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Potential inhibitor for blocking binding between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with mutations
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ABSTRACT

At the time of writing, more than 440 million confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases and more than 5.97 million COVID-19 deaths worldwide have been reported by the World Health Organization since the start of the outbreak of the pandemic in Wuhan, China. During the COVID-19 pandemic, many variants of SARS-CoV-2 have arisen because of high mutation rates. N501Y, E484K, K417N, K417T, L452R and T478K in the receptor binding domain (RBD) region may increase the infectivity in several variants of SARS-CoV-2. In this study, we discovered that GB-1, developed from Chiehyuan herbal formula which obtained from Tian Shang Sheng Mu of Chiayi Puzi Peitian Temple, can inhibit the binding between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type, K417N-E484K-N501Y and L452R-T478K mutation. In addition, GB-1 inhibited the binding between ACE2 and RBD with a single mutation (E484K or N501Y), except the K417N mutation. In the compositions of GB-1, glycyrrhizic acid can inhibit the binding between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type, except K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. Our results suggest that GB-1 could be a potential candidate for the prophylaxis of different variants of SARS-CoV-2 infection because of its inhibition of binding between ACE2 and RBD with different mutations (L452R-T478K, K417N-E484K-N501Y, N501Y or E484K).

1. Introduction

At the time of writing, more than 440 million confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases and more than 5.97 million COVID-19 deaths worldwide have been reported by the World Health Organization since the start of the outbreak of the pandemic in Wuhan, China. When severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infects a host cell, SARS-CoV-2 spike protein can bind with the host angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and be primed by host transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) [1]. This step can induce the immune response from the host and generates antibodies to neutralized SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is an important target for COVID-19 prophylaxis, treatment, and vaccines. The receptor binding domain (RBD) in the distal part of the S1 subunit of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein directly binds to the peptidase domain of ACE2 [2,3]. Moreover, human ACE2 can directly contact Gln498, Thr500, Asn501, Lys417, and Tyr453 residues of the amino terminus (N) of the RBD [2]. SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, and RNA viruses have higher mutation rates than other viruses [4,5]. The mutation of residues in the RBD can change the viral infectivity and antigenicity of SARS-CoV-2 [5].
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Investigations of the function of these mutations in SARS-CoV-2 spike protein are important for the development of treatments.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, many variants of SARS-CoV-2 have arisen because of high mutation rates. Among these variants, variants of concern (VOCs) have shown increased transmissibility, more severe disease, or decreased effectiveness of current diagnostics, vaccines, and treatments [6]. These VOCs usually share similar mutation sites in SARS-CoV-2. The Alpha variant, Gamma variant, and Beta variant

![Fig. 1. Identification of reference compounds in GB-1 by HPLC analysis. (A) The photo of the slices of dry root of Glycyrrhiza uralensis. (B) The photo of the dry leaves of Camellia sinensis. (C) The structure of glycyrrhizic acid. (D) The structure of (+)-catechin. (E) HPLC chromatograms of glycyrrhizic acid and GB-1. (F) HPLC chromatograms of (+)-catechin and GB-1.](image-url)
linages have the same N501Y mutation, which increases transmissibility through increased binding with ACE2. The E484K mutation, which decreases the in vitro efficacy of neutralizing antibodies [7,8], was found in both Beta variant and Gamma variant [6,9]. Moreover, these accumulation of mutations in different SAR-CoV-2 variants decrease certain efficacy, including those regarding diagnosis, antibody treatment, and vaccines. Alpha variant and Beta variant, which share the N501Y mutation, have higher transmission than other variants [10]. The N501Y, K417N, and E484K mutations in Beta variant may induce a conformational change of the spike protein and promote the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 [11]. In addition, Beta variant is resistant to many monoclonal antibodies against the N-terminal domain and the RBD, mostly due to the E484K mutation [7,8,10]. Moreover, Delta variant had already spread to more 100 countries. L452R and T478K mutations of Delta variant could result in the reduction of antibody neutralization and induce a strong immune escape ability [12-14]. These mutations present new challenges for current therapies and vaccines.

