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The reproducibility of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) quantification results, obtained with MRSI, was determined on a 3 T MR scanner in healthy adults. In this study, a spiral-encoded, GABA-edited, MEGA-LASER MRSI sequence with real-time motion-scanner-instability corrections was applied for robust 3D mapping of neurotransmitters in the brain. In particular, the GABA⁺ (i.e. GABA plus macromolecule contamination) and Glx (i.e. glutamate plus glutamine contamination) signal was measured. This sequence enables 3D-MRSI with about 3 cm³ nominal resolution in about 20 min. Since reliable quantification of GABA is challenging, the spatial distribution of the inter-subject and intra-subject variability of GABA⁺ and Glx levels was studied via test–retest assessment in 14 healthy volunteers (seven men—seven women).

For both inter-subject and intra-subject repeated measurement sessions a low coefficient of variation (CV) and a high intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) were found for GABA⁺ and Glx ratios across all evaluated voxels (intra-/inter-subject: GABA⁺ ratios, CV ~ 8%–ICC > 0.75; Glx ratios, CV ~ 6%–ICC > 0.70). The same was found in selected brain regions for Glx ratios versus GABA⁺ ratios (CV varied from about 5% versus about 8% in occipital and parietal regions, to about 8% versus about 10% in the frontal area, thalamus, and basal ganglia).

These results provide evidence that 3D mapping of GABA⁺ and Glx using the described methodology provides high reproducibility for application in clinical and neuroscientific studies.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Brain neurotransmitters work as chemical exchange messengers between neurons and are responsible for both physiological and mental processes.1 Although glutamate (Glu) is the most abundant excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (CNS), with concentrations of 5–15 mM,1,2 the in vivo levels of the major inhibitory neurotransmitter, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), reach only 1–2 mM.3 Both GABA and Glu are essential for the development and function of the healthy brain.4,5 They play an important role as potential biomarkers for monitoring various neurological (e.g. Alzheimer’s1,6 and Parkinson’s disease),2,7 epilepsy5,8,9 major depressive and bipolar disorder,1,11 or schizophrenia12–13), and other diseases of development.5,10

The accurate quantification of these neurotransmitters, particularly GABA, is complicated, even when measured at 3 T.14 Due to J-coupling effects, the MRS signal of Glu appears as a complicated triplet at 3.75 ppm and multiplets in the range of 2.4–2.04 ppm.15 Furthermore, glutamine (Gln) overlaps the Glu signal, and thus they are usually evaluated as the sum of both Glu and Gln (Glx).16 All the GABA proton multiplet signals that resonate at 1.88, 2.28, and 3.02 ppm16 overlap entirely with other metabolite resonances, i.e. with creatine and phosphocreatine (tCr), with Glx, with N-acetyl aspartate and N-acetyl aspartyl glutamate (tNAA), and with macromolecules (MMs).4 Among dedicated MRS-editing techniques for GABA quantification, the most popular is Mescher–Garwood (MEGA) editing.17,18 MEGA is a J-difference MRS-editing technique that operates on two selective frequencies. During EDIT-ON acquisition, the MEGA pulses influence metabolites that resonate at 1.9 ppm, as well as their J-coupling partners (i.e. GABA at 1.9 and 3.02 ppm, Glx at 2.1 and 3.75 ppm, and NAA at 2.0 ppm).16,18 During the EDIT-OFF acquisition the metabolite resonances are not affected. The spectra from EDIT-ON and EDIT-OFF acquisitions are subtracted to obtain a difference spectrum.5 However, conventional J-difference MRS does not separate the GABA signal entirely from contamination by co-edited MM signals at 3.0 ppm, which are coupled to spins at 1.7 ppm.19 Thus, the derived GABA signal is usually labeled as GABA+.4

MEGA-edited measurements are challenging, mainly due to B0/B1+ inhomogeneities, scanner instabilities, motion artifacts, and long scan times.18 Therefore, a spiral-accelerated 3D-MRSI sequence was developed with real-time artifact corrections, which employs MEGA-LASER editing, as described recently.20,21 Localized adiabatic spin-echo refocusing (LASER) selection eliminates the drawbacks of conventional RF pulses, including B1+ changes due to different coil loadings and inhomogeneities, and maintains perfect 90° or 180° pulses. Other confounding factors could be B1+ inhomogeneities, which can be corrected via appropriate coil combinations.22 Furthermore, when using gradient offset independent adiabatic (GOIA) pulses, LASER nearly eliminates chemical-shift displacement errors and nonuniformity, and reduces power requirements (SAR, specific absorption rate).23,24 In addition, spiral readout trajectories simultaneously acquire frequency in two spatial dimensions, thus enabling up to 50 times faster data sampling than conventional phase-encoding approaches.23

For these reasons, MEGA-LASER spiral 3D-MRSI constitutes a promising tool for the robust acquisition of GABA+, Glx, and other important neurometabolites in vivo within large parts of the brain within one scan.20,21 Despite the many advantages of this method, to date its reproducibility has not yet been determined.

