Deglutitive Inhibition, Latency Between Swallow and Esophageal Contractions and Primary Esophageal Motor Disorders
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Swallowing induces an inhibitory wave that is followed by a contractile wave along the esophageal body. Deglutitive inhibition in the skeletal muscle of the esophagus is controlled in the brain stem whilst in the smooth muscle, an intrinsic peripheral control mechanism is critical. The latency between swallow and contractions is determined by the pattern of activation of the inhibitory and excitatory vagal pathways, the regional gradients of inhibitory and excitatory myenteric nerves, and the intrinsic properties of the smooth muscle. A wave of inhibition precedes a swallow-induced peristaltic contraction in the smooth muscle part of the human oesophagus involving both circular and longitudinal muscles in a peristaltic fashion. Deglutitive inhibition is necessary for drinking liquids which requires multiple rapid swallows (MRS). During MRS the esophageal body remains inhibited until the last of the series of swallows and then a peristaltic contraction wave follows. A normal response to MRS requires indemnity of both inhibitory and excitatory mechanisms and esophageal muscle. MRS has recently been used to assess deglutitive inhibition in patients with esophageal motor disorders. Examples with impairment of deglutitive inhibition are achalasia of the LES and diffuse esophageal spasm.
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Introduction

The main function of the esophagus is the transport of food and liquid from the pharynx into the stomach. A rapidly progressing pharyngeal contraction transfers the bolus through a relaxed upper esophageal sphincter into the esophagus, and as the upper esophageal sphincter closes, a progressive circular contraction begins in the upper esophagus and proceeds distally along the esophageal body to propel the bolus through a relaxed lower esophageal sphincter (LES). Therefore, in the esophagus, inhibition can play a role during the relaxation of both upper and lower esophageal sphincters, in the adequate organization of normal esophageal peristalsis and in the accommodation of the moving bolus.

Swallowing not only induces a contraction wave that progresses down the esophageal body but also triggers a wave of inhibition of the esophageal smooth muscle that precedes the arrival of the peristaltic contraction, resulting in relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter and in preparation of the esophageal body to
receive the oncoming bolus with minimal distal resistance.\textsuperscript{1,2} Experiments in vitro and in vivo have shown a wave of muscle hyperpolarization that spreads down the esophageal body preceding the occurrence of peristaltic contractions.\textsuperscript{3-7} The initial hyperpolarization of the muscle lasts progressively longer in progressively more distal segments; hence it may play an important role during the latency period between swallowing and contraction, and as a consequence, in the normal propagation of primary peristalsis.\textsuperscript{3}

In the striated muscle the peristaltic progression is coordinated by sequential excitation through vagal fibers programmed by a central control mechanism.\textsuperscript{6,7} The mechanism involved in the genesis of the aborally increasing latency gradient in the smooth muscle esophagus is more complex.

**Deglutitive Inhibition in Animal Studies**

Animal work in opossum and cat showed the presence of an intrinsic peripheral control mechanism in the smooth muscle portion of the esophagus, which can function independently from the central control.\textsuperscript{8-14} Activation of the intramural NANC inhibitory nerves induces a hyperpolarization of the cell membrane and the following contraction is thought to be a rebound phenomenon at the end of the inhibition (off-response); the latency gradient itself is built in the peripheral control system.\textsuperscript{8,15-22} Experiments using cat, baboon and rhesus monkey,\textsuperscript{25-25} and the recognized effect of atropine in human esophageal peristalsis\textsuperscript{26,26} do not deny the local mechanism in the myenteric plexus for distal inhibition via non-adrenergic non-cholinergic inhibitory neurons, but also suggest an important contribution of centrally controlled cholinergic excitatory neurons to the genesis of smooth muscle peristalsis.

