The PH domain from the *Toxoplasma gondii* PH-containing protein-1 (TgPH1) serves as an ectopic reporter of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate in mammalian cells
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**Abstract**

Phosphoinositide (PtdInsP) lipids recruit effector proteins to membranes to mediate a variety of functions including signal transduction and membrane trafficking. Each PtdInsP binds to a specific set of effectors through characteristic protein domains such as the PH, FYVE and PX domains. Domains with high affinity for a single PtdInsP species are useful as probes to visualize the distribution and dynamics of that PtdInsP. The endolysosomal system is governed by two primary PtdInsPs: phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate [PtdIns(3)P] and phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5)P\(_2\)], which are thought to localize and control early endosomes and lysosomes/late endosomes, respectively. While PtdIns(3)P has been analysed with mammalian-derived PX and FYVE domain-based probes, PtdIns(3,5)P\(_2\) indicators remain controversial. Thus, complementary probes against these PtdInsPs are needed, including those originating from non-mammalian proteins. Here, we characterized in mammalian cells the dynamics of the PH domain from PH-containing protein-1 from the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* (TgPH1), which was previously shown to bind PtdIns(3,5)P\(_2\) in vitro. However, we show that TgPH1 retains membrane-binding in PIKfyve-inhibited cells, suggesting that TgPH1 is not a viable PtdIns(3,5)P\(_2\) marker in mammalian cells. Instead, PtdIns(3)P depletion using pharmacological and enzyme-based assays dissociated TgPH1 from membranes. Indeed, TgPH1 co-localized with Rab5-positive early endosomes. In addition, TgPH1 co-localized and behaved similarly to the PX domain of p40phox and FYVE domain of EEA1, which are commonly used as PtdIns(3)P indicators. Collectively, TgPH1 offers a complementary reporter for PtdIns(3)P derived from a non-mammalian protein and that is distinct from commonly employed PX and FYVE domain-based probes.
Introduction

Phosphoinositides (PtdInsPs) are signaling lipids that modulate many important cellular functions including signal transduction, cell proliferation, cell migration, and membrane trafficking. There are seven species of PtdInsPs based on the differential phosphorylation of the inositol headgroup that are embedded in the cytosolic leaflet of cellular membranes and act as docking sites for numerous protein effectors. Importantly, each PtdInsP species binds to a unique set of cognate effectors and displays a characteristic subcellular distribution. The spatial restriction of PtdInsPs coupled to the recruitment of species-specific PtdInsP effector proteins endows the host membrane or organelle with their attributable functions and identity [1–4]. Given these broad roles, dysregulation of PtdInsPs can drive many diseases such as cancer, diabetes, obesity and rare genetic disorders [3,5–7].

The subcellular distribution of PtdInsPs is partly informed through effector proteins and their inositol-lipid binding domains [4,8,9]. A diverse number of PtdInsP-interacting protein domains have been discovered and include the ENTH, GRAM, FYVE, PH, PHD, and PX domains, among others [4,9]. The affinity and specificity of these domains towards each PtdInsP can differ greatly; some domains exhibit superb specificity and high affinity for a single PtdInsP species, while others are promiscuous and/or exhibit low affinity [9–12]. Importantly, chimeras of fluorescent proteins and PtdInsP-binding domains that show high specificity and affinity for a single PtdInsP species can reveal the localization of the target PtdInsP via fluorescence microscopy [8,13–17]. There is now a large array of widely employed PtdInsP reporters based on this strategy [8,9,18]. However, these protein domain-based probes are not without caveats since they may also interact with additional endogenous ligands, thus preferentially detecting PtdInsP pools that co-exist with the additional ligand [19–25]. Thus, there is a need for additional complementary PtdInsP reporters.

The endolysosome system is regulated by two primary PtdInsPs: PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P_2. PtdIns(3)P is synthesized by Vps34—a class III PI 3-kinase—and governs early endosome fusion, maturation and cargo sorting [15,22,23,26,27]. Using the PX domain from p40^phox and the FYVE domains from Hrs and EEA1 as probes, PtdIns(3)P is thought to primarily localize to early endosomes, though it is also transiently detectable in phagosomes and omegasomes, which are precursors to autophagosomes [15,27–30]. PtdIns(3)P is terminated in part by PIKfyve-mediated conversion to PtdIns(3,5)P_2 [31]. Disruption of PtdIns(3,5)P_2 leads to various defects, but most striking of all is the massive enlargement of endolysosomes, suggesting that it functions at the level of these organelles [31–37]. A tandem fusion of the N-terminal of TRPML1, a lysosomal Ca^{2+} channel regulated by phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5)P_2], may report the localization of this lipid [38,39]. Nevertheless, the specificity of this probe towards PtdIns(3,5)P_2 was questioned in at least some cell types [40].

More recently, the PH domain of PH-containing protein-1 found in Toxoplasma gondii (TgPH1) was reported to have specificity towards PtdIns(3,5)P_2 in that parasite [41]. TgPH1 was isolated during affinity precipitation with PtdIns(3,5)P_2-beads from T. gondii lysates and shown to interact with PtdIns(3,5)P_2 and PtdIns(3)P using in vitro assays [41]. Here, we generated constructs to express GFP-fusion of TgPH1 and evaluated its suitability as a PtdIns(3,5)P_2 probe in mammalian cells. However, using pharmacological inhibitors and a genetically encoded system to deplete PtdInsPs, we provide evidence that TgPH1 reports PtdIns(3)P, not PtdIns(3,5)P_2, in mammalian cells. Thus, TgPH1 expands the molecular toolbox to investigate PtdIns(3)P by offering a non-mammalian derived protein domain probe distinct from the FYVE and PX domains that are typically employed to study this lipid.
Materials and methods

