Enolase 1 differentially contributes to cell transformation in lung cancer but not in esophageal cancer
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Abstract. Enolase transforms 2-phospho-D-glycerate into phosphoenolpyruvate during glycolysis. The human enolase (ENO) family comprises three members named ENO3, which is restricted to muscle tissues, ENO2, which is neuron- and neuroendocrine tissue-specific, and ENO1, which is expressed in almost all tissues. ENO1 is involved in various types of human cancer, including retinoblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic cancer, renal cell carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma and gastric cancer. Furthermore, ENO1 enhances cell transformation in numerous cancer cell lines. It has been reported that ENO1 is involved in various activities that are detrimental to cell transformation, including apoptosis and differentiation. However, a few studies demonstrated that ENO1 can be down- or upregulated in various types of lung cancer, which suggests that ENO1 has an ambiguous role in the development of lung cancer. The present study aimed to investigate the differential influences of ENO1 on various types of cancer, and to clarify the role of ENO1 in lung cancer in particular. Western blotting was performed to assess ENO1 protein expression levels in lung cancer and esophageal cancer tissues. Furthermore, exogenous ENO1 was overexpressed in cell lines derived from various tissues and single cell proliferation, flowcytometric analysis, and western blotting were performed to determine the cell proliferation rate, cell transformation status, cell cycle progression and the expression of cell cycle regulators, such as cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases, and survival factors, such as MAPK and AKT. The results demonstrated that ENO1 was upregulated in collected panels of lung cancer tissues, but not in esophageal cancer tissues. In addition, overexpression of ectopic ENO1 promoted cell proliferation and survival in lung cancer cell lines, which was not the case in other cells, including an esophageal cell line. Furthermore, mechanistic analyses revealed that ENO1 enhanced cell proliferation by accelerating G1 progression and upregulating G1 phase cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (CDK6), and improved cell survival by upregulating p38 in the MAPK cascade and increasing p-AKT in the AKT cascade, in particular in lung cancer cell lines. Overall, the results from the present study demonstrated that ENO1 may contribute to the development of lung cancers, but not esophageal cancers.

Introduction

Enolase (ENO), also known as 2-phospho-D-glycerate hydrolase, is a metalloenzyme that catalyzes the dehydration of 2-phospho-D-glycerate into phosphoenolpyruvate in the glycolytic pathway (1). There are three different ENO isoforms in higher eukaryotes, including ENO1 or α-ENO, ENO2 or γ-ENO and ENO3 or β-ENO (2). Furthermore, the expression of the different ENO isoforms is tissue-specific. ENO1 is expressed in almost all kinds of tissue, whereas ENO3 is restricted to muscle tissues and ENO2 is described as a neuron- and neuroendocrine tissue-specific enolase (1). ENO1 serves multifunctional roles in physiological and pathological processes (1,3). For example, ENO1 is involved with various types of human cancer. ENO1 is upregulated in retinoblastoma (4) and is considered a diagnostic marker of hepatocellular carcinoma (5), pancreatic cancer (6), renal cell carcinoma (7,8) and cholangiocarcinoma (9). In addition, abnormally upregulated ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10). Furthermore, overexpression of ectopic ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10).

In particular, ENO1 serves multifunctional roles in physiological and pathological processes (1,3). For example, ENO1 is involved with various types of human cancer. ENO1 is upregulated in retinoblastoma (4) and is considered a diagnostic marker of hepatocellular carcinoma (5), pancreatic cancer (6), renal cell carcinoma (7,8) and cholangiocarcinoma (9). In addition, abnormally upregulated ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10). Furthermore, overexpression of ectopic ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10).