Of interest, esophageal peristalsis can be induced in the smooth muscle esophagus in the presence of tetrodotoxin, which blocks all sodium channel-mediated action potentials in neurons.\textsuperscript{20-31} This can be evoked by electrical stimulation, esophageal distention or pharmacologic stimulation. It is believed that there is polarization of the muscle-to-muscle communication such that depolarization of one smooth muscle cell will result in electrotonic spread of current to adjacent muscle cells in an aboral direction.\textsuperscript{32}

Although an aborally increasing gradient in the duration of the initial inhibition is an attractive model to explain peristalsis, the calculated speed of peristalsis based on differences in the initial inhibition along the esophagus is on the order of 10 cm/sec,\textsuperscript{33} which is much faster than peristalsis in vivo. Thus, there must be mechanisms other than the intrinsic latency gradient to explain peristalsis. Experiments in which simultaneous electrical and mechanical activity were recorded in both the proximal and distal opossum smooth muscle esophagus have helped clarify the discrepancy between the in vitro and in vivo observations.\textsuperscript{34} It was shown that an initial monophasic inhibitory potential occurs along the esophagus with either swallowing or balloon distention. The duration of the initial hyperpolarization was slightly longer distally than proximally, but this difference was insufficient to explain the marked delay of esophageal contraction in the distal versus the proximal smooth muscle esophagus. Rather, in the distal esophagus the initial monophasic inhibitory potential was followed by a second wave of hyperpolarization before the membrane potential rebounded into depolarization and initiation of spike potentials. It was suggested that this secondary hyperpolarization is likely due to reactivation of descending inhibitory neurons by distention or contraction of the more proximal esophagus in the course of peristalsis. This suggests that intramural descending inhibitory pathways are crucial in generating the peristaltic wave. Subsequent studies in the opossum have demonstrated that localized distention appears to directly activate intrinsic nitrergic inhibitory neurons that send long aboral projections.\textsuperscript{35}

In summary peristalsis in the esophageal smooth muscle is due to distally increasing duration of deglutitive inhibition followed by deglutitive excitation. Deglutitive inhibition in the skeletal muscle esophagus can be explained based on the inhibition of neuronal discharges in the brain stem. The pattern of activation of the inhibitory and excitatory vagal pathways, the regional gradients of inhibitory and excitatory myenteric nerves, and the intrinsic properties of the smooth muscle all determine the latency between swallow and contractions and the velocity of peristalsis. The esophageal peristaltic contractions themselves are a blend of noncholinergic and cholinergic components. As a consequence, cholinergic antagonists such as atropine increase the latency and decrease the amplitude of contraction in the proximal but not the distal parts of the esophagus.\textsuperscript{37} In contrast, antagonists of nitric oxide synthase reduce the latency mainly in the distal segments and lead to simultaneous contractions.\textsuperscript{36,37}

**Demonstration of Deglutitive Inhibition in Human**

We provided for the first time, direct evidence that a wave of inhibition precedes a swallow-induced peristaltic contraction in the smooth muscle part of the human esophagus\textsuperscript{39} (Fig. 1). The inhibitory wave was visualized by the appearance, after deglutit-
Deglutitive Inhibition During Multiple Rapid Swallowing

Deglutitive inhibition follows each individual swallow. This phenomenon is essential for drinking of fluids at a rate faster than one swallow every 10 seconds. This is because the esophageal contraction in response to a single swallow lasts 8 to 10 seconds, and this will obstruct the bolus of a second swallow taken less than 8 seconds afterward. However, during the usual drinking of water, swallows may be accomplished every 1 to 2 seconds. This is made possible by the phenomenon of deglutitive inhibition in which a swallow abruptly inhibits any ongoing contraction in the esophagus. When multiple swallows are taken in rapid succession, the esophageal body remains inhibited until the last of the series of swallow, after which there is a fully conducted peristaltic contraction wave. This phenomenon was initially described by Hightower\(^{42}\) and later confirmed by many other investigators.\(^{26,43-49}\)

During rapid swallows, larynx remains elevated and upper esophageal sphincter remains open, pharynx may or may not contract, esophageal and LES remain relaxed. Esophagus becomes a simple conduit for the transfer of fluid pumped by the oropharynx into the stomach. If person swallows for the second time soon after the completion of first peristaltic contraction, amplitude of contraction related to the second swallow is lower than the first swallow, a phenomenon related to the refractoriness of esophageal muscle.\(^{47,49}\)

Deglutitive inhibition during multiple rapid swallows reflects both a peripheral inhibitory discharge to the esophageal smooth muscle and a central inhibitory phenomenon that occurs with each swallow.\(^{46}\)
Deglutitive Inhibition and Primary Esophageal Motor Disorders

Any condition in the gastrointestinal tract which impairs neural inhibitory activity will probably result in a discoordinated motor behavior. In the esophagus, the classical example of degenerative loss of neurons and impairment of inhibitory activity is achalasia of the LES.

