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Abstract

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a significant public health problem in most westernized industrialized nations. In spite of national and international guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiac care, the overall survival of patients with OHCA was essentially unchanged for 30 years—from 1978 to 2008 at 7.6%. Perhaps a better indicator of Emergency Medical System (EMS) effectiveness in treating patients with OHCA is to focus on the subgroup that has a reasonable chance of survival, e.g., patients found to be in ventricular fibrillation (VF). But even in this subgroup, the average survival rate was 17.7% in the United States, unchanged between 1980 and 2003, and 21% in Europe, unchanged between 1980 and 2004. Prior to 2003, the survival of patients with OHCA, in VF in Tucson, Arizona was less than 9% in spite of incorporating previous guideline recommendations. An alternative (non-guidelines) approach to the therapy of patients with OHCA and a shockable rhythm, called Cardiocerebral Resuscitation, based on our extensive physiologic laboratory studies, was introduced in Tucson in 2003, in rural Wisconsin in 2004, and in selected EMS areas in the metropolitan Phoenix area in 2005. Survival of patients with OHCA due to VF treated with Cardiocerebral Resuscitation in rural Wisconsin increased to 38% and in 60 EMS systems in Arizona to 39%. In 2004, we began a statewide program to advocate chest compression-only CPR for bystanders of witnessed primary OHCA. Over the next five years, we found that survival of patients with a shockable rhythm was 17.7% in those treated with standard bystander CPR (mouth-to-mouth ventilations plus chest compression) compared to 33.7% for those who received bystander chest-compression-only CPR. This article on Cardiocerebral Resuscitation, by invitation following a presentation at the 2011 Danish Society Emergency Medical Conference, summarizes the results of therapy of patients with primary OHCA treated with Cardiocerebral Resuscitation, with requested emphasis on the EMS protocol.
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Background

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a significant public health problem in most westernized industrialized nations [1]. In spite of national and international guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and emergency cardiac care, the overall survival of patients with OHCA was essentially unchanged for 30 years—from 1978 to 2008 at 7.6% [2]. A better indicator of an emergency medical system’s (EMS) effectiveness in treating patients with OHCA is to focus on the subgroup that has a reasonable chance of survival; patients found to be in ventricular fibrillation (VF) [3]. But even in this subgroup, the average reported survival rate was 17.7% in the United States, unchanged between 1980 and 2003, and 21% in Europe, unchanged between 1980 and 2004 [4,5]. Following the 2005 guidelines, the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium, arguably representing some of the better EMS in the United States and Canada, reported that the median survival rates for patients with OHCA due to VF arrest managed with the 2005 guidelines had a survival rate of 22% [6]. However there was wide variability in survival observed by the reporting sites, ranging from 7.7% to 39.9% [6]. This uneven
survival shows that following the same approach (in this case the 2005 national and international Guidelines for CPR and Emergency Cardiac Care) does not assure similar survival of patients with OHCA.

Because many believe that resuscitation for cardiac arrest guidelines should be predominantly based on randomized control trials in man, guideline changes were rarely influenced by basic experimental studies. In fact, in 2000, the weight of evidence for animal studies was markedly decrease by the American Heart Association Guidelines for CPR and ECC [7,8].

In our experimental resuscitation laboratory, where the animals were anesthetized but not paralyzed, we found that survival was improved following several minutes of untreated ventricular fibrillation (VF) arrest by emphasizing chest compression only CPR (CO-CPR) and a new approach for advanced cardiac support [9].

The poor and stagnant survival rates of patients with OHCA due to VF arrest in our community led us to conclude that survival would be improved by applying in man the techniques that improved survival of VF arrest in our physiologic research laboratory [9,10].

The three components of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation are the Community, the EMS (emergency medical system), and the Hospital (Figure 1). In 2003 we instituted the Community and EMS components of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation for patients with primary OHCA and a shockable rhythm [9-11]. These components of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation were subsequently introduced in rural Wisconsin in 2004 and in some areas of Arizona in 2005 [12-15]. Each was willing to try a new approach, as their survival rates of patients with OHCA were poor and not improved in spite of changes made with each national guideline’s update. Each subsequently reported improved survival (38% in rural Wisconsin and 39% in 60 EMS in Arizona) (Figure 2) [13-15].

