Truxene-Centered Electron Acceptors for Non-Fullerene Solar Cells: Alkyl Chain and Branched Arm Engineering
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Abstract: A series of symmetrical truxene-centered and 3-ethylrhodanine end-capped electron acceptors with high absorption coefficient, namely Tr(Hex)6-3RD, Tr(Dec)6-3RD, and Tr(Hex)6-6RD, were prepared and constructed for non-fullerene solar cells. To satisfy solution-processability, multiple energy levels, and suitable morphology, these three acceptors were comparatively studied through alkyl chain (hexyl/decyl) and branched-arm engineering (three/six branched arms). The six-bladed propeller acceptor of Tr(Hex)6-6RD recorded the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 1.1% blending with PTB7-Th without additional additives and post-processing. This work highly broadens the potential applications of star-shaped truxene building blocks in the fields of organic electronics.
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1. Introduction

Fullerene organic solar cells, whose active layers consists of electron donors and fullerene derivative acceptors, have drawn a lot of attention and made significant development. Due to fullerene derivatives’ strong electron affinity and mobility, fullerene-based organic solar cells exhibited power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) surpassed 11% along with effective exciton dissociation and charge transport [1,2]. However, fullerene derivative acceptors have sums of disadvantages, including expensive cost, low visual absorption, poor photochemical stability, and limited energy level flexibility [3,4]. Recently, non-fullerene small molecular acceptors (SMAs) have become alternative promising candidates and made significant progress due to their easy tunable energy levels, outstanding chemical stability and photostability, as well as beneficial compatibility with donors to produce acceptable morphology [5,6]. The recorded high PCEs can be attributed to highly efficient molecular design strategies such as main chain engineering and side chain modification, which tune the spectral features, electronic energy levels, molecular aggregation, mobility, and blend morphology [7,8]. Therefore, developing new types of high-performance SMAs is still a huge challenge and is of great importance.

It is well known that broad absorption and high absorption coefficients enable the active layer to receive more photons [4–6,8]. Naturally, well-matched donors and acceptors would be helpful to achieve complementary absorption and further improve the PCEs’ performance. However, materials with high absorption coefficients do not come by easily. State-of-the-art SMAs, ITIC, and Y6 display outstanding light harvesting properties with high absorption coefficients [9,10]. The higher the absorption coefficient, the more photons are involved in achieving high PCEs. According to this instruction, multiple branched arms materials excite significant interest by combining the centers, \( \pi \)-conjugated bridge units, and...
terminal groups, since these materials are capable of harvesting incident light effectively owing to the stretch of branched arms in different directions. As the representative multiple branched arms materials, triphenylamine- and porphyrin-centered donors or acceptors have reported high molecular absorption coefficients exceeding $1.0 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-1}$ [11,12]. In addition, multiple branched arms materials can balance the aggregation and exciton diffusion to achieve satisfactory electronic communication [13,14]. Meanwhile, for multiple branched arms materials, a suitable planarization and rigidification center is necessary, which not only exhibits horizontal orientation to capture photons, but also enhances π-electron delocalization and accelerates charge transfer property [15].

The possibility of creating multiple branched arms truxene-centered SMAs is of importance here. Truxene was succeeded and widely used in optoelectronic fields in the last decade, including perovskite solar cells [16], dye-sensitized solar cells [17], two-photon absorption [18], photocatalysts [19], nonlinear optical (NLO) [20], organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) [21], organic field effect transistors (OFETs) [22], and OSCs [23]. The truxene unit is regard as a nanosized rigid planar and C3-symmetric building block for generating numerous branching arms materials, which is capable of capturing as much incident light as feasible [24,25]. Moreover, truxene-centered SMAs are expected to perform strong intramolecular charge-transfer properties and consequently broad low-energy optical transitions, which is attributed to the well-conjugated structure and electron-rich property of truxene [26,27]. In addition, alkyl chain length and branched arm number changes also play crucial roles in controlling the intermolecular interaction, molecular packing, and charge-transport properties [28,29].

On the basis of strategies mentioned above, herein we introduced three SMAs, namely (5E,5’E,5″E)-5,5′,5″-(((5,5,10,10,15,15-hexahexyl-10,15-dihydro-5H-diindenof[1,2-a:1′,2′-c]fluorene-2,7,12-triyli)tris(thiophene-5,2-diyl))tris(methanylylidene))tris(3-ethyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one) [Tr(Hex)6-3RD], (5E,5’E,5″E)-5,5′,5″-(((5,5,10,10,15,15-hexakis(decyl)-10,15-dihydro-5H-diindenof[1,2-a:1′,2′-c]fluorene-2,7,12-triyli)tris(thiophene-5,2-diyl))tris(methanylylidene))tris(3-ethyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one) [Tr(Dec)6-3RD], and (5Z,5′Z,5″Z,5′′Z,5″′Z,5′′′Z,5″′′Z)-(((5,5,10,10,15,15-hexahexyl-10,15-dihydro-5H-diindenofluorene-2,3,7,8,12,13-hexayl)hexakis(thiophene-5,2-diyl))hexakis(methanylylidene))hexakis(3-ethyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one) [Tr(Hex)6-6RD], of which a truxene unit is chosen as the center electron donor, thiophene as the bridge unit, and 3-ethylrhodanine as the terminal electron acceptor (Figure 1). These SMAs were further utilized in OSCs devices. The symmetric truxene-centered SMAs exhibit not only good solution-processability and thermal stability but also the adjustment of suitable blend morphology, which have been comparatively discussed from structure–property relationship in this research.

