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Abstract
Background: The prevalence of obesity and diabetes in Samoa, like many other Pacific Island nations, has reached epidemic proportions. Although the etiology of these conditions can be largely attributed to the rapidly changing economic and nutritional environment, a recently identified genetic variant, rs373863828 (CREB 3 regulatory factor, CREBRF: c.1370G>A p.[R457Q]) is associated with increased odds of obesity, but paradoxically, decreased odds of diabetes.

Objective: The overarching goal of the Soifua Manuia (Good Health) study was to precisely characterize the association of the CREBRF variant with metabolic (body composition and glucose homeostasis) and behavioral traits (dietary intake, physical activity, sleep, and weight control behaviors) that influence energy homeostasis in 500 adults.

Methods: A cohort of adult Samoans who participated in a genome-wide association study of adiposity in Samoa in 2010 was followed up, based on the presence or absence of the CREBRF variant, between August 2017 and March 2019. Over a period of 7-10 days, each participant completed the main study protocol, which consisted of anthropometric measurements (weight, height, circumferences, and skinfolds), body composition assessment (bioelectrical impedance and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry), point-of-care glycated hemoglobin measurement, a fasting blood draw and oral glucose tolerance test, urine collection, blood pressure measurement, hand grip strength measurement, objective physical activity and sleep apnea monitoring, and questionnaire measures (eg, health interview, cigarette and alcohol use, food frequency questionnaire, socioeconomic position, stress, social support, food and water insecurity, sleep, body image, and dietary preferences). In January 2019, a subsample of the study participants (n=118) completed a buttck fat biopsy procedure to collect subcutaneous adipose tissue samples.

Results: Enrollment of 519 participants was completed in March 2019. Data analyses are ongoing, with results expected in 2020 and 2021.

Conclusions: While the genetic variant rs373863828, in CREBRF, has the largest known effect size of any identified common obesity gene, very little is currently understood about the mechanisms by which it confers increased odds of obesity but paradoxically lowered odds of type 2 diabetes. The results of this study will provide insights into how the gene functions on a whole-body level, which could provide novel targets to prevent or treat obesity, diabetes, and associated metabolic disorders. This study represents the human arm of a comprehensive and integrated approach involving humans as well as preclinical models that will provide novel insights into metabolic disease.
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Introduction

Background

As is now the case in many Pacific Island nations, the prevalence of obesity and diabetes in Samoa have reached epidemic proportions. In 2013 (the most recent estimates), 41.2% of men and 65.1% of women could be classified as having obesity based on Polynesian-specific body mass index (BMI) cutoff points (>32 kg/m²) [1]. Data from the same survey indicated that type 2 diabetes mellitus was present in 19.6% of men and 19.5% of women and projections suggest that the proportion of the population with diabetes may exceed 26% by 2020 [1].

The underlying etiology of the rapidly increasing prevalence of obesity and related cardiometabolic disorders in the Pacific generally [2,3], and Samoa specifically [1], can be attributed in large part to the rapidly changing economic and nutritional environment. While energy availability, often in the form of calorie-dense, nutrient-poor, imported foods has increased rapidly in recent decades [4], opportunities for subsistence agriculture-related physical activity have simultaneously declined, resulting in chronic positive energy balance and associated metabolic disease. Perhaps compounding the effect of the changing nutritional and physical activity environment, however, is a recently identified genetic variant, rs373863828 (CREB 3 regulatory factor, CREBRF: c.1370G>A p.[R457Q]), which is associated with increased odds of obesity, but paradoxically, decreased odds of diabetes [5].

The CREBRF missense variant (CREBRF), first identified in a genome-wide association study (GWAS) we conducted in 2010 [5], is common among Samoans (minor allele frequency=0.259) and has a larger effect on BMI than any previously identified common genetic variant. The minor allele (A) is associated with greater average adult BMI of 1.36 kg/m² per copy, an effect size approximately 3-fold greater than variation near FTO (0.39 kg/m² per copy) [6]. Interestingly, however, the higher-BMI allele is associated with decreased odds of type 2 diabetes and lower fasting serum glucose levels. Several studies in New Zealand Māori and Pacific Islanders (Tongans, Cook Islanders, and Niueans) have recently replicated these findings [7-11], demonstrating comparable minor allele frequencies and reproducing the incongruent effects on BMI and type 2 diabetes. The variant is nearly absent in non-Pacific Islanders (14 alleles in 198,121 individuals, allele frequency=0.00004 in BRAVO and the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) combined) [12,13].

Findings from mouse cell models indicate that the CREB1F genetic variant plays a role in both adipogenesis and improving cell survival under starvation conditions [5]. It appears to function by reducing energy substrate utilization for basic cellular processes and reallocating energy to lipid storage, perhaps functioning as a “thrifty gene” [5]. How the gene
functions on a whole-body level and the underlying explanation for its paradoxical association with obesity and diabetes, however, remains unknown.

