New homogeneous iron abundances of double-mode Cepheids from high-resolution echelle spectroscopy *
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We define the relationship between the double-mode pulsation of Cepheids and metallicity in a more accurate way, determine the empirical metallicities of double-mode Cepheids from homogeneous, high-resolution spectroscopic data, and study of the period – metallicity dependence.

Methods. The high S/N echelle spectra obtained with the FEROS spectrograph were analyzed using a self-developed IRAF script, and the iron abundances were determined by comparing with synthetic spectra assuming LTE.

Results. Accurate [Fe/H] values of 17 galactic beat Cepheids were determined. All these stars have solar or slightly subsolar metallicity. Their period ratio ($P_1/P_0$) shows strong correlation with their derived [Fe/H] values. The corresponding period ratio – metallicity relation has been evaluated.
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1. Introduction

It was realized decades ago, that the period ratio of double-mode Cepheids (i.e. classical Cepheids that are pulsating in two modes simultaneously, also called beat Cepheids) strongly depends on the physical parameters of these stars, namely the mass ($M$), luminosity ($L$), mean effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$), and metallicity ($Z$). This can be used to give tight constraints on these physical parameters via comparison of observed periods and prediction of theoretical pulsational models on the Petersen diagram ($P_1/P_0$ vs. log $P_0$; Petersen 1973; Morgan & Welch 1997; D’Cruz, Morgan, & Böhm-Vitense 2000). The comparison of beat Cepheids in both Magellanic Clouds (LMC and SMC) with their galactic counterparts revealed that the key parameter is the metallicity. In order to get unambiguous estimates for the mass and luminosity of a given Cepheid, its metallicity must be known from observations.

Besides constraining pulsational and evolutionary models, metal abundances of Cepheids are useful for studying the abundance distribution in the Milky Way (Kovtyukh et al. 2005; Lemasle et al. 2007), as well as in other galaxies (Beaulieu et al. 2006). Recent theoretical calculations also indicate the importance of metallicity for the double-mode pulsation (Buchler & Szabó 2007).

Unfortunately, double-mode Cepheids are not as frequent as their single-mode counterparts, because radial pulsation with simultaneously excited two periods can only be maintained in a very limited region of the instability strip. The number of known beat Cepheids in the Milky Way galaxy is still very small, only 23. The situation is better for LMC and SMC, where we have better statistical samples of the beat Cepheid population, thanks to the long-term microlensing surveys.

In this paper we present new iron abundances for an almost complete sample of known double-mode Cepheids in the Milky Way. These data are based on analyzing of high-resolution, high signal-to-noise echelle spectra. The observations and the data reduction are described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we give the details of the applied method of abundance analysis. The results are presented and discussed in Sects. 4 and 5. Finally, we draw our conclusions in Sect. 6.

2. Observations and data reduction

The observations were carried out with the fiber-fed high-resolution echelle spectrograph FEROS at the ESO/MPG 2.2-m telescope at La Silla observatory, Chile (Kaufer et al., 1999). FEROS has a continuous wavelength coverage from 3900 to 9200 Å. Two fibers simultaneously recorded the light from the object and the nearby sky background. The detector was a back-illuminated CCD with 2148×4096 pixels of 15 µm size. Seventeen program stars were selected as observing targets from the list of known galactic double-mode Cepheids accessible from the southern hemisphere (Table 1). No previous extensive investigation of double-mode Cepheids has ever been performed at the resolution achieved by FEROS ($R = 48000$).

The spectra of the program stars were collected on 3 nights between 30 May and 1 June 2004. The observed frames were read out in the “normal” mode with readout time ~41.6 s, detector gain ~3.2 e−/ADU, and readout noise ~5.1 e−. The exposure times were chosen based on primarily the brightness of the target star, but avoiding overly long exposures during which the stellar parameters may vary significantly due to the pulsation.
Table 1. Basic parameters of program stars. References: A: Antipin (1997); BP: Beltrame & Poretti (2002); PP: Pardo & Poretti (1997); WO: Wils & Otero (2004).

| Star   | $P_0$ (days) | $P_1/P_0$ | Reference |
|--------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Y Car  | 3.6398       | 0.7032    | PP        |
| EY Car | 2.8760       | 0.7079    | PP        |
| GZ Car | 4.1589       | 0.7054    | WO        |
| TU Cas | 2.1393       | 0.7097    | PP        |
| UZ Cen | 3.3343       | 0.7064    | PP        |
| BK Cen | 3.1739       | 0.7004    | PP        |
| VX Pup | 3.0118       | 0.7104    | PP        |
| EW Sct | 5.8235       | 0.6986    | PP        |
| V367 Sct | 6.2932    | 0.6968    | PP        |
| V458 Sct | 4.8412     | 0.6993    | A         |
| BQ Ser | 4.2710       | 0.7052    | PP        |
| U TrA  | 2.5684       | 0.7105    | PP        |
| AP Vel | 3.1278       | 0.7033    | PP        |
| AX Vel | 3.6732       | 0.7059    | PP        |
| GSC 8691-1294 | 4.317 | 0.7035 | WO |
| GSC 8607-0608 | 4.089  | 0.7017 | WO |
| V1048 Cen$^b$ | 0.9224 | 0.8058 | BP |

$^a$ data obtained at DDO
$^b$ 1st/2nd overtone pulsator

Calibration data, including bias, dark, flat-field, and ThArNe lamp exposures were obtained during the standard calibration routine. Moreover, several daytime solar spectra were recorded with the same setup before each night.

