Bronchial Brushing and Diagnosis of Pulmonary Nontuberculous Mycobacteria Infection
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Abstract

**Background:** The optimal bronchoscopy procedure for diagnosis of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infection is unclear. **Objective:** This study investigated the usefulness of bronchial brushing in bronchoscopy for diagnosis of pulmonary NTM infection in patients with suspected NTM lung disease and nodular bronchiectasis on chest computed tomography (CT) images. **Methods:** Bronchoscopy was prospectively performed for 69 patients with clinically suspected pulmonary NTM infection on chest CT from December 2017 through December 2019. Before and after bronchial brushing, bronchial washing was performed with 20 or 40 mL of normal sterile saline at the same segmental or subsegmental bronchi. Before and after bronchial brushing, samples of the washing fluid (pre- and postbrushing samples) and brush deposits (brush samples) were obtained and cultured separately. **Results:** NTM was detected in 37 of the 69 (53.6%) patients (Mycobacterium avium in 27, Mycobacterium abscessus in 2, and M. kansasii in 2). NTM was detected in 34 (49.3%) prebrushing samples, in 27 (39.1%) postbrushing samples, and in 20 (29.0%) brush samples from the 69 patients. In 2 (2.9%) patients, NTM was detected only in postbrushing samples; in 1 (1.4%) patient, NTM was detected only in a brush sample. As compared with bronchial washing only, additional bronchial brushing increased the NTM culture-positive rate by 4.3% (3/69). Bronchial brushing caused bleeding, requiring hemostasis in 5 (7.2%) patients. **Conclusion:** Additional bronchial brushing increased the NTM culture-positive rate by only 4.3% (3/69), as compared with bronchial washing alone. Thus, the usefulness of brushing appears to be limited.

Introduction

The prevalence of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) disease is increasing worldwide [1] and in Japan [2]. Pulmonary NTM disease usually manifests as slowly progressive nodular bronchiectatic lesions and fibrocavitary lesions on chest computed tomography.
According to an American Thoracic Society (ATS)/Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) statement published in 2007 [4], diagnosis of pulmonary NTM disease (including Mycobacterium avium complex [MAC], M. kansasii, and M. abscessus) requires a positive culture result for at least 2 separate expectorated sputum samples or at least 1 bronchial lavage sample. Bronchoscopy was reported to be useful for diagnosis of pulmonary NTM infection in patients with negative sputum cultures for NTM [5–14]; however, the bronchoscopy procedures for NTM diagnosis are not standardized. In addition, no previous study investigated the diagnostic accuracy of bronchial washing with or without bronchial brushing. The genus Mycobacterium including MAC and M. abscessus have been reported to form biofilms on a variety of different devices [15]. Another report showed that Mycobacterium avium (M. avium) strains form biofilms on bronchial epithelium in vitro [16]. We hypothesized that NTM form granulomas and biofilm in bronchioles; thus, damage to granulomas and biofilm caused by brushing might increase the culture positivity rate. We therefore investigated the usefulness of bronchial brushing for bronchoscopy diagnosis of pulmonary NTM infection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

This single-center prospective interventional study enrolled adults (age, ≥18 years) with suspected pulmonary NTM disease and bronchiectasis on chest CT. All patients were required to fulfill the ATS criteria for diagnosis of NTM [4]; recruitment took place from December 2017 through December 2019 at Toho University Omori Medical Center. Patients with positive sputum smears for mycobacteria on any 3 consecutive days were excluded.

