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Abstract
EU-AIMS is the largest European research program aiming to identify stratification biomarkers and novel interventions for autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Within the program, the Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP) has recruited and comprehensively phenotyped a rare sample of 76 monozygotic and dizygotic twins, discordant, or concordant for ASD plus 30 typically developing twins. The aim of this letter is to complete previous descriptions of the LEAP case-control sample, clinically characterize, and investigate the suitability of the sample for ASD twin-control analyses purposes and share some ‘lessons learnt.’ Among the twins, a diagnosis of ASD is associated with increased symptom levels of ADHD, higher rates of intellectual disability, and lower family income. For the future, we conclude that the LEAP twin cohort offers multiple options for analyses of genetic and shared and non-shared environmental factors to generate new hypotheses for the larger cohort of LEAP singletons, but particularly cross-validate and refine evidence from it.
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In Europe, 1 to 2% of the general population is diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). ASD frequently co-occurs with other neurodevelopmental and psychiatric conditions [1, 2], persistently reduces adaptive functioning as well as quality of life, and is associated with premature mortality [3–5]. The genetic and environmental interplay driving the biological trajectories leading to ASD phenotypes remains poorly understood, and interventions are currently not targeting primary ASD etiologies. Although conceptualized as a neurodevelopmental disorder, the diagnosis of ASD is exclusively behavior-based, with no validated biomarkers being available to support diagnostic assessment or evaluate outcomes. Thus, a paramount objective of contemporary biomedical research on ASD is the identification of genetic, neurobiological, and other somatic markers as well as cognitive features that reliably characterize (subgroups of) autism and inform the development of novel treatment options. In order to achieve this objective, EU-AIMS (eu-aims.eu), the to date largest cross-disciplinary European network of autism researchers, was established.

EU-AIMS includes the clinical research project Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP) that has collected over 700 participants with ASD or typically developing (TD) controls across a multitude of European specialist ASD-centers. Protocol and sample details have been previously published in this journal [6, 7]. As a separate arm within LEAP, monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins, discordant (one twin diagnosed with ASD), or concordant (both twins diagnosed with ASD) for ASD plus TD control twins have been recruited. Using largely the common LEAP-protocol, data from the LEAP twins have been collected to enable analyses that can disentangle genetic vs. environmental factors for any putative risk marker of ASD found within the larger LEAP case-control cohort. Research using the twin design is particularly informative to study potential causes of ASD, as it provides maximum control of possible confounds, such as sex, age, socioeconomic status, family environment, and varying genetic backgrounds [8]. The purpose of this letter is to complete previous descriptions of the LEAP case-control sample and protocol [6, 7] by...
providing twin sample characteristics and analyzing options, using multiple levels of analysis.

The LEAP twin cohort includes $N = 106$ child, adolescent, and adult twins, 96 recruited from the Roots of Autism and ADHD Twin Study Sweden (RATSS) [9], and 10 at King’s College London (Tables 1 and 2). The LEAP twin protocol differs from the RATSS protocol, by both further deepening the cognitive/behavioral phenotyping with questionnaires, psychometric tests, eye-tracking paradigms and structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) as well as magnet resonance spectroscopy (MRS) assessments (see Additional file 1: Table S1). Clinical consensus diagnosis of ASD, based on ICD-10, DSM-IV-TR, or DSM-5 criteria, is supported by results from standardized diagnostic tools, such as the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADI-R) [10] and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Second Edition (ADOS-2) [11, 12]. Autistic traits are measured using the parent-rated standard form of the Social Responsiveness Scale-Second Edition (SRS-2) [13, 14]. ADHD-symptoms are assessed using parent and self-ratings (> 16 years of age) on the DSM-5 ADHD rating scale. General intellectual abilities (GAI) are measured with the Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children or Adults-IV (WISC-IV/WAIS-IV/WASI-II), and intellectual disability (ID) was defined when GAI below 70. Adaptive behavior is assessed using the parent interview version of the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-Second Edition (VABS-2), as well as parent and self-ratings with the Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS). Twins without any neurodevelopmental or other disorder were defined as TD. The twin research was approved by the Ethical Review Boards in Stockholm and at Kings College. Group differences on categorical variables were calculated using chi-squared test, on rank and continuous variables using Kruskal-Wallis test, and Mann-Whitney test was applied as a post hoc test for pairwise comparisons between the groups. We used the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS) for further deepening the cognitive/behavioral phenotyping with questionnaires, psychometric tests, eye-tracking paradigms and structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) as well as magnet resonance spectroscopy (MRS) assessments.