Nucleic acids

Plasmids encoding 2FYVE-RFP and p40PX-mCherry were kindly provided by Dr. Sergio Grinstein. LAMP1-mRFP, mCherry-Rab5 and mCherry-FYVE were kindly provided by Dr. Tamas Balla. GFP-PIKfyve, GFP-PIKfyveK1831E were a generous gift from Dr. Assia Shisheva. iRFP-FRB-Rab5, mCherry-FKBP-INPP5E, mCherry-FKBP-MTM1 and mCherry-FKBP-MTM1C375S were previously characterized [42–44]. We generated various constructs encoding fluorescent TgPH1 fusion proteins including GFP-TgPH1, GFP-2x-TgPH1, eGFPNES-TgPH1 and NES-iRFP-TgPH1 as follows: GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2xTgPH1 constructs were synthesized in pcDNA3.1::N-eGFP backbone (Genscript, Piscataway, NJ). For pcDNA3.1::N-eGFP-2x-TgPH1, a GSGN linker was inserted between the two tandem copies of TgPH1. The sequence of TgPH1 (toxodb.org: TGGT1_260370) was synthesized into the pcDNA 3.1::N-eGFP vectors using the KpnI and NotI sites. The EGFPNES-TgPH1 was constructed into a pEgFP-C1 vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), incorporating the nuclear export sequence from X. laevis MAPKK1 cloned in frame with the 5' of eGFP start codon to reduce translocation of GFP-fusion proteins into the nucleus. NES-iRFP-TgPH1 was built using pEgFP-C1 backbone, replacing EGFP with iRFP713. Plasmids were prepared with an endonuclease-free midi-preparation plasmid kit (VWR, Mississauga, ON) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Cell culture and transfection

RAW 264.7 cells (ATCC TIB-71), HeLa cells (ATCC CCL-2), COS-7 cells (ATCC CRL-1651), PC3 cells (ATCC CRL-1435) were obtained from ATCC (ATCC, Manassas, VA). ARPE-1 (or RPE) cells were a kind gift from Dr. Costin Antonescu at Ryerson University. RAW and HeLa cells were maintained in 25 cm² filter-cap flasks, while COS-7 cells were grown in 75 cm² filter-cap flasks with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; ThermoFisher, Burlington, ON) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; ThermoFisher). PC3 cells were maintained in RPMI without phenol red (Gibco) and RPE cells were maintain in DMEM/F12 medium (ThermoFisher); in both cases, media were supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For COS-7 cells, the medium was additionally supplemented with 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 1:1000 chemically defined lipid supplement (ThermoFisher). Passaging of RAW cells was done by scraping, or using Trypsin-EDTA (0.25% Trypsin with EDTA; ThermoFisher) for the other cell types. For experiments with RAW, HeLa, RPE and PC3 cells, cells were seeded at ~25 to 30% confluence onto 12-mm square glass coverslips (VWR) or 18-mm circular glass coverslips (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA). These were transfected for 24 h with 1 μg of plasmid DNA using FuGENE HD (Promega, Madison, WI) as per manufacturer’s instructions. For experiments with COS-7, cells were seeded at ~25% confluence on 35-mm dishes with 20-mm glass coverslip bottoms (CellVis, Mountain View, CA) coated with 10 μg/ml fibronectin. Cells were transfected for 18–28 h with 600 ng of plasmid encoding FKBP-conjugated phosphatase enzyme, 200 ng of plasmid encoding iRFP-FRB-Rab5 and 200 ng of plasmid encoding NES-eGFP-TgPH1 complexed with 3 μg Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher) for 20 min in 0.2 ml Opti-MEM (ThermoFisher).

Pharmacological depletion of phosphoinositides

Unless otherwise stated, cells were treated with 20 nM apilimod (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, ON) or with 100 nM YM201636 (AdooQ Biosciences, Irvine, CA) for 1 h to deplete
PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ [45,46]. Alternatively, cells were exposed to Vps34-IN1 (Millipore Sigma, Toronto, ON) at 1 μM for 1 h to deplete PtdIns(3)P [26]. For inducible-phosphatase depletion of PtdInsPs, rapamycin was added to cells at a final concentration of 1 μM (see below).

### Live and fixed cell imaging

For live cell imaging, cells were pre-loaded with a 1.5 h pulse of 150 μg/mL fixable, anionic dextran conjugated to Alexa Fluor® 546, 10,000 MW (ThermoFisher), followed by 1 h chase with fresh medium. Cells were then manipulated with pharmacological treatments as described above and then subjected to live-cell imaging. Imaging was performed at ambient CO$_2$ with cells submerged in HEPES-buffered RPMI supplemented with 5% FBS. Imaging was performed by spinning disc confocal microscopy using Quorum DisKovery spinning disc confocal microscope system connected to an Andor Zyla 4.2 megapixel sCMOS camera and using a 63 X 1.4 NA oil-immersion objective (Quorum, Guelph, ON). Standard excitation and emission filter cubes and lasers were then employed.

For live-cell imaging of rapamycin-inducible PtdInsP depletion in COS-7 cells, media was exchanged for FluoroBrite DMEM (ThermoFisher) supplemented with 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 10% FBS, 2 mM Glutamax (ThermoFisher) and 1:100 chemically-defined lipid supplement. Then, 1 μM rapamycin (ThermoFisher) was added by bath application just before imaging. Imaging was performed on a Nikon A1R laser-scanning confocal microscope operating in fast “resonant” mode with 8-frame accumulation, using a 100x 1.45 NA plan-apochromatic oil-immersion objective on a Nikon TiE inverted microscope stand. GFP and iRFP were acquired concurrently using 488 and 640 nm laser excitation and 475–525 nm and 662–738 nm bandpass filters, whereas mCherry signal was acquired on a separate scan using 561 nm excitation and a 565–615 nm bandpass filter to avoid cross-talk. A motorized stage was used to image up to ten separate fields at 30 s frame intervals.

