Transition zones analysis using empirical capillary pressure model from well logs and 3D seismic data on ‘Stephs’ field, onshore, Niger Delta, Nigeria
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Abstract

Capillary pressure is an important characteristic that indicates the zones of interaction between two-phase fluids or fluid and rock occurring in the subsurface. The analysis of transition zones (TZs) using Goda (Sam) et al.’s empirical capillary pressure from well logs and 3D seismic data in ‘Stephs’ field, Niger Delta, was carried out to remove the effect of mobile water above the oil–water contact in reservoirs in the absence of core data/information. Two reservoirs (RES B and C) were utilized for this study with net thicknesses (NTG) ranging from 194.14 to 209.08 m. Petrophysical parameters computed from well logs indicate that the reservoirs’ effective porosity ranges from 10 to 30% and the permeability ranges from 100 to > 1000 mD, which are important characteristics of good hydrocarbon bearing zone. Checkshot data were used to tie the well to the seismic section. Faults and horizons were mapped on the seismic section. Time structure maps were generated, and a velocity model was used to convert the time structure maps to its depth equivalent. A total of six faults were mapped, three of which are major growth faults (F1, F4 and F5) and cut across the study area. Reservoir properties were modelled using SIS and SGS. The capillary pressure log, curves and models generated were useful in identifying the impact of mobile water in the reservoir as they show the trend of saturating and interacting fluids. The volume of oil estimated from reservoirs B and C without taking TZ into consideration was 273 × 10^6 and 406 × 10^6 mmbbls, respectively, and was found to be higher than the volume of oil estimated from the two reservoirs while taking TZ into consideration which was 242 × 10^6 and 256 × 10^6 mmbbls, respectively. The results have indicated the presence of mobile water, which have further established that conventionally recoverable hydrocarbon (RHC) is usually overestimated; hence, TZ analysis has to be performed for enhancing RHC for cost-effective extraction and profit maximization.
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Introduction

The oil transition zone is the zone occurring between the zone of 100% water saturation ($S_w$) and the zone of 100% hydrocarbon saturation ($S_h$) which consists of mobile water above the irreducible water saturation. At the meeting point of two fluids, capillary pressure is zero and $S_w$ in reservoirs decreases with increasing height above the free water level (FWL). Two non-miscible fluids sharing the same porous space tend to occupy different positions depending on their density contrast (Glover 2000; Pascoal 2015). Vavra et al. (1992), Hartmann and Beaumont (1999), Larsen et al. (2000), Sarwaruddin et al. (2001), Kumar et al. (2002), Wiltgen et al. (2003), Johan and Svein (2005), Okoli and Ujanbi (2007), Jamilahmaday et al. (2007), Zhao et al. (2008), Renzo et al. (2010) and Goda (Sam) et al. (2011) have employed a different approach to analyse the oil transition zone and saturation height above free water level using semi-empirical relationship from Leverett (1941), Skelt and Harrison (1995), Christiansen (2001), Wu (2004), amongst others, with special core analysis (SCAL) under laboratory conditions. Hydrocarbon saturation in a reservoir can be overestimated when the effect of mobile water occurring in the transition zones within the reservoir is not accounted for while estimating reserves (Fig. 1a). The hydrocarbon–water transition zone is constrained upwards by the hydrocarbon
zone where the water saturation is close or equal to the irreducible water saturation (Fig. 1b). Capillary pressure is an important characteristic of rock that helps determine where hydrocarbons and water are located in the subsurface (Wu 2004). Capillary pressure curve is a plot of capillary pressure against water saturation which shows the trend of saturating and interacting fluids. It describes fluids interaction or fluid and matrix interaction with respect to the reservoir architecture. Each capillary pressure curve is specific to a facies, pore size distribution and fluid properties (Larsen et al. 2000; Glover 2000; Pascoal 2015). Larsen et al. in (2000) classified the reservoir transition zone into three: homogeneous (reservoir sand without thin layers of shale), layer dependent (thin shale layer occurring in reservoir sand) and heterogeneous transition zones (multiple thin shale layers occurring within reservoir sand). From capillary pressure, hydrocarbon/water contacts, rock matrix type and pore throat structure, heights of transition zones within the reservoir can be determined. In this study, a universal empirical model by Goda (Sam) et al. (2011) for obtaining the reservoir capillary pressure information of a field in the absence of core information was utilized and validated using well logs information from ‘Stephs’ field.

