Study of gamma-ray background noise for radioactive waste drum characterization with plastic scintillators
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Abstract— In the framework of the radioactive waste drum characterization using neutron coincidence counting, the Nuclear Measurement Laboratory of CEA Cadarache is studying plastic scintillators as an alternative to ideal but costly 3He gas proportional counters. Plastic scintillators are at least 5 times cheaper for the same detection efficiency, and in addition, they detect fast neutrons about three orders of magnitude faster than 3He detectors. However, they are sensitive to gamma rays, which implies the necessity to identify precisely gamma background sources that may affect the useful signal. This paper presents a detailed analysis of the gamma-ray spectrum of a radioactive waste drum containing glove box filters contaminated by plutonium dioxide. Gamma emissions accompanying inelastic scattering (n,n’ ) and (α,n) reactions that can lead to neutron-gamma coincidences parasitizing useful coincidences from plutonium spontaneous fissions are identified. Some of these parasitic gamma rays having energies up to several MeV, we plan to reject high-energy scintillator pulses with an electronics rejection threshold above 1 MeV, which should preserve the major part of useful fission neutron pulses.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the context of passive neutron measurements for nuclear material characterization, conventional coincidence collars based on helium 3 gas counters permits a temporal discrimination of spontaneous fissions neutrons and parasitic neutrons from (α, n) reactions. 3He proportional counters are the golden standard because they show a very high capture cross section for thermal neutrons, leading to high detection efficiency when surrounding detectors with polyethylene moderator, while being practically insensitive to gamma rays. However, the global shortage on 3He gas [1] has greatly increased their cost in the past 15 years, leading to worldwide initiatives to look for cheaper alternatives with equivalent performances [2]. The Nuclear Measurements Laboratory of CEA, DEN, Cadarache, is currently studying the use of low-cost Polyvinyl Toluene (PVT) plastic scintillators as a potential alternative [3] [4] that have the advantage of being sensitive to fast neutrons, thus avoiding thermalizing materials and offering a nanosecond response time. Consequently, very short coincidence windows of a few tens of nanoseconds allow greatly reducing accidental coincidences compared to three order of magnitude slower 3He detection systems. However, plastic scintillators are very sensitive to gamma rays. Some of them are emitted in coincidence with (α,n) neutrons often encountered with plutonium oxides and alpha bearing waste, leading to neutron-gamma coincidences that penalize the detection of plutonium spontaneous fission coincidences. Other correlated gamma emissions may also occur in neutron-induced reactions, such as (n,n’ ) inelastic scattering. Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD) liquid organic scintillators are commonly used to parry gamma sensitivity, but they would not constitute a cost-effective alternative to 3He counters for radioactive waste package characterization. Indeed, large detection volumes are needed to preserve coincidence counting statistics and therefore, a large number of PSD scintillators should be implemented as their size is limited. Actually, the difference between neutron and gamma ray pulse tails is not preserved in large PSD scintillators due to light multiple scattering [5] [6]. Finally, a large array of small (maximum 5 inches) PSD scintillators would increase the cost to a similar level as 3He detectors, not to mention flammability and toxicity of organic liquid scintillators. In addition, PSD information lies in pulse tails and would be damaged by pulse pile-up in case of high count rate, as it is the case with some radioactive waste.

In view to find mitigations to gamma sensitivity of plastic scintillators, this paper reports the gamma spectrum analysis of a 120 L waste drum containing glove box filters contaminated by plutonium dioxide powder with a focus on gamma rays emitted by (α,x), (n,n’ ) and (n,γ) reactions.

II. GAMMA SPECTRUM

The High Purity Germanium (HPGe) detector used for the measurement is a planar Broad Energy Germanium (BGe) from Mirion Technologies (Canberra), equipped with a CP5 Cryo-Pulse. It was in quasi-contact with a 120 L radioactive waste drum containing glove box filters contaminated with plutonium dioxide (PuO2).

A 1 mm tin plate was placed in front of the detector to clean very low energy gamma rays from the spectrum, especially the very intense 59.5 keV line of 241Am. The acquisition electronics is a DSALX from Mirion-Canberra, including high-voltage, amplification, pulse digitization and processing, and gamma spectrum storage. Data acquisition and processing is performed with Genie2000 gamma spectrometry software from Mirion-Canberra. The measurement lasted over 53 h,
from which 38.9 h of real acquisition time with a dead time of 27 %. Registered pulses are coded on 16384 channels and the resulting spectrum recorded on the [0-6400] keV range is given in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1**: Gamma spectrum of the 120 L plutonium waste drum resulting from a 38.9 hours real time measurement with a BEGe planar HPGe. Three main regions separated by dotted lines (from 0 to 800 keV, 800 to 4000 keV and above 4000 keV) are analysed

Three main regions of interest are identified.

