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Vasoconstriction Potency Induced by Aminoamide Local Anesthetics Correlates with Lipid Solubility
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Aminoamide local anesthetics induce vasoconstriction in vivo and in vitro. The goals of this in vitro study were to investigate the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction and to identify the physicochemical property (octanol/buffer partition coefficient, pKa, molecular weight, or potency) of local anesthetics that determines their potency in inducing isolated rat aortic ring contraction. Cumulative concentration-response curves to local anesthetics (levobupivacaine, ropivacaine, lidocaine, and mepivacaine) were obtained from isolated rat aorta. Regression analyses were performed to determine the relationship between the reported physicochemical properties of local anesthetics and the local anesthetic concentration that produced 50% (ED50) of the local anesthetic-induced maximum vasoconstriction. We determined the order of potency (ED50) of vasoconstriction among local anesthetics to be levobupivacaine > ropivacaine > lidocaine > mepivacaine. The relative importance of the independent variables that affect the vasoconstriction potency is octanol/buffer partition coefficient > potency > pKa > molecular weight. The ED50 in endothelium-denuded aorta negatively correlated with the octanol/buffer partition coefficient of local anesthetics ($r^2 = 0.9563; P < 0.001$). The potency of the vasoconstriction in the endothelium-denuded aorta induced by local anesthetics is determined primarily by lipid solubility and, in part, by other physicochemical properties including potency and pKa.

1. Introduction

Aminoamide local anesthetics induce vasoconstriction at low doses and vasodilation at high doses [1]. Levobupivacaine, ropivacaine, and mepivacaine are aminoamide local anesthetics that belong to the n-alkyl-substituted piperidyl xylidine family [2]. Addition of carbon to the basic structure of the n-alkyl-substituted piperidyl xylidine increases lipid solubility and potency [2, 3]. In addition, the membrane disordering efficiency of local anesthetics corresponds to their potency [4].

Levobupivacaine, ropivacaine, mepivacaine, and lidocaine produce vasoconstriction both in vivo and in vitro [1, 5–12]. Levobupivacaine and ropivacaine are long-acting aminoamide local anesthetics, whereas mepivacaine and lidocaine have an intermediate duration [13]. Depending on the vascularity of the injection site, vasoconstriction induced by local anesthetic and the addition of epinephrine may contribute to decreased absorption of local anesthetics into systemic circulation [14]. This leads to prolonged nerve exposure to local anesthetics and reduced plasma levels, in addition to the potency of intrinsic vasoconstriction being...
partially associated with duration of anesthesia produced by the local anesthetic [3, 14]. However, to our knowledge, the physicochemical property of local anesthetics that is primarily responsible for determining the local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction potency has not yet been identified. Therefore, the aims of this in vitro study were to investigate the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction and to determine which physicochemical property is principally involved in determining this potency in isolated rat aortic rings.

2. Materials and Methods

All experimental procedures and protocols involving animals were performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Gyeongsang National University.

