Polypharmacology of Berberine Based on Multi-Target Binding Motifs
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Background: Polypharmacology is emerging as the next paradigm in drug discovery. However, considerable challenges still exist for polypharmacology modeling. In this study, we developed a rational design to identify highly potential targets (HPTs) for polypharmacological drugs, such as berberine.

Methods and Results: All the proven co-crystal structures locate berberine in the active cavities of a redundancy of aromatic, aliphatic, and acidic residues. The side chains from residues provide hydrophobic and electronic interactions to aid in neutralization for the positive charge of berberine. Accordingly, we generated multi-target binding motifs (MBM) for berberine, and established a new mathematical model to identify HPTs based on MBM. Remarkably, the berberine MBM was embodied in 13 HPTs, including beta-secretase 1 (BACE1) and amyloid-beta42 (Aβ1–42). Further study indicated that berberine acted as a high-affinity BACE1 inhibitor and prevented Aβ1–42 aggregation to delay the pathological process of Alzheimer’s disease.

Conclusion: Here, we proposed a MBM-based drug-target space model to analyze the underlying mechanism of multi-target drugs against polypharmacological profiles, and demonstrated the role of berberine in Alzheimer’s disease. This approach can be useful in derivation of rules, which will illuminate our understanding of drug action in diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Recently, it has been appreciated that single drug acts on multiple rather than single targets, coined as polypharmacology, which opens a new avenue for drug discovery and development (Anighoro et al., 2014; Rastelli and Pinzi, 2015). Current studies in this emerging field focus on two major aspects: (i) polypharmacology across multiple disease-relevant targets can improve therapeutic efficacy (Monteleone et al., 2017); (ii) unintended drug-target interactions can lead to side effects (Peters, 2013). The versatile and promising scaffolds targeting protein–protein interactions offers opportunities for therapeutic intervention for the treatment of human diseases (Liu L.J. et al., 2017; Wang K. et al., 2017). Recent progress in protein labeling technology has enabled the development of probes for the determination of various biological molecules (Kang et al., 2017;
Liu J.B. et al., 2017; Vellaisamy et al., 2018). Despite advances in these areas, considerable challenges still exist for polypharmacology modeling. The underlying assumption of the rational design will result from a detailed analysis of the nature of drug-target interactions for the proven effective and safe polypharmacological drugs, such as berberine (Wang W. et al., 2017).

Berberine is a natural isoquinoline alkaloid presented in various medicinal plants, including Berberis vulgaris (Barberry), Coptis chinensis (Coptis), and Hydrastis canadensis (Goldenseal) (Samanani et al., 2005). In traditional medicine, berberine has been widely used to treat diarrhea for a long history (Taylor and Greenough, 1989). Recently, berberine was reported to exhibit favorable therapeutic effects on infection (Chu et al., 2016), cancer (La et al., 2017), diabetes (Gong et al., 2017), obesity (Hvistendahl, 2012), hyperlipidemia (Kong et al., 2004), cardiovascular disease (Tan et al., 2016) and neurodegenerative disorders (Ahmed et al., 2015). The clinical efficacy of berberine is mediated by interacting with a mixture of biological pathways, which are involved in metabolism (Moghaddam et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), transmission of signals (Chen et al., 2017; Spatuzza et al., 2014; Yue et al., 2017) and regulation of gene expression (Bhadra and Kumar, 2011). Increasing evidences indicate that berberine can act on a diverse range of molecular targets by binding to the active cavities that are to have certain structural and physiochemical properties, such as QacR (Schumacher et al., 2001), BmrR (Newberry et al., 2008), RamR (Yamasaki et al., 2013), phospholipase A2 (PLA2) (Chandra et al., 2012) and double helix DNA d(CGTACG)2 (Ferraroni et al., 2011). According to the crystal structures of these targets in complex with berberine, berberine binds highly site-specifically by interacting with residues flanking a hydrophobic groove in the pocket. Here, we analyzed and generated a MBM model for berberine to identify HPTs that tend to be nodes positioned in the ‘Goldilocks’ region of biological networks. These findings will provide new insights into the underlying mechanisms of berberine against polypharmacological profiles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Computational Screening
All computational screening methods were performed using the Discovery Studio 2017R2 (DS; BIOVIA-Dassault Systèmes) running on Windows 8.1 operating system in a machine with an Intel® Xeon® E5-2699 v3 2.30 GHz octadeca core processor.

