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ABSTRACT
Wood waste is one of the easily accessible and inexpensive biomass sources that can be further converted into the broadly applicable carbon product, especially as catalysis material. The pyrolysis method at 350°C followed by sulfonation under reflux with H2SO4 12N was used to obtain a carbon catalyst from wood waste. The sulfonated carbon catalyst (SC) was analyzed based on Indonesian National Standards (SNI 06-3730-1995), consisting of acidity test via ammonia adsorption, identification of functional group using Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR), and crystallinity evaluation using X-ray Diffractometer (XRD). Afterward, the catalytic activity of SC catalyst was examined in the microwave-assisted hydrolysis reaction with varying weight ratios of catalyst to pulp: 1:1; 1:2; 1:4; 1:6 and 1:8. In addition, the power condition applied during the catalyzed reaction for 3 and 5 minutes was also varied into 200, 400, and 600 W. The result showed that the most optimum catalytic hydrolysis reaction by carbon catalyst, which generated the highest glucose (203.98 ppm), was carried out using a catalyst to pulp ratio of 1:4 at 600 W for 5 minutes. From this work, carbon material exhibited great potential to be developed as a green catalyst and, thus, can be expected to substitute the commonly used acid catalysts in the future.
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INTRODUCTION
Containing convertible cellulose, lignocellulosic material serves as a new source of renewable energy and chemical product availability due to its capability to be converted into glucose and other chemical compounds.1 The production of glucose in the conversion of cellulose is especially advantageous as it opens up the possibility to synthesis other valuable chemical compounds, most importantly, ethanol.2 One of the highly available lignocellulosic raw materials is wood; comprising lignin, cellulose, pentosan, and carbon source. These materials are considered as a promising alternative source for second-generation bioenergy as well as hydrolysis reaction into fermentable sugar, where it demonstrates no interference towards the food supply chain.3,4

One way to convert biomass into glucose is through a microwave-assisted hydrolysis process in the presence of a catalysis material. In previous research, microwave irradiation was used for acid-hydrolysis of sago pith waste.5 As energy consumption was evaluated, it was concluded that the microwave-assisted hydrolysis reaction presented a faster and cost-effective approach by saving over 75% of energy for every 1 g of glucose obtained. Moreover, microwave irradiation effectively reduces the crystallinity of cellulose and contributes to the improvement of catalyst accessibility that simultaneously leads to an enhanced hydrolysis reaction.6

Several types of catalysts that are commonly used in hydrolysis reactions are acid catalysts and enzymes.7,8 However, over time, a few drawbacks were found with the use of these catalysts. For instance, the use of enzymes was frequently shown to generate several side products (i.e. HMF, levulinic acid, and formic acid) that are found to inhibit the fermentation process.2 In addition to undesired side products, the price of enzymatic procedure that is even higher than the cost of fossil fuels has also made the whole process to be
economically inefficient. On the other hand, acid catalysts are not favorable due to their phase that is difficult to separate as well as their corrosive and hazardous nature. Collectively, these concerns have prompted the idea to combine H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} acid and carbon material in developing a sulfonated carbon catalyst that displays an environmentally friendly behavior, included as the solid acid catalyst. The catalyst’s easiness to be separated, which depicts its possibility to be reused in multiple hydrolysis processes, becomes the key reason for its low negative impact on the environment to be considered as a feasible catalyst in the biomass conversion into valuable chemical materials.

