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Abstract

Aims: This study focused on the newest evidence of the relationship between forest environmental exposure and human health and assessed the health efficacy of forest bathing on the human body as well as the methodological quality of a single study, aiming to provide scientific guidance for interdisciplinary integration of forestry and medicine.

Method: Through PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library, 210 papers from January 1, 2015, to April 1, 2019, were retrieved, and the final 28 papers meeting the inclusion criteria were included in the study.

Result: The methodological quality of papers included in the study was assessed quantitatively with the Downs and Black checklist. The methodological quality of papers using randomized controlled trials is significantly higher than that of papers using non-randomized controlled trials (p < 0.05). Papers included in the study were analyzed qualitatively. The results demonstrated that forest bathing activities might have the following merits: remarkably improving cardiovascular function, hemodynamic indexes, neuroendocrine indexes, metabolic indexes, immunity and inflammatory indexes, antioxidant indexes, and electrophysiological indexes; significantly enhancing people’s emotional state, attitude, and feelings towards things, physical and psychological recovery, and adaptive behaviors; and obvious alleviation of anxiety and depression.

Conclusion: Forest bathing activities may significantly improve people’s physical and psychological health. In the future, medical empirical studies of forest bathing should reinforce basic studies and interdisciplinary exchange to enhance the methodological quality of papers while decreasing the risk of bias, thereby raising the grade of paper evidence.
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technique that restores the physical and psychological health of the human body through a “five senses experience” (vision, smell, hearing, touch, and taste) when the body is exposed to a forest environment. Forest bathing has positive effects on human physical and mental health [9, 10], especially in enhancing immunity, treating chronic diseases, regulating mood, and reducing anxiety and depression [11–14]. More benefits can be gained from exercising or meditating in a forest environment than in an urban environment [15, 16]. In recent years, although medical empirical research on forest bathing has increased gradually, its healthcare mechanism for the human body has not been clearly defined due to a lack of research results, a low level of evidence, and a disciplinary barrier. Although forestry scholars and medical scholars have carried out relevant research on forest bathing therapy, there are still some limitations due to different research focuses. (1) The theoretical basis of research varies. Medical scholars mainly take evidence-based medicine as the theoretical basis for studying the physiological and psychological stress response of the human body during exposure to the forest environment to demonstrate the health-related effects of forest bathing. Forestry scholars mainly study the health mechanism of forest environmental factors and the relationship among them based on the theory of forestry. (2) The subject of research varies. The research subject of medical scholars is the human body, through studying changes in physiological and psychological indicators to directly verify the health-related effects of forest bathing. The research subject of forestry scholars is the forest environment, through the study of forest environmental factors of different variables to indirectly prove the health benefits of forest bathing. To solve this problem, this study uses the evidence-based medicine system evaluation method to qualitatively integrate the research results. The objectives are as follows: (1) focus on the latest evidence of the relationship between forest environment exposure and human health, (2) assess the methodological quality of individual studies, and (3) provide scientific theoretical guidance for the interdisciplinary integration of forestry and medicine.

Methods
Selection criteria
(1) Interventional study on the health effects of forest bathing. (2) Number of intervening measures is less than or equal to 3. (3) Trial was carried out in a forest environment. (4) The study period of the paper was from January 1, 2015, to April 1, 2019. (5) The paper is written in English. (6) Subjects are human.

Paper search
Through computer retrieval of PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library, we screened medical empirical research papers on forest bathing published in the last 5 years, and used a citation traceability method and Google academic search for papers that needed to be supplemented. In this study, the combination of subject words and free words was adopted, and the logical character “OR” was used to link each search term to obtain final search results. Search terms are shown in Table 4 in Appendix.

Paper screening and data extraction
Paper preliminary screening was conducted independently by one researcher through reviewing titles and abstracts, and data extraction was conducted independently by two researchers. After extraction, cross-checking was conducted, and disputes were resolved through discussion or referring to third-party opinions. Data extraction includes author name, publication year, study design, participant profile, ethical review, sample size, intervention measures, control measures, measurements, and outcomes.

Quality assessment tool
The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using the Downs and Black checklist [17], which was used for quantitative evaluation of the quality of papers in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-randomized controlled trials (NRCTs). The evaluation included 27 items from 5 aspects of the paper: reporting, external validity, bias, confounding, and power. The evaluation was carried out by two researchers independently, and any disputes could be resolved through discussion or by referring to the opinions of a third party. The system evaluation report was prepared according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses [18] declaration standard.

Results
Search results
Initially, 210 papers were searched, and 17 duplicate papers and 133 irrelevant papers were removed based on title and abstract. Subsequently, we evaluated the full text and excluded 32 papers. Finally, 28 papers met the criteria for inclusion in the study. The screening process is shown in Fig. 1.

