Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of allopregnanolone as a regenerative therapeutic for Alzheimer’s disease: A single and multiple ascending dose phase 1b/2a clinical trial
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Abstract
Introduction: Allopregnanolone is an endogenous neurosteroid with the potential to be a novel regenerative therapeutic for Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Foundations of mechanistic understanding and well-established preclinical safety efficacy make it a viable candidate.

Methods: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, single and multiple ascending dose trial was conducted. Intravenous allopregnanolone or placebo was administered once-per-week for 12 weeks with a 1-month follow-up. Participants with early AD (mild cognitive impairment due to AD or mild AD), a Mini-Mental State Examination score of 20–26 inclusive, and age ≥55 years were randomized (6:2 to three allopregnanolone dosing cohorts or one placebo cohort). Primary endpoint was safety and tolerability. Secondary endpoints included pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters and maximally tolerated dose (MTD). Exploratory endpoints included cognitive and imaging biomarkers.

Results: A total of 24 participants completed the trial. Allopregnanolone was safe and well tolerated in all study participants. No differences were observed between treatment arms in the occurrence and severity of adverse events (AE). Most common AE were mild to moderate in severity and included rash (n = 4 [22%]) and fatigue (n = 3...
A single non-serious AE, dizziness, was attributable to treatment. There was one serious AE not related to treatment. Pharmacokinetics indicated a predictable linear dose-response in plasma concentration of allopregnanolone after intravenous administration over 30 minutes. The maximum plasma concentrations for the 2 mg, 4 mg, 6 mg, and 10 mg dosages were 14.53 ng/mL (+/−7.31), 42.05 ng/mL (+/−14.55), 60.07 ng/mL (+/−12.8), and 137.48 ng/mL (+/−38.69), respectively. The MTD was established based on evidence of allopregnanolone-induced mild sedation at the highest doses; a sex difference in the threshold for sedation was observed (males 10 mg; females 14 mg). No adverse outcomes on cognition or magnetic resonance imaging-based imaging outcomes were evident.

Conclusions: Allopregnanolone was well tolerated and safe across all doses in persons with early AD. Safety, MTD, and PK profiles support advancement of allopregnanolone as a regenerative therapeutic for AD to a phase 2 efficacy trial.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov-NCT02221622
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INTRODUCTION

Thus far no interventions have demonstrated meaningful therapeutic efficacy to treat Alzheimer’s disease (AD) resulting in a 99.6% clinical trial failure rate with several accelerating disease progression. Current thinking in the field supports the complexity of AD pathophysiology, which has enabled a more diverse therapeutic pipeline.

An innovative approach is to target the regenerative system of the brain while simultaneously activating systems that reduce burden of AD pathology. Allopregnanolone (Allo), 3α-hydroxy-5α-pregnan-20-one, is an endogenous pregnane neurosteroid and a reduced metabolite of progesterone. Unlike its precursor, Allo is inactive at nuclear progesterone receptors and instead promotes neurogenesis through activation of GABA A receptor complex on neural stem cells. Allo is a first-in-class regenerative therapeutic aimed at treating AD, with a strong foundation of human safety exposure. Intravenous infusion of Allo has been proven safe and tolerable in healthy adults, both adults and children with super-refractory status-epilepticus, men with fragile-X associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS), and women with postpartum depression. The most common side effect induced by high doses of Allo is sedation/somnolence, which has been previously reported and is an expected effect due to its known positive allosteric modulation of GABA A receptors. FXTAS patients demonstrated improvement in executive functioning, episodic memory, and learning after treatment with Allo. In patients with postpartum depression, Allo (brexanolone) was superior to placebo in improvement of depressive symptoms.

Both females and males are exposed to Allo during fetal development and throughout their lifetime. During their reproductive years, women are chronically exposed to Allo. During pregnancy, blood concentration of Allo is highest during the third trimester reaching, on average, 157 nmol/l (50 ng/mL), which is not associated with adverse effects and is safe for mother and fetus. In the aged and degenerated brain, Allo content is diminished, and both the pool of neural stem cells and proliferative capacity are markedly reduced.

