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Abstract. A dozen species of locusts (Orthoptera: Acrididae) are a major threat to food security worldwide. Their outbreaks occur on every continent except Antarctica, threatening the livelihood of 10% of the world’s population. The locusts are infamous for their voracity, polyphagy, and capacity for long-distance migrations. Decades of research revealed very complex biocology of locusts. They exist in two, inter-convertible and density-dependent states, or “phases.” Despite the evident progress in understanding locust behavior, our ability to predict and manage locust outbreaks remains insufficient, as evidenced by locust plagues still occurring during the 21st century. One of the main reasons is that locusts typically inhabit remote and scarcely populated areas, and their distribution ranges often spread across continents. This creates tremendous obstacles for locust population monitoring and control. Traditional ground locust surveys are inadequate to address the enormous spatial scale of the locust problem in a limited window of time dictated by the pest’s development. Remote sensing (satellite information) appears a promising tool in locust monitoring. Satellite data are increasingly used for monitoring and forecasting two locust species, the desert and the Australian plague locust. However, applications of this geospatial technology to other locust species remain rare. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.7.075099]
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1 Introduction

Locusts have been the enemies of humans since the early days of agriculture. They are mentioned in ancient sacred books such as the Torah, the Koran, and the Bible. In the latter they constitute the infamous Eighth plague of Egypt. In the Old Testament of the Bible, there are about 100 references to insects and other arthropods; among them, the 40 references to locusts and grasshoppers far outnumber all other related quotes.1 Locust swarms often brought devastation and famine to entire nations. According to the ancient Roman historian Pliny the Elder, in 125 BC, 800,000 people died in the Roman colonies of Cyrenaica and Numidia (territories of contemporary Libya, Algeria, and Tunisia) from famine caused by a locust plague.2 In 1958 in Ethiopia, locusts destroyed 167,000 tons of grain, which is enough to feed 1 million people for a year.3

From the taxonomic standpoint, locusts are short-horned grasshoppers (suborder Caelifera, family Acrididae) of the insect order Orthoptera. Locusts are known to exhibit their density-dependent behavioral, physiological, and phenotypic polymorphism.4,5 Under low population densities, locusts live in the “solitarious phase” characterized by camouflage coloration (Fig. 1), infrequent social interactions, and sedentary behavior. At high densities, locusts develop into the “gregarious phase” often with strikingly black-and-orange colored nymphs (Fig. 2), which march in cohesive “hopper bands”4–6 (Fig. 3). The most spectacular differences between the phases are in behavior: the solitarious adults avoid each other except for mating, while the gregarious adults pack together in swarms (Fig. 4); they migrate, feed, mate, and lay eggs in crowds (Fig. 5). Furthermore, locust phases differ in food selection, nutritional physiology, metabolism, reproductive physiology, neurophysiology, endocrinology, pheromone production,
Out of 6,400 described grasshopper species of the family Acrididae in the world, only about a dozen exhibit pronounced behavioral and/or morphological differences between phases, and should be considered locusts. In other words, all locusts are grasshoppers, but only a few grasshoppers are locusts. The capacity to produce a swarming phase appeared independently a number of times in four subfamilies (Cyrtacanthacridinae, Calliptaminae, Gomphocerinae, and Oedipodinae) within the family Acrididae and is considered as a relatively recent trait in their evolution.

**Fig. 1** Solitary migratory locust, fourth-instar nymph. Photo: A. Latchininsky.

**Fig. 2** Gregarious migratory locust, fifth-instar nymph. Photo: A. Latchininsky.

**Fig. 3** Marching band of gregarious migratory locust nymphs. Photo: A. Latchininsky.
Both the locusts and their nonswarming “cousins” the grasshoppers are an essential component of temperate and tropical grassland biomes worldwide, particularly in the arid regions. At average population densities, they are beneficial for the grasslands ecosystem by stimulating plant growth, facilitating nutrient cycling, and playing vital roles in food webs. However, from time to time they can produce devastating transcontinental plagues and become a major threat to agriculture. The economic importance of locusts is not merely limited to direct crop and pasture damage. During outbreaks, a tremendous and costly effort is applied to control these pests. Although certain progress has been made recently toward locust outbreak prevention, current locust control strategies are still essentially curative, consisting of large-scale applications of broad-spectrum insecticides to locust infestations. There is a growing concern over the environmental impacts of locust control programs. Since many locusts inhabit desert and semidesert areas in developing countries, management of these pests is largely dependent on donors’ geopolitical interests, availability of funds, stakeholder inputs, and numerous other socioeconomic aspects.

2 What Makes the Locusts a Threat to Global Food Security?

While the proportion of agricultural crop yield lost every year to all pests combined typically ranges between 30 and 40%, the proportion of crops destroyed by locusts worldwide appears not to exceed 0.2% per year. Is our perception of locusts as the “worst historical pests”?
disproportionally exaggerated and based on myths rather than facts? The answer to this question is scale-dependent. Locust outbreaks have occurred on all continents except Antarctica and they can harm the livelihood and well-being of 10% of the world’s population.\(^5\) The desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forskål, 1775) outbreak of 2003–2005 affected 8 million people in over 20 countries with an estimated 80 to 100% of crops lost in afflicted regions, mostly sub-Saharan Africa.\(^28\) In Kazakhstan, an outbreak of the Italian locust *Calliptamus italicus* (Linnaeus, 1758) in 1999 resulted in the destruction of 220,000 ha of grain crops at an estimated cost of USD 15 million.\(^29\) Locust damage can be compared to that from a natural disaster like a hurricane or a tornado. For an entire national economy the total crop losses from locusts may seem negligible. For a given farmer or a cooperative, even a brief passage of a locust swarm may result in a complete destruction of the whole season’s work. This is particularly true for subsistence farmers in Africa as well as in Central Asia or Caucasus. Another important feature of locust outbreaks is their transboundary and often transcontinental nature. The invasion range of the desert locust covers over 20% of the Earth’s dry land in over 60 countries of the world (Fig. 6);\(^3\) the distribution of the migratory locust, *Locusta migratoria* Linnaeus 1758, is even larger (Fig. 7).\(^30\) Locust infestations in Central Asia annually cover millions of hectares (Fig. 8) and are a threat to all crops and pastures.\(^31\)

Besides the enormous distribution ranges, other features that make the locusts extremely dangerous pests are their proverbial voracity and polyphagy. Locusts are known to eat an equivalent of their own weight of green vegetation daily,\(^3\) although this is more true for the immature stages than adults.\(^34\) Locust swarms can attack numerous species of plants and destroy all major varieties of crops. More details on crop damage by different locust species can be found in Sec. 5.

