Efficacy and Rapid Response of Tocilizumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients not Responder to Previous Biologic Therapy

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the rapid response to Tocilizumab (TCZ) treatment in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) patients, not responded to a previous biologic therapy. Methods: We enrolled 29 patients with mild/severe RA (24 women, 5 men) according to the American College Rheumatology 2010 Criteria, who were refractory to Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs (DMARDs), including TNF inhibitors, anti-CD20 and anti-CTL4. They were treated with TCZ 162 mg weekly, Methotrexate (MTX) 10-15mg weekly and low dose prednisone daily (5-7, 5mg). The patients underwent clinical, laboratory, and Power Doppler Ultrasonography (PDUS) evaluation at baseline, 1, 3, 6 months. Efficacy was evaluated based on disease activity and ultrasonographic manifestations of synovitis in the examined joints.

Results: We observed a rapid, statistically significant improvement of both clinical and laboratoristic parameters. After the initial TCZ treatment, the mean DAS 28-ESR (Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate) decreased from 6,41 at baseline to 2,33 (p<0.05), and the efficacy has been maintained during this period of TCZ treatment. TCZ treatment decreased the synovitis of affected joints, as measured by ultrasonography. The mean grade of synovitis activity decreased in all patients receiving TCZ treatment (Δ-6,80; p<0.05). Mean plasma concentrations of total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and triglycerides raised minimal during the follow up period (Tot cholesterol Δ+18,50, HDL Δ +5,80, triglycerides Δ+25,10), but not statistically significant. Rapid and sustained improvements in the acute phase response markers as C Reactiv Protein (CRP) were seen (CRP: Δ-4,85 p<0.05; haemoglobin Δ1.40 p<0.05). There were greater and statistically significant improvements in physical function, as showed in HAQ-DI (Δ-0,155 p<0.05), SF-36 (ISF Δ+6,60 p<0.05 – ISM Δ+16,10 p<0.05) and FACIT fatigue (Δ-11 p<0.05) from baseline, with TCZ treatment.

Conclusion: Our study confirms the rapidity and effectiveness of TCZ in treating inflammation in RA patients, both with clinical evaluation and with ultrasonography. PDUS allow a more accurate evaluation of treatment response and a more complete follow-up.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a systemic chronic inflammatory disease associated with progressive joint damage, pain, fatigue, and disability, affecting approximately 1% of the population [1]. Although the exact cause of RA is still unknown, insights into its pathogenesis have confirmed the role of pro-inflammatory cytokines in disease pathways, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) interleukin (IL)-1, and IL-6 [2,3]. Interleukin 6 is a pleiotropic cytokine that is over-expressed in synovial tissue in patients with RA, with raised concentrations in serum and synovial fluid. IL-6 affects the function of neutrophils, T cells, B cells, monocytes, and osteoclast-cells that are highly activated in RA and is the major inducer of the hepatic acute phase response. It is a key feature of RA correlated with disease activity and joint destruction. The effects of IL-6 are mediated by binding to the IL-6 receptor (CD126, IL6Rα chain), which is expressed on cell surfaces and as a circulating soluble form [4].
Tocilizumab (TCZ), a recombinant humanised monoclonal IgG1 antihuman IL6 receptor antibody has been shown in previous clinical trials to improve the symptoms of RA and prevent progressive joint destruction among patients with moderate to severe RA refractory to conventional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) when administered either as monotherapy or in combination with conventional DMARDs. Therefore the results of clinical studies suggest that TCZ is a safe and effective alternative for patients who fail to respond to anti-TNF therapy [4-8]. The disease activity in RA is evaluated by DAS28 (Disease Activity Score), obtained by the examination of 28 tender and swollen joints, Global health analogic scale, and ESR or CRP [9]. Therefore, evaluating clinical activity in RA patients receiving TCZ is difficult because it blocks IL-6 signalling and rapidly suppresses the serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) which are components of the DAS-28. This could cause an incorrect corresponding between the clinical examination and the inflammatory index, underestimating the disease overview.

