New Polyphenols from a Deep Sea Spiromastix sp. Fungus, and Their Antibacterial Activities

Siwen Niu 1,2, Dong Liu 2, Peter Proksch 3, Zongze Shao 1,4,* and Wenhan Lin 2,*

1 College of Marine Life, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China; E-Mails: niusi123@126.com (S.N.); shaozz@163.com (Z.S.)
2 State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China; E-Mail: liudong_1982@126.com
3 Institute für Pharmazeutische Biologie und Biotechnologie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, Geb.26.23, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany; E-Mail: proksch@uni-duesseldor.de
4 Key Laboratory of Marine Biogenetic Resources, Third Institute of Oceanography, SOA, Xiamen 361005, China

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: whlin@bjmu.edu.cn (W.L.); shaozz@163.com (Z.S.); Tel.: +86-10-8280-6188 (W.L.); +86-592-2195321 (Z.S.).

Academic Editor: Johannes F. Imhoff

Received: 11 February 2015 / Accepted: 13 April 2015 / Published: 22 April 2015

Abstract: Eleven new polyphenols namely spiromastols A–K (1–11) were isolated from the fermentation broth of a deep sea-derived fungus Spiromastix sp. MCCC 3A00308. Their structures were determined by extensive NMR data and mass spectroscopic analysis in association with chemical conversion. The structures are classified as diphenyl ethers, diphenyl esters and isocoumarin derivatives, while the n-propyl group in the analogues is rarely found in natural products. Compounds 1–3 exhibited potent inhibitory effects against a panel of bacterial strains, including Xanthomonas vesicatoria, Pseudomonas lachrymans, Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Ralstonia solanacearum, Bacillus thuringensis, Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis, with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values ranging from 0.25 to 4 µg/mL. The structure-activity relationships are discussed, while the polychlorinated analogues 1–3 are assumed to be a promising structural model for further development as antibacterial agents.
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1. Introduction

The deep sea is a vast and relatively untapped source of unique molecular, structural and biological diversity with less than 2% of marine natural products reported in literature [1–3]. Life in the deep sea requires its inhabitants to adapt their biochemical machinery to cope with extreme conditions, involving exposure to high hydrostatic pressures, variable temperatures and low oxygen and light. The extremophilic organisms may have the potential to induce primary and secondary metabolic pathways to give rise to structurally unique metabolites. The recent advancements in marine technologies have allowed accessing the deep sea and to detect microbial activities [4,5], while screening of phylogenetically diverse and unique organisms from rare or extreme ecosystems in the deep ocean floor has been used to discover relevant bioactive metabolites. Deep sea derived natural products have emerged as a new frontier in drug discovery and development, leading to the identification of anti-tumor, anti-microtubule, anti-proliferative, photoprotective, antibiotic and anti-fouling compounds in the marine environment [6–12]. Despite the high scientific and commercial interest in the microbial ecology of these ecosystems, relatively little is known about the diversity of functional taxonomic groups of free-living microbes that occupy these niches as well as their biotechnological potential [13]. Fungi derived from deep water sediments have yielded an array of interesting new metabolites, including indole diketopiperazines, indole and quinazolinone alkaloids, sterigmatocystin derivatives, benzodiazipine alkaloids, polyketides, spirotetrapenoids, sesquiterpene quinones, sorbicillinoids, and trichoderones with strong bioactivities such as cytotoxic, antibiotic and antiviral effects.

In the course of our ongoing search for structurally unusual and bioactive secondary metabolites from deep sea derived microorganisms, a Spiromastix sp. fungus MCCC 3A00308 isolated from a sediment of South Atlantic at depth of 2869 m was examined chemically. Previously, a number of new depsidone-based spiromastixones A–O with potent antibacterial effects were isolated from the fermentation broth of this specimen [14]. Further examination of the minor components resulted in the isolation of 11 new diaryl derivatives, named spiromastols A–K (1–11) (Figure 1). Herein, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of the new compounds and their antibacterial activities.

