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Abstract: In the context of AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$, we address spacetimes with a certain sort of internal infinity as typified by the extreme BTZ black hole. The internal infinity is a null circle lying at the end of the black hole’s infinite throat. We argue that such spacetimes may be described by a product CFT of the form CFT$_L \otimes$CFT$_R$, where CFT$_R$ is associated with the asymptotically AdS boundary while CFT$_L$ is associated with the null circle. Our particular calculations analyze the CFT dual of the extreme BTZ black hole in a linear toy model of AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$. Since the BTZ black hole is a quotient of AdS$_3$, the dual CFT state is a corresponding quotient of the CFT vacuum state. This state turns out to live in the aforementioned product CFT. We discuss this result in the context of general issues of AdS/CFT duality and entanglement entropy.
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1. Introduction

The anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory correspondence (AdS/CFT) [1] is a powerful tool that has shed light on many interesting aspects of physics (see e.g. [2]), and especially that of black holes. In particular, it has elucidated calculations of black hole entropy in string theory (e.g. [3]), and has provided strong motivation for the idea that black hole evaporation should be a unitary process\(^1\).

However, fundamental questions concerning the degrees of freedom associated with black holes remain unanswered. For example, we still lack a bulk calculation of black hole entropy in terms of microstates. Another issue of interest in the context of AdS/CFT is just what CFT should be used to describe the most general black hole geometries. Classical gravity can describe black holes with a variety of complicated interiors such as those containing inflating universes or a second asymptotic region. One notes that such examples seem to require additional degrees of freedom beyond the CFT (which we shall call CFT\(_0\)) used to describe AdS space itself [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 9]. Unfortunately, we remain far from a general understanding of the CFT’s in which states dual to such geometries might live.

Here we describe another such scenario. We argue below that, in a certain context, the dual description of an \textit{extreme} black hole may require additional degrees of freedom beyond those of CFT\(_0\). Although it lacks a second asymptotic region, the extreme black hole has an internal infinity lying at the end of its infinite throat [11].

\(^1\)See, however [4] for a contrasting view.
As we discuss briefly below, such an internal infinity can also be considered as part of the boundary of the bulk spacetime, and can provide a home for these additional degrees of freedom. The internal infinity is marked $\hat{D}_L$ on the conformal diagram shown in figure 1.

Now, the reader may be concerned by the fact that extreme black holes are often described within CFT$_0$ [1, 2], without the addition of any new degrees of freedom. To avoid confusion, let us point out that one may consider two distinct classes of spacetimes containing extreme black holes: those with an infinite throat (which we address in this paper) and those without. The usual eternal extreme black hole (figure 1) is clearly an example of the first class, as is any spacetime generated from it by sending in small perturbations from its asymptotic boundary.

The other class of spacetimes arises when extreme black holes form dynamically. Of course, this cannot happen by any classical process. Consider, however, a nearly extreme black hole with one asymptotic region (perhaps formed from the collapse of matter). As a result of a thermal fluctuation, such a black hole may decay to extremality, emitting some Hawking radiation in the process. In the semiclassical description, the decay occurs because of a negative flux of energy across the future horizon. Thus one may expect that, before some advanced time $v$, the spacetime is that of a non-extreme black hole. Thus, it has no infinite throat.

A similar dichotomy arises when one compares non-extreme black holes with differing numbers of asymptotic regions (i.e., one vs. two). In that case, one expects the number of such regions to be reflected by differing dual CFTs [3, 4, 7, 8]. It is natural to expect that our two classes of spacetimes, with and without extreme black hole internal infinities, should correspond to two distinct CFTs as well. The class without an infinite throat (but with one asymptotic region) should be described by CFT$_0$, while, due to the additional boundary conditions needed at the internal infinity, the class with an infinite throat should be described by a larger CFT.

It is of course possible that the infinite throat is simply a red herring (e.g., as suggested in [3, 4, 8] and references therein). However, pushing this model forward may provide insight into the broader issues of black holes and dual degrees.
of freedom. We are also interested in the relation to entanglement entropy\(^2\) in the context of AdS/CFT [8, 32, 33]. We therefore investigate features associated with the throat of the extreme BTZ black hole below.

Our approach will be to use a simple linear toy model of AdS\(^3\)/CFT\(^2\), which was considered implicitly in [34] and then more explicitly in [35]. The model replaces the CFT\(^2\) of [1] by a single real-valued free scalar field on the cylinder. Empty AdS\(^3\) is of course taken to be dual to the vacuum of this CFT. As we remind the reader in section 2, the BTZ black hole [36, 37] can be constructed as a quotient AdS\(^3\)/\(\Gamma\) of AdS\(^3\), where \(\Gamma\) is an appropriately acting discrete group. The boundary of the BTZ black hole is an analogous quotient of the boundary \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\) of AdS\(^3\). Since the model CFT is linear, there is a natural map which takes the CFT state on the (boundary) spacetime \(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}\) and constructs an associated CFT state on the quotient boundary \(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}/\Gamma\).

