Ozonation of ketoprofen with nitrate in aquatic environments: kinetics, pathways, and toxicity
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In this study, nitrate ion (NO$_3^-$) was found to collaborate with ozone thereby accelerating the degradation of ketoprofen. NO$_3^-$ was discovered to induce the generation of hydroxyl radicals (·OH), which was crucial to the decomposition of PPCPs in wastewater treatment plants. Kinetic studies on the decomposition of ketoprofen were investigated under different concentrations of NO$_3^-$. The impact mechanisms and degradation by-products were experimentally determined. The results revealed that all reactions fitted the pseudo-first-order kinetic model well. The presence of NO$_3^-$ had the capacity to accelerate the ozonation of ketoprofen. The reaction by-products were evaluated by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS, and a total of five intermediates generated via the ozonation of ketoprofen were assessed. The transformation pathways were concluded to be hydroxylation, nitrification, and debenzophenone and ketonized reactions. Additionally, the toxicity of the by-products was evaluated by employing Chlorella and Daphnia magna.

1 Introduction

Recently, pharmaceuticals and personal-care products (PPCPs) have provoked much public consideration as “emerging contaminants”.

Among the PPCPs, ketoprofen ([2-(3-benzoylphenyl)propionic acid]; KET) is one of the most widely used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which is commonly employed in clinics to treat rheumatoid arthritis, non-rheumatic diseases, ankylosis spondylitis as well as osteoarthritis or postoperative pain. Due its relatively high production, widespread usage, direct or indirect emissions, and low removal efficiency, ketoprofen has been investigated to a significant degree in wastewater treatment plants, surface waters, groundwaters, and even drinking water sources at home and abroad. Santos$^4$ pointed out that the mean concentration of ketoprofen approached 2.50 μg L$^{-1}$ in wastewater effluents in Spain. Furthermore, PPCPs found in the River Taff and River Ely in the UK were investigated, among which ketoprofen was found at concentrations that ranged between 0.5 and 14 ng L$^{-1}$. Moreover, Cao$^5$ found that the maximum concentration of ketoprofen in the Zhangweinanyun River system was 31.35 ng L$^{-1}$, whereas concentrations in the Yuecheng Reservoir ranged from 1.33 to 8.40 ng L$^{-1}$. Additionally, the expanding aging “Baby boomer” population and improving quality of life worldwide means that overall consumption of ketoprofen is likely to increase over the next few years.$^7$ Nevertheless, such adducts are difficult to effectively remove via conventional drinking water treatment processes including filtration, sedimentation and flocculation. Subsequently, certain contaminants may still be present during the disinfection step of the drinking water treatment process.

Ozonation is a promising and environmentally compatible oxidation process. It is favorable for use in drinking water disinfection for its ability to degrade various recalcitrant organic pollutants through the direct use of ozone (O$_3$, $E = 2.07$ V) and indirect use of hydroxyl radicals (·OH, $E = 2.8$ V).$^{8,10}$ Several studies have revealed that ozone shows great capacity in decomposing PPCPs.$^{11-13}$ To the best of our knowledge, information regarding ketoprofen being efficiently eliminated by the ozonation process in pure water has been long known for a long time.$^{14,15}$ However, until now, detailed research pertaining to the degradation of target pollutants under the repercussions of coexisting ions has been negligible.

Inorganic nitrogen (including primarily nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium ions) has been frequently detected in both surface and groundwaters at concentrations of 10$^{-6}$ to 10$^{-3}$ M.$^{16,17}$ Over the last few years, inorganic nitrogen has been extensively studied, and it has been proven that these nitrogen-containing ions may produce hydroxyl radicals and other reactive groups, thereby promoting or restraining the oxidation of dissolved...
organic pollutants. As a matter of fact, $\text{NO}_3^-$ has been considered as an environmental impact factor instead of a major research object in most studies. Thus, it is critically important to investigate the impact of $\text{NO}_3^-$ on ketoprofen degradation by ozone during the drinking water disinfection process.

