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ABSTRACT
We numerically investigate the rheological response of a noncoalescing multiple emulsion under a symmetric shear flow. We find that the dynamics significantly depends on the magnitude of the shear rate and on the number of the encapsulated droplets, two key parameters whose control is fundamental to accurately select the resulting nonequilibrium steady states. The double emulsion, for instance, attains a static steady state in which the external droplet stretches under flow and achieves an elliptical shape (closely resembling the one observed in a sheared isolated fluid droplet), while the internal one remains essentially unaffected. Novel nonequilibrium steady states arise in a multiple emulsion. Under low/moderate shear rates, for instance, the encapsulated droplets display a nontrivial planetarylike motion that considerably affects the shape of the external droplet. Some features of this dynamic behavior are partially captured by the Taylor deformation parameter and the stress tensor. Besides a theoretical interest on its own, our results can potentially stimulate further experiments, as most of the predictions could be tested in the lab by monitoring droplets’ shapes and position over time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A multiple emulsion is an intriguing example of soft material in which smaller drops of an immiscible fluid are dispersed within a larger one.1–9 A well-known example is the double emulsion in which, for instance, a water/oil emulsion is dispersed in a water-continuous phase.9–12 Higher complex systems are emulsions made of multidistinct inner cores (such as a triple W/O/W/O emulsion) and monodisperse or polydisperse droplets encapsulated in a larger one.13–16

Due to their unique hierarchical structure, these systems are highly desirable in a wide number of applications, including drug delivery of chemical and biological compounds,1,17–22 triggered reaction and mixing,23–27 cell-based therapies,28–32 waste water treatment,33–36 cosmetics,37–39 and food science.40–42 Unlike rigid colloids, they possess additional shape flexibility, adjustable, for instance, by carefully modulating the thickness and viscosity of the shell of fluid.43,44 This is a crucial requirement in many applications where a precise control of the rate of permeability, as well as on mechanical stability, is necessary.45–47

Although inherently out of equilibrium, these systems can be stabilized by means of suitable surfactants adsorbed onto the droplet interfaces. Indeed, the design of a well-defined multiple emulsion, with the controlled size and number of secondary droplets, is fundamental for the correct functioning of devices in which inner droplets’ coalescence or cross-contamination of their content must be avoided.48–50 In this context, it is crucial to investigate the dynamic behavior of the internal droplets, since their reciprocal interaction, mediated by the surfactant and by the surrounding fluid, may affect the rate of release of the cargo carried within as well as the stability of the entire emulsion.51,52 This is a must in high internal phase multiple emulsions (of interest in food science and cosmetics)
in which fluid interfaces occupy large portions of the system and long-range effects may dramatically affect functionality and design.\textsuperscript{58,62}

Besides their technological relevance, multiple emulsions hold a great theoretical interest, due to the capability of exhibiting nontrivial interface topologies associated with a complex hydrodynamics, especially when subject to an external flow field.\textsuperscript{53,54}

Yet, despite the impressive progress in production and design of encapsulated droplets, to date, their dynamics under an imposed flow has been only partially investigated. While significant efforts have been addressed to understand the rheological response of single phase droplets\textsuperscript{56–58} as well as of double emulsions,\textsuperscript{59–61} much less is known for higher complex systems, such as those reported in Fig. 1, which shows an example of a multiple emulsion with two and three cores fabricated in a microfluidic device.\textsuperscript{13} In the regime of low or moderate shear forces, for instance, the internal droplet of a double emulsion remains approximately spherical and motionless at the steady state, in contrast to the external one that attains a final ellipsoidal shape\textsuperscript{14–16} and may acquire motion. However, what is the scenario if two or more inner fluid droplets are included? More specifically, what is their dynamics under flow? Importantly, how do they affect the shape and stability of the external droplet?\textsuperscript{53–55}

In this work, we investigate, by means of lattice Boltzmann simulations, the dynamic response of a multicore emulsion under an externally imposed shear flow. The basic physics of this system is captured by a multiphase field continuum model\textsuperscript{,62,63} based on a Landau free-energy description of the equilibrium properties of immiscible fluids employed to compute the thermodynamic forces (pressure tensor and chemical potential) governing the time evolution of the system.\textsuperscript{64}

By varying the shear rate and the number of inner droplets, we observe new nonequilibrium steady states in which the encapsulated droplets may acquire motion. However, what is the scenario if two or more inner fluid droplets are included? More specifically, what is their dynamics under flow? Importantly, how do they affect the shape and stability of the external droplet?\textsuperscript{53–55}

