Ectopic targeting of CG DNA methylation in Arabidopsis with the bacterial SssI methyltransferase
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The ability to target epigenetic marks like DNA methylation to specific loci is important in both basic research and in crop plant engineering. However, heritability of targeted DNA methylation, how it impacts gene expression, and which epigenetic features are required for proper establishment are mostly unknown. Here, we show that targeting the CG-specific methyltransferase M.SssI with an artificial zinc finger protein can establish heritable CG methylation and silencing of a targeted locus in Arabidopsis. In addition, we observe highly heritable widespread ectopic CG methylation mainly over euchromatic regions. This hyper-methylation shows little effect on transcription while it triggers a mild but significant reduction in the accumulation of H2A.Z and H3K27me3. Moreover, ectopic methylation occurs preferentially at less open chromatin that lacks positive histone marks. These results outline general principles of the heritability and interaction of CG methylation with other epigenomic features that should help guide future efforts to engineer epigenomes.
DNA methylation is an evolutionarily conserved epigenetic modification that plays critical roles in silencing transposable elements and in regulating gene expression1–3. In *Arabidopsis*, DNA methylation occurs within three sequence contexts: CG, CHG, and CHH (where H represents A, T, or C)4. The establishment of DNA methylation in plants involves the DNA methyltransferase DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE 2 (DRM2, the homolog of mammalian DNA methyltransferase DNMT3) through the plant-specific RNA-directed DNA Methylation (RdDM) pathway (Fig. 1A). RdDM involves the transcription of 30–40 nucleotide (nt) single-stranded RNAs (P4RNA)5–7 by RNA polymerase IV (Pol IV) that are then reverse transcribed by RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 2 (RDR2)8,9, processed into 24 nt small interfering RNAs (siRNA) through DICER-LIKE 3 (DCL3)10, and loaded into ARGONAUTE 4 (AGO4)11–13. Next, siRNA-bound AGO4 recognizes noncoding P5RNAs, transcribed by Pol V, through sequence complementation14, which leads to their co-transcriptional slicing and triggers the recruitment of DRM2 and de novo methylation15,16 (Fig. 1A). After establishment, the maintenance of CG methylation requires the DNA methyltransferase METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1, the homolog of mammalian DNMT1), while maintenance of CHG and CHH methylation redundantly requires CHROMOMETHYLASE 3 (CMT3), CMT2, and DRM217,18.

Symmetric CG methylation is conserved across different organisms and is distributed mostly over heterochromatic or genic areas19. In plants, methylation over heterochromatic regions occurs in CG, CHG, and CHH contexts and plays an important role in transcriptional silencing of transposable...
elements and repetitive sequences. By contrast, methylation over genomic regions, known as gene body methylation (gBm), occurs specifically in the CG context, positively correlates with gene expression, and is enriched over constitutively expressed genes. Despite its high degree of conservation across organisms, the function of gBm is not well understood. Studies in different organisms have proposed various functions for gBm including regulation of gene expression, alternative splicing, antisense transcription, enhancement of splicing accuracy through exon definition, inhibition of RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) initiation, and reduction of Pol II elongation efficiency. However, most studies in different plant species and natural accessions of the model plant Arabidopsis that present differences in gBm levels have shown a limited effect of this modification on gene expression. Similarly, gBm does not seem to affect the overall pattern of different histone modifications in plants. An exception is the histone variant H2AZ, which gBm has been hypothesized to prevent H2AZ expansion into gene bodies and transcription of aberrant transcripts. However, a more recent study did not find a connection between H2AZ and gBm in Arabidopsis and E. alsugineum, making this connection somewhat controversial. Moreover, the H3K27me3 modification, which accumulates over tissue-specific or developmental genes, has also been shown to anticorrelate with gBm in Arabidopsis.

With the development of DNA targeting tools such as artificial zinc fingers (ZF), TAL effectors, and CRISPR/Cas9 systems, controlled manipulation of DNA methylation at specific genomic loci has been successfully achieved in plants and animals. Our recent study in Arabidopsis showed that targeting of different RdDM components tethered by an artificial ZF protein is sufficient to target methylation to ZF binding sites in the genome. Previous studies in different organisms have used the Spiroplasma sp. strain MQ1 CG methyltransferase M.SssI (SssI) to target methylation.

In this work, we fuse SssI to an artificial ZF designed to target the FLOWERING WAGENINGEN (FWA) promoter and test its ability to target methylation in Arabidopsis. The ZF-SssI fusion protein successfully targets heritable DNA methylation to the FWA promoter and other ZF off-target sites. Moreover, ZF-SssI plants exhibit genome-wide ectopic CG methylation, especially over exons and transcription termination sites (TTS), suggesting nonspecific ectopic activity of the ZF-SssI fusion. Importantly, ectopic CG methylation is highly heritable over most genomic regions. We leverage this system to study features that characterize loci ectopically methylated by ZF-SssI and the effect that the addition of CG methylation has on gene expression, histone modifications, and histone variants.

**Results**

**SssI-targeted methylation at the FWA promoter causes silencing.** To test whether SssI is capable of targeting CG DNA methylation in Arabidopsis, we fused SssI with a previously described artificial zinc finger (ZF) designed to target the FWA promoter. FWA is normally repressed by DNA methylation over its promoter region in wild-type Col-0 plants and the loss of DNA methylation induces heritable fwa epialleles with ectopic expression of the FWA gene causing a late flowering phenotype. When expressed in plants harboring an unmethylated fwa epiallele, ZF-SssI successfully targeted methylation to the FWA promoter, silencing FWA and triggering a change from late to early flowering in the first generation of transformed plants (the T1 generation) (Fig. 1B-D and Supplementary Fig. 1). As expected, ZF-SssI plants showed high levels of CG methylation at FWA. ZF-SssI plants also showed restored, but lower levels of CHH methylation at FWA compared to untransformed control plants (Fig. 1D). The partial restoration of CHH methylation is most likely the result of CG-methylation-dependent recruitment of the methyl-DNA binding proteins SU(VAR)-3-9 homologs SUVH2 and SUVH9, which in turn recruit RdDM activity and the observed CHH methylation.

