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Incidence, determinants and consequences of delirium in older patients after transcatheter aortic valve implantation
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Abstract

Background: delirium is an event leading to negative health outcomes and increased mortality in patients. The aim of this study is to investigate the incidence, determinants and consequences of post-operative delirium (POD) in older patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI).

Methods: The TAVI Care and Cure program is a prospective, observational registry in patients referred for TAVI at Erasmus University Medical Centre. The presence of delirium was evaluated by daily clinical assessment by a geriatrician pre- and up to 3 days post-TAVI. Mortality data were obtained from the Dutch Civil Registry.

Results: A total of 543 patients underwent TAVI between January 2014 and December 2017. Overall, the incidence of POD was 14% (75/543 patients) but declined from 18% in 2014 to 7% in 2017 (P = 0.009). Patients who developed POD were older (81.9 ± 5.8 versus 78.6 ± 8.3 years, P < 0.001), had higher prevalence of renal dysfunction and prior stroke (54% versus 40%, P = 0.02; 31% versus 18%, P = 0.01) and were more often frail (32% versus 25%, P = 0.02). From a procedural perspective, general anesthesia (odds ratios (OR), 2.31; 95% CI, 1.40–3.83; P = 0.001), non-transfemoral access (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.20–4.70; P = 0.01) and longer procedural time (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 1.01–1.02; P < 0.001) were significantly associated with POD. One-year survival rate was 68% among patients who had suffered a POD and was 85% in patients without a POD (hazard ratio’s 1.8 (95% CI 1.01–3.10), P = 0.045).

Conclusion: POD frequently occurs after TAVI and is associated with increased mortality. It might be speculated that patient selection and the minimalistic approach of TAVI may reduce the frequency of delirium.
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Key points

• Delirium after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) occurs frequently.
• Delirium after TAVI is associated with increased mortality.
• Patient selection and minimalistic approach of TAVI may reduce post-operative delirium.

Introduction

The incidence of delirium after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is reported to vary between 12 and 53% and proved to exert a significant and negative impact on hospital stay and long-term mortality, especially in frail...
and older patients [1–6]. TAVI is increasingly used to treat patients with aortic stenosis and as a result of increased experience, new generation heart valves and minimising the treatment itself, periprocedural complications have decreased [7–10]. The impact of better procedural outcomes on the incidence of post-operative delirium (POD) is thus far unknown.

Notwithstanding the expansion of the indication of TAVI to low-risk patients [9], the majority of patients who undergo TAVI have an advanced age with a high risk of POD given the presence of substantial co-morbidity and frailty [10–12].

The aim of this study is to investigate the incidence, determinants and consequences of POD in older patients undergoing TAVI, as well as the consequences of the changes in TAVI practice on the incidence on delirium.

Methods

Patient selection

The study population consists of 543 consecutive patients who received TAVI because of severe aortic stenosis between January 2014 and December 2018 and who were enrolled in the TAVI Care and Cure program [13]. In brief, the TAVI Care and Cure program is a prospective single-center multidisciplinary observational cohort study that was initiated in November 2013 and consists of a prospective collection of a comprehensive set of predefined cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular data including a comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA), baseline characteristics in addition to procedural and post-operative data of all patients referred for and treated with TAVI. There were no specific exclusion criteria. Treatment decision and strategy was decided during the multidisciplinary heart team meeting (interventional cardiologists, cardiac surgeons, anesthesiologist, geriatricians and a TAVI-nurse coordinator) [14, 15]. The TAVI Care and Cure program was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center (MEC-2014-277) and was conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration. All patients provided written informed consent.

Study measurements

Cardiology assessment

Cardiology assessment included patient’s history, presence of symptoms using the New York Heart Association (NYHA) and the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) score, physical examination, electrocardiogram, transthoracic echocardiography, coronary angiography and multislice computed tomography, as described before [19, 20].

Geriatric assessment

Frailty was defined by the Erasmus Frailty Score (EFS) that has been reported to be associated with POD and one-year mortality [12]. The EFS uses five geriatric domains that are relevant for this specific population; cognition was measured by the mini-mental state examination [21], strength by the hand grip strength test [22], (mal) nutrition by the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool [23], inactivity in basic activities of daily living (measured by the Katz index (Katz activities of daily living (ADL)) [24] and inactivity in instrumental activities of daily living (measured by the Lawton and Brody index) [25]. Patients were considered frail if the score on 3 or more domains were below predefined standard cut off points [12].