In this work, we investigate, by means of lattice Boltzmann simulations, the dynamic response of a multicore emulsion under an externally imposed shear flow. The basic physics of this system is captured by a multiphase field continuum model\textsuperscript{,62,63} based on a Landau free-energy description of the equilibrium properties of immiscible fluids employed to compute the thermodynamic forces (pressure tensor and chemical potential) governing the time evolution of the system.\textsuperscript{64}

II. METHOD

A. Free energy and equations of motion

Here, we illustrate the physics and the modeling of a compound emulsion made of a suspension of immiscible fluid droplets encapsulated in a larger drop. Such droplets are described by using a multiphase field approach\textsuperscript{,62,63,65} in which a set of scalar phase-field variables $\phi_i(r,t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ (where $N$ is the total number of droplets), accounts for the density of each droplet at position $r$ and time $t$, while a vector field $v(r,t)$ describes the underlying fluid velocity.

By assuming local equilibrium,\textsuperscript{66} the properties of this mixture can be described by an effective coarse-grained free energy density

$$f = \frac{a}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^2 (\phi_i - \phi_0)^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\nabla \phi_i)^2 + \epsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \phi_i \phi_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The first term is a double-well potential ensuring the existence of two coexisting minima: $\phi_i = \phi_0$ inside the $i$th droplet and 0 outside. The second term gauges the energetic cost associated with the droplet fluid interface. The parameters $a$ and $k$ are two positive constants controlling the interfacial thickness $\xi = 5\sqrt{k/2a}$ of each droplet and their surface tension $\sigma = \sqrt{8ak}/9$.\textsuperscript{67} The last term in Eq. (1) represents a soft-core repulsion whose strength is measured by the positive constant $\epsilon$.

The dynamics of the order parameters $\phi_i(r,t)$ is governed by a set of convection-diffusion equations

$$D_t \phi_i = -\nabla \cdot J_i,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D_t = \partial / \partial t + v \cdot \nabla$ is the material derivative and

$$J_i = -M \nabla \mu_i,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

is the current, which is proportional to the product of the mobility $M$ and the gradient of the chemical potential.
of the $i$th drop. Finally, $F = \int f \, dV$ is the total free energy.

The fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ obeys the continuity and the Navier-Stokes equations, which, in the incompressible limit, are

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\tau}.$$

In Eq. (6), $\rho$ is the fluid density and $\mathbf{\tau}$ is the total stress tensor given by

$$\mathbf{\tau}_{ij} = \rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \rho \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j.$$

In Fig. 2(a), an isolated isotropic fluid droplet (yellow) is initially placed at the center of the lattice and is surrounded by a second isotropic fluid (black). In this configuration, only one order parameter field $ \phi $ is considered (i.e., $N = 1$). A double emulsion $[\text{Fig. 2(b)}]$ is produced by means of two fields $ \phi_1 (N = 2) $. One is positive (equal to $ \phi_2 $) within the smaller droplet (placed at the center of the lattice) and zero everywhere else, while the other one is positive outside the larger droplet and zero elsewhere. Analogous setups have been employed for the other multiple emulsion, when two ($N = 3$) and three ($N = 4$) droplets are included. The radii of the droplets have been chosen as follows: (a) $R = 30$, (b) $R_{in} = 10$ and $R_{out} = 30$, (c) and (d) $R_{in} = 15$ and $R_{out} = 56$. The corresponding emulsion volume fraction $V_f = N \pi R^3 / 6R^2$ is (a) $V_f = 0$, (b) $V_f = 0.11$, (c) $V_f = 0.15$, and (d) $V_f = 0.22$.

Starting from these initial conditions, the mixtures are first let to relax for $\approx 5 \times 10^4$ time steps to achieve a (near) equilibrium state. Afterwards, a symmetric shear is applied, by moving the top wall along the positive $y$-axis with velocity $v_{w}$ and the bottom wall along the opposite direction with velocity $-v_{w}$. This sets a shear rate $ \dot{\gamma} = 2v_{w}/L_z $. In our simulations, $v_{w}$ ranges between 0.01 (low shear) and 0.05 (moderate/high shear), which means that $ \dot{\gamma} $ varies between $ \approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $ \approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ when $L_z = 110$ and between $ \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ and $ \approx 6 \times 10^{-4}$ when $L_z = 170$. As in previous works, we define a dimensionless time $ t^* = \dot{\gamma}(t - t_{eq}) $, where $t_{eq}$ is the relaxation time after which the shear is switched on. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, the following thermodynamic parameters have been used: $a = 0.07$, $M = 0.1$, $\eta = 1.67$, $k = 0.1$, and $e = 0.05$. In addition, throughout our simulations, time step and lattice spacing are fixed to unit value, $\Delta x = 1$, $\Delta t = 1$.