In our previous studies, we have found that GB-1, developed from Chiehyuan herbal formula which obtained from Tian Shang Sheng Mu of Chiayi Puzi Peitian Temple in Taiwan. Briefly, 25 g of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. ex DC. (Fig. 1A, originated from Hangjinqi, Inner Mongolia, voucher reference: No 0.7 H-E014) and 5 g of *Camellia sinensis* var. assamica (Fig. 1B, originated from Chiayi city, Taiwan, voucher reference: No 0.7 H-Y059) were weighed. All herbs were authenticated by pharmacist in the Chinese pharmacy department of Chiayi Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. Then, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter. Finally, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter. Finally, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter. Finally, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter. Finally, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter. Finally, GB-1 (30 g) was soaked in 2000 mL water and boiling hot water for 25 min in thermal flasks, respectively. The water extracts (1500 mL) were evaporated through reducing pressure to 100% to obtain the dry powder. The dry powder was filtered with filter paper to remove particulate matter. Then, the dry extracts were filtered through a 0.22-μm syringe filter.

The ACE2/SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay were performed as described previously [16] using the ACE2: SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay kit (ABM Bioscience Cat. number #79936) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, ACE2 solution was added to each well, except to the blank and incubated the reaction with slow shaking. After the incubation, ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 Spike (RBD)-Fc was added to each well, except to the blank and incubated the reaction with slow shaking. After incubation with a blocking buffer, an anti-mouse-Fc-HRP and incubate and an HRP substrate was added to the plate to produce chemiluminescence, which can then be measured using microplate reader.

2.2. Cell culture and treatment

293 T cells (human embryonic kidney cell line) were obtained from the Bioresource Collection and Research Center, Taiwan. 293 T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA), supplemented with 10% FBS at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The pCEP4-myc-AEC2 plasmid was a gift from Erik Procko (Addgene plasmid # 141185; http://n2t.net/addgene:141185). pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD-sfGFP plasmid was a gift from Erik Procko (Addgene plasmid # 141184; http://n2t.net/addgene:141184; RRID:Addgene:141184). Before treatment, 293 T cells were cultured to 60–70% confluence. Then, cultured medium was replaced with fresh medium containing indicated compounds in water at the indicated concentrations. 293 T cells treated with water were used as controls. 293 T cells without treatment were used as blank control.

2.3. 2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl) – 2 H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide assay (XTT assay)

293 T cell lines were cultured at a density of 1 × 10^5 per well of 96-well plates, in DMEM with 10% FBS. Once attached, the cultured medium was replaced with fresh medium with 10% FBS. 293 T cell were then treated with indicated drugs for indicated hours; and absorbance were measured using the XTT assay kit (Roche, catalog number: 11465015001) depending on the manufacturer’s instructions. The XTT formazan complex was quantitatively measured at 492 nm using an ELISA reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

2.4. ACE2/SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay

The ACE2/SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay were performed as described previously [16] using the ACE2: SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay kit (BPS Bioscience Cat. number #79936) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, ACE2 solution was used to coat a 96-well nickel-coated plate and washing the plate. Then, the plate was incubated with a blocking buffer. Next, the indicated compounds were added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with slow shaking. After the incubation, SARS-CoV-2 Spike (RBD)-Fc was added to each well, except to the blank and incubated the reaction with slow shaking. After incubation with a blocking buffer, an anti-mouse-Fc-HRP and incubate and an HRP substrate was added to the plate to produce chemiluminescence, which can then be measured using microplate reader.

2.5. Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding

The flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-S Binding were performed as described previously [17]. Briefly, 293 T cells were transfected with pCEP4-MYC-AEC2 or pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD-sfGFP plasmids (500 ng DNA per mL of culture at 2 × 10^6/mL) using lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher). The medium with indicated RBD-sfGFP were collected from 293 T cells which transfected with pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD-sfGFP plasmid after 48 h. After pretreated by indicated drugs for 24 h, 293 T cells which transfected with pCEP4-MYC-AEC2 plasmid were washed with ice-cold PBS-BSA, and incubated for 30 min on ice with medium containing RBD-sfGFP and the anti-MYC Alexa 647 (clone 9B11, Cell Signaling Technology). Then, cells were washed twice with PBS-BSA and analyzed by the flow cytometer BD FACSCanto (Becton Dickinson).