Over the past decade, musculoskeletal ultrasonography has been established as a new imaging modality for assessing RA-affected joints. Ultrasonography has been reported to be more sensitive and reliable than physical examination in the detection of synovial hypertrophy, effusion, and inflammatory activity [10,11]. Power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS) in particular detects synovial perfusion in the inflamed joints, and a decrease in composite power Doppler signal score in response to treatment, correlates significantly with DAS28 score and with CRP and ESR [10,12]; PDUS is also a useful tool in monitoring patients under TNF antagonist therapy and to PDUS findings have a predictive value in radiographic outcomes [13,14]. TCZ seems to have positive effects on the pain and joints symptomatology, however this has not been demonstrated in literature yet.

The aim of our study has been in order to evaluate the response to TCZ treatment in RA patients, not responders to previous DMARDs, nor to anti-TNF, anti-CD20, and anti- CTLA4 therapy. We also evaluated laboratory parameters: lipidic pattern (total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides), haemoglobin, disability, quality of life and ultrasonography analysing synovitis and PD signal.

**Material and Methods**

This prospective monocentric study was conducted at the Rheumatology Unit of the Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria of Turin, Italy. All patients signed an informed consent form before the inclusion in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Local Ethical Committee, and data collection and analysis were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

29 patients with RA (24 women, 5 men) diagnosed according to the American College Rheumatology 2010 Criteria [15], who were refractory to DMARDs, including TNF inhibitors, anti-CD20 and anti- CTLA4, were enrolled in the study. The mean age of RA patients was 48.7 years (SD ± 8.79). The mean disease duration was 5.9 years (SD ± 2.33) and the mean of Body Mass Index (BMI) was 23.6 (SD ± 2.12). Substantial comorbidity was found in six patients; essential arterial hypertension in four patients, nephrolithiasis in two patients and osteoporosis secondary to long standing glucocorticoids (GC) therapy in two patients. They were treated with TCZ 162 mg weekly, MTX 10-15 mg weekly and low dose prednisone daily (5-7.5 mg).

The patients underwent clinical (DAS28, CDAI, VAS Pain, HAQ, SF-36, FACIT Fatigue) laboratory (ESR, CRP, Hg, TG, Chol TOT, Chol HDL), and PDUS evaluation at baseline, 1, 3, 6 months. At each visit, patients were evaluated clinically by the same physicians who assessed 28 joints (the bilateral glenohumeral, elbow, and wrist joints, metacarpophalangeal joints, proximal interphalangeal joints of the fingers, and knee joints) for tenderness and swelling. The VAS (100 mm visual analog scale for patient’s general assessment) was rated individually for each patient. Efficacy was evaluated based on disease activity and ultrasonographic manifestations of synovitis in the examined joints. The disease activity scores and measurements used were the Disease Activity Score 28, using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR), Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI), C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and visual analog scale (VAS). High-frequency ultrason and power Doppler imaging were performed with an Echographe Esaote® MyLab70. Of the 28 joints, 24 (excluding bilateral glenohumeral and elbow joints) were assessed by PDUS and were scanned by high-frequency ultrasound linear array transducers (6-18 MHz).

The images were evaluated for synovial inflammation in the transverse plane and were semi quantitatively assigned a synovitis activity score of grade 0 to grade 3 by a single physician. PDUS was performed by two well-trained rheumatologists: one scanned target joints to obtain images, and both agreed on the assessment of the PD score. The joints were scanned longitudinally and transversally from the dorsal view. PD imaging was performed by selecting a region of interest that included the bony margins and synovial site [16,17]. PD signals in each joint were graded on a semi quantitative scale of 0-3 according to OMERACT Criteria (0: absent, no synovial flow; 1: mild, single vessel signal or isolated signals; 2: moderate, confluent signals in less than half of the synovial area; 3: marked, signals in more than half of the synovial area), corresponding to the maximum score obtained from the synovial sites evaluated in each joint [10]. Total PD score was calculated as the sum of individual scores for each joint at each examination.

For calculating intra observer reliability, ultrasound investigators scored the PD signals of 50 images randomly selected from stored images and then evaluated again the same selected images arranged in a different order after an interval.

