Factors associated with psychosocial adjustment in working-age colorectal cancer survivors: A cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to describe the level of psychosocial adjustment and identify factors associated with psychosocial adjustment in working-age colorectal cancer survivors.

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 212 colorectal cancer survivors visiting a cancer clinic at a specialized oncology hospital in China. Socio-demographic characteristics, disease-related characteristics, status of returning to work, Work Ability Index scores, M. D. Anderson Symptom Inventory for Gastrointestinal Cancer scores, and self-reported Psychological Adjustment to Illness Scale scores were collected from all participants. Data analyses included descriptive statistics, independent t-test, one-way analysis of variance, correlation analysis, and multiple linear regression analysis, which were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results: Participants reported a medium level of psychosocial maladjustment (35.73 ± 19.68), with 19.3% of participants experiencing severe maladjustment, 29.7% experiencing moderate maladjustment, and 50.9% experiencing mild maladjustment, respectively. Age, gender, marital status, having a child, education level, having a stoma, comorbidities, return to work, work ability, and symptom distress were entered into a multiple linear regression analysis. The strongest factor influencing the level of psychosocial adjustment was work ability (β = 0.393, P < 0.001), followed by symptom distress (β = 0.380, P < 0.001) and an education level of college or above (β = 0.150, P = 0.027). These variables accounted for 46.1% of the variance in psychosocial adjustment. Conclusions: Colorectal cancer survivors with low work ability, high symptom distress, and an education level of college or above are at a high risk for psychosocial maladjustment. Nursing interventions for psychosocial adjustment should attach increased importance to the work status and symptom distress of colorectal cancer survivors.

Introduction

According to GLOBOCAN 2020, colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks third in incidence and second in mortality among all cancers worldwide.1 Early screening and advancements in treatment modalities have contributed to an increased incidence of survival among those < 60 years of age and a higher 5-year survival rate,2 resulting in a growing population of CRC survivors of working age. In this study, CRC survivors were defined as those who have completed active treatment for CRC.3

Following CRC treatment, survivors can struggle with psychological impacts, such as anxiety, depression, and a fear of recurrence, and relational impacts, including disruptions to their intimate relationships and social isolation.4 Psychosocial adjustment in this context refers to an individual’s adaptation to these impacts as a result of cancer, which is a crucial component of cancer survivorship since survivors must adjust to the psychological and social changes that have occurred while living beyond their cancer. Adjustment is even harder for working-age cancer survivors as they have to deal with work- and family-related issues. Financially, these individuals are at higher risk of financial hardships, such as medical debt and bankruptcy,5 as they are hindered in providing for their family. A registry-based study showed that working-age cancer survivors report much higher anxiety levels than the general population.6
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Thus, it is imperative to explore the factors associated with psychosocial adjustment among working-age CRC survivors.

Previous studies have partially explored the factors associated with psychosocial adjustment in patients with cancer, albeit mostly patients with breast cancer. To our knowledge, only a single study has explored CRC survivors’ psychosocial adjustment,1 and its authors identified several significant associating factors, including health-promoting behaviors, difficulty with activities of daily living, post-traumatic growth, and having a stoma. However, this study included CRC survivors of all ages and did not describe the components of psychosocial adjustment in CRC survivors. To date, no previous studies have focused on working-age CRC survivors and their psychosocial adjustment. For patients at working-age, their employment is interrupted by their cancer diagnosis, and they are often concerned about returning to their career even before the end of treatment and struggle with an altered working experience after returning to work.9 Thus, they likely face unique adjustment problems and, accordingly, factors associated with their psychosocial adjustment may differ from those of other cancer survivors. In other words, work-related factors may play a significant role in their psychosocial adjustment.

After a health shock like CRC, being employed can bring a sense of normalcy, accomplishment, and financial independency to survivors,9 which is beneficial to their psychological well-being and return to normal life. However, returning to work is challenging for cancer survivors. Most cancer survivors return to their job after completing active treatment, but some are at risk of a change of employment status or even job loss after cancer treatment.1 A study from Korea reported that survivors who were unemployed due to cancer treatment adjusted worse than those who successfully returned to work.9 Cancer can not only influence the employment status of a person but also disrupt their work ability in terms of completing physical and mental tasks and productivity.10 However, to our knowledge, the correlation between work ability and psychosocial adjustment has not yet been studied. Thus, this study examined 2 work-related factors—working status and work ability—and their impact on psychosocial adjustment in CRC survivors.