In the non-extreme case, the appropriate quotient construction leads to a black hole with two asymptotic regions, and thus with two asymptotic boundaries, each of which is identical to the boundary of pure AdS\(^3\). Here we will reexamine this construction in detail, focussing on the extreme limit. One asymptotic boundary, which we take to be the right boundary, remains intact and is again identical to the boundary of pure AdS\(^3\). Thus, it is natural for a copy of the original CFT to be associated with this boundary. We refer to this copy as CFT\(_R\). Although the second asymptotic region disappears in this limit, we will nevertheless find that the state dual to an extreme black hole lives in a product conformal field theory, CFT\(_L \otimes\)CFT\(_R\), where CFT\(_L\) is associated with the ‘end’ of the infinite throat of the extreme black hole. This internal infinity is a remnant of the second asymptotic boundary of the non-extreme black hole which, as we shall see below, has degenerated to a null circle. As a result, CFT\(_L\) has only right-moving degrees of freedom.

The plan of this paper is as follows: Section 2 provides a brief review of the BTZ black hole and sets notation for the rest of this paper. In section 3 we adopt the method of [35] to obtain the dual description of the BTZ black hole for all masses and angular momenta. In particular, section 3.2 elaborates on the extreme BTZ black hole. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results for AdS/CFT in section 4.

2. Review: the BTZ black hole

Recall [36, 37] that the BTZ black hole is a solution to 2+1 dimensional gravity with negative cosmological constant. Outside the horizon, the line element of this solution

\[^2\]Bulk discussions of entanglement entropy have been of interest for some time [12, 16, 17, 21, 18, 20, 24, 23, 22, 19, 24, 20, 27], though several issues remain unclear. These include the species problem (see e.g. [28]), the correct value of the cut-off used in entanglement entropy calculations (see [29, 30]), and other related issues (see, e.g., [31]).
is given by
\[ ds^2 = -\frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{r^2\ell^2}\,dt^2 + \frac{r^2\ell^2}{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}\,dr^2 + r^2 \left( d\phi + \frac{r_+r_-}{r^2\ell}\,dt \right)^2, \tag{2.1} \]
from which one notes the presence of Killing horizons at \(r_+, r_-\). In the same notation, the mass of the black hole is
\[ M = \frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{8\ell^2 G(3)}, \tag{2.2} \]
and the angular momentum is
\[ J = \frac{r_+r_-}{4\ell G(3)}, \tag{2.3} \]
where \(G(3)\) is the three-dimensional gravitational constant. Here \(r_+ \geq r_- \geq 0\) and \(\ell\) is the AdS length scale in the sense of equation (2.4) below.

Since gravity has no local degrees of freedom in 2+1 dimensions, the BTZ solution is locally just AdS3. In fact, the BTZ solution may be thought of as the quotient of a certain region in AdS3 by an appropriate discrete group of isometries. Section 2.1 reviews the bulk aspects of this quotient construction. In section 2.2 we review the quotient of the conformal boundary \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\) of AdS3 which will give \(\partial\text{BTZ}\), the boundary of our BTZ black hole. In both subsections our main focus is a proper description of the extreme limit \(r_+ \to r_-\).

2.1 BTZ as a quotient

We are interested in the description of the BTZ black hole (2.1) as a quotient of AdS3 \([3]\). We remind the reader that AdS3 is the universal covering space of the surface \(\hat{\text{AdS}}_3\) defined by the relation
\[ -\ell^2 = -(T^1)^2 - (T^2)^2 + (X^1)^2 + (X^2)^2 \tag{2.4} \]
in \(\mathbb{R}^{2,2}\) with line element:
\[ ds^2 = -(dT^1)^2 - (dT^2)^2 + (dX^1)^2 + (dX^2)^2. \tag{2.5} \]

We will use the coordinates \((\rho, t, \theta)\) adopted in [3],\n
\[ T^1 = \ell \frac{1 + \rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \sin t, \quad T^2 = \ell \frac{1 + \rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \cos t \tag{2.6} \]
\[ X^1 = \ell \frac{2\rho}{1 - \rho^2} \cos \theta, \quad X^2 = \ell \frac{2\rho}{1 - \rho^2} \sin \theta \tag{2.7} \]
with $0 < \rho < 1$ and $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$. For $\widehat{\text{AdS}}_3$ we have $-\pi \leq t < \pi$, but we are interested in the universal cover, $\text{AdS}_3$, which has $-\infty < t < \infty$. In such coordinates, the line element becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{4\ell^2}{1 - \rho^2} \left( -\frac{1}{4} (1 + \rho^2)^2 dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

