From drinking fountains to promenades. Water as artistic medium?

Antoni Remesar. Polis Research Centre- CRIT Research Group. Universitat de Barcelona

ORCID: 0000-0002-1145-6279 - Scopus Author ID: 55323348400- Researcher ID: J-9745-2016
aremesar@ub.edu www.ub.edu/escult

ABSTRACT

From drinking fountains to promenades. Water as artistic medium?

In From public art to post-muralism. Policies of urban decorum in Urban Regeneration (I) processes (Remesar 2019) when investigating the link of Public Art to urban Regeneration processes, we concluded that, possibly, the time of Public Art periclines and, for better or for worse, we enter a stage in which the so-called “urban art” reigns, specifically what we called post-muralism, a series of artistic practices that anchor their development in the culture and experiences of graffiti.

The objective of this second part is to analyse the role that water has in the city, from the perspective of its connection with the new types of urban spaces that will appear since the beginning of the modern era, and its role in relation to the statuary, public art and landscaping. The research deepens the processes of aestheticization of cities that occur before the emergence, as a dominant paradigm, of the paradigm of the modern movement.

To address this objective, we analyse how the fountains have gone from being mere artefacts to supply water to the city, to elements of urban composition and urban decorum. The article is divided into the following sections

[1] Water in the square where the ways of supplying water are reviewed;

[2] Opening spaces for [almost] everyone where we study the emergence of new public spaces and the role that water plays in them;

[3] Providing water in which the role that fountains play as an interface with users is reviewed;

[4] Serial fountains: a first step in the democratization of art, reviews the important role of cast iron fountains as diffusers of masterpieces of art hidden in museums;

[5] Beyond utility. Water in the urban landscape, reviews how the emergence of public spaces such as parks will cause the use of water in a new, more monumental format.

To this section follows [6] Finally, public space for all [or almost all], which reviews the role of the hygienist model in the creation of new public spaces and the value given to water, recovering water fronts (rivers, sea) and generating new public spaces such as "promenades", "costaneras" or "ma-
lecones". This section implicitly argues that the development of these spaces is linked to the urban patriciate as a ruling class.

Finally [7] *Water as an urban spectacle*, addresses the new model of water use in public spaces that the interests of the new urban service companies associated with the urban patriciate will ensure that it is massive and spectacular, associated with electricity as a new urban service.
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**RESUMEN**

*De las fuentes de boca a los paseos. ¿El agua como medio artístico?*

En Del arte público al post-muralismo. Políticas de decoro urbano en procesos de Regeneración Urbana (I) (Remesar 2019) al investigar la vinculación del Arte Público a los procesos de Regeneración urbana, concluimos que, posiblemente, el tiempo del Arte Público ha periclitado y, por bien o por mal, entramos en una etapa en que reina el llamado "arte urbano", específicamente el que denominábamos post-muralismo, una serie de prácticas artísticas que anclan su desarrollo en la cultura y experiencias del graffiti.

El objetivo de esta segunda parte es analizar el papel que tiene el agua en la ciudad, desde la perspectiva de su vinculación con los nuevos tipos de espacios urbanos que irán apareciendo desde los inicios de la era moderna, y su papel en relación a la estatuaria, el arte público y el paisajismo. La investigación profundiza en los procesos de estetización de las ciudades que se dan antes de la irrupción, como paradigma dominante, del paradigma del movimiento moderno.

Para abordar este objetivo se analiza cómo las fuentes han pasado de ser meros artefactos para suministrar agua a la ciudad, a elementos de la composición urbana y el decoro urbano. El artículo se divide en los siguientes apartados

1. *Agua en la plaza* en el que se revisan los modos y formas de abastecer de agua;
2. *Abriendo espacios para [casi] todos* que estudia la aparición de los nuevos espacios públicos y el papel que en ellos cumple el agua;
3. *Suministrando agua* en el que se revisa el papel que las fuentes cumplen como interface con los usuarios;
4. *Fuentes seriadas: un primer paso para la democratización del arte*, revisa el importante papel de las fuentes de hierro fundido como difusoras de obras maestras del arte escondido en los museos;
5. *Más allá de la utilidad. El agua en el paisaje urbano*, revisa cómo la emergencia de espacios públicos como los parques provocarán una utilización del agua en un nuevo formato más monumental.

A este apartado sigue [6] *Finalmente, espacio público para todos [o casi todos]*, que revisa el papel del modelo higienista en la creación de nuevos espacios públicos y el valor que se le da al agua, recuperando los frentes de agua (ríos, mar) y generando nuevos espacios públicos como los "paseos marítimos", las "costaneras" o los "malecones". En este apartado se sostiene, implícitamente, que el desarrollo de estos espacios está vinculado al patriciado urbano como clase dirigente.

Finalmente [7] *El agua como espectáculo urbano*, aborda el nuevo modelo de uso del agua en espacios públicos que los intereses de las nuevas compañías de servicios urbanos asociadas al patriciado urbano procurarán que sea masivo y espectacular, asociado a la electricidad como nuevo servicio urbano.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** agua; espacio público; escultura; fuentes; paseos marítimos; servicios urbanos
RESUM

Des les fonts per beure als passeigs. L’aigua com a mitjà artístic?

En De l’art públic al post-muralisme. Política de decòrum urbà en processos de Regeneració Urbana (I) (Remesar 2019) a l’investigar la vinculació de l’Art Públic als processos de Regeneració urbana, conclouem que, possiblement, el temps de l’Art Públic ha periclitat i, per bé o per mal, entrem en una etapa en què regna l’anomenat “art urbà”, específicament el que anomenàvem post-muralisme, una sèrie de pràctiques artístiques que ancren el seu desenvolupament en la cultura i experiències del grafit.

L’objectiu d’aquesta segona part és analitzar el paper que té l’aigua a la ciutat, des de la perspectiva de la seva vinculació amb els nous tipus d’espais urbans que aniran apareixent des dels inicis de l’era moderna, i el seu paper en relació a l’estatuària, l’art públic i el paisatgisme. La investigació aprofundeix en els processos d’estetització de les ciutats que es donen abans de la irrupció, com a paradigma dominant, del paradigma del moviment modern.

Per abordar aquest objectiu s’analitza com les fonts han passat de ser mers artefactes per subministrar aigua a la ciutat, a elements de la composició urbana i el decòrum urbà. L’article es divideix en els següents apartats

[1] *Aigua a la plaça* en què es revisen les maneres i formes d’abastir d’aigua;

[2] *Obrint espais per a [gairebé] tothom* que estudia l’aparició dels nous espais públics i el paper que en ells compleix l’aigua;

[3] *Subministrar aigua* en què es revisa el paper que les fonts compleixen com interfície amb els usuaris;

[4] *Fonts seriades: un primer pas per a la democratització de l’art*, revisa l’importànt paper de les fonts de ferro colat com difusores d’obres mestres de l’art amagat als museus;

[5] *Més enllà de la utilitat. L’aigua en el paisatge urbà*, revisa com l’emergència d’espais públics com els parcs provocaran una utilització de l’aigua en un nou format més monumental.

A aquest apartat segueix [6] *Finalment, espai públic per a tothom [o gairebé tothom]*, que revisa el paper de el model higienista en la creació de nous espais públics i el valor que se li dona a l’aigua, recuperant els fronts d’aigua (ruis, mar) i generant nous espais públics com els “passejos marítimes”, les “costaneres” o els “dics”. En aquest apartat es sosté, implicitamet, que el desenvolupament d’aquests espais està vinculat al patriciat urbà com a classe dirigent.

Finalment [7] *L’aigua com a espectacle urbà*, aborda el nou model d’ús de l’aigua en espais públics que els interessos de les noves companyies de serveis urbans associades al patriciat urbà de procurar que sigui massiu i espectacular, associat a l’electricitat com a nou servei urbà.

PARAULES CLAU: aigua; espai públic; escultura; fonts; passejos marítimes; serveis urbans
Water in the square

Water supply has always been one of the most important concerns of any local government. Cities have always had a need for water, and guaranteeing it has led to the design of a supply and distribution infrastructure (wells, pipes, aqueducts, sources). Water fulfils an urban function.

It is from the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries when some of the Italian cities of the Renaissance will introduce new values in the urban composition and in the evolution of its landscape. On the one hand, the central squares of the city are organized around a style, so that a more or less unitary treatment of the facades and pavements take a leading role in the general composition of the site, giving rise to the “art urbain” (Choay 1998; Remesar, 2016).

On the other hand, they provide a deep aesthetic function to the fountains, which go from being purely functional and architectural elements to elements with a dual character, continuing with their instrumental function of water supply, but acquiring an important symbolic value through the introduction of big sculptural compositions. Consider the different fountains that punctuate the landscape of Renaissance cities, such as the Gaia Fountain in the Campo del Siena square, by Jacopo della Quercia (1419), which in the 19th century would suffer a vandalism in the name of...
bourgeois morals; the fountains of the project of the Campidoglio square in Rome by Michelangelo (1535); the Fountain of the Innocents in Paris, by Jean Goujon (1549); that of Neptune in the Plaza de la Signoria in Florence, the work of Ammannanti (1560-1575) and companion of Michelangelo’s David, or the spectacular Bernini fountains in Rome, already in the 17th century.

The composition of representative sets will be increasingly important, combining the design of the surroundings, especially through the stylistic unit facades, with the design of the horizontal support, i.e. the ground, introducing the practice of paving ornamental in certain areas of the city (Esparza, 2017). Finally, some cities reserve the central space of the square for the installation of the statue of the lord of the city or the state, as in the case of the equestrian statue of the condotiero Gattamelata, by Donatello, in Padua, work done between 1446 and 1450, which prefigures the type of royal square present in Europe between the seventeenth and nineteenth centuries.
Printed souvenirs of the Grand Tour a precedent of present postal cards.

Giovanni-Battista Piranesi. Side View of the Trevi Fountain, formerly the Acqua Vergine from Vedute di Roma-. BNE

Piranesi, Giambattista. Veduta del Castello dell’ Acqua Felice Technique : taille-douce ; eau-forte. Source: Bibliotheque Municipale de Lyon. gallica.fr
Piranesi delin. A View of Paul’s Fountain on Mount Gianicolo near Rome. Published 24th June 1818, by JAs. Whittle and R.H.L. Laurie, N° 53, Fleet Street, London. http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb42004129q

Giovanni Paolo Panini. Fountain of Trevi, Rome. Mid XVIII century. Oil on canvas. Museum of Fine Arts Boston.

