Sequence analysis and plasmid mobilization of a 6.6-kb kanamycin resistance plasmid, pSNC3-Kan, from a Salmonella enterica serotype Newport isolate
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Abstract

Research on the transfer of antibiotic resistance plasmids has been mainly focused on the large multi-drug resistance conjugative plasmids, while the transmission of small mobilizable plasmids remains under-investigated. A series of diverse ColE-like kanamycin resistance plasmids (“KanR plasmids”) from Salmonella enterica were characterized previously. In this study, the 6.6-kb pSNC3-Kan from a Salmonella enterica serotype Newport isolate was investigated. It possessed highly conserved RNA I/II and Tn602 (IS903-aph-IS903) regions to two other KanR plasmids pSe-Kan and pSBardo-Kan, but carried a mobC-mobA/BD operon. The mobilization proteins encoded by the mob operon of pSNC3-Kan showed high sequence identity (~95%) to those of an E. coli plasmid pEC34B, except that MobE was not present; and were much less conserved to those of another KanR plasmid pSN11/00Kan (43% - 86% identity). Four structurally different KanR plasmids were investigated for their ability to be mobilized by the conjugal transfer (tra) genes from F and IncP plasmids. Transfer genes derived from IncP plasmids can efficiently mobilize KanR plasmids possessing the mob operons (mobC-mobA/BD), such as pSNC3-Kan and pSN11/00Kan, in biparental mating experiments. On the other hand, F tra genes were able to mobilize pU302S, pSNC3-Kan and pSe-Kan, but not pSN11/00Kan. A plasmid-borne mob operon was not required for mobilization of the oriT(F)-bearing pSe-Kan by the F tra genes. This study underscores the complexity of plasmid interaction and the importance of how small mobilizable plasmids may contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance genes.

Introduction

Outbreaks involving Salmonella enterica accounted for 30% of foodborne diseases of known etiology that occurred between 2009–2015 in the United States [1]. They are responsible for an estimated 1.2 million annual salmonellosis cases just in the U.S. alone and account for both leading causes of hospitalization and deaths among the major foodborne pathogens [2, 3].
More alarmingly, there was increased resistance to third-generation drugs such as cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, or azithromycin as reported in the 2016–2017 National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) report [4]. *S. enterica* serotype Newport is the third most common serotype in human salmonellosis where a recent study showed that 8% of Newport isolates collected were resistant to seven antibiotics, including ceftriaxone [5]. This is a cause for concern because the resistance genes may be acquired by other bacteria through bacteriophage or by other horizontal gene transfer events where plasmids play a key role [6].

In addition to the larger (generally > 60-kb) conjugative plasmids, smaller mobilizable plasmids, although not self-transmissible, can be transferred between bacteria by the transfer (*tra*) machinery of conjugative plasmids. These small plasmids are usually under 15-kb, present in high copy numbers and may only carry minimal gene sets, yet provide an efficient means for gene spread in bacterial populations [7]. These mobilizable plasmids generally contain an origin of transfer (*oriT*), and encode a relaxase, and/or other mobilization accessory proteins, which are required to interact with the type IV secretion system (T4SS) to help with the transfer process [8, 9], although exceptions do exist (see “Results and discussion” section below). Accessory DNA binding proteins containing the ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) domain are involved in specific sequence recognition and binding near the *nic* site to help relaxase to form relaxosome and stimulate nickase function [9]. One notable example is the ColE1 plasmid, which possesses *mobC-mobA/BDE* genes and can be mobilized by conjugative plasmids of different incompatibility groups (IncF, IncP, IncI, and IncW) [10, 11]. The ColE superfamily of the mobilizable plasmids can be further divided into MOB-HEN and MOB-P subfamilies with different mobilization gene structures [8]; the classification has been further redefined in recent years and the ColE family now are grouped into the MOBp family based on their relaxases [12, 13]. Other ColE-like (“Col”) plasmids were shown to lack mobilization relaxase. These may utilize a conserved protein that shares ~50% identity to the NikA relaxase accessory protein of IncI1 plasmid R64, which is distantly related to MobC, to interact with the relaxase and T4SS of different conjugative plasmids (such as R64) to facilitate transfer [14]. MobC/MbeC and NikA on the mobilizable plasmids are RHH proteins that serve similar functions as the TraM and TraY proteins of the F conjugative system. TraM and TraY are multi-domain RHH DNA-binding proteins that bind to multiple sites within the F *oriT* and interact with other conjugative proteins and host factors. TraY and IHF (integration host factor) interact with TraI relaxase, cause DNA-bending and stimulate the nicking reaction of TraI. TraM, although not required for cleavage, was shown to be important in bringing the relaxasome complex to the mating pore by interacting with TraD coupling protein, and that TraM-DNA interaction determines plasmid specificity (for reviews, see [9, 15]).

In previous studies we identified several dozens of kanamycin resistance ColE1-like plasmids (referred to as “KanR plasmids” hereafter) from *Salmonella enterica* isolates collected by NARMS and categorized them into five different groups (A, B, C, X and Y) based on their restriction digest patterns [16, 17]. Aside from all carrying an *aph(3’)-I* gene (encoding the APH(3’)-I family aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferase), each KanR plasmid group showed distinct structure/features such as RNA I/II, mobilization genes, origins of transfer (*oriT*), and IS elements [18–20]. The *aph(3’)-I* allele on the group C/C2/C3 KanR plasmids encodes a protein differed by four residues to those of the groups A and B plasmids [16]. Plasmids pS-Kan (group C) and pSBardo-Kan (group C2) were sequenced, and the *aph(3’)-I* gene was shown to be flanked by two copies of IS903s and lack the *mobC-mobA/BD* operon typical of the ColE-like plasmids [20]. pSBardo-Kan possessed an extra copy of IS903, but is otherwise nearly identical to pS-Kan [20]. A third member (designated as C3) that showed 100% identity in the RNA I/II region and the *aph(3’)-I* gene to the C/C2 plasmids, but with differences in the restriction digest patterns, remained uncharacterized. To further understand the diversity of
these KanR plasmids, we present here the sequence analysis of the pSNC3-Kan plasmid from S. Newport strain ARS #574, and evaluation of the mobilization of four representative KanR plasmids by F and IncP conjugal transfer systems.

