Broadband, Polarization-Sensitive Photodetector Based on Optically-Thick Films of Macroscopically Long, Dense, and Aligned Carbon Nanotubes
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Increasing performance demands on photodetectors and solar cells require the development of entirely new materials and technological approaches. We report on the fabrication and optoelectronic characterization of a photodetector based on optically-thick films of dense, aligned, and macroscopically long single-wall carbon nanotubes. The photodetector exhibits broadband response from the visible to the mid-infrared under global illumination, with a response time less than 32 ms. Scanning photocurrent microscopy indicates that the signal originates at the contact edges, with an amplitude and width that can be tailored by choosing different contact metals. A theoretical model demonstrates the photothermoelectric origin of the photoresponse due to gradients in the nanotube Seebeck coefficient near the contacts. The experimental and theoretical results open a new path for the realization of optoelectronic devices based on three-dimensionally organized nanotubes.

Results

Vertical lines (height of ~300 μm and thickness of 0.6 μm) of aligned, ultralong SWCNTs were grown by chemical vapor deposition and dry transferred onto SiO2/Si substrates to make horizontal films (see Supplementary Information). Large metallic electrodes (Au, Pd, or Ti without adhesion layers) were deposited using a shadow mask technique to form two-terminal devices (Fig. 1a–b) with the same or different metals for the two contacts (see Fig. 3 in Supplementary Information), where the current flows parallel or perpendicular to the nanotube orientation. Data were taken using the collimated light of various lasers illuminating the entire device...
for global photoresponse and a scanning photocurrent microscopy system to characterize the local photoresponse (see Methods).

The black trace of Fig. 1c represents a typical dark current-voltage characteristic, \( I(V) \), obtained at room temperature for an asymmetric device with Ti and Pd contacts, in which the current flows parallel to the nanotubes. The \( I(V) \) curve is linear with a resistance of 9 kΩ for this device; two-probe resistance of all measured samples ranged from 80 to 9000 Ω for the parallel configuration and from 3 to 130 kΩ for the perpendicular case (Fig. 1d), depending on the channel length and metal electrodes. Remarkably, under global illumination (Fig. 1c–d), a net photocurrent is generated at zero bias \( (I_{SC}) \) as well as an open circuit voltage \( V_{OC} \). This observation is remarkable considering (1) that the \( I-V \) curves are not rectifying; (2) the macroscopic size of the devices; and (3) the degree of alignment and density of the SWCNTs.

The photosignal exhibits strong polarization dependence, as shown in Fig. 2a, where \( I_{SC} \) is plotted against the angle between the light polarization and the nanotube alignment direction. A signal intensity ratio of \( \sim \frac{1}{2} \) is seen between the parallel and perpendicular polarizations with the maximum intensity occurring for the polarization parallel to the nanotubes, independently of the electrode orientation, and including for local illumination over the electrodes. Furthermore, as can be seen from Fig. 2b, the device produces a linear response to visible light (660 nm) as well as near- and mid-infrared light (1.35 to 3.15 μm). Note that the first (second) interband transitions in the semiconducting SWCNTs in these samples occur at \( \sim 4 \mu \text{m} \) (\( \sim 2 \mu \text{m} \)) due to their large average diameter (\( \sim 2.5 \text{nm} \))26.

The trace in the main panel of Fig. 2c shows the fast temporal response of the photocurrent under chopped excitation and local illumination. The dashed line is a guide to the eye and corresponds to a time constant of 32 μs limited by the current amplifier. No sign of cut-off is seen up to 6 kHz using a lock-in amplifier (Fig. 2c). This photoresponse is at least two orders of magnitude faster than that reported for other nanotube-film devices3,24.

**Figure 1 | Carbon nanotube photodetector with macroscopically aligned nanotubes.** (a) Schematic of a typical device under global illumination; macroscopically-aligned carbon nanotube films are deposited onto SiO2 substrates and top contacted with Ti and Pd electrodes. The photoresponse is recorded under monochromatic global illumination. (b) Scanning electron microscope images of a device with two Au electrodes, showing the very high degree of alignment of the nanotubes between the electrodes. (c–d) Current-voltage characteristics in the dark (black line) and under global illumination at 660 nm (red line) for a device where current flows, (c) parallel (power = 60 mW) or, (d) perpendicular (power = 7 mW) to the nanotube orientation. Data for illumination at 3 μm wavelength (power = 55 mW) are also shown.

