Effect of Whole Body Vibration with Stretching Exercise on Active and Passive Range of Motion in Lower Extremities in Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Background: Increasing the range of motion in joints is considered to be one of the most long term concerns in the treatment of cerebral palsy (CP).

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of using whole body vibration (WBV) as a new therapeutic approach, with stretching exercises on the range of motion in lower extremities in children with CP.

Methods: In this single-blind clinical trial, 20 children aged 4 to 12 years were enrolled. Participants were randomly divided into experimental and control groups. Control group received only passive static stretching exercise and experimental group received passive static stretching with WBV. Exercises were performed 3 times a week for 6 weeks. To collect data, Goniometry, modified Ashworth scale and 6 Minute Walk Test were used.

Results: The results of this study indicated that stretching with WBV increases active range of motion in hip flexion, abduction and ankle dorsal flexion and also can improve speed of walking in children with CP.

Conclusions: According to the findings, the use of WBV can be considered as an effective treatment in increasing the range of motion and walking speed in CP children.
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1. Background

Cerebral palsy (CP) is the most common cause of childhood disability (1). CP is the result of damage to growing central nervous system during fetal development, during delivery, or in the first 2 years of life (2). CP can manifest motor and cognitive symptoms and epilepsy. According to its motor effects, it is divided into pyramidal and extrapyramidal groups. Pyramidal or spastic type, being the most common type, is associated with muscle stiffness (3).

Spasticity causes the children to lose flexibility, range of motion (ROM) and muscle strength with increasing age (2). Decreased ROM is a common secondary problem caused by abnormal muscle tone and longtime static position of CP children. This is one of the concerns in the treatment; therefore, ROM monitoring in upper and lower extremities of CP children becomes essential (4).

Muscle stretching can alter muscle characteristics such as structure, irritability, and visco-elastic properties. The purpose of spastic muscle stretching in CP children is tone normalization, pain reduction, increasing function, maintaining and increasing the soft tissue flexibility (5) as well as maintaining and increasing the existing ROM (6).

In addition to passive stretching exercise, there are currently several treatments to reduce spasticity including oral pharmacologic agents, physical modalities, Botox injection, intrathecal agents, and surgery. One of the new ways to reduce spasticity in children with CP is whole body vibration (WBV) (2).

Although the use of WBV has gained popularity in rehabilitation, but its short-term and long-term effects are still under investigation. Many researchers reported that muscle spindle and alpha motor neurons are stimulated by vibrations leading to muscle contraction. The short-term effects of therapeutic vibration include increasing the oxygen consumption, muscle temperature, skin blood flow, muscle strength, and insulin levels (3).

Lee and Chon examined parameters of gait and muscle thickness of lower extremities after 8 weeks of using WBV in 30 CP children and reported that WBV is effective in improving walking speed, increasing step length and...
Ahmadizadeh Z et al.

angle of ankle, possibly due to the positive effects of WBV on muscles of lower extremities because the thickness and strength of dorsiflexor and plantarflexor muscles had increased (7). El-Shamy explored the effect of WBV to improve balance and muscle strength of 30 CP children with spastic diplegia and reported that WBV improves muscle strength and balance in children with CP diplegia (8). Duquette et al. in a systematic review reported that WBV has the potential to improve coordination, muscle strength and reduce spasticity in CP (3). However, high quality studies in this field are limited.

2. Objectives

The mechanism of improvement caused by WBV as a new treatment for children with CP which affects mobility, balance, function, and gait in these children, is not clear yet. There are few studies that investigated the effect of WBV on ROM. In this study we aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of WBV on the passive range of motion (PROM) and active range of motion (AROM) of lower extremities joints and walking speeds in spastic CP children.

3. Methods

3.1. Participants and Study Design

The data was collected in summer of 2017. Families of CP children referred to the Neuromuscular Rehabilitation Research Center, Semnan, Iran, were invited to participate in the study. Twenty CP children participated. The mean and standard deviation of children's age in the control group was 8.1 ± 1.93 and in the intervention group was 6.9 ± 2.46. Mean of BMI in the control group was 14.13 ± 3.3 and in the intervention group 14.6 ± 4.02. The two groups did not have a significant difference in BMI (P > 0.05).

The control group consisted of 6 hemiplegic and 4 diplegic children and the experimental group of 6 diplegic, 3 hemiplegic and 1 quadriplegic children. In both groups there were two children with GMFCS level 1, five children with GMFCS level 2 and three children with GMFCS level 3.

