Changes in key vaginal bacteria among postpartum African women initiating intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate
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Abstract

Background

The ECHO trial has relieved apprehension about intramuscular depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-IM), however it is still important to understand how DMPA-IM affects the vaginal environment. We sought to describe how DMPA-IM initiation influences vaginal bacteria associated with HIV acquisition in postpartum women.

Methods

Vaginal swabs were collected for Nugent score determination and taxon-specific quantitative PCR of eight bacteria. Enrollment occurred at contraceptive initiation (DMPA-IM or non-hormonal contraception (non-HC)) and repeat vaginal swabs were collected after three months. Generalized estimating equations were used to estimate changes in Nugent score, total bacterial load, and taxa concentrations among contraceptive groups.

Results

Women who chose DMPA-IM (n = 33) were more likely to be married (97% vs. 67%) and have resumed intercourse since delivery (52% vs. 29%) compared to women who chose non-HC (n = 21). After three months, significant decreases in the concentrations of Sneathia species, Mycoplasma hominis, and Parvimonas species Type 1 were seen among non-HC users, however concentrations remained stable among DMPA-IM users; contraceptive method was associated with significantly different changes in M. hominis concentration between groups (p = 0.010).
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Conclusions

Our findings suggest that postpartum use of DMPA-IM and non-HC may have differential impacts on the vaginal concentrations of some bacteria that have previously been associated with HIV acquisition.

Introduction

The vaginal microbiome plays a key role in women’s reproductive health. Hydrogen peroxide-producing Lactobacillus-dominated vaginal bacterial communities are considered optimal for health [1, 2]. Communities dominated by anaerobic bacteria, or non-optimal microbiota, as well as specific microbial taxa, are associated with subclinical inflammation, poor reproductive health outcomes, and sexually transmitted infections [3–6]. Hormones, including estrogens and progesterogens, play important roles in vaginal microbial ecology [7–9], and exogenous hormones, such as contraceptives, may induce important changes in the composition of vaginal microbiota and production of soluble factors by bacteria.

Injectable progestin-only contraceptives, including intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-IM), are the most commonly used contraceptives among women in sub-Saharan Africa [10], where the burden of vaginal dysbiosis is highest [11] and 25.7 million people live with HIV [12]. DMPA-IM inhibits the secretion of pituitary gonadotropins, resulting in anovulation and decreased production of estrogen [13]. Reduced levels of estrogen have been associated with glycogen suppression [8, 14], and glycogen is an important substrate for Lactobacillus species [14–16]. Consequently, a reduction of glycogen in the female reproductive tract could shift the vaginal microbiome to an anaerobic, non-optimal state. Changes in vaginal bleeding patterns and perturbation of the regular menstrual cycle associated with DMPA-IM initiation may also impact the vaginal microbiome [9, 17]. Additionally, previous observational research has suggested that DMPA-IM use may be associated with increased risk of HIV acquisition [18–21]. While recently released findings from The Evidence for Contraceptive Options and HIV Outcomes (ECHO) Trial have generally reduced clinical concern about DMPA-IM use in women at risk of HIV [22], there is still uncertainty in the field as to whether DMPA-IM increases the risk of HIV acquisition relative to non-HC methods [23]. Therefore, it is important to understand how DMPA-IM affects the vaginal environment, including vaginal microbiota.

Several anaerobic bacteria have been identified as significantly associated with increased HIV acquisition, including: Prevotella species (P. melaninogenica [5], P. bivia [5]), Mycoplasma species (Mycoplasma spp. [5], M. hominis [6]), Sneathia species (S. sanguinogens [5], Leptotrichia/Sneathia (now Sneathia spp.) [6], Parvimonas species type 2 [6], Gemella asaccharolytica [6], Eggerthella species Type 1 [6], and Megasphaera species (Megasphaera spp. Types 1 and 2 (combined assay) [6]). Observed heterogeneity in species-level determination of Mycoplasma and Sneathia species between studies may be due, at least in part, to different laboratory and bioinformatics methods [24]. In addition, unpublished data from two studies have also linked P. bivia with increased HIV acquisition risk [25, 26], and one of the studies reported associations between M. hominis, Leptotrichia/Sneathia (now Sneathia spp.), Parvimonas sp. type 2, G. asaccharolytica, Eggerthella sp. Type 1, and Megasphaera sp. Type 1 and increased HIV acquisition risk [26], replicating the published findings from other East African cohorts [6].

