Genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis* in Household Dogs and Cats from Poland
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**Abstract**

Background *Giardia duodenalis* is a widespread protozoan parasite affecting humans and many species of animals, including dogs and cats. Due to its zoonotic potential, it is important to know the frequency of this parasite in companion animals. The aim of this study was to determine current epidemiological status of *G. duodenalis* in household dogs and cats.

Methods In this study, 293 fecal samples from pet dogs and cats were collected from January 2017 to July 2019 and tested for *G. duodenalis* by PCR (using β-giardin gene). The animals were divided into groups depending on their age, breed and fecal consistency.

Results The examination allowed for detection of *G. duodenalis* in 6.0% of canine and 3.9% of feline fecal samples. The highest frequency was revealed in young (under one-year old) dogs. Sequencing confirmed the presence of assemblages C and D in dogs and A and F in cats.

Conclusion The study showed current frequency of *G. duodenalis* in dogs and cats and also revealed the occurrence of host-specific assemblages as well as zoonotic assemblage A.
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**Introduction**

Dogs and cats are intimate companion animals of humans. According to data from 2019, there are over seven million dogs and over six million cats in Poland [40]. Despite numerous advantages of having a pet, close contact between humans and dogs or cats can result in zoonotic diseases. Important factors causing zoonotic diseases are parasites, such as *Giardia duodenalis*, *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Echinococcus* spp., *Dipylidium caninum* or *Toxocara* spp.

*Giardia duodenalis* (also known as *Giardia intestinalis* or *Giardia lamblia*) is a unicellular protozoan parasite affecting humans and many animal species. There are two morphological stages of *G. duodenalis*: a trophozoite and a cyst (infective stage). The parasite can colonize the upper small intestine but it was also found in the lower small intestine, stomach, colon and biliary tract [3, 7]. *G. duodenalis* transmission occurs via fecal–oral route (from contaminated water or food and directly from infected individuals) [5]. Giardiasis in dogs and cats can include diarrhea and weight loss or the disease can be asymptomatic.

In recent years, the infection rate of *G. duodenalis* in Europe ranged from 0.8% (Switzerland) to 42% (Germany) and from 5.9% (Spain) to 20.5% (Greece) in dogs and cats, respectively [11, 21, 31, 42].

Studies conducted in Poland between 2006 and 2017 revealed the frequency of *G. duodenalis* to range from 2 to 36% in dogs and from 3.2 to 15.1% in cats, depending on the examined population, geographical origin of the animal, and diagnostic methods (Table 1). The assemblages detected so far in Poland are B, C, D in dogs and A, B, D, F in cats. However, due to small areas covered by the previous studies, their outcomes did not reflect epidemiological situation for the entire area of Poland [4, 19, 29, 34, 43].

Since 2004 giardiasis has been considered by WHO a neglected disease [32]. Human giardiasis can be
asymptomatic or can cause persistent diarrhea or malabsorption associated with body weight loss [13].

*G. duodenalis* includes eight morphologically indistinguishable assemblages (A–H). The assemblages A and B are further divided into sub-genotypes AI, AII, AIII, BIII and BIV. Typical genotypes in dogs are C and D, but A and B can also be found, and exceptionally even E and F ones [6, 9, 12, 14, 20]. Genotype F is common in cats, which can be infected also by genotype A, E and rarely C [6, 21, 24]. Humans are almost exclusively infected by assemblages A and B but genotypes C, D, E and F were also found in rare cases [1, 6, 14, 30, 37].

