Orbital fluctuations and orbital order below the Jahn-Teller transition in Sr₃Cr₂O₈
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We report on the magnetic and phononic excitation spectrum of Sr₃Cr₂O₈ determined by THz and infrared (IR) spectroscopy, and electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements across the Jahn-Teller transition, which is detected by specific-heat measurements to occur at T_JT = 285 K. We identify the singlet-triplet excitations in the dimerized ground state and estimate the exchange couplings in the system. ESR absorptions were observed up to T_e = 120 K with a linewidth \propto \exp (-\Delta/k_B T) and \Delta/k_B = 388 K indicating a phonon-mediated spin relaxation via the excited orbital state of the Cr e doublet in the orbitally ordered state. Upon entering the low-symmetry Jahn-Teller distorted phase below T_JT, we find an extended regime T_e < T < T_JT where the IR active phonons change only gradually with decreasing temperature. This regime is associated with strong fluctuations in the orbital and lattice degrees of freedom in agreement with the loss of the ESR signal above T_e.

Using the measured magnetic and phononic excitation spectrum we model the orbital contribution to the specific heat and find the persistence of strong fluctuations far below T_JT.

PACS numbers: 78.30.-j,71.70.-d,76.30.-v,78.20.-e

Orbital degrees of freedom (DOF) and their coupling to other DOF play an important role in understanding the physics in many transition-metal compounds including colossal magnetoresistive manganites, vanadates, and iron-based superconductors. Orbital ordering (OO) mechanisms collective orbital excitations and frustration effects in the orbital sector have attracted considerable attention and formed the research field of orbital physics. Exotic ground states such as orbital and spin-orbital liquids have been explored both experimentally and theoretically. Orbital fluctuations play an important role in the formation of these states and may even induce a dimerization of spins via magnetoelastic coupling.

Here we investigate the OO transition in the spin-gapped dimerized system Sr₃Cr₂O₈. This compound has come into focus due to the occurrence of a field-induced magnon condensation. The room temperature crystal structure of Sr₃Cr₂O₈ was determined to be hexagonal with space group R3m. Each Cr³⁺ ion with spin S = 1/2 is surrounded by an oxygen tetrahedron and couples antiferromagnetically to adjacent Cr³⁺ ions along c direction, forming a spin singlet ground state at low temperatures. The tetrahedral crystal field splits the 3d levels into lower-lying doubly-degenerated e and triply-degenerated t orbitals. Thus, the Cr³⁺ ions are Jahn-Teller (JT) active and a JT transition to a monoclinic structure with three twinned domains and space group C2/c has been reported by neutron experiments to occur at 275 K. Primarily associated with the antiferrodistortive displacement of the apical oxygen ions this transition is suggested to be accompanied by the splitting of the e doublet into a lower-lying dₚz²−r² and excited dₚz²−y² orbital, and an antiferro-orbital ordering of dₚz²−r² orbitals. In addition, this ordering reportedly changes the magnetic exchange paths and leads to spatially anisotropic exchange couplings. Recent ab initio calculations showed that correlation effects within Cr-3d orbitals can account for both the structural phase transition and the singlet ground state.

We present a combined study using THz and infrared (IR) spectroscopy, electron spin resonance (ESR) and specific heat. We directly observe the singlet-triplet excitations in the ground state and derive the splitting of the e-orbitals from the ESR spin relaxation below 120 K. Above 120 K strong fluctuations are found to influence both the IR active lattice vibrations and the spin relaxation up to the JT transition at 285 K.

Single crystals grown by the floating-zone method were orientated by Laue diffraction and cut along an aₖbₖ-cₖ-plane, where the subscript h stands for hexagonal and a subscript m for monoclinic in the following. Heat capacity was measured in a Quantum Design physical properties measurement system from 1.8 to 300 K. Susceptibility was measured using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design). Polarization-dependent reflectivity was measured from 20 to 300 K in the far- and mid-IR range using the Bruker Fourier-transform IR spectrometers IFS 113v and IFS 66v/S with a He-flow cryostat (Cryovac). THz transmission experiments were performed in Voigt configuration using a Mach-Zehnder-type interferometer with backward-wave oscillators covering the frequency range 115 GHz - 1.4 THz and a magneto-optical cryostat (Oxford/Spectromag) with applied magnetic fields up to 7 T. ESR measurements were performed in a Bruker ELEXSYS E500 CW-spectrometer at X-band frequency of 9.48 GHz from 4 to 300 K.
The results of all THz transmission spectra measured with different frequencies for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c_a$ and $\mathbf{H} \parallel a_b$ are summarized in Fig. 1d. Absorptions labeled 1, 1’, and 3’ in Fig. 1b) correspond to excitations from the singlet ground state to the excited triplet states Zeeman-split by the external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$, while absorption 2 corresponds to the intra-triplet excitations as illustrated in Fig. 1a. The excitation spectrum agrees with the one reported for Ba$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$ by Kofu et al. except for mode 3’ not having been observed for Ba$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$.