Participants in this single-blind randomized clinical trial study, were selected by convenient sampling. Inclusion criteria were: CP diagnosis of children, age between 4 to 12 years, ability to walk without falling or without walking aids, ability to follow orders and to be at the level of 1, 2 and 3 of The Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS). Exclusion criteria included: any severe or progressive disease, presence of musculoskeletal contracture in lower extremity joints, history of lower extremity surgery in the last 6 months, having a history of rhizotomy or tendon-release, nonunion fracture, uncontrolled seizure, botulinum toxin injections in the last 3 months, and limitation in knee ROM more than 10 degrees.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Semnan University of Medical Sciences, (IR. SEMUMS.REC.1395.6). The trial was registered with www.http://fa.irct.ir/: IRCT20160405 21686 N2.

3.2. Instruments

3.2.1. Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS)

This easy practical scale can be used manually to determine resistance of muscle to passive stretch in spasticity evaluation in knee joint (9). Clopton et al reported the validity of this scale good in hyperton flexor muscles of elbow in CP children in 2005. Intera-rate and inter-rate reliability of this test was reported moderate to good in 38 spastic CP children in 2008 (10).

3.2.2. Goniometry

For measurements, children's lower extremities were exposed to allow access to bony landmarks. PROM was measured 30 to 60 seconds after a slow static stretch and in this measurement the limb was hold with low force at the end of ROM [6]. AROM was assessed after 3 seconds holding the limb in the end of ROM (11). Each measurement was performed 3 times and the mean of 3 measurements was recorded as ROM. A 180 degrees goniometer and a 360 degrees goniometer were used to assess the PROM and AROM of the hip, knee and ankle joints movements. In order to assess abduction and flexion of hip joint, the child lay in supine position. In assessment of knee extension ROM and plantarflexion and dorsiflexion ROM, children were placed in sitting position (12).

3.2.3. Six-Minute Walk Test

This test is used to assess children's walking speed. That way, the distance that a person walks within 6 minutes is measured. In this test, a distance of 7 meters is determined for walking and the subject is asked to walk on it without interruption and turn back at the end of it and keep walking until 6 minutes and the therapist says standard words of encouragement (1). Standard words including “very good” and “continue” were repeated every 30 seconds (13). The reliability of this test has been reported in CP children aged 3 to 18 years, from good to excellent (ICC = 0.98).

3.3. Data Collection

Twenty-one CP children from the age group of 4 to 12 years having inclusion criteria entered the study. One child
ments were conducted in a meeting 1 day after the last ses-
so tion children received was 18 minutes (16). The children in
and this was repeated for 3 times. The total time of vibra-
stayed in WBV for 3 minutes and then had a 3 minutes rest
flexed toward the abdomen. Hip adductor muscle stretch-
ing was excluded due to parent’s reluctance to continue inter-
vention. These children were divided into intervention
and control groups in terms of GMFCSs by stratified random
sampling method. The intervention group received pas-
se sive static stretching with standing on the power on WBV
while the control group received passive static stretching
with standing on the power off WBV. WBV device used in
this study was the USA Plate Power. The exercises were per-
formed 3 times a week and lasted for 6 weeks. Exercises in
two groups were conducted by a graduated occupational
therapist. In this single blind study, the assessor was an ex-
pert occupational therapist who was not aware of partici-
pants’ grouping in order to reduce the potential for bias.

The study was conducted after approval by the Ethics
Committee of Semnan University of Medical Sciences. The
ethical number is IR.SEMUMS.REC.1395.6 and the registra-
tion number in Iranian randomized clinical trial center is
IRCT2016040521686N2. Initially, researchers explained the
goals and method of the research to parents of CP children,
then consent forms were signed by parents and the assess-
ments were started (Figure 1).

Stretching exercises for both groups of children con-
stituted of 2 minutes passive stretching of hip flexor, hip ad-
ductor, hamstring muscles and plantar flexor muscles for
40 seconds repeated for 3 times (6). Hamstring muscle
stretching: The child was placed in supine position, one
leg was kept while the hip and knee were extended and
the other hip joint was in flexion position with extended
knee. Cuff muscle stretching: The child was in a supine
position, knees were extended and the ankles were in dor-
siflexion position. Iliopsoas muscle stretching: The child
lay in supine position. One leg was kept while the hip and
knee were extended and the other knee and hip joints were
flexed toward the abdomen. Hip adductor muscle stretch-
ing: The child was in supine position with extended knees.
Then the therapist kept one leg along the hip joint and ab-
ducted the other leg (14).