The LEAP twins (38.7% female) range in age between 6 and 26 years ($M = 16$ years, $SD = 3.85$), with no age differences between participants with or without diagnosis. Parents with ASD twins do not differ from TD twins in terms of education, but annual household income is lower in these families ($\chi^2 = 6.29; p = .043; \eta^2 = .07$), particularly in families with ASD concordant twins ($U = 86; p = .013; \eta^2 = .18$). As expected, ASD twins show higher autistic trait severity on the SRS-2 ($\chi^2 = 59.10; p < .001; \eta^2 = .57$), and autism symptom severity on the ADI-R (total score) ($\chi^2 = 63.88; p < .001; \eta^2 = .63$) and ADOS (comparion scores) ($\chi^2 = 53.10; p < .001; \eta^2 = .53$), compared to non-ASD co-twins and TD twins. Moreover, as expected, parent-rated adaptive behavior levels on both the VABS and the CIS are lower in ASD twins compared to non-ASD co-twins and TD twins. Also, ASD twins rate themselves as more impaired on the CIS than TD twins ($U = 394; p = .033; \eta^2 = .07$), but not compared to their non-ASD co-twins. The rate of intellectual disability (ID) is 18.8% among ASD cases, 6% in non-ASD co-twins, and 0% in TD twins ($\chi^2 = 9.13; p = .001; \phi = .29$). The groups differ on symptoms of ADHD ($\chi^2 = 34.75; p < .001; \eta^2 = .37$) and prescribed ADHD medication (central stimulants, SNRIs) ($\chi^2 = 13.66; p = .001; \phi = .38$), with a medication rate of 40.4% in ASD twins, 19.2% in non-ASD co-twins and 0% in TD twins. If Bonferroni correction is applied, the null hypothesis is rejected if the $p < .004$.

Overall, these descriptive results indicate consistency of the twin sample with the LEAP case-control singletons in terms of SRS-2, ADOS-2, and ADI-R-score distributions for ASD cases [6]. Findings are also in line with previous ASD research regarding the impact of ASD on adaptive functioning [3, 15], and increased co-morbidity with ADHD and ADHD symptoms. The mean score on the ADHD rating scale-5 [16] was around the 90th percentile in ASD cases when comparing to a normative sample. Also mirroring findings from previous research [2], other neurodevelopmental disorders and broader phenotypes were identified in several of the

| Table 1 LEAP twin sample characteristics ($N = 106$) |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Twin-pairs concordant for ASD | Twin-pairs discordant for ASD | Twin-pairs with typical development |
| n = 10 | n = 28 | n = 15 |
| Sex  | % males | 80.0 | 62.5 | 46.7 |
| Age (in years) | Mean (SD) | 15.3 (3.4) | 15.8 (4.4) | 16.8 (2.8) |
| Ethnicity % | Caucasian = 100 | Caucasian = 88.9 | Caucasian = 100 |
| | Black = 3.7% | Mixed = 7.4% |
| Maternal education % > high school exam | 300 | 48.1 | 53.8 |
| Paternal education % > high school exam | 44.4 | 41.2 | 46.2 |
| Annual household income median € | 34,000–45,000 | 45,000–68,000 | 68,000–90,000 |
non-ASD co-twins. Although the proportion of ASD cases with ID appears relatively low compared to previous international research reporting an overlap of 50–70% [17], it is only slightly below more recent epidemiological data from Sweden (17.4–29.4%) [18]. Thus, the risk of an overrepresentation of ASD cases without ID in the LEAP twin sample appears limited. The inclusion of twins concordant (7 MZ and 3 DZ pairs) and discordant (10 MZ and 18 DZ pairs) for ASD, as well as TD twins (10 MZ, 5 DZ), makes the cohort suitable for twin co-twin approach disentangling and controlling for genetic and environmental factors [19]. Particularly, in including MZ twin pairs discordant for ASD, we have the opportunity to elucidate environmental risk factors and to test if any found association exists independent of genetic influences. To date, only a few of studies have applied a discordant MZ twin pair design in ASD using smaller samples than the LEAP cohort [2, 19].