Materials and methods

Plasmid sequencing and analyses

Plasmid DNA from the DH5α transformant carrying the small KanR plasmid derived from S. Newport isolate ARS #574 [16] was purified using a QIAGEN Plasmid Midi kit (QIAGEN). Sanger sequencing was performed using a dye terminator reaction (BigDye terminator v. 3.1; Invitrogen) with custom primers that were designed based on previously sequenced plasmids [20], as well as pSNC3-Kan, and ran on an ABI 3730 sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were assembled and circularized using Sequencher (v. 5.0, Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI). Preliminary ORF identification and annotation was performed using NEBCutter (v.2.0; http://nc2.neb.com/NEBcutter2/; [21]). Plasmid maps were generated using MacVector (v. 15.5.4). Geneious (R11.0.3; Biomatters, Ltd.) was used for data curation and bioinformatics analysis such as amino acid translation and sequence alignment. BLAST (NCBI, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi; [22–24]) was used for sequence homology search and comparison. Identification of plasmid replicon and origin of transfer (oriT) were conducted using Plasmid-Finder v2.1 (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder/; [25]) and oriTFinder (http://bioinfo-mml.sjtu.edu.cn/oriTfinder/; [26]), respectively. Default settings were applied unless specified. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete nucleotide sequence of the KanR plasmids and other plasmids utilized MUSCLE multiple sequence alignment [v3.8.425; 27] on the EMBL-EBI website (https://www.ebi.ac.uk; [28]) using the default setting and output (Neighbor-joining tree without distance correction).

KanR plasmid mobilization experiments

Detailed information on the bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. To generate the donor strains for bi-parental mating: KanR plasmids (purified from DH5α host) were transformed into NEB5αF'Iq (carrying F'; NEB; library-efficiency competent cells) or S17-1λpir (RP4/IncP tra gene integrated into the chromosome; gift from Dr. Clay Fuqua, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN; [29]). Mating procedure was modified from that used by Brasch and Meyer [30]. Briefly, cells were grown overnight at 37˚C in LB with required antibiotic. On the day of mating, cells were sub-cultured in LB without antibiotics and grown to mid-log phase (OD600 ~0.3–0.4); cells were then washed, resuspended in LB, and spotted on a LB agar plate at 1:10 (donor: recipient) ratio. Mixtures were allowed to dry at room temperature briefly (~15 min), and then incubated at 37˚C for 60 min. Cells were collected from the mating plate, resuspended in LB, and serially diluted and plated on LB agar supplemented with antibiotics using 6×6 drop plate methods [31]. Donor strain S17-1λpir carrying KanR plasmid was paired with recipient CAG18483 (TetR) and transconjugants were selected on LB supplemented with Kan 50 μg/mL and Tet 2 μg/mL. NEB5αF'Iq donor strain carrying KanR plasmid was paired with recipient NEB10β (StrR) and transconjugants selected on LB supplemented with Kan 50 μg/mL and Str 50 μg/mL. The proportion of the transconjugants carrying mobilized KanR plasmid (KanRStrR or KanRStrR) in the population of kanamycin resistant bacteria was calculated to evaluate the mobilization capability by different tra systems. Total KanR cells include transconjugants and donors. Average and standard deviation were calculated from results of three or more experiments. For NEB5αF'Iq x NEB10β experiments, random transconjugant colonies (n = 3–8) were picked from the most diluted spots on the selective plates (without further culturing) for plasmid miniprep using QIAprep spin miniprep
kit (QIAGEN). Plasmid preps were digested with XbaI + HindIII and resolved on 1% TAE-agarose gel to verify the presence of mobilized KanR plasmids.

### Results and discussion

#### Sequence features of pSNC3-Kan

The pSNC3-Kan plasmid was determined to be 6,606 bps, 51.6% G+C. Sequence was deposited in NCBI GenBank under accession # MW030687. Plasmid features are listed in Table 2.

### Table 2. Plasmid pSNC3-Kan features.

| Feature         | Type      | Coordinate | Putative function/Notes |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| RNA I           | misc_RNA  | c129-238   | RNA II inhibitor        |
| RNA II          | misc_RNA  | 127-670    | Primer precursor        |
| oriV            | Origin    | 671-675    | Origin of replication   |
| oriT (ColE-type)| oriT      | 913-1001   | Origin of transfer; based on pEC34B |
| ron (GTG start) | ORF       | c1090-1281 | RNA I modulator protein |
| mobC            | ORF       | 1322-1669  | Mobilization protein    |
| mobA            | ORF       | 1659-3212  | Mobilization protein    |
| mobB            | ORF       | 2347-2865  | Mobilization protein    |
| mobD (GTG start)| ORF       | 2872-3105  | Mobilization protein    |
| Tn602           | Mobile element | 3543-6606  | Transposon              |
| IS903-a         | Mobile element | 3543-4599  | IS element; IS903 isoform IS602 |
| tnp-IS903-a (TTG start) | ORF     | c3599-4567 | Transposase (IS903-a)   |
| aph(3')-I       | ORF       | c4618-5433 | APH(3')-I family aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferase; KanR |
| IS903-b         | Mobile element | 5550-6606  | IS element; IS903 isoform IS602 |
| tnp-IS903-b (TTG start) | ORF     | c5606-6574 | Transposase (IS903-b)   |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268502.t002

---

For more information, please visit PLOS ONE at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268502
is comprised of RNA I/II region, \textit{rom}, \textit{mobC-mobA/BD} operon, and carried the “\textit{IS903-aph(3')-I-IS903} cassette” (Tn602; the two \textit{tnp} genes arranged in the same orientation; [32, 33]), which is an indicative feature of the group C/C2 plasmids previously reported [20].