Figure 1. Structures of spiromastols A–K (1–11).
2. Results and Discussion

Spiromastol A (1) was isolated as a colorless oil (Figure 1). Its molecular formula was deduced as C_{18}H_{15}Cl_{3}O_{4} on the basis of the HRESIMS (m/z 403.0272 [M – H]) and NMR data, requiring eight degrees of unsaturation and containing three chlorine atoms. The IR absorption bands at 3408, 1651 and 1601 cm\(^{-1}\) suggested the presence of hydroxy and aromatic functionalities. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum displayed three exchangeable protons (δ\(\text{H}\) 10.00, 9.99 and 9.73), two aromatic singlets at δ\(\text{H}\) 5.97 (1H, s, H-1) and 6.57 (1H, s, H-3′), and the alkyl protons for four methylene and two methyl groups. The \(^13\)C NMR spectrum exhibited a total of 18 carbon resonances, including 12 aromatic carbons for two phenyl moieties (rings A and B) and six alkyl carbons for two n-propyl groups, which were assigned by the COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2). In regard to the substitution of the aromatic ring A, the HMBC interaction of H-1 with a n-propyl methylene (δ\(\text{C}\) 35.6, C-7) allowed to assign the location of the n-propyl group vicinal to C-1 (δ\(\text{C}\) 106.7). Additional HMBC interactions from H-1 to C-2 (δ\(\text{C}\) 153.1), C-3 (δ\(\text{C}\) 108.4), and C-5 (δ\(\text{C}\) 114.8), and a weak correlation with C-4 (δ\(\text{C}\) 150.3), H2-7 (δ\(\text{H}\) 2.48, m) to C-1, C-5 and C-6 (δ\(\text{C}\) 139.0), and a phenol proton at δ\(\text{H}\) 10.00 (brs, OH-4) to C-3, C-4 and C-5 established a 3,5-disubstituted and 2,4-dioxygenated 6-propylphenyl segment, in which C-4 was hydroxylated. Similarly, the substitution of the aromatic ring B was established on the basis of the HMBC relationships. The observation of the HMBC interactions from the aromatic proton H-3′ to C-1′ (δ\(\text{C}\) 132.7), C-2′ (δ\(\text{C}\) 149.3), C-4′ (δ\(\text{C}\) 151.5) and C-5′ (δ\(\text{C}\) 110.4), the phenol proton at δ\(\text{H}\) 9.73 (s, OH-2′) to C-1′, C-2′ and C-3′ (δ\(\text{C}\) 102.7), and the other phenol proton at δ\(\text{H}\) 9.99 (s, OH-4′) to C-3′, C-4′ and C-5′, in addition to the HMBC interactions of the second n-propyl protons, assigned a 5′-substituted and 1′-oxygenated 2′,4′-dihydroxy-6′-propylphenyl ring. Since two aromatic rings covered eight degrees of the molecular unsaturation, the connection of rings A and B was suggested through a C-C bond or an ether bond. The observation of NOE interaction between H-1 and OH-2′ (Figure 2) assumed an ether linkage across C-2 and C-1′. Thus, the quaternary carbons C-3, C-5 and C-5′ were substituted by chlorine atoms.
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**Figure 2.** Key 2D NMR correlations of 1, 7 and 9.

The molecular formula of spiromastol B (2) was determined as C_{18}H_{18}Cl_{4}O_{4} by the HRESIMS (m/z 436.9873 [M – H]) and NMR data, indicating the presence of one more chlorine atom and the absence of a proton in comparison with those of 1. The NMR data of 2 were very similar to those of 1, with the exception of a quaternary carbon (δ\(\text{C}\) 109.6, C-3′) of 2 to replace an aromatic methine of 1. Comparison of the NMR data in association with the HMBC interactions, such as from H2-7 (δ\(\text{H}\) 2.49, m) to C-1 (δ\(\text{C}\) 106.8), C-5 (δ\(\text{C}\) 115.4) and C-6 (δ\(\text{C}\) 139.3), from the phenol proton OH-4 (δ\(\text{H}\) 10.10, s) to C-3...
Spiromastol C (3) has a molecular formula of C_{19}H_{20}ClO_{4} as determined by the HRESIMS data (m/z 451.0042 [M – H]−), containing a CH₂ unit more than that of 2. The similar NMR data with the exception of the presence of methoxy resonances whose protons (δ_{H} 3.83, s) correlated to C-2′ (δ_{C} 150.5) in the HMBC spectrum, clarified compound 3 to be a 2′-methoxy analogue of 2. The downfield shifted C-1′ (Δ 3.8 ppm), C-2′ (Δ 4.1 ppm) and C-3′ (Δ 6.1 ppm) in comparison with the corresponding carbons of 2 further supported the methoxy substitution.

The molecular formula of spiromastol D (4) was determined as C_{10}H_{22}O_{6} based on the HRESIMS (m/z 345.1341 [M – H]−) and NMR data. The 13C NMR spectrum provided a total of 19 carbon resonances, including 12 aromatic carbons for two phenyl rings, a carboxylic carbon, and six alkyl carbons which were assigned to two n-propyl groups based on the COSY and HMBC data. The COSY spectrum displayed two aromatic spin systems of meta-couplings between δ_{H} 5.77 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-3)/6.21(1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-5) for ring A, and δ_{H} 6.27 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, H-3′)/6.11 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, H-5′) for ring B. In the aromatic ring A, the HMBC interactions from a phenol proton at δ_{H} 9.45 (s, OH-4) to C-3 (δ_{C} 98.7), C-4 (δ_{C} 158.8) and C-5 (δ_{C} 109.1) indicated C-4 to be hydroxylated. Additional HMBC interactions from H-5 to the methylene carbon at δ_{C} 35.5 and from H-3 and H-5 to C-1 (δ_{C} 115.7) and the carboxylic carbon at δ_{C} 169.5 through 4J_{H-C} coupling, revealed the position of a n-propyl group at C-6 (δ_{C} 141.9) and the carboxylic group at C-1. The oxygenated C-2 (δ_{C} 157.1) was assigned by the 2J_{H-C} coupling between H-3 and C-2 in the HMBC spectrum. The substitution of the second n-propyl group at C-6′ (δ_{C} 137.0) in the aromatic ring B was evident from the HMBC interaction between H-5′ and the methylene carbon at δ_{C} 32.0 (C-7′), while the NOE interactions between a phenol proton at δ_{H} 9.21 (s, OH-2′) and H-3′, and from the other phenol proton at δ_{H} 9.11 (s, OH-4′) to H-3′ and H-5′ assigned a 2′, 4′-dihydroxy-6′-propylphenyl ring. Since C-4 was positioned by a hydroxy group, the connection of the aromatic ring B at C-1′ (δ_{C} 132.6) with the aromatic ring A through an ether bond with C-2 or ester bond with C-10 was suggested. The observation of the carboxylic proton at δ_{H} 12.58 (brs) clarified C-10 to be an acidic group. Thus, the linkage of ring B with ring A though an ether bond across C-2 and C-1′ was assumed.

