Immune Responses to Vi Capsular Polysaccharide Typhoid Vaccine in Children 2 to 16 Years Old in Karachi, Pakistan, and Kolkata, India

R. Leon Ochiai,*a M. Imran Khan,*a Sajid B. Soofi,b Dipika Sur,c Suman Kanungo,c Young Ae You,a M. Atif Habib,b Shah Muhammad Sahito,b* Byomkesh Manna,c Shanta Dutta,c Camilo J. Acosta,c,ma Mohammad Ali,b,ma Suji K. Bhattacharya,c,* Zulfiquar A. Bhutta,a,b John D. Clemens*c

International Vaccine Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea*, Department of Paediatrics, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistanb; National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, Kolkata, India

The geometric mean concentration (GMC) and the proportion maintaining a protective level (150 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) units [ELU/ml]) 2 years following a single dose of 25 µg of injectable Vi capsular polysaccharide typhoid vaccine was measured against that of the control hepatitis A vaccine in children 2 to 16 years old in cluster randomized trials in Karachi and Kolkata. The GMC for the Vi vaccine group (1,428 ELU/ml) was statistically significantly different from the GMC of the control hepatitis A vaccine group (86 ELU/ml) after 6 weeks. A total of 117 children (95.1%) in the Vi group and 9 (7.5%) in the hepatitis A group showed a 4-fold rise in Vi IgG antibody concentrations at 6 weeks (P < 0.01). Protective antibody levels remained significantly different between the two groups at 2 years (38% in the Vi vaccine groups and 6% in the hepatitis A group [P < 0.01]). A very small proportion of younger children (2 to 5 years old) maintained protective Vi IgG antibody levels at 2 years, a result that was not statistically significantly different compared to that for the hepatitis A group (38.1% versus 10.5%). The GMCs of the Vi IgG antibody after 2 years were 133 ELU/ml for children 2 to < 5 years old and 349 ELU/ml for children 5 to 16 years old. In conclusion, Vi capsular polysaccharide typhoid vaccine is immunogenic in children in settings of South Asia where typhoid is highly endemic. The antibody levels in children who received this vaccine remained higher than those in children who received the control vaccine but were significantly reduced at 2 years of follow-up.

Typhoid fever is a major bacterial infection in developing countries (1). Typhoid fever, until recently, was considered a disease of high incidence in children older than 5 years and endemic in South and South-East Asia. However, the data gathered in the past 10 years have shown that the disease can affect infants and has a high incidence in other parts of the world, such as Africa (2, 3). The incidence of typhoid fever ranges from 104 per 100,000 persons per year to 273 per 100,000 persons per year (4, 5).

Currently, two vaccines are licensed for typhoid fever, the live attenuated Ty21a vaccine and the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the use of typhoid vaccines in areas where the disease is endemic and in high-risk populations (travelers, microbiology laboratory technologists, etc.) (6).

The Vi capsular polysaccharide typhoid vaccine contains 25 µg of purified Vi capsular polysaccharide, to be administered intramuscularly. It can be administered to nonpregnant individuals 2 years old and older (see http://www.public.gsk.co.uk/products/Typherix.html). Large-scale Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine efficacy studies conducted in South Africa and Nepal established the efficacy and immunogenicity of the vaccine (7, 8). The immune response to the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine is elicited by the production of IgG antibodies and is T cell independent. IgG antibody levels are used for the assessment of protection against typhoid fever, as there are no direct measures of protection for Vi-based vaccines. IgG antibodies are elicited in 85 to 95% of the vaccinees after Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine administration (9). Protective levels of antibodies are elicited after 7 days and peak at 28 days postvaccination. The antibody levels to Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccines wane with the passage of time, usually after 2 years (10–12). Revaccination every 3 years, therefore, is recommended for sustained protection against typhoid fever in settings where typhoid is endemic and in high-risk populations (13).

The correlation of Vi IgG antibody levels with Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine protection as well as the long-term persistence of Vi IgG antibodies was documented in a study from South Africa (8). The results, however, have not been widely accepted as correlates of protection. Additionally, there is currently limited information on the immune response in young children to Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine, in view of the immaturity of the immune response in young children from polysaccharide vaccine administration (14).

