Mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of thick hard roof sandstone in Shendong coal field
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Abstract The mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of thick hard roof sandstone were investigated. Samples were taken from the 30.87-m thick sandstone roof in a mine in the Shendong coal field, China. Firstly, the composition and microscopic characteristics were analyzed by XRD and FE-SEM, respectively. Moreover, the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test, three axis compression experiment and AE test are carried out by using RMT-150C mechanics experiment system with DS5-8B AE test system. The experiment results indicate that the main framework particles of sandstone are quartz and feldspar, and mainly quartz. Cements are mainly pyrite, kaolinite, chlorite and zeolite cross needle, clinochlore, and clay minerals. The microstructure of sandstone is very dense, with few pores and high cementation degree. The tensile strength, compressive strength and elastic modulus of sandstone are 4.825, 85.313 MPa, 13.814 GPa, respectively, so the sandstone belongs to hard rock. The AE cumulative counts of sandstone can be divided into three phases: relatively flat growth period, rapid growth period and spurt period. The signal strength of AE waveform can be used as a warning signal. In the tensile fracture zone, the warning value is 0.4 mV, and in the compression shear failure zone, it is 4 mV. The numbers of cumulative counts of AE under different stress conditions have obvious difference. Moreover, the growth of cumulative counts of acoustic emission is more obvious when the stress is more than 60% of the peak stress.
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1 Introduction

The Daliuta coal mine is a large modern mine in the Shenhua coal group, with approved production capacity of 21,700,000 tons. The Shenhua coal group is located in the northern part of Shaanxi Province and composed of the Daliuta and Huojitu coal mines. Daliuta has mine area of 189.9 km², coal reserves of 2.32 billion tons, and recoverable reserves of 1.53 billion tons. The roof of the 5–2 coal seam is composed of sandstone with an average thickness of 30.87 m. In order to prevent the roof failure due to weighting over great extent, pre splitting blasting for the thick sandstone in the open cut hole was carried out. However, the initial pressure occurs until the panel advances to the 95 m. Such a large area of the roof once falling will cause great harm. This is a serious threat to the safety and efficiency of production on the working face. Therefore, it is urgent to find out the internal cause of roof failure due to weighting over great extent, so that the corresponding control measures can be put forward. According to the formation of this kind of mine pressure, the rock mechanical properties of the overlying strata are
the major influential factors. Moreover, acoustic emission monitoring can monitor and predict this kind of dynamic disaster. Therefore, it has engineering and theoretical significance to study the mechanics and acoustic emission characteristics of the rock.

Domestic and foreign scholars have well studied the mechanical properties and AE characteristics of rock and made outstanding contributions to the advancement of rock mechanics and acoustics. The structural plane has an important influence on the mechanical and acoustic emission characteristics of rock. Scholars carried out laboratory experiments and numerical simulations on rocks with structural plane. Meanwhile, the relationship among the structural plane, mechanical properties, and acoustic emission characteristics was also established (Liu et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013; Han and Zhang 2014; Su et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2015). Some scholars have studied the mechanics and damage characteristics of sandy mudstone, metamorphic quartz sandstone and silty sandstone under triaxial compression and triaxial unloading confining pressure (Fang et al. 2015; Deng et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2016). The mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of sandstone under impact loading were studied by (Li 2014; Zhang et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015a, b; Liu et al. 2015). Besides, they explored the effect of strain rate on the mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of sandstone under impact loading. Water also has an important effect on the physical properties, mechanical properties, and acoustic emission characteristics of rock. The effects of water on the physical and mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of silty sandstone, coal and sandstone were analyzed by other researchers (Chen et al. 2013; Deng et al. 2014; Gao et al. 2015a, b; Zhang et al. 2015, 2016), and the results indicate that water can significantly alter the wave velocity, compressive strength, elastic modulus, failure pattern and acoustic emission characteristics of coal and rock. The effect of pore pressure and confining pressure on short-term and creep mechanical behavior of saturated and dry red sandstone were studied by Yang et al. (2015). The effect of strain rate on the mechanical behavior of sandstone with different grain sizes was studied by Wasantha et al. (2015). The microscopic damage and dynamic mechanical characteristics of rock under the freeze–thaw environment were studied by Zhou et al. (2015).

