Introduction to the Study of Mechanical Properties of Terpolymer PP/EPDM Mixtures
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Abstract: Thermoplastic elastomers (TPEs), based in PP (Polypropylene) / EPDM (Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer) have as purpose improving PP resistance and impact, aiming to a more comprehensive use in automotive market, among edifications, construction and packaging sectors, due to their recyclability properties. PP is a commodity, with a high melting point, high mechanical resistance and low density, posing a balance between physical and mechanical properties; in addition, it shows an easy processing, at low cost. In order to minimize this deficiency, EPDM, an impact modifier, can be used. Nevertheless, most of polymeric blends are incompatible and immiscible, i.e., show a mutual and limited solubility and in most of cases, a high interfacial tension. However, there is a relatively low interfacial tension (force which acts on transformation of a continuous structure in a dispersion) between PP and EPDM (approximately 0.3 mN.m⁻¹), reducing the rate of breakup and facilitating the build-up of a continuous structure. This work aims to the study of compatibility of PP and EPDM blends and variation of mechanical properties, emphasizing that many properties of thermoplastic elastomers can be processed according with conventional thermoplastics methods: herein, PP/EPDM blends, 90/10, 80/20, 70/30 and 50/50 were characterized according to: Mechanical essays, Differential Scanning Calorimetry, Thermogravimetric Analyses, Melt Flow Index, Izod Impact Strength and Dynamic mechanical Analyses.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Elastomeric thermoplastics are included in materials family similar to rubbers that, on contrary of conventional thermosetting rubber, are able to be reprocessed and recycled enabling this way the reutilization of process scraps and wastes [1]. In addition, TPEs produce lighter parts, with production cycles shorter and faster. They are processed by the same equipment used in traditional thermoplastics, on contrary of vulcanized rubbers [2, 3].

Search for new materials has been an industry demand. For many years, various chemical compounds were found and many other synthesized and tested for polymers production without aimed success, considering that many of these materials did not show expected final properties for technological application.

The requirement for the development of new materials, specifically those ones with multiple physical-mechanical properties, conflicted with the complexity of rubber formulations, the use of raw materials of rising cost and in the difficult processing control of these formulations. These technological demands resulted often in a high financial cost [4].

Solution found to deal with this problem was the development of polymeric mixtures, i.e., the production of materials from the combination of two or more different polymers. This strategy enabled combining, in a single material, various physical-mechanical properties, without the need of development of new processes or the accomplishment of synthesis of new monomers [1,5,6]. The development of these materials leads to a new and special type of industry, the thermoplastics industry (TPEs) [7].

TPE-Vs Polypropylene (PP) base and ethylene-propylene-diene (EPDM) copolymer represent the most representative of this class of materials [8, 9]. They are used specially in auto industry for the manufacturing of parts as profiles, hoses and bumpers, pointing to other segments with use in various products as toothbrushes, tools and cable insulation [10,11].

TPEs block styrene copolymers base was commercially introduced in 1965, creating a great
interest in rubber industry [12, 13]. Since then, applications in the market are still growing, and fulfill various segments, either in rubber or in thermoplastic industry. Commercially relevant TPEs are divided into three categories: 

a) Block copolymers that contain rigid and flexible segments, styrene, co-polyesters, polyurethane and polyamides type;

b) rubber/thermoplastic blends (TPE-O) and
c) vulcanized thermoplastics elastomers (TPE-Vs) [14, 15].

Elastomers and thermoplastics resins blends are called olefin thermoplastic elastomer (TEOs) or polyolefin thermoplastic elastomers (TPE-O) and are constituted in the great majority of elastomers and olefin thermoplastics. The most used thermoplastics are Polypropylene (PP), Polyethylene (PE) and Ethylene/Vinyl Acetate Copolymer (EVA). Among used elastomers, Ethylene Propylene Rubber (EPR) and Ethylene Propylene Diene (EPDM), thermoplastic elastomers styrene copolymers block type, as SBS and SEBS [16, 17].

The most common example is the PP and EPDM blend [18]. Morphology of these blends is constituted by EPDM rubber disperse in a continuous PP matrix, with EPDM contents 30% maximum. In general, EPDM is used to improve PP impact properties [19, 20].

PP and EPDM composites base represent a relevant commercially example: EPDM is provided with a saturated main chain and consequently exhibits excellent stability to heating and to ozone; PP, due to its high melting point and crystallinity, exhibits good resistance to oils and to heating. Besides, both polymers are compatible due to their similar chemical structure [21].

This work aims to the study of PP/EPDM blends, EPDM varying from zero to 50%, in order to achieve satisfactory tensile strength and resistance to impact.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

For PP/EPDM blends, there were used materials as received, i.e., without incorporating additive or compatibilizer.

- Polypropylene (PP): PP-440K, Quattor/Braskem, 3.5 g/10 minutes M.F.I.
- Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM): Keltan 5470, Lanxess, Mooney viscosity 55, at 125 °C, containing 70% ethylene, 25% propylene, 4.6% ENB, 39% crystallinity.