### Image and statistical analysis

To quantify membrane-associated to cytosolic ratio of TgPH1 probes, we imported images into ImageJ, and then assigned 3 or 10-pixel wide lines measuring 20-40-pixels in length along areas of transfected cells that excluded the nucleus. Plot profiles were then obtained and exported into spreadsheet program, values were ordered according to fluorescent intensity and the ratio of the highest 10 pixels over the lowest 10 pixel values ($F_{H}/F_{L}$, fluorescence ratio) was calculated with the expectation that cytosol-distributed signal was produce values approximate to 1, while signal that accumulates in puncta relative to cytosol with produce values greater than 1. Alternatively, whole cell was thresholded to obtain punctate structures and the fluorescence intensity of these structures was quantified. We then took the average fluorescence of the entire cell and obtain a puncta to cytosol fluorescence $F_{p}/F_{c}$. All analyses were performed using at least 20 cells per condition per experiment, across at least three independent experiments. For each condition, averages and standard deviation (STD) or standard error of the mean (SEM) were calculated.

For the rapamycin-inducible depletion of PtdInsPs in COS-7 cells, the Rab5 signal was used to generate a binary mask. The fluorescence intensity of TgPH1 under the Rab5 mask was then measured relative to the whole cell as described previously [42]. The means were subject to standard error of the mean taken from 41 (MTM1), 23 (C375S) or 27 (INPP5E) cells from three or four independent experiments.

All data were then subjected to statistical analysis. We used Student’s t-test when comparing only two conditions or ANOVA, followed by the Tukey’s post-hoc test when comparing more than two conditions.
Results

**TgPH1 binds to intracellular membranes independently of PtdIns(3,5)P₂ in mammalian cells**

TgPH1 was shown to bind PtdIns(3,5)P₂ using *in vitro* affinity precipitation with PtdIns(3,5)P₂-beads, liposomes and lipid blots, though the lipid blot also showed significant binding to PtdIns(3)P [41]. Thus, we speculated that TgPH1 could serve as a biosensor for PtdIns(3,5)P₂ in mammalian cells. To assess this, we generated various mammalian expression vectors encoding fluorescent chimeras of single TgPH1 domain (GFP-TgPH1, GFP<sup>NES</sup>-TgPH1, iRFP<sup>NES</sup>-TgPH1) and tandem TgPH1 domains (GFP-2x-TgPH1); the GFP<sup>NES</sup> and iRFP<sup>NES</sup> were engineered to include a nuclear export sequence (NES) to reduce the tendency of some fluorescent proteins to accumulate in the nucleus. We started our analysis by transfecting and comparing GFP, GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 in RAW macrophages, which possess a very dynamic endolysosomal membrane trafficking system. RAW cells were labelled with fluorescent dextran to demarcate lysosomes, followed by treatment with DMSO-only or 20 nM apilimod or 100 nM YM201636 for 1 h to inhibit PIKfyve activity [45,46]. These compounds were previously shown to deplete >80% of PtdIns(3,5)P₂ in various cells such as RAW macrophages [45–47]. To estimate the extent of membrane association, we calculated the average fluorescence ratio of the 30% most intense pixels over the 30% least intense pixels (F<sub>H</sub>/F<sub>L</sub>) cell.

We did this by drawing 10-pixel thick lines that crossed randomly selected regions (when no obvious puncta were available to score), puncta and vesicles, i.e., structures with unresolved lumen, or using a 3-pixel thick line that abutted the limiting membrane of vacuoles in parallel to the vacuolar diameter to avoid the "dark" lumen.

First, we used eGFP as a control for cytosolic-distributed proteins. As expected, eGFP was cytosolic in untreated RAW cells and those exposed to apilimod or YM201636, as reflected by an F<sub>H</sub>/F<sub>L</sub> value of ~1 across all treatments (Fig 1A and 1D). In comparison, GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 associated with membranes, albeit GFP-TgPH1 was significantly weaker than GFP-2x-TgPH1 (Fig 1B–1D). Importantly, GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 both retained membrane association in RAW cells treated with either apilimod or YM201636 (Fig 1B–1D). As evidence of drug activity, apilimod and YM201636 treatments caused vacuolation, as expected. Interestingly, both GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 co-localized poorly with dextran-labelled structures in control, suggesting that they did not localize to lysosomes (Fig 1). To ensure that these observations were not restricted to RAW macrophages, we expressed GFP-2x-TgPH1 in PC3 and RPE cells exposed to vehicle or apilimod. We obtained very similar results as in RAW cells, where GFP-2x-TgPH1 retained membrane association in vehicle-treated and apilimod-treated cells and poorly co-localized with dextran-labelled structures (Fig 2).

To complement these findings with a non-pharmacological approach, we co-expressed dominant-negative PIKfyve<sup>K1831E</sup> and iRFP<sup>NES</sup>-TgPH1 in Cos-7 cells; we used Cos-7 cells whenever we needed to achieve high co-transfection rates concurrent with high-expression of target proteins. First, we showed that Cos-7 cells treated with apilimod or YM201636 retained membrane association of iRFP<sup>NES</sup>-TgPH1, despite triggering vacuolation (Fig 3A and 3B). Second, and more importantly, iRFP<sup>NES</sup>-TgPH1 associated with membranes in Cos-7 cells irrespective of whether they expressed GFP, GFP-PIKfyve or GFP-PIKfyve<sup>K1821E</sup>, even though GFP-PIKfyve<sup>K1821E</sup> expression caused vacuolation (Fig 3C and 3D). Collectively, these data suggest that TgPH1 does not depend on PIKfyve activity for membrane association in mammalian cells, indicating that TgPH1 is not a viable probe for PtdIns(3,5)P₂ in these cells.
We then speculated that TgPH1 may bind to membranes in mammalian cells via PtdIns(3)P instead. To test this, we transfected RAW, HeLa, PC3, RPE and Cos-7 cells with TgPH1 probes and treated cells with DMSO or VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34 Class III PI3K. VPS34-IN1 was previously shown to deplete cells of PtdIns(3)P and disperse PtdIns(3)P probes from endosomes into the cytosol [26]. As before, we quantified the membrane to cytosol distribution using $F^H/F_L$ ratio of GFP-fusion proteins. First, we verified that VPS34-IN1