A limited number of studies have evaluated DMPA-IM’s effect on the overall vaginal microbiome [27–32], and to our knowledge there are no published studies specifically evaluating the
effect of DMPA-IM on the concentration of a majority of bacterial taxa associated with HIV acquisition. Additionally, there is a gap in this data for postpartum women. Therefore, we sought to investigate if DMPA-IM use is associated with increases in bacteria previously associated with HIV acquisition [5, 6, 25, 26]. Based on pilot data from a cohort of Kenyan women [31], we hypothesized that quantities of *G. vaginalis* would decline in DMPA-IM users and other anaerobic bacteria would increase to fill the opening.

**Materials and methods**

**Study setting, subjects, and design**

We designed a prospective cohort study of postpartum women to assess how DMPA-IM affects vaginal environment, including alterations to vaginal microbiota, in the three months following DMPA-IM initiation. Breastfeeding women, 6–14 weeks postpartum who sought contraception counseling at a public primary care clinic in Nairobi, Kenya were recruited for enrollment. Because the menstrual cycle is associated with changes in vaginal microbiota [9, 17, 33], enrollment was limited to lactating, amenorrheic women to reduce expected variability at baseline. Women were eligible for enrollment if they were HIV-negative and chose DMPA-IM or non-HC (condoms, lactational amenorrhea, rhythm) as their contraceptive method. Women already using a hormonal contraceptive or unwilling to learn their HIV status were ineligible. Women with evidence of cervicitis or STI at enrollment were excluded.

Women attended two or three study visits depending on contraceptive method. At the enrollment visit women chose their contraceptive method; those who chose DMPA-IM received an injection from study staff, verifying the exact time of DMPA-IM administration. DMPA-IM users were asked to return approximately 9–14 days later for a brief visit coinciding with typical peak plasma medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) concentrations, the active component of DMPA-IM [34, 35]. All women were asked to return for a follow-up visit 3 months post-enrollment. Demographic, health, and sexual activity information were collected via questionnaires at each visit. Vaginal swabs for microbiota evaluation were collected during pelvic exams; swabs were taken bilaterally in the space that includes right and left lateral fornix and the distal two-thirds of the vaginal wall. At the enrollment visit, all specimens were collected before DMPA-IM administration. Swabs were not collected from women with vaginal bleeding, including any spotting related to DMPA-IM initiation. If women had any vaginal bleeding or spotting, they were asked to return for swab collection when bleeding had stopped.

Vaginal swabs were cryopreserved at -80°C and batch shipped on dry ice to the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (Seattle, WA, USA) for analysis.

**Laboratory procedures**

Peripheral blood was used for point-of-care HIV testing (Determine rapid enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, Abbott, Abbott Park, Illinois). Vaginal saline wet mounts were examined microscopically for the presence of motile trichomonads and fungal elements. Gram stains of vaginal fluid were used for evaluation of bacterial vaginosis (BV), with Nugent scores of ≥7 considered a diagnosis of Nugent-BV (method of Nugent and Hillier [36]).

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed for eight bacterial taxa (*Gardnerella vaginalis*, *M. hominis*, *Sneathia* species, *G. asaccharolytica*, *Eggerthella* sp. Type 1, *Megasphaera* spp. Types 1 and 2 (combined assay), *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1, and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 2), using previously described methods [6, 37, 38]. Detailed methodology on DNA extraction and amplification have been detailed previously [6, 39–41] and are described in S1 File.
Statistical analyses

Bacterial DNA concentrations were log_{10}-transformed to normalize their distribution. DNA concentrations, when not detected, were assigned a value of half the lower limit of detection (LLD) of the assay (1.495 log_{10} copies/swab for all taxa except *Eggerthella* sp. Type 1 which had a LLD of 1.796 log_{10} copies/swab).

Our primary analysis was evaluation of changes in Nugent score, total bacterial load, and the concentration of the eight selected bacterial taxa among DMPA-IM users and non-HC users between enrollment and follow-up, as well as to compare changes over time between the contraceptive groups. Change from enrollment to the three-month follow-up visit among all women was estimated separately for each outcome using generalized estimating equations (GEE) with an interaction term between contraceptive group and time (days from enrollment/DMPA-IM injection to swab collection). Models including all women were adjusted for time from delivery to enrollment, as well as important potential confounders selected *a priori*, including age, marital status, and resumption of intercourse at enrollment. Patterns of change over time were visualized using Spaghetti plots, with trend lines for the mean change in the outcomes for each contraceptive group estimated from the GEE models.