**Location and geology of the study area**

The study area (Fig. 2), ‘Stephs’ field, has a total of 672 inlines (6649–7321) and 424 crosslines (925–1349) and is located in the onshore part of the Niger Delta which lies within latitudes of 3° and 5° N and longitudes of 5° and 8° E and is made up of fresh water swamps and mangrove swamps with relief that increases towards the north. The sediments in the area are deposited in shallow marine environment. The Niger Delta is one of the world’s largest tertiary delta systems and is situated on the West African continental margin at the apex of the Gulf of Guinea (Doust, and Omatsola 1990). The Niger Delta basin covers an area of 75,000 km² (Sonibare et al. 2008). It was formed during the continental breakup in the Cretaceous era, with the delta developing from Palaeocene. The lithostratigraphic sequence of the Niger Delta is divided into three formations (Fig. 3). The Akata Formation (Palaeocene to recent), the base of the delta, consists of thick shale deposited under marine conditions. The overlying Agbada Formation (Eocene to Recent) consists of inter-beded shale and sandstones and is overlain by the Benin Formation (latest Eocene to Recent), which is composed of coastal plain sands (Sonibare et al, 2008).

**Materials and methods**

The data sets used for the study include geophysical well logs in ASCII format (resistivity, neutron, density, gamma ray and sonic logs) from one well, 3-D seismic data in SEG-Y format and check shot data. The software used includes Petrel 2013™ and Microsoft Excel. Figure 4 is the workflow adopted for this work. Two hydrocarbon sands (RES B and C) were delineated from the well logs. The petrophysical parameters were computed conventionally and also computed by introducing macroscripts into the Petrel software. Checkshot data were used to tie the well to the seismic section. Faults and horizons were mapped on the seismic section. Time structure maps were generated, and a velocity model was used to convert the time structure map to its depth equivalent. Reservoir properties were modelled using sequential Gaussian indicator (SIS) and sequential Gaussian simulation (SGS). Capillary pressure was empirically derived using the Goda (Sam) et al.’s (2011) mathematical capillary equation which requires that the maximum, entry capillary pressure and petrophysical parameters be estimated or assumed. The maximum capillary pressure utilized in this study was taken to be 35 psi.
Fig. 2 Location and base map of the study area

Fig. 3 Stratigraphy of the Niger Delta. (Doust and Omatsola 1990)
Computation of petrophysical parameters

Equations 1–7 were used to compute the petrophysical parameters, while Eqs. 8 and 9 were used to derive Goda (Sam) et al.’s (2011) empirical capillary pressure which incorporates some of the computed petrophysical parameters. The derived capillary pressure was used to generate capillary pressure logs, curves and models for the reservoir zones.

(a) Volume of shale (Larionov’s 1969):

\[ I_{GR} = \frac{G_{R_{\text{log}}} - G_{R_{\text{min}}}}{G_{R_{\text{max}}} - G_{R_{\text{min}}}} \]  

\[ V_{SH} = (0.083(2^{3.7*I_{GR}} - 1)) \]  

The macroscript below was used to control the value range for gamma ray index \( I_{GR} \) and volume of shale \( V_{SH} \):

\[ I_{GR} = \left( (G_{\text{RAY}} - G_{R_{\text{min}}})/(G_{R_{\text{max}}} - G_{R_{\text{min}}}) \right) \]  

\[ V_{SH} = 0.083 \times (\text{Pow}(2, 3.7 \times I_{GR}) - 1.0) \]

For better accuracy, the gamma ray index \( I_{GR} \) was estimated by considering each reservoir independently with respect to their gamma ray maximum \( (G_R_{max}) \) and gamma ray minimum \( (G_R_{min}) \) values.

