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Abstract

We introduce a hypothesis which presumes that damage to the vomeronasal organ during a Le Fort I osteotomy of the maxilla for the purpose of orthognathic surgical treatment of congenital or acquired jaw deformities affects the patient's social life in terms of the selection of mates and establishment of relationships. The vomeronasal organ is chemosensory for pheromones, and thus registers unconscious olfactory information which might subsequently act on the limbic system of an individual and influence the selection of mates. We believe it is connected to an inhibitory feedback mechanism which is responsible for the exclusion of inappropriate mates. When the vomeronasal organ is removed or damaged during a maxillary osteotomy, the inhibitory function is lost, the patient loses the involuntary ability to exclude inappropriate mates, may become less committed to an existing mate, or even become promiscuous.

Background

Orthognathic surgery is a surgical discipline aimed at correcting congenital or acquired jaw deformities. It may be indicated for functional and/or aesthetic reasons. Clinical situations demanding such correction include the need for reconstruction of biting and chewing functions [1], the correction of sleep apnea syndrome [2-5], temporomandibular joint disorders [6], or cleft palate [7]. Orthognathic surgery is primarily based on osteotomy of the facial bones and advancement or set back of the upper and/or lower jaw bone. Maxillary osteotomy is performed in fracture line Le Fort I, originally described by Rene Le Fort [1,6].

Orthognathic surgery significantly affects the psychological aspects of a patient's personality in almost all cases. In the majority of cases, it significantly increases the patient's self-confidence [8]. Retrospective studies suggest a very high level of satisfaction following orthognathic surgery in comparison with other types of cosmetic surgery, such as rhinoplasty or breast augmentation/reduction [9]. It has been shown that patients significantly improved their psychological-psychiatric profile, including psychoses, neuroses, personality disorders, and social integration [10]. Most importantly for our study, physical attractiveness has a considerable impact on the establishment of new relationships, including dating [11].

In mammals, the vomeronasal organ (VNO), also known as Jacobson's organ, is a chemosensory organ, the function of which is still not precisely known. In some phylogenetically older animals, such as mice or rats, the VNO is most likely used in the detection of pheromones, i.e., a chemical substance which carries a message about the physiological or behavioral state of a living organism to members of its own species, resulting in a specific reaction
Connections between the VNO and the amygdala and limbic system have been described, both of which are recognized as the seat of emotional, hormonal, and autonomic control [14]. For example, snakes use this organ to sense prey, sticking their tongue out to gather scents and touching it to the opening of the organ when the tongue is retracted [15]. Elephants transfer chemosensory stimuli to the vomeronasal opening in the roof of their mouths using a prehensile structure, sometimes called a “finger,” at the tip of their trunks. Some mammals, such as horses, use a distinctive facial movement, referred to as the flehmen response, to direct inhaled compounds to this organ. House cats often may be seen making a grimace when examining a scent that interests them. In some other mammals, the entire organ contracts or pumps in order to draw in the scents [12].

The presence and role of the VNO in humans remains controversial. Some reports state that it completely regresses during fetal development, while others are emphatic regarding its presence in humans [16,17]. Its function is stated to be similar as in animals, i.e., the ability to register the presence of pheromones [18].

**Presentation of the hypothesis**

We hypothesize that the VNO might be unilaterally, or more often bilaterally removed or irreversibly damaged, during a Le Fort I osteotomy when maxillary advancement, impaction, or extrusion is performed, in order to achieve a good esthetic and/or functional result during orthognathic surgery. During a Le Fort I osteotomy, the VNO, together with the supplying nerve, is excised in the course of dissecting the nasal mucosa from the hard palate osseous base.

As a result of this surgical intervention, the patient loses the ability to recognize all scents of a pheromone nature, which significantly changes sexual preferences and behavior, including personal criteria for the choice of a mate.

**Evaluation of the hypothesis**

Why the VNO exists in adult humans

Although some authors dismiss the existence of a true VNO, there are many observations demonstrating a specific organ in the nasal mucosa which is not of a respiratory or olfactory nature [16,17,19]; thus, there should be no doubt that the VNO exists in humans. The VNO is often described as a blind-ending diverticulum in the septal mucosa opening via a depression, called the VNO pit, into the nasal cavity approximately 2 centimetres from the nostril [17].

On the basis of phylogenetic development, it would be surprising if all chemosensory communication has been lost. The fact that chemical communication does not seem to be a strong determinant of human behaviour is not a very strong argument for dismissing vomeronasal function, as implied by Keverne [20] and Meredith [12]. The truth will likely be somewhere in between, i.e., the VNO is developed in adult humans, but has a significantly reduced function during phylogenetic development due to the more rapid development of other senses.