Due to a large proportion of women having undetectable concentrations of the eight selected bacterial taxa, we also examined the pattern of taxon detection over follow-up and evaluated change in detectability of each taxon by contraceptive group using McNemar’s test. Sensitivity analyses were performed on the subsets of participants with at least one detectable value for that taxon during follow-up (women with a value above the lower limit of detection for a specific taxon at one or both visits). In these subsets, we only adjusted for time from delivery to enrollment since a majority of the taxa were detectable in 20 women or less and we did not want to overfit the models [42]. Patterns of change were visualized using Spaghetti plots, with trend lines for mean change among women with at least one detectable value for that taxon estimated from the GEE models.

Within the DMPA-IM group, we assessed whether the time of peak serum MPA concentrations was associated with changes in the outcomes using similar methods as above. We evaluated patterns of change over follow-up, including change from enrollment to the two-week post-injection visit, when MPA serum concentrations are approximately at peak by published pharmacokinetic data [34, 35], and from the two-week post-injection visit to the three-month follow-up visit.

For all associations, the significance level was set at p < 0.05. Analyses were performed using Stata version 14 (StataCorp, College Station TX). The research protocol was approved by the Kenyatta National Hospital Ethics and Research Committee and the University of Washington Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained in English or Kiswahili from all participants.

Results

Participant characteristics

We enrolled 54 women, 33 (61%) of whom chose to initiate DMPA-IM. Women who chose DMPA-IM sought contraception counseling sooner than women who chose non-HC methods (7.1 weeks (standard deviation (SD): 2.0) post-delivery vs. 9.9 weeks (SD: 3.5) post-delivery) (Table 1). A higher proportion of DMPA-IM users were married (97% vs. 67%) and had resumed sexual intercourse by enrollment (52% vs. 29%) (Table 2). There was a non-significant trend towards increased Nugent-BV at baseline among women who chose DMPA-IM.
compared to those who chose non-HC (unadjusted Odds Ratio (OR) = 2.71, 95% Confidence Interval (CI): 0.83–8.87); this trend was not present at follow-up. Vaginal washing was common (DMPA-IM: 52%, non-HC: 62%) and became more prevalent in both groups, with a larger increase among DMPA-IM users (DMPA-IM: 85%, non-HC: 72%). Of the enrolled women, 44 (81%) women returned for their three-month follow-up visit. Time between the enrollment visit and the three-month follow-up visit was comparable between the two contraceptive groups (84 days for non-HC users [interquartile range (IRQ): 84–90 days] and 84 days for DMPA-IM users [IRQ: 84–89 days]). There were no incident HIV infections during follow-up.

Detection of the eight taxa assessed among participants

All eight taxa assessed were found in this group of postpartum women, however only G. vaginalis was detectable in a majority of participants at enrollment (non-HC: 71%; DMPA-IM: 85%) and follow-up (non-HC: 85%; DMPA-IM: 73%); the other seven taxa were detectable in fewer than 50% of women (Table 3). There was no difference in the detectability of the eight taxa between the two contraceptive groups at enrollment, nor was there any significant difference in mean concentration of each taxon among women with the taxon detected, with the exception of G. asaccharolytica which was found at a slightly higher concentration among non-HC users at enrollment (p = 0.037). Women had an average of 3.0 taxa (SD 2.5) present at enrollment and 2.5 (SD 2.4) at the three-month follow-up visit, neither of which were significantly different from baseline to follow-up for either contraceptive group (non-HC: p = 0.253; DMPA-IM: p = 0.678) (S1 Fig). Within contraceptive group, detection of each taxon at follow-up was not significantly different from detection at enrollment (S1 Table). Qualitatively, very few women went from undetectable to detectable, or vice-versa, from enrollment to follow-up for each of the eight selected bacterial taxa (Fig 1).
Over three months, mean Nugent score decreased by 1.90 points (95%CI: -3.38 to -0.41, \( p = 0.012 \)) among women using DMPA-IM and by 0.73 points (95%CI: -2.70 to 1.24, \( p = 0.469 \)) among women using non-HC, resulting in similar mean Nugent scores in both contraceptive groups at follow-up (Table 4, Fig 2A). The difference in change between the two contraceptive groups was not statistically significant, however (\( p = 0.354 \)). In a small subset of women, the opposite pattern was observed: these women maintained an elevated Nugent score or increased in Nugent score after enrollment. Maintenance of an elevated Nugent score or an increase in Nugent score after enrollment was observed mostly among women using DMPA-IM (\( n = 5 \) [19%] DMPA-IM users vs. \( n = 2 \) [11%] non-HC users). Non-HC users started with lower Nugent scores, and were less likely to change Nugent category (S2 Fig).

