Affinity Based Nano-Magnetic Particles for Purification of Recombinant Proteins in Form of Inclusion Body
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ABSTRACT

Background: Protein purification is the most complicated issue in the downstream processes of recombinant protein production; therefore, improved selective purification methods are important. Affinity-based protein purification method using His-tag and Ni-NTA resins is one of the most common strategies. MNPs can be used as a beneficial alternative for Ni-NTA resins. However, there is no data on the capability of MNPs for protein purification from inclusion bodies; this issue is studied here. Methods: Recombinant His-tagged proteins of EGFP-His and SK-His were expressed in E. coli BL-21 (DE3) in soluble and inclusion body forms, respectively. MNPs including Fe3O4 magnetic core, SiO2 shell, and Ni2+ on the surface were synthesized by sol-gel and hydrothermal reactions and then characterized by XRD, VSM, and SEM imaging. Both synthesized Fe3O4@NiSiO3 and Fe3O4@Ni3SiO4 MNPs were employed to purify EGFP-His and SK-His under native and denaturing conditions, respectively. The quantity and purity of purified proteins were analyzed by micro-Bradford assay and SDS-PAGE, respectively. Results: Both synthesized MNPs were spherical and well-dispersed with the size ranging from 290 to 415 nm. Synthesized MNPs contained Fe3O4 SiO2 shell, and Ni3+ on their structures with suitable magnetization properties. Using Fe3O4@NiSiO3 and Fe3O4@Ni3SiO4 yielded 192 and 188 µg/mg of SK-His, as compared to 207 and 195 µg/mg of EGFP-His, respectively. Conclusion: MNPs containing magnetic Fe3O4 core, SiO2 shell, and Ni3+on their surface are versatile alternatives for Ni-NTA resins in protein purification for proteins expressed in both soluble and inclusion body forms. DOI: 10.29252/ibj.24.3.192
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INTRODUCTION

Protein purification is the main step of downstream processing of recombinant protein production that might impose a load of more than half of the total process cost1. Therefore, development of rapid and efficient methods for purification of target proteins from cell extracts remains as an important issue. Currently, affinity chromatography based on fusion affinity tag, which is co-expressed with the target protein, is one of the well-developed techniques for protein separation and purification. In affinity-based purification method, a variety of fusion affinity tags, such as chitin binding
domain, maltose binding protein, FLAG-tag, S-tag, and His-tag and their immobilized ligands, have been developed. In spite of the simplicity of His-tagged protein purification on column chromatography, it bears some limitations, including pretreatment steps to wipe out the cell debris, time-consuming process, and difficult manipulations. Recently, new separation methods have been developed for purification of His-tagged protein based on MNPs. MNPs are biocompatible nanostructures with high surface area to volume ratio and represent rapid and efficient protein separation traits. Several ionic moieties and groups of compounds, including Fe₃O₄/IDA-Cu²⁺[10], Fe₃O₄/SiO₂-GPTMS-Asp-Co⁴⁺[11], Fe₃O₄/Au-ANTA-Co⁴⁺[12], Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃[6], and Fe₃O₄@Ni₄SiO₃[13] have been coated on the surface of the MNPs and functionalized them for selective protein separation. Chemical stability, biocompatibility, low cost, and the simple synthesis process for silicate and Ni surface coating of these MNPs have frequently been reported.

Although the efficacy of MNPs with silicate shell and Ni coat have been shown for the purification of His-tagged protein models expressed in soluble forms under native conditions, their efficiency in purification of those models in inclusion bodies, under denaturing conditions, remains undetermined. It should be considered that the expression of recombinant proteins in the form of inclusion bodies will increase productivity and facilitate the purification process. In the present study, two different kinds of MNPs, including Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ and Fe₃O₄@Ni₄SiO₃, were synthesized, and the capability for His-tagged protein purification in both inclusion bodies (under denaturing conditions) and soluble forms (under native conditions) were assessed. The protein models of EGFP in soluble form and SK as an inclusion body form were used.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All chemicals used were of analytical grade. 1-Octadecene, NH₃·H₂O (25%-28%), TEOS (98%), NaOH, FeCl₃·6H₂O, NiCl₂·6H₂O (98%), oleic acid, ammonium chloride, and cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Polyethylene glycol 1000 and HCl were purchased from Merck (Germany).

**Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles**

First, 2.8 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O was dissolved in 30 ml of water, and then a mixture solution (ethanol, 40 ml; hexane, 70 ml; oleic acid, 9.5 ml) was added and stirred for 40 min. Next, 0.24 g of NaOH was added to the mixture and stirred for 40 min; the resultant mixture was kept at 70 °C for 4 h. Following the completion of the reaction, the organic layer carrying Fe(oelate) complex was collected and washed with water and dried at 85 °C overnight. The resultant Fe(oelate) was dispersed in oleic acid (9.6 ml) and 1-Octadecene (62.5 ml) solution at room temperature and degassed by purging with N₂ for 1 h. Subsequently, the mixture was heated to 280 °C gradually with a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ under N₂ flow, then remained at 320 °C for 1 h. The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature and precipitated by adding 500 ml of acetone and centrifuged at 22,000 xg for 20 min. Eventually, the precipitated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were dispersed in chloroform.

**Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂**

A volume of 0.5 ml of synthesized Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (40 mg/ml in chloroform) was added to a 5-ml cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide solution (55 mM) and stirred vigorously for 45 min. Then the solution was warmed up to 65 °C and kept at 37 °C for 1 h to evaporate chloroform. The obtained solution was added to a mixture (45 ml of water and 0.3 ml of NaOH 0.2 M) and heated up to 75 °C. After 5 min, 0.6 ml of TEOS was added, and stirred for 5 h. Finally, the synthesized Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles were dispersed in 20 ml of ethanol.

**Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ nano-magnetic particles**

Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ was synthesized based on the Wang's method. First, a magnetic core (Fe₃O₄) was synthesized as described, and then a SiO₂ shell was coated on the Fe₃O₄ core by Sol-gel procedure (Fig. 1). The synthesized Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ solution was sonicated for 45 min, and mixed with a solution containing NiCl₂·6H₂O (133.3 mg), NH₄Cl (276.5 mg) deionized water (10 ml), ethanol (10 ml), and ammonia solution (1 ml, 28%). The mixture solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave (50 ml) and sealed to heat at 170 °C for 10 h. Finally, the resulting precipitate was collected by centrifugation (22,000 xg, 20 min) then washed with deionized water and ethanol and dried at 42 °C overnight.

**Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@Ni₄SiO₃ nano-magnetic particles**

Fe₃O₄@Ni₄SiO₃ was synthesized as per Wu's method. Synthesized Fe₃O₄ (0.20 g) particles were dispersed in 70 ml of a solution of ethanol-water-ammonia (50:20:1) and stirred vigorously for 1 h. Following that, a mixture solution containing TEOS (2 ml) and ethanol (30 ml) was added gradually by dropping into the above solution. Next, the mixture was heated up to 50 °C for 6 h to achieve Fe₃O₄@SiO₂.
and 0.1 g of obtained Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ was added to 10 ml of Ni⁺² solution containing NiCl₂·6H₂O (2 mmol) and NH₃·H₂O (2.5 ml). Subsequently, the mixed solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 110 °C for 12 h [17]. After completing the reaction, the Fe₃O₄@Ni₃SiO₄ MNPs were collected by a neodymium magnet.

Characterizations of the MNPs

The structural properties of the MNPs analyzed by XRD with a X'Pert-PRO advanced diffractometer using Cu (Kα) radiation (wavelength: 1.5406 Å) were operated at 40 kV and 40 MA at room temperature with 20 intervals. The morphological characteristics and shape of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and Fe₃O₄@Ni₃SiO₄ MNPs were identified by SEM using a Philips XL30 ESEM microscope at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. The magnetic features of the MNPs were identified through VSM (Meghnatis Kavish, Kishan Co., Kishan, Iran) at room temperature.