2.1. Samples Preparation

Blends composition is presented in Table 1. At first, samples were compounded on a 3.1 L/D, 19/33 compression ratio twin-screw co-rotating extruder (HAAKE Rheomex 332p), temperatures 175-200°C, 60 rpm:

The Figure 1 shows the extruder where the samples were prepared.

Table 1:  Samples Composition, Parts by Weight

| Components | Quantities |
|------------|------------|
| PP         | 100 90 80 70 50 | ---- |
| EPDM       | ---- 10 20 30 50 100 | --- |

Figure 1: Twin-screw (a) and co-rotating extruder (b).
2.2. Methods

Analyses were accomplished in triplicate for results achievement and characterized by following methods:

2.2.1. Mechanical Essays

TA-Hdi texture analyzer, 5 kg load cell, 0.5 m/s deformation rate, 2 mm/s crosshead speed, in accordance with ASTM D 638-08 [22]. Stress-strain tests were performed at room temperature.

Texture is a very important physical attribute in that it affects processing, handling, used to measure the properties related to the way the material behaves when subjected to a force, breaking, and either flowing, stretching or bending.

2.2.2. Thermal Behavior

It was examined in a DSC Mettler Toledo apparatus, according to ASTM D 3418-08 - Standard Test method for Transition Temperatures and Enthalpies of Fusion and Crystallization of Polymers by Differential Scanning Calorimetry [23], by using 5 – 9 mg of sample, within a 25 to 300°C program, at 10°C/min, under a nitrogen flow of 50 ml/min.

2.2.3. Thermogravimetric Analyses (TG)

It provides complimentary and supplementary characterization information to DSC, by measuring the amount and rate (velocity) of change in the mass of a sample as a function of temperature or time in a controlled atmosphere. Measurements are used primarily to determine the thermal and/or oxidative stabilities of materials as well as their compositional properties. The technique can analyze materials that exhibit either mass loss or gain due to decomposition, oxidation or loss of volatiles (such as moisture). TGA in pellets samples were performed using a DSC Mettler Toledo apparatus, according to ASTM E 1641-07 – Standard Test method for Decomposition Kinetics by Thermogravimetry [24], by using 5 – 9 mg of sample, within a 25 to 600°C program, at a 10°C/min, in a nitrogen flow of 50 ml/min.

2.2.4. Melt Flow Index

It was accomplished in a CEAST apparatus, modular line, 230°C, 2.16 kg load, 240 seconds pre-heating time, according to ASTM D-1238-13 [25]. The Mass Flow Index (MFI) or Mass Flow Rate (MFR) is a measure of the “ease” of flow of molten plastic resins and is often used by the plastics industries for quality control of thermoplastics.

2.2.5. Izod Impact Strength

It was performed according to ASTM D-256-10 [26]; this technique allows the evaluation of rupture strength of plastic materials when subjected to impact by bending or abrupt forces.

2.2.6. Dynamic Mechanical Analyses (DMA)

Storage modulus, dissipation modulus and tan δ analyses constitute a relevant tool for the study of viscoelastic properties. It was used a TA DMA 2980 equipment, sample holder for flexion in two points, according to ASTM D 4065 [27]. Evaluated temperature range was 25°C to 190°C, at 2°C/min heating speed. Frequency was 2 Hz and 60µm amplitude, or approximately, 2x10^{-5} deformation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Mechanical Essays

In this test, the elasticity module that represents the material stiffness is measured; thus, the greater the modulus of elasticity, the less the elastic deformation caused by a given tension, and the more rigid the
material. In the case of elastomers, if the load is released in this region, at any point on the line, the material returns to the point of origin in this way it is possible to assess whether the material resists a certain force exerted on it.

Stress-strain curve for PP is shown in Figure 2a; it can be observed that the polymer has a behavior highly ductile, with tightening and extensive flow of specimen and occurrence of rupture after a great deformation. When compared with tensile deformation for EPDM, as shown in Figure 2b, it is observed an elastic deformation, i.e., deformation proportional to applied force [28].

Stress-strain curve for PP and mixtures with EPDM are represented in Figure 3. Tensile deformation curve for PP showed that the polymer presents a behavior typical of a material that suffers rupture after a deformation.

The test measured the elastic region that represents the elastic behavior of the material; in this region, the deformation is directly proportional to the applied load, obeying Hooke's law [29].

### 3.2. Thermal Behavior

In DSC analyses, all samples were previously heated in temperatures higher than melting point, further cooled to room temperature, before the second scanning. A higher melting temperature is associated to a higher sample stability. A reduction in melting point suggests that the crystallinity and a perfection in crystalline structure are prejudiced; all EPDM/PP blends showed a lower melting point and consequently, a lower crystallinity. A change in crystalline structure can result from polymer-polymer interactions in amorphous phase; so, it is created crystals disorder, reducing phase changing enthalpy and consequently, the crystallinity [30].

DSC thermal behavior for PP/EPDM blends is presented in Table 2.