(b) Porosity (\( \Phi \)):

Total porosity \( (\Phi) \) is the ratio of pore volume per unit volume of a formation; it is the fraction of the total volume of a sample occupied by pores or voids, usually expressed as a percentage:

Mathematically, \[ \text{Porosity}(\Phi) = \frac{\text{Pore volume}}{\text{Bulk Volume}} \times 100. \]

\[ \text{PHID} = \frac{\rho_{ma} - \rho_{h}}{\rho_{ma} - \rho_{fl}} \]  

where \( \rho_{h} \) is the measured density, \( \rho_{ma} \) is the density of the rock matrix (usually a constant of 2.65 for Niger Delta) and \( \rho_{fl} \) is the density of fluid (for water zone 1.1 gm/cc, 0.9 for oil zones and 0.74 for gas zones).

\[ \text{PHIE} (\Phi_{eff}) = (1 - V_{sh}) \times \Phi \]  

\[ \text{PHID} \] is the total porosity and \( \text{PHIDF} \) is effective porosity of the fluid zones. The macroscript used to control the value range is
Permeability (K), Tixier (1949):

\[ K = \left( \frac{250 \cdot \phi^3}{S_{\text{wirr}}} \right)^2 S_{\text{wirr}} = \sqrt{\frac{F}{2000}} \quad F = \frac{0.62}{\phi^{2.15}} \]  

The macroscript used to control the value range is

PERMEABILITY\_F = If (PERMEABILITY >= 10000, PERMEABILITY)

Water saturation, Archie’s (1942):

\[ S_w = \sqrt{\frac{R_w}{\phi^2 R_t}} \]

The macroscript used to control the value range is

SW\_F = If (WATER\_SAT <= 0, 0, If (WATER\_SAT > 1, 1, WATER\_SAT))

Hydrocarbon saturation computation:

\[ HC = 1 - S_w \]  

HC is the hydrocarbon saturation

Empirical capillary pressure model:

This was estimated using the Goda (Sam) et al.’s (2011) empirical capillary pressure of equation to generate capillary pressure log, curves and models for each reservoir zone:

\[ P_c = \frac{P_{\text{cmax}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{wirr}}}{S_w} \right)^{0.5}}{\left[ 1 + \left( \frac{P_{\text{cmax}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{wirr}}}{S_w} \right)^{0.5}}{1 - \frac{S_{\text{wirr}}}{S_{\text{wirr}}} \right) \right]^{0.5}} \]

where \( S^*_{\text{w}} = S_w - S_{\text{wirr}} \)  

\( 1 - \frac{S_{\text{wirr}}}{S_{\text{wirr}}} \)

where \( S^*_{\text{w}} \) is the normalized water saturation, \( S_{\text{wirr}} \) is the irreducible water saturation, \( \phi \) is the effective porosity, \( P_{\text{cmax}} \) is the maximum capillary pressure (35 psi), \( S_w \) is the water saturation and \( \phi_{S_w} \) is the effective saturation. The range of values computed by coding the formulae above in Petrel™ was controlled with the script below.

GODA\_\text{(HUSSAM)}\_PC = If (PC <= 0, 0, If (PC >= 35, 35, PC))

**Capillary pressure and fluid modelling**

Fluid models were generated from the fluid logs using SGS. The Goda (Sam) et al.’s (2011) mathematical model was used to derive capillary pressure, and capillary pressure logs were generated. Point attributes and surfaces of the stratigraphic reservoir zones were generated and upscaled under the geometric modelling function on Petrel and the capillary pressure distribution for each zone generated. The sequential Gaussian simulation was used in modelling the empirical capillary pressure for reservoirs B and C, respectively.

