Molecular Characterization of Agonists That Bind to an Insect GABA Receptor†
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ABSTRACT: Ionotropic GABA receptors are widely distributed throughout the vertebrate and invertebrate central nervous system (CNS) where they mediate inhibitory neurotransmission. One of the most widely studied insect GABA receptors is constructed from RDL (resistance to dieldrin) subunits from Drosophila melanogaster. The aim of this study was to determine critical features of agonists binding to RDL receptors using in silico and experimental data. Partial atomic charges and dipole separation distances of a range of GABA analogues were calculated, and the potency of the analogues was determined using RDL receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes. These data revealed functional agonists require an ammonium group and an acidic group with an optimum separation distance of ~5 Å. To determine how the agonists bind to the receptor, a homology model of the extracellular domain was generated and agonists were docked into the binding site. The docking studies support the requirements for functional agonists and also revealed a range of potential interactions with binding site residues, including hydrogen bonds and cation–π interactions. We conclude that the model and docking procedures yield a good model of the insect GABA receptor binding site and the location of agonists within it.

Ionotropic GABA receptors are widely distributed throughout the vertebrate and invertebrate central nervous system (CNS) where they predominantly mediate inhibitory neurotransmission. To date, three ionotropic insect GABA receptor subunits from Drosophila melanogaster have been identified and successfully cloned: resistance to dieldrin (RDL) (1), glycine-like receptor of Drosophila (GRD) (2), and ligand-gated chloride channel homologue 3 (LCCH3) (3). RDL is the gene product of the rdl gene, which was identified in mutant Drosophila strains showing resistance to the insecticide dieldrin (1). Homomeric receptors constituted from RDL subunits (RDL receptors) are similar to vertebrate GABA A receptors in that they are inhibited by picrotoxin but differ in that they are not inhibited by bicuculline; these characteristics are similar to those of GABA receptors of cultured Drosophila neurons (4).

Cys-loop receptors, such as GABA A, GABA C (a subclass of GABA A), glycine, 5-HT 3 , and nicotinic acetylcholine (nACh) receptors, have homologous regions that form their agonist binding sites. These are located in the extracellular domain and are comprised of six discontinuous loops, named A–F (Figure 1). There are as yet no high-resolution structural data of a complete vertebrate Cys-loop receptor, but the lower-resolution nACh receptor structure and homologous structures, such as those from the related acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP), have been useful for creating homology models (5–8). Homology models have been created for many different vertebrate Cys-loop receptors, including nACh, 5-HT 3 , GABA, and glycine receptors (9–12), but invertebrate Cys-loop receptors have been largely ignored. The paucity of models for these proteins is surprising, as these proteins are the targets of a number of invertebrate specific ligands, such as insecticides, and a better understanding of critical binding site features could assist the development of novel, more effective compounds (13, 14). Some information can be extrapolated from vertebrate models, which support previous mutagenesis and labeling studies in showing that aromatic residues in the binding loops contribute to the formation of an “aromatic box” that is important for ligand binding in all of these receptors (12, 15–18). Critical aromatic residues, however, are not necessarily equivalent for different receptors; even in the closely related GABA A and GABA C receptors, for example, GABA has different orientations in the binding pocket. In the former, there is a cation–π interaction between GABA and a tyrosine residue in loop A, while in the latter, this interaction is with a tyrosine in loop B (12, 19). Nevertheless, in both these receptors, there is evidence that the carboxylate residue is close to arginine residues in loop D (16, 20), suggesting that GABA has broadly similar orientations in both GABA receptor binding sites.

There are a range of invertebrate Cys-loop receptors that are activated by GABA, but as mentioned above, we know little about the molecular details of insect GABA receptor binding sites. The aim of this study was to identify the molecular determinants of agonist binding and potential interactions with binding site residues in RDL receptors, one of the best studied classes of insect GABA receptors.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Oocyte Maintenance. Xenopus laevis oocyte-positive females were purchased from NASCO (Fort Atkinson, WI) and
**Functional Responses.** Application of GABA to *Xenopus* oocytes expressing RDL receptors elicited concentration-dependent inward currents. Plotting current amplitude against a range of GABA concentrations revealed an EC50 of 19 μM (Figure 2) which is similar to previously published results (10–31 μM) (26–30).