Scanning photocurrent microscopy. To understand the origin of the photosignal, we used scanning photocurrent microscopy to measure the spatial dependence of the photoresponse. The results, for a sample with two Au electrodes, are shown in Fig. 3a–b, and indicate strong peaks in the photoresponse at the electrode edges. Such a strong spatial dependence of the photoresponse localized near electrodes has previously been observed in nanotube network devices17–20, and graphene5,27–32, and has been ascribed to photovoltaic5,17–20,27–29 or photothermoelectric24,30–32 effects. Because
different devices can be dominated by either effect depending on their properties\(^\text{23-24}\). It is important to understand which mechanism dominates in a given device. To differentiate between the photovoltaic and photothermoelectric mechanisms in our devices, we measured additional devices with different orientations of the SWCNTs and with different contact metals (Ti, Pd, and Au). We find that the width of the signal around the contact edges decreases substantially when current flows perpendicular to the SWCNTs (Fig. 3c). In addition, Ti produces the largest and broadest signal, whereas Pd and Au produce signals of similar amplitude (Fig. 3d). More importantly, all three metals give the same sign for the photorsponse. A polarization ratio around \(\frac{1}{2}\) (not shown here), similar to global illumination, is obtained at various positions, and for both current orientations, which indicates that absorption by the SWCNTs plays a direct role in producing the photosignal in all cases.

**Modeling.** To explain these observations we turn to theory and modeling. We first calculate the band bending in the SWCNT device (see Supplementary Information). Fig. 4a shows the band bending along semiconducting nanotubes for Au or Pd contacts; under the electrodes, the large metal work function leads to charge transfer to the SWCNT film and a large effective p-doping. When combined with the lower p-doping in the channel, a downward band bending away from the electrodes is obtained. This has an immediate consequence for the photovoltaic effect: excitation of electron-hole pairs near the contacts would lead to a positive (negative) photocurrent at the left (right) electrode, in contrast to the experimental observations of Fig. 3. In addition, calculation of the band bending for Ti contacts (Fig. 4b) gives a band bending of the opposite sign compared to Au or Pd; for the photovoltaic effect, this would imply that the sign of the photocurrent should be opposite for Ti compared to the other two metals, which is in disagreement with the experimental results of Fig. 3d. It should also be noted that the width of the signal at the contacts would require a diffusion length of a few microns; based on previously measured recombination times on similar samples\(^{22,23}\) this would imply an unlikely mobility of 10\(^2\) cm\(^2/Vs\).

An alternative mechanism is the photothermoelectric effect (PTE). Previous studies considered the PTE at CNT/metal contacts as originating from a thermocouple junction created by the metal electrodes and the CNT film, with a PT voltage between the left and right electrodes given by \(V_{\text{PT}} = (S_{\text{CNT}} - S_m)(T_R - T_L)\), where \(S_{\text{CNT}}\) is the Seebeck coefficient of the CNT film, \(S_m\) is the Seebeck coefficient of the metal, and \(T_R - T_L\) is the temperature difference between the two sides of the system. This simple model has been very useful in establishing the importance of the PTE in nanotube devices\(^{24}\), but is not sufficient to explain our experimental observations. For example, we find a strong polarization dependence of the PT voltage even for local illumination over the electrodes, which means that light penetrates to the metal/CNT junction; within the simple thermocouple junction model, this would imply that a constant PT voltage should be observed when the laser is over the electrodes and far from the electrode edges. However, the experimental observations in Fig. 3 show that the photovoltage decays to zero in the electrodes. This issue can be resolved by considering the PTE in more detail (see Supplementary Information), leading to the photovoltage

\[
\Delta V = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(x; x_0) \frac{dS_{\text{CNT}}}{dx} dx,
\]

where \(x_0\) is the position of the laser spot. This model is fundamentally different from the simple thermocouple junction model in that the photosignal depends on the presence of gradients of the Seebeck coefficient in the CNT film, i.e., \(dS_{\text{CNT}}/dx\).