Symptom distress is another troubling problem during survivorship, which can be defined as the subjective perception of a disturbance caused by physical symptoms. Physical symptoms commonly experienced by CRC survivors include fatigue, diarrhea, constipation, sexual dysfunction, and sleep disturbances,11-13 and these effects can persist for > 5 years into survivorship.14 Further, these symptoms not only bother survivors physically but also disrupt their social activities and mental health.12 However, very few studies have explored the association between symptom distress and psychosocial adjustment, except for a single study that examined patients during post-radiation treatment transition.15

The purpose of this study was to describe the psychosocial adjustment level in working-age CRC survivors and identify those factors associated with psychosocial adjustment. We hypothesized that work-related factors, symptom distress, and some sociodemographic characteristics are predictive factors of psychosocial adjustment. Besides, survivors who returned to work with a higher work ability and less symptom distress may have better psychosocial adjustment.

Methods

Study design

This study applied a cross-sectional design and investigated CRC survivors who visited a specialized oncology hospital for follow-up in China between November 2018 and November 2019.

Sampling and inclusion criteria

This study used convenience sampling for participant enrollment. CRC survivors who went to the clinic for regular follow-up were approached and assessed for eligibility according to the following criteria: (1) had a diagnosis of CRC made through histopathology and had completed active treatment, such as surgery and adjuvant treatment; (2) had been employed for > 1 year before diagnosis; (3) was aged 18–55 years if female or 18–60 years if male; (4) was able to communicate in oral and written Chinese; and (5) was willing to participate and had provided informed consent. Participants were excluded if they are diagnosed with metastatic CRC (stage IV) or had a mental illness or cognitive impairment.

The sample size was calculated by the following sample size calculation formula for multiple linear regression embedded in the PASS version 14 software program (NCSS Statistical Software, Kaysville, UT, USA):

\[
N = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}}{\rho \sqrt{C_0}} \right)^2 \left( 1 - R^2 \right)
\]

As the association between work ability and psychosocial adjustment has not been explored to date, we only involved symptom distress in the sample size estimation. In the above formula, “\( \rho \)” stands for the correlation coefficient of symptom distress and psychosocial adjustment, which is 0.53,16 while \( R^2 \) stands for the determination coefficient of symptom distress by demographic variables, and the closest \( R^2 \) that could be found was 0.51.17 Based on \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and \( \beta = 0.20 \), the sample size needed to detect an association between symptom distress and psychosocial adjustment was 68 participants. Thus, we decided that including 212 participants for analysis in this study was sufficient.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical committee of the hospital where the study was conducted (Approval No. 5010-2014-0401). Information about the study aim and principle was given to the participants, and completed written consent forms were obtained before data collection. Participants were free to withdraw from the study at any time.

Data collection

This study used a convenient sampling approach for study inclusion. CRC survivors who went to the oncology clinic for follow-up visits between November 2018 to November 2019 were recruited after eligibility assessment. After obtaining informed consent from eligible participants, data were collected through questionnaires on the spot. The study participants completed the questionnaires independently; proper guidance was given by the interviewer only when the participants asked questions. Questionnaires were checked in front of the respondents in case of mistakes or confusion.

Measures

Psychosocial adjustment

The Psychosocial Adjustment to Illness Scale (PAIS) developed by Derogatis is composed of 46 items covering 7 dimensions, as follows: health management (8 items), social environment (6 items), home environment (8 items), sexual relationships (6 items), extended family relationships (5 items), job environment (6 items), and psychological distress (7 items).18 For each item, there are 4 descriptive options available, which are scored on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 to 3 points (0” for excellent adjustment, “1” for mildly problematic, “2” for moderately problematic, and “3” for very problematic). The total scoring range of the scale is 0–138 points, with higher scores indicating more severe psychosocial maladjustment. In the present study, total scores of 0–34, 35–50, and 51–138 points were considered to indicate mild,
moderate, and severe psychosocial maladjustment, respectively. The Chinese version of PAIS has shown good psychometric properties in Chinese patients with cancer, with Cronbach’s α values of 0.61–0.84 for its subscales. In this study, reliability testing showed a satisfactory Cronbach’s α value of 0.90.

