Autogenous regulation in vivo of the rpmE gene encoding ribosomal protein L31 (bL31), a key component of the protein–protein intersubunit bridge B1b
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ABSTRACT

Bacterial ribosomal proteins (r-proteins) encoded by nonessential genes often carry out very important tasks in translation. In particular, this is the case of a small basic bacteria-specific r-protein L31 (bL31). Recent studies revealed a crucial role of bL31 in formation of the protein–protein intersubunit bridge B1b and hence its contribution to ribosome dynamics. Our goal was to study in vivo regulation of the rpmE operon encoding bL31. We used a previously developed approach based on chromosomally integrated fusions with the lacZ reporter. E. coli rpmE is transcribed from two promoter regions, and translation of both mRNA transcripts was shown to be feedback regulated by bL31, indicating that the autogenous operator is located within the shorter transcript. The bL31-mediated control of rpmE is gene-specific, as no regulation was found for rpmE-unrelated reporters. Thus, bL31, as many other r-proteins, possesses dual activity in living cells, acting both as an integral ribosome component and an autogenous repressor. Phylogenetic studies revealed the presence of a highly conserved stem–loop structure in the rpmE 5′ UTR, a presumable translational operator targeted by bL31, which was further confirmed by site-directed mutagenesis. This stable operator stem–loop separates an AU-rich translational enhancer from a Shine-Dalgarno element, which is a rare case of a noncontiguous translation initiation region. Sequence/structure computational approaches classify bL31 as an RNA-binding protein, consistent with its repressor function discovered here. Mutational analysis of bL31 showed that its unstructured amino-terminal part enriched in lysine is necessary for the repressor activity.

Keywords: gene expression; autogenous regulation; ribosomal proteins; bL31; YkgM; intersubunit bridge B1b; phylogenetic conservation

INTRODUCTION

A bacteria-specific ribosomal protein L31 (bL31 according to Ban et al. 2014) is a small (70 amino acid residues in length), basic (isoelectric point 9.87) component of the large ribosomal subunit, which is loosely associated with the ribosome (Eistetter et al. 1999). Although the rpmE gene encoding bL31 is not essential and the corresponding knockout strain exists (Baba et al. 2006), its product carries out very important functions in living cells as a key component of the intersubunit bridge B1b, the only one formed exclusively by r-proteins (Liu and Fredrick 2016). Protein bL31 contributes to ribosomal subunit association by interacting with uL5 in a central protuberance of the 50S subunit via its amino-terminal domain, and with uS13 in a head of the 30S subunit via its carboxy-terminal part (Fischer et al. 2015). Interaction of the carboxy-terminal domain of bL31 with a hydrophobic surface formed by proteins uS14 and uS19, as well as electrostatic interaction between bL31 Arg63 and the phosphate backbone of the 16S rRNA helix h42 (A1311 and G1312) have also been identified, and these interactions were proposed to be referred to as a bridge B1c (Liu and Fredrick 2016). Employing bL31 as a key connecting link, B1b and B1c play a crucial role in ribosome dynamics by helping to cope with ribosome structural flexibility due to obligatory rotational movements of the subunits during the translation process (Shasmal et al. 2010; Fischer et al. 2015; Liu and Fredrick 2016; Chadani et al. 2017; Ueta et al. 2017). In addition, bL31 antagonizes intrinsic ribosome destabilization caused by certain amino acid sequences of the nascent peptide in the exit tunnel (Chadani et al. 2017). Recently published data also highlight the role of bL31 in...
the initiation of translation and maintaining the reading frame (Lilleorg et al. 2017).

Ribosomal proteins in bacteria are highly conserved, and for the most part each r-protein is encoded by a single gene. However, comparative genomic studies have revealed exceptions to this trend, showing that some r-proteins have paralogs which differ in their ability to bind zinc-ions (Makarova et al. 2001; Panina et al. 2003). The *E. coli* genome encodes two Zn-binding r-proteins, bL31 and bL36, which have paralogs, YkgM and YkgO, respectively, lacking the zinc-binding motifs (Hensley et al. 2012). The *ykgM*-ykgO operon is transcriptionally repressed by Zur (zinc uptake regulator), so that under normal zinc supply it is silent (Sigdel et al. 2006; Hemm et al. 2010; Gilston et al. 2014 and below). Replacement of bL31 by its paralog YtiA has been reported for *Bacillus subtilis* ribosomes (Akanuma et al. 2006), and very recently, the replacement of bL31 and bL36 by their paralogs YkgM and YkgO, respectively, was demonstrated for *E. coli* in the stationary phase of growth (Lilleorg et al. 2019). There is a paucity of information concerning the regulation of synthesis of bL31 and bL36 themselves. As to bL36, it is encoded by *rpmJ* at the very end of the long *spc* operon which is under the control of the r-protein S8, but the question as to whether *rpmJ* and the preceding gene secY (encoding a nonribosomal protein) can be regulated by S8 is not yet solved. It seems easier to explore the regulation of the bL31 synthesis. According to available data of differential RNA sequencing (dRNA-seq, see Thomason et al. 2015, E. Hajnsdorf personal communication), the monocistronic operon *rpmE* of *E. coli* is expressed from two promoter regions. It is likely that the promoter region 1 comprises overlapping promoters, since two closely spaced transcriptional start sites (TSSs) were indicated by both above dRNA-seq arrays. It is also possible that one of these promoters is activated during heat shock, given that bL31 is the only known heat shock-inducible ribosomal protein (Wade et al. 2006; Rasouly and Ron 2009). Overall dRNA-seq data report the presence of three TSSs for *E. coli* *rpmE*—two of them correspond to the promoter region 1, and the third TSS—to the promoter P2 (Fig. 1A, see below).

