The influence of welding heat input on the quality and properties of high strength low-alloy dissimilar steel butt joints
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Abstract: The paper presents the results of non-destructive and destructive tests of dissimilar high-strength low-alloy S460ML and S460N steel butt joints. These steels are characterized by similar mechanical properties, but their carbon equivalent CeIIW values are much different. The joints were made using different values of heat input for each welding bead. They were tested by non-destructive methods: visual, penetrant, radiographic and ultrasonic tests. Then, the destructive tests were made: static tensile test, bending test, impact test and Vickers HV10 hardness measurements. The results of prepared examinations showed, that welding with higher heat input has significant impact on the mechanical properties of the dissimilar steel joints – the joint welded with bigger heat input was characterized by better mechanical properties.
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Introduction

The share of high-strength low-alloy steels as materials used in industry increases year by year. This is due to the fact that requirements are increasing for structures. This is associated with the need to reduce their weight while maintaining sufficiently high mechanical properties. These materials are widely used in the structures, shipbuilding, offshore, and heavy industries [1-5]. The use of these steel groups would be impossible without using joining processes. Common welding methods include manual metal arc (MMA) [6], metal active gas (MAG) [7], and self-shielding wire welding [8]. In addition, laser [9], hybrid [10], and friction welding techniques [11] are being developed.

The development of high-strength steel results in the need for weldability tests and the development of joint fabrication technologies that ensure their highest quality. A big problem when joining steels with increased strength properties is their susceptibility to cold cracking [12-14]. This type of crack is caused by the simultaneous occurrence of three factors [15,16]:

- high diffusible hydrogen content in the deposited metal;
- brittle structures in the heat affected zone (HAZ);
- residual stresses after the welding process.

By eliminating one of these factors, it is possible to avoid the presence of cold cracks in welded joints. The methods that reduce the tendency to these cracks are [17-19]:

- increasing the value of heat input;
- pre-heating materials prior to welding;
- welding with additional materials to obtain plastic (austenitic) weld metal;
- appropriate bevelling of the sheets, reducing the stress value;
- heat treatment of the joint after welding.

Currently, tests of joints made of high-strength steel welded by the MAG method are widely conducted [20-22]. MAG welding enables relatively fast transport of the power source between individual areas in which the welding process will be carried out. This enables welding of large constructions, which often require joining in the field.

The subject of the study was to assess the impact of the amount of heat input on the quality and properties of dissimilar joints of steel with increased strength during MAG welding. S460ML and S460N high-strength low-alloy steels were selected for research, which are widely used for offshore structures as well as elements of building. The development of the aforementioned constructions requires determining
the conditions of joining these two materials so as to maintain the best quality of joints, while achieving optimal mechanical properties.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials used**

Sheets made of S460ML and S460N steel with dimensions of 350x150x12 mm were selected for testing. Before welding the dissimilar joints, the chemical composition of the delivered materials was tested, and they were carried out using the method of emission spectrometry with spark excitation. Manganese silicon electrode wire without copper coating G 46 4 M21 4Si1 according to EN ISO 14341-A was chosen as a filler material, which ensures sufficiently high weld metal strength. Welding tests were carried out in an M21 gas mixture shield (Ar 80% + CO2 20%). Table I presents the chemical composition of the materials used, while Table II presents their properties in accordance with material approvals.

**Table I.** The chemical composition of used materials, wt %

| Material        | C   | Si | Mn  | P    | S    | Cr | Mo | Ni | Cu | V  | Ceqw* |
|-----------------|-----|----|-----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| S460ML1         | 0.12| 0.50| 1.40| 0.015| 0.004| 0.04| 0.01| 0.02| 0.01| 0.01| 0.365 |
| S460N1          | 0.16| 0.53| 1.51| 0.020| –    | 0.07| 0.03| 0.05| 0.13| 0.097| 0.464 |
| G 46 4 M21 4Si12| 0.074| 0.95| 1.68| –    | –    | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –     |

1 in accordance with the analysis, 2 in accordance with the manufacturer’s data, * carbon equivalent value according with International Institute of Welding

**Table II.** The mechanical properties of used materials, in accordance with manufacturer datas

| Material        | Re [MPa] | Rm [MPa] | A [%]  |
|-----------------|----------|----------|--------|
| S460ML          | 515      | 598      | 25.0   |
| S460N           | 511      | 626      | 27.3   |
| G 46 4 M21 4Si1 | 490 min. | 590 min. | 29.0 min. |

**Welding of the samples**

Two butt joints were made for the tests, which consisted of five beads. Specimens were welded by automated MAG method in a M21 gas mixture shield with a capacity of 15 l/min. Both samples were welded using run-on and run-off plates. The first joint was made using higher heat input than the second joint. The scheme of preparation of test joints and the method of beading are presented in figure 1, while welding parameters are given in table III.

