INTRODUCTION

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) diversion through ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement is one of the oldest and most common neurosurgical operations. While shunt surgery has tangible benefits, numerous complications can occur – including infection, mechanical issues such as
disconnection or kinking, obstruction, or over-drainage – with rates in adults ranging from 17 to 52%.[6,12,15,19-21,25,29] Excessive CSF drainage may occur in the upright position, increasing the hydrostatic, gravitational pressure of the shunt system, and thereby leading to headaches, nausea, vomiting, impaired cognition, multiple hospitalizations, and repeated surgeries.[4,5,7]

In more severe cases, as CSF drains through the shunt, bridging veins can be stretched and subsequently tear, causing subdural effusions or hematomas, with incidences ranging from 3 to 33%.[7,12,23-27,30,31] Variables influencing CSF flow through a shunt include intracranial pressure, intra-abdominal pressure, hydrostatic pressure (“siphon effect”), as well as shunt system factors such as valve setting and tubing patency.[7] Several innovations have addressed this so-called “siphon effect,” including programmable valves and siphon control (SC) mechanisms.[6,16] However, little data on complication rates with and without these mechanisms have been reported. In this study, we provide a direct comparison of siphon versus non-SC (NSC) valves, fixed versus programmable valves, and flow- versus pressure-regulating valves in an adult shunt population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval, a retrospective study through chart review was performed for all adult ventriculoperitoneal shunts placed at any hospital within the Houston Methodist System from January 2011 to December 2016. Cases were included only if a new valve was placed during surgery either coupled with an initial, entirely new shunt system or with a revision of a prior shunt involving a valve replacement. Ventriculocisternal and ventriculopleural shunts were excluded, due to their rarity within our hospital system.

As most adult shunt-related complications reported in the literature occur in the first 6 months, chart reviews were performed to identify complications occurring within 6 months of the operative date, including infection, shunt malfunction (proximal catheter, valve, or distal catheter), subdural hematoma formation, and any other shunt-related issue.[15,20,21] These complications were included if they resulted in hospitalization or a return to the operating room. In addition, re-admission to the hospital within 180 days for any reason was analyzed.

The cases were organized and analyzed in the following groups: SC versus NSC; flow versus pressure-control; and fixed versus programmable. Mean age between the SC and NSC groups was compared through student’s t-test. Demographics and complications rates were analyzed through Fisher’s exact test to compare SC versus NSC, flow versus pressure regulating valves, and fixed versus programmable valves. Statistical analyses were performed through Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 365 MSO, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington) with P < 0.05 regarded as significant.

In addition, subgroup analyses were performed to compare complication rates between SC and NSC valves in each diagnosis category – normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH), pseudotumor cerebri (PTC), and hydrocephalus (HCP) – with statistical analysis performed through Fisher’s exact test. The HCP group comprised all patients with diagnoses other than NPH or PTC; this included those with communicating or obstructive HCP related to subarachnoid hemorrhage, tumor-related, aqueductal stenosis, congenital HCP, and more.

RESULTS

A total of 476 patients met the inclusion criteria. Demographic information is shown in [Table 1]. The overall complication rate was 19%. The overall rates of infection, malfunction, subdural hematoma, and readmission within 180 days are listed in [Table 2].

The various complication rates for the different groups are shown in [Table 3]. No difference between SC and NSC valves was seen in rates of infection, malfunction, subdural hematoma, readmission within 180 days, or total complications. When comparing flow- versus pressure-regulating valves, the latter had significantly lower malfunction and total complication rates with no difference in infections, subdural hematomas, or readmissions within 180 days. For fixed versus programmable valves, the latter had significantly lower malfunction and total complications rates with no difference in infections, subdural hematomas, or readmissions within 180 days.

On subgroup analysis for each diagnosis category as shown in [Table 4], no difference was seen in any of the complication rates between SC and NSC valves.

