SINGULARITIES AND TOPOLOGY OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

MIHAI TIBĂR

Abstract. We present several aspects of the “topology of meromorphic functions”, which we conceive as a general theory which includes the topology of holomorphic functions, the topology of pencils on quasi-projective spaces and the topology of polynomial functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Vanishing homology and singularities 4
3. Isolated singularities and their vanishing cycles 6
4. Homotopy type of fibres 10
5. Monodromy 11
6. Nongeneric pencils and Zariski-Lefschetz type results 14
7. Equisingularity at the indeterminacy locus 15
8. More on polynomial functions 16
References 18

1. Introduction

Milnor [12] defined the basic ingredients for studying the topology of holomorphic germs of functions \( f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{C} \). One of the main motivations for Milnor’s book concerns the link \( K \) of an isolated singularity and its complement \( S^{2n-1} \setminus K \): links which are exotic spheres have been discovered by Hirzebruch [13] and Brieskorn [5]; in case \( n = 2 \), the components of \( K \) are iterated toric knots. The study of isolated singularities ever since revealed striking phenomena and established bridges between several branches of mathematics.

In another stream of research, there has been an increasing interest in the last decade for the study of the global topology of polynomial functions, especially in connection with the behaviour at infinity. This topic is closely related to the affine geometry and to dynamical systems on non-compact spaces.
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This paper reports on how to extend the study from holomorphic germs to the class of meromorphic functions, local or global. In the same time, polynomial functions \( \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C} \) can be viewed as a special case of global meromorphic functions, as we explain further on. A global meromorphic function defines a pencil of hypersurfaces, therefore our approach moreover yields a generalization of the theory of Lefschetz pencils.

Another motivation for the study of meromorphic functions is Arnold’s approach to the classification of simple germs of meromorphic functions under certain equivalence relations [3].

Let us introduce the first definitions. A meromorphic function, or pencil of hypersurfaces, on a compact complex analytic space \( Y \), is a function \( F: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) defined as the ratio of two sections \( P \) and \( Q \) of a holomorphic line bundle over \( Y \). Then \( F = P/Q \) is a holomorphic function on \( Y \setminus A \), where \( A := \{ P = Q = 0 \} \) is the base locus of the pencil (also called axis, or indeterminacy locus). A germ of meromorphic function on a space germ is just the ratio of two holomorphic germs \( f = p/q : (Y, y) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). By definition, \( f \) is equal to \( f' = p'/q' \), as germs at \( y \), if and only if there exists a holomorphic germ \( u \) such that \( u(y) \neq 0 \) and that \( p = up' \), \( q = uq' \). Then \( f \) is holomorphic on the germ at \( y \) of the complement \( Y \setminus A \) of the axis \( A = \{ p = q = 0 \} \).

Meromorphic functions give rise to a new type of singularities, those occurring along the indeterminacy locus. To define them, we need to introduce some more objects attached to a meromorphic function.

**Definition 1.1.** Let \( G := \{(x, \tau) \in (Y \setminus A) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid F(x) = \tau \} \) and let \( \mathcal{Y} \) denote the analytic closure of \( G \) in \( Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), namely:

\[
\mathcal{Y} = \{(x, [s : t]) \in Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid tP(x) - sQ(x) = 0 \}.
\]

In case of a germ of meromorphic function at \( (Y, y) \), one similarly defines space germs, which we denote by \( (G, (y, \tau)) \), resp. \( (\mathcal{Y}, (y, \tau)) \). See also Definition 2.5.

First note that \( G \) is the graph of the restriction \( F|_{Y \setminus A} \). Therefore \( Y \setminus A \simeq G \) embeds into \( \mathcal{Y} \) and the projection \( \pi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is an extension of the function \( F|_{Y \setminus A} \). One may also say that \( \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \) is a blow-up of \( Y \) along the axis \( A \), such that the meromorphic function \( F : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) pulls back to a well defined holomorphic function \( \pi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Figure 1. Specialization of topics**
We shall also consider the restriction of $F$ (or of a germ $f$), to $X := Y \setminus V$, where $V$ is some compact analytic subspace of $Y$. The case $V = \{Q = 0\}$ is of particular interest for the following reason. Let $P: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial of degree $d$, let $\tilde{P}$ be the homogenized of $P$ by the new variable $x_0$ and let $H^\infty = \{x_0 = 0\}$ be the hyperplane at infinity. Then $\tilde{P}/x_0^d: \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a meromorphic function on $Y := \mathbb{P}^n$ which coincides with $P$ over $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H^\infty$. We shall briefly outline in $\S 3$ some results and literature on polynomials.

Our meromorphic function (as a global one or as a germ) defines a family (=pencil) of hypersurfaces on each of the spaces defined above: $Y, Y \setminus A, \text{or } X = Y \setminus V$. The map to $\mathbb{P}^1$, whenever defined, yields the pencil, as the family of its fibres. In other cases, we take the closures of fibres in the considered space. For instance, in case of $Y$, we take the closure of each hypersurface $F_{Y \setminus A}^{-1}(\tau)$ within $Y$, for $\tau \in \mathbb{P}^1$; each such closure contains $A$ and we actually have $F_{Y \setminus A}^{-1}(\tau) = \pi^{-1}(\tau)$. The role of the “completed space” $Y$ is that it contains all these pencils: we just restrict the fibres of $\pi$ to the particular subspace of $Y$ and we get the pencil we are looking for.

With this approach, one covers a large field. For instance, the class of holomorphic functions (or germs) represents the case when $A = \emptyset$.

Now, for any pencil on $Y$ or on $X$, there is only a finite number of atypical values, or atypical fibres. This finiteness result comes from ideas of Thom $[60]$ and is based on the fact that we can stratify the space $Y$ (such that $V$ is union of strata, in case $V \neq \emptyset$), restrict to $Y \setminus A \simeq Y \setminus (A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and extend this to some Whitney stratification of $Y$.

In case of a germ at $({Y}, y)$ of a meromorphic function, one considers the germ of such a Whitney stratification at $\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset Y$. Local finiteness of the strata implies that non-transversality of the projection $\pi$ happens at discrete values only.

**Proposition 1.2.** There exists a finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that the map $\pi : Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Lambda$ is a stratified locally trivial $C^0$ fibration.

In particular, the restrictions $\pi_1: Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Lambda$ and $F: Y \setminus (V \cap A \cup F^{-1}(\Lambda)) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Lambda$ are stratified locally trivial fibrations.

In our approach, the singularities of the meromorphic function $F$ along the indeterminacy locus $A$ are the stratified singularities of $\pi$ at $(A \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cap Y$. Usually, singularities of functions on singular spaces are defined with respect to some Whitney stratification. Here we use instead a partial Thom stratification, denoted $G$, as we already used in particular cases (cf. $[20, 38, 54]$). This is a more general type of stratification since Whitney (b) condition is not required. Nevertheless, it allows one to study topological aspects, including homotopy type, at least for isolated singularities, in both local or global context.

