Examining Psychedelic-Induced Changes in Social Functioning and Connectedness in a Naturalistic Online Sample Using the Five-Factor Model of Personality
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The present study examines prospective changes in personality traits relevant to social functioning as well as perceived social connectedness in relation to the naturalistic use of psychedelic compounds in an online volunteer sample. The study also examined the degree to which demographic characteristics, social setting, baseline personality, and acute subjective factors (e.g., emotional breakthrough experiences) influenced trajectories of personality and perceived social connectedness. Participants recruited online completed self-report measures of personality and social connectedness at three timepoints (baseline, 2 weeks post-experience, 4 weeks post-experience). Linear mixed models were used to examine changes in outcomes and the moderation of these outcomes by covariates. The most substantive changes were reductions in the personality domains Neuroticism, and increases in Agreeableness and social connectedness. Notably, reductions in Neuroticism and increases in Agreeableness covaried over time, which may be suggestive of common processes involving emotion regulation. Preliminary evidence was found for a specific effect on a component of Agreeableness involving a critical and quarrelsome interpersonal style. Although moderation by demographic characteristics, social setting, baseline personality, and acute factors generally found limited support, baseline standing on Neuroticism, perspective taking, and social connectedness showed tentative signs of amplifying adaptive effects on each trait, respectively. Our findings hold implications for the potential use of psychedelics for treating interpersonal elements of personality pathology as well as loneliness.
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INTRODUCTION

Research on serotonergic psychedelics has accelerated in the last decade (Carhart-Harris and Goodwin, 2017) due to promising demonstrations of psychotherapeutic effects, and relaxed legal restriction on scientific investigation (Nutt et al., 2013). Research in this area has mainly focused on contributions to individual utility, including gains in personal well-being (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2011), personality (e.g., Erritzoe et al., 2018), and remediation of mental health disorders including alcohol misuse (Bogenschutz et al., 2015), major and resistant depression (e.g., Carhart-Harris et al., 2016a, 2021; Davis et al., 2020), and end of life anxiety/depression (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2016). A smaller and growing area of psychedelic research has explored how psychedelic compounds may confer value to both individuals and collectives through such outcomes as enhanced cooperation, trust, and social connectedness within interpersonal systems (referred to here as collective functioning).

Collective functioning can conceivably be enhanced directly through mutual participation in group-format psychedelic experiences (e.g., ayahuasca ceremony, group recreation; e.g., Kettner et al., 2021), and/or indirectly via the development of traits in individuals related to social functioning. The present study focuses on the latter path, wherein the link between psychedelic use and collective functioning may be mediated by enhanced social functioning at the individual level. Social functioning is defined as the ability to fulfill important roles within environments of social activity, work, and relationships (Bosc, 2000), but will be used more broadly here to describe personality traits that subserve harmonious relationships including empathic ability, prosociality, low anger reactivity, and moral reasoning. Improved social functioning is assumed to promote positive individual and collective outcomes through augmenting interpersonal trust, social connectedness, relationship longevity, and emotional fulfillment in social interaction. The purpose of this study is to investigate the degree to which naturalistic use of psychedelic compounds results in adaptive changes in personality traits relevant to social functioning and perceived social connectedness. Because personality change effects may depend on other measurable factors, we also examine the degree to which predisposing factors, such as demographic characteristics and baseline personality, and acute subjective factors, such as non-ordinary states of affect and consciousness during psychedelic experience, moderate changes.

A useful framework for our examination lies in the Five-Factor Model (FFM; Costa and McCrae, 1992) of personality, which includes three personality domains that possess empirical support for subserving social functioning. Neuroticism describes a disposition toward negative emotionality and stress reactivity; Extraversion describes a disposition toward positive emotionality, reward sensitivity, and receiving social attention; and Agreeableness describes a prosocial and communal (versus antagonistic) tendency to be considerate of others’ needs, desires, and feelings, and is neurocognitively associated with social information processing (e.g., DeYoung, 2010; DeYoung et al., 2010). In the context of relationships, low Extraversion (being withdrawn) and low Agreeableness are associated with peer rejection (Newcomb et al., 1993), and higher levels of Extraversion are associated with social acceptance and network size, popularity, and dating variety (e.g., Anderson et al., 2001; Paunonen, 2003; Feiler and Kleinbaum, 2015). In the context of romantic relationships, Agreeableness has shown relations with relationship satisfaction (e.g., Cramer and Jowett, 2010; Kimmes et al., 2014). Consistent with this, Neuroticism and low Agreeableness have shown predictive relations with relationship dissatisfaction, conflict, and dissolution (e.g., Karney and Bradbury, 1995; Donnellan et al., 2004; Weiss et al., 2018), outcomes thought to be mediated by a positive feedback loop involving negative emotion and relationship distress (Robins et al., 2002).

In the context of larger groups, Agreeableness and Extraversion have been found to be related to cooperation, and Agreeableness shows evidence of being inversely related to hypercompetition (Ross et al., 2003). Agreeableness may be most relevant to social and collective functioning as it involves higher functioning in empathy, a disposition toward prosociality (or antisociality at its opposite pole), and is associated with relationship stability. Agreeableness bears substantial overlap with affective and cognitive empathy, which, respectively, describe capacities to infer the emotional experience of others and to occupy the mental perspectives of others (e.g., Melchers et al., 2016). Agreeableness was also found to be elevated among young adult moral exemplars (Matsuba and Walker, 2004). At its opposite pole, low Agreeableness (or Antagonism) is thought to reflect the core of personality disorders such as Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Antisocial Personality Disorder, and psychopathy (e.g., Widiger et al., 2012), as well as Antagonistic Externalizing, one of two Externalizing spectra within the Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (Kotov et al., 2017), a data-driven and integrative model of psychopathology. Antagonistic Externalizing describes tendencies toward engaging in criminal and antisocial acts involving theft, destruction of property, physical aggression toward others, and violations of rules of conduct (Widiger et al., 2012; Kotov et al., 2017). In sum, Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Agreeableness may be particularly relevant targets of inquiry when examining the effects of psychedelic compounds on social functioning.

There are four sources of empirical evidence that best inform the presence of a link between psychedelic use and enhanced social functioning. These include literature examining the effects of psychedelic compounds on FFM personality, social cognition, social connectedness, and moral behavior. The most relevant evidence to the present study is located in the personality literature. Eleven studies have prospectively examined long-term psychedelic-induced change in personality (e.g., MacLean et al., 2011; Madsen et al., 2020). Of these studies, five have shown evidence of adaptive change in Neuroticism or convergent constructs [e.g., Temperament and Character Inventory Harm Avoidance (characterized by worry)] (e.g., Barbosa et al., 2009; Erritzoe et al., 2018). Two studies have shown evidence of self-reported change in Extraversion (Erritzoe et al., 2018; Weiss et al., 2021), with change notably reflected in facets relevant to social functioning (Warmth/Friendliness, Gregariousness). Lastly, three studies have shown qualified evidence of increased Agreeableness (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016b; Netzband et al.,
Although peripherally relevant to our focus on social functioning, seven studies have found evidence for change in Openness (e.g., MacLean et al., 2011; Rocha et al., 2021; Weiss et al., 2021), whereas only one has found evidence of change in Conscientiousness (Barrett et al., 2020). Of note, some studies have found no meaningful evidence of longer-term change in personality (e.g., Schmid and Liechti, 2018; Rocha et al., 2021). In addition, numerous clinical studies, including randomized placebo-controlled trials, have provided support for an antidepressant effect (Andersen et al., 2021). Given that many psychopathological symptoms are increasingly conceptualized as maladaptive variants of basic personality dimensions and share the same structure (Widiger et al., 2012; Kotov et al., 2017), these latter results may be relevant to change in FFM Neuroticism and Extraversion. In sum, long-term psychedelic-induced changes in personality domains relevant to social functioning have been observed, but findings remain somewhat inconsistent. This inconsistency is likely to stem from multiple sources including study variability in sample size, sample type (general population, clinical, healthy), length of follow-up, conditions of administration, and inner experiences during the acute effects of the compounds. One major limitation of the existing literature is that sample size for prospective studies has been low (N = 25), making it difficult to arrive at precise estimates of change (given high standard error), or to examine moderating factors predictive of change (given insufficient statistical power).

The second source of evidence lies in the area of social cognition. Existing findings are suggestive that psychedelic compounds modulate social cognition for up to a week following use. Specifically, behavioral and neurophysiological findings have shown that 5-HT3A receptor agonists acutely produce attenuated processing of social rejection (based on self-report and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; Preller et al., 2016) and negative facial expressions (based on self-report and N170, P300 evoked-response-potential; Kometer et al., 2012; Dolder et al., 2016), which may be suggestive of downstream emotional resilience in social settings, greater levels of social approach, and lower avoidance. Studies have also been supportive of acute increases in emotional empathy (Dolder et al., 2016; Holze et al., 2021a). More recent research has shown evidence of post-acute changes in amygdala blood oxygen-level dependent response to emotional face stimuli, though the directionality of effects has differed across studies. One study showed reduced amygdala activations lasting at least a week following acute effects, but not longer than 1 month (Barrett et al., 2020). Another, examining patients with treatment-resistant depression, showed increased amygdala activations one day post dosing with psilocybin (Roseman et al., 2018). Collectively, these findings suggest that psychedelic compounds alter social cognition-related neural functioning sub- and post-acutely.