The primary objective of the phase 1b/2a trial was to assess the safety and tolerability of Allo in persons with early AD after a single-dose administration and after chronic exposure over a 12-week period of once-per-week dosing. Pharmacokinetic (PK) profile and maximally tolerated dose (MTD) were established, and cognitive, imaging, and blood-based biomarkers explored. This is the first report describing the weekly administration of Allo in this patient population.

METHODS

2.1 Trial design

This was a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multiple ascending dose study of 12 weeks’ duration in persons with early AD, defined as mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to AD or mild AD. The study was comprised of three dosing cohorts of Allo: 2 mg, 4 mg, and 6–18 mg cohort. After trial commencement, adjustments to the dosing regimen were done as described in Section 2.4. The primary endpoint was the occurrence of adverse events (AE) and serious adverse events (SAE) in participants treated with Allo compared to placebo. Secondary endpoints included the MTD and pharmacokinetic parameters of Allo administered intravenously for 30 minutes. Exploratory endpoints included cognitive assessments, imaging, and blood-based biomarkers.
The study was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and the University of Southern California (USC) Institutional Review Board and was registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02221622). All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

2.2 Participants

Eligible participants were at least 55 years of age, met criteria for MCI due to AD or probable AD, had a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥20 at screening, and provided informed consent.

This study was conducted at USC from June 2015 to February 2018 and consisted of a 4-week screening period, 12-week treatment period, and 1-month follow-up. Recruitment was conducted in three separate stages, one for each cohort. Recruitment for cohorts two and three began after all participants in the preceding cohort had completed the study and the data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) approved the continuation of the study.

Participants who met eligibility requirements were randomly assigned to Allo or placebo in a 6:2 allocation ratio. Computer-generated random allocation was programmed by the study statistician. Randomization was stratified on sex to ensure balance across cohorts.

The sample size of six participants per active dose cohort and six total placebo participants was selected to identify major safety and tolerability signals related to dose. In terms of estimation of PK and other continuously measured parameters, selected sample sizes allowed estimation with a 95% confidence interval with limits of ±0.8 standard deviation (SD). Confidence intervals on mean group differences allowed interval limits of ±1 SD.

2.3 Interventions and study drug

Allopregnanolone or placebo were administered via an intravenous (IV) infusion once weekly for 12 consecutive weeks. The infusion lasted 30 minutes and was administered with a syringe pump. Volume infused varied by dose, ranging from approximately 0.3 to 3 mL. Allo was formulated as a clear aqueous solution packaged in an IV bag with non-Di(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate fluid path (Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, Illinois, USA). The final product included Allo and sulfobutylether-β-cyclodextrin (Dexolve, Cyclolabs, Budapest, Hungary) in 0.9% sodium chloride (NaCl). Placebo solution (0.9% NaCl for injection, USP) was matched in color and fill volume, and packaged in the exact same manner to maintain the study blind. All products were manufactured and packaged at the University of California Davis Good Manufacturing Practices Laboratory (Sacramento, California, USA).

Dose selection of 2, 4, and 6 ascending to 18 mg was determined by pre-clinical dose-response analyses for neuro genesis, an IV bridging study in 3xTgAD mice, prior clinical studies, physiologically based PK modeling, and simulations of pharmacodynamic responses using available pre-clinical and clinical data of non-sedative to mildly sedative doses. Based on observations of a regenerative effect of Allo at sub-sedative doses versus suppression of neurogenesis at sedative doses, we targeted a sub-sedative dose and therefore used mild sedation as a clinical indicator for the MTD of Allo.