Why the VNO exhibits properties of a sensory organ

The VNO in humans does not have the classical appearance of a peripheral sensory ending, from which a bundle of nerve fibres originates and terminates in the central nervous system. It has been shown that adult human vomeronasal epithelium has a limited number of bipolar cells, positive for neuron-specific enolase, a specific marker for cells of neural origin [17,21]. In addition, a significantly higher density of unmyelinated axons has been observed in the mucosa below and near the human VNO, in comparison with other nasal mucosa [22]. On the other hand, axons observed in the mucosa do not reveal the continuity or synaptic contact with the epithelial cells of vomeronasal nature [23].

The innervation of the VNO in animals is quite complex (for a review, see [13]). In the human fetus, as in other species, the terminalis nerve, i.e., the zero cranial nerve, connects the VNO and the brain, acting as a pathway for migration of luteinizing hormone releasing hormone-producing neurons from the region of the VNO epithelium into the brain [24-26]. In addition, the terminalis nerve clearly persists in human adults [12,27]. Although it has not been shown that the terminalis nerve carries the axons of the VNO in adults, such speculation had often been published [12,28,29].

Why the VNO responds to pheromones

There is electrophysiologic evidence of a response of the VNO to urine [18]. Jacob and colleagues [30,31] have reported changes in mood in humans elicited by chemicals extracted from human skin, including androstandione and estratetraenyl compounds. It has been reported that a local electrophysiologic response to the application of small amounts of the same substances, confined directly to the vomeronasal region and termed the electrovomeronasogram response [32,33]. In addition, responses from isolated cells and also a systemic response to such an application have been reported. Importantly, conventional odours did not elicit such response [32].

Several indirect reports of the presence of pheromone-like substances, influencing human behaviour, have been published (for a review, see [12]). One of the most notable examples is a trend towards synchronization of menstrual cycles in women who live together [34]. This function might be phylogenetically-based, i.e., the Lee-
observed a 65% increase in positive influence on personality and self-confidence in patients after orthognathic surgery. In addition, 24% of patients stated an improvement in the establishment of relationships with the opposite sex [11]. Williams et al. [40] showed that of patients who were not aware they had a problem in their social life, 24% stated that their social life was significantly improved after orthognathic surgery.

**Consequences of the hypothesis and discussion**

Our hypothesis presumes there is an inhibitory role of the VNO in terms of identification of inappropriate individuals for mating. This inappropriate individual excretes pheromones which are recognized as inappropriate by the VNO and such information is transduced into limbic brain structures and evokes an involuntary response in terms of not “liking that person” or “feeling something strange.” We believe this is a phylogenetically old function for the exclusion of inappropriate mates for the conception of descendants and their additional care. The pre- and post-delivery care of the mother, which is important for females, but also the need for the proper selection of a mother capable of giving birth to healthy children, which is important for males, were and are more important in humans than in, for example, mice, because the time needed for the pregnancy and also the lactation period are quite long.

Kimchi et al. [39] recently showed that the murine VNO-mediated pheromone inputs act in wild-type females to repress male behaviour and activates female behaviour. On the basis of these results, they concluded that functional neuronal circuits underlying male-specific behav-

---

**How the VNO influences human behaviour**

Although the VNO has more functions, probably the most important and most studied function of the VNO is its influence on sexual behaviour. Experiments on animal models have shown that the destruction or deafferentation of the VNO produces severe sexual behavioural deficits in both males and females (for a review, see [13]). Based on a number of studies, the experiments of Bruce [37] most closely support our hypothesis. In these experiments, fertilised eggs failed to implant if a strange male was exchanged for the mating male in the cage of a female within 4 days of copulation. This effect was later shown to be dependent on the functional VNO [38]. These experiments also indicated the important role of memory in the process of recognition of pheromones by the VNO. Recently, there has been a report showing that male mice deficient in Trpc2, an ion channel specifically expressed in VNO neurons and essential for transduction in the VNO, are impaired in sex-discrimination and male-male aggression, whereas females deficient in Trpc2 show a reduction in female-specific behaviour, including maternal aggression and lactating behaviour. In addition, the same results have been observed after the VNO is removed [39].