TAVI procedure

TAVI was initially performed under general anesthesia and from September 2015 onwards under local anesthesia, using the transfemoral approach as default choice. After TAVI, patients were admitted to the intensive care unit for early monitoring for a minimum of 4 h and then transferred, if no conduction disturbances or hemodynamic events occurred, to the general cardiology ward with telemonitoring facility.

Delirium assessment and management of delirium

One day before TAVI, all patients were seen by the geriatrician to assess the risk of delirium based on known risk factors of POD [1, 3]. All patients were given information on symptoms of delirium and non-pharmacological intervention for delirium prevention were taken. From the day of admission up to 4 days post-TAVI, a geriatrician evaluated patients on a daily basis. Delirium was defined according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV).

Delirium features necessary for diagnoses were: acute onset and fluctuating coarse AND/OR hallucinations. The diagnosis of delirium was made by clinical diagnosis of a geriatrician and was based on the psychiatric examination of the patient. Patients were seen by a geriatrician at least once a day on a daily basis and besides the evaluation of the patient, we continuously evaluated with the nursing staff on the cardiology ward to learn if there was any fluctuations in symptoms during the day or overnight. When caregivers of patients were present, we evaluated with the caregivers symptoms and fluctuations of symptoms.

Severity of delirium was defined by the delirium observation score [26]. In case of delirium, patients were treated according to current national guideline ‘Delirium in adults’, Dutch Geriatrics Society [16].

Outcome measures

The primary objective of this study is the incidence and predictors of delirium after TAVI. Secondary objective was the impact of delirium on all-cause mortality within 1 year after TAVI. The Dutch Civil Registry was used for the collection of all-cause mortality data.

Data management and statistical analysis

All data were entered into a dedicated online database hosted on an internal server. Categorical variables are presented
as numbers and percentages. Differences between patients with and without delirium were compared with the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables are expressed as means with standard deviation (± SD) or median values with corresponding interquartile ranges (IQR). Differences within the two groups were compared using the independent t-test or its non-parametric equivalents, respectively. Univariate analysis was performed, variables with a P-value < 0.10 was entered in the multivariate regression models.

For the multivariate model, covariates were operationalised as follows: (i) prior stroke: transient ischemic attack or cerebrovascular accident prior to TAVI, (ii) renal dysfunction: estimated glomerular filtration rate < 50 mL/min, (iii) limitation of mobility (gait speed): walking speed < 1.0 m/s; (iv) frailty measured with the EFS: five component score, frail when three components are scored below predefined cut off points.

For the outcome incidence of delirium, odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) were computed with multivariate logistic regression analysis. We tested multicollinearity for the multivariate regression model. Obtained variance inflation factor (VIF) values ranged between 1 and 2. Cox regression analysis was performed to investigate the association between delirium and mortality. The multivariate model was based on the results form a univariate analysis for the outcome ‘one-year mortality’. Variables with a P-value < 0.10 were entered in the multivariate regression models. Hazard ratio’s (HR) and corresponding 95% CI were computed. To investigate the changes over time, patients were divided into four cohorts, depending on the year in which the TAVI took place: cohort 1: 2014; cohort 2: 2015; cohort 3: 2016; cohort 4: 2017. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test, while continuous variables were compared with the ANOVA test. Statistical significance across the years was calculated using the chi-square method adjusting the P-values after the Bonferroni correction for the proportions. For the continue variables, the Games-Howell method was used as a post-hoc test. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 21 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Patient characteristics

Between January 2014 and December 2017, a total of 543 patients underwent a TAVI for symptomatic aortic valve stenosis. Clinical baseline characteristics and procedural features are shown in Table 1. Mean age of the population was 79.1 ± 8.0 years and 55% were men. Hypertension (80%), hypercholesterolemia (65%), atrial fibrillation (32%) and diabetes mellitus (32%) were prevalent comorbidities. In this cohort, there were no patients with existing diagnosis of dementia (diagnosis noted in primary care records or records from referring hospitals). There were seven patients (=1.3%) with mild cognitive impairment, but with no interference in daily activities.