Spiromastol E (5) has a molecular formula of C_{20}H_{24}O_{6} as determined by the HRESIMS (m/z 359.1498 [M – H]−) and NMR data, bearing a CH₂ unit more than that of 4. Apart from 5 containing an additional methoxy group (δ_{H} 3.66, δ_{C} 56.0), the NMR data of both 5 and 4 were closely similar (Tables 1 and 2). The methoxy group of 5 was positioned at C-2′ (δ_{C} 153.2) on the basis of the HMBC relationship between the methoxy protons and C-2′. Thus, compound 5 was determined as a 2′-methoxylated analogue of 4.
Table 1. The $^1$H NMR data of spiromastols A–K (1–11) ($\delta_H$ ppm, $J$ in Hz).

| No | 1 $^a$ | 2 $^a$ | 3 $^b$ | 4 $^b$ | 5 $^b$ | 6 $^c$ | 7 $^b$ | 8 $^a$ | 9 $^b$ | 10 $^b$ | 11 $^a$ |
|----|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1  | 5.97 s | 5.97 s | 6.00 s | | | | | | | | |
| 3  | 5.77 (d, 2.3) | 5.67 (d, 1.8) | 6.05 s | 5.90 brs | 5.81 (d, 1.8) | 5.96 (d, 2.2) | 6.59 (d, 1.9) | 6.55 (d, 1.7) | | | |
| 5  | 6.21 (d, 2.3) | 6.20 (d, 1.8) | 6.26 brs | 6.26 (d, 1.8) | 6.35 (d, 2.2) | 6.51 (d, 1.9) | 6.47 (d, 1.7) | | | | |
| 7  | 2.48 m | 2.49 m | 2.51 m | 2.51 (t, 6.5) | 2.49 m | 2.62 (t, 7.7) | 2.77 (dd, 10.6, 16.2) | 2.77 (dd, 10.4, 16.0) | 2.87 (dd, 2.7, 16.2) | 6.32 s | 2.61 m | 2.65 (t, 7.5) |
| 8  | 1.40 m | 1.39 m | 1.41 m | 1.56 m | 1.56 m | 1.55 m | 4.50 m | 4.51 m | | 1.55 m | 1.55 m |
| 9  | 0.81 (t, 7.2) | 0.81 (t, 7.2) | 0.81 (t, 7.3) | 0.90 (t, 7.3) | 0.90 (t, 7.2) | 0.93 (t, 7.4) | 1.37 (d, 6.3) | 1.37 (d, 6.3) | 2.42 (t, 7.3) | 0.89 (t, 7.3) | 0.89 (t, 7.5) |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | 1.63 m | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | 0.95 (t, 7.5) | |
| 3$'$ | 6.57 s | | | 6.27 (d, 2.8) | 6.39 (d, 2.4) | 6.26 (d, 2.7) | 6.28 (d, 2.6) | 6.42 (d, 2.3) | 6.31 (d, 2.6) | 6.25 (d, 1.7) | 6.24 (d, 1.8) |
| 5$'$ | 6.11 (d, 2.8) | 6.25 (d, 2.4) | 6.09 (d, 2.7) | 6.14 (d, 2.6) | 6.28 (d, 2.3) | 6.15 (d, 2.6) | 6.20 (d, 1.7) | 6.19 (d, 1.8) | | | |
| 7$'$ | 2.46 m | 2.43 m | 2.48 m | 2.28 (t, 7.4) | 2.30 (t, 7.6) | 2.26 (t, 7.6) | 2.27 (t, 7.6) | 2.28 m | 2.26 (t, 7.6) | 2.59 m | 2.59 (t, 7.7) |
| 8$'$ | 1.39 m | 1.38 m | 1.40 m | 1.40 m | 1.40 m | 1.38 m | 1.45 m | 1.43 m | 1.46 m | 1.55 m | 1.56 m |
| 9$'$ | 0.82 (t, 7.2) | 0.82 (t, 7.5) | 0.83 (t, 7.3) | 0.79 (t, 7.3) | 0.78 (t, 7.4) | 0.77 (t, 7.2) | 0.78 (t, 7.4) | 0.77 (t, 7.5) | 0.77 (t, 7.4) | 0.90 (t, 7.3) | 0.90 (t, 7.3) |
| 1-COOH | | | | | | 12.58 brs | 12.37 brs | 12.78 brs | | | |
| 2-OH | | | | | | | | | | 12.23 brs | 12.20 brs |
| 4-OH | 10.00 brs | 10.10 s | 9.45 s | 9.39 brs | 10.10 s | 10.20 s | 10.22 s | 10.46 s | | | |
| 2'-OH | 9.73 s | 9.91 s | 9.21 s | 9.33 brs | 9.27 s | | | 9.37 s | 10.14 s | | |
| 2'-OCH$_3$ | | | | | | 3.83 s | 3.66 s | 3.65 s | | | |
| 4'-OH | 9.99 s | 9.86 s | 9.11 brs | 9.34 brs | 9.12 s | 9.12 s | 9.37 s | 9.18 s | 9.87 s | 9.88 s | |

$^a$ recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 500 MHz; $^b$ recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 400 MHz; $^c$ recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 600 MHz.
Table 2. $^{13}$C NMR data of spiromastols A–K (1–11) (δc ppm).

