Deciphering higher order non-allelic interactions for quantitative characters through twelve generation mean analysis in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.)
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Abstract The genetic architecture of oil content, seed yield and its related biometric traits in castor was investigated through generation mean analysis in four crosses viz., JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 in twelve generations (P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, B₁, B₂, B₁₁, B₁₂, B₂₁, B₂₂, B₁₈, and B₂₃). Significance of simple scaling tests and Cavali’s joint scaling test in all the crosses for one or more traits indicated the presence of digenic and trigenic gene interactions. Further, the significant $\chi^2(2)$ value at six degrees of freedom based on six-parameter model of Hill (1966), depicted the presence of trigenic or higher order epistasis in all the crosses for all the characters. The trigenic ten-parameter model was found to be adequate in cross JP 104 × JI 433 for effective length of primary raceme; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for number of capsules on primary raceme; and in cross SKP 84 × JI 441 for number of effective branches per plant. On the other hand, $\chi^2(3)$ with two degrees of freedom was found significant for remaining traits in all the four crosses showing the presence of higher order epistasis and/or linkage. All the ten-parameters were significant for shelling out turn percentage in three crosses SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 and for days to maturity of primary raceme in cross SKP 84 × JI 433. Moreover, the duplicate epistasis was reported for the inheritance of oil content, seed yield and its component traits. This information can be utilized in developing castor lines with high seed yield and oil content.
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Introduction

Castor (*Ricinus communis* L., 2n = 20) is an important non-edible oilseed crop that belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae. Castor is indigenous to Eastern Africa and most probably originated in Ethiopia. It is generally distributed in the tropical, sub-tropical and warm temperate zones (Weiss 2000). India is the world’s principal producer of castor and ranks first in both area and production. India is the largest producer of castor with 1.20 million tonnes of seeds produced from 0.75 million ha area, with an average productivity of 1593 kg/ha (FAOSTAT 2019). It is cultivated...
around the world because of commercial importance of its oil. Because of its ability to remain liquid at extremely low temperatures (-32 °C), high density and viscosity, the oil is mostly employed as a lubricant. Castor oil and its derivatives are mainly used to make lubricants, polymers, adhesives, waxes, polishes, coating applications, inks, and paints. Because a crop’s seed output is determined by the interplay of numerous genes with small effect and larger interaction with the environment, direct selection will not be successful. So, selection for yield components has been suggested as a solution for further genetic gain in yield. An understanding of type of epistatic gene effects in the inheritance of various quantitative characters is necessary for the intelligent choice of efficient breeding procedures for developing high yielding varieties. One of the best methods for the estimation of genetic parameters is generation mean analysis, in which nonallelic genic interactions could also be estimated.

Genetic analysis of quantitative traits further helps to elucidate the nature and magnitude of genetic variation present in the population. The estimates of gene effects have a direct bearing upon the choice of breeding procedure to be followed. Generation mean analysis is an efficient tool to understand the nature of gene effects involved in the expression of the character. Information on nature and relative magnitude of genetic component of variation (additive and dominance) have been elucidated by diallel or lines x tester analysis in castor, which unlike generation mean analysis does not provide information on non-allelic gene actions involved in the inheritance of the traits. The non-allelic interaction could inflate the estimates of additive and dominance components. It is, therefore, important to estimate the components of epistasis along with the additive and dominance components of genetic variation. However, generation mean analysis has been extensively used to understand the gene effects in different crops, but very few reports are available on the use of this technique for dissecting the gene effects in castor crop. As Patel et al (2021) revealed that additive as well as non-additive gene effects were observed for wilt incidence and seed yield in all the six crosses of castor. Furthermore, information on gene effects, particularly higher order epistasis, influencing yield and its attributes in castor is limited. Keeping in view, the present investigation was made to estimate the nature and magnitude of gene effects for oil content, yield and its components using 12 generations from four cross combinations and 10-parameter model (Hill 1966).

Materials and methods

Experimental materials and location

The experimental material comprised of four crosses viz., JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 among five castor genotypes and each cross with twelve generations viz., P 1, P 2, F 1, F 2, B 1, B 2, B 1S, B 11, B 12, B 2S, B 21 and B 22. The parents of were selected from diverse genetic stocks available at the Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat (Table 1). Experiment was conducted at Sagdividi Farm, Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, South Saurashtra situated at 21.5°N latitude and 70.5°E longitude with an altitude of 60 m above the mean sea level. The soil of experimental plot was medium black.

Field experiment and observations recorded

The basic set of twelve generations viz., P 1, P 2, F 1, F 2, B 1 (F 1 x P 1), B 2 (F 1 x P 2), B 1S (B 1 selfed), B 11 (B 1 x P 1), B 12 (B 1 x P 2), B 2S (B 2 selfed), B 21 (B 2 x P 1) and B 22 (B 2 x P 2) derived from four crosses were sown in compact family block design (CFBD) with three replications of each during Kharif 2017–2018. Each replication consisted of four compact blocks and each block consisted of one particular cross. Further each block consisted of twelve plots for each of twelve generations of each cross. The crosses were assigned to each block and twelve generations of a cross were randomly allotted to individual plot within the block. The plots of various generations were sown in different number of rows i.e., parents and F 1 in single row; B 1 and B 2 in three rows; and F 2, B 11, B 12, B 21, B 22, B 1S and B 2S in five rows each. Each row was of 6.0 m length with 120 and 45 cm inter and intra row spacing, respectively. All the recommended agronomical practices and necessary plant protection measures were followed to raise a good crop. The observations were recorded on individual plant basis in each replication on randomly selected five plants from P 1,
P₂ and F₁; fifteen plants from first backcross (B₁ and B₂) and twenty-five plants of F₂, B₁S, B₁1, B₁2, B₂S, B₂1, B₂2 generations for seed yield/plant (g) and its components traits (days to flowering of primary raceme, days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme (cm), number of nodes up to primary raceme, length of primary raceme (cm), effective length of primary raceme (cm), number of effective branches per plant, number of capsules on primary raceme, shelling out turn (%), 100 seed weight (g) and oil content (%). The inheritance of all the characters studied was computed through generation mean analysis methods (Mather 1949; Hayman and Mather 1955; Hayman 1958; Hill 1966).

Statistical and genetical analysis

The data obtained for yield, component traits and oil content were subjected to analysis of variance using Indostat 8.5 software (2004) according to compact family block design (Panse and Sukhatme 1978). The significance of the test genotypes was assessed using the F probabilities at P \(<0.05\). The mean values for each trait were computed from 5 competitive individual plants of P₁, P₂ and F₁; 15 plants of BC₁ and BC₂ and 25 plants for F₂, B₁S, B₁1, B₁2, B₂S, B₂1, B₂2 generations for each replicate. The replication-wise mean values of different generations for each individual character were calculated using Excel program. A Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference (Tukey’s HSD) test (Tukey 1977) was applied to assess the mean difference between different traits and among generations at P \(<0.05\) using R statistical software, version 3.4.1 (R Development Core Team 2018). The crosses showing significant differences among the entries (progenies) for different characters were subjected to generation mean analysis for estimation of gene effects using different models (Mather 1949; Hayman and Mather 1955; Hayman 1958; Hill 1966).

The data was initially subjected to simple scaling tests A, B, C and D to check the adequacy of additive-dominance model for different traits from each cross. Further, simple scaling tests B₁₁, B₁₂, B₂₁, B₂₂, B₁s and B₂s (Hill 1966) and X and Y (Van der Veen 1959) were computed. Significant estimates of the tests given by Hill (1966) showed contribution of particular generation to higher order epistasis which is indirectly indicating presence of epistasis. If any of the Van der Veen’s tests significantly deviates from zero, it also indicates presence of trigenic or higher order epistasis. The results of simple scaling tests were further confirmed by joint scaling test (Cavalli 1952), which effectively combines the whole set of simple scaling tests. Thus, it offers a more general, convenient, adoptable and informative approach for estimating gene effects and also for testing adequacy of additive-dominance model. The $\chi^2 (1)$ of joint scaling test with nine degrees of freedom under three-parameter model gives idea about fitness of additive-dominance model. Using six basic generations, six parameter model given by Hayman (1958) was also fitted. Finally, the data were subjected to ten-parameter model given by

### Table 1

List of genotypes used in the present study along with their pedigree, source and salient features

| Sr. no. | Name of genotypes | Pedigree | Source | Salient features |
|---------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------------|
| 1       | JP 104          | (Geeta × JI 220) × JI 244 | Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | G3SP |
| 2       | JI 433          | SKP 108 × JI 295 | Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | M3SP |
| 3       | JI 437          | JP 89 × SKI 291 | Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | M2SP |
| 4       | JI 441          | JP 96 × JI 338 | Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | M3SP |
| 5       | SKP 84          | SKP 1 × VP 1 | Castor & Mustard Research Station, S.D. Agricultural University, S.K.Nagar | M3SP |

Stem colour: M = Mahogany G: Green
Bloom: 2 = Double 3: Triple
Capsule: SP = Spiny
Hill (1966) which provided estimation of first order and second order epistasis utilizing twelve generations including double backcross generations. The $\chi^2_{(2)}$ and $\chi^2_{(3)}$ values were estimated under six-parameters model at six degrees of freedom and under ten-parameters model at two degrees of freedom, respectively. This is an additional advantage of using twelve generations and ten-parameter model as it provides sufficient degree of freedom for testing validity and goodness of fit for different models.

**Results**

Analysis of variance and per se performance

The analysis of variance for all the twelve characters studied in each of four crosses is presented in Table 2. The analysis of mean sum of squares between families (crosses) were significant for all the characters studied in all the crosses except for oil content indicating the presence of genetic variability for different traits. The Bartlett’s test for homogeneity of error variances of four crosses indicated that the error variances were homogenous for days to flowering of primary raceme, days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, number of nodes up to primary raceme, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant as these traits showed non-significance chi-square values. Since, the crosses revealed significant mean sum of squares among different generations for different characters so, further analyzed for per se performance and gene action. The mean values of various quantitative traits in different generations from four crosses are depicted in Fig. 1. The performance of F$_1$ hybrids exceeded the value of their better parent in positive direction for days to flowering of primary raceme, days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, number of nodes up to primary raceme, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant as these traits showed non-significance chi-square values. Since, the crosses revealed significant mean sum of squares among different generations for different characters so, further analyzed for per se performance and gene action. The mean values of various quantitative traits in different generations from four crosses are depicted in Fig. 1.

Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects

Estimates of scaling tests

Initially, the data were subjected to simple scaling tests A, B, C and D (Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). Significant estimates of one or more of these tests for all the traits in all the crosses except for plant height up to primary raceme in cross SKP 84 × JI 441 indicated the presence of digenic interactions (Table 5). Significance of one or other scaling tests B$_{11}$, B$_{12}$, B$_{21}$, B$_{22}$, B$_{1s}$ and B$_{2s}$ and X and Y for different traits in almost all crosses indicating the importance of higher order interactions. The scaling test B$_{12}$ and Y for plant height up to primary raceme; B$_{12}$ and B$_{21}$ for length of primary raceme; B$_{12}$, B$_{21}$, B$_{22}$ and X for effective length of primary raceme; B$_{21}$ for number of capsules on primary raceme; B$_{22}$ for
Table 2: Analysis of variance between families and between progenies within family of twelve generations for different characters in castor

