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ABSTRACT

Aim: The main purpose of this study was to determine college students’ attitude towards reading against the backdrop of perceived poor habit of reading among Bhutanese students and evidences showing decline in attitude towards reading observed among students as they matured.

Study Design: Descriptive survey.

Place and Duration of the Study: Gedu College of Business Studies (GCBS), a constituent college under the Royal University of Bhutan, during the 2019 academic session.

Methodology: The authors administered Elementary Reading Attitude Survey (ERAS) questionnaire to 500 freshmen.

Results: A descriptive statistical analysis of questionnaires returned revealed a positive attitude towards reading. Specifically, from the two types of reading, the respondents preferred recreational reading slightly more than the academic reading. In addition, an independent-samples t-test revealed a statistically significant attitudinal differences between genders towards reading. In both cases, female-respondents were found more positive towards both recreational and academic reading than their male counterparts.

Conclusion: Analysis of the data revealed that the freshmen of GCBS held positive attitude towards reading with slight preference being given to recreational reading. Also, from the two genders, independent-samples t-test showed that females were more positive towards reading than males.

*Corresponding author: Email: chenhow15@gmail.com;
Keywords: Reading; attitude; Bhutanese students; college students.

1. INTRODUCTION

Of the four language skills, reading is often investigated by scholars because it is considered the most important skill [1,2,3] that significantly affects students’ speaking and writing skills [4,5], academic achievements [6,7,5], and communicative competence [8,5]. In addition, according to [5], reading also broadens minds and nurtures good personal characteristics as does [9] regard it as key to “unlocking the vault of the wisdom of the race” (p. xxi). Erdem [10] also claims it as “the basis of lifelong learning” (p. 3984). These evidences may be the reasons why English curricula mandate both English language teachers and their students to fulfill the prescribed standards for reading [see 9] in all Bhutanese schools.

In spite of these evidences, many students do not seem to show interests in reading, and one factor which either encourages or discourages reading among students is known to be their attitude. Because, as Smith [11] notes, “emotional response to reading . . . is the primary reason most readers read, and probably the primary reason most nonreaders do not read” (p. 177), many scholars have investigated it quite extensively in numerous contexts [e.g., 12,13,14,5].

Although girl-participants in [13], [15], and [16] were found more positive towards reading than boys, they, however, reported a decline in students’ attitude towards reading as they progressed from low to higher grades. Reasons for this decline could include finding reading activity difficult and availability of alternative learning platforms such as internet, computer or mobile games and music [16], decline in reading culture with maturity [13,15], and watching home videos [17].

While Sheu [18], Hurst [19], and Lee [20], on the other hand, found their interventions more influential in sustaining students’ attitude towards reading. In other words, these researchers observed positive attitude towards reading after the administration of interventions such as reading graded readers (GR) and books for native English-speaking children (BNESC), and freedom to choose books of one’s choice and availability of dictionaries, attendance in qualified reading-teacher’s reading class, and Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies (PALS), Wangchuk [5] also reported a similar finding from their in-class reading sessions. Inclusion and practice of reading strategies in their daily in-class reading sessions have improved speaking and writing skills, enhanced learning other academic subjects, broadened minds, and developed good personal characters of the participants.

However, little is known about Bhutanese college students’ attitude towards reading despite studies that claimed lack of reading among Bhutanese students. For example, the [21] and [22] reported low performances among Bhutanese students in English. Also, the PISA-D report revealed Bhutanese students’ average literacy of 45.34% below the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [OECD] average though it was 4.08% higher than the averaged PISA-D solution rates (41.26%) of the eight countries which participated in the PISA-D in 2017 [23]. It also found Bhutanese students poor at performing tasks that required higher cognitive skills. Such performances may be attributed to poor habit of reading among Bhutanese students resulting in poor comprehension ability [24], adoption of intensive reading culture [14] aimed at scoring good grades in examinations, and poor home schooling [25].