A high surface area activated carbon that possesses an excellent catalyst characteristic can be prepared from the highly available and low-cost agricultural/forest with carbon content. Numerous types of acid-functionalized activated carbon have been successfully used in many hydrolysis reactions, including the use of H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}/active carbon in the hydrolysis process of sugarcane waste\textsuperscript{11}, the use of activated carbon from tea waste for ammonia borane hydrolysis\textsuperscript{12}, the use of metal-supported activated carbon for hydrolysis of carbonyl sulfide and carbonyl disulfide\textsuperscript{13}, and the use of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}/montmorillonite\textsuperscript{14}. According to past researches, employing sulfonated activated carbon prepared with sulfuric acid in the hydrolysis of cellulose for 1.5 h produced the highest glucose level with over 53.9 wt% at 180°C reaction condition and increased to 85.4wt% with the help of a microwave.\textsuperscript{15,16}

The study of biomass conversion over a solid acid catalyst or heterogeneous catalyst is a challenging task on its own considering the catalysts themselves should meet several important demands such as high activity, high selectivity, and good stability. This research aims to study the activity of a carbon catalyst in the hydrolysis of lignocellulose. Therefore, it is expected that the carbon-based solid acid catalyst can overcome the disadvantages of past catalysts and created an added value to the forestry and agricultural wastes.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Material and Methods**

In this work, the cellulose source was obtained from Ubar wood (Syzygium sp.). The carbon source was collected from the sawdust of mixed wood waste. Phenol, acetic acid (CH\textsubscript{3}COOH), potassium sodium tartrate (KNa\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{6}·4H\textsubscript{2}O), potassium iodide (KI), sodium hypochlorite (NaClO), sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), sodium sulfate (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), alcohol, hydrochloric acid (HCl), and ammonia were all purchased from Merck-Germany. Dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Benzene was provided by Smart Lab-Indonesia.

**Preparation of Sulfonated Carbon Catalyst (SC)**

The carbon catalyst was obtained by pyrolyzing wood waste sawdust at 350°C for 4 h (noted as C). Afterward, the product was filtered and sulfonated using H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} 12N at 150°C for 4 h under reflux condition.\textsuperscript{17} The sulfonated product was then washed to neutral pH and dried at 105°C prior to its calcination at 500°C for 1 h (noted as SC).\textsuperscript{18}

**Characterization of SC**

Based on Indonesian National Standards (SNI 06-3730-1995) (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, 1995), the carbon catalyst was evaluated to understand the quality of activated carbon. In this study, the functional groups constructing the activated carbon were identified using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR-BRUKER Tensor37). The crystallinity of the catalyst was observed using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD-7000 Shimadzu-Japan) operated with Cu Ka radiation scanned at 40kV within 10-80 degrees using 0.6 second pre-set time. Furthermore, the acidity of the carbon catalyst was analyzed through the gravimetical method using ammonia as adsorbate. The adsorption method of ammonia is explained below. One gram of carbon sample was put into an evaporating dish, placed into a desiccator that was previously saturated with ammonia vapor, and allowed to stand for 24 hours.

\[
\text{Ammonia adsorption (mmol/g)} = \frac{W_3 - W_2}{W_2 - W_1} M \times 1000
\]

Remarks:

W\textsubscript{1}: the weight of the empty evaporating dish

W\textsubscript{2}: the weight of evaporating dish + sample before treatment
W3: the weight of evaporating dish + sample after treatment  
M: molecular weight of ammonia (g mol⁻¹)

**Production of Ubar Wood Pulp**

The Ubar wood was processed into pulp through a crafting process using rotary digester, NaOH, and Na₂S as a chemical reagent. Its chemical components were then analyzed and referred to SNI (Indonesian National Standards) 8400:2017 (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, 2017), 0492:2008 (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, 2008), and 01-1561-1989 (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, 1989).

**Activity of Carbon Catalyst in Ubar Hydrolysis Reaction**

The carbon catalyst was employed in the hydrolysis reaction of pulp assisted by a microwave set at 200, 400, and 600 Watt for 3 and 5 minutes. The weight ratios of catalyst to a pulp were varied into 1:1; 1:2; 1:4; 1:6 and 1:8 (wt/wt) using water as reaction medium. This activity test was conducted with two times replication. The hydrolysate product was analyzed using UV-Vis (UV-1700 Shimadzu) by the DNS method. Aside from analyzing hydrolysis filtrate, the analysis of glucose concentration following the glucose standard curve equation was also carried out.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characteristics of Carbon (C) and Sulfonated Carbon Catalyst (SC)**