| Source of variation | .df | Days to flowering of primary raceme | Days to maturity of primary raceme | Plant height up to primary raceme | Number of nodes up to primary raceme | Length of primary raceme | Effective length of primary raceme | Number of effective branches per plant | Number of capsules on primary raceme | Shelling out turn | 100 seed weight | Seed yield per plant | Oil content |
|---------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Replications        | 2   | 0.027                             | 0.008                             | 0.434                            | 0.136                               | 2.985                  | 2.133                              | 0.746                                | 2.039                               | 0.249         | 0.853**          | 2.786                    | 0.354*      |
| Crosses             | 3   | 13.500**                          | 38.215**                          | 14.676**                         | 1.628**                             | 33.148**               | 58.153**                           | 3.951**                              | 548.648**                           | 29.461**       | 2.117**         | 509.05**                 | 0.150       |
| Error               | 6   | 0.021                             | 0.031                             | 0.780                            | 0.065                               | 0.592                  | 0.910                              | 0.145                                | 0.820                               | 0.197         | 0.025          | 3.938                    | 0.035       |
| $\chi^2$            | 2   | NS                                | NS                                | NS                               | NS                                 | NS                     | S                                  | S                                    | S                                  | S              | NS              | NS                      | S           |
| Analysis of variance between progenies within family | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JP 104 × JI 433     | 2   | 0.020                             | 0.243                             | 1.383                            | 0.198                               | 0.675                  | 0.445                              | 7.379**                              | 42.165                              | 2.229         | 2.163**         | 77.693                   | 0.805**     |
| Generations         | 11  | 4.598**                           | 8.140**                           | 118.253**                        | 2.390**                             | 251.374**              | 61.398**                           | 14.129**                             | 213.078**                           | 360.154**     | 8.135**         | 404.531**                | 3.239**     |
| Error               | 22  | 0.151                             | 0.181                             | 4.152                            | 0.231                               | 0.765                  | 1.524                              | 1.135                                | 22.248                              | 1.682         | 0.433          | 22.978                   | 0.067       |
| SKP 84 × JI 437     | 2   | 0.428                             | 0.736                             | 0.211                            | 1.770**                             | 21.935**               | 49.897**                           | 0.743                                | 8.634                               | 4.512         | 3.288**         | 34.986                   | 2.731**     |
| Generations         | 11  | 19.166**                          | 14.515**                          | 103.851**                        | 3.200**                             | 266.665**              | 202.777**                          | 3.941**                              | 1061.537**                         | 292.334**     | 12.244**        | 713.256**                | 2.653**     |
| Error               | 22  | 0.272                             | 0.273                             | 4.893                            | 0.370                               | 6.038                  | 6.287                              | 0.223                                | 11.693                              | 4.528         | 0.402          | 59.981                   | 0.207       |
| SKP 84 × JI 437     | 2   | 0.306                             | 0.050                             | 4.147                            | 0.253                               | 25.141**               | 0.889                              | 3.593**                              | 0.310                               | 2.510         | 2.435**         | 15.392                   | 0.939**     |
| Generations         | 11  | 4.198**                           | 39.164**                          | 172.744**                        | 11.321**                            | 423.531**              | 317.908**                          | 6.276**                              | 371.667**                           | 372.106**     | 6.700**         | 593.046**                 | 0.976**     |
| Error               | 22  | 0.250                             | 0.448                             | 4.391                            | 0.307                               | 6.090                  | 4.377                              | 0.558                                | 3.194                               | 6.951         | 0.234          | 27.153                   | 0.014       |
| SKP 84 × JI 441     | 2   | 0.311                             | 0.189                             | 27.530                           | 1.720*                              | 9.386                  | 7.115                              | 2.466                                | 2.889                               | 0.837         | 3.257*          | 47.110                   | 1.038**     |
| Generations         | 11  | 4.643**                           | 16.215**                          | 183.990**                        | 2.739**                             | 319.292**              | 299.418**                          | 5.032**                              | 448.424**                           | 256.297**     | 19.511**        | 686.775**                 | 2.742**     |
| Error               | 22  | 0.226                             | 0.298                             | 9.276                            | 0.312                               | 7.658                  | 10.764                             | 1.125                                | 6.707                               | 1.383         | 0.756          | 36.287                   | 0.010       |

d.f. = degree of freedom; *, **Significant at 5 and 1 per cent level, respectively

$\chi^2$ = Chi-square for Bartlett’s test of homogeneity of error variances, S = Significant and NS = Non-significant
shelling out turn; \( B_{21} \) and \( X \) for 100 seed weight; \( B_{11}, B_{12}, B_{21}, B_{22}, B_{1s}, X \) and \( Y \) for seed yield per plant and \( B_{12}, B_{21}, B_{1s}, B_{2s} \) for oil content were significant in all the four crosses.

Estimates of gene effects

The estimates of \( 'm' \) were significant in all four crosses for all the traits studied (Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). The additive \([d]\) gene effect was significant and negative in cross JP 104 × JI 433 for days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, number of nodes up to primary raceme, number of effective branches per plant, number of capsules on primary raceme and 100 seed weight; in cross SKP 84 × JI 433 for length of primary raceme, effective length of primary raceme, number of effective branches per plant and number of capsules on primary raceme; in cross SKP 84 × JI 437 for plant height up to primary raceme, length of primary raceme, shelling out turn, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant; and in cross SKP 84 × JI 441 for plant height up to primary raceme. On the other hand, the additive \([d]\) gene effect was observed significant and positive in cross JP 104 × JI 433 for days to flowering of primary raceme, length of primary raceme and seed yield per plant; in cross SKP 84 × JI 433 for days to flowering of primary raceme, days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme, shelling out turn, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant and oil content; in cross SKP 84 × JI 437 for days to flowering of primary raceme, days to maturity of primary raceme, number of nodes up to primary raceme, number of capsules on primary raceme and oil content; and in cross SKP 84 × JI 441 for days to flowering of primary raceme, length of primary raceme, effective length of primary raceme, shelling out turn, 100 seed weight, seed yield per plant and oil content in all four crosses viz., JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; of days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme and number of effective branches per plant in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; of number of nodes up to primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; and of number of capsules on primary raceme in two crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441. When the simple additive-dominance model failed to explain the variation among generation means, a six-parameter model involving three digenic interactions \((ii), (jj) \text{ and } (ll)\) proposed by Hayman (1958) was applied. This model utilized only six basic generation viz., \( P_1, P_2, F_1, F_2, B_1 \) and \( B_2 \). On the other hand, based on weighted least square technique, digenic and trigenic interaction models were also tested which had additional provision of testing the adequacy of model with six degrees of freedom and two degrees of freedom besides being utilizing means of all the twelve generations, respectively. Further, these models were not adequate for all the traits studied in four crosses in the present study.
Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(a) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for days to 50% flowering in 4 crosses of castor.

Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(b) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for days to maturity of primary raceme in 4 crosses of castor.

Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(c) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for plant height up to primary raceme (cm) in 4 crosses of castor.
Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(d) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for number of nodes up to primary raceme in 4 crosses of castor.

Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(e) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for length of primary raceme (cm) in 4 crosses of castor.

Cross 1 = JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2 = SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3 = SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4 = SKP 84 x JI 441

(f) Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for effective length of primary raceme (cm) in 4 crosses of castor.
Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441

Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for number of effective branches per plant in 4 crosses of castor

(g)

Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441

Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for number of capsules on primary raceme in 4 crosses of castor

(h)

Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441

Per se performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for shelling out turn (%) in 4 crosses of castor

(i)
**Per se** performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for 100 seed weight (g) in 4 crosses of castor:

(i) Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441

**Per se** performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for seed yield per plant (g) in 4 crosses of castor:

(k) Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441

**Per se** performance and error bars (same letter depict no significant differences while different letters showing significant differences at 5%) for oil content (%) in 4 crosses of castor:

(l) Cross 1: JP 104 x JI 433, Cross 2: SKP 84 x JI 433, Cross 3: SKP 84 x JI 437, Cross 4: SKP 84 x JI 441
Table 3  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for days to flowering of primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **A**                     | 1.18 ± 0.98      | −9.98** ± 1.04   | −5.38** ± 0.99   | 0.24 ± 1.31      |
| **B**                     | −1.87** ± 0.68   | −1.91 ± 1.09     | −2.98** ± 1.11   | −3.82** ± 0.97   |
| **C**                     | 5.69** ± 1.11    | 0.33 ± 1.91      | −1.01 ± 1.49     | −3.45 ± 2.14     |
| **D**                     | 3.19** ± 0.48    | 6.11** ± 0.83    | 3.67** ± 0.84    | 0.06 ± 0.98      |
| **B_{11}**                 | −12.44** ± 2.29  | 15.40** ± 2.03   | −3.36* ± 1.68    | −2.63 ± 2.07     |
| **B_{12}**                 | 0.43 ± 1.11      | 12.67** ± 2.38   | 9.44** ± 1.51    | 2.21 ± 2.29      |
| **B_{21}**                 | −2.39 ± 1.43     | 26.57** ± 2.03   | 6.83** ± 1.76    | −1.37 ± 2.16     |
| **B_{22}**                 | −12.48** ± 1.40  | 0.93 ± 2.05      | −3.89** ± 1.19   | −10.00** ± 2.05  |
| **B_{18}**                 | −15.88** ± 3.88  | 21.32** ± 4.03   | 4.05 ± 3.31      | −11.37** ± 4.00  |
| **B_{25}**                 | −6.65* ± 2.54    | 16.25** ± 3.54   | 8.00** ± 2.36    | 1.59 ± 3.59      |
| **X**                     | 0.71 ± 0.56      | 0.14 ± 0.70      | 0.79 ± 0.52      | 2.74** ± 0.68    |
| **Y**                     | 5.74** ± 0.64    | 5.73** ± 0.92    | 5.88** ± 0.67    | 3.37** ± 0.91    |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

| m             | 59.10** ± 0.14 | 61.09** ± 0.19 | 62.00** ± 0.13 | 62.75** ± 0.19 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (d)           | 0.87** ± 0.14 | 1.83** ± 0.17 | 1.11** ± 0.12 | 0.12 ± 0.17   |
| (h)           | −1.56** ± 0.25| −0.31 ± 0.37  | −2.07** ± 0.29| 1.08** ± 0.39 |
| $\chi^2_{(3)}$| 202.84**      | 347.54**      | 144.61**      | 170.46**      |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

| m             | 58.70** ± 0.13 | 64.13** ± 0.32 | 61.54** ± 0.27 | 62.38** ± 0.39 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (d)           | 1.55** ± 0.40 | −0.46 ± 0.51  | −0.40 ± 0.63  | 2.00** ± 0.57 |
| (h)           | −5.08** ± 1.08| −7.38** ± 1.80| −6.54** ± 1.75| 2.97 ± 2.09   |
| (i)           | −6.39** ± 0.96| −12.22** ± 1.66| −7.34** ± 1.68| −0.14 ± 1.96  |
| (j)           | 1.52** ± 0.56 | −4.03** ± 0.62| −1.20 ± 0.68  | 2.03** ± 0.69 |
| (l)           | 7.07** ± 1.97 | 24.11** ± 2.81| 15.69** ± 2.93| 3.70 ± 3.15   |

**Digeneric and trigenic interactions (Hill)**

| m             | 58.09** ± 0.54 | 62.52** ± 0.76 | 60.58** ± 0.66 | 61.54** ± 0.71 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (d)           | 0.36 ± 0.25   | 0.42** ± 0.28 | 1.25** ± 0.21 | −1.26** ± 0.30|
| (h)           | 2.50 ± 1.69   | −6.51** ± 2.32| 0.84 ± 1.96   | 2.78 ± 2.22   |
| (i)           | 0.41 ± 0.52   | −1.31 ± 0.75  | 1.68** ± 0.64 | 1.90** ± 0.71 |
| (j)           | 1.68* ± 0.74  | −10.59** ± 0.94| −0.55 ± 0.80  | 5.24** ± 0.98 |
| (l)           | −3.52** ± 1.35| 0.68** ± 1.94 | −1.42 ± 1.57  | −0.16 ± 1.91  |
| $\chi^2_{(2)}$| 191.90**      | 202.19**      | 136.07**      | 132.28**      |

| m             | 57.61** ± 0.69 | 59.10** ± 1.12 | 59.96** ± 0.86 | 65.68** ± 1.02 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (d)           | 3.77** ± 1.05 | 7.68** ± 1.56 | 3.00* ± 1.18  | 7.11** ± 1.36 |
| (h)           | 4.85* ± 2.40  | 16.41** ± 4.34| 6.88* ± 3.42  | −13.32** ± 4.37|
| (i)           | −0.01 ± 0.80  | 2.17 ± 1.20   | 1.68 ± 0.91   | −3.49** ± 1.13|
| (j)           | −8.64** ± 2.98| −12.99** ± 4.04| −5.62 ± 2.97  | −20.42** ± 3.67|
| (l)           | −6.52** ± 2.03| −17.43** ± 3.90| −9.25** ± 3.08| 13.08** ± 4.09|
| (w)           | −3.23** ± 1.04| −4.18** ± 1.55| −1.99 ± 1.17  | −7.34** ± 1.35|
| (x)           | −1.64 ± 2.30  | −35.08** ± 4.17| −12.68** ± 3.47| 13.04** ± 4.79|
| (y)           | 8.28** ± 2.79 | −6.34 ± 3.71  | 3.23 ± 2.77   | 25.02** ± 3.54 |
| (z)           | 2.59** ± 0.37 | 7.47** ± 0.68 | 4.91** ± 0.53 | 0.51** ± 0.72  |
| $\chi^2_{(2)}$| 99.90**       | 37.89**       | 17.50**       | 49.94**       |