Locusts are also notorious for their high fecundity and reproduction rates. In tropical and subtropical regions locusts develop continuously and produce two to four generations per year.\(^2,3,30\) Temperate locusts are univoltine,\(^30\) but even in this case their population size can increase dramatically from one year to the next. Locust life cycle includes a succession of three stages: egg, nymph, and adult (Fig. 9). A female lays eggs in the soil (Fig. 10) in batches called egg-pods, with each egg-pod containing from a couple of dozen to over 100 eggs, depending on the species.\(^2\) Each female typically deposits one or more egg-pods throughout her lifetime. During oviposition gregarious females aggregate, and the ensuing egg-pod densities commonly reach several thousand per square meter (Fig. 5).\(^2,5\) After hatching, nymphs grow.
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**Fig. 6** Invasion area of the desert locust (modified from Ref. 3).
through multiple (most frequently five, sometimes up to seven) successive stages or instars to reach adulthood. Early-instar nymphal population densities can be at the order of tens of thousands of individuals per square meter. After the last molt, the fledging adults mature, mate, and lay eggs, completing the cycle (Fig. 9).

Another trait that makes the locusts extremely dangerous and transboundary pests is their capacity for long-distance migrations, especially during the period of sexual maturation in the adult stage. The average distance covered by a swarm during a day varies from 10 km for the Moroccan locust to 200 km for desert and migratory locusts. While some locust species only fly several hundred km during their adult life, others fly many hundreds and even thousands of km. For example, migratory locust swarms can fly distances of up to 1000 km. The longest migrations are known for the swarms of the desert locust which flew across the Atlantic Ocean in 1988, having covered 5,000 km in 6 to 10 days. Swarm sizes, particularly of the desert locust, can be enormous, covering areas up to 800 km² and containing up to 40 billion locusts, which is the largest terrestrial congregation of animals on Earth.
Despite many decades of intensive fundamental and applied research, our ability to predict spatio-temporal dynamics of locust populations is still not adequate. As a result, locust outbreaks—the dramatic increases in population sizes which translate in spectacular hopper band movements and swarm flights—still often remain “unexpected,” and the current locust management strategy remains inefficient, costly, and unsustainable. The main reason for this is that the areas of initial locust aggregations (gregarization “hot-spots”) are usually scattered over a vast and sparsely populated territory. For the desert locust, the area of incipient gregarizations (the so-called recession area) covers 16 million km², which is roughly equal to the areas of the United States and Australia combined. The breeding areas of the Moroccan locust are spread over 10,000 km across North Africa, the Middle East, and Central Asia. Despite all national and international efforts to implement efficient locust monitoring, there is always a threat that in some locations locusts may produce an undetected gregarious population, leading to a large-scale outbreak. As a result, curative insecticide treatments are applied to enormous areas to minimize crop losses from locust outbreaks. For example, in Central Asia, over 2 million hectares were treated annually against the Italian, migratory, and Moroccan locusts in 2008–2012 (Fig. 8). Furthermore, locusts produce outbreaks (and thus require control) at irregular

**3 Locust Outbreaks: Always Unexpected and Unpredictable?**

Despite many decades of intensive fundamental and applied research, our ability to predict spatio-temporal dynamics of locust populations is still not adequate. As a result, locust outbreaks—the dramatic increases in population sizes which translate in spectacular hopper band movements and swarm flights—still often remain “unexpected,” and the current locust management strategy remains inefficient, costly, and unsustainable. The main reason for this is that the areas of initial locust aggregations (gregarization “hot-spots”) are usually scattered over a vast and sparsely populated territory. For the desert locust, the area of incipient gregarizations (the so-called recession area) covers 16 million km², which is roughly equal to the areas of the United States and Australia combined. The breeding areas of the Moroccan locust are spread over 10,000 km across North Africa, the Middle East, and Central Asia. Despite all national and international efforts to implement efficient locust monitoring, there is always a threat that in some locations locusts may produce an undetected gregarious population, leading to a large-scale outbreak. As a result, curative insecticide treatments are applied to enormous areas to minimize crop losses from locust outbreaks. For example, in Central Asia, over 2 million hectares were treated annually against the Italian, migratory, and Moroccan locusts in 2008–2012 (Fig. 8). Furthermore, locusts produce outbreaks (and thus require control) at irregular

---

**Fig. 9** Locust life cycle (modified from Ref. 32).

**Fig. 10** Female of Australian plague locust laying eggs in the soil. Photo: David Kleinert Photography (reproduced by permission).
intervals, which makes the sustainability of management infrastructure very challenging. Survey programs and logistical expertise do not survive through long recession periods and end up deteriorating and becoming inefficient. Furthermore, international donor organizations often lose interest in sustaining locust management in between the plagues, which results in inadequate crisis preparedness of control structures.

4 Locust Monitoring: How to Address the Problem of Scale?

In order to reduce the agriculturists’ exposure to locust outbreaks, numerous national and several international agencies are involved in locust monitoring and control. The goal of locust monitoring is to assess the geographic extent of the locust infestation, find the gregarization hotspots, evaluate the population parameters such as densities and developmental stages, and, if necessary, plan the control activities. Throughout the world, locust monitoring is typically implemented via ground-based surveys. Field survey data are reported to the national locust control units and shared with neighboring countries and international agencies. To assess locust risks and develop preventive measures, data on land cover habitat conditions are required. Field scouts collect information on the static ecosystem parameters (elevation/topography, soil type, vegetation type), as well as the dynamic parameters (soil moisture, temperature and rainfall, vegetation cover and growth). Particular attention is given to vegetation, which represents the essential component of the locust habitat, providing for them food and shelter. One of the biggest challenges in locust population monitoring is the immense, often transcontinental scale of the problem. Consequently, it requires a scale, which is qualitatively different from most other insect pests. Millions of hectares of potential locust infestations should be surveyed in a narrow window of time (usually just several weeks) dictated by the locust life cycle. As an example, in the recent years areas of locust (C. italicus, L. migratoria, and D. maroccanus) surveys in Central Asia were close to or exceeded 12 million hectares per year (Fig. 8). During outbreaks, areas to be surveyed can be ten and more times higher than in recession years. For example, an astronomical area of 34 million hectares (almost equal to the entire area of Montana) was surveyed in 2000 in Kazakhstan. For tropical locusts the surveys should be repeated several times per year according to the number of annual generations. It is evident that traditional, ground-monitoring methods can hardly achieve the task of such enormous scale and provide reliable spatio-temporal pest development and distribution data. Remote sensing, in terms of satellite image data, appears to be instrumental in addressing the challenge of scale in locust ecology. The first applications of remote sensing to locust monitoring were attempted as early as the 1970s to 1980s in Africa and Australia. Since then, there is a substantial body of publications on the subject (see Ref. 47 for review and references therein). The use of satellite data over vast areas in combination with GIS significantly improved locust forecasting and risk assessment. Yet after more than 30 years, satellite remote sensing became a routine part of locust monitoring only in two cases, the desert locust and the Australian plague locust. There are several reasons for this; the technological and educational ones are beyond the scope of the present review, which in the next section will address only the bio-ecological basis for potential applications of remote sensing to locusts.

