Dead cells release a ‘necrosignal’ that activates antibiotic survival pathways in bacterial swarms
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Swarming is a form of collective bacterial motion enabled by flagella on the surface of semi-solid media. Swarming populations exhibit non-genetic or adaptive resistance to antibiotics, despite sustaining considerable cell death. Here, we show that antibiotic-induced death of a sub-population benefits the swarm by enhancing adaptive resistance in the surviving cells. Killed cells release a resistance-enhancing factor that we identify as AcrA, a periplasmic component of RND efflux pumps. The released AcrA interacts on the surface of live cells with an outer membrane component of the efflux pump, TolC, stimulating drug efflux and inducing expression of other efflux pumps. This phenomenon, which we call ‘necrosignaling’, exists in other Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria and displays species-specificity. Given that adaptive resistance is a known incubator for evolving genetic resistance, our findings might be clinically relevant to the rise of multidrug resistance.
Bacteria employ many appendages for movement and dispersal in their ecological niches. Of these, flagella-driven motility is the fastest, promoting the colonization of favorable niches in response to environmental signals, and contributing significantly to the pathogenic ability of some species. Flagella are used both for swimming individually through liquid, and swarming collectively over surfaces. An unexpected and clinically relevant property of swarms is their nongenetic resistance to antibiotics at levels lethal to free-swimming planktonic cells of the same species. The resistance depends on high cell densities associated with swarms, and is phenomenologically similar to adaptive resistance of bacterial biofilms. While several terminologies such as resistance, tolerance, and persistence have been used to describe bacterial resistance phenotypes observed under various settings, a shared attribute of a majority these phenotypes is their association with reduced metabolism and slow bacterial growth. In stark contrast, bacterial swarms are metabolically active and grow robustly, suggesting that different resistance mechanisms may be operative here. To distinguish the adaptive resistance seen in swarms from that in other reported cases of this phenomenon, we are calling swarming-specific resistance SR.

In a study of several bacterial species, swarms that successfully colonized media containing antibiotics nonetheless experienced substantial cell death. Programmed bacterial cell death, where death of a subpopulation benefits the community by providing nutrients, has been reported by several studies. Cell death incurred during swarming, however, appeared to provide a protective benefit, leading to speculation that a dead-cell layer at the bottom of the swarm might shield live cells swarming on top by acting as a physical barrier against antibiotic penetration. In a study examining the 3D architecture of a swarm in the presence of different antibiotics, dead cells were not always found at the bottom, ruling out a barrier role. Instead, live cells were seen to migrate away from the antibiotic source, leading to a suggestion that cell death emanating around this source releases an avoidance signal that contributes to the resistance.

The impetus for the present study came from our observation of heterogeneity in antibiotic-induced cell killing in a swarm, where a distinct subpopulation was more susceptible to killing. To test if death of this subpopulation contributes to SR, pre-killed cells were introduced into the swarm, where they indeed enhanced SR. In a study focused on Escherichia coli (E. coli), we have used this observation to purify the SR-enhancing factor. We show that the dead cells release a “necrosignal” in the form of AcrA, which is a periplasmic protein component of a tripartite RND efflux pump. AcrA binds to the TolC component of this pump on the outer membrane (OM) of live cells to activate immediate efflux, as well as stimulates expression of multiple pathways that overcome antibiotic stress, pathways that are already enhanced in the swarm. Our finding of heterogeneity in cell killing within the swarm is suggestive of altruistic features that ultimately increase survival of the swarm. Finally, we demonstrate that necrosignaling operates in multiple bacterial species and exhibits species specificity.

Results

Death of a subpopulation may be linked to SR. Initially, we monitored the antibiotic susceptibility of planktonic vs. swarm cells of E. coli. Exposure to a broad concentration range of the antibiotic kanamycin (Kan) produced distinct survival patterns. At low concentrations (e.g., Kan 2-5 μg/ml), and during the early phase of growth (0.5 h), swarm cells were surprisingly more susceptible to killing than planktonic cells, a trend maintained for up to Kan (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). This trend reversed at both higher Kan concentrations as well as later times, where planktonic cells became more susceptible. We hypothesized that the higher initial susceptibility of swarm cells could be indicative of heterogeneity in the population, with a subpopulation that was more sensitive. This rationale was tested in silico by simulating the survival patterns of each subpopulation as a first-order decay where the survival rate is analogous to that population’s susceptibility to the antibiotic (Supplementary Fig. 1a and “Methods”). A homogeneous cell population with a singular response rate reasonably modeled the planktonic data (MSE range: 10^{-6}–10^{-3}), but failed to model the swarm data (MSE range: 10^{-3}–10^{-2}) (Fig. 1a). Conversely, when a heterogeneous population composed of two subpopulations differing in initial rates of death were introduced into the simulations, the resultant curves successfully fit the experimental data as determined by MSE scores 10–1000 times lower (MSE range: 10^{-7}–10^{-5}) compared to the homogeneous population. The fits between the two population models for both swarming and planktonic cells were determined to be statistically significant by the Wilcoxon rank sum test (Fig. 1a). Taken together, the data indicate the existence of two distinct subpopulations within the swarm, one more susceptible to the antibiotic. Given that the experimental MIC for Kan in swarm cells was almost double that of planktonic cells (Supplementary Fig. 1c), we hypothesized that the more susceptible population might serve as an early distress signal to elicit resistance in the swarm community.

Dead cells release AcrA as a necrosignal. To directly test whether cell death acts as a signal, the border-crossing assay was primarily employed as diagrammed in Fig. 2a, where in a divided petri plate containing swarm media, only the right chamber has the antibiotic. To test if cell death enhances SR, pre-killed cells were applied to the right chamber, now containing antibiotic at a concentration higher than the swarm’s normal tolerance (Fig. 2b). WT E. coli inoculated on the left, swarm over the right chamber with Kan (not shown) but not with Kan (Fig. 1b). When E. coli cells killed by Kan were applied to the right chamber, the WT population could colonize Kan (Fig. 1b). Although cells killed with Kan promoted migration over Kan, the enhanced resistance was independent of the killing method (Supplementary Fig. 2a), with the exception of heat (Supplementary Fig. 2b). The response to killed cells was sustained, in that the swarm retained its capacity for resistance even after exiting a zone of dead cells (Supplementary Fig. 3).

