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Abstract: We report a simple protocol for the photochemical Giese addition of C(sp³)-centered radicals to a variety of electron-poor olefins. The chemistry does not require external photoredox catalysts. Instead, it harnesses the excited-state reactivity of 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (alkyl-DHPs) to generate alkyl radicals. Crucial for reactivity is the use of a catalytic amount of Ni(bpy)₂⁺ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl), which acts as an electron mediator to facilitate the redox processes involving fleeting and highly reactive intermediates.

The venerable field of photochemistry is re-gaining a central role in synthetic endeavors.[1] For example, the fast-moving area of photoredox catalysis is providing modern chemists with the possibility of generating radical species under mild conditions and from bench-stable reagents.[2] A more classical photochemical approach exploits the ability of substrates or reaction intermediates to harvest the energy of the photons and access an electronically excited state (direct photochemistry). The chemical reactivity of excited molecules[3] can then be used to unlock reaction manifolds unavailable to conventional ground-state pathways. In this context, our laboratory recently reported[4] how selective excitation with violet light turns 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (alkyl-DHPs, I) into strong reducing agents, which can activate reagents by single-electron transfer (SET) manifolds while undergoing a homolytic cleavage to generate C(sp³)-centered radicals (Figure 1a).[5] This dual photochemical reactivity was used to trigger radical-based C–C bond-forming processes.

In general, the direct excitation of substrates or intermediates can create new synthetic opportunities for radical chemistry[3,6] while bypassing the need for photoredox catalysts, which are often based on precious transition-metals. However, there are some challenges intrinsic to this photochemical strategy. The excitation of organic molecules provides strong oxidants and/or reductants. Thermodynamically speaking, this makes it easy to activate reagents by a SET manifold.[7] However, these highly reactive excited-state intermediates may suffer from short lifetimes and low electron-transfer rates, thus rendering the SET interactions with other reagents kinetically challenging.[8]

We recently wondered if electron mediators (EMs) could be used to alleviate these limitations, thus expanding the synthetic applicability of the direct photoexcitation approach. Electron mediators have found widespread use in different areas of chemistry, including dye-sensitized solar cells,[9] CO₂ reduction,[10] and electrochemical synthesis.[11] They have also been sporadically applied in photoredox catalysis.[12] This is because, by acting as electron shuttles, they can facilitate...
exergonic redox processes that are kinetically slow. They can also mitigate the occurrence of a back-electron transfer (BET) and competitive side-reactivity.

However, to date, there has been little exploration of the potential of EMs to accelerate a thermodynamically feasible but kinetically unfavorable SET event involving the direct excitation of organic intermediates. Herein, we demonstrate the feasibility of this strategy. By combining the excited-state reactivity of alkyl-DHPs 1 with the ability of a nickel complex to act as an electron mediator, we have developed a Giese addition process\cite{13} without the need for an external photo-redox catalyst.\cite{14} Figure 1b details the idea behind our synthetic plan: photoexcitation turns 1 into a strong reductant (1*). The EM facilitates the SET oxidation of this short-lived electronically excited intermediate 1* to afford the radical cation 1+, which fragments into a carbon-centered radical (R). Interception by the electron-poor olefin 2 leads to intermediate A, which is a highly reactive radical prone to side-reactions, including β-scission and polymerization.\cite{13} The reduced form of the EM rapidly engages in a second SET, reducing intermediate A to 2 while bringing the EM back to the original oxidation state. The SET reduction of A is critical for an effective Giese reaction leading to product 3. Overall, the EM provides a catalytic manifold to secure an efficient SET between 1* and intermediate A. The EM acts as a reservoir for the electron donated by 1*, prolonging its availability for the reduction of A. These redox processes involve two short-lived intermediates, so they would be problematic without EM.

To validate our proposal, we studied the Giese-type radical conjugate addition to dimethyl fumarate (2a) using 4-isopropyl-DHP 1a as the radical precursor (Table 1). We conducted our experiments in CH3CN under irradiation by a single high-power light-emitting diode (LED, λmax = 405 nm, see the Supporting Information for details).

Performing the model reaction in the absence of an electron mediator afforded product 3a in low yield, while a large amount of reagents 1a and 2a remained unreacted (entry 1). We ascribed this low reactivity to the inefficiency of the redox events underlying the overall process.\cite{14} We then tested our hypothesis that, by behaving alternately as a donor and an acceptor, an EM could effectively shuttle electrons between the key fleeting intermediates (1a* and the radical of type A, Figure 1b). An effective EM must meet several requirements: a) it should easily oxidize 1*; b) its reduced form should selectively reduce intermediate A, but not substrate 2 or the alkyl radical resulting from the fragmentation of 1*; and c) it should not strongly absorb at 405 nm to avoid inner-filter effects.