A third source of evidence observes changes in perceived social connectedness during and following psychedelic experience, a phenomenon that may be linked to changes in social cognition just described. In a large cross-sectional examination of festivalgoers, Forstmann et al. (2020) showed that psychedelic compounds were unique among substances in producing increased perceptions of social connectedness with other human beings broadly and with fellow event attendees. However, these effects obtained only for participants who endorsed using psychedelic compounds within the preceding 24h, but not earlier, suggesting that social connectedness effects may be temporary. Although long-term changes in self-reported interpersonal closeness were found 6 months following the acute effects of psilocybin in one study (Griffiths et al., 2018), these findings remain tentative in view of failure to find a significant difference from the control group. Findings of increased perceived social connectedness are consistent with at least two sources of qualitative data demonstrating an enhanced sense of connectedness to significant others and other human beings, marked by openness to others, sociability, and authentic expression (Belser et al., 2017; Watts et al., 2017). Changes in perceived social connectedness may also be one manifestation of a general sense of connectedness that is described in qualitative reports (Belser et al., 2017) and instantiated in measures of mystical-type experience [i.e., unitive consciousness (or a sense of “oneness” or “unity”)].

A fourth source of evidence involves a small body of literature investigating whether psychedelic compounds promote moral reasoning and prosocial behavior. Findings from this body of literature have however been strongly mixed. Among the supportive findings, there is evidence of a long-term self-reported increase in altruism and positive social effects from three small prospective studies (Dolder et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2018; Schmid and Liechti, 2018), the latter of which demonstrated changes over and above a control group. Furthermore, in two large observational samples of criminal offenders, a diagnosis of hallucinogen use disorder was predictive of lower recidivism, violations of supervisory rules in a correctional setting, and future arrests involving intimate partner violence (Hendricks et al., 2014; Walsh et al., 2016). These findings may be suggestive of long-term reductions in Antagonistic Externalizing and Aggression following psychedelic use. Nevertheless, despite being prospective studies, it is conceivable that other explanations can account for these observations, most notably that participants inclined to use psychedelic compounds already differed from other participants on traits associated with these outcomes before using. These findings stand in contrast to others that fail to support a link between psychedelic use and moral reasoning or behavior.

Although the 5-HT receptor system shows evidence of an involvement in harm aversion (self & other) and deontological (versus utilitarian) moral reasoning (Crockett et al., 2010, 2015), 5-HT3A receptor agonists were not associated with greater aversion to harm others in the only existing examination containing a social moral dilemma task (though sample size was low, N = 33; Pokorny et al., 2017). Furthermore, when offered the opportunity to express prosocial behavior through the allocation of redeemable lottery tickets and money to strangers, psychedelic users (within the aforementioned festival context) were no more likely to do so than other participants (Forstmann et al., 2020). Psychedelic users in this context also did not differ from others in ascribing moral praiseworthiness to deeds on the basis of the value of the deed’s outcome for
others (versus the hedonic value of the deed for the agent). These findings are also consistent with null observer-rated changes in interpersonal perceptiveness, caring, anger expression, and compassion/social concern in a small prospective study (Griffiths et al., 2018). In sum, evidence is mixed with respect to psychedelic-induced improvements in moral reasoning and prosocial behavior. It may also be possible that substantive change occurs selectively in individuals higher in Antagonism (i.e., low Agreeableness).

The present study builds upon these findings through examining change in FFM personality domains relevant to social functioning as well as perceptions of social connectedness in a sample of 148 individuals recruited online. Our naturalistic approach enabled the collection of a larger sample through greater cost-effectiveness relative to laboratory-based studies, and provides an opportunity to gain insight into the effects of psychedelic use in the general population. Understanding how psychedelic experiences unfold outside of a controlled laboratory or shamanic setting—where harm reduction practices may be lacking—is ethically important as decriminalization/legalization policies expand use above and beyond already high prevalence in the West (Krebs and Johansen, 2013a,b; Winstock, 2017). In our study, a web-based survey—embedded within a purpose-built website—was used to measure outcomes and relevant moderators across five timepoints—including (1) 1 week before, (2) within 1 day before, (3) within a few days after, (4) 2 weeks after, and (5) 4 weeks after a psychedelic experience—among which study outcomes were measured 1 week before, 2 weeks after, and 4 weeks after. Using an integrated FFM framework, we also included outcomes conceptually and empirically related to FFM domains (e.g., measures of compassion and affective empathy enrich measurement of FFM Agreeableness). Our first aim was to examine change in personality traits related to social functioning and perceptions of social connectedness, as well as covariance between these sets of outcomes over time. We focused on Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Agreeableness in view of their relevance to social functioning. FFM Openness and Conscientiousness were also examined for comprehensiveness. In line with previous empirical and theoretical work (MacLean et al., 2011; Carhart-Harris et al., 2016b, 2021; Erritzoe et al., 2018; Forstmann et al., 2020; Netzband et al., 2020; Weiss et al., 2021) FFM Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and perceived social connectedness were hypothesized to increase, and FFM Neuroticism was hypothesized to decrease following initial measurement.

Our second aim was to investigate factors that may potentiate or suppress personality change in relation to psychedelic experience. Few studies to date have rigorously examined potential moderators of change (e.g., subjective acute experiences, baseline personality) which may account for inconsistency in the literature and/or provide necessary conditions for change. Specifically, we examined the degree to which differences in FFM domains between timepoints varied as a function of an array of predisposing and acute factors. Predisposing factors relate to individual characteristics as well as psychological states, and spatial, temporal, and social contexts that may influence the nature of an individual’s experience. Acute factors relate to subjective psychological states arising under acute psychedelic effects. In line with previous work (MacLean et al., 2011; Erritzoe et al., 2018; Weiss et al., 2021), mystical-type experience was hypothesized to contribute to a larger difference in FFM Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Openness following 2 weeks and 4 weeks post-experience.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This was a prospective study design using opportunity sampling and web-based data collection. The inclusion criteria were: >18 years old, good comprehension of the English language, and having the intention to take a classic psychedelic drug (psilocybin/magic mushrooms/truffles, LSD/1P-LSD, ayahuasca, DMT/5-MeO-DMT, Salvia divinorum, mescaline, or iboga/ibogaine) in the near future. This approach provided the opportunity to collect a large amount of data in a non-controlled, naturalistic, and observational manner. The study consisted of a total of five surveys completed at different moments. The first survey was completed 1 week before the planned psychedelic experience (Baseline); the second survey was completed 1 day before the experience; the third survey was completed 1 day after the psychedelic experience; and the fourth and fifth surveys were completed 2 and 4 weeks following the experience.

Participants

The following sample sizes were collected for each of the five surveys, respectively, N = 654, N = 535, N = 379, N = 315, and N = 212. To better capture substantive effects of psychedelic use, 39 participants were eliminated who reported use of a low dose. An additional seven participants were eliminated who reported use of a substance without sufficiently strong serotonergic 2A receptor affinity [MDMA (4), ketamine (2), ibogaine (1)]. The final sample consisted of 148 volunteer participants who provided data at all timepoints at which dispositional trait-based measures were administered (i.e., 1 week before experience, 2 weeks post experience, 4 weeks post experience). Because a larger number of participants reported on two timepoints versus all three, additional datasets were also used to examine change between baseline and 2 weeks post (N = 249) and baseline and 3 weeks post (N = 162). Unless otherwise noted, the N = 148 sample was used for analyses. Demographic information is provided in Table 1. Information regarding the pharmacology of participants’ psychedelic experiences is provided in Table 2.

Out of the total 741 participants who responded to any timepoint of the study, 576 (78%) dropped out or did not complete the first, fourth, or fifth survey. Because attrition can introduce sample bias and limit generalizability, analyses were conducted examining differences in study outcomes at baseline between the present sample and participants who did not complete the first, fourth, or fifth surveys. Results were indicative of significant differences (p < 0.05) in one baseline...