Sixty-nine patients with suspected pulmonary NTM disease, with small nodular infiltrates with or without bronchiectasis on chest CT images, prospectively underwent bronchoscopy examination. The bronchoscopy procedure is shown in Figure 1. First, we performed bronchial washing at the most affected segmental or subsegmental bronchi, as determined by chest CT. Second, bronchial brushing of the same lesion was done for about 10 s (Fig. 2). Finally, bronchial washing was repeated. Bronchial washing was done with 20–40 mL of normal sterile saline, and samples of bronchial washing fluid were collected before and after bronchial brushing (pre- and postbrushing samples), as were samples of brush deposits (brush samples). Each sample was examined with Ziehl-Neelsen and Gram stains and cultured for mycobacteria, other bacteria, and fungi. In addition, PCR assays for M. tuberculosis, M. avium, and Mycobacterium intracellulare (M. intracellulare) were performed. We used DNA-DNA hybridization to identify non-MAC species.
The patients were classified as NTM culture-positive (positive group) or NTM culture-negative (negative group), and the 2 groups were compared. NTM culture-positive patients were further classified as prebrushing sample culture-positive (prepositive) patients and pre-brushing sample culture-negative (prenegative) patients, and the clinical characteristics, subjective symptoms, positive rate for glycopeptidolipid (GPL) core serum IgA, and chest CT findings of these groups were compared.

**Data Collection**

The following patient data were collected: age, sex, BMI, smoking history, comorbidities, CT findings for the chest and paranasal sinuses, and culture results for sputum and bronchoscopy. Chest CT scanning was performed during the period 1 month before bronchoscopy. Most patients underwent COPD assessment testing (CAT) to confirm subjective symptoms at the time of admission for bronchoscopy [17].

**Chest CT Score**

Chest CT score was defined as previously described [18]. We divided the lungs into 6 zones at the levels of the carina and inferior pulmonary vein. Using high-resolution CT, we categorized the 4 types of MAC lesions (cavity, bronchiectasis, nodule, and infiltration) into 5 stages, according to the occupation rate in each zone (0: no lesion, 1: 1–24% occupied, 2: 25–49% occupied, 3: 50–74% occupied, and 4: 75–100% occupied). Chest CT score was assessed by 2 respiratory specialists.

**PCR Assay**

PCR of *M. tuberculosis*, *M. avium*, and *M. intracellulare* was performed using the COBAS TaqMan MTB and MAI kit (Roche Diagnostics Inc, Tokyo, Japan). The real-time PCR assay amplified a region of the 16S rRNA gene and detected each specific mycobacterium gene sequences.

**GPL-Core Serum IgA Assay**

The levels of serum IgA antibody against the GPL-core antigen of MAC were measured using an enzyme immunoassay kit (TAUNS Laboratory Inc, Shizuoka, Japan). This kit measured levels of serum IgA antibody against the GPL-core antigen of MAC by using ELISA. GPL-core IgA antibody was developed by Kitada et al. [19] and has been commercially available since 2011 in Japan.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are shown as numbers and percentages of patients. Age, BMI, chest CT score, and CAT score are expressed as average ± SD. Associations of categorical and continuous variables between patients in the positive and negative groups and in the prepositive and prenegative groups were tested with the χ² or Fisher’s exact test and the Mann-Whitney U test, respectively. A p value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 22 software (IBM, Endicott, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics**

The characteristics of the 69 patients, who were enrolled during the period from December 2017 through December 2019, are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 67.1 ± 10.4 years, 84.1% were woman, mean BMI was 19.5 ± 2.3 kg/m², and 68.1% were never smokers; the GPL-core serum IgA positive rate was 58%. Comorbidities were rheumatoid arthritis (10.1%), Sjögren syndrome (1.4%), and sinusitis (13%). The underlying pul-

---

**Fig. 2.** a The most affected lesion on chest CT imagery. b Chest X-ray image of bronchial brushing of the most affected lesion.
Pulmonary disease was pulmonary emphysema in 7.2% and interstitial pneumonia in 2.9%. Chest CT score was 7.65 ± 4.0. The CAT score for subjective symptoms was 7.89 ± 4.91, the CAT score for cough was 1.81 ± 1.51, and the CAT score for sputum was 1.61 ± 1.35. The bronchial wash site was the right upper lobe in 24.6% of patients, left upper segment in 2.9%, right middle lobe in 52.1%, left lingula segment in 11.6%, right lower lobe in 2.9%, and left lower lobe in 5.8% of patients. There were significant differences between the positive and negative groups in positive rate of GPL-core serum IgA (81.1 vs. 31.3%; p < 0.001), the score for subjective symptoms of cough in CAT score (1.21 ± 1.04 vs. 2.50 ± 1.68; p = 0.001), sputum in CAT score (1.21 ± 1.09 vs. 2.07 ± 1.48; p = 0.012), the rate of right middle lobe in bronchial washing location (40.5 vs. 65.6%; p = 0.033) and the rate of positive culture result for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (0 vs. 15.6%; p = 0.018).

