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Abstract: The Philippine economy, as a newly industrialized country, remained to be resilient and competitive enough to be one of the five growth performers in the region. As the country grows, it faces greater challenges towards its aim for development. Same way as other developing nations, the Philippines still experience a trade deficit. This situation in the economy must take into consideration seeing that the balance of trade is considered as one of the key components in measuring the relative strength of the country’s economy.

This research investigates the association between export, imports, and economic growth in the Philippines. The study collected trade balance indicators and economic output for the period of 1978 to 2017. The unit root test was employed to test the presence of unit root at level and first difference. Vector Autoregression analysis was used to test the relationship exist among variables. The result determined that the past value of imports have a significant impact on the Economic Output measured in terms of GDP. On the export side, the Wald test statistics showed that the previous values of export jointly could influence the Gross Domestic Output. These results provide evidence that exports and imports can influence economic growth in the Philippines.
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1. Background, Motivation, and Objectives

The Philippines is facing significant challenges in attaining sustainable and inclusive economic growth at the pace of Globalization. The first globalization period was recorded from 1870 to 1914; it was driven by the invention of steamship and causes international trade flows to increase (Antras, 2020). The extent of integration among countries is continuously increasing since many countries in the world are already open to international trade (Johansson & Nilsson, 2007). These lead to structural shifts and changes in the dynamics of international trade (Mora, 2014).

To have a significant part in the global economy, meaningful opportunities for developing nations through Global value chains (GVCs). It allows the countries to acquire knowledge and technology, and increase the value of their commodities (UNIDO, 2015). The concept of international boundaries has redrawn (Ponte, 2019 et al.) and generates a strong link between businesses, employees, and consumers around the globe and often opens opportunities to firms and labourers in developing nations to take part in the global economy (Gereffi, Fernandez-Stark, 2016). GVC's is the process where firms import materials to produce commodities for exports and export inputs. These are re-exported further by their trading partners. It also serves as opportunities for international specialization (Hauser, 2017) with a full range of activities undertaken across international borders (Doherty & Vergheese, 2018) which extends the value-chains beyond products and considering the service involvement (Banga, 2013). Another significant dimension in the GVCs is its rising potential that drives sustainability, growth, and productivity (OECD, 2013) that can also reflect a close relationship between the evolution of GVCs and the global trade role on GDP growth (Backer & Flaig, 2017).

The Philippines is a relative newcomer to GVCs compared to other countries in the ASEAN region. Over the past few decades, the economy of the Philippines is considered an emerging market that transitioned from agriculturally based to the one dependent on services manufacturing (CGGC, 2016). The country faced a negative trade balance being the 37th largest export-oriented economy in the world (Observatory of Economic Complexity, 2017), and this trade deficit can impact the economy as a whole. The recorded trade deficit in 2015 was $23 billion and which increases to $35.5 billion in 2016; and in 2017, the imbalance rose to $40.5 billion (Philippine Statistics Authority). Imports are outpacing exports, the actual impact of these trade deficits both in the short run and long run of the country's economic output is still unclear. The result of the study will be beneficial for policymakers as a basis for developing strategies to resolve the potential impact of the trade deficit in the country.
The purpose of the study is to evaluate the significant correlation of economic growth on export and imports in the Philippines considering these trade variables as components of the value chain. The empirical study of the critical elements of the theoretical hypothesis was done through econometric co-integration analysis and Vector autoregression.

**Theoretical Framework**

Theory of comparative advantage. Ricardo emphasized the mutual benefit between countries from international trade.

According to the model, the nation should concentrate and specialize in goods and services where it had a comparative advantage and acquire those products on commodities that do not have a comparative advantage (Manolis, n.d.) The trade theories have assisted the understanding of GVCs (Herr & Dünhaupt, 2019).

New Trade Theory. The trade model was developed after the 1980s emergence of economies of scale from a new perspective (Schmitz, 2017). This concept emphasized market failures, cost advantages, and economies of scale as influencing forces behind international trade (Medin, 2014) It incorporates innovations on neoclassical economics such as strategic behaviour, politics, market imperfections, industrial economics, and growth theory. (Deraniyagala, Fine, 2001). It considered critical factors of international trade patterns as substantial components of economies of scale.

2. **Statement Of Contribution And Methods**

The research attempts to contribute to the body of knowledge by assessing the macroeconomic variables in relevance to the evolution of trade theories driven by globalization and trade liberalization among countries. The model was formulated through empirical estimation and application of appropriate econometrics tools to provide new insights that could serve as a basis for policy formulation and recommendation.