**TABLE 1 | Demographic Information.**

| Variable                  | Level                                      | # (% of sample)/mean ± SD |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Gender                    | Male                                       | 104 (71%)                 |
|                           | Female                                     | 43 (29%)                  |
|                           | Other                                      | 1 (0%)                    |
| Age                       |                                            | 31.5 ± 11.9               |
| Educational level         | Left school before age 16 without qualifications | 5 (3%)                    |
|                           | Some high school/GCSE level (in UK)        | 12 (8%)                   |
|                           | High school diploma/A-level education (in UK) | 15 (10%)                 |
|                           | Some university (or equivalent)            | 26 (18%)                  |
|                           | Bachelor’s degree (or equivalent)          | 55 (37%)                  |
|                           | Post-graduate degree (e.g., Masters or Doctorate) | 35 (24%)                 |
| Employment status         | Student                                    | 42 (28%)                  |
|                           | Unemployed                                 | 15 (10%)                  |
|                           | Part-time job                              | 25 (17%)                  |
|                           | Full-time job                              | 61 (41%)                  |
|                           | Retired                                    | 5 (3%)                    |
| Nationality               | United States                              | 33 (22%)                  |
|                           | United Kingdom                             | 34 (23%)                  |
|                           | Denmark                                    | 20 (14%)                  |
|                           | Germany                                    | 6 (4%)                    |
|                           | Canada                                     | 7 (5%)                    |
|                           | Other (26 in total)                        | 36 (26%)                  |
| Psychiatric history       | Lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric illness | 48 (32%)                  |
|                           | Never diagnosed                            | 100 (68%)                 |
| Previous psychedelic use  | Never (psycchedelic naïve)                | 13 (9%)                   |
|                           | Once                                       | 10 (7%)                   |
|                           | 2–5 times                                  | 27 (16%)                  |
|                           | 6–20 times                                 | 28 (17%)                  |
|                           | More than 20 times                         | 30 (18%)                  |


“global psychedelic survey” given the general nature of the sample and types of psychedelic use we were interested in capturing data on. This website contained all information needed for individuals to be informed about the study design, what was expected from participants and the informed consent. Once the informed consent was read and agreed on, individuals were able to sign up on the website by providing their name, email address and the date on which they expected to have their experience. Online advertisements, including a link to the main website that hosted the survey, were posted and shared on Facebook, Twitter, email newsletters, and online drug forums. Once participants signed up, they were included in an emailing system that was programmed to send out emails and reminders at specific times depending on the anticipated date of the psychedelic experience provided by the participants in the sign up process. Emails contained links to the relevant surveys, which were implemented and hosted by the online service system Survey Gizmo.

**Ethical Considerations**

The aim of the present study was to sample variables associated with psychedelic drug use, without manipulating or promoting such use. A disclaimer text on the website was included stating: “This survey should not be viewed as advocacy of psychedelic drug use. Its aim is to sample people whose intent to take a psychedelic is already established.” Participants provided their name and email address in the sign up process; however, this information was not saved in the survey responses nor used while handling the data. These data were only used to personalize the emails needed to send out the relevant survey links. When emails were sent out including the survey links, a unique identification code was generated which was included in the survey links as a uniform resource locator. This offered the opportunity to identify and link multiple survey responses of one individual without sampling privacy-sensitive information. Furthermore, Survey Gizmo has features that protect the security of responses, in line with the ethics requirements. The study was approved by Imperial College Research Ethics Committee and the Joint Research Compliance Office at Imperial College London.

**Measures**

Unless otherwise noted, data for each measure were collected at the first, fourth, and fifth timepoints, and available for 148 participants.

**Personality Outcomes**

**Ten-Item Personality Inventory**

The TIPI (Gosling et al., 2003) consists of 10 items, with two items for each FFM domain: “Anxious, easily upset” and “calm, emotionally stable” index Neuroticism; “extraverted, enthusiastic,” and “reserved, quiet” index Extraversion; “critical, quarrelsome,”

---

1 Hubner, S., Haijen, E., Kaelen, M., Carhart-Harris, R., and Kettner, H. (under review). Turn on, tune in, and drop out: identifying predictors of attrition in observational psychedelic research. Journal of Medical Internet Research.

2 Hübner et al., in press.

3 Hubner, S., Haijen, E., Kaelen, M., Carhart-Harris, R., and Kettner, H. (under review). Turn on, tune in, and drop out: identifying predictors of attrition in observational psychedelic research. Journal of Medical Internet Research.

4 hubner.et al. in press.

5 Hubner et al. in press.

6 Hubner et al. in press.

7 Hubner et al. in press.
and “sympathetic, warm” index Agreeableness; “open to new experiences, complex” and “conventional, uncreative” index Openness; “dependable, self-disciplined” and “disorganized, careless” index Conscientiousness. Participants rated each item on a 7-point Likert-scale (1 = Disagree strongly; 7 = Agree strongly). Previous research has demonstrated good test–retest reliability and convergent validity for TIPI domains (Ehrhart et al., 2009). More broadly, FFM traits have shown adequate test–retest reliability across an average interval of 4 weeks (rs > 0.77; Gnams, 2014), and longitudinal measurement invariance has been supported at the metric and scalar level in large samples (Lucas and Donnellan, 2011; Wortman et al., 2012). Unfortunately, consistent with past research (Gosling et al., 2003), internal consistency for three of five TIPI domains was low ([TIPI OpennessT1: α = 0.40]; AgreeablenessT1: α = 0.37; ConscientiousnessT1: α = 0.48). Because heterogeneity in constructs can substantively obscure clear longitudinal changes and limit interpretation, we assessed TIPI items individually.

Empathic Concern and Perspective Taking
Two subscales from the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI; Davis, 1983) were used to measure empathy and enrich measurement of FFM Agreeableness. IRI Empathic Concern is a 7-item subscale (e.g., “I am often quite touched by things that I see happen”) that measures affective empathy. Given high empirical overlap between IRI Empathic Concern and FFM Agreeableness, IRI Empathic Concern was considered to be reflective of Agreeableness (Mooradian et al., 2011; Melchers et al., 2016). More modern personality models have distinguished between two inter-related but distinct components of Agreeableness, namely Compassion and Politeness (DeYoung et al., 2007). Although no specific empirical work exists, IRI Empathic Concern is conceptually similar to BFAS Compassion (versus BFAS Politeness) and FFM facets of Tender-Mindedness, Altruism, and Trust (Judge et al., 2013). IRI Perspective Taking is a 7-item subscale (e.g., “I try to look at everybody’s side of a disagreement before I make a decision”) that measures cognitive empathy. Given moderate overlap between IRI Perspective Taking and FFM Agreeableness (Moorsadian et al., 2011; Melchers et al., 2016) and the relevance of cognitive empathy to the present study, IRI Perspective Taking was also included in analyses, but was not considered directly reflective of Agreeableness. Participants rated each item on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Does not describe me well; 5 = Describes me very well). Internal consistency (α) ranged from 0.81 to 0.85 across timepoints.

Compassion
The Santa Clara Brief Compassion Scale (SCBCS; Hwang et al., 2008) is a 5-item scale used to measure compassion. Items on the SCBCS were generated from Sprecher and Fehr’s (2005) Compassionate Love Scale which assesses altruistic love toward others. Given high empirical overlap between SCBCS and IRI Empathic Concern (Hwang et al., 2008), as well as theoretical and empirical relations between the construct of compassion and FFM Agreeableness (e.g., DeYoung, 2010; Judge et al., 2013), the SCBCS was treated as an index of the component of FFM Agreeableness involving Compassion (versus Politeness; Judge et al., 2013; DeYoung et al., 2007). Participants rated each item on a 7-point Likert-scale (1 = Not at all true of me; 7 = Very true of me). Internal consistency (α) ranged from 0.88 to 0.90 across timepoints.

Tellegen Absorption
The Tellegen Absorption Scale (MODTAS; Tellegen et al., 1991) is used to measure trait absorption. The etymology of absorption is rooted in the search for reliable personality correlates of hypnotizability (de Groh, 1989), but it soon became clear to scholars that absorption also bore high theoretical and empirical overlap with FFM Openness (e.g., Glisky et al., 1991). This work indicated that Absorption strongly overlaps with and therefore presents a good index of certain components of Openness (but not others), including aesthetic appreciation, fantasy, unusual associations, unconventional worldviews, and awareness of inner feelings; Absorption was not observed to overlap with intellectual curiosity, openness to unusual ideas, or liberal values. For brevity, only the 25 scored items were included in this survey. Participants rated each Absorption item on a 5-point Likert-scale (1 = Never, 5 = Very often). Internal consistency (α) ranged from 0.92 to 0.93 across timepoints.

Social Connectedness Outcomes
Social Connectedness
The Social Connectedness Scale (SCS; Lee and Robbins, 1995) is an 8-item scale that measures one’s perceived sense of belongingness in relation to others and society (e.g., “Even

---

**TABLE 2 | Pharmacology of sample’s psychedelic experience.**

| Compound type       | # (% of participants) |
|---------------------|-----------------------|
| LSD/1P-LSD          | 70 (47%)              |
| Psilocybin          | 34 (23%)              |
| Ayahuasca           | 17 (11%)              |
| DMT/5-MeO-DMT       | 5 (3%)                |
| Mescaline (Peysote) | 6 (4%)                |
| Huachuma/San Pedro  | 5 (3%)                |
| Other               | 4 (3%)                |
| Dose                |                       |
| A moderate dose     | 44 (30%)              |
| A high dose         | 63 (43%)              |
| A very high dose    | 19 (13%)              |
| An extremely high dose | 11 (7%)             |
| Psilocybin          |                       |
| 1–1.99 grams        | 4                     |
| 2–2.99 grams        | 6                     |
| 3–3.99 grams        | 2                     |
| 5–5.5 grams         | 4                     |
| Truffles            |                       |
| 1–15 grams          | 4                     |
| 15–29 grams         | 3                     |
| 30–45 grams         | 3                     |
| LSD/1P-LSD          |                       |
| 60 μg               | 1                     |
| 100–199 μg          | 12                    |
| 200–299 μg          | 23                    |
| 300–400 μg          | 10                    |
| 800 μg              | 1                     |

Exact dosage information was not available for the majority of participants; therefore % of participants was not given for these levels; μg = micrograms; % of participants uses N = 148 as the denominator.
around people I know, I do not feel that I really belong"). Participants rated each item on a 6-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree; 6 = Strongly agree). Scores were reverse-scored such that higher scores indicated greater social connectedness. Internal consistency (α) ranged from 0.94 to 0.95 across timepoints.