*,**Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 4  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for days to maturity of primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests /gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                           | − 1.33* ± 0.62   | 1.20 ± 1.03      | 1.38 ± 0.81      | 5.04** ± 0.93    |
| B                           | − 1.33 ± 0.80    | 7.53** ± 1.05    | 13.91** ± 0.88   | 3.11* ± 1.47     |
| C                           | 5.07** ± 1.58    | 11.75** ± 2.14   | 12.21** ± 1.84   | 3.53* ± 1.67     |
| D                           | 3.87** ± 0.68    | 1.51 ± 0.80      | − 1.54* ± 0.75   | − 2.31* ± 0.94   |
| B_{11}                      | − 14.08** ± 1.43 | − 4.16* ± 1.67   | − 4.29** ± 1.22  | − 8.09** ± 1.45  |
| B_{12}                      | 0.91 ± 1.63      | − 1.99 ± 2.86    | − 2.96 ± 2.60    | 1.33 ± 2.24      |
| B_{21}                      | − 5.97** ± 1.76  | − 5.07* ± 2.55   | 11.49** ± 2.69   | − 0.01 ± 2.03    |
| B_{22}                      | − 9.33** ± 1.45  | − 14.44** ± 1.84 | − 27.17** ± 2.24 | − 3.31* ± 1.27   |
| B_{1S}                      | − 14.83** ± 2.72 | − 10.36** ± 3.47 | − 18.56** ± 2.67 | − 9.93** ± 2.69  |
| B_{2S}                      | − 17.60** ± 2.45 | − 5.61 ± 3.29    | − 32.32** ± 3.54 | − 8.07** ± 2.76  |
| X                           | 0.53 ± 0.53      | 3.34** ± 0.67    | 2.11* ± 0.87     | − 0.86 ± 0.57    |
| Y                           | 4.59** ± 0.69    | 2.80** ± 1.02    | 10.00** ± 1.04   | 3.18** ± 0.80    |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

|   |   |   |   |   |
| m | 114.24** ± 0.13 | 120.10** ± 0.17 | 117.72** ± 0.17 | 120.36** ± 0.14 |
| (d) | − 2.30** ± 0.12 | 2.54** ± 0.15 | 3.81** ± 0.14 | 3.13** ± 0.12 |
| (h) | − 0.85** ± 0.29 | 2.94** ± 0.38 | 3.21** ± 0.41 | 3.29** ± 0.34 |
| $\chi^2_{(1)}$ (9 df) | 319.98** | 149.70** | 487.68** | 76.54** |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

|   |   |   |   |   |
| m | 114.33** ± 0.30 | 122.92** ± 0.34 | 119.78** ± 0.33 | 122.06** ± 0.28 |
| (d) | − 1.86** ± 0.32 | 0.20 ± 0.41 | 0.35 ± 0.35 | 3.60** ± 0.74 |
| (h) | − 6.13** ± 1.46 | − 0.98 ± 1.81 | 7.07** ± 1.63 | 8.65** ± 1.97 |
| (i) | − 7.73** ± 1.37 | − 3.01 ± 1.61 | 3.07* ± 1.51 | 4.62* ± 1.87 |
| (j) | 0.90 ± 0.39 | − 3.16** ± 0.49 | − 6.26** ± 0.44 | 0.96 ± 0.77 |
| (l) | 10.40** ± 2.04 | − 5.72* ± 2.71 | − 18.36** ± 2.32 | − 12.77** ± 3.40 |

**Digeneric and trigeneric interactions (Hill)**

|   |   |   |   |   |
| m | 116.90** ± 0.54 | 116.70** ± 0.76 | 115.45** ± 0.65 | 118.50** ± 0.63 |
| (d) | − 2.22** ± 0.20 | 3.26** ± 0.23 | 6.24** ± 0.23 | 2.75** ± 0.20 |
| (h) | − 6.11** ± 1.59 | 17.01** ± 2.21 | 19.20** ± 1.87 | 12.30** ± 1.92 |
| (i) | − 3.32** ± 0.54 | 2.30** ± 0.75 | − 0.24 ± 0.65 | 0.89 ± 0.61 |
| (j) | 0.35 ± 0.65 | − 3.66** ± 0.82 | − 11.43** ± 0.81 | 1.91* ± 0.82 |
| (l) | 2.43 ± 1.34 | − 13.46** ± 1.86 | − 19.06** ± 1.58 | − 9.32** ± 1.59 |
| $\chi^2_{(2)}$ (6 df) | 276.15** | 69.37** | 111.96** | 26.97** |

|   |   |   |   |   |
| m | 117.00** ± 0.81 | 114.90** ± 1.07 | 117.42** ± 0.99 | 120.12** ± 0.88 |
| (d) | − 2.34** ± 1.07 | 10.49** ± 1.41 | 12.99** ± 1.40 | 0.71 ± 1.10 |
| (h) | − 4.37* ± 3.43 | 28.25** ± 4.34 | 9.66* ± 4.00 | 5.10 ± 3.57 |
| (i) | − 4.18 ± 0.86 | 4.08** ± 1.12 | − 2.91* ± 1.04 | − 1.05 ± 0.92 |
| (j) | − 1.17** ± 2.85 | − 23.58** ± 3.60 | − 32.47** ± 3.80 | 8.04** ± 2.74 |
| (l) | − 0.79** ± 3.18 | − 25.30** ± 4.00 | − 11.96** ± 3.65 | − 3.21 ± 3.25 |
| (w) | 0.59** ± 1.06 | − 7.04** ± 1.41 | − 6.72* ± 1.39 | 1.87 ± 1.10 |
| (x) | − 4.93 ± 3.49 | − 16.26** ± 4.17 | 9.20* ± 3.99 | 6.17 ± 3.52 |
| (y) | 3.23** ± 2.87 | 16.88** ± 3.50 | 19.90** ± 4.13 | − 5.43 ± 2.82 |
| (z) | 3.76** ± 0.55 | 2.95** ± 0.69 | 2.67** ± 0.64 | 0.79 ± 0.59 |
| $\chi^2_{(3)}$ (2 df) | 189.22** | 11.89** | 34.95** | 8.60* |

* *Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
### Table 5: Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for plant height up to primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 32.40** ± 3.89   | 5.03 ± 3.79      | 4.26 ± 4.76      | −12.20 ± 6.15   |
| B                         | 16.54** ± 4.70   | −24.23** ± 4.69  | 3.10 ± 5.95      | 5.02 ± 5.01     |
| C                         | −50.13** ± 6.54  | −10.51 ± 7.22    | −35.32** ± 9.61  | 6.71 ± 9.31     |
| D                         | −0.60 ± 3.75     | 4.35 ± 3.81      | −21.34** ± 3.64  | 6.95 ± 3.83     |
| B₁₁                       | 12.67* ± 6.26    | 17.11 ± 9.49     | 15.11 ± 9.44     | −46.15** ± 11.79 |
| B₁₂                       | 37.97** ± 6.65   | 46.64** ± 6.01   | −73.53** ± 10.75 | −38.06** ± 9.93 |
| B₂₁                       | 6.40 ± 4.43      | 25.39** ± 7.77   | −29.42* ± 11.22  | 20.81 ± 11.41   |
| B₂₂                       | 56.49** ± 10.86  | 26.57** ± 8.03   | 26.11 ± 14.32    | 74.30 ± 10.18   |
| B₁₈                       | 81.86** ± 15.59  | −64.39** ± 16.50 | 81.54** ± 17.92  | −12.27 ± 21.97  |
| B₂₈                       | 32.28 ± 19.13    | 16.59 ± 15.75    | −58.97* ± 24.94  | −44.88** ± 19.15 |
| X                         | −3.06 ± 3.05     | 2.95 ± 3.13      | −13.78** ± 3.76  | −44.83** ± 3.37  |
| Y                         | −6.20* ± 3.12    | 7.09* ± 3.32     | −36.04** ± 4.76  | −11.35* ± 4.44  |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

| m                          | 56.48** ± 0.74 | 69.00** ± 0.78 | 61.41** ± 1.01 | 63.75** ± 0.89 |
| (d)                        | 5.99** ± 0.77  | 6.19** ± 0.76  | −1.85* ± 0.90  | 7.62** ± 0.78  |
| (h)                        | 14.10** ± 1.05 | −3.97** ± 1.39 | 4.36* ± 2.00   | 3.73* ± 1.81   |
| Χ² (9 df)                  | 161.52**       | 150.50**       | 238.01**       | 335.81**       |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

| m                          | 56.42** ± 1.38 | 67.23** ± 1.49 | 51.89** ± 1.48 | 64.48** ± 1.43 |
| (d)                        | −6.51* ± 2.54  | 15.47* ± 2.36  | 2.15 ± 2.12    | −8.75* ± 2.54  |
| (h)                        | 8.53 ± 7.71    | −7.51 ± 7.88   | 24.73** ± 8.21 | −17.18* ± 8.50 |
| (i)                        | 1.19 ± 4.51    | −8.69 ± 7.62   | 42.67** ± 7.28 | −13.89 ± 7.67  |
| (j)                        | −7.93** ± 3.01 | 14.63** ± 2.91 | 0.58 ± 3.20    | −8.60* ± 3.40  |
| (l)                        | 47.74** ± 12.09| 27.90* ± 11.91| −50.03** ± 12.82| 21.07 ± 13.80 |

**Digeneric and trigeneric interactions (Hill)**

| m                          | 64.11** ± 3.66 | 95.15** ± 3.22 | 53.08** ± 3.76 | 75.86** ± 3.71 |
| (d)                        | 1.70 ± 1.26    | −0.38 ± 1.33   | 3.26 ± 1.68    | 18.72** ± 1.55 |
| (h)                        | −28.77** ± 9.58| −78.89** ± 8.98| 12.68 ± 11.40 | −35.56** ± 11.54 |
| (i)                        | 0.63 ± 3.73    | −25.09** ± 3.31| 13.17** ± 3.74 | −8.93* ± 3.48  |
| (j)                        | 9.53* ± 4.13   | 26.49** ± 4.31 | −19.96** ± 4.96| −41.23** ± 5.03 |
| (l)                        | 37.81** ± 6.38 | 52.88** ± 6.55 | 2.86 ± 9.58    | 28.35** ± 9.66 |
| Χ² (6 df)                  | 77.84**        | 41.13**        | 193.20**       | 263.63**        |

| m                          | 64.97** ± 5.12 | 101.05** ± 4.36| 69.67** ± 5.11 | 73.84** ± 4.89 |
| (d)                        | −31.12** ± 7.67| 18.59** ± 6.24 | −57.29** ± 7.22| −55.06** ± 6.76 |
| (h)                        | −39.14* ± 18.77| −109.80** ± 17.36| −71.24** ± 19.76| −22.71 ± 18.97 |
| (i)                        | 0.42 ± 5.49    | −33.67** ± 4.76| 2.43 ± 5.90    | −7.63 ± 5.51   |
| (j)                        | 78.17** ± 19.26| −20.99 ± 16.45 | 135.86** ± 19.88| 209.15** ± 17.91 |
| (l)                        | 52.00** ± 16.28| 80.77** ± 15.63| 87.00** ± 17.65| 11.70 ± 16.96  |
| (w)                        | 33.17** ± 7.63 | −19.21** ± 6.21| 57.42** ± 7.14 | 57.06** ± 6.66 |
| (x)                        | 12.13 ± 18.60  | 43.30* ± 18.22 | 100.90** ± 19.60| −1.33 ± 19.16  |
| (y)                        | −34.36* ± 17.07| 36.38* ± 16.20 | −105.53** ± 19.54| −253.92** ± 16.99 |
| (z)                        | −5.11 ± 2.66   | −2.07 ± 2.72   | −30.52** ± 3.06 | −0.80 ± 2.98   |
| Χ² (3 df)                  | 49.36**        | 21.64**        | 16.08**        | 20.80**        |

