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SUMMARY
Recent reports have shown that somatic cells, under appropriate culture conditions, could be directly reprogrammed to cardiac, hepatic, or neuronal phenotype by lineage-specific transcription factors. In this study, we demonstrate that both embryonic and adult somatic fibroblasts can be efficiently reprogrammed to clonal multilineage hematopoietic progenitors by the ectopic expression of the transcription factors ERG, GATA2, LMO2, RUNX1c, and SCL. These reprogrammed cells were stably expanded on stromal cells and possessed short-term reconstitution ability in vivo. Loss of p53 function facilitated reprogramming to blood, and p53−/− reprogrammed cells efficiently generated erythroid, megakaryocytic, myeloid, and lymphoid lineages. Genome-wide analyses revealed that generation of hematopoietic progenitors was preceded by the appearance of hemogenic endothelial cells expressing endothelial and hematopoietic genes. Altogether, our findings suggest that direct reprogramming could represent a valid alternative approach to the differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) or induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) for disease modeling and autologous blood cell therapies.

INTRODUCTION
Until recently, it was assumed that differentiation was mostly a unidirectional and irreversible route that cells undertake during lineage commitment. This dogma was rebutted by the groundbreaking discovery of Yamanaka and colleagues that the expression of four transcription factors (TFs) could reprogram mouse and human cells into a pluripotent stage (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006; Takahashi et al., 2007). Subsequent studies have established that cellular-fate conversion is also obtained by direct transdifferentiation between two distinct lineages. Transdifferentiation is generally achieved by overexpressing lineage-instructive TFs, as demonstrated by the effective cell-fate switching of fibroblasts into neuronal, hematocyte, and cardiomyocyte lineages (Du et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Ieda et al., 2010; Nam et al., 2013; Sekiya and Suzuki, 2011; Vierbuchen et al., 2010).

The hematopoietic system relies on the existence of a rare population of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) that are able to self-renew and reconstitute the entire system by generating all hematopoietic lineages. In the clinic, transfusion of HSCs and terminally differentiated blood cells (erythrocytes, platelets, and granulocytes) is used to successfully treat blood genetic disorders and malignancies. However, a major restriction to the wider use of these treatments is the limited availability of cells from donors with adequate match. An alternative strategy for the generation of patient-specific hematopoietic cells would be to differentiate induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) to HSCs. Unfortunately, so far, the development of robust methods to produce blood cells and, in particular, transplantable long-term HSCs has met with limited success (Blum and Benvenisty, 2008; Sturgeon et al., 2013). Therefore, direct reprogramming of patient-derived cells by transdifferentiation represents an attractive alternative strategy for the generation of transplantable blood cells.

Hematopoiesis is governed by the combined functions of numerous TFs, complicating attempts to establish simple approaches toward transdifferentiation into this lineage. This complexity is highlighted by knockout studies that identified multiple regulators of blood cell generation including SCL, RUNX1, ERG, and GATA2 (Loughran et al., 2008; Okuda et al., 1996; Robb et al., 1996; Tsai et al., 1994). Genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation data indicated that these four factors, in conjunction with LMO2, LYL1, and FLI1, create a regulatory complex that mediates transcription of multiple genes in hematopoietic progenitor cells (Wilson et al., 2010). Each TF of this heptad has been shown to act at multiple stages of hematopoietic specification, maturation, and differentiation (Loose et al., 2007). For example, SCL is required during the formation of hemogenic endothelium precursors from hemangioblast and mesoderm (Lancrin et al., 2010). RUNX1 is critical for the emergence of hematopoietic progenitors and HSCs from hemogenic endothelium (Chen et al., 2009; Lancrin et al., 2010). ERG is required for the maintenance of fetal HSCs and also for the
self-renewal and survival of adult HSCs (Loughran et al., 2008; Taoudi et al., 2011). Synergistic, antagonistic, and sequential relationships among these TFs create complex regulatory landscapes that shape the hematopoietic identity (Pimanda and Göttgens, 2010).

Previous studies have revealed an inherent plasticity of hematopoietic cells, as they are amenable to transdifferentiation and dedifferentiation. Recently, this approach has been remarkably employed for the generation of inducible HSCs (iHSCs) by reprogramming blood cells or endothelium (Riddell et al., 2014; Sandler et al., 2014). In both studies, cells capable of multilineage long-term engraftment were obtained by transient ectopic expression of TFs selectively expressed in HSPCs. Importantly, the successful generation of iHSCs required provision of a favorable niche for the maturation of the cells in the form of either the in vivo bone marrow environment or a vascular support mimicking the aorta-gonad-mesonephros (AGM) niche. However, reprogramming of differentiated blood cells might not be suitable for the generation of healthy transplantable cells for patients with blood malignancies or acquired genetic diseases (Pereira et al., 2014). In addition, it could prove very difficult to obtain enough endothelial cells from an adult patient to perform reprogramming. An approach more appropriate for this purpose, but also more challenging, would be to reprogram more developmentally distinct cell types, such as fibroblasts, into blood. In this context, it has been shown that the TFs PU.1 and cEBPz were capable of reprogramming fibroblasts into mature macrophage-like cells (Feng et al., 2008). However, reprogramming of fibroblasts to more immature hematopoietic progenitors has so far remained challenging. The first attempt, reported in 2010, involved the ectopic expression of the pluripotency factor OCT4 in human fibroblasts (Szabo et al., 2010). Although OCT4-induced hematopoietic progenitors exhibited myeloid and erythroid potential, lymphoid potential and long-term in vivo engraftment capacity were not achieved. Moreover, the use of the pluripotent TF OCT4 also raises concerns about tumorigenicity, as partial reprogramming induced by OCT4 could mimic a neoplastic state (Ohnishi et al., 2014). More recently, the combinatorial expression of a limited set of hematopoietic TFs, including GATA2, GF11b, ETV6, and c-FOS in fibroblasts, was shown to induce a hemogenic endothelial cell fate (Pereira et al., 2013). However, the reprogrammed cells subsequently displayed only minimal hematopoietic potential despite coculture with placental cells.

