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Abstract

The mass matrix forms of quarks and leptons are discussed in theory with permutation flavor symmetry. The structure of scalar potential is analyzed in case that electroweak doublet Higgs fields have non-trivial flavor symmetry charges. We find that realistic forms of mass matrices are obtained dynamically in the vacuum of the theory, where some of Higgs bosons have vanishing expectation values which lead to vanishing elements in quark and lepton mass matrices. An interesting point is that, due to the flavor group structure, the up and down quark mass matrices are automatically made different in the vacuum, which lead to non-vanishing generation mixing. It is also discussed that flavor symmetry is needed to be broken in order not to have too light scalars.

1 Introduction

Texture-zeros, vanishing elements of fermion mass matrices, in quark and lepton mass matrix are successful to predict masses and mixing angles. However the origin of zero is not clear, which is a motivation of our model. As an approach for this problem we consider the discrete flavor symmetry approach. This approach is studied by many authors\cite{1}. Flavor symmetry is expected to be a clue to understand the masses and mixing angles of quarks and leptons because it reduces the number of free parameters in Yukawa couplings and some testable predictions of masses and mixing angles generally follow(see references in \cite{2}).

A interesting point of our model is that dynamical realization of Texture-zeros in the discrete flavor symmetry approach. In previous model, in order to derive Texture-zeros certain Yukawa couplings are forbidden by the discrete symmetry. In our model however we consider that some of Higgs vacuum expectation values(VEVs) vanish by electroweak symmetry breaking(EWSB) in flavor basis, that is, we consider multi-Higgs system and Texture-zeros are derived by EWSB dynamically.

In our model we take $S_3$ symmetry, permutations of three objects, as the discrete symmetry. The reasons why we adopt $S_3$ are that this symmetry is the smallest group of non commutative discrete groups and $S_3$ has three irreducible representations, doublet $2$, singlet $1_S$, pseudo singlet $1_A$, so that it is easy to assign the flavor symmetry representations to three generations such as $2 + 1_S$. In addition, we consider all the $S_3$ irreducible representations in this model.
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Table 1: All possible minima of the scalar potential for $S_3$ singlet and doublet Higgs fields without tuning of Lagrangian parameters for electroweak symmetry breaking. The blank entries denote non-vanishing VEVs.

2 $S_3$ invariant mass matrix on supersymmetry

In this section, the $S_3$ invariant mass matrices are presented. We consider supersymmetric theory and we suppose that two of three generations belong to $S_3$ doublets and the others are singlets. Using the following tensor product of $S_3$ doublet, $\phi^c = \sigma_1 \phi^* = \sigma_1 (\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*)^T$, $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$,

$$\phi^c \times \psi = (\phi_2 \psi_2, \phi_1 \psi_1)^T + (\phi_2 \psi_2 - \phi_1 \psi_1) + (\phi_1 \psi_2 + \phi_2 \psi_1),$$

the $S_3$ invariant mass matrices are obtained as

$$M_D = \begin{pmatrix} aH_1 & bH_S + cH_A & dH_2 \\ bH_S - cH_A & aH_2 & dH_1 \\ eH_2 & eH_1 & fH_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b, \cdots, f$ are independent Yukawa coupling constants, $M_1, M_2$ are majorana masses. Now we assign $1_S$ to third generation temporarily. We reconfigure this assignment later.

3 $S_3$ invariant Higgs scalar potential analysis

In our model we consider the following eight Higgs bosons,

$$H_{uS}, H_{dS}, H_{uA}, H_{dA}, H_{u1}, H_{d1}, H_{u2}, H_{d2}.$$  (3)

Our purpose in this section is to discuss whether or not there are some vacuum patterns with no parameter relations in terms of vanishing-VEVs. Therefore we have to analyze eight equations at vacuum, which correspond to each Higgs field. In general, these equations are the coupled equations through a common parameter which contains all the Higgs VEVs. However we can separate these equations into three parts for the singlet, the pseudo singlet and the doublet because vanishing-VEVs makes the equations trivial within each sector. Therefore analyzing the equations, possible 14 VEV patterns (Table 1) are obtained with no parameter relations in terms of vanishing-VEVs.

4 Quark and lepton mass textures

In previous section, we got 14 VEV patterns. Now let us analyze these patterns phenomenologically. At first we can obtain the most interesting pattern of 14 patterns. This pattern is
the following.

\[ v_{uS} = v_{dS} = v_{u1} = v_{d2} = 0, \quad v_{uA}, v_{dA}, v_{u2}, v_{d1} \neq 0. \]  

(4)

This pattern leads to the simplest texture (i.e., the maximal number of zero matrix elements) with non-trivial flavor mixing. Next we consider mass matrices obtained from this VEV pattern. We only took \( 2 + 1S \) as the \( S_3 \) representations of three generation matter fields so that the \( S_3 \) charge assignments of matter fields has a complexity. For example we can assign \( 1S \) to any generation in general. As results of exhausting all the \( S_3 \) charge assignments for the quark sector and assuming \( SU(5) \) grand unification \([4][5]\) for the lepton sector, mass matrices and predictions of this model are derived as

\[
M_u = \begin{pmatrix} b_u & f_u \\ -f_u & i_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} d_d & b_d \\ -e_d & i_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_e = \begin{pmatrix} d_d & -3e_d \\ i_d \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} b_\nu & c_\nu \\ -b_\nu & e_\nu \\ g_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)
\]

\[
|V_{cb}| = \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}}, \quad |V_{e3}| \geq 0.04 [6]. \\
(7)
\]

where blank entries denote zero and each parameter in \( M_u, M_d, M_e, M_\nu \) such as \( d_u, d_d, b_\nu \) denote a product of a Yukawa coupling and a VEV, for example \( d_u = dv_{u2} \).

## 5 Higgs mass spectrum and \( S_3 \) soft breaking in B-term

The \( S_3 \) potential has an enhanced global symmetry \( SU(2) \times U(1)^2 \) and leads to massless Nambu-Goldstone bosons in the electroweak broken phases. It is therefore reasonable to softly break the flavor symmetry within the scalar potential. We introduce the following supersymmetry-breaking soft terms which do not break phenomenological characters of the exact \( S_3 \) model.

\[
V_{gs} = b_{SD} H_u S H_d + b'_{SD} H_u H_d + b_{AD} H_u A H_d + b'_{AD} H_u A H_d + h.c. \\
(8)
\]

These soft terms have not only the same phenomenological characters as exact \( S_3 \) model but also a character which we can take the same VEV pattern as \([4]\) in previous section with no parameter relations.

## 6 Tree level FCNC

Since there are multiple electroweak doublet Higgs bosons which couple to matter fields, flavor-changing processes are mediated at classical level by these Higgs fields. We can show that all but one have masses of the order of supersymmetry breaking parameters. Therefore the experimental observations of FCNC rare events would lead to a bound on the supersymmetry breaking scale. Among various experimental constraints, we find the most important constraint comes from the neutral K meson mixing. For the heavy mass eigenstates, the tree-level \( K_L - K_S \) mass difference \( \Delta m_K^{\mathrm{tree}} \) is given by the matrix element of the effective Hamiltonian between K mesons \([7]\). \( \Delta m_K^{\mathrm{tree}} \) contains \( M_H \), which is an average of the Higgs masses 

\[
1/M_H^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1/M_{H_1}^2 + 1/M_{H_2}^2 + 1/M_{H_3}^2 + 1/M_{H_4}^2 \right),
\]

and a free parameter \( \eta \), which contains the
down type quark Yukawa couplings. It is found that heavy Higgs masses are bounded from below so as to suppress the extra Higgs contribution compared with the standard model one, which bound is roughly given by

\[
M_H \geq \begin{cases} 
3.8\text{TeV} & (\eta = 0) \\
1.4\text{TeV} & (\eta = 0.03)
\end{cases},
\]

where we take \( \eta = 0, 0.03 \) as typical values.

7 Summary

In our model we have discussed the structure of Higgs potential and fermion mass matrices in supersymmetric models with \( S_3 \) flavor symmetry and examined possible vanishing elements of quark and lepton mass matrices. As results of exhausting the patterns of flavor symmetry charges of matter fields, some predictions such that the lepton mixing \( V_{e3} \) is within the range which will be tested in near future experiments are obtained. We have also discussed the physical mass spectrum of Higgs bosons and the tree level FCNC processes which is propagated by heavy Higgs fields. From the tree level FCNC process analysis, it is found that heavy Higgs masses, which is the order of soft supersymmetry breaking scale, are a few TeV.
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