Piranesi. Fontana dell’ acqua Paola sul Monte Gianicolo, late impression from the ‘Varie vedute di Roma antica e moderna’, Rome, 1741-8 Etching. Source : British Museum

7. As I have been told by Dr. Rosa Vives, Piranesi’s original engraving would be that of the British Museum, the other being a fairly later, simplified and colored version. I appreciate Dr. Vives’ precision comment.
Fontaine des Innocents. Paris

The fountain was commissioned as part of the decoration of the city to commemorate the solemn royal entry of King Henry II into Paris in 1549. The fountain receive its name from its placement, on the site of an earlier fountain dating to the reign of Philip II of France, against the wall of the Saints Innocents Cemetery. It was to serve as a fountain as well as a grand reviewing stand for local notables; it resembled the walls of a large residence, with water taps along the street at the street level, and a stairway to the loggia on the upper level, where officials stood on the balcony to greet the King. Its original name was the Fountain of the Nymphs. Pierre Lescot was its architect and Jean Goujon developed the sculptural works.

In 1787 the cemeteries of Paris were moved outside the city walls, and the cemetery of the Church of the Saints-Innocents, was transformed into a market square, le Marché des Innocents. The fountain was scheduled for destruction. It was saved by the efforts of Quatremère de Quincy, who wrote a letter to the Journal de Paris urging the preservation of “A masterpiece of French sculpture.” The fountain was moved to the middle of a large basin, raised on a stone pedestal decorated with four lions and four basins. Augustin Pajou was the sculptor commissioned to create a fourth façade for the fountain, in the same style as the other three, so that it could be free-standing.

Jacques Androuet Du Cerceau. Fontaine des Saints Innocents à Paris. 1560. http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb41363677b
The fountain produced only a small flow of water because of the poor water supply system of Paris. Under Napoleon Bonaparte, a new aqueduct from the River Ourcq supplied water, so that the fountain gushed water, threatening the sculptural decoration. The smaller bas-reliefs at the base of the fountain were removed in 1810 and placed in the Musée du Louvre in 1824. In 1858, the fountain was again moved, to its present location on a modest pedestal in the middle of the square; and six basins of pouring water, one above the other, were added on each façade.
Atget, Eugène. Fontaine des Innocents. 1900. http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb40275634f
Fontaine des Quatre-Saisons or Fontaine Grenelle. Paris

While the fountain des Innocents initially has a purpose of celebration, Grenelle’s fountain is clearly a “vassalage monument” (Remesar 2011). This type of monument implies the symbolic manifestation of the guardianship, dominion or protection of the Lord, secular or religious, in order to confirm and strengthen the bond of dependence and fidelity of the vassal. We must distinguish these monuments from those commemorative since the “vassalage monuments” are ordered and executed by the Lord while still alive. They do not have the mission of remembering, but the praise and glorification of the Lord. In the fountain of the Grenelle is clear in the following inscription in gold letters engraved on black marble:

Whereas Louis XV, the object of the love of his people and excellent father, the support of public tranquility, after having recovered, without the flow of blood, the frontiers of the Kingdom of France, and since peace has been happily restored between the Germans and the Russians and the Subjects of the Ottoman Empire, ruling in a manner both glorious and peaceful; the Prevot des marchands and the town counselors have devoted this fountain to the service of the citizens and to the beautification of the city in the course of the year 1739.

The Fontaine des Quatre-Saisons was the largest and most ornate of the several fountains built in Paris in the 18th century to provide drinking water to the city’s residents. Between 1715 and 1724, the Conseil d’Etat of King Louis XV began discussing the idea of a new fountain in the Faubourg Saint-Germain area, which was rapidly growing. They first discussed placing in other sites.
and finally decided present site on rue de Grenelle, on a plot owned by the convent of Récollettes. The project was approved by the Prevot des Marchands, who shared authority for all fountains and water projects in Paris, and was given in 1739 to the Royal sculptor, Edme Bouchardon, for completion. Bouchardon worked for seven years on the project and displayed the plaster models for the central group of sculptures at the Salon du Louvre in 1740, and the bas-reliefs in 1741. The fountain was not completely finished until 1745.

While the fountain had an abundance of statuary, it did not produce very much water. Only one aqueduct brought water to the Left Bank at the time, the aqueduct de Arcueil, which brought water to the left bank from Rungis. The water flowed to the Fontaine Saint-Michel, then by a secondary pipe to the Fontaine des Quatre-Saisons. The water was stored in the upper part of the fountain, and flowed by gravity down to two spouts in form of lion’s heads, from which water flowed continually. The water was collected in vessels by local residents, or by water porters (porteurs d’eau) who carried the water to other parts of the quarter and sold it to the inhabitants.

After the fountain was built, there were many calls for it to be moved, included one by Voltaire:

7.- The monument has been criticized for its excessive size compared to the street where it stood. On January 9, 1739, Voltaire wrote to the Count of Caylus: The Parisians should do more to embellish their city, to destroy the monuments of Gothic barbarism, and particularly these ridiculous village fountains which disfigure our city. I have no doubt that Bouchardon will make this fountain a beautiful piece of architecture; but what is a fountain leaning against a wall, in a street, and half hidden by a house? What is a fountain with only two taps, where the water carriers will fill their buckets? This is not the way to build the fountains with which Rome is embellished. We find it hard to get out of the mean and rude taste. Fountains must be erected in public places, and beautiful monuments must be seen from all doors. There is not a single public square in the vast Faubourg Saint-Germain: it makes your heart bleed. Paris is like the statue of Nebuchadnezzar, partly gold and partly mud. (Sarmant 1999).
to a large square where it would be more visible and proportional to its surroundings, but, given the many reconstructions of Paris squares in the 19th and 20th century, it probably survived intact only because of its obscure location.

Atget, Eugène. Fontaine de Grenelle - 1898. http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb402673775
Opening spaces to [almost] everybody

In the period of the Counter-Reformation, in the context of the important reforms of urban centres, initiated by Sixtus V in Rome under the Nolli plan and based on the principles of Alberti (Alberti, 1452), a profound renovation is carried out of European medieval cities. The streets widen. Perspectives are created, punctuated with obelisks and statues; the longitudinal cross-section is ordered by the systematic straight layout, the heights of houses are ordered and a relatively strict but figurative control of the landscape composition of the facades is initiated (Laugier, 1755; Sabaté, 1999).

In this period a series of experiments will take place in the gardens, few in the centre of the old city, the most, far from the walls, in rural space. Both the English landscape gardens and the French formal gardens were established in the sphere of the private space of the dominant classes, of the aristocracy, and in them water plays a fundamental role, both in the form of large ponds and in the form of spectacular jet water or sculptural fountains, such as in Versailles, in which Le Nôtre demonstrates his genius.

The big gardens of the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries were private walks, and only a small number of privileged people could enter them [...]. There were no public properties, since it was contrary to the ideas of that time; Maybe that’s why it seemed dangerous or shocking. It has been found that good and beautiful things are even better when their use is accessible to all ... The public promenades that have recently been created in Europe are almost all reminiscent of those in Paris.(Alphand y Ernouff, 1875).

Although it should be noted that, against the restrictions of the privative, we can find some initial examples of public malls, in Seville, Alameda de Hércules (1574). The Alameda de Hércules, is considered the oldest intra-wall mall in Europe. In 1574 the grounds where the alameda was going to be built were drained, which were often flooded with the water that accumulated there from the frequent overflows of the Guadalquivir river, the remnants of the public fountains and the waste sewage (Albardonedo 2002). It was adorned with statues and fountains, and with rows of trees. At the southern end, two third-century Roman columns were laid. These columns come from a building at Marbles street (where there are still three columns) and its transfer was commissioned to the founder master Bartholomew Morel. Two sculptures made by Diego de Pesquera, one of Hercules (mythical founder of the city) and the other of Julio Caesar (restorer of Hispalis) were placed on them. The first was a copy of Hercules Farnesio. In addition, these sculptures represented the two monarchs of the new house reigning in Spain. Thus, Hercules represents the emperor Charles I and Julio Caesar represents his son Philip II. Thus, the first civil monument in Seville was completed, and on December 28, 1574 the new Mall was completed, although its renovation was always necessary over the years.
The Sevillian mall is the model for cities like, México, Central Mall, 1592; Lima, Los Descalzos Mall, 1611 and Acho’s Mall, 1773 (Hamann 2011); San Francisco’s Mall in Puebla, circa 1621, today transformed in a commercial mall (Hernández 2009); La Havana, Paula’s Mall, 1777 (Garcia & Ríos, Marien, 2013), Barcelona (Sant Joan Mall, 1796-1802).

Although, in the layout of the Hispanic malls we must recognize the influence of other model, that of the Paseo del Prado in Madrid, with its different modifications from its incipient beginnings in 1570 until its crystallization as “Salón del Prado” in 1763.

If the Sevillian and Madrid models set the standard for the construction of malls in the cities of Hispanic empire, long afterward, the “public passeio” in Lisbon will be the model for the Portuguese-
Speaking cities (Henriques da Silva, 1997; Pessoa, 1997). This mall, designed by Reinaldo Manuel dos Santos and redesigned in the 19th century by Malaquias Ferreira and Domingos Parente da Silva, was opened by the Marquis of Pombal in 1764, and was another work in the process of rebuilding Lisbon after the 1755 earthquake.

Today, the “passeio” has been subsumed on the magnificent Avenida da Liberdade. In 1873, according to the project of Resano Garcia and the architect Parente da Silva, the idea of building the mall was presented to the City Council. On August 24, 1879, solemn start of the work, by Rosa Araújo, mayor of the municipality. The first section opens in 1882 and the whole avenue in 1886. Avenida da Liberdade, runs along a rectangular platform (1500 m by 90 m), delimited at its ends by the Marquês de Pombal Square and the Restauradores Square.

Both the Paseo de Prado, as the current Avenida da Liberdade, start or end their path in a large park, respectively the Retiro in Madrid (Moral Ruiz, 2013) and Parque Eduardo VII in Lisbon.
Apollo Fountain or the Four Seasons. Manuel Alvarez the Greek. 1781

Ventura Rodríguez’s fountains programme for the Paseo del Prado in Madrid

Artichoke fountain. 1781. Alonso Vergaz and Antonio Primo. Moved to Retiro Park in 1880
Neptune Fountain. Juan Pascual de Mena (Neptune). Other figures are by José Anias, José Rodríguez, Pablo de la Cerda and José Guerra. 1782-1785.