* and ** indicate significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively.
Table 6  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for number of nodes up to primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | −1.58 ± 0.96     | 4.02** ± 1.12    | 7.58** ± 1.74    | 3.49** ± 1.03    |
| B                         | −3.07** ± 0.88   | 0.11 ± 0.94     | −0.13 ± 1.14     | 4.22** ± 1.12    |
| C                         | −0.68 ± 1.58     | 2.43 ± 2.11     | −1.51 ± 1.76     | 1.48 ± 1.71     |
| D                         | 1.98* ± 0.79     | −0.85 ± 0.87    | −4.48** ± 1.18   | −3.12** ± 0.82   |
| B11                       | −3.16 ± 2.12     | −10.23** ± 2.67 | 8.41** ± 1.85    | −6.07** ± 2.02   |
| B12                       | 4.56** ± 1.51    | −4.23 ± 2.21    | 12.05** ± 1.91   | −0.56 ± 2.34    |
| B21                       | −3.67* ± 1.69    | −11.96** ± 2.24 | 1.88 ± 1.90      | 2.01 ± 1.94     |
| B22                       | 6.64** ± 1.32    | −4.95** ± 1.24  | −8.80** ± 1.66   | −1.63 ± 2.05    |
| B1S                       | 14.44** ± 3.61   | −8.61 ± 5.01    | 2.09 ± 3.95      | −13.69** ± 3.59 |
| B2S                       | 6.71 ± 3.59      | −4.35 ± 2.86    | −8.73** ± 2.85   | −0.20 ± 4.30    |
| X                         | −0.39 ± 0.61     | 0.61 ± 0.66     | 6.85** ± 0.68    | −1.75 ± 0.77    |
| Y                         | −0.65 ± 0.72     | −0.25 ± 0.92    | 3.58** ± 0.81    | 2.29* ± 0.90    |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

| m                           | 15.39** ± 0.17    | 16.03** ± 0.18  | 15.09** ± 0.18   | 16.78** ± 0.20   |
| (d)                         | −0.22 ± 0.16      | −0.33* ± 0.16   | −0.23 ± 0.17     | 0.03 ± 0.18     |
| (h)                         | −0.40 ± 0.33      | −0.07 ± 0.36    | 2.08** ± 0.37    | 0.58 ± 0.41     |
| $\chi^2$ (9 df)            | 95.76**           | 67.39**         | 168.75**         | 52.16**         |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

| m                           | 15.61** ± 0.30    | 15.10** ± 0.37  | 16.17** ± 0.35   | 16.85** ± 0.30   |
| (d)                         | −0.08 ± 0.50      | 0.62 ± 0.43     | 4.82** ± 0.95    | −0.86 ± 0.57    |
| (h)                         | −4.86** ± 1.65    | 0.70 ± 1.89     | 12.51** ± 2.42   | 7.93** ± 1.76   |
| (i)                         | −3.96* ± 1.57     | 1.70 ± 1.73     | 8.95** ± 2.36    | 6.23** ± 1.66   |
| (j)                         | 0.74 ± 0.59       | 1.95** ± 0.58   | 3.85** ± 0.99    | −0.36 ± 0.68    |
| (l)                         | 8.61** ± 2.55     | −5.84* ± 2.72   | −16.39** ± 4.19  | 13.94** ± 2.85  |

Digenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)

| m                           | 14.24** ± 0.77    | 12.94** ± 0.80  | 16.91** ± 0.82   | 15.41** ± 0.83   |
| (d)                         | −0.99** ± 0.26    | −1.28** ± 0.29  | −0.39 ± 0.26     | 0.27 ± 0.31     |
| (h)                         | 0.25 ± 2.14       | 9.51** ± 2.25   | −1.90 ± 2.44     | 6.83** ± 2.43   |
| (i)                         | 1.65* ± 0.78      | 2.30** ± 0.80   | −2.14* ± 0.81    | 0.54 ± 0.81     |
| (j)                         | 4.02** ± 0.85     | 3.42** ± 0.85   | 1.02 ± 1.02      | −0.99 ± 1.09    |
| (l)                         | 1.26 ± 1.63       | −7.62** ± 1.81  | 2.10 ± 1.90      | −5.89** ± 1.91  |
| $\chi^2$ (6 df)            | 51.93**           | 38.02**         | 159.91**         | 38.90**         |

| m                           | 11.92** ± 1.09    | 13.92** ± 1.16  | 18.81** ± 1.09   | 16.43** ± 1.12   |
| (d)                         | −7.12** ± 1.55    | −1.14 ± 1.57    | 3.01* ± 1.53     | 2.60 ± 1.61     |
| (h)                         | 12.60** ± 4.09    | 4.45 ± 4.65     | −10.25* ± 4.29   | 0.83 ± 4.18     |
| (i)                         | 4.55** ± 1.14     | 1.72 ± 1.24     | −4.27** ± 1.14   | −1.03 ± 1.21    |
| (j)                         | 16.95** ± 3.72    | −1.07 ± 3.83    | −19.66** ± 3.89  | −1.12 ± 4.03    |
| (l)                         | −10.56** ± 3.60   | −2.77 ± 4.22    | 8.97* ± 3.86     | −0.19 ± 3.66    |
| (w)                         | 6.50** ± 1.55     | 0.40 ± 1.57     | −2.19 ± 1.52     | −3.11 ± 1.60    |
| (x)                         | −15.37** ± 3.95   | 3.35 ± 4.53     | 6.10 ± 4.48      | 9.47* ± 4.04    |
| (y)                         | −8.09* ± 3.22     | 8.57* ± 3.37    | 33.20** ± 3.64   | −6.92 ± 3.85    |
| (z)                         | 1.52* ± 0.60      | −0.58 ± 0.71    | 0.48 ± 0.69      | −0.06 ± 0.65    |
| $\chi^2$ (2 df)            | 12.81**           | 26.75**         | 42.77**          | 11.22**         |

**,**Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 7  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for length of primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 7.50 ± 3.79      | -17.70** ± 4.01  | 7.67 ± 4.58      | -39.55** ± 5.04  |
| B                         | 58.74** ± 3.16   | -7.63* ± 3.45    | -12.96* ± 5.36   | -3.82 ± 4.85     |
| C                         | -6.73* ± 3.18    | -9.02 ± 6.68     | -16.53* ± 6.67   | -48.98** ± 8.14  |
| D                         | -36.48** ± 2.45  | 8.16** ± 2.67    | -5.62 ± 3.15     | -2.81 ± 3.31     |
| B11                       | -5.88 ± 5.49     | 20.54 ± 10.84    | 81.66** ± 8.35   | 13.96 ± 15.24    |
| B12                       | 9.80* ± 3.83     | -66.97** ± 10.61 | 80.03** ± 6.47   | 43.12** ± 8.05   |
| B21                       | -28.13** ± 5.41  | 63.54** ± 7.19   | 80.59** ± 6.17   | 87.17** ± 7.28   |
| B22                       | -65.46** ± 8.24  | 9.00 ± 5.84      | 91.34** ± 10.98  | 100.12** ± 7.75  |
| B1S                       | 10.54 ± 9.69     | 157.03** ± 14.91 | 201.25** ± 14.74 | -62.59* ± 25.06  |
| B2S                       | -57.17** ± 8.74  | 7.60 ± 10.63     | 197.62** ± 19.04 | 143.26** ± 14.97 |
| X                         | 24.37** ± 2.61   | -29.74** ± 3.30  | -2.56 ± 2.51     | -32.55** ± 3.44  |
| Y                         | 13.25** ± 2.74   | -8.24* ± 3.91    | -3.09 ± 3.00     | 4.05 ± 3.87      |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

| m                         | 24.34** ± 0.46   | 31.13** ± 0.63   | 29.14** ± 0.50   | 30.36** ± 0.73   |
| (d)                       | -0.51 ± 0.47     | 1.10 ± 0.60      | 2.31** ± 0.46    | 7.95** ± 0.62    |
| (h)                       | 4.86** ± 0.87    | -5.39** ± 1.27   | -3.02** ± 0.98   | -1.63 ± 1.38     |
| $\chi^2_{(1)}$ (9 df)    | 551.37**         | 423.58**         | 789.03**         | 853.52**         |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

| m                         | 23.77** ± 0.51   | 34.94** ± 1.06   | 42.36** ± 0.95   | 34.95** ± 1.31   |
| (d)                       | -23.68** ± 2.23  | 7.11** ± 1.60    | 11.01** ± 2.50   | -18.47** ± 2.02  |
| (h)                       | 79.36** ± 5.04   | -20.88** ± 5.92  | -0.86 ± 6.87     | 14.82* ± 7.32    |
| (i)                       | 72.97** ± 4.89   | -16.31** ± 5.33  | 11.24 ± 6.30     | 5.61 ± 6.62      |
| (j)                       | -25.62** ± 2.39  | -5.03* ± 2.18    | 10.31** ± 3.32   | -17.86* ± 3.26   |
| (l)                       | -39.21** ± 9.45  | 41.64** ± 9.26   | -5.94 ± 12.05    | 37.76** ± 11.49  |

Digeneric and trigeneric interactions (Hill)

| m                         | 7.35** ± 2.13    | 27.77** ± 2.29   | 27.35** ± 2.16   | 68.87** ± 2.57   |
| (d)                       | 0.12 ± 0.74      | 10.61** ± 1.13   | 1.65 ± 0.99      | 27.29** ± 1.50   |
| (h)                       | 58.59** ± 6.47   | -3.43 ± 7.47     | -3.22 ± 7.63     | -129.22* ± 7.97  |
| (i)                       | 16.48** ± 2.07   | 7.62** ± 2.45    | 3.97* ± 1.95     | -20.77** ± 2.54  |
| (j)                       | -7.88** ± 2.89   | -34.66** ± 3.55  | 2.43 ± 3.09      | -55.13** ± 4.17  |
| (l)                       | -40.49** ± 4.85  | 7.24 ± 6.57      | 3.16 ± 6.73      | 110.13** ± 6.62  |
| $\chi^2_{(2)}$ (6 df)    | 461.97**         | 325.97**         | 782.13**         | 326.49**         |

| m                         | 12.78** ± 2.70   | 9.88** ± 3.06    | 5.29* ± 2.66     | 67.21** ± 3.91   |
| (d)                       | 20.98** ± 4.63   | -44.03** ± 4.15  | -9.07* ± 3.65    | 49.47** ± 5.12   |
| (h)                       | 29.50** ± 9.27   | 79.09** ± 12.79  | 126.28** ± 11.12 | -108.9** ± 15.76 |
| (i)                       | 9.17** ± 2.87    | 28.80** ± 3.57   | 34.21** ± 3.60   | -23.93** ± 4.79  |
| (j)                       | -137.90** ± 14.08 | 136.17** ± 12.60 | 42.19** ± 12.09  | -25.25 ± 13.60   |
| (l)                       | -14.98 ± 7.78    | -69.73** ± 11.98 | -125.70** ± 10.26| 92.42* ± 14.35   |
| (w)                       | -18.86** ± 4.60  | 54.51** ± 4.22   | 8.15* ± 3.42     | -46.96* ± 5.31   |
| (x)                       | 83.35** ± 8.35   | -72.80** ± 13.23 | -207.80** ± 13.43| -3.88 ± 16.20    |
| (y)                       | 181.14** ± 13.66 | -158.60** ± 12.99| -58.22** ± 12.04 | -111.70** ± 13.03|
| (z)                       | 0.73 ± 1.55      | 17.02** ± 2.36   | 28.14** ± 1.79   | -0.18 ± 2.53     |
| $\chi^2_{(2)}$ (2 df)    | 146.16**         | 92.84**          | 456.37**         | 85.59**          |

