GENETICS

Cellular response to moderate chromatin architectural defects promotes longevity

Ruofan Yu1, Luyang Sun1, Yu Sun1, Xin Han2*, Lidong Qin2, Weiwei Dang1†

Changes in chromatin organization occur during aging. Overexpression of histones partially alleviates these changes and promotes longevity. We report that deletion of the histone H3–H4 minor locus HHT1-HHF1 extended the replicative life span of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. This longevity effect was mediated through TOR signaling inhibition. We present evidence for evolutionarily conserved transcriptional and phenotypic responses to defects in chromatin structure, collectively termed the chromatin architectural defect (CAD) response. Promoters of the CAD response genes were sensitive to histone dosage, with HHT1-HHF1 deletion, nucleosome occupancy was reduced at these promoters allowing transcriptional activation induced by stress response transcription factors Msn2 and Gis1, both of which were required for the life-span extension of hht1-hhf1Δ. Therefore, we conclude that the CAD response induced by moderate chromatin defects promotes longevity.

INTRODUCTION

The basic structural unit of a eukaryotic genome, the nucleosome, comprises 146–base pair (bp) DNA segments wrapped around core histones. Proper dynamics of nucleosome formation and higher-level chromatin organization regulate the accessibility of DNA and ensure normal genomic functions (1). Thus, histones also function as an indispensable regulatory platform for cellular and biological processes, including aging. Altered histone modifications, which occur during aging, contribute to the aging process (2). A decrease in histone protein levels is a hallmark of aging cells (3). Overexpression of genes encoding histones H3 and H4 from a galactose-inducible promoter extends the replicative life span (RLS) of the budding yeast, suggesting that histone gene expression capacity may be a limiting factor in aged cells (4). However, considering that histone gene expression is cell cycle regulated, the relationship between longevity and histone gene expression dosage cannot be delineated without a careful dosage titration using the native promoters.

Cellular responses to stress, such as starvation, DNA damage, oxidation, and protein aggregation, antagonize aging. The attenuation of these pathways during aging causes accumulation of damaged macromolecules that impair cellular function, while boosting these stress response pathways often promotes longevity. Target of rapamycin (TOR) signaling is a highly conserved regulatory node of distinct stress response and inhibiting the TOR signaling pathway. Starvation or high levels of cellular stress inhibit TOR signaling, leading to the inhibition of protein synthesis and the initiation of the general control protein Gcn4-mediated transcriptional response (5). At the chromatin level, there are two distinct changes associated with strong stress signals: Overall transcriptional activity is decreased due to reduced histone acetylation levels and increased chromatin compaction associated with slowed or arrested cell cycle progression, whereas stress response genes are activated by specific transcription factors due to chromatin remodeling at their promoters. However, the response of cells to chromatin-associated changes, especially histone loss, remains poorly understood. Here, we explored the relationship between histone gene expression dosage and longevity in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae and unexpectedly discovered that moderate reductions in H3–H4 histone gene expression can extend the yeast RLS by activating a distinct stress response and inhibiting the TOR signaling pathway.

RESULTS

Deleting the minor H3–H4–coding gene pair HHT1-HHF1 extends the RLS

The budding yeast S. cerevisiae contains two copies of core histone gene pairs under the control of bidirectional promoters. Despite encoding identical amino acid sequences, the two copies differ in their nucleotide sequences and expression levels. Consistent with a previous report (6), HHT1-HHF1, the minor H3–H4–coding gene pair, provided approximately 15% of the total H3–H4–encoding mRNA (fig. S1A), whereas the major copy HHT2-HHF2 accounted for the rest of the H3–H4 transcripts. Although removing both H3–H4 copies is lethal, knockout strains for either gene pair show no observable growth defects (7). Deletion of single histone genes disrupted the stoichiometry of nucleosome assembly and reduced the RLS (fig. S1B).

Therefore, we genetically manipulated only the complete H3–H4 gene pairs rather than single histone genes. Removal of the minor copy HHT1-HHF1 (htf1Δ), representing 85% of wild-type (WT) H3–H4 dosage, significantly extended the RLS, whereas deletion of HHT2-HHF2 (htf2Δ), cutting down the dosage to 15%, reduced the RLS (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the lack of longevity effects upon H2A-H2B overexpression (4), deletion of the nonessential H2A-H2B–encoding gene pair had no effect on the life span (Fig. 1B). Reintegrating the deleted copies of HHT1-HHF1 or HHT2-HHF2 at the URA3 locus restored the life span of htf1Δ or htf2Δ, respectively, to the WT level, suggesting that the life span effects in both deletion strains were the direct result of altered histone gene dosage (Fig. 1, C and D). We ruled out the possibility of Ty transposon–mediated duplication (8) in the htf1Δ and htf2Δ strains by verifying the histone gene copy number via quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using genomic DNA (fig. S1C). To further investigate the life-span effects when reducing a histone dosage to between 15 and 85%, we constructed another
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strain with two copies of HHT1-HHF1 (htf2Δ HTF1OE), which provides 30% of WT H3-H4 gene dosage. This strain showed an RLS similar to that of the WT and between those of the htf1Δ and htf2Δ (fig. S1D), suggesting that dosage-dependent change in life span between 15 and 85% of H3-H4 dosage.

**Reduction of the H3-H4 gene copy number increases chromatin accessibility**

We next assessed the effect of deleting the histone gene pairs on histone protein levels. In nonsynchronized cells, deletion of either the minor or the major copy of the H3–H4 gene pair had no impact on overall H2B, H3, and H4 protein levels (Fig. 2A), despite the changes in the mRNA levels (Fig. 2B). These observations were similar to a previous report (9). Consistent with the findings of reduced histone synthesis capacity in htf1Δ and htf2Δ, when synchronized, these mutant cells showed significantly lower rates of histone synthesis following the release from α-factor–induced cell cycle arrest (Fig. 2C). Subsequently, we tested whether histone gene deletion affects age-dependent histone depletion. The htf2Δ, bearing deletion of the major histone gene copy, showed a greater degree of histone depletion relative to similarly aged htf1Δ or WT cells (Fig. 2D and fig. S1E), suggesting that histone synthesis capacity becomes a critical issue in aged cells.