Cibeles Fountain. Francisco Gutiérres (Cibeles and wheels of the car), Roberto Michel (lions). 1782.
The sculptures of the old “Public Passeio” in Lisbon today converge in the Pimenta Palace, headquarters of the City Museum (group of sculptures of the fountain) and in the “Cold Greenhouse”.
In Italy we highlight two interventions. In Naples, the communal villa (Ugramin s/f) started in 1672 and in Pádova, a special case, the square-mall, Prato della Vale, 1775.

The first nucleus of the villa comunale goes back to 1672, when the Spanish Viceroy Duke of Medinaceli, planted along the Riviera di Chiaia. A double row of trees embellished with thirteen fountains, providing a first idea of a promenade from the Chiaia Gate to the Neapolitan Crypt. Between 1778 and 1780 the area of the beach along the Riviera was transformed into a true promenade, an urban garden much in vogue at this time, by the will of King Ferdinand IV of Naples and by the work of the architect Carlo Vanvitelli - son of the most well-known Luigi- in line with the Salon del Prado (Madrid), raised by the father of the Neapolitan monarch, Carlos III of Spain. Vanvitelli was assisted by botanist Felice Abbate, a royal gardener.

Fontana di Santa Lucia, Fountain designed by the engineer Alessandro Ciminiello and built in 1606 by Michelangelo Naccherino and Tommaso Montani with the collaboration of Girolamo D’Auria and Vitale Finelli at the request of the Viceroy Giovanni Alfonso Pimentel d’Errera, Duke of Benavente. It was originally located on the seafront of the village of Santa Llúcia, from which the fountain takes the name.

Fontana del Ratto delle Sabine. The sculptural group (a copy of Giambologna’s original) was executed by the sculptor Genoese Tommaso Solari in the middle of the 18th century for the royal palace of Caserta and moved to the villa in the first half of the 19th century to continue the program that provided for other embellishments of the monumental complex.
Caserta. Near Naples.
Gardens of the Royal Palace, large pools, waterfalls, jet water features, sculpture.. The ambitious project of King Charles VII of Naples (Carlos III of Hispania) was not limited to the building of a palace, but to create a new city that had all the urban advances of the time and were the most advanced capital in all of Europe. The architect that designed the palace and its gardens was Luigi Vanvitelli. The works began in 1753.
Prato della Valle in Padova, more than a mall, is a huge square, built on a marshy land and with an area of 90,494 m². The Prato della Valle contains an island intended for walks, and ornamented with statues. Today, there are 78 statues (40 along the outer ring and 38 along the inside), but according to the original design they should have been 84. Prato della Valle today’s aspect, results from the destruction of six statues depicting Venetian Dogos, which were overthrown by the Napoleonic army in 1797. Strict regulations (published by Prato’s presidency on February 10, 1776) set the rules for creating statues: no living person could be portrayed, saints could not be portrayed (church altars were reserved for them) and all the portrayed characters must have had a connection to the city. In most cases, they are university professors, artists, leaders or former city governors. The characters represented are Andrea Memmo (who owes the idea of Prato), Torcuato Tasso, Savonarola, Andrea Mantegna, Ludovico Ariosto, Petrarca or Antonio Canova among others. The only statue made by Antonio Canova is that of Giovanni Poleni. The statues were central to the transformation of the Prato not only visually but also economically. In fact, they were paid by citizens or individual groups with amounts ranging from 135 to 150 coins and which served both the cost of the statue and a contribution to the general work of the Prato. The amount could also be paid in two or three years.
In France we highlight two interventions, one in Paris and one in Nancy.

**Paris, the system Tuileries – Place de la Concorde-Champs-Élysées.**

The Champs-Élysées were laid out in 1667 by André Le Nôtre as an extension of the Tuileries Garden (1564), that he had rebuilt in his formal style in 1664. Le Nôtre planned a wide promenade between the palace and the modern Rond Point, lined with two rows of elm trees on either side, and flowerbeds in the symmetrical style of the garden. The new boulevard was called the “Grand Cours”, or “Grand Promenade”. And took the name of Champs-Élysées in 1709. Next year, in 1710 the avenue was extended beyond the Rond-Point as far as the modern Place d’Étoile. In 1765 the garden was remade in the Le Nôtre style by Abel François Poisson. Later on, the earl of Marigny, Director-General of the King’s Buildings, extended the avenue again in 1774 as far as the Porte Maillot.

By the late 18th century, the Champs-Élysées had become a fashionable avenue. Following the French Revolution, two equestrian statues, made in 1745 by Nicolas and Guillaume Coustou, were transferred from the former royal palace at Marly and placed at the beginning of the boulevard and park. Theatre, musical and circus performances. Several ornamental fountains were placed around the park.

In 1834 Jacques Ignace Hittorff was the architect commissioned by Louis-Philippe to redesign the square. Gabriel’s design did not sufficiently enhance the connection axis between Quai d’Orsay and Rue Royale. Certainly, the new Concorde bridge (1787-1791) was not yet built which allowed us to think of an east-west connection and relegate the north-south connection. The redesign of the square partially replaces the octagonal shape with an oblong roundabout that facilitates

---

7. **It is necessary for a Le Nôtre to draw his plan, to do it with pleasure and ideas, to have in it, at the same time, order and fantasy, symmetry and variety ... Let’s put this idea into practice and use the design of our parks as plans for our cities. It is only about estimating the terrain and representing in it, in the same taste, roads that become streets and crossroads that will be our squares. We have cities whose streets are perfectly aligned, but as their design has made by people of little spirit, an insipid accuracy and a cold uniformity reigns in them ... they are cities in which everything refers to a unique figure, a great parallelogram crossed the length and breadth by lines at right angles ... it is not a trifle to design the plan of a city so that the magnificence of the total is subdivided into infinity of beautiful details all different** (Laugier, Marc-Antoine, 1755, pp. 132-133)
north-south traffic without damaging east-west movements. The defenestrated statue of Louis XV is replaced by an “axis world”, the obelisk of Luxor that marks the centre of the new square. On the sides of the obelisk two monumental fountains: that of “the seas” and that “the rivers.” The two fountains celebrate river navigation (fountain on the north side towards the rue Royale) and maritime navigation (fountain on the south side towards the Seine). The river fountain is made up of seated figures representing the Rhine and the Rhône, products of the regions watered (grapes, wheat, fruit, flowers), statues of River Navigation, Agriculture and Industry.

The maritime fountain has six colossal figures representing the Ocean, the Mediterranean, fishing for fish, for corals and for pearls and shells. Swans mingle with three geniuses symbolizing Maritime Navigation, Commerce and Astronomy. For the statues adorning these fountains, the architect called on many artists: Jean-François-Théodore Gechter, Honoré-Jean-Aristide Husson, François Lanno, Nicolas Brion, Auguste-Hyacinthe Debay, Antoine Desboeufs, Jean-Jacques Feuchère, Antonin-Marie Moine, Jean-Jacques Elshoecht (aka Carle Elshoecht) and Louis-Parfait Merlieux.

They have the distinction of being cast iron, according to Hittorff’s choice. They were to be carried out by the Parisian founder Calla, but it is the foundry of Tusey, in Vaucouleurs, which won the market. The cast iron was golden for its most symbolic parts.

The perimeter, basically pedestrian, uses small constructions of the previous project on which eight allegorical statues of the main cities of France are placed. The allegorical statues of eight French cities draw the outline of the octagon imagined by Gabriel. The one evoking Strasbourg is draped in black from 1871, date of the attachment of Alsace-Lorraine to Germany.

The square is equipped with street lamps, some monumental rostral street lamps, many simpler ones. XV is replaced by an “axis world”, the obelisk of Luxor that marks the centre of the new square. On the sides of the obelisk two monumental fountains, that of “the seas” and that “the rivers.” The perimeter, basically pedestrian, uses small constructions of the previous project on which eight allegorical statues of the main cities of France are placed. The square is equipped with street lamps, some monumental rostral street lamps, many simpler ones. Rostral columns, 9.60 m high, carry the prows of ships suitable for gas lighting. They also evoke the emblem of the City of Paris. The same choice of foundry was made for the rostral columns also in cast iron and made at the same foundry in the Lorraine. The simplest lampposts are present, too, in the Champs-Élysées. Some of these lampposts can be found in Barcelona, specifically in the Plaza de Catalunya, since the 1929 Exhibition.

As seen in the images, Hittorff’s work is huge announcing the new criteria necessary for the organization of public space for cities that face the challenge of the first industrial revolution. Hittorf starts a new paradigm in relation to the design of public spaces, a paradigm that will be deepen by Alphand and Davioud some years later when the Haussmann renewal of Paris will start (Alphand, 1867; Haddad 2017; Remesar, 2004a; 2007). This work is the beginning of a new industry linked to
urbanization processes: the “fonte d’art” industry which will expand elements of urban furniture (banks, fountains, bars, columns of advertisements, etc.) and sculptures in cast iron throughout the world. A process that will also take place in the great industrialized country of the time, the United Kingdom, thanks to Scottish foundries.

The Place de la Concorde is one of the major public squares in Paris, with 7.6 ha in area. The place was designed by Ange-Jacques Gabriel in 1755 as an octagon between the Champs-Élysées and the Tuileries Garden to the east, as a frame for an equestrian statue of Louis XV designed by Bouchardon. The statue of the king, had been commissioned in 1748 by the city of Paris, sculpted mostly by Edmé Bouchardon, and completed by Jean-Baptiste Pigalle after the death of Bouchardon. The area was named the Place Louis XV.

At the north end, two magnificent identical stone buildings were built. Separated by the rue Royale, these structures remain among the best examples of Louis XV style architecture. Initially, the eastern building served as the French Naval Ministry. Shortly after its construction, the western building became the opulent home of the Duc d’Aumont. It was later purchased by the Comte de Crillon, whose family resided there until 1907. The famous luxury Hôtel de Crillon, which currently occupies the building, took its name from its previous owners.

After the downfall of Napoleon and the restoration of the French monarchy, the trees had to be replanted, because the occupation armies had camped in the park and used the trees for firewood. The avenue from the Rond-Point to the Étoile was built up during the Empire. The Champs-Élysées itself became city property in 1828, and footpaths, fountains, and, later, gas lighting were added.