Ongoing analyses on the LEAP twins include full genetic characterization of the twin pairs using a genome-wide screen of copy number variants and whole genome sequencing analysis. MRS data will be used to examine the glutamate/glutamine and GABA excitation/inhibition imbalance hypothesis [20]. We intend to investigate cognitive processes, both at the level of higher constructs and at the more basic perceptual level, that have been suggested to model ASD and its severity [21]. These analyses will extend recent twin findings on the RATSS cohort, for instance, on resting state connectivity alterations and fetal and postnatal metal dysregulation in ASD [22, 23]. In order to fully account for the statistical requirements of the twin/co-twin design (estimating the between-pair or within-pair effect), and allowing for inclusion of both categorical and dimensional autism outcomes, a statistical framework of multiple adjusted (conditional) linear regressions based on generalized estimation equations (GEE) [24] has been developed for the LEAP twins using the drgee package in R.

While the size of our LEAP twins sample is relatively small, it is to the best of our knowledge the largest collection of ASD twins that are comprehensively phenotyped and where a multitude of unique accompanying biosamples are available. The LEAP twin sample includes a significant subset (currently about 15%) of all ASD discordant twins in Sweden in the specified age range [25], enabling identification of non-shared environmental influences on the phenotype [19]. As the focus in the LEAP twin collection has been on MZ twins, the number of included DZ pairs is rather low, making heritability analyses challenging. As LEAP was a RATSS add-on, some elements from the case-control LEAP protocol had to be excluded (e.g., functional MRI) to minimize participant burden. A possible limitation in twin samples is that the finding may not be generalizable to non-twin populations. Nevertheless, as the LEAP twin cohort shares its data collection protocol with the LEAP case-control cohort, it is possible to cross-validate findings from the LEAP case-control cohort in LEAP twins.

### Table 2 LEAP twin sample diagnosis, ratings on symptoms and adaptive behavior (N = 106)

|                         | ASD twins<sup>a</sup> | Non-ASD twins<sup>b</sup> | TD twins<sup>c</sup> | Group comparison p value; effect size | Between differences (post hoc) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SRS-2<sup>d</sup> mean (SD) | 90.3 (27.2)           | 32.9 (23.9)              | 30.4 (25.1)          | <.001; η² = .57                        | a > b, c                      |
| ADI-R mean (SD)         |                       |                          |                      |                                      |                               |
| Total score             | 30.4 (15.0)           | 7.8 (8.1)                | 3.8 (4.5)            | <.001; η² = .63                        | a > b, c                      |
| ADOS-2<sup>e</sup> mean (SD) | 5.9 (2.5)             | 1.9 (1.1)                | 1.9 (1.6)            | <.001; η² = .53                        | a > b, c                      |
| Intellectual disability % | 18.8                  | 3.6                      | 0                   | .010; ϕ = .29                         | a > c                         |
| Full-scale IQ mean (SD) | 92.7 (19.6)           | 99.0 (14.6)              | 103.7 (13.0)         | .051; η² = .06                        | a < c                         |
| ADHD symptoms<sup>f</sup> mean (SD) | 22.9 (12.4)           | 11.3 (11.6)              | 4.2 (4.6)            | <.001; η² = .37                        | a > b, c; b > c               |
| VABS-2<sup>g</sup> mean (SD) |                          |                          |                      |                                      |                               |
| Adaptive Behavior Composite | 72.8 (18.4)           | 95.6 (17.5)              | 103.2 (8.9)          | <.001; η² = .41                        | a < b, c                      |
| CIS mean (SD)           |                       |                          |                      |                                      |                               |
| Self report<sup>i</sup> | 9.7 (6.7)             | 10.3 (9.3)               | 6.3 (5.3)            | .090; η² = .05                        | a > c                         |
| Parent report           | 14.0 (8.0)            | 9.3 (8.7)                | 6.5 (6.8)            | < .0001; η² = .17                      | a > b, c                      |

Note:<sup>a</sup>In ASD-discordant and concordant pairs
<sup>b</sup>In ASD-discordant pairs
<sup>c</sup>Typically developed pairs
<sup>d</sup>Parent-reported Social Responsiveness Scale-2
<sup>e</sup>ADOS-2 comparison scores
<sup>f</sup>WISC-IV/WAIS-IV/WASI-2
<sup>g</sup>DSM-5 ADHD rating scale
<sup>h</sup>Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-2
<sup>i</sup>Columbia Impairment Scale
opening up the possibility to replicate singleton findings in a twin sample, controlling for genetic factors.

