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ABSTRACT

The nucleosynthesis of proton-rich isotopes is calculated for multi-dimensional Chandrasekhar-mass models of Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) with different metallicities. The predicted abundances of the short-lived radioactive isotopes \(^{92}\)Nb, \(^{97,98}\)Tc, and \(^{146}\)Sm are given in this framework. The abundance seeds are obtained by calculating \( s \)-process nucleosynthesis in the material accreted onto a carbon–oxygen white dwarf from a binary companion. A fine grid of \( s \)-seeds at different metallicities and \(^{13}\)C-pocket efficiencies is considered. A galactic chemical evolution model is used to predict the contribution of SN Ia to the solar system \( p \)-nuclei composition measured in meteorites. Nuclear physics uncertainties are critical to determine the role of SNe Ia in the production of \(^{92}\)Nb and \(^{146}\)Sm. We find that, if standard Chandrasekhar-mass SNe Ia are at least 50\% of all SN Ia, they are strong candidates for reproducing the radiogenic \( p \)-process signature observed in meteorites.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The astrophysical \( p \)-process is the conversion of an \( s \)- or \( r \)-process distribution into proton-rich nuclei via photodisintegration reactions and charged particle reactions. This conversion can only occur on a hydrodynamical timescale when the temperature is higher than \( 10^9 \) K. Core–collapse supernovae (ccSNe in what follows) and/or Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia hereafter) are the most probable contributors to the bulk of the solar system \( p \)-nuclei (for ccSN see, e.g., Howard & Meyer 1993; Rauscher et al. 2002, for SN Ia see, e.g., Travaglio et al. 2011, hereafter TRV11; Kusakabe et al. 2011; Arnould & Goriely 2006).

\( p \)-process nucleosynthesis occurs in SN Ia by processing matter that was enriched in \( s \)-process seeds during pre-explosive evolution of the SN Ia progenitor. Therefore, it is essential to determine the \( s \)-process enrichment in the exploding white dwarf (WD hereafter). We consider a binary system, accreting material from a giant star onto the WD. We explore the single-degenerate scenario with a Chandrasekhar-mass carbon–oxygen (CO-) WD. The \( s \)-process seeds are assumed to be produced from a sequence of thermal pulse instabilities in the accreted material. This idea was described in detail by TRV11 and Kusakabe et al. (2011), and previously discussed by Iben (1981), Iben & Tutukov (1991), and Howard & Meyer (1993). Recurrent flashes are assumed to occur in the He-shell during the accretion phase, resulting in enrichment of the CO-WD in \( s \)-nuclei. The mass involved in the \(^{13}\)C-pocket (a tiny layer enriched in \(^{13}\)C responsible for the production of \( s \)-process nuclei) is a free parameter of the model. Since no model exists for the production of \( s \)-seeds in the accretion phase, different \( s \)-seed distributions are explored in order to better understand the dependence of our results on the initial seed composition (see also the discussion in TRV11).

The \( p \)-process produces radiogenic isotopes with relatively long half-lives (Rauscher 2013). A number of now extinct short-lived nuclides were present in the early solar system. Their past presence in meteorites is revealed by measuring excesses of their decay products in meteorites (Dauphas & Chaussidon 2011; Davis & McKeegan 2013).

The isotope \(^{92}\)Nb decays with a half-life of 34.7 Myr to the stable nucleus \(^{92}\)Zr via \( \beta \)-decay. Harper (1996) found first evidence for live \(^{92}\)Nb in the early solar system material by measuring a small excess of \(^{92}\)Zr in rutile (\( \text{TiO}_2 \)) extracted from the Toluca iron meteorite. Studies of supernova neutrino nucleosynthesis (Hayakawa et al. 2013), \( \alpha \)-rich freezeout (Meyer 2003), or \( \gamma \)-process (Dauphas et al. 2003), tried to explain the observed abundance of meteoritic \(^{92}\)Nb. Nevertheless, the astrophysical site where the solar system \(^{92}\)Nb was made is still uncertain.

