Association between HRAS rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms with the risk of melanoma in the North American population
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Abstract HRAS belongs to the RAS genes superfamily. RAS genes are important players in several human tumors and the single-nucleotide polymorphism rs12628 has been shown to contribute to the risk of bladder, colon, gastrointestinal, oral, and thyroid carcinoma. We hypothesized that this SNP may affect the risk of cutaneous melanoma as well. HRAS gene contains a polymorphic region (rs112587690), a repeated hexanucleotide -GGGCCT- located in intron 1. Three alleles of this region, P1, P2, and P3, have been identified that contain two, three, and four repeats of the hexanucleotide, respectively. We investigated the clinical impact of these polymorphisms in a case-control study. A total of 141 melanoma patients and 118 healthy donors from the North America Caucasian population were screened for rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms. Genotypes were assessed by capillary sequencing or fragment analysis, respectively, and rs12628 CC and rs112587690 P1P1 genotypes significantly associated with increased melanoma risk (OR = 3.83, p = 0.003; OR = 11.3, p = 0.033, respectively), while rs112587690 P1P3 frequency resulted significantly higher in the control group (OR = 0.5, p = 0.017). These results suggest that rs12628 C homozygosis may be considered a potential risk factor for melanoma development in the North American population possibly through the linkage to rs112587690.
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Introduction
Cutaneous malignant melanoma is the most aggressive common skin tumor. It is characterized by a multifactorial
etiology. Sun exposure and genetic susceptibility have been proposed as major etiological and predisposing factors [1–3].

Mammalian cells contain three functional RAS proto-oncogenes, known as HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS, which encode small GTP-binding proteins. RAS genes have been characterized as major participants in the development and progression of a series of human tumors, such as gastrointestinal cancer, lung cancer, thyroid cancer, melanoma, and breast cancer. It was reported that just one point mutation occurring in codons 12, 13, or 61 and rarely in cod0on 146 could result in continuous stimulation of cell proliferation; thus, codons 12, 13, 61, and 146 are also called mutation hotspots. Alternatively, a 5- to 50-fold amplification of the wild-type gene results in over-expression and constitutive hyper-activation of the gene [4, 5]. These alterations increase the proportion of GTP-bound HRAS protein independently of external signals [6–8].

Besides the mutation hotspots, inherited polymorphisms in the HRAS sequence were described [9, 10]. A single-nucleotide polymorphism at HRAS cDNA position 8IT > C (rs12628), originally described by Taparowsky et al. [11], was shown to be associated with the risk of human cancers. It is present in codon 27 of exon 1 of HRAS, which is located in a wobble base position. Recently, it was demonstrated that the C-allele of this SNP could increase the risk of oral carcinoma, colon, and gastric cancer [12–14]. rs12628 SNP has also been reported to play a significant role in predisposition to bladder cancer [12, 15]. However, very few studies have been conducted to examine the HRAS rs12628 polymorphism and to understand its role fully.

While sequencing 15 melanoma cell lines for genes belonging to the MAPK pathway [16], we found 7 cell lines carrying rs12628 CT genotype and 3 cell lines carrying rs12628 CC genotype. We then compared the rs12628 genotype and allele frequencies with the ones reported in the HapMap database and found that overall the frequencies of the C-allele and the CC genotype were higher in the 15 cell lines as compared with the ones reported in the HapMap project for the Caucasian populations. Since the functional and epidemiological relevance of rs12628 is unknown, we decided to perform a case-control study to investigate its role in melanoma. Moreover, since a strong linkage disequilibrium between rs12628 and rs112587690 has been reported by Sol-Church et al. [17], we included rs112587690 screening in the study. Sequence analysis of the internal structure of rs112587690 showed that allele P1 consists of 2 perfect repetitions of a consensus sequence (GGGCCT), allele P2 displays 3 repetitions of the consensus, and allele P3 consists of three perfect (GGGCCT) and one imperfect (GGGGCT) repetitions of the consensus [18].

Here, we tested the association of rs12628 and rs112587690 with the risk of cutaneous melanoma.

