Huge biloma after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy
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A B S T R A C T

BACKGROUND: Biliary leak can occur as a complication of biliary surgery, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography manipulations and endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. Consequently, bile may collect in the abdominal cavity, a condition called biloma. Rarely, it may reach a massive size.

CASE PRESENTATION: A 72-year-old man presented with gastric upset with gradual abdominal distension reaching a large size due to intra-abdominal bile collection (biloma) after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography plus endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy and stenting for post laparoscopic cholecystectomy common bile duct stricture. This huge biloma was treated by percutaneous insertion of a tube drain for a few days, evacuating the collection successfully without recurrence.

DISCUSSION: This patient might sustain injury to the common bile duct either by the guide wire or stent, or the injury occurred at the angle between the common bile duct and duodenum during sphincterotomy of the ampulla. Although any of these rents may lead to a bile leak, causing a huge biloma, they could be successfully treated by percutaneous drainage.

CONCLUSIONS: (1) Following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, a patient’s complaints should not be ignored. (2) A massive biloma can occur due to such procedures. (3) Conservative treatment with minimal invasive technique can prove to be effective.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

A biloma is defined as an encapsulated collection of bile outside the biliary tree. It is mainly caused by iatrogenic injury (surgery, percutaneous trans-hepatic interventions, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography “ERCP”) or abdominal trauma involving the biliary system; very rarely, it may occur spontaneously [1–3].

Gould and Patel used the term biloma for the first time in 1979 to describe a loculated collection outside the biliary tree but had extended to include both intra and extra hepatic collections of bile. Leaking bile, by virtue of the detergent and tissue destroying action of bile acids, elicits low-grade inflammation, resulting in a thin capsule or adhesions, thereby forming an isolated collection called biloma [3]. Rarely, it may reach a huge size.

Since its introduction in 1968, ERCP has become a commonly performed endoscopic procedure [4]. The diagnostic and therapeutic utility of ERCP has been well demonstrated for various disorders, including the management of choledocholithiasis, the diagnosis and management of biliary and pancreatic neoplasms, and the postoperative management of biliary perioperative complications [5–7]. The evolution of the role of ERCP has occurred simultaneously with that of other diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, most notably magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)/magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), laparoscopic cholecystectomy (with or without intraoperative cholangiography), and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). For endoscopists to accurately assess the clinical appropriateness of ERCP, it is important to have a thorough understanding of the potential complications of this procedure. Numerous studies have helped to determine the expected rates of complications, potential contributing factors for these adverse events, and possible methods to improve the safety of ERCP. The recognition and understanding of potential complications of ERCP are vital in the acquisition of appropriately informed consent [8].

Reported complication rates vary widely in the published literature because of differences in study design, patient population, and definitions of complications. The diagnosis and management of all complications of ERCP are beyond the scope of this study.

The most frequent complications of ERCP and endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy (ES) are pancreatitis, cholangitis, haemorrhage,
CBD and duodenal perforation. Several less common adverse events have also been described, including cardiopulmonary complications, contrast allergy, impaction of a retrieval basket and numerous other events reported in only small numbers of patients or individual case reports. These unusual adverse events, which may be difficult to manage, can be associated with significant morbidity and mortality [9,10].

Perforation rates with ERCP range from 0.1% to 0.6% [11–15].

Three distinct types of perforation have been described: (1) guidewire-induced perforation, (2) periampullary perforation during ES, and (3) luminal perforation at a site remote from the papilla [16]. Risk factors for perforation determined in a large retrospective study included the performance of ES, Billroth II anatomy, intramural injection of contrast, prolonged duration of the procedure, biliary stricture dilation, and sphincter of Oddi dysfunction (SOD) [14,15]. However, in a more recent multicentre prospective study, only malignancy and precut access were associated with an increased risk of perforation [14]. Prompt recognition of periampullary perforation and treatment with aggressive biliary and duodenal drainage (using nasobiliary and nasogastric tubes) coupled with broad spectrum antibiotics can result in clinical resolution without the need for operative intervention in as many as 86% of patients [17]. The management of perforation will depend on many factors, such as the site and location, clinical status, and radiographic imaging. Early identification and expeditious management of a perforation have been shown to decrease associated morbidity and mortality [18]. Perforations related to endoscopy are best approached in collaboration with surgeons [19].

