Heparan sulfate mediates trastuzumab effect in breast cancer cells
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Abstract

Background: Trastuzumab is an antibody widely used in the treatment of breast cancer cases that test positive for the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). Many patients, however, become resistant to this antibody, whose resistance has become a major focus in breast cancer research. But despite this interest, there are still no reliable markers that can be used to identify resistant patients. A possible role of several extracellular matrix (ECM) components—heparan sulfate (HS), Syn-1(Syndecan-1) and heparanase (HPSE1)—in light of the influence of ECM alterations on the action of several compounds on the cells and cancer development, was therefore investigated in breast cancer cell resistance to trastuzumab.

Methods: The cDNA of the enzyme responsible for cleaving HS chains from proteoglycans, HPSE1, was cloned in the pEGFP-N1 plasmid and transfected into a breast cancer cell lineage. We evaluated cell viability after trastuzumab treatment using different breast cancer cell lines. Trastuzumab and HS interaction was investigated by confocal microscopy and Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET). The profile of sulfated glycosaminoglycans was also investigated by [35S]-sulfate incorporation. Quantitative RT-PCR and immunofluorescence were used to evaluate HPSE1, HER2 and Syn-1 mRNA expression. HPSE1 enzymatic activity was performed using biotinylated heparan sulfate.

Results: Breast cancer cell lines responsive to trastuzumab present higher amounts of HER2, Syn-1 and HS on the cell surface, but lower levels of secreted HS. Trastuzumab and HS interaction was proven by FRET analysis. The addition of anti-HS to the cells or heparin to the culture medium induced resistance to trastuzumab in breast cancer cells previously sensitive to this monoclonal antibody. Breast cancer cells transfected with HPSE1 became resistant to trastuzumab, showing lower levels of HER2, Syn-1 and HS on the cell surface. In addition, HS shedding was increased significantly in these resistant cells.

Conclusion: Trastuzumab action is dependent on the availability of heparan sulfate on the surface of breast cancer cells. Furthermore, our data suggest that high levels of heparan sulfate shed to the medium are able to capture trastuzumab, blocking the antibody action mediated by HER2. In addition to HER2 levels, heparan sulfate synthesis and shedding determine breast cancer cell susceptibility to trastuzumab.
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Background
HER2 is a member of the epidermal growth factor family of tyrosine kinase receptors. HER2 is amplified in approximately 14% of breast cancers in early stages and 25% of metastatic breast cancers. HER2 overexpression is associated with lymph node metastasis, short relapse time, poor survival and decreased response to endocrine and chemotherapy [1,2]. Trastuzumab is a humanized, monoclonal antibody that specifically blocks HER2 activation and cell signaling [3]. It is approved for use in patients who have HER2-positive disease, estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor-negative disease or a high-risk feature [4]. Patients that present breast cancer in early stage, when treated with trastuzumab had a 9% increase in absolute disease free survival at five years, while for patients with metastatic disease the period is extended only by five to nine months [2]. Nevertheless, 20% of breast cancer patients in early stages do not respond to trastuzumab therapy and 70% of the patients with metastatic disease who received trastuzumab as mono-therapy become resistant to this antibody [5] by mechanisms which are still not completely understood.

Trastuzumab resistance has been investigated and some possible mechanisms have already been described: inactivation of PTEN, since PTEN-deficient tumors have remarkably lower overall response rates to trastuzumab; extracellular HER2 cleavage with p95HER2 formation, which is constitutively activated; HER3 overexpression which implies compensation for HER2 inhibition in cancer cells mediated by trastuzumab; up-regulation of autophagic activity, chaperone action, which may increase cancer cells mediated by trastuzumab; and neoplastic tissues. High levels of HPSE1 are associated with breast cancer resistance to trastuzumab. In this work we propose that trastuzumab action is dependent on heparan sulfate to elicit the antibody response.

Some extracellular matrix components are known to play important roles in tumor development [6]. Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) are essential to cancer cell proliferation, escape from immune response, invasion of neighboring tissues, and metastasis to distal sites. Several tumor types including breast cancers show aberrant modulation of several enzymes related to heparan sulfate (HS)/heparin biosynthesis, as well as catabolic enzymes such as sulfatases and heparanase-1 (HPSE1) [8,9]. HPSE1 is an endo-β-D-glucuronidase involved in the degradation of both cell-surface and ECM HS in normal and neoplastic tissues. High levels of HPSE1 are associated with metastatic cancers [10]. HS is a reservoir on which heparin-binding growth factors aggregate. Indeed, it has been reported that HS produced by malignant breast cancer cells and the HS oligosaccharides generated by HPSE1 possess higher fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) and hepatocyte growth factor binding capacity than HS from normal breast cells [11,12]. Thus, by breaking down HS, HPSE1 releases these signaling molecules, which can promote tumor growth, invasion and angiogenesis [13,14]. Enhanced expression of Syndecan-1 (Syn-1), a cell surface HSPG, may provide a mechanism to restrict FGF action and modulate cell-matrix interactions. Syn-1 shedding is stimulated by HPSE1 and is engaged in tumor progression [10].

In light of all the evidence relating extracellular matrix alterations and cancer development, the present work aimed to elucidate the possible role of HPSE1, heparan sulfate (HS) and Syn-1 in breast cancer cell resistance to trastuzumab. In this work we propose that trastuzumab action is dependent on heparan sulfate to elicit the antibody response.