In 1834, King Louis Philippe, commissioned to redesign the Place de la Concorde and the gardens of the Champs-Élysées. The formal gardens were kept and flowerbeds essentially intact, but the Champs became a sort of outdoor amusement park, with a summer garden café, two restaurants, the Ledoyen and the restaurant de l’Horloge; a theater, the Lacaze; the Panorama, built in 1839, where large historical paintings were displayed, and the cirque d’été (1841), a large hall for popular theatre, musical and circus performances. Several ornamental fountains were placed around the park.
Statue equestre de Louis XV, // dont l'Inauguration a été faite à Paris le XX. Juin M. DCC. LXIII. // Dédie a Monsieur --- le marquis de Marigny. // Commandeur des ordres du Roi --- Directeur et Ordonnateur Général // de ses Batiments, Jardins, Arts, --- Académies et Manufactures Royales. // Par son très-humble --- et très-obéissant serviteur, Le Rouge : [estampe] / Bouchardon inventit ; Dessiné par Moreau le jeune. --- La Tête dessinée par Gautet et gravée par Catelin. 1767. Gallica.fr, ark:/12148/btv1b84096428

Jacques-Ange Gabriel. Plan de la place Louis XV (place de la Concorde) à Paris

Plan des guerittes de la Place de Louis XV. Jacques-Ange Gabriel. http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb403125362
A version of the monumental lamppost by Hittorff, in a catalog of the Val d’Osne Foundries of the last third of the 19th century.

Version of the simple lamppost by Hittorff in Barcelona, Plaza de Catalunya.
Nancy Stanislas square.

The square, the royal square where the statue of Louis XV was installed, was a major project in the urban planning imagined by Estanislao I Leszczyński, Duke of Lorraine and former King of Poland-Lithuania, as a way of joining the old medieval city of Nancy and the new city built by Duke Carlos III Lorena in the 17th century. The design linked two buildings that already existed, the Hôtel de Ville, today centered on its large square, and the Hôtel du Gouvernement. The seat of the municipal government and the seat of the ducal government were face to face as complements through a series of rational, symmetrical, and still varied and unparalleled urban spaces in the Europe of its time. (Caillaut 2008)

The square and the surrounding buildings, unified by their colossal order, were designed by the royal architect Emmanuel Héré de Corny. Construction began in March 1752 and ended in November 1755. In its beginning it was called Royal Square Louis XV and was one of the first royal squares in France.
Barthélémy Guibal and Paul-Louis Cyfflé created a bronze statue of Louis XV, which rose in the middle of the square until it was removed during the Revolution and replaced with a simple winged figure. The square was renamed Place du Peuple, and later Place Napoléon. In 1831, a bronze statue of Stanisław (Stanislas in French) was placed in the middle of the square, since then known as the Place Stanislas, in honour of the last Duke of Lorraine. (Hallays 1920; Syndicat d’initiatives, 1931).

Duke Stanislas, founded, a few years later in 1765, the park of the Pépinière that receives its name and its grid design to its original function: royal greenhouse, in order to supply trees to plant on the roads of the Lorraine region. Despite its transformation into a park open to the public in 1835, the layout of the sixteen squares of cultivation beds has been preserved and can still be seen today in the perpendicular layout of its avenues.

At the entrance of the park, facing the Stanislas square, two monumental fountains, works by Dieudonné-Barthélemy Guibal, close the northern corners of Place Stanislas. They lead sculptures for the sake of economy. If sources are monumental, no less so are the gates that mark the separation between the square and the entrance to the park. In the past, these fountains were not only decorative, the small fountains serving as drinking troughs for horses, the large ones serving water to the inhabitants.

The examples of Paris and Nancy illustrate the importance of public space. An open and multifunctional space is the mechanism to joint two different parts of the city, detached one of the other. In the middle of each square a Monument [both dedicated to Louis XV], together with some additional elements, such as fountains and architectural elements. Around a monumental vertical plane built by the facades of the buildings, the green of the gardens or the open blue of the river.
Hegemann and Peets (1922:69) thought that this square was “the most wonderful of the plazas built in honour of King Louis, was an architectural creation in the strongest sense of the word. Much more than an ordinary plaza, it represented a group of plazas comprising the square with the centrally located monument of the King and what might be considered as two forecourts to this main plaza; the long "Carriere" with its clipped trees and the oval colonnaded area in front of the Palace which faces the City Hall at the other end of the long composition”. On the other hand they affirm that the “Place de la Concorde partook more of the landscaped character of a large American campus”
London. Saint James Park and the Mall

St James’s Park is a 23-hectare (57-acre) park in the City of Westminster, central London. In 1532, Henry VIII purchased an area of marshland through which the Tyburn flowed. It lay to the west of York Palace, subsequently renamed Whitehall. In 1630, James I ordered that the park be drained and landscaped, and exotic animals were kept in the park, including camels, crocodiles, an elephant and exotic birds, kept in aviaries.

While Charles II was in exile in France under the Cromwell’s Commonwealth of England, (1649-1653) he was impressed by the sophisticated gardens at French royal palaces, and when coming back to England, he ordered to redesign the park in a more formal style, probably by the French landscaper André Mollet. A 775 metre by 38 metre canal was created. The king opened the park to the public and used the area to entertain guests and mistresses. In the late 17th and early 18th centuries cows grazed on the park, and milk could be bought fresh at the “Lactarian”. The 18th century saw further changes, including the reclamation of part of the canal for Horse Guards Parade.
and the purchase of Buckingham House (now Buckingham Palace) at the west end of the Mall, for the use of Queen Charlotte in 1761.

Further remodelling in 1826–27, commissioned by the Prince Regent (later George IV) and overseen by John Nash, saw the canal’s conversion into a more naturally-shaped lake, and formal avenues rerouted to romantic winding pathways. Buckingham House expanded to create the palace, and Marble Arch was built at its entrance, whilst The Mall was turned into a grand processional route. It opened to public traffic 60 years later in 1887. The Marble Arch was moved to its current location at the junction of Oxford Street and Park Lane in 1851 and the Victoria Memorial was erected between 1906 and 1934.

The Mall began as a field for playing pall-mall. In the 17th and 18th centuries it was a fashionable promenade, bordered by trees.
Providing water: Fountains and Water Supply

The need to provide water to cities that are increasingly densely populated and constrained within the wall belt, encourages municipalities to develop water supply programs and fountains for distribution.

In each city, different solutions are chosen, but if we exclude Lisbon (Caetano & Cruz 1991; Valente 2013), which from the Marques de Pombal reconstruction programme opts for a combination of wall fountains and exempt fountains, almost all cities evolve from this type of fountain, to an exempt type, due in good measure to the advances of the hydraulics that allows to leave the idea of tank-fountains where the water springs by decantation, to the mentioned exempt fountains that, in addition, with the passage of the years, receive an aesthetic treatment by means of the incorporation of sculptures.

As stated in the file submitted (31/01/2017) by Portuguese delegation to UNESCO, the Águas Livres Aqueduct, is an architectural infrastructure commissioned by King Dom João V, which was built between 1731 and 1799. It is a hydraulic structure that stretches over 58 Km, built of cut stone quarried in the Lisbon area, together with limestone masonry. The building of this public work took on special significance for the Municipal Council and for the people of Lisbon, since they
were both called upon to bear the brunt of the costs of this work. The tax that the local population had to pay for this purpose, known as the Real D’Água, dates back to 1729, and was levied on staple foodstuffs, such as wine, meat and olive-oil. The building of the Águas Livres Aqueduct was completed in 1799, and, in 1834, the Mãe d’Água reservoir in Amoreiras was finally ready for use.

The responsibility for designing the most significant works of the period of Dom João’s reign was handed to foreign specialists, and this hydraulic structure was included in this group. Several foreigners were involved in the design of this aqueduct, working there as architects: António Cannevari, Carlos Mardel, João Frederico Ludovice, Miguel Ângelo Blasco and Theresio Micheloti, as well as other surveyors and master stonemasons. The various stretches that were built are the result of the work planned by the different people responsible for overseeing the aqueduct’s construction, the most notable of whom were the Portuguese military engineers Manuel da Maia (1732-1736) and Custódio Vieira (1736-1744), as well as the Hungarian military architect Carlos Mardel (1746-1763).

The *Aqueduto das águas livres*, forms a water distribution system to the city. A part of the route is uncovered, but when it enters the city the aqueduct remains buried. In order for water to reach people, be they private individuals or professional water carriers -which, in Lisbon, were called “galegos” (Galician), because many of them came from Galicia in Spain- an interface of use is needed. Lisbon will opt for what Quatremère de Quincy (Quatremère 1788) called “fountains in archi-
“architecture”, although at certain points in the distribution system we can also observe some fountain “in sculpture”. In relation to its location, many of Lisbon’s fountains are backed fountains, since they also function as water towers. When the slope of the pipelina allows it, isolated fountains are built, some in architecture (pyramids or canopies), others presided over by sculptures.

Scheme of the different solutions taken to deliver water to cities and ensure their distribution through fountains. It describes the fountains’ gradual ornamentation as well as changes in the handling of hydraulics favouring the sliding of the use of water from primary needs (water for living and work) to others of a more festive and symbolic character [water for leisure and urban decorum]
Wall (water tower) fountains in the "Agoas Livres System" in Lisbon
Obelisks / Canopy fountains in the "Agoas Livres" System in Lisbon
Sculptural fountains in the "Agoas Livres" System in Lisbon
During the 1755 earthquake, the aqueduct resisted its attacks quite well, unlike the most populated area of the city. Due to earthquake’s destructions all over the city, Lisbon does not offer us a good example for the study of the evolution proposed in the previous scheme. In addition, Lisbon is incorporated later than other cities (see the case of the Plaza Real de Barcelona or Vienna) to the installation of jet water fountains in the public space.

The reason is that most of the XVIII and XIX century “chafarices” are water towers. Another reason could be the enormous weight that the Pombaline dispositions about the building systems and models of the Baixa Pombalina, have on the whole of the building activity in the city that was determined by the provisions of the central government and not of the authority municipal. Added to this is a very widespread and popular culture called “architecture chã” (plain architecture), in which the simplicity, a certain standardization of materials and stonework are fundamental (Cunha 2005).

Therefore we must find an answer to this evolution in another place and we will resort to a brief description of the evolution of fountains in Vienna.

Vienna, as the capital of an empire, has a long tradition in the construction of fountains, first to provide water to citizens, then, since the mid-nineteenth century, as elements of urban decorum in the articulation of the new city, the new city that would grow on the other side of the old walls, converted into a belt to articulate between the old and the new Vienna, through the “Ringstraße”. The ‘Ringstraße’ is a great avenue that completely surrounds the old town of Vienna or Innere.
Stadt. It is one of the main avenues and one of the most important boulevards in Europe, with its 5.2 km. long and 57 meters wide in most of its sections.