2. Case presentation

A 72-year-old man, a diabetic for the last 20 years, underwent a difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy for calculus cholecystitis with a smooth post-operative period until the 4th month after the surgery, when the patient developed an attack of acute cholangitis, upper abdominal pain, a fever of 39.5°C, rigor and mild jaundice (total serum bilirubin = 3.5 mg/dl, direct = 2.5 mg/dl, indirect = 1 mg/dl), a fasting blood sugar = 162 mg/dl, and a WBC count = 13000/cm m. Ultrasonography (US) revealed mild intrahepatic biliary tree dilatation with mild CBD dilation of 12-mm calibre, with a small stone of a 5-mm diameter in its lower part.

With broad-spectrum antibiotic coverage and blood sugar control, the patient underwent ERCP, which showed a dilated intra and extra hepatic biliary tree with moderate stricture of the CBD at the level of cystic duct insertion and a small stone in the lower part of the CBD (Fig. 1). ES for the ampulla of Vater and sphincter of Oddi done, extraction of the stone was performed using an extraction balloon, and insertion of a plastic stent in the CBD passing the stricture was performed without the need for CBD dilatation.

The patient improved, and the discomfort, fever, jaundice and leukocytosis disappeared within a few days.

Two weeks later, the patient started to complain of abdominal discomfort, epigastric heaviness and fullness, anorexia, nausea, repeated vomiting with gradual abdominal distension, reaching a huge size after two months (Fig. 2). Blood investigations and esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) were performed and were normal.

US and CT scan examinations revealed a huge fluid collection with a small amount of gas in between the bowel and anterior abdominal wall (Figs. 3 and 4).

Diagnostic aspiration through the abdominal wall using a syringe revealed a dirty, thick, light-green-coloured fluid, of which 41 were aspirated by the syringe, and its direct examination by Gram staining revealed Gram-negative bacteria with acute and chronic inflammatory cells; the chemical analysis was positive for bile pigment and bile salts.

The abdomen remained distended, so we took the patient to the operating theatre, used five millilitres of local anaesthetic lidocaine solution 2% injected locally just above the umbilicus, and performed a one-centimetre transverse skin incision to introduce a Fr 20 tube drain into the cavity of the biloma through the anterior abdominal wall fixed by silk suture (Fig. 5). Approximately 111 of the same fluid was drained (Fig. 6), the abdomen collapsed with no more fluid discharge after the third day (Fig. 5), the tube drain was removed at the seventh day, and the patient obtained relief from all of his symptoms, has been doing well and was followed for one year with no recollection or any other related complaint.
Fig. 3. CT scan of the abdomen in the transverse section showing the large fluid collection.

3. Discussion

Although perforation of the biliary system or duodenum is very rare after ERCP, it occurred in this case; however, we could not identify the exact site of perforation.

In ES, the cut is usually performed at the angle between the duodenum and CBD at 2 o’clock. If this cutting is overdone, it may reach the serosa of the organs that may open to the peritoneal cavity. The most probable scenario is that the CBD was injured at the site of the stricture during insertion of the plastic stent. However, through any of these rents, the bile seeped slowly and gradually into the Morison pouch enlarging insidiously and heaping in front of the duodenum, transverse colon and greater omentum just behind the anterior abdominal wall, reaching the urinary bladder downward. Because the patient has been diabetic for the last 20 years, he did not have severe pain due to bile irritation, possibly due to neuropathy, but he was complaining of dyspepsia, epigastric discomfort, and repeated vomiting due to the pressure effect of the bile collection on the duodenum and stomach.

Because of the massive collection of bile, we expected a large perforation; however, it closed spontaneously possibly due to
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1. After ERCP, any complaint of the patient should not be ignored, even if it is simple because it may hide a serious underlying problem that could be discovered and treated early.
2. However, very rare, after an ERCP, a biloma can occur that may reach a very large size causing many symptoms.
3. The biloma can be treated by aspiration with minimally invasive surgical interference particularly if the patient is clinically stable and with the help of US guidance.
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