Early complications after interventions in patients with acute pancreatitis
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AIM: To identify the possible predictors of early complications after the initial intervention in acute necrotizing pancreatitis.

METHODS: We collected the medical records of 334 patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis who received initial intervention in our center. Complications associated with predictors were analyzed.

RESULTS: The postoperative mortality rate was 16% (53/334). Up to 31% of patients were successfully treated with percutaneous catheter drainage alone. The rates of intra-abdominal bleeding, colonic fistula, and progressive infection were 15% (50/334), 20% (68/334), and 26% (87/334), respectively. Multivariate analysis indicated that Marshall score upon admission, multiple organ failure, preoperative respiratory infection, and sepsis were the predictors of postoperative progressive infection ($P < 0.05$). Single organ failure, systemic inflammatory response syndrome upon admission, and C-reactive protein level upon admission were the risk factors of postoperative colonic fistula ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, preoperative Marshall score, organ failure, sepsis, and preoperative systemic inflammatory response syndrome were the risk factors of postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding ($P < 0.05$).

CONCLUSION: Marshall score, organ failures, preoperative respiratory infection, sepsis, preoperative systemic inflammatory response syndrome, and C-reactive protein level upon admission are associated with postoperative complications.
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Core tip: Intra-abdominal bleeding, colonic fistula, and progressive infection are the three major early post-procedural complications in patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis regardless of the selected approach. Possible predictors, accurate diagnosis, and appropriate management methods are beneficial to decrease the mortality rate and convalescence, as well as promote the life quality of patients with acute pancreatitis. In this study, Marshall score, organ failures, preoperative respiratory infection, sepsis, preoperative systemic inflammatory response syndrome, and C-reactive protein level upon admission are associated with post-procedural complications. Proper and gentle intervention process, and close monitoring and evaluation of patients who are prone to suffer from postoperative complications may increase the therapeutic efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis (AP) presents a high mortality rate of up to 30% and is one of the most common diseases requiring multidisciplinary management. In the United States and in other pancreatitis centers worldwide, billions of dollars are spent annually for this life-threatening disease[1]. With increased knowledge on AP and ICU management, guidelines were recently revised in different countries[2]. Stratifying the severity of AP is necessary to identify AP patients requiring intervention. Organ failure and infected necrosis are important criteria to evaluate the severity of AP[3]. The revised Atlanta classification unequivocally defined severe AP as persistent organ failure (> 48 h). In our center, we also found that organ failure, and not infection, primarily causes mortality in patients with necrotizing pancreatitis[4]. The peri-pancreatic fluid collection, especially that with necrosis, is easily infected because of the transmural migration of bacteria from the intestinal wall[5]. Infection or suspended infection usually indicates the need for intervention. Endoscopic necrosectomy[6-10], laparoscopic necrosectomy[11], percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD)[12-15], and minimal access retroperitoneal pancreatic necrosectomy[16] are consistently studied in intervention research. Approximately 18% to 100% of patients survived by minimally invasive treatment alone, with a complication rate of 0% to 17% and overall mortality rate of 0% to 34%, indicating that minimally invasive approach is safe and feasible[17,18]. However, many trials were limited to a small number of patients.

Early complications after the intervention include intra-abdominal bleeding, colonic fistula (CF), and progressive infection, which may require subsequent intervention. Most reports used complication rate as an index for a successful management protocol[6,18], however, few studies focused on the primary causes of these intractable complications. Babu et al[13] found that the increased APACHE II score prior to the first PCD, the onset of organ failure in the first week of AP attack, and the failure of sepsis reversal within a week of PCD were the indicators of surgery for progressive infection. To our knowledge, no previous studies have focused on the predictors of intra-abdominal bleeding and CF after surgery.