5 Biology and Ecology of the Main Locust Species: Can Satellite Data Assist in their Habitat Monitoring?

Efficient management of any pest is based on the thorough knowledge of its biology and ecology. The key event in the biology of locusts is the change from a single-living and sedentary solitary phase to a gregarious phase in which they live in dense bands or swarms, actively migrate, and may devastate crops and rangeland well beyond their breeding sites. This phenomenon is known as locust phase transformation. It requires suitable environmental conditions and takes several consecutive generations to complete the density-dependent process of phase transformation from a solitary to a gregarious phase. Most of the time locusts lead solitary lives, but at some points in time, changes in their environment may initiate crowding, or “gregarization.” As a general rule, locust aggregation and eventual phase transformation are favored by
habitat discontinuity or patchiness, which can result from a variety of meteorological events.\textsuperscript{5,8,39} Locusts significantly differ in their life cycles, habitat preferences, and other ecological requirements. It is appropriate to consider potential advantages and limitations in their satellite-based monitoring on a species-specific basis, and for most economically important locust species.

### 5.1 Desert Locust, Schistocerca Gregaria (Fig. 11)

The recession area of the desert locust occupies arid and semi-arid lands covering 16 million km\(^2\) from the Atlantic Ocean to Northwest India\textsuperscript{3,8} (Fig. 12). Breeding occurs in the areas that receive 20–25 mm direct rainfall, and preferred oviposition sites are in sandy soils with a mosaic of grasses, herbs, and shrubs.\textsuperscript{30,37} Although rain over the area is largely erratic, it tends to fall seasonally. Consequently, locust breeding also occurs seasonally in different geographic locations. The summer breeding zones include the Sahel, West Africa, Sudan, Eritrea, Ethiopia, and the India-Pakistan border. The winter/spring breeding zones include NW Africa, Iran, Pakistan, the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden coasts, and the interior of Saudi Arabia and Yemen\textsuperscript{37} (Fig. 12).

Seasonal rains in the deserts trigger the growth of green vegetation, which attracts the desert locusts. This species is extremely sensitive to density changes, and its phase transformation occurs very rapidly and frequently. Females often aggregate to lay eggs (Fig. 13), which triggers

![Image](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing/075099-8/Vol.7.2013)

**Fig. 11** Adult desert locusts on millet. Photo: FAO UN.

**Fig. 12** Desert locust recession area with seasonal breeding zones and population movements between them (modified from Ref. 52).
and/or maintain the gregarization. The oviposition habitat is a mosaic of bare ground and patches of emerging perennial and annual herbaceous vegetation. For successful incubation, eggs of the desert locust must absorb their own weight of water from the soil. If soil moisture is insufficient, the eggs maintain viability for up to six months, after which they succumb from desiccation. In case the soil is moist enough, the eggs may hatch in about two weeks. Densities of hatching nymphs may reach 30,000/m² (Ref. 8). Hopper development includes five or six instars and takes between 22 (hot conditions) and 70 (cool conditions) days. During this period hoppers form cohesive bands that can march up to several km per day. Immature adults are colored in pink (Fig. 11); they pack in swarms that fly up to 200 km per day in search for areas suitable for egg-laying and successive hopper development. Once such habitat is found, the swarms settle, sexual maturation starts, and adults turn yellow and copulate. Several days later females start laying egg-pods at intervals of 7 to 10 days (Fig. 13).

Desert locust is highly polyphagous. The number of plant species it can attack exceeds 500 and includes all agricultural crops. Swarms may contain 50 million adults per km², so even a moderate 10 km² swarm would consume 1000 tons of fresh vegetation daily. The area that can be invaded by the desert locust swarms is 29 million km² (Ref. 52) (Fig. 6). Damage is reported from almost 50 countries worldwide.

Most recent large-scale outbreaks of *S. gregaria* occurred in 1986–1989 and in 2003–2005, mostly on the African continent. In 1986–1989, 16.8 million ha were treated with 11 million liters and 2,700 tons of dust insecticides. The costs of campaign to the international donor community amounted to USD 274 million. During this outbreak, the transatlantic swarm flights mentioned above were recorded in 1988.

In 2003–2005, to curtail the outbreak of the desert locust, 13 million ha were treated with broad-spectrum insecticides in 26 countries on three continents. Such transcontinental operations, together with food aid for affected populations, cost over half a billion USD to the world community, not to mention human and environmental health costs.

Reliable and timely identification of the areas where vegetation emerges after rainfall is the main goal of the desert locust monitoring and the key to its preventive management, as opposed to a conventional, curative approach. Under the preventive mode, locust control services are proactively searching for incipient gregarizing populations and control them on a small scale before the onset of emigration flights. Obviously, location of such areas via ground surveys presents tremendous difficulties. Most of them are scattered over vast unpopulated zones with scarce water sources and virtually no roads. Several key locust breeding areas are in the zones of ongoing military conflicts. Political insecurity hampers all activities in those regions, not to mention the locust monitoring.

Taking all this into account, the applications of satellite data and GIS provided an important advance in desert locust monitoring and forecasting. It became possible through financial and
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**Fig. 13** Copulation and group oviposition by the desert locusts. Photo: FAO UN.
institutional support from the international organization, the Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations (FAO) which operates the desert Locust Information Service (DLIS). An up-to-date account of this system is presented in the current special section.56

In addition to satellite imagery, an interesting possibility for assessment of the soil moisture—one of the key predictors to locate the desert locust breeding sites—is offered by the active remote sensing in the form of RADAR. Although this methodology is widely used for measuring soil moisture worldwide,57–60 to our knowledge its applicability to the desert locust habitat monitoring has not been tested yet. Finally, another RADAR application consists in the use of the Vertically Looking RADAR (VLR) to track the desert locust swarm flights. This tool was applied as early as in 196861 and later was considered a useful complement for the routine locust surveys.62 However, the time-consuming nature of the data analysis made this swarm-tracking tool impractical.63

Analysis of existing publications47,50,56,64–69 reveals that, although the main goal of the desert locust habitat monitoring—to distinguish between the green vegetation and nonvegetative areas—appears to be attainable through processing certain types of satellite data, the method has important limitations. The vast range of the locust makes it very difficult to find an acceptable compromise between the spatial and temporal resolutions when choosing the remote sensing platform. A huge amount of different remotely sensed data (land cover, meteorology, locust information . . . ) makes the processing increasingly sophisticated, time consuming, and expensive. With very few exceptions, most national desert locust control organizations of the affected countries are not capable to perform this task, and therefore they rely on the expertise and funding of an international agency—FAO and its DLIS. Hence the desert locust monitoring and forecasting is becoming increasingly demanding for the international community from both technological and financial standpoints. As such, forecasting and battling the “worst historical insect pest” remain very challenging, even in the 21st century.

