Ionospheric Sounding Based on Spaceborne PolSAR in P-Band
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Abstract: The signal of spaceborne low-frequency full-polarization synthetic aperture radar (full-pol SAR) contains abundant ionospheric information. Phased Array L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR) working in the L-band has been verified as an emerging ionospheric sounding technology. Aiming for a future P-band SAR system, this paper investigates the ability of the P-band SAR system in ionospheric one-dimensional and two-dimensional detection. First, considering different systematic error levels, the total electron content (TEC) retrieval in L/P-band is studied by using three typical full-pol SAR data sets based on a circular polarization algorithm. Second, the TEC data retrieved by SAR are fused with the ionosonde, and the joint retrieval of ionospheric electron density is performed. Results show that the P-band TEC retrieval is approximately twice as accurate as the L-band retrieval under the same conditions, and possesses excellent robustness. In addition, the TEC obtained by L/P-band SAR can be used to correct the electron density of the topside on the ionosonde. Results also show that compared with the topside correction accuracy of L-band SAR, that of the P-band SAR is improved by more than 20%. SAR has natural high-resolution characteristics and the P-band signal contains more obvious ionospheric information than the L-band signal. Therefore, future spaceborne P-band SAR has many advantages in two-dimensional fine ionospheric observation and one-dimensional electron density retrieval.
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1. Introduction

The echo emitted by the spaceborne full-polarization synthetic aperture radar (full-pol SAR) contains Faraday rotation angle (FRA) error because of the combined action of the ionosphere above the earth and the geomagnetic field, thereby destroying the reciprocity of the scattering matrix. This phenomenon is evident particularly in full-pol SAR systems operating in the L-band and P-band. Thus, related compensation research has been conducted for many years [1–4]. The ionosphere was regarded as the primary source of error. This approach is similar to the approach used in Global Positioning System (GPS) design, and it quickly became the most commonly used method for ionosphere detection. A new idea for ionospheric detection results from the rich ionospheric information carried by the low-frequency full-pol SAR echo and the natural high-resolution characteristics of SAR [5,6]. Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) Phased-Array type L-band SAR (PALSAR) satellite designed by the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA, Tokyo, Japan) has been the most important spaceborne L-band full-pol SAR system in the world since its launch, orbiting at about 692 km [7]. In research on related Faraday rotation angle
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(RFA) compensation, the authors determined unique advantages in ionospheric sounding. Relevant research has been carried out in the past decade. For example, Jehle et al. [8] and Lavalle et al. [9] compared RFA retrieval before and after pulse compression based on simulated and PALSAR full-pol data. The results showed that the mean RFA obtained from the raw data is more realistic than that from the compressed data. However, the robustness of the compressed data is better than that of the raw data. Therefore, data selection depends on the compression quality of different individual targets in the scene and the level of the power threshold setting. Pi et al. [10] used PALSAR full-pol data in Alaska, Ottawa, and Kyushu to observe polar enhanced RFA arcs, midlatitude ionospheric trough, and traveling ionospheric disturbances, respectively. In their study, the unique advantages of fully polarimetric SAR in ionospheric observation were verified. Its spatial resolution can reach the kilometer level, which is 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than the spatial resolution of GPS. On the basis of PALSAR-1/2 full-pol data, geomagnetic field models, and satellite orbit parameters, Wang et al. [11] verified that the absolute total electron content (TEC) inversion accuracy can be 0.8TECU (1TECU = 1 × 10^{16} \text{electrons/m}^2), whereas the GPS accuracy is 2–9 TECU. The reliable TEC value can correct the topside profile of electron density retrieved by the traditional ionosonde to improve the authenticity of the whole path.

The dispersion properties of the ionosphere make the RFA inversely proportional to the square of the frequency. In particular, the lower the frequency is, the more obvious the effect of the RFA on the scattering matrix. Therefore, compared with the L-band, the P-band full-pol SAR is predicted to contain more obvious RFA information, which is very beneficial to ionospheric detection. At present, no spaceborne P-band full-pol SAR system is present in orbit. However, related systems have been developed based on the unique advantages of the P-band in forest biomass detection sensitivity and leaf surface penetration ability. For example, the ESA’s (European Space Agency) Biomass SAR, which is expected to launch by the end of 2022, is dedicated to the monitoring of global terrestrial carbon cycle changes [12]. Moreover, its main source of error is ionospheric RFA. Given the high-resolution characteristics of broadband signals and the strong penetration of the P-band, space-based ultra-wideband (UWB) P-SAR for hidden target recognition and other purposes has become a popular research topic [13,14]. In particular, each polarization channel of UWB is affected by the RFA and contains polarization dispersion errors [15]. Therefore, this paper conducts research on ionospheric detection of spaceborne P-band SAR, including two-dimensional TEC and one-dimensional electron density retrieval, on the basis of semi-physical simulation. Furthermore, the results of P-band SAR are compared with L-band SAR. The details are as follows:

First, we select the calibrated PALSAR full-pol data in Alaska, Ottawa, and Kyushu as the basic data for TEC retrieval. After processing, three groups of two-dimensional TEC distributions are obtained by the circular polarization-based algorithm by adding L-band and P-band ionospheric information and system errors at different levels. These TEC distributions are compared with the actual values to verify the ability of the P-band to retrieve TEC. Second, the ionosonde cannot directly measure the electron density above the peak value because of the total reflection principle. Wang et al. proposed an algorithm to revise the electron density of the topside profile by determining the scale height using the TEC of the topside section [11]. Here, the key is to obtain the same reliable TEC value as the path of the ionosonde, which is exactly what the spaceborne full-pol SAR can achieve. In this paper, the data of the ionosonde located in Jicamarca, Peru, are selected for verification, and the electron density values obtained by the Incoherent Scatter Radar (ISR) of the same area and period are considered as the true values for comparison. A calibrated PALSAR full-pol data in the same area is selected as the basic data. The joint retrieval of electron density in the full-pol SAR and ionosonde is studied by considering different systematic errors to verify the ability of P-band SAR in the retrieval of electron density profile.

The outline of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, the retrieval method of TEC based on circular polarization and the joint retrieval algorithm of spaceborne SAR-Ionosonde are
briefly described. In Section 3, the two-dimensional (TEC) and one-dimensional (electron density) retrieval of P-band and L-band SAR are verified based on multiple sets of data, respectively. Finally, our conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. Review of TEC Retrieval and Joint Electronic Density Retrieval

2.1. TEC Retrieval on the Basis of Circular Polarization

When a linearly-polarized wave travels through the ionosphere and geomagnetic field, the polarization direction of the wave changes. This difference is referred to as the FRA \[ \Omega \] \[ \text{(1)} \]

\[ \Omega = \frac{9.327 \times 10^5 \langle B_0 \cos \theta_B \rangle \text{TEC}}{\omega^2} \]

where \( B_0 \) is the magnitude of the ambient magnetic field, \( \theta_B \) represents the angle between the vectors of the radio wave and the ambient magnetic field, \( \omega \) is the frequency of signal in radians, and \( \langle \bullet \rangle \) corresponds to the path’s value of \( B_0 \cos \theta_B \) at a certain height. As can be seen, the FRA is frequency dependent, implying that different frequencies experience distinct FRAs within the bandwidth of the SAR signal, i.e., polarization dispersion. The polarization dispersion effect not only destroys the reciprocity of the scattering matrix, but also affects the imaging quality of each channel. Because the relative bandwidth of the present PALSAR or BIOMASS SAR is minimal, the influence of image quality may be ignored, and previous studies have simplified the FRA of different frequencies to the constant at the carrier frequency. However, with the increase of bandwidth for future wide-bandwidth SAR systems at P band, both effects of polarization dispersion must be considered; the scattering matrix can therefore be modified to a more precise form \[ \text{(2)} \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{hh} & M_{vh} \\
M_{hv} & M_{vv}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \delta_2 \\
\delta_1 & f_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \Omega(\omega) & \sin \Omega(\omega) \\
-\sin \Omega(\omega) & \cos \Omega(\omega)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{hh} & S_{vh} \\
S_{hv} & S_{vv}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \delta_3 \\
\delta_4 & f_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
N_{hh} & N_{vh} \\
N_{hv} & N_{vv}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( M \) is the actual measured scattering matrix and the matrix of \( N \) denotes the independent complex Gaussian noise in each channel. \( \delta \) and \( f \) are the crosstalk and channel imbalance, respectively.

