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1. Introduction

By an “extraspecial tower” we mean a group $G$ with a series of normal subgroups $G = N_0 > N_1 > N_2 > \cdots$ such that $N_i/N_{i+1}$ is extraspecial and $N_{i+1}$ is contained in the derived group of $N_i$ for each $i$. It is the construction of such groups which is the primary objective of this paper.

The following example sparked our interest in this problem. Let $Q$ and $E$ be (respectively) the quaternion group of order 8 and the nonabelian group of order 27 and exponent 3. Let $Q^n$ be the central product of $n$ copies of $Q$ and let $E^n$ be the central product of $n$ copies of $E$, so that $Q^n$ and $E^n$ are extraspecial groups of orders $2^{2n+1}$ and $3^{2n+1}$ respectively. The group $GL_2(F_3)$, itself a semidirect product $S_3 \ltimes Q$ (where $S_3$ is the symmetric group of degree 3), can be embedded in the automorphism group of $E$. The semidirect product $(S_3 \ltimes Q) \ltimes E$ embeds in $Aut(Q^3)$, and the semidirect product $((S_3 \ltimes Q) \ltimes E) \ltimes Q^3$ embeds in the automorphism group of $E^4$. It is natural to ask whether this can be continued indefinitely. In fact it can be, but the extraspecial groups start to increase rapidly in size: the next two are $Q^{81}$ and $E^{2^{280}}$.

The inductive process we use to construct the groups proceeds as follows. Let $p_i - 1$ and $p_i$ be primes, and assume that $G_i$ is a semidirect product $G_i \ltimes E_{i-1}$, where $E_{i-1}$ is an extraspecial $p_{i-1}$-group. An extraspecial $p_i$-group $E_i$ is chosen so that $E_{i-1}$ acts faithfully on the central quotient $V_i = E_i/Z(E_i)$, regarded as a vector space over a field of characteristic $p_i$. We then embed $G_i$ in a group $A_i$ of automorphisms of $E_i$, so that we may form the semidirect product $G_{i+1} = G_i \ltimes E_i$ and repeat the process. This embedding is accomplished by extending the representation of $E_{i-1}$ on $V_i$ to a representation of $A_{i-1} \ltimes E_{i-1}$.
The towers which are of most interest to us are ones which are minimal, in the sense that at each stage the $E_{i-1}$-module $V_i$ is either irreducible, or as close to irreducible as possible. Without this requirement there would be no particular difficulty in constructing extraspecial towers.

The groups $A_i$ which arise in the construction are either symplectic or unitary groups; the representations of the $A_i \times E_i$ are the so-called “Weil representations”, which have been investigated by many authors. In our treatment, which is most influenced by Ward [8,9] and Gérardin [2], we give explicit splittings of the factor set which arises in the construction of the Weil representations, including a determination of the correct sign.

We would like to thank to referee for several helpful comments.

2. Basic properties of extraspecial groups

In this section we introduce some notation and state, without proof, some basic properties of extraspecial groups. Proofs can be found in Gorenstein [5], for instance.

A finite group $P$ of $p$-power order, where $p$ is a prime, is said to be extraspecial if $P'$ (the derived group), $Z(P)$ (the centre) and $\Phi(P)$ (the Frattini subgroup) all have order $p$. All extraspecial groups are central products of extraspecial groups of order $p^3$, and for each prime $p$ there are two isomorphism classes of extraspecial groups of order $p^3$. For $p = 2$ they are represented by the quaternion group $Q$ and the dihedral group $D$. For $p \neq 2$ they are represented by a group $E$ of exponent $p$ and a group $M$ of exponent $p^2$. Every extraspecial group has order $p^{2n+1}$ for some integer $n$, and is isomorphic to precisely one of the central products $D^n$ or $D^{n-1}Q$ (if $p = 2$), or $E^n$ or $E^{n-1}M$ (if $p \neq 2$). In this paper we will consider extraspecial towers constructed from the first three of these four types of extraspecial groups.

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $K$. In this section we assume that $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ is a prime field. Let $f: V \times V \to K$ be a bilinear form, and let $f^t$ be the form defined by $(x, y) \mapsto f(y, x)$. Assume that $f - f^t$ is non-degenerate; since $f - f^t$ is alternating, this implies that $\dim_K V$ is even. Furthermore, the set $E(f) = V \times K$ becomes a group if multiplication is defined by

$$(x_1, z_1)(x_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, z_1 + z_2 + f(x_1, x_2))$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V$ and $z_1, z_2 \in K$. Observe that $(0, 0)$ is the identity element, $(x, z)^j = (jx, jz + \frac{1}{2}j(j - 1)f(x, x))$ for all integers $j$, and

$$(x_1, z_1)^{-1}(x_2, z_2)^{-1}(x_1, z_1)(x_2, z_2) = (0, (f - f^t)(x_1, x_2)).$$
Since \( f - f^t \) is nondegenerate we see that both the centre and derived group are equal to \( Z = \{ (0, z) \mid z \in K \} \), and since \( (x, z)^p \in Z \) for all \( x \) and \( z \) it follows that \( E(f) \) is extraspecial. Furthermore, if \( p \) is odd then \( (x, z)^p = 1 \) for all \( x \) and \( z \), so that \( E(f) \) has exponent \( p \). In summary, \( E(f) \cong E^n \) when \( p \) is odd and \( \dim V = 2n \).

Suppose that \( V = \{ (x, y) \mid x, y \in K \} \). In the case \( p = 2 \), let \( f_D \) be the bilinear form defined by

\[
  f_D((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = y_1 x_2 = (x_1 y_1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and similarly let \( f_Q \) be the bilinear form with matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). It is easily checked that \( E(f_D) \cong D \) and \( E(f_Q) \cong Q \). In the case when \( p \) is an odd prime let \( f_E \) be the bilinear form with matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \), so that \( E(f_E) \cong E \).

When \( f = f_D, f_Q \) or \( f_E \) we write elements of the group \( E(f) \) as triples \((x, y, z) \) of elements of \( F_p \).

Recall that if \( f_i : V_i \times V_i \to K \) (for \( i = 1, 2 \)) are bilinear forms then \( f_1 \oplus f_2 \) defined by

\[
  (f_1 \oplus f_2)((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = f_1(x_1, y_1) + f_2(x_2, y_2)
\]

is a bilinear form on \( V_1 \oplus V_2 \). With \( f_D, f_Q \) and \( f_E \) as above it can be seen that the bilinear forms \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_D, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} f_D \oplus f_Q \) and \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_E \) act on \( 2n \)-dimensional spaces and satisfy

\[
  E(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_D) \cong D^n, \quad E(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} f_D \oplus f_Q) \cong D^{n-1}Q, \quad \text{and} \quad E(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_E) \cong E^n.
\]

The following well-known proposition can be used to determine the isomorphism type of \( E(f) \) in the case \( p = 2 \).

**2.1 Proposition.** Let \( f : V \times V \to F_2 \) be a bilinear form such that \( f - f^t \) is nondegenerate. The element \((x, z) \in E(f)\) has order 1 or 2 if and only if \( x \in V \) satisfies \( f(x, x) = 0 \). The number of such \( x \) is \( 2^{n-1}(2^n + 1) \) if \( E(f) \cong D^n \) and \( 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) \) if \( E(f) \cong D^{n-1}Q \).

If \( g \in \text{GL}(V) \) satisfies \( f(x_1 g, x_2 g) = f(x_1, x_2) \) for all \( x_1, x_2 \in V \) then clearly \((x, z) \mapsto (xg, z) \) is an automorphism of \( E(f) \). Thus, for any group \( G \), a representation \( G \to \text{GL}(V) \) which preserves \( f \) gives rise to an action of \( G \) on \( E(f) \), enabling the construction of a semidirect product \( G \ltimes E(f) \).
3. REPRESENTATIONS OF EXTRASPECIAL GROUPS

In this section we consider faithful irreducible representations of the groups $E(f)$, and forms they preserve. Parts of this exposition may be found in [5]. Suppose that $\rho: E(f) \to \text{GL}(V')$ is an absolutely irreducible representation, where $V'$ is a vector space over a field $K'$. Since the centre of $E(f)$ has order $p$ and must be faithfully represented by scalar transformations, $K'$ must contain a primitive $p^{\text{th}}$ root of 1. Let $\epsilon$ be such a root (so that $\epsilon = -1$ if $p = 2$).