**Volume calculation**

The hydrocarbon pore volume was calculated on Petrel (using Eq. 10). A case was created using the OWC, porosity and computed \( S_w \). Then, the polygon edge boundary was used as fluid boundary to run a case model, the recovery factor was set to 1 and the volume estimate was achieved for each zone:

\[ \text{HCPV} = A \cdot \phi \cdot (1 - S_w) \cdot h. \]  

In estimating the volume of oil in place, two cases were considered. The first case was estimating the volume of oil in place without taking transition zone into consideration (volume of oil 1), and the second case was estimating the oil in place while taking transition zone into consideration (volume of oil 2).

**Results and discussion**

Two reservoirs B and C were delineated using the composite well logs (gamma ray, deep resistivity, neutron, density and sonic logs) made available for the well (Fig. 5). Table 1 shows the net and gross thickness for reservoirs B and C, respectively.

**Petrophysical parameters**

The computed petrophysical parameters (Table 2) show the reservoir units to have good reservoir quality. The petrophysical parameters were estimated using conventional (1D) approach and by incorporating Eqs. 1–7 as macroscript into Petrel™ software. The reservoir unit is porous and permeable; as such, the reservoir unit can be said to be potential hydrocarbon bearing zones that can house and transmit economic quantity of hydrocarbon.

**Volume of shale**

The volume of shale estimated using the Larionov’s (1969) equation shows the drilled region composed mainly of sand and shale. The reservoir zone of interest is found to be composed of sand up to 80–90%. Sand/sandstone has the capability of being porous and permeable; as such, it can house hydrocarbon and transmit it in economic quantity.
Effective porosity

Effective porosity for reservoirs B and C is relatively high, as it falls in the range of 5–40%. The proposed qualitative classes by Abiola et al. (2018) were modified and used as a guide for the classification of effective porosity (Table 3). The hydrocarbon region has effective porosity ranging from 15 to 30% and average porosity value as computed from the well logs to be 0.26 or 26% (Table 2). These reservoirs have very good porosity to house hydrocarbon.
Permeability

From the permeability computed, it could be observed that the reservoir zones have high permeability (100 to > 1000 mD). The permeability was qualitatively interpreted after Abiola et al. (2018), which is indicative of good-to-excellent permeability; it means the reservoirs can transmit hydrocarbon in commercial quantity (Table 3).

### Empirical capillary pressure log for the reservoir zones

The empirical capillary pressure derived was used to generate a capillary pressure log for reservoirs B and C, respectively (Fig. 6a, b). From this capillary pressure log, the actual oil–water contact, transition zone, zone fully saturated with oil and the free water zone were delineated. The capillary pressure log was interpreted after Glover (2000), Larsen et al. (2000) and Goda (Sam) et al. (2011).

### Well-to-seismic tie

A graph of travel time (TWT) against depth (Z) was generated using the checkshot data of ‘Stephs’ field (Fig. 7a). Synthetic seismogram was generated using Butterwort wavelet.
at zero phase sampled at an interval of 4 ms to establish a
link between the seismic and the well (Fig. 7b).

Faults and horizon mapping

The seismic data analysis revealed the presence of major
growth faults labelled Faults 1, 2, 4 and 5, and minor anti-
thetic faults labelled Faults 3 and 6, respectively (Fig. 8).
This was interpreted after Doust and Omatsola (1990),
Obasuyi et al. (2019). The identified growth faults (Faults
1, 2, 4 and 5) are significant in trapping of hydrocarbon.
The vertical displacements of the growth faults show that
the amount of throw on both sides of the faults is small and
varies from line to line (Fig. 8). Two horizons, Horizons B
and C, which represent the top of reservoirs B and C, respec-
tively, were mapped using their seismic continuities. The
truncations caused by geological structures and continu-
ities of the horizons were rigorously checked on the seismic
sections (Fig. 8).

Depth structure map

Figure 9a, b is the depth structure map of reservoirs B
and C (Horizons B and C), which reveals structural highs
around the south-western and northern part of the map
which is likely to serve as good prospect for hydrocarbon.