In the intervention group, after stretching exercise,
children were placed on the WBV device using frequency
of 20 to 24 Hz and amplitude of 2 mm, with a 30 degrees
flexed knee, and asked to take the supporting bars of the
device. The feet were placed in an equal distance from
the center line of the device when standing (15). Children
stayed in WBV for 3 minutes and then had a 3 minutes rest
and this was repeated for 3 times. The total time of vibra-
tion children received was 18 minutes (16). The children in
the control group stood on the power off WBV device for 18
minutes at each session after receiving the same stretching
exercises.

Primary assessments were conducted in the first ses-
sion before interventions started and secondary assess-
ments were conducted in a meeting 1 day after the last ses-
sion of treatment to avoid the immediate effect of inter-
vention in increasing the ROM. In both primary and sec-
ondary assessments spasticity evaluation, ROM measure-
ment and six minute walk test were conducted.

3.4. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 22.
Given that the histogram and the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test
indicated that the data had normal distribution, indepen-
dent t-test was used to examine the difference between the
two groups and the paired t-test to examine the differences
within the groups before and after the intervention in the
two groups. P value of less than 0.05 was considered statis-
tically significant.

4. Results

Results of independent t-test revealed no significant
difference between the two groups before the interven-
tion (P > 0.05). As it is shown in Table 1, active hip abduc-
tion, knee extension and ankle dorsiflexion significantly
increased in the experimental group (P < 0.05). The AROM
and PROM of hip extension and flexion increased before
and after intervention in both groups (P < 0.05). But there
were no significant differences in PROM of ankle dorsiflex-
ion and also in AROM and PROM of hip abduction in the
two groups, and in the control group no significant change
was found in active dorsiflexion, AROM and PROM of knee
extension, and AROM of hip abduction (P > 0.05). As
indicated in Table 1, stretching training combined with WBV
was effective in increasing the 6-minute walk test signifi-
cantly (P < 0.05).

Our study did not lead to any changes in the level of
GMFCS and the severity of spasticity of knee muscles before
and after the intervention (P < 0.05).

5. Discussion

The results of this study revealed that the use of WBV
with stretching exercise could be effective in increasing
the AROM of hip flexion, abduction, dorsiflexion of ankle,
as well as increasing walking speed in CP children.

5.1. Hip Joint

WBV combined with stretching exercises for 6 weeks,
can improve AROM in hip flexion and abduction. With this
method, although AROM increased in hip flexion and ab-
duction, PROM did not change significantly. The reason for
increased AROM could be the improved strength of flexor
and abductor muscles. Despite the importance of mobility
in hip joint in gait and balance, there are few studies on the effect of WBV on hip ROM.

In line with this study, Krause et al. showed that WBV training increases maximal voluntary muscle activation in lower limb muscles (17). Lee and Chon used WBV with physical therapy for 8 weeks in CP children and concluded that WBV had no effect on the improvement of the hip joint flexion strength (7). In a similar study Tupimai et al. used stretching along with WBV training in 20 CP children and found that WBV had no significant effect on hip joint ROM (18).

Ahlborg et al. examined the effectiveness of two WBV methods and the resistance training on spasticity, strength, and gate parameters in fourteen 21 - 41 years old CP adults. After 8 weeks of intervention, they found that WBV had more effect on reduction of hip abductor spasticity than resistance training. They also reported that the muscles strength of lower extremities had increased in both groups (19), which is consistent with the results of our study.

5.2. Knee Joint

In this joint, only AROM of dorsiflextion in the intervention group increased significantly. Therefore, it seems that WBV has led to an increase in strength or activation of dorsiflexor muscles. The results of our study were in line with the study of Tupimai et al. who reported that passive muscle stretching combined with WBV could increase ankle dorsiflexion ROM (18). Dickin et al. also reported the effect of WBV on improvement in dynamic ROM due to decrease in muscle tone of triceps surae. By decreasing of this muscle tone, tibialis anterior can increase ankle ROM (2). Lee and Chon also reported that using WBV is effective in improving muscle strength of ankle joint. Song et al. found that WBV training improves tibialis anterior muscle activity and decreases spasticity in plantar flexor muscles (20).

