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One of the strengths of quantum information theory is that it can treat quantum states without referring to their particular physical representation. In principle, quantum states can be therefore fully swapped between various quantum systems by their mutual interaction and this quantum state transfer is crucial for many quantum communication and information processing tasks. In practice, however, the achievable interaction time and strength are often limited by decoherence. Here we propose and experimentally demonstrate a procedure for faithful quantum state transfer between two weakly interacting qubits. Our scheme enables a probabilistic yet perfect unidirectional transfer of an arbitrary unknown state of a source qubit onto a target qubit prepared initially in a known state. The transfer is achieved by a combination of a suitable measurement of the source qubit and quantum filtering on the target qubit depending on the outcome of measurement on the source qubit. We experimentally verify feasibility and robustness of the transfer using a linear optical setup with qubits encoded into polarization states of single photons.

A full exploitation of the potential of quantum information theory requires development of hybrid quantum information processing devices optimally combining and interconnecting various physical platforms. For instance, photonic qubits are ideal carriers of quantum information in quantum communication, while atomic, solid state or superconducting stationary qubits are well suited for local storage and processing of quantum information. The future of quantum technologies therefore crucially depends on our ability to efficiently interconnect quantum states between different physical platforms. However, the qubits often interact only weakly, or their strong interaction is affected by decoherence. The decoherence therefore forces us to limit the interaction time which typically leads to weak coupling. A weak coupling prevents us to directly implement a full bidirectional swap of quantum states of the two qubits, and part of the information remains not transferred after the interaction.

For the one-way inter-connection of two physical platforms, a high quality unidirectional state transfer from a source qubit to a target qubit could be sufficient. Here we propose and experimentally verify a method for the unidirectional qubit-state transfer via an arbitrary weak purity-preserving coupling between the qubits. The scheme is universally applicable with potential to connect various platforms and systems. The protocol combines a suitable projective measurement on the source qubit with optimal quantum filter on the target, and a real-time feed-forward. Advantageously, the initial state of the target qubit system could be its ground state that is minimally affected by the environment. Our approach where weak qubit-qubit interaction is combined with local operations on each qubit and exchange of classical information enables perfect state transfer, although generally only in a probabilistic manner. Our scheme can be straightforwardly extended from qubits to d-dimensional quantum states, and instead of direct transfer between two qubits one could consider more general scenario of quantum state transfer through a chain of weakly interacting qubits or qudits.

Since our quantum state transfer protocol works perfectly for all pure input states, it works also for parts of entangled states. Therefore, we can generate any desired entangled state between weakly interacting target and ancilla qubits by first preparing an entangled state of ancilla and signal qubits followed by transfer of the quantum state of signal qubit onto the target. This approach may be particularly useful in hybrid architectures combining different physical platforms, where one system can be more easily controlled and manipulated with than the other. Our technique thus provides a useful building block for generation of complex multipartite entangled states in hybrid quantum communication networks. Moreover, the generated entangled state can be utilized for...
unconditional quantum state transfer via quantum teleportation. We would nevertheless like to stress that our protocol, while probabilistic, is conceptually simpler and requires less resources than the teleportation protocol.

Results

Single qubit transfer. The goal of the universal quantum state transfer protocol is to faithfully map any quantum state \( |\psi\rangle_S = \alpha|0\rangle_S + \beta|1\rangle_S \) of a source qubit \( S \) onto the target qubit \( T \) that is initially prepared in a known fixed state \( |g\rangle_T \), as illustrated in Fig. 1. The source qubit could even be initially entangled with some ancilla qubit. For clarity of subsequent presentation, we shall consider a generic pure initial state of the source qubit \( |\psi\rangle_S \).

To make our treatment sufficiently general, we allow for both deterministic and probabilistic interactions \( \hat{V} \) between source and target qubits, hence \( \hat{V} \) can be either a unitary operation or a non-unitary quantum filter satisfying \( \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{V} \leq I \). We thus consider the most general class of noiseless quantum interactions. The interaction \( \hat{V} \) creates an entangled state of source and target qubits, \( |\psi\rangle_{ST} = \Phi^+ |\psi\rangle_S |g\rangle_T \), where \( |\Phi^+\rangle = |\rangle_S \langle 0| + |1\rangle_S \langle 1| \). In the next step of the protocol we erase the correlations between source and target qubits by a projective measurement on the source qubit. If we project the source qubit onto a pure state \( |\pi\rangle_S \), we prepare the target qubit in the following pure state, \( |\phi\rangle_T = \alpha |\phi_0\rangle_T + \beta |\phi_1\rangle_T \), where \( |\phi_0\rangle = \langle \pi |\Phi^+\rangle_S |0\rangle_T \) and \( |\phi_1\rangle = \langle \pi |\Phi^+\rangle_S |1\rangle_T \).