The isotope \(^{146}\)Sm decays to the stable isotope \(^{142}\)Nd by \( \alpha \)-emission. Prinzhofer et al. (1989, 1992) and Lugmair & Galer (1992) provided the first solid estimates of the initial abundance of \(^{146}\)Sm at the birth of the solar system. The half-life of \(^{146}\)Sm is still under debate. The first measurements of its half-life were performed by Friedmann (1966) and later confirmed by Meissner et al. (1987), setting a value of 103 ± 5 Myr. More recently, Kinoshita et al. (2012), based on an analysis of \(^{146}\)Sm/\(^{147}\)Sm \( \alpha \)-activity and atom ratios, redetermined the half-life of \(^{146}\)Sm and found 68 ± 7 Myr.

The isotopes \(^{97}\)Tc (\( t_{1/2} = 4.21 \) Myr) and \(^{98}\)Tc (\( t_{1/2} = 4.2 \) Myr) are of \( p \)-origin and may have been present in the early solar system but meteorite measurements only provide upper limits on the abundances of these short-lived nuclides (Dauphas et al. 2002; Becker & Walker 2003).

In this work, we discuss the productions of \(^{92}\)Nb, \(^{97,98}\)Tc, and \(^{146}\)Sm under SN Ia conditions, their dependence on the...
astrophysical environment, on the initial metallicity of the star, and on nuclear physics quantities.

In Section 2, the SN Ia model and the method to compute nucleosynthesis in multi-dimensional SN Ia (TRV11, and references therein) are presented. In Section 3 the $p$-process calculations for radioactive isotopes and their dependence on metallicity and $s$-seeds are discussed. In Section 4 the galactic chemical evolution model, hereafter GCE (Travaglio et al. 2004a, 1999), together with calculations for radioactive $p$-isotopes are presented. Finally, in Section 5 the sensitivity of $^{146}$Sm and $^{92}$Nb SN Ia yields to the uncertainties on rates as well as on their lifetimes are discussed.

2. TYPE Ia SUPERNOVA NUCLEOSYNTHESIS AND $p$-PROCESS RADIOACTIVITIES

For the SN Ia explosions, we use a delayed detonation model (DDT-a) based on two-dimensional simulations of Kasen et al. (2009) and described in detail in TRV11. The scenario considered for SN Ia is that of single-degenerate star, in which the WD accretes material from a main-sequence or evolved companion star. Nucleosynthesis is calculated in a post-processing scheme making use of tracer-particle methods (as described in detail in TRV11 and Travaglio et al. 2004b). For each tracer, explosive nucleosynthesis is followed using a detailed nuclear reaction network for all isotopes up to $^{209}$Bi. The nuclear reaction rates used are based on the experimental values and the Hauser–Feshbach statistical model NON-SMOKER (Rauscher & Thielemann 2000), including the experimental results of Maxwellian averaged neutron-capture cross sections of various $p$-only isotopes (Dillmann et al. 2010; Marganiec et al. 2010). Theoretical and experimental electron capture and $\beta$-decay rates are from Langanke & Martínez-Pinedo (2000).

TRV11 demonstrated that the abundances of the $p$-nuclei in SN Ia strongly depend on the $s$-seeds assumed. However, the ratio of a radiogenic isotope to the neighbor stable $p$-isotope (i.e., $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo, $^{97}$Tc/$^{98}$Ru, and $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm) is less dependent of the assumptions made for the $s$-seeds. Note that all the reference stable isotopes are pure $p$-nuclei also.

The abundances of $^{92}$Nb, $^{92}$Mo, $^{97}$Tc, $^{98}$Ru, and $^{146}$Sm, $^{144}$Sm obtained in this way are plotted in Figures 1–3 for tracers selected in the peak temperature range that allows $p$-process nucleosynthesis (i.e., $1.5$–$3.7$ GK). Each dot repre-
we have 51,200 tracer particles in total, and 4624 of them in the p-process at its peak temperature. In the DDT-a model, the mass involved and the profile of the 13C mass fraction are treated as free parameters. The parameter used for the birth site is still unknown (Dauphas et al. 2003; Meyer 2003). Note that $^{92}$Nb is normalized to $^{92}$Mo because both are p-process nuclides while $^{93}$Nb is mainly a s-process isotope (by the radioactive decay of $^{93}$Zr).

