Mode-coupling theory of the glass transition for confined fluids
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We present a detailed derivation of a microscopic theory for the glass transition of a liquid enclosed between two parallel walls relying on a mode-coupling approximation. This geometry lacks translational invariance perpendicular to the walls, which implies that the density profile and the density-density correlation function depends explicitly on the distances to the walls. We discuss the residual symmetry properties in slab geometry and introduce a symmetry adapted complete set of two-point correlation functions. Since the currents naturally split into components parallel and perpendicular to the walls the mathematical structure of the theory differs from the established mode-coupling equations in bulk. We prove that the equations for the nonergodicity parameters still display a covariance property similar to bulk liquids.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cooling or compressing a liquid usually induces a freezing transition towards a crystal, which then corresponds to the lowest free energy state. However, in many systems the phase transformation can be circumvented resulting in a supercooled metastable liquid where the viscosity increases by many orders of magnitude upon mild changes of temperature or density. This slowing down of transport eventually leads to the glass-transition phenomenon where structural arrest exceeds macroscopic time scales. One of the grand challenges of theoretical physics is to provide a framework that explains the microscopic mechanism and the plethora of phenomena related to the glass transition.

Significant progress in this direction has been achieved within the mode-coupling theory of the glass transition (MCT), which was developed by Götze and collaborators [1, 2]. The theory requires only the static structure as input parameter and then provides a complete description of dynamic density correlations. In particular, it yields a strong slowing down of the structural relaxation upon gradual changes of the static local order, eventually leading to a structural arrest. Thus the essence of the glass transition is conceived as a dynamic breaking of ergodicity driven by the strong correlations of the constituent particles. In the vicinity of the transition MCT makes a series of non-trivial predictions that characterize the structural relaxation. The most prominent is the emergence of two scaling laws in time, a phenomenon that does not appear to have an analog in other fields of physics. The first scaling law describes the dynamics close to a plateau value, also referred to as nonergodicity parameter or glass form factor, and a factorization property of the space and time dependence is predicted. The decay from the plateau to zero obeys a second scaling law (time-temperature superposition principle) characterized by stretched relaxation functions.

Numerous aspects of MCT have been tested successfully [3]; examples include depolarized-light scattering, which nicely displays the enhancement of a minimum in the first scaling regime [4, 5], colloidal glass-forming systems [6, 7] exhibiting the wave-number-dependent structural relaxation, and computer simulations on binary mixtures [8, 9] revealing scaling behavior in the vicinity of the plateau.

The success of the theory for simple one-component systems or mixtures is encouraging to advance the mode-coupling approach of the glass transition also to more complex situations, adding new degrees of freedom, varying the dimension or introducing confinement. The mode-coupling theory has been applied successfully to two dimensions [11, 12]. Similarly, the properties of MCT in arbitrarily high dimensions have been discussed [14–17] to infer if the theory becomes of mean-field type in a well-defined manner. Whereas these works describe simple liquids composed of structureless particles, already rigid linear [13, 22] and arbitrarily shaped [23] molecules require the use of symmetry-adapted tensor fluctuation densities to account for the orientational degrees of freedom. Then, the intermediate scattering function generalizes to a matrix-valued correlation function accompanied by a splitting of the currents, which introduces subtle new mathematical properties [24].

A challenge for the theory is introduced by exposing the glass-forming liquid to complex geometries or external potentials [25] and walls. A mode-coupling theory combining aspects of quenched disorder and interaction induced vitrification has been elaborated [26, 29], which predicts an intriguing interplay of the glass transition driven by the strong mutual interactions of the fluid particles and the localization transition induced by the obstruction by the frozen matrix. However, there a divergent length scale with long-wavelength anomalies [28, 30] emerges and a refined description requires concepts from critical phenomena as has been worked out for the Lorentz problem [31, 33].

The response of the time-dependent density correlation function to small local perturbations requires one to consider inhomogeneous mode-coupling equations [34], and the emergence of a hidden divergent length scale has been
A great deal of experimental work and computer simulations has been devoted to confine the liquid to a narrow slab to investigate the role of cooperativity and dynamic heterogeneities for the slowing down of transport processes. The interaction of the liquid with the walls has a crucial influence on the glass transition, e.g., for rough walls an increase of the critical temperature in comparison to bulk liquids has been reported. For smooth repulsive walls an increase, e.g., for soft sphere mixtures, was found as well, whereas the opposite was identified for polymers. Experimental results using confocal microscopy on colloidal hard-sphere suspensions between two smooth walls reveal a decrease of the critical packing fraction and a slower dynamics at the walls in lateral direction. Recently, an additional slowing down of motion has been reported due to an enhancement of effective surface roughness by immobilized particles. Computer simulations for the diffusive dynamics of hard spheres found that the diffusivity displays peculiar behavior as the distance of the plates is varied, oscillating similarly to static quantities like the excess entropy obtained by density-functional theory. Molecular dynamics simulations for water confined to silica pores have been analyzed in terms of the universal aspects of MCT for bulk liquids close to the glass transition.

In this article we provide a detailed derivation of the MCT equations of the glass transition for simple one-component liquids are covariant under a linear transformation of the time-dependent density correlator. This covariance, which has been proven to be valid for multicomponent systems as well, has strong implications for the properties of the solutions of the MCT equations. The decomposition of the current density for confined liquids into a parallel and a perpendicular component leads to MCT equations of a different mathematical structure than for one- or multicomponent liquids. Here we provide a first step to demonstrate that some of these properties hold also within the mode-coupling theory for confined liquids by showing the covariance property of the MCT equations for the nonergodicity parameters. In particular, we prove the existence of one of its solutions distinguished by a maximum principle.

II. MODEL AND INVARIANCE PROPERTIES

We consider a simple liquid comprised of $N$ identical particles of mass $m$ without inner degrees of freedom enclosed between two flat, hard, and parallel walls, which are separated by a distance $L$. The area of the wall surfaces is denoted by $A$, and a thermodynamic limit $A \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$ is anticipated, such that the area density $n_0 := N/A$ and the wall separation $L$ remain constant. Adapted to the geometrical constraints a coordinate system is introduced such that the $z$ axis is perpendicular to the hard surfaces located at $z = \pm L/2$. Here we adopt the convention that the location of the surfaces are taken to confine the centers of the particles to $|z| \leq L/2$. In a real experiment the particles cannot approach the plates further than a hard core radius $\sigma/2$, e.g., for hard spheres the effective distance of plates is then $H = L + \sigma$. For the development of the theory it is convenient to use $L$ as the relevant confinement length.

In the following the in-plane coordinates are abbreviated by $\vec{r} = (x, y)$. The positions of the centers and momenta of the $N$-particle system are specified by $\{\vec{x}_n\} = \{(\vec{x}_1, z_n)\}$ and $\{\vec{p}_n\} = \{(\vec{P}_1, P_n)\}$ with the in-plane momenta $\vec{P}_n$. The positions and momenta of the particles evolve according to Newton’s equations of motion and the corresponding Hamilton function is given by

$$H(\{\vec{x}_n\}, \{\vec{p}_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{p}_n^2}{2m} + V(\{\vec{x}_n\}) + U(\{z_n\}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

For simplicity the mutual interaction between the particles is assumed to be pairwise additive

$$V(\{\vec{x}_n\}) = \sum_{n<m} V(|\vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_m|),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

such that the two-particle interaction preserves linear momentum and angular momentum. The walls confine...
the particles between the flat surfaces. Additionally, a specific particle-wall interaction such as adsorption induced by hydrophilic or adhesive, respectively, hydrophobic or cohesive forces, can be included,

\[ U(\{z_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} U(z_n), \quad (3) \]

where

\[ U(z) = \begin{cases} U^W(z) & \text{for } |z| \leq L/2, \\ \infty & \text{for } |z| > L/2. \end{cases} \quad (4) \]

For the case of identical walls, the specific interaction displays the additional symmetry \( U^W(-z) = U^W(z) \).

Note that the wall constraint drastically changes the structure and dynamics of the liquid, which cannot be treated within perturbation theory. Therefore, a linear response approach as suggested in [31] is not suitable to capture the induced changes.

The physical quantities characterizing the dynamics of the confined liquid reflect the symmetries of the equilibrium distribution and the corresponding time evolution. Whereas a bulk system is on average isotropic and translationally invariant, and displays space- and time-inversion symmetry, the walls reduce the number of symmetry transformations. These residual symmetries are determined by investigating the invariance properties of the Hamilton function. A phase-space transformation \( \mathcal{O} \) is called a symmetry of the system if it leaves the Hamilton function invariant \( H(\{\mathcal{O}x_n\}, \{\mathcal{O}p_n\}) = H(\{x_n\}, \{p_n\}) \), at least in the limit of large system sizes. The set of all space-time symmetries compatible with the constraint is generated by the elementary transformations

\[ \mathcal{O} = \{ T_{\vec{d}}; R_z(\alpha), P_{xz}, P_{yz}, \{ \Pi \}; T_i, T_\pm \}, \quad (5) \]

where \( T_{\vec{d}} \) are arbitrary in-plane translations by a vector \( \vec{d} = (d_x, d_y, 0) \), \( R_z(\alpha) \) are rotations around the \( z \)-axis by an angle \( \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \). The elements \( P_{xz} \) and \( P_{yz} \) correspond to reflections at the \( x-z \) and \( y-z \) plane, respectively. A permutation \( \Pi \) of the particle labels \( 1, 2, \ldots, N \) also leaves the statistical properties as well as the dynamics unchanged. Furthermore, shifts \( T_i \) by a time \( t \) or time reversal \( T_\pm \) leave the dynamical laws invariant, thus do not change correlation functions.

For identical walls the symmetry group is larger and is generated by

\[ \mathcal{O}_{\text{sym}} = \mathcal{O} \cup \{ R_{\vec{n}}(\pi), I, P_{xy} \}, \quad (6) \]

where \( R_{\vec{n}}(\pi) \) are rotations by an angle \( \pi \) around an axis \( \vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, 0) \) in the \( x-y \) plane. A space inversion is generated by \( I \), and \( P_{xy} \) indicates a reflection at the dividing \( x-y \) plane.