We are primarily interested in the case when $K'$ is a finite field, of characteristic $p'$, say. Clearly $p' \neq p$. Assuming that $K'$ contains the necessary primitive $p^{\text{th}}$ root of 1, we may write down faithful absolutely irreducible representations $\rho_E$, $\rho_D$ and $\rho_Q$ of $E(f_E)$, $E(f_D)$ and $E(f_Q)$. We define $\rho_E$ by

$$
(x, y, z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}^x \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \epsilon^{p-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{p-1}
\end{pmatrix}^y \epsilon^{z-xy}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and $\rho_D$ by

$$
(x, y, z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}^x \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}^y (\epsilon)^z
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Note that if $A$ is a matrix satisfying $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}$ then $(-1)^{\binom{z}{2}} A^x$ depends only on the parity of the integer $x$. So, abusing notation somewhat, we define $\rho_Q$ by

$$
(x, y, z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & \beta \\
\beta & -\alpha
\end{pmatrix}^x \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}^y (\epsilon)^z+\binom{z}{2}+\binom{y}{2} \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_2
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed elements of $K'$ such that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = -1$. Finiteness of $K'$ guarantees that suitable $\alpha$ and $\beta$ always exist.

Let $\rho$ be one of $\rho_D$, $\rho_Q$ or $\rho_E$ as defined above, where the $p \times p$ matrices over $K'$ are interpreted as right operators on the space $V'$ of $p$-component row vectors over $K'$. We seek an automorphism $\eta'$ of $K'$ (possibly the identity) and a $\eta'$-sesquilinear form $f'$ on $V'$ that is preserved by $\rho$. In matrix terms we have $f'(u, v) = uJ(v^{\eta'})$ for some matrix $J$, and $\rho$ preserves
f' if and only if $X J(X^{\tau_1}) = J$ for all $X \in \text{im } \rho$. We see that $J$ intertwines the absolutely irreducible representations $\rho$ and hence that $\rho \in \text{order } 2$ and inverts $f$. Then

$$f(f_1) = \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_n$$

and an automorphism inverting it, if and only if $E$ and $\rho$ and $\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_n$ of the same one-dimensional representation of $E$ over the prime field $p$.

We turn now to representations of the extraspecial groups $D^n, D^{n-1}Q$ and $E^n$. If $E_1 \cdots E_n$ is a central product of its subgroups $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ and $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n$ are absolutely irreducible representations of the $E_i$ lying over the same one-dimensional representation of $E_1 \cap \cdots \cap E_n$, then $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_n$ (defined by $\rho(g) = \rho(g_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho(g_n)$ whenever $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$ with $g_i \in E_i$) is an absolutely irreducible representation of $E_1 \cdots E_n$. Furthermore, if $\rho_i$ preserves a form $f_i$ then the unique bilinear form $f = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ which satisfies

$$f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i(u_i, v_i)$$

is preserved by $\rho$. Note that if each $f_i$ is nondegenerate then so is $f$. Thus the representation $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \rho_D$ of $D^n$ preserves the symmetric bilinear form $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} f_D'$, the representation $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_D) \otimes \rho_Q$ of $D^{n-1}Q$ preserves the alternating bilinear form $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} f_D') \otimes f'_Q$, and (provided $K'$ has a suitable automorphism $\eta'$) the representation $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \rho_E$ of $E^n$ preserves the $\eta'$-Hermitian form $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} f'_E$. In each case the form is nondegenerate, and the degree of the representation is $p^n$.

The faithful absolutely irreducible representation of an extraspecial 2-group over a field of odd characteristic $p'$ is unique up to equivalence, and we have shown that it may be realized over the prime field $\mathbf{F}_{p'}$. For odd $p$ the extraspecial $p$-group $E^n$ has an absolutely irreducible representation $\rho(\epsilon)$ for each choice of $\epsilon$ (the primitive $p^{th}$ root of 1), and the $p - 1$
possible choices of $\epsilon$ yield inequivalent representations. These may all be realized over $K' = \mathbb{F}_{q'}(\sqrt{1}) = \mathbb{F}_{q'}$, where $q' = (p')^r$ is the least power of $p'$ such that $p$ divides $q' - 1$. A $p^n$-dimensional vector space over $K'$ is an $rp^n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p'}$; hence the $K'$-representation $\rho(\epsilon)$ of $E_n$ becomes an $\mathbb{F}_{p'}$-representation $\rho$ of degree $rp^n$. The $K'$-representation $\rho_K'$ obtained from $\rho$ by field extension splits into the $r$ algebraically conjugate constituents $\rho(\epsilon_i)$, where $\epsilon = \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_r$ are the algebraic conjugates of $\epsilon$. It follows that $\rho$ is irreducible. Note also that if $\rho$ preserves a nonzero $\mathbb{F}_{p'}$-bilinear form then $\rho$ must be equivalent to its contragredient $\rho^*$: $g \mapsto \rho(g^{-1})^t$ (since the matrix of the form will intertwine $\rho$ and $\rho^*$), and since the absolutely irreducible constituents of $\rho^*$ are the $\rho(\epsilon_i^{-1})$ it follows that $\epsilon^{-1}$ is an algebraic conjugate of $\epsilon$. So this can happen only when there is a field automorphism inverting $\epsilon$, in which case, as we have seen, there is an Hermitian form over $K'$ preserved by $\rho(\epsilon)$.

In the inductive step of our construction of extraspecial towers we will embed a group $G$, which has a normal extraspecial $p$-subgroup $E(f)$, in the automorphism group of an extraspecial $p'$-group $\hat{E}(\hat{f})$, by means of a representation of $G$ which preserves $\hat{f}$. We prefer to use a representation of $G$ which is an extension of a faithful irreducible representation of $E(f)$. However, since $\hat{f} - (\hat{f})^t$ must be nondegenerate, this is clearly impossible if $E(f) \cong D^n$ (when the faithful irreducible representation of $E(f)$ preserves only a symmetric form) or if $E(f) \cong E^n$ and $\text{ord}_p(p')$ is odd (when there is no form at all preserved by the irreducible representations of $E(f)$). In these cases we are forced to resort to non-irreducible representations, and use the direct sum of an absolutely irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $G$ and its contragredient. Observe that the equation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
X & 0 \\
0 & X^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X & 0 \\
0 & X^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}^t =
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{pmatrix}
$$

holds for all $X \in \text{im } \tilde{\rho}$ if and only if $A$ and $D$ are zero and $B$ and $C$ scalar multiples of $I$. Choosing $B = 0$ and $C = I$ guarantees that the form $f'$ with matrix $\begin{pmatrix}A & B \\C & D\end{pmatrix}$ has the property that $f' - (f')^t$ is nondegenerate.

4. Extraspecial groups acting on extraspecial groups

Let $V'$ be a vector space over $K' = \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ and $\rho: E(f) \to \text{GL}(V')$ a representation. We wish to embed $E(f)$ in the automorphism group of another extraspecial group. For this purpose we require a bilinear form
\( V' \times V' \to \mathbf{F}_{p'}, \) where \( p' \) is the characteristic of \( K' \), rather than an \( \eta' \)-sesquilinear form \( V' \times V' \to K' \).

If \( q' = (p')^r \) then the trace map \( T: x \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} x^{(p')^i} \) is a nonzero \( \mathbf{F}_{p'} \)-linear map \( K' \to \mathbf{F}_{p'} \), and all other such maps are given by \( x \mapsto T(\lambda x) \) for nonzero elements \( \lambda \in K' \). Note that if \( \eta' \) is any automorphism of \( K' \) then \( T(x^{\eta'}) = T(x) \) for all \( x \in K' \). If \( f': V' \times V' \to K' \) is \( \eta' \)-sesquilinear and \( 0 \neq \lambda \in K' \) then \( \hat{f} = \hat{f}_\lambda \) defined by

\[
\hat{f}(x, y) = T(\lambda f'(x, y))
\]
is an \( \mathbf{F}_{p'} \)-bilinear form \( V' \times V' \to \mathbf{F}_{p'} \). Since we wish to form a group \( E(\hat{f}) \) we will require \( \hat{f} - (\hat{f})^t \) to be nondegenerate. Three cases will arise, as follows:

1. \( \rho \) is an absolutely irreducible representation of \( E(f) \cong D^{n-1}Q \) preserving the alternating form \( f' \);
2. either \( E(f) \cong D^n \) or \( E(f) \cong E^n \) and \( \text{ord}_p(p') \) is odd, and \( \rho \) is the sum of an absolutely irreducible representation and its contragredient;
3. \( p \) is odd and \( \text{ord}_p(p') \) is even, and \( \rho \) is absolutely irreducible and preserves the Hermitian form \( f' \).