The underproduction of $^{92,94}$Mo and $^{96,98}$Ru in the $\gamma$-process could, in principle, be compensated by contributions of the $rp$- or $vp$-processes but this would lead to a too low $^{92}$Mo ratio at solar system birth (Dauphas et al. 2003).

### Table 1

| Ratio         | Z = 0.003 | Z = 0.006 | Z = 0.01  | Z = 0.012 | Z = 0.015 | Z = 0.02  |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo | $7.363 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.145 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.526 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.322 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.846 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.635 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $^{97}$Tc/$^{98}$Ru | $1.215 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.767 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.354 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.406 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.533 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.285 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm | $8.465 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.798 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.384 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.711 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.741 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.066 \times 10^{-4}$ |

#### 3. p-PROCESS AND RADIOACTIVE $^{92}$Nb, $^{97,98}$Tc, AND $^{146}$Sm FOR DIFFERENT METALLICITIES

Extinct radionuclides were found in meteorites (Dauphas & Chaussidon 2011; Davis & McKeegan 2013) and some of them are p-only radiogenic nuclei, i.e., $^{92}$Nb and $^{146}$Sm. Their signatures were detected as an excess abundance of the daughter nuclei ($^{92}$Zr and $^{146}$Nd).

Whereas $^{91}$Nb is 85% s-process and 15% r-process (Arlandini et al. 1999), $^{92}$Nb is an important isotope since it is produced by the $\gamma$-process but is completely shielded from contributions from $rp$- or $vp$-processes (Dauphas et al. 2003), and as such can help test models of p-process nucleosynthesis. Meteorite measurements show that this nuclide was present at the birth of the solar system (with an initial $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo ratio of $(2.80\pm0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ (Harper 1996; Schönbächler et al. 2002; Rauscher et al. 2013). Nevertheless its astrophysical production is treated as free parameters.

The mass involved and the profile of the 13C mass fraction are treated as free parameters.

The goal of the present work is to provide predictions for solar composition of radioactive p-nuclei. The Galactic chemical evolution code used has been presented in previous studies (Travaglio et al. 1999, 2001, 2004; Bisterzo et al. 2014); see Section 4 for a detailed discussion.

#### 4. GALACTIC CHEMICAL EVOLUTION

The initial $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo and $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm ratios at the birth of the solar system are known from meteorite measurements. In order to compare these values with our model results, one has to use a model of chemical evolution of the Galaxy, as the abundances in the interstellar medium (ISM) at any given time reflect the interplay between production in stars and decay in...
the ISM. Note that we do not consider any contribution from stars other than SN Ia to the nucleosynthesis of p-nuclides.

The Galactic chemical evolution code used here was presented in several publications (Travaglio et al. 1999, 2001, 2004; Bisterzo et al. 2014). It models the Galaxy as three interconnected zones; halo, thick disk, and thin disk. The evolution of the Galaxy is computed up to the present epoch ($t_{\text{today}} = 13.8$ Gyr, updated by Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe; Bennett et al. 2013) and the solar system formation is assumed to have occurred 4.6 Gyr ago. Therefore the time corresponding to the birth of the solar system is $t_{\odot} = 9.2$ Gyr. Solar abundances are taken from Lodders et al. (2009) and massive star yields are from Rauscher et al. (2002). Iron is mostly produced by long-lived SNe Ia (a knee in the trend of [O/Fe] versus [Fe/H] indicates the delayed contribution to Fe by SNe Ia, see, e.g., McWilliam 1997). Following the common idea that oxygen is mainly synthesized by short-lived massive stars in ccSN and Fe is mostly produced by long-lived binary systems in the form of SNe Ia, the knee in the observed trend of [O/Fe] versus [Fe/H] (in field stars at different metallicities) indicates the delayed contribution to iron by SNe Ia (1/3 of Fe is probably produced by ccSN and 2/3 by SN Ia). As recently shown by Bisterzo et al. (2014), with an updated compilation of spectroscopic data, our model fits well the knee observed, giving a good constraint to the rate of ccSN versus SN Ia, as well as to the treatment of binary stars included in the GCE code (for details see Travaglio et al. 1999).