### III. Correlation Functions in Real and Wave-Number Space

In this section we present the correlation functions in real space adapted to the confined geometry. We start with the most basic quantity describing a liquid, the microscopic particle density

\[ \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta[\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n(t)]\delta[z - z_n(t)], \quad (7) \]

where the dependence on the initial position in phase space is omitted for simplicity. Due to translational symmetry parallel to the surfaces, \( T_{\vec{d}} \), the equilibrium density varies only in the \( z \)-direction

\[ n(z) = \langle \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) \rangle. \quad (8) \]

Here the angle brackets \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) indicate canonical averaging over the initial conditions in phase space. We then introduce the fluctuations \( \delta \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) := \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) - n(z) \) and define the density-density correlation function, which corresponds to the Van Hove function [54],

\[ G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) := \frac{1}{n_0} \langle \delta \rho(\vec{r}, z, t)\delta \rho(\vec{r}', z', 0) \rangle. \quad (9) \]

By translational and rotational symmetry in plane, \( T_z \) and \( R_z(\alpha) \), the Van Hove function only depends on the modulus of the in-plane distance \( |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \). Furthermore, by time reversal symmetry, \( T_t \), it is an even function of time

\[ G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) = G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z', z, t), \quad (10) \]

and by time translational symmetry, \( T_t \), it is symmetric with respect to interchanging the positions

\[ G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) = G(|\vec{r}' - \vec{r}|, z', z, t). \quad (11) \]

For the case of identical walls, the density profile is symmetric, \( n(z) = n(-z) \), and the Van Hove function is invariant under simultaneous reflection of \( z \) and \( z' \),

\[ G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) = G(|\vec{r}' - \vec{r}|, -z, -z', t). \quad (12) \]

We expand all quantities in terms of symmetry-adapted Fourier modes. For the \( z \) direction we employ a discrete set of Fourier modes \( \exp(-iQ_\mu z) \) with wave numbers \( Q_\mu = \frac{2\pi i}{L} \mu, \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \). These constitute a complete set \( \sum_{\mu} \exp(iQ_\mu z) \exp(-iQ_\mu z') = L \delta(z - z') \) of orthogonal functions in the finite interval \([-L/2, L/2]: \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \exp(iQ_\mu z) \exp(-iQ_\mu z') dz = L \delta_\mu. \) Hence the equilibrium density profile is expanded in discrete modes

\[ n(z) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mu} n_\mu \exp(-iQ_\mu z), \quad (13) \]

where sums over greek subscript indices are to be taken over all integer numbers \( \mathbb{Z} \). The corresponding Fourier
coefficients are obtained as
\[ n_\mu = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dz \, n(z) \exp(iQ_\mu z). \] (14)

Since \( n(z) \) is real, \( n_\mu = n^*_{-\mu} \). We shall also need the local specific volume \( v_\mu(z) := 1/n(z) \). By the convolution theorem, the Fourier coefficients fulfill
\[ \sum_\kappa n_{\kappa-\mu}v_{\kappa-\nu} = \sum_\kappa n^*_{-\kappa-\mu}v^*_{-\kappa-\nu} = L^2 \delta_{\mu\nu}. \] (15)

For symmetric walls the coefficients are real,
\[ n_\mu = n^*_{-\mu}, \quad v_\mu = v^*_{-\mu}, \] (16)
and by the previous relation, they are also symmetric, \( n_\mu = n_{-\mu}, v_\mu = v_{-\mu} \).

We decompose the spatial dependence parallel to the surfaces into ordinary plane waves, \( e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \), where the wave vectors \( \vec{q} = (q_x, q_y) \) are treated initially as discrete \((q_x, q_y) \in (2\pi/\sqrt{A})\mathbb{Z}^2\). For example, the microscopic density is
\[ \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mu} \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) \exp(-iQ_\mu z)e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}. \] (17)

If one performs the thermodynamic limit, such that \( \vec{q} \) becomes a continuous variable, sums are replaced by integrals \((1/A) \sum_{\vec{q}} \ldots \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-2} \int d^2\vec{q} \ldots \) as usual. The fundamental quantities of interest are the expansion coefficients \( \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) \) called density modes,
\[ \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \exp[iQ_\mu z_n(t)] e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_n(t)}, \] (18)
with corresponding fluctuations \( \delta \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) = \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) - \langle \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) \rangle \). Since \( \langle \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t) \rangle = AN_\mu \delta \rho(\vec{q}) \) the correction is relevant only for vanishing wave vector parallel to the confinement.

Expressing the density fluctuations in real space \( \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) \) by its Fourier decomposition yields an expansion of the corresponding Van Hove function
\[ G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{\vec{q}} \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu}(\vec{q}, t) \times \exp[i(Q_\mu z - Q_\nu z')] e^{i\vec{q} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}, \] (19)
in terms of an infinite matrix \( [S(q, t)]_{\mu\nu} = S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) \), which generalizes the intermediate scattering function,
\[ S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \delta \rho_\mu(\vec{q}, t)^* \delta \rho_\nu(\vec{q}, 0) \rangle. \] (20)

The translational invariance along the direction of the walls manifests itself in the appearance of a single wave vector \( \vec{q} \), whereas perpendicularly two indices are required. Furthermore it depends only on the magnitude \( q = |\vec{q}| \) due to rotational invariance in the plane, \( R_z(\alpha) \).

Reversely, the generalized intermediate scattering function is obtained from the van Hove function by Fourier transform,
\[ S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = \int dz \int dz' \int d(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') G(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|, z, z', t) \times \exp[-i(Q_\mu z - Q_\nu z')] e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}]. \] (21)

The initial value \( S_{\mu\nu}(q) := S_{\mu\nu}(q, t = 0) \) characterizes the equilibrium structure of the fluid in the slit and will be referred to as generalized static structure factor. Note, that the hermitian matrix \( S(q) \geq 0 \) is non-negative, i.e., for any set of complex numbers \( y_\mu \), the inequality \( \sum_{\mu\nu} y_\mu^* S_{\mu\nu}(q) y_\nu \geq 0 \) holds.

The space and time symmetries imply relations between the matrix elements of \( S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) \). The translational \( T_z \) and rotational symmetry \( R_z(\alpha) \) have already been exploited. By time reversal symmetry \( T_\tau \) and time translation \( T_t \), the intermediate scattering function is even in time and the matrix is hermitian,
\[ S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = S_{\mu\nu}(q, -t) = S_{\nu\mu}(q, t)^*. \] (22)

For symmetric walls, the inversion symmetry \( I \) yields
\[ S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = S_{\mu\nu}(q, t)^* = S_{-\mu-\nu}(q, t), \] (23)
i.e., the matrices are real symmetric and invariant under simultaneous change of sign of the mode indices.

The conservation of the particle number within the slit geometry is encoded in the continuity equation
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\vec{r}, z, t) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}, z, t) = 0, \] (24)
where the microscopic particle current density is given by
\[ \vec{j}(\vec{r}, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\vec{P}_n(t)}{m} \delta[\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n(t)] \delta[z - z_n(t)], \] (25)
By the symmetry of the system the currents split naturally into current densities parallel,
\[ \vec{j}^\parallel(\vec{r}, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\vec{P}_n(t)}{m} \delta[\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n(t)] \delta[z - z_n(t)], \] (26)
and perpendicular to the surfaces,
\[ j^\perp(\vec{r}, z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{P_z^\perp(t)}{m} \delta[\vec{r} - \vec{r}_n(t)] \delta[z - z_n(t)]. \] (27)
In this work we need only the longitudinal components that contribute to the particle conservation law. Then
the divergence \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(r, z, t) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}(r, z, t) + \nabla_z j_z(r, z, t) \) consists of two decay channels, with currents that are represented in the Fourier domain,

\[
J^{\alpha}_\mu(q, t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} b^{\alpha}_n \hat{q} \cdot \hat{P}_n(t, \mathbf{P}_n(t)) \exp[iQ_\mu z_n(t)] e^{iq \cdot r_n(t)}. \tag{28}
\]

Here we abbreviate the unit vector \( \hat{q} = \hat{q}/q \) and introduce the selector \( b^{\alpha}(x, z) = x \delta_\alpha_{||} + z \delta_\alpha_{\perp} \), which will simplify the subsequent manipulations. Consequently, a spatial Fourier expansion of Eq. \( \text{(24)} \) leads to the continuity equation for the density and current density modes

\[
\partial_t \rho_\mu(q, t) = 1 \sum_{\alpha = ||, \perp} b^{\alpha}(q, \mathcal{J}_\mu^{\alpha \beta}(q, t)). \tag{29}
\]

It is instructive to consider also the current density correlator matrix \( [\mathcal{J}(q, t)]_{\alpha \beta} = \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t) \), with matrix elements defined by

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle j_{\mu}^{\alpha}(q, t) j_{\nu}^{\beta}(q, 0) \rangle. \tag{30}
\]

In particular, its initial value \( \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q) = \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t = 0) \) can be evaluated explicitly (see Appendix \( \text{A} \))

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q) = \frac{k_BT}{m} \frac{n_{\mu - \nu}}{N_0} \delta_{\alpha \beta}. \tag{31}
\]

By Eq. \( \text{(15)} \) its inverse matrix can be expressed in terms of the local specific volume

\[
[(\mathcal{J}^{-1}(q))]_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu \nu} = \frac{m}{k_BT} N_0 \frac{v^*_{\mu - \nu}}{L^2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}. \tag{32}
\]

Applying the same reasoning as above, one easily derives the symmetry relations for the current-current correlator,

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t) = \mathcal{J}_{\nu \mu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, -t) = \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t)^{*}, \tag{33}
\]

and for symmetric walls additionally,

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t) = \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t)^{*} = \mathcal{J}_{\mu - \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q, t). \tag{34}
\]

The emergence of the channel indices \( (\alpha, \beta) \) for the current density correlator matrix, that represent the splitting of the currents into a parallel and perpendicular component, occurs in the same spirit as has been introduced for molecular liquids \( \text{[18]} \) or a single molecular solute \( \text{[19]} \). There, the currents naturally split into a translational and an orientational part.

### IV. ZWANZIG-MORI PROJECTION-OPERATOR FORMALISM

In this section the equations of motion for the generalized intermediate scattering function are derived with the help of the Zwanzig-Mori projection-operator formalism \( \text{[2, 57]} \).

