Comparison of Four-Dimensional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Analysis of Left Ventricular Fluid Dynamics and Energetics in Ischemic and Restrictive Cardiomyopathies
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Background: Time-resolved three-directional velocity-encoded (4D flow) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) enables the quantification of left ventricular (LV) intracavitary fluid dynamics and energetics, providing mechanistic insight into LV dysfunctions. Before becoming a support to diagnosis and patient stratification, this analysis should prove capable of discriminating between clearly different LV derangements.

Purpose: To investigate the potential of 4D flow in identifying fluid dynamic and energetics derangements in ischemic and restrictive LV cardiomyopathies.

Study Type: Prospective observational study.

Population: Ten patients with post-ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM), 10 patients with cardiac light-chain cardiac amyloidosis (AL-CA), and 10 healthy controls were included.

Field Strength/Sequence: 1.5 T/balanced steady-state free precession cine and 4D flow sequences.

Assessment: Flow was divided into four components: direct flow (DF), retained inflow, delayed ejection flow, and residual volume (RV). Demographics, LV morphology, flow components, global and regional energetics (volume-normalized kinetic energy [KEV] and viscous energy loss [ELV]), and pressure-derived hemodynamic force (HDF) were compared between the three groups.

Statistical Tests: Intergroup differences in flow components were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); differences in energetic variables and peak HDF were tested by two-way ANOVA. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: ICM patients exhibited the following statistically significant alterations vs. controls: reduced KEV, mostly in the basal region, in systole (−44%) and in diastole (−37%); altered flow components, with reduced DF (−33%) and increased RV (+26%); and reduced basal–apical HDF component on average by 63% at peak systole. AL-CA patients exhibited the following alterations vs. controls: significantly reduced KEV at the E-wave peak in the basal segment (−34%); albeit non-statistically significant, increased peaks and altered time-course of the HDF basal–apical component in diastole and slightly reduced HDF components in systole.
Data Conclusion: The analysis of multiple 4D flow-derived parameters highlighted fluid dynamic alterations associated with systolic and diastolic dysfunctions in ICM and AL-CA patients, respectively.

Level of Evidence: 2
Technical Efficacy Stage: 3

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the reference standard imaging technique for morphofunctional assessment and noninvasive tissue characterization of cardiac diseases. It offers the possibility to acquire multiple sequences, providing insight into different and complementary aspects of left ventricular (LV) function: morphology and global/regional kinetics by cine sequences, tissue characterization by late gadolinium enhancement (LGE), T1 and T2 mapping, intracavitary blood fluid dynamics via 2D phase-contrast MRI, and, more recently, via time-resolved phase-contrast MRI with three-directional velocity encoding (4D flow). With suitable post-processing, the latter potentially allows for the comprehensive quantification of LV fluid dynamics, including those parameters related to the inherent 3D nature of LV blood flow: flow components subdivision, energy distribution, pressure gradients, and hemodynamic forces (HDFs). 4D flow is increasingly employed in clinical practice and research; multiple studies suggest that LV morphology, tissue composition, wall contractility, and compliance are tightly linked to intracavitary fluid dynamics. Thus, the quantification of fluid dynamic derangements associated with LV myocardial diseases can improve the mechanistic understanding and interpretation of disease progression.

According to the etiology of LV cardiomyopathy, the pattern of chamber remodeling may differ. For instance, ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM), secondary to coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction, is the most common type of dilated cardiomyopathy and is characterized by myocardial scarring, progressive chamber dilation, and impaired systolic function. Differently, light-chain cardiac amyloidosis (AL-CA) is a restrictive cardiomyopathy and the most serious form of amyloid involvement of the heart, marked by extracellular deposition of monoclonal kappa or lambda light chains. AL-CA is a predominant diastolic dysfunction associated with wall thickening, slowed LV relaxation, increased myocardial stiffness, and reduced compliance.

Given the expected interplay between LV wall function and intracavitary flow, we hypothesized that different LV pathological conditions, such as ICM and AL-CA, may exhibit a different pattern of intracavitary flow derangements.

Previous studies have already employed 4D flow to assess hemodynamic derangements associated with pathological LV conditions. Garg et al. showed that post-ischemic patients have reduced global LV kinetic energy (KE), and LV impairment strongly associated with the reduction of systolic KE, together with a delay in the systolic KE peak, is also present in post-ischemic patients with preserved ejection fraction (EF). For ICM patients, Stoll et al. reported a remarkable decrease of the direct-flow component (DF, blood that enters and exits the LV in the same cardiac cycle) compared to controls, and this decrease in DF corresponded to a similar increase in the residual volume (RV, blood that remains in the LV for at least two cardiac cycles) component compared to controls. According to Arvidsson and coworkers, patients with heart failure and LV mechanical dyssynchrony exhibited significantly altered HDF patterns compared to normal subjects. In a heterogeneous cohort of heart failure patients, Eriksson et al. identified specific HDF derangements associated with left bundle branch block conduction abnormality.

