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Abstract. This article surveys results on graded algebras and their Hilbert series. We give simple constructions of finitely generated graded associative algebras $R$ with Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ very close to an arbitrary power series $\alpha(t)$ with exponentially bounded nonnegative integer coefficients. Then we summarize some related facts on algebras with polynomial identity. Further we discuss the problem how to find series $\alpha(t)$ which are rational/algebraic/transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. Applying a classical result of Fatou we conclude that if a finitely generated graded algebra has a finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, then its Hilbert series is either rational or transcendental. In particular the same dichotomy holds for the Hilbert series of a finitely generated algebra with polynomial identity. We show how to use planar rooted trees to produce algebraic power series. Finally we survey some results on noncommutative invariant theory which show that we can obtain as Hilbert series various algebraic functions and even elliptic integrals.

Introduction

We consider algebras $R$ over a field $K$. Except Sections 5 and 6 all algebras are finitely generated and associative. The field $K$ is an arbitrary of any characteristic except in Section 6 when it is of characteristic 0.

The purpose of this article is to survey some results, both old and recent, on graded algebras and their Hilbert series. In Section 1 we discuss the growth of algebras, and graded algebras and their Hilbert series. Then in Section 2 we give constructions of graded algebras with prescribed Hilbert series. Section 3 is devoted to algebras with polynomial identities, or PI-algebras. We survey some results concerning basic properties and the growth of such algebras. Section 4 deals with power series with nonnegative integer coefficients. We consider methods to produce series which are transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ and graded algebras with transcendental Hilbert series. Combining a classical result of Fatou from 1906 with a theorem of Shirshov from 1957 we obtain immediately that the Hilbert series of a finitely generated graded PI-algebra is either rational or transcendental. We also survey some constructions of algebraic power series based on automata theory and theory...
of formal languages. In the next Section we consider a method for construction of algebraic power series with nonnegative integer coefficients. The main idea is to combine results on planar rooted trees with number of leaves divisible by a given integer with the fact that submagmas of free \( \Omega \)-magmas are also free. Finally, in Section we use methods of noncommutative invariant theory to construct free graded algebras (also nonassociative and not finitely generated) with Hilbert series which are either algebraic or transcendental. In particular, we give simple examples of free nonassociative algebras with Hilbert series which are elliptic integrals.

If not explicitly stated, all power series in our exposition will have nonnegative integer coefficients. Usually, when we state theorems about power series we do not present them in the most general form and restrict the considerations to the case of nonnegative integer coefficients.

## 1. Growth of algebras and Hilbert series

If \( R \) is a finite dimensional algebra we can measure how big it is using its dimension \( \dim(R) \) as a vector space. But how to measure infinite dimensional algebras? If \( R \) is an algebra (not necessarily associative) generated by a finite dimensional vector space \( V \), then the growth function of \( R \) is defined by

\[
g_V(n) = \dim(R^n), \quad R^n = V^0 + V^1 + V^2 + \cdots + V^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

This definition has the disadvantage that depends on the generating vector space \( V \). For example, the algebra of polynomials in \( d \) variables \( K[X_d] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_d] \) is generated by the vector space \( V \) with basis \( X_d = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\} \) and the growth function \( g_V(n) \) is

\[
g_V(n) = \binom{n+d}{d} = \frac{(n+d)(n+d-1)\cdots(n+1)}{d!} = \frac{n^d}{d!} + O(n^{d-1}).
\]

The algebra \( K[X_d] \) is generated also by the monomials of first and second degree, i.e. by the vector space \( W = V + V^2 \). Then

\[
g_W(n) = \binom{2n+d}{d} = \frac{2^d n^d}{d!} + O(n^{d-1}).
\]

What is common between both generating functions? There is a standard method to compare eventually monotone increasing and positive valued functions \( f : \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R} \). This class of functions consists of all functions \( f \) such that there exists an \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f(n_0) \geq 0 \) and \( f(n_2) \geq f(n_1) \geq f(n_0) \) for all \( n_2 \geq n_1 \geq n_0 \). We define a partial ordering \( \preceq \) and equivalence \( \sim \) on the set of such functions. We assume that \( f_1 \preceq f_2 \) for two functions \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) (and \( f_2 \) grows faster than \( f_1 \)) if and only if there exist positive integers \( a \) and \( p \) such that for all sufficiently large \( n \) the inequality \( f_1(n) \leq af_2(\ln n) \) holds and \( f_1 \sim f_2 \) if and only if \( f_1 \preceq f_2 \) and \( f_2 \preceq f_1 \).

This allows to obtain some invariant of the growth because \( g_V(n) \sim g_W(n) \) for any generating vector spaces \( V \) and \( W \) of the algebra \( R \). The equivalence is expressed in the following notion. The limit superior

\[
\text{GKdim}(R) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log_n(g_V(n))
\]

is called the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of \( R \). It is known that \( \text{GKdim}(R) \) does not depend on the system of generators of the algebra \( R \).
Below we give a brief information for the values of the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of finitely generated associative algebras. For details we refer to the book by Krause and Lenagan [59].

**Theorem 1.1.** (i) If \( R \) is commutative then \( \text{GKdim}(R) \) is an integer equal to the transcendence degree of the algebra \( R \).

(ii) If \( R \) is associative then \( \text{GKdim}(R) \in \{0, 1\} \cup [2, \infty] \) and every of these reals is realized as a Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

Part (i) of Theorem 1.1 is a classical result. In part (ii) the restriction \( \text{GKdim}(R) \notin (1, 2) \) is the Bergman Gap Theorem [14]. Algebras \( R \) with \( \text{GKdim}(R) \in [2, \infty) \) are realized by Borho and Kraft [16], see also the modification of their construction in the book of the author [32, Theorem 9.4.11]. We shall mimic these constructions in the next section.

In the sequel we shall work with graded algebras. The algebra \( R \) is *graded* if it is a direct sum of vector subspaces \( R_0, R_1, R_2, \ldots \) called *homogeneous components* of \( R \) and

\[
R_m R_n \subset R_{m+n}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

It is convenient to assume that \( R_0 = 0 \) or \( R_0 = K \). In most of our considerations the generators of \( R \) are of first degree. The formal power series

\[
H(R, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim(R_n) t^n,
\]

is called the *Hilbert series* (or *Poincaré series*) of \( R \).

We often shall work with power series with nonnegative integer coefficients

\[
a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]

The advantage of studying such power series instead of the sequence \( a_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), of the coefficients of \( a(t) \) is that we may apply the theory of analytic functions or to find some recurrence relations. In particular, we may find a closed formula for \( a_n \) or to estimate its asymptotic behavior.

Our *rational functions* will be fractions of two polynomials with rational coefficients, i.e. elements of the field \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \). Similarly, algebraic and transcendental functions are also over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \). Algebraic functions \( a(t) \) have the property that \( p(t, a(t)) = 0 \) for some polynomial \( p(t, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z] \) and transcendental functions do not satisfy any polynomial equation with rational coefficients. As usually, if \( a(t) \) converges in a neighborhood of 0 to a rational, algebraic or transcendental function, we say that \( a(t) \) is also rational, algebraic or transcendental, respectively.

Algebraic functions have a nice characterization given by the Abel-Tannery-Cockle-Harley-Comtet theorem [1] p. 287], [20, 21, 22, 48, 93] (see [1] for comments).

**Theorem 1.2.** The algebraic function

\[
f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n
\]

is \( D \)-finite, i.e. it satisfies a linear differential equation with coefficients which are polynomials in \( t \). Equivalently, its coefficients \( a_n \) satisfy a linear recurrence with coefficients which are polynomials in \( n \).
We shall recall the usual definition of different kinds of growth of a sequence $a_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, of complex numbers. If there exist positive $b$ and $c$ such that $|a_n| \leq bn^c$ for all $n$, we say that the sequence is of \textit{polynomial growth}. (We use this terminology although it is more precise to say that the sequence $a_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, is polynomially bounded.) If there exist $b_1, b_2 > 0$ and $c_1, c_2 > 1$ such that $|a_n| \leq b_2 c_2^n$ for all $n$ and $b_1 c_1^{nk} \leq |a_{nk}|$ for a subsequence $a_{nk}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, then the sequence is of \textit{exponential growth}. Finally, if for any $b, c > 0$ there exists a subsequence $a_{nk}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $|a_{nk}| > bn_k^c$ and for any $b_1 > 0$, $c_1 > 1$ the inequality $|a_n| < b_1 c_1^n$ holds for all sufficiently large $n$, then the sequence is of \textit{intermediate growth}.

The following statement is well known.

\textbf{Proposition 1.3.} The coefficients of an algebraic power series

$$a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$$

are either of \textit{polynomial} or of \textit{exponential growth}.