2.4 Multiple ascending dose adjustment

The first and second cohort received 2 mg and 4 mg of IV Allo, respectively, for 12 weeks. Symptoms of sedation were monitored during the infusions using a combination of a validated self-administered visual analogue scale, the Mood Rating Scale (MRS), and a clinician administered questionnaire, the Stanford Sleepiness Scale (SSS). These assessments showed no symptoms of sedation in either the 2 mg
or 4 mg cohorts. Consequently, a dose escalation regimen was implemented for the participants in the third cohort to ensure that a sedative dose would be identified. Dose escalation for the last cohort occurred in the following manner: 6 mg–10 mg–14 mg–18 mg. If any participant met the criteria for qualified sedation at any dose, higher doses were not tested for that participant and the lower dose was evaluated during the next infusion. Participants continued at a sub-sedative maintenance dose for the remainder of the study. Additionally, if any of the pre-established critical laboratory criteria were met within a dose cohort, dose escalation was temporarily suspended until safety data across all participants and dose cohorts was thoroughly evaluated.

2.5 Safety assessments

The primary objective of the trial was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of a weekly administration of Allo for 12 weeks in persons with early AD. Weekly safety assessments included vital signs, treatment emergent adverse events (TEAEs), AEs, SAEs, and suicidal ideation as per the Columbia-suicide severity scale. TEAEs were defined as an AE occurring during the treatment window (24 hours post-infusion). Clinical laboratory measurements were done at screening, weeks 5 and 9 of treatment, and at end-of-study (week 13). Physical and neurological examinations were performed at screening, and 1-week and 1-month post-treatment. All participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to assess amyloid-related imaging abnormalities (ARIA) related to vasogenic edema (ARIA-E) or microhemorrhages and hemosiderosis (ARIA-H).30 Both MRI and electrocardiograms were performed at baseline and end-of-study.

Safety data from the intent-to-treat study population was analyzed by the DSMB during and at the end of the study. Safety data from per-protocol study population is reported and analyzed here. The incidence and severity of TEAEs was tabulated for participants randomized to each dose and compared to placebo using Fisher’s exact tests. Summary and descriptive statistics were used to further detail the safety assessment data that were measured on a continuous scale. Mean values on these continuous safety measures were compared by randomized group using mixed effects models, with randomized group and visit (follow-up) as fixed effects and patient as a random effect. For these safety analyses, the primary comparisons of interest were differences in each dose group relative to placebo.

2.6 Pharmacokinetic assessment

A 24-hour PK profile was established after a single administration of Allo at week 1, and at week 12 after repeated weekly dosing. Plasma samples were collected before and after the start of the infusion at the following time points: 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours, and 24 hours. Allo concentration was measured using tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer Waters Acquity ultra high-performance liquid chromatography,18 with a quantification range of 2.5 ng/mL to 1500 ng/mL.

Plasma PK parameters were derived using Phoenix WinNonlin (version 8.0).18 The following parameters were derived using non-compartmental analysis of the plasma concentration-time profiles for each participant: t\textsubscript{max} (time to reach maximum plasma concentration), C\textsubscript{max} (maximum plasma concentration), AUC\textsubscript{0-last} (area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to time of the last measured concentration above the limit of quantification), t\textsubscript{1/2} (terminal elimination half-life).

A two-compartment model fit was used for calculating the following parameter estimates: CL (clearance), CL2 (intercompartmental distribution), V1 (central compartment volume), and V2 (peripheral compartment volume). Missing data were not imputed. For plotting purposes only, if values were below the limit of quantitation (BQL), they were set to lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ)/2 (1.25 ng/mL).

2.7 Exploratory assessments

2.7.1 Cognitive assessment

The MMSE and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) were administered at screening only. The following tests were administered at baseline, weeks 5 and 9 of treatment, and at end-of-study (week 13): Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognition (ADAS-Cog),14 Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and Cogstate Brief Battery.

