The role of HOPX in normal tissues and tumor progression
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The homeodomain-only protein homeobox (HOPX) as the smallest homeodomain protein, lacks certain conserved residues required for DNA binding. Through our literature search, we reviewed the current understandings of HOPX in normal tissues and tumor progression. HOPX was initially identified as a critical transcription factor in various normal tissues, which interacted with serum response factor (SRF) or other substance to regulate normal physiological function. However, HOPX is at a low expression or methylation level in tumors. These data indicated that HOPX may play a very important role in regulating differentiation phenotype and tumor suppressive function. We predicted the prognosis of HOPX in tumors from TCGA database and discussed the downstream genes of HOPX. To understand how HOPX is involved in the mechanisms between physical and pathological conditions could lead to novel therapeutic strategies for treatment.

Introduction

The homeodomain family, as one of the landmark discoveries in developmental biology, plays a critical role in the growth and development of human through interpreting positional information in the embryo and through linking extracellular signals to tissue-specific gene regulatory programs. The homeodomain, encoded by a DNA sequence, is referred as the homeobox. Homeobox genes are the family of regulatory genes coding for specific nuclear proteins that act as transcription factors [1,2]. They are characterized by sharing a homeobox sequence, a highly conserved 183-nucleotide sequence that encodes a 61-amino-acid domain, termed the homeodomain, which is thought to act by recognizing and binding the sequence-specific DNA motifs [3,4]. Homeobox genes were earliest described in Drosophila, which so far have been identified in evolutionarily distant animal species, plants and fungi [5]. Novel and divergent homeobox genes are being continuously discovered. Recent indications suggest that homeobox genes have been differently subdivided into superclasses, classes, subclasses, or groups [6]. Generally, several homeobox gene families have been identified: Hox, EMX, PAX, MSX as well as many isolated divergent homeobox genes. The proteins of Homeobox genes are transcription factors involved in various developmental and pathophysiological processes, including embryogenesis, organogenesis, and tumorigenesis [7,8]. Furthermore, their target genes are various and complex.

The homeodomain-only protein homeobox (HOPX, previously referred as HOP, NECC1, LAGY, or OB1), as the smallest homeodomain protein, was first identified in the expression sequence tag database for transcripts encoding proteins [9]. HOPX is a 73 amino acid protein that is composed of a 60 amino acid motif homologous to the homeodomain of HOPX transcription factors. Homologs have been identified in human, rat, cow, pig, chick, frog, and zebrafish, instead of in Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans, indicating that HOPX is specific to vertebrates. Human and murine HOPX sequences are similar at the amino acid level. The human HOPX gene is located on chromosome 4 (4q11–q12) and is composed of seven exons, while the murine HOPX gene is on chromosome 5. However, HOPX has additional features that are extremely different from other homeodomain proteins.
HOPX is lacking in certain conserved amino acid of the structure and function of the transcriptional coregulator that is required for protein–DNA interactions when homeodomains interact with DNA [10]. Shin et al. [4] suggested that HOPX forms three \( \alpha \) helices, which fold into a helix-turn-helix motif characteristic of the homeobox. Kook et al. [11] found the 3D structure of full-length HOPX (Figure 1) with three spliced transcript variants, HOPX-\( \alpha \) (NM 139212.2), HOPX-\( \beta \) (NM 139211.2), and HOPX-\( \gamma \) (NM 032495.4), encoding the same protein. HOPX contains a putative homeodomain motif that acts as an adapter protein to mediate transcription [11]. Among the three spliced transcript variants, only HOPX-\( \beta \) harbors CpG islands in its promoter region, whereas the same promoter for HOPX-\( \alpha \) and HOPX-\( \gamma \) does not harbor any CpG islands near the transcription start site.

