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ABSTRACT
This article surveys the immortality of the soul in Ecclesiastes and Akan traditional thought from an African Christian theological perspective. Using comparative analysis, it argues that there is a remarkable similarity between the concept of immortality in Ecclesiastes and that of the Akan religio-cultural traditions. It is theologically significant to consider the immaterial nature of humankind, death and immortality that has been regarded as mystical and not experiential. This discovery of similarity with Ecclesiastes allows the Akan, and for that matter Africans, the possibility of relocating their religio-cultural and traditional worldviews within the wider context of the biblical cultures and thus Christian theology.
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INTRODUCTION
Diverse cultural worldviews and traditions have attempted to answer the question of the immortality of the soul. Nevertheless, researchers are often confronted with the questions relating to life after death within scholarship: Do we survive death? Which components of man endures after death? Do beliefs about life after death reconcile with the modern worldview? These questions have been examined from different academic disciplines such as in theology, anthropology, psychology, sociology and fiction and arriving at diverse and often conflicting conclusions. There is, therefore, the need to examine the biblical literature on the subject especially as it engages the contemporary reader.

For relevant theological formulations and hermeneutical reflections in recent times are culturally and contextually conditioned. In the light of this background, this paper reflects on the thought of immortality in Ecclesiastes from an African Christian theological perspective. It examines the concept of immortality as reflected on by the author of Ecclesiastes in comparison with the Akan worldview. The rationale for studying the notion of the immortality of the soul.

1 Webb Stephen, “Immortality,” in All the Wonder that Would Be: Exploring Past Notions of the Future, Science and Fiction (Cham, Springer, 2017), 265-296. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51759-9_10
2 In addition to the theological discourse on the search for the prospect of human immortality, Michael J. Cholbi, The Science of Immortality (Pomona: John Templeton Foundation, 2018), 4 has drawn our attention to the fact that the subject has been approached from the perspective of philosophy and art, comparative anthropology, psychology, and social history and often with controversial conclusions. In the observation of Peter Kwasi Sarpong, theoretical reflections about death appears to have no point since those who have direct experience of death do not come back to narrate it. The Asantes express this difficulty beautifully when they say, obiaru mfo owaat atwedep na nsan mma (no one climbs the ladder of death and returns). See Peter Kwasi Sarpong, Ghana in Retrospect: Some Aspects of Ghanian Culture (Accra: Ghana Publishing, 1974), 21.
3 A. Wati Longchar, “Teaching Third World Contextual Theologies from Ecumenical Perspective - Tribal/Indigenous People’s Theology,” JET 44, no. 1-2 (2002): 9.
4 Erich Zenger and Christian Frevel discussing the relevance of wisdom literature observe that “Für Christische Existenz heute könnten die ersttestamentlichen Weisheitsüberlieferungen wichtige Anstöße und Hilfen geben” (The Old Testament wisdom traditions provide an important support and impetus for Christian existence-personal translation). See their work, “Die Bücher der Weisheit,” in Einleitung in das Alte Testament, ed. Christian Frevel, et al (Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer, 2012), 413.
5 One of the issues and the belief systems at the time of the writer of Ecclesiastes was the belief about immortality. There is thus the need to look at the author’s reflections in relation to the point of view of the Akans of Ghana and among Asantes to be precise. Roland E. Murphy and Elizabeth Huwiler, New International Biblical Commentary: Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Song of Songs (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 1999) 174. See also Zenger and Frevel, “Die Bücher der Weisheit,” 413.
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What is Immortality?
The Random House College Dictionary defines immortality as an “immortal condition or quality; unending life.”¹⁴ From a philosophical perspective, William Reese has rightly noted that the phrase “of the soul” normally follows the term to denote “the infinitely prolonged existence of that center of awareness to which the term “I” refers.”¹⁵ The term “immortality of the soul” has been historically oriented toward a value of idealism, dualism and pluralism and not a materialistic system since, in the opinion of Reese, the soul or self is therein interpreted as a product of bodily functioning.¹⁶ Thus the notion of the immortality of the soul is historically rooted in the ancient Greek philosophical thoughts of Socrates, Aristotle and Stoicism,¹⁷ rationalized by Rene Descartes¹⁹ and advanced by Immanuel Kant.¹⁹

This is in line with Zenger and Frevel and Murphy’s insightful argument that although Ecclesiastes maintains its traditional value, it is particularly appropriate for our current cultural situation. See their work, “Die Bücher der Weisheit,” 413.⁶

Chris Pickvance, “The Four Varieties of Comparative Analysis: The Case of Environmental Regulation,” Journal of Housing and the Built Environment 16 (2005): 7-28.