Total bacterial load decreased non-significantly among DMPA-IM users and increased non-significantly among non-HC users over follow-up, resulting in a significant difference in changes of total bacterial load between the two contraceptive groups (difference in \( \Delta \) = -0.58 \( \log_{10} \) copies/swab [95%CI: 1.16 to -0.01], \( p = 0.049 \)) (Table 4, Fig 2B).

In analyses including all women, the mean concentration of \( G. \) vaginalis decreased by 0.87 \( \log_{10} \) copies/swab (95%CI: -1.81 to 0.06, \( p = 0.067 \)) among DMPA-IM users and increased by 0.22 (95%CI: -1.02 to 1.46, \( p = 0.725 \)) among non-HC users (Table 4, Fig 2C). As was seen with Nugent score, there was a small subset of DMPA-IM users (\( n = 5 \) [19%]) who did not...
follow the overall trend; this subset was characterized by an increase in \(G.\) vaginalis concentration of \(\geq 1\) log10 copies/swab. Of these 5 women with a pattern of increasing \(G.\) vaginalis, 3 (60%) also exhibited the pattern of increasing Nugent score.

The concentration of three of the bacterial taxa assessed decreased significantly among women using non-HC over follow-up. *Sneathia* spp. (\(\Delta = -1.09\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -2.05 to -0.13], \(p = 0.026\), *M. hominis* \(\Delta = -1.21\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -2.11 to -0.30], \(p = 0.009\)), and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1 \(\Delta = -1.03\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -1.82 to -0.24], \(p = 0.010\)) all decreased by \(\geq 1\) log10 copies/swab on average (Table 4, Fig 2C). In contrast, concentrations were more stable among DMPA-IM users, with non-significant changes (*Sneathia* spp.: \(\Delta = -0.23\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -0.96 to 0.49], \(p = 0.533\); *M. hominis*: \(\Delta = 0.29\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -0.39 to 0.98], \(p = 0.400\); and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1: \(\Delta = -0.11\) log10 copies/swab [95%CI: -0.70 to 0.49], \(p = 0.722\)). The observed changes in concentration over follow-up were only statistically significantly different between contraceptive groups for *M. hominis* (difference in \(\Delta = 1.50\) [95%CI: 0.37 to 2.63], \(p = 0.010\)). In sensitivity analyses limited to women with at least one detectable bacterial taxon during follow-up, we observed the same

### Table 3. Populations of key vaginal bacteria in postpartum women at enrollment and three-months post-initiation of DMPA-IM or non-hormonal contraception.

| Taxa                        | Detectability at Enrollment\(^a\) | Concentration among Women with Taxon Detected at Enrollment\(^{bc}\)  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                            | Non-HC (n = 21) | DMPA-IM (n = 33) | P-value\(^d\) | Non-HC | DMPA-IM | P-value\(^e\) |
| Total bacterial load        | --                  | --                  | --                  | Non-HC | DMPA-IM | P-value\(^e\) |
| *G. vaginalis*              | 15 (71.4)           | 28 (84.9)           | 0.233              | 6.86 (1.61) | 7.43 (1.69) | 0.294             |
| *Sneathia* spp.             | 11 (52.4)           | 15 (45.5)           | 0.619              | 6.68 (1.88) | 5.69 (1.89) | 0.199             |
| *Eggerthella* sp. Type 1    | 9 (42.9)            | 12 (36.4)           | 0.633              | 6.58 (1.78) | 7.17 (1.54) | 0.431             |
| *M. hominis*                | 9 (42.9)            | 7 (21.2)            | 0.089              | 6.66 (1.81) | 5.10 (1.72) | 0.103             |
| *G. asaccharolytica*        | 6 (28.6)            | 11 (33.3)           | 0.013              | 6.95 (1.09) | 5.72 (1.94) | 0.037             |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1     | 8 (38.1)            | 6 (18.2)            | 0.104              | 5.77 (2.27) | 4.61 (1.58) | 0.308             |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 2     | 7 (33.3)            | 11 (33.3)           | 0.000              | 6.72 (2.19) | 5.93 (2.09) | 0.454             |
| *Megasphaera* spp. 1 & 2    | 3 (14.3)            | 5 (15.2)            | 0.000              | 6.09 (3.08) | 7.53 (0.38) | 0.315             |

### Notes:

- **a** Data displayed as n (%).
- **b** Concentration displayed as log10 copies/swab.
- **c** Data displayed as mean (SD).
- **d** Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test (for comparisons containing cells with <5 observations).
- **e** T-test.

