Hypomethylation of the cyclin D1 promoter in hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract
The hypomethylation of the Cyclin D1 (CCND1) promoter induced by excess oxidative stress likely promotes the development of hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma (HBV-HCC). We aimed to evaluate methylation status of the CCND1 promoter as a new plasma marker for the detection of HBV-HCC.

We consecutively recruited 191 participants, including 105 patients with HBV-HCC, 54 patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB), and 32 healthy controls (HCs). Using methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction, we identified the methylation status of the CCND1 promoter in plasma samples. We analyzed the expression levels of the CCND1 mRNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells by using quantitative real-time PCR. We assessed the plasma levels of superoxide dismutase, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and malondialdehyde by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

Patients with HBV-HCC (23.81%) presented a reduced methylation frequency compared with patients with CHB (64.81%) or HCs (78.13%) (P < .001). When receiver operating characteristic curves were plotted for patients with HBV-HCC versus CHB, the methylation status of the CCND1 promoter yielded diagnostic parameter values for the area under the curve of 0.705, sensitivity of 76.19%, and specificity of 64.81%, thus outperforming serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), which had an area under the curve of 0.531, sensitivity of 36.19%, and specificity of 90.74%. Methylation of the CCND1 promoter represents a prospective diagnostic marker for patients with AFP-negative HBV-HCC and AFP-positive CHB. The expression levels of CCND1 mRNA was increased in patients with HBV-HCC compared with patients with CHB (Z = -4.946, P < .001) and HCs (Z = -6.819, P < .001). Both the extent of oxidative injury and antioxidant capacity indicated by the superoxide dismutase, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and malondialdehyde levels were increased in patients with HBV-HCC. Clinical follow up of patients with HBV-HCC revealed a worse overall survival (P = .012, log-rank test) and a decreased progression-free survival (HR = 0.109, 95%CI: 0.031-0.384) for the unmethylated CCND1 group than methylated CCND1 group.

Our study confirms that oxidative stress appears to correlate with plasma levels of CCND1 promoter methylation, and the methylation status of the CCND1 promoter represents a prospective biomarker with better diagnostic performance than serum AFP levels.

Abbreviations: 8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, AFP = alpha-fetoprotein, ALB = albumin, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, AUC = area under the curve, CHB = chronic hepatitis B, DNMTs = DNA methyltransferases, ELISAs = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, HBsAg = hepatitis B surface antigen, HBV-HCC = hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma, HR = hazard ratio, MDA = malondialdehyde, MSP = methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction, NPV = negative predictive value, OH = hydroxyl radical, OS = overall survival, PBMCs = peripheral blood mononuclear cells, PFS = progression-free survival, PPV = positive predictive value, PTA = prothrombin time activity, PT-INT = international normalized ratio of prothrombin time, ROC = receiver operating characteristic, ROS = reactive oxygen species, RT-qPCR = reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, SOD = superoxide dismutase, TBIL = total bilirubin.
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1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a main type of liver cancer, ranking in the sixth most prevalent human cancers and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths globally. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) remains the dominant risk factor for HCC in the most of Asia-Pacific countries, especially in China. Aberrant DNA methylation potentially leads to development of HCC, which is a crucial epigenetic modification performed by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs). During catalysis of methyltransferase, a methyl group is selectively added to cytosines present in CG nucleotides of DNA to form 5-methylcytosine.

Currently, the epigenetic alterations of certain genes have been demonstrated to be potential biomarkers for cancerous and inflammatory diseases. As we know, cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and cyclin dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs) are essential for the tumorigenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. The promoter of cyclin D2 (CCND2) gene was frequently hypermethylated and the subsequent down regulation of the cyclin D2 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma was associated with early intrahepatic recurrence after 1 year of curative hepatectomy. In addition, the promoters of the cyclin a1 (CCNA1) and cyclin-dependent kinase-like 2 (CDKL2) gene were found to be hypermethylated in hepatocellular cancerous tissue compared with normal liver tissue. The p15INK4B and p16INK4A are the inhibitors of cell cycle gene and the promoters of these two genes were demonstrated to display various methylation frequency ranging from about 82% in hepatocellular carcinoma to 33%-39% in liver cirrhosis. As a member of the cyclin G1 genes family, the cyclin D1 (CCND1) gene regulates the G1/S phase transition in the tumorigenesis. The methylation signature of CCND1 gene has been reported to contribute to the oncogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the methylation for the promoter of cyclin D1 (CCND1) gene and its clinical significance in hepatocellular carcinoma have not been completed elucidated up to date. In the past few decades, significant advances in the fields of circulating tumor DNA, which is regarded as a breakthrough in medical research, have been achieved and created a renewed interest in cell-free DNA methylation in the plasma of patients as a potential surveillance or diagnostics strategy for illnesses that are less likely to have a cure. The main aim of our present study was to investigate potential value of the cell-free CCND1 promoter methylation as the biomarker for the diagnosis of HBV-HCC.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) mainly include superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and the hydroxyl radical and induce DNA damage, genomic instability as well as accelerate nearby cancer cells’ genetic evolution towards states of heightened malignancy. Cancer cells are particularly vulnerable to high levels of oxidative stress caused by increased generations of ROS, or an imbalance between oxidative stress and antioxidant in vivo. Several tumor cell lines constitutively produce substantial amounts of hydrogen peroxide; consequently, excess oxidative stress potentially strengthens tumorous behavior. Treatments targeting transketolase (TKT) increase oxidative stress, enabling cancer cells to become immune to therapeutic treatment; nevertheless, TKT knockdown leads to an increase in ROS production, indicating that oxidative stress homeostasis is a critical determinant of neoplasm development. Previous report has demonstrated that the oxidative stress promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression, and our previous study also supported this finding. In vitro experiments showed that oxidative stress-induced DNA damage cause substantial DNA hypomethylation. Therefore, we postulate that oxidative stress might be a prerequisite for global hypomethylation of the CCND1 promoter in HBV-HCC.