According to the above scattering matrix, several classical retrieval methods for FRA have been developed \[ \text{(16–19)} \]. Among them, an algorithm based on circular polarization is proposed by Bickel and Bates, which can obtain the best result and is used in this paper \[ \text{(16)} \]. If the FRA is the only error in Equation (2), the scattering matrix after a simple transformation can be written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\
Z_{21} & Z_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & j \\
\frac{1}{j} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{hh} & M_{vh} \\
M_{hv} & M_{vv}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & j \\
\frac{1}{j} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{hh} - S_{vv} + 2jS_{hv} & (S_{hh} + S_{vv})e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\Omega(\omega)} \\
(S_{hh} + S_{vv})e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\Omega(\omega)} & S_{vv} - S_{hh} + 2jS_{hv}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It should be noted that the FRA depends on frequency in Equation (3), which would cause a constant error due to the polarization dispersion. The retrieval algorithm can then be approximated as:

\[
\Omega_{B&B} = -\frac{1}{4}\arg(Z_{12} \times Z_{21}) = \Omega_0 \left( 1 + \frac{G^2}{4} \right)
\]

where \( G \) is the ratio of bandwidth to carrier frequency. The FRA at the carrier frequency can be calculated as follows:

\[
\Omega_0 = \frac{4\Omega_{B&B}}{4 + G^2}
\]
Combined with the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model, the corresponding TEC value can then be obtained as:

\[ TEC_{B&B} = \frac{\omega^2 \Omega_{B&B}}{9.327 \times 10^5 (B_0 \cos \theta_B)} \]  

(6)

### 2.2. Electronic Density Retrieval Using Spaceborne SAR and Ionosonde

The ionosonde can directly measure the bottomside profile of electron density with high precision, whereas the topside profile is calculated using a well-known extrapolation model, i.e., the \( \alpha \)-Chapman model [20]:

\[ N_e = N_{mF2} \times e^{\frac{1-e^{-z}}{2}} \quad \text{z} = \frac{h - h_{mF2}}{H_T} \]  

(7)

where \( h \) is the corresponding detection height, \( N_{mF2} \) denotes the electron density at the \( F_2 \) layer’s peak height \( h_{mF2} \), and \( H_T \) is the scale height. It can be seen that both \( N_{mF2} \) and \( h_{mF2} \) can be directly measured from the ionosonde, whereas the \( H_T \) in the traditional method is determined using the bottomside profile, which ignores any true information of the topside profile. In fact, the approximate relationship between \( H_T \) and topside TEC (i.e., \( TEC_T \)) can be deduced when the \( TEC_T \) is known [11], i.e.,

\[ \frac{0.66TEC_T}{N_{mF2}} \approx H_T \left( \exp \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{h - h_{mF2}}{H_T}} \right) - 1 \right) \]  

(8)

where \( H_s \) is the satellite’s altitude. It can be seen that \( H_T \) is only determined by \( TEC_T \) and other parameters are known. Fortunately, by subtracting the bottomside TEC measured by the ionosonde from the total TEC value retrieved by PolSAR, we can obtain \( H_T \). Thus, obtaining a precise total TEC is essential for accurate adjustment of the topside profile.

### 3. Experimental Verification and Discussion

In order to evaluate the advantages of P-band SAR for TEC and electron density profile retrieval, some semi-physical simulations were conducted by several PALSAR full polarization data sets.

#### 3.1. TEC Retrieval Based on Spaceborne PolSAR at P and L Bands

The capability of PALSAR at L-band to detect enhanced FRA arcs, the midlatitude ionospheric trough, and traveling ionospheric disturbance has been proven in [10]. Due to the lack of an in-orbit P-band SAR satellite at present, this section will demonstrate that P-band SAR outperforms L-band SAR in high-precision ionospheric detection under the same conditions through semi-physical simulations.

