Intracranial extension of Schneiderian inverted papilloma: a case report and literature review

Intrakranielle Ausbreitung des invertierten Papilloms: Fallvorstellung und Literaturübersicht

Abstract

Inverted papilloma is an uncommon primary nasal tumor. Despite its benign nature, this tumor represents three typical characteristics: a high propensity of recurrence, local aggressiveness and association with malignancy. Inverted papilloma can reduce the patient’s quality of life due to compromised nasal function, extension to the orbit and brain. The authors reported the unusual case of a 72-year-old male patient with inverted papilloma, which fatally extended to the intracranial temporal fossa after multiple recurrences. To the authors’ knowledge, this is the twelfth case in the literature of inverted papilla extending into the temporal fossa. The current and pertinent literature in English, French and German was reviewed, and an algorithm for managing inverted papilloma was also proposed.
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Zusammenfassung

Innerhalb der Tumoren der Nasenhaupt- und Nasennebenhöhlen sind invertierte Papillome vergleichsweise seltene Neubildungen. Trotz ihrer benignen Natur weist diese Tumorentität drei wesentliche Besonderheiten auf: die ausgesprochene Rezidivfreudigkeit, das lokal aggressiv-infiltrative Wachstum und das beträchtliche Potential zur malignen Entartung. Invertierte Papillome können Symptome im Bereich der Nasen- und Nasennebenhöhlen verursachen, aber auch Orbita und Schädelbasis infiltrieren. Berichtet wird über den ungewöhnlichen Fall eines 72-jährigen männlichen Patienten mit invertiertem Papillom, bei welchem es nach multiplen Rezidiven durch Schädelbasisinvasion und -infiltration zu einem fatalen Ausgang kam. Nach Kenntnis der Autoren handelt es sich um den bisher zwölften publizierten Fall einer solchen Tumorausbreitung.

Neben einer aktuellen Literaturübersicht, die englisch-, französisch- und deutschsprachige Publikationen einschließt, wird außerdem ein Therapiealgorithmus vorgeschlagen.
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Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defined Schneiderian papillomas as a benign epithelial neoplasia composed of well-differentiated columnar or ciliated respiratory epithelium with various degree of squamous differentiation [1], [2]. These tumors arise from the Schneiderian membrane that lines the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses. This membrane is derived from ectoderm of the nasal placode and suffers from many structural changes, predisposing neoplastic differentiation. Schneiderian papillomas can be classified in descending order of frequency into 3 types: exophytic (everted or fungiform), inverted, and oncocytic (columnar or cylindrical) [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

Inverted papilloma (IP) is an uncommon lesion that accounts for 0.5–4% of all primary nasal tumors. It affects all ages, most commonly white males in the fifth to the
seventh decades of life (average age, 53 years). There is a strong male predominance of 3:1 to 5:1. The most frequent sites are the lateral nasal wall near the middle turbinate or ethmoid recesses and the maxillary sinuses. The nasal septum, frontal and sphenoid sinuses are rarely affected. The tumor may extend beyond the nasal fossa and parasinal sinuses into the nasopharynx, pterygoid fossa, nasolacrimal duct, retrobulbar region and brain in descending order of frequency. Despite being benign, 3 typical characteristics of this tumor include its relatively high recurrence rate, local aggressiveness and potential for malignant transformation: atypia, dysplasia, carcinoma in situ, and frank squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) [1, 2, 3, 4], [5], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28].

The aims of this article were (1) to report a case of IP with multiple recurrences and fatal extension into the intracranial temporal fossa; (2) to review the current and pertinent literature in English, French and German; and (3) to establish an algorithm for managing sinonasal IP lesions.

Case report

A 72-year-old German man was referred to our craniomaxillofacial plastic surgical department. He reported a few-month history of left-sided facial pain and pressure, retrobulbar headache, nasal fullness, purulent nasal discharge, posterior nasal drip, epiphora and proptosis. The patient was otherwise healthy, with the exception of senile dementia. He stayed in a nursing home for the elderly. His caregiver denied history of tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and allergy of the patient. Over the past 12 years, the patient received 2 endonasal resections with radiotherapy and one tumor extirpation for nasal lesions at the same location at otolaryngology clinics elsewhere. Histopathological diagnosis of the first operation was unspecific inflammation of the nasal mucosa, while the pathological diagnosis of the other 2 surgeries was IP. Clinical examination, anterior rhinoscopy and endoscopy revealed purulent secretions and a papilloma-like tumor occupying and obstructing the entire left nasal cavity, and proptosis of the left eye. There was no diplopia, cranial nerve palsy nor palpable cervical lymphadenopathy. Since recurrent IP was suspected, the patient was elected to computed tomogram (CT) of the head and neck area, which showed a heterogeneous opacification of an expansile mass in the left nose and the ethmoid sinus. The medial orbital wall, the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus and the roof of the left ethmoid sinus were eroded with protrusion of the mass to the left orbit. Nasal septal perforation due to prior endonasal resections was also observed (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Under general anesthesia, the patient underwent an extensive resection with orbital preservation via a left lateral rhinotomy approach. There was no contamination of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) during the surgery. Histopathological examination of the resected specimens confirmed the diagnosis of IP without evidence of malignancy (Figure 3).

