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Abstract

We review the language of differential forms and their applications to Riemannian Geometry with an orientation to General Relativity. Working with the principal algebraic and differential operations on forms, we obtain the structure equations and their symmetries in terms of a new product (the co-multiplication). It is shown how the Cartan–Grassmann algebra can be endowed with the structure of a Hopf algebra.


1 Introduction

This is the first part of two papers devoted to developing some new formalism in general relativity, which is founded on the theory of differential forms and on the Hodge $\ast$-operation.

In order to work effectively in Newtonian theory we need the language of vectors; this language condensed a set of three equations in one. But the most important of all is that the vector formalism helps to solve problems in an easier way, and furthermore this language reveals structure and offers insight. The same occurs in the relativity theory, where the tensor language is needed, (again the language helps to resume sets of equations and some structure in them).

One of the principal advantages of classical vector analysis follow from the fact that it enables one to express geometrical or physical relationships in a concise manner which does not depend on a coordinate system. However, for many purposes of pure and applied mathematics or any other branch of the science the concept of vector is too limited in scope, (in the case of some basic geometrical or physical applications it is necessary to introduce quantities which are more general than vectors), and in a significant extent, the tensor calculus provides the appropriate generalization. This also, has the advantage of a concise notation, and the formulation of its basic definitions is such as to allow an effortless work.

The calculus of differential forms (often called exterior calculus) represents a powerful tool of analysis whose use in mathematics and physics has become increasingly widespread. Like the tensor calculus its origins are to be found in differential geometry, largely as the result of the investigations of E. Cartan towards the beginning of this century.

The application of the differential forms in general relativity is now well known. Nevertheless, it seems to be interesting to show some new formalism in general relativity which is based on the application of the Hodge $\ast$-operation in theory of differential forms [1], [2]. We suppose that this formalism enables one to understand in a better way the meaning of the Cartan structure equations in general relativity.

2 The Formalism of Scalar Components

This text uses two kinds of suffixes: $a, b, \ldots = 1, \ldots, n$ refer to the $\text{repères}$ or forms, label them; and $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, \ldots, n$ which denote the coordinates or tensorial indices. The summational convention applies with respect to the indices of all kinds. The construction considered is a construction over a differential manifold: the real $n$-dimensional differential manifold is denoted by $M_n$; a local map of real coordinates $x^\alpha$ is denoted by $\{x^\alpha\}$. The coordinates derivatives is denoted by comma: $T_{,\alpha} = \partial_\alpha T = \partial T/\partial x^\alpha$. 
The base of 1-forms on $M_n$ is denoted by $e^a$ : in a local map $\{x^a\} : e^a = e^a_\mu dx^\mu \in \Lambda^1$, $e := det(e^a_\mu) \neq 0$. The vectors $e^a_\mu$ form the (local) co-base of all co-vectors. The space $\Lambda^1$ is a vector space with the elements being the functions of the point of $M_n$, which in $\{x^a\}$ have the local representation: $\Lambda^1 \ni \alpha = \alpha_\mu dx^\mu, \alpha_\mu(x)$ being the components of a co-vector with respect to $\{x^a\}$. The vectors from $\Lambda^1$ called 1-forms are considered as defined over whole $M_n$; clearly the scalars $\alpha_\mu dx^\mu$ do not depend on the choice of $\{x^a\}$.

Now, given in $\{x^a\}$ co-base $e^a_\mu$ defines the contra-base $e^\mu_a$ by one of the two equivalent relations

\[ e^a_\sigma e^\sigma_b = \delta^a_b, \quad e^a_s e^s_\beta = \delta^a_\beta. \tag{2.1} \]

Due to (2.1): $\Lambda^1 \ni \alpha = \alpha_\mu dx^\mu = \alpha_a e^a; \alpha_a = \alpha_\mu e^\mu_a$. This is the representation of an arbitrary vector from $\Lambda^1$ as spanned by the base of 1-forms. While the (local) co-base is induced by the (global) base of 1-forms, the contra-base is induced by the directional derivatives: $\partial_a = e^\mu_a \partial_\mu$. We will use the notation $\partial_a T = T_{a};$ of course $dT = dx^a \partial_a T = e^a \partial_a T$. With $e^a$ understood as defined on whole $M_n$, this relation provides the global definition of the operators $\partial_a$. It is clear that $e^a$ and $\partial_a$ are determined with the precision up to the transformations

\[ e^{\prime a} = T^{a\prime} b e^b, \quad \partial^{\prime a} = (T^{-1})_{a}^{b} \partial_{b}, \tag{2.2} \]

where $\|T^a_b\| \in GL(n, R)$, the parameters of $GL$ understood as regular functions on $M_n$.

Now, intending to define the Riemannian structure on $M_n$, we introduce the signature metric: it is a numeric, real symmetric non-singular $n \times n$ matrix: $g_{ab} = g_{(ab)}$, $det(g_{ab}) \neq 0$, $g_{abc} = 0$ with the inverse $g^{ab}$. There exists such a $GL$ matrix that

\[ \|g_{cd} T^{c} a T^{d} b\| = \|diag(1\ldots1, -1\ldots-1)\| := \|\eta_{ab}\|, \tag{2.3} \]

with +1 appearing $n_{(+)}$, -1 appearing $n_{(-)}$ times; of course, $n_{(+) + n_{(-)} = n}$. The pair of numbers $n_{(+)}, n_{(-)}$ determines the dimension and the signature of a Riemannian space. Although one can work assuming from the very beginning $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$, we choose to work with the diagonalizable $g_{ab}$ but not necessarily of the diagonal form. Now, the Riemannian space $V_n$ is the manifold $M_n$ equipped with the Riemannian metric. The Riemannian metric of signature $n_{(+)}, n_{(-)}$ is the symmetric non-singular tensor of valence 2, given in $\{x^a\}$ by

\[ g_{\mu\nu} := g_{ab} e^a_\mu e^b_\nu. \tag{4.4} \]

It induces the interval $ds^2 := g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = g_{ab} e^a e^b$. Thus, we accept the point of view that $g_{ab}$ and some base of 1-forms, $e^a$, induce the Riemannian metric. It is clear that with $ds^2$ fixed, the forms $e^a$ remain arbitrary only with respect to a subgroup of $GL$

\[ e^{a'} = L^{a'} \ e^b, \quad g_{ab} L^a_c L^b_d = g_{cd}. \tag{2.5} \]
This subgroup coincides with $O(n_+, n_-)$, the transformations (2.3) cannot affect metrical concepts; we will call them “the metrical gauge” or “the $O_n$ gauge”.

Although we assume the tensor calculus as known, we should like now to present a brief summary of basic tensorial formula: our purpose is to fix the notational conventions which can slightly differ in the texts by different authors [3] - [7].

The Christoffel symbols $\{^\alpha \beta \gamma \} := \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \rho} (g_{\beta \rho, \gamma} + g_{\gamma \rho, \beta} - g_{\beta \gamma, \rho})$ define the covariant differentiation “$\alpha$” - in its sense $g_{\alpha \beta \gamma} = 0$.

Remember then, that directly from the transformation laws the sum of two connections is not a connection or a tensor. However, the difference of two connections is a tensor of valence (1,2), because the inhomogeneous term cancels out in the transformation. For this reason the anti-symmetric part of a $\{^\alpha \beta \gamma \}$, namely,

$$T^{\alpha} _ {\beta \gamma} = \{^\alpha \beta \gamma \} - \{^\alpha \gamma \beta \}, \quad (2.6)$$

is a tensor called the torsion tensor. If the torsion tensor vanishes, then the connection is symmetric, i.e.

$$\{^\alpha \beta \gamma \} = \{^\alpha \gamma \beta \}. \quad (2.7)$$

From now on, we shall restrict ourselves to symmetric connections.

The curvature tensor:

$$R^{\alpha} _ {\beta \gamma \delta} = -\{^\alpha \beta \gamma \},_\delta + \{^\alpha \beta \delta \},_\gamma + \{^\alpha \gamma \delta \},_\beta - \{^\alpha \sigma \delta \},_\beta \{^\beta \sigma \gamma \} - \{^\alpha \sigma \gamma \},_\beta \{^\beta \sigma \delta \}; \quad (2.8)$$

has the basic independent symmetries $R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} = R_{(\alpha \beta) \gamma \delta} = R_{\alpha \beta (\gamma \delta)} = 0$, (where for all the expressions from now on, the indices between brackets indicate the alternating sum over all permutations of the indices), so that it has \((n)_2 - n(3) = \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1)}{2}\) of independent components. The basic symmetries imply the secondary symmetry $R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} = R_{\gamma \delta \alpha \beta}$. The curvature tensor defines the secondary Ricci tensor $R_{\alpha \beta} = R^\rho _ {\alpha \rho \beta}$ and the scalar curvature $R = R^\rho _ {\rho}$, the Einstein tensor is defined by $G^\alpha _ {\beta} = R^\alpha _ {\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\alpha _ {\beta} R$, the Ricci tensor with the extracted trace will be denoted $\overline{R}^\alpha _ {\beta} = R^\alpha _ {\beta} - \frac{1}{n} \delta^\alpha _ {\beta} R$.

The conformal curvature or the Weyl’s tensor $C^{\alpha} _ {\beta \gamma \delta}$ is defined by

$$R^{\alpha} _ {\beta \gamma \delta} = C^{\alpha} _ {\beta \gamma \delta} - \frac{1}{n - 2} \delta_{\gamma \delta} \delta_{\beta \rho} R^\rho _ {\rho} + \frac{1}{n(n - 1)} \delta_{\gamma \delta} R. \quad (2.9)$$

The Weyl’s tensor has all symmetries of the curvature tensor, besides all its traces vanish; it can be no trivial for $n \geq 4$. The covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor fulfill the Bianchi identities

$$R^\alpha _ {\beta \gamma \delta \epsilon} = 0 \rightarrow G^\alpha _ {\beta ; \alpha} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$
The relations (2.10) are called the special Bianchi identities. Notice that (2.9) combined with (2.10) gives:

\[ C_{\gamma \delta \epsilon}^{\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{3} n - 2 \delta_{\gamma \delta \epsilon}^{\alpha \beta} \left[ R^{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \delta_{\rho}^{\sigma} R \right] \nu = 0. \]  

(2.11)

[For \( n > 3 \), (2.11) \( \rightarrow (2.10) \)]. The generalized Kronecker’s symbols which appear in (2.9) or (2.11) can be defined by

\[ \delta^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_p} = \frac{1}{(n-p)!} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_p} \epsilon_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_p \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_p}, \]  

(2.12)

where \( \epsilon \)'s are Levi-Civita’s densities, or the familiar determinant expression. The parallel identity

\[ \delta^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_p} = \frac{1}{(n-p)!} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p s_1 \ldots s_p} \epsilon_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_p s_1 \ldots s_p}, \]  

(2.13)

will be useful in our further considerations.