The main goal of this work was to study whether the synthesis of bL31 could be regulated by the mechanism of autogenous control. Regulatory circuits linking synthesis of three rRNAs and dozens of r-proteins have not yet been studied in full even for the best-studied model bacterium *E. coli*. Many r-protein operons were found to be feedback regulated by their products (Fu et al. 2013 and references therein; Aseev et al. 2015, 2016; Babina et al. 2015). However, it appeared that some of the operons do not use this mechanism to control their expression (Aseev et al. 2016). The *rpmE* gene has never been studied, and it was impossible to predict in advance whether it could be regulated by its product or not. By using the...
rpmE-′lacZ chromosomally integrated reporters, we show here for the first time that bL31 in trans is able to modulate its own synthesis in a gene-specific manner. We found the operator site within the mRNA transcript from the promoter P2. Phylogenetic analysis of the 5′-untranslated regions (5′-UTRs) of the rpmE genes from γ-proteobacteria revealed the presence of a highly conserved stem-loop structure bearing specific features, which is typical of the autogenously regulated r-protein operons (Fu et al. 2013; Meyer 2017, 2018; Mustoe et al. 2018). Site-directed mutagenesis provided evidence that the conserved features of the stem-loop, such as two nearly situated bulges, are necessary for the bL31-mediated autogenous control. On the other part, mutational analysis of the rpmE gene within a plasmid producing bL31 in trans revealed that the amino-terminal intrinsically disordered region (residues 2 to 8) enriched in lysine is absolutely required for the repressor activity. One more feedback loop found here broadens our knowledge of mechanisms regulating ribosome biogenesis in bacteria.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Autogenous regulation of the rpmE expression**

Biogenesis of ribosomes is energetically costly and therefore requires multiple control mechanisms to adjust the levels of ribosomal components to cellular demands under various environmental conditions. One of the important mechanisms ensuring coordinated and balanced synthesis of rRNAs and r-proteins is the feedback regulation of r-protein synthesis (Nomura et al. 1984; Zengel and Lindahl 1994). Although at present most of r-protein operons in *E. coli* (16 out of 21, see Fu et al. 2013; Aseev et al. 2015, 2016; Babina et al. 2015) have been already explored (much less in other bacteria), several operons are still awaiting their turn. Among the latter, there is a monocistronic operon rpmE encoding a small basic r-protein bL31, a key component of the protein–protein intersubunit bridge B1b (see Introduction). Our main goal was to study regulation of the rpmE expression in vivo, which has never been addressed.

According to *Escherichia coli* dRNA-seq data, the rpmE gene is expressed from two promoter regions (Fig. 1A). Two closely spaced transcription start sites (TSSs) correspond to the promoter region 1, implying the overlapping of two promoters in this region, and one TSS pertains to the downstream promoter P2 (Thomason et al. 2015, E. Hajnsdorf personal communication). To study the rpmE regulation, we generated two reporter constructs and integrated them into the lac region of the chromosome of a specialized ENSO strain (Dreyfus 1988). In the first fusion, expression of the chromosomal lacZ gene was governed by all the natural rpmE promoters and corresponding 5′-UTRs, while in the second one—by the promoter P2 alone and its 5′-UTR (Fig. 1A). The resulting recombinant strains (LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ and LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ) were then transformed by the pACYC184-derivative expressing rpmE under the control of its own promoter and terminator regions (further referred to as pL31) and by an empty vector as a control. The β-galactosidase assay showed a decrease in the lacZ expression caused by pL31, indicating the inhibitory effect of bL31 in trans on both reporters (Fig. 1B). This effect is 5′-UTR-specific as no changes in expression was observed for the rpmE-unrelated reporters rpsO-lacZ and ykgM-lacZ (Fig. 1C). It should be mentioned that the expression level of ykgM-lacZ corresponding to the rpmE paralogous gene ykgM was measured in a strain deficient in transcription regulator Zur that completely repressed transcription from the ykgM promoter under normal conditions (see below). The results allow us to conclude that bL31 acts as a specific repressor of its own synthesis.

It has been observed that the expression of the r-protein operon can be modulated by its product not only when the latter is overexpressed from a plasmid but also under its normal intracellular supply. For instance, these are the cases of rpsA (Boni et al. 2000), rpsB (Aseev et al. 2008), and rpsO (Mathy et al. 2004) autogenous regulators. An intrinsic autogenous repression was found to be alleviated in mutant strains which produced the reduced amount of essential r-proteins S1 or S2 (Boni et al. 2000; Aseev et al. 2008) or no r-protein-repressor at all, as in the case of the S15-null mutant lacking the nonessential gene rpsO (Mathy et al. 2004). The lessening of the intrinsic feedback regulation was observed as an augmented expression of the rpsA-lacZ, rpsB-lacZ, and rpsO-lacZ reporters in corresponding mutant strains. To study whether a similar effect is also typical of the rpmE regulation by bL31, we created the rpmE-deletion mutant bearing the rpmE-lacZ fusion in the chromosomal lac region. The β-galactosidase assay revealed an elevated expression level of the reporter gene in this strain as compared to the wild-type rpmE background (Fig. 1D). This implies that the rpmE expression is modulated by bL31 even under normal supply of the r-protein during the steady state growth.