Microscopic studies using fecal flotation enable detection of the cysts [35]. Other diagnostic methods involve detection of coproantigen, usually by ELISA. PCR techniques, based on the amplification of gene fragments encoding SSU rRNA, glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*), triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*) or *β*-giardin (*bg*), allow for detection of *Giardia* DNA and also for genotyping [15, 26]. Although the multilocus genotyping is considered the most useful, genotyping based on single locus with high sequence heterogeneity (such as *bg* or *tpi*) is commonly accepted, especially where the diagnosis is extended to the sequencing of the obtained PCR products [23, 36]. One of the most commonly used markers is *β*-giardin, which allows for a successful detection of the parasite by PCR and also enables genotyping and subgenotyping of assemblage A [5, 23]. *β*-giardin allows also for identification of mixed invasions, especially in the case of two-way analysis of the obtained sequences [31]. Moreover, one of the most sensitive and specific methods for detection of *Giardia* spp. is immunofluorescence and it is considered a reference standard assay for the detection of this parasite in dogs and cats feces [16, 38].

Due to the zoonotic potential of *G. duodenalis*, it is particularly important to determine its current infection rate in domestic animals. The overall prevalence and frequency of *G. duodenalis* genotypes in dogs and cats can indicate the potential risk of invasion in humans. The aim of this study was to run a molecular detection of *G. duodenalis* in fecal samples and to assess its overall frequency broken into frequency of each genotype in household dogs and cats from Poland.

### Methods

#### Study area and sample collection

A total of 293 fresh fecal samples were obtained between January 2017 and July 2019 from individual, randomly chosen household dogs (217 samples) and cats (76 samples) living in different regions of Poland. The area of Polish territory is over 312,000 square kilometers divided into 16 provinces. The examined samples came from nine provinces (Pomerania, Greater Poland, Lower Silesia, Opolskie Voivodship, Silesia, Lodzkie Voivodship, Holy Cross, Lesser Poland, Subcarpathian), which account for nearly 153,000 square kilometers (about 50% of the country area) (Fig. 1). The samples, collected by pet owners, were placed individually into disposable plastic bags. The age of the animals ranged from nine weeks to eleven years. They were grouped based on the age (under one-year old, over one-year old), breed and feces consistency (formed, unformed). About 1 g of each sample was frozen at -80°C for further analysis.

### Table 1  *Giardia duodenalis* in dogs and cats in Poland, 2006–2017

| Area of Poland               | Populations   | No. of examined samples | No. of positive samples | Infection rate (%) | Method      | Assemblages (if examined) | References |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|
| **Dogs**                    |               |                         |                         |                    |             |                          |            |
| Warsaw                      | Owned         | 350                     | 18                      | 5.14               | Microscopy  | A-I, C, D                | [43]       |
| Unknown                     | Sled          | 64                      | –                       | 35.9               | IFA         |                          | [4]        |
| West-central region of Poland | Sheltered    | 88                      | 2                       | 2.3                | Microscopy  | C, D                    | [34]       |
| Wrocław                    | Owned         | 128                     | 27                      | 21.1               | PCR (bg)    | B, C, D                  | [29]       |
| **Cats**                    |               |                         |                         |                    |             |                          |            |
| Warsaw                      | Household     | 160                     | 6                       | 3.75               | Microscopy  | A, B, D                  | [19]       |
| Wrocław                    | Owned         | 33                      | 5                       | 15.1               | PCR (bg)    | F, A                    | [29]       |
| Unknown                     | Pet           | 31                      | 1                       | –                  | PCR         | F                       | [22]       |
| strays                      |               | 33                      | 2                       | –                  | –           | F                       |            |

*DNA was isolated from microscopy-positive samples only
DNA extraction

DNA was isolated from 100 mg of each fecal sample using Genomic Mini AX Stool (A&A Biotechnology, Poland) as per the manufacturer's instruction. The DNA samples were stored at –80 °C until further use.

