HCF-1 Functions as a Coactivator for the Zinc Finger Protein Krox20*

Randy L. Luciano and Angus C. Wilson‡

From the Department of Microbiology and New York University Cancer Institute, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016

HCF-1 is a transcriptional cofactor required for activation of herpes simplex virus immediate-early genes by VP16 as well as for less clearly defined roles in cell proliferation, cytokinesis, and spliceosome formation. It is expressed as a large precursor that undergoes proteolysis to yield two subunits that remain stably associated. VP16 uses a degenerate 4-amino acid sequence, known as the HCF-binding motif, to bind to a six-bladed β-propeller domain at the N terminus of HCF-1. Functional HCF-binding motifs are also found in LZIP and Zhangfei, two cellular bZIP transcription factors of unknown function. Here we show that the HCF-binding motif occurs in a wide spectrum of DNA-binding proteins and transcriptional cofactors. Three well characterized examples were further analyzed for their ability to use HCF as a coactivator. Krox20, a zinc finger transcription factor required for Schwann cell differentiation, and E2F4, a cell cycle regulator, showed a strong requirement for functional HCF-1 to activate transcription. In contrast, activation by estrogen receptor-α did not display HCF dependence. In Krox20, the HCF-binding motif lies within the N-terminal activation domain and mutation of this sequence diminishes both transactivation and association with the HCF-1 β-propeller. The activation domain in the C-terminal subunit of HCF-1 contributes to activation by Krox20, possibly through recruitment of β-propeller, and is therefore likely to be required for a variety of cellular processes including cell cycle progression and development.

HCF-1 (also known as C1 factor) is a heterodimeric nuclear protein composed of a family of polypeptides generated from a 2035-amino acid precursor by site-specific proteolysis (1–3). After cleavage of the precursor, the N- and C-terminal processing products remain as a stable complex through two matching sets of self-interaction domains (3, 4). HCF-1 is expressed and processed in most cell types and with the exception of unstimulated sensory neurons, is predominantly nuclear and tightly associated with chromatin (3, 5–8). The two HCF-1 subunits are composed of multiple functional domains. The N-terminal subunit includes a six-bladed β-propeller domain characteristic of proteins in the kelch superfamily and an adjacent basic region (9–11). The C-terminal subunit includes a transcriptional activation domain (HCF-1AD), a pair of degenerate fibronectin type III repeats, and a bipartite nuclear localization signal (4, 12, 13).

The cellular functions of HCF-1 appear to be multifaceted and are still only partially understood. The most clearly established role is in regulation of viral and cellular transcription. This was first described in the context of the herpes simplex virus (HSV) transactivator VP16 (reviewed in Refs. 14 and 15). HCF-1 is necessary for the recruitment of VP16 to HSV immediate-early gene promoters and for transcriptional activation by the assembled VP16-induced complex (13). In addition, both subunits of HCF-1 have been shown to interact with cellular transcriptional activators and repressors (7, 16–21). Analysis of a temperature-sensitive hamster cell line (tsBN67) carrying a missense mutation in the HCF-1 β-propeller has revealed a role in cell cycle progression and cytokinesis (22, 23). Last, HCF-1 is incorporated into spliceosome complexes and may participate in mRNA splicing as well as transcription (24).

