Fermiology of Cuprates from First Principles: From Small Pockets to the Luttinger Fermi surface
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Fermiology, the shape and size of the Fermi surface, underpins the low-temperature physical properties of a metal. Recent investigations of the Fermi surface of high-\(T_c\) superconductors, however, show a most unusual behavior: upon addition of carriers, “Fermi” pockets appear around nodal (hole doping) and antinodal (electron doping) regions of the Brillouin zone in the “pseudogap” state. With progressive doping, \(\delta\), these evolve into well-defined Fermi surfaces around optimal doping (\(\delta_{\text{opt}}\)), with no pseudogap. Correspondingly, various physical responses, including \(d\)-wave superconductivity, evolve from highly anomalous, up to \(\delta_{\text{opt}}\), to more conventional beyond. Describing this evolution holds the key to understanding high-temperature superconductivity. Here, we present \emph{ab initio} quantum chemical results for cuprates, providing a quantitative description of the evolution of the Fermi surface with \(\delta\). Our results constitute an \emph{ab initio} justification for several, hitherto proposed semiphenomenological theories, offering an unified basis for understanding of various, unusual physical responses of doped cuprates.

Understanding high-temperature superconductivity in quasi two-dimensional (2D), doped copper oxides remains one of the most challenging problems in condensed matter physics. In spite of varying structural and chemical details, the phase diagram of the high-\(T_c\) superconductors (HTS’s) is seemingly remarkably universal: the undoped compounds with nominally one hole per Cu site are Mott insulators (MI’s) due to strong electron-electron interactions [1]. Upon addition of charge carriers (doping), the cuprates turn into \(d\)-wave superconductors (\(d\)-SC) at low temperatures, \(T<T_c\) [1].

The “normal” state for \(T>T_c\) is actually very abnormal, and radically undermines the conventional Landau theory of Fermi liquids (FL’s). In the so-called underdoped (UD) regime, \(\delta \ll 1\), a \(d\)-wave pseudogap (\(d\)-PG) characterises the normal state [1]. Whether this \(d\)-PG state is the precursor of \(d\)-SC at lower \(T\) or its competitor is hotly debated [2, 3]. Around optimal doping, a “strange metal” phase, with most unusual singular responses [4] is clearly revealed: this is the celebrated non-FL metallic state that has been investigated for twenty years [1]. In the overdoped (OD) regime (\(\delta > \delta_{\text{opt}}\)), low-\(T\) FL behavior seems to be smoothly recovered.

Very recently, notable improvements in sample quality as well as measuring technology have finally allowed accurate mapping of the actual dispersion of the quasiparticles (QP’s) and the Fermi surface (FS) of HTS’s. Specifically, angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) [5, 6, 7] and quantum oscillation (SdH) techniques [8, 9] reveal crucial, hitherto unmapped features of the evolution of the (renormalised) QP dispersion as a function of doping. Thus, these works open up the possibility, for the first time, of unearthing the link between the electronic structure and physical responses of cuprates in microscopic detail as a function of \(\delta\). Both ARPES and SdH measurements reveal a full FS consistent with conventional band-structure calculations for \(\delta > \delta_{\text{opt}}\) [5, 10]. However, in the UD regime, the small “Fermi” pockets inferred by SdH experiments are in deep conflict with Luttinger’s theorem. For hole doped samples with \(\delta = 0.1\), the SdH results yield a carrier concentration \(x_{\text{SdH}} = 0.15\) [8]. Under the same conditions, the low-\(T\) Hall constant is \(\text{electron-like} \approx 1\). How can this come about? Existing theoretical calculations cannot resolve this issue satisfactorily. And yet, this finding points toward a glaring discrepancy in our understanding of the electronic structure of cuprates. In light of these findings, a consistent theoretical scenario aiming to describe the unique physics of HTS’s \emph{must} now base itself upon the appropriate, collective excitations stemming from the observed, detailed shape and size of the FS.