The matrix of isotopes within the chemical evolution code was set to cover all the light nuclei up to the Fe-group, and all the heavy nuclei along the $s$-process and $p$-process paths up to $^{209}$Bi. The resulting $p$-process production factors taken at the epoch of solar system formation for nuclei in the atomic mass number range $70 \leq A \leq 210$ are shown in detail in Travaglio et al. (2014), with a fine grid of metallicities and exploring different $s$-process seed distributions. In this paper, the choice for $s$-seed distribution versus metallicity is discussed in detail, i.e., we choose higher $^{13}$C for higher metallicities ($ST \times 2$ for solar metallicity, $ST \times 1.3$ for metallicities down to 0.01, ST for $Z = 0.006$, and ST/1.5 for the lowest metallicities). The grid of metallicities used for the present work is described in Section 3 (see also Table 1). With this choice, the predicted ratios at 9.2 Gyr are $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo = $1.752 \times 10^{-3}$ (about a factor of 1.6 below the meteoritic value of $2.8 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$), $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm = $6.989 \times 10^{-3}$ (about a factor of 1.3 below the meteoritic value of $9.4\pm0.5 \times 10^{-3}$), $^{97}$Tc/$^{98}$Ru = $4.077 \times 10^{-5}$, and $^{99}$Tc/$^{98}$Ru = $6.471 \times 10^{-7}$ (for Tc the ratios measured in meteorites are upper limits). The ratios as a function of the age of the Galaxy (or of the metallicity) are given in Table 2. The meteoritic values measured and their errors are also reported in the same table. A detailed analysis and discussion on the importance of uncertainties of reaction rates for $^{92}$Nb and $^{146}$Sm is presented in the next section.

Most previous studies dealing with radioactive nuclides in meteorites have relied on analytical or semi-analytical approaches to predict the abundances of these nuclides in the ISM at solar system birth (Schramm & Wasserburg 1970; Clayton 1988; Dauphas et al. 2003; Dauphas 2005; Huss et al. 2009; Jacobsen 2005). The main virtue of these analytical approaches is that they allow one to rapidly explore the parameter space while capturing some of the most important features of galactic chemical evolution. However, this simplicity is achieved at the expense of being realistic. Analytical approaches can take into account the secondary nature of some nuclides, the fact that the galactic disk probably grew by infall of low-metallicity gas, and the fact that the rate of star formation is not strictly linear with the gas surface density. However, all analytical models rely on the instantaneous recycling approximation, which assumes that material newly produced in stars is immediately returned to the ISM. This assumption is not correct for nucleosynthesis in SN Ia, and would produce a factor of 2–4 higher values of the radiogenic ratios discussed above, but also wrong predictions of Fe at solar composition. More sophisticated galactic chemical models such as that presented here should be used.

5. NUCLEAR AND HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTIES

Figure 4 shows the reaction flow (i.e., time-integrated flux) for a selected tracer producing $^{146}$Sm and $^{144}$Sm isotopes. As can be seen in the figure, the main flow from heavier nuclei is following the line defined by mass number $A = Z + 82$, with $Z$ being the nuclear charge. The production ratio of $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm mainly depends on the $(\gamma, n)/(\gamma, \alpha)$ branching at $148$Gd (and more weakly on similar branchings at $152$Dy and $156$Er). This is due to the fact that $146$Gd is neutron magic and, after the passage of the shock wave, decays to $146$Eu and then to $146$Sm. This was already pointed out by Woosley & Howard (1990) and further investigated by Rauscher et al. (1995) and Somorjai et al. (1998) for the $\gamma$-process in massive stars. This branching is independent of the seeds but a weak dependence of the $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm ratio stems from the production of $^{144}$Sm and the weak $(\gamma, n)$ flow in Sm. This weak dependence is seen in Table 2, where the $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm ratio obtained for different metallicities is shown and also in Table 3 where additionally the dependence on various $^{148}$Gd$(\gamma, \alpha)^{144}$Sm rates is presented (also see discussion below).