In addition to the program stars observed with FEROS, two echelle spectra of the northern beat Cepheid TU Cas were also included in the sample. These spectra were taken at the David Dunlap Observatory, Canada, with the echelle spectrograph mounted on the 74 inch (188 cm) telescope in 1997. See Kiss & Vinkó (2000) for details on the instrument and the reduction process.

The reduction of the FEROS data was done in IRAF, independently of the ESO/MIDAS pipeline. We preferred an interactive reduction, in order to check each reduction step carefully and avoid any possible misinterpretation of the resulting spectral features.

First, all frames were bias-corrected by subtracting a master bias frame, defined as the average of several bias frames corresponding to the “normal” readout mode (see above). The stellar frames were then corrected for cosmic rays with the task noao.imred.cutout.cosmicrays. Then, an averaged master flat-field frame was created in the same way as the master bias frame. The echelle orders were identified on this master flat-field frame. Since the echelle orders showed complicated double-peak flux profiles that had a varying shape across the chip, the automatic order-finding algorithms in IRAF could not provide reliable results. Thus, we visually identified all spectral orders and manually adjusted the position and width of each aperture centered on the particular order. This way we could reliably define and extract the brightest 35 orders both for the object and the sky fiber, respectively.

After this step, we independently followed two different approaches during the reduction. For the first, we applied the noao.imred.echelle.dofoe task defined particularly for handling two-fiber-fed echelle spectra. This complex task performs scattered-light subtraction, order extraction based on an order-reference frame, 1D-flatfielding using the extracted flat-field orders, and wavelength calibration based on a spectral lamp frame. The order-reference frame was the master flat-field frame described above. The extracted flat-field orders were fitted by a smooth polynomial, keeping only the pixel-to-pixel variations, and these “residual” flat-fields were used in the flat-field division. This kind of flatfielding does not correct the stellar spectra for the blaze function, which must be determined and removed subsequently. This was done along with the continuum normalization later. For the wavelength calibration, a master arc frame was created by median-combining several long- and short-exposure arc frames obtained during the calibration procedure. The reason was that the short-exposure arc spectra had poor signal-to-noise (S/N) for many weak lines, while the long-exposure ones had too many badly saturated lines. The combination of the arc frames was tuned to have as many weak lines with good S/N as possible with the smallest number of saturated strong lines. The stability of FEROS enabled us to use this single master frame for the wavelength calibration of all object spectra obtained on the same night. The wavelength calibration was performed within dofoe by a two-dimensional polynomial fitting to the order numbers and the measured pixel positions of the arc emission lines. Finally, the resulting object spectra were continuum-normalized by fitting a smooth polynomial to the local continuum level, determined iteratively by rejecting those pixels that deviated more than 2σ from the local mean level.

The second approach was based on a self-developed CL-spectrum that includes other IRAF routines for reducing and calibrating general echelle spectra. The reduction steps were basically the same as described above. Specifically, order extraction was done with the noao.imred.echelle.apall task. Instead of fitting a global illumination pattern for the scattered light, a local background flux level was determined and subtracted from each order during the extraction. The flat-field correction was done by dividing each extracted order of the object frame by the corresponding extracted flat-field order. This way the blaze function was also removed from the object spectra, but the spectrum of the flat-field lamp distorted the stellar (and background) fluxes. However, since our purpose was to get continuum-normalized spectra, this did not cause any problem, because the final continuum normalization easily removed the slight flux tilt caused by the flat-field spectrum. In fact, we found that this approach produces better normalized stellar continuum than the first approach described above, because it avoids the numerical problems encountered in the simultaneous fitting of the strongly varying blaze function and the stellar continuum. As in the first method, the wavelength calibration was based on a two-dimensional polynomial fit to the extracted orders of the master arc frame, using the task imred.echelle.ecidentify. For the sky apertures, the task imred.echelle.ecrereidentify was applied based on the wavelength solution of the corresponding object aperture. As in dofoe, this accounts for the slight zero-point shift between the wavelength solutions of the corresponding object and sky apertures. The removal of the sky spectra from the stellar spectra was done on the wavelength scale, instead of pixel scale, with the task imred.echelle.saritl. The length of each order was then manually adjusted by trimming the noisy edges at the ends. Finally, all object spectra were continuum-normalized using noao.imred.echelle.continuum, as described above.

The final spectra from the two independent reductions were compared order-by-order, and very good agreement was found. A few reduced spectra were also double-checked with those obtained by the improved FEROS pipeline in MIDAS (Rainer 2003). Very good agreement was found, except for a slight wavelength shift, which is due to the fact that the MIDAS pipeline contains a barycentric Doppler-correction of the final spectra.
Fig. 1. Fe abundances for BQ Ser with optimal atmospheric parameters. The parameters were set as $T_{\text{eff}} = 6000$ K, $\log g = 2.0$, $v_t = 3.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Open circles denote Fe I lines, filled squares are Fe II lines. Top panel: iron abundance vs. excitation potential; bottom panel: abundance vs. EW.