The incidence of delirium was 14% (75/543 patients). Patients who developed POD were older (82.1 ± 5.8 versus 78.6 ± 8.3 years, P < 0.001), had higher prevalence of renal dysfunction and prior stroke (54% versus 40%, P = 0.02; 31% versus 18%, P = 0.01) and were more often frail (32% versus 25%, P = 0.02). Cognitive impairment (OR, 2.28; 95% CI, 1.25–4.13; P = 0.007), reduced gait speed (OR, 3.69; 95% CI, 1.68–10.72; P = 0.02), limitation in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) activity (OR, 2.15; 95% CI, 1.16–3.98; P = 0.02) and the presence of frailty (OR, 2.50; 95% CI, 1.30–4.82; P = 0.006) were significantly associated with POD (Table 1).

From a procedural perspective, general anesthesia (OR, 2.31; 95% CI, 1.40–3.83; P = 0.001), non-transfemoral access (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.20–4.70; P = 0.01) and longer procedural time (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 1.01–1.02; P < 0.001) were significantly associated with POD (Table 1).

Information on the onset of POD was available for all patients. POD occurred on day 0 and 1 in 68% of the patients. The median onset of POD was day 1 (IQR 0.0–2.0 days) (Supplementary Material A1). The incidence of post-procedural stroke (11% versus 3%; P = 0.001), new pacemaker implantation (24% versus 14%; P = 0.02), vascular complication (24% versus 13%; P = 0.009), post-operative urinary tract infection (13% versus 2%; P < 0.001) and post-operative pneumonia (9% versus 4%; P = 0.03) was higher in patients with POD than those without POD. Yet, independent variables predicting POD were found to be prior stroke (OR, 4.29; 95% CI, 1.85–9.96; P = 0.001), the presence of frailty (OR, 2.37; 95% CI, 1.12–5.07; P = 0.025) and the length of the procedure (OR, 1.02; 95% CI, 1.01–1.03; P < 0.001) (Table 2).

The presence of POD was associated with prolonged inhospital stay, independent of other periprocedural complications (uncomplicated TAVI 8.2 versus 7.5 days, P = 0.003 and complicated TAVI 17.8 versus 11.1 days, P < 0.001).

Impact of POD on Mortality during follow-up

Median follow-up was 1.4 years (IQR: 0.6–2.5 years), overall mortality was 29.5% (n = 190). One-year survival rate was 68% among patients who had suffered POD and 85% in patients without POD (HR 1.8 (1.01–3.10), P = 0.045) (Figure 1).

Changes over time

In the period from January 2014 to December 2017, the mean age, prevalence of frailty and prior stroke of patients who underwent TAVI remained the same (P = 0.332, P > 0.999 and P = 0.467, respectively). Yet, the proportion of patients with renal dysfunction was higher in those treated in 2014 and 2015 versus those treated in 2016 and 2017 (53% and 52% versus 37% and 32%, respectively, P < 0.001). The main change was found to be of procedural nature; the use of general anesthesia fell from 100% in...
Table 1. Baseline characteristics and procedural features of the total study population