![Figure 1. Device and chemical structures of truxene-centered acceptors.](image-url)

**2. Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis and characterization** The molecular design and synthesis of truxene-centered SMAs is of great importance because it exhibited the possibility as a broad-band gap acceptors in OSCs. The precise synthesis processes are discussed in the Materials and Methods section. Scheme 1 depicts the synthetic routes such as truxene generation, bromiza-
tion/iodine reaction, Stille cross-coupling reaction, and Knoevenagel condensation to afford truxene-centered SMAs. The structures of all intermediates and final products were confirmed by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR (Figures S1–S18). Moreover, in terms of guaranteeing the solubility and the resulting promoting the solution processability, alkyl chain length and branched arm number changes are employed. According to the design concept, all these acceptors are dissolved readily in common organic solvents at room temperature, ensuring the preparation of the smooth and uniform films in OSCs devices. The solubility of truxene-centered SMAs in chloroform was as follows (Table S1): Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD ~80, Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD ~130, and Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD ~180 mg mL$^{-1}$, respectively. Truxene-centered SMAs modified by decyl chain instead of hexyl chain exhibited better solubility. Six branched arms acceptors of Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD showed the best solubility as expected.

**Scheme 1.** Synthetic routes of truxene-centered SMAs. Reagents and conditions: (a) HCl, HOAc, 120 °C; (b) n-BuLi, THF, −78 °C; 1-bromohexene/1-bromodecane; (c) FeCl$_3$, CHCl$_3$, Br$_2$, 0 °C; (d) Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, toluene, 100 °C; (e) Chloroform, pyridine/piperidine, 65 °C; (f) [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene, I$_2$, DCM, RT.

Under a nitrogen environment, the thermal characteristics of truxene-centered SMAs were investigated using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and the results are presented in Figure 2. All truxene-centered SMAs exhibited outstanding thermal stability with 5% weight loss above 400 °C, which was in accordance with the report research (Figure 2a) [30]. Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD displayed obvious melting ($T_m = 259 ^\circ$C) and double crystallization peaks ($T_c = 203/190 ^\circ$C). Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD only showed weak melting peak of 178 °C. Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD, on the other hand, presented no apparent phase transition, indicating its amorphous nature (Figure 2b).

**Figure 2.** (a) TGA and (b) DSC spectra of truxene-centered acceptors.
Theoretical calculations. Density functional theoretical (DFT) calculations were carried out at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level to optimize the geometries and molecular frontier orbital so as to better study these truxene-centered SMAs [31]. Methyl group was introduced instead of hexyl and decyl chain on truxene to facilitate the calculation, and the model structures were given the names of Tr-3RD and Tr-6RD. As shown in Figure 3, both model structures were twisted like three- or six-bladed propellers. The three branched arms structure of Tr-3RD displayed dihedral angles of $23^\circ$ between truxene and adjacent thiophene units. As the number of branched arms increased to six, the truxene unit and the branched arms tightly twisted with an angle of $59^\circ$ between the truxene and thiophene unit, owing to the strong steric hindrance, indicating that the increase of branched arms leads to a more distorted propeller configuration.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** DFT computed molecular geometries and molecular frontier orbital for the model structures (Tr-3RD and Tr-6RD).

The molecular frontier orbital of Tr-3RD and Tr-6RD were also estimated. Tr-6RD exhibited deeper highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO, $-5.71$ eV) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO, $-2.86$ eV) levels in comparison with Tr-3RD (HOMO/LUMO, 5.55/−2.74 eV), which coincide with the aforementioned cyclic voltammetry measurement.

