INCREASED DURABILITY OF CONCRETE AGAINST HIGH TEMPERATURES DUE TO FIRE WITH GROUND GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAG
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ABSTRACT

This paper describes experimental results that focus on impacts of elevated temperature on concrete with GGBFS. GGBFS from waste of steel factory PT Krakatau Steel Thk. has been discovered to be appropriate for geopolymer cement as substitute for cement in concrete. Normal concrete and GGBFS concrete beams (150x150x750mm) and cylindrical (150x300mm) with 40% GGBFS content as substitute of cement weight were burned for two hours, which the first beam was burned at 600°C, second beam at 700°C and third beam at 800°C. After cooling to room temperature, cylindrical and beams were tested. Compressive strength, modulus of elasticity and flexural strength were examined and compared. The results show that GGBFS increased durability of concrete and might be utilized in applications including elevated temperatures. High temperature exposure causes compressive strength of normal concrete decreased extremely up to 69.08%, compared to GGBFS concrete only 46.21%. Flexural strength decreased to 30.37% when the temperature rises to 700°C. Furthermore, it decreased significantly to 50.82% when the temperature reached 800°C.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fire is one of the causes of failure of concrete construction structures, which could happen within inside the area of the bridge. Concrete exposed to fire, and it cause the concrete structure to have into extreme high temperature changes. Although concrete is resistant to fire, its physical and mechanical properties degenerate if exposed to high temperatures [9]. The fire of merchant stalls under the Ampera bridge on 10 October 2010 and a ship on fire below the Ampera Bridge on 20 December 2018 triggered a temperature rise at the girder beam.

Effect of exposure to high temperatures are decreasing the durability, mass loss, degradation of compressive strength, flexural strength, modulus of elasticity and cracks [3]. Extent of damage caused by a fire disaster could be caused by duration and temperature of fire, which is the percentage of concrete compressive loss to 60% [2].

Besides using refractory cement, which is quite expensive, GGBFS from waste of steel factory PT Krakatau Steel Thk. has been observed to be appropriate for geopolymer cement as substitute for cement in concrete, to reduce such as spalling on concrete surfaces and cracks in concrete because of high temperatures from fires. The forming detail of GGBFS are lime, silica, and alumina, that have cementitious matrix like cement [10]. Concrete containing up to 50% GGBFS as substitute for cement in concrete are taken into consideration appropriate for using constructing systems, roads, and bridges [1]. Siddique and Kaur studied the mechanical matrix of GGBFS concrete exposed to temperatures of 100, 200 and 350°C, the result is no significant damage at 100°C. At 200°C the loss of mass that occurs is not significant. Reduction of compressive and tensile strength and modulus of elasticity less than 40% occurs at 350°C [6].

In this study, normal and GGBFS concrete were fabricated and tested before and after three diverse temperature exposures which were 600°C, 700° C and 800° C. The beams specimen was tested by a two-point flexural load, then the midspan deflection and load capacity were examined and compared. Then cylindrical specimens were tested by its compressive strength and modulus of elasticity.

The results of this study are in the form optimization of the use of GGBFS on concrete in girder beams to produce girder beam with GGBFS concrete by following the good quality and better durability toward increase high temperatures due to fires than normal concrete. Concrete that uses GGBFS as a substitution of the weight of the cement will reduce the use of cement so that construction costs, especially in concrete will be reduced without having to reduce the quality of concrete. The experimental data presented may assist in the application of GGBFS concrete to the sustainable development of infrastructure construction.
2. METHODS

In this experimental method, mix design method for concrete is ACI 211.1-91, ordinary Portland cement used for this, and concrete quality is 30 MPa. Began with compressive strength of concrete to determine the optimum levels of GGBFS mixture in concrete mixes. The highest of compressive strength values will be used as the optimum GGBFS mixture level in the mix design of the next test specimen. Specimens with optimum levels of GGBFS were fabricated and tested, then mechanical and physical properties were examined before and after combustion. The specimens were split into two groups, namely normal concrete (NC) and GGBFS concrete (GC). Details of the specimen could be seen below.

| Dimension (cm) | Unburned Specimen | Burned Specimen |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|               | NC | GC | NC | GC | NC | GC | NC | GC | NC | GC |
| Cylinder (Ø15x30) | Sample ID | C-UNC | C-UGC | C-NC600°C | C-GC600°C | C-NC700°C | C-GC700°C | C-NC800°C | C-GC800°C |
| Beam (15x15x75) | Sample ID | B-UNC | B-UGC | B-NC600°C | B-GC600°C | B-NC700°C | B-GC700°C | B-NC800°C | B-GC800°C |

In Table 2, each cylindrical specimen must be weighed before and after combustion. Modulus of elasticity and compressive strength of the concrete before and after combustion were obtained from the test on cylindrical specimens. Meanwhile, beams were tested for flexural strength. Compressive strength tests of concrete refer to SNI 03-6429-2000 and flexural strength to SNI 4431: 2011 with two-point loading system. Scheme of flexural test could be seen below.

