitochondrial-nuclear correlations in triploid fishes.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement
In this study, all experiments were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Hunan Normal University and followed the stated guidelines of the Administration of Affairs Concerning Animal Experimentation of China and the ARRIVE. Fish crossing and embryo collecting were approved by the Animal Care Committee and Protection Station of Polyploidy Fish of Hunan Normal University (approval ID: 04/2018).

Experimental materials
Diploid red crucian carp (C. auratus red var., RCC), diploid common carp (C. carpio L., CC), and allotetraploid fish (4nAT) derived from RCC (♀) × CC (♂) were obtained from the Engineering Center of Polyploidy Fish Breeding of the National Education Ministry (Hunan Normal University, Hunan, China; Liu et al. 2016). During the breeding season (from April to June), experimental self-crossings of RCC and CC were performed. Simultaneously, the two intercrossings were carried out (Fig. 1). In one cross (R₂C), RCC and 4nAT were used as the maternal and paternal parents, respectively. In the other cross (RC₂), the maternal parent was changed to CC. R₂C carried two sets of RCC chromosomes, one set of CC chromosomes and the RCC MT genome, while RC₂ carried two sets of CC chromosomes, one set of RCC chromosomes and the CC MT genome (Ren et al. 2019). All embryos of the four types of fish were incubated with flowing water at 17–18°C. Culture dishes of R₂C and RC₂ were selected at random to continuously observe the embryonic development and record the corresponding time (Tsai et al. 2013). We collected embryos from all four crosses during the four stages of embryonic development, including blastula (Oblong), gastrula (50%-Epiboly), segmentation (3-Somite), and hatching periods (1 h after hatching; Kimmel et al. 1995). To exclude the effects of environmental contamination, all embryo samples were repeatedly rinsed with DEPC-treated water (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

mRNA-seq sequencing
All samples used for mRNA sequencing were stored at −80°C. After DNase treatment, total RNA was extracted from mixed embryos of the same fish at the same developmental stage and then used to construct mRNA-seq libraries according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For each sample, a paired-end (2 × 150bp) mRNA-seq library was constructed using a NovaSeq 6000 Sequencing System (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The raw sequencing data underwent initial quality control utilizing FastQC. Then, the low-quality bases and adapters were removed using Trimmmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014).

Expression of mitochondrial genes in embryonic transcriptomes
The mRNA-seq reads collected from RCC, CC, and the two triploids (R₂C and RC₂) at four embryonic development stages were filtered and then mapped to 13 MT protein-coding genes (ND1, ND2, COX I, COX II, ATP8, ATP6, COX III, ND3, ND4L, ND4, ND5, ND6, and CYTB) using Salmon software (Patro et al. 2017) with default options. The annotations of the MT genomes were obtained from their respective annotated sequence files (NCBI accession Nos. AY714387.1 and KF856965.1). The number of mapped reads and transcripts per million values were obtained from the output results. Paired-samples t-test analyses were used to assess the differences in MT gene expression in the four comparisons (Comparison 1: RCC vs. R₂C, Comparison 2: R₂C vs. RC₂, Comparison 3: CC vs. RC₂, and Comparison 4: RCC vs. CC).

Expression of nuclear genes in embryonic transcriptomes
The mRNA-seq reads of RCC, CC, and two triploids were mapped to the predicted genome coding sequences in RCC (Genome Warehouse in BIG Data Center BioProject No. PRJCA001234) or CC.

Fig. 1. Two allotriploids obtaining from interploidy crossing of the allotetraploid fish with the two diploid parents.
These read-mapped analyses were conducted using Salmon software with default options (Patro et al. 2017). Gene annotations were performed with BLASTX searches in NCBI, Gene Ontology (GO), and Swiss-Prot databases. The gene expression values of RCC and CC were assessed based on the mapped reads of their respective transcripts, while the gene expression values of the two triploids were assessed based on the average values of mapped reads of the two reference genomes in each gene. Differential expression (DE) analysis was performed using the DESeq2 package based on the threshold of $|\log_2\text{fold change}| > 1.2$, $P$-value $< 0.01$ and a 1% false discovery rate ($\leq 0.01$) in three biological replicates.