The dynamics is driven by Newton’s equations of motion, which implies that the time evolution of phase-space functions \( A(t) \equiv A((\mathbf{p}_n(t)), \{ \mathbf{x}_n(t) \}) \) is obtained by

\[
\partial_t A(t) = \{ A(t), H \} \equiv i\mathcal{L}A(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{L} \text{ is referred to as the Liouville operator.}
\]

The formal solution then reads

\[
A(t) = \exp(i\mathcal{L}t)A, \quad \text{where we adopt the convention that if no argument is provided the phase space function refers to the initial time } t = 0.
\]

The set of fluctuating phase space functions is naturally equipped with a Hilbert space structure via the Kubo scalar product \( \langle A|B \rangle \equiv \langle \delta A^* \delta B \rangle \) as correlation functions between fluctuations \( \delta A = A - \langle A \rangle \). One easily convinces oneself that the Liouville operator is hermitian with respect to the Kubo scalar product, see, e.g., \( \text{[2]} \) for the mathematical rigor. Dynamic correlation functions can then be represented as matrix elements \( \langle \delta A(t)^* \delta B \rangle = \langle A|\mathcal{R}(t)|B \rangle \) of the backwards-time evolution operator \( \mathcal{R}(t) = \exp(-i\mathcal{L}t) \). The projection-operator formalism relies on an exact reformulation of the operator identity \( \hat{\mathcal{R}}(t) = -i\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}(t) \) to

\[
\partial_t \mathcal{P}(t) \mathcal{R}(t) + i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P}(t) \mathcal{R}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} dt' \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q}\exp[-i\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}(t-t')] \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P}(t-t') \mathcal{P} = 0, \tag{35}
\]

valid for any orthogonal projection operator \( \mathcal{P} \); see Appendix \( \text{[3]} \). Here \( Q = 1 - \mathcal{P} \) denotes the projection onto the orthogonal complement, and \( \mathcal{R}(t) = \exp(-i\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}t) \) is referred to as the reduced backwards-time evolution operator.

Here we derive a formally exact equation of motion for the generalized intermediate scattering function \( S_{\mu \nu}(q, t) = \langle \rho_\mu(q, t)|\mathcal{R}(t)|\rho_\nu(q, t) \rangle/N \). First we use the density as distinguished variable and introduce the projector

\[
\mathcal{P}_\rho = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mu \nu} \langle \rho_\mu(q) | S^{-1}(q) | \mu \nu \rangle \langle \rho_\nu(q) |, \tag{36}
\]

with corresponding orthogonal projection operator \( \mathcal{Q}_{\rho} = 1 - \mathcal{P}_{\rho} \). Sandwiching the operator identity Eq. \( \text{(35)} \) between the distinguished variables, one derives the first equation of motion

\[
\dot{S}_{\mu \nu}(q, t) + \sum_{\kappa \lambda} \int_{0}^{t} dt' K_{\mu \nu}(q, t-t') (S^{-1}(q))_{\kappa \lambda} S_{\lambda \nu}(q, t') dt' = 0. \tag{37}
\]

Here we observed that in Newtonian dynamics \( \langle \rho_\mu(q)|\mathcal{L}|\rho_\nu(q) \rangle = 0 \), such that the second term in Eq. \( \text{(35)} \) does not contribute. The third term can be simplified using \( \mathcal{Q}_{\rho} \mathcal{L}|\rho_\nu(q) \rangle = \mathcal{L}|\rho_\nu(q) \rangle \) and leads to the memory kernel \( K(q, t) \) with matrix elements,

\[
K_{\mu \nu}(q, t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \mathcal{L} \rho_\mu(q)|\mathcal{R}(q, t)|\rho_\nu(q) \rangle. \tag{38}
\]

In contrast to bulk systems, the current densities display two relaxation channels, one in plane and one in the perpendicular direction. By the particle conservation law,
Eq. (29), the memory kernel naturally splits into four parts,

\[ K_{\mu \nu}(q,t) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = \|, \perp} b^{\alpha}(q,Q_\mu) C_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,t) b^{\beta}(q,Q_\nu), \]  

(39)

with the reduced current–current correlation matrix

\[ C_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle j^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\bar{q}) | R_{Q_\nu}(t) | j^{\beta}_{\nu}(\bar{q}) \rangle. \]  

(40)

The symmetricities of \( C_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,t) \) are identical to the current–current correlation function \( \mathcal{J}_{\mu \nu}(q,t) \). Its initial value coincides with the equilibrium static current–current correlator, Eq. (31). Solving the first equation of motion, Eq. (37), for \( S_{\mu \nu}(q,t) \) to second order in the lag time \( t \), one derives the short-time expansion

\[ S_{\mu \nu}(q,t) = S_{\mu \nu}(q) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{kBT}{m} n_{\nu - \mu}^{\ast}(q^{2} + Q_\mu Q_\nu) t^{2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{4}). \]  

(41)

The parallel relaxation gives rise to a term for the motion along the plates and a second one for the flow perpendicular to the confinement. Note that different mode indices contribute in a nontrivial way to the decay of \( S_{\mu \nu}(q,t) \) already at order \( \mathcal{O}(t^{2}) \), due to the breaking of translational symmetry.

The reduced current correlator is not suited as a starting point for approximations for the slow dynamics. Rather, we employ a second Zwanzig–Mori step for the reduced backwards-time evolution operator \( \mathcal{R}_{Q_\nu}(t) \), hence we make the replacement \( \mathcal{L} \mapsto Q_\nu \mathcal{L} Q_\rho \) in the operator identity Eq. (34). The new orthogonal projector is constructed from the current kernels \( |j^{\mu}_{\mu}(q)\rangle \). Since currents to different relaxation channels are mutually orthogonal, Eq. (31), the projector splits into two commuting orthogonal components,

\[ \mathcal{P}_{j} = \sum_{\alpha = \|, \perp} \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\alpha}, \]  

(42)

where the individual projections are represented by

\[ \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q \mu \nu} |j^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\bar{q})\rangle \langle \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) | j^{\nu}_{\nu}(\bar{q}) \rangle. \]  

(43)

By time-inversion symmetry the projections on the currents are orthogonal to the densities, and the three projection operators \( \mathcal{P}_{\rho}, \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\parallel}, \mathcal{P}_{j}^{\perp} \) mutually commute. Then the Zwanzig–Mori procedure yields the second equation of motion,

\[ \mathcal{K}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,t) + \sum_{\kappa, \lambda} \sum_{\gamma = \|, \perp} \int_{t}^{0} dt' \mathcal{M}_{\mu \kappa}^{\gamma \kappa}(q,t-t') \langle \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) | \mathcal{K}_{\lambda \nu}^{\gamma \beta}(q,t') \rangle dt' = 0, \]  

(44)

where we observed again that \( \mathcal{J}(q) \) is diagonal in the channel indices. The memory kernel \( \mathcal{M}(q,t) \) of the fluctuating forces has matrix elements

\[ \mathcal{M}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle j^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\bar{q}) | \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} \exp[-i \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} t] \mathcal{L} | j^{\beta}_{\nu}(\bar{q}) \rangle. \]  

(45)

where \( \mathcal{Q} = Q_\gamma Q_\rho = 1 - \mathcal{P}_{j} - \mathcal{P}_{\rho} \) projects onto the orthogonal subspace spanned by the density and the currents. The dynamics of this subspace is generated by the reduced Liouville operator \( \mathcal{L} := \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} \).

The exact equations of motion assume the form of matrix-valued integro differential equations, where the memory effects emerge via the convolution integrals. We note that due to the two decay channels both integro differential equations, Eqs. (47) and (48), with first-order derivative in time cannot be replaced by a single integro differential equation with second-order time derivative, quite in contrast to simple one and multi-component liquids. The equations simplify in the Fourier-Laplace domain, convention

\[ \hat{S}_{\mu \nu}(q,z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt S_{\mu \nu}(q,t) \exp(izt), \quad \text{Im}[z] > 0, \]  

(46)

where \( z \) constitutes a complex frequency. \[ 58 \] As usual, one infers that the Laplace transforms are analytic functions in the upper half plane \( \text{Im}[z] > 0 \), and all singularities are concentrated on the complement. From the definition of the Kubo scalar product, the Laplace transforms of dynamic correlation functions are matrix elements of the resolvent operator \( (\mathcal{L} - z)^{-1} \), e.g.,

\[ \hat{S}_{\mu \nu}(q,z) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \rho_{\mu}(\bar{q}) | (\mathcal{L} - z)^{-1} | \rho_{\nu}(\bar{q}) \rangle, \]  

(47)

and similarly for the other correlation functions. Transforming the first equation of motion, Eq. (37), yields a matrix equation for \( \hat{S}(q,z) \) with formal solution,

\[ \hat{S}(q,z) = - [z \mathcal{S}^{-1}(q) + \mathcal{S}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{K}(q,z) \mathcal{S}^{-1}(q)]^{-1}. \]  

(48)

By linearity, the decomposition of \( \mathcal{K}(q,t) \) into different relaxation channels, Eq. (39), translates directly to the Laplace domain,

\[ \hat{K}_{\mu \nu}(q,z) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = \|, \perp} b^{\alpha}(q,Q_\mu) \mathcal{K}_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha \beta}(q,z) b^{\beta}(q,Q_\nu). \]  

(49)

Last, the second equation of motion, Eq. (44), allows us to calculate the current kernel by matrix inversion

\[ \mathcal{K}(q,z) = - [z \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) + \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q,z) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q)]^{-1}. \]  

(50)

Up to this point, all equations are exact and all features specific to the interactions within the liquid and the wall are encoded in the force kernel \( \mathcal{M}(q,t) \). Close to the glass transition, we anticipate that forces due to interactions persist for long times, implying that the Laplace transform \( \mathcal{M}(q,z) \) becomes large for small frequencies. By Eq. (50) the current correlator \( \mathcal{K}(q,z) \) becomes small in this case reflecting that transport is drastically suppressed. The first equation of motion in the Laplace domain, Eq. (48), implies that the density correlation function \( \mathcal{S}(q,z) \) diverges for \( z \to 0 \) at the glass transition due to the slowing down of the structural relaxation.
V. MODE-COUPLING THEORY

The Zwanzig-Mori formalism expresses the density dynamics in terms of the force kernel $\mathcal{M}(q, t)$. To close the set of dynamic equations we need to specify the force kernel by a suitable approximation. The basic insight is that caging by neighboring particles is the driving mechanism for the slowing down of the dynamics. Yet, the caging forces entering the force kernel are generated by the interactions with the particles, i.e., by products of density modes. Here, we rely on the mode-coupling idea for supercooled liquids to establish a connection in the temporal domain between the force kernel as a functional of the density correlation functions. The goal is thus to derive a microscopic theory without free parameters allowing us to evaluate the complete dynamics, including the long-time structural relaxation, from a set of self-consistent equations.

