Histochemical and morphological aspects of fresh frozen bone: a preliminary study
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Abstract

Bone graft are used in dentistry for the reconstruction of severely atrophic jaws. Fresh frozen bone has no osteogenic property but it has osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties because its matrix contains growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate morphological and protein expression characteristics of fresh frozen bone before graft and after six months of graft in patients who needed maxillary reconstruction. After 6 month of graft we observed the presence of viable bone as evidenced by full osteocyte lacunae and by the presence of RANKL, osteocalcin positive cells and vascular endothelial growth factor. In conclusion, our findings show that the fresh frozen bone after six month of graft is for the most part viable bone, encouraging its use as an alternative to autogenous bone for reconstructing maxillary bone defects prior to implant.

Introduction

In dentistry, bone grafts are widely used for the reconstruction of severely atrophic jaws, caused by trauma, oncologic diseases, oral infections or congenitally missing teeth. The gold standard for bone grafting is the autogenous bone, harvested from extra- or intra-oral donor sites. Autogenous bone is believed to be the most effective bone graft material and it is still regarded as the “gold standard” for augmentation procedures because of its osteogenic potential, but this graft has limited availability and the surgical harvesting procedures can cause additional morbidity to the patient. By that, it has been therefore focused attention on the use of allogeneic bone graft materials which are all harvested from cadaveric sources, and are successively processed and stored in different ways.

Fresh frozen bone (FFB) has no osteogenic property because of freezing that causes almost total loss of cell viability. Although that, FFB has osteoconductive property and it is potentially osteoinductive because its matrix contains growth factors such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) or vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF); the latter is a key cytokine for angiogenesis, and is also an important regulator of bone remodeling.

The absence of universally accepted ridge augmentation success criteria is a significant obstacle in comparing the different studies and surgical techniques using fresh frozen bone, and very often the success of grafting procedures has been measured in terms of implant survival in the areas subjected to bone augmentation. Moreover, few morphological and protein expression study about characteristics of FFB allografts exists and it is believed that histological findings alone did not seem good predictors of the success of grafts over long term. By that purpose of the present study was to evaluate histological, morphological and molecular characteristics by means of immunofluorescence technique of FFB before grafting (time 0) and after six months of graft in patients who needed maxillary reconstruction.

Materials and Methods

Seven patients received an implant of FFB purchased at the Bank of Cells and Tissue Musculoskeletal of Emilia Romagna, Bologna; FFB has been taken from the tibia in the first 12 h after the donor’s death; it was then disinfected, for at least 72 h at -4°C in a poly-chemotherapeutic solution of vancomycin, polymyxin, glazidine, and lincomycin. Finally, bone was irrigated with sterile saline solution, and frozen at -80°C. After 6 months patients underwent a second intervention to remove the means of synthesis. Patients signed informed consents and the protocol was approved by local ethics committee.

Histological procedures

After fixation in 2% glutaraldehyde and rinses in phosphate buffer 0,13 mol/L, pH 7,3, specimens were decalcified in 4,13% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, pH 7,2, for 30 days, dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Five-micrometer-thick sections, obtained in a LEICA microtome, were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Scanning electron microscopy

After fixation in 2% glutaraldehyde, specimens were dehydrated in ethanol and amyl acetate. Then, they were dried at critical-point in a Balzers critical point drier using liquid CO2. The fractures surface of bone were mounted on stub and platinum coated with a sputtering system “Plasma Sciences CrC-100 Turbo Pumped” and observed by Phenom G2 pro scanning electron microscope.

Immunofluorescence

As per our standard protocol, ten-micrometer-thick section were treated with the following antibodies: rabbit polyclonal anti-RANK receptor (RANKR) (diluted 1:150; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) to mark osteoclast and mouse monoclonal anti-VEGF (diluted 1:50; ABCAM) which were demonstrated with IgG-Texas Red conjugated anti-rabbit (1:100 dilution; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA); rabbit polyclonal anti-osteocalcin (diluted 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) to mark osteoblasts which was demonstrated with IgG-FITC conjugated anti-rabbit (1:100 dilution; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories). The negative control was done using only the secondary antibody. Samples were observed with a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope.
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Figure 1. Compound panel of FFB grade 0 images obtained by hematoxylin-eosin, scanning electron microscopy and immunofluorescence techniques. A) Hematoxylin-eosin images show bone tissue characterized by empty osteocyte lacunae and also the presence of empty Volkmann's (head arrow) and Haversian canals (arrow) (B). C) Scanning electron microscopy image shows FFB grade 0 characterized by empty osteocyte lacunae (red arrow) and absence of cellular components. Immunofluorescence reactions images show the absence of fluorescence for RANKR (D) and osteocalcin (E); in F) it is also possible to observe the presence of VEGF fluorescence pattern within some osteocyte lacunae (asterix).