Instead of endowing $Y$ with a stratified structure, another strategy for studying the topology of the meromorphic function $F$ would be to further blow up $Y$ in diagram (1), such that the pull-back of $\{P = 0\} \cup \{Q = 0\}$ becomes a divisor with normal crossings. One may then use the data provided by this divisor in order to get informations. In this spirit, some results were found in the polynomial case, in two
variables, by Fourrier [15] and Lé-Weber [10]; computation of the zeta functions of 
the monodromy has been done for polynomials and particular meromorphic germs 
(namely for \( Y \) nonsingular and \( X = Y \setminus A \)) by Gusein-Zade, Melle and Luengo 
[27, 28, 29] .

This paper revisits the techniques and results of [22, 53], [63] and extends them 
to the more general context introduced above. The main scope is to show how to 
study vanishing cycles of meromorphic functions in both local and global context.

2. Vanishing homology and singularities

Let us first define vanishing homology attached to a global meromorphic function 
and relate it to the singularities along the indeterminacy locus. The vanishing 
set.

We shall use the following notations. For any subset \( W \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( \mathcal{Y}_W := \pi^{-1}(W) \), 
\( \mathcal{Y}_W := A \cup F^{-1}(W) \), \( X_w := X \cap \mathcal{Y}_W \). The special case \( X = Y \setminus A \) and this is the object of study, explicitly, in [12], and implicitly, in [52]. Our presentation follows 
the one of [55], adapting it to our more general situation; in particular our notations 
are different from those in [55].

Let \( a_i \in \Lambda \) be an atypical value of \( \pi \) and take a small enough disc \( D_i \) at \( a_i \) such 
that \( D_i \cap \Lambda = \{a_i\} \). Let’s fix some point \( s_i \in \partial D_i \). Let \( s \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Lambda \) be a general 
value, situated on the boundary of some big closed disc \( D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \), such that \( D \supset D_i \), 
\( \forall a_i \in D \cap \Lambda \), and that \( D \cap D_i = \emptyset \), \( \forall a_i \in D \setminus \Lambda \).

The vanishing homology of meromorphic functions should be a natural extension 
of the vanishing homology of local holomorphic functions. In the latter case, the 
total space of the Milnor fibration [12] is contractible, by the local conical structure 
of analytic sets [10]. For global meromorphic functions, the total space one may 
take cannot be contractible anymore and the general fibre \( X_s \) inherits part of its 
homology.

**Definition 2.1.** The vanishing homology of \( F|_{X} \) at \( a_i \) is the relative homology 
\( H_s(X_{D_i}, X_{s_i}) \).

In the case \( X = Y \setminus A \), this corresponds to the definition used by Siersma and 
the author in [57].

We identify \( X_s \) to \( X_{s_i} \), in the following explicit manner. For each \( i \), take a path 
\( \gamma_i \subset D \) from \( s \) to \( s_i \), with the usual conditions: the path \( \gamma_i \) has no self intersections 
and does not intersect any other path \( \gamma_j \), except at the point \( s \). Then Proposition 
[12] allows identifying \( X_s \) to \( X_{s_i} \), by parallel transport along \( \gamma_i \).

A general result tells that vanishing homologies can be “patched” together. This 
type of result was observed before in different particular situations, see e.g. [0] §5, 
[52]. More precisely, we have the following result, extending the context of 
Proposition 2.1] to any \( X = Y \setminus \mathcal{V} \):

**Proposition 2.2.**

1. \( H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) = \oplus_{a_i \in \Lambda} H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \).
2. The long exact sequence of the triple \( (X_{D_s}, X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \) decomposes into short 
extact sequences which split:

   \[ 0 \to H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \to H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \to H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \to 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

3. There is a natural identification \( H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) = \oplus_{a_j \in \Lambda \setminus a_i} H_s(X_{D_s}, X_{s_s}) \).
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Proof. By Proposition 1.2, the fibration \( F : \mathbb{P} \setminus ((v \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cup \pi^{-1}(\Lambda)) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Lambda \) is locally trivial. Its fibre over some \( b \) is, by definition, \( X_b \). We then get a sequence of excisions:

\[ \oplus_{a \in \Lambda} H_\ast(X_{D,a}, X_a) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_\ast(\pi^{-1}(\cup_{a \in \Lambda} D_a), X_a) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_\ast(X_D, X_a). \]

This also shows that each inclusion \( (X_{D,a}, X_a) \subset (X_D, X_a) \) induces an injection in homology \( H_\ast(X_{D,a}, X_a) \hookrightarrow H_\ast(X_D, X_a) \). The points (a), (b), (c) all follow from this. □

Vanishing homology of \( F \) has its local counterpart and is closely related to singularities of \( F \). One would like to say that vanishing homology is supported at the singular points of \( F \). The typical problem for a meromorphic function is that it has singularities also outside the ground space, \( Y \) or \( X \). Therefore we need a larger space, such as \( \bar{Y} \), to define singularities. Then the support of vanishing cycles is included into the singular locus of \( \pi \) on \( \bar{Y} \). (In cohomology, the sheaf of vanishing cycles of a function \( h \) on a nonsingular space is indeed supported by the singular locus of \( h \), see [11,].)

Let now give the precise definition of what we consider as singularities of \( F \) (resp. of \( f \)). We relax the stratification conditions at \( A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \bar{Y} \) and use only the Thom condition. Let us first recall the latter, following [12,].

Let \( \mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in S} \) be a locally finite stratification such that \( \bar{Y} \setminus X \) is union of strata. Let \( \xi := (y, a) \) be a point on a stratum \( \mathcal{G}_\alpha \). We assume, without loss of generality, that \( a \neq [1 : 0] \). Let \( f = p/q \) be our meromorphic germ on \( (\bar{Y}, y) \) or a local representative of the germ of \( F \) at \( (Y, y) \). Then \( q = 0 \) is a local equation for \( A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) at \( \xi \). The Thom regularity condition \((a_q)\) at \( \xi \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha \) is satisfied (see e.g. [24, ch. 1]) if for any stratum \( \mathcal{G}_\beta \) such that \( \mathcal{G}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{G}_\beta \), the relative conormal space (see [59, 63] for a definition) of \( q \) on \( \mathcal{G}_\beta \) is included into the conormal of \( \mathcal{G}_\alpha \), locally at \( \xi \), i.e., \( (T^\ast_{\mathcal{G}_\alpha})_\xi \supset (T^\ast_{\mathcal{G}_\beta})_\xi \). This condition is known to be independent on \( q \), up to multiplication by a unit [12, Prop. 3.2].

Definition 2.3. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a stratification on \( \bar{Y} \) as above such that it restricts to a Whitney stratification on \( \bar{Y} \setminus A \), where \( V \setminus A \) is union of strata. We say that \( \mathcal{G} \) is a partial Thom stratification \((\partial\tau\text{-stratification})\) if Thom’s condition \((a_q)\) is satisfied at any point \( \xi \in A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \bar{Y} \).