Objectives
The overarching goal of this study was to precisely characterize the impact of the CREBREF variant on metabolic (body composition and glucose homeostasis) and behavioral traits (dietary intake, physical activity, sleep, and weight control behaviors) that influence energy homeostasis in 500 adults. Specifically, we aimed to (1) determine if the CREBREF variant’s paradoxical association with BMI and diabetes could be explained by the amount (total fat mass) and distribution of adiposity (subcutaneous relative to visceral or central versus peripheral); (2) determine the association of the CREBREF variant with measures of glucose homeostasis and insulin action; (3) explore gene-environment interactions among the CREBREF variant and dietary intake, physical activity, and other behavioral traits; and (4) understand how the CREBREF variant influences metabolic homeostasis and gene expression in human adipose tissue.

Methods
Study Design
The Soifua Manuia (Good Health in Samoan) study described here follows a cohort of adult Samoans who participated in a GWAS of adiposity in Samoa in 2010 and who were followed up, based on the presence or absence of the CREBREF genetic variant, between August 2017 and March 2019. The recruitment procedures and protocols associated with the 2010 GWAS study have been described in detail previously [14]. This study protocol describes the procedures used during the 2017-2019 interaction with study participants.

The study underwent initial and annual continuing ethical review by institutional review boards (IRBs) at Yale and Brown Universities (there was a reliance agreement between Brown and Yale, with Yale serving as the IRB of record, IRB #1604017547). Data analysis activities at the University of Pittsburgh were reviewed by their IRB and were determined to be exempt (IRB #PRO16040077) based on their receipt of only deidentified data. The PartnersHealth Office of Research approved protocols for sleep apnea studies, and all aspects of the protocol were reviewed and approved by the Health Research Committee of the Samoan Ministry of Health. All participants provided written informed consent for their participation.

Setting
Samoan is an independent Pacific Island nation consisting of 2 large (Upolu and Savai'i) and several smaller islands. The majority of the Samoan population is rural (81%), and 78% are residents on the island of Upolu [15], which is divided into 3 census regions: the Apia urban area (AUA), Northwest Upolu (NWU), and the rest of Upolu (ROU).

Classified as an upper middle-income economy by the World Bank, Samoa’s gross national income was US $4120 per capita in 2017 [16], and the country ranked 104 out of 188 globally based on the Human Development Index (HDI value=0.704) [17]. In 2017, the population of Samoa was 195,352, with an anticipated annual growth rate of 0.9%. Life expectancy at birth in 2016 was 78 for women and 72 for men [18].

Participants
Participants included in the 2017-2019 Soifua Manuia study were purposively recruited to study genetic and environmental influences on adiposity and cardiometabolic health. Specifically, we aimed to recruit a sample of 500 participants, with equal numbers of men and women, 100 of whom had 2 copies of the CREBREF minor/risk allele (AA), 200 of whom had one copy (AG), and 200 of whom had zero copies (GG). We carried out this nonrepresentative sampling by genotype to have higher power to detect contrasts between the genotype groups, while recognizing what was feasible in terms of recruitment given the overall rarity of the AA genotype in the population.

CREBREF genotypes were determined based on participants’ data from the 2010 GWAS study [5,14]. The original eligibility criteria for the GWAS study included Samoan ethnicity (which was determined based on participant report that they had 4 Samoan grandparents), were aged 24.5 years to less than 65 years, nonpregnant, and had no physical or cognitive impairment that would prohibit completion of study procedures. To create a list of potential participants to be recruited for the 2017-2019 follow-up a number of selection criteria were applied to the 2010 data (Figure 1): only participants who consented in 2010 to being recontacted for additional research studies were included; participants must have had genotype data from the 2010 study and complete data on key outcomes of interest (BMI and serum glucose levels) and must have been resident on the island of Upolu (because study equipment could not be transported to the less developed and less densely populated island of Savai’i, where 9 of 33 of the 2010 GWAS villages were located). Then, kinship estimates were used (GenABEL [19]) to restrict the sample to those who were only minimally related to one another (less than first cousins, maximum kinship estimate 6.01%) because reducing the genetic correlation among the sampled individuals increases the effective population size. From this sample, individuals with the AA genotype were prioritized for recruitment. Early on in recruitment, we attempted to recruit 2 AG genotype and 2 GG genotype individuals for each recruited AA genotype individual, matching on sex, age within 5 years, and census region. Halfway through the recruitment, this protocol was adjusted to allow for faster recruitment, prioritizing AA and AG genotype individuals without explicitly matching. In this system, target recruitment lists were generated from the list of unrelated individuals and participants were recruited until the desired sample size for each genotype group within sex was achieved.

Recruitment occurred between August 2017 and March 2019 from 23 villages on the island of Upolu (8 urban [AUA], 7 periurban [NWU], and 8 rural [ROU]). After government and village-level permissions were granted, Samoan research assistants (2 out of 4 of whom had assisted with the 2010 GWAS study) used phone calls and visits to the participants’ 2010 villages of residence to recapture participants. Village leadership ( village mayors and women’s committee members) assisted research staff in locating participants’ homes. If a participant...
could not be located, the reason was noted (Figure 1). In the case of participant death, a death certificate was requested from the Samoa Bureau of Statistics to document the cause.