5.2 Australian Plague Locust, Chortoicetes Terminifera (Figs. 10 and 14)

Australian plague locust occurs throughout Australia, where it is a major agricultural pest. Its preferred habitats consist of a mosaic of bare ground for basking and egg-laying, short-grass cover for feeding, and taller sparse tussocks for night shelter. The locust can produce up to three annual generations under sufficient moisture conditions. Hoppers form dense bands (Fig. 15) that march several hundred meters per day. Outbreaks are triggered by abnormally heavy rains in November through January that fall in several key areas in the arid/semi-arid interior of the continent (SW Queensland and Central New South Wales)30 (Fig. 16). From these breeding areas huge swarms of adults fly into agricultural zones covering distances of up to 600 km in one night.70 Although the rangeland forage is the preferred food for the Australian plague locust, the migrating swarms can inflict severe damage to cereal crops, vineyards, orchards, and vegetable gardens. Australian plague locust nymphs are estimated to consume between 0.04 g (nymphs; averaged across all instars) and 0.2 g (adults) of green vegetation per day. With adult densities in settled swarms up to 50/m², a swarm covering an area of 1 km² could destroy over one ton of green vegetation a day.70 In 1984, during a major outbreak of the Australian plague locust, estimated crop loss was AUS 5 million.70

The Australian plague locust populations can reach plague proportions within a single year if a sequence of widespread heavy rains occurs in inland areas.71 Such rains trigger transformation into the gregarious phase, allowing locusts to complete several generations of increasing populations. Less regular rains, falling in both the interior and in the agricultural zone of eastern Australia, can maintain high-density gregarious populations for several years. A plague cycle may involve migrating swarm exchanges between regions of summer and winter rainfall, and the persistence of high-density populations in agricultural regions of inland southeastern Australia. Prolonged periods of drought usually result in a population decline to very low levels and transition to solitarious phase. Between 1934 and 2012, Ch. terminifera produced plague populations in 16 years, infesting over half a million hectares in the agricultural zone; the plagues usually lasted for only one or two years.70

The behavioral pattern and habitat requirements reveal similarities between the bio-ecology and population dynamics of Ch. terminifera and S. gregaria. Similarly, from the remote sensing
standpoint, the goal of locust monitoring is to quickly and reliably identify the areas of green vegetation emerging after rains in the arid zones of the continent. Since, like in the case of the desert locust, the breeding zones of the Australian plague locust are situated in remote and semi-desert locations, the Australian entomologists were among the first to use satellite data for locust habitat mapping since the early 1980s. These studies showed that satellite data were instrumental in detecting the emerging vegetation and changes in vegetation condition which could then be associated with locust breeding areas, particularly the egg-bed locations. As such, it was possible to locate the areas which served as sources of locust outbreaks.

Australia is the world leader in terms of organization and implementation of locust monitoring and management. These activities are executed by a federal agency, the Australian plague Locust Commission (APLC), which incorporated the use of the remotely sensed data into the practice of locust forecasting. Multiple information sources, including remotely sensed vegetation and weather data as well as locust infestation data, were integrated into a GIS-based decision support system developed at APLC. It provides a reliable forecast of the Australian plague locust and other economic locust and grasshopper species, allowing people to devise and implement timely and efficient control plans. During a major outbreak of the Australian plague locust in 1984, a cost-benefit analysis estimated that without locust control over $100 million of crop losses may have occurred. More recently, an economic analysis of

![Fig. 14 Adult female Australian plague locust. Photo: James Woodman (APLC).](image1)

![Fig. 15 Australian plague locust hopper band. Photo: A. Latchininsky.](image2)
APLC locust control during 1999–2004 concluded there was a direct benefit-cost ratio of approximately 8∶1 (Ref. 70).

Other remote sensing technologies, such as vertically looking RADAR, are also used in Australia to track locust swarm migrations; however, because of financial reasons, the current use of the tool is limited to only two devices. In summary, in terms of the remote sensing applications to locust management, Australia represents one of the most advanced examples. As for the problems, the APLC control responsibilities do not cover all breeding areas of *Ch. terminifera* on the continent, which requires cooperation between the APLC and locust control services of non-APLC states (e.g., Western Australia), particularly during outbreaks and swarm migrations.

### 5.3 Migratory Locust, *Locusta Migratoria*

Despite the fact that *Locusta migratoria* has the largest distribution area among all grasshoppers and locusts (Fig. 7), the ecological requirements of the migratory locust are quite narrow. The locust’s breeding sites are restricted to wet grasslands on light soils, for example, stands of common reed (*Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud) along rivers or lakes (Fig. 17). Due to seasonal changes in hydrology, such areas are intermittently inundated, creating a dynamic and ever-changing locust habitat. Typically, population build-up takes place in a dry year (or season) after abnormally wet years (or seasons). Initial aggregations occur when water starts to recede from flooded areas, causing the locusts to concentrate on patches of drying-up soil with reeds or other grasses. The concentrations may lead to gregarization and trigger phase transformation. Such mosaic sites with open sandy patches and grassy vegetation clumps are the primary targets of the locust scouts during field surveys.

There are about 10 geographic races (or subspecies) of the migratory locust worldwide, which slightly differ biologically and morphologically. The tropical races—for example, the Oriental migratory locust *L. m. manilensis* (Meyen, 1835) or the Madagascar migratory...
locust *L. m. capito* (Saussure, 1884)—develop continuously without winter diapause and may produce up to four annual generations.\(^{30}\) The temperate races—e.g., the Asian migratory locust *L. m. migratoria* Linnaeus, 1758—are univoltine, with eggs overwintering in the soil.\(^{30,32}\) These three races—the Oriental, the Madagascar, and the Asian migratory locusts—are the most important economically ones and thus are treated in more detail below. It should be noted that the African race of the migratory locust *L. m. migratorioides* (Reiche & Fairmaire, 1850), which is widespread in Africa south of the Sahara, has lost its economic importance because of the intensive agricultural development in its breeding areas. Therefore it is not covered in the present review.

**5.3.1 Asian migratory locust, *L. m. migratoria* (Fig. 18)**

*L. m. migratoria* is one of the most important agricultural pests in the countries of the former Soviet Union, particularly Russia, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan. Its main breeding areas cover in total six million hectares. They are situated in reed stands in the deltas of big rivers such as Volga, Amudarya, Syrdarya, Ural, and Ili and around big lakes such as Balkhash, Alakol, and Zaisan.\(^{86}\) Annual infested areas in the Lake Balkhash area and the River Amudarya delta can exceed one million hectares.\(^{32}\) Hoppers usually spend their life in the reeds where they feed and march in very dense groups (Fig. 19). Some hopper bands can be extremely large: Novitsky\(^{87}\) reported a band of 120 km long and 10 km wide. The Asian migratory locust swarms can fly out of the reed areas and damage all major agricultural crops such as rice, wheat, cotton, barley, melon, vegetables, and potatoes.\(^{88}\) Swarms of *L. m. migratoria* are known to fly distances over 1,000 km;
such flights were reported between the River Amudarya delta and west coast of the Caspian Sea. The outbreaks are usually preceded by abnormally dry years when larger areas become free from flooding and expand the reed habitat suitable for oviposition. Thus after a severe drought in 1945, the Asian migratory locust infested more than 1 million ha in 1946, and chemical treatments to control the plague were implemented on >500,000 ha (Ref. 89).