Optical and electrochemical properties. The solution and thin film UV-vis absorption spectra of three truxene-centered SMAs are displayed in Figure 4. The absorption coefficients of three truxene-centered SMAs are all above $1.8 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-1}$ as expected, in particular, Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD achieving the highest one of $2.4 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-1}$ (Figure S19). In chloroform solution (Figure 4a), Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD and Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD presented similar absorption at 390–550 nm. Six branched arms of Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD displayed obvious blue-shift compared with three-arms acceptors because of intramolecular twisting. The thin films absorption of these truxene-centered SMAs (Figure 4b) were obviously red-shifted and broad in comparison to those in chloroform solution. The strong intramolecular charge transfer effects in the solid state resulted in the above phenomenon [32]. Obviously, variations in the alkyl chain length on truxene presented modest spectrum alterations, which can be proved by Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD and Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD. In addition, according to the Planck equation ($E_g = 1240/\lambda_{onset}$), all these truxene-centered SMAs were calculated to be wide optical band gaps of 2.22 eV for Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD, 2.27 eV for Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD, and 2.33 eV for Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD (Table 1).
UV-vis absorption spectra of truxene-centered SMAs in chloroform solution (a), and as thin films (b).

### Table 1. Optical and electrochemical properties of truxene-centered SMAs.

| Acceptors     | \( \lambda_{\text{max sol}} \) (nm) | \( \lambda_{\text{max film}} \) (nm) | \( E_g^{\text{opt}} \) a (eV) | \( E_{\text{ox}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{red}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \) b (eV) | \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) c (eV) | \( E_g^{\text{CV}} \) d (eV) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Tr(Hex)_6-3RD | 481                                  | 468                                  | 2.22                          | −0.85               | −5.78               | −3.58               | 2.20                |
| Tr(Dec)_6-3RD | 481                                  | 468                                  | 2.27                          | −0.85               | −5.84               | −3.60               | 2.24                |
| Tr(Hex)_6-6RD | 425                                  | 428                                  | 2.33                          | −0.83               | −5.97               | −3.60               | 2.37                |

a Calculated from \( E_g^{\text{opt}} = (1240/\lambda_{\text{onset film}}) \) eV; b Calculated from \( E_{\text{HOMO}} = -e(E_{\text{ox}} - E_{Fc/Fc} + 4.8) \) eV; c Calculated from \( E_{\text{LUMO}} = -e(E_{\text{red}} - E_{Fc/Fc} + 4.8) \) eV; d Calculated from \( E_g^{\text{CV}} = E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}} \).

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was used to evaluate the electrochemical levels (Figure S20). The HOMO and LUMO levels were derived from the onset oxidation (\( E_{\text{ox}} \)) and reduction (\( E_{\text{red}} \)) potentials using the equations of \( E_{\text{HOMO}} = -e(E_{\text{ox}} - E_{Fc/Fc} + 4.8) \) eV and \( E_{\text{LUMO}} = -e(E_{\text{red}} - E_{Fc/Fc} + 4.8) \) eV, respectively [33]. Here, the \( E_{Fc/Fc} \) was measured to be 0.36 V. The HOMO levels mainly ranged from \(-5.8 \sim -6.0 \) eV, while LUMO levels were around \(-3.6 \) eV. The energy levels satisfied the requirement as acceptors. Further, Table 1 summarizes more extensive optical and electrochemical data.

### Photovoltaic properties

To highlight the potential applications of truxene-centered SMAs in OSCs, OSCs devices with structures of ITO/(PEDOT:PSS)/donors: truxene-centered SMAs/PFN-Br/Ag were fabricated and tested under AM 1.5 G at 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\). Given that absorptions of truxene-centered SMAs are primarily located at high energy regions less than 550 nm, PTB7-Th is employed as a donor to satisfy complementing absorption because of the comparatively low-energy region. The photoactive layers were prepared by spin-coating PTB7-Th: truxene-centered SMAs (weight ratio of 1:1) in chloroform solutions. The current density–voltage (\( J-V \)) curves are shown in Figure 5a, and the photovoltaic parameters are listed in Table 2. All the acceptors displayed moderate open-circuit voltage (\( V_{\text{oc}} \)) of around 0.8 V; however, the afforded PCEs were not particularly outstanding because of the disappointing short circuit current (\( J_{\text{sc}} \)) and fill factor (FF). PTB7-Th: Tr(Hex)_6-6RD devices reached the maximum PCE of 1.1% with \( J_{\text{sc}} \) of 4.2 mA cm\(^{-2}\), \( V_{\text{oc}} \) of 0.82 V, and FF of 31.2%, according to the \( J-V \) curves. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of PTB7-Th: Tr(Hex)_6-6RD was illustrated in Figure 5b. The broad EQE ranged from 400 nm to 500 nm was attributed to Tr(Hex)_6-6RD. Meanwhile, post-annealing of devices was explored (Table S2), and all the devices displayed unsatisfactory \( J_{\text{sc}} \). Furthermore, P3HT: Tr(Hex)_6-6RD (weight ratio of 1:1)-based devices showed low parameter of open-circuit voltage (\( V_{\text{oc}} \)) and PCE (Table S3). PBDB-T: Tr(Hex)_6-6RD (weight ratio of 1:1) based devices exhibited high \( V_{\text{oc}} \) but low \( J_{\text{sc}} \), resulting in an unfavorable PCE (0.5%, Table S4).
Figure 5. J–V characteristics curves of fabricated OSCs devices based on PTB7-Th: truxene-centered SMAs (a), and external quantum efficiency (EQE) of PTB7-Th:Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD (b).