Cardiocerebral Resuscitation is advocated only for primary cardiac arrest. Note that it is not only for adults but also for younger individuals (such as those due to Commotio Cordis or congenital heart abnormalities) that result in primary cardiac arrest; an unexpected witnesses collapse in an individual who is not responsive. It is not recommended for cardiac arrest secondary to respiratory failure from drowning, drug overdose, or advanced pulmonary disease. Here chest compressions and assisted ventilations are necessary [16].

This new approach to primary cardiac arrest eliminated the term “pulmonary”, because of its initial lack of emphasis on mouth-to-mouth ventilation and prompt intubation and assisted positive pressure ventilation [9]. Cardiocerebral Resuscitation emphasis is on near constant blood flow to the heart and the brain by near continuous chest compressions throughout resuscitation attempts [9]. Assisted ventilation is initially not necessary at the onset of primary cardiac arrest, as the patient was breathing normally at the moment of the arrest. Therefore the arterial blood is oxygenated. Since the blood is essentially not circulating prior to initiation of chest compressions, the arterial blood remains oxygenated for several minutes [17].

The requirement for mouth-to-mouth ventilation as the first step of bystander resuscitation prevented most from initiation bystander CPR.

The Community component of Cardiocerebral resuscitation

The first component of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation is the Community (Figure 1) as the early initiation of bystander chest compressions significantly improves the chances of survival [18]. The public is encouraged to “Check, Call, Compress.” If an automated external defibrillator (AED)
is readily available, they are encouraged to use it as well (Figure 1) [19].

Essential to the “Check” is to determine if the patient has a primary cardiac arrest. Our Sarver Heart Center Resuscitation Research Group thinks this can best be accomplished by teaching that a primary cardiac arrest is an unexpected witnessed (seen or heard) collapse in an individual who is not responsive. An important part of the “Check” is to recognize gasping. Gasping, except in newborns is a sign of cardiac arrest and is common during the first few minutes of primary cardiac arrest [20,21]. Gasping during resuscitation efforts is a sign of adequate blood flow to the brain stem. Survival is greater in patients who are gasping [22].

With the cooperation of the Arizona Bureau of Emergency Medical Services Department, of the Arizona Medical Services and Trauma Systems, of the Arizona Department of Health Services, and the SHARE (Save Hearts in Arizona Registry and Education program) share@arizona.gov under the direction of Bentley J. Bobrow, M.D., a statewide effort was instituted in Arizona in 2004 to teach and advocate chest compression only CPR (CO-CPR) for bystanders of witnessed primary cardiac arrest. The result was doubling of survival of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (Figure 3) [23].

The EMS component of cardiocerebral resuscitation

The EMS or emergency medical service component of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation advocates a revised sequence of interventions for patients with primary cardiac arrest, not witnessed by EMS providers. This component advocates the prompt initiation of 200 continuous chest compressions prior to and immediately after a single indicated direct current shock, altered airway management to avoid prolonged interruptions of chest compressions and hyperventilation, and the early administration of epinephrine (adrenalin).

Defibrillation first for an observed, unexpectedly primary cardiac arrest

The individual with a witnessed unexpected collapse who is not responsive is usually in the electrical phase of ventricular fibrillation arrest. The most important intervention is prompt defibrillation. The highest survival rates of individuals with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was reported from the casinos in Las Vegas where our colleagues taught the security guards to recognize primary cardiac arrest and to use an automated external defibrillator (AED) [24]. Those individuals with a witnessed arrest who were shocked within 3 minutes had a survival rate of 74% and those shocked after 3 minutes had a survival rate of 49% [24]. Thus if the patient’s arrest is witnessed, the initial step for EMS personnel is prompt defibrillation.