During visits to participants’ homes, research staff provided detailed information about the purpose of the 2017-2019 follow-up study and its protocols, gained written informed consent from all participants (including permission to link their 2017-2019 phenotype data with their 2010 data, which had been shared with the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGap) [20]), and screened the participants for eligibility. The same eligibility criteria were applied in 2017-2019 as in 2010 [14]; pregnant women were excluded, as were those who had developed physical or cognitive impairments preventing full participation in study procedures. Additional exclusion criteria included having given birth in the past 6 months or current lactation, ongoing use of weight loss medications, weight loss surgery, or significant recent weight loss (>5% of body weight in the past year). Seven to nine years after their original participation in the 2010 GWAS study, participants’ age ranged from 30.7 years to 72.7 years. A total of 519 participants agreed to participate in the study (Figure 1): 94 participants with the AA CREBRF genotype, 201 with the AG genotype, and 224 with the GG genotype.

Study Procedures

Willing and eligible participants completed study activities over the course of 7-10 days. Two in-person visits took place, one in the participant’s home and the other 7-10 days later in the Obesity, Lifestyle, and Genetic Adaptations (OLaGA; n. life in Samoan) research laboratory, located in the capital city of Apia, and research assistants contacted participants once by phone between the in-person visits. During the home visit, research assistants collected height, weight, hemoglobin (for assessment of anemia), administered a number of questionnaires, and initiated an accelerometer-based device, which participants wore to objectively measure physical activity for at least seven days before their visit to the OLaGA laboratory. Remaining study procedures were completed during the laboratory visit. A subsample of participants provided a subcutaneous buttock fat biopsy, which was collected at a separate research visit in January 2019. Participants were compensated for time spent completing the protocol, receiving 75 Western Samoan Tala (WST; approximately US $28) for their participation as well as a 10 WST phone card to allow them to complete dietary recalls by telephone. Table 1 provides an overview of the study activities and their timing, and each of the procedures are described in detail below.
**Figure 1.** Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials diagram describing recruitment into the Soifua Manuia study and completion of study procedures. GWAS: genome-wide association study; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin.
Table 1. Summary of the Soifua Mapua study procedures.

| Measures                                | 2010   | Home visit, 2017-2019 | Laboratory visit, 2017-2019 | Fat biopsy visit, 2019 |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Anthropometric measures**             |        |                       |                             |                       |
| Weight                                  | X°     | X                     | X                           | X                     |
| Height                                  | X X X  | X                     | X                           | X                     |
| Circumferences (midupper arm, abdominal, hip, and midcalf) | X ___ | X                     |                             |                       |
| Skinfold thicknesses (forearm, tricep, subscapular, abdominal, and suprailiac) | X —   | X                     |                             |                       |
| **Body composition**                    |        |                       |                             |                       |
| BIA°                                    | X      | —                     | X                           | —                     |
| DXA° (total body, lumbar spine, hip, and forearm) | — —   | —                     | X                           | —                     |
| **Blood pressure and hand grip strength** |        |                       |                             |                       |
| Blood pressure and heart rate           | X —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| Hand grip strength                      | — —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| **Biospecimen collection**              |        |                       |                             |                       |
| Hemoglobin                              | —      | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Point-of-care HbA1c°                    | — —    | X                     |                             | X                     |
| Point-of-care fasting blood glucose     | X —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| Serum                                   | X —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| OGTT°                                   | — —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| DNA                                     | X —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| RNA                                     | — —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| Urine                                   | — —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| Objective physical activity monitoring (ActiGraph GT3X+) | — X | X | — | — |
| Sleep monitoring (WatchPAT)             | — —    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| 24-Hour dietary recalls                 | — X    | X                     |                             | —                     |
| **Questionnaire measures°**             |        |                       |                             |                       |
| Demographic information                 | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Health Interview°                       | X X    | —                     | X                           | —                     |
| Women’s health                          | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Cigarette and alcohol use               | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Physical activity                       | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Food frequency questionnaire            | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Material lifestyle                      | X X    | —                     | —                           | —                     |
| Health care choices                     | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Stress                                  | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Social support and conflict             | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Health locus of control                 | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Self-efficacy                           | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Food and water insecurity               | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Sleep                                   | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
| Body image                              | — —    | X                     | —                           | —                     |
Body Size and Body Composition

Protocols used in 2010 for the collection of anthropometric data were replicated during the 2017–2019 follow-up study [14]. Weight and height were measured in duplicate to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively, using a digital weighing scale (Tanita HD 351; Tanita Corporation of America) and a portable stadiometer (SECA 213, Seca GmbH & Co). Circumferences (midupper arm, abdomen [at the level of the umbilicus], hip, and midcalf) and skinfold thicknesses (forearm, tricep, subscapular, abdominal, and suprailiac; Lange calipers, Beta Technology Inc) were also measured in duplicate to the nearest 0.1 mm. Measurements exceeding the maximum capacity of the skinfold calipers (>65 mm) were recorded as doing so in participant records. Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) measures of resistance and reactance were obtained with an RJL BIA-101Q device (RJL Systems) in all participants without metal implants or pacemakers, using standard procedures. These data will be used to estimate fat mass and body fat percentage.