Reza Azarian, “Potentials and limitations of comparative method in social science,” International Journal of Humanities and Social Science 1, no. 4 (2011): 113-125.

Oliver Freiberger, Considering Comparison: A Method for Religious Studies (New York: Oxford University Press Inc, 2019).

Oliver Freiberger, “Elements of a Comparative Methodology in the Study of Religion,” Religions 9, no. 9, 38 (2018).

The word Qohelet is used to refer to the author of Ecclesiastics within Old Testament Scholarship. Ludger Schwienborst-Schönberger explains that the name Qohelet (Qohelet) appears seven times in the book of Ecclesiastes. In the first chapter, the word is used as a proper noun and later in chapter 12, is however used as appellative because it is used with an article. Originally, the word was used in a feminine participle sense denoting a function and an office and later it was used as a masculine proper noun. Thus, the word Qohelet traditionally denotes function. See his article, “Das Buch Kohelet” in Einleitung in das Alte Testament, edited by Christian Frevel, et al. (Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer, 2012), 472. See also, Robert V. McCabe, “The Message of Ecclesiastes,” DBSJ 1, no. 1 (Spring 1996): 85.

Walter Hollenweger observes that, “All theologies are contextually conditioned.” See “Intercultural Theology, Intercultural Theology - Theology Today 43, no. 1 (1986): 28-35, http://tq.sagepub.com/-content/43/1/28.full.pdf. Accessed February 8, 2017.

John Kwasi Fosu, “The Phenomenon of Akan Witchcraft (Bayie) in Ghana: Critical Observations,” in Hexerei-Anschuldigungen in weltweiter Perspektive. Methodologically, this paper employs a comparative approach through a review of essential secondary literature on the subject. Following Pickvance, the comparative analysis seeks to explain and gain a better understanding of the causal processes involved in the creation of an event, feature, or relationship usually by bringing together variations in the explanatory variable or variables.⁷ As a methodological approach, the main thrust of comparative research is an “explanation of differences and the explanation of similarities.”⁸ This study thus follows the comparative methodological procedure as proposed by Oliver Freiberger, in his Considering Comparison: A Method for Religious Studies⁹ and his article, “Elements of a Comparative Methodology in the Study of Religion.”¹⁰ Following the comparative processes of Freiberg, four essential elements applied in this write-up include selection, description, juxtaposition and prescription. Under the selection, the concept of immortality as a metaphysical and theological concept is presented. With reference to the description, an attempt is hereby made to examine the notion of the immortality of the soul both in the thought of the author of Ecclesiastes (designated in this paper as Qohelet¹¹) and that of the Akan traditional thought. This will lead to the section of juxtaposition. Under this element, the continuities and discontinuities will be reflected upon. Last but not the least, before a conclusion is drawn, the prescription element followed in this paper is presented as an implication from the analysis. Accordingly, critical reflections are done from an African Christian intercultural perspective. This approach has to do with theological reflections that engage the African culture, worldview and religious realities. It is contextual as all relevant theological formulations are.¹² It thus seeks to reflect upon and express the Christian faith in African-thought-forms as it is experienced in African Christian communities, in dialogue with the Judeo-Christian Scripture and the rest of Christendom.¹³

In what follows, an attempt is made to explain the concept of immortality. This will lead to a brief survey of the book of Ecclesiastes and that of the Akans on their teachings about immortality. A critical reflection about the continuities and discontinuities will then be presented to include its implication for contemporary Christian theology and hermeneutics.

¹⁸ According to Descartes, both the mind and matter survive their separation at death. Reese, “Immortality,” 126.

