Clinical Characteristics of Foreign-Imported COVID-19 Cases in Xi’an, China
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Background: Effective management of foreign-imported COVID-19 cases is a new and great challenge for China. Our study focused on the foreign-imported COVID-19 cases to provide detailed data for insights into the prevention, early diagnosis, treatment and control of imported COVID-19.

Methods: For this observational and retrospective study, we investigated the clinical characteristics of imported COVID-19 cases that were confirmed by real-time RT-PCR in the Xi’an Public Health Center from 29 March 2020 to 31 August 2020.

Results: Of the 79 patients with COVID-19, 19 (24.1%) had exposure to confirmed COVID-19 patients, 15 (19.0%) had exposure to suspicious COVID-19 patients, and 45 (56.9%) had an unclear history of exposure to confirmed patients. The mean age of the patients was 38 years, and 70 (88.7%) patients were male. Except for 2 severe cases, the remaining 58 (73.4%) cases displayed mild or moderate symptoms, and 19 (24.2%) infected patients were asymptomatic. Twenty-one (26.6%) patients were not diagnosed until a third or later nucleic acid test. Ten (12.7%) patients had chronic diseases. The most common manifestations of the patients were cough [18 (22.8%) cases], fever [9 (11.4%) cases] and sore throat [9 (11.4%) cases]. Forty-one (51.9%) cases showed abnormal chest CT images. To date, all patients have been discharged, and no patient has died.

Conclusion: The imported COVID-19 cases in Xi’an were mainly young and middle-aged adults with mild or moderate symptoms who had a low rate of comorbidity, showed favourable laboratory and chest CT images, and had a better prognosis. Notably, for suspected COVID-19 cases, at least three consecutive nucleic acid tests should be carried out to avoid missed detection of infected patients. Except for severe cases, high-level medical resources are not necessary in most cases.
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Introduction

In December 2019, a cluster of cases of unexplained pneumonia occurred in Wuhan, Hubei Province.1-3 A new type of coronavirus was isolated from airway epithelial cells of infected patients, which was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and in February 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) officially designated the disease coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).4 The COVID-19 disease clinically manifests as asymptomatic infection or mild-to-severe pneumonia.5 Owing to the efficient prevention and control measures implemented by the government, the epidemic of COVID-19 in mainland China has been well controlled since March 2020. Few new domestic cases have...
been reported since late March 2020. As the situation in other countries started rapidly deteriorating, COVID-19 rapidly developed into a global pandemic, as declared by the WHO. Globally, as of 20 March 2021, there have been more than 121 million confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 2.69 million deaths. Although a number of vaccine candidates are being developed, clinical trials are still ongoing, and the efficacy and safety of the vaccines are uncertain. The complexity and high volume of international air travel cause COVID-19 to spread rapidly.

The government has taken many measures to curb the spread of overseas imported COVID-19, including detailed screening based on history of epidemiology, body temperature and clinical symptoms. Recent reports showed that asymptomatic patients could transmit COVID-19 via close contact with other people, which challenges this approach, as symptom-based screening may potentially miss travellers incubating the disease or travellers concealing symptoms during travel and contribute to foreign-imported COVID-19 cases. Currently, real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in respiratory samples is a reliable test for detecting both symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19.

Accompanying the increase in the number of people travelling to China, imported COVID-19 cases have also significantly increased. To further control the spread of imported cases from abroad, 12 cities were designated on 23 March 2020 as first entry points for international flights to screen, isolate, diagnose and treat imported COVID-19 cases. Xi’an is one of the cities with imported cases. As of 20 March 2021, a total of 5195 patients from abroad were reported in China, and no patient death was reported. All incoming travellers are required to go through entry screening procedures and have oropharyngeal specimens or nasopharyngeal specimens collected for COVID-19 testing. If the passenger’s point of entry is Xi’an, China, symptomatic individuals are sent to Xi’an Public Health Center for medical attention and additional COVID-19 testing. Individuals with no symptoms are sent to a hotel for quarantining for 14 days, and body temperature and health status are recorded every day. In hotel quarantine, individuals who develop symptoms such as coughing, runny nose or fever are sent to Xi’an Public Health Center for COVID-19 testing. When COVID-19 cases are confirmed, the patients are hospitalized in negative pressure isolation rooms. To date, limited studies on the epidemic and clinical characteristics of foreign imported COVID-19 in China have been conducted. Our study focused on the foreign imported COVID-19 cases at the Xi’an Public Health Center to analyse the clinical and laboratory characteristics in order to provide detailed data for valuable insights into the prevention, early diagnosis, treatment and control of imported COVID-19.