\textit{pSNC3-Kan} was previously partially sequenced and assumed to be a variant of the group C plasmids due to their identical RNA I/II region and the \textit{aph(3')-I} gene, in addition to their similar restriction digest patterns. Yet to our surprise we found an operon of mobilization genes (\textit{mobC} and \textit{mobA/BD}), making the \textit{pSNC3-Kan} backbone more closely resemble the typical \textit{ColE}-like plasmids. Map of \textit{pSNC3-Kan} and schematic comparison to other plasmids are shown in Fig 1.
**Tn602.** The Tn602 of pSNC3-Kan was 2-bp and 1-bp different from those of the pSe-Kan and pSBardo-Kan plasmids, respectively. The two IS903 (isoform IS602) copies on pSNC3-Kan were 22-bp different from each other. The occurrence of Tn602 is relatively rare and has been found on just 3 different plasmid backbones in nature: on IncFII plasmid pDG10, B/O plasmid R805a, and these KanR plasmids [32]. Upon re-examination of the pSe-Kan sequence, we identified the 9-bp target duplications "GTGGCTAAT" flanking the Tn602 at coordinates 1–9 and 4060–4068; same target duplications were also found flanking the Tn602 on pSBardo-Kan (nt 1–9 and 5126–5134). This was also pointed out by Moran and colleagues on their analysis of the Tn602 on a large B/O plasmid R805a in S. Typhi [32]. However, there was only one such sequence in pSNC3-Kan at nt 1–9. It is conceivable that the Tn602 was acquired by a common ancestor of the group C/C2/C3 plasmids possessing a mob operon, and then a recombination event resulted in the loss of the mob genes and replaced by the 3 ORFs of unknown function with low %G+C (~35%), resulting in a pSe-Kan-like plasmid. A BLASTN search (conducted on September 9, 2019) found one small plasmid in E. coli O111:H- strain 110512 (pO111-110512_6; AP019767) carrying the same 3 unknown ORFs with 99% identity to those of pSe-Kan and pSBardo-Kan; this was the only plasmid other than the group C/C2 KanR plasmids shown to possess such sequences.

**RNA I/II and Rom.** The RNA I/II region of pSNC3-Kan (nt 127–670) is 100% identical to those of group C/C2 plasmids pSe-Kan and pSBardo-Kan, and shared 98.6% and 97% identity to pU3025 (group A) and pSN11/00Kan (group B), respectively. PlasmidFinder (v.2.1) did not identify any Col replicon using the default setting (95% identity/ 60% coverage). It was classified as a ColRNAI replicon only when the threshold settings were relaxed (89.6% to pIGMS32, coverage 125/130). Somewhat surprisingly, we found that the RNA I modulator protein (Rom) of pSNC3-Kan is more similar to that of the group B plasmid pSN11/00Kan (95.2% identity), than to the other group C/C2 plasmid pSe-Kan (61.9% identity). Alignment of Rom proteins are shown in Fig 2A. These findings agreed with the pairwise alignment that the sequence homology between pSNC3-Kan and C/C2 plasmids ends at nt 1038, about half-way between the first oriT and rom (as shown in Fig 1A).

Megablast against nr database found other plasmids with extremely high identity in the RNA I/II region, including E. coli plasmids pEC16II (99.1% identity/86.4% query coverage; KU932034; 7939-bp), and pEC34B (98.9% identity/100% query coverage; HQ622576; 6982-bp). Plasmid pEC34B from E. coli serotype O34 strain NCTC 9034 was conserved over the entire query length and well-annotated, thus was chosen for further comparison. Pairwise alignment of pSNC3-Kan against pEC34B showed homology spanning ~50% of the plasmid (>3.1-kb), from the BamHI site (nt 83–88) extending to the end of the mobA, with 95.5% overall nucleotide identity; however, pSNC3-Kan did not possess a mobE gene downstream of mobA, as was the case in pEC34B (Fig 1A).

**Mobilization proteins of pSNC3-Kan.** Mobilization protein sequences from pSNC3-Kan, pSN11/00Kan, and pEC34B were aligned in Geneious (default setting: Global alignment with free end gap, cost matrix: Blosum62). The alignments and pairwise identity of the mobilization proteins MobC, MobA, MobB and MobD are shown in Fig 2B. Accessory DNA binding proteins containing the ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) domain(s) are involved in specific sequence recognition and binding near the nic site at oriT to help relaxase to form relaxosome and stimulate nickase function (for review, see [9, 15]). MobC, the mobilization accessory protein, of pSNC3-Kan was better conserved (86.09% identity) to that of pSN11/00Kan than the MobA relaxase and the internally encoded MobB and MobD (identity of 60.71%, 43.01%, and 68.83%, respectively); much greater protein homology (~95%) was observed to those of pEC34B. The N-terminal third (~155 residues) of the MobA proteins are highly conserved between those of pSN11/00Kan and pEC34B; the conserved Y19, SF and
A. Alignment of Rom proteins

| Consensus | MTKOEKTLMAF | NOSMTI | LLEKLN | ICELIEH | DAEQG | ICELIEH | YXSLAC | FGDGXX | 1. Rom_pSNC3-Kan | 89.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 55.56% |
|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |             | -N-    | QO     | V      | -G-   | K      | -S-    | DA     | AM     | K     | EL     | E      | GN     | IQT    | EAE    | NRG    | T      | R      | SN     | NL     |
| Rom_pSNC3-Kan | 91.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 95.24% | 55.56% |

B. Alignment of mobilization proteins

MobC

| Consensus | MIPKRMRELTI | NVTDDEHALLERCEGKLAVMRRVCLGFPYARSGKLPPTAPLRLQOLAAALGNNOTARKVNSGW | 1. MobC_pSNC3-Kan | 91.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 55.56% |
|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |             | M      | V      | K      | H      | G      | O      | A      | G      | A      | G      | A      | N      | T      | E      | A      | G      | A      | N      |
| MobA

| Consensus | MIVKHARQGGGS | GSPYDVL | GLRERNREAGTVLQGPNEERELEDTAPKKTTSGLVEKEEPPGQERKMS | 1. MobA_pSNC3-Kan | 91.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 55.56% |
|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |             | M      | V      | K      | H      | G      | O      | A      | G      | A      | G      | A      | N      | T      | E      | A      | G      | A      | N      |

MobB

| Consensus | MNLLHDRG | EKKLKEAERSTMN| DFEKLSVSKAVTSNEK | 1. MobB_pSNC3-Kan | 91.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 55.56% |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |           | M      | T      | D      | A      | N      | S      | R      | E      | D      | L      | S      | L      | R      | S      | D      | R      | E      | T      | H      |

MobD

| Consensus | MTELEH | NLEQLQQYYORQAVLQK | 1. MobD_pSNC3-Kan | 91.06% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 95.24% | 96.83% | 55.56% |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |         | G      | V      | G      | O      | A      | N      | L      | AGO    | D      | FIT    | N      | L      | AGO    | D      | FIT    | N      | L      | AGO    | D      | FIT    |

Pairwise Identity

|         | MobC   | MobA   | MobB   | MobD   |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| pSNC3-Kan vs. pEC34B | 97.39% | 94.20% | 93.60% | 96.01% |
| pSNC3-Kan vs. pSN11/00Kan | 86.09% | 60.71% | 43.01% | 68.83% |
HQD-x4-ELNF relaxase motifs and residues [34] are all present in MobA_pSNC3-Kan. The low protein homology observed in the C-terminal two-thirds of the MobA, as well as MobB and MobD, between pSNC3-Kan and pSN11/00Kan strongly suggests that the \( \text{mob} \) region from these two plasmid groups were derived from different lineages.

