The impact of wood cutting and of bush fires on the dynamics of the herbal strata of Sudano-Guinean Savanna

Ranava Dieudonne 1, 3, *, Tchobsala 1, Ibahima Wanie Sago 1, Massai Tchima Jacob 1, 3, Godvé Gara JM 1, 3, Ibahima Adamou 1 and Mbolo Marie 2

1 University of Ngaoundere, Department of Biological sciences, Faculty of Sciences. Laboratory of Biodiversity and sustainable development. P.O. Box: 454, Cameroon.
2 University of Yaoundé I, P.O. Box: 812, Cameroon.
3 Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), P.O. Box 65 Ngaoundere, Cameroon.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2021, 10(03), 334–347

Publication history: Received on 17 May 2021; revised on 20 June 2021; accepted on 23 June 2021

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2021.10.3.0284

Abstract

Few studies were carried out on the impact of wood cutting and bush fires on the dynamics of the herbal strata in savannahs of Adamawa, Cameroon. This study has as objectives to evaluate: 1. the recovery of the vegetation of shrub and grass savanna, 2. the evolution of the number of herbs with regards to time, 3. the floristic and specific contribution of the herba specie. The inventories of herbaceous plants were carried out through quadra method in 9 sub-plots of 10 m × 10 m for each vegetal formation. The experimental design was split-plot with two (02) factors (savanna trees and shrubs), three (3) treatments (felling without fire, with fire and witness) and three (3) rehearsals/repetitions (portions). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare means between treatments by using STATGRAPHIC XVII, and XLSAT to obtain result shown that 103 herbaceous plants divided in 73 genres and 23 families. The rate of recovery of savanna vegetation the herbs are more numerous 60% in the CSF, 50% in the CAF and 45% in witness site (wood savanna), Adversely, 30% in a witness site, 40% in the CSF and 50% in the CAF (shrub savanna). The number the species have grown from 3 to 45 in the trees savanna and 2 to 34 for the shrub savanna. The specific contribution of herbaceous varies according to the sites and the species.
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1. Introduction

Increase of population and overuse of natural resources are main cause environmental degradation. This degradation is manifested by the erosion of the biodiversity, fragmentation of huge forests, the decreased of soils fertility [1, 2-3]. The vegetation of sub-Saharan Africa in general and those of Cameroon in particular is confronted with deforestation which is manifested by the unforeseen increase in the research for natural resources [4]. Environment in all its diversity is at the same time a type of space of life necessary and delicate for the long-lasting existence of human beings. This anthropic pressure of the plants cover is responsible for the degradation of soils and for climatic change [5] thus; space will be in danger considering that its exploitation is at the same time illegal and unlawful. Beyond international preoccupations in matters of sustainable development [6], the questions on the degradation of these forests and of ecological extinction of resources constitute the interest of our study. The savannas are fragile ecosystems reposing on the coexistence between the herbal strata and the ligneous strata, they constitute the dynamic systems who’s the evolution depends on the intensity of environmental factors (felling/cutting, drying, fire, rearing and agriculture). The
conditions of their persistence are very complex and remained poorly known. They have constituted the topic of numerous researches [7, 8-9] that compete to conclude the majority is maintained in its state by fires and as a result, are considered as non-climatic. This situation coupled with the climatic change of these last ten years extreme poverty in the rural populations [10]. As concerns the felling of trees and bushfires causing deforestation, these two concepts have a relation of cause and effect and being capable of provoking climate change. Their pressure on ecosystems in the Sudano-guinean zone increases progressively with the strong increase in the population, this demographic explosion leads to the increasing pressure on the natural ecosystems [11, 12-13].

The savannas are particularly exposed to important risks of degradation in so far as that the major part is located in the arid and semi-arid zones and shelters an important part of the world population [14]. A part from their importance from the agricultural, ecological, and environmental point of view the question of durability represents a major stake [15, 16-17] which is worth studying. In situations of reasoning, the role of bushfires in the dynamics of the regeneration of the vegetation of savannas has not yet been well established especially as it concerns the method of use of these fires. Bushfires can cause the upsetting of bio-geographical cycles of the vegetation, that can lead to negative and or positive impacts on the production of natural ecosystems. These fire impacts were known since a long time by the scientific community [18].