Now, if \( T^{\alpha \ldots \beta}_{\ldots \gamma} \) are components of a tensor density of the weight \( \omega \) given in \( \{ x^\alpha \} \), then we can define its scalar components by the formula:

\[ T^{a \ldots b \ldots}_{c} := e^\omega e^a_{\alpha} e^b_{\beta} \ldots T^{\alpha \ldots \beta}_{\ldots \gamma} e^c_{\gamma}. \]  

(2.14)

The density of the weight \( \omega = 1 \), \( \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} \) (this statement fixes our conventions in defining weights) has the scalar components \( \epsilon_{a_1 \ldots a_n} \). The scalar components given as functions of the point of \( M_n \) plus the known base of 1-forms give the global definition of a field of the tensorial density over \( M_n \), independent of the choice of the local maps.

Now, one easily finds that

\[ T^{a \ldots b \ldots}_{c} := e^\omega e^a_{\alpha} e^b_{\beta} \ldots T^{\alpha \ldots \beta}_{\ldots \gamma} e^c_{\gamma} = T^{a \ldots b \ldots c} + \Gamma^a_{bc} T^b \ldots + \Gamma^b_{ac} T^a \ldots + \ldots \]  

(2.15)

where

\[ \Gamma^a_{bc} := - e^a_{\mu \nu} e^\mu_{\beta} e^\nu_{\gamma} c, \]  

(2.16)

are the Ricci rotation coefficients. These can be understood as defined by Ricci forms

\[ \Gamma^a_{bc} := \Gamma^a_{bc} e^\mu = - e^a_{\mu \nu} e^\mu_{\beta} e^\nu_{\gamma} c, \]  

(2.17)

interpreted as the objects given on whole \( M_n \). Notice that in the result of the \( O_n \) gauge these forms transform according to

\[ \Gamma^a_{b'} = L^{a'}_{a} (L^{-1})^b_{b'} \Gamma^a_{b} - (L^{-1})^a_{s'} dL^a_{s'}. \]  

(2.18)
Denoting $\Gamma_{abc} = g_{as} \Gamma^s_{bc}$, one infers from $g_{abc} = 0$ that

$$\Gamma_{abc} = \Gamma_{[ab]c},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)$$

and therefore

$$\Gamma_{abc} = \Gamma_{a[bc]} + \Gamma_{b[ac]} - \Gamma_{c[ab]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)$$

Moreover, $\Gamma^a_{bc}$ can be algebraically constructed from

$$\Gamma^a_{[bc]} = -e^a_{[\mu,\nu]} e^\mu_b e^\nu_c,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)$$

the objects constructed from the “rotations” $e^a_{[\mu,\nu]}$, the objects which do not involve the use of the covariant derivatives. Notice that these objects determine the commutator of the directional derivatives

$$T_{\cdots ;[cd]} = T_{\cdots ;\Gamma^a_{[cd]}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.22)$$

The objects $\Gamma^a_{[bc]}$ can be also interpreted in terms of the concept of the Lie bracket. In the tensor calculus one introduces the Lie bracket of a pair of vectors given in $\{x^\alpha\}$ by the components $\alpha^\mu, \beta^\mu$ as the vector

$$[\alpha, \beta]^\mu = \alpha^\sigma \beta^\mu,_{\sigma} - \beta^\sigma \alpha^\mu,_{\sigma} = \alpha^\sigma \beta^\mu,_{\sigma} - \beta^\sigma \alpha^\mu,_{\sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23)$$

Consider now the 1-forms

$$[\alpha, \beta] := [\alpha, \beta]_\mu dx^\mu = (\alpha_\sigma \beta_\mu;^\sigma - \beta_\sigma \alpha_\mu;^\sigma) dx^\mu \in \Lambda^1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.24)$$

Then the operation $[\alpha, \beta]$ can be considered as the map of an ordered pair $\alpha = \alpha_\mu dx^\mu, \beta = \beta_\mu dx^\mu \in \Lambda^1$ into the same space $\Lambda^1$ i.e. $[\cdot, \cdot] : \Lambda^1 \times \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^1$. The map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is of course bi-linear and has the basic properties of the Lie - composition

$$[\alpha, \beta] + [\beta, \alpha] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.25)$$

$$[\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]] + [\beta, [\gamma, \alpha]] + [\gamma, [\alpha, \beta]] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.26)$$

Now, one easily sees that with $\alpha = \alpha_a e^a, \beta = \beta_a e^a$ we have

$$[\alpha, \beta] = (\alpha^a \beta_a;^s - \beta^a \alpha_a;^s + 2\Gamma^r_{as} \alpha_r \beta_s)e^a,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.27)$$

where the indices are manipulated by the signature metric. In particular:

$$[e^a, e^b] = 2e^s \Gamma^a_{sb}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.28)$$
Of course, this formula can be interpreted as a global statement. The formalism which works with scalar components and Ricci rotation coefficients is of course alternative to the standard tensorial calculus which works with the local components and the Christoffel symbols. Working with the scalar components one encounters a slightly more complicated situation: the directional derivatives do not commute while the coordinates derivatives commute. On the other hand, the Ricci rotation coefficients equipped with the symmetry $\Gamma_{abc} = \Gamma_{[ab]c}$ are more convenient - even in the local considerations - than the Christoffel symbols with the symmetry $\{^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\} = \{^\alpha_{\gamma\beta}\}$. While the second has in general $n(n+1)/2$ of independent components, the first posses in general only $n(n^2)/2$ of independent components. Furthermore, a formalism independent explicitly on the choice of the local coordinates (equipped in the remaining freedom of choice of the metrical gauge) is very useful when one is interested in the global aspects of the metrical geometry.

For these reasons, in these days, it is very common to execute even the practical computation in general relativity working with scalar components and the Ricci rotation coefficients. The base of 1-forms one selects - within the freedom of the $O_n$ gauge - so this base becomes correlated with the vector fields with the geometric and physical interpretation present in the theory. The practical computations show that this technique is convenient indeed, that using it one can arrive to the final result more quickly than when operating with the standard tensorial techniques [3], [4], [5], [6], [7].

### 3 Differential forms

#### 3.1 Forms

In the first section we encountered ready the space of 1-forms $\Lambda^1$, as introduced on the intuitive level. Now, there exist various abstract and elegant definitions of the general $p$-forms and the corresponding Cartan-Grassmann algebra at a point $P \in M_n$. For our purposes, however, it is sufficient to outline the basic ideas of the corresponding constructions from the heuristic point of view only. The reader interested in the rigorous mathematical formulation could find it in the literature [11], [12], [13], [14], [15].

The Cartan algebra $\Lambda$ is the set of the formal sums with the local representation in $\{x^\alpha\}$

$$\Lambda \ni \alpha = \sum_{p=0}^n \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p}(x) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p} = \alpha_{[\mu_1...\mu_p]}$ are $\{x^\alpha\}$ components of a sequence of the totally skew tensors of
all possible valences. The sequence of the abstract elements is denoted

\[ 1, \ dx^{\alpha_1}, \ dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge dx^{\alpha_2}, \ ... , \ dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_n}, \]  

(3.2)

and represents the base of \( \Lambda \) interpreted as a linear vector space. Thus, the operations \( \alpha + \beta, \ c\alpha, \ c \in \mathbb{R} \) have the natural definitions as the operations on the coefficients of the base. Consistently with \( \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p} = \alpha_{[\mu_1...\mu_p]} \) it is natural to introduce an identification among the elements of the base (3.2), namely, to assume that:

\[ dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} = dx^{[\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p}]} \]

This means in particular that \( dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_2} = -dx^{\alpha_2} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_1} \) for an odd number of permutations. The last remark suggests how to make \( \Lambda \) an algebra by defining in it a map \( \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \Lambda \). This map we will denote \( \wedge \) and we will call it the external multiplication.

First of all, the \( \wedge \) has to be bi-linear, i.e., \((\alpha + \beta) \wedge \gamma = \alpha \wedge \gamma + \beta \wedge \gamma \) and \( \alpha \wedge (\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \gamma \), for every \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Lambda \). Therefore, to determine the map \( \wedge \), it is enough to define how \( \wedge \) works as applied with respect to the elements of the base (3.2). This we fix by assuming the three consistent properties:

1) \( c \wedge dx^\alpha = dx^\alpha \wedge c = cdx^\alpha \in \Lambda^1, c \in \mathbb{R} \); in particular \( 1 \wedge dx^\alpha = dx^\alpha \wedge 1 = dx^\alpha \); this will assure that \( \Lambda \) is an algebra with unit.

2) \((dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p}) \wedge (dx^{\beta_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\beta_q}) = dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} \wedge dx^{\beta_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\beta_q} \). This can be interpreted as the associativity of the \( \wedge \) composition of differentials.

3) \((dx^{\alpha_1}) \wedge (dx^{\alpha_2}) = -(dx^{\alpha_2}) \wedge (dx^{\alpha_1}) \); this skewness property together with 2) implies \( dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} = dx^{[\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p}]} \) what must hold for consistence anyway.

Notice that due 3) \( p + q > n \to (dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\alpha_p}) \wedge (dx^{\beta_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\beta_q}) = 0 \) [zero in the sense of \( \Lambda \) interpreted as a vectorial space].

The algebra \( \Lambda \) equipped in the operations +, \( \wedge \), from the local point of view represents the set of sequences of the skew tensors of all possible valences, equipped in the corresponding algebraic operations on these sequences; \( \alpha + \beta \) corresponds to the addition of the elements of the same order, \( \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p} + \beta_{\mu_1...\mu_p} \) while \( \alpha \wedge \beta \) is characterized by the sequence:

\[ \sum_{q=0}^{p} \alpha_{[\mu_1...\mu_q, \beta_{\mu_{q+1}...\mu_p}]}. \]

Now, the important point consists in the fact that \( \alpha \in \Lambda \) can be interpreted as a sequence of the skew tensors defined invariantly over whole \( M_n \), independently of any local maps.

Indeed, using the base of 1- forms introduced in the previous section and the actual assumptions concerning the external multiplication \( \wedge \), one easily sees that the typical element
of Λ, \((3.1)\) can be represented as

\[
\Lambda \ni \alpha = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha_{a_1...a_p} e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p},
\]

(3.3)

where \(\alpha_{a_1...a_p} = \alpha_{[a_1...a_p]}\) are the scalar components of the tensors from \((3.1)\) and \(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}\) is the external product of the 1-forms which constitute the base; it is obvious that so interpreted \(\alpha\) dependent on the point of \(M_n\) is defined over whole \(M_n\). Of course, \(\alpha\) from \((3.1)\) [or \((3.3)\)] can be regarded as \(\alpha = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha_p\) where \(\alpha_p\) is that part of \(\alpha\) which is spanned on the external product of \(p\) differentials \([p\) basic 1-forms]. These homogeneous elements as such form a linear vector space denoted \(\Lambda^p\) and are called \(p\)-forms. When dealing with \(\alpha_p\) belonging to a definite \(\Lambda^p\) we will omit the suffix \(p\), \(\alpha = \alpha_p\) consistently with \((3.1)\). If \(\alpha \in \Lambda^p\) we will say that its degree is \(p\), \(\text{deg}(\alpha) = p\), while its co-degree \(p' = n - p\), \(\text{codeg}(\alpha) = p'\). Of course \(\text{deg}(\alpha) + \text{codeg}(\alpha) = n\). A general \(\alpha \in \Lambda\) has the degree (co-degree) indeterminated. It is clear that \(\Lambda = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^p\).

