Molecular Coupling of S4 to a K⁺ Channel’s Slow Inactivation Gate

Eli Loots and Ehud Y. Isacoff

From the Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Physical Biosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract The mechanism by which physiological signals regulate the conformation of molecular gates that open and close ion channels is poorly understood. Voltage clamp fluorometry was used to ask how the voltage-sensing S4 transmembrane domain is coupled to the slow inactivation gate in the pore domain of the Shaker K⁺ channel. Fluorophores attached at several sites in S4 indicate that the voltage-sensing rearrangements are followed by an additional inactivation motion. Fluorophores attached at the perimeter of the pore domain indicate that the inactivation rearrangement projects from the selectivity filter out to the interface with the voltage-sensing domain. Some of the pore domain sites also sense activation, and this appears to be due to a direct interaction with S4 based on the finding that S4 comes into close enough proximity to the pore domain for a pore mutation to alter the nanoenvironment of an S4-attached fluorophore. We propose that activation produces an S4–pore domain interaction that disrupts a bond between the S4 contact site on the pore domain and the outer end of S6. Our results indicate that this bond holds the slow inactivation gate open and, therefore, we propose that this S4-induced bond disruption triggers inactivation.
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Introduction After depolarization of the membrane, voltage-dependent ion channels undergo a series of conformational changes that open and close several distinct molecular gates that regulate transmembrane ion flux. All of these gates must be open for ions to flow through the pore and cross the membrane. Depolarization first activates channels by displacing the positively charged S4, the fourth transmembrane segment, of each of the channels' four subunits. This displacement generates the gating current by carrying basic residues outward across the membrane electric field (Yang and Horn, 1995; Aggarwal and MacKinnon, 1996; Larsson et al., 1996; Seoh et al., 1996; Yusaf et al., 1996; Starace et al., 1997; Baker et al., 1998), while undergoing what appears to be a 180° helical twist (Cha et al., 1999; Glauner et al., 1999). Activation is followed by the opening of the activation gate and the closure of one or more inactivation gates (for review see Yellen, 1998). In most channels, the activation gate opens more quickly than the inactivation gates close, leading to a transient current, or, if the inactivation gates do not close, to a current that is sustained for the duration of the depolarization. For the gates to return to their resting states (closed activation gate and open inactivation gates) the membrane must be repolarized.

Inactivation occurs via two distinct mechanisms. Fast (N-type) inactivation occurs in milliseconds after the opening of the activation gate because of a block of the internal mouth of the pore by the NH₂-terminal domain of the protein (Hoshi et al., 1990; Zagotta et al., 1990; Isacoff et al., 1991; Timpe et al., 1988). Slow inactivation usually occurs over a much longer time scale than N-type inactivation. It takes place in at least two steps, one which closes the gate (P-type inactivation), and a subsequent step which stabilizes the closed conformation (C-type inactivation) by shifting the voltage dependence of recovery from inactivation and the return of S4 to its resting conformation (DeBiasi et al., 1993; Olcese et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997; Loots and Isacoff, 1998).

Slow inactivation is sensitive to mutation of the pore region (P) and S6, as well as S4 (Timpe et al., 1988; Iverson and Rudy, 1990; Hoshi et al., 1991; Lopez-Barneo et al., 1993; Boland et al., 1994; Kupper et al., 1995; Olcese et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997; Molina et al., 1998; Ogieska and Aldrich, 1998; Perez-Correa, 1999; Mirovic et al., 2000), and appears to involve protein motions in and around those domains (Boland et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996; Cha and Bezanilla, 1997; Basso et al., 1998; Loots and Isacoff, 1998). The gate appears to close by pinching shut the outer mouth of the pore at the end of the selectivity region of P (Boland et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996; Doyle et al., 1998; Harris et al., 1998). For the slow inactivation gate to close, a K⁺ binding site near the outer end of the selectivity filter must first be evacuated (Lopez-Barneo et al., 1993; Baudrowitz and Yellen, 1996). The subsequent closure...
does not prevent conductance entirely, but severely attenuates it and alters its selectivity, which is consistent with a rearrangement at one or more \( K^+ \) binding sites (Starkus et al., 1997, 1998; Harris et al., 1998; Kiss et al., 1999; Ogielska and Aldrich, 1999).

Although the mechanism of slow inactivation is inherently voltage-independent, it follows voltage because of its dependence on activation (Olcese et al., 1997; Loots and Isacoff, 1998). The mechanism of coupling of voltage sensing to the conformation of the gate is not understood. Does S4 interact directly with the pore domain (S5, P-region, and S6)? Does S4 participate in inactivation? How can S4 motion, presumably occurring far from the central axis of the pore, permit closure of the selectivity filter?

