In vitro validation of a self-driving aortic-turbine venous-assist device for Fontan patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Palliative repair of single ventricle defects involve a series of open-heart surgeries where a single-ventricle (Fontan) circulation is established. As the patient ages, this paradoxical circulation gradually fails, because of its high venous pressure levels. Reversal of the Fontan paradox requires an extra subpulmonic energy that can be provided through mechanical assist devices. The objective of this study was to evaluate the hemodynamic performance of a totally implantable integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist (iATVA) system, which does not need an external drive power and maintains low venous pressure chronically, for the Fontan circulation.

Methods: Blade designs of the co-rotating turbine and pump impellers were developed and 3 prototypes were manufactured. After verifying the single-ventricle physiology at a pulsatile in vitro circuit, the hemodynamic performance of the iATVA system was measured for pediatric and adult physiology, varying the aortic steal percentage and circuit configurations. The iATVA system was also tested at clinical off-design scenarios.

Results: The prototype iATVA devices operate at approximately 800 revolutions per minute and extract up to 10% systemic blood from the aorta to use this hydrodynamic energy to drive a blood turbine, which in turn drives a mixed-flow venous pump passively. By transferring part of the available energy from the single-ventricle outlet to the venous side, the iATVA system is able to generate up to approximately 5 mm Hg venous recovery while supplying the entire caval flow.

Conclusions: Our experiments show that a totally implantable iATVA system is feasible, which will eliminate the need for external power for Fontan mechanical venous assist and combat gradual postoperative venous remodeling and Fontan failure. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;156:292-301)
Abbreviations and Acronyms

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| CO           | total cardiac output |
| FVAD         | Fontan ventricular assist |
| iATVA        | integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist system |
| IVC          | inferior vena cava |
| LPM          | liters per minute |
| ΔP           | net venous pressure augmentation |
| PA           | pulmonary artery |
| rpm          | revolutions per minute |
| SVC          | superior vena cava |
| SVP          | systemic venous pressure |
| TCPC         | total cavopulmonary connection |
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venous blood, which is then pumped to the body. As would be expected, the initial experience with the Fontan procedure was characterized by the sudden lack of active right-heart pumping of blood into the pulmonary arterial tree, resulting in significant venous congestion, reduced ventricular filling, low cardiac output, and often, death. Despite these physiological challenges, advances in pediatric cardiovascular surgery have resulted in reduced morbidity and mortality in this patient group. This trend has also dramatically increased the number of adult Fontan survivors having severe late complications related to the gastrointestinal system, including feeding disorders, liver dysfunction, protein losing enteropathy, plastic bronchitis, and eventual failed-Fontan states. Although the causes for these complications are multifactorial, chronically high systemic venous pressure (SVP) is widely accepted to be the fundamental problematic factor that results in gradual remodeling in the venous vascular and lymphatic systems. To alleviate this problem, an inferior vena cava (IVC) fenestration is used as a type of pop-off valve for high SVP, but with decreased oxygen saturation, in which novel fenestration flow control mechanisms were introduced. As an optimum solution for high SVP, the need for venous Fontan ventricular assist (FVAD) erroneously compared with traditional mechanical circulatory assistance, was introduced by de Leval, providing low venous pressure head and high flow rate to address the Fontan paradox. Since then, the mechanical univentricular assist has been explored through acute animal models, pioneered by Rodefeld et al., followed by a number of cases, describing initial experience with the available pediatric assist systems in single-ventricle patients. Our earlier work summarized standard single-ventricle assist configurations, and also introduced the recently popular ejector pumps for IVC or superior vena cava (SVC) augmentation. Today, FVADs are designed specifically for the pediatric population using novel impellers with ultra-low blood damage. Performance characteristics of selected FVAD systems are compared in Table E1. However, the use of FVAD as a long-term therapy with a totally implanted impeller has not been realized with the state-of-the-art technology.

For FVAD systems, the need for external power to drive the venous pump unit is a major technological grand challenge. This requirement significantly limits the primary function of FVADs to be implanted for independent use long-term. To address this challenge, we present a novel implantable Fontan assist system that requires no external power and therefore has the potential to passively resolve the Fontan paradox. The device extracts a minimal amount of aortic blood, which is at high systemic pressure head, and uses this available energy to drive an aortic turbine (see Figure 1). In turn, the blood turbine drives a typical mechanical assist device (pump impeller), which supplies the required high-flow and low-pressure venous head through the IVC and/or SVC. The impellers of this new integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist (iATVA) system are connected through a common shaft and rotate at the same speed. This system transfers part of the available aortic energy to the venous site, where it is most needed in the single-ventricle circulation. In this research study we investigated the feasibility of the first-generation iATVA prototypes using our bench-top single-ventricle circulation mock-up circuit before their detailed mechanical design and planned animal experiments in the near future.