**Table III.** Welding parameters

| Specimen No. | Bead No. | I [A] | U [V] | Vsp [mm/min] | ql [kJ/mm] |
|--------------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|
| 1            | 1        | 156   | 18.8  | 48.5         | 0.32       |
|              | 2        | 166   | 18.8  | 46.0         | 0.50       |
|              | 3        | 211   | 20.5  | 40.0         | 0.73       |
|              | 4        | 210   | 20.5  | 35.0         | 0.99       |
|              | 5        | 201   | 20.5  | 35.0         | 1.40       |
|              | 1        | 140   | 20.0  | 34.2         | 0.39       |
|              | 2        | 143   | 22.4  | 31.3         | 0.49       |
| 2            | 3        | 149   | 22.7  | 24.5         | 0.66       |
|              | 4        | 136   | 21.1  | 42.4         | 0.34       |
|              | 5        | 153   | 22.7  | 26.2         | 0.63       |

During the process, it was noticed that when the sample was made using higher heat input, the welding arc was burning more stable.
Research Procedure

First, non-destructive testing: visual tests (VT) according to the recommendations of EN ISO 17637: 2017 was performed. Then: penetrant tests (PT) according to EN ISO 3452-1: 2013, radiographic tests according to EN ISO 17636-2: 2013 and ultrasonic tests using the Phased Array method according to EN ISO 19285: 2017. After non-destructive tests, joints were cut for destructive tests. The cutting scheme of joints is presented in figure 2.

![Fig. 1. The schematic view of the testing joint: a) The way of bevel cutting; b) The distribution of welding beads](image)

![Fig. 2. The schematic view of specimens cutting for destructive tests: 1 – discard, 2 – welding direction, 3 and 5 – area for one tensile test specimen and bend specimen, 4 – area for impact test, 6 – area for hardness measurement specimens](image)

After preparation of the samples, destructive tests were performed: static tensile test in accordance with EN ISO 6892-1: 2016-09, bending test in accordance with EN ISO 5173: 2010, impact tests using Charpy’s hammer in accordance with EN ISO 148-1: 2017 and hardness measurements using Vickers in accordance with EN ISO 6507-1: 2018.

Research results

Visual testing

Visual tests were carried out 72 hours after the end of the welding process so as to ensure adequate time for the appearance of potential cold cracks, since both tested steels are characterized by high susceptibility to cold cracking [23,24].

The tests were performed in whole joint area, on both sides. During the VT tests of both joints, a small amount of spatter was found. In the case of a welded specimen using higher heat input, 20 mm long undercut was located in the central part of the joint from the S460N steel side. During the examination of the second sample, two locations potential lack of fusion on the S460ML steel side, 15 and 10 mm long, were found. For both samples tested, non-compliances were detected from the face of the samples tested. Figure 3 presents the results of VT tests.

Penetrant testing

Before applying the penetrant, the surfaces were cleaned and the spatters were removed from them. A penetrant was then applied, the excess of which was removed with a cleaner after ten minutes, to then apply a developer layer. During the examination of the first sample, two indications appeared on both sides of the weld at the fusion line and a cluster of blisters at the place indicated during VT tests from the S460N steel side. Examination of a sample welded with lower heat input showed no other indications than in VT tests. The results of PT testing are presented in figure 4.
Radiographic testing

The ANDREX 300kV x-ray apparatus was used to perform the RT tests. Optimal exposure parameters were selected after preliminary tests:

- focal length: 700 mm,
- exposure time: 5 min 30 s,
- voltage: 185 kV,
- anode current intensity: 4.5 mA.
RT tests revealed the presence of non-conformities that were not disclosed during previous non-destructive testing. In the case of joint #1, the presence of incomplete fusion was detected inside the material from the S460N steel side, which is located on the left side of the joint for a length of approx. 100 mm. Incomplete fusion was also detected in the joint welded with less heat input. The incomplete fusion was on both the S460N steel side as in the previous case and the S460ML steel side. The total length of non-compliance was 180 mm. No other imperfections were observed for both joints. The results of RT tests are presented in figure 5.