General characteristics
General characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. A total of five countries or regions, including Japan, South Korea, Poland, China, and Taiwan, have conducted empirical studies on the health effects of forest bathing [19–46], among which 27 studies were conducted in Asian countries [19–34, 36–46] and 1 study [35] in a European country. Japanese scholars had the largest number of studies, publishing 13 papers [19, 20, 22–25, 28, 31, 38, 40, 42–44], accounting for 46% of the total number of included studies, followed by
Chinese, South Korean, Taiwanese, and Polish scholars, publishing 6 papers [27, 32, 37, 39, 45, 46], 5 papers [21, 26, 29, 30, 34], 3 papers [33, 36, 41], and 1 paper [35], respectively, accounting for 21%, 18%, 11%, and 4% of the total number of included studies, respectively. Among them, there were 17 RCTs [20, 21, 24–27, 30–32, 35, 37–40, 43, 45, 46], accounting for 61% of the total number of included studies, and 11 NRCTs [19, 22, 23, 28, 29, 33, 34, 36, 41, 42, 44], accounting for 39% of the total number of included studies. The participants were dominated by healthy people, with a total of 17 studies [20, 24, 26, 29, 31, 33–38, 40, 42–46], accounting for 61% of the total number of studies included, and mostly young people aged 18–30. There were 11 studies [19, 21–23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 32, 39, 41] on people with health problems, accounting for 39% of the total number of studies, most of which were middle-aged and elderly people over 45 years old. There were 13 studies [19, 21, 24, 29–31, 33, 34, 37, 38, 40, 43, 45] with more than 50 samples, accounting for 46% of the total number of included studies, 8 studies [20, 22, 23, 25, 27, 36, 41, 44] with less than 20 samples and 7 studies [26, 28, 32, 35, 39, 42, 46] with 20–50 samples, accounting for 29% and 25% of the total number of included studies, respectively. There were 20 forest bathing studies [19, 20, 22–26, 28, 31, 33, 35–38, 40, 42–46] that lasted for 1–3 days, accounting for 71% of the total number of included studies. There were 8 forest bathing studies [21, 27, 29, 30, 32, 34, 39, 41] that lasted for more than 3 days, accounting for 29% of the total number of included studies. Most scholars have taken ethical considerations into account when carrying out research. A total of 25 studies [19, 20, 22–44] have passed the ethical review, accounting for 89% of the total included studies. This was not mentioned in 3 studies [21, 45, 46], accounting for 11% of the total number of included studies. There were 3 interdisciplinary studies [44–46], accounting for 11% of the total included studies.

**Intervention measures and control measures**

The detailed characteristics of the included research papers are shown in Tables 2 and 3. All studies take forest or urban environment exposure as the trial premise, and more than one or two intervention measures are adopted to carry out the trial, and some control measures are imposed. The interventions are mostly walking, meditation, yoga, Pilates, sightseeing, and crafts. The “five senses experience” and exercise are at the core. The control measures of each study are similar, including the following: (1) control trial time and activity space; (2) prohibit or control tobacco, alcohol, and caffeine intake; (3) prohibit or allow use of drugs and
electronic products; (4) control of accommodation and diet; (5) consideration of female physiological period factors; and (6) increase buffer time (many hours or days) in a cross-over study to prevent carryover effect.

**Evaluative measures**

The evaluative measures for the healthcare effect of forest bathing are generally divided into self-reported measures and physiological measures according to different research purposes for choosing the appropriate evaluative measures, both of which can reflect the psychological and physiological stress response of the human body. Self-reported measurement combined with physiological indicators was the largest research method and used a total of 16 studies [19, 20, 22, 23, 25–30, 32–34, 36, 37, 43], accounting for 57% of the total included studies. There are 6 studies each that only use self-reported measurement [21, 35, 40, 44–46] or physiological indicator measurement [24, 31, 38, 39, 41, 42], each accounting for 21.5% of the total included studies. Self-reported measurement is widely used because it is simple to measure and easy to conduct quantitative analysis. Currently, internationally accepted self-reported measurement has been applied in the empirical research of forest bathing. Some scholars also use a homemade scale for research [45, 46]. In physiological measures, due to the limitation of the trial environment, blood, urine, or saliva samples that require strict storage time and temperature are generally collected on the spot before and after the forest bathing, or at a place with good medical conditions according to the different testing items. Physiological indicators such as blood pressure, heart rate, pulse, and brain waves are generally measured by portable instruments.

**Physiological response**

**Cardiovascular function and hemodynamic indexes**

There were 8 studies [19, 22, 28, 29, 33, 36, 37, 39] involving blood pressure, and systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure were significantly reduced in 4 of these studies [19, 22, 33, 37], while only SBP was significantly decreased in 1 study [24], and only SBP was significantly increased in 1 study [15]. There were 4 studies [23, 28, 33, 36] in which pulse was significantly decreased. There were 3 studies [20, 25, 43] involving heart rate, which was significantly decreased in 2 studies [25, 43]. There were 7 studies [20, 25, 29, 33, 34, 38, 43] involving heart rate variability (HRV); the natural logarithmic value of the high frequency (lnHF) of HRV was significantly increased in 4 studies [20, 25, 38, 43], and the natural logarithmic value of the low frequency (lnLF)/lnHF of HRV was significantly decreased in 2 studies [38, 43]. There were 2 studies [32, 39] in which brain natriuretic peptide was significantly decreased. There was 1 study [32] in which Endothelin-1 was significantly decreased.

**Neuroendocrine indexes**

There were 3 studies [23, 27, 31] in which cortisol was significantly decreased. There were 3 studies [22, 27, 28] involving adrenaline, which was significantly decreased in 2 studies [22, 27]. There was 1 study [28] involving norepinephrine and dopamine, which were significantly decreased.

**Metabolism indexes**

There were 2 studies [28, 29] involving triglycerides, which were significantly decreased in 1 study [29]. There was 1 study [28] involving adiponectin, which was significantly increased.

**Immune and inflammatory indexes**

There were 2 studies [27, 41] involving nature killer (NK) cells, which were significantly decreased in 1 study [27]. There was 1 study [27] involving NKT-like cells, which were significantly decreased. There was 1 study [41] involving NK cell activity, which was significantly increased. There were 4 studies [26, 27, 32, 39] involving

| Table 1 General characteristics of included studies (n = 28) |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Characteristic   | Categories       | No. (%)              |
| Country or region|                  |                      |
| China            | 6 (21)           |                      |
| Korea            | 5 (18)           |                      |
| Japan            | 13 (46)          |                      |
| Poland           | 1 (4)            |                      |
| Taiwan           | 3 (11)           |                      |
| Research design  |                  |                      |
| RCT              | 17 (61)          |                      |
| NRCT             | 11 (39)          |                      |
| Participant      |                  |                      |
| Healthy people   | 17 (61)          |                      |
| People with health problems | 11 (39) | |
| Average age (years) |                  |                      |
| ≤ 18             | 1 (3.5)          |                      |
| 18 ≤ 30          | 12 (43)          |                      |
| > 30 ≤ 45        | 1 (3.5)          |                      |
| > 45             | 12 (43)          |                      |
| Age unknown      | 2 (7)            |                      |
| Sample size      |                  |                      |
| ≤ 20             | 8 (29)           |                      |
| 20 ≤ 50          | 7 (25)           |                      |
| > 50             | 13 (46)          |                      |
| Time             |                  |                      |
| 3 days ≤        | 20 (71)          |                      |
| > 3 days         | 8 (29)           |                      |
| Ethical consideration |          |                      |
| Yes              | 25 (89)          |                      |
| No               | 3 (11)           |                      |
| Interdisciplinary research |      |                      |
| Yes              | 3 (11)           |                      |
| No               | 25 (89)          |                      |
Table 2 Medical empirical research \((n = 25)\)

| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Horiuchi (2015) [19] | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | 1) Response group: Male and female participants, average age was 63.9 years \((n = 27)\). 2) Non-response group: Male and female participants, average age was 61.6 years \((n = 27)\). | Participants were exposed to forest environment and the activity was carried out for 90 min | 1) Participants were divided into 2 groups according to the changes of mean arterial pressure before and after forest bathing (>5% was the response group, <5% was the non-response group). 2) Some participants were given medications for hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hyperuricemia, and osteoporosis. 3) Smoking and caffeine were banned 12 h before the trial, and alcohol was banned 24 h before the trial. | 1) Response group: POMS: D* ↓, V* ↑, T-A* ↓, F* ↓, C* ↓, A-H* ↓. 2) Non-response group: POMS: D* ↓, V* ↑, T-A* ↓, F* ↓, C* ↓, A-H* ↓. |
| Igarashi (2015) [20] | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Female participants, average age was 46.1 years \((n = 4 \text{ or } 1)\). | After a 3-min rest, the participants sat and watched the kiwi orchard for 10 min (or the building site); after a 3-min rest, the participants sat and watched the building site (or the kiwi orchard), each group was asked to view 2 trial sites. | 1) The trial began in the summer. 2) Seventeen participants were divided into five groups. 3) Participants avoided menstruation and did not drink or smoke. 4) Lived in the suburbs. 5) The two trial sites are close to each other. | SD method: Comfortable feeling# ↑, Natural feeling# ↑, Relaxed feeling# ↑, POMS: D# ↓, V# ↑, T-A# ↓, F# ↓, C# ↓, A-H# ↓. |
| Kang (2015) [21] | RCT | Male and female participants, average age was 54.8 years \((n = 32)\). | In the morning, the trial group and control group were exposed to the forest environment and walked for 2 h in the afternoon, the trial group performed additional stretching and intensive exercises for 4 h. | 1) The trial began in late spring and lasted five days. 2) Participants selection criteria: Adults over 20 years of age with posterior neck pain for more than 3 months, and VAS grades over 4. | VA*: VA* on the first day↓, VA* on the end day↓, Cervical range of motion**, Neck disability index**, EuroQol 5D 3 L VA**, EuroQol 5D 3 L index**, McGill pain questionnaire↓, Trigger points in the posterior |
Table 2 Medical empirical research \((n = 25)\) (Continued)

| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| **Ochiai (2015a)** [22] | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | Male participants with high normal blood pressure, age range 40–72 years \((n = 9)\) | On trial day, participants were exposed to forest environment for activities and rest from 1030 to 15:05 | 1) The trial was carried out in early autumn, and the average air temperature was 21.5 °C. 2) No alcohol or conversation was allowed during the trial, and cell phones were allowed only during breaks | SD method: Comfortable feeling↑ Natural feeling↑ Relaxed feeling↑ POMS: D↓ V↓ T-A↑ F↓ C↓ A-H↓ POMS total mood disturbance↓ SBP↓ DBP↓ Urinary adrenaline levels↑ Serum cortisol levels↓ |
| **Ochiai (2015b)** [23] | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | Female participants, the average age was 62.2 years \((n = 17)\) | On trial day, participants were exposed to forest environment for activities and rest from 1032 to 15:13 | 1) The trial was carried out in summer, and the average air temperature was 21.5 °C. 2) Except for 6 participants who were taking medication to control their blood pressure, the rest of the participants had no other physical or psychological diseases. 3) No alcohol or cell phones were allowed during the trial | SD method: Comfortable feeling↑ Natural feeling↑ Relaxed feeling↑ POMS: V↓ T-A↓ F↓ V↑ POMS total mood disturbance↓ |
| **Song (2015a)** [24] | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Male participants, the average age was 21.5 years \((n = 6)\) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment and walked for 15 min, while the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked for 15 min. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial lasted for 2 days. 2) Smoking and drinking are prohibited during the trial. 3) The trial was conducted several times and a total of 92 participants participated | N/A |
| **Song (2015b)** [25] | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Male participants with hypertension or high normal blood pressure, the average age was 58 years \((n = 10)\) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment and walked for 17 min, while the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked for 17 min. Day 2, the two groups interchanged | 1) The trial lasted for 2 days. 2) When the trial was carried out, the average air temperature in the forest was 21.4 °C, and that in the city was 28.1 °C. 3) Smoking, alcohol and caffeine consumption were prohibited during the trial. | SD method: Comfortable feeling↑ Natural feeling↑ Relaxed feeling↑ POMS: D↑ V↑ T-A↓ F↓ |