Abbreviations: DMPA-IM, intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate; HC, hormonal contraception; SD, standard deviation.

Enrollment: visit DMPA-IM was administered; Follow-up: visit three-months post DMPA-IM.
patterns as above, however the changes were more pronounced (decrease of \( \geq 2 \log_{10} \) copies/swab on average) compared to the analysis that included all participants (Table 4, S3 Fig).

**Patterns of vaginal microbiota change among intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate users only**

In the DMPA-IM group, specimens were collected during the time when serum MPA levels are known to peak (9–14 days post-injection) [34, 35]. The median number of days between enrollment and the two-week follow-up visit was 12 days (IQR: 9–22 days). There were no significant patterns in change for Nugent score or the eight bacterial taxa in the two time periods post DMPA-IM injection (Table 5, Fig 3, S4 Fig). Total bacterial load decreased significantly in the first two weeks post-injection (enrollment to two-week visit: \( \Delta = -0.64 \ [95\%CI: -1.03\text{ to } -0.25]; \ p = 0.001 \) but rebounded by the 3-month follow-up visit (two-week visit to three-month visit: \( \Delta = 0.44 \ [95\%CI: 0.02\text{ to } 0.86]; \ p = 0.041 \)).
Discussion

Our study shows that vaginal bacteria previously associated with HIV acquisition were present in African women initiating postpartum contraception, although no single taxon was found in a majority of women. Findings suggested differential patterns of change in three of the eight taxa assessed, *Sneathia* spp., *M. hominis*, and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1, among women initiating and using DMPA-IM compared to non-HC; the concentration of these three taxa decreased among women using non-HC but no change in concentration was observed among women using DMPA-IM. While significant decreases in concentrations of *Sneathia* spp., *M. hominis*, and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1 were observed among non-HC users, there were only significantly different changes in the concentration of *M. hominis* between users of DMPA-IM and non-HC. Observed patterns in change were especially pronounced among women with these bacteria present at contraception initiation. DMPA-IM use did not increase the likelihood of detection or the concentration of these bacteria, and changes in bacterial concentrations were not correlated with the timing of peak plasma MPA levels.

There are several potential explanations for the observed stability in *Sneathia* spp., *M. hominis*, and *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1 concentrations at the three-month follow-up visit observed.

**Table 4. Change in Nugent score, total bacterial load, and concentration of vaginal taxa over time by contraceptive group, and difference in change between contraceptive groups during follow-up.**

| All participantsa | non-HC | 95% CI | P-value | DMPA-IM | 95% CI | P-value | Difference | 95% CI | P-value |
|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------------|--------|---------|
| Nugent score      | -0.73  | -2.70, 1.24 | 0.469   | -1.90   | -3.38, -0.41 | **0.012** | -1.17     | -3.64, 1.30 | 0.354 |
| Total 16S rRNA    | 0.33   | -0.13, 0.79 | 0.160   | -0.25   | -0.60, 0.10  | 0.159   | -0.58     | -1.16, -0.01 | **0.049** |
| *G. vaginalis*    | 0.22   | -1.02, 1.46 | 0.725   | -0.87   | -1.81, 0.06  | 0.067   | -1.10     | -2.65, 0.45  | 0.166 |
| *Sneathia* spp.   | -1.09  | -2.05, -0.13 | **0.026** | -0.23   | -0.96, 0.49  | 0.533   | 0.86      | -0.34, 2.06  | 0.161 |
| *Eggerthella* sp. Type 1 | -0.12 | -1.18, 0.94 | 0.827   | -0.06   | -0.87, 0.74  | 0.875   | 0.05      | -1.28, 1.38  | 0.936 |
| *M. hominis*      | -1.21  | -2.11, -0.3  | **0.009** | 0.29    | -0.39, 0.98  | 0.400   | 1.50      | 0.37, 2.63   | **0.010** |
| *G. asaccharolytica* | -0.63 | -1.53, 0.28 | 0.174   | 0.06    | -0.62, 0.75  | 0.855   | 0.69      | -0.44, 1.82  | 0.233 |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1 | -1.03 | -1.82, -0.24 | **0.010** | -0.11   | -0.70, 0.49  | 0.722   | 0.92      | -0.07, 1.91  | 0.067 |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 2 | -0.80 | -1.79, 0.18 | 0.110   | -0.26   | -1.03, 0.50  | 0.501   | 0.54      | -0.71, 1.79  | 0.397 |
| *Megasphaera* spp. 1 & 2 | -0.13 | -0.99, 0.72 | 0.758   | -0.15   | -0.80, 0.49  | 0.640   | -0.02     | -1.09, 1.05  | 0.972 |

