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Abstract

Background: Zootherapy is important in various socio-cultural environments, and innumerous examples of the use of animal derived remedies can currently be found in many urban, semi-urban and more remote localities in all parts of the world, particularly in developing countries. However, although a number of ethnobiological inventories concerning the use of medicinal animals in human health care have been compiled in Brazil in recent years, zootherapeutic practices in ethnoveterinary medicine (EVM) are poorly described and neglected in favor of human ethnomedicine. In this sense, the purpose of this study was to describe the local zootherapeutic practices in ethnoveterinary medicine of semi-arid of NE Brazil (Caatinga biome) and to contribute to future research about the validation of the effects and side effects of these animal products.

Methods: The information obtained through semi-structured interviews was complemented by free interviews and informal conversations. A total of 67 people were interviewed (53 men and 14 women) about the use and commercialization of medicinal animals. To determine the relative importance of each local known species, their use-values (UV) were calculated. Diversity of species utilized was compared, between localities, using rarefaction curves and diversity estimate (Chao2).

Results and Conclusions: A total of 44 animal species (37 vertebrates and 7 invertebrates), distributed among 6 taxonomic categories were found to be used to treat 30 different ailments in livestock and pets. The results of our surveys revealed a rich traditional knowledge of local residents about the use of animals in traditional veterinary medicine. Although it is gradually being discontinued, the perceived efficacy, economic and geographic accessibility were main reasons for popularity of zootherapy in studied areas.

Background

Animal husbandry is an economic activity closely linked to the needs of local consumption and trade, which significantly influences the political, social and economic contexts in Latin America [1]. The occupation of Brazil by Europeans (especially in the northeastern region) starting in the first half of the 16th century was marked by the transmigration of numerous elements of the European fauna and flora [2], including cattle, goats and horses [3]. The importance of livestock to the occupation and settlement of the Brazilian semi-arid region by European colonists was synthesized by Darcy Ribeiro [4] as follows: ‘The first settlements raised cattle, goats and people: the cattle to sell, the goats to eat and the people to migrate (further inland)’.

Through the centuries, the local descendants of Amerindians, Africans, and Europeans learned to use the native natural resources of the Caatinga (dryland) biome but also imported resources from the Old World to use in treating illnesses or infirmities in themselves and their livestock. The adaptation of the various human groups to the rich biological resources generated invaluable local knowledge systems that include extensive information on animal uses in general and medicinally useful species in particular [5]. Ever since, animals or animal parts have been broadly used in Brazilian traditional medicine and have played a significant role in healing practices [6-8].

The use of medicinal animals is a recognized and traditional manner of treating as curatives or palliatives for many health problems (sometimes in association with
plant species), depending on the cultural background and local knowledge of the users [9-14]. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that up to 80% of the world’s more than six billion people rely primarily on animal and plant-based medicines [15]. Recent publications have shown the importance of zootherapy in various socio-cultural environments, and innumerable examples of the use of animal-derived remedies can currently be found in many urban, semi-urban and more remote localities in all parts of the world, particularly in developing countries [13,14,16-21]. However, in spite of the worldwide prevalence of traditional medical practices, research on medicinal animals has often been neglected in comparison to medicinal plants [14,15]. Pieroni et al. [22], for example, points out that studies on drugs of animal origin are still rare in the scientific literature. While Calixto [23] recorded 3,722 published full paper on medicinal plants in Brazil, inventories of animal species used as medicine are still relatively rare in the country.

Although a number of ethnobiological inventories concerning the use of medicinal animals in human health care have been compiled in Brazil in recent years [5-7,13,20,24-26], zootherapeutic practices in ethnoveterinary medicine (EVM) are poorly described and neglected in favor of human ethnomedicine. In this country, but less than 20 animal species were recorded in local EVM [27,28]. These limited descriptions of the ethnoveterinary resources of Brazil are in stark contrast to the economic importance of livestock rearing in the country, and the lack of regular access to essential medicines can greatly hamper productivity.

Traditional veterinary medicine is very important in developing countries where conventional remedies for animal health care are inaccessible or unaffordable to poor rural farmers [29]. According to the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), the lack of drugs to treat diseases and infections results in losses of 30-35% in the breeding sector of many developing countries, where poor animal health remains the major constraint to increased production [30]. High costs and inaccessibility (together with other problems associated with western-style healthcare systems) have helped maintain traditional treatment practices in these countries and fostered research on this subject [31].

Much effort is needed in research and integration of the ethnoveterinary practices activities in developing countries [32]. In many native and local stock raising communities if not all, a considerable proportion of useful ethno-knowledge and traditional animal health care practices remain unknown to date, albeit their increased demand to be integrated into primary animal health care delivery systems for wider use by rural and periurban communities [33]. While ethnoveterinary practices can lead to their validation and eventually to better animal healthcare provision and enhanced living standards of the rural poor [34,35], there is a notable scarcity of studies of zootherapy in EVM [28]. In fact, a recent compilation of ethnozoological studies published in Brazil recorded 87 works about zootherapeutic practices; however, only four were predominantly focused on the use of animals in traditional veterinary medicine [36].

The use of animals for medicinal purposes is part of a body of traditional knowledge which is increasingly becoming more relevant to discussions on conservation biology, public health policies, sustainable management of natural resources, biological prospection and patents [15,37]. In this perspective, the present survey was undertaken to document information about local animals used in veterinary medications by livestock raisers and rural populations in two municipalities located in Paraiba State, NE Brazil. The purpose of this study was (1) to describe the local zootherapeutic practices in EVM, (2) to insert the faunal resources explored for medicinal purposes in local EVM in the Brazilian Zootherapy databank, currently being developed in the Center of Ethnobiology and Ethnecology, State University of Paraiba, Brazil, and (3) to contribute to future research about the effects and possible side effects of these animal products.

Methods
Study sites
The present study analyzed data gathered during fieldwork in two municipalities in the West Cariri micro-region, Paraiba State, in the semi-arid region of Northeastern Brazil (Figure 1). Generally, human communities in the surveyed areas represent a mixture of native Amerindians, Europeans and Africans [38].

Municipality of Monteiro
The municipality of Monteiro (07° 53’ 22” S and 37° 07’ 12” W) covers an area of approximately 986 km² [38-40] within the ‘drought polygon’ of NE Brazil (an area that extends from northern Minas Gerais State and covers almost the entire northeastern part of the country). The regional climate is semi-arid with an annual rainfall of 432 mm (limited to a rainy season between January and April), with an average annual temperature of 28°C [41]. The vegetation of this semi-arid region (Caatinga biome) is typically composed of deciduous shrub/arboreal species and many of the plants have strong thorns.