In this Sub division, tree felling and bushfires remains a real threat to the protection of natural resources. These savannas undergo strong anthropic pressures such as deforestation, the over pasturing and above all bushfires like in the high Guinean savannas of Cameroon [19].

Relying on this observation, we question the modalities of the sustainable use and management of the vegetation coverage of the ecosystems. The study of the correlation between ‘felling, fire, dynamics of regeneration of the vegetation permits to say that even if the fire is recognized as a factor of explanation of the dynamics of the vegetation of savannas, its importance and its role are not always well defined [9].

Besides, the reflexion begun in the logic of this research is a rich investigation which causes so many scientific domains to intervene. It is for that reason that so many research works (thesis, texts, dissertations, articles, reviews) are consecrated to it. It is a question for instance of studies carried out by [20] on the study of the dynamics of a shrub savanna in the Sudano-guinean zone of north Cameroon under the combined effects of pasture, from fire and of the felling of trees; [21] on the regeneration of trees, dynamics of the vegetation and the maintenance of biodiversity in Mount Cameroon; [22] in Senegal on the dynamics of the natural regeneration of the vegetation and [19] on the impact of tree felling on the natural vegetation on the peri-urban zone of Ngaoundere. However, no work has been carried out on the impact of the complex felling of trees and bushfires on the dynamics of the regeneration of the vegetation of savannas in the locality of Bini and Dori who are strongly damaged by the uncontrollable felling of trees.

The main aim of this work is to study the impact of felling of trees and bushfires on the dynamics of the herbal strata. It is specifically a question of; 1) evaluate the recovery of the vegetation of shrubs and tree savannas, 2) know the evolution of the number of herbs according to the time, 3) do the grouping of herbal species in the different vegetation formations and at last 4) determine the floristic composition, the centesimal frequency and the specific contribution of herbal species.

2. Geographical location

Situated in central Africa and in the north of Cameroon, the Cameroonian Adamawa is a region located between 6th and 8th degree latitude North and between 10th and 16th degrees longitude East. It spreads on an area of about 62000 km², on altitudes made up of between 900 and 1500m. Its climate is the Sudano-guinean type with an annual pluviometer/rain gauge of between 1600 and 1800 mm, spread on 7 to 8 months. The annual average temperature is 23°C [23, 24]. The High lands of Adamawa are the transit zones between sub-Saharan, north and the forest south. The Adamawa Region is one of the ten regions in Cameroon. The Adamawa is a frontier to the Central African Republic to the East and to Nigeria to the west this mountainous zone marks the frontier between the forest south Cameroon and the savanna north. Of a surface area of 64000km², Adamawa is the 3th region of Cameroon as concerns the size. It is spread on about 400km between Nigeria and the Central African Republic and culminates/reaches its peak at 2460m of altitude at the summit of Tchabal M sabot [25]. Adamawa geographically belong to Sudanese land but the altitude brings in a mountainous difference/variable. That creates conditions of a n original Sudano-guinean climate characterized by important precipitations, oscillating between 1400 and 2000mm per year, low and invariable temperatures (annual average temperature of 23°C), and a strong opposition of two seasons [26] (Figure 1).
2.1. Choice and the presentation of the study area

The Adamawa region (Cameroon) is subdivided administratively into five (5) divisions: Djerem, Faro and Deo, Mayo-Banyo, Mbere and Vina. Our study has been carried out in the Vina Division, specifically in the Ngaoundere IIIth subdivision in Bini, in two types of savanna (shrub savanna in the vicinity of Deanship of the University of Ngaoundere and in the tree savanna in Dori situated at 5 or 6 km from Deanship.

2.2. Method of floristic readings

The floristic inventory has been carried out by using method of quadra applied with success by [28] and [19] in the Guinean savannas of Adamawa. This method consists in delimiting a surface of 10m×10m with the help of a decameter within which one carries out measures of dendrometric parameters (height of trees and shrubs, diameters of the tuft, circumference of 0.30m and 1.30m) and systematic counting of individuals living and death of ligneous in the trees savannas of Bini and in the shrub savanna of Dori of the Ngaoundere IIIth subdivision. For each of the two vegetations forms, three (3) treatments (felling without fire (CSF), felling with fire (CAF), witness site (T)) have been retained. In each of the treatments, three observations or inventory were done on the potions of 10m×10m, that is a total of eighteen observations accounting for the entire works. These observation or inventories were done/carry carried out for twelve months on the forms established before. For the herbs, the presence of species has been noted in five layers of 2m×10m (2 meters wide and 10 meters long). The identification of herbs has been carried out systematically on the ground by a botanist. The unidentified species on the ground have been brought back to herbal area of Wakwa for identification.
2.3. Methods of calculating parameters

The different methods of calculating parameters leads to the intervention of the notions of presence, of centesimal frequency of a specie, of specific contribution and of global recovery [29].