METHODS

Hydrodynamic Design and Turbomachinery

A parametric design of the iATVA system was prepared to document its ideal operating ranges and performance capabilities. The design parameters were formulated around the 2 baseline operating conditions, corresponding to the postoperative pediatric (total cardiac output [CO] 3 liters per minute [LPM] and 60% IVC flow split) and adult Fontan stages (5 LPM with 80% IVC flow split). For these conditions the hydrodynamic design of 3 iATVA prototypes were completed (see Figure 2 and Table E2). The detailed design process is provided in the section “Turbomachinery Calculations” of Appendix E1.

For the placement of the turbine drainage outlet, 3 alternative locations were considered; common atrium, proximally ligated axillary artery, and distal pulmonary artery (PA), each having different available drive power (see Figure E1). For this research study the turbine was positioned between the aorta and the common atrium (Figure 1 and Figure E1, Configuration 1), where the maximum available pressure head between the aortic inlet and turbine outlet is approximately 90 mm Hg.

Manufacturing and Assembly

All parts were manufactured by J+90 Rapid Prototyping (Istanbul, Turkey), using a Stratasys Connex500 (Stratasys, Eden Prairie, Minn) 3-D printer, except for the bearing. The transparent shrouds and impellers were manufactured with Polyljet–VeroClear and VeroWhite resins (Stratasys), respectively. Standard steel bearing (MOS, Istanbul, Turkey), with 5 × 14 × 5 mm dimensions were used. Tight-fitting tolerances were assured for manual assembly of parts by fine polishing.
In Vitro Mock-Up Flow Loop

The prototype iATVA systems were evaluated at the bench-top first using a steady turbine inlet flow setup and then using our pulsatile in vitro single-ventricle circuit29,33 (Figure E2). Previously, we validated both setups for testing pediatric ventricle assist devices in Fontan circulation and described the experimental approach in detail.29 For the instrumentation and setup components for this present study please refer to the Appendix E1 in vitro mock-up flow loop and instrumentation. The iATVA device was located along a bypass line, parallel to the IVC, using Y-connectors, which allowed the instantaneous introduction of the device to the single-ventricle circulation without stopping or dismantling the flow circuit. The total cavopulmonary connection (TCPC) state was first established in accordance with our standard protocol29 and any deviations in hemodynamic parameters after the iATVA was introduced were recorded.

RESULTS

Ideal Device Characteristics

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the turbomachinery design of a blood turbine in cardiovascular device literature. The low aortic steal requirement of the iATVA system results in low turbine specific speeds (nondimensional specific speed = 103) corresponding to mechanically challenging radial impellers, even reaching impulse turbine configuration if higher efficiency is desired. For the venous side a mixed-impeller is found to be optimal to assist the Fontan circulation, with nondimensional specific speed \( = 1.2 \). The rotational speed varies between 800 to 1800 revolutions per minute (rpm), generating a net venous pressure augmentation (\( \Delta P \)) of 3 to 12 mm Hg through the pump (ie, SVP decrease, pump outlet distal PA pressure increase).

The ideal characteristics of the iATVA system is estimated from turbomachinery theory and summarized in Figure 3. It is observed that for adult as well as pediatric flow requirements the iATVA system can provide sufficient venous pressure ramp at the pump impeller that drives the IVC flow for a conservative power transmission efficiency (50%). Even with less than 5% of aortic steal, up to 5 mm Hg venous pressure augmentation is possible. As the power generation chart in Figure 3 (top row) indicates, higher turbine outer diameter and blade trail end angle leads to a higher power generation. To achieve a stable operating point and small turbine size for easy implantation, the prototype design dimensions are located at the relatively flat region resulting in a turbine outer diameter of 38 mm (see Figure 3, top left). Coupled to this selected turbine operating point, the corresponding venous pump characteristics are summarized in Figure 3 (top right) where an impeller blade height of 10 mm and pump diameter of 32 mm was chosen for a blade trail angle of 60°. For an adult Fontan patient having a cardiac output of 5 LPM and high IVC flow split 80% (4 LPM), pump \( \Delta P \) is approximately 7.8 mm Hg for an aortic steal of 10% at 90 mm Hg systemic pressure. For this design, the pediatric flow requirements that have lower CO (3 LPM) and more balanced IVC/SVC flow split, lead to higher \( \Delta P \) values reaching 15 mm Hg, with an aortic flow steal of 10% and 90 mm Hg systemic pressure (Figure 3).

Steady-State Device Characteristics

The iATVA prototypes are tested at different steady turbine inflow rates (aortic steal) and the corresponding net venous pressure decrease (\( \Delta P \)), delivered to the pump side at IVC is recorded (Figure 4). For prototypes P2 and P3, a \( \Delta P \) of 5 mm Hg is achieved at 1 LPM turbine flow. When the turbine flow is increased slightly to 1.3 LPM, an impeller speed of 700 rpm is recorded. Lower rotational speeds than predicted by the ideal design are observed (see Figure 4). For P1, the delivered pressure head is stabilized after 400 rpm driven by a turbine flow of 0.95 LPM. At a flow rate of 1.3 LPM, an impeller speed of 700 rpm is recorded. For P2 and P3, higher impeller speeds are achieved because of the torque increase.
provided by a larger volute design. Although P2 and P3 show a similar performance between 0.85 and 1.3 LPM turbine drive flow, the torque increase in P3 design allows for very low aortic flow extraction, reaching 0.6 LPM and providing approximately a 1-mm Hg venous pressure augmentation.