The distribution and function of HOPX in normal tissues

**HOPX and myocardium**

HOPX was initially identified as a critical transcription factor for the modulation of cardiogenesis and development. Serum response factor (SRF) is a target for a variety of stress-inducible signaling pathways and has been implicated in reprogramming cardiac gene expression in response to hypertrophic signals. HOPX physically interacts with SRF and inhibits activation of SRF-dependent transcription by inhibiting SRF binding to DNA [12]. The expression and activity of Nkx2.5 is essential for the process of cardiac development. Nkx2.5 could bind DNA cooperatively with SRF, resulting in synergistic activation of SRF-dependent cardiac target genes. Kook et al. identified a 1.2 kb cardiac enhancer upstream of HOPX, which contains multiple Nkx2.5 binding sites [13]. So we may suggest that HOPX indirectly modulates Nkx2.5 activity by suppressing the activity of SRF, a key cardiogenic cofactor for Nkx2.5. Moreover, the deficiency of HOPX could result in an imbalance between cardiomyocyte proliferation and differentiation with consequent abnormalities in cardiac morphogenesis. Gata4 is one of the earliest genes expressed by specified cardiac precursors at the cardiac crescent stage of mouse development [14,15]. Several class I and II histone deacetylase (Hdac) is associated with cardiac development, which regulates cardiac hypertrophy and metabolism [16]. Trivedi et al. [17] demonstrated that HOPX interacted with the chromatin-modifying enzyme Hdac2, to mediate deacetylation of Gata4 and inhibit Gata4-dependent transcription, regulating cardiac myocyte proliferation during embryonic development. Accumulating studies revealed that HOPX promoted myogenesis by interacting with Smad complexes, such as smad4, to promote Bmp-mediated inhibition of the Wnt signaling pathway, notably Wnt2, Wnt5b, and Wnt6 ligand expression [18]. These data suggested that HOPX was required to maintain the balance between cardiomyocyte proliferation and differentiation in the heart.

**HOPX and lung**

HOPX also plays an indispensable role in the modulation of lung development. Nkx2.1 is involved in regulating pulmonary morphogenesis and respiratory epithelial cells, especially the surfactant protein (SP) gene [19]. Furthermore, the deficiency of Nkx2.1 expression would result in the low expression of SP-A, -B, and -C. DNA-binding sites for GATA6 are also found in many lung-restricted genes, and transgenic expression of a dominant-negative GATA6 in lung epithelium results in the loss of SP-B and SP-C [20,21]. A transcriptional complex of Nkx2.1, GATA6, and SRF, plays a role in both development and in the adult to mediate activation of type 2 pneumocyte gene expression. HOPX acts as a negative regulator of SP expression in lungs, which interacts with downstream of Nkx2.1 and GATA6 and influences the developing pulmonary airway [22]. In vivo, low expression of HOPX results in defective type 2
Figure 2. The differential expression of HOPX gene between tumor samples and normal tissue samples from TCGA database

In adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC), esophageal carcinoma (ESCA), HNSC, kidney chromophobe (KICH), kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP), Brain Lower Grade Gioma (LGG), lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), Skin Cutaneous Melanoma (SKCM), and testicular germ cell tumors (TGCT), the expression of HOPX in tumor samples was significantly down-regulated when compared with normal samples. In LAML, pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD), and Thymoma (THYM), the expression of HOPX in tumor samples was obviously up-regulated when compared with normal samples. However, HOPX expression was not significantly different between other tumors and normal samples. ACC, Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma (BLCA), Breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA), Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma (CESC), Cholangio carcinoma (CHOL), Colon adenocarcinoma (COAD), Lymphoid Neoplasm Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma (DLBC), Esophageal carcinoma (ESCA), Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), KICH, Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), KIRP, Acute Myeloid Leukemia (LAML), LGG, Liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), LUSC, Mesothelioma (MESO), Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma (OV), PAAD, Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma (PCPG), Prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD), Rectum adenocarcinoma (READ), Sarcoma (SARC), SKCM, Stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), TGCT, Thyroid carcinoma (THCA), THYM, Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma (UCEC), Uterine Carcinosarcoma (UCS), Uveal Melanoma (UVM).
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pneumocyte development through disrupting alveolar formation. Moreover, Jain et al. [23] shown that plasticity of HOPX(+) type I alveolar cells could regenerate type II cells in the lung [23]. These date indicated that the expression of HOPX regulated alveolar cell maturation in vivo and repaired alveolar cell in danger.