The purpose of this study was therefore to measure the intra- and inter-subject reproducibility of GABA+ and Glx in healthy subjects, and to compare with literature values previously determined using MEGA-PRESS (point-resolved spectroscopy).

2 | EXPERIMENT

2.1 | Subjects

Fourteen healthy volunteers (age 30 ± 5 years, seven males and seven females) were included in this study after institutional review board approval and obtaining written, informed consent. None of the subjects demonstrated pathological findings on MRI, had any known history of neurological disorders, or had any medical treatment. All volunteers were scanned twice, with a gap of 30 min or less, to minimize intra-subject variability. During this gap, all participants were removed from the scanner.

2.2 | Hardware

This study was performed on a 3 T whole-body MR scanner (TIM Trio®, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with 45 mT/m total gradient strength and 200 mT/m/s nominal slew. A body coil was used for transmission and a 32-channel head coil was used for signal reception (Siemens Healthcare).

2.3 | Measurement protocol and sequence parameters

For all measurements in volunteers, the following imaging protocol was followed. Auto-align was used to ensure identical slice positioning in the brain between the two scan sessions, as well as similarity of positioning of the subjects.25 To ensure accurate volume of interest (VOI) placement, 3D T1-weighted anatomical reference images were acquired via a magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE) sequence, with generalized auto-calibrating partially parallel acquisition (GRAPPA) 3 and 2 min 27 s measurement time, and then these images were resliced in three orthogonal directions. An additional 3D, high-resolution, T1-weighted MRI for tissue segmentation was measured, with a total acquisition time of 6 min 39 s. For spectroscopic measurements, a constant-density, spiral-encoded, 3D-MRSI sequence with MEGA-LASER editing was used. Real-time correction was applied for rigid motion bias (i.e. translations and rotations) and correction of shim and center frequency changes.20,21 All MRSI slices were placed parallel to the anterior commissure–posterior commissure line and covered the centrum semiovale and basal ganglia (Figure 1), with VOI = 80 × 85 × 60 mm3 and field of view (FOV) = 200 × 200 × 170 mm3.

The acquired matrix size of 14 × 14 × 12 (i.e. ~3 cm3 nominal voxel size) was interpolated to a 16 × 16 × 16 matrix. During EDIT-ON acquisition, the MEGA-editing pulses (60 Hz Gaussian pulses of 14.8 ms
duration) were set to 1.9 ppm, to edit the coupled $^2$CH$_2$ triplet of GABA resonating at 3.02 ppm. During EDIT-OFF acquisition, the editing pulse was applied at 7.5 ppm, symmetrically around the water peak. The difference spectrum was derived on the scanner via subtraction of EDIT-ON and EDIT-OFF spectra (Figure 2). VOI selection via LASER and low-power and wide-bandwidth GOIA pulses enabled MEGA editing with an echo time of 68 ms. All adiabatic pulses were run with a $B_1^*$ safety margin of 10% above the adiabatic threshold. For real-time correction, volumetric, dual-contrast, echo planar imaging-based navigators that update $B_0$ shim, frequency, and head-position changes for each pair of EDIT-ON–OFF acquisitions were used (i.e. with a repetition time of 1.6 s, an update occurs every 3.2 s). To cover the x/y–k space and the spectral width properly, two temporal and two spatial interleaves were performed. For 3D-MRSI, 16 acquisition-weighted averages (for k-space weighting a cosine shaped window function was used) and two-step phase cycling (i.e., the phase of the ADC and the excitation pulse were toggled between 0° and 180°) were employed. The scan time was about 19 min 44 s = 1.6 s (TR) × 2 (temporal interleave) × 2 (spatial interleave) × 2 (MEGA-ON–OFF) × 16 (averages) × 12 (phase-encoding steps)/half (x–k-space weighting).