The total population of the municipality is approximately 30,000, with 16,000 (53%) inhabitants in urban areas, and 14,000 (47%) residents in the rural zone [42]. This population has a medium Human Development Index level (0.603) [42]. The principal economic activities of the municipality are subsistence agriculture (mainly sweet potatoes, beans and cassava) and livestock breeding, including cattle (19,600 head), goats (30,000),...
and sheep (11,000). The municipality of Monteiro is the principal producer of goats and sheep in Paraiba State [38] and it is the most important economical center of the Cariri of Paraiba Amerindians. Animals (including wild animals) are commonly kept as pets in this locality. Local interviewees ranged in age from 25 to 88 years (average 54). In terms of schooling, 43.75% (n = 14) of the interviewees were illiterate or semi-illiterate, while only 9.37% (n = 3) had attended school for 8 years (completing what is known in Brazil as ‘ensino fundamental’ - Elementary school). Most interviewees (53.12%, n = 17) had a monthly income between US$ 241.00 and $482.00.

**Municipality of Sumé**

The municipality of Sumé (6° 45' 28" S × 36° 28' 15" W) occupies an area of approximately 840 Km² [38,40] (Figure 1). The climate there is semi-arid with an annual rainfall of 695 mm that is limited to a rainy season between January and April [43]. The local vegetation is composed of spiny deciduous and semi-deciduous species characteristic of this semi-arid Caatinga region. Sumé had a population of 16,456 inhabitants in 2007, with 10,887 (66.1%) living in the urban zones, and 5,569 in rural areas (33.9%) [38]. The local inhabitants have a medium Human Development Index (0.658) [42]. Similar to Monteiro, the main economic activities in this municipality consist of subsistence agriculture (mainly sweet potatoes, beans and cassava) and livestock husbandry (8,600 head of cattle; 17,500 goats, and 6,100 sheep) [38].

The ages of the interviewees in Sumé ranged from 18 to 83 years (mean: 52.7); 45.71% (n = 16) of the interviewees were illiterate or semi-illiterate, 40% (n = 14) had attended school for less than eight years, while only five people (14.87%) had studied for more than eight years. As in Monteiro, most interviewees (59.37%, n = 19) had an income between US$ 241.00 and 482.00.

**Procedures**

Field research was conducted from October 2008 to April 2009. The information obtained through semi-structured interviews was complemented by free interviews [44] and informal conversations. Prior informed consent was obtained for all interviews conducted. In the semi-structured interviews, the interviewees were requested to indicate for each animal: its local name; parts used as medicine; the ailments treated with the remedy; preparation and usage; use-restrictions; adverse effects; spiritual aspects linked to its use; whether live or dead animals were used; how the animals were obtained; storage conditions; collection sites; materials used to collect the animals; efficacy of the remedies; how this knowledge was acquired by the interviewees themselves; their reliance on animal-based remedies; and why they used animal-based remedies in ethnoveterinary practices. Interviews were held in both urban and rural settings of the municipalities studied. Before each interview, the interviewers asked their informants for permission to record the conversations and to take photographs. The ideal length of each interview was at least 40 minutes.

We interviewed 67 local residents (53 men and 14 women) about the use and commercialization of medicinal animals, and were distributed as follows: Municipality of Monteiro (n = 32; 24 men and 8 women), Municipality of Sumé (n = 35; 29 men and 6 women). All of the interviewees raised (or had risen) livestock. Verification tests were
performed to determine the consistency and validity of the responses (for analysis and data control) by repeating details of the interviews in synchronic situations [45].

The zoological material was identified with the aid of specialists by: (a) examination of voucher specimens donated by the interviewees; (b) photographs of the animals or their parts, taken during interviews; (c) identification of vernacular names by taxonomists familiar with the fauna of the study areas. The voucher specimens and/or photographs were deposited at the Department of Systematics and Ecology, Federal University of Paraiba, Paraiba State, Brazil.

For the data analysis, the use-value (adapted from Phillips et al. [46] by Rossato et al. [47]), as a quantitative method demonstrating the relative importance of each species, was calculated as:

\[
UV = \Sigma U/n
\]

Where UV is the use-value of a species, U the number of citations per species; and n is the number of informants. The use-value of each species is based solely on the importance attributed by the informants themselves and does not depend on any evaluation of the researcher [14,48].

We calculated the collector curves for both municipalities where the x axis was the number of individuals interviewed and the Y one was the number of animal species utilized for veterinary purposes. Collector curves were randomized 1000 times and the average values were calculated using the software EstimateS© version 8.2 [49]. The same software was used to calculate an estimate of diversity (Chao2) projecting the total number of species utilized at each area. Chao2 was chosen because it is applied to incidence data. To input data in EstimateS© we create a matrix interviewees (lines) × type of species (columns) for each municipality. In developing of matrix, we assigned the value 1 for each species mentioned by an interviewee and 0 for those which he did not mention. Both collector curves and diversity estimates were used to compare the diversity of animal species utilized between municipalities [50].

**Results and Discussion**

**Zootherapeutic species used in ethnoveterinary medicine of ‘Cariri Paraibano’: an overview**

According to 92% of local interviewees, ethnoveterinary knowledge was transmitted orally from generation to generation, especially from father to child and constitutes part of the culture of the people who live in the Caatinga region. In some cases, however, the obtained ethnoveterinary knowledge is derived from friends or neighbors.

The data obtained during the field surveys is summarized in Table 1. Forty four species (37 vertebrates and 7 invertebrates) were found to be used for medicinal or magic-religious purposes in ethnoveterinary medicine in the municipalities of Monteiro and Sumé. These species were distributed among at least 32 zoological families. The taxonomic group with the largest number of species were the mammals (with 19 species), followed by reptiles (8), birds and insects (both with 7 species). Other groups mentioned by the interviewees were fishes (2) and amphibians (1). The predominance of vertebrates reported in our study is similar to other studies of the use of animal-based remedies in human ethnomedicine [6,7,24,51-56]. This total is significant since it represents 16% of the entire registered traditional Brazilian zootherapeutic pharmacopoeia, which is composed of at least 290 animal species [26].

Municipality of Monteiro presented a higher diversity of animals used for veterinary purposes, with 38 species cited compared to 27 from Sumé locality (Table 1). The higher diversity of animals used in Monteiro can be assessed visually from the collectors curves (Figure 2) where Monteiro’s curve of species rise faster than Sumé one. The diversity estimator Chao2 also supports the hypothesis of Monteiro higher diversity, with Monteiro presenting an estimation of 62 species of animals utilized compared to the estimate of 41 species for Sumé municipality. A higher diversity of animal use found in Monteiro can be a result of historical and economical factors, since this municipality is a historical center of livestock husbandry and commerce in the region, a fact that could lead to a stronger and more diverse tradition in terms of folk veterinary.