2.3.1. Frequency of ligneous species

The absolute frequency of the specie (i) is the number of observations accounting this specie. According to [30], relative frequency is the proportion expressed in percentage between the number of observations accounting in this specie and the total number of observations accounting per 100. This method allows determining the accidental, accessory, more frequent, frequent and very frequent species.

2.3.2. Centesimal frequency (CF) of a given specie

The centesimal frequency (CFi) of a given specie report the number of the presence of the specie (NPi) on the total number of points sampled (PE) in percentage. It is calculated following the formula:

\[ FCi = \frac{NPi}{PE} \times 100 \]

2.3.3. Specific contribution (CSI)

The specific contribution (CSI) report of centesimal frequency (FCi) on the sum of centesimal frequency (FCn) of all the sampled species. It is calculated according to the formula:

\[ CSI = \frac{FCi}{\sum FCn} \times 100 \]

2.4. Data Analysis

Data has been collected, treated and analyzed with the help of the software of Statgraphic plus 17.1.08 software which allows for the comparing of data by site. The parameters such as height, size, presence, enrolment, and number have been subjects analysis of variance (ANOVA). Excel has been used to achieve graphs and histograms, and to calculated percentages, frequency and specific contributions of herbal species.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Rate of recovery of vegetation according to biological types

The rate of recovery of savanna vegetation’s is characterized by a very strong presence of ligneous in the shrub savanna (70% in a witness site, 60% in the CSF and 50% in the CAF), but does not differ significantly between treatments (p > 0.05). Adversely, in the wood savanna, the herbs are more numerous (60% in the CSF, 50% in the CAF and 45% in witness site). This difference is explained by the fact that in the shrub savanna, there is a domination of trees and shrubs.
whereas in the shrub savanna the herbs dominate followed by shrubs (figure 2). Our results are different from those of [31] on evaluation of the floristic diversity in herbaceous plants in the Manda National Park in Chad who obtained 99.73% as rate of herbaceous this difference may be due to the difference ecological zone.

3.2. Evolution of the number of herbs per site and per month

The evolution of the number of species varies according to months and sites (figure 3). Before the felling and fire (December), we have respectively in the tree savanna 12, 15, 11 (CSF, CAF and witness). In the shrub savanna, in the savanna shrub we counted on the contrary, 13, 14 and 16 (CSF, CAF and witness). It shows that there is a significant difference (p < 0.001) treatment in the both savannahs.

In the month of January, the number of specie has reduced in relation to the number before the fire and the wood cutting (3, 5 and 4) in the tree savannas of 2, 2 and 5 respectively in the sites CSF, CAF and witness trees. As from January until in August, the number of species increase progressively with the time. This may be explained by the rain (started in February in our study area) that stimulated the growth of the plants during the rainy. The season remains an important factor as it characterizes the bush fire regime and its impact on the environment as demonstrated by [32].

The number varies respectively from 3 to 35, from 5 to 43 and from 4 to 32 species in the sites CSF, CAF and witness for the tree savanna. Meanwhile in the shrub savanna the number of species varies respectively from 2 to 31, from 2 to 34 and from 5 to 22 for the CSF, CAF and witness. Globally the number of species is more elevated in the site CAF. This could already be the action of bushfires which has fostered the propagation of certain herbs. Contrary result has been obtained by [33] in the vegetation in the Sudano-Sahelian zone who had recorded 46 species.