A range of GABA analogues were tested for activity at RDL receptors (Figure 3). The most potent of these were muscimol, GABA(T-A), and isoguvacine (Table 1). THIP and β-alanine were weaker agonists with EC50 values of 220 and 800 μM, respectively, while 5-aminovaleric acid (5-AV) and taurine were very}

**RESULTS**

**Electrophysiology.** *Xenopus* oocytes were voltage clamped at −60 mV using an OC-725 amplifier (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) and Digidata 1322A. Currents were filtered at a frequency of 1 kHz and sampled at 350 Hz. Microelectrodes were made from borosilicate glass (GC120TF-10, Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, Kent, U.K.) using a two-stage horizontal pull (P-87, Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA) and filled with 3 M KCl. Pipette resistances ranged from 0.5 to 1.5 MΩ. Oocytes were perfused with saline [96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM HEPES (pH 7.4)] at a rate of 15 mL/min. Drug application was via a simple gravity-fed system calibrated to run at the same rate.

Analysis and curve fitting were performed using Prism version 3.02 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Concentration–response data for each oocyte were normalized to the maximum current for that oocyte. Responses were normalized to a maximal GABA-evoked response. The mean response for a series of oocytes was iteratively fitted to the equation $I = I_{\text{min}} + (I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})\left[1 + 10^{\text{EC}_{50}-\text{[A]}_{nH}}\right]$, where $I_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal response, $I_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum response, [A] is the concentration of agonist, and $n_H$ is the Hill coefficient. Significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA or an unpaired $t$ test (Prism version 3.02).

**Homology Modeling and Docking.** The protein sequence of the RDL subunit was aligned with the sequence of AChBP (P58154), using FUGUE (23). A three-dimensional homology model was generated using MODELER 6v2 (24) on the basis of the crystal structure of AChBP at 2.7 Å resolution [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 1i9b]. The pentamer was generated by superimposition of the RDL extracellular domain onto each protomer of AChBP and was then energy minimized using the force field implemented in MODELER 6v2. The best model was selected after Ramachandran plot analysis of all the generated models using RAMPAGE (http://mordred.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~rapper/rampage.php) (25).

Ligand structures generated using ChemBio3D Ultra 11.0 were energy minimized using the MM2 force field. Docking of the ligands into the RDL receptor homology model was conducted using GOLD 3.0. The binding site was defined using the MM2 force field, and Mulliken charges (partial atomic charges) were calculated using the GAMESS interface. Dipole distances were calculated using the atomic distance tool in ChemBio3D Ultra 11.0.

**Computational Ligand Analysis.** Molecular modeling was conducted with ChemBio3D Ultra 11.0 (CambridgeSoft, Cambridge, U.K.). Ligand structures were generated in the charged zwitterionic state using ChemDraw. Ligand structures were energy minimized using the MM2 force field, and Mulliken charges (partial atomic charges) were calculated using the GAMESS interface. Dipole distances were calculated using the atomic distance tool in ChemBio3D Ultra 11.0.

**Molecular Biology.** cRNA was transcribed in vitro from the linearized plasmid cDNA template using the Message mMachine T7 transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Stage V oocytes were injected with 5 ng of cRNA, and oocytes expressing RDL receptors elicited concentration-dependent inward currents. Plotting current amplitude against a range of GABA concentrations revealed an EC50 of 19 μM (Figure 2) which is similar to previously published results (10–31 μM) (26–30).

A range of GABA analogues were tested for activity at RDL receptors (Figure 3). The most potent of these were muscimol, TACA, and isoguvacine (Table 1). THIP and β-alanine are weaker agonists with EC50 values of 220 and 800 μM, respectively, while 5-aminovaleric acid (5-AV) and taurine were very weak agonists.
weak agonists with EC$_{50}$ values of 1.1 and $>10$ mM, respectively. GHB, a GABA$_B$ receptor agonist, and 3-APP, a GABA$_C$ and GABA$_B$ receptor antagonist, had no activating effect at RDL receptors. Glycine, 4-AB, PABA, and tyramine also failed to activate RDL receptors when applied at concentrations up to 10 mM. EC$_{50}$ values for previously tested analogues (muscimol, β-alanine, TACA, and isoguvacine) are close to published values (26).