One may extend a Whitney stratification on \( \bar{Y} \setminus A \) to a locally finite \( \partial\tau\text{-stratification} \) of \( \bar{Y} \), by usual stratification theory arguments (see e.g. [24]). For instance, the Whitney stratification \( \mathcal{W} \) of \( \mathbb{X} \) that we have considered before is an example of \( \partial\tau\text{-stratification} \). This follows from [8, Théorème 4.2.1] or [12, Theorem 3.9]. One can also construct a canonical (minimal) \( \partial\tau\text{-stratification} \); we send to [12] for further details.

Definition 2.4. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a \( \partial\tau\text{-stratification} \) on \( \bar{Y} \). We say that the following closed subset of \( \bar{Y} \):

\[ \text{Sing}_F := \cup_{\mathcal{G}_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}} \text{closure}(\text{Sing} \pi|_{\mathcal{G}_\alpha}) \]

is the singular locus of \( F \) with respect to \( \mathcal{G} \). We say that \( F \) has isolated singularities with respect to \( \mathcal{G} \) if \( \dim \text{Sing}_F \leq 0 \).

For the singular locus of a germ \( f \), one modifies this definition accordingly. The singularities of the new type are those along the indeterminacy locus, namely on \( A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). We shall further investigate the relation between singularities and vanishing
homology, in case of isolated singularities. Let us define the local fibration of a
meromorphic germ, already used in particular cases in [3, 12, 53], and which
relates to the fibration of a holomorphic germ on a singular space defined by Lê
D.T. [36].

**Definition 2.5.** Let \( f : (Y, y) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) be a germ of a meromorphic function. For
every \( a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \), one associates the germ \( \pi_{(y, a)} : (Y, (y, a)) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). Abusing language,
by restricting this map to \( X \subset Y \), we have a germ \( f : (X, (y, a)) \to \mathbb{C} \), where the
point \( (y, a) \) might be in the closure of the set \( X \).

When the point \( y \) does not belong to the axis \( A \), then we have the classical
situation of a holomorphic germ; the point \( (y, a) \) is uniquely determined by
\( y \).

However, when \( y \in A \), then for each \( a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) we get a different germ. Proposition
1.2 together with Thom’s Second Isotopy Lemma show that, in this fa
mily, all
germs are isomorphic except of finitely many of them.

There is a well defined local fibration at \( (y, a) \), as follows. Let \( W \) be a Whitney
stratification of \( Y \) such that \( Y \setminus X \) is union of strata. For all small enough radii \( \varepsilon \),
the sphere \( S = \partial B(y, a) \) centered at \( (y, a) \) intersects transversally all the (finitely
many strata) in some neighbourhood of \( (y, a) \). By [36], the projection \( \pi : Y \cap
B_{\varepsilon}(y, a) \to D^* \), if the radius of \( D \) is small enough.

It follows that the restriction:
\[
\pi : X_{D^*} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(y, a) \to D^*,
\]

is also locally trivial. If \( y \) is fixed, this fibration varies with the parametre \( a \); the
radius \( \varepsilon \) of the ball depends also on the point \( a \). From Proposition [12] and Thom’s
Isotopy Lemma it follows that, since \( \pi \) is stratified-transversal to \( Y \) over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus A \), the
fibration \( \pi : X_{D^*} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(y, a) \to D \) is trivial, for all but a finite number of values of
\( a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Definition 2.6.** We call the locally trivial fibration \( \text{(3)} \) the \( \text{Milnor-Lê fibration} \) of
the meromorphic function germ \( f \) at the point \( (y, a) \in X \).

3. Isolated singularities and their vanishing cycles

We show that, if the singularities along the indeterminacy locus are isolated, then
one can localize the variation of topology of fibres. The same type of phenomenon
exists in the previously known cases: holomorphic germs [12] and of polynomial
functions (e.g. [62, 4.3]). This has consequences on the problem of detecting vari-
ation of topology, especially when the underlying space \( Y \) has maximal
rectified homotopical depth [1]. Before stating the localization result, let us give the defini-
tion here, for further use: Let \( Z \) be a complex space endowed with some Whitney stratifi-
cation \( \mathcal{W} \); denote by \( \mathcal{W}_i \) the union of strata of dimension \( \leq i \). After [12], one says
that \( \text{rhd}_{\mathcal{W}} Z \geq m \) if for any \( i \) and any point \( x \in \mathcal{W}_i \setminus \mathcal{W}_{i-1} \), the homotopy groups of
\( \{ U_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha} \setminus \mathcal{W}_i \} \) are trivial up to the order \( m - 1 - i \), where \( \{ U_{\alpha} \} \) is some fundamental
system of neighbourhoods of \( x \). It is shown in loc. cit. that this does not depend on
the chosen Whitney stratification. A similar definition holds in homology instead
of homotopy, giving rise to the rectified homological depth, denoted \( \text{rHd} \). Let us
mention that \( \text{rhd} \leq n \) when \( Y \) is locally a complete intersection of dimension \( n \)
at all its points (see [39]).

\[\text{This notion was introduced by Hamm and Lê [52] in order to realize Grothendieck’s predictions}
\text{that homotopical depth was the cornerstone for the Lefschetz type theorems on singular spaces [29].}
\text{We shall come back to Lefschetz theory in [4].}\]
Proposition 3.1. Let $F$ have isolated singularities with respect to some $\partial \mathcal{R}$-stratification $\mathcal{G}$ at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ (i.e. $\dim \mathcal{Y}_a \cap \text{Sing}_F \leq 0$). Then the variation of topology of the fibres of $F$ at $X_a$ is localizable at those points. □

What we mean by “localizable” is that there exist small enough balls in $\mathcal{Y}$ centered at the isolated singularities such that, outside these balls, the projection $\pi$ is trivial over a small enough disc centered at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The proof in the general case of meromorphic functions has the same structure as the proof presented in [55, Theorem 4.3], inspite the fact that in loc.cit. we consider a particular situation; we may safely skip it. The localization result implies, as in the particular cases [62], that the vanishing cycles are concentrated at the isolated singularities.

Corollary 3.2. Let $F$ have isolated singularities with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\mathcal{Y}_a \cap \text{Sing}_F = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$. For any small enough balls $B_i \subset \mathcal{Y}$ centered at $p_i$, and for small enough closed disc centered at $a$, $s \in \partial \mathcal{D}$, we have:

$$H_*(X_D, X_s) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k H_*(X_D \cap B_i, X_s \cap B_i).$$

□

One show, following [53], that an isolated $\mathcal{G}$-singularity at a point of $A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is detectable by the presence of a certain local polar locus. If the space $\mathcal{Y}$ is “nice enough”, then the local vanishing homology (second term of the isomorphism (4)) is concentrated in one dimension only. Then the polar locus defines a numerical invariant which measures the number of “vanishing cycles at this point”.

