Apoptosis, autophagic cell death, and necroptosis: different types of programmed cell death in bovine corpus luteum regression
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Abstract. In mammals, the corpus luteum (CL) is a transient organ that secretes progesterone (P4). In the absence of pregnancy, the CL undergoes regression (luteolysis), which is a crucial preparation step for the next estrous cycle. Luteolysis, initiated by uterine prostaglandin F2α (PGF) in cattle, is usually divided into two phases, namely functional luteolysis characterized by a decline in P4 concentration and structural luteolysis characterized by the elimination of luteal tissues from the ovary. Programmed cell death (PCD) of luteal cells, including luteal steroidogenic cells (LSCs) and luteal endothelial cells (LECs), plays a crucial role in structural luteolysis. The main types of PCD are caspase-dependent apoptosis (type 1), autophagic cell death (ACD) via the autophagy-related gene (ATG) family (type 2), and receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK)-dependent programmed necrosis (necroptosis, type 3). However, these PCD signaling pathways are not completely independent and interact with each other. Over the past several decades, most studies on luteolysis have focused on apoptosis as the principal mode of bovine luteal cell death. Recently, ATG family members were reported to be expressed in bovine CL, and their levels increased during luteolysis. Furthermore, the expression of RIPKs, which are crucial mediators of necroptosis, is reported to increase in bovine CL during luteolysis and is upregulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines in bovine LSCs and LECs. Therefore, apoptosis, ACD, and necroptosis may contribute to bovine CL regression. In this article, we present the recent findings regarding the mechanisms of the three main types of PCD and the contribution of these mechanisms to luteolysis.
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Introduction

The corpus luteum (CL) is a transient organ that secretes progesterone (P4), which contributes to the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy [1]. In the absence of embryos, the CL undergoes regression, and this is essential for normal cyclicity and allows the development and ovulation of new follicles. Luteolysis in ruminants is stimulated by prostaglandin F2α (PGF), which is mainly secreted by the uterine endometrium [2]. Moreover, several cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF) and interferon-γ (IFNG), as well as nitric oxide (NO), act as mediators or activators of the luteolytic cascade in cattle [3–5].

Luteolysis involves a decline in the secretory function of the CL, a reduction in P4 production (functional luteolysis), and tissue degradation and elimination by cell death (structural luteolysis) [6, 7]. Caspase-dependent apoptosis in cells that form the CL, such as luteal steroidogenic cells (LSC) and luteal endothelial cells (LEC), is hypothesized to be the predominant pathway for cell death during luteolysis in several species, including cattle [6, 7]. A large number of factors, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF, IFNG and FAS ligand (FASL), and NO [8–13], have been implicated in structural and functional luteolysis in cattle. Thus, many studies have reported the relationship between apoptosis and luteolysis in cells of the CL. In addition to apoptosis, the regulatory mechanisms and the roles of the other types of programmed cell death (PCD) in the mammalian body have been revealed in recent years. Although in cattle, these PCD types may be involved in structural luteolysis, the details of the relationship between these PCD types and luteal regression are not well understood.

Programmed cell death was first mentioned by Lockshin and Williams [14], followed by its classification into three main types: type 1, caspase-dependent apoptosis; type 2, autophagic cell death (ACD); and type 3, programmed necrosis and necroptosis [15, 16]. Recently, several new types of PCD such as pyroptosis and ferroptosis have been termed; however, no evidence has been found regarding their involvement in structural luteolysis in ruminants and other animal species.