Here, we study the dispersion of the lowest hole and electron-addition states, as well as the evolution of the renormalised FS with doping. Using an \emph{ab initio} wavefunction-based formalism, we describe these with quantitative accuracy vis-a-vis recent ARPES and SdH measurements. Implications of our findings for other experiments, as well as their connection to earlier model-based and semiphenomenological theories, are discussed in detail. Our findings lend credence to the view [12], that the unique properties of cuprates are those of a 2D, doped MI.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The correlation-induced renormalisation effects on the valence and conduction energy bands are remarkably strong in cuprates. Early attempts to describe these effects were based on the \(t-J\) model [1] and indicated the crucial role played by the strong antiferromagnetic (AF) couplings in reducing the effective bandwidths. In the three-band context, it was suggested that a doped oxygen hole would induce short-range ferromagnetic (FM)
correlations between adjacent Cu sites \[13\]. If the extra hole delocalizes over all four equivalent ligands of a given CuO\(_4\) plaquette \[14\], these FM correlations would involve Cu sites on five plaquettes \[15\], as shown in Fig. 1. Since the mobility of this entity is expected to be small, it is often referred to as a FM “spin polaron”.

An accurate investigation of the structure of such composite objects calls for methods that allow an unbiased treatment of the various (competing) interactions in the CuO\(_2\) plane. A fundamental point underlying the physics of cuprates is the interplay between electron localization effects as a result of strong repulsive interactions and band-like behaviour as a result of translational symmetry and inter-site orbital overlap. Standard band theories based upon the density-functional model (and the local density approximation, LDA) mainly emphasize the latter aspect. Though LDA provides rather good results for weakly correlated solids, its limited ability to describe correlated \(d\) (and \(f\)) electrons is well-documented. With the advent of dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT), this basic conflict has been partially resolved \[16\]. In particular, much progress in describing the FS’s of real materials, along with their one-particle spectral functions, has been possible. However, this is still some distance from being a totally \textit{ab initio} approach, since the actual correlations are approximated by local (Hubbard) parameters. Use of constrained LDA to estimate these parameters entails an uncertainty of the order of 20%, while their self-consistent estimation within LDA+DMFT is fraught with insurmountable problems \[17\].

An alternative approach bases itself on state-of-the-art quantum chemical (QC) methods \[18\]. In molecular systems, wavefunction-based quantum chemistry provides a rigorous theoretical framework for addressing the electron correlation problem \[19\]. A real-space, QC-based treatment is then a natural starting point in dealing with Mott physics in \(d\)-metal solid state compounds. As shown below, the \(k\)-dependent energy bands can be recovered at a later stage after rigorously accounting for the ubiquitous strong short-range correlation effects.

The strategy is to use a sufficiently large cluster, \(C\), cut out from the infinite solid and properly embedded in some effective lattice potential, capable of describing these crucial short-range correlations accurately. The presence of partially filled \(d\) electron shells requires a multiconfiguration representation of the many-electron wavefunction. The complete-active-space (CAS) self-consistent-field (SCF), CASSCF, method \[20\] provides precisely such a framework (see Methods for details). It can, for example, describe spin correlation effects such as the Anderson superexchange in MI’s \[21\] and the double-exchange in mixed-valence systems \[22\]. For undoped cuprates, with formally one \(3d_{x^2−y^2}\) electron per Cu site, the CAS wavefunction is similar to the variational wavefunction used in numerical studies of the 2D, one-band Hubbard model \[23\]. However, \textit{all} one- and two-particle integrals are computed here in a totally \textit{ab initio} way.

The dispersion of \(d\)-like states on a square lattice is given by the following relation:

\[
\epsilon(k) = -2t \cos k_x a + \cos k_y a + 4t' \cos k_x a \cos k_y a - 2t''(\cos 2k_x a + \cos 2k_y a),
\]