3.3. Regrouping of the number of herbs according to the family of the two functions

As concerns this study, 103 herbs were identified and regrouped into 73 types belonging to 23 families. The number of species varies according to vegetation set up/forms (figure 4).in the shrub savanna, 58 species were sampled in 18 families. The dominating families are the Asteraceae and the Poaceae having 10 species per family that is 20.41% for each of them, followed by Fabaceae with 07 species per family. That is 14.29% for a total of 55.11% as compared to 44.89% for families poorly represented having 01 to 04 species. The tree savanna presents 20 families for 68 species, the Asteraceae and the Poaceae which dominate with 10 species that is 18.18% followed by Fabaceae that have 07 species per family pour 14.55%. The dominating families have a percentage of 50.91% slightly superior to families that are poorly represented having 01 to 03 species for 1.82% to 5.45% that is 49.09%.

The high proportion of Poaceae and Asteraceae in the study area may be due respectively to their competition for light in the open environment and to their ease of dissemination and regrowth. [34] also recorded that Poaceae, Asteraceae and Fabaceae are the the most represented families in the savannah’s of Ndikinimeki, Cameroon. Ours result is also lead with those of [35] who found that Poaceae was also the most dominant family in their study area.
3.4. Regrouping the number of herbs according to botanical families and site

In this research work, 103 herbaceous were sampled. They belong to 23 families. The number of species varied according to sites (figure 5). However, certain families are present in the savanna arborea and absent in the tree savanna and vice versa.

The family of species presented in the shrub savanna varies by site:

- In CSF 30 species for 13 families: Acanthaceae (01), Asteraceae (05), Cyperaceae (02), Davaliaceae (01), Dennstaedtiaceae (01), Fabaceae (04), Jingiberaceae (02), Liliaceae (01), Malvaceae (03), Papilionaceae (01), Poaceae (06), Rubiaceae (02) et Vitaceae (01);
- In CAF 41 species for 18 families: Acanthaceae (01), Asteraceae (08), Convolvulaceae (02), Cyperaceae (03), Davaliaceae (01), Dennstaedtiaceae (01), Euphorbiaceae (02), Fabaceae (04), Jingiberaceae (02), Liliaceae (01), Malvaceae (03), Oxalidaceae (01), Papilionaceae (01), Poaceae (04), Rubiaceae (04), Solanaceae (01) and Vitaceae (01);
- In the site witness, 24 species for 14 families: Acanthaceae (01), Amaranthaceae (01), Asteraceae (03), Cyperaceae (02), Davaliaceae (01), Dennstaedtiaceae (01), Fabaceae (03), Jingiberaceae (02), Liliaceae (01), Malvaceae (02), Oxalidaceae (01), Poaceae (03), Rubiaceae (02) and Vitaceae (01). The most dominant families are Asteraceae and Poaceae.

The family of species presented in the tree savanna varies by site:

- In CSF of the tree savanna present 41 species for 18 families: Acanthaceae (01), Amaranthaceae (01), Asteraceae (06), Caesalpinaceae (01), Convolvulaceae (01), Cyperaceae (02), Euphorbiaceae (02), Fabaceae (04), Jingiberaceae (02), Liliaceae (02), Malvaceae (02), Mimosaceae (01), Oxalidaceae (01), Papilionaceae (01), Poaceae (07), Rubiaceae (05), Sterculiaceae (01) and Vitaceae (01);
- In CAF we count 45 species for 17 families: Acanthaceae (01), Amaranthaceae (01), Asteraceae (10), Convolvulaceae (02), Curcurbitaceae (01), Cyperaceae (01), Euphorbiaceae (02), Fabaceae (07), Jingiberaceae (02), Lamiaceae (01), Liliaceae (02), Malvaceae (02), Oxalidaceae (01), Poaceae (07), Rubiaceae (03), Sterculiaceae (01) and Vitaceae (01);
- In the witness site 35 species distributed into 13 families: Asteraceae (07), Cyperaceae (03), Euphorbiaceae (01), Fabaceae (04), Jingiberaceae (02), Liliaceae (02), Malvaceae (03), Oxalidaceae (01), Papilionaceae (01), Poaceae (06), Rubiaceae (03), Sterculiaceae (01) and Vitaceae (01). The most dominant families are Asteraceae and Poaceae.

