Screening of endophytic sources of exopolysaccharides: Preliminary characterization of crude exopolysaccharide produced by submerged culture of *Diaporthe* sp. JF766998 under different cultivation time
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Abstract

Endophytic fungi have been described as producers of important bioactive compounds; however, they remain under-exploited as exopolysaccharides (EPS) sources. Therefore, this work reports on EPS production by submerged cultures of eight endophytes isolated from *Piper hispidum* Sw., belonging to genera *Diaporthe*, *Marasmius*, *Phlebia*, *Phoma*, *Phyllosticta* and *Schizophyllum*. After fermentation for 96 h, four endophytes secreted EPS: *Diaporthe* sp. JF767000, *Diaporthe* sp. JF766998, *Diaporthe* sp. JF767007 and *Phoma herbarum* JF766995. The EPS from *Diaporthe* sp. JF766998 differed statistically from the others, with a higher percentage of carbohydrate (91%) and lower amount of protein (8%). Subsequently, this fungus was grown under submerged culture for 72, 96 and 168 h (these EPS were designated EPS D1-72, EPS D1-96 and EPS D1-168) and the differences in production, monosaccharide composition and apparent molecular weight were compared. The EPS yields in mg/100 mL of culture medium were: 3.0 ± 0.4 (EPS D1-72), 15.4 ± 2.2 (EPS D1-96) and 14.8 ± 1.8 (EPS D1-168). The EPS D1-72 had high protein content (28.5%) and only 71% of carbohydrate; while EPS D1-96 and EPS D1-168 were composed mainly of carbohydrate (>95 and 100%, respectively), with low protein content (<5%) detected at 96 h. Galactose was the main monosaccharide component (30%) of EPS D1-168. Differently, EPS D1-96 was rich in glucose (51%), with molecular weight of 46.6 kDa. It is an important feature for future investigations, because glucan-rich EPS are reported as effective antitumor agents.
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1. Introduction

Endophytic fungi colonize, for all or part of their life cycle, the internal plant tissues without causing apparent harm to their host [1] and their ecological functions attract increasing attention [2]. The endophyte and host plant establish a harmonious symbiotic system interaction in which the microorganisms obtain energy, nutrients and shelter, while they protect the hosts against pathogens, herbivores and insects and induce plant growth or defense mechanisms [3–5]. Throughout the world researchers have been shown that endophytes are potential producers of novel and biologically active substances [6], as aliphatic compounds, alkaloids, flavonoids, peptides and steroids [7].
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However, endophytes remain under-exploited as producers of exopolysaccharides (EPS) with biotechnological properties [8]. These macromolecules are sugar polymers containing more than 20 monosaccharide units joined by glycosidic linkages [9]. Microbial EPS have been studied for decades due to their interesting physicochemical and rheological properties with novel functionality not found in polymers produced by algae or plants [10]. They are synthesized intracellularly throughout growth or during the late logarithmic or stationary phase and then secreted into the culture medium in the form of slime [10–12]. The great diversity of their structures and functional roles is closely associated with differences in the sequences of monomeric units, glycosidic linkages and different types of branching [13].

In laboratory, the fungal EPS production has many advantages compared to polysaccharides extracted from fruits or plants: easy isolation and purification avoiding the use of harsh extraction steps, minor production cost and huge production in short time [13,14]. One homogeneous system that provides a source of EPS is the submerged culture [15], in which filamentous fungi exhibit different morphological growth forms ranging from dispersed mycelial filaments to pellets (densely interwoven mycelial masses) [16].

In previous studies, we reported the isolation, molecular identification, antimicrobial and proteolytic activities of endophytic fungi isolated from leaves of the medicinal plant *Piper hispidum* Sw., popularly called as “platanillo-de-cuba” (Cuba), “cordoncillo” (Mexico) and “falso-jaborandi” (Brazil) [17–20]. In this study, eight *P. hispidum* endophytes were investigated to find the most potent EPS source and to evaluate its EPS production in submerged culture at different cultivation times. Fungal endophytes belonging to the genera *Diaporthe, Marasmius, Phlebia, Phoma, Phyllosticta* and *Schizophyllum* were selected. There are no reports in the literature about EPS produced by endophytes from the above-mentioned genera.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and culture media

Potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium was purchased from HiMedia Laboratories (Mumbai, MH, India). Analytical standards and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Other chemicals were of analytical grade. Vogel’s minimal salts medium (VMSM) was prepared according to Vogel [21].