Figure 4 presents enthalpy variation for PP/EPDM blends. DSC results emphasized that EPDM addition caused a light increase in blends melting point. On the other hand, crystallization degree was reduced with EPDM addition to PP matrix.

### Table 2: DSC Thermal Behavior of Blends PP/EPDM

|          | ∆H₁ (1ˢᵗ h) [mJ] | Tm₁ (1ˢᵗ h) [°C] | Xc₁ [%] | Tc (1ˢᵗ c) [mJ] | ∆H₂ (2ⁿᵈ h) [mJ] | Tm₂ (2ⁿᵈ h) [°C] | Xc₂ [%] |
|----------|------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| PP       | 304.0            | 180.9            | 37.3    | 121.0           | 352.3            | 172.9            | 40.1    |
| EPDM     | 87.3             | 180.0            | 39.0    | 127.1           | 295.6            | 176.9            | 39.0    |
| 10% EPDM in 90% PP | 88.7    | 180.8            | 35.6    | 121.1           | 376.0            | 173.7            | 42.7    |
| 20% EPDM in 80% PP | 98.0    | 180.0            | 30.2    | 127.0           | 319.2            | 175.0            | 37.9    |
| 30% EPDM in 70% PP | 275.6   | 180.2            | 29.3    | 126.6           | 327.9            | 177.7            | 34.1    |
| 50% EPDM in 50% PP | 285.3   | 180.4            | 28.9    | 125.4           | 334.6            | 178.1            | 33.4    |

Where: ∆H₁ and ∆H₂ are melt enthalpies related to first and second heating, respectively; Tm₁ and Tm₂ are melt temperatures related to first and second heating, respectively; Tc = crystallization temperature; Xc₁ and Xc₂, crystallinity related to 1st and 2nd.
3.3. Thermogravimetric Analyses (TG)

The same procedure previously described for DSC was followed by TGA investigations. Figures 5a and 5b show thermal degradation for PP and EPDM. It is observed that decomposition temperature for PP is lower than that one presented by EPDM, pointing toward a higher thermal stability for EPDM.

TGA results for PP/EPDM blends are shown in Figure 6, indicating a very close thermal degradation behavior.

Table 3 validate behavior shown in Figures 5 and 6, indicating for EPDM/PP blends values for T_onset (initial degradation temperature) higher than those ones obtained for PP. It was found an average of 6.0% mass loss (residue) in evaluated samples, that corresponds to remaining degraded amount at temperatures higher than 600 °C, corroborating literature values, PP has a degradation temperature of 340°C to 440°C [31].

3.4. Melt Flow Index

Melt Index (MI), Melt Flow Index (MFI) or Melt Flow Ratio (MFR) corresponds to grams within 10 minutes flowing through a standardized die according to ASTM D-13, under a specified load, at 230 °C, using PP as
reference. MFR is an indicator of medium molecular weight and is inversely proportional to it; that is, a resin that exhibits a MFR of 50 g/10 min indicates a lower molecular weight when compared to another resin showing a MFR equal to 10 g/10 min.

Table 4 shows MFR evaluations for PP/EPDM blends; a higher addition of EPDM to PP reduced MFR and consequently, will contribute for compounds with higher molecular weight.

3.5. Izod Impact Strength

Data extracted from Impact essay show the ability of polymers in absorbing applied energy within a short time interval. Izod essay is generally accomplished in a 3.2 mm thickness sample. In general, resistance to impact increases in function of molecular weight [32]. In Figure 7 are shown results obtained for impact analyses in PP/EPDM blends.

According to shown in Figure 7, impact values obtained for EPDM are superior to those ones found for PP. All PP/EPDM blends showed impact values higher than EPDM (rubbery matrix).

Miscibility or phases segregation extension in blends can be evaluated by DMA. One of criteria for evaluation of miscibility in blends is glass transition analysis. Under this criterion, a blend is considered miscible when presents a single glass transition within an intermediary temperature range related to the components.
3.6. Dynamic Mechanical Analyses (DMA)

Figure 8 shows results for tan δ corresponding to PP and PP/EPDM blends; was observed that glass transition (Tg), thermoplastics phase is not well defined. In literature, it was mentioned that for low content of thermoplastic, the peak is practically non-visible [33]. This result can be attributed to the high compatibility between PP and EPDM phases.

4. CONCLUSION

EPDM to PP addition, at 10, 20, 30 and 50% levels, contributed for providing effective PP/EPDM blends interaction, contributing to satisfactory stress-strain and resistance to impact results. It was verified a lower melting and crystallization temperatures for all evaluated samples and a higher thermal stability was presented by PP/EPDM blends.

EPDM contributed for a decreasing in melting index in PP/EPDM mixtures pointing toward a material with a higher molecular weight.

Based in thermomechanical properties for investigated samples it can be verified that Tg in PP/EPDM blends is reduced depending on EPDM amount added to PP. It was verified that PP/EPDM blends are practically miscible.
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