Wen et al. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine (2019) 24:70
| Authors (year) | Research design (cross-over study) | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Im (2016) [26] | RCT ※ | Male and female participants, age range 18–35 years (n = 19) | In the morning, the trial group was exposed to forest environment for 2 h, while the control group was exposed to urban environment for 2 h. In the afternoon, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial began in the summer. 2) The participants had no mental illness, allergic rhinitis or bronchitis. 3) Bachelor's degree or above and live in city. 4) To avoid carryover effect, the interval between morning trial and afternoon trial was 2 h. 5) Alcohol consumption was restricted 12 h before the test, and food consumption was restricted 1 h before the test. Smoking and drinking were prohibited during the test, and electronic products were restricted. 6) All groups had the same diet | Stress response inventory: IL-6↓ IL-8↓ TNF-α↓ GPX↑ |
| Jia (2016) [27] | RCT ※ | Male and female participants with COPD (n = 10) | In the morning, the trial group was exposed to forest environment and walked for 90 min, while the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked for 90 min. Afternoon is the same as morning | 1) The trial began in the summer. 2) The participants did not have acute exacerbation. 3) Participants have the same accommodation and schedule. 4) The trial lasted for 4 days | POMS: D↓ V↑ T-A↓ F↓ C↓ A-H↓ S↓ N↓ IL-6↓ IL-8↓ TNF-a↓ IL-1β↓ CRP↓ Pulmonary and activation-regulated chemokine↓ Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1↓ Surfactant protein D↓ Cortisol↓ Epinephrine↓ |
| Li (2016) [28] | NRCT ※ | Male participants with hypertension or high normal blood pressure, age range 40–69 years (n = 19) | In the first trial, the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked 2.6 km. In the second trial, the control group was exposed to forest environment and | 1) The trial began in the summer. 2) Participants did not take any antihypertensive drugs. 3) No alcohol was allowed and the diet was the same during the trial. 4) The | POMS: D↓ V↑ T-A↓ F↓ C↓ A-H↓ SBP↓ DBP↓ Pulse rate↓ Triglycerides↓ Chol↓ LDL-Chol↓ HDL-Chol |
| Authors       | Research design        | Participants                                                                 | Intervention measures | Control measures                                                                 | Measurements and outcomes                                                                 |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bang (2017)  | NRCT ※                  | Male and female participants, the average age was 24.8 years (n = 51)       | The participants walked in the campus forest once a week for 40 min | 1) The trial began in the autumn. 2) The trial lasted for 6 weeks. 3) The trial group received extra messages of encouragement during the trial and attended a stress management seminar | Health-promoting Lifestyle profile II: Total↑, Responsibility for health↑, Physical activity↑, Healthy nutrition↑, Social relations↑, Spiritual growth↑, BDI score↓, SBP ↑, DBP↑, Cho↓, HDL-Cho↓, LDL-Cho↑, Triglycerides↓, Bone density↑, Body Mass Index↑, Percent of body fat↑, InL/F↓, mH↑, Parasympathetic nerve activity↑ |
| Chun (2017)  | RCT※ +                  | Male and female participants with chronic stroke, the average age was 60.8 years (n = 30) | The trial group was exposed to forest environment for meditation and walking. The control group was exposed to urban environment for meditation and walking | 2) The trial lasted for 4 days | BDI score↓, Score of 17-item version of the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale↓, STAI score↓, Reactive oxygen metabolites↓, Biological antioxidant potential↑ |
| Kobayashi    | RCT (cross-over study)※ | Male participants, the average age was 21.7 years (n = 12)                  | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment, while the control group was exposed to urban environment. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial began in the summer and early autumn. 2) The trial lasted for 2 days. 3) 34 forests and cities were selected for the trial, and a total of 34 trials were carried out | N/A, Salivary cortisol concentration↓ |
| Mao (2017)   | RCT※                    | Male and female participants with chronic heart failure, age range 65–80 years (n = 23) | The trial group and control group were exposed to forest and urban environment, respectively, and walked for 1.5 h in the morning and afternoon | 1) The trial began in the summer. 2) The trial lasted for 5 days. 3) All groups had the same diet. 4) Smoking, drinking alcohol and caffeinated beverages were prohibited during the trial. 5) Medication taken | POMS: D*↓, V↓, T-A*↓, F↓, C↓, A-H*↓, BNP↓, N-terminal pro-BNP↑, Endothelin-1↑, ANGII↑, ANGII receptor type 1↑, ANGII receptor type 2*↑, Angiotensinogen↓, IL-6↑ |
| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Yu (2017) [33] | NRCT (before-after study) || normally during the trial | | | |
| Bang (2018) [34] | NRCT (before-after study) || | | | |

| | | Participant | Control group | | | |
| | | age range 45–86 years (n = 128) | N/A | | | |
| | | Male and female participants | | | | |
| | | participants were recruited at the gate of the forest park and walk a total of 2.5 km | | | | |
| | | The trial group allocated 30 min for the lecture and 60 min for the forest activities, while the control group took only indoor classes | | | | |

1) The trial began in the summer. 2) Smoking, drinking alcohol and caffeinated beverages were prohibited during the trial.

1) Trial group
2) Control group
3) Children with medical treatment and contraindications to exercise were excluded