Methods
Cell lines and sulfated glycosaminoglycans
This research was conducted using established cell lines. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the “Universidade Federal de São Paulo” (registration number 0645/10), Brazil. Human breast cell lines MCF 10A, MCF7 and SKBR3 were acquired from the American Type Tissue Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). MCF 10A, a non-malignant cell lineage, was maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)/F12 (1:1, v/v), supplemented with 5% v/v horse serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), human recombinant epidermal growth factor (Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 20 ng/ml), hydrocortisone (Sigma; 100 ng/ml), bovine insulin (Sigma; 10 μg/ml), cholera toxin (Sigma; 100 ng/ml), penicillin G (Sigma; 50 U/ml) and streptomycin sulfate (Sigma; 50 μg/ml), at 37°C, 5% CO2. SKBR3 cells, which present the highest levels of HER2, and MCF7, with intermediate levels of HER2, (mock-transfected or HPSE1 transfected) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), penicillin G (50 U/ml) and streptomycin sulfate (50 μg/ml). Gentamicin (Affymetrix, Inc, Santa Clara, CA; 400 μg/ml) was added only to pEGFP-N1 HPSE1 transfected cells and G418 only to pcDNA3.1-b (Sigma; 400 μg/ml). Trastuzumab (Herceptin®, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA) was used in different concentrations depending on the assay. Bovine pancreas HS was prepared as described [15,16]. Pig skin dermatan sulfate and shark cartilage chondroitin sulfate are from Seikagaku Corporation (Tokyo, Japan).

MCF7 cell transfection with HPSE1 cDNA
For MCF7 transfection, a 1.6 kb full-length HPSE1 cDNA, GenBank accession no. AY948074, was cloned into the
EcoRI and KpnI restriction sites of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) and into pcDNA3.1-b (Invitrogen). The HPSE1 cDNA was obtained from MCF7 and demonstrates 99.8% of similarity when compared to the human platelet HPSE1 [17]. pEGFP-N1-HPSE1 or pcDNA3.1-b-HPSE1 was stably transfected into MCF7 using the liposomal transfection reagent FuGENE® 6 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Stable transfected pEGFP-N1-HPSE1 MCF7 cells were selected with gentamicin for 4 weeks (Additional file 1: Figure S1) followed by green fluorescent protein sorting using flow cytometry (FACSria, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). pcDNA3.1-b HPSE1 MCF7 cells were selected using G418, and the use of this clone was restricted to confocal assays to eliminate green fluorescent protein (GFP) interference. Confocal microscopy confirms HPSE1 stable transfection using pEGFP-N1 in the MCF7 cells, as shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1.

**Cell viability assay**

Approximately $5.0 \times 10^3$ mock-transfected MCF7 (MCF7) and MCF7 containing pEGFP-N1-Heparanase (MCF7-HPSE1), $3.0 \times 10^4$ SKBR3 and $1.0 \times 10^4$ MCF10A cells were seeded on 24-well plates. Different concentrations of trastuzumab were added the following day. After 3 days, the cells were assayed for 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Invitrogen) as described by the manufacturer. The competition assay between trastuzumab and anti-HS antibody (anti-HS mouse IgM clone F58-10E4, Seikagaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; dilution 1:50) or heparin 100 $\mu$g/mL was performed and cell viability was determined also by MTT on the third incubation day. The assays were performed in triplicate.

**Confocal immunofluorescence assay**

9.0 $\times 10^3$ cells (MCF7, MCF7-HPSE1 and SKBR3) were seeded on coverslips, in the presence or absence of trastuzumab (25 $\mu$g/mL) for 72 hours. The cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 30 min, washed three times with 0.1 M glycine/PBS, and permeabilized with 0.01% saponine/PBS for 15 min. Trastuzumab and HS localization were analyzed by incubation with anti-HS-FITC (FITC conjugated anti-HS mouse IgM clone F58-10E4, Seikagaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; dilution 1:100) and Alexa Fluor® 594 goat anti-human IgG (1:250) for 1 hour. HPSE1, HER2 and Syn-1 expression were detected using goat anti-heparanase-I C-20 (Santa Cruz; Santa Cruz, CA, USA), rabbit anti-human erbB2 (Dako Corporation, Carpinteria, CA, USA; dilution 1:350), or mouse anti-human Syndecan-1 (CD138; AbD Serotec, Oxford, UK; dilution 1:100), respectively. The primary antibodies were developed with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 350, 488 or 594 (1:250) for 1 hour. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindol; Invitrogen; 20 $\mu$g/ml) for 15 min. The coverslips were mounted on microscopy slides with Fluoromont G (Immunkemi, Stockholm, Sweden). Light microscopy analysis was performed with a confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a Plan-Apochromat × 40 objective under oil immersion (Zeiss, LSM 510 META). The pinhole device was adjusted to capture fluorescence of one airy unit. The images were processed using LSM 510 (Zeiss) and Image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

**Fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay**

5.0 $\times 10^3$ MCF7 cells were plated on a 96-well multiwell plate, fixed with 2% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes, washed three times with 0.1 M glycine in PBS, blocked with 1% BSA for 2 hours and incubated with anti-HS 1:100 (Seikagaku Corporation, Japan) and Trastuzumab (25 $\mu$g/mL) overnight (ON). The conjugated secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 546 or 594 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were used against anti-HS and trastuzumab, respectively. The excitation spectrum of the cells stained with anti-HS-Alexa Fluor 546 and trastuzumab-Alexa Fluor 594 alone was obtained by excitation of the wells at 543 nm and the emission fluorescence was detected from 550 nm to 680 nm, in intervals of 10 nm wavelengths steps, in the fluorometer (FlexStation3, Molecular Devices, Silicon Valley, CA). The analysis of the interaction between HS and Trastuzumab was made by FRET. MCF7 cells doubly stained with anti-HS-Alexa Fluor 546 and trastuzumab-Alexa Fluor 594 were excited at 543 nm. This wavelength was able to significantly excite only the anti-HS-Alexa Fluor 546, which emits their fluorescence in the range of 594 nm. Therefore, anti-HS-Alexa Fluor 546 is able to excite trastuzumab-Alexa Fluor 594 if both molecules are at a distance of under 5 nm. FRET can be evaluated by calculating the FRET ratio of the fluorescence intensity found in the wavelength of maximum emission of trastuzumab-Alexa Fluor 594 (617 nm) over the fluorescence intensity value obtained in the maximum wavelength emission of the Anti-HS-Alexa Fluor 546 (580 nm), as previously described by [18].

