Evaluation of the indications and arrhythmic patterns of 24 hour Holter electrocardiography among hypertensive and diabetic patients seen at OAUTHC, Ile-Ife Nigeria
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Background: There are very limited published studies in Nigeria on the use of 24 hour Holter electrocardiogram (Holter ECG) in the arrhythmic evaluation of hypertensive and diabetic patients.

Objective: To evaluate indications, arrhythmic pattern of Holter ECG, and heart rate variability (HRV) among patients with hypertensive heart disease (HHD) with or without heart failure and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) seen in our cardiac care unit.

Methods: Seventy-nine patients (32 males and 47 females) were studied consecutively over a year using Schiller type (MT-101) Holter ECG machine.

Results: Out of the 79 patients, 17 (21.5%) had HHD without heart failure, 33 (41.8%) had HHD with hypertensive heart failure (HHF), while 29 (36.7%) were T2DM patients. The mean (standard deviation) ages of HHD without heart failure, HHF and T2DM patients were 59.65 (±14.38), 65.15 (±14.30), and 54.66 (±8.88) respectively. The commonest indication for Holter ECG was palpitation (38%), followed by syncope (20.3%). Premature ventricular contraction was the commonest arrhythmic pattern among the 79 patients, especially among HHF patients. The HRV, using standard deviation of all normal-normal intervals was significantly reduced in T2DM patients (81.03 ±26.33, confidence interval [CI] =71.02–91.05) compared to the HHD without heart failure (119.65 ±29.86, CI =104.30–135.00) and HHF (107.03 ±62.50, CI =84.00–129.19). There was a negative correlation between the duration of T2DM and HRV (r=-0.613).

Conclusion: Palpitation was the commonest Holter ECG indication and premature ventricular contractions were the commonest arrhythmic pattern among our patients. HRV was reduced in T2DM patients compared with hypertensive patients.

Keywords: Holter electrocardiography, arrhythmias, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, Nigerians

Introduction
Hypertension and diabetes mellitus (DM) are common and a major public health problem in Nigeria.²,³ Twenty-four hour Holter electrocardiogram (Holter ECG) has been found useful in the detection of ischemic changes, cardiac arrhythmias, and heart rate variability (HRV) among hypertensive and diabetic patients.³,⁴ Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) are associated with increased risk of arrhythmias and mortality.⁵,⁶ Yet there are very limited published studies in Nigeria on the use of 24 hour Holter ECG in the arrhythmic evaluation of hypertensive and diabetic patients.³,⁴ In this study,⁷ it was observed that LVH increased
arrhythmias, and multivalvular regurgitations predispose to greater supra-ventricular tachycardia and complex ventricular arrhythmias, especially in hypertensive heart failure (HHF).

In another study, palpitation was the commonest 24 hour Holter indication and premature ventricular contraction (PVC) was the commonest arrhythmic pattern in hypertensive heart disease (HHD) and chest pain patients. Adebola et al also reported that the commonest indications for Holter monitoring in their patients were unexplained palpitation, dizzy spells, chest pain and syncope. Rare indications include unexplained dyspnea on exertion and pacemaker implantation. More than half of the study subjects (54%) had documented episodes of severe tachycardia (heart rate ≥ 120 bpm) while 18 patients had severe bradycardia (heart rate ≤ 40 beats per minute). Twenty-one patients were confirmed to have ventricular ectopics while three patients had non-sustained ventricular tachycardia.

We therefore evaluated the indications, arrhythmic pattern of Holter ECG, and HRV among patients with HHD without heart failure, HHF and T2DM seen in our cardiac care unit at Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. This will further help define the pattern of Holter ECG in hypertensive and diabetic subjects in our environment, and the data from this study will also add to the national and global database.

**Materials and methods**

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study in which consecutive diabetic and hypertensive patients referred to our cardiac care unit for 24 hour Holter ECG over a 12 month period were studied using Schiller type (MT-101) Holter ECG machine.

**Inclusion criteria:**
1. those who gave informed consent to participate in the study;
2. adult patients with HHD with or without heart failure;
3. adult patients with T2DM.