Definition 3.3. Let $\xi = (y, a) \in A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $f = p/q$ a local representation of $F$ at $y$. Then the polar locus $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$ is the germ at $\xi$ of the space:

$$\text{closure}(\text{Sing}_F(\pi, q) \setminus (\text{Sing}_F(\pi \cup A \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \subset \mathcal{Y}.$$  

As in [53, §4], we have the isomorphisms:

$$\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q) \cong \Gamma_\xi(f, q) \cong \Gamma_\xi(p, q).$$

The multiplication by a unit $u$ may change the polar locus: $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, qu)$ is in general different from $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$. Nevertheless, we have the following.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\xi = (y, a) \in A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be an isolated $\mathcal{G}$-singularity of $F$ and let $f_y = p/q$ a local representation of $F$. Then, for any multiplicative unit $u$, the polar locus $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, qu)$ is either void or $\dim \Gamma_\xi(\pi, qu) = 1$. If moreover $\mathcal{Y}$ is of pure dimension $m$ and $\text{rd}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \{q = 0\}) \geq m$ in the neighbourhood of $\xi$, then $\text{dim} \Gamma_\xi(\pi, qu)$ is independent on the unit $u$. We call this multiplicity the polar number at $\xi$.

Proof. We follow essentially the proof of [53, 4.2]. The key argument to use is the independence of $PT^*_q$ from the multiplicative unit $u$, proven in [52, Prop. 3.2], where $PT^*_q$ denotes the projectivized relative conormal of $q$.

Since $\dim PT^*_q = m + 1$, it follows that $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$ is either void or of dimension at least 1. On the other hand, since $\xi$ is a point belonging to $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$, it follows that $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$ has dimension at most 1. The same argumentation holds for $qu$ instead of $q$. This proves the first claim.

To prove the second statement, let’s suppose that $\Gamma_\xi(\pi, q)$ has dimension 1. Consider the Milnor-Lê fibration of the function $\pi$ at $\xi$:

$$\pi_! : \mathcal{Y}_D \cap B_\varepsilon(\xi) \rightarrow D^*,$$  

(5)
as explained at the end of 2. We compute the homology \( H_\ast(\mathcal{Y}_s \cap B) \) of the Milnor fibre of this fibration. Inside \( B \), the restriction of the function \( q \) to \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) has a finite number of stratified isolated singularities, which are precisely the points of intersection \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \cap \Gamma(\pi, q) \). By the result due to Goresky-MacPherson that cylindrical neighbourhoods are conical [23, pag. 165], it follows that \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \cap \Gamma(\pi, q) \) is homotopy equivalent to \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \cap \Gamma(\pi, q) = q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \cap \Gamma(\pi, q) \).

Let now take a small enough disc \( \delta \) centered at 0 \( \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \cap \Gamma(\pi, q) = \emptyset \). By using the properties of the partial Thom stratification \( \mathcal{G} \) and by retraction, it follows that \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) is homotopy equivalent to the central fibre \( q^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \).

Since the subspace \( q^{-1}(0) \) at \( \xi \) is the product \( A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), the central fibre \( q^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) is contractible; hence \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) is contractible too.

The total space \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) \( \text{ht} \) \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) is built by attaching to the space \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) finitely many cells, which come from the isolated singularities of the function \( q \) on \( q^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \). Since \( \text{rdh} (\mathcal{Y}_s \setminus \{q = 0\}) \geq m - 1 \) in some neighbourhood of \( \xi \) (by our assumption and Hamm-Lê’s [23, Theorem 3.2.1]), it follows that each singularity contributes with cells of dimension exactly \( m - 1 \) and the number of cells is equal to the corresponding local Milnor number of the function \( q \) (see [27] and [1] for more details). The sum of these numbers is, by definition, the intersection multiplicity \( \int_{\mathcal{G}} (\Gamma(\pi, q), \mathcal{Y}_s) \).

By this we have proven that \( \dim H_{m-1}(\mathcal{Y}_s \cap B) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} (\Gamma(\pi, q), \mathcal{Y}_s) \) and that \( \bar{H}_i(\mathcal{Y}_s \cap B) = 0 \) for \( i \neq n - 1 \). Replacing \( q \) by \( qu \) in our proof yields the same equalities; this proves our statement.

\[ \text{Remark 3.5.} \] The last part of the above proof shows in fact more, that the fibre \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) of the local fibration \( 3 \) is homotopy equivalent to a ball to which one attaches a certain number of \((m - 1)\)-cells. Therefore \( \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B \) is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres \( \sqrt{S^{m-1}} \) of dimension \( m - 1 \).

One can get more precise results when lowering the generality. The situations we consider in the following are more general that “polynomial functions”, which we consider in 8. Let then assume:

\[ (*) \quad X := Y \setminus A \text{ has at most isolated singularities.} \]

In this case we have \( \text{Sing}_g F \cap A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \text{Sing} \mathcal{Y} \cap A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). In the notations of Cor. 3.2, the following duality result holds (integral coefficients):

\[ H_\ast(X_D \cap B_i, X_s \cap B_i) \simeq H^{2m-\ast}(\mathcal{Y}_D \cap B_i, \mathcal{Y}_s \cap B_i), \]

where \( m = \dim_p Y \). This follows from Lefschetz duality for polyhedra, see Dold [16, p. 296].

Since \( \mathcal{Y}_D \cap B_i \) is contractible, we get:

\[ H_\ast(X_D \cap B_i, X_s \cap B_i) \simeq \bar{H}^{2m-\ast}(\mathcal{Y}_s \cap B_i). \]

When comparing this to Remark 4.3 and to Definition 2.1, Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, the following statement comes out:

\[ \text{Theorem 3.6.} \quad \text{Let } F \text{ have isolated singularities with respect to } G \text{ at } a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ and let } \xi \in A \times \{a\} \cap \text{Sing}_g F. \text{ Then } F_{|\mathcal{Y} \cap A} \text{ has vanishing cycles at } \xi \text{ if and only if } \]
int(Γ_ξ(π,q), Y_a) ≠ 0. The number of vanishing cycles at ξ is λ_ξ := dim H_{m-1}(Y_s ∩ B) = int(Γ_ξ(π,q), Y_a), where m = dim_ξ Y_a.

Let us assume now that Y_a has an isolated singularity at ξ ∈ A × {a}. This happens for instance when Y has at most isolated singularities on A. Since (Y_a, ξ) is a hypersurface germ in Y, it has a well defined Milnor fibration, and in particular a Milnor number, which we denote by µ(a).