In this study, we investigated the incidence, management and outcome of intra-abdominal bleeding, CF, and progressive infection, and mainly analyzed the possible predictors of early complications after the initial intervention in acute necrotizing pancreatitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient and design
Patients diagnosed with necrotizing AP in West China Hospital from January 2009 to December 2013 were included in this study. These patients had undergone surgical intervention (percutaneous catheter drainage, minimally invasive necrosectomy, or open necrosectomy). Data were recorded from admission until discharge. Infected pancreatic necrosis was confirmed by positive culture obtained from either PCD or specimen during surgery. Gas bubble signs in the retroperitoneal space as revealed by CECT were also ascribed to infection.

Management protocol and data collection
APACHE-II score, modified Marshall score, and organ failure including respiratory failure, circulatory failure, and renal failure (definitions are shown in Table 1) were evaluated upon admission, a week after the attack of the disease and before operation, respectively. Persistent organ failure is considered when organ failures cannot be reversed within 48 h. Ventilation and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) were administered to patients with respiratory failure and renal failure, respectively, as necessary. Citric acid was employed as anticoagulant in CRRT when no contraindications were identified. CTSI was recorded before surgery.

We observed the possible onset of early complications in each patient after the first intervention. The complications are presented in Table 1. If PCD failed,
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| Table 1 Definitions |
|---------------------|
| **Systemic inflammatory response syndrome** | Occurrence of 2 or more of the followings for > 48 h: pulse > 90 beats/min; rectal temperature < 36 °C or > 38 °C; white cell count < 4000 or > 12000/mL; and respirations > 20/min or pCO<sub>2</sub> < 32 mmHg |
| **Renal failure** | Creatinine level > 177 μmol/L (2 mg/dL) after rehydration or hemofiltration or hemodialysis |
| **Circulatory failure** | Systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg despite adequate fluid resuscitation |
| **Respiratory failure** | PacO<sub>2</sub> 60 mmHg or less despite FiO<sub>2</sub> of 0.30, or need for mechanical ventilation |
| **Multiple organ failure** | Two or more organs failed |
| **Preoperative respiratory infection** | Positive sputum culture with or without clinical symptoms |
| **Colonic fistula** | Discharge of large-bowel contents from a drain or a drain site or from the surgical wound |
| **Progressive infection** | Simmering infection in which the patient has intermittent low-grade fever, with or without leukocytosis and imaging showing incomplete resolution of the necrosis, which may not be accompanied by purulent discharge from the drains |
| **Intra-abdominal bleeding** | New fresh blood coming from drainage or wound and blood loss more than 500 mL in 24 h |
| **Respiratory infection** | New positive microbiological results of sputum culture after surgery with or without clinical symptoms |
| **Postoperative sepsis** | New positive blood culture/aspirate and more than one of the following clinical signs: rectal temperature < 36 °C or > 38 °C, tachycardia > 90/min, tachypnea (respiratory rate > 20/min) or hyperventilation (paCO<sub>2</sub> > 4.3 kPa), white blood cell count < 4 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L or > 12 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L, or the presence of more than 10% immature neutrophils |

RESULTS

**Patient characteristics**

A total of 334 patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis received initial intervention in our center from January 2009 to December 2013. Among these patients, 50 underwent minimally invasive retroperitoneal necrosectomy (three of them underwent laparoscopic debridement), and 102 underwent initial PCD management. And the other 182 patients received open necrosectomy. Table 2 presents the detailed characteristics of the patients. The postoperative mortality rate was 16% (53/334), whereas the rates of early complications, including intra-abdominal bleeding, CF, and progressive infection, were 15% (50/334), 20% (68/334), and 26% (87/334), respectively. Among the 53 death cases, 19 (36%) patients died because of multiple organ failure, 5 (9%) of them died of hemorrhagic shock and other 29 (55%) patients died of septic shock. Among the patients with intra-abdominal bleeding, 10 received radiologic intervention, 5 received hemostasis by compression through the incision on the loin with gauze bandage, and 35 patients received another laparotomy. Other complications included postoperative sepsis (13%), respiratory infection (12%), ileus (4%), alimentary tract hemorrhage (4%), intestinal leakage (4%), and incisional infection (9%). Two patients with postoperative ileus suffered from enterolysis.