However, although previous studies demonstrated the relevance of specific 4D flow parameters, no study has investigated LV flow components, energetics, and pressure-driven HDFs as complementary and interdependent aspects of LV fluid dynamics. Furthermore, to date, LV fluid dynamic derangements associated with AL-CA remain unexplored through 4D flow.

Hence, the aims of this study were to: 1) investigate the potential of 4D flow to identify derangements in fluid dynamic features specifically associated with ICM and AL-CA as examples of depressed LV systolic function and altered LV diastolic compliance, respectively, and 2) evaluate if the combined analysis of multiple fluid dynamic features provides extra insight into the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

The study conformed to the Ethical Guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki; all subjects provided written informed consent.

Ten ICM patients, 10 AL-CA patients, and 10 controls with no history of cardiac disease and no cardiovascular risk factors were included in the study.

ICM was defined based on the history of previous anterior myocardial infarction, LV dilation, and systolic dysfunction (LV ejection fraction, i.e., EF below 45%) assessed by 2D echocardiography and MRI; severe aortic or mitral valvulopathies were excluded. Cardiac involvement in AL-CA patients was defined according to the established consensus criteria. All patients had a biopsy-proven diagnosis of systemic amyloidosis and amyloid deposits were typed with immune-electron microscopy or proteomics. A complete clinical, echocardiographic, and laboratory assessment was performed. Also, 2D echocardiography was performed following

J. MAGN. RESON. IMAGING 2022;56:1157–1170.
standard protocols; cardiac involvement was defined in case of increased LV wall thickness (>12 mm) or normal LV thickness with diastolic dysfunction and raised serum biomarkers.23

Blood samples for hematocrit were obtained at the time of MRI.

MRI Acquisitions
All subjects underwent MRI at 1.5 T using a MAGNETOM Aera (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) scanner. A balanced steady-state free precession (b-SSFP) cine sequence with retrospective ECG gating was used to acquire short-axis (SAx) and long-axis (LAx, i.e., horizontal long-axis [HLA], vertical long-axis [VLA], and LV outflow tract [LVOT] views) LV views with the following parameters: TE (Echo Time) = 1.1–1.8 msec, TR (Repetition Time) = 2.0–5.9 msec (segments = 14–16), slice thickness = 8 mm (no gap), pixel spacing = 1.25–1.65 mm, and reconstructed temporal resolution = 22–46 msec (reconstructed phases = 30). ICM and AL-CA patients were administered with a bolus of gadobutrol (0.1 mmol/kg, Gadovist, Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany). No contrast agent was used in controls.

In the same session, 4D flow images were acquired using a prototype time-resolved 3D gradient echo sequence with three-directional velocity encoding a parasagittal-oriented field of view covering the entire LV. 4D flow was performed during free-breathing with retrospective ECG-triggering and adaptive respiratory gating. The following scan parameters were implemented: velocity encoding = 80–150 cm/sec to limit aliasing, flip angle = 7° (without contrast) to 15° (with contrast), TE = 2.3–2.8 msec, TR = 4.8–5.2 msec (segments = 2), voxel size = (2.0 × 2.0 × 2.0) to (2.8 × 2.8 × 2.8) mm³, reconstructed temporal resolution = 22–65 msec, and reconstructed phases = 20 (9 subjects) or 30 (21 subjects). 4D flow data were corrected for eddy currents and aliasing. All patients were in sinus rhythm during MR acquisition.

Image Analysis
LV volumes, mass, and EF were calculated from b-SSFP cine images using a thresholding method in Medis (Qmass MR v6.2.1, Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands) by an expert cardiologist (S.P., 15 years of experience). Also, an expert operator (A.R., 4 years of experience) employed b-SSFP images to reconstruct dynamic LV masks as in a previous work24; further details are available in Appendix S1 in the Supplemental Material. In LAx views, the tip and base of each papillary muscle (PM; Fig. 1a) and mitral and aortic annular points were traced at end diastole and automatically tracked over the cardiac cycle (Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material) on LAx views, i.e., HLA, VLA, and LVOT views. PMs were used to define LV basal, mid-cavity, and apical regions for regional analysis (Fig. 1b).25

LV Flow Analysis
For each condition, LV flow subdivision, LV energetics in terms of KE and viscous energy loss (EL), and LV HDF were quantified (Table 1); A.R. oversaw the entire process of computation.