Plasmid construction

All of the cloning steps were performed in Top10 E. coli (Invitrogen™, USA) using heat shock method based on the standard protocols [18]. In order to clone and express recombinant SK-His, the SK gene fragment was amplified by specific primers containing the NdeI and XhoI restriction sites (primers 1 and 2, Table 1) using genomic DNA of Streptococcus equisimilis ATCC 9542, as a template. The PCR product was digested by NdeI and XhoI enzymes and ligated into pET28a (+) plasmid. For EGFP-His cloning, specific primers containing NdeI and XhoI restrictions sites (primers 3 and 4, Table 1) were used to amplify EGFP gene using pcDNA3-EGFP, as a template. After digestion by the mentioned enzymes, the amplified EGFP was cloned into the pET28a (+) plasmid. Both constructs were confirmed by restriction enzyme analysis.

Protein expression

The confirmed constructs containing EGFP and SK were separately transformed to E. coli BL21 (DE3; Invitrogen™, USA) competent cells using heat shock method according to standard protocols [19]. Clone selection was performed on Luria-Bertani agar plate containing 50 mg/ml of kanamycin after 18-h incubation at 37 °C. Expression of SK-His and EGFP-His was induced by adding IPTG at the final concentration of 0.8 mM at 16 °C for 20-22 h. Cells were harvested at 15,000 xg at 4 °C for 20 min and stored at -80 °C. The harvested cells were resuspended in a 30-ml lysis buffer (stated separately for EGFP-His and SK-His, and then disrupted by sonication (Q125 sonicator, Misonix, USA) at Amp 50, with a 15 s pulse, 25 s pause on ice for 15 pulses. The solubilized proteins were separated by centrifugation (15,000 xg for 20 min), and the clarified cell lysate was used for further purification steps. Final purified EGFP-His and SK-His concentrations were determined by micro-Bradford assay according to the standard protocols, using bovine serum albumin (0.5-60 µg/ml) as standard [19]. SDS- PAGE densitometry analysis was performed by ImageJ software (version 1.51n) for semi-quantitative protein assays.

Purification of EGFP-His and SK-His by MNPs

SK-His and EGFP-His were purified under denaturing and native conditions, respectively. In brief, the frozen cell pellet from SK-His preparation was

---

Table 1. Primers sequences for gene construction

| No. | Primer name | Sequence (5’-3’)* |
|-----|-------------|------------------|
| 1   | SK-His F NdeI | ATACATATGATTGGCTGACCTGAGTG |
| 2   | SK-His R XhoI | ATATCTCGAGTTTTGCTGGTTAGGTTACTACG |
| 3   | EGFP-His F NdeI | ATACATATGATTGGCTGACCTGAGTG |
| 4   | EGFP-His R XhoI | ATACATATGATTGGCTGACCTGAGTG |

Restriction enzymes sites are underlined.
resuspended in denaturing binding buffer containing 8 M of urea, 100 mM of NaH₂PO₄, 100 mM of Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, and sonicated as described before. The solubilized inclusion bodies were mixed with 20 mg of MNPs and incubated at room temperature for 30 min with gentle shaking. The MNP-trapped His-tagged SK was collected by the neodymium external magnetic force. After three washes with wash buffer (8 M of urea, 20 mM of NaH₂PO₄, and 500 mM of NaCl, pH 6.0), the fusion proteins were eluted using an elution buffer (6 M of urea, 100 mM of NaH₂PO₄, and 100 mM of Tris-HCl, pH 4.5), and then the MNPs were collected by the neodymium external magnetic force.