Chest compressions prior to defibrillation

For individuals no longer in the Weisfeldt and Becker’s “electrical phase” (the first four or 50 minutes) of VF arrest, chest compressions prior to defibrillation is recommended to decompress and to perfuse the heart [25]. With cardiac arrest, the arterial pressure falls and the venous pressure rises, until Guyton’s mean circulatory filling pressure, is reached. Professor Stig Steen reported, in open chest swine, with the onset of ventricular fibrillation, as blood shifts from the high pressure arterial system into the lower pressure venous system, the right ventricle volume increases while the left ventricular volume decreases [26]. We showed the same phenomenon with MRI imaging [27].

In cardiac arrest, the prompt reestablishment of cardiac and cerebral perfusion is of paramount importance. The sternum should be compressed at least 2 inches at a rate of 100 times per minute [28,29]. Each chest compression must be followed by full chest wall recoil [30]. Therefore, if good bystander chest compressions are not underway, the first EMS intervention is the prompt initiation of 200 uninterrupted chest compressions at an optimal rate, depth, and recoil (Figure 4) [9,29,31].

Whenever possible, two EMS individuals are assigned as “chest compressors” to take up their position on the opposite sides of the patient’s chest. The individuals providing chest compressions are encouraged to alternate every minute and, in the absence of a chest compression rate and depth detector, the other person is assigned the task of continuously monitoring the rate and the quality of chest compressions. Ideally, metronomes provide audible prompts of 100 per minute. Studies have indicated that while bystanders tend to compress too slowly,
emergency medical service (EMS) personnel tend to compress too rapidly [32,33]. The optimal compression rate in man is probably near 100/min, but in experimental animals we found that the cardiac output increases with rates up to 120/min [33]. A recent editorial reviewing the currently available literature, concluded that, “the sweet spot for manual chest compressions is a rate of about 120 per minute” [34]. None of these studies were with continuous chest compression CPR.

Airway management

Airway management initially consists of passive ventilation by the insertion of an oral pharyngeal or supraglottic airway, and the provision of high flow oxygen via a non-rebreather mask (Figure 4) [13]. This approach eliminates the two most common deleterious effects of endotracheal intubation (ETI) during cardiac arrest; prolonged interruptions of chest compressions and hyperventilation [35].

We delayed ETI by EMS, based on our observations during resuscitation efforts of patients with in-hospital cardiac arrest. We often observed prolonged interruptions of chest compressions while physicians accomplished ETI. We assumed that EMS providers had similar difficulties. Subsequently, Wang and associates documented that paramedic ETI for OHCA interrupts chest compressions for prolonged periods [36]. They reported on 100 patients with OHCA; the median duration of first ETI was 47 seconds, almost one-third exceeded one minute and one-fourth exceeded 3 minutes [36]. Interruptions of chest compressions for these durations during cardiac arrest are usually lethal. Eliminating the need for early ETI also frees up that EMS person to quickly apply the defibrillator pads, to turn on the defibrillator, and to perform other essential duties such as relieving the person doing chest compressions or providing the early administration of epinephrine (Figure 4) [29]. Intubation is usually performed following ROSC to stabilize the patient’s airway during transportation.

Preventing deleterious effects of hyperventilation

Passive ventilation also prevents “Death by Hyperventilation.” [35] Following cardiac arrest, rapid, forceful positive pressure ventilations increase intrathoracic pressures, thereby decreasing venous return to the chest and heart [37]. Unfortunately increases in intrathoracic pressures are transmitted, probably via increasing the spinal fluid pressures, to an increase in intracranial pressures, which decreases blood flow to the brain [38].