To study if ZF-SssI mediated methylation and silencing are dependent on the RdDM pathway, we transformed ZF-SssI into the fwa nrpd1 (NRPD1 encodes the catalytic subunit of Pol IV, Fig. 1A), fwa nrpe1 (NRPE1 encodes the catalytic subunit of Pol V, Fig. 1A), and fwa drm1 drm2 (fwa drm1/2) backgrounds (DRM1 is a lowly expressed DRM2 paralog, Fig. 1A). ZF-SssI successfully established methylation and silencing of FWA in all three mutant backgrounds (Fig. 1B-D and Supplementary Fig. 1) indicating that ZF-SssI can methylate the FWA promoter independently of the RdDM pathway and, consistent with previous reports, that promoter CG methylation is sufficient to silence FWA. Moreover, targeted methylation in these backgrounds was depleted of CHH methylation consistent with the idea that the CHH methylation found in ZF-SssI lines in the fwa background was due to the recruitment of RdDM by targeted CG methylation (Fig. 1D).

Targeted methylation was previously shown to be heritable after segregating away the effector construct. To investigate the heritability of targeted CG methylation, we segregated away the ZF-SssI transgene in the T3 generation. We observed an early flowering phenotype in two independent T3 ZF-SssI lines either with or without the transgene, indicating that the targeted CG methylation and FWA silencing were heritable (Fig. 1E).

**ZF-SssI expression caused targeted and nontargeted ectopic methylation genome-wide.** While the ZF was originally designed to bind to two tandem repeats in the FWA promoter (Fig. 1D), our recent study showed that ZF-RdDM fusions bind to thousands of ‘off-targets’ in the genome resulting in hundreds of hypermethylated loci. Therefore, we analyzed genome-wide CG hypermethylation levels in the ZF-SssI lines. Since the fwa epiallele was used by crossing wild-type Col-0 with met1 mutant plants and, thus, contains a chimeric epigenetic landscape, we instead transformed ZF-SssI into wild-type Col-0 plants for this experiment. We performed whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) in two biological replicates of two independent ZF-SssI transgenic lines in T2 and T3 generations with the transgene present (+) or segregated away (−) (n = 16 in total, Supplementary Data 1). Browsing the tracks generated from the WGBS clearly showed widespread ectopic CG methylation (but not CHG or CHH methylation) over different genomic regions in ZF-SssI (+) or ZF-SssI (−) lines during T2 and T3 (Fig. 2A, B, and Supplementary Fig. 2A, B). On average, we observed around 3–4% genome-wide hyper CG methylation (hCG) mainly over euchromatic regions in ZF-SssI lines compared to Col-0 (Fig. 2C, D). Consistent with the observation of genome-wide hCG over euchromatic regions, we observed hCG over protein-coding genes (Fig. 2E). By contrast, no hCG was detected over transposable elements (TEs) (Fig. 2E).

To test whether the observed hCG was due to direct ZF-SssI binding, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) to map the genome-wide binding sites of ZF-SssI. Some hypermethylated regions were bound by the ZF-SssI, while many other hCG regions did not have a ZF peak (Supplementary Fig. 3A). ChIP-seq results identified 2151 ZF-SssI binding sites. Consistent with previous results from other ZF-RdDM fusions, the ZF-SssI showed a preference for promoter regions and preferentially bound to the core cis-motif sequence specified by the inner ZF repeats (Supplementary Fig. 3B, C). Analysis of ZF-SssI ChIP-seq and WGBS showed a clear hCG enrichment over...
ZF-SsSI binding sites but also showed random hCG flanking the ZF-SsSI summits (Supplementary Fig. 3D). This suggests that the hCG we detected is a combination of ZF-SsSI-targeted methylation as well as nonspecific ectopic methylation triggered by ZF-SsSI.