Materials and methods

DNA samples

Germ line DNA was isolated from blood of 118 Caucasian healthy donors and 141 Caucasian melanoma patients with metastatic melanoma that underwent immunotherapy with high-dose IL-2 at the Surgery Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. Patients and healthy controls were matched according to age and sex. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before testing. DNA was screened for rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms by sequencing or fragment analysis, respectively.

HRAS sequencing and fragment analysis

PCR for rs12628 polymorphism was performed in 20 µl final volume, containing 50 ng of genomic DNA, 10 µl of Qiagen HotStarTaq Master Mix Kit (Valencia, CA) and 1 µM of forward and reverse primers with the following cycling conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min; 35 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s; final step 72 °C for 10 min. Primers were selected using Primer3 software:

HRAS_rs12628_F: GTGGGTTTGCTTCAGA
HRAS_rs12628_R: CTATCCTGCTGTCTGCTTG

PCR products were then sequenced on Applied Biosystems 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Foster City, CA) and analyzed by Sequencher software (Genecodes, Ann Arbor, MI).

PCR for rs112587690 SNP was performed in 20 µl final volume, containing 100 ng of genomic DNA, 10 µl of Qiagen HotStarTaq Master Mix Kit (Valencia, CA), and 0.5 µM of forward and reverse primers with the following cycling conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min; 5 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s; then 35 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s; final step 72 °C for 10 min. Primers were selected using Primer3 software:

HRAS_rs112587690_F(6-FAM-labeled): GTTGGGTTCGCCCTTCAGAT
HRAS_rs112587690_R: ATATTCCGTACATCGCTCCCTC

PCR products were previously sequenced on Applied Biosystems 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Foster City, CA) to confirm gene sequence and then diluted 1:100. One microliter of diluted PCR products was run on Applied Biosystems 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Foster City, CA) for fragment
analysis, using 0.3 µl of GeneScan 600 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) for each reaction.

Each PCR used distilled water instead of DNA as a negative control.

DNA fragments were finally analyzed using Gene Mapper software (Applied Biosystems). The size of the products were P1 (145 bp), P2 (151 bp) and P3 (157 bp).

Gene expression data

Total RNA was isolated from 112 metastatic tumor samples from as many patients of the cases group. Tumor samples were from snap frozen biopsies.

cDNAs were fragmented, biotinylated, and hybridized to the GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST Arrays (Affymetrix WT Terminal Labeling Kit). Probe normalization, background correction, Log2 transformation and summarization were performed using Robust Multi-Chip Average (RMA).

Gene summary was obtained by averaging the mean of the probe values and expressed as Log2 intensity [19].

HRAS mRNA expression values (log2 transformed) were extrapolated and evaluated according to rs12628 and rs112587690 genotypes.

Statistical analysis

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was tested by Chi-square. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CIs) were calculated. The haplotype analysis of rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms was carried out using pharmgat online tool (https://pharmgat.org/). The association between the rs12628 and rs112587690 genotypes and HRAS mRNA expression was tested by one-way ANOVA and two-tailed student’s t tests. All the statistical analyses were performed using Partek software and Instat 3 software.

Transcription factor binding site analysis of the intronic rs112587690 sequence was carried out using online TFSEARCH online software (http://www.cbrc.jp/research/db/TFSEARCH.html).

Results

The distribution of rs12628 genotypes and allele frequency in cases and controls are shown in Table 1. In healthy controls, the frequencies of TT, TC, and CC genotypes were 47, 48, and 5 % which did not deviate from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Chi-square = 3.3, \( p = 0.07 \)), while those frequencies were 46, 37, and 17 % in melanoma patients, respectively. A high frequency of “C” allele was found in cases compared with controls, but the difference in allele frequency was not statistically significant. The distribution of genotypes revealed a higher frequency of CC in cases compared with controls (\( p = 0.003 \)).