### Microbiological Results of Bronchoscopy
The culture results for acid-fast bacteria were as follows: *M. avium*, 27/38 (71.1%); *M. intracellulare*, 7/38...

---

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of NTM culture-positive and culture-negative patients**

| Characteristic | Total | Positive NTM culture | Negative NTM culture | p value |
|---------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Patients, n   | 69    | 37                    | 32                   |        |
| Age, mean ± SD, years | 67.1±10.4 | 66.8±10.2 | 67.6±10.8 | 0.760 |
| Gender (female), n (%) | 58 (84.1) | 30 (81.1) | 28 (87.5) | 0.348 |
| BMI, mean ± SD, kg/m² | 19.5±2.3 | 19.8±2.5 | 19.2±2.2 | 0.270 |
| Smoking (never), n (%) | 47 (68.1) | 25 (67.6) | 20 (62.5) | 0.562 |
| Positive result for GPL-core serum IgA, n (%) | 40 (58.0) | 30 (81.1) | 10 (31.3) | <0.001 |
| Comorbidities, n (%) |       |                      |                     |        |
| RA | 7 (10.1) | 3 (8.1) | 4 (12.5) | 0.417 |
| SjS | 1 (1.4) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.1) | 1.000 |
| Sinusitis | 9 (13.0) | 4 (10.8) | 5 (15.6) | 0.406 |
| Underlying pulmonary disease, n (%) |       |                      |                     |        |
| Emphysema | 5 (7.2) | 1 (2.7) | 4 (12.5) | 0.136 |
| Interstitial pneumonia | 2 (2.9) | 1 (2.7) | 1 (3.1) | 0.716 |
| Chest CT imaging results |       |                      |                     |        |
| Chest CT score, mean ± SD | 7.65±4.0 | 7.68±4.01 | 7.63±4.06 | 0.959 |
| Cavitary lesion, n (%) | 15 (21.7) | 9 (24.3) | 6 (18.8) | 0.397 |
| Subjective symptoms |       |                      |                     |        |
| CAT score | 7.89±4.91 | 7.21±4.80 | 8.69±5.01 | 0.240 |
| Cough score | 1.81±1.51 | 1.21±1.04 | 2.50±1.68 | 0.001 |
| Sputum score | 1.61±1.35 | 1.21±1.09 | 2.07±1.48 | 0.012 |
| Bronchial washing location, n (%) |       |                      |                     |        |
| Right upper lobe | 17 (24.6) | 9 (24.3) | 8 (25.0) | 0.584 |
| Left upper segment | 2 (2.9) | 2 (5.4) | 0 | 0.284 |
| Right middle lobe | 36 (52.1) | 15 (40.5) | 21 (65.6) | 0.033 |
| Lingula | 8 (11.6) | 6 (16.2) | 2 (6.3) | 0.182 |
| Right lower lobe | 2 (2.9) | 2 (5.4) | 0 | 0.284 |
| Left lower lobe | 4 (5.8) | 3 (8.1) | 1 (3.1) | 0.364 |
| With bronchoscopy, n (%) |       |                      |                     |        |
| Positive culture of *M. avium/M. intracellulare* | 27 (39.1)/7 (10.1)/4 (5.8) | 27 (73.0)/7 (18.9)/4 (10.8) | 0 |
| Positive PCR of *M. avium/*M. intracellulare | 27 (39.1)/7 (10.1) | 26 (70.3)/6 (16.2) | 1 (3.1)/1 (3.1) |
| Positive smear of acid-fast bacilli | 15 (21.7) | 15 (40.5) | 0 |
| Positive culture of *P. aeruginosa* | 5 (7.2) | 0 | 5 (15.6) | 0.018 |
| Positive culture of *H. influenzae* | 6 (8.7) | 1 (2.7) | 5 (15.6) | 0.070 |
| With sputum, n (%) |       |                      |                     |        |
| Positive culture of *M. avium/M. intracellulare* | 6 (8.7)/1 (1.5)/0 | 6 (16.2)/1 (2.7)/0 | 0 |
| Positive PCR of *M. avium/*M. intracellulare | 9 (13.0)/3 (4.3) | 8 (21.6)/3 (8.1) | 1 (3.1)/0 |
| Bleeding complications, n (%) | 5 (7.2) | 1 (2.7) | 4 (12.5) | 0.136 |