2.6. Site-directed mutagenesis

The QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA) was used to introduce amino acid substitutions (N501Y, E484K, K417N, K417N-E484K-N501Y, K417T-E484K-N501Y or L452R-T478K) in pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD-sfGFP plasmid by following the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.7. Statistical analyses

All values were the means ± standard error of mean (SEM) of the replicate samples (n = 3–6, depending on the experiment). These experiments were repeated by a minimum of three times. Differences
between two groups were assessed using the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or by ANOVA if more than two groups were analyzed. For testing the significance of pairwise group comparisons, the Tukey test was used as a post-hoc test in ANOVA. For all comparisons, P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all calculations.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of reference compounds in GB-1 by HPLC analysis

Glycyrrhizic acid (Fig. 1C) and (+)-Catechin (Fig. 1D) were used as the reference standards to validate the main compounds in GB-1. Glycyrrhizic acid (Fig. 1E) in Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. ex DC. and (+)-catechin (Fig. 1F) in Camellia sinensis var. assamica were identified as the reference compounds in GB-1 through HPLC analysis.

3.2. Effect of GB-1 on cell variability of the 293 T cell line and the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type

Much previous research has used 293 T cells as a model to investigate the effect and mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 entry [17–19]. We used 293 T cells as a model to study the effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins. First, we used a XTT assay to investigate the cytotoxicity of GB-1–293 T cells. Our results showed that GB-1 treatment with concentrations of 100–300 μg/mL did not inhibit the variability of the 293 T cells after 24–48 h (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that 100–300 μg/mL GB-1 does not have significant cytotoxicity against the cell variability of the 293 T cell line. Next, we used a spike/ACE2 inhibitor screening assay kit to investigate the effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and the spike protein of Wuhan-type SARS-CoV-2 [16]. As illustrated in Fig. 2B, we found that the binding of the Wuhan-type RBD of the spike protein to the ACE2 receptor was blocked by GB-1 in a concentration-dependent manner over the concentration range from 100 to 300 μg/mL (Fig. 2B).

3.3. Effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation

Studies have reported that mutations (K417N, E484K and N501Y) in the RBD in SARS-CoV-2 are associated with higher infectivity and resistance to neutralization of several antibodies [11,20]. Next, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. For the dual-color flow cytometric analysis, 293 T cells expressing MYC-tagged ACE2 were incubated with medium containing the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 fused to superfolder green fluorescent protein [21]. After treatment of the cells with the indicated drugs, populations of cells that expressed MYC-tagged ACE2 at the cell surface with high or low binding to RBD were collected through fluorescence-activated cell sorting [17]. After treatment of the cells with the indicated concentrations of GB-1, the number of 200 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 4 A, B). The number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation was also decreased in ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 200–300 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 3 A, B). The number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation was also significantly increased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 200–300 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 4 A, B). These results suggested that GB-1 can partially affect the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation.

3.4. Effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation

Gamma variant could induce higher virus levels than earlier variants and have higher mortality [22]. The RBD in gamma variant contain N501Y, E484K and K417T mutations [22,23]. Next, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. After treatment of the cells with the indicated concentration of GB-1, the number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation was decreased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 200–300 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 4 A, B). The number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation was decreased in ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 200 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 4 A, B). These results suggested that GB-1 can partially affect the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation.

3.5. Effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with N501Y mutation

N501Y is the important residue in the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein that is involved in critical contact with the interface of ACE2 [2, 24]. Previous reports have demonstrated that RBD with N501Y mutation promoted binding to the ACE2 receptor more than did the Wuhan type and increased the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 [24–29]. In our previous results, GB-1 could inhibit the binding between ACE2 and RBD with
Fig. 3. Effect of GB-1 on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. 293 T cells with pCEP4-MYC-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (K417N-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected: the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD(K417N-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars = mean ± S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with p < 0.05).
Fig. 4. Effect of GB-1 on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. 293 T cells with pCEP4-MYC-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (K417T-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected: the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD(K417T-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-Low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars=mean±S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with p < 0.05).
K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. Next, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with N501Y mutation through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. After treatment of the cells with 100–300 μg/mL GB-1, the number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with N501Y mutation was significantly increased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A, B). The number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with N501Y mutation was also decreased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A, B). These results suggested that 100–300 μg/mL GB-1 inhibited the binding between ACE2 and RBD with N501Y mutation.

3.6. Effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with E484K mutation

The previous studies showed that E484K mutation can completely abolish the binding of RBD to bamlanivimab [7,8]. Next, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with E484K mutation through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. After treatment of the cells with the indicated concentration of GB-1, the number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with E484K mutation was significantly increased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population (Fig. 6A, B). The number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with E484K mutation was also decreased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population (Fig. 6A, B). These results suggested that 300 μg/mL GB-1 significantly inhibited the binding between ACE2 and RBD with E484K mutation.