By following previous studies, an approximate mapping between simulation units and physical ones can be obtained by assuming a droplet of diameter roughly equal to $10^7 \mu m$ immersed in a background fluid of viscosity $10^{-5} \text{ Pa s} $ (assumed, for simplicity, equal to the viscosity of the fluid inside the droplet) and in which the surface tension $\sigma$, equal to $0.08$ (for $k = 0.1$) in simulations, corresponds to $0.5 - 1 \text{ mN/m}$. With these parameters, a speed of $10^{-3}$ in simulation units corresponds to approximately $1 \text{ mm/s}$ in real values. Further details are reported in the Appendix. A dimensionless quantity capturing droplet deformation is the capillary number $Ca = \frac{\dot{\gamma}R}{\sigma}$, measuring the strength of the viscous forces relative to the

---

**FIG. 2.** Equilibrium profiles of different emulsions. (a) Isolated droplet, (b) double emulsion, (c) two-core multiple emulsion, and (d) three-core multiple emulsion. Lattice dimensions are [(a) and (b)] $L_z = 150$, $L_x = 110$; and [(c) and (d)] $L_z = 220$, $L_x = 170$. Droplet radii are (a) $R = 30$, (b) $R_{in} = 10$, $R_{out} = 30$; (c) $R_{in} = 15$, $R_{out} = 56$, and (d) $R_{in} = 15$, $R_{out} = 56$. Colors correspond to the values of the order parameter $\phi$, ranging from 0 (black) to $\approx 2$ (yellow).
surface tension. If, for example, \( v = 0.01, \ Ca \sim 0.2 \) (with \( k = 0.1 \)). In addition, the Reynolds number \( Re = \rho v_{\text{max}} L / \eta \) (where \( L \) is the system size and \( v_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum speed measured) may vary from \( \sim 1 \) to \( \sim 10 \), the latter describing a regime for which inertial forces are much higher than the viscous ones and the condition of laminar flow is generally not fulfilled.

III. RESULTS

Here, we discuss the rheological response of the emulsions shown in Fig. 2 subject to a symmetric shear flow. To validate our model, we initially investigate the dynamics of an isolated fluid droplet and afterwards we move on to study the dynamical response of the other compound emulsions.

A. Isolated fluid droplet

As a first benchmark test, we simulate the effect produced by a symmetric shear flow to an isolated fluid droplet surrounded by a second immiscible fluid. A well-known result is that, for low/moderate values of \( \dot{\gamma} \), at the steady state, the droplet attains an elliptical shape and aligns along the imposed shear flow. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) (Multimedia view), we show the steady state of the droplet and the corresponding fluid flow profile after imposing a shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} = 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \). As expected, the droplet elongates and the major axis tilts and forms an angle of \( \theta \approx 30^\circ \) with the shear direction. This is in very good agreement with the values reported in the literature for \( Re = 2 \) (see, for example, Ref. 57). The velocity field exhibits the typical structure observed for such a system, i.e., it is large and unidirectional near both walls and weaker in the center of the lattice, where a clockwise recirculation emerges within the droplet. The droplet position is mildly affected by the shear flow (see Fig. 4), which only slightly pushes the droplet rightwards with respect to the initial location.

As long as the droplet shape remains rather well-defined (like an ellipse), one can quantify its deformation in terms of the Taylor parameter \( D = \frac{a-b}{a+b} \), where \( a \) and \( b \) represent the length of the major and the minor axis, respectively. It ranges between 0 (no deformation) and 1 (“needle” shape). In Fig. 4, it is shown that \( D \) attains a steady state value of \( \sim 0.18 \), in line with experimental values observed when \( Ca \sim 0.2 \).