**Work ability**

The work ability index is a 7-item instrument used to measure a respondent’s perceived ability to perform their work in view of their personal circumstances and working conditions. The 7 question areas are as follows: (1) current work ability compared to lifetime best, (2) work ability in relation to job demands, (3) number of diagnosed illnesses, (4) estimated impact of disease on work, (5) sick leave during the last 12 months, (6) prediction of work ability during the upcoming 2 years, and (7) vitality status. The possible total score of this index ranges from 7 to 49 points. The original index was validated in a large Finnish longitudinal study and recently applied in patients with rectal cancer. In this study, reliability testing revealed a Cronbach’s α value of 0.76.

**Symptom distress**

The M. D. Anderson Symptom Inventory for Gastrointestinal Cancer is a disease-specific inventory composed of 2 parts and a total of 24 items. The first part measures symptom severity (18 items) and the second part measures to what degree the symptoms have interfered with daily functioning (6 items). All items are scored on a scale of 0–10 points. Wang et al. previously validated the Chinese version of the M. D. Anderson Symptom Inventory for Gastrointestinal Cancer in Chinese patients with cancer and reported a Cronbach’s α value of 0.80 for the total scale. In this study, reliability testing revealed a Cronbach’s α value of 0.96.

**Demographic and clinical data**

Demographic data were obtained using a questionnaire developed for this study and included age, gender, religion, employment, education level, and financial status. Clinical data were retrieved from hospital records and included diagnosis, cancer stage, treatment modality, stoma, comorbidities, and time since treatment.

**Data analysis**

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used. All analyses considered 2-tailed P values of <0.05 to be statistically significant. The normality of continuous variables was judged using the Shapiro–Wilk test and Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, which revealed the normal distribution of continuous variables in this study. Normally distributed continuous variables were described using mean and standard deviation values. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. An independent t-test and 1-way analysis of variance were performed to detect differences in psychosocial adjustment among patients with different general characteristics. Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation analysis was used to examine the association between continuous variables. During multiple regression analysis, all significant variables in the above analysis were input as independent variables and psychosocial adjustment was dependent variable to identify factors associated with psychosocial adjustment.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Among 220 CRC survivors we approached, 212 completed the questionnaires, representing a response rate of 96.4%. Descriptive demographic and clinical data are shown in Table 1. Among the 212 participants, there were more men (n = 128, 60.4%) than women, and the average age was 47 (range, 21–60) years. The majority of participants were colon cancer patients (n = 95, 44.8%), followed by rectal cancer patients (n = 60, 28.5%). The majority of participants were married (n = 197, 92.9%), and the average monthly income was 3,274 RMB per capita (SD = 17,819). The average percentage of permanent stoma was 2.23% (SD = 0.027*). The average age of the participants was 47 (range, 21–60) years. The majority of participants were aged between 40 and 60 years (n = 84, 39.6%). The average percentage of participants with no comorbidities was 40.4% (SD = 18.7%). The average percentage of participants with no permanent stoma was 97.8% (SD = 0.027*). The average percentage of participants with no permanent stoma was 97.8% (SD = 0.027*). The average percentage of participants with no permanent stoma was 97.8% (SD = 0.027*). The average percentage of participants with no permanent stoma was 97.8% (SD = 0.027*). The average percentage of participants with no permanent stoma was 97.8% (SD = 0.027*).