However, studies on the mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of thick hard roof sandstone are scarce. In order to explore the internal cause of dynamic disasters such as the formation of shock, and take corresponding control measures, the mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of the thick hard roof sandstone in the Shendong coal field were studied experimentally and the results are analyzed and discussed in this paper.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

The panel of 52307 extracts 5–2 coal seam. The roof of the 5–2 coal seam is composed of sandstone with an average thickness of 30.87 m, as shown in Table 1. In order to study the mechanical properties and acoustic emission characteristics of the sandstone, the method of core drilling was used in the process of sampling. All the collected samples were wrapped by foam, and then put into a core box for protection during the transportation. According to the requirements of regulation (the Ministry of Water Resources of P. R. China 2008), the Brazil split and the uniaxial and triaxial compression tests samples should be processed into $\phi 25 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ mm}$, $\phi 50 \text{ mm} \times 100 \text{ mm}$ respectively.

2.2 Testing equipment

Uniaxial compression test, indirect tensile test and triaxial compression test were carried out on a RMT-150C mechanical testing machine. The system is composed of main control computer, hydraulic controller, three axial pressure sources, digital controller, manual controller, hydraulic actuator and hydraulic source. The acoustic emission test was carried out with a DS5 acoustic emission monitor. The system has 8 channels and can monitor the external parameters such as temperature and pressure. Moreover, the system can identify the location of acoustic emission and record the waveform characteristics in the whole experiment process.

2.3 Testing procedure

(1) AE test

The AE test is applied with the mechanics experiment simultaneously. The experiment system diagram is shown

| Lithology            | Thick (m) | Depth (m) |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Fine sandstone       | 30.87     | 175.62    |
| Siltstone            | 0.60      | 176.22    |
| Medium sandstone     | 0.70      | 176.92    |
| Siltstone            | 2.41      | 179.33    |
| 5–2 coal             | 7.04      | 186.37    |

Table 1 Lithological characteristics of the seam roof
in Fig. 1. In the AE test, two probes are symmetrically placed in the middle of the sample. In order to maintain the full contact of the sensor and the sample, the sensor is coated with a coupling agent in the test process. During the experiment, the AE system and the mechanics experiment system begin to collect the data simultaneously. The external parameter of the AE test is 40 dB, the threshold value is 50 mV, and the sampling frequency is 3 MHz.

(2) Mechanics experiment

The indirect tensile test adopts force control at the loading rate of 0.2 kN/s, which is repeated for 5 samples. And the uniaxial compression test adopts displacement control at the loading rate of 0.005 mm/s, which is repeated for 3 samples.

Triaxial compression experiment is controlled by displacement. Firstly, loading confining pressure to 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 MPa by the loading rate of 0.5 MPa/s, under the condition of hydrostatic pressure which turns to be \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \). Then continue loading axial compression by the loading rate of 0.01 mm/s while keeping confining pressure constant till the specimens get destroyed. During the test, the axial loading and acoustic emission testing are kept simultaneously.

3 Composition and microstructure characteristics

3.1 Analysis of mineral composition

Many methods have been used to examine the microfabric of rock (Sachan and Penumadu 2007; Yao et al. 2016). In this study, the method of X-ray diffractometer is used to study the mineral composition of the roof sandstone, and the results are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. Table 2 indicates that the framework grain of thick hard roof sandstone is quartz and feldspar, constituting about 69.7% of the total mass. Cements mainly have pyrite, kaolinite, chlorite, zeolite cross needle and clinochlore, and the main cement components are clay minerals constituting about 20.8% of the total mass.

3.2 Microstructure characteristics

The microstructure of samples was analyzed by a field emission scanning electron microscope. The images of the roof sandstone are enlarged 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 5000 times. The SEM images of 500 times and 2000 times are shown in Fig. 3. The figure indicates that the sandstone has a very dense structure, fuzzy boundaries, few pores, highest degree of cementation, abundant clay minerals and mineral Si surrounded very closely by clay minerals.
4 Mechanical properties

In order to study the mechanical properties of thick roof sandstone, the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test and triaxial compression test were carried out, respectively (Fig. 4).

4.1 Analysis of the results of indirect tensile test

The damage of roof is not only caused by compression and shear failure, but also by tensile failure. The yield tensile strength and peak tensile strength of the sample are calculated by (1).

\[ R = \frac{2P}{\pi DL} \]  

(1)

where, \( P \) is load, N; \( D \) is diameter of the sample, mm; \( L \) is the height of the sample, mm.