For higher values of \( \dot{\gamma} \) (but low enough to avoid the droplet breakup \(^1\)), the droplet, once more, aligns with the flow direction and attains the elliptical shape but with a higher deformation at the steady state. If, for example, \( \dot{\gamma} \sim 10^{-3} \), one gets \( \theta \sim 40^\circ \) and \( D \sim 0.65 \), values close to the experimental ones for \( Re \sim 10^{2} \) [see movie MS “(Multimedia view)” in supplementary material].

These preliminary numerical tests reproduce with very good accuracy some aspects of the dynamic response under shear of an isolated fluid droplet. In Sec. III B, we extend this study to a Newtonian double emulsion in which a second droplet is included within a larger one.

B. Double emulsion

Due to the presence of an inner droplet, more complex hydrodynamics and interfacial deformations are expected with respect to the single-phase case. The effect of a moderate shear flow (\( \dot{\gamma} = 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \)) on a double emulsion is shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) (Multimedia view). After the shear is imposed, the outer droplet is, once more, slightly advected rightwards (see Fig. 6, left) and, simultaneously, tilted and stretched along the shear direction until the elliptical steady-state shape is attained at approximately \( t^* = 100 \).

Here, we measure \( \theta_b = 42^\circ \) and \( D_b \sim 0.2 \) (Fig. 6, right, green plot), values comparable with those of the single phase droplet. Hence, as long as \( \dot{\gamma} \) is sufficiently small, the presence of the inner fluid droplet has a mild effect on the outer one, whose interface acts as an effective “shield” preventing deformations of the former.

\[ \sum_i \hat{y}_i = 0, \quad \sum_i \hat{z}_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y, z, t) \geq 0.1. \]

![Fig. 3](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.1)

![Fig. 4](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.1)
Indeed, the shape of the internal droplet remains almost unaltered throughout the process (we measure $\theta_i \approx 85^\circ$ and $D_i \approx 0.03$, see Fig. 6, right). This is mainly due to the large interfacial tension (higher than that of the outer droplet) induced by the small curvature radius, thus preventing deformations that would be favored by the shear flow. A further source of shape stabilization stems from the (weak) vorticity formed within the smaller droplet [in addition to the larger one mainly located in the layer between the droplets, see Fig. 5(b)], an effect known to inhibit deformations produced by the shear stress.

Doubling the shear rate can produce substantial shape deformations of the inner droplet as well as of the outer one. In Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) (Multimedia view), we show the steady state of a double emulsion when $\dot{\gamma} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Here, we got $\theta_o \approx 30^\circ$ and $\theta_i \approx 40^\circ$, while $D_i \approx 0.1$ and $D_o \approx 0.4$ (Fig. 6, right). Once again, due to its higher surface tension, the inner droplet is less deformed than the outer one, but, unlike the previous case, a visible rounded clockwise recirculation, clearly distinct from the large elliptical one, forms inside.

Note that increasing $\dot{\gamma}$ produces a temporary peak in $D$, soon after the shear force is switched on. While, for low values of the shear rate, droplet elongation and alignment to the flow direction occurs gradually, for high values, it stretches rather abruptly and later on relaxes toward its steady state shape. As also observed in previous works, this initial deformation overshoot is necessary to overcome the additional inertia displayed by the droplet after an intense stretching.

Despite its comparatively simple design, the double emulsion displays a nontrivial rheological behavior, in which interface deformations and shape changes crucially depend on the elasticity and on the complex structure of the fluid velocity. A largely unexplored physics is that of higher complex multiple emulsions, in which, for instance, two (or more) smaller fluid droplets are included within a larger external one. Section III C is

![FIG. 5](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.2; https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.3)

![FIG. 6](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.2; https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.3)

**FIG. 5.** (a)–(c) Steady state profiles of a double emulsion under a symmetric shear flow with (a) $\dot{\gamma} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ and (c) $\dot{\gamma} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Here, $Re \approx 2$, $Ca \approx 0.21$ in (a) and $Re \approx 4.5$, $Ca \approx 0.52$ in (c). (b)–(d) Steady state velocity profiles under shear. Multimedia views: (a) and (c): https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.2; https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.3

**FIG. 6.** (Left) Time evolution of the $y$ and $z$ components of the droplet centers for mass in the double emulsion for two different values of $\dot{\gamma}$. In both cases, the droplet position is mildly affected by the fluid flow. (Right) Time evolution of deformation parameter $D$ of the inner and the outer droplets.
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FIG. 7. [(a)–(d)] Steady state profiles of the fields $\phi_i$ of a two-droplet oscillatory dynamics under a symmetric shear flow with $\dot{\gamma} \approx 3 \times 10^{-4}$. Snapshots are taken at (a) $t^* = 3$, (b) $t^* = 7.8$, (c) $t^* = 12$, and (d) $t^* = 18$. Here, $Re \approx 2.6$ and $Ca \approx 0.21$. [(e)–(h)] Steady state velocity profiles under shear. [Inset of (g)] A zoomed-in view of the two weak recirculations rotating clockwise formed nearby the inner droplets. Multimedia view: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.4

precisely dedicated to investigate the rheology of such a system in the presence of low/moderate shear flows.

