Dry Feeds Produced Based on Local Available Feedstuffs for African Catfish *Clarias Gariepinus* Juveniles Rearing in Earthen Ponds
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**Abstract** — The aim of this study was to produce a suitable low-cost feeds for African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* rearing in Côte d’Ivoire fish farms. Three isoproteic (35% protein level) dry feeds were formulated and produced with the local available feedstuffs of Sudano Guinean 1 (SG1), Sudano Guinean 2 (SG2) and Guinean (G) Côte d’Ivoire agro ecological areas. Then, *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles initial mean weight 12.98±1.23 g were fed with these three feeds at 5% of the total biomass two times (9:00 am and 05:00 pm) per day during 120 days. Each feed was hand distributed in the triplicate fish groups stocked in earthen ponds at 3 fish/m². At the end of the trial, growth data showed significant higher growth with fish fed feed G and SG2 than those of fish fed feed SG1. The weight gains of fish varied between 459.95 ± 101.31 (SG1) and 664.74±67.45 (G) g with the daily weight gain oscillated between 3.83±0.84 (SG1) and 5.54±0.56 (G) g/day. The best feed efficiency values were recorded with fish fed feed G and SG2. The nutritional compositions recorded from the body of fish fed feed G (21.46±0.01 %) showed highest protein values when the body of fish fed with feed SG1 presented the highest moisture (77.92±0.17 %) and crude lipid (1.77±0.14 %) contents. Results showed that the three feeds produced were suitable for a good growth of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles rearing in earthen ponds, however, the best growth and feeds efficiency results were recorded with feeds G and SG2.

**Index Terms** — *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles, local feedstuffs, feeds, growth.

I. INTRODUCTION

Catfish generally are low rearing in Côte d’Ivoire because of the acknowledgement of their nutritional needs and their growth potential by fish farmers [1] – [4]. Also, consequently of the lack of quality feeds adapted to the different stages of catfish growth, feeds used for tilapia farming are also used by fish farmers to feed catfish [2], [1], [5]. So, local feed sellers feeds and fish farmers or agro-industrial by-products which are in general feed SG1 suitable and no competitive for these fish growth are used [6], [7], [1].

In these last 5 years, adapted quality granulated and extruded catfish feeds are imported and sold on local markets [1], [8]. However, their high prices make them inaccessible to the most of fish farmers, which are generally farmers [4]. Furthermore, quality and price ratios of the feeds used plays a very important role in fish farming and represents 60 to 70% of production costs in some of the developing countries [9], [10]. In addition, fish feeds have always been one of the major inputs to the successful of fish farming [10] – [12]. So, the national needs to improve growth and production of farming fish in Côte d’Ivoire reflect the needs to develop local competitive catfish feeds for the expansion of catfish farming.

Furthermore, nutritional requirements of all rearing stages of catfish *Clarias gariepinus* are well documented [13], [14], [7], [6]. In Addition, Sudano Guinean 1 (SG1), Sudano Guinean 2 (SG2) and Guinean (G) agro ecological areas of Côte d’Ivoire were reported as the areas of high concentrations of fish farmers and high level of fish farming activities [3], [4]. According to [12] and [11], the use of locally available ingredients in composed fish feeds formulations reduce feeds cost and fish production financial charges. Also, [15] reported that aquaculture production could profitable if fish farmers had access to quality feeds for increase fish growth at a least cost.

While, the availability and costs of animal feedstuffs vary by region and by area in the same country. The aim of this study was to formulate low-cost quality feeds with locally accessible feedstuffs for *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles rearing in the three fish farming agro ecological areas of Côte d’Ivoire.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Experimental fish and rearing conditions

Fish used for this feeding trial were the juveniles of *Clarias gariepinus* with an initial weight ranging between 12.55 and 13.54 g. Feeding trials were carried out in one private fish farm at 40 km near Abidjan. Rearing was realized in the same conditions of fish production that the fish farmers during 120 days in earthen ponds. Total of nine ponds of 242 m² to 252 m² were supply in fresh water and used for the trial.