In order to purify the EGFP-His, cell lysate was resuspended in a binding buffer (10 mM of imidazole, 50 mM of NaH₂PO₄, and 0.5 M of NaCl, pH 8.0), mixed with 20 mg of MNPs and incubated at room temperature for 30 min with gentle shaking. The washing step was performed by 8 ml of wash buffer (40 mM of imidazole, 50 mM of NaH₂PO₄, and 0.5 M of NaCl, pH 8). Subsequently, the trapped EGFP-His was collected by an elution buffer (500 µl:500 mM of imidazole, 50 mM of NaH₂PO₄, and 0.5 M of NaCl, pH 8) for four times, and finally, the MNPs were collected by the neodymium external magnetic force (Fig. 2).

**SDS-PAGE and Western blot analyses**

To evaluate the protein expression and identification of purified proteins, SDS-PAGE was carried out according to the standard protocols and Coomassie blue staining (G250) [18]. Protein identification was conducted by Western blot analysis; the recombinant proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, which was detected by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-6×-His-tag® monoclonal antibody (BioLegend, USA). Protein bands were finally visualized by brief exposure to 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Qiagen, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Characterizations of Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ and Fe₂O₃@Ni₃SiO₄**

**XRD results**

Figure 3 shows XRD crystallographic structures of Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ and Fe₂O₃@Ni₃SiO₄ MNPs. As shown in the Figure, both MNPs represent face-centered cubic structures for the Fe₂O₃ in their structures (JCPDS 19-0629) [20,21]. Besides, nickel silicate crystal is present in the Fe₂O₃@NiSiO₃ and Fe₂O₃@Ni₃SiO₄ structures considering the diffraction peaks in the pattern for the

---

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of protein purification by MNPs. (A) MNPs added to cell lysate containing the His-tagged target protein and untagged protein. (B) MNPs trapped the His-tagged target protein. (C) His-tagged target protein/MNPs complex collected by the external magnetic force, and (D) un-tagged proteins removed after wash steps and the His-tagged target protein release by the addition of imidazole.
Figure 3. XRD patterns of nanoparticles. (A) the XRD pattern of Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$ with corresponding picks for NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ and (B) the XRD pattern of for Ni (OH)$_2$ and NiSiO$_3$, respectively.

MNPs (JCPDS 43-0664)\cite{22}. Diffraction peak corresponding to nickel hydroxide is determined in Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$ XRD pattern (JCPDS 73-1520; Fig. 3B)\cite{13}.

**SEM results**

The SEM images in Figure 4 illustrate the spherical shape for both Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$ MNPs with the widely different sizes about 330 ± 35 nm (Fig. 4A) for the former and about 370 ± 40 nm (Fig. 4B) for the latter.

**Magnetization properties result by VSM**

As shown in Figure 5, the obtained magnetization curve for both Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$ MNPs show superparamagnetic properties, which suggest that magnetic remanence and coercive force are zero. The specific magnetization saturation values were 4.02 emu/g and 2.91 emu/g for Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$, respectively, indicating a suitable magnetic property for both MNPs in the presence of an external magnetic force.

**His-tagged protein purification by Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$**

As illustrated in Figure 6, both Fe$_3$O$_4$@NiSiO$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@Ni$_x$SiO$_y$ MNPs successfully purified EGFP-His, directly from the cell lysate. Binding capacities for both MNPs were measured after the addition of the MNPs to an excessive amount of cell lysate.
Fig. 5. Magnetic properties of the synthesized MNPs (A) VSM result of magnetic separation and redispersion process of the MNPs in PBS. (A) VSM results for (a) Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ and (b) Fe₃O₄@NiₓSiOᵧ. (B) Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ MNPs in disperse form and in the presence of a magnetic force.