The N-terminal β-propeller domain is central to most HCF-1 functions. The 380-amino acid domain encompasses six kelch (HCF-KXL) repeats, which are predicted to form the six blades of the propeller structure. The domain is sufficient to bind VP16 and assemble a VP16-induced complex on DNA in association with the cellular POU protein Oct-1 (11). In the HCF-1 protein encoded by the tsBN67 cell line, there is a missense mutation in the β-propeller that changes proline 134 to serine (11, 22). At the non-permissive temperature, HCF-1 is unable to support transactivation by VP16 and is released from the cellular chromatin (8, 22). Yeast interaction screens using the β-propeller domain identified two ATF/cAMP-response element-binding protein-like basic leucine zipper proteins known as LZIP/Luman and Zhangfei (7, 16, 18). Sequence comparison with VP16 revealed a 4-amino acid sequence motif, termed the HCF-binding motif (HBM), that is used by all three proteins to recognize the HCF-1 β-propeller (16, 25). This motif (D/EHXY) consists of an acidic residue (aspartic acid or glutamic acid) followed by an invariant histidine, any residue (X), and then an invariant tyrosine. The HBM is an integral part of the LZIP transactivation domain, and recruitment of HCF-1 is required for activation by LZIP (26). Recently, functional HBM sequences have been identified in the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ-coactivator PGC-1β and a novel nuclear export factor termed HIPPI (27, 28).

As a starting point for study of defined cellular processes regulated by HCF-1, we searched the protein sequence data bases to identify known transcription factors that contain po-
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tential HBMs. Many candidates were identified in this way, and in several the HBM lies in a region of the protein already known to be involved in gene activation. Three candidates, Krox20 (also known as EGR2, NGFI-B), E2F4, and estrogen receptor α (ERα), representing well studied members of unrelated protein families, were selected for further analysis. Krox20 is a member of the Krüppel-like zinc finger protein family and plays a critical role in hindbrain development and myelination of the peripheral nervous system (29). E2F4 plays a key role in regulating the cell cycle as well as differentiation processes such as adipogenesis (30). ERα is a steroid hormone receptor that stimulates gene expression in a ligand-dependent manner (31). We found that transactivation by Krox20 and E2F4 but not ERα was dependent on the presence of functional HCF-1. Mutation of the HBM or deletion of the activation domain of HCF-1 significantly reduced the ability of Krox20 to stimulate transcription from a synthetic promoter composed of a GC-rich Krox20 binding site or a natural promoter from the human bFGF-2 gene. The results of these experiments suggest that HCF-1 plays multiple roles in the regulation of cellular transcription. In addition to ubiquitous processes such as promoting cell proliferation, HCF-1 is likely to be important for tissue-specific events including myelination by Schwann cells and development of the central nervous system.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Data Base Analysis—The SWISS-PROT and Translated EMBL (TrEMBL) data bases were searched using ScanProSite, a web-based motif search tool provided by the Expert Protein Analysis System (ExPaSy) proteomes server of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (32). Using the query “[DE]HxY,” searches were restricted to mammalian proteins and individual entry annotations were used to identify transcription factors.

Expression Plasmids—The full-length murine Krox20 open reading frame was amplified by PCR from a cDNA clone provided by Dr. Jeffrey Milbrandt (Washington University, St. Louis, MO), and subcloned into the cytomegalovirus enhancer-driven mammalian expression vectors pCtG and pCGN, thereby adding N-terminal T7 and hemagglutinin-epitope tags (11). Note that the mouse Krox20 protein was used in these experiments. Mouse and human proteins are highly homologous (overall 89% identical and 95% similar), especially within the activation and DNA-binding domains. In addition, Krox20 sequences (full-length or the first 170 residues) were subcloned into pCGNGal4, creating a hemagglutinin-tagged fusion to the yeast Gal4 DNA-binding domain (residues 1 to 184) and encompassing the HBM-like sequence (residues 162 to 185) (39). The sequence flanking the core motif show no obvious homology, although there is evidence that flanking sequences do influence HBM function (38).

In terms of the candidate HBM-containing proteins, we noted that in several examples, the HBM-like sequence is conserved in non-human counterparts and/or falls within a region of the protein known to contain an activation domain. A clear example is the Krox20/EGR2 protein (Fig. 2A). Orthologs from other vertebrates preserve the putative HBM, although in fish, birds, and amphibians the first position is changed from an aspartic acid to a glutamic acid. In fish and amphibians the variable third position is also changed from leucine to isoleucine that preserves the hydrophobic nature of the side group. The activation domain of Krox20 has been mapped to the N terminus of the protein (residues 1–184) and encompasses the HBM-like sequence (residues 162–185) (39). This arrangement is strongly reminiscent of LZIP in which the N-terminal activation domain includes the HBM (26).