B. Feeds formulation and production

In the three fish farming areas, the feedstuffs were chosen on the basis of their availability and cost (Table I) and nutritional composition (Table II) for the low cost locally quality fish feeds formulations. All selected feedstuffs were separately grinded then analyzed for proximate composition determination. Linear programming feeds formulas determination method described by [16] was used to formulate three isoprotein (35% crude protein) feeds based
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on the *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles nutriment requirement of 6-10% crude lipid, 25-30% nitrogen free extract, less of 10% crude fibre, 8-10% Ash, 20-25 kJ/g gross energy and protein energy (P/E) ratio more or equal to 20 mg/KJ according to [13], [17], [18] and [6]. All feedstuffs selected were individually grounded into fine flour and weighted based on formulas determined. Then, all the feedstuffs according to feeds composition determined were mixed at 500 kg/30 mm with the vertically animal feeds mixer. All composed feeds were presented on the pellet form of 2 mm diameter (Fig. 1). At the end of feeds production, one kilogram of each feeds was sampled and grounded into flour for proximate composition determination.

### Table II: Proximate composition (g/100 g dry matter) of feedstuffs used for feeds formulation

| Parameters         | Imported fish meal (55% P) | Local market fish meal (42% P) | Soybean meal | Cotton seed oil cake | Cashew nut oil cake | Wheat bran | White rice bran |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Moisture (%)       | 11.23 ± 0.21                | 8.92 ± 0.36                   | 11.89 ± 0.50 | 9.66 ± 0.51          | 6.14 ± 0.51        | 10.84 ± 0.32 | 9.88 ± 0.22     |
| Crude protein (%)  | 55.30 ± 0.12                | 42.67 ± 1.00                  | 44.56 ± 2.29 | 35.71 ± 0.73         | 19.87 ± 1.74       | 15.97 ± 0.93 | 11.95 ± 1.77    |
| Crude fibre (%)    | 2.39 ± 0.61                 | 4.84 ± 0.83                   | 4.67 ± 0.40  | 24.58 ± 0.25         | 6.08 ± 0.32        | 20.32 ± 0.35 | 10.37 ± 0.28    |
| Crude lipid (%)    | 9.05 ± 0.71                 | 14.59 ± 0.88                  | 1.74 ± 0.51  | 3.01 ± 0.10          | 38.90 ± 0.36       | 4.64 ± 0.03  | 14.27 ± 1.76    |
| Ash (%)            | 21.15 ± 0.98                | 29.17 ± 0.12                  | 6.09 ± 0.16  | 5.93 ± 1.46          | 3.21 ± 0.18        | 5.12 ± 0.02  | 8.75 ± 1.05     |
| NFE1 (%)           | 0.99 ± 0.46                 | 0.51 ± 0.40                   | 31.32 ± 3.27 | 21.10 ± 1.79         | 26.73 ± 1.23       | 43.27 ± 1.61 | 44.68 ± 2.67    |

*Nitrogen Free Extract = 100 - (moisture + crude protein + crude lipid + crude fibre + Ash).*

*Gross energy was calculated on the basis of 23.7 kJ/g protein, 39.5 kJ/glipid, 17.2 kJ/gcarbohydrate.

C. Feeding trial

Nine groups of 726 to 756 of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles with an average weight 12.98±1.23 g were randomly distributed into ponds at a density of 3 fish per m². The fish were fed two times a day (9:00 am and 05:00 pm) at 5% of fish total biomass by pond 120 days. One fish feed treatment was replicate in three ponds. All days, the fish dead were collected by ponds and counted. Water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, salinity, Total Dissolved Solid and conductivity were weekly measured using a multiparameter HANNA. After all 30 days of feeding, 30% of fish in each pond were collected and fish were individual weighed and measured. Then the new total biomass of fish by pond and the new feeds quantities to distribute by pond were calculated. At the end of the feeding trial, the samples of 10 fish by pond were sacrificed for body composition analysis.