1) Trial group
2) Control group

| | | Self-report measures | Physiological measures |
| | | | | |
| | | TNF-α↓ | CRP↓ |
| | | Total superoxide dismutase↑ | Malondialdehyde↓ |
| | | Pulse rate ↑ | SBP ↑ |
| | | DBP↓ | lnHF↓ |
| | | lnLF/lnHF↑ | |
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| Authors       | Research design | Participants                                      | Intervention measures                                                                 | Control measures                                                                 | Measurements and outcomes                                                                 |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bielinis     | RCT※            | Male (n = 18) and female (n = 13) participants, the average age was 21.45 years | The trial group was exposed to the forest environment (deciduous broad-leaved forest) and watched the scenery for 15 min, while the control group was exposed to the urban environment and watched the scenery for 15 min | 1) The trial began in the winter. 2) No talking with each other during the trial | Positive and negative affect schedule: Positive*↑ Negative↓ POMS: D↓ V↑ T-A↓ F↓ C↓ A-H↓ Restorative Outcome Scale scores*↑ Subjective Vitality Scale scores*↑ |
| Chen         | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | Female participants, age range 36–62 years (n = 16) | Day 1, participants were exposed to forest environments for walking. Day 2, participants were exposed to forest environments and made handicrafts | 1) The average air temperature during the trial was 13.8 °C. 2) participants had the same accommodation and diet. 3) Smoking and stimulant foods were prohibited during the trial | Pulse rate↓ SBP↓ DBP↓ Salivary α-amylase↓ |
| Hassan       | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Male and female participants, age range 19–24 years (n = 30) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment and walked for 15 min, while the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked for 15 min. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The average air temperature on the first day was 22 °C, and the average air temperature on the second day was 27 °C. 2) The trial lasted for 2 days. 3) Participants had the same accommodation and diet | STAI scores↓ SD method: Comfortable feeling↑ Natural feeling↑ Relaxed feeling↑ Relaxation scores↑ Attention scores↑ |
| Kobayashi    | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Male and female participants, age range 19–29 years (n = N/A) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment and walked for 15 min, while the control group was exposed to urban environment and walked for 15 min. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial was carried out in 57 cities and forest areas. 2) The trial lasted for 2 days. 3) The total number of participants was 684, and the numbers of participants from trial group or control group were different in every | lnHF↑ InLF/lnHF↓ |
| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Mao (2018) [39] | RCT※ | First trial, male and female participants with chronic heart failure (n = 23) Second trial, male and female participants with chronic heart failure (n = 10) | Male and female participants with chronic heart failure (n = 10) | The trial group was exposed to forest environment, while the control group was exposed to urban environment | Trial: 1) The trial was carried out twice, the first time in late summer for 5 days, and the second time in early autumn for 5 days. 2) No alcohol or tea was allowed during the trial | N/A | BNP*↓, IL-6↓, TNF-α*↓, Total superoxide dismutase*, Malondialdehyde*↓, SBP↓, DBP↓ |
| Song (2018) [40] | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Male participants, average age was 21.7 years (n = 6) | Male participants, average age was 21.7 years (n = 6) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to forest environment, while the control group was exposed to urban environment. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial was conducted in the summer from 2005 to 2013 and lasted for 2 days at a time. 2) The study was conducted in 52 urban and forest areas with a total of 585 participants. 3) Smoking and drinking alcohol were prohibited, and limited caffeine intake | POMS: D*↓, V*↑, T-A*↓, F*↑, C*↓, A-H*↓ | N/A |
| Tsao (2018) [41] | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | Male and female participants, the average age was 60.4 years (n = 11) | N/A | Participants were exposed to forest environment and walked 15 h in the morning and afternoon (in two different forests) | 1) The trial began in the winter. 2) The trial lasted for 5 days. 3) The participants had no diabetes, cardiovascular disease or other major diseases. 4) Diet control began 10 days before the trial | N/A | NK cells↑, NK cells activity↑ |
| Wang (2018) [42] | NRCT (before-after study) ※ | Male and female college students (n = 22) | N/A | The participants carried out a 2 to 3-day forest trip | 1) The trial was conducted in the fall of 2015, 2016 and 2017. 2) Participants had the same diet. 3) Smoking, coffee and tea were not allowed during the trial | N/A | 1) Day after the trial: Urinary hydrogen peroxide*↓, Urinary 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine*↓ 2) One week after the test: Urinary hydrogen peroxide*↓, Urinary 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine*↓ |
| Song (2019) [43] | RCT (cross-over study) ※ | Female participants, the average age was 21 years (n = 6) | Female participants, the average age was 21 years (n = 6) | The participants walked in urban or forest environment for 15 min (about 1 km) | 1) The trial was conducted in late summer and early autumn of 2014, 2015 and 2017. 2) The trial was conducted in 6 different urban and forest environments with a total of 108 participants. 3) Smoking, coffee and tea were not allowed during the trial | POMS: D*↓, V*↑, T-A*↓, F*↑, C*↓, A-H*↓, lnHF*↑, lnLF/lnHF*↓, Heart rate*↓ | N/A |
| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements and outcomes |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
|               |                 | Trial group  | Control group         |                 | Self-report measures    |
|               |                 |              |                       |                 | Physiological measures  |
| Trial group   | Control group   |              | 72 participants       |                 | 3) Smoking, drinking alcohol was prohibited, and limited caffeine intake |
|               |                 |              |                       |                 | SD method: Comfortable feeling↑† |
|               |                 |              |                       |                 | Natural feeling↑†        |
|               |                 |              |                       |                 | Relaxed feeling↑†        |

*Significant intra-group differences
†Significant inter-group differences

n, sample size; ↑, indicators rise; ↓, indicators decline; ※, has passed ethical review; +, illustrates the grouping method; ANGI, Angiotensin II; A-H, anger and hostility; BDI, Beck depression inventory; BNP, Brain natriuretic peptide; C, confusion; Cho, total cholesterol; COCP, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; D, depression; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; F, fatigue; HRV, heart rate variability; HDL, High density lipoprotein; IL, Interleukin; LDL, low density lipoprotein; lnHF, the natural logarithmic value of the high frequency of heart rate variability; lnLF, the natural logarithmic value of the low frequency of heart rate variability; NK, Nature killer; NKT, Nature killer T; NRCT, non-randomized controlled trial; POMS, profile of mood states; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, semantic differential; STAI, state-trait anxiety inventory; T-A, tension and anxiety; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; V, vigor; VAS, visual analog scale
| Authors | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements | Physiological measures | Forest inventory | Outcomes |
|---------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Takayama (2017) [44] | NRCT (cross-over study) | 1) Male and female participants, the average age was 40.2 years ($n=9$). 2) Sparse forest environment | The trial group was exposed to a sparse forest environment and sat quietly for 15 min, while the control group was exposed to a dense forest environment and sat quietly for 15 min, and then the two groups exchanged environments | The trial began in the summer. 2) The trial lasted for 4 days. 3) Alcohol was banned 24 h before the trial and caffeine was banned 12 h before the trial. 4) All subjects did not have a history of cardiovascular disease and psychosis, and did not take medications that could affect their psychology. 5) The interval between the trial in different environments was 10 min. | Positive and Negative Affect Schedule: Positive↑ Negative *↓ POMS: D↑* ↓ V↑ T-A↓ F↓ C↓ A-H↓ Perceived Restorativeness Scale: Compatibility scores↑ Restorative Outcome Scale scores↑ | N/A | Stand density |
| | | | | | | | | Temperature↑ |
| | | | | | | | Relative humidity↑ |
| | | | | | | | Wind velocity↑ |
| | | | | | | | Radiant heat↑ |
| | | | | | | | Illuminance↑ |
| | | | | | | | Sound pressure↑ |
| Guan (2017) [45] | RCT | 1) Male and female participants, the average age was 22 years ($n=20$). The environment is birch forest (*Betula platyphylla* Suk). 2) Male and female participants, the average age was 21.6 years ($n=23$). The environment is maple forest (*Acer triflorum*). 3) Male and female participants, the average age was 21.6 years ($n=26$). The environment is oak forest | The participants were exposed to the forest environment, first taking a tree-measuring course for 20 min, and then enjoying 40 min of private time | 1) The trial began in the spring. 2) All participants had no history of cardiovascular disease, allergic symptoms, or mental illness. 3) High-intensity activities, smoking and drinking were prohibited during the trial | Homemade scales: Anxiety caused by employment pressure (birch forest)*↓ Anxiety caused by study interest (maple forest)*↑ Anxiety caused by lesion satisfaction (oak forest)*↓ | N/A | Height of tree |
| | | | | | | | Diameter at breast height |
| | | | | | | | Canopy length |
| | | | | | | | Canopy cover rate |
| | | | | | | | Density |