**Sulfated glycosaminoglycans analysis**

Sixty percent confluent cells, grown on 35 mm culture plates were incubated for 2 days with specific cell culture medium containing 10% FCS in the presence or absence of trastuzumab (25 $\mu$g/mL). Afterwards, the medium was removed and replaced with new medium without FCS, containing 150 $\mu$Ci/ml of carrier free $[^{35}S]$-inorganic sulfate (IPEN, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) in the presence or absence of trastuzumab. After 18 hours the culture medium was removed and the cells washed twice with serum-free medium and detached using 25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, containing 3.5 M urea. Cell protein was estimated by the
Coomassie blue method [19]. The analysis of sulfated glycosaminoglycans (GAG) was performed essentially as described by [20,21]. Protein free GAG chains were prepared from the cellular fraction (cells plus ECM) and culture medium by incubation with maxatase (Biocon Industrial, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil; 4 mg/ml) overnight, at 60°C. Cells and medium aliquots were submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.05 M 1,3-diamino propane acetate buffer, pH 9.0, as described by [22]. [35S]-sulfate labeled GAGs were exposed to Kodak X-ray film (SB-5), for 2–3 days to identify and quantify each compound. The radioactive bands were scraped from the gel and counted in a liquid scintillation counter (LS 6000 IC; Beckman Coulter Inc., Palo Alto, CA) using UltimaGold™ (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). The identity of the different GAGs was confirmed by degradation with different lyases: chondroitinases AC and ABC (Seikagaku Kogyo Co., Tokyo, Japan) and heparitinases I and II from F. heparinum [15,16,23]. For this assay, the GAGs were precipitated with 3 volumes of absolute ethanol prior to the degradation. The assays were performed in triplicate.

Quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Syn-1, HER2 and HPSE1 mRNA expression were evaluated using MCF10A, SKBR3, MCF7 and MCF7-HPSE1 cells treated or not treated with trastuzumab (25 μg/ml) for three days. Dermatan sulfate glucuronosil-C5-epimerase was analyzed in non-treated MCF7 and MCF-HPSE1 cells. Cells were harvested and total-RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized using ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) and oligo (dT)12–18 (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative PCR analysis was performed using SYBR Green mix (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and Qiagen Rotor Gene Q 6000 Detection System (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Measurements were normalized against GAPDH and RPL13A geometric mean, using 2ΔΔCt. The following primers were used: HER2: 5′TGCTGGACATTGCAGAGACAGT3′ and 5′AGCTCCCACACAGTGACACCCAT3′, HPSE1: 5′TGCGGAAGAAAGTCTGGTATTAGGAGAA3′ and 5′GCAAAAGGTGTGCAAGTGG3′, and Syn-1: 5′AGGGCTCTCTGCACTTACTTTGCTTA3′ and 5′ATGTCAGTCACTACACTCCAGCA3′ and for dermatan sulfate glucuronosil-C5-epimerase: 5′GATCCTCGAGATGAGGACTCACACACG and 5′ATGTGCAGTCATACACTCC. GAPDH and RPL13A (60S ribosomal protein L13A) genes were used as internal controls. Primers used to amplify RPL13a were: 5′TTGAGGACCTCTGTGTATTGCTCA3′ and 5′CCTGGAGGAGAAGAGGA and AAGAGA3′ and for GAPDH 5′TCGACAGTCAGGCCCATCTTCTT3′ and 5′GCCCAATACGGACAAATC CGTGA3′.

Degradation of biotinylated HS by HPSE1

HPSE1 action was measured by an ELISA-like method using HS biotinylated [24,25]. MCF7, MCF7-HPSE1 or SKBR3 cells (1 × 10^5 per well) were cultured in the absence or presence of trastuzumab (25 μg/ml) for 3 days in 60-mm plates. The cells were scraped with 500 μL of sodium acetate 25 mM, pH 5.0, containing protease inhibitors (Invitrogen) and 50 μL of cell extract was incubated with the pre-coated plate, revealed with europium-labeled streptavidin, washed and submitted to 200 μL of enhancement solution (PerkinElmer Life Sciences-Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland). Free europium was measured and the data analyzed in the MultiCalc software (PerkinElmer Life Sciences-Wallac Oy). The product obtained by HPSE1 was expressed by the ratio of degraded HS and total protein from the cellular fraction (μg of HS/μg of total protein).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS® 13 program (SPSS® Inc; Illinois, USA). The variables were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Mann–Whitney test was used to determine the relation between non-parametric variables. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Breast cancer cell viability in the presence of trastuzumab

We initially examined cell viability using different concentrations of trastuzumab in MCF10A, SKBR3, MCF7 and MCF-HPSE1 cells. Trastuzumab had no effect on the non-neoplastic MCF10A cells, for all tested doses (Figure 1). Figure 1 shows a decrease of cell viability of around 50% in MCF7 and SKBR3 cells treated with 25 μg/ml of the antibody. SKBR3 is also sensitive to trastuzumab at lower doses. The concentration of trastuzumab that elicits the highest effect in cell viability assays was very similar to the doses used in clinical therapy with trastuzumab (2 mg/kg, to a volume of blood around 0.08 L/kg). Many papers in the literature have shown that at lower doses (up to 3 μg/ml) trastuzumab is not able to decrease the cell viability of MCF7 cells [26-28] probably due to the fact that this cell lineage presents an intermediate HER2 expression. However, an invasion assay using MCF7 cells treated with approximately 8 μg/ml of trastuzumab showed that this antibody was able to inhibit the invasion of these cells in matrigel [29]. Our results showed that trastuzumab in doses higher than 15 μg/mL was able to decrease MCF7 cell viability.
HER2 overexpression by itself is not able to define the response to trastuzumab, since it has already been shown that some cell lineages that overexpress this receptor remain resistant to this antibody [26,30]. These results led us to investigate whether possible differences in breast cancer cell lineages, besides HER2 overexpression, could explain trastuzumab resistance.