Megablast of the \( \text{mobA} \) gene against \( \text{nr} \) database showed a considerable number of hits (94 of the 283) with >90% query coverage. The majority of those hits were in \( \text{E. coli} \), with the maximum identity of 98% (100% query coverage) to an unannotated 7,991-bp \( \text{E. coli} \) plasmid \( \text{p720632_7} \) (CP025843). The BLASTP top hits included proteins on \( \text{E. coli} \) plasmids \( \text{pEC34B} \) (96.6%; HQ622576), \( \text{pLG3} \) (AF251289; 95.4%), and \( \text{pS217} \) (NC_011799; 96.5%), although the max. identity was 100% (with 100% coverage) to proteins annotated as \( \text{E. coli} \) DNA polymerase (WP_047662141.1; KHH54811.1, KHH60013.1), or nuclease (MDL80525.1, MFR30254.1).

The fact that pSNC3-Kan shares an extensive, conserved region spanning from RNA I/II to \( \text{mobC-mobA/BD} \) with the \( \text{E. coli} \) \( \text{pEC34B} \) plasmid, as well as having higher homologies to \( \text{E. coli} \) \( \text{Mob} \) proteins than to those of other \( \text{S. enterica} \) plasmids, may suggest that these two plasmids shared a recent common ancestor, and that pSNC3-Kan (and the group C ancestral plasmid) were recently acquired by \( \text{Salmonella} \) from \( \text{E. coli} \). It is noteworthy that although the two plasmids are highly similar, the homology between pSNC3-Kan and \( \text{pEC34B} \) ended after the stop codon of \( \text{mobA} \); the \( \text{mobE} \) gene was not present on pSNC3-Kan. The location of the potential XerC/XerD binding sites of the two plasmids were not conserved.

Origin of transfer (\( \text{oriT} \)). The \( \text{oriT} \) region was predicted to be at nt 913–1001 in pSNC3-Kan based on the annotation of \( \text{pEC34B} \), although the \( \text{oriTFinder} \) identified a slightly shorter \( \text{oriT} \) at nt 912–997, with an \( H \)-value of 0.87 to that of \( \text{pEC886} \) (\( \text{oriTDB} \) accession # 100129). The \( \text{oriTFinder} \) located the \( \text{oriT} \) on another KanR plasmid \( \text{pSN11/00Kan} \) at nt 989–1071 with a higher \( H \)-value of 0.93 to \( \text{pEC34B} \) (\( \text{oriTDB} \) accession # 100130). Sequence alignment and pairwise identity of the closely-related \( \text{oriT} \) regions of the KanR plasmids (excluding \( \text{pU302S} \)), plus those of the \( \text{pEC34B} \), \( \text{pEC886} \) and \( \text{ColE1} \), are presented in Fig 3. Importantly, the putative 269-bp \( \text{oriT(F-type)} \) [labeled as “\( \text{oriT2_pSe-Kan} \)” in \( \text{oriTDB} \), accession # 100060] found in the C/C2 plasmids (nt 1522–1790) was not identified in pSNC3-Kan.

Phylogenetic analysis of KanR plasmids. Multiple sequence alignment of nucleotide sequences of the five KanR plasmids, \( \text{pKPN2} \) [35], \( \text{ColE1} \) [36], \( \text{pEC34B} \) and two other related natural plasmids carrying \( \text{aph(3')-I} \) (\( \text{NTP16} \) [37] and \( \text{pUB2380} \)) was analyzed using \text{MUSCLE} and the resulting Neighbor-joining cladogram is shown in Fig 4. Information on the plasmids used in the alignment is listed in S1 Table. Group C/C2/C3 KanR plasmids were clustered on a separate branch from the group A and B plasmids \( \text{pU302S} \) and \( \text{pSN11/00Kan} \), respectively. \( \text{pKPN2} \), which showed an extended homologous region with the backbone of group C/C2/C3 KanR plasmids, also grouped appropriately. \( \text{NTP16} \), possessing the \( \text{nikA} \) and \( \text{aph(3')-I} \) gene, clustered with the \( \text{nikA-bearing pU302S} \). \( \text{pUB2380} \), a plasmid carrying \( \text{aph(3')-I} \) and the \( \text{mob} \) region previously found in the BLASTN search of \( \text{pSN11/00Kan} \) [19], is on the same branch with \( \text{pSN11/00Kan} \). \( \text{pEC34B} \) and \( \text{ColE1} \) fell on a separate branch from the rest of the plasmids in this alignment, likely due to the differences in the cargo genes.

Plasmids are subject to continuous evolution/rearrangements: recombination, insertion/deletion, or acquiring genes from other mobile elements and chromosomes via transposons and insertion elements. This analysis is mainly to show the relatedness of the KanR plasmids, and does not necessarily imply the actual ancestry of these plasmids. The KanR plasmid
Fig 3. Comparison of oriT of pSNC3-Kan to other plasmids. (A) oriT sequence alignment. Non-identical bases are highlighted in color. Downward arrow indicates the nic site based on pEC34B annotation; the nicked strand is complementary to the sequence shown. (B) Pairwise percent identity table. Sequence labels started with “oriT” were retrieved from the oriTFinder database oriTDB and accession numbers are indicated within the square brackets in the pairwise comparison table. pSe-Kan oriT region was annotated based on the alignment to pEC34B, and is extended beyond the “oriT1_pSe-Kan” in oriTDB (shown as light blue box under the sequence). ColE1 sequence was extended to the same span as that of “oriT_pEC34B”; the “oriT_ColE1” in oriTDB is shown as light blue box under the sequence.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268502.g003

Fig 4. Cladogram of KanR plasmids and other plasmids. Neighbor-joining tree (without distance corrections) generated from multiple sequence alignment using MUSCLE. Plasmid name is followed by GenBank accession number (nucleotide sequence); branch length is indicated within the parentheses. Relevant information on the plasmids used in the alignment is listed in the S1 Table.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268502.g004
grouping based on the restriction patterns corresponded well with the sequence comparison and phylogenetic relationship. The extremely high sequence identity of the three group C/C2/C3 plasmids strongly suggests that the divergence of these plasmids was a relatively recent event. On the other hand, these plasmids were distributed in several *S. enterica* serovars including Typhimurium (pSe-Kan), Bardo (pSBardo-Kan), Newport (pSNC3-Kan and pSe-Kan), Orion (pSe-Kan) and Brandenburg (pSNC3-Kan), isolated from cattle, dairy cattle or turkey [16, 17]. Although pSBardo-Kan was only isolated once, pSe-Kan and pSNC3-Kan were isolated multiple times from more than one serovar and different animal source, implicating the spread of these plasmids within *S. enterica*.