Serving as the building blocks of the nucleosomes, histones greatly affect chromatin architecture. Thus, we assessed histone-dependent effects on chromatin accessibility using micrococcal nuclease (MNase). Young cells of htf1Δ and htf2Δ strains contained more MNase-accessible chromatin than the WT (Fig. 2E). The htf2Δ strain demonstrated a greater increase in chromatin accessibility than htf1Δ, commensurate with the respective changes in histone dosages. To further assess local chromatin changes and their effects on transcription of normally silenced regions, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to compare the transcriptomes of htf1Δ-, htf2Δ-, and HHT2-HHF2–overexpressing (HTF2OE) strains to that of the WT. The subtelomeric genes located within 30 kb of the chromosome ends, which are known to be sensitive to chromatin changes (10), were up-regulated in both deletion strains, but not in HTF2OE (Fig. 2F), indicating derepression of subtelomeric chromatin. Another heterochromatic region in the budding yeast includes the hidden mating-type loci HML and HMR, containing silenced copies of mating-type genes MATα and MATα, respectively. Both of the histone gene deletion strains exhibited derepression of the HML loci, as evidenced by increased expression of HMLα1 and decreased sensitivity to the mating pheromone α-factor (Fig. 2, G and H). These changes, however, were not observed in HTF2OE. These data indicated a more open chromatin structure in strains with histone gene deletions.

It is particularly interesting that, with the deletion of different histone copies, htf1Δ and htf2Δ show markedly different life span. Since we observed that knockout of major histone copy leads to substantial chromatin opening (Fig. 2, E and G) compared to htf1Δ, we propose that this may lead to a more disturbed transcriptome. The RNA-seq analysis indeed detected more changes in the transcriptome of htf2Δ compared to htf1Δ, indicating that the extensive loss of histones in this strain leads to higher transcriptional noise (fig. S2A) and may eventually result in the short-lived phenotype. Note that the transcriptome profiles of htf1Δ and htf2Δ are highly similar, with just a few genes differentially expressed in one mutant but not the other (fig S2B). This fact suggests that deletion of minor or major H3–H4–coding gene leads to similar transcriptional response, while the level of this disturbance is the determinant of life span.
Given that overexpression of either H3-H4–coding gene pair extends the RLS (fig. S2C) (4), we asked whether shared underlying mechanisms exert the anti-aging effects in htf1Δ and the histone gene overexpression strains. The Pearson correlation between the transcriptomic patterns of htf1Δ and HTF2OE was substantially lower than that between the transcriptomes of htf1Δ and htf2Δ (fig. S2, B and D). In contrast to htf1Δ, the overexpression strain also showed no loss of subtelomeric gene or HML locus silencing (Fig. 2, F and G). Furthermore, we found that the deletion of the histone transcription regulator 1 (HIR1) gene, which by itself increases H3-H4 levels and mimics the H3-H4 overexpression phenotype (4), reduced the RLS when combined with deletion of the minor H3-H4 gene pair (htf1Δ hir1Δ; fig. S2E).

These data suggested that the life-span extension mechanism of histone overexpression is likely distinct from what we see in htf1Δ cells.

Life-span extension in htf1Δ is mediated through the TOR pathway
To determine whether the RLS extension of htf1Δ is mediated through known aging regulatory pathways, we performed epistasis analyses. Considering that the aging regulator Sir2 is a chromatin modifier...
(11), we tested whether it is required for the longevity of the htf1Δ cells. The sir2Δ single mutant has extremely short life span due to the dominant ribosomal DNA instability (12), we tested Sir2 epistasis in a sir2Δ fob1Δ strain that shows a life span close to the WT. As shown in Fig. 3 (A and B), htf1Δ extended life span in the sir2Δ fob1Δ background, as well as the SIR2 overexpression background, suggesting that the life span–extending effect of HHT1-HHF1 deletion is completely independent of the Sir2-Fob1 pathway. Another well-recognized and evolutionarily conserved aging regulatory pathway is the TOR. The key component of the TOR pathway is the kinase Tor1, which integrates nutrient signaling and cellular stress to elicit global downstream metabolic changes and stress response pathways (13). We found negative epistasis between tor1Δ and htf1Δ in RLS effects: Despite the extended life spans of the single mutants, the double mutant had a life span similar to that of the single mutants, with no further RLS increase (Fig. 3C).

Fig. 3. Life-span extension in htf1Δ is mediated through the TOR pathway. (A) RLS of WT (n = 51), htf1Δ (n = 50), sir2Δ fob1Δ (n = 55), and htf1Δ sir2Δ fob1Δ (n = 68). (B) RLS of WT (n = 50), htf1Δ (n = 52), SIR2OE (n = 50), and htf1Δ SIR2OE (n = 50). (C) RLS of WT (n = 62), htf1Δ (n = 50), tor1Δ (n = 63), and htf1Δ tor1Δ (n = 50). (D) RLS of WT (n = 59), htf1Δ (n = 50), rpl20bΔ (n = 50), and htf1Δ rpl20bΔ (n = 50). (E) RLS of WT (n = 50) and htf1Δ (n = 50) cells under caloric restriction (CR; 0.05% glucose), P = 0.455. (F) RLS of WT (n = 101), htf1Δ (n = 68), TOR1L2134M (n = 87), and htf1Δ TOR1L2134M (n = 100). (G) Average cell cycle duration of WT, htf1Δ, htf2Δ, and tor1Δ. ***P < 0.001 compared to WT. Error bars represent the 25 and 75% percentiles.
epistatic relationship, we performed similar life-span analysis using either the rpl20Δ strain, which mimics the effects of TOR inhibition (14), or calorie restriction conditions. In both cases, HHT1-HHF1 deletion failed to further extend the life span (Fig. 3, D and E). Furthermore, when introduced into a hyperactive Tor1 mutant, TOR1L2134M (15), the HHT1-HHF1 deletion failed to extend the life span (Fig. 3F), underscoring that inhibition of TOR signaling is required for longevity induced by altered histone dosage. Moreover, the histone mutants and tor1Δ have similar growth phenotypes, with slower cell cycles compared to the WT (Fig. 3G).