Table-1 presented the carbon analysis data in comparison to the requirement set by SNI 06-3730-1995, which includes recovery value, moisture, volatile matter, ash content, and fixed carbon content. The recovery value of SC catalyst is about 65.08±0.31% due to the detachment of carbon from the washing process. The moisture, volatile matter, ash content, and fixed carbon of C and SC were shown to comply with SNI standards.

| Sample | Recovery Value (%) | Moisture Content (%) | Volatile Matter (%) | Ash Content (%) | Fixed Carbon (%) | Iodine Adsorption (mg/g) | Ammonia Adsorption (mmol/g) |
|--------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| C      | 34.00±0.26         | 1.84±0.16            | 12.02±0.12         | 5.81±0.16      | 82.09±0.15       | 216.96±0.18           | 4.28±0.09                |
| SC     | 65.08±0.31         | 4.35±0.65            | 6.09±0.06          | 9.51±0.04      | 84.40±0.57       | 478.51±0.69           | 5.61±0.28                |
| SNI    | <15                | <25                  | <10                | >65            | >750             |                        |                          |

The high temperature applied during the carbonization and activation process decreases the stability of organic molecules and eventually leads to the splitting of intermolecular bonds. Therefore, as contaminants were subsequently removed and volatile matters were released into gas and liquid products, fixed carbon can simultaneously increase. The rise of ammonia adsorption that is seen after the sulfonation of carbon indicates the opening pores and catalyst active site (Table-1). The adsorption illustrates the acidity strength of the carbon catalyst, which correlates linearly to the amount of active site in the catalyst and, hence, its catalytic activity.

Although iodine adsorption of SC (478.51±0.69 mg/g) still appeared below the established requirement, which may have been due to adsorption interference from several components on the surface of the catalyst, activation with sulfuric acid had greatly improved this character to approximately twice from the initial value. The occurrence can be linked to the removal of contaminants and pore opening of carbon material induced by the addition of sulfuric acid, allowing the catalyst to adsorb more iodine as opposed to non-sulfonated carbon (C). On the other hand, Table-2 showed several different FTIR spectra presented by C and SC catalysts. The success of the activation process can be indicated from four main functional groups: OH, CO, C=C, and O=S. It was revealed that the vibration band of the -OH group appeared at wavenumber 3399 and 3397 cm⁻¹ for C and SC catalysts, respectively. However, in contrast to the C catalyst, SC was
seen to display CO and S=O vibration bands at a wavenumber of 1795 and 1207 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. According to the literature, the formation of the sulfonic group can be observed from the vibration band of S-O and SO\(_3\) commonly indicated at a wavenumber of 1037, 1040, and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) or 1372 and 1025 cm\(^{-1}\). Shen et al.\(^{24}\) reported that the vibrations around 1209 and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the presence of S=O from –SO\(_3\)H while the presence of O-H, C=O, and C=C can respectively be detected at wavenumber 3300, 1666, and 1605 cm\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, the carboxylic acid group can also be confirmed in the appearance of the vibration band at 1750 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{25}\)

| Sample | Wavenumber (cm\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|-------------------------|
| C      | 3399 OH, 1608 CO, 1594 C=C, 1207 O=S, 857 C-H |
| SC     | 3397 OH, 1795 CO, 1594 C=C, 1207 O=S, 857 C-H |