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198454.g001

**Fig 1. Subcellular distribution of GFP-fused TgPH1 proteins in PIKfyve-inhibited RAW cells.** RAW macrophages were transfected with GFP (A), GFP-TgPH1 (B), or GFP-2x-TgPH1 (C) and lysosomes were labelled with Alexa546-conjugated dextran as described in Methods. Cells were then left untreated (control) or exposed to 20 nM apilimod or 100 nM YM201636 for 1 h to inhibit PIKfyve and induce vacuolation. Cells were imaged live using spinning disc confocal microscopy. GFP-2x-TgPH1 displayed strong membrane association that was retained in PIKfyve-inhibited conditions, while GFP-TgPH1 displayed weaker membrane association and GFP was cytosolic under all treatments employed. Green line exemplifies line arrangements used to quantify $F^H/F_L$ fluorescence ratio. D. Quantification of GFP, GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 membrane association. Data shown are mean $F^H/F_L$ ± SD from N = 3 from 25–40 cells per experiment per condition. Using a one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test, there was significant difference between the $F^H/F_L$ for GFP and GFP-TgPH1 for each respective condition (* p<0.05). In addition, there was a significant difference between the $F^H/F_L$ for GFP-TgPH1 and GFP-2x-TgPH1 (** p<0.01). Scale bar represent 10 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198454.g001

TgPH1 becomes cytosolic in Vps34 inhibited cells

We then speculated that TgPH1 may bind to membranes in mammalian cells via PtdIns(3)P instead. To test this, we transfected RAW, HeLa, PC3, RPE and Cos-7 cells with TgPH1 probes and treated cells with DMSO or VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34 Class III PI3K. VPS34-IN1 was previously shown to deplete cells of PtdIns(3)P and disperse PtdIns(3)P probes from endosomes into the cytosol [26]. As before, we quantified the membrane to cytosol distribution using $F^H/F_L$ ratio of GFP-fusion proteins. First, we verified that VPS34-IN1
did not alter eGFP distribution in various cell types as a control; as shown for PC3 and RPE cells, GFP remained cytosolic in both vehicle and VPS34-IN1-treated cells (Fig 4A, quantified in Fig 4C and 4E). In comparison, and as indicated above, GFP-2x-TgPH1 exhibited
membrane-bound distribution in RAW, HeLa, PC3 and RPE cells exposed to vehicle alone (Fig 4B, quantified in Fig 4D–4G). By contrast, all cell types treated with VPS34-IN1 exhibited mostly cytosolic GFP-2x-TgPH1 distribution (Fig 4B and 4D–4G). To reflect the experiments in Fig 3, we also transfected Cos-7 cells with NES-iRFP-TgPH1 and examined its localization with vehicle or VPS34-IN1-treated cells. As with the other cell types, Cos-7 cells exposed to VPS34-IN1 exhibited mostly cytosolic iRFP\textsuperscript{NES}-TgPH1, while iRFP\textsuperscript{NES}-TgPH1 was
Fig 4. Inhibition of Class III PtdIns 3-Kinase Vps34 displaces TgPH1 domain from membranes. A. PC3 and RPE cells expressing eGFP and treated with vehicle or 1 μM VPS34-IN1 for 1 h to block Vps34 activity. B. PC3, RPE, HeLa and RAW macrophages expressing GFP-2x-TgPH and treated with vehicle (control) or 1 μM VPS34-IN1 for 1 h. For A and B, cells were fixed and imaged by spinning disc confocal microscopy. C. Cos-7 cells expressing NES-iRFP-TgPH treated with vehicle or 1 μM Vps34-IN1 for 1 h. Cells were imaged by laser scanning confocal microscopy. For B and C, control cells displayed chimeric TgPH1 proteins on punctate structures, while cells treated with Vps34-IN1 exhibit mostly cytosolic TgPH1 distribution. D-G: The proportion of GFP-2x-TgPH1 associated with membranes relative to the cytosol was estimated by quantifying $F_{mem}/F_{cyt}$ fluorescence ratio as described in Methods using a 10-pixel wide and 30-40-pixel long line. H. The proportion of NES-iRFP-TgPH1 in the membrane versus cytosol was assessed by quantifying $F_{mem}/F_{cyt}$ as described in Methods. In all cases, these data quantitatively show that GFP-2x-TgPH1 and NES-iRFP-TgPH1 become cytosolic in VPS34-IN1-treated cells in a variety of cell lines. Error bars represent standard error of the mean derived from analyzing at least 20 cells per condition across N = 3 independent experiments. *p<0.05 against respective controls using Student’s t-test for F, G and H or using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test for D and E. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198454.g004
membrane-bound in vehicle-treated Cos-7 cells (Fig 4C and 4H). Overall, these data indicate that TgPH1 associates to intracellular membranes in a PtdIns(3)P-dependent manner in mammalian cells.

**Inducible depletion of PtdIns(3)P dissociates TgPH1 from membranes**

We next complemented the pharmacological depletion of PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ using 3- and 5-phosphatases genetically engineered to associate with Rab5-positive early endosomes with a rapamycin-inducible dimerization system, previously characterized [42–44,48]. We targeted Rab5-decorated endosomes because they are thought to be enriched in PtdIns(3)P. Briefly, FRB-fusion of Rab5 is co-expressed with an FKBP-fusion of one of two lipid phosphatases: the MTM1 lipid phosphatase, which removes 3-phosphate from PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$, or with the INPP5E lipid phosphatase, which was shown to remove 5-phosphate from several lipids including PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ [48–52]. As a control, we also included the catalytic-dead MTM1$_{C375S}$ mutant. First, we show that MTM1, MTM1$_{C375S}$ and INPP5E are initially cytosolic but associate with iRFP-Rab5-labelled structures within 5 min of rapamycin addition (Fig 5B–5D and 5E shows the quantification of MTM1 and INPP4E co-localization with Rab5). We then tracked the dynamics of eGFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 in these cells co-expressing the inducible dimerization constructs. We note that before adding rapamycin to cells, eGFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 localized to iRFP-FRB-Rab5 puncta, showing that TgPH1 associated with early endosomes. However, upon addition of rapamycin to cells co-expressing FKBP-MTM1 fusion, there was a dramatic divestment of eGFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 from puncta (Fig 5B and 5F) that correlated with the recruitment of MTM1 to Rab5-labelled endosomes (Fig 5B and 5F). Expression of MTM1$_{C375S}$, a phosphatase-dead mutant, and addition of rapamycin failed to dissociate eGFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 from Rab5-labelled puncta showing that this required degradation of PtdIns(3)P (Fig 5C and 5F). In addition, expression of INPP5E also failed to delocalize eGFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 from Rab5 structures, suggesting that TgPH1 does not associate with membranes via PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ (Fig 5D and 5F), and consistent with our experiments with PIKfyve suppression. Collectively, these data are consistent with PtdIns(3)P, but not PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$, as the lipid that recruits TgPH1 to membranes in mammalian cells.