The heat-sensitive nature of the SR-factor (Supplementary Fig. 2b) suggested that it might be isolatable. To this end, cell extracts prepared from Kan-treated cells were assayed, and showed activity in the supernatant fraction (Supplementary Fig. 2b). The activity was resistant to DNaseI and RNaseI (Supplementary Fig. 2c), but sensitive to protease (Fig. 1b). A 30% ammonium sulfate precipitate, when resuspended in buffer and applied as lines, promoted the swarm to track along these lines (Fig. 1b). We will henceforth refer to this active factor as the necrosignal, and its ability to promote SR as “necrosignaling”.

We found necrosignaling to be operative in other bacterial species as well (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 2d). However, except for E. coli and Salmonella, where killed cells of one species promoted a reciprocal response in the other (Fig. 1e, maroon areas), species-specificity of the response was evident in Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Serratia marcescens (Fig. 1e, blue areas). Given that E. coli and Salmonella have an interchangeable response, we used both bacteria to purify and determine the common identity of the necrosignal (Supplementary Fig. 4). MS/MS analysis of the active fractions obtained after the final purification step yielded five common proteins (Supplementary Fig. 5; AcrA, UspE, BaeR, YhdC, and Crp). All subsequent experiments were performed with E. coli.
Deletion and overexpression analysis helped narrow down the necrosignal candidate (Fig. 1c, d). In both analyses, candidate strains were pre-killed and applied to the right chamber. Only ΔacrA abolished the enhanced resistance response to Kan40 (Fig. 1c; Δcrp is not included because this deletion severely represses swarming21). Conversely, when overexpressed, AcrA (pacra) promoted swarming over Kan40, but so did BaeR (pbaeR) (Fig. 1d). BaeR is a positive regulator of acrAD operon22. Given that ΔbaeR did not abolish the response, AcrA is most likely the necrosignal. Purified AcrA (flanked by His- and FLAG-epitope tags; see “Methods” and Supplementary Fig. 4d), showed a concentration-dependent SR response, plateauing at Kan40 (Fig. 1f). The estimated number of AcrA molecules per cell required to elicit a response increased exponentially with increasing antibiotic (Fig. 1f, inset) reaching a plateau, suggesting specific binding (nonspecific binding is generally linear23).

When purified AcrA was added to a planktonic culture treated with Kan near its MIC40 (see Supplementary Fig. 1c for MIC analysis), only a modest increase in survival was observed, unless cell density was increased (Fig. 3). Even at high planktonic cell density, swarm cells had a better survival response, indicating that high cell density is important but not sufficient to account for swarm resistance, and that the physiological state of the swarm might be an important contributor as well.

**Mechanism of AcrA necrosignaling.** AcrA is the periplasmic component of the RND efflux pump AcrAB-ToLC (and AcrAD-ToLC)24. To elucidate whether the activity of this surprising candidate for the necrosignal was independent of the OM component ToLC, we carried out both deletion and complementation analysis with tolC. Absence of ToLC in the active swarm annulled the resistance response to AcrA applied on the right (Fig. 4a1), while complementation with ToLC restored it (Fig. 4a2). However, absence of ToLC in killed cells applied on the right did not affect the response outcome (Fig. 4a3). Taken together, these results indicate that the necrosignaling activity of AcrA is dependent on the presence of ToLC in the live cells, and suggest that AcrA released from dead cells may bind to ToLC from the outside.
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Fig. 1 Dead bacteria release a necrosignal. a Graph showing distribution of the mean squared errors (MSE) between experimental and simulated killing curves of planktonic (green) and swarm (purple) cells shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a; 1 and 2 are simulations assuming homogeneous and heterogeneous populations, respectively (see “Methods”). The indicated p values were calculated from a two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test between the two types of populations. Median, solid black lines; quartiles, dashed black lines. b-d Border-crossing assays that established the identity of the necrosignal. WT E. coli were inoculated in the left chamber in every case, whereas material applied to the right chamber is indicated below each plate. b None, no cells applied; Dead (Kan250), cells killed by Kan250; Pro K, cell extract supernatant from killed cells, treated with Proteinase K (see Supplementary Fig. 2b for supernatant alone); AS pel pellet fraction after treating supernatant with ammonium sulfate. Kan Kanamycin, Gen Gentamycin. c Gene deletions (Δ). All gene deletions were made with a Kan cassette, so the cells were pre-killed with Gentamycin (Gen50), and tested for swarming on Gen20. b2 serves as the control for these experiments. d Gene overexpression from ASKA library plasmids (p). These strains were pre-killed with Kan250. e Chart showing the species specificity of necrosignaling. Ec Escherichia coli, Se Salmonella enterica, Bs Bacillus subtilis, Pa Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Sm Serratia marcescens. Columns: bacterial species inoculated in the left chamber. Rows: bacterial species providing the dead cells applied on the right chamber, Maroon, SR positive; Blue, SR negative. See “Methods” for the cell killing procedure and assay conditions, and Supplementary Fig. 2d for the raw data. f Swarming response to indicated Kan concentrations with increasing antibiotic (Fig. 1f). The estimated number of AcrA molecules per cell required to elicit a response increased exponentially with
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We next sought to identify residues critical for the response in both AcrA and TolC. For TolC, we took advantage of reports that TolC serves as a receptor for certain colicins and bacteriophages, as a guide for our mutagenesis. As shown in Fig. 4c, a subset of the residues tested were also essential for the SR response. For AcrA, deletion of 72 and 75 residues from its C- and N- terminus respectively, did not affect its activity, but perturbation of its helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif or nearby residues eliminated it (Fig. 4c), suggesting that the HTH region of AcrA is critical. (Primary data for results summarized in Fig. 4c are provided in Supplementary Fig. 6).