In Brazil, most of the medicinal animal used in traditional medicine practices are collected from the wild [7,21]; this same trend was observed in the present study, where 36 (81%) of the species used in EVM studies areas are wild caught. Nevertheless, some domestic animal species were also used to produce traditional medicines. These include the turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo* Linnaeus, 1758), domestic chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus* Linnaeus, 1758), domestic cattle (*Bos taurus* Linnaeus, 1758), goats (*Capra hircus* Linnaeus, 1758), ram/sheep (*Ovis aries* Linnaeus, 1758), domestic dog (*Canis lupus familiaris* Linnaeus, 1758), domestic pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus* Linnaeus, 1758).

The links between human communities and the surrounding region became apparent when comparing the animal species used as remedies with the habitat types found near the study sites. Nearly all of the wild animals used were from terrestrial habitats (31 species) - a reflection of principal habitat types found in this semi-arid Caatinga biome. Similarly, Adeola [57] demonstrated that the utilization of wildlife in Nigeria was related to the ecological zone in which the people lived and to the relative abundance of the species in each zone. Our findings...
| Family/Species/ Local name | Local of citation | Number of times mentioned | Use-value (VU) | Part used and way of administration | Disease (or illness) | Animal(s) treated |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| **INSECTA**               |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| Apidae                    |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Apis mellifera* (Linnaeus, 1758) - Italian honey bee, “abelha italiana” | MO, SU | 3 | 0.04 | Honey (1) | Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations | ca, eq, go, sh |
| *Melipona subnitida* (Ducke, 1910) - jandaíra bee, “Abelha Jandaíra” | MO, SU | 3 | 0.04 | Honey (1) | Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations | Domestic animals in general |
| *Partamona seridoensis* Pedro & Camargo, 2003 - “abelha cupira”, cupira bee | MO, SU | 35 | 0.52 | Honey (1) | Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations; swellings, dermal inflammations, ‘estrepes’ (suck a splinter out of skin), wounds, furunculosis, lesions | Domestic animals in general, mainly ca, go, sh, eq |
| *Scaptotrigona* sp. - “abelha canudo” | SU | 1 | 0.01 | Honey (1) | Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations | Domestic animals in general |
| **Bothriuridae**          |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Bothriurus asper* Pocock, 1893 - black scorpion | MO | 6 | 0.09 | Sting (4) | Dermal nodules and furunculosis | ca, go, sh |
| *Rhopalurus rochai* (Borelli, 1910) - “Escorpião amarelo do sertão” | MO | 7 | 0.10 | Sting (4) | Dermal nodules and furunculosis | ca, go, sh |
| **Termitidae**            |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Nasutitermes corniger* (Motschulsky, 1855) - termite black | MO | 6 | 0.09 | Whole animal (2) | Chickens’ gogo (infectious coryza, a type of cold) | ch, hg |
| **FISHES**                |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Electrophorus electricus* (Linnaeus, 1766) - electric eel | MO, SU | 2 | 0.03 | Fat (1) | Wounds | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| *Erythrinidae**           |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794) - Traíra, “traíra” | MO | 4 | 0.06 | Fat (1) | Lesions in eyes and hooves of cattle | ca |
| **AMPHIBIANS**            |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Bufonidae**              |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Rhinella schneideri* (Werner, 1894) - Cururu toad, “sapo cururu” | SU | 11 | 0.16 | Viscera (1) | ‘Esponja de cavalo’ (Dermal wounds brought about by infestation of larvae of *Habronema muscae*) | eq |
|                          |                   |                           |                | Fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepes’ (suck a splinter out of skin), lesions | Domestic animals in general |
| **REPTILES**              |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
| *Alligatoridae**          |                   |                           |                |                                     |                     |                 |
Table 1 Zootherapeutics resources used in Ethnoveterinary medicine of Cariri microregion, Paraiba State, Brazil (Continued)

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Leather (5), fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin) |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1801) - Cayman, “jacaré-do-papo-amarelo” | MO | 1  | 0.01 | Leather (5), fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin) |

Chelidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Leather (5), fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin) |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Phrynops geoffroanus (Schweigger, 1812) - Geoffrey’s side-necked turtle, “cágado” | MO, SU | 30  | 0.45  | Fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin), ear problems, inflammations, dermal nodules, furunculosis, burns |
|   |   |   |        |      | Fat (3) | 'Mother’s body’ (uterine prolapse) |

Iguanidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Fat (2) | Throat problems |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|---------|----------------|
| Iguana iguana (Linnaeus, 1758) - Common Green Iguana, ‘Camaleão’ | MO, SU | 9   | 0.13  | Fat (2) | Throat problems |

Teiidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Fat (1) | Burns, inflammations, wounds, ‘estrepe’, lesions, ear problems, throat problems, swellings, dermal nodules, furunculosis, snake bite, cracks in hooves of cattle, Eye problems, especially blindness |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Tupinambis merianae (Duméril & Bibron, 1839) - Lizard teju, “tegu”, “tejuacu” | MO, SU | 48  | 0.72  | Fat (1) | Burns, inflammations, wounds, ‘estrepe’, lesions, ear problems, throat problems, swellings, dermal nodules, furunculosis, snake bite, cracks in hooves of cattle, Eye problems, especially blindness |
|   |   |   |        |      | Fat (2) | Sore throat |
|   |   |   |        |      | Fat (2) | Intestinal infections, snake bite |

Order Testudines

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Fat (1) | Wounds |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|---------|--------|
| “Sea turtle” - Espécie não identificada | MO | 1   | 0.01  | Fat (1) | Wounds |

Testudinidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Fat (1) | 'Estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin) |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Chelonoidis carbonaria (Spix, 1824) - Red-footed tortoise, “jabuti” | MO | 1   | 0.01  | Fat (1) | 'Estrepe’ (suck a splinter out of skin) |

Viperidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’, lesions, dermal nodules, furunculosis, snake bite, Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Crotalus durissus Linnaeus, 1758 - South American rattlesnake, “Cascavel” | MO, SU | 44  | 0.66  | Fat (1) | Wounds, ‘estrepe’, lesions, dermal nodules, furunculosis, snake bite, Eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations |
|   |   |   |        |      | Fat (6) | Rheumatism |
|   |   |   |        |      | Fat (2) | Fever, throat problems |
|   |   |   |        |      | ‘Maraca’ (rattle) | Protect the cattle against snake bites |

BIRDS

Cariamidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Feather (8) | Tick fever |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Cariama cristata (Linnaeus, 1766) - “sariema” | MO | 1   | 0.01  | Feather (8) | Tick fever |

Cathartidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Feather (8) | Tick fever |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Coragyps atratus (Bechstein, 1793) - Black vulture, “urubú”, “urubu-preto” | MO | 1   | 0.01  | Feather (8) | Tick fever |