Given the lack of data and knowledge in this field, the goals of this study were to (1) technically evaluate the influence of $\text{NO}_3^-$ toward the removal of ketoprofen by ozone under simulated water disinfection conditions including an elucidation of both the reaction kinetics and overall mechanism; (2) identify the disinfection by-products of KET with $\text{NO}_3^-$ present in the system, presuming a tentative degradation pathway for KET during the process; (3) simply evaluate the toxicity of the by-products with the employment of Chlorella and D. magna.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Reagents

Ketoprofen, (KET, 2-(3-benzyolphenyl) propionic acid (purity ≥ 98%)) and ibuprofen were both purchased from TCI Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Acetonitrile of HPLC-grade was purchased from U. S. ACS Enke Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). Benzoic acid was obtained from Aladdin Industrial Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Other reagents employed in this study (e.g., sodium nitrate, sodium nitrite, ammonium sulfate, sulfuric acid, sodium hydroxide, and acetic acid) were all of analytical grade and were used without further purification. These reagents were obtained from the Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory. Ultrapure water (18.25 MΩ cm$^{-1}$), obtained from a Milli-Q apparatus (Smart2 Pure ultrapure water/water system integration, TKA, Germany), was used in the preparation of all aqueous solutions during the experiment.

2.2 Experimental apparatus and procedures

500 mL of 20 μmol L$^{-1}$ KET solution was prepared, and the initial pH values were adjusted by NaOH or H$_2$SO$_4$ solution to keep the initial pH of each sample consistent and to reduce the experimental error. As shown in Fig. 1, the reactions were initiated by the introduction of ozone gas from an ozone generator (Quanjii Technology, China), after which the gas was passed into an ozone concentration detector (UT-500, Adel Measurement and Control Technology Co., LTD, USA) where the ozone content in mixed gas was determined to be 16 μmol L$^{-1}$. Degradation experiments were conducted with laboratory-fabricated glass equipment (Fig. 1) using a gas dispersing device with a tap at the bottom. Ultimately, the unused portion of the gas mixture was absorbed by the potassium iodide solution.

2.3 Analytical methods

During the course of the experiments, 1.5 mL volumes of reaction liquid were incrementally extracted from the tap at 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, and 24 min. The samples were immediately analyzed via the reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography system (Waters, USA), which was equipped with a Zorba Eclipse XDB-C18 column ($4.6 \times 150$ mm, 5 μm, Agilent, USA). The analytical column temperature was 40 °C, and 45% HPLC-grade acetonitrile and 55% Milli-Q-Water (containing 0.5% glacial acetic acid) were used as the mobile phase at a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL min$^{-1}$. The injection volume was 10 μL, and the UV wavelength for detection was 260 nm. The elution time of KET was approximately 9.0 min.

The KET degradation by-products were determined with the UPLC-Q-TOF-MS microsystem (Waters, USA) under chromatographic conditions (Table 1). The chromatographic column was introduced into a UV-vis detector equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface and mass analyzer, and the experiment was performed using a Waters TQ detector. The electrospray source voltages were as follows: capillary (3.0 kV), sample cone (30 V), and extraction cone (4.0 V) under negative mode. The source block and desolvation gas were heated at 100 and 300 °C, respectively. The concentrations of $\text{NO}_3^-$ were determined by ion chromatography (882, Metrohm AG, Switzerland).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Ozonation performance: ketoprofen influenced by $\text{NO}_3^-$

As displayed in Fig. 2, the degradation of ketoprofen fitted pseudo first-order kinetics well. The degradation rate constants were $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$, $3.6 \times 10^{-2}$, $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$ and $4.6 \times 10^{-2}$ min$^{-1}$ when the concentrations of $\text{NO}_3^-$ were 0, 0.01, 0.1, and 1 mmol L$^{-1}$, respectively; the corresponding percentages ($\eta$) were calculated to be 53%, 58%, 64%, and 70%. The results indicated that ketoprofen reacted effectively with O$_3$, and more than half of the ketoprofen used for this study was degraded within 24 min. It could be inferred that further degradation might be achieved with the prolongation of the reaction time. It was observed that $\text{NO}_3^-$ could accelerate the ozonation of ketoprofen, and as the concentration of $\text{NO}_3^-$ was increased, a stronger effect was

| Table 1 | Chromatographic conditions |
| Time (min) | Flow (mL min$^{-1}$) | A% | B% |
|-----------|------------------------|----|----|
| 0         | 0.3                    | 95 | 5  |
| 6         | 0.3                    | 80 | 20 |
| 13        | 0.3                    | 0  | 100|
| 14        | 0.3                    | 95 | 5  |
| 16        | 0.3                    | 95 | 5  |
To further explore the mechanism of influence of NO₃⁻ on the ozonation of ketoprofen, quenching experiments were conducted to identify the generation of ·OH. tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA), a widely used ·OH scavenger in many quenching experiments, was selected to confirm the existence of ·OH in the system and to evaluate the contribution of ·OH to the degradation of ketoprofen in accordance with eqn (4). As exhibited in Table 2, TBA dramatically reduced the degradation of ketoprofen, which indicated that ·OH existed in the system and contributed to the degradation of ketoprofen, with the contribution rates of 90%, 80.56%, 76.19%, and 73.91% when the concentrations of NO₃⁻ were 0, 0.01, 0.1, and 1 mmol L⁻¹, respectively. Thus, it could preliminarily be determined that NO₃⁻ might induce the generation of ·OH.