Combustion process in this research refers to the standards of the ASTM E119-2000. The combustion is carried out at room temperature (27°C) by placing the test object in the combustion furnace, then combustion begins until it reaches the target temperature, where the time required to reach the target combustion temperature lasts for ± 30 minutes. The targeted temperatures are 600˚C, 700˚C and 800˚C then when the temperature reaches the target, the temperature is maintained for 120 minutes. After that, the combustion process is stopped and allowed to reach room temperature.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Test the samples for optimal GGBFS values. The test results are shown in Figure 2.
In Figure 2, the optimal amount of GGBFS as a substitute of cement at 40% with an average compressive strength is 39.33 MPa, compared to 30% and 50%, compressive strength increased to 31.46 MPa and 31.57 MPa, respectively. This is just a minor increase of the strength due to the compressive strength design (30 MPa), and 60% of the GGBFS of the total cement weight cause compressive strength decreased to 24.31 MPa, so the optimal level of GGBFS to replace cement is 40%.

Specimens with 40% GGBFS as a substitution of cement weight were fabricated as GGBFS concrete (GC). Burned and unburned cylinder specimens of NC and GC were tested for modulus of elasticity and compressive strength. Results of burned and unburned compressive strength specimens can be seen in Figure 3.

**Figure 3. Impact of temperature on compressive strength**

Graph from Figure 3 shows the information that the concrete strength decreased significantly after exposure of elevated temperature. As the temperature elevated to 600°C, reduction at compressive strength of NC specimens was 69.08% while GC was 46.21%. This shows that GGBFS increases concrete strength, in which GC compressive strength of 34.38 MPa is greater than NC’s of 30.06 MPa and GGBFS reduces the impact of loss of strength due to exposure to high temperatures. Compressive strength decreased dramatically when the temperature reached 600°C and GC still greater than NC. From 600°C up to 800°C, reduction of compressive strength of GC and NC was significantly greater with a slightly different compressive strength value.

**Figure 4. Impact of elevated temperature on modulus of elasticity**

Graph of Figure 4 shows modulus of elasticity of the concrete after exposure has decreased with increasing temperature. Moreover, impact of temperature increase to GC specimens shows that the application of GGBFS causes reinforcement to the specimens and reduces the impact of decreasing strength, compared to NC specimens. For unburned GC, the modulus of elasticity is 27455.6 MPa. The modulus of elasticity in GC is greater, compared to NC which is 15550.6 MPa. The reduction of modulus of elasticity in GC after exposed to 600°C, 700°C and 800°C were 70.48%, 97.57%, 97.85%, respectively, while NC’s were 89.81%, 96.88%, 97.47%, respectively. It can be seen from the graph above, elevating temperature of up to 600°C, modulus of elasticity of GGBFS concrete was greater than NC, but it gradually decreased when the temperature increased up to 800°C.
As shown in Figure 5, the curves of B-UGC correspond approximately to that B-UNC, but B-UGC demonstrating a higher capacity in peak load 117.041 kN than B-UNC 106.490 kN. Each GC and NC gained a gradual reduction in flexural strength upon thermal exposure. It insignificantly decreases in flexural strength in the specimens at the temperature of 600°C, where GC decreased by 0.44% and NC at 8.14%. The strength in GC and BC decreases drastically with an average value of 30.79% at 700°C, and increase 56.38% at temperatures up to 800°C. In comparison, the curves of the NC samples are below the curves of the GC, indicating that the GGBFS increases the strength of the concrete. In addition, GGBFS increases the flexural rigidity of GC samples compared to NC samples, even after exposure to elevated temperatures.

4. CONCLUSION

Modulus of elasticity and compressive strength of concrete between GGBFS and normal concrete were analyzed and compared before and after burning at three different temperatures. It could be concluded that modulus of elasticity and compressive strength decrease significantly at high temperatures. In addition, this also affects the specimen of the beam, where the initial crack and maximum load values of the flexural strength test results decrease with increasing temperature.

The results indicate if addition of GGBFS to the concrete mixture cause compressive strength increased and loss relatively little strength when the concrete is exposed to elevated temperatures. Compressive strength of GGBFS concrete examined in this test is greater, then the concrete will experience less strength loss due to high temperature exposure up to 600°C than normal concrete with lower original compressive strength. When the increased temperature reaches 600°C, the compressive strength of normal and GGBFS concrete decreases dramatically, where the strength loss is more than 50%. On the other hand, when GC and NC specimens are exposed to temperatures more than 600 °C to 800 °C, the loss of strength that occurs in both is almost the same. However, flexural strength and compressive strength of GGBFS concrete are greater than normal concrete.
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