| No | 1 $^a$ | 2 $^a$ | 3 $^b$ | 4 $^b$ | 5 $^b$ | 6 $^c$ | 7 $^b$ | 8 $^b$ | 9 $^b$ | 10 $^b$ | 11 $^a$ |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 106.7 CH | 106.8 CH | 106.8 CH | 115.7 C | 115.9 C | 116.4 C | 105.0 C | 104.7 C | 100.8 C | 113.6 CH | 118.8 C |
| 2  | 153.1 C | 152.9 C | 152.5 C | 157.1 C | 156.9 C | 153.9 C | 162.4 C | 162.4 C | 158.3 C | 157.4 C | 158.3 C |
| 3  | 108.4 C | 108.9 C | 109.0 C | 98.7 CH | 98.3 CH | 99.3 C | 100.6 CH | 100.2 C | 100.7 CH | 107.5 CH | 107.5 CH |
| 4  | 150.3 C | 150.5 C | 150.6 C | 158.8 C | 158.7 C | 154.2 C | 162.8 C | 162.8 C | 164.2 C | 152.2 C | 152.2 C |
| 5  | 114.8 C | 115.4 C | 115.6 C | 109.1 CH | 108.9 C | 112.0 C | 107.6 CH | 107.7 CH | 103.9 CH | 119.1 C | 113.5 CH |
| 6  | 139.0 C | 139.3 C | 139.5 C | 141.9 C | 141.7 C | 137.9 C | 144.1 C | 144.2 C | 141.9 C | 143.1 C | 143.5 C |
| 7  | 35.6 CH2 | 35.5 CH2 | 35.5 CH2 | 35.5 CH2 | 33.2 CH2 | 35.8 CH2 | 35.8 CH2 | 103.1 CH | 35.9 CH2 | 36.1 CH2 | 103.1 CH |
| 8  | 22.8 CH2 | 22.8 CH2 | 22.8 CH2 | 24.3 CH2 | 24.2 CH2 | 22.6 CH2 | 73.5 CH | 73.5 CH | 158.1 CH | 24.4 CH2 | 24.4 CH2 |
| 9  | 13.8 CH3 | 13.8 CH3 | 13.8 CH3 | 14.2 CH3 | 14.4 CH3 | 14.2 CH3 | 20.9 CH3 | 20.9 CH3 | 34.8 CH2 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 |
| 10 | 169.5 C | 169.2 C | 168.4 C | 168.5 C | 168.5 C | 20.1 CH2 | 170.2 C | 170.4 C | 13.8 CH3 | 162.8 C | 162.8 C |
| 11 | 132.7 C | 134.2 C | 138.0 C | 132.6 C | 133.2 C | 131.8 C | 132.6 C | 133.1 C | 132.2 C | 110.0 C | 110.0 C |
| 12 | 149.3 C | 146.4 C | 150.5 C | 150.8 C | 153.2 C | 150.3 C | 150.4 C | 152.7 C | 150.3 C | 159.0 C | 159.1 C |
| 1' | 102.7 CH | 109.6 C | 115.7 C | 102.0 CH | 99.0 CH | 101.6 CH | 102.1 CH | 99.1 CH | 102.2 CH | 101.0 CH | 101.0 CH |
| 2' | 151.5 C | 148.0 C | 147.0 C | 155.2 C | 155.5 C | 154.9 C | 155.3 C | 155.7 C | 155.4 C | 160.9 C | 160.9 C |
| 3' | 110.4 C | 112.8 C | 118.8 C | 107.4 CH | 108.3 CH | 106.9 CH | 107.4 CH | 108.3 CH | 107.5 CH | 108.9 CH | 108.9 CH |
| 4' | 134.6 C | 132.7 C | 133.5 C | 137.0 C | 137.0 C | 136.4 C | 136.5 C | 136.8 C | 136.3 C | 144.2 C | 144.2 C |
| 5' | 30.1 CH2 | 30.1 CH2 | 30.1 CH2 | 32.0 CH2 | 31.8 CH2 | 31.5 CH2 | 32.2 CH2 | 32.0 CH2 | 32.2 CH2 | 36.3 CH2 | 36.4 CH2 |
| 7' | 22.3 CH2 | 22.1 CH2 | 22.1 CH2 | 23.5 CH2 | 23.4 CH2 | 23.0 CH2 | 23.1 CH2 | 23.0 CH2 | 23.0 CH2 | 24.6 CH2 | 24.6 CH2 |
| 8' | 14.4 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.4 CH3 | 14.1 CH3 | 13.7 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.3 CH3 | 14.4 CH3 | 14.4 CH3 |
| 10' | 60.8 CH3 | 56.0 CH3 | 56.0 CH3 | 167.3 C | 167.4 C | 167.3 C | 167.4 C | 167.3 C | 167.4 C | 167.3 C | 167.4 C |

* recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 125 MHz; $^b$ recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 100 MHz; $^c$ recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ at 150 MHz.
The molecular formula of spiromast F (6) was determined as C_{19}H_{21}ClO_6 by the HRESIMS (m/z 379.0951 [M – H]^{-}) and NMR data, with one chlorine atom more than that of 4. Comparison of the NMR data revealed that both compounds had the same partial structure of the aromatic ring B, whereas a quaternary carbon at δC 112.0 (C-5) in the aromatic ring A of 6 was recognized to replace the aromatic methine C-5 of 4. This finding reflected C-5 of 6 to be substituted by a chlorine atom. This assignment was supported by the HMBC correlations from H_2-7 and OH-4’ (δH 10.10, s) to C-5, in association with the similar NMR data and HMBC relationships of the remaining resonances.