We implement the mode-coupling idea following the strategy of simple bulk liquids: The forces are projected onto a set of fluctuating density-pair modes and the resulting four-point correlation function with reduced dynamics is factorized into a product of density correlation functions with the original dynamics. The technical procedure is to identify first an orthogonal projection operator onto the pair fluctuating modes:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\rho \rho} = \sum_{1;2} |\delta\rho(1)\delta\rho(2))g(12;1'2')\delta\rho(1')\delta\rho(2')|.
$$

(51)

Here we followed Ref. 13 to simplify the notation by combining the wave vectors and mode indices into super indices $i = (q_1, \mu_1)$ and $i' = (q'_1, \mu'_1)$. The matrix $g(12;1'2')$ ensures idempotency, $\mathcal{P}_{\rho \rho}^2 = \mathcal{P}_{\rho \rho}$, by the normalization condition

$$
\sum_{1/2'} g(12;1'2')\delta\rho(1')\delta\rho(2') = \frac{1}{2}\delta(1,1'')\delta(2,2'') + \delta(1,2'')\delta(2,1'').
$$

(52)

The essential part of the mode-coupling approximation is the factorization of the dynamical four-point correlation function into dynamical two-point correlation functions,

$$
\langle \delta\rho(1)|\delta\rho(2)\exp[-i\mathcal{L}_Q t]|\delta\rho(1')\delta\rho(2')\rangle \\
= N^2[S(1,1',t)S(2,2',t)+(1'\leftrightarrow 2')].
$$

(53)

Specializing to $t = 0$ yields an approximate factorization of the static four-point correlation function

$$
\langle \delta\rho(1)|\delta\rho(2)|\delta\rho(1')\delta\rho(2')\rangle \\
= \langle \rho(1)|\rho(1')\rangle|\rho(2)|\rho(2')|+(1'\leftrightarrow 2').
$$

(54)

For consistency, we employ the same factorization also in the normalization condition, Eq. (52), which then allows us to determine

$$
g(12;1'2') \approx \frac{1}{4N^2}\{[S^{-1}](1,1')S^{-1}(2,2')+(1'\leftrightarrow 2')\}.
$$

(55)

Collecting terms the mode-coupling procedure leads to an approximation for the force kernel as a bilinear functional of the generalized intermediate scattering function,

$$
\mathcal{M}(q, t)_{\mu\nu} \approx \frac{1}{2N^3} \sum_{q_1, q_2} \sum_{\nu_1\nu_2} \sum_{\mu_1\mu_2} \chi_{\mu\nu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2) \\
\times S_{\mu_1\nu_1}(q_1,t)S_{\mu_2\nu_2}(q_2,t)\mathcal{M}_{\nu_1\nu_2}(q_1 q_2)^{*}.
$$

(56)

Note that, due to translational invariance in lateral direction to the walls, only wave vectors $q_1$ and $q_2$ contribute which fulfill the selection rule $q = q_1 + q_2$. Here the complex-valued vertices $\chi_{\mu\nu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2)$ arise from the overlap of the fluctuating forces with the density-pair modes

$$
\chi_{\mu\nu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2) = \sum_{\mu'_1, \mu'_2} \langle Q(\mathcal{L}^\mu_{\mu_1\mu'_1})(q_1)|\delta\rho_{\mu_1}(q_1)\delta\rho_{\mu'_2}(q_2)\rangle \\
\times [S^{-1}(q_1)]_{\mu_1\mu_2}[S^{-1}(q_2)]_{\mu'_1\mu'_2}.
$$

(57)

The overlaps can be evaluated explicitly in terms of structural quantities

$$
\langle Q\mathcal{L}^\mu_{\mu_1\mu'_1}(q_1)|\delta\rho_{\mu_1}(q_1)\delta\rho_{\mu_2}(q_2)\rangle = N\frac{k_B T}{m}\delta_{q, q_1+q_2} \\
\times \left[ b^\mu(\hat{q} \cdot q_1, Q_\mu)|S^{-1}(q)|_{\rho_0}S_{\sigma,\mu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2)\right].
$$

(58)

see Appendix C. Here, static correlations of the density with pair modes occur, which introduces the triple correlation function

$$
S_{\sigma,\mu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2) = \frac{1}{N}\langle \delta\rho_{\sigma}(q_1)|\delta\rho_{\mu_1}(q_1)\delta\rho_{\mu_2}(q_2)\rangle.
$$

(59)

In practice, the static triple correlations are difficult to determine and therefore further approximations are introduced. In simple and molecular bulk liquids the convolution approximation has proven successful to describe the glassy behavior. In Appendix D we prove that the convolution approximation applied to a liquid confined in a slit (see Appendix E for details) leads to a similar vertex structure as found for simple and molecular liquids. As a result the vertices assume the compact form

$$
\chi_{\mu\nu_1\mu_2}(q_1 q_2) \approx -N\frac{k_B T}{m}\frac{\eta_0}{L^2}\delta_{q, q_1+q_2} \times [b^\mu(\hat{q} \cdot q_1, Q_\mu-\mu_2)c_{\mu_2-\mu_1}(q_1) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)].
$$

(60)

Here $c_{\mu\nu}(q)$ are the matrix elements of the direct correlation function implicitly defined by the proper generalization of the Ornstein-Zernike equation,

$$
S^{-1}(q) = \frac{\eta_0}{L^2}[v - c(q)],
$$

(61)

with $|v|_{\mu\nu} = v_{\nu,\mu}$. Direct inspection shows that $c_{\mu\nu}(q)$ has the same symmetry properties as $S_{\mu\nu}(q)$. 
The fluctuating force kernel enters the Zwanzig-Mori equation, Eq. (50), only in terms of
the combination \( \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q, z) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \). This suggests to define an
effective force kernel \( \mathcal{M}(q, t) \), which is then given by
\[
\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = \left[ \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q, t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \right]_{\mu\nu} = \int F_{\mu\nu}(t) S(t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q, t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \, dt,
\]
with new vertices
\[
\mathcal{J}^0_{\mu\nu}(q, t) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{q_i, q_\bar{i} = q - q_\bar{i}} \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \gamma^0_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(q_i, q_\bar{i}, q_\bar{i}),
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{J}^0_{\mu\nu}(q, t) \mathcal{J}^0_{\nu\rho}(q, t) = \left[ \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q, t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \right]_{\mu\nu} \approx \int F_{\mu\nu}(t) S(t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \mathcal{M}(q, t) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(q) \, dt.
\]
Note that the static inverse current correlator, Eq. (32), is diagonal with respect to the channel index \( \alpha \) but not
with respect to the mode indices \( \mu, \nu \). The notation for the MCT functional \( \mathcal{F}[S(t), S(t); q] \) emphasizes the bilinearity with respect to the
realized intermediate scattering functions, which is a direct implication of the
mode-coupling approximation.

The MCT equations for confined fluids closely resemble
the ones for molecular liquids. This motivates us to define
a class of mode-coupling theories which is distinguished
by the property that it fulfills a
nonergodicity parameter is ensured. Furthermore this
solution is distinguished by the property that it fulfills a
certain mathematical aspects of the mode-coupling equations.
In particular, we show that an iteration scheme
can be defined where convergence to a solution for the
nonergodicity parameter is ensured. Furthermore this
solution is distinguished by the property that it fulfills a
certain maximum principle. These last subsections may
be skipped upon the first reading of the paper.

\section{VI. NONERGODICITY PARAMETER}

In this section we introduce the nonergodicity parameter,
which plays a key role in glass physics for representing
the spontaneous arrest of density fluctuations. It is also known as the glass form factor and allows us to
discriminate between an ergodic "liquid phase" and a non-ergodic "glass phase". The first subsection of this
part provides general information about the long-time
behavior of the self-consistent set of equations for
confined liquids. In the remaining Subsections, we prove
certain mathematical aspects of the mode-coupling equations.
In particular, we show that an iteration scheme
can be defined where convergence to a solution for the
nonergodicity parameter is ensured. Furthermore this
solution is distinguished by the property that it fulfills a
certain maximum principle. These last subsections may
be skipped upon the first reading of the paper.

\subsection{A. GENERAL DEFINITIONS}

In this section we show that the set of self-consistent
equations for the intermediate scattering function can be
solved for their respective long-time limits without solv-
ing explicitly for the dynamics for all times. Here, we
adopt the same approach as for bulk systems and em-
ploy a nonvanishing long-time limit of the generalized
intermediate scattering function

\[
F_{\mu\nu}(q) := \lim_{t \to \infty} S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) \neq 0,
\]
as the definition for a glassy state. In the current case
they constitute an infinite matrix that inherits the her-
mitian structure of the scattering function,

\[
F_{\mu\nu}(q) = F_{\nu\mu}(q)^*.
\]
For symmetric walls, the inversion symmetry \( \mathcal{I} \) yields additionally

\[
F_{\mu\nu}(q) = F_{\mu\nu}(q)^* = F_{-\mu-\nu}(q),
\]
so the matrices are real symmetric and invariant under simultaneous change of sign of the mode indices.
We argue that on general grounds the nonergodicity parameter is a nonnegative matrix \( \mathbf{F}(q) \succeq 0 \). Indeed,
for any set of complex numbers \( y_{\nu}, \sum_{\mu} y_{\mu} S_{\mu\nu}(q, t) y_{\nu} \)
constitutes the autocorrelation function of the variables
\( \sum_{\nu} y_{\nu} \delta \rho_{\nu}(q, t) \) and as such its long-time limit is non-
egative [2].