2.1. Preparation of Aortic Rings for Tension Measurement. Male Sprague Dawley rats weighing 250–300 g were anesthetized by intraperitoneal administration of pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg). The descending thoracic aorta was dissected, and surrounding connective tissues and fat were removed in a Krebs solution bath (118 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 2.4 mM CaCl2, 25 mM NaHCO3, and 11 mM glucose). The aorta was cut into 2.5 mm rings, suspended on Grass isometric transducers (FT-03, Grass Technologies, West Warwick, RI, USA) under 3.0 g resting tension in a 10 mL Krebs bath at 37°C, and aerated continuously with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 to maintain the pH within a range of 7.35 to 7.45. The rings were equilibrated for 90 min with the bathing solution changed every 30 min. The endothelium was removed by inserting a 25-gauge needle tip into the lumen of the rings and gently rubbing the ring for a few seconds. Once the 10−6 M phenylephrine-induced contraction stabilized, endothelium removal was confirmed by observation of complete absence of relaxation induced by acetylcholine (10−5 M). Once phenylephrine (10−7 M)-induced contraction had stabilized, endothelial integrity was confirmed by observation of greater than 75% relaxation upon addition of 10−3 M acetylcholine. The contractile response induced by isotonic 60 mM KCl was measured for all aortic rings and used as a reference value (100%). After washing the KCl from the organ bath and the return of isometric tension to the baseline resting tension, cumulative concentration-response curves to local anesthetics in the endothelium-denuded aorta were obtained. The following concentrations were used for endothelium-denuded aorta: levobupivacaine: 10−6 to 3 × 10−4 M; ropivacaine: 10−6 to 10−3 M; lidocaine: 10−6 to 3 × 10−3 M; mepivacaine: 10−5 to 10−2 M. For endothelium-intact aorta, the concentrations were as follows: levobupivacaine: 10−6 to 3 × 10−4 M; ropivacaine: 10−6 to 10−3 M; lidocaine: 10−6 to 10−2 M; mepivacaine: 10−5 to 10−2 M. Subsequent doses were added after the previous dose elicited a sustained and stable contraction for 5 min. Each ring was used for one concentration-response curve. As endothelial nitric oxide attenuates the vasoconstriction induced by local anesthetics, the endothelium-denuded aortic rings were pretreated with the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME, 10−4 M) to prevent release of endogenous nitric oxide from any residual endothelium before the addition of local anesthetics to the organ bath [6, 8, 15].

2.2. Materials. All drugs used were of the highest purity available commercially. Acetylcholine, phenylephrine, and L-NAME were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Ropivacaine and levobupivacaine were kind gifts of AstraZeneca Korea (Seoul, Korea) and Abbott Korea (Seoul, Korea), respectively. Mepivacaine was donated by Hana Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Gyeonggi-do, Korea). Lidocaine was purchased from Daihan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Seoul, Korea).

2.3. Data Analyses. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Contractile responses to local anesthetics are expressed as a percentage of the respective maximum contraction elicited by isotonic 60 mM KCl. The logarithm of the drug concentration (ED50) eliciting 50% of the maximum contractile response was calculated using nonlinear regression analysis by fitting the concentration-response relation to a sigmoidal curve using commercially available software (Prism version 5.0; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). N indicates the number of rats from which descending thoracic aortic rings were derived. Responses to each concentration of local anesthetic were compared using repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni posttest (Prism version 5.0; GraphPad Software). Statistical analyses for comparisons of ED50 of local anesthetics were performed using a one-way analysis of variance followed by a Bonferroni multiple comparison test. As the independent variables were highly correlated with each other (a situation called multicolinearity), a traditional regression analysis is not suitable. As an alternative, a ridge regression analysis for solving multicolinearity was performed to determine the relationship between the ED50 of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction in endothelium-denuded aorta and the reported physicochemical properties of local anesthetics (Table 1) using SAS statistical software for Windows, version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) [3, 16–21]. Standardized coefficients are estimates resulting from an analysis carried out on variables that have been standardized so that their variances are 1 [22]. Standardized regression coefficients (SRCs) were used to determine which independent variables among octanol/buffer partition coefficient, molecular weight, pKa, and potency have a greater effect on dependent variables like ED50 in the multiple regression analysis when the variables are measured in different units of measurement (e.g., g/mol, log [octanol/buffer partition coefficient], −log Ka, or potency) [22]. In addition, in isolated aortas, linear regression analysis was performed to determine whether a correlation exists between the ED50 of local anesthetic-induced maximal vasoconstriction in the endothelium-denuded aorta, the local anesthetic concentration that produced the maximal contraction in the endothelium-intact aorta, and the reported octanol/buffer partition coefficient of local
anesthetics (Table 1). \(P\) values <0.05 were considered significant.

