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Abstract

Background and aims

Glutaric acidemia type 1 (GA1) is a treatable disorder of cerebral organic acid metabolism caused by a defective glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase (GCDH) gene. There is scarcity of reports of GA1 diagnoses following newborn screening (NBS) in the Chinese population. We assessed the acylcarnitine profiles and genetic characteristics of patients with GA1 identified via NBS.

Materials and methods

From January 2014 to September 2020, a total of 517,484 newborns screened by tandem mass spectrometry were recruited for this study. Newborns with elevated isovalerylarnitine (C5DC) levels were recalled, those who tested positive on second screen were referred for confirmatory tests.

Results

Of 517,484 screened newborns, thirteen patients were diagnosed with GA1, including eleven neonatal GA1 and two maternal GA1 patients. The incidence of GA1 in the Quanzhou region was estimated to be 1 in 47,044 newborns. The initial NBS results showed that all but one of the patients had moderately or markedly increased C5DC levels. The neonatal GA1 patient with low free carnitine (C0) level suggestive of primary carnitine deficiency (PCD) while just the contrary to a typical GA1. Nine neonatal GA1 patients underwent urinary organic acid analyses: eight had elevated GA levels and one was reported in the normal range. Ten distinct GCDH variants were identified, eight were previously reported and two were newly identified. In silico prediction tools and protein modelling analysis suggested that the newly identified variants were potentially pathogenic. The most common variant was c.1244-2A > C, which had an allelic frequency of 54.55% (12/22), followed by c.1261G > A (p.Ala421Thr) (9.09%, 2/22).

Conclusions

GA1 patients may show only with low C0 levels at the initial screening and recall stages that may be overlooked. Maternal GA1 patients can also be detected during NBS due to the low C0 levels in their infants. Therefore, PCD should be included in the differential diagnosis for GA1, and multigene panel testing should be performed in newborns with low C0 levels.

1. Introduction

Glutaric acidemia type 1 (GA1; OMIM #231670) is a treatable disorder of cerebral organic acid metabolism caused by a defective glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase (GCDH) gene, which encodes the glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase (GCDH, EC 1.3.8.6) that mediates degradation of L-lysine, L-hydroxylysine, and L-tryptophan [1]. This disorder leads to accumulation of glutaric acid (GA) and 3-hydroxyglutaric acid (3HGA) in body tissues, especially in the brain. The clinical presentation of GA1 varies widely and ranges from the more common form (infantile-onset disease: 3 months to 6 years) to less common form (later-onset disease: after age 6 years). Untreated GA1 patients typically present with acute encephalopathy, movement disorders (MD), and striatal damage in the first 3–36 months of life [2]. GA1 was divided into low (LE) and high excretors (HE) based on the amount of urinary GA, however, both biochemical subtypes sharing the same risk of developing MD if left untreated [3–5].

Given that GA1 patients with elevated glutarylcarnitine (C5DC) levels that can be reliably detected by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) and early therapy is effective, GA1 has been included in many national newborn screening (NBS) panels [6, 7]. Previous study has demonstrated that NBS for GA1 has significantly improved the neurologic outcome of affected individuals, early identification through NBS is essential to provide timely treatment and ensure optimal outcomes [8]. However, there is scarcity of data on the incidence, NBS experience, and mutational spectrum in China. We encountered one GA1 patient but with normal C5DC profile at the initial screening. Additionally, two mothers with GA1 were incidentally diagnosed in our NBS program. Herein, we report the acylcarnitine profiles and molecular features of thirteen GA1 patients diagnosed via NBS in a southern Chinese population.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Newborn screening and laboratory tests

From January 2014 to September 2020, a total of 517,484 newborns (292,880 males and 224,604 females) screened via MS/MS in the NBS center at Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital were recruited for this study. Blood samples were collected by heel stick between 3 and 7 days after birth and spotted on Whatman 903 filter paper. Dried blood spot (DBS) samples were delivered by cold-chain transportation to our center within 3 days. DBS samples were pre-processed and then detected using a mass spectrometer, internal and external quality controls were
performed as previously described [9]. Newborns with elevated C5DC levels were recalled, those who tested positive on second screen were referred for confirmatory tests, including biochemical laboratory tests, urinary organic acid analysis, and genetic analysis. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all patients.