FLOW COMPONENTS. The intraventricular blood flow was automatically divided into four components using cvi62 (Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Canada) according to Eriksson et al.26 (Fig. S2 in the Supplemental Material): 1) DF, blood that enters the LV during diastole and leaves during systole in the same heartbeat; 2) retained inflow (RI), blood entering the LV but not leaving in the analyzed heartbeat; 3) delayed ejection (DE) flow, blood already in LV during diastole that leaves during systole; 4) RV, blood that resides within the LV for at least two cardiac cycles. The volume of the flow components was expressed as a fraction of LV end-diastolic volume.

INTRAVENTRICULAR ENERGETICS. KE and EL (the portion of KE irreversibly lost due to frictional viscous forces) were computed for each LV region and for the whole chamber.22 At each time frame, blood KE, i.e., the energy that a volume of blood possesses due to its motion, was computed as the sum of \( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \) for each voxel, where \( \rho \) is the mass of blood in one voxel and \( v \) is the velocity magnitude in each voxel.27

HEMODYNAMIC FORCE. The pressure gradient \( (\mathbf{b}) \) was computed from the Navier–Stokes equation.28 The HDF vector was calculated for every time frame by integrating \( \mathbf{b} \) over the entire LV volume and was then projected onto three mutually orthogonal directions (Fig. S3 in the Supplemental Material): 1) basal–apical (HDFbasal–apical), perpendicular to the atrioventricular plane and
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**FIGURE 1:** (a) Illustration of vertical long-axis (VLA, two-chamber) LV view with anatomic landmarks for the selection of basal (mitral valve to papillary muscle [PM] tips), mid (PM tips to PM base), and apical (below PM base) regions; (b) MR appearance of PMs from VLA view and subsequent regional subdivision.
TABLE 1. Summary of the Computed Fluid Dynamic Parameters

| Parameters             | Definition                                                                 | Implication on Pathophysiology                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Flow subdivision (%)  | Distribution of separate flow transit components: Direct flow, Retained inflow, Delayed ejection flow, Residual volume | Alteration of the repartition of flow components may prove useful as a subclinical marker of impaired chamber function. |
| Energetics KE (J)    | Kinetic energy associated with blood motion                                  | Altered KE indicates an altered intracavitary blood mean velocity, hence an alteration in the capability of LV myocardium to accelerate/decelerate blood. Yet, KE does not reflect the direction/organization of blood motion. |
| EL (J)                | Part of KE dissipated due to blood viscosity (frictional viscous forces) and the presence of velocity gradients in bulk of the intracavitary blood flow. | Alteration of EL may be related to abnormal vortical structures or less energy-efficient blood transportation. |
| Hemodynamic force (N) | Resultant load exerted by the intracavitary blood on the myocardial wall due to pressure gradients. The opposite of this vector (action–reaction law) reflects the net transfer of momentum from the LV wall to the intracavitary blood | Derangements in force direction or magnitude may reflect the imbalance or the lack of synchronicity of the contraction of different regions of the myocardial wall (in systole), or of their compliance (in diastole). |

defined from apex and mitral landmarks on the HLA view; 2) septal–lateral (HDF_{septal–lateral}), parallel to the LVOT view; and 3) inferior–anterior (HDF_{inferior–anterior}), perpendicular to the septal–lateral and basal–apical directions. The root mean square (RMS) values of HDF_{septal–lateral}, HDF_{inferior–anterior} and HDF_{basal–apical} were computed over the cardiac cycle. The three values were combined to derive the ratio between the RMS of the transversal and basal–apical components of the HDF (R_{BAM}) (further details are available in Appendix S1 in the Supplemental Material).

For all calculations, blood was assumed to be a non-Newtonian fluid with density equal to 1025 kg/m$^3$ and viscosity $\mu$ dependent on patient-specific hematocrit and shear rate, i.e., the velocity gradient between adjacent layers of blood. The opposite of this vector (action–reaction law) reflects the net transfer of momentum from the LV wall to the intracavitary blood.

At each time-point, KE, EL, and HDF were normalized to the current LV volume to account for the potentially confounding effect of heart size. Region-specific (i.e., basal, mid, and apical) KE and EL values were normalized to the current volume of the corresponding region.

Analysis of Interoperator Variability

Our analyses are characterized by two manual steps of the processing pipeline: 1) the manual initialization of the semiautomatic segmentation of the LV endocardium on cine MR images, and, when needed, 2) the manual corrections of the LV endocardial contour yielded by the automated tracking algorithm. These steps can impact the binary masks defined in the 4D flow data to identify the LV chamber. We assessed their combined impact via interobserver variability analysis of the binary masks obtained upon segmentation by two independent and double-blinded operators (A.R. and F.S., 4 and 9 years of experience, respectively) of the data of 15 randomly selected subjects. Also, peak values of KEV and HDF, computed based on the different binary masks, were statistically compared. Further details are reported in Appendix S1 in the Supplemental Material.