Lessons learnt are the complexity of identifying and recruiting twins even in case of excellent prerequisites (access to national twin register), collecting complete and usable data-sets for both twins (e.g., from sMRI, MRS) when aiming for comprehensive phenotyping, certain ASD subpopulations (e.g., “pure” ASD cases without comorbidities), and clearly phenotypically ASD discordant and concordant pairs. Given the rarity of discordant ASD twins in countries of low population, identification and recruitment must be a national, long-term, and well-funded effort. Access to nationwide population-based registry data on twins screened for neurodevelopmental disorders has been invaluable. We also recommend to conduct as many assessments online (testing, questionnaires) and offer the opportunity to participate in more demanding assessments (e.g., MRI, biosampling) in several regional sites to reduce the burden of travel and time consumption, and to take advantage of perhaps already established contacts to clinical and research units closer to home. Alternatively, families expressed the wish to perform the visits during weekends, due to school and working obligations. Another lesson learnt was the apparent beneficial effect of not only fully reimbursing the twins and their families for travel, accommodation, loss of income, and extra expenses, but also to pay a compensation (€200 for RATSS, €50 for LEAP) to each twin for participation, as well as to provide extended personal support by a research nurse taking care of all queries and practicalities. Finally, we also like to emphasize the importance of all participants getting annual updates on the twin research regarding progress, developments, and publications.

**Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to all twins and their parents who volunteered, to Ebzieta Kostrezewa and Nina Milenkovic for data collection and project coordination, as well as to Ralf Kuja-Halkola for statistical advice. We also acknowledge the contributions of the whole EU-AIMS LEAP group: Jamuria Ahmad, Sara Ambrosio, Bonnie Auyeung, Tobias Banaschewski, Simon Baron-Cohen, Sarah Baumeister, Christian Beckmann, Thomas Bourgeron, Carsten Bours, Michael Bramer, Daniel Brandes, Claudia Brogna, Yvette de Brujin, Bhismadev Chakrabarti, Tony Charman, Daisy Crawley, Ineke Cornelissen, Flavio Dell’ Acqua, Guillaume Dumas, Sarah Durston, Christine Ecker, Vincent Frouin, Pilar Garcés, David Goyard, Lindsay Ham, Hannah Hayward, Joerg Hipp, Rosemany J. Holt, Mark H. Johnson, Emily J.H. Jones, Prantik Kundu, Meng-Chuan Lai, Xavier Liogier D’Ardhuy, Michael Lombardo, David J. Lythgoe, René Mandl, Luke Mason, Andreas Meyer-Lindenthal, Carolin Moessnang, Nico Mueller, Laurence O’Dwyer, Manon L. Oeldehinkel, Bob Ongan, Gahane Pandina, Antonio M. Persico, Barbara Ruggeri, Amber Ruigrok, Jessica Sabet, Roberto Sacco, Antonia San José Cáceres, Emily Simonoff, Julian Tillmann, Roberto Toro, Heike Tost, Jack Waldman, Steve C.R. Williams, Caroline Wooldridge, and Marcel P. Zwiers.

**Funding**

This research was supported by EU-AIMS (European Autism Intervention), with support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (grant agreement no. 115300), the resources of which are composed of financial contributions from the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (grant FP7/2007–2013), from the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations companies’ in-kind contributions, and from Autism Speaks. It was also supported by a new IMI initiative–EU AIMS-2-TRIALS.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to an embargo period but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Authors’ contributions**

JKB, EI, DGM, WS, SB, and the EU-AIMS LEAP group designed and applied for funding of the study, developed data acquisition, and/or analysis protocols. EC, JI, JN, and KL collected and compiled the data. JI and SB wrote the first and final draft. KT, JN, EC, KL, JKB, EL, DGM, and WS contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing interests**