**Mobilization of KanR plasmids by *tra* genes of F and IncP plasmids**

Mobilization of four representative KanR plasmids by the conjugal transfer (*tra*) genes derived from the F and IncP plasmid was evaluated using bi-parental mating. Many of the available IncP plasmids carried kanamycin resistance genes and thus could not be utilized. After an extensive search we opted to use the readily available, well-established S17-1λpir strain to supply the required IncP *tra* genes. S17-1λpir has the RP4-2 (*Km*:Tn7, *Tc*:Mu-1) plasmid integrated into the chromosome and is susceptible to Kan, Tet and Amp; the strain is commonly used to mobilize shuttle vectors via bi-parental mating [29]. Conjugal transfer genes of the F plasmid were supplied by the F’ plasmid in NEB5αF'Iq. Results of the plasmid mobilization experiments are presented in Table 3. The S17-1λpir donor-only controls showed ~2-fold increase after the 60-min incubation period, while NEB5αF'Iq donor-only control remained about the same (0.8- to 1.2-fold change). When no plasmid transfer occurred (indicated as “<1×10^-7” in Table 3), the total Kan-resistant counts were the same as the donor-only control counts. We evaluated the mobilization results based on the number of transconjugants in total kanamycin-resistant cells to simplify the experimental planning/logistics. This approach makes sense from the population perspective since the goal is to assess the contribution of plasmid transfer influencing the total antibiotic resistant population.

**Mobilization by IncP *tra* genes.** KanR plasmids possessing the CoE-type *oriT* and *mob* operons (pSN11/00Kan and pSNC3-Kan) were mobilized readily by the IncP *tra* genes, with

| KanR plasmid | Donor × Recipient | S17-1λpir | NEB5αF'Iq |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| pU302S (A)   | nikA; *oriT*(pEC34A-type) | <1×10^-7 | 1.47×10^-6 ± 1.55×10^-6 |
| pSN11/00Kan (B) | *mobC-mobA/BD*; *oriT*(CoE-type) | 0.782 ± 0.099 | <1×10^-7 |
| pSe-Kan (C) | No known *mob* operon; *oriT*(CoE-type), *oriT*(F-type) | <1×10^-7 | 0.687 ± 0.204 |
| pSNC3-Kan (C3) | *mobC-mobA/BD*; *oriT*(CoE-type) | 0.690 ± 0.098 | 0.479 ± 0.522^# |

^*, *mobC-mobA/BD* of pSNC3-Kan are different from those of pSN11/00Kan.
^", no transconjugant was observed
^# average and standard deviation from 4 separate experiments (0.941, 0.922, 0.0373, 0.0172).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268502.t003
over two-thirds of the Kan-resistant cells carrying mobilized KanR plasmid (Table 3). IncP tra genes could not mobilize pSe-Kan or pU302S, both without a mob operon. Although plasmids pSe-Kan and pSNC3-Kan share similar oriT (ColE-like) regions, pSe-Kan lacks the mob operon and no other mob genes were present in the donor cells, thus confirming that mobilization of these ColE-like plasmids by IncP tra genes require the mobilization accessory genes and a cis-acting oriT.

**Mobilization by F tra genes.** F tra genes mobilized plasmids pSNC3-Kan and pSe-Kan efficiently, but mobilization of pU302S occurred very infrequently (Table 3). High variability between experiments was observed for all 3 mobilizable KanR plasmids by F', particularly for the pSNC3-Kan dataset which varied by as much as 55-fold (individual results were listed in the footnote of Table 3). F plasmid transfer was shown to be highly regulated and very sensitive to cell growth stage and environmental conditions- the transfer efficiency quickly declined after mid-exponential phase [38]. Although extra care was exercised to keep the OD600 of cultures between 0.3 and 0.5 when culturing the donor cells, we were not able to get maximal mobilization efficiency consistently.

ColE1 derivatives can be mobilized by various conjugative plasmids, especially by IncFI (F’lac pro) very efficiently; this requires the presence of the cis-acting oriT (bom) region and mobilization proteins on the same or other complementing plasmids [10]. The fact that pSe-Kan lacked a mob operon but was still mobilizable by F tra genes, suggests that the oriT(F) may be sufficient to facilitate the binding of the F conjugal transfer proteins without the assistance of other mobilization relaxase and accessory proteins- a scenario of "relaxase-in-trans" [39]. The oriT(F) of pSe-Kan is ~90% identical to the F oriT (U01159.2; [40]). The alignment and the binding sites of TraM, TraY, and the Integration host factor (IHF) are shown in Fig 5. The F TraY accessory protein causes DNA bending when bound to sby sites and stimulates the relaxase activity of TraI at the nic site; IHF-mediated DNA bending also alters the ability of relaxases to melt and cleave DNA. While TraM is not required for cleavage, it is important for conjugation, likely through interaction with the TraD coupling protein to move the relaxosome to the conjugative pore [9, 15, 41]. The two high affinity TraM binding sites sbmA and sbmB assist and stabilize TraM binding to the lowest affinity site sbmC, which is critical for conjugation [41]. Hydroxyl radical footprinting [41] showed two TraM dimer footprints centered at the ACAACA sequence between the inverted repeats at sbmC, where the least conserved region between pSe-Kan and F is located. Although there was no sequence conservation at the sbmC contact sites [41], the significance of these mismatches cannot be ascertained without further research. The oriT(F) of pSe-Kan is 100% identical to another small plasmid pKPN2, which also lacks mob genes (AF300473; [36]). pKPN2 shared high sequence identity (95%) with pSe-Kan in the ~2-kb region (nt 81–2039) spanning from RNA I/II to cer, which includes the identical oriT(F) region, and 1-bp mismatch in oriT1(ColE-type) (alignment shown in S1 Fig). pKPN2 was shown to be mobilized by F'(lac-gal) plasmid with high efficiency [36]. When we examined the plasmid minipreps from the transconjugants, the mobilized KanR plasmids were observed in all the plasmid preps. Taken together the highly conserved sequence and similar mobilization results of pKPN2, we think that this further supports our finding that the pSe-Kan plasmid mobilization by F tra genes does not require the plasmid-borne mobilization proteins. However, the possibility that pSe-Kan might form cointegrates with the F’ plasmid cannot be completely ruled out.