HOPX and colon tissues

In intestinal epithelium, cells in the +4 niche are slow-cycling and label-retaining, whereas a different stem cell niche located at the crypt base is occupied by crypt base columnar (CBC) cells [24]. HOPX is a specific marker of quiescent stem cells (+4), while Lgr-5 is a specific marker of active stem cells in the colon mucosa [25,26]. Both of CBCs and +4 cells give rise to all intestinal epithelial lineages, while CBCs are distinct from +4 cells. Takeda et al. [25] suggested that HOPX-expressing cells gave rise to CBCs and all mature intestinal epithelial lineages. Interestingly, CBCs could give rise to +4 HOPX-positive cells. These findings reveal a potential relationship between active and quiescent stem cells in their niches, although it is unknown whether HOPX is epigenetically regulated or not in the colon stem cells.
Figure 3. Disease-free survival curves of HOPX in cancer from TCGA database
(A) Brain Lower Grade Glioma, (B) Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma, (C) kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, (D) Prostate adenocarcinoma, (E) STAD, and (F) Uveal Melanoma. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

HOPX and lymphatic tissues

Recently, some scientists discovered that HOPX expression in Th1 cell was induced by T-bet and up-regulated upon repeated antigenic restimulation of Th1 cells. Hopx-deficient Th1 cells have increased susceptibility to Fas-induced apoptosis, reduced persistence *in vivo*, and may fail to induce chronic inflammation in murine models of arthritis or colitis [27]. Hopx-deficient regulatory T lymphocytes induced by dendritic cells fail to down-regulate expression of Fos and Jun, which could inhibit proliferation of antigen-specific T cells [28]. Moreover, HOPX blocks intrinsic IL-2 production in peripheral Treg cells [29]. HOPX is also expressed in including B, CD8, and NK cells by the date in UCSC Genome Bioinformatics (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway). Although the function of HOPX in lymphatic tissues is evident, the mechanism is still unclear.

HOPX and nerve tissue

HOPX is abundant at sites of neurogenesis, including the developing medial cortex, the cortical hem (hippocampus), the cerebellum, and the neural tube, suggesting its role in regulating proliferation and differentiation. HOPX expression is minimal at embryonic day 14.5 (E14.5) and peaks approximately E16.5 with a rostromedial to caudolateral gradient [30]. HOPX is strongly expressed in radial astrocyte stem cells of the dentate gyrus (DG), which gives rise to hippocampal granular neurons in adulthood [31–33]. The deletion or down-regulation of HOPX leads to decrease stem cell apoptosis and increase newly formed granular neurons, underlining the importance of HOPX in regulation hippocampal stem cell survival [32]. Li et al. [34] suggested that HOPX potentially regulated hippocampal neurogenesis by modulating Notch signaling. In addition, the expression of HOPX has received increasing attention due to its expression in quiescent neural stem cells, in mature astrocytes in the adult mouse DG [34], as well as in outer radial glia (oRG) cells of the developing human brain [35,36]. Recently in Cell, Berg et al. [37] showed that in adult DG, Hopx+ radial glia-like cells (RGLs) dominantly account for quiescent hippocampal stem cells. The adult Hopx+ quiescent RGLs could reactivate after a long period of quiescence, self-renew, and differentiate into neurons and glia.
Figure 4. Overall survival curves of HOPX in cancer from TCGA database
(A) Acute Myeloid Leukemia, (B) Brain Lower Grade Glioma, (C) Cervical squamous cell carcinoma, and endocervical adenocarcinoma, (D) Colon adenocarcinoma, (E) Lung adenocarcinoma, (F) Lung squamous cell carcinoma, (G) STAD. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 5. Interaction map of the HOPX-targeted genes
The network was built with the help of the Gene String online tool (https://string-db.org/).
cells as demonstrated by single-cell clonal analysis and population fate mapping. Hence, HOPX can be used to label adult dentate quiescent neural progenitors.