| Table 1 Genotypes and alleles distribution for rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                | Cases (frequency) | Controls (frequency) | Odds ratio (CI) | p value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| rs12628 Genotypes |                                |                                |                                |                  |
| TT                             | 65 (0.46)            | 55 (0.47)          | 3.83 (1.51–9.72) | 0.003            |
| TC                             | 52 (0.37)            | 57 (0.48)          |                  |                  |
| CC                             | 24 (0.17)            | 6 (0.05)           |                  |                  |
| Alleles                        |                                |                                |                                |                  |
| T                              | 182 (0.64)           | 167 (0.71)         | 1.33 (0.92–1.93) | 0.16             |
| C                              | 100 (0.36)           | 69 (0.29)          |                  |                  |
| rs112587690 Genotypes |                                |                                |                                |                  |
| P1P1                           | 6 (0.04)             | 0                  | 0.5 (0.29–0.88)  | 0.017            |
| P2P2                           | 4 (0.03)             | 1 (0.01)           |                  |                  |
| P3P3                           | 65 (0.46)            | 55 (0.47)          | 11.3 (0.63–204)  | 0.033            |
| P1P2                           | 14 (0.10)            | 5 (0.04)           | P1P1 vs all      |                  |
| P1P3                           | 29 (0.21)            | 40 (0.34)          | 11.3 (0.63–204)  | 0.033            |
| P2P3                           | 23 (0.16)            | 17 (0.14)          | P2 vs (P1 + P3)  |                  |
| Alleles                        |                                |                                |                                |                  |
| P1                             | 55 (0.19)            | 45 (0.19)          | 1.67 (0.99–2.85) | 0.08             |
| P2                             | 45 (0.16)            | 24 (0.10)          |                  |                  |
| P3                             | 182 (0.65)           | 167 (0.71)         |                  |                  |

CI confidence interval
To evaluate the risk of cutaneous melanoma according to rs12628 genotypes, ORs and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Compared with TC vs TT genotypes, CC genotype significantly associated with a 3.83-fold increased risk (OR = 3.83, CI = 1.51–9.72, \( p = 0.003 \)).

The rs112587690 genotype frequencies conformed the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in controls (Chi-square = 6.7, \( p = 0.08 \)). The distribution of rs112587690 and its allele frequency are shown in Table 1. The allelic difference in cases and controls resulted not statistically significant, although there is a trend of P2 allele to be higher in cases than in controls (OR = 1.67, CI = 0.99–2.85, \( p = 0.08 \)). When analyzing genotype frequencies, the genotype P1P3 resulted significantly higher in controls than in cases (OR = 0.5, CI = 0.29–0.88, \( p = 0.017 \)), while the genotype P1P1 significantly associates with cases with an 11-fold increased risk (OR = 11.3, CI = 0.63–204, \( p = 0.033 \)).

We also examined the linkage between the rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms in all 141 cases and 118 controls. Consistent with the literature [17], in every instance SNP rs12628 appeared in perfect linkage disequilibrium with the rs112587690 hexanucleotide polymorphism (\( D' = 1 \)). Thus, individuals harboring the rs12628 TT genotype predictably carried rs112587690 homozygous P3 alleles. Conversely, individuals with rs12628 CC genotype carried the rs112587690 minor allele P1 and/or P2 in any combination (P1, P2, or P1P2). Not surprisingly, heterozygous rs12628 CT individuals segregated into two distinct rs112587690 genotypes: P1P3 and P2P3.

We speculated that rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms could have a potential influence on transcriptional regulation of \( HRAS \) gene, thus we sought to examine the level of \( HRAS \) mRNA in tumor tissues according to the different genotypes of rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms. Toward this goal, we extrapolated the log2 intensity values from microarray analysis and evaluated the possible differential expression by one-way ANOVA and unpaired Student’s \( t \) test. The difference in \( HRAS \) mRNA expression level tended to be higher in metastases of patients carrying rs12628 CC genotype than in those carrying CT and TT genotypes, but this difference was not statistically significant (TT vs TC vs CC: \( p = 0.14 \); CC vs TC + TT: \( p = 0.08 \)) (Fig. 1). No differential \( HRAS \) mRNA expression was observed according to the rs112587690 genotypes.

Different polymorphisms could affect the binding site sequences for several transcription factors. In order to investigate the possible biological meaning of rs112587690 polymorphism, TFBS (transcription factor binding site) prediction analysis of the polymorphic region was carried out by using online TFSEARCH program. Compared with P1 and P2 allele sequences, P3 allele sequence turned out to have an additional binding site for Sp1 (Specific protein 1) and one for ADR1 (alcohol dehydrogenase regulator 1).