A general \(p\)-form has the standard representation:

\[
\Lambda^p \ni \alpha = \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p} = \alpha_{a_1...a_p} e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}.
\]

(3.4)

Of course, \(n \geq p \geq 0\), \(\alpha \in \Lambda^0\) are interpreted as functions on \(M_n\). Sometimes it is convenient to work with \(p\)-forms in a slightly different normalization \([11], [13]\): we will denote

\[
\Lambda^p \ni \tilde{\alpha} := \frac{1}{p!} \alpha = \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{a_1...a_p} e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}.
\]

(3.5)

The external multiplication can be regarded as the bi-linear map:

\[
\wedge : \Lambda^p \times \Lambda^q \to \Lambda^{p+q},
\]

(3.6)

equipped in the properties

\[
1 \wedge \alpha = \alpha \wedge 1 = \alpha, \text{ for every } p, \text{deg}(\alpha) = p,
\]

(3.7)

\[
deg(\alpha) = p, \text{deg}(\beta) = q \to \alpha \wedge \beta = (-1)^{pq} \beta \wedge \alpha,
\]

(3.8)

(also : \(\text{deg} \alpha + \text{deg} \beta > n \to \alpha \wedge \beta = 0\)) and

\[
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma = \alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma),
\]

(3.9)
for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ of definite degrees. That means, that the next diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda \\
\downarrow \wedge \times \id \\
\Lambda \times \Lambda \\
\downarrow \wedge \\
\Lambda
\end{array}
\]
is commutative.

This map extended on the direct sum of all $\Lambda^{p'}$s becomes the external multiplication of $\Lambda$.

### 3.2 Star operation

Now, we will define the star operation $\ast$ (called sometimes the Hodge's operation \cite{7}, \cite{11}, \cite{12}). Its existence is possible only in the theory of oriented $M_n$ equipped with the Riemannian metric. The star operation is a map of $\Lambda$ onto $\Lambda$ which results from the “partial maps”,

\[
\ast : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{p'} \quad (p' = n - p),
\]

and is defined for $\alpha \in \Lambda^p$ with the representation (3.4) by the formula

\[
\ast \alpha = \frac{|\det(g_{\alpha \beta})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-p)!} \epsilon^{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{n-p}} \alpha_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p} \, dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\mu_{n-p}},
\]

\[
= \frac{|\det(g_{ab})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-p)!} \epsilon^{a_1 \cdots a_p b_1 \cdots b_{n-p}} \alpha_{a_1 \cdots a_p} \, e^{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{b_{n-p}}.
\]

In the first line the indices of the Levi-Civita’s symbol are manipulated by the Riemannian metric; in the second by the signature metric; both lines are equal provided:

\[
|\det(g_{\alpha \beta})|^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\det(g_{ab})|^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon,
\]

(Remember that $\epsilon := \det(e^a_i)$).

This will be our standing convention concerning the choice of the branch for the root of the determinant of the Riemannian metric.

The integration of a differential form in a manifold $M$ is valid when $M$ is orientable; we define an orientation of a manifold like follows: Let $M$ be a connected $n$-dimensional
differentiable manifold. At a point \( x \in M \), the tangent space \( T_xM \) is spanned by the basis \( \{ e_\mu \} = \{ \partial / \partial x_\mu \} \), where \( x_\mu \) are the local coordinates on the chart \( U_i \) to which \( x \) belongs. Let \( U_j \) be another chart such that \( U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \) with the local coordinates \( y^\alpha \). If \( x \in U_i \cap U_j \), \( T_xM \) is spanned by either \( \{ e_\mu \} \) or \( \{ e'_\alpha \} = \{ \partial / \partial y^\alpha \} \). The basis changes as:

\[
e'_\alpha = (\partial x^\mu / \partial y^\alpha) e_\mu. \tag{3.14}
\]

If \( J = \text{det}(\partial x^\mu / \partial y^\alpha) > 0 \) on \( U_i \cap U_j \), \( \{ e_\mu \} \) and \( \{ e'_\alpha \} \) are said to define the same orientation on \( U_i \cap U_j \) and if \( J < 0 \), the opposite orientation.

Thus for a connected manifold \( M \) covered by \( \{ U_i \} \), it is orientable if, for any overlapping charts \( U_i \) and \( U_j \), there exist local coordinates \( \{ x_\mu \} \) for \( U_i \) and \( \{ y^\alpha \} \) for \( U_j \) such that \( J = \text{det}(\partial x^\mu / \partial y^\alpha) > 0 \) \([12], [14], [17]\).

The second line of (3.12) emphasises the invariant nature of \( \ast \) operation, that is, its independence of local maps. The definition (3.12) and (2.13) imply that \( \text{deg} \alpha = p \), \( \text{deg} \beta = p + q \), \( n \geq p + q \geq 0 \), then

\[
\ast (\alpha \wedge \ast \beta) = (-1)^{pq' + n(-)} \frac{(p + q)!}{q!} \beta_{a_1 \ldots a_q} \alpha^{b_1 \ldots b_p} e^{a_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e^{a_q}}, \tag{3.15}
\]

\([(-1)^{n(-)} \text{ corresponds to the factor } \text{sign } \text{det}(g_{ab})]\). This useful formula specialized for \( \alpha \to 1 \), \( p \to 0 \), \( \beta \to \alpha \), \( q \to p \) gives

\[
\text{deg}(\alpha) = p \quad \rightarrow \quad \ast \ast \alpha = (-1)^{pp' + n(-)} \alpha. \tag{3.16}
\]

It follows that the operation \( \ast \) defined by:

\[
\text{deg}(\alpha) = p \quad \rightarrow \quad \ast \alpha = \exp\left(\frac{\i \pi}{2} \left[p p' + n(-)\right]\right) \cdot \ast \alpha \tag{3.17}
\]

has the property:

\[
\text{deg}(\alpha) = p \quad \rightarrow \quad \ast \ast \alpha = \alpha. \tag{3.18}
\]

Therefore, \( \ast \) can be interpreted as an involutional automorphism of \( \Lambda \). When one works with forms having the coefficients in \( \mathbb{C} \) and the imaginary factors do not matter, it is advantageous to work with \( \ast \) instead of \( \ast \). In the case of real forms, however, avoiding imaginary factors, it is customary to work rather with \( \ast \) than with \( \ast \), so we will do in this text. One can add that due to \( (-1)^{pp' + n(-)} = (-1)^{p(n-p) + n(-)} = (-1)^{(n+1)p + n(-)} \)[this is so because \( p(p + 1) = \text{even number} \)], for \( n \) odd the factor in (3.16) is independent on \( p \); thus the distinction between \( \ast \)
and $\ast$ becomes essential only when $n = \text{even}$. The definition (3.12) specialized for $\alpha = 1 \in \Lambda^0$ gives

$$
\ast 1 = |\det(g_{\alpha\beta})|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^n = |\det(g_{\alpha\beta})|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^1 \wedge ... \wedge e^n;
$$

consequently, $\ast 1$ can be interpreted as the invariant element of volume on $V_n$. Of course, $\ast$ is a linear operation, $\ast(\alpha + \beta) = \ast \alpha + \ast \beta$. Moreover, if $\text{deg} \alpha = 0$, $\ast(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \alpha \wedge \ast \beta$. Thus $\ast \alpha$ when $\alpha \in \Lambda^0$ can be interpreted as $\alpha \cdot \ast 1$. Now, (3.15) specialized for $q = 0$ gives

$$
\text{deg} \alpha = \text{deg} \beta = p \rightarrow (\alpha \wedge \ast \beta) = (\beta \wedge \ast \alpha) = (-1)^{n(\beta - \alpha)} p! \alpha_{a_1 ... a_p} \beta^{a_1 ... a_p}.
$$

Applying here (3.16)

$$
\text{deg} \alpha = \text{deg} \beta = p \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \ast \beta = \beta \wedge \ast \alpha = p! \alpha_{a_1 ... a_p} \beta^{a_1 ... a_p} \ast 1.
$$

The same rewritten in terms of forms normalized as in (3.3) amounts to:

$$
\text{deg} \tilde{\alpha} = \text{deg} \tilde{\beta} = p \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \ast \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta} \wedge \ast \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{a_1 ... a_p} \beta^{a_1 ... a_p} \ast 1.
$$

Thus, if $n(-) = 0$ and the metric is positive definite, $\alpha \wedge \ast \alpha$ is proportional to $\ast 1$ with the non-negative proportionality coefficient which vanishes only when $\alpha = 0$; this remark will be important later.

If $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p$ are simple i.e., when

$$
\alpha = \alpha_{a_1 \ldots a_p} = \beta_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p} \text{ where } \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_{\mu} dx^{\mu},
$$

then denoting $(i, j)$ the scalar product $g^{\mu\nu} \alpha_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}$ we easily find that

$$
\alpha \wedge \ast \beta = \beta \wedge \ast \alpha = \det(i, j) \ast 1 \text{ with } i, j = 1, ..., p.
$$

Sometimes (3.24) with $\ast 1$ denoting the invariant element of volume serves as the starting point when one defines the star operation: the Gramm’s determinant $\det(i, j)$ (or the Grammian) can be considered as the natural generalization of the scalar product of vectors on the level of the simple $p$-forms which are sometimes called multivectors ($p$-vectors).

### 3.3 The co-multiplication

In the case of the metrical geometry where the algebra $\Lambda$ besides the basic operations $+$ and $\wedge$ is equipped with the map $\ast$, it is convenient to define some secondary algebraic operations on $\Lambda$ which are useful in elucidating the dual symmetries in the calculus of forms [1], [2].
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We define the co−multiplication (external co-multiplication) denoted by $\wedge^*$ as the map

$$\wedge^*: \Lambda^{n-p} \times \Lambda^{n-q} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p-q},$$

(3.25)

defined by the condition: for every $\alpha, \beta$ of fixes degrees

$$* (\alpha \wedge \beta) := *\beta \wedge^* \alpha,$$

(3.26)
equivalent to

$$* (\alpha \wedge^* \beta) = (-1)^{n(-)*\beta \wedge^* \alpha}. \tag{3.27}$$

The composition $\alpha \wedge^* \beta$ defined by (3.26) is bilinear; is also associative as the implication of the associativity of the $\wedge$ composition. It is obvious that $\wedge^*$ can be also considered as the bilinear map $\wedge^*: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda; \alpha \wedge^* \beta$ is well defined for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. The explicit construction of $\alpha \wedge^* \beta$ for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of fixed degrees amounts to

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^{n-p}, \beta \in \Lambda^{n-q} \rightarrow \alpha \wedge^* \beta = (-1)^{(p+q)(n-p-q)} * (\beta \wedge^* \alpha) \in \Lambda^{n-p-q}.$$ \hspace{2cm} (3.28)

One easily sees that the co-multiplication $\wedge^*$ fairly well imitates the properties of $\wedge$ multiplication. While (3.6) means that $\deg (\alpha \wedge \beta) = \deg \alpha + \deg \beta$, (3.25) means that $\text{codeg} (\alpha \wedge^* \beta) = \text{codeg} \alpha + \text{codeg} \beta$; (3.7) is parallel to:

$$*1 \wedge^* \alpha = \alpha \wedge^* *1 = \alpha,$$

(3.29)
valid for every $\alpha \in \Lambda; \text{thus, } *1$ is the unity of the $\wedge^*$ composition. Also parallely to (3.8) which says that when $\alpha, \beta$ have the fixed degrees, $\alpha \wedge \beta = (-1)^{\deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta} \beta \wedge \alpha$, we have:

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^{n-p}, \beta \in \Lambda^{n-q} \rightarrow \alpha \wedge^* \beta = (-1)^{pq} \beta \wedge^* \alpha,$$

(3.30)
or $\alpha \wedge^* \beta = (-1)^{\text{codeg} \alpha \cdot \text{codeg} \beta} \beta \wedge^* \alpha$.