In this report, we establish that fibroblasts can be rapidly and robustly reprogrammed to hematopoietic fate. The ectopic expression of five hematopoietic TFs, functionally selected from a range of 19 regulators, reproducibly induced hematopoietic fate in adult and embryonic fibroblasts within 8 days. The reprogrammed progenitors exhibited multilineage clonogenic capacity in vitro. Genome-wide transcriptional analyses of the reprogrammed cells revealed that the generation of hematopoietic progenitors was preceded by a hemogenic endothelial stage.

RESULTS

Reprogramming of Fibroblasts to Hematopoietic Fate with a Pool of Hematopoietic TFs

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether the ectopic expression of specific hematopoietic TFs could result in a direct and rapid cell fate conversion to hematopoietic precursors. First, we carefully selected a set of 19 different hematopoietic TFs based on their expression and function during hematopoiesis (Table S1). The expression of most of the selected TFs spans from the onset of blood development during ontogeny to the adult blood system (Orkin and Zon, 2008). Lentiviruses expressing each individual factor were prepared and a cocktail of all TFs was used to infect primary fibroblasts. As a source of starting material, we used either embryonic day 14.5 (E14.5) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) or mouse adult ear skin fibroblasts (MAFs). Prior to infection, these cells were depleted of any CD41+, CD31+, c-KIT+, and CD45-positive cells to eliminate potential contamination by hematopoietic and endothelial cells (Figure 1A). Posttransduction, the infected cells were switched to a media supporting the growth of hematopoietic cells, and the appearance of colonies containing round cells was monitored daily. Starting from day 8, we observed in transduced MEFs and MAFs cultures, but not in untransduced control cells, the emergence of colonies of small round cells often associated with cobblestone-like areas (Figure 1B). These colonies continued to expand during the following weeks of culture.

The transduced cells were next tested by immunostaining for the acquisition of the hematopoietic cell-surface markers c-KIT and CD41, which are expressed on emerging blood cells during embryonic development (Mikkola et al., 2003; Mitjavila-Garcia et al., 2002), and for the expression of hematopoietic genes. Live staining at day 12 indicated that cells in emerging colonies

Figure 1. Screen for Hematopoiesis-Inducing TFs

(A) Schematic representation of experimental strategy. Murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were prepared from day 14.5 embryos, and murine adult fibroblasts (MAFs) were prepared from adult ear skin. Cells expressing the surface markers CD31, CD41, c-KIT, and CD45 were excluded from the starting populations. Sorted cells were transduced with a cocktail of all TFs. After 21 days of culture, cells were analyzed for hematopoietic cell-surface markers, clonogenic capacity, and cellular and nuclear morphology.

(B) Bright-field images of untransduced and all TFs transduced MEFs and MAFs at day 12.

(C) Relative gene expression levels of indicated genes with respect to β-actin in untransduced (Un), all-TF-transduced MEFs, control bone marrow (BM), and control E10.5 aorta-gonad-mesonephros (AGM) region cells. Data presented are representative of one out of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (n = 3; mean ± SD).

(D) Number of hematopoietic colonies generated by 50,000 all-TF-transduced day 21 harvested MEFs/MAFs (left). Mean ± SEM from two independent experiments performed in triplicates is shown (n = 2). Representative bright-field images of the different types of colonies observed (right).

(E) Cellular morphology of day 21 transduced MEFs/MAFs and ESC-derived hematopoietic cells. Arrowheads depict indicated morphologies. Gr, granulocyte; M, macrophage; P, progenitor; E, erythrocyte; Mk, megakaryocyte. Scale bars represent 50 μm. Asterisks indicate significant differences (Student’s t test; ***p < 0.0005).
Figure 2. Five TFs Induce Reprogramming to Blood
(A) Bright-field images of five TFs transduced MEFs/MAFs at day 12 (left). Number of round cell colonies observed per 15,000 transduced MEFs/MAFs (right, n = 5, mean ± SEM).
(B) FACS analysis of untransduced and five-TF-transduced MEFs and MAFs at day 21.

(legend continued on next page)
were positive for CD41 expression (Figure S1A). Flow cytometry (fluorescence-activated cell sorting [FACS]) analyses at day 21 confirmed the acquisition of CD41 and low levels of c-KIT expression by the transduced MEFs and MAFs (Figure S1B). Gene expression analyses on 3-weeks-posttransduced cells clearly indicated the downregulation of the fibroblasts markers (Acta2 and Fbn1) (Figure 1C). In contrast, the expression of genes associated with erythroid (embryonic β-H1 and adult β-major hemoglobin), megakaryocytic (Pf4), and myeloid (Itgam and Mpo) lineages were markedly upregulated in these cultures (Figure 1C). The expression of both β-H1 and β-major hemoglobin genes suggests the presence of both primitive and definitive erythroid cells in these cultures. Having demonstrated the induction of a hematopoietic gene signature, we next sought to determine whether the reprogrammed cells possessed functional hematopoietic clonal potential. Day 21 reprogrammed MEFs and MAFs, but not untransduced fibroblasts, generated hematopoietic colonies containing macrophage, erythroid, and granulocytic cells upon replating in clonogenic assays (Figure 1D). May–Grünwald Giemsa staining confirmed the presence of cells with erythroid, megakaryocytic, and myeloid morphologies in these colonies (Figure 1E). Collectively, these experiments established that both embryonic and adult fibroblasts could be reprogrammed to hematopoietic cells upon the ectopic expression of 19 hematopoietic TFs.

ERG, GATA2, LMO2, RUNX1c, and SCL Reprogram Fibroblasts to Blood

Our next aim was to define the minimal combination of TFs required for reprogramming to blood cells. By eliminating each TF individually from the pool, taking into account the redundancy among TFs, and reiteration, we established that a minimal set of five TFs (ERG, GATA2, LMO2, RUNX1c, and SCL) was able to robustly and reproducibly induce the generation of colonies of round cells in both adult and embryonic fibroblasts (Figure 2A, left; Movie S1). The efficiency of reprogramming was consistently higher in MEFs than in MAFs (Figure 2A, right). Several other combinations of TFs could also induce the generation of hematopoietic colonies from MEFs, albeit with lower efficiencies. The combination of ERG, FLI1, GATA2, PU.1, and SCL or the triad of FLI1, GATA2, and SCL, which controls the specification of mammalian hematopoietic progenitors (Pimanda et al., 2007), induced the generation of hematopoietic colonies in MEFs (Figure S2A). However, as the combination of the five TFs efficiently and reproducibly reprogrammed both MEFs and MAFs, we selected this set for further experiments. FACS analyses of day 21 MEF and MAF transduced cultures confirmed the acquisition of the hematopoietic markers c-KIT, CD41, CD45, CD11b, and TER119 (Figure 2B). Clonogenic assays and cytospin analysis of day 21 reprogrammed cells confirmed that five-TF-transduced MEFs and MAFs exhibited erythroid and myeloid potential (Figures 2C and 2D). Finally, sorted CD45/CD11b double-positive cells were able to uptake red fluorescent latex beads, demonstrating their phagocytic capacity and functionality (Figure 2E).