The precision of our wavelength calibration was tested by comparing the wavelengths of the telluric features in the vicinity of H$\alpha$ with those from other spectra obtained with other instruments. Perfect agreement, within 1 pixel ($\sim 0.05$ Å), was found. We believe that our reduction efforts have resulted in reliable, properly wavelength-calibrated, continuum-normalized FEROS spectra of all our program stars. Our calibration also supplies a reduction approach within the IRAF environment to potential users as an alternative to the standard MIDAS pipeline (Sziládi, PhD thesis, in preparation).

3. Abundance analysis

In order to determine the iron abundance of the program stars, first, a list of weak, unblended Fe I and Fe II lines was assembled based on the information (wavelength, oscillator strength, and excitation potential) found in the extensive line list of Kovtyukh & Andrievsky (1999). Each line profile was visually examined and blended ones were rejected. This resulted in 77 Fe I and 18 Fe II lines. Then, the equivalent widths (EWs) of these lines were calculated from the observed spectra using the IRAF task splot. First, the spectrum was Doppler-corrected (with the task dopcor) to bring the lines as close to their laboratory wavelength as possible. The input radial velocity was calculated via cross-correlating the stellar spectrum with a daytime solar spectrum, which has approximately zero radial velocity with respect to the observer. The 20th echelle order containing the MgI ‘b’ triplet at 5184 Å, which is often used for radial velocity studies of late-type stars, was selected for this purpose. The cross-correlation function (CCF) was computed with the fxcor task. Having a rest-frame corrected spectrum, the EWs of the selected lines were derived with the task splot by fitting Voigt-profiles, allowing slight wavelength shifts. Those lines that showed shifts greater than $\pm 0.2$ Å from their laboratory position were omitted from the later analysis.

For each line the iron abundances were determined with the SPECTRUM[1] spectral synthesis code by R.O. Gray.

Fig. 2. The sensitivity of the calculated line abundances to the atmospheric parameters in the case of BQ Ser. The meaning of the symbols is the same as in Fig. 1. Top panel: the effect of setting $T_{\text{eff}} = 5500$ K; middle panel: setting incorrect gravity ($\log g = 3.0$); bottom panel: incorrect microturbulence ($v_t = 1.5$ km s$^{-1}$).

[1] http://www.phys.appstate.edu/spectrum/spectrum.html
### Table 2. Atmospheric parameters and iron abundances for the program stars

| Star   | Date  | S/N | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | log $g$ (dex) | $\log g + \alpha$ (dex) | $v_t$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $A(\text{Fe})$ (dex) | $\sigma$ (dex) | $(v_t \sin i)$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|--------|-------|-----|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Y Car  | May 30 | 187 | 6000               | 2.0          | 1.92                     | 4.1               | 7.45            | 0.19        | 0                        |
|        | June 1 | 270 | 7000               | 2.5          | 2.48                     | 4.1               | 7.43            | 0.14        | 10                       |
| EY Car | May 30 | 142 | 6000               | 1.0          | –                        | 4.2               | 7.42            | 0.24        | –                       |
|        | June 1 | 139 | 5750               | 1.5          | –                        | 4.2               | 7.24            | 0.20        | 20                       |
| GZ Car | May 30 | 158 | 6250               | 2.0          | 2.06                     | 5.0               | 7.49            | 0.22        | 0                        |
|        | June 1 | 125 | 6000               | 2.0          | 1.98                     | 5.0               | 7.35            | 0.19        | 0                        |
| UZ Cen | May 30 | 210 | 6000               | 1.0          | 2.06                     | 3.8               | 7.31            | 0.21        | 4                        |
|        | June 1 | 168 | 5750               | 1.5          | 1.59                     | 4.2               | 7.29            | 0.14        | 8                        |
| BK Cen | May 30 | 201 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.08                     | 4.0               | 7.65            | 0.16        | 10                       |
|        | June 1 | 151 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.17                     | 4.0               | 7.48            | 0.23        | 4                        |
| V1048 Cen | May 30 | 161 | 6250               | 2.0          | –                        | 4.2               | 7.37            | 0.23        | 7                        |
|        | June 1 | 189 | 6250               | 2.0          | –                        | 4.2               | 7.25            | 0.22        | 5                        |
| VX Pup | June 1 | 274 | 6500               | 2.5          | 2.51                     | 4.4               | 7.29            | 0.14        | 10                       |
| EW Sct | May 30 | 150 | 5750               | 1.5          | 1.57                     | 3.8               | 7.48            | 0.16        | 10                       |
|        | May 31 | 197 | 6000               | 1.5          | 0.00                     | 3.8               | 7.54            | 0.18        | 10                       |
|        | June 1 | 228 | 6250               | 2.0          | 2.04                     | 3.6               | 7.43            | 0.20        | 10                       |
| V367 Sct | May 30 | 151 | 6000               | 1.5          | 1.33                     | 4.5               | 7.54            | 0.21        | 15                       |
|        | May 31 | 146 | 6250               | 2.0          | 2.02                     | 4.5               | 7.59            | 0.22        | 15                       |
| V458 Sct | May 30 | 218 | 6250               | 2.0          | 1.98                     | 4.2               | 7.55            | 0.19        | 16                       |
|        | May 31 | 183 | 6250               | 2.5          | 2.54                     | 4.2               | 7.61            | 0.17        | 11                       |
| BQ Ser | May 30 | 133 | 5750               | 2.0          | 1.81                     | 3.7               | 7.34            | 0.21        | 17                       |
|        | May 31 | 139 | 6000               | 2.0          | 1.97                     | 3.9               | 7.39            | 0.27        | 16                       |
|        | June 1 | 169 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.03                     | 3.7               | 7.36            | 0.25        | 14                       |
| U TrA  | May 30 | 300 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.04                     | 4.8               | 7.43            | 0.14        | 5                        |
|        | June 1 | 270 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.33                     | 4.8               | 7.25            | 0.18        | 0                        |
| AP Vel | May 30 | 126 | 5750               | 1.5          | 0.00                     | 3.9               | 7.51            | 0.17        | 18                       |
|        | June 1 | 154 | 6000               | 2.0          | 2.01                     | 3.9               | 7.35            | 0.20        | 10                       |
| AX Vel | May 30 | 328 | 6500               | 2.5          | 2.52                     | 5.1               | 7.54            | 0.17        | 10                       |
|        | June 1 | 232 | 6250               | 2.0          | 2.17                     | 5.1               | 7.26            | 0.19        | 13                       |
| GSC 8607-0608 | May 30 | 169 | 5750               | 1.5          | 1.50                     | 3.7               | 7.38            | 0.22        | 7                        |
| GSC 8691-1294 | May 30 | 66  | 6250               | 2.5          | –                        | 3.6               | 7.48            | 0.30        | 15                       |