**Exclusion criteria:**
1. those who were unwilling to participate in the study;
2. hypertensive patients with myocardial infarction, renal failure, diabetic mellitus, or cerebrovascular diseases.
3. Glycated hemoglobin $A_1c$ was obtained in all T2DM patients. We separated the hypertensive patients into HHD without heart failure and HHD with heart failure. LVH and diastolic dysfunction are the early manifestations of cardiovascular target organ damage in patients with arterial hypertension and signify HHD, and can result in heart failure, arrhythmias, myocardial infarction, and sudden cardiac death. HHD with HHF patients were recruited from patients with documented systemic hypertension or on anti-hypertensive medication who satisfy the criteria for diagnosis of heart failure based on Framingham’s criteria or the European Society of Cardiology criteria. The clinical indications for Holter ECG were documented based on the recommendations of the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines for ambulatory electrocardiography. The Holter ECGs were read by an experienced cardiologist and two senior registrars in cardiology. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of OAUTHC and all participants gave informed consent.

The HRV was analyzed in the time domain in accordance with ACC/AHA Guidelines. The three parameters of the time domain HRV assessed were:

1. standard deviation of all normal-normal (NN) intervals (SDNN);
2. standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals in all 5 minute segments of the entire recording (SDANN); and
3. the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent NN intervals (RMS-SD).

The normal values of HRV used for the study were in accordance with the ACC/AHA Guidelines for Ambulatory ECG, and are as follows: SDNN (141±39 milliseconds [ms]), SDANN (127±39 ms), and RMD-SD (37±15 ms).

The data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics include frequency distribution, scatter plot, mean, and standard deviation (SD). Inferential statistics that were used in the study were analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Pearson’s correlation. ANOVA was used to determine whether differences exist between HRV using SDNN average of HHD without heart failure, HHF and T2DM patients. Pearson’s correlation was used to examine the relationship between duration of T2DM or hypertension and HRV. The duration of T2DM or hypertension was grouped into less than 5 years, 5–10 years, and greater than 10 years. For the 29 T2DM patients, ten patients were grouped into less than 5 years; nine patients were grouped into 5–10 years, and greater than 10 years.

**Results**

**Demography and clinical indications**

Demography and clinical characteristics of the studied patients were as shown in Table 1. Out of the 79 patients reviewed,
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The mean SD ages (years) of HHD without heart failure, HHF, and T2DM patients were 59.65 (±14.38), 65.15 (±14.30), and 54.66 (±8.88), respectively. The mean (SD) body mass index (BMI in kg/m²) of HHD without heart failure, HHF, and T2DM were 24.69 (±4.34), 25.18 (±4.71), and 30.63 (±11.6), respectively. The mean (SD) hemoglobin A₁c (%) of T2DM patients are 7.33% (±1.18). The mean (SD) of the duration of T2DM and hypertension (years) are 6.95±4.40 and 9.08±6.58, respectively.

Clinical indications for Holter ECG are shown in Table 2 and the commonest indication for Holter ECG among our study group was palpitation (38%). PVCs were the commonest type of arrhythmia accounting for 69.4% among patients with arrhythmia, followed by premature atrial contractions (8.2%) as shown in Table 3. PVCs were observed in 45.5% of HHF patients, 29.4% of HHD without heart failure and 13.7% of T2DM patients respectively. The mean total PVCs/24 hours was numerically more in HHF (5,936) as compared to HHD without failure (1,946) and T2DM (1,088). Eighteen point two percent of HHF patients had sustained ventricular tachycardia and one HHF patient had sustained ventricular tachycardia.

Applying Lown’s grading of PVC¹⁴ among patients with PVC, Lown’s grade 2 was commonest in the HHD without heart failure (35.3%) and T2DM (10.3%) patients, while Lown’s grade 4 was commonest among HHF patients (51.5%). Nine point one percent of HHF patients had fibrillation (AF). Seven patients had severe bradycardia (heart rate less than 40 beats per minute).