We reasoned that if TOR signaling is inactivated in htf1Δ, then deletion of HHT1-HHF1 and inhibition or ablation of TOR signaling should induce similar transcriptional responses. Therefore, we used RNA-seq to compare the transcriptomes of htf1Δ and htf2Δ mutants, calorie-restricted WT cells, and TOR1-deleted cells. Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed categories of genes, including glycolysis and translation, that were similarly down-regulated in histone dosage mutants and calorie-restricted cells (Fig. 4A and fig. S3, A and B) (16). Further RNA-seq analysis revealed a substantial number of genes that were similarly down-regulated in htf1Δ and tor1Δ cells (Fig. 4B). Together, these data supported the hypothesis that TOR signaling inhibition mediates the longevity effect in htf1Δ cells.

**Stress experienced by the histone deletion strains is distinct from replication or mitotic stress**

The htf1Δ cells demonstrated up-regulation of genes belonging to GO categories that have been previously linked to stress responses (Fig. 4C), including transposable (17) element, proteolysis (18), the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (19), and cell wall components (20); htf2Δ also shows up-regulation of similar categories (Fig. S3C). Moreover, we observed a significant overlap between genes up-regulated in htf1Δ and those induced by stress (Fig. 4D). Defects in chromatin...
assembly due to mutations in the histone chaperone chromatin assembly factor 1 (CAF-1) complex cause replication stress, manifested by $S$ phase arrest and DNA damage (21). We thus asked whether reduced histone supplies pose similar challenges to DNA replication. However, we did not observe slowed cell cycle progression or stalled $S$ phase in either of the histone deletion strains (Fig. 4E). The histone deletion strains also did not exhibit sensitivity to DNA damaging agents such as hydroxyurea, methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), or phleomycin that cause replication stress (fig. S3D). Furthermore, in contrast to the MMS treatment, inactivation of either of the H3-H4 gene pairs did not induce expression of the genes encoding the replication stress sensor Mre11 (22) and the DNA damage responder Rad51 (Fig. 4F) (23). These data suggested that the histone dosage mutants do not experience DNA damage and replication stress.

Given that functional chromatin is also essential for separation of sister chromatids during cell division, we next assessed the histone deletion strains for potential mitotic stress. Deletion of the histone chaperone $ASF1$ gene causes cells to arrest in the G$_2$/M phase (24), corresponding to a profound decrease in the haploid cell fraction. However, this phenotype was not evident in either the $htf1\Delta$ or the $htf2\Delta$ strain (Fig. 4G). The lack of mitotic stress in the histone deletion strains was also underscored by their insensitivity to benomyl, a drug that disrupts microtubule formation and causes mitotic stress (fig. S3D). A recent study of Caenorhabditis elegans reported that a decrease in histone levels induces the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR$_{mt}$) to promote life-span extension (25). Hence, we assessed UPR$_{mt}$ induction in the yeast histone deletion mutants. Induction of UPR$_{mt}$ corresponds to the activation of mitochondrial heat shock proteins (HSPs) (25), such as Hsp60 and Hsp10 (26). Neither of these mitochondrial HSPs was up-regulated in the $htf1\Delta$ and $htf2\Delta$ strains according to RNA-seq analysis (fig. S3E). Therefore, the form of stress experienced by the histone deletion mutants was distinct from the above well-characterized stress states.

**Disruptions in chromatin architecture trigger a distinct type of stress response**

Responses to various stresses can trigger nucleosome position–dependent alterations in chromatin structure and consequent changes in gene transcription (27). However, these chromatin changes, especially those occurring during aging, have never been shown to trigger stress responses. Our present data suggest that reduced histone dosage induces a distinct type of stress response. We next asked whether such a stress response can also be observed in other mutants with chromatin alterations. Disruptions of histone- and chromatin-related factors have negative impacts on yeast and higher eukaryotes, inducing chromosomal rearrangement, promoting genome instability, and potentially leading to diseases such as cancer (28, 29). Mutations affecting chromatin regulators, such as the Snf2 family chromatin remodeling enzymes and histone chaperones, result in common phenotypic manifestations: increase in adenosine triphosphate (ATP) biosynthesis and mitochondrial biogenesis accompanied by induction of genes involved in the TCA cycle (30). Hence, we tested whether the histone dosage mutants also exhibit these phenotypes. Elevated cellular ATP levels (Fig. 5A) and higher mitochondrial DNA copy numbers (Fig. 5B) were detected in both histone gene pair deletion strains, although to a lesser extent compared with histone chaperone deficient strain $msi1\Delta$, $rtt106\Delta$, and $asf1\Delta$. Moreover, as indicated by the transcriptomic analysis, TCA cycle–related genes were significantly up-regulated in $htf1\Delta$ cells (Fig. 4C), indicating potentially up-regulated respiration functions.