### 2.4 Data processing

All spectra within the VOI were processed automatically with an in-house-developed software tool using MATLAB (R2013a, MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA), Bash (version 4.2.25, Free Software Foundation, Boston, MA, USA), and MINC (MINC Tools, Version 2.0; McConnell Brain Imaging Center, Montreal, QC, Canada), which features a graphical user interface for automatic data processing and employs LCMModel software (Version 6.3–1, S. Provencher, LCMModel, Oakville, ON, Canada). Head movement and $B_0$-changes were recorded for all volunteers and the average change in translation, rotation, frequency, and first-order shim was calculated. All basis-set simulations were performed with exactly the same RF and gradient modulations as in the experiments. Slice selection and gradient effects were simulated using a number of isochromats equal to the number of points in the gradient waveform (i.e. 350 points for the 3.5 ms GOIA pulse, 10 μs dwell time). Two different simulated basis sets without MM baseline correction were created using GAMMA, one for the EDIT-OFF (containing 21 brain metabolites) and one for the difference spectrum (containing GABA$, \text{Glx}$, and NAA) (Figure 3). The metabolic ratios (i.e. GABA$^+$/Glx, GABA$^+$/tCr, GABA$^+$/tNAA, Glx/tCr, and Glx/tNAA), as well as spectral quality parameters (i.e. signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and full width at half maximum (FWHM) of tNAA), were calculated. Maps of the metabolites’ signal amplitudes (Figure 4) and their CRLBs (Cramér–Rao lower bounds) were created, along with FWHM and SNR maps of tNAA (Figure 5). For visualization, all metabolite maps were interpolated to the resolution of the MPRAGE images.

### 2.5 Statistical evaluation

Statistical analysis was performed and plots were created using the SPSS software package (Version 15.0; Chicago, IL, USA). For quantitative evaluation, voxels inside the VOI that fulfilled the following minimum criteria were further processed: the CRLB of tNAA and the tCr values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The intra-subject reliability of GABA$^+$ and Glx metabolic ratios, as well as the SNR and FWHM of tNAA, were investigated. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The intra-subject reliability of GABA$^+$ and Glx metabolic ratios between the test–retest sessions were evaluated across all eligible voxels for each volunteer by computing intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) using a two-factor, mixed-effects model and type consistency. Furthermore, to investigate the agreement between repeated 3D-MRSI measurements of neurotransmitters, Bland–Altman plots were drawn for GABA$^+$ and Glx ratios for all eligible voxels and volunteers (Supplementary Material S1). To analyze the difference in neurotransmitters between selected brain regions, one-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc tests, was performed across all volunteers. ANOVA was used to investigate differences between

---

**FIGURE 1** Morphological $T_1$-weighted reference images display the positioning of the VOI (80 × 85 × 60 mm$^3$) and FOV (200 × 200 × 170 mm$^3$) in the transversal, coronal, and sagittal planes. The acquired matrix size was 14 × 14 × 12, with a nominal voxel size of about 14.3 × 14.3 × 14.3 mm$^3$.

**FIGURE 2** Morphological $T_1$-weighted reference image display matrix and VOI (80 × 85 × 60 mm$^3$) position in the transversal plane. The acquired matrix size was 14 × 14 × 12, with a nominal voxel size of about 14.3 × 14.3 × 14.3 mm$^3$. On the right is a representative spectral grid displayed for the difference spectral dataset of GABA edited MEGA-LASER 3D-MRSI. All spectra in grids are scaled to the same noise level.
contralateral regions, gender, and test–retest sessions in neurotransmitter ratios, for each investigated brain region in all study participants.

3 | RESULTS

Across all volunteer measurements, during the MEGA-LASER 3D-MRSI scan, a translation of $1.9 \pm 0.8$ mm, a rotation of $1.2 \pm 0.7^\circ$, a frequency increase of $13.5 \pm 2.2$ Hz, and a $B_0$-shim change of $3.5 \pm 1.5$ Hz/cm were recorded.

From a total of 216 voxels per VOI (in one case 288), $92 \pm 7\%$ (range 82–100\%) of the voxels fulfilled the spectral quality criteria. The average SNR of tNAA in the EDIT-OFF spectra was $16.6 \pm 1.4$ (mean \pm SD), with an average FWHM for tNAA of $8.6 \pm 0.8$ Hz (mean \pm SD).