Transcriptional Activation by Krox20 and E2F4 Is Temperature-sensitive in tsBN67 Cells—To determine whether any of these sequence matches represent functional HBMs, we selected three candidates, Krox20, E2F4, and ERα, and tested them for HCF dependence. Each activator was analyzed by transient transfection of tsBN67, a derivative of BHK21 that carries a temperature-sensitive version of HCF-1. At the permissive temperature of 33.5 °C, HCF-1 is functional and the cells proliferate normally, whereas at the non-permissive temperature (39.5 °C), the HCF-1 β-propeller domain is inactivated and the cells asynchronously arrest (11, 22).

Transactivation by Krox20 was examined in two contexts (Fig. 2, B and C). In the first (Fig. 2B), full-length Krox20 (Krox20FL) was cotransfected into tsBN67 cells together with a Krox20-responsive luciferase reporter (GC-luc) composed of two Krox20-binding sites (5′-CCCGGGGGGCG-3′) placed upstream of the prolactin core promoter. Pools of transfected cells were split into halves and incubated for 40 h at either the permissive or non-permissive temperature, and then assayed as described previously (2, 13, 26). For temperature shift experiments, tsBN67 cells were grown at 33.5 °C. After electroporation, the transduced cells were plated and allowed to recover at 33.5 °C for 2 h before transfer to 39.5 °C.

RESULTS

Candidate HCF-binding Motifs Are Found in Many Cellular Transcription Factors—To identify cellular proteins that can interact with HCF-1, we searched the SWISS-PROT and EMBL protein data bases for sequences matching the HBM consensus (D/E/HxY) using the web-based ScanProsite search tool. As anticipated, this short and degenerate motif was present in a large number of mammalian proteins of different functions and cellular localization. SWISS-PROT alone yielded more than 370 unique hits. Given the previously established role of HCF-1 in regulation of gene expression, and the predominantly nuclear localization of the protein, we focused on known or suspected DNA-binding proteins and transcriptional co- regulators (see Fig. 1 for a listing). As expected, the search identified previously known HBM-containing proteins. These include HSV VP16 and its homologues from related α-herpesviruses as well as the cellular proteins LZIP, Zhangfei, HIP1, and PGC-1α and β (7, 16, 18, 27, 28).
Krox20 and argues that HCF-1 contributes to transcriptional activation rather than recognition of the GC-rich Krox20-binding site.

We also tested a C-terminal fragment from human E2F4 (residues 240–412) fused to the Gal4 DBD (Gal4-E2F4-(240–412)) (Fig. 2D). This fragment includes the activation and pocket protein-binding domains but not the DNA-binding or dimerization domains (33). Expression of Gal4-E2F4-(240–412) at the permissive temperature increased transcription of the report by 8-fold and this was significantly reduced in cells maintained at the non-permissive temperature. In contrast to Krox20 and E2F4, activation by the full-length estrogen receptor (ERα) using a reporter linked to an estrogen response element showed little difference at the two temperatures (Fig. 2E). Under these conditions, ERα is activated by ligand present in the calf sera. Addition of the ligand estradiol (100 nM) to the culture media gave similar results (data not shown). These results indicate that not all of the activators containing HBM-like sequences require HCF-1 to activate transcription, thus highlighting the role of HCF-1 in specific programs of gene expression.

Mutation of the HBM Prevents Transactivation by Krox20—
To determine whether the HBM-like sequence in Krox20 contributes to activation domain function, we generated a substitution mutant (Krox20 HBMKO, Fig. 2A) simultaneously changing all four residues of the motif to alanine. HeLa cells were cotransfected with the wild type and mutant versions of full-length Krox20 together with the GC-luc reporter (Fig. 3A). Wild type Krox20 (WT) activated transcription 6-fold, whereas the mutant (HBMKO) activated transcription only 1.5-fold.