To further investigate the similarities in the metabolic phenotypes of the histone and chromatin factor mutant strains, we compared the changes in their transcriptomes. There was a significant overlap in the genes differentially regulated in $htf1\Delta$ and in a strain depleted of H3 (Fig. 5C) (31). For a more comprehensive examination, we performed a correlation analysis using the transcriptome of the $htf1\Delta$ mutant and a recently published dataset containing transcriptomic data for 697 transcriptional responsive single gene deletion yeast strains (32). The Pearson correlation between the transcriptomes of $htf1\Delta$ and every deletion strain in the dataset was calculated and ranked (see Fig. 5D for the top correlated strains and table S3 for complete list). Among those with the highest similarity to $htf1\Delta$ ($R \geq 0.20$), strains with chromatin factor deletions were significantly enriched ($P < 10^{-6}$, $\chi^2$ test). The highest-ranked mutants included strains inactivated in all three subunits of the CAF-1 complex (Rlf1, Cac2, and Msi1) and in Rtt106, all of which are essential players in DNA replication–dependent nucleosome assembly. We also observed a significant overlap in the genes up-regulated in $htf1\Delta$ and these mutants (fig. S3, F and G). Together, these data suggested that defects in either histone expression or chromatin regulating factors elicit a similar cellular response, manifested by transcriptomic and metabolic changes, which we collectively refer to as the chromatin architectural defect (CAD).

**CAD stress induces a conserved response in different eukaryotic models**

Nucleosome and chromatin structures are highly evolutionarily conserved. We thus asked whether the CAD response was conserved in higher eukaryotes as well. We compared the transcriptome of a Drosophila melanogaster strain with reduced histone gene copies (33) to age- and tissue-matched WT samples (34). Consistent with the changes observed here for $htf1\Delta$ and previously reported for TOR inactivation (35), genes associated with translation were significantly down-regulated in the fly histone mutant strain (Fig. 5E). For mammalian cell comparisons, we analyzed an RNA-seq dataset for mouse embryonic stem (MES) cells with a knockdown of $p150$, which represents the largest catalytic subunit in the CAF-1 histone chaperone complex (36). In a manner similar to the histone gene inactivation in $htf1\Delta$, defects in CAF-1 in the MES cells resulted in the down-regulation of genes associated with ribosome biogenesis, protein translation, and amino acid biosynthesis (Fig. 5F). Using a published RNA-seq dataset for MES cells treated with the mammalian TOR inhibitor INK128 (37), we also detected an overlap in the 1000 most down-regulated genes resulting from TOR pathway inhibition and CAF-1 complex disruption (Fig. 5G). During the preparation of this manuscript, knockdown of H2A expression was found to extend the life span of C. elegans (25). Transcriptomic analysis of the H2A knockdown worm also revealed significant down-regulation of translation-related processes (Fig. 5H). Thus, inhibition of the TOR pathway in response to CAD stress is likely a conserved phenomenon among eukaryotes. Despite greater, likely organism-specific, differences between the up-regulated gene categories in the analyzed transcriptomes, we did detect comparable up-regulation of genes related to proteolysis and oxidation-reduction in the yeast and MES transcriptomes (Fig. 5 I and J). These observations suggest possible conservation of the CAD response in other eukaryotic organisms.
Fig. 5. Similarities between transcriptomic responses and metabolic phenotypes of htf1Δ, htf2Δ, and other chromatin regulator mutants. (A) Relative ATP level in indicated strains. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 compared to WT. (B) COX1 copy number analysis by qPCR in indicated strains. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 compared to WT. (C) Overlap in genes significantly down-regulated in H3 depletion and htf1Δ strains. (D) Deletion strains with transcriptomes demonstrating the highest-ranking correlations with the htf1Δ transcriptome. Names are provided for strains with defects in chromatin-related factors. Genes targeted by the deletion mutations are color-coded according to their molecular function. (E) GO analysis of genes down-regulated in H3 depletion and htf1Δ strains. (F) GO analysis of genes down-regulated in a histone knockout fly strain. Categories expected to be affected by TOR inhibition are underlined. (G) GO analysis of genes down-regulated in CAF-1 p150 knockdown MES cells. Categories expected to be affected by TOR inhibition are underlined. (H) GO analysis of genes down-regulated in an H2A knockdown worm. Categories expected to be affected by TOR inhibition are underlined. tRNA, transfer RNA. (I to J) Volcano plots showing up-regulation of proteolysis-related and oxidation-reduction (OR)–related genes in htf1Δ yeast cells and CAF-1 p150 KD MES cells. Red dots represent genes with significantly (false discovery rate < 0.01) up-regulated expression level. KD, knockdown.
Stress response transcription factors connect the CAD response to longevity

We next sought to determine how chromatin changes can induce the CAD stress response and inhibit the TOR pathway. A previous study (31) found that histone depletion in yeast primarily causes nucleosome reduction at promoter regions. Another study proposed that the promoters of genes with higher transcriptional plasticity tend to have higher nucleosome occupancy than those of constitutively expressed genes (27), leading to the classification of genes as occupied proximal-nucleosome or depleted proximal–nucleosome genes. Such nucleosome positioning provides a mechanism for regulating gene expression in response to environmental cues. Considering these studies, we assessed whether the promoters of genes activated in response to CAD experience reduced nucleosome occupancy caused by a change in chromatin structure. Using MNase sequencing (MNase-seq), we assayed the global changes in nucleosome occupancy in the htf1Δ and htf2Δ histone deletion strains. As expected, we found reduced nucleosome occupancy at promoters of several CAD response genes (fig. S4A). To minimize the variance of nucleosome pattern caused by MNase digestion condition in different experiments, we used a permutation test and proved that this reduction is statistically significant in nucleosome occupancy across the promoter regions (defined as −250 to +50 bp relative to the transcription start site) of CAD response genes up-regulated in htf1Δ, in both htf1Δ and htf2Δ background (Fig. 6A and fig. S4B), linking the shared transcriptional response in these two histone deletion strains to shared changes in chromatin structure.