### 2.2. Genetic testing and variants analysis

Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed by the Hangzhou Biosan Clinical Laboratory Co. Ltd. (Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China), as previously described [10]. All possible disease-causing variants identified by NGS were further validated by Sanger sequencing of the patients and their parents. The exons of the GCDH gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Amplified fragments were sequenced directly using an ABI Prism 3500 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The PCR primer sequences and protocols are available upon request. The pathogenicity of novel variants was assessed using several in silico tools, including SIFT, PolyPhen-2, PROVEAN, and MutationTaster. Evolutionary conservation was analyzed using ClustalX (http://www.clustal.org/clustal2). To build three-dimensional (3D) models of GCDH, homology modeling was employed using the Swiss Model Workspace with the PDB accession number Q92947, and PDB files were then submitted to Swiss-Pdb Viewer 4.10 for 3D-structure analysis.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. NBS for GA1

A total of 102 newborns had abnormal C5DC concentrations at initial NBS, yielding a positivity rate of 0.02% (102/517,484). Ten newborns with elevated C5DC levels were diagnosed with GA1, for a positive predictive value (PPV) of 9.8% (10/102). Surprisingly, one newborn only with low C0 level was also diagnosed with GA1. Hence, the incidence of GA1 in the Quanzhou region was estimated to be 1 in 47,044 newborns. In addition, two mothers were diagnosed with GA1 by the abnormal NBS results of their infants.

#### 3.2. Biochemical features

The initial NBS results showed that all but one of the patients had moderately or markedly increased C5DC levels. The patient (no. 7) had normal C5DC level while with extremely low C0 level during NBS. The mean C5DC concentrations during NBS and recall stage in the neonatal GA1 patients were 2.40 ± 1.08 µmol/L and 2.17 ± 1.28 µmol/L (reference value: 0.03–0.3 µmol/L), respectively. Notably, two mothers were found to have GA1 due to the low C0 levels in their infants, both mothers had elevated C5DC levels and low C0 levels. Nine neonatal GA1 patients underwent urinary organic acid analyses: eight had elevated GA levels (HE phenotype) and one was reported in the normal range (LE phenotype) (Table 1).
Table 1: Biochemical and genetic features of 11 neonatal GA1 patients

| Patient no. | Gender | Agea | Newborn screening | Recall | GA (mmol/mol creatinine) | Affected gene | Genotypeb |
|-------------|--------|------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
|             |        |      | C5DC (µmol/L) | C0 (µmol/L) | C5DC (µmol/L) | C0 (µmol/L) |         |
| 1           | F      | 6 y, 5 m | 2.67 | 13.50 | 2.47 | 15.06 | 150.49 | GCDH | c.532G > A (p.Gly178Arg) c.108_109delAC (p.Gln37Glufs*5) |
| 2           | F      | 5 y, 11 m | 1.45 | 18.32 | 1.50 | 41.12 | 503.79 | GCDH | c.533G > A (p.Gly178Glu) c.1244-2A > C |
| 3           | F      | 5 y, 9 m | 2.81 | 13.95 | 3.68 | 10.87 | 300.15 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 4           | M      | 4 y, 1 m | 2.42 | 13.06 | N/A | N/A | 409.05 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 5           | F      | 3 y, 9 m | 1.90 | 14.25 | 1.92 | 10.20 | 462.83 | GCDH | c.395G > A (p.Arg132Gln) c.1147C > T (p.Arg383Cys) |
| 6           | F      | 3 y, 4 m | 3.79 | 13.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1016T > C (p.Met339Thr) |
| 7           | F      | 3 y, 1 m | 0.06 | 3.18 | 0.05 | 7.69 | 1.31 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1261G > A (p.Ala421Thr) |
| 8           | M      | 2 y, 6 m | 1.58 | 16.37 | 2.19 | 29.91 | 313.74 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 9           | M      | 7 m    | 4.26 | 9.66 | 3.34 | 4.99 | 741.81 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 10          | F      | 6 m    | 2.44 | 10.91 | 3.65 | 9.04 | 434.34 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 11          | F      | 5 m    | 0.68 | 19.51 | 0.70 | 20.62 | N/A | GCDH | c.300G > A (p.Met100Ile) c.1204C > T (p.Arg402Trp) |

a: age as of April 2021, m: month, y: year; M: male, F: female. b: The novel variants identified by our team are in boldface type.