**Interaction patterns of mitochondrial and nuclear genes**

The expression levels of NU transcriptomes in R2C and RC2 of four stages of embryonic development were investigated to screen for differentially expressed genes (DEGs). GO and kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) analyses were performed on the DEGs. In comparison with the two triploids, the four patterns of NU and MT gene expression were classified as below:

1. up-regulated MT genes and up-regulated NU genes in R2C;
2. up-regulated MT genes and down-regulated NU genes in R2C;
3. down-regulated MT genes and up-regulated NU genes in R2C;
4. down-regulated MT genes and down-regulated NU genes in R2C.

**Results**

**Embryonic development time between two triploids**

The embryonic development of the two allotriploids (R2C and RC2) was observed from the zygote to the hatching period. Fifty-five minutes after fertilization, the embryos of R2C begin to cleave, while the embryos of RC2 need 60 min. Similarly, at 75 h 45 min after fertilization, the embryos of R2C begin to hatch, while the embryos of RC2 begin to hatch at 76 h 12 min after fertilization. We observed that each stage of embryonic development took more time in RC2 than in R2C (Table 1).

**Differential expression of mitochondrial genes among diploids and triploids**

After sequencing, 429.38 Gb raw data were obtained from 48 transcriptomes, and 1.39 billion clean reads (417.24 Gb) were retained for further analysis after quality checking (File S1: Table S1). The mRNA-seq reads of the two triploids were mapped to the combined MT genomes of RCC (NCBI accession No. AY714387.1) and CC (NCBI accession No. KF856965.1). The 99.8% reads of R2C were mapped to the MT genome of its maternal RCC, while the 99.83% ones of RC2 were mapped to the MT genome of its maternal CC (File S1: Table S2). These results showed maternal inheritance in the two triploids.

**Table 1. Comparison of embryonic development between R2C and RC2.**

| Stage                  | R2C       | RC2       |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Fertilization         | 0 min     | 0 min     |
| Cleavage period (1-cell) | 55 min   | 60 min   |
| Cleavage period (2-cell) | 1 h 20 min | 1 h 25 min |
| Blastula period (256-cell) | 3 h 30 min | 3 h 43 min |
| Blastula period (Oblong) | 5 h 26 min | 5 h 52 min |
| Blastula period (Done)  | 6 h 11 min | 6 h 26 min |
| Gastrula period (50%-Epiboly) | 8 h 5 min   | 8 h 18 min |
| Segmentation period (3-Somite) | 14 h 28 min | 14 h 53 min |
| Hatching period       | 75 h 45 min | 76 h 12 min |

Water temperature: 17–18°C.

![Fig. 2](image-url). Comparison of expression levels for MT genes between the two triploids and their maternal parents. a) Blastula period; (b) gastrula period; (c) segmentation period; and (d) hatching period.
DE analyses of 13 MT protein-coding genes between the triploids and their corresponding maternal parents were used to detect MT gene expression after hybridization. No significant DE in these 13 genes showed the high conservatism of MT inheritance (Fig. 2). However, the P-values of DE in Comparisons 1 and 3 could reflect different degrees of slight changes in MT gene expression (Table 2). The lowest value in RCC vs. R2C (Comparisons 1) was detected in the hatching period ($P = 0.09$), while the lowest value in CC vs. RC2 (Comparisons 3) was found in the gastrula period. These results suggest diverse changes in MT gene expression during embryonic development.

DE analyses of 13 MT protein-coding genes between the two triploids will help us understand the differences in RCC and CC MT gene expression in the triploids (Comparison 2). The lowest value was detected in the hatching period ($P = 0.2439$), reflecting that the most expression changes of the four stages of embryonic development occurred in the hatching period (Table 2).

### Changes in mitochondrial gene expression accompanied by embryonic development

Expression trends across the four embryonic development stages were observed in each MT protein-coding gene. The gradually increased expression trend accompanied by embryonic development was found in most MT genes, including ND1, ND2, COX I, COX II, ATP8, ATP6, COX III, ND3, ND4, ND5, ND6, and CYTB (File S1: Fig. S1). The expression trends of the 5 MT genes (COX I, ATP8, COX III, ND5, and CYTB) during embryonic development were different between R2C and RCC, and 5 genes (ND2, ATP6, ND4L, ND4, and ND6) exhibited different trends in expression between RC2 and CC (File S1: Fig. S1, Tables S3 and S4).