* **Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 8  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for effective length of primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A                         | 5.58 ± 3.34     | -12.20* ± 5.04  | 27.47** ± 4.56  | -42.45** ± 4.68 |
| B                         | 11.30** ± 2.52  | -6.45 ± 4.00    | 13.00 ± 5.26    | -15.57** ± 4.62 |
| C                         | 11.64** ± 4.44  | -8.46 ± 8.01    | 10.75 ± 7.08    | -67.92** ± 7.30 |
| D                         | -2.62 ± 2.64    | 5.09 ± 3.10     | -14.86** ± 3.47 | -4.95 ± 2.84   |
| B_{11}                    | -11.36** ± 3.64 | 16.01 ± 11.01   | 56.54** ± 9.67  | 15.68 ± 14.29  |
| B_{12}                    | 9.93* ± 4.32    | -75.26** ± 10.73| 39.07** ± 5.55  | 46.03** ± 7.66  |
| B_{21}                    | -23.26** ± 5.38 | 23.28* ± 9.45   | 36.96** ± 5.57  | 95.61** ± 6.71  |
| B_{22}                    | -59.42** ± 8.12 | 17.08* ± 5.97   | 26.32* ± 10.47  | 101.56** ± 8.32 |
| B_{1S}                    | -2.18 ± 9.27    | 132.30** ± 15.76| 130.23** ± 15.88| -21.34 ± 25.44 |
| B_{2S}                    | -49.27** ± 9.15 | 15.24 ± 11.39   | 99.93* ± 17.78  | 106.22* ± 15.35 |
| X                         | 20.31* ± 2.46   | -24.90** ± 3.00 | 8.08* ± 2.63    | -33.86** ± 3.34 |
| Y                         | 14.36** ± 2.66  | -21.27** ± 4.12 | -1.71 ± 2.95    | 6.10 ± 3.70     |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

| m                         | 21.84** ± 0.47  | 24.46** ± 0.59  | 26.79** ± 0.49  | 25.09** ± 0.73  |
| (d)                       | -0.28 ± 0.45    | -0.05 ± 0.56    | 2.71* ± 0.49    | 4.35* ± 0.61    |
| (h)                       | 3.21** ± 0.92   | 5.83** ± 1.37   | -9.65* ± 0.89   | 2.32 ± 1.39     |
| \(\chi^2\) (9 df)        | 140.06**        | 310.05**        | 567.65**        | 728.10**        |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

| m                         | 24.31** ± 0.94  | 29.92** ± 1.19  | 34.25** ± 1.20  | 29.01** ± 1.08  |
| (d)                       | -2.28 ± 1.86    | 6.51** ± 1.99   | 12.82** ± 2.50  | -14.80** ± 1.84 |
| (h)                       | 10.39 ± 5.41    | -20.00** ± 6.99 | 12.93 ± 7.41    | 16.02* ± 6.39   |
| (i)                       | 5.23 ± 5.28     | -10.19 ± 6.21   | 29.72** ± 6.94  | 9.91 ± 5.67     |
| (j)                       | -2.86 ± 1.97    | -2.87 ± 2.53    | 7.23* ± 3.35    | -13.44** ± 3.09 |
| (l)                       | -22.11* ± 8.67  | 28.84* ± 11.29  | -70.20** ± 12.26| 48.11** ± 10.37 |

Digeneric and trigeneric interactions (Hill)

| m                         | 18.76** ± 2.37  | 22.72** ± 2.44  | 13.81** ± 1.93  | 57.01** ± 2.42  |
| (d)                       | -1.15 ± 0.61    | 7.70** ± 1.15   | -1.05 ± 0.99    | 25.34** ± 1.49  |
| (h)                       | 21.37** ± 6.51  | -7.44 ± 8.46    | 26.25** ± 6.95  | -108.52** ± 7.68|
| (i)                       | 0.97 ± 2.38     | 9.76** ± 2.56   | 15.08* ± 2.01   | -11.67* ± 2.19  |
| (j)                       | 4.26 ± 2.41     | -25.79* ± 3.82  | 14.64* ± 2.88   | -56.39** ± 4.12 |
| (l)                       | -18.23** ± 4.78 | 23.10** ± 7.74  | -25.15** ± 6.16 | 97.22** ± 6.35  |
| \(\chi^2\) (6 df)        | 106.49**        | 237.59**        | 482.23**        | 254.95**        |

Digeneric and trigeneric interactions (Hill)

| m                         | 16.22** ± 3.18  | 8.51** ± 3.23   | 11.36** ± 2.51  | 61.24** ± 4.42  |
| (d)                       | 0.90 ± 4.99     | -33.18** ± 4.11 | -4.53 ± 3.42    | 36.35** ± 6.17  |
| (h)                       | 27.62 ± 12.04   | 61.59** ± 14.05 | 116.19** ± 11.86| -113.68** ± 16.12|
| (i)                       | 2.72 ± 3.27     | 28.99** ± 3.73  | 26.89** ± 3.48  | -17.74** ± 5.19 |
| (j)                       | -47.20** ± 13.60| 101.02** ± 13.08| 23.76 ± 12.46   | -1.57 ± 15.34   |
| (l)                       | -24.45* ± 10.63 | -40.98** ± 13.34| -118.18** ± 11.41| 100.77** ± 13.96|
| (w)                       | -0.26 ± 4.98    | 42.12** ± 4.12  | 6.00 ± 3.19     | -34.64** ± 6.24 |
| (x)                       | 14.29 ± 12.00   | -53.52** ± 14.59| -119.29** ± 15.24| 12.29 ± 15.04  |
| (y)                       | 90.84** ± 12.95 | -122.46** ± 13.35| -20.19 ± 12.51  | -122.06** ± 13.60|
| (z)                       | 4.80* ± 1.88    | 3.17** ± 2.59   | 21.07** ± 1.98  | -0.10 ± 2.36    |
| \(\chi^2\) (3 df)        | 3.71            | 76.57**         | 362.35**        | 56.03**         |

*,**Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 9  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for number of effective branches per plant in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | -5.36** ± 1.58   | -3.20* ± 1.30    | -4.24** ± 1.00   | -0.44 ± 1.57    |
| B                         | 2.93 ± 3.54      | 1.29 ± 1.55      | 1.40 ± 1.11      | 1.18 ± 1.51     |
| C                         | -16.01** ± 2.31  | -3.95 ± 2.49     | 1.89 ± 2.16      | -9.45** ± 2.64  |
| D                         | -6.80** ± 1.83   | -1.02 ± 0.84     | 3.77** ± 0.94    | -3.92** ± 1.01  |
| B<sub>1</sub>             | 20.68** ± 4.08   | -4.75 ± 2.92     | 8.97** ± 1.77    | -7.97 ± 6.18    |
| B<sub>2</sub>             | -1.39 ± 2.69     | 5.17 ± 3.62      | 1.21 ± 2.24      | 0.52 ± 3.50     |
| B<sub>2</sub>             | 12.01** ± 2.65   | 2.08 ± 3.67      | -5.24 ± 2.87     | 0.72 ± 3.54     |
| B<sub>2</sub>             | 15.20** ± 2.52   | -1.65 ± 2.07     | 2.89 ± 2.34      | -0.20 ± 2.74    |
| B<sub>1S</sub>            | 36.71** ± 7.00   | 5.00 ± 4.71      | -9.73 ± 5.25     | 6.52 ± 5.11     |
| B<sub>2S</sub>            | 16.81** ± 4.75   | -21.97** ± 4.33  | -16.35** ± 4.90  | 5.19 ± 4.52     |
| X                         | -1.98* ± 0.99    | -1.55 ± 0.96     | 3.13** ± 0.83    | 0.84 ± 1.68     |
| Y                         | -6.31** ± 1.16   | -0.21 ± 1.43     | -3.97** ± 1.05   | 2.35 ± 1.98     |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

| m                         | 3.57** ± 0.18    | 5.37** ± 0.22    | 7.31** ± 0.21    | 7.84** ± 0.26   |
| (d)                       | 1.00** ± 0.15    | 0.28 ± 0.20      | 0.03 ± 0.19      | 1.01** ± 0.25   |
| (h)                       | 3.83** ± 0.46    | 0.55 ± 0.49      | -2.08** ± 0.42   | 0.90 ± 0.52     |
| χ<sup>2</sup> (9 df)      | 143.66**         | 88.75**          | 101.03**         | 34.69**         |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

| m                         | 4.41** ± 0.30    | 4.61** ± 0.29    | 7.24** ± 0.40    | 6.52** ± 0.36   |
| (d)                       | -1.97 ± 1.73     | 1.17 ± 0.61      | -0.48 ± 0.50     | 1.40 ± 0.71     |
| (h)                       | 13.29** ± 3.80   | 3.10 ± 2.01      | -9.73** ± 2.03   | 8.99** ± 2.31   |
| (i)                       | 13.59** ± 3.67   | 2.03 ± 1.68      | -7.53** ± 1.89   | 7.83** ± 2.03   |
| (j)                       | -4.14* ± 1.89    | -2.24** ± 0.68   | -1.42* ± 0.59    | 0.36 ± 0.80     |
| (l)                       | -11.16 ± 7.31    | -0.12 ± 3.49     | 13.18** ± 2.95   | -6.20 ± 3.89    |

Diogenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)

| m                         | 7.21** ± 0.75    | 7.82** ± 0.98    | 16.42** ± 1.14   | 5.23** ± 1.15   |
| (d)                       | 1.18** ± 0.42    | 1.01** ± 0.28    | 0.60* ± 0.27     | 0.90* ± 0.34    |
| (h)                       | -10.40** ± 2.60  | -7.27* ± 2.93    | -26.14** ± 2.95  | 5.22 ± 3.62     |
| (i)                       | -1.86* ± 0.65    | -2.28* ± 0.96    | -8.75* ± 1.12    | 3.47* ± 1.16    |
| (j)                       | -0.40 ± 1.49     | -3.95** ± 1.03   | -1.48 ± 0.94     | 0.18 ± 1.18     |
| (l)                       | 12.65** ± 2.25   | 6.70** ± 2.48    | 16.68** ± 2.21   | -0.97 ± 3.07    |
| χ<sup>2</sup> (6 df)     | 111.28**         | 63.78**          | 28.29**          | 16.77*          |

| m                         | 6.35** ± 0.97    | 12.01** ± 1.46   | 15.98** ± 1.73   | 8.39** ± 1.58   |
| (d)                       | -4.09** ± 1.24   | -4.86* ± 2.01    | 1.40 ± 2.50      | 0.27 ± 2.42     |
| (h)                       | -11.94* ± 3.88   | -25.77** ± 5.32  | -25.09** ± 6.18  | -9.72 ± 5.89    |
| (i)                       | 0.26 ± 1.25      | -6.75** ± 1.52   | -8.01* ± 1.76    | -0.03 ± 1.66    |
| (j)                       | 14.90** ± 3.86   | 7.64 ± 5.14      | -8.02 ± 5.68     | 1.34 ± 7.23     |
| (l)                       | 17.44** ± 3.49   | 23.63** ± 4.67   | 16.99** ± 5.26   | 12.93* ± 5.24   |
| (w)                       | 4.61** ± 1.19    | 6.04** ± 2.01    | -0.37 ± 2.50     | 0.77 ± 2.42     |
| (x)                       | 11.92** ± 4.23   | 20.22** ± 4.16   | 0.81 ± 5.39      | 17.80** ± 4.90  |
| (y)                       | -17.83** ± 4.30  | -4.23 ± 5.02     | 11.86* ± 4.65    | 1.35 ± 6.88     |
| (z)                       | -4.27** ± 0.69   | -2.17* ± 0.90    | -1.92* ± 0.80    | -1.73 ± 1.09    |
| χ<sup>2</sup> (2 df)     | 31.15**          | 26.63**          | 9.36**           | 2.93            |