The result is expected to be useful for future researchers who intents to analyze the imports and export trends in their respective countries.

**Data.** The researcher utilized secondary data collected through the records of the Philippine Statistics authority online database and on the World Bank website. Data included in the study involves annual data of Gross Domestic Product, Imports and Export (at current US$) from the year 1978 to 2017.

**Methodology.** A quantitative methodology was applied to examining the correlation between export, import, and economic growth. Vector Autoregressive Regression analysis was applied in analyzing the significant relationship between variables. The model estimation is considered a powerful tool in examining the dynamic relationships between the indicated time series variables. The mathematical form for the regression is:

\[ Y_t = A_i X_{(i-1)} + \cdots + A_p X_{(p-1)} + B_x + E_t \]

Where:
- \( Y \) = dependent variables
- \( A & B \) = Independent variables
- \( i-1 & p-1 \) = lagged values of the variables
- \( Bx \) = intercept
- \( Et \) = error terms

Model Specification. The unit root and co-integration test approaches were utilized in forecasting the estimated Vector Autoregression model. Economic variables are usually non-stationary. It is therefore essential to test for stationary before generalizing any relationship and Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic was also utilized to test the variables in the study. In examining the interrelationship between variables in the model, the error variance decomposition estimates and impulse response functions were applied.

The specification of the VAR models can be defined as follows:

- GDP = f(Imp, Exp) \hspace{1cm} (1.1)
- Imp = f(GDP, Exp) \hspace{1cm} (1.2)
- Exp = f(GDP, Imp) \hspace{1cm} (1.3)
3. Results, Discussion, And Conclusion

This paper collected 40 observations from the annual record ranges of the Philippines from the year 1978 to 2017 to examine the correlation between variables. This section presents the descriptive statistics, unit root tests, Johansen co-integration test forecast error variance decomposition, and impulse response functions.

Descriptive Analysis. Table I shows the summary statistics used in the study. The normality of data was tested using the values of skewness and kurtosis. It indicates a normal curve for all the variables with values ranging between -3 and +3. On the other hand, the Jacque-bera chi-square showed that only GDP is significant 5 percent level while imports and exports transcend the limit in the paper.

| Table I. Summary of Statistics |
|--------------------------------|
| IMPORTS | EXPORT | GDP |
| Mean | 42,200,000,000.00 | 1,600,000,000,000.00 | 108,000,000,000.00 |
| Median | 40,600,000,000.00 | 1,500,000,000,000.00 | 78,600,000,000.00 |
| Maximum | 128,000,000,000.00 | 4,200,000,000,000.00 | 314,000,000,000.00 |
| Minimum | 5,660,000,000.00 | 372,000,000,000.00 | 22,700,000,000.00 |
| Std. Dev. | 33,300,000,000.00 | 1,020,000,000,000.00 | 90,100,000,000.00 |
| Skewness | 0.78 | 0.59 | 1.13 |
| Kurtosis | 2.75 | 2.45 | 2.91 |
| Jarque-Bera | 4.13 | 2.87 | 8.59 |
| Probability | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.01 |
| Observations | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 |

Unit Root Test. The existence of unit roots in the set of data was assessed using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test was conducted. The result revealed that the study failed to reject the null hypothesis. It suggests The gross domestic product, import, and export were non-stationary at level.

The variables were observed to be stationary after taking the first difference of the variables. It suggests conducting a lag selection and co-integration test between variables.

| Table II. Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test |
|---------------------------------------|
| AT LEVEL | AT 1ST DIFFERENCE |
| VARIABLE | ADF | P-Value | Decision | ADF T-stat | P-Value | Decision |
| GDP | 1.000000 | 0.0000 | NS | -3.52644 | 0.0125 | S |
| EXPORT | 1.206288 | 0.9977 | NS | -6.87264 | 0.0000 | S |
| IMPORT | 2.717142 | 0.0000 | NS | -5.17374 | 0.0001 | S |

Lag selection criteria. Lag length criteria using Eviews suggests the optimal lag for the particular. Table 2 illustrates the result of the criteria. The analysis considered the five selection criteria at a 5% level of significance. The result showed that the majority of the criteria suggested in employing three lags for the VAR, including LR test statistic, Final Predication Error, Akaike and Schwarz, and Hannan-Quinn information criterion. Therefore, the analysis is preceded using three lags.
Table III. Lag selection Criteria

| Lag | LogL   | LR       | FPE       | AIC       | SC       | HQ       |
|-----|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| 0   | -2754.72 | NA       | 11,000…   | 149.0658  | 149.1964 | 149.1118 |
| 1   | -2598.6  | 278.4727 | 3,880…    | 141.1137  | 41.6361* | 141.2979 |
| 2   | -2585.83 | 20.72141 | 3,200…    | 140.9095  | 141.8238 | 141.2318 |
| 3   | -2572.68 | 19.18563*| 2,630…*   | 140.6854* | 141.9915 | 141.458* |