3.7. Effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with K417N mutation

K417 residue is located at the N-terminus of a short helix of the RBD and buried upon binding to ACE2 [30]. K417 usually co-occurs with E484K and N501Y in both Beta variant and Gamma variant [31]. K417N can block the hydrogen bond with ACE2-reducing affinity [30]. Despite the loss in the binding affinity between RBD and ACE2, the K417N mutation can escape neutralization by several monoclonal antibodies and decreases the efficiency of some vaccines [31]. For this reason, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with K417N mutation of RBD through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. After treatment of the cells with the indicated concentration of GB-1, the number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with K417N mutation was not increased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population (Fig. 7A, B). The number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with K417N mutation was decreased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population (Fig. 7A, B). These results suggested that 100–300 μg/mL GB-1 cannot affect the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N mutation.

3.8. Effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with L452R-T478K mutation

In early 2021, delta variant (B.1.617.2) is discovered in India and has demonstrated increased transmissibility [23]. L452R and T478K mutations of RBD in delta variant could induce a strong immune escape ability [12–14]. Next, we investigated the effect of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with L452R-T478K mutation through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. After treatment of the cells with GB-1, the number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with L452R-T478K mutation was significantly decreased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 8A, B). The number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with L452R-T478K mutation was also increased in ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 200–300 μg/mL GB-1 treatment group (Fig. 8A, B). These results suggested that 200–300 μg/mL GB-1 blocked the binding between ACE2 and RBD with L452R-T478K mutation.

3.9. Effect of glycyrrhizic acid on the binding between ACE2 and RBD

The dry root of Glycyrrhiza uralensis (GU) (Fig. 1A) is the major component of GB-1 and is commonly used in Taiwan and worldwide for food and medicine. Glycyrrhizic acid (Fig. 1C) is the reference compound of Glycyrrhiza uralensis. Our results indicated that GB-1 blocked the binding between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type or K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation (Figs. 2B and 3). Next, we investigated the effect of glycyrrhizic acid on the interaction between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type or K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. After treatment of the cells with glycyrrhizic acid, the number of 293 T cells with low binding to the RBD with Wuhan type was significantly increased in both ACE2-positive cells and the top population in the 50 μg/mL glycyrrhizic acid treatment group (Fig. 9A, B). The number of 293 T cells with high binding to the RBD with Wuhan type was also decreased in ACE2-positive cells and the top population in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 9A, B). These results suggested that 100–300 μg/mL GB-1 significantly inhibited the binding between ACE2 and RBD with E484K mutation.

4. Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant was first isolated in October 2020 in Nelson Mandela Bay, South Africa [31]. Beta variant contains nine mutations in SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: ΔD614G, ΔΔ242–Δ244, R246I, K417N, E484K, N501Y, and A701V [10]. The previous studies reported that K417N involves in the interaction between spike protein and ACE2 [7,8]. Although E484 is located outside of ACE2 binding area, E484K mutation can abolish the binding of RBD to some antibodies, including bamlanivimab [7,8]. The first characterization report from Haolin Liu and colleagues showed that N501Y mutation increases the binding affinity between spike protein and ACE2 [25,26]. Moreover, K417N-E484K-N501Y mutations within RBD of the virus could increase higher binding affinity to ACE2 than the wildtype RBD [7,8]. In our results, GB-1 has higher inhibitory ability in the variant with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation than the variant with K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation. Moreover, GB-1 inhibited the binding between ACE2 and RBD with N501Y mutation or E484K mutation, but not K417N mutation. The previous study reported that desolvation energy, restraints violation energy, Van der Waals energy, electrostatic energy, and buried surface area are different between K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation and K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation [32]. These results suggest that the interaction between ACE2 and RBD or N501 of RBD may be the major target of GB-1. The different K417N/T mutations could affect the inhibitory ability of GB-1 on the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation or K417T-E484K-N501Y mutation. However, the deeper mechanism of GB-1 on these SARS-CoV-2 mutations is still unclear. Thus, further investigation is necessary.