Statistical Analysis

Demographic and standard MRI measures are reported as mean ± SD. Normality of the distribution of continuous data was assessed through the Shapiro–Wilk test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test was used to compare the three groups in terms of flow components. Changes in energetic variables and in HDF peak values were assessed using two-way ANOVA.

In the interoperator analysis, the Dice Score Coefficient (DSC) was computed to compare the LV binary masks obtained by the two independent operators; also, linear regression was adopted for KE and HDF components computed based on different LV masks.

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA); a P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of the three groups are detailed in Table 2; no statistically significant difference in age was noticed...
(P = 0.055; 95% CI: 54–63 in controls, 59–70 in ICM and 61–72 in AL-CA). ICM patients had significantly greater LV volumes and lower EF with respect to both controls and AL-CA patients; AL-CA patients had significantly lower stroke volume (SV) compared to control subjects.

**Flow Components**

Compared to controls, the overall partition in flow components in AL-CA patients was preserved, while ICM subjects showed significantly reduced DF and DE and significantly increased RI and RV compared to both AL-CA subjects and controls (Figs 2 and 3, Video S1 in the Supplemental Material).

**LV Energetics**

**TIME-DEPENDENT KEV.** In controls, the time-course of KEV showed a peak during systole, a peak during the early rapid filling phase of diastole (E-wave), and a subsequent small but clearly separated peak, corresponding to atrial contraction (A-wave) (Fig. 4a). In ICM patients, the global systolic peak was significantly reduced (Table S1 in the Supplemental Material), and the physiological two-peak diastolic pattern was lost (Fig. 4b). AL-CA patients showed a comparable global systolic peak vs. controls (P = 0.92; Fig. 4c, Table S1 in the Supplemental Material); however, a significant reduction in the amplitude of the diastolic E-wave peak was observed (Table S1 in the Supplemental Material).

The mid and basal regions were the principal contributors to global KEV (Fig. 4, top panel). In ICM patients, both local contributions were significantly reduced vs. controls in terms of systolic and E-wave peaks. In AL-CA patients, a reduction (P = 0.04) in KEV was noticed in the basal region for E-wave peak (Table S1 in the Supplemental Material).

**TIME-AVERAGED KEV.** Global KEV was significantly different between systole and diastole, and there were significant intergroup differences (Fig. 5a). In particular, systolic KEV was significantly decreased in both ICM and AL-CA compared to controls.

In systole, significant inter-region differences in KEV were detected within each group as well as between groups (Fig. 5b). In particular, KEV in the basal region was significantly reduced in ICM patients compared to controls and AL-CA patients.

In diastole (Fig. 5c), there were significant inter-region differences. Despite negligible intergroup differences

---

**TABLE 2. Complete Study Population Characteristics**

|                      | Controls (N = 8) | ICM (N = 10) | AL-CA (N = 10) | P-value | Tukey P-value |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------|---------------|
|                      |                 |              |                |         | C vs. ICM     | C vs. AL-CA | ICM vs. AL-CA |
| Age (years)          | 58 ± 7          | 64 ± 8       | 67 ± 8         | 0.055   | 0.192         | 0.052       | 0.784         |
| BSA (m²)             | 1.9 ± 0.2       | 2.0 ± 0.2*   | 1.7 ± 0.2      | 0.009   | 0.329         | 0.160       | 0.007         |
| Sex (F, %)           | 3 (30)          | 1 (10)       | 6 (67)         | 0.058   | —             | —           | —             |
| Heart rate (bpm)     | 67 ± 12         | 66 ± 13*     | 80 ± 10        | 0.036   | 0.973         | 0.079       | 0.048         |
| LV EDV_i (mL/m²)     | 67 ± 12**       | 124 ± 34*    | 54 ± 12        | <0.0001 | <0.0001       | 0.385       | <0.0001       |
| LV ESV_i (mL/m²)     | 21 ± 5**        | 86 ± 35*     | 20 ± 9         | <0.0001 | <0.0001       | 0.991       | <0.0001       |
| LV SV_i (mL/m²)      | 46 ± 8          | 38 ± 10      | 34 ± 9         | 0.019   | 0.133         | 0.016       | 0.588         |
| LV EF (%)            | 69 ± 5**        | 32 ± 10*     | 64 ± 13        | <0.0001 | <0.0001       | 0.455       | <0.0001       |
| CI (L/min/m²)        | 3.0 ± 0.6       | 2.5 ± 0.7    | 2.7 ± 0.7      | 0.207   | 0.183         | 0.542       | 0.735         |
| LV mass_i (g/m²)     | 61.9 ± 9.8***   | 94.5 ± 19.9  | 96.3 ± 30.6    | 0.002   | 0.007         | 0.004       | 0.981         |
| Hematocrit (%)       | 43 ± 3          | 40 ± 6       | 39 ± 5         | 0.114   | 0.295         | 0.109       | 0.836         |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

AL-CA = light-chain cardiac amyloidosis; BSA = body surface area; bpm = beats per minute; C = controls; ICM = ischemic cardiomyopathy; LV = left ventricle; EDV = end-diastolic volume; ESV = end-systolic volume; SV = stroke volume; EF = ejection fraction; CI = cardiac index.

