Effect of Postoperative Adverse Events on Hospitalization Expenditures and Length of Stay Among Surgery Patients in Taiwan: A Nationwide Population-Based Case-Control Study
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Background: The current study sought to determine the incidence of postoperative adverse events (AEs) based on data from the 2006 Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD).

Methods: This retrospective case-control study included patients who experienced postoperative AEs in 387 hospitals throughout Taiwan in 2006. The independent variable was the presence or absence of 10 possible postoperative AEs, as identified by patient safety indicators (PSIs).

Results: A total of 17,517 postoperative AEs were identified during the study year. PSI incidence ranged from 0.1/1,000 admissions (obstetric trauma-cesarean section) to 132.6/1,000 admissions (obstetric trauma with instrument). Length of stay (LOS) associated with postoperative AEs ranged from 0.10 days (obstetric trauma without instrument) to 14.06 days (postoperative respiratory failure). Total hospitalization expenditures (THEs) ranged from 363.7 New Taiwan Dollars (obstetric trauma without instrument) to 263,732 NTD (postoperative respiratory failure). Compared to patients without AEs, we determined that the THEs were 2.13 times in cases of postoperative AE and LOS was 1.72 times higher.

Conclusions: AEs that occur during hospitalization have a major impact on THEs and LOS.
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BACKGROUND

Adverse Events

Patient safety (PS) is a major healthcare issue worldwide. Previous studies have used the term “adverse events (AEs)” to describe harm to the patient, such as medical injury or iatrogenic injury (1). A report by the Committee on the Quality of Health Care in America (under the Institute of Medicine; IOM), reported that between 44,000 and 98,000 Americans die each year due to medical mistakes, with a corresponding cost of $17–$29 billion (2). Identifying and preventing AEs is a crucial step in dealing with PS.

Previous studies have linked the occurrence of AEs to variables at the patient level and/or hospital level (3). For example, researchers have determined that the risk of AE is proportional to the age of the patient, the number of complications, and the length of stay (LOS) (3–5). The risk of AE is also determined by the type, the size, and the service volume of the hospital (or surgeons), as well as the proportion of beds in the intensive care unit (3, 5). Moonesinghe et al. reported that cases of postoperative AEs are linked to a higher incidence of complications and/or mortality (6). One study reported that the incidence of AEs ranged from 3.7 to 45.8% of the total number of cases (7). Other studies reported that LOS and total hospitalization expenditures (THEs) are far higher in cases involving AE than in cases without incident (3, 4, 8–10).

Patient Safety Indicators and Adverse Events

AEs are generally identified using reports from care providers or using proxy variables, such as trigger events or other patient safety indicators (PSIs). The preparation of provider-reported events is routine in most medical facilities. Reports of patient-related safety events (e.g., medical errors and near misses) from nurses, physicians, therapists, and other employees are entered into a central database. Harm assessment is based on the status of the patient reported at the time of the event. Trigger events are sentinel words or conditions identified during the initial review of medical records which facilitate the detection of AEs (11). The term PSI refers to a set of computer algorithms developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to identify potential AEs using secondary diagnosis codes from hospital discharge abstracts (12). Note that provider-reported events and trigger events have many technical and administrative constraints, which makes PSIs a more convenient approach to obtaining data of reasonable reliability and validity (11).

Research Objectives

One previous study reported that the LOS in cases with AEs was 2–3-fold longer than in cases without AEs. They also reported that the in cases with AEs were 2–8-fold higher than in cases without AEs (5). From a clinical standpoint, it is essential to be cognizant of the background information of AEs as well as the complete spectrum of AEs corresponding to THE and LOS. PSIs have proven effective in studying AEs; however, relatively few studies using these methods have been conducted in Asian countries. In the current study, we sought to identify the PSIs for AEs in Taiwan. Specifically, we used the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) to assess the incidence of postoperative adverse events (AEs) in the study population. We also compared cases (with AEs) against matched controls (without AEs) in terms of THE and LOS.