### Differential expression of nuclear genes among diploids and triploids

We investigated the global expression levels of NU transcriptomes among diploids and triploids and obtained DEGs for the four stages of embryonic development (Fig. 3). The proportions of DEGs between R2C and RC2 in the blastula period was 26.88% (up-regulated in R2C: 2,513, up-regulated in RC2: 2,231); in the gastrula period was 9.36% (up-regulated in R2C: 568, up-regulated in RC2: 1,252); in the segmentation period was 9.40% (up-regulated in R2C: 875, up-regulated in RC2: 1,004); in the hatching period was 9.58% (up-regulated in R2C: 917, up-regulated in RC2: 1,071).

### Table 2. Distribution of P-value obtained from the paired t-test analyses.

| Blastula | Gastrula | Segmentation | Hatching |
|----------|----------|--------------|----------|
| Comparison 1 RCC vs. R2C | 0.8926 | 0.9999 | 0.5417 | 0.0942 |
| Comparison 2 R2C vs. RC2 | 0.9460 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.2439 |
| Comparison 3 CC vs. RC2 | 0.1465 | 0.1272 | 0.6848 | 0.4973 |

### Fig. 3. Nuclear DEGs for the four stages of embryonic development among diploids and triploids. a) Blastula period; (b) gastrula period; (c) segmentation period; and (d) hatching period.
Mitochondrial genes regulating nuclear gene expression

Compared with the two triploids, the same or opposite directions of both MT and NU gene expression trends across the four embryonic development stages give us an opportunity to investigate how MT gene expression regulates NU gene expression. MT gene expression positively regulated NU gene expression across the four development stages (patterns 1 and 4 in Fig. 4), while MT gene expression negatively regulated NU gene expression (patterns 2 and 3 in Fig. 4). For the 13 MT genes, the expression of 6, 6, 6, and 4 genes was increased in RC2 compared to R2C. The expression of 2,231 (47.03%), 1,252 (68.79%), 1,004 (53.43%), and 1,071 (53.87%) NU genes was increased in RC2 than in R2C (Fig. 5). The 106 genes in pattern 1, 27 genes in pattern 2, 108 genes in pattern 3, and 29 genes in pattern 4 exhibited stable patterns of MT and NU gene expression across the four development stages, reflecting the potential regulation from MT to NU genes (Fig. 4).

Interestingly, the shared genes were clearly more in RC2 (sum of patterns 1 and 3: 214 genes) than in R2C (sum of patterns 2 and 4: 56 genes) under this potential regulation (Fig. 4). This result suggested that MT genes from CC species were more likely to result in increased NU gene expression in RC2 than those from R2C. GO analysis exhibited that the cell cycle-related genes (Trim33 and APC16) were up-regulated in RC2 than in R2C (File S1: Fig. S2).

Nuclear genes regulating expression of mitochondrial genes

MT activity is regulated by a series of NU genes. Although no significant DE of MT genes was detected among the diploids and triploids, we focused on potential 417 nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes (NEMGs) in the transcriptome data, whereas 1,013 NEMGs were identified as connected with MT function in a

---

**Fig. 4.** Four patterns of expression trends for MT and NU genes. a) Pattern 1 represents the same expression pattern (up-regulation in MT genes of RC2 and up-regulation in NU genes of RC2) in the four development stages. b–d) represent patterns 2–4, respectively. Blue line represents change trends of MT gene expression from R2C and RC2. Red represents change trends of NU gene expression from R2C and RC2. B-P, blastula period; G-P, gastrula period; S-P, segmentation period; H-P, hatching period.
Fig. 5. Expression trends of MT and NU gene during embryonic development: (a) Blastula period; (b) gastrula period; (c) segmentation period; and (d) hatching period. Blue line represents change trends of MT gene expression from R2C and RC2. Red line represents change trends of NU gene expression from R2C and RC2.