Besides the studies that claim a decline in students’ attitude towards reading as they progressed to higher grades [e.g.,16,13,15], there is also a perceived decline in habit of reading among Bhutanese students [26]. Therefore, this study aimed to determine general attitude of freshmen of Gedu College of Business Studies towards reading as it is attitude that significantly influences students’ level of engagement with reading [27] and then their aptitude towards other academic engagements. The findings from this study would suggest language module tutors to institute in-class reading programs that would either assist the students to acquire or sustain their habit of reading. Further, they would advise module tutors to design activities that necessitate reading materials outside of the prescribed course packs so that students practice both intensive and extensive reading that fulfill both recreational and academic purposes.

1.1 Research Questions

1. What is the general attitude of freshmen of Gedu College of Business Studies (GCBS) towards reading?
2. Which of the two types of reading is more favored by the GCBS students?
3. What is the relationship between gender and attitude towards reading among GCBS students?

2. METHODS

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design that aimed to study the attitude of freshmen of GCBS towards reading. Descriptive survey is a research design that administers the same set of questions [28] in the form of print copies of the questionnaire in this case, for example.

2.1 Participants

Table 1 shows the total number of freshmen who participated in the study. They were studying at Gedu College of Business Studies (GCBS), Royal University of Bhutan, at the time of data collection.

Table 1. Demographic information of the respondents

| Gender | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Male   | 179       | 52.2    |
| Female | 164       | 47.8    |
| Total  | 343       | 100.0   |

As shown in Table 1, from the total of 500 first year students at that time, 343 of them responded to the survey questionnaires. From it, 52.2% (n=179) of them were males while 47.8% (n=164) were females.

2.2 Data Collection Tool

The data for this study were collected through a survey questionnaire. This questionnaire was adopted from [29]'s Elementary Reading Attitude Survey (ERAS), and it consisted of 20 items catering to two types of reading: recreational and academic. The questionnaire comprised two parts. Part I required the respondents to write their names (optional) and gender while Part II instructed them to rate their degree of happiness or sadness on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being Very Upset and 4 being Very Happy.

In order to validate and contextualize the questionnaire, the researchers requested three experienced researchers to provide suggestions regarding its content relevancy, contextual appropriateness, and language ambiguity. As there was not any suggestion from them, the researchers administered the same questionnaire developed by [29]. The questionnaire did not need pilot-testing as the reliability coefficients of the two subscales ranged from .74 to .89 [see 29] indicating a high reliability.

2.3 Data Analysis

The researchers used descriptive statistics: mean scores and standard deviation using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. Except for the demographic information, the descriptive statistics computed for the respondents’ ratings on 20 items are interpreted as per the following interpretation scale values adapted from [30].

In addition, an independent-samples t-test was performed to measure the relationship between gender and attitude towards reading.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the analysis of the data gathered through a survey questionnaire and their interpretations. Answers to research questions are presented in different subsections: The respondents’ attitude towards reading, their attitude towards recreational reading, their attitude towards academic reading, and the relationship between gender and attitude towards reading.

3.1 Respondents’ Attitude towards Reading

This section reports the respondents’ attitude towards reading. Specifically, it presents the respondents’ choices between reading materials, that are, recreational and academic, and it answers the first two questions: (1) What is the general attitude of freshmen of Gedu College of Business Studies (GCBS) towards reading? and (2) Which of the two types of reading is more favored by the GCBS students?

Generally, as shown in the Table 3, the respondents’ attitude towards reading was positive. This is because the average (M=3.07) of the recreational and academic reading fell between the range of 2.51 and 3.25 as shown in the interpretation of the scale values in Table 2. Therefore, the GCBS students’ attitude towards reading was positive. This finding suggests...
academicians to create environments that not only engage students in reading, but also assist them in improving their academic performances and achievements. This result was somewhat expected as academic activities in the college required students to spend a significant amount of their leisure time on independent reading for academic purposes [10,12,]. On the other hand, this finding contradicts the findings of [13] and [15]. These studies observed a decline in attitude towards reading with maturity and academic progression. Neither does this finding support the neutrality of Turkish university students’ attitude towards reading in English [7]. Prevalence of such inconsistencies may be caused by differences in social, cultural, and educational settings.