The Disease of Most Impoverished Program of the International Vaccine Institute conducted Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine effectiveness trails in Karachi, Pakistan, targeting children 2 to 16 years old, and in Kolkata, India, targeting all those who are
2 years old or older to assess the feasibility of introducing Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine as a regular public health program as described in earlier publications (15, 16). We present the results of the immune responses to Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine in children 2 to 16 years old from these trials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study setting and population. Cluster randomized trials to assess the effectiveness of the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccination were conducted between 2002 and 2007 in the urban slums of Karachi, Pakistan, and Kolkata, India (15–17). The Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine or a control hepatitis A vaccine was administered to the target population. A computer-generated random subsample at each site was selected for the study of immune responses. In Kolkata, two individuals per cluster were selected, stratified by age group (two from the age group of 2 to 18 years and two from the group older than 18 years). In Karachi, four subjects per cluster were selected (two from the age group of 2 to <5 years and two from 5 to 16 years). We pooled the data from the two sites for this analysis and hence did not use the information for children older than 16 years from Kolkata.

Vaccines. The vaccines (Typhexir and Havrix) were supplied by GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals (GSK) (Rixensart, Belgium). Typhexir was supplied as a 0.5-ml dose consisting of 720 enzyme-linked immunosorbent units (ELISA) units/ml of viral antigen adsorbed on 0.25 mg of aluminum as aluminum hydroxide. Havrix was supplied as a sterile suspension for intramuscular administration.

Data collection. Vaccination cards with household member information were distributed prior to vaccination. The names of the children randomly selected for blood sampling were highlighted in the vaccination record books to ease identification when children visited vaccination centers. The study staff explained to the parent/guardian the purpose of the blood sampling, and after written informed consent was obtained, 5 ml of blood was collected before vaccination at the vaccination post. The same subjects were followed up at the participants’ homes at 6 weeks and 2 years after vaccination for the subsequent sampling. At the field sites, the samples were allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 min to 2 h. At the end of the day, the blood samples were transported to the clinical laboratories of Aga Khan University Hospital (AKUH) in Karachi, Pakistan, and the National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases (NICED) in Kolkata, India. The blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 min and the sera were separated aseptically into multiple aliquots within 24 h after the sampling. Sera were then stored at −80°C. Each blood sample and aliquot was labeled with premarked stickers. Quantitative serum Vi and hepatitis A antibody (IgG) concentrations were measured through an ELISA technique using the GSK ELISA standard (18–21). The serum analyses were performed at the end of the trials, and the technicians performing assays were blinded to the vaccine codes.

RESULTS

Table 1. The distribution of sample size by site and age group for assessment of immune response as part of Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine effectiveness trials in Karachi and Kolkata

| Blood sample collection no. and time period | Sample sizes (n [%]) in: | Sample sizes (n [%]) in: |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                          | Karachi                  | Kolkata                  |
|                                          | Vi  HepAa                 | Total                    |
|                                          | Vi  HepA                 | Total                    |
| 1, day 0/prevaccination                  |                          |                          |
| 2–5                                      | 57 (46.7)                | 47 (40.9)                |
| 5–16                                     | 65 (53.3)                | 68 (59.1)                |
|                                          | 104 (43.9)               | 133 (56.1)               |
|                                          | 3 (9.7)                  | 5 (15.6)                 |
|                                          | 28 (90.3)                | 27 (84.4)                |
|                                          | 8 (12.7)                 | 55 (87.3)                |
|                                          | 60 (39.2)                | 52 (35.4)                |
|                                          | 112 (37.3)               | 93 (60.8)                |
|                                          | 188 (62.7)               | 95 (64.6)                |
| 2, 6 wk after vaccination                |                          |                          |
| 2–5                                      | 40 (43.5)                | 36 (39.6)                |
| 5–16                                     | 52 (56.5)                | 55 (60.4)                |
|                                          | 76 (41.5)                | 107 (58.5)               |
|                                          | 2 (6.5)                  | 3 (10.3)                 |
|                                          | 29 (93.6)                | 26 (89.7)                |
|                                          | 5 (8.3)                  | 55 (91.7)                |
|                                          | 1 (4.0)                  | 2 (8.7)                  |
|                                          | 1 (40.7)                 | 3 (6.3)                  |
|                                          | 24 (96.0)                | 21 (91.3)                |
|                                          | 45 (93.8)                | 50 (70.4)                |
|                                          | 21 (29.6)                | 19 (27.9)                |
|                                          | 40 (28.8)                | 50 (71.4)                |
|                                          | 20 (29.0)                | 16 (25.0)                |
|                                          | 36 (27.1)                | 97 (72.9)                |
|                                          | 3 (6.4)                  | 3 (6.4)                  |
| 3, 2 yr after vaccination                |                          |                          |
| 2–5                                      | 20 (43.5)                | 17 (37.8)                |
| 5–16                                     | 26 (56.5)                | 28 (62.2)                |
|                                          | 54 (59.3)                | 59 (53.9)                |
|                                          | 1 (4.0)                  | 2 (8.7)                  |
|                                          | 1 (40.7)                 | 3 (6.3)                  |
|                                          | 24 (96.0)                | 21 (91.3)                |
|                                          | 45 (93.8)                | 50 (70.4)                |
|                                          | 21 (29.6)                | 19 (27.9)                |
|                                          | 40 (28.8)                | 50 (71.4)                |
|                                          | 20 (29.0)                | 16 (25.0)                |
|                                          | 36 (27.1)                | 97 (72.9)                |
|                                          | 3 (6.4)                  | 3 (6.4)                  |
| 5–16                                     | 52 (56.5)                | 55 (60.4)                |
|                                          | 107 (58.5)               | 107 (58.5)               |
|                                          | 29 (93.6)                | 26 (89.7)                |
|                                          | 55 (91.7)                | 55 (91.7)                |
|                                          | 21 (29.6)                | 19 (27.9)                |
|                                          | 40 (28.8)                | 50 (71.4)                |
|                                          | 20 (29.0)                | 16 (25.0)                |
|                                          | 36 (27.1)                | 97 (72.9)                |
|                                          | 3 (6.4)                  | 3 (6.4)                  |
|                                          | 3 (6.4)                  | 3 (6.4)                  |
| 3, 2 yr after vaccination                |                          |                          |
| All three samples                        |                          |                          |
| 2–5                                      | 19 (42.2)                | 14 (34.2)                |
| 5–16                                     | 26 (57.8)                | 27 (65.9)                |
|                                          | 53 (61.6)                | 53 (61.6)                |
|                                          | 1 (4.2)                  | 2 (8.7)                  |
|                                          | 1 (42.2)                 | 3 (6.4)                  |
|                                          | 23 (95.8)                | 21 (91.3)                |
|                                          | 44 (93.6)                | 44 (93.6)                |
|                                          | 49 (71.0)                | 48 (75.0)                |
|                                          | 97 (72.9)                | 97 (72.9)                |