Two-way ANOVA for repeated measures (Tukey multiple comparisons test):

**P < 0.05 vs. ICM;
**

*P < 0.05 vs. AL-CA.
VISCOS ENERGY LOSS ($\text{EL}_V$). In each group, global $\text{EL}_V$ was comparable between systole and diastole ($P_{\text{phase}} = 0.074$). Yet, in both phases, significant intergroup differences were observed (Fig. 5d). Post hoc analysis highlighted that these were particularly evident between ICM patients and controls: in ICM patients, the median $\text{EL}_V$ value was reduced by 52% and 48% in systole and diastole, respectively.

When focusing on regional $\text{EL}_V$, significant inter-region differences were observed in systole and in diastole; in both phases, $\text{EL}_V$ increased when moving from the apex to the mid and to the basal regions. Also, significant intergroup differences were observed in both systole and diastole on a region-specific basis (Fig. 5e,f). In both phases, $\text{EL}_V$ in the basal zone was significantly lower with respect to controls in ICM and AL-CA patients.

Hemodynamic Force

In controls, the time-course of group-averaged HDF components (Fig. 6a) showed a major and steep peak in HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ and a concomitant peak in HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$ in systole. Accordingly, HDF was tilted toward the LVOT (Fig. 7b) due to the comparable magnitude of the HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ and HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$ components. In diastole, E-wave and A-wave peaks were clearly visible in HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$. Concomitantly, the magnitude of HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$ and HDF$_{\text{inferior–anterior}}$ remained negligible, thus maintaining the HDF vector aligned with the LV long-axis.

Qualitative assessment of the mean time-course of group-averaged HDF components suggested that in ICM patients the magnitude of each HDF component was smaller than in controls over the whole cardiac cycle (Fig. 6b). However, the intergroup statistical analysis of HDF components at specific time-points provided a different indication (Table S2 in the Supplemental Material): at peak systole, a statistically meaningful reduction in each HDF component was observed (by 60% in HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$, 58% in HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ and 54% in HDF$_{\text{inferior–anterior}}$). In diastole, instead, a statistically significant reduction vs. controls was observed only in E-wave peak of HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$; the reduction in the A-wave peak of HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ was not statistically significant ($P = 0.06$). No statistically significant alteration in HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$ nor in HDF$_{\text{inferior–anterior}}$ was observed at the E-wave and at the A-wave peaks. As a result, in systole, the HDF vector remained aligned with LVOT despite the depressed magnitude; in diastole, it was not aligned with the LV long-axis (Fig. 7c). These quantitative results were confirmed by the intergroup statistical analysis of HDF orientation, as quantified by the $R_{\text{RMS}}$ ratio (Fig. 8, Table S3 in the Supplemental Material): $R_{\text{RMS}}$ was significantly higher in ICM patients vs. controls both in systole and in diastole, confirming that in ICM the HDF was less aligned with the main basal–apical orientation of the diastolic flow.

In AL-CA patients, the time-course of the group-averaged HDF components had minor differences vs. control subjects (Fig. 6c): HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ and HDF$_{\text{septal–lateral}}$ were slightly reduced at peak systole, while the corresponding E-wave and A-wave peaks were slightly amplified and the HDF$_{\text{basal–apical}}$ E-wave had a delayed onset and a significantly
shorter duration. The intergroup statistical analysis confirmed the absence of statistically meaningful differences in any HDF component at peak systole ($P = 0.18, 0.06,$ and $0.28$ for $\text{HDF}_{\text{basal-apical}}, \text{HDF}_{\text{septal-lateral}},$ and $\text{HDF}_{\text{inferior-anterior}},$ respectively) or at the E-wave ($P = 0.11, 0.74,$ and $0.99$ for $\text{HDF}_{\text{basal-apical}}, \text{HDF}_{\text{septal-lateral}},$ and $\text{HDF}_{\text{inferior-anterior}},$ respectively) and A-wave ($P = 0.99$ and $0.81$ for $\text{HDF}_{\text{basal-apical}}$ and $\text{HDF}_{\text{septal-lateral}},$ respectively) diastolic peaks (Table S2 in the Supplemental Material). As a result, the HDF vector remained aligned as in controls in both phases of the cardiac cycle (Fig. 7d).

The $R_{\text{RMS}}$ ratio revealed a significant increase vs. controls only in systole, and it was preserved in diastole (Fig. 8, Table S3 in the Supplemental Material).