![Fig. 5. Results of RT: a) Specimen 1; b) Specimen 2. Welding imperfections marked by arrows](image)

**Ultrasonic testing**

The Phasor XS detector was used to perform the ultrasonic tests, allowing the use of the Phased Array head. A 16-element head was used, the wedge of which has a 36° angle of inclination. The head sends 4 MHz waves. During the tests, type A and S imaging were obtained. For both joints, the tests were carried out in places where indications on radiographs appeared so as to determine their depth of occurrence. Similarly to other non-destructive tests, it was proved that a greater number of discrepancies occurred in joint 2, which was performed using a smaller amount of introduced heat. It was also confirmed that discrepancies occur at the fusion line and in the HAZ of S460N steel. In the case of the first joint, discrepancies occurred at a depth of 8 to 11.5 mm from the upper surface of the sample, while in joint 2 their depth was more diverse, from 5 to 12 mm. Examples of type A and S flaw detectors imaging are presented in figure 6.

![Fig. 6. Example results of UT, Phased Array: a) Specimen 1; b) Specimen 2](image)
Static tensile tests

After carrying out non-destructive tests and cutting the samples in accordance with the scheme (Fig. 2), destructive tests were started. The first was a static tensile test in which two samples from each joint were stretched.

For both specimens from the joint no. 1, the loss of sample consistency occurred in base material - S460ML. A similar result was observed during stretching one of the samples of the other joint. The second sample from this joint broke in the HAZ and after the fusion line from the S460N steel side. The presence of non-compliance during non-destructive testing was detected here, which was confirmed during the tensile test. None of the obtained $R_m$ values of the S460ML material reached the value specified by the manufacturer of the sheet (Table II). Table IV presents the results of a static tensile test.

Table IV. Results of static tensile test

| Joint No. | Sample No. | Cross-sectional area [mm$^2$] | Force [N] | $R_m$ [MPa] | Minimum value $R_m$ by PN-EN 10025:2007 |
|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1         | 1          | 300                           | 170000    | 575        | 540 [S460ML]                           |
|           | 2          | 300                           | 165000    | 559        | 540 [S460ML]                           |
| 2         | 1          | 300                           | 140000    | 467        | 520 [S460N]                            |
|           | 2          | 300                           | 17200     | 573        | 540 [S460ML]                           |

Bending tests

The bending test was carried out on a hydraulic press equipped with rollers and a 20 mm bending mandrel. The distance between the rolls was equal to the sum of two sheet thicknesses and the diameter of the rolls (44 mm). Four samples from each joint were tested. Two were bent from the face and two from the root. In the case of a welded sample with more heat input, compressed specimens from the root side and one compressed specimen from the face side cracked. All cracks occurred in HAZ of the S460N base material. During the test of the second joint, all samples were cracked in the HAZ of the S460N steel. The fractures of all samples were bright. Table V presents the results of the bending test.

Table V. Results of bending test

| Joint No. | Sample No. | Crack area      |
|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| 1         | 1          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 2          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 3          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 4          | Lack            |
| 2         | 1          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 2          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 3          | HAZ S460N       |
|           | 4          | HAZ S460N       |

Impact tests

Four samples were taken from each joint, which were subjected to an impact test at 23 °C, using the Charpy’s hammer. Notch was made in each of the samples, with two samples from each joint having a notch in the weld’s axis (1 and 2) and two in MR S460N just near HAZ (3 and 4). To determine the shape of the joint and the location of its characteristic areas, the samples were etched with 4% nital. The notch in each sample was V-shaped and was made at an angle of 45°, and its depth was 22 mm. The width and height of the samples was 10 mm. Completed research showed that samples welded with higher heat input (sample 1) required more impact energy, which translated into a higher impact strength for this joint. In the case of the second joint, one of the samples broke into two parts, while all the others remained in their entirety. A clear difference in crack location was observed for samples that had a notch in the base material. In the case of a joint welded with a higher amount of introduced heat, the crack occurred in the material S460N, while for a joint welded with a smaller heat input, the samples cracked in the HAZ. Figure 7 shows a view of some of the samples after the Charpy’s hammer test. Table VI presents the results of the impact test.
Fig. 7. The view of exemplary results of impact test (four middle specimen) and specimens for hardness measurements