When MCF7 cells were transfected with HPSE1 cDNA and treated with trastuzumab 25 μg/mL, this antibody lost the ability to decrease the viability of these cells (Figure 1).

**Co-localization between HS, trastuzumab and HER2**
Confocal immunofluorescence data demonstrates co-localization between cell surface HS, trastuzumab and HER2 (Figure 2). Table 1 shows the digital quantification of the confocal immunofluorescence analysis, with the mean of intensity and co-localization percentage. The highest co-localization between HS and trastuzumab can be observed in SKBR3 cells (99.5%), followed by MCF7 and MCF7-HPSE1 cells, respectively, 76.2% and 54.7% (Table 1). The same results were obtained by HS and HER2, where SKBR3 and MCF7 presented higher co-localization, 34.8% and 28.1%, respectively, compared to MCF7-HPSE1 (17.2%), as shown in Table 1. As expected, trastuzumab and HER2 were more intensively co-localized in cells sensitive to trastuzumab treatment (SKBR3 and MCF7), compared to the result obtained for MCF7-HPSE1 (Table 1).

**Interaction between trastuzumab and HS modulates the trastuzumab effect**
FRET assay confirms interaction between HS and trastuzumab (Figure 3A). A 60% increase in FRET ratio was observed when HS and trastuzumab were analyzed in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3B).
A cell viability assay using an anti-HS antibody was performed to confirm whether HS from the cell surface could modulate trastuzumab binding to HER2 (Figure 3C). Anti-HS clearly blocks the trastuzumab effect in MCF7 and SKBR3 cells (Figure 3C). Trastuzumab decreased cell viability by around 40% and this effect was completely reverted by anti-HS (Figure 3C), showing the importance of HS present on the cell surface to elicit the trastuzumab effect.

Heparin, a well-known anticoagulant molecule, is a GAG with a structure very similar to HS. In Figure 3D, heparin was added to the medium of breast cancer cells in order to evaluate the effect of HS/heparin shedding in trastuzumab activity over breast cancer cells. When heparin is co-administered with trastuzumab to breast cancer cells in cell viability assays, it was able to reverse the effect of the monoclonal antibody upon these cells. This result confirms the importance of HS on the cell surface to
modulate the trastuzumab effect and also demonstrates the negative impact of HS shedding in the breast cancer cells sensitive to trastuzumab.

It is already known that heparin increased the survival of patients with cancer in randomized trials that compared low molecular weight heparin to unfractionated heparin for the treatment of deep vein thrombosis [31]. Moreover, heparin is able to inhibit the proliferation of some cell types like vascular smooth muscle cells, mesangial cells, fibroblasts and epithelial cells [32,33]. The epithelial lineage used in our experiments, SKBR3, showed sensitivity to heparin, and was able to decrease the viability of these cells by 50% (Figure 3D). Heparin interferes with the activities of growth factors such as FGF-2 and VEGF and blocks selectin-mediated intercellular interactions, inhibiting angiogenesis and tumor development and progression [34,35]. However, heparin can only be administered at relatively low concentrations because of its strong anticoagulant effect [34].

Reversal of the trastuzumab effect on cell viability using an anti-HS antibody that blocks the cell surface HS, or the heparin addition to the medium, mimicking the HS shedding, associated with the interaction between HS and trastuzumab determined by FRET allowed us to prove that trastuzumab depends on cell surface HS to inhibit HER2. As observed, the addition of heparin to the medium inhibits the trastuzumab effect, probably by competing with HS, avoiding the ternary complex formation between trastuzumab, HS and HER2. The crystallography study of HER2 in complex with trastuzumab has shown the presence of a sulfate group and two N-acetylg glucosamine residues in the domain I of HER2 [36]. These molecules are important constituents of the heparan sulfate structure. These data, associated with our results, highlight the importance of the HS domains present in HER2 to determine trastuzumab interaction with this receptor and the consequent blocking of the cellular pathways that are over-activated in HER2 positive breast cancer cells.

Profile of sulfated glycosaminoglycans in breast cancer cells

Figure 4A shows that SKBR3 cells present the highest levels of HS in the cellular fraction (Figure 4B). As shown in Figure 4C, the HS from the cellular fraction in stable transfected MCF7-HPSE1 cells decreased around 14 to 170 fold compared to the MCF7 and SKBR3 cells, respectively. However, similar amounts of HS were secreted to the medium in all three cell lines. It is interesting to note that the value obtained by the ratio of secreted HS/cellular fraction HS was lower in SKBR3, proportional in MCF7 and higher in MCF7-HPSE1. The lower levels of HS secreted in SKBR3 (Figure 4A) is noteworthy, since these cells show high levels of HPSE1 expression and action (Figure 5, Additional file 2: Figure S2 and Figure 6).