2.7.2 Imaging biomarkers

MRI scanning of the brain without IV contrast was performed at baseline and end-of-study using a 3T whole-body scanner (General Electric Signa HDxt). The imaging protocol used was a modification of the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative 3T MRI protocol. T1 weighed images were acquired and volumetric analysis was done using Freesurfer 6.0 longitudinal version. Automated segmentation was used to calculate hippocampal volumes. Scans were also evaluated using CorInsights MRI to assess overall baseline characteristics.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Study population

Overall, 47 participants were screened and of these, 26 participants were randomized (Figure A.1 in supporting information). One participant from the placebo arm discontinued the intervention and dropped out of the study for reasons not related to treatment. One participant from the Allo arm was removed from the study after randomization due to a pre-existing medical condition. Both participants were subsequently replaced to ensure equal numbers in each cohort. Patient retention for the study was 96.2%. Demographic and baseline characteristics are summarized in Table A.1 in supporting information.
3.2 Safety and tolerability

Overall, Allo IV administration was safe and well tolerated by all participants. AEs were reported by 83% of participants in the placebo arm and 61% of participants in the Allo arm (Table 1). No differences in incidence and severity, nor differences in frequency of AEs within MedDRA classifications were detected across treatment groups (Table 1). No participants discontinued due to AEs. The most frequently reported AEs (≥2 participants) and SAEs, irrespective of causality, are summarized in Table 2. One SAE (rectal hemorrhage) occurred in the Allo 2 mg cohort but was determined to be unrelated to study medication, and the participant completed the study without interruption. Of the total reported AEs, only one (2%) was determined to be "possibly related" at the time of assessment. That participant experienced dizziness, which was reported to have occurred within 24 hours after the infusion. Overall, there were no clinically significant changes in echocardiograms, physical exams, or clinical laboratory analyses. Additionally, imaging analyses did not detect any ARIA-E abnormalities.

3.3 Pharmacokinetics

Allo PK parameters are summarized as mean values and standard deviations (Table 3). Mean plasma concentration-time profiles are presented on a semilogarithmic scale (Figure 1). At study weeks 1 and 12, mean plasma values of Allo after the administration of 2 mg, 4 mg, and 6 mg exhibited a peak (Tmax) at approximately 30 minutes after the start of the infusion, which corresponded to the end of the infusion (Figure 1). After the peak, the mean concentration-time profile decreased steadily, with all participants exhibiting concentrations close to, or below, the LLOQ (2.5 ng/mL) by the 4-hour time point. All plasma concentrations at 24 hours remained BQL (Figure 1).

In dosing cohort three, all participants received 6 mg infusions at study week 1. All participants were individually dosed to their MTD, which was found to be 6 mg for the three male participants and 10 mg for the three female participants. Differences in exposure at study week 1 across three doses (2, 4, and 6 mg) show a less than dose-proportional concentration response at the lower dose. Differences in exposure at study week 12 across four doses (2 mg, 4 mg, 6 mg, and 10 mg), demonstrates that Cmax was consistent with a linear dose-concentration relationship. The AUC0-last data support a linear dose-exposure relationship after repeated dosing over 12 weeks (Table 3).

For all doses, the apparent t1/2 occurred at approximately 30 minutes, at which sufficient data points were available to characterize the elimination (Figure 1). These data are consistent with the observed profiles in which drug levels are close to depletion after ~5 half-lives. The terminal elimination phase was consistent with first-order kinetics in the dose range studied.

Two-compartment PK parameter estimates obtained from modeling conducted for the mean data at each dose level demonstrated a