**Interoperator Variability**

Over the 15 selected subjects and over the whole cardiac cycle, the comparison between the binary masks obtained by the two independent operators yielded a DSC with a medial value of 0.90 and an interquartile range of 0.87–0.93 (Table S4 in the Supplemental Material). Also, strong linear correlation was reported for $\text{KE}_V$ and HDF ($r^2 \geq 0.92$), with the...
strongest level of agreement ($r^2 = 0.99$) in diastole (Fig. S4 in the Supplemental Material). Further details are available in Appendix S1 in the Supplemental Material.

**Discussion**

The subdivision of LV flow components, intracavitary blood energetics, and the pressure-driven HDF represent three complementary aspects of LV fluid dynamics, potentially related to LV wall function. The present 4D flow study sought to combine these flow-specific measures in a comprehensive analysis, testing its usefulness in exploring the complex interplay between intracavitary flow and wall function in two pathologies with different patterns of LV remodeling, such as ICM and AL-CA.

**Preliminary Insight into ICM Physiopathology**

In general, ICM is characterized by morphological LV alterations localized at the site of ischemic injury but also potentially affecting the remote myocardium. As a result, LV pump function is progressively impaired, as commonly quantified through global indices (eg, SV, EF, and global longitudinal strain [GLS]).

Our ICM patients suffered from anterior myocardial infarction; as such, they were characterized by an extended scar region. Consistently, their systolic function was significantly impaired, as evident from a marked reduction in systolic global KEV vs. healthy controls and consistently with previous studies. KEV regional analysis showed a significant reduction in the systolic KEV peaks in the mid and basal regions vs. controls. The former was more severely affected, consistently with the location of the original ischemic injury, but the latter was still notably reduced, highlighting the impact of post-infarct injury on the remote, although not scarred, myocardium. In each LV region, the reduction in KEV was paired by a proportional reduction in ELV, suggesting that the systolic intracavitary blood flow is characterized by lower velocities, but with no evident changes in the velocity field pattern (eg, vortical structures) that would lead to increased viscous dissipation. Of note, the reliability of regional data was allowed for by our patient-specific subdivision of the LV chamber, which proved effective in correctly distinguishing the apical, mid-cavity, and basal LV regions, despite the heterogeneous LV remodeling patterns affecting our ICM patients.

Moreover, the SV composition was different compared to healthy controls due to the derangement of flow component redistribution: there was a reduction in the DF component, which was recently found by Stoll et al to be comparable between ICM and apparently compensated...
dilated cardiomyopathy patients. Of note, in our ICM cohort, the decrease in DF and DE was also paralleled by the increase in RV and RI flow components, whereas in Stoll et al.’s cohort the decrease in DF corresponded to a similar increase in the RV component only. This difference could depend on both extension and localization of ICM injury.

Analysis of HDF can yield the link between derangements in intracavitary systolic blood flow and the depressed LV function in ICM patients. At peak systole, HDFbasal–apical was almost absent and reduced on average by approximately one half vs. controls. This likely reflects a severely reduced “piston-like” motion of the valvular plane toward the apex and thus the inability to effectively move blood from the sub-mitral region toward the apex at the onset of systole, and from the more apical regions toward the outflow tract at peak systole. Concomitantly, HDFinferior–anterior was barely present, reflecting the reduction in anterior wall contractility, and there was a milder reduction of HDFseptal–lateral leading to abnormally high RMS,systole values.

Altogether, the different fluid dynamic parameters stress that ICM is a systolic dysfunction, yet derangements in the diastolic phase are also present.

At diastole, LV energetics showed that the KEV peak in the mid-cavity region associated with the E-wave was delayed with respect to the corresponding peak in the basal region, whereas no significant delay was observed in controls. This is consistent with the increased duration of the E-wave peak that was observed in the flow rate time-course compared to controls. Also, it is consistent with the observations by Garg et al., who suggested that it is linked with a delayed E-wave propagation from basal to mid LV regions in ICM patients, leading to a delayed filling of the LV. This anomaly is paralleled by the almost complete disappearance of the two positive peaks in HDFbasal–apical that were synchronous to the E-wave and the A-wave in healthy controls. As a result, the value of RMS,diastole was almost doubled compared to healthy controls. This lack of transfer of momentum in the basal–apical direction is consistent with the presence of blood RV that

---

**FIGURE 5:** KEV (top panel) and ELV (bottom panel) for the entire LV and basal, mid-cavity, and apical regions, represented through box and whiskers plots. Each box ranges between 25th and 75th percentile with a line pointing out the median value; whiskers indicate the 10th and the 90th percentile, respectively. (a, d) KEV and ELV distribution for global LV, over systole and diastole. (b, e) KEV and ELV distribution at regional scale, over systole. (c, f) KEV and ELV distribution at regional scale, over diastole. Solid black line represents the mean value for each population. AL-CA, light-chain cardiac amyloidosis; ELV, viscous energy loss normalized to volume; ICM, ischemic cardiomyopathy; KEV, kinetic energy normalized to volume; LV, left ventricle. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
remains confined in the dilated and akinetic mid-apical regions.