**HOPX and other tissues**

HOPX regulates skeletal muscle differentiation by interacting with enhancer of polycomb 1 (Epc1), a chromatin protein and member of the polycomb group, highly conserved in yeast and mammals [11,38,39]. Human Epc1 forms a complex with other transcriptional repressors, such as E2F6, which regulates the cell cycle [40,41]. Furthermore, Hopx knock-out mice show delays in hamstring muscle healing after injury, and skeletal myoblasts isolated from mice exhibit impaired differentiation [42]. In the skin, Takeda et al. [43] found that Hopx+Lgr5+Shh-stem cells could escape catagen-induced apoptosis, and give rise to K6+ telogen-phase niche cells, thus do favor of regulating stem cell homeostasis of the hair follicle.

**The expression changes in tumors**

**Solid tumors**

Accumulation of genetic alterations and epigenetic gene modifications is one of the hallmarks of cancer, which emerges as a result of such epigenetic changes or genetic abnormalities [44,45]. HOPX expression is ubiquitous in a variety of normal tissues, but is attenuated in malignant tissues including choriocarcinoma, lung, uterine endometrial, breast, and gastrointestinal cancers (Figure 2) [12,24,46–49]. The mechanism of HOPX inactivation is essentially caused by promoter DNA methylation in human cancers. HOPX hypermethylation directly results in gene silencing of HOPX, especially the HOPX-β promoter hypermethylation containing CpG islands that are methylated in various cancers leading to down-regulation of HOPX expression. Moreover, enforced HOPX expression inhibited tumor progression, and knockdown of endogenous HOPX restored the tumor aggressiveness by influencing several mechanism of cancer cell activities [50,51].

HOPX plays a critical role in epithelial cell homeostasis and serves as a tumor suppressor in head and neck cancer (HNSCC), which is markedly down-regulated in three different subtypes of HNSCC, including tumors of the oral cavity (OSCC), oropharynx (OPSCC), and nasopharynx (NPC) [52]. Recently, HOPX suppresses tumor progression through the enhancement of histone H3K9 deacetylation in the snail promoter [53]. HOPX and GATA6, as specific transcriptional regulators of differentiation, control lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) progression [54]. Furthermore, hypermethylation of HOPX DNA is related to gene silencing in lung cancer, where HOPX induces cellular senescence via activation of Ras/MAPK signaling and inhibition of the Akt pathway [54]. In gastric cancer cells, an enhanced apoptotic rate was observed after HOPX overexpression [55]. HOPX hypermethylation is also found in colorectal cancer (CRC) [50,56]. In the CRC cell lines, DLD1 and HCT116, HOPX transfection strongly suppressed tumorigenesis in nude mice and in a soft agar assay [50]. In uterine endometrial cancer, HOPX suppressed oestrogen-stimulated proliferation by inhibiting SRF [12]. Waraya et al. [57] investigated clinical features of HOPX promoter hypermethylation in 89 pancreatic carcinogenesis (PC) tissues, and found that HOPX methylation was a common cancer-specific event in PC development. In differentiated thyroid cancer, hypermethylation of HOPX-β was associated with poor survival [58]. Ooizumi et al. [59] found that HOPX promoter methylation resulted in aggressive phenotype in Papillary thyroid cancer, while forced HOPX expression suppressed cell proliferation, invasive activities, and anchorage-independent growth in vitro. These evidences suggest that the HOPX plays a role as a tumor suppressor gene in solid tumors.