Every algebra $R$ generated by a finite set $\{r_1, \ldots, r_d\}$ is a homomorphic image of the free associative algebra $K\langle X_d \rangle = K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d \rangle$. The map $\pi_0 : x_i \to r_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, is extended to a homomorphism $\pi : K\langle X_d \rangle \to R$ and $R \cong K\langle X_d \rangle / I$, $I = \ker(\pi)$. If the ideal $I$ of $K\langle X_d \rangle$ is finitely generated, then the algebra $R$ is \textit{finitely presented}. An important special case of graded algebras is the class of \textit{monomial algebras}. Monomial algebras are factor algebras of $K\langle X_d \rangle$ modulo an ideal generated by monomials, i.e. by elements of the free unitary semigroup $\langle X_d \rangle$.

Below we give some properties of Hilbert series. We start with commutative graded algebras.

\textbf{Theorem 1.4.} Let $R$ be a finitely generated graded commutative algebra. Then:

(i) (Theorem of Hilbert-Serre) The Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ is a rational function with denominator which is a product of binomials $1 - t^m$.

(ii) If

$$H(R, t) = p(t) \prod_{i=1} \frac{1}{(1 - t^{m_i})^{a_i}}, \quad a_i \geq 1, \quad p(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t],$$

then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\text{GKdim}(R)$ is equal to the multiplicity of 1 as a pole of $H(R, t)$: If $p(1) \neq 0$, then $\text{GKdim}(R) = \sum a_i$.

The coefficients of the Hilbert series of a finitely generated commutative algebras are a subject of many additional restrictions, see Macaulay [72]. The picture for noncommutative graded algebras is more complicated than in the commutative case. Govorov [42] proved that if the set of monomials $U$ is finite, then the Hilbert series of the monomial algebra $R = K\langle X \rangle / (U)$ is a rational function. He conjectured [42, 43] that the same holds for the Hilbert series of finitely presented graded algebras. By a theorem of Backelin [3] this holds when the ideal $(U)$ is generated by a single homogeneous polynomial. On the other hand Shearer [87] presented an example of a finitely presented graded algebra with algebraic nonrational Hilbert series. As he mentioned his construction gives also an example with a transcendental Hilbert series. Another simple example of a finitely presented algebra with algebraic Hilbert series was given by Kobayashi [55]. It is interesting to mention that the rationality of the Hilbert series may depend on the base field $K$. The following theorem is from the recent paper by Piontkovski [83].
Theorem 1.5. Let $K$ be a field of positive characteristic $p$ and let the coefficients of the Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ of the finitely generated graded algebra $R$ are bounded by a constant. If $H(R, t)$ is transcendental, then the base field $K$ contains an element which is not algebraic over the prime subfield $\mathbb{F}_p$ of $K$. For every such field $K$ there exist graded algebras $R$ with transcendental Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ with coefficients bounded by a constant.

In the next sections we shall discuss the problem how to construct more algebras with algebraic and nonrational Hilbert series.

By Proposition 1.3 if the Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ is algebraic, then its coefficients grow either exponentially or polynomially. Hence a power series with intermediate growth of the coefficients is transcendental. In [12] Govorov constructed a two-generated monomial algebra with Hilbert series with intermediate growth of the coefficients.

A very natural class of finitely generated graded algebras with Hilbert series with coefficients of intermediate growth are universal enveloping algebras of infinite dimensional Lie algebras of subexponential growth. The first example of this kind was given by Smith [92]:

Theorem 1.6. (i) If $L$ is an infinite dimensional graded Lie algebra with subexponential growth of the coefficients of its Hilbert series, then the Hilbert series of its universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ is with intermediate growth of the coefficients.

(ii) There exists a two-generated infinite dimensional graded Lie algebra $L$ with Hilbert series

$$H(L, t) = t + \frac{1}{1 - t}.$$ 

Then the Hilbert series of $U(L)$ is with intermediate growth of the coefficients:

$$H(U(L), t) = \frac{1}{1 - t} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^n}.$$ 

The Lie algebra $L$ in Theorem 1.6(ii) has a basis $\{x, y_1, y_2, \ldots\}$, $\deg(x) = 1$, $\deg(y_i) = i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, and the defining relations of $L$ are

$$[x, y_i] = y_{i+1}, \quad [y_i, y_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots.$$ 

Lichtman [69] generalized the result of Smith for different classes of Lie algebras. Later Petrogradsky [81, 82] developed the theory of functions with intermediate growth of the coefficients which are realized as Hilbert series in the known examples of algebras with intermediate growth. In this way he introduced a detailed scale to measure the growth of algebras which reflected also on the growth of the coefficients of the Hilbert series of graded associative and Lie algebras.

The algebras in the examples of Smith [92], Lichtman [69], and Petrogradsky [81, 82] are not finitely presented. Borho and Kraft [BK] conjectured that finitely presented associative algebras cannot be of intermediate growth. For a counterexample it is sufficient to show that there exists a finitely presented and infinite dimensional Lie algebra with polynomial growth. Leites and Poletaeva [67] showed that over a field of characteristic 0 the classical Lie algebras $W_d, H_d, S_d, K_d$ of polynomial vector fields are finitely presented. Recall that the algebra $W_d = \text{Der}(K[X_d])$ consists of the derivations of the polynomial algebra $K[X_d]$. The special algebra $S_d \subset W_{d+1}$ and the Hamiltonian algebra $H_d \subset W_{2d}$ annihilate suitable exterior
differential forms, and the contact algebra $K_d \subset W_{2d-1}$ multiplies a certain form. The easiest example is the Witt algebra $W_1$ of the derivations of $K[x]$.

The first example of a finitely presented graded algebra with Hilbert series with intermediate growth of the coefficients was given by Ufnarovskij [94]. In his example the algebra is two-generated by elements of degree 1 and 2. The Lie algebra $W_1$ of the derivations of the polynomial algebra in one variable over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 has a graded basis

$$\left\{ \delta_i = x^i \frac{d}{dx} \mid i \geq 0 \right\}, \quad \deg \left( x^i \frac{d}{dx} \right) = i - 1,$$

and multiplication

$$[\delta_{i-1}, \delta_{j-1}] = [x^i \frac{d}{dx}, x^j \frac{d}{dx}] = (j - i)x^{i+j-1} \frac{d}{dx} = (j - i)\delta_{i+j-2}.$$

Hence for $i \geq 2$ the derivations $\delta_{i+1}$ may be defined inductively by

$$\delta_{i+1} = \frac{1}{i - 1} [\delta_1, \delta_i].$$

**Theorem 1.7.** Let $L$ be the Lie subalgebra of $W_1$ generated by $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$. It has a basis $\{\delta_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and defining relations

$$[\delta_2, \delta_3] = \delta_5 \text{ and } [\delta_2, \delta_5] = 3\delta_7.$$

The universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ of $L$ is generated by $f_1 = x$ and $f_2 = y$, where

$$f_{i+1} = \frac{1}{i - 1} (f_1 f_i - f_i f_1), \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

It is a factor algebra of the free algebra $K(x, y)$ modulo the ideal generated by

$$(f_2 f_3 - f_3 f_2) - f_5 \text{ and } (f_2 f_5 - f_5 f_2) - 3f_7.$$

If $\deg(f_i) = i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, then

$$H(U(L), t) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^n}.$$

In a note added in the proofs Shearer [87] gave two more examples of finitely presented graded algebras with Hilbert series which also have an intermediate growth of the coefficients. His algebras are generated by three elements and have three defining relations but, as in the example of Ufnarovskij [94] one of the generators is of second degree.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let $R = K(x_1, x_2, y)/(U)$, where

$$\deg(x_1) = \deg(x_2) = 1, \deg(y) = 2,$$

$$U = \{x_1 y - y x_1, x_1 x_2 x_1 - x_2 y, x_2^2 y\}.$$

Then the Hilbert series of $R$ is

$$H(R, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^n}.$$

If in $U$ we replace $x_2^2 y$ with $x_2^2$, then

$$H(R, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + t^n).$$
Koçak [56] modified the construction of Shearer [87] such that the three generators are of first degree:

**Theorem 1.9.** Let

\[ U = \{ x_1^2 x_2 - x_1 x_3 x_2 - x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_3, x_3 x_2 x_1, x_3 x_2 x_3 \} \]

Then the coefficients of the Hilbert series of the algebra \( R = K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle/(U) \) are of intermediate growth.