Table 3 lists the mechanical parameters of sandstone under indirect tension. Figure 4 shows the stress–strain curves of sandstone under indirect tension. The tensile strength of roof sandstone ranges from 4.360–5.228 MPa, with the average of 4.825 MPa. The peak strain of roof sandstone is in the range of \( 1.028 \times 10^{-3} \)–\( 1.165 \times 10^{-3} \).

4.2 Analysis of the results of uniaxial compression test

Uniaxial compression tests were carried out to obtain the mechanical parameters (such as the compressive strength, elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio) of the samples of roof sandstone. The test results and derived mechanical parameters are shown in Table 4. Figure 5 shows the stress–strain curves of sandstone under uniaxial compression. Table 4 indicates that the uniaxial compressive strength and elastic modulus of roof sandstone are relatively high. The range of peak stress is 81.779–89.175 MPa with an average value of 85.313 MPa. According to the definition in regulation (the Ministry of Water Resources of P. R. China 2008), sandstone is a hard rock. The range of peak strain is \( 7.229 \times 10^{-3} \)–\( 8.331 \times 10^{-3} \), with an average value of \( 7.929 \times 10^{-3} \). The range of elasticity modulus is 12.951–15.155 GPa, with an average value of 13.814 GPa.

4.3 Analysis of the results of triaxial compression test

Five standard samples were chosen to carry out the conventional triaxial compression test to obtain the parameters of cohesion and internal friction angle. According to the
requirements in regulation, five specimens were tested under the confining pressure of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 MPa. Displacement control was adopted in the experiment. The rate of axial loading was 0.002 mm/s and the rate of confining pressure loading was 0.5 MPa/s. The condition of hydrostatic pressure \((\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3)\) was adopted at the initial stage of triaxial compressive loading. Table 5 shows the mechanical parameters of sandstone under different confining pressure. The variables \(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, E\) and \(\varepsilon_0\) represent the peak stress, confining pressure, modulus of elasticity and peak strain, respectively. Figure 6 shows the stress–strain curve of roof sandstone under different confining pressure. Based on the mechanical parameters under different confining pressures, the cohesive force and the internal friction angle were derived to be 23.389 MPa and 32\(^{\circ}\), respectively.

Figures 5 and 6 indicate that the test process of uniaxial and triaxial compression can be divided into the compaction phase, linear elastic phase, yield phase and failure phase. In uniaxial compression, the compression phase is relatively long, and the yield phase is short. After the peak stress is reached, the stress drops rapidly, suggesting the brittle characteristics of roof sandstone. However, with the increase of confining pressure, the stage of compaction becomes shorter and the peak strain increases gradually. After the peak stress is reached, the stress drops at a lower rate, and the sandstone is gradually transformed from brittle to ductile.

5 Acoustic emission characteristics

AE is a phenomenon caused by partial fracture of rock material and rapid release of energy in the form of elastic wave (Gao et al. 2015a, b; Li et al. 2015a, b). In the fracturing process of thick hard roof sandstone in the Shendong coal field, energy will be quickly released in the form of elastic wave. Therefore, the characteristics of acoustic emission may provide precursor information during the fracturing process of hard roof and help to the monitor and predict potential dynamic disaster of hard roof.

In this study, the AE signals were monitored in the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test and triaxial compression test. The AE counts, cumulative counts of AE and waveform characteristics were obtained as the results of the acoustic emission test.

5.1 Analysis of acoustic emission count and cumulative count characteristics

Figures 7, 8, 9 show the relationship curves among the acoustics emission count, cumulative count, and stress in the roof sandstone during the course of the indirect tensile

![Fig. 5 The stress–strain curves of sandstone under uniaxial compression](image-url)
The acoustic emission characteristics during the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test and triaxial compression test can be divided into three phases: (1) relative flat growth of the cumulative counts of acoustic emission, (2) rapid growth of the cumulative counts of acoustic emission and (3) spurt of the cumulative counts of acoustic emission. The first phase is particularly evident in the indirect tension test: acoustic emission appears rarely, and the peak of acoustic emission counts is particularly small. However, acoustic emission appears frequently, and the peak of acoustic emission counts is particularly large during the triaxial compression test. This phenomenon is caused by confining pressure. In the second phase, the frequency of acoustics emission counts appears to gradually increase, and the peak count of acoustics emission gradually increases. In the third phase, the frequency of acoustic emission counts appears very high. Moreover, the peak of acoustic emission counts is larger than those in the first two phases. Especially on the eve of fracture, the acoustic emission count will appear a peak. The peak value of acoustic emission count of sandstone in the uniaxial compression is especially obvious.