Materials and Methods
Study Design and Participants
For this observational and retrospective study, clinical data records were collected from the Xi’an Public Health Center, which has been designated by the government to treat to foreign-imported patients with COVID-19 in Shaanxi Province. Epidemiological and clinical data of the confirmed cases of COVID-19 were obtained from 29 March 2020 to 31 August 2020. COVID-19 was diagnosed according to World Health Organization interim guidelines. Laboratory confirmation of COVID-19 was defined as a positive result for detection of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR from nasal and pharyngeal swab samples or sputum specimens after admission by the Shaanxi Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Data Collection
The patient clinical data were retrieved from the electronic medical record system and attending doctors, and general information, including epidemiological, demographic, and clinical features and laboratory and chest computed tomography imaging (CT) characteristics as well as treatment details and patient outcome data were collected using a standardized case report form. The data were reviewed by two well-trained members of the study team. If information was not clear, the researcher contacted the doctor for clarification. Clinical features include fever, shortness of breath, headache and mental disorder symptoms, muscle ache, sore throat, rhinorrhea, chest pain, diarrhoea, fatigue, and stuffy nose. Past comorbidities, including hypertension, coronary heart disease, respiratory system diseases, digestive system disease, nervous system diseases, malignant tumour, chronic kidney disease, diabetes, HIV infection and chronic liver disease, were collected. The following results from the first laboratory test after the patient was hospitalized (blood tests were usually taken within the first 24 hours of admission) were collected: routine blood tests (including white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, monocyte count, platelet...
count, eosinophil count, and haemoglobin), C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid, and IgG and IgM antibody response in COVID-19. All patients underwent chest CT examination at least once. During our study period, we recorded the first chest CT examination of all patients. The countries that the imported cases had visited within 14 days were defined as the source of their infection. The date of onset of the disease was defined as the date of the onset of symptoms. The Human Research Ethics Committee of Honghui Hospital, Xi’an Jiaotong University, approved the present study protocol (No. 202009002) before the study onset and complied with the principium plan in the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was waived by the Ethics Commission because the study was a retrospective analysis. The information from the patients we studied was anonymized.

**Strategy for Nucleic Acid Tests**

During the COVID-19 outbreak, travellers who come to Xi’an, China, by plane undergo a COVID-19 nucleic acid test at the airport areas when they disembark, as do all travellers from where the disease is epidemic. Once a suspected case is admitted to Xi’an Public Health Center, nasal and pharyngeal swab samples were collected and sent to the Shaanxi CDC for confirmation via RT-PCR within 24 hours after admission. The RT-PCR assays targeting the open reading frame 1ab (ORF1ab) and nucleocapsid (N) genes of SARS-CoV-2 used 2019-nCov nucleic acid detection reagent (Bio-germ, Shanghai, China), the thresholds were less than 40, and positive results require both ORF1ab and N genes to be positive, or any target positive repeat test was still positive.

If the nucleic acid test is positive, the patient is diagnosed with COVID-19. If it is negative, the patient is tested for SARS CoV-2 by RT-PCR once a day for the next two days. After treatment, if there is no fever, no obvious respiratory symptoms or other symptoms and the patient’s condition improves, the patient is discharged after passing two negative detection tests for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR at least twice in intervals of 24 hours.