Koçak [56] also constructed a graded algebra with quadratic defining relations and intermediate growth of the coefficients of its Hilbert series.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let the Lie algebra \( L \) be generated by two elements \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) of first degree with defining relations

\[ [[[x_1, x_2], x_1], x_1] = [[[x_2, x_1], x_1], x_1] = 0, \]

\( U(L) = K \oplus U(L)_1 \oplus U(L)_2 \oplus \cdots \) be its universal enveloping algebra. Then the coefficients of the Hilbert series of the algebra \( R \) are of intermediate growth, where \( R \) is generated by the homogeneous component \( U(L)_4 \) of degree 4, and \( R \) is a quadratic algebra with 14 generators and 96 quadratic relations. Its growth function \( g(n) \) satisfies

\[ g(n) \sim \exp(\sqrt{n}). \]

The Lie algebra \( L \) in Theorem 1.10 is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with coefficients from \( K[z] \) generated by

\[ x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

The series

\[ \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_n t^n \]

and

\[ \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + t^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho_n t^n \]

play very special rôle in combinatorics: \( p_n \) is equal to the number of partitions of \( n \) and \( \rho_n \) is the number of partitions of \( n \) in different parts. Recall that \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) is a partition of \( n \), if the parts \( \lambda_i \) are integers such that \( \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = n \) and \( \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0 \); for \( \rho_n \) we assume that \( \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k \geq 0 \). The asymptotics of \( p_n \) and \( \rho_n \) was found by Hardy and Ramanujan [40] in 1918 and independently by Uspensky [100] in 1920:

\[ p_n \approx \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{n} \right), \quad \rho_n \approx \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}n^3} \exp \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}n} \right). \]

See also the recent paper by Koçak [57] for more examples and a survey on finitely presented algebras of intermediate growth.

For further reading, including theory of Gröbner bases and other combinatorial properties of algebras we refer e.g. Herzog and Hibi [49] for commutative algebras and Ufnarovskij [98] and Belov, Borisenko, Latyshev [9] for noncommutative algebras.
2. Algebras with prescribed Hilbert series

In this section we shall discuss the following problem.

**Problem 2.1.** Given a power series
\[ a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \]
does there exist a finitely generated graded algebra \( R \) with Hilbert series equal to \( a(t) \) or at least very close to \( a(t) \)?

We shall recall the construction of Borho and Kraft [16] of a finitely generated graded algebra with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension equal to \( \beta \in [2, \infty) \). If \( R \) is a finitely generated graded algebra with \( \text{GKdim}(R) = \alpha \in [2, 3) \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), then the tensor product \( K[y_1, \ldots, t_m] \otimes_K R \) is of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension \( \alpha + m \).

Hence for the construction of an algebra \( R \) with \( \text{GKdim}(R) \in [2, \infty) \) it is sufficient to handle the case \( \text{GKdim}(R) = \alpha \in [2, 3) \). Let \( S \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \) be a set of nonnegative integers and let
\[ a(t) = \sum_{s \in S} t^s. \]

We shall construct a two-generated monomial algebra \( R \) with Hilbert series
\[ H(R,t) = \frac{1}{1 - t} + \frac{t}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{a(t)t^2}{(1-t)^2}. \]

We fix the set \( U \subset \langle x, y \rangle \)
\[ U = \{yx^iyx^jy, yx^ky, y | i, j \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S\}. \]

Then the factor algebra \( R = K\langle x, y \rangle / (U) \) of the free algebra \( K\langle x, y \rangle \) modulo the ideal generated by \( U \) has a basis
\[ \{x^i, x^iyx^j, x^iy^s | x^jy^s, i, j \geq 0, s \in S\} \]
and hence \( R \) has the desired Hilbert series.

Pay attention that in the above example the cube \( (y)^3 \) of the ideal \( (y) \) generated by \( y \) is equal to zero in \( R \). A similar construction of a two-generated monomial algebra \( R \) is given in [32, Theorem 9.4.11]. Assuming that \( (y)^k = 0 \) in \( R \), we construct a two-generated monomial algebra \( R \) with Hilbert series
\[ H(R, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{(1-t)^{i+1}} + \frac{a(t)t^k}{(1-t)^k}. \]

A similar approach was used in the recent paper [33].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let
\[ a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \]
be a power series with nonnegative integer coefficients.

(i) If \( d \) is a positive integer such that \( a_n \leq d^n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \) then for any integer \( p = 0, 1, 2 \), there exists a \( (d+1) \)-generated monomial algebra \( R \) such that its Hilbert series is
\[ H(R, t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt} + \frac{t}{(1-dt)^2} + \frac{t^2a(t)}{(1-dt)^p}. \]
In the same way we can construct a monomial algebra \( R \) of polynomial algebras of length \( \leq \) for any integer \( p = 0, 1, 2 \), there exists a \((d + 1)\)-generated graded algebra \( R \) such that its Hilbert series is

\[
H(R, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t)^d} + \frac{t}{(1 - t)^2} + \frac{t^2 a(t)}{(1 - t)^{dp}}.
\]

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 (i) a modification of the proof gives that for any nonnegative integers \( p, q, p + q \leq 2 \), there exists a \((d + 1)\)-generated graded algebra \( R \) such that its Hilbert series is

\[
H(R, t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt} + \frac{t}{(1 - dt)^2} + \frac{t^2 a(t)}{(1 - dt)^p(1 - t)^{dq}}.
\]

In the same way we can construct a monomial algebra \( R \) with Hilbert series

\[
H(R, t) = \frac{1 + 2t}{1 - dt} - t + t^2 a(t).
\]

In all these constructions it is clear that if the power series \( a(t) \) is rational, algebraic or transcendental, the same property has the Hilbert series of the algebra \( R \).

3. **PI-algebras**

Let \( R \) be an algebra and let \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in K\langle X \rangle = K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle \). We say that \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is a polynomial identity for the algebra \( R \) if \( f(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0 \) for all \( r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R \). If \( R \) satisfies a nontrivial polynomial identity it is called a PI-algebra.

The study of PI-algebras is an important part of ring theory with a rich structural and combinatorial theory. PI-algebras form a reasonably big class containing the finite dimensional and the commutative algebras and enjoying many of their properties. In this section we shall discuss only the growth and the Hilbert series of finitely generated PI-algebras. For more details we refer to the survey article [31].

One of the main combinatorial theorems for finitely generated PI-algebras is the Shirshov Height Theorem [30].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( R \) be a PI-algebra generated by \( d \) elements \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \) and satisfying a polynomial identity of degree \( k \). Then there exists a positive integer \( h = h(d, k) \) such that as a vector space \( R \) is spanned on the products \( u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_h^{n_h} \), \( n_i \geq 0 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, h \), and every \( u_i \) is of the form \( u_i = r_{j_1} \cdots r_{j_p} \) with \( p \leq k - 1 \).

The integer \( h \) is called the height of \( R \).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( R \) be a \( d \)-generated PI-algebra satisfying a polynomial identity of degree \( k \). Then the growth function of \( R \) is bounded by a polynomial of degree \( h \) where \( h = h(d, k) \) is the height in the theorem of Shirshov.

**Proof.** Let the algebra \( R \) be generated by \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \). Then the number of all words \( u = r_{j_1} \cdots r_{j_p} \) of length \( p \) is equal to \( d^p \). Hence all words of length \( \leq k - 1 \) are \( 1 + d + d^2 + \cdots + d^{k-1} \). If we extend the generating set of \( R \) to the set of all words of length \( \leq k - 1 \), Theorem 3.1 implies that as a vector space \( R \) behaves as a finite sum of polynomial algebras \( K[u_1, \ldots, u_h] \). Hence the growth function of \( R \) is bounded by a polynomial of degree \( h \).

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following theorem of Berele [12].
Theorem 3.3. Every finitely generated PI-algebra $R$ is of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. If $R$ is $d$-generated and satisfies a polynomial identity of degree $k$, then $\text{GKdim}(R) \leq h$, where $h = h(d, k)$ is the height in the Shirshov Height Theorem.

The original estimate for the height $h$ in terms of the number of generators $d$ of $R$ and the degree $k$ of the satisfied polynomial identity can be derived from a lemma of Shirshov on combinatorics of words. There are many attempts to improve the estimates for $h$ and to decrease the length $p \leq k - 1$ of the words $u_i = r_{j_1} \cdots r_{j_p}$ in the Shirshov Height Theorem $3.1$. Shestakov conjectured (see the abstract of the talk of Lvov [71]) that the bound $k - 1$ for length can be reduced to $\lceil k/2 \rceil$, where, as usually, $\lceil \alpha \rceil$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is the integer part of $\alpha$. Lvov added some additional arguments which replace $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ with the PI-degree $\text{PIdeg}(R)$ in the conjecture of Shestakov. Concerning the height $h$ the original proof of Shirshov [90] gives primitive recursive estimates. Later it was shown that $h$ is exponentially bounded in terms of the number of generators $d$ of the algebra $R$ and the degree $k$ of the polynomial identity, see the references in the paper by Belov and Kharitonov [10]. In the same paper Belov and Kharitonov found a subexponential bound for $h$: For a fixed $d$ and $k$ sufficiently large

$$h < k^{12(1+o(1)) \log_2 k}.$$ 

Theorems $3.1$ and $3.3$ confirm that from many points of view finitely generated PI-algebras are similar to commutative algebras. There are also essential differences. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of a finitely generated commutative algebra is an integer. The discussed in Section $2$ examples of two-generated PI-algebras $R$ of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\alpha \in [2, 3)$ and the tensor products $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m] \otimes_K R$ from [16] satisfy the polynomial identity

$$(x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1)(x_3 x_4 - x_4 x_3)(x_5 x_6 - x_6 x_5) = 0.$$ 

The examples in [32, Theorem 9.4.11] are two-generated and satisfy the polynomial identity

$$(x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1) \cdots (x_{2m-1} x_{2m} - x_{2m} x_{2m-1}) = 0$$

for a suitable $m$. Another difference is that the Hilbert series of a finitely generated commutative graded algebra $R$ is rational and for PI-algebras $R$ it may be also transcendental. In the next section we shall see that for graded PI-algebras $H(R, t)$ cannot be algebraic and nonrational.