Table 2. Interpretation of the scale values

| Scale | Range         | Attitude       |
|-------|---------------|----------------|
| 4     | 3.26-4.00     | Very Positive  |
| 3     | 2.51-3.25     | Positive       |
| 2     | 1.76-2.50     | Negative       |
| 1     | 1.00-1.75     | Very Negative  |

Specifically, from the two types of reading, the respondents slightly favored recreational reading (M=3.10) over academic reading (M=3.04). This meant the respondents loved reading for pleasure and general knowledge than for academic purpose thus disapproving the finding of [12].

Table 3. Respondents’ attitude towards reading

| Reading Attitude | Mean | SD   |
|------------------|------|------|
| Recreational     | 3.10 | 0.815|
| Academic         | 3.04 | 0.802|
| Total            | 3.07 | 0.809|

3.2 Respondents’ Attitude towards Recreational Reading

Table 4 reports the respondents’ ratings for recreational reading. From the ten items under recreational reading in Table 4, the respondents’ attitudes were very positive towards five items as they fell between 3.26 and 4.00 as shown in Table 2. In other words, the respondents were Very Happy about reading different kinds of books, reading for fun at home, starting a new book, going to a bookstore, and getting a book for a present. Among them How do you feel about getting a book for a present? received the lowest rating (SD=0.875).

While four other items fell between 2.51 and 3.25 as per Table 2. This meant that the respondents were positive towards spending free time reading a book, reading during free time in school, reading a book on a rainy Saturday, and reading during summer vacation. From this attitudinal positivity, it could be concluded that the respondents preferred extensive reading [12] to acquire knowledge [31] for academic purpose [32] and upscale skills that are essential for personal, social and professional growths [5,31,32].

On the contrary, the respondents were Little Upset about How do you feel about reading instead of playing? This meant, as their attitude was negative towards this item, the respondents preferred playing to reading, probably, because the former is physically, emotionally, and mentally engaging than the latter. However, it must be interpreted with caution as there were deviations between respondents’ ratings.

3.3 Respondents’ Attitude towards Academic Reading

Table 5 shows the ranking of the respondents’ ratings towards academic reading. Generally, of the ten items under this section, the respondents’ attitude towards academic reading fell under very positive and positive categories. In other words, the respondents were very happy and little happy towards these groups of items.

As ratings of three items fell between 3.26 and 4.00 as shown in Table 2, the respondents were very positive towards learning from books, using dictionary and reading stories in reading class thus substantiating the finding of [12]. To put it differently, the respondents were very happy about doing these activities in their classes. The ratings for other seven items fell between 2.51 and 3.25 (See Table 2). In this case, the respondents were little happy when doing these activities during their formal academic sessions. Therefore, as in [5], the attitudes of the respondents of this study were also positive indicating love for in-class reading time, reading schoolbook, in-school reading, reading workbook pages and worksheets, reading aloud in class, sharing about their reading, and doing reading tests.
All in all, the respondents demonstrated positive attitude towards academic reading. This may be because that educational stage was more engaging requiring a lot of reading [12] in enhancing their productive and receptive skills [8,33], raising their academic achievements [34,35], and then be able to “. . . participate meaningfully in a knowledge-based society” [36,p.38]. Also, as this finding indicated love for in-class reading, the English language teachers may adopt in-class reading practice [see 5] so that it not only influences students’ academic learning, but also promotes and sustains the habit of lifelong learning [10,31,32].

3.4 Relationship between Gender and Attitude towards Reading

To answer question three: What is the relationship between gender and attitude towards reading among GCBS students? an independent-samples t-test was conducted to determine the relationship between gender and its attitude towards reading. As shown in Table 6a and 6b, in case of respondents’ attitude towards recreational reading, the results of the independent-samples t-test revealed statistically significant difference (p 0.004<p 0.05) in mean recreational reading scores between males and females. This meant the female-respondents were more positive (M=3.308, SD=0.397) towards recreational reading than their male counterparts (M=2.909, SD=0.489).