It also follows that dim_ξ Sing_ξ F ≤ 0 and that Y has singularities of dimension at most 1 at ξ. If Sing Y is a curve at ξ, this curve intersects Y_s, for s close enough to a, at some points ξ_i(s), 1 ≤ i ≤ k. There is a well defined Milnor µ_i(s) at each hypersurface germ (Y_s, ξ_i(s)). (In case Sing Y is just the point ξ, we consider that µ_i(s) = 0, ∀i.) We have the following computation of the number of vanishing cycles at ξ:

Theorem 3.7. Let dim_ξ Sing Y_a = 0. Then:

\[ λ_ξ = µ(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} µ_i(s). \]

Proof. We only sketch the proof and send to [55] for details. Consider the function:

\[ G = p - sq : (Y × C, ξ_i(s)) → (C, 0). \]

For fixed s, this function is a smoothing of the germ (Y_s, ξ_i(s)). Since ξ is an isolated singularity, the polar locus at ξ of the map (G, π) : Y × C → C^2, defined as Γ_ξ(G, π) = closure{Sing (G, π) \ Sing G}, is a curve. By using polydisc neighbourhoods \( B(P_a × D_a) \) at ξ in Y × C, one may show that \((G, π)^{-1}(η, s) \cap (P_a × D_a)\) is homotopy equivalent to the Milnor fibre of the germ (Y_a, ξ). To obtain from this the space \( π^{-1}(s) \cap (P_a × D_a) \), one has to attach a number of m cells, where m denotes dim_ξ Y. Part of these cells come from the singular points ξ_i(s) ∈ Sing G ∩ π^{-1}(s); by definition, their total number is \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} µ_i(s) \). The other part of the cells come from the intersection with Γ_ξ(G, π) and their number is \( r = int(Γ_ξ(G, π), π-1(0)) \). The key observation is that r turns out to be equal to dim H_{m-1}(Y_s ∩ B), which is the number of vanishing cycles at ξ. Finally, since \( π^{-1}(s) \cap (P_a × D_a) \) is contractible (since being the Milnor fibre of a linear function t on a smooth space), we have the following equality:

\[ µ(a) = r + \sum_{i=1}^{k} µ_i(s). \]

Notice that, in case dim_ξ Sing Y = 0, we get just \( λ_ξ = µ(a) \).

We send to Corollary [43] for the counting of the total number of vanishing cycles. Let us give two examples of meromorphic functions, one on \( P^2(C) \) and another on a nonsingular quadratic surface in \( P^3(C) \).

Example 3.8. (43) Let \( F : Y = P^2 → P^1 \), \( F = \frac{x(z^{a+b} + a^by^b)}{y^pz^q} \), where \( a+b+1 = p + q \) and \( a, b, p, q ≥ 1 \). For some \( s ∈ C = P^1 \setminus [1 : 0] \), the space Y_s is given by:

\[ x(z^{a+b} + a^by^b) = sy^pz^q \]

\( 2 \) polydisc neighbourhoods were first used by Lé D.T.[43].
Sing $Y \cap (Y \times \mathbb{C})$ consists of three lines: $\{(1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1)\} \times \mathbb{C}$. We are under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and we inspect each of these 3 families of germs with isolated singularity to see where the Milnor number jumps.

Along $[1 : 0 : 0] \times \mathbb{C}$, in chart $x = 1$, there are no jumps, since the germs have uniform Brieskorn type $(b, a + b)$. Along $[0 : 0 : 1]$, in chart $z = 1$, there are no jumps, since the type is constant $A_0$, for all $s$. Along $[0 : 1 : 0]$, in chart $y = 1$: For $s \neq 0$, the Brieskorn type is $(a + 1, q)$, with $\mu(s) = a(q - 1)$. If $s = 0$, then we have $x^{a+1} + xz^{a+b} = 0$ with $\mu(0) = a^2 + ab + b$.

There is only one jump, at $\xi = ([0 : 1 : 0], 0)$; according to the preceding theorem, $\lambda_\xi = a^2 + ab + b - a(q - 1) = b + ap$.

4. Homotopy type of fibres

In case of isolated singularities, we have the following result on the relative homotopy type.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^p$ be the set of atypical values of $F$ within some open disc $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $s \in D$ be some typical value of $F$. For all $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $F$ have an isolated $G$-singularity at $a_i$, $Y$ be of pure dimension $m$ at $a_i$, and $\text{rHd}(Y \setminus (V \cup A)) \geq m$ in some neighbourhood of $a_i$. If either of the two following conditions is fulfilled:

1. $X$ is a Stein space,
2. $X = Y \setminus A$ and $X$ has at most isolated singularities,

then $X_D$ is obtained from $X_s$ by attaching cells of real dimension $m$. In particular, the topological space $X_D/X_s$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres $\vee S^m$.

**Proof.** We prove that the reduced integral homology of $X_D/X_s$ is concentrated in dimension $m$. By Proposition 3.1, the variation of homotopy of the fibres of $F|_{X_D}$ is localizable at the points $\text{Sing}_F Y \cap Y_D$. We have to take into account all the possible positions of such a singular point $\xi = (y, a)$, namely: on $X$, on $V \setminus A$ or on $A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset Y$.

In all the cases, it turns out that the pair $(X_{D_0} \cap B_\xi, X_s \cap B_\xi)$ is $(m - 1)$-connected, where $B_\xi \subset Y$ is a small enough ball at $\xi$, $D_0$ is a small enough closed disc at $a$ and $s \in \partial D_0$.

For a point $\xi$ in the first case, this is just Milnor’s classical result for holomorphic functions with isolated singularity [12]. In the two remaining cases, this follows by a result due to Hamm and Lê [24, Corollary 4.2.2], in a slightly improved version for partial Thom stratifications (see [12, 2.7]). This result needs the condition on the rectified homological depth.

By the above proven connectivity of the pair $(X_{D_0} \cap B_\xi, X_s \cap B_\xi)$ and the splitting of vanishing homology into local contributions Corollary 3.2, we get that the homology of $(X_D, X_s)$ is zero below dimension $m$. Above dimension $m$, we also have the annulation, due to the following reasons. In case (a) the space $X_D$, respectively $X_s$, is Stein of dimension $m$, resp. $m - 1$. In case (b), we may apply the duality [1] and we have that the cohomology $\check{H}^*(Y_s \cap B_\xi)$ is concentrated in dimension $m - 1$, by Remark 2.3.

Then one can map a bouquet of $m$ spheres into $X_D/X_s$ such that this map is an isomorphism in homology. This implies, by Whitehead’s theorem (see [56, 7.5.9]), that the map induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups. (Remark that $X_D/X_s$ is simply connected whenever $m \geq 2$.) Since we work with analytic objects, therefore triangulable, the space $X_D/X_s$ is a CW-complex. We may now
conclude our proof, since for CW-complexes, weak homotopy equivalence coincides with homotopy equivalence.

In case (b), this result has been proved by Siersma and the author [53]. Let us point out that, in this case (b), we also have the local bouquet result: \((X_{D_a} \cap B_{\xi})/\sim \cong \bigvee S^m\).

When assuming high connectivity of the space \(X\), we get the following immediate consequence (proved in lower generality in [55]).

Corollary 4.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, if in addition the space \(X\) is Stein and \((m - 1)\)-connected, then \(X_s \htilde \cong \bigvee S^{m-1}\).

Particular cases of this corollary appeared previously in several circumstances: Milnor’s bouquet result [42] on holomorphic germs with isolated singularity; bouquet results for generic fibres of polynomial maps with isolated singularities in the affine [8, 9] and with isolated singularities at infinity [53], [62].

As another consequence, we shall draw a formula for the total number of vanishing cycles in case of isolated \(G\)-singularities. Let us denote by \(\lambda_a\) the sum of the polar numbers at the singularities on \((A \times \mathbb{P}) \cap Y_a\) and by \(\mu_a\) the sum of the Milnor numbers of the singularities on \(Y_a \sim (A \times \{a\})\). One needs to note that the Milnor fiber (in our case \(Y_s \cap B\)) of a holomorphic function with isolated singularity on a Whitney stratified space is homotopically a bouquet of spheres of dimension \(= \dim Y_s \cap B\), see [61].