**Computational Ligand Analysis.** The atomic distance between the ammonium nitrogen and the carboxylate oxygen, or its equivalent substituent, was calculated for all ligands tested. Comparing these data to the ligand EC$_{50}$ values (Table 2) indicates a dipole separation distance for receptor activation of ~5 Å. Glycine has a dipole separation distance of 2.35 Å and may be too short to activate RDL receptors, while for tyramine, the distance was 7.94 Å; thus, this molecule may be too long to fit into the binding site cleft. GHB and 3-APP, however, which have dipole separation distances of 5.1 and 4.5 Å, respectively, are not agonists, while 5-AV, which has a dipole separation distance of 6.5 Å, can activate receptors. These data suggest that other factors also play a role. One of these may be charge distribution; partial atomic charge calculations suggest there is a dependence on the electrostatic potentials of atomic groups at either end of the ligand. Partial atomic charges of $+0.3$ at ammonium hydrogen atoms and $−0.5$ to $−0.6$ on carboxylate oxygen atoms were common among the most potent ligands (Table 2). However, for 3-APP and 4-amino-1-butanol (4-AB), which do not activate

![Figure 2: (A) Electrophysiological traces showing maximal currents elicited by agonists tested at RDL receptors: GABA (100 μM), TACA (300 μM), isoguvacine (600 μM), β-alanine (10 mM), and taurine (10 mM). (B) Concentration response curves showing responses elicited by GABA and analogues at wild-type RDL receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes: muscimol > GABA > TACA > isoguvacine > THIP > 5-AV > β-alanine > taurine. Data are means ± SEM; n ≥ 3.](image)

![Figure 3: Chemical structures of GABA analogues examined in this study.](image)

| Table 1: Parameters Derived from Concentration Response Curves$^{a}$ |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| agonist                  | pEC$_{50}$ (μM)  | EC$_{50}$ (μM)  | n$_H$           | n              | $I_{max}/I_{max(GABA)}$ |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| muscimol                 | 5.04 ± 0.04      | 9.04            | 2.2 ± 0.6       | 5               | 0.87 ± 0.03             |
| GABA                     | 4.72 ± 0.03      | 19.3            | 1.8 ± 0.2       | 19              | 1.0 ± 0.06              |
| TACA                     | 4.44 ± 0.02      | 36.7            | 1.8 ± 0.2       | 3               | 1.0 ± 0.02              |
| isoguvacine              | 4.19 ± 0.03      | 64.9            | 1.9 ± 0.2       | 5               | 0.97 ± 0.03             |
| THIP                     | 3.65 ± 0.10      | 226             | 2.4 ± 1.0       | 5               | 0.57 ± 0.06             |
| β-alanine                | 3.09 ± 0.03      | 807             | 2.0 ± 0.3       | 10              | 1.0 ± 0.03              |
| 5-AV                     | 2.95 ± 0.15      | 1120            | 1.0 ± 0.3       | 4               | 0.85 ± 0.1              |
| taurine                  | <2.0             | >10000          | −               | −               | −                        |
| GHB                      | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |
| 3-APP                    | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |
| glycine                  | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |
| 4-AB                     | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |
| PABA                     | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |
| tyramine                 | N/R              | −               | −               | −               | −                        |

$^a$Data are means ± SEM. N/R indicates no response. $^b$Hill coefficient. $^c$Number of replicates.
receptors, the charges on phosphonic acid and hydroxyl oxygens are −1.2 and −0.4 respectively. Additionally, 4-AB and GHB are not zwitterions and thus carry no formal charge, so even if their length is close to optimal for receptor activation, their inactivity could be attributed to the absence of charge at either end of the ligand. The hydroxyl of GHB cannot substitute for the ammonium of GABA, confirming the importance of this group for ligand binding at RDL receptors.

**Homology Modeling and Docking.** Homology modeling of the extracellular domain of RDL was based on the crystal structure of AChBP, and analogue docking was ranked on the basis of the GOLDscore fitness function, which ranks simulations by comparing interaction energies by consideration of predicted protein–ligand hydrogen bond energy, protein–ligand van der Waals energy, ligand internal van der Waals energy, and ligand torsional strain energy, and has been established as an accurate method for scoring ligand–protein docking (31). The most favored orientation for GABA in the binding site was with the ligand in the cleft among loops B–D (Figure 4). The carboxyl group of GABA was deepest in the cleft, located close to residues Tyr109 and Arg111 in loop D. The ammonium was located between aromatic residues contributed by loop B (Phe206) and loop C (Tyr254), suggesting a cation−π interaction with one or both of these residues. A hydrogen bond was predicted between the ammonium of GABA and the backbone carbonyl of Ser205. 5-AV docked in a similar orientation with a hydrogen bond predicted at the same location.