Enolase (ENO), also known as 2-phospho-D-glycerate hydrolase, is a metalloenzyme that catalyzes the dehydration of 2-phospho-D-glycerate into phosphoenolpyruvate in the glycolytic pathway (1). There are three different ENO isoforms in higher eukaryotes, including ENO1 or α-ENO, ENO2 or γ-ENO and ENO3 or β-ENO (2). Furthermore, the expression of the different ENO isoforms is tissue-specific. ENO1 is expressed in almost all kinds of tissue, whereas ENO3 is restricted to muscle tissues and ENO2 is described as a neuron- and neuroendocrine tissue-specific enolase (1). ENO1 serves multifunctional roles in physiological and pathological processes (1,3). For example, ENO1 is involved with various types of human cancer. ENO1 is upregulated in retinoblastoma (4) and is considered a diagnostic marker of hepatocellular carcinoma (5), pancreatic cancer (6), renal cell carcinoma (7,8) and cholangiocarcinoma (9). In addition, abnormally upregulated ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10). Furthermore, overexpression of ectopic ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10). In particular, ENO1 serves multifunctional roles in physiological and pathological processes (1,3). For example, ENO1 is involved with various types of human cancer. ENO1 is upregulated in retinoblastoma (4) and is considered a diagnostic marker of hepatocellular carcinoma (5), pancreatic cancer (6), renal cell carcinoma (7,8) and cholangiocarcinoma (9). In addition, abnormally upregulated ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10). Furthermore, overexpression of ectopic ENO1 is associated with poor survival and prognosis in patients with mammary carcinoma (10).
types of cancer, including lung cancer (21), suggesting opposite roles of ENO1 in cancer formation. To the best of our knowledge, only two studies demonstrated the association between ENO1 and lung cancer (21); however, contradictory observations have led to an ambiguous role of ENO1 in lung cancer formation.

The present study aimed to investigate the cell proliferation stimulating effect of ENO1 in various cancer cell lines and to determine the role of ENO1 in lung cancer formation in particular. The results of the present study demonstrated that overexpression of ENO1 stimulates cell proliferation and survival in lung cancers compared with esophageal cancers, by upregulating cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (CDK6) and protein kinase B (p38), thereby reflecting the tissue-type specific contribution of ENO1 to cancer formation.

Materials and methods

Chemicals, plasmids, antibodies, short hairpin (sh)RNAs and plasmids. Fetal bovine serum (FbS), Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), penicillin, streptomycin, and Lipofectamine™ were purchased from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. The characteristics of the primary antibodies used were as follows: Anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) (B-2) (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-9996; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-enolase (C-19) (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-7455; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), anti-β-actin (C-2) (1:1,000; cat. no.sc-8432; Santa Cruz Biotechnology,Inc.), anti-α-tubulin (B-7) (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-5286; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); phospho- AKT pathway sampler kit (1:500; cat. no. 9910; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), MAPK family sampler kit (1:500; cat. no. 9926; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), MAPK family sampler kit (1:500; cat. no. 9926; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), MAPK family sampler kit (1:500; cat. no. 9926; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), MAPK family sampler kit (1:500; cat. no. 9926; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). The expression vectors for enhanced GFP (pEGFP) was purchased from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. All short hairpin (sh)RNAs packed in lentivirus were provided by Academia Sinica. The target sequences of shRNA for Luciferase and ENO1 were GCCGTTTGCCAAGAGGTTC CAT and CGTGAACGAGAAGTCTGCAAA, respectively. EGFP-ENO1 was constructed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) cloning using human full-length ENO1 cDNA clone purchased from the mammalian genome collection American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) as a template.

Patient samples and microarray analysis. The study protocol for the collection of patients’ biopsies was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Taichung Veterans General Hospital. All the patients provided signed informed consent prior to the study. No patient had received neoadjuvant treatment prior to surgery. For lung cancer samples, 58 patients were recruited between April 2004 and December 2005 (76% men; 24% women; mean age, 69 years; age range, 49-86 years) at the Taichung Veterans General Hospital. For esophageal cancer samples, eight patients were recruited between October 2003 and October 2005 (100% men; mean age, 59 years; age range, 49-77 years). Samples were obtained following tumor resection from a non-necrotic area of the tumor and from adjacent non-tumorous tissues from neighboring sites. The tumorous and non-tumorous status of the two sample types was confirmed by pathologists. Tissue samples were immediately placed in cryovials, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further analysis.