To test binding of AcrA to TolC exposed on the OM, we marked AcrA with Qdot705-labeled anti-FLAG antibody, and labeled the membrane with FM 1-43. Microscopy images show localization of AcrA to the membrane (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 7a). Deletion and complementation of tolC led to loss and restoration of AcrA binding, respectively (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 7b). Critical TolC residues as deduced from plate assays (Fig. 4c), were verified in the binding assay by the observation that tolC3257A, but not tolC355A, supported AcrA binding (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 7b). The extracellular localization of AcrA was confirmed by trypsin digestion (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 7c; see Supplementary Fig. 7d for Qdot705 control). Taken together, both genetics and microscopy corroborate that AcrA binds to TolC externally to elicit necrosignaling.

**Mechanism of SR.** To determine if necrosignaling impacts gene expression, RNA-seq analysis was performed. Expression of 566 genes, many of which ontologically classified to motility, membrane function, and energy metabolism, showed specific changes in swarm cells (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 8). Efflux pumps and transport functions were upregulated, with further increases in expression upon addition of antibiotics and of AcrA. Expression of OM porin genes were down in the swarm (Fig. 5a) and in expression upon addition of antibiotics and of AcrA. Expression of selected genes involved in ROS catabolism, iron transport, and efflux pump components and their regulators also decreased SR (Supplementary Fig. 9b, d).

The Nile Red assay for efflux, confirmed a higher rate of efflux, stimulated by AcrA (Fig. 5b), The Alamar Blue assay for membrane permeability showed lower permeability, unaffected by AcrA (Fig. 5c). Next, we directly measured the intracellular concentration of antibiotics using two methods—spectroscopy employing the fluorescent antibiotic Fleroxacin, and disc-diffusion assay for the thermostable Kanamycin. The concentration of both antibiotics was significantly lower in swarm cells compared to planktonic cells (Fig. 5d, e), consistent with the data in Fig. 5b, c.

The RNA-seq data were further validated by genetics. Overexpression of selected genes involved in ROS catabolism, iron transport, and efflux pumps increased SR, whereas overexpression of porins decreased it (Supplementary Fig. 9a, c). Deletions of many efflux pump components and their regulators also decreased SR (Supplementary Fig. 9b, d).

Taken together, the data show that E. coli swarms are preprogrammed to be less permeable, more prepared to efflux, and to tolerate antibiotic stress by upregulating ROS catabolism pathways. Antibiotic exposure and the resultant cell death...
and release of AcrA enhances these pathways further. AcrA also stimulates immediate efflux from TolC pumps. Figure 6 summarizes these results.

**Discussion**

Bacterial swarms alter the expression of genes controlling both morphology and physiology\(^{10,33–38}\). We show here that *E. coli* swarms are intrinsically programmed to survive encounters with antibiotics by modulating at least three different pathways as proactive shields: efflux, ROS catabolism, and membrane permeability. The first two pathways are upregulated, while the third is downregulated. The upregulation of multiple efflux pump components has also been reported in *Pseudomonas*\(^ {10,39} \) and *Salmonella*\(^ {35} \). ROS stress is known to be generated by antibiotics\(^ {40–42} \), high cell densities\(^ {13,44} \), and increased energy utilization\(^ {45} \), the latter two being hallmarks of swarms\(^ {12,21,46} \). Densely packed and highly motile swarm cells utilize more energy\(^ {46} \) via