To gain more insight into the promoter properties of CAD response genes, we performed promoter motif analysis and found that the TATA box was significantly enriched in the promoters of the CAD response genes up-regulated in htf1Δ (fig S4C). These observations are consistent with previous reports of TATA box–containing promoters contributing to high plasticity of gene expression (38). Consistent with this idea, there was a significant decrease in promoter nucleosome occupancy among all stress response genes in htf1Δ (Fig. 6B, stress response gene list includes all genes in GO:0009628 response to abiotic stimuli and GO:0006950 response to stress). To further investigate the common modulators of up-regulated genes in the long-lived htf1Δ strain, we determined the transcription factors, the targets of which were enriched among these up-regulated genes (table S4). These regulators are likely responsible for activating transcription when their target gene promoters become more open in response to the CAD stress. Many of the identified transcriptional factors, including Msn2, Msn4, and Gis1, are known to be involved in stress response (39). Furthermore, the target promoters of Msn2 and Gis1 (fig. S4, D and E) showed significantly lower nucleosome occupancy in htf1Δ, supporting that these transcriptional regulators likely activate their target genes under conditions of CAD stress.

To investigate the transcriptional regulation by Msn2 and Gis2 in response to CAD stress, we clustered the transcriptomes of 697 responsive mutants from (32) using the 134 Msn2- and Gis1-regulated genes significantly up-regulated in htf1Δ (table S5). Cluster 2 (fig. S4F) contained a significant enrichment of chromatin factors, indicating that the targets of Msn2 and Gis1 represent a common set of genes induced by different factors that cause CAD stresses.

We reasoned that if these transcription factors mediate longevity in htf1Δ, then there should be an epistatic relationship in the regulation of RLS between the respective genes. Deletion of MSN2, MSN4, or GIS1 reduced the life span of htf1Δ nearly to the WT level (Fig. 6, C and D, and fig. S4G). As a negative control, deletion of HHT1, another significantly enriched transcription factor, did not reduce the increased RLS of htf1Δ (fig. S4H). Overexpression of Msn2 could not extend RLS or abrogate the longevity phenotype of htf1Δ (Fig. 6E), whereas Gis1 overexpression significantly extend RLS and showed epistasis with htf1Δ (Fig. 6F), indicating that Gis1 may have a more direct role in htf1Δ-dependent longevity. This observation is understandable because Gis1 targets are most significantly enriched in genes up-regulated in htf1Δ (table S4). Furthermore, deletion of MSN2 or GIS1 in htf1Δ significantly reduced the expression levels of CAD-induced genes, including YGP1, ADH2, NQM1, and YRO2 (Fig. 6G). Reduction of CAD-induced genes expression, however, was not elicited by the Tor1 hyperactive TOR1H31ΔM mutation. These results suggested that life-span extension following HHT1-HHF1 deletion requires Msn2/Gis1-dependent induction of CAD response genes and that TOR inhibition is downstream of the transcriptional activation in response to CAD stress.

DISCUSSION

Chromatin is involved in the modulation of most eukaryotic cellular processes through its role in transcriptional regulation. Epigenetic marks in chromatin and ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, which are affected by aging, contribute to the regulation of longevity (2). In this study, we investigated the relationship between histone H3–H4 gene expression dosage and longevity using mutant strains with graduated histone gene expression driven by native promoters. Unexpectedly, we found that moderately reducing histone gene expression by deleting the minor copy of the H3–H4–coding genes HHT1–HHF1 extended yeast RLS in a TOR-dependent manner. Cells with reduced histone gene expression exhibited many phenotypic manifestations and transcriptional responses similar to those observed in cells with CADs, such as histone chaperone and chromatin factor mutant strains. We found that the promoters of genes activated by chromatin stress showed significantly reduced nucleosome occupancy when histone dosage was decreased. Furthermore, we identified stress response transcription factors, namely, Msn2, Msn4, and Gis1 that mediated not only the transcriptional responses but also the longevity phenotype of the minor H3–H4 copy deletion strain (Fig. 6F). These transcriptional responses were independent of TOR inhibition. Thus, transcriptional activation in response to moderate chromatin stress likely leads to TOR inhibition, which, in turn, induces further downstream transcriptional and translational responses, eventually promoting longevity (Fig. 6H).

Molecular and phenotypic effects of reduced histone dosage

Because of their importance in cell function and survival, histones have evolved multiple redundancy mechanisms (40). Deletion of either of the copies of the H3–H4 genes did not affect growth or histone protein levels in a rich medium (Fig. 2A). Whereas moderate delays in histone synthesis could be detected in synchronized cells (Fig. 2C), significant loss of histone was detected in old cells upon deletion of the major histone gene pair copy (Fig. 2D), commensurate with markedly reduced histone mRNA levels (Fig. 2B). These observations suggested that histone synthesis capacity is in excess of young cells’ needs but becomes limiting in old cells. This change may be caused by decreased protein synthesis and/or decreased mRNA stability in aged cells.
Fig. 6. Stress response factors connect CAD to longevity. (A) Nucleosome profiles in WT and htf1Δ background cells of randomly picked 322 genes promoter regions (left). Nucleosome profiles of promoters of genes significantly up-regulated in htf1Δ (right), n, number of genes in the designated category. (B) Nucleosome profile of promoters of all yeast stress response genes as listed in table S2. (C) RLS of WT (n = 50), htf1Δ (n = 50), msn2Δ (n = 51), and htf1Δ msn2Δ (n = 50). (D) RLS of WT (n = 50), htf1Δ (n = 50), gis1Δ (n = 50), and htf1Δ gis1Δ (n = 50). (E) RLS of WT (n = 61), htf1Δ (n = 55), MSN2OE (n = 58), and htf1Δ MSN2OE (n = 58). (F) RLS of WT (n = 50), htf1Δ (n = 52), GIS1OE (n = 50), and htf1Δ GIS1OE (n = 50). (G) Transcript levels of designated genes were measured by qPCR and normalized to the corresponding background: htf1Δ to WT, htf1Δ msn2Δ to msn2Δ, htf1Δ gis1Δ to gis1Δ, htf1Δ TOR1L2134M to TOR1L2134M. ***P < 0.001 compared to htf1Δ single deletion. (H) Model of CAD-dependent longevity. (I) Correlation between H3-H4 gene dosage and RLS obtained from this study. TSS, transcription start site.
Although the histone protein level changes were moderate in young histone gene deletion cells, even when cells were synchronized, changes in chromatin compaction, as revealed by MNase digestion patterns, were significant and commensurate with the histone dosage (Fig. 2E). These changes in chromatin organization led to transcriptional silencing defects, which were more substantial in the major H3-H4 copy deletion strain (Fig. 2, F to H). These observations were consistent with the broader transcriptomic changes detected in the major copy deletion strain compared to the minor copy deletion mutant (Fig. S2A).