containing EGFP-His. The results indicated that the Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ MNPs were able to capture EGFP-His at 16565 ± 8 µg per 80 mg of MNPs (207 µg/mg). This amount was 15605 ± 6 µg per 80 mg of Fe₃O₄@NiₓSiOᵧ MNPs (195 µg/mg). All measurements were in triplicates (Table 2). Samples from different steps of the purification process (Fig. 2) of EGFP-His were loaded on SDS-PAGE for further analysis and confirmed by Western blot. As shown in Figure 7 and Figure 9B, a sharp protein band is apparent between 25 kDa and 35 kDa positions of the protein marker, which corresponds to EGFP-His (30 kDa). The purity percentages of both MNPs was calculated by ImageJ software (version 1.51n), and the result represented more purity of Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ than Fe₃O₄@NiₓSiOᵧ (Table 2). Purified SK-His by the two MNPs was loaded on SDS-PAGE for evaluating the quality of purification process. As shown in Figures 8

Fig. 6. EGFP-His trapping by MNPs visualized by ultraviolet light (UV 538 nm). (A) 1, Un-attached EGFP-His and cell lysate; 2-4, 1st to 3rd wash of the Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ MNPs; 5, final elution by imidazole (300 mM); 6, Fe₃O₄@NiSiO₃ MNPs after the purification process. (B) 1, Un-attached EGFP-His and cell lysate; 2-4, 1st to 3rd wash of the Fe₃O₄@NiₓSiOᵧ MNPs; 5, final elution by imidazole (300 mM); 6, Fe₃O₄@NiₓSiOᵧ MNPs after the purification process.
### Table 2. Protein purification and quantification via MNPs

| Protein | Purification conditions | MNP | Yield\(^a\) (µg/mg) | Standard deviation (µg/mg) | Purity (%)\(^b\) |
|---------|------------------------|-----|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| SK-His  | Denature               | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) | 192  | ±3.4  | ~81  |
|         |                        | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) | 188  | ±4.3  | ~80  |
| EGFP-His| Native                 | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) | 207  | ±3.9  | ~73  |
|         |                        | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) | 195  | ±4.1  | ~71  |

All values and errors were represented as mean and standard deviations, respectively, from three independent purification experiments. \(^a\)Purification yields were determined using 80 mg of MNPs; \(^b\)Purity percentage was estimated using densitometry analysis on SDS-PAGE.

Purification of EGFP-His and SK-His under native and denature conditions demonstrated an average purity of 72% and 80%, respectively.

Evaluation by XRD (Fig. 3), SEM (Fig. 4), and VSM (Fig. 5) of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs confirmed their structure, morphology, size, and magnetization properties the same as the previous reports\(^{[6,13]}\). The measured Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) MNPs binding capacity for EGFP-His (30 kDa) was 207 µg/mg, which was comparable with Wang et al.\(^{[6]}\) result (220 µg/mg). However, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) represented 195 µg/mg binding capacity, which was similar to the result obtained by Wu and co-workers\(^{[13]}\) (193 µg/mg). More than 70% purity for both MNPs was obtained (Table 2), which is a suitable purity rate under the native conditions. However, buffer optimization and the increase of the total amount of immobilized Ni\(^{2+}\) on the MNPs surface could lead to more purity percentages.

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, we have synthesized two MNPs with the magnetic core of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), SiO\(_2\) shell, and immobilized Ni\(^{2+}\) on the surface to examine the capability of the MNPs for His-tagged protein purification from inclusion bodies. The inclusion bodies form of SK-His was purified successfully beside the soluble EGFP-His as the model proteins.

![Fig. 7. SDS-PAGE result for EGFP-His purification by the MNPs under native conditions. EGFP-His purification via (A) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and (B) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs: lanes 1, un-induced cell lysate; lanes 2, induced cell lysate after 22 h; lanes 3, cell lysate after purification by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs; lane 4, purified EGFP-His by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs in final elution via imidazole; M, protein marker](image)

and 9A, a sharp and specific protein band is visible around 47 kDa. The SK-His purity percentages of both MNPs calculated by ImageJ software revealed almost the same purity percentage for both synthesized MNPs (Table 2). As shown in Figure 9, Western blotting analyses of the purified EGFP-His and SK-His confirmed the validity of the purified protein by both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs.