**Fig. 4** AcrA binds TolC externally as a necrosignal. **a** The AcrA response requires TolC. Genotypes of *E. coli* strains inoculated on the left or proteins/pre-killed cells applied on the right are indicated below the plates. The tolC deletion reduces SR to Kan\(^ {25} \), therefore Kan\(^ {35} \) was used in these plates. Strain ΔtolC\(^ {ΔK} \) has its Kan\(^ K \) marker removed (ΔK; see Supplementary Table 1 and “Methods”). **b** A model of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump (PDB ID: 5NG5) visualized and drawn (not to scale) using Chimera\(^ {93} \), with TolC and AcrA components enlarged. The enlarged view for TolC shows the residues mutated in this study, with maroon and blue indicating SR activity. **c** Summary of data delineating residues in TolC, and regions in AcrA, important for SR. For TolC analysis, tolC mutants expressed from pTrC99a plasmids in a ΔtolC\(^ {ΔK} \) strain were inoculated on the left (I), with purified AcrA on the right (II). For AcrA, WT cells were inoculated on the left (III), and pre-killed cells expressing the indicated C- and N-terminal truncated versions of AcrA from pTrC plasmids in a ΔacrA\(^ {ΔK} \) strain (Kan marker removed) were applied on right (IV). SR response color scheme as in Fig. 1e. See Supplementary Fig. 7a for bright field images. Scale bar, 10 μm. See Supplementary Fig. 7d for binding of Qdot alone (control). **d** Imaging of AcrA binding to the outer membrane of WT swarm cells. Qdot\(^ {705} \)-labeled anti-FLAG antibody was used to label AcrA-FLAG, and FM-143 dye to label the outer membrane (see “Methods”). The entire field of view is shown in Supplementary Fig. 7a. Scale bar, 10 μm. See Supplementary Fig. 7d for binding of Qdot alone (control). **e** AcrA-TolC co-localization in representative SR+ and SR− TolC mutants identified in (c) and treated as in (d). See Supplementary Fig. 7b for brightfield images. Scale bar, 10 μm. The last panel is a control to show that AcrA is not internalized. Here, WT swarm cells were pre-incubated with AcrA and subsequently treated with trypsin followed by addition of the Qdot (see “Methods”). See Supplementary Fig. 7c for western blot analysis of these samples. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
extensive utilization of TCA cycle\textsuperscript{21,46}, which leads to intracellular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as FADH\textsubscript{2} and menaquinone\textsuperscript{47}. Superoxide dismutase (SodB) and cellular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as FADH\textsubscript{2} and menaquinone\textsuperscript{47}. Superoxide dismutase (SodB) and lular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as (Fig. 5b) and upregulates the expression of a multitude of genes with TolC immediately stimulates efflux catalases (KatE, KatG) (Fig. 5a) protect the cells from damage to the Fe\textsubscript{6}extensive utilization of TCA cycle\textsuperscript{21,46}, which leads to intracellular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as FADH\textsubscript{2} and menaquinone\textsuperscript{47}. Superoxide dismutase (SodB) and cellular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as FADH\textsubscript{2} and menaquinone\textsuperscript{47}. Superoxide dismutase (SodB) and lular ROS stress via Fenton reactions through agents such as (Fig. 5b) and upregulates the expression of a multitude of genes with TolC immediately stimulates efflux catalases (KatE, KatG) (Fig. 5a) protect the cells from damage to the Fe\textsubscript{6}eflux pump components representing all five classes of pumps and their regulators were upregulated in swarm cells (-2.3 fold), while ten genes expressing different porins or their regulators were downregulated (-2.3 fold). Except for porins, all these genes were further upregulated (-2.6 fold) in swarm cells under antibiotic stress (Kan and Cip). The presence of AcrA also increased expression of these same genes (-2.9 fold). Twenty-three genes for energy metabolism (-2.4 fold) and 16 genes related to ROS catabolism (-2.6 fold) were also upregulated. b Efflux assay using Nile red indicator dye (n = 3). Glucose was added at 100 s. Nonlinear regression analysis of planktonic, planktonic + AcrA, Swim, and Swarms + AcrA data sets (see d for color key) yielded rate constants of 1.001 \times 10^{-0.6}, 8.669 \times 10^{-0.6}, 6.630 \times 10^{-0.6}, and 1.65 \times 10^{-0.6}, respectively. A.U. = (\text{A}_{590/OD_{600}}). The time required for 50% Nile red efflux or \( t_{\text{50}} \) was significantly low in swarm cells (230 s for swarm and \( \geq 300 s \) for planktonic cells; compare purple vs. green lines; p \( < 0.0001 \) for the two values). Addition of AcrA yielded \( t_{\text{50}} \), with a 1/10th increase in swarm cells (207 s, p = 0.0003 for Swarm + AcrA vs. Swarm from a two-tailed T test) and 1/20th increase in planktonic cells (282 s, compare brown vs. blue lines; p = 0.0038 for planktonic + AcrA vs. Planltonic from a two-tailed T test). Membrane permeability assay using Alamar Blue indicator dye (n = 3). Nonlinear regression analysis of Swarm, Swarm + AcrA, Swim, and Swarms + AcrA data sets (see d for color key) produced slopes of 0.06254, 0.06103, 0.02876, and 0.02498 respectively. A.U. = (\text{A}_{636/OD_{600}}). Estimated intracellular concentration of Fleroxacin (n = 3). The slopes of the fitted lines of data sets for Swarm, Swim + AcrA, Planktonic, and Planltonic + AcrA were 2.090, 1.220, 5.010, and 4.290, respectively. Estimation of intracellular antibiotic concentration in E. coli using the Disc-diffusion assay. See “Methods” for details. The inset image shows one representative plate with discs containing planktonic samples incubated with the Kan concentrations indicated by the numbers. Inhibition zones around the filter discs were compared to those from a standard curve generated with known concentrations of kanamycin. Swarm cells showed -8-fold decrease in intracellular [Kan] at Kan\textsuperscript{30} compared to planktonic cells. Data are presented as mean values ± SD in (b-e). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

event, and whether AcrA is also the signal that induces an avoidance response to antibiotics in the swarm\textsuperscript{20}, will be avenues of future research.

A comparison of AcrA from the bacterial species used in this study shows a high degree of sequence conservation (Supplementary Fig. 10a, b), the E. coli and Salmonella proteins being most closely related (Supplementary Fig. 10c), explaining their interchangeable necrosignaling response (Fig. 1e). Interestingly, TolC is absent in many bacteria including Bacillus. In contrast, AcrA is highly conserved across the Bacterial domain (Supplementary Fig. 11) suggesting it might have other binding partners, i.e., more necrosignaling modules may be found in nature. The existence of a specific signal-receptor survival module (AcrA: TolC) is expected to benefit its own species in a multispecies ecological community (Fig. 1e).

The surprising aspect of our findings is that a normal component of an RND drug efflux pump, AcrA, moonlights as a receptor, a normal component of an RND drug efflux pump, AcrA, moonlights as a receptor, a normal component of an RND drug efflux pump, AcrA, moonlights as a receiver, \( \beta \)–barrel OM protein similar to TolC\textsuperscript{52,53}. We have used the colicin homology to suggest possible modes of AcrA binding to TolC (Fig. 7d, e). The best fit has striking similarities...
with colicin E3–BtBu interaction54, suggesting perhaps a case of molecular mimicry. While we do not know whether colicins and AcrA share a common evolutionary history, we speculate that such mimicry may have evolved in environmental niches where release of AcrA via colicin-induced cell death resulted in a competition for extracellular binding to TolC on live cells.

Death of a bacterial subpopulation for the overall survival of community and its resemblance to altruism, has been the subject of earlier studies19,55,56. While a variety of functions for cell lysis have been reported—recycling nutrients during bacterial starvation57, exchange of genetic material58, and activation of systems that deliver toxins to confer antibiotic resistance59—the cell death response we report here has several features not shown in earlier studies. For example, the existence of a heterogeneous population with respect to antibiotic susceptibility (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1a), and the advantage it confers, is akin to a bet-hedging survival strategy19. High cell densities provide another selective advantage given that a significant fraction of the swarm must be killed for SR to be observed (~1/2 at Kan25, Supplementary Fig. 1a), an observation that should be considered for clinical antibiotic regimen guidelines60 and in ecological studies involving microbial interactions61,62. A large motile population, that can continually acquire new territory and that has a strategy to overcome stressful environments and survive mass extinction events promoted by the event itself, will also increase its chances of propagating beneficial mutations such as antibiotic resistance. We note that a response to cell death that benefits the living is not confined to bacteria, but is widespread in nature, as seen in insects (necromones)63,64, fishes65, birds66, and mammals67, possibly even in eukaryotic tumors68.

Methods

Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. Bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The strains were propagated in LB (10 g/L trypsin, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 5 g/L NaCl) broth or on 1.5% Bacto agar plates for single colony isolation. Antibiotics for marker selection were added as follows: Kan (Kanamycin) 25 µg/ml, Amp (Ampicillin) 100 µg/ml, and Cam (Chloramphenicol) 30 µg/ml. Antibiotic concentrations are indicated throughout with superscripts.