| Variable (n, %) | All patients | Delirium (n = 75) | Association with delirium |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|                | n = 543      | Present (n = 75)  | Absent (n = 468)          | OR  | 95% CI   | P-value |
| Male sex (n, %) | 297 (55%)    | 44 (59%)          | 253 (54%)             | 0.83 | 0.51–1.36 | 0.48    |
| Age (mean ± SD) | 79.1 ± 8.0   | 81.9 ± 5.8        | 78.6 ± 8.3            | 1.06 | 1.02–1.10 | 0.001   |
| BMI (mean, SD)  | 27.3 ± 4.9   | 27.1 ± 4.2        | 27.3 ± 5.1            | 0.99 | 0.93–1.05 | 0.76    |
| Aortic valve area, cm² | 0.77 ± 0.25 | 0.74 ± 0.24        | 0.78 ± 0.25            | 0.54 | 0.18–1.60 | 0.27    |
| Cardiovascular risk factors | | | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 176 (32%) | 20 (27%)          | 156 (33%)            | 0.73 | 0.42–1.26 | 0.25    |
| Hypertension | 432 (80%) | 62 (83%)          | 370 (79%)            | 1.26 | 0.67–2.39 | 0.47    |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 352 (65%) | 45 (60%)          | 307 (66%)            | 0.79 | 0.48–1.30 | 0.35    |
| Comorbidities | | | | | |
| Prior MI | 118 (22%) | 18 (24%)          | 100 (21%)            | 1.16 | 0.65–1.06 | 0.61    |
| Prior stroke | 108 (20%) | 23 (31%)          | 85 (18%)             | 1.92 | 0.98–3.76 | 0.01    |
| Renal dysfunction | 227 (42%) | 40 (54%)          | 187 (40%)            | 1.76 | 1.07–2.88 | 0.02    |
| COPD | 117 (22%) | 13 (18%)          | 104 (22%)            | 0.74 | 0.39–1.40 | 0.35    |
| Symptoms | | | | | |
| NYHA classes III/IV | 358 (66%) | 53 (72%)          | 305 (65%)            | 1.34 | 0.78–2.30 | 0.25    |
| Angina CCS classes III/IV | 64 (13%) | 8 (11%)           | 56 (13%)             | 0.88 | 0.40–1.93 | 0.74    |
| Frailty indices (n = 350) | | | | | |
| Cognitive impairment | 107 (31%) | 26 (48%)          | 81 (28%)             | 2.40 | 1.34–4.39 | 0.003   |
| Malnutrition probable | 38 (11%) | 8 (15%)           | 30 (10%)             | 1.60 | 0.69–3.70 | 0.29    |
| Reduced gait speed | 219 (67%) | 41 (79%)          | 178 (38%)            | 2.09 | 1.03–4.26 | 0.04    |
| Reduced muscle strength | 160 (48%) | 302 (62%)         | 128 (45%)            | 1.94 | 1.06–3.55 | 0.03    |
| Limitation in ADL activity | 107 (30.4%) | 30 (42%)      | 77 (22%)             | 2.09 | 1.13–3.88 | 0.02    |
| Limitation in IADL activity | 97 (28.5%) | 24 (32%)         | 73 (21%)             | 2.60 | 1.41–4.80 | 0.002   |
| Frailty identified (EFS) | 97 (28.5%) | 24 (32%)         | 73 (21%)             | 2.60 | 1.41–4.80 | 0.002   |
| Procedural features | | | | | |
| General anesthesia | 232 (43%) | 45 (59%)          | 187 (40%)            | 2.31 | 1.40–3.83 | 0.001   |
| Non-transfemoral access | 51 (9%) | 13 (17%)          | 38 (8%)              | 2.37 | 1.20–4.70 | 0.01    |
| Cerebral protection | 274 (51%) | 36 (49%)          | 238 (51%)            | 0.93 | 0.57–1.53 | 0.78    |
| Procedure time, minutes (mean ± SD) | 140 ± 61.5 | 184 ± 93.4       | 133 ± 51.6           | 1.01 | 1.01–1.02 | <0.001 |

Values are n(%), mean ± SD. BMI, body mass index; MI, myocardial infarction; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Table 2. Predictors for POD within a multivariate model

| Variable | OR  | 95% CI   | P-value |
|----------|-----|----------|---------|
| Age      | 1.06| 0.99–1.13| 0.097   |
| Prior stroke | 3.10| 1.49–6.47| 0.003   |
| Renal dysfunction | 1.18| 0.57–2.48| 0.655   |
| Limitation of mobility | 1.04| 0.44–2.46| 0.926   |
| (Gait speed) | | | |
| Frailty identified (EFS) | 2.37| 1.12–5.07| 0.025   |
| General anesthesia | 1.71| 0.70–4.20| 0.24    |
| Non-transfemoral access | 1.07| 0.35–3.31| 0.905   |
| Procedure time (min) | 1.02| 1.01–1.03| <0.001  |

2014 to 19% in 2017 (P < 0.001), non-transfemoral access dropped from 18% in 2014 to 8% in 2017 (P = 0.08) and procedure time was reduced from 191.6 ± 65.7 minimum in 2014 to 91.0 ± 37.8 minimum in cohort 2017 (P < 0.001) (Supplementary Material A2). During this observation period, the incidence of delirium decreased from 18% in 2014 to 7% in 2017 (P = 0.009).

