Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA) Combined with Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) for Patients with Medium-to-Large Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Retrospective Analysis of Long-Term Outcome
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Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of radiofrequency ablation (RFA) plus transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients with tumor size ranging from 3.0 to 10.0 cm.

Material/Methods: We retrospectively analyzed data on 201 patients with medium-to-large HCC. According to treatment procedure, the patients were divided into the TACE group (n=124) and the TACE+RFA group (n=77). We recorded data on patient safety, subcapsular hepatic hematoma, large amount of ascites, liver abscess, gallbladder injury, and local skin infection. The overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in the 2 groups were analyzed and compared between groups.

Results: The median PFS was 4.00 months (3.00–5.00 months) in the TACE group and 9.13 months (6.64–11.62 months) in the TACE+RFA group (P<0.001). Median OS was 12.00 months (8.88–15.13 months) in the TACE group and 27.57 months (20.06–35.08 months) in the TACE+RFA group (P<0.001). In the TACE+RFA group, multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that tumor size £5 cm) (HR: 1.952, 95% CI: 1.213–3.143, P=0.006), hepatitis B (HR: 2.323, 95% CI: 1.096–4.923, P=0.028), TACE times (1 or >1) (HR: 1.867, 95% CI: 1.156–3.013, P=0.011), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level >200 ng/ml (HR: 2.426, 95% CI: 1.533–3.839, P<0.001), and AST level >40 U/L (HR: 1.946, 95% CI: 1.196–3.166, P=0.007) were independent prognostic factors for overall survival.

Conclusions: Combination therapy of TACE with RFA is a safe and effective treatment for patients with medium-to-large HCC, with the long-term beneficial effect of retarding tumor progression and improving PFS and OS.
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Background

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading cause of cancer-related death globally [1] and especially in China [2–4]. TACE is widely accepted as the an effective treatment method in patients with intermediate HCC [5,6]. The median 2-year survival for subjects treated by TACE is 60% vs. 27% for cases treated with supportive care [7]. However, the frequent development of local tumor recurrence and impaired liver function reserve after conventional TACE result in unsatisfactory long-term prognosis. Moreover, repeated TACE can upregulate the expression of VEGF, which promoted tumor angiogenesis and recurrence [8].

Clinical management guidelines regard RFA as an effective treatment modality for early-stage HCC [9,10]. RFA and microwave ablation (MWA) have been shown as a potential curative treatment modality for HCC measuring larger than 3 cm [11–14]. Yin et al. reported that RFA or MWA achieved a complete ablation rate more than 90% with 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates of 75.8%, 30.9%, and 15.4%, respectively [11]. Pusceddu et al. assessed 15 patients (33.3%) diagnosed with medium HCC measuring 3–5 cm and 13 patients (28.9%) with large HCCs measuring >5 cm who were treated with RFA, finding that complete ablation was achieved in 80% of patients with medium-sized tumors and in 53.8% of patients with large tumors (p=0.03) [14], suggesting that RFA can be extended to treat medium and large tumors. However, the complete response rate dropped to 45–70% for medium-sized HCCs (3.1–5.0 cm) and was only 23–45% for large HCCs (>5.0 cm) [15]. The monotherapy by RFA may pose the risk of tumor relapse for the patients with relatively large HCC [16].

Recent studies have shown that RFA combined with TACE can have a synergistic effect on HCCs [17,18]. Meta-analyses showed that TACE in combination with RFA significantly improved local tumor control and overall survival rates compared with TACE or RFA alone for patients with HCC larger than 3 cm [17–19]. However, most studies reported the short-term outcomes of the involved patients with HCC ≤7 cm [20,21] and few studies investigated the prognostic factors of RFA in combination with TACE for patients with HCC.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prognostic factors of RFA in combination with TACE for patients with medium-to-large HCCs, as well as the risk factors affecting the safety, PFS, and OS.

Material and Methods

Patients

All the included HCCs were diagnosed according to AASLD guidelines [22]. This study included patients treated in our department from Feb 2009 to May 2016. Only patients who met the following inclusion criteria were enrolled: age 18–80 years; tumors at BCLC A or B stage, with at least 1 tumor measuring 3–10 cm and the total number of tumors less than 4; patients with well-compensated cirrhosis but unsuitable for or declined surgical resection; KPS >70; liver function of Child-Pugh A or B; adequate hematologic function; and complete clinical follow-up.