In the first two of these cases \( \eta' = 1 \), while in the third it has order 2.

We define \( G(\hat{f}) \) to be the group of all \( K' \)-linear transformations of \( V' \) which preserve \( \hat{f} \):

\[
G(\hat{f}) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(V') \mid \hat{f}(x_1 g, x_2 g) = \hat{f}(x_1, x_2) \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in V' \}.
\]
It is straightforward to prove that \( G(\hat{f}) = G(f') \), the group of all \( g \in \text{GL}(V') \) which preserve \( f' \).

**Case 1.** Suppose that \( p' \) is odd and \( r = 1 \), so that \( K' = \mathbf{F}_{p'} \), and \( f' \) is a nondegenerate alternating form. We simply choose \( \lambda = 1 \), giving \( \hat{f} = f' \) and \( E(\hat{f}) \cong E^n \). The group \( G(f') \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Sp}(2n, p') \).

**Case 2.** Suppose that \( V' \cong W^* \oplus W \), where \( W^* \) is the dual space of \( W \), and that \( f' \) is defined by

\[
f'((\alpha, x), (\beta, y)) = x\beta
\]
for all \( x, y \in W \) and \( \alpha, \beta \in W^* \). Thus \( f' \) is bilinear and has matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) relative to a basis comprising a basis of \( W \) and the corresponding dual basis of \( W^* \). Putting \( \lambda = 1 \) gives

\[
(\hat{f} - (\hat{f})^t)(x, y) = T((f' - (f')^t)(x, y))
\]
and since \( f' - (f')^t \) is nondegenerate it follows (by an argument similar to one used in the proof of 4.2 below) that \( \hat{f} - (\hat{f})^t \) is also nondegenerate. It is easily checked that

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

if and only if \( B = C = 0 \) and \( D = (A^{-1})^t \), so that \( W \) and \( W^* \) are both \( G(f') \)-invariant, and \( G(f') \cong \text{GL}(W) \).

4.1 Proposition. If \( n = \frac{1}{2}r \dim V' \) (where \( r = [K' : F_{p'}] \)) then

\[
E(\hat{f}) \cong \begin{cases} 
E^r & \text{if } p' \text{ is odd}, \\
D^n & \text{if } p' = 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. In the odd case the result is immediate, since \( E(\hat{f}) \) has exponent \( p' \) and the dimension of \( V' \) as a vector space over \( F_{p'} \) is \( 2n \). In the case \( p' = 2 \) all that remains is to count the number of \( v \in V' \) such that \( \hat{f}(v, v) = 0 \).

Case 3. Suppose that \( \eta' \) is an automorphism of \( K' \) of order 2, and \( f' \) is \( \eta' \)-Hermitian and nondegenerate. Thus, \( G(f') \) is a unitary group. We choose any \( \lambda \) such that \( \lambda - \lambda \eta' \neq 0 \).

4.2 Proposition. The bilinear form \( \hat{f}_\lambda - (\hat{f}_\lambda)^t \) is nondegenerate, and

\[
E(\hat{f}_\lambda) \cong \begin{cases} 
E^s & \text{if } p' \text{ is odd}, \\
(D^{s-1}Q)^d & \text{if } p' = 2,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( 2s = r = [K' : F_{p'}] \) and \( d = \dim V' \).

Proof. For \( x, y \in V' \) we have

\[
(\hat{f} - (\hat{f})^t)(x, y) = T(\lambda f'(x, y)) - T(\lambda f'(y, x))
\]

\[
= T(\lambda f'(x, y)) - T(\lambda \eta' f'(y, x)\eta')
\]

\[
= T(\lambda f'(x, y)) - T(\lambda \eta' f'(x, y))
\]

\[
= T((\lambda - \lambda \eta') f'(x, y)).
\]
Suppose that $y$ is such that this is zero for all $x$. The image of the map $x \mapsto (\lambda - \lambda'^{'})(x, y)$ is a $K'$-subspace of $K'$, and not equal to $K'$ since it is contained in the kernel of $T$. So it must be zero, and we conclude that $f'(x, y) = 0$ for all $x$. Since $f'$ is nondegenerate, $y = 0$. Hence $\hat{f}_\lambda - (\hat{f}_\lambda)^t$ is nondegenerate.

We need the following simple proposition, which will also be used in Section 6.

4.3 Proposition. Let $k' = \{ a \in K' \mid a'y' = a \}$. Then there is a nonzero $t_0 \in K'$ such that $k't_0 = C = \{ a \in K' \mid a'y' = -a \}$. Furthermore, if $0 \neq t \in K'$ then $k't$ is contained in $\ker T$ if and only if $k't = C$.

Proof. Observe that $a \mapsto a'y' + a$ is a nonzero $k'$-linear map from $K'$ to $k'$. Since the dimension of $K'$ over $k'$ is 2, its kernel $C$ is a 1-dimensional subspace, and hence equals $k't_0$ for some $t_0 \in K'$. Clearly $C \subseteq \ker T$. If $k't \neq k't_0$ then $K' = k't_0 + k't$, which precludes $k't \subseteq \ker T$ since $T$ is not the zero map.

It is easily proved (cf. [6, p. 235]) that $V'$ has a $K'$-basis which is orthonormal relative to $f'$, so that $f'$ can be written as the direct sum of $d$ copies of the form $(x, y) \mapsto xy'^{'}$ on the one-dimensional space $K'$. Correspondingly, $\hat{f}_\lambda$ is the direct sum of $d$ copies of $\hat{f}_0: (x, y) \mapsto T(\lambda xy'^{'}).$ Thus $E(\hat{f}_\lambda) \cong E(\hat{f}_0)^d$.

If $p'$ is odd then $E(\hat{f}_0) \cong E^s$, since the dimension of $K'$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p'}$ is $2s$. When $p' = 2$ our task is to count the number of $x \in K'$ such that $T(\lambda xx'^{''}) = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq \lambda'^{'} = -\lambda'^{'}$, Proposition 4.3 shows that $k' \lambda \not\subseteq \ker T$. Note that $xx'^{''} \in k'$ for all $x \in K'$. Now $xx'^{''} = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$, while $xx'^{''} = y$ has $2^s + 1$ solutions whenever $0 \neq y \in k'$. Since $y \mapsto T(\lambda y)$ is a nonzero $\mathbf{F}_2$-linear map $k' \to \mathbf{F}_2$, its kernel must have $2^{s-1} - 1$ nonzero elements. Hence there are $(2^{s-1} - 1)(2^s + 1)$ nonzero $x \in K'$ such that $T(\lambda xx'^{''}) = 0$. Therefore the total number of solutions of $T(\lambda xx'^{''}) = 0$ is

$$1 + (2^{s-1} - 1)(2^s + 1) = 2^{s-1}(2^s - 1)$$

and by Proposition 2.1 it follows that $E(\hat{f}_0) \cong D^{s-1}Q$.

Note that since $DD = QQ$ it follows that

$$(D^{s-1}Q)^d \cong \begin{cases} D^{ds} & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ D^{ds-1}Q & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

completing the proof of 4.2.
5. Constructing the factor set

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $K$ of characteristic $p$, and let $f: V \times V \to \mathbb{F}_p$ be a $\mathbb{F}_p$-bilinear map such that $f - f^t$ is nondegenerate. (The “$f$” of this section corresponds to the “$\hat{f}$” of the previous section.) Let the dimension of $V$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ be $2n$.

Let $V'$ be a $p^n$-dimensional vector space over a field $K'$ of characteristic $p'$, and let $\rho: E(f) \to \text{GL}(V')$ be an absolutely irreducible representation. In this section we will extend $\rho$ to a projective representation of $G(f) \times E(f)$ and calculate the factor set involved. In the next section we will show that the factor set splits (at least in the cases that concern us), so that $\rho$ extends to a representation of $G(f) \times E(f)$. Furthermore, we will show that if $\rho$ preserves a form $f'$ on $V'$ then the extension of $\rho$ also preserves $f'$.