Spiromast G (7) has a molecular formula of C_{19}H_{20}O_6, as determined by the HRESIMS (m/z 343.1176 [M – H]^{-}) and NMR data, requiring ten degrees of unsaturation. The NMR data of 7 regarding the aromatic ring B were compatible to those of 4, indicating that both compounds share the same partial structure of ring B. The distinction was attributed to the substitution of the propyl group at C-6 of the aromatic ring A, in which the methyl protons (δH 1.37, d, J = 6.3 Hz, H_3-9) showed a COSY correlation with an oxymethine proton (δH 4.50, m, H-8) and the HMBC interactions with C-8 (δc 73.5) and C-7 (δc 35.8). These facts indicated C-8 to be substituted by an oxygen atom. Additional HMBC interaction between H-8 and the carbonyl carbon at δc 168.5 (C-10) allowed the formation of a δ-lactone. Thus, ring A was assigned as a 8-methyldihydroisocoumarine unit. The HMBC interactions of phenol protons at δH 10.20 (1H, s, OH-4), 9.27 (1H, s, OH-2’) and 9.12 (1H, s, OH-4’) with the aromatic carbons allowed the assignment of C-4 (δc 162.8), C-2’ (δc 150.4) and C-4’ (δc 155.3) to be hydroxylated. Additional HMBC relationships from the aromatic protons to the aromatic carbons (Figure 2) assigned C-2 (δc 162.4) and C-1’ (δc 132.6) to be substituted by oxygen atoms. The absence of phenol protons for OH-2 and OH-1’ conducted the connection of rings A and B through an ether bond across C-2/C-1’. Based on the helicity rule of the chiral benzoic ester chromophore [15,16], the negative circular dichroism (CD) effect (Figure 3) at 273 nm (Δε-1.23) for the n-π* transition reflected 8R configuration.

Figure 3. Circular dichroism (CD) curves of 7 and 8.

Spiromastol H (8) has a molecular formula of C_{20}H_{22}O_6 as provided by the HRESIMS (m/z 357.1338 [M – H]^{-}) and NMR data, containing a CH_2 unit more than that of 7. The NMR data of both
8 and 7 were very similar, except for 8 presenting an additional methoxy group (δ_H 3.65, δ_C 56.0). These findings indicated 8 to be a methoxylated analogue of 7. The HMBC relationship between the methoxy protons and C-2′ (δ_C 152.7) determined 8 as a 2′-methoxylated analogue of 7. The similar CD effects [Δε = 1.84 (272)] (Figure 3) revealed the same configuration at C-8 of both compounds.

The molecular formula of spiromast I (9) was established as C_{21}H_{22}O_6 based on the HRESIMS (m/z 369.1339 [M − H]^+) and NMR data, requiring 11 degrees of unsaturation. Comparison of the NMR data resulted in the partial structure regarding the aromatic ring B to be the same as that of 7. Analysis of 1D and 2D NMR (COSY, HMQC and HMBC) data disclosed an isocoumarin core, based on the presence of meta-spin system at H-3 (δ_H 5.96, d, J = 2.2 Hz) and H-5 (δ_H 6.35, d, J = 2.2 Hz), in association with the HMBC interactions from H-5 to C-1 (δ_C 100.8), C-3 (δ_C 100.7), C-4 (δ_C 164.2), C-7 (δ_C 103.1) and C-12 (δ_C 162.8), H-3 to C-1, C-2 (δ_C 158.3), C-4, C-5 (δ_C 103.9) and C-12, H-7 (δ_H 6.32, s) to C-1, C-5, C-6 (δ_C 141.9), and C-9 (δ_C 34.8), as well as a phenol proton at δ_H 10.46 (s, OH-4) to C-3, C-4 and C-5. These findings ascertained C-4 to be hydroxyalted, while C-2 was substituted by an oxygen atom. The COSY correlations afforded an additional n-propyl group, whose methylene protons at δ_H 2.42 (2H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-2-9) showed the HMBC correlations with C-8 and C-7, indicating the n-propyl group to be positioned at C-8. Since the phenol protons OH-4, OH-2′ (δ_H 9.36, s) and OH-4′ (δ_H 9.18, s) were defined, the only possibility for the connection of isocoumarin moiety with the aromatic ring B through a C-2/C-1′ ether bond was determined.