[In base of this we can define other operation, $\wedge^*$ like follows

$$\wedge^* = (-1)^{\text{codeg} \alpha \cdot \text{codeg} \beta} \wedge^* \alpha, \tag{3.31}$$

1Notation of this section, in particular the concept of $\wedge^*$ composition, deviates from the standard exposition of the calculus of forms. The material presented here can be equivalently formulated in terms of alternative notations, e.g., in terms of the sometime used $\lfloor$ (step product) composition of forms. In authors opinion, however, the notation of this section is more advantageous, hence its use.
thus we can easily obtain the relation
\[ \beta \wedge^* \alpha = \alpha \wedge^* \beta, \] (3.32)
with the properties
\[ \ast \alpha \wedge^* \ast \beta = \ast \beta \wedge^* \ast \alpha = \ast (\alpha \wedge \beta). \] (3.33)

Finally parallel to (3.9) we have:
\[ (\alpha \wedge^* \beta) \wedge^* \gamma = \alpha \wedge^* (\beta \wedge^* \gamma), \] (3.34)
or in other words the following diagram
\[ \Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda \xrightarrow{id \times \wedge^*} \Lambda \times \Lambda \]
\[ \Lambda \times \Lambda \xrightarrow{\wedge^*} \Lambda \]
is commutative for every \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) of definite degrees.

These properties show that the “co-multiplication” seems to deserve its name. The basic difference of the \( \wedge \) and \( \wedge^* \) compositions is that for \( \alpha, \beta \) of definite degrees, \( \text{deg}(\alpha \wedge \beta) \geq \text{deg}(\alpha \wedge^* \beta) \geq \text{deg}(\beta \wedge^* \alpha) \geq \text{deg}(\beta \wedge \alpha) \) greater than the degrees of factors (equal for at least one of the factors in \( \Lambda^0 \)) while \( \text{deg}(\alpha \wedge^* \beta) \leq \text{deg}(\alpha \wedge^* \beta) \leq \text{deg}(\beta \wedge^* \alpha) \leq \text{deg}(\beta \wedge \alpha) \) less than the degrees of factors (equal for at least one factor in \( \Lambda^n \)). Notice that \( \alpha \wedge^* \beta \) can be non-trivial only for \( n \geq \text{codeg} \alpha + \text{codeg} \beta \geq 0 \iff 2n \geq \text{deg} \alpha + \text{deg} \beta \geq n \). Parallelly to \( \text{deg} \alpha + \text{deg} \beta > n \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta = 0 \), we have \( \text{codeg} \alpha + \text{codeg} \beta > n \rightarrow \alpha \wedge^* \beta = 0 \). Now, one easily finds that using the concept of \( \wedge^* \) we can rewrite (3.15) in the form:
\[ \text{deg} \alpha = p, \text{deg} \beta = p + q \rightarrow \ast \alpha \wedge^* \beta = \frac{(p + q)!}{q!} \alpha_{b_1..b_p} \beta_{b_1..b_p a_1..a_p} e^{a_1} \wedge .. \wedge e^{a_q}, \] (3.36)
what contains as the special case:
\[ \text{deg} \alpha = \text{deg} \beta = p \rightarrow \ast \alpha \wedge^* \beta = \ast \beta \wedge^* \alpha = p! \alpha_{a_1..a_p} \beta^{a_1..a_p} \in \Lambda^0. \] (3.37)
Notice that (3.36) is equivalent to:
\[ \alpha \in \Lambda^{n-p}, \beta \in \Lambda^{n-q} \rightarrow \alpha \wedge^* \beta \]
\[ = \det(g_{ab}) \left| -\frac{1}{2} \beta_{a_1..a_p b_1..b_{n-p-q}} e^{a_1..a_p c_1..c_{n-p} a c_{1..c_{n-p}}} (n-q)^{b_1} \wedge .. \wedge e^{b_{n-p-q}}. \right. \]
This can be interpreted as the explicit form (3.28) in terms of the scalar components of the tensors defining $\alpha$ and $\beta$. If (3.38) would be considered as the definition of $\alpha \star \wedge \beta$, the associativity of the $\star \wedge$ composition becomes non-trivial property. Notice that (3.38) specialized for $\alpha \in \Lambda^{n-p}, \beta \in \Lambda^{n-(n-p)} = \Lambda^p$ is

$$\alpha \star \wedge \beta = \frac{1}{2} \det(g_{ab}) \beta_{a_1...a_p}e^{a_1...a_pb_1...b_{n-p}}\alpha_{b_1...b_{n-p}} \in \Lambda^0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.39)

is an equivalent form of (3.37).

Now, (3.15) implies: \( (e_a := g_{ab} e^b) \)

$$ (e_{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{a_p}) \wedge (e_{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{b_{p+q}}) = \frac{1}{q!} \delta^{b_1...b_{p+q}}_{a_1...a_p} e^{c_1...c_q} \alpha_{a_1...a_p} \wedge \beta_{c_1...c_q}, \hspace{1cm} (3.40) $$

while (3.36) gives a dual form of this identity

$$ \star (e_{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{a_p}) \wedge (e_{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{b_{p+q}}) = \frac{1}{q!} \delta^{b_1...b_{p+q}}_{a_1...a_p} e^{c_1...c_q} \alpha_{a_1...a_p} \wedge \beta_{c_1...c_q}. \hspace{1cm} (3.41) $$

In particular, (3.40), (3.41) imply

$$ e^a \wedge \star e^b = g^{ab} \cdot *1, \hspace{1cm} * e^a \wedge e_b = g^{ab}, \hspace{1cm} (3.42) $$

what can be interpreted as

$$ dx^a \wedge \star dx^b = g^{ab} \cdot *1, \hspace{1cm} * dx^a \wedge dx^b = g^{ab}. \hspace{1cm} (3.43) $$

We defined $\star$ treating the Riemannian metric as given; the relations (3.42), (3.43) suggest a possibility of an inverse construction: assuming in $\Lambda$ the existence of the formal map $\star$ equipped in the adequate abstract properties, one can considers the Riemannian metric as determined by $g^{\alpha\beta} = \star dx^\alpha \wedge \star dx^\beta$.

The formula (3.41) has some important technical application: if $\Lambda^{p+q} \ni \alpha = e_{a_1...a_{p+q}}^1 \wedge ... \wedge e_{a_{p+q}}^1$, then according to (3.41) we have

$$ \star (e_{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{a_p}) \wedge \alpha = (p+q)! \alpha_{a_1...a_pb_1...b_q} e^{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{b_q}. \hspace{1cm} (3.44) $$

Therefore, the operation $\star (e_{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{a_p}) \wedge ...$ determines a process of peeling of the forms $e^a$ from the arbitrary form $\alpha$. This process will be very useful later, when we will discuss the

\[2\] A further extension of this remark stays beyond the scope of this text.
structure equations. The composition $\wedge$ is in general not associative with respect to the $\wedge$ composition: in general $(\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma \neq \alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)$ [the degrees of both sides are the same]. One easily shows, however that (3.36) implies

$$deg\gamma = 1 \rightarrow \gamma \wedge (\alpha \wedge \beta) = (\gamma \wedge \alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^{deg\alpha} \alpha \wedge (\gamma \wedge \beta).$$  

(3.45)

By application of $\ast$ on (3.45) an appropriate changes of symbols one easily obtains

$$deg\gamma = 1 \rightarrow \gamma \wedge (\alpha \wedge \beta) = (\gamma \wedge \alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^{codeg\alpha} \alpha \wedge (\gamma \wedge \beta).$$  

(3.46)

### 3.4 Algebraic operations on forms

The formulas (3.45), (3.46) have the structure of the Leibnitz rules, they suggest that $\ast \gamma \wedge \ldots$ acts on $\alpha \wedge \beta$ like a sort of the algebraic differentiation, while $\gamma \wedge \ldots$ acts on $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ in a similar manner; the same can be stated precisely as follows:

Let $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Lambda^1$ and let $m[\gamma], \mu[\gamma']$ denote two maps:

$$m[\gamma] : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1}, \ m[\gamma] \alpha = \gamma \wedge \alpha, \ deg\alpha = p, \quad (3.47)$$

$$\mu[\gamma'] : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}, \ \mu[\gamma'] \alpha = \gamma' \wedge \alpha, \ deg\alpha = p. \quad (3.48)$$

Then, with arbitrary $\gamma, \gamma'$ we have

$$m(\alpha \wedge \beta) = m\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{deg\alpha} \alpha \wedge m\beta, \quad (3.49)$$

$$m(\alpha \wedge \beta) = m\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{codeg\alpha} \alpha \wedge m\beta, \quad (3.50)$$

and

$$\mu(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \mu\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{deg\alpha} \alpha \wedge \mu\beta, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\mu(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \mu\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{codeg\alpha} \alpha \wedge \mu\beta. \quad (3.52)$$

The maps $m$ and $\mu$ fulfill the anti-commutation rules

$$m[\gamma]m[\gamma'] + m[\gamma']m[\gamma] = 0, \quad (3.53)$$

$$\mu[\gamma]\mu[\gamma'] + \mu[\gamma']\mu[\gamma] = 0, \quad (3.54)$$

$$m[\gamma]\mu[\gamma'] + \mu[\gamma']m[\gamma] = (\ast \gamma \wedge \gamma')id. \quad (3.55)$$
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Of course, \( *\gamma \wedge \gamma' = \gamma_a \gamma^a \); \( id \) denotes the identity map. [rules (3.53), (3.54) follow from the skewness of \( \gamma \wedge \gamma' \) and \( *\gamma \wedge *\gamma' \); (3.55) one proves using (3.50), (3.51).] The rules (3.53), (3.54), (3.55) are useful in the demonstration of the isomorphism of the algebra \( \Lambda \) and the \( 2^n \) Clifford algebra. In particular, (3.53), (3.54) with \( \gamma = \gamma' \) imply that the both discussed maps are nilpotent

\[
m(\alpha) = 0, \quad \mu(\alpha) = 0.
\] (3.56)