On the other hand, there is an important class of PI-algebras which play the same rôle as the polynomial algebras in commutative algebra and the free associative algebras in the theory of associative algebras.

Definition 3.4. Let $I(R) \subset K\langle X \rangle$ be the ideal of all polynomial identities of the algebra $R$ (such ideals are called $T$-ideals). The factor algebra

$$F_d(\text{var}R) = K\langle X_d \rangle/(K\langle X_d \rangle \cap I(R))$$

is called the relatively free algebra of rank $d$ in the variety of algebras $\text{var}R$ generated by $R$. 


Kemer developed the structure theory of T-ideals in the free algebra $K\langle X \rangle$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 in the spirit of classical ideal theory in commutative algebras, which allowed him to solve several outstanding open problems in the theory of PI-algebras, see [52] for an account. It is well known that over an infinite field $K$ all relatively free algebras are graded and it is a natural question to study their Hilbert series. Using the results of Kemer, Belov [8] established the following theorem which shows that relatively free algebras share many nice properties typical for commutative algebra.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 0 and let $R$ be a PI-algebra. Then the Hilbert series $H(F_d(\text{var} R), t)$ is a rational function with denominator similar to the denominators of the Hilbert series of finitely generated graded commutative algebras.

### 4. Algebraic and transcendental power series

The following partial case of a classical theorem of Fatou [39] from 1906 shows that the condition that a power series with nonnegative integer coefficients is algebraic is very restrictive.

**Theorem 4.1.** If the coefficients of a power series are nonnegative integers and are bounded polynomially, then the series is either rational or transcendental.

The coefficients of the Hilbert series of graded algebras of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension grow polynomially. Hence we obtain immediately the following consequence of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** The Hilbert series of a finitely generated graded algebra of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension is either rational or transcendental.

Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 imply that the same dichotomy holds also for finitely generated graded PI-algebras.

**Theorem 4.3.** The Hilbert series of a finitely generated graded PI-algebra is either rational or transcendental.

In order to construct the graded algebras with algebraic or transcendental Hilbert series in Section 2 we need algebraic and transcendental power series with nonnegative integer coefficients. We shall survey several methods for construction of transcendental power series. We already discussed in Section 1 that the power series with intermediate growth of the coefficients are transcendental.

Recall that the power series $a(t)$ is lacunary, if

$$a(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{n_k} t^{n_k}, \quad a_{n_k} \neq 0, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} (n_{k+1} - n_k) = \infty.$$  

Maybe the best known example of such series is

$$a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} t^{n^n},$$

which produces the first explicitly given transcendental number

$$a\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{10^{n^n}},$$

the constant of Liouville [70]. The following theorem is due to Mahler [74, p. 42].
Theorem 4.4. Lacunary series with nonnegative integer coefficients are transcendental.

Example 4.5. The following power series satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4.4: A direct proof of their transcendency is given in the book of Nishioka [80, Theorem 1.1.2]:

\[ a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^{dn}, \quad d \geq 2. \]

In the definition of lacunary series we do not restrict the growth of the coefficients although in the above given examples the nonzero coefficients are equal to 1. Another way to construct transcendental series with polynomial or exponential growth of the coefficients uses multiplicative functions, i.e. functions \( \alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_0 \) satisfying \( \alpha(n_1)\alpha(n_2) = \alpha(n_1n_2) \), \( n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \). Sárközy [85] described the functions \( \alpha \) such that the generating function \( a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha(n) t^n \) of the sequence \( a_n = \alpha(n) \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), is rational. Later Bézivin [15] extended this result for algebraic generating functions. Recently, another, more number-theoretic proof of the theorem of Bézivin was given by Bell, Bruin, and Coons [6].

Theorem 4.6. Let \( \alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_0 \) be a multiplicative function such that its generating function

\[ a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha(n) t^n \]

is algebraic. Then either \( \alpha(n) = 0 \) for all sufficiently large \( n \), i.e. \( a(t) \) is a polynomial, or there exists a nonnegative integer \( k \) and a multiplicative periodic function \( \chi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q} \) such that \( \alpha(n) = n^k \chi(n) \).

The multiplicative periodic functions which appear in Theorem 4.6 of Bézivin were described by Leitmann and Wolke [68].

The proof of the following corollary can be found in [6]. Here we give simplified arguments.

Corollary 4.7. If \( \alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_0 \) is a multiplicative function, then the generating function

\[ a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha(n) t^n \]

is either rational or transcendental.

Proof. Let the generating function \( a(t) \) of the multiplicative function \( \alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_0 \) be algebraic. By Theorem 4.6 \( a(t) \) is either a polynomial (hence a rational function) or \( \alpha \) is of the form \( \alpha(n) = n^k \chi(n) \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \chi \) is a multiplicative periodic function. The periodicity of \( \chi \) implies that it is bounded. Hence \( \alpha(n) \leq n^k c \) for some constant \( c > 0 \) and the coefficients of the power series \( a(t) \) grow polynomially. By Theorem 1.1 of Fatou the power series \( a(t) \) cannot be algebraic and nonrational. \( \square \)

Now it is easy to construct multiplicative functions with transcendental generating function. The following simple example is from [33].

Example 4.8. If \( \alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_0 \) is a multiplicative function it is completely determined by its values on the prime numbers \( p \). Let \( \alpha(p) = q \), where the \( q \)'s are
pairwise different primes and $\alpha(p) \neq p$ for all prime $p$. If the generating function $a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha(n)t^n$ is rational, then there exists a positive integer $k$ and a periodic multiplicative function $\chi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\alpha(p) = p^k \chi(p) = q, \quad \chi(p) = \frac{q}{p^k}.$$ 

Therefore the multiplicative function $\chi$ is not periodic and this implies that $a(t)$ cannot be rational.

By the theorem of Govorov [42] the Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ of the finitely presented monomial algebra $R$ is a rational function. Ufnarovskij [95] gave a construction which associates to $R$ a finite oriented graph $\Gamma(R)$.

**Definition 4.9.** Let

$$R = K\langle X_d \rangle/(U) \quad U \subset \langle X_d \rangle, |U| < \infty,$$

be a finitely presented monomial algebra and let $k + 1$ be the maximum of the degrees of the monomials in the set $U$. The following graph $\Gamma(R)$ is called the Ufnarovskij graph. The set of the vertices of $\Gamma(R)$ consists of all monomials of degree $k$ which are not divisible by a monomial in $U$. Two vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$ are connected by an oriented edge from $v_1$ to $v_2$ if and only if there are two elements $x_i, x_j \in X_d$ such that $v_1 x_i = x_j v_2 \notin U$. Then the edge is labeled by $x_i$. (Multiple edges and loops are allowed.) The generating function

$$g(\Gamma(R), t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n t^n,$$

of the graph $\Gamma(R)$ has coefficients $g_n$ equal to the number of paths of length $n$.

The algebra $R$ in the above definition has a basis consisting of all monomials in $\langle X_d \rangle$ which are not divisible by a monomial in $U$. The edges of $\Gamma(R)$ are in a bijective correspondence with the basis elements of degree $k + 1$ of $R$ and the paths of length $n$ are in bijection with the monomials of degree $n + k$ in the basis. Ufnarovskij [95] gave simple arguments (based on the Cayley-Hamilton theorem only) for the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $R = K\langle X_d \rangle/(U)$ be a finitely presented monomial algebra and let the maximum of the degrees of the monomials in $U$ is equal to $k + 1$. Then the generating function $g(\Gamma(R), t)$ of the graph $\Gamma(R)$ is a rational function. The Hilbert series $H(R, t)$ of $R$ and the generating function $g(\Gamma(R), t)$ are related by

$$H(R, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n = \sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n t^n + t^k g(\Gamma(R), t).$$

Hence $H(R, t)$ is a rational function.

Now the theorem of Govorov [42] is an obvious consequence of Theorem 4.10. Additionally, the growth of the finitely presented monomial algebra $R$ can be immediately determined from purely combinatorial properties of its graph $G(R)$ – the existence of cycles and their disposition.

The construction of Ufnarovskij can be translated in terms of automata theory and theory of formal languages.
A language \( L \) on the alphabet \( X_d \) is a subset of \( \langle X_d \rangle \). The language \( L \) is regular if it is obtained from a finite subset of \( \langle X_d \rangle \) applying a finite number of operations of union, multiplication, and the operation \( * \) defined by \( T^n = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T^n, T \subset \langle X_d \rangle \).