Target Fishing of Potential Targets
The features of a pharmacophore model reflect the drug-target interaction mode. By fitting berberine against a panel of pharmacophore models, the potential targets for berberine were picked out by employing the Ligand Profiler protocol of DS, which is equipped with two available pharmacophore databases, i.e., PharmaDB and HypoDB. Literature retrieval was simultaneously carried out to refine and supplement the profiling results.

Generation of Multi-Target Binding Motifs (MBM)
The three-dimensional crystal structures of the proven targets for berberine were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank1, including QacR (PDB ID: 1JUM), BmrR (PDB ID: 3D6Y), RamR (PDB ID: 3VW2), PLA2 (PDB ID: 2QVD), and double helix DNA d(CGTACG)2 (PDB ID: 3NP6). The structures were prepared and optimized using the Prepare Protein and Minimization protocols of DS (Chu et al., 2018). After optimization, the binding-site spheres were subsequently defined around the location of berberine. Based on the steric and electronic features in the binding sites of multiple targets with berberine, the MBM was generated using the Receptor-Ligand Pharmacophore Generation module in DS.

MBM-Based Screening
The MBM-based screening starts with the analysis of potential targets by the DS package. The optimized berberine was docked into the refined potential targets using LigandFit. The LigandScore can define the binding sites, generate ligand conformations, dock each conformation, save the top docked structures, and apply scoring function to each docked structure for the best binding mode (Chu et al., 2016). The pharmacophore models were subsequently generated using the Receptor-Ligand Pharmacophore Generation module. The binding potential (BP) was calculated using the following equation: $BP = n^\alpha \times RMSD^\beta$, where $BP$ is also equal to the one-$K_D$-minus-$\kappa$ power of ten, $n$ is the number of pharmacophore features matched with MBM, $RMSD$ is the average distance in three-dimensional structure between pharmacophore and MBM. The values of $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\kappa$ were determined as 2.599, −5.234, and −5.905, respectively, which was simulated from a series of proven $K_D$ values of berberine. The fit value is a combined measure of the similarity between pharmacophore and MBM, and computed by the following equation.

$$Fit\ value = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\log(BP/BP_0)}}$$

Here, $BP_0$ was taken as the minimum $BP$ of proven targets, i.e., BmrR with a value of 49.243. The fit value of BmrR ($Fit\ value = 0.5$) was used as a predetermined threshold to select HPTs of berberine from the potential pharmacophores.

Molecular Dynamics Simulation
The selected poses of berberine with BACE1 (5ENM) and Aβ1−42 (2NAO) were subjected to 10 ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using DS. The stability of the complex was analyzed and confirmed by plotting root mean square deviation (RMSD). The RMSD is a measure of the deviation of the conformational stability of the proteins from backbone structure to the early starting structure and fundamental property investigation in MD. The binding energy of the stable complex was calculated using force field CHARMM which showed the sum of electrostatic and van de Waals interaction terms.
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SPR Analysis

The interaction of berberine (Sigma) with BACE1 (Sigma) and Aβ1−42 (Sigma) was analyzed by SPR spectroscopy with a Biacore T200 biosensor instrument (GE Healthcare) (Yamasaki et al., 2013). Each target was immobilized onto flow cells in a CM5 chip using an amine-coupling method. Binding analyses were carried out at 25°C and a flow rate of 30 µl min⁻¹. Berberine in running buffer (1 × PBS, 3 mM DTT and 5% dimethyl sulfoxide, pH = 7.4) was run over each target at gradient concentrations as indicated. An empty flow cell, without any immobilized protein, was used as a reference. The binding curves were analyzed using the steady-state affinity analysis supplied with the BIA evaluation software (GE Healthcare).