**Severity of acute pancreatitis**

To date, organ failure and infection are the two main indexes to evaluate the prognosis of acute necrotizing pancreatitis. The median (range) Marshall score upon admission and preoperation score were 2(0-12) and 2(0-12), respectively. The median APACHE-II score upon admission was 8. As shown in Table 2, 201 (60%) patients suffered from organ failures, and 117 of them presented single organ failure. The onset of single or multiple organ failures during the first week

---

the patients received minimally invasive necrosectomy or open necrosectomy. The following factors were considered as the possible predictors of the occurrence of CF: sex, age, BMI, time from onset of symptoms to surgery, CRP, preoperative systemic disorders (e.g., hypocalcemia, hypoproteinemia, acidosis, base deficit), APACHE-II score, Marshall score, organ failures, morphological assessments based on CECT before surgery (e.g., percentage of pancreatic necrosis, gas bubble signs), preoperative CTSI, ASA classification, operation approaches, length of operation, blood transfusion volume, and infected pancreatic necrosis. Additional administration of CRRT was considered one of the possible predictors of intra-abdominal bleeding after necrosectomy, besides the predictors presented previously. Preoperative sepsis and respiratory infection and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) upon admission and that before operation were also considered possible risk factors for postoperative progressive infection.

When postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding occurred, radiologic embolization procedure or laparotomy was performed to stop the bleeding. Ileostomy was performed when CF occurred. Another necrosectomy was subsequently performed in patients with intractable progressive infection.

**Statistical analysis**

Complication and mortality rates were the major outcomes of this study. Data are presented as median (range). Multivariate analysis results of the different predictors of postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding, CF, and progressive infection were presented as 95% confidence interval (CI) and odds ratio (OR); and t test was employed to analyze the normally distributed ordinal data. Nonparametric test such as rank test was used for ordinal data without normal distribution. χ² test was used to compare categorical variables. Two-sided P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
were the most common bacteria in this study, constituting about 88% of the total bacterial population. Increasing cases of fungal infection (15/214) suggested that we should be cautious when using antibiotics, especially for extended periods. Up to 32% of the patients had sepsis before necrosectomy.

of the disease was observed in 17 out of 201 patients. Up to 171 out of the 201 patients showed persistent organ failures (either single or multiple). SIRS, which was considered as an initial reaction to inflammation but eventually causing organ failure and death, was observed in 157 patients upon admission and in 177 patients before intervention.

The legible presentation of CECT can help us to evaluate the extent of the collections and the rates of peri-pancreatic and pancreatic necrosis. Gas bubble signs in the retroperitoneum may prompt infection. Median CTSI was 8 (4-10). A total of 285 out of 334 (85%) patients had pancreatic necrosis; out of these 285 patients, 161 had < 30% necrosis, 75 had necrosis ranging from 30% to 50%, and 49 had > 50% necrosis. The remaining 49 out of 334 patients showed extra pancreatic necrosis alone.

The onset of infection indicates the need for intervention, especially when the medical management failed. We collected the fluid around the pancreas during PCD or during the operation and sent the specimens for microbiological analysis. A total of 214 out of 334 (64%) patients were confirmed to suffer from infected pancreatic necrosis; the relative abundance of bacteria is shown in Table 2. Escherichia coli, Enterococcus, and Bacillus were the most common bacteria in this study, constituting about 88% of the total bacterial population. Increasing cases of fungal infection (15/214) suggested that we should be cautious when using antibiotics, especially for extended periods. Up to 32% of the patients had sepsis before necrosectomy.