JKB has been in the past 3 years a consultant to/member of advisory board of and/or speaker for Janssen Cilag BV, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Shire, Roche, Medice, Novartis, and Servier. He has received research support from Roche and Pfizer. He is not an employee of any of these companies and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has no other financial or material support, including expert testimony, patents, and royalties. WS is employee at F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. SB has in the last 3 years acted as an author, consultant, or lecturer for Shire, Medice, Roche, Eli Lilly, Prima Psychiatry, GLGroup, System Analytic, Kompetentlo, Expo Medica, Prophase, and receives royalties for text books and diagnostic tools from Huber/Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, and UTB. Also within the LEAP group: DB serves as an unpaid scientific advisor for an EU-funded Neurofeedback trial unrelated to the present work. LH, JH, PG, and XLD are employees at F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. GP is an employee at Janssen. AML has received consultant fees and travel expenses from Alexza Pharmaceuticals, AstaZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Defined Health, Decision Resources, Destin Arzneimittel, Elsevier, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Gerson Lehrman Group, Grupo Ferrer, Les Laboratoires Servier, Lilly Deutschland, Lundbeck Foundation, Outcome Sciences, Outcome Europe, PriceSpective, and Roche Pharma and has received speaker’s fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, BASF, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Pfizer Pharma, and Servier Deutschland. TB has served in an advisory or consultancy role for Actelion, Hexal Pharma, Lilly, Medice, Novartis, Oxford outcomes, Otsuka, PCI scientific, Shire, and Vifor Pharma. He received conference support or speaker’s fee by Medice, Novartis, and Shire. He is/has been involved in clinical trials conducted by Shire and Vifor Pharma. He received royalties from Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, CIP Medien, and Oxford University Press. The present work is unrelated to the above grants and relationships.

**Additional file**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Summary of Study protocol for the LEAP twins. (DOCX 33 kb)

**Abbreviations**

ADHD: Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ADI-R: Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised; ADOS-2: Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule Second Edition; ASD: Autism spectrum disorder; CI: Columbia Impairment Scale; DSM-5: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition; DSM-IV-TR: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition text-revision; D2: Dizygotic; EU-AIMS: European Autism Interventions-A Multicentre Study for Developing New Medications; GABA: Gamma-aminobutyric acid; GAI: General intellectual abilities; ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, tenth Revision; ID: Intellectual disability; LEAP: Longitudinal European Autism Project; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; MRS: Magnet resonance spectroscopy; MZ: monozygotic; RATSS: Roots of Autism and ADHD Twin Study Sweden; sMRI: Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging; SNRI: Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SRS-2: Social Responsiveness Scale, Second Edition; TD: Typically developing; VABS-2: Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales Second Edition; WAIS-IV: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Third Edition/Fourth Edition; WASH-IV: Wechsler Abbreviated Scales of Intelligence-Second Edition; WISC-IV: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition.
Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details
1. Department of Women’s and Children’s Health, Division of Neuropsychiatry Unit, Center of Neurodevelopmental Disorders (KIND), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. 2. Department of Neuroscience, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Unit, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 3. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Center for Psychiatry Research, Stockholm County Council, Stockholm, Sweden. 4. Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. 5. Sackler Institute for Translational Neurodevelopment, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College, London, UK. 6. Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College, London, UK. 7. Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Neuroscience, Ophthalmology and Rare Diseases, Roche Innovation Center Basel, Basel, Switzerland. 8. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Stockholm County Council, Stockholm, Sweden.

Received: 17 October 2017 Accepted: 1 April 2018

Published online: 13 April 2018

References

1. Simoff E, Pickles A, Charman T, Chandler S, Loucas T, Baird G. Psychiatric disorders in children with autism spectrum disorders: prevalence, comorbidity, and associated factors in a population-derived sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008;47:921–9.

2. Ronald A, Hoekstra RA. Autism spectrum disorders and autistic traits: a decade of new twin studies. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2011;156B:255–74.

3. Bölte S, de Schipper E, Robison JE, Wong VC, Selb M, Singhal N, de Wiers PJ, Zwaigenbaum L. Classification of functioning and impairment: the development of ICF core sets for autism spectrum disorder. Autism Res. 2014;7:167–72.

4. Hirvikoski T, Mittendorfer-Rutz E, Bölte S, de Schipper E, Robinson JE, Wong VC, de Vries PJ, Bölte S. Premature mortality in autism spectrum disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2016;208:232–8.