a HepA, hepatitis A vaccine.
TABLE 2 Comparison of immune response to the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine and the control hepatitis A vaccine in children between the ages of 2 and 16 years in Karachi and Kolkata

| Variables | Values for children receiving the indicated vaccine | Hepatitis A | P |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Overall (age 2–16 yr) | | | |
| Blood sample 1, day 0 (prevaccination)* | | | |
| No. | 153 | 147 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) | 83.2 (78.4–88.4) | 81.8 (77.2–86.7) | 0.692 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%])* | 12 (7.8) | 10 (6.8) | 0.723 |
| Blood sample 2, 6 wk since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 123 | 120 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 1,428.3 (1130–1805.4) | 85.9 (78–94.6) | <0.001 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 117 (95.1) | 9 (7.5) | <0.001 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 104 (86.7) | 2 (1.7) | <0.001 |
| Blood sample 3, 2 yr since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 71 | 68 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 262.6 (190–362.8) | 90.4 (79.1–103.4) | <0.001 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 40 (56.3) | 8 (11.8) | <0.001 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 27 (39.1) | 4 (6.1) | <0.001 |

Age <5 yr

| Variables | Values for children receiving the indicated vaccine | Hepatitis A | P |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Blood sample 1, day 0 (prevaccination) | | | |
| No. | 60 | 52 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 75 (75–75) | 76.2 (73.8–78.7) | 0.304 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | |
| Blood sample 2, 6 wk since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 42 | 39 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 832.2 (546–1268.5) | 82.7 (67.8–100.8) | <0.001 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 36 (85.7) | 1 (2.6) | <0.001 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 29 (70.7) | 1 (2.7) | <0.001 |
| Blood sample 3, 2 yr since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 21 | 19 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 133.4 (93.7–190) | 96.5 (67–139) | 0.218 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 8 (38.1) | 2 (10.5) | 0.071 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 5 (25.0) | 2 (11.1) | 0.379 |

Age 5–15.9 yr

| Variables | Values for children receiving the indicated vaccine | Hepatitis A | P |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Blood sample 1, day 0 (prevaccination) | | | |
| No. | 93 | 95 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 89 (80.8–98.1) | 85.1 (77.9–92.9) | 0.497 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 12 (12.9) | 9 (9.5) | 0.463 |
| Blood sample 2, 6 wk since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 81 | 81 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 1,890 (1447.3–2468.1) | 87.5 (78.5–97.6) | <0.001 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 81 (100.0) | 8 (9.9) | <0.001 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 75 (94.9) | 1 (1.3) | <0.001 |
| Blood sample 3, 2 yr since vaccination | | | |
| No. | 50 | 49 | |
| GMC (95% confidence limits) (ELU/ml) | 348.9 (230.2–528.8) | 88.2 (77.3–100.5) | <0.001 |
| Attained protective antigen level (n [%]) | 32 (64.0) | 6 (12.2) | <0.001 |
| Achieved a ≥4-fold rise (n [%]) | 22 (44.9) | 2 (4.2) | <0.001 |