Similarly, an independent-samples t-test was performed to compare the academic reading scores for males and females. This result revealed a statistically significant difference (p.002<p .05) in the mean academic reading scores between males and females, thus, revealing that females’ attitude towards academic reading was more positive (M=3.113, SD=0.436) than that of males (M=2.971, SD=0.408).

Overall, from the independent-samples t-test results of these variables, the females were found more positive towards both recreational and academic reading than males. This finding, therefore, supports the findings of [13], [15], and [16] which concluded the attitude of females as more positive towards reading than that of males.

Table 4. Respondents’ attitude towards recreational reading

| Items of recreational reading                                      | n   | Mean | SD  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|
| How do you feel about reading different kinds of books?           | 343 | 3.45 | .695|
| How do you feel about reading for fun at home?                   | 343 | 3.42 | .764|
| How do you feel about starting a new book?                       | 343 | 3.36 | .747|
| How do you feel about going to a bookstore?                      | 343 | 3.26 | .816|
| How do you feel about getting a book for a present?              | 343 | 3.26 | .875|
| How do you feel about spending free time reading a book?         | 343 | 3.10 | .780|
| How do you feel when you read a book in school during free time? | 343 | 3.05 | .820|
| How do you feel when you read a book on a rainy Saturday?        | 343 | 2.94 | .870|
| How do you feel reading during summer vacation?                  | 343 | 2.70 | .858|
| How do you feel about reading instead of playing?                | 343 | 2.44 | .921|
| Total                                                            | 343 | 3.10 | .828|

Table 5. Respondents’ attitude towards academic reading

| Items of Academic Reading                                       | n   | Mean | SD  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|
| How do you feel about learning from a book?                    | 343 | 3.64 | .574|
| How do you feel about using a dictionary?                      | 343 | 3.41 | .724|
| How do you feel about stories you read in reading class?       | 343 | 3.35 | .692|
| How do you feel when it is time for reading in class?          | 343 | 3.14 | .762|
| How do you feel about reading your schoolbook?                 | 343 | 3.06 | .785|
| How do you feel about reading in school?                       | 343 | 3.03 | .770|
| How do you feel reading workbook pages and worksheets?         | 343 | 2.78 | .749|
| How do you feel when you read out loud in class?               | 343 | 2.77 | 1.009|
| How do you feel when a teacher asks you questions about what you read? | 343 | 2.64 | 1.004|
| How do you feel about taking a reading test?                   | 343 | 2.57 | .949|
| Total                                                           | 343 | 3.04 | .802|
### Table 6(a). Relationship between gender and attitude towards reading

| Gender                  | n   | Mean | Std. deviation | Std. error mean |
|-------------------------|-----|------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Recreational Reading**|     |      |                |                 |
| Score                   |     |      |                |                 |
| Male                    | 179 | 2.90 | 0.489          | 0.037           |
| Female                  | 164 | 3.31 | 0.397          | 0.031           |
| **Academic Reading**    |     |      |                |                 |
| Score                   |     |      |                |                 |
| Male                    | 179 | 2.97 | 0.408          | 0.031           |
| Female                  | 164 | 3.11 | 0.436          | 0.034           |

