Detection of Shiga Toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in Ground Beef: Evaluation of Contamination Levels in Butcheries of The Metropolitan Zone in Asuncion, Paraguay
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**Abstract**

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) can cause from watery diarrhea to severe diseases, such as thrombocytopenic purpura (TP) or hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). Ruminants are the main reservoir; most of the time humans are infected through the ingestion of contaminated meat. The goal of this study was the detection of *stx1* and *stx2* genes through the standardization of a real time PCR method that uses SYBR green, and then we used this method to analyze the presence of STEC in ground beef from butcheries of the metropolitan zone of Asuncion, Paraguay. We analyzed 48 ground beef samples from 24 butcheries. They were processed by standard methods of culture and DNA extraction. Real-time PCR reactions were standardized for the detection of *stx1* and *stx2*. Both genes were detected in the 48 samples studied. The concordance between this method and a conventional PCR technique was excellent, showing kappa concordance indexes of 0.76 for *stx1* and 0.87 for *stx2*.

Even though the high levels of contaminated ground beef detected, all the isolates were LEE negatives and O157 negatives, which are less related to outbreaks and severe diseases. The most common virulence profile was *stx1*/*stx2*/saa/exhA. With this finding, we have proven the need for preventive procedures in the slaughter process and the handling process of meat products.
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INTRODUCTION

Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC), also known as verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) and enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), is one of the most important groups of food borne pathogens\(^1,2\). Its main characteristic is that it carries the genes \(stx1\) and \(stx2\), these genes code for cytotoxins, which are considered essential virulence factors that cause the pathologies in humans\(^3,4\).

It can cause watery diarrhea, bloody diarrhea, thrombocytopenic purpura, hemorrhagic colitis (CH), and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS)\(^5,6\). There are different combinations of genetic markers between different STEC strains, but it is still unclear which one is necessary to produce pathologies\(^7\). However, the food safety organizations classify as high risk those strains that carry the following virulence genes: \(stx/eaec\), and \(stx/aggR/aaiC\). High-risk strains are more likely to cause outbreaks and severe diseases\(^8,9\).

While STEC O157-H7 is the most common serotype reported in outbreaks and severe disease cases\(^10,11\); currently other non-O157 serogroups (O26, O45, O103, O111, O145, and O104) are also recognized as important causes of human pathologies\(^3,12,13\).

Ruminants are asymptomatic reservoirs of these pathogens, so meat products and their derivatives represent the main contamination sources in outbreaks and cases of human infection\(^4,14,15\).

Meat production is an axis of Paraguayan economy. It has occupied the first places in the exportation rankings. Also, it is placed third with the highest consumption of meat worldwide (25.6 kg per citizen), according to the latest report of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)\(^17\).

The difficulties in controlling the meat expenditure are the high amount and diversity of butcheries for the local population, as well as the differences in regulations between the several agencies. All these facts highlight the need for the implementation of methods that allow the rapid and sensitive detection of meat contaminated with STEC strains. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) is an excellent option to solve this need. For all of the above, the goal of this study was the detection of \(stx1\) and \(stx2\) genes through the standardization of a real-time PCR method that use SYBR green, and then we used this method to analyze the presence of STEC in ground beef from butcheries of the metropolitan zone of Asuncion, Paraguay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Controls

We used as positive controls previously characterized E. coli isolates with differences virulence genes, STEC no-O157 carries \(stx1\) and \(stx2\), STEC O157: H7 carries \(stx2\) and O157, STEC O157: H7 carries \(stx1\) and O157 as positive controls, they were kindly donated by Dr. Noralia Padola, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires.

Butcheries selection

Butcheries were selected after the implementation of an audit system that evaluated risk factors in three main components of the shops: building, equipment, and instruments, as well as workers hygienic habits. To this end, we used a validated tool that was previously implemented in Argentina, under the name of “Carnicerías saludables” (“Healthy butcheries”), and it has been adapted for this study\(^17\).

This tool allowed us to classify butcheries as high, moderate or low risk of selling products contaminated with pathogens. In this study, we included 24 butcheries that were classified as high risk of selling contaminated products.

Sample collection

250 g of ground beef were collected twice in each of the butcheries; the second collection was three months later than the first. The samples were transported refrigerated to the laboratory, where they were coded and processed.

Bacteriological procedure and Sample processing

Ten grams of ground beef in 100 mL of buffered peptonated water were incubated overnight at 37°C. Two aliquots were taken for DNA extraction and storage. Non-contaminated samples of ground beef were injected with STEC strains, to be able to use them as positive extraction controls. The artificially contaminated meat was processed in the same way as the samples. Additionally, negative controls were added to each trial.