**Statistics**

If continuous measurements are normally distributed, they are expressed as the means ± standard deviations (SDs). Additionally, if the distribution is not normal, they are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges (25% and 75%). Categorical variables are presented as absolute values with percentages (%). We also evaluated whether the laboratory test results were outside the normal range, and these were expressed as increasing or decreasing. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (standard version 19.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Demographic, Baseline and Clinical Characteristics of Patients Infected with COVID-19**

In this study, 79 cases of COVID-19 infection in Xi’an Public Health Centre were investigated. All these cases were imported infections, and there were no domestic cases. The 79 foreign-imported cases were from 10 countries: 27 cases (34.18%) from Singapore, 18 cases (22.78%) from Russia, 13 (11.46%) cases from Kazakhstan, and the remaining from Pakistan (7, 8.86%), Azerbaijan (6, 7.59%), Belgium (2, 2.53%), the United Kingdom (2, 2.53%), Spain (2, 2.53%), Cambodia (1, 1.26%), and Sweden (1, 1.26%). Among them, 9 patients (11.3%) were female, and 70 patients (88.7%) were male. With a mean age of 38 years (38±10), young and middle-aged people comprised a large proportion of cases; 14 patients (17.7%) were aged 18–24 years, 56 patients (70.8%) were aged 25–49 years, 9 patients (11.3%) were aged 50–64 years, no patients (0.0%) were under 18 years old, and no patients (0.0%) were over 65 years old. The minimum age was 19, and the maximum age was 57.

Nineteen (24.1%) cases had exposure to confirmed COVID-19 patients, 15 (19.0%) cases had exposure to suspicious COVID-19 patients, and 45 (56.9%) cases did not have a clear history of exposure to a confirmed COVID-19 case. Thirteen (19 of 79, 16.5%) patients had a history of infection with COVID-19. Of all 79 patients, 10 cases (12.6%) had a history of chronic diseases, the most common of which was hypertension (7.59%), followed by diabetes (2.53%), respiratory system diseases (1.26%), and chronic liver disease (1.26%). In terms of clinical classification, 19 (24.2%) patients had asymptomatic infection, 19 (24.2%) patients were mild type, 39 (49.1%) patients were moderate type, 2 (2.5%) patients were severe type, and no patients were critically ill. The most common clinical symptoms were cough, fever and sore throat, which accounted for 18 cases (22.8%), 9 cases (11.4%) and 9 cases (11.4%), respectively. In addition, 5 cases (6.3%) had shortness of breath, 5 cases (6.3%) had...
rhinorhoea, 3 cases (3.8%) had chest pain, 6 cases (7.6%) had diarrhoea, 5 cases (6.3%) had fatigue, 6 cases (7.6%) had stuffy nose, 2 cases (2.5%) had headache and mental disorder symptoms. The imported cases had developed symptoms before arrival (mean 6 days, range 1–30 days before arrival). Of the imported cases, 58 (73.4%) cases were diagnosed within 3 days, and another 21 (26.6%) were diagnosed 3 days later. However, all were diagnosed within 14 days. As of the final follow-up date, all patients had been discharged, and no patient had died by the end of the observation period. The demographic, baseline and clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1.

**Laboratory Findings for Patients Infected with COVID-19**

Upon admission, the median white blood cell (WBC) count was $6.54 \times 10^9/L$ (IQR 6.49–7.61 $\times 10^9/L$); 2 patients (2.5%) had lower WBC counts than the normal range (3.5–7.5 $\times 10^9/L$), and 5 patients (6.3%) had WBC counts greater than the normal range (9.5 $\times 10^9/L$). The median lymphocyte count was 2.06 $\times 10^9/L$ (IQR: 1.65–2.35 $\times 10^9/L$); 3 patients (3.8%) had lymphocytopenia (the lymphocyte count was less than 1.1 $\times 10^9/L$), and 2 patients (2.5%) had lymphocytosis (the lymphocyte count was more than 3.2 $\times 10^9/L$). The median platelet count was 237 $\times 10^9/L$ (IQR: 205–278 $\times 10^9/L$); 1 patient (1.3%) had platelets lower than the normal range (150–400 $\times 10^9/L$), and 27 patients (34.2%) had monocyte counts greater than the normal range (0.8–1 $\times 10^9/L$), and 6 patients (7.6%) had monocytes lower than the normal range (0.1–0.7 $\times 10^9/L$). The median eosinophil count was 0.10 $\times 10^9/L$ (IQR: 0.07–0.16 $\times 10^9/L$); 2 patients (2.6%) had eosinophil counts lower than the normal range (0.02–0.2 $\times 10^9/L$), and 3 patients (3.8%) had eosinophil counts greater than the normal range (0.52 $\times 10^9/L$). The median haemoglobin level was 146 g/L (IQR: 136–155 g/L); 12 patients (15.2%) had haemoglobin levels lower than the normal range (130–170 g/L), and 1 patient (1.3%) had a haemoglobin level greater than the normal range (175 g/L). The median value of C-reactive protein (CRP) was 9 mg/L (IQR 8–10 mg/L), and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was 7 mm/h (IQR 6–13 mm/h). There were 4 patients (5.1%) with high CRP and 10 patients (12.7%) with high ESR. The laboratory findings at admission are shown in Table 2.