Cell culture, gene transfection and RNA interference. All the cell lines used in this study were purchased from ATCC and some were subjected to authentication. The HepG2 liver cancer cell line and TE12 esophageal cancer cell line were authenticated via STR DNA fingerprinting using the Promega GenePrint System (Promega Corporation) and ABI GeneMapper software (version 4.0; Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The resulting STR profiles matched with ATCC fingerprints for HepG2 or ExPASy Database for TE12. The cell lines 293, 293T, HepG2 and HeLa were cultured in DMEM completed with 5% FBS. A549, H1299, H460, and TE12 cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS, 1% non-essential amino acids and 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Furthermore, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin were added in all cell media. Cells were placed at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. The respective cell lines (293T, 293, HepG2, HeLa, H1299, H460, A549 and TE12) were seeded into a 10-cm dish at a density of 30,000 cells, respectively, and transfected with 4 µg of EGFP empty vector or EGFP-ENO1 using Lipofectamine 2000™ according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Western blotting and single cell proliferation were performed following 24 and 72 h transfection, respectively. Regarding RNA interference, the shRNAs packed in lentivirus and provided by the National RNAi core facility (Institute of Molecular Biology, Academia Sinica, Taiwan, R.O.C), were employed to infect cells in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene, which notably stimulates infection rate. The infected H460 and H1299 cells were subsequently positively selected with puromycin, and western blotting was performed to confirm the knockdown effect.

Single cell proliferation assay. This assay was performed as previously described (22). Briefly, the cells (293T, 293, HepG2, HeLa, A549, TE12, H1299 and H460) were seeded in a 6-cm dish until they reached 50% confluence and subsequently transfected with constructs tagged with EGFP. After 24 h, 30,000 cells were reseeded into a 10-cm dish, so that each cell remained single and could not make contact with each other cells. Cells were allowed to proliferate for 48 h, and the number of cells that formed ‘mini-colony’ of ≥2 cells was counted using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation; magnification, x200). Cells that did not proliferate remained single in the dish after 2 days of culture.

Flow cytometry. Cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS and fixed in 70% ethanol at -20°C for 20 min. Cells were washed with PBS, incubated with 100 µg/ml RNase (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 37°C for 30 min, stained with propidium iodide (50 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at room temperature for 1 h, and analyzed on a FACScan™ flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The percentage of each cell cycle phase was analyzed using Cell-FIT software and BD FACSDiva™ software (version 8.0.1; BD Diagnostics).
Protein samples preparation and western blotting. Cells were lysed with extraction buffer containing 20 mM PIPES (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% CHAPS, 10% sucrose, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, and 10 µg/ml of leupeptin, aprotinin, chymostatin and pepstatin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 4°C for 30 min. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 15,700 x g for 90 min at 4°C in an Eppendorf centrifuge. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The total amount of protein extracts loaded for the detection of phosphorylated protein, total protein, and for internal control and exogenous transfected protein was 200, 100 and 50 µg, respectively. The resulting samples were heated at 95°C for 10 min, separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (EMD Millipore). Membrane was blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin diluted in PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) at room temperature for 1 h. Membranes were incubated with