Additional file 3: Figure S3 suggests that trastuzumab might be able to induce a retroactive effect in SKBR3 and MCF7 cells, enhancing HS expression in the cellular fraction (Additional file 3: Figure S3A and B). Nevertheless, MCF7-HPSE1 cells treated with trastuzumab showed a decrease in the HS present in the cellular fraction (Additional file 3: Figure S3C). Taken together, the results suggest that HS might modulate trastuzumab binding to HER2, due to increased HS in the cellular fraction of breast cancer cells responsive to trastuzumab in the cell viability assay.

The profile of galactosaminoglycans was determined after degradation with chondroitinases AC and ABC (data not shown). We observed that CS is the galactosaminoglycan present on the cell surface and secreted to the medium of MCF7 cells whilst stable transfected MCF7-HPSE1 cells and SKBR3 expressed DS. Interestingly, DS glucuronosil C5 epimerase, the key enzyme responsible for transforming β-D-glucuronic acid into α-L-iduronic acid [37] is 30-fold overexpressed in MCF7-HPSE1 compared to MCF7, promoting DS synthesis (Figure 4D).

SKBR3 (Figure 4A) presented a 3-fold higher amount of galactosaminoglycans than MCF7 (Figure 4B) in the cellular fraction, but similar amounts of this GAG secreted to the medium. The transfection of MCF7 with pEGFP-HPSE1 was able to promote 3- and 5-fold decreases in the amount of galactosaminoglycan expression in the cellular fraction and medium, respectively (Figure 4C). Curiously, HPSE1 transfection reduces galactosaminoglycans synthesis and changes the profile from CS to dermatan sulfate, related to the increase in the glucuronosil C5 epimerase expression (Figure 4D). Growing evidence suggests that DS plays a crucial role in various biological events such as growth factor signaling, cell division and tumor development [38-41].

Comparative mRNA analysis of HPSE1, HER2 and Syn-1 in breast cancer cell lines

We also investigated mRNA expression of HPSE1, HER2 and Syn-1 in breast cancer cell lines using qRT-PCR. Figure 5 shows that the mRNA expression of HPSE1 is 3,000-fold higher in SKBR3 compared to MCF10A or MCF7 cells, which present similar HPSE1 mRNA expressions. MCF7 transfected with HPSE1 cDNA showed a 77-fold increase in HPSE1 expression. Since both MCF7 and MCF10A have similar HPSE1 mRNA expression, as shown in Figure 5, and only MCF7 is sensitive to trastuzumab at lower doses, we can surmise that HPSE1 by itself is not decisive in determining breast cancer cell response to trastuzumab. We can also observe in Figure 5 that MCF10A has the lowest expression of HER2 mRNA levels. The HER2 mRNA expression of MCF7 cells is 23 times that of the MCF10A lineage. It is important to point out that despite the low level of HER2 mRNA in MCF7,
Figure 4 Glycosaminoglycans profile of SKBR3, MCF7 and MCF7-HPSE1 cells. Sixty percent confluent cells were incubated for 18 hours in serum-free medium containing 150 mCi/ml [35S]-sulphate. Protein-free GAG chains were prepared from the cells and culture medium by incubation with maxatase. Aliquots from the medium and cells were submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis (0.05 M diaminopropane acetate buffer, pH 9.0) and the sulphated GAG identified and quantified as described in methods. (A), Heparan sulfate (HS) and dermatan sulfate (DS) from SKBR3; (B), HS and chondroitin sulfate (CS) from MCF7; (C), HS and DS from MCF7-HPSE1. *P < 0.05, compared to the respective fraction of MCF7 cells, **P < 0.05, medium versus cellular fraction of the same cell lineage. (D), mRNA expression of DS glucuronosyl C5 epimerase in MCF7 versus MCF7-HPSE1 cells by qRT-PCR. The RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent, converted into cDNA by RT-PCR and submitted to qRT-PCR using specific primers for glucuronosyl C5 epimerase. The values were corrected by RPL13a (ribosomal protein) and GAPDH expressions. Each bar indicates the mean ± SD of triplicate assays. *P < 0.001.
this cell is not completely negative for HER2. Furthermore, the HER2 mRNA expression of MCF7 was 118 times lower than SKBR3, which corresponds to an established breast cancer cell lineage that presents the highest HER2 levels naturally expressed [42]. Once HPSE1 cDNA was transfected into MCF7 cells, HER2 expression decreased 14 fold, which could contribute to the resistance of MCF7-HPSE1 cells to trastuzumab.

It can be observed that the MCF7 cell line and MCF-10A cells have similar levels of Syn-1 mRNA expression, whilst SKBR3 cells present 5-fold higher Syn-1 expression (Figure 5). When MCF7 cells were transfected with HPSE1, Syn-1 expression decreased 2-fold (Figure 5). The amount of mRNA expression of Syn-1 core protein does not appear to be essential for the response of breast cancer cells to trastuzumab, since MCF7 has similar levels of Syn-1 as the non-responsive MCF10A cells.

### HPSE1 expression and degradation of biotinylated-HS

SKBR3 cells and MCF-7-HPSE1 demonstrated the highest HPSE1 expression and enzymatic action, being able to degrade around 40 μg of HS compared to 20 μg observed in MCF7 cells (Figure 6 and Additional file 2: Figure S2). Furthermore, trastuzumab treatment inhibited HPSE1 action in the breast cancer cells, decreasing HS degradation around 15%-20% in SKBR3 and MCF7 cells and 40% in MCF-7-HPSE1 cells. It has already been shown that MCF7-HPSE1 does not decrease cell viability in the presence of trastuzumab; however, these cells are able to respond to this antibody when other aspects were evaluated.