Recent work has used scanning mutagenesis to identify protein–protein interaction surfaces in voltage-gated \( K^+ \) channels via perturbation of the voltage dependence of activation (Li-Smerin et al., 2000a,b; Monk and Miller, 1999). One of the studies (Li-Smerin et al., 2000b) identified a surface on the outer end of the pore domain that appears to interact with the voltage-sensing domain without, however, determining whether the interaction is with S4 or with S1, S2, or S3, which is the other membrane segments that form the voltage-sensing domain along with S4. Other work has shown that S4 is located close enough to the pore to be in direct contact with the outer edge of the pore domain (Blaustein et al., 2000), indicating that part of the interaction surface identified by Li-Smerin et al. (2000b) likely does contact S4.

Our present results provide two new classes of evidence that S4 and the pore domain interact directly and show that this is a dynamic interaction that changes with gating. The results demonstrate that fluorophores attached to both S4 and the pore domain change fluorescence in parallel with both activation and slow inactivation. To determine whether S4 and the pore domain interact directly, and where, we mutated residues in one domain to see if this influenced the environment of a fluorophore attached to the other domain, a method we call environment scanning. This technique is similar to that described by Sorensen et al. (2000), in which they characterize two quenching groups in the S3–S4 linker of a fluorophore attached to S4. However, in our study, instead of using protein deletions, we used size-conserving neutralizations of single residues and, thus, reduced the likelihood of large-scale perturbations of channel structure that would confound the interpretation. Our results are consistent with a direct S4–pore domain interaction. What is the functional consequence of S4–pore domain interaction? We examined as a possible target of S4 interaction candidate bonds based on the KcsA crystal structure, which involve one residue located near the apparent site on the pore domain of S4 contact in S5 and partner residues in S6. We found that closure of the inactivation gate occurs under two different conditions: (a) with the specific mutation of either the S5 or S6 residue; or (b) by the addition of a side chain adduct that binds specifically to one of the interaction partners, inhibiting formation of the bond. This suggests that S4 motion directly triggers inactivation by destabilizing specific S5-S6 bonds, leading to the closure of the outer mouth of the pore.

**Materials and Methods**

**Molecular Biology**

Site-directed mutagenesis was done by the use of Quick Change mutagenesis kits from Stratagene and examined either by \( ^{35}S \) or fluorescence sequencing. Unless otherwise denoted, the standard composition of the channel was Shaker H4 (\( \Delta 6-46/W434/C245V/C462A \)) (Kamb et al., 1987; Hoshi et al., 1990; Mannuzzu et al., 1996).

cRNA was transcribed using T7 Ambion mMessage mMachine. Injection of the oocytes (50 nl mRNA at 1 ng/nl), native cysteine blocking with tetraglycine maleimide, and the attachment of the fluorescent fluorophore 6'-tetrarmethylrhodamine maleimide (TMRM)\(^1\) were performed as previously described (Mannuzzu et al., 1996). In brief, 3–4 d after injection and incubation at 12°C, oocytes were incubated for 1 h at 22°C in tetraglycine maleimide to block native cysteines at room temperature. After 14 h of incubation at room temperature, oocytes were washed and labeled with 50 \( \mu \)M TMRM in a high potassium solution (in mM: 92 KCl, 0.75 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), and 10 HEPES, pH 7.5; 30 min on ice) and kept in this solution (in the dark at 12°C) until being voltage-clamped at room temperature.

**Voltage Clamp Fluorometry and Analysis**

Two-electrode voltage clamp fluorometry was performed as described previously (Mannuzzu et al., 1996) using a Dagan CA-1 amplifier (Dagan Corporation), which was illuminated with a 100-W mercury arc lamp, on a Zeiss IM35 microscope, using a 20× 0.75 NA fluorescence objective (Nikon). Photometry was performed with a Hamamatsu HC120-05 photomultiplier tube, The voltage clamp, photomultiplier, and Uniblitz shutter (Vincent Associates) were digitized and controlled by a Digidata-2000 board and PCclamp7 or a Beta version of PCclamp8 software, respectively (Axon Instruments).

The bath solutions consisted of the following (in mM): 110 NaMes, 2 KMes, 2 CaMES\(_2\), 10 HEPES, pH 7.5; or 110 KMes, 2 CaMES\(_2\), and 10 HEPES, pH 7.5. Oocytes were washed before being placed in the bath, and the bath solution was constantly perfused through the chamber during recording if kinetics were required. The excitation light was reduced by neutral density filters (Carl Zeiss) with either a 5% or fluorescence sequencing. Unless otherwise denoted, the standard composition of the channel was Shaker H4 (\( \Delta 6-46/W434/C245V/C462A \)) (Kamb et al., 1987; Hoshi et al., 1990; Mannuzzu et al., 1996).

cRNA was transcribed using T7 Ambion mMessage mMachine. Injection of the oocytes (50 nl mRNA at 1 ng/nl), native cysteine blocking with tetraglycine maleimide, and the attachment of the fluorescent fluorophore 6'-tetrarmethylrhodamine maleimide (TMRM)\(^1\) were performed as previously described (Mannuzzu et al., 1996). In brief, 3–4 d after injection and incubation at 12°C, oocytes were incubated for 1 h at 22°C in tetraglycine maleimide to block native cysteines at room temperature. After 14 h of incubation at room temperature, oocytes were washed and labeled with 50 \( \mu \)M TMRM in a high potassium solution (in mM: 92 KCl, 0.75 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), and 10 HEPES, pH 7.5; 30 min on ice) and kept in this solution (in the dark at 12°C) until being voltage-clamped at room temperature.