BACE1 Activity Assay

The inhibitory property of berberine on BACE1 was evaluated by a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay (Sigma) (Kennedy et al., 2016). Briefly, BACE1 enzyme and substrate were diluted in a Fluorescent Assay Buffer (Sigma) to produce 10× working solutions. Berberine was diluted into different concentrations in deionized water. This assay was performed in 96-well microplates using 100 µl, which comprised of 20 µl substrate solution, 2 µl BACE1 enzyme solution, and 5 µl berberine solution. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h in the dark at 37°C. The product fluorescence before and after the reaction was measured by a POLARstar Omega multimode microplate spectrophotometer (BMG LABTECH) using 320 nm excitation and 405 nm emission wavelengths. The percentage of inhibition was calculated using the following equation: \[ \text{Inhibition} = \frac{(S - S_0)/(C - C_0))}{(1 - (S - S_0)/(C - C_0))} \times 100 \], where S and C represent the fluorescence intensities in the presence and absence of berberine, respectively. Inhibition curves were created by graphing the percentage of inhibition versus the inhibitor concentration using linear regression.

Aβ1−42 Aggregation Assay

Aβ1−42 was initially dissolved in HFIP (Sigma), evaporated, and re-dissolved in DMSO (Sigma) to a final concentration of 5 mM. To examine the effect of berberine on Aβ1−42 aggregation, peptide solutions containing Aβ1−42 monomers (10 µM) with and without berberine were incubated at 37°C for 48 h.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

The morphological changes of Aβ1−42 aggregation in the presence and absence of berberine were characterized by TEM using a JEM-1011 transmission microscope (JOEL, Tokyo, Japan). Each sample was spotted onto glow-discharged, formvar-coated 300 mesh copper grids (Ted Pella Inc.), incubated for 1 min, dried, and then negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate (Chu et al., 2016).

ThT Fluorescence Assay

The aggregation kinetics of Aβ1−42 were monitored by incorporation of ThT. ThT solution was diluted in phosphate buffer (10 mM phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH = 7.0) to a final concentration of 20 µM. The fluorescence intensity for 20 µM Aβ1−42 incubated with and without berberine was measured at 37°C using a POLARstar Omega multimode microplate spectrophotometer (BMG LABTECH) under kinetic fluorometric mode. Measurements were carried out at an excitation wavelength of 450 nm and an emission of 485 nm. To account for background fluorescence, ThT intensity from solution without Aβ1−42 was subtracted from solution containing Aβ1−42. Raw data were fitted using BMG LABTECH’s MARS Data Analysis Software.

Animals and Treatment

Thirty 120-day male APP/PS1 transgenic mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, United States). All mice were housed at 25°C under a 12-h light-dark cycle and given free access to standard laboratory food and water. The mice were randomly assigned into three groups: control group (n = 10), 50 mg/kg berberine (n = 10) and 100 mg/kg berberine (n = 10). Berberine was administrated to these mice in their drinking water for 4 months, starting from 4 months of age just before they developed cognitive impairment and key pathologic features. The dose of berberine was chosen according to previous studies with no gender differences (Kong et al., 2004). All experiments were conducted in compliance with the Animal Care and Institutional Ethical Guidelines and approved by the Biomedical Ethical Committee of Peking University.

Morris Water Maze Test

All mice at 8 months of age were subjected to the Morris water maze task for 5 days for evaluation on their learning and memory abilities (Vorhees and Williams, 2006). The apparatus consisted of a circular white metal pool (160 cm in diameter and 50 cm in height) filled with 26 cm deep water at constant temperature (22 ± 1°C) throughout the experiment. The water pool was divided into four quadrants by the water maze software and had a translucent acrylic platform (12 cm in diameter and 24 cm in height) placed in the center of the northwest quadrant and 1.0–2.0 cm below the water surface. The acquisition trial for the mice was the last training trials to find the hidden platform at a target quadrant. The escape latency was recorded by the finding-platform time. At day 5, the mice were subjected to the probe trial to access spatial memory. The time in the target quadrant and crossing the former platform area were recorded for testing spatial memory.