**Why the VNO is lost during a Le Fort I osteotomy**

Our hypothesis presumes the VNO is lost during maxillary movement. In the case of maxillary impaction, we perform not only bony structure reduction, but also partial reduction of the inferior cartilaginous part of the nasal septum to prevent unfavorable and non-esthetic nose bending. During such a reduction, the VNO is electrocoagulated to control the bleeding from the nasopala- tine artery, or even removed in toto. This statement is indirectly supported by the findings of Trotier et al. [17], who found a substantially lower number of VNOs in patients who underwent septal surgery of a different nature in comparison with healthy individuals.

**Why the loss of the VNO influences behaviour**

To our knowledge, no comprehensive scientific study has analyzed the role of VNO loss during orthognathic surgery on the post-operative establishment of new relationships and mating behaviour of patients. However, several indirect reports have supported this statement. Jacobson [11]...
sion exist in the normal female mouse brain [39]. Thus, when applied to our hypothesis, when the inhibitory mechanism, i.e., the VNO, is destroyed, the mechanism of negative feedback is disrupted and the individual is free to choose a partner for mating; however, he/she might prefer more than one individual and therefore suddenly becomes promiscuous.

On the basis of our hypothesis, a comprehensive study of orthognathic patients with a focus on social life, together with the number and gender of mates, analyzed before and after surgery, might be developed. Importantly, patients who underwent a Le Fort I osteotomy should be evaluated separately from those who underwent a sagittal split osteotomy of the mandible and/or a genioplasty without maxillary advancement and/or set back.

Conclusion
Our hypothesis presumes that the loss of the VNO during orthognathic surgery might influence the post-operative social life of patients in terms of a loss of negative feedback, which is important for exclusion of inappropriate mates.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions
Both authors made substantial contributions to conception, design, and analysis and interpretation of data, both have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and have given final approval of the version to be published.