Possibly, the effect of trastuzumab in the inhibition of HPSE1 action in MCF7-HPSE1 cells is achieved even with lower amounts of the complex formed between trastuzumab and HER2. However, the trastuzumab effect upon cell viability probably needs a higher number of complex formations between trastuzumab and HER2 to trigger the molecular signaling that induces cellular apoptosis/necrosis.

### Trastuzumab affects HPSE1, HER2 and Syn-1 expression

Confocal analysis showed in Figure 7 corroborates with qRT-PCR results previously presented, demonstrating that MCF7 cells have higher amounts of HER2 and Syn-1 proteins on the cell surface (Figure 7A), while stable transfection with HPSE1 cDNA decreases substantially the expression of these molecules (Figure 7C). A decrease in HER2 expression observed in MCF7-HPSE1 before trastuzumab treatment could be related to the resistance against this antibody.

Both SKBR3 and MCF7-HPSE1 overexpress HPSE1. Despite the higher expression and activity of HPSE1,
SKBR3 cells compensate HPSE1 overexpression, increasing HS synthesis and Syn-1 mRNA expression, which seems to enhance the susceptibility of this cell line to the effect of trastuzumab on cell viability. However, the MCF7-HPSE1 cell line is resistant to trastuzumab in cell viability assays. Therefore, the compensatory effect observed in the SKBR3 cell is absent in stable transfected MCF7-HPSE1, due to the fact this cell line presents low amounts of Syn-1 and HS on the cell surface.

It has been shown that over-expression of HPSE1 can activate metalloproteases related to Syn-1 shedding [43]. The shedding of syndecan from the cell surface disables cell adhesion, and soluble effector molecules such as growth factors and cytokines bind to HS chains, as they are no longer sequestered on the cell surface. Shedding can impact cell adhesion and growth factor concentration and could act as a stronger promoter of tumor growth in vivo [43,44], making MCF7-HPSE1 potentially more aggressive.

A decrease in HER2 and Syn-1 was observed when MCF7 cells were treated with trastuzumab (25 μg/mL) (Figure 7B). Probably the endocytosis induced by trastuzumab can explain decreased HER2 and Syn-1 expression. However, an opposite effect was verified in breast cancer cells resistant to trastuzumab (MCF7-HPSE1), increasing HER2 and Syn-1 protein expression (Figure 7D). It is interesting to note that after HER2 up-regulation by trastuzumab, by an unknown mechanism, MCF7-HPSE1 cells remained resistant to this monoclonal antibody. Therefore, these data indicate that MCF7-HPSE1 trastuzumab resistance in cell viability assays is not dependent exclusively on HER2 expression.

The effect of trastuzumab was further investigated by mRNA levels of HPSE1, Syn-1 and HER2. The relative values of HPSE1, Syn-1 and HER2 were obtained by the ratio of mRNA expression after trastuzumab treatment / mRNA expression of non-treated cells (Figure 8). MCF7 cells presented a decrease in mRNA ratio for HPSE1, Syn-1 and HER2 after trastuzumab treatment, indicating that the tumoral phenotype is being reverted when these cells are treated with this antibody. On the other hand MCF7-HPSE1 cells treated with trastuzumab increased the amounts of HPSE1, Syn-1 and HER2 after trastuzumab treatment, which could render MCF7-HPSE1 even more malignant (Figure 8). Despite HER2 upmodulation after trastuzumab treatment, MCF7-HPSE1
remains resistant. If HER2 were the main determinant for trastuzumab effect, MCF7-HPSE1 would become responsive after the treatment.

Despite the presence of HER2, the results obtained so far indicate other differences between trastuzumab sensitive cells (SKBR3 and MCF7), such as higher amounts of cell surface HS and expression of Syn-1, compared to resistant cells (MCF7-HPSE1).

Trastuzumab sensitive cells (SKBR3 and MCF7) synthesize a very significant amount of HS molecules that are maintained on the cell surface compared to the trastuzumab resistant cell line (MCF7-HPSE1), even with higher HPSE1 expression.

Our results suggest that the response of tumor cells to trastuzumab is not only HER2 dependent, but the expression of Syn-1 and particularly the HS present on the cell surface seem to be determinant in trastuzumab action.

Conclusions
To our knowledge, these are the first results clearly demonstrating an association between a breast cancer cell response to trastuzumab and HER2, HPSE1, Syn-1, HS and galactosaminoglycan synthesis. The results elucidate the importance of ECM components in understanding breast tumor cell resistance to trastuzumab. The hypotheses of the present work are summarized in Figure 9. The amount of HS present on the cell surface has potential as a predictive marker to determine breast cancer patient eligibility for trastuzumab treatment, despite HER2 expression.

These new insights could also be useful to develop strategies for overcoming drug resistance in HER2 positive cancers.

Additional files

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** Confocal Immunofluorescence of HPSE1 transfected MCF7 cells (MCF7-HPSE1), using pEGFP-N1 containing HPSE1 cDNA (pEGFP-N1-HPSE1). A 1.6 kb full HPSE1 cDNA, GenBank accession no. AY948074, was cloned into EcoRI and KpnI restriction sites of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech). pEGFP-N1-HPSE1 was stably transfected into MCF7 using a liposomal transfection reagent FuGENE® 6 (Roche Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Stable transfected pEGFP-N1-HPSE1 MCF7 cells were selected with gentamicin for 4 weeks followed by green fluorescent protein sorting using flow cytometry (FACSAria, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). (A) Nuclear staining with DAPI (blue); (B) recombinant HPSE1 (green), (C) overlapping images. Images captured at 63x magnification under oil immersion (Zeiss, LSM 510 META).