where \(t, t', t''\) are the hopping integrals between nearest-neighbor (NN), second-NN and third-NN sites and the effective site is one CuO\(_4\) plaquette \[14\]. LDA calculations yield \(t\) values of 0.4–0.5 eV and a ratio between the NN and second-NN hoppings \(t'/t\approx0.15\) for La\(_2\)CuO\(_4\) and 0.33 for Tl\(_2\)Ba\(_2\)CuO\(_6\) \[24\]. In contrast, the CASSCF calculations predict a \textit{renormalised} NN hopping \(t=0.135\) eV in La\(_2\)CuO\(_4\) \[15\]. Here, we describe how the detailed QP dispersion can be obtained with quantitative accuracy, \textit{for both} hole and electron-addition states. The effective hoppings are computed by using the overlap, \(S_{ij}\), and Hamiltonian, \(H_{ij}\), matrix elements between \((N\pm1)\)-particle wavefunctions having the additional particle (hole or electron) located on different plaquettes \((i,j,...)\) of a given cluster. Each of these \((N\pm1)\) wavefunctions, \(\Psi_{i}^{N\pm1}\), is obtained by \textit{separate} CASSCF optimizations. A similar scheme was previously applied to simpler, noncontroversial systems such as diamond, silicon and MgO \[25, 26\]. It accounts for both charge \[25, 26\] \textit{and} spin polarization and relaxation effects in the nearby surroundings, see Fig. 1. For degenerate (i.e., \(H_{ii}=H_{jj}\)) \((N\pm1)\) states, \(t=(\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i)/2=(H_{ij}-S_{ij}H_{ii})(1-S_{ij}^2)\), where \(\epsilon_i\) and \(\epsilon_j\) are the eigenvalues of the 2 \(\times\) 2 secular problem. For non-degenerate \((H_{ii} \neq H_{jj})\) states, \(t=1/2[(\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i)^2-(H_{jj}-H_{ii})^2]^{1/2}\). The \(S_{ij}\) and \(H_{ij}\) terms are computed using the State-Interaction (SI) method \[27\]. Correlation effects beyond CASSCF on the onsite matrix elements \(H_{ii}\) are calculated by multiconfigurational second-order perturbation theory, CASPT2.
The short-range magnetic correlations are included in our clusters by adding extra CuO$_4$ units around those plaquettes directly involved in the hopping process, see Fig. 2.

**QUASIPARTICLE BANDS AND ARPES**

We investigated both, the $p$-type HTS La$_2$CuO$_4$ and the $n$-type HTS SrCuO$_2$. Renormalised hopping matrix elements (ME’s) for Zhang-Rice (ZR) [14] type states in La$_2$CuO$_4$ and electron-addition states in SrCuO$_2$, involving neighbors up to the third order, are listed in Table I. For comparison, “unrenormalised” (or bare) hoppings were also computed, by imposing a FM arrangement of spins at the nearby Cu sites, i.e., a FM lattice. The bare hoppings are substantially smaller for the ($N+1$) Cu $d^{10}$ states because the Cu $3d$ functions are more compact as compared to the O $2p$ orbitals.

In effective one-band models [1, 14], the ZR $p$-$d$ state is regarded as a vacant, or unoccupied, $d$-like site. Consequently, there is no renormalisation of the second-NN and third-NN hoppings $t'$ and $t''$ because these connect sites of the same magnetic sublattice. In contrast, the interplay between short-range FM correlations and longer-range AF couplings (see the sketch in Fig. 1) produces large renormalisation effects for all hopping ME’s in our approach. For $t'$ and $t''$, in particular, the hopping of the $2p$ hole implies coupled, Cu and O spin “flips” on the two plaquettes directly involved in the hopping process. Nevertheless, spin correlations decay rapidly with distance and so the renormalisation effects are less drastic for the third-NN ME, $t''$. We thus find $t'' \simeq t/2$ and $t' \ll t$, a rather remarkable result.

For the description of the electron-addition $d^{10}$ states, an effective one-band model is seen to be justified. As shown in Table I, in this case only $t$ is substantially affected by nonlocal spin correlations. Hence, for the ($N+1$) states, the renormalized hoppings satisfy $t' \simeq t$, an equally remarkable result. The particle-hole asymmetry, see Fig. 3, is now readily understood from the very different $t'/t$ and $t''/t$ values for the ($N-1$) (ZR-like) and ($N+1$) (Cu $d^{10}$) bands.

