In Leon’s company, it seemed that anything might be possible
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Memorial sessions celebrated Leon Lederman, Helen Edwards, and Burton Richter at the April 2019 Meeting of the American Physical Society in Denver. In the session entitled Honoring Leon Lederman, Sally Dawson gave an overview of Leon’s scientific career, Marge Bardeen reviewed his work in science education, and I spoke of his years as Fermilab Director. Presentation materials for all three sessions are archived at [http://j.mp/31mNkHA](http://j.mp/31mNkHA). This essay is drawn from my lecture; my slides can be found at [https://bit.ly/2QJ7Jmw](https://bit.ly/2QJ7Jmw).

In 1978, Leon Lederman put aside a promising career in experimental physics that had spanned three decades to accept the appointment as Director of Fermilab—the Enrico Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.

Leon was tied to Fermilab even before it existed. He had discovered early in life that if he took himself seriously, others were likely to take him seriously as well. As the community pondered a new accelerator in the 100-GeV and above range, Leon delivered a manifesto for what he called a Truly National Laboratory.¹

He gave voice to a complaint of university users that they had not been treated justly at Berkeley and Brookhaven, where the very powerful in-house groups would—according to Leon—consume all the prime beam time for themselves, and only then distribute scraps among the sorry supplicants from Columbia University and other institutions. He enunciated the notion of a TNL (a play on Brookhaven’s BNL) that would privilege visiting experimenters. A capable in-house group would maintain the accelerator and could participate in experiments, but the new institution should be conceived as a paradise for university users. And, he argued, only in that way could the Truly National Laboratory succeed.

This history contributed to Leon’s selection to give the Users’ address at the windblown dedication of Fermilab in 1974. In the photograph at right you will see Leon (Figure 1) giving his address—impeccably coiffed, I have to say. In the pioneer spirit of Fermilab, the podium is bedecked with a certain amount of cardboard and twine. I don’t see any sealing wax, but duct tape is prominent . . .

¹ L. M. Lederman, “The Truly National Laboratory (TNL),” in Super-High-Energy Summer Study, Brookhaven Report No. BNL-AADD-6 (1963), pp. 8–11.

Figure 1: Leon speaking on behalf of users at the Fermilab dedication.
(Fermilab Creative Services, 1974)
This illustrates Bob Wilson’s dictum that “Money and effort that would go into an overly conservative design might better be used elsewhere . . . A major component that works reliably right off the bat is, in one sense, a failure—it is over-designed.”

Leon would aver in later years that he had never wanted to be a department chair or lab director. “Being a professor at a university is the best invention of Western civilization,” he rhapsodized. There’s where you have power, you have freedom, you can do anything you want. . . . Who wants to be a director where you are not free to do anything, everyone is watching you? God help you if you fall asleep, which you often do at seminars, everyone notices and puts it down.”

(Who among us ever saw Leon asleep in a seminar?)

His first task as new Director was to secure a future for the laboratory. A great laboratory has a time horizon of about ten years, in the absence of an idea. Fermilab had completed its first round of many small experiments and several major experiments—Leon and company had just savored the triumph of discovering the Upsilon resonances and thus the fifth quark, b—but it was time to move on. CERN was coming on strong with its Super Proton Synchrotron, and plans for the S¯ppS Collider were taking form, and so Fermilab had to rise to the challenge.

The laboratory had a reputation at the time for having many balls in the air—too many, in the opinion of critics. This is slightly unjust, but it had some basis in fact. A visionary paper from Bob Wilson described a profusion of ideas on his mind and on the minds of others. In time, some of them were realized at Fermilab or elsewhere, but the plenitude of possibilities did contribute to the perception that Fermilab couldn’t make up its mind about what to do. Leon would have to rally a community with a common commitment.

