Changes in medication use among long-stay residents with dementia in Michigan during the pandemic

INTRODUCTION

Persons with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias (ADRD) are particularly susceptible to consequences from the coronavirus (COVID) pandemic including social isolation, disruption of daily routine, and less direct care due to reductions in nursing home (NH) workforce. Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS), such as agitation, depression, and anxiety, which occur in >90% of persons with ADRD have likely been exacerbated by this pandemic. Staffing shortages and implementation of nonpharmacological strategies to treat NPS was challenging prior to COVID—such challenges have likely intensified following the start of the pandemic. Given reductions in staffing and increased behavioral symptoms among residents with ADRD, this may result in greater reliance on psychotropics such as antipsychotics to manage NPS. This analysis evaluated changes in central nervous system (CNS)-active medication prescribing among all long-stay NH residents with ADRD within the state of Michigan following the start of the COVID pandemic.

METHODS

Data were drawn from a 100% sample of NH resident assessment data (Minimum Data Set; MDS) from January 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020. We constructed monthly cohorts of long-stay (≥100 days) NH residents in Michigan who were ≥65 years and diagnosed with ADRD. We determined monthly prevalence of CNS-active medication prescribing, including the following classes as recorded on MDS assessments: antipsychotic, antianxiety, hypnotic, antidepressant, and opioid prescribing. Prescribing of diuretic medications was evaluated as a comparison medication that would not be anticipated to change during the pandemic.

Medication data were obtained from MDS assessments reporting medications administered in the past 7 days. We fit a two-phase interrupted time series regression model to evaluate change in trend of medication use controlling for pre-COVID level and trend. The two phases were: (a) pre-COVID (January 2019–February 2020) and (b) during COVID (March 2020–June 2020). In sensitivity analyses, we evaluated if results remained consistent among residents overall (i.e., not restricting to residents with ADRD). The study was approved by the University of Michigan institutional review board. Analyses were conducted using SAS statistical software, version 9.4.

RESULTS

The cohort included 35,922 residents with ADRD across 440 NHs in Michigan. Following the start of COVID, there was no significant change in antipsychotic (slope change = 0.43, \(p = 0.08\)), antianxiety (slope change = 0.16, \(p = 0.60\)), or hypnotic use (slope change = −0.02, \(p = 0.78\); Table S1, Figure 1). Small but significant increases in antidepressant use were noted compared to pre-COVID, increasing from 54.8% to 57.2% (slope change = 0.96, \(p = 0.012\)). Similarly, there were small increases in opioid use, increasing from 23.9% to 25.6% (slope change = 0.81, \(p = 0.002\)). There was no change in diuretic use.

For sensitivity analyses, we evaluated whether results remained similar among residents overall not restricting to ADRD (results unchanged).

DISCUSSION

In this sample of NH residents with ADRD in Michigan we found relatively minimal changes in CNS-active medication use following the start of COVID. There was no increase in antipsychotic use with only minimal increases in antidepressant (2.4%) and opioid (1.7%) use. While these increases in antidepressant and opioid use were statistically significant, it is not a meaningful change in the absolute prescription rate. This differs from recent studies in the United Kingdom² and Canada³ which showed small but significant increases in antipsychotic prescribing following the pandemic. Differences observed may be due in part to regulatory pressures to reduce antipsychotic prescribing in the US NHs through initiatives such as the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid National Partnership to Improve Dementia Care.⁴

Among our study’s limitations, use of MDS limits analysis to medication classes included in the assessment (e.g., excluded antiepileptics) and excludes use that occurs in between assessments. Lastly, we do not know prescribing indication or appropriateness. Increases in antidepressant use may reflect treatment of anxiety, depression, or off-label use for NPS. A June 2020 survey of US adults without dementia found that the prevalence of symptoms of anxiety has
increased threefold and depression has increased fourfold compared to the previous year. Reports from prescription benefit plan Express Scripts documented a nearly 20% increase in antidepressant prescribing following the start of COVID. It is not surprising that these trends would also extend to NH residents with ADRD. As the pandemic continues, it is important characterize the impact on behavioral symptom burden among NH residents and avoid medication-related harms which can further contribute to mortality during the pandemic.
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Reduction in respiratory viral infections among hospitalized older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory viral infections (RVI), such as influenza, carry significant morbidity and mortality in older individuals.\(^1^\text{-}\(^4^\) Hospitalization for RVIs are associated with significant functional decline\(^5^\) and greater need for assistance in activities of daily living upon discharge.\(^6^\) All-cause mortality attributed to RVIs also increases with advancing age; reaching approximately 6%–7% in individuals aged \(\geq 85\) years.\(^7^\) Vaccination has been the mainstay of public health measures aimed at lowering the risk of influenza among older adults\(^8^\); however, vaccines do not currently exist for other significant common RVIs, such as parainfluenza and respiratory syncytial virus.\(^3^\text{-}\(^4^\) The COVID-19 pandemic provided the impetus for widespread introduction and adoption of public health measures intended to mitigate community transmission of SARS-CoV-2. These public health measures maintained over a sustained time period allowed us to assess their potential impact on reducing hospitalizations for other common RVIs among older adults.

METHODS

At our institution (Singapore General Hospital), patients presenting with respiratory symptoms and clinical features compatible with a RVI (e.g., normal serum procalcitonin) were tested for common RVIs at the discretion of the managing physician, using a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay for 16 common respiratory viruses. We defined community-onset RVI as a positive result on multiplex PCR within 72 h of admission. We compared rates of PCR-positive community-onset common RVIs (influenza and noninfluenza) among hospitalized older individuals (aged \(\geq 65\) years) during the pandemic (February 2020 onwards) with figures from the preceding 2 years (2018–2019), using the incidence-rate-ratio (IRR) methods and \(\chi^2\) test.