Higher testing coverage is associated with lower COVID-19 mortality rate: insights from Italian regions
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Abstract

Different COVID-19 testing approaches have been implemented among Italian regions, reflected in heterogeneous testing rates. We analysed the number COVID-19-related deaths in relation to the number of tests performed among the most hit Italian regions. We showed that regions with the highest number of tests performed (Veneto and Toscana) had the lowest 30-day crude mortality rate per 100,000 inhabitants. In addition, an inverse association between crude mortality rates and tests performed (mortality rate ratio for unit increase in tests per 1,000 inhabitants: 0.92; 95% CI 0.89-0.94) was observed. Early identification and isolation of active cases (including asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic subjects) could have had an important effect in lowering COVID-19 mortality.
Introduction:

The first locally transmitted case of SARS-CoV-2 in Italy was detected on February 21, 2020. The increasing number of cases, forced the Italian government to introduce specific measures to restrict social contact at the beginning of March\textsuperscript{1,2}. These measures were further tightened on March 22, 2020, when the Italian government declared a total lockdown, only allowing essential activities\textsuperscript{3}. As of May 6, 2020, Italy was the most affected European country in terms of COVID-19-related deaths, with more than 29,000 fatal events recorded. The crude COVID-19 case-fatality ratio (CFR) in Italy was one of the highest in the world (\textasciitilde14\%)\textsuperscript{4}. One of the reasons might be that initial Italian testing coverage has been lower than other European countries\textsuperscript{5}; a low coverage of the population at risk implies a lower chance to find positive asymptomatic individuals, thus underestimating the total number of cases, yielding an increased CFR. However, given that governance of the Italian Health System is decentralised, different COVID-19 testing approaches have been adopted by Italian regions, reflected in the extremely variable testing rates\textsuperscript{6}.

Rationale:

Testing subjects with diagnostic tests could facilitate early identification of active cases (including asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic subjects) and could have an effect in lowering COVID-19 mortality, by isolating cases that would otherwise continue to spread the virus in the susceptible and vulnerable population. Heterogeneity in testing approaches within the same country offers the opportunity to study whether different testing strategies had an effect in lowering the COVID-19 mortality, since heterogeneity in other potential confounders (quality and timing of restrictive measures, or population age-structure) is limited within the same country\(^7\).
**Methods:**

Since the number of confirmed cases is affected by testing coverage, we focused on the number COVID-19 related deaths, which are less likely to be affected by testing approaches, in the seven most affected Italian regions as of May 6, 2020. First, cumulative mortality rate curve per 100,000 inhabitants was plotted for each region, starting from the day with at least five cumulative COVID-19 deaths (considered as “day 1”) up to 30 days (considered as “day 30”). We then computed crude mortality rates (CMRs) at day 30 with corresponding 95% confidence interval (exact Poisson method). Finally, we fitted a multilevel Poisson regression to the cumulative number of COVID-19-related deaths occurred in the 30 days, using the population as offset and introducing a region-specific random effect. The model was applied to study the mortality rates in relation to the cumulative number of tests performed in each region over the 30-day observation period. Tests performed were expressed both as the number of tests per identified case and as number of tests per 1,000 inhabitants. Regression analysis was adjusted for the proportion of inhabitants over 65 years of age. All data were obtained from the Italian Civil Protection website\(^6\) and analysis was performed with R (R Foundation For Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).
Results:

Figure 1 reports cumulative mortality rate curves for the seven Italian regions. Veneto and Toscana experienced by far lower mortality rates compared to other regions (Table 1). Interestingly, Veneto and Toscana are the two regions with the highest number of tests performed (Table 1). Considering regions altogether, we observed an inverse association between crude mortality rates and tests performed (Mortality Rate Ratio (MRR) for unit increase in tests per identified case: 0.87, 95% CI 0.84-0.90; MRR for unit increase in tests per 1,000 inhabitants: 0.92; 95% CI 0.89-0.94).
**Discussion:**

Our study shows that Italian regions experienced different COVID-19 mortality rates. This may be only partially explained by differences in the population age-structure (the proportion of over 65 years old being 22.9% in Veneto and 22.5% in Lombardia, as an example) or in COVID-19-related deaths reporting. COVID-19 mortality rates, instead, result associated with different testing approaches adopted by the investigated Italian regions. In particular, our results suggest that higher testing rates were associated with lower crude mortality rates. Early identification of active cases (including asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic subjects) might have had an effect in lowering COVID-19 mortality, by isolating cases that would otherwise continue to spread the virus in the susceptible population and possibly preventing overwhelm of local healthcare systems. Increasing testing coverage and active tracing of contacts may play a relevant role in limiting public health impact of the COVID-19 outbreak, by significantly reducing deaths in the population.

**Limitations**

The present results must be interpreted with caution, given that the study is based on aggregated regional data. As most of ecological studies, systematic differences between regions in exposure (tests performed) and outcome (COVID-19 related deaths) measurements are possible. However, since this study was performed within the same country, strong heterogeneity in these measurements is unlikely. In addition, comparing data between regions from the same country should have limited the heterogeneity in other potential confounders (e.g. timing and quality of restrictive measures).
**Conclusion**

The present analysis on aggregate regional Italian data suggests that higher COVID-19 testing rates were associated with lower mortality during the first month of the outbreak. These results prompt urgent studies investigating the impact that preventive strategies, including the extent of testing coverage, may exert in terms of reducing COVID-19 mortality, both in high- and low-transmission settings.
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Cumulative mortality rate curves for different Italian regions.
**Tables**

**Table 1. COVID-19 incidence rates, COVID-19 mortality rates and tests performed in different Italian regions in the 30 days after the fifth COVID-19 related deaths.**

| Region          | First day with 5 cumulative deaths | Crude Incidence Rates at Day 30 (per 100,000 inhabitants) | Crude Mortality Rate at Day 30 (per 100,000 inhabitants) | Mortality Rate Ratio at Day 30 (95% CI) | Tests per identified case at Day 30 | Tests per 1,000 inhabitants at Day 30 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Veneto          | 04-Mar                            | 206.1                                                     | 10.8                                                     | Ref                                   | 11.9                                | 24.5                                |
| Toscana         | 12-Mar                            | 180.4                                                     | 12.2                                                     | 1.12 (0.99-1.27)                     | 10.7                                | 19.3                                |
| Piemonte        | 07-Mar                            | 283.8                                                     | 26.8                                                     | 2.47 (2.23-2.74)                     | 3.1                                 | 8.8                                 |
| Emilia Romagna  | 01-Mar                            | 315.6                                                     | 32.3                                                     | 2.98 (2.70-3.30)                     | 3.8                                 | 11.4                                |
| Liguria         | 08-Mar                            | 293.4                                                     | 38.4                                                     | 3.54 (3.22-4.08)                     | 3.7                                 | 10.0                                |
| Marche          | 07-Mar                            | 292.7                                                     | 39.3                                                     | 3.62 (3.22-4.08)                     | 3.4                                 | 10.2                                |
| Lombardia       | 24-Feb                            | 305.2                                                     | 41.5                                                     | 3.83 (3.50-4.20)                     | 2.5                                 | 7.6                                 |
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