### 5.2 Analysis of the characteristics of acoustic emission waveform

In order to study the precursor information of acoustic emission during the fracturing of hard roof, the characteristics of the acoustic emission waveform in the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test and triaxial compression test were investigated. It can be seen in Figs. 10, 11 that in the phases with relatively flat growth and rapid growth of cumulative counts, the intensity of the acoustic emission signal is relatively small. However, after entering the spurt phase, the signal intensity gradually increases. In the indirect tensile test, the increase of acoustic emission

---

**Table 5 Mechanical parameter of sandstone under different confining pressure**

| Serial number | Diameter (mm) | Length (mm) | $\sigma_2$ (MPa) | $\sigma_1$ (MPa) | Strain ($10^{-3}$) | $E$ (GPa) |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| C1            | 50.02         | 98.86       | 5                | 103.663          | 10.563            | 13.813     |
| C2            | 50.06         | 100.40      | 10               | 114.277          | 9.388             | 14.874     |
| C3            | 50.02         | 100.38      | 15               | 136.319          | 12.637            | 12.816     |
| C4            | 50.02         | 99.70       | 20               | 138.507          | 13.832            | 12.166     |
| C5            | 50.02         | 100.10      | 25               | 173.503          | 15.446            | 15.771     |

---

**Fig. 6** Stress-strain curves of sandstone under different confining pressure

**Fig. 7** Relationship among AE counts, cumulative counts, stress with time of sandstone in indirect tensile test
signal intensity is not obvious, but it is particularly obvious during the uniaxial compression test. The peak signal intensity is 10 times of those in the first two phases. In particular, right before the occurrence of fracture, the peak signal intensity is 25 times of those in the first two phases. Therefore, the signal intensity of the warning line can be set to \( \pm 0.4 \) mV in the tensile fracture zone. When the absolute value of the signal intensity is more than 0.4 mV, early warning signal is sent out. The signal intensity of the warning line can be set to \( \pm 4 \) mV in the compression shear fracture zone. When the absolute value of the signal intensity is more than 4 mV, early warning signal is sent out.

5.3 Analysis of the characteristics of acoustic emission under different stress conditions

The acoustic emission characteristics vary under different stress conditions, and knowledge about the pattern of variation may help to find proper precursor information. The whole process of acoustic emission count is divided into 10 stages to analyze the acoustic emission characteristics of roof sandstone under different stress stages, the stress of 0%–10%, 10%–20%, 20%–30%, 30%–40%, 40%–50%, 50%–60%, 60%–70%, 70%–80%, 80%–90% and 90%–100%, respectively. Table 6 and Fig. 12 show that the acoustic emission count of roof sandstone has great difference under different stress conditions. In the indirect tensile test, before 60% peak tensile strength is reached, the frequency of acoustic emission count appears very low. The range of acoustic emission counts is 0.15%–1.99%. In the uniaxial compression test, before 60% peak tensile strength is reached, the number of acoustic emission counts is less than 10% of the whole process. The range of acoustic emission counts is 3.04%–9.65%. In the triaxial compression test, the frequency of acoustic emission appears very high in the first stage. However, from the second stage to the 80% peak stress, the number of acoustic emission counts is less than 10% of the whole process.
Effective monitoring, prediction, prevention and control measures of roof dynamic disasters have always been a hot and difficult research topic. At present, displacement and pressure monitoring are commonly used to alert the dynamic disaster of roof. The operation method is simple and easy to master, but the method needs to consume a lot of manpower and material resources. Moreover, continuous and real-time monitoring cannot be realized. However, the characteristics of rock mass structures can be reflected in the number of acoustic emission counts, cumulative counts and waveform characteristics in the fracturing process of rock mass. Continuous and real-time monitoring can be carried out by using the AE inspect. Therefore, acoustic emission monitoring provides another way for the prevention of roof dynamic disasters. According to the analyses in Sects. 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, the AE counts, cumulative counts, AE signal intensity and the acoustic emission cumulative counts under different stress conditions are closely related to the fracturing process of the roof sandstone. Therefore, a prediction system of roof dynamic disaster is established based on the analyses in Sects. 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, and the technical route is shown in Fig. 13.