DNA extraction

DNA extraction was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions using a commercial
kit (Wizard Genomic, Promega, USA).

**DNA quantification**

It was performed by absorbance measure at 260 nm and 280 nm using a DNA quantifier (Biowave DNA, WPA, UK).

**Sample storage**

Bacterial culture was cryopreserved at -80°C in vials with BHI medium (Brain Heart Infusion) (Britania, Argentina) with 15% glycerol (Lasca, Paraguay). DNA aliquots from each sample were cryopreserved at -20°C.

**Real-time PCR standardization**

Oligonucleotides and reaction conditions described by Brusa et al were used. They reported a limit of detection of $1 \times 10^2$ CFU/mL, as well as inclusivity and exclusivity of 100%.

Melting temperature (Tm) of PCR products was determined experimentally using as positive controls three strains that carriers stx1, stx2, and stx1 y stx2 genes respectively, this temperature was corroborated theoretically using OligoCalc (program). Samples were considered positive when they generated the same melting curve as the positive control. Results from real-time PCR were compared with the results from a multiplex PCR previously described.

**Bacterial isolates, serogroups and virulence factors detection**

Three positive samples with the shorter cqs were selected and grew in Luria Bertani (LB)
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agar plates at 37°C for 24 hours. 20-50 isolates were analyzed from selected samples. DNA was extracted from each isolate that was previously grown in liquid LB at 37°C for 24 hours; virulence factors genes (stx1, stx2, eae, exha, saa); and serogroups (O26, O45, O103, O104, O111, O121, O145 y O157, O78) were detected, following the conditions previously described²,¹⁸–²¹.

RESULTS
Real-time PCR standardization
A sigmoidal amplification curve was observed for both genes in ground beef that was artificially injected with STEC strains, and no amplification was observed in the negative control. The melting curve showed a single peak at 79°C in concordance with the theoretical value. Minor peaks were not observed, these generally are associated with the formation of primer dimers or other secondary products (Fig. 1).

Cross-reactions test
To verify that the technique did not give false positives through cross-reactions, we included four STEC strains as controls with the following genetic profiles stx1, stx2, stx1/stx2, and an E. coli strain without stx genes respectively. No cross-amplifications were observed between stx1 and stx2 genes.

Fig. 2. a) Amplification curve of stx1 gene. b) The melting curve of stx1. c) Amplification curve of stx2. d) Melting curve of stx2. Blue curves represent positive control, red curves represent negative control of PCR assay, black curves represent negative control of the DNA extraction process, and green curves ground beef samples from different butcheries.
**stx1/stx2 detection in ground beef**

The 48 ground beef samples showed fluorescence peaks with the same melting curve of positive controls. No amplification was detected in negative controls from ADN extraction and real-time PCR assay.

All samples were positive for both genes with a wide cₚ range between 14.6- 34.4 for stx₁, and 15- 32.2 for stx₂ demonstrating differences in the initial bacteria amount among them.

stx₁/stx₂ amplification was performed by a multiplex PCR in the same ground beef samples; this technique was previously developed and used in the laboratory. An excellent concordance between real-time PCR and multiplex PCR results was observed with a kappa index of 0.76 for stx₁. For this gene, four discordances were recorded, four positive samples for real-time PCR were negative samples for Multiplex PCR, all of them with prolonged cₚ values of 29.8 to 32.6. For the stx₂ gene, the highest concordance between the methods was observed with a kappa index of 0.86, with two in consistencies that were positive samples for real-time PCR and negative ones for multiplex PCR. The cₚ of the discordant samples were also prolonged 29.8 and 29.9 respectively.

rfbO₁₅₇ detection in ground beef

rfbO₁₅₇ was not detected by a conventional PCR in any sample.

**STEC isolates**

Real-time PCR results were confirmed by isolation of STEC strains from selected ground beef samples then their genetic characterization was done by conventional PCR. Three positive samples from different butcheries were selected because they presented the lowest values of cₚ. The analysis of a total of 150 isolates allowed the detection of 12 STEC strains, any of them have the eae gene which is why they were classified as LEE-negative (without the locus of enterocyte effacement), also O₁₅₇-negative, serogroups O₂₆, O₄₅, O₁₀₃, O₁₀₄, O₁₁₁, O₁₂₁, O₁₄₅ were not detected either. The virulence profiles were stx₁/stx₂/saa/exhA in 9 isolates, stx₂/saa/exhA in two isolates and stx₁/saa/exhA in one isolate. Real-time PCR results were confirmed by a multiplex PCR that detects other regions of the stx₁ and stx₂ genes, as well as standard microbiological methods for identification of viable STEC isolates, which virulence profiles were detected by molecular methods.

