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ABSTRACT

Objective: As a critical step in advancing a comprehensive response to elder abuse built on existing forensic nursing-led hospital-based programmes, we developed a list of skills-based competencies for use in an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum.

Participants and setting: Programme leaders of 30 hospital-based forensic nursing-led sexual assault and domestic violence treatment centres.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: 149 verbatim recommendations for components of an elder abuse response were identified from a systematic scoping review. In 2 online Delphi consensus survey rounds, these components of care were evaluated by an expert panel for their overall importance to the elder abuse intervention under development and for their appropriateness to the scope of practice of an elder abuse nurse examiner. The components retained after evaluation were translated into skills-based competencies using Bloom’s Taxonomy of Learning and, using the Nominal Group Technique, were subsequently reviewed and revised by a subset of members of the expert panel in a consensus meeting.

Results: Of the 148 recommendations evaluated, 119 were rated as important and achieved consensus or high level of agreement. Of these, 101 were determined to be within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner and were translated into skills-based competencies. Following review and revision by meeting experts, 47 final competencies were organised by content into 5 metacompetencies: documentation, legal and legislative issues; interview with older adult, caregiver and other relevant contacts; assessment; medical and forensic examination; and case summary, discharge plan and follow-up care.

Conclusions: We determined the skills-based competencies of importance to training forensic nurse examiners to respond to elder abuse in the context of a hospital-based intervention. These findings may have implications for violence and abuse treatment programmes with a forensic nursing component that are considering the provision of a dedicated response to the abuse of older women and men.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The consensus methods used to evaluate components of an elder abuse response and their appropriateness to the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner constitute relatively ‘low’ level evidence. However, these methods are appropriate where, as in this instance, there is a lack of available evidence. The internal and external validity of this study was improved by using available checklists and guidelines for the use of the Delphi method and by recruiting an expert panel with extensive leadership and practical experience in responding to the abuse of women and men.
- The list of skills-based competencies developed reflects a potentially expanded role for existing forensic nurse examiners in Ontario, Canada and will form the basis of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum, a critical tool in developing a hospital-based response to elder abuse that utilises forensic nurse examiners.
- Our findings may have implications for violence services globally that include or are planning to include a response to elder abuse in their programmes.

BACKGROUND

Elder abuse, constituting neglect, financial, psychological, physical and/or sexual abuse, is a serious public health concern associated with significant morbidity (eg, anxiety, depression), hospitalisation and mortality. Elder abuse is defined by Justice Canada as the “violence, mistreatment or neglect that older adults living in either private residences or institutions may experience at the hands of their spouses, children, other family members, caregivers, service providers or other individuals in situations of power or trust. [Elder abuse] also includes older adults abused by non-family members who are not in a position of power or trust” (ref. 1, p.1). There is a complex interplay of factors such as cognitive impairment, behavioural...
and psychiatric disorders, low functional status, poor physical health, low-income status, history of abuse, and few social supports that can increase the risk of different types of elder abuse.\(^8\) Victims of elder abuse may therefore have significant needs, requiring psychological, health and social services delivered by professionals with specialised training and expertise.\(^8\)

Within the Canadian province of Ontario there are 35 hospital-based Sexual Assault/Domestic Violence Treatment Centres (SA/DVTCs), primarily led by forensic nurse examiners (referred to as Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners or SANEs). These forensic nurse examiners are nurses with specialised training in the collection of medicolegal evidence, as well as the provision of health care to victims of acute sexual assault and intimate partner violence.\(^9\)\(^10\) A 2012 needs assessment survey by Du Mont et al\(^11\) concluded that these centres were ideally positioned to respond to elder abuse, with 81% of programme leaders favouring expansion of their programme mandates to address the maltreatment of older adults. The majority (78%) stated that their programme was prepared or somewhat prepared to begin the process of taking on this critical public health problem.\(^11\) Although there is no standardised programme-wide provision of dedicated care for elder abuse at these centres, they typically provide psychosocial, medico-legal, and health services to address the multifaceted sequelae of intimate partner violence and sexual assault of women, men, and children of all ages, and refer to other relevant services in the community as required (eg, long-term counselling, housing, legal).

The efficacy of forensic nurse examiners in addressing the needs of victims of sexual assault and intimate partner violence has been recognised globally.\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^12\)\(^16\) Although they possess much of the required expertise to respond to elder abuse, particularly in the area of medico-legal documentation and health care provision,\(^17\)\(^18\) the study by Du Mont et al\(^11\) revealed that in order to expand SA/DVTC mandates to include dedicated care to address all types of elder abuse, further training of SANEs would be required. Identified elder abuse training needs included knowledge of legislation and reporting requirements, resources in the community, capacity, consent, forensic assessment of the older adult and power of attorney. In addition, almost all (91%) programme leaders noted that a coordinated community response that included resources internal and external to the hospital would be essential for any implementation of an elder abuse intervention.\(^11\)

Building on the infrastructure and expertise of Ontario’s network of 35 SA/DVTCs, we undertook a multi-phase, multimethod programme of research to develop a comprehensive response to elder abuse (here-to-fore referred to as the ‘elder abuse intervention’). As a first step, we conducted a systematic scoping review of English language scholarly and grey literatures in order to extract and synthesise actionable and applicable recommendations for components of elder abuse care deemed relevant to a hospital-based intervention with formalised links to the community.\(^19\) Recommendations were extracted from 68 distinct elder abuse responses and then collated, coded and categorised into themes. These recommendations were further reviewed by the research team for relevancy to a forensic nursing-led hospital-based response (see online supplementary appendix 1). Only a small fraction of the responses from which the recommendations for the components of care were drawn had been pilot tested or evaluated.\(^19\)

Following the systematic scoping review, a Delphi consensus study was conducted, in which a multidisciplinary, intersectoral panel rated the importance of possible participating professionals and respective roles and responsibilities to the model elder abuse intervention under development in a 1-day in-person consensus meeting and subsequent online survey.\(^20\) The panel was comprised of key stakeholders involved in identifying, documenting and addressing elder abuse, setting elder abuse policy and citizens: academics (eg, with research expertise in elder abuse, mental health, geriatric medicine, nursing care, health services evaluation, and diversity and equity issues); decision-makers (eg, representing provincial and federal governments); healthcare providers (eg, including the professions of nursing, social work, geriatric medicine, family medicine and occupational therapy); service providers from the community and legal sectors (eg, home-based healthcare, finance, law enforcement, legal advocacy, and the office of the public guardian and trustee); and older adults (eg, aged 60 or older and potential consumers of elder abuse services).\(^20\) Although the possible roles and responsibilities of each potentially participating professional were delineated generally in this study, the panel identified that discipline-specific expertise would be necessary to determine which precise components of care were within the scope of practice of each professional. This additional step was determined to be especially critical for the forensic nurse examiner, who is central to the intervention being developed, as some of the roles and responsibilities rated important are new to their role in Ontario and would require additional training.

The objectives of the current study then were to have forensic nurse examiner service experts: (1) evaluate the importance of the recommended components of care to the elder abuse intervention under development; (2) determine which components of care would fall within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner, a forensic nurse examiner with additional training to respond to elder abuse; and (3) review and refine skills-based competencies developed from the Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner components of the intervention. Competencies are “what a successful learner should know and be able to do upon completion of a particular program or course of study” (ref. 21, p.15). The competency-based approach to education and training has been shown effective in increasing the clinical performance of healthcare providers in caring for older adults.\(^22\)\(^23\)
**METHODS**

**Expert panels**

Thirty-three programme leaders from the Ontario Network of SA/DVTCs were invited to participate on an expert panel for an online Delphi consensus survey (the two centres that exclusively see paediatric patients were not included in this study). Programme leaders are generally specially trained nurses such as SANEs, although they can also be social workers. They have extensive clinical experience in delivering hospital-based violence and abuse services and are the key knowledge users who would ultimately oversee any implementation of the elder abuse intervention under development. Following the online Delphi consensus surveys, a subset of 12 programme leaders was also invited to participate as an expert panel in person in a 1-day consensus meeting in Toronto. These members were selected based on geographical representation within Ontario and the cultural diversity of the populations served by their centres (eg, rural, urban, Aboriginal).

**Delphi consensus survey**

The modified Delphi consensus survey was conducted in accordance with available checklists and guidelines.\(^24\) \(^25\)

**Round 1**

Round 1 of the Delphi consensus survey contained 148 verbatim recommendations for components of care extracted from the systematic scoping review (see online supplementary appendix 1). For each item, respondents were asked to indicate how strongly they agreed or disagreed that the component of care, where relevant, appropriate and with consent, was important to a comprehensive hospital-based elder abuse intervention. Responses were made using a Likert scale of 1–5 (1=strongly disagree, 2=somewhat disagree, 3=neutral, 4=somewhat agree, 5=strongly agree). The extracted recommendations were organised thematically; (1) initial contact (7 recommendations); (2) capacity and consent (8 recommendations); (3) interview with older adult, suspected abuser, caregiver and/or other relevant contacts (67 recommendations); (4) assessment: physical/forensic, mental, psychosocial and environmental/functional (42 recommendations); and (5) care plan (24 recommendations).\(^19\) The survey also included space at the end of each theme to record any comments about the recommendations for care. Information collected from respondents at the start of the survey included their age, education, professional training, years in current role, provision of direct clinical care to adults 65 years or older, type of clinical care provided to clients 65 years or older and self-rated level of expertise in the elder abuse field.

The survey was hosted on Survey Monkey, a third party website and online survey administration software (http://www.surveymonkey.com). The survey was pilot tested by two of the members of the research team (DK, SE) for clarity of recommendations and instructions, and ease of survey interface, before an email containing a link to it was sent to all 33 SA/DVTC programme leaders. Round 1 of the survey was conducted over approximately 5 weeks: 1 week for pilot testing, 3 weeks for acquiring responses from the expert panel and 1 week for summarising results.

**Round 2**

In round 2, the online survey contained a full list of components of care and their mean rating from the first round; however, only those recommendations for which consensus was not achieved in the first round were re-rated for their importance in the second round. All recommendations were also rated as to whether they were potentially within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner (‘yes’ or ‘no’). Round 2 was conducted over 4 weeks. Two email reminders to complete the second survey and containing a link to the survey were sent to the 33 SA/DVTC programme leaders at 1 and 2 weeks from the initial email to them.

**Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were calculated for information collected about the expert panel and Delphi survey response data from rounds 1 and 2, including the mean rating and IQR for each recommendation.\(^26\) After completion of both rounds, items rated as important (mean Likert rating 4+) and which achieved consensus (IQR <1) in either the first or second round\(^27\) or a high level of agreement (a predetermined threshold of 80% of Likert ratings were 4+) in the second round were retained for a final list of recommended components of care.\(^28\) \(^29\)

If an item from this list was determined by at least 60% of respondents as potentially within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner, it was translated into a skills-based competency.

**Consensus meeting**

Following the second Delphi consensus survey round, the Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner relevant components of care were further organised thematically and translated into skills-based competencies with the aid of a specialist in education and curriculum development. Using Bloom’s Taxonomy of Learning,\(^30\) the care components were framed in outcome-oriented language and reviewed for their observability and measurability by members of the research team\(^31\) with expertise in forensic nursing and the development of competencies and curricula (eg, SANE training, *Addressing Past Sexual Assault in Clinical Settings*).\(^32\) \(^33\)

In a 1-day consensus meeting, we then utilised the Nominal Group Technique to review the competencies, in an approach similar to those employed in previous successful competency development studies.\(^34\) \(^35\) Participants were divided into two workgroups composed of six members, each of which reviewed a different half set of the competencies developed. Each competency set
had a workgroup facilitator and a note taker, so that all discussion was captured and the facilitator could ensure maximal interaction within the workgroup. On completion, the workgroups rotated competency sets and reviewed and refined the revisions to the competency set made by the other workgroup. The full panel of experts then reviewed and resolved any areas of concern. Based on the results of the meeting, the research team generated the final list of competencies and metacompetencies to be used to guide the construction of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Delphi consensus survey expert panel

Of the 33 programme leaders invited to form the expert panel, 30 responded affirmatively and participated in one or both of the Delphi consensus surveys. Most (80%) of the 30 panelists were aged 46 years and older (see table 1).

Approximately half (55%) reported having a bachelor’s degree as their highest level of education achieved and the majority (83%) identified as nurses; of these, 83% had undergone SANE training. Three in five (60%) panelists reported more than 10 years of experience in their current role as programme leaders, and the overwhelming majority (90%) also provided direct care to clients: emergency healthcare (81%), consultation with other health providers (81%), follow-up care (78%), crisis counselling (74%) and short-term counselling (44%). Almost all (93%) reported having a mid to high level of knowledge and/or expertise related to elder abuse.

Evaluation of components of care for importance to the elder abuse intervention

Overall, 148 recommendations were rated in rounds 1 and 2 of the Delphi consensus survey, of which 119 (80%) were rated important and achieved consensus/high level of agreement (see table 2).

In round 1, 98 recommendations were rated important and had an IQR <1. Fifty were re-rated for importance in round 2, of which 21 were rated important and had an IQR <1 and/or 80% of ratings were 4+. Of those which were rated important and achieved consensus/high level of agreement, 101 (85%) were deemed potentially within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner by at least 60% of respondents. However, in some written-in comments on the survey concerns were expressed regarding the need for additional training in delivering certain components of care. One nurse with over 10 years’ experience as a programme leader commented, “Our lack of knowledge in the area [regarding certain capacity and consent items], limits our ability to respond fully to this…as we are not currently trained for that…would need additional training, then institution specific protocols to be followed.” Another programme leader stated, “Wow, I’m having an identity crisis—this looks like the creation of an entirely new role.”

Eighteen components of care were rated important and achieved consensus/high level of agreement, but were determined by the expert panel as outside the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner. These components of care were most commonly part of the domains of ‘capacity and consent’ (50% of items) and ‘care plan’ (39% of items), and included items such as ‘apply for an emergency guardianship order for the older adult’ and ‘notify and consult all members of the team on drastic changes in the older adult’s situation’ (see online supplementary appendix 1). Comments on the surveys suggested that these and some other items deemed important overall to the intervention were the role of another professional within the model: “We need to be careful about what role we are actually taking on—most of these sound like the work of the Most Responsible Physician or primary care/gerontologist, etc.”

Table 1 Delphi consensus survey expert panel characteristics

| Characteristic | n (%) |
|---------------|-------|
| Age group, in years | n=30 |
| 20–30 | 0 0 |
| 31–45 | 6 20 |
| 46–60 | 20 67 |
| 61+ | 4 13 |
| Education, highest level achieved | n=29 |
| Hospital-based nursing programme | 1 3 |
| Community college | 4 14 |
| Bachelor’s degree | 16 55 |
| Master’s degree | 7 24 |
| Associate degree | 1 3 |
| Profession | n=29 |
| Social worker | 5 17 |
| Nurse | 24 83 |
| Nurse practitioner | 2 8 |
| Sexual assault nurse examiner | 20 83 |
| Years worked in current role at centre | n=30 |
| <1 | 0 0 |
| 1–5 | 4 13 |
| 6–10 | 8 27 |
| 10+ | 18 60 |
| Providing direct clinical care to clients at centre 65 or older | n=30 |
| No | 3 10 |
| Yes* | 27 90 |
| Emergency healthcare | 22 81 |
| Consultation with other health providers | 22 81 |
| Follow-up care | 21 78 |
| Crisis counselling | 20 74 |
| Short-term counselling | 12 44 |
| Level of knowledge and/or expertise related to elder abuse | n=30 |
| Low level | 2 7 |
| Mid level | 21 70 |
| High level | 7 23 |

*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
Development of skills-based competencies from Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner components of care

The 101 Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner components of care were translated into 65 draft competencies organised thematically for later ease of review in the consensus meeting: (1) interview with older adult, caregiver and other important contacts (19 competencies); (2) legal and legislative issues (7 competencies); (3) screening for indicators of elder abuse (6 competencies); (4) medical/forensic assessment (16 competencies); (5) environmental/functional assessment (4 competencies); (6) clinical formulation (3 competencies); (7) documentation (1 competency); and (8) discharge planning and follow-up care (9 competencies).

After extensive discussion, revision and refinement by the consensus meeting expert panel, a list of 47 final skills-based competencies was produced. These competencies were organised by content into five metacompetencies: documentation, legal and legislative issues, screening for indicators of elder abuse, medical/forensic assessment, and environmental/functional assessment. Each metacompetency was further divided into subcompetencies.

Table 2: Summary of results from the Delphi consensus survey rounds 1 and 2

| Thematic category (from systematic scoping review) | Items rated as important and consensus and/or high level of agreement achieved* | Items rated as within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner† |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial contact                                   | Round 1: 5/7 | Round 2: 1/2 | Overall: 6/7 | 6/6 (100%) |
| Capacity and consent                             | 1/8 | 3/7 | 4/8 | 2/4 (50%) |
| Interview with older adult, suspected abuser, caregiver and/or other relevant contacts | 49/67 | 8/18 | 57/67 | 51/57 (89%) |
| Assessment: physical/forensic, mental, psychosocial and environmental/functional | 24/42 | 5/18 | 29/42 | 28/29 (97%) |
| Care plan                                         | 19/24 | 4/5 | 23/24 | 14/23 (61%) |

*Number of items rated important (mean rating 4+) and achieved consensus in round 1 or 2 (IQR <1) and/or high level of agreement in round 2 (80% of ratings 4+)/number of items rated.
†Number of items rated as within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner (by at least 60% of respondents)/number of items rated important and achieved consensus and/or high level of agreement.

DISCUSSION

It is increasingly recognised globally that in order to address the complex needs of older women and men who experience elder abuse, multidisciplinary and coordinated care responses are critical.

Despite this recognition, few such interventions have emerged. To address the gap in policy and practice in Canada, we have been advancing a multiphase, multimethod programme of research to develop, implement and evaluate a comprehensive hospital-based nurse examiner elder abuse intervention in Ontario. In an important step in the elder abuse intervention research programme, this study engaged 30 experienced experts in the review and evaluation of recommended components of care and development of skills-based competencies for use in an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum.

Although most components of care were rated important and achieved consensus and/or a high level of agreement (80%, 119/148), there were two thematic categories from which a disproportionate number of components were dropped from the elder abuse intervention under development: ‘capacity and consent’ and ‘assessment: physical/forensic, mental, psychosocial and environmental/functional’. For example, ‘assess the older adult for changes from previous level in mental status and/or neurological examination’, and ‘if the older adult’s initial mental status examination shows incapacity, perform neuropsychological testing’ may have been seen by some experts as too far outside the scope of the comprehensive intervention being developed. Other components of care such as, ‘determine who, within the older adult’s family, do members turn to in time of conflict’, and ‘determine the importance of spirituality to the older adult’ may have been seen as invasive.
Box 1  List of skills-based competencies for an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner in Ontario, Canada

**Documentation, legal and legislative issues**
1. Generate an accurate, timely and complete record of all observations and care provided
2. Determine if there is a substitute decision-maker (SDM) if the older adult is not capable of providing consent to care and, if the SDM is the suspected abuser or no SDM is appointed, initiate process to have SDM appointed
3. Report suspected abuse(r) where required

**Interview with older adult, caregiver and other relevant contacts**
4. Assess the capacity of the older adult to consent to care and obtain consent
5. If the older adult is found to be capable of consent, but does not consent to proceed with care, document decline of services and propose future contact
6. Explain the parameters of confidentiality
7. Assess immediate risk to older adult
8. Determine the perspective of the older adult on presenting concerns
9. Clarify the expectations of the older adult regarding care and involve the older adult in care planning
10. Determine and address unique needs of the older adult that may impact the way in which care is delivered or accepted
11. Determine the primary caregiver
12. Determine the role expectations of the older adult for self and caregiver
13. Determine the formal and informal supports of the older adult
14. Determine if caregiver/other important contacts understand the needs of the older adult
15. Determine how caregiver/other important contacts cope with the responsibility of caring for the older adult
16. Determine any longstanding negative dynamics in relationships among the older adult and persons with whom there is an expectation of trust
17. Determine if there have been any recent crises in the life of the older adult
18. Ask the older adult directly about all types of abuse
19. Determine if there are barriers to disclosure of abuse

**Assessment**
20. Assess for indicators of neglect, financial, psychological, physical and sexual abuse

**Medical and forensic examination**
21. Describe the general demeanour and behaviour of the older adult
22. Describe the physical appearance and hygiene of the older adult
23. Describe the ability of the older adult to carry out basic activities of daily living and limitations in functional history
24. Identify need for assistive devices for the older adult and determine if assistive devices have been appropriately provided and are in working condition
25. Assess the living situation of the older adult
26. Document the health history of the older adult
27. Evaluate the need for X-ray/imaging and laboratory test studies for the older adult, and refer to physician where indicated
28. Describe any signs in the older adult of inadequate nutrition, dehydration, improper medication administration or substance abuse
29. Perform tests to rule out the presence of sexually transmitted infections in the older adult
30. Explain to the older adult the options for reporting suspected abuse to the police and preservation of examination findings
31. Conduct a general survey and head to toe assessment of the older adult and describe visible injuries as well as complaints of pain and tenderness
32. Describe the circumstances of injuries, whether intentional or unintentional
33. Describe indicators of strangulation and make a recording of the voice of the older adult
34. Photograph injuries and other findings on the body of the older adult
35. Collect physical evidence from the body of older adult
36. Obtain toxicology samples for testing from the older adult
37. Maintain chain of custody in transfer of forensic evidence collected to the police

**Case summary, discharge plan and follow-up care**
38. Gather explanations from caregiver/other important contacts for documented injuries or other physical findings
39. Determine any discrepancies and inconsistencies in the accounts of abuse obtained from the caregiver/other important contacts and the older adult and other information sources
40. Create a case summary of the information gathered from the interviews and assessment
41. Educate the older adult about elder abuse
42. Arrange for immediate basic needs of the older adult
43. Inform the older adult about and facilitate referral to local community resources
44. Develop and implement a safety plan
45. Develop and implement a plan for follow-up care
46. Participate on case review team
47. Testify in guardianship and other legal proceedings
A small minority (18/119) of retained components of care were evaluated as outside the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner. These care items, most commonly found within the domains of ‘capacity and consent’ (eg, ‘apply for an emergency guardianship order for the older adult’ and ‘notify and consult all members of the team on drastic changes in the older adult’s situation’) could nonetheless be delivered by other trained professionals that will comprise the elder abuse intervention. In this regard, as a future step in our research, interprofessional and intersectoral agreements will be established and pilot tested to ensure the feasibility of this type of collaboration.44 45

Eighty-five per cent (101/119) of the retained components of care, however, were seen by the majority of respondents as within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner, demonstrating the perceived versatility of such a professional in delivering multifaceted care to older women and men. It was noted on the surveys that although the delivery of many of the endorsed components of care is already being addressed to some extent in the current SANE curriculum in Ontario,32 some items are completely new and would require additional training. In perhaps the largest departure from the current nurse examiner role in the acute care model, Elder Abuse Nurse Examiners will need to participate on a case review team comprised of their multidisciplinary colleagues and intersectoral collaborators.90 This will require training in working collaboratively to assess risk and formulate longer term welfare plans.46–48

The 101 Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner components of care were translated into 47 competencies that will underpin an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum to be developed for use by SA/DVTCs. Focused in five overarching areas of competence, these competencies reflect an expanded role for existing forensic nurse examiners in Ontario and confirm their centrality in providing elder abuse care within a comprehensive hospital-based response. This expanded role was the subject of much discussion in the consensus meeting, where it was noted, for example, that the Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner would need to be trained to utilise and interpret health history to identify pertinent negative patterns indicative of elder abuse in conjunction with input from other healthcare professionals and intersectoral collaborators.49 50 Our findings may have implications for the more than 750 forensic nurse examiner programmes across the globe.16

**Limitations**

A strength of this study is the multimethod approach taken to develop competencies. Several steps were taken to mitigate the potential risks of bias inherent in the Delphi process. The study was conducted in accordance with guidelines for Delphi consensus survey research.24 25 27 To reduce the risk of attrition bias,27 we sent several email reminders at regular intervals, yielding a high retention rate (96%) between rounds.27 31 To minimise risk of misinterpretation of recommendations,27 we pretested the questionnaires and provided, when requested by participants, immediate clarification of context, wording and content by email or phone. Such steps usually improve the internal validity of a Delphi study.52 53 However, the Delphi method itself constitutes relatively ‘low’ level evidence, as it is expert opinion, with risk for relatively low external validity.51–53 Nonetheless, the Delphi method is routinely used in situations where there is lack of evidence or very little known. This method has been used successfully in studies focused on defining and understanding elder abuse,54 55 and the development of competencies for healthcare provider training.51 56 The external validity of our study was promoted by the diversity and expertise of the members of our panel, as well as by their extensive leadership and practical experience in related clinical work.

**CONCLUSION**

This study identified components of a comprehensive elder abuse intervention that would be delivered by a specially trained Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner. Based on these components of care, competencies were developed to form the core of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner curriculum being developed,57 which may be useful to other jurisdictions considering the implementation of forensic nurse examiner elder abuse services. In future research, the consensus methods used in this study could be employed to develop training tools for other professionals who comprise multidisciplinary, intersectoral responses to elder abuse.
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Appendix 1: Evaluated Components of Care Relevant to a Comprehensive Hospital-based Elder Abuse Intervention

| Recommended Component of Care | Results of Delphi Consensus Survey Rounds 1 and 2 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                               | Mean Rating | Inter-quartile range | % Ratings 4+ | Included Items by Round | Within the scope of practice of an Elder Abuse Nurse Examiner |
| Initial Contact               |             |                     |              |                         |                                                              |
| “[Determine if] interpreter or [c]ultural [a]dvisor required.” | 4.77 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Determine the level and urgency of safety concerns.” | 4.96 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Determine if perpetrator still has access to the victim.” | 5.00 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Identify risk that is life threatening, including risk of homicide.” | 5.00 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Identify risk of suicide and self-harm.” | 4.96 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “[Record] last name, first name, street address…telephone (home, work), age, date of birth, gender, [and] ethnicity.” | 3.82 | 2.00 | 64% | N.I. | N.I. |
| “[Where sexual assault is suspected], encourage the victim to preserve evidence by not changing clothes, washing, using bathroom, drinking anything, combing hair or disturbing scene.” | 4.43 | 1.00 | 87% | 2 | Yes |
| Capacity and Consent          |             |                     |              |                         |                                                              |
| “[Determine if] there [has] been a previous medical opinion that the client lacks capacity.” | 4.09 | 1.00 | 77% | N.I. | N.I. |
| “[Determine] (1) whether mental deficits exist; (2) whether mental deficits significantly affect legal mental capacity; (3) a diagnosis; (4) whether a mental disorder is treatable; and (5) whether the mental deficits may be reversible.” | 3.68 | 2.00 | 59% | N.I. | N.I. |
“[Assess] memory (delayed recall of three items and response to questions related to temporal orientation); language (naming common objects, repeating a linguistically difficult phrase, following a three step command, and writing a sentence); spatial ability (copying a two-dimensional figure); and set-shifting (performing serial sevens or spelling the word “world” backwards).”

| Question                                                                 | Score | Percent | Yes | No |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|-----|----|
| “[Determine the] client's perspective on the questions raised about their capacity.” | 3.77  | 2.00    | 59% | N.I.|
| “If the person is able to understand and accept the consequences of decisions… [and there is] no consent [to care]: provide information, document abuse, and follow up plan to obtain consent (e.g. provide support, education).” | 4.43  | 1.00    | 86% | 2 Yes|
| “If the person is [not] able to understand and accept the consequences of decisions, contact substitute decision maker (SDM). If SDM is abuser or no SDM appointed, contact the public guardian and trustee’s office to investigate.” | 4.76  | 0.00    | 92% | 1 Yes|
| “Does victim appear to have capacity and ability to protect himself/herself? [If no] initiate process for [public guardian and trustee] or [f]amily/[f]riend petition for private Conservatorship.” | 4.14  | 1.00    | 82% | 2 No|
| “[Where the older adult lacks capacity]: If the elder has no relatives/guardian or the elder’s relatives/guardian refuse to allow him/her to receive the treatment, in the interest of the elder’s personal safety, the [healthcare provider] in charge should apply for the elder an emergency guardianship order so that the elder can be provided with the required medical services.” | 4.45  | 1.00    | 91% | 2 No|

Interview with Older Adult, Suspected Abuser, Caregiver, and/or Other Relevant Contacts

Interview with Older Adult

“[Keep whatever information a person chooses to share or whatever information becomes known about them … confidential except in specific situations, as dictated by law.” | 4.78  | 0.00    | 96% | 1 Yes|

“Record the name(s), addresses, and telephone numbers of current or prior health care providers who have participated in caring for the patient in the past.” | 3.95  | 1.50    | 73% | N.I.|

| Question                                                                 | Score | Percent | Yes | No |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|-----|----|
| “[Determine the] client's perspective on the questions raised about their capacity.” | 3.95  | 2.00    | 68% | N.I.|
| “If the person is able to understand and accept the consequences of decisions… [and there is] no consent [to care]: provide information, document abuse, and follow up plan to obtain consent (e.g. provide support, education).” | 4.43  | 1.00    | 86% | 2 Yes|
| “If the person is [not] able to understand and accept the consequences of decisions, contact substitute decision maker (SDM). If SDM is abuser or no SDM appointed, contact the public guardian and trustee’s office to investigate.” | 4.76  | 0.00    | 92% | 1 Yes|
| “Does victim appear to have capacity and ability to protect himself/herself? [If no] initiate process for [public guardian and trustee] or [f]amily/[f]riend petition for private Conservatorship.” | 4.14  | 1.00    | 82% | 2 No|
| “[Where the older adult lacks capacity]: If the elder has no relatives/guardian or the elder’s relatives/guardian refuse to allow him/her to receive the treatment, in the interest of the elder’s personal safety, the [healthcare provider] in charge should apply for the elder an emergency guardianship order so that the elder can be provided with the required medical services.” | 4.45  | 1.00    | 91% | 2 No|
| Step | Instruction                                                                                                                   | Score | Level | Progress | Completeness | IsEnabled |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| 1    | Record current use of medication(s) such as aspirin, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and/or [anti-coagulants] that the patient has been taking. | 4.87  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 2    | Define coping: (a) Wellness and disease management (e.g. diet, exercise, management of chronic conditions), (b) Coping styles and techniques, ... (c) Use of psychotropic medications, history of psychiatric care/hospitalization, (d) History of non-functional coping approaches/behaviours (e.g. self-harm, hoarding, rituals, ruminating), (e) Use of alcohol/drugs (frequency, amount, any problems associated with use), (f) Sleeping patterns, (g) Alternative/traditional health practices. | 4.23  | 1.00  | 82%      | 2            | Yes       |
| 3    | Ask the client about his or her expectations regarding care.                                                                   | 4.74  | 0.00  | 96%      | 1            | Yes       |
| 4    | Assess caregiving and social support.                                                                                         | 4.87  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 5    | [Ask what thoughts do you have about how your illness or care might affect others in your life?]                               | 4.09  | 1.00  | 77%      | N.I.         | N.I.      |
| 6    | Assess longstanding relationship problems [dynamics] between victim and perpetrator.                                          | 4.68  | 0.75  | 95%      | 1            | Yes       |
| 7    | [Determine risk of abuse: (a) Risk factors/indicators (b) Nature of concerns (c) Client insight into any issues (d) Client's ability to protect self from any mistreatment (i.e. degree of vulnerability) (e) Client report of safety and necessary care.] | 5.00  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 8    | Ask client about role expectations for self and caregiver.                                                                     | 4.36  | 1.00  | 91%      | 2            | Yes       |
| 9    | Try to assess whether the person "understands" and "appreciates" what is happening and what their needs are.                   | 4.87  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 10   | Ask directly about abuse – ‘We ask everyone about abuse in their lives because it is a concern for many people. Is there any person, or place in your life that makes you feel unsafe?’” | 5.00  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 11   | Document details of abuse [as reported] (type, frequency, and severity).                                                       | 5.00  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 12   | Once the older victim begins to disclose information, ask the victim to describe the situation or incident in their own words.” | 4.83  | 0.00  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| 13   | Provide best known time frame [for occurrence of abuse] (e.g., 2 days, 1 week, or ongoing).                                   | 4.74  | 0.50  | 100%     | 1            | Yes       |
| Question                                                                 | Score | Likelihood | Yes (%) | N.I. | Yes (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|---------|------|---------|
| “[Ask w]hat religious beliefs, past experiences, attitudes about social service agencies or law enforcement, or social stigmas may affect [older adult, caregiver, etc.] decisions to accept or refuse help from outsiders?” | 4.14  | 1.00       | 77%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “With immigrant older adults, [ask] when did they come to [the country] and under what circumstances? Did they come alone or with family members? Did other family members sponsor them and, if so, what resources did those family members agree to provide? What is their legal status?” | 3.95  | 2.00       | 68%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “Because it is common for more than one type of elder abuse to be taking place, be alert for signs and symptoms for all types of abuse and neglect.” | 5.00  | 0.00       | 100%    | 1    | Yes     |
| **Specific questions: Financial Abuse**                                  |       |            |         |      |         |
| “[Ask d]o you know your income and its sources?”                         | 4.36  | 1.00       | 86%     | 2    | No      |
| “[Ask d]o you have a Power of Attorney?”                                 | 4.78  | 0.00       | 96%     | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask q]uestions about theft or improper control of money or property.”  | 4.68  | 0.75       | 95%     | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask h]ow do you get to the bank?”                                     | 4.65  | 0.50       | 91%     | 1    | No      |
| “[Ask d]o you have any assets?”                                         | 4.00  | 1.00       | 77%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “[Ask d]o you have any debt?”                                           | 3.77  | 1.00       | 68%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “[Ask w]ho does your finances?”                                         | 4.65  | 0.00       | 87%     | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask a]re you comfortable with how [the person who does your finances] handle[s] your finances?” | 4.78  | 0.00       | 100%    | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask d]o you ever run out of money for food or worry about your rent?” | 4.87  | 0.00       | 96%     | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask d]oes your family/friend come to you for money?”                   | 4.09  | 1.00       | 78%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “[Ask d]oes anyone ever take anything from you or use your money without permission? Can you give me an example?” | 4.87  | 0.00       | 96%     | 1    | Yes     |
| **Specific questions: Neglect**                                          |       |            |         |      |         |
| “[Ask t]ell me about your living situation. Are you happy with it?”     | 4.91  | 0.00       | 100%    | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask a]re you alone a lot?”                                            | 4.26  | 1.00       | 78%     | N.I. | N.I.    |
| “[Ask a]re you getting all the help that you need?”                     | 4.83  | 0.00       | 96%     | 1    | Yes     |
| “[Ask d]oes anyone ever tell you that you’re sick when you know you aren’t?” | 4.26  | 1.00       | 87%     | 2    | Yes     |
| “[Ask d]o you feel that your food, clothing, and medications are available to you at all times?” | 4.91  | 0.00       | 100%    | 1    | Yes     |
| Question                                                                 | Score | Vol | Correct % | Ans | Result |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----------|-----|--------|
| “[Ask when]en was the last time you [were able] to see relatives and/or friends?” | 4.70  | 0.50 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask has anyone ever failed [or refused] to help you when you were unable to help yourself?” | 4.74  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “Ask directly if the patient has experienced being left alone, tied to chair or bed, or left locked in a room.” | 4.83  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| **Specific questions: Physical Abuse**                                   |       |     |           |     |        |
| “[Ask has anyone ever hit, slapped, restrained or hurt you?” | 5.00  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask how did the person hurt you?” | 5.00  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask what part of your body was hurt?” | 5.00  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| **Specific questions: Psychological Abuse**                              |       |     |           |     |        |
| “[Ask do you sometimes feel nervous or afraid?” | 4.91  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask does anyone call you names or insult you?” | 4.91  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask are you able to freely communicate with your friends and/or other family members?” | 4.87  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask are you often yelled at by someone? Who? What do they say?” | 4.78  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask does anyone threaten or intimidate you? Who? What do they say or do?” | 4.87  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask who makes decisions about your life, such as how or where you will live?” | 4.78  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask has anyone ever threatened to send you to a nursing home?” | 4.57  | 0.75 | 86%       | 2   | Yes    |
| “[Ask has anyone ever threatened to send you back home (i.e. country of origin)?” | 4.74  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask does anyone ever tell you that you are no good?” | 4.74  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “Assess if patient senses being ignored or is made to feel like a burden in any way.” | 4.78  | 0.00 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| **Specific questions: Sexual Abuse**                                     |       |     |           |     |        |
| “[Ask does anyone make lewd or offensive comments to you?” | 4.70  | 0.50 | 96%       | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask does anyone approach you in a way that causes you to feel uncomfortable?” | 4.91  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| “[Ask does anyone touch you without your consent?” | 5.00  | 0.00 | 100%      | 1   | Yes    |
| Question                                                                 | Score | Confidence | % | Result |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|---|--------|
| "[Ask d]oes anyone touch you sexually without your consent?"            | 5.00  | 100%       | 1 | Yes    |
| "[Ask d]oes someone make you touch him/her in a sexual way without your consent?" | 4.95  | 100%       | 1 | Yes    |
| "[Ask d]oes someone force you into having sex without consent?"         | 5.00  | 100%       | 1 | Yes    |
| Interview with Suspected Abuser, Caregiver, and Other Relevant Contacts |       |            |   |        |
| "[Record] last name, first name, street address…telephone (home, work), age, date of birth, gender, ethnicity, [and] relationship to the older adult." | 4.86  | 95%        | 1 | No     |
| "Assess if the caregiver understands the older adult’s needs and prognoses." | 4.73  | 91%        | 1 | Yes    |
| "Assess whether the caregiver is experiencing stress related to the older adult or other circumstances." | 4.59  | 86%        | 1 | No     |
| "Assess whether the caregiver has sufficient emotional, financial, and intellectual ability to carry out care giving tasks." | 4.68  | 91%        | 1 | No     |
| "[Determine] carer’s understanding of patient’s illness (care, needs, prognosis, and so on)." | 4.64  | 91%        | 1 | Yes    |
| "[Gather] explanations for injuries or physical findings” e.g. [Ask] “Your mother/father, etc.] is suffering from malnourishment and/or dehydration. How do you think she got this way?” | 4.52  | 91%        | 2 | Yes    |
| "[Ask h]ow do you cope with having to care for your mother/father, etc.] all the time?” | 4.27  | 86%        | 2 | Yes    |
| “Determine willingness for intervention.”                               | 4.41  | 86%        | 2 | No     |
| “Assess the suspected perpetrator’s degree of dependence on the elder’s income, pensions, or assets?” | 3.59  | 59%        | N.I.| N.I.   |
| “Pay particular attention to any discrepancies and inconsistencies in the accounts of abuse obtained from the older woman, the alleged abuser, and other information sources.” | 4.73  | 95%        | 1 | Yes    |
| “Make collateral contact promptly, before caregiver attempts to collude with them.” | 3.55  | 59%        | N.I.| N.I.   |
| Assessment: Physical/Forensic, Mental, Psychosocial, and Environmental/Functional |       |            |   |        |
| “In cases where forensic evidence has been collected, provide to the police with” | 4.68  | 95%        | 1 | Yes    |
patient/substitute decision maker consent.”