The test–retest intra-subject variability of GABA$^+$ and Glx ratios, as well as CV and ICC, were evaluated for each volunteer across all eligible voxels (178–240 voxels) and are provided in Table 1. Low CVs and high ICCs for GABA$^+$ ratios (CV, mean of medians 8%–ICC, mean $> 0.70$) were found. Bland–Altman plots of test–retest reproducibility investigations are displayed in Supplementary Material S1. Inter-subject values for CV in all 2835 eligible voxels over the whole group of subjects (i.e. 178–240 eligible voxels per VOI and per volunteer) showed low median values of about 8\% for GABA$^+$ ratios, as well as about 6\% for Glx ratios (Table 2).

There were 56 (14 (volunteers) × 2 (right/left) × 2 (test/retest)) voxels investigated for each of the following regions: the thalamus, the basal ganglia (i.e. globus pallidus), the parietal (i.e. posterior cingulated gyrus; Brodmann Area 23), and the occipital lobe (i.e. parieto-occipital sulcus; border of the medial part of the occipital lobe and the precuneus) (Figure 3). For each region, neurotransmitter ratios, descriptive statistics, and visualization of intra-/inter-subject regional variability, as well as test–retest differences in selected brain regions, are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6 shows the low spatial variations of metabolite ratios across the brain (i.e. no significantly different levels in the contralateral brain regions), and the high reproducibility of repeated measurements (i.e. no significant differences in test–retest values). Table 3 lists the $p$ values for differences between selected

FIGURE 3  Samples of in vivo proton MR spectra obtained with the GABA-editing MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI sequence. In the first column, localizations of the selected voxel on $T_1$-weighted images are shown in the transversal plane; in the second, third, and fourth columns, the LCModel fit of metabolites is shown in the EDIT-ON (frequency suppression at 1.9 ppm), EDIT-OFF (frequency suppression at 7.5 ppm), and DIFF (difference spectrum; subtraction of EDIT-ON and EDIT-OFF) spectrum, respectively.
brain regions. Similar values were found for the GABA+/Glx ratios in the basal ganglia and thalamus, as well as in the parietal, frontal, and occipital regions, but all three cortical regions had significantly lower ratios than those in the thalamus and the basal ganglia. Likewise, the GABA+/tNAA ratio was significantly higher in the basal ganglia than in the thalamus, and in both areas it was higher than that in the remaining brain regions. No difference in the GABA+/tNAA ratio was found between the cortical regions. The frontal cortex had significantly lower levels of GABA+/tCr than all the other analyzed regions, with no significant differences among those regions. Similar levels of Glx/tNAA were detected in the frontal and occipital regions and both were significantly higher than those in the thalamus, the basal ganglia, and the
parietal region. The thalamus, the basal ganglia, and the parietal region showed similar Glx/NAA ratios. The occipital region reached the highest and the basal ganglia the lowest levels of the Glx/tCr ratios among the selected brain areas, whereas no significant differences were found between the thalamus, the frontal region, or the parietal region. Furthermore, no significant gender-related differences were found in neurotransmitter ratios across the selected brain regions (Supplementary Material S2).

Test–retest variability in the GABA⁺ and Glx ratios for all brain regions are displayed in Table 4. The low median CV values of GABA⁺ (6–9%) and Glx (2–8%) ratios demonstrate the high spatial reproducibility of the measurements.

### DISCUSSION

This work evaluated the spatial distribution of neurotransmitter levels and intra-/inter-subject variability for 3D mapping of GABA⁺ and Glx in vivo, using proton MRSI of the human brain at 3 T. This study provides evidence that the MEGA-LASER-edited 3D-MRSI sequence enables highly stable results, which makes it suitable for clinical and neuroscientific studies.

#### Comparison with other sequence approaches

The GABA-edited, MEGA-LASER-based, 3D-MRSI sequence described here acquires voxels of about 3 cm³ nominal volume in about 20 min. To date, GABA-edited MRS in the human brain has been performed mostly via single-voxel spectroscopy (SVS) in about 8–17 min, and in a few cases via single-slice 2D-MRSI in about 17–35 min, with voxel sizes in the range of 1–8 cm³.