The Asian migratory locust surveys are conducted by Locust Control/Plant Protection services in Kazakhstan, Russia, and Uzbekistan. Wetlands constitute a dynamic system of rivers, canals, lakes, islands, and reed stands with very limited accessibility (Fig. 20). Since locust presence is closely associated with reed stands, the surveys target the spatio-temporal distribution of reed vegetation. Satellite information appeared to be useful in addressing this task. Using satellite data, researchers were able to reliably (>80% classification accuracy) track the reed distribution in the River Ili (Kazakhstan) and Amudarya River (Uzbekistan) deltas. Using the satellite information, it was possible to map the distribution of reeds in early spring or late summer. The first period coincides with the Asian migratory locust hatching from the eggs, and the satellite-derived information was useful for directing the ground survey teams towards potential nymphal habitats. The second period coincides with the locust oviposition, and such information could be used for locating locust egg-beds. A combination of remote

![Fig. 19 Marching band of the Asian migratory locust hoppers. Photo: A. Latchininsky.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing)

![Fig. 20 River Amudarya delta, a typical wetland habitat of the Asian migratory locust. Photo: E. Kirkilionis-Wilps (GTZ).](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing)
sensing assessments of vegetation and water depth was proposed to forecast the risk of Asian migratory locust infestations in the Lake Balkhash area of Kazakhstan. However, to date, applications of remote sensing to Asian migratory locust monitoring is limited to the use of habitat maps derived from satellite data by the locust control services in Uzbekistan. In other parts of its range (Russia, Kazakhstan, or Northeast China), this methodology is not used yet by locust control services.

5.3.2 **Oriental migratory locust, L. m. manilensis**

This subspecies is the major agricultural pest in China and Indonesia. In China, it is distributed in central and southeastern provinces but substituted in the north and northwest by the Asian migratory locust. Size-wise, *L. m. manilensis* is slightly smaller than *L. m. migratoria*. The number of annual generations varies with the latitude. In the northern part of its distribution range between 25 and 40°N usually two generations occur; south of 25°N there are three generations and near 18°N there are four generations. The preferred habitats of the Oriental migratory locust are very similar to those of the Asian migratory locust: low-lying, intermittently flooded, sandy areas around lakes, particularly near the old bed of the Huang-He (Yellow River) with reeds and other tall grasses and sedges (*Cynodon dactylon, Miscanthus sacchariflorus, Bromus japonicus, Eragrostis cilianensis, Setaria viridis, Cyperus rotundus, Scirpus maritimus*, etc.). The total potential breeding area of *L. m. manilensis* covered 405 million hectares in 1950, but after intensive agricultural development it shrank to 323 million hectares in 1986 (Ref. 97). Yet this enormous area (approximately 1/3 of the entire area of the United States) is the largest locust breeding area in the world confined to a single country (China).

As in the case of the Asian migratory locust, outbreaks are usually preceded by drought years with higher than the average temperatures. Warm winters, which increase egg survival in the soil, appear to be the key climatic factor contributing to outbreak formation; however, it is thought to be less powerful in the wet-humid Yangtze River region. Historical records of locust outbreaks in China, which are attributed to *L. m. manilensis*, exist from 200 B.C. Although like all other migratory locusts, the Oriental locust prefers to feed on grasses and frequently damages grain crops, it can also attack a wide array of other crops, such as banana, bamboo, citrus, sugar cane, coconut, cotton, lettuce, potato, soybeans, and tobacco. An adult locust consumes up to 5 g of fresh vegetation daily, which amounts to over 80 g during its entire life span (nymph and adult). Twelve thousand locusts would destroy one ton of food plants during their lifetime.

Because of the similarity in habitats between the Asian and the Oriental migratory locusts, the remote sensing applications to their monitoring are also similar, targeting the seasonal distribution of the reeds and associated wetland vegetation. In addition, satellite data acquired prior to and after an outbreak in China have been used for quantifying damages to vegetation inflicted by the Oriental migratory locust. It was possible to monitor the ongoing outbreak and identify the affected areas with 89 to 98% accuracy. Satellite-derived information was more accurate than the one derived from traditional ground surveys, but the situation was complicated by overlapping annual generations of the locust. Despite these research efforts, to our knowledge, remote sensing is not yet a part of practical monitoring and forecasting of the Oriental migratory locust by Chinese locust control services.

5.3.3 **Madagascar migratory locust, L. m. capito**

The Madagascar migratory locust is morphologically similar to the African race, although females have noticeably longer tegmina. Its distribution extends from Madagascar to several other islands in the Indian Ocean (Mauritius, Reunion, the Seychelles) (Fig. 7). The locust is multivoltine and usually produces four annual generations, one in the dry season and three in the rainy season. The generations overlap, which creates serious difficulties for monitoring and control. The outbreaks are usually short, lasting for one to three years, with much longer
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recession periods. The outbreak area is concentrated in the SW corner of Madagascar in areas covered with *Phragmites* and *Cynodon*, from which the swarms fly northward and eastward into the agricultural areas. If the conditions are favorable (e.g., 50 to 125 mm of monthly precipitation during rainy season), significant population build-up and the phase transformation to gregarization, may occur during a single rainy season. However, initial concentrations of locusts occur during the dry season breeding, and most of the known outbreaks were preceded by unusually dry seasons. In this respect the population dynamics of the Madagascar migratory locust are similar to those of the other migratory locust races.

*L. m. capito* is the most important economic pest in Madagascar, particularly of rice and sugar cane, as well as banana, coconut, cotton, maize, sorghum, wheat, pineapple, and millet. The plague between 1996 and 2000, during which economic losses of USD 50 million were recorded mainly in rice fields, was controlled by large-scale application of synthetic chemical insecticides. Given that Madagascar has a unique and rich biodiversity, such spraying may produce significant negative impacts on the environment and nontarget beneficiary organisms. In 2010, during another plague, the government estimated that over 460,000 rural families (2.3 million people) were at risk of famine caused by the locust swarms and potential crop losses were up to USD 135 million.

To date, there was only one attempt to assess the risk of the Madagascar migratory locust infestations using remote sensing. Using a Landsat 7 image, the researchers identified the locust habitats by combining visual interpretation with a supervised image classification. The data on the habitat type were combined with rainfall information in a GIS system, permitting a real-time assessment of the locust infestation risk. However, the geospatial methodology has never been used operationally for *L. m. capito* monitoring and forecasting.