\(^1\)Abbreviation used in this paper: TMRM, 6'-tetrarmethylrhodamine maleimide.
change in reversal potential (usually 10 min). All measurements on conducting (424C-TMRM/W434) channels were made under continuous perfusion. Data analysis was done with the Clampfit programs of PClamp6 and the Beta version of PClamp8 (Axon Instruments) and, in some cases, analyzed further and plotted with Origin (Microcal). Fits to the ionic current were made starting after the capacitive transient (~1.5 ms after the start of the step) for current activation and partway into inactivation (~50-100 ms after the start of the step). Fluorescence and current traces were normalized and were not averaged unless so noted. All values are mean ± SEM.

**Normalization of Fluorescence Change**

Normalizing to account for differing levels of channel expression is a potentially difficult process. To take into account differences in the level of expression of various mutants, changes in the ΔF (ΔΔFs) because of mutations in the protein environment of the fluorophore were normalized by two different methods. The methods gave similar and consistent ΔΔFs. All channel constructs were examined in the W434F background (Perozo et al., 1993) and ΔFs were normalized by their gating charge (integrated Ig_{	ext{gat}}). Normalization by the conductance of low numbers of W434 conducting channels yielded similar numbers (data not shown). ΔFs were also normalized by comparing the baseline fluorescence at ~80 mV after subtracting the background fluorescence of an oozyte that had been injected with conducting wild-type Shaker channels without any introduced cysteines. Normalizing by gating charge (or low level of conducting channels) for a fluorophore at position 359C (359C-TMRM) yielded a change of fluorescence of 28 ± 8.2%, 22 ± 2.2%, 6.98 ± 3.2%, and 16.9 ± 2.3% for E418Q, E422Q, D431N, and D447N, respectively; normalizing by baseline fluorescence at a series of sites and, for the first time, we measured protein motions with fluorophores attached at the perimeter of the pore domain, where S4 has been suggested to contact the pore domain (Blaustein et al., 2000; Li-Smerin et al., 2000b). We used the fluorophore TMRM, which we attached site-specifically to cysteines introduced singly into either S4 or the pore domain. TMRM senses the chemical nature of its environment and rapidly responds to changes in local structure by changing its fluorescence (Mannuzzu et al., 1996).

All of the experiments were carried out in the NH2-terminal ball-deleted and external cysteine-removed channel, so that the only form of inactivation was slow inactivation, and where the only TMRM attachment site was the introduced cysteine (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The depolarizing steps were long enough to close the inactivation gate (P-type inactivation), but not long enough to stabilize the closed state (C-type inactivation; see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

**Fluorescence Analysis of the Outer End of S4**

We began with an examination of a series of positions (359–362) at the outer end of S4. The most NH2-terminal (and external) of these sites (359) is buried in the gating canal in the resting state when S4 is retracted into the cell (Larsson et al., 1996; Yusaf et al., 1996; Baker et al., 1998). This entire NH2-terminal end of S4 appears to be exposed to the extracellular solution in the activated state, and probably is already exposed by the first outward step of S4 (Baker et al., 1998).

A previous characterization of the fluorescence of TMRM attached to one position (359C) demonstrated that the fluorophore’s environment undergoes two very different phases of change after membrane depolarization: one that correlates with fast gating charge movement and a second that correlates with the slow closure of the external inactivation gate (Loots and Isacoff, 1998). From the observation at a single site, the earlier study could not distinguish between a possible inactivation motion of S4 itself or motion of the domains surrounding S4 during inactivation. We now reexamined the fluorescence of TMRM attached to 359 and also tested each of the next three positions (360, 361, and 362). The idea was that whatever the secondary structure of S4 (for evidence of a helical structure see Peled-Zehavi et al., 1996; Li-Smerin, et al., 2000a) TMRM, attached to a series of consecutive positions, should sample the environment all around S4. If S4 undergoes an inactivation motion then positions projecting in every direction from S4 would be expected to sense an inactivation environment change; however, if the readout of the fluorophore at 359C is due to a motion of a neighboring protein segment then only fluorophores attached to S4 sites that point at that neighboring segment should display a slow inactivation component.
Fluorescence traces for the four consecutive TMRM attachment sites are shown in Fig. 1. At each of the sites, a depolarizing step evoked a large fluorescence change (ΔF) with an onset (ΔF_{on}) that paralleled the onset of inactivation of the ionic current (Fig. 1; also see legend). At 359 and 362, the inactivation component of the ΔF_{on} was preceded by a prominent fast component that paralleled the opening of the activation gate (Fig. 1 B). In nonconducting channels, the fast ΔF_{on} for these sites has been shown to follow the gating current (Cha and Bezanilla, 1997). This is consistent with S4 extrusion being rate-limiting for channel opening at moderate depolarizations, like the voltage tested here.