β-Alanine was found to dock in two almost equally favored orientations. One of these was similar to the orientation of GABA described above, with the carboxyl deep in the cleft and the ammonium sandwiched between Phe206 and Tyr254. In this orientation, there was a hydrogen bond between the ligand ammonium and the Ser205 backbone carbonyl oxygen. In the second orientation, β-alanine was close to Ser131 above loop E, and there was a hydrogen bond between the ligand ammonium and the side chain hydroxyl.

All other agonists docked with the ammonium moiety oriented close to loop B residues Glu204 and Ser205, with hydrogen bonds predicted between these residues and TACA and taurine (Table 3). Muscimol and isoguvacine were predicted to form hydrogen bonds with residues Leu249 and Tyr254, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have examined the characteristics of agonist binding in RDL receptors, GABA-gated chloride selective Cys-loop receptors found in insect nervous systems. They are

---

### Table 2: Dipole Separation Distances of GABA Analogues

| Ligand   | Potency pEC₅₀ | Carboxylate Oxygens | Ammonium Hydrogens |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Muscimol | 5.04          | 5.22                | −0.26 (isoxazole N), −0.47 | 0.32, 0.32, 0.30 |
| GABA     | 4.72          | 4.83                | −0.60, −0.54        | 0.31, 0.31, 0.29 |
| TACA     | 4.44          | 4.88                | −0.57, −0.53        | 0.31, 0.31, 0.29 |
| Isoguvacine | 4.19       | 4.86                | −0.55, −0.53        | 0.29, 0.31, 0.29 |
| THIP     | 3.65          | 5.14                | −0.25 (isoxazole N), −0.46 | 0.29, 0.31, 0.31 |
| β-Alanine| 3.09          | 4.37                | −0.58, −0.51        | 0.29, 0.29, 0.29 |
| 5-AV     | 3.06          | 6.47                | −0.61, −0.56        | 0.31, 0.31, 0.30 |
| Taurine  | <2.0          | 4.46                | −1.11, −1.12        | 0.30, 0.30, 0.28 |
| GHB      | NR            | 5.10                | −0.61               | 0.38 (hydroxyl O) |
| 3-APP    | NR            | 4.50                | −1.23, −1.23 (phosphate O) | 0.29, 0.31, 0.31 |
| Glycine  | NR            | 2.35                | −0.47, −0.57        | 0.32, 0.32, 0.27 |
| 4-AB     | NR            | 6.20                | −0.38 (hydroxyl O)  | 0.19, 0.20, 0.24 |
| PABA     | NR            | 6.39                | −0.54               | 0.30, 0.30, 0.30 |
| Taurine  | NR            | 7.94                | −0.47 (hydroxyl O)  | 0.31, 0.30, 0.30 |

*Mulliken charges of GABA analogues calculated using the GAMESS interface. Ammonium hydrogens and carboxylate oxygens, or their equivalent substituents for the dipole, are listed. *Ligands without carboxyl groups were assessed by their equivalent groups: isoxazole nitrogens in THIP and muscimol, phosphate oxygen in 3-APP, and hydroxyl oxygen in 4-AB and tyramine. *GHB does not have an ammonium group. The charge on the hydroxyl oxygen is negative. *NR = no response.

---

**FIGURE 4:** Docking of GABA and active analogues (β-alanine, TACA, 5-AV, taurine, isoguvacine, muscimol, and THIP) into the RDL binding site. Analogues docked with the ammonium group located deep in the cleft formed between loop B and loop C aromatic residues. The carboxylate moiety or equivalent substituent was predicted to be located facing toward Arg111.

These orientations also position the carboxylate moieties close to loop D residue Arg111.
The GABA-gated chloride channels produced when RDL subunits are expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes have similarities to GABA-activated receptors in vertebrates but have distinct pharmacological characteristics. They are activated by GABA with an EC$_{50}$ of ~20 μM, exhibit minimal desensitization, can be inhibited by PTX but not by bicuculline, and can be activated by the GABA$_A$ receptor agonist muscimol and the GABA$_C$ receptor agonist TACA. Muscimol, which is a full agonist of GABA$_A$ receptors and a partial agonist of GABA$_C$ receptors, is a full agonist of RDL receptors, while THIP, which is a full agonist of GABA$_A$ receptors and an antagonist of GABA$_C$ receptors, is a partial agonist of RDL receptors. These characteristics are similar to those in cockroach neurons as previously reported (32, 33). Other GABA-gated channels that have been heterologously expressed include those from *Musca* (MdRdl) and *Laodelphax* where similar characteristics (e.g., GABA EC$_{50}$ values) have been observed; some or all of these characteristics have also been observed for GABA-gated chloride channels in lobster, cockroach, and crab neurons and are in contrast to those of GABA-gated cation channels that have been identified in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, small crab, and *Drosophila* (34–38).