METHODS

Data Sources

This study analyzed NHIRD inpatient claim datasets from 2006 provided by the Taiwan National Health Research Institute (NHRI). The datasets contain all inpatient claims data, including provider information (contracted medical facilities, board-certified specialists, and medical personnel), enrollee characteristics (age and gender), reasons for using the service (five ICD-9-CM diagnostic and five procedural codes), and financial charges for more than 22 million enrollees covering 98% of the Taiwanese population. The accuracy of the NHIRD has been frequently audited by the Bureau of the National Health Institute (BNHI). Fines for fraud may be as much as 100 times the amount of a false claim charged to the NHL. All personal identifiers are encrypted by the BNHI prior to being released to the public for research purposes; therefore, this study was exempt from a full review by the Institutional Review Board.

Study Variables

The surgical procedures addressed in this study included those involved in obstetrics & gynecology, orthopedics, neurosurgery, urology, otolaryngology, oral and maxillofacial, digestive, colon and rectal, cardiovascular, chest, pediatric, and cosmetic. The admission pathways included scheduled and emergency interventions.

The dependent variables in this study were THE and LOS resulting from postoperative AEs. THEs were obtained by summing all of the charges for hospitalization due to surgery. LOS was obtained by subtracting the date of discharge from the date of admission for the surgery, which is the same as that used to determine THEs. The independent variable was having one or more of the 10 postoperative AEs vs. none (identified by PSIs; see Appendix). Control variables included the gender, age, and Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score of the patient and hospital size categorized as academic medical centers (AMCs; ≥ 500 acute-care beds), regional hospitals (RHs; ≥ 250 acute-care beds), and district hospitals (DHs; ≤ 250 acute-care beds).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Bivariate analysis was used to assess the associations between independent and dependent variables. Patient age, gender, CCI score, and hospital size were controlled.

Abbreviations: NHIRD, National Health Insurance Research Database; PSIs, Patient Safety Indicators; AEs, Adverse events; THEs, Total hospitalization expenditures; LOS, Length of stay; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; NTD, New Taiwan Dollars; PS, Patient safety, IOM, Institute of Medicine; AHRQ, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; NHRI, National Health Research Institute; BNHI, Bureau of the National Health Institute; AMCs, Academic medical centers; RHs, Regional hospitals; DHs, District hospitals.
to assess the effects of postoperative AEs on THEs and LOS. THEs were not normally distributed; therefore, logarithmic transformation was performed prior to multiple regression analysis. Poisson regression was used for multivariate analysis due to the fact that excess LOS was a discrete variable, which fit a Poisson distribution when used as the dependent variable in regression analysis. In this study, the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Study Subjects**

This retrospective case-control study identified 17,517 postoperative AEs in 2006 from a total of 763,934 cases. We randomly selected 35,034 cases without postoperative AEs to form a control cohort at a ratio of 1:2 (13). Note that patients were matched for age ($\pm$1 year), gender, and the first three diagnosis codes (ICD-9-CM) related to surgery as well as the size of the hospital in which the surgery was performed.

As shown in Table 1, no differences were observed in terms of patient age, gender, or the size of the hospital. However, the CCI scores of subjects who experienced AE were significantly higher than those who did not experience AE ($1.32 \pm 1.88$ vs. $1.05 \pm 1.82$, respectively; $p < 0.001$).

Table 2 lists the average number of postoperative AEs (PSIs) at the 387 hospitals included in the current study, which accounted for 78% of all hospitals in Taiwan in 2006. The average number of postoperative AEs was 47.12 per hospital in 2006, which accounted for 2.4% of all inpatient surgeries. Postoperative AEs included the following: obstetric trauma with instrument (10,129,765), obstetric trauma without instrument (2,864,753), postoperative respiratory failure (962,557), postoperative sepsis (458,360), postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement (175,705), postoperative pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis (84,033), postoperative hemorrhage or hematoma (68,754), postoperative hip fracture (30,557), and obstetric trauma-cesarean section (7,639). The three most frequent postoperative AEs were postoperative respiratory failure (22.00), postoperative sepsis (8.48) and obstetric trauma without instrument (7.35). The three most frequent postoperative AEs in terms of incidence were obstetric trauma with instrument (13.3%), obstetric trauma without instrument (3.8%), and obstetric trauma-cesarean section (0.02).