SPECTRUM solves the radiative transfer equation in a plane-parallel model atmosphere assuming LTE. We used Kurucz model atmospheres with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5000-7000$ K, log $g = 0-2.5$ dex as appropriate for Cepheids, and a solar abundance pattern. After selecting a particular atmosphere (specifying $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$) and microturbulence $v_t$, the LTE abundances $A(\text{Fe}) = \log(N_{\text{Fe}}/N_{\text{H}})+12$, where $N_{\text{Fe}}$ and $N_{\text{H}}$ is the number density of iron and hydrogen, respectively, were calculated with the auxiliary code BLACKWEL for each input line. To minimize the number of lines that are affected by NLTE-effects, only weak lines with EW < 0.15 Å were used.

The atmospheric parameters were determined entirely from the observed EWs in the standard way (e.g. Fry & Carney [1997]). The effective temperature is selected by requiring that the calculated abundances do not depend on the line excitation potential. Similarly, the surface gravity is chosen when the calculated abundances are the same for both Fe I and Fe II lines (i.e. the abundances do not depend on the ionization state). Both $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ were further constrained by fitting the profiles of H$\alpha$ with model spectra calculated with ATLAS9/SYNTHE. Finally, the microturbulent velocity is set when the line abundances are independent of the line EWs.

Figure 1 illustrates the correct choice of these parameters in the case of BQ Ser. The final iron abundance (indicated by the horizontal line) was calculated as the average of all individual abundances including neutral and ionized Fe lines. The top, middle, and bottom panels of Fig. 2 show the effect of an incorrect temperature, gravity, and $v_t$, respectively. Decreasing either $T_{\text{eff}}$ from 6000 K to 5500 K (top panel) or the microturbulence from 3.7 to 1.5 km s$^{-1}$ (bottom panel), a strong dependence of the Fe...
abundance on the excitation potential is introduced. A change in \( \log g \) from 2.0 to 3.0 (middle panel) causes a systematic difference between the abundances calculated from Fe I and Fe II lines.

One example of the \( \text{Hα} \) profile fitting is plotted in Fig. 3. Due to its temperature and pressure sensitivity, the \( \text{Hα} \) line is a good indicator of the photospheric temperature and gravity, even though the line core is more affected by NLTE effects. Thus, the fitting is restricted only to the line wings. Since the abundance data are only weakly sensitive to the gravity (see Fig. 2), the \( \text{Hα} \) fitting provided important constraints for this parameter.

To test the abundance scale of BLACKWEL, as well as the accuracy of our EW measurements, the daytime solar spectra were also analyzed using the same line list. The Kurucz solar model atmosphere and its parameters (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 5777 \) K, \( \log g = 4.4377, v_\text{i} = 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) were used and the resulting line abundances averaged. The results were \( A_0(\text{Fe}) = 7.44 \pm 0.18, 7.52 \pm 0.18, \) and \( 7.51 \pm 0.17 \) for 30 May, 31 May, and 1 June, respectively, where the uncertainties were estimated as the standard deviation of the line abundances around the mean. It is visible that for the spectrum obtained on the first day (30 May) the calculated abundance is smaller than those from the two other days, but they agree well within 1\( \sigma \). Thus, we adopted their unweighted average \( A_0(\text{Fe}) = 7.49 \pm 0.18 \) as the final solar abundance. This value is in remarkable agreement with the recent solar iron abundance of 7.45 \pm 0.05 (e.g. Asplund et al. 2005), and \( A_0(\text{Fe}) = 7.49 \) was adopted as the observed zero point for determining [Fe/H] from the FEROS spectra.

4. Results

The iron abundances, together with the corresponding atmospheric parameters, are collected in Table 2. The uncertainties of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) are \( \pm 250 \) K and \( \pm 0.25 \) dex, respectively, due to the resolution of the applied grid of model atmospheres. The estimated uncertainty of the microturbulent velocities is \( \pm 0.5 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The errors of the abundances are purely statistical, being the rms standard deviation of the individual line abundances around the mean value (see the previous section).

It is important to note that the inferred surface gravities are actually the effective gravities containing the acceleration term due to the pulsation

\[
g_{\text{eff}} = \frac{GM}{R^2(t)} - p \frac{dv_{\text{rad}}}{dt}
\]

where \( M \) is the stellar mass, \( R(t) \) the instantaneous radius of the photosphere, \( p \) the projection factor to convert the radial velocities into the pulsational velocities (we used \( p = 1.38 \) from the period-dependent formula by Gieren et al. 1999), and \( v_{\text{rad}} \) the radial velocity at the moment of the observation.

To get information on the true gravities of the program stars, we estimated the acceleration terms from the derivative of the radial velocity curves. The radial velocity data were collected from Stobie & Balona (1979), Gorynya et al. (1992, 1996, 1998), Bersier et al. (1994), Antipin et al. (1999), and Petterson et al. (2004). For each Cepheid, the radial velocities were fitted by a Fourier-polynomial including the \( f_0, f_1, f_0 + f_1, f_1 - f_0, \) and \( 2f_0 + f_1 \) frequency components. The frequencies were computed from the periods listed in Table 1. Because these are determined from observations distributed on a long time baseline, they can be applied to derive the phases of the new observations unambiguously. Then, the analytic derivative of the Fourier-polynomial was computed at the phase of the given spectroscopic observation to get the acceleration term in Eq. 1. Table 2 also contains the true gravities, i.e. the observed (effective) gravities corrected for the acceleration.

The chemical abundances should not depend on time, so the data in Table 2 can also be used to test the stability and the possible systematic errors of our abundance analysis. Generally, it is visible that the calculated abundances do agree within their errors. However, the spectra from day 3 (June 1) tend to give slightly lower abundances (but within 1\( \sigma \)) than those from day 1 (May 30). No such tendency can be seen in the atmospheric parameters. We attribute this slight inconsistency to an instrumental calibration problem, probably associated with the scattered light + sky background removal that may alter the line depths of continuum-normalized spectra. Nevertheless, since the differences are not significant, we define the final iron abundances (Table 3) as the average of the values given in Table 2. Their final estimated uncertainties reflect their individual errors, plus the differences between the data belonging to different nights. In Table 3 we also list the new [Fe/H] values calculated from

\[
[\text{Fe/H}] = A_{\text{Ceph}}(\text{Fe}) - A_0(\text{Fe})
\]

where \( A_0(\text{Fe}) = 7.49 \) was applied (see the previous section). We note that 14 out of 17 double-mode Cepheids show slightly negative [Fe/H] values, though while considering their errorbars, the deviation from solar abundance is marginal.

We checked our new iron abundances further by computing synthesized spectra with ATLAS9 using Kurucz models with solar abundance pattern scaled to the abundances in Table 2. Note that the solar iron abundance applied in the Kurucz models corresponds to 7.63 on our scale, so the “abundance scale” parameter was set to \( 10^{(A(\text{Fe}) - 7.63)} \), where \( A(\text{Fe}) \) is the iron abundance of the Cepheid. Moreover, we enabled the pulsation correction in VFIELD with the appropriate velocity value determined previously. The final spectra are convolved with the FEROS instrumental profile derived from fitting Gaussians to the spectral lamp emission lines. In Fig. 4 a synthesized spectrum is compared with an observed spectrum of EW Scuti. The agreement is satisfactory, although a few weak lines are not fitted well. The cause of this is that the log \( gf \) values in the Kurucz line list were not

![Fig. 3. The fitting of the Hα profile of BQ Ser. The observed spectrum is shown by continuous curve, while the model spectrum is plotted as a dashed curve. The model shown here was computed assuming \( T_{\text{eff}} = 6000 \) K and \( \log g = 2.0 \). The fit was restricted to the wings of Hα, since the core cannot be modeled under the LTE assumption.](image-url)
Table 3. Final (averaged) abundances and uncertainties with [Fe/H] data from previous studies. For the latter data, uncertainties (where available) are given in parentheses.

| Star     | [Fe/H] | σ | [Fe/H]₁ | [Fe/H]₂ | [Fe/H]₃ | [Fe/H]₄ |
|----------|--------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Y Car    | −0.05  | 0.16 | −0.33 (0.35) | – | – | – |
| EY Car   | −0.17  | 0.22 | – | – | – | – |
| GZ Car   | −0.07  | 0.20 | −0.36 (0.33) | −0.08 | – | – |
| UZ Cen   | −0.19  | 0.17 | −0.30 (0.32) | −0.09 | – | – |
| BK Cen   | 0.07   | 0.19 | −0.03 (0.30) | – | – | – |
| VX Pup   | −0.20  | 0.14 | −0.39 (0.14) | −0.17 | −0.13 | −0.15 (0.12) |
| EW Scut | −0.01  | 0.18 | −0.08 (0.09) | +0.03 | – | – |
| V367 Scut | 0.075  | 0.21 | +0.26 (0.30) | – | −0.01 | – |
| V458 Scut | 0.09   | 0.18 | – | – | – | – |
| BQ Ser   | −0.13  | 0.24 | −0.36 (0.20) | −0.08 | −0.05 | – |
| U TrA    | −0.15  | 0.16 | −0.31 (0.34) | – | – | – |
| AP Vel   | −0.06  | 0.18 | −0.18 (0.35) | −0.045 | – | – |
| AX Vel   | −0.09  | 0.18 | −0.43 (0.29) | −0.12 | – | −0.15 (0.07) |
| GSC 8607-0608 | −0.11  | 0.22 | – | – | – | – |
| GSC 8691-1294 | −0.015 | 0.22 | – | – | – | – |
| TU Cas   | −0.16  | 0.24 | −0.43 (0.31) | −0.05 | 0.02 | – |
| V1048 Cen | −0.18  | 0.22 | – | – | – | – |

References to [Fe/H] values: 1: Andrievsky et al. (1994); 2: D’Cruz et al. (2000); 3: Andrievsky et al. (2002); 4: Lemasle et al. (2007).

Fig. 4. Comparison of the observed spectrum of EW Scuti (dotted curve) with a model spectrum computed by ATLAS9/SYNTHE (solid curve) with the parameters in Table 2. The solar abundance pattern is scaled to the calculated abundance of EW Scut. Pulsation, as well as the instrumental broadening, has been taken into account (see text).

adjusted to the values used by us in the abundance analysis (see above), which makes the strength of these lines different from those obtained with the SPECTRUM code using the same abundance. This test also illustrates that the iron line strengths and abundances computed by two independent codes, SPECTRUM and ATLAS9, are generally consistent.

The new [Fe/H] data in Table 3 allow us to update the observed period ratio – the metallicity relation first pointed out by
Andrievsky et al. (1993, 1994) in graphical form. From Tables 1 and 3, the following empirical relation has been found:

\[ \frac{P_1}{P_0} = -0.0143 \log P_0 -0.0265 \text{ [Fe/H]} +0.7101 \pm 0.0025 \pm 0.0044 \pm 0.0014. \] (3)

The period ratio, corrected for the weak dependence on the fundamental period, is plotted against [Fe/H] in Fig. 5.

4.1. The effect of rotation and pulsation on the derived abundances

Rotation and/or pulsation alter the line profiles, thus, their effect on the abundance analysis needs to be investigated. These macroscopic motions convolve the local line profile over the visible stellar surface, therefore the observed (integrated) line broadens and becomes shallower. As a first approximation, the total EW of the observed line does not change because of the conservation of the total absorbed flux. However, there are second-order effects, connected with e.g. the measurement of the EWs from the observed spectra with finite resolution and S/N, which may introduce some systematic uncertainties in the EWs of broadened lines, and these effects should be evaluated. We show below that in the case of our program stars neither rotation nor pulsation affects the results of the abundance analysis significantly.

Cepheids are slow rotators, similar to other supergiants as expected from stellar evolution. The typical value of \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle \) is \( \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (De Medeiros et al. 2002). There seems to be a correlation between the rotation and pulsation period: Cepheids with longer pulsation periods are slower rotators (Nardetto et al. 2006). However, Takeda et al. (1997) found \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle = 0 \) for the short-period Cepheid SU Cas, which illustrates that even the shortest period (hence the smallest) Cepheids may be very slow rotators. Buchler and Szabó (2007) studied the effect of rotation on the period ratio of beat Cepheids from theoretical point of view, and find that the period ratio and its dependence on heavy element abundance is practically insensitive to the rotation (up to 20 km s\(^{-1}\)).

To estimate the projected rotational velocities of our program stars, we determined the FWHM of a few weak, unblended lines (Fe I \( \lambda \lambda 6056, 6096, 6157, 6165 \text{ Å} \) and compared it with those of ATLAS9 model spectra (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 5750 \text{ K}, \log g = 1.5, \) and \( v_c = 4.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) rotated with 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 km s\(^{-1}\). We checked that variations in the atmospheric parameters up to 250 K, 0.5 dex, and 0.5 km s\(^{-1}\) in the temperature, gravity, and microturbulence, respectively, do not alter the FWHMs of the rotationally broadened lines significantly. Thus, instead of computing detailed models for all program stars, we used only this sequence of theoretical model spectra as templates for estimating the rotational broadening. As for the earlier results mentioned above, all program stars were found to be slow rotators with \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (see Table 2).

Since pulsation causes an additional broadening, using a non-pulsating template spectrum causes a systematic overestimation of the rotational velocities. Because of this, our estimated \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle \) values are actually upper limits to the true projected rotational velocities. To test the influence of pulsation on the model FWHMs, we added \( +20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) pulsational velocity (typical of our short-period Cepheids) to the model sequence and re-computed the FWHMs of the same lines. It was found that this pulsational velocity causes \( \sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) overestimation of the \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle \), which is about the same as the uncertainty of the estimated rotational velocities (\( \sim 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). Note that the FWHM of the instrumental broadening profile of FEROS is \( \sim 0.15 \text{ Å} \), which corresponds to \( \sim 7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) rotational velocity at 6000 Å. Thus, \( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle \) values lower than this level are not resolved well from our spectra.

Finally, the effect of rotation and pulsation on the model EWs was found to be 1% for \( v_{\text{rot}} = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and less than 0.1% for \( v_{\text{pul}} = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). These numbers illustrate that the effect of rotation on the line EWs and the abundance analysis presented in the previous sections is negligible.

Pulsation does not change the line EWs, but it does change the atmospheric parameters (\( T_{\text{eff}}, \log g \)) along the pulsational cycle. However, the values reported in Table 2 show that the variation in the derived abundances is typically less than the errors of the individual abundances, caused by mainly the uncertainties in the atomic data and the model atmospheres. Therefore, we conclude that, although for pulsating stars the determination of the atmospheric parameters is more complex than in the case of static stars, the analysis resulted in consistent iron abundances for different pulsational phases. Thus, the abundances for those stars that were observed only in a single phase are also probably not affected by the pulsational motion.

5. Discussion

In this paragraph we compare the spectroscopically determined physical parameters (\( T_{\text{eff}}, \log g, \text{ [Fe/H]} \)) of the program stars with results from previous observational studies and predictions from pulsation theory. In addition, we give an estimate of the color excess \( E(B - V) \) of the program stars from the spectroscopic effective temperatures.

5.1. Comparison with previous [Fe/H] determinations

Among the stars listed in Table 3, four targets have [Fe/H] values determined in this paper for the first time. However, for the majority of the program stars more than one value exists for iron abundance published previously, thus, it is important to compare our results with those of earlier studies. The results collected from the literature are also summarized in Table 3. In Fig. 6, the literature [Fe/H] values are plotted with respect to the ones determined in this paper. The uncertainties of our new [Fe/H] data are between 0.1 - 0.2 dex (Table 3).

There seems to be a systematic difference of about 1σ between our data and those of Andrievsky et al. (1994) in the sense...
that the latter show generally smaller iron abundance for the majority of our beat Cepheid sample. However, the [Fe/H] zero point of Andrievsky et al. (1994), 7.51, agrees quite well with ours (7.49); thus, the cause of this discrepancy is probably the use of different input atomic data and Cepheid line EWs. Also, the spectral resolution of their data is lower than ours, so the corresponding errors are higher (~ 0.3 dex) than the uncertainty of the new data presented in this paper. On the other hand, the more recent [Fe/H] determinations by Andrievsky et al. (2002) agree much better with our data, although only 5 stars are common in their more extended observational sample with ours. We believe that the agreement of the more recent (independent) data increases the probability that these are closer to the true [Fe/H] values of these stars than the earlier ones. Although Andrievsky et al. (2002) do not specify individual error bars for their [Fe/H] values, they note that the average uncertainties of their abundances are between 0.05 - 0.2 dex, which is comparable to the error bars of our data.

The agreement is also good (within 1σ) with the data by D'Cruz et al. (2000), who predict the [Fe/H] values from theoretical pulsational models (and also point out the discrepancy between their results and those of Andrievsky et al. (1994). Unfortunately, they also do not assign errors to their theoretical [Fe/H] values except a short note that “they should be quite accurate, provided the theoretical opacities and models are sufficiently accurate”. Indeed, it is seen from Table 3 that they agree with our new spectroscopic abundances within the errors.

Finally, only two stars are common in the sample of Lemus et al. (2007) and ours. For both stars the results agree well with our ones and also with those of Andrievsky et al. (2002).

5.2. The metallicity of the 1O/2O pulsator V1048 Cen

The case of V1048 Cen (εHD 304373) deserves a particular discussion. Together with CO Aur, they are the only 1O/2O pulsators known in the Milky Way (Beltrame & Poretti 2002). Its position in the Petersen diagram is very similar to that of other 1O/2O pulsators in the Large Magellanic Cloud. This similarity is striking, considering that the F/1O pulsators in the Milky Way and in the Magellanic Clouds describe well-separated sequences in the Petersen diagrams (see Fig. 1 in Poretti & Beltrame 2004). It could be argued that V1048 Cen (and then CO Aur, too) could have a particular metallicity, different from those of other double-mode Cepheids. However, our data (see Table 3) demonstrate that the metallicity of V1048 Cen is very similar to those of galactic F/1O pulsators. Therefore, it still remains unexplained why the two classes of double-mode pulsators behave differently in different galaxies.

5.3. Period–gravity relation

The linear pulsation theory predicts a simple relation between the period of the fundamental mode $P_0$ and surface gravity, namely,

$$\log g = 2.62 - 1.21 \log P_0$$

(Kovács, 2000). This can be inferred from a simple pulsation equation and blackbody assumption. Using the spectroscopic gravities listed in Table 2, it is possible to test this simple theoretical relation with observations.