The antiviral effects of Glycyrrhiza glabra were first reported by the study of Pompei and collaborators [33]. Moreover, several studies discovered the anti-viral effect of glycyrrhin or licorice extracts on herpes simplex virus type 1, Epstein-Barr, pseudorabies, parainfluenzae Newcastle or human respiratory syncytial viruses, rhadobirudiae vesicular stomatitis virus and varicella-zoster viruses [33–36]. For SARS-CoV-2, a recent study showed that glycyrrhizic acid has the highest affinity toward the viral main protease (Mpro), representing binding energy through molecular docking simulation studies [37,38]. An in vitro study also showed that glycyrrhizic acid has inhibitory ability...
Fig. 5. Effect of GB-1 on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with N501Y mutation. Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. (A) 293 T cells with pCEP4-myc-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (N501Y)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected: the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD (N501Y)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-Low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars= mean±S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with p < 0.05).
for SARS-CoV-2 replication through blocking Mpro [39]. In another molecular docking study, an ephedra–glycyrrhiza pairing could bind well to Mpro, SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (Wuhan type), and ACE2 [40]. Moreover, a pharmacoinformatics study indicated that glycyrrhizic acid is suited to the binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (Wuhan type; Protein Data Bank identifier 6VSB) and prohibits the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell, as determined through a molecular docking simulation with AutoDock Vina software [41]. Jingjing Li and
colleagues reported that glycyrrhizic acid can inhibit SARS-CoV-2 lentivirus infection through blocking the interaction between spike protein-host cells [42]. Zhaoyan Zhao and colleagues reported that glycyrrhizic acid nanoparticles can inhibit the proliferation of the murine coronavirus MHV-A59 [43]. Glycyrrhizin nicotinate derivatives also can block SARS-CoV-2 virus and HIV-1 pseudoviruses infection [44].

However, the effect of glycyrrhizic acid on the binding between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with mutations is unclear. In our results, we discovered that glycyrrhizic acid could block the binding between ACE2 and the Wuhan-type RBD through dual-color flow cytometric analysis. This result is consistent with a previous in silico study [41]. The results suggested that glycyrrhizic acid could decrease the viral
transmission rate of Wuhan-type SARS-CoV-2. However, 50 μg/mL glycyrrhizic acid did not affect the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. The previous report showed that epigallocatechin gallate, theasinensin A and theaflavin 3,3′-di-O-gallate significantly inhibited interaction between recombinant ACE2 and RBD of spike protein [45]. It is possible that the other index compounds in black tea of GB-1 could block the binding between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation, except glycyrrhizic acid.

In our previous study, we discovered that theaflavin, the other index compound of GB-1, has a potential chemical structure of anti-SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase [46]. We also discovered that GB-1 could inhibit ACE2 and TMPRSS2 protein expression in different cell lines without cytotoxicity and lung and kidney tissue without nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity in animal model [15]. In this study, we

Fig. 8. Effect of GB-1 on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with L452R-T478K mutation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. 293 T cells with pCEP4-MYC-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (L452R-T478K)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected: the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD(L452R-T478K)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars=mean±S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with p < 0.05).
Fig. 9. Effect of glycyrrhizic acid on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with Wuhan type. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. 293 T cells with pCEP4-MYC-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (Wuhan type)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected: the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD (Wuhan type)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars=mean±S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with \( p < 0.05 \)).
Fig. 10. Effect of glycyrrhizic acid on interaction between ACE2 and RBD with K417N-E484K-N501Y mutation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of ACE2-Spike protein binding. 293 T cells with pCEP4-MYC-ACE2 plasmid were incubated with RBD (K417N-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP-containing medium and co-stained with fluorescent anti-MYC Alexa 647 to detect surface ACE2 by flow cytometry. During analysis, the top population were chosen from the ACE2-positive population. Then, two subsets of the ACE2-positive population were collected; the top population (nCoV-S-High sort, red gate) and the bottom population (nCoV-S-Low sort, green gate) based on the fluorescence of bound RBD (K417N-E484K-N501Y)-sfGFP relative to ACE2 surface expression. (B) Quantitative results of nCoV-S-High sort and nCoV-S-Low sort, which were presented as ratio compared with blank, in the top population or ACE2-positive population. All the results are representative of at least three independent experiments. (Error bars=mean±S.E.M. Asterisks (*) mark samples significantly different from control group with p < 0.05).
discovered that GB-1 blocked the binding between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 infection through the inhibition of the binding between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 mutation (N501Y, E484K, L452R-T478K or K417N-E484K-N501Y). However, the exact clinical effect remains unknown. Thus, further clinical research is necessary to confirm the protective effects of GB-1 against SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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