After the conformal rescaling

$$ds^2 = \left( \frac{1 - \rho^2}{4\ell^2} \right) ds^2, \quad (2.9)$$

the boundary ($\rho \to 1$) line element is just that of the standard cylinder

$$ds^2_{\partial \text{AdS}_3} = -dt^2 + d\theta^2. \quad (2.10)$$

The six generators of the $SO(2,2)$ isometries of $\text{AdS}_3$ are given by

$$J_{ab} = x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} - x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b} \quad (2.11)$$

with $x^a \in (T^1, T^2, X^1, X^2)$. Consider the Killing vector

$$\xi = \frac{r_+}{\ell} J_{T^2,X^1} - \frac{r_-}{\ell} J_{T^1,X^2} + J_{X^1,X^2} - J_{T^2,X^2}, \quad (2.12)$$

with $r_+ \geq r_- \geq 0$. The BTZ black hole is obtained by identifying points $P$ in $\text{AdS}_3$ along the orbits of $\xi$ at intervals of Killing parameter $2\pi n$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$P \sim e^{2\pi \xi n} P. \quad (2.13)$$

By applying this quotient procedure to the region $\xi^2 > 0$ we obtain a global description of the BTZ black hole. The coordinate transformation relating the coordinates of (2.1) to (2.8) on the quotient space can be found in [37, 34]. The geometry of the resulting quotient depends only on the conjugacy class of $\xi$ within $SO(2,2)$. If $r_+ \neq r_-$, one may choose a representative $\xi'$ of the conjugacy class of (2.12) such that

$$\xi' = \frac{r_+}{\ell} J_{T^2,X^1} - \frac{r_-}{\ell} J_{T^1,X^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

An explicit coordinate transformation which takes (2.12) into (2.14) for $r_+ \neq r_-$ can be found in [37]. The simpler form (2.14) has the property that $\xi \to -\xi$ under an inversion of the space directions: $(X_1, X_2) \to (-X_1, -X_2)$. As a result, a quotient construction based on (2.14) is manifestly symmetric under this inversion. However, the representation (2.14) is not possible for the extreme black holes ($r_+ = r_-$) on which we wish to focus. As a result, we use (2.12) instead of the simpler (2.14). In doing so, we note that our parametrization (2.12) explicitly breaks the $(X_1, X_2) \to (-X_1, -X_2)$ symmetry.
The identifications (2.13) act on the region $\xi^2 > 0$ in AdS$_3$. Other regions are not considered as they would lead to closed causal curves or to singularities in the quotient space: points in the bulk of AdS with $\xi^2 = 0$ project onto what is termed the singularity of the black hole in [35, 36].

Although AdS$_3$ is maximally symmetric, the BTZ black hole has only two isometries. To identify them, we note that these descend from the two Killing fields of AdS$_3$ which commute with $\xi$. One is $\xi$ itself (2.12), which by construction projects to a spacelike Killing field on the quotient. The second, $\eta$, may be taken to be proportional to the lift of the time translation symmetry of the BTZ black hole. Let us denote the projection of these Killing fields to the BTZ black hole by $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$. Comparing with (2.1), we find that $\hat{\xi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ and $\hat{\eta} = \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on the BTZ spacetime, while on AdS$_3$ we find:

$$\eta = -J T^1 T^2 + J T^1 X^1 - \frac{r_-}{\ell} J T^2 X^1 + \frac{r_+}{\ell} J T^1 X^2. \quad (2.15)$$

2.2 The Quotient of the Boundary

As noted in section 1, we will be especially interested in the action of the quotient (2.13) on the boundary $\partial$AdS$_3$ of AdS$_3$. We now study this action in detail.

It is convenient to introduce null coordinates $u = t + \theta$, and $v = t - \theta$. In terms of these coordinates, the Killing fields take the form

$$\xi = 2\sqrt{1 + \Sigma^2} \cos \left(\frac{u}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{u}{2} - \arctan \Sigma\right) \partial_u \quad (2.16)$$

$$-2\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2} \cos \left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{v}{2} + \arctan \Delta\right) \partial_v, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\eta = 2\sqrt{1 + \Sigma^2} \cos \left(\frac{u}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{u}{2} - \arctan \Sigma\right) \partial_u \quad (2.18)$$

$$+2\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2} \cos \left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{v}{2} + \arctan \Delta\right) \partial_v, \quad (2.19)$$

on $\partial$AdS$_3$, where we have defined $\Sigma = \frac{r_+ + r_-}{\ell}$ and $\Delta = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{\ell}$.