**DISCUSSION**

Our previous evaluation of butcheries showed shortcomings in infrastructure, as well as failures in good hygiene practices at the local shops. The fact that all butcheries were classified as high risk of selling products that could be contaminated with pathogens¹⁷, highlights the need for control systems based on the education of sellers and consumers about standard operating methods. These results were consistent with the findings of STEC in all ground beef samples.

Real-time PCR for STEC detection showed advantages like a sensitive and specific amplification of target genes without interferences of the complex matrix. This fact has been demonstrated by the successful detection of target genes in artificially contaminated meat. Ground beef is a very complex sample because it has a lot of amplification inhibitors, very low bacterial load, and high background of other bacteria, so finding the right extraction method is complicated²².

Most of the commercial real-time PCR kits are based on probes labeled with fluorophores, allowing simultaneous detection of different targets⁹, being much more expensive than those based on DNA intercalary agents. This fact could be an important factor for the selection of screening method due to a large number of samples to be analyzed and the low-resource in a developing country. Previous studies showed comparable results between real-time PCR techniques that based on probes and those with SYBR Green⁹,¹¹,²², Brusa et al. found a correlation between RT-PCT and SYBR-PCR of 0.758 in stx₁ and 0.802 in stx₂ (p<0.01)²².

Real-time PCR results showed a high concordance with multiplex PCR results for both genes. In fact, it showed that the real-time PCR has a higher sensitivity than the conventional PCR, being able to detect both genes in samples that were negative for multiplex PCR, due to a very low amount of gene targets revealed by cₚ values between 29 and 35⁹,¹¹,¹⁴,¹⁵,²⁴,²⁵. This high sensitivity represents an advantage of this technique due to pathogenic STEC having a very low infectious dose, 10 to 100 bacteria per gram of food could produce...
disease\textsuperscript{1,10,14}. At this moment control systems need to apply sensitive screening methods to evaluate meat contamination.

In this study, the \textit{rfbO157} gene was not detected in any sample. This serotype was barely reported in Paraguay, limited to specific reports of 4\% of \textit{E. coli} O157: H7 in food, and a clinical case of STEC O157: H7 in a child with HUS\textsuperscript{26,27}. Even though STEC O157 was not detected; this doesn’t mean that there is no risk of outbreaks when contaminated meat products are on sale.

The quality criteria demanded by international control agencies have changed due to the emergence of hybrid strains such as STEC/EAEc strain that caused one of the biggest outbreaks registered in Europe in 2011\textsuperscript{13}. Those new criteria have included in the analysis of meat products the detection of other serotypes in addition to the O157: H7, as well as virulence genes profile of strains\textsuperscript{7}. There are more than 400 non-O157 serotypes that caused human diseases and outbreaks. They were found in different reservoirs such as water, meat, and vegetables, thus environments, which are in frequent contact with humans\textsuperscript{15}.

It’s essential to isolate STEC strains in samples that were positive for \textit{stx1} and \textit{stx2} by molecular methods, to confirm if pathogens are viable in the sample, and also to know their virulence profile as it provides a lot of information about its pathogenicity\textsuperscript{3,7}. However, bacterial isolation from meat samples is a challenge for a lot of factors such as scarce phenotypic differentiation between \textit{E. coli} and other species such as \textit{Shigella} spp, low initial STEC load, and high background of other species that inhibit the growth of the target\textsuperscript{3,7,14,28}.

Microbiological analysis of positive ground beef samples with the lowest ct values showed bacteria viability and allowed isolation of 12 STEC non-O157 strains, virulence gene profiles that included combinations of \textit{stx1}/\textit{stx2}/\textit{saa}/\textit{ehxA}, \textit{stx1}/\textit{saa}/\textit{ehxA}, and \textit{stx2}/\textit{saa}/\textit{ehxA}. None of these isolates belonged to higher clinical relevant serogroups O26, O45, O103, O104, O111, O121, O145, and O157; neither have \textit{eae}, so they were classified as LEE-negative. These findings highlight that we need to apply standard operative practices and strengthen our control systems to avoid the contamination of meat products. Even with these troubling results, it is important to emphasize that EFSA classified LEE-negative strains as low risk of causing outbreaks and severe infection. This is positive for the meat industry, although it remains necessary to take preventive measures mainly in animal slaughtering process and meat product handling.

Our previous evaluation results base on risk factors in building, equipment, and instruments, as well as workers hygienic habits of butcheries had a high concordance with molecular analysis of ground beef samples. This evaluation protocol represents an accessible and cheap tool that could be used to audit butcheries, applied by control systems as well as owners for internal control. We proposed to use this simple tool to classify the risk levels of butcheries, to be able to work on improving places with high risk and workers education.
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