Immunohistochemistry

Five-micrometer-thick sagittal paraffin sections of mouse brain were mounted on glass slides. The tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated through graded ethanol washes. Antigen retrieval was conducted in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH = 6.0) and boiled for 2 min. The slides were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min, and then blocked by 10% normal goat serum for 10 min at room temperature. After removing excess blocking buffer, indirect immunohistochemical staining was performed with anti-Aβ1−42 antibody (1:100, Covance Research Products, Berkeley, CA, United States) at 37°C for 1 h, washed thoroughly, and then incubated with biotinylated...
FIGURE 1 | The physicochemical properties of multi-target binding pockets with berberine. Berberine is shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen and nitrogen colored gray, red and blue, respectively. (A) The substrate-binding sites of QacR, PLα2, BmrR, RamR, and d(CGTACG)$_2$ DNA in complex with berberine. Two binding sites appeared in RamR, including site I and site II. (B) The chemical properties of multi-target binding pockets in complex with berberine. The surfaces are shown in gradient colors, where electropositivity is colored blue, and electronegativity is colored red. The key anions are labeled and depicted as sticks. (C) The physical properties of multi-target binding pockets in complex with berberine. The surfaces are shown in gradient colors, where hydrophobia is colored brown, and hydrophile is colored blue.
**FIGURE 2** | The MBM model of berberine. The berberine and key residues are shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen and nitrogen colored gray, red, and blue. Electrostatic interactions are shown as dashed lines with π–π, π–alkyl and hydrogen bonds colored purple, pink, and green, respectively. (A) The binding motifs of berberine within QacR, PLA2, BmrR, RamR, and d(CGTACG)2 DNA. Two binding sites appeared in RamR, including site I and site II. Secondary structural elements are depicted as ribbons (coils, α-helices; arrows, β-sheets). Color is based on secondary structures (α-helices, red; β-sheets, skyblue; loops, green). (B) The molecular interactions of berberine with surrounding residues. Hydrophobic, acidic and excluded residues are colored brown, red and blue, respectively. (C) The multi-target pharmacophore models of berberine. The colored spheres identify the position and the type of binding features (aromatic rings, orange; hydrophobic portions, cyan; cations, red; anions, blue; hydrogen bond acceptors, green). (D) MBM model obtained for berberine. For clarity, the binding features are represented as circles. Color-coding is given as indicated.
anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:100, Dako, Denmark) at 37°C for 45 min. The slides were detected using diaminobenzidine.

**Statistical Analysis**
The results were conducted using Student’s t-test with SPSS 13.0 software (Chu et al., 2014). The data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Generation of a MBM Model**
Berberine is a natural isoquinoline alkaloid drawing increased attention for its favorable therapeutic effects on various diseases. The clinical efficacy of berberine is determined by its activity across multiple targets, including QacR, PLA2, BmrR, RamR, and d(CGTAACG)2 DNA. Since the co-crystal structures of these targets in complex with berberine have been reported in the PDB database, these targets are selected as proven targets to generate MBM model for berberine. Two binding sites obtained for berberine consist in RamR, including site I and site II (Figure 1A). The co-crystal structures locate berberine close to the negatively charged binding sites (Figure 1B). The distinguishing feature appears to be the presence of buried acidic residues, such as Glu, Asp, and nucleic acids, which provide electrostatic interactions with the positively buried acidic residues, such as Glu, Asp, and nucleic acids, providing electrostatic interactions with the positively charged nitrogen (N+) of berberine. Distances between the N+ of berberine and the key anions in QacR, PLA2, BmrR, RamR site I, RamR site II and d(CGTAACG)2 DNA are 4.29, 5.28, 4.88, 3.56, 3.75, and 3.79 Å, respectively (Figure 1B). Berberine has a large hydrophobic surface, which is ideal for interacting with the aromatic and aliphatic residues, constituting the hydrophobic pockets (Figure 1C). The binding pose of berberine and surrounding residues within the multi-target binding pockets are nearly identical (Figure 2A). The side chains from residues provide \( \pi-\pi \) and \( \pi \)-alkyl interactions to aid in electrostatic neutralization for the positive charge of berberine (Supplementary Figure S1). Molecular interactions reveal that berberine can establish strong attractive charge contacts with the hydrophobic moieties of neighboring residues within 7 Å (Figure 2B). Accordingly, pharmacophores for multiple targets were generated based on the atomic details of the binding features (Figure 2C). Through essential pharmacophore superimposition, a predetermined MBM model of berberine was developed for further target screening (Figure 2D).