Table 2. Photovoltaic parameters of PTB7-Th: truxene-centered SMAs devices.

| PTB7-Th  | $V_{oc}$ (V) | $J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) | FF (%) | PCE (%) |
|----------|--------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD | 0.83         | 1.0                      | 29.2   | 0.3     |
| Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD | 0.81         | 0.4                      | 25.7   | 0.1     |
| Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD | 0.82         | 4.2                      | 31.2   | 1.1     |

Carrier Mobility To investigate the effects of changes in alkyl chain length and branched arm number on the mobility, the electron/hole mobilities of blend films were evaluated by the space-charge-limited current (SCLC) method [34] with a device structure of Ag/PTB7-Th: truxene-centered SMAs/PFN-Br/Ag and ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PTB7-Th: truxene-centered SMAs/MoO$_3$/Ag for electron-only devices and hole-only devices, respectively (Figure 6). All of the blend films presented similar electron mobility ($\mu_e$), ranging from $2.48 \times 10^{-4}$ to $2.76 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 6a). As expected, Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD displayed high electron mobility, which is in good agreement with the FF and PCEs. The hole mobilities ($\mu_h$) for PTB7-Th:Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD, PTB7-Th:Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD, and PTB7-Th: Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD were evaluated to be $6.04 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $1.54 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and $5.30 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, on the other hand, and were predicted to be one orders of magnitude greater than those $\mu_e$ (Figure 6b). The charge transport is severely hampered by the low electron mobility and the unbalanced $\mu_e/\mu_h$, resulting in more bimolecular recombination and leading to low FF and $J_{sc}$.

Figure 6. $J^{1/2}$–V characteristics of PTB7-Th: truxene-centered acceptors blend films in electron-only devices (a) and hole-only devices (b).

Morphology The morphologies of PTB7-Th:truxene-centered SMAs blend films were probed via atomic force microscopy (AFM), as shown in Figure 7. All films were prepared by spin-coating process with the same fabrication conditions, which were deposited upon PEDOT:PSS substrate. Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD, Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD, and Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD showed smooth and uniform surface with RMS values of 0.78 nm, 0.65 nm, and 0.86 nm, demonstrating that alkyl
chain length and branched arm number changes of these three acceptors have little effect on the morphologies. Six-bladed propeller acceptors of Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD presented noteworthy aggregation and phase separation with PTB7-Th compared with PTB7-Th:Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD and PTB7-Th:Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD. Therefore, exciton separation and carrier collection efficiency are significantly improved, resulting in a high $J_{sc}$ and FF.

Figure 7. AFM topographic images of PTB7-Th: truxene-centered acceptors blend films, (A) PTB7-Th:Tr(Hex)$_6$-3RD, (B) PTB7-Th:Tr(Dec)$_6$-3RD, and (C) PTB7-Th:Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD.

3. Materials and Methods

Materials

Compound 1

1-Indanone (20.0 g, 151 mmol) was dissolved in the mixture solution of acetic acid (120 mL) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (60 mL). The solution was heated to 120 °C and refluxed for 24 h. The hot mixture was poured into 1 L ice water, then sodium carbonate was added slowly and stirred for 1 h. The yellow precipitate was filtered, and washed with water, acetone and dichloromethane to give an off-white powder (Compound 1) (11 g, 65%); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.98 (d, 3H), 7.71 (d, 3H), 7.51 (t, 3H), 7.40 (t, 3H), 4.29 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 144.21, 142.13, 137.54, 135.71, 127.35, 126.73, 125.56, 122.31, 36.98.

Compound 2

This is a lithium–hydrogen exchange reaction. Compound 1 (10 g, 29 mmol) was replaced with dry argon three times. Then anhydrous THF (200 mL) was injected, and the suspension was stirred at −78 °C. n-BuLi (115.2 mL, 2.5 M) was added dropwise and the mixture was kept at −78 °C for 2 h. 1-bromohexene (48.2 g)/1-bromodecane (64.6 g) was injected slowly. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. After that, the mixture was poured into 1 L of saturated NH$_4$Cl aqueous solution to quench the excess n-BuLi. The water phase was exacted with ethyl acetate, and then the combined organic phase was dried over MgSO$_4$. After the solvent was removed, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane to give an off-white powder (Compound 2) (R = C$_6$H$_{13}$, 22 g, 95%), (R = C$_{10}$H$_{21}$, 28 g, 92%). We used this directly in the next step without characterization.