* Blood sample 1 is from day 0 (prevaccination), 2 is from week 6 since vaccination, and 3 is from year 2 since vaccination.

b GMC, geometric mean concentration. All values are expressed in ELU/ml.

c Attained protective antigen level (Vi, ≥150 ELU/ml [GSK in-house standard]). We used the value 75 ELU/ml (midpoint) for those listed as ≥150 ELU/ml in the report.

d Achieved a ≥4-fold rise in antibodies, in relation to baseline, as detected in the cited blood sample.

e P value is not possible due to 0 in one of the cells.

362.8 ELU/ml) compared to 90.4 ELU/ml (95% CI, 79.1 to 103.4 ELU/ml) in the hepatitis A vaccine group. Within the groups vaccinated with Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine, the Vi IgG GMC reduced to 262.6 ELU/ml compared to 1,428.3 ELU/ml at 6 weeks after vaccination (Table 2).

The proportion of children maintaining a protective level of Vi IgG antibodies 2 years after vaccination in the Vi vaccine group was statistically significantly different from the proportion in the control hepatitis A vaccine group (39.1% in Vi vaccine group and 6.1% in hepatitis A vaccine group; P < 0.001) (Fig. 1). Six children (4.9%) in the sample did not develop Vi IgG antibodies after receiving the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine. All nonresponders
were <5 years old. The Vi IgG antibody levels dropped below the protective level in 31 (43.7%) children after 2 years. The immune response to the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine in younger children (<5 years old) was not statistically different compared to the response in older children (5 to 16 years old) at 6 weeks and 2 years after vaccination (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Typhoid fever incidence continues to be high in children, and in the absence of long-term interventions (such as improvements in water quality and sanitation), vaccination is an alternative short-term strategy. In Kolkata and Karachi, areas of high endemicity for typhoid fever, the Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine is immunogenic in children aged 2 to 16 years, with a considerable proportion of the vaccinees retaining a protective level of antibodies after 2 years. However, immune responses are higher in older children (5 to 16 years old) than in younger children (2 to <5 years old).

Approximately 7% of children enrolled in our study had protective levels of Vi IgG antibodies prior to vaccination, suggesting a high prevalence of immune response due to natural infection. Natural infection with *S. typhi* does not confer long-term immunity (23, 24). The mechanism through which a polysaccharide vaccine may interact and boost the existing immunity due to natural infection is not well understood. However, children who had prior antibodies responded better to the Vi vaccine (data not shown).

It is important to note that the correlates of protection for typhoid fever vaccines are not established. The ELISA method has been the most common way to assess immunogenicity (8, 25, 26); however, the lack of standardized quantitative IgG reference sera makes direct comparison of immunogenicity data from different studies impossible. In our study, the Vi IgG antibody levels were measured using the ELISA method with the GSK Biologicals’ reference standard, for which the threshold had been standardized for their internal use (18–21). Therefore, we were unable to compare our results with those of other studies of Vi immune responses due to differences in measurement methods and scales.

Nonetheless, the results from our study complement the effectiveness studies and support the importance of typhoid vaccination as a preventive measure for typhoid control in areas where typhoid is highly endemic. However, these results also pose a programmatic challenge due to the short durations of the presence of Vi IgG in the vaccinated population as well as of the immune responses in children <5 years old, due to the polysaccharide vaccine’s T cell independent immunity and the immaturity of the immune response in younger children (27).

Even with a shorter duration of the presence of Vi IgG antibodies in younger children, the effectiveness study in Kolkata had shown a significant clinical protection in younger children when the vaccine was provided to the whole community (16). This larger vaccination coverage may have contributed to the interruption in disease transmission in the community, inducing indirect protection to the younger children. Similarly, a study of the long-term persistence of Vi IgG antibodies in South Africa supports the argument for the use of a series of vaccination campaigns in high-risk areas where, over a 10-year period, the majority of the population will be protected with Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccination (28).

In regions of the world with high endemicity, typhoid fever incidences are higher in children <5 years old compared to those in older children. The immune response elicited by natural infection as well as by the vaccine in the children younger than 5 years

![FIG 1 Comparison of anti-Vi antibody levels at three different time points by age group and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals from the studies of immune response to Vi capsular polysaccharide vaccine in Karachi and Kolkata. HepA, hepatitis A vaccine.](http://cvi.asm.org/fig1.png)
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