Our examination of the GABA agonists suggests that GABA activates RDL receptors in the extended conformation, with an optimum length of ~5 Å. This is similar to the extended conformation of GABA at GABA$_C$ receptors, but differs from the partially folded conformation of GABA at GABA$_A$ receptors (39, 40). 5-AV, which is one CH$_2$ group longer than GABA, and β-alanine, which is one CH$_2$ group shorter, can also activate the receptors, suggesting that they are both close enough in size to generate essential contacts within the binding site, although the potencies of both these compounds (EC$_{50}$ ~ 1 mM) are considerably weaker than that of GABA. Glycine, which is two CH$_2$ groups smaller than GABA, is inactive, as is tyramine, which is considerably larger than GABA. Similarly, a correlation between agonist affinity and agonist length has been previously shown in GABA$_A$ receptors (39).

A carboxylate group at one end of the molecule is critical; replacement with a hydroxyl, as in 4-AB, results in an inactive ligand, suggesting the requirement for an anionic group at this point of the ligand. Taurine, which is an analogue of β-alanine with the carboxylate replaced with a sulfonate, can also act as an agonist, although its low potency indicates that sulfonate does not substitute very effectively for carboxylate. Replacement of the carboxylate with a phosphoric acid group, however, as in 3-APP, results in an inactive ligand, demonstrating that the type of acidic group is important. These data are therefore in contrast to GABA$_C$ receptor data, where replacement of the carboxylic acid group of GABA with a phosphonic acid, phosphinic acid, or sulfonic group produces potent antagonists (40).

The ammonium group is also critical. GHB, the well-known “date rape” drug, is an analogue of GABA with the ammonium group replaced with a hydroxyl. This compound had no activity at RDL receptors.

The data therefore support the requirement for a charged dipole for receptor activation, suggesting electrostatic interactions with binding site residues are important. Computational ligand calculations reveal a partial negative charge at the carboxylate moiety and a partial positive charge at the ammonium moiety for all active agonists. Thus, since ligands must have a dipole distance close to 5 Å, and both ends of the ligand are thought to interact with the receptor, we can assume that the agonist binding site lies at the cleft between the binding loops with a distance from one another of ~5 Å. This suggests that loops B–D comprise the part of the binding site with which agonists interact in this receptor, as placement of ligands between the other loops would require a longer ligand. This hypothesis is supported by the model which shows that loops B–D form a clearly defined pocket in which the ligands bind (Figure 1).

Docking of ligands identified several residues with hydrogen bonding potential, in particular in loops B and C, which have been shown to have important contact points for ligands in 5-HT$_3$, nACh, glycine, and GABA$_C$ receptors (10, 11, 18, 19). Most of the agonists (GABA, β-alanine, TACA, and taurine) could form a hydrogen bond with Ser205 (loop B), and TACA and taurine could also form H-bonds with Glu204 (also loop B). Muscimol and isoguvacine could form H-bonds with loop C residues Leu249 and Tyr254, respectively.

The ammonium group of all the functional agonists docked between loop B and loop C aromatics (Phe206 and Tyr254, respectively), suggesting a cation–π interaction. All Cys-loop receptors studied to date have been shown to have a cation–π interaction with an aromatic residue in the binding pocket and the ammonium of their natural ligand, although the location of the interacting residue varies from receptor to receptor. Such interactions have mostly been with loop B aromatics in nACh, 5-HT$_3$, glycine, and GABA$_C$ receptors, but interactions with loop C (MOD-1) and loop A (GABA$_A$) aromatics have also been reported (12, 41).

In conclusion, we have identified the charge and dipole requirements for agonist recognition in RDL receptors and have identified residues within loop B, loop C, and loop D which could interact with agonists. We have also shown that loop B and/or loop C aromatic residues could contribute to the binding and/or function of the receptor via a cation–π interaction. The data therefore provide a model of the agonist binding site, which can be used for further structure–activity studies and rational drug design.

Table 3: Hydrogen Bonding Partner Residues Predicted from Docking of Functional GABA Analogues

| analogue | H-bonding residues predicted | analogue | H-bonding residues predicted |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| GABA     | Ser205 isoguvacine          | Tyr254   |
| β-alanine| Ser205 TACA                 | Ser205, Glu204 |
| 5-AV     | Ser205 taurine              | Ser205, Glu204 |
| muscimol | Leu249 THIP                 | none detected |
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