Modes 3’ and 1’ can be described in terms of a linear Zeeman splitting following $\hbar \nu = \hbar \nu_0 \pm \mu_B H$ with $\nu_0^{opt} = 1.24$ THz $\approx 5.13$ meV and an effective $g$-factor of about 1.92(3) in agreement with the value of 1.94 of mode 2, with ESR data discussed below and reported values for Ba$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$ and Sr$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$. For mode 1 we could observe the lower branch within the available frequency range and found $\nu_0^{eco} = 1.47$ THz $\approx 6.08$ meV which corresponds well to the magnetic excitation energy reported by neutron scattering at the $\Gamma$-point. Following Ref. 12 we assign modes 1 and 1’ to the cooperative acoustic $(n = 0)$ and optical modes $(n = \pm 1)$ of the coupled dimers of different bilayers with eigenfrequencies $\nu_{\pm} \simeq \sqrt{\nu_0^2 + \nu_0^{opt}}$ where $\gamma = 2[(J_1 + J_2^{\prime} + J_3^{\prime}) \cos(\frac{3}{2} \pi \gamma) + (J_2^{\prime} + J_3^{\prime} + J_4^{\prime}) \cos(\frac{3}{2} \pi \gamma)]$. Here $J_0$ denotes the intradimer interaction, $J_{1s}$, $J_{2s}$, and $J_{3s}$ are the interdimer interactions (see Fig. 1c). Using the values of exchange couplings determined from neutron scattering we find $\nu_0^{eco} = 5.92$ meV and $\nu_0^{opt} = 5.14$ meV in agreement with our experimental values. The observability of the singlet-triplet transitions 1, 1’, and 3’ implies an additional anisotropic contribution to the spin Hamiltonian, which mixes singlet and triplet states and relaxes the selection rule $\Delta S$. The intensity of mode 2 increases with increasing temperature in agreement with the thermal population of the excited levels (see absorption lines at 4 K and 7 K in Fig. 1b) and corresponds to the expected ESR signal which has been tracked with high sensitivity in a cavity-based setup at X-band frequency.

Fig. 1a) shows an ESR derivative absorption spectrum measured at 30 K. The spectrum is well fitted by a derivative Lorentzian line shape characterized by effective $g$ factor, peak-to-peak linewidth $\Delta H$, and double integrated intensity $I_{ESR}$. The latter follows the temperature dependence of the dc susceptibility for $T < T^* (= 120$ K) (see Fig. 2b) as expected for an ESR signal originating from the Cr$^{5+}$ dimers. Above $T^*$ the ESR absorption becomes extremely broadened and cannot be tracked any further. In Fig. 2c) we show the temperature dependence of the linewidth $\Delta H$ with the magnetic field $H \perp a_b$. The effective $g$-factor of 1.93(1) is almost constant below 70 K, and in good agreement with THz transmission spectra and previous reports. When $\Delta H$ starts to increase strongly and reaches the order of magnitude of the resonance field above 70 K (see below), $g$-factor cannot be determined reliably anymore.

The temperature dependence is described using $\Delta H = \Delta H_0 + A \exp (-\frac{T}{k_B})$ with a residual $\Delta H_0 = 135$ Oe, $A = 130$ kOe, and a gap $\Delta/k_B = 388$ K. The exponential increase of the ESR linewidth indicates that the relaxation of the excited spins occurs via an Orbach process i.e. absorption of a phonon with energy $\Delta$ to an excited orbital state and emission of a phonon with energy $\Delta + E_Z$ where $E_Z$ is the Zeeman splitting of the lower-lying $e$ orbital as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 2c). Thus, the spin dynamics are dominated by spin-lattice relaxation and we associate the gap with the energy splitting of the Cr$^{5+}$ $e$-orbitals in the regime $T < T^*$ where $O0$ is complete but the system has not reached its non-magnetic singlet ground state yet. The splitting $\Delta$ can be associated with the interaction driving $O0$ and will be important for a theoretical understanding of the energy scales in Sr$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$. The fact that ESR spectra cannot be observed above $T^*$ is ascribed to a drastically increased spin-lattice relaxation rate for $T > T^*$ as a result of strong fluctuations in the orbital and lattice DOF.