DISCUSSION

The total complication rate in this study of ventriculoperitoneal shunt surgeries in adults was 19%. The rates of infection, shunt failure, and readmission within 180 days for any reason were 3%, 11%, and 34%, respectively, with no significant difference in any of these variables between SC and NSC. The overall rate of SDH was 4%, and although this rate trended slightly higher in the NSC group relative to SC, this difference was not statistically significant.

Literature overdrainage complication rates range from 3 to 50%.[2,4,10,14,23,25-27] Several studies have evaluated overdrainage
rates with SC versus NSC in NPH patients with mixed results: some demonstrating increased overdrainage symptoms with no difference in SDH rates and others showing the opposite. The overall trend however appears to be a decrease in overdrainage with SC. Notably, improved clinical responses have been reported with SC devices as lower settings may be applied relative to NSC, improving CSF flow in the horizontal position; others have noted sub-par responses with SC even at the lowest setting, which improved with exchange to NSC. This suggests that proper patient selection is important, as some patients with lower intracranial pressures may benefit from NSC with no increased overdrainage rates, while others with higher intracranial pressures may benefit from SC to mitigate the risk of overdrainage while simultaneously reaping a satisfactory response to CSF diversion. In fact, NSC valves may be ideal for bedridden patients as SC valves may result in underdrainage with no difference in risk of overdrainage.

In our study, given the retrospective nature, only the rate of SDH, and neither the symptomatology suggestive of overdrainage nor the clinical benefit, could be calculated, with no difference seen between SC and NSC. This remained true even in subgroup analyses of NPH, PTC, and HCP cohorts. Programmable valves represent an alternate development initially geared toward reducing overdrainage complications. The advantage of programmable valves is the ability to adjust CSF pressure-related flow percutaneously in response to a patient's clinical response or development of subdural effusions. Fixed versus programmable shunts have been studied mainly in pediatric populations with fewer adult studies. In adult studies, complication rates range from 22 to 40% with fixed pressure valves, and 7–21% with programmable valves. Interestingly, while programmable valves can cost 2–6 times the price of fixed valves, the difference in total cost may be much smaller or even reversed when taking into account the increased reoperation and rehospitalization rates with fixed valves.

While several studies suggest a decreased risk of overdrainage with programmable valve, this was not seen in our study possibly due to the low frequency of fixed valve usage.

Notably, in this study, complications were designated as such not only if they required re-operation but also if they required hospitalization with shunt adjustment only.

Table 2: Overall complication rates.

| Complication                  | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Infection                    | 15     | 3          |
| Shunt failure                 | 51     | 11         |
| Subdural hematoma             | 19     | 4          |
| Readmission within 180 days   | 163    | 34         |
| Shunt-related complication    | 91     | 19         |

Table 1: Demographics.

|                  | Total | NSC | SC | Programmable |
|------------------|-------|-----|----|--------------|
| Age (mean±SD)    | 62.2±18.5 | 65.4±18.8 | 65.4±18.7 |
| Gender (M:F)     | 244:232 | 30:33 (99%) | 276 (89%)  |
| NPH (n, %)       | 161 (25%) | 30 (33%) | 39 (38%)   |
| PTC (n, %)       | 39 (6%)  | 27 (36%) | 74 (21%)   |
| Diabetes mellitus| 276 (58%) | 47 (33%) | 59 (66%)   |
| Hypertension     | 308 (65%) | 59 (66%) | 59 (66%)   |
| Chronic kidney disease | 63 (13%) | 13 (14%) | 13 (14%)   |
whether from subdural hygroma development or increased ventricular size. These cases were included as complications as many cases of subdural hematoma development were managed conservatively, but the mere fact that this SDH was symptomatic and required hospitalization merited inclusion as a complication in our view.

No difference was seen between SC and NSC valves in our adult population in terms of infections, malfunctions, subdural hematomas, readmissions within 180 days, or total complications. Higher malfunction and total complication rates were seen with flow- versus pressure-regulating valves and fixed versus programmable valves, with no difference in rates of infection, subdural hematoma, or readmission within 180 days.