The regrouping of species according to families show that Poaceae and Asteraceae are the most represented in the two savannas. They contribute to the floristic richness of herbaceous. [31] obtained that Poaceae and Fabaceae are dominant, with 39.47% of specific contribution for the abundant. [28] also found that Asteraceae and the gramineas are very good indices of pastoral value in the vegetation of the Adamawa pastures.
3.5. **Floristic composition, centesimal frequency and specific contribution of herbaceous species**

In the two types of savanna (shrub and tree), the floristic composition does not differ significantly between treatments. However, there is a clear relation between density, frequency, the dominance of ligneous, the centesimal frequency and the specific contribution of the herbaceous strata. The more the ligneous, the more the specific contribution of herbaceous dominates. The regular the of herbaceous allow recolonization the vegetation.

Table 1 enables us demonstrate the most and least present herbal species. In CSFSab, the species having a Fc from 100% to 88.89% for a Cs from 3.04% to 2.70% are *Eriosema glomeratum*, *Fadogia cienkowskii*, *Aframum latifolia* and *Chromolaena odorata*. For the CAFSab *Asparagus schweinfurthii*, *Crotalaria microphylla*, *Eriosema glomeratum*, to a Fc of 88.89% for a Cs from 2.74% are the most present in relation to *Biophytum petersonum* (0.68%) from Cs. As for TSab *Eriosema glomeratum*, *Aframum latifolia*, *Chromolaena odorata* dominates with 100% and 88.89% of Fc for 4.41% and 3.92% of Cs on 22.22% of Fc and 0.98% of Cs for *Killinga erecta* weakly represented. These results corroborate with those of [36] who showed that the specific contribution ranged from 2.82% to 3.22% in 1964 and 4.56% to 5.78% in 2011 Case of the CRZ of Dahra. For the CSFAB, the species *Asparagus schweinfurthii* (100%) of Fc and 3.93% of Cs followed by *Aframum latifolia* et *Chromolaena odorata* with 88.89% of Fc and 3.49% of Cs which are more represented in connection to *Hypoestes cancellata* and *Mariscus umbellatus* having 22.22% of Fc and 0.87% of Cs which are not sufficiently represented. The CAFSAB is dominated by *Asparagus schweinfurthii*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Crotalaria retusa* and *Vernonia cinera* with 88.89% of Fc and 2.42% of Cs on 11.11% of Fc and 0.30% of Cs. For *Hypoestes cancellata* weakly contributable in the TSAB *Asparagus schweinfurthii*, *Chromolaena odorata* and *Hibiscus asper* dominate with 100% of Fc for 4.62% of Cs and the least presented species are: *Nephrolepis undulata* and *Loudetia arundinacea* having respectively 33.33% and 22.22% of Fc for 1.54% and 1.03% specific contribution. [31] has also obtained in the Manda National Park in Chad 39.47% as specific contribution from abundant species. The specific contribution elevated of species for certain sites can be due to the recolonization of the vegetation by the herbaceous stratas.
| Scientific names                  | CSFSab | CAFSab | TSab | CSFSAB | CAFSAB | TSAB |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| Aerva javanica                   | 33.33  | 1.01   | -    | -      | -      | -    |
| Aframumum latifolia              | 88.89  | 2.70   | 77.78| 2.40   | 77.78  | 3.43 |
| Alysicarpus nigosus              | 22.22  | 0.68   | 33.33| 1.03   | 22.22  | 0.98 |
| Andropogon gayanus               | -      | -      | -    | -      | -      | -    |
| Andropogon tectorum              | -      | -      | -    | -      | -      | -    |
| Asparagus flagelaris             | 55.56  | 1.69   | -    | -      | 66.67  | 2.94 |
| Asparagus schweinfurthii         | 77.78  | 2.36   | 88.89| 2.74   | 88.89  | 3.92 |
| Aspilia africana                 | -      | -      | -    | -      | -      | -    |
| Biophytum petersionum            | 33.33  | 1.01   | 22.22| 0.68   | 33.33  | 1.47 |
| Blestifum sp.                    | 66.67  | 2.03   | -    | -      | -      | -    |
| Borrieria verticillata           | 77.78  | 2.36   | 44.44| 1.37   | 55.56  | 2.45 |
| Brachiaria jubata                | 55.56  | 1.69   | -    | -      | 55.56  | 2.45 |
| Brachiaria lata                  | 66.67  | 2.03   | 66.67| 2.05   | 77.78  | 3.43 |
| Cassia mimosoides                | 33.33  | 1.01   | 33.33| 1.03   | 33.33  | 1.47 |
| Centella asiatica                | 44.44  | 1.35   | -    | -      | 33.33  | 1.47 |
| Chloris pycnothrix               | -      | -      | 66.67| 2.05   | -      | -    |
| Chromolaena odorata              | 88.89  | 2.70   | -    | 88.89  | 3.92   | 3.49 |
| Chrysanthemum americanum         | 22.22  | 0.68   | 33.33| 1.03   | 33.33  | 1.47 |
| Cissus rubigirosa                | 88.89  | 2.70   | 77.78| 2.40   | 88.89  | 3.92 |