Reversal from PCD alone was observed in 31% of the patients. ASA classification of patients is presented in Table 2. The median operation time was 138 min (20-360 min). A total of 91 (27%) and 79 (23%) patients had blood transfusion during operation and on the first day of surgery, respectively.
Predictors of complications

The median Marshall scores at admission ($P = 0.015$) and pre-operation ($P = 0.042$) were higher in the progressive infection group than in the infection-free group, as indicated by univariate analysis (Table 3). In addition, no significant differences of APACHE II score between the two groups were observed. MOF at any period before surgery was observed in 24 patients in the progressive infection group and 46 patients in the infection-free group ($P = 0.041$). Patients with postoperative progressive infection showed increased CTSI ($P = 0.03$). Increased incidences of respiratory infection and sepsis ($P < 0.0001$), as well as preoperative SIRS ($P = 0.001$), were observed in patients with progressive infection. Multivariate analysis revealed that Marshall score upon admission (OR = 1.723, 95%CI: 1.526-2.995, $P = 0.046$), MOF at any time before surgery (OR = 2.031, 95%CI: 3.410-10.054, $P = 0.038$), preoperative respiratory infection (OR = 4.304, 95%CI: 2.085-8.884, $P < 0.0001$), and sepsis before surgery (OR = 11.165, 95%CI: 5.384-23.153, $P < 0.0001$) were the predictors of postoperative progressive infection.

We compared the parameters that can potentially predict CF in patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis (Table 4). The median APACHE II score upon admission ($P = 0.005$) and Marshall scores at admission ($P = 0.007$) and pre-operation (0.019) were higher in the CF group. CF patients showed higher CRP levels both at admission and before operation. Thirty-five of 68 patients with postoperative CF had single organ failure, whereas 82 of 266 patients in the non-CF group had single organ failure ($P = 0.001$). However, no significant difference in the frequency of MOFs was observed between the two groups. The incidences of SIRS at admission and pre-operation were higher in CF patients ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, CECT revealed no significant difference in necrosis between the groups, except that more patients in the CF group showed gas

---

**Table 3** Factors predicting progressive sepsis

| Factors                                      | $P$ value | Odd ratio (lower-upper) | $P$ value |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Onset of symptoms to the first intervention  |           |                         |           |
| < 2 wk                                       | 0.093     |                         |           |
| 2-4 wk                                       | 0.938     |                         |           |
| > 4 wk                                       | 0.176     |                         |           |
| APACHE-II score at admission                 |           |                         |           |
| Marshall score at admission                  | 0.015     | 1.723 (1.526-2.995)     | 0.046     |
| Preoperative Marshall score                  | 0.042     | 0.885 (0.607-1.290)     | 0.524     |
| SIRS at admission                            | 0.076     |                         |           |
| Preoperative SIRS                            | 0.001     | 0.644 (0.210-1.976)     | 0.442     |
| Single organ failure at any time before surgery | 0.510    |                         |           |
| MOF at any time before surgery               | 0.041     | 2.031 (3.410-10.054)    | 0.038     |
| CRP at admission                             | 0.600     |                         |           |
| CRP before surgery                           | 0.172     |                         |           |
| Morphological criteria on CECT               |           |                         |           |
| Pancreatic necrosis                          | 0.157     |                         |           |
| Extrapancreatic necrosis                     | 0.157     |                         |           |
| Percentage of necrosis                       |           |                         |           |
| < 30%                                        | 0.157     |                         |           |
| 30%-50%                                      | 0.526     |                         |           |
| > 50%                                        | 0.245     |                         |           |
| Gas bubble signs                             | 0.351     |                         |           |
| CTSI before surgery                          | 0.030     | 1.063 (0.811-1.393)     | 0.66      |
| Infected pancreatic necrosis                 | 0.274     |                         |           |
| Sepsis before surgery                        | 0.0010    | 11.165 (5.384-23.153)   | 0.0001    |
| Preoperative respiratory infection            | 0.0010    | 4.304 (2.085-8.884)     | 0.0001    |
| Operation type                               | 0.082     |                         |           |

$P_i$ indicated two-sided $P$ value of univariate analysis; $P_2$ indicated two-sided $P$ value of multivariate analysis. APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health examination; ICU: Intensive care unit; CRP: C-reactive protein; MOF: Multiple organ failure; CECT: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography; CTSI: Computed tomography severity index; SIRS: Systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