Observe that $x \mapsto \rho(x, 0)$ is a projective representation of the additive group of $V$, since for all $x, y \in V$,

$$\rho(x, 0)\rho(y, 0) = \rho(x + y, f(x, y)) = \rho(0, f(x, y))\rho(x + y, 0) = \epsilon^{f(x, y)}\rho(x + y, 0)$$

where $\epsilon \in K'$ is a primitive $p^{th}$ root of 1. Since $\rho$ is absolutely irreducible, so too is this projective representation.

To minimize the use of superscripts, we define $\exp(t) = \epsilon^t$.

5.1 Lemma. Let $G$ be any group and let $\rho_i: G \to \text{GL}(V_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) be projective representations with the same factor set $\alpha$. If $a: V_1 \to V_2$ is an arbitrary linear map, then

$$s = \sum_{y \in G} \rho_1(y)a\rho_2(y)^{-1}$$

satisfies

$$\rho_1(x)s = s\rho_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$ 

Proof. We have

$$\rho_1(x)s = \sum_{y \in G} \alpha(x, y)\rho_1(xy)a\rho_2(y)^{-1} \quad (\text{as } \rho_1(x)\rho_1(y) = \alpha(x, y)\rho_1(xy))$$

$$= \sum_{y \in G} \rho_1(xy)a\rho_2(xy)^{-1}\rho_2(x) \quad (\text{as } \rho_2(xy)^{-1}\rho_2(x) = \alpha(x, y)\rho_2(y)^{-1})$$
Observe that if \( g \in G(f) \) then \( x \mapsto \rho(xg,0) \) is also a projective representation of the additive group of \( V \), and it has the same factor set as \( x \mapsto \rho(x,0) \). Following Ward [8] we define

\[
s(g) = |V|^{-1} \sum_{y \in V} \rho(y,0)\rho(yg,0)^{-1},
\]

so that (by Lemma 5.1) \( s(g) \) intertwines these projective representations. Since they are irreducible it follows from Schur’s Lemma that \( s(g) \) is either zero or invertible. As \( \rho(yg,0)^{-1} = \exp(f(y,y))\rho(-yg,0) \) we find that

\[
(\$) \quad s(g) = |V|^{-1} \sum_{y \in V} \exp(f(y,y(1-g)))\rho(y(1-g),0).
\]

If \( F \) is a bilinear form on \( V \) then given a subspace \( W \) of \( V \) we define

\[
W^F = \{ y \in V \mid F(x,y) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in W \}
\]

and

\[
F^W = \{ x \in V \mid F(x,y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in W \}.
\]

For each \( g \in \text{GL}(V) \) we define \( K(g) \) and \( I(g) \) to be (respectively) the kernel and image of \( 1-g \).

**5.2 Lemma.** Suppose that \( g \in \text{GL}(V) \) preserves the bilinear form \( F \). Then \( K(g) \) is contained in both \( F^1I(g) \) and \( I(g)^F \). Furthermore, if \( F \) is nondegenerate then \( F^1I(g) = I(g)^F = K(g) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \in K(g) \). If \( v \in V \) then

\[
F(v(1-g),x) = F(v,x) - F(vg,x)
\]

\[
= F(vg, xg) - F(vg, x) \quad \text{(since } g \text{ preserves } F) 
\]

\[
= F(vg, xg - x) \quad \text{(since } xg - x = -x(1-g) = 0). 
\]

Thus \( F(y, x) = 0 \) for all \( y \in I(g) \), so that \( x \in I(g)^F \). So \( K(g) \subseteq I(g)^F \). If \( F \) is nondegenerate then

\[
\dim I(g)^F = \dim V - \dim I(g) = \dim K(g),
\]
and we deduce that $I(g)^F = K(g)$. The corresponding facts concerning $^F I(g)$ can be proved by similar arguments.

Let $x, y \in I(g)$. If $u, u' \in V$ are such that $x = u(1 - g) = u'(1 - g)$ then $u' = u + v$ with $v \in K(g)$, and by 5.2 we have

$$f(u', y) = f(u, y) + f(v, y) = f(u, y).$$

Hence, following Wall [7], we may define $f_g$ on $I(g) \times I(g)$ by

$$f_g(x, y) = f(u, y) \quad \text{for all } u \text{ such that } x = u(1 - g).$$

Clearly $f_g$ is $F_p$-bilinear. Note, furthermore, that if $y = v(1 - g)$ then

$$f_g(x, y) = f(u, v - vg) = f(u, v) - f(u, vg) = f(u, v) - f(u, v) = f(x, y - v).$$

If $y \in V$ then $f(y, y(1 - g)) = f_g(y(1 - g), y(1 - g))$, and we can rewrite the formula (§) above as

$$s(g) = |I(g)|^{-1} \sum_{x \in I(g)} \exp(f_g(x, x)) \rho(x, 0).$$

5.3 Proposition. For each $g \in G(f)$ the transformation $s(g)$ is invertible.

Proof. Since $\rho$ is absolutely irreducible its enveloping algebra (the linear span of the set $\{ \rho(x, z) \mid x \in V, z \in F_p \}$) is the $p^{2n}$-dimensional space of all linear transformations of $V'$. As $\rho(x, z)$ is a scalar multiple of $\rho(x, 0)$ it follows that the $p^{2n}$ transformations $\rho(x, 0)$ must be linearly independent. It now follows immediately from our expression for $s(g)$ that $s(g) \neq 0$, and therefore (by Schur’s Lemma) that $s(g)$ is invertible.

5.4 Theorem. With the notation as above

$$g(x, z) \mapsto s(g)\rho(x, z)$$

defines a projective representation of $G(f) \times E(f)$. Furthermore, if $g, h \in G(f)$ then $s(g)s(h) = \sigma(g, h)s(gh)$, where

$$\sigma(g, h) = |I(g)|^{-1} |I(h)|^{-1} |I(gh)| \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp(\gamma_{g,h}(x, x))$$
the $\mathbb{F}_p$-bilinear form $\gamma_{g,h}$ on $I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})$ being given by

$$\gamma_{g,h}(u,v) = f_g(u,v) - f_{h^{-1}}(u,v).$$

**Proof.** For each $g \in G(f)$ we have $s(g)^{-1} \rho(x,z)s(g) = \rho(xg,z)$ for all $x \in V$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and it follows that if $g, h \in G(f)$ then

$$s(h)^{-1}s(g)^{-1} \rho(x,z)s(g)s(h) = s(gh)^{-1} \rho(x,z)s(gh)$$

for all $x$ and $z$. By Schur’s Lemma $s(g)s(h) = \sigma(g,h)s(gh)$ for some scalar $\sigma(g,h)$.

Multiplying the expressions for $s(g)$ and $s(h)$ we find that $s(g)s(h)$ is the sum of all terms

$$|I(g)|^{-1}|I(h)|^{-1} \exp(f_g(x,x) + f_h(y,y) + f(x,y))\rho(x + y, 0)$$

for $x \in I(g)$ and $y \in I(h)$. The coefficient of $\rho(0,0)$ in $s(g)s(h)$ is therefore

$$|I(g)|^{-1}|I(h)|^{-1} \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h)} \exp(f_g(x,x) + f_h(-x,-x) + f(x,-x)).$$

If $x = u(1-h)$ then $x = (-uh)(1-h^{-1})$. Hence $I(h) = I(h^{-1})$, and furthermore,

$$f_{h^{-1}}(x,x) = f(-uh, x) = f(x - u, x) = f(x, x) - f_h(x, x).$$

Thus the coefficient obtained above can be written as

$$|I(g)|^{-1}|I(h)|^{-1} \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp(\gamma_{g,h}(x,x)).$$

Since this must equal the coefficient of $\rho(0,0)$ in $\sigma(g,h)s(gh)$, which is $\sigma(g,h)|I(gh)|^{-1}$, the result follows. (Note the similarity with (4.3.1) of [1].)

