PRODUCTIVITY OF CORN HYBRIDS IN RELATION TO SOWING OVERLAP
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ABSTRACT
The automatic control of section shut-off in seed drills minimizes seeding overlap and can reduce productivity losses, especially in responsive crops such as corn. In that context, this study evaluated the effect of sowing overlap on the grain yield and productivity of three corn hybrids. A DB-50 precision seed drill, with 33 rows equipped with a 16-row automatic section control, was used in this experiment. A randomized block design was applied to test three corn hybrids under two sowing conditions (without sowing overlap - WOSO; and with sowing overlap - WSO), with four replications. The analyzed variables were the number of plants per hectare, the number of cobs per hectare, cob length, the number of grains per cob, the thousand grain weight, and productivity. WOSO areas presented cobs that were larger and had more grains, as well as a higher thousand grain weight, resulting in a productivity 13% higher than that of WSO areas. Therefore, the use of automatic section control is a technically feasible alternative to reduce seed costs and avoid productivity losses in corn crops.

INTRODUCTION

Globalization and the competitiveness of the Brazilian market both demand a highly efficient agricultural production process, leading rural entrepreneurs to seek productivity-increasing strategies, streamlining the use of supplies and, consequently, reducing production costs (Farias et al., 2018). Within this context, the use of precision agriculture tools has been contributing to a higher, more efficient, and more sustainable production (Santi et al., 2013; Miranda et al., 2017).

In Brazil, corn crops (Zea mays) account for 17.7 million hectares: 4.9 million hectares (27.7%) for the first crop season, during the rainfall season, and 12.8 million hectares (72.3%) for the second crop season, which is also known as "safrinha" and takes place after the harvest of early-soybean (IBGE, 2020). Corn farming has been considered a risky activity, especially during the first crop season, because of its high production cost and the risk of losses due to drought. In the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, the average production cost per hectare in the 2019/2020 harvest was R$ 2,213.98, with seeds and fertilizers accounting for 28% and 42% of the variable production cost, respectively (CONAB, 2019).

Thus, considering that seeds and fertilizers account for over half of the variable production cost, technological innovations are sought to optimize the input usage and increase the efficiency of the production system. In recent years, seed drills have been equipped with a satellite-guided device that enables each row to be automatically and individually turned on and off by replacing the mechanical drive with hydraulic and electric actuators (Velandia et al., 2013). As a result, sowing overlap has ceased to be a problem in areas of agricultural production.

Overlapping areas generally occur at the ends of the plot, i.e., on field headlands, during maneuvering, or when avoiding obstacles (Corassa et al., 2018). The overlap potentially increases with greater seed drill widths (Luck et al., 2010). Furthermore, smaller and irregularly shaped areas also show increased sowing overlap (Velandia et al., 2013). Sowing overlap results in more plants per area (duplication), promoting higher intraspecific competition for light, water, and nutrients (Sangoi, 2000; Argenta et al., 2001, 2000), thereby inducing crop productivity losses (Demétrio et al., 2008; Corassa et al., 2018). This is especially the case in modern corn hybrids, which are highly responsive to environmental conditions (Cardoso et al., 2012). Therefore, automatic section control technologies for seed drills have
aroused the interest of farmers in terms of potential reduction in seed costs and productivity losses (Velandia et al., 2013; Larson et al., 2016).

As the adoption of corn crop technologies designed to enhance productivity has increased, this study was conducted to evaluate the effects of sowing overlap on yield and productivity components of three corn hybrids under highly productive farming systems. The tested hypothesis was that sowing overlap negatively affects corn grain production, regardless of the type of crop hybrid.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Area and experimental design

The experiment was performed in a 52-hectare commercial grain production area located in Tupanciretã, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The climate in this area is classified as Cfa (Peel et al., 2007), with well-distributed precipitation throughout the year and well-defined seasons. The soil was classified as an Argissolo Vermelho-Amarelo Eutrófico latossólico (Santos et al., 2018). The area was irrigated using a center pivot system. Notably, the cover crops that were grown in the area prior to the experiment were intercropped black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and fodder radish (Raphanus sativus L.).