Corvidae

| Animal | MO | SU  | Weight | 0.01 | Feather (8) | Tick fever |
|--------|----|-----|--------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Souto et al. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 2011, 7(1):30 |
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| Species | Common Name | EO | SU | MO | Additional Uses |
|---------|-------------|----|----|----|-----------------|
| Cyanocorax cyanopogon (Wied-Neuwied, 1821) - White-naped Jay, "Pássaro cancão" | MO, SU | 2 | 0.03 | Feather (8) | Whole animal (9) | Tick fever | To protect livestock against ‘evil eyes’ | ca, eq, go, pi |
| Meleagrididae | | | | | | | | |
| Meleagris gallopavo Linnaeus, 1758 - turkey, “peru” | MO, SU | 8 | 0.12 | Fat (1) | | | ‘Estrepes’, lesions, wounds | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| Phasianidae | | | | | | | | |
| Gallus gallus domesticus Linnaeus, 1758 - Domestic chicken, “Galinha” | MO, SU | 8 | 0.12 | Fat (1) | Inflammations, dermal nodules, ‘estrepes’, furunculosis, lesions, mastitis | | | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Fat (2) | Throat problems | cv |
| | | | | | Fat (10) | | ca |
| | | | | | Fat (6) | Rheumatism | ca, eq |
| | | | | | Eggs (1) | Weakness | cv |
| Rheidae | | | | | | | | |
| Rhea americana (Linnaeus, 1758) - Greater rhea, “ema” | MO, SU | 5 | 0.07 | Fat (1) | ’Estrepes’ (suck a splinter out of skin), wounds, lesions, furunculosis | | | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| Tinamidae | | | | | | | | |
| Nothura maculosa cearensis Naumburg, 1932 - Spotted Nothura, "Codomiz" | MO, SU | 22 | 0.33 | Feather (11, 12) | Snake bites | | Domestic animals in general | |
| MAMMALS | | | | | | | | |
| Agoutidae | | | | | | | | |
| Agouti paca (Linnaeus, 1766) - Spotted paca, "paca" | MO | 1 | 0.01 | Bile (2) | Snake bites | | Domestic animals in general | |
| Bovidae | | | | | | | | |
| Bos taurus Linnaeus, 1758 - Domestic cattle, “Vaca” | MO, SU | 16 | 0.24 | Milk (13) | To treat intestinal worms (anthelmintic) | | | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Milk (1) | Mastitis | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Homemade butter (1) | Dermal nodules, burns, ‘estrepes’, inflammations, mastitis | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Homemade butter (2) | Throat problems | cv |
| | | | | | Horn or skull (14) | To protect animals against ‘evil eyes’ | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Leather (7) | Wounds | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Leather (7) | Dermal inflammation | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| | | | | | Horn or skull (14) | To protect animals against snake bites | ca, ho, go, sh |
| | | | | | Leather (7) | ‘Oca’ (Bovine gangrenous coryza) | ca |
| | | | | | Castrated ram suet (1) | | |
| | | | | | Castrated ram suet (10) | | |
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| Table 1 Zootherapeutics resources used in Ethnoveterinary medicine of Cariri microregion, Paraiba State, Brazil (Continued) |
|---|---|---|
| Castrated ram suet (6) | Fat/Castrated ram suet (15) | Horn or skull (14) |
| Leather (7) | Rheumatism | ‘Caruara de bezerro’ (omphaloarteritis) |
| | | To protect animals against ‘evil eyes’ |
| | | To protect animals against snake bites |
| Canidae | | |
| Canis lupus familiaris Linnaeus, 1758 - Domestic dog, “cachorro” | MO | 1 |
| | | 0.01 |
| | | Head (1) |
| Cerdoyon thous (Linnaeus, 1766) - Crab-eating fox, “raposa” LC | MO, SU | 47 |
| | | 0.70 |
| | | Fat (1) |
| | | Fat (2) |
| | | Tail (16), Leather (16) |
| | | Fat (17) |
| | | Suet (6) |
| | | Fat (3) |
| Cavidae | | |
| Cavia aperea Enxleben, 1777 - “Preá” LC | MO | 1 |
| | | 0.01 |
| | | Fat (1) |
| Kerodon rupestris (Wied-Neuwied, 1820) - Rock cavy, ‘Mocó’ LC | SU | 3 |
| | | 0.04 |
| | | Fat (1) |
| | | Meat (2) |
| Cervidae | | |
| Mazama gouazoupira (G. Fischer, 1814) - Gray brocket, “veado catingueiro” | MO | 3 |
| | | 0.04 |
| | | Horn (14) |
| | | Fat (6) |
| | | Fat (1) |
| Dasypodidae | | |
| Dasypus novemcinctus (Linnaeus, 1758) - Nine-banded armadillo, “tatu galinha” LC | MO | 1 |
| | | 0.01 |
| | | Fat (1) |
| Euphractus sexcinctus (Linnaeus, 1758) - Six-banded armadillo “tatu peba” LC | MO | 1 |
| | | 0.01 |
| | | Fat (1) |
| Felidae | | |
| Leopardus tigrinus (Schreber, 1775) - Little Tiger Cat, “gato-pintado” VU | MO, SU | 11 |
| | | 0.16 |
| | | Fat (2) |
| | | Fat (1) |
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**Table 1 Zootherapeutics resources used in Ethnoveterinary medicine of Cariri microregion, Paraiba State, Brazil (Continued)**

| Species | Study sites | Sample size | Mean % | Use | Administration | IUCN Red List status | Notes |
|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----|---------------|----------------------|-------|
| *Puma yagouaroundi* (E. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1803) - jaguarundi, “gato-do-mato vermelho”, “gato-do-mato azul” | MO, SU | 14 | 0.21 | Fat (1) | 'Estrepes' (suck a splinter out of skin) | VU | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq
| | | | | Tail (16), Leather (16) | 'To protect animals against attacks by bats' | MO, SU | 2 | 0.03 | Urine (2) | To tame angry animals | ca, eq, go, sh |
| *Conopatus semistriatus* (Boddart, 1785) - Striped hog-nosed skunk, 'cangambá', 'gambambá', 'tacaca' | MO, SU | 2 | 0.03 | Meat (2) | Weakness in dogs and cats | LC | ct, do |
| *Tamandua tetradactyla* (Linnaeus, 1758) - Collared Anteater | SU | 8 | 0.12 | Leather (16) | 'To protect animals against attacks by bats' | | ca, ct, do, go, sh, eq and mainly chickens |
| *Procyon cancrivorus* (G. [Baron] Cuvier, 1798) - Crab-eating raccoon, 'guaxinim' | SU | 3 | 0.04 | Tail (16), Leather (16) | 'To protect animals against attacks by bats' | VU | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |
| *Sus scrofa domesticus* Linnaeus, 1758 - domestic pig | MO, SU | 3 | 0.04 | Fat (1) | Wounds, bone fractures, mastitis | | ca, ct, do, go, ho, sh, eq |