Participants with ≥1 detectable value during follow-upb

| G. vaginalis | 47 | 0.39 | -1.30, 2.09 | 0.647 | -1.01 | -2.12, 0.10 | 0.075 | -1.40 | -3.42, 0.62 | 0.174 |
| Sneathia spp. | 26 | -2.19 | -3.74, -0.63 | **0.006** | -0.62 | -1.85, 0.62 | 0.327 | 1.57 | -0.41, 3.56 | 0.121 |
| *Eggerthella* sp. Type 1 | 23 | -0.27 | -2.36, 1.82 | 0.801 | -0.30 | -1.94, 1.33 | 0.716 | -0.03 | -2.69, 2.62 | 0.980 |
| *M. hominis* | 18 | -3.06 | -4.99, -1.13 | **0.002** | 1.24 | -0.63, 3.11 | 0.194 | 4.30 | 1.61, 6.99 | **0.002** |
| *G. asaccharolytica* | 20 | -1.96 | -4.18, 0.26 | 0.084 | 0.14 | -1.30, 1.58 | 0.848 | 2.10 | -0.55, 4.74 | 0.120 |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 1 | 14 | -2.50 | -4.63, -0.36 | **0.022** | -0.92 | -3.21, 1.38 | 0.435 | 1.58 | -1.56, 4.72 | 0.323 |
| *Parvimonas* sp. Type 2 | 20 | -2.71 | -5.35, -0.07 | **0.045** | -0.56 | -2.41, 1.29 | 0.552 | 2.14 | -1.08, 3.37 | 0.193 |
| *Megasphaera* spp. 1 & 2 | 11 | -0.91 | -4.75, 2.94 | 0.644 | -0.80 | -3.31, 1.71 | 0.535 | 0.11 | -4.48, 4.71 | 0.962 |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DMPA-IM, intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate; HC, hormonal contraception.

Enrollment: visit DMPA-IM was administered; Follow-up: visit three-months post DMPA-IM.

Concentration displayed as log_{10} copies/swab. All values below LLD were set to half the LLD for that assay.

a Mean change for each contraceptive group and difference in change estimated using GEE with an interaction term between contraceptive group and days from enrollment to vaginal swab collection and adjusted for days from delivery to enrollment, age, marital status, and resumption of intercourse at enrollment.

b Mean change for each contraceptive group and difference in change among women with ≥1 detectable value for that taxon during follow-up estimated using GEE with an interaction term between contraceptive group and days from enrollment to vaginal swab collection and adjusted for days from delivery to enrollment.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229586.t004
among DMPA-IM users. Recent studies of the vaginal microbiome in pregnancy and the post-partum period have found that the vaginal microbiota in the one to six weeks after delivery are much more diverse, with lower levels of *Lactobacillus* spp., compared to both pre-pregnancy

Fig 2. (A) Nugent score, (B) total bacterial load, and (C) concentration of vaginal taxa over time, by contraceptive group, with fitted trend lines for mean change among all women. Abbreviations: DMPA-IM, intramuscular depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate; HC, hormonal contraception. Bacterial concentrations were log_{10} transformed to normalize their distribution. All values below LLD (black horizontal bar on graph) were equal, but values were jittered to allow for visualization of all observations. Trend lines for mean change within each contraceptive group were estimated using GEE with an interaction term between contraceptive group and days from delivery to enrollment and adjusted for days from enrollment to vaginal swab collection; trend lines show mean enrollment and exit dates for each group.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229586.g002
and during pregnancy [43, 44]. This time also coincides with a known period of higher HIV risk in women [45]. DMPA-IM use could stabilize concentrations of vaginal anerobic bacteria

Table 5. Change in Nugent score, total bacterial load, and concentration of vaginal taxa over time among DMPA-IM users only, and difference in change between time periods.