Type 1 PCD plays a substantial role in mammalian luteolysis. In addition, ACD contributes to luteolysis in humans [17, 18], rats [19, 20], goats [21], pigs [22], and cattle [23, 24]. Necroptosis also induces luteolysis in humans [25, 26], pigs [27], and cattle [28]. In this article, we reviewed recent findings regarding the mechanisms of these three types of PCD and their contributions to luteolysis in mammals, including cattle.
Apoptosis

Apoptotic pathways

Programmed cell death, characterized by DNA fragmentation and cell shrinkage, was first defined as apoptosis by Kerr et al. [29]. Apoptosis is triggered by two main signaling pathways, namely the death receptor and mitochondrial pathways [30, 31]. A series of cysteine proteases, caspases (CASP8s), are the most common activators of PCD and are induced by the binding of death ligands to death receptors [32, 33]. The death receptor pathway, also known as the extrinsic apoptotic pathway, is initiated by extracellular ligands, including FASL and TNF, that interact with cell surface receptors such as FAS and TNF receptors (TNFRs), to initiate cell death signaling through CASP8 and CASP3, resulting in DNA fragmentation and cell degeneration [30, 33]. These typical forms of apoptosis were first characterized in bovine CL tissues at the luteal regression stage [6, 34]. Notably, during the last few decades, type 1 PCD was considered the principal cause of structural luteolysis. Apoptosis in cultured bovine LSCs and LECs is induced by inflammatory cytokines, TNF and IFNG, and a typical death ligand, FASL, secreted by immune cells, such as T lymphocytes or macrophages, that infiltrate the bovine CL during spontaneous luteolysis [8, 10, 13, 35]. Furthermore, the levels of these immune cells increased in the bovine CL 6 h after PGF injection on day 10 of the estrous cycle [35], suggesting that TNF or IFNG expression may increase during both spontaneous and PGF-induced luteolysis and stimulate structural luteolysis by inducing apoptosis.

In contrast, cellular FLICE-like inhibitory protein (cFLIP), known as an intracellular apoptosis inhibitor, inhibits the apoptotic pathway by blocking the formation of the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC), which is essential for activating the death receptor pathway [36]. Cellular FLIP is expressed in bovine CL tissues throughout the estrous cycle, and its expression decreases during luteal regression [37], suggesting that cFLIP maintains luteal function by inhibiting apoptosis in the bovine CL until luteolysis initiation.

The mitochondrial pathway, also known as the intrinsic apoptotic cascade, is another form of the apoptotic pathway. This pathway is regulated by members of the BCL2-protein family. The ratio of BCL2, which protects against cell death, to BAX, a pro-apoptotic protein, determines cell fate [31]. The death receptor and mitochondrial pathways of apoptosis are not completely different from each other; they share some aspects of signaling. Both are characterized by CASP activations [32]. In bovine CL tissues, compared with anti-apoptotic protein BCL2 expression, pro-apoptotic protein BAX expression increases during both spontaneous and PGF-induced luteolysis [7, 38]. These findings suggest that death receptor and mitochondrial pathways work together to induce rapid apoptosis in bovine LSCs and LECs during luteolysis.

Extracellular regulators of apoptosis

In bovine LSCs and LECs, TNF, IFNG, and FASL are well-known inducers of apoptosis. In contrast to these death ligands, several steroids and prostaglandins are known to inhibit TNF+IFNG-induced apoptosis in cultured LSCs and LECs [8, 11, 39, 40]. Thus, pro-apoptotic factors, such as TNF, IFNG, and FASL, and anti-apoptotic factors, such as P4, cortisol, and prostaglandins, are expressed in bovine CL and possibly regulate luteal functions, including the regulation of apoptosis in LSCs and LECs, depending on the estrous cycle phase.

Inhibitors of NO synthesis can suppress PGF-induced luteolysis in vivo [4], suggesting that NO secreted by neutrophils and LECs mediates the luteolytic action of PGF [4, 41, 42]. NO induces apoptosis in cultured bovine LSCs [12]. Moreover, reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce apoptosis by stimulating p53 and BAX mRNA expression in bovine LSCs [43]. Furthermore, hypoxia, which may occur in bovine CL during luteolysis by the disappearance of capillaries [44], is reported to inhibit P4 synthesis [45] and induce apoptosis in bovine LSCs [46]. Apoptosis in LSC and LEC is regulated by a balance between intracellular and extracellular apoptosis-related factors. In addition, P4 secretion decreases before apoptosis under hypoxic conditions in bovine LSCs [46], suggesting that functional luteolysis is followed by rapid apoptosis of LSCs and structural luteolysis in cattle.

