Dynamics of the 2006/2007 dengue outbreak in Brazil
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We analyzed dengue incidence in the period between October 2006-July 2007 of 146 cities around the country were Larval Index Rapid Assay (LIRA) surveillance was carried out in October 2006. Of these, we chosen 61 cities that had 500 or more cases reported during this period. We calculated the incidence coefficient, the basic reproduction number (R0) of dengue in those 61 cities and correlated those variables with the LIRA. We concluded that λ and R0 are more associated with the number of cases than LIRA. In addition, the average R0 for the 2006/2007 dengue season was almost as high as that calculated for the 2001/2002 season, the worst in Brazilian history.
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Dengue fever (DF), a Flaviridae infection transmitted by peridomestic Aedes aegypti (Gubler & Kuno 1997) re-appeared as a major urban epidemic in Brazil in 1986 (Marques et al. 1994, Massad et al. 2001, Luz et al. 2003) and is currently the most important arthropod-borne viral disease in Brazil (Luz et al. 2003). By the year 2000, all 26 states had reported DF cases. In the period 1986-2007, DF caused 4,559,812 officially reported cases (MS 2007a) with 493 deaths (MS 2007b).

The worst year in history was 2002, with the introduction of DEN-3, when 794,219 cases were reported. In the following years, DEN-3 spread itself throughout the country, causing several important outbreaks (MS 2007c). In 2006, 345,922 dengue cases were reported, with 682 dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) cases causing 76 deaths (MS 2007c). From January to July 2007, 438,949 classical DF cases were reported, with 926 cases of DHF, causing 98 deaths (MS 2007c). In this year DEN-3 virus caused 81% of the cases.

An important observation related to dengue transmission is that, in some subtropical areas like Brazil, the density of mosquitoes (Forattini et al. 1993a, b, 1995) and the number of DF cases starts to increase in number at the beginning of the rainy season (October) with the cases of dengue peaking more than three months later (Coutinho et al. 2006).

Long-term control of dengue and condition for outbreak occurrence has relied mainly on larval index (LI), that is, the proportion of houses with breeding places harboring aedes larvae which, paradoxically, has not demonstrated a good correlation with the number of DF cases (Gubler & Kuno 1997, Burattini et al. 2007). One of the few studies that indirectly show some correlation between the house index and the number of dengue cases is the work by Cunha et al. (1997), in which it is suggested, although it is not demonstrated, a relationship between low number of cases and low house index in a community of Rio de Janeiro. In Brazil, an alternative approach to the so-called container indexes (German et al. 1980, Bang et al. 1981) for larval surveillance was proposed in order to optimize the logistics of its operation. It consists of random sampling a relatively small number of dwellings in which surveillance of aedes-positive breeding places is carried out simultaneously in several cities around the country. This strategy has been called Larval Index Rapid Assay (LIRA).

In this paper we analyze the period October 2006-July 2007 of 146 Brazilian cities that carried out LIRA surveillance in October 2006. Of these, we choose those 61 cities that experienced 500 or more dengue cases in the period. We calculate the incidence coefficient (IC), the force of infection (λ) and the basic reproduction number (R0) of dengue in those 61 cities and cross-correlate those variables along with the LIRA, in order to estimate which parameter related to the intensity of transmission is the best predictor of the number of cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

LIRA - Consisted in the simultaneous visit of dwellings randomly chosen of 146 cities representing all the Brazilian regions (MS 2005). LIRA is a random sampling technique in which the sample unit corresponds to 9,000 to 12,000 dwellings, from which a maximum of 450 houses are randomly selected for inspection. The LI obtained are the proportion of houses with A. aegypti larvae and the Breteau index. It is being used in Brazil since 2003.

Calculation of λ and R0 - Of the 146 cities visited for the LIRA surveillance, we selected 61 that had 500 or...
more reported cases and experienced a dengue outbreak in the period. By outbreak we understand the sudden exponential rise in the number of cases in the beginning of the transmission season. The list of those 61 cities can be seen in Table.

The calculation of $R_0$ can be carried out from the initial exponential growing phase of the number of cases as detailed in Massad et al. (2001). However, we show in the appendix a summary of the derivation of $R_0$ and $\lambda$.