Our present study aimed to investigate the methylation pattern of the CCND1 promoter in plasma from HBV-HCC patients, and to determine the potential role of CCND1 gene promoter methylation as biomarker for the patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. In the present study, methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (MSP) was used for the detection of plasma levels of CCND1 promoter methylation. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction was used for determining the expression of the CCND1 mRNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). The plasma parameters for oxidative stress were assessed by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

In our study, participants were recruited from May 2016 to July 2018 at the Department of Hepatology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University including 105 patients with HBV-HCC, 54 patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) and 32 healthy controls. The present study complied with the moral principles of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Local Research and Ethics Committee at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University; along with written informed consent was provided by all subjects.

Patients were diagnosed with HBV-HCC based on the findings from ultrasound, enhanced computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) serology and needle biopsy of liver, and the diagnosis was confirmed according to the 2018 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. The main eligibility and exclusion criteria of participants were formulated (Figure 1). The following inclusion criteria were set:

(1) patients >18 years old;
(2) patients with measurable, histologically proven hepatocellular carcinoma;
(3) patients with the clear history of chronic HBV infection.

The following exclusion criteria were set:

(1) age >80 years;
(2) metastatic disease;
(3) patients with a history of other tumors;
(4) coinfection with hepatitis virus other than HBV or autoimmune hepatitis (AIH);
(5) patients with drug-induced liver injury (DILI);
(6) patients with alcoholic liver disease (ALD) or non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD);
(7) patients previously received surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Patients with HBV-HCC received surgical resection, trans arterial chemoembolization (TACE), radiofrequency ablation (RFA), microwave ablation (MWA), and chemotherapy. Diagnostic criteria for CHB were established based on the presence of hepatitis B surface antigen for at least 6 months in
accordance with the 2018 update of the AASLD Hepatitis B Guidance on Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of CHB.[28] HCs were subjects who were serologically negative for hepatitis viruses, had no history of malignancies, and had no history of surgery.

2.2. Sample collections

Five milliliters of peripheral venous blood were collected from every subject after an 8-hour fast; the blood was collected in a tube containing the anticoagulant ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. The samples were then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature. Supernatants (plasma) were immediately transferred into clean microcentrifuge tube (2 mL per tube) using Pasteur pipettes and stored at -80°C until use. PBMCs were isolated by gradient centrifugation on Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia Diagnosis, Uppsala, Sweden), and well preserved at -20°C.

2.3. Clinicopathological data collection

Considering the indexes that reflect the metabolic state and liver injury, easily and readily available variables were retrieved from the patients’ medical records, including age, gender, AFP levels, presence of an HBV infection, tumor size, vascular invasion or metastasis, TNM stage, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels, international normalized ratio of prothrombin time (PT-INR), prothrombin time activity, albumin (ALB) levels, total bilirubin (TBIL) levels. All these parameters were measured using standard laboratory methods in the Department of Laboratory Medicine, Qilu Hospital, Shandong University.

2.4. DNA extraction and sodium bisulfite modification

Genomic DNA was extracted from 400 μl of plasma, by using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer’s the protocol. Then, for optimal results, bisulfite conversion was performed using 500 ng of genomic DNA, and the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA). Consequently, unmethylated cytosines were converted to uracil while methylated cytosines remained unchanged during the treatment. A final volume of 20 μL of modified DNA was obtained, and was either used for immediate analysis or stored at or below -20°C until use.

2.5. MSP

Methylation-specific PCR was subsequently performed to examine the methylation status of the CCND1 promoter. For PCR, the amount of input bisulfite-modified DNA was a 1-microliter aliquot, and primers specific for methylated or unmethylated sequences were added. The primers were designed by the MethPrimer software (http://www.urogene.org/meth primer/) to generate a 146 bp PCR product, and the following

![Figure 1. Flowchart of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. HBV- HCC = hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma, CHB = chronic hepatitis B, HCs = healthy controls.](image-url)
sequences were: methylated CCND1, 5'-GTTAAGGTAG-GAAGGTAGCTCG-3' (forward) and 5'-AAATTTCACTTAA-CATACGCTCG-3' (reverse); and unmethylated CCND1, 5'-GTTAAGGTAGGAAGGTAGCTTGAG-3' (forward) and 5'-AAATTTCACTTAACTACTACATCTC-3' (reverse). The reaction mixture was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and included 2 μL of modified-DNA, 0.5 μL of each primer, 10.5 μL of nuclease-free water, 12.5 μL of Premix Taq (Zymo Research), in a total volume of 16 μL. MSP was performed at 95 °C (10 min), followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C (30 s), annealing at 61 °C (30 s), and extension at 72 °C (30 s), and then cooled at 4 °C. The PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels at 130 volts for 30 min, stained with Gel Red (Biotium, California), and visualized under UV illumination. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Details were omitted here.