Wilson had long dreamed of building a superconducting synchrotron to supplement the Main Ring. Now, I was young and hadn’t yet become acquainted with doubt, so it was quite clear to me what needed to be done: build the superconducting accelerator and make a proton–antiproton collider out of it. Perhaps Leon had the same insight, but he knew a little more about life. He understood that it wasn’t enough merely to announce what was obviously the right course of action, you had to attend to many constituencies. He took two important steps. While Leon liked to trust his hunches—and he had many—he was careful to verify them. So first he convened Boyce McDaniel, Burt Richter, and Matt Sands, anointing them as the Three Wise Men—to assure him that the superconducting machine could, in fact, be realized. Then he listened to many ideas.
Leon had a gift for stagecraft, so on Armistice Day in 1978 he convened a gathering at which the proponents of colliding the Booster on the Main Ring, the Main Ring on the Tevatron, this little ring on that big ring, protons on protons, protons on antiprotons, all came together and presented their plans to each other and to a jury of their peers. No one could reasonably say, “You never gave my idea a chance.” It was clear by the end of that day that Fermilab should undertake something impressively ambitious, rather than modest and limited—even if that meant giving up any hope of competing with CERN to discover the $W$ and $Z$ bosons, force carriers of the weak interactions.

Leon pronounced the verdict (see his transparency in Figure 2), noting that the Fermilab program would no longer be competitive starting around 1981, that we had to move on, and that advancing toward higher energy was an essential part of a glorious future for science and the laboratory. The Armistice Day consensus foresaw two different applications of the Tevatron, or the Energy Doubler/Saver, as it was called at the time. The fixed-target Tevatron program would be the first step, giving new life to many existing experimental efforts; a colliding beams program featuring proton–antiproton collisions would follow later.

With the plan in hand, Leon went off to the High Energy Physics Advisory Panel in Washington in the early spring with me in tow. He talked for fifty-five minutes about how Fermilab had made decisions, set clear priorities. We would, he vowed, build the superconducting accelerator and mount a reinvigorated fixed-target program, bigger and better than ever. Then I had five minutes as a kid at the end of the hour, in which I showed a single slide of parton luminosities and talked about the $\bar{p}p$ collider that would follow in good time.

A few days later, late on a Friday afternoon, Leon got a phone call from Washington saying, “We can sell the collider. Get a plan in by Monday!” (for a price the caller named) and so Leon, Helen Edwards, Alvin Tollestrup, and other gifted people worked through the weekend to put together a sort-of-plausible plan for a Tevatron Collider. I think we submitted it on Tuesday, requesting more money than they told us we were allowed to have. And it was actually approved, after appropriate scrutiny. Washington’s enthusiasm for the collider shuffled our Plan only slightly—the collider project was named Tevatron I and the fixed-target project Tevatron II. Keeping our promises was no small task!

Heroic efforts by many completed the design of the machine, the development and mass production of the superconducting magnets,
and the refinement of control and quench-protection systems. The Tevatron was installed in the Main Ring tunnel in free space below the Main Ring magnets. Figure 3 shows the mood in the control room at the moment on July 3, 1983, that a proton beam circulated at 512 GeV, then a world record, showing that a superconducting synchrotron was practical. Particle physics instantly came alive with new possibilities. The technological achievement resulted in rewards for many people, including Helen, Dick Lundy, Rich Orr, and Alvin, each of whom received the National Medal of Technology.

Creating the collider required further enormous effort, beginning with the superb antiproton source built by the team led by John Peoples. A dozen years into the life of the Tevatron we cheered the discovery of the top quark by the CDF and D0 Collaborations. Figure 4 shows Ramsey Auditorium at capacity for the discovery seminars. It was a great day for Fermilab and for physics.

Leon had set about enriching the lab’s scientific life from his first moments as Director-Designate. The day he took the job, he telephoned me—I am sure this was one call among many—to say, “We” (not You, or I, but We) “have to keep building up theory at Fermilab.” He was unfailingly supportive. In particular, he convinced James Bjorken to come and spend a decade at the laboratory, taking on a generalized role as a sort of Chief Scientist. Along the way, Bj took an interest in accelerator physics, winning the Wilson Prize for studies of intrabeam scattering. Nurturing theoretical physics was one of many things that Leon did to make science even more central to the lab than it had been before.