**Table 4** Factors predicting colonic fistula

| Factors                                      | $P$ value | Odd ratio (lower-upper) | $P$ value |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Onset of symptoms to surgery                 |           |                         |           |
| < 2 wk                                       | 0.432     |                         |           |
| 2-4 wk                                       | 0.945     |                         |           |
| > 4 wk                                       | 0.595     |                         |           |
| APACHE-II score at admission                 |           |                         |           |
| Marshall score at admission                  | 0.005     | 0.955 (0.837-1.090)     | 0.497     |
| Preoperative Marshall score                  | 0.019     | 1.045 (0.733-1.491)     | 0.807     |
| SIRS at admission                            | 0.0010    | 2.927 (1.102-7.776)     | 0.031     |
| Preoperative SIRS                            | 0.015     | 0.554 (0.170-1.806)     | 0.327     |
| Single organ failure at any time before surgery | 0.001    | 6.289 (1.652-23.948)    | 0.007     |
| MOF at any time before surgery               | 0.222     |                         |           |
| CRP level at admission                       | 0.0010    | 1.005 (1.001-1.009)     | 0.010     |
| CRP level before surgery                     | 0.0001    | 1.003 (0.998-1.008)     | 0.321     |
| Hypoproteinemia before surgery               | 0.0001    | 1.564 (0.750-3.265)     | 0.233     |
| Pancreatic necrosis                          | 0.708     |                         |           |
| Extrapancreatic necrosis only                | 0.708     |                         |           |
| Percentage of necrosis                       |           |                         |           |
| < 30%                                        | 0.829     |                         |           |
| 30%-50%                                      | 0.738     |                         |           |
| > 50%                                        | 0.762     |                         |           |
| CTSI before surgery                          | 0.249     |                         |           |
| Gas bubble signs                             | 0.047     | 2.150 (0.933-4.955)     | 0.321     |
| Infected pancreatic necrosis                 | 0.506     |                         |           |
| Preoperative Sepsis                          | 0.519     |                         |           |
| Preoperative respiratory infection           | 0.179     |                         |           |
| Preoperative ICU stay                        | 0.014     | 1.016 (0.973-1.060)     | 0.472     |
| Type of surgery                              | 0.328     |                         |           |

$P_i$ indicated two-sided $P$ value of univariate analysis; $P_2$ indicated two-sided $P$ value of multivariate analysis. APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health examination; ICU: Intensive care unit; CRP: C-reactive protein; MOF: Multiple organ failure; CECT: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography; CTSI: Computed tomography severity index; SIRS: Systemic inflammatory response syndrome; PCD: Percutaneous catheter drainage.
As shown in Table 5, the median Marshall scores upon admission and before operation were higher in the bleeding group than in the non-bleeding group \((P < 0.0001)\). Significant differences in the incidence of single organ failure and MOFs \((P < 0.05)\) were observed. The incidence of hypocalcemia was significantly higher in the bleeding group \((P = 0.006)\).

### DISCUSSION

Intra-abdominal bleeding, CF, and progressive infection are the three major early-postoperative complications in patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis. In the past few decades, interventions mainly comprise open necrosectomy and minimally invasive necrosectomy. Step-up approach has been established as a management regimen of the disease. By using this method, approximately 40%-50% (severe) of pancreatitis patients showed reversal from PCD alone, and the mortality and complication rates decreased\(^{13,14,18}\). However, most studies mainly focused on the reversal and mortality rates by this method.

Few studies reported about the pathophysiology and predictors of complications. We initially thought that the different interventions might influence the complication rate because either open necrosectomy or minimally invasive debridement via lumbar incision exposes the organs or vessels of the abdomen. However, the current results indicated that no significant differences in the rate of complication arising from the conduct of the different interventions were observed between the complication and non-complication groups. To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the predictors of early AP complications after initial intervention.