To summarize, remote sensing is a useful tool in tracking the tall grass wetland habitats which are favored by the migratory locust races regardless of their geographic origin. For the tropical *Locusta*, the satellite-based monitoring is complicated by the overlapping annual generations. Research showed that satellite-derived information can be used to assist in habitat classification, ongoing outbreak monitoring, evaluation of vegetation damage, and assessment of risk of infestations of Asian migratory locust in Central Asia, Oriental migratory locust in China, and Madagascar migratory locust in Madagascar. However, because of the insufficient capacities of the local anti-locust agencies, the method had a hard time to find its way into the practical management of the migratory locusts. To our knowledge, for other subspecies of *Locusta migratoria*, the applications of satellite data to habitat monitoring have never been attempted.

### 5.4 Moroccan Locust, Dociostaurus Maroccanus (Fig. 21)

The geographic range of the Moroccan locust stretches for 10,000 km in the east-west direction, from the Canary and Madeira Islands to E. Kazakhstan (Fig. 22). Its distribution is discontinuous, consisting of isolated permanent breeding areas, separated from one another by natural obstacles like mountains or water bodies. During rather long periods of recession, this isolation is absolute. During short (one to three years) periods of outbreaks, some exchange between the adjacent populations is possible due to migrating swarms, which can cover distances up to 200 km (Ref. 113). In the course of such periods the populations expand from the permanent breeding areas and establish secondary breeding areas, which may function for several years. The outbreaks are initiated during drier than usual springs when most of the ephemeral vegetation dries up and the locusts concentrate on remaining green patches. According to some authors, if such conditions are maintained for two years in a row, solitary *D. maroccanus* may accomplish the transformation into gregarious phase and produce an outbreak; other authors believe that the process of outbreak formation takes at least four years. The patchiness of the Moroccan locust’s distribution suggests that the locust has rather narrow ecological requirements. Indeed, the preferred habitats of *D. maroccanus* are restricted to virgin foothills in the Mediterranean (*s. l.*) region located at altitudes between 400 and 1200 m above sea level and receiving about 300 to 500 mm of annual precipitation. This zone is covered by arid steppe or semi-desert vegetation consisting of ephemeral short grasses (particularly *Poa bulbosa*), sedges, and forbs (Fig. 23). For egg-laying, the Moroccan locust females choose areas with a mosaic of vegetation and bare soil, where they aggregate in dense clusters (Fig. 5).
Being highly polyphagous, Moroccan locust attacks all principal cereal crops (wheat, barley, millet, sorghum, rye, oats, and corn), legumes (beans, peas, and lentils), vegetables (cabbage, onions, lettuce, carrots, beets, potatoes, tomatoes, pepper, and cucumber), and forage, oil, and industrial crops (alfalfa, clover, vetch, sesame, cotton, olives, sugar beets, rape, and tobacco), as well as pasture lands. Many tree species, including fruit trees (cherry, apple, pear, peach, apricot, plum, fig, and mulberry), date palms, and even conifers (pine and juniper) are also ravaged. Damage from *D. maroccanus* is reported from 25 countries, mostly in Central Asia. For example, in 1958 in Afghanistan, Moroccan locusts ravaged 25% of the country’s crop area and destroyed 100,000 tons of cereals and vegetables. In 1983 in Uzbekistan, this locust destroyed 2500 ha of cotton. Annual chemical treatments in one country against this species can reach 651,600 ha (1984 in Uzbekistan).
The Moroccan locust is an early spring species, with hatching in March or April, depending on the latitude.38,39 At one location, all eggs usually hatch within a week, and the nymphal development is very synchronized. Hoppers aggregate in dense bands capable of long-distance marching, adults fly in loose swarms. After mating and egg-laying, the adults die off in early summer. *D. maroccanus* exhibits a strong embryonic diapause and remains univoltine throughout its entire range, from Morocco to Kazakhstan.30,38,39 The nymphal and adult stages last for two to three months, while the overwintering embryonic stage makes up for the rest of the annual cycle (up to nine months). Hence the time window for locust surveys is extremely limited while the areas to be surveyed every year cover millions of hectares in Central Asia. Furthermore, the transboundary nature of the Moroccan locust habitats in Central Asia is another obstacle to its efficient monitoring and management. Numerous permanent breeding areas are located on both sides of the border between adjacent countries.117 Although the migratory flights of this locust are relatively short (70–200 km), they often occur across the national boundaries.118 This trait of the Moroccan locust behavior requires cooperation and joint efforts between the neighboring states. Such cooperation is only making its first steps thanks to the FAO’s “Five-Year Program on Improving National and Regional Locust Management in Caucasus and Central Asia,”119 but it is far from being fully operational yet.

The bio-ecology of the Moroccan locust presents certain challenges to its successful monitoring and management. As in the cases of other locust species described above, satellite information may provide valuable insights in tracking the Moroccan locust habitats and assessing risk of its infestations, especially along the national borders where ground surveys are limited. Yet there are significant hurdles. First, the patchiness and mosaic character of *D. maroccanus* habitats makes it difficult to characterize them based on satellite data. A recent attempt to map Moroccan locust oviposition areas in S. Uzbekistan, based on vegetation density and using Landsat data, was only partially successful.120 To our knowledge, it is the only study that applied satellite data to the Moroccan locust’s habitat monitoring. Second, the short duration of the post-embryonic stages, which coincides with the development of ephemeral vegetation, limits the availability of the satellite data to a very narrow period of spring time. In Central Asia, where *D. maroccanus* is the most important economic pest, spring is characterized by a high percentage of cloudy days, which is a serious impediment for the use of earth-observing satellites in this season.120 Third, most breeding areas of the Moroccan locust are located at an altitudinal gradient from 400 to 1200 m, which requires special techniques and approaches in analyzing satellite data.121,122 To sum up, the remote sensing applications to the Moroccan locust habitat monitoring are just making their first and tentative steps, and the potential of this geospatial technology is yet to be tested for *D. maroccanus*.