![Fig. 8. SDS-PAGE result for SK-His purification by the MNPs under the denature conditions. SK-His purification via (A) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and (B) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs: lanes 1, un-induced cell lysate; lanes 2, induced cell lysate after 22 h; lanes 3, cell lysate after purification by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs; lane 4, purified SK-His by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@NiSiO\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@Ni\(_x\)SiO\(_y\) MNPs in elution buffer; M, protein marker](image)
Inclusion body expression is a well-known strategy for SK production\textsuperscript{15}, therefore, it was used as a model protein for inclusion body purification under the denaturing conditions. Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@NiSiO\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2} MNPs were represented purification capability under the denaturing conditions with the yield of 192 µg/mg and 188 µg/mg, respectively (Table 2). Despite the fewer yields as compared to EGFP-His, the average purity percentage obtained by both MNPs under the denaturing conditions was higher than that of EGFP-His (80\% vs. 72\%). Harsh denaturing conditions unfolds the proteins structure; consequently, unspecific attachment to the MNPs decreases, and fusion His tag can easily binds to immobilized Ni on the surface of MNPs. MNPs Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}/PMG/IDA-Ni\textsuperscript{2+} (103 µg/mg)\textsuperscript{23}, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}Au-ANTA-Co\textsuperscript{5+} (74 µg/mg)\textsuperscript{12}, and chitosan/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (62.8 µg/mg)\textsuperscript{24} with different kinds of conjugated groups and different binding capacities have been reported. However, the binding capacities of these MNPs may be affected under harsh denaturing conditions due to the complexes in their structures.

In conclusion, MNPs with a magnetic core of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, SiO\textsubscript{2} shell, and immobilized Ni\textsuperscript{2+} on the surface (Fig. 1) can purify His-tagged protein from inclusion bodies approximately up to 80\%. The binding capacities for both synthesized Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@NiSiO\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@Ni,SiO\textsubscript{y} MNPs were suitable and comparable with their performance under the native conditions. Low-cost production along with high binding capacity and purity percentage makes Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@NiSiO\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@Ni,SiO\textsubscript{y} MNPs attractive choices for His-tagged protein purification from inclusion bodies.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was financially supported by the Pasteur Institute of Iran and performed in partial fulfillment of Ph.D. thesis of M. Seyedinkhorasani.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST.** None declared.