The exclusion criteria were: BCL C or D stage; cardiac, pulmonary, cerebral, and renal dysfunction; history of another malignancy.

This work was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lishui Central Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all the included subjects.

TACE and RFA procedures

All patients underwent an initial evaluation of tumor arteries after a hepatic arterial angiography via a 5-Fr Rosch hepatic catheter (Cook, Bloomington, IN, USA). A 2.7-Fr micro-catheter (Progreat; Terumo, Japan) was carefully inserted into the tumor-feeding arteries for embolization, infusing an emulsion of 10 ml iodized oil mixed with 10 mg epirubicin/50 mg oxaliplatin. The injected dose of emulsion was determined by the tumor size and vascularity of the tumors (from 5 to 30 ml). Once complete stasis of tumor arterial blood flow was achieved, we stopped the infusion of iodized oil emulsion, followed by additional embolization using 350–560 or 560–710 µm gelatin sponge particles (Alicon Pharm Science and Technology Co., HangZhou, China) or 300–500 or 500–700 µm polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). Successful embolization was determined when no contrast staining in the tumor was identified on the post-embolization angiography.

In the TACE alone group, contrast-enhanced CT scans or MRI were performed 1 month after chemoembolization. If viable lesions were identified, repeat TACEs (2–4 times) were performed, followed by contrast-enhanced CT or MRI examinations.

In the TACE+RFA group, RFA was applied to patients with less than 3 viable lesions or a primary viable tumor ≤5 cm in size after contrast-enhanced CT or MRI. RFA was exclusively carried out after cTACE, and the time interval (usually 7–15 days) between the 2 treatments was determined based on liver function, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) score, and patient intention to treatment.

The RFA technique was as described in a previous study [23] Briefly, an RF electrode with an active tip that can induce a 5-cm ablation zone (RITA STARBURST XL; RITA Medical Systems, Mountain View, USA) was used for patients who had a lesion
size of less than 5 cm; otherwise, an electrode with an active tip that can induce a 7-cm ablation zone (RITA STARBURST XL; RITA Medical Systems, Mountain View, USA) was used for patients with lesion sizes >5 cm. Overlap ablations were allowed to cover the whole tumor mass to achieve an adequate safety margin of 0.5–1.0 cm (Figure 1).

Clinical follow-up

Outpatient clinic visits were scheduled at 1, 2, and 3 months after treatments and every 3 months thereafter. Symptoms associated with post-treatment complications was assessed, serum AFP level and liver function and whole blood cells were tested, and contrast-enhanced CT scans or MRI were performed. Repeated TACE or RFA was performed when an enhanced viable tumor foci or tumor recurrence was identified on follow-up MRI or CT imaging (Figure 2). Patients were followed up by either telephone survey or our electronic medical chart system.

Treatment effect evaluation

Residual “viable tumor” tissue was defined as the arterially enhancing tissue within the treated hepatocellular carcinoma using the evaluation criteria as proposed by AASLD, which is a modified RECIST criteria (mRECIST) [24]. The safety profile of RFA and TACE for the patients was defined using the criteria proposed by the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) [25]. The post-treatment morbidities that required a higher level of care or resulted in a substantially longer hospital stay (>48 h) were regarded as the major complications according to the guidelines proposed by SIR24.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Survival data comparison was made by log-rank test and demonstrated by survival curves. Risk factors relevant to survival were analyzed by Cox regression test. Two-tailed P<0.05 was deemed as a statistically significant difference.

Results

From Feb 2009 to May 2016, a total of 201 patients (183 men and 18 women; mean age, 56.83±11.18 years; range, 23–80 years) were included for evaluation. Table 1 summarizes the clinical and imaging characteristics of the patients. Seventy-seven subjects received TACE alone and 124 patients underwent TACE plus RFA.

For the patients treated with TACE alone, 31 patients showed decreased or stable tumors 4–6 weeks after the first TACE and 7 patients showed complete necrosis. For the 124 patients treated with TACE+RFA, a total of 207 TACE procedures (average 1.7 times per case) and 140 RFA procedures (average 1.13 times per case) were performed, within an average time interval of 13.83 days (1–62 days) between TACE and RFA. Positive response or stable disease was found 91.9% of patients (114/124) at 4–6
weeks after the first RFA. Complete ablation was achieved in 89 patients after the first session of combination treatment and in 3 patients who received the repeat treatment, resulting in an overall complete ablation rate of 74.2% (92 of 124 patients). For patients with tumor size ≤5 cm, complete ablation was achieved in 88.6% (62/70) of patients, whereas only 55.6% of individuals with a tumor size of 5–10 cm had complete ablation (30/54, \( \chi^2 = 17.355, P < 0.001 \)).