Whole body and regional body composition were also assessed using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA; Lunar iDXA, Encore version 17, General Electric (GE) Healthcare Medicine). Additional eligibility criteria were applied to this assessment; participants did not undergo a DXA scan if they had been exposed to additional x-ray or computed tomography scans in the past 12 months (with the exception of dental x-rays). All women of childbearing age (<55 years) were tested for pregnancy before completing the DXA scan. Participants wore standardized clothing, without metal inserts or buttons, for a total body scan administered by 1 of 4 trained DXA operators in standard or thick mode. Body composition outcomes of interest were fat mass, lean mass, and visceral fat mass and volume (estimated using the CoreScan application, GE Healthcare Medicine). In cases where the participant’s body size exceeded the limits of the scan area, participants were placed on the scanning bed such that the first scan captured the limbs on one side of the body and as much of the trunk region as possible. Participants were then repositioned to scan the remaining half of the body. Analyses in these cases will proceed using a mirror mode, where total body composition will be estimated from one half of the body. Among obese adults in other settings, this technique results in nonsignificant differences between mirrored and standard scan data [21].

DXA was also used to assess the bone mineral density and bone mineral content of the whole body, lumbar spine, nondominant hip, and forearm. Bone geometry at the femoral neck was estimated using Hip Structural Software (GE Healthcare Medicine) to calculate section modulus (bone resistance to bending), cross-sectional area, cross-sectional moment of inertia, and minimal neck width.

Blood Pressure and Hand Grip Strength

After a 10-min seated rest period, blood pressure and heart rate were measured 3 times, with a 3-min rest period between measurements, using an Omron HEM-907XL automated blood pressure monitor (Omron Healthcare). Hand grip strength was assessed as a functional measure of overall strength. After adjusting the handle position of a Jamar Plus+ digital hand dynamometer (Patterson Medical) according to participants’ optimal comfort, maximal grip strength was measured in triplicate for both hands with 30-second rest periods between measurements. Procedures recommended by the American Society of Hand Therapists were followed for standardization [22].

Biospecimen Collection

Point-of-care testing devices requiring fingerstick blood samples were used to measure hemoglobin (for assessment of anemia; AimStrip Hb test system, Germaine Laboratories Inc) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c; DCA vantage analyzer, Siemens Healthcare GmbH). HbA1c values were used to determine if an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) could be administered safely: if HbA1c was ≥9.0%, participants did not complete the OGTT, and if HbA1c was ≥6.5% (indicating diabetes) but <9.0%, fasting blood glucose (FBG) was also measured (Bayer Contour Next,

---

**Table 1. Measures completed at each visit.**

| Measures                        | 2010    | Home visit, 2017-2019 | Laboratory visit, 2017-2019 | Fat biopsy visit, 2019 |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Weight stigma and attitudes     | —       | —                     | X                           | —                      |
| Weight control behaviors        | —       | —                     | X                           | —                      |
| Dietary preferences             | —       | —                     | X                           | —                      |
| Living with diabetes            | —       | —                     | X                           | —                      |
| Bone and muscle function        | —       | —                     | X                           | —                      |
| Buttock fat biopsy              | —       | —                     | —                           | X                      |

*a*Indicates that the measure was completed.

*b*Indicates that the measure was not collected at the specific visit.

*c*BIA: bioelectrical impedance analysis.

*d*DXA: dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry.

*e*HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin.

*f*OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test.

*g*Questionnaire measures are described in detail in Table 2.

*h*An abbreviated health history was collected for the purposes of additional eligibility screening before the buttock fat biopsy.
Bayer HealthCare LLC) and only participants with FBG <200 mg/dL were allowed to complete the OGTT.

All participants completed a fasting blood draw, where Samoan phlebotomists collected whole blood for serum separation, DNA extraction, and RNA expression (using PaxGene (R) Blood RNA vacutainers, PreAnalytiX GmbH). Participants who could safely complete the 2-hour 75 g OGTT followed protocols recommended for diabetes diagnosis by the American Diabetes Association [23]. Additional whole blood was collected at 30-min intervals throughout the 2-hour protocol (30-, 60-, 90-, and 120-min postglucose load). Serum from the fasting samples and OGTT draws was separated by centrifugation and stored at −80°C before transport on dry ice to the University of Pittsburgh for analysis. Fasting samples are currently being analyzed for glucose, insulin, free fatty acids, lipid levels (total-, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides), adiponectin, leptin, and markers of liver function (alanine amino transferase and aspartate aminotransferase). Glucose, insulin, and free fatty acids are being measured in all postglucose load samples.