* **Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 10  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for number of capsules on primary raceme in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 18.20 ± 14.46    |                  |                  | 17.71 ± 10.06    |
| B                         | -38.04** ± 11.65 | 55.51** ± 12.54  | 10.51 ± 7.38     | 24.93** ± 8.62   |
| C                         | 3.45 ± 20.43     | 32.47 ± 20.98    | 36.40* ± 14.28   | 10.55 ± 9.78     |
| D                         | 11.65 ± 9.20     | -67.93** ± 11.06 | 36.42** ± 6.27   | -16.05* ± 7.53   |
| B11                       | -27.67 ± 25.29   | 6.47 ± 17.93     | 57.53** ± 15.82  | -37.19** ± 12.15 |
| B12                       | 26.00 ± 21.12    | -113.09** ± 28.81| 13.72 ± 16.02    | 0.53 ± 11.30     |
| B21                       | -39.91* ± 20.03  | -73.19* ± 27.97  | 72.39** ± 14.54  | 36.92** ± 11.13  |
| B22                       | 44.77 ± 26.45    | -53.79** ± 16.88 | -59.69** ± 12.34 | 54.29** ± 13.25  |
| B1S                       | 120.49** ± 45.47 | 91.37** ± 30.00  | -43.81 ± 28.14   | -199.99** ± 27.54|
| B2S                       | 18.68 ± 46.58    | -236.89** ± 29.41| -96.29** ± 26.33 | -90.39** ± 23.29 |
| X                         | -1.63 ± 7.29     | 5.09 ± 7.41      | 14.64** ± 4.88   | -31.97* ± 5.20   |
| Y                         | -7.75 ± 9.26     | -34.74** ± 10.80 | 22.07** ± 6.29   | 5.09 ± 5.63      |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

| m | 77.43** ± 1.96 |
| (d) | 5.46** ± 1.81 |
| (h) | 4.67** ± 3.59 |
| \( \chi^2 \) (9 df) | 76.77** |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

| m | 83.68** ± 3.29 |
| (d) | 23.88** ± 6.42 |
| (h) | 29.33 ± 19.99 |
| (i) | 23.29 ± 18.41 |
| (j) | 28.12 ± 8.35 |
| (l) | 43.14 ± 32.84 |
| \( \chi^2 \) (9 df) | 12.27** |

Digenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)

| m | 69.40** ± 7.80 |
| (d) | -11.07** ± 3.38 |
| (h) | 18.96 ± 24.80 |
| (i) | 13.64 ± 7.65 |
| (j) | 55.99** ± 9.76 |
| (l) | -4.01 ± 21.07 |
| \( \chi^2 \) (6 df) | 39.95** |

| m | 54.38** ± 10.04 |
| (d) | -81.46** ± 14.12 |
| (h) | 92.66* ± 40.32 |
| (i) | 33.54** ± 11.75 |
| (j) | 205.92** ± 40.03 |
| (l) | -73.12** ± 36.69 |
| \( \chi^2 \) (6 df) | 4.30 |

*,**Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
### Table 11  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for shelling out turn in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 33.46** ± 2.03   | 17.22** ± 3.59   | −48.38** ± 4.02  | −12.79** ± 2.97  |
| B                         | 43.69** ± 2.57   | −1.64 ± 3.34     | −46.31** ± 3.75  | −19.26** ± 2.48  |
| C                         | 100.61** ± 4.91  | 27.67** ± 6.24   | −24.50** ± 7.25  | −39.12** ± 4.36  |
| D                         | 11.73** ± 1.97   | 6.04** ± 1.87    | 35.09** ± 1.23   | −3.54** ± 1.09   |
| B_{11}                    | −90.60** ± 4.65  | 16.39 ± 8.91     | 5.77 ± 7.37      | −55.54** ± 6.82  |
| B_{12}                    | −7.61 ± 5.17     | −2.12 ± 7.37     | −11.11 ± 10.01   | −47.89** ± 5.78  |
| B_{21}                    | −58.45** ± 5.06  | −9.81 ± 7.62     | 30.35** ± 9.90   | −79.33** ± 6.44  |
| B_{22}                    | 36.52** ± 4.62   | 58.40** ± 5.80   | 40.61** ± 6.59   | −15.23** ± 3.52  |
| B_{15}                    | −101.70** ± 5.76 | −23.86 ± 14.52   | 138.69** ± 12.95 | −96.81** ± 11.89 |
| B_{25}                    | 50.16** ± 9.32   | 217.98** ± 10.62 | 7.25 ± 11.70     | −5.35 ± 6.44     |
| X                         | −19.07** ± 1.42  | −8.58** ± 2.06   | −19.07** ± 1.70  | −2.22 ± 1.57     |
| Y                         | −2.99 ± 2.12     | −21.68** ± 3.05  | −6.79 ± 3.62     | −14.11** ± 2.36  |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

| m                     | 56.38** ± 0.35  | 45.94** ± 0.46  | 46.23** ± 0.34  | 60.79** ± 0.32   |
| (d)                   | 2.19** ± 0.33   | 14.14** ± 0.39  | 2.81** ± 0.31   | 1.48** ± 0.27    |
| (h)                   | 11.39** ± 0.67  | 17.65** ± 0.96  | 21.80** ± 0.67  | −15.81** ± 0.76  |
| χ_{(1)}² (9 df)       | 1934.68**       | 1745.18**       | 3228.79**       | 3845.57**        |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

| m                     | 78.90** ± 0.86  | 64.01** ± 0.76  | 60.70** ± 0.49  | 42.05** ± 0.28   |
| (d)                   | −15.19** ± 0.94 | 10.87** ± 1.08  | 1.75** ± 0.73   | −0.44 ± 0.93     |
| (h)                   | −23.08** ± 4.32 | −18.15** ± 4.63 | −50.15** ± 4.27 | 1.08 ± 3.04      |
| (i)                   | −23.45** ± 3.95 | −12.08** ± 3.74 | −70.18** ± 2.47 | 7.07** ± 2.19    |
| (j)                   | −5.11** ± 1.20  | 9.42** ± 1.86   | −1.03 ± 1.56    | 3.23* ± 1.48     |
| (l)                   | −53.70** ± 6.21 | −3.49 ± 7.60    | 164.87** ± 7.82 | 24.97** ± 5.74   |

**Digenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)**

| m                     | 46.05** ± 1.40  | 14.31** ± 1.54  | 77.46** ± 1.33  | 69.04** ± 1.14   |
| (d)                   | −1.49** ± 0.57  | 17.83** ± 0.90  | 4.12** ± 0.70   | 11.08** ± 0.64   |
| (h)                   | 75.06** ± 4.61  | 106.09** ± 5.61 | −122.15** ± 4.90 | −89.43** ± 4.16  |
| (i)                   | −0.12 ± 1.41    | 33.22** ± 1.40  | −8.14** ± 1.23  | 13.60** ± 0.97   |
| (j)                   | 14.32** ± 1.66  | −3.91 ± 2.55    | −8.51** ± 1.99  | −30.82** ± 2.12  |
| (l)                   | −69.63** ± 4.06 | −66.15** ± 5.24 | 143.38** ± 4.67 | 92.77** ± 3.91   |
| χ_{(2)}² (6 df)       | 1227.58**       | 1156.62**       | 2228.14**       | 2249.53**        |

| m                     | 8.77** ± 2.26   | 12.46** ± 2.45  | 80.01** ± 2.10  | 90.29** ± 1.67   |
| (d)                   | 4.42 ± 2.87     | 60.81** ± 2.98  | −59.97** ± 2.27 | 28.65** ± 2.00   |
| (h)                   | 262.64** ± 9.84 | 205.20** ± 10.13 | −108.96** ± 8.62 | −182.26** ± 6.28 |
| (i)                   | 42.84** ± 2.45  | 50.62** ± 3.08  | −12.01** ± 2.81 | −17.05** ± 2.15  |
| (j)                   | 50.30** ± 7.80  | −39.27** ± 9.03 | 153.63** ± 7.45 | −86.01** ± 6.32  |
| (l)                   | −247.18** ± 9.21 | −171.26** ± 9.36 | 125.90** ± 8.00 | 180.86** ± 5.63  |
| (w)                   | −12.04** ± 2.85 | −63.68** ± 3.00 | 65.13** ± 2.22  | −16.70** ± 1.93  |
| (x)                   | −210.99** ± 9.97 | −146.70** ± 9.90 | −89.65** ± 7.61 | 125.46** ± 5.40  |
| (y)                   | −87.86** ± 7.33 | −54.10** ± 9.15 | −126.43** ± 7.70 | 73.41** ± 7.03   |
| (z)                   | 22.13** ± 1.60  | 6.67** ± 1.79   | 33.04** ± 1.52  | −13.98** ± 1.17  |
| χ_{(3)}² (2 df)       | 692.94**        | 152.66**        | 625.26**        | 466.27**         |

**Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively**
Table 12  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for 100 seed weight in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 1.92 ± 1.53      | 3.66**± 1.10     | −1.78 ± 1.51     | 0.75 ± 2.34      |
| B                         | 0.34 ± 1.78      | −3.11**± 0.75    | −2.92**± 1.28    | −6.82**± 1.75    |
| C                         | −3.48 ± 2.68     | 1.74 ± 2.95      | −8.44**± 2.23    | 7.71* ± 3.60     |
| D                         | −2.87**± 0.96    | 0.60 ± 1.42      | −1.87 ± 1.08     | 6.89**± 1.66     |
| B_{11}                    | −1.21 ± 3.22     | −12.28**± 2.33   | 6.20 ± 3.23      | −10.70**± 3.18   |
| B_{12}                    | 5.13 ± 3.19      | 0.97 ± 2.81      | 3.46 ± 2.58      | 12.74**± 3.81    |
| B_{21}                    | 14.22**± 3.20    | −18.63**± 2.32   | −8.68**± 2.63    | 8.39* ± 3.92     |
| B_{22}                    | 17.57**± 3.21    | −2.94 ± 1.98     | −0.65 ± 2.87     | −18.60**± 4.15   |
| B_{18}                    | −10.01 ± 5.35    | −35.73**± 5.03   | 23.10**± 5.39    | −4.75 ± 5.97     |
| B_{28}                    | 1.23 ± 6.58      | −30.68**± 6.51   | −17.03**± 5.86   | 18.15**± 5.06    |
| X                         | −6.97**± 0.87    | 2.56**± 0.96     | 4.75**± 1.09     | 3.12* ± 1.20     |
| Y                         | 0.75 ± 1.30      | −0.61 ± 1.09     | −2.69*± 1.19     | 12.55**± 1.67    |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

| m                         | 34.22**± 0.22    | 33.96**± 0.20    | 33.43**± 0.26    | 33.72* ± 0.28    |
| (d)                       | 0.49*± 0.21      | 1.56**± 0.18     | −0.87**± 0.26    | 2.44*± 0.27      |
| (h)                       | −2.08**± 0.43    | −1.36**± 0.41    | 0.59 ± 0.52      | 2.24**± 0.57     |
| \(\chi^2\) (9 df)        | 219.51**         | 199.92**         | 104.03**         | 138.01**         |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

| m                         | 33.56± 0.33      | 32.53± 0.67      | 32.34± 0.40      | 37.93*± 0.63     |
| (d)                       | −0.91 ± 0.69     | 2.97**± 0.46     | 0.89 ± 0.72      | 4.15*± 1.08      |
| (h)                       | 6.29**± 2.25     | −1.65 ± 2.90     | 2.82 ± 2.30      | −7.57*± 3.57     |
| (i)                       | 5.74**± 1.92     | −1.19 ± 2.84     | 3.74 ± 2.17      | −13.70*± 3.33    |
| (j)                       | 0.79 ± 0.96      | 3.38**± 0.55     | 0.57 ± 0.93      | 3.78*± 1.21      |
| (l)                       | −8.00*± 3.87     | 0.65 ± 3.48      | 0.95 ± 3.64      | 19.84*± 5.62     |

**Digenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)**

| m                         | 37.62**± 0.90    | 39.60**± 1.32    | 31.51**± 1.03    | 29.56*± 1.20     |
| (d)                       | 2.07**± 0.41     | −0.39 ± 0.27     | −1.82**± 0.46    | 0.88 ± 0.45      |
| (h)                       | −8.72**± 3.06    | −11.50**± 3.14   | 3.01 ± 3.05      | 15.27*± 3.97     |
| (i)                       | −4.80**± 0.85    | −7.07**± 1.33    | 3.02**± 1.04     | 4.21*± 1.22      |
| (j)                       | −6.43**± 1.16    | 9.08**± 0.96     | 4.44**± 1.53     | 5.42*± 1.45      |
| (l)                       | 3.16 ± 2.72      | 4.54*± 2.15      | 0.04 ± 2.34      | −10.18*± 3.45    |
| \(\chi^2\) (6 df)        | 146.54**         | 78.64**          | 79.91**          | 113.27**         |

| m                         | 40.10**± 1.37    | 43.58**± 2.05    | 36.82*± 1.45     | 28.50*± 1.57     |
| (d)                       | −7.09**± 1.86    | −0.81 ± 2.97     | −12.12**± 2.15   | 8.49*± 2.17      |
| (h)                       | −19.65**± 5.18   | −29.68**± 7.93   | −16.53**± 5.43   | 30.22*± 6.93     |
| (i)                       | −7.34**± 1.57    | −10.78**± 2.07   | −1.52 ± 1.60     | 4.65*± 1.71      |
| (j)                       | 30.63**± 4.87    | 1.14 ± 6.51      | 13.91*± 5.66     | −14.55*± 6.64    |
| (l)                       | 12.76**± 4.57    | 20.97**± 7.07    | 17.34**± 4.77    | −28.30*± 6.54    |
| (w)                       | 4.94**± 1.84     | 0.83 ± 2.97      | 12.67*± 2.14     | −8.31*± 2.16     |
| (x)                       | 13.83**± 4.76    | 18.17**± 7.92    | 14.62*± 5.49     | −30.90*± 7.52    |
| (y)                       | −38.07**± 4.33   | 18.29**± 5.22    | 13.93*± 5.53     | 9.23 ± 6.46      |
| (z)                       | −0.88 ± 0.78     | −2.27*± 1.11     | −3.45*± 0.87     | 9.96*± 1.19      |
| \(\chi^2\) (2 df)        | 48.88**          | 56.66**          | 6.33*            | 26.55**          |