CDAI (Clinical Disease Activity Index): this index uses 28 joint counts, a 28 swollen joint count and a 28 tender joint count but acute phase reactants are not integrated. All of these indices (CDAI, DAS28) include a patient self-report measure. In CDAI is defined as “patient global assessment of disease activity” (PGA) that includes several aspects of health outcomes – that is, also those not or not directly related to disease activity. CDAI include the “evaluator global assessment of disease activity” in addition to the PGA. While the PGA is a subjective measure, the evaluator
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global integrates subjective and objective measures that are obtained and available to the evaluator [18]. The Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI) was used for assessment of physical function. HAQ-DI assesses the ability to do daily activities by use of 20 questions in eight domains; the final HAQ score is the mean of the highest scores across the eight domains and ranges from 0 to 3, with higher levels reflecting greater disability [19].

SF-36 consists of 36 items and eight scales, from which two summary scores can be extracted: the physical component and the mental components scores. The scores range from 0 to 100 (higher scores indicating better health) [20]. The FACIT-Fatigue scale ranges from 0 to 100 (higher scores indicating better health) [20]. The FACIT-Fatigue scale ranges from 0 to 100 (higher scores indicating better health) [20].

Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form General Health Survey (SF-36), completed at baseline and weeks 24 and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT-I)-Fatigue assessment, done at baseline and every 4-12-24 weeks, were used to assess health-related quality of life. The aim of this study is to evaluate both clinically, serologically and with ultrasonography the response to treatment with Tocilizumab in patients with RA refractory to DMARDS and other previous biological treatment.

### Statistical Analysis

The data are reported as mean ± SD. The paired t-test and Mann-Whitney test were used to test for differences. Correlations between each of the clinical, laboratory, and ultrasound parameters were obtained by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Intra observer reliability for the PD score of each joint was estimated by calculating the intra correlation coefficient (ICC). SPSS® version 17.0 and Microsoft® Office Excel 2007 were used for all analyses.

### Results

We observed a rapid, statistically significant improvement of both clinical and laboratoristic parameters.

All patients were on treatment with low-medium dose of Corticosteroids (Prednisone- equivalent 5-7.5 mg/day) and Methotrexate (MTX) 10-15 mg/week until the end of the study. After the TCZ treatment, the mean DAS28-ESR decreased from 6.41 at baseline to 2.33 (p<0.001), and the efficacy has been maintained during this period of TCZ treatment. A more detailed analysis of the changes in individual patients following reinstitution of TCZ treatment is shown in Fig.1 and 2. The mean grade of synovitis of affected joints activity decreased in all patients receiving TCZ treatment (Δ-6.80 p<0.05). DAS28 decreased rapidly with TCZ therapy (Δ-3,10 p 0.001).

Rapid and sustained improvements in the acute phase response markers as CRP, as well as in haemoglobin, were seen

### Table 1: Baseline and Follow up data.

| Parameters | Baseline | After 1 Month | After 3 Months | After 6 Months | P Value |
|------------|----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| DAS28      | 6.41 ± 0.79 | 5.12 ± 0.69  | 4.35 ± 0.72   | 3.33 ± 0.58   | 0.001  |
| CDAI       | 29.90 ± 6.45 | 21.00 ± 6.45 | 15.2 ± 5.92   | 10.5 ± 4.30   | 0.032  |
| VAS Dolore | 8.00 ± 0.41  | 5.95 ± 0.55   | 4.80 ± 0.59   | 3.85 ± 0.67   | 0.002  |
| GH         | 70 ± 6.24    | 63.00 ± 4.22  | 57.50 ± 3.53  | 48.5 ± 4.12   | 0.044  |
| CRP        | 6.78 ± 2.83  | 3.23 ± 1.14   | 1.82 ± 0.76   | 1.93 ± 0.64   | 0.001  |
| HAQ        | 0.94 ± 0.15  | 0.89 ± 0.12   | 0.82 ± 0.13   | 0.78 ± 0.11   | 0.051  |
| FACIT      | 26.8 ± 2.66  | 22.5 ± 2.12   | 18.9 ± 2.23   | 15.8 ± 3.33   | 0.034  |
| PD         | 10.9 ± 1.37  | 8.4 ± 1.26    | 6 ± 1.15      | 4.1 ± 0.87    | 0.011  |
| Hg         | 13.36 ± 0.83 | 13.93 ± 0.50  | 14.3 ± 0.45   | 14.76 ± 0.48  | 0.046  |
| Tot Chol   | 192.9 ± 14.15 | 207.3 ± 15.83 | 208.7 ± 14.82 | 211.4 ± 12.83 | 0.165  |
| HDL Chol   | 54.1 ± 9.82  | 58.1 ± 9.12   | 59.1 ± 9.30   | 59.9 ± 7.44   | 0.645  |
| TG         | 111 ± 42.15  | 207.3 ± 38.45 | 127.1 ± 32.93 | 136.5 ± 30.14 | 0.977  |