We will say that a form \( \alpha \) is \( \gamma - \text{closed} \) if \( m[\gamma] \alpha = 0 \) and \( *\gamma - \text{closed} \) when \( \mu[\gamma] \alpha = 0 \) (of course, \( \gamma \in \Lambda^1 \)). An useful lemma easily follows:

\[
m[\gamma] \alpha = 0 \iff \text{there exist such a form } \beta \text{ that } \alpha = m[\gamma] \beta, \quad (3.57) \]

\[
\mu[\gamma] \alpha = 0 \iff \text{there exist such a form } \beta \text{ that } \alpha = \mu[\gamma] \beta. \quad (3.58)
\]

Due to (3.56) the implications \( \iff \) are obvious; the proof of the inverse implication is contained in (3.53): if \( m[\gamma] \alpha = 0 \), one can so select \( \gamma' \) that \( \gamma_a \gamma'^a \neq 0 \); therefore (3.53) gives \( \alpha = m[\gamma] \mu[\gamma]'(\gamma_a \gamma'^a)^{-1} \alpha \); similarly, when \( \mu[\gamma]' \alpha = 0 \), one can so select \( \gamma \) that \( \gamma_a \gamma'^a \neq 0 \); then (3.53) yields: \( \alpha = \mu[\gamma] m[\gamma](\gamma_a \gamma'^a)^{-1} \alpha \). We can observe that due to (3.50) and (3.51) and the nilpotence of the maps \( m, \mu \), the set of \( \gamma - \text{closed} \) forms is closed with respect to the \( \wedge \), while the set of \( *\gamma - \text{closed} \) forms is closed with respect to the \( \wedge \) composition

\[
m(\alpha \wedge m(\beta)) = (\alpha \wedge m(\beta)), \quad (3.59) \]

\[
\mu(\alpha \wedge m(\beta)) = (\alpha \wedge m(\beta)). \quad (3.60)
\]

(This is accompanied by the obvious \( m \alpha \wedge m \beta = 0, \quad \alpha \wedge \mu \beta = 0 \); of course, \( m' \)s and \( \mu' \)s with suppressed argument depend on a fixed \( \gamma \in \Lambda^1 \)). We will close these considerations noticing that for every \( \alpha \in \Lambda^p \) and \( \gamma \in \Lambda^1 \)

\[
m[\gamma] \alpha = (-1)^{np-n(+)+1} * \mu[\gamma] \alpha, \quad (3.61) \]

\[
\mu[\gamma] \alpha = (-1)^{np-n(+)} m[\gamma] \alpha. \quad (3.62)
\]

Further on, we will see that the properties of the algebraic maps \( m \) and \( \mu \) are very similar to the properties of the basic differential maps \( d \) and \( \delta \).
4 Differential operations on forms

The analytic theory of forms uses the two basic differential equations: the external differential $d$ and the external co-differential $\delta$. The $d$ operation generalizes the concepts of the gradient and of the rotation; the $\delta$ operation generalizes the concept of the divergence. This section describes the basic properties of the $d$ operation \cite{11, 12, 13, 16}.

4.1 The external differential

The operation of the external differential $d$ is a map

$$d : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1},$$

(4.1)
defined for $\alpha \in \Lambda^p$ with the local representation (3.4) by the formula

$$d\alpha = \alpha_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p, \lambda} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \in \Lambda^{p+1}.$$  

(4.2)

Is important to note, that $d\alpha$ does not depend on any local map and represents a global concept; \cite{12} implies:

$$d(d\alpha) = 0.$$  

(4.3)

This relation interpreted as a global relation, states that the map $d$ is nilpotent and is called the Poincaré’s Lemma \cite{12}.

One easily shows that $d$ fulfills the Leibnitz rule

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{deg \alpha} \alpha \wedge d\beta.$$  

(4.4)

A form $\alpha$ such that $d\alpha = 0$ is called closed (or homological to zero). The differential of any differentiated form is closed, but not always a closed form can be represented (globally) as a differential of some other form. At this point the global topological structure of $M_n$ is very essential. It is valid, however, the local inversion of the Poincaré’s lemma which states that $d\alpha = 0$ true in a singly-connected region of $M_n$ guarantees the existence in this region of such a form $\beta$ that $\alpha = d\beta$. The set of differentials is closed with respect to the composition $\wedge$, due to \cite{14} and \cite{13} $d(d\alpha \wedge d\beta) = 0$, moreover

$$d\alpha \wedge d\beta = d(\alpha \wedge d\beta).$$  

(4.5)

Of course, we can consider $d$ as the map $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by the partial maps: $d\alpha = \sum_{(p=0)} \alpha_p$. 
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The basic definition (4.2) implies that \( d\alpha \) can be represented in the form \( (\alpha \in \Lambda^p) \)

\[
d\alpha = (-1)^p \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p ; \mu_{p+1}} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_{p+1}}
\]

\[
= \left[ (-1)^p \alpha_{a_1...a_p ; a_{p+1}} + p \alpha_{a_1...a_{p-1}} \Gamma^r_{a_p a_{p+1}} \right] e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{p+1}}
\]

\[
= (-1)^p \alpha_{a_1...a_p ; a_{p+1}} e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{p+1}} + \alpha_{a_1...a_p} d(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}).
\]

The second line presents \( d\alpha \) as defined independently of the choice of the local maps on \( M_n \).

The differential in the third line can be written as a compact expression

\[
d(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}) = \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \delta^{a_1...a_p}_{b_1...b_{p-1}} \Gamma^r_{b_p b_{p+1}} e^{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{b_{p+1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \left[ \delta^{a_1...a_{p+2}}_{b_1...b_{p+2}} \Gamma^r_{b_{p+1} a_{p+2}} - \delta^{a_1...a_{p+1}}_{b_1...b_{p+1}} \Gamma^r_{a_{p+1} a_{p+2}} \right] e^{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{b_{p+1}}.
\]

In particular, we have the obvious \( d(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_n}) = 0 \),

\[
d(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{n-1}}) = (-1)^n e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{n-1}} \Gamma^r a_n
\]

\[
= \ast \left[ (-1)^n \det(g_{ab}) \left[ -\frac{2}{n} e^{a_1...a_n} \Gamma^r a_n \right] \right].
\]

Thus, the external differential \( d \) induces the sequence:

\[
0 \xrightarrow{i} \Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^n \xrightarrow{d} 0,
\]

where \( i \) is the inclusion map.

The above sequence is called de Rham complex, and since \( d^2 = 0 \) we have that \( \text{im}(d) \subset \ker(d) \).

### 4.2 Structure equations

On the other extreme, (4.7) specialized for \( p = 1 \) gives

\[
de^a = \Gamma^a_{bc} e^b \wedge e^c.
\]

This using the concept of the Ricci forms introduced in the first section can be rewritten as

\[
de^a = e^b \wedge \Gamma^a_{b}.
\]
This is the first of the Cartan structure equations \([5], [15], [18], [19]\), in the case of zero torsion; when \(T^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \neq 0\) the first structure equation is given by

\[
de^a + \Gamma^a{}_{b} \wedge e^b = T^a,
\]
(4.12)

where \(T^a = \frac{1}{2} T_{a}{}_{bc} e^b \wedge e^c\) is the torsion two-form.

Now (4.11) supplemented by the condition \(\Gamma^{ab} = \Gamma^[ab]\) determines uniquely \(\Gamma^a{}_{b}\) when \(e^a\) is treated as given. The second structure equation is:

\[
d\Gamma^a{}_{b} + \Gamma^a{}_{s} \wedge \Gamma^s{}_{b} = \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{bcd} e^c \wedge e^d := \frac{1}{2} R^a_b,
\]
(4.13)

where \(R^{ab} = R^[ab] \in \Lambda^2\) is called the curvature form. Equations (4.13) easily follow from the original definition of \(\Gamma^a{}_{b}\) with \(\nu\) derivative by the application of the standard Ricci formula for the commutator of the covariant derivatives. The Poincaré’s lemma implies the necessity of the integrability conditions of the structure equations: the consistence of (4.11) with \(d(de^a) = 0\) amounts to

\[
e^b \wedge R^a_b = 0,
\]
(4.14)
equivalent to \(R^a_{[bcd]} = 0\), while the consistence of (4.13) with \(d(d\Gamma^a{}_{b}) = 0\) amounts to:

\[
dR^a_b = R^a_s \wedge \Gamma^s{}_{b} - \Gamma^a{}_{s} \wedge R^s_b.
\]
(4.15)

The last equation is equivalent to \(R^a_{[bcd]} = 0\), i.e., to the Bianchi identities written in terms of the scalar components. Notice that (4.14), (4.13) already close the chain of the integrability conditions. When (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14), (4.15) are assumed, then applying \(d\) on (4.14), (4.13) according to the general rules of the game, one obtains only identities \(0 = 0\).

### 4.3 The co-differential

The external co-differential \(\delta\) is a map

\[
\delta : \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p-1},
\]
(4.16)
defined as a composition of the maps previously discussed \([1], [3]\)

\[
deg \alpha = p \to \delta \alpha = (-1)^{np-n(\alpha)+1} \ast d \ast \alpha.
\]
(4.17)

The normalization factor is so selected that when \(deg \alpha = p\), \(deg \beta = p + 1 \to deg(\alpha \wedge \ast \beta) = n - 1\), \(deg(d(\alpha \wedge \ast \beta)) = n\), we have

\[
d(\alpha \wedge \ast \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \ast \beta - \alpha \wedge \ast \delta \beta.
\]
(4.18)
Notice that (4.17) implies as an inverse formula
\[ \text{deg} \alpha = p \rightarrow d \alpha = (-1)^{np-n(+) \alpha} \delta \ast \alpha. \] (4.19)

We have also
\[ \text{deg} \alpha = p \rightarrow \ast d \alpha = (-1)^{p+1} \delta \ast \alpha, \quad \ast \delta \alpha = (-1)^p d \ast \alpha. \] (4.20)

Now, all basic properties of the $d$ operation posses the corresponding co-images in terms of the $\delta$ operation. First, the $\delta$ operation is nilpotent
\[ \text{for every } \alpha : \delta(\delta \alpha) = 0. \] (4.21)

This is the co-image of the Poincaré's lemma. The co-image of the Leibnitz rule (4.4) amounts to
\[ \delta(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \delta \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\text{codeg} \alpha} \alpha \wedge \delta \beta, \] (4.22)
i.e., it is a Leibnitz rule but with respect to the co-multiplication.

A form $\alpha$ such that $\delta \alpha = 0$ is called co-closed (or co-homological to zero). The co-differential of any co-differentiated form is closed. But not every co-closed form can be represented (globally) as a co-differential of some other form. At this point the global structure of $M_n$ is essential. The dual variant of the local inversion of the Poincaré’s lemma assures, however, that if $\delta \alpha = 0$ in a singly connected region of $M_n$, then $\alpha$ can be represented as $\alpha = \delta \beta$ in this region. Then, exactly like with (4.9) we have that the external codifferential $\delta$ induces the sequence
\[ 0 \leftarrow \delta \Lambda^0 \leftarrow \delta \Lambda^1 \leftarrow \delta \Lambda^{n-1} \leftarrow \delta \Lambda^n \leftarrow i \] (4.23)
where $i$ is the inclusion map, and since $\delta^2 = 0$ we have that $im(\delta) \subset ker(\delta)$.