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (at 5 percent level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion
* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

Johansen co-integration test. The co-integration test determines the presence of a long-run relationship among variables. The trace test (Table IV) and Maximum Eigen-value statistics (Table V) confirms the presence of co-integrating equations among variables.

The trace test demonstrates that there is a long-run relationship among variables with no co-integrating equation with a critical value of 29.79707 (P<0.05).

Another co-integration test was Eigen Value Test implies that there is an association among variables with no co-integrating equation with a critical value of 21.13162 (P<0.05).

Table IV. Trace Test

| Rank          | Eigenvalue | Statistic | Critical Value | Prob.** |
|---------------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| None *        | 0.545745   | 43.66279  | 29.79707       | 0.0007  |
| At most 1     | 0.277243   | 15.25529  | 15.49471       | 0.0543  |
| At most 2     | 0.094326   | 3.566735  | 3.841466       | 0.0589  |

Table V. Maximum Eigen Value Test

| Rank          | Eigenvalue | Statistic | Critical Value | Prob.** |
|---------------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| None *        | 0.545745   | 28.4075   | 21.13162       | 0.004   |
| At most 1     | 0.277243   | 11.68855  | 14.2646        | 0.1229  |
| At most 2     | 0.094326   | 3.566735  | 3.841466       | 0.0589  |

Granger Causality Test. The empirical result reveals unidirectional causalities between GDP and export, GDP, and Import but no causality between export and import. It indicates that these variables are substantial causal factors of GDP in the Philippines.

Table VI. Granger Causality Test

| Variables     | Nature of Causality | Decision |
|---------------|---------------------|----------|
| Export and GDP| Unidirectional      | Reject Null |
| Import and GDP| Unidirectional      | Reject Null |
| Export and Import| No causality    | Accept Null |

Three equations were formed from the VAR Results:

1. \[
\text{GDP} = 1.4387*\text{GDP(-1)} - 1.1893*\text{GDP(-2)} + 0.8509*\text{GDP(-3)} + 2.0373*\text{EXP(-1)} - 1.2997*\text{EXP(-2)} + 1.5714*\text{EXP(-3)} - 2.2378*\text{IMP(-1)} + 2.9414*\text{IMP(-2)} - 2.7619*\text{IMP(-3)} - 1365126877.72
\]
2. \[
\text{EXP} = 0.2301*\text{GDP(-1)} - 0.4142*\text{GDP(-2)} + 0.1825*\text{GDP(-3)} + 0.7629*\text{EXP(-1)} - 0.8232*\text{EXP(-2)} + 0.6913*\text{EXP(-3)} - 0.2903*\text{IMP(-1)} + 1.4033*\text{IMP(-2)} - 0.7362*\text{IMP(-3)} + 1276320982.95
\]
3. \[
\text{IMP} = 0.0299*\text{GDP(-1)} - 0.2329(-2) + 0.2927(-3) + 0.3066*\text{EXP(-1)} - 0.6354*\text{EXP(-2)} + 0.4062*\text{EXP(-3)} + 0.5385*\text{IMP(-1)} + 0.9157*\text{IMP(-2)} - 0.6220*\text{IMP(-3)} - 18289370.2405
\]
Variance Decomposition. Further examination of the dynamic effects of GDP, Import, and export in the Philippines was applied. The rest results for the three variables were obtained, presented, and analyzed in the study.