---

**Table: Candidate HCF-binding motifs are found in many human transcription factors.** Comparison of known or candidate HBM sequences. For each, the core motif ((E/D)HXY, where X can be any residue), is highlighted. The first 8 sequences correspond to experimentally verified HBM proteins (see text for details). SWISS-PROT or EMBL accession numbers and amino acid coordinates are given.

| Protein | Acc. No. | HBM |
|---------|----------|-----|
| HSV VP16 | P06492 | 0356-ESVMEKHEHSRKRT-0369 |
| VZV ORF10 | P09265 | 0360-NRVRATHYKVKV-0373 |
| BHH VP16 | Q60228 | 0368-LFPLAEHYSKRRK-0381 |
| BHV BTF1 | Q56189 | 0359-LAFERKSHYPGRK-0372 |
| LSIP | Q60177 | 0073-CLYHDHDLHYPYR-0086 |
| Zhangfei | Q94307 | 0216-DSPAGHDYXLPVQ-0229 |
| HPIP | AAM4799 | 0707-LKSHDHDHSPPM-0084 |
| PGC-1(a,β) | Q80225 | 0686-SCSFQHDHDCVR-0689 |

**Fig. 2.** DNA-Binding Proteins

**Fig. 3.** Transcriptional Co-factors

Krox20 and argues that HCF-1 contributes to transcriptional activation rather than recognition of the GC-rich Krox20-binding site.
A. A schematic showing structure of murine Krox20 with sequences of the HBM region from the following orthologs: Zebrafish (SWISS-PROT accession Q05159), Xenopus (Q08427), chicken (Q98T82), rat (P51774), mouse (P08152), and human (P11161). Three functional domains have been defined: an N-terminal activation domain (residues 1–184), a repression domain (193–229), and a DNA-binding domain composed of three zinc fingers (331–418). Residues mutated to alanine in Krox20 HBMKO are indicated by arrowheads.

B. Hamster tsBN67 cells were cotransfected with an expression plasmid (500 ng) encoding full-length mouse Krox20 together with a Krox20 responsive reporter (GC-luc, 5 μg) and incubated at 33.5 or 39.5 °C for 40 h. Each assay was performed in triplicate and the mean ± S.D. are shown.

C. A si panel B, except that tsBN67 cells were cotransfected with 500 ng of expression plasmid encoding Gal4DBD or Gal4-Krox20N170 together with a Gal4 reporter (5xGal4-E1B-luc, 500 ng).

D. Domain structure of human E2F4. The HBM (filled box) lies within the transactivation domain (33). For the reporter assay tsBN67 cells were cotransfected with 250 ng of Gal4DBD or Gal4-E2F4-(240–412) expression plasmid and 500 ng of Gal4-luciferase reporter. E, in the estrogen receptor (ERα) the putative HBM (filled box) lies in the ligand-binding domain. 500 ng of ERα expression plasmid were cotransfected into tsBN67 cells together with an ER-responsive reporter plasmid (ERE-luc, 500 ng). Note that the calf serum used to culture the cells contains sufficient levels of natural ligands to activate the transfected receptors.
This indicates that the HBM identified through a sequence database search is an important component of the Krox20 activation domain.

We also examined the consequence of overexpressing HCF-1 (Fig. 3B). HeLa cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding full-length Krox20 and HCF-1. Coexpression of both proteins resulted in a greater level of activation than with Krox20 alone. This stimulation was not observed when HCF-1 was expressed on its own. This suggests that HCF-1 can only contribute to activation when brought to the promoter via a DNA-binding protein such as Krox20.

