Detection of Cross-Reactivity for Atopic Immunoglobulin E against Multiple Allergens
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Atopic allergy, the most widespread immunologic disorder in human, is characterized by the increased and persistent level of immunoglobulin E (IgE) in serum (4, 11, 18). Family and twin studies have shown that both genetic and environmental factors are involved in the atopic reaction (14, 20). To avoid the allergens from environment exactly, the allergen for an atopic individual should be identified by the measurement of the specific IgE in serum with suspicious allergens. In particular, the cross-reactivity among allergens has been documented (1). Many species of grass pollen, latex, and fruit allergens share their immunological similarities with glycoprotein (2, 7–9, 16, 21). In addition, the tropomyosin in cockroach was identified as a major allergen with potential cross-reactivity to multiple allergens, it would cause a problem. The present study analyzes whether the serum IgE with multiple reactivity is due to the presence of unique IgE against the common epitope shared by different allergens or the presence of multiple IgEs against different epitopes on different allergens. The quantitative-competitive inhibition tests and the immunoblotting were applied to analyze the immunosimilarity among examined allergens. The result shows that the competitive inhibition of IgE binding between shrimp and crab allergens is higher than those between either shrimp and cockroach or between crab and cockroach. Furthermore, the results of immunoblotting are consistent with those of quantitative-competitive inhibition tests. These results allow us to detect the cross-reactivity for atopic IgE against multiple allergens.

Materials and Methods

Study populations. The serum samples of atopic individuals with elevated specific IgE reactive to multiple allergens were collected from the Department of Pediatrics, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital. The results of specific IgE, examined by using a MAST CLA allergen-specific IgE assay (Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics, Inc.), were used for screening and selection of patients. From 36 allergens examined, sera with reactivity to multiple allergens, i.e., two or more allergen items, were collected. There were 67 sera collected for this study. After the specific IgE levels were measured, the sera were stored as 1-ml aliquots at −20°C for further analysis.

Quantitative examination of serum IgE. Based on the results of MAST system, the positive allergen items were chosen for the quantitative examination of atopic sera. The quantitative examinations were performed in Pharmacia CAP-FEIA system (Pharmacia & Upjohn Diagnostics AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Generally, 50 μl of the serum was used for each measurement. If the atopic serum appeared to have a titer higher than class 6 (>100 kU/liter), a 10-fold dilution was performed.

Quantitative-competitive inhibition test. The quantitative-competitive inhibition tests were performed by using Pharmacia CAP-FEIA system. Sera were prebound with ImmunoCAP: this allergen is the candidate with cross-reactivity to the following allergens examined. After 2 h of prebinding, the level of serum IgE was determined according to the procedure of the Pharmacia CAP-FEIA system. The percentage of the quantitative-competitive inhibition test was calculated by using the IgE reactivity with or without the prebinding of allergens as follows: percent inhibition = [(IgEo – IgEi)/IgEo] × 100, where IgEo is the IgE reactivity without pre-binding and IgEi is the IgE reactivity with pre-binding with allergens.

IgE immunoblotting. The AlaBLOT specific IgE allergen strips (Diagnostic Products Co., Los Angeles, Calif.) were used to identify the serum IgE-reactive allergens by immunoblotting. According to the procedures of the AlaBLOT specific IgE allergen strips, the diluted serum (50 μl in 500 μl) was added onto the strip, followed by incubation for 2 h at room temperature. The strip was then washed three times and incubated with enzyme-labeled anti-IgE for 30 min. After three washes, the color of the protein bands with reaction to serum IgE was developed by adding substrate reagent. The molecular weights of the proteins were estimated according to the description in each lot of strips by using relative mobility of molecular weight markers. For the inhibition of immunoblotting, the sera was also prebound with ImmunoCAP: these allergens are cross-reactive to the examined allergen. The serum was then used for the IgE immunoblotting to determine the extent of the inhibition of immunoblotting.
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TABLE 1. Atopic sera with IgE reactivity to multiple allergens

| No. | Positive reaction |
|-----|-------------------|
| 1   | i6 e1 e5 d1 d2 h1 m2 m3 m5 |
| 2   | i6 e1 e5 d1 d2 h1 |
| 3   | i6 e5 d1 d2 h1 |
| 4   | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 5   | i6 e5 d1 d2 h1 |
| 6   | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 7   | i6 e5 d1 d2 h1 |
| 8   | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 9   | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 10  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 11  | i6 e1 e5 d1 d2 h1 m1 m2 m3 m5 m6 |
| 12  | d1 d2 f23 |
| 13  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 |
| 14  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 15  | i6 e1 e5 d1 d2 h1 |
| 16  | i6 f24 |
| 17  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 18  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 19  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 20  | i6 d1 d2 h1 e5 |
| 21  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 22  | i6 d1 d2 h1 e5 |
| 23  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 24  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 |
| 25  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 f24 |
| 26  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 f24 |
| 27  | i6 |
| 28  | i6 |
| 29  | i6 d1 d2 f23 |
| 30  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 31  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 32  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 f24 |
| 33  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f24 |
| 34  | i6 d1 d2 h1 e5 f23 f24 |