### Table 1: Association between control variables and the occurrence of postoperative adverse event (AEs).

|                              | Total | Yes    | No     | $p$-value |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|
| **N (%)**                    | 52,551 (100) | 17,517 (100) | 35,034 (100) | >0.99$^a$ |
| **Gender**                   |       |        |        |           |
| Male                         | 24,027 (45.7) | 8,009 (45.7) | 16,018 (45.7) |           |
| Female                       | 28,524 (54.3) | 9,508 (54.3) | 19,016 (54.3) |           |
| **Hospital size**            |       |        |        | >0.99$^a$ |
| District hospitals           | 7,653 (14.6) | 2,551 (14.6) | 5,102 (14.6) |           |
| Regional hospitals           | 23,400 (44.5) | 7,800 (44.5) | 15,600 (44.5) |           |
| Academic medical centers     | 21,498 (40.9) | 7,166 (40.9) | 14,332 (40.9) |           |

| **Age**                      | 56.12 (20.91) | 56.31 (21.10) | 56.02 (20.82) | 0.14$^b$ |
| **Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score** | 1.14 (1.85) | 1.32 (1.88) | 1.05 (1.82) | <0.001$^b$ |

$^a$chi-square test; $^b$t-test.

### Table 2: Description of the postoperative adverse event (AEs)-Patient safety indicators (PSIs) that occurred at 387 Taiwan hospitals in 2006 ($n = 763,934$).

| PSIs                              | Frequencies | Mean of frequencies (Incidence %) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Postoperative hip fracture        | 30,557      | 0.51 (0.04)                      |
| Postoperative hemorrhage or hematoma | 68,754      | 1.55 (0.1)                       |
| Postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement | 175,705 | 4.02 (0.2) |
| Postoperative respiratory failure | 962,557     | 22.00 (1.3)                      |
| Postoperative pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis | 84,033 | 2.00 (0.1) |
| Postoperative sepsis              | 458,360     | 8.48 (0.6)                       |
| Obstetric trauma-vaginal with instrument | 10,129,765 | 4.10 (13.3)                      |
| Obstetric trauma-vaginal without instrument | 2,864,753 | 7.35 (3.8)                       |
| Obstetric trauma-cesarean section | 7,639       | 0.02 (0.01)                      |

The number of postoperative AEs 47.12 (2.4)
postoperative respiratory failure (1.3%). As shown in Table 3, postoperative AEs varied considerably in terms of total hospitalization expenditures (THEs) and length of stay (LOS). As shown in Table 4, the THEs and LOS associated with cases involving AE exceeded those associated with cases without incident. The excess THEs due to each AE was NTD 162,422 (New Taiwan Dollars; one USD = 32.71 NTD in 2006) and the excess LOS was 9.21 days. Only the excess LOS for obstetric trauma without instrument and obstetric trauma-cesarean section did not reach the level of statistical significance. The three PSIs with the highest excess THEs were postoperative respiratory failure (NTD 263,732), postoperative sepsis (NTD 169,644) and postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement (NTD 138,242). The three PSIs with the highest excess LOS were postoperative respiratory failure (14.06 days), postoperative sepsis (9.84 days) and postoperative hip fracture (9.42 days).

Multiple regression was used to assess the effects of independent risk factors on THEs and LOS. Table 5 lists the THEs and LOS where age, gender, hospital size, and CCI score are included in the model as control variables. The THEs associated with cases involving postoperative AEs were 2.13-fold (95% CI: 2.09–2.17) higher than those without AEs. The LOS associated with postoperative AEs was 1.72-fold (95% CI: 1.69–1.75) higher. Both of these effects reached the level of significance. The control variables also presented significant effects. THEs and LOS were both positively correlated with age and CCI score as well as sex (male) and hospital size (AMCs > RHs > DHs).

Table 6 presents the different AEs for patients who underwent surgeries in various populations (14–20).

