Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte scores effectively stratify outcomes over and above p16 post chemo-radiotherapy in anal cancer
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Background: The majority (90%) of anal cancers are human papillomavirus (HPV)-driven, identified using immunochemistry for p16. Compared with HPV−/C0 patients, those with HPV+ disease generally show improved survival, although relapse rates around 25% indicate a need for further stratification of this group.

Methods: Using two cohorts of anal cancer, previously characterised for p16, we assessed the prognostic value of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).

Results: Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte scores were used to stratify p16+ cases, where tumours with absent/low levels of TIL had a relapse-free rate of 63%, as opposed to 92% with high levels of TIL (log rank P = 0.006).

Conclusions: Assessment of TIL adds to p16 status in the prognosis of anal cancer following chemo-radiotherapy and provides evidence of the clinical importance of the immune response.

Squamous cell carcinomas of the anus and anal canal are relatively rare tumours, though increasing in incidence for reasons unknown. In keeping with other squamous cell cancers of the ano-genital region and an increasing proportion of oropharyngeal cancer, they are associated with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) subtypes (Baracevic-Jones et al, 2015). Immunohistochemistry for p16INK4A (p16) has been used as a surrogate for HPV involvement and to identify a group of patients (p16 negative) with very poor outcomes following chemo-radiotherapy (CRT) (Gilbert et al, 2013; Koerber et al, 2014; Rodel et al, 2014; Serup-Hansen et al, 2014). Relapse-free rates (RFRs) in p16+ cases were 64–84% across the four studies as opposed to 35–52% in p16− cases. High p16 expression correlated with female sex and, in some studies, marginally lower stage at presentation. p16 retained independent prognostic ability in all reported studies, but requires prospective validation in a clinical trial prior to entering routine use (or being used to stratify treatments in future trials). However, rates of p16 positivity ranged from 65% (Rodel et al, 2014) to 93% (Serup-Hansen et al, 2014); hence the majority of relapses still occur in p16+ patients.

One biological explanation for the improved outcomes following CRT in p16+ cases rests on intact apoptotic mechanisms, with low rates of p53 mutations described in p16+ head and neck (Westra et al, 2008) and anal cancer (Meulendijks et al, 2015). Human papillomavirus infection uncouples cell cycle checkpoints via suppression of p53/pRB while retaining wild-type gene
expression, overcome in the case of the dramatic DNA damage that results from combination CRT (Kimple et al, 2013; Reikmann et al, 2013). However, in head and neck cancers, improved survival is also seen in patients with p16+ tumours treated with surgical excision alone, associated with further inherent factors being involved (Licitra et al, 2006). Relapse rates of around 25% in p16+ anal cancers suggest that these patients can be further stratified to identify those at ‘high risk’, with additional mechanisms and biomarkers as yet undiscovered.

An alternative hypothesis is that viral antigens elicit a host immune response directed against the tumour cells. Human papillomavirus 16-E6 and -E7-specific T cells have been identified in HPV-driven oropharyngeal cancer patients as part of an adaptive immune response, and tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are associated with improved outcomes in a number of different tumour types (Mei et al, 2014; Matsumoto et al, 2015). Specifically in the case of HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancer, the degree of TILs can be used to stratify the HPV+ patients into ‘high-risk’ and ‘low-risk’ groups, where HPV+ tumours with only low TIL scores have similar outcomes to the HPV− tumours (Ward et al, 2014). Patients with HPV+/TIL high had a 3-year overall survival of 96% vs 59% in the HPV+/TIL low group.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether TILs play a similar prognostic role in anal cancer, using two well-characterised cohorts of tumours (i.e. known p16 status) treated with radical radiotherapy + chemotherapy with curative intent and documented outcomes (Gilbert et al, 2013, Serup-Hansen et al, 2014).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection of cohorts.** This work has ethical approval (11/LO/0323). Clinical details were retrieved for patients treated with radical radiotherapy + chemotherapy with curative intent for non-metastatic squamous cell carcinomas of the anus and anal canal between 2004 and 2009 inclusive at the Sussex Cancer Centre, Brighton, and the Kent Oncology Centre, Maidstone, UK, as previously described (Gilbert et al, 2013). The Danish cohort comprised patients presenting to the Department of Oncology at Herlev Hospital (Herlev, Denmark) with newly diagnosed AJCC stage I–III anal cancer, between January 2000 and January 2010 (Serup-Hansen et al, 2014).