Our patient was hospitalized for a week after the surgery and recovered well postoperatively. Two weeks later, he regained a well formed naso-orbital area with minor deformity. The patient remained disease-free after one year before loss to the follow up. Two years after the resection from our institution, the nursing home reported us about the patient’s acute neurological deterioration and death of disease with no response to treatment at the nursing home. The autopsy result showed tumor extension to the intracranial temporal fossa without malignant changes of the tumor. There was no attempt to do postmortem imaging for scientific purposes.

The patient’s family gave the written consent before our chart reviewing. The requirement for ethical approval was waived by the institutional research ethics committee.

Discussion and literature review

Etiology

To date, the etiology of IP remains unknown. Many factors may cause IP, including allergy, chronic rhinosinusitis, proliferation of nasal polyps, human papilloma virus (HPV) 6/11 and 16/18, environmental agents, carcinogens, tobacco smoking, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and its receptor, c-Met, as well as several enzymes, such as metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) [2], [4], [5], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28].

Based on the “hit-and-run” mechanism in the oncogenesis of Schneiderian papillomas by HPV, sinonasal HPV 6/11 infection occurs in an early event during the multistep tumorigenesis process. Types of the papillomas depend on locations of the infection: HPV infection of nasal septal mucosa in exophytic papilloma, and HPV infection of the lateral nasal wall and/or parasinal sinus mucosa in IP.

For unknown reasons, IP epithelium tends to be non-keratinized. Hence, viral replication and reinfection rarely or never occur. As the superficial epithelial cells are shed, HPV can be lost from the lesion. This partly explains why HPV 6/11 infection rate in IP is lower than in exophytic papilloma. The progression of IP to dysplasia and malignancy results from (1) secondary infection of HPV 16/18, (2) loss of tumor suppressor genes, (3) integration of HPV 6/11 and/or HPV 16/18, or (4) a combination of these 3 factors [31]. It is possible that the development of carcinomas in IP is heralded by a reduced cellular apoptosis, which is triggered by HPV infection [32]. In addition to HPV, studies have showed that p21 and p53, probably coupled with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; ErbB-1), transforming growth factor alpha (TGF-α), desmoglein 3, and the muscle segment homeobox gene Msx2, are predictive of malignant transformation [1], [4], [5], [9], [11], [13], [20], [26], [31]. The role of HPV in the pathogenesis of IP was extensively reviewed by Lawson et al. [31].
Figure 1: Axial CT scan showing destruction of the lateral wall of the maxillary sinus by a heterogeneous tumor in the left nasal cavity. Bone dehiscence of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is also noted (white arrow).

Figure 2: Coronal CT scans showing (a) a lobulated tumor in the left nasal cavity and ethmoid sinus with extension to the periorbita (black arrow). The nasal septal perforation results from prior endonasal resections; (b) bone erosion of the roof of the ethmoid sinus and the medial orbital wall (black arrows).
Differential diagnosis

While inflammatory nasal polyps are typically bilateral, most IP lesions are unilateral at diagnosis [7], [16], [33]. Small IP lesions are usually asymptomatic and detected incidentally on radiographic imaging performed for non-rhinologic purposes. When the tumor reaches a grotesque size, it can cause nasal congestion, posterior nasal drip, epistaxis, hyposmia or anosmia, frontal headaches, epiphora, proptosis, diplopia, facial numbness and swelling. Pain and epistaxis associated with IP are rare, but they may indicate secondary infection or malignant changes of the lesion [2], [3], [4], [5], [14], [15], [20], [21]. These symptoms overlap with a myriad of other conditions, such as allergy, chronic rhinosinusitis and migraine [14]. Under the endoscopic view, the tumor is often seen as one or more polypoid masses with multiple digitations and a papillary surface located laterally to the middle turbinate [5].