Safety of the combination therapy

There were no significant differences in liver function and whole blood cell counts between the pre-RFA and 1-week post-RFA. Major complications after RFA occurred in 4.8% (6/124) of patients, including subcapsular hepatic hematoma (n=3), a large amount of ascites (n=2), liver abscess (n=1), gallbladder injury (n=1), and local skin infection (n=1). The complications were resolved with appropriate medications and there were no procedure-related deaths.

Overall survival and local tumor progression

We followed up 201 patients for a median time period of 21.4±16.1 months (range 1–77.2 months), and 44 patients were still alive at the time of data collection.

The 1-, 3-, and 5-year PFS were 11.9% vs. 43.2%, 0 vs. 18.0%, and 0 vs. 9.5% in TACE group and the TACE+RFA group. The median PFS was 4.00 months (3.00–5.00 months) in TACE group and 9.13 months (6.64–11.62 months) in the TACE+RFA group (\( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 3).

The 1-, 3-, 5-year OS rates were 48.1% vs. 76.2%, 6.5% vs. 37.1%, and 0 vs. 16.4% between the TACE group and the TACE+RFA group. Median OS was 12.00 months (8.88–15.13 months) in the TACE group and 27.57 months (20.06–35.08 months) in the TACE+RFA group (\( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 4).
Univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to identify the prognostic factors for overall survival of patients: age (≤60 or >60), sex, hepatitis B status (active or non-active), cirrhosis, history of surgery, tumor size (≤5 cm or 5–10 cm), number of nodules (1 or more than 1), Child-Pugh stage, BCLC stage, times of TACE (1 or multiple), RF ablation (completely or incompletely ablated), AFP (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200), ALT (U/L) (≤40 or >40), and AST (U/L) (≤40 or >40). The results demonstrated that tumor size (P=0.007), times of TACE (1 or multiple) (P=0.015), AFP level (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200) (P=0.001), AST level (U/L) (≤40 or >40) (P=0.008), and cirrhosis (P=0.051) were the significant prognostic factors. The number of nodules (1 or more than 1) (P=0.152), RF ablation (complete or uncomplete) (P=0.165), and HBV infection status (active or non-active) (P=0.123) were unfavorable prognostic factors (Table 2).

The independent factors identified above were assessed by multivariate Cox proportional regression analysis. The results confirmed that tumor size (≤5 cm or 5–10 cm) (HR: 1.952, 95% CI: 1.213–3.143, P=0.006), hepatitis B status (HR: 2.323, 95% CI: 1.096–4.923, P=0.028), TACE times (1 or multiple) (HR: 1.867, 95% CI: 1.156–3.013, P=0.011), AFP level (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200) (HR: 2.426, 95% CI: 1.533–3.839, P<0.001), and AST level (U/L) (≤40 or >40) (HR: 1.946, 95% CI: 1.196–3.166, P=0.007) were significant prognostic risk factors.

Patients with smaller tumor sizes (≤5 cm) had much better survival than those with large tumor sizes (5–10 cm), (Figure 5). Patients who received TACE+RFA had longer survival compared to those with recurrent tumors (Figure 6). The 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-year post-ablation survival rates were significantly higher in subjects with a pre-ablation AFP level ≤200 ng/mL than in those with an AFP level >200 ng/mL (Figure 7). Patients with ≤40 U/L AST had longer 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-year survival rates compared with those with AST >40 U/L (Figure 8).

### Table 1. The demographic information and clinical characteristics of the patients.

| Characteristic                        | TACE+RFA | TACE | P  |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------|----|
| Age                                   | 0.972    |      |    |
| ≤60                                   | 76       | 47   |    |
| >60                                   | 48       | 30   |    |
| Sex                                   | 0.649    |      |    |
| Male                                  | 112      | 71   |    |
| Female                                | 12       | 6    |    |
| HBV infection                         | 0.326    |      |    |
| Yes                                   | 107      | 70   |    |
| No                                    | 17       | 7    |    |
| Cirrhosis                             | 0.138    |      |    |
| Yes                                   | 76       | 39   |    |
| No                                    | 48       | 38   |    |
| History of surgery                    | 0.253    |      |    |
| Yes                                   | 20       | 8    |    |
| No                                    | 104      | 69   |    |
| Tumor size                            | <0.001   |      |    |
| ≤5 cm                                 | 70       | 16   |    |
| 5–10 cm                               | 54       | 61   |    |
| No. nodules                           | 0.027    |      |    |
| One                                   | 73       | 33   |    |
| More                                  | 51       | 44   |    |