Surprisingly, at the intervening sites (360 and 361), ΔF_{on} was dominated by an inactivation-coupled component. The activation component was less than signal noise in a single sweep and was difficult to detect without averaging. In addition, at 361, the foot of the ΔF_{on} was distorted by a component with intermediate kinetics, revealing an intermediary conformational step between activation and closure of the gate.

The common feature of all of the sites is that TMRM experiences a change in environment with closure of the inactivation gate. The detection by the fluorophore of the inactivation motion in all directions projecting from S4 is consistent with either a rigid body motion of S4 occurring during inactivation or a cooperative inactivation rearrangement of the entire protein surrounding S4.

The difference between the ΔFs for these four neighboring sites indicates that TMRM occupies a distinct molecular environment at each residue. The rough resemblance in kinetics between 359 and 362, on one hand, and 360 and 361, on the other (Fig. 1 A), suggests that TMRM faces the same direction, which is consistent with an α-helical structure for S4.
Fluorescence Analysis of an Outer Edge of the Pore Domain

Is there a functional tertiary interaction between S4 and the perimeter of the pore domain? We examined as a possible contact region the outer end of S5. Based on the KcsA crystal structure (Doyle et al., 1998), this region is predicted to be helical and to lie at the farthest point from the central axis, about midway along the perimeter of the pore domain from the subunit interfaces (Li-Smerin et al., 2000a; see Fig. 2, B and C). As with S4, we examined four consecutive positions: 416–419.

All four sites displayed a ∆F (Fig. 2). The magnitude of the ∆F was largest at 418 and 419 and smallest at 416. As with S4, the fluorescence behavior of TMRM at each position was unique, confirming the very local nature of the environmental detection seen at consecutive positions in S4. At 416 and 417, the ∆F on was slow (Fig. 2 A) and paralleled the onset of inactivation (Fig. 2 legend). At 418 and 419, the ∆F on had a fast component that paralleled activation, and 419 also had a slow inactivation component (Fig. 2, A and D, and legend). This is the first detection of an inactivation-correlated pro-
tein motion in the pore domain at a location so far from the selectivity filter (the site where closure of the pore is thought to occur), indicating that the slow inactivation rearrangement is more widespread than previously known. Equally striking is the detection of an activation motion by a fluorophore at pore domain residue 419, but not at neighboring residues 416 and 417 (418 also follows activation, see discussion). This finding suggests that 419 interacts with S4 during its transmembrane motion, in keeping with earlier work (Elinder and Arhem, 1999), which indicated that 418 and 419 interact electrostatically with S4.

**Environmental Scanning Detects Direct S4–Pore Domain Interaction**

The kinetics of the ΔF that we observe for TMRM attached to both S4 and the pore domain suggest that these domains are both involved in slow inactivation gating. Could this match between the fluorescence kinetics be due to a direct interaction between the domains? To address this question, we took advantage of the evidence, described above (Figs. 1 and 2), that the spatial extent over which the fluorophore senses its environment is so confined that even neighboring residues have distinct fluorescence reports of protein motion. This means that a selective change in the protein nanoenvironment surrounding the fluorophore attachment site should alter the fluorescence report. We illustrate this idea in Fig. 3 A, where we show how the fluorophore (represented by an asterisk) is confined to a small space around its site of attachment (represented by a small circle). Mutation of a residue that is in the nanoenvironment in one state of the channel (illustrated as a change in the circle from black to white) will

**Figure 3.** Environmental scan identifies direct S4–pore domain interaction. (A) Rationale for identifying domain interactions by environment scanning. Membrane topology model (left) and cartoon of the open to inactivated rearrangement (right). Fluorophore is attached to one site (either 424C in the pore domain or 359C in S4) and a comparison is made between the wild-type protein (top) and point mutation (bottom). If the mutation alters the nanoenvironment of the fluorophore in any channel conformation this will be detected as a change in the ΔF. (B) Representative fluorescence (F) and current (I) traces from channels with fluorophore attached to either 359C or S424C. Comparison between wild-type (WT) and the single charge neutralizations E418Q, E422Q, D431N, or D447N. (Responses to 5-s steps to ±40 mV.) (C) Summary of the change in ΔF (ΔΔF) due to neutralization of the acidic residues. Significant difference (P < 0.05) from wild type (WT) in the t test.
alter both the fluorescence of that state and the change in fluorescence that occurs upon transition to other states (in this case shown as when the inactivation gate closes and the fluorophore moves into another environment). The significance is that this effect can be used to identify amino acids in one part of the protein that are located so close to a fluorophore attached elsewhere in the protein as to contribute to its nanoenvironment and, thus, influence its fluorescence (see Sorensen et al., 2000 who used this idea with protein deletions in the S3-S4 of Shaker).