Differential diagnosis of IP includes antral choanal polyp, nasal cavity squamous polyp, allergic fungal sinusitis, fibrous dysplasia, giant cell granuloma, juvenile angiofibroma, nasal glioma, meningoencephalocele, mucocele, mucus retention cyst, Tornwaldt’s cyst, grossly enlarged adenoids, SCC, lymphoma, adenocarcinoma and esthesioneuroblastoma [5], [8], [21], [34]. It is generally...
accepted that unilateral tumor localization involving the lateral nasal wall and the middle meatus is a diagnostic clue to IP. However, even experienced head and neck radiologists also have difficulty in diagnosing IP without a histopathological report because of the absence of pathognomonic radiographic appearance of this tumor [14, 16, 19, 20].

**Radiological aspects**

Radiographic features of IP depend on the extent of disease. Early lesions appear as soft-tissue density masses, which are heterogeneously enhanced with contrast on CT, within the nasal cavity and/or paranasal sinuses. With progression, the lesions increase opacification and thickening of one or more of the sinuses. Expansion and displacement of adjacent structures associated with the tumor may be observed. However, the nasal septum is usually preserved until late in the disease course. Although focal osteosclerosis is a common hyperplastic reaction to chronic rhinosinusitis, and bone erosion can be secondary to benign nasal polyposis, both focal bone sclerosis and erosion can be found in IP patients. There are 2 forms of focal hyperostosis of IP: (1) plaque-like bone thickening, often at the lateral nasal wall; and (2) cone-shaped bone thickening, commonly at the walls of paranasal sinuses or the nasal bony septum. IP and esthesioneuroblastomas are the 2 most common nasal tumors associated with intraselleral calcification [2], [3], [4], [13], [14], [15], [16], [20], [26], [33].

CT of IP usually shows a mass extending from the middle meatus to the adjacent maxillary antrum, through an expanded maxillary ostium. Although CT is helpful in determining tumor location and extension, it cannot differentiate tumor from inflammatory changes or diseases within the sinus, such as retained secretion, entrapped debris and scar tissue. Hence, tumor size and extension are often overestimated, leading potentially to overtreatment and increased subsequent morbidity. Administration of corticosteroid and antibiotics prior to CT imaging can reduce the inflammatory polypos and thereby decreases overestimation of the lesion. Alternatively, this drawback can be resolved by using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): either T2-weighted images or T1-weighted images with contrast or both [4], [5], [6], [7], [15], [16], [19], [21], [29], [33], [35].

IP is hypodense to isodense on T1-weighted images and isodense to hyperdense on T2-weighted images. T2-weighted MRI can distinguish IP (low to intermediate signal intensity with heterogeneous enhancement throughout the tumor post-gadolinium) from inflammatory tissue and inspissated mucus (bright signal intensity due to high water content). On MRI, IP lesions frequently present a convoluted cerebriform or columnar pattern or a seppate-striated appearance. However, T2-weighted MRI cannot differentiate between postoperative scarring and a recurrent tumor. Moreover, destruction of sinus walls is more difficult to recognize by MRI, compared to CT. To date, there has been no adequate evidence to prove that MRI is better than CT in identifying IP preoperatively. A combination of CT and MRI is usually recommended before treatment, although its cost-effective analysis remains unclear [2], [5], [8], [14], [15], [19], [21], [26], [33].

Preoperatively, MRI is invaluable in assessing intracranial or orbital extension of the tumor, which will impact treatment decisions [2], [13]. When IP appears hypointense with/without bone erosion instead of a hyperintense, heterogeneous enhancement on MRI, it tends to have a malignant transformation [2], [33].

**Pathological aspects**

Classification or staging systems for IP remain unsettled [4], [5]. Recently, Cannady et al. [36] proposed the new prognostic staging system based on tumor locations/extension [36].