**SARS-CoV-2 Nucleic Acid and Serum Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM Antibody Responses**

During the diagnostic procedure, we found that 25 patients (31.4%) had a positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid result in the first test, and 33 patients (41.8%) had a positive result in the second test. Unexpectedly, another 21 patients (26.6%) did not obtain a SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid-positive result until a third or later test. The positivity rate of serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 S-specific IgM antibodies was 65.8% (52 of 79). The positivity rate of serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 S-specific IgG antibodies was 70.1% (56 of 79). The positivity rate of serum simultaneous anti-SARS-CoV-2 S-specific IgG and IgM antibodies was 50.6% (40 of 79). Serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 S-specific IgG or IgM antibodies were not detectable in 14 (17.7%) cases. SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid and serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM antibody responses are shown in Table 3.

**Chest CT Features of Patients Infected with COVID-19**

Of the 79 patients, 41 (51.9%) patients showed abnormal chest computed tomography (CT) images, comprising 24 cases (30.4%) of bilateral pneumonia and 17 cases (21.5%) of unilateral pneumonia. Among the patients, 6 patients (7.6%) showed pure ground-glass opacity (GGO) shadowing (Figure 1A), 21 patients (26.6%) showed GGO with reticular and/or interlobular septal thickening (Figure 1B), and 13 patients (16.5%) showed GGO with consolidation (Figure 1C), but consolidation was rare, with only 1 patient (1.3%) showing consolidation (Figure 1D). Additionally, no cases of pleural effusion or pneumothorax occurred. Thirty-eight (48.1%) patients had normal CT imaging. The chest CT features of patients infected with COVID-19 are shown in Table 4 and Figure 1.

**Discussion**

The COVID-19 pandemic developed rapidly into a global emergency, and quick adaptation and pragmatic measures have been taken by the government to address this emergency. Symptom-based screening and testing for COVID-19 in inbound passengers were made widely accessible.
Furthermore, all travellers received 14-day quarantine of close contacts to prevent further viral transmission. These timely and rigorous public health measures are vital to stem the pandemic and have also been observed in other countries.\textsuperscript{10,18} Based on administrative screening for all passengers entering China, many cases were confirmed in the early stage of COVID-19.\textsuperscript{6,19} In this study, 79 cases infected with COVID-19 were all imported infections and came from 10 other countries, mainly Russia, Singapore and Kazakhstan. This highlights the speed and extent of the spread of the disease owing to population movements. Previous research results also support that the incoming international epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 originated from covert coronavirus infections.\textsuperscript{20}

The proportion of male patients was 88.7\% in our study, which is different from that of the patients in Wuhan, as reported by Chen et al (68\%) and Huang et al (73\%),\textsuperscript{12,21} and different from that of imported patients in Jiangsu Province reported by Wu et al (48.75\%).\textsuperscript{22}