DISCUSSION

Clinical Implications
This study used PSIs to identify the occurrence of postoperative AEs in the Taiwanese healthcare system, and then examined the effects of these events on resource consumption (THEs and LOS). Regression analysis revealed that the AEs identified in this study led to substantial increases in the duration of hospital stays.
TABLE 5 | Analysis of the occurrence of adverse event (AEs), other variables, and medical consumption.

| Suffering from postoperative AEs or not | Total hospitalization expenditures (THEs)*a | Length of stay (LOS)b |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                        | Hazard Ratio | 95%CI | p-value | Hazard Ratio | 95%CI | p-value |
| Yes (Reference group: No)              | 2.13         | 2.09–2.17 | <0.001 | 1.72         | 1.69–1.75 | <0.001 |
| Gender                                 | Male (Reference group: Female)           | 1.52     | 1.49–1.55 | <0.001 | 1.31         | 1.28–1.34 | <0.001 |
| Hospital size                          | Academic medical centers (Reference group: regional hospitals) | 1.21     | 1.19–1.23 | <0.001 | 1.09         | 1.07–1.11 | <0.001 |
|                                        | District hospitals                          | 0.73     | 0.72–0.74 | <0.001 | 0.86         | 0.84–0.88 | <0.001 |
|                                        | Age                                         | 1.02     | 1.00–1.04 | <0.001 | 1.02         | 1.00–1.04 | <0.001 |
|                                        | Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score     | 1.12     | 1.09–1.14 | <0.001 | 1.08         | 1.05–1.10 | <0.001 |

*aMultiple regression; bPoisson regression; cLogarithmic transformation.

and medical expenditures. Postoperative sepsis, postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement, and postoperative hip fracture had the most profound consequences.

Among the postoperative AEs that occurred in 2006, those with the highest incidence were obstetric trauma with instrument (13.26%) and obstetric trauma without instrument (3.75%), which is similar to findings in other countries. Zhan et al. identified obstetric trauma with instrument as the most frequent postoperative PSI (22.42%), followed by obstetric trauma without instrument (8.66%) (9). Bottle et al. identified postoperative PSI obstetric trauma with instrument as the most frequent PSI (6.05%), followed by obstetric trauma without instrument (2.79%) (4). Note that they discussed only 9 PSIs in that study. Raleigh et al. identified obstetric trauma with instrument as the most frequent PSI (5.39%), followed by obstetric trauma without instrument (2.61%) (10). In the current study, the annual incidence of postoperative wound dehiscence was zero, which is in line with the results of a previous study conducted in Taiwan. The authors of the earlier study speculated that this could be attributed to difficulties in coding postoperative wound dehiscence (21).

We also investigated the effects of postoperative AEs on THEs and LOS, after correcting for patient variables (gender, age, and CCI score) and hospital characteristics (hospital size). Overall the THEs and LOS in cases involving postoperative AEs were significantly higher than those in cases without AEs, which is in agreement with previous studies (3, 4, 8–10). Postoperative respiratory failure had the most pronounced effect on THEs and LOS and “Other events with a profound effect on THEs and LOS included the following: postoperative sepsis (NTD 169,644; 9.84 days), postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement (NTD 138,242; 7.00 days), postoperative hemorrhage/hematoma (NTD 111,383; 6.37 days), and postoperative hip fracture (NTD 75,357; 9.42 days). Our findings pertaining to hospital stays are in agreement with the results obtained in earlier studies: postoperative respiratory failure [8.6–17 days (3, 8, 9)], postoperative sepsis [10.89–18.8 days (3, 4, 8–10)], postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement [8.89–15 days (8, 9)], postoperative hemorrhage/hematoma [3.9–6 days (3, 8, 9)], and postoperative hip fractures [0.24–17.09 days (4, 8–10)]. Our findings pertaining to hospital costs are also in good agreement with the results obtained in earlier studies: postoperative respiratory failure [USD 28,218–53,502 (3, 8, 9, 22)], postoperative sepsis [USD 31,264–57,727 (3, 8, 9)], postoperative physiologic, and metabolic derangement [USD 37,460–54,818 (8, 9)], postoperative hemorrhage/hematoma [USD 7,863–21,431 (3, 8, 9)], and postoperative hip fractures [USD 13,441–18,906 (8, 9)]. In exploring the impact of AEs on 90-day medical expenditures, Encinosa and Hellingier found that AEs increased THEs by an average of 20%. Among these AEs, acute respiratory failure resulted in the greatest increase (52%), followed by infection caused by medical care and postoperative sepsis (42%), postoperative hip fracture and pressure ulcers (32.9%), and postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement (31.8%). Some events also imposed considerably higher readmission rates and corresponding expenditures, including infection caused by medical care and postoperative sepsis, postoperative physiologic and metabolic derangement, and acute respiratory failure (22).