C. Higher complex states: Multiple emulsion

1. Nonequilibrium steady states

We first consider likely the simplest example of a multiple emulsion, namely, two collinear fluid droplets located symmetrically with respect to an axis, parallel to $z$, passing through the center of mass of a surrounding larger droplet [see Fig. 2(c)]. Despite its essential design, a nontrivial rheological behavior emerges when subject to a shear flow.

Once a moderate shear is switched on, the two inner cores acquire motion, initially proceeding along opposite directions [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)] and, later on, rotating periodically clockwise around the center of mass of the outer droplet by following roughly elliptical orbits [Figs. 7(c) and 7(d), (Multimedia view)]. As in a typical periodic motion, internal droplets attain the minimum speed (local minima of green and magenta plots of Fig. 8) at the points of inversion of motion, while the highest speed is achieved halfway (maxima and minima of red and blue plots of Fig. 8).

Such planetlike oscillatory motion, observed during the transient dynamics and persistently at the steady state, is primarily caused by the confined geometry in which the internal droplets are constrained to move and, likewise, by the purely droplet-droplet repulsive interaction (an effect captured by the term proportional to $\epsilon$ in the free energy) combined with a nontrivial structure of the internal velocity field. Indeed, unlike the double emulsion, it exhibits a large fluid recirculation near the interface of the external droplet and two temporary recirculations within the emulsion, appearing faraway when the droplets invert their motion and merging into a single one when they are sufficiently close to each other.

Intriguingly, this dynamics produces significant effects on the external droplet shape. Although, like in the double emulsion, the droplet elongates and aligns along the direction imposed by the shear, at the steady state, it shows periodic shape deformations characterized by local interfacial bumps, more intense when...
internal droplets approach the interface of the external one. When this occurs, the shape of the external droplet considerably departs from the typical ellipsoidal one observed in single and double emulsions, a geometry only temporary restored when internal droplets are far from the external interface.

These results suggest a scenario in which (i) novel nonequilibrium steady states emerge whenever a multiple emulsion is subject to a shear flow and (ii) nontrivial deformations of the external interface emerge as a result of the internal droplets’ motion. However, how robust is this dynamics? In addition, how does it depend on the arrangement of the internal droplets? Is the parameter $D$ still a reliable quantity to capture droplet shape deformations even for moderate values of shear rates?

To partially address these questions, we study the dynamics under a moderate shear flow of a multiple emulsion in which three fluid droplets are encapsulated [see Fig. 2(d) for the initial condition]. The effect of the shear is overall similar to the previous case. During the transient dynamics, the external droplet elongates and stretches along the shear flow, while the internal ones acquire a clockwise rotating motion around the center of mass of the former.

At the steady state, such motion becomes, once again, periodic (see Fig. 9) (Multimedia view), with the internal droplets persistently moving along elliptical trajectories dragged by the large fluid recirculation formed near the external interface, where local deformations occur more frequently. Further weak fluid vortices also appear mainly located around the interface of the internal droplets. Hence, as long as $\dot{\gamma}$ is low enough to prevent the emulsion rupture, the periodic motion of the internal droplets is preserved, regardless of the droplets’ arrangement. Even so, it is worth noting that increasing the number of internal droplets causes a sensible decrease in the tilt angle of the external droplet at the steady state. Indeed, we measure $\theta_0 \approx 27^\circ$ for the two-core emulsion and $\theta_0 \approx 18^\circ$ for the three-core one.

2. Interface deformation and stress tensor

An estimate of the shape deformation of the external droplet can be obtained by looking at the time evolution of parameter $D$. Interestingly, while for a single and a double emulsion, $D$ rapidly attains a constant value, in a multiple emulsion, the periodic motion of the internal droplets leaves a tangible signature on it, which now displays periodic oscillations, more frequent as the number of the encapsulated droplets’ augments (see Fig. 10). However, such behavior provides only partial knowledge about the correct shape of the external droplet, since it only captures a periodic elongation/contraction mechanism but misses the local interfacial bumps.