First, FRA obtained from the three sets of PALSAR data in [10] were converted to TEC values using the IGRF model and Equation (6), as illustrated in Figure 1, which served as true values for later comparison. The corresponding data information is shown in Table 1. The SAR data for a PALSAR image scene is composed of about 1248 × 18,432 pixels in range and azimuth dimensions; this equates to around 30 × 60 km\(^2\) for a SAR image scene. Thus, Figure 1a shows a single scene with a detection area of 30 × 60 km\(^2\), Figure 1b shows eight scenes with a range of 30 × 480 km\(^2\), and Figure 1c shows six scenes with a range of 30 × 360 km\(^2\). In this paper, we make the three images uniform in size for convenience. Secondly, by using the retrieval FRA based on Equation (5), the error compensation is performed on the three sets of data, and then the reciprocal is assumed by a symmetrization operation (i.e., \( S_{hh} = S_{vh} \)). It can be considered that the residual system errors are calibrated to a neglectable level. Finally, according to Equation (1) and TEC in Figure 1, the L-band and P-band FRAs are obtained and added to the calibrated scattering matrix respectively. In the simulations, \( f_1 = f_2, \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta_4, \) and \( |N_{hh}|^2 = |N_{hv}|^2 = |N_{vh}|^2 = |N_{vv}|^2 \) are assumed for convenience. Meanwhile, two different sets of system errors are introduced to the scattering matrix using
Equation (2); the channel phase imbalance, channel amplitude imbalance, crosstalk, and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) are set as $5^\circ$, 1 dB, $-25$ dB, and 0 dB, respectively, which are higher levels compared to existing systems. Another set of the channel phase, channel amplitude imbalance, crosstalk, and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) are set as $1^\circ$, 0.5 dB, $-45$ dB, and 15 dB, respectively, which are lower levels than existing systems. In addition, the L-band and P-band are set as 1.27 GHz and 0.435 GHz, respectively.

Figure 1. True TEC maps of enhanced FRA arcs in Alaska, USA, covering about $30 \times 60$ km$^2$ (a); midlatitude ionospheric trough in Ottawa, ON, Canada, covering about $30 \times 480$ km$^2$ (b); and traveling ionospheric disturbance in Kyushu, Japan, covering about $30 \times 360$ km$^2$ (c).

Table 1. PALSAR data information of Figure 1.

| Region | Observation Time (UTC:D, M, Y; HH/MM) | Coverage (km$^2$) |
|--------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Alaska | 1 April 2007; 07/27                    | $30 \times 60$   |
| Ottawa | 23 May 2007; 03/14                     | $30 \times 480$  |
| Kyushu | 1 June 2006; 13/34                     | $30 \times 360$  |

Figures 2–4 illustrate the TEC results of L-band and P-band SAR retrievals in Alaska, Ottawa, and Kyushu, respectively, as well as the corresponding absolute deviations at high systematic error level. The related evaluation results are shown in Table 2, and the absolute deviation is defined as

$$\Delta TEC = \text{mean}(|TEC - TEC_{8&B}|)$$

(9)
Figure 2. TEC retrieval in Alaska based on L-band SAR (a) and P-band SAR (c), with high systematic error level. The deviations between the retrieval and the true values (Figure 1a) are shown in (b,d).

Figure 3. TEC retrieval in Ottawa based on L-band SAR (a) and P-band SAR (c), with high systematic error level. The deviations between the retrieval and the true values (Figure 1b) are shown in (b,d).

Figure 4. TEC retrieval in Kyushu based on L-band SAR (a) and P-band SAR (c) with high systematic error level. The deviations between the retrieval and the true values (Figure 1c) are shown in (b,d).

Table 2. Evaluation results of Figures 2–7.

| Absolute Deviation (TECU) | Alaska     | Ottawa     | Kyushu     |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| P-Band                    | L-Band     | P-Band     | L-Band     | P-Band     | L-Band     |
| High systematic error     | 0.3058     | 1.8193     | 0.1328     | 0.4887     | 0.0633     | 0.3260     |
| Low systematic error      | 0.1238     | 0.2453     | 0.0780     | 0.0855     | 0.0302     | 0.0539     |
As the results demonstrate, when the system error level is high, the results of L-band SAR are visibly unsatisfactory, and some features are hidden. Due to high systematic errors, the enhancement phenomena depicted in Figure 2a has obvious error in both the magnitude and width, and the absolute deviation is around 2TECU compared with Figure 1a. The P-band SAR effectively retains the original results even at high error levels, and some details of the ionosphere can be displayed well. The absolute deviation is only about 0.3 TECU, which is much less than the L-band SAR retrieval deviation. Additionally, as illustrated in Figure 2a, the important properties are blurred as a result of the significant systematic error, which is obviously detrimental to the fine detection of the ionosphere. However, the P-band is still capable of producing superior results. As shown in Table 2, its accuracy is more than three times that of the L-band SAR under the identical conditions. The primary reason is that when the scattering matrix is affected by the same ionospheric TEC, the FRA included in P band is approximately 8.5 times that contained in L band.
according to Equation (1). Thus, when the systematic error is identical, the proportion of
the P-band FRA information in Equation (2) is large, implying that the systematic error has
a minor effect in the subsequent retrieval.