* **Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 13  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for seed yield per plant in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | – 33.29** ± 6.89 | 560.73** ± 10.55 | 164.56** ± 7.98  | 92.69** ± 7.77   |
| B                         | 43.33** ± 9.83   | 27.27** ± 9.28   | 318.20** ± 8.74  | 132.38** ± 10.51 |
| C                         | 55.03** ± 17.40  | 609.82 ± 17.99   | 801.00** ± 16.35 | 311.63** ± 16.86 |
| D                         | 22.50** ± 6.22   | 10.91 ± 8.53     | 159.12** ± 5.74  | 43.28** ± 6.66   |
| B_{11}                    | – 621.08** ± 12.06 | – 50.25** ± 11.16 | – 588.21** ± 11.83 | – 650.53** ± 13.18 |
| B_{12}                    | – 100.38** ± 20.45 | – 439.08** ± 18.97 | – 828.48** ± 24.28 | – 598.53** ± 22.36 |
| B_{21}                    | – 455.94** ± 20.92 | – 550.14** ± 19.40 | – 881.13** ± 20.83 | – 663.37** ± 20.67 |
| B_{22}                    | 405.61** ± 18.51  | – 535.72** ± 18.86 | – 463.92** ± 15.92 | – 392.71** ± 14.50 |
| B_{15}                    | – 1298.00** ± 24.21 | – 1419.99** ± 37.38 | – 1041.66** ± 30.01 | – 977.93** ± 31.42 |
| B_{25}                    | 983.27** ± 33.19  | 60.01 ± 34.27    | – 1367.50** ± 28.51 | – 604.28** ± 26.00 |
| X                         | – 167.78** ± 4.97 | 149.13** ± 5.43  | – 17.91** ± 5.65  | – 48.24** ± 4.98  |
| Y                         | – 85.21** ± 7.79  | – 100.81** ± 7.52 | – 164.37** ± 8.44 | – 54.66** ± 8.07  |

**Three parameter model (Cavalli)**

| m                         | 241.86** ± 1.25   | 219.55** ± 1.45  | 237.11** ± 1.37  | 252.05** ± 1.35   |
| (d)                       | 30.52** ± 0.98    | – 56.14** ± 1.30 | – 14.63** ± 1.19 | – 36.55** ± 1.21  |
| (h)                       | – 13.21** ± 2.73  | 77.40** ± 3.21   | 155.27** ± 3.09  | 130.43** ± 2.90   |
| χ² (9 df)                 | 806.43**          | 737.68**         | 377.29**         | 798.03**          |

**Six parameter model (Hayman)**

| m                         | 258.66** ± 2.74   | 327.81** ± 3.36  | 356.59** ± 2.37  | 291.62** ± 2.56   |
| (d)                       | – 113.52** ± 2.92 | 221.92** ± 5.23  | – 81.08** ± 3.23 | – 65.67** ± 4.25  |
| (h)                       | – 25.92 ± 14.15   | – 61.24** ± 18.08 | – 325.77** ± 13.27 | – 60.33** ± 14.91 |
| (i)                       | – 44.99** ± 12.44 | – 21.81 ± 17.07  | – 318.23** ± 11.49 | – 86.55** ± 13.32 |
| (j)                       | – 38.31** ± 4.25  | 266.73** ± 5.99  | – 76.82** ± 3.92  | – 19.84** ± 4.75  |
| (l)                       | 34.95 ± 20.96     | – 566.19** ± 27.62 | – 164.52** ± 20.84 | – 138.52** ± 23.96 |

**Digeneic and trigeneic interactions (Hill)**

| m                         | 363.54** ± 4.77   | 153.03** ± 7.16  | 289.67** ± 5.78  | 210.89** ± 6.27   |
| (d)                       | 105.70** ± 2.12   | – 83.25** ± 2.27 | 3.06 ± 1.93      | – 30.91** ± 1.86  |
| (h)                       | – 346.25** ± 15.12 | 373.71** ± 19.83 | 263.68** ± 17.02 | 334.68** ± 18.39  |
| (i)                       | – 123.81** ± 4.60 | 46.82** ± 7.13   | – 116.46** ± 5.68 | 19.46** ± 6.16    |
| (j)                       | – 230.78** ± 6.67 | 151.33** ± 7.90  | – 47.28** ± 6.76  | – 28.65** ± 6.71  |
| (l)                       | 292.59** ± 13.85  | – 287.76** ± 15.79 | – 269.03** ± 14.45 | – 212.06** ± 15.20 |
| χ² (6 df)                 | 718.58**          | 377.72**         | 325.85**         | 524.72**          |

| m                         | 263.14** ± 7.25   | 245.16** ± 11.23 | 226.28** ± 8.20  | 276.17** ± 9.37   |
| (d)                       | 471.16** ± 8.57   | 685.09** ± 15.37 | – 129.81** ± 10.84 | 60.00** ± 12.28   |
| (h)                       | 160.88** ± 31.71  | 280.07** ± 43.22 | 577.50** ± 32.45 | 88.66* ± 36.23   |
| (i)                       | 5.15 ± 8.25       | – 41.84** ± 11.81 | – 48.15** ± 8.68 | – 53.07** ± 9.73  |
| (j)                       | – 308.35** ± 23.56 | – 1720.99** ± 35.28 | 269.36** ± 27.76 | – 187.98** ± 29.82 |
| (l)                       | – 198.98** ± 29.72 | – 275.50** ± 38.45 | – 567.88** ± 29.60 | – 7.41 ± 32.43    |
| (w)                       | – 522.36** ± 8.42 | – 744.61** ± 15.28 | 132.21** ± 10.79 | – 95.45** ± 12.24 |
| (x)                       | – 712.22** ± 31.51 | – 66.17 ± 42.80  | – 362.91** ± 29.90 | 141.72** ± 31.96  |
| (y)                       | – 871.94** ± 24.38 | 1471.37** ± 30.39 | – 225.69** ± 28.03 | 52.75 ± 27.12    |
| (z)                       | 171.64** ± 4.52   | – 84.07** ± 6.31  | 40.03** ± 5.08   | 28.91** ± 5.38    |
| χ² (2 df)                 | 700.96**          | 250.24**         | 295.70**         | 431.65**          |

* **Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively
Table 14  Scaling tests and estimation of gene effects for oil content in four crosses of castor

| Scaling tests/gene effects | JP 104 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 433 | SKP 84 × JI 437 | SKP 84 × JI 441 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A                         | 0.52 ± 0.31      | −2.34** ± 0.45   | −0.44 ± 0.27     | −1.88 ± 1.33     |
| B                         | 2.83** ± 0.31    | −2.02** ± 0.31   | 1.14** ± 0.20    | 3.51** ± 0.31    |
| C                         | 3.51** ± 0.49    | −0.49 ± 0.73     | −1.85** ± 0.47   | 1.13* ± 0.47     |
| D                         | 0.08 ± 0.19      | 1.93** ± 0.29    | −1.28** ± 0.19   | −0.25 ± 0.66     |
| B_{11}                    | −6.88** ± 0.69   | −5.42** ± 0.82   | 0.08 ± 1.24      | −1.88** ± 0.43   |
| B_{12}                    | −3.82** ± 0.41   | 9.45** ± 0.89    | −4.35** ± 0.50   | −4.68** ± 0.73   |
| B_{21}                    | 2.76** ± 0.51    | 5.00** ± 0.80    | −4.99** ± 0.54   | −3.61** ± 0.68   |
| B_{22}                    | 5.37** ± 1.08    | 8.42** ± 0.55    | −0.39 ± 0.38     | 1.10* ± 0.48     |
| B_{1S}                    | −14.36** ± 1.24  | −5.82** ± 1.36   | 7.34** ± 0.93    | −9.03** ± 0.71   |
| B_{2S}                    | −7.33** ± 1.51   | 11.91** ± 1.08   | 3.95** ± 0.85    | −6.19** ± 0.96   |
| X                         | −4.71** ± 0.27   | −2.35** ± 0.22   | 0.28 ± 0.31      | −1.01* ± 0.15    |
| Y                         | 0.11 ± 0.28      | 2.86** ± 0.32    | −2.26** ± 0.35   | −1.88** ± 0.26   |

Three parameter model (Cavalli)

|    | m       | (d)     | (h)     | χ² (9 df) |
|----|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| JP 104 × JI 433 | 48.44** ± 0.05 | 0.21** ± 0.04 | 0.27** ± 0.09 | 834.30**   |
| SKP 84 × JI 433 | 47.62** ± 0.05 | 1.23** ± 0.04 | -0.52** ± 0.12 | 613.83**   |
| SKP 84 × JI 437 | 47.12** ± 0.05 | -0.19** ± 0.04 | 0.94** ± 0.09 | 405.57**   |
| SKP 84 × JI 441 | 48.34** ± 0.04 | 0.67** ± 0.03 | -1.67** ± 0.08 | 739.34**   |

Six parameter model (Hayman)

|    | m       | (d)     | (h)     | (i)      | (j)      | (l)      | χ² (9 df) |
|----|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| JP 104 × JI 433 | 48.46** ± 0.07 | -1.25** ± 0.11 | 1.46** ± 0.42 | -0.16 ± 0.37 | -1.15** ± 0.21 | -3.19** ± 0.68 | 48.46** ± 0.07 |
| SKP 84 × JI 433 | 48.04** ± 0.11 | -0.75** ± 0.16 | -2.36** ± 0.64 | -3.86** ± 0.58 | -0.15 ± 0.21 | 8.22** ± 0.98 | 48.04** ± 0.11 |
| SKP 84 × JI 437 | 46.95** ± 0.08 | -0.41** ± 0.10 | 1.33** ± 0.42 | 2.55** ± 0.38 | -0.78** ± 0.13 | -3.25** ± 0.63 | 46.95** ± 0.08 |
| SKP 84 × JI 441 | 47.16** ± 0.03 | -2.43** ± 0.66 | -1.92 ± 1.34 | 0.50 ± 1.32 | -2.69** ± 0.66 | -2.13 ± 2.68 | 47.16** ± 0.03 |

Digenic and trigenic interactions (Hill)

|    | m       | (d)     | (h)     | (i)      | (j)      | (l)      | χ² (6 df) |
|----|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| JP 104 × JI 433 | 48.61** ± 0.16 | 2.09** ± 0.11 | 0.92 ± 0.50 | -1.12** ± 0.17 | -5.63** ± 0.30 | -1.37** ± 0.40 | 48.61** ± 0.16 |
| SKP 84 × JI 433 | 48.25** ± 0.19 | 1.42** ± 0.09 | -2.89** ± 0.67 | -0.46** ± 0.18 | -0.90** ± 0.32 | 2.16** ± 0.63 | 48.25** ± 0.19 |
| SKP 84 × JI 437 | 44.99** ± 0.19 | 0.27** ± 0.08 | 5.57** ± 0.56 | 2.80** ± 0.20 | -0.38 ± 0.22 | -2.57** ± 0.46 | 44.99** ± 0.19 |
| SKP 84 × JI 441 | 49.27** ± 0.18 | 0.72** ± 0.06 | -4.15** ± 0.60 | -1.07** ± 0.16 | -0.56 ± 0.23 | 1.65** ± 0.53 | 49.27** ± 0.18 |

χ² (3) (2 df)

|    | m       | (d)     | (h)     | (i)      | (j)      | (l)      | χ² (3) (2 df) |
|----|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|
| JP 104 × JI 433 | 49.53** ± 0.21 | 0.23 ± 0.33 | -2.59** ± 0.84 | -3.03** ± 0.30 | 5.75** ± 1.10 | 0.85 ± 0.77 | 48.34** ± 0.04 |
| SKP 84 × JI 433 | 46.87** ± 0.26 | 0.84** ± 0.31 | 4.96** ± 1.24 | 0.55 ± 0.31 | 8.16** ± 0.94 | -5.44** ± 1.21 | 1.85** ± 0.47 |
| SKP 84 × JI 437 | 45.46** ± 0.26 | 1.37** ± 0.38 | 3.62** ± 1.03 | 2.62** ± 0.28 | -6.61** ± 1.14 | -0.17 ± 0.95 | 45.46** ± 0.26 |
| SKP 84 × JI 441 | 49.52** ± 0.23 | 0.24 ± 0.29 | -6.28** ± 0.84 | -1.51** ± 0.25 | 2.48** ± 0.79 | 4.45** ± 0.73 | 49.52** ± 0.23 |

χ² (3) (2 df)
The significant of $\chi^2(2)$ value at six degrees of freedom pointed out the presence of higher order gene interactions in all four crosses for all the traits studied. The goodness of fit for six-parameter model of Hayman (1958) could not be tested in the present study owing to no degrees of freedom left for testing chi-square estimates for various characters. Therefore, the perfect fit solution of Hayman (1958) does not provide a general method for testing the adequacy of digenic interaction model. Such a method would require experiment with more number of family means than the minimum number necessary for fitting a full digenic interaction model. Hence, the present study was planned and executed with means of twelve generations and model of Hill (1966) was tested in which six degrees of freedom left for testing the adequacy of six-parameter model of Hill (1966).