**Relatedness**
The Relatedness scale is a graphical scale that measures one’s perceived sense of relationship with other human beings. The measure uses a 7-item Likert scale consisting of two circles (“Self,” “Other”) that progressively overlap (see Supplementary Figure S1). The scale has been used in previous work (Forstmann et al., 2020) and is a modified version of the “inclusion of others in the self” scale which assesses how strongly people include their romantic partners in their self-construal (Aron et al., 1991). Participants were asked to select the image that best describes their “current relationship with other human beings, in general.”

**Moderators**
**Evaluation of Validity**
**Suggestibility**
The Multidisciplinary Iowa Suggestibility Scale-Short (MISS; Kotov et al., 2004) was used to measure participants’ susceptibility to internalize external influences. The MISS is a 21-item self-report scale that uses a 5-point Likert-scale (1 = Not at all; 5 = A lot). Internal consistency (α) was 0.85. Data were collected in the first survey.

**Expectancy**
Expectancy of favorable change was measured using one original item (“How confident are you that the upcoming psychedelic experience will have a long-lasting positive effect?”). Data were collected in the first survey.

**Predisposing Factors**
**Set and Setting**
An original 12-item measure was constructed to assess participants’ cognitive and emotional relationship to their psychedelic experience to come. Previous factor-analytic work derived three parsimonious factors: Set (describing openness toward and psychological preparedness for the experience; 7 items; α = 0.75), Setting (describing positive feelings toward experience companions and planned environment; 3 items; α = 0.74), and Clear Intentions (describing strong and clear expectations; 2 items; α = 0.46). Participants rated each item on a visual analogue scale ranging from 0 (Strongly disagree) to 100 (Strongly agree). Data were collected in the second survey, and were available for 145 participants.

**Motives**
An original 10-item measure was constructed to assess the types of experiences participants sought to consciously create. Previous factor-analytic work derived three parsimonious factors: Spiritual connection (describing motivation for spiritual, religious, nature-based, or growth-oriented experience; 4 items; α = 0.56), Recreation (describing motivation for social, fun, explorative experience; 3 items; α = 0.37), and Emotional (describing motivation for addressing difficult emotions; 3 items; α = 0.48). Participants rated each item using a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Not at all; 4 = Very much). Data were collected in the first survey.

**Baseline Anxiety**
A 6-item short version of the Spielberger State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-SF; Marteau and Bekker, 2020) was used to measure trait anxiety. Participants rated each item on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Not at all; 4 = Very much). Internal consistency (α) was 0.85. Data were collected in the first survey.

**Baseline Depression**
The Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self-Report-16 (QIDS; Rush et al., 2018) is a 16-item scale that measures severity of depressive symptoms within the preceding 7 days. Participants rated each item on a 4-point Likert scale whose content varies by item. The QIDS consisted of 9 subscales comprising one to four items. For subscales involving multiple items, the maximum score across the items was assigned. The QIDS total score represented the mean of the 9 subscales. Internal consistency (α) across these nine QIDS subscales was 0.81. Data were collected in the first survey.

**Acute Factors**
The following measures were sent to participants 1 day after they were due to have their psychedelic experience (third survey). Data for these measures were available for 137 participants.

**Challenging Experience**
The Challenging Experience Questionnaire (CEQ; Barrett et al., 2016) is a 26-item scale that measures unpleasant affective, cognitive, and somatic reactions to psychedelic compounds. Reactions include isolation, grief, physical distress, fear, insanity, paranoia, and death (e.g., “I had the feeling something horrible would happen”). The CEQ is derived from “challenging” items from other psychedelic questionnaires: Hallucinogen Rating Scale (HRS) (Strassman et al., 1994), Altered State of Consciousness questionnaire (Dittrich, 1998), and States of Consciousness Questionnaire (Pahnke et al., 1969; Griffiths et al., 2006). Participants rated each item on a 6-point Likert-scale (1 = None, not at all; 5 = Extreme, more than any other time in my life). Internal consistency (α) was 0.95.

**Emotional Breakthrough**
The Emotional Breakthrough Inventory (EBI; Roseman et al., 2019) is a 6-item scale that measures productive engagement with emotional problems (e.g., “I felt able to explore challenging emotions and memories”). Participants rated each item on a visual analogue scale ranging from 0 (No, not more than usually) to 100 (Yes, entirely or completely). Internal consistency (α) was 0.93.
**Mystical Experience**

The Mystical Experience Questionnaire (MEQ; MacLean et al., 2012; Barrett et al., 2015) is a 30-item scale that measures mystical aspects of participants’ experiences. The MEQ’s items were originally represented on the Pahnke-Richards MEQ (Pahnke, 1969; Richards, 1975). In line with psychometric work (Barrett et al., 2015), four subscales were assessed: Mystical (15-item; e.g., “Experience of the fusion of your personal self into a larger whole”), Positive mood (6-item; e.g., “Sense of awe or awesomeness”), Transcendence of time and space (6-item; e.g., “Loss of your usual sense of space”), and Ineffability (3-item; e.g., “Sense that the experience cannot be described adequately in words”). Participants rated each item on a 6-point Likert scale (1 = None, Not at all; 6 = Extreme, more than any other time in your life and stronger than 5). Internal consistency ($\alpha$) ranged from 0.89 (Time Space) to 0.96 (Mystical).

**Dosage**

One original item was used to measure approximate dosage of participants’ use. Participants rated their dose on a 5-point response scale [1 = A low dose (equivalent to 50 micrograms LSD); 5 = Extremely high dose (equivalent to 300 micrograms LSD)]. Data were collected in survey three.

**Analytic Plan**

**Analyses**

Four sets of analyses were planned. To reduce Type I error, across analyses, a statistical significance threshold was set at $p < 0.005$ (Benjamin et al., 2018), whereas $p < 0.01$ was set for hypotheses. The first set of analyses examined the degree to which personality and perceived social connectedness outcomes changed in relation to psychedelic experience. Linear mixed models were conducted (equivalent to one-way repeated measures ANOVA) to determine the persisting effects of psychedelic compounds on outcomes, comparing each outcome between each timepoint (baseline, 2 weeks post, and 4 weeks post). The full $N = 148$ dataset was principally used, containing participants who reported on outcomes at all timepoints. However, to maximize sample size and power, additional models were conducted in larger datasets to examine change between baseline and 2 weeks post (N = 249) and baseline and 4 weeks post (N = 162). Where the significance of results from the larger datasets contradicted results from the smaller ($N = 148$) dataset, results from the larger dataset were presented. The following indices of effect size were used: Unstandardized ($B$) coefficients indicate mean differences between timepoints. $dz$ indicates effect size change in outcome scores in terms of the standard deviation of within-subject change scores (e.g., T2-T1; Lakens, 2013). Cohen’s $d$ (standard Cohen’s $d$; Cohen, 1988) effect size estimates were calculated using the following equation: \(\frac{\text{Mean-score } T_2 - \text{Mean-score } T_1}{\sqrt{\text{SD}_{T1}^2 + \text{SD}_{T2}^2}}\). Marginal $R^2$ values were used to indicate the degree to which the fixed effect of Time accounted for variance in outcomes.

The second set of analyses used linear mixed models to examine moderating effects on time. Linear mixed effects models were conducted in which we included moderators as fixed covariates to the base model (including Time). Three sets of moderator variables were examined including validity variables (including biases, expectancy, suggestibility), predisposing factors (including demographic variables, lifetime use of psychedelics, motives, baseline traits), and acute factors. For continuous moderators, unstandardized ($B$) coefficients were used to indicate the added effect of the moderator to the effect of time at one standard deviation above the mean of the moderator. For dichotomous moderators, unstandardized ($B$) coefficients were used to indicate the added effect of the moderator to the effect of time at one level of the moderator versus the other. Marginal $R^2$ values were used to indicate the degree to which fixed effect variables (i.e., Time, moderator) accounted for variance in outcomes.

The third set of analyses used regression to examine covariation between personality and perceived social connectedness outcomes over time. Change scores for each outcome were calculated by subtracting timepoint one (baseline) scores from timepoint three (4 weeks post), and correlations were subsequently calculated between each personality outcome and each social connectedness outcome. The fourth set of analyses calculated zero-order correlations among baseline outcomes (Supplementary Table S1).

