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Input to sensory thalamic nuclei can be classified as either driver or modulator, based on whether or not the information conveyed determines basic postsynaptic receptive field properties. Here we demonstrate that this distinction can also be applied to inputs received by nonsensory thalamic areas. Using flavoprotein autofluorescence imaging, we developed two slice preparations that contain the afferents to the anterodorsal thalamic nucleus (AD) from the lateral mammillary body and the cortical afferents arriving through the internal capsule, respectively. We examined the synaptic properties of these inputs and found that the mammillothalamic pathway exhibits paired-pulse depression, lack of a metabotropic glutamate component, and an all-or-none response pattern, which are all signatures of a driver pathway. On the other hand, the cortical input exhibits graded paired-pulse facilitation and the capacity to activate metabotropic glutamate responses, all features of a modulatory pathway. Our results extend the notion of driving and modulating inputs to the AD, indicating that it is a first-order relay nucleus and suggesting that these criteria may be general to the whole of thalamus.

Introduction
The thalamus receives input from a multitude of cortical and subcortical areas and relays this to cortex, representing the sole source of information flow to the latter. Afferents to the main sensory thalamic nuclei can be divided into drivers, which determine the main postsynaptic receptive field properties and carry the main information to be relayed to cortex, and modulators, which affect the way driver information is relayed (Sherman and Guillery, 1998, 2006). Driver inputs exhibit such synaptic features as a strong, all-or-none response pattern, paired-pulse depression, and the capacity to activate only ionotropic glutamate receptors. Modulators, on the other hand, produce relatively weak, graded responses with paired-pulse facilitation and can activate both ionotropic and metabotropic receptors (Sherman and Guillery, 2006). Individual thalamic nuclei, on the basis of whether they relay driving information from subcortical areas to the cortex or from one cortical area to another, have been categorized as first-order (FO) or higher-order (HO) nuclei, respectively (Guillery, 1995; Sherman and Guillery, 1998, 2006).

Whereas a great deal is known about the origin of driver and modulating input to most traditional sensory thalamic nuclei (Guillery et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2002; Li et al., 2003; Reichova and Sherman, 2004; Van Horn and Sherman, 2007; Lee and Sherman, 2008), this is not the case for other nuclei. It needs to be noted that the distinction between “traditional sensory” and “other” thalamic nuclei is primarily a semantic one. By “traditional sensory nuclei,” we mean nuclei such as the lateral geniculate or ventral posterior medial nuclei, which have been the main experimental models to study thalamus. One of the “other” nuclei is the anterodorsal nucleus (AD), perhaps the most prominent of the anterior thalamic nuclei. Its subcortical afferents arrive from lateral mammillary body (LM) of the hypothalamus (Watanabe and Kawana, 1980; Hayakawa and Zyo, 1989; Shibata, 1992), whereas cortical afferents arrive primarily from the paraventricular, postsubiculum, and the parts of the retrosplenial cortex (van Groen and Wyss, 1990a,b; Shibata and Naito, 2005), the latter two also being the major target of AD efferents (Shibata, 1993; Van Groen and Wyss, 1995, 2003). In addition to their involvement in some limbic functions (Sziklas and Petrides, 1999; Célerier et al., 2000; Wolff et al., 2008), AD cells have been found to respond to head direction activity, similarly to cells in cortical areas associated with AD (Sharp, 1996; Goodridge and Taube, 1997; Hargreaves et al., 2007). We wished to determine whether the synaptic properties of various inputs to AD conformed to the driver/modulator model developed for the traditional sensory thalamic relays, thereby extending this concept to thalamus more generally, or whether some other pattern might exist. To do so, we developed two new slice preparations in the mouse: one that contains the pathway between LM and AD, and another that contains the severed corticothalamic axons terminating into AD through the internal capsule. We thus examined the synaptic responses of AD cells following the stimulation of their subcortical and cortical afferent pathways. Our data show that the mammillary input to AD possesses the profile character-
Materials and Methods

Slice preparation. BALB/c mice (ages 14–18 d) were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in chilled (0–4°C), oxygenated (95% O₂, 5% CO₂) slicing solution containing the following (in mM): 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 10 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 11 glucose, and 206 sucrose. The mammillothalamic slice preparation was prepared as follows: brains were blocked at a 12° angle from the midline over the right hemisphere (Fig. 1), and the blocked side was glued onto a vibratome platform (Leica). Slices were cut at 500 μm thick slice. C, Caudal; L, lateral; M, medial; R, rostral.