### 2.2. Endophytic fungi

The endophytic ascomycetes and basidiomycetes used (Table 1) belong to the fungal culture collection of the Laboratory of Microbial Biotechnology, State University of Maringá, Brazil. They were isolated from healthy leaves of the medicinal plant *P. hispidum* located in the Dr. Luis Teixeira Mendes Forest Garden, a remnant of semideciduous forest in the municipality of Maringá, Paraná State, southern Brazil (23°26’5.10”S, 51°57’59.46”W). Molecular identification was based on sequencing of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA [17] and the sequences were submitted to the GenBank database. Fungi were maintained on PDA at 4 °C and subcultured at three-month intervals. The Castellani method [22] was used for permanent maintenance.

### 2.3. Culture conditions and preparation of EPS

The submerged culture conditions for EPS production was performed as previously described by Steluti et al. [23] (Fig. 1), with some modifications; pre-inoculum was prepared from seven-day-old cultures of endophytes grown on agar plates containing VMSM, agar (20 g/L) and glucose (10 g/L) at 28 ± 2 °C. Then the pre-inoculum was homogenized (sterilized chilled Blender) for 0.5 min at maximum speed and centrifuged (1250 × g for 10 min) to cell separation. After, the cell homogenate was recovered, diluted with sterilized 0.9% saline solution to an absorbance of 0.4–0.5 at 400 nm. For the inoculum, 4-mL aliquots of the cell homogenate were transferred to 500-mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL of VMSM and only glucose (50 g/L) as carbon source. Three replicate flasks per experiment were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C on an orbital shaker at 180 rpm for 96 h.

Cell-free extracellular fluid was obtained after removal of the fungal mycelia by vacuum filtration. The material was then extensively dialyzed (MW cut-off 12,000 Da) against distilled water for 24 h. The dialysate was concentrated

| Phylum      | Order              | Species                   | GenBank accession no. |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ascomycota  | Botryosphaerales   | *Phyllosticta capitensis* | JF766988              |
| Ascomycota  | Diaporthales       | *Diaporte sp.*            | JF767000              |
| Ascomycota  | Diaporthales       | *Diaporte sp.*            | JF766998              |
| Ascomycota  | Diaporthales       | *Diaporte sp.*            | JF767007              |
| Ascomycota  | Pleosporales       | *Phoma herbarum*          | JF766995              |
| Basidiomycota | Agaricales      | *Marasmius cladophyllus*  | JF767003              |
| Basidiomycota | Agaricales      | *Schizophyllum commune*   | JF766994              |
| Basidiomycota | Polyporales      | *Phlebia sp.*             | JF766997              |

Endophytes were isolated and molecularly identified by Orlandelli et al. [17].
under reduced pressure (<39 °C) in a rotary evaporator and treated with 3 volumes of absolute ethanol. The precipitates were recovered by centrifugation (5000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C) and dissolved in deionized water. Aliquots of each material were used for the determination of sugars and protein content. The rest of EPS was lyophilized and stored at −20 °C.

2.4. EPS production by Diaporthe sp. JF766998 under different cultivation time

Diaporthe sp. JF766998 was grown under submerged culture for 72, 96 and 168 h (Fig. 1) and the influence of cultivation time on the production (total sugars, reducing sugars, protein and EPS yield), monosaccharide composition and apparent molecular weight of EPS was evaluated.

2.5. Analytical techniques

Total sugars were determined by the phenol-sulfuric acid method [24] and reducing sugars were measured by the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [25]. D-glucose was used as the standard in both assay procedures. Protein was determined using the Bradford method [26] with bovine serum albumin as standard.