Lithofacies modelling

The lithofacies models (Fig. 10a, b) show the distribution
of lithologies within the reservoir units. To define the effi-
ciency and effectiveness of reservoir units, it is important
to model property distribution within the reservoir units.
In this case, the lithologies (sand and shale) within the
reservoir units B and C were modelled, respectively. The
volume of shale as estimated from Eq. (2) was used to
model the lithologies on a fractional scale of 0 to 1 on the
3D grid reservoir zones. The lithofacies model is achieved
by populating the estimated volume of shale property on
the facies model which is interpreted to have sand and
shale lithological units. Sand is the dominating lithology
within these reservoir units with the characteristic of being
porous and permeable; as such, the sand unit can house and transmit hydrocarbon in economic quantity.

**Fluid modelling**

The volume of hydrocarbon estimated in fraction was modelled. From the hydrocarbon saturation model of reservoir B (Fig. 11a), it could be observed that there is a relatively high percentage (60–80%) of hydrocarbon saturation around the drilled well region which also extends towards the north-western and south-western parts occurring in green to yellow colour, also, the eastern portion identified as colour blue is saturated with water up to about 20–40%. From the hydrocarbon saturation model of reservoir C (Fig. 11b), the hydrocarbon saturated zone occur at the northeastern region extending towards the southern portion of the model.

**Capillary pressure models**

The capillary pressure derived from Goda (Sam) et al.’s (2011) empirical capillary pressure model has minimum entry pressure and maximum capillary pressure to be 1.5 and 35 psi, respectively (Table 4). The empirically derived capillary pressure logs generated for each reservoir zone were used to generate capillary pressure models. The capillary pressure models (Figs. 12 and 13) describe considerably the behaviour of fluid within the reservoir units. The scale of the model ranges from 0 to 35 psi for reservoirs B and C, respectively. For reservoir B, the capillary entry pressure is evident on the log and model of northern parts of the map (labelled C), which ranges between 1.5 and 6.0 psi (Fig. 12a, b). The transition zone is evident on the capillary pressure log and model as the colour progresses from deep blue, through green to light blue with capillary pressure values ranging from 6 to 18 psi (labelled D). The red colour with values ranging from 18 to 35 psi exists as the zone with high capillary pressure which is indicative of the zones fully saturated with hydrocarbon (oil); this is evident on the eastern part of the model and region labelled ‘E’ on the capillary pressure log and model.

The capillary pressure reservoir C was upscaled, and the sequential Gaussian simulation was used to generate the capillary pressure model. The capillary entry pressure (Table 4) is evident on the model at the north-eastern part of the model with values ranging between 3.45 and 7 psi (region on the $P_c$ log and model labelled C and C’). The transition zone is evident as the colour progresses from deep blue to light blue at the region labelled D and D’ on the well section and model at north-western region with capillary pressure values ranging between 8 and 17.5 psi. The red colour region exists as the zone with high capillary pressure (17.5–35 psi) is indicative of the zones fully saturated with hydrocarbon (observed at the north-western and southern parts of the model) (Fig. 13a, b). From the model, it is evident that mobile water still exists within the reservoir zone.
The southern flank labelled E on the capillary pressure log (well section) and on the model is characterized by high capillary pressure, which indicates the zone in which economic hydrocarbon can be extracted. The zone labelled A is the free water level; B is the oil water contact for reservoirs B and C. From reservoir C, it is observed that there is an evidence of water entering the reservoir from the caprock which could be an evidence of leaking seal. The occurrence of thin layers of shale within the reservoirs is an indication of complex reservoir architecture (heterogeneous zones). One advantage of modelling capillary pressure is identifying reservoir zones in three dimensions in which water is mobile; this cannot be delineated by merely studying the capillary pressure curve (a plot of capillary pressure against water saturation). The zones identified on the capillary pressure are also identified on the capillary pressure log generated.
Capillary pressure curves

The plot of capillary pressure against water saturation for reservoirs B and C is presented in Fig. 14a, b. The capillary pressure curves show the meeting point of the fluid (where two fluids of different phases that are immiscible meet, capillary pressure is zero Pascoal 2015) below which is the FWL; there is an entry pressure as hydrocarbon tries to overcome the displacement pressure. The transition zone indicating the zone of interaction between the two-phase fluids (water and hydrocarbon) is evident at the curved region with the blue colour bar and the zone fully saturated with hydrocarbon (represented with a red colour bar). The capillary pressure curves are steep, which is an indication that the grains within the reservoirs are well sorted.