### 3. Results

In endothelium-denuded and -intact aorta, levobupivacaine, ropivacaine, lidocaine, and mepivacaine produced vasoconstriction at low doses and vasodilation at high doses (Figure 1). We determined the order of vasoconstriction potency \(ED_{50}\) among local anesthetics to be levobupivacaine > ropivacaine > lidocaine > mepivacaine (Table 2). The local anesthetic concentration that leads to maximal contraction in endothelium-intact aorta was \(10^{-4}\) for levobupivacaine, \(3 \times 10^{-4}\) for ropivacaine, \(3 \times 10^{-3}\) for lidocaine, and \(3 \times 10^{-2}\) M for mepivacaine (Figure 1(b)). The fitted ridge regression equation for the relationship between the log ED\(_{50}\) of endothelium-denuded aorta and the reported physicochemical properties \(\log P\), molecular weight [g/mol], pKa \([\log K_a]\), and potency; Table 1) is log ED\(_{50}\) = 2.1691 – 0.6244 \(\times\) pKa – 0.5534 \(\times\) log \(P\) – 0.0480 \(\times\) potency + 0.0004 \(\times\) molecular weight \((r^2 = 0.923)\) [3, 16–21]. Furthermore, the ridge regression analysis using SRCs showed that the octanol/buffer partition coefficient was the main factor that determined the local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction potency in endothelium-denuded aorta (Table 3). This is because the absolute value of SRC (−0.4701) of octanol/buffer partition coefficient was the highest among the independent variables representing the physicochemical properties of local anesthetics. Table 3 shows that the order of relative importance of the physicochemical properties affecting the local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction potency was octanol/buffer partition coefficient \(SRC\) (−0.4701) > potency \(SRC\) (−0.2428) > pKa \(SRC\) (−0.2068) > molecular weight \(SRC\) (+0.0169). The logarithm of ED\(_{50}\) in endothelium-denuded aorta negatively correlated with the logarithm of octanol/buffer partition coefficient \(\log ED_{50} = -1.750 - 1.151 \times \log P; r^2 = 0.9563; P < 0.001\) (Figure 2). In addition, the local anesthetic concentration that produced maximal vasoconstriction in endothelium-intact aorta negatively correlated with the octanol/buffer partition coefficient of local anesthetics \(\log [\text{local anesthetic concentration producing maximal vasoconstriction}] = -0.461 - 1.407 \times \log P; r^2 = 0.9283; P < 0.001\).

### 4. Discussion

This is the first paper to suggest that the lipid solubility of local anesthetics is principally involved in determining the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction at low doses. The perturbation effect of local anesthetics on membrane and lipid solubility correlates with the potency of local anesthetics [4, 23]. Highly lipophilic local anesthetics, such as levobupivacaine, may have significant effects on the lipid environment of cell membranes, altering the gating of ion channels including calcium channels [24]. Transient receptor potential canonical channels are thought to be involved in determining entry through sodium or calcium channels, which are modulated by cell membrane lipids and anesthetics [25]. The vasoconstriction induced by local anesthetics at low doses appears to be mainly dependent on calcium influx via voltage-operated calcium channels [5–8, 26, 27]. According to our ridge regression analyses with SRCs, the order of importance of the independent variables that affect the potency \(ED_{50}\) of vasoconstriction is octanol/buffer partition coefficient > potency > pKa > molecular weight (Table 3) [22]. Therefore, the octanol/buffer partition coefficient is the most important independent variable involved in determining the ED\(_{50}\). The decreasing order of octanol/buffer partition coefficients of local anesthetics is levobupivacaine (2.539) > ropivacaine (2.060) > lidocaine (1.633) > mepivacaine (1.322) [16]. In addition, the relative anesthetic potency of levobupivacaine, ropivacaine, lidocaine, and mepivacaine is 8, 6, 2, and 1.5, respectively, (Table 1) [3, 17, 20]. Taking into consideration the order of potency of both ED\(_{50}\) in endothelium-denuded aorta and the local anesthetic concentration that produces maximal vasoconstriction in endothelium-intact aorta (Figure 1 and Table 2), the order of lipid solubility and potency of local anesthetics is levobupivacaine > ropivacaine > lidocaine > mepivacaine. Taken together with previous papers, our results for the potency of vasoconstriction induced by low-dose local anesthetics via activation of calcium influx may be related to the magnitude of modulation of transient receptor potential canonical channels caused by changes in the lipid environment of cell membranes which in turn may be correlated with lipid solubility, such as the octanol/buffer partition coefficient of local anesthetics [4–8, 23–27]. In contrast to the order of potency of ED\(_{50}\) in endothelium-denuded aorta, the magnitude...
ropivacaine, 100% = levobupivacaine; induced contraction in endothelium-intact aorta: 100% = 100% traction in endothelium-denuded aorta: 100%

Further research into the potential relationship between oxide release induced by mepivacaine and lidocaine [27].