**Additional file 2: Figure S2.** HPSE1 expression by immunofluorescence. HPSE1 expression was detected using goat anti-heparanase-1 C-20 (Santa Cruz). The primary antibody was developed with an anti-goat IgG secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 488 (1:250) for 1 hour. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue); (B) recombinant HPSE1 (green), (C) overlapping images. Images captured at 40x magnification under oil immersion (Zeiss, LSM 510 META).

**Additional file 3: Figure S3.** Effect of trastuzumab in GAG synthesis and shedding of SKBR3, MCF7 and MCF7-HPSE1 cells. Sixty percent of confluent cells were treated with trastuzumab (25 μg/mL) for 72 hours. In the last 18 hours, cells were incubated with serum free medium containing 150 μCi/ml [35S]-sulphate. Protein-free GAG chains were prepared from the cells and culture medium by incubation with maxatase, as described in methods. Aliquots from the medium and cells were submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis (0.05 M dianminopropanol)
acetate buffer, pH 9.0) and the sulphonated GAG identified and quantified. (A), Heparan sulfate (HS) and dermatan sulfate (DS) from SKBR3; (B), HS and chondroitin sulfate (CS) from MCTF7; (C), HS and DS from MCTF7. *HPSE1. Each bar indicates the mean ± SD of triplicate assays. *p < 0.05, compared to the respective fraction of non-treated cells.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
ERS participated in the conception and experimental design, performed cell viability assays, heparanase activity, glycosaminoglycans analysis, qRT-PCR, immunofluorescence assays and FRET assays, interpretation of data and drafted the manuscript. EJPG contributed to the design, analysis and interpretation of immunofluorescence assays. ADG contributed to the interpretation of data. HBN and ILST were involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. MAS was involved in the conception of the assays, interpretation of data, drafting and revising the manuscript and approval of the final version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We thank FAPESP, CAPES and CNPq for their financial support.

Author details
1Department of Biochemistry, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Rua Três de Maio, 100, Vila Clementino, 04044-020, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 2Department of Biochemistry, Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Avenida Príncipe de Gales, 821, Vila Príncipe de Gales, 09060-650, Santo André, SP, Brazil. 3Department of Oncology, Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Avenida Príncipe de Gales, 821, Vila Príncipe de Gales, 09060-650, Santo André, SP, Brazil.

Received: 23 July 2013 Accepted: 26 September 2013 Published: 1 October 2013

References
1. Slamon DJ, Godolphin W, Jones LA, Holt JA, Wong SG, Keith DE, Levin WJ, Stuart SC, Udove J, Ullrich A, et al. Studies of the HER-2/neu proto-oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer. Science 1989, 244(4905):707–712.
2. Wilkening U, Jonsson B, Wilkening N, Bergh J. Primary trastuzumab resistance: new tricks for an old drug. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010, 1210:53–65.
3. Wilkening U, Jonsson B, Wilkening N, Bergh J. Trastuzumab use in breast cancer patients in the six Health Care Regions in Sweden. Acta Oncol 2010, 49(6):844–850.
4. Carder P, Presta L, Gorman CM, Ridgway BJ, Henner D, Wong WL, Rowland AM, Kotts C, Cancer ME, Shepard HM. Humanization of an anti-p185HER2 antibody for use in human cancer therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89(10):4285–4290.
5. Wuling K, Jonsson B, Wiling N, Bergh J. Heparin stimulates the heparinase degradation products by 13C NMR spectroscopy. Anal Biochem 1989, 265:280–286.
6. Nader HB, Buonnassisi V, Collburn P, Dietrich CP: Heparin stimulates the synthesis and modifies the sulfation pattern of heparan sulfate proteoglycan from endothelial cells. J Cell Physiol 1989, 140(2):305–310.
7. Hulett MD, Freeman C, Hamdorf BJ, Baker RT, Harris MJ, Parish CR. Formation of three types of disulfated disaccharides from chondroitin sulfates by chondroitinase digestion. The Journal of biological chemistry 1968, 243(7):1543–1550.
8. Reiland J, Sanderson RD, Waguespack M, Barker SA, Long R, Carson DD, Roichman T, Vlodavsky I, Friedmann Y. Glycosaminoglycans: key players in cancer cell biology and treatment. Carcino 2012, 31:327–337.
9. Delehedde M, Lyon M, Sergeant N, Rahmoune H, Fernig DG. Humanization of an anti-p185HER2 antibody from endothelial cells up-regulates the synthesis of an antithrombotic heparan sulfate proteoglycan. J Cell Physiol 2008, 217(2):328–337.
10. Reiland J, Kempf D, Roy M, Denkins Y, Marchetti D. p185HER2 monoclonal antibody has antiproliferative effects in vitro and sensitizes human breast tumor cells to tumor necrosis factor. Molecular and cellular biology 1989, 9(3):165–172.
11. Scott GW, Dodson JM, Montgomery PA, Johnson RM, Saarup JC, Wong WL, Ullrich A, Shepard HM, Benz CC. Characterization of a new HER2 signal transduction in breast cancer cells. The Journal of biological chemistry 1991, 266(22):14300–14305.
12. Sarup JC, Johnson RM, King KL, Fendly BM, Lipari MT, Aulakh R, Ullrich A, Shepard HM. Characterization of a new HER2 signal transduction in breast cancer cells. The Journal of biological chemistry 1991, 266(22):14300–14305.
13. Vlodavsky I, Friedmann Y. Molecular properties and involvement of heparanase in cancer metastasis and angiogenesis. The Journal of clinical investigation 2001, 108(3):341–347.
14. Kato M, Wang H, Kainulainen V, Fitzgerald ML, Ledbetter S, Ornitz DM, Bernfield M. Physiological degradation converts the soluble syndecan-1 ectodomain from an inhibitor to a potent activator of FGF-2. Nat Med 1998, 4(6):691–697.
15. Nader HB, Porciuncola MA, Tensaur IL, Pinhal MA, Oliveira FW, Moraes CT, Dietrich CP: Purification and substrate specificity of heparanase I and heparanase II from Flavobacterium heparinum. Analyses of the heparin and heparan sulfate degradation products by 13C NMR spectroscopy. The Journal of biological chemistry 1990, 265(8):16807–16813.
16. Nader HB, Kobayashi EY, Chavante SF, Tensaur IL, Castro RA, Shinjo SK, Naggì A, Torri G, Casu B, Dietrich CP: New insights on the specificity of heparin and heparan sulfate lyases from Flavobacterium heparinum revealed by the use of synthetic derivatives of K5 polysaccharide from E. coli and 2-O-desulfated heparin. Glycocon J 1999, 16(6):265–270.
17. Hukett MD, Freeman C, Hamdorf BJ, Baker RT, Harris MJ, Parish CR. Cloning of mammalian heparanase, an important enzyme in tumor invasion and metastasis. Nat Med 1999, 5(7):825–830.
18. Ecke D, Hanck T, Tulaparkar ME, Schafer R, Kassack M, Stricker R, Reiser G. Hetero-oligomerization of the P2Y11 receptor with the P2Y1 receptor controls the internalization and ligand selectivity of the P2Y11 receptor. Biochem J 2008, 409(1):107–116.
19. Valente AP, Mouroa PA, Leite EL, Nader HB, et al. Structural and hemostatic activities of a sulfated galactofucan from the brown alga Sargassum schwedleri. An ideal antithrombotic agent? The Journal of biological chemistry 2005, 280(50):41278–41288.
20. Dietrich CP, Dietrich SM: Electrophoretic behaviour of acidic mucopolysaccharides in diamine buffers. Anal Biochem 1976, 70(2):645–647.
21. Suzuki S, Sato H, Yamasita T, Anno K, Seno N, Kawai Y, Furushashi T. Formation of three types of disulfated disaccharides from chondroitin sulfates by chondroitinase digestion. The Journal of biological chemistry 1968, 243(7):1543–1550.
22. Trindade ES, Oliver C, Jamur MC, Rocha HA, Franco CR, Boucas RL, Jamouge TR, Pinhal MA, Tensaur IL, Gouvea TC, et al. The binding of heparin to the extracellular matrix of endothelial cells up-regulates the synthesis of an antithrombotic heparan sulfate proteoglycan. J Cell Physiol 2008, 217(2):328–337.
23. Tracy TP: Tissue factor of the coomassie blue method of protein quantification. A simple and linear spectrophotometric assay for less than or equal to 0.5 to 50 microgram of protein. Anal Biochem 1978, 86(1):142–146.
24. Nader HB, Buonnassisi V, Collburn P, Dietrich CP: Heparin stimulates the synthesis and modifies the sulfation pattern of heparan sulfate proteoglycan from endothelial cells. J Cell Physiol 1989, 140(2):305–310.
25. Dietrich CP: Heparin sensitizes human breast tumor cells to tumor necrosis factor. Molecular and cellular biology 1989, 9(3):165–172.
31. Bijsterveld NR, Hettricachchi R, Peters R, Prins MH, Levi M, Buller HR. Low-molecular weight heparins in venous and arterial thrombotic disease. *Thrombosis and haemostasis* 1999, 82(Suppl 1):139–147.