We next set out to determine the specific requirement for each TF and therefore performed “N minus 1” experiments. In MAFs, SCL, LMO2, and RUNX1c were more important than ERG and GATA2 for the generation of hematopoietic colonies (Figure S2B). In MEFs, SCL and LMO2 alone were sufficient, albeit at a lower efficiency, to generate hematopoietic colonies containing CD41-, CD45-, and c-KIT-positive cells (Figure S2C); in contrast, no colonies were obtained with these two TFs in MAFs (Figure S2C). To investigate if the hematopoietic phenotype of the reprogrammed cells was dependent on the sustained expression of the exogenous TFs, we performed quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) on day 4 and day 21 transduced MEFs with primers specific for exogenous transduced factors. These experiments demonstrated that the vector-driven transcription of all five TFs was silenced by day 21 (Figure S2D). In contrast, vector integration of all five viruses was enriched upon the emergence and expansion of blood cells in these cultures (Figure S2E). These results established the contribution of all five exogenous factors in the induction of the hematopoietic program. In addition, qRT-PCR specific for the endogenous genes indicated that expression of all five endogenous TFs was induced during reprogramming (Figure S2F). Finally, to determine the growth factors and cytokines essential to reprogram fibroblasts, we performed “N minus 1” experiments with MEFs. We observed that interleukin-3 (IL-3) was absolutely critical for reprogramming (Figure S2G), a finding consistent with its established role in the maintenance of hematopoietic progenitor cells. Collectively, our results indicate that the combination of ERG, GATA2, LMO2, RUNX1c, and SCL efficiently induces reprogramming of both embryonic and adult fibroblasts to blood.

Five-TF-Induced Reprogramming Generates Multipotent Progenitors

Both morphological analysis and cell-surface staining data suggested that a large fraction of day 21 reprogrammed hematopoietic cells were already mature and differentiated at this stage (Figure 2D). To investigate whether fibroblasts were reprogrammed through a transient hematopoietic progenitor stage, or transdifferentiated more directly to mature blood cells, we investigated the emergence of cells positive for hematopoietic precursor markers by FACS. We observed from day 10 onward a sudden rise in the frequency of c-KIT/CD41 double-positive cells followed by a similar rise in the emergence of CD45+ cells from day 12 (Figure 3A). Time-course replating of transduced MEF cultures in semisolid colony assays indicated a transient peak of clonogenic potential around day 12–15 (Figure 3B), which correlated with higher frequencies of c-KIT+ cells. A similar gradual increase in the number of c-KIT+ cells and peak of clonogenic potential was observed in transduced MAF cultures (Figures S3A and S3B).

(C) Number of hematopoietic colonies generated by 50,000 five-TF-transduced day 21 harvested MEFs/MAFs (left, n = 4, mean ± SEM). Representative bright-field images of the different types of colonies observed (right).

(D) Cellular morphology analyses of day 21 transduced MEFs/MAFs and control bone marrow (BM) derived cells. Arrowheads depict indicated morphology. Gr, granulocyte; M, macrophage; P, progenitor; E, erythrocyte; Mk, megakaryocyte. Scale bars represent 50 μm.

(E) Phagocytic capacity of CD45/CD11b double-positive cells. Asterisks indicate significant differences (Student’s t test; **p < 0.01).
Figure 3. Multilineage Potential of Five-TF-Reprogrammed Cells
(A) Acquisition of hematopoietic cell surface markers during the course of reprogramming. Average percentages of cells expressing CD41, c-KIT, and CD45 are represented at indicated intervals after five-TF transduction of MEFs (n = 2, performed in duplicate; mean ± SD).
(B) Number of hematopoietic colonies generated by 50,000 reprogrammed MEFs from day 7 to day 21 (n = 2, performed in duplicate; mean ± SEM).
(C and D) Multilineage potential of five TFs reprogrammed day 12 sorted c-KIT+ cells. (C) Sorted c-KIT+ cells were cultured under erythroid and myeloid culture conditions for 1 week and FACS analyzed for cell-surface markers. (D) Morphology of colonies and cells generated by sorted c-KIT+ cells.
(E) FACS and cellular morphology of cells derived from day 12 sorted single c-KIT+ cell expanded on OP9 for 2 weeks (n = 3).
(F) Percentage reconstitution in peripheral blood determined by detection of donor-derived GFP+ cells after 2 weeks of transplantation in two individual mice per group (1 and 2) either with GFP+ or c-KIT+ reprogrammed cells.
Confirming the relationship between c-KIT acquisition and clonogenic potential, c-KIT+ cells displayed a higher clonogenic potential than c-KIT− cells (data not shown). When c-KIT+ sorted cells were cultured in conditions that specifically support the growth of erythroid and myeloid cells, CD71/TER119 and CD11b/GR1 double-positive cells were respectively detected (Figure 3C). When evaluated in clonogenic replating assays, c-KIT+ cells generated colonies containing erythroid, megakaryocytic, and myeloid cells (Figure 3D). Relatively similar genomic integration levels of the five vectors were observed between erythroid TER119 and myeloid CD11b-positive cells (Figure S3C). This observation suggests that both lineages have similar TF requirements for their generation and/or that they are generated through a common progenitor. Collectively, these results indicate that hematopoietic progenitors with myeloid, erythroid, and megakaryocytic potential are generated early during reprogramming.

