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Introduction

Most scientists in the field of food refrigeration [1,2,3,4,5,6] believe that the calculated dependence of the specific isobaric heat capacity of food products is subject to the additivity law and may be expressed as follows:

$$c = g_1 \cdot c_{sol} + g_2 \cdot c_{fat} + \ldots + g_n \cdot c_n$$

(1)

where $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ are mass fractions of the mixture ingredients; $c_{sol}, c_{fat}, \ldots, c_n$ are specific heat capacity factors of the ingredients.

For meat and dairy products, to calculate the specific heat capacity, Latyshev V. P. et al. [5, 6] proposed a temperature dependence of the additive type, where the product is considered as a three-ingredient mixture, i.e. solids, water (ice) and fat:

$$c_p = c_{sol} \cdot \xi_{sol} + c_{fat} \cdot \xi_{fat} + c_{wat} \cdot L \frac{d}{dt}(\omega)$$

(2)

where $c_{sol}, c_{fat}, c_{wat}$ are specific isobaric heat capacities; $\xi_{sol}, \xi_{fat}, \xi_{wat}$ are mass fractions of solids, fat and water, respectively;

$L$ is specific heat of crystallization, J/kg;

$\omega$ is portion of frozen-out water, $T$ is temperature, K.

All members of the dependencies are empirical, which suggests the need to measure heat capacities of many ingredients, including portions of frozen-out water.

The value of heat capacity in the phase change region is not included in equation (1) due to its general nature. In equation (2), this drawback is eliminated, but the member considering heat capacity of phase change is based on the derivative of the frozen-out water portions, which is determined by empirical methods that do not have a satisfactory physicochemical basis [3,4,5,11]. In this regard, difficulties arise in determining the extreme values of heat capacity, as well as in determining the start of melting or the end of freezing.

Recently, several studies were conducted on processes of freezing and thawing, considering their effect on product quality [11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20].

The method for modeling and analysis of phase change in meat during its freezing proposed in this paper is based on other methods not previously used. Dependence determining for the specific heat capacity proposed by Debye was carried out using the Einstein-Planck dependence obtained for gases. But as shown by Debye with some assumptions, this dependence may also be applied to crystalline bodies. This approach makes it possible to clarify the temperatures of the beginning and ending of the phase change process, as well as to identify energy factors affecting the process.

Materials and methods

In this work, the experimental basis for modeling the meat freezing process is the results of meat thermophysical characteristics study obtained on NETSCH 204 F1 differential scanning calorimeter (DSC). The ability to increase the reliability of measurements of meat specific heat capacity by differential scanning calorimetry methods is realized by $t$–$R$ correction [9]. A comparison of the results of such measurements with similar measurements using an adiabatic instrument [5,7] indicates almost complete agreement.

Determination of the meat cryoscopic temperature is carried out by OSKR-1 osmometer cryoscope, which is included in the State Register of Measuring Instruments of the Russian Federation with No. 42519–09. Specification of OSKR-1 are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Main specifications of OSKR-1

| Parameter | Tolerance |
|-----------|-----------|
| Range of freezing temperature measurement: | 0 to –3.720 °C |
| General absolute tolerance in temperature measurement | ± 0.002 °C |
| — in the range of 0 to –0.930 °C: | ± 0.010 °C |
| Sample volume, not less than: | 0.3 ml |
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The research objects were:

1) NOR grade beef. Beef samples were obtained at meat processing plants in the Moscow region immediately after slaughter and production. Samples were taken from *M. longissimus dorsi*;

2) Pork samples were obtained at meat processing plants in the Moscow region immediately after slaughter and production. Samples were taken from pork *M. longissimus dorsi*. All samples were of NOR grade.

The study of the phase change in meat near and at the cryoscopic point in theoretical terms is associated with the singularity of modeling functions. Whereas in meat, this temperature range is the least studied regarding the physicochemical parameters of freezing process [10]. The proposed model of the meat freezing process based on the Debye quantum-mechanical approach (phonon theory of thermal radiation [12, 13]) allows the analysis to be carried out step-by-step over small temperature ranges near and at the cryoscopic temperature point.