### D. Growth performance and feed efficiency

Fish growth characteristics, feed utilization and survival rate were determined as follows: Weight Gain (WG) (g) = Final body weight – Initial body weight; Biomass Gain (BG) (kg) = Final biomass – Initial biomass; Lenght Gain (LG) (cm) = Final length – Initial length; Daily Weight Gain (DWG) (g day⁻¹) = (Final body weight – Initial body weight)/(Number of feeding day); Daily Length Gain (DLG) (cm day⁻¹) = (Final length – Initial length)/(Number of feeding day); Specific Growth Rate (SGR) (% day⁻¹) = [ln (Final body weight) – ln (Initial body weight)]× 100/Number of feeding day; Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) = Total weight of feed distributed (kg)/Biomass gain (kg); Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) = Biomass gain/Dietary protein intake; Survival Rate (SR) (%) = (Final number of fish/Initial number of fish)×100.

### E. Biochemical analysis

Proximate composition for all feedstuffs, feeds composed and fish body samples were analyzed according to standard procedures described in [19]. Dry matter percentage was determined after oven drying at 105°C during 24 hours until constant weight; crude protein (%) N x 6.25) was determined using kjeldahl method; crude lipid by Soxhlet extraction with hexane; Ash was measured by incineration at 550°C in a muffle furnace for 24 hours; crude fibres rates were measured by acid digestion following by ashing the dry residue at 550°C in a muffle furnace for 4 h, while nitrogen-free extract (NFE) were calculated by difference. The gross energy contents of the diets were calculated on the basis of predicted values.
their crude proteins, lipid and carbohydrate contents using the energy equivalents of 23.7, 39.5 and 17.2 kJ g⁻¹ respectively.

F. Data analysis

Statistical analysis of data collected was performed with STATISTICA 7.1 software. Data were expressed as means ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the values. Then, Duncan multiple range tests were used to compare differences among means. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05.

III. RESULTS

A. Fish feeds formulas, quality and cost price

The formulas and the proximate composition of the three feeds produced were showed in Table III. Soybean meal and cotton seed oil cake were used at different levels in the three feeds formulas, imported fish meal was used only in the two formulas (SG1; G), and also, cashew nut oil cake was used only for feeds SG2 and G formulation. The local market fish meal (42% P) was used only in the SG2 feed, wheat bran used only in the feed G when white rice bran meal was used only in feed SG1. The 35% of the three feeds protein level in three diets were in according to the formulation objectives. At the end of feeds formulation, significant differences were found between all the other nutritional compositions parameters of the three feeds. The significant highest (p<0.05) values of crude lipid, NFE, and gross energy were recorded with feed G when the highest (p<0.05) values of crude fibre, Ash and P/E ratio were observed with feeds SG2. The significant lowest values of moisture, crude fibre, Ash, and P/E ratio were recorded with feed G; feed SG2 presented the lowest values of NFE and gross energy when feed SG1 had the lowest values of crude lipid. The feeds costs prices were oscillated between 0.52 USD/kg (SG1) and 0.56 USD/kg (G).

B. Water quality

The Table IV shows the values of water quality parameters recorded in the feeding trial earthen ponds. There were had no significant difference (p>0.05) in the values of water temperature (29.49±1.01 – 29.99±1.11 °C), pH (8.52±1.29 – 9.19±1.04), salinity (0.00 %) and dissolved oxygen (7.59±2.04 – 9.13±2.58 mg/l). However, significant difference (p<0.05) were observed with the TDS and conductivity values. The ponds received feeds SG1 (46.00±10.20 µs/cm) and SG2 (34.14±8.67 µs/cm) presented the higher means values of conductivity than those of ponds received feed G (32.57±8.68 µs/cm). The ponds received the feeds SG1 (25.57±2.68 mg/l) recorded also higher means value of total dissolved solids than ponds which received feeds SG2 and G.