| Cornea sp.                       | 33.33  | 1.01   | 77.78| 2.40   | -      | -    |
| Costus englerianus               | 44.44  | 1.35   | 66.67| 2.05   | 33.33  | 1.47 |
| Crotalaria gorensis              | 66.67  | 2.03   | 33.33| 1.03   | -      | -    |
| Crotalaria microphyla            | -      | -      | 88.89| 2.74   | -      | -    |
| Species                        | Ind 1 | Ind 2 | Ind 3 | Ind 4 | Ind 5 | Ind 6 | Ind 7 |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| *Hyptis lenceolata*           | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Desmodium salicifolium*      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Desmodium tortuosum*         | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Diercephala integrifolia*    | 33.33 | 1.01  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Eriséma glomératum*          | 100.00| 3.04  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Emilia coccinea*             | 77.78 | 2.36  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Eragrostis atrovirens*        | 55.56 | 1.69  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Eragrostis turgida*           | 22.22 | 0.68  | 33.33 | 1.03  | -     | -     | -     |
| *Erigeron floribundus*         | 22.22 | 0.68  | 33.33 | 1.03  | 33.33 | 1.47  | -     |
| *Euphorbia foscali*            | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 44.44 |
| *Fadogia cienkowskii*         | 100.00| 3.04  | -     | -     | -     | -     | 55.56 |
| *Fadogia glaberrima*           | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 77.78 | 3.06  |
| *Fadogia ledermanii*           | 77.78 | 2.36  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| *Gomphera celosioides*         | -     | -     | 33.33 | 1.03  | -     | -     | -     |
| *Hibiscus asper*               | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 55.56 |
| *Hyparrhenia rafa*             | 77.78 | 2.36  | 66.67 | 2.05  | 77.78 | 3.43  | 77.78 |
| *Hypoestes cancellata*         | 33.33 | 1.01  | -     | -     | -     | -     | 22.22 |
| *Hyptis lenceolata*            | -     | -     | 33.33 | 1.03  | -     | -     | -     |
| *ind 1*                        | 44.44 | 1.35  | 33.33 | 1.03  | -     | -     | -     |
| *ind 2*                        | -     | -     | 22.22 | 0.68  | 33.33 | 1.47  | -     |
| *ind 3*                        | -     | -     | 77.78 | 2.40  | -     | -     | -     |
| *ind 4*                        | -     | -     | 66.67 | 2.05  | 88.89 | 3.92  | -     |
| *ind 5*                        | -     | -     | 77.78 | 2.40  | 88.89 | 3.92  | -     |
| *ind 6*                        | -     | -     | 77.78 | 2.40  | 33.33 | 1.47  | -     |
| *ind 7*                        | 22.22 | 0.68  | -     | -     | 22.22 | 0.98  | 22.22 |
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| Species                        | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| **Indigofera hirsuta**        | -     | -    | 77.78 | 2.40 | 33.33 | 1.47 | -     | -    | 77.78 | 2.12 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Indigofera nummelariifolia**| 33.33 | 1.01 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 33.33 | 1.31 | 44.44 | 1.21 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Indigofera tentoria**       | 33.33 | 1.01 | 33.33 | 1.03 | 44.44 | 1.96 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 2.05 |
| **Ipomea aquatica**           | 33.33 | 1.01 | 33.33 | 1.03 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.21 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Ipomea sp.**                | 22.22 | 0.68 | -     | -    | 33.33 | 1.47 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Killinga erecta**           | 22.22 | 0.68 | -     | -    | 22.22 | 0.98 | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.21 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Killinga squamosa**         | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 33.33 | 1.31 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Launnea cormuta**           | 77.78 | 2.36 | 66.67 | 2.05 | -     | -    | 66.67 | 2.62 | 66.67 | 1.82 | 44.44 | 2.05 |
| **Lipia sp.**                 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.75 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Loudetia arundinaceae**     | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 22.22 | 1.03 |
| **Mariscus squarrosus**       | -     | -    | 77.78 | 2.40 | -     | -    | 77.78 | 3.06 | 66.67 | 1.82 | 77.78 | 3.59 |
| **Mariscus umbellatus**       | 55.56 | 1.69 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 22.22 | 0.87 | 55.56 | 1.52 | -     | -    |