Compound 3

A mixture of Compound 2 (R = C$_6$H$_{13}$, 2.4 g, 2.8 mmol), anhydrous FeCl$_3$ (5 mg) and chloroform (15 mL) was stirred. Then a solution of bromine (0.5 mL, 10 mmol) in 5 mL of chloroform was added dropwise under stirring at 0 °C, then kept overnight. A Na$_2$SO$_3$ aqueous solution (50 mL) was added to remove excess bromine. The mixture was exacted through DCM three times, and the organic phase was dried over MgSO$_4$. After the solvent was removed, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane to give an off-white powder (Compound 3) (R = C$_6$H$_{13}$, 3.6 g, 15%). We used this directly in the next step without characterization.
Compound 4
A mixture of Compound 3 (R = C₆H₁₃, 0.615 g, 0.568 mmol), (5-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)trimethylstannane (1.136 g, 2.556 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (146 mg) were dissolved in toluene (70 mL) and degassed with argon for 30 min. The mixture was refluxed for 48 h, then cooled to room temperature. 1M HCl (90 mL) was added into the mixture and stirred overnight. The mixture was exacted with DCM, and the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. After solvent evaporation, the product was purified by short column chromatography on silica gel (elucent, DCM:PE = 1:1) to attain Compound 4 as a yellow solid (408.7 mg, 65%).

Compound 4 (R = C₆H₁₃)
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.94 (s, 3H), 8.43 (d, 3H), 7.82 (d, 3H), 7.77 (d, 6H), 7.57 (d, 3H), 2.99 (m, 6H), 2.18 (m, 6H), 0.93 (m, 36H), 0.87 (m, 30H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.34, 155.31, 146.98, 142.93, 142.07, 138.58, 138.24, 138.07, 125.88, 125.58, 124.70, 120.59, 56.71, 37.72, 32.13, 30.10, 24.32, 23.10, 14.28.

Compound 5
A mixture of Compound 2 (2.00 g, 2.4 mmol), [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene (4.26 g, 9.9 mmol) and I₂ (2.11 g, 8.27 mmol) in DCM (100 mL) under argon was stirred at room temperature overnight in the absence of light. Then methanol (50 mL) was poured into the mixture and the expectant precipitation was filtrated and washed with methanol to give Compound 5 (3.03 g, 82%) as a white solid.

Compound 5
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (s, 3H), 7.95 (s, 3H), 2.70 (m, 6H), 2.06 (m, 6H), 0.99 (m, 36H), 0.69 (m, 18H), 0.52 (m, 12H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.33, 153.08, 131.75, 129.16, 129.02, 127.44, 125.23, 56.05, 36.64, 31.54, 29.44, 24.06, 22.45, 14.08.

Compound 6
A mixture of Compound 5 (1.6 g, 1 mmol), (5-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)trimethylstannane (4g, 9 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (57.8 mg) was dissolved in DMF (50 mL) and degassed with argon for 30 min. The mixture was refluxed for 48 h, and then cooled to room temperature. 1M HCl (90 mL) was added to the mixture and stirred overnight. The mixture was exacted with DCM, and the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. After solvent evaporation, the product was purified by short column chromatography on silica gel (elucent, DCM:PE = 1:1) to attain Compound 6 as a yellow solid (508.1 mg, 55%).

Compound 6
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.94 (s, 3H), 9.91 (s, 3H), 8.51 (s, 3H), 7.80 (m, 3H), 7.74 (s, 3H), 7.57 (d, 3H), 7.48 (d, 3H), 4.25 (m, 6H), 3.01 (m, 6H), 2.22 (m, 6H), 0.96 (m, 36H), 0.64 (m, 30H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 183.26, 183.18, 155.43, 153.08, 152.59, 147.43, 144.42, 144.27, 141.28, 137.78, 137.16, 136.87, 131.69, 131.26, 129.16, 129.02, 127.44, 125.23, 56.69, 37.23, 31.72, 29.65, 24.37, 22.62, 14.29.

Tr(Hex)₆-3RD
Compound 4 (0.269 g, 0.2287 mmol) and 3-ethylrhodanine (0.553 g, 3.43 mmol) were dissolved in chloroform (15 mL). One drop of piperidine was added and the solution was left to stir at 65 oC overnight. The product was extracted with DCM and dried over MgSO₄. After solvent evaporation, the product was purified by short column chromatography on silica gel (elucent, DCM:PE = 1:1) to attain Tr(Hex)₆-3RD as a brownish red solid (198 mg, 82%).