| Characteristics          | n (%) | Mean score of psychosocial adjustment SD | t or F (P) |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|------------|
| Gender                   |       |                                         |            |
| Male                     | 128   | 32.91                                   | 18.28      |
| Female                   | 84    | 40.04                                   | 21.04      |
| Age, years               |       |                                         |            |
| 21–30                    | 4     | 50.50                                   | 17.52      |
| 31–40                    | 43    | 40.70                                   | 25.21      |
| 41–50                    | 81    | 34.06                                   | 17.42      |
| 51–60                    | 84    | 34.10                                   | 18.19      |
| Return to work           |       |                                         |            |
| Yes                      | 145   | 30.96                                   | 17.83      |
| No                       | 67    | 46.06                                   | 19.66      |
| Religion                 |       |                                         |            |
| Yes                      | 22    | 32.14                                   | 17.99      |
| No                       | 190   | 36.15                                   | 19.87      |
| Monthly income           |       |                                         |            |
| < 2000                   | 35    | 38.09                                   | 18.76      |
| 2001–4999                | 94    | 37.95                                   | 19.29      |
| ≥ 5000                   | 83    | 32.23                                   | 20.21      |
| Education level          |       |                                         |            |
| Junior high school or below | 67 | 38.88                                   | 20.68      |
| High school              | 53    | 29.49                                   | 16.81      |
| College or above         | 92    | 37.03                                   | 19.87      |
| Marital status           |       |                                         |            |
| Married                  | 197   | 34.32                                   | 19.03      |
| Unmarried                | 15    | 54.20                                   | 19.34      |
| Child                    | 201   | 34.93                                   | 19.12      |
| No                       | 11    | 50.45                                   | 24.70      |
| Residency                |       |                                         |            |
| Urban                    | 163   | 34.59                                   | 18.47      |
| Rural                    | 49    | 39.53                                   | 22.32      |
| Diagnosis                |       |                                         |            |
| Colon cancer             | 95    | 35.31                                   | 19.27      |
| Rectal cancer            | 117   | 36.08                                   | 20.08      |
| Permanent stoma          |       |                                         |            |
| Yes                      | 24    | 42.15                                   | 18.35      |
| No                       | 188   | 32.74                                   | 17.81      |
| Cancer stage             |       |                                         |            |
| Stage I                  | 37    | 32.57                                   | 18.06      |
| Stage II                 | 89    | 36.81                                   | 20.30      |
| Stage III                | 86    | 35.98                                   | 19.78      |
| Treatment method         |       |                                         |            |
| Surgery only             | 60    | 29.62                                   | 14.59      |
| Surgery and chemotherapy | 112   | 34.89                                   | 18.67      |
| Surgery and chemoradiation | 40 | 37.08                                   | 19.77      |
| Time since treatment     |       |                                         |            |
| completed                |       |                                         |            |
| 1–12 months              | 73    | 37.65                                   | 17.00      |
| > 12 months              | 139   | 31.93                                   | 18.29      |
| Comorbidities            |       |                                         |            |
| Yes                      | 72    | 43.03                                   | 21.41      |
| No                       | 140   | 31.98                                   | 17.67      |

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.1, SD, Standard deviation.
were > 40 years (n = 165, 77.8%), married (n = 197, 92.9%), had a child (n = 201, 94.8%), had no religion (n = 190, 89.6%), resided in an urban area (n = 163, 76.9%), and had returned to work (n = 145, 68.4%). Forty-three percent of participants (n = 92) were at least college-educated. Forty percent of participants had monthly income of more than 5000 RMB, which is higher than the average monthly income of Chinese urban residents in 2019 according to China Statistical Yearbook. There were more participants with rectal cancer (n = 163, 76.9%), with stage II or III disease (n = 174, 82.4%), without a stoma (n = 188, 88.7%), with a treatment history of both surgery and chemotherapy (n = 112, 52.9%), and without comorbidities (n = 144, 66.0%). About sixty-five percent of participants had completed treatment for more than 12 months (n = 139).

Forty-one (19.3%) participants reported severe maladjustment, 63 (29.7%) participants reported moderate maladjustment, and 108 (50.9%) participants reported mild maladjustment. The score of each domain of psychosocial adjustment is presented in Table 2. In order to compare between domains, the mean score per item was calculated by dividing the total score of subscales by the number of items. Among the 7 domains, participants reported the highest scores in job environment and the lowest scores in extended family relationships, respectively (Table 2).