**Non-solid tumors**

HOPX is also associated with non-solid tumors (Figure 2). The functional validation studies with Hopx−/− mice and competitive transplantation assays demonstrated that HOPX deficiency led to a specific and intrinsic functional defect in the MPP subset of hematopoietic stem cells (LSKCD150−CD48−), which affects the intrinsic homeostasis of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells [60]. In contrast with heavy methylation in solid cancers [12,55,57,61,62], Lin et al. [63] found that the promoter region of HOPX was barely methylated in leukemic cells from acute myeloid leukemia (AML) patients, and patients with higher HOPX expression had a lower complete remission rate and shorter survival through analyzing HOPX and global gene expression patterns in 347 newly diagnosed de novo AML patients [63]. Further studies are needed to throw light on its significance in non-solid tumors.

**Prognostic significance analysis of HOPX**

To further investigate whether HOPX gene contributed to the prognostic in patients, GEPIA, an online tool with data sourced from TCGA and GTEx, was used to analyze the disease-free survival and overall survival of HOPX...
Table 1 The downstream genes of HOPX

| Gene   | Context                                      | Type                             | Reference   |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| c-fos  | HOPX inhibits c-fos activation through SRE  | Treg cell, HEC, CRC              | [12,28,29,50]|
| c-jun  | HOPX inhibits c-jun activation               | Treg cell                        | [28,29]     |
| AP-1   | HOPX regulates AP-1 through SRE              | Treg cell                        | [24]        |
| IL-2   | HOPX inhibits IL-2 expression to promote maintenance of Treg cells and peripheral tolerance | Treg cell                        | [29]        |
| EPHA2  | HOPX down-regulates EPHA2 to inhibit angiogenesis | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| CYR61  | HOPX down-regulates CYR61 to inhibit angiogenesis | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| SLC2A3 | HOPX down-regulates SLC2A3 by qRT-PCR         | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| EMP1   | HOPX down-regulates EMP1 by qRT-PCR          | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| PRDX2  | HOPX up-regulates PRDX2 by qRT-PCR           | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| WTAP   | HOPX up-regulates WTAP by qRT-PCR            | Colorectal cancer               | [50]        |
| Snail  | HOPX inhibits Snail transcription to suppress metastasis | Nasopharyngeal carcinoma         | [53]        |
| EGR-1  | HOPX inhibit EGR-1 to control cancer progression | Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, prostate cancer | [72,73]     |
| BUB1   | HOPX down-regulates BUB1 to regulate cell cycle | Cardiomyocytes                  | [74]        |
| MKI67  | HOPX down-regulates MKI67 to regulate cell cycle | Cardiomyocytes                  | [74]        |
| CENPE  | HOPX down-regulates CENPE to regulate cell cycle | Cardiomyocytes                  | [74]        |
| NCAM   | HOPX down-regulates NCAM at the protein level | Sarcoma cell                    | [75]        |
| FOXG1  | HOPX down-regulates FOXG1 at the protein level | Sarcoma cell                    | [75]        |
| ITGA4  | HOPX down-regulates ITGA4 to inhibit cell motility and metastasis | Sarcoma cell                    | [75]        |
| Ras    | HOPX activates Ras and MAPK pathway to cause senescence | Lung cancer                     | [76]        |
| K84    | Hopx promotes K84 expression to control epithelial barrier properties and tissue homeostasis | Colonic enterocytes             | [77]        |

gene in tumors. As shown in Figure 3, high expression HOPX showed worse disease-free survival in patients with brain lower grade glioma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), and uveal melanoma, while high expression HOPX revealed good prognosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. In overall survival (Figure 4), low expression HOPX predicted good survival in AML, brain lower grade glioma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endovascular adenocarcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, LUAD, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and STAD. These data suggested that HOPX were associated with tumor progression and might be used as tumor progression predictors for tumor patients.