PCR amplification

To identify *G. duodenalis* in the stool samples, fragments covering β-giardin gene were amplified by nested PCR. The amplification of a 763 bp region was carried out using a forward primer G7 (5′ AAG CCC GAC GAC CTC ACC CGC AGT GC3′) and a reverse primer G759 (5′ GAG GCC GCC CTG GAT CTT CGA GAC GAC3′). For secondary PCR, 587 bp fragment was amplified using 1 µl of the first PCR product. The secondary PCR was carried out using nested forward 511 (5′ GAA CGA ACG AGA TCG AGG TCCG′3) and nested reverse 511 (5′ CTC GAC GAG CTT CGT GTT 3′). The mixture composition and PCR conditions were described by Lalle et al. (2005) [23]. PCR mix consisted of a buffer containing 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 mM of each dNTP (dNTP mix 10, A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) 10 pmol of each primer, 2.5 units of RUN DNA polymerase (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) and 3 µl (1 µl in secondary PCR) of purified DNA in a final volume of 25 µl. PCR was performed using a thermocycler BioRad T100™ Thermal Cycler. Primary PCR conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 5 min for 1 cycle, 96 °C for 45 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s for 35 cycles followed by 72 °C for 7 min. The secondary PCR products were examined electrophoretically in 2% agarose gels and visualized after staining with Midori Green Advance DNA Stain (Genetics, Germany).

DNA sequencing and data analysis

PCR products purification and sequencing was performed by Genomed (Poland) in both directions. The resulting chromatograms were visually assessed to exclude the presence of double peaks. The obtained sequences were compared by a blast search (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) with sequences deposited in GenBank. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using neighbor-joining method with MEGA 4 free software. Evaluation of the reliability of the clusters was confirmed using Bootstrap values (1000 replicates). Reference sequences used in the phylogenetic tree were: AB508814.1 for assemblage A; MN270296.1, KX757753.1 for assemblage C; JN416550.1, JN416548.1, JN416559.1 and LC316659.1 for assemblage D and LC341557.1 for assemblage F.

Statistical analysis

The frequency of *G. duodenalis* infections presented in the tables shows the percentage of positive samples in the studied population. We also provided confidence intervals (CI) at the level of 95% (p = 0.05), calculated according to the Wilson method. The chi-square test ($\chi^2$) with Yates correction implemented in STATISTICA ver. 12.0 software package was used to compare the differences in *Giardia* infection rates among the investigated groups. Differences were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

The presence of *G. duodenalis* DNA was detected in 13/217 (6.0%) canine and in 3/76 (3.9%) feline fecal samples. Higher frequency of the infection was observed in dogs under 1 year old (13/107, 12.2%) ($p < 0.05$). Among the dog breeds, *Giardia* infections were most frequently observed in French bulldogs (4 out of 16; 25%), and the infection rate was significantly higher than in other breeds ($p < 0.05$). There were no statistically significant differences connected with age and breed in cats or fecal consistency in both species (Table 2). All 16 PCR-positive samples were successfully sequenced. In dogs, 10 isolates were the closest to assemblage D (77%) and 3 to assemblage C (23%). In cats, two were the closest to assemblage F (67%), and one to assemblage A (33%). Detailed data
are included in Table 3. The phylogenetic relationship of Giardia isolates and reference sequences for A, C, D and F assemblages are showed in Fig. 2.

**Table 2** Occurrence of *Giardia duodenalis* in dogs and cats in relation to clinical symptoms

| Animal species (n) | Feces condition (n) | No. of positive animals | Infection frequency (CI<sup>a</sup>) | Sample symbol | Animal age (months) | Animal breed | Giardia genotype |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Dog (217)          | Formed (64)         | 3                       | 4.7 (1.6–12.9)                      | DV80          | 3                 | West Highland White Terrier | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G32           | 2                 | American Staffordshire Terrier | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | D99           | 9                 | Greater Swiss Mountain Dog | D |
|                    | Unformed (153)      | 10                      | 6.5 (3.6–11.6)                      | G67           | 2                 | French bulldog | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | D124          | 5                 | French bulldog | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | DV67          | 9                 | Siberian Husky | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G30           | 6                 | Dachshund | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G102          | 2                 | German Shepherd | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G127          | 3                 | Siberian Husky | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G203          | 2                 | French bulldog | D |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G198          | 3                 | French bulldog | C |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G205          | 2                 | German Shepherd | C |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G15           | 2                 | Berger Blanc Suisse | C |
| Cat (76)           | Formed (34)         | 2                       | 5.9% (0.7–19.7)                     | DV74          | 4                 | Exotic Shorthair | A |
|                    |                     |                         |                                      | G217          | 6                 | Mixed breed | F |
|                    | Unformed (42)       | 1                       | 2.4% (0.1–12.6)                     | G87           | 13                | Mixed breed | F |