**Notes:**
- Study sites: MO - Monteiro, SU - Sumé.
- Animals treated: ca - cattle, ch - chickens, co - cow, ct - cats, cv - calves, do - dogs, eq - equines, go - goats, hg - the helmeted guineafowl, ho - horses, ma - mares, pi - pigs, sh - sheeps.
- IUCN Red List status: LC - Least Concern, NT - Near Threatened, VU - Vulnerable.
- Ways of administering the animal-based remedies: (1) topical application, (2) oral application, (3) specialized technique where the uterus, after externalization, is moistened and 'washed' with fat or other substance considered locally as healing and then carefully set back into the animal, (4) the sting of these animals is used on dermal pits in order to have a fast inflammation, followed by a quickly heal, (5) used as plaster, (6) applied on the joints of the members, (7) the rattlesnake’s maracá or a piece of leather ‘blessed’ by a healer is hung on the neck of the livestock, (8) used as smoker, (9) Magical use, the animal must be bred to protect against evil eye, (10) local residents usually saw the cattle homy and fill in with fats/suet or other substances considered therapeutic, (11) Toast and triturate it and the resulting powder is applied on the affected area, (12) tea of the toasted powder, (13) mixed with american wormseed (mastruz) Chenopodium ambrosioides L. and taken as drink, (14) It should be placed in the corral to protect livestock against evil eye, (15) applied on the navel of the animal, (16) Magical-religious use, the leather or animal’s tail is hanging on the neck or placed in the chicken pen entrance in order to protect the animals from bats attack, (17) used as expectorant, applied on the animal snout, (18) toast and triturate it and the resulting powder is ingested with food. Note: Diseases or conditions in bold are treated the same way in humans and animals.
important species that are associated with a group’s cultural identity [64]. Garibaldi and Turner [65] listed several criteria used to identify cultural keystone species, including: (1) intensity, type, and multiplicity of uses; (2) names and terminologies incorporated into the local language, including their use as seasonal or phenological indicators; (3) roles in narratives, ceremonies, or symbolism; (4) persistence and memory of use in spite of cultural change, and (5) occupying a unique position in the culture.

The present study identified 20 species that had not been previously reported as being used in ethnoveterinary medicine in the semi-arid region of Brazil: the jandaíra bee - Melipona subnitida (Ducke, 1910), canudo bee - Saptotrigona sp., termite black - Nasutitermes corniger (Motschulsky, 1855), electric eel - Electrophorus electricus (Linnaeus, 1766), The common wolfish - Hoplias malabaricus (Bloch, 1794), cururu toad - Rhinella schneideri (Werner, 1894), cayman - Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1801), red-footed tortoise - Chelonoidis carbonaria (Spix, 1824), black vulture - Coragyps atratus (Bechstein, 1793), white-naped Jay - Cyanocorax cyanopogon (Wied-Neuwied, 1820), greater rhea - Rhea americana (Linnaeus, 1758), spotted-paca - Agouti paca (Linnaeus, 1766), ‘pré’ - Cavia aperea Exleben, 1777, rock cavy - Kerodon rupestris (Wied-Neuwied, 1820), gray brocket - Mazama gouazoubira (G. Fischer, 1814), nine-banded armadillo - Dasypus novemcinctus (Linnaeus, 1758), small spotted cat - Leopardus tigrinus (Schreber, 1775), jaguarundi - Puma yagouaroundi (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1803), striped hog-nosed skunk - Conepatus semistriatus (Boddart, 1785), Crab-eating raccoon - Procyon cancrivorus (G. [Baron] Cuvier, 1798). Additionally, the yellow scorpion-of-the-sertão - Rhopalurus rochai (Borelli, 1910), black scorpion - Bothriurus asper Pocock, 1893, Cupira bee - Partamona seridoensis, and the crested Cariama - Cariama cristata (Linnaeus, 1766) had not been previously reported in either Brazilian traditional human or veterinary ethnomedicine. Examples of medicinal animals are shown in Figure 3.

Six of the animals mentioned (black alligator, caiman, electric eel, sea turtle, spotted-paca, and whale) do not belong to the local fauna of the semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil, and the products derived from them are therefore allochthonous zootherapeutics [66,67]. Two possible explanations for the use of exotic medicinal species are: (a) the existence of established trade routes for medicinal animals throughout the northern and northeastern Brazil [6,12,24,62,68,69] or (b) falsifications of traditional remedies (e.g., commercializing the fat of one species as being another) [70]. In relation to this, the use of whale fat is quite possibly an example of falsification, since Brazil has banned the hunting of marine mammals and, according to Brazilian law 7.643/
87 and 9.605/98, hunting whales in Brazilian jurisdictional waters, as well as any kind of deliberate molestation such the pursuit of animals with vessels is prohibited [71].

Some of the medicinal animals used by the local populations in the present study are mentioned in medicinal literature from early colonial times, including: 

Caiman latirostris, Crotalus durissus, Gallus gallus

**Figure 3** Examples of animals used as medicine in ethnoveterinary practices of the ‘Cariri Paraibano’. (A) Euphractus sexcinctus, (B) Nothura maculosa cearensis, (C) Phrynops geoffroanus, (D) Iguana iguana, (E) Carama cristata, (F) Cercocyon thous, (G) Coragyps atratus, (H) Ovis aries. (A-G, photos by Wedson Souto; H, photo: Rayner Barboza).
domesticus, Iguana iguana, Coragyps atratus, and Bos taurus [8]. This observation corroborates with what Almeida [72] described as the ‘high capability of reproduction of zootherapeutic practices in Brazil’. The persistent use of animal-based medicines suggests that they may contain substances of therapeutic value not yet known to formal science [14].

It is widely accepted that folk or traditional medicinal uses of biological resources (ethnomedical information) may indicate the presence of a biologically active constituent(s) in a species [73]. The prolonged and continuing use of a particular species in indigenous culture to treat certain ailments provides a presumed demonstration of efficacy [73]. In other words, folk or traditional medicinal uses represent ‘leads’ that could shortcut the discovery of modern medicines [5]. Notwithstanding, little attention has been given to the cultural, medical, economic, or ecological significance of zootherapeutic practices, however, even though Brazil’s National Pharmaceutical Policy (Politica Nacional de Medicamentos, Portaria no. 3916/98) specifies that ‘the support of research designed to examine the therapeutic potential of the national flora and fauna, with emphasis on the certification of their medical properties, should be continued and expanded’ [7].

Unfortunately, many aspects of traditional medical practices have not been described and there are tantalizing gaps in our knowledge of this subject. In many cases, traditional native medical practices have been either discontinued or greatly modified, and some traditional knowledge has been lost forever [74]. Modern health care in rural areas of Paraiba State is characterized by deficiencies in infrastructure, qualified personnel, medicines, and veterinary medicines [27,75,76], and the use of medicinal animals and plants (which are accessible and relatively inexpensive) is currently an important component of local health care for both humans and animals. Nevertheless, the gradual expansion of western allopathic medicine in recent years has discouraged zootherapeutic practices in traditional veterinary medicine in the semi-arid region of Paraiba State. This situation is confirmed by the fact that 95.5% (n = 64) of the interviewees indicated that their descendants (and local youths) were no longer interested in learning ethnoveterinary practices.