Gene deletions were achieved by transferring the Kan deletion marker from Gene deletions were achieved by transferring the Kan deletion marker from E. coli MG1655 using P1 transduction70 (P1vir). For overexpression analysis, genes were expressed from ASKA library71 with pCA24N plasmid, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG. For AcrA purification, the periplasmic portion of AcrA without its lipoprotein signal peptide72 was cloned in pTrc99a plasmid with an N- and C-terminal His and FLAG-epitope tags, respectively. The tolC gene was cloned in pTrc99a, and site-directed mutagenesis was performed as described73.

Motility assays. All assays used LB as the nutrient medium. For swarm assays, plates were prepared with Bacto agar for all bacteria except for E. coli (which requires Eiken agar; Eiken Chem. Co. Japan) at the following agar concentrations: E. coli (0.5%), S. enterica and P. aeruginosa (0.6%), S. marcescens (0.8%), and B. subtilis (0.7%). For E. coli and S. enterica, 0.5% glucose was included. Poured swarm plates were dried overnight at room temperature prior to use. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for E. coli and S. marcescens, and at 37 °C for all others.

Border-crossing swarm assay55 is illustrated in Fig. 2. Four microcraters of mid-log phase bacterial culture were inoculated in the left no-antibiotic chamber and allowed to dry by leaving the lid of the petri dish open for 15 min. The plates were then incubated at 30 °C or 37 °C for 24 and 16 h, respectively, which is the time it took for bacteria in control plates to colonize the entire no-antibiotic right chamber. All plates were photographed with a Canon Rebel XSI digital camera using the “bucket of light” as a light source74.

Preparation of dead cells and extracts. A 10 ml culture of E. coli cells (0.6 OD600) was treated for 30 min with any one of the following antibiotics: Kan250, Gen50, Amp500, and Cip25. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (12000 × g, 10 min, 4 °C). The pellet was washed once with sterile HEPES buffer (1.2 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 2.4 mM K2HPO4, 20 mM HEPES, 115 mM NaCl, pH of 7.4) and resuspended in the same buffer. Efficiency of killing was monitored by CFU counts on LB agar. Fifty microcraters of the dead (i.e., no detectable CFUs) cell suspension was used for the border-crossing assay. To prepare extracts from the killed cells, the protocol above was scaled up to a 50 ml culture, the pellet was resuspended in 15 ml of HEPES buffer, and the cell suspension lysed by passing 3 times through a SLM AMINOC French press (1200 psig units). The lysed extract was precipitated by centrifugation (12000 × g, 5 min, 4 °C), and the supernatant used for further purification.

Enzyme treatments of cell extracts: 100 µL were treated with 10 µl of one of the following for 30 min at 37 °C: DNasel (Thermo, 10 µg/ml), RNase A (Thermo, 10 µg/ml), or Proteinase K (Sigma, 10 µg/ml).

Identification of the necrosignaling factor. The border-crossing assay was used to detect activity at all steps.

1. Cell extract preparation; Four litre cultures (0.6 OD600) of E. coli/S. enterica cells were treated with Kan250 for 30 min. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 × g, 10 min, 4 °C). The pellet was washed once with HEPES buffer (1.2 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 2.4 mM K2HPO4, 20 mM HEPES, 115 mM NaCl, one SIGMAFAST protease inhibitor tablet, pH of 7.4). The cell pellet (3.8 g) was resuspended in 15 ml of HEPES buffer and then lysed by six passages through a French Press (1200 psig units). The resultant extract was centrifuged (10000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C) to collect the supernatant (50–70 mg/ml protein). 2. Ammonium sulfate precipitation: the supernatant was treated with ammonium sulfate (AS) (30% for E. coli and 35% for S. enterica) overnight (O/N) in a cold
Fig. 7 AcrA structural analysis. a–c Structural superposition of AcrA and Colicin E3. a AcrA (PDB ID: 5NG5 [https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb5NG5/pdb]). b Colicin E3 (PDB ID: 2B5U [https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb2B5U/pdb]). c Superposition of a, b using Chimera matchmaker\textsuperscript{93}. The RMSD value was 0.85 Å.

d, e Model for AcrA-TolC binding. AcrA and TolC structures (PDB ID 5NG5) were used to predict possible modes of their interaction (see “Methods”).

d The best models are presented in decreasing order (1–4) of probability. AcrA, all shades of Blue; TolC, gray, brown, and green. e Sideview of the best model (#1). It is physically not very probable for a full-length AcrA (~49 Å diameter) to enter the cell through the TolC pump (~29 Å diameter).
room (4 °C), while keeping it in a tumbler mode using a Barmstead thermolyne Labquake® rotisserie shaker. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation (12000 × g, 4 °C), the pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of HEPES buffer and dialysed (O/N) using HEPES as the exchange buffer (∼5 mg/ml protein). Fifty microliters of each initial cell extract and the AS pellet were used to test for activity. 3. Q sepha rose anion exchange chromatography: 3.5 ml of the AS fraction was loaded on a Q sepharose anion exchange chromatography column (10 cm × 0.8 cm, 8 ml) and after the flow through was collected, the column was washed with HEPES buffer (1 column volume). The proteins were manually eluted (0.5 ml/min) using a 50–500 mM NaCl gradient in a total of fifteen ml fractions (∼2 column volumes). Fractions 5 and 6 showed activity for both strains. Their protein concentration was determined by Bradford method and CM activity was visualized by the active fractions from step 3 were pooled and loaded onto a CM cation chromatographic column (7.5 cm × 0.8 cm² = 6 ml). The flow-through was collected, followed up a 1-column volume of buffer with Phosphate-buffered saline (NaCl 137 mM, KCl 2.7 mM, Na₂HPO₄ 10 mM, KH₂PO₄ 1.8 mM, pH 7.2). The proteins were manually eluted (0.5 ml/min) with a NaCl gradient of 50–250 mM using ∼2 column volumes (9 fractions × 1.5 ml each — 13.5 ml total). The first four fractions (50–125 mM NaCl) showed activity, and had protein concentrations in the range of 0.39–0.1 mg/ml.