1) Both sparse forest and dense forest had recovery effect on the participants, but the participants evaluated the sparse forest environment more positively. 2) Strengthening forest structure management can improve the healing effect of forest environment on human body.
| Authors (year) | Research design | Participants | Intervention measures | Control measures | Measurements | Physiological measures | Forest inventory | Outcomes |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Zhou (2019) [46] (cross-over study) | RCT | Male and female participants, age range 19–23 years \( n = 24 \) | Day 1, the trial group was exposed to urban forest park, while the control group was exposed to suburban forest parks. Day 2, the two groups interchanged environments | 1) The trial began in the winter. 2) The trial lasted for 2 days | Homemade scales (anti-anxiety score): Finance state\(*^\uparrow\) Exam-pass pressure\(*^\downarrow\) Campus life\(*^\downarrow\) Love affair relationship\(*^\uparrow\) | N/A | Canopy density Diameter at breast height Plant species | 1) The forest richness of suburban forest park is higher than that of urban forest park. 2) Suburban forest park can alleviate interpersonal anxiety in participants more than urban forest parks |

\*Significant intra-group differences  
\#Significant inter-group differences  
\^n, sample size; \(*^\uparrow\), indicators rise; \(*^\downarrow\), indicators decline; N/A, no report; \(*^\downarrow\), has passed ethical review; A-H, anger and hostility; C, confusion; D, depression; F, fatigue; NRCT, non-randomized controlled trial; POMS, profile of mood states; RCT, randomized controlled trial; T-A, tension and anxiety; V, vigor
Interleukin (IL)-6, which was significantly decreased in 2 studies [27, 32]. There were 2 studies [26, 27] involving IL-8, which was significantly decreased. There were 3 studies [26, 32, 39] involving tumor necrosis factor-alpha, which was significantly decreased in 2 studies [26, 39]. There were 3 studies [27, 28, 32] involving C-reactive protein, which was significantly decreased in 1 study [27]. There was 1 study [27] involving IL-1β, Interferon-γ, pulmonary and activation-regulated chemokine, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 and surfactant protein D, which were all significantly decreased.

**Antioxidant indexes**

There was 1 study [26] involving glutathione peroxidase, which was significantly increased. There was 1 study [30] involving biological antioxidant potential, which was significantly increased. There was 1 study [42] involving 8-hydroxy-2′ deoxyguanosine and hydrogen peroxide, which were significantly decreased. There were 2 studies [32, 39] involving total superoxide dismutase, which was significantly increased in 1 study [32]. There were 2 studies [32, 39] involving malondialdehyde, which was significantly decreased.

**Electrophysiological indexes**

There was 1 study [37] involving electroencephalogram, high alpha brain waves and high beta brain waves, which were significantly increased, and the degree of relaxation of the human body was significantly increased.

**Psychological outcomes**

**Emotional states**

There were 14 studies [19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43, 44] involving the emotional states of humans. Among them, “depression,” “tension-anxiety,” “fatigue,” “confusion,” and “anger-hostility” scores were significantly decreased in 11 studies [19, 20, 25, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 40, 43, 44], 13 studies [19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43], 9 studies [19, 20, 25, 28, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43], 11 studies [19, 20, 22, 25, 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43], and 11 studies [19, 20, 22, 25, 27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43] respectively. There were 10 studies [19, 20, 23, 25, 28, 33, 35, 36, 40, 43] in which the “vigor” score was significantly increased. In addition, 2 studies [35, 44] showed that forest bathing significantly increased positive emotions and decreased negative emotions.

**Attitudes and feelings towards things**

There were 6 studies [20, 22, 23, 25, 37, 43] involving people’s attitudes and feelings towards things: “comfortable,” “relaxed,” and “natural” scores were significantly increased in 5 studies [20, 23, 25, 37, 43], 6 studies [20, 22, 23, 25, 37, 43], and 6 studies [20, 22, 23, 25, 37, 43], respectively.

**Levels of anxiety and depression**

There were 6 studies [30, 33, 36, 37, 45, 46] in which levels of anxiety were significantly decreased. There were 3 studies [29, 30, 34] in which levels of depression were significantly decreased.

**Degree of physical and psychological recovery**

There were 2 studies [21, 26] involving the degree of physical recovery, in which somatic symptoms were significantly decreased. There were 2 studies [35, 44] in which the degree of psychological recovery and mental health were significantly increased.

**Adaptive behavior**

There were 2 studies [29, 34] involving adaptive behavior, and the “self-esteem” score was significantly increased in 1 study [34], and the “health promoting behavior” score was significantly increased in 1 study [29].