Our findings demonstrated the efficacy of using PSIs to identify AEs. There is currently a lack of research on PSIs in Asian countries. Thus, the current study could serve as a reference, facilitating comparisons among Asian countries.

As in previous studies, we considered potential differences in sample size associated with case cohort vs. control cohort studies. To reduce individual bias, created a control cohort matched for age, gender, hospital size, and the first three ICD-9 codes at a ratio of 1:2. We also considered both patient and hospital characteristics as control variables to eliminate interference from factors that might otherwise skew our results. From other studies (Table 6), they also showed the patients who underwent surgeries with operative AEs, would have higher THEs also longer LOS.

Limitation
A number of limitations must be considered in the interpretation of our results. First, we opted for old data to avoid the drastic changes in procedures in recent years. Second, our use of PSIs as a proxy for AEs was not assessed in the present study. Third, the selection of subjects who had undergone postoperative AEs was based on ICD-9 codes in administrative databases; therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of data entry errors. Fourth, financial incentives or disincentives might have
The comparison among other national audits.

| References | Screened number | Following period | Setting | Mortality OR (95% CI) | Adverse events (AEs) | THEs or LOS |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Rosen et al. [14] | 8,126 (CABG = 2,213 PTCA = 693 Cholecystectomy = 2,863 Prostatectomy = 2,357) | 1985, Jan.-1986, Jun | USA | *30-day mortality rates ranged from 1 to 6.6%* CABG patients with AEs had higher mortality rates (15.2 vs. 2.6%, \( P < 0.001 \)) | *The prevalence of postoperative adverse events identified from chart review was greater (6.9–33.3%)* | LOS, days (Yes AEs vs. No AEs) CABG 15.8 ± 10.4 vs. 9.2 ± 5.2 (\( P < 0.001 \)) PTCA 11.4 ± 8.7 vs. 6.7 ± 5.0 (\( P < 0.001 \)) Cholecystectomy 15.8 ± 10.4 vs. 9.2 ± 5.2 (\( P < 0.001 \)) Prostatectomy 11.1 ± 7.0 vs. 6.3 ± 3.9 (\( P < 0.001 \)) |
| Segleniek et al. [15] | 1,291 surgical patients | 2012/02/07–2012/03/16 | South Australia | In postoperative wards, mortality was low in the first 48 h postoperatively | AEs in the postoperative care unit were common, including desaturation (13.6%), hypotension (5.8%) and apnoea (5.5%), with 19.9% of cases requiring attendance by an anesthetist to manage unexpected complications | Incidence of adverse events in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) after the first 30 min LOS, days: \( \geq 2 \text{ h} \): 442 (35.8%) LOS, days: \( \geq 4 \text{ h} \): 103 (8.3%) |
| Lin et al. [16] | 24,109 surgical patients with preoperative asthma and 24,109 non-asthma patients undergoing major surgeries using matching procedure with propensity score | 2008–2010 | Taiwan | Preoperative emergency care for asthma was significantly associated with postoperative 30-day in-hospital mortality, 1.84 (1.11–3.04) | Asthma increased postoperative pneumonia (OR 1.48; 95% CI 1.34–1.64), sepsis (OR 1.91; 95% CI 1.02–2.12), and urinary tract infection (OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.09–1.26) | Physicians as surgical patients had lower adjusted ORs with 95% CIs of postoperative deep wound infection (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.40–0.99, \( P < 0.05 \)), ICU admission (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.66–0.83, \( P < 0.0001 \)) |