SIRS, a sterile response without sepsis or infection, is a systemic action of cytokines released from damaged pancreatic cells during the first week up to the second week from attack. If SIRS is severe, MOF triggered by these inflammatory mediators occurs\(^{19}\). During the latter phase, patients are at risk for infections because of intestinal flora translocation. Sepsis is a SIRS associated with bacterial infection occurring at late onset of MOF. Thus, we compared some indexes of severity of pancreatitis, including organ failures (single or multiple)\(^{20}\), Marshall score, SIRS, and sepsis. We found that all these predictors were associated with an increased incidence of postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding; multivariate analysis confirmed that high preoperative Marshall score, organ failures (either single or multiple) at any

---

**Table 5 Factors predicting intra-abdominal hemorrhage**

| Factors                                      | \(P\) value | Odd ratio (lower-upper) | \(P\) value |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| APACHE-II score at admission                 | 0.004       | 1.005 (0.997-1.009)     | 0.007       |
| Marshall score at admission                  | 0.001       | 1.120 (1.055-1.188)     | 0.001       |
| Preoperative SIRS                           | 0.0001      | 1.702 (1.089-2.699)     | 0.031       |
| Single organ failure at any time before surgery | 0.0001      | 1.305 (1.090-1.565)     | 0.019       |
| MOF at any time before surgery               | 0.003       | 1.325 (1.035-1.725)     | 0.016       |
| CRP before surgery                           | 0.273       | 1.041 (0.991-1.093)     | 0.041       |
| Acidosis at admission pH < 7.2               | 0.741       | 1.045 (0.998-1.093)     | 0.031       |
| Pancreatic necrosis < 30%                    | 0.874       | 1.042 (0.996-1.089)     | 0.031       |
| Pancreatic necrosis > 50%                    | 0.923       | 1.041 (0.996-1.089)     | 0.031       |
| Pancreatic necrosis only                     | 0.854       | 1.040 (0.997-1.088)     | 0.031       |
| Gas bubble signs                             | 0.901       | 1.040 (0.997-1.088)     | 0.031       |
| CTSC before surgery                          | 0.053       | 1.040 (0.997-1.088)     | 0.031       |
| Operation time                               | 0.218       | 1.043 (0.997-1.089)     | 0.031       |
| Blood transfusion during operation           | 0.673       | 1.048 (0.997-1.090)     | 0.031       |
| Blood transfusion on the first day after surgery | 0.472       | 1.055 (0.997-1.089)     | 0.031       |
| Pancreatic infection                         | 0.140       | 1.065 (0.997-1.090)     | 0.031       |
| Preoperative sepsis                          | 0.048       | 1.227 (1.089-1.578)     | 0.002       |
| Preoperative respiratory infection           | 0.240       | 1.049 (0.997-1.090)     | 0.031       |
| Preoperative ICU stay                        | 0.055       | 1.042 (0.997-1.089)     | 0.031       |
| CRRT                                         | 0.010       | 0.810 (0.353-1.681)     | 0.620       |
| Type of surgery                              | 0.245       | 1.005 (0.997-1.009)     | 0.001       |

\(P\) indicated two-sided \(P\) value of univariate analysis; \(P\) indicated two-sided \(P\) value of multivariate analysis. APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health examination; ICU: Intensive care unit; CRP: C-reactive protein; MOF: Multiple organ failure; CECT: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography; CTSC: Computed tomography severity index; SIRS: Systemic inflammatory response syndrome; CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy; PCD: Percutaneous catheter drainage.
time before surgery, sepsis, and preoperative SIRS contributed to postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding. The main possible explanation is that bleeding is uncontrolled when patients have shock or disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). In addition, direct erosion of the vessels, primarily the splenic artery, may cause postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding during the course of AP\(^{[21]}\). We also found that CRRT was administered in 30% of the bleeding group compared with 11% of the non-bleeding group. Citric acid was used as anticoagulant. Thus, patients were in the easy-to-bleed condition. However, receiving CRRT was not among the predictors based on the results of multivariate analysis in this study.