¹⁹ Reese, “Immortality,” 278, Kant affirmed immortality of the soul by arguing that the idea of immortality is the postulate of “Practical Reason.”
From the biblical point of view, the Old Testament lacks a distinct term for immortality although Proverbs 12:28 has the coinage “not-death” (‘al-mawet). This means “in the way of righteousness is (eternal) life; the threading of her path is not death (immortality).”

The Hebrew word nephesh (literally means soul), which is often translated as “life” describes the non-material aspect of mind, emotions and will in human personhood that results from the union of spirit and body. From this understanding, the soul along with the human spirit will continue to live (possess immortality) when an individual physically dies. The nephesh is closely connected to one’s inner personhood that it is usually used as a synonym for a “person” (Example, Lev 4:2; 7:20; Josh 20:3). In Ecclesiastes 4:8; 6:2, Nephesh is used of humankind (man) as exercising certain authority or performing certain acts.

The New Testament Greek terminologies that express the idea of immortality include āθανασία (deathlessness), ἀφθαρσία (incorruptible) and ἀφθαρσία (incorruptibility) in 1 Cor 15:52-54. From these terms, immortality denotes immunity from any kind of decay and death (the negative aspect) that comes from having or sharing the eternal divine life (the positive aspect).

In a collocative sense, Paul’s use of the Greek, ἀφθαρσία and ἀθανασία in 1 Cor 15:52-54 describes the immortality of the soul employing clothing imagery. Paul uses this metaphor to provide a concrete picture of the transformation mystery by which a mortal, corruptible body changes to an immortal, incorruptible body. In this context, therefore, ἀφθαρσία and ἀθανασία pertain to that which cannot wear out and corroborate the aspect of body denoting a form that is incapable of degeneration. Used in Romans 12:7, ἀφθαρσία means “no-corruption” (unable to experience deterioration; incorruptibility (not perishable)). These words carry the sense of lacking the very capacity to decay or constitutionally break down. Here, Paul uses ἀφθαρσία among other things as a virtue which the Romans were to seek for, the result of which is eternal life.

Christian theologians on one hand, especially up to the medieval period, have defended the soul’s immortality in the Platonic sense of immortal subsistence. In the first place, being immaterial and indivisible by nature, the soul is independent of the body and indestructible. Secondly, only a future life can bring to the necessary fruition about the capacities and endowment of human nature and can rectify present inequalities and injustices; and thirdly, the intrinsic, universal and persistent belief of humankind that there is life after death argues for its reality.

On the other hand, some theologians such as Karl Barth, in his Die Kirchliche Dogmatik III/2: Die Lehre von der Schöpfung argues against the theological notion of the immortality of the soul by positing that the human life is finite and that the notion of immortality or afterlife is a dream of Paganism. God alone is conceived as an immortal Being (1 Tim 6:16; Rom 1:23). This is because God alone has within Godself inexhaustible springs of life and energy and that decay and death are foreign to God’s experience. Thus the use of the concept “immortality,” from a theological perspective, denotes the survival of the soul or the immaterial part of humankind, after bodily death. It is the assertion that physical death does not end all of life in that the soul survives.

The Notion of Immortality in Ecclesiastes

The need to reflect on the book of Ecclesiastes with particular reference to its theological anthropology has been acknowledged in recent scholarship. Affinities have been established between Ecclesiastes and both biblical and Non-Israelites’ Wisdom Texts. Ecclesiastes fits within the wisdom body of the Old Testament along with Proverbs and Job should one excludes that of the apocryphal/deuteron-canonical books. To this, Ecclesiastes forms part of the Wisdom books that contain observations and interpretations of human life and behaviour along with advice on how to live.

Regarding Ecclesiastes and non-Israelites’ wisdom texts, most scholars have observed that biblical wisdom has affinities with other ancient Near Eastern societies. To Murphy, Ecclesiastes most strongly resembles texts from...
Egypt and Mesopotamia, although no text matches it precisely in either genre or content. The first influence of these non-biblical texts to talk about is that of Mesopotamia. For instance, a Mesopotamian poem, “I will praise the Lord of Wisdom,” from around 1100 B.C.E. laments the impossibility of knowing how to please one’s god. Further, Murphy posits that perhaps the most striking parallel comes in the context of Gigamash’s grief over the death of his friend Enkidu and his fears that he may be mortal, that the alewife Siduri advises him by trying to deflect the hero’s quest for immortality with words of reality. Most scholars have compared this Epic of Gilgamesh to the wisdom of Qohelet in Ecclesiastes 9:7-10. Concerning Qohelet’s relationship with Greek tradition, most scholars are of the consensus that Ecclesiastes belongs to the Greek-influenced worldview.29