### Results and discussion

Equation of the crystal internal energy according to the Debye hypothesis is as follows:

\[
U = \frac{3Nhν}{2} + 3Nhν \left( e^{\frac{hv}{kT}} - 1 \right),
\]

where

- \( U \) is internal energy of a crystal atom, J;
- \( N \) is the number of atoms in the ice crystal \( (N \sim 10^{25} \text{ kg}^{-3}) \) in the Einstein-Planck equation, which was converted by Debye to apply the description of the crystalline body energy;
- \( h \) is the Planck constant, \( h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J⋅s} \);
- \( ν \) is the frequency of atom vibrations in the crystal, \( s^{-1} \);
- \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, J/K;
- \( T \) is the temperature by the Kelvin scale.

It is advisable to consider the energy of the crystallization process in the accepted interpretation as a function, the argument of which is the temperature deviation from the cryoscopic point, i.e. \((T_{kr} - T)\). Then the equation (1) is as follows:

\[
U = \frac{3Nhν}{2} + 3Nhν \left( e^{\frac{hv}{k(T_{kr} - T)}} - 1 \right) = \frac{3Nhν}{2} + 3Nhν \left( e^{\frac{hv}{kT_{kr}}} \cdot e^{-\frac{hv}{kT}} \right),
\]

where:

- \( θ = \frac{hv}{k} \) and \((T_{kr} - T) = \psi \).
- \( θ \) is a coefficient in degrees; in Debye’s work it is called the characteristic temperature [12].

The heat capacity of the test sample is the derivative of (4) with respect to \( ψ \). Considering that, for small values of \( ψ \) near the cryoscopic point, \( e^{(\frac{θ}{ψ})} << 1 \), we will have

\[
c = 3Nh \cdot \frac{θ^2}{ψ^3} \cdot e^{-\frac{θ}{ψ}},
\]

where

- \( N \) is the number of ice crystallization centers per mass unit of the product, \( N = 10^9 \); it is measured in kg⁻¹.

\( μ \) is the coefficient of the order of unity correcting the \( N \) for a certain type of meat (beef, pork, etc.);

\( T \) is the temperature by the Kelvin scale, K.

As will be shown below, equation (5) is valid in the following interval:

\( 242 < T < T_{kr} \) by the Kelvin scale and \( t_{kr} << t << 0 \) °C;

\( 0 < ψ < 273 \) K.

In the research laboratory of food products thermophysical properties, the All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Refrigeration Industry, the analysis was carried out of the phase change process when freezing beef and pork using transformed dependence (5). In the expanded semi-empirical version, the equation is as follows (6):

\[
c = μ \cdot N \cdot k \left( \frac{θ}{T_{kr} - T + δ} \right)^2 e^{\left( \frac{θ}{T_{kr} - T + δ} \right)} + B \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot T, \quad (6)
\]

where

- \( δ \) is the coefficient for deviation of the temperature of the water crystallization onset (during the process of transformation into ice) from the temperature of the heat capacity peak in the process of phase change, K;

- \( B \) is an empirical coefficient in J/kg·K² characterizing the contribution of heat capacity of anhydrous ingredients.

Dependence (6) allows to determine the heat capacity of meat in the phase change region with an error of \( ± 3.5% \) in the temperature range of \( 242 \leq T \leq T_{kr} \). The peaks of the calculated curves correspond to the maximum experimental values of heat meat capacities: 300 J/kg·K and 240 J/kg·K for beef and pork, respectively. The deviation of the temperature of the maximum calculated heat capacity from the corresponding maximum of the experimental heat capacity is 0.1 K both for beef and pork. Meat moisture is significant for determining heat capacity and must be kept within the limits specified for given meat grade.

The values of \( μ, \ θ, \ δ \) coefficients and cryoscopic temperature \( T_{kr} \) for beef and pork of NOR grade are shown in Table 2. \( c_{max} \) is the maximum value of heat capacity at the peak of phase change, kJ/kg·K.