|                       | All participants<sup>a</sup> | Participants with ≥1 detectable value during follow-up<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Enrollment to 2-week visit | 95% CI | P-value | 2-week visit to 3-month visit | 95% CI | P-value | Difference | 95% CI | P-value |
| Nugent score          | -1.31                       | -2.74, 0.12 | 0.073 | -0.62                         | -2.17, 0.93 | 0.433 | 0.69 | -1.90, 3.27 | 0.601 |
| Total 16S rRNA        | -0.64                       | -1.03, -0.25 | 0.001 | 0.44                         | 0.02, 0.86 | 0.041 | 1.08 | 0.37, 1.78 | 0.003 |
| G. vaginalis          | -0.66                       | -1.55, 0.23 | 0.146 | -0.22                         | -1.18, 0.74 | 0.658 | 0.44 | -1.16, 2.04 | 0.589 |
| Sneathia spp.         | -0.09                       | -0.67, 0.49 | 0.761 | -0.06                         | -0.70, 0.57 | 0.846 | 0.03 | -1.03, 1.08 | 0.959 |
| Eggerthella sp. Type 1| 0.01                        | -0.75, 0.77 | 0.974 | -0.06                         | -0.88, 0.76 | 0.882 | -0.07 | -1.44, 1.29 | 0.914 |
| M. hominis            | 0.03                        | -0.49, 0.55 | 0.915 | 0.33                         | -0.23, 0.90 | 0.248 | 0.30 | -0.63, 1.24 | 0.526 |
| G. asaccharolytica    | -0.19                       | -0.76, 0.37 | 0.500 | 0.37                         | -0.24, 0.98 | 0.236 | 0.57 | -0.46, 1.59 | 0.278 |
| Parvimonas sp. Type 1 | 0.06                        | -0.28, 0.39 | 0.741 | -0.19                         | -0.55, 0.18 | 0.312 | -0.24 | -0.85, 0.36 | 0.431 |
| Parvimonas sp. Type 2 | 0.15                        | -0.49, 0.79 | 0.647 | -0.40                         | -1.12, 0.32 | 0.275 | -0.55 | -1.74, 0.64 | 0.364 |
| Megasphaera spp. 1 & 2| -0.23                       | -0.73, 0.26 | 0.357 | 0.16                         | -0.37, 0.70 | 0.550 | 0.40 | -0.50, 1.29 | 0.384 |

<sup>a</sup> Mean change in the two time periods and difference in change between time periods estimated using GEE adjusted for days from enrollment to vaginal swab collection and days from delivery to enrollment.

<sup>b</sup> Mean change in the two time periods and difference in change between time periods among women with ≥1 detectable value for that taxon during follow-up estimated using GEE adjusted for days from enrollment to vaginal swab collection and days from delivery to enrollment.

<sup>c</sup> Too few observations to model data.
in the postpartum period by allowing a hypoestrogenic state to persist, while non-HC users returned to the more optimal vaginal bacterial communities seen in pre-pregnancy as their normal hormonal cycles return. Low levels of estrogen have been associated with glycogen suppression [8, 14], and glycogen is an important substrate for *Lactobacillus* species [14–16].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229586.g003
Consequently, a reduction of glycogen in the female reproductive tract could maintain anaerobic, non-optimal vaginal microbiota. This explanation aligns well with our observations: DMPA-IM initiation was not associated with large increases in concentration of the seven bacterial taxa linked to HIV acquisition in our study, however it appears that DMPA-IM might support continued higher concentrations compared to non-HC. Alternatively, however, this pattern could be due to the fact that participants selected their own contraceptive method, and women who rely on non-hormonal methods alone appear to be behaviorally and demographically different from women who choose highly effective contraceptive methods [46], including in sexual and personal hygiene practices. We collected information on potential confounders to enable for control of this confounding in statistical analyses, however some measures relied on self-report and are likely imperfect.

Our results generally agree with recent findings from a study in Zimbabwe conducted among HIV-negative, nonpregnant women [27]. Achilles et al. reported that use of DMPA-IM did not significantly change the concentration of three common BV-associated species: \textit{G. vaginalis}, \textit{Atopobium vaginae}, and \textit{Megasphaera}-like bacterium phylotype 1. Unlike the Zimbabwean cohort, we did observe a significant decrease in Nugent score among the DMPA-IM users in our study, which is congruent with existing literature [47]. Women who chose DMPA-IM in our study had much higher Nugent scores at enrollment compared to women who chose non-HC, however, giving them more room to decrease in Nugent score and posing a concern that these groups of women were not completely comparable. This discrepancy in Nugent score at enrollment may be explained, at least in part, by the fact that more DMPA-IM users had resumed sexual intercourse prior to enrollment.