We wish to identify the region in $\partial$AdS$_3$ where $\xi^2 \geq 0$, as only this region will project to the boundary of the BTZ black hole. From (2.16) we have

$$\xi^2 = 4\sqrt{(1 + \Delta^2)(1 + \Sigma^2)} \cos \left(\frac{u}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{u}{2} - \arctan \Sigma\right) \cos \left(\frac{v}{2} + \arctan \Delta\right). \quad (2.20)$$

It is convenient to write the region with $\xi^2 > 0$ as $D_R \cup D_L$, where

$$D_R = \{ -\pi + 2 \arctan \Sigma < u < \pi, -\pi < v < \pi - 2 \arctan \Delta \} \quad (2.21)$$

$$D_L = \{ \pi < u < \pi + 2 \arctan \Sigma, \pi - 2 \arctan \Delta < v < \pi \}, \quad (2.22)$$

together with the images of $D_R \cup D_L$ under the translations $u \to u + 2\pi n$ and $v \to v + 2\pi n$. For $r_+ \neq r_-$, the quotients $\hat{D}_R$ of $D_R$ and $\hat{D}_L$ of $D_L$ form the respective conformal boundaries of the left and right asymptotic regions of the BTZ black hole.
It is interesting to note that $D_L$ and $D_R$ do not appear symmetrically in $\left(2.21\right)$. Indeed, $D_R$ is a diamond of coordinate dimensions $(\pi-2\arctan \Sigma) \times (\pi - 2\arctan \Delta)$, while $D_L$ is a diamond of coordinate dimensions $(2\arctan \Sigma) \times (2\arctan \Delta)$. For $r_+ \neq r_-$, this is a result of our choice of $\left(2.14\right)$ over $\left(2.13\right)$ and the explicit breaking of the symmetry $(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (-X_1, -X_2)$. On the other hand, the lack of symmetry is no surprise for extreme black holes (for which $r_+ = r_-$ so that $\Delta = 0$), as such black holes have only one asymptotic region.

A plot of the regions $D_R$ and $D_L$ (in $(t, \theta)$ coordinates) is given in figure 2. One clearly sees that, as the black hole approaches extremality, the left diamond $D_L$ collapses to a null line. Despite the fact that $\xi^2 = 0$ on this null line, it is convenient to still refer to it as $D_L$. Under the quotient $\left(2.13\right)$ $D_L$ maps to a null circle $\hat{D}_L$. For $M = 0$, $D_L$ degenerates to a point, which is in fact a fixed point of $\left(2.13\right)$.

For extreme black holes with $M \neq 0$, we will find in section 3 below that interesting degrees of freedom live on the null circle $\hat{D}_L$. As a result, we would like to think of it as part of the boundary of the black hole. In the conformal compactification of AdS$_3$, the null line $D_L$ forms part of the boundary of the region with $\xi^2 > 0$. Curves approaching $D_L$ project to curves which travel down the infinite throat of the extreme BTZ black hole. Thus, we may think of this null circle as lying at the end of the throat.

Now, it is clear that $D_L$ will not form part of the smooth conformal boundary of the BTZ black hole. However, (see figure 1) there are both spacelike and causal curves (e.g., the generators of the extreme BTZ horizon) which reach $D_L$ from within the $\xi^2 > 0$ region. As a result, one should be able to use causal boundary techniques (e.g., [39], which builds on [40, 42, 44, 43, 47, 41, 45, 46, 48]) to give a rigorous sense in which this null circle forms part of the BTZ boundary and to establish in detail its relation to the infinite throat.
3. The dual of the BTZ black hole

As stated in the introduction, our model of AdS/CFT is obtained by replacing the CFT with a theory of a single minimally-coupled massless free scalar field $\phi$ on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. This model theory is of course conformal, and has central charge $c = 1$. The advantage of this model is its linearity, so that the geometric quotient construction of section 2 has a natural analogue in the CFT itself. For simplicity, we also replace the ten dimensional bulk spacetime $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ with $\text{AdS}_3$. Here we follow [35] and, implicitly, [34] which noted that this simple model is able to reproduce a number of features of the full correspondence such as the energy, angular momentum, and entropy, as well as the more general thermal nature of the BTZ black hole.