**Pulsatile In Vitro Performance**

In the mock-up circuit, the single-ventricle (TCPC) circulation is first established with 86.1 and 96.2 mm Hg mean aortic pressure for pediatric and adult hemodynamics, respectively. The corresponding mean IVC flow is recorded as 2.2 LPM (pediatric) and 3.2 LPM (adult), and the sample waveforms are provided in Figure 5. When iATVA prototype 3 is introduced, turbine impeller rotation is observed immediately. An aortic steal of 1 LPM results in an acute decrease in average systemic pressure levels reaching 59.7 mm Hg (80.5 systolic, 46.3 diastolic) and 74.8 mm Hg (95.6 systolic, 64.2 diastolic) for pediatric and adult conditions respectively, which are adjusted back to their initial systemic pressure levels. The IVC/SVC flow splits are kept approximately constant and a venous pressure level of 11.4 mm Hg (pediatric) and 12.1 mm Hg (adult) is recorded through a net pump assist of 2 to 3 mm Hg (Figure 5). Sample cardiac-cycle averaged measurements with and without iATVA are provided in Table 1.

The decreased arterial pressure can also be regulated physiologically through increased cardiac output (increased heart rate and stroke volume), which is also tested in our mock-up circuit. As expected, these experiments indicate a better performance where the “main outcome” IVC
pressure can be reduced by up to 8 mm Hg, compared with the TCPC state without venous assist (see Table E3). While regulating the CO, a slight increase in venous pressure due to intravascular volume increase would not alter the level of pressure decrease provided by the iATVA system at the venous side.

Similarly, iATVA devices (versions P2 and P3) are tested at different turbine flow rates and the corresponding mean hemodynamic values are reported in Figure E3. Higher venous pressure decrease at the IVC (pump inlet) resulted due to increased aortic steal, for adult as well as pediatric conditions. However, a positive venous assist is also possible up to a turbine flow limit of approximately 0.9 LPM (18% aortic steal in adult) for the current prototype designs. For low aortic steal conditions, the flow leakage from the turbine side to the pump side becomes significant reaching 0.2 to 0.4 LPM, which degrades the turbine efficiency abruptly. Prototype P3 with additional turbine blades results in the highest ΔP at the design operating points; 2 mm Hg at 0.98 LPM (pediatric) and 2 mm Hg at 1.1 LPM (adult). This performance is equivalent to the Fontan pumps reported in the literature (Table E1). In Figure 6, all 3 iATVA prototypes are compared, which show improved performance as the design is evolved. As such, the impeller speed is shown to be an important index of system performance. Further results are provided in the section “Effect of Rotational Speed” in Appendix E1.

**DISCUSSION**

Delivery of the external power required to drive the mechanical assist devices is a formidable bioengineering challenge. Infections caused by the external power driveline are a major device-related complication of totally implanted mechanical assist devices. As such, for pediatric extracorporeal systems like the Berlin heart EXCOR (Berlin Heart GmbH, Berlin, Germany), similar infections are caused by the inlet/outlet tubes. It is significant to note that approximately 35% of pediatric ventricle support cases have resulted in infection-related complications of the Berlin heart EXCOR. Although a number of intraoperative remedies to reduce infection are proposed, the ultimate solution is to power ventricular assist devices.
The major challenges of this approach include thermal tissue damage and unintended electromagnetic neural stimulation. Furthermore, poor power transmission efficiency has the potential to limit the hydrodynamic performance of ventricular assist. Cardiac assist systems that harvest the skeletal muscle power to drive an assist device have poor power transmission efficiency and for any external drive power through a novel alternative concept that particularly suits single-ventricle patients because of its passively controlled characteristics.

On the basis of our current experiments with iATVA, for a typical Fontan circulation, it would be unlikely to demand further turbine power to drive the venous pump. Even if higher drive power is required, the aortic flow extraction budget of iATVA can be increased by 10% to 20%, on the basis of the current experience with the first stage systemic-to-pulmonary shunts that are routinely used in staged single-ventricle palliation. Depending on the disease template, aortic steal through these shunts can reach up to 50% of the CO. Likewise, when a Fontan fenestration is used, up to 30% preload increases are common. Furthermore, for shunt as well as fenestration systems the available hydrodynamic energy is expanded without doing any useful work and with oxygen desaturation. Systemic desaturation at the venous side, as well as the uncontrolled shunt pressure/flow expansion, increases the risk of paradoxical emboli and stroke. In contrast, the aortic steal of iATVA is delivered to the atrium without influencing the oxygen saturation levels and thus reduces the risk of stroke. Furthermore, a more moderate, controlled expansion is achieved through the turbine compared with a traditional shunt. Although long-term aortic steal to drive the turbine can be considered as an additional burden for the single ventricle, there are cases in the literature in which pulmonary flow is very well tolerated spontaneously with age until 60 to 70 years, without any surgery.