**Discussion**

Several molecular alterations in the \( RAS \) genes have been identified such as minisatellites and acquired mutations in various cancers including melanoma. In this study, we assessed germline variants of \( HRAS \) in the context of cutaneous melanoma; rs12628 was frequently observed and considered an informative SNP. Thus, we conducted a case–control polymorphic study of \( HRAS \) rs12628 to assess the role of this SNP in cancer.

Assuming a recessive genetic model of inheritance, a statistically significant result was found when comparing carriers of the homozygous mutant C-allele to heterozygotes CT and wild-type carriers TT (\( p = 0.003 \)), with a 3-fold increased risk of melanoma in CC individuals. Thus, \( HRAS \) rs12628 represents a strong susceptibility factor for the development of melanoma. However, these epidemiological data do not reveal the mechanisms by which \( HRAS \) rs12628 SNP modifies melanoma risk. Acquired amino acid mutations in the hotspot codons 12, 13, 61, and 146 prolong the GTP-bound activated state of the \( HRAS \) product; in contrast, SNP rs12628 is located in a wobble base position, that should not lead to alterations in protein
structure. It is conceivable that this probably nonfunctional polymorphism is linked to other polymorphic sites in functional intron regions of HRAS.

A potential linkage candidate is the hexanucleotide repeat located about 80 bp upstream of the 5'end of exon 1 (rs112587690). Sol-Church et al. [17] observed strong linkage disequilibrium between rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms. To confirm this data, we screened cases and controls also for rs112587690 polymorphism, we found an 11-fold increased risk of melanoma in homozygotes P1P1 and all the other genotypes (p = 0.033, OR = 11.3), while genotypes P1P3 resulted significantly associated with control group (OR = 0.5, p = 0.017). Thus, HRAS rs112587690 represents an additional susceptibility factor for the development of melanoma. Moreover, according to the report aforementioned [17], rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms resulted to be in strong linkage disequilibrium (D' = 1). The strong linkage disequilibrium observed between the two polymorphisms could reflect a differential transcriptional regulation of HRAS gene, according to the specific genotype.

We speculated that rs12628 and rs112587690 polymorphisms might have a potential influence on transcriptional regulation of HRAS gene, and thus we sought to examine the level of HRAS mRNA in the tumor tissues according to rs12628 and rs112587690 genotypes. Overall, melanoma metastases from patients carrying rs12628 CC genotype trended toward higher HRAS mRNA expression, followed by those from patients carrying TT and TC genotypes, respectively. However, these differences were not statistically significant (TT vs TC vs CC: p = 0.14; CC vs TC + TT: p = 0.08) (Fig. 1). Further studies are needed to evaluate whether HRAS mRNA expression is influenced by rs12628 genotypes in larger groups. No differential HRAS expression was found for rs112587690 polymorphism.

Whereas recognition of functional variants in coding regions is easy, detecting functional change in non-coding DNA is more difficult because there is no clear connection between nucleotide differences and function for these sequences, and regulatory regions can be located far from the coding region [20]. Nonetheless, it is important to understand how sequence change can influence the function of transcriptional regulation. We performed transcription factor binding sites prediction (in silico) using TFSEARCH program. An additional binding site for Sp1 (Specific protein 1) and one for ADR1 (alcohol dehydrogenase regulator 1) were predicted in the P3 allele sequence as compared to P1 and P2 alleles. Further functional studies on the role of these transcription factors are needed to better understand the importance of rs112587690 polymorphism as a regulator of HRAS transcription.

**Conclusion**

This study provides evidence that the HRAS polymorphism rs12628 may be a risk factor for the development of melanoma in the Caucasian North American population possibly through the linkage to rs112587690 polymorphic site. Additional studies with large samples are needed to confirm these results.