**TgPH1 co-localizes with other PtdIns(3)P fluorescent probes**

Our data suggest that TgPH1 is a potential novel reporter for PtdIns(3)P in mammalian cells that is of non-mammalian origin and is distinct from the most commonly employed PtdIns(3)P-binding probes, which consist of either a FYVE or PX domain [15,22,29,53]. To better assess this possibility, we compared the behaviour of TgPH1 constructs with well-characterized PtdIns(3)P reporters. First, we characterized the behaviour of GFP-2x-TgPH1 co-expressed with PX-mCherry or with mRFP-2FYVE in RAW cells. In both cases, GFP-2x-TgPH1 co-localized strongly with PX-mCherry (Fig 6A) and 2FYVE-RFP (Fig 6B). This was made more apparent when cells were treated with apilimod, which enlarged structures labelled with all three probes (Fig 6A and 6B). In comparison, all three chimeric proteins became cytosolic upon exposure with VPS34-IN1 to deplete cells of PtdIns(3)P (Fig 6A and 6B). Second, to better assess the spatio-temporal dynamics of TgPH1 and a FYVE domain probe, we co-expressed GFP$_{NES}$-TgPH1 with mCherry-FYVE and iRFP-Rab5 in Cos-7 cells to concomitantly track their behaviour in live cells. We observed that all three proteins significantly co-localized in Cos-7 cells, consistent with TgPH1 and FYVE domains reporting PtdIns(3)P on early endosomes (Fig 6C–6H).
min of arresting PtdIns(3)P synthesis (Fig 6C). Overall, GFP-2x-TgPH1 co-localizes with established PtdIns(3)P biosensors and represents a complementary biosensor for PtdIns(3)P.

**Discussion**

In this study, we expressed and characterized the PH domain from *T. gondii* PH-domain containing protein-1 (TgPH1). This domain was previously suggested to bind to PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ in *T. gondii* using in vitro assays [41]. We thus postulated that TgPH1 could report PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ min of arresting PtdIns(3)P synthesis (Fig 6C). Overall, GFP-2x-TgPH1 co-localizes with established PtdIns(3)P biosensors and represents a complementary biosensor for PtdIns(3)P.

**Fig 5.** Depletion of PtdIns(3)P but not PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$ causes TgPH1 to dissociate from Rab5-positive membranes in COS-7 cells. A. Schematic of the rapamycin-induced dimerization system and the enzymatic activities of the FKBP-conjugated phosphatases. B, C. Time-lapse imaging of COS-7 cells expressing iRFP-FRB-Rab5 (magenta), mCherry-FKBp fused to the indicated enzyme (cyan) and eGFP$^{NES}$-TgPH1 (grayscale). B. The time-lapse shows the recruitment of the fused phosphatase (cyan) to Rab5-containing puncta (magenta) before and after the addition of 1 μM rapamycin, marked by time 0. C. A time-lapse series of the same cells showing the dynamics of eGFP$^{NES}$-TgPH1 before and after rapamycin. Images were acquired at 2 min intervals. The graphs at right show the normalized intensity at Rab5-positive membranes relative to the whole cell for FKBP-tagged enzymes (B) and eGFP$^{NES}$-TgPH1 (C). Data are means ±SEM of 41 (MTM1), 23 (C375S) or 27 (INPP5E) cells from 3 or 4 independent experiments. *p<0.05 against control using ANOVA and Tukey's test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198454.g005
Fig 6. GFP-2x-TgPH1 strongly co-localize with other PtdIns(3)P probes. RAW cells were co-transfected with GFP-2x-TgPH1 and p40PX-mCherry (A) or with 2FYVE-mRFP (B). Cells were left untreated (control) or treated with 20 nM apilimod or 1 μM VPS34-IN1 for 1 h. Lines drawn across punctate structures in both green and red channels show coincident fluorescence intensity plots for TgPH1 chimera with either p40PX-mCherry or 2FYVE-mRFP. Images are representative of cells from N = 3 independent experiments using at least 25 cells per condition per experiment. C. Cos-7 cells co-expressing GFPNES-TgPH1, mCherry-FYVE and iRFP-Rab5 to mark early endosomes and imaged by time-lapse confocal microscopy during treatment with 1 μM Vps34-IN1. Note the near identical enrichment of both PtdIns(3) P probes at Rab5-positive
in mammalian cells. However, our data negate this hypothesis. Instead, we provide evidence that TgPH1 expressed in various mammalian cells either as a single or as a tandem-fusion, associates with membranes in a PtdIns(3)P-dependent manner. This conclusion is supported by pharmacological and genetically-encoded depletion system of PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P₂. Specifically, TgPH1 dissociated from membranes only in cells treated with inhibitors of Vps34, but not of PIKfyve. In addition, while induced targeting of INPP5E, a 5-phosphatase that has been shown to deplete PtdIns(3,5)P₂, did not displace TgPH1 from membranes. However, targeting of MTM1, which eliminates PtdIns(3)P divested TgPH1 from Rab5-positive membranes. We have not entirely excluded that TgPH1 may associate with membranes by binding to either PtdIns(3)P or PtdIns(3,5)P₂. Indeed, TgPH1 bound both PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P₂ when using lipid blots [41]. However, if binding to PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P₂ contributed to recruitment of TgPH1 to membranes, we should have observed a reduction in F/F₀ or co-localization with Rab5 in cells inhibited for PIKfyve; since this was not the case, we argue that any interaction between TgPH1 and PtdIns(3,5)P₂ is an insignificant contributor to membrane association compared to TgPH1-binding to PtdIns(3)P. Overall, we can confidently conclude that TgPH1 is not a viable biosensor for PtdIns(3,5)P₂ in mammalian cells. Instead, we propose that TgPH1 may serve as a complementary reporter of PtdIns(3)P in mammalian cells.