The set of co-differentials is closed with respect to the comultiplication. Indeed, due to (4.21), (4.22) $\delta(\delta \alpha \wedge \delta \beta) = 0$, moreover
\[ \delta \alpha \wedge \delta \beta = \delta(\alpha \wedge \delta \beta). \] (4.24)

Of course, $\delta$ can be also interpreted as the map $\delta : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, defined in terms of the “partial” maps according to $\delta \alpha = \sum_{p=0}^n \delta \alpha_p$. Now, the local representation of $\delta \alpha$, $\text{deg} \alpha = p$ amounts to
\[ \delta \alpha = (-1)^p p \alpha_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p-1}} \lambda^\lambda dx^\mu_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\mu_{p-1}}. \] (4.25)
This can be also rewritten in terms of the scalar components, as an expression insensitive on the choice of the local maps

\[
\delta \alpha = (-1)^p \left[ p(\alpha_{a_1...a_{p-1}s}^s + \alpha_{a_1...a_{p-1}s} \Gamma^{sr}) + p(p-1)\alpha_{a_1...a_{2p-2s}} \Gamma_{a_{p-1}^s r} \right] e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{p-1}} + \alpha_{a_1...a_p} \delta(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}),
\]

where (for \( p \geq 1 \))

\[
\delta(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_p}) = \frac{(-1)^p}{(p-1)!} \delta_{a_1...a_{p+1}} \Gamma b_{p+1}^{b_{p+1}} e^{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{b_{p-1}}.
\]

(4.27)

[Of course, \( T_{...a} \) denotes \( T_{...b} g^{ba} \).] This general formula contains as special cases \( \delta(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_n}) = 0 \) [equivalent to \( \delta \ast 1 = (-1)^{n+1} * d1 = 0 \)] and

\[
\delta(e^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{a_{n-1}}) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-2)!} e^{a_1...a_n} \Gamma_{a_n b_{n-1} b_n} e^{b_1} \wedge ... \wedge e^{b_{n-2}}.
\]

(4.28)

On the other side of the possible extremal values of \( p \) we have

\[
\delta e^a = -2 \Gamma^{|ab|}_b = \Gamma^a_{b b} = -g^{ab} e_b \mu ; \mu = -g^{ab} e^{-1}(ee^b \mu) \mu.
\]

(4.29)

As far as the Ricci forms are concerned one easily finds

\[
\delta \Gamma^a_b = -\Gamma^a_{bs} + \Gamma^a_{bs} \Gamma^{sr}_r = (e^a_{\mu b} e_b^\mu) ; \nu \in \Lambda^0.
\]

(4.30)

The object \( \delta \Gamma^a_b \) is very sensitive on the choice of \( e^a_s \). Indeed, executing the permissible transformation \( (2.4) \) which leaves \( ds^2 \) invariant, one obtains

\[
\delta \Gamma^a_{b'} = L^a_{a'} L^{b'}_{b} \delta \Gamma^{a b} + g^{rs}(L^a_{a';r} L^{b'}_{b};s) ; \mu = -g^{a b} e^{-1}(ee^b \mu) \mu.
\]

(4.31)

Thus, by an appropriate choice of the \( (n^2) \) parameters of \( O(n_{(+)}), n_{(-)} \) [understood as functions on \( M_n \)] we can assign to \( (n^2) \) of functions \( \delta \Gamma^{a b} = \delta \Gamma^{[a b]} \) any arbitrary values. In particular, one can also select the “\( O_n \) gauge” that \( \delta \Gamma^a_b = 0 \). Then at least locally, \( \Gamma^a_b \) would posses the representation:

\[ \Gamma^a_b = \delta \Omega^a_b, \Omega^a_b \in \Lambda^2. \]
4.4 The co-structure equations

Now, the structure equations (4.11), (4.13) and their integrability conditions (4.15) can be equivalently rewritten in the form of the relations which result by the application of the star operation; we will call these the co-structure equations and the co-integrability conditions.

Using the concepts of codifferential $\delta$ and the co-multiplication $\wedge$ one easily finds that the discussed co-equations can be obtained formally from (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14), (4.15) when one replaces in them the particular symbols according to the scheme

\[ \begin{align*}
  d & \rightarrow \delta, \quad \wedge \rightarrow \wedge, \\
  e^a & \rightarrow *e^a, \\
  \Gamma^a_b & \rightarrow -*\Gamma^a_b, \\
  R^a_b & \rightarrow -*R^a_b.
\end{align*} \]

Therefore, the co-structure equations and the co-integrability conditions amounts to

\[ \begin{align*}
  \delta(*e^a) &= *e^b \wedge (- *\Gamma^a_b), \\
  \delta(- *\Gamma^a_b) + (- *\Gamma^a_s) \wedge (- *\Gamma^s_b) &= \frac{1}{2}(- *R^a_b), \\
  *e^b \wedge (- *R^a_b) &= 0, \\
  \delta(- *R^a_b) &= (- *R^a_s) \wedge (- *\Gamma^s_b) - (- *\Gamma^a_s) \wedge (- *R^s_b).
\end{align*} \]

Notice that

\[ -*R^a_b = R^a_{bcd}(*e^c) \wedge (*e^d). \]

We will see later that the structure and the equivalent co-structure equations considered together form a set of relations which permits to study the role of the particular irreducible parts of the curvature in the Riemannian geometry.

5 Hopf algebra and differential Hopf algebra

We begin by defining some of the basical concepts that we will need to indicate how $\Lambda$, the space of differential forms, admits the structure of Hopf algebra with the previous operations and also the action of a differential in some cases \[20\] - \[27\].

First, let $K$ be a ring with identity, then a $K$-module $G$ is an additive abelian group together with a function $f : K \times G \rightarrow G$ $f(k,g) = kg$, such that

\[ \begin{align*}
  (k + k')g &= kg + k'g, \\
  kk'g &= k(k'g), \\
  k(g + g') &= kg + kg', \\
  1g &= g.
\end{align*} \]
Now, a graded $K$-module is a family of $K$-modules $\{G_n\}$ where the index $n$ runs through the non-negative integers (it is not the direct sum of them).

Then for two graded $K$-modules $L$ and $M$, a homomorphism of graded modules $f : L \rightarrow M$ of degree $r$ is a family $f = \{f_n : L_n \rightarrow M_{n+r}; \ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $K$-module homomorphisms $f_n$. Thus the composition of homomorphisms of degrees $r$ and $r'$ has degree $r + r'$.

It is important to note that the tensor product of two graded $K$-modules $L$ and $M$ is the graded $K$-module given by

$$ (L \otimes M)_n = \sum_{p+q=n} L_p \otimes M_q $$

and therefore the grading in the tensor product is defined by $deg(l \otimes m) = deg l + deg m$, with $l \in L$ and $m \in M$.

A graded $K$-algebra $V$ is a graded $K$-module endowed with two $K$-module homomorphisms $\varphi : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ and $\eta : K \rightarrow V$ each of degree 0, (called the product and the unit respectively), which render commutative the diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{c}
V \otimes V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes id} V \otimes V \\
\downarrow id \otimes \varphi \\
V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \\
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
K \otimes V \cong V \cong V \otimes K \\
\downarrow \eta \otimes id \\
V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \xleftarrow{\varphi} V \otimes V \\
\end{array}
$$

The $K$-algebra $V$ is commutative if, in addition, it satisfies the axiom

$$
\begin{array}{c}
V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\tau_{V,V}} V \otimes V \\
\varphi \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \varphi \\
V \\
\end{array}
$$

where $\tau_{V,V}$ is the flip map: $\tau_{V,V}(v \otimes v') = (-1)^{deg v \cdot deg v'} v' \otimes v$. In the graded case we require this relation be satisfied, and when we restrict to the elements of degree zero we recover the usual commutative law, (compare with [20], [23], [24]).

---

3The tensorial product between $K$-modules and graded $K$-modules must be denoted by $\otimes_K$ indicating over which ring we are working, but in brief we only write $\otimes$. 
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A graded $K$-coalgebra $U$ over the ring $K$ is a graded $K$-module $U$ with two homomorphisms $\Psi : U \rightarrow U \otimes U$ and $\epsilon : U \rightarrow K$ of graded $K$-modules (the coproduct and the counit), each of degree 0, such that the diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & U \otimes U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \Psi \\
U \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\Psi \otimes \text{id}} & U \otimes U \otimes U
\end{array}
\] (5.7)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \otimes U & \xleftarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{id}} & U \\
\downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \epsilon \\
K \otimes U & \cong & U \otimes U
\end{array}
\] (5.8)

are commutative.

If, furthermore, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{U,U} \\
U \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\tau_{U,U}} & U \otimes U
\end{array}
\] (5.9)

commutes, where $\tau_{U,U}$ is the same flip map, we say that the $K$-coalgebra $U$ is cocommutative.

The tensor product of two graded $K$-algebras $\Omega$ and $\Sigma$ is their tensor product $\Omega \otimes \Sigma$, as graded modules, and form an algebra whose product map is defined as the composite

\[
(\Omega \otimes \Sigma) \otimes (\Omega \otimes \Sigma) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \tau \otimes id} \Omega \otimes \Omega \otimes \Sigma \otimes \Sigma \xrightarrow{\varphi_\Omega \otimes \varphi_\Sigma} \Omega \otimes \Sigma,
\] (5.10)

where $\tau$ is the transposition map $\tau[l \otimes m] = (-1)^{\deg l \cdot \deg m} m \otimes l$, and with unit element map given by $I_{\Omega} \otimes I_{\Sigma} : K \cong K \otimes K \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \Sigma$. In similar way if $W$ and $W'$ are graded coalgebras, their tensor product $W \otimes W'$ (as graded modules) is a graded coalgebra with diagonal map the composite

\[
W \otimes W' \xrightarrow{\Psi \otimes \Psi'} W \otimes W \otimes W' \otimes W' \xrightarrow{id \otimes \tau \otimes id} (W \otimes W') \otimes (W \otimes W'),
\] (5.11)

$\tau$ the same transposition map, and with counit $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon' : W \otimes W' \rightarrow K \otimes K \cong K$.

\footnote{In the literature one uses $\Delta$ instead of $\Psi$ but we reserve this former for the harmonic operator.}
One interesting thing is that the product operation in a graded $K$-algebra $V$ induces an additional structure on $V^*$ and vice versa, making the algebra and the coalgebra symmetric with respect to the dualization i.e., the dual of an algebra is a coalgebra and the dual of a coalgebra is an algebra (with the dual space: $V^* = Hom(V, R)$) [20], [21], [24], [25].

Given an algebra $V$ and a coalgebra $U$, a bilinear map called the convolution\footnote{Some authors prefer $*$ or $\ast$, but we use them in the definition of the star operation.} $\ast$ is defined in the set $Hom(U, V)$ of linear maps by [24]:

Let $f$ and $g$ be linear maps belonging to $Hom(U, V)$ then $f \ast g$ satisfies

\[
U \xrightarrow{\Psi} U \otimes U \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V
\]

obtaining with this operation the structure of monoid in $Hom(U, V)$.