David Schramm whispered into Leon’s ear that it was time to get cosmology together with particle physics at the lab. Leon again trusted his hunch but verified. The way we verified was by having a year-long series of seminars in which established figures would visit and lecture so the lab staff could get some footing in this subject. Leon could pick their brains about the right way to go about incorporating cosmology. We began with Jim Peebles and then went on through a series of other experts. By 1984, the new NASA/Fermilab Theoretical Astrophysics Center announced itself with a memorable Inner Space / Outer Space conference.

Leon instigated a Scientific Advisory Group that met first thing on Monday mornings. It was a vehicle not only for him to hear from other people, but for them to take Leon’s thoughts and concerns back to the rest of the laboratory. Often there were real scientific discussions in those meetings, including debates about scientific strategy and priorities. Sometimes there was a therapeutic element as the director vented about the indignities that had been visited on him.

---

6 E. W. Kolb, et al. (Eds.), *Inner Space / Outer Space: The Interface Between Cosmology And Particle Physics, May 2–5, 1984* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1986).
by the agency or rival lab directors.

Leon followed up with a Junior SAG to involve more people. Younger colleagues have told me that being named to that group made them feel that they were really part of the laboratory and had a contribution to make to the institution. Leon had a gift for including people and motivating them to invest in the lab’s future.

**Leon worked hard to enhance the quality of life at Fermilab.** Having the perspective of a university user as well as Director, he was motivated to improve the Fermilab experience for everyone—users, families, and lab staff broadly understood. An important early contribution was changing the Users Center into a place that was welcoming for many people. He found Tita Jensen, an accomplished chef and a brilliant hostess as well, who made Chez Leon, as the restaurant soon came to be called, a meeting place for Fermilab for several decades.

With the help of many Fermilab colleagues and the local DOE office (who moved mountains and made many miracles) Leon created the first Children’s Center (daycare center) in a national laboratory. In Figure 5, he is celebrating one of his birthdays with the children.

Leon continued to boost the lab’s intellectual climate. He founded a series of Director’s Colloquiums featuring scientific luminaries, to emphasize the importance of scientific culture. In addition to physicists doing important contemporary work—we should actually have a few of them back to give talks with the same titles, because the issues are still current—there were celebrated people of an earlier era such as Viki Weisskopf and Leon’s teacher I. I. Rabi, as well as Leon’s contemporaries, including Marvin Minsky. Leon would organize, attend, entertain, and introduce the visitors to young colleagues. These events displayed Leon in his element, and were a great gift to us all.

To lure colleagues out of their offices and into conversation, he invented the Director’s Coffee Break, which promised free coffee and cookies every afternoon at 3:30 in the gallery space near his office. He would appear regularly and find his way into this group or that—listening, questioning, performing. Leon’s accessibility promoted a sense of unity and purpose.

He was also famous for visiting experiments unannounced. Not all of these visits took place at 2:30 in the morning, but enough to be the stuff of legend. He knew where to find the Main Control Room and would drop in on the people making the accelerator hum.

**Leon began to use the Director’s bully pulpit even before taking office.** South America had a storied tradition in cosmic-ray physics, but in the late 1970s, particle physics south of the Rio
Grande was mostly theoretical in character. Leon wanted to build up experimental groups to participate in research at Fermilab and other accelerator laboratories. With friends and colleagues from the South, he organized a symposium to promote the idea. When Leon did something, it was not a halfway effort! He gathered a small Academy of his famous friends (many with a pre-existing interest in the South)—Bjorken, Charpak, Feynman, Glashow, Marshak, Moravscik, Richter, Tollestrup, Samios, Panofsky, Dick Taylor, and Bob Wilson—to interact with Latin-American colleagues and impress the local authorities. (It would be hard to turn your back on a delegation like that.) Leon summarized his aspirations in a short paper.\(^7\)

Such efforts helped catalyze a growing intellectual commerce between Fermilab and Latin-American institutions, involving not only physics Ph.D.s and students, but engineers and technicians as well. Leon outlined much grander visions, not all of which came immediately to fruition. In 1984 he proposed that the United States offer our Latin American neighbors a massive graduate fellowship program in science and engineering. He believed that changing lives could change the relationships among nations, and he made the case that this would not be a giveaway, but a high-reward investment for the USA. Perhaps it is an idea whose time has come?