Corollary 4.3. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, we have:

\[
\dim H_{m-1}(X_{D_a}, X_s) = \mu_a + \lambda_a,
\]

\[
\dim H_{m-1}(X_D, X_s) = \sum_{a \in D} \mu_a + \sum_{a \in D} \lambda_a.
\]

Remark 4.4. In Example 3.8, let us consider \(X = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{yz = 0\}\). Let \(s \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{P}\). It is easy to see that the fiber \(X_0\) is a disjoint union of \(c + 1\) disjoint copies of \(\mathbb{C}^*\), where \(c = \gcd(a, b)\), therefore \(\chi(X_0) = 0\). For \(s \neq 0\), by a branched covering argument, one shows \(\chi(X_s) = -(b + ap)\). The vanishing homology is concentrated in dimension 2, by Theorem 4.4. When taking \(D = \mathbb{C}\), we get the Betti number \(b_2(X, X_s) = \chi(X, X_s) = \chi(X) - \chi(X_s) = (b + ap)\). We have seen at 3.8 that the sum \(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \lambda_a\) consists of a single term \(\lambda_{\xi} = b + ap\). One can also see easily that there is no other singularity, in particular that \(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \mu_a = 0\). Hence the second equality in Corollary 4.3 is verified.

5. Monodromy

Let \(F: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1\) be a meromorphic function and let \(\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^1\) denote the set of atypical values of the associated map \(\pi: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1\). There is a well defined monodromy \(h_i\) around an atypical value \(a_i \in \Lambda\). This is induced by a counterclockwise loop around the small circle \(\partial D_i\). Let \(D\) denote some large disc, like in 4.3, such that \(D \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset\). We have a geometric monodromy representation:

\[
\rho_i: \pi_1(\partial D_i, s_i) \to \text{Iso}(X_D, X_{\partial D_i}, X_{s_i}),
\]
where $\text{Iso}(\ldots)$ denotes the group of relative isotopy classes of stratified homeomorphisms (which are $C^\infty$ along each stratum). Note that the restriction of this action to $X_D$, $X_{D_i}$ or to $X_{aD_i}$ is trivial. Let $T_i$ denote the action induced by $\rho_i$ in homology (with integral coefficients).

Let us identify $H_*(X_D, X_s)$ with simple singularities. Lefschetz proved later the well known formula for a loop showed it at the end of the XIX-th century, for algebraic functions of two variables.

Note 5.3. One may say that Proposition 5.1 is a Picard type formula, since Picard showed it at the end of the XIX-th century, for algebraic functions of two variables with simple singularities. Lefschetz proved later the well known formula for a loop showed it at the end of the XIX-th century, for algebraic functions of two variables.

The statement and proof of Proposition 5.1 dualize easily from homology to cohomology. One obtains in this way statements about invariant cocycles $\ker(T^i - \text{id} : H^s(X, F) \to H^*(X, F))$ instead of anti-invariant cycles.

Remarks 5.4. The statement and proof of Proposition 5.1 dualize easily from homology to cohomology. One obtains in this way statements about invariant cocycles $\ker(T^i - \text{id} : H^s(X, F) \to H^*(X, F))$ instead of anti-invariant cycles.
A special case is that of a polynomial function $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, for which $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. Results on invariant cocycles were obtained in [46]; they can be proved also in our more general setting.

In the rest of this section we review some results on the zeta function of the monodromy. We shall only discuss global meromorphic functions $F$; following the general remark in the Introduction, all results translate easily in case of meromorphic germs. For the particular case of polynomial functions, we send the reader to §8, where we present more specific results.

**Definition 5.5.** Let $T_{\partial D}$ be the monodromy around some disk $D$ as above. One calls zeta function of $T_{\partial D}$ the following rational function in variable $t$:

$$
\zeta_{(X_D, X_s)}(t) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \det[\text{id} - tT_{\partial D} : H_i(X_D, X_s) \to H_i(X_D, X_s)]^{(-1)^{i+1}}.
$$

We are interested here in the zeta function of the monodromy around a value $a \in \Lambda$. Let us first assume that $F$ has isolated $G$-singularities. By the direct sum splitting (Corollary 3.2) and since the monodromy acts on each local Milnor fibration, we get:

$$
\zeta_{(X_D, X_s)}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_{(X_{D_a \cap B_i, X_s \cap B_i})}(t),
$$

where $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} = \mathbb{V}_a \cap \text{Sing}_F$ and $B_i$ is a small Milnor ball centered at $p_i$.

For the zeta function of the monodromy $T_{\partial D_a}$ acting on the homology of the general fibre $X_s$, we also get:

$$
\zeta_{X_s}(t) = (1 - t)^{-\chi(X_a)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_{(X_{D_a \cap B_i, X_s \cap B_i})}^{-1}(t),
$$

since the monodromy acts on $X_{D_a}$ as the identity and since $\chi(X_{D_a}) = \chi(X_s)$.

Let us now suppose that $Y$ is nonsingular and consider $X = Y \setminus A$, but not assume anything about the singularities of the meromorphic function $F$. One may follow the method of A’Campo [3] to produce a formula for the zeta function, as follows. There exists a proper holomorphic modification $\phi : \tilde{Y} \to Y$, which is biholomorphic over $X \setminus \cup_{a \in \Lambda} X_a$. The pull-back $\tilde{F} = F \circ \phi$ has a general fibre $\tilde{X}_s$ which is isomorphic to $F$. The action of the monodromy is also the same, therefore $\zeta_{\tilde{X}_s}(t) = \zeta_{X_s}(t)$. Then one can write down a formula for the zeta function around the value $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ in terms of the exceptional divisor and the axis $A$. By expressing the result as an integral with respect to the Euler characteristic (see e.g. Viro’s paper [68] for this technique), one can get rid of the resolution.

**Proposition 5.6.** [26] Let $X = Y \setminus A$ and let $Y$ be nonsingular. Then:

$$
\zeta_{X_s}(t) = \int_{A \times \{a\} \cup X_s} \zeta_p(t)d\chi,
$$

where $\zeta_p$ denotes the local zeta function at the point $p \in \mathbb{V}$.

Further formulae for the zeta function and some consequences can be found in the papers by Gusein-Zade, Luengo and Melle [26, 27, 28].

From $\zeta_{X_s}$ one easily gets $\zeta_{(X_{D_s}, X_s)}$, since $\zeta_{X_s} = \zeta_{X_D} \cdot \zeta_{(X_{D_s}, X_s)}^{-1}$ and $\zeta_{X_D} = (1 - t)^{-\chi(X_a)}$. 