Photodisintegration rates at high plasma temperature cannot be constrained by direct measurements (Rauscher 2012, 2014). A better test of predicted reaction cross sections and astrophys-
relate to the magnitude of the time-integrated flux on a logarithmic scale. Stable capture cross sections. Since 147Gd, however, is an unstable cal reaction rates could be obtained by experimentally determin-

Figure 4. Reaction flow for 146Sm production; size and color of the arrows relate to the magnitude of the time-integrated flux on a logarithmic scale. Stable isotopes are marked by a black dot and p-isotopes by a thicker box. The isotopes 144Sm and 146Sm are marked in green.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 3

Dependence of the 144Sm/144Sm Ratio on Various 148Gd(γ, α) Rates for SN Ia at Different Metallicities and (Last Row) for GCE Calculations

| Z        | RATH  | Exp (α, γ) Fit | 2013 a |
|----------|-------|---------------|--------|
| 0.003    | 4.053 × 10^{-1} | 7.408 × 10^{-1} | 9.76 × 10^{-1} |
| 0.006    | 3.705 × 10^{-1} | 7.097 × 10^{-1} | 8.90 × 10^{-1} |
| 0.01     | 3.624 × 10^{-1} | 6.850 × 10^{-1} | 8.74 × 10^{-1} |
| 0.012    | 3.762 × 10^{-1} | 6.651 × 10^{-1} | 8.90 × 10^{-1} |
| 0.015    | 3.329 × 10^{-1} | 6.319 × 10^{-1} | 8.01 × 10^{-1} |
| 0.02     | 3.161 × 10^{-1} | 6.132 × 10^{-1} | 7.62 × 10^{-1} |

GCE τ = 68 Myr 6.989 × 10^{-3} 1.050 × 10^{-2} 1.667 × 10^{-2}

Notes.

a Rauscher & Thielemann (2000).

b Somorjai et al. (1998).

c Rauscher (2013).

d Rauscher & Thielemann (2000) was used. Comparison to neutron capture data along the valley of β-stability showed that the averaged uncertainty of the predictions was about 30% but local deviations up to a factor of two were possible (Rauscher et al. 1997, 2011; Rauscher 2012).

The measurement of the low-energy (α, γ) cross section of the stable 144Sm nucleus by Somorjai et al. (1998) sets the stage for a long-standing puzzle regarding the prediction of low-energy α-capture and emission. The cross section was found to be lower by more than an order of magnitude than all predictions. Over the past years, many attempts have been made to construct improved α+nucleus optical potentials to explain these data (see, e.g., Kiss et al. 2013; Rauscher et al. 2013, and references therein). Recently, Rauscher (2013) suggested that including an additional reaction channel, only affecting α-capture but not emission, the experimental results can be reproduced. In Table 3, the 144Sm/144Sm ratios obtained with three different 148Gd(γ, α)144Sm rate predictions are shown: the original rate by Rauscher & Thielemann (2000) based on a cross section higher than the experimental value, the rate based on a fit to the Somorjai et al. (1998) (α, γ) cross sections, and the new rate by Rauscher (2013) which reproduces the measured cross sections but predicts a larger α-emission rate. In ccSN, the Rauscher & Thielemann (2000) rate gave a too low 144Sm/144Sm ratio compared to meteoritic values, the fit to Somorjai et al. (1998) gave a much larger ratio, while the new rate again provided a lower ratio due to the stronger α-emission (Rauscher 2013; Rauscher et al. 2013). All of these values were just barely compatible or incompatible with meteoritic ratios. For the SN Ia case studied here, the final 144Sm/144Sm ratio integrated over the GCE is found to be compatible when using the rate fit by Somorjai et al. (1998) but also the new rate by Rauscher (2013).

The difference with respect to the ccSN results is due to the different temperature history of the tracer in SN Ia as compared to the situation in a ccSN shock front, since the (γ, n)/α branching at 148Gd is temperature dependent. It should be noted that these ratios still bear an additional uncertainty from the 148Gd(γ, n) rate, as discussed above.