| No. | Positive reaction |
|-----|-------------------|
| 35  | d1 d2 h1 f24 |
| 36  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 37  | i6 d1 d2 h1 |
| 38  | i6 d1 d2 h1 f23 f24 |
| 39  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 40  | i6 e5 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 41  | i6 f24 |
| 42  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 43  | e1 e5 d1 d2 f23 |
| 44  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 45  | i6 e5 d1 d2 |
| 46  | i6 d1 d2 |
| 47  | i6 d1 d2 f24 |
| 48  | i6 d1 d2 |
| 49  | i6 d1 d2 f23 |
| 50  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 51  | i6 d1 d2 |
| 52  | i6 e5 d1 d2 f24 |
| 53  | i6 e5 d1 d2 |
| 54  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 55  | i6 |
| 56  | i6 |
| 57  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 58  | i6 e5 d1 d2 f24 |
| 59  | i6 e5 d2 f23 f24 |
| 60  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 61  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 62  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 63  | i6 d1 f24 |
| 64  | i6 d1 d2 |
| 65  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 66  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |
| 67  | i6 d1 d2 f23 f24 |

* Reaction types: i6, cockroach; d1, dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus); d2, dust mite (Dermatophagoides farinae); e1, cat dander; e5, dog dander; h1, house dust; f23, crab; f24, shrimp; m1, Penicillium spp.; m2, Cladosporium spp.; m3, Aspergillus spp.; m5, Candida albicans; m6, Alternaria spp.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Immunosimilarity among allergens. The sera examined here contained IgE antibodies to multiple allergens. After the examination with the CAP-FEIA system, 63 sera of the 67 collected samples showed reactivity to multiple allergens (Table 1).

Since most sera with reactivity to shrimp allergens were also reactive to crab and cockroach allergens, 15 sera were then chosen for the analysis of cross-reactivity among shrimp, crab, and cockroach serum samples by the quantitative-competitive inhibition tests. As shown in Table 2, the inhibition of the serum IgE is an average of 34% between shrimp and cockroach, 65% between shrimp and crab, and 35% between crab and cockroach. In particular, among the 15 examined sera, 3 showed an inhibition of >50% between shrimp and cockroach sera, 11 showed an inhibition of >50% between shrimp and crab sera, and 4 showed a inhibition of >50% between crab and cockroach sera. Furthermore, the inhibition between shrimp and crab sera was shown to be significant by the chi-square test \((P < 0.01)\). Therefore, this result indicated that the immuno-similarity between shrimp and crab sera is indeed present in the serum IgE of atopic individuals.

In addition, five sera were chosen for the analysis of cross-reactivity among dust mites, house dust, and dog dander allergens.

\[ \chi^2 \text{ test} \]

\[ P \text{ values} = 0.139, 0.007, \text{ and } 0.022 \] respectively (as determined by chi-square analysis).

\[ \text{TABLE 2. Quantitative-competitive inhibition tests among cockroach, shrimp, and crab sera}^a \]

| No. | f24 (kU/liter) | f24 (kU/liter) | f23 (kU/liter) |
|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| -   | + i6 (%) inhibition | + f23 (%) inhibition | + i6 (%) inhibition |
| 17  | 3.63 | 0.94 (74) | 3.63 | 0.78 (79) | 5.09 | 1.39 (73) |
| 25  | 0.51 | <0.35 (31) | 0.51 | 0.45 (12) | 0.57 | 0.36 (37) |
| 34  | 0.50 | <0.35 (30) | 0.50 | <0.35 (30) | 1.01 | 0.63 (38) |
| 39  | 0.90 | 0.74 (18) | 0.90 | 0.58 (36) | 0.83 | 0.69 (17) |
| 39  | 0.83 | 0.73 (12) | 0.83 | <0.35 (58) | 1.25 | 0.99 (21) |
| 40  | 6.44 | 5.34 (17) | 6.44 | 1.85 (71) | 7.03 | 5.37 (24) |
| 42  | 29.9 | 20.1 (33) | 29.9 | 4.05 (86) | 38.2 | 19.3 (49) |
| 44  | 5.46 | 3.30 (40) | 5.46 | <0.35 (94) | 5.40 | 3.33 (29) |
| 50  | 0.75 | 0.55 (27) | 0.75 | <0.35 (53) | 5.60 | 4.09 (27) |
| 54  | 3.68 | 2.86 (22) | 3.68 | 0.7 (81) | 5.1 | 2.28 (55) |
| 57  | 1.85 | 0.72 (61) | 1.85 | <0.35 (81) | 2.27 | 1.01 (56) |
| 61  | 6.56 | 2.96 (55) | 6.56 | 0.39 (94) | 6.97 | 3.33 (52) |
| 62  | 1.34 | 1.16 (13) | 1.34 | 0.7 (84) | 2.2 | 2.1 (5) |
| 66  | 6.32 | 4.10 (35) | 6.32 | 2.14 (66) | 10.5 | 8.65 (18) |
| 67  | 3.42 | 2.00 (42) | 3.42 | 0.37 (89) | 3.48 | 2.49 (28) |