NTM, nontuberculous mycobacterial; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SjS, Sjögren syndrome; CT, computed tomography; CAT, COPD assessment test; GPL, glycopeptidolipid; *M. avium*, *Mycobacterium avium*; *M. intracellulare*, *Mycobacterium intracellulare*; non-MAC, non-*Mycobacterium avium* complex; *P. aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; *H. influenzae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*. * Score for subjective symptoms of cough and sputum, contained in CAT score.
The microbiological results of bronchoscopy are shown in Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 3. Among the 37 NTM culture-positive patients (*M. avium* and *M. intracellulare* were both detected in one patient), the positive rates for prebrushing samples in smear, MAC-PCR, and NTM culture were 37.8% (14/37), 73% (27/37), and 91.9% (34/37), respectively; the positive rates for brush samples in smear, MAC-PCR, and NTM culture were 32.4% (12/37), 51.4% (19/37), and 54.1% (20/37), respectively; and the positive rates for postbrushing samples in smear, MAC-PCR, and NTM culture were 35.1% (13/37), 75.7% (28/37), and 73.0% (27/37), respectively. Among the 3 patients with culture-negative prebrushing samples, 2 were culture positive only for the postbrushing sample and 1 patient was culture positive only for the brush sample.
Comparison of Patients with Positive and Negative Bronchoscopy Culture Results before Brushing

The 37 patients in the positive group were classified into 2 groups, in accordance with their bronchoscopy culture results: 34 patients were classified as prepositive (mean age, 66.7 ± 10.0 years; 82.4% women) and 3 were classified as prenegative (mean age, 66.8 ± 10.2 years; 81.1% women). The characteristics of these 2 patient groups are shown in Table 4. Chest CT score was significantly higher in the prepositive group than in the prenegative group (7.88 ± 4.12 vs. 5.33 ± 0.58; \( p = 0.003 \)).

Safety of Bronchial Brushing

Bronchial brushing resulted in bronchial bleeding requiring hemostatic treatment in 5 patients. However, no patient developed serious adverse events or complications such as pneumonia, hemoptysis, or pneumothorax.

Discussion/Conclusion

Several studies investigated the diagnostic accuracy of bronchoscopy for NTM [5–14]. Some performed bronchoscopy for patients with chest CT findings suggestive of pulmonary NTM disease, during a defined period [5, 13, 14]; however, the bronchoscopy procedure differed between studies. Tanaka et al. performed transbronchial lung biopsy with bronchial washing and detected NTM in 15 of 26 patients (57.7%) [5]. Kitada et al. performed

---

**Table 3. Microbiological results by sample type**

|                      | Prebrushing sample | Brush sample | Postbrushing sample | Total      |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|
| Smear                | 37.8% (14/37)      | 32.4% (12/37)| 35.1% (13/37)       | 40.5% (15/37)|
| MAC-PCR              | 73.0% (27/37)      | 51.4% (19/37)| 75.7% (28/37)       | 83.8% (31/37)|
| Culture              | 91.9% (34/37)      | 54.1% (20/37)| 73% (27/37)         | 100% (37/37) |

MAC, *Mycobacterium avium* complex.

**Fig. 3.** Distribution of culture-positive patients as visualized with a Venn diagram.
Bronchial Brushing for Diagnosis of NTM

Our previous study of bronchial brushing with washing detected NTM in 36 of 71 patients (50.7%) [14]. Tanaka et al. [5] reported that among 15 patients with positive NTM cultures, transbronchial lung biopsy detected only 9 with epithelioid granuloma. No characteristic histological findings were obtained from 11 patients with negative NTM cultures. Although the number of patients was small, they concluded that the diagnostic rate was lower for transbronchial lung biopsy than for bronchial washing. No previous study compared the diagnostic rate of bronchial washing with or without bronchial brushing in the same patients. We investigated the additional effects of bronchial brushing in 69 patients that underwent bronchial washing before and after bronchial brushing.