DAS28: Disease Activity Score; CDAI: Clinical Disease Activity Index; VAS: Visual Analogic Scale Pain; GH: Global Health; HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; FACIT; PD: Power Doppler; Hg: Haemoglobin; tot Cholesterol; HDL Cholesterol; TG triglycerides
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Mean plasma concentrations of total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and triglycerides raised minimal during the follow up period (Table 1: Tot Chol Δ+18.50 p 0.165, HDL Chol Δ +5.80 p 0.645, Tg Δ+25.10 p 0.977).

Although transient or recurrent increase in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) above the upper limit of normal (ULN; 55 U/l) were common as patients were also receiving MTX, no patients developed evidence of methotrexate hepatotoxicity. No patient had both a greater than three times ULN increase in ALT and AST and a clinically relevant increase in total bilirubin and there were no cases of hepatitis or clinically significant hepatic dysfunction.

The self patient reported outcome indicated that the strongest effects had already appeared within the first 4 weeks of treatment in pain, morning stiffness and fatigue. There were greater improvements in physical function, as showed in HAQ-DI (Δ-0.155 p 0.051), in physical functioning and vitality as well as social functioning and mental health in SF-36 (Physical Score Index PSI Δ+6.60 – Mental Score Index MSI Δ+16.10) and FACIT fatigue (Δ-11 p 0.034) from baseline, with TCZ treatment (Tables 1-3). Fatigue in particular – a major debilitating factor in RA – was significantly reduced from baseline after TCZ therapy (Table 1 & Figure 8).

Although some patients have been previously treated with other Biologic Drugs at least two years, our data evidenced the rapid positivity response and good efficacy of Tocilizumab after 3 months, confirmed after 6 (Figure 1-3).

During the study, there were no reported cardiovascular events.

No cases of tuberculosis or infections occurred during the study period.

All patients completed the study without any severe adverse effects.

Discussion

In according to TAMARA Study [5], our study suggest that TCZ lead to a rapid improvement of clinical, laboratoristic and instrumental parameters in a patients cohort already treated with other previous DMARDs and other previous biological drugs, underling that this pathology is most likely characterized by many different pathogenic mechanisms. It’s important to stress that an early treatment with a consequent early improvement in disease activity, lead the patient to a more stable and enduring control, as shown by other studies [8,17,22], obtaining a better result also for radiological damage progression. However, the ultrasound images taken before TCZ treatment was reinstituted showed clear signs of moderate to severe synovitis. After treatment with TCZ, most of these signs had disappeared, and those that remained were limited to few spots (Figure 4).

Intra observer reliability

The intra observer ICC for PD signals of each joint was 0.99 (95% confidence interval [95% CI] 0.98-0.99).

Cardiovascular risk and lipidic pattern

Low haemoglobin concentrations are indicative of severe chronic inflammation and are reported in 30-40% of patients with RA [3], therefore in clinical practice an increase of serum Haemoglobin, as shown in the population of this study, is considered to be a marker of reduction of the systemic inflammatory process.
Since patients with active RA have higher levels of thrombogenic and inflammatory factors, greater oxidative stress, vascular dysfunction and blood pressure, the reduced cardiovascular (CV) risk associated with the use of biological therapy, as TNF inhibitors, is well-known [24,25]. Today there are few significant data on CV risk associated with TCZ. Patients with active RA consistently demonstrate reduced HDL-cholesterol levels and higher Lp(a), and often a higher total cholesterol: HDL-cholesterol ratio [26], suggesting a greater and more consistent reduction in HDL-cholesterol. However, some studies also report lower total and LDL-cholesterol levels in RA [27-29].