Tr(Hex)₆-3RD
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.44 (d, 3H), 7.93 (s, 3H), 7.80 (m, 3H), 7.74 (s, 3H), 7.57 (d, 3H), 7.48 (d, 3H), 4.25 (m, 6H), 3.01 (m, 6H), 2.22 (m, 6H), 1.34 (m, 12H), 0.94 (m, 36H), 0.61 (m, 30H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.55, 167.79, 155.10, 153.39, 146.54, 141.53, 138.34, 137.39, 136.04, 131.79, 125.84, 125.62, 125.10, 124.94, 120.90, 119.79, 56.45, 40.38, 37.48, 31.87, 29.83, 24.41, 22.66, 14.29, 12.73. MS (MALDI-TOF) calcld for C₉₃H₁₁₁N₃O₃S₉, 1606.61; found, 1606.88.
Tr(Dec)6-3RD

Tr(Dec)6-3RD was synthesized similarly to Tr(Hex)6-3RD, as described in Scheme 1. The product was obtained as a dark purple solid in an 80% yield. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.44 (d, 3H), 7.93 (s, 3H), 7.81 (m, 3H), 7.74 (d, 3H), 7.48 (d, 3H), 4.25 (m, 6H), 3.01 (m, 6H), 2.21 (m, 6H), 1.34 (m, 9H), 1.03 (m, 84H), 0.76 (m, 18H), 0.58 (m, 12H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 192.28, 167.54, 154.87, 153.12, 146.31, 141.30, 138.08, 137.09, 135.77, 131.50, 125.57, 125.37, 124.77, 124.62, 120.62, 119.48, 56.16, 40.12, 37.08, 32.03, 29.82, 29.75, 29.51, 29.43, 29.37, 24.12, 22.75, 14.18, 12.46. MS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for C$_{117}$H$_{159}$N$_3$O$_3$S$_9$, 1942.99; found, 1943.20.

Tr(Hex)6-6RD

Tr(Hex)6-6RD was synthesized similarly to Tr(Hex)6-3RD, as described in Scheme 1. The product was obtained as a dark purple solid in 80% yield. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.55 (s, 3H), 7.91 (s, 3H), 7.86 (s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 3H), 7.41 (d, 3H), 7.36 (d, 3H), 7.12 (d, 6H), 4.23 (m, 12H), 2.95 (m, 6H), 2.27 (m, 6H), 1.31 (m, 18H), 1.01 (m, 36H), 0.66 (m, 30H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 192.23, 192.23, 167.49, 167.45, 155.02, 150.68, 150.21, 147.07, 140.87, 139.14, 139.13, 137.70, 134.34, 134.30, 131.37, 130.81, 129.54, 129.40, 127.14, 125.06, 125.01, 124.53, 121.82, 121.64, 56.55, 40.11, 37.19, 31.57, 29.52, 24.19, 22.49, 14.18, 12.46. MS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for C$_{123}$H$_{132}$N$_6$O$_6$S$_{18}$, 2365.52; found, 2365.73.

Characterizations

The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were tested using a Bruker AV-500 with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. MALDI-TOF-MS was performed by using a Bruker Agilent1290/maXis impact. UV–vis spectra were measured using a HP 8453 spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were measured using a NETZSCH TG 209 at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ with a nitrogen flow rate of 20 mL min$^{-1}$. Cyclic voltammetry data were measured using a CHI600 D electrochemical workstation with Bu4NPF6 (0.1 M) in acetonitrile as the electrolyte, platinum and a saturated calomel electrode as the working and reference electrodes, respectively. The geometry was optimized by Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level to optimize the ground state geometries of the acceptor molecules using the Gaussian 09. The AFM images were obtained from a NanoMan VS microscope with a tapping mode.

OSC devices are ITO/(PEDOT:PSS)/donors: truxene-centered SMAs/PFN-Br/Ag, PEDOT:PSS represents poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) –poly(styrenesulfonate) and PTB7-Th represents poly[[2,6-4,8-di[(5-ethylhexylthienyl)benzo[1,2-b;3,3-b]-dithiophene][3-fluoro-2-ethylhexyl]carbonyl]thieno[3,4-b]-thiophenediyl]. The charge transport was acquired by single-carrier devices with a device structure of Ag/PTB7-Th (truxene-centered SMAs/PFN-Br/Ag for electron only devices) and ITO/PEDOT:PSS/(PTB7-Th) (truxene-centered SMAs/MoO3/Ag for hole only devices).