By the Laplace transform a nonergodic contribution results in a zero-frequency pole,

\[
S_{\mu\nu}(q, z) = -F_{\mu\nu}(q) / z + \text{(smooth), for small complex frequencies} z.
\]
Reversely, the nonergodicity parameter can be obtained from the limit

\[
F_{\mu\nu}(q) := -\lim_{z \to 0} z \delta_{\mu\nu}(q, z).
\]

By the mode-coupling approximation, an arrest of the
density modes is accompanied by a freezing of the force kernel,

\[
\mathcal{N}(q) := \mathcal{M}(q, t \to \infty) = \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}; q],
\]
which is again a non-negative matrix with respect to the
double index \( \gamma := (\alpha, \mu), \delta := (\beta, \nu) \) since it is the long-
time limit of an autocorrelation function. We demonstrate in the next subsection that the MCT approximation
preserves this property. The simultaneous freezing of the
forces and the densities implies again a zero-frequency pole for
\( \mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}(q, z) \) and by Eqs. (50) and (49),
the current correlator vanishes for small complex frequencies \( z \to 0 \)
as

\[
K_{\mu\nu}(q, z) = z G_{\mu\nu}(q) + o(z)
\]
where

\[
G_{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = \pm, \perp} b_{\alpha}(q, Q_\alpha) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}(q)]_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \beta_{\beta}(q, Q_\beta).
\]

In particular, one infers \( \mathbf{G}(q) \succeq 0 \), since the inverse of a non-negative matrix inheres the same property as well as
its contraction with respect to the channel indices. From the first equation of motion, Eq. (13),
the nonergodicity parameter can be evaluated as

\[
\mathbf{F}(q) = [\mathbf{S}^{-1}(q) + \mathbf{S}^{-1}(q) \mathbf{G}(q) \mathbf{S}^{-1}(q)]^{-1}
= \mathbf{S}(q) - [\mathbf{S}^{-1}(q) + \mathbf{G}^{-1}(q)]^{-1}. \]
The long-time limit of the mode-coupling equations is a solution of the set of Eqs. (68)-(70). To avoid cumbersome notation we allow \( G(q) \) to become formally infinite; in that case we put \( G^{-1}(q) = 0 \). In general, these equations possess many solutions, in particular \( F(q) \equiv 0 \) represents the trivial solution, which corresponds to an ergodic liquid.

## B. POSITIVITY OF THE MODE-COUPLING FUNCTIONAL

To demonstrate the positivity property, it is convenient to introduce the pair-mode indices \( a := (\mu_1, \mu_2) \) and \( b := (\nu_1, \nu_2) \). Then Eq. (62) allows for the compact expression

\[
\mathcal{F}[F, F; q]^{\gamma \delta} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{a b} \sum_{q_1 q_2} \mathcal{Y}_a^{\gamma}(q_1, q_2) [F(q_1) \otimes F(q_2)]_{ab} \mathcal{Y}_b^{\delta}(q_1, q_2)^*,
\]

(71)

where \( \otimes \) denotes the Kronecker product in the space of mode indices, \( E_{\mu_1 \nu_1}(q_1) F_{\mu_2 \nu_2}(q_2) = [E(q_1) \otimes F(q_2)]_{a=(\mu_1, \mu_2), b=(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \).

Sandwiching Eq. (71) between complex-valued tuples \( s^\gamma \) and summing over \( \gamma \) yields

\[
\sum_{\gamma \delta} s^{\gamma \delta} \mathcal{F}[F, F; q]^{\gamma \delta} s^\delta = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\gamma \delta} \sum_{a b} \sum_{q_1 q_2} s^{\gamma \delta} \mathcal{Y}_a^{\gamma}(q_1, q_2) [F(q_1) \otimes F(q_2)]_{ab} \mathcal{Y}_b^{\delta}(q_1, q_2)^* s^\delta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{a b} \sum_{q_1 q_2} \sum_{q \neq q} Z_{a}(q_1, q_2)^* [F(q_1) \otimes F(q_2)]_{ab} Z_{b}(q_1, q_2).
\]

(72)

The first ingredient is the Kronecker product of non-negative matrices which is non-negative again. Second, a contraction with complex-valued functions \( Z_{a}(q_1, q_2)^* := \sum_{q} s_q^* \mathcal{Y}_a^{\gamma}(q_1, q_2) \) is performed yielding a non-negative number. Thus, the mode-coupling functional maps non-negative matrices in the mode indices \( \mu, \nu \) to non-negative matrices with respect to the double indices \( \gamma, \delta \) for each wave vector \( q \). Generically, all vertices are non-vanishing and all components of the functional are positive matrices \( \mathcal{F}[F, F; \gamma] > 0 \) provided the arguments are positive, \( F(q) > 0 \).

## C. A CONVERGENT ITERATION SCHEME

First, we show that the mode-coupling functional \( \mathcal{N}[F, q] := \mathcal{F}[F, F; q] \) preserves the following partial ordering: \( F \succeq E \) if \( F(q) - E(q) \geq 0 \) for all \( q \). It is convenient to use a representation of Eq. (71) that makes the symmetry upon exchanging the slots manifest,

\[
\mathcal{F}[F, E; q]^{\gamma \delta} = \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{a b} \sum_{q_1 q_2} \mathcal{Y}_a^{\gamma}(q_1, q_2) [F(q_1) \otimes F(q_2)]_{ab} \mathcal{Y}_b^{\delta}(q_1, q_2)^* \times \mathcal{F}(q_1) \otimes E(q_2) + E(q_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(q_2)]_{ab} \mathcal{Y}_b^{\delta}(q_1, q_2)^*.
\]

(73)

In the following we suppress the dependence on \( q \) and all operations are to be understood componentwise for each \( q \). For given \( F \geq 0 \) and \( E \geq 0 \) the arguments for showing the positivity of the functional are easily adapted to show \( \mathcal{F}[F, E] \geq 0 \). Assuming \( F \succeq E \) one derives \( \mathcal{N}[F] - \mathcal{N}[E] = \mathcal{F}[F + E, F - E] \geq 0 \). Thus the mode-coupling functional preserves ordering \( \mathcal{N}[F] \succeq \mathcal{N}[E] \).

Since inversion reverses ordering it follows that \( \mathcal{N}^{-1}[E] - \mathcal{N}^{-1}[F] \geq 0 \) and therefore also the contractions, Eq. (69), fulfill \( G[E] - G[F] \geq 0 \). Eventually, the mapping

\[
\mathcal{I}[F] := S - [S^{-1} + G^{-1}[F]]^{-1},
\]

(74)

is continuous and also preserves the ordering

\[
\mathcal{I}[F] - \mathcal{I}[E] \geq 0.
\]

(75)

Since \( G[F] \succeq 0 \), positivity is inherited for the images of the mapping \( \mathcal{I}[F] \geq 0 \). Furthermore \( S \succeq \mathcal{I}[F] \) for \( F > 0 \), and all fixed points \( \bar{F} \geq 0 \) fulfill \( \bar{F} \geq S \).

We define a sequence \( F^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{I}[F^{(n)}] \) with initial value \( F^{(0)} = S \geq 0 \). Since \( G[S] \geq 0 \) the first iteration leads to a matrix that is strictly smaller \( S \succeq F^{(1)} \). By induction one infers that the sequence is monotone and bounded \( S \succeq F^{(n)} \geq F^{(n+1)} \geq 0, n = 1, 2 \ldots \) and thus converges to some non-negative fixed point \( \bar{F} \geq 0 \).

## D. COVARIANCE AND MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Here we show that the limit \( \bar{F} \) obtained by iteration with initial condition \( F^{(0)} = S \) represents a maximal solution in the sense that all other non-negative solutions \( \bar{F} \geq 0 \) of the equation

\[
F = \mathcal{I}[F]
\]

(76)

are smaller or equal than \( \bar{F} \), i.e., \( \bar{F} \succeq \bar{F} \). \( \bar{F} \) is uniquely determined by this maximum property. The corresponding proof is based on the covariance of Eq. (70) under the linear transformation

\[
\mathcal{T}: F \mapsto \mathcal{T}[F] = F - \bar{F} =: \check{F},
\]

(77)

which maps \( \check{F} \) to 0 and \( S \geq 0 \) to \( \bar{S} = S - \bar{F} \geq 0 \). The latter relation follows since Eq.(71) requires \( S \succeq \mathcal{I}[F] \) for all \( F > 0 \). The requirement of covariance implies that there is a transformed map \( \check{I}[\check{F}] \) such that

\[
\check{F} = \check{I}[\check{F}].
\]

(78)
The transformed functional $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$ is chosen such that it is linked to the original functional $I[F]$ via

$$\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}] = I[F] - \tilde{F}. \quad (79)$$

This requirement directly ensures that if $F$ is a fixed point of $I$, then $\tilde{F}$ is a fixed point of $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$. Thus, analogously to Eq. (74) we define $G[\tilde{F}]$ by

$$\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}] = S - [\tilde{S}^{-1} + \tilde{G}^{-1}[\tilde{F}]]^{-1}. \quad (80)$$

Substituting $I[F]$ from Eq. (74) and $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$ from Eq. (80) into Eq. (79) and taking into account that $I[F] = \tilde{F}$ we find the renormalized functional

$$G[\tilde{F}] := \left[G^{-1}[F] - G^{-1}[\tilde{F}]\right]^{-1}. \quad (81)$$

For non-negative transformed functions $\tilde{F}$, i.e., for $F \geq \tilde{F}$, it follows from the previous subsection that $(G^{-1}[F] - G^{-1}[\tilde{F}]) \geq 0$. Consequently, the renormalized functional is again positive: $G[\tilde{F}] \geq 0$ for $F \geq 0$. This in turn implies that all properties discussed in the previous subsection remain true for $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$ on the subspace of nonnegative $\tilde{F}$, as well. Hence, the iteration of Eq. (78) with initial value $\tilde{F}^{(0)} = \tilde{S} = S - \tilde{F} \succ 0$ yields a fixed point $F = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{F}^{(n)}$, which is non-negative $F \geq 0$. By construction of the transformed functional $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$, Eq. (79), the diagram

$$F \xrightarrow{\tilde{I}} \tilde{I}[F]$$

commutes. This property implies that the sequences generated by the maps $I[F]$ and $\tilde{I}[\tilde{F}]$ are in a one-to-one correspondence: $\tilde{F}^{(n)} = F^{(n)} - \tilde{F}$. Thus, in the limit $n \to \infty$ the fixed points of the transformed and the original equation obey $\tilde{F} = F - \tilde{F} \geq 0$. Hence, the fixed point $\tilde{F}$ is larger or equal to the assumed fixed point $\tilde{F}$:

$$\tilde{F} \geq \tilde{F}. \quad (83)$$

Since the above argument applies to any fixed point $\tilde{F} \geq 0$, the fixed point $\tilde{F}$ is the largest non-negative solution of the self-consistent equation determining the nonergodicity parameter. This property will be referred to as maximum principle. Suppose now $F_*$ is a non-negative fixed point solution which fulfills the maximum condition Eq. (83), i.e., $F_* \geq \tilde{F}$ for all fixed points of Eq. (76). Since $F$ is such a fixed point it is

$$F_* \geq \tilde{F}. \quad (84)$$

On the other hand we can also choose in Eq. (83) $\tilde{F} = F_*$ since $\tilde{F}$ is any of the fixed points, i.e., we obtain

$$\tilde{F} \geq F_. \quad (85)$$

Together with Eq. (83) we conclude $\tilde{F} = F_\ast$. Consequently the maximum property determines $F$ uniquely.