Figures 5–7 illustrate the TEC results of L-band and P-band SAR retrievals in Alaska, Ot-
tawa, and Kyushu, respectively, as well as the associated absolute deviations at low systematic
error level. Table 2 also includes the corresponding evaluation results. In comparison to the
high systematic error, the L-band SAR retrieval results at low systematic error are significantly
improved, and the original TEC information is essentially restored, which matches the true
TEC data in Figure 1. The accuracy of the absolute deviation is about six times lower than
that of the high systematic error. However, compared with the retrieval results of P-band
SAR, the L-band inversion accuracy is still lower than the P-band, as indicated in Table 2.
This is the case since the scattering matrix of P-band SAR contains more obvious ionospheric
information than the scattering matrix of L-band SAR under the same conditions. In addition,
where it can also be seen that the P-band results at high error level are comparable to the L-band
results at low error level. In summary, the P-band SAR’s two-dimensional retrieval of the
ionosphere is much superior to the L-band radar’s retrieval at both high and low systematic
error levels. As a result, it is possible to forecast that the future spaceborne P-band SAR will
perform better at ionospheric detection even when the system calibration is poor, and will
have stronger robustness than the existing L-band SAR.

3.2. Joint Electron Density Retrieval Using Full-Pol SAR and Ionosonde

The ionosonde is the most widely used ionospheric sounding equipment. One of its
main functions is to detect the electron density profile in corresponding areas. However,
in accordance with the detection principle of the ionosonde, only the profile below the
electron density peak can be directly detected. The topside profile, on the other hand, is
approximated using other methods, which are not accurate [20]. As discussed in [11] and
Section 2.2, the way to improve the accuracy of the upper profile is to obtain the accurate
TEC value of the path. Thus, the TEC value obtained by full-pol SAR is used to correct
the ionosonde parameter, thereby increasing the accuracy of the topside profile and the
detection capability of ionosonde.

Due to the lack of P-band SAR satellites in orbit, this subsection relies on semi-
physical simulations to validate that the P-band radar data has a high capability for joint
retrieval. Four groups of ionosonde data were obtained from the Digital Ionogram Data
Base (DIDB) [21] at Jicamarca, Peru, the observation time of which is from 23:00 11 June
2002 to 02:00 13 June 2002. Simultaneously, the ISR electron density profile data for the
same location and time period was also acquired and utilized as the real value for subse-
quent comparison. The scattering matrix data is the PALSAR scattering matrix after the
cross-channel forced symmetry processing of a scene at the same location. The data was
chosen in this case because Peru is close to the equator and the PALSAR data has almost
no FRA error. On the other hand, after symmetrization operations, systematic errors can
be ignored. Then, the FRA applied to the scattering matrix data is inverted relative to the
TEC value from the ISR and IGRF model. As with the Section 3.1, we supplemented the
scattering matrix with two sets of systematic errors.

Figure 8 illustrates the results of a combined retrieval of electron density using four
groups of L-band and P-band SAR and the ionosonde when the systematic errors are high,
with the Ne obtained from ISR serving as the true value. It can be seen that the measured
data for the bottomside of the four sets of ionosonde are essentially consistent with the
ISR results; however, the topside has a significant inaccuracy due to the absence of direct
measurement. In addition, compared to the results of the ionosonde only, the percentage of
the accuracy improvement of the topside after the joint of SAR and ionosonde is defined as:

\[
P = 1 - \text{mean} \left( \frac{|N_e_{\text{ISR}} - N_e_{\text{SAR/Ionosonde}}|_{\text{topside}}}{|N_e_{\text{ISR}} - N_e_{\text{Ionosonde}}|_{\text{topside}}} \right)
\]
Figure 8. Combined retrieval of electron density using four groups of L-band and P-band SAR and the ionosonde with high systematic errors. Figure (a1–d1) in the left column are results of L-band from 23:00 to 02:00 12 June 2002. Figure (a2–d2) in the right column are results of P-band from 23:00 to 02:00 12 June 2002.