**Power Analyses**

*Post hoc* power analyses (using “simr” package in R) were conducted to assess power for the first and second sets of analyses. For each set of analyses, effect sizes sufficient to obtain 80% statistical power [alpha value $p = 0.005$ ($p = 0.01$ for hypotheses), using 100 Monte Carlo simulations] were estimated. Results indicated that the self-report sample was powered (80%) to accurately detect true differences between timepoints exceeding 0.10/0.12 (Tallegen Absorption), 0.12/0.14 (IRI Perspective Taking), 0.12/0.16 (IRI Empathic Concern), 0.13/0.15 (SCBCS Compassion), 0.15/0.19 (TIPI Reserved), 0.17/0.19 (TIPI Extraverted, TIPI Anxious), 0.17/0.21 (TIPI Calm), 0.17/0.24 (TIPI Disorganized), 0.18/0.20 (TIPI Sympathetic), 0.18/0.21 (TIPI Critical), 0.19/0.19 (SCS Social Connectedness), 0.19/0.23 (Relatedness, TIPI Conventional), 0.22/0.25 (TIPI Dependable) and 0.25/0.30 (TIPI Open-minded) standard deviations. The first value reflects power within the $N = 249$ sample (containing baseline and 2 weeks timepoints) and the second value reflects power within the $N = 162$ sample (containing baseline and 4 weeks timepoints). With respect to moderation-based analyses, analyses were powered (80%) to detect true interaction effects of small to medium size (standardized interaction coefficient effect size ranged from 0.13 to 0.30 across domains and moderators).

**RESULTS**

**Examining Change in Personality and Social Connectedness**

Results demonstrated that 2 weeks following psychedelic experience, TIPI Anxious ($B = -0.49, p < 0.0001$) and TIPI Critical ($B = -0.47, p < 0.0001$) were significantly lower; and TIPI Calm ($B = 0.22, p = 0.006$), TIPI Extraverted ($B = 0.23, p = 0.009$), Social...
Connectedness ($B = 0.19, p = 0.008$), and Relatedness ($B = 0.27, p = 0.006$) were significantly higher. Four weeks following psychedelic experience, TIPI Anxious ($B = -0.55, p < 0.0001$) and TIPI Critical ($B = -0.56, p < 0.0001$) remained significantly lower; and Social Connectedness ($B = 0.18, p = 0.008$) remained significantly higher. These results are shown in Figure 1, and

**FIGURE 1 |** Line plots illustrating change in outcomes. Error bars represent 99% confidence intervals around means for hypothesized outcomes including Agreeableness, Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Social Connectedness; and 99.5% confidence intervals around means for Conscientiousness and Perspective Taking. First and second sets of asterisks denote a significant difference between baseline and 2-weeks and 4-weeks, respectively, following psychedelic experience. *$p < 0.01$; **$p < 0.005$. 
expanded upon in Tables 3 where 99% confidence intervals and effect size values (i.e., \( dz \) and Cohen’s \( d \)) are provided. Full results can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

**Examining Moderation of Change**

To investigate the degree to which change between timepoints depended on predisposing and acute factors, moderation by three sets of variables were examined including validity variables (including biases, expectancy, suggestibility), predisposing factors (including demographic variables, lifetime use of psychedelics, motives, baseline traits), and acute factors. Each of these sets will be examined in turn below. Tables 4 and 5 are provided to show instances of significant moderation of differences between at minimum two timepoints, and to present change in marginal R² after adding interaction terms. Full results of significant moderation-based models are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

**Validity Variables**

With respect to validity variables, three types of variables were examined: biases, expectancies of change, and suggestibility. First, biases involving favorable attitudes toward psychedelic use (e.g., “I have an advanced knowledge about psychedelics”) did not contribute to overreporting of change, as intended in study design, but rather showed evidence of suppressing change in TIPI Calm and Social Connectedness. Specifically, participants endorsing advanced knowledge of psychedelics (Knowledge Bias) and high experience with psychedelic use (Experience Bias) exhibited higher baseline TIPI Calm, and showed no change in TIPI Calm at 2 weeks or 4 weeks, whereas participants denying advanced knowledge and high experience showed a greater increase in TIPI Calm at 2 weeks (\( B = 0.28 \), \( B = 0.24 \), respectively) and 4 weeks (\( B = 0.29 \), \( B = 0.34 \), respectively). For example, a one-standard-deviation decrease in self-reported “high psychedelic experience” was associated with an incremental 0.23 unit increase in Social Connectedness following 2 weeks (\( B = 0.23 \)), whereas participants endorsing advanced knowledge showed no change. That is, a one-standard-deviation decrease in self-reported “advanced knowledge” was associated with an incremental 0.23 unit increase in SCS (on 6-point Likert scale) following 2 weeks.

**Predisposing Factors**

With respect to predisposing factors, five sets of variables were examined: demographic variables, lifetime psychedelic uses, set and setting, motives, and baseline traits. Lifetime psychedelic uses and baseline traits emerged as significant moderators.

With respect to lifetime psychedelic uses, a one-standard-deviation decrease in lifetime psychedelic uses amplified increases in IRI Perspective Taking by 0.11 and 0.09 units (on 5-point Likert scale) two and 4 weeks following psychedelic experience, respectively. Specifically, participants endorsing average to high frequency of previous psychedelic use showed no change in Perspective Taking, whereas participants endorsing low (~one-standard-deviation below mean) previous psychedelic uses exhibited significant increases in Perspective Taking following two and 4 weeks (\( B_{T1,T2} = 0.11, p = 0.002; B_{T1,T4} = 0.09, p = 0.005 \)).

With respect to baseline traits, initial analyses were indicative of moderation by baseline traits in the cases of 14 of 16 outcomes. However, because regression to the mean is likely responsible for many of these trends in adaptive directions (e.g., participants high in baseline anxiety exhibiting amplified decreases in anxiety), subsequent tests were conducted. Effect size estimates were examined while excluding participants with extreme baseline scores most conducive to regression in an adaptive trait direction, namely above the 80th quantile of baseline TIPI Anxious, STAIT Anxiety, QIDS Depression, TIPI Reserved, TIPI Conventional, TIPI Critical, and TIPI Disorganized, and below the 20th quantile of the other outcomes. Next, moderation-based results were inspected using linear mixed models, plots, and pairwise contrasts. An adaptive moderation effect was deemed credible where (a) the interaction term remained statistically significant \( (p < 0.005) \) and in the direction of an adaptive effect; and (b) significant \( (p < 0.005) \) changes in an adaptive direction were present among participants at the maladaptive level of the moderator (e.g., where participants

---

**TABLE 3** Examining significant main effects of time.

| Timepoint comparison | Outcome                      | B     | 99% CI          | \( dz \) | \( ds \) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | TIPI Critical               | -0.47** | -0.18, -0.77   | -0.34   | -0.19   |
| Baseline & 4 weeks post | TIPI Critical               | -0.56** | -0.26, -0.86   | -0.39   | -0.23   |
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | TIPI Anxious                | -0.40** | -0.20, -0.78   | -0.37   | -0.19   |
| Baseline & 4 weeks post | TIPI Anxious                | -0.55** | -0.27, -0.84   | -0.39   | -0.22   |
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | TIPI Calm                   | 0.22*  | 0.01, 0.42     | 0.18    | 0.10    |
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | TIPI Extraverted            | 0.23*  | 0.00, 0.46     | 0.17    | 0.09    |
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | Social Connectedness Scale  | 0.19*  | 0.01, 0.37     | 0.17    | 0.11    |
| Baseline & 4 weeks post | Social Connectedness Scale  | 0.18*  | 0.01, 0.36     | 0.21    | 0.10    |
| Baseline & 2 weeks post | Relatedness                 | 0.27*  | 0.02, 0.52     | 0.26    | 0.15    |

TIPI, Ten-Item Personality Inventory; CI, Confidence Interval; Unstandardized (\( B \)) coefficients indicate mean differences between timepoints. \( dz \) indicates effect size change in outcome scores in terms of the standard deviation of within-subject change scores (e.g., \( T2-T1 \)); Lakens, 2013). Cohen’s \( d \) (standard Cohen’s \( d \); Cohen, 1988) effect size estimates were calculated using the following equation: \( \text{(mean-score T2-mean-score T1)/(SDT1+SDT2)/0.5} \). *\( p < 0.01; **p < 0.005.\)
### TABLE 4 | Incremental variance explained by moderators.