Leon thrived on interactions with young people. I think that one of his life’s missions was to nourish their innate curiosity—to help them not grow up, as he would have put it. Very early in his time at Fermilab, he enlisted many people in creating Saturday Morning Physics, a program in which high school students would come to the lab for ten Saturday mornings, hear lectures for a couple of hours, then participate in tours or other educational activities. We noticed early on that, because some of the kids weren’t old enough to drive, their high school teachers would chauffeur them, giving up ten of their Saturday mornings in a row. Marge Bardeen has described what came of that.\(^8\)

Leon well understood the value of the Director himself showing up to welcome the students, set the tone in the opening lecture, and respond to questions (Figure 6).

**Figure 6:** Leon launching a new session of Saturday Morning Physics. (Fermilab Creative Services, 1980)

Leon and others started a university–Fermilab Ph.D. program in accelerator physics and technology to introduce accelerator science, which had been propagated mainly in the laboratories, into a greater number of universities than before.

---

\(^7\) L. M. Lederman, “Fermilab and Latin America,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* 857, 15–23 (2006).

\(^8\) M. Bardeen, “The Singing Janitor and Other Stories: Leon’s Adventures in Science Education,” talk at APS April Meeting (Denver, 2019) [https://bit.ly/2ttsFWG](https://bit.ly/2ttsFWG).

\(^9\) S. Dawson, “From Neutrinos to \(b\)s: The incredible physics contributions of Leon Lederman,” talk at APS April Meeting (Denver, 2019) [https://bit.ly/2ZTLkqX](https://bit.ly/2ZTLkqX).

\(^10\) L. M. Lederman, “High Energy Experiments,” in *Gauge Theories in High Energy Physics*, Les Houches Session XXXVII, edited by Mary K. Gaillard and Raymond Stora (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983), pp. 927–863.
Leon brought unprecedented dignity to the office. Here he is (Figure 7) arriving for a lab-wide celebration as the champion of the people and defender of the faith. I don’t know what precisely he was defending us against, but there were plenty of dragons out there. At an annual lab picnic, he took his turn in the dunk tank, where he proved to be an irresistible target for children and also for colleagues with minor scores to settle. He championed Miss Illinois USA 1981, whose costume celebrated Fermilab and the discovery of the Upsilon. That was, alas, not enough to propel her to the title of Miss USA.

Once the Tevatron construction was under way, Leon began to look over the horizon. In the spring of 1977, I gave a talk at Brookhaven, just after we had identified a simple argument for the importance of the TeV scale. Leon sat in the front row doodling or maybe taking notes, as I talked about how essential it was to explore the TeV scale, which was far beyond the capabilities of ISABelle, as planned at the time. He looked up at me with a sneer and hissed, “You guys, you’re never satisfied!” But he did get the message.

Only a short time later, he had found a number of people with stars behind their names who were giving the same advice. He gave a talk at the Snowmass Summer Study in 1982, advocating the quest for a hadron collider of at least 10 on 10 TeV, maybe 20 on 20 TeV. To make this happen, he said, you have to eliminate pernicious competition by building the new machine away from any of the existing laboratories. The notion of a new laboratory, perhaps in a remote location, became known as the Desertron.

Immediately after the decision in 1983 to push forward with the Superconducting Super Collider, Leon launched an effort to get people engaged in detector issues, recruiting Bruce Winstein from the University of Chicago as coordinator. Thus was born the Physics at the SSC study group, a roadshow of meetings dedicated to different technologies for detectors.12

He brought together essentially all of the Immortals—physicists with Nobel Prizes—and governors of states competing to host the new laboratory, to write statements in support of the SSC.13

One of Leon’s conditions for taking the job was that he be able to continue his career as an experimental physicist. He later said that this didn’t work out; the job of lab director was just too big. But he did not stop thinking about physics. He joined the Hyper-CP experiment where, I am told, he made a crucial suggestion about the orientation of an analysis magnet.