In section 2, the BTZ black hole was described as the quotient of $\text{AdS}_3$ by a certain discrete group $\Gamma$, whose action on $\text{AdS}_3$ depends on the mass $M$ and the angular momentum $J$ of the black hole. Similarly, we found that the boundary $\partial \text{BTZ}$ of the BTZ black hole could be described as the quotient of $D_L \cup D_R \subset \partial \text{AdS}_3$ by the action of $\Gamma$. Now, since the dual CFT is associated with the boundary manifold, $\Gamma$ has a natural action on the CFT as well. If operators on $\partial \text{BTZ}$ are identified with $\Gamma$-invariant operators on $\partial \text{AdS}_3$, the CFT state $|0\rangle$ (dual to empty $\text{AdS}_3$) induces a state $|M, J\rangle$ dual to the BTZ black hole. The state $|M, J\rangle$ is the part of $|0\rangle$ which contains information about those field modes which are periodic under the identifications (2.13); information about the other field modes is discarded\(^3\). We will interpret $|M, J\rangle$ as the CFT state dual to the corresponding BTZ black hole.

After addressing the general case in section 3.1, we highlight certain features of the extreme case in section 3.2.

3.1 The general case

As in [33], we shall begin by describing $|0\rangle$ in terms of the lift of modes which are positive frequency on $\partial \text{BTZ}$. To do so, we seek solutions of the massless free wave equation on $\partial \text{AdS}_3$ which, when restricted to $D_L$ and $D_R$, are positive frequency with respect to the BTZ time translation symmetry $\eta$. To proceed we introduce null coordinates $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the BTZ boundary and its covering space $D_R \cup D_L$, where we require $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to satisfy $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} = \eta + \xi$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} = \eta - \xi$. This determines $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

\(^3\)More precisely, we use the fact that $|0\rangle$ is a Gaussian state and we define $|M, J\rangle$ to be the Gaussian state on the boundary of the BTZ spacetime whose covariance (equivalently, the two-point function of $\phi$ in this state) is just the restriction of the covariance of $|0\rangle$ to those field modes on $D_L \cup D_R$ which are the lift of field modes on the quotient.
up to constants \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \beta_0 \) in each diamond:

\[
\alpha = \ln \left( (\sqrt{1 + \Sigma^2}) \frac{\cos \left( \frac{u}{2} - \arctan(\Sigma) \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{v}{2} \right)} \right) + \alpha_0, \quad \Sigma \neq 0. \tag{3.1}
\]

\[
\alpha = \tan \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) + \alpha_0, \quad \Sigma = 0. \tag{3.2}
\]

\[
\beta = -\ln \left( (\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2}) \frac{\cos \left( \frac{v}{2} + \arctan(\Delta) \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{u}{2} \right)} \right) + \beta_0, \quad \Delta \neq 0. \tag{3.3}
\]

\[
\beta = \tan \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) + \beta_0, \quad \Delta = 0. \tag{3.4}
\]

We would like our coordinates to be real-valued. In \( D_R \), the sign of \( \cos(u) \) is equal to the sign of \( \cos \left( \frac{u}{2} - \arctan(\Sigma) \right) \), so the argument of the logarithm in (3.1) is positive and we may take \( \alpha_0 = 0 \). In contrast, in \( D_L \), the argument of the logarithm is negative and we may take \( \alpha_0 = -i \pi \Sigma \), where we have chosen the branch cut of the logarithm in (3.1) to be in the upper half \( u \)-plane. Similarly, for \( \beta_0 \), we may take \( \beta_0 = 0 \) in \( D_R \) and \( \beta_0 = -i \pi \Sigma \) in \( D_L \).

One may use \( u_{\omega, +}^{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \omega}} e^{-i\omega \alpha} \) as a basis for right-moving solutions of the wave equation in \( D_R \) which are positive frequency with respect to \( \eta \), and similarly take \( u_{\omega, +}^{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \omega}} e^{i\omega \alpha} \) as a basis for right-moving solutions of the wave equation in \( D_L \) which are positive frequency with respect to \( \eta \). Note that \( \eta \) is future timelike in \( D_R \) and past timelike in \( D_L \), while \( \xi \) points to the right in \( D_R \) and to the left in \( D_L \). In this notation it is easy to see that \( u_{\omega, +}^{R} \) differs from the analytic continuation of \( u_{\omega, +}^{R} \) to \( D_L \) by a factor of \( e^{-\frac{\pi \omega}{2} \Sigma} \). A similar statement is true for the left-moving modes \( u_{\omega, -}^{R,L} \).