**Deletion of the chromosomal rpmE gene impairs cell growth**

The rpmE::kan allele was P1 transduced from the JW3907 knockout strain (Baba et al. 2006) into LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ (Table 1). The resulting bL31-deficient cells showed a significant growth defect that was completely suppressed in the presence of pL31 (Fig. 1E). The slow-growth phenotype of the ΔrpmE cells is in accordance with the data of Ueta et al. (2017) but contradicts the observation of Lilleorg et al. (2017) that a single deletion of the rpmE gene did not affect the growth of *E. coli*, and only a
simultaneous deletion of the genes encoding the two paralogs, bL31 and YkgM, resulted in a cell-growth defect. The necessity for deleting both paralogous genes to obtain a slow-growth phenotype seems irrational, given that un-

TABLE 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

| Strain/plasmid | Relevant characteristics | Reference or source |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| DH5x          | Cloning host             | Laboratory stock    |
| ENS0          | his, former HfrG6Δ12, Lac- | Dreyfus (1988)      |
| LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ | ENS0 bearing chromosomal rpmE-lacZ fusion under rpmE promoters | This work |
| LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ | ENS0 bearing rpmE-lacZ under rpmE promoter P2 | This work |
| LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ(GtoC) | LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ bearing “G to C” mutation in bL31 operator site | This work |
| LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ(GtoC) | LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ bearing “G to C” mutation in bL31 operator site | This work |
| LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ(ΔB2) | LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ bearing deletion of AGA in bL31 operator site | This work |
| LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ(ΔB2) | LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ bearing deletion of AGA in bL31 operator site | This work |
| LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ(Δss) | LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ bearing deletion of 9 bp-region preceding the operator stem-loop | This work |
| LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ(ΔB2, Δss) | Double mutant of LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ | This work |
| LAB_ykgM::lacZ | ENS0 bearing ykgM-lacZ fusion under ykgM promoter | This work |
| LAB_rpm::lacZ(Y.p) | ENS0 bearing rpmE-lacZ where rpmE moiety was amplified from Y. pestis DNA | This work |
| IBpsO188::lacZ | ENS0 rpoS-lacZ under rpsO promoter | Le Derout et al. (2010) |
| JW3907 | BW25113 ArpmE::kan, Keio collection | Baba et al. (2006) |
| JW5714 | BW25113 Δzur::kan, Keio collection | Baba et al. (2006) |
| Plasmids | | |
| pEMBLΔ46 | pEMBL derivative lacking lacZ RBS | Dreyfus (1988) |
| pEP1P2rpmE::lacZ | pEMBLΔ46 bearing rpmE-lacZ reporter under rpmE promoters P1P2 | This work |
| pEP2rpmE::lacZ | pEMBLΔ46 bearing rpmE-lacZ reporter under rpmE promoter P2 | This work |
| pEykgM-lacZ | pEMBLΔ46 bearing ykgM-lacZ reporter under ykgM promoter | This work |
| pACYC184 | tet’, Cm’, cloning vector | Laboratory stock |
| pL31 | pACYC184 derivative expressing rpmE under its entire nature promoter region | This work |
| pL31mut | A set of plasmids bearing mutations in the rpmE coding region | This work |

| Strain/plasmid | Relevant characteristics | Reference or source |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| pAC184 | tet’, Cm’, cloning vector | Laboratory stock |
| pEMBLΔ46 derivative lacking lacZ RBS | Dreyfus (1988) |
| pEMBLΔ46 bearing rpmE-lacZ reporter under rpmE promoters P1P2 | This work |
| pEMBLΔ46 bearing rpmE-lacZ reporter under rpmE promoter P2 | This work |
| pEMBLΔ46 bearing ykgM-lacZ reporter under ykgM promoter | This work |
| pAC184 derivative expressing rpmE under its entire nature promoter region | This work |
| A set of plasmids bearing mutations in the rpmE coding region | This work |
measured for the rpmE+ strain (see Fig. 1B, P1P2/pACYC184). Although indirectly, this may suggest that YkgM is able not only to replace bL31 in ribosome structure (Lilleorg et al. 2019) but also to modulate the rpmE expression.

Comparative structural analysis of the rpmE 5′-UTRs from γ-proteobacteria

The pivotal role of the conserved secondary structures within 5′-UTRs of mRNAs in translation regulation of r-protein operons has been increasingly recognized (Allen et al. 1999, 2004; Boni et al. 2001; Tchufistova et al. 2003; Aseev et al. 2008, 2009; Fu et al. 2013, 2014; Meyer 2017, 2018; Mustoe et al. 2018). To compare secondary structures of the rpmE 5′UTRs from a representative set of γ-proteobacterial species (see Material and Methods), first we outlined presumable promoters corresponding to P2 of E. coli which is a typical σ70 promoter (Schultabeger et al. 2007). The sequences upstream of the rpmE coding frame were visually inspected for the presence of the −10 hexamer, and the presumable promoter regions were analyzed by sequence logo analysis (Crooks et al. 2004). The found conservation of patterns for −10 and −35 promoter regions and an optimal spacing in between (Fig. 3A) allowed us to define the transcription start sites (assumed to be located 7 bp downstream from the −10 hexamer) and hence the length of 5′-UTRs with a high probability.