In consonance with our design, the presence of organic EMs (20 mol %) substantially improved the efficiency of the Giese process. p-Dicyanobenzeno (E1/2 (EM/EM−) = −1.64 V vs. SCE)\cite{17a} increased the yield of 3a to 57% (entry 2), while another common redox mediator, methyl viologen dichloride (E1/2 (EM2+/EM+) = −0.41 V vs. SCE),\cite{17b} had only a negligible effect (entry 3). We then turned our attention to transition metal complexes. Based on the aforementioned criteria, Ni(bpy)2(BF4)2 was identified as a promising EM.\cite{18} This readily available and bench-stable NiII complex, which is soluble in acetonitrile, is reduced at E1/2(NiII/Ni0) = −1.35 V versus SCE,\cite{19} and absorbs weakly at 405 nm. Gratifyingly, 10 mol % of Ni(bpy)2(BF4)2 increased the yield of product 3a significantly (up to 85%, entry 4), and secured full conversion of 1a and 2a. Further control experiments showed that the reaction requires light and does not proceed thermally (entry 5). The yield of 3a was greatly diminished in the presence of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinylxoy (TEMPO, 1 equiv), and we detected the adduct generated upon isopropyl radical trap (53% yield, entry 6).

Having identified Ni(bpy)2(BF4)2 as a suitable EM, we evaluated the synthetic potential of the photochemical Giese reaction (Figure 2). We first demonstrated that a high efficiency was maintained when running the reaction on a 2 mmol scale. This experiment required the use of commercially available LEDs (see section B5 in the Supporting Information) and it afforded product 3a in 78% yield (294 mg). Concerning the reaction scope, a variety of α-unsaturated nitriles and esters can be successfully functionalized using 4-isopropyl-DHP 1a as the radical precursor (products 3a–f). We then explored the possibility of using substrates 1 bearing alkyl fragments other than isopropyl at the C4-position. Secondary alkyl (3g–h), benzy1 (3j), and α,heterosubstituted primary radicals are tolerated well in this transformation. Noteworthy examples include the addition of α-oxygen (3k–n) and α-nitrogen radicals (3o–t), which bring about the facile introduction of synthetically interesting motifs such as benzotriazoles (3s,t), phthalimides (3o,p), and dioxolanes (3k,l). Appealing features of this protocol include the need for a slight excess (1.5 equiv) of the radical precursor 1. In contrast to other Giese addition protocols, there is no need for stoichiometric amounts of additional reagents, such as bases, reductants or hydrogen-atom donors, or for photoredox catalysts.\cite{14}

We next conducted extensive mechanistic investigations to clarify the role played by the nickel catalyst and to establish its ability to act as an EM. The first crucial step of the mechanism proposed in Figure 1b requires Ni(bpy)2.\cite{18} to
oxidize the excited state of DHP (1a*). Based on UV/Vis and electrochemical data, the redox potential of 1a* (E(1a+/1a*) was estimated to be −1.9 V versus SCE according to the Rehm–Weller approximation. Therefore, the reduction of NiII (E(NiII/NiI) = −1.35 V vs. SCE) by 1a* is thermodynamically feasible. We further investigated this photoreduction using the following experiment: direct illumination of a CH3CN solution of 4-isopropyl-DHP 1a at 405 nm in the absence of the nickel(II) complex led to very low conversion of 1a into the corresponding pyridine (Scheme 1a). The relative photostability of 1a implies that the redox processes underlying the generation of the isopropyl radical from 1a* are inefficient in the absence of an EM. The addition of Ni(bpy)3(BF4)2 (10 mol%) greatly increased the degradation of 1a (Scheme 1b). In this experiment, because of the lack of an oxidation mechanism to regenerate the NiII complex, we observed the formation of Ni0 particles, which otherwise were never observed during the progress of the catalytic reaction. When repeating the experiment (Scheme 1c) in the presence of dimethyl fumarate 2a, which provides a mechanism for regenerating the EM (see Figure 1b), we recovered Ni(bpy)3(BF4)2 in 90% yield.

The identity of the nickel(II) complex, which crashed out from the reaction mixture upon dilution with Et2O, was confirmed by UV/Vis and IR spectroscopy. Furthermore, the recovered Ni(bpy)3(BF4)2 was successfully reused to promote another Giese reaction, affording product 3a in high yield (Scheme 1d). Overall, the experiments detailed in Scheme 1 suggest that Ni(bpy)3(BF4)2 can effectively mediate the SET oxidation of 1a* and that its regeneration is only possible in the presence of olefin 2 (Schemes 1c,d). The latter observation is very relevant to the overall mechanism (Figure 1b): to act as an effective EM, it is essential that the NiII complex reduces the highly reactive intermediate A, emerging from the radical.
addition to 2. The feasibility of this crucial redox step, which turns back the nickel-based EM in the original oxidation state, is supported by the redox potentials of the key intermediates involved (Scheme 2). The data in Scheme 2 indicate that the excited 1a$^*$ can reduce Ni(bpy)$_2^+$.