**HCF-1 Is Required for Activation of the bFGF-2 Promoter**—The bFGF-2 promoter (shown schematically in Fig. 3C) contains multiple GC-rich boxes and at least two of these boxes (boxes i and iii) have been shown to bind Krox20 (or the related Krox24/EGR1) protein in vitro, leading to promoter activation (36, 40). To address the role of HCF-1 in regulation of the bFGF-2 promoter, we cotransfected 293T cells with increasing amounts of wild type (filled circles) or HBMKO (open squares) Krox20 expression plasmids (0.1, 0.5, and 1 μg) and a bFGF-2 promoter reporter plasmid (bFGF-2-luc, 100 ng). Luciferase activity was measured after 40 h. E, in a separate experiment, protein extracts were prepared from cells transfected with 0.5 μg of expression plasmid, resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with αT7 antibody.
in protein expression. These results show that mutation of the candidate HBM in Krox20 reduces its ability to activate transcription from a natural promoter.

The HCF-1 β-Propeller Associates with the Activation Domain of Krox20—By analogy to VP16, LZIP, and HP-1, it is possible that the HCF-1 β-propeller alone is sufficient for recognition of the HBM in Krox20 (11, 16, 26, 28). To date, we have been unable to detect a stable interaction between Krox20 and HCF-1 using gel mobility shift or in vitro coimmunoprecipitation assays, suggesting the interaction is weak or stabilized by other factors present in vitro. Instead, we have been unable to detect a stable interaction between Krox20 and HCF-1 using the GC-luc reporter. On its own, Krox20FL activated transcription 2.5-fold but this was increased to 20-fold in the absence of the HCF-1AD. This reduction was specific to activated transcription because background promoter activity (Gal4 DBD alone) was equivalent in the two cell lines. The results obtained using full-length Krox20 were more important (Fig. 5, C and D). Using the GC reporter (Fig. 5C), wild type Krox20 stimulated the promoter −5-fold in WT cells but was essentially inactive in the absence of the HCF-1AD. Likewise, the bFGF-2 promoter showed a markedly different response in the two cell lines, although there was some stimulation in the ΔAD cells expressing the highest level of Krox20 protein. This context dependence may reflect the contribution of other elements of the bFGF-2 promoter and suggests that the coactivator requirements for the Krox20 activation domain to function vary from gene to gene.

Activation by Krox20 Can Be Stimulated by Expression of p300—How HCF-1 functions as a coactivator is not well understood. Many coactivators contain acetyltransferase activity and are thought to act through covalent modification of other transcription factors as well as histones and may thus indirectly provide this function to HCF-1 (43). HCF-1 is not known to possess any enzymatic activities, and are thought to act through covalent modification of other transcription factors and the N-terminal tails of the core histones (42). HCF-1 is not known to possess any enzymatic activities, however, the HCF-1AD has been shown to act synergistically with the coactivator p300 to stimulate transcription (13). This well characterized coactivator has been shown to acetylate a variety of transcription factors as well as histones and may thus indirectly provide this function to HCF-1 (43). We therefore asked whether transcriptional activation by Krox20 could be stimulated by coexpression of p300 (Fig. 6A). Full-length Krox20 was cotransfected into 293T cells with or without a p300 expression plasmid and levels of Krox-dependent transcription was measured using the GC-luc reporter. On its own, Krox20FL activated transcription 2.5-fold but this was increased to 20-fold in the presence of overexpressed p300. Expression of p300 alone did not stimulate the reporter beyond that of Krox20 alone. This result suggests that the coactivator p300 can cooperate with the HCF-1AD to activate Krox20-regulated promoters.

**DISCUSSION**

The characterization of cellular transcription factors that associate with HCF-1 should provide important clues to its
Transcriptional Activation by Krox20 Requires HCF-1

A. 

| Structure                     | Domain Characteristics |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| HCF-1 WT                      | Basic, Repeats, Acidic, Δ3 repeats |
| HCF-1Δrep WT                  | Basic, Repeats, Acidic, Δ3 repeats |
| HCF-1ΔrepΔAD                  | Basic, Repeats, Acidic |

B. 