Table 2: The concentration (ED$_{50}$) eliciting 50% of maximum contraction induced by local anesthetics in endothelium-denuded aorta.

| Local anesthetics | N | Log ED$_{50}$ | Contraction induced by 60 mM KCl (g) |
|-------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| Levobupivacaine   | 6 | -4.65 ± 0.08  | 2.94 ± 0.66                          |
| Ropivacaine       | 6 | -4.11 ± 0.07* | 3.24 ± 0.51                          |
| Lidocaine         | 6 | -3.74 ± 0.15* | 2.88 ± 0.49                          |
| Mepivacaine       | 6 | -3.20 ± 0.07* | 2.91 ± 0.36                          |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
N: number of rats.
* P < 0.001 versus levobupivacaine, † P < 0.001 versus ropivacaine, ‡ P < 0.001 versus lidocaine.

Table 3: Ridge regression analysis using standardized regression coefficients regarding the relationship between the dependent variable (representing the local anesthetic concentration eliciting 50% of maximum contraction) and the independent variables (representing physicochemical properties).

| Independent variables | Standardized regression coefficient |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Octanol-buffer partition coefficient (log [octanol-buffer partition coefficient]) | -0.4701 |
| Molecular weight (g/mol) (base form) | +0.0169 |
| pKa (−log Ka) | -0.2068 |
| Potency | -0.2428 |

Ka: dissociation constant.

Of maximal contraction induced by local anesthetics in endothelium-intact aorta was higher for mepivacaine than for lidocaine. This difference between mepivacaine and lidocaine may be due to differences in endothelial nitric oxide release induced by mepivacaine and lidocaine [27]. Further research into the potential relationship between the lipid solubility of local anesthetics and calcium influx via voltage-operated calcium channels activated by local anesthetics is needed to determine the detailed mechanism of the vasoconstriction.

Increased molecular weight caused by increasing the number of carbons on the basic molecular structure (either on the end of the structure or linkage site, including amides and esters) of local anesthetics enhances potency and lipid solubility [3]. Lipid solubility is a major determinant of the ability of local anesthetics to diffuse through cell membranes composed of lipid bilayers [18]. Therefore, the higher the octanol-buffer partition coefficient, the higher local anesthetic potency [18]. The $pK_a$ is a constant that determines the unionized form of local anesthetic which rapidly passes through cell membranes and accelerates the onset of anesthesia [18]. The disordering effect of local anesthetics on the hydrocarbon core of the membrane is correlated with the potency of local anesthetics [4]. A strong correlation exists between lipid solubility of local anesthetics and $A$ fiber conduction blocking potency of local anesthetics [23]. As these physicochemical properties of local anesthetics are strongly correlated with each other (so called multicollinearity),
we used ridge regression analyses to investigate relationships between the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction and the independent variables representing the physicochemical properties of local anesthetics [3, 4, 18, 23]. Together with previous data, our results suggest that the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction is determined primarily by lipid solubility and, in part, by other physicochemical properties, including potency and pKa [3, 4, 18, 23].