**Hyper CG-methylated sites in ZF-SsSI occur preferentially over previously unmethylated gene bodies.** We further investigated the ectopic CG methylation caused by ZF-SsSI to (i) analyze the characteristics of the CG sites that are competent to become hypermethylated, (ii) study the heritability of hCG sites, and (iii) investigate the potential crosstalk between hCG, gene expression, and histone modifications. We first analyzed the cytosine counts in Col-0 and ZF-SsSI lines with different levels of CG methylation. In general, ZF-SsSI lines showed an overall increase in methylated CG sites over protein-coding genes (left two panels) or transposable elements (TEs) (right two panels). The curve represents the mean, shaded area around the curve represents standard errors ($n=4$). To visualize this in more detail, we examined the relationship between pre-existing CG methylation and ZF-SsSI-dependent hCG. We rank-ordered the CG methylation in 200 bp bins in Col-0 and plotted this along with the difference in CG, CHG, and CHH methylation levels between ZF-SsSI lines and Col-0 (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). After removing bins with no CG methylation in any of the genotypes (Col-0, ZF-SsSI (+) or ZF-SsSI (−)), we divided the remaining 200 bp bins into four clusters based on the CG, CHG, and CHH methylation levels in Col-0 and the CG methylation difference between ZF-SsSI lines and Col-0 (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). Cluster 1 contained bins with high pre-existing CG, CHG, and CHH methylation in Col-0, and hypo CG methylation in ZF-SsSI lines compared to Col-0 (top 13 ranked percentiles). Cluster 2 contained bins with pre-existing CG, CHG, and CHH methylation in Col-0 and hCG in ZF-SsSI (ranked percentiles 14–25). Cluster 3 contained bins with pre-existing CG methylation but no CHG or CHH methylation in...
Col-0, and hCG in ZF-SssI (ranked percentiles 26–43). Cluster 4 showed no pre-existing DNA methylation in Col-0 but hCG in ZF-SssI (ranked percentiles 44–100). Consistent with the genome-wide methylation analysis (Fig. 2C), no hyper CHG or hyper CHH methylation was observed in Clusters 1–4 (Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). Using the 200 bp regions from these four clusters, we defined differentially methylated regions (DMRs) comparing ZF-SssI (+) or ZF-SssI (−) lines during T2 and T3 with Col-0 for each cluster. In general, we observed a significant overlap of hypermethylated CG DMRs (hCG DMRs) between ZF-SssI lines during T2 and T3 with Col-0 for each cluster. Consistent with Fig. 3B, DMR analysis indicated that Cluster 1 only presented hypomethylated mCG equivalent regions (mCG-equivalent control regions) from the same ranked percentile of the hCG DMRs. Sequence context analysis in Clusters 2, 3, and 4 showed that hCG DMRs in ZF-SssI lines have a significant preference for regions with higher (C + G) percentage and CG, CHG, and CHH densities compared to mCG-equivalent control regions (Supplementary Fig. 4D, E). We also studied the genomic distribution of hCG DMRs in Clusters 2, 3, and 4. Compared to mCG-equivalent control regions, hCG DMRs in Cluster 2 were enriched in introns and intergenic regions and depleted mostly in promoters (Fig. 3D). By contrast Clusters 3 and 4 were mainly enriched over transcription termination sites (TTS) and exons, and were depleted over intergenic and promoter regions (Fig. 3D). This profile is different than that observed for the ZF-SssI binding distribution (Supplementary Fig. 3B) and supports the idea that a fraction of the hCG observed is independent of ZF binding to chromatin. In summary, hypermethylated regions were mostly enriched over gene bodies and showed a preference for higher (C + G) percentage and CG, CHG, and CHH densities. Moreover, this analysis highlights the recalcitrant nature of promoters for gaining ectopic methylation.

**Hyper CG DMRs occur preferentially at relatively less accessible chromatin.** To investigate the epigenetic landscape of hCG sites, we performed ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq for various histone...
marks in Col-0. We plotted the CG methylation difference, ATAC-seq signal, H2A, H3, H2A.Z, H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K36me3, and PanH3Ac (Fig. 4, Supplementary Data 3, and Supplementary Data 4) over hCG DMRs and mCG-equivalent control regions. For these analyses, we focused on Clusters 3 and 4 that have a much higher number of hyper DMRs than Cluster 2 (Fig. 3C) and represent regions with either pre-existing CG methylation (Cluster 3), or regions that gained CG methylation de novo (Cluster 4).

Compared to the surrounding chromatin, the landscape of hCG DMRs and mCG-equivalent control regions in Cluster 3 showed a similar trend with lower chromatin accessibility, higher levels of unmodified H3 and H2A histones and H3K4me1, and lower levels of H2A.Z, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K36me3, and H3 acetylation (Fig. 4A–J). Chromatin over mCG-equivalent control regions in Cluster 4 showed a more open conformation and was enriched with activating marks (H3K4me3, H3K36me3, and H3 acetylation) as well as H2A.Z and H3K27me3 (Fig. 4B, E, G–J), while it was slightly depleted in unmodified H3 histones, H2A histones, and H3K4me1 (Fig. 4C, D, F). The hCG regions in Cluster 4 contained features that resembled those in Cluster 3, with higher levels of unmodified histones and H3K4me1 and lower chromatin accessibility and activating marks, except for the preference of higher H2A.Z levels (Fig. 4B–J). H2A.Z and H3K27me3 have been shown to anticorrelate with DNA methylation levels. Consistent with this, hCG regions in Cluster 4 showed lower levels of these two marks when compared to control regions (Fig. 4E, H). In summary, we conclude that ZF-SssI-mediated ectopic methylation usually occurs over less accessible chromatin, is enriched in H3K4me1, and is associated with low levels of activating histone marks, H2A.Z, and H3K27me3.

Genome-wide hCG triggers limited changes in gene expression. We used the ectopic gbM obtained with ZF-SssI to study the possible role of gbM in gene expression using RNA-seq (Supplementary Data 5). Although ZF-SssI lines showed high levels of ectopic CG methylation over hundreds of gene bodies, global gene expression levels were similar to Col-0 (Supplementary Fig. 5A). The number of differentially expressed genes (DEG) identified in ZF-SssI (+) or ZF-SssI (−) lines during T2 and T3 compared to Col-0 was variable (Supplementary Fig. 5B and Supplementary Data 6). We detected 61 shared upregulated and 41 shared downregulated DEGs in ZF-SssI (+) lines (Supplementary Fig. 5C, E). ZF-SssI (−) lines showed 10 shared downregulated DEGs while no common upregulated DEGs (Supplementary Fig. 5D, F). To test the association of hCG DMRs with DEGs, we analyzed our data with RAD44 (Region Associated DEGs, https://labw.org/rad) and found that hCG DMRs flanking 1 kb of the transcriptional start sites (TSS) significantly correlated with downregulated genes in ZF-SssI (++) with the highest correlation at the TSS (Supplementary Fig. 5G and Supplementary Data 7). This indicates a repressive role in transcription of the ectopic methylation located in regions proximal to the TSS. A similar trend was observed between hCG DMRs and DEGs in ZF-SssI (−) lines, although this association was not significant (Supplementary Fig. 5H and Supplementary Data 7).