Electrophysiology. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in current-clamp mode were performed using a visualized slice setup under a differential interference contrast-equipped Axioskop 2FS microscope (Carl Zeiss Instruments) and with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and pCLAMP software (Molecular Devices). The pipettes used for the recordings were pulled from 1.5 mm borosilicate glass capillaries and were filled with intracellular solution containing the following (in mM): 117 K-gluconate, 13 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 0.07 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 2 Na₂-ATP, and 0.4 Na-GTP, pH 7.3, 290 mOsm. Pipette input resistances varied between 4 and 8 MΩ. Data were digitized on a Digidata 1200 board and stored on a computer. Analyses of the acquired traces were performed in ClampFit (Molecular Devices) software. To evoke synaptic responses in AD cells, electric stimulation of their afferent pathways was delivered through the same bipolar electrodes as the ones used for FA. For the examination of short-term synaptic plasticity, we used a protocol that consisted of four 0.1-ms-long pulses at a frequency of 10 Hz. In doing so, we used the minimal stimulation intensity required to elicit an EPSP. This was done to minimize the potential spread of current around the stimulation area, which could potentially result in the recruitment of other AD afferents, thus contaminating the responses recorded from the nucleus. Progressively higher intensities were used to test for a graded or an all-or-none response profile (see Results). The stimulation intensities that were used typically varied between 10 and 350 μA. For the examination of metabotropic glutamate responses, we used a high-frequency stimulation (HFS) protocol that delivered 0.1-ms-long pulses at 125 Hz over 800 ms. All experimental protocols (including FA ones) were performed in the presence of GABA_A and GABA_B receptor antagonists (SR95531 [2-(3-carboxy)-3-amino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-pyridazinium bromide], 50 mM, and CGP46381 [3-(aminopropyl)(cyclohexylmethyl)phosphinic acid], 50 μM, respectively). In addition, to isolate any metabotropic responses that might be present, HFS was applied in the presence of NMDA and AMPA antagonists [5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5,10-imine (MK-801), 40 μM, and DNQX, 50 μM, respectively]. Finally, the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGlur1) receptor antagonist LY367385 (40 μM) was used to block metabotropic responses, when present.

Results

Viability of slice connectivity

Figure 2, A and B, shows the FA images during electrical stimulation of LM and the corticothalamic axons terminating in AD, respectively. In both cases, an activation can be seen in AD remote from the stimulation site. An activation of AD was also seen when the stimulation of LM was performed through the photo-uncaging of caged glutamate (Fig. 2E). We thus conclude that the imaged AD activation was the direct outcome of mammillary...
stimulation and not the product of any activated axons of passage.

Driver versus modulator response signatures

We recorded from 32 AD cells with resting membrane potentials of $-53.1 \pm 5.1$ mV (uncorrected for an approximately $-10$ mV gap potential) and input resistances of $235.6 \pm 157.8$ MΩ. For the 16 AD cells recorded in the mammillary slice, low-frequency (10–20 Hz) stimulation of LM that exceeded 50 μA evoked EPSPs in the recorded AD cells. These EPSPs showed paired-pulse depression (mean EPSP2/EPSP1 ratio: 0.73 ± 0.1) (Figs. 2C, 3, bottom) and an all-or-none activation pattern (Figs. 2C, 3, top, a). That is, in order for EPSPs to be produced, a certain threshold of stimulation intensity had to be reached, after which further increases in stimulation intensity did not significantly increase the amplitude of the EPSPs. These EPSPs could be completely blocked by introducing ionotropic receptor (AMPA and NMDA) antagonists (DNQX, 50 μM, and MK-801, 40 μM, respectively) in the bath (Fig. 2Ca). In the presence of these antagonists, HFS (120 Hz) did not produce any changes in cell membrane potential, indicating a lack of involvement of metabotropic receptors evoked by the mammillotthalamic synapse (Fig. 2G).

The synaptic properties revealed by stimulation of the dorsal internal capsule stimulation in 16 other AD cells in the other slice configuration were dramatically different from those of LM. The EPSPs produced from such stimulation showed paired-pulse facilitation (mean EPSP2/EPSP1 ratio: 2.53 ± 1.34) (Figs. 2D, 3, top, b, and bottom), and they showed a graded activation pattern, meaning that their amplitude demonstrated a positive correlation with the intensity of the injected current (Figs. 2D, 3, top, b). The evoked EPSPs generated by low-frequency activation of the cortical axons

Figure 2. A, B, Examples of superimposed bright-field and AF images illustrating the areas of activation during the stimulation of the mammillary bodies and the corticothalamic axons of AD, respectively (red stars indicate the area of stimulation). Stimulation in A resulted in paired-pulse depression (C), whereas stimulation in B resulted in paired-pulse facilitation (D). The color panel represents the percentage of FA signal change from prestimulation baseline. C, Demonstration of the all-or-none response profile in AD following mammillary stimulation of increasing intensity. D, Demonstration of the graded response profile in AD following corticothalamic axon stimulation of increasing intensity. Ca, Da, Responses in both types of synapses were blocked when MK-801 and DNQX (NMDA and AMPA antagonist, respectively) were applied to the bath. All traces represent the average of 10 sweeps. E, Example of a superimposed AF and bright-field image illustrating the areas of activation during glutamate photo-uncaging