Doping of the CuO$_2$ planes is achieved by chemical substitution in the “reservoir” layers. The dopant carriers must quantum mechanically tunnel from the reservoir to the planes: this necessarily involves the apical O $2p_z$, Cu $3d_{xz,2}$ and Cu $4s$ orbitals, causing additional renormalisation of the planar QP’s from these apical charge-transfer interactions. Hence, we extended our calculations to include configurations where an electron is removed/added from/to the Cu $3d_{xz,2}$ or Cu $4s$ orbital. Only the Cu $3d_{xz,2}$ ($N-1$) state gave rise to considerable renormalisation of the planar QP dispersion. While the onsite mixing between the ZR and $d_{xz}$ ($N-1$) configurations and NN hopping between degenerate $d_{xz}$ hole states are negligible, the inter-site off-diagonal hopping is large. With sets of orbitals individually optimized for each ($N-1$) state, this off-diagonal ME is $t_m = 0.20$ eV, larger than the value reported in ref. 31, where the lowest $d_{xz}$ hole state was expressed in terms of orbitals optimized over an average of several excited states involving different couplings among the nearby Cu spins. In k-space, the hybridisation ME between the ZR and $d_{xz}$ bands reads $\gamma_{m}(k) = t_m (\cos k_x a - \cos k_y a)$. Further, onsite, the ZR and $d_{xz}$ ($N-1$) states are separated by an energy $\Delta \epsilon$. It turns out that the correlation-induced corrections to the CASSCF energy separation are substantial, changing this quantity from 0.60 eV [32] to $\Delta \epsilon = 1.70$ at the CASPT2 level. Such corrections are usually small, for both the onsite relative energies [21] and hoppings [15, 26]. Nevertheless, corrections as large as 0.9 eV have been found before for the relative energy of the $1^{A_{1g}}$ state of the $d^8$ manifold in NiO [21], for example.

It is now straightforward to diagonalise the $k$-dependent $2 \times 2$ matrix,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_{Zn}(k) & \gamma_{m}(k) \\
\gamma_{m}(k) & \epsilon_{2z}(k) + \Delta \epsilon
\end{pmatrix}
\]

...to yield the renormalised bands. This constitutes a non-trivial extension of the three-band Hubbard model, where the additional renormalisation from the apical link is not considered. The resulting dispersion of the ZR-like band is plotted in Fig. 3 and shows excellent agreement with the dispersion of the lowest ARPES band reported for La$_2$CuO$_4$ by Ino et al. [31]. In particular, the flat dispersion around $(0,\pi)$, the maximum near $(\pi/2,\pi/2)$ and a renormalized bandwidth of nearly 1 eV are all faithfully reproduced in the theoretical results. We have not attempted to describe the “waterfall”-like structures observed recently in ARPES. First, their interpretation [32] and causal link to $d$-SC are controversial. Theoretically,
the study of such structures requires an analysis of the incoherent part of the spectral function [16, 32]. This challenging exercise is beyond the scope of our present work. For the Cu $d^{10}$ states, a lack of detailed data for ARPES lineshapes in $n$-type cuprates precludes a direct comparison between theory and experiment.

Knowledge of the QP dispersion enables us to study the evolution of the renormalized FS as a function of doping. Assuming a rigid band shift with doping, an assumption supported by independent experiments [34-35], we plot the evolution of the FS for both hole and electron doped cuprates in Fig. 4. We simulate doping effects by a progressive downward shift (hole doping) and upward shift (electron doping) of the Fermi energy, $E_F$. Once again, our results show a remarkable agreement with the experiment: small hole pockets centered around the nodal (N) region $\{k_n = (\pi/2a, \pi/2a)\}$ comprise the “FS” in the deeply UD regime [8, 9]. Additionally, for slightly higher $\delta$, smaller, electron-like pockets centred around the corners of the Brillouin zone are also clearly resolved, see Fig. 4(a). With further doping, these progressively evolve into a large hole-like FS [8], implying a FS reconstruction close to $\delta_{opt}$. At a critical value $\delta = \delta_c$, the FS changes from hole-like ($\delta < \delta_c$) to electron-like ($\delta > \delta_c$), in complete accord with results from ARPES [8]. Excellent agreement of the FS vis-a-vis experiment is also obtained for the $n$-type cuprates: small pockets centered around $k_{nn}$ evolve into a hole-like FS with progressive electron doping. To our knowledge, ours are the first $ab$ initio results capturing such effects: hitherto, these have been (partially) described within effective, one- [16, 33-37] or three-band [38-39] models with parametrized couplings.

| Hopping ME’s | Bare | Renormalised |
|--------------|------|--------------|
| "ZR" state  |      |              |
| $t$          | 0.540| 0.135        |
| $t'$         | 0.305| 0.010        |
| $t''$        | 0.115| 0.075        |
| $d^{10}$ state|     |              |
| $t$          | 0.290| 0.115        |
| $t'$         | 0.130| 0.130        |
| $t''$        | 0.045| 0.015        |