According to eqn (5), if the reaction rate constant between ketoprofen and ·OH was known, the steady-state concentration of ·OH in the system could be calculated. Therefore, a competitive experiment was conducted to obtain this constant. In this section, benzoic acid (BA) was selected as a probe in this competitive experiment to evaluate the reaction rate constant between ketoprofen and ·OH. The initial concentration of both ketoprofen and benzoic acid was 20 μmol L⁻¹, and the pH value was adjusted to 10 to ensure a sufficient quantity of ·OH as well as to neglect the degradation caused by O₃. The degradation rate constants of ketoprofen and benzoic acid were 2.9 × 10⁻² and 1.9 × 10⁻² min⁻¹, respectively.

It is known that the reaction rate constant between benzoic acid and ·OH is 5.9 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹,²⁶ according to eqn (5)-(7), and the reaction rate constant between ketoprofen and ·OH is calculated to be 8.82 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which coincided with results of previous research (8.4 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹). Therefore, the steady state concentration of ·OH could be calculated from eqn (8). As evidenced in Table 2, the concentration of NO₃⁻ increased from 0 to 1 mmol L⁻¹, and the steady state concentration of ·OH increased from 5.10 × 10⁻¹¹ to 6.42 × 10⁻¹¹ mmol L⁻¹. These results affirmed that NO₃⁻ could induce the generation of ·OH and that the concentration of ·OH increased with higher NO₃⁻ concentrations within a certain range (0–1 mmol L⁻¹).

$$R_{OH} = \frac{k_{OH}}{k_{obs}} = \frac{k_{obs} - k_{TBA}}{k_{obs}} \quad (4)$$

$$\ln \left[ \frac{[KET]}{[KET]_0} \right] = k_{obs}t = k_{OH,KET}[\cdot OH] t \quad (5)$$

$$\ln \left[ \frac{[BA]}{[BA]_0} \right] = k_{BA}t = k_{OH,BA}[\cdot OH] t \quad (6)$$

$$k_{OH,KET} = \frac{k_{obs}k_{OH,BA}}{k_{BA}} \quad (7)$$

$$[\cdot OH] = \frac{k_{OH}}{k_{OH,KET}} \quad (8)$$

here, $R_{OH}$ is the contribution of ·OH, $k_{OH}$ is the degradation rate constant of ketoprofen with ·OH, $k_{TBA}$ is the degradation rate of ketoprofen with the existence of TBA in the system, and $k_{BA}$ represents the overall degradation rate of benzoic acid in the system, [BA] is the molar concentration of benzoic acid at a specified time, $k_{OH,KET}$ and $k_{OH,BA}$ are the reaction rate

| Items | $[NO_3^-]$ (mM) | $k_{obs} \times 10^{-2}$ min⁻¹ | $k_{OH}$ (min⁻¹) | $R_{OH}$ (%) | $[\cdot OH]$ (10⁻¹ⁱ mmol L⁻¹) |
|-------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 0              | 3.0 ± 0.126                 | 2.7 ± 0.1149     | 90.00       | 5.10                |
| 2     | 0.01           | 3.6 ± 0.136                 | 2.9 ± 0.1115     | 80.56       | 5.48                |
| 3     | 0.1            | 4.2 ± 0.105                 | 3.2 ± 0.0615     | 76.19       | 6.05                |
| 4     | 1              | 4.6 ± 0.102                 | 3.4 ± 0.0516     | 73.91       | 6.42                |
3.2 By-products and degradation pathway speculation

This section summarizes the content mentioned above with the aim of further investigating the ketoprofen degradation mechanism under the presence of 1 mM nitrate ions as well as the identification of all degradation by-products.

The ketoprofen degradation products were analyzed by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS using the ESI negative ion mode, which allowed for the identification of a total of six compounds including the unaltered parent ketoprofen and five intermediates. The complete ion chromatograms are depicted in Fig. 3, with five major degradation by-product peaks at 1.68, 2.67, 5.00, 5.92, and 8.29 min assigned to P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5, respectively. Mass spectra and mass characteristics (e.g., retention time, m/z, fragments, and prediction formula) of the above-mentioned substances are summarized in Table 3, whereas fragment chart analyses of the secondary ion mass spectrometry of the P1–P5 photolysis products are displayed in Fig. 4. Overall, the degradation of ketoprofen appeared to have at least four pathways: (1) hydroxylation on benzene ring pathway, (2) nitration on benzene ring pathway, (3) debenzophenone pathway, (4) ketonized pathway.