### Prognostic factors of OS

Univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to identify the prognostic factors for overall survival of patients: age (≤60 or >60), sex, hepatitis B status (active or non-active), cirrhosis, history of surgery, tumor size (≤5 cm or 5–10 cm), number of nodules (1 or more than 1), Child-Pugh stage, BCLC stage, times of TACE (1 or multiple), RF ablation (completely or incompletely ablated), AFP (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200), ALT (U/L) (≤40 or >40), and AST (U/L) (≤40 or >40). The results demonstrated that tumor size (P=0.007), times of TACE (1 or multiple) (P=0.015), AFP level (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200) (P=0.001), AST level (U/L) (≤40 or >40) (P=0.008), and cirrhosis (P=0.051) were the significant prognostic factors. The number of nodules (1 or more than 1) (P=0.152), RF ablation (complete or uncomplete) (P=0.165), and HBV infection status (active or non-active) (P=0.123) were unfavorable prognostic factors (Table 2).
Prognostic factors of PFS

Univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of the following factors on progression-free survival: age (≤60 or >60), sex, hepatitis B status (active or non-active), cirrhosis, history of surgery, tumor size (≤5 cm or 5–10 cm), number of nodules (1 or more than 1), Child-Pugh stage, BCLC stage, times of TACE (1 or multiple), RF ablation (completely or incompletely ablated), AFP (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200), ALT (U/L) (≤40 or >40), and AST (U/L) (≤40 or >40). The results demonstrated that HBV infection (HR: 0.560, 95% CI: 0.334–0.936, P=0.027), TACE times (1 or more than 1) (HR: 0.624, 95% CI: 0.417–0.933, P=0.021), pre-ablation AFP level (HR: 0.550, 95% CI: 0.349–0.866, P=0.010), and AST (HR: 0.610, 95% CI: 0.407–0.915, P=0.017) were prognostic factors for PFS (Table 3).

Multivariate Cox regression analysis confirmed that hepatitis B status (HR: 0.209, 95% CI: 0.107–0.408, P=0.029), TACE times (1 or more than 1) (HR: 1.646, 95% CI: 1.081–2.507, P=0.020), AFP level (ng/ml) (≤200 or >200) (HR: 1.732, 95% CI: 1.136–2.639, P=0.011), and AST level (U/L) (≤40 or >40) (HR: 1.741, 95% CI: 1.144–2.650, P=0.010) were independent prognostic factors for prognosis.

Discussion

The management for HCC with tumor diameter more than 3.0 cm or multiple HCCs remains a major clinical challenge. Previous studies have shown a low tumor necrosis rate in HCC patients treated with TACE or RFA alone [11,26]. Our study demonstrated that a complete ablation rate of 74.2% (92 of 124 patients) was achieved in patients with medium-to-large HCCs treated with TACE plus RFA. In the patients with tumor size ≤5 cm, the complete ablation rate was 88.6%, as compared to the patients with the tumor size of 5–10 cm, who had a complete ablation rate of 55.6%. Our study also shows that the median PFS was 4.0 months (3.00–5.00) in the TACE group and 9.13 months (6.64–11.62) in the TACE+RFA group (P<0.001). Median OS was 12.00 months (8.88–15.13) in the TACE group and 27.57 months (20.06–35.08) in the TACE+RFA group (P<0.001). Patients with small-sized tumors (≤5 cm) treated with combination therapy had much better OS than those with larger tumors (5–10 cm). Cox proportional univariate and multivariate analyses identified tumor size, hepatitis B, TACE times, AFP level, and AST as the significant prognostic factors affecting overall survival.