C. Growth and feed utilization parameters

Fig. 2 and Table V show growth and feed utilization responses of C. gariepinus juveniles fed in earthen ponds with the three formulated feeds. The three groups of fish fed presented similar trends of growth evolution. However, significant differences (p<0.05) were observed in monthly growth rate of fish with the type of feed received (Figure 1). So maximum monthly weight gains of fish were observed during the fourth month of feeding with feed SG1 (472.80 g) and during the third (356.47; 314.93 g) and fourth (667.60; 677.59 g) months with the feeds SG2 and G. The significant (p<0.05) lower growth of fish was recorded with feed SG1.
(186.10 g) during the third month of feeding trial compared to the growth of fish received the other two feeds. At the end of the feeds trial, significant (p<0.05) highest values of final body weight, final length, final biomass, weight gain, biomass gain, length gain, daily weight gain, specific growth rate and protein efficiency ratio were recorded with feeds SG2 and G when fish fed feed SG1 recorded the lowest values (Table 3). The best values of feed conversion ratio were also recorded with fish fed feeds SG2 (1.01±0.19) and G (1.09±0.23). The survival rates of fish fed were varied between 99.10±0.45% (SG1) and 99.26±0.08% (SG2) without significant difference.

**Table V: Growth performance and feed utilization values of Clarias gariepinus juveniles fed in earthen ponds with formulated feeds for Sudano-Guinean 1 area (SG1), Sudano-Guinean 2 area (SG2) and Guinean area (G) of Côte d’Ivoire during 120 days**

| Parameters                        | SG1             | SG2             | G              |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Initial body weight (g)           | 12.85 ± 0.30a   | 13.24 ± 0.24a   | 12.85 ± 0.33a  |
| Final body weight (g)             | 472.80 ± 101.31a| 667.6 ± 33.00a  | 677.59 ± 67.45a|
| Initial length (cm)               | 10.50 ± 0.50a   | 10.67 ± 0.58a   | 10.67 ± 0.29a  |
| Final length (cm)                 | 34.96 ± 2.70a   | 40.15 ± 2.87a   | 40.39 ± 2.78a  |
| Initial biomass (kg)              | 10.46 ± 0.62a   | 10.78 ± 1.01a   | 10.40 ± 1.24a  |
| Final biomass (kg)                | 379.66 ± 81.35a | 538.75±107.33a  | 544.10 ± 134.46a|
| Weight gain (g)                   | 459.95 ± 101.31a| 654.36 ± 33.00a | 664.74 ± 67.45a|
| Biomass gain (kg)                 | 369.20 ± 81.35a | 527.98±107.33a  | 533.71 ± 134.46a|
| Length gain (cm)                  | 24.46 ± 2.98a   | 29.48 ± 2.39a   | 29.72 ± 3.03a  |
| Daily weight gain (DWG) (g day-1) | 3.83 ± 0.84a    | 5.45 ± 0.28a    | 5.54 ± 0.56a   |
| Daily length gain (cm day-1)      | 0.20 ± 0.02a    | 0.25 ± 0.02a    | 0.25 ± 0.02a   |
| Specific growth rate (SGR) (%/day)| 2.99 ± 0.08b    | 3.26 ± 0.07b    | 3.29 ± 0.01b   |
| Feed conversion ratio (FCR)       | 1.12 ± 0.25a    | 1.09 ± 0.23a    | 1.01 ± 0.19a   |
| Protein efficiency ratio (PER)    | 2.59 ± 0.57a    | 2.67 ± 0.54a    | 2.89 ± 0.54a   |
| Survival rate (%)                 | 99.10 ± 0.45a   | 99.14 ± 0.51a   | 99.26 ± 0.08a  |

Values are presented as mean ± SE. Means values in the same row having the common superscript letter are not significantly different (p>0.05).

**D. Proximate composition of fish**

The results of body composition of the fish after the feeding trial were presented in the Table VI. The significant differences (p>0.05) were observed between moisture, crude protein and crude lipid content of bodies of fish fed with the three feeds. The highest values of moisture (77.92 ± 0.17 %) and crude lipid (1.77 ± 0.14 %) were recorded with fish fed with the feed SG1 when the highest values of crude protein were recorded with fish fed feed G (21.46 ± 0.01 %).