2.6. Determination of EPS homogeneity and apparent molecular weight

Aliquots of each EPS were dissolved in deionized water (1 mg/mL) and filtered through a Millipore nitrocellulose membrane with 0.22-μm pore size. Homogeneity was determined by high performance steric exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) coupled to a refractive index (RI) detector, model...
RID 10A, and UV—vis detector (Shimadzu Company, Kyoto, KYT, Japan). The chromatography system consisted of an HPLC pump, model 10AD, a manual injection valve (Shimadzu) fitted with a 200-µL loop and an Ultrahydrogel column (7.8 × 300 mm) system (Waters) with exclusion limit of 7 × 10^6, 4 × 10^8, 8 × 10^4 and 5 × 10^3 Da arranged in series. The mobile phase was 0.1 M NaNO₃ with sodium azide (0.03%), and the flow rate was 0.6 mL/min. Data analysis was performed using LC solution software (Shimadzu Company, Kyoto, KYT, Japan). A standard curve of dextran with MW of 1400, 1100, 670, 500, 410, 266, 150, 77.8, 72.2, 50, 40.2, and 9.4 kDa was made to determine the apparent molecular weight (MW_app) of EPS.

2.7. Determination of monosaccharide composition

Lyophilized samples (0.05 mg of total sugar) were hydrolyzed with 0.3 mL of 2 M TFA in a sealed tube at 121 °C for 2 h. After hydrolysis, the solution was dried under vacuum, and the residue dissolved in 0.5 mL of water and dried again. The dissolution—evaporation cycle was repeated until complete evaporation of TFA. Finally, the residue was dissolved in 0.5 mL of deionized water and a 0.025-mL diluted aliquot was analyzed by high performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC/PAD) on a Dionex DX 500 Chromatograph (Dionex Company, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Neutral monosaccharides were separated isocratically (0.014 M NaOH) using a CarboPac PA1 column (4 × 250 mm) equipped with a PA1 guard column using a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Elution was performed using water (eluent 1) and 14% 0.2 M NaOH (eluent 2). After 20 min, the column was regenerated with 100% eluent 2 for 15 min, followed by a return to 0.014 M NaOH. Monosaccharide quantification was carried out from peak area measurements using response factors obtained with monosaccharide standards.

2.8. Statistical analysis

The production of EPS by all endophytic fungi and the production of EPS by Diaporthe sp. JF766998 under different cultivation time were analyzed by ANOVA (analysis of variance) and means of triplicates were compared with a t-test (p < 0.05) using the statistical program SISVAR 5.3.

### Table 2
Production and apparent molecular weight of EPS secreted by endophytic ascomycetes after submerged fermentation for 96 h.

| Endophytes          | EPS code | pH<sub>e</sub> | EPS yield (mg)* | Quantification (%) | HPSEC/RID |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|
|                     |          |               | TS (mg) | RS (mg) | P (mg) | RT (min) | MW_app (kDa) |
| Diaporthe sp. JF767000 | EPS<sub>D</sub> | 5.5 | 7.9 ± 0.0b | 82.6 | 0.6 | 16.8 | 40.9 | 4.8 × 10<sup>7</sup> | 53.2 | 46.6 |
| Diaporthe sp. JF766998 | EPS<sub>D1</sub> | 4.5 | 17.6 ± 2.1<sup>a</sup> | 91.0 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 52.8 | 40.0 |
| Diaporthe sp. JF767007 | EPS<sub>D2</sub> | 4.5 | 10.9 ± 2.2<sup>a</sup> | 83.0 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 53.4 | 38.0 |
| Phoma herbarum JF766995 | EPS<sub>P</sub> | 5.0 | 2.7 ± 0.2<sup>b</sup> | 80.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 52.5 | 47.0 |

* Means of triplicates (means ± standard deviation) of EPS secreted in flasks containing 100 mL of culture medium. Different (online) letters indicate that the means are significantly different according to a t-test (p < 0.05). pH<sub>e</sub> = final pH (initial pH 5.8). TS = total sugars, RS = reducing sugar, P = protein, RT = retention time, MW<sub>app</sub> = apparent molecular weight.
Fig. 2. Morphological aspects of EPS<sub>D1</sub> production by *Diaporthe* sp. JF766998 grown under submerged culture for 72, 96 and 168 h. PDA = potato dextrose agar medium. VMSM = Vogel's minimal salts medium.