Table 2: Baseline and Follow up Data of Short Form General Health Survey.

| SF-36 Overall Data |
|--------------------|
| PSI 0  | MSI 0  | PSI 6  | MSI 6  | Δ PSI  | Δ MSI  |
| Mean  | 33,10  | 33,50  | 39,70  | 46,60  | 6,60   | 13,10  |
| St Dev | 3,93   | 3,95   | 3,65   | 3,95   | 3,78   | 4,79   |

Table 3: Baseline and Follow up Data of Short Form General Health Survey.

| SF-36 Bias From The Italian Population |
|---------------------------------------|
| PSI  0  | MSI  0  | PSI  6  | MSI  6  | Δ PSI  | Δ MSI  |
| Mean  | -1,69  | -1,65  | -1,03  | -0,34  | 0,66   | 1,31   |
| St Dev | 0,39   | 0,40   | 0,37   | 0,39   | 0,38   | 0,48   |
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Reduced HDL-cholesterol and elevated \( \text{Lp(a)} \) correlate with elevated serum CRP levels and thus inflammatory activity in RA [30]. Interestingly, inflammatory activation may also drive lower total and LDL-cholesterol levels in RA [29-30]. Similarly to other chronic inflammatory clinical conditions, also in RA, HDL cholesterol and total cholesterol levels are often reduced; these changes are frequently in line with inflammatory marker elevations. In humans several inflammatory reactive cytokines are known to reduce both HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels; activation of the reticuloendothelial system is likely associated with such changes, but other mechanisms may be involved [29,31,32].

Consequently, inflammation reduction after pharmacological treatments (DMARDs, biologic therapy) may increase lipid levels (not only HDL, but also total and LDL cholesterol). Therefore, changes in lipid profiles, particularly the elevation in cholesterol and triglycerides levels that occur with treatments for severe inflammation, may not represent increased cardiovascular risk as in the usual understanding of lipid-level elevations in individuals without significant inflammation [33-35]. Rather, such changes in lipid levels, in part or largely, may represent a predictable response to attenuation of inflammation. Finally, in clinical practice, the current advice on the use of lipid profiles in any patient with RA is [33] to measure both total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol and to use the total cholesterol: HDL cholesterol ratio in conjunction with other established risk factors for the calculation of absolute CV risk, and [27] to treat accordingly when the absolute CV risk is high [29].

Interestingly, increases from lower than normal base line lipid concentrations have been observed with other efficacious therapies including TNF inhibitors [34,35]. Some studies, based on reduction of inflammatory response in RA, have shown decreased rates of cardiovascular events, despite the increase in lipid concentration [36,37].

Increase in total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in this study were low previous reports [22,38,39] and were associated with marked decreases in CRP [40,41]. Considering the recognised higher incidence of cardiovascular mortality in RA patients [30,34,42], traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including dyslipidemia, are not necessarily predictive of events for patients with RA [43].

Disability and quality of life

Few studies reported the impact of biotherapies on fatigue. The effect of biotherapies on fatigue in RA is poor [44]. Data from recent trials of biological agents demonstrate that these agents can reverse disease-related decrements in productivity and limitations in participation in family, social and leisure activities in patients with active RA. Furthermore, as reflected by the HAQ (Table 1 & Figure 6) and SF-36 (Table 2,3 & Figure 7), the quality of life also improved (Figure 6 & 7). Our study has some limitations: (Table 1 & Figure 6) and SF-36 (Table 2,3 & Figure 7), the quality of life also improved (Figure 6 & 7). Our study has some limitations:

Conclusions

Our study confirms the rapid response and the effectiveness of TCZ to treating inflammation in RA patients, both with clinical, serological and ultrasound evaluation. Therefore, PUSU allow a more accurate evaluation of treatment response and a more complete follow-up, especially because is more precise and objective than clinimetric index in joint damage prediction.