With particular reference to Qohelet’s teaching on death and immortality, there have been two main opposing views concerning the actual intent of Qohelet’s teaching on Eccl 3:11-21, 9:2-10, 12:7. These are the views on the immortal soul and the non-immortal soul. The idea of the Immortal Soul is that every human body houses an immortal soul that continues after death. Here, when one dies, only the material part of the body goes back to dust, but the soul lives on.30 Although different religions disagree with each other about where the soul goes after death, Qohelet, however, writes in Eccl 12:7 that the spirit (representing the immaterial component of humankind) returns to God, the giver of life.31 Before Eccl 12:7, Qohelet had noted that God set eternity in the heart of humankind; but without the ability to find out what God has done from the beginning to the end (Eccl. 3:11). In this case, the writer imagines that there is more to life than he or anyone else can figure out. God has planted in the human mind the notion of eternity, a reality that transcends human finiteness, yet God has not equipped humans to grasp it. Because man is unable to transcend his limits, Qohelet counsels his readers, as a result, to enjoy the good things God’s creation has to offer.

The Non-Immortal Soul view contends that the word “soul” applies to the entire person. When God first created Adam in Paradise, He “breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul” (Gen 2:7). Thus, man doesn’t have a separate soul, but rather he is a soul.32 After man sinned, his entire person, or soul, became mortal and subject to death. According to this view, when a person dies, that person returns to the dust, and “the breath of life” returns to God interpreting the “breath” in Eccl 12:7 as not being a conscious entity, but rather as a spark of life that exists in everything alive. At death, the sinner is truly dead – unconscious, asleep, waiting for the resurrection. This view is sometimes called “soul sleep.” Proponents of this view refer to Eccl 9:5 to argue that the dead lie unconscious, asleep in their graves, awaiting the resurrection and that whether saint or sinner there is no work, or “knowledge or wisdom in the grave where you are going” (Eccl 9:10).33

It could be argued that, in the thoughts of Qohelet, there is some amount of reality about immortality although it is not clear in his mind, especially concerning the actual destiny of the soul after death. An examination of Eccl 9:4-6 (contra the proponents of non-immortality view) that identifies the contrast between the dead lion and the living dog (v 4) supplies the meaning for verse 5. This, therefore, weakens the teaching about the non-existence of the departed soul. The context, in agreement with the Expositor’s Bible Commentary, suggests the ability to plan and work.34 To this, it is most probable that Qohelet was influenced by the Epic of Gigamash’s grief over the death of his friend Enkidu.35 For although there is a theological difference between the worlds of the Epic of Gilgamesh and that of Qohelet, the literary link between the two strongly supports this perspective. Moreover, the Epic of Gilgamesh challenges people to enjoy life but holds out no lasting source of hope. Similarly, Qohelet calls back to joy after each successive disillusionment thereby maintaining the paradox as central to human experience. Further, the living is aware that death is inevitable but from a human perspective, the dead are not told what the future holds for them. In this case, it could be argued that Qohelet is not teaching soul-sleep. Instead, the emphasis is on the contrast between the carnal knowledge of the living and the oppressed.36 Qohelet’s reflection on the destinies of the constituent components of human life after death in Eccl 12:6-7 further strengthens his belief in life after death.

29 Murphy and Huwiler, New International Biblical Commentary 162-170; Ludger Schwienborst-Schönberger, “Das Buch Kohelet” in Einleitung in das Alte Testament, edited by Christian Frelow, et al. (Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer, 2012), 472-473. Leander E. Keck, et. al. eds. The New Interpreter’s Bible: General Articles & Introduction, Commentary, & and Reflections for each Book of the Bible including the Apocryphal Books in Twelve Volumes, Volume V (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1997),273. James B. Pritchard, ed. The Ancient Near East: An Anthology of Texts and pictures (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1958), 64.