| Moderator               | Neuroticism | Extraversion | Agreeableness | Empathic Perspective | Santa Clara Social Relatedness |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | Anxious     | Calm         | Extra         | Reserved             | Critical                      | Sympath                       | Concern | Taking | Compassion | Connectedness |
| Validity                |             |              |               |                      |                               |                               |         |         |            |               |
| Pro-use Bias            | 0.001       | 0.001        | 0.012         | 0.004                | 0.001                         | 0.013                         | 0.039   | 0.035  | 0.036      | 0.015         | 0.045          |
| Therapy Bias            | 0.000       | 0.001        | 0.018         | 0.002                | 0.003                         | 0.025                         | 0.074   | 0.045  | 0.090      | 0.024         | 0.058          |
| Knowledge Bias          | 0.014       | **0.022**    | 0.003         | 0.000                | 0.001                         | 0.016                         | 0.018   | 0.049  | 0.003      | **0.029**     | 0.002          |
| Experienced Bias        | 0.085       | **0.067**    | 0.031         | 0.004                | 0.000                         | 0.006                         | 0.024   | 0.061  | 0.026      | 0.041         | 0.032          |
| Expectancy              | 0.079       | 0.069        | 0.040         | 0.012                | 0.006                         | 0.055                         | 0.072   | 0.116  | 0.074      | 0.058         | 0.061          |
| Suggestibility          | 0.019       | 0.013        | 0.004         | 0.003                | 0.033                         | 0.012                         | 0.003   | 0.003  | 0.003      | 0.000         | 0.020          |
| **Predisposing Factors**|             |              |               |                      |                               |                               |         |         |            |               |               |
| Age                     | 0.059       | 0.034        | 0.004         | 0.002                | 0.037                         | 0.006                         | 0.012   | 0.003  | 0.002      | 0.006         | 0.005          |
| Education Level         | 0.025       | 0.008        | 0.011         | 0.001                | 0.016                         | 0.007                         | 0.090   | 0.062  | 0.059      | 0.048         | 0.001          |
| Sex                     | 0.018       | 0.005        | 0.003         | 0.004                | 0.030                         | 0.048                         | 0.036   | 0.014  | 0.023      | 0.014         | 0.001          |
| Psychedelic Naive       | 0.008       | 0.000        | 0.009         | 0.006                | 0.002                         | 0.001                         | 0.000   | 0.009  | 0.002      | 0.004         | 0.003          |
| Psychedelic Uses        | 0.070       | 0.029        | 0.034         | 0.007                | 0.004                         | 0.003                         | 0.027   | **0.029** | 0.029      | 0.022         | 0.006          |
| Set                     | 0.082       | 0.071        | 0.031         | 0.017                | 0.002                         | 0.017                         | 0.007   | 0.035  | 0.003      | 0.042         | 0.007          |
| Setting                 | 0.028       | 0.074        | 0.015         | 0.008                | 0.007                         | 0.015                         | 0.010   | 0.007  | 0.018      | 0.038         | 0.023          |
| Clear Intentions        | 0.006       | 0.010        | 0.002         | 0.004                | 0.001                         | 0.002                         | 0.033   | 0.025  | 0.025      | 0.024         | 0.006          |
| Spiritual motive        | 0.036       | 0.032        | 0.090         | 0.039                | 0.005                         | 0.026                         | 0.085   | 0.052  | 0.088      | 0.019         | 0.063          |
| Recreation Motive       | 0.011       | 0.002        | 0.005         | 0.003                | 0.017                         | 0.010                         | 0.014   | 0.000  | 0.023      | 0.002         | 0.007          |
| Emotional Motive        | 0.056       | 0.038        | 0.014         | 0.021                | 0.002                         | 0.002                         | 0.001   | 0.001  | 0.004      | 0.023         | 0.001          |
| Respective Baseline Trait | **0.677** | **0.688**   | 0.704         | 0.684                | 0.621                         | 0.624                         | 0.829   | **0.818** | 0.808      | 0.640         | **0.613**       |
| Baseline STAIT Anxiety  | 0.382       | 0.325        | 0.051         | 0.004                | 0.024                         | 0.021                         | 0.005   | 0.031  | 0.005      | 0.145         | 0.031          |
| Baseline QIDS Depression| 0.289       | 0.203        | 0.054         | 0.012                | 0.006                         | 0.014                         | 0.012   | 0.019  | 0.005      | 0.156         | 0.025          |
| **Acute Factors**       |             |              |               |                      |                               |                               |         |         |            |               |               |
| Challenging Exp         | 0.034       | 0.046        | 0.028         | 0.023                | 0.002                         | 0.006                         | 0.003   | 0.002  | 0.005      | 0.022         | 0.005          |
| Emo Breakthrough        | 0.018       | 0.004        | 0.002         | **0.007**            | 0.007                         | 0.008                         | 0.029   | 0.021  | 0.021      | 0.004         | 0.011          |
| Mystical                | 0.023       | 0.026        | 0.026         | 0.012                | 0.003                         | 0.036                         | 0.101   | 0.140  | 0.084      | 0.029         | 0.048          |
| Positive Mood           | 0.025       | 0.040        | 0.031         | 0.016                | 0.006                         | 0.020                         | 0.056   | 0.161  | 0.048      | 0.024         | 0.019          |
| Time-space              | 0.005       | 0.004        | 0.009         | 0.004                | 0.001                         | 0.020                         | 0.009   | 0.025  | 0.007      | 0.009         | 0.021          |
| Ineffability            | 0.003       | 0.008        | 0.001         | 0.001                | 0.022                         | 0.002                         | 0.001   | 0.007  | 0.006      | 0.002         | 0.004          |
| Dosage                  | 0.001       | 0.022        | 0.014         | 0.017                | 0.001                         | 0.001                         | 0.016   | 0.011  | 0.014      | 0.016         | 0.021          |

Bolded/italicized marginal R² values are indicative of instances of significant moderation at p < 0.005 threshold. Italicized marginal R² values for Respective Baseline Trait moderators denote a significant interaction term that is significant at p < 0.005 threshold and survived tests of regression to the mean. Marginal R² values indicate the degree to which fixed effect variables (e.g., Time, moderator) account for variance in outcomes. Extra = Extraverted; Sympath = Sympathetic.
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Table 5 Incremental variance explained in outcomes related to openness and conscientiousness by moderators.

| Moderator | Openness | | Tellegen | | Conscientiousness |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|           | Open-minded | Conventional | Absorption | Dependable | Disorganized |
| Validity   |           |           |           |           |           |
| Pro-use Bias | 0.018     | 0.005       | 0.005     | 0.004     | 0.017       |
| Therapy Bias | 0.002     | 0.005       | 0.020     | 0.009     | 0.006       |
| Knowledge Bias | 0.008     | 0.065       | 0.026     | 0.019     | 0.004       |
| Experienced Bias | 0.048     | 0.023       | 0.023     | 0.031     | 0.008       |
| Expectancy | 0.030     | 0.037       | 0.086     | 0.046     | 0.010       |
| Suggestibility | 0.005     | 0.061       | 0.018     | 0.041     | 0.032       |
| Predisposing Factors | | | | | |
| Age | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.072 |
| Education Level | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Sex | 0.010 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| Psychedelic Naive | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.029 |
| Psychedelic Uses | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.008 | 0.003 |
| Set | 0.023 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.031 | 0.003 |
| Setting | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.020 | 0.047 | 0.041 |
| Clear Intentions | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.049 |
| Spiritual Motive | 0.028 | 0.045 | 0.145 | 0.013 | 0.000 |
| Recreation Motive | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.012 |
| Emotional Motive | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| Respective Baseline Trait | 0.366 | 0.596 | 0.855 | 0.699 | 0.654 |
| Baseline STAIT Anxiety | 0.028 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.066 | 0.013 |
| Baseline QIDS Depression | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.089 | 0.058 |
| Acute Factors | | | | | |
| Challenging Exp | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.045 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| Emo Breakthrough | 0.020 | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.003 | 0.007 |
| Mystical | 0.008 | 0.058 | 0.134 | 0.011 | 0.006 |
| Positive Mood | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.002 |
| Time–space | 0.001 | 0.030 | 0.065 | 0.006 | 0.002 |
| Ineffability | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| Dosage | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.033 |

Bolded/italicized marginal $R^2$ values are indicative of instances of significant moderation at $p<0.005$ threshold. Italicized marginal $R^2$ values for Respective Baseline Trait moderators denote a significant interaction term that is significant at $p<0.005$ threshold and survived tests of regression to the mean. Marginal $R^2$ values indicate the degree to which fixed effect variables (e.g., Time, moderator) account for variance in outcomes.

one standard deviation above the mean of baseline anxiety showed significant decreases in anxiety). Only moderated change between baseline and 4 weeks post is reported and interpreted. Adaptive moderation effects that survived these tests of regression to the mean were found for TIPI Anxious, TIPI Calm, IRI Perspective Taking, and Relatedness (see Supplementary Table S1 for detailed results). Using the full dataset, pairwise contrasts were calculated to examine temporal changes in outcomes at different levels of these baseline traits. Participants who presented to the study with high (~one-standard-deviation above mean) baseline TIPI Anxious exhibited statistically significant reductions in these items following 4 weeks ($B_{T1-T3} = -0.75$, $p < 0.0001$). Similarly, participants with low (~one-standard-deviation below mean) baseline TIPI Calm ($B_{T1-T3} = 0.67$, $p < 0.0001$), IRI Perspective Taking ($B_{T1-T3} = 0.21$, $p < 0.0001$), and Relatedness ($BT1-T3 = 0.63$, $p < 0.0001$) exhibited significant increases in these items following 2 and 4 weeks. Notably, TIPI Anxious was the only outcome for which adaptive change was also found among participants who exhibited average baseline scores. These results are, however, considered tentative given the likely influence of regression to the mean.