#### Intra-subject test–retest variability

The intra-subject CV for repeated scans in each of the 14 volunteers was, on average, a median of about 8% for GABA⁺ ratios, and about 6% for Glx ratios, suggesting that the described GABA-edited 3D-MRSI measurements were highly reproducible. Glx measurements were similarly repeatable, with Glx CVs of 4–8% (minimal–maximal value of medians, for Glx/tCr), and 4–9% (for Glx/tNAA), whereas GABA⁺ ratios were more variable, ranging from 6 to 10% (both GABA⁺/tNAA and GABA⁺/tCr) and 7–11% CVs for GABA⁺/Glx. These values are consistent with those reported for other GABA J-difference-edited MRS studies (i.e. usually SVS, MEGA-PRESS), which reported intra-individual variability of repeated GABA⁺ measurements in the range of 7–15%, whereas Glx was in the range of 6–18%. A high degree of reliability between test–retest measurements was also confirmed using ICC analysis.

#### Inter-subject variability

The inter-subject variability of GABA⁺ and Glx ratios (median CV for GABA⁺ ratios about 8%; for Glx ratio about 6%) described here were similar to the measured test–retest intra-subject variability. This may
indicate that the reproducibility of metabolite measurements is predominantly determined by MR scanner features (e.g. B0 field inhomogeneities, scanner drift or heating, gradient coil instability) and by individual scanning factors (e.g. positioning, involuntary movement, tissue inhomogeneities) and not by concentration differences between healthy subjects. All these aspects have a significant impact on test–retest reproducibility assessment. Undesirable effects that would increase the intra-subject CV were limited as much as possible by using auto-align (i.e. automated repositioning of the MRSI volume with sub-millimeter accuracy between scans), adiabatic refocusing pulses (i.e. elimination of B1+ sensitivity and chemical-shift displacement errors), and by the application of real-time movement, shim, and frequency artifact correction. In addition, an appropriate coil combination, based on the work of Roemer et al., was employed. The automatically performed frequency drift correction prevented the editing pulses from slowly drifting away from the GABA resonance at 1.9 ppm. The neurotransmitter reproducibility achieved in this study is comparable to or higher than that reported in other experimental studies. The measured test–retest inter-subject variability of GABA+ (i.e. CV for GABA+/Glx ~ 9%, for GABA+/tCr ~ 8%, for GABA+/tNAA ~7%) is slightly lower than the values of 10–15% published in other studies based on SVS and MEGA-PRESS. The Glx reproducibility values derived here with MEGA-LASER 3D-MRS (~6% CVs for Glx ratios) are consistent with those reported using MEGA-PRESS 1H MRS (CVs 5–16%).

![Graphs for intra-/inter-subject variability of GABA+ and Glx ratios (mean ± 95% confidence interval) evaluated in selected brain regions (n = 56 in each region) with regard to spatial distribution (contralateral regions: 28 voxels for R (right), 28 voxels for L (left)) and repetition of measurement (28 voxels for test, 28 voxels for retest). P values obtained by ANOVA for differences in neurotransmitter ratios between contralateral brain regions (listed above the scatter bars) and test–retest sessions (listed under the scatter bars) are shown.](image-url)
GABA+/tCr ratios showed significantly reduced values in the lobe, which could explain the larger variability in this region. However, the spectral quality was somewhat lower in the frontal and thalamus than in the parietal, frontal, and occipital regions.

The GABA+ ratios reported here were higher in the basal ganglia concentrations within the CNS, as was previously suggested. 2,5,35 This study confirms regional differences in neurotransmitter concentrations, even in the presence of varying spectral quality, which is essential for clinical and neuroscientific studies.

Bland–Altman analysis supported the high agreement between repeated 3D-MRSI measurements of GABA+ and Glx ratios.

### 4.4 Spatial and gender-related neurotransmitter variations

This study confirms regional differences in neurotransmitter concentrations within the CNS, as was previously suggested. 2,5,35 The GABA+ ratios reported here were higher in the basal ganglia and thalamus than in the parietal, frontal, and occipital regions. However, the spectral quality was somewhat lower in the frontal lobe, which could explain the larger variability in this region. Only GABA+/tCr ratios showed significantly reduced values in the frontal cortex relative to the occipital and parietal regions, possibly because tCr is higher in the frontal areas.2,31 Likewise other studies reported higher GABA+ ratios in the basal ganglia compared with the frontal, occipital, or temporal regions,26 but lower GABA+ ratios in the frontal compared with the occipital region.26,26 that were still higher than in the parietal region.4 This may reflect differences in the white matter (WM) and gray matter (GM) composition of the selected brain tissue. In this study higher Glx/tNAA levels in occipital and frontal regions compared with the parietal cortex, the basal ganglia, and the thalamus were also detected. Among all selected brain regions the highest Glx/tCr ratios were found in the occipital region and the lowest in the basal ganglia, in agreement with previous reports.17,35 Higher Glx levels in the frontal compared with the parietal and occipital lobes (2%–5% for Glx ratios; 6%–8% for GABA+ ratios). These results indicate that the applied MEGA-LASER 3D-MRSI sequence provides reliable GABA+ and Glx measurements across the investigated brain regions, even in the presence of varying spectral quality, which is essential for clinical and neuroscientific studies.