From equations (5) and (6) of the appendix (Supplementary data) we obtain the relationship between $R_0$ and $\lambda$ for each one of the 61 cities, such as:

$$R_0 = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda(\mu + \gamma)}{\gamma \mu}$$

In this equation, $\lambda$ is the growing rate of the number of dengue cases in the initial exponential phase, the incidence rate or $\lambda$ of the disease in this phase. By fitting the proportion of new cases to an exponential curve, we can estimate $R_0$. The values of the parameters were $\mu = 0.156$ weeks$^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 1$ week$^{-1}$ (Massad et al. 2001).

**Statistical analysis** - We fitted exponential curves by the method of the Minimum Squares to the number of cases in each week starting in October 2006 for each one of the 61 chosen cities. As mentioned above, the growing rate of the number of cases in the initial exponential phase, $\lambda$ corresponds to the incidence rate or $\lambda$ of the disease in this phase (equation 4 of the appendix).

The IC per 100,000 inhabitants, $\lambda$ and $R_0$ of dengue in those 61 cities were correlated with their respective LIRA. As a first approximation to estimate the correlations between the variables, we carried out a linear regression analysis that correlated the LIRA versus $\lambda$; LIRA versus $R_0$; LIRA versus IC; $\lambda$ versus the IC; and $R_0$ versus IC. The strength of the above correlations was estimated by the calculation of the Pearson’s correlation coefficients. Of course other functional associations between the variables could serve the same purpose. However, we chosen a linear regression because the correlations found were poor for all tested function and so we chosen the linear for simplicity. All the statistical analyses were carried out with the software SPSS 15.0.

**RESULTS**

Table shows the 61 cities analyzed with the respective values of the LIRA, $\lambda$, $R_0$, the population size, IC and the goodness of fit of the exponential model.

In Fig. 1 we show an example of a city (Paranavaí) that resulted in a particularly accurate fitting of dengue cases. Note the exponential shape of the fitted curve and the resulting incidence of 0.32 per capita new cases per week.

In Figs 2 and 3 we show the correlation between LIRA and $\lambda$ and LIRA and the $R_0$, respectively. Note that LIRA significantly correlates with both $\lambda$ and $R_0$.

Finally, we tested the relation between LIRA, $\lambda$ and $R_0$ with dengue IC per 100,000 inhabitants for each city analyzed. Results are shown in Figs 4-6, respectively. It is noteworthy that LIRA correlates with both $\lambda$ and $R_0$, but none of them correlated with IC. Note also the pres-
TABLE
Results of the analysis with the 61 chosen Brazilian cities