5. Jonsson U, Alaei I, Lofgren Wilteus A, Zander E, Marschik PB, Coghill D, Bölte S. Annual research review: quality of life and childhood mental and behavioural disorders—a critical review of the research. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017;58:439–69.

6. Charman T, Loth E, Tillmann J, Crawley D, Wooldridge C, Goyard D, Ahmad J, Auyeung B, Ambrosio S, Banaschewski T, et al. The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): clinical characterisation. Mol Autism. 2017;8:27.

7. Loth E, Charman T, Mason L, Tillmann J, Jones EH, Wooldridge C, Ahmad J, Auyeung B, Brogna C, Ambrosio S, et al. The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): design and methodologies to identify and validate stratification biomarkers for autism spectrum disorders. Autism Res. 2017;10:247.

8. Martin N, Boomsma D, Machin G. A twin-pronged attack on complex traits. Nat Genet. 1997;17:387–92.

9. Bölte S, Willfors C, Berggren S, Norberg J, Poltrago L, Mevel K, Cohen CJ, Fransson P, Borg J, Stenkvist R, et al. The Roots of Autism and ADHD Twin Study in Sweden (RATSS). Twin Res Hum Genet. 2014;17:164–76.

10. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism diagnostic interview-revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 1994;24:659–85.

11. Gotham K, Risi S, Pickles A, Lord C. The autism diagnostic observation schedule: revised algorithms for improved diagnostic validity. J Autism Dev Disord. 2007;37:613–27.

12. Hus V, Lord C. The autism diagnostic observation schedule, module 4: revised algorithm and standardized severity scores. J Autism Dev Disord. 2014;44:1996–2012.

13. Bölte S, Pouletka F, Constantino JN. Assessing autistic traits: cross-cultural validation of the social responsiveness scale (SRS). Autism Res. 2008;1:354–63.

14. Constantino JN, Gruber CP. Social Responsiveness Scale. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services; 2012.

15. Chatham CH, Taylor KL, Charman T, Liogier D’Audyh X, Eule E, Fedele A, Hardan AY, Loth E, Murray L, Del Valle Rubido M, et al. Adaptive behavior in autism: Minimal clinically important differences on the Vineland-II. Autism Res. 2017. [Epub ahead of print].

16. DuPaul GJ, Reid R, Anastopoulos AD, Lambert MC, Watkins MW, Power TJ. Parent and teacher ratings of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms: factor structure and normative data. Psychol Assess. 2016;28:2–14.

17. Matson JL, Shogren M. Intellectual disability and its relationship to autism spectrum disorders. Res Dev Disabil. 2009;30:1107–14.

18. Iding S, Lundberg M, Sturm H, Dalmán C, Guntrip C, Rai D, Lee BK, Magnusson C. Changes in prevalence of autism spectrum disorders in 2001-2011: findings from the Stockholm youth cohort. J Autism Dev Disord. 2015;45:1766–73.

19. Willfors C, Tammimies K, Bölte S. Twin research in autism spectrum disorder. In: Casanova MF, El-Baz A, Sari JS, editors. Autism imaging and devices. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis; 2017. p. 15–36.

20. Rubensteyn JL, Merzenich MM. Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. Genes Brain Behav. 2003;2:55–67.

21. Brundson VE, Happe F. Exploring the ‘fractionation’ of autism at the cognitive level. Autism. 2014;18:17–30.

22. Neufeld J, Kuja-Halkola R, Mevel K, Cauvet E, Fransson P, Bölte S. Alterations in resting state connectivity along the autism trait continuum: a twin study. Mol Psychiatry. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.160. [Epub ahead of print] Published PMID: 28761079.

23. Aora M, Reichenberg A, Willfors C, Austin C, Gennings C, Berggren S, Lichtenstein P, Anckarsäter H, Tammimies K, Bölte S. Fetal and postnatal metal dysregulation in autism. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15493.

24. Neuhaus JM, Mc Culloch E. Separating between- and within-cluster covariate effects using conditional and partitioning methods. J R Stat Soc. 2006;66B:889–72.

25. Lichtenstein P, Carlström E, Råstam M, Gillberg C, Anckarsäter H. The genetics of autism spectrum disorders and related neuropsychiatric disorders in childhood. Am J Psychiatry. 2010;167(11):1357–63.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions