Distinct Molecular Signatures of Quiescent and Activated Adult Neural Stem Cells Reveal Specific Interactions with Their Microenvironment
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SUMMARY

Deciphering the mechanisms that regulate the quiescence of adult neural stem cells (NSCs) is crucial for the development of therapeutic strategies based on the stimulation of their endogenous regenerative potential in the damaged brain. We show that LeX bright cells sorted from the adult mouse subventricular zone exhibit all the characteristic features of quiescent NSCs. Indeed, they constitute a subpopulation of slowly dividing cells that is able to enter the cell cycle to regenerate the irradiated niche. Comparative transcriptomic analyses showed that they express hallmarks of NSCs but display a distinct molecular signature from activated NSCs (LeX “EGFR” cells). Particularly, numerous membrane receptors are expressed on quiescent NSCs. We further revealed a different expression pattern of Syndecan-1 between quiescent and activated NSCs and demonstrated its role in the proliferation of activated NSCs. Our data highlight the central role of the stem cell microenvironment in the regulation of quiescence in adult neurogenic niches.

INTRODUCTION

Adult stem cells reside within specialized microenvironments that integrate intricate signals critical for maintaining stem cell populations in an undifferentiated state, guiding cell fate decisions, and modulating the regenerative potential of the niche (Papanikolau et al., 2008). In the adult mammalian brain, neural stem cells (NSCs) continuously generate neurons throughout life in two discrete regions: the subventricular zone (SVZ) along the lateral ventricles and the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the hippocampal dentate gyrus. NSCs from the adult SVZ successively give rise to transit-amplifying cells and neuroblasts that differentiate into neurons once they have reached the olfactory bulbs (Lim and Alvarez-Buylla, 2014). A key feature of NSCs is their remarkable proliferative capacity that sustains regeneration of damaged tissue through the activation of quiescent stem cells (Codega et al., 2014; Daynac et al., 2013; Doetsch et al., 1999; Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Mich et al., 2014; Morshhead et al., 1994).

In contrast to their progeny, most adult NSCs are quiescent and a tight regulation of the balance between their quiescent and proliferative states appears essential for their long-term maintenance in neurogenic niches (Fuentealba et al., 2015; Furutachi et al., 2015). Indeed, dysregulation and/or loss of quiescence often results in premature proliferation of NSCs ultimately leading to the depletion of neural stem and progenitor cells (Kippin et al., 2005; Mira et al., 2010; Molofsky et al., 2003; Ottone et al., 2014). Deciphering the functional properties of quiescent NSCs and the associated regulatory mechanisms is thus important to develop new approaches for NSC-based regenerative medicine.

The complexity of neurogenic niches, small number of resident NSCs, as well as the lack of specific cellular markers have long hampered the study of NSCs. The recent development of flow cytometry-based cell sorting strategies has enabled the identification and the isolation of quiescent and proliferating NSCs from their niche and opened new doors for the study of the regulation of stem cell quiescence (Codega et al., 2014; Daynac et al., 2013; Dulken et al., 2017; Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Mich et al., 2014). While all of the cell-sorting strategies rely on the use of the EGF receptor to discriminate the two subpopulations of NSCs, several combinations of markers have been used to identify the stem cell population, including CD133 (Beckvordersandforth et al., 2010; Codega et al., 2014), Glast (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Mich et al., 2014), LeX (Capela and Temple, 2002; Daynac et al., 2013, 2015), and GFAP (Codega et al., 2014).

With the advent of transcriptome analyses, it has also become possible to study molecular hallmarks and gene regulatory networks governing NSC behavior (Beckvordersandforth et al., 2010; Codega et al., 2014; Daynac et al., 2016a; Dulken et al., 2017; Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015). We have recently developed a cell-sorting strategy to prospectively isolate quiescent NSCs contained in the LeX bright population from the adult SVZ (Daynac et al., 2013, 2015). Moreover, we have previously shown that the vast majority of LeX bright cells that survived to radiation...
exposure entered the cell cycle to regenerate the irradiated niche (Daynac et al., 2013). Herein, we show that LeX bright cells are slowly dividing cells in vivo. The comparative analysis of the transcriptomic profiles of LeX bright and LeX+EGFR+ cells further reveals that the quiescent state is tightly regulated by the microenvironment and provides a comprehensive data resource to investigate cellular quiescence in adult neurogenic niches. Finally, we unravel the role of Syndecan-1 in the proliferation of activated NSCs.

RESULTS
LeX bright Cells Exhibit Properties of Slowly Dividing NSCs
We recently developed a cell-sorting strategy based on the exclusion of CD24-positive cells and on the detection of the surface markers LeX and EGFR to simultaneously isolate quiescent NSCs (CD24−EGFR−LeX bright, hereafter LeX bright cells), activated NSCs (CD24−LeX+EGFR+, hereafter LeX+EGFR+ cells), and transit-amplifying cells (CD24−EGFR+, hereafter EGFR+ cells) from the adult SVZ (Figure 1A) (Daynac et al., 2013, 2015). In contrast to the other neurogenic SVZ cell populations, we previously showed that the vast majority of LeX bright cells are not proliferating (Daynac et al., 2013). To explore their cell cycle in more detail, we used fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator (FUCCI)-Red mice (Sakaue-Sawano et al., 2008), which allow the visualization of cells in G1 with the presence of a G1 specific red-Cdt1 reporter (FUCCI-Red positive cells), while it is absent in cells during the S-G2/M phases (FUCCI-Red negative cells). In addition, FUCCI-Red bright cells have been shown to have exited the cell cycle (G0) (Daynac et al., 2014; Roccio et al., 2013). While most activated LeX+EGFR+ cells progressed through S-G2/M phases (40.3% ± 4.0% FUCCI-Red negative), LeX bright cells were for the most part distributed in G0 (66.5% ± 6.5% FUCCI-Red bright) or in G1 (31.7% ± 7.3% FUCCI-Red positive) in accordance with their quiescent state (Figure 1B).

To further characterize the cell cycle dynamics of LeX bright cells, we administrated bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) to mice for 2 weeks and assessed their ability to retain BrdU labeling for extended chase periods (Figure 1C). Immediately after BrdU treatment, the great majority of rapidly dividing LeX+EGFR+ cells had incorporated BrdU (89.7% ± 5.3%), while they had almost all lost the BrdU labeling after 2 and 4 weeks of chase (Figure 1C). By contrast, only 6.8% ± 0.7% of LeX bright cells had incorporated BrdU after 2 weeks, reflecting their much slower rate of division. Moreover, 64% ± 24% of LeX bright cells retained the BrdU labeling after 4 weeks of chase (Figure 1C). These data confirmed that LeX bright cells correspond to a subpopulation of slowly dividing NSCs in vivo.

LeX bright Cells Enter Oxidative Metabolism after Irradiation
We have previously shown that the vast majority of slowly dividing LeX bright cells that survived to radiation exposure entered the cell cycle to regenerate the irradiated niche (Daynac et al., 2013), recapitulating what is observed after antimitotic treatment with Ara-C (Doetsch et al., 1999). Here, we performed a transcriptomic analysis of LeX bright cells sorted from 2-month-old control mice and 48 hr after...
mice were irradiated using whole-genome Affymetrix MOE430 2.0 arrays.

The obtained datasets are visualized as sets of coordinates using principal component analysis (PCA) in Figure S1A. PCA is an unsupervised pattern recognition and visualization tool used to reduce the dimensionality of datasets derived from transcriptomic arrays, making it possible to visually assess similarities and differences between cell populations (Ringner, 2008). This PCA illustrates the transcriptomic shift of quiescent NSCs induced by irradiation concomitantly to the entry in the cell cycle of a subset of these cells we reported before (Daynac et al., 2013) (Figure S1A). The comparative gene expression profile of LeXbright cells revealed an altered expression of 927 probes (Figure S1B). The resulting set of genes enriched in control LeXbright cells included 439 genes, whereas 409 genes were upregulated in irradiated LeXbright cells (Table S1). As expected, gene ontology (GO) term analysis revealed that genes upregulated after irradiation in LeXbright cells were mainly associated with the cell cycle and DNA/RNA processes (Figure S1C). Moreover, many of these genes were linked to translation and ribosomal activity (Figures S1C and S1D). Interestingly, gene set enrichment analysis (Subramanian et al., 2005) also showed enrichment in genes associated with the TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle and respiratory electron transport (Figure S1E). Therefore, the cell cycle entry of LeX bright cells after radiation was accompanied by a shift toward an oxidative metabolism that was consistent with that observed in stem cells during proliferation and differentiation (Huang et al., 2012).

Distinct Molecular Signatures of Quiescent and Activated NSCs

In order to gain insights into the mechanisms regulating stem cell quiescence, we performed a microarray analysis of LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ cells (i.e., quiescent and activated NSCs) sorted from 2-month-old mouse SVZ. We compared their global mRNA expression patterns with those obtained from previous studies either characterizing NSCs (Codega et al., 2014) or differentiated cells (Cahoy et al., 2008) using PCA (Figure 2A). Direct comparison of LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ transcriptome profiles revealed that they were clustered away from differentiated cells (astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and neurons) (Figure 2A). Moreover, the clear separation of LeXbright cells from LeXEGFR+ cells confirmed their distinct cellular identity (Figure 2A). Importantly, LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ cells were closely clustered to GFAP:GFP+CD133+ and GFAP:GFP+CD133+EGFR+ cells, previously shown to correspond respectively to quiescent and proliferating NSCs in the adult SVZ (Codega et al., 2014), providing additional validation of our cell-sorting approach (Figure 2A). Besides, transcriptional hallmarks of NSCs such as Slc1a3/Glast, Prominin1/CD133, Nr2e1/Tlx, Hes5, and Sox2 were found substantially expressed in both LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ cells (Table S2). It is noteworthy that our cell-sorting technique does not require transgene expression to identify the stem cell population and is thus easily transferable to any other mouse model.

To further define genes enriched in each cellular state, the transcriptomes of LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ cells were compared. Probes were filtered by an average expression greater than 50 in at least one population, a differential expression of at least 2-fold, and a Student’s t test corrected p value <0.05. As shown on the volcano plot, the comparative gene expression profile of LeXbright and LeXEGFR+ cells revealed an altered expression of 1,278 probes (Figure 2B). The resulting set of LeXbright-enriched genes included 433 genes (548 probe sets, Table S2), whereas 563 genes were upregulated in LeXEGFR+ cells (730 probe sets, Table S2) (Figure 2B).

GO term analysis was then performed using a statistical overrepresentation test to delineate the molecular features of quiescent and activated NSCs. In accordance with their proliferating state, the transcriptome of LeXEGFR+ cells was enriched in genes linked to the cell cycle, DNA repair, DNA/RNA metabolism, transcription, and translation (Figures 2C and 2D, Tables S3 and S4). Strikingly, cellular component analysis also revealed a drastically different cellular location of the differentially expressed gene products. As expected due to their transcriptionally active state, 15.3% of the genes enriched in LeXEGFR+ cells encoded proteins associated with the nucleus, as opposed to only 2.3% of those enriched in LeXbright cells (Figure 2E). In contrast, the vast majority of the genes enriched in LeXbright cells were related to GO categories linked to lipid metabolic process, transport, response to stimulus, cell localization, cell communication, and cell adhesion (Figures 2C and 2D, Tables S3 and S4). Importantly, most genes enriched in LeXbright cells encoded proteins associated with the membrane (Figure 2E), emphasizing the key role played by the microenvironment in the regulation of the quiescent state in the adult SVZ (Chaker et al., 2016).

Transcription Factors Enriched in Quiescent and Activated NSCs

In order to identify putative transcriptional regulators of the quiescent and proliferative states of adult NSCs, we focused on transcription factors (TFs) and co-factors either enriched in LeXbright or LeXEGFR+ cells. Analysis of our dataset using public databases (Zhang et al., 2012) revealed a total of 75 differentially expressed TFs, 14 of which were upregulated in LeXbright cells and the remaining 61 in LeXEGFR+ cells (Figure 3).

Among the TFs upregulated in LeXbright cells were Sox9 and Id2, which have been previously associated with
quiescent NSCs (Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015) (Figure 3). Interestingly, Klf9, a member of the family of Kruppel-like TFs found upregulated in quiescent muscle satellite stem cells (Pallafacchina et al., 2010), was also enriched in LeX<sup>bright</sup> cells (Figure 3).

Among the TFs and co-factors that were the most enriched in LeX<sup>EGFR</sup> cells, several were linked to the cell cycle (E2f1, E2f2, Rbl1, Ccne1, Trp53, and Tfdp1) (Figure 3). Of particular interest, LeX<sup>EGFR</sup> cells expressed high levels of three members of the high-mobility group box (HMGB) protein family: Hmgb1/2/3 (Figure 3). Besides the broad role of HMGs in the control of transcription as well as replication, recent studies have linked HMGBs to the control of the proliferation and maintenance of embryonic and adult NSCs (Abraham et al., 2013). Additionally, transcripts for Ascl1 were 200 times higher in LeX<sup>EGFR</sup> cells compared with LeX<sup>bright</sup> cells (Figure 3), in accordance with recent studies that have reported its key role in the proliferation of NSCs and in the exit of stem cells from quiescence in both the adult hippocampus and the SVZ (Urban et al., 2016). Finally, the proliferating state was also associated with the expression of the immediate-early gene Fos and SoxC factors (Sox4 and Sox11), which have been linked to stem cell activation (Adepoju et al., 2014; Foronda et al., 2014).
Quiescent LeXbright Cells Integrate Signals from the Microenvironment

We found that most genes enriched in LeXbright cells were linked to the cell membrane (Figure 2E). Various adhesion molecules, such as neural cell adhesion molecule 1 and 2 (Ncam1, Ncam2), and cadherins/protocadherins (Cdh10, Cdh20, Pcdh7, Pcdh9, Pcdh10, Pcdhb19) were found overexpressed in LeXbright cells (Figures 4A and 4B). Adhesion molecules have been shown to play a key role in the NSC niche by maintaining stem cell niche architecture and homeostasis (Marthiens et al., 2010). Indeed, disruption of Vcam1 was previously shown to lead to a massive activation of quiescent NSCs and consequent depletion of the NSC population (Kokovay et al., 2012). In addition, the proliferative status of NSC is dynamically modulated by the cleavage of Cdh2 (N-cadherin) on NSCs in the adult SVZ (Porlan et al., 2014). Noticeably, both Vcam1 and Cdh2 were found upregulated in LeXbright cells (Figure 4A and Table 1).

We then looked for receptors that were differentially expressed between LeXbright and LeX+EGFR+ cells in an attempt to identify additional markers of quiescent and activated NSCs as well as signaling pathways involved in the regulation of NSC behavior (Tables 1 and S5). In the adult SVZ, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) produced by neuroblasts decreased the proliferation of adult NSCs (Liu et al., 2005). Moreover, inhibition of GABA signaling through the GABA_A receptors led to an entry in proliferation of LeX bright cells (Daynac et al., 2013). Interestingly, transcripts for several GABA_A receptor chains (α4, β1, γ1, and γ3) were expressed in LeXbright cells, with the γ1 chain (Gabrg1) being almost 40 times higher in comparison with LeX+EGFR+ cells (Table 1). Moreover, Lrig1, a pan-ErbB inhibitor that has been used as a marker of quiescent stem cells in the epidermis as well as in the intestine and was shown to negatively regulate proliferation (Jensen and Watt, 2006; Powell et al., 2012), was also enriched in LeXbright cells (Table 1). Another receptor well described in neurogenesis is Ptc1, a member of the patched gene family and main receptor for sonic hedgehog (Shh), overexpressed in LeXbright cells (Ahn and Joyner, 2005; Balordi and Fishell, 2007; Ferent et al., 2014). We have recently shown that the activation of the SHH pathway through deletion of the Patched receptor in NSCs resulted in an increase of the pool of quiescent NSCs (Daynac et al., 2016b).

Receptors listed in Tables 1 and S5 could therefore be used as markers of quiescent NSCs and/or could act as...
putative regulators of the balance between quiescence and proliferation.

Syndecan-1 Is a Marker of Proliferating NSCs

Syndecan family members were among the receptors that were found differentially expressed between LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ and LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells (Table 1). The pattern of expression of three out of the four members of the syndecan family was particularly interesting as they were either enriched in LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells (Sdc2 and Sdc4) or strongly upregulated in LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells (Sdc1), hinting at the possibility of their use as markers to discriminate quiescent NSCs from proliferating NSCs (Table 1, Figure 5A). However, SDC2 and SDC4 were found expressed on the vast majority of quiescent and activated NSCs at the protein level (Figures 5B and 5C), suggesting that they undergo complex post-transcriptional/post-translational regulation in these cells. By contrast, the specificity of SDC1 expression in actively dividing LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells was confirmed at the protein level (Figures 5B and 5C).

To further determine if Sdc1 was linked to the proliferative status of NSCs, we modeled NSC quiescence in culture with BMP4 as previously described (Martynoga et al., 2013; Mathieu et al., 2008) (Figures S2A and S2B). We confirmed that addition of BMP4 to proliferating SVZ cells drastically reduced the formation of neurospheres and that the total number of cells was decreased (Figures S2A and S2B). Interestingly, Sdc1 transcripts, highly enriched in proliferative cells, significantly decreased after BMP4 treatment (Figure S2C).

We then sought to evaluate whether SDC1 could be used as a prospective marker of proliferating NSCs using flow cytometry. Irrespective of the enzymatic cocktail used for cell dissociation, cell-membrane-bound SDC1 was shed, rendering its labeling impossible on adult NSCs (data not shown). As an enzymatic dissociation is required to obtain single-cell suspensions of NSCs from adult SVZ, we examined SDC1 expression on NSCs prepared by mechanical dissociation of postnatal day 10 (PN10) SVZ. Importantly, PN10 LeX$^{\text{bright}}$, LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells, as well as EGFR$^+$, had similar fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) profiles to those of adult SVZ, although some differences in their frequencies were observed (Figures S3A and S3B). Similarly to what was observed in adult NSCs, SDC4 was expressed on quiescent and proliferating NSCs at PN10, while SDC1 was present on most LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells and absent from the vast majority of LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells (Figure S4).

LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ as well as LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells were then sorted according to SDC1 expression and a colony-forming neurosphere assay was performed to assess the clonogenic capacity of the different NSC subpopulations. In accordance with what was previously reported for LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells isolated from adult SVZ (Daynac et al., 2013), PN10 LeX$^{\text{bright}}$, LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells, had similar fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) profiles to those of adult SVZ, although some differences in their frequencies were observed (Figures S3A and S3B). Similarly to what was observed in adult NSCs, SDC1 was present on most LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ cells and absent from the vast majority of LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells (Figure S4).

LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$ as well as LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells were then sorted according to SDC1 expression and a colony-forming neurosphere assay was performed to assess the clonogenic capacity of the different NSC subpopulations. In accordance with what was previously reported for LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells isolated from adult SVZ (Daynac et al., 2013), PN10 LeX$^{\text{bright}}$ cells very rarely formed neurospheres, regardless of the expression of SDC1 (Figure 6A). Importantly, a 1.6-fold increase in the number of primary neurospheres was observed for LeX$^{\text{EGFR}^+}$/SDC1$^+$ cells as compared with their SDC1-negative counterparts (Figure 6A). This increase was also found when we performed secondary neurosphere
To confirm the role of SDC1 in the proliferation of activated NSCs, we performed silencing experiments using small interfering RNA (siRNA) directed against Sdc1. We verified the efficacy of Sdc1 silencing in neurosphere cultures both at the mRNA and protein levels 24 and 48 hr after electroporation (Figures S5 A and S5B). Interestingly, a decrease in the diameter of neurospheres was observed after Sdc1 silencing in comparison with a scrambled control siRNA (Figure S5 C). Subsequently, Sdc1 silencing was performed in LeX+EGFR+ cells freshly sorted from adult mice. While their clonogenic capacity was not altered, the total number of cells was reduced at day 7 (Figures 6 B and 6C), suggesting a role of Sdc1 in the proliferation but not in the activation of activated NSCs. We further monitored the time required for the first cell division of LeX+EGFR+ cells after Sdc1 silencing by time-lapse videomicroscopy and found it significantly delayed by 7.1 hr (Figure 6D). Altogether, our data hint at a role of Sdc1 in the progression of activated NSCs through the cell cycle.

**DISCUSSION**

Deciphering the molecular pathways involved in the regulation of quiescence and activation of NSCs is crucial to elucidate the mechanisms of maintenance of the neurogenic niches in the adult brain. Here, we used our previously described method to sort NSCs from the adult mouse...
SVZ (Daynac et al., 2013) to perform a comparative transcriptomic analysis of quiescent NSCs (LeX bright cells) and activated NSCs (LeX +EGFR+ cells). Strikingly, we found that most genes enriched in quiescent NSCs are linked to the cell membrane, emphasizing the importance of the microenvironment in the regulation of NSCs in the adult neurogenic niches.

Evidence from recent studies suggest that the balance of NSCs between quiescence and proliferation is tightly regulated by extrinsic signals from the stem cell niche through various signaling pathways. Interestingly, the two adhesion molecules Vcam1 and Cdh2 previously shown to play a role in quiescence of NSCs (Kokovay et al., 2012; Porlan et al., 2014) are found upregulated in quiescent NSCs. Furthermore, transcripts for the GABA receptor γ1 chain and Ptch1 are enriched in quiescent NSCs in accordance with their recognized role in quiescence (Daynac et al., 2013, 2016b; Liu et al., 2005). Importantly, we provide a list of receptors enriched in quiescent NSCs (Tables 1 and S5) that could be used as additional markers of quiescent NSCs and/or could act as putative regulators of the balance between quiescence and proliferation of adult NSCs.

We further reveal the specific expression of Syndecan-1 on activated NSCs as compared with quiescent NSCs. Syndecan-1 belongs to a family of transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycans that have roles in cell-matrix interactions and recruit to the cell surface soluble growth factors known to promote the proliferation of neuronal precursors, such as FGF, EGF, VEGF, and HGF (Kwon et al., 2012). It has been shown that SDC1-positive cells sorted by flow cytometry from the embryonic telencephalon were enriched in neurosphere-forming cells (Nagato et al., 2005). Besides, sdc1 knockdown during cortical neurogenesis has been reported to reduce the maintenance and proliferation of NPCs (Wang et al., 2012). Here, we show that Syndecan-1 is a marker that allows the specific targeting of highly clonogenic/proliferating NSCs. Moreover, we demonstrate that Sdc1 plays a crucial role in the progression of activated NSCs through the cell cycle in the adult mouse SVZ. Expression of SDC1 was consistently found in malignant glioma cells but was undetectable in non-neoplastic brain tissues (Watanabe et al., 2006). Besides, higher SDC1 expression in malignant glioma has been
associated with ascending tumor World Health Organization grades and poor diagnosis (Xu et al., 2012). Studying the regulation of adult NSC proliferation in relation to the expression of \textit{SDC1} could thus provide insight into adult NSC behavior and its modulation in health and disease.

Altogether, our transcriptomic study reveals specific and distinct interactions of quiescent and activated NSCs with their microenvironment. Our work provides a comprehensive data resource to investigate cellular quiescence and activation in adult neurogenic niches in the context of NSC-based brain tissue regeneration strategies.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals and Treatments**

Young adult C57Bl/6J mice (2–3 months) were maintained in standard cages with access to food and water \textit{ad libitum} in a colony room kept at a constant temperature (19–22°C) and humidity (40%–50%) on a 12:12-hr light/dark cycle. Postnatal day 10 C57Bl/6J mice were produced in our animal facility by programmed breeding. For cell cycle analysis, we used FUCCI-Red transgenic mice (FUCCI for CDT1) (Sakaue-Sawano et al., 2008).

When indicated, mice received whole-brain irradiation (4 Gy) under anesthesia using a \( ^{60} \text{Co} \) medical irradiator (Alcyon) as previously reported (Daynac et al., 2013).

Two-month-old mice were initially injected intraperitoneally with 100 mg BrdU/kg body mass then maintained with drinking water containing BrdU (1 mg/mL, 1% glucose) for 14 consecutive days followed by a 2- and 4-week chase period until sacrifice.

Animal experiments were performed in compliance with the European Communities Council Directive of 22th September 2010 (EC/2010/63) and were approved by our institutional committee on animal welfare (authorization #12–034; CEtEA-CEA DRF IdF).

**SVZ Cell Preparation**

Adult SVZs were dissected, dissociated, and labeled as previously described (Daynac et al., 2015). Briefly, dissected SVZs were digested with papain (1 mg/mL, Worthington) supplemented with...
0.01 mg/mL DNase I (Sigma) for 10 min at 37°C. The minced tissue was then mechanically dissociated into a single-cell suspension using a P1000 micropipette in ovomucoid solution (0.7 mg/mL, Sigma). PN10 SVZs were prepared by mechanical dissociation. Papain solution was omitted for the preparation of PN10 SVZ. Aggregates were removed with 20 μm nylon filters (BD Biosciences) and cells were centrifuged at 250 × g for 20 min at 4°C without brake on a 22% Percoll gradient (GE Healthcare) to remove myelin. Finally, cells were incubated for 20 min with the following antibodies: CD24 phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated (cat#561079; 1:50 BD Biosciences), CD15/Lex fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated (clone MMA, mouse immunoglobulin M; 1:50 BD Biosciences), and Alexa 647-conjugated EGF ligand (1:250 Life Technologies). Rat anti-mouse Syndecan-1 PE-conjugated (cat#553714, BD Biosciences) and rat anti-mouse Syndecan-4 PE-conjugated (cat#550352, BD Biosciences) antibodies were used at 1:50 in combination with anti-CD24 PE-Cyanine7-conjugated antibody (cat#A14776, Molecular Probes). Immediately prior to FACS, Hoechst 33258 was added to a final concentration of 1 μg/mL to label dead cells. Adult SVZ cells were sorted on an INFLUX cell sorter equipped with an 86 μm nozzle at 40 psi and postnatal SVZ cells on an ARIA equipped with a 100 μm nozzle (BD Biosciences). Gates were set using fluorescence minus one controls on SVZ cells.

**Immunofluorescence**

Sorted cells were recovered in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 2% B27 then plated without mitogen on poly-D-lysine- and laminin-coated eight-well glass slides (Millicell) in an incubator at 37°C 5% CO₂ for 2–4 hr and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde. After 1 hr in blocking solution (PBS-0.1% Triton X-100–1% BSA) at room temperature (RT), cells were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-mouse CD138/SDC1 (1:100, BD Pharmingen), anti-CD362/SDC2 (1:100, AF6585, R&D Systems), or anti-SDC4 (1:100, NB110-41551, NovusBio) primary antibodies. After three washes in PBS, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor donkey secondary antibody at 1:500 (Invitrogen). For BrdU detection, cells were permeabilized for 5 min at RT in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Incubation in blocking solution (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 4% BSA) for 1 hr was followed by a 30 min incubation at 37°C with the anti-BrdU antibody at 1/300 (GE Healthcare) in DNase incubation buffer (0.5× PBS, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.5% BSA, and 10 μg/mL DNase I). After several washes, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey secondary antibody at 1:500 (Invitrogen).

**Cell Culture**

Sorted NSCs or total SVZ cell suspensions were grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in neurosphere medium composed of DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies) supplemented with 0.6% glucose (Sigma), 2 μg/mL heparin (STEMCELL Technologies), 1× insulin-selenium-transform (Life Technologies), N-2 supplement (Life Technologies), and B-27 without vitamin A supplement (Life Technologies), and in the presence of 20 ng/mL EGF (Millipore) and 10 ng/mL FGF2 (Millipore). After 7 days, neurospheres were counted under an inverted microscope. Neurospheres were centrifuged and incubated for 5 min in the presence of Accutase (Sigma) then were mechanically dissociated. Dissociated cells were plated in neurosphere medium at a density not exceeding 1.4 cells/μL in 12- or 24-well plates. Quiescence was induced in vitro by removing growth factors and by adding 25 ng/mL hBMP4 (R&D Systems).

For RNA measurement, cells were counted on day 3 and lysed in RLT buffer (Qiagen) for RNA isolation and qRT-PCR experiments.

**SiRNA Silencing**

Immediately after sorting or 1 week after initiation of neurosphere cultures, LeX‘EGFR’ SVZ cells were electroporated using the Neon kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher). Briefly, dissociated cells (1.2 × 10³ to 12 × 10⁵) were suspended in 20 μL of R resuspension buffer (Thermo Fisher) and split into two vials containing 1 μL of siRNA at 20 μM. Cells were electroporated at 1,300 V for three pulses for 10 ms then transferred immediately into neurosphere medium. siRNA was purchased from Qiagen: control nontargeting/scrambled control (cat#1027280) and a mix of four siRNAs against Sdc1 (cat#1027416). Different concentrations of siRNA (10, 20, and 100 nM) were tested in a first set of experiments on neurosphere cultures initiated with total adult SVZ cells.

**Live Cell Imaging**

Freshly sorted LeX‘EGFR’ cells (2 × 10³) from adult FUCCI-Red mice were electroporated with siRNA (control or Sdc1) at a final concentration of 50 nM. Brightfield and fluorescent images for Cdt1-red were captured through a Plan Apo VC 320 differential interference contrast objective (numerical aperture, 0.75) on a Nikon A1R confocal laser scanning microscope system attached to an inverted ECLIPSE Ti (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) thermostated at 37°C under 5% CO₂/20% O₂ atmosphere as previously reported (Daynac et al., 2014). Recording was started 4 hr after electroporation. Proliferating cells (n = 20–30 cells) were individually followed and the time of the first cell division was determined in four independent experiments.

**RNA Isolation, Microarrays, and qRT-PCR**

NSCs were sorted into tubes containing RLT lysis buffer and total RNAs were isolated with the RNasy Micro Kit with DNase treatment (Qiagen). For microarray experiments, RNA transcripts were converted into cDNAs and amplified using the Ovation Pico WTA System (NuGEN). cDNAs were fragmented and biotinylated; then, labeled cRNAs were hybridized to Affymetrix MOE430 2.0 arrays according to the manufacturer’s protocol at PartnerShip (Evry, France). The data were normalized with the MASS algorithm and quality controlled with the Expression Console software (Affymetrix). For qRT-PCR experiments, total RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Reverse Transcription High Capacity Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with specific primers listed in Table S6 (Sigma-Aldrich). q-PCR was performed on an ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detector System using SYBR Green for RT-PCR. Expression levels were normalized to GAPDH (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase).

**Microarray Analysis**

Data were normalized with GC-robust multi-array analysis (GCRMA) using log2 transformed expression levels in Genespring.
Statistical Analyses
The data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was conducted to compare qRT-PCR data using GraphPad PRISM software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Significance was set at p < 0.05.
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