With this idea in mind, we carried out an environment scan in the pore region for two fluorophore attachment sites, one at position 359 in S4 and another at position 424 in the pore region (Fig. 3 A). To limit the number of permutations in the scan to manageable proportions, we confined ourselves to one possible cause of fluorescence change in a fluorophore’s nanoenvironment, changing proximity to a charged residue. The possibility of interaction with acidic residues was particularly attractive to explore since the ΔF of TMRM at both 359 and 424 was found to be pH-dependent, with a midpoint at approximately pH 4–5 (data not shown; Cha and Bezanilla, 1998). We identified four candidate acidic residues in the pore region (E418, E422, D431, and D447), which the KcsA crystal structure indicated to lie on the protein surface. These acidic residues were neutralized individually in either the 424C or 359C background so that the fluorophore could be selectively attached to the pore domain or S4 site.

We first examined the effect of neutralizations on the fluorescence of TMRM attached to position 424 in the pore region so that the results could be interpreted in light of the KcsA crystal structure (Fig. 2 E). Two of the neutralizations, E418Q and D447N, eliminated ionic current but retained gating current (Fig. 3 B). This effect could be explained by the stabilization of the closed conformation of the inactivation gate, as described below (Figs. 4 and 6) and as shown earlier for D447N by Olcese et al. (1997). The other two neutralizations, E422Q and D431N, had little functional effect (Fig. 3 B). All four mutants expressed well enough to give substantial membrane fluorescence at the holding potential of −80 mV. Expression levels were matched (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) and the effect of the neutralizations on the percent ΔF was examined. We found that three of the four neutralizations (E418Q, E422Q, and D447N) had no effect on the magnitude of the percent ΔF for a fluorophore attached to 424C (Fig. 3, B and C). However, the neutralization D431N virtually eliminated the ΔF of 424-TMRM (Fig. 3, B and C).

We next examined the effect of the same four pore domain neutralizations on the fluorescence of TMRM attached at position 359 in S4. Again, there was no significant effect of E418Q and D447N mutant on the ΔF, and again D431N had the greatest reduction of the ΔF (Fig. 3, B and C). In addition, D447N, which had no effect on 424C-TMRM, significantly reduced the ΔF of 359C-TMRM.

The effect of the neutralization mutations could be explained in one of two ways. The COOH group of the side chain may directly contribute to the nanoenvironment of fluorophore, so that its removal alters the fluorescence report. Alternatively, the mutation could disrupt channel structure in a manner that propagates for some distance from the site of mutation, and, thus, indirectly alters the nanoenvironment of the fluorophore. The perturbation of function by the D447N mutation indicates that this mutation may indeed produce a global distortion of channel structure, although it may also maintain normal structure, but simply favor the native inactivated conformation. Therefore, to be safe, we refrain from interpreting the effect of this mutation.

By contrast, the case of D431N is much clearer. Two sets of factors argue against a large-scale disruption of channel structure for D431N and in favor of a direct in-
Coupling Inactivation to Activation

interaction of D431 with both 424C-TMRM and 359C-TMRM. First, in KcsA, the residue at the 431 position is located at the outermost extremity of the protein surface, where the side chain points out into the water (Doyle et al., 1998), suggesting that it plays no essential role in channel structure. In keeping with this superficial location, D431 in Shaker has been shown to interact electrostatically with the recessed edge of the pore-blocking toxin AgTx2 (Ranganathan et al., 1996). Second, the D to N substitution had only one small effect on channel function: the rate of inactivation was slightly accelerated (431N, $\tau = 51 \pm 2$ ms; and 431D, $\tau = 51 \pm 0.8$ ms for oocytes with equal expression). Activation kinetics remained normal, and there was no detectable effect on voltage dependence, sensitivity of inactivation to external K\(^+\), or ionic selectivity (data not shown). The lack of a significant functional effect of D431N is consistent with an absence of structural perturbation of the mutation.

To further test the idea that side chain substitution at D431 does not perturb channel structure, we examined the effect on channel function of other, far less conservative substitutions at D431. Substitutions with H, C, and C-TMRM, which changed the charge and bulk of the side chain considerably, had no significant effect on either the conductance–voltage relation or the kinetics of channel activation (data not shown), adding further support to the idea that the side chain points away from the protein and into the external solution, so that the effect of substitution remains confined to a local effect on the chemical nanoenvironment of 431.