The results of our study are consistent with those of previous studies, showing that tumor size was an important factor that influences OS after RFA [3,11,15,27,28]. Applying many sessions of TACE for treating patients with large tumors would not benefit the patients with complete necrosis of the tumor, but would rather lead to deterioration of liver function and increased VEGF. In the current clinical guidelines, RFA is not recommended for treating the tumors larger than 5 cm, but some authors have reported that RFA can be used to treat large tumors of up to 7 cm [11,15]. However, combination therapy may offer some hope for these patients by enlarging the complete necrosis of tumor. El-Kady et al. included 8/8 (100%) patients with medium HCC and successfully treated with TACE+RFA and 9/12 (75%) patients with larger lesions that were successfully ablated. After 6 months, 83.3% of patients in the TACE+RFA group maintained the ablated status [12]. In our study, 55.6%
of patients with large tumors (5–10 cm) achieved complete ablation. The patients in our study were initially treated with TACE exclusively using microcatheters, which are useful for embolizing all the tumor-feeding vessels, with the outcome of reducing the tumor size and increasing the success rate of RFA. In addition, TACE (1–3 times) prior to RFA can induce partial necrosis of large lesions and decrease the volume of a viable tumor, thus facilitating complete ablation [28]. TACE prior to RFA is helpful for identifying the micro-metastatic foci due to the contrast staining of tumor vessels or lipiodol deposit, which are usually neglected by contrast-enhanced CT or MRI. Our study suggests that combination therapy is suitable for large lesions. However, the 1- and 3-year post-ablation survival rates were 66.5% and 28.0%, respectively, and the overall survival rate was still not ideal, suggesting that further study is needed. Lin et al. found no significant differences in overall survival and local tumor progression rates between medium-to-large tumors and in patients with tumors of BCLC stages A, B1, and B2 [15], which may be due to the 58 medium-sized and 17 large-sized tumors included in their study.

Numerous studies have reported a relationship between the serum AFP level and the prognosis of HCC [27–30]. The results of our study are consistent with that of the study by Yin et al. [11], which showed that patients with AFP \( \leq 200 \text{ ng/mL} \) had significantly better survival than those with a pre-ablation AFP >200 ng/mL, with 5- and 6-year survival rates of 24.7% vs. 4.8% and 16.5% vs. 0%, respectively, and a median survival time of 35.07 months vs. 15.60 months. Sohn et al. found that the predictive factors for early recurrence were high levels of serum AFP, a large tumor size, and nonresponse to the last TACE [30], suggesting that patients with high AFP have

| Table 2. Univariate and multivariate cox model for OS and PFS (this analysis was done in the TACE plus RFA group). |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| HR | P     | HR | P     | HR | P     | HR | P     |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age (\( \leq 60, >60 \)) | 1.115 (0.704–1.765) | 0.642 | 1.137 (0.753–1.718) | 0.540 |
| Gender (Male, female) | 0.718 (0.367–1.406) | 0.334 | 0.679 (0.369–1.250) | 0.214 |
| Hepatitis B (yes, no) | 0.626 (0.345–1.136) | 0.123 | 2.323 (1.096–4.923) | 0.028 | 0.560 (0.335–0.936) | 0.027 |
| Cirrhosis (yes, no) | 0.637 (0.405–1.003) | 0.051 | 0.744 (0.494–1.120) | 0.156 |
| History of surgery | 1.036 (0.585–1.835) | 0.904 | 2.089 (1.078–4.048) | 0.029 |
| Tumor size (\( \leq 5 \text{ cm}, 5–10 \text{ cm} \)) | 0.523 (0.328–0.8351) | 0.007 | 1.952 (1.213–3.143) | 0.006 | 0.746 (0.493–1.129) | 0.166 |
| No. nodules (one, more) | 1.391 (0.885–2.187) | 0.152 | 0.962 (0.636–1.454) | 0.854 |
| Child-Pugh stage | 1.486 (0.650–3.397) | 0.348 | 1.216 (0.599–2.466) | 0.589 |
| BCLC stage (A, B) | 0.952 (0.584–1.553) | 0.844 | 1.000 (0.643–1.556) | 0.998 |
| TACE times (1, >1) | 0.577 (0.370–0.898) | 0.015 | 1.867 (1.156–3.013) | 0.011 | 0.624 (0.417–0.933) | 0.021 |
| Complete ablation (yes, no) | 0.685 (0.402–1.168) | 0.165 | 0.884 (0.551–1.419) | 0.610 |
| AFP (ng/mL) (\( \leq 200, >200 \)) | 0.419 (0.256–0.685) | 0.001 | 2.426 (1.533–3.839) | <0.001 | 0.550 (0.349–0.866) | 0.010 |
| ALT (U/L) (\( \leq 40, >40 \)) | 0.965 (0.614–1.518) | 0.878 | 1.038 (0.690–1.560) | 0.859 |
| AST (U/L) (\( \leq 40, >40 \)) | 0.537 (0.340–0.849) | 0.008 | 1.946 (1.196–3.166) | 0.007 | 0.610 (0.407–0.915) | 0.017 |
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Higher tumor invasiveness and are more susceptible to post-treatment tumor recurrence within a short period of time, leading to a poor prognosis.