6. Nongeneric pencils and Zariski-Lefschetz type results

Exploring a space $Y$ by pencils of complex hyperplanes is an old idea in mathematics. It appeared in Lefschetz’s work \[41\], which became the foundation of the so-called Lefschetz Theory. Almost in the same time the Morse Theory was born \[43\]. Each of the two theories provide a method for studying the topology of the space; both use scanning with levels of a function. The analogous of Morse function for the Lefschetz Theory is “Lefschetz pencil”.

One usually means by Lefschetz pencil a pencil having singularities of simplest type (i.e. $A_1$) and transversal axis. In the usual projective space, such pencils are generic, but on certain spaces they might not even exist. A more general point of view is to allow pencils with isolated singularities, alias meromorphic functions $F: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with isolated singularities in the sense of this paper. We call them “nongeneric pencils” and point out that they can have singularities also within the axis $A$ of the pencil. The case of isolated singularities outside the axis has been considered before by Hamm and Lê (e.g. \[32\]) and by Goresky and MacPherson (see \[23\]).

The following connectivity result of Zariski-Lefschetz type holds.

**Theorem 6.1.** \[^{[65]}\] Let the pencil $F = P/Q: Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ have isolated $G$-singularities (Definition \[2.4\]). Assume that $A \not\subset V$ and let $X_s$ denote a generic member of the pencil.

If $\text{rhd } X \geq m$, $m \geq 2$, and if the pair $(X_s, A \cap X_s)$ is $(m-2)$-connected then the morphism induced by inclusion:

$$\pi_i(X_s) \to \pi_i(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \leq m-2$ and an epimorphism for $i = m-1$.

This represents a far-reaching extension of the classical Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections. The latter says that, if $X$ is a projective variety and $H$ is a hyperplane such that $X \setminus H$ is nonsingular of dimension $m$, then $\pi_i(X, X \cap H) = 0$, for all $i \leq m - 1$. This can be viewed as a statement about pencils with transversal axis (i.e. there are no singularities on $A$), since, even if $H$ is not a generic hyperplane, it is a member of some generic pencil in the projective space. Indeed, one may define such a pencil by choosing a generic axis inside the hyperplane $H$. Then our claim follows by the conjunction of the following 2 observations: 1). Theorem \[4.1\] is true when replacing $X_s$ by the tube $X_{Da}$, where one allows singularities of any type on $Y_a$; 2). $X_{Da}$ is contractible to $X_a$ when $X$ is compact (i.e. $V = \emptyset$).

One may draw the following consequence on the homotopy type of the pair space-section, which actually represents an extension of Theorem \[4.1\].

**Corollary 6.2.** \[^{[65]}\] Under the hypotheses of Theorem \[6.1\], up to homotopy type, the space $X$ is built from $X_s$ by attaching cells of dimension $\geq m$. If $X$ is in addition a Stein space of dimension $m$, then the attaching cells are of dimension precisely $m$.

---

[^{[65]}]: for historical notes and new developments until about 1987, see Goresky and MacPherson’s book \[23\].
Remark 6.3. What happens when $A \subset V$? We prove in [55] that if $\{Q = 0\} \subset V$ (which is a special case of $A \subset V$, since $A \subset \{Q = 0\}$), then the conclusion of Theorem 6.1 holds, with the single assumption $\text{rhd} \geq m$.

This result concerns in particular the polynomial functions $P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$. Such a function defines a nongeneric pencil on $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, since it can be regarded as a meromorphic function $\tilde{P}/Q$ on the (weighted) projective space $Y = \mathbb{P}_n$, where $\{Q = 0\}$ is the hyperplane at infinity. Notice that in this case the condition $\text{rhd} \geq n$ holds since $X$ is nonsingular. We refer to §3 for some consequences.

7. Equisingularity at the indeterminacy locus

Equisingularity conditions are considered beginning with Zariski’s work on families of algebraic (hyper)surfaces, see [39]. There are more recent contributions to local equisingularity theory, especially by Teissier [58, 59] and Gaffney (20) and several other papers of the same author.

The case of families on non-compact spaces, like our family $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1}$, where $X_\lambda = Y \setminus V$ and $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$, is special. We have seen that the singularities at the indeterminacy locus play an important role. The problem would be to find the weakest equisingularity condition at $A$ such that to imply topological triviality in the neighbourhood of $A$. If we stratify everything by Whitney conditions, then we may invoke Whitney equisingularity, which implies topological triviality; but Whitney equisingularity is too strong. The search for a weaker alternative has itself some history behind; maybe the first result in this sense is Lê-Ramanujam’s theorem for families of holomorphic germs with isolated singularity: “μ constancy implies topological triviality”, see [38]. It had been found that μ constancy is really weaker than Whitney equisingularity (i.e. that μ constancy is weaker than μ∗ constancy).

In the same spirit, the problem was formulated (and solved) in case of a family of affine hypersurfaces in [38], where the equisingularity at infinity, respectively $\text{C}^\infty$-triviality at infinity, comes into the picture (see next section for details). In loc.cit., these two notions are related to the partial Thom stratification $\mathcal{G}$. For a family defined by a meromorphic function $F : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$, one may follow the ideas of [34] up to some extent, as we pointed out in [55]. Let us give the main lines.

Definition 7.1. We say that $F|_X$ is topologically trivial at $\xi \in A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, resp. at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, if there is a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $\xi$, resp. of $\mathbb{P}^1 \cap (A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, and a small enough disc $D$ at $a$ such that the map $\pi_\xi : \mathcal{N} \cap X_D \to D$ is a trivial fibration.

The points $\xi \in A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which pose problems are those in $\text{Sing}_{\mathcal{G}} F$, since for the others we have the topological triviality. This claim follows by attentive re-reading of [34] Theorems 2.7, 4.6, 1.2, [55] Theorem 7.2; we actually get the following:

Theorem 7.2. Let $F = P/Q$ have isolated $\mathcal{G}$-singularities at $\xi$, resp. at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Assume that $Y$ is of pure dimension $m$, that $X = Y \setminus \{Q = 0\}$ and that $\text{rhd} \geq m$.

Then $F|_X$ is topologically trivial at $\xi$, resp. at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ if and only if $\lambda_\xi = 0$, resp. $\lambda_a = 0$.

In particular, if $X$ has isolated singularities and $F$ has isolated $\mathcal{G}$-singularities at $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, then $X_a$ is a general fibre of $F|_X$ if and only if $X_a$ is nonsingular and $\lambda_a = 0$.

---

4 see Teissier’s paper [2] for μ∗ constancy
Combining Theorem 7.2 with Corollary 4.3, we get the following consequence:

**Corollary 7.3.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, a fibre \( X_a \) of \( F|_X \) is general if and only if it has the same Euler characteristic of a general fibre. \( \square \)

Both results above have been stated, in slightly lower generality, in [55]. Corollary 7.3 extends the criteria for atypical fibres in case of polynomial functions in 2 variables [30], and in \( n \) variables [53], [48]. See also Proposition 8.1.

### 8. More on polynomial functions

In the last years there has been developed a flourishing activity in the topology of polynomial maps, partly due to the links with affine geometry (see e.g. Kraft’s Bourbaki talk [35]). We give here a brief overview, throughout some of the multitude of the contributions.