**MBM-Based Screening for HPTs**
Multi-target binding motifs-based screening started with the choice of potential targets for berberine by target fishing with two available pharmacophore databases, i.e., PharmaDB and HypoDB. Literature retrieval was simultaneously carried out to refine and supplement the profiling results. A complete list of 86 potential targets involved with 38 diseases was summarized in the Supplementary Table S1. According to the MBM-based comparison function, a fit value equal to or greater than 0.50 was used as a heuristic threshold to select HPTs of berberine from the hit pharmacophores (Table 1). Among the 13 candidates, there are two HPTs associated with AD, including BACE1 and \( \text{A}_\beta_{42} \), which indicated that berberine tended to be beneficial for the patients with AD. To validate the stability of berberine with BACE1 (5ENM) and \( \text{A}_\beta_{42} \) (2NAO), we performed standardized MD simulations through Pipeline Pilot using the CHARMM component in DS. As shown in the Supplementary Figure S2, the most plausible models of berberine with BACE1 and \( \text{A}_\beta_{42} \) were stable.

| Target name | Gene name | PDB ID | Fit value | Reference |
|-------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| TGF-β1 receptor | TGFBR1 | 3T2M | 0.936114 | Ogunjimi et al., 2012 |
| \( \text{A}_\beta_{42} \) | APP | 2NAO | 0.906099 | Walti et al., 2016 |
| PksA | ailC | 3HRR | 0.818081 | Crawford et al., 2009 |
| BACE1 | BACE1 | 5ENM | 0.788156 | Wu et al., 2016 |
| MEK-1 | MAP2K1 | 3EQB | 0.744816 | Warmus et al., 2008 |
| BRAF | BRAF | 4E62 | 0.680164 | Qin et al., 2012 |
| CYP11A1 | CYP11A1 | 3MZZ | 0.677786 | Mast et al., 2011 |
| CK2 | CSNK2B | 20XD | 0.635842 | Battistutta et al., 2007 |
| PDE10A | PDE10A | 208H | 0.596286 | Chappie et al., 2007 |
| MD-2 | LY96 | 2E59 | 0.553963 | Ohno et al., 2007 |
| AMPK | PRKAA2 | 4ZHK | 0.535163 | Langendorff et al., 2016 |
| LXR | NR1H2 | 3KFC | 0.531021 | Bernotas et al., 2010 |
| HSP90 | HSP90AA1 | 2XDU | 0.502322 | Murray et al., 2010 |