Fig. 6. DEGs distribution of the NEMG in the four embryonic development stages. a) Number of DEGs in the four development stages of the triploids. B-P, blastula period; G-P, gastrula period; S-P, segmentation period; H-P, hatching period. b) The expression patterns of 14 genes. Some genes exhibited up-regulated expression in R2C for the first embryonic development stage, while others showed up-regulated expression in R2C of the following development stages. The opposite pattern also existed.
previous study (Ali et al. 2019). DE analysis on NEMGs showed that the expression of 174 genes was higher in R2C than in RC2 for the four development stages, while 148 genes exhibited the opposite expression trend (File S2). Among these DEGs, the largest number in the two triploids was in the blastula period (129 up-regulated genes in R2C and 120 up-regulated genes in RC2). In contrast, the fewest DEGs (16 up-regulated genes in R2C and 18 up-regulated genes in RC2) were detected in the hatching period (File S2).

Expression patterns of nuclear genes regulating mitochondrial genes
To investigate the effects of NEMG expression in embryonic development, the distribution of the DEGs between R2C and RC2 was detected in the four embryonic development stages. Among the 308 DEGs, 139 DEGs were detected in two or more embryonic development stages between the triploids (Fig. 6a). Among the 139 DEGs, 10 DEGs were found in each of the four development stages. Three of these DEGs were up-regulated in R2C (MRPL40, RPS14, and AKR7A2), and 7 were up-regulated in RC2 (NDUF84, MRPL53, MDH1, VDAC1, ATP5F1, HIGD2A, and IDH1; Fig. 6a). Interestingly, 14 DEGs showed the opposite trend of DE in different developmental stages (Fig. 6b). Thirteen genes exhibited up-regulated expression in R2C initially but showed up-regulated expression in RC2 of the following embryonic development stages. The opposite pattern was only detected in SLC25A28. Overall, the five patterns of NEMG expression were detected during the four embryonic development stages (Fig. 6b). These results reflected a series of expression changes in NU genes and showed their feedback effect on MT function.

Discussion
Systematic analysis of multiple developmental stages between the two triploid fishes (R2C and RC2) provides an effective tool for the study of mitochondrial-nuclear correlations in hybrid systems. From fertilized egg to hatching period, RC2 spends more time in embryonic development than R2C (Table 1). Meanwhile, faster growth ratios were detected in RC2 as compared to R2C (Ren et al. 2019). These results shed us insight into how distinct MT genomes and the correlation of MT and NU genes regulate these phenotypic variations.

Changes in MT gene expression always lead to changes in growth and may cause diseases (Pagliarini et al. 2008). Moreover, the disruption of the MT transcriptional system was detected in F1 hybrids of the marine copepod and was correlated with fitness (Ellison and Burton 2006, 2008). Our results also showed that the DE of NU genes occurred between the two triploids (Figs. 4 and 5). The stable regulation patterns from MT to NU gene expression across the four embryonic development stages help us obtain the 270 NU genes, for which MT genes from MT genetics regulate NU gene expression (Fig. 4). DE between the two triploids was observed in APC16, which played a key role in the mitotic divisions of early embryos (Shakes et al. 2011). Meanwhile, DE in Trim33 is necessary for the development of the precardiogenic mesoderm (Rajderkar et al. 2019). We inferred that APC16 and Trim33 may have potential effects on regulating cell cycle time and embryonic development.

Future research into the correlation of the MT and NU genes will be required to improve this study. These results on MT-NU correlations give us insight into their potential effects on embryonic development time and growth heterosis in hybrids.

Data availability
All short-read RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NGDC database (National Genomics Data Center) under the following BioProject number: PRJCA03625 (https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/biproject/browse/PRJCA03625). The assembled genome of C. auratus was downloaded from Genome Warehouse in BIG Data Center (BioProject number: PRJCA01234) and the assembled genome of C. carpio was downloaded from NCBI BioProject database (accession number: PRJNA510861). The MT genomes of C. auratus and C. carpio were downloaded from accession numbers of AY714387.1 and KF856965.1 in NCBI Nucleotide Database, respectively. Supplemental material is available at G3 online.
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