When in 1850, Vienna annexed some of the nearby villages. It became clear that the historic city walls, which were the protagonists of the various sieges, had become obsolete and were a hindrance to the growth and expansion of the modern city, as in most European cities. In 1857, Emperor Francis Joseph I issued a decree “is my will” (Es ist Mein Wille) ordering the demolition of the old city walls. In this decree the emperor already defined the exact size of the round to be built instead of the walls and the esplanades along its entire perimeter, as well as the location and functions of the new buildings to be built in the land that was freeing up.

Numerous green areas and parks are scattered around the Ringstraße, most notably Stadtpark, Burggarten, Volksgarten and Rathauspark. Among the squares are Schwarzenbergplatz, Schillerplatz, Maria-Theresien-Platz and Heldenplatz. There are also numerous monuments and statues.

The plan also entailed a change in urban infrastructure, especially with the provision of new urban services (water, gas, and subsequently electricity). Maintaining the drinking fountain program, the new public spaces required another use of water, with the development of an ornamental fountain program.

In previous scheme of the different solutions taken to deliver water to cities and ensure their distribution through fountains. It describes the fountains gradual ornamentation as well as changes in the handling of hydraulics favouring the sliding of the use of water from the primary necessities to others of a more festive and symbolic character. What is clear is that for XVIII century there is a trend to change drinking fountains from the backed to the isolate ones, a change from “fountain in architecture” to “fountains in sculpture”. Furthermore, we can appreciate a gradual incorporation of jet water fountains into urban scene, profiting from the hydraulic experience in the ruling class gardens with the development of several water features. Maintaining drinking fountains as a service for citizens, little by little, the use of “ornamental water” in the city is gaining weight in the fountain system.
Series of copies: a first step in democratizing Art.

Nineteenth Century is a time when Europe and the USA live the boom of the use of iron as a construction material, both for building and for infrastructures. Bridges, towers, markets, libraries, elevators (Rovira 1899). But iron is going to play an important role in the processes we can call “urban landscape normalization.” Indeed, the implementation of urban renewal projects in England after the 1666 great fire (Benévolo 1975; Kostof 1992, 1995) and in France, especially in Haussmann’s Paris (Haussmann, 1893; Pinon 1991), are associated with the city grid’s design and the arrangement of the vertical plane of the public space, but the importance of the horizontal plane, that of the ground, in which the true normalization of contemporary public space is going to occur is rarely considered. And cast iron will play a central role. Everywhere iron works companies start to develop elements of urban furniture, street benches, kiosks, street urinaries, lampposts, tree grilles .... in parallel to the foundry elements necessary for the construction of machinery. But, also, ornamental works that, in many places, are going to replace the monuments of stone, bronze or lead.

The analysis of how the Fountain of the Three Graces comes to Barcelona is illustrative of the process. At the site of the fountain, there should have been a monument dedicated to Ferdinand II of Aragon, the Catholic King (Molina, 1850). This is no time to dwell on the monument, although it is a more than curious story of frustrated monument, which was and was not, in the style of the Galheteiro in Praça do Rossio in Lisbon (Águas 2009, 2013).

What is certain is that the City Council, through its chief architect of Buildings, Ornamentation and Plumbing, and subsequent winner of the Ensanche contest, Antonio Rovira Trias, buys in 1876 a cast iron from fountain from the French company Durenne, which It has offices in Paris, and a representative in Barcelona. According to the administrative file in the Archive of Barcelona, signed by Rovira

>This fountain would avoid the monotony and coldness that is observed in one of the main squares of this city since the current water spout lacks the ornamentation that corresponds to it. This fountain could be cast iron and in this case it would cost its entirety, that is, foundry expenses, bronze color paint, placement, pipes, etc., etc., the amount of eleven thousand pesetas. (Rovira 1876)

The fountain of the Three Graces has become an urban icon of Barcelona. However, it is curious to analyse its symbolic content. In Barcelona, until we began our investigation, (Remesar et al., 2010) the Fountain of the Three Graces had no other content than that of being the central ornamental element in the Plaza Real. But, this fountain is, in origin, a funerary monument. Indeed, the original sculpture by François Pilon, 1560, is the reliquary for the heart of Henry II of France, ordered to be built by his wife Catherine de Medicis in the church of the Celestins in Paris and currently in the Louvre Museum. All of Europe is filled with reproductions of what are considered the best pieces of antiquity and the Renaissance. First in plaster, then in cast iron.

How does this sculpture, better this copy, reach the streets of Barcelona and other cities? It is well known that European Enlightenment, partly as an educational procedure, partly as an ideological
The Three Graces Fountain in Barcelona

The Fountain of the Three Graces is in the center of the royal square, flanked by the two street lamps designed by Gaudí in 1879.
instrument of fixing the symbolic universe, instituted the copy of the classical models as a normal procedure, both for the reproduction of the art pieces or for their enjoyment. Europa entera se llena de reproducciones de las que se consideran como mejores piezas de la Antigüedad y del Renacimiento. All of Europe is filled with reproductions of what are considered the best pieces of antiquity and the Renaissance. First in plaster, then in cast iron. To do so, Museums allowed a number of companies making molds for subsequent transfer to stone or metal. This practice was also enhanced by Napoleon I, through the Louvre’s copy workshop founded in 1794 as a strategy for the maintenance and dissemination of French national heritage. (Rionet 1996) All data show that the “mould” of the Three Graces piece was made at the beginning of the 19th century, since Louis Visconti designed the Fountain of the Three Graces in 1833, and in 1845 we can find a version in the Placette de Trévisse in Paris signed by Francisque Duret. In 1855, J. J. Ducel presented the total copy of the reliquary at the Paris International Exhibition.
The commercial representatives of the foundry companies were responsible for convincing municipal architects of the suitability of their products, basically based on three sales arguments: [1] The modernity involved in the use of iron, [2] the creation of an “international” image of the city by placing what was most “trendy” in Paris, and [3] the economy translated into costs, duration and maintenance. An additional selling item was that of product customization. Indeed, work on casting allows relatively easy to organize a set of materials in a quite varied layout and presentation. (Remesar, et al., 2010; Remesar, 2004a).

The philosophy used by Napoleon was to make affordable the masterpieces possession of the Ancien Régime to all citizens, on the one hand thanks to the Museum and the formation of French Heritage, on the other by replicating, copying, masterpieces for dissemination, which would enable, also, the formation and development of a thriving industrial sector. The procedure for copying and serial production is not new. Let’s think about the role that the printing had for the dissemination of texts hidden in monasteries and royal libraries, or the sales boom of engravings throughout the sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, thanks to which authors such as Hogarth were able to support their artistic work.
**Brief timeline / atlas of the Fountain of the Three Graces in Barcelona**

1718 Capuchins’ Convent

1822 The convent passes to the City Council. The square is named “Spanish Heroes”

1835 Burning of convents

1841 Public contest to create a theatre in the former site of the convent and orchards of the Capuchins

1842 to 1848 The so-called New Theatre operates

---

1848

Public contest for the creation of a square, named of Catholic Kings, won by Francesc Daniel Molina.

Public contest for the equestrian monument initially dedicated to Pedro III the Great. Finally, the project will be for José Piquer with the equestrian statue of Ferdinand II, the Catholic

---

1850

Laying of the first stone coinciding with the anniversary of Queen Elizabeth II. The square starts to be named “Royal”

1851

Three drawers with elements of the monument arrive from Madrid

1855

The name Plaza Real is confirmed

1857

Pedestal Construction

1860

A plaster copy of the Monument is placed

1861

The plaster copy begins to deteriorate. The statue is removed and only the pedestal remains

1865

The remnants of the sculptural elements are stored in the Town Hall
A jet water fountain is placed at the monument site.

The jet water is replaced by the Fountain of the Three Graces.

Gaudi’s project for installing four lampposts. They will not be installed until 1879 and only two.

It is arranged to build a monument to Jaume I, the conqueror, in the Plaza Real.

The Fountain is replaced by a luminous jet of water.

The Fountain is installed on the Rambla del Poble Nou. Some stores still remember this fact, i.e. “Bakery the jet of water”.

Remodelling of the gardens of the Plaza Real by N.M. Rubió i Tuduri. The Fountain is reinstalled.
Hochstrahlbrunnen. This fountain celebrates the arrival of new waters in Vienna. As we saw in the case of the Plaza Real in Barcelona, at the end of the 19th century, there is a tension in reference to the ways of raising a fountain. A tension that is initiated by the change in functionality. These new sources are no longer to provide water, but have a fundamental mission of urban decorum. At the same time, given the technical characteristics of the fountains, water becomes the subject of a sculpture without matter, tilting figurative interventions based on volumes that occupy space. “Water sculptures”, are dynamic, introducing movement and even sound and generate a changing form, in a space regulated by means of a choreography. Aspects quite well known by Le Nôtre, Vanvitelli and others, when they designed de scenography of the huge royal gardens since the mid-seventeenth century. In addition, the inclusion of electrical mechanisms allows this choreographic game to incorporate color. Even later, sound. These “water sculptures” need a detailed study and technical design and represent a paradigm shift in projects for monuments in public space.

Anton Gabrielli, building contractor of the water pipe, donated 200,000 crowns for the construction of the fountain, which was carried out on behalf of the city of Vienna by the building contractor Gustav Bruck. In 1886/87 extensive considerations were made regarding the regulation of Schwarzenbergplatz and, in connection with it, the new version of the Hochstrahlbrunnen. The designs of the important sculptors Viktor Tilgner and Rudolf Weyr were presented to the public at
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the Vienna Künstlerhaus from the end of January 1887, however, no decision was made in their favour.

The original nozzle arrangement from 1873 consisted of one high jet for the year, four jets in the inner stone island for the seasons and 365 edge jets for the days of the year. Today, at the edge of the pool there are 365 small fountains that symbolize the days of the year. The six fountains between the pool edge and the inner island correspond to the seven days of the week. Twelve water high jets symbolize the months, 24 low jets the hours of the day and 30 jets in the middle island the days of the month. Later, the fountain, in the style of a fountain lumineuse by the architect Oskar Marmorek, was converted into a light well at a cost of 285,000 crowns, which opened on June 23, 1906. At night it glows in the colours red, pink, yellow, violet, blue and green.