The results indicate that the influence of the D431N mutation on the fluorescence of TMRM at 359C and 424C is due to the entry of the fluorophore into the 431 side chain environment. This leads us to the functional conclusion that site 359 in S4 comes into close proximity to the pore domain during inactivation. This is consistent with direct S4–pore domain interaction, supporting recent work by Blaustein et al. (2000) and Li-Smerin et al. (2000b).

S4–Pore Interaction May Trigger Inactivation: The Role of E418

Could interaction of S4 with the pore domain be responsible for triggering inactivation? Stabilization of the closed conformation of the inactivation gate by W434F and D447N (Perozo et al., 1993; Olcese et al., 1997; Loots and Isacoff, 1998) suggests that wild-type residues at these positions make bonds that hold the inactivation gate open. Closure of the gate may require breakage of those bonds, as suggested earlier for the W434 analogue in KcsA (Doyle et al., 1998).

One residue that may play such a role is E418. Like W434F and D447N, we found the E418Q mutant to be nonconducting (Fig. 3 B), to have normal gating currents (data not shown), and to convert the D\(F\) of 359-TMRM and 424-TMRM from slow inactivation into fast activation kinetics while maintaining the size of the D\(F\) (Fig. 3 B). All of these features are consistent with closure of the inactivation gate by the E418Q mutation (Loots and Isacoff, 1998). As with both W434F and D447N (Olcese et al., 1997), the E418Q mutation closes the gate, but it does not consolidate into the C-type–inactivated state. This can be seen from the fact that the charge–voltage (Q–V) relation is shifted to the left by predepolarization (Fig. 4), as channels go from chronic P-type inactivation at negative voltage to C-type inactivation at positive voltage (Olcese et al., 1997; Loots and Isacoff, 1998).

The implication of these results is that E418 forms a bond that contributes energy to the open conformation of the inactivation gate, leading to the prediction that other changes of the side-chain at this position would also alter the rate of closure of the gate. Results consistent with this were obtained. First, the E418C mutation inactivated more quickly than wild type and...
stabilized the open state (Fig. 5 A). Second, conjugation of TMRM to E418C completely abolished ionic current, leaving only gating current (Fig. 5 B), indicating an even larger destabilization of the open conformation of the inactivation gate. Interestingly, while this point mutation dramatically alters the conducting properties of the pore, it doesn’t seem to alter the structure of the outer mouth of the protein since AgTx2 is still able to readily block K\(^+\) conduction. (Fig. 5 C).

**S4–Pore Interaction May Trigger Inactivation: Possible Bonding Partner of E418**

We attempted to identify the bonding partner of E418. By analogy with the KcsA crystal structure, it appears that E418 may interact with the backbone amino groups of two residues at the beginning of S6: V451 and G452 (Fig. 6 A). Although the resolution of the structure is not refined enough to reveal many of the possible hydrogen bonds, these hydrogen bonds are the only ones that appear to connect S5 to S6 in this area of the crystal structure.

Mutations of positions 451 and 452 would not be expected to alter the protein backbone, except in the case of a proline substitution. Therefore, we tested the idea that E418 interacts with the backbone amino of V451 and G452 by making several substitutions including proline. We found that substitution with glutamate or cysteine labeled with fluorophore (V451C-TMRM, G452E, and G452C-TMRM), which are side chains of very distinct bulk and polarity, had no effect on the conductance–voltage relation or activation kinetics (data not shown). We substituted proline, whose side chain protects the backbone amino group, V451, G452, and V453 were individually mutated to proline with the prediction that if 451 and 452 interact with E418 then the proline mutations should disrupt the bond and shut the inactivation gate. The mutation V453P served as a control for the effect of a proline substitution at this general location on the global structure. G452P did not express as functional channels, however, other point mutations at this residue (452E, 452C-TMRM) showed no effect on channel activation or inactivation kinetics arguing for the importance of the amino group for bond formation (data not shown). However, V451P channels did express. They lacked ionic current, which is consistent with the stabilization of the closed conformation of the inactivation gate. To test this, V451P channels were labeled with TMRM at 424C and measured photometrically. These channels showed a fast \(\Delta F\), typical of permanent P-type inactivation (Fig. 6). V453P channels were fully functional, conducting ions, inactivating slowly, and generating \(\Delta F\) from 424C-TMRM that correlated with inactivation in the manner of wild-type channels (Fig. 6). This wild-type behavior of V453P suggests that kinking of the S6 \(\alpha\)-helix, which could displace it along most of its length from its wild-type angle, is probably not responsible for effect of the V451P mutation. Instead, we propose that closure of the inactivation gate by the V451P mutation is due to a block of the backbone amino, which prevents forma-
tion of the hydrogen bond with E418 that normally holds the inactivation gate open.