Due to the cogent electron-withdrawing effect of the carboxylic acid group and benzophenone group in the ketoprofen structure, the oxidation reaction preferentially occurred at the phenyl ring I rather than II. In addition, the meta-positions were easily activated and were vulnerable to attack, resulting in the formation of meta-by-products.

On one hand, the formula of P2 is C16H14O4, and the m/z was 270 with an additional O compared to those of the parents; major fragments at m/z 253(−16), 225(−44), and 209(−60) might correspond to the observed –OH loss, –COOH loss and the loss of both, respectively. These results resulted in the assumption that P6 was a monohydric derivative compound of ketoprofen degradation, which was formed from an electrophilic substitution (H by –OH) on the aromatic ring. The mechanism of hydroxylation in ozonation is illustrated in Fig. 5 including the electrophilic substitution by O3 or nucleophilic attack by –OH. Thus, Section 3.1 indicated that –OH had a much higher contribution rate on the degradation of ketoprofen than O3 did. Thus, the nucleophilic substitution of –OH in the benzene ring was inferred to be the dominant mechanism of benzene hydroxylation. As Illés mentioned in his study of the photo-induced degradation of ketoprofen in pure water, the hydroxylation by-products formed during the degradation of ketoprofen were preferentially due to the attack of –OH on the benzene ring.

Furthermore, P5 (C16H13NO5, m/z 299) in the MS² fragmentation spectrum of m/z 298 and the major fragments at m/z 252(−46) and 254(−44) might correspond to the loss of –NO2 and –COOH. However, –NO2 was generated according to eqn (2). The delocalization of a positive charge from –NO2 to the aromatic ring brought about a strong electron-deficiency in the meta-positions on the aromatic ring, with a nitration-derivative of ketoprofen.

Apart from the two above-mentioned pathways, P4 (phenylpropionic acid, C₈H₁₀O₂, m/z 149) was analyzed by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS at a retention time of 5.92 min, and it was defined as a by-product of ketoprofen debenzophenone pathway. Previous investigations were supportive of this pathway during the advanced oxidation of benzophenone-3. Subsequently, P3 compounds (ortho-hydroxybenzene propanoic acid, C₉H₁₀O₃, m/z 166) were determined to be hydroxylated intermediates of P4 formed by undergoing further attacks on the meta-position of the benzene ring. Moreover, the –COOH group on the side-chain of P3 was observed to be further oxidized to form P1 (C₈H₈O₂, m/z 136).

3.3 Toxicity measurement

Toxicity measurement of organic pollutants is an essential indicator for practical wastewater treatment. As shown in Fig. 6, the initial immobilization rates of D. magna were 26.72% and 61.44% at 24 h and 48 h exposure, respectively. During the ozonation process, the immobilization rate was reduced slightly during treatment for 4 min. However, an evident increment occurred as the treatment proceeded and reached up to 50% and ultimately 80.56%. A similar trend was observed in the assay of algae, suggesting that the decomposition of initial KET led to the decrease of immobilization rate at the beginning. The accumulation of by-products resulted in the constant increase in the immobilization rate, indicating that the ecological risks of ozonation process are worthy of attention in practical treatment.

Fig. 3 The total ion current of oxidation by-products.
Ozonation of ketoprofen influenced by NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$

It was revealed in Fig. 7 and Table 4 that NH$_4^+$ also had the capacity to accelerate the degradation of ketoprofen, which was similar to that of NO$_3^-$, but this capacity was less aggressive. On the contrary, NO$_2^-$ showed inhibitory effect in the degradation of ketoprofen, in that, as the NO$_2^-$ concentration increased, a stronger inhibition would occur. It was reported that the existence of NO$_2^-$ negatively affected the degradation of organic matter by AOPs.

As for NH$_4^+$, previous studies have suggested that ozone might transform NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$ via the strong oxidizing capacity that directly converted N(−3) into N(+5) as revealed in eqn (9)\(^\text{33-35}\) thereby facilitating the degradation of organic matter in the same manner as that with NO$_3^-$.

$$\text{NH}_4^+ + 4\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{O}_2 \quad (9)$$

NO$_2^-$ comprises a type of reductive substance that has the capacity to perform redox reactions with substances in oxidation systems. This mechanism is elucidated in eqn (10) and (11), where the reaction rate constant between NO$_2^-$ and ozone molecules is $2.27 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$.\(^\text{36}\).