4. Conclusions

In summary, a series of high absorption coefficient truxene-centered small molecular acceptors (SMAs) with distinct molecular topologies were synthesized and explored for high performance organic solar cells. The multifarious structure modifications (multi-branched arms) and side chain engineering (hexyl to decyl chains) of SMAs were also evaluated from thermal, optical, electrochemical properties, mobility, morphologies, and device performance. In combination with a narrow band-gap donor PTB7-Th, six branched arms with hexyl chain of Tr(Hex)$_6$-6RD achieved the best PCEs of 1.1% due to the high $\mu_e$ and $\mu_h$ and favorable morphology.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms231810402/s1.
Author Contributions: K.L.—writing—original draft, investigation, and visualization; W.D., S.S. and X.Z.—conceptualization, writing—original draft, review and editing, investigation, visualization, and formal analysis; Y.W.—writing—original draft and investigation; H.L.—theoretical calculations, formal analysis, and writing—original draft; M.X.—writing—original draft, review and editing, supervision, and formal analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by Innovation Team of Colleges and Universities in Guangdong Province (2020KCXTD030), Special Projects in Key Areas for the Universities of Guangdong Province (2021ED2005, 2021ZDZX1009), Zhejiang Science and Technology Public Project (2020B2027), PhD early development program of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Zhejiang Institute (419YKQN07), Technological Expertise and Academic Leaders Training Program of Jiangxi Province (2014BCJ2013), Jiangxi Provincial Double Thousand Talents Plan-Youth Program (JXSQ2019201108), and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (2020ACB214001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data are available in this publication and Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgments: Thanks for the support from Zhiqiang Wang, Boming Xie, Guangyao Zhang and Shiting Tang.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interest or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References
1. Lu, L.; Zheng, T.; Wu, Q.; Schneider, A.M.; Zhao, D.; Yu, L. Recent advances in bulk heterojunction polymer solar cells. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12666–12731. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. He, Z.; Xiao, B.; Liu, F.; Wu, H.; Yang, Y.; Xiao, S.; Wang, C.; Russell, T.P.; Cao, Y. Single-junction polymer solar cells with high efficiency and photovoltaic. Nat. Photon. 2015, 9, 174–179. [CrossRef]
3. Meng, L.; Zhang, Y.; Wan, X.; Li, C.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Ke, X.; Xiao, Z.; Ding, L.; Xia, R.; et al. Organic and solution-processed tandem solar cells with 17.3% efficiency. Science 2018, 361, 1094–1098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Hou, J.; Ingañás, O.; Friend, R.H.; Gao, F. Organic solar cells based on non-fullerene acceptors. Nat. Mater. 2018, 17, 119–128. [CrossRef]
5. Wang, J.; Zhan, X. Fused-ring electron acceptors for photovoltaics and beyond. Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 54, 132–143. [CrossRef]
6. Liu, W.; Xu, X.; Yuan, J.; Leclerc, M.; Zou, Y.; Li, Y. Low-bandgap non-fullerene acceptors enabling high-performance organic solar cells. ACS Energy Lett. 2021, 6, 598–608. [CrossRef]
7. Zheng, Z.; Wang, J.; Bi, P.; Ren, J.; Wang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Liu, X.; Zhang, S.; Hou, J. Tandem organic solar cell with 20.2% efficiency. Joule 2022, 6, 171–184. [CrossRef]
8. Armin, A.; Li, W.; Sandberg, O.J.; Xiao, Z.; Ding, L.; Nelson, J.; Neher, D.; Vandewal, K.; Shaoe, S.; Wang, T.; et al. A history and perspective of non-fullerene electron acceptors for organic solar cells. Adv. Energy Mater. 2021, 11, 2003570. [CrossRef]
9. Lin, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Z.; Bai, H.; Li, Y.; Zhu, D.; Zhan, X. An electron acceptor challenging fullerenes for efficient polymer solar cells. Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 1170–1174. [CrossRef]
10. Yuan, J.; Zhang, Y.Q.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, G.; Yip, H.-L.; Lau, T.-K.; Lu, X.; Zhu, C.; Peng, H.; Johnson, P.; et al. Single-junction organic solar cell with over 15% efficiency using fused-ring acceptor with electron-deficient core. Joule 2019, 3, 1140–1151. [CrossRef]
11. Wang, J.; Liu, K.; Ma, L.; Zhan, X. Triarylimine: Versatile platform for organic, dye-sensitized, and perovskite solar cells. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 14675–14725. [CrossRef]
12. Zhang, A.; Li, C.; Yang, F.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Z.; Wei, Z. An electron acceptor with porphyrin and perylene bisimides for efficient non-fullerene solar cells. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 129, 2738–2742. [CrossRef]
13. Chen, S.; Meng, D.; Huang, J.; Liang, N.; Li, Y.; Liu, F.; Yan, H.; Wang, Z. Symmetry-induced orderly assembly achieving high-performance perylene diimide-based nonfullerene organic solar cells. CCS Chem. 2021, 3, 78–84. [CrossRef]
14. Lin, K.; Xie, B.; Wang, Z.; Yin, Q.; Wang, Y.; Duan, C.; Huang, F.; Cao, Y. Truxene functionalized star-shaped non-fullerene acceptor with selenium-annulated perylene diimides for efficient organic solar cells. Front. Chem. 2021, 9, 681994. [CrossRef]
15. Pan, Y.; Sun, G. Star-shaped non-fullerene small acceptors for organic solar cells. ChemSusChem 2019, 12, 4570–4600. [CrossRef]
16. Huang, C.; Fu, W.; Li, C.Z.; Zhang, Z.; Qiu, W.; Shi, M. Dopant-free hole-transporting material with a C3h symmetrical truxene core for highly efficient perovskite solar cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1258–12531. [CrossRef]
17. Wang, Z.; Wang, H.; Liang, M.; Tan, Y.; Cheng, F.; Sun, Z. Judicious design of indoline chromophores for high-efficiency iodine-free dye-sensitized solar cells. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2014, 6, 5768–5778. [CrossRef]
18. Lin, T.-C.; Tsai, B.-K.; Huang, T.-Y.; Chien, W.; Liu, Y.-Y.; Li, M.-H.; Tsai, M.-Y. Synthesis and two-photon absorption properties of truxene-cored chromophores with functionalized pyrazine units fused as the end-groups. *Dyes Pigm.* 2015, 120, 99–111. [CrossRef]