| Yeh et al. [17] | 7,973 physicians as surgical patients and 7,973 propensity score–matched non-physician controls receiving in-hospital major surgeries | 2004–2010 | Taiwan | Physicians as surgical patients were not associated with 30-day in-hospital mortality after surgery | Physicians as surgical patients had lower adjusted ORs with 95% CIs of postoperative deep wound infection (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.40–0.99, \( P < 0.05 \)), ICU admission (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.66–0.83, \( P < 0.0001 \)) | Physicians vs. Non-physicians Increased medical expenditure, USD: OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.73–0.88, \( P < 0.0001 \) prolonged LOS, days: \( \geq 2 \text{ h} \): 442 (35.8%) LOS, days: \( \geq 4 \text{ h} \): 103 (8.3%) |
| Lin et al. [18] | 16,539 diabetes patients (aged \( > 20 \) years, who underwent major surgery) 16,599 propensity score–matched non-diabetes controls | 2009–2015 | Taiwan | In-hospital mortality 1.51 (1.07–2.13) compared to people without diabetes | Patients with diabetes had a higher risk of postoperative septicemia (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.12–1.42), sepsis (OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.46–3.03), acute pyelonephritis (OR 1.86, 95% CI 1.01–3.04) | Physicians vs. Non-diabetes DM vs. Non-DM Medical expenditure, USD: 5,167 ± 5,720 vs. 4,221 ± 4,693 (\( P < 0.0001 \)) LOS, days: 9.1 ± 14.5 vs. 7.1 ± 10.1 (\( P < 0.0001 \)) |
| Wang et al. [19] | 1,525 patients | 2010, Jan.-2015, Oct | China | Death (\( \geq 3, 2.5\% \)) | Multivariable regression analysis showed that age > 65 years old (OR 2.23, 95% CI 1.25–3.98), male sex (OR 2.14, 95% CI 1.24–3.38), postoperative peripheral blood glucose (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.01–1.74), nephrotic fasciitis (OR 3.98, 95% CI 1.12–14.2), cellulitis (OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.46–3.03), acute pyelonephritis (OR 1.86, 95% CI 1.01–3.04), and infectious arthritis (OR 3.89, 95% CI 1.19–12.7), compared to people without diabetes. Previous admission for diabetes (OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.85–2.93), HbA1c > 8% (OR 1.96, 95% CI 1.64–2.33) and fasting glucose > 180 mg/dl (OR 1.90, 95% CI 1.68–2.16) were predictors for post-operative adverse events | *Overall = 14.65 ± 12.06 With AEs vs. No AEs 27.82 ± 26.96 vs. 13.43 ± 8.53 (\( P = 0.0002 \)) |
| Cherng et al. [20] | 35,643 patients with CKD who underwent non-urological surgeries. 35,643 propensity score–matched non-CKD controls | 2008–2013 | Taiwan | Okhd vs. Non-CKD in-hospital mortality 2.17 (1.90–2.47) | Patients with CKD had higher risks of postoperative septicemia (OR: 1.78, 95% CI: 1.68–1.89), pneumonia (OR: 1.60, 95% CI: 1.48–1.73), stroke (OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.24–1.44), and in-hospital mortality (OR: 2.17, 95% CI: 1.90–2.47) compared to non-CKD patients | Medical expenditure, USD: (CKD vs. Non-CKD): 4,598 ± 14.5 vs. 7.1 ± 11.0 (\( P < 0.0001 \))
resulted in up-coded related diagnoses on the medical records. Nonetheless, these events are rarely observed in Taiwan due to the strict inpatient diagnosis requirements stipulated by the BNHI. Fifth, the findings in this population-based study should be generalizable to the overall population; however, evidence derived from case-control studies is generally lower in statistical quality than that obtained from randomized trials, due to biases related to adjustments for confounding variables (23). Sixth, despite our meticulous study design and measures to control for confounding factors, bias resulting from unknown confounders may still have affected these results. Finally, all the data in the NHIRD was anonymous; therefore, many relevant clinical variables (e.g., serum laboratory data, imaging results, and pathology findings) were unavailable.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated that AEs during hospitalization can be identified by PSIs, and that these events can have a profound impact on THEs and LOS. Further studies will be required to elucidate the temporal sequence of AEs that typically lead to elevated THEs and LOS. Research will also be required to explore other factor-related differences that appear to be associated with THEs and LOS.
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