- The region below 800 keV includes classical gamma rays following americium and plutonium decays. Note that the low-energy threshold setting will be discussed in section III, in particular to cut the residual 59.5 keV peak.
- The region between 800 keV and 4000 keV includes gamma rays emitted by natural radionuclides ($^{40}$K, thorium chain), ($\alpha$,x) reactions on light nuclei, and ($n,n'$) inelastic scattering reactions. This region represents the very heart of this work, because reaction gamma rays emitted in correlation with a neutron can lead to real but parasitic neutron-gamma coincidence, which are indistinguishable from useful spontaneous fission coincidences. It corresponds also to the energy range in which the high-energy threshold will be adjusted as discussed in section III. Expansions of the 800-1800 keV and of the 1800-4000 keV regions are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively, showing a large number of peaks, some of which broadened by Doppler effect due to the short life time of the reaction product excited state. This excited nucleus is indeed still slowing down when the de-excitation gamma ray is emitted.
- a region between 4000 keV and 6400 keV with few peaks due to neutron inelastic scattering, which can also generate parasitic neutron-gamma coincidence. The high-energy region of the spectrum reported in Figure 4 shows neutron-induced radiative capture and inelastic scattering gamma rays on carbon and oxygen nuclei present inside the waste drum. The artefact peak near 5500 keV is due to DSA-LX electronics. This peak is also present during background noise acquisitions. The 4946.31 keV radiative capture gamma ray on $^{12}$C nuclei is weak but present, as well as the 6128.63 keV inelastic scattering gamma ray on $^{16}$O accompanied by its single escape (SE) and double escape (DE) peaks. The $^{16}$O($n,n'$) reaction may also lead to 6917 keV and 7117 keV gamma rays [7] but they are beyond the 6400 keV end-energy of the spectrum.

### III. THRESHOLDS SETTINGS FOR PLASTIC SCINTILLATORS

In view to limit parasitic non-fission coincidences in plutonium measurements with plastic scintillators, we plan to use low- and high-energy thresholds to cut as many gamma rays as possible. Uncorrelated gamma rays from alpha and beta disintegrations, and from ($\alpha$,x) reactions do not create coincidences, except from cross talk between close detectors but such events can be discarded by time rejection as explained in [3]. However, as mentioned above, prompt gamma rays accompanying ($\alpha$,n) and neutron inelastic scattering reactions, as well as cascade gamma rays following disintegrations or reactions, can create non-fission coincidences. In order to find a trade-off between neutron preservation and gamma-ray elimination using low- and high-energy cutoffs, it is important to take into account the difference in scintillation light output between neutron and gamma detection in plastic scintillators. Indeed, the quenching effect reduces the light output generated by heavy particles, such as recoil protons after neutron elastic scattering on hydrogen nuclei, compared to the same energy deposition by a gamma ray [8]. The energy deposition of a proton is therefore converted in MeV equivalent electron (MeVee), which is the energy that an electron would release by slowing down in the plastic scintillator to produce the same light pulse. A previous study concerning BC-420 plastic scintillators [9] gives the following conversion in case for recoil protons:

$$E_{\text{(MeVee)}} = 0.0364E_n^2 + 0.125E_n$$

and in case of recoil carbon nuclei [5] [10] the relationship is:

$$E_{\text{(MeVee)}} = 0.02E_n$$

where $E_n$ is the energy deposited by the neutron, in MeV. The only consider here fast neutron reactions inducing recoil protons in plastic scintillators.

Figure 5 shows a Maxwell spectrum representing the energy distribution of neutrons emitted by spontaneous fission of $^{239}$Pu, which is the major spontaneous fission emitter [11]:

$$N(E) = \sqrt{E}\exp(-E/1.32\text{MeV})$$

**Table 1** reports fission neutron losses when applying different low- and high-energy thresholds. It is important to remind that neutron elastic scattering leads to uniform energy deposition between 0 and $E_n$ (incident neutron energy) on hydrogen nuclei in plastic scintillators. Consequently, neutron detection is still possible when $E_n$ is larger than the high-energy threshold, in case of energy deposition smaller than this high-energy threshold. On the other hand, a neutron with $E_n$ larger than the low-energy threshold can be discarded if its energy deposition is below this low-energy threshold. The same is true for partial photon energy deposit in plastic scintillators, in which Compton scattering is the major interaction process. Therefore, Table 1 just provides a qualitative idea of neutron losses. The real thresholds will be determined experimentally based on signal-to-noise ratio (i.e. spontaneous fission vs. parasite coincidences using $^{252}$Cf and AmBe sources, respectively) and detection limit considerations (using Pu samples).