Preliminary Insight into AL-CA Physiopathology

In our AL-CA cohort, compared to healthy controls, we observed derangements in LV diastolic fluid dynamics. Specifically, the energetics analysis showed a significant reduction in the E-wave peak of global and basal KEV. Also, while in healthy controls, a valley clearly separated the E-wave and A-wave peaks in KEV time-course, in AL-CA patients this valley almost disappeared, suggesting the preservation of the time-averaged value of KEV over the diastolic phase. This is consistent with the computed time-course of the diastolic flow rate, which in AL-CA was characterized by a markedly reduced E-wave peak and absence of a clear distinction between the E-wave and A-wave peaks. This behavior is consistent with the reduced volume entering the LV in diastole and hence significantly reduced SV in AL-CA patients.

The derangements in diastolic LV energetics were not paralleled by anomalies in flow subdivision, thus suggesting that flow organization might not be a suitable marker to highlight AL-CA-related fluid dynamic derangements. On the contrary, a more subtle feature was noticed during LV relaxation in HDF_{basal–apical} over the end of systole and the onset of diastole. In controls, we obtained two negative peaks separated by a short notch at the transition between systole and diastole; the earlier peak was characterized by a higher amplitude and was concomitant to the closure of the aortic valve, and the later peak had a smaller amplitude and anticipated the onset of the E-wave. This pattern is consistent with the observations by Arvidsson et al in healthy volunteers and elite athletes. In AL-CA patients, the two negative peaks were still present, but the pattern was altered: the time extent of the two peaks was dilated; the earlier peak was reduced in magnitude compared to healthy controls and anticipated before aortic valve closure, and the second peak was delayed as the notch between the two peaks was not as instantaneous as in the healthy volunteers.

To pinpoint HDF_{basal–apical} alterations at the onset of diastole in AL-CA patients, as proposed by Arvidsson et al., we quantified the contribution of HDF_{basal–apical} to early diastolic chamber filling by integrating the time-course of this HDF component from aortic valve closure, identified as the time-point with zero flow rate at end-systole, to the time-point with zero HDF_{basal–apical} (Fig. S5 in the Supplemental Material). This early diastolic filling impulse, normalized to LV chamber volume, proved to be nearly two thirds higher in AL-CA patients than in controls with values equal to...
FIGURE 7: Magnitude and orientation of the volume-normalized hemodynamic force (HDF) (N/L) over the cardiac cycle. (a) Plot interpretation: data are presented through polar plots in the LVOT LAx view (upper figure) and in the mid SAx view (lower figure) by projecting the HDF on these two mutually orthogonal views. In each view, the time-dependent position of the tip of the relevant HDF projection over the cardiac cycle is reported; the angular position of the point and its distance from the origin represent the direction and the magnitude of the vector, respectively. The center of the polar plot not necessarily represents the real point of application of the HDF, which is by definition the center of mass of LV intracavitary blood, and hence moves over the cardiac cycle. (b–d) Group-averaged plots for controls, ICM patients, and AL-CA patients, respectively. The systolic phase is represented in light blue and the diastolic phase is represented in orange. For ICM, the red zone represents the myocardial scar, located in antero-septal position. For AL-CA, the light blue filaments represent the amyloid deposition between myocardial cells.
Despite no evident decrease in ELV, the regional KEV and HDFs may be signs of deteriorating diastolic function in AL-CA patients. Moreover, a significant reduction in diastolic ELV, although localized in the basal region, was found with respect to healthy controls. This reduction should not be interpreted as an energetically more efficient filling in AL-CA patients; in fact, this parameter reflects the phenomena occurring in the bulk of the blood flow and does not account for the dissipative phenomena occurring in the boundary layer at the endocardial level, largely contributing to the actual amount of dissipated energy. Instead, the decrease in ELV may be due to the absence or reduction of physiological vortical structures in the bulk flow during diastolic filling, potentially due to the reduced compliance of the LV. Of note, the decrease in ELV was mainly localized in the basal region, possibly because of the infarcted region, which could not be done by the analysis of HDF components and of KEV even if computed at the base, mid, and apical LV regions. For example, the akinesia of any segment of the mid LV could cause a decrease in systolic midventricular KE.

