Amyands Hernia: An Unexpected Finding during Inguinal Hernia Repair
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Introduction

Herniorrhaphy, which is the surgical repair of a hernia, is one of the commonest procedures performed by general surgeons, with over 40,000 hernia operations performed annually in Australia. Approximately 75 percent of abdominal hernias are inguinal and these may contain omentum, bowel and rarely the appendix. The presence of the vermiform appendix, whether inflamed or not, inside the hernia sac is known as Amyand’s hernia, which was first described in 1735 by Claudius Amyand, an English surgeon [1]. It is exceedingly rare and occurs in 1 percent of all inguinal hernias with an estimated rate of 0.07-0.13 percent having associated acute appendicitis [2,3]. We report a case whereby an Amyand’s hernia was discovered during an elective right-sided inguinal hernia repair.

Case Report

A 38-year-old Caucasian male was seen at an outpatient surgical clinic with a known right-sided inguinal hernia of more than 2-years, which had recently become symptomatic causing intermittent discomfort with exercise. In addition, following a bout of coughing associated with a viral illness; he noticed protrusion of the hernia extending down into the scrotum that was often difficult to reduce manually. His only other medical history included a vasectomy several years prior.

On examination, his abdomen was soft, non-tender and non-distended. On local examination, a right-sided non-tender irreducible mass with scrotal involvement was present in the right inguinal region.

Elective surgery was planned and an open approach was undertaken. Once the indirect hernia sac was identified, it was dissected away from the spermatic cord to the deep inguinal ring. The indirect hernia sac was then opened and the appendix found lying within, along with adhesions. There were no macroscopic inflammatory changes seen on the appendix as it was dissected off the hernia sac (Figure 1). The appendix measured 121 mm × 13 mm and a lumen of 2 mm in diameter. An appendectomy was performed through the inguinal incision only. A right-sided hernioplasty was then performed using the Polypropylene Hernia System mesh.

The patient was discharged home on the following day and returned for routine post-operative follow-up six weeks later with no complications or recurrence. Histopathology of the appendix showed normal mucosa and muscular layers with some evidence of serosal granulation tissue and inflammation (Figure 2).
Discussion

With Amyand's hernia being exceedingly rare and the incidence of an associated inflamed appendix being just slightly over 0.1 percent, diagnosis of an Amyand's hernia remains primarily an incidental finding during surgery. It usually occurs on the right side due to the normal anatomic position of the appendix, however there have been reported cases of Amyand's hernia on the left side, which has been suggested to be associated with intestinal malrotation, situs inversus or a mobile cecum [4,5].

Some literature has suggested that in Amyand's hernia, the appendix becomes more vulnerable to trauma and is retained within the sac by adhesions. The inflamed appendix is then caused by compression of the appendix from abdominal muscle contractions or other increases in intra-abdominal pressure which significantly reduce or cut off its blood supply [6].

A proposed classification scale of Amyand's hernia (Type 1-4) has been described by Lasanoff and Basson to aid management [7,8]. Type 1 which describes a normal appendix within the sac should be managed by hernioplasty without appendectomy and Types 2-4 describe acute appendicitis within the sac which requires appendectomy followed by hernia repair without mesh [7]. Following this proposed classification, our patient had a Type 1 Amyand's hernia, however underwent an appendectomy. The decision to remove the non-inflamed appendix is one that is currently dictated primarily by the surgeon's preference.

In summary, Amyand's hernia is a rare form of inguinal hernia and presents as a diagnostic challenge given its low incidence and indistinct clinical presentation. Surgical intervention is usually diagnostic as well as therapeutic. Given that hernia repairs are one of the most common operations performed, it is important to have extensive knowledge of the anatomy and variants of hernias.
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