In the theory of computation a deterministic finite automaton is a five-tuple \( A = (S, X_d, \delta, q_0, F) \), where \( S \) is a finite set of states, \( X_d \) is a finite alphabet, \( \delta : S \times X_d \to S \) is a transition function, \( s_0 \) is the initial or the start state, and \( F \subseteq S \) is the (possible empty) set of the final states. The automaton \( A \) can be identified with a finite directed graph \( \Gamma(A) \). The set of states \( S \) is identified with the set of vertices of \( \Gamma(A) \). Each vertex \( v \in S \) is an origin of \( d \) edges labeled by the elements of \( X_d \) and \( v_2 \) is the destination of the edge from \( v_1 \) to \( v_2 \) labeled by \( x_i \) if \( \delta(v_1, x_i) = v_2 \). The language \( L(A) \) recognized by the automaton \( A \) consists of all words \( x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \) such that starting from the initial state \( s_0 \) and following the edges labeled by \( x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_n} \) we reach a vertex \( f \) from the set of final states \( F \). The theorem of Kleene connects deterministic finite automata and regular languages.

**Theorem 4.11.** A language \( L \) is regular if and only if it is recognized by a deterministic finite automaton.

For a background on the topic we refer e.g. to the book by Lallement [62]. Ufnarovskij [97] introduced the notion of an automaton monomial algebra.

**Definition 4.12.** Let \( R = K\langle X_d \rangle/(U), \ U \subset \langle X_d \rangle, \) be a monomial algebra. It is called automaton if the set of monomials in \( \langle X_d \rangle \) not divisible by a monomial from \( U \) (which form a basis of \( R \)) is a regular language. Equivalently, if \( U \) is a minimal set of relations, then \( U \) is also a regular language.

It is known that when \( L \subset \langle X_d \rangle \) is a regular language, then the generating function \( g(L, t) \) of the sequence of the numbers of its words of length \( n \) is a rational function. Since finite sets \( U \subset \langle X_d \rangle \) are regular languages, this gives one more proof of the theorem of Govorov [42]. Involving methods of graph theory Ufnarovskij [97] showed how to construct a basis of the automaton algebra \( R \) and to compute efficiently its growth and Hilbert series.

For further results, see e.g. the paper by Månsson and Nordbeck [77] where the authors introduce the generalized Ufnarovskij graph and as an application show how this construction can be used to test Noetherianity of automaton algebras. Another application is given by Cedó and Okniński [17] who proved that every finitely generated algebra which is a finitely generated module of a finitely generated commutative subalgebra is automaton. See also Ufnarovski [99] and Månsson [76] for applying computers for explicit calculations.

The above discussions show that it is relatively easy to construct algebras with rational Hilbert series. It is more difficult to construct algebras with algebraic and nonrational Hilbert series. Now we shall survey some constructions of algebraic power series using automata theory and theory of formal languages. Recently there are new applications the theory of regular languages and the theory of finite-state automata which give new results and new proofs of old results providing algebras with rational and algebraic nonrational Hilbert series, see La Scala [64], La Scala, Piontkovski and Tiwari [65] and La Scala and Piontkovski [65] and the references there.
5. **Planar rooted trees and algebraic series**

In this section we shall present another method for construction of algebraic power series with nonnegative integer coefficients. The leading idea is to start with a sequence of finite sets of objects \( A_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), for which we know (or can prove), that the generating function

\[
a(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} |A_n| z^n
\]

of the sequence \( |A_n|, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), is algebraic and nonrational.

A motivating example are the Catalan numbers. The \( n \)-th Catalan number \( c_n \) is equal to the number of planar binary rooted trees with \( n \) leaves.
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We may introduce the operation *gluing of trees* in the set of planar binary rooted trees which gives it the structure of a nonassociative groupoid (or a nonassociative magma):

![Fig. 2](image2.png)

Clearly, this magma is isomorphic to the one-generated free magma \( \{x\} \). For example, the tree in Fig. 1 correspond to the nonassociative monomial \((xx)(xx)(x((xx)x))\) and the gluing of the trees in Fig. 2 can be interpreted as the concatenation of the monomials \((xx)(xx)\) and \(x((xx)x)\) preserving the parentheses:

\[
(xx)(xx) \circ x((xx)x) = ((xx)(xx))(x((xx)x)).
\]

Hence, as it is well known, the Catalan numbers satisfy the recurrence relation

\[
c_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k c_{n-k}, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots,
\]
which implies that their generating function
\[ c(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n t^n \]
satisfies the quadratic equation
\[ c^2(t) = c(t) - t. \]
This also gives the formulas
\[ c(t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t}}{2}, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n - 2}{n - 1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]
In this way we obtain a nonrational power series which is algebraic. More generally we may consider the generating function which counts the planar rooted trees with fixed number of outcoming branches in each vertex, see, e.g. Drensky and Holtkamp [36]. This can be formalized in the language of universal algebra in the following way.

We start with a set
\[ \Omega = \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \ldots \]
which is a union of finite sets of \( n \)-ary operations
\[ \Omega_n = \{ \nu_{ni} | i = 1, \ldots, p_n \}, \quad n \geq 2, \]
and an arbitrary set of variables \( Y \). We consider the free \( \Omega \)-magma \( \{Y\}_\Omega = \mathcal{M}_\Omega(Y) \).

The elements of \( \{Y\}_\Omega \) are the \( \Omega \)-monomials which are built inductively. We assume that \( Y \subset \{Y\}_\Omega \) and if \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \{Y\}_\Omega \), then \( \nu_{ni}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) also belongs to \( \{Y\}_\Omega \). In the same way as one constructs the free associative algebra \( K\langle Y \rangle \) as the vector space with basis the elements of the free semigroup \( \langle Y \rangle \) and the free nonassociative algebra \( \{Y\} \) starting with the free magma \( \{Y\} \), one can construct the free \( \Omega \)-algebra \( K\{Y\}_\Omega \). This allows to use methods and ideas of ring theory for the study of free \( \Omega \)-magmas. The elements of \( \{Y\}_\Omega \) can be described in terms of labeled reduced planar rooted trees in a way similar to the way we identify the free magma \( \{x\} \) with the set of planar binary rooted trees.

If \( T \) is a planar rooted tree we orient the edges in direction from the root to the leaves. We assume that the tree is reduced, i.e. from each vertex which is not a leaf there are at least two outcoming edges. Then we label the leaves with the elements of \( Y \) and if a vertex is with \( n \) outcoming edges we label it with an \( n \)-ary operation \( \nu_{ni} \). We call such trees \( \Omega \)-trees with labeled leaves. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the \( \Omega \)-monomials and the \( \Omega \)-trees with labeled leaves. For example, if \( Y = X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \), then the monomial
\[ \nu_{31}(\nu_{23}(x_1, x_1), x_3, \nu_{32}(x_2, x_1, x_4)) \]
corresponds to the following tree:
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The set of $\Omega$-trees with labeled leaves inherits the natural grading of the free $\Omega$-magma $\{Y\}_\Omega$:

$$\deg(\nu_{ni}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \deg(u_k).$$

The following proposition describes the generating function of the free $\Omega$-magma $\{Y\}_\Omega$ and the Hilbert series of the free $\Omega$-algebra $K\{Y\}_\Omega$.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let

$$p(t) = \sum_{n \geq 2} p_n y^n = \sum_{n \geq 2} |\Omega_n| t^n$$

be the generating function of the set of operations $\Omega = \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \cdots$.

(i) When $Y = \{x\}$ consists of one element, then the generating function of the free $\Omega$-magma $\{x\}_\Omega$ (and the Hilbert series of the free $\Omega$-algebra $K\{x\}_\Omega$)

$$g(\{x\}_\Omega, t) = H(K\{x\}_\Omega, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} |\Omega_n| t^n$$

is the only solution $z = f(t)$ of the equation $p(z) - z + t = 0$ which satisfies the condition $f(0) = 0$.

(ii) In the general case, if

$$Y = Y^{(1)} \cup Y^{(2)} \cup \cdots, \text{ where } Y^{(k)} = \{y \in Y \mid \deg(y) = k\},$$

and

$$a(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} |Y^{(k)}| t^k$$

is the generating function of the graded set $Y$, then

$$z = f(t) = g(\{Y\}_\Omega, t) = H(K\{Y\}_\Omega, t)$$

is the solution of the equation $p(z) - z + a(t) = 0$ satisfying the condition $f(0) = 0$.

The problem when the series $g(\{x\}_\Omega, t) = H(K\{x\}_\Omega, t)$ is algebraic and nonrational depending the properties of the generating function $p(t)$ from Proposition 5.1 was studied in the forthcoming paper by Drensky and Lalov [37]. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.1 we obtain:

**Corollary 5.2.** If $p(t)$ is a polynomial (with nonnegative integer coefficients), then $g(\{x\}_\Omega, t)$ is an algebraic nonrational function.

Under some mild conditions the same conclusion holds when $p(t)$ is a rational function. The following remark is based on arguments from [37].