Microscopic findings of IP are distinctive. Literally, the name “inverted papilloma” describes an endophytic or inverted growth pattern of the epithelium into the underlying stroma rather than outward proliferation from the surface. The epithelial basement membrane is intact [9], [13], [17]. The tumor is composed mainly of hyperplastic basement membrane-enclosed epithelium into the underlying stroma. The epithelium is multilayer, up to 30 cells in thickness. The squamous or respiratory epithelium is usually mixed with muccocytes. Non-keratinizing squamous or transitional-type epithelium is the predominant cell type and frequently covered by a single layer of ciliated columnar epithelium. The stroma has a variable morphology, ranging from dense and fibrous to loose and myxoid with/without inflammatory infiltration. However, it lacks eosinophils, which is common in allergic polyps. Minimal nuclear atypia is frequently found together with basal and parabasal mitoses [3], [5], [9]. Only on rare occasions when IP exhibits focal surface changes similar to verruca vulgaris: focal papillary squamous epithelial hyperplasia with marked keratosis and/or parakeratosis with prominent granular cell layer and numerous koiocytes, WHO recommends that, the patient be diagnosed as ‘IP with focal verrucous hyperplasia’ and followed closely for possible development of verrucous carcinoma or SCC [3]. When an area of moderate to severe dysplasia or atypia and/or focal surface keratinization is found, microscopic evaluation of all specimen tissue is obligatory to avoid overlooking small foci of malignant transformation [3], [31], [33]. The most reliable criteria for carcinomatous changes include the loss of polarity, anaplasia of the cells and the lack of maturation. Squamous epithelium with marked atypia, an increased nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio, conspicuous nucleoli, atypical mitosis in the middle and upper layers, a loss of polarity, presence of dyskeratotic cells and the absence of eosinophils, is common in the malignant areas of IP [5], [9]. Conversely, benign behavior is linked to the presence of inflammatory polyp and the absence of hyperkeratosis [9]. Histopathological examination of all sinonasal polypoid specimens is mandatory since unexpected
pathologies are common, especially in cases of unilateral polyps [5], [34]. There has been evidence that a combination of histopathological parameters including the presence of hyperkeratosis and squamous epithelial hyperplasia, a high mitotic index (>2 mitoses per high power field) and an absence of inflammatory polyps may be negative prognostic indicators [1], [2], [5]. However, recent studies showed no strong correlation between the degree of atypia/dysplasia or the mitotic index, and recurrence or transformation into carcinomas [11], [17], [33]. Therefore, some authors suggest treating IP with atypia or dysplasia in the same manner as in benign diseases [17].

Surgical aspects

IP is a slow-growing, locally invasive tumor that runs a benign course in most cases. However, if neglected, the persistent and infiltrative tumor can cause gross morbidity or even kill the patient by progressive spread to involve vital structures such as orbits (1–8%) or brain (0.34%) [3], [10]. Intracranial extension in the absence of malignancy is usually seen in recurrent cases especially when the lesion involves the cribriform plate, frontal sinus, ethmoidal and orbits [23], [37]. It may be due to the technical difficulties of undertaking complete resection in these anatomical areas [2], [33].

Anterior cranial fossa invasion occurs after destruction of the posterior table of the frontal sinus or the roof of the nasal cavity. Moreover, iatrogenic tumor seeding due to prior extracranial surgery can lead to intracranial and dural involvement [10]. As previously mentioned, HPV is frequently found in IP and can result in recurrence [31], [33], [37], any perioperative contamination of the CSF can spread the virus and/or tumor to the subdural space. Some authors suggest that the periorbita and dura be preserved; the tumor instead be treated with bipolar electrocautery. It is believed that preservation of the dura and orbital septum provides an effective barrier to tumor spread [2], [13].

When the dura is opened for en bloc resection or partially resected during the operation, care must be taken not to contaminate the CSF because this endangers the patient with possible diffuse tumor seeding [37]. It is therefore no surprise that once the disease transgresses the dura, the patient will have a poor prognosis [23], [37]. In the study by Vural et al. [37], 3 (25%) of 12 IP patients with intracranial invasion died with disease after an average follow-up of 9 months (range, 2–17); all of them had dural involvement. Therefore, IP approaching the anterior skull base should be aggressively treated to reduce the risk of local recurrence and intracranial invasion [37].

Until now, only 12 IP cases with extension to intracranial temporal fossa, including our patient, have been reported in the literature. It has been hypothesized that temporal bone or fossa involvement results from (1) direct extension from the sinonasal cavity via the Eustachian tube or directly through the skull base, (2) a primary middle ear involvement secondary to metaplastic changes of the middle ear mucosa, or (3) tumor seeding in extranasal regions due to surgical manipulation during sinonasal tumor removal [22], [29]. Bui et al. [11] reported a patient with IP of the nasal fossa who developed multiple recurrences in the middle ear before intracranial extension of the auricular lesion. In our case, local invasion of the lesion may cause bony destruction and give access for intracranial spread.