**Comprehensive study**

The study of the comprehensive health care effect of forest bathing on the human body is still at the primary stage, and the health care mechanism has not been fully proved. It is general practice to assume that forest bathing has positive effects on the physical or psychological health of a certain group of people (such as cardiovascular disease patients, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients, the subhealth population, etc.) and to verify whether this hypothesis is valid. The autonomic nervous system that plays a mediating role in the stress response of various systems has attracted the attention of researchers. Based on the data of the 28 papers included in this study, the InHF of HRV can reflect parasympathetic activity, and the InLF/InHF of HRV, urinary adrenaline and norepinephrine can reflect sympathetic activity [22, 38]. When participants were exposed to walking in the forest environment, the cerebral cortex was in a relaxed state, parasympathetic activity increased (InHF increased), and sympathetic activity decreased (InLF/InHF, urinary adrenaline and norepinephrine decreased) [20, 25]. Cardiovascular function and hemodynamic index, neuroendocrine index, metabolism index, immune and inflammatory index, antioxidant index, and electrical physiological indexes of the human body, emotional state, attitudes and feelings towards things, physiological and psychological recovery degree, and adaptive behavior of the human body were significantly improved. Levels of anxiety and depression were significantly decreased. Song et al. [24] found that high initial values in parameters such as blood pressure and pulse rate in participants were decreased after walking in the forest environment, while participants with lower initial values had the opposite effect. Participants who walked in urban environments did not experience this
phenomenon. This indicates that the physiological effect will vary depending on the initial value of the participant, and the forest has a physiological regulation effect close to the appropriate level of the human body, which is not completely caused by the exercise itself. Horiuchi et al. [19] also indicated that the healing effect of forest bathing has nothing to do with the energy expenditure during walking. The health benefits of forest bathing are shown in Fig. 2.

Quality assessment
For methodological quality assessment of papers based on the Downs and Black checklist, of the 28 papers included in the study, 16 [21, 24, 26, 27, 29–32, 34, 35, 38, 39, 41, 44–46] were of high quality and 12 [19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 28, 33, 36, 37, 40, 42, 43] were of low quality (Fig. 3). Among the 16 high-quality papers, there were 12 [21, 24, 26, 27, 30–32, 35, 38, 39, 45, 46] with RCT and 4 [29, 34, 41, 44] with NRCT. The methodological quality of papers using RCT is significantly higher than that of papers using NRCT (p < 0.05) (Fig. 4). On the whole, the quality of papers designed with RCT was higher than those with NRCT. In terms of the generation of random sequences, only 1 paper [30] used computer-generated random codes with a low risk of bias. None of the following was mentioned or carried out in the papers: (1) return visit; (2) blind method for intervention practitioners, participants, or data analysts; (3) explain the compliance with the intervention or control measures; and (4) participants who were lost to follow-up were included in the study or carried out the intention-to-treat analysis.

Discussion
Studies on the health effects of forest environment exposure on the human body are gradually increasing. Currently, there are two main mainstream models. One is the forest bathing model, which advocates subhealthy people and sick people going into the forest for activities which generate a healing effect through forest environmental factors. Forest bathing can regulate blood pressure, reduce blood glucose, regulate endocrine activity, relieve mental disorders, fight cancer, boost immunity, and treat respiratory diseases [3, 47–52]. In recent years, increasing numbers of forest bathing trial studies have been conducted on people with chronic diseases, such as patients with hypertension or high-normal blood pressure [22, 25, 28, 30, 53], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients [27], chronic heart failure [32, 39], and chronic stroke [30]. The second is horticultural therapy, which guides sick people into the natural environment and relieves diseases caused mainly by mental stress.
(excessive tension, panic, insomnia, etc.) through communication with people, making crafts, and gardening activities. Others include pain and sports injuries such as mild hemiplegia, lower body paralysis, and cognitive impairments such as speech disorders, spatial identification disorders, memory disorders, attention disorders, and illogicality [54, 55]. The similarities between forest bathing and horticultural therapy are as follows: (1) They are complementary therapies and cannot replace drugs. (2)

They are a healing method to restore the health of the human body through the “five senses experience.” The difference between forest bathing and horticultural therapy are as follows: (1) Their medical categories are different. Forest bathing belongs to the category of preventive medicine, which is mainly aimed at subhealthy people, and the prevention of diseases is its main purpose. Horticultural therapy belongs to the category of rehabilitation medicine, which is mainly aimed at eliminating and reducing dysfunction of the human body, and making up and rebuilding the function of the human body is its main purpose. (2) Their core content is different. The main content of forest bathing is to exercise or meditate in the forest environment, using the forest environmental factors to promote human physical and psychological health. Horticultural therapy is more focused on hand-brain coordination, emphasizing contact with natural things and gaining satisfaction through work. In view of this, different populations should choose appropriate healthcare models. Some scholars [36] combined the 2 healthcare models and achieved very good results.

Based on the data of the 28 papers included in this study, forest bathing has a significant role in promoting human physiology and mental health. Past methods using physiological and self-report measures to distinguish between physiological and psychological research are no longer feasible. The boundaries between the two

---

**Fig. 3** Quality appraisal of included studies using a Downs and Black checklist

**Fig. 4** Score of RCT (n = 17) and NRCT (n = 11), means ± SD, *p < 0.05, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
are becoming increasingly blurred. The mainstream research method in the future will be systematic study of physiological measures combined with self-report measures. For example, in the study of the recovery of physical symptoms or the relief of physiological pain, health effects can be shown by physiological indicators, but self-report measures (such as visual analog scale [21], stress response inventory [26], etc.) can also be used for evidence. Research on the regulation of the human emotional state can use self-reported measures for proof, and physiological measures can also be used for evidence (such as HRV [25, 33, 38], brain wave activity [37], and skin electricity [53]). Although the forest environment has obvious effects on the health of the human body and has achieved certain research results, there are still some problems: (1) Lack of basic theoretical research and multidisciplinary communication. At present, most studies are based on qualitative or quantitative analysis of evidence-based medicine, lacking basic theoretical research of forestry. Medical scholars lack guidance from forestry scholars, relying on subjective or instantaneous forest environmental factor data to determine whether a particular forest has health benefits and environmental factors that lead to increased risk of bias. Forest scholars lack guidance from medical scholars, and the trial participants are mostly healthy young people, most of whom fail to consider ethical issues and measure physiological indicators. Some scholars [45, 46] conduct small sample studies with homemade scales that fail to pass the reliability and validity test, and the evidence is not convincing enough. (2) The risk of bias in the papers is relatively high. Overall, in the 28 papers included in the study, the random sequence generation, the allocation concealment, and the application of blinded methods are important sources of bias. Loss to follow-up, reported adverse events, and intervention-measures or control-measure compliance are the secondary sources of bias. The forest environment is also one of the potential sources of bias.