**Identification of New Targets**
The Divine Farmer’s Classic of Materia Medica, finished in the Han Dynasty (206 BC-220 AD), documented that “Chinese goldthread can prevent the loss of memory with extended treatment,” which is described as an “upper herb.” The major active principle, i.e., berberine, has recently been demonstrated as an effective therapeutic remedy to prevent or delay the pathogenesis of AD. However, the underlying mechanisms of berberine against AD are poorly understood. According to MBM-based screening, BACE1 and \( \text{A}_\beta_{42} \) were predicted as HPTs for berberine, which lead to the aberrant production and aggregation of amyloid plaques in Alzheimer’s brains. Through application of molecular modeling, berberine was docked into the electronegative binding pocket of BACE1 (Supplementary Figure S3). The N+ of berberine engaged in electrostatic interactions with the key anion (Asp80) of BACE1, and the phenyl groups created \( \pi-\pi \) stacking with the Tyr119 active site residue (Figure 3A). A pharmacophore...
model was subsequently generated based on the interactions between berberine and BACE1 (Figure 3B). By fitting the pharmacophore against MBM, BACE1 was picked out as a HPT for berberine with a value of 0.788156 (Table 1). The complex of BACE1 with compound 10 (5ENM) was calculated using force field CHARMm which showed the binding energy to be $-110.2 \text{kcal/mol}$ (Wu et al., 2016). The docked complex of BACE1 with berberine was more structurally stable and energetically favorable than the compound 10, with the binding energy reaching to $-123.6 \text{kcal/mol}$. Furthermore, the binding affinity of berberine to BACE1 was tested by Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR). The equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_D$) was calculated from SPR data to be 1.261 $\mu\text{M}$ (Figure 3C). Moreover, we demonstrated that berberine effectively inhibited the activity of BACE1 with an $IC_{50}$ of 62.96 $\mu\text{M}$, thereby decreasing the generation of Aβ$_{1-42}$ (Figure 3D). Thus, BACE1 was identified as a new target of berberine.

With respect to Aβ$_{1-42}$, berberine was docked into a large hydrophobic surface of the oligomer (Supplementary Figure S4). Molecular modeling revealed that berberine interacted with the residues by establishing hydrophobic bonds with Phe$^{19}$ and Val$^{34}$ (Figure 4A). The binding motif of berberine in Aβ$_{1-42}$ was outlined from MBM-based screening with a fit value of 0.906099 (Figure 4B and Table 1). The docked complex of Aβ$_{1-42}$ with berberine was calculated using force field CHARMm which showed the binding energy to be $-73.6 \text{kcal/mol}$. Moreover, the SPR responses indicated that berberine bound to Aβ$_{1-42}$ oligomer with a $K_D$ value of 1.491 $\mu\text{M}$ (Figure 4C). The aggregation kinetics of Aβ$_{1-42}$ in the absence or presence of berberine were monitored by incorporation of Thioflavin T (ThT). ThT fluorescence intensity showed that berberine was able to inhibit the seeding capacity of Aβ$_{1-42}$ in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4D). Furthermore, transmission electron microscope (TEM) was employed to observe Aβ$_{1-42}$ aggregates. TEM image of Aβ$_{1-42}$ alone appeared characteristic fibrils after 48 h incubation at 37°C (Figure 4E). The fibrillization was disturbed when co-incubated with increasing concentration of berberine, indicating that Aβ$_{1-42}$ tended to be a new target of berberine (Figure 4E).

Furthermore, we studied the role of berberine in the prevention and treatment of AD. Spatial learning ability was observed on the basis of the time required to find the hidden platform. The berberine-treated APP/PS1 transgenic mice effectively improved their spatial learning ability in terms of daily escape latency through the 5-day consecutive training, compared with the control group (Figure 5A). However, no
FIGURE 4 | The action of berberine on Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> oligomer. (A) The binding motif of berberine within Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> oligomer. Secondary structures are depicted as ribbons (coils, α-helices; arrows, β-sheets). Color-coding is based on the secondary structures (α-helices, red; β-sheets, skyblue; loops, green). The berberine and key residues are shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen and nitrogen colored gray, red, and blue. Electrostatic interactions are shown as dashed lines with π-alkyl groups colored pink. (B) The pharmacophore model of berberine obtained for Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> oligomer. The colored spheres identify the position and the type of binding features (hydrophobic portions, cyan). (C) The binding of berberine to Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> oligomer. The association and dissociation of berberine with immobilized Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> oligomer was analyzed using SPR. (D) The inhibition of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> seeding capacity by berberine. The aggregation kinetics of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in the absence or presence of berberine were monitored by incorporation of ThT. Error bars represent the SD of eight replicates. (E) The TEM images of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> aggregation with or without berberine at 37°C for 48 h. The scale bars indicate 100 nm. Magnification: 50,000×.
FIGURE 5 | The neuroprotective properties of berberine in AD. Effect of berberine on spatial learning and memory of APP/PS1 mice were assessed by Morris water maze task. (A) The escape latency of mice were measured in 5-day training trials. Significant improvement in escape latency were observed in the berberine-treated mice ($p < 0.05$). (B) The swimming speed of mice during training trials. (C) The number of times crossing the target quadrant in both short-term memory and long-term memory. (D) The time of mice searching for the pre-placed platform in the target quadrant, in both short-term memory and long-term memory. Data shown are means ± SEM ($n = 10$). Significance was calculated by Student’s t-test. *$p < 0.05$. (E) Immunohistochemistry staining of the mice brain tissues with anti-Aβ1-42 antibody (4G8). Scale bar is 200 µm.