Considering the energy harvest possibility from the systemic-pulmonary shunts, it is also important to discuss the new arterial-to-venous pathway intended to augment the SVC flow at the Glenn stage. In this system, high-pressure aortic flow expands to the venous pressure levels but without doing any turbine work, limiting its performance significantly. Compared with the iATVA device, the assisted-Glenn shunt configuration also steals a similar amount of aortic blood reaching 15% to 28%. Therefore, the iATVA system can be considered as a novel extension of pediatric systemic shunts by extracting useful energy from the aortic and venous pressure difference, with no oxygen desaturation or energy loss. This available energy is relocated to the venous side, a location where extra pumping energy is most needed to drive any type of venous assist device (centrifugal or axial) in the single-ventricle circulation passively.

This in vitro study proves the feasibility of the iATVA configuration but major design improvements are possible for future prototypes. It is anticipated that the final version of implanted iATVA devices in animals or humans will be significantly different. For example, a double-inlet configuration collecting SVC as well as SVC flows into the pump through a Y-graft, and then delivers the venous blood to both PAs via a specially designed volute. This configuration would improve performance and result in an optimal default TCPC pathway in the event of turbine power failure (see Figure E1, Configuration C3, resembling an augmented 4-way TCPC), because the present calculations indicate that the theoretical available turbine head has the potential to support the entire venous return. Whereas for configuration C1 and C3, there is a risk of stroke as in the traditional left ventricle assist devices; this risk is mitigated in other configurations C2 and C4, in which the blood turbine bears almost the same risk as a systemic-to-pulmonary shunt. Using this alternative circuit configuration, given the passive nature of the iATVA system, instead of the traditional extracardiac TCPC, an “assisted-TCPC” can be implanted immediately as the third stage completion. Our experiments indicate that the
iATVA system for Fontan physiology is a “turbine performance-limited” system and turbine-operating conditions pose a challenging turbomachinery problem. In particular, a more detailed volute design and volute-impeller interaction study must be conducted for assuring a higher efficiency. Likewise, the iATVA system is the first blood turbine proposed in the literature to our knowledge, and its blood damage and platelet activation characteristics
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**FIGURE 5.** Sample hemodynamic waveforms established for the single-ventricle (TCPC) state, with iATVA (right column) and without iATVA (left column), in the mock-up circuit. “Pump pressure” is measured at the outlet of the pump corresponding to the pulmonary artery pressure. Pediatric and adult Fontan hemodynamic conditions correspond to 3.5 and 5 liters per minute (LPM) of total cardiac output, respectively, and satisfy the corresponding SVC/IVC flow splits as stated in the text. Measurements correspond to iATVA prototype 3, which is connected to the system with a Y connector in between the IVC outlet and TCPC inlet. The pump is switched on by removing the clamps on the turbine inlet and pump inlet. Average hemodynamic values and waveforms established for the single-ventricle (TCPC) state (without iATVA) in the mock-up circuit are provided in Table 1. TCPC, Total cavopulmonary connection; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist; SVC/IVC, superior vena cava/inferior vena cava.
pose an intriguing research problem, which need to be compared with the traditional pump side assist. It is expected that the thrombogenicity of the turbine side would be significantly different than the pump side. Whereas the iATVA system maintains significantly lower rotational speeds than the existing percutaneous ventricular assist devices, it might still require a higher dose of anticoagulants because it incorporates the venous as well as aortic systems. Steady-flow tests are generally shown to be parallel with the theoretical design predictions, but a detailed design focusing on frictional losses and turbine-to-pump leakage becomes evident for low aortic flow steal values reaching 0.5 LPM. Therefore, a new prototype that uses magnetic coupling (with total separation of systemic and venous blood) and higher-quality ultra-low friction ceramic bearings was developed in our laboratory. The initial in vitro tests of this prototype has produced encouraging results, which we plan to publish in the future.

The flat characteristic response of the iATVA device (Figure 3) and its passive nature make it advantageous during off-design operation, compared with the traditional mechanical assist devices. For example, physical exercise would result in a positive effect on iATVA performance because of the sixfold increase in CO and a weaker heart rate and systemic pressure increase. One major failure mode is anticipated to be the turbine flow pathway blockage due to low aortic steal that can result in clotting. Such patients would require high-dose anticoagulation therapy. This failure mode was tested by clamping the turbine inflow and simultaneously fixing the shaft rotation in which the pump impeller did not cause a major obstruction to the freely-flowing IVC blood, whereby the hemodynamic parameters returned back to their TCPC state. For iATVA prototype 3, the pump-side pressure decrease for a freely rotating impeller is less than 3 mm Hg (with no turbine drive power), which increases to approximately 5 mm Hg when the impeller rotation is restricted fully, including the TCPC pathway flow resistance (see Table E3 for these mock-up system experiments). To meet the failsafe constraint of no obstruction in the event of device dysfunction, the pump-side free-flow (TCPC state) pressure decrease of the iATVA system can be reduced further through the origami-inspired retractable or foldable impellers.54,55 In some patients, an acute Fontan failure is also anticipated after iATVA device implantation that can be recovered through an emergency mechanical assist (atrio-aortic) device application.

