Comparison of blood ethanol stabilities in different storage periods
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Abstract

Introduction: Measurements of blood ethanol concentrations must be accurate and reliable. The most important factors affecting blood ethanol stability are temperature and storage time. In this study, we aimed to compare ethanol stability in plasma samples at -20 °C for the different storage periods.

Materials and methods: Blood samples were collected from intoxicated drivers (N = 80) and initial plasma ethanol concentrations were measured immediately. Plasma samples were then stored at -20 °C and re-assessed after 2, 3, 4, or 5 months of storage. Differences between the initial and stored ethanol concentrations in each group (N = 20) were analyzed using Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. The deviation from the initial concentration was calculated and compared with Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA’88) Proficiency Testing Limits. Relationships between the initial concentrations and deviations from initial concentrations were analyzed by Spearman’s correlation analysis. For all statistical tests, differences with P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: Statistically significant differences were observed between the initial and poststorage ethanol concentrations in the overall sample group (P < 0.001). However, for the individual storage duration groups, analytically significant decreases were observed only for samples stored for 5 months, deviations from the initial concentrations exceeded the allowable total error (TEa). Ethanol decreases in the other groups did not exceed the TEa.

Conclusion: According to our results, plasma ethanol samples can be kept at -20 °C for up to 3–4 months until re-analysis. However, each laboratory should also establish its own work-flow rules and criterion for reliable ethanol measurement in forensic cases.
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Introduction

Ethanol is the most frequent cause of substance-related traffic accidents, and driving under the influence of alcohol is a severe social problem (1). Increased blood ethanol concentrations in drivers are directly related to increased risk of traffic accidents. Moreover, in traffic accidents, measurement of blood ethanol concentrations can be a deciding factor when determining who was at fault for the accident. Thus, measurement of blood ethanol concentrations needs to be accurate and reliable (2).

Despite the widespread nature of driving under the influence, there are still problems sample collection, transportation, and storage that may interfere with the analysis of ethanol. The preanalytical phase (i.e., collection, transport, and storage) has a substantial role in the quality and reliability of analytical ethanol results, which depend on the quality and acceptability of specimens. Additionally, these pre-analytical steps (proper sampling, handling, and storage of blood ethanol samples) are critical in forensic cases (3,4). For blood ethanol testing, current standards are described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (5). Measurement of ethanol can be performed on various body fluids in living, and deceased subjects. While antecubital venous blood sam-
amples are the most suitable sample type for living subjects; femoral venous blood samples are more suitable for deceased subjects during autopsy (6). Moreover, it is essential to properly cleanse the venipuncture site using a disinfectant that does not contain alcohol or other volatile organic substances. The most frequently used disinfectants for this purpose are aqueous benzalkonium chloride or povidone-iodine (3,5). Dubowski found that blood specimens can be significantly contaminated if alcohol-containing materials are used to cover the venipuncture site (7). The most frequent samples used by clinical biochemistry laboratories to analyze ethanol concentrations are the whole blood, plasma, or serum (8).

Although processes for medical examinations, treatments, and reporting of forensic cases are defined by legal arrangements with regard to healthcare aspects, laboratory services and the framework of medicolegal responsibilities have not been clearly defined in current legal arrangements, both in our country and in many other countries worldwide. The processes for sampling, accepting, handling, and storing of specimens belonging to forensic cases have not been standardized, and unexpected and confusing results may lead to erroneous interpretations. Therefore, each laboratory should establish its own definitions for reliable processes. Additionally, current standards and laws have not provided clear instructions for the storage of “replicate samples”. The stability of blood ethanol during storage is an important problem if replicate samples need to be re-analyzed after storage, which may be required upon a request by the judicial authority to confirm initial results. To date, several studies have investigated the stability of blood ethanol at different storage temperatures and for different storage durations (9-12). However, no clear standards for the storage and re-analysis of blood ethanol have been established.

In the present study, we aimed to analyze changes in ethanol concentrations in blood samples after storage for different durations, and, based on the results, we attempted to establish a standard protocol for storage of blood samples for subsequent analysis of blood ethanol levels.