The resulting Ni$^0$ complex is not able to reduce typical radicals generated upon fragmentation of 1a$^*$ (for example $E_{\text{calc}}$ (Bn$^*/$Bn$^-$) = −1.45 V$^{[21]}$ and $E_{\text{calc}}$ (iPr$/i$Pr$^-$) = −2.42 V vs. SCE).$^{[22]}$ However, it can easily reduce radicals α to electron withdrawing groups, such as intermediate A. This mechanistic possibility is supported by the reduction potential of the radical resulting from the addition of iPr$^-$ to 2a, estimated by DFT calculations ($E_{\text{calc}}$ (A$^\alpha$/A$^-$) = −0.54 V vs. SCE).$^{[22]}$ An alternative scenario, based on a self-propagating radical manifold, could be envisaged in an SET reduction of A from the ground state of 1a, but this pathway can be excluded based on the redox potential of 1a ($E_{\text{calc}}$ (1a$^*/$1a$^-$) = +1.04 V vs. SCE). The quantum yield measured for the model reaction ($\Phi$ = 0.014) is also incongruent with a radical chain process.

We also obtained evidence to support the intermediacy of the anionic intermediate B, arising from the SET reduction of A (Scheme 2). We performed deuteration experiments by adding CD$_2$OD to the reaction medium. A high deuterium incorporation (>80%) was observed at the α-carbon of product 3a (Scheme 3). No deuterium incorporation took place at the β-carbon, indicating that deuteration occurs solely via the in situ-generated anion.

We deem it very unlikely that the reaction proceeds via the intermediacy of organonickel species. The trapping of photogenerated alkyl radicals with Ni complexes has been the subject of recent studies.$^{[23]}$ While the majority of these procedures employ a metal-to-ligand ratio of 1:1 or 1:1.5, the present chemistry works when Ni is coordinatively saturated. The addition of extra bpy (up to 10 equiv with respect to Ni(bpy)$_2^+$) did not result in a significant drop of yield. Additional observations are incongruent with the involvement of organometallic intermediates: a) Ni(bpy)$_2$(BF$_4$)$_2$ can be recovered almost quantitatively at the end of the reaction and reused; b) in situ monitoring of the reaction by the Evans NMR method (see Section C5 in the Supporting Information for details) reveals no significant change in the magnetic susceptibility of the reaction mixture, suggesting that the resting state of the catalyst is Ni(bpy)$_2^+$; c) organic electron mediators (Table 1, entries 2–3) promote the model reaction in the absence of nickel.

We finally considered the possibility that Ni(bpy)$_2^+$ could act as photoredox catalyst. The irradiation of the model reaction at 530 nm, where only the Ni complex absorbs, did not result in the conversion of 1a or the formation of 3a. This result is not surprising, given that the electronic structure and photophysical properties of Ni(bpy)$_2^+$ (a d$^8$, high spin complex, paramagnetic) are very different from those of typical photoredox catalysts, including Ru(bpy)$_2^+$ (d$^6$ low spin, diamagnetic).$^{[23]}$ This is why the sporadic applications of nickel-based photoredox catalysts have required meticulous engineering of the ligands to ensure a low-spin Ni$^{II}$ centers in a square planar coordination environment.$^{[24]}$ We also observed that, in consonance with the notion that the reaction is triggered by direct excitation of alkyl-DHP 1 and not of Ni(bpy)$_2^+$,$^{[24]}$ the optimal irradiation wavelength changes depending on the nature of the substrate. For example, dihydropyridine 4 absorbs at significantly shorter wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 323 nm) than esters 1 ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 335 nm for 1a). Irradiation at 405 nm does not promote the formation of the Giese addition product 5 at all, while a high yield is obtained using a 365 nm LED (Scheme 4).

In conclusion, we have reported a photochemical strategy to perform the Giese addition of C(sp$^3$) radicals to a variety of electron-poor olefins. Mechanistic investigations suggest that readily available Ni(bpy)$_2^+$ acts as an electron mediator to facilitate the redox processes between fleeting and highly reactive intermediates. These findings could be relevant in the design of other photochemical strategies based on the direct excitation of organic molecules or intermediates. In addition, they may have mechanistic implications in the context of the combination of nickel and photoredox catalysis,$^{[23]}$ since nickel complexes could be involved in kinetically enhancing electron-transfer processes.
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