| **Merrenia tridentata**       | -     | -    | 88.89 | 2.74 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 55.56 | 1.52 | -     | -    |
| **Mimosa pudica**             | 77.78 | 2.36 | -     | -    | 55.56 | 2.45 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Momordica charantia**       | -     | -    | 55.56 | 1.71 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Monechma ciliatum**         | -     | -    | 66.67 | 2.05 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Musa sp.**                  | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.75 | 55.56 | 1.52 | -     | -    |
| **Nephrupis undulata**        | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.75 | 44.44 | 1.21 | 33.33 | 1.54 |
| **Oldenlandia corymbosa**     | 33.33 | 1.01 | 33.33 | 1.03 | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    |
| **Oldenlandia corymbosa**     | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 55.56 | 1.52 | 55.56 | 2.56 |
| **Panicum maximum**           | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 55.56 | 1.52 | 55.56 | 2.56 |
| **Panicum repens**            | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 55.56 | 1.52 | -     | -    |
| **Pennisetum pedicellatum**   | 66.67 | 2.03 | 55.56 | 1.71 | 55.56 | 2.45 | 44.44 | 1.75 | -     | -    | 77.78 | 3.59 |
| **Pennisetum polystachion**   | 66.67 | 2.03 | 55.56 | 1.71 | 55.56 | 2.45 | 55.56 | 2.18 | 77.78 | 2.12 | 66.67 | 3.08 |
| **Phyllanthus amarus**        | 33.33 | 1.01 | 22.22 | 0.68 | 33.33 | 1.47 | -     | -    | 33.33 | 0.91 | -     | -    |
| **Pseudarthria hookeri**      | 77.78 | 2.36 | -     | -    | -     | -    | 77.78 | 3.06 | 77.78 | 2.12 | -     | -    |
| **Pteridium aquilinum**       | -     | -    | -     | -    | -     | -    | 44.44 | 1.75 | 44.44 | 1.21 | 44.44 | 2.05 |
| Species                        | CSFSab | CAFSab | TSab | CSFSAB | Fc  | Cs   |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|-----|------|
| Rhynchosia nyasica             | -      | -      | 33.33| 1.03   | -   | -    |
| Rhynchospora corymbosa         | -      | -      | -    | -      | -   | 66.67| 2.62| 77.78| 2.12| 55.56| 2.56|
| Schweinkia americana           | -      | -      | -    | -      | -   | 44.44| 1.21|
| Scripus jacobi                 | 22.22  | 0.68   | -    | 44.44  | 1.96| -    | -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Sena torra                    | 55.56  | 1.69   | -    | 33.33  | 1.47| -    | -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Setaria pumila                | -      | -      | 33.33| 1.03   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Sida corymbosa                 | 66.67  | 2.03   | 66.67| 2.05   | -   | 66.67| 2.62| 55.56| 1.52|
| Sida rhombifolia               | 66.67  | 2.03   | 66.67| 2.05   | -   | 77.78| 3.06| 77.78| 2.12| 66.67| 3.08|
| Spermacoce stachydea           | 33.33  | 1.01   | 33.33| 1.03   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Sporobolis pyramidalis         | 55.56  | 1.69   | 55.56| 1.71   | 77.78| 3.43| 44.44| 1.75| 44.44| 1.21|
| Stylosanthes guanensis         | 44.44  | 1.35   | -    | 55.56  | 2.45| 77.78| 3.06| 77.78| 2.12| 77.78| 3.59|
| Terarnnus labialis             | 44.44  | 1.35   | 55.56| 1.71   | -   | 55.56| 2.18| 66.67| 1.82| 77.78| 3.59|
| Tithonia diversifolia          | -      | -      | 66.67| 2.05   | 77.78| 3.43| -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Trifolium sp.                  | 66.67  | 2.03   | -    | 44.44  | 1.96| 77.78| 3.06| 77.78| 2.12| 66.67| 3.08|
| Urena lobata                   | 66.67  | 2.03   | -    | 66.67  | 2.94| 55.56| 2.18| 66.67| 1.82| 55.56| 2.56|
| Vernonia cinera                | -      | -      | -    | -      | -   | 77.78| 3.06| 88.89| 2.42| 77.78| 3.59|
| Vernonia colorata              | -      | -      | 66.67| 2.05   | -   | 66.67| 2.62| 66.67| 1.82| 66.67| 3.08|
| Vernonia glaberrima            | -      | -      | 77.78| 2.40   | 33.33| 1.47| -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Vernonia nestor                | -      | -      | 33.33| 1.03   | 33.33| 1.47| -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Vernonia perrottetii           | 44.44  | 1.35   | 44.44| 1.37   | -   | 33.33| 1.31| 44.44| 1.21| 88.89| 4.10|
| Walthira indica                | 66.67  | 2.03   | 66.67| 2.05   | 44.44| 1.96| -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |
| Wilrichia sp.                  | -      | -      | -    | -      | -   | 88.89| 2.42|
| Zornia glochidiata             | 77.78  | 2.36   | 55.56| 1.71   | -   | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    |
| Zornia latifolia               | 44.44  | 1.35   | 77.78| 2.40   | 44.44| 1.96| -   | -    | -   | -    | -    |