HRV
The mean (SD) average heart rate of HHD without heart failure, HHF, and T2DM patients were 68.47 (±10.35), 81.12 (±18.73), and 80.52 beats per minute (±12.68) respectively. The HRV using SDNN average (ms) was significantly reduced in T2DM patients (81.03±26.33, confidence interval [CI] =71.02–91.05) compared to the HHD without heart failure (119.65±29.86, CI =104.30–135.00) and HHF (107.03±62.50, CI =84.00–129.19) patients; (P<0.015). Similar findings were also noted when RMD-SD average (ms) was used to assess the HRV, with significant reduction in T2DM patients (36.48±21.10, CI =28.46–44.51) compared to HHF without heart failure (103.82±78.91, CI =63.25–144.39) and HHF (99.45±88.14, CI =60.51–94.05) patients. When SDANN average (ms) was used, the HRV of HHD without heart failure, HHF and T2DM patients were variously reduced in the three studied groups, being 81.18 ms±21.58 CI =70.08–92.27, 67.64 ms±37.10 CI =54.48–80.79 and 65.79 ms±18.92 CI =58.60–72.99, respectively, (P>0.078). Using SDNN, there was a statistically significant variation between day and night HRV in T2DM patients (P<0.001) and also in HHF patients (P<0.001), while the day and night HRV in HHD (without heart failure) patients was not statistically significant (P>0.051). There was a negative correlation between the duration of T2DM and HRV; (r=−0.613, P<0.001), as shown in Figure 1. The duration of hypertension and HRV was also negatively correlated but was not statistically significant; (r=−0.51, P>0.731), as shown in Figure 2.

Discussion
Arrhythmias, both atrial and ventricular are common co-morbidities in hypertension and DM. The underlying mechanisms of arrhythmogenicity in hypertension and DM include

---

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the studied group

| Parameters          | HHD without heart failure | HHF | T2DM |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----|------|
| Number of patients  | 17 (58.8%)/7 (41.2%)      | 33  | 29   |
| Sex (females/males) | 10 (54.6%)                | 17 (51.5%)/16 (48.5%) | 20 (69%)/9 (31%) |
| Age (years)         | 59.65±14.38               | 65.15±14.30             | 54.66±8.88 |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 24.69±4.34                | 25.18±4.71               | 30.63±11.60 |

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation; N, number; HHD, hypertensive heart disease; HHF, hypertensive heart failure; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

### Table 2 Clinical indications

| Clinical indication                  | No of patients (n=79) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Palpitation                         | 30                    | 38.0           |
| Syncope                             | 16                    | 20.3           |
| Unexplained dyspnea on exertion     | 12                    | 15.2           |
| DM with autonomic neuropathy        | 8                     | 10.1           |
| Dizziness                           | 6                     | 7.6            |
| Known arrhythmias                   | 4                     | 5.0            |
| Chest pain                          | 3                     | 3.8            |

**Abbreviation:** DM, diabetes mellitus.
Table 3 Twenty-four hour Holter electrocardiogram rhythm distribution

| Rhythm          | HHD without heart failure No of patients (n) | Percentage (%) | HHF No of patients (n) | Percentage (%) | T2DM No of patients (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Normal          | 7                                           | 41.2           | 4                      | 12.1           | 19                      | 65.5           |
| PVC             | 5                                           | 29.4           | 15                     | 45.5           | 4                       | 13.7           |
| PAC             | 1                                           | 5.9            | 2                      | 6.1            | 1                       | 3.5            |
| Non-sustained VT| 2                                           | 11.7           | 6                      | 18.2           | 1                       | 3.5            |
| Sustained VT    | 0                                           | 0              | 1                      | 3.0            | 0                       | 0              |
| AF              | 1                                           | 5.9            | 3                      | 9.1            | 0                       | 0              |
| Severe bradycardia | 1                                           | 5.9           | 2                      | 6.1            | 4                       | 13.8           |
| Total           | 17                                          | 100            | 33                     | 100            | 29                      | 100            |

Abbreviations: PVC, premature ventricular contraction; PAC, premature atrial contractions; VT, ventricular tachycardia; AF, atrial fibrillation; HHD, hypertensive heart disease; HHF, hypertensive heart failure; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

LVH, myocardial ischemia, impaired left ventricular function, and left atrial enlargement, among other factors.6,16

The age in the demography of our study group revealed that HHF patients were older than HHD (without heart failure) and T2DM. Obesity (grade 1) was prevalent in the T2DM patients in our study group.