It is important to note that in addition to the perceived efficacy of animal-based remedies their popularity is influenced by cultural factors, and the relationships between humans and biodiversity (in the form of zootherapeutic species) are conditioned by the social and economic relations between humans themselves [6]. Although there are indications that local ethnoveterinary knowledge is being gradually being lost, some interviewees (n = 28) reported that the difficulties in finding commercial veterinary products and the high prices of these medications were the principal reasons that animal-derived medicines were still included in local veterinary practices. The financial limitations of many farmers make ethnoveterinary medicine a viable option for treating many infirmities afflicting their animals. Remedies that livestock keepers can prepare themselves from natural materials will cost less than buying therapeutic preparations ready-to-use, but the latter may be much cheaper than equivalent allopathic alternatives [77]. In terms of medicinal plants, several authors have found worldwide use-preferences influenced by the low prices of traditional medicines in local veterinary systems [34,78-80]. In the High Andes of Peru, for example, gathering plants and preparing homemade treatments for an average-sized family flock required only four hours of labor [81]. In contrast, the equivalent commercial product would cost a family about US$9 - in an area where daily wages are well under US$1 [81]. A locally processed herbal wound-powder in Sri Lanka was found to be as effective as a commercial product, but cost 80-90% less [82]. Our data is also consistent with Alves and Rosa [5,59] who found preferences for the use of animal-based medicines in low-income families in NE Brazil.

Given the importance of recording ethnoveterinary practices both for active-principal validation and for analysis of risks and impacts, we examined here how and why zootherapeutics species were used in EVM in the study areas and how they were obtained.

Ways of preparing and administering of animal-based remedies and illnesses treated

Similarly to the results obtained by Alves and Rosa [5,6,59], Ashwell and Walston [83], Begossi and Braga [56], Branch and Silva [84], Costa Neto and Oliveira [25], El-Kamali [54] in their studies of animal-based medicines in human ethnomedicine, the interviewees in the present study cited a wide range of materials derived from animal bodies (or their metabolic products) that are used as remedies in local EVM: bone, fat/suet, feathers, heads, homemade butter, honey, horns, leather, meat, milk, rattles (from rattlesnakes), ‘saburá’, skulls, stingers, tails, urine, and viscera. Nasutitermes corniger and Cyanocorax cyanopogon are utilized whole.

Fats (and/or suet) is the most frequently used natural remedies, being extracted from 27 different medicinal animals. Other studies have likewise highlighted fat as one of the principal components of zootherapy in Brazil as well as in various parts of the world [5,6,21,55,59,66,68,85,86]. In Bolivia, for instance, fat is obtained from 9 of the 14 animals (including A. pacu) used for medicinal purposes by the Tsimané Amerindians [55]. In India, fat is used in medicinal preparations for treating several ailments (e.g., burns, rheumatic and other pains) [86]. Vázquez et al. [18] identified 74 animal species used in local zootherapy in Alto dos Chiapas, Mexico, with the fatty tissue of animals being the principal resource exploited. Fat (or suet) was
obtained from 12 of the 15 zootherapeutics animals reported by Barboza et al. [27] in EVM of Cubati, Brazil. Alves et al. [62] suggested that the intensive use of fat and suet as a zootherapeutic may be related to the fact that the animals used for medicinal purpose are generally vertebrates with significant amounts of fatty tissue that is easy to obtain, store, and transport.

While different methods of preparing and administering animal remedies were reported by the interviewees, patterns of applications could be perceived. Hard items like horns, leather, feathers and dermal plates were generally sun-dried, toasted, and crushed to powder, and then applied topically or administered orally. Seixas and Begossi [87] observed that toasting is a form of sterilization that helps prevent decomposition. Fat, suet, milk and honey are usually used directly as ointments or ingested, as was observed by Alves and Rosa [6], Alves et al. [14] and Silva [88]. Only one association with a medicinal plant was observed: cow’s milk is mixed with ‘mastruz’ (Chenopodium ambrosioides L.) and given to the animal to drink to treat intestinal worms. Mixtures of milk and ‘mastruz’ are also used as ointments for treating fractures in animals.

Animals were used for treating 30 diseases or conditions in livestock and other domestic animals: burns, ‘Caruara de bezero’ (ophthalmarteritis), “chickens’ gogo” (infectious coryza, a type of cold), colds, cracks in hooves of cattle, dermal inflammations, dermal nodules, ear problems, ‘esponja de cavalo’ (dermal wounds brought about by infestation of larvae of Habronema muscae), ‘estrepes’ (splinters in the skin), eye problems (especially blindness and inflammations), fever, furunculosis, inflammations in general, intestinal disorders, lesions, mastitis, “mother’s body” (uterine prolapse), ‘oca’ (bovine gangrenous coryza), respiratory problems, retained placenta, rheumatism, snake bites, spine problems, swellings, throat problems, weakness, tick fever, wounds, and to calm angry animals.

Most zootherapeutic species are typically used to treat simple diseases, reflecting the main application forms reported previously (topical and oral). The most widely treated conditions were ‘estrepes’ (n = 145 citations for treatment), wounds (n = 62), eye problems (n = 45), snake bites (n = 31), rheumatism (n = 27), and lesions (n = 26), and corroborate previous ethnoveterinary studies which indicated categories or types of similar or related diseases as the most important conditions treated by local residents [27,89-93]. Lesions, wounds, and ‘estrepes’ in livestock and pets are the most frequently treated conditions among livestock owners in the semi-arid region of Paraiba State for two probable reasons: (1) the symptoms are easy to diagnose and (2) these are common ailments considering that many plants in the Caatinga biome have thorns and most of the livestock are free-ranging animals susceptible to these types of injuries. Such cases have been confirmed by informants who traditionally raise animals.

Some of the procedures employed by local experts do require considerable skill. For example, fat from the crabeating fox (Cerdocyon thous), fat from Geoffroy’s side-necked turtle (Phrynops geoffroanus), ‘saburá’ (fermented pollen) from Cupira bees (Partamona seridoensis), and butter made from cow’s milk (B. taurus) are used in treating uterine prolapse in livestock, especially in mares and cows. In this procedure, the exteriorized uterus is washed with the zootherapeutic and quickly replaced. A similar procedure (although just involving washing the uterus with water) was reported by Antoine-Moussiaux et al. [94] among the Tuaregs in Niger for treating uterine prolapse in camels.