The experiment was conducted under a randomized block design in a 3 × 2 factorial scheme, resulting from the interaction of three corn hybrids (I, II, and III) and two sowing conditions (without sowing overlap - WOSO, and with sowing overlap - WSO). Four replications were performed, amounting to 24 experimental units in total.

Agricultural operation setup of the experiment

A mechanized set composed of an 8370R tractor (John Deere, Montenegro, Brazil), equipped with a motor of 272 kW (370 HP) rated power (according to the manufacturer), as well as a DB-50 precision seed drill (John Deere, Horizontina, Brazil) with 33 rows, spaced 0.45 m from each other, and equipped with electric clutches configured for a 16-section automatic cut-off, were used for the experiment.

Sowing was conducted by planting 84,000 seeds per hectare. Two guidance directions, towards 190° and 308°, and a pivot pattern were set up for automatic guidance. Variable-rate fertilization was performed based on the chemical analysis of the soil and the CQFS-RS/SC (2016) recommendations. The experimental area was uniformly irrigated at a single depth during the crop cycle, as needed.

The corn hybrids used in the experiment were: Hybrid I. AG 9025 (Agroceres®), which has a good response to management and technology, and is prescribed for the beginning of the sowing window (Sementes Agroceres, 2019); Hybrid II. 2A401 (Dow AgroScience®), an early-cycle hybrid with high productivity and great performance in dryland farming (Dow Sementes, 2016); and Hybrid III. 30F53 (DuPont Pioneer®), an early-cycle hybrid launched in 2002, able to reach high productivity, and recommended for both the beginning and the middle of the sowing window (Pioneer Sementes, 2019). The proportion of the experimental area cultivated with each hybrid was of 56% for AG 9025 (Hybrid I), 21% for 2A401 (Hybrid II), and 23% for 30F53 (Hybrid III).

Data acquisition

Overlapping was determined during sowing by interpolating areas where the automatic shut-off control of the seed drill section was activated and deactivated, resulting in areas without and with sowing overlap, respectively. Data from the GS3 2630 seed monitor were imported to the Apex® software in the shapefiles format (.shp) and, subsequently, to the AutoCAD® software, which enabled the calculation of the total overlapped sown area corresponding to the predefined sowing direction (Figure 1).
In WOSO and WSO areas, 3.00 × 1.35 m plots (three sowing lines) were delimited and the number of plants per hectare was quantified for each condition by counting the total number of plants per plot. The number of cobs per hectare, total cob length, the number of grains per cob, the thousand grain weight, and grain productivity were determined during harvest, which was manually performed in the experimental area. Notably, the grain productivity values were corrected for 13% humidity.

**Data analysis**

After evaluation, data were analyzed for normality and homoscedasticity using the Shapiro-Wilk test (p>0.05) and data transformation was found unnecessary. A variance analysis was performed (p<0.05) to test the effects of sowing overlap and hybrids on productivity parameters. In case of significance (F-test p<0.05), means were compared with Tukey's test (p<0.05), using the Statistical Analysis System - SAS v.9.3 software (SAS Inc., Cary, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The overlap area accounted for 1.33 hectares, representing 2.54% of the total experiment area. These results are similar to those reported by Corassa et al. (2018), who obtained a 5.5% average overlap in 128 plots. Velandia et al. (2013) evaluated 52 plots and observed overlap area variations ranging from 0.1% to 15.5%, depending on the plot shape.

The variance analysis revealed a factor interaction effect between the thousand grain weight and total cob length, while both the number of cobs per hectare and productivity presented isolated significance for sowing conditions and hybrids (Table 1). The number of plants per hectare and the number of grains per cob were only affected by sowing conditions (Table 1).