### 5.5 Italian Locust, *Calliptamus Italicus* (Fig. 24)

The Italian locust is a major agricultural pest in the dry grasslands of the countries of the former Soviet Union, particularly Kazakhstan, Russia, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan and, to a lesser extent Afghanistan and Pakistan.32 Contrary to the migratory or Moroccan locusts, which exhibit relatively narrow ecological requirements, *C. italicus* is an ecologically plastic species that can occupy a wide range of habitats, such as overgrazed pastures, field edges, weedy fallows, etc.32 Its vast distribution range occupies temperate and subtropical Eurasia from Iberian Peninsula to Eastern Siberia (Fig. 25). In the northern and central parts of its range (e.g., forest-steppe of Kazakhstan and Russia), typical breeding areas are located in the forest-steppe transitional zone covered by herbaceous vegetation with a significant proportion of sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.).23 At more southern latitudes (e.g., deserts of Uzbekistan and Afghanistan), its distribution is restricted to humid areas in agricultural oases.124 The areas that need to be surveyed on an annual basis cover tens of millions of hectares, primarily in Kazakhstan and Russia. During outbreaks, the infested areas can be extremely high: in 2000, over eight million hectares (almost equals to the entire area of South Carolina) were treated against *C. italicus* in Kazakhstan, which is the world record of treatment area of locust control campaign per country per year.29

While the native steppe vegetation harbors very sparse Italian locust populations, its densities increase sharply in the disturbed areas, such as overgrazed pastures, road and field edges, etc.32
In other words, the preferred habitats of the Italian locust are man-made. Often they appear in the abandoned crop fields, which become vegetated by weedy forbs including *Artemisia* spp. After the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, more than 12 million hectares of former grain crops were abandoned in Kazakhstan, providing favorable conditions for the Italian locust habitat. In Kazakhstan, it may take between 10 and 25 years until a fallow returns to its original (precrop) grassland state. During the entire period such areas are prone to *C. italicus* infestations.

The Italian locust can attack grains such as wheat, millet, and oats; it is also a serious pest of cotton, citrus, grapes, alfalfa, mulberry, potato, beet, sunflower, tobacco, walnut, vegetables, and fodder grasses. During outbreaks, damage from the Italian locust feeding can be quite substantial (see Sec. 2).

As most other temperate locusts, *C. italicus* has a single generation per year. Females are known to oviposit in very dense clusters: the egg-pod density can reach 4,000 or even 10,000 per m² (Ref. 123), which is an absolute record for all locusts. After hatching hoppers form bands marching 200 m per day and up to four km during the entire hopper life; adult swarms fly up to 220 km (Ref. 123). Unlike *D. maroccanus*, the Italian locust’s development is not synchronized. It may take several weeks for all eggs from a site to hatch, and early-instar nymphs often co-exist with adults in the same population. Such an extended developmental pattern makes it challenging to choose the appropriate timing for ground surveys. Another problem consists
in the fact that the locust's broad habitat preferences become even broader during outbreaks. The locust becomes less restrictive in its choices of oviposition sites, which complicates tracking its infestations and significantly expands the area to be surveyed. The population dynamics of this species are not fully comprehended yet. Population increases are thought to be associated with dry and warm summers, which extend the oviposition period and egg production. However, the environmental conditions that trigger phase transformation in *C. italicus* are still poorly understood.

Ground monitoring of the Italian locust presents two important challenges: extremely vast and very heterogeneous areas to survey and extended period of development. The latter results in a complex population structure causing problems in choosing the appropriate timing of control. Satellite information may be useful to address the first of the two challenges. From the remote sensing perspective, the identification of the Italian locust's habitats is a two-step process. It consists of: (1) distinguishing fallows and other similar disturbed noncrop areas from active cropland, and (2) within those fallows, distinguishing sagebrush associations from *non-Artemisia* shrub cover. The first task can be accomplished through a time-series analysis of images of the same geographic area taken at different seasons or in a historical retrospective. Availability of reliable historical land use information is critical to accomplish this step. As for the second task—identification of sagebrush-based plant associations using satellite data...
examples from North America demonstrated its feasibility in a similar semi-arid environment. Landscape heterogeneity adds complexity to the analyses of C. italicus habitats; nonetheless, first attempts of mapping the Italian locust’s habitats using remotely sensed data appeared to be promising. However, as of today, application of satellite data to the Italian locust monitoring is still in the initial research phase.

5.6 Red Locust, Nomadacris Septemfasciata (Fig. 28)

The distribution range of the red locust Nomadacris septemfasciata (Serville, 1838) covers most of Africa south of the Sahara, Madagascar, Mauritius, and Reunion (Fig. 29). It inhabits treeless grasslands on seasonally flooded plains. The most important breeding areas of the red locust are located in NW Zambia, SW and central Tanzania, and SW Madagascar. Seasonal variations in flood levels result in a mosaic of tall grasses and sedges (Echinochloa, Hyparrhenia, and Cyperus spp.) with shorter grasses (Cynodon) and patches of open ground. There is only one generation per year. Females lay eggs at the beginning of the rainy season when most of the grassland is burned by the rural inhabitants. Eggs hatch in as few as 18 days. Hoppers tend to roost in taller grasses (Fig. 30). Nymphal development is rather long; it includes 6 to 8 hopper instars and lasts for about two months (although it is shorter in Madagascar). Adults appear between February and May. Gregarization increases during dry years when the vegetation becomes increasingly patchy, resulting in locust concentration in the remaining clumps of green grasses and sedges. After fledging, swarms fly out the breeding areas covering 20 to 30 km per day. Settled swarms roost in trees.

Plagues of the red locust are not frequent, but in contrast to those of most other locusts, they can last quite long. For example, one serious plague lasted from 1930 to 1944. Damage is reported from 20 countries. The list of useful plants attacked includes over 50 species of crops. Although the invasion area of the red locust covers 8 million km², the outbreak sources are restricted to only 0.1% of it. They are mostly located in Tanzania and Zambia. Therefore, to prevent the build-up of large-scale red locust outbreak it is necessary to closely monitor and if necessary, apply control to locust populations in these source areas. An International red Locust Control Organization funded by several central and south African countries is mandated to monitor and manage the locust. Monitoring is done mostly aerially from helicopters. If warranted, control treatments are also applied from aircraft. One particular source area is located on Iku Plains in Katavi National Park in SW Tanzania, which imposes additional restrictions on pesticide applications.

Challenges in the red locust monitoring include difficult accessibility (particularly from the ground) to source outbreak areas which are scattered over a vast territory in several countries. Remote sensing may be a right tool to address these challenges. Locust oviposition usually occurs in freshly burnt grasslands, so locating such areas is the key to outbreak prevention. Satellite data is widely used worldwide to assess the extent of forest and grassland fires. This methodology can be applied to identify the red locust breeding habitats. However, to date, the only reported

Fig. 28 Mating pair of red locusts. Photo: A. Latchininsky.
application of remote sensing to \textit{N. septemfasciata} lies in a different domain and uses a historical analysis to elucidate the migration pathways of this locust in Madagascar.\textsuperscript{135} Until recently, red locust was a secondary locust pest on Madagascar, compared to \textit{L. m. capito} confined to southwestern corner of the island.\textsuperscript{30} However, forest clearing and land development for agricultural purposes changed this situation. Comparing two high-resolution SPOT images taken 18 years apart, it was possible to calculate the areas of deforested zones which served as migration routes for red locust. The area of such “corridors” increased from 1986 to 2004 by 62\% as a result of
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\caption{Red locust distribution range (modified from Ref. 131).}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Nymphs of the red locust roosting in tall grass. Photo: IRLCO-CSA.}
\end{figure}
intensive deforestation. Using the newly cleared pathways, the red locust reached zones where it has never been recorded before and produced a spectacular outbreak in the early 2000s for the first time in Madagascar. As for continental Africa, which harbors the most important breeding areas of *N. septemfasciata*, remote sensing techniques to habitat monitoring have not been applied to date. To sum up, the use of satellite data in red locust monitoring, particularly in locating freshly burnt grassland areas, holds a promising potential which is yet to be explored.