Gene body methylation has previously been linked to alternative splicing. Thus, we analyzed the alternative splicing events in our RNA-seq dataset (Supplementary Fig. 6A). With MapSplice45, we analyzed skipped exon (SE), alternative 5′ splice site (A5SS), alternative 3′ splice site (A3SS), mutually exclusive exons (MXE), and retained intron (RI) for all protein-coding genes. Comparing to Col-0, we indeed observed some
alternative splicing events (Supplementary Fig. 6A). However, the increased alternative splicing events (Inclusion level > 0) in ZF-SssI lines were comparable to those in Col-0 (Inclusion level < 0). Thus, we detected little correlation between hCG and alternative splicing events.

To test whether there were more localized differences in gene expression level over genes with ectopic gbM, we classified genes into ‘De novo gbM’ and ‘Enhanced gbM’ groups. We defined genes with less than 3% CG methylation and more than 10% CG methylation enhancement as ‘De novo gbM’ genes, and those with more than 3% CG methylation and more than 10% CG methylation enhancement as ‘Enhanced gbM’ genes (Supplementary Fig. 6B and Supplementary Data 8). Metaplot analysis of ZF-SssI RNA-seq data over ‘De novo gbM’ and ‘Enhanced gbM’ groups indicated limited changes of gene expression levels in both groups (Supplementary Fig. 6C). Moreover, we observed very few up- or downregulated DEGs in ZF-SssI lines (+) that overlapped with ‘De novo gbM’ and ‘Enhanced gbM’ genes (Supplementary Fig. 6D).

Therefore, consistent with previous studies using Arabidopsis epiRIs and other flowering plant species that lack gbM38–40, our analyses suggest a limited role for gbM in transcriptional regulation.

**Gain of methylation at gene bodies reduces H2A.Z and H3K27me3 accumulation.** The gain of gbM in the ZF-SssI lines might disturb the distribution pattern of histone modifications and chromatin accessibility within gene bodies. To test this, we performed additional ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq experiments for different histone marks in ZF-SssI lines and compared this to the signal obtained for Col-0 controls. For these analyses we focused on the sets of ‘Enhanced gbM’ and ‘De novo gbM’ genes previously described (Supplementary Fig. 6B). In addition, we defined a set of control genes for the ‘Enhanced gbM’ and ‘De novo gbM’ groups with similar CG methylation levels in Col-0 but no hCG in the ZF-SssI lines. No significant differences were observed for the different marks tested over both ‘Enhanced gbM’ and ‘De novo gbM’ genes except for H2A.Z and H3K27me3 (Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. 7, and Supplementary Fig. 8A, B). The H2A.Z histone variant showed two different profiles over genes with ‘Enhanced gbM’ and ‘De novo gbM’ groups (Fig. 5A). In the ‘Enhanced gbM’ group, the H2A.Z signal was more prominent over the TSS, which is characteristic of methylated genes with medium- to high expression31,46,47 (Fig. 5A). In the ‘De novo gbM’ group, the distribution of the H2A.Z signal was more even over gene bodies. According to previous reports, this distribution is characteristic of lowly expressed genes and it usually overlaps with the silencing mark H3K27me332 (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, H2A.Z signal was lower at both groups of genes in the ZF-SssI lines (Fig. 5A–C, Supplementary Fig. 8A, B, and Supplementary Data 9). The ‘Enhanced gbM’ group showed a reduction over the second half of the gene body region while the ‘De novo gbM’ group presented a reduction over most of the gene body (Fig. 5A). H2A.Z signal over these regions in the control set of genes was similar in ZF-SssI lines and Col-0 (Fig. 5A). In the case of the H3K27me3 mark, we observed a reduction in ZF-SssI lines over most of the gene body for both ‘Enhanced gbM’ and ‘De novo gbM’ groups, while signal over control regions was not affected (Fig. 5A–C, Supplementary Fig. 8A, B, and Supplementary Data 9). Interestingly, the ‘Enhanced gbM’ group showed high levels of the H3K27me3 mark, which is unexpected considering the reported negative correlation between the accumulation of gene body methylation and H3K27me3 mark32 (Fig. 5A, C). Thus, we separated ‘Enhanced gbM’ genes into genes with or without H3K27me3 (Supplementary Fig. 8C–E). As expected, this analysis revealed a subset of ‘Enhanced gbM’ genes with no H3K27me3 (Supplementary Fig. 8C). These genes accumulated H2A.Z, mostly around the TSS, and consistent with our previous analyses (Fig. 5A), showed a decrease in this mark over the second half of the gene body in the ZF-SssI lines (Supplementary Fig. 8D). Interestingly, this analysis revealed a smaller subset of genes from the ‘Enhanced gbM’ group that contained low levels of pre-existing gbM and H3K27me3. In line with the previous analysis, H3K27me3 and H2A.Z signals were reduced in these genes over the whole coding region in the ZF-SssI lines (Supplementary Fig. 8D). We also divided the ‘De novo gbM’ group into genes with or without H3K27me3, which resulted in similar conclusions (Supplementary Fig. 8E). Moreover, we observed higher ectopic gene body CG methylation levels in ‘De novo gbM’ genes without H3K27me3 compared to ‘De novo gbM’ genes with H3K27me3 (Supplementary Fig. 8E). Together, these results are in line with previous reports31,32 and suggest that gbM has a negative effect on H2A.Z and H3K27me3 accumulation.