To complete the quantum state transfer we need to transform the two non-orthogonal states \( |\phi_0\rangle \) and \( |\phi_1\rangle \) onto normalized orthogonal basis states \( |0\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \), respectively. Provided that \( |\phi_0\rangle \) and \( |\phi_1\rangle \) are linearly independent, this can be accomplished by a suitable quantum filter \( \hat{G} \) applied to the target qubit,

\[
\hat{G} = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{1}{\langle \phi_0^+ | \phi_0 \rangle} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \frac{1}{\langle \phi_1^+ | \phi_1 \rangle} |1\rangle \langle 1| \right),
\]

where \( |\phi_0^+\rangle = \langle 0 | \Phi^+ |\rangle \) and \( |\phi_1^+\rangle = \langle 1 | \Phi^+ |\rangle \).

To reach maximal probability of success, \( N \) has to be set such that the maximum singular value of \( \hat{G} \) is equal to 1 and \( \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{G} \hat{G}^\dagger \hat{V} \leq I \) is satisfied. We emphasize that \( \hat{G} \) does not depend on the input state \( |\psi\rangle_S \) of the source qubit, it depends only on the initial state of the target qubit \( |g\rangle_T \), the interaction \( \hat{V} \), and the state \( |\pi\rangle_S \) onto which the source qubit is projected. After filtering, the state of the target qubit becomes equal to the input state of the source.
\[ \hat{G}_\alpha \beta = + \hat{G}_N, \]

and the probability \( p \) of success of the transfer protocol reads \( 1/|N|^2 \). The probability of success can be maximized by optimization of the measurement strategy and enhanced by a feed-forward loop, which allows us to exploit both outcomes of projective measurement on the source qubit (see Methods).

**Example.** To illustrate our method, we consider as an instructive example a class of symmetric probabilistic two-qubit interactions described by an operator

\[ \hat{V} = + + +, \]

where \( t_0, t_1 \in [-1, 1] \). This conditional interaction represents a generalized imperfect version of a two-qubit quantum parity check with a weak interaction strength. It turns out that in this case it is advantageous to measure the source qubit in the balanced superposition basis

\[ |\varphi \rangle_T = \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle), \]

and the quantum filters \( \hat{G}_+ \) and \( \hat{G}_- \) associated with the measurement outcomes + and − differ only by a fixed unitary transformation,

\[ \hat{U}_+ = \hat{U}_- \hat{G}_+, \]

where \( \hat{U}_+ = |0\rangle \langle 0| - |1\rangle \langle 1| \). The whole protocol can be therefore implemented using a fixed quantum filter \( \hat{G}_+ \) followed by a feed-forward-controlled unitary phase shift. This greatly simplifies the experimental implementation of the protocol.

**Experimental test.** It is important to verify the feasibility, robustness and reliability of the quantum state transfer protocol and probe its potential limitations caused by various practical imperfections. We have therefore experimentally tested the above example of quantum state transfer using linear optics, see Fig. 2. The source and
target qubits were represented by polarization states of single photons generated in the process of spontaneous parametric downconversion. The probabilistic interaction \( \hat{V} \) was realized by interference of the two photons on a partially polarizing beam splitter PPBS, which yields \( t_1 = t_2 \) and \( t_{11} = 2t^2_2 - 1 \), where \( t^2_2 = 0.334 \) denotes the amplitude transmittance of the PPBS for vertically polarized photons. A tunable polarization quantum filter \( \hat{G} \) was implemented by a combination of calcite beam displacers and wave plates, and the electrooptical feed-forward was implemented using a fiber interferometer and a fast phase modulator driven by a signal from a single-photon detector. For details, see Methods.

The state transfer was tested for 17 different initial states of the target photon, \( |g\rangle_r = \cos \omega |0\rangle + \sin \omega |1\rangle \), with \( \omega \in \{5, 10, 15, \ldots, 85\} \) deg. For each choice of \( |g\rangle_r \), we have performed a full quantum process tomography of the resulting single-qubit quantum channel \( \mathcal{L} \) describing the state transfer from source qubit to the target qubit. This quantum channel can be conveniently represented by a matrix \( \chi \), which is a positive semidefinite operator on Hilbert space of two qubits. Physically, the quantum process matrix of a quantum channel \( \mathcal{L} \) can be obtained by taking a pure maximally entangled Bell state \( |\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \) and sending one of the qubits through the channel \( \mathcal{L} \). A perfect state transfer corresponds to the identity channel whose matrix \( \chi \) is equal to projector onto Bell state \( |\Phi^+\rangle \).