Sohn et al. found that the clinical outcomes of RFA for recurrent HCCs after TACE were inferior to those of the first-treated tumors [30], suggesting that overall survival of HCC patients who received RFA after TACE may be associated with the pathophysiologic features of tumors. We hypothesized that the repeated TACE or surgeries change the biological behavior of tumors and the sensitivity of tumors to subsequent treatments, thus potentially resulting in poor prognosis.

Figure 5. Patients with smaller tumor sizes (≤5 cm) had much better OS than those with large tumor sizes (5–10 cm).

Figure 6. Patients who received TACE+RFA as the primary treatment had markedly longer OS compared with patients treated for recurrent tumors.

Figure 7. The 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-year post-ablation survival rates were significantly higher in patients with a pre-ablation AFP level ≤200 ng/mL than in those with a pre-ablation AFP level >200 ng/mL.

Figure 8. Patients with ≤40 U/L AST had longer 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-year survival rates compared with those with AST >40 U/L (87.3% vs. 67.1%, 71.5% vs. 46.5%, 49.4% vs. 26.5%, 23.0% vs. 11.8%, and 15.4% vs. 0%).
Table 3. The overall survival and progression free survival of the two group of patients.

|       | OS     |       | PFS    |       |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|       | TACE   | TACE+RFA | TACE   | TACE+RFA |
| 1y    | 48.1%  | 76.2%  | 11.9%  | 43.2%  |
| 2y    | 19.5%  | 57.9%  | 1.3%   | 31.1%  |
| 3y    | 6.5%   | 37.1%  | 0      | 18.0%  |
| 4y    | 1.3%   | 21.5%  | 0      | 12.6%  |
| 5y    | 0      | 16.4%  | 0      | 9.5%   |

Our study found that complete ablation had no definite association with overall survival, which is consist with one reported study [31], but is in the conflict with the study reported by Lin et al., which showed that a complete response to RFA was the only significant factor affecting the OS [15]. However, Nakazawa et al. reported a result different from that of ours, in which a complete response to ME-RFA was significantly associated with local tumor progression [32], which may be due to the different demographic characteristics of patients.

Yin, et al. [11] reported that the tumor recurrence after hepatectomy, incomplete tumor ablation, and pre-ablation AFP level predicted poor prognosis. The study by Zhao et al. [33] indicated that the survival of HCC was associated with pathology type, tumor numbers, clinical stages, and Child-Pugh score. Ke et al. [34] reported that tumor size, ablative margin, serum AFP level, and number of ablation sessions to achieve a imaging-confirmed complete ablation were the significant factors predictive of OS. Peng et al. [35] found that tumor size and tumor number were the significant prognostic factors of OS. Sohn et al. [30] reported that the factors relevant to prognosis were Child-Pugh class and serum AFP concentration. However, our study demonstrated that tumor size, hepatitis B status, TACE times (1 or more than 1), and AFP and AST levels were independent prognostic factors relevant to prognosis. The differences between the results of our study and previous studies may be due to the patient selection and tumors numbers. Additionally, we found that patients with or without a history of liver surgery had the same OS, suggesting that patients with a postoperative recurrence may have the same therapeutic results. Xie et al. [36] reported that lesion number was the prognostic factor most strongly affecting the post-RFA prognosis, but our study found no significant association between the prognosis and tumor numbers, which may be due to the inclusion of different numbers of patients with a maximum of 4 tumor nodules.

This work had several limitations. Firstly, this study was retrospectively designed, which inevitably entails patient selection bias. Secondly, we included different numbers of patients with newly diagnosed HCC or recurrent HCCs after other treatments, such as TACE or surgical resection, which may have influenced the comparison between the 2 groups of patients with TACE alone and TACE+RFA. Thirdly, more patients in the TACE alone group had HCC >5 cm than in the TACE+RFA group (p<0.001). This significant difference in tumor size between the 2 groups may have contributed to bias in the result of survival analysis.

Conclusions

Combination therapy of TACE plus RFA for patients with medium-to-large hepatocellular carcinoma is safe and effective, and this combination therapy can delay tumor progression and improve PFS and OS. Tumor size, TACE times, AFP, and AST level, and HBV infection status are independent risk prognostic factors for overall survival.
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