2.6. RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from PBMCs by using the phenol-chloroform-isopropanol method, and converted into complementary DNA (cDNA) with first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Quantitative real-time PCR was utilized to evaluate the expression of the CCND1 mRNA in each group. The gyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene served as a housekeeping gene for normalization. The amplification system and program for quantitative real-time PCR were optimized. The reactants were mixed in a total volume of 10 μL, which contained 4.1 μL of nuclease-free water, 5 μL of SYBR Green, 0.2 μL of each primer, and 0.5 μL of cDNA, and reacted, under the following conditions: hot-start at 95 °C (10 min), followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C (30 s), annealing at 58 °C (30 s) and extension at 72 °C (30 s). The sequences of primers used for qPCR analysis were described in a previous study:[23]CCND1, 5'-CCGGAGGAGAACAAACAGATCATG-3' (forward) and 5' AGCCGTAGTAGGACAGGAATG-3' (reverse); and GAPDH, 5'-CGATTTGCTAGTCTGGGCC-3' and 5'-CCGGAAGATGTTGATGGGATT-3'. The expression levels of the CCND1 gene and GAPDH gene in the samples were reported as relative expression by calculating the 2^(-ΔΔCT) value of each sample.

2.7. ELISAs

This study describes the development of sensitive and competitive ELISAs for the quantitative detection of superoxide dismutase (SOD), 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels in plasma. ELISAs were performed using a Human SOD ELISA Kit (Shanghai Lengton Bioscience Co., Ltd., China), Human 8-OHdG ELISA Kit (Shanghai Lengton Bioscience Co., Ltd., China), and Human MDA ELISA Kit (Shanghai Lengton Bioscience Co., Ltd., China) according to the procedures provided by the manufacturer.

2.8. Statistical analysis

All results were produced with IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MedCalc15.2.2.2 (Ostend, Belgium) in the present study. Demographic characteristics were summarized either as medians and 25th to 75th percentiles or as percentages. Categorical variables were compared via Chi-square test. Quantitative variables were compared via the Mann-Whitney U test. Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate correlations between 2 statistical variables. Predictors of HBV-HCC development were identified by using a binary logistic regression analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated for the CCND1 promoter methylation status and serum AFP levels. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for each biomarker as an indicator of the predictive accuracy. Kaplan-Meier time-to-event methods and log-rank tests were applied to evaluate and compare overall survival (OS) among groups. Analyses were constructed to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) with 95% CI for an estimation of risk factors contributing to survival following a diagnosis of HBV-HCC; the Cox proportional hazard regression model allowed us to consider multiple factors affecting the survival time or outcome. All P values were 2 tailed, and P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants

The eligible participants we recruited for this randomized and double-bland study designed to investigated the methylation status of the CCND1 gene promoter comprised 105 patients with HBV-HCC, 54 patients with CHB, and 32 healthy controls. Baseline characteristics of patients in the HBV-HCC group, CHB group, and HC group are summarized in Table 1, including the ALT, AST, TBIL, ALB, PT-INR, HBeAg, and AFP levels. Among the 105 patients with HBV-HCC, 86 patients were male, and the median age was 59.0 years (55.0-64.0). The HBV-HCC group displayed less advanced hepatic insufficiency, as indicated by the levels of ALT, AST, ALB, and PT-INR, compared with the CHB group. Despite these conditions, a reduced HBeAg positive rate (P < .001) and a distinctly increased serum AFP level (median: 118.90 versus 16.83, P < .001) was achieved in the HBV-HCC group.

3.2. Methylation status of the CCND1 promoter in plasma

The methylation status of the CCND1 promoter in the plasma of the participants is depicted in Figure 2A. The methylation frequency in patients with HBV-HCC was 23.81% (25/105), and the methylation frequencies in patients with CHB and HCs were 64.81% (35/54) and 78.13% (25/32), respectively.Apparently, the methylation frequency of the CCND1 promoter was higher in samples from patients with HBV-HCC than in patients with CHB and HCs samples (χ2 = 25.520, P < .001; χ2 = 31.219, P < .001). No significant differences were observed between patients with CHB and HCs (χ2 = 1.688, P = .194). The methylation status is not correlated with AFP levels (Figure 4O, P > .05), and advanced patients with HBV-HCC (TNM III and IV) appears lower methylation frequency than patients with HBV-HCC of early stage (TNM I and II) (Figure 4P, P = .033). Representative results from the MSP of the CCND1 promoter methylation status are presented (Figure 2B).

3.3. Observational association between the methylation status of the CCND1 promoter in patients with HBV-HCC and clinicopathological characteristics

As shown in Table 1, patients with HBV-HCC showed higher plasma SOD levels [2.34 (1.76-3.21)], as indicated by the P value < .001, compared with patients with CHB [1.71 (1.37-2.20)].
Similar to the plasma SOD levels, the 8-OHdG levels in patients with HBV-HCC (5.92 [4.80-7.62]) were significantly increased compared with patients with CHB (3.79 [2.48-7.28]) (P = .028). An analogous trend was observed when we compared plasma MDA levels in patients with HBV-HCC (24.13 [19.30-30.57]) and CHB (21.05 [16.20-25.02]) (P = .016).