Materials and methods

Study design

This retrospective study was carried out at Evliya Celebi Research and Education Hospital of Dumlu- pinar University, Turkey from November 2013 to April 2014. The study was carried out in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. Samples with ethanol concentrations greater than 2.17 mmol/L (> 0.1 g/L) were included in the study (N = 80). This value (0.1 g/L or 2.17 mmol/L) is lower detection limit for the reagents used in present study. Blood samples that were hemolysed, clotted, collected after too long of a wait, under- or overfilled, or collected in improper tubes were rejected, and appropriate samples were requested. After blood samples were collected from intoxicated drivers, samples were transported to the laboratory. Blood samples were then aliquoted; one of the sample aliquots was immediately analyzed, while the other sample aliquots were stored at -20 °C until re-analysis. Stored plasma samples were grouped according to storage period as follows:

- group I (G I) samples were stored at -20 °C for up to 5 months (N = 20);
- group II (G II) samples were stored at -20 °C for up to 4 months (N = 20);
- group III (G III) samples were stored at -20 °C for up to 3 months (N = 20), and
- group IV (G IV) samples were stored at -20 °C for up to 2 months until re-analysis (N = 20).

These groups were composed of different plasma samples to prevent ethanol losses occurring after repeated opening of tube caps.

Sample collection and measurement of plasma ethanol concentrations

Whole blood samples were drawn into 4-mL evacuated tubes with gray rubber stoppers containing sodium fluoride plus K$_2$EDTA as additives (Vacuette, Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmunster, Austria) to prevent coagulation and fermentation according to CLSI standards (5). First, the whole blood samples were inverted gently at least 8–10 times for mixing with additives, then samples were immediately centrifuged at 3000 × g for 15 min. Plasma
samples were aliquoted into two separate polystyrene tubes. One of the plasma aliquots was immediately analyzed, and the other plasma aliquots were stoppered air tight and stored at -20 °C until re-analysis. The frozen samples were re-analyzed synchronically over the course of 1 day. Before re-analysis, frozen samples were thawed to room temperature. The plasma ethanol concentrations were measured on a Roche Cobas C 501 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) using original Roche commercial reagents (Roche Diagnostics GmbH) according to the alcohol dehydrogenase method (13). Tests were performed in duplicate and internal quality control samples were included in each assay run. Intra-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) were 8.27% (mean ± SD: 11.28 ± 0.93) and 2.36% (32.40 ± 0.76), with target values of 10.9 mmol/L and 31.6 mmol/L.

According to the manufacturer’s instructions for the reagent and analyzer, ethanol concentrations remain stable if the tubes are kept at room temperature (15-25 °C) for 2 days, at 2-8 °C for 2 weeks, or at -25 to -15 °C for 4 weeks (14).

Statistical analysis

All data sets were tested for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Initial and poststorage ethanol concentration values were normally distributed in each group; however, because the sample size was less than 30 (N = 20), the difference between the initial and poststorage plasma ethanol concentrations for each group was analyzed using two-tailed Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests. Data were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). The deviation (%) from the initial concentration was calculated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 2010, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The deviation was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{bias} (\%) = \left( \frac{\text{mean}_{\text{target}} - \text{mean}_{\text{measured}}}{\text{mean}_{\text{target}}} \right) \times 100\%.
\]

The calculated deviations were evaluated with the allowable total error (TEa) according to the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA’88) Proficiency Testing Limits, U.S. Federal Register (TEa ± 25%) (15). The relationships between the initial concentrations and deviations from the initial concentrations (%) were analyzed by Spearman’s correlation coefficient. For all statistical tests, differences with \( P \) values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 13.0 for Windows; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Decreases in plasma ethanol concentrations were observed in all four groups of samples with different storage conditions. The differences between the initial ethanol concentrations and post-storage concentrations are shown in Table 1. A statistically significant difference was observed for the overall sample set (\( P < 0.001 \)). The relationships between the initial ethanol concentrations and the deviations from initial concentrations (%) are shown in Table 2. Statistically significant negative correlations were observed only in G I and G III (\( r = -0.48, P = 0.031 \) and \( r = -0.49, P = 0.028 \), respectively).

Mean decreases (%) in plasma ethanol concentrations from initial concentrations according to storage duration and comparisons with TEas according to CLIA’88 (± 25%) are shown in Figure 1. Deviations from the initial concentrations that exceed the TEa were observed in G I (in 11 of 20 tubes) and G II (in 4 of 20 tubes); these results were considered as statistically significant. The deviations were within the acceptable ranges in G III and G IV; therefore, these results were considered not analytically significant. Additionally, the mean decreases in ethanol concentrations were directly proportional to the storage period. Mean decreases (%) in ethanol concentrations according to storage periods are shown in Figure 2.