Our results constitute an $ab$ initio derivation of the “hot-spot-cold-spot” phenomenology [10], also seen in cluster-DMFT work on the 2D Hubbard model [10]. There, the QP scattering rate is strongly $k$-dependent as the FS is traversed. This is manifest in our computed FS: the pronounced dispersion of the N-QP’s implies weaker QP scattering around $k_n$, in marked contrast to the antinodal (AN) region, where strong band flattening is indicative of strong QP scattering and very short QP lifetimes. Further, the renormalized $t$, $t'$, $t''$ imply intrinsically frustrated hopping: interestingly, the importance of frustrated kinetic energy to the high-$T_c$ problem has been discussed at length in the resonating valence bond (RVB) model of Anderson [12]. We show that both these seemingly disparate features arise from the same underlying microscopic mechanism: strongly anisotropic renormalisation of carrier motion by strong, short-range spin correlations.

Interestingly, in a Hubbard-type model, large $t'$ (or $t''$) open the door to additional exotic phases, like d-wave nematic [41], d-density wave [42] and valence-bond [43] ordered phases. These have been invoked as possible competitors of d-SC in various semiphenomenological contexts. Our work establishes the intimate connection between these putative instabilities and short-range spin correlations characteristic of a (lightly doped) MI.

Our findings have remarkable implications for the interpretation of a host of experiments probing the un-

### BROADER IMPLICATIONS
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**Figure 3**: (a): The ZR-like electron-removal band for La$_2$CuO$_4$ in the 2D Brillouin zone, without including the interaction with the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ hole state (dashed line) and after including this interaction (thick red line). For clarity, the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band is not shown in the figure. The zero of energy is the value of the onsite Hamiltonian ME of the ZR state, $H^{Z\text{R}}$. (b): QP dispersion for the electron-addition Cu $d^{10}$ state in SrCuO$_2$. The reference energy is the value of the onsite Hamiltonian ME, $H^{d^{10}}$. Units of eV are used in both panels.
controversies surrounding recent SdH experiments, where offers a route toward a resolution of one of the central electron results with the Luttinger sum rule for finding of additional electron-like sheets can reconcile the irreconcilable with theories having only hole pockets, our entities. Without going into the nature of the pairing will result from pairing of these quasicoherent fermionic carriers depending upon the concentrations of hole and electron track the evolution of the renormalised FS with doping. Moreover, the Hall constant $R_H$ is now expected to revert back to a more conventional BCS-like variety [45], with identical scaling for the N and AN gaps.

**CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, we have implemented a first-principles, wavefunction-based calculation of correlated hole and electron-addition quasiparticle states in layered cuprates. In addition to quantitatively describing the dispersion of the ZR-like band, our work reproduces the FS evolution as a function of doping, in remarkable agreement with a host of recent ARPES and quantum oscillation experiments. Our finding of large longer-range effective hoppings implies intrinsically frustrated carrier kinetic energy, in agreement with Anderson’s RVB ideas [12]. The very different behavior of hole and electron doped cuprates is clearly manifested as originating from very different quantum chemical and spin correlation “backgrounds”. Seen from this perspective, the FS “reconstruction” with doping [11], as well as the famed nodal-antinodal dichotomy in the UD systems, are both un-

![FIG. 4: (a): 2D colour map for the ZR-like QP dispersion in La$_2$CuO$_4$, including the effect of the ZR-$d_{z^2}$ hole interaction. In a rigid-band picture, the constant-energy (CE) contours (black curves) illustrate the evolution of the FS with hole doping, from small (hole) pockets in the nodal region for deeply UD samples to a large, hole-like FS at intermediate dopings and an electron-like shape in the OD regime [2]. For a narrow doping interval in the UD regime, the “FS” is defined by eight contours (see the dashed curves), with both hole-like sheets and electron pockets centred at the corners of the Brillouin zone. The latter are related to the small dip in the dispersion at the ($\pi, \pi$) point, see Fig. 3(a), and have been also inferred from Hall-effect measurements [11]. (b): Colour map for the electron-addition Cu $d^{10}$ states in SrCuO$_2$. The CE contours reproduce the experimentally observed evolution of the FS with electron doping, from small electron pockets in the antinodal region for the lightly doped regime to a large, hole-like FS at high doping [3, 30]. The same energy scales as in Fig. 3 are used.](image-url)
understood in terms of k-space differentiation of QP states in the 2D, doped MI. Phenomenologically, the computed evolution of the FS with δ goes hand-in-hand with the observed evolution of d-SC from a strongly non-BCS, phase fluctuation dominated type, to a more conventional BCS type with progressive doping, benchmarking the crucial relevance of fermiology in cuprates.