C5DC: glutarylcarnitine; C0: free carnitine, GA: glutaric acid, N/A: not available. Reference range, C5DC: 0.03–0.3 µmol/L, C0: 8.5–50 µmol/L, GA: <2.5 mmol/mol creatinine.

Table 2: Biochemical and genetic features of two maternal GA1 patients and their infants

| No.a | Gender | Ageb | Newborn screening | Recall | GA (mmol/mol creatinine) | Affected gene | Genotype |
|------|--------|------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
|      |        |      | C5DC (µmol/L) | C0 (µmol/L) | C5DC (µmol/L) | C0 (µmol/L) |         |
| 1    | Female | 37 y, 5 m | 1.07 | 4.00 | 1.15 | 5.92 | 579.6 | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C c.1244-2A > C |
| 2    | Male   | 4 y, 10 m | 0.07 | 4.12 | 0.04 | 5.84 | N/A | GCDH | c.1244-2A > C |
| 3    | Female | 34 y, 7 m | 0.76 | 2.46 | N/A | N/A | N/A | GCDH | c.1063C > T (p.Arg355Cys) c.769C > T (p.Arg257Trp) |
| 4    | Male   | 3 y, 5 m | 0.06 | 3.68 | 0.03 | 7.16 | N/A | GCDH | c.769C > T (p.Arg257Trp) |

a: No. 1 and 3 are maternal GA1 patients, No. 2 and 4 are their infants, respectively.
b: age as of April 2021, m: month, y: year; M: male, F: female.

C5DC: glutarylcarnitine; C0: free carnitine, GA: glutaric acid, N/A: not available.

Reference range, C5DC: 0.03–0.3 µmol/L, C0: 8.5–50 µmol/L, GA: <2.5 mmol/mol creatinine.

3.3. Genetic testing and variants analysis
All eleven neonatal GA1 patients harbored compound heterozygous or homozygous variants in GCDH. Ten distinct variants were identified, eight of which were previously reported pathogenic variants, and the remaining two variants were newly identified by our team. The most common variant was c.1244-2A > C, which had an allelic frequency of 54.55% (12/22), followed by c.1261G > A (p.Ala421Thr) (9.09%, 2/22). Likewise, two maternal GA1 patients had biallelic pathogenic variants in GCDH while their infants were just carriers (Table 1).

The newly identified variants were absent from disease and population databases, in silico analysis suggested that these two variants were potentially pathogenic (Supplementary file 1: Table S1). Alignment of the GCDH sequences revealed that that amino acids at positions 37 and 339 are strictly conserved (Supplementary file 2: Figure S1). The variant c.108_109delAC (p.Gln37Glufs*5) resulting in the premature termination of the protein decreased. According to the UniProt database analysis, the termination of the protein at position 41 affected functional domains of GCDH, including N-terminal (protein position 47–173), Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, central domain (protein position 176–269), and C-terminal (protein position 286–432), which may affect structure and function of protein (Fig. 1). Protein modelling showed that the variant c.1016T > C (p.Met339Thr) increased hydrogen bonds between the protein position 335 and 339, which may affect structure and function of GCDH protein by altering the folding process of protein (Fig. 2).

4. Discussion

Since the first description of GA1 patients in 1975, over 600 individuals with GA1 have been documented to date [3]. The birth incidence of GA1 varies between 1:30,000 and 1:100,000 newborns, but much higher prevalence (1:200 to 1:2300) was reported in certain groups such as the Old Order Amish, Irish Travelers, Canadian Ojibway-Cree Indians and black South Africans [11]. The exact incidence of GA1 in China remains unclear, the first multicenter pilot study revealed that the incidence was 1 in 185,971 newborns in mainland China [12]. In recent years, an increasing number of GA1 patients were detected during NBS as the accumulation of screening experience and the improvement of genetic diagnosis ability [13, 14]. The incidence of GA1 in the selected southern Chinese population was about 1 in 47,044 births, which is comparable to other studied populations [15, 16]. Comparing with the incidence reported in different regions of China, the local incidence is higher that reported in Zhejiang province (1:64,708) and Jiangsu province (1:89,335) in southern China [17, 18], and Xi’an city (1:73,076) in northern China [19].