To investigate the presence of multipotent progenitors, we evaluated the frequency of clonogenic precursors in the c-KIT+ fraction, containing all hematopoietic progenitor cells, including the most immature precursors. Limiting dilution analyses indicated that 1 in 20 c-KIT+ cells generated colonies when cultured on OP-9 stromal cells (data not shown). To investigate if c-KIT+ cells have multilineage clonal ability, single day 12 fibroblast-derived c-KIT+ cells were sorted onto OP-9 stromal cells and amplified for 2 weeks (Figure 3E). FACS and May-Grünwald Giemsa staining indicated the presence of erythroid, myeloid, and megakaryocytic cells in cultures initiated with a single c-KIT+ cell (Figure 3E). These results suggest that fibroblasts are reprogrammed to the blood program, at least in part, through multipotent hematopoietic progenitor cells.

To further determine if hematopoietic stem cells might be generated during reprogramming, we performed FACS analyses for the SLAM markers on day 12 reprogrammed cells that were amplified on OP9-DL1. Lin− c-KIT+SCA1+ (LSK) cells were detected in these cultures, and some of the LSK cells were CD48+ CD11b−, indicating the presence of phenotypic HSCs (Figure S3D). Additionally, we also observed phenotypic HSCs identified by the combination EPCR+CD48+CD45+CD150+ (Figure S4A). We next investigated if these reprogrammed cells have the capacity to engraft mice in vivo. For this, we established E14.5 MEFs from a transgenic mouse carrying the GFP reporter cDNA under the control of the pan-hematopoietic AI467606 gene promoter (Ferreras et al., 2011). We amplified day 12 reprogrammed cells on OP9-DL1 stromal cells for 2 weeks. At this stage, GFP+ cells, characterizing both progenitors and differentiated cells, or c-KIT+ cells, including the most immature progenitors, were sorted and injected into two irradiated immunocompromised mice per each group. Two weeks after, we monitored the presence of GFP+ cells in the peripheral blood and detected short-term engraftment of both injected populations (Figures 3F and S4B). Engrafted cells were mostly TER119+ erythroid cells (Figure S4B). However, 8 weeks postinjection, we could not detect engraftment greater than 1% in peripheral blood (data not shown). Collectively, these results suggest that the five-TF-mediated reprogrammed cells have short-term engraftment capacity and that further optimization of the culture conditions or TF composition will be necessary to obtain long-term engraftment capacity.

Loss of p53 Increases the Efficiency of Reprogramming to Blood

The loss of p53 or p16/p19 function has been shown to dramatically improve the efficiency of reprogramming to the pluripotent state (Hong et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009). We therefore investigated whether similarly deletion of these genes improved reprogramming of fibroblasts to blood. Following transduction with the five TFs, we observed significantly higher numbers of hematopoietic colonies generated by p53 and p16/p19-null MEFs than by wild-type MEFs (Figure 4A). These colonies emerged as early as 5 days after transduction, and scoring was performed on day 6, as their excessive proliferation precluded individual counting at later time points. Reprogramming of p53−/− MEFs resulted in the emergence of hematopoietic progenitors with multilineage potential (Figures 4B and 4C). Also, loss of p53 or p16/p19 function significantly increased the frequency of TER119+ erythroid cells generated by reprogrammed cells (Figure 4D). Cells with mature megakaryocytic morphologies that stained positive for acetylcholinesterase activity were also more readily observed with p53−/− reprogrammed MEFs (Figure 4E). To evaluate the lymphoid potential of p53−/− reprogrammed cells, sorted c-KIT+ cells were cultured and passaged on OP-9 or OP9-DL1 stromal cells in culture conditions supporting B or T cell growth, respectively. Cells positive for the B cell markers B220/CD19 emerged and proliferated during the culture of reprogrammed p53−/− MEFs (Figure 4F). A fraction of B220/CD19 double-positive reprogrammed p53−/− cells were also positive for immunoglobulin M expression (Figure 4F). The B cell identity of sorted B220/CD19-positive cells was further confirmed by detection of V(D)J chain rearrangements by PCR and sequencing (Figure 4Fi). Similarly, some reprogrammed p53−/− MEFs cells cultured on OP9-DL1 stromal cells acquired the expression of the early T cell marker CD25 and displayed T cell receptor rearrangements, confirming early T lymphoid commitment (Figures 4Gi and 4Gii). Collectively, these results demonstrate that p53−/− MEFs are more efficiently reprogrammed to hematopoietic progenitors with erythroid, myeloid, megakaryocyte, and B and T lymphoid lineage potential than wild-type MEFs, indicating that P53 expression is a barrier for reprogramming to blood.

Reprogramming to Blood Occurs via an Intermediate Endothelial Stage

We next investigated the molecular events and cellular processes leading to the reprogramming of fibroblasts to blood progenitors. The rapid emergence of hematopoietic colonies suggested that the reprogramming did not involve a pluripotent stem cell stage with subsequent differentiation into blood cells. Accordingly, the expression of pluripotent markers (Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog) was not detected during the course of reprogramming (Figure 5A). The detection of embryonic hemoglobin β-H1 expression in reprogrammed cells (Figure 1C) suggested that the reprogramming process might recapitulate to some extent embryonic hematopoiesis. During embryonic hematopoiesis, mesodermal hemangioblast precursors generate primitive as well as definitive blood cells through an intermediate hematopoietic endothelium stage. We evaluated by PCR whether the reprogramming of fibroblasts to blood process was associated
Figure 4. Reprogramming p53-Null MEFs
(A) Number of round cell colonies observed at day 6 per 15,000 transduced WT, p53−/−, and p16/p19−/− MEFs (n = 4; mean ± SEM).
(B) Number and types of hematopoietic colonies generated by 50,000 five-TF-reprogrammed p53−/− MEFs harvested from day 6 to 15. Data presented are mean ± SEM of triplicates in a representative experiment (n = 2).