To show that $\rho$ extends to a representation of $G(f) \ltimes E(f)$, rather than merely a projective representation, it is necessary to find for each $g \in G(f)$ a nonzero $\mu(g) \in K'$ such that $\sigma(g,h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1}$, so that defining $s'(g) = \mu(g)^{-1}s(g)$ leads to $s'(g)s'(h) = s'(gh)$. Our next two theorems will be used later in the proof that such a function $\mu$ exists.
5.6 Theorem. The radical of the quadratic form \( x \mapsto \gamma_{g,h}(x,x) \) on \( I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \) is

\[
R_{g,h} = \{ x \in V \mid x = u(1-g) = u(1-h^{-1}) \text{ for some } u \in V \}.
\]

Proof. Let \( \gamma = \gamma_{g,h} \). The radical of the quadratic form consists of those \( x \) such that \( \gamma(x+y, x+y) = \gamma(y, y) \) for all \( y \), or, equivalently, those \( x \) such that \( \gamma(x, x) = 0 \) and \( (\gamma + \gamma^t)(x, y) = 0 \) for all \( y \).

If \( x \in R_{g,h} \) (defined as above) then \( f_g(x, y) = f_{h^{-1}}(x, y) \) (by (†)) and \( f_g(y, x) = f_{h^{-1}}(y, x) \) (by (‡)) for all \( y \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \), and it follows that \( x \) is in the radical. Conversely, let \( x = u(1-g) = w(1-h^{-1}) \) be an element of the radical. Let \( y \) be an arbitrary element of \( I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \). By (†) we have

\[
\gamma^t(x, y) = \gamma(y, x) = f(y, x - u) - f(y, x - w) = f(y, w - u).
\]

Thus \( (f - f^t)(u - w, y) = (\gamma + \gamma^t)(x, y) = 0 \), and this holds for all \( y \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \). Since \( F = f - f^t \) is nondegenerate and preserved by \( g \) and \( h \) it follows from 5.2 that

\[
(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}))^F = I(g)^F + I(h^{-1})^F = K(g) + K(h^{-1}).
\]

Hence \( u - w = u_0 - w_0 \) for some \( u_0 \in K(g) \) and \( w_0 \in K(h^{-1}) \). Putting \( u' = u - u_0 = w - w_0 \) we see that \( x = u'(1-g) = u'(1-h^{-1}) \). Hence \( x \in R_{g,h} \).

Theorem 5.6 will be used to compute an expression for \( \sigma(g, h) \), and the following theorem will be used to split \( \sigma \).

5.7 Theorem. If \( g, h \in G(f) \) then

\[
\dim_K(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})) + \dim_K R_{g,h} = i(g) + i(h) - i(gh)
\]

where we have defined \( i(k) = \dim_K I(k) \) for all \( k \in G(f) \).

Proof. Note that elements of \( G(f) \) are \( K \)-linear transformations, so that all the spaces involved in the theorem statement are indeed \( K \)-subspaces of \( V \). It suffices, however, to prove the corresponding statement for \( F_p \)-dimensions, since the desired conclusion will then follow by dividing by \([K : F_p]\).
Recall that $\dim V = 2n$. Since $F = f - f^t$ is nondegenerate we have
\[
\dim(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})) = 2n - \dim(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}))^F
\]
\[
= 2n - \dim(I(g))^F + I(h^{-1})^F
\]
\[
= 2n - \dim K(g) - \dim K(h^{-1}) + \dim(K(g) \cap K(h^{-1}))
\]
by Lemma 5.2. By Theorem 5.6 we have $R_{g,h} = K(gh)(1 - g)$; however, it is easily shown that $\ker(1 - g) \cap K(gh) = K(g) \cap K(h^{-1})$, and therefore
\[
\dim R_{g,h} = \dim K(gh) - \dim(K(g) \cap K(h^{-1}))
\]
\[
= 2n - i(gh) - \dim(K(g) \cap K(h^{-1})).
\]
Clearly $i(h^{-1}) = i(h)$; so adding our formulas for $\dim(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}))$ and $\dim R_{g,h}$ gives the required result.

Suppose now that $\rho$ preserves a nonzero $\eta'$-sesquilinear form, $\eta'$ being an automorphism of $K'$ which inverts $\epsilon$. For simplicity we regard $\rho$ as a matrix representation, and we let $J$ be the matrix of the form. Then $J$ is nonsingular (since $\rho$ is absolutely irreducible) and
\[
J^{-1}\rho(y,0)J = (\rho(y,0)^{\eta'})^{-1}
\]
for all $y \in V$. From the definition of $s(g)$ it follows that
\[
J^{-1}s(g)J = |V|^{-1} \sum_{y \in V} (J^{-1}\rho(y,0)J)(J^{-1}\rho(yg,0)^{-1}J)
\]
\[
= |V|^{-1} \sum_{y \in V} (\rho(y,0)^{-1})^{\eta'} \rho(yg,0)^{\eta'}
\]
\[
= |V|^{-1} \sum_{y \in V} (\rho(yg,0)\rho(y,0)^{-1})^{\eta'}
\]
\[
= |V|^{-1} \sum_{x \in V} \rho(x,0)\rho(xg^{-1},0)^{-1})^{\eta'}
\]
\[
= s(g^{-1})^{\eta'}.
\]
Hence the following theorem holds.

5.8 Theorem. If there exists a function $\mu: G(f) \to K'$ satisfying
\[
\sigma(g,h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1}
\]
and $\mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1})^{\eta'}$ for all $g, h \in G(f)$ then $s'(g) = \mu(g)^{-1}s(g)$ defines an extension of $\rho$ which preserves any $\eta'$-sesquilinear form preserved by $\rho$. 
6. Splitting the factor set

Let \( f \) and \( V \) be as in the previous section; our aim is to find a function \( \mu \) such that \( \sigma(g, h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1} \) and \( \mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1})^{\eta'} \) for all \( g, h \in G(f) \). We treat three separate cases, corresponding to the three cases in Section 4:

1. \( p \) is odd, \( K = \mathbb{F}_p \) and \( f^t = -f \);
2. \( V = W^* \oplus W \) where \( W \) is a vector space over \( K \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-dimension \( n \), and \( f \) is defined by
   \[
   f((\alpha, x), (\beta, y)) = T(x\beta) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in W \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in W^*
   \]
   where \( T: K \to \mathbb{F}_p \) is the trace map;
3. \( K \) has an automorphism \( \eta \) of order 2 and \( f = f_\lambda \) is given by
   \[
   f(u, v) = T(\lambda F(u, v)) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V
   \]
   where \( F: V \times V \to K \) is a \( \eta \)-Hermitian form, \( T: K \to \mathbb{F}_p \) is the trace map, and \( \lambda \) is some fixed element of \( K \) with \( \lambda \neq \lambda\eta \).

Investigating the second of these cases first, assume the hypotheses (2) above, and let \( |K| = q \). We identify \( W^* \) and \( W \) with subspaces \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) of \( V \) in the obvious fashion, so that elements of \( V \) have the form \( v_1 + v_2 \) with \( v_1 \in W_1 = W^* \) and \( v_2 \in W_2 = W \). We showed in Section 4 that \( W \) and \( W^* \) are both \( G(f) \)-invariant, and it follows readily that

\[
I(g) = I_1(g) \oplus I_2(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G(f)
\]

where \( I_1(g) = I(g) \cap W_1 \) and \( I_2(g) = I(g) \cap W_2 \). Furthermore, if \( g, h \in G(f) \) then

\[
I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) = (I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1})) \oplus (I_2(g) \cap I_2(h^{-1}))
\]

and it follows that

\[
\sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp(\gamma_{g,h}(x, x)) = \sum_{x_1 \in I_1} \sum_{x_2 \in I_2} \exp(\gamma_{g,h}(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2))
\]

where \( \gamma_{g,h} \) is as defined in Theorem 5.4 and \( I_i = I_i(g) \cap I_i(h^{-1}) \) for each \( i \).

(In view of the formula for \( \sigma(g, h) \) in Theorem 5.4, our task is to evaluate this sum.)
Theorem. Assume the conditions of the above preamble, and define \( j(g) = \dim(I(g) \cap W) \) for all \( g \in G(f) \). Then the function \( \mu \) defined on \( G(f) \) by \( \mu(g) = q^{-j(g)} \) splits the factor set \( \sigma \) and satisfies \( \mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1}) \eta' \) for all \( g \in G(f) \).