In addition, treatment with lipid emulsion attenuates the vasoconstriction induced by levobupivacaine and ropivacaine, whereas lipid emulsion treatment does not significantly affect mepivacaine-induced vasoconstriction [5]. The magnitude of lipid emulsion-mediated attenuation of the levobupivacaine-induced vasoconstriction is higher than that of the ropivacaine-induced vasoconstriction, suggesting that the magnitude of lipid emulsion-mediated attenuation of vasoconstriction induced by local anesthetics is mainly dependent on lipid solubility [5]. These results suggest that the vasoconstriction induced by highly lipid soluble local anesthetics (e.g., levobupivacaine) is easily attenuated by lipid emulsion, because the higher the lipid solubility of local anesthetics, the greater the amount of local anesthetics dissolved into the lipid emulsion, rather than into the aortic ring cell membrane [5, 13]. The clinical implication for the relationship between the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction and lipid solubility identified in this in vitro study must be tempered by the fact that these results were obtained in aorta, whereas blood flow to organs, including spinal cord and peripheral nerves, is controlled by changes in the diameter of small resistance arteriole. Even considering this limitation, our results suggest that the relatively high potency of vasoconstriction induced by levobupivacaine and ropivacaine may contribute to their long duration of anesthesia because of decreased systemic absorption due to strong intrinsic vasoconstriction [5–11, 13, 14]. The relatively low potency of vasoconstriction induced by lidocaine and mepivacaine may be partially associated with the intermediate duration of anesthesia induced by these drugs [12–14]. The combination of epinephrine plus levobupivacaine has no effect on prolonging the sensory block compared with levobupivacaine alone [28]. Combined pretreatment with epinephrine plus ropivacaine has no effect on the reduction of nerve blood flow compared with ropivacaine alone [29]. These previous results may be due to the strong intrinsic vasoconstriction induced by levobupivacaine or ropivacaine that we observed in this in vitro experiment [28, 29]. The magnitude of nerve blood flow reduction induced by local anesthetics is higher with ropivacaine than with levobupivacaine [29]. The differences between our current study and the previous paper may be due to various factors, including single concentration-induced vascular response without considering the dose-dependent biphasic vascular response (vasoconstriction and vasodilation) induced by local anesthetics, experimental conditions (in vivo versus in vitro), or source (sciatic nerve blood flow versus aorta) [29]. One theory regarding the mechanism of action of intravenous lipid emulsion as a rescue therapy for the cardiovascular collapse induced by toxic doses of local anesthetics is the “lipid sink” theory that lipid emulsion provides a large lipid phase that extracts local anesthetics from serum and tissue [5, 13]. Together with previous papers, our result as to which lipid solubility is the major factor determining the potency of local anesthetic-induced vasoconstriction may support the “lipid sink” theory as a possible mechanism responsible for lipid rescue therapy [5, 13, 30–33].

Figure 2: Relationship between logarithm of local anesthetic concentration producing 50% of the local anesthetic-induced maximal contraction (ED50) in isolated endothelium-denuded aorta and logarithm of octanol/buffer partition coefficient (log P) of local anesthetics. All values are shown as mean ± SD. Each anesthetic was tested in aortic rings from six rats.
to 2% (equivalent to approximately $1.75 \times 10^{-2}$ to $7 \times 10^{-2}$ M), and the expected local tissue concentration encountered in the clinical setting with 77.5% protein binding ranges from $3.937 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1.575 \times 10^{-2}$ M [3]. Lidocaine is clinically used at concentration of 0.5 to 4% (equivalent to approximately $2.13 \times 10^{-2}$ to $1.70 \times 10^{-1}$ M). The mean plasma concentration of lidocaine as antiarrhythmic drug is $10^{-3}$ M, and the expected tissue concentration encountered in the clinical setting with 64% protein binding ranges from $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ to $6.12 \times 10^{-2}$ M [3, 35]. However, we hypothesize that the actual local tissue concentration of local anesthetics may be lower than expected, because if locally applied, the anesthetic is diluted with interstitial fluid and absorbed into fat tissue around nerve block site. Therefore, we suggest these supposed local tissue concentrations include the range of concentrations of local anesthetics producing vasoconstriction observed in this in vitro study.

In conclusion, these results suggest that the octanol/buffer partition coefficient of local anesthetics is the primary factor in determining the potency of local anesthetic–induced vasoconstriction. We determined the order of potency of vasoconstriction induced by local anesthetics in isolated endothelium-denuded aorta to be levobupivacaine > ropivacaine > lidocaine > mepivacaine.
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