(legend continued on next page)
with any of these distinct embryonic steps. Although we did not detect any expression of the Brachyury mesodermal marker (data not shown), we clearly observed a peak of endothelial gene expression (Cdhs5, Tie2, and Icam1; Pecam1 and VWF) early in reprogramming (Figures 5B and 5C). In contrast, hematopoietic genes (Itgα2b, Mpo, and Pu.1) showed a delayed but steady increase in expression from day 12 to day 21 (Figure 5D). Live staining for vascular endothelial cadherin (CDH5) performed on day 5 cultures confirmed the early emergence of clusters of CDH5+ endothelial cells (Figure 5E). To determine if these endothelial cells corresponded to hemogenic endothelium that gives rise to differentiated blood cells, we sorted CDH5+ and CDH5− cells from c-KIT-depleted day 6 transduced MEFs to eliminate any potential hematopoietic precursors. The cells were then cultured in conditions that support the transition to hematopoietic progenitors before seeding them in clonogenic assays. As shown in Figure 5F, CDH5+, but not CDH5−, cells generated hematopoietic colonies, demonstrating their hematogenic potential. In addition, these c-KIT+/CDH5+ endothelial cells acquired the hematopoietic markers CD41, CD45, and c-KIT upon culture on OP9 (Figure S5A). We concluded from these results that reprogramming of fibroblasts to blood cells proceeds through an intermediate hemogenic endothelial cellular stage.

Transcriptome Analyses on Reprogrammed Cells

To define the global changes in gene expression driving reprogramming of fibroblasts to hematopoietic lineages, we performed exon array analyses on biological replicates of untreated MEFs (Un), day 8 sorted CDH5+ cells, and day 12 sorted c-KIT+ cells. We identified 868 significantly (5% false discovery rate [FDR]) differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Principal component analyses based on these DEGs confirmed the correlation between replicates and the differences between cells at the three stages of reprogramming (Figure S6A). We then performed hierarchical clustering on DEGs and observed three main clusters (Figure 6A). The first cluster mostly contained genes that were gradually downregulated from untransduced MEFs to CDH5+ and then to c-KIT+ populations and were associated with adhesion and muscular development (Figure 6A; Table S2). This cluster included fibroblast-specific genes (Acta2, Actg2, Col2a1, Col4a1, Col5a1, and Fgfl3) that were silenced during reprogramming. The second cluster encompassed genes that were upregulated in CDH5+ cells but then downregulated in c-KIT+ cells. These genes were mainly of endothelial nature and associated with blood vessel development and cell-cell adhesion (Figure 6A; Table S2). The third cluster included genes that were moderately expressed in CDH5+ cells, highly expressed in c-KIT+ populations, and associated with ontology terms such as development of the hematopoietic program, leukocyte migration, chemotaxis, and response to infection/wounding ontology terms (Figure 6A; Table S2). Pathway analyses with DAVID confirmed that hematopoietic pathways were already activated in the CDH5+ fraction, a finding consistent with a hemogenic endothelium identity (Table S2). To further confirm this transient upregulation of the endothelial program, we performed gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) with endothelial-specific genes. As expected, genes upregulated between MEFs and CDH5+ cells, and downregulated between CDH5+ and c-KIT+ cells, were positively correlated with an endothelial gene signature (Figure 6B). These analyses also indicated an enrichment for genes specifically upregulated in CDH5+ cells for early hematopoietic progenitors signature, whereas c-KIT+-specific genes were more closely associated with late or differentiated hematopoietic progenitor cells (Figures 6C and 6D). Finally, we investigated to which extent our reprogrammed cells were similar to HSCs by comparing our transcriptome signature with previously published data sets from different HSC populations isolated from diverse hematopoietic tissues (McKinney-Freeman et al., 2012). We observed that CDH5+ cells clustered with AGM, placental, and yolk sac HSCs that have been classified as specifying HSCs. Interestingly, c-KIT+ cells clustered more closely with definitive HSCs from fetal liver, bone marrow, and embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived HSCs (Figure 6D). Collectively, our transcriptome analyses further support the concept that reprogramming to hematopoietic progenitors proceeds through a hemogenic endothelium intermediate. This CDH5+ cell population, while displaying clear evidence of its endothelial nature, also expressed hematopoietic genes and displayed similarity with emerging HSCs and early progenitors, whereas the later c-KIT+ population was more closely associated with hematopoietic progenitors.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we establish that fibroblasts can be robustly reprogrammed to hematopoietic cell fate by concomitant ectopic expression of the hematopoietic TFs ERG, GATA2, LMO2, RUNX1c, and SCL. These five TFs have been shown to interact and act at diverse stages of the hematopoietic program; i.e., from the onset of its development to the more established adult hematopoietic hierarchy (Wilson et al., 2010). In particular, SCL, GATA2, and FLI1, a distinct but related to-ERG ETS factor, form an interconnected regulatory triad that is activated during specification of HSCs (Pimanda et al., 2007). Once established, this circuit is self-maintained, providing the newly specified progenitors with a memory of their stemness in a similar fashion as OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG in pluripotent...
ESCs (Boyer et al., 2005). Furthermore, ERG, when expressed along with HOXA9 and RORA, has also been shown to confer multilineage potential to myeloid-restricted precursors (Doulatov et al., 2013). The transcription factor SCL and its binding partners are implicated in the induction of the hematopoietic program in mesoderm and the generation of hemogenic endothelium (Gering et al., 2003; Lancrin et al., 2009). Finally, RUNX1 physically interacts with SCL, ERG, and GATA2 and is critical for the transition from hemogenic endothelium to hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) (Chen et al., 2009; Lancrin et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2010). Furthermore, enforced expression of the isoform RUNX1α enhances

---

**Figure 5. Fibroblasts Are Reprogrammed to Blood via an Intermediate Hemogenic Endothelial Stage**

(A–D) Relative gene expression levels of pluripotent (A), endothelial (B), endothelial/hematopoietic (C), and hematopoietic (D) markers with respect to β-actin at indicated days after five-TF transduction and in control bone marrow (BM) and aorta-gonad-mesonephros (AGM) region cells. Data presented are mean ± SD from one representative experiment (n = 3).

(E) Immunostaining of day 5 five-TF-transduced MEFs for CDH5 (red) and DAPI (blue).