— Methods —

In the CASSCF approach 14, 29, the wavefunction is written as a linear combination of configuration state functions (CSF’s) |m⟩, |Ψ⟩ = ∑ m Cm |m⟩. These CSF’s are spin- (and symmetry-) adapted combinations of Slater determinants (SD’s), i.e., eigenfunctions of the operators for the projected and total spins. In turn, the SD’s are constructed from a set of real and orthonormal spin orbitals {φα(r, σ)}, where r and σ are the spatial and spin coordinates, respectively. In this work, an initial guess for these orbitals is obtained from a Hartree-Fock calculation for an hypothetical Cu 3d10, O 2p6 closed-shell configuration of the Cu and O species.

In determining the CASSCF wavefunction, the orbitals are variationally optimized simultaneously with the coefficients of the CSF’s. The orbitals employed for expressing the wavefunction are thus the optimal orbitals for the state at hand and do not introduce a bias toward a particular configuration. Three different sets of orbitals are used in CASSCF: (i) the inactive levels, doubly occupied in all configurations, (ii) the virtual orbitals, unoccupied in all configurations, and (iii) the active orbital set, where no occupancy restrictions are imposed. For undoped cuprates, with formal Cu 3d9 and O 2p6 valence states, the active space would include the partially occupied in-plane Cu 3d12−y2−y2 orbitals. Such a CAS wavefunction is similar to the variational wavefunction used in numerical studies of the 2D, one-band Hubbard model 25. The main difference is that all integrals, including inter-site Coulomb and exchange terms, are computed here in a totally ab initio way. In particular, the lower, completely filled levels, e.g., the O 2s and 2p orbitals, do affect (i.e., screen) the actual interactions among the active electrons by readjusting themselves to fluctuations within the active orbital space.

If extra holes are created, the active space must be enlarged with orbitals from the inactive group. Each additional doped hole requires one orbital to be transferred from the inactive to the active space. For the lowest electron-removal state, for example, the orbital added to the active space turns into a ZR-type p-d composite 14 in the variational calculation, localized on a given CuO4 plaque. With regard to the electron-addition conduction-band states, these turn out to have Cu 3d10 character and, in a first approximation, an active orbital space including only the 3d12−y2 orbitals would suffice.

So-called dynamic correlation effects 13 for the onsite matrix elements Haa were computed using second-order perturbation theory (the CASPT2 method 28). The Cu 3d and O 2s, 2p electrons on five plaquettes (i.e., the ZR plaque and the two apical ligands for that plaque plus the four NN plaquettes) were correlated. All calculations were performed with the QC software molcas 16. For the ions of the plaquettes directly involved in the hopping process, all-electron basis sets (BS’s) of triple-zeta quality were applied. These were Gaussian-type atomic-natural-orbital BS’s from the molcas library 16, with the following contractions 19: Cu (2s1p5s1d5)/[5s5p3d] and O (1s3p)/[1s3p]. The core electrons of the remaining ions of each cluster, see Fig. 2, were represented by effective core potentials (ECP’s), i.e., Cu ECP’s plus valence double-zeta BS’s 17 and O ECP’s with triple-zeta BS’s 43. To describe the finite charge distribution at the sites in the immediate neighborhood of the cluster, we model those ions by effective ion potentials 19. Beyond these neighbors, we use large arrays of point charges (PC’s) that reproduce the Madelung field within the cluster region. Apical ligands are explicitly included in our calculations only for the “active” plaquettes. Other apex oxygens are represented by formal PC’s. That the charge populations of the Cu 3d and active O 2p orbitals are not sensitive to the size and shape of the clusters we use was shown in ref. 12. We employed the crystal structure measured by Cava et al. 50 for La2CuO4 and by Smith et al. 21 for SrCuO2.
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