19. Tehfe, M.-A.; Dumur, F.; Telitel, S.; Gigmes, D.; Lalevée, J. Zinc-based metal complexes as new photocatalysts in polymerization initiating systems. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2013, 49, 1040–1049. [CrossRef]

20. Vinayakumara, D.R.; Kumar, M.; Sreekanth, P.; Philip, R.; Kumar, S. Synthesis, characterization and nonlinear optical studies of novel blue-light emitting room temperature truxene discotic liquid crystals. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 26596–26603. [CrossRef]

21. Luo, J.; Zhou, Y.; Niu, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Ma, Y.; Pei, J. Three-dimensional architectures for highly stable pure blue emission. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 11314–11315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Pei, J.; Wang, J.; Cao, X.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, W. Star-shaped polycyclic aromatics based on oligothiophene-functionalized truxene: Synthesis, properties, and facile emissive wavelength tuning. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 9944–9945. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Li, P.; Wu, F.; Dahiya, H.; Sharma, G. Truxene π-expanded bodipy star-shaped molecules as acceptors for non-fullerene solar cells with over 13% efficiency. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2022, 5, 2279–2289. [CrossRef]

24. Luo, J.; Lei, T.; Wang, L.; Ma, Y.; Cao, Y.; Wang, J.; Pei, J. Highly fluorescent rigid supramolecular polymeric nanowires constructed through multiple hydrogen bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 2076–2077. [CrossRef]

25. Lin, K.; Wang, S.; Wang, Z.; Yin, Q.; Liu, X.; Jia, J.; Jia, X.; Luo, P.; Jiang, X.; Duan, C.; et al. Electron acceptors with a truxene core and perylene Diimide branches for organic solar cells: The effect of ring-fusion. *Front. Chem.* 2018, 6, 328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Wang, J.; Tang, Z.; Xiao, Q.; Ma, Y.; Pei, J. Star-shaped D-π-A conjugated molecules: Synthesis and broad absorption bands. *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 863–866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Xu, X.; Zhang, G.; Yu, L.; Li, R.; Peng, Q. P3HT-based polymer solar cells with 8.25% efficiency enabled by a matched molecular acceptor and smart green-solvent processing technology. *Adv. Mater.* 2019, 31, 1906045. [CrossRef]

28. Wang, C.; Qin, Y.; Sun, Y.; Guan, Y.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Thiophene-diketopyrrolopyrrole-based quinoidal small molecules as solution-processable and air-stable organic semiconductors: Tuning of the length and branching position of the alkyl side chain toward a high-performance n-channel organic field-effect transistor. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, 7, 15978–15987.

29. Balakrishnan, K.; Datar, A.; Naddo, T.; Huang, J.; Ottker, R.; Yen, M.; Zhao, J.; Zang, L. Effect of side-chain substituents on self-assembly of perylene diimide molecules: Morphology control. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 7290–7298. [CrossRef]

30. Lin, K.; Xie, B.; Wang, Z.; Xie, R.; Huang, Y.; Duan, C.; Huang, F.; Cao, Y. Star-shaped electron acceptors containing a truxene core for non-fullerene solar cells. *Org. Electron.* 2018, 52, 42–50. [CrossRef]

31. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersons, G.A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.

32. Lin, K.; Liang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Hu, R.; Chen, H.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, X.; Xie, H.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, Q.; et al. Unraveling the main chain effects of fused thiophene conjugated polymers in electrochromism. *Soft Sci.* 2021, 1, 12. [CrossRef]

33. Li, Y.; Cao, Y.; Gao, J.; Wang, D.; Yu, G.; Heeger, A. Electrochemical properties of luminescent polymers and polymer light-emitting electrochemical cells. *Synth. Met.* 1999, 99, 243–248. [CrossRef]

34. Mihaletchi, V.; Wildeman, J.; Blom, P. Space-charge limited photocurrent. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2005, 94, 126602. [CrossRef]