Discussion

The stability of blood ethanol over time is an important problem if samples are required to be re-analyzed after storage, particularly after an extended period (16). Therefore, in this study, we investigated the stability of blood ethanol concentrati-
Table 1. Comparisons of initial and post-storage plasma ethanol concentrations.

| Storage period (-20 °C) | Ethanol concentration (mmol/L) | Deviation % | r* | P       |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----|---------|
|                         | Initial period                 | Post-storage period |    |         |
| 2 months, G IV (N = 20) | February 2014-April 2014      | 31.4 (18.0-45.3)  | 7.8 (-6.5 to -8.9) | -0.12 | 0.617   |
| 3 months, G III (N = 20)| January 2014-April 2014       | 34.3 (12.3-45.0)  | -11.2 (-9.8 to -14.9)| -0.49 | 0.028   |
| 4 months, G II (N = 20) | December 2013-April 2014      | 24.3 (12.9-43.5)  | -20.5 (-16.2 to -23.7)| 0.12  | 0.600   |
| 5 months, G I (N = 20)  | November 2013-April 2014      | 39.3 (16.3-46.3)  | -25.2 (-21.8 to -34.8)| -0.48 | 0.031   |
| Whole Groups (N = 80)   |                               | 31.5 (15.8-45.4)  | -15.2 (-9.28 to -23.2)| -0.13 | 0.254   |

Ethanol concentration is presented as median (interquartile range).

Table 2. Correlation analysis between initial plasma ethanol concentrations and deviations from initial concentrations.

| Storage period (-20 °C) | Ethanol initial concentration (mmol/L) | Deviation % | r* | P       |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----|---------|
|                         | Initial period                         | Post-storage period |    |         |
| 2 months, G IV (N = 20) | February 2014-April 2014              | 31.4 (18.0-45.3)  | -7.8 (-6.5 to -8.9) | -0.12 | 0.617   |
| 3 months, G III (N = 20)| January 2014-April 2014               | 34.3 (12.3-45.0)  | -11.2 (-9.8 to -14.9)| -0.49 | 0.028   |
| 4 months, G II (N = 20) | December 2013-April 2014              | 24.3 (12.9-43.5)  | -20.5 (-16.2 to -23.7)| 0.12  | 0.600   |
| 5 months, G I (N = 20)  | November 2013-April 2014              | 39.3 (16.3-46.3)  | -25.2 (-21.8 to -34.8)| -0.48 | 0.031   |
| Whole Groups (N = 80)   |                                       | 31.5 (15.8-45.4)  | -15.2 (-9.28 to -23.2)| -0.13 | 0.254   |

* Spearman’s correlation coefficient.

Results are presented as median (interquartile range). G I - Group I, G II - Group II, G III - Group III, G IV - Group IV.
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nol through fermentation if the sample is placed in a collection tube without preservatives, such as enzyme inhibitors (18). In our study, no ethanol was generated in any of the samples over the 5-month storage period.

Previously published studies have revealed that the stability of ethanol in the blood depends on some specific factors, such as storage period, concentrations and types of additives, temperature during the storage period, air quantity above the sample, and tightness of stoppers for the containers used. However, the blood ethanol stabilities reported in these studies are not consistent (10,19-23). Brown and colleagues found that ethanol losses occurred via microorganisms in the absence of preservatives, which could be prevented by 0.5% NaF. Additionally, they found that ethanol oxidation was dependent on storage temperature and that diffusion occurred from 5.6% of the polypropylene container; these data indicate that the most important factors affecting blood ethanol stability are temperature, NaF concentration, and duration of storage (10). Winek and Paul found that samples did not show significant gains or losses in ethanol concentrations with changes in storage duration (up to 14 days), temperature, and NaF; they concluded that blood ethanol analysis could be delayed for as long as 14 days without significant changes in ethanol, regardless of whether the samples were refrigerated or whether preservatives were added (19). Additionally, Penetrat demonstrated that serum and plasma ethanol concentrations were higher (11%) than whole blood samples (20). Also, neither different processing conditions nor different storage conditions significantly affected ethanol concentrations. Blood samples containing ethanol at levels ranging from 60 to 90 mg/dL were not significantly affected by the type of collection tube used or the storage conditions during a 10-day period (20). In an analysis of storage for up to 6 months, Meyer and coworkers indicated that freezing is a suitable method for storage of blood samples containing ethanol for forensic purposes over reasonable durations (21). Additionally, they found that ethanol formation did not occur in blood samples containing preservatives (21). Jones examined changes in blood ethanol concentrations during storage in evacuated tubes containing NaF and potassium oxalate at 4 °C for up to 12 months. Ethanol concentrations decreased significantly by 2.6%, 1.9%, and 1.8%, respectively, at initial concentrations of 0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 mg/g (22). Furthermore, the mean decreases in blood ethanol concentrations between initial measurement and re-analysis were significant (P < 0.001), and the loss of ethanol was positively correlated with the duration of storage (22).