Figure 2. Compound panel of FFB after six month of maxillary graft obtained by hematoxylin-eosin and SEM techniques. A) Image shows the presence of two areas: a and b areas; a areas is characterized by full osteocyte lacunae (magnification in the top right corner). B) Well formed bone marrow in the b area. C) a area with bone lamellae parallel to each other and partially overlapping like roof tiles, alternating to bone lamellae with the same architecture, but with opposite orientation (asterisk) and a well formed bone marrow with adipocyte (arrow) and endothelial cells (head arrow). D) b areas characterized by empty osteocyte lacunae surrounding the bone island (arrow) with full osteocyte lacunae. SEM images show the presence of full (head arrow) and empty (arrow) osteocyte lacunae (E) and Volkmann's canal (F, asterisk). E) magnification: 1500x.
Results

Time 0

H&E images show that both the cortical and trabecular bone are characterized by empty osteocyte lacunae, empty Volkmann’s and Haversian canals and no osteoclast, osteoblasts and bone marrow have been observed (Figure 1 A,B). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) shows, in all observed fields the presence of empty osteocyte lacunae and absence of any cellular components (Figure 1C). Immunofluorescence (IF) reactions show the absence of fluorescence for anti-RANKR and anti-osteocalcin antibodies (Figure 1 D,E). Slight fluorescence for VEGF within some osteocyte lacunae has been detected (Figure 1F).

Six month

H&E images show the presence of two different areas separated by a line: a and b areas (Figure 2A); both in b and a areas it is possible to observe the presence of a well formed bone marrow (Figure 2 B,C) with all its components as adipocytes and endothelial cells. The a area is mainly characterized by full osteocyte lacunae (Figure 2A, top right corner) and by bone lamellae that appear parallel to each other and partially overlapping like roof tiles, alternating to bone lamellae with the same architecture, but with opposite orientation (Figure 2C, asterisk), indicating that bone adorption and deposition processes occur. The b area is characterized mainly by the presence of empty osteocyte lacunae surrounding some island of bone with full osteocyte lacunae (Figure 2D, arrow).

In the entire sections no evidence of acute or chronic inflammatory infiltrate was observed. SEM results show some empty osteocyte lacunae and wide regions with full osteocyte lacunae (Figure 2E, arrow and head arrow) and full Volkmann’s canals (Figure 2F, asterisk). IF results show RANKR positive cells (osteoclasts marker) which are located within lacunae which are probably Howship’s lacunae (Figure 3 A,B); images also show aligned osteocalcin positive cells (osteoblasts marker); these cells are located in regions which probably correspond to mineralization site (Figure 3 C,D). VEGF fluorescence pattern has been detected mainly within osteocyte lacunae (Figure 3E).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate morphological and protein expression characteristics of FFB at time 0 and after six month of maxillary graft focusing exclusively on morphological aspects. Our H&E results confirm that the FFB at grade 0, before graft, is a non viable bone; instead, after 6 month of graft FFB is characterized by two areas, separated by a line, which have different characteristics: a and b areas. The a area is characterized by the presence of a newly formed viable bone as evidenced the presence of cellular elements and blood vessels. Although Literature supports a slower remodeling of corticocancellous blocks because of its limited vascularization,21,22 our results show the presence of a well formed vascularization both in the bone marrow and in Volkmann’s and Haversian canals.

We also show that the neo-formation of mineralized bone occurred predominantly adjacent to allogenic bone, which is consistent with the currently available literature;23-29 histologically, it is evidenced by the close contact between a and b areas. Works consider the residual bone as necrotic bone;30 however, we disagree due to the presence within residual bone, represented by b area, of viable bone island characterized by full osteocyte lacunae and a well formed bone marrow. SEM confirms results obtained by histological techniques, in particular the presence of full osteocyte lacunae and Volkmann’s canals after six month of grafts. These data are further supported by IF results which show absence of RANKR and osteocalcin positive cells, which are respectively osteocal-
migration and activity and osteoclast recruitment associated with osteogenesis. By that, tal-13 playing an important role in angiogenesis we believe that a multi-methodical approach that histological findings alone did not seem to be good predictors of the success of grafts, we support its osteoinductive properties and the increase in VEGF fluorescence pattern after 6 month of graft could be related to the comparison of osteoblasts, osteoclasts, osteocytes and blood vessels, supporting its role in angiogenesis associated with osteogenesis. All these data suggests that, after bone graft with VFG, two different mechanisms of bone formations could occur at the same time: i) a centripetal mechanism where probably the tight contact between FFB and patients’ bone determines and facilitates cell migration, adhesion and proliferation that are necessary for bone regeneration; ii) a centrifugal mechanism towards which cells and growth factors migrate determining the appearance of growth niches which, after 6 month take the form of vital bone island; probably this island long term replace the entire residual nonviable bone. Both these mechanism could depend on the osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties of fresh frozen bone.

In conclusion, although some reports support that histological findings alone did not seem to be good predictors of the success of grafts, we believe that a multi-methodical approach can provide more information on the characteristics of FFB after graft which could be predictive of the success over the long term.
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