Mass spectrometric analysis. Active fractions from the CM cation step were used to perform ESI-MS in a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion hybrid mass spectrometer. The proteins were Tryptin digested on the column before Tandem mass spectra were extracted. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Sequest (Thermo Fisher Sci- entific) and Scaffold (version Scaffold_4.8.4, Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to view and interpret the spectra.

Purification of AcrA. BL21(DE3) harboring the His-AcrA-FLAG FLAG construct described above (referred to as simply AcrA hereafter) was cultured overnight in LB containing ampicillin. An overnight culture was diluted 1:100 into 1 l of fresh LB, and grown at 37 °C till 0.6 OD₅₈₀ was reached. Protein Expression was induced with the addition of 1 mM IPTG for 4 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed, resuspended in buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, one SIGMAFAST® protease inhibitor tablet, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 1 mM β-ME, 50 mM imidazole], and sonicated on ice. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation (4 °C, 10000 × g, 30 min), 4 °C). Protein was purified using HisTrap HP (1 ml column) with a 50 mM imidazole wash. The obtained absorbance of 0.6 OD₅₈₀ was reached at 420 nm.

RNA-seq analysis. E. coli cells were grown in 10 ml broth (planktonic) culture (0.6 OD₆₀₀) finally diluted to 0.6 OD₅₈₀. These cell suspensions were incubated with various concentrations of Kan for 2 h at 37 °C. The obtained absorbance of 0.6 OD₅₈₀ was reached at 420 nm. The cells were pelleted, washed once, resuspended in 1 ml of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), and incubated at 95 °C for 15 min. These samples were then kept in room temperature for 15 min without shaking, harvested via centrifugation, and supernatant was collected. The resultant pellet was washed using 1 ml of 1× PBS (pH 7.4) to obtain OD₅₈₀ of ~1.0; a 10× dilution of that suspension was transferred to a quartz cuvette to measure fluorescence (excitation at 552 and emission at 636 nm) using a QuantaMaster spectrofluorometer every 10 s for 100 s with intermittent manual stirring. The efflux of Nile Red was triggered by addition of 100 μl of 1 g glucose followed by measuring fluorescence every 10 s for 200 s in a subset of samples, 100 ng of purified AcrA was added along with the glucose. The obtained absorbance (A₅₈₀) was normalized with OD₅₈₀.

Killing curves. An O/N culture of E. coli was sub-cultured (1 ml) to obtain 0.6 OD₅₈₀. The cells were collected from a regular swam plate using 1 ml of LB and finally diluted to 0.6 OD₅₈₀. These cell suspensions were incubated with various concentrations of Kan for 2 h at 37 °C with shaking (250 rpm). Protection of cells (100 μl) were collected every 30 min and dilution plating was used to measure CFU counts. To confirm CFU counts, another fraction of cells (100 μl) from

Efflux pump assays. Planktonic and swarm cells were harvested as for RNA-seq analysis. Nile Red and Alamar Blue assays were performed as described with modifications. The cells were pelleted and used to elute the bound protein manually (2 column volumes for each step) and resuspended in protease buffer (50 mM Tris, 7.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 8.8). The suspension was divided into two equal halves. One half was lysed with sonication (three cycles of 30 s on and 30 s off) and supernatant was collected. The un-lysed cells and the supernatant of the lysed sample were incubated with trypsin (30 µg/ml, Thermos Fisher) for 30 min at RT. The protease was then inactivated with 0.1 M FMSF. These samples were used for western blot analysis with DnaK as a cytoplasmic control using monoclonal anti-DnaK antibody (abcam).

Microscopy. The Kan marker from ΔtolC and ΔacrA strains were used removing a pCP20 based method85 to generate ΔtolCacrA and ΔacrAacrA respectively. To prepare the AcrA probe, DTKD/TTDD/K Tag mouse monoclonal antibody (FG4R) (Thermo Fisher) was labeled with a quantum dot (Qdot 705) using a click chemistry kit (SiteClick™ Qdot 705 Antibody Labeling Kit, Thermo Fisher68). 100 nm of either E. coli planktonic culture in LB medium (0.6 OD₅₈₀, treated with Kan for 30 min) or swarm cells collected from border-crossing assay plates (Kan, as described in RNA-seq section) were incubated with membrane dye FM-43 (0.1 mg/ml, Film-Tracer™ FM-1–43, Thermo Fisher) for 15 min at 37 °C. To the dark. 100 ng of purified AcrA was added to these cell suspensions and incubated for 15 more min. The cells were then pelleted, washed, resuspended in 100 µl of 1× PBS (pH 7.4), and Qdot 705-labeled antibody was added (1:1000) for 15 min incubation at room temperature. The excess antibody was removed by washing the cells by PBS buffer.

The raw reads for 10 samples. Sequence quality was determined by FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The raw reads were processed with TrimGalore! (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim-galore/) and MultiQC77. The raw sequencing data has been deposited at NCBI BioProject PRJNA631516.

The raw reads for 10 samples. Sequence quality was determined by FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The raw reads were processed with TrimGalore! (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim-galore/) and MultiQC77. The raw sequencing data has been deposited at NCBI BioProject PRJNA631516.
some samples were stained with LIVE/DEAD Bac Light Bacterial Viability Kit (Thermo Fisher) for 15 min by adding 5 μl of SYTO9 and PI dye each. The cells were visualized using a fluorescent microscope (BX53F, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with GFP and RFP filters for SYTO9 and PI dyes respectively.

External AcrA docking on ToIC. AcrA and ToIC structures were obtained from PDB58 (ID: 5N5G, rcsb.org). One AcrA molecule was docked to ToIC trimeric complex using the HADDOCK2.2 web server89 (https://haddock.science.uu.nl/services/HADDOCK2.2/) with default parameters. The docking was guided by excluding the region of ToIC that normally interacts with AcrA in the periplasm (Gln142-Thr152 and Ala360-Val372), but the whole AcrA structure was used. The resulting top four models had HADDOCK scores ranging from −172 to −139, z-scores from −2.5 to −0.4, and RMSD of 0.7 to 2.3 Å.