Autophagic Cell Death

Contribution of ACD in luteolysis

Autophagy, first described by Lockshin and Zakeri, was originally believed to be a mechanism that promotes survival during malnutrition; however, ACD is now believed to be involved in PCD [47]. Furthermore, ACD is involved in inducing cell death and excreting damaged intracellular proteins [48, 49]. Generally, autophagy, including ACD, is characterized by an intracellular dual membrane called the autophagosome [50, 51], and is regulated by autophagy-related genes (ATG) and their associated proteins in mammals [52]. Furthermore, microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3), a critical marker for ACD, is present in autophagosomes [53], and the primary components of autophagosomes are lysosome-associated membrane protein-1 (LAMP1) and -2 (LAMP2) [54]. Moreover, Beclin-1 (BECN1) can induce ACD, and this ACD-inducing activity of BECN1 is suppressed by the anti-apoptotic protein BCL2 [55], suggesting possible interaction between the apoptotic and ACD pathways. Mammalian targeting of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibits the initiation of autophagy and formation of autophagosomes [56]. Furthermore, cFLIP, which is an intracellular apoptosis inhibitor, also suppresses ACD by preventing ATG3 from binding and processing LC3 [57]. Although the possibility that ACD participates in luteal regression in guinea pigs was mentioned in a morphological study in 1978 [58], reports on the role of ACD in luteolysis are limited. However, recent studies suggest that ACD contributes to luteolysis in mammals.

Regulatory mechanisms of ACD in the mammalian corpus luteum

Recently, a study reported that autophagy-related factors, such as ATG3, ATG7, and LC3, were more highly expressed at the late luteal stage than at the mid-luteal stage in bovine CL [23], indicating that ACD could be involved in structural luteolysis in cattle. Furthermore, this study indicated that P4 secretion was significantly lower at the late luteal stage than at the mid-luteal stage, suggesting that ACD is involved in both functional and structural luteolysis [23]. However, the detailed regulatory mechanisms of ACD in bovine CL remain unclear. Nevertheless, TNF induces autophagy in Ewing sarcoma cells [59], and hypoxia triggers ACD [60]. Thus, both TNF and hypoxia can trigger structural luteolysis and cell death mechanisms in bovine CL. Therefore, these factors may contribute to acute structural luteolysis in cattle by inducing apoptosis and ACD. Furthermore, ACD and apoptosis occur in goat CL tissues at the regressing luteal stage [61], and ACD-like PCD occurs in the human CL [17]. Moreover, the expression levels of BECN1, LC3-II, and LAMP1 increase during luteolysis in pigs [22], and LC3-II expression increases with the progression of luteolysis in rats [19]. These findings strongly suggest that ACD participates in...
Necroptosis and apoptosis in various mammals, including cattle. In contrast, in mouse and human LSCs, BECN1 is necessary for steroid synthesis [62, 63], suggesting that autophagy plays a critical role in maintaining luteal function. Thus, autophagy probably contributes not only to luteolysis but also maintains luteal function, such as steroid synthesis. Further studies are necessary to clarify the mechanism by which autophagy balances the life cycle and death of luteal cells.