| City              | LIRA | λ    | r    | p     | R₀   | dengue cases incidence |
|-------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------------------------|
| Uberlândia        | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.810| < 0.001| 2.26 | 682                     |
| Parnamirim        | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.875| < 0.001| 2.35 | 1.175                   |
| Duque de Caxias   | 1.79 | 0.17 | 0.798| < 0.001| 2.45 | 664                     |
| Belford Roxo      | 2.85 | 0.17 | 0.934| < 0.001| 2.45 | 834                     |
| Nova Iguacu       | 1.96 | 0.19 | 0.901| < 0.001| 2.64 | 1.154                   |
| Serra             | 3.58 | 0.19 | 0.673| 0.007 | 2.64 | 1.908                   |
| Juiz de Fora      | 0.31 | 0.2  | 0.949| < 0.001| 2.74 | 509                     |
| Vitória           | 3.49 | 0.21 | 0.962| < 0.001| 2.84 | 888                     |
| Sabará            | 1.34 | 0.22 | 0.765| < 0.001| 2.94 | 654                     |
| Rio Verde         | 1.90 | 0.22 | 0.963| < 0.001| 2.94 | 872                     |
| Londrina          | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.957| < 0.001| 3.04 | 3.891                   |
| Brasília          | 1.37 | 0.23 | 0.919| < 0.001| 3.15 | 541                     |
| Belém             | 1.63 | 0.23 | 0.821| < 0.001| 3.15 | 4.812                   |
| Araguaina         | 2.06 | 0.23 | 0.970| < 0.001| 3.25 | 1.838                   |
| Manaus            | 1.65 | 0.24 | 0.973| < 0.001| 3.35 | 2.504                   |
| Teresina          | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.898| < 0.001| 3.35 | 7.423                   |
| Belo Horizonte    | 1.78 | 0.25 | 0.977| < 0.001| 3.35 | 751                     |
| São José do Rio Preto | 2.00 | 0.28 | 0.979| < 0.001| 3.45 | 827                     |
| Vila Velha        | 2.67 | 0.26 | 0.856| 0.002 | 3.45 | 1.484                   |
| Maracanaã         | 0.93 | 0.27 | 0.983| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Araçatuba         | 1.25 | 0.27 | 0.981| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Cambé             | 1.34 | 0.27 | 0.924| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Campos dos Goytacazes | 2.18 | 0.27 | 0.818| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Itabora           | 2.23 | 0.27 | 0.932| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Niterói           | 2.30 | 0.27 | 0.887| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Contagem          | 1.10 | 0.28 | 0.979| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Ribeirão das Neves| 1.68 | 0.28 | 0.989| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Barretos          | 2.86 | 0.28 | 0.969| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Angra dos Reis    | 2.00 | 0.285| 0.969| 0.002 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Aparecida de Goiânia | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.927| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Betim             | 1.40 | 0.29 | 0.971| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Parnaíba          | 0.92 | 0.3  | 0.965| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Cabo Frio         | 2.72 | 0.3  | 0.941| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Sobral            | 0.79 | 0.31 | 0.924| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Paranavaí         | 3.97 | 0.32 | 0.968| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| São Luís          | 2.17 | 0.33 | 0.962| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Resende           | 1.40 | 0.35 | 0.940| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Goiânia           | 3.14 | 0.35 | 0.948| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Guarulhos         | 0.65 | 0.38 | 0.919| 0.002 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Fortaleza         | 1.10 | 0.38 | 0.918| 0.002 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Macaé             | 2.01 | 0.38 | 0.906| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| São Paulo         | 0.23 | 0.4  | 0.932| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Rio de Janeiro    | 6.20 | 0.4  | 0.890| 0.003 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Governador Valadares | 6.25 | 0.4  | 0.818| 0.015 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Montes Claros     | 4.22 | 0.41 | 0.970| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Santos            | 0.89 | 0.42 | 0.673| 0.03  | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Maringá           | 1.51 | 0.43 | 0.866| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Foz do Iguaçu     | 9.85 | 0.43 | 0.981| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Cascavel          | 1.09 | 0.45 | 0.872| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Magé              | 2.72 | 0.47 | 0.918| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Osasco            | 1.47 | 0.49 | 0.922| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| São Gonçalo       | 2.00 | 0.49 | 0.966| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Campo Grande      | 1.71 | 0.52 | 0.980| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Macapá            | 1.06 | 0.53 | 0.580| 0.04  | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Piracicaba        | 1.85 | 0.54 | 0.937| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Ribeirão Preto    | 3.92 | 0.56 | 0.964| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Juazeiro do Norte | 1.79 | 0.6  | 0.928| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Coronel Fabriciano| 7.42 | 0.65 | 0.947| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Marília           | 1.13 | 0.67 | 0.929| < 0.001| 3.45 | 872                     |
| Cáceres           | 6.86 | 0.8  | 0.908| 0.008 | 3.45 | 872                     |
| Cacoal            | 2.74 | 0.82 | 0.967| 0.004 | 3.45 | 872                     |
61 cities analyzed. We compare the average values of $R_0$ for the years of focus where transmission is likely to occur. Being aimed to cover whole towns, should prioritize the $zilian National Program of Dengue Control, in spite of over, dengue control measures as proposed by the Bramogeneous area where the cases are happening. More - proportions or absolute numbers in an approximately ho- scale-invariant, that is, it could be expressed in terms of is based on known cases and our equations system is estimates of the intensity of transmission are due to the fact that all indexes used are based on larval stages. These have to develop into the further stages until the adult mosquitoes that, in turn, have to bite infected individuals and the new susceptibles. It is, therefore, expected that the large number of unknown parameters governing each step of that chain, would vary from place to place and from moment to moment and, therefore, would weakened those correlation. In spite of this, LIRA could be used as a proxy for the velocity of the following dengue epidemic growth. It. In addition, those regions with the higher $R_0$ are those likely to experience major impact of future outbreaks, especially when a new serotype starts to circulate.