(F) Schematic strategy to determine hemogenic potential of CDH5ᶜKITᶜ and CDH5ᶜKITᶜ five-TF-transduced cells (top). Number, type, and morphology of hematopoietic colonies generated by sorted cells reaggregated with irradiated OP9 stromal cells. Data presented are mean ± SEM of triplicates of a representative experiment (n = 2). Scale bars represent 50 μm.
hematopoietic lineage commitment from human ESCs and iPSCs (Ran et al., 2013). The close functional association among these five TFs during normal HSPCs generation could explain why we functionally identified them as the best combination of TFs to robustly induce a complex hematopoietic program in differentiated fibroblasts. Interestingly we also demonstrated that SCL and LMO2 are sufficient to induce hematopoietic fate in embryonic but not adult fibroblasts. This finding raises the interesting prospect that a limited set of small-molecule modulators could reinforce reprogramming or even circumvent the need for viral transduction.

We observed that the generation of blood precursors by the ectopic expression of our set of five TFs is a very fast process. The first morphological signs of cell-fate switching were observed by day 5 followed by the emergence and proliferation of c-KIT+ progenitors by 8 days. These cells exhibited a wide range of differentiation potential with robust generation of granulocytes and functional macrophages and, to a lower extent, erythrocytes and megakaryocytes. Furthermore, using fibroblasts with a p53/+/−/− background broadened the range and increased the frequency of the generated lineages. In addition to larger pool of erythrocytes and megakaryocytes, we were able to generate B and T cells at different developmental stages. The results obtained with p53/+/− MEFs provide a proof of principle that our combination of five TFs is suitable for reprogramming to all major blood lineages. The addition of small-molecule modulators that transiently increase epigenetic plasticity or briefly inhibit p53 or p16 might further extend the range and frequency of lineages generated from wild-type fibroblasts. In contrast, a permanent inactivation of p53 might not be desirable given the requirement for p53 to limit aberrant self-renewal (Zhao et al., 2010).

Establishing methods for transdifferentiation of nonhematopoietic cells to blood lineage remains challenging due to significant epigenetic barriers to overcome and the need to induce and maintain complex regulatory networks in these cells. So far, there are only two reports describing transdifferentiation of fibroblasts to blood progenitors. In the first study, hematopoietic progenitors with multilineage potential were generated...
by overexpression of a single pluripotency factor, OCT4, in a hematopoietic-supportive culture environment (Szabo et al., 2010). The use of a pluripotent TF, however, raises some concerns about tumorigenicity. In the second report, Pereira et al. demonstrated that ectopic expression of c-FOS, ETVa, GATA2, and GF11b reprogrammed fibroblasts to hemogenic endothelial cells, which upon further coculture with placental cells generated myeloid cells, albeit with a low efficiency (around 10 colonies for 100,000 starting MEFs) (Pereira et al., 2013). Although reprogramming by c-FOS, ETVa, GATA2, and GF11b, as well as our combination of five TFs, seems to transit through a similar hemogenic endothelium intermediate stage, the efficiency and kinetics are quite different. While these authors identified a hemogenic endothelium population emerging through a similar hemogenic endothelium intermediate stage, many remaining questions, the approach reported here holds a huge promise and should be further optimized and developed for its safe use in clinical settings.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**MEF and MAF Preparation**

E14.5 MEFs were isolated as described previously (Sroczynska et al., 2009). MAFs were isolated from the ears of 2- to 6-month-old mice by collagenase digestion. Passage 0 fibroblasts were cultured until confluent and frozen. Before infection, MEFs and MAFs were depleted of cells positive for the hematopoietic/endothelial markers CD41, CD31, c-KIT, and CD45.

**Lentivirus Transduction, Colony-Forming Unit Assay, and Reaggregation**

MEFs/MAFs (15,000) were seeded on a gelatin-coated 12-well plate, and after 24 hr viral transductions were carried out in the presence of 10 μg/ml diethylaminoethyl dextran. After 4 hr of transduction, the cultures were placed in hematopoietic media (1 × Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with plasma-derived serum [PDS; Antech], 10% protein-free hybridoma medium [PFM; Gibco], 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 4.5 × 10^{-5} M MTG, 2 mM L-glutamine, 80 mg/ml transferin, 1% c-KIT ligand, 1% IL-3, 1% granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, 1% thrombopoietin conditioned media, 4 U/ml erythropoietin [Ortho-Biotech], 10 ng/ml macrophage colony-stimulating factor, 10 ng/ml IL-6, 5 ng/ml IL-11 [all from R&D Systems], and 50 μg/ml penicillin-streptomycin). Colony-forming unit (cfu) assays were performed as described previously (Sroczynska et al., 2009). To evaluate the presence of hematogenic endothelium, CDHS+ and CDHS− cells were sorted from day 6 cultures that were depleted for c-KIT+ cells to avoid hematopoietic contamination. A total of 20,000 sorted cells were mixed with 100,000 irradiated OP9 cells, cultured overnight in hanging-drop cultures, and then on Dupore filter (Millipore) for another 4 days. Single-cell suspensions obtained following dissociation with collagenase/displace solution were assayed in cfu assays.

**Transplantation Assay**

E14.5 MEFs for transplantation experiments were obtained from a reporter mouse line with GFP expression under the control of A1467806 promoter (Ferreras et al., 2011). Day 12 transduced cells were harvested and further expanded for 2 weeks on OP-DL1 stroma cells in hematopoietic medium. GFP- or c-KIT-positive cells were sorted and intravenously injected in 6- to 8-week-old lethally irradiated NSG mice (n = 2). The level of engraftment was determined by GFP expression in peripheral blood. All animal work was performed under regulations set out by the Home Office Legislation under the 1986 United Kingdom Animal Scientific Procedures Act.