| Physical/Forensic Assessment                                                                 |   |   |   |   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| “[Record h]eight, [w]eight, [p]rior [w]eight, [d]ate of [p]rior [w]eight.”                  | 4.43 | 1.00 | 86% | 2 | Yes |
| “Record vital signs to include postural pulse and blood pressure.”                          | 4.48 | 1.00 | 81% | 2 | Yes |
| “Evaluate sensory abilities.”                                                               | 4.33 | 1.00 | 76% | N.I. | N.I. |
| “[E]valuate abused elders for evidence of infection, dehydration, electrolyte abnormalities, malnutrition, improper medication administration, and substance abuse.” | 4.59 | 0.00 | 91% | 1 | Yes |
| “Create a chronological history of recorded [visits] to the emergency, incidences from the chart together with anecdotal information from other sources to clarify the picture.” | 4.05 | 2.00 | 71% | N.I. | N.I. |
| “Conduct a general physical exam and record findings.”                                      | 4.91 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “[Conduct g]ynecologic procedures to rule out [a sexually transmitted infection] by sexual assault.” | 4.33 | 1.00 | 86% | 2 | Yes |
| “Be observant for erythema (redness), abrasions, bruises, swelling, lacerations, fractures, bites, pressure ulcers, cachexia or evidence of dehydration, and burns.” | 5.00 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Document … pain.”                                                                          | 4.86 | 0.00 | 95% | 1 | Yes |
| “[D]ocument circumstances [of injury] (e.g., client was pushed, client has balance problem, patient was drowsy from medications and fell).” | 4.90 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Photograph injuries and other findings according to local policy using proper photographic techniques.” | 4.90 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Arrange … to have follow-up photographs taken in 1-2 days after the bruising develops more fully.” | 4.82 | 0.00 | 95% | 1 | Yes |
| “[Document c]ircumscribed nuchal rope burns or hand imprints [which] indicate recent strangulation attempts or bondage.” | 5.00 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Document whether or not a voice recording of strangulation injuries was made.”              | 4.10 | 2.00 | 71% | N.I. | N.I. |
| “[Collect] the victim's clothes, bed sheets and any other possible evidence.”               | 4.82 | 0.00 | 100% | 1 | Yes |
| “Collect foreign materials such as fibers, sand, hair, grass, soil, and vegetation.”        | 4.64 | 0.00 | 86% | 1 | Yes |
"Collect biological samples for testing from victims."

| 4.82 | 0.00 | 95% | 1 | Yes |

"[Order laboratory tests … to confirm … or exclude … physical abuse including] hematuria, myoglobinuria, elevated serum creatine phosphokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, erythrocyte sedimentation rates, microscopic hair analysis, coagulation times, bone scans or x-rays, and CT and MRI."

| 4.68 | 0.00 | 91% | 1 | Yes |

**Mental Health Assessment**

"[Ask about] history of depression, anxiety, PTSD, suicide risk … delusions and hallucinations."

| 4.68 | 0.00 | 95% | 1 | Yes |

"Describe the patient’s general demeanor/behavior during exam."

| 4.55 | 0.75 | 91% | 1 | Yes |

"Assess for: changes from previous level in mental status and neurological exam."

| 4.24 | 1.00 | 76% | N.I. | N.I. |

"[Perform] neurophysical testing … if the client's [initial] mental status exam shows incapacity"

| 3.76 | 2.00 | 67% | N.I. | N.I. |

"[Assess] basic skills for financial management (e.g., unable to write a check, count change, complete simple calculations, etc.)."

| 3.95 | 2.00 | 71% | N.I. | N.I. |

**Psychosocial Assessment**

"[Record] current living situation…housing and co-residents."

| 4.86 | 0.00 | 95% | 1 | Yes |

"[Record] social and family history: (a) Family of origin / (b) Education (formal, informal meaning to the client), (c) Occupation, (d) Work skills …, Hobbies/interests … (k) Social groups (e.g. church/family community, senior group, etc.)."

| 3.95 | 2.00 | 70% | N.I. | N.I. |

"Find … out how the client spends a typical day … to determine the degree of dependence on others and to find out who the client's most frequent and significant contacts are."

| 4.59 | 0.00 | 91% | 1 | No |

"[Ask] what role do older adults play in the family? In the community?"

| 3.90 | 0.00 | 76% | N.I. | N.I. |

"[Ask] who makes decisions about how family resources are used? About other aspects of family life?"

| 3.86 | 0.75 | 73% | N.I. | N.I. |

"[Ask] who, within the family, do members turn to in times of conflict?"

| 3.95 | 1.00 | 76% | N.I. | N.I. |

"[Ask] who, within the family, is expected to provide care to frail members? What happens when they fail [or refuse] to do so?"