**Table VI: Body composition of Clarias gariepinus juveniles fed in earthen ponds with formulated feeds for Sudano-Guinean 1 area (SG1), Sudano-Guinean 2 area (SG2) and Guinean area (G) of Côte d’Ivoire during 120 days (% wet weight)**

| Parameters               | SG1             | SG2             | G              |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Moisture (%)             | 77.92 ± 0.17a   | 76.95 ± 0.10a   | 76.83 ± 0.22a  |
| Crude protein (%)        | 20.05 ± 0.04a   | 20.96 ± 0.05a   | 21.46 ± 0.01a  |
| Crude lipid (%)          | 1.77 ± 0.14a    | 1.19 ± 0.37a    | 1.27 ± 0.17a   |
| Ash (%)                  | 1.09 ± 0.14a    | 1.09 ± 0.13a    | 0.99 ± 0.01a   |
| *Gross energy (kJ/g)     | 5.45 ± 0.06a    | 5.44 ± 0.15a    | 5.59 ± 0.07a   |

Values are presented as mean ± SE. *Gross energy was calculated on the basis of 23.7 kJ/g for protein, 39.5 kJ/g for lipid, 17.2 kJ/g for carbohydrates. Means values in the same row having the common superscript letter are not significantly different (p>0.05).
IV. DISCUSSION

All the three feeds tested were formulated at 35 % protein level and following requirement needs of crude lipid, NFE, crude fibre, crude Ash and gross energy of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles reported by several authors [13], [14], [7], [6]. However, the nutritional composition of the three feeds produced reflected the nutritional composition of type and quantities of feedstuffs used as reported by [20]. So, the high level of lipid in feed G (12.90%) and SG2 (10.68%) could be due to the incorporation of 15 and 25% of local cashew nut oil cake, rich in the lipid (38.90%). Also, high level of Ash of feed SG2 (18.43%) could be due to the high level (35%) of incorporation of local market fish meal rich in the Ash (29.17%). However, this high level of Ash in feed is not the limit factors of the using of feed nutrients by fish because the excess of Ash in feeds are rejected into the rearing water. [21] achieved a good growth of hybrids (*C. macrocephalus x C. gariepinus*) with the 15.15 to 21.04% Ash levels in feeds.

Concerning the water quality in feeding trial ponds, the similar values of temperature, pH, salinity and dissolved oxygen was due to the fact that all the ponds used were supply in water by the same dam. Otherwise, the values recorded in the ponds for these most important water parameters in aquaculture (T, pH, DO) were within in the suitable ranges of recommended values for good growth of African catfish [22], [23]. Also, values (32.57–46 us/cm) recorded for the water conductivity in the feeding ponds were within in the conductivity values of natural waters ranged between 20–1500 us/cm [24]. According to [25], the levels of TDS in pond water is attributed to the use of feeds by fish and feeding have been reported to increase the level of TDS of water. So, the high levels of TDS values recorded in ponds where fish were fed with feed SG1 suggest a low use of this feed by fish or an easy disaggregation of this feed in water, reducing its availability for fish feeding.

The differences observed in the growth performance of the fish fed with the three feeds produced could be due to the nutritional differences in the composition of feeds. However, feeds SG2 and G recorded the highest values of growth parameters in spite of the great differences in the nutritional differences in the composition of feeds. The similar body Ash content of fish at the end of the feeding trial, fish body moisture (76.83–76.95 %), protein (20.05–21.46 %), lipid (1.19–1.77 %) and Ash (0.99–1.09 %) values recorded were similar of those recorded by [6]. But results show that fish fed with feed G which recorded the highest final body weight recorded also the highest body protein content. Also, the feed SG1 with the lowest level of lipid recorded the highest body lipid content. From the catfish, it had been reported a sparing of body lipids when dietary lipid is low. Also, [35], [36] and [37] reported that the fish use high levels of dietary lipid to reduce the activity of the hepatic lipogenesis enzymes to decrease of novo lipid synthesis when dietary lipids beyond 10%. The similar body Ash content of fish at the end of the feeding trial confirms the releasing of the excess of Ash in feed SG2 into the rearing water.

V. CONCLUSION

The three feeds produced with local available feedstuffs were competitive and suitable for *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles rearing in earthen ponds. Results confirm the possibility to use local low cost cashew nut oil cake and local market fish meal to produce simple composed feeds for semi-intensive *Clarias gariepinus* rearing. The three feeds formulated can be made available to the Côte d’Ivoire fish farmers to promote the rearing of catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. However, quality of feed SG1 must be improved.
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