In this study, patients in the negative group tended to be infected with P. aeruginosa and more severe subjective symptoms of cough and sputum, contained in CAT score.

| Characteristic | Prepositive | Prenegative | p value |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Patients, n   | 34          | 3           |         |
| Age, mean ± SD, years | 66.7±10 | 68.0±13.1 | 0.879  |
| Gender (female), n (%) | 28 (82.4) | 1 (33.3) | 0.477  |
| BMI, mean ± SD, kg/m² | 19.7±2.4 | 21.0±2.9 | 0.504  |
| Smoking (never), n (%) | 23 (67.6) | 2 (66.7) | 0.704  |
| Positive result for GPL-core serum IgA, n (%) | 28 (82.4) | 2 (66.7) | 0.477  |
| Comorbidities, n (%) | | | |
| RA | 3 (8.8) | 0 | 0.770 |
| SjS | 0 | 0 | – |
| Sinusitis | 3 (8.8) | 1 (33.3) | 0.298 |
| Underlying pulmonary disease, n (%) | | | |
| Emphysema | 1 (2.9) | 0 | 0.919 |
| Interstitial pneumonia | 1 (2.9) | 0 | 0.919 |
| Chest CT imaging results | | | |
| Chest CT score, mean ± SD | 7.88±4.12 | 5.33±0.58 | 0.003  |
| Cavitary lesion, n (%) | 8 (23.5) | 1 (33.3) | 0.578  |
| Subjective symptoms | | | |
| CAT score | 7.15±5.16 | 7.00±3.46 | 0.925  |
| Cough score* | 1.23±1.06 | 1.0±1.0 | 0.740  |
| Sputum score* | 1.23±1.12 | 1.0±1.0 | 0.741  |
| BALF location, n (%) | | | |
| Right upper lobe | 7 (20.6) | 2 (66.7) | 0.141  |
| Left upper lobe | 2 (5.9) | 0 | 0.842  |
| Middle lobe | 14 (41.2) | 1 (33.3) | 0.644  |
| Lingula | 6 (17.6) | 0 | 0.579  |
| Right lower lobe | 2 (5.9) | 0 | 0.842  |
| Left lower lobe | 3 (8.8) | 0 | 0.770  |
| With bronchoscopy, n (%) | | | |
| Positive culture of M. avium/M. intracellulare | 25 (73.5)/7 (20.6)/3 (8.8) | 2 (66.7)/0/1 (33.3) | – |
| Positive PCR of M. avium/M. intracellulare | 24 (70.6)/6 (17.6) | 1 (33.3)/0 | – |
| Positive smear of acid-fast bacilli | 15 (44.1) | 0 | – |
| Positive culture of P. aeruginosa | 0 | 0 | – |
| Positive culture of H. influenzae | 1 (2.9) | 0 | 0.919  |
| With sputum, n (%) | | | |
| Negative culture of M. avium/M. intracellulare | 5 (14.7)/1 (2.9)/0 | 1 (33.3)/0/0 | – |
| Positive PCR of M. avium/M. intracellulare | 7 (20.6)/3 (8.8) | 1 (33.3)/0 | – |
| Bleeding complications, n (%) | 1 (2.9) | 0 | 0.919  |

NTM, nontuberculous mycobacterial; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SjS, Sjögren syndrome; CT, computed tomography; CAT, COPD assessment test; GPL, glycopeptidolipid; M. avium, Mycobacterium avium; M. intracellulare, Mycobacterium intracellulare; non-MAC, non-Mycobacterium avium complex; P. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; H. influenzae, Haemophilus influenzae. * Score for subjective symptoms of cough and sputum.
symptoms of cough and sputum. This is the same trend as our previous report and suggest that subjective symptoms be milder in patients with pulmonary NTM disease than in those with chronic lower respiratory infection for other pathogenic bacteria, even at the same disease severity in chest CT [14].