Following [49], we may use this observation to express \( |0\rangle \) in terms of the state \( |0\rangle_{\eta} \) which is the zero-particle state as defined by the modes \( u_{\omega, \pm}^{R,L} \). To do so, note that for \( \omega > 0 \) the modes

\[
W_{\omega, +}^{(1)} = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, +}^{R}} + e^{-\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, +}^{L}}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \right)}}, \quad W_{\omega, +}^{(2)} = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, +}^{L}} + e^{-\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, +}^{R}}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \right)}}, \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
W_{\omega, -}^{(1)} = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, -}^{R}} + e^{-\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, -}^{L}}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \right)}}, \quad W_{\omega, -}^{(2)} = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, -}^{L}} + e^{-\frac{\pi \omega}{2} u_{\omega, -}^{R}}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \right)}}, \tag{3.6}
\]

are analytic in the lower half imaginary \( t \)-plane on the complexified boundary of AdS and that they are normalized to have Klein-Gordon norm \( \pm 1 \). Thus, (3.5) and (3.6) are all positive frequency with respect to \( t \) on \( \partial \text{AdS}_3 \). Note that these equations remain valid in the limit \( \Delta \to 0 \) and \( \Sigma \to 0 \).

We now introduce creation operators \( a_{\omega, \pm}^{(1)\dagger}, a_{\omega, \pm}^{(2)\dagger} \) for the \( W \)-modes, along with the corresponding annihilation operators \( a_{\omega, \pm}^{(1)}, a_{\omega, \pm}^{(2)} \). We also introduce creation operators
\begin{align}
  a^{(1)}_{\omega,+} &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^R_{\omega,+} - e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^L_{\omega,+}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}\right)}}, \\
  a^{(1)}_{\omega,-} &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^R_{\omega,-} - e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^L_{\omega,-}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}\right)}}, \\
  a^{(2)}_{\omega,+} &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^L_{\omega,+} - e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^R_{\omega,+}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}\right)}}, \\
  a^{(2)}_{\omega,-} &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^L_{\omega,-} - e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}} b^R_{\omega,-}}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}\right)}}.
\end{align}

Recall that \(|0\rangle\) is the vacuum on \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\). This means that \(|0\rangle\) is the minimum energy state with respect to the time translation \(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\) on \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\). As such, it is annihilated by \(a^{(1)}_{\omega,\pm}\). We will also be interested in the state \(|0\rangle_\eta\) on \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\) which is annihilated by \(b^{(1)}_{\omega,\pm}, b^{(2)}_{\omega,\pm}\). The state \(|0\rangle_\eta\) induces a state \(|M,J\rangle_\eta\) on \(\partial\text{BTZ}\) via the identification (2.13). Because \(|M,J\rangle_\eta\) is annihilated by \(b^{(1)}_{\omega,\pm}, b^{(2)}_{\omega,\pm}\) we may identify it as the vacuum state on \(\partial\text{BTZ}\).

If we express \(|0\rangle\) as a set of excitations over \(|0\rangle_\eta\) on \(\partial\text{AdS}_3\), then the expression for \(|M,J\rangle\) as a set of excitations over \(|M,J\rangle_\eta\) will follow immediately. Of course, the expression for \(|0\rangle\) as a set of excitations over \(|0\rangle_\eta\) is just the usual Bogoliubov transformation (see e.g. [50, 51, 52, 53]):

\begin{equation}
  |0\rangle = e^{-i(K^+_\epsilon + K^-_\epsilon)}|0\rangle_\eta
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
  K_\epsilon = i \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{\omega,\epsilon} (b^R_{\omega,\epsilon} b^L_{\omega,\epsilon} + b^L_{\omega,\epsilon} b^R_{\omega,\epsilon}) d\omega, \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = + \text{ or } -,
\end{equation}

where \(\tanh(r_{\omega,+}) = e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}}\) and \(\tanh(r_{\omega,-}) = e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{\Delta}}\).

It is natural to write

\begin{equation}
  |0\rangle_\eta = (|0\rangle_{R+} \otimes |0\rangle_{L+}) \otimes (|0\rangle_{R-} \otimes |0\rangle_{L-}),
\end{equation}

making use of the decomposition into right- and left-moving modes (+ or -) supported separately on \(D_R\) or \(D_L\). We may then rewrite (3.9) as

\begin{equation}
  |0\rangle_b = (e^{-iK^+}|0\rangle_{R+} |0\rangle_{L+}) (e^{-iK^-}|0\rangle_{R-} |0\rangle_{L-}).
\end{equation}

We see that the right and left movers are entangled with their partners on the opposite boundary component, but that right-moving and left-moving particles on the same boundary are not entangled with each other. Thus, tracing over, say, all left-moving modes would yield a pure state.