Following the gamma spectrum analysis, three low-energy thresholds are considered:

- a 60 keVee threshold allows cutting the contribution of the
intense 59.54 keV gamma ray of $^{241}$Am in plastic scintillators. In fact, this gamma ray mainly deposits a much lower energy than 59 keV by Compton scattering. The 60 keVee threshold would cut energy depositions below 426.9 keV for neutrons, which leads to about 4 % neutron losses of the Maxwell spectrum; - a 130 keVee threshold would cut the intense gamma and X-ray signal from americium and plutonium disintegrations but also neutron energy depositions up to 836.3 keV, i.e. 11 % of spontaneous fission neutrons; - a 210 keVee threshold would cut the intense $^{235}$U and plutonium gamma rays, especially the 208 keV peak of $^{241}$Am/$^{237}$U, which is the most intense line of the whole gamma spectrum, and its associated Compton continuum. In fact, the 208 keV peak Compton edge is close to 93 keV and even if PVT scintillators have a poor energy resolution, 208 keV gamma rays can easily be eliminated even with the previous 130 keV threshold. Just for information, the 208 keV threshold would cut neutron energy depositions up to 1236 keV, i.e. 20 % of spontaneous fission neutrons.

![Figure 2: Gamma rays from natural radionuclides (U, K, and Th decay chains), (n,x) and inelastic scattering reactions in the 800 - 1800 keV energy range](image1)

![Figure 3: Gamma rays from natural radionuclides (uranium and Th decay chains), (n,x) and inelastic scattering reactions in the 1800 - 4000 keV energy range](image2)
Figure 4: Gamma rays from neutron radiative capture and inelastic scattering reactions in the high-energy range (4000-6400 keV)

Figure 5: Prompt neutron spectrum from 240Pu spontaneous fissions, approximated as a Maxwell distribution N(E)

Table 1: Different high- and low-energy threshold combinations, and corresponding percentage of 240Pu spontaneous fission neutrons between the two thresholds in the above Maxwell spectrum. In the last two columns, percentage below and above the low- and high-energy thresholds, respectively.

| Low-E threshold (keV) | High-E threshold (keV) | % of neutrons between the low- and high-E thresholds | % of neutrons below the low-E threshold | % of neutrons above the high-E threshold |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 60 keVee              | 426.9 keV n           | 60.92                           | 3.96                            | 35.39                           |
| 60 keVee              | 1000 keV              | 68.95                           | 3.96                            | 27.36                           |
| 130 keVee             | 836.3 keV             | 53.32                           | 11.29                           | 35.39                           |
| 130 keVee             | 1000 keV              | 61.35                           | 11.29                           | 27.36                           |
| 210 keVee             | 1236 keV              | 44.42                           | 20.19                           | 35.39                           |
| 210 keVee             | 1000 keV              | 52.45                           | 20.19                           | 27.36                           |

Considering the high-energy threshold, the following settings are considered:
- a 800 keVee threshold eliminates some gamma rays coming from (α,x) reactions and neutron inelastic scattering (as 1129 keV from 26Mg, 1238 keV from 50Fe, 1274 keV from 28Si and 22Ne, 6128 keV from 16O) but also neutron energy deposits above 3.276 MeV, i.e. 35 % of spontaneous fission neutrons;
- a 1000 keVee threshold would no more cut 1129 keV gamma rays from 26Mg (Compton Edge at 922 keV), but as it corresponds to a higher 3.798 MeV neutron energy deposit, only 27 % of spontaneous fission neutrons would be lost. Different combinations of these low and high-energy thresholds are reported in Table 1, along with the percentage of preserved or lost 240Pu fission neutron losses. The 60 and 1000 keVee low and high-
energy thresholds, respectively, seems to be a good trade-off because they cut the intense 59.54 keV ray of $^{241}$Am and a large part of high-energy gamma rays, while keeping more than 69% of spontaneous fission neutrons.

IV. CONCLUSION

In order to propose plastic scintillators as a viable alternative to replace helium 3 gas counters in plutonium characterization coincidence collars, it is important to find mitigation means of their high sensitivity to gamma rays. These last, when emitted in correlation with other particles like in $(\alpha,n)$ and $(n,n')$ reactions, or in gamma cascades, lead to parasitic coincidence that can be misidentified as coming from plutonium spontaneous fissions. The gamma spectrum of a 120 L waste drum filled with plutonium bearing glove box filters allowed us identifying, besides natural background rays ($^{40}$K, U and Th chains) and well-known rays due to plutonium and americium radioactive decays, a number of less common gamma rays. These last are due to nuclear $(\alpha,x)$ reactions induced by the high alpha activity of Pu and Am, as well as by neutrons from spontaneous fissions and $(\alpha,n)$ reactions. The analysis of the residual nuclei excited levels produced in alpha- and neutron-induced reactions allowed us identifying many such gamma rays, most of them with an energy larger than 1 MeV, which could lead to spurious coincidences in a measurement system with plastic scintillators. This analysis will lead us to use a high-energy rejection threshold to reject such gamma rays, while preserving the largest possible fraction of useful fission neutron pulses.
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