On the other hand, the failure to mobilize pSN11/00Kan by F tra genes was somewhat puzzling, given that the plasmid possesses a highly conserved oriT to ColE1 (Fig 3) and moderately conserved mobC-mobA/BD operon to those of pSNC3-Kan (Fig 2). The nic site within oriT, the relaxase, and accessory proteins all contribute to the transfer specificity [9]. It is possible that the interaction between the pSN11/00Kan mobilization proteins, oriT, and the F conjugal
transfer proteins was not optimal, and may favor other conjugative plasmids such as IncP (see above) or IncI. Further in-depth studies are needed to reveal the precise molecular mechanism(s) involved in the observed differences in mobilization of different KanR plasmids by the \textit{tra} genes of different conjugative plasmids.

Mobilization of pU302S (group A) by F' was only detected at a very low occurrence. pU302S was present in all the plasmid preps from the transconjugants. It is unlikely that coinTEGRates were formed between pU302S and F', since a higher transfer efficiency would have been expected. The 108-bp \textit{oriT} sequence on pU302S is that of the pEC34A-type (\textit{oriT}DB accession #100131; H-value = 0.97), shared \textasciitilde78\% identity to the \textit{oriT} of IncI1 plasmid R64 but with longer (20-bp) inverted repeats [14]. There was no significant similarity found to the F \textit{oriT} using BLASTN (Expect value 0.05). Moran and Hall analyzed pCERC7 and related \textit{nikA}-/bearing small plasmids, including pU302S and NTP16, and showed that all carried identical \textit{NikA} (53\% identity to that of R64), and extremely conserved \textit{oriT} (up to 3 mismatches); none of these plasmids carried the \textit{NikB} relaxase homolog [14]. \textit{NikA} protein is expected to bind to the inverted repeats in the \textit{oriT} and interact with the relaxase of an I-complex plasmid to initiate transfer [14]. NTP16 was the only plasmid in this \textit{nikA}-/bearing family that has been shown to be mobilized by R64 [14, 37], suggesting that its \textit{NikA} can interact with the relaxase of R64. The homology of \textit{NikA} and \textit{oriT} of this \textit{nikA}-/bearing plasmid family to the IncI1 system is moderate, it is plausible that another yet-to-be-identified conjugative plasmid group may interact with this plasmid family more efficiently. Alternatively, the system may have evolved

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig5}
\caption{Alignment of \textit{oriT}(F) of pSe-Kan to F. Relasex cleavage site, nic, is marked by an upward arrow; the nicked strand is complementary to the sequence shown. IHF binding sites (A and B) are shown as lines above the alignment. TraM binding sites are marked by a dashed line with arrow. SbmA/B/C, TraM binding sites; sbyA/sbyC, TraY binding sites. Sites were marked based on [40, 41].}
\end{figure}
to be more flexible to work with multiple conjugative systems. Mobilization of this nikA-bearing family by F has never been reported. Although the structure of the pU302S/NTP16 NikA protein has not been determined, it is reasonable to assume that it retains the highly conserved RHH domain structure of NikA_R64 and MbeC_ColE1, as shown by Varsaki and colleagues [34]. Since MbeC_ColE1 can interact with many different conjugative T4SS including those of F and I, based on our experimental findings we speculate that NikA_pU302S may interact with the conjugative system of F, albeit less efficiently, to initiate transfer. To our knowledge this is the first report of a plasmid bearing nikA to be mobilized by F tra genes. Since F plasmid transfer is sensitive to growth stage, the log phase cultures utilized in our mating experiments may have played a role in optimizing these inefficient mobilization events.

Discussion

Although the original S. Newport isolate ARS# 574 was shown to carry additional IncA/C and I1 replicons and no co-resident IncF or P plasmids [16], other KanR plasmids came from S. enterica isolates carrying IncFI/FII, P, I1, or other replicons [16, 18–20, 42]. We recently isolated and sequenced several conjugative plasmids belonging to the IncI1, X1 and X4 groups that were able to mobilize at least some of the KanR plasmids using a qualitative tri-parental mating assay [43]. Although not reported in that study, pSNC3-Kan was mobilizable by all the conjugative IncI1, X1 and X4 plasmids identified, in a pattern similar to that of pSN11/00Kan; on the other hand, pU302S and pSe-Kan were mobilizable only by IncI1 plasmids, but not by IncX1 or X4 plasmids [43]. No IncA/C plasmid was identified in the same study [43]. Other attempts to acquire or isolate IncA/C plasmids capable of mobilizing KanR plasmids were also unsuccessful because all available IncA/C plasmids or strains were also resistant to kanamycin.

Ares-Arroyo and colleagues have shown that 74% of the Enterobacteriaceae isolates tested carried at least one CoIE-like plasmid using a PCR-based system for detection and capture of plasmid sequences [44]. Cohen and colleagues [45] detected small plasmids (2.2 to 6 kb) in 17 out of 19 MDR S. enterica poultry isolates of a variety of serovars in Israel; some of these also carried a co-resident IncI1 or X1 conjugative plasmid. Among these, a Newport isolate was shown to harbor 3 small plasmids (2.2, 3.2, and 6 kb) and a 44.6-kb IncX1 plasmid; however, mobilization of these small plasmids was not tested [45]. A recent study by Oliva and colleagues [46] showed that two small CoIE-like plasmids in a S. Typhimurium MDR strain ST1030 were co-transferred with the large IncI1 conjugative plasmid pST1030-1A at very high frequencies: pST1030-2A (possessed mobC-mobABDE) was found in 92% of the transconjugants and ~56% of the transconjugants harbored both small plasmids pST1030-2A and pST1030-3 (which possessed an orphan mob-associated oriT) [39, 46]. Another example of “relaxase-in-trans” was reported by Moran and Hall [47]: a 1982-bp cryptic rolling-circle plasmid, pBuzz, which carried two copies of oriT’s but without the relaxase or other accessory genes, was mobilized by the co-resident B/O plasmid with high efficiency; other related rolling-circle plasmids may also carry diverse oriTs of different Inc types [47]. These studies, as well as ours, showed that numerous variations exist in the mobilization mechanisms/strategies employed by the small plasmids, many of which do not require a plasmid-borne mobilization relaxase protein. We concur with the notion that the approach using relaxase alone to predict potential plasmid transferability is insufficient, and underestimates the influence of small plasmids in horizontal gene transfer [39, 47].