### Table 1 Demographic, Baseline and Clinical Characteristics of Patients Infected with COVID-19

| Variables                                      | Patients (n=79) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (y)                                        |                 |
| Mean (SD)                                      | 38±10           |
| Range                                          | 19–57           |
| <18                                            | 0 (0.0\%)       |
| 18–24                                          | 14 (17.7\%)     |
| 25–49                                          | 56 (70.8\%)     |
| 50–64                                          | 9 (11.3\%)      |
| ≥65                                            | 0 (0.0\%)       |
| Sex                                            |                 |
| Female                                         | 9 (11.3\%)      |
| Male                                           | 70 (88.7\%)     |
| From countries                                 |                 |
| Singapore                                      | 27 (34.18\%)    |
| Russia                                         | 18 (22.78\%)    |
| Kazakhstan                                     | 13 (11.46\%)    |
| Pakistan                                       | 7 (8.86\%)      |
| Azerbaijan                                     | 6 (7.59\%)      |
| Belgium                                        | 2 (2.53\%)      |
| United Kingdom                                 | 2 (2.53\%)      |
| Spain                                          | 2 (2.53\%)      |
| Cambodia                                       | 1 (1.26\%)      |
| Sweden                                         | 1 (1.26\%)      |
| Clinical classification                        |                 |
| Asymptomatic infection                         | 19 (24.2\%)     |
| Mild type                                      | 19 (24.2\%)     |
| Moderate type                                  | 39 (49.1\%)     |
| Severe type                                    | 2 (2.5\%)       |
| Critically ill type                            | 0 (0.0\%)       |
| Comorbidities                                  |                 |
| Hypertension                                   | 6 (7.59\%)      |
| Diabetes                                       | 2 (2.53\%)      |
| Respiratory system diseases                    | 1 (1.26\%)      |
| Chronic liver disease                          | 1 (1.26\%)      |
| Coronary heart disease                         | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| Digestive system disease                       | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| Malignant tumour                               | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| Nervous system diseases                        | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| Chronic kidney disease                         | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| HIV infection                                  | 0 (0.00\%)      |
| Epidemiological history                        |                 |
| Confirmed COVID-19                             | 19 (24.1\%)     |
| Suspicious COVID-19 patients                   | 15 (19.0\%)     |
| Unknown                                       | 45 (56.9\%)     |
| History of infection with COVID-19             | 13 (16.5\%)     |

(Continued)
The mean age of patients was 38 years old in our study, which is significantly younger than that of patients in local cases reported in the previous study but close to that of imported patients reported by Wu et al and Liu et al.

The results might be related to the patients’ occupational characteristics, e.g., mainly young and middle-aged men working abroad or participating in international trade and international exchanges, while they settled in China and always returned home on holidays.

The most common clinical symptoms of COVID-19 were fever, dry cough, nasal congestion, fatigue, sore throat, diarrhoea and myalgia. As Wang et al. reported, 98.6% (136/138 cases) of COVID-19 patients had fever, and 26.1% (36/138 cases) were admitted to ICU wards because of serious complications. In our study, most imported cases had mild or moderate symptoms. The most common symptoms were cough and sore throat, which accounted for 18 cases (22.8%) and 9 cases (11.4%), respectively. Other clinical symptoms were rare. Only 2 cases had severe pneumonia. Approximately 11.4% of the patients had fever, which was lower than the percentage reported in Wuhan.

Nineteen (24.2%) cases were asymptomatic infected. Therefore, airport screening via body temperature and clinical symptoms can help detect 75.8% of the imported COVID-19 patients. It is an effective measure to screen symptomatic imported patients when they arrive at airports. The symptoms of the confirmed cases in this study were less severe than those of patients in Wuhan.

### Table 2: Laboratory Findings of Imported Patients Infected with COVID-19

| Variables                        | Normal Range | Patients (n=79) |                |                |                |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                  |              | Median (IQR)   | Increased No. (%) | Decreased No. (%) |
| White blood cell count (×10⁹/L)  | 3.5–9.5      | 6.54(6.49–7.61)| 5(6.3%)        | 2(2.5%)        |
| Lymphocyte count (×10⁹/L)        | 1.1–3.2      | 2.06(1.65–2.35)| 2(2.5%)        | 3(3.8%)        |
| Platelet count (×10³/L)          | 125–350      | 237(205–278)   | 6(7.6%)        | 1(1.3%)        |
| Neutrophil count (×10⁹/L)        | 1.8–6.3      | 4.12(2.92–4.65)| 6(7.6%)        | 2(2.5%)        |
| Monocyte count (×10⁹/L)          | 0.1–0.6      | 0.52(0.43–0.65)| 27(34.2%)      | 1(1.3%)        |
| Eosinophil count (×10⁹/L)        | 0.02–0.52    | 0.10(0.07–0.16)| 3(3.8%)        | 2(2.5%)        |
| Haemoglobin (g/L)                | 130–175      | 146(136–155)   | 1(1.3%)        | 12(15.2%)      |
| CRP* ≥5.0 (mg/L)                 | 0.0–10.0     | 9(8–10)        | 4(5.1%)        | 0(0.0%)        |
| ESR* (mm/h)                      | 0.0–15.0     | 7(6–13)        | 10(12.7%)      | 0(0.0%)        |