Future research will determine whether the proposed concept will enable breakthrough postoperative management strategies for single-ventricle patients to control high SVP and also challenge the conventional staged-Fontan timing. In particular, the long-term use of the iATVA system to complement and support single-ventricle performance as a prophylactic strategy in asymptomatic Fontan patients to decrease the workload on the single ventricle and eliminate the venous remodeling, is also theoretically possible to extend the life of the ventricle and ultimately the patient. Thus, optimal iATVA timing does not have to correspond to the terminal stage of Fontan failure where

| TABLE 1. Sample hemodynamic measurements obtained during the pulsatile mock-up single-ventricle flow loop experiments |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **TCPC** | **TCPC + iATVA** |
| **Pediatric** | **Adult** | **Pediatric** | **Adult** |
| SVC flow rate, LPM | 1.29 (0.005) | 1.78 (0.002) | 1.03 (0.0015) | 1.65 (0.001) |
| IVC flow rate, LPM | 2.2 (0.005) | 3.24 (0.0035) | 1.52 (0.0075) | 2.15 (0.0025) |
| Aortic pressure, mm Hg | 86.1 (18) | 96.2 (28) | 86.1 (17) | 98.1 (31) |
| Venous pressure, mm Hg | 14.0 (0.5) | 14.4 (1.1) | 11.4 (0.3) | 12.1 (0.4) |
| PA pressure—pump outlet, mm Hg | – | – | 14.1 (0.35) | 14.2 (0.65) |

Mean and pulsatile amplitudes (in parenthesis) of the waveforms are presented. For the corresponding sample waveforms please see Figure 5. According to the experimental protocol, first the TCPC state is established and then the iATVA device is introduced leading the TCPC + iATVA hemodynamics. Values are provided for prototype 3. TCPC, Total cavopulmonary connection; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist; SVC, superior vena cava; LPM, liters per minute; IVC, inferior vena cava; PA, pulmonary artery.
CONCLUSIONS

This research study proposes a novel mechanical assist device for Fontan patients that does not require an external drive power. Turbomachinery theory and bench-top single-ventricle flow circuit data from evolving prototypes support the feasibility of this concept. Founded on the clinical experience with systemic shunts, the iATVA system requires minimal aortic steal, and uses this energy to generate the needed venous pressure reduction. Present prototypes require further improvements in leakage control, off-design operation (eg, high pulmonary vascular resistance), turbine drainage, and pulsatility. Intriguing research opportunities for the cardiovascular device community are identified by this study, including the thrombogenicity budget of the turbine section compared with a traditional “pump-only” percutaneous ventricular assist devices. Thus, the exciting iATVA system has the potential to passively reverse the detrimental effects of single-ventricle circulation and is expected to lead to further innovations in staged palliation of congenital heart disease management. The timing of iATVA system implantation is clinically precarious and strategies that optimize single-ventricle hemodynamics would likely reduce morbidity as well as mortality. Because the third-stage Fontan completion is typically performed at approximately 2 to 3 years of age, the available thoracic volume for device insertion might still be inadequate, even considering the potential benefits of the iATVA system. Therefore, clinicians can consider a new fourth stage for iATVA implantation to realize its optimal use.

Many single-ventricle patients who have been surgically palliated with a modified Fontan operation eventually develop a low CO syndrome associated with systemic venous congestion resistant to medical management. Problematic atrio-pulmonary connection templates and pathway stenosis can otherwise be treated via surgical or catheterized TCPC conversion, providing approximately 1 to 3 mm Hg improvements in SVP, which is equivalent to a similar benefit that would be provided by a typical Fontan venous assist device, similar to the iATVA pump. There is also a small subgroup of “real” failed Fontan patients with high pulmonary vascular resistance, for whom the only treatment option is cardiac transplantation. There is also the possibility that the iATVA system can heal this small patient group in significant time before the terminal failed-state is reached, which requires further investigation.
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APPENDIX E1. SUPPLEMENTARY METHODOLOGY

Turbomachinery Calculations
The turbine and pump impeller shapes are determined on the basis of the corresponding specific speeds, $N_s^{37}$:

$$N_s = \frac{N \sqrt{Q}}{H^{1/4}}$$

where $Q$ is flow rate (liters per second), and $H$ is the hydrodynamic head for the turbine/venous pump (m).