Interdisciplinary communication between forestry and medicine is an important measure to reduce the bias caused by environmental factors. The forest environment mainly affects human health through “five senses experience,” relying on the synergistic effect between a series of forest environmental factors (such as phytoncide, negative air ions, oxygen, and forest microclimate). These environmental factors have significant seasonal, diurnal, and regional variations. The tree species composition and color and forest density are also important influencing factors and can affect human health, especially mental health. Forest environmental factors in individual studies show that phytoncide with antioxidant and antiseptic enhance immunity function [51, 56]. Air negative ions have the effect of increasing parasympathetic activity, relieving depression, and lowering blood glucose [57–59]. The forest microclimate can improve human thermal comfort and reduce heat stress [60, 61]. A large area of green in the forest can bring a sense of security and calm and significantly reduce anxiety and negative emotions [62]. Comprehensive analysis of the forest environment and dynamic monitoring of key environmental factors are important to judge the potential health benefits of the forest and reveal the healthcare mechanism of forest bathing. This has important guiding significance for the formation of industry standards and the establishment of a forest bathing base.

Reducing the risk of bias is an urgent problem to be solved in medical empirical research of forest bathing, for example, RCT, a method of random sequence generation which should be described in detail. The study of low bias risk should use random number tables, computer software for random number generation, flipping a coin, rolling dice, shuffling cards, or envelopes, etc., rather than odd and even numbers, date of birth, subjective assignment, etc. In forest bathing trials, it is complicated to assign concealment and apply a blind method to participants and personnel. If trial conditions are limited, a crossover study can be added to reduce the risk of bias, but the length of the washout period should be considered to avoid a carryover effect. Blind methods should be applied to data collectors and outcome assessors to reduce the risk of bias, as conditions permit. Generally, participants are subjectively more inclined to participate in the forest bathing group than the control group. If the guide introduces too much information about the healthcare efficacy of forest bathing, this may give the participants psychological hints, which may increase the risk of bias. Due to the small number of forest bathing test samples and relatively short trial time, the proportion of participants lost to follow-up is small. In case of follow-up loss, the risk of bias can be reduced by estimating the missing data and conducting intention-to-treat analysis. Adverse events such as snake bites, pollen allergies, falls, and bruises were rarely mentioned in the forest bathing study. Adverse events during the trial should be explained in the paper. Compliance with intervention or control measures is also rarely mentioned in forest bathing studies, especially for forest bathing activities greater than one day. Participant compliance with intervention measures such as walking, making crafts, meditating, and taking classes, as well as compliance with restrictions or prohibitions on the use of electronic products, communication, caffeine intake, smoking, and drinking, should be explained.
Conclusion
Forest bathing activities may significantly improve people's physical and psychological health. In terms of medical empirical studies on forest bathing, the methodological quality of RCTs is significantly higher than that of NRCTs. In the future, medical empirical studies of forest bathing should reinforce basic studies and interdisciplinary exchange to enhance the methodological quality of papers while decreasing the risk of bias, thereby raising the grade of paper evidence.

Appendix

Table 4 Search words (subject word and random word)

| Intervention                     | Outcome       | Combined terms |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1) Forest bathing/               | 17) Health care/ | 37) AND 16 AND 36 |
| 2) Forest nature convalescent/   | 18) Healing/   |                |
| 3) Forest therapy/               | 19) Therapy/   |                |
| 4) Shinrin-yoku/                 | 20) Recover/   |                |
| 5) Forest travel/                | 21) Vigor/     |                |
| 6) Forest walking/               | 22) Spirit/    |                |
| 7) Forest yoga/                 | 23) Pressure/  |                |
| 8) Forest/                      | 24) Depression/|                |
| 9) Forest meditation/            | 25) Anxiety/   |                |
| 10) Forest environment/          | 26) Brain wave/|                |
| 11) Forest areas/                | 27) Pulse/     |                |
| 12) Phytoncide/                 | 28) Heart rate/|                |
| 13) Negative air ions/           | 29) Blood pressure/ |          |
| 14) Negative oxygen ions/        | 30) Blood glucose/ |           |
| 15) Oxygen/                     | 31) Saliva/    |                |
| 16) OR 1 OR 2 OR 3 OR 4 OR 5 OR 6 OR 7 OR 8 OR 9 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12 OR 13 OR 14 OR 15 | 32) Inflammatory factor/ |          |
| 17) OR 18 OR 19 OR 20 OR 21 OR 22 OR 23 OR 24 OR 25 OR 26 OR 27 OR 28 OR 29 OR 30 OR 31 OR 32 OR 33 OR 34 OR 35 | 33) Immune/ |          |
| 18) OR 19 OR 20 OR 21 OR 22 OR 23 OR 24 OR 25 OR 26 OR 27 OR 28 OR 29 OR 30 OR 31 OR 32 OR 33 OR 34 OR 35 | 34) Hormonal readiness/ |          |
| 19) OR 20 OR 21 OR 22 OR 23 OR 24 OR 25 OR 26 OR 27 OR 28 OR 29 OR 30 OR 31 OR 32 OR 33 OR 34 OR 35 | 35) Skin conductance/ |          |
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