**Abbreviations:** *CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate.*

### Table 3: SARS-CoV-2 Nucleic Acid and Serum Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM Antibody Responses

| Variables                        | Patients (n=79) |                |                |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                  |                | Number of nucleic acid tests |                |
|                                  |                | 1              | 2              | ≥3             |
| COVID-19-specific antibody       |                | 25(31.4%)      | 33(41.8%)      | 21(26.6%)      |
| IgM (+)                          |                | 52(65.8%)      | 56(70.1%)      | 40(50.6%)      |
| IgG (+)                          |                | 56(70.1%)      | 40(50.6%)      | 14(17.7%)      |
| IgM (+) and IgG (+)              |                |                |                |                |
| IgM (-) and IgG (-)              |                |                |                |                |
asymptomatic people achieve nucleic acid detection when entering the country. Therefore, these patients had milder symptoms and a good prognosis.

Some imported patients displayed long-term symptoms before arrival, the longest was 30 days in our study, and the patient still received positive nucleic acid test results for COVID-19. A long transmissibility period, prolonged viral shedding, and the reality that asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic patients can spread COVID-19 make disease control a challenge. Several studies have confirmed the existence of positive retests for nucleic acids in recovered COVID-19 patients. An et al showed that 38 (14.50%) of 262 discharged patients tested positive for COVID-19 RNA, and Zhou et al showed that 17 (17.35%) of 98 convalescent patients tested positive. These patients always displayed mild or moderate symptoms. Similarly, in our study, 13 (16.5%) discharged patients retested positive for nucleic acid, and they presented no obvious clinical symptoms. The mechanisms underlying positive retests for nucleic acids are still undefined. Some experts proposed reasons possibly relating to sampling methodological, virological and immunological factors. Therefore, it is important to screen imported people who have a history of infection with COVID-19 even after treatment and discharge.

In terms of laboratory tests, earlier studies have shown that the leukocyte and lymphocyte counts are lower and the occurrence of lymphopoenia is higher in severe cases of COVID-19 infection. We also observed decreases

| CT Finding                  | Patients (n=79) (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| No abnormal density shadow  | 38 (48.1%)         |
| Location of lesion          |                    |
| Unilateral pneumonia        | 17 (21.5%)         |
| Bilateral pneumonia         | 24 (30.4%)         |
| Type of lesion              |                    |
| Pure GGO*                   | 6 (7.6%)           |
| GGO with reticular and/or interlobular septal thickening | 21 (26.6%) |
| GGO with consolidation      | 13 (16.5%)         |
| Consolidation               | 1 (1.3%)           |

Abbreviation: *GGO, ground-glass opacity.
in leukocyte and lymphocyte levels in the 2 severe cases, which is consistent with the conclusions of recent studies indicating that the virus invaded the body through the respiratory mucosa, stimulating a string of immune responses and causing severe inflammation and a cytokine storm in the body. Furthermore, the levels of CRP and ESR increased in COVID-19 cases and were even higher in severe cases, just as Wang et al. showed that CRP levels were positively correlated with the severity of the disease. However, in this study, 72 patients had normal white blood cell counts, and only 3 patients had insufficient lymphocyte counts. Most patients had CRP (94.9%) and ESR (87.3%) within the normal ranges. The possible reasons for this result were the widespread testing of COVID-19 allowing early diagnosis and timely hospitalization.