Computer modeling of putative secondary structures of the rpmE 5′-UTRs from different families of γ-proteobacteria (Zuker 2003; Bon et al. 2012) and analysis of their conservation revealed a highly conserved stem–loop structure preceding the rpmE ribosome binding site (Fig. 3B–E). In all species analyzed, this long irregular hairpin bears two nearly situated bulges, B1 and B2 (encircled on Fig. 3B, C). While the unpaired G-residues in B1 represent a universal feature, B2 varies depending on species analyzed, and based on its structure the conserved hairpins can be divided into two groups further referred to as E. coli-like (Fig. 3B,D) and Yersinia-like (Fig. 3C,E). E. coli-like B2 comprises a G-residue on one side and an unpaired AGA sequence (AUG in Providencia) on the other side, whereas all Yersinia-like stem–loops (Fig. 3C,E) bear only one unpaired G-residue in B2. Remarkably, in all species belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae that embraces the closest relatives of E. coli, the B1/B2-containing stem–loops are not only conserved, they are identical at the sequence level, which emphasizes their functional validity. At the same time, the family Morganellaceae comprises species belonging to both groups: In the genus Providencia, the rpmE stem–loop belongs to the E. coli-like type (Fig. 3D), while in other genera (Proteus, Photobacterium, Morganella, Xenorhabdus) to the Yersinia-like group (Fig. 3E). It should be noted that the Yersinia-like group includes the Pseudomonas species, which is a rare case among conserved regulatory structures controlling r-protein operons, viz. rpsB (Aseev et al. 2008, 2009) or rpsF (Fu et al. 2014; Babina et al. 2015), while most others demonstrate more narrow distribution (Allen et al. 1999, 2004; Tchufistova et al. 2003; Fu et al. 2013; Aseev et al. 2015; Meyer 2018). Importantly, the structure proposed here for the E. coli rpmE 5′UTR (Fig. 3B) exists in living E. coli cells during mid-log growth as has been shown by the SHAPE-MaP approach, a chemical probing strategy to study RNA structures across the E. coli transcriptome (Mustoe et al. 2018, Anthony Mustoe personal communication). Moreover, in growing cells, a small hairpin comprising the SD sequence (Fig. 3B) was not detected, and 5′-UTR downstream from the operator stem–loop was completely unstructured, consistent with the active translation initiation, while the SD-containing hairpin was indeed formed when translation initiation was inhibited by kasugamycin treatment (Anthony Mustoe personal communication).

To test whether the difference in a structure of B2 is essential for feedback regulation, we created a recombinant...
E. coli strain bearing the rpmE regulatory regions from Y. pestis fused in frame with the chromosomal lacZ gene. The strain was then transformed with pL31 and an empty vector as a control. While the β-galactosidase assays showed the bL31-mediated decrease in the expression of the Yersinia-derived reporter, the extent of repression was lower than in the case of the authentic regulatory regions from E. coli (Fig. 4A). This may indicate the possible contribution of the B2 structure to the autogenous control.

Site-directed mutagenesis of a presumable bL31 operator site

To examine the role of the bulges B1 and B2 in autogenous regulation of the rpmE expression in E. coli, we used site-directed mutagenesis. We first eliminated the universal bulge B1 (unpaired G-residues) shared by both E. coli-like and Yersinia-like stem–loops by changing one G for a C-residue in both rpmE-lacZ reporters (driven by P1P2-promoter regions and by P2 alone). The mutation was initially introduced in the corresponding plasmids (Table 1) and then transferred onto the chromosome by homologous recombination. The β-galactosidase assay revealed the significant alleviation of a negative impact of pL31 on the mutant (G→C) P1P2-reporter and its disappearance in the case of the mutant P2-construct (Fig. 4B), indicating that B1 is essential for the autogenous control.

The second mutation was aimed to remove B2 specific for Enterobacteriaceae. We substituted AGA for a C, thereby transforming the bulge B2 into a canonical G–C base pair. The β-galactosidase assay showed an abolishment of the autogenous control, and in addition, a significant increase in the expression level of both P1P2- and P2 rpmE-lacZ reporters (Fig. 4C). The fact that both reporters show parallel changes in activities caused by the ΔB2 mutation suggests that the remote upstream region (the beginning of the P1 transcript) plays a minimal role in autogenous regulation of the rpmE expression. Thus, phylogenetic analysis of the secondary structure conservation (Fig. 3D,E) combined with site-directed mutagenesis allowed us to define
the conserved bulges B1 and B2 as key structural elements ensuring the autogenous control of the \textit{rpmE} expression.

\textbf{Translation initiation region of the \textit{rpmE} mRNA is noncontiguous}

A significant increase in translation activities of both reporters caused by the \textit{ΔB2} mutation seems, at first glance, surprising, because this mutation leads to a considerable increase in the hairpin structure stability, with $\Delta G$ changing from $-16.0$ kcal/mol to $-23.7$ kcal/mol. The presence of stable secondary structures in the vicinity of the ribosome binding site (RBS) is generally considered as a negative factor affecting translation efficiency (De Smit and Van Duin 1994). However, the existence of noninhibitory or even positive structural elements in translation initiation regions (TIRs) of prokaryotic mRNAs has been repeatedly reported (Gold 1988; Sacerdot et al. 1998; Nivinskas et al. 1999; Boni et al. 2001; Nafissi et al. 2012). According to these data, the ribosomal mRNA binding track can tolerate the presence of long stable stem–loop structures that may optimally arrange crucial mRNA elements involved in initiation complex formation. Thus, the RBSs of the T4 genes 38 (Gold 1988) and 25 (Nivinskas et al. 1999) comprise stable hairpins separating the SD sequence from the start codon. It was suggested that they positively affect translation initiation efficiency by bringing these two essential elements into necessary proximity, without interfering with joining of the 50S subunit. RBSs or TIRs of this type may be called non-contiguous or split.