### Table 2. The values of the coefficients for equation (6)

| Meat type | \( T_{kr} \) | \( \theta \) | \( μ \) | \( δ \) | \( B \) | \( c_{max} \) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Beef      | 0.55        | 272.19      | 1.335 | 0.35  | 7.5 \times 10^{-3} | 300         |
| Pork      | 0.71        | 272.0       | 1.06  | 0.5   | 6.7 \times 10^{-3}  | 240         |

In the rest of the temperature range of 110 K to 242 K, the deviations of the calculated values of heat capacity do not exceed 3%. The tabular values of \( δ \) parameter slightly exceed the experimentally obtained data corresponding to the curve segment for the crystallization onset, i.e. the experimental value is \( δ = 0.01 \) K. In our opinion, such deviation from the theoretical curve may be due the methods and accuracy of temperature measurement in a frozen product during crystallization.

The proposed interpretation of the model for water crystallization in meat allows to identify the relationship
between the heat capacity of meat and the characteristic Debye temperature \( \theta \), i.e. the frequency of phonon waves. In addition, it seems to be possible to assess the degree of water crystallinity in meat characterized by the \( N \cdot \mu \) parameter.

For determination of heat capacity of NOR grade beef and pork in the temperature range of phase change, equations (7) and (8) are proposed, respectively:

\[
C_{\text{beef}} = \frac{185.47}{(T_k - T + 0.777)} + 7.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot T; \quad (7)
\]

\[
C_{\text{pork}} = \frac{227.7}{(T_k - T + 0.777)} + 6.66 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot T \quad (8)
\]

To apply these equations, it is sufficient to determine only the cryoscopic temperature of the meat. In the indicated temperature range, the deviation of the heat capacity calculated values does not exceed \( \pm 3.5\% \).

In [14], the results of comparing the experimental and calculated determinations of the cryoscopic temperature depending on beef moisture content are presented (9). In the range of moisture content of \( 0.6 \leq w \leq 0.8 \) with an error of \( \pm 0.2 \text{ K} \):

\[
T_{kr} = 256.64 + 35.0 \cdot w - 19.3 \cdot w^2 \quad (9)
\]

Equation (9) allows to conclude that the heat capacity of the studied beef, in fact, depends only on moisture content and temperature.

Such a fairly accurate determination of the heat capacity values when compared with the curves obtained experimentally by the DSC method using the \( \tau \)-\( R \) correction allows to identify the points of the phase change termination and continued cooling of meat with water contained in it that is not subject to freezing.

The experimental DSC curves of beef and pork heat capacity shown in Figures 2 and 3 have a noticeable break point, i.e. sharp change in curvature. At these points, the interpolation curves describing the experimental ones with high accuracy disagree with the latter. In the temperature range of 110 K to 242 K, experimental DSC curves are described by polynomials (9) and (10) with the high accuracy of \( \pm 3\% \).

The coordinates of the intersection points (see Figures 2 and 3):

- for beef 243 K;
- for pork 242 K.
Dependences for heat capacities:

**beef**

\[ C_{\text{beef}} = 0.548 + 1.85 \times 10^{-3} \cdot T + 1.68 \times 10^{-5} \cdot T^2 \]  

(9)

**pork**

\[ C_{\text{pork}} = 0.7 + 0.15 \times 10^{-3} \cdot T + 1.9 \times 10^{-5} \cdot T^2 + 
+ 0.55 \times 10^{-8} \cdot T^3 + 0.1 \times 10^{-11} \cdot T^4 \]  

(10)

In Figures 2 and 3, these dependences are represented by \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) curves:

It must be considered that the use of the indicated equations is possible only within the temperature range of \( 113 \, K \leq T \leq T_{\text{phase}} \), \( K \); \( T_{\text{phase}} \) is the temperature of the phase change ending.

**Conclusion**

Semi-empirical transformation of the Debye theoretical provisions on the process of solids crystallization allowing to determine the dependence of their heat capacity on temperature made it possible to obtain the calculated dependences of beef and pork heat capacity in the temperature range of phase change during meat freezing.

It is shown that the transition from phase change during meat freezing to stationary meat cooling has clear boundaries with stationary coordinates. These coordinates are determined for beef and pork of NOR grades.

It is shown that beef and pork heat capacity may be calculated based on only two thermophysical characteristics of meat, i.e. moisture content and cryoscopic temperature.
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