Both the minor and the major copy histone gene deletions resulted in transcriptional responses similar to those induced by mutations resulting in chromatin defects (Fig. 5D). These common changes represented a previously unrecognized stress response form, which we refer to as the CAD or CAD response. DNA damage resistance is consistent with the CAD response. A previous report has shown that histone deletion mutants are more resistant to DNA damage (9), which, although unexpected, is consistent with stress response state and inhibition of TOR signaling (41).

We found the relationship between histone gene dosage and RLS to be particularly interesting and unexpected. According to a previous literature (4), a moderate but not severe reduction of histone dosage (Fig. 1A) could lead to robust life-span extension. The correlation between histone gene dosage and RLS is thus not linear but shows a bipolar distribution (Fig. 6I). Note that the mechanisms for life-span extension by histone overexpression and moderate histone dosage reduction are different, as evidenced by distinct transcriptome profiles (Fig. S2D).

Furthermore, we noted dosage-dependent life-span changes when the histone H3-H4 dosage falls between 85 and 15% of the WT. Given the similar transcriptomic response (fig. S2, A and B) and metabolic phenotypes (Fig. 5, A and B) between htf1Δ and htf2Δ, which is also evidenced by the very similar transcriptomic changes, it is possible that the level of the CAD response is maxed out by 85% histone dosage in htf1Δ. Hence, with increasing chromatin defects when further reducing histone dosage, longevity benefits start to shrink, reaching the WT level at 30% histone dosage in htf2Δ (Fig. 6I, HTF1OΔ) and, hence shorter life span than the WT at 15% histone dosage in htf2Δ (Fig. 1A).

### Inhibition of TOR signaling links the CAD response to longevity

Most CAD mutants, including those inactivated in the major copy of the H3–H4 gene pair and in the histone chaperone Asf1, are short-lived (4). The unexpected robust longevity of the minor copy H3–H4 deletion htf1Δ led to the characterization of the CAD, which, upon moderate activation, can induce a hormetic state that promotes longevity. Many of the previously identified long-lived mutants show various forms of increased stress response (42, 43). Considering that the defects observed in htf1Δ were more moderate than those in htf2Δ, the activated CAD response likely compensates for the moderate defects in htf1Δ, constituting a hormetic response.

Notably, TOR inhibition was found to be required for the longevity phenotype of htf1Δ but not for the transcriptional response. Hence, the activation of CAD response genes likely inhibits TOR signaling, thereby promoting longevity. Despite previous work proposing a relationship between TOR signaling and hormesis (44), little evidence exists to support the link between the two. We believe that this study provides one example showing that the activation of TOR signaling...
Yeast cells were grown overnight at 30°C, diluted to optical density 0.8 to 1.0 by centrifugation. Protein extraction and quantitative Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (45), using the Invitrogen EVOS FL Auto Imaging System. Briefly, cells were grown overnight in filter-sterilized yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose (YPD) medium, diluted 20-fold with fresh medium, and loaded onto a microfluidic chip. Medium flow speed was set at 10 µl/min, and pictures were taken at 10-min intervals for 65 to 72 hours.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Yeast RLS

A microfluidic platform was used to record replicative aging of single yeast cells, as described by (45), using the Invitrogen EVOS FL Auto Imaging System. Briefly, cells were grown overnight in filter-sterilized yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose (YPD) medium, diluted 20-fold with fresh medium, and loaded onto a microfluidic chip. Medium flow speed was set at 10 µl/min, and pictures were taken at 10-min intervals for 65 to 72 hours.

#### Yeast growth test on solid media

Yeast cells were grown overnight at 30°C, diluted to optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of ~0.1, and spotted in fivefold serial dilutions on designated agar plates. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 hours for the YPD plate or 48 hours for the drug plate. Plates were then imaged using the Epson Perfection V500 Photo scanner.

#### Protein extraction and quantitative Western blot analysis

Yeast cells were harvested at an OD_{600} of 0.8 to 1.0 by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 3 min and stored at ~80°C until further processing. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NP-40, 10% glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Pierce) by bead beating with zirconia/silica beads (Biospec) using 6 cycles of alternating 1-min beating and 2-min pause on ice, using a BioSpec Minibeadbeater]. This was followed by sonication at 50% amplitude for 10 min (30 s on/30 s off cycles) using the EpiShear Multi-Sample Sonicator (Active Motif). Following centrifugation, total protein was diluted to a concentration of 1 mg/ml in lysis buffer, denatured with 1× Bolt LDS Sample Buffer and Sample Reducing Agent at 65°C for 10 min, and separated using a Bolt 4 to 12% Bis-Tris Plus Gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The transfer process was performed overnight in Towbin buffer [25 mM tris, 192 mM glycine (pH 8.3), and 20% methanol] at 20 V. Primary antibody was added at the final concentration of preparations for rapid growth under optimal conditions, whereas inhibition of TOR pathways places cells in a stress response state and promotes survival rather than proliferation, thus benefiting longevity.

### Response to CADs in higher eukaryotes

The fundamental chromatin structure and the underlying regulatory mechanisms are highly conserved among eukaryotes. Certain aging-associated changes in chromatin structure, such as histone loss and heterochromatin defects, are also common among different eukaryotic models (2). Therefore, we investigated whether the CAD response we discovered in yeast is conserved in other eukaryotes. Existing RNA-seq datasets from several genetic models that resemble the yeast CAD mutants were selected for analysis. These models include reduced histone copy mutants in *D. melanogaster*, moderate H2A knockdown in *C. elegans*, and knockdown of the p150 subunit of histone chaperone CAF-1 in MES cells. Analysis of these transcriptomic datasets has identified a potential CAD response signature, represented by down-regulated TOR signaling and protein synthesis (Fig. 5, E to H). Moreover, similarly to the yeast htf1Δ strain, the H2A knockdown worm was found to have a longer life span (25). These findings suggest that the CAD response and CAD-mediated longevity may be conserved among higher eukaryotes. Therefore, our discovery may offer new targets for aging intervention and approaches for promoting longevity.

### Table 3. Yeast strains used in this study.

| Strain name | Genotype | Source |
|-------------|----------|--------|
| YWD2000    | his3-200 leu2Δ1 ura3-52 trp1Δ63 lys2-128 Δhis1 Δhht1 Δleu2Δ | This study |
| YWD1000    | MATa his3-200 leu2Δ1 ura3-52 trp1Δ63 lys2-128 Δhis1 Δhht1 Δleu2Δ | This study |
| YWD200     | MATa his3-200 leu2Δ1 ura3-52 trp1Δ63 lys2-128 Δhis1 Δhht1 Δleu2Δ | This study |
| RYY111     | MATa (hisA2Δhis2Δ200Δ∆TRP1 lys2-128 leu2Δ1 ura3-52 trp1Δ63 his3Δ200) | This study |
| RYY79      | YWD1000 HHT1-HHF1-URA3 | This study |
| RYY83      | YWD2000 HHT2-HHF2-URA3 | This study |
| BY4741     | MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ1 met15Δ1 URA3 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO3145    | By4741 hht1Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO5357    | By4741 hht2Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO3144    | By4741 hht1Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO5356    | By4741 hht2Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| RYY81      | YWD2000 HHT2-HHF2-URA3 | This study |
| RYY92      | YWD2000 S31::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY93      | YWD1000 snf1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY94      | YWD2000 snf1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY95      | YWD2000 fab1Δ::kanMX4 Δ200Δ::URA3 | This study |
| RYY96      | YWD1000 fab1Δ::kanMX4 Δ200Δ::URA3 | This study |
| RYY47      | YWD2000 tor1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY39      | YWD1000 tor1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY57      | YWD2000 rpl20bΔ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY58      | YWD1000 rpl20bΔ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY109     | YWD2000 TOR1 L2134 M | This study |
| RYY110     | YWD1000 TOR1 L2134 M | This study |
| YKO1310    | By4741 asf1Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO3335    | By4741 rsi1Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| YKO2006    | BY4741 rsi106Δ::kanMX4 | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| RYY114     | YWD2000 msn2Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY115     | YWD1000 msn2Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY124     | YWD2000 gis1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY125     | YWD2000 hot1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY126     | YWD1000 gis1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY127     | YWD1000 hot1Δ::kanMX4 | This study |
| RYY300     | YWD2000 SR2::URA3 | This study |
| RYY301     | YWD1000 SR2::URA3 | This study |
| RYY302     | YWD2000 MSN2::URA3 | This study |
| RYY303     | YWD1000 MSN2::URA3 | This study |
| RYY304     | YWD2000 GIS1::URA3 | This study |
| RYY305     | YWD1000 GIS1::URA3 | This study |
1 mg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C, with subsequent secondary antibody (0.1 mg/ml) incubation for 1 hour. The Odyssey CLx Scanning System (LI-COR Biosciences) and Image Studio Lite Ver5.2 software were used for membrane scanning and image quantification using the average density method. At least three biological repeats were included for quantification unless otherwise indicated. Antigodies used in this project were listed in Table 1.

MNase digestion and gel analysis
Yeast cells, harvested at OD600 of 0.8 to 1.0, were cross-linked with 2% (v/v) of 37% formaldehyde for 30 min, followed by quenching of the reaction with 125 mM glycine. The cells were then washed once with dH2O, followed by spheroblasting with Zymolase 20T in buffer Z (1 M sorbitol and 50 mM tris-HCl at pH 7.5) for 30 min. All digested samples were verified to have an OD600 less than 20% of the starting material. Samples were then treated with indicated concentrations of MNase at 37°C in NP buffer (1 M sorbitol, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM CaCl2, 0.075% IGPAL CA-630, and 500 μM spermidine). Reactions were stopped after 20 min using 5× STOP buffer (5% SDS and 50 mM EDTA). RNA was removed with addition of 20 μl of ribonuclease (RNase; 10 mg/ml) per reaction and incubation for 1 hour at 37°C. Following the addition of 4 μl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml), the samples were incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse the cross-linking. Samples were extracted with 1 volume of phenol/chloroform–indole-3-acetic acid and centrifuged at room temperature for 15 min. DNA was precipitated by adding 1 volume of 2-propanol, washed with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in 100 μl of water. DNA samples were quantified by the Qubit double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and diluted to the same concentration. Gel electrophoresis was carried out on a 1.5% agarose gels prestained with SYBR safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Paired-end RNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs). Sequencing of three biological replicates was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform.

Whole-cell DNA extraction
Yeast cells, grown overnight in YPD, were collected by centrifugation at 3000g. Zymolase digestion was conducted in buffer I [1 M sorbitol and 0.1 M EDTA–Na2 (pH 7.5)] containing Zymolase T20 (0.02 U/μl) at 37°C. Following a 60-min incubation with the zymolase and subsequent addition of 50 μl of buffer II [50 mM tris-HCl, 20 mM EDTA–Na2, and 0.35 M SDS (pH 7.4)], the cells were incubated at 65°C for 5 min. Precipitation was performed by adding 5 M potassium acetate and placing the samples at −20°C for 10 min, followed by a 15-min centrifugation at 4°C and 15,000 rpm. Following the treatment of the supernatants with 5 μl of RNAse (10 mg/ml) for 1 hour at 37°C and the addition of 1 volume of 2-propanol, the samples were incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Nucleic acid pellets were collected by centrifugation for 15 min at 4°C and 15,000 rpm, washed with 70% ethanol, and air-dried at room temperature for 10 min. Pellets were resuspended in 100 μl 1× TE [10 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 1 mM EDTA].

RNA-seq and MNase-seq library preparation and next-generation sequencing
Total RNA was extracted as described above. Poly-A RNA was purified from 5 μg total RNA with Dynabeads Oligo (dT)25 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Paired-end RNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs). Sequencing of three biological replicates was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform.
Chromatin was digested with 120 U of MNase (New England BioLabs) and 8 U of EXOIII (Promega), as previously described (47), and purified using the QIAGEN Genomic-tip 20/G column. Mononucleosome DNA was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and purified using the Quantum Prep Freeze ‘N Squeeze DNA Gel Extraction Spin Column (Bio-Rad). Purified DNA was treated with NEBNext End Repair Module, followed by Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) cleanup, and dA (deoxyadenosine) tailing with Klenow fragment (New England BioLabs). After purification, the samples were ligated with Illumina TruSeq multiplexing primers using the Quick Ligation Kit (New England BioLabs) and amplified using the KAPA HiFi Ready Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Following the final purification, the DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform using three biological replicates.

Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry
Yeast cells were harvested at OD600 of 0.6 to 0.8, fixed with cold 70% ethanol, and kept at 4°C until further use. Cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Dulbecco) and then treated with RNase (1 mg/ml) at 37°C for 2 hours. Following the addition of 100 μl of a propidium iodide solution (0.05 mg/ml), the samples were incubated overnight at 4°C. Following the addition of 900 μl of PBS to the samples, flow cytometry was performed using the LSRFortessa.
cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry data analysis was performed using FlowJo software.

**ATP level measurement**

Yeast cells were incubated in synthetic complete (SC) medium (0.5% ammonium sulfate, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, 0.2% SC mix, and 2% dextrose) to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 to 0.8. Samples were harvested by centrifugation at 3000g and adjusted to contain the same number of cells. Approximately 10$^6$ cells were added per well of a 96-well opaque plate. The BacTiter-Glo reagent (Promega) was added to each sample (100 µl per well) and mixed for 2 min before the experiment. To minimize the impact of cell wall structure on lysis efficiency, luminescence intensity was read five times in 2-min intervals, with the highest read within the 10-min experimental period used for analysis. Luminescence measurements were performed using the BioTek Synergy 2 Multi-Mode Reader, with the data analyzed using the Gen5 software. Two biological replicates were used for these experiments.

**Isolation of young and old yeast cells**

Young and old yeast cells were purified using a biotin-based method, as previously described (16). Yeast cells were harvested at OD$_{600}$ of approximately 1.0, washed with PBS, and labeled for 15 min at 30°C with EZ-Link Biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a concentration of 1 mg/10$^6$ cells. The cells were then washed three times with PBS containing 0.1 M glycoline to quench the labeling reaction and remove free biotin, followed by resuspension in SC medium for incubation overnight. The cells were harvested at an OD$_{600}$ of 1.2 to 1.6, resuspended in PBS + BE (PBS with BSA (1 mg/ml) and 2 mM EDTA), and incubated with the Dynabeads Biotin Binder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4°C for 1 hour with shaking. Before this, the Dynabeads Biotin Binder was washed and resuspended in PBS + BE. The supernatant of the first wash was kept as the young cell control. After washing five times with PBS, the beads were resuspended in PBS for further analysis. Young and old cells (10 µl) were fixed using 1 µl of 3% formaldehyde for budding cells (10 µl of 37% formaldehyde for bud section, and due to technical limitation, three technical replicates were used to quantify protein level.

**Bioinformatics and data analysis**

**RNA-seq data processing and transcriptome analysis**

Read alignment was performed using TopHat (48) with the default settings. Read counting and gene expression analysis was done using htseq-count (49) with the default Bioinformatics and data analysis were used to quantify protein level. The heat diffusion constant of 0.25 was used for the TF rank algorithm to calculate weight of transcription factor. Motif analysis was performed by Homer software (52) with de novo motif analysis. List of all nonsignificantly up-regulated genes in htfl1Δ was used as background.

**MNase-seq data processing and nucleosome profile analysis**

Read alignment was performed using Bowtie2 (53). Nucleosome calling and occupancy calculations were performed using Danpos2 (54). Statistical significance of the differences between two nucleosome profiles was calculated using the permutation test. Relative nucleosome scores across the designated regions were calculated for the control and test groups, followed by subtraction of the values. This value was recorded as the difference in nucleosome occupancy. The nucleosome difference score was calculated 1000 times on randomly selected regions. The P value was defined as the possibility that random promoters have a larger nucleosome difference score than the test group. MNase-seq tracks for individual genes were visualized by the Integrative Genomics Viewer (55).

**Statistics**

Significance of gene group overlap was calculated on the basis of hypergeometric distribution. Yeast RLS and cell cycle time P values were determined using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Enrichment of GO categories was calculated using the χ² test. Statistical significance of all other data not specified above was calculated using an unpaired equal variance two-tailed Student’s t test.

**Yeast strains and media**

All strains used in this study are listed in Table 3. The yeast strain YW32000 was used as the parent strain for all histone mutation-containing strains and designated as the WT. Other single gene deletion strains were in the BY4741 parental background. Standard YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose, with 1.5% agar included for solid medium) was used for all yeast experiments, except as noted. All strains were constructed by transformation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/7/eaav1165/DC1
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