Table-2: The FTIR Data of Carbon and Sulfonated Carbon Catalyst

Figure-1 describes the diffractogram data of C and SC samples using XRD. As expected, the pattern of samples with and without sulfonate groups showed differences. In Fig.-1a, the diffractogram of C samples (Fig.-1c) was compared to the structure of cubic carbon-C\(_{60}\) crystal according to JCPDS references (PDF card no. 044-0558). The pattern of C samples confirmed that the carbon was formed by mainly amorphous carbon with a peak at 20.78° (\(d_{hkl}=311\)). However, the broad peak of (002) crystal plane graphite layer centered at 20 = 23° cannot be significantly detected in the diffractogram of C catalyst. The result indicates that carbonization at 350 °C is not capable of completely transforming the raw material structure into the typical turbostratic carbon structure. Generally, carbon derived from biomass displays two broad peaks centered on 20 = 23° and 43°, representing the structure of a crystalline plane (002) and (100) from the graphite layer with random orientation, as discussed in the previous findings.\(^{26-28}\)
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**Fig.-1: XRD Pattern of (a) JCPDS no. 044-0558, (b) JCPDS no. 052-0976, (c) C, and (d) SC Sample**

On the other hand, SC catalyst (Fig.-1d) showed peaks at 19.68°, 21.56°, and 23.42°, corresponding to the crystal plane of orthorhombic carbon sulfide-C\(_{70}\)S\(_8\) with \(d_{hkl} = (622), (236), \) and (080), respectively (JCPDS no. 052-0976). This pattern indicating that the sulfur component binds with carbon structure in amorphous and crystalline phases. The pattern of SC was similar to the carbon catalyst reported by Cheng et al.\(^{29}\) The crystallinity of C and SC was 7.54% and 26.85%, respectively. The increase in crystallinity highlighted that sulfonation and calcination processes have successfully produced a more ordered carbon structure.

**Chemical Component of Ubar Wood Pulp**

The pulp is attractive to be developed as a raw material for glucose conversion because it is easier to be further transformed into several other products, such as bioethanol, alcohols, methyl ester, and many more. The direct conversion of pulp into glucose consists of a simple and effective process from an economical point of view.\(^{30}\) In general, the pulp from biomass is composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, with
cellulose as the dominant compound. Cellulose is a polymer from the glucose unit which is connected by the 1,4 glycosidic bonding to form a neatly organized microfibril structure. Hemicellulose is a branched polysaccharide comprising xylose and arabinose. Meanwhile, lignin is a complex polymer containing p-coumaryl, conyferyl, and sinapyl alcohol that protect the plant cell and are responsible for the hardly-decomposed structure of lignocellulose. In addition to strength and rigidity, lignin also protects plant cells against degradation. Glucose can be obtained from the hydrolysis of cellulose that also produces several other chemicals such as bioethanol, dimethylfurane, hydroxymethylfurfural, and levulinic acid. For this reason, in this study, it is important for Ubar wood to be pre-treated in the pulp-producing process to reduce its lignin content while simultaneously increasing the cellulose composition. This way, the feed material would be easier to be degraded and hydrolyzed to produce glucose.

| Sample          | Chemical Components (%) |          |          |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                 | Cellulose | Lignin | Pentosan |
| Ubar Wood Pulp  | 80.40      | 2.78   | 17.65    |

During the pulp-producing process, Ubar wood was treated using alkali (NaOH). The addition of alkali will remove lignin or hemicellulose compound from the biomass so that the conversion of cellulose by the catalyst can be maximized. According to Table-3, it can be seen that cellulose became the major component of Ubar wood pulp, followed respectively by pentosan and lignin. The higher the cellulose content is, the higher the glucose yield that can be expected from the hydrolysis reaction. This result was in agreement with Rai et al. where, in comparison to acid addition and no treatment, an alkaline pre-treatment exhibited an increase of substrate ability in creating more glucose. Moreover, Kang et al. reported that the key factors affecting the biomass hydrolysis process were the biomass composition, biomass surface access, catalyst, and the biomass preliminary treatment process. Lignin removal is important to enhance the hydrolysis rate and glucose content, particularly from biomass.