CSFSab = felling without savanna tree fire; CAFSab = felling with savanna tree fire; TSab = witness savanna tree; CSFSAB = felling without savanna shrub fire; CAFSAB = felling with savanna shrub fire; TSAB = witness shrub savanna; Fc = centesimal frequency and Cs = specific contribution.
3.6. Impact of wood cutting and of bushfires on the distribution of herbs

Figure 6 shows that in the tree savanna the accidental species are more represented more by the very frequent species. The accidental species (Emilia coccinea, Scorpus jacobi, Ipomea aquatica); accessories (Kyllinga squamulata, Cassia mimisoides, Erigeron floribundus); more frequent (Mariscus alternifolius, Teramnus labialis, Pennisetum sp.); frequent (Brachiaria lata, Hyparhenia rufa, Sida rhombifolia); very frequent (Eriosema glomeratum, Aframum latifolia, Asparagus flagelaris) are observed in figure 6a: These results corroborate that of [37] who found that the dominant species to be: accidental, accessories, more frequent and frequent. Meanwhile, in the shrub savanna the species having a representative frequency are the accidental (Cornea sp. Indigofera mumelarifolia, Oldenlandia corymbosa) followed by more frequent species (Borreria verticilata, Pteridium aquilinum, Centela asiatica) in the CAF. The accessories species are Hypoetes cancelata, Loudetia arundinacea and Biophytum petersonum. For frequent species we have Stylosanthes guanensis, Vernonia cinera, Trifolium sp and for very frequent we: Asparagus schweinfurthii; Chromoleana odorata; Vernonia colorata (Figure 6b). The disappearance or the rareness of certain herbal species in an area is due to bush fires which burn diaspores and the grains in the soil. [34] also the regression of species in the burning savannah’s Ndikinimeki due to the presence of bush fire which limits the growth and development of certain species. These results mean that bush fire reduces the specific richness.

4. Conclusion

The research study on the impact of the complex wood felling and bushfire on the dynamics of the regeneration herbaceous of the sudano-guinean vegetation has evaluated the recovery of the vegetation of shrub and grass savanna, known the evolution of the number of herbs according to time, done the regroupings of herbal species in the different vegetation formations and at last and determined the floristic composition, the centesimal frequency and the specific contribution of the herbal specie. The result showed that the shrub savanna has 103 herbal species sampled in 73 types belonging to 23 families, 58 species for 18 families in the shrub savanna and 68 species for 20 families in the tree savanna. The floristic richness of herbs in the savannas is dominated by certain families: Poaceae (10 species); Fabaceae (10 species); Asteraceae (10 species); followed by Malvaceae and Rubiaceae (4 species). The specific contribution elevated of species for certain sites can be due to the recolonization of the vegetation by the herbacea stratas. It is advisable to use the controlled method of felling trees without fire for a natural regeneration and a lasting management of resources while avoiding the repeated felling of trees every year on the same portion of land.
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