A graded $K$-module $A = \{A_n\}$ which is at the same time an algebra and a coalgebra with the morphisms of $K$-graded modules:

\[
\varphi : A \otimes A \to A, \quad \eta : K \to A, \\
\Psi : A \to A \otimes A, \quad \epsilon : A \to K,
\]

such that

i) $(A, \varphi, \eta)$ it’s an algebra with $\eta$ a homomorphism of graded coalgebras.

ii) $(A, \Psi, \epsilon)$ it’s a coalgebra with $\epsilon$ a homomorphism of graded algebras.

iii) satisfy the axiom connection, that is the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\
\downarrow{\Psi \otimes \Psi} & & \downarrow{\varphi \otimes \varphi} \\
A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \tau \otimes id_A} & A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A
\end{array}
\]

is commutative, with $id_A \otimes \tau \otimes id_A(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) = (-1)^{deg b \cdot deg d}(a \otimes c \otimes b \otimes d)$, is called a graded $K$-bialgebra.

Finally a graded Hopf algebra $A$, is a graded $K$-bialgebra with a bijective map $S : A \to A$ called the antipode which satisfies:

\[
S \cdot id = id \cdot S = \eta \circ \epsilon
\]

(5.13)
and which is also an algebra antihomomorphism, i.e. the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\
S \otimes S & \downarrow & \\
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{S} & A \\
\tau & \downarrow & \\
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A
\end{array}
\]

(5.14)

commutes \[20, 23\].

Is easy to show that if \(A\) has an antipode, it is unique \[23\]. Thus a Hopf algebra is a set of six \((A, \varphi, \eta, \Psi, \epsilon, S)\) satisfying the axioms above.

And again the dual of a Hopf algebra has the structure of a Hopf algebra.

With the definition of the convolution and the existence of the antipode we can form not only a monoid but also a group over \(\text{Hom}(A, A)\), since this tell us the form of the inverse elements under \(\bullet\).

A **differential Hopf algebra** is a pair \((A, D)\), where \(A\) is a Hopf algebra and \(D : A \to A\) is a differential \((D^2 = 0)\) of degree \(\pm 1\) such that the maps, product and co-product \(\varphi : A \otimes A \to A\) and \(\Psi : A \to A \otimes A\) are maps of differential modules; i.e. the next diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\
D & \downarrow & D & \downarrow & D \\
A & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A
\end{array}
\]

(5.15)

commute.

\((A \otimes A\) has the differential product \(D(x \otimes y) = D(x) \otimes y + (-1)^{\deg(x)} x \otimes D(y)\)). Following with the duality, we finish pointing that the dual of the pair \((A, D)\) is also a differential Hopf algebra \((A^*, D^*)\).

Now, with all this in mind we can take as our commutative \(K\)-ring the set of all the functions infinitely differentiable from the manifold to the real numbers, \(C^\infty(M_n)\) and identify \(\Lambda\) with the graded \(C^\infty(M_n)\)-module (now, all the tensorial products are over \(C^\infty(M_n)\), then
is equal to $\otimes_{C^\infty(M_n)}$). Then we use the external multiplication $\wedge$ as the product according with: $\varphi(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \alpha \wedge \beta$, then since $\wedge$ is associative (3.10) we see that with $\varphi(\alpha \otimes \varphi(\beta \otimes \gamma)) = \varphi(\varphi(\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma) = \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma$ and with unit 1 (the constant function 1 in $C^\infty(M_n)$) the set $(\Lambda, \varphi, 1)$ form an algebra, and even more a commutative one.

To form a coalgebra we define the coproduct over $\Lambda$ as follows

$$\Psi(\beta) = \sum \Psi(\beta_p) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta \in \Lambda \text{ and } \beta_p \in \Lambda^p,$$

and since the map is linear, we only need to define it for the generators. Thus

$$\Psi(\alpha_p) = \alpha_p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha_p + \sum_i \alpha'_i \otimes \alpha''_i,$$

with $\alpha_p$ being a generator in $\Lambda^p$, and the sum in (5.17) defined in such a manner that the diagram $iii$) commutes, (compare with [20], [21]). If we were working with polynomials instead of forms the order in the tensorial product not matter and all the elements could be added and factorized in the way that exactly becomes the number $\binom{p}{p}$ in the sumatorial.

Note that each element in the above expression preserve the grade (identifying this grade with the degree of a form) since $C^\infty(M_n) \otimes \Lambda^p \cong \Lambda^p \cong \Lambda^p \otimes C^\infty(M_n)$. The counit $\epsilon$ acts in similar way on $\Lambda$

$$\epsilon(\alpha) = \sum \epsilon(\alpha^p),$$

defined over the generators like follows

$$\epsilon(\beta) = \beta \quad \text{with} \quad \beta \in \Lambda^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{in other case}$$

This is obtained due to (5.8) for elements in $\Lambda^0$ and that from $iii$) the elements in $\Lambda^1$ are of the form $1 \otimes \alpha + \alpha \otimes 1$ so $\epsilon(\alpha) = 0$ [23], then by $\epsilon(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \epsilon(\alpha) \wedge \epsilon(\beta)$ for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\Lambda$ and both of degree different of 0, the action of $\epsilon$ is 0. In this form the triplet $(\Lambda, \Psi, \epsilon)$ defines a cocommutative coalgebra. We define $\varphi$, $\Psi$ and $\epsilon$ in $p$-forms acting over the elements of the base $dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^p$ but remembering $e^a = e^a_\mu dx^\mu$ and in special (2.3) when $ds^2$ is defined, is equivalent to take the definition over $e^{ij} \wedge ... \wedge e^{ip}$ because the difference is only in elements of $C^\infty(M_n)$. It is easy to form a bialgebra for the low dimension case, but since $\Delta$ and $\epsilon$ are morphisms of algebras and $\varphi$ and 1 are morphisms of coalgebras we only need the form in the generators of $\Lambda^1$ and then extend to the other degrees.

With the above definition of $\varphi$ and $\Psi$ and with the connection axiom, one easily obtains that the set $(\Lambda, \varphi, 1, \Psi, \epsilon)$ forms a bialgebra; we can endow this set with an antipode map just using the calculus for the generators following the condition (5.13) for the elements of $C^\infty(M_n)$ and in $\Lambda^1$, all the others.
can be generated in a recursive way

\[ S(\alpha) = -\alpha - \sum \alpha' \wedge S(\alpha''), \quad (5.20) \]

since \( \eta \circ \epsilon = 0 \) for elements of degree greater than 0, with this and from (5.14) \( S(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (-1)^{\deg \alpha \cdot \deg \beta} S(\beta) \wedge S(\alpha) \) we obtain

\[ S(dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^p) = (-1)^p dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^p. \quad (5.21) \]

Using now the differential operations defined in the past sections: \( d \) of degree +1 and \( \delta \) of degree \(-1\); we can replace respectively in the next diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda \\
\downarrow d \quad \downarrow d \\
\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda \\
\downarrow \delta \quad \downarrow \delta \\
\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda
\end{array}
\]

\[ (5.22) \]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda \\
\downarrow d \quad \downarrow d \\
\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda
\end{array}
\]

\[ (5.23) \]

such that \( d \) and \( \delta \) are morphisms of algebras (not necessarily a differential Hopf algebras).

[In the next part of our work we consider the Hopf algebra connected with the \( \ast \) product].

6 The harmonic operator

The harmonic operator \( \Delta \) is a map

\[ \Delta : \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^p \quad (6.1) \]

defined as a composition of the maps previously considered

\[ \alpha \in \Lambda^p \to \Delta \alpha := (d\delta + \delta d)\alpha \in \Lambda^p. \quad (6.2) \]

Of course, \( \Delta \) can be also interpreted as the map \( \Lambda \to \Lambda \) defined by the “partial maps” as \( \Delta \alpha = \sum_{p=0}^n \Delta \alpha_p \). The \( \Delta \) operator commutes with the basic operators previously considered:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{deg} \alpha &= p \to * \Delta \alpha &= \Delta * \alpha = (-1)^p (\delta * \delta - d * d)\alpha \\
\Delta d \alpha &= d\Delta \alpha = d\delta d\alpha \\
\delta \Delta \alpha &= \Delta \delta \alpha = \delta d\delta \alpha.
\end{align*} \quad (6.3) \]
Now, from equation (4.18)

\[ \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p \rightarrow d(\beta \wedge *d\alpha) = -\delta d\alpha \wedge *\beta + d\alpha \wedge *d\beta \] (6.4)

\[ d(\delta \alpha \wedge *\beta) = d\delta \alpha \wedge *\beta - \delta \alpha \wedge *d\beta. \] (6.5)

This implies

\[ \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Delta \alpha \wedge *\beta = d\alpha \wedge *d\beta + \delta \alpha \wedge *\delta \beta + d(\delta \alpha \wedge *\beta - \beta \wedge *d\alpha). \] (6.5)

The co-image of this \( \Lambda^n \) equality is a \( \Lambda^0 \) identity

\[ \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p \rightarrow *\beta \wedge \Delta \alpha = *d\beta \wedge d\alpha + *\delta \beta \wedge \delta \alpha + \delta(-1)^p(*\delta \alpha \wedge \beta + *\beta \wedge \delta \alpha). \] (6.6)

Using the fact that for \( \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p \), \( \alpha \wedge *\beta = \beta \wedge *\alpha \), \( *\alpha \wedge \beta = *\beta \wedge \alpha \), one easily finds that (6.3), (6.4) imply the generalized Green’s formula valid for every \( \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p \)

\[ \Delta \alpha \wedge *\beta - \Delta \beta \wedge *\alpha = d(\delta \alpha \wedge *\beta - \beta \wedge *d\alpha - \delta \beta \wedge *\alpha + \alpha \wedge *d\beta), \] (6.7)

\[ *\beta \wedge \Delta \alpha - *\alpha \wedge \Delta \beta = \delta(-1)^p(*\delta \alpha \wedge \beta + *\beta \wedge d\alpha - *\delta \beta \wedge \alpha - *\alpha \wedge d\beta). \] (6.8)

Assume now that:

a) The basic manifold \( M_n \) is compact,
b) The signature of the Riemannian metric is positive definite (i.e., \( n_{(-)} = 0 \), \( n_{(+)} = n \)).

Then the space of \( p \)-forms \( \Lambda^p \) has the structure of the real Hilbert space with a definite positive scalar product [26], [27]. Indeed, one can then define in \( \Lambda^p \) the symmetric scalar product

\[ \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^p : (\alpha, \beta) = (\beta, \alpha) := \int_{M^n} \alpha \wedge *\beta = \int_{M^n} *(*\alpha \wedge \beta), \] (6.9)

\[ = p! \int_{M^n} \alpha_{a_1...a_p}^\beta \gamma_{a_1...a_p}^* \wedge 1. \]

The compactness of \( M_n \) is needed to guarantee the existence of the integral (6.9) and to assure from the Gauss theorem

\[ \alpha \in \Lambda^{n-1} \rightarrow \int_{M^n} d\alpha = 0. \] (6.10)

The positive definite signature of \( V_n \) is needed to guarantee that \( \alpha_{a_1...a_p} \gamma_{a_1...a_p} \geq 0 \) and consequently

\[ (\alpha, \alpha) = 0 \leftrightarrow \alpha = 0. \] (6.11)
Of course, $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^p$ can be also interpreted as a Hilbert space: if $\alpha = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha_p, \beta = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \beta_p \in \Lambda$, then one understands as the scalar product in $\Lambda$ : $(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{p=0}^{n}(\alpha_p, \beta_p)$, with $(\alpha_p, \beta_p)$ defined by (5.3).