**Discussion**

Membrane depolarization drives voltage-gated ion channels through a series of functional transitions. The process for the Shaker K⁺ channel starts with transmembrane displacement of charged residues on the voltage sensor in each of the four subunits, followed by the opening of the internal activation gate, finally followed by the closure of the external slow inactivation gate. This is not an obligatory sequence. The slow inactivation gate can close before the activation gate opens, and even in channels where slow inactivation follows opening, it can take place more rapidly from activated closed states (Marom and Levitan, 1994; Smith et al., 1996; Spector et al., 1996; Klemic et al., 1998; Fischhauer et al., 2000). Thus, each of the gates appears to be separately controlled by the voltage-sensing apparatus.

To determine how the voltage-sensing apparatus could control the inactivation gate, we studied the interaction between S4 and the pore domain, where the inactivation gate appears to reside (Iverson and Rudy, 1990; Hoshi et al., 1991; Lopez-Barneo et al., 1993; Olcese et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997). The inactivation gate was shown earlier to close via a rearrangement of the outer mouth of the pore and selectivity filter (Baukrowitz and Yellen, 1996; Starkus et al., 1997, 1998; Harris et al., 1998; Kiss and Korn, 1998; Immke et al., 1999; Kiss et al., 1999). Here, we provide evidence that direct interaction between S4 and the pore domain couples voltage sensing to gating of the slow inactivation gate.

*Fluorescence Evidence for Direct Interaction Between S4 and the Pore Domain*

We obtained several pieces of evidence that S4 and the pore domain interact. The interpretation of these findings depends on how big the environment of a fluorophore really is. If the fluorophore is large, or is attached by a long flexible linker, it could sweep out an extensive volume of space and interact with many residues scattered over large parts of the protein. In this case, we would expect there to be little difference in fluorescence between nearby attachment sites since they would occupy virtually the same large space. In fact, our observations indicate that the contrary is true, and that a fluorophore senses only a very confined local environment specific to its attachment site.

The local nature of the fluorescence report means that we have three pieces of evidence for direct dynamic interaction between S4 and the pore domain. First, fluorophores attached to S4 sense a molecular motion that closes the inactivation gate (an event known to involve the pore domain); however, this change in environment occurs at sites that point in every direction from S4, which is consistent with an inactivation rearrangement of S4 itself, and suggestive of an integral role for S4 in slow inactivation, an idea consistent with earlier evidence that mutation of S4 alters inactivation (Mitrovic et al., 2000). Second, a fluorophore attached to the pore domain senses channel activation, an event known to involve the transmembrane motion of basic residues on S4 (Yang and Horn, 1995; Aggarwal and MacKinnon, 1996; Larsson et al., 1996; Scoh et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1996; Starace et al., 1997). Third, environmental scanning identifies a residue in the pore domain that appears to interact directly with a fluorophore attached to the outer end of S4. These results lead us to the conclusion that S4 undergoes a dynamic interaction with the edge of the pore domain. This conclusion leads us to explore the possible role of such S4-pore domain interaction in coupling voltage sensing to inactivation gating.

*Proposed Mechanism by which S4 Movement Triggers Inactivation*

Among the sites in the pore domain that have been examined with fluorescence, sites 416, 417, and 419 near the outer end of S5, which we examined here, as well as turret residues 424 and 425, which were examined earlier (Cha and Bezanilla, 1997; Loots and Isacoff, 1998), had a fluorescence change that correlated with inactivation. One of these pore domain sites, 419, also had an activation fluorescence change when the inactivation gate was open, suggesting that during activation S4 interacts with the pore at or near position 419. This interpretation is supported by the finding by others that mutations that alter the charge of 418 or 419 affect the voltage dependence of gating charge movement, which is consistent with close-range electrostatic interactions between S4 and the 418/419 region of the pore domain (Elinder and Arhem, 1999). A more extensive fluorescence scan of many additional positions in the pore domain suggests that the 418/419 region actually lies within a stripe of pore domain residues that S4 contacts (Gandhi et al., 2000).

How could an interaction between S4 and 418/419 trigger inactivation? We observe here, in agreement with recent work from two other groups (Larsson and Elinder, 2000; Ortega-Sáenz et al., 2000), that three substitutions at 418 (glutamine substitution, cysteine substitution, and TMRM conjugation) all reduce the relative stability of the open conformation of the gate. Furthermore, mutation of V451, a predicted hydrogen-bonding partner of E418, has the same destabilizing effect, but only when substituted with proline, which is consistent with a prediction based on the KcsA crystal structure that E418 would interact with the backbone.
amino of V451. The idea that position 418 comes into close enough proximity to position 451 for them to interact, and that this interaction changes when channels inactivate, is supported by Larsson and Elinder (2000) who showed that disulfide bond formation between E418C and 451C or 452C stabilizes the open conformation of the gate in one case and the closed conformation in the other.