**Ectopic CG methylation is highly heritable.** We next examined in more detail the heritability of ectopic CG methylation in ZF-SssI plants where the transgene had been segregated away for two (T5 ‒) generations and compared this with methylation levels in lines that had lost the transgene for one generation (T2 ‒), T3 ‒), and T4 ‒) (Fig. 6A and Supplementary Fig. 9A, B). Comparing T4 ‒) and T5 ‒) plants, the targeted CG methylation was faithfully maintained with around 2‒3% of genome-wide hCG methylation, mainly over euchromatic regions, compared to their side-by-side Col-0 controls (Supplementary Fig. 9C, D). Consistent with what we observed in T2 and T3 generations (Fig. 2E), we observed hCG over protein-coding gene bodies but not transposable elements (Supplementary Fig. 9E, F).

In order to quantify the heritability of targeted hCG, we defined hCG DMRs comparing T4 and T5 ZF-SssI ‒) with their corresponding Col-0 controls and calculated the percentage of heritable hCG DMRs across multiple generations (from T2 to T5). In both transgenic lines used in this study, we observed a consistently high percentage (around 50‒90% in the last generation) of heritable hCG DMRs (Fig. 6A and Supplementary Fig. 10A) indicating that ZF-SssI-dependent CG methylation is highly heritable. We then calculated the overlap between hCG DMRs of T2 to T5 generations in Clusters 3 and 4. Comparing heritable hCG DMRs in ZF-SssI ‒) with T2 ZF-SssI ‒), we identified 39‒58% heritable hCG DMRs in Clusters 3 and 4 for both transgenic lines (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. 10B). Similarly, 37‒67% of hCG DMRs were heritable when comparing T3 ZF-SssI ‒) or ‒) with T2 ZF-SssI ‒) (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. 10B). A high level of heritable hCG DMRs (64‒83%) was observed when comparing T4 ZF-SssI ‒) with T3 ZF-SssI ‒) in Clusters 3 and 4. Most of the hCG DMRs (72‒90%) were maintained when comparing T5 to T4 ZF-SssI ‒) plants (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. 10B). Moreover, when we plotted the CG methylation level over heritable hCG DMRs identified in T5 ZF-SssI ‒) plants, we observed similar levels across multiple generations in both Clusters 3 and 4 (Fig. 6C and Supplementary Fig. 10C). These results confirm that, unlike CHH methylation34, once CG methylation is established it can be efficiently maintained. It is not surprising that hCG DMRs in Cluster 3 are heritable as these are sites that contain pre-existing CG methylation indicating that MET1 is already maintaining methylation, once CG methylation is established it can be efficiently maintained. It is not surprising that hCG DMRs in Cluster 3 are heritable as these are sites that contain pre-existing CG methylation indicating that MET1 is already maintaining methylation, once CG methylation is established it can be efficiently maintained.
ZF-SssI-dependent hCG over regions that did not have pre-existing methylation with regions that were naturally methylated in wild-type but had lost this methylation in the fwa background prior to the introduction of ZF-SssI. The fwa epiallele is the result of a cross between Col-0 and the met1 mutant, and thus presents a chimeric methylation profile where some gene bodies remain unmethylated while others recover wild-type methylation levels. We first classified genes into three groups including genes with no
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Fig. 5 H2A.Z and H3K27me3 reduction over hypermethylated genes. A Metaplots of CG methylation (T2 and T3 merged), H2A.Z, and H3K27me3 levels in Col-0 and two representative ZF-SssI (+) lines over genes with ‘Enhanced gbM’ or ‘De novo gbM’ as well as a set of control genes with similar gene body CG methylation but no hypermethylation. *p-value < 0.05, Welch Two-Sample t-test (‘De novo gbM’ group, hypothesis testing performed on full-length genic regions; ‘Enhanced gbM’ group, hypothesis testing performed on last 50% of genic regions). The black arrow indicates the regions with reduced H2A.Z and H3K27me3. B, C Screenshot of WGBS tracks in Col-0 and two representative T2 ZF-Sssl (+) lines, as well as H2A.Z and H3K27me3 ChIP-seq tracks in Col-0 and two representative T2 ZF-Sssl (+) lines over selected ‘De novo gbM’ (B) and ‘Enhanced gbM’ (C) genes. Source data underlying Figure 5A are provided as a Source Data file.
gbM (genes with less than 1% CG gbM in Col-0 and fwa), genes that had lost gbM in fwa (genes with more than 40% CG gbM in Col-0 but less than 1% CG gbM in fwa), and genes that maintained gbM in fwa (genes with more than 40% CG gbM in both Col-0 and fwa). We observed higher hCG in ZF-Sssl lines in the fwa background over both protein-coding genes and transposable elements that had lost gbM in fwa than genes and transposable elements with no pre-existing gbM (Fig. 6D and Supplementary Fig. 10D). This result suggests that compared to genes without pre-existing CG gbM, genes that naturally displayed gbM have a higher tendency to become methylated after gbM was lost.

**Discussion**

In this study, we used the bacterial CG methyltransferase SssI fused to an artificial zinc finger protein to target CG methylation in Arabidopsis. The ZF-Sssl fusion was able to establish heritable
CG, CHG, and CHH methylation over the FWA promoter and cause FWA silencing35. In addition, ZF-SsSI targeted methylation and triggered early flowering in strong RdDM mutant backgrounds (nrd1, nrpe1, and drm1/2) indicating that targeted CG methylation is independent of RdDM activity and sufficient to silence FWA. While the targeted methylation we detected by BS-PCR-seq over the FWA promoter is likely sufficient to silence FWA expression, ectopic methylation over other FWA regions might also contribute to its repression. Additionally, we also observed hCG methylation across the genome. While part of this hypermethylation was due to the binding of ZF-SsSI to off-target sites, we also detected genome-wide ectopic CG methylation. Even though the ZF we designed is found mostly over promoter regions, the ectopic methylation accumulated preferentially over regions with less accessible chromatin landscapes. One possibility to explain this disparity is that the ChIP is revealing stable interactions between the ZF-SsSI and chromatin, while the ectopic hCG is the consequence of unstable hit-and-run interactions between the ZF-SsSI and regions that are more prone to become methylated and maintained by MET1. The expression of either a ZF-SsSI version where the ZF is mutated to prevent binding to DNA or the expression of free SsSI protein would help clarify the contribution of the ZF in ZF-SsSI to the genome-wide hCG observed in these plants. Thus, in order to optimize the use of SsSI for locus-specific targeting approaches, a more specific targeting system with fewer off-target sites is required. In this regard, CRISPR-dCas9 technology fused to SsSI probably represents the best approach35,39. Additionally, SsSI could be also exploited to trigger global hCG and generate epialleles in different plant species and crops by overexpressing the free SsSI protein.