References
1. Youssef RF, Throckmorton GS, Ellis E 3rd, Sinn DP: Comparison of habitual masticatory cycles and muscle activity before and after orthognathic surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997, 55:697-707.
2. Cuccia AM, Campisi G, Cannavale R, Colella G: Obesity and craniofacial variables in subjects with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: comparisons of cephalometric values. Head Face Med 2007, 3:41.
3. Foltán R, Pretl M, Donev F, Hoffmannová J, Vlk M, Šonka K, Mazánek J, Rambousek Z: Changing of facial skeleton for treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. Prague Med Rep 2005, 106:149-158.
4. Foltán R, Pretl M, Donev F, Hoffmannová J, Vlk M, Šonka K: Maxillo-mandibular advancement in the therapy for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Ces Slov Neurol Neurochir 2005, 68:412-418.
5. Foltán R, Hoffmannová J, Donev F, Vlk M, Šonka K, Pretl M: Advancement musculus genioglossus and hyoid myotomy in therapy for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Ces Slov Neurol Neurochir 2006, 69:57-63.
6. Abrahamsson C, Ekberg E, Henrikson T, Bondemark L: Alterations of temporomandibular disorders before and after orthognathic surgery: a systematic review. Angle Orthod 2007, 77:729-734.
7. Cheung LK, Loh JSP, Ho SMY: The early psychological adjustment of cleft patients after maxillary distraction osteogene- sis and conventional orthognathic surgery: a preliminary study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006, 64:1743-1750.
8. Nurminen L, Pietila T, Vinikka-Puhakka H: Motivation for and satisfaction with orthodontic-surgical treatment: a retrospec- tive study of 26 patients. Eur J Orthod 1999, 21:355-361.
9. Cunningham SJ, Hunt NP, Feinmann C: Perceptions of outcome following orthognathic surgery. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1996, 34:210-213.
10. Flanary CM, Barnwell GM, VanSickel JS, Littlefield JH, Rugi AL: Impact of orthognathic surgery on normal and abnormal personality dimensions: a 2-year follow-up study of 61 patients. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1990, 98:313-322.
11. Jacobson A: Psychological aspects of dentofacial esthetics and orthognathic surgery. Ang Orthod 1984, 54:18-35.
12. Meredith M: Human vomeronasal organ function: a critical review of best and worst cases. Chem Senses 2001, 26:433-445.
13. Doving KB, Trotier D: Structure and function of the vomeronasal system. J Exp Biol 1998, 201:2913-2923.
14. Meredith M: Sensory processing in the main and accessory olfactory systems: comparisons and contrasts. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991, 39:601-614.
15. Halpern M: The organization and function of the vomeronasal system. Annu Rev Neurosci 1987, 10:325-362.
16. Bhanagar KP, Smith TD: The human vomeronasal organ. Ill. Postnatal development from infancy to the ninth decade. J Anat 2001, 199:289-302.
17. Trotier D, Elot C, Wassen M, Talma G, Bensimon J-L, Doving KB, Ferrand J: The vomeronasal cavity in adult humans. Chem Senses 2000, 25:369-380.
18. Holy TE, Dulac C, Meister M: Responses of vomeronasal neurons to natural stimuli. Science 2000, 288:1569-1572.
19. Morán FT, Fark BH, Rowley JC: 3rd: The vomeronasal (Jacobson's) organ in man: ultrastructure and frequency of occurrence. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991, 39:545-552.
20. Keever EB: The vomeronasal organ. Science 1999, 286:716-720.
21. Takami S, Getchell ML, Chen Y, Monti-Bloch L, Berliner DL, Stensaas LJ, Getchell TV: Vomeronasal epithelial cells of the adult human express neuron-specific molecules. Neuropeptides 1993, 24:375-378.
22. Jahnev V, Merker HJ: Electron microscopic and functional aspects of the human vomeronasal organ. Am J Rhinol 2000, 14:63-67.
23. Stensaas LJ, Lakker RM, Monti-Bloch L, Gasser B, Blinder DL: The vomeronasal organ and olfactory system of the human vomeronasal organ. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991, 39:553-560.
24. Schwanzel-Fukuda M, Pfaff DW: Origin of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone neurons. Nature 1989, 338:161-164.
25. Ronnekleiv OK, Resko JA: Ontogeny of gonadotropin-releasing hormone-containing neurons in early fetal development of rhesus macaques. Endocrinology 1990, 126:498-511.
26. Boehm N, Roos J, Gasser B: Luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH)-expressing cells in the nasal septum of human fetuses. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 1994, 82:175-80.
27. Brockover C: The nervous terminals in adult man. J Comp Neurol 1914, 24:131-135.
28. Witkin JW, Silverman AJ: Luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) in rat olfactory systems. J Comp Neurol 1983, 218:462-472.
29. Wissing CR, Leonard CM: The terminal nerve projects centrally in the hamster. Neuroscience 1986, 19:709-717.
30. Jacobson A, Kinnunen LH, Metz J, Cooper M, McClintock MK: Sustained human chemosignal unconsciously alters brain function. Neuropeptides 2001, 12:239-239.
31. Jacobson A, Garcia S, Hayreh D, McClintock MK: Psychological effects of musky compounds: comparison of androstadienone with androstenedione and muscone. Horm Behav 2002, 42:274-283.
32. Monti-Bloch L, Gasser B: Effect of putative pheromones on the electrical activity of the human vomeronasal organ and olfactory epithelium. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1991, 39:573-582.
33. Monti-Bloch L, Jennings-White C, Berliner DL: The human vomeronasal system. A review. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1998, 855:373-389.
34. McClintock MK: Menstrual synchrony and suppression. Nature 1971, 229:244-245.
35. Lee S Van der, Boot LM: Spontaneous pseudopregnancy in mice. Acta Physiol Pharmacol Neerl 1955, 4:442-444.
36. Whitten WK: Occurrence of anoestrus in mice caged in groups. J Endocrinol 1959, 18:102-107.
37. Bruce HM: An exteroceptive block to pregnancy in the mouse. Nature 1959, 184:105.
38. Bellringer JF, Pratt HP, Keverne EB: Involvement of the vomeronasal organ and prolactin in pheromonal induction of delayed implantation in mice. J Reprod Fertil 1980, 59:223-8.
39. Kimchi T, Xu J, Dulac C: A functional circuit underlying male sexual behaviour in the female mouse brain. Nature 2007, 448:1009-1014.
40. Williams AC, Shah H, Sandy JR, Travess HC: Patients’ motivations for treatment and their experiences of orthodontic preparation for orthognathic surgery. J Orthod 2005, 32:191-202.
41. Meningaud JP, Benadiba L, Servant JM, Herve C, Bertrand JC, Pelicier Y: Depression, anxiety and quality of life among scheduled cosmetic surgery patients: multicentre prospective study. J Maxillofac Surg 2001, 29:177-180.
42. Heldt L, Haffke EA, Davis LF: Thy psychological and social aspects of orthognathic treatment. Am J Orthod 1982, 82:318-328.
43. Pogrel MA, Scott P: Is it possible to identify the psychologically "bad risk" orthognathic surgery patient preoperatively? Int J Adult Orthod Orthognath Surg 1994, 9:105-110.