AcrA sequence comparison and distribution. AcrA sequences from five organisms studied here were used for multiple sequence alignment using Clustal Omega90 algorithm and visualized by Jalview91. The sequences were obtained from NCBI protein database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein). A cladogram was generated by neighbor-joining method without distance corrections using Clustal Omega showing relatedness of these AcrA sequences.

E. coli AcrA and ToIC structures were used separately as inputs in the homology-based protein sequence alignment algorithm phmmer in HMMER92 web server (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/) with a significant e value and hit cutoffs of 10⁻²⁰ and 0.03, respectively. The hits were then visualized in HMMER taxonomy, and counts for each taxon in the Bacterial domain were used for generating a heat map (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Modeling bacterial response to antibiotics. The percent survival of the bacterial population for a given antibiotic concentration as a function of time was modeled as a set of ordinary differential equations. Initially, rate of change in a specific bacterial population was modeled as simple first-order reaction given by Eqs. 1–3.

\[ A \frac{dA}{dt} = -k_A[A]_A, \]

\[ [A]_{A+1} = [A]_A - k_A[A]_A. \]

The population live cells, A, will convert into dead cells, D, with some rate of change, \( k_A \). Here we have simplified all the processes that lead to changes in the bacterial population to a single term \( k_A \), that ideally represents a convolution of both bacterial growth and death. Time-step updates to the population of A cells is given by Eq. 3. The percent survival for a single population of cells is given by Eq. 4.

\[ S_A = [A]_A/[A]_0 \]

The percent survival of cells, \( S_A \), is given by dividing the remaining cells at any time-point \( n \), \([A]_n\), by the initial starting population, \([A]_0\). A Gibbs sampler was build to search for suitable parameters for the rate of change for the population based on reducing the mean-square error (MSE) between the simulation and experiment over 100,000 iterative steps. Simulations for a homogenous population exhibited deviation between experiment and simulation results as measured by MSE for swarming cells (10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁴) and significantly better results for planktonic cells (10⁻³ to 10⁻²) (Fig. 1a). The failure of the simulation to reproduce the experiment was hypotetized to be the result of the simplicity of the starting assumption that there is one population of cells with a singular response rate to the antibiotics. A more complex approach was developed using a heterogeneous starting population composed of two subpopulations (\( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \)) that follow the same aggregation, there is sufficient evidence that two populations of bacterial cells exist within the swarming populations that differ in initial concentrations and susceptibility to kanamycin.

Gibbs sampler. Bacterial models where built using Eqs. (3) and (5) while determining the percent survival at discrete time steps for comparison to experimental data and MSE calculation. Each model had \( 2 \times N \) variables that could be altered to determine a better fit, where \( N \) is the number of subpopulations. The variables for each subpopulation are the initial size of the population (\( A_0, B_0, C_0, etc. \)) referred to as \( A_{start} \) and the rate of change (\( k_{A}, k_{B}, k_{C}, etc. \)) referred to as \( death\_rate \). The Gibbs sampler was initiated with equal \( start\_size \) and random \( death\_rates \) chosen from a uniform distribution between 0.1 and 0.001 for each subpopulation. A resulting initial MSE for the model was calculated and referred to as \( old\_MSE \). To converge on a reasonable value for each variable and reduce the MSE, the following Gibbs-sampling algorithm was employed as follows for 100,000 iterations:

1. Choose a variable randomly. This variable is referred to as \( old\_variable \).
2. The chosen variable selects a proposed value for the variable from a normal distribution centered on the current value of the variable with a standard deviation one-tenth the size of the current value. This value will be referred to as \( new\_variable \).
3. Estimate the \( new\_MSE \) using the \( new\_variable \) instead of the \( old\_variable \). Unselected variables will remain the same.
4. If the \( new\_MSE \) is less than the \( old\_MSE \): set \( old\_variable = new\_variable \). Set \( old\_MSE = new\_MSE \).

Variables were chosen at random for each iteration, so as not to introduce an order selection bias to determining variable selections.

Statistics and reproducibility. Unless mentioned otherwise, all the experiments were performed with three biological replicates.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The crystal structures of proteins with PDB IDs 5N5G and 2BSU were obtained from publicly available PDB database (https://www.rcsb.org/). The RNA-seq data were deposited to SRA with BioProject ID PRJNA640755. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability. The relevant codes for mathematical modeling are provided in the Methods.

Received: 14 February 2020; Accepted: 14 July 2020; Published online: 19 August 2020

References.