All the calculations presented here used the most recent 144Sm half-life of 68 Myr (Kinoshita et al. 2012). However, it is worth noting that the value of this half-life is still the subject of ongoing discussions as comparisons of 144Sm—142Nd with Pb–Pb or 147Sm—143Nd dating techniques may be more consistent with a longer half-life of 103 Myr (Borg et al. 2014; Marks et al. 2014). This half-life is important in early solar system chronology and it should be remeasured to ascertain its value.

The production of the radiogenic 92Nb is governed by the destruction of 93Nb and 92Zr seeds, as can be seen from the flows in Figure 5. It also gets some indirect contributions from 91,94,98Zr via 92Zr but none from 90Zr. The nuclide 92Nb is mainly destroyed by the reaction 92Nb(γ, n)92Nb, while two reactions produce it, 93Nb(γ, n)92Nb and 92Zr(p, n)92Nb. A minor production channel (about 3%) is 91Zr(p, γ)92Nb. Because the two reactions destroying 92Zr—92Zr(p, n) and 92Zr(p, γ)—both eventually lead to 92Nb production, their
Figure 6. Reaction flow for $^{92}$Mo production; size and color of the arrows relate to the magnitude of the time-integrated flux on a logarithmic scale. Stable isotopes are marked by a black dot and $p$-isotopes by a thicker box. The nuclide $^{92}$Mo is marked in green.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

relative magnitude is not important, only their combination into a total rate. The production of $^{92}$Zr proceeds via $(\gamma, n)$ sequences from the other Zr isotopes. The slowest reactions in these sequences are the ones removing a paired neutron and thus they dominate the timescale and the flow. Here, this is $^{94}$Zr$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Zr and, with slightly less importance, $^{96}$Zr$(\gamma, n)^{95}$Zr, both leading to eventual production of $^{92}$Zr. Finally, $^{94}$Nb$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Nb is important in the production of $^{93}$Nb from neutron-richer Nb isotopes.

The rates of $^{94}$Zr$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Zr and $^{94}$Nb$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Nb are experimentally determined through their measured neutron capture cross sections (KADoNiS; Dillmann et al. 2006). Despite the elevated temperatures found in $\gamma$-process nucleosynthesis, the experimental data constrain both capture and photodisintegration well in these cases (Rauscher 2012). For the other rates given above, and their reverse reactions, we used predictions by Rauscher & Thielemann (2000) in our standard calculations. The $^{96}$Zr$(\gamma, n)^{95}$Zr rate comes from a theory estimate as given in KADoNiS (Dillmann et al. 2006; Bao et al. 2000).

The uncertainty in the $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo ratio also contains the uncertainty in the $^{92}$Mo production. Figure 6 shows the time-integrated flows in the tracer that produces the main fraction of $^{92}$Mo. The flow pattern is less complex than in the case of $^{92}$Nb. The main contribution to this nuclide (about 50%) is through $(\gamma, n)$ sequences coming from the stable Mo isotopes with mass numbers $A \geq 94$. These are mainly producing $^{94}$Mo, part of which is converted to $^{92}$Mo through the reaction sequence $^{94}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{92}$Mo. The slower reaction in this sequence, determining the flow is $^{94}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Mo, leaving an unpaired neutron in $^{93}$Mo. The second important path, contributing about 35%, is the sequence $^{92}$Nb$(p, n)^{93}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{92}$Mo. Although the magnitude of the $(p, n)$ reaction also scales with the proton density, the $^{93}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{92}$Mo reaction is the faster one again in this sequence at our SN Ia conditions. Finally, the reaction $^{91}$Nb$(p, \gamma)^{92}$Mo provides a small (15%), additional contribution to $^{92}$Mo. There are only theoretical predictions for the rates that are important, $^{94}$Mo$(\gamma, n)^{93}$Mo, $^{93}$Nb$(p, n)^{92}$Mo, and (with lower impact) $^{91}$Nb$(p, \gamma)^{92}$Mo. The $^{92}$Mo production

would scale according to the above weights when new rate determinations become available for these reactions.