| TABLE 1 | Adverse events (AE) by treatment cohort. A) Number of participants reporting categorized^ AE; B) Number of participants with ARIA; C) Number of AEs distributed by severity |
|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A) Serious AE, N (%) | Placebo (N = 6) | Allo 2 mg (N = 6) | Allo 4 mg (N = 6) | Allo 6–18 mg (N = 6) | P-value |
| Occurrence | 0 | 1 (17) | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Cardiac disorders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (17) | 1.0 |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | 0 | 1 (17) | 1 (17) | 0 | 1.0 |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | 2 (33) | 1 (17) | 1 (17) | 2 (33) | 1.0 |
| Infections and infestations | 2 (33) | 1 (17) | 1 (17) | 1 (17) | 1.0 |
| Injury, poisoning, and procedural complications | 1 (17) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | 0 | 0 | 1 (17) | 0 | 1.0 |
| Nervous system disorders | 0 | 1 (17) | 1 (17) | 0 | 1.0 |
| Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders | 0 | 1 (17) | 0 | 2 (33) | 0.57 |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | 0 | 1 (17) | 2 (33) | 1 (17) | 0.88 |
| Surgical and medical procedures | 0 | 0 | 1 (17) | 0 | 1.0 |

B) ARIA, N (%)^b

| C) AE severity, N (%)^c |
|------------------------|
| Placebo (N = 6) | Allo 2 mg (N = 6) | Allo 4 mg (N = 6) | Allo 6–18 mg (N = 6) | P-value |
| Mild | 5 (62.5) | 6 (75) | 8 (53) | 6 (60) | 0.73 |
| Moderate | 3 (37.5) | 2 (25) | 6 (40) | 3 (30) |
| Severe | 0 | 0 | 1 (7) | 1 (10) |

^a MedDRA classifications. Abbreviation: ARIA, amyloid related imaging abnormalities.
^b N (%) = no. of participants; Participants may have reported more than one event within a classification.
^c Fisher’s exact test comparing proportion of participants reporting an event across treatment cohort.
^d N (%) = no. of events.
^e Fisher’s exact test comparing distribution of the most severe AE reported by a participant across treatment cohorts.
3.4 Pharmacodynamics and target engagement: sedation

No indicators of sedation were observed at the 2 mg and 4 mg doses of Allo as evaluated by the SSS and MRS. Scores consistent with increased levels of sleepiness were observed with both assessment scales in the higher dose cohort (6–18 mg). Mean post-infusion MRS composite scores are shown in Table 4. MRS scores are 1 to 100, with lower scores indicating increased sedation. There was a statistically significant difference in post-infusion MRS scores among dosing cohorts in alertness, and mental and physical sedation that was dose dependent ($P = 0.006, 0.002$ and 0.01, respectively). Overall, incidence of SSS scores consistent with sedation ($\geq 7$) was directly proportional to Allo dose. The highest rated SSS score for participants in cohort one (2 mg) was 2; the majority had a score of 1, which denotes a person who is "feeling active, vital, alert, or wide awake." The highest rated SSS score in cohort two (4 mg) was 4, which indicates that a person is "somewhat foggy or let down." For cohort three (6–18 mg) the highest rated SSS score was $\geq 7$, defining a person with "sleep onset soon" or who is asleep.

In all female participants, no sedation was observed per the SSS and MRS. Scores consistent with sedation ($\geq 7$) was directly proportional to Allo dose. The clearance of 127 L/h, an intercompartmental distribution of 59 L/h, a central compartment volume of 36 L, and a peripheral compartment volume of 52 L (Table 3).

3.5 Exploratory endpoints

All 24 participants completed pre- and post-treatment cognitive assessments. After 12 weeks of treatment, no statistically signifi-

| Table 2: Most frequently reported adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events by treatment cohort |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **AEs** | **Placebo (N = 6)** | **Allo 2 mg (N = 6)** | **Allo 4 mg (N = 6)** | **Allo 6–18 mg (N = 6)** |
| Any AE, N (%) | 5 (83.3) | 3 (50) | 5 (83.3) | 3 (50) |
| AEs ($\geq 2$ participants)¹, N (%) | | | | |
| Dizziness | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) |
| Fatigue | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) |
| Nasal congestion | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (33.3) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 2 (33.3) | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) |
| Rash | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 2 (33.3) | 1 (16.7) |
| Sinusitis | 1 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Serious AEs, N (%) | | | | |
| Rectal hemorrhage | 0 (0) | 1 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |

¹AEs that were reported by two or more participants. N (%) = no. of participants.