References

1. Gabriel SE (2001) The epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 27(2): 269-281.
2. Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Koehler M, Weisman MH, Emery P (2007) New therapies for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 370(9602): 1861-1874.
3. Firestein GS (2003) Evolving concepts of rheumatoid arthritis. Nature 423(6937): 35-6-361.
4. Smolen JS, Beaulieu A, Rubbert-Roth A, Ramos-Remus C, Rovensky J, et al. (2008) OPTION Investigators. Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (OPTION study): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. Lancet 371(9617): 987-997.
5. Burmester GR, Feist E, Kellner H, Braun J, Ikingslon-Kontsert C, et al. (2011) Effectiveness and safety of the interleukin-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab after 4 and 24 weeks in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: the first phase IIIb real-life study (TAMARA). Ann Rheum Dis 70(5): 755-759.
6. Emery P, Keystone E, Tony HP, Cantagrel A, van Vollenhoven R, et al. (2008) IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 67(11): 1516-1523.
7. Fleischmann RM, Burgos-Vargas R, Ambos P Lithae (2009) Tocilizumab inhibits radiographic progression and improves physical function in Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients (Pts) at 2 yrs with increasing clinical efficacy over time. Abstract 637. Oral presentation at American College of Rheumatology.
8. Nishimoto N, Hashimoto J, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, et al. (2007) Study of active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, an IL-6 inhibitor (SAMURAI): evidence of clinical and radiographic benefit from an x-ray reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab. Ann Rheum Dis 66(9): 1162-1167.
9. Prevoo ML, van’t Hof MA, Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van de Putte LB, et al. (1995) Modified disease activity scores that include twenty-eight-joints counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatism 38(1): 44-48.
10. Naredo E, Bonilla G, Gamero F, Uso N, Carmona L, et al. (2005) Assessment of inflammatory activity in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparative study of clinical evaluation with grey scale and power Doppler ultrasonography. Ann Rheum Dis 64(3): 375-381.
11. Kane D, Balint PV, Garrick DG (2003) Ultrasound is superior to clinical examination in the detection and localization of knee joint effusion in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol30(5): 966-971.
12. Hameed B, Pilcher J, Heron C, Kiely PD (2008) The relation between composite ultrasound measures and the DAS28 score, its components and acute phase markers in adult RA. Rheumatology (Oxford) 47(4): 476-480.

Citation: Parisi S, Priora M, Scarati M, Ditto MC, Peroni CL, et al. (2017) Efficacy and Rapid Response of Tocilizumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients not Responder to Previous Biologic Therapy. MOJ Orthop Rheumatol 8(3): 00317. DOI: 10.15406/mojor.2017.08.00317
Efficacy and Rapid Response of Tocilizumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients not Responder to Previous Biologic Therapy

13. Iagnocco A, Perella C, Naredo E, Meenagh G, Cacciarelli F, et al. (2008) Etanercept in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: clinical follow-up over one year by ultrasonography. Clin Rheumatol 27(4): 491-496.

14. Terslev L, Torp-Pedersen S, Quistgaard E, Kristoffersen H, Ragnid H, et al. (2003) Effects of treatment with etanercept (Enbrel, TNRF:Fc) on rheumatoid arthritis evaluated by Doppler ultrasonography. Ann Rheum Dis 62(2): 178-181.

15. Aletha D, Neogi T, Silman A, Fourniots J, Felson DT, et al. (2010) 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an America College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Ann Rheum Dis 69(9): 1580-1588.

16. Wakefield RJ, Balint PV, Szudakarek M, Filippucci E, Backhaus M, et al. (2005) Musculoskeletal ultrasound including definitions for ultrasonographic pathology. J Rheumatol 32(12): 2485-2487.

17. Backhaus M, Burmester GR, Gerber T, Gräsi W, Machold KP, et al. (2001) Guidelines for musculoskeletal ultrasound in rheumatology. Ann Rheum Dis 60(7): 641-649.

18. Aletha D, Smolen J (2005) The Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) and the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI): a review of their usefulness and validity in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 23(3 Suppl 39): S100-S108.

19. Bruce B, Fries JF (2003) The Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire: a review of its history, issues, progress, and documentation. J Rheumatol 30(1): 167-178.

20. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD (1992) The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 30(6): 473-483.

21. Cella D, Lai JS, Chang CH, Peterman A, Slavin M (2002) Fatigue in cancer patients compared with fatigue in the General United States population. Cancer 94(2): 528-538.

22. Cella D, Yount S, Sørensen M, Chartash F, Sengupta N, et al. (2005) Validation of the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue Scale relative to other instrument in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 32(5): 811-819.