Leon wrote a paper14 with Russ Huson and Roy Schwitters on the impossibility of doing experiments at luminosities higher than

---

11 L. M. Lederman, “Fermilab and the Future of HEP,” in Snowmass 1982, Proceedings, Elementary Particle Physics and Future Facilities, edited by R. Donaldson, H. R. Gustafson, and F. E. Paige, eConf C 8206282, 125–127 (1982).

12 P. Hale and B. Winstein, (Eds.) “PSSC Physics at the Superconducting Super Collider Summary Report : A Summary Report of the PSSC Discussion Group Meetings,” SSC-PSSC-SUMM.1.

13 L. M. Lederman and C. Quigg, Ap­praising the Ring: Statements in Support of the Superconducting Super Collider (Universities Research Association, Washington, DC, 1988)

14 R. Huson, L. M. Lederman and R. F. Schwitters, “A Primer on Detectors in High Luminosity Environment,” eConf C 8206282, 361 (1982).
This was received in some quarters as an assault on higher-luminosity, lower-energy machines, but it clearly identified the obstacles to high-luminosity experimentation. Should you contemplate giving a course on LHC detectors, it is very instructive to compare the challenges foreseen in this paper with what has been achieved. To see how our colleagues have solved these problems in the intervening time is very illuminating. To his great credit, Leon found time as lab director to pursue such questions.

Toward the end of his mandate, Leon answered a call from Stockholm, which unleashed a time of joyous festivities at Fermilab. Soon after, on the day after the presidential election in 1988, the Department of Energy announced that it would site the Superconducting Super Collider in Waxahachie, Texas, not Batavia, Illinois. For Leon, celebration gave way to pastoral counseling. He turned up for an all-hands meeting wearing a full-blown Stetson (Figure 8) and sought to reassure his colleagues that Fermilab would endure and thrive. Very quickly, he and his team put together a plan for the Main Injector and the Recycler ring. This is now the principal accelerator installation at Fermilab.

Leon passed the Director’s baton to John Peoples after ten years, and had a long time to savor the afterlife. As Director, he had launched the Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy; after stepping down, he spent a lot of time there as Scientist-in-Residence. He taught at the University of Chicago and at the Illinois Institute of Technology. He served as president of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. He was active in the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists and had a wide-ranging influence as a statesman of science. He published five books: the infamous God Particle, an earlier book with Dave Schramm on the interplay between particle physics and cosmology, and three engaging volumes with my colleague, Chris Hill.  

Throughout his career, Leon was a magnet for talent. One of the people that he attracted was his great friend, Georges Charpak. After Georges’s death, when the French government issued a commemorative stamp in his honor, our friends at CERN were able to provide Leon with a poster marking the first day of issue (Figure 9).

Leon had a prodigious capacity for making us smile. Almost everyone who encountered him will have heard many of his stories over and over again. I don’t know whether it was sympathy that made us respond to him with such affection, or solidarity with the simple delight he took in performance, or actual amusement, but
jokes and shaggy-dog stories were part of what made Leon the great character he was.

Late in his life, when Leon was in cognitive decline, I learned from his wife Ellen that he took great pleasure in receiving picture postcards. Knowing that he had what used to be called an eye for the ladies, I first sent him a postcard of the Mona Lisa, to which he responded, “I used to date her!” This was a flash of the old Leon. Before I heard the reaction, I had sent him a second Mona Lisa, by Fernando Botero, one of three cards he is holding in Figure 10. I told this story to our Administrative Assistant, Olivia Vizcarra, who knew a bit about Leon’s persona. She said, “Send him the Virgin Mary!” The third card in Leon’s hands is an image of the Annunciation. I leave to your imagination what Leon might have said in response.

I thank Leon for all that he gave us. My title sums up the essence of the Lederman years at Fermilab: In his company, it seemed that anything might be possible.

For their help in providing archival materials, photographs, and advice, I am grateful to Reidar Hahn, Valerie Higgins, Karin Kemp, Ellen Lederman, Kate Metropolis, and Karen Seifrid. I thank Young-Kee Kim and Pier Oddone for the invitation to present the lecture on which this essay is based.
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