A Detailed description of the associations between the methylated or unmethylated CCND1 promoter in patients with HBV-HCC and clinicopathological characteristics is provided in Table 1. The methylated CCND1 promoter achieved high performance in identifying HBV-HCC in terms of tumor size (\(\chi^2 = 3.900, P = .049\)) and TNM stage (\(\chi^2 = 4.556, P = .033\)) and was particularly useful for plasma SOD (P < .001), 8-OHdG (P = .001), and MDA levels (P < .001). Table 3 shows the rank correlation coefficients that indicated significant negative correlations between the methylation status, tumor size and TNM stage \(r_s = -0.193, P = .049\); and \(r_s = -0.208, P = .033\), respectively. Factors related to oxidative stress, such as plasma SOD, 8-OHdG, and MDA levels were negatively correlated with CCND1 methylation \(r_s = -0.533, P < .001; r_s = -0.324, P < .001\); and \(r_s = -0.526, P < .001\), respectively. Additionally, other clinicopathological characteristics, such as gender, age, AFP, vascular invasion or metastasis, and HBsAg status were also further tested, although the correlation did not reach statistical significance (Table 2, \(P > .05\)). Tumor size, TNM stage, and SOD, 8-OHdG, and MDA levels were selected as independent variables with significant effects for binary logistic regression analysis to confirm the independent risk factors for HBV-HCC (Table 4). The levels of SOD and MDA, but not 8-OHdG, affected the occurrence of HBV-HCC.

### 3.4. Plasma CCND1 promoter methylation outperforms serum AFP levels as a biomarker

Using the detection of CCND1 promoter methylation status in plasma to define an abnormality, a sensitivity of 76.19% (80/105) was achieved with a specificity of 64.81% (35/54), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41. The serum AFP level permitted us to differentiate patients with HBV-HCC from patients with CHB with a sensitivity of 36.19% (38/105), positive predictive value of 80.81% (80/99), negative predictive value of 58.33% (35/60), and Youden index of 0.41.

#### Table 1

Baseline characteristics of enrolled participants.

| Variables                      | HBV-HCC (n = 105) | CHB (n = 54) | HCs (n = 32) | P   |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| Male (%)                       | 86 (81.90)        | 43 (79.63)   | 9 (28.13)    | .728|
| Age (yr)                       | 59.0 (55.0-64.0)  | 57.0 (51.0-62.5) | 21.0 (19.0-26.0) | .141|
| ALT (U/L)                      | 37.0 (24.5-81.0)  | 76.0 (34.5-175.5) | NA           | .001|
| AST (U/L)                      | 49.0 (30.0-102.5) | 73.0 (41.0-141.5) | NA           | .030|
| TBL (μmol/L)                   | 23.10 (14.75-42.30) | 30.40 (13.20-82.45) | NA           | .424|
| ALB (g/L)                      | 37.70 (33.60-44.00) | 35.50 (31.05-39.90) | NA           | .010|
| PT-INR                         | 1.22 (1.14-1.35)  | 1.28 (1.155-1.455) | NA           | .019|
| HBeAg + (%)                    | 25 (23.80)        | 35 (64.81)   | NA           | <.001|
| AFP (ng/mL)                    | 118.90 (72.12-121.78) | 16.83 (5.43-134.70) | NA           | <.001|
| Methylation (%)                | 25 (23.80)        | 35 (64.81)   | 25 (78.13)   | <.001|
| SOD (mg/mL)                    | 2.34 (1.76-3.21)  | 1.71 (1.37-2.20) | 1.95 (1.76-2.25) | <.001|
| 8-OHdG (ng/mL)                 | 5.92 (4.80-7.62)  | 3.79 (2.48-7.28) | 1.84 (0.67-7.35) | .028|
| MDA (mg/mL)                    | 24.13 (19.30-30.57) | 21.05 (16.20-25.02) | 16.76 (12.76-19.22) | .016|

Qualitative data were evaluated by Chi-square test. Quantitative data were applied by Mann-Whitney U-test, and expressed as medians (25th, 75th percentile).

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, AFP = alpha fetoprotein, ALB = albumin, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CHB = chronic hepatitis B, HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen, HBV-HCC = hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma, HCs = healthy controls, MDA = malonaldehyde, NA = not available, PT- INR = international normalized ratio, SOD = superoxide dismutase, TBL = total bilirubin.
Table 2

Association between the methylation status of CCND1 in patients with hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma and clinicopathological characteristics.

| Variables          | Total number | Methylated | Unmethylated | P     |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------|
| Gender             |              |            |              |       |
| Male               | 86           | 21 (24.40%)| 65 (75.60%)  | .989  |
| Female             | 19           | 4 (21.10%) | 15 (78.90%)  |       |
| AFP (ng/mL)        |              |            |              |       |
| ≥400               | 38           | 13 (34.21%)| 25 (65.79%)  | .440  |
| <400               | 67           | 12 (17.91%)| 12 (17.91%)  |       |
| Tumor size         |              |            |              |       |
| ≥5cm               | 43           | 6 (13.95%)  | 37 (86.05%)  | .049  |
| <5cm               | 62           | 19 (30.65%)| 43 (69.35%)  |       |
| Vascular invasion  |              |            |              |       |
| Yes                | 42           | 11 (26.20%)| 31 (73.80%)  | .640  |
| No                 | 63           | 14 (22.20%)| 49 (77.80%)  |       |
| HBeAg (+)          | 25           | 7 (28.00%)  | 18 (72.00%)  | .573  |
| HBeAg (-)          | 80           | 18 (22.50%)| 62 (77.50%)  |       |
| T/NM stage         |              |            |              |       |
| I + II             | 65           | 20 (30.77%)| 45 (69.23%)  | .033  |
| III + IV           | 40           | 5 (12.50%) | 35 (87.50%)  |       |
| SOD (ng/mL)        |              |            |              |       |
| ≥1.66              | 1.66 (1.18-1.95) | 1.81 (2.21-3.80) | <.001 |
| <1.66              | 8-OHdG (ng/mL) | 4.87 (4.29-5.81) | 6.45 (5.06-8.13) | .001 |
| MDA (ng/mL)        | 15.89 (10.73-18.87) | 27.12 (22.22-31.59) | <.001 |

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, AFP = alpha fetoprotein, HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen, MDA = malonaldehyde, SOD = superoxide dismutase.