(yellow bolt) over the mammillary bodies. The activation color scheme is the same as in A and B. F, Minimal stimulation intensity for depressing synapses was defined as the intensity that resulted in 50% failures in producing an EPSP. G, HFS (125 Hz) of LM in the presence of ionotropic receptor antagonists resulted in no changes in the membrane potential of AD cells. H, Stimulation of the corticothalamic axons under these conditions resulted in a slow and prolonged membrane depolarization of AD cells (a) that could be blocked with LY367385 (mGluR1 antagonist) (b). I, Membrane depolarization seen after the application of ACPD in the slice in the presence of high-Mg²⁺/low-Ca²⁺ ACSF. C, Caudal; D, dorsal; L, lateral; M, medial; R, rostral; V, ventral.
The examination of the synaptic properties of the mammillothalamic and corticothalamic afferents of AD revealed that the two pathways possess different functional profiles. The mammillothalamic input to AD was found to possess driver characteristics such as a lack of metabotropic receptor activation and large, all-or-none EPSPs that manifest synaptic depression. These synaptic features reflect a high probability of transmitter release and a fast postsynaptic relay of information (Sherman and Guillery, 2006). On the other hand, the generation of graded, facilitating EPSPs and the activation of metabotropic receptors on AD cells following corticothalamic stimulation reflect a longer, yet overall weaker, influence onto these cells. Such an influence most likely operates in modulating the way driving input is relayed (Sherman and Guillery, 2006).

The pattern of cortical and subcortical synaptic relationships of AD, therefore, resembles that of the sensory FO thalamic nuclei, such as the lateral geniculate, the ventral posterior, and the ventral medial geniculate nuclei (Reichova and Sherman, 2004; Sherman and Guillery, 2006; Lee and Sherman, 2008). These nuclei receive their driving input from subcortical centers, whereas their cortical input arrives through axons of cortical cells in layer 6 and possesses modulatory synaptic characteristics. Although HO sensory thalamic nuclei also receive modulatory input from cortical layer 6, they receive driving input that arises from cortical layers 5 (Guillery, 1995; Reichova and Sherman, 2004; Sherman and Guillery, 2006). In the present study, we stimulated the whole extent of the severed cortical axons reaching AD through the internal capsule, thus activating axons potentially originating in either of these layers. The absence of driver-like responses leads us to the conclusion that the cortical input to AD arises exclusively from layer 6, which agrees with previous anatomical reports from the rat (van Groen and Wyss, 1990a).

However, not all cortical afferents of AD arrive through the traditional internal capsular route. Axons of cortical cells also reach AD through the fornix ( Shibata, 1998), a fiber tract that carries cortical and hippocampal input into areas of the hypothalamus, including the mammillary bodies (Swanson and Cowan, 1977; Donovan and Wyss, 1983; Shibata, 1989). This input uses an unusual route to reach the thalamus, namely via an anterior and medial trajectory, thus apparently avoiding a transit through the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN), something that is not the case for most, if not all, other corticothalamic pathways, regardless of whether they innervate TRN or not. Because of the geometry and close proximity to AD of corticothalamic axons passing through the fornix, we could not isolate this corticothalamic input for stimulation. Thus while our data do not completely rule out the possibility that AD receives some driving input from layer 5 of cortex via the fornix route, other data do seem to do so. That is, Shibata (1998) reported that the multiple cortical areas retrogradely labeled from tracer injections into AD labeled only layer 6 neurons and not those in layer 5. Since layer 5 is the source of driver input to HO nuclei (Sherman and Guillery, 2006), and none seem to be involved in the cortical projection to AD, we conclude that AD is an FO nucleus with its subcortical driving input from the mammillary bodies.

Cells in AD and its associated cortical areas (as well as the...
mammillary bodies) appear to fire in accordance to the organism’s head direction movements (Sharp, 1996; Goodridge and Taube, 1997; Stackman and Taube, 1998; Hargreaves et al., 2007). Previous reports combining lesions with electrophysiological recordings demonstrate that head direction activity in postsubiculum is dependent on AD but not vice versa (Goodridge and Taube, 1997). More specifically, lesions in AD result in the abolition of head direction-related activity in the postsubiculum, whereas lesions of postsubiculum affect only secondary features of head direction-related activity in AD, such as the range of directional firing. This suggests that driving information regarding head movement is not arriving to AD from cortex but that AD relays such information to cortex instead. This agrees with our results showing that cortical information coming into AD is of a modulatory nature and suggests that the driving head direction information reaching postsubiculum through AD may be arriving to the latter from the mammillary region.

Overall our results demonstrate that the distinction between driving and modulating inputs is not restricted exclusively to traditional sensory thalamic nuclei, but it may apply more broadly to thalamus. Understanding the functional organization of a transthalamic pathway cannot be achieved by examining the relative numerical strength of its afferents, given that these can exert significantly diverse postsynaptic effects of equally diverse functional importance. A better understanding of the above could therefore be offered through the identification of the origin of driving afferents in a thalamic area, a method the significance of which has been largely overlooked. This could represent a potentially useful tool in understanding not only the functional role of transthalamic pathways but, by extension, that of the cortical areas to which they project.
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