Another case, which bears more close resemblance to the \textit{rpmE} TIR, was described for the threonyl-synthetase mRNA. The translation efficiency of the \textit{thrS} mRNA is provided not only by an SD-sequence properly distanced from the start codon, but also by a single-stranded upstream region separated from the SD-element by a strong stem–loop structure which serves as an autogenous operator recognized by ThrS (Sacerdot et al. 1998). The \textit{rpmE} 5′-UTR structure also comprises a rather long, AU-rich single-stranded (ss) region in front of the conserved operator stem–loop (Fig. 3B). We believe that this ss-region may act as a “standby” site (Sterk et al. 2018) or as a translational enhancer responsible for the increase in the \textit{rpmE} TIR
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activity in the ΔB2 mutant variant. In the wild-type rpmE TIR, the conserved stem–loop is less rigid, and the bulges B2/B1 may be spatially arranged in a manner allowing their direct contacts with the initiating ribosome.

To validate our assumption that the upstream ss-region may act as a translational enhancer, we deleted the UUUUAACAUU-sequence (underlined on Fig. 3B) in front of the conserved stem–loop both from the wild-type P1P2rpmE-lacZ reporter and from its ΔB2 mutant (Materials and Methods). In both cases, we observed a great decrease in the β-galactosidase activities (Fig. 4D), which indicates that this ss-region does enhance translation efficiency, presumably via its interaction with the r-protein S1 upon formation of the 3OS initiation complex (see Komarova et al. 2002, 2005; Romilly et al. 2019).

This is in line with our idea that the rpmE TIR represents a case of a noncontiguous TIR. It is noteworthy, while the wild-type P1P2rpmE-lacZ construct bearing a 9-bp deletion retained its susceptibility to the autogenous control, the double mutant was not sensitive to the presence of bl31 in trans just as its ΔB2 parent. This gives additional arguments in favor of an essential role of B2 in autogenous control of the rpmE expression.

The rpmE feedback regulation occurs at the translation level

To verify that bl31 regulates its own expression at the translation level, we compared the transcript yields for the P2rpmE-lacZ reporter in cells bearing pl31 and an empty vector by using RT-qPCR with an internal standard (Materials and Methods). We did not find any significant difference in transcript amounts which could denote other possible control mechanisms like preliminary transcription termination or a decrease in transcript stability (Fig. 4E). This led us to conclude that the rpmE expression is regulated at the level of translation.

Possible mechanisms for translational autogenous regulation of the rpmE gene

bl31 represents an unusual translational repressor, because in ribosomes, it is involved in formation of the protein–protein intersubunit bridge B1b through interactions with L5 in a central protuberance of the 5OS subunit and with S13 in a head of 30S (Fischer et al. 2015; Liu and Fredrick 2016), whereas its site-specific interactions with rRNA have not been defined. At the same time, the recently proposed TriPepSVM algorithm able to predict RNA-binding features relying on the primary structure only cataloged bl31 as a RNA-binding protein (RBP) in E. coli and Salmonella (Bressin et al. 2019), which is in line with its repressor activity discovered by us. Next, since the spatial structure of a free bl31 has remained undetermined, we used an advanced web server IntFOLD for high performance 3D structure prediction (McGuffin et al. 2019). According to the model obtained (Fig. 4F), bl31 in a free state is largely disordered and does not contain any classical RNA-binding fold. Importantly, many of the recently identified RBPs do not harbor canonical RNA-binding domains, but may achieve RNA binding through sequences that are intrinsically disordered and often of low amino acid complexity (Calabretta and Richard 2015; Järvelin et al. 2016; Ntountoumi et al. 2019). Thus, we considered the possibility that the role of bl31 as an autogenous repressor may rely on its ability to directly interact with the operator stem–loop through its intrinsically disordered regions.

To find out which part of a highly disordered bl31 (Fig. 4F) participates in autogenous regulation, we mutated the rpmE coding region within the plasmid pl31. All the mutated variants obtained were sequenced and then analyzed for their ability to inhibit expression of the P2rpmE-lacZ reporter. First, we deleted the carboxy-terminal part of the protein (amino acid residues 63 to 70) essential for the interaction of bl31 with the 30S subunit (Ueta et al. 2017). In particular, Arg63 was shown to interact with the phosphate backbone of the 16S rRNA helix h42 (A1311 and G1312) (Fischer et al. 2015; Liu and Fredrick 2016). The resulting plasmid pl31ΔC was still able to inhibit the rpmE-lacZ expression to the same extent as the initial pl31 expressing wild-type bl31. Then, we mutated several arginines in a region (residues 41 to 59) which was predicted to possess an RNA-binding activity by the TriPeptide algorithm (Bressin et al. 2019). We substituted R49, R56, and R59 + R63 for alanines, but none of these changes impaired the repressor activity of bl31 expressed in vivo. (A) Comparison of the bl31-mediated repression levels for E. coli- and Y. pestis-derived rpmE-lacZ reporters. (B) Site-directed mutations of B1 (B) and B2 (C) in E. coli rpmE-lacZ reporters under the control of both P1P2 and P2-only promoters eliminate autogenous repression by bl31 in trans. (D) Deletion of a single-stranded region (ΔSS) upstream of the operator stem–loop greatly reduces translation efficiency of the P1P2rpmE-lacZ reporter but does not affect autogenous control. (E) Comparison of P2rpmE-lacZ transcript amounts in cells bearing an empty vector or its derivative pl31 suggests that autogenous repression occurs at the translation level. The results of RT-qPCR analysis with the internal control (rpOb). (F) Mutation analysis of bl31 produced in trans reveals the necessity of the unstructured amino-terminal region (but not the carboxy-terminal part) for its repressor activity. Primary and presumable 3D structures predicted by IntFOLD (McGuffin et al. 2019) are shown. Deletions are boxed in the bl31 sequence, and the first and the last deleted residues are marked with red circles on the 3D structure. Positions of point mutations (R to A) are marked with blue circles. (G) FLAG-tagging of bl31 and bl31ΔN does not change their ability (bl31-FLAG) or inability (bl31ΔN-FLAG) to act as an autogenous repressor. The results of the β-galactosidase assay are shown. The dot-blot immunoassay with the anti-FLAG antibody M2 revealed that the FLAG-tagged proteins were synthesized in comparable amounts. In both assays, three independent biological replicates were tested.
bL31 (Fig. 4F). These results suggest that the part of bL31 involved in interactions with the 3OS subunit is not responsible for the autoregulatory function. Finally, we deleted the amino-terminal unstructured region (residues 2 to 8). The corresponding plasmid pl31ΔN completely lost an ability to inhibit the rpmE-lacZ expression, indicating that its product, L31 lacking the lysine-rich disordered amino terminus, was unable to serve as an autogenous repressor, presumably due to a loss of RNA-binding specificity. We should note that pl31ΔN has also revealed a partially decreased ability to suppress the slow-growth phenotype of the ΔrpmE mutant (not shown), most likely due to a decreased affinity to the 5OS subunit during ribosome assembly.