30 Bacciochi, Popular Beliefs: Are they Biblical? 100-102 thinks that scholars who are in favour of the immortal soul view are mostly Catholics and most Protestants who are being influenced by the Platonic dualistic view. He comes to this conclusion after having traced the history of the belief in the immortality of the soul by focusing first on the impact of the Greek philosophers Socrates (470-399 B. C.), Plato (427-347 B. C.), and Aristotle (384-322 B. C.) on the development of the Christian understanding of human nature, and considering the key role played by Tertullian (155-240), Origen (ca. 185- 254), Augustine (354-430) and Thomas Aquinas (1225-1274) in leading the church to adopt the Platonic dualistic view of human nature.

31 Murphy and Huwiler, New International Biblical Commentary, 162.

32 Donald A. Carson et. al eds. New Bible Commentary: 21st Century Edition ( Leicester: Intervarsity Press, 1994), 618.

33 With reference to Eccl. 9:5-6, Bacciochi, Popular Beliefs: Are they Biblical? 102 for instance posits that the main argument here is that death puts an abrupt end to all activity “under the sun,” and what follows death is sheol, the realm of the dead where there is a state of inactivity, without knowledge or consciousness.

34 Keck, et. al eds. The New Interpreter’s Bible Commentary in 12 vols, 5, 311.

35 Pritchard, ed. The Ancient Near East: An Anthology of Texts and pictures, 64.

36 Kenneth L. Barker & John R. Kohlenberger III. The Expositor’s Bible Commentary: Old Testament, Abridged Edition. (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1994),1019.
The Notion of Immortality in Traditional Akan Thought

The Akans believe that reality cannot be limited to human reason alone. To Ajei, imagination, intuition and experience and feelings are also modes of knowing. In this case, it can be said that this forms part of the reasons why the expression of African cultural reality has been through art, music, folksong and myths rather than through logical analysis. Thus the Akan postulates reality that existence comprises visible and invisible realms such that there are things that really exist and yet are imperceptible. This is a way of saying that matter and spirit are understood as inseparable.

Akans believe that the human person is a tripartite being with three inseparable components of which two are spiritual (immaterial) and one biological. These are mogya (blood) from the mother, ntor (patrilineal spirit) from the father, and kra (soul) from Onyame. Emmanuel Asante has insightfully noted that Akan’s tripartite belief about human nature establishes three sets of bonds namely the blood or the maternal bond, the spirit or the paternal bond and the soul or the theological bond.

The soulbond is what Asante refers to as the God-human bond. This concerns the relationship existing between the individual Akan and that of the Supreme deity who is known as Onyame. Here the Akans believe that a person has kra (soul) that comes directly from Onyame (God). Kwame Gyekye also describes the concept of the kra in Akan thought as having a reservoir of strength and sustenance and states that the kra is considered to be that which constitutes the very innermost self, the essence, of the individual person. The kra is the individual’s life and so it is referred to as krateasefo (the living soul). It is thus divine and has an antemundane existence with God. The kra, therefore, might be considered as the equivalent of the concept of soul in other metaphysical systems.

Gyekye further notes that the source of the person’s energy which is kra is linked closely with another concept known as honhom. Honhom here means “breath” being derived from the Akan verb home (to breathe). It is the cessation of this breath that the Akan sees as the one being passed away. Similarly, Asante points out that, it is the linkage of the kra with the honour, or the breath of a person that expresses the Akan’s belief in Onyame as a giver of the Akan’s soul. Thus the human person is non-alive without this kra element that comes directly from Onyame.

Besides, the kra is also connected with a person’s nkrabea (destiny or manner of being). With this concept, the Akans believe that before a child is born, he enjoys pre-worldly existence and comes with a message thatprescribes what the child is to become and to do in the world. Thus, for the Akan, the human person has a God-given project to carry out here in the world. This implies an obligation because every individual has a purpose in that there is God-given destiny that includes God-given projects to be carried out in the world.

With particular reference to the Akan concept of immortality, when a person dies, the Akans say, ne honhom k2 (his soul is gone) or ne kra afi neho (his soul has withdrawn from his body). In these two expressions, honhom and kra express the same thing, as the departure of the soul from the body.