However, when we try to calculate the association of these parameters with the incidence of dengue, none demonstrated to be significantly correlated with it. Nevertheless, when we compare the significance of the association between LIRA and incidence rate of dengue with the associations between $\lambda$ and $R_0$ and the incidence rate we observe that both $\lambda$ and $R_0$ are more correlated with incidence than LIRA. If we consider the large number of variables associated with dengue transmission we should expect a poor association between each of the parameters analyzed and the incidence of the infection. However, in view of the $p$-values found for each of them, we may conclude that both $\lambda$ and $R_0$ are better predictors of the total number of cases than the LIs currently used. This is probably so because $\lambda$ and $R_0$ are also related to human dengue epidemiological factors, such as previous dengue outbreaks and herd immunity effects, in addition to the entomological parameters.

We would like to emphasize the importance of the intensity of transmission parameters proposed in this work, namely $\lambda$ and $R_0$, both of which are more closely associated with the total number of cases than the LIs, although far from being reliable predictors of incidence. However, they are clear indicators of the intensity of outbreaks and relatively easy to calculate in the particular situation in which the number of cases start to increase after a relatively long period with very small number of cases.

As mentioned above, the current predominant strain in Brazil is DEN-3. It would be interesting to include a comment on potential differences in the outcomes now that DEN-2 started to predominate in several states of the country. Certainly, the fact that a great cohort of susceptibles to DEN-2 is available would increase all the estima -tors of the intensity of transmission analyzed in this study.

Finally, it is noteworthy that LIRA performed in nearly October has some predictive power on the velocity of epidemic growth, as demonstrated by the association found between LIRA, $\lambda$ and $R_0$. Nevertheless, LIRA is not reliable as a predictor of dengue incidence, a fact already
known for other LIs. However, the relation between LIRA and the rate of growth of dengue epidemic demonstrated herein is a new result and it is one of the most important results of this paper, since the results of LIRA obtained almost two months before the beginning of dengue outbreaks could serve as a guide for early intervention.
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Appendix - Let $S_H$ and $I_H$ be the number of susceptible and infectious individuals in a human population. Let also $S_m$ and $I_m$ be number of susceptible and infectious individuals, respectively, in a mosquito population. We can write (Massad et al. 2001):

\begin{equation}
\frac{dI_H}{dt} = aN_m \frac{I_m}{N_m} b \frac{S_H}{N_H} - \gamma I_H
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{dI_m}{dt} = \exp(-\mu \lambda) a S_m (t-\tau) \frac{I_H}{N_H} - \mu I_m
\end{equation}

In the first equation of system (1) $a$ is the average number of bites a mosquito inflicts in the human population, so that $aN_m$ is the total number of bites per unit of time and $b$ is the fraction of those bites that are actually infective for humans. Humans recover from infection with rate $\gamma$. Finally, only a fraction $S_H/N_H$ of the infective bites is in susceptible individuals, resulting in new infections.

In the second equation of system (1) the extrinsic incubation period is represented by $\tau$. Therefore, $aS_m(t-\tau)$ is the number of bites per unit time given by susceptible mosquitoes in the instant ($t-\tau$). A fraction $I_H/N_H$ of those bites is in infected humans, producing infected mosquitoes, a fraction $\exp(-\mu \lambda)$ of which survives the extrinsic incubation period $\tau$ to become infective for the rest of their lives. Mosquitoes are assumed to die by natural causes at a rate $\mu$.

Dividing the first equation of system (1) by $N_H$ (total human population) and the second by $N_m$ (total mosquito population) we have:

\begin{equation}
\frac{di_H}{dt} = ma_i b S_H - \gamma i_H
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{di_m}{dt} = \exp(-\mu \lambda) a S_m (t-\tau) i_H - \mu i_m
\end{equation}

whose general solution is

\begin{equation}
i_H = c_H \exp(\lambda t)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
i_m = c_m \exp(\lambda t)
\end{equation}

Taking the derivative of equations (4) and substituting the result in equations (3) we get:

\begin{equation}
\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \left[-(\mu + \lambda) \pm \sqrt{(\mu + \gamma)^2 - 4\mu \gamma + 4ma^2 b \exp(-\mu \tau)}\right]
\end{equation}

Remembering that $R_o$ is

\begin{equation}
R_o = \frac{ma^2 b \exp(\mu \lambda)}{\gamma \mu}
\end{equation}

Therefore, from equations (5) and (6) we obtain (Massad et al. 2001):

\begin{equation}
R_o = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda(\mu + \gamma)}{\gamma \mu}
\end{equation}