Let us note that the covariance principle used here is less restrictive than for simple bulk liquids. There it could be shown that the transformed mode-coupling functional is again of polynomial type [54].

**VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

The mode-coupling theory for liquids in confinement [53] constitutes a microscopic theory that is based on first principles. The scope of the theory is all two-time correlation functions, which can be measured experimentally by scattering methods, such as neutron, X-ray, or light scattering [52–56]. The same correlation functions are readily obtained by tracking all particle positions as is performed in video microscopy [12, 13] or in computer simulations [30, 33]. Apart from being a description for liquids, the theory is also designed as a theory for the glass transition, where the structural relaxation slows down by many orders of magnitude. The strategy was first to derive a set of exact equations of motion employing the Zwanzig-Mori formalism [2], which introduces a memory kernel that is a functional of all the microscopic details on the interaction of the particles among themselves and with the walls. This functional is in general unknown, and the mode-coupling idea is to consider it as a functional local in time of the intermediate scattering functions. The coupling coefficients are then called vertices and are determined from structural information only.

For the case of confining parallel and flat walls, the fluctuating density field is expanded in a complete set of symmetry-adapted modes, which are continuous functions of a wave vector parallel to the planes and a discrete mode index for the Fourier expansion perpendicular. The intermediate scattering function is naturally generalized to a matrix-valued quantity with symmetry properties inherited from microscopic considerations. The breaking of translational symmetry perpendicular to the walls implies that the container can exchange momentum with a scattering probe, which is reflected in the nondiagonal elements of the intermediate scattering function.

A peculiarity occurs since the currents associated with the density fluctuations naturally split into a component parallel and perpendicular to the container walls. This requires us to modify the structure of the equations of motion from a single generalized harmonic oscillator to two coupled equations of motion with retarded friction. The same mathematical structure also occurs in the context of molecular liquids, where the currents consist of a translational and a reorientational part [18–20, 22]. There the splitting was necessary to ensure that the structural relaxation dynamics is independent of the moment of inertia and mass of the molecule [24].

Our approach can also be employed for different types of confinement, such that the density modes can be ex-
parameters with initial value

suggested an iteration scheme for the nonergodicity pa-
sense with respect to certain superindices. Then we

to the splitting of the currents. Here we have shown

tations with a sophisticated mathematical structure due

eigenvalues. The nonergodicity parameters of the MCT

ities of autocorrelation functions. This solution is dis-
tinguished by a maximum principle, which is obtained

by a covariance property of the set of MCT equations

of motion \[2, 54\]. For multicomponent liquids the inter-

mediate scattering function is generalized to a matrix-

valued quantity where the matrix indices refer to the
different species in the liquid. The ideas of the proofs
can then be transferred \[55\] provided the notion of pos-
itivity is generalized to hermitian matrices with positive
eigenvalues. The nonergodicity parameters of the MCT

for confined liquids are solutions of matrix-valued equa-
tions with a sophisticated mathematical structure due
to the splitting of the currents. Here we have shown

that the mode-coupling functional is positive in the ma-

trix sense with respect to certain superindices. Then we

suggested an iteration scheme for the nonergodicity pa-

rameters with initial value \[F^{(0)} = S\], which is monotonic

thereby ensuring convergence. The solution \(F\) thus ob-
tained is non-negative and fulfills a generalized maximum
principle. The key again was to show that the struc-
ture of the equations determining the long-time limit re-

flect a covariance property with respect to suitable shifts
of the nonergodicity parameters. The proofs developed
here readily transfer also to the case of molecular liquids,
which display the same mathematical structure. Hence,

our paper entails important conclusions also for the well-
established mode-coupling approach for molecular liq-
uids \[18–20, 23, 60, 61\] and it is encouraging to investi-
gate MCT extensions for even more complex systems.
The mathematical implications of our work demonstrate
the robustness of the Zwanzig-Mori procedure combined
with the first-principles MCT approach. We are confi-
dent that also the mathematical properties of the dy-
namic equations of motion from the bulk MCT can be
generalized to the case of confined liquids. In particu-
lar, one should prove the existence and uniqueness of the
time-dependent solution and demonstrate that for over-
damped motion the solutions correspond to pure relax-
ations described by a superposition of decaying exponen-
tials only. Furthermore, the long-time limit of the inter-

mediate scattering function is expected to coincide with
the maximal solution obtained by our iteration scheme.
Similarly, we anticipate that all glass transition singular-

ities in the MCT for confined systems are of the \(A_T\) type,
specified by the classification of Arnol’d \[62\].

Recently, striking correlations between diffusivities of
colloidal spheres in confinement with local packing prop-
erties have been observed and quantified in a series of
e empirical scaling properties \[49\]. In particular, the mo-
bility displays oscillations as a function of the wall sepa-
ration, which is attributed to commensurability effects of
the packing in confinement \[48\]. Since the mode-coupling
theory for confined liquids incorporates packing effects
in terms of generalized static structure factors, it appears
promising that our theory constitutes a microscopic basis
for the observed empirical correlations.

The confining walls induce strong anisotropic correla-
tions in the liquid \[63\] and cannot be treated by per-
turbation theory. In particular, the changes cannot be
obtained as linear response to an external potential as
has been investigated in Refs. \[34, 64\]. Our setup re-
quires us to consider symmetry-adapted modes from the
very beginning such that the layering and local packing

is incorporated in suitable static quantities.

Confinement of a liquid can also be achieved inside of a
porous matrix where a glass transition can occur within
the frozen structure \[24, 28\]. In addition to the slowing
down due to caging the interaction with the disordered
environment can lead to a localization phenomenon.
In contrast to flat parallel walls, the disordered obstacles
imply an additional relaxation channel in the memory
kernel, which in mode-coupling approximation results in
a linear coupling to the intermediate scattering function.
Such a linear coupling is expected also for the case of
rough walls, where corrugations open the possibility to
exchange momentum also in the parallel direction of the
walls.

The mode-coupling theory for confined liquids is a mi-

croscopic theory that does not require parameter adjust-
ments. Hence the theory can be tested by computer simu-
lations and experiments. The required input is the sta-
tic structure which is assumed to be known. The
MCT equations involve the three-point static correla-
tion function, which is typically difficult to determine.
Applying a static convolution approximation for inho-

mogeneous liquids \[55\] to slit geometry the vertices as-
sume the same compact form as found for simple and
molecular liquids. In particular, this approach reduces
to the standard convolution approximation in the limit of
bulk and two-dimensional liquids, respectively. While for
three-dimensional homogeneous systems the convolution
approximation has been proven sufficient to capture the
key features of supercooled simple liquids, see Ref. \[60\]
for an exception, it remains a challenge for the future to
clarify the quality of these different approaches.

The most promising route for experimental tests are
dense colloidal suspensions confined by glass plates \[13\]
where the effects of commensurability can conveniently
be studied. Our theory is applicable also for these over-
damped systems provided the equations of motion are
supplemented by friction terms accounting for the inter-
action with the solvent and dropping the inertial terms.
A more rigorous approach would rely on the Smol-
uchowski operator in the first place and introduce suitable
one-particle irreducible memory kernels as has been done
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Appendix A: Static current density correlator

The static current density correlator \( \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(q) \) is a diagonal matrix with respect to \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) as averages over unpaired momenta, e.g., \( P^\alpha_n P^\alpha_m \), vanish. Inserting the current densities and pre-averaging over the momenta one obtains

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = \frac{1}{N m^2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{n,m=1}^N b^\alpha \left( \langle \hat{q} \cdot \hat{P}_n \rangle \langle \hat{q} \cdot \hat{P}_m \rangle \right) \langle P^\alpha_n P^\alpha_m \rangle \\
\times \langle e^{i q \cdot (\mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_m)} \exp(iQ_\mu z_m) \exp(-iQ_\mu z_n) \rangle. \quad (A1)
\]

Direct evaluation of the averages over the momenta yields

\[
\langle P^\alpha_n P^\alpha_m \rangle = \langle (\hat{q} \cdot \hat{P}_n) (\hat{q} \cdot \hat{P}_m) \rangle = \delta_{nm} m k_B T, \quad (A2)
\]

and with \( \langle \rho_\mu(q,t) \rangle = A_n \delta_0(\mathbf{r}_n) \) one obtains the explicit expression

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = \frac{k_B T}{m} n_{\mu-\nu} \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (A3)
\]

Appendix B: Time-evolution operator identity

The backwards-time evolution operator \( \mathcal{R}(t) = \exp(-i \mathcal{L} t) \) allows for the decomposition \( \mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{R}_P(t) + \mathcal{R}_Q(t) \) with \( \mathcal{R}_P(t) = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) \) and \( \mathcal{R}_Q(t) = \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{R}(t) \). By the equation of motion \( \partial_t \mathcal{R}(t) = -i \mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}(t) \), one obtains

\[
\partial_t \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{R}(t) = -i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) - i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{R}(t), \quad (B1)
\]

which is formally solved by

\[
\mathcal{R}_Q(t) = \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} t) \mathcal{Q} - i \int_0^t \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} (t-t')) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t') dt'. \quad (B2)
\]

Hence the backwards-time evolution operator can be expressed as

\[
\mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) + \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} t) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t), \quad (B3)
\]

The reduced backwards-time evolution operator can be cast in the explicitly symmetric form \( \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} t) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{Q} \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} t) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) \). Multiplying the previous equation from the right by \( \mathcal{P} \) and from the left by \( \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \) one arrives at

\[
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}(t) \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) \mathcal{P} - i \int_0^t dt' \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} (t-t')) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t') \mathcal{P}. \quad (B4)
\]

Last, employing the equation of motion \( \partial_t \mathcal{R}(t) = -i \mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}(t) \), the operator identity

\[
\partial_t \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) \mathcal{P} + i \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t) \mathcal{P} + \int_0^t dt' \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Q} \exp(-i \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} (t-t')) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{R}(t') \mathcal{P} = 0 \quad (B5)
\]

follows, which is the starting point of the Zwanzig-Mori procedure.