In Fig. 3 we plot the reconstructed quantum channel matrices for \( \omega = 55^\circ \). To show the importance of state filtration and feed-forward in our protocol, we first switch off both of these operations, while accepting all coin-
cidences of the detectors. This emulates the situation when we have information that the interaction \( \hat{V} \) between the source and target qubit took place, but we do not perform any measurement on the source qubit (which may be e.g. inaccessible), and do not apply any operation to the target qubit. The resulting noisy quantum channel is shown in Fig. 3(a). If we switch on the fixed quantum filter \( \hat{G} \), but keep the feed-forward switched off, we obtain the quantum channel plotted in Fig. 3(b). Theory predicts that the fixed filtering should yield a dephasing channel represented by a diagonal operator \( \chi_{\text{DC}} = |00\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11| \) and our data are in very good agreement with this theoretical expectation. Note that the dephasing channel is the best we can get without having access to results of measurements on the source qubit, because the correlations present in the entangled state of source and target qubits destroy any phase coherence in the reduced density matrix of the target qubit. Finally, if we switch on also the feed-forward, we achieve faithful state transfer, with the resulting channel being close to the identity channel, see Fig. 3(c). In particular, compared to Fig. 3(b), the off-diagonal elements of the target channel are recovered, as the feed-forward ensures conservation of quantum coherence between the computational basis states.

A quantitative characterization of performance of the quantum state transfer protocol in dependence on the angle \( \omega \) specifying the initial polarization state of the target photon is provided in Fig. 4. Figure 4(a) shows the channel fidelity \( F \) defined as a normalized overlap of the channel matrix \( \chi \) and the Bell state \( |\Phi^+\rangle \). We achieve a high fidelity with maximum \( F = 95.8\% \) at \( \omega = 50^\circ \). The experimental imperfections which reduce the fidelity below 1 include deviations of the interaction from ideal one (5) and decoherence of the source and target qubits. The imperfections depend on physical realization of the interaction, but they sufficiently emulate real problems which appear for the transfer of quantum states. Heavy quantum filtering can be required in extreme cases, which makes the transfer more sensitive to even small deviations and decoherence.

Figure 4(b) simultaneously illustrates the dependence of the success rate of the protocol on the initial state of the target qubit. For each \( \omega \) we plot the sum of all measured two-photon coincidences, which is proportional to the success probability \( p \). Since the same measurements were carried out for each \( \omega \) and the measurement time
was kept constant, the data for various $\omega$ are directly comparable. For reference, we plot also the total coincidences recorded without filtering. The success rate of the protocol is maximized at $\omega = 55^\circ$. We have performed numerical optimization of the success probability (9) for the ideal protocol and we have found that $p$ is maximized at $\omega = 55.2^\circ$, which is in excellent agreement with our experimental observations.

**Optimality of the protocol.** To maximize the success probability of the protocol we can, in addition to the initial state of the target qubit $\ket{g}_T$, optimize also the basis $\ket{\pi}_S$, $\ket{\pi}_S^\perp$, in which the source qubit is measured. Since the operator $\hat{V}$ given by Eq. (5) is diagonal in the computational basis, phase shifts do not play any role in the optimization. Therefore we can, without loss of any generality, restrict our analysis to real qubit states $\ket{g}_T = \cos \omega \ket{0}_T + \sin \omega \ket{1}_T$ and $\ket{\pi}_S = \cos \kappa \ket{0}_S + \sin \kappa \ket{1}_S$, which represent linearly polarized states of single photons. Here $\kappa$ is an angle that parametrizes the measurement on the source qubit and $\ket{\pi}_S^\perp = \sin \kappa \ket{0}_S - \cos \kappa \ket{1}_S$. We focus on the interferometric coupling on a PPBS specified by $t_1 = t_2$ and $t_1 t_2 = 2t_1^2 - 1$. We first fix $\omega$ and $t_1$ and maximize the success probability $p$ over $\kappa$. Our numerical calculations indicate that for any $t_1$ and $\omega$ it is actually optimal to set $\kappa = \pi/4$ and measure the source qubit in the balanced superposition basis $\ket{\pm}_S$, as implemented in our experiment. For a given fixed interaction strength $t_1$, we further perform optimization of $p$ over $\omega$ and the success probability of the resulting optimal protocol is plotted in Fig. 5. For comparison, the dashed line in Fig. 5 shows the success probability $\tilde{p}$ of a simplified protocol with $\ket{g}_T$ and $\ket{\pi}_S$ chosen such that the filtering is not required and $\hat{G}$ is proportional to a unitary operation (see Methods). Such simplified protocol can be constructed only if the interaction is sufficiently strong, $t_1^2 < 1/2$, and its success probability $\tilde{p}$ is strictly smaller than $p$.