[Graph showing relative luciferase activity for DBD Krox20 and Gal4-Fusion in 5xGal4-E1B-luc reporter.]  

C. 

[Graph showing relative luciferase activity for WT and ΔAD in GC-luc reporter.]  

D. 

[Graph showing relative luciferase activity for WT and ΔAD in bFGF-2-luc reporter.]  
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Fig. 5. HCF-1ΔAD is required for transactivation by Krox-20. A, structure of wild type (WT) and activation domain deleted (ΔAD) versions of HCF-1 used to complement tsBN67 cells (13). Major structural features of HCF-1 are indicated. Note the central HCF-1 PRO repeats were removed (HCF-1Δrep) to prevent processing and subunit exchange. B, equivalent cultures of tsBN67 cells that have been complemented with a derivative of human HCF-1 (HCF-1Δrep) with (R-tsBN67-HCF-1Δrep WT) or without (R-tsBN67-HCF-1Δrep ΔAD) the C-terminal activation domain (HCF-1Δrep) were transiently transfected with 5xGal4-E1B-luc (500 ng) together with expression plasmids (500 ng) encoding Gal4 DBD or Gal4-FRC and assayed for luciferase activity after incubation at 39.5 °C for 40 h. Equal numbers of WT and ΔAD cells were transfected with the GC-luc reporter (500 ng) together with expression plasmids (500 ng) encoding WT or HBMKO full-length Krox20 and assayed after incubation for 40 h at 39.5 °C. D, R-tsBN67-HCF-1Δrep WT and R-tsBN67-HCF-1ΔrepΔAD cells were transfected with bFGF-2-luc reporter (500 ng) with or without cotransfected wild type Krox20 expression plasmid (100 ng and 1 μg).

Notably, the complex interacts with the β-propeller domain and both the human and nematode Set1 proteins contain HBM-like sequences (EHNY and EHCY, respectively). Revisiting our database search results, we identified human Set1 (accession number O15047) in the collection of expressed sequence tags that lacked annotation. The fact that we identified all known interaction partners for the β-propeller domain suggests that our screen achieved relatively complete coverage of the human proteome.

The degenerate nature of the HBM consensus sequence makes it difficult to distinguish functional examples of the motif from fortuitous matches and it seems reasonable to assume that the list of candidates includes examples of both classes. Of the three candidates selected for further analysis, transactivation by two (Krox20 and E2F4) showed a strong dependence on the presence of functional HCF-1 when tested in tsBN67 cells but the third (ERα) did not. The non-responsiveess of ERα to inactivation of HCF-1 may reflect redundancy in the activation mechanism of this receptor in tsBN67 cells or represents an example of a fortuitous sequence match. Despite the lack of obvious sequence conservation for residues flanking known HBMs, mutagenesis and peptide competition studies using VP16 have clearly demonstrated the importance of sequence context (38, 45). For example, mutation of valine 358 to alanine in VP16, which lies at the position relative to the acidic residue of the HBM, resulted in a 6-fold reduction in the ability of an HBM peptide to compete for HCF-1 binding (38). Hydrophobic residues (valine or leucine) occur at this position in VZV ORF10, LZIP, and HIP but are replaced by proline or serine in EVH VP16, BHV BTIF, Zhanfei, and PGC-1(α,β), suggesting some flexibility. It may be significant that Krox20 and E2F4 also have proline at this position, whereas ERα has a lysine.