**Remark 5.3.** Let the function $p(t)$ from Proposition 5.1 be algebraic and let $b(t, p(t)) = 0$ for some polynomial $b(t, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z]$. Hence $g(\{x\}_\Omega, t)$ is equal to the solution $z = f(t)$ of the equation $b(z, p(z)) = b(f(t), p(f(t))) = 0$. Since $p(f(t)) = f(t) - t$, we obtain that $b(f(t), f(t) - t) = 0$. Hence when the function $p(t)$ is algebraic then this gives an algorithm which has as an input the polynomial equation $b(t, z) = 0$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ satisfied by $p(t)$ and as an output the polynomial equation $b(z, z - t) = 0$, again with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, satisfied by $g(\{x\}_\Omega, t)$. 
Remark 5.4. Up till now in this section we start with an algebraic series with non-negative integer coefficients and obtain an algebraic equation satisfied by \( g(\{x\}_\Omega, t) \). Then we want to obtain conditions which guarantee that the series \( g(\{x\}_\Omega, t) \) is not rational. We can apply a similar strategy working with the free \( \Omega \)-magma \( \{Y\}_\Omega \) with larger graded generating sets \( Y \). Depending on the properties of the generating function \( a(t) \) of the set \( Y \) from Proposition 5.1(ii) we can handle the following three cases:

1. Both \( p(t) \) and \( a(t) \) are polynomials in \( \mathbb{Q}[t] \). Then \( g(\{Y\}_\Omega, t) \) is equal to the solution \( z = f(t) \) of the equation \( p(z) - z + a(t) = 0 \) with \( f(0) = 0 \).

2. Let \( p(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t] \) be a polynomial and let \( a(t) \) be algebraic satisfying the polynomial equation \( q(t, a(t)) = 0 \). Let \( q(t, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z] \). Then \( g(\{Y\}_\Omega, t) \) is the solution \( z = f(a(t)) \) of the equation \( p(z) - z + a(t) = 0 \). Replacing \( a(t) = f(a(t)) - p(f(a(t))) \) in \( q(t, a(t)) = 0 \) we obtain that \( z = f(a(t)) \) is a solution of the polynomial equation \( q(t, z - p(z)) = 0 \), and \( q(t, z - p(z)) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z] \).

3. Both \( p(t) \) and \( a(t) \) are algebraic functions and \( b(t, p(t)) = q(t, a(t)) = 0 \) for some polynomials \( b(t, z), q(t, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z] \). Applying the arguments in Remark 5.3 we obtain that \( g(\{x\}_\Omega, t) = f(t) \) is a solution \( u \) of the polynomial equation \( b(u, u - t) = 0 \). Hence \( g(\{X\}_\Omega, t) = f(a(t)) \) is a solution \( u \) of the equation \( b(u, u - a(t)) = 0 \). Since \( q(t, a(t)) = 0 \), the polynomial equations \( b(u, u - z) = 0 \) and \( q(t, z) = 0 \) have a common solution \( z = a(t) \). Hence the resultant \( r(t, u) = \text{Res}_t(q(t, z), b(u, u - z)) \) of the polynomials \( q(t, z), b(u, u - z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, u][z] \) is equal to 0 which gives a polynomial equation \( r(t, u) = 0 \) with a solution \( u = f(a(t)) \).

A variety of algebraic systems satisfies the Schreier property if the subsystems of the free systems are also free. This holds for example for free groups (the Nielsen-Schreier theorem \[79, 86\]), for free Lie algebras (the Shirshov theorem \[89\]), for free nonassociative and free \( \Omega \)-algebras (theorems of Kurosh \[60, 61\]). It is folklore known that any \( \Omega \)-submagma of the free \( \Omega \)-magma \( \{Y\}_\Omega \) is also free. A proof can be found e.g. in Feigelstock \[10\]. (This can be derived also from the theorems of Kurosh \[60, 61\].)

We shall give an example considered in Drensky and Holtkamp \[36\]. The subset \( S \) of the magma \( \{x\} \) consisting of all nonassociative monomials of even degree is closed under multiplication and hence forms a free submagma of \( \{x\} \). It is easy to see that the set of free generators of \( S \) consists of all monomials of the form \( u = u_1 u_2 \), where both \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are of odd degree. Let

\[
a(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{2n} t^{2n}
\]

be the generating function of the free generating set of \( S \). The generating function \( g(S, t) \) of \( S \) is expressed in terms of the generating function of the Catalan numbers

\[
g(S, t) = \sum_{n \geq 2} c_{2n} t^{2n} = \frac{1}{2} (c(t) + c(-t)).
\]

From the equation

\[
g^2(S, t) - g(S, t) + a(t) = c^2(a(t)) - c(a(t)) + a(t) = 0
\]

we obtain that \( a(t) \) satisfies the quadratic equation

\[
4a^2(t) - a(t) + t^2 = 0, \quad a(t) = \frac{1}{4} c(4t^2), \quad a_{2n} = 4^{n-1} c_n.
\]
Applying the Stirling formula for $n!$ after some calculations we obtain

$$\frac{a_{2n}}{c_{2n}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} \sqrt{2n - 1}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{c_{2n}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \approx 0.707106.$$

Every monomial $u$ of even degree in $\{x\}$ is a product of two submonomials $u_1$ and $u_2$ where both $u_1$ and $u_2$ are either of even or of odd degree. The above calculations show that the monomials $u = u_1u_2$ with $u_1$ and $u_2$ of odd degree are much more that those of even degree. This can be translated in the language of planar binary rooted trees with even number of leaves. Every such tree has two branches which both are of the same parity of the number of leaves.

The trees in Fig. 4 correspond, respectively, to the monomials

$$(xx)(xx) \text{ (even branches)}, ((xx)x)x, (x(xx))x, x((xx)x), x(x(xx)) \text{ (odd branches)}.$$

It turns out that the trees with branches with odd number of leaves are more than $70\%$ of all trees with even number of leaves which, at least for the authors of [36], was quite surprising.

The above observation was the starting point of the project of Drensky and Lalov [37]. One of the first results there was the following.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $\Omega$ be a set of operations with algebraic generating function $p(t)$ and let $\{x\}_\Omega$ be the one-generated free $\Omega$-magma. For a fixed positive integer $s$ consider the $\Omega$-submagma $S_\Omega$ consisting of all monomials of degree divisible by $s$. Then the generating function $a(t)$ of the free generating set of $S_\Omega$ is algebraic.

The following lemma answers the problem when the set $S$ is nonempty.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let the number of the $n$-ary operations in $\Omega$ is equal to $p_n$ and let $d$ be the greatest common divisor of all numbers $n - 1$, for which $p_n$ is different from 0. Then $S_\Omega$ is nonempty if and only if $d$ and $s$ are relatively prime. Moreover, the set $S_\Omega$ is either empty or is infinite.

One of the main problems in this direction is the following.

**Problem 5.7.** If in the notation of Theorem 5.5 we known the polynomial equation $b(t, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, z]$ satisfied by $p(t)$, how to find the equation satisfied by the generating function $a(t)$ of the free generating set of $S_\Omega$?

In [37] we have found an algorithm which solves this problem. In particular, we have the following statement which gives more examples of algebraic power series with nonnegative integer coefficients.

**Theorem 5.8.** If the generating function $p(t)$ of the operations in $\Omega$ is a polynomial and the set $S_\Omega$ is nonempty, then the generating function $a(t)$ of the free set of generators of $S_\Omega$ is algebraic and nonrational.
Example 5.9. Let $\Omega$ consist of one binary operation only and let $s = 3$. This corresponds to the set $S$ of binary planar rooted trees with number of leaves divisible by 3. Applying the algorithm in [37] we obtain that the generating function $a(t)$ of the free generating set of $S$ satisfies

$$729a^4(t) - 486a^3(t) + 108a^2(t)^2 - (64t^3 + 8)a(t) + 16t^3 = 0.$$ 

Solving this equation we obtain four possibilities for $a(t)$. We expand each of them in series and since only one solution has nonnegative coefficients of the first powers, we obtain the value of the desired generating function:

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{18} \sqrt{1 + 4t + 16t^2} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2t - 8t^2 + \frac{1 - 64t^3}{1 + 4t + 16t^2}}}{9\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= 2t^3 + 38t^6 + 1262t^9 + 51302t^{12} + 2319176t^{15} + 111964106t^{18} + 5652760340t^{21} + \cdots.$$ 

Example 5.10. Let $\Omega = \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \cdots$ and let $|\Omega| = 1$ for all $n = 2, 3, \ldots$. Its generating function is

$$p(t) = \frac{t}{1-t}.$$ 

Then the one-generated free $\Omega$-magma can be identified with the set of all planar rooted reduced trees and the generating function of $\{x\}_\Omega$ is equal to the generating function of the super-Catalan numbers (see [91] sequence A001003). Let $S$ be the set of all monomials of even degree. The calculations in [37] give that the generating function $a(t)$ of the set of free generators of $S$ satisfies the equation

$$36a^4(t) - 12(t^2 + 1)a^3(t) + (19t^2 + 1)a^2(t) + 3t^2(t^2 - 1)a(t) + 2t^4 = 0$$