As hydraulics techniques advanced, some cities opted for a monumental use of water. First with the creation of true monuments to the water, as is the case of the monumental fountains of Marseille and Barcelona. The subsequent technical sophistication allowed us to move towards the idea of “water as a show” by incorporating light and even sound into a fantastic choreography of water features.
Olsen, in his work analyzing the case of beautification programs in London, Paris and Vienna, described what would largely become the paradigm of urbanization of large cities from the mid-nineteenth century until the emergence of the paradigm of the Modern architecture:

The three programs shared a series of characteristics: [1] they resulted from the initiative of the central government, depended, for their success, on the attraction of private investment by speculative builders and developers. [2] They were intended to make royal or imperial residences more prominent; public parks created; mixed public and private buildings, ecclesiastical and secular purposes, residential and commercial uses; the architecture used mainly in the classical tradition; put monuments of national, imperial, dynastic or cultural importance; build wide streets to facilitate traffic and serve as fashionable walks; and [3] combine aesthetics with social and health reasons (Olsen, 1986).

Although the state representation conditions described by Olsen do not occur in non-capital cities, the whole program is easily subscribed by any city that has industrial and commercial capacity. Worldwide the areas that border water are undergoing a gigantic change in recent years. But this change had already begun mid the 19th century.

Finally, public space for all [may be almost all]

At the today’s Battery Park site, New York, there were a number of military defense batteries beforehand. In 1807, to prepare for the future British invasion and war, New York City cedes land from The Battery and around the city coast to build five new forts to protect New York Harbor. Among those are Castle Clinton on The Battery, Castle Williams on Governors Island and Fort Wadsworth on Staten Island.

In 1823 the federal government orders the removal of Castle Clinton’s military base and rents out the place in New York City. The area is called Castle Garden, and the building is open to the public as an amphitheater. It featured the Eugene Robertson Hot Air Balloon Rise in 1825, and later housed a circus. Over the next thirty years, the building expanded to include a second floor, a roof, a fountain, and was improved with streetlights. It works as an opera house and theater, with a rooftop walk. Later, it will host an aquarium.

In 1850 The Landscaped Battery becomes a popular walk on the Hudson River and Castle Garden becomes one of the most important arts and entertainment venues in the city. In 1855, the embankment, connecting Castle Garden Island with the mainland, expands the park area. In Battery Park New York State sets up an immigrant landing site in the castle building. Over the next 35 years, 8 million immigrants are processed here. Notable Americans for passing Castle Garden are Nikola Tesla, Harry Houdini, Emma Goldman, or Joseph Pulitzer. In 1940, Robert Moses introduced controversial plans to build a bridge from Battery to Brooklyn. Local residents and activists, with the support of Eleanor and President Franklin Roosevelt, protest the development and stop it.
Until well into the nineteenth century, many of the malls and parks studied should not be properly considered public space. The images of Saint James Park, both those of Canaletto and the engravings, clearly indicate this. They were open spaces “to the public”, that is to a certain audience, formed by aristocrats, military, merchants, bourgeois, clergymen. The presence of the popular classes is not evident, beyond those characters that by their function linked to the “gentlemen” (stable boys, equerries) or the work to be carried out in the public space itself (the workers of the “Lactarian”). Thus, we cannot say that they are spaces of exclusion, but it is clear that they are not inclusive spaces, a fundamental mission of public spaces. It is not by chance that the term “Mall” is used today to designate the large shopping centers that replace outdoor walks. The today’s “Mall”, like “The Mall” would be rather collective spaces in which the property determines the rules of use and behaviour (Cerasi 1976; Gutiérrez 2017; Solà-Morales 1992). These collective spaces, unlike public spaces, are governed by the rules dictated by the property, based on “the right of admission” - not everyone is welcome - and by their strict physical control and access (guards, cameras, etc).

It will be from the liberal revolutions of the second half of the nineteenth century, when these spaces become, properly, public spaces. In the first place, due to the transfer of ownership to the municipalities, secondly, because, beyond the norms of public decorum, or some racist restrictions such as in South Africa or the USA until well into the 20th century, the spaces will be accessible to
all citizens, as is already the case in Longchamp or in the Ciutadella Park in Barcelona. The same thing happens with other spaces of the aristocracy that gradually open to the public (Capel 2002). In addition, the design of the space itself, the uses that are developed in it can create invisible barriers- not formal ones- that restrict everyone’s access (Goffman 1961, 1963; Hall 1966)

As Cerdà states the city is organized in “Uncovered spaces” and “Empty spaces”. The uncovered spaces fall within the sphere of the private and to them belong the «gardens» inside the buildings which should serve as a reserve of light and air, a link between people and nature: «In each house there should be a garden that can be considered as the sphere of fraternal relations of the family with the living forces of Nature” (Cerdà, 1859). On the other hand, for Cerdà empty spaces are those of public use 7. Empty spaces are those in which the collective life of the city takes place. Cerdà establishes a certain functional division of these spaces in relation to the type of possible uses, setting a typological and functional classification. The first type of space is the «public road», the street, the set of continuous surfaces that Cerdà, as a good neoclassical, understood in relation to the set of built facades, arranged according to the principles of street alignment. With the street there are other types of spaces such as the small squares, the squares, the gardens, the public walks, the parks, the forest.

And the Administration for its part by vanity sometimes, it is necessary to tell the truth completely, and also sometimes obeying a feeling of humanity disputed by hygienists, planted trees and built gardens wherever it found space for it …]. Overwhelmed, the citizens due to the concentrated and laborious life that inside the cities are condemned to drag, leave from time to time, as often as possible, to enjoy some leisure time and distraction in the outskirts. For this purpose, cities of some consideration and well managed have walks, parks, gardens, forests, etc. At certain times of the day, the population flows in large masses to the walks, whose narrowness and lack of scope is then felt and deplored; because they no longer serve those who seek recreation and healthy distraction, but only those who go with the purpose of seeing and being seen. The parks and gardens in the cities that are fortunate to possess them, and even more forests that few of them are allowed to enjoy, are places more on purpose, as well as for hygienic exercises, so convenient for people of sedentary life very numerous in all the big cities, as well as to provide us with innocent fun of the tasks that have occupied us, with eagerness, perhaps, a large part of the day and night. In them they also find pure and life-giving air to breathe the many unfortunate families, condemned to breathe the mephitic and unhealthy of the knives in which they dwell during the entire time they are locked in it.

It is precise to recognize that the Administrations, knowing the great privations that the current urbanization, every day more condensed, imposes without mercy on the inhabitants of the big cities, tries with some dedication to provide these with some compensation, increasing every day the public recreation sites . Private industry, for its part, contributes to these purposes, although with a view to individual interest. Every day you see on the outskirts of large urban centers, stand up special theaters, Champs Elysees8 and other various establishments of a similar nature, in which for a

7.- The idea of “Empty space” does not take into consideration the issue of space ownership or only indirectly. Indeed, in its definition, public spaces can be confused with collective spaces.
8.- Cerdà refers both to the “Champs” in Paris and to those in Barcelona. A recreational park located in the background of the current Paseo de Gracia. Inaugurated in 1885 survived until 1873. Its main attractions were a lake and roller coaster, also having a ballroom and concert hall, which, over time, was transformed into a theater.
Bois de Boulogne. Before and after the design by Alphand. The straight lines of the paths disappear and are replaced by curved routes. Water goes into the park by almost a third of its surface.
short fee, citizens find entertainment of all kinds. In some countries, attempts have been made to gather instruction with leisurre, establishing topographic galleries, panoramas, zoological, botanical collections, etc. at recreational sites. History also has its representation in the statues that decorate (Cerdà, 1867).

NEW YORK. Central Park was first approved in 1853 as a 315 Ha. park. In 1857, landscape architect Frederick Law Olmsted and architect/landscape designer Calvert Vaux won a design competition to construct the park with a plan they titled the “Greensward Plan”.

Construction began the same year, and the park’s first areas were opened to the public in late 1858. Additional land at the northern end of Central Park was purchased in 1859, and the park was completed in 1876. After a period of decline in the early 20th century, New York City parks commissioner Robert Moses started a program to clean up Central Park. Another decline in the late 20th century spurred the creation of the Central Park Conservancy in 1980, which refurbished many parts of the park during the 1980s and 1990s. Today the park covers 341 HA and receive almost 38 million visitors per year.

Water as an expression of patrician and reformist democracy
Chicago. Lincoln Park is a 489-hectare park situated along Lake Michigan. Named after US President Abraham Lincoln, it is the city’s largest public park and stretches for 11 km. In 1860, Lake Park (earlier, Cemetery Park), the precursor of today’s park, was established by the city on the lands just to the north of the city’s burial ground. Five years later, on June 12, 1865, the park was renamed to honor the recently assassinated President Abraham Lincoln. Lincoln Park is the second-most-visited city park in the United States, behind Central Park.

Madrid. The Retiro's Lake
As example. The Retiro’s lake in Madrid is an extension of water of artificial origin, with an area of 37 240 m² and a volume of water of 55 150 m³. It was created in the first half of the seventeenth century as one of the most relevant landscape elements of the Buen Retiro, a former possession of the Spanish Crown during the reign of Philip IV. The Royal Site had an exclusively courtly use until the last third of the 18th century. In the year 1767 King Carlos III allowed public access to the site, public access to the site, under certain restrictions, and establishes as a limit of visits one of the banks of the big lake. Its ownership corresponds, since 1868, to the Madrid City Council, as well as the landscaped spaces on which the current public park was formed.

With an area of 37 240 m² and a volume of water of 55 150 m³, it has a jetty that allows recreational navigation and the celebration of rowing and canoeing competitions. On its banks is the Monument to Alfonso XII. On its banks is the Monument to Alfonso XII. In 1902 a national competition was convened to build a monument to King Alfonso XII. The winner was the architect José Grases Riera with a big project on one of the main sides of the Pond, consisting of a colonnade with a large number of sculptures surrounding the equestrian statue of the king, next to the lake, in bronze and marble. When José Grases died he was replaced in the direction of the works by Teodoro Anasagasti, who did not introduce modifications. The monument, financed by popular subscription, was unveiled on July 22, 1922. The whole set is 30 meters high, 86 meters wide and 58 meters deep, and more than twenty sculptors participated in its elaboration, among which Mariano Benlliure, Josep Clarà and Mateo Inurria stand out.
In 1849 the waters of the Durance River arrive in Marseille, following the channel traced by Frantz Major de Montricher that must reach a large water tower located in the middle of a new park, the Longchamp Park, the Observatory and the Marseille Zoo are installed.

At the beginning of 1859, the mayor of Marseille, Jean-François Honnorat, asked the sculptor Auguste Bartholdi to carry out a water tower project. Bartholdi first thought only of a monumental fountain. After several interviews with the municipal council, he associated a museum divided into two isolated bodies with a central water tower.