The important but poorly understood role of the sequences that flank the 4-amino acid HBM is reminiscent of the LXXLL motif (also known as the NR box), another short and degenerate sequence motif involved in activator-coactivator interactions (46, 47). In the coactivator SRC1, an 8-amino acid peptide containing a single LXXLL motif is sufficient to bind ERα (48). Likewise, an octapeptide from VP16, which includes the HBM, can compete effectively with the complete VP16 protein for binding to HCF-1 (38). For LXXLL-mediated interactions, selectivity is determined by three parameters: the sequence of the core LXXLL motif itself, the sequence of the flanking residues, and in some cases, the relative spacing of two or more LXXLL motifs (49). Sequence context is undoubtedly important for HBM function, but there is no evidence that spacing between HBMs will play a significant role. Of the candidate HBM-
Fig. 6. Expression of p300 stimulates activation by Krox-20. A, 293T cells were cotransfected with the GC-luc reporter (5 μg) together with expression plasmids encoding full-length Krox20 (500 ng) and p300 (6 μg). Luciferase activity was assayed 40 h post-transfection. B, model showing recruitment of HCF-1 and p300 to the activation domain of Krox20.

contains proteins identified in this study, only T-box protein 5 (TBX5) and chromodomain-helicase-DNA binding protein 2 (CHD-2) contained more than one HBM consensus sequence and furthermore, these sequences are separated by more than 200 amino acids. What defines a genuine HBM from a fortuitous match is not yet known but detailed mutagenesis and structural modeling studies may be sufficient to decipher the code.

The placement of the HBM within the N-terminal activation domain of Krox20 is analogous to the bZIP transactivator LZIP where it has been shown that the N-terminal activation domain is composed of the HBM and two LXXLL motifs (26). Presumably in Krox20 and E2F4, the activation domains include additional protein-protein interaction modules that act cooperatively with the HBM through recruitment of other coactivator activities. Accordingly, we show that expression of the coactivator p300 leads to an increase in activation by Krox20.

Although we and others have shown that the C terminus of E2F4 can activate transcription as a Gal4 DNA-binding domain fusion, it is not known where or when the full-length E2F4 protein functions as an activator. The putative HBM of E2F4 is conserved in the mouse and rat orthologs, implying an important and evolutionarily conserved function, however, chromatin immunoprecipitation studies in cultured cells indicate that E2F4 acts predominantly as a repressor of E2F-regulated genes through recruitment of the p107 and p130 pocket proteins (50). The HBM overlaps with the pocket protein-binding domain (residues 382–413) raising the possibility that pocket proteins and HCF-1 might compete for binding. Promoter context or cell cycle status may determine which coregulator is recruited to a given molecule and thus allow E2F4 to switch between activator and repressor modes. E2F1 also contains a potential HBM but it is located in the N terminus of the protein, within a region involved in the interaction of E2F1 with cyclin A/CDK2, again creating an opportunity for regulation (51). Clearly the functional significance of these interactions needs to be explored. Interestingly, antagonism of pRb family members through the expression of SV40 large T antigen or adenovirus E1A has been shown to suppress the tsBN67 cell proliferation defect (52). This suggests that HCF-1 plays a role in countering the cell cycle checkpoint imposed by the pocket proteins.

The addition of Krox20 to the growing roster of HCF-dependent activators offers a unique opportunity to study HCF-1 function in a developmental and cell-specific context. Disruption of the murine Krox20 gene blocks differentiation of Schwann cells within the peripheral nervous system and also leads to loss of rhombomeres 3 and 5, resulting in abnormal development of the hindbrain and associated cranial sensory ganglia (53, 54). Both the hypomyelination and segmentation defects are thought to reflect insufficient expression of Krox20 target genes. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that ectopic expression of Krox20 in primary Schwann cells is sufficient to induce several myelin-associated genes (29, 55).

In addition to the gene disruption studies in mice, Krox20 has also been implicated in myelination through analysis of congenital hypomyelinating neuropathies. Eight missense mutations in the Krox20 protein have been identified in patients presenting syndromes of this type (reviewed in Ref. 56). Seven of the eight mutations map to the DNA-binding domain of Krox20 and presumably these prevent recognition of key target genes. The eighth mutant prevents binding of the NAB1 and NAB2 corepressors, suggesting that repression by Krox20 might also be important for proper execution of the myelination program or that transactivation must be modulated through the concerted action of coactivators and corepressors (57, 58). Whether HCF-1 plays a similar modulatory role is now testable.
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