Concluding remarks

Here we demonstrated that CoIE-like KanR plasmids can be mobilized with varying efficiency by conjugative plasmids F or IncP in E. coli. Plasmid pSNC3-Kan was the only KanR plasmid
that can be mobilized by both F and IncP tra genes. We showed that the F conjugative system is capable of mobilizing pSe-Kan which lacks the mobilizable plasmid-borne relaxase or accessory proteins, likely through the interaction with oriT(F). We also reported the first known case of nikA-bearing plasmid mobilized by F (at low efficiency). However, without mechanistic proof we can only speculate that the NikA and oriT of pU302S may be able to interact with the F tra genes. These naturally occurring kanamycin resistance plasmids with different mobilization accessory proteins and oriT regions are valuable resources in providing insights on how small plasmids are mobilized by different conjugative plasmids. Although studies on the identification and sequencing of small plasmids have increased significantly due to a large number of whole genome sequencing projects, comprehensive annotation of the small plasmids is often lacking. Research on the capability and mechanisms of small plasmid mobilization is still extremely limited and deserves more attention.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Plasmid information used in the multiple sequence alignment of Fig 4. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Sequence alignment of pSe-Kan and pKPN2. Plasmid sequences were aligned using BLASTN (Align two or more sequences). Multiple sequence alignment viewer image was shown below the alignment. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Elizabeth McMillan and Jonathan Frye for helpful discussion on the plasmid analyses, and Dr. David Needleman (retired) at the sequencing core facility for the operation of ABI 3730 instrument.

The findings and conclusions in this publication are those of the author(s) and should not be construed to represent any official USDA or U.S. Government determination or policy. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Chin-Yi Chen.
Data curation: Chin-Yi Chen.
Formal analysis: Chin-Yi Chen.
Investigation: Chin-Yi Chen, Ly-Huong T. Nguyen, Terence P. Strobaugh, Jr.
Methodology: Chin-Yi Chen, Ly-Huong T. Nguyen, Terence P. Strobaugh, Jr.
Project administration: Chin-Yi Chen.
Supervision: Chin-Yi Chen.
Visualization: Chin-Yi Chen.
Writing – original draft: Chin-Yi Chen, Ly-Huong T. Nguyen.
Writing – review & editing: Chin-Yi Chen, Ly-Huong T. Nguyen, Terence P. Strobaugh, Jr.
References

1. Dewey-Mattia D, Manikonda K, Hall AJ, Wise ME, Crowe SJ. Surveillance for foodborne disease outbreaks—United States, 2009–2015. MMWR Surveill Summ 2018; 67(No. SS-10):1–11. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6710a1 PMID: 30048426

2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National enteric disease surveillance: Salmonella annual report. 2016. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nationalsurveillance/pdfs/2016-Salmonella-report-508.pdf

3. Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson M, Roy SL, et al. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States—Major pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011; 17(1):7–15. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1701.p11101 PMID: 21192848

4. FDA. The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System. 2016 [Accessed May 26, 2017]. Available from: The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System | FDA (www.fda.gov/animal-veterinary/antimicrobial-resistance/national-antimicrobial-resistance-monitoring-system)

5. Crim SM, Chai SJ, Karp BE, Judd MC, Reynolds J, Swanson KC, et al. Salmonella enterica serotype Newport infections in the United States, 2004–2013: Increased incidence investigated through four surveillance systems. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2018; 15(10):612–620. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2018.2450 PMID: 30036085; PMCID: PMC6263033.

6. Hawkey PM. The origins and molecular basis of antibiotic resistance. Brit Med J. 1998; 317(7159):657–660. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7159.657 PMID: 9727999

7. Garcillán-Barcia MP, Francia MV, de la Cruz F. The diversity of conjugative relaxases and its application in plasmid classification. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2009; 33(3):657–687. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00168.x PMID: 19396961.

8. Francia MV, Varsaki A, Garcillán-Barcia MP, Latorre A, Drainas C, de la Cruz F. A classification scheme for mobilization regions of bacterial plasmids. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2004; 28(1):79–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2003.09.001 PMID: 14975531

9. Zechner EL, Moncalián G, de la Cruz F. Relaxases and Plasmid Transfer in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2017; 413:93–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75241-9_4 PMID: 29536356.

10. Finnegan J, Sherratt D. Plasmid ColE1 conjugal mobility: the nature of bom, a region required in cis for transfer. Mol Gen Genet. 1982; 185(2):344–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00330810 PMID: 7045589.

11. Dery KJ, Chavideh R, Waters V, Chamorro R, Tolmasky LS, Tolmasky ME. Characterization of the replication and mobilization regions of the multiresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae plasmid pJHCMW1. Plasmid. 1997; 38(2):97–105. https://doi.org/10.1006/plas.1997.1303 PMID: 933947.

12. Alvarado A, Garcillán-Barcia MP, de la Cruz F. A degenerate primer MOB typing (DPMT) method to classify gamma-proteobacterial plasmids in clinical and environmental settings. PLoS One. 2012; 7(7):e40438. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040438 Epub 2012 Jul 11. PMID: 22792321; PMCID: PMC3394729.

13. Garcillán-Barcia MP, Ruiz del Castillo B, Alvarado A, de la Cruz F, Martínez-Martínez L. Degenerate primer MOB typing of multiresistant clinical isolates of E. coli uncovers new plasmid backbones. Plasmid. 2015; 77:17–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2014.11.003 Epub 2014 Nov 22. PMID: 25463772.

14. Moran RA, Hall RM. Analysis of pCERC7, a small antibiotic resistance plasmid from a commensal ST131 Escherichia coli, defines a diverse group of plasmids that include various segments adjacent to a multimer resolution site and encode the same NikA relaxase accessory protein enabling mobilization. Plasmid. 2017; 89:42–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.11.001 Epub 2016 Nov 5. PMID: 27826018.

15. Wong JJW, Lu J, Glover JNM. Relaxosome function and conjugation regulation in F-like plasmids—a structural biology perspective. Mol Microbiol. 2012; 85:602–617. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08131.x PMID: 22788760

16. Chen CY, Lindsey RL, Strobaugh TP Jr, Frye JG, Meinersmann RJ. Prevalence of ColE1-like plasmids and kanamycin resistance genes in Salmonella enterica serovars. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010; 76(20):6707–14. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00692-10 Epub 2010 Aug 6. PMID: 20693446; PMCID: PMC2953026.