Proof. Since the map \((x, \beta) \mapsto x\beta\) from \( W \times W^* \) to \( K \) is nondegenerate and \( G(f) \)-invariant, reasoning parallel to that used to prove Lemma 5.2 shows that for all \( g \in G(f) \),

\[
(W^*(1 - g))^\perp = W \cap \ker(1 - g)
\]

(where \((W^*(1 - g))^\perp\) is defined as the set of all \( v \in W \) which are annihilated by all \( \beta \in W^*(1 - g) = I_1(g) \)). Observe that, as a consequence, \( I_1(g) \) and \( I_2(g) \) have the same dimension. Note also that if \( g \) and \( h \) are both in \( G(f) \) then

\[
(I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}))^\perp = (W_2 \cap \ker(1 - g)) + (W_2 \cap \ker(1 - h^{-1})).
\]

Let \( x_i \in I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}) \) (for \( i = 1, 2 \)), and let \( u_1, v_1 \in W_1 \) and \( u_2, v_2 \in W_2 \) with \( x_i = u_i(1 - g) = v_i(1 - h^{-1}) \) for each \( i \). We find that

\[
\gamma_{g,h}(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2) = f_g(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2) - f_{h^{-1}}(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2) = f(u_1 + u_2, x_1 + x_2) - f(v_1 + v_2, x_1 + x_2) = T((u_2 - v_2)x_1).
\]

If \( u_2 - v_2 \notin (I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}))^\perp \) then \( T((u_2 - v_2)(x_1)) \) assumes all values equally often as \( x_1 \) varies over all elements of \( I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}) \), and since \( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^i = 0 \) it follows that

\[
\sum_{x_1 \in I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1})} \exp(T((u_2 - v_2)(x_1))) = 0.
\]

If \( u_2 - v_2 \in (I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}))^\perp \) then clearly this sum is \( |I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1})| \).

Now \( u_2 - v_2 \in (I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1}))^\perp \) if and only if \( u_2 - v_2 = u' + v' \) with \( u' \in W_2 \cap \ker(1 - g) \) and \( v' \in W_2 \cap \ker(1 - h^{-1}) \), and (as in the proof of Theorem 5.6) this happens if and only if \( x_2 = z(1 - g) = z(1 - h^{-1}) \) for some \( z \in W_1 \). Furthermore, if \( R_2 \) is the set of all such \( x_2 \) then we find, as in Theorem 5.7, that

\[
\dim(I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1})) + \dim R_2 = j(g) + j(h) - j(gh).
\]
Thus
\[
\sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_1} \gamma_{g,h}(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2) = |I_1(g) \cap I_1(h^{-1})||R_2|
\]
\[
= q^{j(g)}q^{j(h)}q^{-j(gh)}
\]
so that Theorem 5.4 gives
\[
\sigma(g, h) = |I(g)|^{-1}q^{j(g)}|I(h)|^{-1}q^{j(h)}|I(gh)|q^{-j(gh)}.
\]
Since \( \dim I(g) = 2j(g) \) (and likewise for \( h \) and \( gh \)) it follows that \( \mu \) splits \( \sigma \). The other assertion is trivial, since \( j(g) = j(g^{-1}) \) and \( q \) is fixed by all automorphisms of \( K' \).

We investigate the third case next. As in the case just considered the main task is to evaluate the sum \( \sum \gamma_{g,h}(x, x) \) over \( x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \). Note that since \( K \) has a nontrivial involutory automorphism its order is a square: \( |K| = q^2 \), where \( q \) is the order of the fixed field of \( \eta \).

Reasoning as in Section 5, but using the \( \eta \)-Hermitian form \( F \) in place of the bilinear form \( f \), we see that there is a well defined \( \eta \)-sesquilinear form \( F_{g,h} \) on \( I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \) such that
\[
F_{g,h}(x, y) = F(u - v, y) = F(x, -u'g + v'h^{-1}) = F(x, v' - u')
\]
whenever \( x = u(1 - g) = v(1 - h^{-1}) \) and \( y = u'(1 - g) = v'(1 - h^{-1}) \). Since \( F \) is Hermitian we see that
\[
F_{g,h}(y, x) = F(y, v - u) = -(F(u - v, y))^\eta = -(F_{g,h}(x, y))^\eta
\]
so that \( F_{g,h} \) is skew-Hermitian. Moreover, an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 5.6 shows that \( R_{g,h} \) (as defined in 5.6) is the radical of \( F_{g,h} \).

6.2 Lemma. Let \( U \) be a \( d \)-dimensional vector space over the field \( K \), and \( (\ , \ ) \) a nondegenerate skew-Hermitian form on \( U \). Then there exists a basis \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d \) of \( U \) such that
\[
(u_i, u_j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\
z_i & \text{if } i = j 
\end{cases}
\]
where the elements \( z_i \in K \) satisfy \( z_i^\eta = -z_i \neq 0 \).

Proof. See [6, p. 235].
Define \( k = \{ a \in K \mid a^n = a \} \), and recall that \( \lambda \) is an element of \( K \) such that \( \lambda \notin k \). If \( z \) satisfies \( z^n = -z \neq 0 \) then \( (\lambda z)^n \neq -\lambda z \), and by Proposition 4.3 it follows that \( k\lambda z \not\subseteq \text{ker} T \). Now if \( 0 \neq a \in K \) then \( 0 \neq a \in k \), each nonzero element of \( k \) occurring exactly \( q + 1 \) times.

Hence
\[
\sum_{a \in K} \exp(T(aa^n\lambda z)) = 1 + (q + 1) \sum_{0 \neq a \in k} \exp(T(a\lambda z))
= -q + (q + 1) \sum_{a \in k} \exp(T(a\lambda z))
= -q \quad (\text{since } \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^i = 0).
\]

Let \( d = \dim(\mathfrak{I}(g) \cap I(h^{-1})/R_{g,h}) \). By Lemma 6.2 we can choose elements \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \) such that
\[
F_{g,h}(u_i, u_j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & (i \neq j) \\
\epsilon_i & (i = j)
\end{cases}
\]
and each element of \( I(g) \cap I(h^{-1}) \) is uniquely expressible in the form \( (\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i u_i) + u \) with \( a_i \in K \) and \( u \in R_{g,h} \). This gives
\[
\sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp(T(\gamma_{g,h}(x, x))) = \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp(T(\lambda F_{g,h}(x, x)))
= \sum_{u \in R_{g,h}} \sum_{a_1 \in K} \cdots \sum_{a_d \in K} \exp(T(\lambda(a_1 a_1^\eta z_1 + a_2 a_2^\eta z_2 + \cdots + a_d a_d^\eta z_d)))
= |R_{g,h}| \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{a \in K} \exp(T(aa^\eta \lambda z_i)) \right).
\]

This in turn is equal to
\[
(q^2)^{\dim R_{g,h}} (-q)^d = (-q)^{2 \dim R_{g,h} + d}
= (-q)^{i(g) + i(h) - i(gh)} \quad \text{(where } i(g) = \dim I(g)\text{)}
\]
by 5.7, since \( 2 \dim R_{g,h} + d = \dim R_{g,h} + \dim(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})) \).

The above calculations and Theorem 5.4 immediately yield the following theorem:
6.3 Theorem. In the situation above the function $\mu$ defined on $G(f)$ by $\mu(g) = (-q)^{i(g)}$ splits the factor set $\sigma$ and satisfies $\mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1})^{\gamma'}$ for all $g \in G(f)$.

We have now dealt with the third of our three cases, so that only the first is left. Assume, therefore, that $p$ is an odd prime, $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $f$ is a nondegenerate alternating form on $V$. For each $g \in G(f)$ we define $\delta_g = \chi(\det M)$, where $M$ is the matrix of the form $f_g$ (relative to any basis of $I(g)$) and $\chi(t)$ is 1 if $t$ is a square, $-1$ otherwise. It is easily seen that $\delta_g$ is well-defined as changing basis multiplies the determinant of the matrix of a form by a nonzero square.

Let $g, h \in G(f)$, and assume first of all that $1 - gh$ is invertible. Since $K(g) \cap K(h^{-1}) \subseteq K(gh) = \{0\}$ we may choose a basis $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n}$ of $V$ such that $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$ is a basis of $K(g)$ and $v_{s+1}, v_{s+2}, \ldots, v_{2n}$ is a basis of $K(h^{-1})$, for some $r, s$ with $0 \leq r \leq s \leq 2n$. We identify endomorphisms of $V$ with their matrices relative to this basis. The division of the basis into three parts (the first $r$ terms, the next $s - r$, and the remaining $2n - s$) results in a corresponding partitioning of the matrices. Let $j$ be the matrix of $f$.