The retrospective nature of this review limited a thorough assessment of patients’ clinical responses to CSF diversion and may have missed a number of subdural hygromas managed conservatively in the outpatient setting through adjustment of programmable valve setting. In addition, the true causative factors for SDH development – whether over-shunting versus traumatic – are unknown. Finally, multiple surgeons within a single city-wide hospital system performed the shunt operations; given that each surgeon may favor a certain valve type over others, this may present a confounding factor in the analysis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The overall complication rate in this study was 19%. No difference was seen in complication rates between SC and NSC valves. Increased malfunction and total shunt-related complication rates were seen when comparing flow- versus pressure-regulated valves and fixed versus programmable valves. Proper patient selection should guide valve choice to minimize rates of under- and over-drainage. Future prospective studies may further elucidate the difference in complication rates between SC and NSC valves.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Chika Ezeana, Shenyi Chen, and Mamta Puppala for data collection and organization.

**Declaration of patient consent**

Patient’s consent not required as patients identity is not disclosed or compromised.
Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal N, Kashkoush A, McDowell MM, Lariviere WR, Ismail N, Friedlander RM. Comparative durability and costs analysis of ventricular shunts. J Neurosurg 2018;???:1-8.
2. Freimann FB, Vajkoczy P, Sprung C. Patients benefit from low-pressure settings enabled by gravitational valves in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2013;115:1982-6.
3. Kaestner S, Kruschat T, Nitsche N, Deinsberger W. Gravitational shunt units may cause under-drainage in bedridden patients. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2009;151:217-21.
4. Kiefer M, Eymann R, Meier U. Five years experience with gravitational shunts in chronic hydrocephalus of adults. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2002;144:755-67.
5. kondageski C, Thompson D, Reynolds M, Hayward RD. Experience with the Strata valve in the management of shunt overdrainage. J Neurosurg 2007;106 Suppl 2:95-102.
6. Korinek AM, Fulla-Oller L, Boch AL, Golmard JL, Hadiji B, Puybasset L. Morbidity of ventricular cerebrospinal fluid shunt surgery in adults: An 8-year study. Neurosurgery 2011;68:985-94.
7. Kurtom KH, Magram G. Siphon regulatory devices: Their role in the treatment of hydrocephalus. Neurosurg Focus 2007;22:E5.
8. Lee L, King NK, Kumar D, Ng YP, Rao J, Ng H, et al. Use of programmable versus nonprogrammable shunts in the management of hydrocephalus secondary to aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: A retrospective study with cost-benefit analysis. J Neurosurg 2014;121:899-903.
9. Lemcke J, Meier U, Muller C, Fritsch MJ, Kehler U, Langer N, et al. Safety and efficacy of gravitational shunt valves in patients with idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus: A pragmatic, randomised, open label, multicentre trial (SVASONA). J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2013;84:850-7.
10. Lemcke J, Meier U. Improved outcome in shunted iNPH with a combination of a Codman Hakim programmable valve and an aescaulap-miehltk shunt assistant. Cent Eur Neurosurg 2010;71:113-6.
11. McDowell MM, Chiang MC, Agarwal N, Friedlander RM, Wecht DA. Exclusive use of fixed pressure valves for cerebrospinal fluid diversion in a modern adult cohort. Heliyon 2018;4:e01099.
12. Merkler AE, Chiang J, Parker WE, Murthy SB, Kamel H. The rate of complications after ventriculoperitoneal shunt surgery. World Neurosurg 2017;98:654-8.
13. Miyake H. Shunt devices for the treatment of adult hydrocephalus: Recent progress and characteristics. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 2016;56:274-83.
14. Mpakopoulou M, Brotsis AG, Gatos H, Paterakis K, Fountas KN. Ten years of clinical experience in the use of fixed-pressure versus programmable valves: A retrospective study of 159 patients. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2012;113:25-8.