* Chi-square test.
† Mann-Whitney U-test.

3.5. Plasma CCND1 promoter methylation in the detection of HBV-negative HBV-HCC and AFP-positive CHB

We investigated the performance of plasma CCND1 promoter methylation in detecting AFP-negative HBV-HCC. Forty-two patients were diagnosed with AFP-negative HBV-HCC, 9 (21.43%) of whom presented the methylated CCND1 promoter. As shown in Figure 3B, a ROC analysis of plasma CCND1 promoter methylation in patients with AFP-negative HBV-HCC compared with patients with CHB elucidated a diagnostic value with an AUC of 0.717 (P = .0129). We subsequently assessed the potential of plasma CCND1 promoter methylation as a biomarker for the detection of AFP-positive CHB. Among the

Table 3

Correlation of CCND1 promoter methylation status among with clinicopathological characteristics.

| Variables          | Total number | M       | U       | r_S  | P     |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Age (yr)           |              |         |         | -0.281 | .004  |
| ≥55                | 83           | 14 (16.90%)| 69 (83.10%)|       |
| <55                | 22           | 11 (50.00%)| 11 (50.00%)|       |
| Tumor size         |              |         |         | -0.193 | .049  |
| ≥5cm               | 43           | 6 (13.95%) | 37 (86.05%)|       |
| <5cm               | 62           | 19 (30.65%)| 43 (69.35%)|       |
| TNM stage          |              |         |         | -0.208 | .033  |
| I + II             | 65           | 20 (30.77%)| 45 (69.23%)|       |
| III + IV           | 40           | 5 (12.50%) | 35 (87.50%)|       |
| SOD (ng/mL)        |              |         |         | -0.533 | <.001 |
| ≥1.66              | 1.66 (1.18-1.95) | 2.81 (2.21-3.80) |       |
| <1.66              | 8-OHdG (ng/mL) | 4.87 (4.29-5.81) | 6.45 (5.06-8.13) |       |
| MDA (ng/mL)        | 15.89 (10.73-18.87) | 27.12 (22.22-31.59) |       |

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, M = methylated CCND1 promoter, MDA = malonaldehyde, SOD = superoxide dismutase, U = unmethylated CCND1 promoter.
patients with CHB enrolled in this study, methylation of CCND1 promoter occurred in all 4 AFP-positive patients. In ROC curves plotting the methylation status of patients with AFP-positive CHB versus patients with HBV-HCC, the diagnostic parameter presented an AUC of 0.881 (0.805-0.935) compared with AUC 0.800 (0.713-0.871) for AFP levels (Figure 3C, \( P = .0375 \)).

3.6. mRNA expression levels of CCND1 in PBMCs

We analyzed the expression levels of CCND1 mRNA in PBMCs by using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. As expected from previous reports, the expression level of CCND1 mRNA increased in the HBV-HCC group compared with the CHB group (\( Z = -6.819, P < .001 \)). Additionally, in the CHB group, the CCND1 mRNA level was substantially higher than the level in the HCs group (\( Z = -3.194, P = .001 \)) (Figure 4A). Patients who were confirmed to present aberrant methylation in the HBV-HCC group showed overexpression of the CCND1 mRNA, compared with patients without aberrant methylation (\( Z = -2.268, P = .023 \)) (Figure 4B). Moreover, in this study, a direct correlation between the CCND1 methylation status and its mRNA expression levels was identified in patients with HBV-HCC (\( r_s = -0.213, P = .032 \)).

We next sought to determine the connections between the expression of the CCND1 mRNA and clinicopathological characteristics (Figure 4C-N). For this comparison, easily and readily accessible variables were also assessed, namely, sex, age,
Figure 4. A. Relative expression level of CCND1 mRNA level in PBMCs from the HBV-HCC, CHB and HC groups. B. Patients with methylated CCND1 expressed CCND1 mRNA at a low level than patients with HBV-HCC presenting the unmethylated promotor (P = .023). C. A significant difference in the CCND1 mRNA level was not observed between males and females (P = .793). D and H-M. No significant correlations were observed between the expression of the CCND1 mRNA and age, ALT levels, AST levels, TBIL levels, ALB levels, PT-INR, AFP levels, and TNM stage (rs = 0.174, P = 0.075; rs = -0.054, P = .583; rs = -0.074, P = .452; rs = 0.019, P = .850; rs = -0.055, P = .576; and rs = -0.092, P = .356, respectively). E. No significant difference in the CCND1 mRNA level was observed between patients presenting with or without vascular invasion or not (P = .558). G. No significant difference in the CCND1 mRNA level was observed between HBeAg(+) and HBeAg(-) patients (P = .937). F. Patients with a tumor size < 5-cm expressed the CCND1 mRNA at significantly higher levels than patients with a tumor size ≥ 5-cm (P = .018). N. No significant difference in the CCND1 mRNA level was observed between patients with TNM stages I+II and III+IV (P = .324). O. Methylation frequencies in patients with different AFP levels. P. Methylation frequencies in patients with different TNM stages.
Table 4