During bronchial brushing, the bronchial surface is scraped to obtain cytological and microbiological specimens from inside the airway mucosa or bronchial lesions [20]. This technique is useful as a possible auxiliary method for diagnosis of pulmonary lesions beyond the reach of bronchoscopy. Sensitivity in peripheral lung cancer cytology was reported to be 43% with bronchial washing alone, but this increased to 54% after bronchial brushing [21]. Another study reported that the diagnostic rates of bronchial washing and brushing were 37.3 and 46.4%, respectively, for peripheral lung cancer [22]. According to these reports, use of brushing increased the diagnosis rate for peripheral lung cancer by 9.1–11%. The authors concluded that bronchial brushing improved the diagnostic rate for patients with suspected peripheral lung cancer. In the present study, prebrushing samples resulted in positive NTM culture in 34 of 69 (49.3%) patients, 20 of 69 (29.0%) had a positive NTM culture of brush samples, and 27 of 69 (39.1%) had a positive culture of postbrushing samples. The diagnostic rate was not increased by bronchial brushing.

Pathological studies showed granulomatous inflammation around bronchi and bronchioles in pulmonary MAC patients [23]. Another report showed that M. avium strains form biofilms on bronchial epithelium in vitro [16]. We predicted that NTM forms granulomas and biofilm in bronchioles; thus, damaging granulomas and biofilm by brushing might increase culture positivity. However, the culture-positive rate was lower for postbrushing samples than for prebrushing samples, which indicates that NTM attached to bronchial epithelium might be removed during the first wash (prebrushing sample). Therefore, NTM cultures were negative in the second wash (postbrushing sample). Furthermore, bronchial brushing was performed in a relatively peripheral bronchial region, and a 20- to 40-mL lavage solution might not sufficiently reflect the effect of brushing.

Although the culture-positive rates for postbrushing and brush samples were lower than that of prebrushing samples, only the postbrushing sample was culture positive in 2 patients, and only the brush sample was culture positive in 1 patient. Bronchial washing is usually performed only after bronchial brushing. The present overall positive rate of NTM cultures was 53.6% (37/69; including the 3 patients added to the 34 culture-positive patients in the prebrushing sample). Thus, bronchial brushing increased the rate of positive NTM cultures by 4.3%, as compared with bronchial washing only.

Bronchial brushing resulted in bronchial bleeding requiring hemostatic treatment in 5 patients. Bleeding improved promptly in all these patients, and no subsequent complications such as pneumonia or hemoptysis were observed. This result suggests that bronchial brushing for pulmonary NTM is relatively safe.

Although bronchial brushing was relatively safe, it increased the rate of positive NTM cultures by only 4.3%, which was lower than the increase for peripheral lung cancer. Furthermore, 91.9% (34/37) of NTM culture-positive cases were detected by bronchial washing alone. Therefore, the usefulness of bronchial brushing in bronchoscopy for diagnosis of pulmonary NTM infection appears to be limited. Although additional bronchial brushing may be regarded as a part of routine procedure, bronchial washing alone is worth performing for diagnosis of pulmonary NTM disease if bronchial brushing is not indicated for patients at risk of bleeding or if fluoroscopy is not available for reasons such as pregnancy.

In this study, chest CT scores were better for prenegative patients than for prepositive patients, which suggests that additional bronchial brushing may be effective in patients with mild disease on chest CT images. However, 13 of 34 patients (38.2%) in the prepositive group had a relatively low chest CT score lower than 5.3 in the prenegative group. This result requires confirmation in a larger study.

This study had several limitations. First, it was a single-center study of a small number of patients. Second, selection bias is a concern because all enrolled patients had chest CT findings suggestive of pulmonary NTM disease, as determined by a respiratory physician.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the usefulness of additional bronchial brushing for diagnosis of pulmonary NTM infection in bronchoscopy. In conclusion, additional bronchial brushing increased the NTM culture positivity rate by only 4.3%, as compared with bronchial washing alone. Although the procedure was relatively safe, the usefulness of brushing appears to be limited.
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