Spiromast J (10) had a molecular formula of C_{20}H_{22}O_7 as determined by the HRESIMS (m/z 373.1287 [M − H]^+) and NMR data. Analysis of the 1H and 13C NMR data revealed the presence of two aromatic rings, two n-propyl groups and two carboxylic carbons, while the HMQC spectrum assigned the protons and their associated carbons. In the COSY spectrum, two spin systems for meta-coupling aromatic protons between δ_H 6.59 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, H-3)/6.51 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, H-5) and δ_H 6.25 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, H-3′)/6.20 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, H-5′) were observed. The substitution of the n-propyl groups at C-6 (δ_C 143.1) and C-6′ (δ_C 144.2), respectively, was evident from the HMBC interactions between H-5/C-7 (δ_C 35.9) and H-5′/C-7′ (δ_C 36.3). A phenol proton at δ_H 10.14 (s) showed the HMBC correlations with C-1′ (δ_C 110.0), C-2′ (δ_C 159.0) and C-3′ (δ_C 101.0) assigned C-2′ to be hydroxyalted. Although the absence of the HMBC interaction for remaining phenol protons due to their broad signals, the HMBC correlations of the aromatic protons enabled to assign C-2 (δ_C 157.4) and C-4 (δ_C 152.2) of the aromatic ring A, and C-4′ (δ_C 160.9) of the aromatic ring B to be oxygenated. The J_H-C correlations observed from H-3 and H-5 to the carboxylic carbon at δ_C 170.2 (C-10) and from H-3′ and H-5′ to δ_C 167.3 (C-10′) conducted the carboxylic groups to be located at C-1 and C-1′, respectively. In order to establish the connection of both aromatic rings A and B, compound 10 was methylated [17] to form an analogue 10a (Figure 4), which displayed four methoxy resonances in the 1H NMR spectrum. The HMBC interactions of 10a between δ_H 3.92 (3H, s, OMe)/δ_C 168.5 (s, C-10), δ_H 3.85 (3H, s, OMe)/δ_C 157.3 (s, C-2), δ_H 3.86 (3H, s, OMe)/δ_C 158.6 (s, C-2′), and δ_H 3.89 (3H, s, OMe)/δ_C 161.9 (s, C-4′), clarified an ester bond formed across C-4 and C-10′.

Spiromast K (11) has the same molecular formula as that of 10 as determined by the HRESIMS (m/z 373.1281 [M − H]^+) data. The NMR data of both 11 and 10 were virtually similar. The presence of the phenol protons OH-2′ (δ_H 10.14, s) and OH-4′ (δ_H 9.88, s) in addition to their HMBC correlations with the aromatic carbons resulted in the partial structure regarding the aromatic ring B of 11 to be the same as that of 10. A phenol proton at δ_H 9.87 (s) in ring A showing the HMBC...
interactions with C-3 ($\delta_c$ 107.5), C-4 ($\delta_c$ 152.2) and C-5 ($\delta_c$ 113.5) revealed C-4 to be hydroxylated. Thus, the connection of aromatic rings B with A through an ester bond across C-10' ($\delta_c$ 167.4) and C-2 ($\delta_c$ 158.3) was assumed. The HMBC interactions of the methylated analogue 11a (Figure 4) further supported the structural assignment.

Figure 4. Methylation of 10 and 11.

Spiromastols (1–11) were tested against a panel of bacterial strains, including Xanthomones vesicatoria ATCC 11633, Pseudomonas lachrymans ATCC11921, Agrobacterium tumefaciens ATCC11158, Ralstonia solanacearum ATCC11696, Bacillus thuringensis ATCC 10792, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 and Bacillus subtilis CMCC 63501. As shown in Table 3, compounds 1–3 exhibited potent antibacterial activities against all strains of bacteria with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values ranging from 0.25 to 4 µg/mL, while compounds 9–11 showed moderate inhibition with MIC values in the range of 8–64 µg/mL. However, no inhibition was observed for compounds 4–8. Analysis of the structure-activity relationships of spiromastols revealed that antibacterial activities depended on the substitution in rings A and B. The dichlorinated ring A (1–3) increased the inhibitory effects against bacteria, while the 2'-methoxylated analogue 3 showed more potent effect than that with a 2'-hydroxy group (2). The analogues with a carboxylic acid at C-1 (4–6) dramatically decreased the antibacterial activity. Comparison of the data of 7–9 (Table 3) revealed that isocoumarin 9 showed stronger inhibition than those with dihydroisocoumarin scaffold (7–8). The analogues with an ester bond connecting rings A and B (10–11) showed stronger effects than those with an ether bond (4–6). These data may help to design or modify new analogues with potential antibacterial effects.
Table 3. Antibacterial activities of compounds 1–11.

| MIC (µg/mL) | Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 | Bacillus subtilis CMCC 63501 | Bacillus thuringiensis ATCC 10792 | Ralstonia solanacearum ATCC 11696 | Xanthomonas vesicatoria ATCC 11633 | Agrobacterium tumefaciens ATCC11158 | Pseudomonas lachrymans ATCC11921 |
|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Compound   |                                  |                               |                                  |                                    |                                   |                               |                             |
| 1          | 0.5                               | 0.5                           | 0.25                             | 0.5                                | 0.5                               | 0.25                          | 0.5                         |
| 2          | 4                                 | 4                             | 4                                | 4                                  | 4                                 | 2                             | 4                           |
| 3          | 0.25                              | 0.5                           | 0.5                              | 0.5                                | 0.5                               | 0.5                           | 0.5                         |
| 4          | >128                              | >128                          | >128                             | >128                               | >128                              | >128                          | >128                        |
| 5          | >128                              | >128                          | >128                             | >128                               | >128                              | >128                          | >128                        |
| 6          | >128                              | >128                          | >128                             | >128                               | >128                              | >128                          | >128                        |
| 7          | >128                              | >128                          | >128                             | >128                               | >128                              | >128                          | >128                        |
| 8          | >128                              | >128                          | >128                             | >128                               | >128                              | >128                          | >128                        |
| 9          | 8                                 | 8                             | 8                                | 8                                  | 16                                | 8                             | 16                          |
| 10         | 32                                | 32                            | 32                               | 32                                 | 64                                | 32                            | 32                          |
| 11         | 32                                | 32                            | 32                               | 32                                 | 64                                | 32                            | 32                          |
| CP a       | 1                                 | 1                             | 1                                | 2                                  | 2                                 | 2                             | 2                           |