The variance decomposition of Gross Domestic Output in the table below shows that there is a substantial amount of variation experienced in the GDP, which is attributed to its shock in the first period. The shock follows a decreasing trend to about 72.24% at the end of the period. On the other hand, the export marginally follows an increasing trend from the first period to the end of the horizon. Import shocks follow a rising trend from the first period to the eighth period but decrease in the succeeding period where it stood at 19.75%.

| Period | S.E.     | GDP       | EXPORTS | IMPORTS |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1      | 7,440,000,000.00 | 100.000000 | -       | -       |
| 2      | 12,700,000,000.00 | 87.649840  | 0.001497 | 12.348660 |
| 3      | 17,000,000,000.00 | 86.545790  | 0.801017 | 12.653190 |
| 4      | 21,300,000,000.00 | 84.538620  | 2.187465 | 13.273910 |
| 5      | 25,600,000,000.00 | 79.909860  | 3.012786 | 17.077350 |
| 6      | 30,000,000,000.00 | 76.422800  | 3.220867 | 20.356330 |
| 7      | 34,600,000,000.00 | 74.506020  | 3.677950 | 21.816030 |
| 8      | 39,400,000,000.00 | 73.305860  | 4.789132 | 21.905010 |
| 9      | 43,800,000,000.00 | 72.595800  | 6.280953 | 21.123250 |
| 10     | 47,600,000,000.00 | 72.246810  | 7.996496 | 19.756700 |

In the short run, the impulse or shock to export accounted for a 27.94% variation on the fluctuation in export (own shock) as shown in the first period but decreased to 20.44 in the last period. The GDP illustrates an increasing trend from the first to third period amounting to 77.88 percent and gradually decrease to 68.24 in the sixth period but increased again to 72.01 percent at the end of the period. Import shocks follow an increasing trend from the first period to the eighth period but decrease in the succeeding period.

| Period | S.E.     | GDP       | EXPORTS | IMPORTS |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1      | 4,580,000,000.00 | 72.062050  | 27.937950 | -       |
| 2      | 5,900,000,000.00 | 77.933460  | 21.109150 | 0.957394 |
| 3      | 6,990,000,000.00 | 77.882100  | 25.295800 | 2.788419 |
| 4      | 8,420,000,000.00 | 71.321780  | 23.257720 | 5.420500 |
| 5      | 9,260,000,000.00 | 68.606350  | 25.295800 | 6.097848 |
| 6      | 9,850,000,000.00 | 68.242440  | 24.560510 | 7.197056 |
| 7      | 10,600,000,000.00 | 68.646010  | 23.314620 | 8.039368 |
| 8      | 11,500,000,000.00 | 69.404780  | 22.448030 | 8.147197 |
| 9      | 12,300,000,000.00 | 70.472740  | 21.502960 | 8.024298 |
| 10     | 13,100,000,000.00 | 72.005320  | 20.442860 | 7.551819 |

The assessment of variance decomposition of import in table 9 shows that a large amount of the variation on imports is attributed to GDP shocks ranging from 60.90 to 74.15% within the period. A small amount of the variation experienced on imports is attributed to its shock ranging from 10.12 to 19.04 percent within the time horizon. Meanwhile, exports follow an increasing trend from 18.06 percent in the first period to 20.12 in the fifth. It then decrease to 12.84 percent at the end of the horizon.
### Table 1: Economic Output Growth in the Philippines (2020-2019)

| Period | S.E.          | GDP     | EXPORTS  | IMPORTS  |
|--------|---------------|---------|----------|----------|
| 1      | 5,140,000,000.00 | 66.972380 | 18.060520 | 14.967100 |
| 2      | 6,690,000,000.00 | 69.740950 | 18.872820 | 11.386230 |
| 3      | 7,830,000,000.00 | 65.162190 | 19.441120 | 15.396690 |
| 4      | 9,020,000,000.00 | 60.901350 | 20.061120 | 19.037530 |
| 5      | 9,830,000,000.00 | 60.929060 | 20.118850 | 18.952090 |
| 6      | 10,600,000,000.00 | 63.158640 | 18.782860 | 18.058490 |
| 7      | 11,400,000,000.00 | 64.748020 | 17.090500 | 16.161470 |
| 8      | 12,500,000,000.00 | 70.634950 | 15.704310 | 13.660740 |
| 9      | 13,600,000,000.00 | 74.149400 | 14.323790 | 11.526800 |
| 10     | 15,000,000,000.00 | 77.041330 | 12.835460 | 10.123210 |

### Conclusion

This paper determines the correlation between export, imports, and economic output growth in the Philippines. The result concludes that there is a significant association between GDP, Import, and export. Hence, the import and export fluctuations were observed to have caused the change in gross domestic output and vice versa. The increase in inflation rate may lead to a lower gross domestic product, but a higher money supply resulted in a higher gross domestic product.

The result also determined that the past value of imports has a significant impact on the economic output measured in terms of GDP. On the import side, the granger causality test and Wald statistics showed that the previous values of import jointly could influence the Gross Domestic Output. These results provide evidence that exports and import can influence economic growth in the Philippines.
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