Differences in psychosocial adjustment by general characteristics

Univariate analyses were performed to find out the factors associated with psychosocial adjustment. As shown in Table 1, CRC survivors’ psychosocial adjustment level was significantly associated with gender (P < 0.01), education level (P < 0.01), marital status (P < 0.001), having a child (P < 0.010), and having a stoma (P = 0.027), time since treatment completion (P = 0.036), and comorbidities (P < 0.001). Survivors who were female and unemployed were more likely to have psychosocial adjustment problems. Post-hoc analysis revealed that survivors with an education level either higher or lower than high school had higher PAIS scores than high-school-educated survivors. Additionally, those who had no children also had higher PAIS scores. In terms of clinical characteristics, survivors with a stoma, comorbidities, or who were within 12 months after treatment had higher PAIS scores.

Factors associated with psychosocial adjustment

The correlations between continuous variables are presented in Table 3, with coefficients ranging from −0.611 to 0.655. Psychosocial adjustment had a significant positive correlation with symptom distress (r = 0.655, P < 0.001) and a negative correlation with work ability (r = −0.611, P < 0.001), respectively. Significant variables in previous univariate analyses and correlation analyses (gender, education level, return to work, having a child, having a stoma, marital status, time since treatment completion, comorbidities, work ability, and symptom distress) were entered as independent variables. The general characteristics found to be significantly associated with psychosocial adjustment in another study (eg, having a stoma, return to work, and time since treatment) were also considered and were already included. Psychosocial adjustment was input as a dependent variable. Then, a multiple linear regression analysis was performed.

Autocorrelation and multicollinearity were checked when performing the multiple linear regression analysis. A Durbin–Watson statistic of 2.07 indicated that there was no autocorrelation in the residuals. The tolerance limits were all > 0.10, and the variance inflation factors were all 1.207–1.299, which indicated no multicollinearity.

The results of the multiple linear regression analysis are presented in Table 4 and showed that the regression model of psychosocial adjustment was significant (F = 41.335, P < 0.001). The strongest factor influencing the level of psychosocial adjustment was work ability (β = −0.393, P < 0.001), followed by symptom distress (β = 0.380, P < 0.001) and an education level of college or above (β = 0.150, P = 0.027). Meanwhile, the influence of an education level of junior high school or below was almost statistically significant (β = 0.131, P = 0.058). These variables accounted for 46.1% of the variance of psychosocial adjustment in CRC survivors.

Discussion

This study aimed to describe the level of psychosocial adjustment in CRC survivors and to explore whether demographic, clinical factors, symptom distress, and work ability are associated with psychosocial adjustment. The results indicated that the psychosocial adjustment of CRC survivors in this study was generally of a moderate level. Our study participants’ scores were slightly higher than those of a population of patients with cancer surveyed in Iran. Meanwhile, the psychosocial adjustment problems of our Chinese CRC survivors were milder than those of Korean CRC survivors, who were surveyed in a study that included patients with longer survivorship and of all ages. Nineteen percent of their participants had severe maladjustment, indicating that psychosocial adjustment among CRC survivors requires attention and intervention. However, considering the scores in the present study, psychosocial maladjustment was more severe among patients with CRC undergoing chemotherapy or patients with an ostomy.

The items from the same domain were linked and overlapped to some extent, thus the score per item was chosen to represent the severity of maladjustment in each domain. According to the result, the top two maladjusted aspects were job environment and sexual relationships. This

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| Participants’ psychosocial adjustment level in different domains (n = 212). |
| | Total score (M ± SD) | Score per item (M ± SD) |
| Psychosocial adjustment | 35.73 ± 19.68 | 0.78 ± 0.43 |
| Health management | 6.84 ± 3.20 | 0.86 ± 0.40 |
| Social environment | 4.59 ± 4.30 | 0.77 ± 0.72 |
| Home environment | 4.64 ± 4.71 | 0.58 ± 0.59 |
| Sexual relationships | 5.64 ± 3.99 | 0.94 ± 0.66 |
| Job environment | 6.16 ± 4.09 | 1.03 ± 0.68 |
| Psychological distress | 5.56 ± 4.81 | 0.79 ± 0.69 |