### Table 3  Mass data obtained from the UPLC/MS/MS of ketoprofen oxidation by-products

| Peak | Retention time (min) | ESI(−)MW | mDa | Fragments of MS$^2$ | Prediction formula |
|------|----------------------|----------|-----|---------------------|--------------------|
| P1   | 1.68                 | 136.1464 [M − H]$^-$ | −3.5 | 135, 119, 94 | C$_8$H$_{10}$O$_2$ |
| P2   | 8.29                 | 269.2845 [M − H]$^-$ | 0.4  | 269, 254, 226, 210 | C$_9$H$_{14}$O$_4$ |
| P3   | 5.00                 | 165.1732 [M − H]$^-$ | 2.8  | 165, 149, 121, | C$_8$H$_{13}$O$_3$ |
| P4   | 5.92                 | 149.1746 [M − H]$^-$ | 2.4  | 149, 105 | C$_8$H$_{13}$O$_2$ |
| P5   | 7.41                 | 298.2833 [M − H]$^-$ | −0.3 | 298, 252, 254 | C$_{18}$H$_{23}$NO$_5$ |
the reaction rate constant between ketoprofen and O₃ can be elucidated through competitive experiments using ibuprofen (IBP) as a probe. During the experiments, the initial concentrations of both ketoprofen and ibuprofen are 20 mM/L, and the degradation initiated by ·OH can be ignored via the addition of excess TBA and the adjustment of the pH value to 2. The degradation rate constant of ketoprofen is 0.5 × 10⁻² min⁻¹, whereas it is 3.3 × 10⁻² min⁻¹ for ibuprofen. It is known that the reaction rate constant between IBP and O₃ is 7.2 M⁻¹ S⁻¹,³⁷ and the reaction rate constant between ketoprofen and O₃ is 1.09 M⁻¹ S⁻¹.

\[ \text{NO}_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + O_2 \quad 2.27 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ S}^{-1} \]  

(10)
Table 4 Reaction rate of different concentration of NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$

| Species | NO$_2^-$ | NH$_4^+$ |
|---------|----------|----------|
|         | Concentration (mM) | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 1.00 |
|         | Reaction rate ($\times 10^{-2}$) | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.0 |

\[
\text{NO}_2^- + \cdot \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{OH}^- (1-10) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ S}^{-1} \quad (11)
\]

\[
\ln \left[ \frac{\text{KET}}{\text{KET}_0} \right] = k_{\text{obs}} t = k_{O_3,\text{KET}}[\text{O}_3] t \quad (12)
\]

\[
\ln \left[ \frac{\text{IBP}}{\text{IBP}_0} \right] = k_{\text{IBP}} t = k_{O_3,\text{IBP}}[\text{O}_3] t \quad (13)
\]

\[
k_{O_3,\text{KET}} = \frac{k_{\text{obs}}}{k_{\text{IBP}}} k_{O_3,\text{IBP}} \quad (14)
\]

here, $k_{O_3,\text{KET}}$ and $k_{O_3,\text{BA}}$ are the reaction rate constants of O$_3$ with ketoprofen and benzoic acid, respectively.

In comparison, the reaction rate of ozone molecules with NO$_2^-$ ($2.27 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$) was much more rapid than that with ketoprofen ($1.09$ M$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$). In this way, NO$_2^-$ restrained the ozonation of ketoprofen as the ozone molecule scavenger. On the other hand, both NO$_2^-$ and ketoprofen exhibited high reactive activities with ·OH, with reaction rate constants between ($1-10) \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$ The competition for ·OH between NO$_2^-$ and KET directly led to the decrease of the degradation rate of ketoprofen. As mentioned in Section 3.1, the contribution of ·OH to keto-profen was approximately 90%, whereas the contribution of ·OH to ozone was 10%. In this way, the competition for ·OH between NO$_2^-$ and KET was considered as the dominant factor in the reduction of the ketoprofen degradation rate.

4 Conclusions

The reaction kinetics and influence of different nitrogenous species on the ozonation of ketoprofen were investigated under simulated water disinfection conditions. Distinct discoveries demonstrated that the ketoprofen ozonation reactions aligned well with the pseudo first-order kinetics in the presence of NO$_3^-$. Five intermediates were simultaneously identified during the ozonation of ketoprofen, and four types of reaction pathways were speculated: hydroxylation and nitration on benzene ring, keto break, and ketonized reactions on the side chains. Furthermore, toxicity evaluation revealed that more harmful by-products were generated, which suggested that more attention should be paid to the wastewater disinfection process. Additionally, the experiment of NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ simply implied that the presence of nitrogenous species could influence the ozonation of ketoprofen.
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