Acute Factors
With respect to acute factors, we examined moderation of temporal change in outcomes by Challenging Experience, Emotional Breakthrough experience, and mystical-type experience including MEQ Mystical, Positive Mood, Space Time, and Ineffability. Dosage was also examined in view of empirical effects on self-reported acute intensity, personal meaning, and spiritual significance (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2011). Only Emotional Breakthrough experience emerged as a significant moderator. Specifically, a one-standard-deviation increase in self-reported acute intensity, personal meaning, and spiritual significance (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2011). Only Emotional Breakthrough experience emerged as a significant moderator. Specifically, a one-standard-deviation increase in self-reported Emotional Breakthrough amplified decreases in TIPI Reserved by 0.34 units (on 7-point Likert scale) 4 weeks following psychedelic experience. Results indicated that a significant decrease in TIPI Reserved depended on participants’ experience of emotional breakthrough, such that only participants who exhibited a one-standard-deviation increase in Emotional Breakthrough showed a meaningful change (decrease) in TIPI Reserved. Because Emotional Breakthrough did not evoke an influence on other personality outcomes including the other Extraversion-based TIPI item, nor did it exhibit an effect on TIPI Reserved between Baseline and 2 weeks post, it is possible...
that this effect is the product of Type I error, and as such, should be interpreted with caution.

Examining Covariation Between Outcomes Over Time

Personality and Social Connectedness

To determine whether changes in outcomes covaried over time with other outcomes, we computed change scores between baseline and 4 weeks following psychedelic experience, and calculated the correlations between these change scores (Table 6). The N = 162 sample was used. Two sets of analyses were conducted. First, we examined the degree to which each personality outcome covaried over time with Social Connectedness and Relatedness. Results indicated that Social Connectedness showed significant covariation over time with TIPI Anxious ($r = -0.23, p = 0.003$), TIPI Extraverted ($r = 0.27, p = 0.0001$), TIPI Critical ($r = -0.22, p = 0.004$), and TIPI Disorganized ($r = -0.26, p = 0.001$). Second, we examined the degree to which personality outcomes covaried over time with each other. In this set, only personality traits that exhibited significant main effect change from previous analyses were examined. Results indicated significant covariation between TIPI Anxious and TIPI Critical ($r = -0.29, p < 0.0001$) over time.

DISCUSSION

Meaningful prosocial changes were observed across a number of outcomes relevant to social functioning. Overall, participants reported decreases in critical and quarrelsome demeanor and anxiety and mood lability, and increases in perceived social connectedness. Preliminary evidence was additionally found for increases in cognitive empathy, but only among participants initially low in this trait. Adaptive changes in neuroticism and perceived social connectedness also showed signs of being amplified among participants starting with less adaptive initial levels of these traits. We expand upon these findings within the context of four main questions: (a) Is psychedelic use related to changes in personality traits relevant to social functioning? (b) Is psychedelic use related to change in perceptions of social connectedness? (c) Is change in personality connected to change in perceived social connectedness? and (d) Are there factors that predispose or potentiate change in social functioning-related traits and perceived social connectedness?

Is Psychedelic Use Related to Changes in Personality Traits Relevant to Social Functioning?

Two personality domains with strong relevance to social functioning (neuroticism, agreeableness) displayed substantive, small-sized, changes in the direction of enhanced social functioning. First, agreeableness was measured using four outcomes including TIPI Critical Quarrelsome, TIPI Sympathetic Warm, compassion, and empathic concern. TIPI Critical Quarrelsome was the only outcome to decline substantively between baseline measurement and 2 weeks following psychedelic experience and remain substantively below baseline scores 4 weeks following use. Although limited internal reliability for this single-item outcome qualifies interpretation, this result is suggestive of components of agreeableness that are particularly susceptible to psychedelic change processes. According to the Big Five Aspects model (BFAS; DeYoung et al., 2007), a data-driven model of personality, each FFM domain can be divided into two distinct, but interrelated, components that holos superior parsimony relative to FFM facets, and greater granularity relative to broad FFM domains. For agreeableness, these components represent aspects Compassion (versus Callousness) and Politeness (versus Belligerence). Notably, TIPI Critical Quarrelsome is the only measured outcome that, based on rational analysis, conceptually captures the Politeness aspect of agreeableness, whereas the other agreeableness outcomes capture the Compassion aspect. At its low pole, Politeness, like the TIPI Critical Quarrelsome item, describes an antagonistic and conflict-prone style of interpersonal relating that seeks to express judgment, demonstrate superiority, and gain advantage.

Our results are the first in the literature to suggest a specific decrease in criticism and quarrelsomeness following psychedelic experience. Should psychedelic experience be most targeted to personality functioning in Politeness, it bears noting the clinical and forensic implications of this finding. Politeness, relative to Compassion, bears stronger relationships with pathological personality traits most relevant to antagonistic personality disorders (e.g., Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Antisocial Personality Disorder) including attention seeking, grandiosity, manipulativeness, deceitfulness, and hostility (e.g., DeYoung et al., 2016). As such, in this data, psychedelic use shows some signs of therapeutic potential for the treatment of personality disorders. Our data appears consistent with three studies that have shown qualified support for prospective increases in agreeableness (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016b; Netband et al., 2020; Weiss et al., 2021), as well as work showing decreased levels of supervision failure among individuals involved in the
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justice system and intimate partner violence in the general population (Hendricks et al., 2014; Thiessen et al., 2018).

No other agreeableness outcomes exhibited meaningful change. One explanation for these results relates to restriction of range in these outcomes versus TIPI Critical Quarrelsome, such that participants in our sample tended to exhibit already above-average standing on TIPI Sympathetic Warm, compassion, and empathic concern, and thus may have possessed less potential for upward change in these traits (see Supplementary Figure S2 for baseline density plots). Moderation analyses were accordingly conducted to examine whether participants with lower scores on these traits were more likely to exhibit significant upward change. No credible moderation was observed. Power analyses were additionally conducted to evaluate our capacity to form conclusions from these null results, namely that TIPI Sympathetic Warm, compassion, and empathic concern do not meaningfully respond to naturalistic psychedelic use. The results of our power analyses were suggestive that we were adequately powered to detect an interaction effect of small size ($b<0.20$) for compassion and empathic concern if one was present. As such, our data provide preliminary support for the absence of meaningful long-term change in compassion and empathic concern in the general population of psychedelic users. Furthermore, our null results with respect to adaptive change in affective empathy (indexed by IRI Empathic Concern) are convergent with previous evidence that explicit emotional empathy (a related construct) does not remain enhanced for longer than 1 week following psychedelic administration (Mason et al., 2019). It is notable that acute increases in explicit emotional empathy have been observed in three previous studies using the Multifaceted Empathy Test (MET; Dziobek et al., 2008) (Dolder et al., 2016; Pokorny et al., 2017; Mason et al., 2019). This raises the possibility that enhancements in affective empathy and compassion may be time-limited without additional maintenance practices.

Finally, perspective taking, a related construct capturing cognitive empathy (i.e., ability to occupy mental perspectives of others; IRI Perspective Taking), did show some signs of increasing in relation to psychedelic use for a subset of our sample. Although a main effect change in perspective taking was not observed, participants one standard deviation below the mean in baseline perspective taking exhibited substantive change in this trait. Although the measure we used involved subjective self-appraisal, our results provide preliminary evidence that psychedelic use may provide longer-term enhancements to cognitive empathy among individuals with low initial capacity. Of note, however, Mason et al. (2019) did not observe enhanced cognitive empathy 7 days post-psychelic experience while using the MET cognitive task. We encourage researchers to examine whether a similar subset of individuals with low standing on cognitive empathy exhibit substantive post-acute enhancements in objective task performance.

Collectively, this pattern of results may be suggestive that psychedelic experience in the general population is more likely to drive adaptive changes in Politeness versus Compassion. On a methodological level, the pattern raises the possibility that mixed findings within the literature may owe in part to imprecise measurement of agreeableness. Future research is accordingly called for that examines psychedelic-induced personality change using granular measurement sufficient to detecting possible differential effects on aspects (or facets) of personality.