Since the first part of the basis is in the kernel of $1 - g$ we see that $1 - g$ has the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * \\
* & * & *
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where the *’s indicate entries which are not yet relevant. Since

$$(1 - g)j = j(1 - g^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & *
\end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain $1 - g = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & b \\
0 & c & d
\end{pmatrix} j^{-1}$. Similarly $1 - h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
e & f & 0 \\
g & h & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} j^{-1}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-e & -f & 0 \\
-g & a - h & b \\
0 & c & d
\end{pmatrix} j^{-1}.
$$

Note that $I(g)$ consists of all vectors of the form $(0, *, *) j^{-1}$ and $I(h^{-1})$
of all vectors of the form \((*,*,0)j^{-1}\). We have

\[ f_g \left((0, x, y)j^{-1}, (0, z, w)j^{-1}\right) = f \left((0, x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, (0, z, w)j^{-1}\right) = (0, x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1}j^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z^b \\ w^d \end{pmatrix}. \]

In effect, the matrix of \(f_g\) is \(-\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}\) (say), and \(\delta_g\) is 1 if the determinant of this is a square, \(-1\) if not. Furthermore, \(a'\) is the matrix of the restriction of \(f_g\) to \(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})\).

Likewise, \(f_{h^{-1}}\) has matrix \(-\begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e' & f' \\ g' & h' \end{pmatrix}\), and its restriction to \(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})\) has matrix \(h'\). Thus \(a' - h'\) is the matrix of \(\gamma_{g,h}\).

The form \(\gamma_{g,h}\) is symmetric (and therefore the matrix \(a' - h'\) is symmetric) since if \(x = u(1 - g) = v(1 - h^{-1})\) then (†) and (‡) yield

\[ \gamma_{g,h}(y, x) = f(y, (x-u) - (x-v)) = f(y, v-u) = f(u-v, y) = \gamma_{g,h}(x, y). \]

Since the characteristic of \(K\) is odd the radical of the bilinear form \(\gamma_{g,h}\) is the same as the radical of the quadratic form \(x \mapsto \gamma_{g,h}(x, x)\), which is \((\ker(h^{-1} - g))(1 - g) = \{0\}\) (in view of our assumption that \(1 - gh\) is invertible). It is easily shown (cf. Lemma 6.2) that there is a basis for \(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})\) relative to which the matrix of \(\gamma_{g,h}\) is diagonal, with (nonzero) entries \(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d\) say. It follows that

\[ \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp \gamma_{g,h}(x, x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \exp(z_i a^2). \]

The following result is standard (cf. [9, Lemma 2.1]).

**6.4 Proposition.** For \(0 \neq z \in \mathbb{F}_p\) define \(\theta(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \exp(za^2)\), and let \(\theta = \theta(1)\). Then \(\theta(z) = \chi(z)\theta\), and \(\theta^2 = \chi(-1)p\). Furthermore, if \(K'\) has an automorphism \(\eta'\) inverting \(\epsilon\) (the primitive \(p^\text{th}\) root of 1) then \(\theta \eta' = \chi(-1)\theta\).

It follows from 6.4 that

\[ \sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp \gamma_{g,h}(x, x) = \theta^d \chi(\det(a' - h')). \]
where \( d = \dim(I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})) \). Since the radical of \( \gamma_{g,h} \) is zero, Theorem 5.7 yields \( d = i(g) + i(h) - i(gh) \).

Since \( 1 - gh \) is invertible the bilinear form \( f_{gh} \) has matrix \( (1 - gh)^{-1}j \), and since \( \det h = 1 \) it follows that

\[
\delta_{gh} = \chi(\det(h^{-1} - g)j) = \chi(\det \begin{pmatrix} -e & -f & 0 \\ -g & a - h & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}).
\]

Observe that

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a' & b' \\ 0 & c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -e & -f & 0 \\ -g & a - h & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e' & f' & 0 \\ g' & h' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & a' - h' & 0 \\ * & * & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

so that \( \chi(\det(a' - h')) = \delta_g \delta_{h^{-1}} \delta_{gh}(\chi(-1))^{2n - i(h^{-1})} \). Note also that if \( x = u(1 - h) \) then

\[
f_h(y, x) = f(y, -uh) = -f(-uh, y) = -f_{h^{-1}}(x, y),
\]

showing that \( f_{h^{-1}} = f_h^5 \), and hence that \( \delta_{h^{-1}} = \chi(-1)^{i(h)} \delta_h \). Thus we have shown that

\[
\sum_{x \in I(g) \cap I(h^{-1})} \exp \gamma_{g,h}(x, x) = \theta^{i(g) + i(h) - i(gh)} \delta_g \delta_h \delta_{gh},
\]

and using Theorem 5.4 we deduce that

\[
|I(g)| |I(h)| |I(gh)|^{-1} \sigma(g, h) = \theta^{i(g) + i(h) - i(gh)} \delta_g \delta_h \delta_{gh}.
\]

We can now conclude this section by proving the following theorem.

**6.5 Theorem.** If \( f \) is a nondegenerate alternating form \( V \times V \to F_p \), where \( p \) is an odd prime, then the function \( \mu \) defined on \( G(f) \) by \( \mu(g) = |I(g)|^{-1} \theta^{i(g)} \delta_g \) splits the factor set \( \sigma \). Furthermore, if \( K' \) has an automorphism \( \eta' \) inverting \( \epsilon \) then \( \mu(g) = \mu(g^{-1}) \eta' \) for all \( g \in G(f) \).

**Proof.** Define a factor set \( \sigma' \) on \( G = G(f) \) by

\[
\sigma'(g, h) = \mu(g)^{-1} \mu(h)^{-1} \mu(gh) \sigma(g, h).
\]

Our aim is to prove that \( \sigma'(g, h) = 1 \) for all \( g \) and \( h \), and our calculations above have established this whenever \( (1 - gh) \) is invertible. It is true
whenever \( h = 1 \), for then \( \mu(h) = 1 \), and so \( \sigma'(g, 1) = \sigma(g, 1) = 1 \). Let us check also that it also holds whenever \( h = g^{-1} \).

Since the form \( \gamma_{g, g^{-1}} \) is zero and \( I(g) = I(g^{-1}) \), Theorem 5.4 gives \( \sigma(g, g^{-1}) = |I(g)|^{-1} \). It is clear that \( \mu(1) = 1 \), and

\[
\mu(g)\mu(g^{-1}) = |I(g)|^{-2}\theta^{2i(g)}\delta_g\delta_{g^{-1}} = |I(g)|^{-1}
\]

in view of the formulas for \( \delta_{g^{-1}} \) and \( \theta^2 \). Hence \( \sigma'(g, g^{-1}) = 1 \).

Suppose now that \( \psi \) is any projective representation with factor set \( \sigma' \). Then \( \psi(1) \) is the identity (since \( \sigma'(1, 1) = 1 \)), and \( \psi(g)\psi(g^{-1}) = \psi(1) \) since \( \sigma'(g, g^{-1}) = 1 \). Similarly, we have \( \psi(g)\psi(h) = \psi(gh) \) whenever \( 1 - gh \) is invertible. Writing \( g_1 = g^{-1} \) and \( g_2 = gh \) this gives \( \psi(g_1)\psi(g_2) = \psi(g_1g_2) \) whenever \( 1 - g_2 \) is invertible. An obvious induction yields the same result whenever \( g_2 \) is a product of elements \( g \) with \( 1 - g \) invertible. Since it is easily shown that the set of all such elements generates the whole symplectic group \( G \), we conclude that \( \sigma' = 1 \).

Finally, observe that

\[
\mu(g^{-1})\eta' = |I(g)|[(\theta^{i(g)})\eta']\delta_{g^{-1}} = |I(g)|(\chi(-1)\theta^{i(g)\chi(-1)i(g)})\delta_g = \mu(g)
\]

as required.