Discussion

In this study, we found that delirium frequently occurs after TAVI in older patients and is associated with increased mortality. Frailty and prior stroke as well as procedural time were independent predictors of POD.

Frailty is known to be a risk factor of POD in patients undergoing TAVI and can be explained by the fact that frailty is the result of reduced physical, cognitive and social

Figure 1. One-year mortality of patients with and without a delirium. One-year mortality of patients with and without delirium. HR 1.8 (1.01–3.10), P = 0.045. Adjusted for age, sex, dyslipidemia, atrial fibrillation, New York Heart Association classes 3 or 4, renal dysfunction.
functioning and, thus, associated with a reduction of an adaptive or reserve capacity in case of stressors [11, 12, 17, 18]. Despite the minimal invasive nature of TAVI, this procedure remains a stressful event in particular when considering the profile of patients undergoing TAVI who are in general old and have multiple comorbidities and frailty. Age remains and will remain advanced in patients undergoing TAVI, as shown in this study, in addition to the ageing society, notwithstanding the expansion of indication of TAVI to lower risk patients [10].

According with previous studies, we found prior stroke to be a predictor of POD [1, 2, 5, 19]. In the present analysis, prior stroke was associated with a 4-fold increased risk of POD. The risk factors for stroke overlap with those of cognitive impairment and dementia [20], which are also associated with increased risk for delirium [12, 21].

Not unexpectedly, procedural factors predicted POD. Previous studies have shown that the use of general anesthesia and the non-transfemoral access may lead to a 4-fold increase on POD [2, 17]. In the present study, we found by multivariable analysis that procedure time was the only independent procedural factor predicting POD.

There is a still controversy on the effect of the anesthetic technique (general versus local anesthesia) on POD [2, 22]. General anesthesia has been linked to post-operative cognitive dysfunction, but it has not been shown to have the same effect on POD, when considering POD a form of temporary cognitive dysfunction [23]. In the present study, the use of general anesthesia dropped from 100% in 2014 to 20% in 2017, in conjunction with a similar decrease in procedure time and a slight increase of transfemoral TAVI to 92% following a global trend in minimising the invasive nature of TAVI [24–26]. Yet, the effect of these procedural changes have not systematically been assessed in relation to POD, although one intuitively would expect a beneficial effect on the occurrence of POD, as shown in this study (i.e. a reduction of $>10\%$ over 4 years).

One of the possible confounders in the assessment of the incidence of POD in the present study is the fact that the geriatrician assessed the risk of POD before TAVI in the framework of the TAVI Care and Cure program and implemented preventive measures if deemed necessary. It has been shown that preventive strategies can lead to a 30% reduction in POD [27–29]. We have no control group with patients who did not receive delirium preventive measures, and therefore we could not add the presence or absence of delirium prevention into the multivariate model. Yet, in this study, we believe that both this delirium preventive strategy in conjunction with minimising the TAVI procedure to a PCI-like intervention explains the reduction in POD [30]. In addition to the limitation already addressed above, one must also acknowledge that the present analysis stems from a single centre observational study conducted in a tertiary referral centre. The population and findings may, therefore, not be representative for a general population of patients.

In addition to observation bias inherent to an observational study without independent adjudication of outcomes, some variables associated with POD, such as cognitive impairment and dependence in IADL activity, were not available for all patients. There were no patients in the cohort with existing diagnosis of dementia found in primary care records or records from referring hospitals. We performed a cognitive analysis in 330 out of 543; therefore, there is a chance that we underestimated the presence of mild cognitive impairment in our study cohort.

Concerning the data extracted from our CGA, a complete data set was available in 330 patients. The current study numbers are based on complete case analysis. There were no baseline differences on cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular data between those with and without CGA.

In conclusion, delirium occurs frequently after TAVI in older patients and is associated with impaired prognosis. Given the ageing of the general population, the burden of delirium post-TAVI will remain a matter of concern notwithstanding the expansion of TAVI to lower risk patients. It can be speculated whether patient selection and the minimalistic approach on TAVI might lower the incidence of delirium.

**Supplementary data:** Supplementary data are available in *Age and Ageing* online.
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