| 3.95 | 1.75 | 73% | N.I. | N.I. |
| Task Description                                                                 | Score | Rating | Percentage | Valid | Answer |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|------------|-------|--------|
| “Have the client report any recent crises in family life.”                        | 4.64  | 0.00   | 91%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “Determine the importance of spirituality to the elder.”                          | 4.14  | 1.00   | 76%        | N.I.  | N.I.   |
| **Environmental/Functional Assessment**                                           |       |        |            |       |        |
| “Describe the patient’s general physical appearance and hygiene.”                 | 4.86  | 0.00   | 100%       | 1     | Yes    |
| “Describe condition of patient’s glasses, dentures, hearing aids, wheelchairs, canes, walkers, etc.” | 4.52  | 1.00   | 90%        | 2     | Yes    |
| “Does client [have] enough clothes?”                                              | 4.59  | 0.75   | 86%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “Ask about any pets, and what the pets need, as this is often an important consideration in making decisions about staying or leaving.” | 4.38  | 1.00   | 90%        | 2     | Yes    |
| “Assess the client's ability to perform activities of daily living …. Basic living skills that require assessment are the clients' ability to groom themselves, to dress, to walk, to bathe, to use the toilet, and to feed themselves.” | 4.59  | 0.00   | 86%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “Indicate any limitations [in] functional history.”                                | 4.64  | 0.75   | 91%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “[Record] Coping style and techniques - Ask the client: What lessons have you learned about how to cope with life from day to day? Are there ways you wish you cope better?” | 4.05  | 2.00   | 68%        | N.I.  | N.I.   |
| “[Determine] who is the designated carer if [independence with activities of daily living] are impaired.” | 4.64  | 0.00   | 91%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “Identify and document details of the neglect according to the senior (frequency, what needs aren't being met, etc.)” | 4.91  | 0.00   | 100%       | 1     | Yes    |
| **Care Plan**                                                                     |       |        |            |       |        |
| “Assign a case manager.”                                                           | 4.45  | 0.75   | 82%        | 1     | No     |
| “Address immediate basic needs such as clothing, transportation (cab fare or transit tokens), food and shelter first.” | 4.77  | 0.00   | 95%        | 1     | Yes    |
| “Arrange for the provision of supportive services including … temporary medications, assistive devices.” | 4.73  | 0.00   | 95%        | 1     | No     |
| “[Arrange] short hospital stay or repeated contact for further assessment and case planning.” | 4.73  | 0.00   | 91%        | 1     | No     |
| “If a client reveals information that must be reported… work to include the client in the reporting process.” | 4.91  | 0.00   | 100%       | 1     | Yes    |
| Action                                                                                                                                   | Score | Rating | Status |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| “If the older person is at serious risk, [invoke] an interim order to allow the older person to be removed to alternative accommodation.” | 4.81  | 95%    | Yes    |
| “Find a safe place, such as a shelter, a hospital, a home of a trusted friend or family member or emergency placement in a long term care facility or retirement home.” | 4.91  | 100%   | Yes    |
| “Educate the patient to recognize and use community resources such as emergency shelter, elder shelter, transportation, police intervention, and legal action.” | 4.68  | 91%    | Yes    |
| “Refer … patient, family members, or both to appropriate services (eg, social work, counselling services, legal assistance, and advocacy.” | 4.91  | 100%   | Yes    |
| “Ask whether they have a means of getting to the services you have recommended or referred to them; and offer help if required.” | 4.73  | 91%    | Yes    |
| “Provide information to the older person about the following: That what is happening is not their fault; that many older people experience this mistreatment by family members; and that there are people who can them find ways to stop the mistreatment / That abuse escalates over time and without some kind of actions it’s unlikely to stop / That safety planning is necessary to keep them safe when the abuse happens again.” | 4.91  | 100%   | Yes    |
| “Develop and review safety plan.” / “Teach your older patients … safeguards to help them avoid abusive situations. Stay sociable… Stay active… Stay organized… Stay informed.” / “Explain to the patient that anticipated high-risk times can be reduced by having family members, friends, and other support system members visit during those times or periods of time, or by participating in community activities and agency programs, such as senior center, an adult daycare, church, and so forth.” | 4.95  | 100%   | Yes    |
| “Where abuse is related to caregiver stresses, [take] actions … to reduce these factors: respite/home care to reduce caregiver burden for high priority clients, supportive therapy or medical intervention for caregiver, education.” | 4.24  | 81%    | No     |
| “When an Adult Declines the Care Plan: Consider the reasons why the support and assistance was declined / Coordinate the supports and assistance that will” | 4.57  | 90%    | Yes    |
be accepted / Reassess the level of risk to the adult and assets / … / Consider using legal tools to protect the adult/assets / Consider using emergency provisions to protect the adult/assets / Put the recommended care plan and rationale in writing, and give to the person responsible for implementation/document the reasons why the care plan was declined / Have a clear plan for following up and monitoring the situation.”**

“**All [relevant] professionals should attend [multidisciplinary care committee meetings] wherever possible to assist the formulation of a welfare plan for the abused elder.”**

| Action                                                                 | Score | Type | Percentage | Action Taken |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|------------|--------------|
| “[Invite t]he elder/family members/guardians/suspected abuser … to attend the entire [or] part of the [multidisciplinary care committee meeting] … after the initial recommendations on the welfare plan have been made.” | 4.52  | 1.00 | 90%        | 2 No         |
| “[P]repare a brief report for the case and submit it to the participating professionals before the [multidisciplinary care committee meeting].” | 4.62  | 1.00 | 90%        | 2 Yes        |
| “[E]stablish clear expectations to the [multidisciplinary care committee] regarding what observations should be communicated back to the Case Manager for further actions” | 4.73  | 0.00 | 95%        | 1 No         |
| “[M]aintain contact with all [multidisciplinary care committee] members to ensure a smooth implementation of the welfare plan.” | 4.64  | 0.00 | 86%        | 1 No         |
| “[N]otify and consult all members on the drastic changes in the elder's situation. A review conference may also be considered where necessary.” | 4.64  | 0.00 | 86%        | 1 No         |
| “Maintain an ongoing telephone or in-person contact [with older adult] to further assess the situation, to diminish the fear and anxiety of the vulnerable person and to establish a trusting relationship.” | 4.14  | 1.00 | 81%        | 2 No         |
| “Attempt to engage other friends, neighbours or relatives to support the person, providing the individual consents.” | 3.90  | 1.00 | 76%        | N.I. N.I.    |
| “[R]eview and update the safety plan at regular intervals” - | 3.90  | 1.00 | 76%        | N.I. N.I.    |
| “[T]erminate [the case]… when any of the following circumstances occur: When requested by the adult … / The adult no longer needs … services / The adult leaves the … area of jurisdiction… / The adult dies.” - | 4.82  | 0.00 | 95%        | 1 Yes        |
Note: Recommended components of care are reported in Du Mont J, Macdonald S, Kosa D, et al. Development of a hospital-based elder abuse intervention: an initial systematic scoping review. *PLoS One* 2015;10(5):e0125105. The same/similar recommendations may have been made in multiple documents, however, a direct quotation from a single representative citation is provided for each. Each recommendation would only be applied where relevant, appropriate and with consent (when required); N.I. = Not Included.

*One item from Du Mont J, Macdonald S, Kosa D, et al. (2015) was not rated in the Delphi consensus surveys due to a survey development error. This item, “[Ask h]ave you ever been asked to sign papers that you didn't understand?”, was, however, captured in the competency, “Assess for indicators of neglect, financial, psychological, physical, and sexual abuse.” This item was not reported in the counts of this study.

**More than one related recommendation is listed in this row