The treatment of choice for IP is wide excision using either an open or endoscopic approach. Rhinologic/head and neck surgeons today typically tailor their approaches, based on the extent and location of the tumor as determined preoperatively and intraoperatively, the skill and expertise of the surgeon and the available technology [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [13], [19]. Surgical approaches used for IP resection can be categorized as followed: (1) nonendoscopic endonasal approach; (2) limited external nasal approach (e.g. Caldwell-Luc); (3) radical external nasal approach (e.g. medial maxillectomy via a lateral rhinotomy or midfacial degloving approach); (4) endonasal endoscopic approach; and (5) a combination of these approaches [2], [12].

External approaches provide good exposure and a favorable tumor control rate, but they are associated with significant morbidity and a prolonged recovery period [12], [26]. In contrast, endoscopic tools enhance illumination, magnification and angled visualization of the operative field and allow the use of powered instrumentation. This technique can be used in selected cases with a limited disease encroaching on the ethmoid sinuses, the lateral nasal wall, and the medial maxillary wall, including difficult-access locations such as the anterior or lateral wall of the maxillary sinus and the alveolar recess. Other pertinent benefits are decreased resection of healthy tissues and postoperative morbidity, such as bleeding/epistaxis, crusting, facial swelling, facial pain/neuralgia, epiphora, dacryocystitis, blepharitis, diplopia, mucocele, Eustachian tube dysfunction, CSF leak and external scarring. Moreover, endoscopic resection can be performed in an ambulatory setting and offers advantages in terms of patient comfort and health care cost [3], [4], [5], [12], [14], [15], [18], [25], [37], [38], [39], [40].

An adjunct to endoscopic resection may be considered if the extranasal component of the tumor cannot be adequately visualized endoscopically, for example, a Caldwell-Luc approach when the lateral, inferior or anterior walls of the maxillary sinus are involved; the osteoplastic frontal flap or Draf II- or III-type approaches (median drainage procedure) for the frontal sinus and/or supraorbital involvement. Temporary septotomy may be used when the tumor confines to the posterior nasal cavity and/or sphenoid sinus [6], [13], [26], [33], [37], [40]. Hence, the patient should be advised that adding or conversion to an open technique may be necessary if the endoscopic approach proves unsatisfactory during the procedure, such as limited access to the entire tumor [33], [39]. Contraindications to endoscopic excision include massive skull base erosion, dural or extensive frontal or infratemporal involvement, intraorbital extension.
Extensive tumors usually require a lateral rhinotomy and medial maxillectomy, which comprise the following 5 steps: (1) uncinectomy, (2) mega-antrostomy, (3) inferior turbinatectomy, (4) debridement of mucosa overlying the medial maxillary wall, and (5) drilling the bony wall with a cutting or diamond burr, or osteotomizing with a chisel. This radical procedure allows en bloc resection of the lateral nasal wall, ethmoid labyrinth, and medial portion of the maxilla, which are the common tumor locations. The stalk or attachment of the tumor should be identified and completely removed along with the surrounding mucosa to reduce the risk of further recurrence [4], [5], [13], [25], [40]. It has been our experience that the Le Fort I approach to the tumor at the lateral nasal wall should be avoided because the osteotomy line may cut through the tumor or its stalk or site of attachment directly, increasing the risks of tumor spread and recurrences. Using the Caldwell-Luc approach alone provides limited surgical access and hence contributes to high recurrence rates. To avoid external scarring and morbidity, Rouge-Denker’s rhinotomy, septal translocation or a midfacial degloving or sublabial vestibular approach can be used [2], [5], [10], [15]. IP affecting the anterior skull base, an aggressive form, may require a craniofacial resection [10], [37]. However, the frontal sinus should not be obliterated after removing the tumor in order to be able to assess the sinus postoperatively by endoscopy or radiography [13], [14]. Although some authors advocated the benefit of postoperative radiation for preventing tumor progression or recurrence in aggressive IP, side effects of radiotherapy should not be underestimated. The patients may suffer from radiation retinopathy, glaucoma, radiation-induced cataract or central retinal artery obstruction [41]. Radiation to the anterior cranial fossa can cause radiation-induced encephalomalacia and frontal lobe dysfunction [10]. Adjuvant radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy, therefore, reserves only for patients with multiple recurrences, unoperable or incompletely resectable tumors or those associated with malignancy [2], [4], [8], [9], [11], [17], [22], [23], [33]. Radiation techniques and dose fractionation schedules for patients with IP-associated malignancy follow those for carcinomas of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses [4]. As with other cancer patients, the treatment plan should be decided by the interdisciplinary tumor board/committee together with the patient [7], [33]. Multiple factors influence recurrence rates of IP, including tumor location, extent, histology, multicentricity, method of removal, primary versus secondary resection, follow up and biological variability of the tumor [17]. Recently, smoking and tumor with extrasinal/sinus extension have been found to be associated with the recurrence of IP after surgical resection [33], [42]. However, most recurrences are related to incomplete tumor removal rather than to metachronous disease [5], [11], [15], [17], [26], [33], [37]. Conservative surgery (polypectomy, Caldwell-Luc or simple excision) yields 67 – 78% recurrences, while recurrence rates are 0 – 14% after a lateral rhinotomy and medial maxillectomy or midfacial degloving [5]. Hence, there is general consensus that IP is best managed and controlled by radical resection. Intraoperative frozen section should be performed when feasible, to ensure adequate tumor resection with tumor-free margins [25]. Parallel with this, the risk of malignant transformation in metachronous lesions can be reduced when complete excision is accomplished [1]. Although many initial IP lesions are unifocal, inadequate tumor removal promotes multifocality [18]. A unilateral mass with a lobulated pattern is a common radiographic feature of tumor recurrence [19]. Recurrence occurring despite radical resection may result from the multicentric nature of the papilloma [17]. Most recurrences occur at the margins of previous surgical resections within the first few years of therapy, but 17% of the patients have late recurrences after 5 years [1], [3], [8], [9], [10], [17], [23], [37]. Hence, the patients should be followed up for at least 6 years [8], [35]. Careful endoscopic follow-up examination, including nasal endoscopy and biopsy of suspected areas, and serial CT scans/MRI postoperatively would help detect early recurrence and allow timely intervention [10], [15], [23]. Many authors recommend MRI as the best follow-up imaging because it is more sensitive and specific in differentiating soft tissue mass from underlying inflammation and other postoperative changes such as scar tissue, and because of no radiation risk [29], [33]. Based on the current literature and our experience, we established an algorithm for managing sinonasal IP (Figure 4).