Binary logistic regression analysis of clinicopathological characteristics in hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma.

| Variables | Total number | M | U | P      | B    | OR  | 95%CI  |
|-----------|--------------|---|---|--------|------|-----|--------|
| Tumor size|              |   |   |        |      |     |        |
| <5cm      | 43           | 6 (13.95%) | 37 (86.05%) | .565 | 0.397 | 1.461 | 0.375-5.689 |
| ≥5cm      | 62           | 19 (30.65%) | 43 (69.35%) |     |      |     |        |
| TNM stage |              |   |   |        |      |     |        |
| I+II      | 65           | 20 (30.77%) | 45 (69.23%) | .787 | 0.203 | 1.225 | 0.281-5.333 |
| III+IV    | 40           | 5 (12.50%) | 35 (87.50%) |     |      |     |        |
| SOD (ng/mL)|             |   |   |        |      |     |        |
|           | 1.66 (1.18-1.95) | 2.81 (2.21-3.80) | .04 | -1.522 | 0.219 | 0.077-0.622 |
| 8-OHdG (ng/mL)| 4.87 (4.29-5.81) | 6.45 (5.06-8.13) | .958 | -0.009 | 0.991 | 0.701-1.400 |
| MDA (ng/mL)|             | 15.89 (10.73-18.67) | 27.12 (22.22-31.58) | .02 | -0.225 | 0.799 | 0.693-0.921 |

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, CI = confidence interval, M = methylated CCND1 promoter, MDA = malonaldehyde, OR = odds ratio, SOD = superoxide dismutase, U = unmethylated CCND1 promoter.

vascular invasion or metastasis, tumor size, HBeAg (+/-), ALT levels, AST levels, TBIL levels, ALB levels, AFP levels, and TNM stage.

As shown in Figure 4F, the relative expression of the CCND1 mRNA was negatively correlated with the tumor size. However, the analysis including patients with HBV-HCC showed no clear separation of the relative expression of CCND1 mRNA and other factors at a level of P > .05.

3.7. The extent of oxidative injury and antioxidant capacity

Plasma MDA levels were increased in patients with HBV-HCC up 26.78 ± 11.174 ng/mL compared with those with CHB (22.568 ± 8.839 ng/mL) or HCs (20.075 ± 9.128) (Figure 5A, P < .05). An exploratory analysis revealed increased plasma MDA levels in patients with HBV-HCC presenting an unmethylated CCND1 promoter (37.759 ± 13.448) compared to patients with HBV-HCC presenting a methylated promoter (23.964 ± 7.879) (Figure 5B, Z = -2.948, P = .003). The plasma SOD levels were significantly reduced in patients with CHB (2.053 ± 2.100ng/mL) and HCs (2.126 ± 0.780ng/mL) compared to patients with HBV-HCC (2.790 ± 1.447 ng/mL) (P < .001), and HCs did not present lower plasma SOD levels than patients with CHB (P = 0.105) (Figure 5C). Compared with patients with HBV-HCC, who presented with a methylated CCND1 promoter, patients who presented with an unmethylated CCND1 promoter displayed lower plasma SOD levels (Figure 5D, 3.619 ± 2.012ng/mL versus 2.557 ± 1.074 ng/mL, P = .016). Moreover, patients with HBV-HCC showed higher plasma 8-OHdG levels than patients with CHB or HCs (Figure 5E, 6.124 ± 2.144 ng/mL, 4.223 ± 2.537 ng/mL, 3.288 ± 3.843 ng/mL, respectively, P < .05). Another experiment confirmed these findings, in which plasma 8-OHdG levels were decreased to 4.507 ± 1.466ng/mL in patients with HBV-HCC with a methylated CCND1 promoter compared with 6.644 ± 2.048 ng/mL in patients with an unmethylated promoter (Figure 5F, P < .001). Based on these data, both the extent of oxidative injury and antioxidant capacity are substantially and significantly increased in patients with HBV-HCC.

3.8. OS

Clinical follow-up was performed for all patients with HBV-HCC by the investigators, with a median of 6.8 months (25th-75th percentile: 2.6 months to 9.7 months). In the analysis of the Kaplan-Meier curves (Figure 3D), the median survival time was 6.567 months (SE, 1.425; 95% CI: 3.733-9.360) for the methylated group and 17.567 months (SE, 5.116; 95% CI: 7.539-27.594) for the unmethylated group.