17. Chen CY, Strobaugh TP Jr, Nguyen LT, Abley M, Lindsey RL, Jackson CR. Isolation and characterization of two novel groups of kanamycin-resistance ColE1-like plasmids in Salmonella enterica serotypes from food animals. PLoS One. 2018; 13(3):e0193435. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193435 PMID: 29513730; PMCID: PMC5841774.

18. Chen CY, Nace GW, Solow B, Fratamico P. Complete nucleotide sequences of 84.5- and 3.2-kb plasmids in the multi-antibiotic resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium U302 strain GB430.
26. Li X, Xie Y, Liu M, Tai C, Sun J, Deng Z, et al. oriTfinder: a web-based tool for the identification of origin.

27. Brasch MA, Meyer RJ. Genetic organization of plasmid R1162 DNA involved in conjugal mobilization.

28. Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, et al. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.

29. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

30. Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences.

31. Chen CY, Nace GW, Irwin PL. A 6 x 6 drop plate method for simultaneous colony counting and MPN enumeration of Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogenes, and Escherichia coli.

32. Moran RA, Richardson IA, Hall RM. Analysis of two B/O plasmids, R805a from 1972 and pCERC6 from 2008, reveals extensive mosaicism in B/O plasmid backbones.

33. Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A. A broad-host range mobilization system for genetic engineering:

34. Brusch MA, Meyer RJ. Genetic organization of plasmid R1162 DNA involved in conjugal mobilization.

35. Chen CY, Frye JG. Characterization of small ColE1-like plasmids conferring kanamycin resistance in Salmonella enterica serovars Typhimurium and Newport.

36. Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences.

37. Zakharova MV, Beletskaya IV, Denjumukhметov MM, Yurkova TV, Semenova LM, Shlyapnikov MG, et al. Characterization of pECL18 and pKPN2: a proposed pathway for the evolution of two plasmids that carry identical genes for a Type II restriction-modification system.

38. Vincze T, Posfai J, Roberts RJ. NEBcutter: A program to cleave DNA with restriction enzymes.

39. Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A. A broad-host range mobilization system for genetic engineering:

40. Chen CY, Frye JG. Characterization of small ColE1-like plasmids conferring kanamycin resistance in Salmonella enterica serovars.

41. Lambert CM, Hyde H, Strike P. Conjugal mobility of the multicopy plasmids NTP1 and NTP16.

42. Vincze T, Posfai J, Roberts RJ. NEBcutter: A program to cleave DNA with restriction enzymes.

43. Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A. A broad-host range mobilization system for genetic engineering:

44. Chen CY, Nace GW, Irwin PL. A 6 x 6 drop plate method for simultaneous colony counting and MPN enumeration of Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogenes, and Escherichia coli.

45. Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A. A broad-host range mobilization system for genetic engineering:

46. Chen CY, Nace GW, Irwin PL. A 6 x 6 drop plate method for simultaneous colony counting and MPN enumeration of Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogenes, and Escherichia coli.

47. Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A. A broad-host range mobilization system for genetic engineering:

48. Chen CY, Frye JG. Characterization of small ColE1-like plasmids conferring kanamycin resistance in Salmonella enterica serovars.

49. Lambert CM, Hyde H, Strike P. Conjugal mobility of the multicopy plasmids NTP1 and NTP16.

50. Lambert CM, Hyde H, Strike P. Conjugal mobility of the multicopy plasmids NTP1 and NTP16.
38. Frost LS, Manchak J. F-phenocopies: characterization of expression of the F transfer region in stationary phase. Microbiology. 1998; 144(9):2579–2587. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-144-9-2579 PMID: 9782507
39. Ramsay JP, Firth N. Diverse mobilization strategies facilitate transfer of non-conjugative mobile genetic elements. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2017; 38:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2017.03.003 Epub 2017 Apr 6. PMID: 28391142.
40. Frost LS, Ippen-Ihler K, Skurray RA. Analysis of the sequence and gene products of the transfer region of the F sex factor. Microbiol Rev. 1994; 58(2):162–210. https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.58.2.162-210.1994 PMID: 7915817; PMCID: PMC372961.
41. Fekete RA, Frost LS. Characterizing the DNA contacts and cooperative binding of F plasmid TraM to its cognate sites at oriT. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(19):16705–11. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111682200 Epub 2002 Mar 1. PMID: 11875064.
42. Lindsey RL, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Frye JG, Meinersmann RJ. Inc A/C plasmids are prevalent in multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica isolates. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75(7):1908–15. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02228-08 Epub 2009 Jan 30. PMID: 19181840; PMCID: PMC2663206.
43. McMillan EA, Nguyen L-HT, Hiott LM, Sharma P, Jackson CR, Frye JG, et al. Genomic comparison of conjugal plasmids from Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli encoding beta-lactamases and capable of mobilizing kanamycin resistance Col-like plasmids. Microorganisms. 2021; 9(11):2205. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9112205 PMID: 34835331.
44. Ares-Arroyo M, Bernabe-Balas C, Santos-Lopez A, Baquero MR, Prasad KN, Cid D, et al. PCR-based analysis of ColE1 plasmids in clinical isolates and metagenomic samples reveals their importance as gene capture platforms. Front Microbiol. 2018; 9:469. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00469 PMID: 29615998; PMCID: PMC5864857.
45. Cohen E, Davidovich M, Rokney A, Valinsky L, Rahav G, Gal-Mor O. Emergence of new variants of antibiotic resistance genomic islands among multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica in poultry. Environ Microbiol. 2020; 22(1):413–432. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14858 Epub 2019 Nov 25. PMID: 31715658.
46. Oliva M, Calia C, Ferrara M, D’Addabbo P, Scracia M, Mulè G, et al. Antimicrobial resistance gene shuffling and a three-element mobilization system in the monophasic Salmonella typhimurium strain ST1030. Plasmid. 2020; 111:102532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2020.102532 Epub 2020 Aug 25. PMID: 32853586.
47. Moran RA, Hall RM, pBuzz: A cryptic rolling-circle plasmid from a commensal Escherichia coli has two inversely oriented oriTs and is mobilised by a B/O plasmid. Plasmid. 2019; 101:10–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2018.11.001 Epub 2018 Nov 22. PMID: 30468749.