**Conflict of interest**  The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Open Access**  This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

**References**

1. Sober AJ, Lew RA, Koh HK, Barnhill RL. Epidemiology of cutaneous melanoma. An update. Dermatol Clin. 1991;9(4): 617–29.
2. Urist MM, Karmell LH. The national cancer data base. Report on melanoma. Cancer. 1994;74(2):782–8.
3. Palmieri G, Capone M, Ascierto ML, Gentilcore G, Stronczek DF, Casula M, et al. Main roads to melanoma. J Transl Med. 2009;7:86. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-7-86.
4. Edkins S, O’Meara S, Parker A, Stevens C, Reis M, Jones S, et al. Recurrent KRAS codon 146 mutations in mutations in colorectal cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 2006;5(8):928–32.
5. Macaluso M, Russo G, Cinti C, Bazan V, Gebbia N, Russo A. Ras family genes: an interesting link between cell cycle and cancer. J Cell Physiol. 2002;192(2):125–30. doi:10.1002/jcp.10109.
6. Liwo A, Gibson KD, Scheraga HA, Brandt-Rauf PW, Monaco R, Pincus MR. Comparison of the low energy conformations of an oncogenic and a non-oncogenic p21 protein, neither of which binds GTP or GDP. J Protein Chem. 1994;13(2):237–51.
7. Monaco R, Chen JM, Chung D, Brandt-Rauf P, Pincus MR. Comparison of the computed three-dimensional structures of oncogenic forms (bound to GDP) of the ras-gene-encoded p21 protein with the structure of the normal (non-transforming) wild-type protein. J Protein Chem. 1995;14(6):457–66.
8. Noonan T, Brown N, Dudycz L, Wright G. Interaction of GTP derivatives with cellular and oncogenic ras-p21 proteins. J Med Chem. 1991;34(4):1302–7.
9. Furth ME, Aldrich TH, Cordon-Cardo C. Expression of ras proto-oncogene proteins in normal human tissues. Oncogene. 1987;1(1):47–58.
10. Peth V, Shekhar PV. Estrogen inducibility of c-Ha-ras transcription in breast cancer cells. Identification of functional estrogen-responsive transcriptional regulatory elements in exon 1/intron 1 of the c-Ha-ras gene. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(43): 39969–78.
11. Taparovska E, Suard Y, Fasano O, Shimizu K, Goldfarb M, Wigler M. Activation of the T24 bladder carcinoma transforming gene is linked to a single amino acid change. Nature. 1982;300(5894):762–5.
12. Joehne A, Roots I, Brockmoller J. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the human H-ras proto-oncogene determines the risk of
13. Zhang Y, Jin M, Liu B, Ma X, Yao K, Li Q, et al. Association between H-RAS T81C genetic polymorphism and gastrointestinal cancer risk: a population based case-control study in China. BMC Cancer. 2008;8:256. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-8-256.

14. Catela Ivkovic T, Loncar B, Spaventi R, Kapitanovic S. Association of H-ras polymorphisms and susceptibility to sporadic colon cancer. Int J Oncol. 2009;35(5):1169–73.

15. Pandith AA, Shah ZA, Khan NP, Baba KM, Wani MS, Siddiqi MA. HRAS T81C polymorphism modulates risk of urinary bladder cancer and predicts advanced tumors in ethnic Kashmiri population. Urol Oncol. 2011. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2011.03.004.

16. Spivey T, De Giorgi V, Zhao Y, Bedognetti D, Pos Z, Liu Q et al. The stable aspects of melanoma genetics. BMC Genom. 2012;13(1):156.

17. Sol-Church K, Stabile DL, Nicholson L, Gonzalez IL, Gripp KW. Paternal bias in parental origin of HRAS mutations in Costello syndrome. Hum Mutat. 2006;27(8):736–41. doi:10.1002/humu.20381.

18. Papadakis EN, Dokianakis DN, Spandidos DA. Genetic analysis of H-ras intron-1 polymorphic and variable tandem repeat regions in human breast cancer. Int J Biol Markers. 2003;18(3):195–9.

19. Jin P, Han TH, Ren J, Saunders S, Wang E, Marincola FM, et al. Molecular signatures of maturing dendritic cells: implications for testing the quality of dendritic cell therapies. J Transl Med. 2010;8:4. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-8-4.

20. Kruglyak L. The road to genome-wide association studies. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9(4):314–8. doi:10.1038/nrg2316.