While fitting trigenic epistatic model, the $\chi^2(3)$ value at two degrees of freedom were non-significant for effective length of primary raceme in JP 104 × JI 433; for number of effective branches per plant in SKP 84 × JI 441; for number of capsules on primary raceme in SKP 84 × JI 441; for length of primary raceme in JP 104 × JI 441; and for oil content in crosses SKP 84 × JI 437; and for number of effective branches per plant in cross JP 104 × JI 433.

Among digenic interactions, additive x additive [i] gene effect was significant in all four crosses for length of primary raceme and shelling out turn; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for effective length of primary raceme and seed yield per plant; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for capsules on primary raceme and oil content; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for 100 seed weight; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for days to maturity of primary raceme and number of effective branches per plant; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for number of nodes up to primary raceme; in cross SKP 84 × JI 441 for days to flowering of primary raceme.

Likewise, the estimates of additive x dominance [j] gene effect were significant for days to maturity of primary raceme, shelling out turn, seed yield per plant and oil content in all four crosses, JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for days to flowering of primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for plant height up to primary raceme and 100 seed weight in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for length of primary raceme and number of capsules on primary raceme in JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for number of nodes up to primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for effective length of primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for effective length of primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 433; and for number of effective branches per plant in cross JP 104 × JI 433.

Similarly, dominance x dominance [l] gene effect was observed significant for days to flowering of primary raceme, effective length of primary raceme, number of effective branches per plant, shelling out turn and 100 seed weight in all four crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for days to maturity of primary raceme, plant height up to primary raceme and seed yield per plant in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for length of primary raceme in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for number of nodes up to primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for number of capsules on primary raceme in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; and for oil content in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441. This indicated that digenic epistasis interactions had also contributed a sizeable portion of variation in the genetic makeup of various traits.
Trigenic epistasis was significant in various crosses for different characters in the present study. Additive x additive x additive [w] gene effect was observed significant in the present study in all four crosses for plant height up to primary raceme, length of primary raceme, shelling out turn and seed yield per plant; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for days to maturity of primary raceme and number of capsules on primary raceme; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for days to flowering of primary raceme; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for 100 seed weight; in crosses JP 104 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 433 for number of effective branches per plant; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for effective length of primary raceme; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for oil content; and in cross JP 104 × JI 433 for number of nodes up to primary raceme.

Moreover, additive x additive x dominance [x] gene effect was significant for number of capsules on primary raceme, shelling out turn and 100 seed weight in all four crosses JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for days to flowering of primary raceme in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for number of effective branches per plant; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 441 for effective length of primary raceme; in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437 for oil content; and in cross JP 104 × JI 433 for number of nodes up to primary raceme.

For days to flowering of primary raceme, length of primary raceme, shelling out turn and 100 seed weight in all the four crosses, for days to maturity of primary raceme, effective length up to primary raceme and number of effective branches per plant in three crosses viz., JP 104 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for number of capsules on primary raceme and 100 seed weight in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433, SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441; for length of primary raceme in crosses SKP 84 × JI 433 and SKP 84 × JI 437; for plant height up to primary raceme in cross SKP 84 × JI 437; and for number of nodes up to primary raceme in cross JP 104 × JI 433. So, to sum all types of digenic and trigenic interactions was found significant for shelling out turn in two crosses SKP 84 × JI 437 and SKP 84 × JI 441 and for days to maturity of primary raceme in cross SKP 84 × JI 433.

The opposite signs of either two or all the three gene effects viz., dominance [h], dominance x dominance [l] and dominance x dominance x dominance [z] suggests the presence of duplicate type of epistasis. In the present study, duplicate epistasis was reported in all the crosses for all the characters studied when all the three gene effects were considered together. Further, considering only [h] and [l] parameters, two were opposite in all four crosses for all the traits studied except for days to maturity of primary raceme in cross JP 104 × JI 433, that further indicated involvement of largely duplicate type of gene action in the inheritance of seed yield and its component traits.

**Discussion**

For improvement of any trait, selection of parents on the basis of phenotypic performance alone may not necessarily lead to desirable results. Phenotypically superior lines may yield poor recombinants in the segregating generations. It is, therefore, essential that parents should be chosen on the basis of their genotypic value. The genetic components of variation are helpful to decipher an overall genetic picture of...
quantitative characters. Hence, the knowledge of the genetics is essential for simultaneous improvement of different agro-morphological, yield and contributing traits as well as quality traits. Castor is a significant non-edible oil seeds crop with several economic uses. Several breeding techniques have significantly enhanced the yield potential of this crop. The most promising and feasible breeding practice for boosting production and quality features that relies on the availability of genetic variation has been the exploitation of heterosis. In the present study mean sum of squares due to generations were significant in most of the crosses for different traits especially oil content indicating the presence of genetic diversity in the materials used for the study. Per se performance in F₁ generations from different crosses indicated that most agronomical traits along with yield and oil content were governed by partial dominance to overdominance gene actions. Thus, heterosis or recombination breeding or recurrent selection for specific combining ability (RSSCA) may be recommended for all the crosses that showed dominance or over dominance, while for crosses that evinced partial dominance, breeding methods involving high volume crossing to recover the good segregants like biparental mating, diallel selective mating (DSM) and reciprocal recurrent selection (RRS) etc. may be followed (Kumar et al. 2021). Further, generation mean studies showed the preponderance of non allelic interactions with importance of predominantly duplicate gene actions for inheritance of yield, related traits studied along with oil content. Duplicate type of digenic interaction indicated greater genetic diversity for different traits studied in castor. Cockerham (1959) postulated that the epistasis gene action is more common in the inheritance of quantitative traits and there is no sound biological reason why this type of gene action should be less common for these traits. Mather (1943), Horner et al. (1955), Gilbert (1958) and Cockerham (1959) agreed that until experimentally proved otherwise, the absence of epistasis couldn’t be assumed when dealing with quantitative traits. Many reports in castor, has shown non-allelic interactions for economically important traits (Pathak et al. 1988; Solanki et al. 2003; Golakia et al. 2015; Virani et al. 2013). Further, the type of nonallelic interactions varied in different crosses with different genetic backgrounds. The estimates of m, (d) and (h) parameters calculated on additive-dominance scale for characters showing epistasis interaction is biased to an unknown extent by effects not attributable to the additive and dominance action of genes (Mather and Jinks 1971). Estimates of additive (d) and dominance (h) components varied with cross to cross and character-to-character. The variable expression of gene effects in different crosses might be due to the genetic makeup of a particular cross and the effect of environmental condition on the expression of different traits. As in the present study, the importance of additive and dominance effects was also observed by Pathak et al. (1988), Gondaliya et al. (2001), Patel and Pathak (2010), Virani et al. (2013) and Pardshi et al. (2018) for seed yield and its components in castor. Preponderance of additive genetic variance for various traits revealed genetic improvement in yield would be easier through indirect selection of component traits. Since both additive and non-additive gene effects, as well as non-allelic interactions, were involved in the expression of yield and contributing traits in the majority of crosses, breeding methods involving high volume crossing, such as reciprocal recurrent selection (RRS), which exploits both additive and non-additive gene action, and biparental mating, can be used for genetic gain. Solanki et al. (2003) observed additive and all types of epistasis with high magnitude of dominance x dominance (l) for plant height up to main raceme, number of nodes up to main raceme, total length of main raceme, effective length of main raceme and number of capsules on main raceme in castor, while Golakia et al. (2015) advocated presence of additive, dominance and epistasis gene effects for number of nodes up to main raceme, total length of main raceme, effective length of main raceme and seed yield per plant and Virani et al. (2013) for seed yield per plant and its components in castor. Singh and Yadava (1981) also reported partial and over dominance for days to flowering of raceme and seed yield per plant, respectively in castor.

Likewise, Singh and Yadava (1981) in castor found trigenic ten-parameter model was adequate for various quantitative parameters along with non-significant \( \chi^2 (3) \) with two degree of freedom indicating major role of trigenic interaction for the inheritance of days to maturity, effective length of main raceme, 100 seed weight, shelling outturn and days to maturity. On the other hands, \( \chi^2 (3) \) with two degrees of freedom was also found to be significant for other quantitative traits showing the presence of even higher order epistasis.
and/or linkage. Besides, all the ten-parameters were also reported significant for effective length of main raceme and seed yield per plant. The opposite signs of either two or all the three gene effects viz., dominance \([h]\), dominance \(x\) dominance \([l]\) and dominance \(x\) dominance \(x\) dominance \([z]\) suggests the presence of duplicate type of epistasis. In the present study, involvement of largely duplicate type of gene action was reported for the inheritance of seed yield and its component traits. Duplicate type of epistasis for seed yield per plant and its component traits in castor was reported earlier by Gondaliya et al. (2001), Golakia et al. (2015), Singh and Yadava (1981), Virani et al. (2013) and Sakhare et al. (2017). The presence of non-additive gene action further suggests that direct selection method may not be suitable for improvement of seed yield. Even though duplicate type of digenic interaction indicated greater genetic diversity for different traits the presence of duplicate epistasis would be detrimental for rapid progress, making it difficult to fix genotypes with increased level of character manifestation because the positive effect of one parameter would be cancelled out by the negative effect of another parameter (Sagar, 1990; Kumar et al. 2021). Because higher order interactions, in combination with additive and/or dominance gene activities, play a significant influence in the phenotypic expression of several traits, both population and heterosis breeding can be used to increase genetic gain.

**Conclusion**

Overall, it could be concluded from the present study that oil content, seed yield per plant and its component traits were governed by varied level of additive, dominance and digenic and/or trigenic epistasis gene effects. When additive as well as non-additive effects are involved, a breeding scheme efficient in exploiting both types of gene effects should be employed. Reciprocal recurrent selection could be followed which would facilitate exploitation of both additive and non-additive gene effects simultaneously. Moreover, the duplicate type of gene action observed for almost all the characters studied in all the four crosses showed digenic/trigenic interaction. Under a situation of this type, it would be difficult for the plant breeders to get promising segregants better than parents involved through conventional breeding methods.

Breeding procedures involving either multiple crosses or biparental crosses may be restored to get transgressive segregants, which would facilitate exploitation of both additive and non-additive gene effects simultaneously for genetic improvement of seed yield and its component traits in castor. The results of this study will help in identifying appropriate parents in crossing programme, selection in segregating generations, and in developing high yielding cultivars with elevated oil content. So, the earlier finding on gene effects in castor is mostly up to digenic interactions and this is first report on trigenic interactions or higher order interaction in castor so far.
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