**TABLE 1. Summary of the ANOVA (F value) number of plants per hectare (NPH), number of cobs per hectare (NCH), total cob length (TCL), number of grains per cob (NGC), thousand grain weight (TGW) and productivity (P) parameters.**

| Sources of variation | Degrees of freedom | Average squares |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                      |                    | NPH NCH TCL NGC TGW P |
| Hybrid (H)           | 1                  | 542.42** 350.98** 512.95** 22.23** 52.31** 10.91** |
| S x H                | 2 2               | 3.16 4.33* 59.44** 2.75 125.94** 24.41** |
| CV (%)               | 6.64               | 7.24 4.11 25.64 3.87 8.93 |

* and ** represent p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively.

Areas with sowing overlap presented a 92% higher number of plants per hectare when compared with areas without overlap (Figure 2). These numbers are higher than those reported by Corassa et al. (2018), who obtained a plant density 78% higher in areas without overlap control than in those with overlap control.

Sowing overlap induced reductions of 35, 31, and 30% in the total cob length of hybrids I, II, and III, respectively (Figure 3A). Notably, the longer total cob length of hybrid II under conditions without overlap did not result in a higher thousand grain weight (Figure 3B). On the contrary, hybrids I and III presented higher thousand grain weights under conditions without sowing overlap. Furthermore, hybrid I presented a higher thousand grain weight compared to the other hybrids, regardless of sowing overlap, which can be explained by its genetic superiority.
The higher plant density induced by sowing overlap increases the intraspecific competition for water and nutrients, resulting in the decrease in cob length, the translocation of photoassimilates to grains, and a consequent decrease in grain weight (Sangoi, 2000; Argenta et al., 2001; Dourado Neto et al., 2003).

Hybrid I presented a lower number of cobs per hectare compared to hybrid II, while hybrid III did not significantly differ from the other two hybrids (Figure 4A). Areas with overlap obtained 77% more cobs per hectare than did areas without overlap (Figure 4B), which is due to the overpopulation of plants per hectare as a consequence of the sowing overlap (Figure 2). However, a higher number of cobs did not result in productivity gains (Figure 4D).

Despite the lower number of cobs per hectare, hybrid I presented a productivity 21% higher than that of the other hybrids (Figure 4C). Areas without sowing overlap (Figure 4D) presented a productivity 13% higher than that of the overlap areas (14,662 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 12,997 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively).

*Means followed by the same lowercase letter within each corn hybrid and capital letter within each sowing condition do not differ by Tukey’s test (p<0.05).

FIGURE 4. Number of cobs per hectare (A and B) and productivity (C and D) depending on corn hybrids (I, II and III) (left) and on sowing conditions (without and with sowing overlap – WOSO and WSO) (right).
The results corroborate the findings of Corassa et al. (2018), who found respective reductions of 8% and 2% in corn and soybean productivity due to sowing overlap in the field. According to Assefa et al. (2016), corn grain productivity decreases when plant density is above the optimum of the plant. Increased corn plant density per area unit results in a higher number of cobs per hectare (Figure 4B). However, those cobs are underdeveloped and present fewer grains (Figure 5).

In general, the number of grains per cob decreases as plant density per hectare becomes greater (Kopper et al., 2017). Increases in population density result in intraspecific competition, decreasing the availability of resources and reducing the number of grain rows per cob (Brachtvogel et al., 2009). Furthermore, the increased number of plants per hectare may result in a nitrogen deficit, reducing the number of grains per cob, especially in the top portion of the cob, which does not develop properly regardless of regular egg fertilization (Sangoi, 2000).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Areas with sowing overlap have an increased number of plants per hectare, which results in plants with a reduced productive potential. On the contrary, the use of embedded technology for automatic section control in seed drills minimizes sowing overlap, hence maintaining an adequate plant density and resulting in longer cobs with a higher number of grains.

Areas without sowing overlap presented a grain productivity that was 13% higher than that of areas with sowing overlap. Notably, performance was the greatest for hybrid I.

The results of this study support the effectiveness of the automatic section control in seed drills, which decreases the required number of seeds (reduction of production costs) and avoids productivity losses in areas with overlap. Despite these benefits, it is worth considering the size of the cultivated area and calculating the return on investment, as well as verifying the economic feasibility of using this type of technology.
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