Second, perhaps strongest support was found for adaptive change in neuroticism, with data showing small-sized, but substantive decreases in anxiety and mood lability (TIPI Anxious Easily upset) that remained significantly above baseline scores 4 weeks following psychedelic use. Our results are consistent with a number of previous prospective studies showing psychedelic-induced reductions in neuroticism (Barbosa et al., 2009; Fernández et al., 2014; Erritzoe et al., 2018) including one study demonstrating change relative to a control group (Netzband et al., 2020) and a naturalistic study showing change in self- and informant-report data (Weiss et al., 2021). Three randomized and controlled clinical trials (Palhano-Fontes et al., 2019; Davis et al., 2020; Carhart-Harris et al., 2021) also provide support for a psychedelic-induced antidepressant effect, which bears relevance to our present examination of neuroticism in view of common structure between personality and psychopathology (e.g., Widiger et al., 2012; Kotov et al., 2017). Of note, the size of the observed effects are somewhat smaller than medium-sized effects found in other studies (e.g., Erritzoe et al., 2018; Weiss et al., 2021). As such, recreational use outside of therapeutic contexts designed to support introspective inquiry (e.g., psychedelic-assisted therapy, ayahuasca ceremony) may attend smaller effect size changes. Increased emotional stability (TIPI Calm Emotionally stable) was only observed between baseline and 2 weeks, and thus will not be interpreted. Similarly, because changes in TIPI extraversion did not extend to 4 weeks, and its counterpart item, TIPI Reserved, showed no change, this result is not interpreted.

Collectively, our results indicate that psychedelic use may promote personality traits of high relevance to social functioning, namely traits linked to lower reactivity and antagonism in interpersonal communication, relationship stability, and emotion regulation. Results involving decreased neuroticism may be consistent with recent neurocognitive work demonstrating reduced negative affect (and amygdala response) in relation to facial stimuli 1 week following psilocybin use, and decreased trait anxiety and increased positive affect 1 month following psilocybin use (Barrett et al., 2020).

To evaluate the size of these personality change effects relative to alternative interventions, we additionally compared observed effect size changes in TIPI Anxious and TIPI Critical to meta-analyzed effects of psycho- and pharmacotherapeutic intervention. In their large meta-analysis ($k=199; N=\sim 20,000$), Roberts and colleagues (2017) observed that neuroticism declined and agreeableness increased by 0.57 and 0.15 standard deviations, respectively, in relation to 24 week (on average) clinical effects.

As a note, results from the present study are not directly commensurable with Mason et al. (2019) finding at 7 days as cognitive and affective empathy was measured using a laboratory test (Multifaceted Empathy Test) that elicits empathic ability rather than relying on participants' self-appraisal of empathic ability.
Our findings may hold important clinical implications. Social disconnectedness is a common feature of internalizing and stress disorders (Karp, 2017; Kintzle et al., 2018), and connectedness is considered a core component of psychological well-being (Lee et al., 2008; Cervinka et al., 2012). Furthermore, social disconnectedness shows concurrent and longitudinal relations with loneliness (Jose and Lim, 2014; Ang, 2016). Accordingly, it will be important for future research to examine perceived social connectedness as a possible psychological mechanism underlying therapeutic psychedelic-induced effects on depression, stress, and loneliness.

Is_change_in_personality_connected_to_change_in_perceived_social_connectedness?

Adaptive change in perceived social connectedness significantly covaried over time with a number of personality outcomes including neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness. The present approach was not able to evaluate the causal directionality of these relations. Therefore, our results provide only preliminary data with regard to the processes underlying adaptive change. It will be important for future work to explore mechanistically how these change processes interact with each other (e.g., through the use of ecological momentary assessment). As one possibility, enhanced perceived social connectedness may lead to expanded in-group identification, lower distrust, reduced neuroticism, and enhanced extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness. Alternatively, reduced neuroticism and enhanced extraversion and agreeableness may be primary and serve to amplify perceptions of social connectedness as individuals deepen their prosocial involvement in the social environment. Given the rapidity with which
perceived social connectedness emerged in previous work (Forstmann et al., 2020), the latter explanation may be less likely as changes in social behavior may not have had significant time to unfold. As a further explanation, interindividual overlap between constructs may be suggestive that observations of covariation over time are merely artifacts of the overlapping component changing in both. We consider this possibility while recognizing that interindividual covariation does not necessarily imply intraindividual covariation over time (Molenaar, 2004; Fleeson, 2007). Our results indicated that interindividual overlap with perceived social connectedness in the cases of TIPI Critical and Disorganized was small (|r| < 0.30), whereas overlap in the cases of TIPI Anxious and Extraverted was more substantial (|r| > 0.30). This pattern is tentatively suggestive that intraindividual covariation is more likely to be suggestive of distinct constructs with common processes in the former cases, and common constructs in the latter. As a final comment on our results, only SCS Social Connectedness exhibited covarying relations with personality, suggesting that common processes may underlie change in perceived social belonging and personality, but not perceived relatedness to other human beings (Relatedness) and personality.

Are There Factors That Predispose or Potentiate Change in Social Functioning-Related Traits and Perceived Social Connectedness?

Four-hundred-thirty-two analyses were conducted to examine the degree to which changes in outcomes were moderated by predisposing and acute factors, with eight significant results emerging (2% of analyses). Although this rate exceeds the Type I error rate expected from setting an alpha level of p < 0.005 (i.e., 0.5%), the low number of significant results is suggestive that they should be cautiously interpreted as explorative findings warranting future replication. In general, predisposing and acute factors did not emerge within our general population data. Moreover, our hypotheses of moderated change in neuroticism, extraversion, and openness by mystical experience were not supported. Given that our sample was relatively well-powered to interpret a small interaction effect in most cases, it is important to consider why our null results differ so starkly from other studies that observed greater evidence of moderation (e.g., MacLean et al., 2011; Erritzoe et al., 2018; Weiss et al., 2021). One possibility is that use in the general population—lacking the control and introspective attentional buffers of psychedelic-assisted and ceremonial contexts—includes greater variability in other factors not measured here (e.g., relationship dynamics among participating users) that increase unsystematic variance in change trajectories. Another possibility is that the online volunteer format of survey response impacted the fidelity with which participants rated their experiences, though no evidence exists to this effect. A third possibility is that previous work has overstated the influence of predisposing and acute factors on psychedelic-induced personality change.

LIMITATIONS

There are a number of limitations that qualify the interpretation of our findings. First, perhaps the larger source of validity concern regards possible differential drop out by participants who did not experience a positive effect of psychedelics. Only 22% of early participants completed all timepoints, and 34% completed baseline and 2 weeks post. As such, the present sample may underreport participant trajectories that would more heavily favor null hypotheses. Future research that reduces such attrition is vital for testing the validity of preliminary findings found in our sample. Second, comparisons of complete and incomplete respondents were suggestive of differences in conscientiousness. As such, attrition-related sample bias could have impacted results pertaining to conscientiousness; in addition, attrition-related sample bias could conceivably extend beyond measured outcomes, and limit generalizability. Third, the naturalistic approach of the present study precluded the use of a control group, raising the potential for significant methodological issues including the influence of placebo, expectancy, and demand effects, especially among those who are advocates for expanded psychedelic use. Third, informant-data was not collected in this study to corroborate results from self-report data. Although self-report data represents a valid source of information, previous work has shown that informant-report data tends to support only a subset of significant personality change results found in self-report data (Weiss et al., 2021). The presence of strong placebo and expectancy effects in this work make informant-data an important source of information. Fourth, our sample was comprised of volunteers, meaning that sample bias may have played a role in excluding individuals for whom enrollment would have required compensation; this property may partially limit generalizability to the broader population. Fifth, our use of TIPI items accompanies low inter-item reliability of personality outcomes, and behooves the importance of future replication, especially in the case of results where only one of two intra-domain TIPI items showed a significant effect (e.g., extraversion). Sixth, our survey study was not able to precisely measure the type and dosage of the substances participants took. Given evidence of dose-dependent effects of psychedelics (e.g., Holze et al., 2021b), this introduces imprecision into our estimates of longer-term change, making our results significantly less generalizable to particular use cases.

CONCLUSION

The present study represented a well-powered examination of how psychedelic use in a naturalistic setting may influence social functioning and connectedness using the framework of FFM personality. Use of psychedelics was associated with substantive decreases in neuroticism and increases in

---

9However, it bears noting that power analyses demonstrated we were limited in detecting true co-variation between the latter set of constructs; our sample size provided 80% power to detect a correlation between change scores exceeding 0.28.
agreement, both of which are relevant to social functioning via links to relationship satisfaction and prosociality. Specific adaptive effects on personality involved changes in anxiety, emotional lability, quarrelsomeness, and enthusiasm. These data add to the robustness of findings involving a psychedelically-induced antidepressant effect and shows evidence of this effect extending to the general population of psychedelic users. Our data also provide preliminary evidence for an intriguing downward effect on a particular aspect of FFM agreeableness, namely BFAS Belligerence (or Politeness at the opposite pole), that involves a conflict-prone style of interpersonal relating. Use of psychedelics was also associated with substantive increases in feelings of belonging to one’s social environment. Predisposing and acute factors were generally not associated with change trajectories, though baseline standing on neuroticism, extraversion, perspective taking, and perceived social connectedness showed qualified evidence of amplifying adaptive effects on these traits. Our findings are broadly suggestive of the therapeutic potential of psychedelics for addressing Antagonistic Externalizing and loneliness.
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