CP a: chloroamphenicol, positive control.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a Rudolph IV Autopol automatic polarimeter at 25 °C. UV spectra were measured on a Cary 300 spectrometer. IR spectra were measured on a Thermo Nicolet Nexus 470 FT-IR spectrometer. CD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter. $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance 400, 500, and 600 NMR spectrometers, respectively. Chemical shifts are expressed in δ (ppm) referenced to the solvent peaks at δH 2.50 and δC 39.5 for DMSO-d$_6$, and δH 7.26 and δC 77.2 for CDCl$_3$, respectively, and coupling constants are in Hz. HRESIMS spectra were obtained from Xevo G2 Q-TOF mass spectrometer. Materials for column chromatography (CC) involved silica gel (100–200 and 200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemistry Co. Ltd., Qingdao, China), ODS gel (50 μm, YMC, Japan) and Sephadex LH-20 (18–110 μm, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Precoated silica gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F254, 0.25 mm) were used for TLC analysis. HPLC chromatography was performed on a Waters e2695 separation Module coupled with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector and a semi-preparative reversed-phased column (YMC-packed C$_{18}$, 5 μm, 250 mm × 10 mm) was used for purification.

3.2. Fungal Material and Fermentation

The fungal Spiromastix sp. MCCC 3A00308 was isolated from a deep ocean sediment, which was collected with TV-multicore in June 2011 from the South Atlantic Ocean at site S015-1VMC06 (GPS 13.75° W, 15.17° S) at a depth of 2869 m during the Comra 22nd oceanic cruise Leg 5. The fungus was identified as Spiromastix genus by ITS gene sequence analysis (GeneBank accession number KJ010057). The strain MCCC 3A00308 was deposited in the Marine Culture Collection Center (MCCC), Third Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, Xiamen, China. The fungus
Spiromastix sp. MCCC 3A00308 was cultured on PDA slants at 25 °C for 10 days. The fermentation was carried out in Erlenmeyer flasks (50 × 500 mL), each containing 100 g of rice, to which distilled H$_2$O (140 mL) was added. The contents were soaked overnight before autoclaving at 15 psi for 30 min. After cooling to about 30 °C, each flask was inoculated with 5 mL of the spore inoculum and incubated at 25 °C for 50 days.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

The fermentation broth of Spiromastix sp. MCCC 3A00308 fungus was extracted three times with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 L). The organic extracts were evaporated under vacuum to afford crude extracts (58.4 g). The crude extract was subjected to silica gel vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) eluted with petroleum ether–Me$_2$CO (50:1–1:1) to afford 10 fractions (FA–FJ). FG (6.1 g) was purified through an ODS column eluted with MeOH–H$_2$O (1:1–1:0) to give eleven sub-fractions (SFG1–SFG11). SFG9 (165 mg) was separated sequentially on ODS column eluted with MeOH–H$_2$O (1:1–1:0), and semi-preparative HPLC with a mobile phase of MeCN–H$_2$O (4:1) to yield compound 3 (3.8 mg). FH (8.2 g) was separated by ODS chromatography eluted with MeOH–H$_2$O (1:1–1:0) to obtain ten sub-fractions (SFH1–SFH10). SFH5 (423 mg) was purified by silica gel column eluted with petroleum ether-acetone (10:1) and then by semi-preparative HPLC with a mobile phase of MeCN–H$_2$O (11:9) to obtain 6 (1.2 mg), while compounds 9 (6.5 mg), 11 (4.1 mg), and 10 (18.7 mg) were separated from SFH4 (120 mg) by the same protocol as for SFH5. SFH6 (72 mg) was subjected to a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with MeOH to afford compound 1 (4.6 mg). SFH7 (1.1 g) was purified by an ODS column eluted with MeOH–H$_2$O (2:3–1:0), and then by semi-preparative HPLC with a mobile phase of MeCN–H$_2$O (33:17) to obtain 2 (2.0 mg). Fl (2.7 g) was separated by ODS chromatography eluted with MeOH–H$_2$O (1:1–1:0) to obtain twenty-eight sub-fractions (SF11–SF128). SF120 (168 mg) was separated by a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with MeOH to collect three fractions (SF120-1 to SF120-3). The semi-preparative HPLC separation of SF120-1 (28 mg) with a mobile phase of MeCN–H$_2$O (11:9) to yield compounds 5 (3.5 mg) and 8 (1.6 mg), while compounds 7 (5.0 mg) and 4 (22.3 mg) were obtained from SF120-2 (46 mg) by the same protocol as for SF120-1.

Spiromastol A (1): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log$\varepsilon$) 215 (4.48), 288 (3.71); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3408, 2962, 2871, 1651, 1601, 1459, 1242, 1154 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 403.0272 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$O$_4$Cl$_3$, 403.0271).

Spiromastol B (2): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log$\varepsilon$) 213 (4.42), 288 (3.54); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3450, 2961, 2930, 2870, 1716, 1580, 1442, 1335, 1227, 1180 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 436.9873 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$O$_4$Cl$_4$, 436.9881).

Spiromastol C (3): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log$\varepsilon$) 215 (4.59), 288 (3.46); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3360, 2960, 2933, 2870, 1655, 1581, 1461, 1419, 1313, 1246, 1198 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 451.0042 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$O$_4$Cl$_4$, 451.0037).