Having complementary reporters for PtdIns(3)P is an important consideration since PtdInsP-binding domains carry caveats when employed to investigate PtdInsP distribution and dynamics [8,18]. Even well-characterized probes like the PH domains of FAPP1, PLCδ and Akt, which respectively track PtdIns(4)P, PtdIns(4,5)P₂, PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃ carry caveats [8,9,11]. For example, the PH domain of PLCδ1, while commonly employed as a reporter for PtdIns(4,5)P₂ also binds with higher affinity to soluble inositol-1,4,5-bisphosphate (IP₃) [54,55]. In another example, the PH domain of FAPP1 reports Golgi-associated PtdIns(4)P because it also binds to the Golgi-associated Arf1 GTPase [20,21]. Alternative probes for these lipids respectively include the PH domain of Tubby1 that binds PtdIns(4,5)P₂ but not IP₃ and the Legionella-derived P4M, which detects multiple pools of PtdIns(4)P including on late endosomes [42,54,55]. Similarly, the PX and FYVE-based probes for PtdIns(3)P have potential flaws. For example, the FYVE domain of EEA1 carried an adjacent motif that associated with GTP-bound Rab5 [15,23,56]. In fact, in yeast, PtdIns(3)P was initially thought to be restricted to early endosomes only, but subsequent use of other probes including FYVE domain of Fab1 show that PtdIns(3)P exists in vacuoles [57]. Thus, it is important to develop complementary probes for PtdIns(3)P.

TgPH1 has several advantages as a complementary tool to study PtdIns(3)P. First, the majority of PtdIns(3)P probes tend to be FYVE or PX domain based [8,9,15,18,29]. TgPH1 is wholly distinct as a PH domain. Second, TgPH1 is unique among other PH domains since the vast majority of PtdInsP-binding PH domains bind to PtdIns(4)P, PtdIns(3,4)P₂, PtdIns(4,5)P₂, and PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃, not PtdIns(3)P. Lastly, TgPH1 is not mammalian-derived and may reduce the chances that it reports sub-pools of PtdIns(3)P due to co-incident binding of an endogenous protein ligand [8,11,18,19]. Overall, while TgPH1 did not fulfill our original intention of serving as a complementary tool for investigating PtdIns(3,5)P₂, we propose that
it may be a useful complementary tool to investigate the location, sub-domain distribution and dynamics of PtdIns(3)P in mammalian cells.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs. Sergio Grinstein at Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, Tamas Balla at the National Institutes of Health and Assia Shisheva at Wayne State University for providing plasmid constructs. The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Krishna Chintaluri, Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

Data curation: Krishna Chintaluri, Roberto J. Botelho.

Formal analysis: Krishna Chintaluri, Brady D. Goulden, Camilyn Celmenza, Golam Saffi, Emily Miraglia, Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

Funding acquisition: Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

Investigation: Krishna Chintaluri, Roberto J. Botelho.

Methodology: Krishna Chintaluri, Brady D. Goulden, Camilyn Celmenza, Golam Saffi.

Project administration: Gerald R. V. Hammond.

Resources: Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

Supervision: Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

Visualization: Krishna Chintaluri, Golam Saffi, Roberto J. Botelho.

Writing – original draft: Krishna Chintaluri, Roberto J. Botelho.

Writing – review & editing: Gerald R. V. Hammond, Roberto J. Botelho.

References

1. Behnia R, Munro S. Organelle identity and the signposts for membrane traffic. Nature. 2005; 438: 597–604. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04397 PMID: 16319879

2. Di Paolo G, De Camilli P. Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics. Nature. 2006; 443: 651–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05185 PMID: 17035995

3. Balla T. Phosphoinositides: Tiny Lipids With Giant Impact on Cell Regulation. Physiol Rev. 2013; 93: 1019–1137. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00028.2012 PMID: 23899561

4. Kutateladze TG. Translation of the phosphoinositide code by PIP4K effectors. Nat Chem Biol. Nature Publishing Group; 2010; 6: 507–513. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.390 PMID: 20559318

5. Jean S, Kiger AA. Coordination between RAB GTPase and phosphoinositide regulation and functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 13: 463–470. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3379 PMID: 22722608

6. Vicinanza M, D’Angelo G, Di Campli A, De Matteis MA. Membrane traffic in the secretory pathway. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2008; 65: 2833–2841. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-008-8353-2

7. McCrea HJ, De Camilli P. Mutations in Phosphoinositide Metabolizing Enzymes and Human Disease. Physiology. 2009; 24: 8–16. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiology.00035.2008 PMID: 19196647

8. Várnai P, Gulyás G, Tóth DJ, Sohn M, Sengupta N, Balla T. Quantifying lipid changes in various membrane compartments using lipid binding protein domains. Cell Calcium. Jun 2016; 72–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2016.12.008 PMID: 28088320

9. Hammond GR, Balla T. Polyphosphoinositide binding domains: Key to inositol lipid biology. Biochim Biophys Acta—Mol Cell Biol Lipids. 2015; 1851: 746–758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2015.02.013 PMID: 25732852