In 1970 Christensen suggested that a system of local inhibitory innervation had to be studied to understand the pathogenesis of diffuse esophageal spasm and achalasia.15

Evidence of inhibitory dysfunction associated with abnormal peristalsis and/or incomplete LES relaxation has been found both in animal experiments50-52 and in patients with achalasia.53-58 Since then many investigators proposed that the spectrum of primary esophageal motility disorders may be due to different degrees of inhibitory dysfunction.15,48,59-61

Important evidence of impaired deglutitive inhibition in the esophageal body of patients with diffuse esophageal spasm and nonspecific esophageal motility disorders, has come from studies using paired swallows54,62 (Fig. 2). Two swallows taken at 5-second interval evoked a single contraction sequence in normal subjects but induced one contraction sequence after each swallow in patients with simultaneous contractions, denoting a weaker inhibitory interaction between swallows in patients with primary esophageal motility disorders.62

We used our technique to visualize deglutitive inhibition (by the appearance, after deglutition, of a relaxation of the sustained contraction that was induced by insufflation of a balloon in the distal esophagus) in patients with achalasia, diffuse esophageal spasm and intermediate forms. An inverse relationship was found between the degree of inhibition and the propagation velocity of deglutitive esophageal contractions: the less inhibition, the faster the propagation velocity, and in the extreme case of zero-inhibition the presence of simultaneous contractions. Our results, therefore, provided evidence in man for the hypothesis that the spectrum of primary esophageal motility disorders is an expression of a progressively failing inhibition.39

More recently, multiple rapid swallowing (MRS) was used to assess deglutitive inhibition in patients with esophageal motor disorders. MRS provokes not only absence of contractions in the smooth muscle portion of the esophagus and complete relaxation of the LES. The last swallow of the MRS series is followed by a powerful peristaltic sequence in the esophageal body together with a post relaxation contraction in the LES (Fig. 3). A normal response to multiple rapid swallowing requires on the one hand
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**Figure 2.** Esophageal contractions to pairs of vagal stimulus trains at different intervals (ISI) at the 5 and 1 cm sites above the lower esophageal sphincter. (A) Control esophageal contractions. Note that the 2 contractions were equal when ISI was 5 seconds at the 5 cm site and 7 seconds at the 1 cm site. (B) Esophageal contractions showing initial inhibition. Note that the first contraction was inhibited by the second stimulus at an ISI of 1 second at the 5 cm site and 2 seconds at the 1 cm site. (C) Esophageal contractions showing refractoriness. Note that the first contraction inhibited the second contraction at an ISI of 2 seconds at the 5 cm site and at an ISI of 4 seconds at the 1 cm site. Stimulus parameters: 5 mA, 0.5 ms, 10 Hz and 1 second train. S1 = first stimulus train; S2 = second stimulus train; C1 = first contraction; C2 = second contraction.
indemnity of inhibitory and excitatory mechanisms and on the other hand, esophageal muscle indemnity able to respond to a strong stimulation at the end of the MRS. As expected, multiple rapid swallowing induced an abnormal response in patients with achalasia. In contrast, symptomatic patients (dysphagia, chest pain or heartburn) with normal standard manometry showed preserved inhibition during MRS with a more significant abnormality in the post-MRS after contractions.64

The impact of MRS in esophageal inhibition and contractility can be better assessed using high resolution manometry. The degree of impairment in deglutitive inhibition and its impact on swallow to contractions latencies in patients with dysphagia is currently under investigation using HRM.

Conclusion

From the previous discussion it is clear that inhibition plays an important role in normal esophageal motility. The propagation of peristaltic contractions in the smooth muscle portion of the esophagus is controlled by the action of inhibitory and excitatory nerves. This inhibition is essential for the peristaltic nature of the contractions and it may contribute to a slower propagation in case of a big intraluminal bolus. The deglutitive inhibition also precludes the occurrence of very rapidly propagated or simultaneous contractions.
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