<sup>a</sup>CI=95% confidence interval according to the modified (adjusted) Wald method

**Discussion**

The occurrence of *G. duodenalis* in dogs and cats depends mainly on the examined area but also on the diagnostic methods or examined groups (different living condition or age). In this study, the frequency of *G. duodenalis* found

**Table 3** Comparison of *Giardia duodenalis* isolates (genotyping β-giardin gene) in dogs and cats in Poland

| Host | Assemblage | Sample | Reference sequence | Stretch | SNPs |
|------|------------|--------|--------------------|---------|------|
| Dog  | D          | G67 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | None |
| Dog  | D          | G203 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | None |
| Dog  | D          | D124 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | T115C |
| Dog  | D          | G32 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | T115C |
| Dog  | D          | DV67 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | T115C |
| Dog  | D          | DV80 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | A67T, G109A, T115C |
| Dog  | D          | G30 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | T115C |
| Dog  | D          | G102 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | T115C |
| Dog  | D          | G127 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | G109A, T115C |
| Dog  | D          | D99 JN416559.1 | 45–471 | G109A, T115C |
| Dog  | C          | G205 KX757753.1 | 64–410 | None |
| Dog  | C          | D198 KX757753.1 | 64–410 | G175C, T207C |
| Dog  | C          | G15 KX757753.1 | 64–410 | T207C |
| Cat  | A          | DV74 AB508814.1 | 97–559 | T419C |
| Cat  | F          | G217 LC341557.1 | 20–452 | T100C, T268C |
| Cat  | F          | G87 LC341557.1 | 20–452 | T49G, T100C |
in fecal samples of dogs from different regions of Poland was 6.0% and this result fell within the lower limit of previous results from Poland [4, 29, 34, 43]. Assessment of this frequency was based on amplification of bg locus, a highly sensitive and widely recommended marker [9, 23]. However, some authors reported that DNA amplification can be difficult due to PCR inhibitors in feces [38]. Our study showed lower percentage of positive samples (6%) than some other recent publications on household dogs in Europe involving molecular methods (29% in Spain, 12.9% in Greece, 42% in Germany) [11, 21, 31]. High frequency of Giardia noted in Germany can be connected with lower number of examined samples and this result cannot be representative for the entire country. In our study, significantly higher frequency of the parasite was noted in young dogs, under one-year old (14%). The age of the dogs seems to be an important risk factor for G. duodenalis, as previously described. A recent study conducted by Pan et al. (2018), based on amplification of bg and tpi genes, showed significantly higher detection rate of Giardia in young (16.1%) than in adult dogs (7.6%) [28]. Shin et al. (2015) also used bg gene as a molecular marker and confirmed significantly higher prevalence in young, sheltered dogs [33]. We found no cases of G. duodenalis in the dogs over one-year old, however, many studies detected the presence of this parasite also in adult dogs [21, 28]. Among the examined breeds, French bulldogs were the most often affected by the parasite. This breed is commonly considered to be predisposed to many diseases, especially connected with respiratory and reproductive systems [27]. There are many opinions about pathogenicity of giardiasis in both humans and animals. Mochizuky et al. (2001) noticed almost equal frequency of G. duodenalis in symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs [25]. We found no statistically significant differences connected with fecal consistency in the examined groups, however, some authors showed higher prevalence of the parasite in diarrheic dogs. For example, higher prevalence of G.
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