Whole blood samples for DNA extraction were processed to the cell lysate stage using red blood cell lysis, protein precipitation, and cell lysis solutions following manufacturers' protocols (5Prime T-MArchivePure TM, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and shipped at room temperature to the University of Cincinnati where further extraction steps were completed. PaxGene (R) vacutainers were chosen to collect whole blood samples for RNA expression because of their stability during transportation and storage. However, after the initiation of this protocol we learned of United States’ import restrictions on the vacutainer reagents, meaning that processing had to be completed at the Samoan site. After storage at −80°C, samples were thawed and incubated at room temperature for up to 24 hours. RNA was isolated using the PaxGene (R) blood miRNA kit (PreAnalytiX) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with the following modification: step 5 of the Qiagen protocol stipulates, “Pipet the sample into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. Add 300 μL Buffer BM2 and 40 μL proteinase K. Mix by vortexing for 5 s, and incubate for 10 min at 55°C in a shaker incubator at 400-1400 rpm.” A shaker incubator was unavailable for this step; so, after the addition of proteinase K, samples were incubated for 5 min at 55°C, uncapped, recapped, vortexed, and incubated for another 5 min at 55°C. Two elutions of 40 μl of buffer BR5 produced a final volume of 80 μl RNA. Following isolation, RNA was stored at −80°C before transfer to a GenTegra RNA matrix. RNA was applied to the GenTegra matrices per the manufacturer’s protocol, distributing each sample among 2 GenTegra tubes (approximately 40 μl each), and dried overnight in a biosafety cabinet by evaporation. Tubes were then capped, stored, and inventoried before transport to the University of Pittsburgh. Recovery of RNA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using the same volume buffer BR5 as was originally added to the matrix.

Random urine specimens were collected and stored for the study biobank. To allow for the later examination of exposure to environmental chemicals, samples were collected in polypropylene containers, and participants were asked not to touch the inside of the specimen cups or cup lids. Urine specific gravity was measured using a handheld digital refractometer (ATAGO, PAS-10S, ATAGO Co. Ltd) to quantify urine dilution. Samples were aliquoted into polypropylene cryovials and stored at −80°C before shipping on dry ice to Brown University.

**Questionnaires**

Over the course of the 2 study visits and in the midparticipation phone call, participants responded to questionnaires that documented their individual and household demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, current health status and health history, physical activity, dietary intake, and sleep patterns and symptoms. Participants also completed multiple psychosocial measures, including body image, stress, self-efficacy, and weight control behaviors. All questionnaire measures were chosen based on existing literature linking the concepts measured with obesity, diabetes, or other aspects of cardiometabolic health and well-being. All the questionnaire measures employed are listed in Table 2, with a brief summary of their content and references for the original survey instruments.

All questionnaires were translated into the Samoan language and administered in Samoan by bilingual local research assistants. Data were collected on iPads using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) tools hosted by Yale University [24,25]. Each questionnaire was completed once, either at the home visit or laboratory assessment, with the exception of the 24-hour dietary recalls, which were completed on three occasions—twice in person (at the home and laboratory visits) and once by phone on a randomly selected day between the 2 in-person visits. Recall days were selected to include 2 weekdays and one weekend day, and protocols followed the multiple-pass method recommended by the US Department of Agriculture [26]. The timing of administration of each questionnaire was carefully considered. First, questionnaires that were asked in 2010 were repeated at the first contact with participants to protect against any loss to follow-up that may have occurred between the home and laboratory visits and maximize the longitudinal data available for assessment (weight and height were collected at the first contact for a similar reason). Second, questionnaires that may have triggered alterations in behavior during the period of measurement between visits (such as some of the weight control behavior or body image measures) were only asked at the laboratory visit once monitoring of dietary intake and physical activity were complete.

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2020/7/e17329

.Validation of BMI and FG: BMI was calculated using self-reported height and weight data. FG was calculated using the following equation: FG = 75 g of glucose in 2 h / fasting plasma glucose (FPG) × 2.0.

Randomization: Randomization of participants was performed at the time of screening. Participants were allocated to the intervention or control arm based on a computer-generated randomization table. Randomization was stratified by sex and BMI to ensure balance across the study groups.

**Participants**

The study sample included 120 participants aged 18-45 years old, with a mean age of 24 ± 3 years. The sample was predominantly female (70%) and of Samoan heritage (90%). The majority of participants were unemployed (80%), and 20% had a high school education or less.

**Intervention**

The intervention consisted of a 12-week behavioral weight loss program. The program included weekly in-person visits and monthly phone calls with a trained dietitian. Participants were provided with personalized dietary recommendations and encouraged to engage in at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per week. The intervention group also received motivational and behavioral counseling to help them achieve and maintain behavioral changes.
Table 2. Soifua Manuia study questionnaire measures completed in 2017-2019.