Although we detected widespread genome-wide hCG, CHG and CHH methylation remained constant indicating that RdDM was not recruited despite the known ability of CG methylation to recruit this pathway. One potential explanation for this is that the hCG we detected was mostly located over gene bodies that contain active epigenetic marks associated with transcription, such as H3K4 methylation that would likely prevent RdDM recruitment/activity. Indeed, Pol IV recruitment through the histone reader SAWADEE HOMEODOMAIN 1 (SHH1) is prevented by H3K4me338. Previously, some ectopic hypermethylation effects have been observed in Arabidopsis when targeting methylation using the de novo methyltransferase DRM235. However, this was largely restricted to non-CG sequence contexts and was mostly not heritable probably due to poor maintenance by MET135.

We took advantage of the genome-wide hCG caused by ZF-SsSI to study the epigenetic landscape that is favorable for CG methylation establishment. Hypermethylated regions were enriched over gene bodies and showed a preference for higher (C + G) and CG, CHG, and CHH densities (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 4). This is consistent with a previous observation that CG methylation correlates with higher CG density49. In addition, hCG methylated sites usually occurred over less accessible chromatin that was depleted of activating marks like H3K4me3, as well as H2A.Z and H3K27me3 (Fig. 4). These results are consistent with the previous observations that the RdDM pathway is repelled by H3K4me344,48 and that DNA methylation anticorrelates with H2A.Z and H3K27me331,32, and identify a chromatin landscape that is favorable for targeted CG methylation. This should be taken into consideration when using this or similar technologies to target CG methylation in plants. Interestingly, the shape of the ectopic gene body methylation was similar to the endogenous gene body methylation (Figs. 2 and 5). A possible interpretation of this result is that initial ectopic methylation deposited by ZF-SsSI over gene bodies is maintained or amplified by MET1 which, in turn, is influenced by epigenetic marks like histone modifications, that accumulate differentially across the gene body regions. For instance, there is a positive correlation between H3K4me1 and gene body methylation (Fig. 4)36 that could help explain this characteristic distribution. It is worth noting that ectopically expressed DNA methyltransferases, including SsSI, in yeast, Drosophila, and mammalian cells, have been utilized for footprinting of open nucleosome-depleted regions50–53. While we observed that SsSI-dependent methylation was enriched over less accessible chromatin, we reasoned this might be due to the fact that SsSI-dependent methylation is maintained/amplified by MET1, which is more efficient or active over closed chromatin regions with higher nucleosome densities54. Another possibility is that SsSI may efficiently access and methylate open chromatin regions but the methylation is then removed by DNA glycosylases like ROSI35.

Gene body methylation has been proposed to be involved in various aspects of gene expression regulation such as alternative splicing, transcription initiation, and elongation32,34–37. We did observe a repressive effect of hCG on transcription when it occurred near the TSS region (Supplementary Fig. 5G). However, we observed only limited changes of gene expression or alternative splicing events over genes that gained hCG over their gene bodies (Supplementary Fig. 6), which is consistent with results from previous studies in plants29,30. One possibility is that the level of targeted CG methylation in our ZF-SsSI lines is under the threshold level necessary to induce any significant transcriptional changes. Alternatively, gene body methylation may simply be a consequence of other epigenetic processes, as has been recently suggested56. It is worth mentioning that compared to previous studies where the effect of gbM on gene expression was studied in hypomethylated loss-of-function mutants, like met1, our gain-of-function study analyzes the effect of gbM in genes that have not been previously exposed to gbM.

H2A.Z is a histone variant strongly enriched over unmethylated, active genes46,47, and has been shown to be anticorrelated with DNA methylation in both plants and mammals31,57,58. Loss of DNA methylation in met1 mutants, or through pharmacological inhibition or knockdown of DNMTs in mammals, led to the gain of H2A.Z occupancy over hypomethylated regions31,59. This led to the proposal that gbM might be involved in stabilizing gene expression by excluding H2A.Z31,51. Thus, the effect of hCG on transcription might be unmasked if ZF-SsSI plants were treated with different environmental stresses where a fast global transcriptional response is required to adapt to the new environment. However, a different study failed to detect H2A.Z changes in flowering plants lacking gbM29. Even though the effect is mild, our results support the first model where DNA methylation has a negative impact on H2A.Z accumulation (Fig. 5). Considering that we did not detect significant changes in gene expression over hCG genes, it is possible that the observed effects on H2A.Z levels may not be sufficient to alter the transcriptional output or that we failed to detect these changes via bulk-level RNA-seq technique21. Therefore, the relevance of the connection between gbM, H2A.Z, and transcription is presently unclear.