3.6 Pharmacodynamics and target engagement: hippocampal atrophy

A statistically significant difference was observed amongst cohorts on the ADAS-Cog14 total score, MoCA total score, and Cogstate Brief Battery composite score (Table 5), and no indicators of adverse impact on cognition was apparent.

Baseline and post-treatment MRI imaging data were available for 23 subjects. Volumetric analysis hippocampal volume demonstrated no adverse outcome of Allo over the course of treatment. There was no statistically significant difference in hippocampal volumes among the four cohorts (Table 5). Analysis of change in left and right hippocampal volumes suggest a trend of decreased atrophy in Allo-treated participants.

4 Discussion

Safety and tolerability were the primary outcome measures in this first-in-human study of Allo in persons with early AD. As anticipated, both the single dose IV administration and the weekly dosing over the course of 12 weeks were safe and well tolerated in this study population. Safety outcomes are consistent with previously reported safety data in both animals and humans, and from an open-label study and two randomized-controlled trials of Allo in the treatment of severe postpartum depression.
**FIGURE 1**  Semilogarithmic mean plasma concentration-time profiles of Allopregnanolone doses. Allopregnanolone 2 mg, 4 mg, and 6 mg doses shown at visit 3 and visit 14; 10 mg dose shown at visit 14 only. Measurements in all cases after 2 hours were below limit of quantitation (4 hours, 6 hours, 8 hours, and 24 hours). Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.

**TABLE 4**  Mood Rating Scale composite scores by treatment cohort

| MRS score | Placebo (N = 6) | Allo 2 mg (N = 6) | Allo 4 mg (N = 6) | Allo 6–18 mg (N = 6) | P-value |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Alertness | 75 (65–86)     | 84 (73–94)       | 67 (56–78)       | 58 (48–69)          | 0.006  |
| Mental sedation | 76 (65–88) | 80 (69–91) | 66 (55–78) | 52 (41–63) | 0.002 |
| Physical sedation | 74 (63–85) | 86 (75–97) | 69 (58–80) | 60 (49–71) | 0.01  |

Numbers in table are mean (95% confidence interval).
MRS range is 0–100 with lower scores indicating increased sedation.
Linear mixed model with random subject effect was used to compare mean MRS scores among treatment cohorts. P-value represents overall difference across all treatment cohorts. Dunnett’s method was used for multiple comparisons between each Allo cohort with placebo. The mean difference in mental sedation between Allo 6–18 mg and placebo is significant (P = 0.007). The difference in alertness between Allo 6–18 mg placebo is marginally significant (P = 0.07). All other P-values > 0.17.
Alertness is the average of scores for (a) alert/drowsy, (b) clear-headed/muzzy, (c) quick-witted/mentally slow, (d) attentive/dreamy, (e) strong/feeble, (f) well-coordinated/clumsy, (g) energetic/lethargic, (h) proficient/incompetent, and (i) interested/bored.
Mental sedation is a subcomponent of Alertness (average of scores a, b, c, d).
Physical sedation is a subcomponent of Alertness (average of scores e, f, g, h).
Abbreviation: MRS, Mood Rating Scale.

Categories of adverse events were highly variable and did not differ significantly between placebo and Allo-treated cohorts. No participants discontinued treatment due to any AE. Most frequent reported AEs in the Allo-treated cohorts were rash (22%), fatigue (17%), and dizziness (11%). All instances of rash and fatigue were deemed not related to the study intervention because the events did not occur within 24 hours of the infusion, based on the study drug’s short half-life. Additionally, it was confirmed that skin conditions existed prior to study enrollment. The only treatment-emergent AE was dizziness reported by a participant in the 4 mg cohort. This AE occurred within 24 hours of the infusion and was likely related to Allo. Dizziness is a common AE of sedative drugs and has previously been reported as a frequent AE at high doses of Allo.26 Nasopharyngitis and musculoskeletal disorders were the only AEs reported with greater frequency in the placebo group compared to the Allo treated groups. These AEs were associated with specific episodes of the common cold and pre-existing osteoarthritis. Importantly, Allo did not induce indicators of ARIA. Unlike therapeutics designed to remove amyloid
beta (Aβ) plaques from the brain, which are associated with ARIA, the mechanisms by which Allo reduces Aβ load in the brain are related to its reduced generation.6