Appendix C: Evaluation of the overlap matrix element

Here we calculate the scalar product \( \langle \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P}_j Q \rangle \delta_{\mu\alpha_1} \delta_{\nu\alpha_2} \delta_{\rho\alpha_3} \delta_{\sigma\alpha_4} \) required for the mode-coupling vertex in Eq. \( \text{[55]} \). With \( Q = 1 - \mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_\rho \) and

\[
\langle \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P}_j Q \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P}_j Q \rangle dt.
\]
\[ P_j | \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle = 0 \] by time inversion symmetry, one obtains three contributions:
\[
\langle Q \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(\vec{q}) | \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* | \mathcal{L} \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)
\]

\[
- \langle \mathcal{L} j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* P_j \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle. \tag{C1}\]

For the first term the particle conservation law Eq. [24] implies
\[
\langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* | P_j \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{\gamma} b^{\gamma}(q_1, Q_{\mu_1}) \langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q}_1) \rangle \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle. \tag{C2}\]

Again, averaging over the momenta first, and then over the positions similar to Eq. [A2], one obtains
\[
\langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \frac{k_B T}{m} b^{\alpha}(\vec{q} - \vec{q}_1, 1) \langle \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_2) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \frac{k_B T}{m} b^{\alpha}(\vec{q} - \vec{q}_1, 1) S_{\mu_1 - \mu_2}(q_2). \tag{C3}\]

Here, translational invariance implies conservation of momentum parallel to the walls \( \vec{q} = \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 \).

Evaluating the projection on the density modes in the third term of Eq. [C1] leads to
\[
\langle \mathcal{L} j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* P_j \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\kappa, \sigma} \langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q}) | \mathcal{L} \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) | S^{-1}(q) \rangle_{\kappa\sigma}
\]

\[
\times \langle \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\kappa, \sigma, \beta} b^{\beta}(q, Q_{\kappa}) \langle j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q})^* j_{\mu}^a(\vec{q}) \rangle_{\kappa\beta} \langle S^{-1}(q) \rangle_{\kappa\sigma}
\]

\[
\times \langle \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle, \tag{C4}\]

where particle conservation, Eq. [29], has been used again. Substituting Eq. [31] for the current-current static correlator the projected matrix element evaluates to
\[
\langle \mathcal{L} j_{\mu}^{a}(\vec{q}) | P_j | \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \frac{n_B T}{m} \sum_{p, \kappa} n_{\kappa \beta} b^{\beta}(q, Q_{\kappa})
\]

\[
\times \langle S^{-1}(q) \rangle_{\kappa\sigma} S_{\mu_1 - \mu_2}(q_1, q_2). \tag{C5}\]

Here, we abbreviated the static three-point correlation function by \( S_{\mu_1 - \mu_2}(q_1, q_2) = N^{-1} \langle \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q})^* \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}) \rangle \), Eq. [50]. Collecting terms one finds Eq. [35] of the main text:
\[
\langle Q \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(\vec{q}) | \delta \rho_{\mu_1}(\vec{q}_1) \delta \rho_{\mu_2}(\vec{q}_2) \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{n_B T}{m} \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \left\{ b^{\alpha}(\vec{q}, Q_{\beta_1}) S_{\beta_1 - \beta_2}(q_1) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)
\right.
\]

\[
\left. - \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{\kappa, \sigma} n_{\kappa \beta} b^{\beta}(q, Q_{\kappa}) \langle S^{-1}(q) \rangle_{\kappa\sigma} S_{\mu_1 - \mu_2}(q_1, q_2) \right\}. \tag{C6}\]

### Appendix D: Vertex approximation

In this appendix, we compute the calculation of the MCT vertex, using the convolution approximation in order to express the static three-point correlation function in terms of products of two-point correlation functions.

The vertex after evaluating the overlap matrix elements is given by three terms [cf. Eq. [55]]:
\[
N_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{n_B T}{m} \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} b^{\alpha}(\vec{q}, Q_{\mu_1})
\right.
\]

\[
\times S_{\beta_1 - \beta_2}(q_1) [S^{-1}(q_2)]_{{\mu_1}, \beta_2} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)
\]

\[
- \sum_{\kappa, \sigma} \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \frac{n_{\kappa \beta}}{n_0} b^{\alpha}(q, Q_{\kappa}) [S^{-1}(q)]_{{\mu_1}, \beta_2}.
\]

For the first two terms in the bracket, the sums over \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) can be performed which leads to
\[
b^{\alpha}(\vec{q}, Q_{\mu_1} - Q_{\mu_2}) [S^{-1}(q_1)]_{{\mu_1}, \beta_2} \]

\[
+ b^{\alpha}(\vec{q}, Q_{\mu_1} - Q_{\mu_2}) [S^{-1}(q_2)]_{{\mu_1}, \beta_2}. \tag{D2}\]

Inserting the Ornstein-Zernike equation, Eq. [61], they can be recast to
\[
\frac{n_0}{L^2} \left[ b^{\alpha}(q, Q_{\mu_1} - Q_{\mu_2}) v^{\alpha}_{\mu_1 - \mu_2} - b^{\alpha}(\vec{q}, Q_{\mu_1} - Q_{\mu_2}) v^{\alpha}_{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right], \tag{D3}\]

where the linearity of the selector \( b^{\alpha} \) for \( \alpha = \parallel \) and the selection rule \( \vec{q} = \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 \) has been used. As for the third term, the convolution approximation (see Appendix [E]) gives for the triplet structure factor
\[
S_{\sigma_1, \mu_1, \mu_2}(q_1, q_2) \approx \frac{n_0}{L^2} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} \frac{n_{\beta_1 + \beta_2}}{L^2} \beta_1 \beta_2 v^{\parallel - \parallel \lambda_1} S_{\parallel \lambda_1}(q_1)
\]

\[
\times v^{\parallel - \parallel \lambda_2} S_{(- \lambda_2) \parallel \lambda_3} v^{\parallel - \parallel \lambda_3} S_{(- \lambda_3) \parallel \lambda_3}(q_2), \tag{D4}\]

where we omit a redundant \( \delta_{\vec{q}, \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2} \) prefactor. One can then successively sum out \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \gamma \), \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \), \( \beta_1 \), and \( \kappa \), to reduce this term to
\[
- \frac{n_0}{L^2} \sum_{\beta_2, \beta_3} \sum_{\lambda_2} \frac{n_{\beta_2 - \beta_3}}{L^2} b^{\alpha}(q, Q_{\beta_2 + \beta_3}) v^{\parallel - \parallel \lambda_2} v^{\parallel - \parallel \lambda_3} S_{(- \lambda_3) \parallel \lambda_3}(q_2). \tag{D5}\]

Further progress is made by making explicit the action of the selector and by using the linearity of \( Q_3 \) with respect to its index in the case \( \alpha = L \). Eventually, performing the last summations over \( \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \), the third term in Eq. [D1] reduces to
\[
- \frac{n_0}{L^2} b^{\alpha}(q, Q_{\mu_1 - \mu_2}) v^{\parallel}_{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \quad \text{(D6)}
\]
and is found to cancel the first term in Eq. \[ \text{[E3]} \].

The vertex thus simplifies to

\[
\begin{align*}
X^a_{\mu,\nu,\mu_2}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q_1} \tilde{q_2}) & 
\approx -N \frac{k_B T}{m} \delta_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{q_1} + \tilde{q_2}} \frac{n}{T^2} b^a(\tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{q_1}, Q_{\mu - \mu_2}) c_{\mu - \mu_2, \mu_1}(q_1) \\
& - N \frac{k_B T}{m} \delta_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{q_2} + \tilde{q_1}} \frac{n}{T^2} b^a(\tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{q_2}, Q_{\mu - \mu_1}) c_{\mu - \mu_1, \mu_2}(q_2),
\end{align*}
\]

(E7)

which has the same form as for simple \[ \text{[2]} \] and molecular liquids \[ \text{[18, 23]} \].

**Appendix E: Convolution approximation**

In this appendix based on Ref. \[ \text{[63]} \], we report the expression of the triplet structure factor of an inhomogeneous fluid system provided by the convolution approximation. We first discuss the general case, then specialize the equations to the slab geometry.

Consider an inhomogeneous $N$-particle fluid system enclosed in a rectangular box of volume $V$. Its one-body density and its total correlation function are denoted by $\nu(\vec{r})$ and $\tilde{h}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$, respectively, with the corresponding Fourier transforms,

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{n}(\vec{k}) & = \int \nu(\vec{r}) e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} d\vec{r}, \\
\tilde{h}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) & = \int \tilde{h}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) e^{-i \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{r}_1 + i \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{r}_2} d\vec{r}_1 d\vec{r}_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(E2)

The triplet structure factor is defined as

\[
S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \delta \rho(\vec{k}_1) \delta \rho(\vec{k}_2) \delta \rho(\vec{k}_3) \rangle,
\]

(E3)

with

\[
\delta \rho(\vec{k}) = \rho(\vec{k}) - \langle \rho(\vec{k}) \rangle = \rho(\vec{k}) - \hat{n}(\vec{k})
\]

(E4)

and

\[
\rho(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\vec{x}_j} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}_j},
\]

(E5)

where $\vec{x}_j$ is the position of the $j$th particle. Note that, at variance with the main text, the definitions of the structure factors in this appendix do not involve any complex conjugation to preserve the symmetry of the working equations.