37 The ethnic name “Akan” refers to the Twi-speaking people of the Coast of Guinea of West Africa. The Akans consists of Ahanta, Akumapin, Akyen or Akim, Agus or Anyio, Asante or Ashanti, Asen or Asin, Bono or Brong, Busa, Chorkors, Fante, Guan, Kwahu, Nzema and Wasa. Geographically, the Akan inhabit the eastern part of Cote D’Ivoire, the Southern half and part of the North of Ghana and the north of Togolland. The culture and religion of the Akans exhibit a fairly uniform pattern in spite of the fact that they consist of many autonomous subgroups, the common language, and social institution link them together. See Emmanuel Asante, Towards an African Christian Theology of the Kingdom of God: The Kingship of Onyame (Guenston: The Edwen Mellen Press, 1995), 73.

38 Ajei uses the Akan terms nea wohu, nea etra adwen and nea wonhu to explain the traditional Akan modes of knowing in Akan epistemology. See his work, Martion O. Ajei, The Paranormal: An Inquiry into Some Features of an African Metaphysics and Epistemology. (Hamburg: Missionshilfe Verlag, 2014), 69-71.

39 Ajei has questioned the legitimacy of postulating dualistic view of the universe in Akan ontology by arguing that the Akan thinker conceives of these two realms as two points of continuum; Ajei, The Paranormal, 36-37.

40 Kwame Gyekye, An Essay on African Philosophical Thought: The Akan Conceptual Scheme (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987), 72-73.

41 Most African philosophical and anthropological accounts suggest that the Akan considers the human person as metaphysically constituent of kra (usually translated into English as soul), the sunsum (the activating principle in the person) and Honum (the body). The relationship of kra to sunsum has, however, occasioned a philosophical debate which still rages on and has produced different positions on the matter. Whereas Ghanaian Philosophers such as Gyekye, Kofi Opoku Asare and Kwasi Sarpong see the sunsum as an ontological unity with the kra to be exclusively spiritual, Wiredu and Kwame Sarfro have argued for a quasi-physical nature of Sunsum. See also John Kwasi Fosu, Experiences of pneumatic phenomena in contemporary Ghanian Christianity as appropriations of 1. Cor 12:14: A Critical Analysis (Hamburg: Missionshilfe Verlag, 2019), 179-190 for a detailed submission on the Akan thoughts on sunsum. See also Kwame Sarfo, “Quasi-Materialism: A Contemporary African Philosophy of Mind,” in A Companion to African Philosophy, ed. Kwasi Wiredu (Oxford: Blackwell, 2004), 343-351; Kofi Asare Opoku, West African Traditional Religion (Accra: F. E. P. International Private Limited, 1978), 94-95; and Gyekye, An Essay on African Philosophical Thought: 93-96; 103. Kwasi Wiredu, “The Akan Concept of Mind” Ibadan Journal of Humanistic Studies, 3, (1983): 113-134.

42 Gyekye, An Essay on An Essay on African Philosophical Thought, 85.

43 Gyekye, “The Akan Concept of a Person” in Africa Philosophy: An introduction, 3rd edition, ed. Richard A Wright (Lonham, M.D: University Press of America, 1984), 201.

44 Asante, Towards an African Christian Theology of the Kingdom of God, 79.
means the death of the person. According to Gyekye, it is the 2kra that ceases the breathing making the honhom form part of the tangible manifestation or evidence of the 2kra. The departure of the honhom from the body evinces the soul’s departure from the body to enjoy post-mundane existence with Onyame. What this means is that the 2kra is undying.

Here, Kwame Gyekye explains that:

Traditional African Religions hold the common belief that the soul is an immaterial part of the human being that survives death and that humans, in an afterlife will give an account to God for their life in this world. Ideas about the soul held by the African people are highly elaborate and complex. Some say the human soul consists of four parts, others say three parts and others say two. What appears to be common to the various ideas about the soul, however, is that the soul is some spark of God in human person- making it of divine origin- and that the soul of human person is held to be immortal.