In the univariate analyses (Table 6), OS differed in patients stratified by TNM stage, serum MDA levels, serum SOD levels, serum 8-OHdG levels, and CCND1 methylation status (all P < .05). In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, OS was not affected by serum MDA and serum SOD levels. Instead, 3 independent predictors of a decreased OS were identified: serum 8-OHdG levels (HR=1.124; 95%CI: 1.001-1.262), CCND1

Table 5

Results of plasma CCND1 promoter methylation in differentiating hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma from chronic hepatitis B.

| Methylation | AFP (400ng/ml) & methylation | AFP (200ng/ml) & methylation | AFP (200ng/ml) & methylation |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sensitivity (%) | 80/105 (76.19%) | 38/105 (36.19%) | 93/105 (88.57%) | 94/105 (89.52%) | 99/105 (94.29%) |
| Specificity (%) | 35/54 (64.81%) | 49/54 (90.74%) | 33/54 (61.11%) | 31/54 (57.41%) | 19/54 (35.19%) |
| PPV (%) | 80/99 (80.81%) | 38/43 (88.37%) | 93/114 (81.58%) | 94/117 (80.34%) | 99/134 (73.88%) |
| NPV (%) | 35/60 (58.33%) | 49/116 (42.24%) | 33/45 (73.33%) | 31/42 (73.81%) | 19/25 (76.00%) |
| Youden index | 0.4100 | 0.2693 | 0.4698 | 0.4698 | 0.2945 |
| AUC (95%CI) | (0.628–0.775) | (0.450–0.610) | 0.735 (0.659–0.801) | 0.647 (0.568–0.721) |
| P value | .0034 | .0031 | .00081 | .05671 |

CI = confidence interval.

1. plasma CCND1 promoter methylation vs. serum AFP.
2. AFP (400ng/ml) and methylation vs serum AFP.
3. AFP (200ng/ml) and methylation vs serum AFP.
4. AFP (200ng/ml) and methylation vs serum AFP.
methylated promoter displayed better diagnostic accuracy compared to the methylated promoter in patients with CHB and negative HBV-HCC. Notably, the combination of CCND1 methylation and AFP levels increased the diagnostic accuracy compared to CCND1 methylation or AFP levels alone. We reported that both the extent of oxidative injury and antioxidant capacity are substantially increased in patients with HBV-HCC compared with CHB. Furthermore, the potential benefit of antioxidants including SOD in the treatment of cancer has been also reported. These results strongly indicated that oxidative stress might involve in the development of HBV-HCC.

DNA methylation results in durable alterations in the expression of genes that regulate the tumor phenotype. The percentages of metastatic neoplasms and primary malignancies with hypomethylated DNA are lower than benign tumors or normal tissue, which explains the involvement of the aberrant demethylation of DNA in cancers. Analogously, hypomethylation of long interspersed nucleotide element-1 (LINE-1) correlates with an increase in colon-specific mortality and overall mortality, indicating that normally silenced genes are potentially reactivated via DNA hypomethylation. The understanding of the rationale underlying plasma CCND1 methylation in HBV-HCC comes the idea of pharmacologically relieving the active effects of CCND1 hypomethylation on gene expression. The origin of cell-free DNA CCND1 promoter hypomethylation is the important issue in the discussion. In fact, the cell-free DNA in plasma has been reported to be probably derived from apoptosis in neoplastic and/or white blood cells. Hypomethylation of certain genes could be detected in the plasma DNA of patients with HCC, and usually was associated with increased gene expression in peripheral blood. In our present study, the CCND1 promoter hypomethylation status in cell-free DNA originating largely from tumor cells correlates with increased Cyclin D1 mRNA expression in PBMCs, which is consistent with the previous reports.

Because HBV-HCC is a prevalent but fatal disease, a prevalent biomarker shall improve the clinical management of HBV-HCC. In adult patients with any type of hepatitis or liver cirrhosis, a moderate increase in AFP levels (ranging from 10 to 500ng/mL and occasionally up to 1000ng/ml) has also been observed. AFP levels ranging from 10 to 1000ng/mL, represent unknown territory, because the values of patients with benign liver diseases and even other malignant diseases are all within this range.

The present study also attempted to explore the potential diagnostic value of CCND1 promoter methylation in patients with AFP-negative HBV-HCC and patients with AFP-positive CHB. CCND1 promoter methylation displayed better diagnostic performance than serum AFP levels in both groups of patients, but the results must be further confirmed in a larger cohort due to the limited sample size.

Cyclin D1 has been reported to be implicated in carcinogenesis. The obvious increase in of Cyclin D1 levels in a variety of human tumor types supports the hypothesis that this protein plays a pivotal role in carcinogenesis. Consistent with previous studies, we found that increased expression of the CCND1 mRNA was observed in patients with HBV-HCC compared with patients with CHB or HCs. Compared with patients with HBV-HCC presenting the unmethylated CCND1 promoter, patients with the methylated CCND1 promoter expressed higher levels of the CCND1 mRNA. Since CCND1 is commonly upregulated in various types of cancer. Its specificity for HCC remains a question, which needs to be confirmed in further research.

3.9. Progression-free survival (PFS)

An additional secondary end point was PFS, based on researchers’ assessments and defined as the time from admission to the first progression, relapse, or death from any cause or last follow-up. A decrease in the CCND1 methylation frequency was strongly associated with a decreased PFS (HR = 0.109, 95% CI: 0.031-0.384), whereas the 3 oxidative stress related factors did not exert a significant effect on PFS, suggesting that other determinants may have masked the association between factors related to oxidative stress and PFS. Similarly, we did not observe other interactions between PFS and clinicopathological data (Table 7).