1. Jarrell, K. F. & McBride, M. J. The surprisingly diverse ways that prokaryotes move. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 466–476 (2008).
2. Mobley, H. L. & Belas, R. Swarming and pathogenicity of Proteus mirabilis in the urinary tract. Trends Microbiol. 3, 280–281 (1995).
3. Harshey, R. M. Bacterial motility on a surface: many ways to a common goal. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 57, 249–273 (2003).
4. Duan, Q., Zhou, M., Zhu, L. & Zhu, G. Flagella and bacterial pathogenicity. J. Basic Microbiol. 53, 1–8 (2013).
5. Mazzantini, D. et al. FliH is required for swarming motility and full pathogenicity of hciuscius censis. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1644 (2016).
6. Kearns, D. B. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 634–644 (2010).
7. Nakamura, S. & Mimamoto, T. Flagella-driven motility of bacteria. Biomolecules 9, https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9070279 (2019).
8. Kim, W., Killam, T., Sood, Y. & Surette, M. G. Swarm-cell differentiation in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium results in elevated resistance to multiple antibiotics. J. Bacteriol. 185, 3111–3117 (2003).
9. Kim, W. & Surette, M. G. Swarming populations of Salmonella represent a unique physiological state coupled to multiple mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Biol. Proced. Online 5, 189–196 (2003).
10. Overhage, J., Bains, M., Brazas, M. D. & Hancock, R. E. Swarming of Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a complex adaptation leading to increased production of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance. J. Bacteriol. 190, 2671–2679 (2008).
11. Lai, S., Tremblay, J. & Deziel, E. Swarming motility: a multicellular behaviour conferring antimicrobial resistance. Environ. Microbiol. 11, 126–136 (2009).
24. Du, D. et al. Structure of the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. Nat. Struct. Biol. 292, 107–113 (2002).
23. Hulme, E. C. & Trevethick, M. A. Ligand binding assays at equilibrium: a systems biology perspective. Wiley interdisciplinary. reviews. Syst. Biol. Med. 4, 152–167 (2016).
22. Balaban, N. Q. et al. Definitions and guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 441–448 (2019).
21. Brauner, A., Fridman, O., Gefen, O. & Balaban, N. Q. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14, 320–330 (2016).
20. Partridge, J. D., Ariel, G., Schvartz, O., Harshey, R. M. & Beatty, W. W. Molecular control of bacterial death and lysis. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 85–109 (2008).
19. Ackermann, M. et al. Self-destructive cooperation mediated by phenotypic noise. Nature 454, 987–990 (2008).
18. Allocati, N., Massili, M., Di Ilio, C. & De Laurenzi, V. Die for the community: an overview of programmed cell death in bacteria. Cell Death Dis. 6, e1609 (2015).
17. Rice, K. C. & Bayles, K. W. Molecular control of bacterial death and lysis. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 515–546 (2018).
16. Brauner, A., Fridman, O., Gefen, O. & Balaban, N. Q. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14, 320–330 (2016).
15. Sandoval-Motta, S. & Aldana, M. Adaptive resistance to antibiotics in bacteria: a systems biology perspective. Wiley interdisciplinary. reviews. Syst. Biol. Med. 4, 152–167 (2016).
14. Stewart, P. S. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacterial biofilms. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 48, 91–115 (2008).
13. Stewart, P. S. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacterial biofilms. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 48, 91–115 (2008).
12. Butler, M. T., Wang, Q. & Harshy, R. M. Cell density and mobility protect swarming bacteria against antibiotics. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 3780–3785 (2010).
11. Kohanski, M. A., Dwyer, D. J., Hayte, B., Lawrence, C. A. & Collins, J. J. A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. Cell 130, 797–810 (2007).
10. Kohanski, M. A., Dwyer, D. J. & Collins, J. J. How antibiotics kill bacteria: insights from metagenomics. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 423–435 (2010).
9. Dwyer, D. J. et al. Antibiotics induce redox-related physiological alterations as part of their lethality. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, E2100–E2109 (2014).
8. Du, D. et al. Structure of the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. Nat. Struct. Biol. 292, 107–113 (2002).
7. Hulme, E. C. & Trevethick, M. A. Ligand binding assays at equilibrium: a systems biology perspective. Wiley interdisciplinary. reviews. Syst. Biol. Med. 4, 152–167 (2016).
6. Brauner, A., Fridman, O., Gefen, O. & Balaban, N. Q. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14, 320–330 (2016).
5. Rice, K. C. & Bayles, K. W. Molecular control of bacterial death and lysis. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 85–109 (2008).
4. Ackermann, M. et al. Self-destructive cooperation mediated by phenotypic noise. Nature 454, 987–990 (2008).
3. Allocati, N., Massili, M., Di Ilio, C. & De Laurenzi, V. Die for the community: an overview of programmed cell death in bacteria. Cell Death Dis. 6, e1609 (2015).
2. Partridge, J. D., Ariel, G., Schvartz, O., Harshey, R. M. & Beatty, W. W. Molecular control of bacterial death and lysis. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 85–109 (2008).
1. Ackermann, M. et al. Self-destructive cooperation mediated by phenotypic noise. Nature 454, 987–990 (2008).

73. Liu, H. & Naismith, J. H. An efficient one-step site-directed deletion, insertion, single and multiple-site plasmid mutagenesis protocol. *BMC Biotechnol.* 8, 91 (2008).

74. Parkinson, J. S. A. “bucket of light” for viewing bacterial colonies in soft agar. *Methods Enzymol.* 423, 432–435 (2007).

75. Keller, A., Nesvizhskii, A. I., Kolker, E. & Aebersold, R. Empirical statistical model to estimate the accuracy of peptide identifications made by MS/MS and database search. *Anal. Chem.* 74, 5383–5392 (2002).

76. Nesvizhskii, A. I., Keller, A., Kolker, E. & Aebersold, R. A statistical model for identifying proteins by tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 75, 4646–4658 (2003).

77. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Kaller, M. MultiQC: summarizing analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* 32, 3047–3048 (2016).

78. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet. J.* 17, 10–12 (2011).

79. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359 (2012).

80. Li, H. et al. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079 (2009).

81. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841–842 (2010).

82. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 550 (2014).

83. Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57 (2009).

84. Huang da, W. et al. Extracting biological meaning from large gene lists with DAVID. *Carr. Protoc. Bioinform. Chapter* 13, 11 (2009).

85. Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 6640–6645 (2000).

86. Zeglis, B. M. et al. Enzyme-mediated methodology for the site-specific radiolabeling of antibodies based on catalyst-free click chemistry. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 24, 1057–1067 (2013).

87. Besingi, R. N. & Clark, P. L. Extracellular protease digestion to evaluate membrane protein cell surface localization. *Nat. Protoc.* 10, 2074–2080 (2015).

88. Burley, S. K. et al. RCSB Protein Data Bank: biological macromolecular structures enabling research and education in fundamental biology, biomedicine, biotechnology and energy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D464–D474 (2019).

89. van Zundert, G. C. P. et al. The HADDOCK2.2 web server: user-friendly integrative modeling of biomolecular complexes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 720–725 (2016).

90. Sievers, F. et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7, 539 (2011).

91. Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1189–1191 (2009).

92. Finn, R. D. et al. HMMER web server: 2015 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W30–W38 (2015).

93. Peterson, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612 (2004).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM118085 and in part by the Robert Welch Foundation Grant F-1811. We thank the Barrick lab here at UT Austin for the ASKA library.

Author contributions
S.B. and R.M.H. set up the experimental design, S.B. performed the experiments, S.B. and R.M.H. wrote the paper. D.W. performed the mathematical modeling.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17709-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.M.H.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.