### Table 6(b). Relationship between gender and attitude towards reading

|                      | Levene's test for equality of variances | t-test for equality of means |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | F        | Sig.      | t     | df  | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean difference | Std. Error difference | 95% confidence interval of the difference |
|                      |          |           |       |     |                |                |                            | Lower | Upper |
| **Recreational Reading** |          |           |       |     |                |                |                            |       |       |
| Score                |          |           |       |     |                |                |                            |       |       |
| Equal variances assumed | 8.62    | .004      | -8.24 | 340.00 | .000      | -.40          | .05          | -.49 | -.30  |
| Equal variances not assumed | -8.32  | .000      | -3.13 | 341.00 | .002      | -.14          | .05          | -.23 | -.05  |
| **Academic Reading**  |          |           |       |     |                |                |                            |       |       |
| Score                |          |           |       |     |                |                |                            |       |       |
| Equal variances assumed | 1.21    | .271      | -3.13 | 341.00 | .002      | -.14          | .05          | -.23 | -.05  |
| Equal variances not assumed | -3.12  | .002      | -3.13 | 333.27 | .002      | -.14          | .05          | -.23 | -.05  |
4. CONCLUSION

This study was conducted at Gedu College of Business Studies involving its freshmen. Based on the descriptive analysis of Elementary Reading Attitude Survey (ERAS) questionnaire [see 29], it revealed that the attitude of GCBS freshmen was positive towards reading. From the two types of reading, the respondents slightly favored recreational reading over academic reading. Further, to ascertain attitudinal differences between the two groups of genders, an independent-samples t-test was performed. This test result showed the attitude of female-respondents more positive towards reading than their male counterparts.

5. LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study was conducted at GCBS involving only freshmen. Therefore, it cannot be representative of all students studying at various constituent colleges of the Royal University of Bhutan. To know about Bhutanese college students’ attitude towards reading, a large-scale study involving a representative sample of college students of the constituent colleges under the Royal University of Bhutan is recommended. Also, as quantitative nature of this study itself is its limitation, the researchers suggest a mixed-methods study. This is because, in addition to quantitative data sources, the qualitative data have additional potential for obtaining “... intricate details about phenomena such as feelings, thought processes, and emotions that are difficult to extract or learn about through more convenient conventional research methods” [37,p.11]. Moreover, triangulation of data from these sources would provide “rich and holistic” [38, p.5] findings about the phenomenon.
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After receiving the approval for the proposal from the college research committee, the researchers sought consent from all 500 freshmen. In the informed consent form was written the objectives of conducting this research. Also, they were advised to participate in it based on their willingness. Along with the consent forms was also sent a survey questionnaire each to all the freshmen. Of the total freshmen, 343 of them (68.6%) returned the questionnaires.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Gedu College of Business Studies, Royal University of Bhutan, for supporting this study with funds from the college In-house Research Grant (IRG).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Chettiri K, Rout SK. Reading habits: An overview. IOSR journal of humanities and social sciences. 2013;14(6):13-17.
2. Farzaneh N, Nejadansari D. Students’ attitude towards using cooperative learning for teaching reading comprehension. Theory and Practice in Language Studies. 2014;4(2):287-292.
3. Guvenc G. The effect of awareness raising about using cognitive strategies in foreign language teaching on students’ development of reading comprehension skills. SHS Web of Conferences. 2017;37:1-7.
4. Harmer J. The practice of English language teaching. English: Pearson Education Limited; 2001.
5. Wangchuk C, Zangmo C. Reading attitudes of students of a central school: An action research; 2020. (In press)
6. Chotitham S, Wongwanich S. Reading attitude measurement for enhancing elementary school students’ achievement. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2014;116:3213-3217.
7. Senturk B. EFL Turkish university students’ attitudes and motivation towards reading in English. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2015;199:704-412.
8. Baruah TC. The English language teacher’s handbook. 3rd Ed. New Delhi: Sterling Publishers Private Limited; 2006.
9. Curriculum and Professional Support Division (CAPSD). Curriculum guide for teachers. Thimphu: Ministry of Education; 2005.
10. Erdem A. A research on reading habits of university students: sample of ankara university and erciyes university. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2015; 174:3983-3990.
11. Smith F. Understanding reading: A psycholinguistic analysis of reading and
learning to read. 4th Ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1988.
12. Karim NSA, Hasan A. Reading habits and attitude in the digital age: Analysis of gender and academic program differences in Malaysia. The Electronic Library. 2007; 25(3):285-298.
13. McKen na MC, Kear DJ, Ellsworth RA. Children’s attitudes toward reading: A national survey. Reading Research Quarterly. 1995;30(4):934-956.
14. Sheu PH. Extensive reading with EFL learners at beginning level. TESL Reporter. 2003;36:826.
15. Sainsbury M, Schagen I. Attitudes to reading at ages nine and eleven. Journal of Research in Reading. 2004;27(4):373-386.
16. Annamalai S, Muniandy B. Reading habit and attitude among Malaysian polytechnic students. International Online Journal of Educational Sciences. 2013;5(1):32-41.
17. Adetunji A. Factors affecting reading habit of secondary school students in Osogbo metropolis. The Social Sciences. 2007; 2(1):102-105.
18. Sheu PH. The effects of extensive reading on learners’ reading ability development. Journal of National Taipei Teachers College. 2004;17(2);213-228.
19. Hurst B, Franklin K, Scales KB. How does a high school reading class influence students’ reading levels, habits, and perceptions? Journal of Reading Education. 2010;35(3):10-18.
20. Lee Y. Promise for enhancing children’s reading attitudes through peer reading: A mixed methods approach. The Journal of Educational Research. 2014;107:482-492.
21. Royal Education Council, Education Initiatives Private Limited. Bhutan’s annual status of student learning 2010. Thimphu: Royal Education Council; 2011.
22. Bhutan Council for School Examinations and Assessment. BCSEA journal of educational assessment. Thimphu: Assessment and Monitoring Division, Bhutan Council for School Examinations and Assessment; 2015.
23. Bhutan Council for School Examinations and Assessment. Education in Bhutan: Findings from Bhutan’s experience in PISA for development. Thimphu: National Project Center; 2019.
24. Bhutan Council for School Examinations and Assessment. National education assessment 2003. Thimphu: Bhutan Council for School Examinations and Assessment; 2013.
25. Wangchuk C, Zangmo C. Consequences associated with parental divorce and their effects on children’s academic performances and achievements at a boarding school in Punakha: A case study. Paper presented at the 6th Ratchasuda International Conference on Disability 2019: Creating a World of Opportunities for All. Mahidol University, Thailand; 2019.
26. Choezom S. Bhutan’s reading culture fades with the advent of the net. The Bhutanese; 2013. Available:https://thebhutanese.bt/bhutans-reading-culture-fades-with-the-advent-of-the-net/
27. Black AL. Attitude to reading: An investigation across the primary years. A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of Master of Education. Australian Catholic University, Australia; 2006.
28. Fraenkel JR, Wallen NE, Hyun HH. How to design and evaluate research in education. 8th Ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill; 2012.
29. McKenna MC, Kear DJ. Measuring attitude toward reading: A new tool for teachers. The Reading Teacher. 1990;43:626-639.
30. Best JW, Kahn JV. Research in education. 8th ed. Singapore: Allyn and Bacon; 1998.
31. Ministry of Education. Bhutan education blueprint 2014-2024. Thimphu: Ministry of Education; 2014
32. Powdyel TS. My green school: An outline. Thimphu: Kuensel Corporation Limited; 2014.
33. Savignon, SJ. Communicative language teaching for the twenty first century. nd:13-28.
34. Eegon Al. Reading culture and academic achievement among secondary school students. Journal of Education and Practice. 2014;5(3):132-136.
35. Issa AO, Aliyu MB, Akangbe RB, Adedeji AF. Reading interests and habits of the federal polytechnic, OFFA, students. International Journal of Learning & Development. 2012;2(1):470-486.
36. Royal Education Council. The national education framework: Shaping Bhutan’s future. Thimphu: The School Education and Research Unit; 2012.
37. Strauss, A, Corbin, J. Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. 2nd Ed. New Delhi: Sage Publications India Ltd; 1998.
38. Kothari CR. Research methodology: Methods and techniques. 2nd ed. Guwahati: New Age International (P) Limited Publishers; 2004.

© 2020 Wangchuk et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59459