M ± SD: mean ± standard deviation.

| Table 3 |
| --- |
| Correlation analysis between continuous variables (n = 212). |
| Variables | Psychosocial adjustment | Work ability |
| | r | p | r | p |
| Work ability | −0.611 | < 0.001** | −0.485 | < 0.001** |
| Symptom distress | 0.655 | < 0.001** |

**P < 0.01.

| Table 4 |
| --- |
| Linear regression of factors associated with psychosocial adjustment (n = 212). |
| Factors | Unstandardized coefficients | Standardized coefficient | P | 95% CI of B |
| Constant | 54.731 | 0.606 | < 0.001** | (42.777, 66.684) |
| Junior high education or below | 5.057 | 2.653 | 0.131 | 0.058 | (−0.178, 10.291) |
| College education or above | 5.446 | 2.446 | 0.150 | 0.027* | (0.621, 10.270) |
| Work ability | −0.910 | 0.140 | −0.393 | < 0.001** | (−1.185, −0.634) |
| Symptom distress | 0.211 | 0.033 | 0.380 | < 0.001** | (0.147, 0.276) |

F = 41.335, P < 0.001, Adjusted R² = 0.461. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

CI, confidence interval; SE, standard error.
finding reflects two main concerns during CRC cancer survivorship. As seen in a systematic review, a diagnosis of CRC may negatively influence cancer survivors’ work ability, alter their employment status, or make the need for sick leave inevitable. 

Conforming to our hypothesis, work ability was found to be the strongest factor associated with psychosocial adjustment in this study. Although the association between these two variables has not yet been tested elsewhere, several findings from previous studies can support this association. One study found that cancer survivors who returned to work after treatment reported better psychosocial adjustment than those who did not return to work. 

This study also suggested that greater symptom distress can predict psychosocial maladjustment. Although the association between symptom distress and psychosocial adjustment has not been directly tested in CRC survivors previously, relevant findings can support this finding. A recent systematic review summarized that one-third to one-half of CRC survivors experience fatigue, constipation, diarrhea, or incontinence. 

An education level of college or above was another risk factor for psychosocial maladjustment. This result is inconsistent with findings of previous studies, where higher education levels more consistently led to better adjustment. For example, a lower education level has been linked with a greater risk of poor adjustment in cancer survivors and patients undergoing chemotherapy. 

Although CRC survivors with < 9-year compulsory education also reported more psychosocial problems in this study, lower education did not show significance in the regression model. This suggested that lower education was not an independent predictor of psychosocial adjustment in our patient population, and its perceived effect might instead originate from the existence of other variables, such as work ability. This study further pointed out that patients with higher education levels might actually adjust worse than expected. A similar result was also found in a large-sample study investigating adjustment disorders in patients with cancer using international diagnostic interviews. 

Limitations and recommendation

There are several limitations in this study. First, this study was conducted at a single cancer center in China. Second, selection bias may exist because we only included survivors who came to the hospital for follow-up, and those who missed their follow-up appointments were not investigated. These 2 limitations might jeopardize the generalizability of our results. Third, a cross-sectional study design cannot draw a conclusion of a unidirectional causal relationship between the dependent variable and independent variables. However, the factors identified in this study may shed some light on how to improve working-age CRC survivors’ psychosocial adjustment.

Nursing interventions for psychosocial adjustment should consider different aspects of focus for working-age patients and non–working-age patients. In cancer survivorship, patients’ work ability and symptom distress, which are important predictors of their psychosocial adjustment, should be tracked. Regarding psychosocial adjustment, health care providers should attach greater importance to monitoring well-educated CRC survivors and managing their work-related problems and symptom distress.

Conclusions

Working-age CRC survivors reported more adjustment problems in the aspects of job environment and sexual relationships. Survivors with a low work ability, greater symptom distress, and an education level of college or above are at high risk of psychosocial maladjustment.
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