To verify the applicability of this model, we combined the band bendings of Fig. 4a–b with a model for the dependence of the Seebeck coefficient of SWCNTs on the position of the Fermi level (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Information; note that changing the maximum value of the Seebeck coefficient in Fig. 4b would only affect the temperature needed to match the experimental data for the photovoltage, and would not qualitatively alter our results). This allows us to obtain \(S_{\text{CNT}}(x)\) (Fig. 4d) which then gives \(dS_{\text{CNT}}(x)/dx\) (Fig. 4e). This quantity is strongly peaked at the edges of the electrodes due to the localized band bending, with the same sign for both Au and Ti. This arises because the opposite sign of the band bending induced by Au and Ti is compensated by a different sign for \(dS_{\text{CNT}}/dE_F\) because the Au and Ti Fermi levels sit on different sides of the Seebeck peak in Fig. 4c.

---

**Figure 2** | Polarization-sensitive, broadband, and fast response of the photodetector. (a) Polarization dependence of the photoresponse at 660 nm under local illumination (0° corresponds to the nanotube orientation) for current along the tube axis. Similar results are observed under global illumination and for perpendicular current flow. (b) Linear power dependence of the open-circuit photovoltage at various wavelengths in the mid-infrared range (beam radius of 2.3 mm); Inset, photovoltage power dependence under local illumination up to irreversible sample damaging (beam diameter of about 1 μm). (c) Photocurrent time response under chopped excitation and local illumination; the dashed line is a guide to the eye and corresponds to a time constant of 32 μs limited by the current amplifier; Inset, frequency dependence of the photorsponse recorded using a lock-in amplifier, which shows no cut-off up to 6 kHz.

---
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To calculate the photovoltage, we also need the temperature. The temperature profile is obtained by considering local heating of the SWCNT film by the laser and heat dissipation in the substrate and the electrodes (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Information); for small laser spot sizes this gives

\[ T(x - x_0) = T_{\text{max}} e^{-|x - x_0|/\lambda}. \]  

(2)

The temperature peaks at the position of the laser and decays exponentially with the thermal length scale

\[ \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{k h}{G_{\text{eff}}}} \]  

(3)

where \( k \) is the SWCNT thermal conductivity, \( h \) is the film thickness, and \( G_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective thermal conductance between the SWCNT film and the substrate or the electrodes. Using the values \( k = 3000 \text{ W/mK} \), \( h = 0.6 \text{ \textmu m} \), and \( G_{\text{eff}} = 10^5 \text{ W/mK} \), we estimate a thermal length scale of 4 \textmu m. (Note that considering a lower thermal conductivity for short aligned SWCNTs of \( k = 200 \text{ W/mK} \) would only lower the thermal length scale by a factor of 4, and the estimate for \( \lambda \) would still be in the micron range.)

For a laser spot of finite size, the temperature profile is a convolution of the Gaussian shape of the beam with equation (2); a typical temperature profile for a beam of size 1 micron is illustrated in Fig. 4g for \( \lambda = 4 \text{ \textmu m} \). When combined with Fig. 4e (according to equation (1)), it gives a photovoltage that reproduces very well the general behavior of the experimental observations (Fig. 4h). Furthermore, the solid lines in Fig. 3c–d show excellent fits to the experimental data with different values for \( \lambda \) in the channel and under the electrodes. Table 1 shows the extracted values of \( \lambda \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) for the different metals and orientations. The values for \( \lambda \) are consistent with the above estimate while the temperature increase is found to be between 0.16 and 4.6 K.

Furthermore, the results show that \( \lambda_{H} < \lambda_{\|} \), in agreement with equation (3) since \( \kappa_{\perp} < \kappa_{\|} \) (For Au contacts, this gives \( \kappa_{\|} \) 3.2 times larger than \( \kappa_{\perp} \), in good agreement with thermal conductivity measurements in aligned films\(^{38} \)). We also find that, for the same orientation, both \( \lambda \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) are larger for Ti compared with Au or Pd, suggesting that heat transfer to the metal contacts is important and depends on the type of metal. This is consistent with the PTE model because \( \lambda \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) both depend inversely on \( G_{\text{eff}} \), indicating that \( G_{\text{eff}} \) is smaller for Ti. The larger \( \lambda \) under the electrodes (e.g., \( \lambda_{\text{ch}} = 0.79\lambda_{\text{el}} \) for Au contacts) is consistent with a model where the laser directly heats the metal, as discussed in the Supplementary Information.