The rotational speed $N$ (rpm) is the same for the turbine as well as the pump impeller because they are connected with the same shaft, which is iterated from the respective coupled hydrodynamic impeller designs of the turbine and the pump side. First, the turbine side is designed with an aortic steal of $<0.5$ LPM and the available hydrodynamic rotational torque is used in the pump side design. A conservative transmission mechanical efficiency ($\eta_t$) of 70% is presumed for each side of the turbine-pump couple.\textsuperscript{E1,E2} For the placement of the turbine drainage outlet, 3 alternative locations are considered: common atrium, proximally ligated axillary artery, and distal pulmonary artery, each having different available hydrodynamic drive power (see Figure E1). In this research report, the turbine is located between the aorta and the common atrium (as shown in Figure 1 and Figure E1, Configuration 1), where the maximum available pressure head ($\Delta P_{turbine}$) between the aortic inlet and turbine outlet is approximately 90 mm Hg. All experiments and parametric design calculations are performed for this turbine inlet/outlet arrangement.

For the turbine inlet velocity triangle (see Figure 2), the impeller velocity $U$ is obtained from:

$$\rho \cdot U^2 - \rho \cdot C_0 \cdot V_r \cdot U - \Delta P_{turbine} = 0$$

In this equation $\rho$ is the density of blood, $\beta$ is the blade angle, and blood velocity is decomposed into its radial and tangential components, $V_r$ and $V_\theta = \Delta P_{turbine}/\rho U$, respectively. Corresponding rotational speed and turbine torque $T$ are calculated from:

$$N = 2 \cdot \frac{U}{D_{impeller}} \quad T = \rho Q_{turbine} (r \cdot V_\theta)$$

Transferring the rotational speed and available torque to the pump side leads to venous pressure increase for the required venous flow $Q_{pump}$:

$$\Delta P_{pump} = \eta_t \cdot N \cdot T / Q_{pump}$$

For both impellers, a circular cross-section, spiral single-volute design, having a volute width equal to the impeller width, is adopted because of their low capacity and low specific speeds.\textsuperscript{38,39} A sharp volute tongue angle, having a more circular circumference around the impeller is used to reduce the local radial forces. Using this procedure, the detailed hydrodynamic designs and impeller surface layouts of 3 iATVA prototypes are generated using NX software (NX 10.0; Siemens Product Lifecycle Management Software Inc, Plano, Tex); the basic parameters are summarized in Table E2.

In Vitro Mock-up Flow Loop and Instrumentation
Briefly, the single-ventricle flow circuit consists of a 12.62-mm inner diameter glass TCPC connection attached to 4 custom-made compliance chambers (IVC, SVC, right and left pulmonary veins); see Figure E2. A 1-diameter offset TCPC replica is used to reduce the adverse effects of colliding caval flows. In this current study, improved single-ventricle waveforms are realized through the computer-controlled Vivitro pump (Vivitro Labs, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada). Turbine rotational speed (Laser Tachometer-Sinometer DT-2234C; Sanpo Instrument Co Ltd, Guangdong, China), pulsatile flow rates (Transonic PXL-10, PXL-12, Ithaca, NY), and pressure measurements (Utah Medical Products Inc, County Westmeath, Ireland) are recorded using the Lab-chart data acquisition unit (AD Instruments, Colorado Springs, Colo). Distilled water is used in the experiments.

An alternative dual-inlet and dual-outlet pump configuration was also considered during the experimental design stage, however, we decided to use a simpler TCPC template to test and present results that are compatible with the existing literature that mainly examines single-IVC support. Single IVC support also allows for experimental simplicity in the mock-up circuit, whereas a dual-inflow/outflow pump would require a more cumbersome bypass circuit (to switch between TCPC and the TCPC-pump support), which would introduce additional resistance. With excessive resistance in the mock-up circuit, it would be challenging to show the benefits supplied by our device. Furthermore, for some patient groups it might still be more practical to provide IVC-only support instead of interfering with the entire TCPC pathway or establishing multiple end-to-side anastomoses.

SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS

Effect of Rotational Speed
During mock-up experiments, the impeller speed was found to be an important index of system performance. For the iATVA system (prototype 3), an aortic steal range of 0.95 to 1.35 LPM is studied at the adult hemodynamic conditions (see Figure E4). In this case the IVC flow rate varies between 2.4 and 1.5 LPM and the SVC current is between 1.6 and 2.6 LPM. The impeller speed of the pump is approximately 450 rpm at 1 LPM turbine flow in 60% IVC and 40% SVC region (red region) for physiological values. When the IVC flow is reduced and physiological values are exceeded, the impeller speed increases with higher turbine...
flow rates; a 10% increase in turbine flow rate results in a 20% change in impeller speed. As expected, SVC flow decreased as the IVC flow increased because of opposing pump pressure. These results show that a dual-inlet/dual-outlet pump configuration is a more practical alternative than IVC-only support, which would also be supported by the turbine and should be considered for future iATVA device prototypes.

Comparative Performance of iATVA Prototypes

In Figure 6, all 3 iATVA prototypes (P1, P2, and P3) are compared, which showed improved performance as the design evolved. Compared with P1 (6 blades and 3-LPM design flow rate), P2 (6 blades and 1.8-LPM design flow rate) resulted in significantly lower \( \Delta P \) because of high IVC flow capacity. Likewise, the additional turbine blades of version P3 provided some improvement. Overall, a positive pressure difference of approximately 1 mm Hg is recorded between the P1 and P3 designs because of improved impulse speeds at the volute.