5.4. Walking Speed

Our study showed that stretching training with WBV leads to increased walking speed in CP children. Other
## Table 1. Paired t-Test Results for Comparing Means of ROM Between Two Groups of CP Children

| Variable/Group          | Mean ± Standard Deviation | Effect Size | P Value |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------|
|                         | Before                   | After       |         |
| **Active hip flexion**  |                           |             |         |
| Experimental            | 72 ± 15.71                | 81.6 ± 20.09| 0.98    | 0.03   |
| Control                 | 81.1 ± 10.03              | 98.6 ± 14.12| 0.8     |         |
| **Passive hip flexion** |                           |             |         |
| Experimental            | 100.5 ± 22.22             | 110.55 ± 23.34| 1.37  | 0.37   |
| Control                 | 112.6 ± 15.16             | 118.5 ± 14.49| 1.39  |         |
| **Active hip extension**|                           |             |         |
| Experimental            | 10.25 ± 7.72              | 11.25 ± 7.72| 0.65    | 0.12   |
| Control                 | 16.06 ± 7.02              | 18.3 ± 8.56 | 1.18    |         |
| **Passive hip extension**|                          |             |         |
| Experimental            | 21.6 ± 6.07               | 24.05 ± 5.79| 1.18    | 0.86   |
| Control                 | 20.8 ± 7.50               | 23.06 ± 6.13| 0.6     |         |
| **Active hip abduction**|                           |             |         |
| Experimental            | 25.6 ± 10.7               | 34.85 ± 5.32| 1.02   | 0.04   |
| Control                 | 28.3 ± 7.65               | 28.5 ± 7.74 | 0.18    |         |
| **Passive hip abduction**|                          |             |         |
| Experimental            | 33.3 ± 11.83              | 38.15 ± 12.11| 0.65  | 0.94   |
| Control                 | 38 ± 4.21                 | 38.4 ± 4.5  | 0.41    |         |
| **Active knee extension**|                          |             |         |
| Experimental            | 113 ± 14.75               | 115 ± 15.63 | 0.52    | 0.32   |
| Control                 | 102.3 ± 21.89             | 106.05 ± 22.74| 0.82 |         |
| **Passive knee extension**|                          |             |         |
| Experimental            | 133 ± 14.8                | 137.3 ± 14.42| 0.42  | 0.1    |
| Control                 | 126 ± 10.74               | 128 ± 9.77  | 1.19    |         |
| **Active ankle dorsiflexion**|                        |             |         |
| Experimental            | 5.15 ± 3.71               | 18.45 ± 5.55| 0.79    | 0.02   |
| Control                 | 7.2 ± 5.09                | 10.2 ± 8.57 | 0.7     |         |
| **Passive ankle dorsiflexion**|                       |             |         |
| Experimental            | 12.2 ± 4.49               | 20.65 ± 10.68| 0.77  | 0.31   |
| Control                 | 14.6 ± 7.79               | 15 ± 9.28   | 0.17    |         |
| **6 min walks**         |                           |             |         |
| Experimental            | 158.8 ± 100.24            | 189.45 ± 135.47| 0.9   | 0.04   |
| Control                 | 194 ± 78.82               | 275.5 ± 60.81| 0.71  |         |

*Statistically significant (P < 0.05)

Studies too have indicated that WBV is effective in improving the strength and coordination of muscles (3). In our study, AROM of hip flexion and abduction increased in the intervention group which can be the result of increased flexor and abductor muscles strength which effects on the swing phase of gait and leads to improved balance and increased walking speed. In this regard, some studies have also reported that WBV can result in increased muscle strength (8).

Lee and Chon found similar results in their study and
found WBV effective in improving walking speed, step length, and cycle time (7). Several studies have reported that the use of WBV device increased the speed of walking in CP children effectively (18, 20, 21). Saquetto et al., in a systematic review on the effects of WBV, reported that this device increased the speed of walking and improved functional standing in CP children (22). Duquette et al. (3) and Sa-Caputo et al. (23) in their systematic reviews also found that WBV increased walking speed and muscle strength. After 12 weeks of intervention, Ibrahim reported that the WBV resulted in increased walking speed, muscle strength and gross motor skills in CP children (24).

5.5. Spasticity

Our study results indicate that six weeks of stretching exercises alone and in combination with WBV would not change the severity of spasticity in knee muscles in CP children. Also Sa-Caputo et al. in their systematic review, did not find this method an effective way of reducing spasticity (23). Park et al. examined the effect of one session WBV on spasticity on 17 CP children and reported that spasticity in the ankle plantar flexors had decreased using Ashworth and Tardieu scale and this reduction in spasticity maintained up to two hours after using WBV (25). One explanation for this finding can be the length of assessment time. In our study we investigated the short term effect of WBV on spasticity whereas Park et al. assessed its immediate effect.

5.6. Conclusion

According to the results of this study WBV can be considered as an effective treatment for increasing the range of motion and walking speed in cerebral palsy children.

5.7. Limitations

Our intervention lasted 6 weeks. In order to assess long lasting effect of intervention, future studies with larger sample size and longer follow-up periods are needed.
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