Our finding that the E418C mutation produces a weaker destabilization of the open conformation than E418Q indicates that glutamine is less well accommodated than the smaller cysteine, and suggests that there is a steric hindrance to the packing of the native glutamate, which is compensated for by the greater ability of its charged oxygen to hydrogen bond. Together, these results are consistent with an S4–pore domain interaction that triggers inactivation with E418 acting as a latch. In this model, S4 extrusion and/or twist breaks the 418–451 bond, leading to a rearrangement that propagates from the perimeter of the pore domain to the central pore axis, where it closes the gate. Larsson and Elinder (2000) and Ortega-Sáenz et al. (2000) have proposed similar models.

The Inactivation Rearrangement Involves Multiple Bonds

The propagation of the inactivation rearrangement from the perimeter of the pore domain, at the S4 contact site, to the central axis of the pore appears to involve at least three residues other than 418–451. Residues W434 in the pore helix, D447 and T449 just outside the selectivity filter, and Y445 in the selectivity filter were shown here, and earlier, to stabilize the open conformation of the gate (Perozo et al., 1993; Hurst et al., 1996; Olcese et al., 1997; Harris et al., 1998; Loots and Isacoff, 1998). The implication is that rupture of a bond between 418 and 451 can trigger rearrangements of bonds involving each of these residues.

We suggest that the role of K\textsuperscript{+} in stabilizing the open state of the slow inactivation gate derives from a stabilization by K\textsuperscript{+} occupancy of the selectivity filter of the backbone orientation of the outer end of S6, favoring the 418–451 interaction even when S4 is in its activated conformation, thus, slowing the onset of inactivation. Thus, external K\textsuperscript{+} may accelerate recovery from inactivation (Levy and Deutsch, 1996) because of short-lived, spontaneous reopenings of the gate that provide access to K\textsuperscript{+}, whose entry to its binding site would accelerate the reformation of the resting bonds that stabilize the open conformation of the slow inactivation gate. This way, control would be exerted at the two physical ends of the inactivation mechanism: the contact site of the pore domain with S4, where voltage is sensed; and the selectivity filter, where the accumulation of external K\textsuperscript{+} ions is sensed.

A model for the mechanism of how S4’s activation movement may be linked to slow inactivation is presented in Fig. 7. Underlying the model is the finding that S4 appears to have an intimate dynamic interaction with the pore domain, based on fluorescence reports from both the pore domain and S4, and supported by the present environment scan, as well as by recent work by Li-Smerin et al. (2000b) and Blaustein et al. (2000). Interaction of S4 and the pore domain is proposed to trigger inactivation by breaking a bond between 418, at the perimeter of the pore domain, and positions 451 and 452, at the outer end of S5. Breakage of the E418-451/452 hydrogen bond results in conformational changes throughout the outer sections of S5 and S6, which propagate to the selectivity filter and close the inactivation gate. The E418-451/452 bond is predicted from the KcsA crystal structure and from the similar effects of mutations at 418 and 451. The tilt of S4 relative to the pore is based upon previous FRET data on the proximal S3-S4 (Glauner et al., 1999) and on the simplifying assumption that the proximal S3-S4 and S4 form a continuous helix. An inactivation motion is proposed for S4 (depicted in one possible version as a tilt by an arrow parallel to the membrane), based on the finding that fluorophores, expected to point in all directions from S4, sense inactivation, an indication of an inactivation rearrangement of S4 with respect to its entire surround.
Conclusion

In summary, our results show that the inactivation rearrangement projects much further than previously known from the central axis, all the way to the edge of the pore domain and into the voltage-sensing domain to S4. We also find that the edge of the pore domain senses the activation rearrangement, providing evidence for direct interaction between the pore domain and S4, which is supported by our environmental scanning mutagenesis. These findings support recent evidence by others for contact between S4 and the pore domain, provide a first indication of the location on the pore domain of the S4 contact site, and demonstrate that this contact is dynamic, changing during gating. We explore the possible functional significance of the S4–pore domain interaction and find that the open conformation of the slow inactivation gate is destabilized by mutation of a pore domain edge residue, E418, near a site of apparent S4 contact. In addition, the open conformation of the slow inactivation gate is also destabilized by a mutation of residue V451 in S6, whose backbone amino group is predicted to interact with E418 based on the KcsA crystal structure. This destabilization only occurs when V451 is substituted with a proline, which eliminates the ability of its backbone amino group to form a hydrogen bond. Together, the results lead to an explicit structural model for how the voltage-sensing apparatus controls the conformation of the inactivation gate: S4 activation motion changes S4 contact with the edge of the pore domain, and breaks a key interaction of the edge of the pore domain with S6, which is required to hold the inactivation gate open, thus triggering the slow inactivation closure.
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