In our research, all patients were diagnosed by nucleic acid testing. The first airport screening of specimens (throat swabs and sputum) tested for COVID-19 would be able to detect 31.4% of the imported COVID-19 patients, including those with symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19. We found that 21 patients (26.6%) obtained positive results in three or more nucleic acid tests. COVID-19 was confirmed by viral nucleic acid detection with high specificity and a low sensitivity of 30–50%. It is common that symptoms and radiological findings support the diagnosis of COVID-19 in clinical work, while multiple consecutive nucleic acid tests with specimens (throat swabs or sputum) are negative, which highlights the necessity of follow-up and monitoring of travellers. Therefore, for suspected COVID-19 cases, we propose that at least three consecutive respiratory pathogenic nucleic acid tests be carried out to avoid missing diagnoses. Likewise, 73.4% (58 of 79) of imported patients were confirmed within 3 days after entry to the hospital due to the measures adopted by quarantine stations.

With further investigations of COVID-19, coronavirus-specific serum antibodies (IgM and IgG) were added as the diagnostic standard. In our study, 63% of patients were IgM positive (52 of 79 patients), 70.1% were IgG positive (56 of 79 patients), and 50.6% were positive for IgG and IgM (40 of 79 patients). This is consistent with previous research results. However, our study revealed that there were 14 patients (17.7%) with negative results, as another study showed that the timing of IgM and IgG antibody occurrence may be associated with various factors, such as age and complications. Therefore, this method needs to be combined with other detection methods to ensure complete evaluation.

Chest CT imaging is an important method for the diagnosis of COVID-19. CT changes at the early stage of COVID-19 mainly manifested as GGO and interstitial changes, especially in the peripheral part of the lung. However, as the disease progresses, pulmonary consolidation and pleural effusion can occur. In the case report from Wuhan, all patients had abnormal chest CT images detected on admission, and 98% had bilateral involvement. In our study, the abnormal rate was lower, 41 cases (51.9%) had abnormal images, 17 cases (21.5%) showed unilateral pneumonia, and 24 cases (30.4%) showed bilateral pneumonia. A national study showed that 157 of 877 patients with nonsevere disease (17.9%) had negative CT imaging results. In our research, 38 patients (48.1%) had no abnormal density shadows. Therefore, for patients with mild symptoms, chest CT imaging was not highly sensitive, it is necessary to combine clinical manifestations, laboratory investigations and chest imaging to screen patients.

In our study, the patients mainly received traditional Chinese medicine (35.5%), antiviral treatment (31.6%), antibiotic treatment (7.6%) and glucocorticoid therapy (2.5%). At present, all patients have been discharged from the hospital. To date, there is no specific medicine for the treatment of COVID-19 patients except for optimal supportive care. Vaccines are urgently needed to control the epidemic of COVID-19. Currently, a number of vaccines are being developed, several of which have accomplished late-stage clinical trials and reported effective results. As of 20 March 2021, a total of 392.6 million vaccine doses have been administered. Because of the existence of virus mutations and other unpredictable factors, the efficacy and safety of vaccines are still uncertain and need to be further studied.

Limitations
Our study has some limitations. First, this was a retrospective study from a single centre in Xi’an, and a larger number of cases from other cities or provinces need to be recruited to make the study results more accurate. Second, our study focused on epidemiological and clinical aspects, only analysing the data at the time the patients were diagnosed, and did not perform dynamic examination to observe the processes and influencing factors of the disease. Third, there were only two severe cases in our study, and the differences in laboratory parameters between non-severe and severe cases were
only descriptive. A larger sample of severe cases is necessary to verify our observation.

**Conclusion**

In summary, symptom-based screening and testing for COVID-19 for inbound passengers undoubtedly played an important role in controlling the spread of COVID-19. Our study showed that the imported COVID-19 cases in Xi’an were mainly young and middle-aged adults with mild or moderate symptoms who had a low rate of comorbidity, showed favourable laboratory and chest CT images, and had a better prognosis. Notably, for suspected COVID-19 cases, at least three consecutive nucleic acid tests should be carried out to avoid missed diagnoses of infected patients. Except in severe cases, high-level healthcare measures are not necessary.
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