After the identification (2.13), one finds

\begin{equation}
  |M,J\rangle = e^{-i(K^+_+ K^-_-)}|M,J\rangle_\eta,
\end{equation}
where $\bar{K}_\pm$ is defined as in (3.10) but with the integral over $\omega$ replaced by a sum over the discrete frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi n$. We may further write $|M,J\rangle_\eta = |0\rangle_L |0\rangle_R$ such that each of the states $|0\rangle_L$ and $|0\rangle_R$ is the vacuum of a scalar field on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ (i.e., each is a copy of $|0\rangle$ on $\partial \text{AdS}_3$). The states $|0\rangle_R$ and $|0\rangle_L$ are associated respectively with $\hat{D}_L$ and $\hat{D}_R$.

An examination of (3.13) shows that both the right- and left- movers are in thermal states, though with different effective temperatures. The right movers ($\epsilon = +$) have an effective inverse temperature $\beta_+ = \frac{2\pi}{\Sigma}$, while the left movers have an effective inverse temperature $\beta_- = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta}$. This may also be expressed in terms of the physical inverse temperature $\beta$ and a chemical potential $\Omega$ for angular momentum. Such parameters $(\beta, \Omega)$ are related to $\beta_\pm$ through $\beta_\pm = \beta \pm \Omega \beta$. Thus, we have $\Omega = -\frac{r_-}{r_+}$ and $\beta = \frac{2\pi r_-}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}$. The quantum state of the zero-modes may be treated similarly [35], and again takes the form of a thermo-field double [54] with temperature $\beta = \frac{2\pi r_-}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}$.

Finally, consider the “high temperature limit” where either $T_+ = 1/\beta_+ \gg 1$ or $T_- = 1/\beta_- \gg 1$. As in [34, 35], one readily shows that the quantum state $|M,J\rangle$ reproduces the mass, angular momentum, and entropy of the BTZ black hole in this limit so long as one takes into account the central charge $c = 6Q_1Q_5 = 3\ell/2G_{(3)}$ of the CFT$_2$ of [1]. If one also takes into account the well-known “fractionization” effect of the full CFT$_2$, then this analysis is valid whenever $T_+ \gg 1/c$ or $T_- \gg 1/c$; i.e., for all black holes larger than the Planck scale $(r_+ \gg G_{(3)})$.

### 3.2 The extremal limit

Let us now consider the $(M \neq 0)$ extremal limit, $r_+ \to r_-$. Note that the temperature approaches zero while the chemical potential approaches $-1$, so that the overall effective temperature of the right movers remains finite ($T_+ = \frac{1}{\beta_+} = \frac{1}{(1+i\Omega)\beta}$), while that of the left movers vanishes ($T_- = \frac{1}{\beta_-} = \frac{1}{(1-i\Omega)\beta}$).

Our dual description of the extreme black hole remains a state in the product theory $\text{CFT}_L \otimes \text{CFT}_R$ with $\text{CFT}_{R,L}$ associated to $\hat{D}_{R,L}$. Note that $\text{CFT}_R$ is a copy of the CFT associated with the boundary of AdS$_3$. On the other hand, $\text{CFT}_L$ arises from the degenerate $D_L$, which is a single null line. Thus, $\text{CFT}_L$ lives on a one-dimensional null circle and has no left-moving degrees of freedom. As noted above, this null circle lies in some sense at the bottom of the infinite throat of the extreme black hole. The right-movers of $\text{CFT}_R$ and $\text{CFT}_L$ are entangled in the familiar “thermo-field double” state [54] at temperature $\beta_+$, while the left-movers are in their vacuum states.

It is also interesting to consider the BTZ black hole with $M = 0$, obtained by taking $r_+, r_- \to 0$. We find that the effective temperature on both boundaries vanishes and that the dual state is no longer entangled. Instead, we have $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_\eta$ where again $|0\rangle_\eta = |0\rangle_L |0\rangle_R$. Now, however, $|0\rangle_L$ is the vacuum of the CFT.
corresponding to the point to which $D_L$ collapsed. Note that despite the fact that $D_L$ has degenerated to a point, the state $|0\rangle$ could, in principle, have contained non-trivial information about the zero-mode on $D_L$.

4. Discussion

In order to investigate the AdS/CFT description of spacetimes with an infinite throat, we analyzed the dual description of the extreme BTZ black hole in a simple toy model. At least in the context of our model, we find that the end of the infinite throat plays a role analogous to that of a second asymptotic region [53, 34, 8, 9, 33, 10]: the CFT state dual to an extremal BTZ black hole lives in a product theory of the form $\text{CFT}_R \otimes \text{CFT}_L$.