The monitoring and prevention technology of roof power disaster mainly includes the following steps:

1. Reasonable selection of the location of the measuring point;
2. To determine that the test point is in the tensile fracture zone or compressive shear failure zone;
3. Based on the AE counts, determine whether the partition is reasonable;
4. This is mainly based on the number of the acoustic emission counts and the frequency of occurrence to determine the partition is reasonable. If the acoustic emission count in the tensile fracture zone is basically greater than 1000, the division is not reasonable.
5. Based on the monitoring of acoustic emission parameters, determine whether it is more than the warning value, if more than the warning value, send out an early warning signal.
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Fig. 11 Waveform characteristics of acoustic emission in different stages of roof sandstone under the uniaxial compression test

Table 6 Acoustic emission characteristics of sandstone under different stress stages

| Stress phase (%) | Indirect tensile test | Uniaxial compression test | Triaxial compression test |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                  | AE count | Percent (%) | AE count | Percent (%) | AE count | Percent (%) |
| 0–10             | 130      | 0.40        | 20875    | 3.04        | 36360    | 11.46       |
| 10–20            | 49       | 0.15        | 30923    | 4.50        | 8122     | 2.56        |
| 20–30            | 103      | 0.31        | 47347    | 6.90        | 5841     | 1.84        |
| 30–40            | 174      | 0.53        | 53075    | 7.73        | 9351     | 2.95        |
| 40–50            | 289      | 0.88        | 60937    | 8.88        | 15495    | 4.88        |
| 50–60            | 653      | 1.99        | 66284    | 9.65        | 21262    | 6.70        |
| 60–70            | 4359     | 13.27       | 77996    | 11.36       | 23524    | 7.41        |
| 70–80            | 6482     | 19.74       | 68875    | 10.03       | 26008    | 8.19        |
| 80–90            | 10054    | 30.62       | 77877    | 11.34       | 44543    | 14.03       |
| 90–100           | 10546    | 32.11       | 182360   | 26.56       | 126865   | 39.97       |
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Determine whether the pre-warning information is reliable according to the early warning, field situation and other monitoring information. If you think the warning information is true and reliable, immediately start the prevention and control measures. If you think the warning information is not reliable...

Fig. 12 Acoustic emission characteristics of sandstone under different stress stages. **a** Indirect tensile test, **b** uniaxial compression test, **c** triaxial compression test
after the judgment, release the pre-warning information and return to the monitor.

7 Conclusions

In order to explore the internal causes of dynamic disaster of thick hard roof sandstone and the prediction method of dynamic disaster, samples of thick hard roof sandstone were taken as the research object. The composition and microstructure of sandstone were investigated by using SEM and X-ray diffractometer. Finally, the mechanical and acoustic emission characteristics of sandstone were studied by using a RMT-150C mechanical testing machine and acoustic emission monitor. The result of analyses show that

1. The tensile strength, uniaxial compressive strength, elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the roof sandstone are 4.825, 85.313 MPa, 13.814 GPa and 0.254, respectively. The cohesive strength and internal friction angle of the roof sandstone are 23.389 MPa and 32, respectively. The roof sandstone is a hard rock.

2. The cumulative counts of AE for the roof sandstone in the indirect tensile test, uniaxial compression test and triaxial compression test can be divided into three phases including the relatively flat growth period, the rapid growth period and the spurt period.

3. The intensities of AE signal in the tensile failure zone and in the compression shear failure zone have obvious difference. In the indirect tensile test, the signal intensity of acoustic emission waveform is
relatively low. However, the signal intensity of acoustic emission waveform is relatively large in the uniaxial compression test. Before the occurrence of fracture, the peak signal intensity of acoustic emission waveform is 0.8 and 10 mV, respectively.

(4) In the tensile fracture zone, the precursor information of acoustic emission in the fracturing process of roof includes acoustic emission count more than 1000, AE cumulative counts appear to spurt and the absolute value of the waveform signal intensity of acoustic emission is greater than 0.4 mV. In the tensile fracture zone, the precursor information of acoustic emission in the fracturing process of roof includes acoustic emission count more than 10,000, AE cumulative counts appear to spurt and the absolute value of the waveform signal intensity of acoustic emission is greater than 4 mV.
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