**Microwave-assisted Hydrolysis of Ubar Pulp using SC Catalyst**

The activity test was conducted to understand the potential of carbon material to be used as a catalyst in the microwave-assisted hydrolysis of Ubar pulp. According to Fig.-2, the glucose products are ranged from 139 ppm-203 ppm. The maximum glucose content was obtained during a 5-minute hydrolysis reaction using a catalyst to pulp ratio of 1:4 (wt:wt) with 600 W. From the result, it was shown that the rise in the applied power, time response, and source of cellulose tend to increase the glucose content. In a short reaction time, the contact between the reactants and the catalyst is not optimal. A sufficient reaction time is needed to allow reactant adsorption, collisions between reactants, the interaction between reactant and catalyst, as well as chain degradation of cellulose into glucose. The elongation of reaction time increased the glucose yield, as consistent with the work of Hermiati et al. The higher the power usage, the contact between reactants and catalysts is expected to be more frequent. However, a slight decrease of glucose was found in the use of 1:6 and 1:8 catalyst to pulp (wt:wt) ratio in the 5-minute reaction. This is most likely due to a possible secondary reaction that releases other side products such as levulinic acid or HMF during glucose production. The presence of glucose in the hydrolysis product was related to the splitting of 1,4-glycosidic bonds in the structure of cellulose, whereas the formation of other side products such as HMF is linked to the dehydration that caused glucose partial decomposition. On the other hand, a greater amount of pulp employed in the hydrolysis reaction will naturally produce a higher glucose compound as it serves as a reactant and a source of cellulose (Fig.-2). The yield of glucose in this research is still lower than the product obtained from biomass using an enzymatic hydrolysis process in a batch reactor that generated 130 g.L⁻¹ glucose concentration. This is possibly caused by the differences in reaction conditions and equipment. The acidity and SO₃H group affect the catalyst activity as well as the product of the hydrolysis reaction. The presence of functional groups such as OH and COOH facilitated interaction with the cellulose chain, thus, drawing the feed compound closer to the active center of SO₃H where the cleavage of the β-glycosidic bond occurred in the hydrolysis process.
process. These findings are in agreement with the result obtained from FTIR in which OH species facilitated the hydrolysis of carbonyl sulfide and carbonyl disulfide. The hydrolysis activity was not only affected by feed sequestration, but also by the collision with the SO$_3$H functional group and adsorption capacity. Based on this data, it is noticed that the activated carbon plays an important role as a catalyst in the hydrolysis of Ubar pulp. Activated carbon can be used as a catalyst support or as a stand-alone catalyst that mediates hydrolysis reaction. In confirming this fact, the product of hydrolysis reaction carried out in the presence of H$_2$SO$_4$ 12N, non-sulfonated carbon and water was carefully compared (Table-4).

![Graph of Ubar Pulp Hydrolysis Data using Carbon Catalyst in 3 (a) and 5 (b) minutes Reaction](image)

**Table-4: The Glucose Content in the Ubar Pulp Hydrolysis Reaction without Carbon Catalyst**

| Reaction Conditions | Glucose (ppm) |
|---------------------|---------------|
| H$_2$SO$_4$ 12N     | 174.62        |
| Carbon (C)          | 113.06        |
| Water               | 112.48        |

However, the use of H$_2$SO$_4$ allegedly showed a low catalytic activity due to the occurrence of hydration. As opposed to the easily hydrated sulfuric acid, which subsequently decreases its acidity, the SO$_3$H functional group grafted on the surface of carbon catalyst is more stable and thus, able to perform a better catalytic activity. This result is consistent with a previous study that showed an increase in glucose yield in the microwave-assisted hydrolysis process using activated carbon. The presence of activated carbon enhanced the activity of hydrolytic enzyme genes and was able to maintain its superiority characters in stimulating hydrolysis as reported by Yan et al. The catalysis reaction with microwave-assisted can be promising methods for further development.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, the highest glucose content, with over 203.98 ppm, can be obtained in the 5-minute microwave-assisted hydrolysis of Ubar pulp using carbon with the catalyst to pulp weight ratio of 1:4 (wt:wt) and power usage of 600 Watt. This catalyst was made from wood waste and had the potential to be developed into a renewable green catalyst that is selective, high performing, and more environmentally friendly.
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