10. Lemmon MA. Membrane recognition by phospholipid-binding domains. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 9: 99–111. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2328 PMID: 18216767
11. Balla T. Inositol-lipid binding motifs: signal integrators through protein-lipid and protein-protein interactions. J Cell Sci. 2005; 118: 2093–2104. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.02387 PMID: 15890985

12. Kavran JM, Klein DE, Lee A, Falasca M, Isakoff SJ, Skolnik EY, et al. Specificity and promiscuity in phosphoinositide binding by pleckstrin homology domains. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273: 30497–508. PMID: 9804818

13. Balla T. Imaging and manipulating phosphoinositides in living cells. J Physiol. 2007; 582: 927–937. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.132795 PMID: 17395624

14. Stauffer TP, Ahn S, Meyer T. Receptor-induced transient reduction in plasma membrane PtdIns(4,5)P2 concentration monitored in living cells. Curr Biol. 1998; 8: 343–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(98)70135-6 PMID: 9512420

15. Gillooly DJ. Localization of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate in yeast and mammalian cells. EMBO J. 2000; 19: 4577–4588. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.17.4577 PMID: 10970851

16. Oatey PB, Venkateswarlu K, Williams AG, Fletcher LM, Cullen PJ, et al. Confocal imaging of the subcellular distribution of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate in insulin-and PDGF-stimulated 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Biochem J. 1999; 344: 511–518. PMID: 10567235

17. Lemmon MA. Pleckstrin homology (PH) domains and phosphoinositides. Biochem Soc Symp. 2007; 81: 1–93. https://doi.org/10.1042/BSS0740081 PMID: 17233582

18. Idevall-Hagren O, De Camilli P. Detection and manipulation of phosphoinositides. Biochim Biophys Acta—Mol Cell Biol Lipids. 2015; 1851: 736–745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.12.008 PMID: 25514766

19. Stahelin R V., Scott JL, Frick CT. Cellular and molecular interactions of phosphoinositides and peripheral proteins. Chem Phys Lipids. 2014; 182: 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2014.02.002 PMID: 24556335

20. Liu Y, Kahn RA, Prestegard JH. Interaction of fapp1 with Arf1 and PI4P at a membrane surface: An example of coincidence detection. Structure. 2014; 22: 421–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2013.12.011 PMID: 24462251

21. He J, Scott JL, Heroux A, Roy S, Lenoir M, Overduin M, et al. Molecular basis of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate and ARF1 GTPase recognition by the FAPP1 Pleckstrin Homology (PH) domain. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 18650–18657. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.233015 PMID: 21454700

22. Raiborg C, Bremlens B, Mehlum A, Gillooly DJ, D’Arrigo A, Stang E, et al. FYVE and coiled-coil domains determine the specific localisation of Hrs to early endosomes. J Cell Sci. 2001; 114: 2255–2263. https://doi.org/10.1091/MBC.11.8.2657 PMID: 11493665

23. Lawe DC, Patki V, Heller-Harrison R, Lambrecht D, Verca G. The FYVE domain of early endosome antigen 1 is required for both phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate and Rab5 binding. Critical role of this dual interaction for endosomal localization. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275: 3699–3705. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.5.3699 PMID: 10652369

24. Lucas N, Cho W. Phosphatidylinserine binding is essential for plasma membrane recruitment and signalling function of 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 41265–72. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.233015 PMID: 21971045

25. Karathanasis D, Stahelin R V, Bravo J, Perisic O, Pacold CM, Cho W, et al. Binding of the PX domain of p47(phox) to phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate and phosphatidic acid is masked by an intramolecular interaction. EMBO J. 2002; 21: 5057–68. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf519 PMID: 12356722

26. Bago R, Malik N, Munson MJ, Prescott AR, Davies P, Sommer E, et al. Characterization of VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34, reveals that the phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate-binding SGK3 protein kinase is a downstream target of class III phosphoinositide 3-kinase. Biochem J. 2014; 463: 413–427. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJO20140889 PMID: 25177796

27. Vieira O V, Botelho RJ, Rameh L, Brachmann SM, Matsuo T, Davidson HW, et al. Distinct roles of class I and class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases in phagosome formation and maturation. J Cell Biol. 2001; 155: 19–25. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200107069 PMID: 11581283

28. Axe EL, Walker SA, Manifava M, Chandra P, Roderick HL, Habermann A, et al. Autophagosome formation from membrane compartments enriched in phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate and dynamically connected to the endoplasmic reticulum. J Cell Biol. 2008; 182: 685–701. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200803137 PMID: 18725538

29. Ellson CD, Gobert-Gosselin S, Anderson KE, Davidson K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, et al. Ptdins (3)P regulates the neutrophil oxidase complex by binding to the PX domain of p40(phox). Nat Cell Biol. 2001; 3: 679–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/35083076 PMID: 11433301

30. Ellson CD, Anderson KE, Morgan G, Chivers ER, Lipp P, Stephens LR, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate is generated in phagosomal membranes. Curr Biol. 2001; 11: 1631–5. PMID: 11676926
Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Slak K, Kanzaki M, Pessin J, Shisheva A. Functional dissection of lipid and protein kinase signals of PIKfyve reveals the role of PI(3,5)P2 production for endomembrane integrity. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 9206–11. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M108752000 PMID: 11714711

32. Ho CY, Alghamdi TA, Botelho RJ. Phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate: No longer the poor PIP2. Traffic. 2012; 13: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01246.x PMID: 21736686

33. McCartney AJ, Zhang Y, Weisman LS. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate: low abundance, high significance. Bioessays. 2014; 36: 52–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201300012 PMID: 24323921

34. Ikonomov OC, Sbrissa D, Shisheva A. Mammalian cell morphology and endocytic membrane homeostasis require enzymatically active phosphoinositide 5-kinase PIKfyve. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276: 26141–7. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M101722000 PMID: 11285266

35. Rutherford AC. The mammalian phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase (PIKfyve) regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport. J Cell Sci. 2006; 119: 3944–3957. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.031533 PMID: 16954148