23. Al-Aly Z, Pan H, Zeringue A, Xian H, McDonald JR, et al. (2011) Fatigue Scale relative to other instrumentation in patients with refractory rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 38(5): 1071-1078.

24. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD (1992) The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 30(6): 473-483.

25. Stampfer MJ, Sacks FM, Salmini S, Willett WC, Hennekens CH (1991) A prospective study of cholesterol, apolipoproteins, and the risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 325(6): 373-381.

26. Situnayake RD, Kitas G (1997) Dyslipidemia and rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 56: 341-342.

27. Park YB, Lee SK, Suk CH, Lee CH, Lee WK, et al. (1999) Lipid profiles in untreated patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 26(8): 1701-1704.

28. Choy E, Sattar N (2009) Interpreting lipid levels in the context of high-grade inflammatory states with a focus on rheumatoid arthritis: a challenge to conventional cardiovascular risk actions. Ann Rheum Dis 68(4): 460-469.

29. Dursunoglu D, Evrengul H, Polat B, Tanriverdi H, Cobanluma V, et al. (2005) Lip(a)lipoprotein and lipids in patients with rheumatoid arthritis serum levels and relationship to inflammation. Rheumatol Int 25(4): 241-245.

30. Vermont CL, den Brinker M, Kakeci N, de Klein ED, de Bijke YB, et al. (2005) Serum lipids and disease severity in children with severe meningococcal sepsis. Crit Care Med 33(7): 1610-1615.

31. Alexopoulos CG, Pournaras S, Vlaamantzas M, Avgerinos A, Raptis S, et al. (1992) Changes in serum lipids and lipoproteins in cancer patients during chemotherapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 30(5): 412-416.

32. Weinblatt ME, Kurtzky L (2007) RAPID: rheumatoid arthritis. J Fam Pract 56(4 Suppl): S1-57.

33. Sattar N, Crompton P, Cherry L, Kane D, Lowe G, et al. (2007) Effects of tumor necrosis factor blockade on cardiovascular risk factors in psoriatic arthritis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Arthritis Rheum 56(3): 831-839.

34. Allanore Y, Kahan A, Sellam J, Ekidijian OG, Borderie D, et al. (2006) Effects of repeated infliximab therapy on serum lipid profile in patients with refractory rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Chim Acta 365(1-2): 145-148.

35. Choi HK, Hernan MA, Seeger JD, Robins JM, Wolfe F, et al. (2002) Methotrexate and mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective study. Lancet 359(9513): 1172-1177.

36. Wolfe F, Michaud K (2004) Heart failure in rheumatoid arthritis:predictors, and the effect of anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy. Am J Med 116(5): 305-311.

37. Maini RN, Taylor PC, Szechinski J, Pavloka K, Broż J, et al. (2006) Double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial of the interleukin-6 receptor antagonist, tocilizumab, in European patients with rheumatoid arthritis who had an incomplete response to methotrexate. Arthritis Rheum 54(9): 2817-2829.

38. Smolen JS, Beaulieu A, Rupert-Roth A, Rosamos-Ruis C, Rovensky J, et al. (2008) Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (OPTION study): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. Lancet 371(9617): 987-997.

39. Nishimoto N, Yoshikazi M, Miyazaka, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, et al. (2004) Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with humanized anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody: a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 50(6): 1761-1769.

40. Dessein PH, Stanwix AE, Joffe BI (2002) Cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis versus osteoarthritis: acute phase response related decreased insulin sensitivity and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol as well as clustering of metabolic syndrome features in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res 4(5): R5.

41. Situnayake RD, Kitas G (1997) Dyslipidemia, Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 56: 341-342.

42. Chen YF, Jobaputra P, Barton P, Jovett S, Bryan S, et al. (2006) A systematic review of the effectiveness of adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in adults and an economic evaluation of their cost-effectiveness. Health Technol Assess 10: 1-245.

43. Lemnert T, H Jacobsson, Carl Turesson, Jan-Ake Nilsson, Ingemar F Petersson, Elisabet Lindqvist, et al. (2007) Treatment with TNF blockers and mortality risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 66(5): 670-675.

44. Chauffier K, Salliot C, Berenbaum F, Sellam J (2011) Effect of biotherapies on fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. Rheumatology (Oxford).