Since the carboxyl terminus is not essential for the regulatory activity of bL31, we modified the plasmids pl31 and pl31ΔN to provide the carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagging of the expressed proteins. The presence of the FLAG epitope did not change an ability of bL31 to inhibit the rpmE-lacZ expression, with the L31ΔN-FLAG remaining unable to act as a repressor (Fig. 4G). To compare the amounts of proteins expressed from pl31wt and pl31ΔN in a cell, we used the dot-blot immunoassay with the anti-FLAG M2 monoclonal antibody. The results showed that L31wt-FLAG and L31ΔN-FLAG were synthesized in comparable amounts (though the amount of L31ΔN-FLAG was somewhat lower), suggesting that the absence of the L31ΔN-mediated repression is not due to its highly diminished synthesis in a cell (Fig. 4G).

It remains still unresolved how RNA-binding specificity of RBPs can be attained through intrinsically disordered regions (see Järvelin et al. 2016). In the case of bL31, we cannot exclude that its protein partners in the ribosome might help its repressor activity. First of all, it concerns L5 that interacts with the amino-terminal domain of bL31, while the disordered amino-terminal residues may contact 5S rRNA (Fischer et al. 2015). So far RNA-binding mediated by intrinsically disordered regions has been described mainly for numerous eukaryotic proteins (Calabretta and Richard 2015; Järvelin et al. 2016). In this regard, bL31 represents an intriguing example of a prokaryotic, largely disordered protein demonstrating an RNA-binding specificity.

**Concluding remarks**

The important role of the autogenous control of r-protein synthesis in coordinated production of ribosomal components has been repeatedly underlined. Moreover, recent data indicate this mechanism is necessary for overall organism viability (Babina et al. 2018). As mentioned above, the most part of E. coli r-protein operons have been already examined (16 out of 21), and only two of them, rplU-rpmA and rpmB-rpmG, did not reveal any impact of the excess r-protein production on the expression level of the operon-specific reporters (Aseev et al. 2016). In this study, we identified one more regulatory loop, viz. the modulation of the rpmE gene expression by its product, bL31, an essential component of the intersubunit bridges B1b/c. The rpmE translation regulation shows both typical and peculiar features. The feature typical of the most part of described cases is the presence of a highly conserved cis-regulatory RNA structure in a 5′ UTR, which serves as a translational operator, an indispensable attribute of the autogenous control mediated by r-proteins (for reviews, see Fu et al. 2013; Meyer 2017, 2018). The distinctive feature is that the translational initiation region (TIR) of the rpmE mRNA represents a rare case of a noncontiguous TIR, where a stable operator stem–loop structure separates an AU-rich translational enhancer from a Shine-Dalgarno element; to our knowledge, this type of a noncontiguous TIR has been previously described only for the thrS mRNA (Sacerdot et al. 1998). Another peculiar feature of the rpmE regulation is the nature of the trans-acting r-protein-repressor, bL31, whose function on a ribosome is to take part in the formation of the protein–protein bridge B1b, while its site-specific interactions with rRNA have not been defined. Nevertheless, recent computational tools catalog bL31 as an RNA-binding protein (Bressin et al. 2019; Ntoutouri et al. 2019), which is consistent with its repressor activity found here. The mutational analysis revealed that an intrinsically disordered amino-terminal region of bL31 likely contributes to its repressor function. We believe the mechanistic details of the bL31-mediated autogenous control represent an intriguing task for future studies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids**

Strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 1. The plasmid pl31 was created by cloning the PCR fragment corresponding to the entire rpmE gene flanked with its own promoters and terminator into BamHI/HindIII sites of pACYC184. The restriction sites were included in the structure of the forward and reverse primers (marked on Fig. 2A). The structure of pl31 was checked by sequencing, and expression of the wild-type bL31 from the plasmid was verified by its ability to suppress the slow-growth phenotype of the rpmE::kan strain JW3907 (Baba et al. 2006).