Each shock is immediately followed by 200 chest compressions

Following each defibrillation shock, EMS personnel are prohibited from looking at the electrocardiogram (EKG) or palpating for an arterial pulse (Figure 4) [29]. The reason for this is that following prolonged untreated VF, a direct current shock will usually terminate the VF but will result in pulseless electrical activity (PEA) [39]. In fact this is the way we produce PEA in the experimental physiologic laboratory. Ventricular fibrillation is induced but is not treated for 8 to 12 minutes. Electrical defibrillation then results in classic PEA, and a normal or near normal electrocardiographic waveform, but a blood pressure so low that it cannot be palpated [39]. Medical personnel, not familiar with this phenomenon, observe the normal sinus rhythm on the EKG monitor and assume that the defibrillation shock has not only restored the sinus rhythm, but also the blood pressure. So viewing the resultant sinus rhythm on the EKG display, they do not resume chest compressions, but begin searching for a pulse. However PEA untreated usually deteriorates into heart block [39]. Rather than resume chest compressions, the previous response was often to try to get a pacemaker—without resumption of chest compressions, the patient’s rhythm further deteriorates into asystole. Then resuscitation efforts would be resumed, but not for VF arrest, but for asystolic arrest where survival is unusual. In contrast the immediate resumption of chest compressions following an effective defibrillation shock improves coronary perfusion pressure and most often leads to an effective perfusion pressure.

In patients with VF arrest, after three cycles of “200 CCC, rhythm analysis, plus shock are completed,” if the
patients is not gasping, ventilation is no doubt indicated (Figure 4) [29].

The role of epinephrine
Based on findings in our and others experimental laboratories, early epinephrine administration is recommended [9,40,41]. To administer epinephrine early, intraosseous injection is often necessary [40]. The late administration of epinephrine in man late in the cardiac arrest has not been shown to improve survival [42,43]. Although the incidence of ROSC is improved, survival is not.

The only randomized, controlled, double-blind trial of epinephrine vs. placebo in man, analyzed by a Bayesian interpretation of the results, suggest a benefit of epinephrine (CI 2.1 with 95% credible interval of 0.8 to 6.6) [44,45].

The hospital component
The third component of Cardiocerebral Resuscitation (Figure 1) is the “Hospital” component. In Arizona, hospitals are designated as “Cardiac Receiving Centers” once they have committed to providing optimal care to resuscitated but comatose cardiac arrest patients. In Arizona, it is acceptable for the EMS ambulance to bypass hospitals to transport patients with OHCA to a designated Cardiac Receiving Center. Multivariant analysis did not find an association between transport time and survival [46,47]. Cardiac Receiving Center hospitals have the trained staff, the necessary equipment, the expertise and the commitment to provide optimal care, including therapeutic mild hypothermia and urgent cardiac catheterization “24/7” for patients who attain ROSC (most of whom are comatose) following cardiac arrest [48,49].

Future perspectives
There are areas of the world that have excellent survival rates following the national and international Guidelines, for example Seattle, Washington and Northern Netherlands [50,51]. Both of these areas have a high incidence of bystander CPR and a record of dispatch assisted CPR (DA-CPR). A meta-analysis of randomized control trials of DA-CPR has shown that survival of patients with OHCA is improved with dispatch assisted chest-compression only CPR [52]. These facts and the improved survival in Arizona where CO-CPR was and is advocated, supports the contention that one of the more important interventions is the early initiation of bystander CPR.

Conclusions
Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is a major public health problem. In spite of recurrent updates of guidelines for CPR and ECC, survival of patients treated according to the guidelines is quite uneven, relatively good in a few areas, but poor in most. In contrast, everywhere that Cardiocerebral Resuscitation has been instituted survival of the subset of patients with the greatest chance of survival, those with an OHCA and a shockable rhythm has dramatically improved.

Every EMS system needs know the survival rate of those with OHCA and the best chance of survival—a primary cardiac arrest and a shockable rhythm. Knowing the survival rate of all patients with OHCA is not helpful as most such patients have little or no chance of survival, and leads to a defeatist mentality by EMS.

Therefore, in the authors view, if the survival rate of such patients with primary OHCA and a shockable rhythm in your community is less than 30%, you should seriously consider instituting Cardiocerebral Resuscitation for patients with primary cardiac arrest.

It is difficult to improve what you don’t measure.
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