**Discussion**

In summary, we have proposed and experimentally verified a conditional transfer of single-qubit state through weak trace-decreasing interaction using optimal measurement and irreducible quantum filtering in the feed-forward loop. The method is feasible, robust and universally applicable. It can be suitable for broad class of qubit transfers in hybrid information processing, including atomic, solid state and optical qubits.

---

**Figure 4.** Dependence of quantum channel fidelity $\mathcal{F}$ (a) and two-photon coincidence counts (b) on initial polarization state of target qubit specified by angle $\omega$. The results are shown for three versions of the protocol: full implementation with quantum filter and feed-forward (■), quantum filter set on but feed-forward switched off (▪), and both filtering and feed-forward switched off (▲). The horizontal dashed line shows the classical measure-and-prepare bound $\mathcal{F} = 2/3$. The vertical dashed line indicates the setting $\omega = 55^\circ$ for which the channel matrices are plotted in Fig. 3. The solid lines indicate predictions of a theoretical model that accounts for imperfections of the partially polarizing beam splitter where the source and target photons interfere.
Methods

Quantum filter. Any quantum filter $\hat{G}$ can be decomposed into a sequence $\hat{G} = \hat{U}_2 \hat{D} \hat{U}_1$, where $\hat{U}_1,2$ are unitary operations and the operator $\hat{D}$ is diagonal in the computational basis, $\hat{D} = |0\rangle \langle 0| + \lambda |1\rangle \langle 1|$, where $\lambda \in (0,1]$ is an attenuation factor. Such diagonal filter can be implemented by a weak measurement in the computational basis. This can be accomplished by coupling the target qubit to a meter qubit $M$ prepared initially in state $|0\rangle_M$.

The required coupling reads

$$
|0\rangle_T |0\rangle_M \rightarrow |0\rangle_T |0\rangle_M',
\]

$$
|1\rangle_T |0\rangle_M \rightarrow \lambda |1\rangle_T |0\rangle_M + \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} |1\rangle_T |1\rangle_M'.
\]

(8)

Successful implementation of the filter $\hat{D}$ is heralded by projection of meter qubit onto state $|0\rangle_M$.

Real-time feed-forward control. We can improve the success probability by exploiting both outcomes $|\pi\rangle$ and $|\pi\rangle^\perp$ of projective measurement on the source qubit. Since the filter $\hat{G}$ depends on the measurement outcome, this requires a real-time feed-forward which ensures that a correct quantum filter $\hat{G}$ or $\hat{G}^\perp$ is applied to the target qubit, where $\hat{G}^\perp$ denotes a quantum filter corresponding to projection of source qubit onto $|\pi\rangle^\perp$. The total success probability of state transfer is then given by a sum of two contributions,

$$
\tilde{P} = \frac{1}{|N|} + \frac{1}{|N|^2},
\]

(9)

where $N$ is the normalization factor in $\hat{G}^\perp$. The probabilistic nature of the quantum state transfer protocol is the price to pay for a faithful transfer of all states with unit fidelity. The quantum filter $\hat{G}$ is an essential part of the protocol and the average fidelity of states (2) obtained without filtering may even drop below the limit of 2/3, which is achievable by a purely classical measure-and-prepare strategy.

Linear-optical emulation of interaction. We demonstrate the state transfer protocol using linear optics and qubits encoded into polarization states of single photons, where the computational basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ correspond to horizontally and vertically polarized photon, respectively. We use this platform as a suitable testbed for a proof-of-principle verification of our protocol, whose applicability is universal and by no means limited to photonic qubits. A suitable non-trivial interaction between single photons is provided by two-photon interference on a polarizing beam splitter PBS that fully transmits horizontally polarized photons and fully reflects vertically polarized photons. If we post-select on presence of a single photon in each output port of PBS, we obtain the so-called quantum parity check

$$
\hat{V}_{QPC} = |00\rangle\langle 00| - |11\rangle\langle 11|.
\]

(10)