Figure 1. ENO1 was overexpressed in lung cancer tissues. (A) Expression pattern of ENO1 in a collected panel of lung cancer tissues. Protein expression of ENO1 was analyzed by western blotting in 58 lung cancer tissues. Expression of ENO1 and β-actin was analyzed by western blotting in biopsies from paired lung tumor and adjacent normal tissues. The numbers above the western blotting images or on the x axis of the quantification graph represent the codes of patients. The ratio of normalized tumor ENO1 to normal tissue ENO1 was calculated and plotted on a graph. (B) Expression pattern of ENO1 in a collected panel of esophageal cancer tissues. ENO1 protein expression was determined by western blotting in eight esophageal cancer tissue samples. Expression of ENO1 was analyzed by western blotting in biopsies from paired esophageal tumor and adjacent normal tissues. The numbers above the western blotting images or on the x axis of the quantification graph represent the codes of patients. The intensity of ENO1 or β-actin was quantified via densitometry. The level of ENO1 protein in each paired tissue was normalized against that of β-actin. The ratio of normalized tumor ENO1 to normal tissue ENO1 was calculated and plotted on a graph. ENO1, enolase 1; N, normal adjacent tissue; T, tumor tissue.
primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Membranes were washed three times with PBST at room temperature for 30 min and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit (cat. no. sc2004) or anti-mouse (cat. no. sc2005) immunoglobulin G secondary antibodies (both 1:10,000 and from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then washed three times with PBST for 30 min at room temperature. HRP substrates (cat. no. 32106; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were used to detect the signal on the membrane and protein expression was quantified using Gel Pro Analyzer software (version 4.0; Media Cybernetics, Inc).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Excel 2016. Data were collected from three independent experiments and are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Comparison of cell proliferation between EGFP- and EGFP-ENO1-transfected cells, or between shLuc- and shENO1-transfected cells was performed using a paired Student’s t-test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

ENO1 is abnormally upregulated in lung cancer tissues, but not in esophageal cancer tissues. The present study determined the protein expression level of ENO1 in 58 lung paired tissues and eight esophageal paired tissues (cancerous and adjacent normal biopsies). The results demonstrated that 25 lung cancer paired tissues expressed a 2-fold higher level of ENO1 in the cancerous tissues compared with the normal tissues (Fig. 1A). Similar protein levels of ENO1 were observed in the cancerous and normal biopsies of all esophageal paired tissues (Fig. 1B). These results suggested that ENO1 may serve a role in the development of lung cancer.

ENO1 is essential for lung cancer but not esophageal cancer cell proliferation. In order to investigate the effects of ENO1 on cell proliferation, EGFP or EGFP-ENO1 were transfected in various cell lines cultured in medium containing 10% FBS, including kidney epithelium (293T and 293), liver cancer (HepG2), cervical cancer (HeLa), lung cancer (H1299, H460 and A549) and esophageal cancer.
Figure 3. ENO1 stimulated cell proliferation by accelerating G1 progression and upregulating CDK6 in H1299 cells. (A) ENO1 accelerated G1 progression. Flow cytometric analysis was performed in various cell lines harboring EGFP or EGFP-ENO1. (Aa and Ab) Cells harboring EGFP or EGFP-ENO1 were chosen for DNA content analysis by flow cytometer, and (Ac) the % of cells in G1 (2X DNA), S (2X-4X DNA) and G2/M (4X DNA) phases was calculated and plotted. Scale bar, 2 mm; magnification, x200. *P<0.05; **P<0.01. CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase.
The results demonstrated that overexpression of ectopic ENO1 stimulated the proliferation of two lung cancer cell lines H1299 and H460, but had no effect on other cell line proliferation, including the esophageal cell line TE12. Consistently with the results from overexpression, ENO1 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation in H1299 and H460 cell proliferation (Fig. 2C and D).

It has been reported that the transcript of ENO1 is elevated in lung cancer tissues, and that ENO1 stable cell lines grow into colonies, migrate faster and form tumors in mice (12). The results from the present study indicated that there is a high prevalence of increased ENO1 protein level in lung cancer tissues. Furthermore, ENO1 overexpression enhanced cell proliferation and cell survival in medium containing low serum (Fig. 2b). These results suggest that ENO1 may contribute to lung cancer cell transformation, although ENO1 downregulation has also been reported in lung cancer (21).