36. Lenk GM, Meisler MH. Mouse models of PI(3,5)P2 deficiency with impaired lysosome function. 1st ed. Methods in Enzymology. Elsevier Inc.; 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397926-1.00014–7

37. Kim SM, Roy SG, Chen B, Nguyen TM, McCracken AN, et al. Targeting cancer metabolism by simultaneously disrupting parallel nutrient access pathways. J Clin Invest. 2016; 126: 4088–4102. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI87148 PMID: 27669461

38. Dong X, Shen D, Wang X, Dawson T, Li X, Zhang Q, et al. PI(3,5)P(2) controls membrane trafficking by direct activation of mucolipin Ca(2+) release channels in the endolysosome. Nat Commun. 2010; 1: 38. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1037 PMID: 20802798

39. Li X, Wang X, Zhang X, Zhao M, Tsang WL, Zhang Y, et al. Genetically encoded fluorescent probe to visualize intracellular phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate localization and dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013; 110: 21165–21170. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311864110 PMID: 24324172

40. Hammond GR V, Takasuga S, Sasaki T, Balla T. The ML1Nx2 phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate probe shows poor selectivity in cells. PLoS One. 2015; 10: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139957 PMID: 26460749

41. Daher W, Morton-Guyot J, Alayi TD, Tomavo S, Wengelnik K, Lebrun M. Identification of Toxoplasma TGPH1, a pleckstrin homology domain-containing protein that binds to the phosphoinositide PI(3,5)P2. Mol Biochem Parasitol. Elsevier B.V.; 2016; 207: 39–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2016.03.011 PMID: 27063980

42. Hammond GR V, Machner MP, Balla T. A novel probe for phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate reveals multiple pools beyond the Golgi. J Cell Biol. 2014; 205: 113–126. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201312072 PMID: 24711504

43. Varnai P, Thyagarajan B, Rohacs T, Balla T. Rapidly inducible changes in phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate levels influence multiple regulatory functions of the lipid in intact living cells. J Cell Biol. 2006; 175: 377–382. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200607116 PMID: 17088424

44. Hammond GR V., Fischer MJ, Anderson KE, Holdich J, Koteci A, Balla T, et al. PI4P and PI(4,5)P2 Are Essential But Independent Lipid Determinants of Membrane Identity. Science (80-). 2012; 337: 727–730. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1222483 PMID: 24711504

45. Cai X, Xu Y, Cheung AK, Tomlinson RC, Alvarez-Lopez J, Murphy L, et al. PIKfyve, a class III PI 3-kinase, is the target of the small molecular IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor apilimod and a player in toll-like receptor signaling. Chem Biol. 2013; 20: 912–921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.05.010 PMID: 23890009

46. Jefferies HBJ, Cooke FT, Jat P, Boucheron C, Koizumi T, Hayakawa M, et al. A selective PIKfyve inhibitor blocks PI(3,5)P2 production and disrupts endomembrane transport and retroviral budding. EMBO Rep. 2008; 9: 164–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7401155 PMID: 18188180

47. Ho CY, Choy CH, Watson C A., Johnson DE, Botelho RJ. The Fab1/PIKfyve phosphoinositide phosphate kinase is not necessary to maintain the pH of lysosomes and of the yeast vacuole. J Biol Chem. 2015; 290: 9919–9928. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.613984 PMID: 25713145

48. Fili N, Callieva V, Jorgensen R, Park J, Larijani B. Compartmental signal modulation: Endosomal phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate controls endosome morphology and selective cargo sorting. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103: 15473–15478. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607040103 PMID: 17030795

49. Taylor GS, Maehama T, Dixon JE. Myotubularin, a protein tyrosine phosphatase mutated in myotubular myopathy, dephosphorylates the lipid second messenger, phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97: 8910–8915. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.160255697 PMID: 10900271

50. Nakamura S, Hasegawa J, Yoshimori T. Regulation of lysosomal phosphoinositide balance by INPP5E is essential for autophagosome?lysosome fusion. Autophagy. 2018; 12: 2500–2501. https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2016.1234568 PMID: 27715391
51. Schaletzky J, Dove SK, Short B, Lorenzo O, Clague MJ, Barr FA. Phosphatidylinositol-5-phosphate activation and conserved substrate specificity of the myotubularin Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphatases. Curr Biol. 2003; 13: 504–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00132-5 PMID: 12646134

52. Kong AM, Speed CJ, O’Malley CJ, Layton MJ, Meehan T, Loveland KL, et al. Cloning and characterization of a 72-kDa inositol-polyphosphate 5-phosphatase localized to the Golgi network. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275: 24052–24064. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M000874200 PMID: 10806194

53. Song X, Xu W, Zhang A, Huang G, Liang X, Virbasius J V, et al. Phox homology domains specifically bind phosphatidylinositol phosphates. Biochemistry. 2001; 40: 8940–4. PMID: 11467955

54. Quinn K V., Behe P, Tinker A. Monitoring changes in membrane phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate in living cells using a domain from the transcription factor tubby. J Physiol. 2008; 586: 2855–2871. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2008.153791 PMID: 18420701

55. Szentpetery Z, Balla A, Kim Y, Lemmon MA, Balla T. Live cell imaging with protein domains capable of recognizing phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; a comparative study. BMC Cell Biol. 2009; 10: 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2121-10-67 PMID: 19769794

56. Simonsen A, Roger L, Christoforidis S, Gaullier J-M, Brech A, Callaghan J, et al. EEA1 links PI(3)K function to Rab5 regulation of endosome fusion. Nature. 1998; 394: 494–498. https://doi.org/10.1038/28879 PMID: 9697774

57. Botelho RJ, Efe JA, Teis D, Emr SD. Assembly of a Fab1 phosphoinositide kinase signaling complex requires the Fig 4 phosphoinositide phosphatase. Mol Biol Cell. 2008; 19: 4273–4286. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E08-04-0405 PMID: 18653468