32. Tiozzo R, Cingi MR, Pietrangelo A, Albertazzi L, Calandra S, Milani MR. Effect of heparin-like compounds on the in vitro proliferation and protein synthesis of various cell types. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1989, 39(1):15–20.

33. Smorenburg SM, Van Noorden CJ. The complex effects of heparins on cancer progression and metastasis in experimental studies. *Pharmacological reviews* 2001, 53(1):93–105.

34. Park K, Kim YS, Lee GY, Nam JO, Lee SK, Park RW, Kim SY, Kim G, Byun Y. Antiangiogenic effect of bile acid acylated heparin derivative. *Pharmaceutical research* 2002, 19(1):15–20.

35. Ludwig RJ, Boehme B, Pedda M, Henschler R, Jager E, Tandi C, Boehncke WH, Zollner TM, Kaufmann R, Gille J. Endothelial P-selectin as a target of heparin action in experimental melanoma lung metastasis. *Cancer research* 2004, 64(8):2743–2750.

36. Cho HS, Mason K, Ramyar KX, Stanley AM, Gabelli SB, Denney DW Jr, Leahy DJ. Structure of the extracellular region of HER2 alone and in complex with the Herceptin Fab. *Nature* 2003, 421(6924):756–760.

37. Silbert JE, Sugumaran G. Biosynthesis of chondroitin/dermatan sulfate. *IUBMB Life* 2002, 54(4):177–186.

38. Bao X, Nishimura S, Mikami T, Yamada S, Itoh N, Sugahara K. Chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate hybrid chains from embryonic pig brain, which contain a higher proportion of L-iduronic acid than those from adult pig brain, exhibit neuritogenic and growth factor binding activities. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 2004, 279(11):9765–9776.

39. Sugahara K, Kitagawa H. Recent advances in the study of the biosynthesis and functions of sulfated glycosaminoglycans. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2000, 10(5):173–182.

40. Sanderson RD, Yang Y, Kelly T, MacLeod V, Dai Y, Theus A. Enzymatic remodeling of heparan sulfate proteoglycans within the tumor microenvironment: growth regulation and the prospect of new cancer therapies. *J Cell Biochem* 2005, 96(5):897–905.

doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-444
Cite this article as: Suarez et al. Heparan sulfate mediates trastuzumab effect in breast cancer cells. *BMC Cancer* 2013 13:444.