Introduction of Posterior Axilla Sling Traction in Simulated Shoulder Dystocia
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Obstetricians are taught from the beginning of their training to prepare for the worst. Obstetric emergencies can be catastrophic for the patient, the infant, and the provider when poor outcomes occur. Shoulder dystocia is defined as failure to deliver the fetal shoulders with gentle downward traction, requirement of additional maneuvers to affect delivery, or a head to body interval of greater than 1 minute.2 Shoulder dystocia affects 0.6 to 1.4% of all vaginal deliveries.1 The rate of injury in shoulder dystocia has been reported at 24.9% including brachial plexus injuries, clavicular or humeral fractures, and fetal death.3 The rate of brachial plexus injury alone has been reported as ranging from 4 to 40%.4 Shoulder dystocia can be a daunting obstetric emergency, especially when traditional maneuvers have failed.

In the 2006, the Menticoglou’s maneuver was introduced which uses the delivering provider’s fingers in the posterior axilla for delivery of the posterior shoulder.5 This maneuver can be helpful when others have failed; however, space can be limited and the insertion of two fingers into the axilla would theoretically increase the bisacromial diameter. In the 2009, Hofmeyr and Cluver introduced using a suction catheter looped through the posterior axilla. Initially, it was described in two cases of shoulder dystocia following delivery of previously demised infants.6 Later that year, the authors described the use of posterior axilla sling traction (PAST) in a case series of three shoulder dystocias occurring with live births.7 Most recently, the authors described a series of 19 cases which utilized PAST; delivery was successful in 18 of the
Posterior axilla sling traction has not previously been taught or utilized at Naval Medical Center Portsmouth. Our objective was to introduce posterior axilla sling traction to attending providers, residents, midwives, and nursing staff as an adjunct to the management of shoulder dystocia and evaluate comfort in performing the maneuver or performance of the maneuver by the clinician. We also wanted to evaluate any barriers to usage of this maneuver within our facility. Siassakos et al describe six elements of effective teamwork training with providers performing this procedure and also to voice ideas which may help in emergent situations.

Materials and Methods

A presimulation questionnaire was given to all participants. This questionnaire included their classification as an attending, resident, midwife, or nurse, along with an assessment of their prior usage or familiarity with PAST. We also assessed comfort and rotation with PAST.

We then gave a brief training on how to perform PAST. Initially, the technique was described to participants including delivery of posterior shoulder, facilitation of delivery of the posterior arm, and finally using the sling for rotation. It was then demonstrated to participants using a bony pelvis, a plastic baby, and a 14-French suction catheter.

A simulated shoulder dystocia was then initiated. The simulated patient (the Noelle’s model) was a 35 year-old, gravida 2 para 1, at 39 weeks of gestation who presented in active labor and was now pushing with her nurse. She had an epidural in place and her labor was not augmented. A provider was called for delivery. The infant’s head delivered easily but the shoulders were unable to be delivered with gentle downward traction. The providers went through all the usual maneuvers which were not successful. Participants then used posterior axilla sling traction for delivery of posterior shoulder, delivery of posterior arm, and to assist with rotation. If needed, assistance was given as participants attempted these maneuvers. All participants were able to perform the maneuvers by the conclusion of the simulation. A debrief was then performed and the teams were able to ask questions of each other and of the instructors.

Participants were then given a post simulation questionnaire. Again, their classification was noted. Comfort with PAST and rotation with the sling were assessed using the same scale as the preassessment questionnaire. We also asked participants if they would consider using or suggesting a provider use PAST in future shoulder dystocia situations when other methods fail. A Chi-squared test was used to evaluate comfort with performing the procedure pre and post-simulation.

This study was deemed nonhuman subjects research by the Naval Medical Center Portsmouth Institutional Review Board.

Results

Data were collected from 43 participants’ pre and post-simulation. We recorded their designation (attending, resident, midwife, registered nurse) and their responses to the questionnaires. Fifty-eight percent of participants were delivering providers and 42% of participants were labor and delivery nurses (Table 1).

Prior to simulation, 28% of all participants were familiar (answered disagree or strongly disagree on questionnaire) with PAST as an alternative maneuver for shoulder dystocia. Following the simulation, 91% of participants would consider using PAST in future shoulder dystocia emergencies (agree or strongly agree; Fig. 1). There was a statistically significant increase in the number of providers and nurses who would feel comfortable using PAST for shoulder dystocia with a p < 0.001 (Fig. 2). There was also a statistically significant increase in the participants who would feel comfortable using PAST for rotational maneuvers with a p < 0.001 (Fig. 3).
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**Table 1 Participants**

| Classification     | Number (Percentage) |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Staff provider     | 10 (23%)            |
| Resident           | 11 (26%)            |
| Midwife            | 4 (9%)              |
| Delivering providers| 25 (58%)           |
| Nursing            | 18 (42%)            |
After simulation training, 70% of participants answered all goals of PAST correctly including delivery of posterior shoulder, delivery of posterior arm, and rotation. The most frequent barriers identified for implementation of PAST as an adjunct for management of shoulder dystocia at our facility were familiarity (16% of responses), availability of equipment (16% of responses), or both (55% of participants).

Discussion

Shoulder dystocia is an obstetric emergency that requires preparation and training for proper management by delivering providers. Not only does the baby need to be delivered expeditiously, care must be taken to minimize risk of injury to the mother and the infant. Simulation and practicing protocols can promote the team based approach to shoulder dystocia. When usual maneuvers have failed (including hyperflexion of the maternal legs, suprapubic pressure, internal rotational maneuvers, delivery of the posterior arm, and all-fours positioning), delivering providers need additional tools at their disposal. During our simulation, we did not have providers perform PAST until all other maneuvers were exhausted. PAST offers another method for delivery while further preparations are being made for an operating room.

PAST does require that materials needed for the maneuver (a suction catheter and a clamp) be readily available. To remedy this in our institution, suction catheters were placed in the top drawer of all of our delivery carts. A standard clamp is available on a delivery tray that works well. The clamp is placed across the two ends of the catheter and is used to secure the catheter; it also provides a handle for application of traction.

Participants in our briefing and simulation had a significant increase in their comfort with both use of PAST and use of the sling for rotation. Materials required for this simulation were minimal and PAST was an easily taught and assimilated skill.

Although safety of PAST is not well studied, in the case series of 19 patients by Hofmeyr and Cluver, the injuries to the posterior arm were isolated to five humeral fractures. There were also brachial plexus injuries to the anterior shoulder but given that is not where manipulation occurred, it was likely not due to the PAST maneuver. Further research is needed on the safety of PAST, therefore we agree with the...
authors that it should not be attempted until usual maneuvers have failed. We involved our labor and delivery nurses in this exercise for multiple reasons, including promotion of a team-based approach to shoulder dystocia. When a new technique is introduced, it is helpful if members of the healthcare team are familiar with it, can help to locate materials needed if not readily available, and can suggest the use of the maneuver if the delivering provider did not consider it.

**Conclusion**

PAST is a useful adjunct to the usual maneuvers for shoulder dystocia as it can be used successfully when other maneuvers have failed. We do not recommend PAST as a first line maneuver and do not recommend using it until usual maneuvers have not relieved the shoulder dystocia. PAST was easily taught in our institution and the vast majority of participants would consider usage of PAST in future shoulder dystocia. By having materials readily available, we have removed one of the barriers to using PAST in a clinical situation. We advocate for more research on PAST. As more delivering providers become familiar with this technique, it will foreseeably be used more in the future and more data will be available on its safety and efficacy.
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