| Questionnaire                     | Number of items | Asked in 2010 | Description                                                                                     | References^a |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Demographic information          | 6               | X^b           | Basic demographic information including age, sex, marital status, and education                |              |
| Health interview                  | 36              | X             | Diagnosis with medical conditions (diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, and high cholesterol), medication use, use of traditional healers, and self-reported health and weight |              |
| Women’s health                    | 26              | X             | Parity, gravidity, age at menarche, menstrual regularity, contraceptive use, infertility, pregnancy history, and menopause (age and symptoms) | Barkan et al [27] |
| Cigarette and alcohol use         | 15              | X             | Use of tobacco products, alcohol consumption, and problems with drunkenness                      |              |
| Physical activity                 | 11              | X             | Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ), which estimates time spent in moderate-vigorous work, transport, and leisure-related activities | World Health Organization [28] |
| Food frequency questionnaire      | 111             | X             | Locally validated food frequency questionnaire measuring consumption (never or less than once a month to more than 6 times per day) and usual portion size |              |
| Material lifestyle                | 46              | X             | Household assets inventory, amenities (plumbing, cooking, and toilet facilities), number of household residents, occupation of heads of household, income, village wealth, disparities, and community spirit |              |
| Health care choices              | 17              | —             | Use of and preferences for traditional versus western biomedical health care practitioners      |              |
| Stress                            | 18              | —             | Cohen Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) and Short Form-8 quality of life measures                    | Cohen et al [29]; Ware et al [30] |
| Social support and conflict       | 19              | —             | Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) and perceived social conflict        | Zimet et al [31]; O’Brien et al [32] |
| Health locus of control           | 18              | —             | Beliefs about internal versus external control over health and well-being                       | Wallston et al [33] |
| Self-efficacy                     | 35              | —             | General and social self-efficacy and exercise confidence survey                               | Sherer et al [34] Clark et al [35]; Sallis et al [36] |
| Food and water insecurity         | 52              | —             | Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) and Household Water Insecurity Experiences scale (HWISE) | Coates et al [37]; Young et al [38] |
| Sleep                             | 23              | —             | Sleep duration, sleep quality, chronotype, shift work, and Epworth Daytime Sleepiness Scale (EDSS) | Bild et al [39]; Johns [40] |
| Body image                        | 20              | —             | Satisfaction with body shape and size and body size preferences                                | Brewis et al [41] |
| Weight stigma and attitudes^e     | 66              | —             | Attitudes toward obese persons scale, beliefs about obese people, weight stigma, and discrimination | Allison et al [42]; Myers and Rosen [43] |
| Self-esteem                       | 19              | —             | Rosenberg self-esteem scale                                                                   | Rosenberg [44] |
| Weight control behaviors          | 53              | —             | Eating habits, dietary preferences, and weight control efforts                                 | Neumark-Sztainer et al [45] |
| Dietary preferences               | 50              | —             | Three-factor eating questionnaire (cognitive restraint of eating, disinhibition, and hunger)    | Stunkard and Messick [46] |
| Living with diabetes^f            | 86              | —             | Diabetes symptoms and self-care behaviors, perceived diabetes control, medication adherence, and beliefs about diabetes | Carey et al [47]; DePue et al [48] |
| Bone and muscle function          | 9               | —             | Broken bones, bone-specific supplement use, and SARC-F screen for sarcopenia                   | Malmstrom et al [49] |
Objective Physical Activity Monitoring

Actigraph GT3X+ accelerometer-based devices (ActiGraph Corporation) were used to objectively measure physical activity during the 7-10 day period between the home and laboratory visits. The GT3X+ was secured to the nondominant wrist using a disposable hospital band. The wrist placement was chosen for several reasons: (1) for continuous monitoring that would allow assessment of sleep patterns, (2) to better accommodate Samoan clothing styles (as compared with waist-worn), and (3) to prevent loss of the devices and minimize missing data associated with participants forgetting to replace their device after removing with clothing or bathing. The GT3X+ devices were initialized to collect raw acceleration at 30 Hz, and participants were asked to wear the devices continuously until the laboratory visit. Devices were removed at the laboratory visit, where data were downloaded and briefly reviewed for completeness. In the event that less than 5 days of data were recorded, participants were asked to repeat the assessment. Expected output from the objective physical activity monitoring includes estimates of daily energy expenditure (metabolic equivalents); daily steps; sedentary time; and time spent in light, moderate, and vigorous physical activity.

Sleep Apnea Detection

We provided 391 participants with a WatchPAT TM 200 Unified (Itamar Medical Ltd.) device, consisting of a finger probe and chest sensor to evaluate sleep apnea and sleep patterns (devices became available several months into the recruitment period, after which all participants completed this assessment). The WatchPAT TM was worn on the nondominant wrist (proximal to the GT3X+, so results could be compared) the night before the laboratory visit. The device measured peripheral arterial tone (PAT TM) signal, oxygen saturation, actigraphy, acoustic decibels (snoring evaluation), and body position. The output was downloaded to a computer, encrypted, and sent to collaborators at Brigham and Women’s/Harvard Medical School for interpretation. Sleep studies were reviewed within 48 hours of receipt by expert analysts, and all participants with readings indicative of severe sleep apnea (n=15) were referred to a local sleep clinic in Apia.

Buttock Fat Biopsies

At the time of consent for the overall study, participants were asked to consent separately to completing a subcutaneous buttock fat biopsy so that the effect of the CREBRF variant on gene expression in adipose tissue could be determined. To limit variation by disease status, we selected a subsample of participants to recontact and ask to participate in this additional procedure. The participants in this subset were those without diabetes (those without a self-reported diabetes diagnosis at the time of participation in the main protocol and those with HbA1c <6.5%), cancer, or cardiovascular disease. For convenience and efficiency, we sampled participants within a 45-min drive of the AUA. Participants were recontacted either by phone or at their homes during a 2-week period in January 2019. Given the genotype frequencies and diabetes prevalence in the population, we aimed to recruit 26 nondiabetic women and 15 nondiabetic men for each of the 3 genotypes (AA, AG, and GG). Participants were rescreened for the overall study eligibility criteria (pregnancy, lactation, birth within 6 months, and major weight loss) as well as the specific biopsy criteria, including additional point-of-care HbA1c measurement, in their homes. Willing and eligible participants were then scheduled for the biopsy procedure.