**TIL scoring.** Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained slides were obtained from a representative formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded block from each tumour sample. Lymphocyte infiltrations were scored by a pathologist blinded to patient demographics or outcomes (GJT), using a low-power magnification (×2.5 objective). As described previously (Ward et al, 2014), tumour TILs were scored as high (3 – diffuse, present in >80% tumour/stroma), moderate (2 – patchy, present in 20–80% tumour/stroma) or low (1 – weak/absent, present in <20% tumour/stroma).

**Statistical analysis.** Associations between TIL score and other clinic-pathological characteristics were evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The primary end point was relapse-free survival, defined as time from histological diagnosis of anal cancer to date of diagnosis of confirmed relapse (local or distant as recorded by the clinical team) or date of last follow-up, analysed using Kaplan–Meier plots and log-rank tests using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), tabulating RFRs.

**RESULTS**

**UK cohort.** In all 153 patients were available for analysis (Table 1), with a median follow-up of 27.9 months. Of these, 97 were female and 56 male. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte scores were available for 141 cases (representative images; Figure 1); 12 cases were excluded where insufficient tumour material was available. Of these, 126 were p16+ (Gilbert et al, 2013), with an RFR of 73.8% as opposed to 33.3% in the 15 p16− tumours. There was no correlation between TIL score and patients’ sex or p16 immunohistochemistry.

**Table 1. UK and Danish anal cancer cohorts, demographics including p16 immunohistochemistry and quantification of TILs**

|                  | UK          | Danish     |
|------------------|-------------|------------|
| N                | 153         | 131        |
| Male (%)         | 56 (36.6)   | 33 (25.2)  |
| Female (%)       | 97 (63.4)   | 98 (74.8)  |
| Relapse (RFR%)   | 106 (69.3)  | 33 (74.8)  |
| p16              |             |            |
| p16+ (%)         | 137 (89.5)  | 121 (92.4) |
| p16− (%)         | 16 (10.5)   | 10 (7.6)   |
| p16 and relapse  |             |            |
| p16 relapse (%RFR) | 37/137 (73.0) | 26/121 (78.3) |
| p16− relapse (%RFR) | 10/16 (63.5)  | 7/10 (70)  |

**TIL**

| TIL (%) | UK Relapse | Danish Relapse |
|---------|------------|----------------|
| TIL1 (%) | 29 (18.9) | 23 (17.6) |
| TIL2 (%) | 85 (55.5) | 73 (55.7) |
| TIL3 (%) | 27 (17.6) | 26 (19.8) |
| TIL not available (%) | 12 (7.8) | 9 (6.9) |

**TIL and relapse**

| TIL relapse (%RFR) | UK Relapse | Danish Relapse |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| TIL1 relapse (%RFR) | 16/29 (44.8) | 5/23 (21.7) |
| TIL2 relapse (%RFR) | 23/65 (72.9) | 25/73 (65.8) |
| TIL3 relapse (%RFR) | 4/27 (58.2) | 2/26 (23.1) |

**Whole cohort, p16−**

| TIL relapse (%RFR) | UK Relapse | Danish Relapse |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| TIL1 relapse (%RFR) | 4/6 (66.6) | 3/5 (60) |
| TIL2 relapse (%RFR) | 11/16 (68.8) | 8/13 (61.5) |
| TIL3 relapse (%RFR) | 2/3 (66.6) | 1/2 (50) |

**Whole cohort, p16+**

| TIL relapse (%RFR) | UK Relapse | Danish Relapse |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| TIL1 relapse (%RFR) | 17/46 (73.9) | 14/20 (70) |
| TIL2 relapse (%RFR) | 37/142 (73.9) | 73/94 (77.6) |
| TIL3 relapse (%RFR) | 4/50 (92) | 2/4 (50) |

Abbreviations: p16− immunohistochemistry for p16NK4A; RFR− relapse-free rate; TIL− quantification of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes.