Maintenance of CG methylation is essential for epigenetic memory during gametogenesis and transgenerational inheritance in plants60,61. Analysis of the methylation landscape over multiple generations has demonstrated that CG methylation can be highly heritable62. Recently, we published a study in which we achieved targeted methylation using RdDM components tethered to a zinc finger protein. Although most of the targeted methylation was in a CHH context, only those regions that gained CG methylation became highlyheritable in the absence of the triggering construct34. Consistent with these observations, ZF-SsSI-dependent CG methylation was highly heritable in the absence of the transgene, even over regions with no pre-existing methylation (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 10). This strongly confirms that CG methylation is required and sufficient for methylation
in plants. Interestingly, unmethylated regions in a *met1* wild-type cross that were previously methylated showed a stronger gain in methylation in the presence of ZF-Sssl (Fig. 6D and Supplementary Fig. 10D) suggesting that these naturally methylated regions have some properties that make them more prone to become methylated. Even though we observed highly heritable targeted hCG, there were a fraction of cytosines that lost methylation upon segregation of the ZF-Sssl construct. Perhaps a longer exposure of these regions to ZF-Sssl, or a free Sssl protein, over multiple generations would promote the fixation of hCG, which would be needed in order to generate the maximum number of epialleles for breeding programs.

In summary, our study demonstrates that the bacterial methyltransferase Sssl can be used to target CG methylation in plants and has revealed the chromatin features favorable for the efficient gain of methylation. This tool targets highly heritable methylation and could be used to generate epialleles of agronomic interest. The combination of this bacterial enzyme with more specific tools, like CRISPR or TAL, should improve specificity when targeting methylation for future applied uses.

**Methods**

**Plant materials.** All plants in this study were grown under long-day conditions (16 h light/8 h dark). The *fw*-mutant has been described previously as having the *fwa nrp1d*, *fwa dmt1-2*, and *fwa nrp1* lines. The pMDCl23-ZF-3xFLAG-Sssl plasmid was transformed into Agrobacterium AGLO and then into the different backgrounds by Agrobacterium-mediated floral dipping. T1 transgenic plants were grown on 1/2 MS medium + Glufosinate 50 µg/mL (Goldbio) in growth chambers under long-day conditions and transplanted to soil. The selection of transgenic lines for experiments was based on (i) early flowering T1 plants for the lines in the *fwa* backgrounds and (ii) protein expression by Western Blot for the lines in the Col-0 background. Following transgenic generations were germinated directly on soil and the presence of the transgene was ascertained by genotyping. Plants were not selected for homozygosity except for the T4 (+) populations used for the ChIP experiment. Controls in this study correspond to untransformed plants of different backgrounds used coming from the same seed stock. Flowering time was scored by counting the total number of rosette and cauline leaves. In the flowering time data plots, each dot represents the flowering time of individual plants. Plants with 20 or fewer leaves were considered early flowering. The samples used for all of our genomics data correspond to inferences on tissue collected during the day.

**Plasmid construction.** A plant codon-optimized cDNA sequence of the CG-specific methyltransferase gene from *Sporolasma* sp. strain MQ1 (M.Sssl) was generated and ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) and cloned into the pENTR/D plasmid (Invitrogen) to generate pENTR/D-Sssl. This plasmid was used to deliver Sssl into pMDCl23-UBQ10-3xFLAG-ZP, a modified pMDCl23 plasmid containing the Arabidopsis *UBQ10* promoter followed by a BLP-ZF-3xFLAG cassette located upstream of a gateway cassette, to create pMDCl23-ZF-3xFLAG-Sssl. The ZF contains six zinc fingers that recognize an 18 bp sequence of the promoter and 5′ transcribed region of *FWA* followed by a BLRP-ZF (Fisher Scientific) and precipitated with NaAc/ethanol and GlycoBlue (Invitrogen) overnight at −20 °C. Libraries were prepared using the Ovation Ultrasonic Low Size V1-2 kit (NuGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ChIP-seq.** ChIPs were performed as described previously. Briefly, 2 grams of unwashed from untransformed Col-0 and 4 T4 homozygous populations of two independent transgenic lines expressing ZF-Sssl were grown in liquid nitrogen and fixed for 10 min in Nuclei Isolation buffer containing 1% formaldehyde. After stopping the reaction with glycine, nuclei were isolated, chromatin was sheared using a Biocor Plus (Diagenode), and immunoprecipitated overnight at 4 °C with the following antibodies: Anti-FLAG M2 (5 µL/ChIP used, F1804, Sigma), H3K4me1 (20 µL/ChIP used,Ab88895, Abcam), H3K4me4 (5 µL/ChIP used, 04-745, Millipore), H3K36me3 (10 µL/ChIP used, Ab9050, Abcam), H3K27me3 (10 µL/ChIP used, 07-449, Millipore), H3 (5 µL/ChIP used, Ab1791, Abcam), H2A (10 µL/ChIP used, Ab19293, Abcam), H2A.Z (3 µL/ChIP used) and PanH3Ac (5 µL/ChIP used, 39140, Active motif). Chromatin-bound proteins were immunoprecipitated with a 1:1 mixture of magnetic Protein A and Protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) for 3 h at 4 °C, washed with low salt, high salt, LiCl, and TE buffers for 10 min each at 4 °C and eluted for 2–20 min at 65 °C with elution buffer. Reverse order elution was done overnight at 65 °C, followed by protease K treatment at 45 °C for 5 h. DNA was purified using Phenol:Chloroform:Isomyl Alcohol 25:24:1 (Fisher Scientific) and precipitated with NaAc/ethanol and GlycoBlue (Invitrogen) overnight at −20 °C. Libraries were prepared using the Ovation Ultrasonic Low Size V1-2 kit (NuGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ATAC-seq.** ATAC-seq libraries were prepared as previously described. Briefly, inferences on nuclei were collected with customized BS-PCR primers. Then a transfection reaction was conducted in 25 µL of 2x DMF buffer (66 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.8), 132 K-Acetate, 20 mM Mg-Acetate, and 32% DMF) mixed with 2.5 µL of Tn5 and 22.5 µL of nuclei suspension and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Transposed DNA fragments were then purified with ChIP DNA Clean & Concentrator-25 (Zymo, cat. no. D5205). Libraries were then amplified as described previously.