If the target qubit is initially prepared in a superposition state $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and the source qubit is measured in the superposition basis $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$, then we find that the state of target qubit before filtering reads $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle \mp \beta |1\rangle)_{TM}$, hence the state transfer can be accomplished by a unitary feed-forward operation which applies a $\pi$ phase shift to the state $|1\rangle_T$ if the source qubit is projected onto state $|+\rangle^{28}$. To make our study more generic and test our procedure in the regime of weakly coupled qubits, we utilize interference on a partially polarizing beam splitter (PPBS) that is still fully transmitting for horizontally polarized photons and only partially reflecting for vertically polarized photons. If $t_1$ is the transmittance of each output port of PPBS, the interference results in the two-qubit transformation (5) with $t_1 = t_2$ and $t_{11} = 2t_2^2 - 1$.
Experimental set-up. Time correlated photon pairs are generated in the process of frequency degenerate parametric down-conversion and fed to the input of the experimental setup shown in Fig. 2. Arbitrary input states of source and target qubit can be prepared by a combination of quarter-wave plate (QWP) and half-wave plate (HWP). The qubits interact at a partially polarizing beam splitter (PPBS) with transmittance \( T_{\ell} = t_{\ell \ell} = 0.334 \). Subsequently, the source qubit is measured in the basis of diagonal linear polarizations using a single-photon polarization detection block consisting of a half-wave plate, polarizing beam splitter and two single photon detectors. The polarization filter \( \hat{G}_{\ell} = \hat{U}_{\ell \ell} \hat{D}_{\ell \ell} \) on the target photon was implemented with the help of calcite beam displacers (BD) and half-wave plates, see the inset in the upper part of Fig. 2. The half-wave plates at the input and output of the filter implement the unitary operations \( \hat{U}_{\ell \ell} \) and \( \hat{U}_{\ell \ell} \). Selective attenuation of vertical or horizontal polarization is implemented by a suitable rotation of half-wave plates inserted inside an interferometer formed by the two calcite beam displacers. Since a beam displacer introduces a transversal spatial offset between vertically and horizontally polarized beams, these two polarization components become spatially separated inside the interferometer and can be individually addressed\(^{47–49}\).

The conditional \( \pi \) phase shift on the target qubit is applied by means of an active electro-optical feed-forward loop\(^{25–28}\). To facilitate its experimental realization, we couple the target photon into fiber-based Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), thus converting the polarization qubit into qubit encoded in which way information. A sufficiently long optical fiber delays the photon and provides time necessary for processing the electronic signal produced by the single photon detector D3 whose click indicates projection of source qubit onto state \( \{ \rangle \} \). This signal is amplified and fed to an integrated lithium-niobate phase modulator PM1 inserted in one arm of the fiber interferometer, thereby applying the conditional \( \pi \) phase shift to state \( \{ \rangle \} \). The required stability of the setup was reached by thorough isolation from the environment and, simultaneously, by active phase stabilization of MZI every 1.5 s to reduce phase drifts caused by remaining temperature and air-pressure fluctuations below \( 2^\circ \) during the measurement.

The experimental imperfections which reduce the fidelity below 1 include partially distinguishable photons, imperfect retardation of wave plates, interference visibility lower than one, and imperfection of the partially polarizing beam splitter, which was not perfectly transmitting for horizontally polarized photons, and the experimentally determined horizontal transmittance was \( T_{\ell \ell} = 0.983 \). This latter effect plays dominant role when the initial state of the target photon becomes close to horizontally or vertically polarized one. Heavy quantum filtering is required in such cases, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b), which makes the protocol more sensitive to parasitic coupling in horizontal polarization.

Simplified protocol without filtering. We can attempt to choose \( \ket{g}_\ell = \cos \omega \ket{0}_\ell + \sin \omega \ket{1}_\ell \) and \( \ket{r}_\ell = \cos \kappa \ket{0}_\ell + \sin \kappa \ket{1}_\ell \), so as to maximize simplify the protocol. In particular, we can try to completely avoid the need for quantum filtering. It follows from our theoretical analysis of the protocol that quantum filtering is not required provided that the states \( \ket{\phi}_0 \) and \( \ket{\phi}_0 \) are orthogonal and have the same norm,

\[
\langle \phi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \phi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle = \langle \phi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle = \langle \phi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle.
\]

The two-qubit interaction \( (5) \) with \( t_1 = t_\ell \) and \( t_\ell = 2 t_\ell^2 - 1 \) the orthogonality condition can be satisfied only if \( t_\ell^2 < 1/2 \), i.e. only if the interferometric coupling of the two photons is sufficiently strong. The parameters \( \omega \) and \( \kappa \) are uniquely determined by the conditions \( (11) \) and we obtain

\[
\tan \omega = \tan \kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2 t_\ell^2}}.
\]

(12)

Success probability of this simplified protocol then reads

\[
\tilde{p} = \frac{1 - 2 t_\ell^2}{4(1 - t_\ell^2)}.
\]

(13)
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