On the basis of the in vitro experiments using the TCPC pulsatile mock-up circuit, all 3 prototypes show a positive venous pressure decrease (\( \Delta P > 0 \)) beyond a relatively low critical rotational speed of 500, 550, and 660 rpm for P3, P1, and P2, respectively. P1 as well as P3 provide approximately a 4-mm Hg differential pressure at 660 rpm, whereas the same pressure difference is obtained at a speed of 800 rpm for the P2 design. These \( \Delta P \) levels correspond well with the steady test performance obtained for approximately a 1-LPM turbine current. The negative venous pressure effect (\( \Delta P < 0 \)) is also observed when the iATVA turbine inlet head does not have enough power to provide adequate torque.
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FIGURE E1. Different iATVA configuration alternatives schematically illustrated. All alternatives are implanted in the single ventricle (SV) circulation. Configurations 1, 2, and 4 vary in terms of the blood turbine outlet drainage location. This study investigated configuration 1 (C1) whereby the blood turbine outlet is drained to the common atrium (ca). Configuration 2 (C2) drains to the distal of the pulmonary artery. Configuration 4 (C4) drains proximal to the axillary artery. Configuration 3 (C3) illustrates a double-inlet double-outlet venous pump configuration without a total cavopulmonary connection (TCPC). Note that there is no oxygen desaturation in iATVA configurations. UB, Upper body systemic bed; SVC, superior vena cava; RPA, right pulmonary artery; LPA, left pulmonary artery; LB, lower body systemic bed; IVC, inferior vena cava; Ao, aorta; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist.
FIGURE E2. Steady and pulsatile flow experiments. Measurement locations and iATVA connection ports are illustrated in top left illustration, corresponding to the steady flow set-up, which allows the static pressure head measurements of the venous pump at different steady aortic steal levels (top right). The iATVA was introduced in the mock-up single-ventricle circuit featuring an idealized glass TCPC replica (bottom row). The mock-up system flow rate was set by the pulse duplicator by adjusting stroke and stroke volume. Desired pressure level was maintained by changing the IVC and SVC resistances. Pulsatile pressure measurements were acquired from the aortic outlet and the iATVA pump/turbine inlets and outlets. Pulsatile flow measurements were acquired from the IVC, SVC, and turbine inlet/outlet and pump outlet. Turbine impeller speed was measured for each flow rate using a tachometer. The Y-connection established a parallel bypass circuit, which allowed for easy switching between the single-ventricle circulation with and without iATVA devices. See also Video 1, recorded during the experiments. \( \Delta P \), Net venous pressure augmentation provided by the pump impeller; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist; SVC, superior vena cava; TCPC, total cavopulmonary connection; IVC, inferior vena cava.
A close-up picture of the turbine impeller and shroud of the iATVA prototype 3 is presented. Six additional blades are produced separately using a high-accuracy 3-D micro stereolithography printer (Projet 1200; 3D Systems, Rock Hill, SC) from VisiJet FTX Green resin (3D Systems) and glued to the prototype 1 impeller. A total of 3 iATVA prototypes are tested in static as well as dynamic conditions.

**FIGURE E3.** Venous pump flow (through the IVC) and venous pressure augmentation ($\Delta P$) characteristics for iATVA prototype 2 (*top chart*) and prototype 3 (*bottom chart*) obtained from the pulsatile mock-up single-ventricle circulation flow loop. For both prototypes pediatric and adult operation modes corresponding to 3.5 and 5 LPM total cardiac output are tested, respectively (displayed in the red boxes). Average values of pulsatile waveforms are plotted after the iATVA is implanted in the single-ventricle circulation system. $\Delta P$, Net venous pressure augmentation provided by the pump impeller. LPM, liters per minute.

**FIGURE E4.** For the adult Fontan hemodynamic conditions, iATVA mock-up pulsatile test results at physiological (indicated by the red box) and off-design operating conditions spanning 80 to 150 mm Hg mean aortic pressure are plotted. Only prototype 3 results are presented as trends were similar for all iATVA prototypes. Mean values for the venous flow (through the IVC pump), impeller speed, and turbine flow rates are plotted. LPM, Liters per minute; IVC, inferior vena cava; SVC, superior vena cava.
TABLE E1. Average operating points (mean venous pressure decrease and flow rate values) of existing single-ventricle devices intended to support Fontan circulation are compared with the new iATVA prototypes.