* i6, cockroach; f23, crab; f24, shrimp; –, untreated serum; +, serum prebound with the allergen in ImmunoCAP. The mean percent inhibition values for f24 + i6, f24 + f23, and f24 + i6 are 34, 65, and 35%, respectively, and the associated \( P \) values are 0.139, 0.007, and 0.022, respectively (as determined by chi-square analysis).
gens by the quantitative-competitive inhibition tests. As shown in Table 3, small inhibitions of the serum IgE against these allergens were observed. The result indicated that these sera appear to have the distinct IgEs reactive to dust mites, house dust, and dog dander separately.

The quantitative-competitive inhibition test has been applied to examine the level of immunosimilarity between allergens. For example, it was used by Petersen et al. for the examination of IgE cross-reactivity between tomato fruit and grass pollen allergens (17) and by Hansen et al. for the examination of IgE cross-reactivity among different species of fishes (10). The results of quantitative-competitive inhibition tests in our study indicate that the extent of immunosimilarity was low among dust mites, house dust, and dog dander and higher among shrimp, crab, and cockroach sera.

The quantitative-competitive inhibition tests in this study were performed with ImmunoCAP for prebinding of serum to allergen. The limitation of this method is that only one dose of allergen could be applied. However, we used this method to examine the cross-reactivity between shrimp and cockroach sera in the clinical laboratory and showed that it is appropriate to analyze the cross-reactivity between two allergens.

**Immunoblotting of serum IgE against shrimp, crab, and cockroach.** To examine the molecular features of cross-reactivity among shrimp, crab, and cockroach, the immunoblotting and inhibition of immunoblotting were performed. Since the result from Table 2 showed that a high degree of immunosimilarity is present between shrimp and crab and partial immunosimilarity is evident between either shrimp and cockroach or between crab and cockroach, the serum of sample 61 was chosen for this experiment. We demonstrated (Fig. 1A) that this serum exhibited reactivity to several shrimp proteins. Moreover, reactivity to shrimp proteins was dramatically inhibited if the serum was prebound with crab allergens and slightly inhibited if the serum was prebound with cockroach allergens. Figure 1B shows the immunoblotting of crab with a major reactivity to a protein of 31 kDa. In addition, the inhibition of immunoblotting is higher by shrimp allergens (lane 3) than by cockroach allergens (lane 2). Figure 1C shows the immunoblotting of cockroach sera, and a smear of protein bands seems to indicate that many cockroach proteins were recognized by the serum IgE. In addition, the inhibition of immunoblotting with the serum prebound by either shrimp or crab allergens was consistent with the results of the quantitative-competitive inhibition tests given in Table 2. Therefore, the results of immunoblotting appear to be consistent with those of quantitative-competitive inhibition tests.

Pascual et al. have demonstrated the cross-reactivity between IgE binding proteins from *Anisakis simplex* larvae (parasite of seafoods), *Blattella germanica* (German cockroach), and *Chironomidae* (red mosquito larvae) (15). Also, Santos et al. have identified tropomyosin as a major allergen of cockroach (19). However, our results show that the inhibitions between either cockroach and shrimp or between cockroach and crab were only partial. In particular, our examinations, including quantitative-competitive inhibition tests and the inhibition of immunoblotting, showed that the inhibition between shrimp and crab seems to be higher. These results indicate that similar epitopes of shrimp and crab allergens are involved in IgE binding. Interestingly, Cantisani et al. also showed that the common structure of epitopes indeed existed in human milk whey proteins and B-lactoglobulin in cow’s milk proteins (5). Although cross-reactivity between epitopes in vivo may not have correlation to cross-reactivity in a clinical setting, further studies on the peptide sequence and tertiary structure of common epitopes should still shed light on the molecular mechanism of IgE cross-reactivity.

In conclusion, the immunosimilarity among several allergens was quantitated with quantitative-competitive inhibition tests and analyzed based on the inhibition of immunoblotting. A
high degree of immunosimilarity between shrimp and crab allergens was determined. The result indicates that the cross-reactivity between shrimp and crab sera was induced by a similar, if not identical, allergen epitope. In addition, the result of immunoblotting indicates that the 31-kDa crab protein is involved in the binding of allergen to IgE.
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