Table 3 shows the statistical results of Figure 8. As a result, both L-band and P-band full-pol SAR can increase the profile accuracy of the present, bringing the electron density after joint retrieval closer to reality. This demonstrates the possibility of low-frequency spaceborne SAR for joint electron density retrieval. However, as noted in Sections 2.2 and 3.2, the key to correcting the topside profile is to obtain precise TEC values, and P-band SAR can provide more accurate TEC information than L-band SAR under the same conditions. Therefore, it can be seen from Table 3 that the P-band SAR has a greater correction on the topside profile accuracy of the ionosonde, which is increased by more than 30% on average when compared to the L-band SAR.
Table 3. Evaluation results of Figures 8 and 9.

| Percentage of Improvement (%) | 23:00  | 01:00  | 02:00  | 03:00  |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                               | P-Band | L-Band | P-Band | L-Band |
| High systematic error         | 55.30  | 20.34  | 74.24  | 37.87  |
| Low systematic error          | 56.67  | 42.78  | 74.51  | 46.14  |

Figure 9. Combined retrieval of electron density using four groups of L-band and P-band SAR and the ionosonde with low systematic errors. Figures (a1–d1) in the left column are results of L-band from 23:00 to 02:00 12 June 2002. Figures (a2–d2) in the right column are results of P-band from 23:00 to 02:00 12 June 2002.
Figure 9 shows the combined retrieval results of L-band and P-band SAR with low systematic errors. Compared with the results of Figure 8, the topside profile accuracy of L-band in Figure 9 increases greatly. However, the P-band SAR is strongly resilient to systematic errors, so its correction on the topside profile is still 20% better than that of L-band SAR, as the statistical results in Table 3.

4. Conclusions

This paper verifies the capability of spaceborne P-band SAR for ionospheric detection in the future, including two-dimensional TEC and one-dimensional electron density. We compared L-band SAR using semi-physical simulation data due to the lack of relevant satellites in orbit. The results indicate that, because the P-band SAR carries approximately 8.5 times as much ionospheric information as the existing L-band SAR, the kilometer-level ionospheric TEC distribution may still be recognized well even under adverse conditions. The accuracy is typically more than twice that of the L-band. In addition, during periods of solar maximum, such ionospheric anomalies are more likely to be detected by the P-band SAR. Additionally, because P-band SAR can provide more precise TEC information than L-band SAR, it can further improve the retrieval accuracy of ionosonde, as demonstrated by the results in this paper. Therefore, as the launch plan of BIOMASS SAR is comes on the agenda, BIOMASS SAR will have more significant advantages than PALSAR in future fine observation of the ionosphere, which will benefit ionospheric space scientific research.

Additionally, the current study was unable to obtain ionospheric stereoscopic information (i.e., three-dimensional electron density), which can be obtained using computerized ionospheric tomography (CIT) [22,23]. GPS-based CIT technology has been developed for many years, and the selection of initial iterative value is the key to the reconstruction accuracy. Our future work will correct the iterative initial value of the tomography by the electron density obtained from full-pol SAR and the ionosonde, thereby improving the accuracy of the three-dimensional electron density reconstruction.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.W. and W.G.; methodology, H.Z. and S.Z.; validation, L.C. (Le Cao), P.X. and L.L.; writing—original draft preparation, C.W. and W.G.; writing—review and editing, L.C. (Liang Chen) and Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants 42074225, 61871352, and 62101433, and by the National Key Laboratory of Electromagnetic Environment.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data set available on request to corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments: Special thanks goes to Bodo Reinisch for his help in offering the account of DIDB. We also thank the Japanese Aerospace and Exploration Agency and the Alaska Satellite Facility for making the PALSAR data publicly available. Madrigal Database made the incoherent scattering radar data available. We appreciate their work.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Kimura, H. Calibration of polarimetric PALSAR imagery affected by Faraday rotation using polarimetric orientation. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2009, 47, 3943–3950.
2. Meyer, F. Performance requirements for ionospheric correction of low-frequency SAR data. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2011, 49, 3694–3702.
3. Rogers, N.C.; Quegan, S. The accuracy of Faraday rotation estimation in satellite synthetic aperture radar images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2014, 52, 4799–4807.
4. Kim, J.S.; Papathanassiou, K.P.; Scheiber, R.; Quegan, S. Correcting Distortion of Polarimetric SAR Data Induced by Ionospheric Scintillation. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2015, 53, 6319–6335.
5. Meyer, F.; Nicoll, J.B. Prediction, detection, and correction of Faraday rotation in full-polarimetric L-band SAR data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2008, 46, 3076–3086.