Colonic leakage or fistula is one of the threatening postoperative complications with severe abdominal and wound infection. Studies reported that the incidence of colonic complications in acute necrotizing pancreatitis is 10% to 44%, and most of these occur in the transverse colon\(^{[22–25]}\). Necrosis, fistula, and stricture are the main forms of colonic complications resulting from pancreatitis. The reasons of CF possibly include pancreatic fluid erosion, vascular complications (embolism or embolus), and intervention-related drain erosion. In our study, 68 patients suffered from colonic complications, 29 of which had drain erosion. Tubes were withdrawn from the 29 patients, and five of them naturally recovered, whereas the 24 other patients received ileostomy. Higher CRP level\(^{[26]}\) and higher incidence of gas bubble signs as revealed by CECT indicated that patients with CF may have suffered from severe infections before surgery. Compared with the non-CF group, patients with postoperative CF had a higher incidence of organ failure (51% vs 31%), and SIRS upon admission (68% vs 42%) and before operation (66% vs 50%). Embolism might cause colon ischemia and necrosis when inflammation and coagulation disorder occurred in patients. Leak and fistula might form because of edema and fragile colon wall in patients with hypoproteinemia (72% vs 46%). In this situation, drainage tubes easily invaded the colon wall.

Progressive infection after intervention was also a challenge to surgeons because the onset of progressive infection requires subsequent surgical necrosectomy. Recent prospective clinical trials on sepsis reversal through the step-up approach aimed to reduce the progressive infection rate and reoperation rate. However, reversal from PCD alone is still not achieved in 50% of the patients, thus requiring subsequent necrosectomy. Few reports on predicting the success rate of PCD based on the risk factors exist, except that of Babu et al\(^{[13]}\)’s and Hollemans et al\(^{[6]}\)’s teams. Hollemans et al\(^{[14]}\) reported that patients with characteristics such as male gender, MOF, increasing percentage of necrosis, and heterogeneous collection on CT, were related to the poor success rate of PCD. Similarly, we found that preoperative MOF patients had a risk of progressive infection. In addition, we found that Marshall score upon admission, preoperative respiratory failure, and sepsis before intervention were the predictors of progressive infection after the initial intervention. In some instances, untreated respiratory infection or sepsis results in pancreatic infection. We deduced that in some cases, the bacteria from the peri-pancreatic fluid after the intervention were the same as the bacteria found in sputum culture (Klebsiella) or blood culture but different from the intestinal translocation bacteria such as Enterococcus. Thus, to avoid progressive infection after intervention, respiratory infection and sepsis before intervention should be controlled.

This research had a number of limitations. First, the retrospective nature of this study may have led to a potential bias in data collection, especially with the very large sample size. Second, we were not able to complete all the values of procalcitonin and IL-6, which were important to evaluate the prognosis of AP, because procalcitonin and IL-6 tests were not routinely performed for the past several years. In addition, some patients had more than one complication. For patients who had two or more complications, all the predicting factors were inhibited at the time of first occurrence of complication. The interaction of two complications or the intervention we performed to treat the complications was not considered in the analysis, although few patients had this condition.

In summary, the possible predictors, accurate diagnosis, and appropriate management methods are beneficial to decrease the mortality rate and convalescence, as well as promote the life quality of acute pancreatitis patients. High preoperative Marshall score, organ failures (single or multiple) at any time before surgery, sepsis, and preoperative SIRS are associated with postoperative intra-abdominal bleeding. Single organ failure, SIRS upon admission, and CRP level upon admission may possibly indicate the occurrence of CF. Marshall score upon admission, preoperative respiratory failure, and sepsis were the predictors of progressive infection after the initial intervention. Proper and gentle intervention process, close monitoring, and evaluation of patients prone to suffer from postoperative complications may increase the therapeutic efficiency.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Acute pancreatitis (AP) remains one of the most common diseases with high mortality. Organ failure and infected necrosis are important criteria to evaluate the severity of AP. Invasive interventions are required when medical managements failed. Post-procedural complications including intra-abdominal bleeding, colonic fistula (CF), and progressive infection may require subsequent intervention and thus affect the prognosis.

**Research frontiers**

According to the authors of this study, no previous studies have focused on the predictors of intra-abdominal bleeding and CF after pancreatic surgery. Hence, proper prediction of risk factors for post-procedural complications will be beneficial to decrease the mortality rate and convalescence and promote the life quality of acute pancreatitis patients.
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