**Affymetrix Analyses and Integration of Data Sets**

Global gene expression analyses were done using the Mouse Exon 1.0 ST arrays. The R/Bioconductor package LIMMA was used to identify genes that were differentially expressed among the three conditions. Differentially expressed genes were obtained with significant p value and at 5% FDR. The distance weighted discrimination (Benito et al., 2004) method for cross-platform normalization was done for our transcriptome data sets along with McKinney data sets (McKinney-Freeman et al., 2012). Hierarchical clustering was performed using the complete linkage.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

Affymetrix data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number GSE59428.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, six figures, two tables, and one movie and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.11.002.
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Figure S1 (related to Figure 1)
(A) Immunostaining of transduced MEFs at day 12 with a CD41-PE antibody. No staining was observed in untransduced MEFs. (B) FACS analyses for hematopoietic cell surface markers on all factor transduced MEFs and MAFs at day 21.
Figure S2 (related to Figure 2)

(A) Bright-field images of round cell colonies generated by transduced MEFs with the indicated TFs at day 18.
(B) Relative change in the number of round cell colonies visible at day 15 following transduction of MAFs with the indicated combination of TFs. The number of colonies generated by the complete five TFs combination is attributed a value of 1. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments is shown (n=3) (C) Number of round cell colonies generated by 15K MEFs/MAFs transduced with either five TFs or only SCL and LMO2 (left). Mean ± SEM of two independent experiments is shown (n=2). FACS analysis of day 21 SCL and LMO2 transduced MEFs cultures (right).
(D) Relative expression levels with respect to β-actin of exogenously introduced TFs in day 21 transduced cells and day 4 transduced cells (n=3, mean ± SD). (E) Relative integration of vectors encoding the different TFs in untransduced (Un) and transduced MEFs at day 4, day 12 and day 21 in respect to the endogenous PARP gene (n=3, mean ± SD). (F) Relative expression levels of endogenous genes with respect to β-actin in untransduced (Un) MEFs, day 6, day 12 and day 21 transduced MEFs and control E10.5 AGM cells. Mean ± SD of triplicates of a single experiment is shown. (G) Change in the number of round cell colonies generated after five TF transduction in media depleted of the indicated cytokines or growth factors. Mean ± SEM of four independent experiments is shown (n=4). Asterisk(s) indicates significant differences (Student t-test, *p <0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.0005, ****p<0.0001).
Figure S3 (related to Figure 3)

(A) FACS analyses of the emergence of c-KIT+ cells in cultures of transduced MAFs at the indicated days. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments is shown (n=3) (B) CFU potential of five TF transduced MAFs at the indicated days of cultures. Bars represent mean ± SEM of triplicates of a representative experiment (n=3) (C) Relative genomic integration of vectors for all five TFs in untransduced (Un) and reprogrammed MEFs in respect to the endogenous PARP gene. MEFs transduced with five TFs were cultured on OP9. On day 14 cells were sorted into erythroid (TER119+) or myeloid (CD11b+) fractions and subjected to genomic DNA extractions and subsequent qRT-PCR. Data presented are mean ± SD of a representative experiment performed in triplicates (n=2). (D) FACS analyses using the indicated markers of phenotypic HSCs in reprogrammed MEFs expanded on OP9-DL1 for two weeks and control bone marrow.
Figure S4 (related to Figure 3)

(A) FACS analyses using the indicated markers of phenotypic HSCs in reprogrammed MEFs expanded on OP9-DL1 for two weeks and control bone marrow. (B) Representative FACS analyses of peripheral blood of mice 2 weeks after transplantation for donor derived GFP positive cells and host CD45 (right). FACS analyses of donor derived cells (GFP gated) for the hematopoietic cell surface markers B220, TER119, GR1 and CD11b (left).
Figure S5 (related to Figure 5)

(A) CDH5+ c-KIT- cells were sorted from transduced day 6 MEFs and cultured on OP9 stromal layers for 7 days. FACS analyses were performed for the indicated markers (n=2).
Figure S6 (related to Figure 6)

(A) PCA analyses of DEGs among untreated (Un), CDH5+ and c-KIT+ positive cells. (B) GSEA analyses with the indicated gene data sets (Ivanova, 2002) comparing CDH5+ and c-KIT+ transcriptomes.
Table S1: (related to Figure 1)
List of the 19 TFs screened for reprogramming to blood

| Gene Name | Gene ID       |
|-----------|--------------|
| CEBP-α    | NM_007678.3  |
| CEBP-β    | NM_009883.3  |
| c-Fos     | NM_010234.2  |
| Erg       | NM_133659.2  |
| Ets1      | NM_001038642.1 |
| Etv2      | NM_007959.2  |
| Etv6      | NM_007961.3  |
| Fli1      | NM_008026.4  |
| GATA1     | NM_008089.1  |
| GATA2     | NM_008090.4  |
| Gfi1      | NM_010278.2  |
| Gfi1b     | NM_008114.2  |
| HoxB4     | NM_010459.6  |
| Lmo2      | NM_008505.3  |
| PU.1      | NM_011355.1  |
| Runx1b    | NM_001111022.2 |
| Runx1c    | NM_001111023.2 |
| Scl       | NM_011527.2  |
| Sox7      | NM_011446.1  |
**Table S2 : (related to Figure 6)**

Gene ontology analysis of significantly enriched biological processes in the 3 clusters of genes differentially expressed among untreated fibroblasts, day 8 CDH5+ and day 12 c-Kit positive cells.

| Cluster 1                  | Cluster 2                  | Cluster 3                  |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cell adhesion              | Cell adhesion              | Immune response            |
| Biological adhesion        | Biological adhesion        | Defense response           |
| Heart development          | Angiogenesis               | Leukocyte migration        |
| Actin filament-based       | Blood vessel development   | Cell activation            |
| process                    |                            |                            |
| Extracellular matrix       | Vasculature development    | Response to wounding       |
| organization               |                            |                            |
| Extracellular structure    | Regulation of cell         | Taxis                      |
| organization               | migration                  |                            |
| Enzyme linked receptor     | Blood vessel morphogenesis | Leukocyte activation       |
| protein signalling pathway |                            |                            |
| Skeletal system            | Regulation of cell         | Response to bacterium      |
| development                | motion                     |                            |
| Actin cytoskeleton         | Regulation of locomotion   | Mast cell activation       |
| organization               |                            |                            |
Movie S1: related to Figure 2

Time lapse imaging of 5-TFs transduced MEFs from day 3 to 13 of reprogramming.
Supplemental Experimental Procedures

TF cloning and lentiviruses production

Hematopoietic TFs cDNAs were cloned with a N-terminal FLAG tag in lentiviral vectors under the EF1 promoter. Viral particles were produced in HEK293T cells with third generation lentiviral packaging plasmids. Western blotting with FLAG antibodies was performed to quantitate and normalize the titers of viruses.