From the above explanation, it can be said that the presence of this divine essence in humans may be the basis of the Akan maxim: All humans are the children of God; no one is a child of the earth. Gyekye further explains that this divine essence also makes every human person unique, of intrinsic value, and an end in himself or herself. This means that he or she should not be used as a means to an end. Also, the belief that the soul of a person survives bodily death is expressed in the Akan maxim: When a person dies, he is not (really) dead. Hence, there is something in human beings that continues to exist in another world which is “the world of the spirits.”

Moreover, an Akan artistic symbol, assuming the immortality of God, expresses that: Onyame wu a na mawu (Could God die, I will also). This means that since God will not die, a person’s soul that is considered as an indwelling spark of God will not die either. In other words, the eternity of God implies the immortality of the human soul which is part of the divine essence. Thus, in the traditional Akan thought, the world of spirits inhabited by those who have departed this life is based upon these assumptions about the immortality of the human soul and personal survival in an afterlife.

Continuities and discontinuities between Qohelet’s and Akan’s concept of immortality: A critical reflection

The background studies on Ecclesiastes indicate that most probably, Qohelet derived information through observations, sayings, instructions and stories in the form of epics from his immediate environment. This is very much similar to that of the Akan who also have proverbs that are collected through observations and life experiences, symbols and stories. Reflections on the concept of immortality in the view of Qohelet in comparison with the traditional Akan thoughts on the subject identify some continuities and discontinuities.

To begin with the continuities, the Akan understanding of the immortality of the soul that has to do with the immaterial component of a person in generally keeping with the notions of immortality preserved in certain traditions of the Old Testament, and apparently in the cultural context of Qohelet. Qohelet asserts that the spirit of man returns to the creator after death (Eccl. 12:7). Thus, both Akan and Qohelet’s thoughts affirm that there is life after death and that this life after death is associated with the soul (the immaterial aspect) of the person.

Moreover, Qohelet’s reflection that God has put eternity in the heart of man and that God alone has eternity within Godself most probably implies that there is a fellowship of God with the souls of the person after death. This, therefore, corresponds to the Akan belief that the soul, after death, goes to be with the Supreme Being, the giver of life, thereby creating a sacred community. Further, the concept of immortality as theologically designated to God alone, as implied in the thought of Qohelet, in a sense underscores the Akan maxim that Onyame wu a, na ma wu (if God dies,
I will also) giving the idea that God alone is indeed immortal. This view about immortality then confirms the Akan traditional thought that the Supreme Being is the eternal Father of all.

Regarding what appears to be discontinuities, about the Akan teaching that the dead (especially the righteous dead who are thought to have attained the status of ancestors) form part of the living and thereby offering assistance to the living, Qohelet expresses that the dead do not know their future and for that matter about the living in offering guidance of whatever kind (Eccl. 9:5-6). Qohelet’s teaching that the dead know nothing may not be an assertion that the dead are asleep. Rather it implies that the dead have no contact with this world. Thus, immortality understood in this sense (Eccl. 9:6-7) should therefore allow for a constructive dialogue between the religio-cultural traditions of the Akan and the Bible, for that matter Christianity.

Implications from the analysis
The comparative analysis on the notion of the immortality of the soul between the thought of Qohelet and that of the traditional Akan thought juxtaposed above in the form of continuities and discontinuities presents some contextual hermeneutical implications. Interpreting and reflecting on the Judeo-Christian Scripture especially on the hereafter must not be done with a view of alienating the traditional Akan and for that matter the contemporary reader from their religio-cultural traditions. When this is done, deep understanding would be attained, most importantly, in terms of its intercultural enrichment. And what has been said about the Akan in this article, for instance, can to some extent be said concerning other indigenous African readers since their religio-cultural traditions share common characteristics and concerns.50

CONCLUSION
This study has been an attempt to look at the notion of immortality in the view of the writer of Ecclesiastes and that of the traditional Akan thought. It has been found out that the concept of the immortality of the human soul identified in Qohelet’s thoughts finds similar understanding in the Akan religio-cultural traditions. This discovery of similarity of thoughts allows the Akan, and for that matter Africans, the possibility of relocating their religio-cultural and traditional worldviews within the wider context of the biblical cultures and thus Christian theology. In this case, the acknowledgment and acceptance of the continuities in these worldviews regarding the prospect of human immortality make contextual and intercultural reading of Scripture meaningful.
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