We have explained in the Introduction that a complex polynomial function \( P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C} \), \( \deg P = d \), can be extended to a meromorphic function \( \tilde{P} : \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \).

Here \( X = \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( Y = \mathbb{P}^n \), are nonsingular spaces. This is not the only way of extending \( P \) and the space \( \mathbb{C}^n \); one may consider, for instance an embedding of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) into some toric variety, such as a weighted projective space \( \mathbb{P}^n_w \).

Maybe the first author who studied the topology of polynomial functions was Broughton [8]. In the same time Pham [49] was interested in regularity conditions under which a polynomial has good behaviour at infinity. Some of the challenging problems that have been under research ever since are:

1. Determine the smallest set \( \Lambda \) of atypical values of \( P \).
2. Describe the topology of the general fibre and of the atypical fibres.
3. Describe the variation of topology in the family of fibres; monodromy.

In problem 1., there are only partial answers. One has to decide which are the atypical values among a finite set of values singled out by Proposition 1.2. For instance, our general result Corollary 7.3 applies here (see also the comment following it). It is easy to show that singular values of \( P \) are atypical. Then, fixing a nonsingular fibre \( X_a \) of \( P \), one may try to prove topological triviality at infinity by constructing a controlled vector field and “pushing” \( X_a \) along it. This is an idea due to Thom [60]. There are mainly two strategies: to work in the Euclidean space or to compactify \( \mathbb{C}^n \) into some \( Y \) and use the stratification \( \mathcal{G} \).

The first one leads to regularity conditions, in more and more generality: tameness [9], quasi-tameness [44], M-tameness [45], \( \rho \)-regularity [63]. There are also the Malgrange condition (see [9, 2.1])—which is a condition on the Łojasiewicz number at infinity—and its generalization by Parusiński [48].

The second strategy leads to the t-regularity [53], or more generally, equisingularity at infinity (which has been discussed for meromorphic functions in §7).

There are of course relations between all these conditions; one may consult [9] and its references. Under certain circumstances, several of these conditions are equivalent. We may quote the following result:

**Proposition 8.1.** (after [53, 5.8] and [93, 2.15])

Let \( P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C} \) have isolated \( \mathcal{G} \)-singularities at infinity, at \( a \in \mathbb{C} \). Then the following are equivalent:

\[ \dd \] see [93] for a general treatment
1. $P$ is $M$-tame at $X_a$.
2. $P$ satisfies Malgrange condition at infinity at $X_a$.
3. $P$ is t-regular at infinity at $X_a$.
4. $\lambda_a = 0$.

It follows that, for a polynomial with isolated $G$-singularities at infinity, a fibre $X_a$ is general if and only if $\mu_a = 0$ and one of the above conditions are satisfied.

In case of 2 variables, the hypothesis of the above statement § is fulfilled and therefore the conclusions are valid. Indeed, in 2 variables, any reduced fibre $X_a$ has at most isolated $G$-singularities at infinity. Moreover, there are several other criteria expressing non singularity at infinity, equivalent to the ones above; we send the reader to [21, 23].

Still in 2 variables, one may derive the following equivalent formulation of the well known Jacobian Conjecture, in terms of singularities at infinity [31, 33]: Let $P : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial without critical point. If there exists a value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_a \neq 0$, then for any other polynomial $g$, the zero locus of their jacobian ideal $\text{Jac}(P, g)$ is not void.

Revisiting equisingularity at § one gets more specific results in case of polynomials. By taking hyperplane sections with respect to $P$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, one may define global polar curves [34] and use them in order to define an intersection number with some fibre $X_a$. By restricting $P$ to a general hyperplane and repeating generical cutting, we get a sequence of intersection numbers $\gamma_1^a, \ldots, \gamma_n^a$. We show in [34, Theorem 1.1] that the constancy of $\gamma_s^a$, for $s$ in some neighbourhood of $a$, is equivalent to the equisingularity at infinity of $p$ at $X_a$.

Secondly, the slicing processus just described gives a model of a fibre $X_a$ as CW-complex. Let $\lambda_n^a := \gamma_n^a - \gamma_1^a$, where $s$ is a typical value of $P$.

**Theorem 8.2.** [34] Let $P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial function. Suppose that the fibre $X_a = P^{-1}(a)$ has at most isolated singularities. Then $X_a$ is homotopy equivalent to a generic hyperplane section $X_a \cap H$ to which one attaches $\gamma_a^{n-1} - \mu(X_a)$ cells of dimension $n-1$.

Moreover, $X_a$ is homotopy equivalent to the CW-complex obtained by successively attaching to $\gamma_a^0 = \text{deg } P$ points a number of $\gamma_n^1$ cells of dimension 1, then $\gamma_2^2$ cells of dimension 2, $\ldots, \gamma_n^{n-2}$ cells of dimension $n-2$ and finally $\gamma_n^{n-1} - \mu(X_a)$ cells of dimension $n-1$. In particular, $\chi(X_a) = (-1)^n \mu(X_a) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \gamma_i^a$ and $\chi(X_a) - \chi(X_a) = (-1)^{n-1} \mu(X_a) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \lambda_i^a$. \hfill $\Box$

One may compare this result to Corollary 4.3 and notice that the sequence $\lambda_n^a$ is a refinement of the number of vanishing cycles at infinity $\lambda_a$, in case of isolated $G$-singularities. Nevertheless, the numbers $\lambda_n^a$ are defined without any hypothesis on singularities at infinity.

The vanishing cycles at infinity were described for the first time in [35]. It was shown in loc.cit. that, for $P$ with isolated $G$-singularities at infinity, the vanishing homology is concentrated in dimension $n$; this implies that the general fibre is homotopically a bouquet of $(n-1)$-spheres. Further progress in describing the general fibre, the special fibres and the vanishing cycles was made by Neumann-Norbury [16, 17], Dimca-Némethi [13], the author [35]. The cohomology of fibres is investigated by Hamm [31].

---

6 They are invariant under linear change of coordinates but not under affine automorphisms.
The above construction of the model for the general fibre can be pushed further; one may construct a global geometric monodromy group, acting on this model. This yields localization results and formulae for the zeta-function [14]. The more geometric point of view on monodromy at a singularity at infinity gives two types of singularities with local $\lambda$ equal to 1, see [54, §6]. This may be contrasted with $\mu = 1$ in case of holomorphic germs, when the singularity can only be of one type, $A_1$.

One of the monodromies in case of a polynomial $P$ is the one around a big disc containing all the atypical values, denoted $T_\infty$. In two variables, Dimca [12] shows that $T_\infty$ acting on the cohomology of the fibre is the identity if and only if the monodromy group of $P$ is trivial; the eigenvalue 1 occurs only in size one Jordan blocks. We send the reader to loc.cit. for further results and their discussion in contrast to the holomorphic germs case.

Further aspects, such as mixed Hodge structure on fibres and algebraic Gauss-Manin systems, have been studied by several authors: García-López and Némethi [21, 22], respectively Dimca-Saito [17], Sabbah [4, 5].
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