MBM-Based Drug-Target Space

Based on relationship between drugs and targets, we built a space model for drug-target network. In the drug-target space, MBM represents a two-dimensional plane across all targets for one drug, which reveals that (i) single drug against multiple targets; (ii) multiple drugs against single target (Figure 6A). The resulting interactomes will connect all drug targets into a highly interlinked space, with strong local clustering of targets for each drug based on MBM modeling. To study the space, we developed a novel approach on the basis of enormous information on drug-target interactions. The rational design...
strategy includes the choice of potential targets, the generation of a dedicated MBM model, the MBM-based screening of HPTs, and the identification of new targets (Figure 6B). The candidates lead continue in an iterative process of reentering MBM determination and reevaluation for further optimization (Figure 6B). Key principles in this emerging field were tested through integrating the network pharmacology for berberine based on MBM screening (Figure 6C). Overall, 13 HPTs were predicted, with 2 targets verified experimentally, including BACE1 and Aβ1–42 involved with AD (Table 1). Our results indicated that berberine was beneficial to decrease the generation of Aβ1–42 via inhibiting the enzymatic activity of BACE1, and disrupt the aggregation of Aβ1–42 into amyloid plaques (Figure 6D). Further studies on these HPTs will elucidate the underlying mechanism of berberine against polypharmacological profiles.

DISCUSSION

In drug discovery and development, the common analogy of drug action is that of a lock and key, with a correctly sized key (drug) fitting into the specific key hole (active cavity) of a lock (target). In recent years, it has been appreciated that a single drug can act as a ‘skeleton key’ that fits into multiple ‘locks’ of selective targets, coined as polypharmacology. Current studies in this emerging field focus on two major aspects, i.e., drug repurposing and multi-target drug design (March-Vila et al., 2017). The strategy relies on the knowledge of three-dimensional structures of biological targets, which is characterized as structure-based drug design (SBDD). During the past few decades, there has been a steep rise in the computer-aided drug design (CADD) that can assist in carrying out the process of SBDD from the selection of a target to the generation and evaluation of lead compounds effectively (Ramsay and Di Giovanni, 2017). Meanwhile, the explosion of structural information has provided exciting opportunities for SBDD (Réau et al., 2018). Herein we developed a novel approach for tackling the major sources of attrition in drug discovery, i.e., fact or fiction.

The strategy relies on the knowledge of drugs that bind highly site-specifically to the active cavity of targets. Prerequisite for an optimal supramolecular interaction is a perfect structural and physicochemical complementarity of drug and target. As a polypharmacological drug can act on multiple targets, the binding features of drugs are transformed into multi-target pharmacophores. The conformation that includes all the features is defined as a MBM model. The MBM theory explains how structurally diverse targets can bind with a
common drug. According to the MBM, closely related targets identified through pharmacophore homology have the highest chance of cross reactivity and hence highest binding potential. Furthermore, the MBM model in turn can be used to design drugs that match the specific binding motif of a drug-target superfamily.