Spiromastol D (4): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log$\varepsilon$) 213 (3.37), 282 (3.67); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3276, 2961, 2930, 2870, 1705, 1605, 1459, 1317, 1239, 1193 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 345.1341 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$O$_6$, 345.1338).
Spiromastol E (5): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 208 (4.19), 279 (3.46); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3281, 2959, 2930, 2870, 1705, 1604, 1458, 1321, 1251, 1195 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 359.1498 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{23}$O$_6$, 359.1495).

Spiromastol F (6): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 215 (4.26), 282 (3.52); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3306, 2961, 2931, 2871, 1701, 1607, 1458, 1364, 1231, 1196 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 379.0951 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{23}$O$_6$Cl, 379.0948).

Spiromastol G (7): Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^20$ $−$4 (c = 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 206 (4.27), 227 (4.25), 266 (3.98), 292 (3.64); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3349, 2960, 2928, 2869, 1676, 1608, 1464, 1340, 1257, 1186, 1158 cm$^{-1}$; CD (MeOH) $\lambda$ (Δε) 301 (−1.06), 291 (−1.02), 273 (−1.23), 259 (0.30), 238 (−3.47), 215 (7.38). $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 343.1176 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{23}$O$_6$, 343.1182).

Spiromastol H (8): Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^20$ $−$6 (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 205 (4.16), 226 (4.02), 265 (3.81), 292 (3.51); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3358, 2961, 2930, 2870, 1688, 1607, 1463, 1337, 1249, 1193 cm$^{-1}$; CD (MeOH) $\lambda$ (Δε) 301 (−1.06), 291 (−0.75), 272 (−1.84), 257 (−0.11), 243 (−0.47), 213 (4.12). $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 357.1338 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{23}$O$_6$, 357.1338).

Spiromastol I (9): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 207 (4.26), 245 (4.60), 278 (3.88), 321 (3.64); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3246, 2960, 2871, 1689, 1597, 1461, 1359 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 369.1339 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{24}$O$_6$, 369.1338).

Spiromastol J (10): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 216 (4.41), 270 (4.13), 301 (3.92); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3396, 2960, 2872, 1656, 1614, 1451, 1311, 1248, 1192 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 373.1287 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{24}$O$_7$, 373.1287).

Spiromastol K (11): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 216 (4.32), 270 (4.04), 300 (3.85); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3163, 2959, 2870, 1654, 1591, 1453, 1310, 1248, 1195 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m/z$ 373.1281 [M − H]$^-$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{24}$O$_7$, 373.1287).

### 3.4. Methylation

Compound 10 (5.0 mg) was dissolved in anhydrous acetone (900 μL), and then K$_2$CO$_3$ (3.8 mg) and CH$_3$I (650 μL) were added to the solution, which was stirred for 16 h at 0 °C. After filtration, the solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified on a silica gel column eluting with petroleum ether-MeCO (20: 1) to obtain the methyl ether 10a (2.9 mg, 58% yield). Compound 11 is submitted to the same protocol as for 10 to derive a methylated product 11a.
56.1 (OMe-2'), 56.0 (OMe-2), 55.4 (OMe-4'), 52.2 (OMe-10), 36.1 (C-7'), 35.6 (C-7), 24.6 (C-8'), 24.0 (C-8), 14.1 (C-9'), 14.0 (C-9). ESI-MS m/z 431.32 [M+H]^+, 453.26 [M+Na]^+.

Compound 11a: ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δH 6.70 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-3), 6.66 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-5), 6.40 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-5'), 6.38 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-3'), 3.92 (3H, s, OMe-10), 3.87 (3H, s, OMe-2'), 3.86 (3H, s, OMe-4'), 3.82 (3H, s, OMe-4), 2.71 (2H, t, J = 7.8, H-2'), 2.56 (2H, t, J = 7.9, H-2), 1.71 (2H, m, H-2'), 1.67 (2H, m, H-8'), 1.01 (3H, t, J = 7.0, H-3'), 0.96 (3H, t, J = 7.0, H-9'); ^1^C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δC 168.3 (C-10), 166.5 (C-10'), 161.8 (C-4'), 158.5 (C-2'), 157.8 (C-4), 152.3 (C-2), 143.4 (C-6'), 142.5 (C-6), 119.0 (C-5), 115.3 (C-1'), 114.2 (C-1), 106.0 (C-5'), 102.2 (C-3), 96.4 (C-3'), 56.2 (OMe-2'), 56.1 (OMe-4), 55.3 (OMe-4'), 52.1 (OMe-10), 36.0 (C-7'), 35.5 (C-7), 24.7 (C-8'), 24.4 (C-8), 14.0 (C-9'), 14.1 (C-9). ESI-MS m/z 431.30 [M+H]^+.

3.5. Antibacterial Assay

Spiromastols A–K (1–11) were tested against a panel of seven bacterial strains, including Xanthomonas vesicatoria ATCC 11633, Pseudomonas lachrymans ATCC11921, Agrobacterium tumefaciens ATCC11158, Ralstonia solanacearum ATCC11696, Bacillus thuringiensis ATCC 10792, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 and Bacillus subtilis CMCC 63501, according to previously described methods [14].

4. Conclusions

In summary, this work described a group of new polyphenols with diverse scaffolds derived from deep sea derived fungus Spiromastix sp., while these findings provided additional evidence to support that the microorganisms from deep sea are a potential source for the discovery of chemical diversity. The potent antibacterial effects of 1–3 suggested that these compounds can be used for further lead modification.
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