The commonest indication for Holter ECG among our study group was palpitation (38%). Katibi et al7 reported palpitation as the commonest indication (25%). PVC was the commonest arrhythmic pattern among our study group (69.4%). PVCs were more prevalent in HHF patients (45.5%). Katibi et al7 also reported PVC as the commonest arrhythmic pattern (47.6%) in his study. The higher proportion in our study may be attributable to the fact that, our study group already has a cardiovascular disease risk factor or a cardiac disease. However, Schillaci et al17 in Italy studied 126 never treated subjects with essential hypertension and reported PVCs in 71% of the subjects. The higher proportion in his study may be due to the fact that his study groups are – “never treated hypertensive patients”. Schillaci et al17 also reported that complex ventricular arrhythmias (Lown’s grade ≥2) were predicted by age greater than or equal to 60 years.

LVH and complex ventricular arrhythmias are significant predictors of sudden cardiac death.16–19 Cygankiewicz et al20 reported that combining electrocardiographic stratification with assessment of myocardial substrate may provide insight into interplay between factors contributing to sudden cardiac death in heart failure. In our study, Lown’s grade 4 was found more prevalent in HHF patients compared with HHD (without heart failure) and T2DM patients. Advanced HHF irreversibly damages myocardial fibers which may serve as arrhythmogenic foci for various forms of arrhythmias.21,22 Ajayi et al8 in a study of 24 hour Holter arrhythmic patterns of 37 HHF patients with or without valvular heart disease, reported that LVH increases arrhythmias but multivalvular regurgitation predisposes to greater supraventricular tachycardia and complex ventricular arrhythmias. Nine point one percent of HHF patients had AF. Familone et al23 in a clinical study of pattern and factors affecting outcome in Nigerian patients with advanced heart failure, reported that AF was associated with increased mortality rates among patients with advanced heart failure.

HRV is a temporal variation between sequences of consecutive heart beats.24 The state of activity of the cardiac autonomic nervous system is evaluated by HRV. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy is a serious and common complication of DM and dysfunction of the autonomic nervous system is associated with increased risk of mortality in patients with diabetes.25,26 At the time of diagnosis, a reduced HRV is evident in T2DM patients which reflects the asymptomatic process over the years before diagnosis.27,28

T2DM is characterized by widespread degeneration of the small nerve fibers of sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomic nervous system, which may affect autonomic regulation of the sinus node, thereby reducing HRV.29 The degree of autonomic dysfunction associated with DM is related to the severity and duration of the disease.29
Diabetic patients with autonomic neuropathy also display impairment of the absolute values of the frequency domain analysis (both low-frequency component and high-frequency component). Studies in DM patients with or without chronic autonomic neuropathy indicate that both time- and frequency-domain measures of spontaneous baroreceptor-cardiac reflex sensitivity could allow early detection of chronic autonomic neuropathy in diabetic patients.50,51

HRV is also reduced in hypertensive patients when compared with normotensive individuals, indicating a decrease in baroreceptor reflex.12 Using SDNN and RMD-SD, the HRV in T2DM patients was significantly reduced in our study. Boer-Martins et al in Brazil13 reported decreased HRV in T2DM (mean age 54.7 years), and BMI (33.7±4 kg/m²) patients with resistant HTN, when compared with non-T2DM group. Nolan et al45 in UK-HEART study of HRV and mortality in chronic heart failure patients (mean age 62±9.6 years), reported decreased HRV in chronic heart failure patients in some of the time domain parameters. In our study, the HRV of HHF patients using SDANN was also decreased. Our study showed a negative correlation between the duration of T2DM and HRV. Fujimoto et al15 in Japan reported that all spectral variables of HRV were inversely related to the duration of DM in all diabetic patients. Kohoharo et al16 reported that chronic autonomic neuropathy as evidenced by decreased HRV was common in diabetic patients of greater than 5 years duration when compared with diabetic patients of less than 5 years.

The limitation of the study is the inability of the present Holter system software MT-101 to calculate the frequency domain parameters of HRV.

**Conclusion**

Palpitation was the commonest Holter ECG indication and PVCs were the commonest arrhythmic pattern among our patients. HRV was reduced in T2DM patients compared with hypertensive patients.
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