Following Rajan et al. \[ \text{[63]} \], the convolution approximation for $S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3)$ reads

\[
S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) \approx \frac{1}{N V^6} \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \hat{n}(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_3)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{3} \hat{n}(\vec{k}_i + \vec{k}_3) \left[ \tilde{h}(-\vec{p}_i, -\vec{k}_1) - \hat{n}(\vec{k}_i - \vec{p}_i, -\vec{k}_i - \vec{k}_1) \right].
\]

(E6)

This result is most conveniently reformulated in terms of the pair structure factor

\[
S^{(2)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \delta \rho(\vec{k}_1) \delta \rho(\vec{k}_2) \rangle,
\]

(E7)

related to $\tilde{h}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)$ through

\[
NS^{(2)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = \hat{n}(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) + \frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2} \hat{n}(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1) \hat{n}(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2) \tilde{h}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2).
\]

(E8)

Defining the local specific volume $v(\vec{r}) = 1/n(\vec{r})$ and its Fourier transform $\tilde{v}(\vec{k})$ such that

\[
\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{p}} \hat{n}(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}) \tilde{v}(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_2) = V \delta_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2},
\]

(E9)

Eq. \[ \text{[E8]} \] is easily inverted to yield

\[
\tilde{h}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = -\tilde{v}(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{N}{V^2} \sum_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2} \tilde{v}(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1) \tilde{v}(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2) S^{(2)}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2),
\]

(E10)

which can be injected into Eq. \[ \text{[E6]} \]. The summations over $\vec{k}_1$, $\vec{k}_2$, and $\vec{k}_3$, can then be explicitly performed and, using the fact that for a closed system (e.g. canonical system) $S^{(2)}(\vec{k}, \vec{0}) = 0$ for any $\vec{k}$, it follows that in the convolution approximation

\[
S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) \approx \frac{N^2}{V^6} \sum_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3} \hat{n}(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_3)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{3} \delta(\vec{p}_i - \vec{t}_i) S^{(2)}(\vec{t}_i, \vec{k}_i).
\]

(E11)

One can readily check that this expression reproduces the standard result for bulk systems. Indeed, one then has $\tilde{n}(\vec{k}) = N \delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{0}}$, $\tilde{v}(\vec{k}) = (V^2/N) \delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{0}}$, and $S^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) = S(k) \delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{k}}$, so that

\[
S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) \approx \delta_{\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3, \vec{0}} S(k_1) S(k_2) S(k_3).
\]

(E12)

Application to the slab geometry is just as straightforward. One simply has to set $V = LA$, split each sum over a wave vector $\vec{k} = (\vec{q}, Q_{\mu})$ into one over a transverse index $\mu$ and one over an in-plane wave vector $\vec{q}$, and replace $\hat{n}(\vec{k})$, $\tilde{v}(\vec{k})$, $S^{(2)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)$, and $S^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3)$, with $n_\mu A_{q, \tilde{q}, \tilde{q}}$, $v_\mu A_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{q}}$, $S^{(2)}(q_1) \delta_{q_1 + q_2, \tilde{q}}$, and $S^{(3)}(q_1, q_2, q_3)$, respectively. Eventually, one gets

\[
S^{(3)}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{q}_3) \approx \delta_{\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 + \vec{q}_3} \frac{n_0^2}{L_0}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3} n_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3}^{\lambda_1} \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \times v_{\beta_1 - \lambda_1} S^{(2)}_{\lambda_1 \mu_1}(q_1).
\]

(E13)
[1] U. Bengtzelius, W. Götze, and A. Sjolander, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 17, 5915 (1984).
[2] W. Götze, Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids–A Mode-Coupling Theory (Oxford, Oxford, 2009).
[3] W. Götze, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, A1 (1999).
[4] W. M. Du, G. Li, H. Z. Cummins, M. Fuchs, J. Toulouse, and L. A. Knauss, Phys. Rev. E 49, 2192 (1994).
[5] T. Franosch, W. Götze, M. R. Mayr, and A. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. E 55, 3183 (1997).
[6] A. P. Singh, G. Li, W. Götze, M. Fuchs, T. Franosch, and H. Z. Cummins, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 235-237, 66 (1998).
[7] W. van Megen and S. M. Underwood, Phys. Rev. E 47, 248 (1993).
[8] W. van Megen, T. C. Mortensen, S. R. Williams, and J. Müller, Phys. Rev. E 58, 6073 (1998).
[9] W. Kob and H. C. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1376 (1994).
[10] W. Kob and H. C. Andersen, Phys. Rev. E 51, 4626 (1995).
[11] M. Bayer, J. M. Brader, F. Ebner, M. Fuchs, E. Lange, G. Maret, R. Schilling, M. Sperl, and J. P. Wittmer, Phys. Rev. E 76, 011508 (2007).
[12] D. Hajnal, J. M. Brader, and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 80, 021503 (2009).
[13] D. Hajnal, M. Oettel, and R. Schilling, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 357, 302 (2011).
[14] B. Schmid and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 81, 041502 (2010).
[15] A. Ikeda and K. Miyazaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 255704 (2010).
[16] R. Schilling and B. Schmid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 049601 (2011).
[17] A. Ikeda and K. Miyazaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 049602 (2011).
[18] R. Schilling and T. Scheidtger, Phys. Rev. E 56, 2932 (1997).
[19] T. Franosch, M. Fuchs, W. Gotze, M. R. Mayr, and A. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. E 56, 5659 (1997).
[20] T. Scheidtger and R. Schilling, Phil. Mag. B 77, 305 (1998).
[21] S. Kämmerer, W. Kob, and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 58, 2131 (1998).
[22] S. Kämmerer, W. Kob, and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 58, 2141 (1998).
[23] L. Fabbian, A. Latz, R. Schilling, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and C. Theis, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2388 (2000).
[24] R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 65, 051206 (2002).
[25] S. K. Nandi, S. M. Bhattacharyya, and S. Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. E 84, 061501 (2011).
[26] V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 065703 (2005).
[27] V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. E 75, 031503 (2007).
[28] V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. E 79, 061501 (2009).
[29] V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. E 84, 050501 (2011).
[30] S. K. Schneider, F. Höfling, T. Franosch, and Th. Voigtmann, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 23, 234121 (2011).
[31] F. Höfling, T. Franosch, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 165501 (2006).
[32] F. Höfling, T. Munk, E. Frey, and T. Franosch, J. Chem. Phys. 128, 164517 (2008).
[33] T. Franosch, M. Spanner, T. Bauer, G. E. Schröder-Turk, and F. Höfling, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 357, 472 (2011).
[34] G. Birolı, J.-P. Bouchaud, K. Miyazaki, and D. R. Reichman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 195701 (2006).
[35] Special Issue: International Workshop on Dynamics in Confinement, Vol. 10 (2000) J. Phys. IV.
[36] P. Scheidler, W. Kob, and K. Binder, J. Phys. IV France 10, Pr7 (2000).
[37] P. Scheidler, W. Kob, and K. Binder, Europhys. Lett. 52, 277 (2000).
[38] P. Scheidler, W. Kob, and K. Binder, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 6673 (2004).
[39] V. Teboul and C. Alba Simionesco, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 14, 5699 (2002).
[40] T. Fehr and H. Lowen, Phys. Rev. E 52, 4016 (1995).
[41] F. Varnik, J. Baschnagel, and K. Binder, J. Phys. IV 10, 239 (2000).
[42] F. Varnik, J. Baschnagel, and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. E 65, 021507 (2002).
[43] J. Baschnagel and F. Varnik, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 17, R851 (2005).
[44] C. R. Nugent, K. V. Edmond, H. N. Patel, and E. R. Weeks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 025702 (2007).
[45] H. B. Ersl, D. van den Ende, F. Mugele, and M. H. G. Duits, Phys. Rev. E 80, 061403 (2009).
[46] H. B. Ersl, F. Mugele, and M. H. G. Duits, Langmuir 27, 12297 (2011).
[47] K. Edmond, C. Nugent, and E. Weeks, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 189, 83 (2010).
[48] J. Mittal, T. M. Truskett, J. R. Errington, and G. Hummer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 145901 (2008).
[49] G. Goel, W. P. Krekelberg, M. J. Pond, J. Mittal, V. K. Shen, J. R. Errington, and T. M. Truskett, J. Stat. Mech. 2009, P04006 (2009).
[50] P. Gallo, M. Rovere, and E. Spohr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4317 (2000).
[51] P. Gallo, M. Rovere, and E. Spohr, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 11324 (2000).
[52] P. Gallo, M. Rovere, and S.-H. Chen, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 064109 (2012).
[53] S. Lang, V. Boñan, M. Oettel, D. Hajnal, T. Franosch, and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 125701 (2010).
[54] W. Götze and L. Sjögren, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195, 230 (1995).
[55] T. Franosch and Th. Voigtmann, J. Stat. Phys. 109, 237 (2002).
[56] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, Theory of Simple Liquids (Academic, 2006).
[57] D. Forster, Hydrodynamic Fluctuations, Broken Symmetry, And Correlation Functions (Benjamin, 1975).
[58] Since it is clear from the context when $z$ refers to a complex frequency or to a distance to the wall, no confusion arises.
[59] In our previous work, Ref. [53], we considered only symmetric walls, where $[v_{µν} = v_{ν−µ} = v_{−µν}]$.
[60] M. Letz and R. Schilling, Phil. Mag. B 79, 1815 (1999).
[61] C. Theis and R. Schilling, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 235-237, 106 (1998).
[62] V. I. Arnol’d, Russ. Math. Surv. 30, 1 (1975).
[63] K. Nygård, R. Kjellander, S. Sarman, S. Chodankar, E. Perret, J. Buitenhuis, and J. F. van der Veen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 064109 (2012).
[64] G. Szamel and E. Flenner, Phys. Rev. E 81, 031507 (2010).
[65] V. T. Rajan, C.-W. Woo, and F. Y. Wu, J. Math. Phys. 19, 892 (1978).
[66] F. Sciortino and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 648 (2001).
[67] G. Szamel and H. Löwen, Phys. Rev. A 44, 8215 (1991).

[68] T. Franosch, W. Götze, M. Mayr, and A. Singh, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 235-237, 71 (1998).
[69] S. Lang, R. Schilling, and T. Franosch, unpublished (2012).
[70] G. Foffi, W. Götze, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and Th. Voigtmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 085701 (2003).
[71] Th. Voigtmann, Europhys. Lett. 96, 36006 (2011).
[72] F. Weysser and D. Hajnal, Phys. Rev. E 83, 041503 (2011).