**REFERENCES**

1. Roque AC, Lowe CR, Taipa MA. Antibodies and genetically engineered related molecules: production and purification. Biotechnology progress 2004; 20(3): 639-654.
2. Arnau J, Lauritzen C, Petersen GE, Pedersen L. Current strategies for the use of affinity tags and tag removal for the purification of recombinant proteins. *Protein expression and purification* 2006; 48(1): 1-13.
3. Lichty JJ, Malecki JL, Agnew HD, Michelson-Horowitz DJ, Tan S. Comparison of affinity tags for protein purification. *Protein expression and purification* 2005; 41(1): 98-105.
4. Vassylyeva MN, Klyuyev S, Vassylyev AD, Wesson H, Zhang Z, Renfrow MB, Wang H, Higgins NP, Chow LT, Vassylyev DG. Efficient, ultra-high-affinity chromatography in a one-step purification of complex proteins. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences* 2017; 114(26): E5138-E5147.
5. Jiang, M, Zhang L, Wang F, Zhang J, Liu G, Gao B, Wei D. Novel application of magnetic protein: convenient one-step purification and immobilization of proteins. *Scientific reports* 2017; 7: Article number 13329.
6. Wang Y, Wang G, Xiao Y, Yang Y, Tang R. Yolk-shell nanostructured Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@NiSiO\textsubscript{3} for selective affinity and magnetic separation of His-tagged proteins. *ACS
gradient magnetic fishing:
he
rticles in
magnetic nanoparticles for His-tagged protein purification. Langmuir 2011; 27(6): 3106-3112.
Xu J, Sun J, Wang Y, Sheng J, Wang F, Sun M. Application of iron magnetic nanoparticles in protein immobilization. Molecules 2014; 19(8): 11465-11486.
Meyer A, Hansen DB, Gomes CS, Hobley TJ, Thomas OR, Franzreb M. Demonstration of a strategy for product purification by high-gradient magnetic fishing: recovery of superoxide dismutase from unconditioned whey. Biotechnology progress 2005; 21(1): 244-254.
Geng H, Hu D, Yang L, Cui Y, Li H. Immobilized-metal affinity chromatography adsorbent with paramagnetism and its application in purification of histidine-tagged proteins. Separation and purification technology 2010; 74(2): 253-260.
Zhang L, Zhu X, Jiao D, Sun Y, Sun H. Efficient purification of His-tagged protein by superparamagnetic Fe3O4/Au-ANTA-Co2+ nanoparticles. Materials science and engineering C 2013; 33(4): 1989-1992.
Wu Y, Chang G, Zhao Y, Zhang Y. Hierarchical Fe3O4@Ni3SiO4 microspheres for affinity separation of His-tagged proteins. Journal of nanoparticle research 2014; 16(4): 2358.
Zhang Y, Zhang M, Yang J, Ding L, Zheng J, Xu J, Xiong S. Formation of Fe3O4@SiO2@C/Ni hybrids with enhanced catalytic activity and histidine-rich protein separation. Nanoscale 2016; 8(35): 15978-15988.
Nguyen ST, Quyen DT, Vu HD. Highly effective renaturation of a streptokinase from Streptococcus pyogenes DT7 as inclusion bodies overexpressed in Escherichia coli. Biomed research international 2014; 2014: 324705.
Peterson MJ, Snyder WK, Westerman S, McFarland B. Preparative protein production from inclusion bodies and crystallization: a seven-week biochemistry sequence. Journal of chemical education 2011; 88(7): 986-989.
Wu Y, Chang G, Zhao Y, Zhang Y. Preparation of hollow nickel silicate nanospheres for separation of His-tagged proteins. Dalton transactions 2014; 43(2): 779-783.
Sambrook JF, Russell DW. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 3rd ed. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
Krujer NJ. The Bradford Method for Protein Quantitation. In: Walker JM, editor. The Protein Protocols Handbook. New Jersey: Springer; 2009. p. 17-24.
Wang Y, Shen Y, Xie A, Li S, Wang X, Cai Y. A simple method to construct bifunctional Fe3O4/Au hybrid nanostructures and tune their optical properties in the near-infrared region. The journal of physical chemistry C 2010; 114(10): 4297-4301.
Wang Y, Li S, Xing X, Huang F, Shen Y, Xie A. Wang X, Zhang J. Self-assembled 3D flowerlike hierarchical Fe3O4@Bi2O3 core–shell architectures and their enhanced photocatalytic activity under visible light. Chemistry 2011; 17(17): 4802-4808.
Liu Z, Li M, Yang X, Yin M, Ren J, Qu X. The use of multifunctional magnetic mesoporous core/shell heteronanostructures in a biomolecule separation system. Biomaterials 2011; 32(21): 4683-4690.
Zhang Y, Yang Y, Ma W, Guo J, Lin Y, Wang C. Uniform magnetic core-shell microspheres functionalized with Ni2+-imino dicarboxylic acid for one step purification and immobilization of his-tagged enzymes. ACS applied materials and interfaces 2013; 5(7): 2626-2633.
Zhu J, Wang Y, Lu Y, Ma J, Luo GS. In situ preparation of magnetic chitosan/Fe3O4 composite nanoparticles in tiny pools of water-in-oil microemulsion. Reactive and functional polymers 2006; 66(12): 1552-1558.