4. Discussion

In our present study, we demonstrated that the methylation of the CCND1 promoter exhibited better diagnostic performance than
8-OHdG (ng/mL) 28.12 (21.39–31.8) 1.65 (1.06–2.47) 0.074 (0.023–0.176) .015
AFP (ng/mL) 19 (76.0) 6 (24.0) 0.870 (0.519–1.465) .605
INR 1.22 (1.14–1.35) 1.22 (1.10–1.38) 1.081 (0.522–2.240) .834
Methylation of CCND1 unmethylated 1.386 (0.887–2.165) .152
Methylation of CCND1 methylated 1.532 (1.047–2.258) .025

Table 7
Prognosticators of progression-free survival: univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analyses.

|                | Yes | No | HR (95%CI) | P  | HR (95%CI) | P  |
|----------------|-----|----|------------|----|------------|----|
| Gender         |     |    |            |    |            |    |
| Male (%)       | 64  | 23 | 26 (2.7)   | .012| 1.017 (0.991–1.043) | .210 |
| Female (%)     | 17  | 2  | 10 (5.5)   |    | 0.872 (0.519–1.465) | .605 |
| Age            |     |    |            |    |            |    |
| <5cm           | 35  | 27 | 133 (43.5) |    | 1.252 (1.137–1.379) | <.001 |
| ≥5cm           | 33  | 10 | 2.165 (1.37–2.25) | <.001| 1.128 (1.001–1.262) | .049 |
| TNM stage      |     |    |            |    |            |    |
| I + II         | 34  | 31 | 1.432) .152|    | 1.223 (1.128–1.329) | <.001 |
| III + IV       | 36  | 6  | 1.802) .565|    | 1.089 (0.980–1.209) | .113 |

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, AFP = alpha fetoprotein, ALB = albumin, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen, M = methylated CCND1 promoter, MDA = malondialdehyde, PT-INR = International Normalized Ratio, SOD = superoxide dismutase, TBL = total bilirubin, U = unmethylated CCND1 promoter.
Furthermore, the methylation patterns of other cyclins, CDKs and CKIs have also been reported to exert significant effects on the biomarker development of hepatocellular carcinoma. For example, the hypermethylation of the cyclin J (CCNJ) gene promoter was demonstrated to predict poor OS of HCC patients.[10] The hypermethylation of CDKL2 gene promoter and the downregulation of CDKL1 gene expression could be restored by 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine in HCC cell lines.[11] In addition, the methylation for the inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4A (BNK4A) gene promoter showed the potential value for the diagnosis and prognosis of HCC patients.[46] Therefore, the network of methylation patterns for the family gene of cyclins might exert synergistic effects on the development of HCC.

The origins of hypomethylation of CCND1 gene promoter in HCC patients are mainly the following:

(1) Ten-eleven translocation proteins iteratively oxidize 5-methylcytosine (5mC) to generates oxidized cytosine bases (3-hydroxymethylcytosine,5hmC; 5-formylcytosine, 5fC; 5-carboxycytosine, 5caC), and these cytosine analogs may facilitate DNA demethylation. In[47] The expression level of Ten-eleven translocation enzyme 2 was decreased significantly in HCC.[48] Therefore it potentially causes increased oxidative injury and antioxidant capacity, eventually leads to hypomethylation of CCND1 promoter.

(2) The second reason for CCND1 promoter hypomethylation was the decrease of DNMTs activity. DNA methylation is mediated by the de novo DNMT DNMT3A and DNMT3B, and maintained by DNMT1 during DNA replication.[49] However, the expression of DNMT 1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B was up-regulated in the HCC samples compared with the paired non-HCC liver tissues.[50] However, the possible influence of DNMTs on the methylation of CCND1 need further study.

The strengths of our study include its simplicity, non-invasiveness, and low loss to follow-up. In addition, patient variables such as serum levels of ALT, AST, AFP, and so on, were retrieved from the patient data management system in a standardized manner. Due to the risk of rapid disease progression, an early diagnosis based on initial assessment that facilitates a timely decision for treatment would be a strength of this study.

The present study has several limitations. First, MSP is the method for qualitative analysis rather than quantitative analysis. Bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP) and MethyLight would be helpful for the methylation status of multiple Cpg loci.[51,52] Second, inherent limitations of the retrospective nature of the study should be considered. Third, the samples were only from 1 single unit, and the sample size was relatively small; thus, our results may not be generalized to population from other regions or races.

Larger scale validation studies of patients with HBV-HCC are warranted and should encompass different geographies and ethnicities to determine the clinical diagnostic value of this biomarker. Fourth, we did not compare the methylation status of the CCND1 gene with others genes of the cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases, and CDKIs. Fifth, the mechanism underlying the correlation between CCND1 promoter methylation and oxidative has not be studied. Therefore, these issues should be extensively resolved in the future. Sixth, the main aim of our present study was to investigate potential value of the cell-free CCND1 promoter methylation as the biomarker for the diagnosis of HCC. Therefore, we have selected the plasma of HCC patients and the plasma is the feasible tool of liquid biopsy in clinical application. The association of the CCND1 promoter methylation status in PBMCs and Cyclin D1 mRNA expression in PBMCs should be further studied. Finally, the CCND1 hypomethylation has been reported in other cancers including colorectal cancer and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.[33,34] If hypomethylation is a global phenomenon in HBV-HCC and affects various tissues including PBMCs, the question of whether it is specific and affects selected set of genes or it is completely non-specific should be addressed. Looking at an independent marker that is not expected to be hypomethylated in cancer (such as any of the tumor suppressor genes including p21, p27, p53, RB1, and so on, or any other genes not associated with cancer) should help address this question.

In summary, plasma CCND1 promoter methylation is a promising non-invasive biomarker for the discrimination of HBV-HCC from the patients with CHB and healthy individuals.
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