All thirteen GA1 patients in this study were identified through NBS. Interestingly, three patients were found to have GA1 due to the low C0 levels during NBS, rather than typical elevation of C5DC levels, including one neonatal GA1 and two maternal GA1 patients. The neonatal GA1 patient with low C0 level suggestive of primary carnitine deficiency (PCD) while just the contrary to a typical GA1. To our knowledge, this is the first report of neonatal GA1 case with low C0 level diagnosed through NBS. In comparison, both maternal GA1 patients exhibited typical elevated C5DC levels but along with low C0 levels that were significantly different from those of newborns. Therefore, data from this study revealed that PCD should be included in the differential diagnosis for GA1.

Although NBS has a positive effective for GA1 and is a cost-effective diagnostic strategy [20], false-negative results may occurred and several cases missed during NBS due to many factors have been reported [8, 15, 21–23]. The most common factor was that GA1 patients with LE phenotype have normal C5DC levels would escape detection, as evidenced by one of our patients (no. 7) whose C5DC level was far below the upper limit of cutoff value. Unlike the previously reported cases [8, 15, 22, 23], the patient had persistently low C0 levels thus was accidentally detected. The patient would not have been correctly diagnosed if targeted exome sequencing had not been conducted, indicating the importance of performing multigene panel testing in newborns with low C0 levels. Another factor that led to missed cases was GA1 patients had an initially elevated C5DC levels but normalized on second screen, which may be caused by secondary carnitine depletion. In addition, improper setting of cutoff values or laboratory interpretation error were responsible for some missed cases.

Adult-onset GA1 is extremely rare. Only few adult cases have been reported so far, and most of which were asymptomatic female patients who were incidentally diagnosed due to the abnormal NBS results of their infants [24–27]. This study described two asymptomatic maternal GA1 patients with detail acylcarnitine profiles, which helps to better understand this disorder. The identification of maternal GA1 patients is an additional benefit because these seemingly asymptomatic mothers may develop neurological symptoms, and the preventive metabolic therapy can prevent neurological deterioration.

At least 240 pathogenic GCDH variants have been reported thus far, and several common pan-ethnic pathogenic variants have been identified (http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk). In particular, c.1204G > T (p.Arg402Trp) was found to be highly prevalent in several populations, which with a frequency of 12–40% in western Europe, 57% in Spain, 50% in Poland, 18.8% in India, and 56.38% in Russia [11, 28]. However, the variant was absent in Japan and was rarely identified in this cohort and previously reported Chinese patients [29–31], indicating ethnic specificity. c.1244-2A > C was reported as the common variant in southern Chinese origin, while it was not prevalent in other Chinese groups [32–34]. Consistent with previous studies [32, 33], c.1244-2A > C was the most common GCDH variant detected in this cohort of patients, with a high allelic frequency of 54.55%. Thus, the GCDH variant spectra vary significantly between ethnicities, which may also differ among different populations.
in the same ethnic group. In general, the GCDH variant spectra in this study was quite heterogeneous except for the observed recurrent variant. The newly identified variants in this study expanded the GCDH variant spectra. Combining the in silico prediction tools with protein modelling analysis can further reinforce the reliability of the prediction results.

In summary, this study investigated thirteen Chinese patients with GA1 identified via NBS. The incidence of GA1 in the selected population was estimated to be 1 in 47,044 newborns. The c.1244-2A > C was the most common GCDH variant. The newly identified variants further expanded the GCDH variant spectra. GA1 patients may show only with low C0 levels at the initial screening and recall stages that may be overlooked. Maternal GA1 patients can also be detected during NBS due to the low C0 levels in their infants. Therefore, PCD should be included in the differential diagnosis for GA1, and multigene panel testing should be performed in newborns with low C0 levels.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

GCDH variant spectra and Glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase domains (The newly identified variants by our team were highlighted in red; AcylCo_DH represent Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase).
Figure 2

Three-dimensional modeling structure analysis of wild-type and mutant products of GCDH. Green dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds and the green number shows the hydrogen bonds distances. Protein modelling showed that the variant c.1016T > C (p.Met339Thr) increased hydrogen bonds between the protein position 335 and 339, which may affect structure and function of GCDH protein by altering the folding process of protein.
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