Table 3
Production and monosaccharide composition of EPS<sub>D1</sub> from *Diaporthe* sp. JF766998 after submerged fermentation for 72, 96 and 168 h.

| EPS code | pH<sub>f</sub> | EPS yield (mg)<sup>*</sup> | Quantification (%) | Monosaccharide composition (%) |
|----------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|          |               | TS | RS | P    | Glc | Gal | Man | Fuc | GlcN |
| EPS<sub>D1</sub>-72 | 5.5           | 3.0 ± 0.4<sup>b</sup> | 71.0 | 0.5 | 28.5 | 84  | 11  | <1  | 5    | <1  |
| EPS<sub>D1</sub>-96 | 4.5           | 15.4 ± 2.2<sup>a</sup> | 94.7 | 0.5 | 4.8  | 51  | 31  | 16  | 2    | <1  |
| EPS<sub>D1</sub>-168 | 4.5           | 14.8 ± 1.8<sup>a</sup> | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0  | 30  | 42  | 22  | 4    | 2   |

* Means of triplicates (means ± standard deviation) of EPS secreted in 100 mL of culture medium. Different (online) letters indicate that the means are significantly different according to a t-test (p < 0.05). pH<sub>f</sub> = final pH (initial pH 5.8). TS = total sugars, P = protein, RS = reducing sugars, Glc = glucose, Gal = galactose, Man = mannose, Fuc = fucose, GlcN = glucosamine.
The homogeneity and MW app of EPS D, EPS D1, EPS D2 and EPS P were determined by HPSEC/RID (Table 2). EPS D1 exhibited a single and symmetric peak (not shown) similar to that reported for the EPS (designated FO1) secreted by the endophytic fungus Fusarium oxysporum Y24-2 [40]. According to Chen et al. [41], the symmetry observed is probably due to the high solubility of this EPS. On the other hand, the most effective source of energy [22] and, not coincidentally, growth on glucose-based submerged cultures is largely employed for the screening of microbial sources of polysaccharides [22,32,34,35]. Therefore, this substrate, at a concentration of 50 g/L, was chosen as sole carbon source for EPS production by P. hispidum endophytes.

According to some authors [12,36,37], EPS produced under submerged conditions can be conjugated to other components such as proteins, lipids and nucleic acids, that commonly co-precipitate in ethanol. Earlier studies reported that other fungal genera secreted EPS containing a high concentration of protein (28%) than did the EPS obtained after 96 and 168 h of cultivation. As confirmed in Table 3, the yield of EPS D1-96 (15.4 ± 2.2 mg/100 mL of liquid medium) was slightly higher than the obtained for EPS D1-168 (14.8 ± 1.8 mg/100 mL), although this difference was not statistically significant. For all cultivation time tested, the reducing sugars value (measured as reducing sugars) was near to zero, indicating that the carbon source was almost totally consumed during the fungal fermentation. The presence of protein components could be probably related to constitutive enzymes secreted into the culture medium.

The homogeneity of EPS secreted by endophytes is of utmost importance, due to the high solubility of this EPS. On the other hand, the single and polydisperse peaks observed for EPS D2 and EPS P are consistent with the peak of Fr—I (EPS secreted by Phellinus linteus) detected by the SEC/MALLS system [42]. The EPS D elution profile by HPSEC/RID analysis showed two peaks with MW app of 4.8 × 10^4 and 46.6 kDa (Table 2), suggesting the presence of at least two EPS. Data corroborating the EPS D elution profile are scarce for fungi but was reported for bacteria [43–45].