Even if AL-CA is mostly associated with diastolic dysfunction, slight fluid dynamic derangements were also detected in systole. Global KEV remained comparable to controls, but with an anomalous regional repartition: KEV median value was reduced in the basal region and increased in the mid region. Concomitantly, \( R_{\text{RMS}} \) significantly increased. This result may suggest that:

1. In AL-CA patients, the LV wall has a slightly impaired capability of transferring momentum to the intracavitary blood rapidly, which is reflected in a reduced net motion of blood toward the LVOT even if the overall amount of KE is preserved or even increased. This interpretation is consistent with the reduced flow rate recorded in these patients, and with the depressed SV reported for AL-CA patients. Also, the increase in \( R_{\text{RMS}} \) is due to a decrease in the RMS of HDF_{basal-apical} which is consistent with the reduced GLS observed by Buss et al.

2. The impairment may be mostly associated with the basal region, and the LV wall tries to compensate for it by an extra pumping action of its mid portion. This speculation may be consistent with previous MRI findings in cardiac amyloidosis: tissue changes detected by LGE often show a base-to-apex gradient possibly impacting longitudinal fibers contractility; this can be paralleled by a preserved or supranormal longitudinal strain in the apical segments, leading to the so-called apical sparing.

**Potential Value of a Comprehensive Fluid Dynamic Analysis**

The selected fluid dynamic parameters have complementary features and can elucidate different aspects of LV function:

1. KE and EL provide volume-averaged global (over the LV chamber) or regional (base, mid-cavity, and apex) information on the LV systolic function and on the presence of velocity patterns with relevant gradients, such as vortical structures. However, they yield no information about the interplay between consecutive phases. Also, because they are scalar and nondirectional quantities, their alterations cannot be directly related to regional LV dysfunction, even when computed at the base, mid, and apical LV regions: for example, the akinesia of any segment of the mid LV could cause a decrease in systolic midventricular KE.

2. HDF, which is a 3D vector providing information on the direction of the transfer of momentum from LV wall to cavity, may be exploited to identify the segment that is not inducing blood acceleration (in systole) or that is not absorbing momentum (in diastole) owing to impaired compliance. Yet, HDF provides a global information over the LV chamber.

3. Flow component analysis allows for exploring the interplay between consecutive cardiac cycles.

The analysis of only one of these parameters could be insufficient to highlight LV function derangements: for instance, based on flow component analysis only, LV function in AL-CA patients would not be detected as significantly altered. Even when not insufficient, it still is not as powerful as the combined analysis of multiple parameters: in our ICM patients, the analysis of HDF components and of KEV together provided an exhaustive understanding of the post-ischemic scenario; HDF highlighted the anterior location of the infarcted region, which could not be done by the analysis of KEV, while the regional analysis of KEV allowed to assess
the impact on scarred myocardium as well as on remote myocardium, which could not be done based on HDF quantification. Likewise, for our AL-CA patients, only the joined analysis of the peaks in regional KEV and of $R_{\text{RMS}}$ allowed us for a reasonable interpretation of the mechanism underlying the impairment of systolic LV function in a pathology typically used as an example of diastolic dysfunction.

Future work is required to explore if the proposed indexes could be useful to monitor disease progression, as well as the effects of therapy during follow-up. It also remains to be tested whether their alteration could allow for an earlier detection of changes in LV function compared to standard functional indexes, at least in the two pathological scenarios herein considered. The seminal study by Pedrizzetti et al., despite being focused on different populations, suggests that this might be the chance.

Limitations
The study population was relatively small; therefore, our results are preliminary. Also, both groups of patients were heterogeneous: ICM patients, although all affected by the anterior infarction of comparable severity, were heterogeneous in terms of LV characteristics and remodeling severity, and AL-CA patients had different levels of cardiac involvement. However, despite the limited sample size, this study shows the potential of 4D flow in providing a noninvasive, time-efficient and multifaceted quantification of specific hemodynamic parameters, whose alterations can be associated with different LV remodeling patterns. Despite their intragroup variability, our ICM and AL-CA cohorts represent not only two clinically relevant scenarios, but also two opposite LV remodeling mechanisms.

In addition, the limited spatial and temporal resolution of 4D flow acquisitions may impact the granularity of the computed parameters. This may inevitably affect the velocity derivatives computation, and thus the accuracy of computed EL and pressure gradients. However, the entire population was assessed with the same 4D flow sequence to allow for intergroup comparisons, hence the alterations observed in ICM and AL-CA patients vs. healthy controls remain valid. Finally, 4D flow was acquired in controls without the use of contrast agent and this might affect the signal-to-noise ratio.

Conclusion
In a preliminary cohort of patients, 4D flow-based quantification of LV fluid dynamics characterized intracavitary flow derangements associated with ICM and AL-CA, selected as representative of systolic and diastolic dysfunction, respectively.

In particular, the analysis of alterations in KEV and HDF provided consistent and complementary information on the interplay between intracardiac blood fluid dynamics and LV wall function.
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