Biopsies were completed in a sterile environment at a local medical facility. After the procedure, an adapted version of that described by Beynen and Katan [50] was explained in detail by the study clinician (A Prescott, plastic surgery resident), and additional verbal consent to the procedure was obtained in the Samoan language by study staff. Participants lay in a prone position with the left gluteal soft tissue exposed. Using red light technology, a commercial vein identification device (Illumivein, Easy-RN LLC) was used to identify any venous plexuses in the subcutaneous tissues. The anticipated biopsy site (with the least amount of subcutaneous vessels) was marked with a surgical skin marker, and an ice pack was applied for 1 min to numb the area. The skin was prepped with single-use sterile Chloraprep (TM; Becton, Dickinson and Company), allowed to dry, and topical Gebauer’s ethyl chloride spray was used to anesthetize the anticipated biopsy site. Participants were asked to contract their gluteal muscles, while the clinician performed a pinch test (using single-use, latex-free, sterile gloves) on the anticipated biopsy site. A single-use, sterile 16-gauge needle attached to a single-use, sterile 1 cc syringe was inserted into the biopsy site percutaneously to harvest the adipose tissue samples. Using a handheld aspiration technique (applying gentle negative manual pressure to the syringe or needle apparatus), 10-30 passes were performed at a 45-degree angle, until adipose tissue was visibly seen in the syringe. In cases where the study participant demonstrated more than minimal discomfort (or if samples were too sanguineous), the biopsy was terminated immediately. Once the liposapirate was visible in the syringe, the needle or syringe
was completely removed from the biopsy site and handed off to a technician for downstream processing. When the biopsy site was deemed adequately hemostatic, a small gauze was applied and secured gently with adhesive tape. Study participants were observed for up to 60 min before departing the study site. Study participants were provided with postbiopsy care instructions and a contact number to call if any unusual or severe adverse effects were encountered.

Following sample collection, the syringe containing the adipose sample was washed with 0.5-1 ml RNALater (R; Ambion Inc). The mixture of RNALater (R) and liposapirate was then expelled into a 1.5 5-ml microcentrifuge tube and incubated at 5°C overnight before transfer to −80°C the next day per the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples in RNALater (R) were transported from Apia, Samoa, to the University of Pittsburgh. Although samples in RNALater (R) are stable for up to a week at room temperature, we shipped the samples on ice, with refrigeration and/or refreshed ice between destinations, to maintain a more consistent temperature as temperatures can fluctuate dramatically across climates and altitudes. Upon arrival in Pittsburgh, samples were stored at −80°C until processing. To process, frozen samples were thawed at room temperature, and RNALater (R) was removed from the sample by strainelating the RNA. The tissue was then collected from the strainer into a 14-ml round bottom tube containing 1 ml of QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen Sciences Inc) and homogenized for 30 seconds with a TissueRuptor (R; Qiagen Sciences Inc). Following homogenization, total RNA was isolated from the homogenate using RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (R; also Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, including optional DNase treatment to remove contaminating genomic DNA and optional full speed spin to remove residual buffer RPE or flow-through. Two elutions of 30-µl nucleotide-free water were used in the final step for a final volume of 60 µl RNA.

**Participant Feedback and Referrals to Clinical Services**

Participant feedback occurred in several stages. First, at the completion of the laboratory visit, all participants received a feedback sheet that used a traffic light (green, orange, and red) approach to describe their risk of overweight or obesity, anemia, hypertension, and diabetes (based on HbA1c) as well as recommendations for further follow-up screening and behavioral modifications based on those risks. After serum analyses were completed, participants received individual letters with their cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, and insulin, and OGTT results as well as a study summary, which described key findings from the overall study and provided village and like-for-like comparisons to allow participants to put their individual results in context (eg, men <40 years of age were provided with summary data for all men in their comparable age range). At both stages, study staff provided referrals to local clinical services where participants met local risk criteria for diabetes, hypertension, or anemia. Participants are able to engage with study progress via the study’s Facebook page (@YaleOlag), and the private message function on the page offered an additional way for participants to contact study staff with questions about their participation (study staff could also be contacted by phone or text message).

**Data Management and Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive analyses will first be used to characterize the metabolic and behavioral traits of participants. Questionnaire measures that have not previously been validated in the Samoan setting will be examined for validity and internal consistency before analyses proceed. Then, we will test for cross-sectional associations of measured traits with the CREBRF variant using methods appropriate for the categorical or continuous nature of the outcomes, while adjusting for relevant covariates including age, sex, and relatedness. While many of our analyses using the newly collected data will initially be hypothesis-free, we will continue to be informed by our parallel mouse and cell models and test the resulting hypotheses using methods appropriate to the questions being addressed. Longitudinal analyses, where there are comparable measures available from the 2010 data collection (eg, weight or BMI) will use appropriate linear-mixed models that explicitly model the dependence between the different time points. After quality control and data cleaning are completed, the cleaned datasets generated during this study will be available in the dbGaP repository under the accession number phs000914 [20].

**Results**

The study was funded by the US National Institutes of Health (R01HL093093) in March 2016. Data collection was completed among 519 participants between July 2017 and March 2019, and results addressing the main study hypotheses are expected to be published in 2020 and 2021.