Sedation was dose dependent (Table 4) and the threshold dose was sex specific. In the multiple ascending dose cohort (Allo 6–18 mg) all female participants received two 18 mg infusions, which resulted in marked sedation. Subsequently the dose was deescalated back to 10 mg, which resulted in no sedation. In contrast, two of three male participants demonstrated mild sedation at 6 mg and all three participants demonstrated sedation at 10 mg. One participant was escalated up to 10 mg, which resulted in marked sedation. Subsequently the dose was deescalated back to 6 mg for the remainder of the treatment period. Based on these results, the MTD was determined to be 10 mg for females and 6 mg for males.

The dosing regimen is a critical factor for the neuro-regenerative effect of Allo, which occurs at nanomolar concentrations and is suppressed at higher doses.5,9,12 Allo exhibits an inverted U-shaped dose-response which at low concentrations results in promotion of neural stem cell regeneration and at high concentrations suppresses proliferation, which protects against unchecked cell division.6,9,12 Previous preclinical data indicated that Allo administered once per week was maximally efficacious for increasing neurogenesis and markers of white matter generation while simultaneously reducing multiple indicators of AD pathology.11,12,41,42 Conversely, frequent or continuous dosing regimens, similar to the one used to treat postpartum depression,25 do not promote, and likely suppress, neurogenesis.53–45 Mode of administration will also influence absorption and PK.12

Blood levels of Allo reached a dose-dependent Cmax after both a single dose and 12 weeks of weekly infusions. The Cmax levels obtained from the 4 to 6 mg doses are consistent with the serum levels that occur during the third trimester of pregnancy, which range from 40 to 50 ng/mL.29 These results are comparable to those reported in an open label trial of Allo in men with FXTAS, in which participants received the exact same dosing and treatment regimen.18 A previous study reported the same steady-state plasma concentration of approximately 50 ng/mL in a postpartum cohort after 12 hours of continuous infusion with dosing up to 48 hours before a graduated decline in dose over the next 12 hours.25

This trial was powered for safety and PK, thus given the small sample size and duration of treatment, the efficacy of Allo could not be determined. By design, assessments of cognition and imaging biomarkers were exploratory. Results indicated that Allo did not alter cognition, which can be interpreted as having no adverse effects on cognition over the course of 3 months of once-per-week treatment. Likewise, Allo exerted no adverse outcome on total hippocampal MRI volumetric structure over the course of 3 months. Analysis of change in hippocampal volume suggested a potential signal in the 4 mg Allo dose that will be investigated further in an appropriately powered phase 2 trial as a surrogate marker of regeneration.46–49 Moreover, noted differences in hippocampal volume appeared to be differentially affected by apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 genotype. Analyses of other MRI structural volumes, resting state functional MRI (fMRI), and diffusion tensor imaging, as well as other exploratory outcomes, are ongoing and will be published in detail separately. Overall, exploratory outcome measures support safety outcomes by demonstrating that Allo infusions were not detrimental to cognition or imaging biomarkers.

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

Allopregnanolone is a first in class regenerative therapeutic for early AD that targets endogenous neural stem cells and disease-modifying mechanisms. The results of this phase 1b/2a clinical trial demonstrated that Allo was well tolerated and safe in an AD study population. Pharmacokinetic profile and MTD obtained will guide dose selection for a randomized-controlled phase 2 trial to investigate the long-term safety and efficacy of this novel therapeutic for AD.
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