Local respondents have a clear understanding about the etiology of certain diseases or conditions in relation to seasonal changes. Colds (including infectious coryza of chickens), throat problems, and rheumatism (especially in cattle) occur (or are more noticeable), according to those interviewed, during the colder periods and, thus, they classify the disease as ‘colds’. In fact, these diseases mentioned present in higher number or your symptoms are more noticeable in colder periods [95,96]. Perceptions of the occurrence of diseases or illnesses due to climatic seasonality are one of the characteristics of traditional cultures focused on animal husbandry. Livestock breeders believe that cattle, like people and other animals, need to maintain a balance between hot and cold in their bodies [97-99]. Large mammals are considered more resistant than people and less susceptible to environment forces of hot/cold, although animals like cattle can still suffer from health problems caused by these imbalances [100]. The essentially naturalistic hot/cold equilibrium theories are used to explain the etiology of diseases by local people in Latin America in general [101].

Regarding the number of zootherapeutics available per disease, only a few interviewees indicated that animal-based cures were prescribed to treat just a single disease (n = 14; 31.8%). For example, Electrophorus electricus and Chelonoidis carbonaria were recommended for treating wounds and ‘estrepes’, respectively. The species recommended for just a single veterinary purpose usually had low use-values (never above 0.09), with the exception of the Spotted Nothura with a moderate UV (0.33). However, there were many versatile species [102], a situation where different parts of a species provide material for
preparing medicines used to treat several diseases. The most versatile species were: *Tupinambis merianae* (14 different veterinary uses), *Crotalus durissus* (11), *Ovis aries* (11), *Partamona seridoensis* (11), *Gallus gallus domesticus* (10), *Bos Taurus* (8), *Cerdocyon thous* (8), *Phrynos geofoamans* (8), *Iguana iguana* (4), *Rhea americana* (4), *Rhinella schneideri* (4), *Apis mellifera* (3), *Leopardus tigrinus* (3), *Meleagris gallopavo* (3), *Melipona subnitida* (3), *Puma yagouaroundi* (3) and *Sus scrofa domesticus* (3).

Different species were found that were used to treat the same diseases or ailments. The honey from the Italian bee (*A. mellifera*), as well as honey from the ‘jandaíra’ bee (*M. subnitida*), the Cupira bee (*P. seridoensis*) and the ‘canudo’ bee (*Scaptotrigona sp.*) were all prescribed to treat eye problems, especially blindness and inflammations. The treatment of ‘junta dura’ (rheumatism) in cattle and equines, involves the use of fat from the South American rattlesnake, or from domestic chickens, the Gray Brocket (*Mazama gouazoupira*), suet from castrated rams or the bones of the Striped hog-nosed skunk (*Conopatus semistriatus*). The possibility of using various remedies to treat the same ailment is very popular [103], as it allows treatments to be adapted to the availability/accessibility of any of these animals in any given season [104].

**Parallels between local ethnoveterinary medicine and human ethnomedicine**

One important strategy for overcoming the eventual scarcity of some traditional veterinary medicines in the semi-arid region of Paraíba State is based on the use of species that treat similar or identical ailments in humans. This link between traditional medicine and EVM exists in part because many people in NE Brazil have the habit of storing zootherapeutics medicines in their homes that are used for treating human diseases (see Alves [13], Costa-Neto [24], Ferreira et al. [28]) that can also be readily used in EVM.

We identified 19 animal species (n = 43%) that were used to treat similar ailments in both humans and animals (Table 1). For example, the suet of castrated rams (*O. aries*) was often reported to be useful in treating ‘estreps’; and according to the interviewees, this use is based on the fact that this remedy is efficient in humans. Italian bee honey was cited as a very effective remedy for curing eye problems in both humans and animals, especially inflammation and blindness. Practically all of the citations concerning the use of feathers from the Spotted Nothura to treat snake bites in livestock and pets were linked to the fact that this same remedy is useful for the same problem in humans.

Animal and human medicine have been closely linked throughout history, with each contributing to the other, and ultimately to the concept of ‘one medicine’ [105]. Even today, ethnoveterinary medicine and ethnomedicine overlap in many cultures, as many healers will treat humans as well as animals [106,107]. The ethnoveterinary data from the RUBIA project indicated that nearly half of the veterinary plant remedies used for mammals had similar uses in local human folk medicine [108]. About of 80% of the plants used in traditional veterinary medicine in Mediterranean Greece are used to treat similar conditions in humans [108]. Scarpa [109] reported a strong correspondence between the plants used in traditional veterinary and human medicine in the Chaco in northwestern Argentina, with 60% of the ethnoveterinary therapeutic plants having identical uses in human medical therapy.

The use of folk remedies to treat diseases or ailments in animals based on similar or identical illness that attack humans was denominated ‘human models for animal diseases’ by Barboza et al. [27]. The relationships between ethnoveterinary and human ethnomedicine can be easily explained in this perspective, as the main stock animals (e.g. cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, among others) are mammals [28], which often have health problems that are similar to humans with identical symptoms; these similarities have been noted by many different communities [110]. Huffman [111,112] observed that these similarities in the use of natural medicines are evidence that ethnoveterinary practices and human ethnomedicine have followed two main evolutionary pathways: one based on observations of self-medication in animals (zoopharmacognosy), and the other related to human folk medicine. The relationship between human and veterinary practices has been complex and mutual [108], and our results support other studies showing that there is no clear division between veterinary and human medicine in most traditional societies [27,28,113-116].

A confrontation of veterinary and human medical uses of resources presents an opportunity to analyze the ways in which both practices are interrelated in the health systems of pastoral communities [117]. Our data demonstrates that a significant part of traditional knowledge about the use of medicinal animals in Brazilian EVM is linked to traditional human medicines, and contributes to considering these practices as ‘one ethnomedicine’.

**Magic-religious uses**

In addition to furnishing raw materials for treating diseases, animal products are also used in the form of amulets and ‘simpatias’ (popular beliefs) to prevent problems associated with natural or unnatural causes. Popular beliefs often have implications for the way species are used (either alive or dead), depending on the beliefs of a given community [7].
Ten species (seven of them wild) were linked to local beliefs in the two study sites: the domestic goat (*Capra hircus*), the crab-eating fox (*C. thous*), domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*), the White-naped Jay (*Cyanocorax cyanopogon*), the Little Tiger Cat (*Leopardus tigrinus*), the Gray brocket (*Mazama gouazoupia*), the domestic ram (*Ovis aries*), the Crab-eating raccoon (*Procyon cancrivorus*), the ‘jaguarundi’ (*Puma yagouaroundi*), and the Collared Anteater (*Tamandua tetradactyla*). Beliefs in local EVM can be grouped into two categories: (1) those that protect against attacks from other animals and (2) those that protect pets or livestock against the ‘evil eye’.

The first category includes the use of leather of domestic goat, domestic ram and cattle, which are used to protect livestock against snake bites. In these cases, a piece of leather is ‘blessed’ by some local healer and then hung around the animal’s neck. Also in this first category are the tail and leather of the crab-eating fox, the little tiger cat and the jaguarundi, leather from the collared anteater and the tail of the crab-eating raccoon, which are all considered amulets that can protect livestock from attacks by bats.