Further insights can be gained by computing the in plane component of the stress tensor $\Pi_{yz}$. Major contributions stem from the

![FIG. 9. (a)–(d)] Steady state profiles of the fields $\phi_i$ of a three-droplet oscillatory dynamics emulsion under a symmetric shear flow with $\dot{\gamma} \approx 3 \times 10^{-4}$. Snapshots are taken at (a) $t^* = 6$, (b) $t^* = 12$, (c) $t^* = 18$, and (d) $t^* = 27$. Here, $Re \approx 6.6$ and $Ca \approx 0.52$. [(e)–(h)] Steady state velocity profiles under shear. Multimedia view: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.5

![FIG. 10. Time evolution of the deformation $D$ of the external droplet when $\dot{\gamma} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Periodic oscillations emerge when two and three droplets are included.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134901.5)
off diagonal term of $\Pi_{\text{inter}}^{\text{str}}$ and from the cross derivative terms of $\Pi_{\text{inter}}^{\text{isc}}$, proportional to $\partial_y v_z + \partial_z v_y$. The former is generally found to be more than one order of magnitude larger than the latter and is largely confined at the fluid interfaces of the external and internal droplets (see Fig. 11). Interestingly, the stress tensor exhibits a self-similar pattern, an indication that the coupling between fluid flow and interface deformations weakly depends on the number of internal droplets. These results suggest that the interfacial stress can describe the important aspects of the dynamics of the emulsion, such as periodic motion and interface deformations.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have investigated the dynamics of a 2D multicore multiple emulsion under an imposed symmetric shear flow. We have kept the shear rate low enough to avoid the emulsion rupture but sufficiently intense to observe sizeable shape deformations. The physics of the steady states is crucially influenced by the shear rate and by the motion of the internal droplets. In the double emulsion, for instance, the latter undergoes shape deformations much weaker than the external one, which generally elongates until it attains an elliptical shape aligned with the shear flow, a behavior similar to that observed in an isolated single fluid droplet.

On the other hand, higher complex systems exhibit novel nonequilibrium steady states. If, for instance, two smaller droplets are dispersed in a large one, an oscillatory steady state is produced, in which the internal droplets periodically rotate around the center of mass of the latter. This dynamics is rather robust, since it occurs regardless of the initial droplet arrangement and at higher shear rates. In addition, for moderate values of the shear rate, marked local deformations are produced at the interface of the external droplets of a multiple emulsion, due to the dynamic interaction with the internal ones. This result suggests that, alongside the Taylor parameter $D$ (a global quantity usually assumed to describe droplet deformations at low shear rates), further quantities, such as the stress tensor, may be necessary to accurately capture these local interfacial bendings.

Our analysis shows that the dynamics of multiple emulsions shows nontrivial qualitatively new phenomena as compared to the case of double emulsions, thereby raising a number of open questions.

Is there, for example, a feasible strategy favoring an alternative dynamic behavior of the internal droplets, keeping the shear rate at low/moderate values? A potential route worth exploring would be to increase the volume fraction of the internal droplets up to the close-packing limit to possibly trigger a chaoticlike dynamics. This point will be investigated in a future work.

Furthermore, a more careful control of the droplet deformation is experimentally achieved by either gelling or hardening the state of the fluid in the layer, an effect that could be modeled by releasing the approximation of single viscosity adopted for both fluid components. Deformations are also notably affected by the physics of the droplet-droplet repulsive interactions, whose structure is determined by the nature of surfactants. A weak surfactant, for example, may favor a partial droplet merging, an effect that could, in principle, be controlled by properly tuning the strength $\epsilon$ of the repulsive term. Even more intriguing would be the study of the effect produced by the simultaneous presence of different surfactants, whose physics can be modeled by allowing each phase field with its own repulsive strength $\epsilon(i)$.

Although still preliminary, we hope that our results may stimulate further experiments on multicore emulsions in microfluidic devices, which is of potential interest in applications relevant to drug delivery or in food processing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See supplementary material for movie MS. It shows the dynamics of an isolated fluid droplet under symmetric shear for $\dot{\gamma} \sim 10^{-3}$.
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