**Discussion**

Our experiments and modeling show that the different strengths of the PTE at Pd and Ti contacts are responsible for the observation of...
the photoresponse under global illumination in the asymmetric device discussed in Fig. 1. The maximum responsivity of 28 mV/W achieved under visible, global illumination corresponds to a noise equivalent power of $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ (at low frequency), and a special detectivity of $4.69 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$Hz$^{1/2}$/W (considering the whole area of the device as the active region). While these values are lower than those previously reported for bolometers and CNT-based thermopiles, we expect that much larger responses can be ultimately achieved by optimizing the device area and the actual active region, the Seebeck gradient at the contacts, and the thermal management. In addition, the present device response time of 32 μs is about two orders of magnitude faster compared with these previous devices, and the intrinsic response should be even faster. Indeed, from the time-dependent heat conduction equation (see Supplementary Information) the time scale is given by $t = h r / C_p G_{eff}$, Using $C_p = 600$ J/Kg $K$ and the value $r = 0.05$ g/cm$^3$ obtained from mass spectroscopy on our films, we estimate a time response of $\tau = 180$ ns. This underlines that fast photoresponse can be achieved even for
thermal mechanisms, as we observed in our devices. More generally, the results presented here demonstrate that assembly of carbon nanotubes into three-dimensional architectures is a promising path towards novel photonic devices.

**Methods**

**Experimental details.** Scanning photocurrent microscopy: The output of a 660-nm laser diode was focused to a diameter of ~1 μm on the sample through a long working-distance apochromatic objective lens with a typical CW power of 2 mW. The sample was kept in vacuum in a microscope cryostat. An external white light source and a silicon CCD camera were used to optimize the laser beam focusing and positioning on the sample before measurements. The sample was scanned with respect to the excitation spot using two linear stages. At each position we measured the CNT/metal contact and a shift of the CNT bands. We also added a light p-doping results presented in the main text are obtained with an intertube spacing of 10.8 nm; usual ratio of semiconducting and metallic content in as-grown CNT material. The effects of varying the density and composition of the CNT film are discussed in the Supplementary Information. The band bending along the carbon nanotube device is calculated using a small-signal model. The output of a 660-nm collimated beam of laser diodes at 660 nm and 1.35 μm. Mid-infrared excitations were obtained using an optical parametric oscillator, with a module tunable between 1.6 and 1.8 μm (signal) and 2.5 and 3.2 μm (idler). To determine the detectivity, the noise level was determined from measurements of the time-dependent current at different cut-off frequencies and was found to be typically one order of magnitude higher than the thermal noise. The band bending along the carbon nanotube device is calculated using a self-consistent non-equilibrium Green’s function approach. We simulated a film where 2/3 of the CNTs are (32,0) CNTs and 1/3 are (33,0) CNTs, representing the usual ratio of semiconducting and metallic content in as-grown CNT material. The results presented in the main text are obtained with an intertube spacing of 10.8 nm; the effects of varying the density and composition of the CNT film are discussed in the Supplementary Information. We used a work-function difference of −1 eV (0 eV) between the Pd/Au (Ti) contacts and the nanotubes; charge transfer between the metal and the nanotubes is explicitly included in the simulations, leading to a dipole at the CNT/metal contact and a shift of the CNT bands. We also added a light p-doping of 10^10 holes/C atom to the CNT film. Detailed calculations of the PTE model are provided in the Supplementary Information.

| Metal | Orientation | \( \lambda_{ch} \) [μm] | \( \lambda_{al} \) [μm] | \( T_{max} \) [K] |
|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Au    | Parallel    | 5.2            | 6.6            | 0.16        |
| Pd    | Perpendicular | 2.9            | 3.7            | 0.29        |
| Ti    | Perpendicular | 1.7            | 2              | 0.19        |
|       |             | 21             | 33             | 4.6         |

Table 1 | Values for the thermal length and the temperature rise extracted from the experimental data. \( \lambda_{ch} \) and \( \lambda_{al} \) are the thermal length scales and \( T_{max} \) is the maximum temperature rise for different metals and orientations.
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