Hence, it appears that the eternal extreme black holes may typify a new class of spacetimes of interest for AdS/CFT. In addition to the traditional choice of a single asymptotic region resembling the conformal boundary of AdS$_3$ (and described by a single CFT), and also in addition to the case with two such asymptotic regions studied in [53, 34, 8, 33, 10] (plausibly described by a product of two CFTs), one may also consider cases with two inequivalent boundary components. Here we take one component to be a copy of the boundary of AdS$_3$, while the other is a single null circle which must sit at the bottom of some infinite throat. The suggestion here is that this third class of boundary conditions may again be associated with a product $\text{CFT}_L \otimes \text{CFT}_R$, where $\text{CFT}_L$ contains only, say, right-moving degrees of freedom. In such a setting the extreme black hole may be described as the particular entangled state discussed in section 3.2.

Further investigation of this idea is certainly needed. For example, since the null circle is attached to the bulk in a manner entirely different from that of the conformal boundary in the asymptotic region, it is important to study the possible boundary conditions on this null circle and their influence on the bulk spacetime.

In addition, it is evident that the relation between boundary degrees of freedom and those of the bulk will not be as direct as in the case of conformal boundaries. In this more familiar context, at least in the limit where the bulk fields may be treated semi-classically, one finds [50, 53, 55, 56, 60, 61, 62, 63] that local operators in the dual CFT are essentially (rescaled) boundary limits of local bulk operators. But this seems unlikely to be the case for our internal infinity, as one may see by studying quantum field theory on the extreme black hole background.

Consider, for example, a calculation of the bulk state of a linear quantum field theory on the BTZ background via the same quotient methods applied to the boundary in section 3. Note that this calculation essentially reduces to calculating the two-point function $G$, and that $G$ is related to the two-point function $G_0$ of the vacuum over AdS$_3$ through a sum over images. Furthermore, because this image sum can be performed on the complexified geometry, analyticity of $G_0$ guarantees that
\( G \) will satisfy a KMS condition (see e.g. [64, 65]) with respect to the Killing field which generates the BTZ horizon\(^4\). As a result, this quantum state will be precisely thermal, and in particular, mixed with respect to observables localized in one exterior region. The thermal ensemble will again be characterized by the right- and left-moving inverse temperatures \( \beta_{\pm} \).

Taking the extreme limit, the associated state on the extreme BTZ background will contain thermal excitations of modes with positive angular momentum and, as a result, will again be a mixed state. Thus, studying limits of bulk operators near the end of the infinite throat will reveal no correlations of the sort entangling CFT\(_L\) and CFT\(_R\) in our dual CFT state.

One might restate this observation more physically by noting that the Hartle-Hawking state of the non-extreme black hole (with two asymptotic regions) is an entangled state with respect to modes localized in each of its asymptotic regions. These regions are connected by an Einstein-Rosen bridge. This bridge becomes infinitely long in the extreme limit: one side of the bridge disappears from the spacetime. Thus, there are no longer any modes with which to purify the mixed state seen by an observer at the remaining end of the bridge\(^5\). Instead, the complete perturbative bulk state is mixed for extreme black holes and, from this point of view, boundary limits of bulk fields do not result in the sort of entanglement described in section 3.2.

A related issue is whether there might be some bulk sense in which the extreme Hartle-Hawking state can be purified through entanglement. We leave further investigation of the connection between our CFT\(_L\) and bulk degrees of freedom for future work.

Finally, although no entanglement is obvious from the bulk perspective, it is interesting to note that the description of the CFT as a product CFT\(_L\)\( \otimes \)CFT\(_R\) is consistent with the entanglement interpretation of black hole entropy. Such an interpretation remains mysterious for black holes whose dual lives in a single CFT but (as emphasized in [33]) it becomes natural if the dual theory takes a product form as above. In particular, it was advocated in [33] that the entropy of a two-asymptotic-region black hole may always be interpreted as entanglement entropy of its CFT dual. Here, we see the same behavior for black holes with one asymptotic region and an internal infinity. In particular, we note that \(|M, J\rangle\) encodes the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy through entanglement.

---

\(^4\)Note that for the BTZ geometry this Killing field is everywhere timelike in the bulk (outside the horizon), even in the extreme case \( r_+ = r_- \). This behavior is typical of AdS black holes, and avoids the issues discussed in [66] which prohibit the existence of a Hartle-Hawking state for Kerr black holes in asymptotically flat spacetimes.

\(^5\)One may always use a thermofield double construction [54] to describe this state as a pure state living in an extra fictitious Hilbert space. However, as opposed to the non-extreme case, this extra Hilbert space remains fictitious and does not have a geometric region in spacetime in which it may reside.
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