### 3.2. EPS D1 production by Diaporthe sp. JF766998 for different cultivation times

The screening of endophytic sources of EPS (Table 2) highlighted the production obtained for Diaporthe sp. JF766998. Therefore, this fungus was grown under submerged culture for 72, 96 and 168 h. The influence of cultivation time on the production, monosaccharide composition and MW app of EPS D1 was examined. The EPS obtained were designated EPS D1-72, EPS D1-96 and EPS D1-168. As seen in Fig. 2, increase in cultivation time resulted in higher amount of fungal biomass, but the same was not observed for EPS production. As confirmed in Table 3, the yield of EPS D1-96 (15.4 ± 2.2 mg/100 mL of liquid medium) was slightly higher than the obtained for EPS D1-168 (14.8 ± 1.8 mg/100 mL), although this difference was not statistically significant. For all cultivation time tested, the reducing sugars value (measured as reducing sugars) was near to zero, indicating that the carbon source was almost totally consumed during the fungal fermentation. The presence of protein components could be probably related to constitutive enzymes secreted into the culture medium.

The monosaccharide composition of EPS D1-96 and EPS D1-168 was similar (Table 3); however, glucose was the main component in EPS D1-96 (51%) while galactose was the predominant sugar in EPS D1-168 (42%). In addition, both EPS exhibited similar profile on the HPSEC/RID analysis: single and symmetric peaks (at 52.8 min) with MW app of 40.0 kDa (Fig. 3). Considering that a short production time is more economically viable, submerged fermentation for 96 h would be advantageous for Diaporthe sp. JF766998. The monosaccharide composition of EPS D1-96 was glucose, galactose, mannose and fucose in a molar ratio of 25:15:8:1. In contrast, the phytopathogen Phomopsis (= Diaporthe) foeniculi secreted two EPS: a galactan and a mannan [46]. The predominance of glucose in the EPS produced by the endophyte Diaporthe sp. JF766998 can be considered interesting for the investigation of biological activities, because several homopolysaccharides with high glucose content were found to be more effective antitumor agents, as reviewed by Ferreira et al. [47].

EPS D1-72 yield was significantly (p < 0.05) lower than that obtained for EPS D1-96 and EPS D1-168 (Table 3). Although the monosaccharide composition of EPS D1-72 was mainly glucose, this preparation contained less carbohydrate (72%) and more protein (28%) than did the EPS obtained after 96 and 168 h of cultivation. On HPSEC/RID analysis (Fig. 3), EPS D1-72 showed an elution profile with two peaks called EPS D1-72A (38.8 min)
and EPS$_{D1.72B}$ (52.8 min), with MW$_{app}$ of $5 \times 10^3$ and 46.6 kDa, respectively. Probably, the UV–vis detection obtained for EPS$_{D1.72A}$ is an indication that a protein or glycoprotein was secreted when the fungus (Diaporthe sp. JF766998) remained in shaker flasks for 72 h. Krcmar et al. [27] reported that glucose was the main component of the EPS secreted by Phlebia radiata Fr.79 ATCC 64658, which was composed of $\approx 20\%$ protein, indicating that a mixture of glucan and glycoprotein was secreted. For Botryosphaeria (= Lasiodiplodia) sp. MAMB-05, the chromatogram profile on Sepharose CL 4B indicated an EPS-glycoprotein association when the fungal inoculum was incubated for 72 h [38], like that suggested herein for EPS$_{D1.72}$.

4. Conclusions

Endophytes are important sources of bioactive compounds, but should be further explored as EPS producers. This present study suggests that four P. hispidum endophytes, particularly Diaporthe sp. JF766998, are capable of producing these polymers. Among the three cultivation times tested (72, 96 and 168 h) for this fungus, 96 and 168 h resulted in EPS yield of 15.4 ± 2.2 and 14.8 ± 1.8 mg/100 mL culture medium, respectively, with apparent molecular weight of 46.6 kDa. It suggested that a short incubation time (96 h) could be more economically viable. The EPS secreted by Diaporthe sp. JF766998 cultivated for 96 h contained mainly carbohydrate ($\approx 95\%$) and a low percentage of protein ($\approx 5\%$), with glucose as the main monosaccharide component. This high glucose content is interesting for future investigations of the biological properties of this EPS.
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