It presents a third project by connecting the buildings by a vast gallery having its entrance in the axis of the monument. Faced with the hesitation of several of its members, the municipal council turned to judge this project by a commission made up of specialists: Henri Labrouste and Léon Vaudoyer, general inspectors of diocesan buildings, and Victor Baltard, architect of the city of Paris. This commission criticizes the project which will not be selected.

In 1862, the architect Henry Espérandieu conceived an ambitious project to celebrate the event. He converts the great water tower in a palace to the glory of water in a garden setting. The entrance to the Palais Longchamp is through two gates placed symmetrically with respect to the general axis of the building. Each entrance portal is framed by high pedestals on which are erected statues sculpted by Antoine-Louis Barye depicting a wild beast devouring its prey.

The aisles on which the two gates open follow the curves of the pool to reach the main staircase. This staircase which encloses the reception basin of the waterfall is interrupted on a terrace to give access on the left to the Museum of Fine Arts and on the right to the Museum of Natural History.

The triumphal arch, open on all sides, has a sumptuous entablature surmounted by a dome. The front side of this dome is decorated with a trireme from which the city's arms stand out, framed by two sirens. The whole was sculpted by Eugène-Louis Lequesne.

The central group is, with its ten meters in height, an imposing work by Jules Cavelier. Made of
Calissanne stone, it represents a chariot emerging from the water tower, drawn by four Camargue bulls that seem to be heading towards the city.

On this chariot are represented three female allegorical characters. The figure of the Durance, proudly camped in the centre, is draped in an ancient peplum.

In Barcelona, a similar project was developed at the Parc de la Ciutadella. The park is in the site of a large military citadel built in 1715 to control the city, following its surrender on 11 September 1714, in the previous land of the Ribera’s neighbourhood.

In 1869, General Prim handed the citadel over to Barcelona. His condition was that the land should be used as a public park. The military fortress was then pulled down by groups of volunteers.

The reclaiming of this land meant a much-needed large green space could be created in a Barcelona that was becoming increasingly overcrowded. Places were needed to “allow breathing”, as Joseph Fontseré expressed in his project’s slogan: “Gardens in cities serve the same purpose as lungs in the human body”. (Arranz et al., 1984; Torres iet al., 1985)

The original layout was amended to include the Universal Exposition of 1888 and, later on, to accommodate the Zoo, one of the most important in Europe, which occupies half of the land.
Monumental waterfalls.
Barcelona. Parc de la Ciutadella

Inspired in the Longchamps Palace, the monumental waterfall was designed by Josep Fontserè in 1875 and officially opened in 1881. Fontserè had a very young Gaudí as his assistant, to whom the rocky decoration of the waterfall and some of the decorative motifs have been attributed.

The waterfall is decorated with numerous sculptural features by Catalan artists from the end of the 19th century and put together after its official opening. The central element is Venanci Vallmitjana’s Birth of Venus; and the highlight of these works, at the top, is the Aurora’s Chariot] by Rossend Nobas, who also sculpted four groups of jinns, two fauns and the figure of Eros. Four griffins beneath, modelled by Rafael Atché, protect the Venus. There are steps from one end to the other giving access to a type of temple for contemplating the park from a privileged perspective.

Near from the waterfall it is an artificial lake
Fonteseré, Josep, 1874. Project for the supply of water to the Parks and Gardens of the ex-Citadel. Source: Barcelona's Administrative Archive.
eration and well managed have walks, parks, gardens, forests, etc.
At certain times of the day, the population flows in large masses to the walks, whose
narrowness and lack of scope is then felt and deplored; because they no longer serve
those who seek recreation and healthy distraction, but only those who go with the
purpose of seeing and being seen. The parks and gardens in the cities that are fortu-
nate to possess them, and even more forests that few of them are allowed to enjoy,
are places more on purpose, as well as for hygienic exercises, so convenient for peo-
ple of sedentary life very numerous in all the big cities, as well as to provide us with
innocent fun of the tasks that have occupied us, with eagerness, perhaps, a large
part of the day and night. In them they also find pure and life-giving air to breathe
the many unfortunate families, condemned to breathe the mephitic and unhealthy
of the knives in which they dwell during the entire time they are locked in it.
It is precise to recognize that the Administrations, knowing the great privations that
the current urbanization, every day more condensed, imposes without mercy on the
inhabitants of the big cities, tries with some dedication to provide these with some
compensation, increasing every day the public recreation sites. Private industry, for
its part, contributes to these purposes, although with a view to individual interest.
Every day you see on the outskirts of large urban centers, stand up special theaters,
Champs Elysees and other various establishments of a similar nature, in which for a
short fee, citizens find entertainment of all kinds.
In some countries, attempts have been made to gather instruction with leissure,
establishing topographic galleries, panoramas, zoological, botanical collections, etc.
at recreational sites. History also has its representation in the statues that decorate
some gardens, when it is not a lighter idea that has presided over such decorations
(Cerdà, 1867).

The hygienist thought is widely spread throughout the world (Haddad, Marie, 2017b) and the
8.- Cerdà refers both to the “Champs” in París and to those in Barcelona. A recreational park located in the back-
ground of the current Paseo de Gracia. Inaugurated in 1885 survived until 1873. Its main attractions were a lake and
roller coaster, also having a ballroom and concert hall, which, over time, was transformed into a theater.
The National Hotel is located at a higher level than the Malecon promenade. The hotel gardens extend to the Malecon limit. To solve the slope while preserving the privacy of the gardens, an artificial waterfall was built just at the corner of the intersection between 23rd Avenue or Vedado's Slope and the Malecon.

We have no exact knowledge of who designed the waterfall, but it could have been the teams of the McKim, Mead & White and Purdy & Henderson Co companies, in charge of the plans and the execution of the National Hotel project.
Early XXth century, in the context of French urbanism and the City Beautiful movement, several “waterfront” projects were developed. The National Mall in Washington, based initially on the plans of L’Enfant (1791) for the future city, is finally developed from the McMillan (1902) plan, in which architects of the City Beautiful movement actively participate as Burnham or Olmsted, Jr. The projects not only incorporate water (natural or water features) in the design of its different parts, but also the landscape enjoyment of a natural space, the water front of the Potomac River. Burnham himself will develop the Chicago Plan (Burnham and Bennett, 2009) by articulating a park system, of which some may value the landscape aspects of Lake Michigan (Flol 2008).

In his “Anteproyecto de Plan de Enlaces” for Barcelona (1905), Jaussely will raise the need to create a promenade articulating the relationship between the sea and the city and that would extend between the Besòs river and the Barceloneta (Jaussely, Leon, 1907). This idea will be developed in the General Urbanization Plan of Barcelona (Romeu and Porcel 1919) in the framework of the Maritime Promenades Bill (Cambó, 1918) and the creation of a state of opinion to which Civitas journal contributes (Civis, 1918; Fava, Nadia, 2004a). A few years before Juan Rafael Alday Lasarte had begun the urbanization of the famous Paseo de la Concha in San Sebastián. Among the urbani-
On the same dates, the idea to build a “costanera” along the Rio de La Plata (Buenos Aires) shores was developed between 1916 and 1919, with a project by the agronomist and landscape engineer Benito Javier Carrasco. Between 1918 and 1950, the Municipal Baths worked on the South “costanera”. It now stands as a promenade framed by the Ecological Reserve and included in the new neighborhood of “Puerto Madero” urban regeneration operation of the 1980’s (Berjman, Sonia, 1990, 1998; Martire, Agustina, 2008a).
With an extension of 25 Ha, the park was created under the mandate of President Sarmiento, with some projects that failed to bear fruit. The park February 3 comes alive in the mandate of the Mayor Torcuato de Alvear (1883-1888) and especially when the land became the property of the Municipality of Buenos Aires in 1889. In 1891 and until 1914 the French landscape architect Charles Thays was the Director of Walks and Gardens of the Municipality, introducing major changes and expansions in the park. As the circulation of the railroads were damaged by frequent floods, Thays designed the current Lake and used the extracted lands to fill the railroad tracks by modifying its layout, and thus achieve a containment limit between the park and the river. The train tracks that crossed the park began to do so elevated, creating the "Retreat Viaduct", with its brick arches that to this day characterize the area. The avenues, forest plantations, monuments, decorative vases, street lamps, gazebos, ornamental statues of French origin in cast iron, and other ornaments were added to the lake. The rose garden, the Planetarium, the
Japanese Garden, the Buenos Aires Zoo and the Buenos Aires Botanical Garden are part of this extensive park.

Among the many monuments, they draw attention: the first and only sculpture not serialized by Rodin outside France, the Sarmiento Monument (1899-1900); the Monument to the Magna Carta and the Four Argentine Regions popularly known as the Monument of the Spaniards since it was donated by the Spanish community in 1910 on the occasion of the centenary of the May Revolution, by Agustín Querol. Although the inauguration stone was laid in 1910, various inconveniences delayed the completion of the work, which was finally inaugurated in 1927.

Recently, 2014, the National Monument to the Memory of the Victims of the Holocaust, by the architects Gustavo Nielsen and Sebastián Marsiglia, has been installed. The Argentine Government ordered its realization, in the year 2000, in homage to the memory of the victims of the Jewish holocaust and the Legislature of the Autonomous City of Buenos Aires authorized its location. It consists of a wall approximately 40 meters long by 4 meters high and one deep, consisting of 114 pre-molded concrete parallelepipeds, representing the victims of the attack on the Israeli embassy in Argentina and the victims of the AMIA attack. In each block a print of an everyday object is stamped.
As we have already pointed out, the use of water as an element of the urban landscape, involves not only the creation of water spaces, but also the recovery of the “water fronts”. In Buenos Aires, the project to build a costanera for the shores of the La Plata river, was developed between 1916 and 1919. It was the work by the agronomist and landscape engineer Benito Javier Carrasco. Between 1918 and 1950, the Municipal Baths worked on the South Costanera. It now stands as a promenade framed by the Ecological Reserve and included in the new district of “Puerto Madero” urban regeneration operation of the 1980’s and 1990’s.
The change in social habits throughout the nineteenth century opened the use and enjoyment of the sea to citizens. Baths, water sports, simple contemplation of the landscape, enhancement of that other half of the city that is the sea in the port cities and the spine of the city that is the river in the river cities.