The inventive process of MBM-based screening starts with the choice of targets for a polypharmacological drug by target fishing with the pharmacophore databases. The hit targets are in need of literature evidence, and consequently classified into proven targets and potential targets. After analysis and curation of the binding motifs within the proven targets that have been co-crystallized with a common drug, a dedicated MBM model will be generated through essential pharmacophore superimposition. A MBM model is an ensemble of steric and electronic features that are necessary for recognition of a specific ligand by a broad and related category of molecules. A predetermined MBM model can be used to identify HPTs from the potential targets through pharmacophore comparison. Experiments are to be carried out to verify the affinity and efficacy. The approved targets will require co-crystallization with the drug to determine the binding modes. As increasing new targets become available, the MBM model will be updated to further refine it.

In this study, we gained insights into the network pharmacology for berberine by the application of MBM-based screening. Current studies have reported the co-crystal structures of five molecules in complex with berberine, including QacR, BmrR, RamR, PLA$_2$ and d(CGTACG)$_2$ DNA. Based on a detailed analysis of the interactions between berberine and its multiple proven targets, we generated a dedicated MBM model for berberine to identify HPTs from the 86 potential targets, including BACE1 and A$\beta_{1-42}$. Each HPT is a node in a biological network that is linked to a particular disease, e.g., AD. AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease, and the deposition of A$\beta_{1-42}$ is the typical hallmark of AD pathology. In the amyloidogenic pathway, the amyloid precursor protein (APP) is sequentially cleaved by BACE1 to produce C-terminal fragment (C99), followed by cleavage by $\gamma$-secretase to produce A$\beta$ peptides, including A$\beta_{1-42}$ (Zhang et al., 2017). Recent studies have indicated that berberine could inhibit A$\beta$ production (Cai et al., 2016). In particular, Zhang et al. (2017) indicated that berberine could reduce A$\beta$ generation via decreasing the expression of BACE1. Herein, we focused mainly on the potential targets of berberine in AD. Consequently, we indicated that berberine could act as a high-affinity BACE1 inhibitor and prevented A$\beta_{1-42}$ aggregation to delay the pathological process of AD, which further demonstrated that berberine tended to be beneficial for the patients with AD. These findings will provide new insights into the underlying mechanisms of berberine in the treatment of AD.

More importantly, a network view revealed that berberine can act on a node or combination of nodes whose perturbation results in a desired therapeutic outcome. Recent studies have identified several HPTs of berberine, including AMPK and MD-2, which confirmed the results of MBM-based screening (Chu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). Mapping the polypharmacology network for multi-target drugs onto the human disease network will enable a new network pharmacology approach to drug discovery. We believe that MBM-based network pharmacology will illuminate our understanding of drug action in diseases.
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**FIGURE S1** | Electrostatic interactions between berberine and key residues. The berberine and key residues are labeled and shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen colored gray, red, and blue, respectively. Distance between electronegativity is red. The berberine and Asp$^{\text{41}}$ are shown in gradient colors, where electropositivity is colored blue, and the binding surface is shown in gradient colors, where hydrophobia is colored purple and pink.

**FIGURE S2** | Molecular dynamics of berberine with BACE1 and A$\beta_{1-42}$. The stability of berberine with BACE1 (A) and A$\beta_{1-42}$ (B) was validated using a standardized MD protocol through Pipeline Pilot using the CHARMM component in DS.

**FIGURE S3** | The binding pocket of BACE1 with berberine. The binding surface is shown in gradient colors, where electropositivity is colored blue, and electronegativity is red. The berberine and Asp$^{\text{41}}$ are shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen colored gray, red, and blue, respectively. Distance between the N$^\text{\#}$ of berberine and Asp$^{\text{41}}$ in BACE1 is 3.67 Å.

**FIGURE S4** | The binding pocket of A$\beta_{1-42}$ oligomer in complex with berberine. The binding surface is shown in gradient colors, where hydrophobia is colored brown, and hydrophile is colored blue. The berberine are shown as sticks with carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen colored gray, red, and blue, respectively.

**TABLE S1** | Potential targets of berberine.
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