**BS-PCR-seq analysis.** BS-PCR-seq analysis was conducted as previously described. Briefly, raw sequencing reads with designed BS-PCR primers were first filtered and trimmed based on the primer sequence with customized BS-PCR primers were then mapped to the reference TAI10 genome using BSMAP (v.2.74) by allowing up to two mismatches (-v 2), one best hit (-w 1), and to both strands (-n 1). The methylation level at each cytosine was then calculated with BSMAP (methratio.py) script by only keeping unique mapped reads (-u). Reads with more than three consecutive methylated CHH sites were removed. Methylation levels at each cytosine were calculated as C/(C+T). Cytosines with less than 20 reads coverage were excluded from further analysis. To visualize the BS-PCR-seq data, only cytosines within amplified regions were kept and plotted with R (ggplot2 package, https://ggplot2.tidyrverse.org/).

**WGBS analysis.** WGBS was performed as previously described. Briefly, raw reads were first aligned to the reference TAI10 genome using BSMAP (v.2.74) allowing up to two mismatches (-v 2), one best hit (-w 1), and to both strands (-n 1). The methylation level at each cytosine was then calculated with BSMAP (methratio.py) script by only keeping unique mapped reads (-u). Reads with more than three consecutive methylated CHH sites were removed. Methylation levels at each cytosine were calculated as C/(C+T). DMRs between ZF-Sssl and Col-0 were defined using the R package DMRcaller. To increase coverage for DMRR analysis, biological replicates were merged for each transgenic line and ZF-Sssl (+) and ZF-Sssl(-) data were merged for each transgenic lines (line 1 and line 2). In general, the whole TAI10 genome was divided into 200 bp bins and only bins with at least four cytosines, where each
cytosine is covered at least four times, has more than 10% more methylation in ZF-Sssl than Col-0, and has a significance level of less than 0.05 were kept. To define hCG DMRs for T2 and T3, the intersecting hCG DMRs of two transgenic lines in each generation were first calculated. Then the union set of T2 and T3 in the same genotyoe (either ZF-Sssl (+) or ZF-Sssl (−)) were kept. DMRs overlapping with 200 bp bins in each cluster was considered as DMRs specific for certain clusters. Genomic locations for DMRs and mCG-equivalent control were annotated using the Homer70 annotatePeaks function with default parameters. For T4 and T5, two transgenic lines were separated in order to trace the inheritable hCG DMRs. To define inheritable hCG DMRs, T2 ZF-Sssl − were compared with T2 ZF-Sssl (+) and the shared hCG DMRs were considered as inheritable hCG DMRs in T2 ZF-Sssl (−). For T3 ZF-Sssl (+) and ZF-Sssl (−), hCG DMRs were overlapped with T2 ZF-Sssl (+). For T4 ZF-Sssl (−) inheritable hCG sites, DMRs were first intersected with T3 ZF-Sssl (+) and then intersected with T2 ZF-Sssl (+), while T5 ZF-Sssl (−) inheritable hCG sites were further intersected with T4 ZF-Sssl (+) hCG DMRs. WGBS data for controls (Col-0 and fwa) used for ZF-Sssl in fwa analysis were published before in GSM29322842 and GSM355300813.

ChiP-seq analysis. For ChiP-seq data, raw reads were first mapped to the reference TAIR10 genome with Bowtie2 (v0.12.8) by allowing uniquely mapped reads and a maximum of two mismatches. PCR-duplicated reads were then filtered with SAMTools72 (v1.1.9) (Supplementary Data 3). To call ZF-SsslFLAG peak sites, the MACS2 calldiff function73 (v2.1.2) was used to compare ZF-SssI FLAG ChIP-seq and Col-0 FLAG ChIP-seq data with default parameters. Genome location and visualized with ngs.plot74 or deepTools75.

RNA-seq analysis. For RNA-seq analysis, FastQC was first used to quality-assure the raw reads (v0.11.8). Raw reads were then aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome and TAIR10 gene annotation using STAR72 (v2.7.0e) with “–outFilterMultimapNmax 1000 –outSAMmultNmax 1” options. Read counts over each gene were then calculated by featureCounts75 (v2.0.0) with default parameters. Expression levels were determined by RPKM (reads per kilobase of exons per million aligned reads) in R by customized script. Differentially expressed genes were determined with R package DESeq2 using a 2-fold change and a false discovery rate (FDR) of less than 0.05 as cutoff. Alternative splicing events were analyzed using rMATSS (v4.0.2) with the default parameters. Analysis for DEG of the dataset was performed using the web tool RAD44 (http://labw.org/beda) with default parameters.

ATAC-seq analysis. ATAC-seq analysis was performed as previously described46,68. Briefly, paired-end reads were aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome with bowtie2 (v0.12.8) by allowing maximal two mismatches, uniquely mapped reads (–m 1), and the maximal 2 kb distance between pairs (–X 2000). PCR-duplicated reads were removed using SAMTools72 (v1.19) ‘rmdup’ function and visualized with ngs.plot68 or deepTools75.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. Data supporting the findings of this work are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information file. A reporting summary for this Article is available as a Supplementary Information file. The datasets and plant materials generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request. All high-throughput sequencing data generated is accessible at NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) via GEO Series accession number GSE158927. WGBS data for controls (Col-0 and fwa) used for ZF-Sssl in fwa analysis were previously published under accession GSM2932284 and GSM3553008. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability. Customized code/scripts used for BS-PCR-seq analysis have been deposited on GitHub [https://github.com/wanlu118/BS-PCR-analysis-for-FWA].
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