Volumetric analysis

In estimating the volume of oil in place, two cases were considered. The first case was estimating the volume of oil in place without taking transition zone into consideration (volume of oil 1), and the second case was estimating the oil in place with taking transition zone into consideration (volume of oil 2) (Table 5). The illustration below gives a clear description of the ranges between the volumes of oil obtained: volume of oil 1 (oil + mobile water in transition
zones) > volume of oil 2 (volume of oil-mobile water in transition zones).

For reservoir B, the difference between the volume of oil 1 (273 × 10^6 mmbbls) and volume of oil 2 (242 × 10^6 mmbbls) is 31 × 10^6 mmbbls. For reservoir C, the difference between the volume of oil 1 (406 × 10^6 mmbbls) and volume of oil 2 (256 × 10^6 mmbbls) is 150 × 10^6 mmbbls. The difference between these estimated volumes further confirms the presence of mobile water in the reservoirs. Thus, the volume of RHC estimated without taking transition zone into consideration is higher than the estimates obtained while transition analysis was performed.

| Name of the reservoir | Oil–water contact (m) | Capillary entry pressure (psi) | Maximum capillary pressure (psi) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Reservoir B           | 3963.32               | 1.50                          | 35.00                           |
| Reservoir C           | 4347.29               | 3.45                          | 35.00                           |

**Table 4** Oil–water contact depth and empirical capillary pressure values
Conclusions

Two reservoirs (RES B and C) were delineated with thickness values of 209.08 and 194.14 m. Computations of the petrophysical parameters of the reservoirs show that the area is highly porous and permeable. The effective porosity within the reservoirs ranges from 10 to 30%, and the permeability ranges from 100 to 1000 mD, which is an important characteristic of good hydrocarbon bearing zone. The modelling of these parameters further buttresses this point as it is evident on the models generated.

Six faults were mapped on the seismic volume as Faults 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. Faults 1, 4 and 5 are the major growth faults. Two horizons were mapped using the top of each reservoir to generate time and depth structural maps. The time and depth structural maps produced for the horizon mapped show a fault-assisted anticlinal structure.

The capillary pressure model generated was useful in describing the mobility of water within the reservoirs by Fig. 12  a Well section with capillary pressure log for reservoir B. A is FWL, B is OWC, C is entry pressure, D is transition zone and E is the zone fully saturated with hydrocarbon. b Capillary pressure model for reservoir B (A is FWL, B is OWC, C is low-Pc zone, D is transition zone and E is the zone fully saturated with hydrocarbon)
identifying the zones with low capillary pressure (entry pressure), the zone with water and hydrocarbon (transition zones) and the zone fully saturated with hydrocarbon.

The volume of oil estimated without taking transition zone into consideration was found to be higher at $273 \times 10^6$ and $406 \times 10^6$ mmbbls than the values of estimated hydrocarbon while taking transition zone into account at $242 \times 10^6$ and $256 \times 10^6$ for reservoirs B and C, respectively. This indicated the presence of mobile water to be $31 \times 10^6$ and $150 \times 10^6$ mmbbls for reservoirs B and C. These findings have shown that recoverable hydrocarbon (RHC) for some reservoirs is overestimated; hence, transition zone analysis has to be performed while performing sensitivity analysis for enhanced hydrocarbon recovery.
Table 5 Volume of oil without transition zone analysis and with transition zone analysis

| Name of the reservoir | Volume of oil 1 (without transition zone analysis) (*10^6 mmbbls) | Volume of oil 2 (with transition zone analysis) (*10^6 mmbbls) | Volume of mobile water within reservoirs (*10^6 mmbbls) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Reservoir B           | 273                                                           | 242                                                           | 31                                                     |
| Reservoir C           | 406                                                           | 256                                                           | 150                                                    |
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