**Discussion**

**Significance**

Although the genetic variant rs373863828, in CREBRF, has the largest known effect size of any identified obesity gene, very little is currently understood about the mechanisms by which it confers increased odds of obesity but paradoxically lowered odds of type 2 diabetes. A small number of studies have evaluated the CREBRF gene (not this variant specifically) in nonhuman preclinical models. These studies have implicated CREBRF in a variety of disparate cellular and physiological processes, including the unfolded protein response [51], glucocorticoid signaling and maternal behaviors [52], reproduction [53,54], viral pathogenesis [55], angiogenesis [56], carcinogenesis [57,58], and starvation resistance [59]. However, this protocol is the first attempt to broadly characterize the association of the variant with a wide variety of metabolic and behavioral traits in humans.

Overweight, obesity, and type 2 diabetes—the complex and chronic conditions that are the focus of this protocol—are major health concerns that are estimated to cause 3.4 million deaths, 4% of years of life lost, and 4% of disability-adjusted life years in high-, low-, and middle-income countries [60]. Every effort...
must be made to understand the basic biological underpinnings of these complex, chronic conditions, so that appropriate pathways may be targeted by behavioral and pharmacologic intervention. Although we do not describe our additional ongoing studies here, the activities described in this protocol occurred alongside additional cell and mouse studies, including characterization of the gene networks mediating the effects of the variant on energy and metabolic homeostasis. We will also seek to identify the role of natural selection in the emergence of the CREBFR variant and other variants coselected with it that may contribute to its risk.

Strengths and Limitations

Although we believe the approach we have described has the potential to generate new understanding of the genetic basis of obesity and diabetes risk in this population, it is not without limitations. Although several key analyses will be able to take advantage of longitudinal data, many of the phenotypes collected here were measured for the first time in the cohort in 2017-2019, meaning analyses will be cross-sectional and we will have limited ability to infer causality. Several of our measurement tools, particularly those used to measure behavioral traits, were new to the Samoan setting, and despite rigorous protocols for translation and staff training, will require testing for validity and reliability in this population. Most significant though are potential biases in our sampling, stemming from both our initial sampling strategy in the 2010 GWAS study, where a nonrepresentative convenience sampling approach was used, and our selective follow-up of only Upolu residents (necessitated by the near-impossibility of transporting the DXA scanner to the most rural residents of Savai’i). Our nonrepresentational sampling, with strong oversampling of AAs and moderate oversampling of AGs, ensures that we have enough individuals with the rarer genotypes to be able to contrast them against the common GGs. However, it is important to consider the possible effects of the initial matching on sex, age within 5 years, and census region, followed by looser matching; this sampling strategy, which may induce bias, reflects the realities and complexities of fieldwork. Kuo et al [61] found that matching in loose-matching data can be ignored for negligible loss in testing and estimation if the distributions of matching variables are not extremely different between cases and controls. To examine this in our own data, we simulated 20,000 replicates of a quantitative trait in the whole sample gathered in 2010 as a function of each individual’s observed values for age, sex, rs373863828 genotype (coded 0,1,2), census region, and random normal N(0,1) noise and assumed true effect sizes; the effect size of the genotype was set such that its mean P value was 2.7 × 10^-6 in the larger 2010 sample. Then, we subset the data to the 519 individuals who are in our current sample and examined the percent bias in the estimates of the regression parameters derived ignoring matching. Similar to Kuo et al’s results [61], we find that the bias in the estimates is very small, for example, for the genotype effect size estimate, which is of primary interest, the bias is only ~0.43%. Although more extensive simulations are merited, it appears that our sampling strategy does not induce strong bias in the estimates relative to their true population-level values. The estimated power in the 519 selected individuals to detect association of the simulated trait with genotype, while adjusting for the other predictors, at the .01 level was 82.8%. This is in line with earlier simulations done when we initially sought funding for this work where we found that, based on simulated sampling from the total set of the 2010 participants, we should have 87.1% power at the 0.01 level to detect our BMI signal and 78.4% power for hip circumference.

This study lays the groundwork for subsequent analysis to understand how the CREBFR risk variant influences adiposity and cardiometabolic traits. These studies, in combination with studies to understand the CREBFR gene more generally, are expected to be significant because they are likely to reveal entirely new pathways upstream and downstream of CREBFR that more broadly influence energy and metabolic traits across multiple populations and ethnic groups and individuals. Such knowledge could provide novel targets to prevent or treat obesity, diabetes, and associated metabolic disorders. This study represents the human arm of a comprehensive and integrated approach involving humans as well as preclinical models that will provide novel insights into metabolic disease.
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Abbreviations

- **AUA**: Apia urban area
- **BIA**: bioelectrical impedance analysis
- **BMI**: body mass index
- **CREBRF**: Creb 3 Regulatory Factor
- **DXA**: dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry
- **FBG**: fasting blood glucose
- **GWAS**: genome-wide association study
- **HbA1c**: glycated hemoglobin
- **IRB**: institutional review board
- **NWU**: Northwest Upolu
- **OGTT**: oral glucose tolerance test
- **OLaGA**: obesity, lifestyle, and genetic adaptations
- **ROU**: rest of Upolu
- **WST**: Western Samoan tala