Flow cytometry

The following antibodies were used: CD45.1 APC (A20), CD45-PerCP Cy5.5 (30-F11;Biolegend), CD150-PerCP Cy5.5 (TC15-12F12.2), SCA1-PE-Cy7 (D7), CD201-APC (1560), CD48-PE (OX-78), CD48-APC (HM48-1), CD41-PE-Cy7 (MWReg30), c-KIT-APCeFluor780 (2B8), CDH5–APC (eBioBV13), TER119- PE-Cy7 (TER-119), CD11b-APC (M1/70), GR1-PE-Cy7 (RB6-8C5), CD19-PE-Cy7 (eBio1D3), B220-PE (RA3-6B2), CD25-APC (PC61.5), CD71-bio (R17217), CD31-bio (Mec 13-3), CD41-Bio (eBio-MWReg30), CD45-Bio and PE-Cy7 (30-F11), c-KIT-Bio (2B8), Strep-BV421, Strep-PECy7 and mouse hematopoietic lineage biotin panel (all from eBioscience). Acquisitions were performed on LSRII (BD Biosciences) and data were analyzed using FlowJo software. Cell sortings were performed on AriaII or AriaIII sorters (BD Biosciences).

Lineage specific cultures

Multilineage potential of sorted c-KIT positive cells was tested by culturing them in (1X IMDM supplemented with plasma-derived serum (PDS;Antech), 10% protein-free hybridoma medium (PFM; Gibco), 0.5 mM Ascorbic Acid, 4.5x10^-4 M MTG, 2 mM L-glutamine, 80 mg/ml transferrin and 50 µg/ml penicillin-streptomycin in addition to the following specific cytokines/growth factors; 1% IL3, 1% of GM-CSF and 10 ng/ml M-CSF for myeloid, 1% IL3, 1% c-KIT ligand and 4 U/ml Erythropoietin (Ortho-Biotech) for erythroid and 1% Thrombopoietin, 10 ng/ml IL6 and 5 ng/ml IL11 (R&D Systems) for megakaryocytes. Lymphoid cultures were carried out in the presence of 1% c-KIT ligand, 5 ng/ml Flt3 ligand and 1 ng/ml IL7 (B-lymphocytes) or 0.25 ng/ml (T-lymphocytes). To test presence of immunophenotypic HSCs day 12 c-KIT sorted five factor reprogrammed cells were cultured in medium supplemented with 1% c-KIT ligand, 1% IL3, 100 ng/ml Flt3 ligand and 10 ng/ml IL6 for two weeks.

Hematopoietic CFU-C assay

In order to evaluate the progenitor potential of the cells subjected to reprogramming, 50,000 cells were re-plated in triplicate in semi-solid media containing 55% methylcellulose (VWR) and supplemented with the cytokines used in reprogramming medium (see Viral transduction and culture). The cultures were maintained in
37°C/5% CO₂ atmosphere and scored for the presence of hematopoietic colonies after 10-12 days.

Phagocytosis assay and cytospin analysis

Active phagocytosis was tested by adding 10 µl of fluorescent red conjugated carboxylate-modified polystyrene beads (0.5 um diameter, Sigma) to 100 µl aliquots of day 21 CD45⁺CD11b⁺ sorted reprogrammed cells (3x10⁶/ml) and subsequent incubation for 2 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂ atmosphere with occasional shaking. Cells were washed twice with 10% FCS in PBS to remove any beads that were not phagocytised and subjected to standard cytospin procedure.

For cytospin analysis 30,000 cells suspended in 150 µl of medium were spun at 800 rpm for 5 minutes with low acceleration onto a glass slide. After air-drying cells were fixed in ice-cold acetone for 5 minutes and air-dried. The cells were next stained in ice-cold acetone for 5 minutes and air-dried. Samples were then covered with a staining solution of 0.5 mg/ml acetylthiocholine iodide, 5mM sodium citrate, 3mM copper(II) sulphate, 0.5mM potassium ferricyanide in 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer (pH6). Incubation was carried out for 3-6 hours in a humid chamber in the dark. The cells were then fixed in 95% EtOH for 10 minutes, rinsed with water and air-dried. Counterstain in Harris’ haematoxylin solution was carried out for 30 seconds immediately followed by brief destain in acid alcohol (1% HCl in 70% EtOH). Finally slides were rinsed with water and left to air dry. Images were captured on a Leica DMI3000B microscope.

Genomic PCR

Genomic DNA was isolated by lysis followed by proteinase K digestion. The integration of exogenous transcription factors was determined by PCR with SYBR green master mix and primers specific to FLAG tag and individual TF. For the detection of BCR and TCR gene rearrangements, p53⁻/⁻ repogrammed MEFs, cultured on OP9/OP9-DL1, were sorted for B220 and CD19 (B-lymphocytes) or CD25 (T-lymphocytes) respectively. Genomic DNA was isolated from 100,000 cells. D_H to J_H1-2 and D_H to J_H3 gene rearrangements were detected by nested PCR as reported earlier (Schlissel et al., 1991). TCR-β chain gene rearrangements were detected by a single round of PCR with the following primers: 5’-GCACCTGTGGGAAGAAACT-3’; 5’-TGAGAGCTGTCTCTACTACGATT-3’. Products of both experiments were subjected to electrophoresis and the bands excised from the gel and sequenced.
Quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated using QIAGEN RNA Prep KIT (Qiagen) and cDNAs were generated with Omniscript RT kit (Qiagen). The QRT-PCR reactions were prepared using TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and universal probe library. The expression levels of endogenous TFs were determined with primers specific to the 3’UTR of their transcripts.

Immunofluorescence

For CDH5 staining, five TFs transduced cells cultured on gelatine-coated coverslips were washed with PBS and fixed with 0.4% formaldehyde (Sigma) in PBS for 20 minutes at RT. Cells were then permeabilized with a 0.2% TritonX (Sigma) solution in PBS before to be blocked with 10% goat serum (Gibco) for 10 minutes at RT. The cells were then incubated with a CDH5-biotin primary antibody (eBioBV14, eBioscience) at 1:100 dilution overnight at 4C and the next day with a secondary anti-rat Alexa647 antibody (Invitrogen) in 1:400 dilution for 1 hour. After washes, the cells were mounted with ProLong® Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen) and left at 4°C overnight. Imaging was performed using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope and a Andor iXon DU888+ camera. The system utilises Metamorph (Molecular Devices) software. Subsequent analysis was performed in Adobe Photoshop and ImageJ programmes.
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