| Pump design       | Venous pressure decrease, mm Hg | Flow rate, LPM | Impeller speed, rpm | Notes                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Propeller E3      | 5 to 50                         | 0.5 to 3.5     | 5000 to 7000       | Hydraulic in vitro and simulation performance                        |
| Axial E4          | 5 to 20                         | 2              | 1700 to 4500       | Failing Fontan                                                       |
| Axial E5          | 2.4                             | 3.7            | No information     |                                                                     |
| No impeller blades E6 | 5 to 20                         | 0 to 5         | 0 to 7000          | Dual IVC/SVC support, mock-up flow loop                              |
| Berlin heart E7   | No information                  | 3.8            | 80 Strokes/min     | Hypoplastic left heart patient                                       |
| iATVA, present study | 5.7                             | 5              | 705                | IVC support                                                          |
| Centrifugal E8    | 10                              | No information | No information     |                                                                     |
| Axial E9          | 12                              | 3.1 to 1.2     | 18,000 to 24,000   | Piglet model                                                         |
| Axial E10         | 7                               | 4.6            | 10,000             | Jarvik VAD                                                           |

All existing devices require external drive power. LPM, Liters per minute; IVC, inferior vena cava; SVC, superior vena cava; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist; VAD, ventricle assist device.

FIGURE E6. Ideal characteristics of the iATVA system estimated from turbomachinery theory for 2 further miniaturized turbine impeller sizes of 20 and 30 mm. The total mechanical power transfer efficiency is 0.50; total cardiac output is 5 LPM; turbine aortic steal is 10% and inferior vena cava pump flow is 3.5 LPM.
TABLE E2. Main design parameters of 3 iATVA prototypes (P1, P2, and P3) are summarized

| Parameter                  | Turbine | Pump |
|----------------------------|---------|------|
| Flow rate, LPM             | 0.5 (aortic steal) | 3.0 P1, 1.8 P2, 3.0 P3 |
| Blade height, mm           | 5       | 9 P1, 4.5 P2, 9 P3 |
| Impeller diameter, mm      | 38      | 32   |
| Blade angle, $\beta_2$     | 75°     | 60°  |
| Number of blades           | 6 P1, 6 P2, 12 P3 | 5    |
| Shroud volume, cm$^3$      | 1.1 P1, 1.1 P2, 0.5 P2 | 12.6 P1, 11.5 P2, 11.5 P2 |
| Volute volume, cm$^3$      | 1.16    | 3.1 P1, 2.0 P2, 2.0 P2 |

Differences between iATVA versions are the turbine blade numbers and pump volute size. The flow rate specification that defines the turbine section shape is kept the same for all 3 designs to steal less from the aortic current (0.5 LPM). Physiologic IVC flow rates corresponding to baseline pediatric and adult hemodynamics are used. LPM, liters per minute.

TABLE E3. Summary of hemodynamic measurements obtained during the pulsatile mock-up single-ventricle flow loop experiments

| Parameter                  | TCPC state–shunt | TCPC + iATVA |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Stroke column, mL          | 60               | 67           | 60           | 67            | 51            |
| SVC flow rate, LPM         | 1.1 (0.006)      | 1.1 (0.002)  | 1.02 (0.001) | 1.11 (0.01)   | 0.88 (0.002)  |
| IVC flow rate, LPM         | 2.16 (0.001)     | 2.16 (0.002) | 2.17 (0.001) | 2.27 (0.002)  | 1.7 (0.002)   |
| Aortic pressure, mm Hg     | 94 (13)          | 114 (18)     | 96 (15)      | 114 (19)      | 71 (13)       |
| Venous pressure, mm Hg     | 18.6 (1.1)       | 16.6 (1.0)   | 10.8 (0.8)   | 11.5 (0.8)    | 10.5 (0.8)    |
| PA pressure–pump outlet, mm Hg | 13.4 (0.9) | 9.8 (0.7)    | 12.2 (0.8)   | 12.9 (1.2)    | 12.0 (0.6)    |

Here an alternative experimental protocol is used to study systemic pressure regulation through stroke volume adjustment instead of systemic vascular resistance modulation. The later mode is already presented in Table 1. In this experiment, the venous pump and the turbine is stopped using a thin wire catheter to represent the TCPC state–shunt. Removal of the wire allowed instantaneous switching to the venous support mode; TCPC + iATVA, without any change in aortic pressure, turbine flow (1.0-1.2 LPM), heart rate, stroke volume, or resistance adjustment (because the turbine shunt is operational, but producing no pump work due to the wire catheter at the TCPC state–shunt). According to the experimental protocol, first the TCPC state is established as in Table 1, and then the iATVA device with the thin wire that immobilizes the common shaft is introduced. This reduced the systemic pressure, which is adjusted back to the initial TCPC state levels by increasing the stroke volume (instead of SVR) leading to the TCPC state–shunt. Removal of the wire catheter resulted the TCPC + iATVA hemodynamics without changing the stroke volume where turbine power is now transferred to the pump side freely, and venous pressure decrease is recorded. This protocol is applied to 3 different stroke volumes. Values are provided for prototype 3 at constant heart rate (70 beats per minute) and correspond to pediatric physiological conditions with variable stroke volume. Mean and pulsatile amplitudes (in parenthesis) of the waveforms are presented. TCPC, Total cavopulmonary connection; iATVA, integrated aortic-turbine venous-assist; SVC, superior vena cava; LPM, liters per minute; IVC, inferior vena cava; PA, pulmonary artery.