Necroptosis

Necroptosis in ovarian cells

Necrosis is another cell death mechanism that is independent of caspases. Generally, necrosis is considered an accidental and undesirable cell death. Furthermore, it occurs in an unregulated manner and is triggered by extreme conditions. However, necrosis can be regulated by intracellular mechanisms, leading to programmed necrosis and necroptosis [64, 65]. The necrotic pathway is activated when apoptosis is blocked by CASP inhibitors such as zVAD-FMK [64, 66, 67]. Receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK) 1 and RIPK3 function as sensors of cellular stress [68], and they are essential kinases that mediate necroptosis in experiments with the RIPK1 activity inhibitor necrostatin-1 [67, 69, 70]. Although necrosis plays the most predominant role in the death of granulosa cells in the atretic follicle of ewes [71, 72], no information was available on whether ovarian cells exhibited programmed necrosis or necroptosis until Blohberger et al. reported necroptosis and its role in the human ovary [25]. They demonstrated that necroptosis occurs in human granulosa and luteal cells and contributes to follicular atresia and luteolysis [25]. Necroptosis probably plays a substantial role in the regulation of primate folliculogenesis [73] and luteolysis [26]. Furthermore, necroptosis is an alternative mechanism responsible for the death of bovine granulosa and theca cells [74]. These findings suggest that necroptosis is a critical factor in the regulation of ovarian function. Recently, we demonstrated that both RIPK1 and RIPK3 are expressed in bovine CL throughout the estrous cycle and their expression levels increase during luteolysis [28], suggesting that RIPK-dependent necroptosis participates in structural luteolysis in cattle. This study was the first to reveal the role of necroptosis in luteolysis in domestic animals. Notably, this study indicated that necroptosis-inducing treatment did not affect P4 secretion in bovine LSCs [28], suggesting that necroptosis is not involved in functional luteolysis in cattle. These findings indicate that the relationship between PCD, including necroptosis, and functional luteolysis is not well understood, and further studies are needed.

Regulatory mechanisms of necroptosis

Death ligands, such as TNF and FASL, induce apoptosis as well as necroptosis in a number of tissues [75–77]. In death ligand-induced necroptosis, RIPKs are deubiquitinated by intracellular factors such as cylindromatosis (CYLD). Moreover, RIPKs and a pseudokinase, mixed lineage kinase-domain protein (MLKL), form a death-inducing signaling complex termed the necrosome [78]. Phosphorylated MLKL probably executes necroptosis [79–82]. Many intracellular proteins, including RIPKs, CYLD, and MLKL, induce necroptosis. Following this general mechanism of necroptosis regulation, we investigated the regulatory mechanisms of RIPKs expressed in bovine LSCs and LECs in vitro [28, 83] and during PGF-induced luteolysis in vivo [84, 85]. First, we demonstrated that TNF in combination with IFNG, but not PGF or NO, stimulates RIPK expression [28]. By contrast, PGF, NO, TNF, and IFNG induce RIPK expression in bovine LECs [83]. These findings suggest that necroptosis can occur in both bovine LSCs and LECs; however, different necrotic pathways and signaling orders exist within each cell.

Cellular FLIP could be a candidate intracellular inhibitor of death ligand-receptor system-induced RIPK activation and necroptosis. The CASP8-cFLIP complex inhibits apoptosis as well as RIPK-dependent necroptosis [86, 87]. As previously mentioned, cFLIP also inhibits apoptosis and ACD [36, 57], indicating that cFLIP inhibits all three types of PCD. Thus, cFLIP plays a substantial role in determining the fate of CL cells. Furthermore, one of our previous studies indicated that cFLIP was expressed throughout the estrous cycle in bovine CL tissues and decreased during the regressing luteal stage [37]. Thus, RIPK expression and the occurrence of necroptosis are probably inhibited by cFLIP in bovine CL from the early to late luteal stages.

Although the typical luteolytic factor, PGF, did not affect RIPK expression and necroptosis in cultured bovine LSCs [28], in vivo studies indicated that PGF treatment induced the expression of RIPKs, CYLD, and MLKL in bovine CL [84, 85]. The effects of PGF on luteal function are very complex, and the luteolytic effect of PGF does not induce apoptosis directly in LSCs and LECs but depends on the cell composition, contact, and number of intraluteal mediators [88].

Conclusion

In this article, we reviewed the mechanisms of the three PCD types and their contributions to ovarian function, mainly luteolysis, in mammals, including cattle. The PCD pathways interact with each other and share some aspects of signaling [89]. Figure 1 depicts the schema of PCD signals in bovine LECs and LSCs with active and regressing CL. Further studies will contribute to an in-depth understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of ovarian function in mammals.
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