### 7. Towers of extraspecial groups

Suppose that \( V \) is a \( 2n \)-dimensional vector space over the field \( K = \mathbb{F}_q \), of characteristic \( p \). Let \( \eta \) be an automorphism of \( K \) satisfying \( \eta^2 = 1 \) and let \( f \) be a \( \eta \)-sesquilinear form on \( V \). More precisely, suppose that one of the following holds:

(1) \( \eta \) is the identity, \( f \) is alternating and \( p \neq 2 \), or
(2) \( \eta \) is the identity, \( V = W^* \oplus W \) and \( f \) is the bilinear form defined by \( f((\alpha, x), (\beta, y)) = x\beta \), or
(3) \( \eta \) is nontrivial and \( f \) is \( \eta \)-Hermitian.

Define the \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-bilinear form \( \hat{f} = \hat{f}_\lambda \) by \( \hat{f}(x, y) = T(\lambda f(x, y)) \), where \( T: K \to \mathbb{F}_p \) is the trace map and where \( \lambda \) satisfies \( \lambda^7 \neq \lambda \) in case (3) and \( \lambda = 1 \) in cases (1) and (2). Then \( \hat{f} - \hat{f}^t \) is nondegenerate and so \( E(\hat{f}) \) is an extraspecial group of order \( p^{2n+1} \) whose isomorphism type may be determined by 4.1 and 4.2.

Let \( \hat{\rho}: E(\hat{f}) \to \text{GL}(W) \) be a faithful absolutely irreducible representation, where \( W \) is a vector space over a field \( K' \) of characteristic \( p' \neq p \). By the results of Sections 5 and 6 we know that \( \hat{\rho} \) extends to a representation \( \hat{\rho} \) of \( G(\hat{f}) \ltimes E(\hat{f}) \). If \( E(\hat{f}) \cong D^n \) or if \( p \) is odd and \( \text{ord}_p(p') \)
is odd we define \( \rho \) to be the sum of \( \tilde{\rho} \) and \( \tilde{\rho}^* \) (the contragredient of \( \tilde{\rho} \)), otherwise we define \( \rho = \tilde{\rho} \). In the former case we define a bilinear form \( f' \) on \( V' = W^* \oplus W \) as in Case 2 of Section 4, and note that \( \tilde{\rho}^* \oplus \tilde{\rho} \) is a representation of \( G(\hat{f}) \times E(\hat{f}) \) extending \( \rho \) and preserving \( f' \). In the latter case we know by the results of Section 3 that \( \rho \) preserves some \( \eta' \)-sesquilinear form \( f' \), and by the results of Sections 5 and 6 that the extension of \( \rho \) also preserves \( f' \). Thus in either case we have an embedding \( G(\hat{f}) \times E(\hat{f}) \hookrightarrow G(f') \).

Let \( f_1 = \hat{f} \) and let \( f_2 = \hat{f}' \) be defined in the same way, but with \( f' \) replacing \( f \). Since \( G(f_2) = G(f') \) we have an embedding

\[ G(f_1) \times E(f_1) \hookrightarrow G(f_2), \]

giving rise to the group

\[ (G(f_1) \times E(f_1)) \times E(f_2) \]

contained in \( G(f_2) \times E(f_2) \). Continuing this process, we may construct the iterated split extension

\[ (*) \quad G(f_1) \times E(f_1) \times E(f_2) \times \cdots \times E(f_n). \]

One group of this form may be constructed as follows. Let \( f_i \) be a non-degenerate alternating form on a \( 2n_i \)-dimensional vector space over \( F_3 \). By 4.1, \( E(f_i) \cong E^{n_i} \) where \( E \) is an extraspecial group of order 27 and exponent 3. There is a faithful irreducible representation of \( E(f_i) \) of degree \( n_i + 1 = 3^{n_i} \) over \( F_4 \), which preserves a nondegenerate Hermitian form \( f_{i+1} \). By 4.2, \( E(f_{i+1}) \cong Q^{n_i+1} \) and there is a \( n_{i+2} = 2^{n_i+1} \)-dimensional representation of \( E(f_{i+1}) \) over \( F_3 \) that preserves a nondegenerate bilinear form \( f_{i+2} \), which is alternating as \( n_{i+1} \) is odd. This is similar to the situation first considered except that \( f_i \) and \( n_i \) are replaced by \( f_{i+2} \) and \( n_{i+2}/2 \). If \( f_1 \) is an alternating form on a two-dimensional vector space over \( F_3 \), then \( G(f_1) \cong Sp_2(F_3) \) and we may therefore construct an iterated split extension of the form

\[ Sp_2(F_3) \times E \times Q^3 \times E^4 \times Q^8 \times E^{80} \times \cdots. \]

In Section 1 we alluded to an extraspecial tower having \( GL_2(F_3) \) as a quotient. Since \( Sp_2(F_3) \cong SL_2(F_3) \), we seek an extension of the above extraspecial tower by a cyclic group of order 2. The construction of the larger extraspecial towers requires two steps. If \( G \) is a larger finite
extraspecial tower, such as $S_3$ or $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$, then we construct $G \ltimes E$ where $E$ is either an extraspecial 2–group, or an extraspecial 3–group of the appropriate size.

First suppose that $G$ is one such larger extraspecial tower with a normal extraspecial 2–subgroup $E(f) \cong Q^n$ where $f$ is an $\eta$–Hermitian form defined on an $n$–dimensional vector space $V \cong E(f)/Z(E(f))$ over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Suppose additionally that every $g \in G$ induces an $\alpha(g)$–semilinear transformation $\tilde{g}$ of $V$ such that

$$f(x\tilde{g}, y\tilde{g}) = f(x, y)^{\alpha(g)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V,$$

where $\alpha(g) = \eta$ if $g \notin G'$ and $\alpha(g) = 1$ otherwise. (This is the case for example if $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$. If $g \notin G'$, then $\tilde{g}$ does not preserve $f$ (or even $f_\lambda$), however, it does preserve the quadratic form $V \to \mathbb{F}_2$ defined by $x \mapsto f_\lambda(x, x) = f(x, x)$. If $\rho : Q^n \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is an irreducible representation that preserves an alternating form $f'$, then by [Theorem 7, 4] there is a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ extending $\rho$ that preserves $f'$ up to a sign. Hence we may construct the split extension $G \ltimes E(f')$.

For the second inductive step, suppose that $G$ is an extraspecial tower with a normal extraspecial 3–group $E(f) \cong E^n$ defined by an alternating form $f$ on a $2n$–dimensional vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{F}_3$. Suppose additionally that each $g \in G$ induces a linear transformation $\tilde{g}$ of $V$ such that

$$f(x\tilde{g}, y\tilde{g}) = \alpha(g)f(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V,$$

where $\alpha(g) = -1$ if $g \notin G'$ and $\alpha(g) = 1$ otherwise. An irreducible representation $\rho : E(f) \to \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_3)$ necessarily preserves some $\eta'$–Hermitian form $f'$, and by Section 6, there is an extension $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\rho$ to $G'$ which also preserves $f'$. Since every $g \notin G'$ inverts $Z(E(f))$, the representation $\tilde{\rho}(g) : h \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1}hg)$ of $G'$ is equivalent to the representation $\tilde{\rho}' : h \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(h)^{\eta'}$. In this situation it is possible to extend $\tilde{\rho}$ to a crossed representation of $G$. (Recall that $\sigma : G \to \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$ is a crossed representation if $\sigma(gh) = \sigma(g)^{\alpha(h)}\sigma(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$ where $\alpha : G \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$ is a homomorphism.) This crossed representation may be viewed as a representation $G \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$, and so we may construct the split extension $G \ltimes E(f')$. This justifies the existence of the larger extraspecial towers. These extraspecial towers have the property that each of their normal subgroups are terms of their derived series. Furthermore, some extraspecial towers provide examples of “small” soluble groups with “large” derived lengths (see [3]) such as

$$\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \ltimes E \ltimes Q^3 \ltimes E^4.$$
which has order $2^{11}3^{13}$ and derived length 10.

The extraspecial towers discussed above were constructed using alternating and Hermitian forms, one after the other in succession. There are many other possibilities of course. For example, each $f_i$ could be a Hermitian form acting on an $n_i$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p_i^2}$ where $p_i$ is an odd prime. Provided $p_i | (p_{i+1} + 1)$ for each $i$, we may construct the extraspecial tower $(\ast)$ in which $n_{i+1} = p_i^{n_i}$.
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