### Table VI. Results of impact test

| Joint No. | Sample No. | Striking energy [J] | Impact strength [J/cm²] | Crack area |
|-----------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 1         | 1          | 65                  | 81.25                   | HAZ 460N   |
| 2         | 2          | 65                  | 81.25                   | Weld       |
| 3         | 3          | 114                 | >142.20                 | NM S460N   |
| 4         | 4          | 108                 | >135.00                 | NM 460N    |

Table VI continued:

| Joint No. | Sample No. | Striking energy [J] | Impact strength [J/cm²] | Crack area |
|-----------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 1         | 1          | 50                  | 62.50                   | HAZ S460N  |
| 2         | 2          | 65                  | 81.25                   | HAZ 460N   |
| 3         | 3          | 75                  | 93.75                   | HAZ 460N   |
| 4         | 4          | 120                 | >150.00                 | Weld       |

1 Sample fractured into two parts

### Hardness measurements

One sample from each joint was tested in hardness measurements using the Vickers HV10 method. Samples were ground using water sandpaper and then etched with 4% nital to visualize joint areas. The samples were tested in accordance with the diagram presented in Figure 8, with three measurements made at each of the marked points at a short distance from each other. The results of the measurements are given in table VII.

### Table VII. Results of Vickers HV10 hardness measurements

| Distance from the face of the weld [mm] | HAZ S460ML | Weld | HAZ S460N |
|----------------------------------------|------------|------|-----------|
|                                        | I  | II | III | I  | II | III | I  | II | III |
| Joint no. 1                            | 330| 348| 347| 244| 254| 254| 376| 323| 355|
| 1                                      | 342| 358| 319| 236| 242| 245| 379| 334| 345|
| 3                                      | 325| 359| 326| 253| 250| 240| 318| 341| 324|
| 5                                      | 327| 348| 339| 248| 245| 246| 325| 347| 344|
| 7                                      | 350| 327| 325| 239| 239| 237| 366| 352| 360|
| 9                                      | 355| 376| 370| 230| 235| 237| 357| 376| 376|
| average                                | 342| 243|      |      |      |      | 350|      |      |
| Joint no. 2                            | 332| 340| 341| 239| 250| 250| 379| 358| 349|
| 1                                      | 325| 356| 345| 242| 249| 250| 359| 343| 356|
| 3                                      | 352| 348| 338| 249| 250| 257| 363| 350| 350|
| 5                                      | 350| 339| 342| 229| 245| 238| 349| 365| 371|
| 7                                      | 340| 333| 351| 238| 237| 244| 352| 359| 372|
| 9                                      | 346| 335| 339| 260| 249| 257| 357| 377| 364|
| average                                | 342| 247|      |      |      |      | 360|      |      |
Discussion

Performed tests showed that in the case of welding dissimilar S460ML and S460N steel butt joints, the value of heat input has a significant impact on the quality of the connection. During the implementation of non-destructive testing, at each stage, the joint made with lower heat input showed a greater number of welding imperfections. However, the defects were located in both joints in the HAZ of both materials. This confirms previous tests, which showed that they are characterized by limited (S460ML) and poor (S460N) weldability. This was confirmed during destructive tests, which also noted that the decrease in the heat input value during welding translated into a deterioration in the mechanical properties of the joints. The effect of welding with higher heat input was particularly noticed in the case of hardness measurements. They showed that the HAZ hardness of S460N steel significantly increased when the amount of heat introduced during welding was reduced, which can increase the susceptibility of this material to cold cracking.

All performed studies also confirmed that the use of S460N steel with a higher carbon equivalent value (Ce = 0.464) than in S460ML (0.365) steel increases the number of welding imperfections, which confirms the results obtained in previous studies [25].

Conclusions

Completed research allowed to formulate the following conclusions:
1. The heat input value during welding significantly affects the quality of dissimilar joints made of high-strength low-alloy steel. Welding with higher heat input reduces the number of welding imperfections.
2. S460N steel with a higher carbon equivalent (0.464) than S460ML (0.365) has an increased tendency to generate welding imperfections.
3. Welding with higher heat input improves the mechanical properties of butt joints made of high-strength low-alloy steel.
4. Welding with higher heat input leads to lower hardness in the HAZ of high-strength low-alloy steel, which may decreases the susceptibility to cold cracking of S460ML and S460N steels.
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