The rpmE-lacZ chromosomal fusions were generated as previously described (Aseev et al. 2008, 2009, 2015, 2016). DNA fragments corresponding to the rpmE region including promoters, 5′-UTR and the beginning of the coding sequence were amplified from the genomic DNAs of E. coli or Y. pestis by using primers containing BamHI and HindIII sites for cloning into pEMLΔ46 (Dreyfus 1988) in-phase with the lacZ sequence. The ykgM-lacZ fusion was constructed in the same way. The plasmids were first used to transform DH5α cells, and proper clones were selected by co-complementation. Plasmids were isolated from blue colonies, sequenced and used for transformation of the Lac− strain ENS0 (Dreyfus 1988, former name HfrG6A12) and subsequently transferring the reporter fusions onto the chromosome by homologous recombination, selecting for Lac+ phenotype on...
McConkey agar. The rpmE::kan allele from JW3907 and zur::kan from JW5714 (Baba et al. 2006) were transferred into strains bearing the rpmE-lacZ and ykgM-lacZ reporters, respectively, by P1 transduction.

**Growth of cells and β-galactosidase assays**

Strains were grown at 37°C in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with antibiotics if necessary: kanamycin (kan) 30 µg/mL, chloramphenicol (Cm) 34 µg/mL, harvested in exponential phase at an optical density of 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) about 0.4–0.5 and used for preparing clarified cell lysates. The cells were broken by a repeated thawing-freezing procedure; the lysates were treated with the RNase-free DNase and clarified by centrifugation as previously described (Tchufistova et al. 2003). Protein concentration in a fraction of soluble proteins was determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Specific β-galactosidase activities in the same fraction were measured according to Miller (1972) and expressed in nmol ONPG (o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of total soluble cell proteins.

**Zinc deprivation experiments**

The zinc chelator TPEN [N,N,N,N-tetraakis(2-pyridyl-methyl)ethylendiamine (Sigma-Aldrich)] was used to induce Zn²⁺-starvation in growing cultures (Sigdel et al. 2006; Hensley et al. 2012). Cells harboring the ykgM-lacZ chromosomal fusion were grown at 37°C to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ ~ 0.4) in M9 minimal medium supplemented with Casamino acids (10 g/L), 1 mM MgSO₄ 7H₂O, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.2% glycerol, 1 µg/mL thiamin (Miller 1972) and then treated with 5 mM TPEN solution in 50% ethanol (final concentration 10 µM). Aliquots of 3 mL were withdrawn just before and 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after the addition of TPEN, placed on ice, and lysed as described above for preparing cell lysates for the β-galactosidase assay. To test the possibility of modulating the rpmE-lacZ expression by YkgM (as a paralog of bL31), we used the bL31-deficient strain bearing the P1P2rpmE-lacZ chromosomal reporter (Table 1). The cells were grown in M9 medium described above to OD₆₀₀ ~ 0.35, then cultures were divided in half, and one portion was treated by TPEN to induce the YkgM synthesis. Treated and nontreated cultures were cultivated for 2 h, placed on ice, and cell lysates were prepared for the β-galactosidase assay.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

For site-directed mutagenesis we used two pEMBL46-derivatives bearing the *E. coli* rpmE-lacZ fusions driven by the entire rpmE promoter region (pEP1P2rpmE-lacZ) or by the promoter P₂ alone (pEP2rpmE-lacZ). First, we mutated the universal B₁ bulge (two unpaired G residues) in the conserved stem–loop structure (located upstream of the rpmE RBS) by changing the G residue on the left side of the hairpin for a C, thus transforming B₁ into a canonical C–G pair. To this end, the two-step PCR technique was used. At the first step, two overlapping PCR fragments were obtained on each plasmid with two pairs of primers: rpmE_GtoC-for (5′-CATCGCGTCTGTCTCGGGTATTAG) together with DSlac, and rpmE_GtoC-rev (5′-CTAACGCGCACACACGGAC CGGATG) together with UPlac (mutated base is underlined). UPlac and DSlac were previously described (Komarova et al. 2002). At the second step, the two purified PCR fragments were mixed and amplified in the presence of UPlac and DSlac. Finally, the resulting PCR fragments were treated with BamHI and HindIII and cloned into pEMBL46/BamHI, HindIII to create pEP1P2rpmE-lacZ(GtoC) and pEP2rpmE-lacZ(GtoC). The plasmids were sequenced and used to transfer a “G to C” mutation onto the chromosome by homologous recombination.

Another PCR approach was used to create a mutation transforming the bulge B₂ (G on the left side and AGA on the right side of the conserved hairpin, Fig. 3B) into a canonical G–C pair by changing AGA for a C. The following primers were designed to delete AGA by amplifying the whole plasmid (pEP1P2rpmE-lacZ or pEP2rpmE-lacZ) with the use of Phusion HotStartII DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific): rpmE_delAGA-for 5′-CGGCAG CGGCCTTTAACCG and rpmE_delAGA-rev 5′-GTCCAGCCTA ACGCCAGAC. The reverse primer had a G at the 5′-end to provide formation of a G–C pair in the final product. The PCR products of proper lengths were purified, treated with T4-polynucleotide kinase in the presence of cold ATP, and after inactivating the kinase (10 min at 70°C) the blunt ends were ligated. The ligated products were purified, treated with DpnI, and used to transform DH5α. The resulting plasmids (pEP1P2rpmE-lacZΔB₂ and pEP2rpmE-lacZΔB₂) were sequenced and then used to transfer the “ΔB₂” mutation onto the chromosome of ENS0 by homologous recombination. The deletion of a 9-bp fragment from the AT-rich region preceding the conserved stem–loop was done in a similar way with primers rpmE_delAT-for 5′-CGCGTGTC TGGCGTTAG and rpmE_delAT-rev 5′-GTATAGCTTCAATAC GATCATTGTCAC. At the mRNA level, the deleted sequence is underlined in Figure 3B. The deletion was introduced both in pEP1P2rpmE-lacZ and in pEP1P2rpmE-lacZΔB₂ plasmids, and then transferred onto the chromosome, resulting in mutant strains LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ Δss, and **LAB_P1P2rpmE::lacZ Δss, Ass**.

**RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from exponential cultures of LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ bearing pL31 or an empty vector using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to recommendations of the manufacturer. RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) was added to the columns during RNA extraction for 15 min to ensure the absence of DNA contamination in RNA samples. The amount of total RNA in preparations was estimated by measuring the OD₂₆₀. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed with AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) in final volume 20 µL for 1 h at 42°C on 1 µg of total RNA in the presence of two reverse primers (1 µL of 5 µM solution each) corresponding to lacZ(DSlac, see Komarova et al. 2002) and to the reference gene rpoB (rpoB-rev: 5′-CGGATTGACAT CCTGGAAGTC). Real-time PCR (qPCR) was run with the use of LightCycler 96 (Roche); each 25 µL reaction contained 2 µL RT mix, 5 µL 5× qPCRmix HS SYBR (Evrogen), and the following primers: rpmE_P2-for 5′-CTTCCGTACGAAATGATCGTTAAGGC, DSlac, rpoB-for 5′-AAGTCATAGCCTTCCGATTTACCC and rpoB-rev (1 µL of 5 µM solution each). Two independently isolated preparations of total RNA were used for RT, and three technical replicates for each qPCR reaction were run simultaneously.
Control qPCR reactions without RT were done to exclude DNA contaminations in RNA preparations. LinRegPCR software was used to quantify transcript amounts relative to the reference transcript rpmB.

Mutational analysis of bL31

To search for the bL31 region required for its role as an autogenous repressor, we mutated the rpmE coding part within the plasmid pL31. First, we deleted the carboxy-terminal tail, which contributes to ribosome formation and translational activity (Ueta et al. 2017). To this end, we used PCR with primers flanking the sequence to be deleted to amplify the whole pL31 except for the region encoding the C-tail (residues 63 to 70). The same approach was used for deleting the amino-terminal part of bL31 (residues 2 to 8). In both reactions, Phusion HotStartII DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) was used, and protocols were essentially the same as described above for the ΔB2 deletion. In addition, we introduced point mutations by changing several arginines (encoded by CGT triplets) for alanines (GCT). To this end, the two-step PCR technique was used (see above). At first step, two PCR fragments were obtained with “internal” overlapping primers comprising mutations and “external” primers bearing BamHI (forward) and HindIII (reverse) sites which were used for cloning the rpmE gene in pACYC184 (Fig. 1A). At the second step, the two PCR products were mixed and amplified in the presence of “external” primers; next, the products obtained were treated with BamHI and HindIII and ligated into pACYC184/BamHI, HindIII. The resulting plasmids were sequenced to confirm the presence of the desired mutations in the rpmE gene.

FLAG-tagging and dot-blot analysis of bL31wt and bL31ΔN expressed from pL31wt and pL31ΔN

To provide the carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagging of the encoded proteins, plasmids pL31wt and pL31ΔN were modified by inserting the FLAG-encoding sequence; the modification was done by Evrogen. The strain LAB_P2rpmE::lacZ was transformed with pL31wt-FLAG and pL31ΔN-FLAG, cells were grown to the mid-log phase, and cell lysates were prepared as described above. The dot-blot immunoassay was performed as previously described (Hemm et al. 2010) with minor modifications. Aliquots of each sample (corresponding to the equal amount of 2 μg of total soluble proteins) were spotted on a nitrocellulose membrane; the membrane was then dried at room temperature, blocked by 0.4% Tween 20 (PBS-Tween) for 1 h and then probed with anti-FLAG M2 monoclonal antibodies produced in mouse (Sigma-Aldrich), washed in PBS-Tween, and finally incubated with anti-mouse IgG HRP-linked secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology). The results were visualized with Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad) and Bio-Rad VersaDoc MP4000 image station.

Bioinformatic tools

Nucleotide sequences of the rpmE gene and its flanking regions in various bacterial genomes were extracted from the NCBI Gene database. A graphical representation of the patterns within rpmE promoter sequences from a subset of γ-proteobacterial species belonging to different families was generated by using WebLogo (Crooks et al. 2004). The genomes analyzed were: E. coli, Salmonella enterica, Enterobacter aerogenes, Pantoea agglomerans, Providencia stuartii, Providencia alcalifaciens, Erwinia amylovora, Klebsiella oxytoca, Xenorhabdus bovienii, Photobacterium temperatum, Shewanella oneidensis, Shewanella algae, Proteus mirabilis, Morganella morgani, Dickeya dadantii, Aeromonas hydrophila, Alteromonas nucleoidii, Pseudoalteromonas multocida, Haemophilus influenzae, Yersinia pestis, Yersinia enterocolitica, Vibrio fisheri, Vibrio cholerae, Francisella tularensis, Serratia fonticola, Pseudomonas syringae, P. aeruginosa, P. putida. Secondary structures of the rpmE 5′-UTRs were predicted by using algorithms Mfold (Zuker 2003) and McGenus (Bon et al. 2012). To demonstrate the conservation of the stem–loop structure in the rpmE 5′-UTR, the LocARNA algorithm (Smith et al. 2010) was used. The predicted 3D structure of a free bL31 was built with the use of IntFOLD web server (McGuffin et al. 2019). RNA-binding properties of bL31 were predicted by TriPepSVM algorithm (Bressin et al. 2019).
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