5.7 Other Locusts

There are about half a dozen other economically important locust species in the world. At least three of them in our opinion are of interest in terms of application of remote sensing data to their ecology and habitat monitoring.

1. The brown locust *Locustana pardalina* (Walker 1870) has its permanent breeding area of 2.5 million hectares in the Karroo region in South Africa characterized by arid conditions and short steppe vegetation. It is the most important agricultural pest in S. Africa and adjacent countries.

2. The Central American locust *Schistocerca piceifrons piceifrons* (Walker, 1870) has important permanent breeding areas on the Pacific coast of Guatemala, El Salvador and Nicaragua, in N. Honduras, and in the Yucatan Peninsula of Mexico. The preferred habitat is a mosaic of bare ground patches for oviposition and plants for feeding and roosting. Females frequently oviposit in the middle of agricultural fields which makes the locust a major crop pest in Central America.

3. South American locust *Schistocerca cancellata* (Serville 1838) inhabits N. Argentina, S. Bolivia, Paraguay, Uruguay, and S. Brazil between 18 and 35°S. Its permanent breeding areas are located in semi-deserts covered with dry tropical scrub between mountain ranges. The locust can damage a wide variety of plant species including over 30 crops.

Economic importance, distinct habitat preferences, and solid baseline ecology knowledge make these three locusts suitable candidates for applied remote sensing studies. To our knowledge, such studies have not been attempted yet. Finally, there exist several other acridid species (e.g., Brazilian *Rhammatocerus schistocereoides* Rehn), which are considered borderline between grasshoppers and locusts, and which also may have a certain potential for remote sensing applications.

6 Locusts and Climate Change: What Is Happening and What to Expect?

Insect responses to global climate change are a topic of numerous studies. As poikilothermal animals, insects are particularly sensitive to climate warming (see Ref. 136 and references therein). Their responses to increasing temperatures can be grouped into three major categories: (1) expansion of ranges, (2) shifts in phenology, and (3) acceleration of developmental rates. Each of these three responses is attributable to different grasshopper species, which were shown to expand their distribution range to the north, show earlier phenology compared to previous decades, and exhibit faster development both at embryonic and post-embryonic stages. For locusts, however, information on effects of global climate change is scarce, and to our knowledge, deals exclusively with the Oriental migratory locust. Researchers analyzed a thousand years (957–1956) of records of locust outbreaks and weather in China and came to unexpected results: the outbreaks appeared to be associated with cold and wet, rather than hot and dry, periods and with drought/flood frequencies. When the span of analysis was extended to almost two thousand years, the negative association with temperature still held while that with precipitation became inconsistent, and more locusts were found in dry years. The authors concluded that global warming will be beneficial in terms of reducing the locust outbreak frequency, but in view of very inconsistent and even contradictory results of their studies, such prediction appears more a speculation. It also contradicts another Chinese study that found the locust outbreaks benefiting from higher temperatures, and thus would
be more recurrent with global warming. As a side note, recent worldwide molecular studies on *L. migratoria* revealed a very complex genetic structure of its populations. In particular, it appears that contrary to traditional views, most of Central and Eastern China is inhabited not by the Oriental migratory locust *L. m. migratoria* but by the Asian migratory locust *L. m. migratoria*. However, to avoid confusion, we followed the conventional point of view on Chinese races of *Locusta migratoria* (Sec. 5.3.2).

As limited as the existing data are, recent observations support the notion that certain locusts would benefit from recent climate changes, in particular from increasing temperatures. For example, the Moroccan locust in Turkmenistan in the past few years consistently breeds at much higher altitudes than 20 or more years ago. According to our observations, this holds true throughout Central Asia (Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Kyrgyzstan), where the vertical limit of permanent breeding areas of this locust shifted up by 300 m on average. Phenology of the Moroccan locust appears to be more precocious, and development faster than in the 20th century. The Asian migratory locust responded to the increased thermal resources by starting to produce second annual generation in two separate geographic locations, Uzbekistan and Russia. This phenomenon was considered a rare anomaly in the 20th century and recorded in the literature as a single case in 1927. These (admittedly limited) observations indicate, however, that locusts are likely to expand their ranges and, at least for species of tropical origin, increase the rate of development and possibly the number of annual generations. If this is true, the role of remote sensing in tracking expanding locust habitats may also increase. This is particularly important for the mountainous areas which have limited access for ground scouts.

7 Conclusions. Remote Sensing in Locust Monitoring: a Panacea or Just Another Tool in the Box?

As soon as the data from Earth-observing satellites became available for nonmilitary purposes, remote sensing became a hope for locust managers and forecasters worldwide. Indeed, over the last three decades, satellite imagery is increasingly applied to locust monitoring (Ref. 47 and references therein). After the pioneer studies by Pedgley and Hielkema, remote sensing has been used for finding and mapping emerging vegetation in the desert to help monitor and forecast the desert locust and the Australian plague locust. The tool allows assessing the ecological conditions favorable for locust survival, breeding, and gregarization. Identification of areas with emerging green vegetation helps in rapid decision-making regarding control interventions against the initial locust congregations. Based on the satellite imagery, locust management teams can target specific, high-risk locust gregarization sites. This significantly reduces the costs and contributes toward changing the paradigm of locust control from curative to preventive. However, despite an important progress in this direction, remote sensing alone cannot solve all locust problems, and the pest still can get out of control, as was illustrated by the desert locust upsurge 2003–2005. Furthermore, this tool is currently applied to the practice of locust monitoring and management to only two above-mentioned species, *S. gregaria* and *Ch. terminifera* and, to a very limited extent, to *L. migratoria*. Applications to other locusts range from scarce to nonexistent. Therefore, after a period of over-enthusiastic claims and views of the remote sensing as a panacea for solving locust problems, the research reports in the beginning of the 2000s sounded more cautious, if not skeptical. Yet the satellite data and associated GIS are believed to be very powerful instruments in the arsenal of locust managers, especially if accompanied by thorough ground work. With the advancement of our knowledge of the bio-ecology of different locust species and increasing availability of satellites and processing software, remote sensing is gradually making its way to become a routine and efficient tool in the practice of locust management, especially forecasting. Finally, the role of geospatial technologies may increase with locusts expanding their habitats, both latitudinal and altitudinal, because of the global climate change.
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