Since $\log g$ in Eq. 4 refers to the mean gravity, averaged over the pulsational cycle, the gravities corrected for the acceleration term (Table 2) were averaged. Only those stars that had more than one spectra were considered, although the average of two gravities obtained at random pulsational phases may be quite off from the proper mean value. Those stars were also omitted for which there were not enough velocity data to compute the correction for acceleration (see Sect. 3). This resulted in 10 stars in the subsample. Their averaged (corrected) gravities are plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of $\log P_0$. (The error bars are estimated as ±0.25 dex, although this may be optimistic due to the small number of averaged data.) The linear least-square fit to the data has the parameters

$$\log g = 2.76 - 1.20 \log P_0,$$

$$\pm 0.33 \pm 0.54,$$  

while the theoretical relation is from Eq. 4. It is seen that the observational data support the theoretical relation nicely. The period dependence is fully recovered with only a slight zero-point difference. This gives further confidence to the reality of the calculated atmospheric parameters and abundances in Table 2.

A similar diagram is presented by Andrievsky et al. (2002) for a larger sample of Cepheids, but they plotted the uncorrected gravities (containing the acceleration term) against $\log P_0$.

5.4. The period ratio – metallicity relation

It is striking that, based on high-resolution spectroscopic observations, the period ratios of the majority of known galactic double-mode Cepheids depend mostly on their metal abundance and (weakly) on the pulsational period. Since the simultaneous excitation of two pulsational modes (i.e. double-mode pulsation), and particularly the period ratio itself, strongly depends on other stellar properties (mass, mean effective temperature, luminosity) as well, the dominance of the metallicity dependence suggests that the observed galactic beat Cepheids should have nearly the same mass and effective temperature. Indeed, the theoretical masses of several of these stars inferred by D’Cruz et al. (2000) show a very low scatter of ~ 0.3 $M_\odot$ around the mean value of 4.2 $M_\odot$.

We have also found an agreement between the observed period ratios in the Magellanic Clouds and the extrapolation of the galactic relation toward lower [Fe/H] values. This may mean that the slope of galactic relation is not strongly biased by the small number of galactic Cepheids analyzed here. A more thorough study of the metallicity distribution of Magellanic Cloud beat Cepheids will be the topic of a subsequent paper.

5.5. Determining the color excess from spectroscopic temperatures

The effective temperatures obtained in the manner described above can be used for determining the color excess of the target Cepheids. The unreddened $B-V$ color index corresponding to the given $T_{\text{eff}}$ value was taken from Table 15.7 in Cox (2000) by interpolating the relevant data. With this lack of simultaneous photometry, the instantaneous “observed” $B-V$ color index was determined from Berdnikov’s (2005) extensive data set of Cepheids which contains multicolor photoelectric observations for 13 stars discussed in this paper (among several hundred other Cepheids). When predicting the color index for the moment of spectroscopic observations, the frequencies corresponding to the periods listed in Table 1 were used, and three harmonics of the fundamental frequency and the sum and the difference of the frequencies of the two excited modes were taken into account. Thus, a pair of reddened and unreddened $B-V$ values was generated for each moment of spectral observation. Because the color
**Table 4.** The \( E(B - V) \) color excess of the program stars with available BV photometry.

| Star   | \( E(B - V) \) |
|--------|----------------|
| Y Car  | 0.175          |
| EY Car | 0.135          |
| GZ Car | 0.423          |
| UX Cen | -0.023         |
| BK Cen | 0.163          |
| VX Pup | 0.241          |
| EW Ser | 1.089          |
| V367 Sct | 1.273      |
| V458 Sct | 0.636       |
| BQ Ser | 0.854          |
| U TrA  | -0.043         |
| AP Vel | 0.371          |
| AX Vel | 0.261          |

excess should not vary during a pulsational cycle, the color excesses were averaged for the individual beat Cepheids, and these \( E(B - V) \) values are listed in Table 4. The comparison of the color excess data with those obtained previously by others and our own independently derived other values (from infrared photometry) will be discussed in a subsequent paper. The accuracy of our color excess data can be judged from the physically unrealistic, slightly negative \( E(B - V) \) values obtained for the brightest (i.e. nearest) stars in this sample. Both UX Cen and U TrA can be slightly reddened, therefore the error of the color excess derived here may be about 0.05-0.06 mag.

**Fig. 6.** Comparison of the [Fe/H] data of this paper with values from the literature (see Table 3 for tabulated data). The meaning of the symbols is as follows: filled circles: D'Cruz et al. (2000); open circles: Andrievsky et al. (1994); crosses: Andrievsky et al. (2002); asterisks: Lemasle et al. (2007). The reason for the discrepancy between our values and those by Andrievsky et al. (1994) is described in the text.

**Fig. 7.** Period – surface gravity relation from observations and theory. The dots are the averaged, corrected surface gravities of the program stars (see text). The dotted line is the relation predicted by simple pulsation theory (Eq. 4), while the solid line is the result of a least-square fit (Eq. 5). The theoretical relation is nicely recovered from the observations.

\( \langle v_c \sin i \rangle \) were also determined from the spectra. For a few stars in common, our new abundances are in good agreement with the results of other recent abundance analyses in the literature.

The observed period ratios of the program stars show strong dependence on the iron abundance and only weak dependence on the fundamental period. This may suggest that the scatter of the known Cepheids in the Petersen diagram \((P_1/P_0 \text{ vs. } \log P_0)\) is mainly due to differences in their metallicity.
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