Conclusions

This case report presents an IP case with fatal extension to the temporal fossa. This is a typical case of intracranial spread of IP after multiple recurrences. Although IP is benign, it reduces the patient’s quality of life due to compromised nasal function, extension to the orbit and brain [6]. Three typical characteristics of IP include a high propensity of recurrence, local aggressiveness and association with malignancy. Hence, this benign tumor requires radical surgery. Strict attention must be paid to identifying the site of tumor attachment and aggressive resection of a margin of mucosa and bone at this location [13]. Any tumor attached to periorbita or dura should be dealt with by bipolar electrocautery rather than by excision of the dura or periorbita because they provide an effective barrier to tumor spread [2], [13]. Long-term follow up of the patients is also mandatory, as disease can be quite extensive before it becomes symptomatic [17].
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Clinical signs and symptoms:
nasal congestion, posterior nasal drip, epistaxis, anosmia, frontal headaches, epiphora, proptosis and diplopia

Examination with anterior rhinoscopy and/or endoscopy:
a sinonasal polypoid mass

- History of recurrence
  - Yes
    - Review of previous histopathological slides, especially in cases with multiple recurrences
  - No
    - Appropriate investigation: CT, MRI, incisional biopsy

- Other diseases
  - Appropriate treatment and follow-up

- Suspected IP
  - Anterior skull base involvement (massive skull erosion and/or dural involvement)
    - Yes
      - Consider craniofacial resection and/or other neurosurgical procedures
    - No
      - Intraorbital involvement and/or abundant scar tissue from previous surgery
        - Yes
          - Aggressive resection, e.g. medial maxillectomy via a lateral rhinotomy approach or other approaches (Rouge-Denker’s rhinotomy, septal translocation or a midfacial degloving or sublabial approach)
        - No
          - Localized lesions (ethmoid sinuses, lateral nasal and medial maxillary walls)

- Endoscopic resection

- Multiple recurrences, unoperable or incompletely resectable tumors or associated with malignancy
  - Yes
    - Consider adjuvant radiotherapy
  - No

- Close follow-up
  - (2-3 times per year for the first few years, then once a year for at least 6 years after operation)

Figure 4: Algorithm for managing sinonasal inverted papilloma (IP)
Abbreviations: CT, computed tomogram; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging
Notes
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