Only two of the solutions have nonnegative coefficients of the first few powers. However, the correct solution is chosen taking into account the coefficient of the 4th power and it is

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{12} (1 + t^2) - \frac{1}{12} \sqrt{1 - 34t^2 + t^4} - \frac{\sqrt{t^2 + t^4 - \frac{t^2 - 34t^4 + t^8}{\sqrt{1 - 34t^2 + t^4}}}}{6\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= t^2 + 10t^4 + 174t^6 + 3730t^8 + 89158t^{10} + 2278938t^{12} + 60962718t^{14} + 168535882t^{16} + \cdots.$$ 

6. Noncommutative invariant theory

In this section we shall follow the traditions of classical invariant theory and shall work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ although most of our results are true for any field $K$ of characteristic 0. In classical invariant theory one considers the canonical action of the general linear group $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ on the $d$-dimensional vector space $V_d$ with basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]$ consists of the polynomial functions $f(X_d) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, where

$$x_i(v) = \xi_i \text{ for } v = \xi_1v_1 + \cdots + \xi_d v_d \in V_d, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d \in \mathbb{C}.$$ 

The group $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[X_d]$ by the rule

$$g(f)(v) = f(g^{-1}(v)), \quad g \in GL_d(\mathbb{C}), f \in \mathbb{C}[X_d], v \in V_d.$$ 

If $G$ is a subgroup of $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$, then the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G$ of $G$-invariants consists of all $f(X_d) \in \mathbb{C}[X_d]$ such that

$$g(f) = f \text{ for all } g \in G.$$
For a background on classical invariant theory see, e.g. some of the books by Derksen and Kemper [23], Dolgachev [25] or Procesi [84].

One possible noncommutative generalization is to replace the polynomial algebra with the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]$ under the natural restriction $d \geq 2$. It is more convenient to assume that $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts canonically on the vector space $\mathbb{C}X_d$ with basis $X_d$ and to extend diagonally its action on $\mathbb{C}X_d$ by the rule

$$g(f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)) = f(g(x_1), \ldots, g(x_d)), \quad g \in GL_d(\mathbb{C}), f \in \mathbb{C}(X_d).$$

Then, for a subgroup $G$ of $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ the algebra of $G$-invariants is

$$\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G = \{ f(X_d) \in \mathbb{C}(X_d) \mid g(f) = f \text{ for all } g \in G \}.$$

The algebras of invariants in the commutative case have a lot of nice properties. For example, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G$ is finitely generated for a large class of groups including all reductive groups, when $G$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of a reductive group (see Hadžiev [45] or Grosshans [44, Theorem 9.4]), and consequently when $G$ is a Borel subgroup of a reductive group. Since the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G$ is a homomorphic image of a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[Y_n]$ modulo some ideal $I$. But it is quite rare when the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[Y_n]$. By the theorem of Shephard and Todd [88] and Chevalley [19] if $G$ is finite then $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G \cong \mathbb{C}[X_d]$ if and only if $G$ is generated by pseudoreflections.

The picture of invariant theory for the free algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)$ is quite different. The algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ is very rarely finitely generated.

**Theorem 6.1.**

(i) (Dicks and Formanek [24] and Kharchenko [54]) If $G$ is a finite group then $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ is finitely generated if and only if $G$ is cyclic and acts on the vector space $\mathbb{C}X_d$ by scalar multiplication.

(ii) (Koryukin [58]) Let $G$ be an arbitrary subgroup of $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ be finitely generated. Assume that the vector space $\mathbb{C}X_d$ does not have a proper subspace $\mathbb{C}Y_e, \ Y_e = \{y_1, \ldots, y_e\}, \ e < d$, such that $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G \subseteq \mathbb{C}(Y_e)$. Then $G$ is a finite group and acts on $\mathbb{C}X_d$ by scalar multiplication.

On the other hand the following theorem of Koryukin [58] implies something positive.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let us equip the homogeneous component of degree $n$ of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)$ with the action of the symmetric group $S_n$ by permuting the positions of the variables:

$$\left(\sum \alpha_i x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}\right) \sigma = \sum \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i_1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(i_n)}, \quad \sigma \in S_n.$$

Then under this additional action the algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ is finitely generated for any reductive group $G$.

The analogue of the Shephard-Todd-Chevalley theorem sounds also very different for $K(X_d)$. It turns out that the algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ is always free. Additionally, when $G$ is finite, then there is a Galois correspondence between the subgroups of $G$ and the free subalgebras of $\mathbb{C}(X_d)$ which contain $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$.

**Theorem 6.3.** (Lane [63] and Kharchenko [53]) For every subgroup $G$ of $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ is free.
Theorem 6.4. (Kharchenko \quad [53]) Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$. The map $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X_d)^H$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the subgroups of $G$ and the free subalgebras of $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$.

Comparing with the commutative case, the behavior of the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ depends surprisingly very much on the properties of the group $G$. For example, the classical Molien formula \quad [78] for the Hilbert series of the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G$ for a finite group $G$ states that

$$H(\mathbb{C}[X_d]^G, t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - tg)},$$

where $\det(1 - tg)$ is the determinant of the matrix $I_d - tg \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ (and $I_d$ is the identity $d \times d$ matrix). The analogue of the Molien formula for $H(\mathbb{C}\langle X_d \rangle^G, t)$ is due to Dicks and Formanek \quad [24]:

Theorem 6.5. For a finite subgroup $G$ of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$

$$H(\mathbb{C}\langle X_d \rangle^G, t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{1 - \text{tr}(tg)},$$

where $\text{tr}(tg)$ is the trace of the matrix $tg$, $g \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 6.6. If $G$ is a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, then the Hilbert series of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}(X_d)^G$ and the generating function $a(t)$ of its set of homogeneous free generators are rational functions.

We shall illustrate Corollary 6.6 with two examples.

Example 6.7. Let $d = 2$ and $G = S_2$ be the symmetric group of degree 2. It consists of the matrices

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\det(I_2 - tI_2) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - t \end{vmatrix} = (1 - t)^2, \quad \det(I_2 - t\sigma) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -t \\ -t & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 - t^2.$$

The Molien formula gives

$$H(\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{S_2}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\det(I_2 - tI_2)} + \frac{1}{\det(I_2 - t\sigma)} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)},$$

which expresses the fact that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{S_2}$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra generated by the elementary symmetric functions

$$e_1 = x_1 + x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = x_1x_2.$$

Since $\text{tr}(I_2) = 2$, $\text{tr}(\sigma) = 0$, by the Dicks-Formanek formula we obtain

$$H(\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle^{S_2}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \text{tr}(tI_2)} + \frac{1}{1 - \text{tr}(t\sigma)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2t} + 1 \right) = \frac{1 - t}{1 - 2t} = 1 + t + 2t^2 + 4t^3 + \cdots.$$
As in the case of free nonassociative algebras, there is a formula for the Hilbert series of the algebra \( \mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle \) for an arbitrary grade set \( Y \) of free generators. If the generating function of \( Y \) is \( a(t) \), then

\[
H(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, t) = \frac{1}{1 - a(t)}.
\]

Easy computations give for the free generators of \( \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \)

\[
a(t) = \frac{t}{1 - t}.
\]

This shows that the free homogeneous set of generators of the algebra \( \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \) consists of one polynomial for each degree \( n \geq 1 \). This example is a partial case of a result of Wolf \[103\] where she studied the symmetric polynomials in the free associative algebra \( \mathbb{C}\langle X_d \rangle, d \geq 2 \).

**Example 6.8.** Let \( G = \langle \sigma \rangle \subset \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \) be the cyclic group of order 3 which permutes the variables \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \). It is generated by the matrix

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
det(I_3 - tI_3) = (1 - t)^3, \quad det(I_3 - t\sigma) = det(I_3 - t\sigma^2) = 1 - t^3,
\]

\[
H(\mathbb{C}[X_3]^G, t) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - t)^3} + \frac{2}{1 - t^3} \right) = \frac{1 + t^3}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)},
\]

and this is a confirmation of the well known fact that \( \mathbb{C}[X_3]^G \) is a free \( \mathbb{C}[e_1, e_2, e_3] \)-module generated by 1 and \( x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_1 \). Here, as usual,

\[
e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \quad e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3, \quad e_3 = x_1x_2x_3.
\]

Since \( \text{tr}(\sigma) = 0 \), for \( H(\mathbb{C}[X_3]^G, t) \) we obtain

\[
H(\mathbb{C}[X_3]^G, t) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - t)^3} + 2 \right) = \frac{1 - 2t}{1 - 3t} = 1 + t + 3t^2 + 9t^3 + \cdots.
\]

For the generating function \( a(t) \) of the free generators of \( \mathbb{C}[X_3]^G \) we have

\[
\frac{1}{1 - a(t)} = \frac{1 - 2t}{1 - 3t}, \quad a(t) = \frac{t}{1 - 2t}.
\]

The situation changes drastically when we consider arbitrary reductive groups \( G \). In the commutative case the Hilbert series of the algebra of invariants \( \mathbb{C}[X_d]^G \) is always rational. Surprisingly even in the simplest noncommutative case we obtain an algebraic Hilbert series which is not rational.