**ENO1 stimulates cell proliferation by accelerating G1/S transition.** In order to investigate how ENO1 could stimulate cell proliferation, the impact of exogenous ENO1 on cell cycle progression was investigated. The results revealed that ENO1 accelerated G1 progression in H460 and H1299 cells but did not alter cell cycle in other cell lines (Fig. 3A). Further mechanistic analyses demonstrated that ENO1 upregulated the G1 CDK known as CDK6, in H1299 cell line, but not in 293 and HeLa cell lines (Fig. 3B). These results indicated that ENO1 may promote H1299 proliferation via CDK6-mediated acceleration of G1. Furthermore, overexpression of exogenous ENO1 promoted cell proliferation and enhanced cell survival in lung cancer cell lines, but not in esophageal cell lines. **ENO1 upregulates p38 and activates AKT in H1299 cell line.** In order to determine why ENO1 stimulated cell survival in 0.5% FBS (Fig. 2b), the two survival pathways MAPK (23) and AKT (24) were investigated. The results demonstrated that exogenous ENO1 induced the increase in p38 and p-AKT protein levels and the decrease in cell growth suppressive p-c-Raf protein level in H1299 cells (Fig. 4A), but not in A549 and 293T cell lines (Fig. 4B). These results suggested that ENO1 may promote cell survival by activating p38 and AKT.

**Discussion**

The results from the present study indicated that ENO1 was overexpressed in 25 out of 58 (~43%) lung cancer tissues, with ENO1 protein level 2-times higher in cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. Furthermore, ENO1 protein level was 5-fold higher in 15 lung cancer tissues (~26%), which indicated that ENO1 was commonly upregulated in lung cancer tissues. However, due to the insufficient amount of tissue biopsies, these results could not be confirmed by immunohistochemical analysis. Conversely, ENO1 was not overexpressed in the eight esophageal cancer samples, which
revealed the distinctive regulation of ENO1 in the two cancer types. In addition, consistent with the aforementioned results, exogenous ENO1 preferentially stimulated cell proliferation of the lung cancer cell lines H1299 and H460, but not of the esophageal TE12, liver cancer HepG2 and cervical cancer HeLa cell lines.

Mechanistic analyses revealed that ENO1 overexpression upregulated CDK6 and p38 protein levels, and suppressed c-Raf in H1299 cells compared to other cell lines. Furthermore, ENO1 overexpression only activated AKT to a certain extent, as full activation of AKT requires phosphorylation at T308 and T473 (25), and only stimulated the increase of AKT-thr308. In addition, ectopic ENO1 accelerated G1 progression and upregulated CDK6, rather than G1 cyclins, including cyclins A, B, D or E. Furthermore, the growth suppressor protein c-Raf was decreased following ENO1 overexpression. These results, along with analysis at low serum levels, suggest that ENO1 may enhance cell proliferation and cell transformation primarily by upregulating CDK6 and p38 expression and downregulating c-Raf expression in H1299 cell line, but not in 293T or A549 cell lines.

ENO1 has been reported to activate AKT in gastric cancer, pulmonary artery cancer and primary non-small cell lung cancer tissues via the PI3K, AMP-activated protein kinase and focal adhesion kinase (FAK) pathways, respectively (26,11,12). Since H1299 and H460 are non-small lung cancer cell lines, ENO1 may therefore activate AKT via the FAK pathway. To the best of our knowledge there is currently no evidence to support the association between ENO1 and p38, although it has been reported that ENO1 may serve as a receptor for plasminogen (27), plasmin being able to activate p38 (28).

It is well established that ENO1 can stimulate cell transformation or proliferation via its glycolytic activity (29). The results of the present study, which demonstrated that ENO1 failed to promote the proliferation of the lung cancer cell line A549, were inconsistent with the study from Fu et al (12), which demonstrated that ENO1 can enhance cell proliferation, colony formation and cell migration in A549 cell line. This could be due to the fact that the present study investigated the effects of ENO1 on cell proliferation via transient expression, whereas Fu et al used a stable cell line to examine the effect of ENO1 on A549 cell proliferation. Long-term incubation of A549 cells with exogenous ENO1 may offer additional advantages to lung cancer cell proliferation.
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