Strengths of this study include that we enrolled participants who were free of any hormonal contraceptives prior to enrollment and received their first DMPA-IM injection from study staff. This design eliminated contamination from other contraceptives, as well as uncertainty in type of injectable hormonal contraceptive used due to self-report. It also allowed for comparison of pre- and post-DMPA-IM effects, as women could serve as their own controls. Another strength is that specimens were collected during the time period when MPA levels peak [34, 35], allowing for the examination of a relationship between vaginal microbiota and high MPA plasma levels. Furthermore, our study focused on African women, the geographical population at highest risk of STI/HIV acquisition from DMPA-IM use. Lastly, we used qPCR to measure the absolute abundance of vaginal bacteria, rather than relying on relative abundance measures.

Our study was limited in power because most women had concentrations below the lower limit of detection for each taxon, and most women remained in the same detection category in which they started; this restricted our analytic options and some models contained relatively few women. This limitation exemplifies the complexities of working with qPCR data. Additionally, we had a modest loss to follow up (19% of women), further reducing our power to detect true differences. A second limitation is that participants selected their own contraceptive method, and women choosing non-HC may not represent a counterfactual population for women who choose DMPA-IM. Also, women who chose non-HC did not return for a visit 9–14 days after enrollment since their vaginal microbiota profiles were not expected to change in the two weeks following enrollment, resulting in lack of comparable data at this time point. Our study may also be limited in generalizability due to the source population of our participants. Postpartum, amenorrheic women were enrolled to reduce the variability of the vaginal microbiome due to the menstrual cycle [9, 17, 33], however vaginal microbiota in the postpartum period may differ from vaginal microbiota found in menstruating women of childbearing age [43, 44]. Lastly, the use of a qPCR, a targeted approach, might have missed changes in
important bacterial taxa not assessed, including taxa associated with an optimal vaginal micro-
biota. This is an area that future work might address.

Interestingly, there was a small but notable subset of DMPA-IM users that did not follow
overall trends and maintained or increased in Nugent score and concentration of \textit{G. vaginalis}. We
looked within this subgroup for commonalities, and while we could not find any demo-
graphic, behavioral, or physical similarities, including changes in vaginal bleeding patterns
and perturbation of the regular menstrual cycle, among these women from the data we col-
lected, there is potentially a subgroup of women for which DMPA-IM has a different effect.
While small, this subgroup could contribute to the increased risk of HIV seen in observational
studies among DMPA-IM users. Research now suggests that there are likely at least four sub-
strains/genotypes of \textit{G. vaginalis}, not all of which produce sialidase, and which may have inde-
pendent virulence factors contributing to symptoms and/or sequelae of vaginosis [48–50].
One possible area for future investigation is the relationship between DMPA-IM and sialidase-
producing sub-strains of \textit{G. vaginalis} to see if DMPA-IM has a differential effect on more path-
genic \textit{G. vaginalis}.

Overall, these findings suggest that bacteria associated with increased HIV acquisition risk
are present among postpartum women at average risk for HIV acquisition in Kenya, and that
DMPA-IM use, compared to non-\textit{HC} user, showed differential patterns in concentration
change with three taxa associated with HIV acquisition. Our findings indicate that DMPA-IM
use may support an anaerobic vaginal microbiota associated with HIV acquisition, especially
among a subset of women with these species already present. High alpha- and beta-diversity
may also remain for a longer period in postpartum DMPA-IM initiators, a question we plan to
explore in broad range microbiome data from this cohort. While our numbers were small and
we did not have as much power as we planned, these findings suggest postpartum use of
DMPA-IM deserves further attention; DMPA-IM use in the postpartum period may pose dif-
ferent risks than DMPA-IM use in non-postpartum women of reproductive age, and more
research on the effect of contraception initiation on the vaginal microbiome in this subgroup
of women is warranted. While the ECHO trial has relieved apprehension about use of
DMPA-IM in women in high HIV prevalence settings, specific subpopulations may still be at
increased risk of HIV; DMPA-IM use in the postpartum period may pose a different risk than
it does in the general population, due to high vaginal microbial diversity seen in this period.
Planned microbiome studies from our cohort and among women in the ECHO trial may help
to further answer questions about how DMPA-IM influences vaginal bacteria.
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