In a separate study, significant decreases in etha-

![Figure 1](http://dx.doi.org/10.11613/BM.2015.006)

**Figure 1.** Mean decreases (%) in plasma ethanol concentrations from initial concentrations according to periods of storage, and comparisons with allowable total error (TEa) according to CLIA’88 (± 25%).

![Figure 2](http://dx.doi.org/10.11613/BM.2015.006)

**Figure 2.** Mean decreases (%) in plasma ethanol concentrations according to storage periods.
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Ethanol levels occurred in samples stored at room temperature for up to 7 or 14 days (7.9% and 22.4% decreases, respectively). Additionally, significant decreases also occurred in samples stored at 4 °C for up to 1–3 months and for more than 3 months (4.2% and 7.9% decrease, respectively). However, while no statistically significant decrease was found in samples stored at -20 °C for up to 1–3 months (only a 4% decrease), statistically significant decreases were observed in samples stored at -20 °C for more than 6 months (a 15% decrease) (23).

Thus, the results of previously published studies are not consistent regarding suitable conditions for storage of blood ethanol samples. The results of our study were consistent with those of Brown, Jones, and Mandic-Radic (10,22,23). According to our results, the decreases in ethanol concentrations were directly proportional to the duration of storage, similar to the results of the studies by Jones and Mandic-Radic (22,23). Moreover, in our study, samples with a low ethanol concentration showed a somewhat greater decrease from the initial concentration, in contrast to the results of Hayden and colleagues who found that greater decreases occurred in samples with higher ethanol levels (12). Our results were also similar to those of Saracevic and coworkers, who found that ethanol stability showed poor or no concentration dependency (24). In our study, although the blood samples were collected in tubes containing preservative (NaF) and stored at -20 °C, ethanol losses occurred in all groups. These ethanol losses may be due to escape of ethanol vapour from around the stopper of tubes and chemical oxidation of ethanol resulting from the presence of air above the sample. Even though the recommended proportion of air in sample tubes is 0%, this is not always possible. According to the study by Saracevic et al., while statistically significant ethanol decreases were found between the initial concentrations and re-analyzed concentrations in unstoppered tubes, no statistically significant differences were observed in closed tubes (24). Additionally, ethanol losses in our study may also occur due to the diffusion of ethanol from polypropylene tubes, as reported in the study by Brown (10).

Overall, the differences observed between our study and previously published studies are likely caused by different methods used to ethanol analysis, different numbers of samples, and differences in the environmental conditions of each laboratory. Therefore, each laboratory should establish its own work-flow rules and criterion for reliable ethanol results in forensic cases.

Conclusion

One of the most important factors affecting results of ethanol analysis is the storage of samples under suitable conditions of duration and temperature. Current standards and legislation do not address how blood samples should be stored as replicate samples after the first analysis. According to the results of this study, plasma ethanol samples can be stored at -20 °C for up to 3–4 months until re-analysis, providing that samples are kept in suitable sample tubes, which are tightly closed and nearly completely filled to minimize the amount of air above the samples. These results should provide the basis for the development of more appropriate standard practices for forensic science.

Potential conflict of interest

None declared.
Emel Kocak F. et al. Ethanol stability in different storage periods

References

1. Ying YH, Wu CC, Chang K. The effectiveness of drinking and driving policies for different alcohol-related fatalities: a quantile regression analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013;10:4628-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10104628.