Now, from (4.18) and (6.10) one easily finds that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$

$$(d\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, \delta \beta).$$

(6.12)

Therefore, $\delta$ and $d$ represent a pair of linear operators on $\Lambda$ which are conjugated in the sense of $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Of course, (6.12) can be also interpreted as

$$(d\alpha_{p-1}, \beta_p) = (\alpha_{p-1}, \delta \beta_p),$$

(6.13)

an equality for the forms of the definite degrees; the left hand member is here the scalar product in the sense of $\Lambda^p$, the right hand member is the scalar product in the sense of $\Lambda^{p-1}$.

Notice that (6.12) and the nilpotence of $d$ and $\delta$ imply that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$:

$$(d\alpha, \delta \beta) = 0.$$ (6.14)

This orthogonality of differentials and co-differentials in $\Lambda$ represents a very useful property; some of its consequences will be discussed later. Quite similarly as in the case of (6.12), applying (6.3) and (6.10) one easily finds that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$

$$(\Delta \alpha, \beta) = (d\alpha, d\beta) + (\delta \alpha, \delta \beta) = (\alpha, \Delta \beta).$$

(6.15)

Therefore, $\Delta$ is a self-conjugated operator on $\Lambda$; because (6.15) results from the more specific

$$(\Delta \alpha_p, \beta_p) = (d\alpha_p, d\beta_p) + (\delta \alpha_p, \delta \beta_p) = (\alpha_p, \Delta \beta_p),$$

(6.16)

$\Delta$ is also a self-conjugated operator on $\Lambda^p$. Notice also that from (6.15) or (6.16) and $\alpha \neq 0 \rightarrow (\alpha, \alpha) > 0$

$$(\Delta \alpha, \alpha) \geq 0,$$

(6.17)

so that the operator $\Delta$ is elliptic [in both senses, as the operator on whole $\Lambda$ or on the specific $\Lambda^p$; parallel to (6.17) we have $(\Delta \alpha_p, \alpha_p) \geq 0$].

A form $\alpha$ such that $\Delta \alpha = 0$ is called harmonic (this is the definition of a harmonic form when $a$ and $b$ are assumed). It easily follows from (6.13) or (6.16) that

$$\Delta \alpha = 0 \leftrightarrow d\alpha = 0, \ \delta \alpha = 0.$$ (6.18)

The harmonic form can posses the degree determined (say, $d\!e\!g\!o \alpha = p$) or undetermined; a general harmonic $\alpha \in \Lambda$ represents a sequence of harmonic forms of determinated degrees.
Consider now the generalized Poisson’s equation:
\[
\Delta \alpha = \beta,
\]
(6.19)
with \(\beta\) given (of undetermined or determined degree). Let \(\varphi\) be an arbitrary harmonic form; then \((\beta, \varphi) = (\Delta \alpha, \varphi) = (\alpha, \Delta \varphi) = 0\). Thus, (6.19) requires as a necessary consistence condition the orthogonality of the source \(\beta\) to all harmonic forms.

We will mention an important result of the theory of the harmonic forms called the Hodge theorem \[16\], \[17\]. The theorem states that an arbitrary form \(\omega \in \Lambda^p\) then: 1).- can be always represented by
\[
\omega = d\alpha + \delta \beta + \gamma, \quad \Delta \gamma = 0,
\]
(6.20)
where \(\alpha \in \Lambda^{p-1}, \beta \in \Lambda^{p+1}, \gamma \in \Lambda^p\) and 2).- \(\omega\) determines the forms \(d\alpha, \delta \beta\) and the harmonic form \(\gamma\) uniquely. The proof of the existence (global) of such \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) to a given \(\omega\) that (6.20) is valid, is relatively involved and will be not discussed here. The uniqueness of the decomposition (6.20), however, can be simply demonstrated. Indeed, because \(\Delta \gamma = 0 \rightarrow d\gamma = 0 = \delta \gamma\), therefore assuming (6.20) and applying (6.12), (6.14) one easily finds
\[
(\omega, d\alpha) = (d\alpha, d\alpha), \quad (\omega, \delta \beta) = (\delta \beta, \delta \beta), \quad (\omega, \gamma) = (\gamma, \gamma).
\]
(6.21)
Therefore, from (6.11): \(\omega = 0 \rightarrow d\alpha = 0, \delta \beta = 0, \gamma = 0\). Of course, the forms \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) in (6.20) are not uniquely determined; we do not change anything by adding to \(\alpha\) a closed form and to \(\beta\) a co-closed form respectively. Notice that when \(\omega\) is closed, \(d\omega = 0\), then the Hodge theorem gives: \(\omega = d\alpha + \gamma, \Delta \gamma = 0\); indeed, using (6.20) and (6.21) we have:
\[
(\delta \beta, \delta \beta) = (\omega, \delta \beta) = (d\omega, \beta) = 0 \rightarrow \delta \beta = 0.
\]
Similarly, when \(\omega\) is co-closed, \(\delta \omega = 0\), then \(\omega = \delta \beta + \gamma, \Delta \gamma = 0\).

The power of the Hodge theorem consists in its global nature. Because we are mostly interested in the applications of the apparatus of forms in general relativity where \(n_+ = 1, n_- = 3\) and the basic \(M_4\) is not compact, we will not develop here any further the harmonic analysis of forms which is founded on the assumptions \(a, b\). Further on, however, we will consider to what extend some of the main results of this analysis remain true when \(a\) and \(b\) are not assumed. Now, abandoning \(a, b\) consider the maps \(\Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^p\) defined by the compositions \(d\delta\) and \(\delta d\). When \(\alpha \in \Lambda^p\) has the local representation (3.4) then the local representation of the discussed maps amounts to
\[
d\delta \alpha = -p\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{p-1}\lambda;\mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p}
\]
(6.22)
and
\[
\delta d \alpha = p\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{p-1}\lambda;\mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p} - \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p;\lambda} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p}.
\]
(6.23)
This permits - by the application of the tensorial Ricci formula for the commutator of “\(\omega\)” derivatives - to obtain the local representation of \(\Delta \alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda^p\)

\[- \Delta \alpha = \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p;\lambda} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p} + R[\alpha],\]

(6.24)

where \(R[\alpha]\) denotes the form

\[
R[\alpha] = (-p\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{p-1}\rho} R^\rho_{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{2} p(p-1)\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{p-2}\rho\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma}_{\mu_1...\mu_p} d x^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge d x^{\mu_p})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{\nu_1...\nu_p} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\nu_1...\nu_p\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma} - \delta^{\nu_1...\nu_p\rho\sigma} R^\rho_{\nu_1...\nu_p} \right) dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\lambda_p}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{\nu_1...\nu_p} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\nu_1...\nu_p\rho\sigma} C_{\rho\sigma}^{\lambda_1...\lambda_p} + \frac{n-2p}{n-2} \delta^{\nu_1...\nu_p\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma}^\rho \right)
\]

\[- \frac{p(p-1)}{n(n-1)} \delta^{\nu_1...\nu_p} R_{\nu_1...\nu_p} dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\lambda_p}.
\]

(6.25)

The last line of (6.24) exhibits explicitly the mechanism according to which the irreducible parts of the curvature enter in the expression for \(\Delta \alpha\). In the extreme cases of \(p = 0, 1, n-1, n\) (6.24) and (6.25) reduce to

\[
\Lambda^0 \ni \alpha : - \Delta \alpha = \alpha_{\lambda}^\lambda,
\]

(6.26)

\[
\Lambda^1 \ni \alpha : - \Delta \alpha = \alpha_{\mu;\lambda} dx^\mu - \alpha_{\lambda} R^\lambda_\mu dx^\mu,
\]

(6.27)

\[
\Lambda^{n-1} \ni \alpha : - \Delta \alpha = \left( \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1};\lambda} - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \alpha_{\nu_1...\nu_{n-1}} R^\nu_{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_{n-1}}
\]

(6.28)

\[
\Lambda^n \ni \alpha : - \Delta \alpha = \alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_p;\lambda} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge ... \wedge dx^{\mu_p}.
\]

(6.29)

Thus, from the point of view of the tensorial components, for \(p = 0\) and \(p = n\), \(\Delta\) reduces simply to the operator \(-g^{\mu\nu} \Delta \mu \Delta \nu\), while for \(p = 1\) and \(p = n-1\) beside of this operator appear terms linear in the Ricci tensor and the form considered. As far as the conformal curvature is concerned, it can appear in \(\Delta \alpha\) only when 1) \(-n \geq 4\) 2) \(-p = 0, 1, n-1, n\). In particular, for \(n = 4\) (6.26) covers already the cases of \(p = 0, 1, 3, 4\); in the remaining case of \(p = 2\) we have

\[
n = 4, \alpha \in \Lambda^2 \rightarrow - \Delta \alpha = (\alpha_{\mu_1\mu_2;\lambda} - \frac{1}{3} R_{\mu_1\mu_2} + \alpha_{\nu_1\nu_2} C^\nu_1\nu_2_{\mu_1\mu_2}) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2}.
\]

(6.30)

Notice the absence of \(R^2_{\beta}\) in this expression. The harmonic operator acting on \(e^a\) results in the formula

\[
- \Delta e^a = \left( e^a_{\mu;\lambda} e^\mu_b - R^a_b \right) e^b = (\delta \Gamma^a_b - R^a_b - \Gamma^{a\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} e^b.
\]

(6.31)

Thus, the skew part of the \(n \times n\) matrix which acts on \(e^b\) in this expression coincides with \(\delta \Gamma^a_b\); the object which remains arbitrary in the considered formalism.
7 Conclusions

Using the formalism of differential forms many of the calculations are easier specially for the requirements of General Relativity, we reduce the number of indices and components and obtain more structure in the developed space $\Lambda$ thanks to the operations defined on them.

Working with forms reveals the existence of potentials (Poincaré’s lemma), the independence from coordinate systems, and the role of the metric.

The Clifford calculus $^{[28]}$, $^{[29]}$, can also be adapted to geometric problems specially to electromagnetism, although its application is not so clear as in the case of the Cartan calculus. The point of departure is the role of the metric.

The calculus in differential geometry and specially in general relativity is in favor of Cartan’s calculus approach than with tensor calculus, but this depends mainly on the background of the person doing the computation. Perhaps even in some fields the Clifford approach is superior, or perhaps a combination of the two formalisms (Cartan and Clifford) into a single algebra (Kahler-Atiyah $^{[29]}$) with two products could be superior to either. In the case of general relativity the Cartan calculus looks like perfectly adjusted. In the second part we deal with the complex case which now plays a distinguished role in general relativity (complex relativity, self-dual gravity,..., etc.).
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