No less disturbing for the urban planner must be the concern of promoting and protecting the network of free surfaces, both those that are inside the city in the form of gardens, squares of adornment and other provisions, such as those that surround it, be they forests, meadows or other green surfaces, which, together with the water of the lakes, ponds or streams, contribute not only to the beautification of the place, but to the sanitation of the city (Porcel, Llopart and Rubió, 1927).

It is not surprising that the French urban planners of the late nineteenth and first third of the twentieth century [those associated with the Social Museum and the “Art Public” movement] highlighted the idea of organizing the urban layout based on a park system and walks that will guarantee access to water.

In order to understand what a park system is, it is necessary to define and classify the various elements which can be listed: large reserves and protected landscapes; suburban parks; large urban parks; small parks; neighborhood gardens; playgrounds which may also include kindergartens; avenues-promenades [...]. Promenade avenues, American parkways, Promenades in Austria, the Ring of Cologne, the Anlage of Frankfurt are not the least essential parts of the program.

They are intended to serve at the same time as means of communication in the city, of pleasant and convenient access to its parks, to its large reserves, to its countryside, of liessen also to the whole. They will ensure for the future, sometimes even for the moment, a sufficient and complete system of convenient, wide and beautiful exits from the city.

The parkway, the avenue-promenade, is not, strictly speaking, a boulevard. In America, however, it sometimes happens that the two words are sometimes taken for each other (Forestier, J.C.N, 1906)

In Havana, Forestier (1928) will design the “malecón” and creates the system of avenues and parks on the entrance of the Havana port (Duverger, 1990; Gómez Días, 2008). Forestier himself (1914) will project the system of parks that open to the Bu Regreg River and the Atlantic Ocean in Rabat, as well as the Aguedal gardens. The promenades, following the terminology of the Promenade des Anglais in Nice, “paseos maritimos”, “malecones” or “costaneras” in some IberoAmerican countries, will constitute one of the urban design resources in vogue in the first third of the 20th century. Forestier, as we have mentioned, will participate in the design of contact with water in several cities and especially in Buenos Aires even though his project was not carried out.

This avenue will be built on the new land conquered on the river, taking advantage of the embankments from the excavation of the canal and part of the new port to follow the bank of the river. The project includes only the part that belongs to the municipality of Buenos Aires. The avenue has been traced at its end so that it can be extended in the direction of the Tigris, and at the same time that it can fork at the end of the city to access the large ring road (Forestier, 1924).

The interest in opening the city to its water front will result in a multitude of interventions in vari-
ous cities. Thus, in the wake of the Promenade des Anglais, in Beirut, in the 1920s, the Promenade des Français or Corniche is planned and built (Hindi, 2015). The dictator Salazar will locate the Portuguese World Exhibition of 1940 in the Belém riverside area, combining a first articulation of a riverside promenade with the park system that takes Montsanto as the green heart of Lisbon (Costa, 2007; Elias, 2007; Ochoa, 2011). Barcelona, like other Spanish cities, will develop the first layout of its Promenade at the end of the 1940s.

This Malecon in Havana contains several curiosities. Forestier had worked on the site projects for
the Maine monuments and General Máximo Gómez. Finally, the Maine Monument remains in the designated location, but the Monument to General Máximo Gómez will move to the axis of the new avenue of the Presidential Palace, also planned by Forestier. One of the curiosities is that, of the five monuments present in the Havana Malecon, three are equestrian, an unusual occurrence given the dates of their realization already entered the twentieth century. Another curiosity is that the monument to Calixto García, also equestrian, is signed by one of the Authors the American Vitruvius, Eldemar Peets. The last curiosity is the presence of a double anti-imperialist monument in front of the US trade delegation. A combination between the Monte de las Banderas and the José Martí anti-imperialist tribune.
Monument to the victims of Maine. Félix Cabarrocas (eng) and Moisés de Huerta (sculp). 1925. On January 18, 1961, the Board of Monuments, created by the Cuban revolutionary government, agreed to modify the monument and as a result the eagle, busts were suppressed and other changes were made such as the placement of a card with the following inscription: "To the victims of El Maine who were sacrificed by the imperialist voracity in their eagerness to seize the island of Cuba. February 1898-February 1961

Monument to Major General Calixto García. 1958. Felix de Weldon (Sc). Elbert Peets (arch)
Barcelona. The magic fountain
A show of water, light, colour and sound
The fountain was built on the occasion of the International Exhibition of 1929 by the engineer Carles Buigas i Sans (Buigas 1978; Roura 1970; Tatjer, J, 1998) at the place where the Four Columns were located. Designed by Josep Puig i Cadafalch, in 1919 they represent the four strips of Catalan Flag, and were demolished in 1928 during the dictatorship of Primo de Rivera. As Fabre states:

*The columns had been part of an urbanisation project for Montjuïc, designed by Josep Puig i Cadafalch, to celebrate the Exhibition of Electrical Industries, in 1917. This exhibition to promote electricity as a source of energy, thought up by the leader of the Regionalist League (Lliga Regionalista), Francesc Cambó, and the leader of the Radical Party, Pich i Pon, never came to fruition but served to establish the bases for the 1929 International Expo at Montjuïc [...] Following the model of the 1888 Universal Exposition, the aim of the 1917 Expo was to carry out major urban development. The mountain of Montjuïc was chosen as the site for the Expo, where there was only the castle, the cemetery, quarries, shacks, fountains and fields of wheat. Montjuïc was considered Barcelona’s second major park – after Ciutadella – in the Jaussely Plan which was conveniently adapted to appeal to the city’s industrial middle-class, was adopted as the proposal for the city’s future urban development.*

Josep Amargós, whose draft project had won the municipal competition for urbanisation of Montjuïc in 1887, was commissioned to draw up a new plan, based around the Exposition. The surface area available on the mountain was delimited in this plan. In April 1914, the programme for works for the 1917 Exposition was approved. This was the base for the final project drawn up by Josep Puig i Cadafalch in 1915, with the roads, sets of stairs and buildings to be constructed for the Exposition all defined. It included the current layout of platforms from Plaça d’Espanya to the Palau Nacional, and was carried out as a basic scheme with specific modifications for new projects that were drawn up later. (Fabre 2017)

A public celebration, held on 18 June 1915, marked the beginning of the grading and landscaping works which were carried out vigorously under the direction of the military engineer Marià Rubió, father of Nicolau Maria Rubió i Tudurí, technical advisor of the management board. The columns were constructed in brick with a mortar rendering to imitate stone, they were 20 metres high and 2.5 metres in diameter.

On June 18, 1928, Buigas presented to the Executive Committee of the exhibition 460 blueprints and 70 large drawings with the project, which was called insane by some of the commissioners. Construction work took less than a year and involved more than 3,000 workers.

This fountain is one of the icons of Barcelona and is visited by thousands of citizens and tourists. The New Year’s Eve party is usually held at its location.
Luminous Fountain

Lisboa
In 1940 the Salazar’s regime celebrated two historic dates (1140 and 1640) considered representative of the Nation. The dates correspond to the formation of the kingdom of Portugal and to the proclamation of Portuguese independence against the kingdom of Castile.

In 1938, the dictator Salazar disclose the program of the celebrations and appealed to public services to show commitment to the preparation of the event, demonstrating that Portugal has a "great capacity to achieve".

The construction of a monumental fountain was one of the initiatives practiced by public services in response to the call from Salazar. The proposal, presented formally in 1938 by the Waters Supervisory Committee of Lisbon, belonging to the Ministry of Public Works and Communications, then headed by Duarte Pacheco.

The project counted two sets of sculptures and two ceramic panels, complementing the architectural structure of the monument. There were also considered programs based on effects of water and light as an element that values the work. The territory of Alameda D. Afonso Henriques was chosen for the deployment of the monument.

However, the construction of the work, estimated at 480 days, dragged on for ten years (1938-1948). During this period, development work was reported with enthusiasm by the press, through articles in newspapers and magazines, propaganda disseminated by Salazar (Elias2010)

The fountain was built to celebrate the regular water supply to the eastern part of the city. The project is by the brothers Carlos Rebello de Andrade and Guilherme Rebello de Andrade and is framed in the conservative style, often called Soft Portuguese (Fernandes 2003), dominant in the 1940s; the sculptures are by Maximiano Alves (Cariátides), Diogo de Macedo (Tejo y Tágides); the bas-reliefs (side panels) by Jorge Barradas..
To conclude

Regardless of political regimes and historical moments, the provision of water to the city is part of public policies and rulers, whether dictators or not, watch, in some way, to make them effective. Therefore, the manifestation of their benevolence will result in a development of the fountains linked to the increase in public ornamentation. The marble sculpture will play an important role in the manifestation of the prince’s power.

Within the walled city the fountains will be organized in three main types. The first is that of water-towers, due to hydraulic problems, usually attached to the wall as a second sculpted skin and that may have a monumental artistic manifestation or be limited to more cautious style of the “fountains in architecture”. The second type will be that of the isolated fountains of a relatively modest scale since the urban space they use is relatively small. This second type can opt for obelisks, canopy fountains, mainly with a stonework. The third type is that of the fountains with sculpture, usually on a pedestal, in which the mechanisms and pipes of the fountain are hidden and separating the water spouts from the sculpture. Depending on the space these fonts grow in size and ornament.

Throughout the nineteenth century an important part of these fountains will be replaced by cast iron fountains, monumental or not. Given the cost of the marble or bronze of the statues, we see the emergence of sculptural fountains, first in lead, until the Fonte d’Art industry is able to make models that compete in quality with those of bronze. An industry associated to the new urban planners and designers, that will export a model of “city making” worldwide. At this same time, the model of hygienist thought will develop public parks, as “lungs of the city”, and, in them, water will take another form: canals, rivers, ponds, lakes, shallow waters. The new types of public space will also determine an evolution in the form of the fountains, which will gain in monumentality and introduce the waterfall into the new urban parks. Finally, end of XIX century early XX Century, the introduction of electricity will turn the sources into a spectacle of water, light, colour and even sound.

As we have seen throughout the article, water in the city will abandon its mission related to the maintenance of everyday life or work (an aspect that would require another approach that we have not addressed), to become one of the principal elements of public and urban ornamentation, contributing to urban decorum policies of cities that transit from congestion within the wall enclosure, to their expansion beyond their boundaries, to metropolitan growth managed by a new ruling class, - an patrician urban class linked to the interests of service companies [water, electricity, gas, transport]- which in the context of the incipient formal democracy makes good the Enlightenment topic, “Everything for the people, but without the people”. In spite of this, the interventions carried out will shape the morphology of the city and today form most of these new urban spaces and their water features can be considered quality public spaces in our cities.
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