In 1H MRS studies, gender-related differences in neurotransmitter concentrations have been inconsistent, demonstrating no, or only regional, changes in GABA or in Glx levels.17,35,38 These discrepancies could be caused by hormonal differences between subjects.16,17 In this study there were no gender differences observed in neurotransmitter ratios. The variability of neurotransmitter ratios in the male and female subgroups was similar (i.e., the differences in CV did not exceed 3%).

### TABLE 3

| Ratios          | $p$ values | Basal ganglia | Frontal lobe | Parietal lobe | Occipital lobe |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| GABA+/Glx       | thalamus   | 0.070        | <0.001       | <0.001        | <0.001         |
|                 | basal ganglia |            | <0.001       | <0.001        | <0.001         |
|                 | frontal lobe |              |              |               |                |
|                 | parietal lobe |              |              |               |                |
| GABA+/tNAA      | thalamus   |              | 0.007        | <0.001        | 0.001          |
|                 | basal ganglia |            |              |               |                |
|                 | frontal lobe |              |              |               |                |
|                 | parietal lobe |              |              |               |                |
| GABA+/tCr       | thalamus   | 1.000        | <0.001       | 0.097         | 0.090          |
|                 | basal ganglia |            |              |               |                |
|                 | frontal lobe |              |              |               |                |
|                 | parietal lobe |              |              |               |                |
| Glx/tNAA        | thalamus   |              | 0.205        | 1.000         | <0.001         |
|                 | basal ganglia |            |              |               |                |
|                 | frontal lobe |              |              |               |                |
|                 | parietal lobe |              |              |               |                |
| Glx/tCr         | thalamus   |              | 0.003        | 1.000         | <0.001         |
|                 | basal ganglia |            |              |               |                |
|                 | frontal lobe |              |              |               |                |
|                 | parietal lobe |              |              |               |                |

### TABLE 4

| CV (56 voxels) | GABA+/Glx | GABA+/tCr | GABA+/tNAA | Glx/tCr | Glx/tNAA |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|---------|
| Median         | 25–75% percentile | Median | 25–75% percentile | Median | 25–75% percentile | Median | 25–75% percentile |
| Thalamus       | 8         | 4–15      | 8          | 3–12    | 8       | 2–11     |
| Basal g.       | 9         | 5–15      | 9          | 3–16    | 7       | 2–13     |
| Frontal        | 9         | 4–15      | 6          | 3–12    | 6       | 2–8      |
| Parietal       | 7         | 3–13      | 7          | 2–12    | 7       | 3–10     |
| Occipital      | 6         | 3–9       | 6          | 4–9     | 8       | 4–10     |
4.5 | Limitations

The methodology of this study has limitations. First, the LASER-selected VOI in this study was focused on a relatively small central brain area. Another restriction is the use of metabolite ratios\(^5,\!^{31}\) rather than absolute quantification using internal water referencing.\(^3\) In future studies a matching water reference scan could be obtained in 1 min 23 s when reducing the averages from 16 to 1. Second, no correlation between tissue composition and neurotransmitter levels was investigated, but rather differences in the concentrations of GABA\(^\ast\), as well as Glx, between different brain regions. Third, the MM contamination of the quantified GABA\(^\ast\) signal leads to overestimation of GABA and its ratios, which should be corrected in future studies. However, the MM contribution is constant in all subjects over time,\(^17\) and measurement approaches that correct for MM contributions are challenging.\(^39\) For MEGA-‐LASER or MEGA-‐SPECIAL, MM contamination was reported in the range of 34–50% (References \(^39\) and \(^40\) ). For MEGA-‐LASER, this assessment remains to be performed.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study show that spiral-‐encoding. GABA-‐edited, MEGA-‐LASER 3D-‐MRSI can be a fast, robust, and reproducible method for in vivo GABA\(^\ast\) and Glx mapping on high-‐field (3 T) MR scanners. This method has the potential to be used in neuroscientific and clinical studies to detect pathological spatial alterations of GABA\(^\ast\) and Glx in the brain.
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