The important theoretically estimated rates affecting the production of $^{92}$Nd and $^{92}$Mo are summarized in Table 4. In order to check the uncertainty in our GCE calculations at the solar system birth for the $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo ratio due to uncertainties in the reaction rates, we varied the most important rates found above by a factor of two. Calculations with two rate sets were performed, probing the extremal values expected for the $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo ratio as indicated in Table 4. This leads to a $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo ratio at 9.2 Gyr varying from $1.660 \times 10^{-5}$ for the rate set MIN up to $3.118 \times 10^{-5}$ for the rate set MAX (the results are summarized in the last row of Table 4).

As shown in Table 2, the SN Ia yields calculated here, when folded in a galactic chemical evolution, given nuclear physics uncertainties, we can reproduce the $^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo and $^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm ratios at solar system birth measured in meteorites ($^{92}$Nb/$^{92}$Mo = $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ in meteorites versus $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ predicted ($^{146}$Sm/$^{144}$Sm = $9.4 \times 10^{-5}$ in meteorites versus $7.0 \times 10^{-5}$ predicted). Note that the match between predicted and measured ratios requires that the material that made the solar system had not been isolated from fresh nucleosynthetic inputs for some extended time, as is observed for some $r$-process short-lived nuclides such as $^{129}$I (Qian et al. 1998). These authors concluded that the discrepancy can be solved if some $r$-process isotopes are produced in rare events only. This study supports the view that single-degenerate SN Ia may be important contributors to the nucleosynthesis of $r$-process nuclides in the Galaxy.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we discuss the production of short-lived radionuclides $^{92}$Nb, $^{146}$Sm, and $^{96,98}$Tc by single-degenerate SN Ia stars. Using a simple Galactic chemical evolution code, we show that a significant fraction of $p$-process extinct radionuclides $^{92}$Nb, $^{146}$Sm, and $^{96,98}$Tc in meteorites could have been produced by the $\gamma$-process in SNe Ia.

Travaglio et al. (2011) showed that SN Ia were likely sites for $p$-process nucleosynthesis. In particular, enrichment in $s$-seeds during the pre-explosive evolution leads to the production of $^{92}$Mo, $^{94}$Mo, $^{96}$Ru, and $^{98}$Ru, $p$-process isotopes that exist in high abundance in the cosmos and are difficult to reproduce in previous nucleosynthesis models. Dauphas et al. (2003) pointed out that a critical test that models of
p-process nucleosynthesis must pass is that they must reproduce the abundances of the short-lived nuclides $^{92}$Nb and $^{146}$Sm. In particular, $^{92}$Nb provides strong constraints on p-process nucleosynthesis because it is shielded by $^{92}$Mo from decays of proton-rich progenitor nuclides and thus cannot be produced by processes on the proton-rich side of the nuclear chart, such as the $rp$- or $vp$-processes.

We should note that the nature of SN Ia progenitors still remains uncertain. Following the idea of Li et al. (2011), we supposed that at least 50% of SN Ia are single-degenerate standard Chandrasekhar mass. But the reader has to keep in mind that they can be rarer. Referring to Ruiter et al. (2013, 2014), population synthesis models tell us that SN Ia progenitors come from a (rare) sample of common-envelope phase binaries which may or may not undergo some s-processing before the explosion. If they do, the outcome would be pretty much the same as in the Chandrasekhar-mass models and GCE results would not change so much with respect to what we presented in this paper. A detailed analysis of double-degenerate scenario as well as mergers as SN Ia progenitors will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Under the above conditions, we show here that SN Ia can reproduce the abundances of both $^{92}$Nb and $^{146}$Sm in meteorites within a factor of $\sim 2$. The match would be poorer if solar system material had been isolated from fresh nucleosynthetic inputs for a long time.

A detailed investigation of nuclear uncertainties affecting the reaction rates producing and destroying $^{92}$Nb, $^{92}$Mo, and $^{146}$Sm material had been isolated from fresh nucleosynthetic inputs for $^{92}$Nb, the most important reactions affecting the $^{92}$Nb nucleosynthesis because it is shielded by $^{92}$Mo from decays.
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