**Example 6.9.** (i) Let the special linear group \( \text{SL}_2 = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) act canonically on the two-dimensional vector space with basis \( X_2 \). Almkvist, Dicks and Formanek \[2\] showed that

\[
H(\mathbb{C}\langle X_2 \rangle^{\text{SL}_2}, t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}}{2t^2}.
\]

This means that homogeneous invariants exist for even degree only and their dimension of degree \( 2(n - 1) \) is equal to the \( n \)th Catalan number \( c_n \). There is a formula
relating the Hilbert series \( H(\mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2}, t) \) and the generating function \( a_{\text{SL}_2}(t) \) of the free homogeneous generating set \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2} \):

\[
H(\mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2}, t) = \frac{1}{1 - a_{\text{SL}_2}(t)}.
\]

This implies that

\[
a_{\text{SL}_2}(t) = 1 - \frac{2t^2}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}}.
\]

(ii) Drensky and Gupta \[35\] computed the Hilbert series of the algebra of invariants \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{UT}_2} \) of the unitriangular group \( \text{UT}_2 = \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{C}) \):

\[
H(\mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{UT}_2}, t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}}{t(2t - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4t^2})}.
\]

As in the case of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) we can obtain for the free generating set of the algebra of \( \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{C}) \)-invariants

\[
a_{\text{UT}_2}(t) = 1 - \frac{t(2t - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4t^2})}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}} = t + a_{\text{SL}_2}(t).
\]

Since \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2} \subset \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{UT}_2} \), this equality suggests that the set of free generators of \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{UT}_2} \) consists of the free generators of \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2} \) and one more generator of first degree which was confirmed in \[35\]. The paper \[35\] contains also a procedure which constructs inductively a free generating set of \( \mathbb{C}(X_2)^{\text{SL}_2} \).

Invariant theory of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) considered, respectively, as subgroups of \( \text{SL}_d(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( \text{UT}_d(\mathbb{C}) \), acting on the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{C}[X_d] \) and the free associative algebra \( \mathbb{C}(X_d) \) can be translated in the language of derivations. We shall restrict our considerations for the case \( d = 2 \) only. Recall that the linear operator \( \delta \) acting on an algebra \( R \) is called a derivation if

\[
\delta(r_1 r_2) = \delta(r_1) r_2 + r_1 \delta(r_2) \quad \text{for all } r_1, r_2 \in R.
\]

The derivation is locally nilpotent if for any \( r \in R \) there exists and \( n \) such that \( \delta^n(r) = 0 \). The kernel \( R^\delta \) of \( \delta \) is called its algebra of constants. It is well known, see e.g. Bedratyuk \[5\] for comments, references and applications, that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the \( \mathbb{G}_\alpha \)-actions (the actions of the additive group \((\mathbb{C},+)\)) on \( \mathbb{C}X_d \) and the linear locally nilpotent derivations on \( \mathbb{C}[X_d] \).

If \( \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{C}[X_2] \) by the rule

\[
g(x_1) = x_1, \quad g(x_2) = x_2 + \alpha x_1, \quad g \in \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{C}), \alpha \in \mathbb{C},
\]

then \( \mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\text{UT}_2} \) coincides with the algebra of constants \( \mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\delta_1} \) of the derivation \( \delta_1 \) defined by

\[
\delta_1(x_1) = 0, \quad \delta_1(x_2) = x_1.
\]

Equivalently,

\[
\mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\text{UT}_2} = \{ f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[X_2] \mid f(x_1, x_2 + x_1) = f(x_1, x_2) \}.
\]

Similarly, \( \mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\text{SL}_2} \) coincides with the subalgebra of \( \mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\text{UT}_2} \) consisting of all \( f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[X_2]^{\text{UT}_2} \) such that

\[
f(x_1 + x_2, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2).
\]

Up till now we discussed Hilbert series of algebras of invariants which are subalgebras of polynomial algebras and free associative algebras. Instead we may consider
free algebras in other classes. One of the most important algebras from this point of view are relatively free algebras of varieties of associative or nonassociative algebras. We shall restrict our considerations to varieties of associative algebras over \( \mathbb{C} \).

Let \( R \) be an associative PI-algebra and let \( F_d(\text{var} R) \) be the relatively free algebra of rank \( d \) in the variety \( \text{var} R \) generated by \( R \). Again, we assume that the general linear group \( \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}) \) acts canonically on the vector space \( \mathbb{C}X_d \) and extend this action diagonally on the whole algebra \( F_d(\text{var} R) \). (Equipped with this action, in the case when \( \text{var} R \) is the variety \( \mathfrak{A} \) of all commutative associative algebras, we do not consider polynomials as functions. The algebra \( F_d(\mathfrak{A}) \) is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra \( S(\mathbb{C}X_d) \) of the vector space \( \mathbb{C}X_d \).) For a subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C}) \) the algebra of \( G \)-invariants \( F^G_d(\text{var} R) \) is defined in an obvious way as in the case of \( \mathbb{C}[X_d]^G \) and \( \mathbb{C}(X_d)^G \). For a background on invariant theory of relatively free algebras we refer to the survey articles by Formanek [41] and the author [30], see also the references in Domokos and Drensky [28, 29].

Although PI-algebras are considered to have many similar properties with commutative algebras, from the point of view of invariant theory they behave quite different. For example, the finite generation of \( F^G_d(\text{var} R) \) for all finite groups forces very strong restrictions on the polynomial identities of \( R \), and the restrictions are much stronger when we assume that \( F^G_d(\text{var} R) \) is finitely generated for all reductive groups, see the surveys [41, 30] and Kharlampovich and Sapir [47] where the finite generation is related also with algorithmic problems. As an illustration we shall mention only a result in Domokos and Drensky [27].

The algebra \( F^G_d(\text{var} R) \) is finitely generated for all reductive groups \( G \) if and only \( R \) satisfies the identity of Lie nilpotency \([x_1, \ldots, x_c]\) = 0 for some \( c \geq 2 \).

If we consider Hilbert series of relatively free algebras, they are of the same kind as in the commutative case. Hence we cannot obtain nonrational algebraic or transcendental power series in this way. The following theorem was established in Domokos and Drensky [28]. A key ingredient of its proof is the result of Belov [8] for the rationality of the Hilbert series \( F_d(\text{var} R) \) and its extension by Berele [13].

**Theorem 6.10.** Let \( G \) be a subgroup of \( GL_d(\mathbb{C}) \) such that for any finitely generated \( \mathbb{N}_0 \)-graded commutative algebra \( A \) with \( A_0 = \mathbb{C} \) on which \( GL_d(\mathbb{C}) \) acts rationally via graded algebra automorphisms, the subalgebra \( A^G \) of \( G \)-invariants is finitely generated. Then for every PI-algebra \( R \) the Hilbert series of the relatively free algebra \( F^G_d(\text{var} R) \) is a rational function with denominator similar to the denominators of the Hilbert series of the algebras of \( G \)-invariants in the commutative case.

More applications for computing Hilbert series of invariants of classical groups and important numerical invariants of PI-algebras can be found in the paper by Benanti, Boumova, Drensky, Genov and Koev [11]. Here the usage of derivations is combined with the classical method for solving in nonnegative integers systems of linear Diophantine equations and inequalities discovered by Elliott [38] from 1903 and its further development by MacMahon [73] in his “\( \Omega \)-Calculus” or Partition Analysis.

If we go to free nonassociative algebras, the Hilbert series of the algebras of invariants may be even more far from rational than in the case of free associative algebras. We shall complete our article with the following result in Drensky and Holtkamp [30].
Theorem 6.11. Let \( \mathbb{C}\{X_2\} \) be the free two-generated nonassociative algebra. Then the Hilbert series of the algebras of invariants \( \mathbb{C}\{X_2\}^{\text{SL}_2} \) and \( \mathbb{C}\{X_2\}^{\text{UT}_2} \) are elliptic integrals:

\[
H(\mathbb{C}\{X_2\}^{\text{SL}_2}, t) = \int_0^1 \sin^2(2\pi u) \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8t \sin(2\pi u)} \right) du,
\]

\[
H(\mathbb{C}\{X_2\}^{\text{UT}_2}, t) = \int_0^1 \cos^2(\pi u) \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8t \cos(2\pi u)} \right) du.
\]

The proof uses a noncommutative analogue of the Molien-Weyl integral formula for the Hilbert series in classical invariant theory (which is an integral version of the Molien formula for finite groups [101, 102]).

It would be interesting to obtain the Hilbert series for algebras of invariants for the groups \( \text{SL}_2(K) \) and \( \text{UT}_2(K) \) acting on other free \( \Omega \)-algebras, as well for the invariants of other important groups.
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