15. O’Kelly CJ, Kulkarni AV, Austin PC, Urbach D, Wallace MC. Shunt-dependent hydrocephalus after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: Incidence, predictors, and revision rates. Clinical article. J Neurosurg 2009;111:1029-35.
16. Pereira RM, Sugimoto MT, Oliveira MF, Tornai JB, Amaral RA, Teixeira MJ, et al. Performance of the fixed pressure valve with antisiphon device SPHERA’ in the treatment of normal pressure hydrocephalus and prevention of overdrainage. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2016;74:55-61.
17. Pinto FC, Pereira RM, Saad F, Teixeira MJ. Performance of fixed-pressure valve with antisiphon device SPHERA(’) in hydrocephalus treatment and overdrainage prevention. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2012;70:704-9.
18. Portnoy HD, Schulte RR, Fox JL, Croissant PD, Tripp L. Anti-siphon and reversible occlusion valves for shunting in hydrocephalus and preventing post-shunt subdural hematomas. J Neurosurg 1973;38:729-38.
19. Puca A, Anile C, Maira G, Rossi G. Cerebrospinal fluid shunting for hydrocephalus in the adult: Factors related to shunt revision. Neurosurgery 1991;29:822-6.
20. Reddy GK, Bollam P, Caltido G. Long-term outcomes of ventriculoperitoneal shunt surgery in patients with hydrocephalus. World Neurosurg 2014;81:404-10.
21. Reddy GK, Bollam P, Shi R, Guthikonda B, Nanda A. Management of adult hydrocephalus with ventriculoperitoneal shunts: Long-term single-institution experience. Neurosurgery 2011;69:774-80.
22. Reddy GK. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt surgery and the incidence of shunt revision in adult patients with hemorrhage-related hydrocephalus. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2012;114:1211-6.
23. Reinprecht A, Czech T, Dietrich W. Clinical experience with a new pressure-adjustable shunt valve. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1995;134:119-24.
24. Ringel F, Schramm J, Meyer B. Comparison of programmable shunt valves vs standard valves for communicating hydrocephalus of adults: A retrospective analysis of 407 patients. Surg Neurol 2005;63:36-41.
25. Saehle T, Farahmand D, Eide PK, Tisell M, Wikkelsø C. A randomized controlled dual-center trial on shunt complications in idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus treated with gradually reduced or fixed pressure valve settings. J Neurosurg 2014;121:1257-63.
26. Serarslan Y, Yilmaz A, Cakir M, Guzel E, Akakin A, Guzel A, et al. Use of programmable versus nonprogrammable shunts in the management of normal pressure hydrocephalus: A multicenter retrospective study with cost-benefit analysis in Turkey. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e8185.
27. Sindou M, Guyotat-Pelissou I, Chidiac A, Goutelle A. Transcutaneous pressure adjustable valve for the treatment of hydrocephalus and arachnoid cysts in adults. Experiences with 75 Cases. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1993;121:135-9.
28. Trinh VT, Duckworth EA. Revision to an adjustable non-siphon control valve in low pressure hydrocephalus: Therapeutic siphoning and a new perspective on NPH: Series of 3 cases and review of the literature. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2013;115:175-8.
29. Wu Y, Green NL, Wrensch MR, Zhao S, Gupta N. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt complications in California: 1990 to 2000. Neurosurgery 2007;61:557-62.

30. Zemack G, Romner B. Adjustable valves in normal-pressure hydrocephalus: A retrospective study of 218 patients. Neurosurgery 2008;62 Suppl 2:677-87.

31. Zemack G, Romner B. Seven years of clinical experience with the programmable Codman Hakim valve: A retrospective study of 583 patients. J Neurosurg 2000;92:941-8.

How to cite this article: Desai VR, Sadrameli SS, Jenson AV, Asante SK, Daniels B, Trask TW, et al. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt complications in an adult population: A comparison of various shunt designs to prevent overdrainage. Surg Neurol Int 2020;11:269.