The downstream genes of HOPX

We further integrate current studies to explore related downstream genes of HOPX (Table 1). c-Fos has been mentioned many times as a HOPX downstream gene in normal and morbid tissues [64]. c-Fos is induced by 17β-estradiol (E2) via a SRE-dependent manner in human uterine endometrial cancer (HEC) or breast cancer cell lines [65–67], while HOPX could act as a tumor suppressor by regulating the SRF-c-fos-cyclin D1 pathway in HEC [12]. Moreover, c-Jun forms a heterodimer with c-Fos, as HOPX down-regulated oncoproteins. AP-1 is also a critical downstream protein of HOPX through SRF. c-Fos protein forms hetero- and homo-dimer with other basic ZIP transcription factors, which effect activating protein 1 (AP1) transcription factor complexes, thus regulating the genes expression involved in cell growth, differentiation, and transformation. AP-1 has been implicated in the regulation of genes involved in matrix remodeling, such as the degradation of extracellular matrix (ECM) [68–70]. HOPX-sufficient iT(reg) cells down-regulated expression of the transcription factor AP-1 complex and suppressed other T cells [51]. In Treg cell, HOPX also inhibites IL-2 expression to promote maintenance of Treg cells and peripheral tolerance [29]. EGR-1 is a downstream transcription factor of HOPX that controls cancer progression through induction of IGF-II, PDGF, and TGF-β [71–73]. Recent research demonstrated that snail is another transcription factor downstream of HOPX that is related with epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [53]. Miklas et al. [74] found that HOPX overexpression group generated a highly interconnected network with key cell cycle genes highly down-regulated via string analysis, while representative cell cycle genes, BUB1, MKI67, and CENPE, were down-regulated in the HOPX overexpression condition. In CRC, Katoh et al. [50] discovered that HOPX up-regulated the WTAP and PRDX2 genes and down-regulated FOS, EMP1, SLC2A3, CYR61, and EPHA2 genes by DNA microarrays, while down-regulation of EPHA2 and CYR61 were shown to reduce angiogenesis in vivo by HOPX expression [50]. Furthermore, NCAM,
Figure 6. Model depicting the function of HOPX in physiological and pathological states
HOPX interacts with serum response factor (SRF) to suppress SRF transcriptional activity, thus modulates cardiac development and regulates SP expression; HOPX interacts with Hdac2 to induce deacetylation of Gata4 and modulation of myocyte proliferation. Furthermore, HOPX interacts with Hdac2 to promote histone H3K9 deacetylation which represses transcription in nasopharyngeal carcinoma.

FOXG1, and ITGA4 are also down-regulated by HOPX expression at the protein level in sarcoma cells [75]. In lung cancer, HOPX activates Ras and MAPK pathway to cause senescence [76]. HOPX also promotes Klf4 expression to control epithelial barrier properties and tissue homeostasis [77]. Furthermore, HOPX is likely to regulate multi target genes to control tumors with HOPX hypermethylation and silenced expression. Through Gene String online tool (Figure 5), we also show that HOPX interacts with other proteins. Based on these proteins interacting with HOPX, we could do more to study the relevant anti-tumor molecular signaling pathways of HOPX.

Conclusion
By describing the different roles of HOPX as a transcription factor and a signaling molecule (Figure 6), and through explaining its downstream regulation, the current review highlights the difference of HOPX between normal organ tissues and tumors. Further analysis would elucidate and confirm the precise role of such protein molecules in tumor progression and their possible clinical value. HOPX initially reported as a crucial molecule for the maintenance of heart function and was involved in cardiomyopathy, fibrosis, and hypertrophy. HOPX also takes part in regulating other normal tissues in physiological and pathological conditions. This involvement of HOPX is mainly mediated by its functioning as a transcription factor which is able to regulate a wide array of genes. Due to the methylation and silence of HOPX, the gene expression is remarkably down-regulated in solid tumor. Accumulating studies proved that HOPX silencing promote primary tumor growth, local invasion, and metastatic colonization. Conversely, HOPX overexpression could suppress cancer proliferation and metastasis. These data indicated that HOPX could act as a tumor suppressor and a novel candidate target for immunotherapy in cancer. However, the mechanism of HOPX in
human cancer has not yet been clear. Future studies are needed to investigate more precisely the HOPX promoter, the transcriptional and translational regulation of the gene, and especially how exactly HOPX interacts mechanistically with its direct partner proteins in the diverse molecular signaling pathways. We could provide effective treatment strategies for targeting the HOPX gene.
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