Zootherapeutics derived from the white-naped jay, the horns and skulls of cattle or the domestic ram, and the horns of the gray brocket compose examples of the second category of beliefs, and they are often left as amulets in places where animals are kept (corrals for livestock, sheds where pets sleep, etc.) in order to protect them from the ‘evil eye’. Belief systems determine the relationships between humans and the surrounding biodiversity, and social, economic and cultural factors also play a large role in determining how individuals and communities use natural resources [118]. The interrelationships between popular beliefs and zootherapy have been studied in many different areas in Brazil [56,84,119] and have indicated that these connections must be considered in scientific studies, and when designing public health programs for communities that have traditional medical practices for both humans and animals.

Religious beliefs are important components of any culture [120] and every culture establishes positive or negative interactive connections with its environment. In addition to common uses usually deemed more important or more prominent, such as food, craft and medicinal uses, magical-religious uses of wild species of animals must be considered when developing strategies for wildlife management and conservation.

**Storage, sanitary conditions and methods of obtaining zootherapeutics species**

The storage of zootherapeutic products was related to the type of resource and its consistency and durability. Medicinal products are usually stored in plastic or glass jars, while perishable products (e.g., bile, eggs, milk, meat, and viscera) were only obtained when needed. The interviewees mentioned that non-perishable ingredients, particularly hard items (e.g., bones, feathers, horns, leathers, rattles, skulls) could be stored for over a year; this same attitude was taken in regards to fat and suet. This agrees with Seixas and Begossi [87] who suggested that the use of animals as medicines might be related to the durability of the products extracted (animal fat, for example, is easily extracted and stored at room temperature and also widely used); other products that are dehydrated, toasted and ground are also easily preserved.

An important aspect noted, similar to Alves et al. [7] and Alves and Rosa [6], was that the sanitary conditions of zootherapeutic product storage were generally poor, with obvious contamination risks to these products. Practically none of the interviewees demonstrated any concern about the storage conditions (light, temperature, humidity) of animal-based medicines, and on most occasions we found little or no attention given to the way zootherapeutics were handled. For example, several livestock keepers were observed applying fat or suet over wounds without using gloves. These observations point to the need for greater sanitary precautions with medicinal animal products, and to the importance of including considerations of zootherapy into public health programs.

Organs and various tissues (including bones and bile) can be a source of *Salmonella* infections and can cause chronic diarrhea and endotoxic shock [121]. Schnurrenberger and Hubbert [122] drew attention to the possibility of transmitting serious and widespread zoonoses such as tuberculosis or rabies, and these risks should be considered whenever animal tissues from unknown sources are handled and used as remedies. *Campylobacter* and *Streptobacillus* infections or meningitis caused by bacteria of the genus *Streptococcus* can also be transmitted from animals to humans or other animals [123].

Although the need for implementing sanitary controls on the use and storage of animals and their parts for veterinary medicine purposes is clear, any proposed regulatory measures will face significant challenges - including ensuring the appropriate involvement of all users of the resources involved, active monitoring, and combating illegal, unregistered and unregulated trade or local uses. Based on Alves and Rosa [15], we suggest here some categories of regulatory measures for zootherapeutics remedies in traditional veterinary medicine: (1) More information about the risks and advantages of using medicinal animals; (2) implementation of local health programs to inform the public about the risks of zoonoses due to the inappropriate use of zootherapeutics, and (3) raise the awareness of local residents about impacts arising from the use of animals for medicinal purposes on
local biodiversity. This information could be passed by public agencies (EMATER, in the case of the Paraiba State) in partnership with local farmers’ associations.

The origins of the zootherapeutic products must also be inserted in the scope of Brazilian regulatory measures. Most interviewees (n = 42; 62.7%) indicated that they personally obtained the medicinal animals used in EVM in the study area. These animals were obtained in two basic manners: (1) hunting or gathering (98%) and (2) occasional trade. According to the interviewees, the animal-based remedies obtained through trade were from species not native to the Caatinga biome (allochthonous zootherapeutics); but these animals or parts were not preferred for use because of the difficulties involved in obtaining them. The prices of these purchased remedies ranged from US$0.50 (about 12 g of fat from the electric eel) to US$16.5 (for an approximately 22 cm slab of black alligator). Interviewees who bought some of their zootherapeutic medicines did not demonstrate significant concern about the veracity or origin of those products.

The hunting and gathering of wildlife species used in traditional veterinary medicine likewise highlights the need for integrating zootherapeutic practices into local animal management and conservation plans. Hunting with a shotgun is the most commonly used strategy for catching Cariana cristata, Cavia aperea, Cercocyon thous, Conepatus semistriatus, Coragyps atratus, Crotalus durissus, Dasyus novemcinctus, Euphractus sexcinctus, Iguana iguana, Kerodon rupestris, Leopards tigrinus, Nothura maculosa cearensis, Puma yagouaroundi, Procyon cancrivorus and Tamandua tetradactyla. Traps are also used to capture some of these species (e.g., armadillo cages and ‘fôfô’ [104]), but not commonly. Shotguns have greatly facilitated the taking of specimens of the local fauna, and based on hunters stories, this is one of the most aggressive and efficient forms of harvesting. It will soon become necessary to develop sustainable strategies for using wild animals for medicinal purposes in the study area or risk the extinction of many species, as 17 of these animals are currently on the IUCN Red List (Table 1), with one near threatened and two vulnerable. Although in the studied area traditional medicine does not constitute the main form of exploitation on the local fauna, it can contribute synergistically to decrease the populations of wild species used as sources of remedies. It is often difficult to establish whether there is a threshold limit which over-exploitation of wildlife, particularly when the wildlife undergoes several types of concomitant negative impacts (e.g., habitat loss, hunting, trade/local market). Sustainability can be attained only if the exploitation of wildlife for economic, health, social and cultural purposes does not significantly affect the animal population, their habitat and the ecological function they perform [124].

Conclusions
Our surveys in Cariri micro-region, Paraiba State, NE Brazil, revealed a rich knowledge about the uses of animals in traditional veterinary medicine. Although this knowledge is gradually being eroded, the perceived efficacy of these remedies and their economic and geographic accessibility are responsible for the continued popularity of zootherapy in the study areas.

We were able to verify that many species have wide ranges of utility, but the super-valorization and super-utilization of these animals, when associated with other practices such as ethnomedicine for humans and subsistence hunting, may raise the harvesting of these species to unsustainable levels. The most threatened medicinal species must receive special and urgent attention, and other factors such as the loss or alteration of habitats must be discussed.

Many parts of the Brazilian semi-arid region are rapidly being altered, both ecologically and culturally, and further ethnopharmacological studies will be necessary to increase our understanding of the links between traditional uses of faunal resources and conservation biology, public health policies, sustainable management of natural resources, and bio-prospecting.
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