2. Ferrari LA, Triszcz JM, Giannuzzi L. Kinetics of ethanol degradation in forensic blood samples. Forensic Sci Int 2006;161:144-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.02.049.

3. Kaye S. The collection and handling of the blood alcohol specimen. Am J Pathol 1980;74:743-6.

4. Aikan N, Demircan T. Determination of blood alcohol level of the people who are involved in a judicial event: a case report. Ulus Travma Derg 2001;7:277-81.

5. CLSI C60-A. Blood Alcohol Testing in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline, C60AE, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2014.

6. Kugelberg FC, Jones AW. Interpreting results of ethanol analysis in postmortem specimens: a review of the literature. Forensic Sci Int 2007;165:10-29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.05.004.

7. Dubowski KM, Essary NA. Contamination of blood specimens for alcohol analysis during collection. Abstracts & Reviews in Alcohol & Driving 1983;4:3-7.

8. Porter WH, Moyer TP. Clinical toxicology. In: Burtis CA, Ashwood ER, editors. Tietz fundamentals of clinical chemistry. St. Louis, Missouri: Saunders Elsevier; 2001. pp. 636-79.

9. Glendening BL, Waugh TC. The stability of ordinary blood alcohol samples held various periods of time under different conditions. J Forensic Sci 1965;10:192-200.

10. Brown GA, Neylan D, Reynolds WJ, Smalldon KW. The stability of ethanol in stored blood. Part I. Important variables and interpretation of results. Anal Chim Acta 1973;66:271-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)82539-3.

11. Smalldon KW, Brown GA. The stability of ethanol in stored blood. Part II. The mechanism of ethanol oxidation. Anal Chim Acta 1973;66:285-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)82540-X.

12. Hayden PM, Layden MT, Hickey MD. The stability of alcohol content in samples of blood and urine. Ir J Med Sci 1977;146:6-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03030919.

13. Bucher T, Redetzki H. Specific photometric determination of ethyl alcohol based on an enzymatic reaction. Klin Wochenschr 1951;29:615-6.

14. Insert.ETOH2.0003183777190c501.V11.tr. Available at: https://cssportal.roche.com/eld/tr/tr/DocumentResult/ResultList?searchType=diagnostic&docTypeId=endt_method_sheet&catNo=&systemId=309&diagnosticFieldId=2035&subDiagnosticFieldId=2044&countryCode=tr&lanCode=tr&sort=&modifiedSince=&allDocTypes=false. Accessed May 04, 2014.

15. CLIA Requirements for Analytical Quality. Available at: https://www.westgard.com/clia.htm#tox. Accessed May 04, 2014.

16. Dubowski KM, Gadsden RH, Poklis A. The stability of ethanol in human whole blood controls: an interlaboratory evaluation. J Anal Toxicol 1997;21:486-91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jat/21.6.486.

17. Kerrigan S. Sampling, storage and stability. In: Negruz A, Cooper G editors. Clarke's Analytical Forensic Toxicology. London: The Pharmaceutical Press; second edition, 2013, pp 346–54.

18. Jones AW. Reference limits for urine/blood ratios of ethanol in two successive voids from drinking drivers. J Anal Toxicol 2002;26:333-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jat/26.6.333.

19. Winek CL, Paul LJ. Effects of short-term storage conditions on alcohol concentrations in blood from living human subjects. Clin Chem 1983;29:1959-60.

20. Penetar DM, McNeil JF, Ryan ET, Lukas SE. Comparison among plasma, serum, and whole blood ethanol concentrations: impact of storage conditions, and collection tubes. J Anal Toxicol 2008;32:505-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jat/32.7.505.

21. Meyer T, Monge PK, Saksharg J. Storage of blood samples containing alcohol. Acta Pharmacol Toxicol 1979;45:282-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0773.1979.tb02394.x.

22. Jones AW. Are changes in blood-ethanol concentration during storage analytically significant? Importance of method imprecision. Clin Chem Lab Med 2007;45:1299-304. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2007.289.

23. Mandic-Radic S, Dzingalasevic G, Lukovic N. Stability of ethanol in blood and urine samples. J Med Biochem 2007;26:241-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/v10001-007-0029-1.

24. Saracevic A, Simundic AM, Dukic L. The stability of ethanol in unstopped tubes. Clin Biochem 2014;47:92-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2013.11.006.