**Figure 1.** Representative anal cancer specimens stained with haematoxylin and eosin demonstrating examples with (A), low/absent and (B), high levels of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes corresponding to TIL1 and TIL3 respectively. Scale bar denotes 10 μm.
Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte score was significantly associated with relapse-free survival (Figure 2A, log-rank test \(P = 0.004\)), where tumours with a TIL score 3 had an RFR of 85.2% as opposed to 72.9% for TIL2 and 44.8% for those with weak or absent TILs.

Danish cohort. One hundred thirty-one patients were included in the analysis, with a median follow-up of 51.2 months. Of these, 98 were female and 33 male (Table 1). As previously described (Serup-Hansen et al, 2014), 121 (92.3%) were positive for p16 immunohistochemistry, with an RFR of 78.5%, as opposed to 30% in the 10 cases that were p16−. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte scores were available for 122 cases; 9 cases were excluded where insufficient tumour material was available. Again there was no correlation between TIL score and sex or p16 immunohistochemistry.

Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte score was again associated with relapse-free survival (Figure 2B and log-rank test \(P = 0.033\)), although here the biggest distinction was between TIL3 and TILs 1–2 (weak/absent to moderate). Patients with high TILs had an RFR of 92.3%, as opposed to 65.8% with a moderate infiltrate of TILs and 78.3% when TILs were absent or weakly infiltrating.

Combined analysis of cohorts with respect to p16 status. In order to better understand the relative contribution of TIL scoring in the context of p16 status in line with the HNSCC model previously proposed (Ward et al, 2014), the two cohorts were combined and survival analyses performed on the p16+ and p16− populations (Table 1, Figure 2C and D). Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte scores did not add prognostic value to the 25 cases that were negative for p16 (log-rank test \(P = 0.416\)), but effectively stratified the outcomes in the 263 patients with p16+ tumours (log-rank test \(P = 0.006\)), with RFRs ranging from 63% for the TIL1 cases to 92% in the TIL3 tumours.

In a multivariate analysis including sex, p16 and TIL score, TIL retained independent prognostic value \((P = 0.001)\).

DISCUSSION

A number of studies have shown that, in keeping with the situation described in head and neck cancer, anal cancers associated with HPV have better outcomes following CRT than those that are HPV or p16−. Previous explanations for this have centred on differential levels of p53 mutation, either directly measured (Meulendijks et al, 2015) or implied through immunohistochemistry for p53 (Lampejo et al, 2010). However, an additional factor might be variations in the adaptive immune response elicited by virally driven tumours. As one component of this, TILs provide a morphological correlate of the host immune response and, as we have demonstrated, may give additional prognostic value over and above assessment of the HPV status of tumours via HPV genotyping or immunohistochemistry for p16. Prior data from anal cancer are limited and inconsistent (Grabtenbauer et al, 2006; Rubio et al, 2008). Whether these differences are derived from tumour- or host-related factors will be the subject of further research. Given the high rates of HPV/p16 positivity of ~90% in contemporary anal cancer (Baricevic et al, 2015), the ability of TIL to stratify outcomes in the p16+ population is arguably even more relevant than in head and neck cancer.

Larger data sets are required to demonstrate the reproducibility of TIL score and build a multivariate model incorporating TIL scores with established prognostic factors (p16/HPV, stage, sex, detailed smoking history) and correlate any prognostic effect on overall survival (we used relapse-free survival as salvage surgery is possible for a number of cases). Furthermore, these effects require
validation in prospective studies. This work is underway and should inform future trials exploring increased radiotherapy doses or novel agents in poor-risk cases, or ‘de-escalation’ strategies in good prognosis tumours where avoidance of late toxicity is desirable. The marked biological and clinical relevance of the TIL response demonstrated here supports trials of immunotherapy in anal cancer, whether targeting immune checkpoints via anti-CTLA4/PD1/PDL1 agents (including in the concurrent or (neo) adjuvant setting; Illidge, 2015), or using adoptive T-cell therapy as demonstrated in head and neck and cervical cancer (Hald et al, 1994; Stevanović et al, 2015).
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