6. Pi, X.Q. Ionospheric effects on spaceborne synthetic aperture radar and a new capability of imaging the ionosphere from space. *Space Weather.* 2015, 13, 737–741.

7. Rosenqvist, A.; Shimada, M.; Ito, N.; Watanabe, M. ALOS PALSAR: A pathfinder for global-scale monitoring of the environment. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2007, 45, 3307–3316.

8. Jehle, M.; Ruegg, M.; Zuberbuhler, L.; Small, D.; Meier, E. Measurement of ionospheric faraday rotation in simulated and real spaceborne SAR Data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2009, 47, 1512–1523.

9. Lavalle, M.; Solimini, D.; Pottier, E. Faraday rotation estimation from unfocussed raw data: Analysis using ALOS-PALSAR dat. In Proceedings of the PolInSAR Workshop, Frascati, Italy, 26–30 January 2009.

10. Pi, X.Q.; Freeman, A.; Chapman, B.; Rosen, P.; Li, Z.H. Imaging ionospheric inhomogeneities using spaceborne synthetic aperture radar. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2011, 116, 1451–1453.

11. Wang, C.; Guo, W.L.; Zhao, H.S.; Chen, L.; Wei, Y.W.; Zhang, Y.Y. Improving the topside profile of ionosonde with TEC retrieval from spaceborne polarimetric SAR. *Sensors* 2019, 19, 516. [CrossRef]

12. Toan, T.L.; Quegan, S.; Davidson, M.W.J.; Balzter, H.; Paillou, P.; Papanastassiou, K.; Plummer, S.; Rocca, F.; Rocca, F.; Saatchi, S.; et al. The Biomass mission: Mapping global forest biomass to better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2011, 115, 2850–2860.

13. Wang, C.; Chen, L.; Liu, L. A new analytical model to study the ionospheric effects on VHF/UHF wideband SAR imaging. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2017, 55, 4545–4557.

14. Scuccato, T.; Carrer, L.; Bovolo, F.; Bruzzone, L. Compensating Earth Ionosphere Phase Distortion in Spaceborne VHF Radar Sounders for Subsurface Investigations. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2018, 15, 1672–1676.

15. Li, L.; Zhang, Y.S.; Dong, Z.; Liang, D.N. Ionospheric polarimetric dispersion effect on low-frequency spaceborne SAR imaging. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2014, 11, 2163–2167.

16. Bickel, S.H.; Bates, R.H.T. Effects of magneto-ionic propagation on the polarization scattering matrix. *Proc. IEEE* 1964, 53, 1089–1091.

17. Freeman, A. Calibration of linearly polarized polarimetric SAR data subject to Faraday rotation. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2004, 42, 1617–1624.

18. Chen, J.; Quegan, S. Improved estimators of Faraday rotation in spaceborne polarimetric SAR data. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2010, 7, 846–850.

19. Wang, C.; Liu, L.; Chen, L.; Feng, J.; Zhao, H.S. Improved TEC retrieval based on spaceborne PolSAR data. *Radio Sci.* 2017, 52, 288–304.

20. Reinisch, B.W.; Huang, X. Deducing topside profiles and total electron content from bottomside ionograms. *Adv. Space Res.* 2001, 27, 23–30.

21. Digital Ionogram DataBase. Available online: [http://ulcar.uml.edu/DIDBase/](http://ulcar.uml.edu/DIDBase/) (accessed on 2 February 2022).

22. Austen, J.R.; Franke, S.J.; Liu, C.H. Ionospheric imaging using computerized tomography. *Radio Sci.* 1988, 23, 299–307.

23. Cheng, N.; Song, S.; Li, W. Multi-Scale Ionospheric Anomalies Monitoring and Spatio-Temporal Analysis during Intense Storm. *Atmosphere* 2021, 12, 215. [CrossRef]