To study the lattice dynamics we performed IR reflectivity measurements with the electric field $\mathbf{E} \parallel a_b$ and $\mathbf{E} \parallel c_b$. Normal mode analysis yields the irreducible representations of IR active modes $A_{2g}(\mathbf{E} \parallel z) + 7 E_u(\mathbf{E} \parallel (x,y))$ for the hexagonal $R\bar{3}m$ (No. 166) structure. The room temperature spectra for $\mathbf{E} \parallel c_b$ (shown in Fig. 2a) and $\mathbf{E} \parallel a_b$ (not shown) confirm this expectation by exhibiting six modes with eigenfrequencies 192.8, 200.6, 335.6, 794.7, 765.6, and 827.3 cm$^{-1}$, and seven
Using the relations do not necessarily coincide with the crystal axes. The dipole moments of the 20 $A_u$ modes confined to the $xy$-plane. The above transformation corresponds to only one of three reported monoclinic twins and, thus, the number of expected normal modes may be even larger. Consequently, we expect a drastic increase in the number of IR active modes for both measured polarizations when comparing spectra below and above the JT transition, e.g., at 250 K and 295 K. However, as shown in Fig. 3(a) the IR spectra do not change dramatically across $T_{JT} = 285$ K. Only one additional mode $B_u(16)$ is already visible at 250 K, while the expected 20 $B_u$ modes of the low-temperature structure appear only gradually upon further cooling. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 3(b) where spectra at 150 K, 100 K, and 20 K are compared. The modes present at 20 K can be observed at 100 K, while in the phonon spectrum at 150 K several modes are not resolved anymore and appear to be strongly broadened. A similar behavior has also been observed for the IR spectra measured for $E || a_h$. Previous structural diffraction studies clearly assign the monoclinic symmetry to be realized just below $T_{JT}$. Consequently, we interpret our results as a signature of strong fluctuations which dominate the lattice dynamics and lead to a strong damping and broadening of phonons in the temperature range $T^* < T < T_{JT}$ with 100 K $< T^* < 150$ K in agreement with the ESR results.

Having identified the magnetic and phononic excitations we turn to the specific heat (see Fig. 4). A peak without any thermal hysteresis, which had not been detected in a previous specific-heat study due to a broad shoulder is discernible around 20 K (see Fig. 4). We assume that the total heat capacity originates from three different parts, a magnetic contribution $C_{mag}$ corresponding to the thermal population of the excited dimer states, a lattice contribution $C_{latt}$ due to phonons, and an electronic contribution reflecting the orbital DOF. We approximate the magnetic contribution by $C_{mag}(T) = N\alpha_0\beta\epsilon^2 e^{-\beta\epsilon}$ with the partition function $Z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i e^{-\beta\epsilon}$, the excitation energies $\epsilon_{0,1,2} = 0,\hbar\nu_0^{opt},\hbar\nu_0^{acc}$ as observed in the THz transmission experiment, degeneracies $g_{0,1,2} = 1,2,1$, and $\beta = 1/k_BT$. The resulting magnetic specific heat (dashed line in Fig. 4) accounts well for the shoulder at 20 K. Using the gap $\Delta$ determined by ESR we can fix the orbital contribution $C_{mag}$ in the completely orbital order phase below $T^*$ by a two-level system with splitting $\Delta$ as shown in the upper inset of Fig. 4.

The lattice contribution can be described by a sum of one isotropic Debye (D) and four isotropic Einstein terms $(E_1,2,3,4)$. The ratio between these terms was fixed to $D : E_1 : E_2 : E_3 : E_4 = 1 : 3 : 4 : 3 : 2$ to account for the 39 DOF per formula unit. The resulting contribution to the specific heat shown as a dash-dotted line in Fig. 4 has been obtained with the Debye and Einstein temperatures $\theta_D = 135.5$ K, $\theta_{E1} = 153.4$ K, $\theta_{E2} = 306.2$ K, $\theta_{E3} = 541.6$ K, and $\theta_{E4} = 1360$ K consistent with the fre-
C/T > T

FIG. 4: (Color online) Specific heat divided by temperature C/T vs T. Solid line is a superposition of the modeled magnetic (dashed line) and phonon contribution (dash-dotted line) as described in the text. Upper and lower insets show the temperature dependence of orbital-related specific heat and entropy, respectively.

fquency ranges where IR active phonons of the hexagonal structure occur. Within these constraints the lattice contribution was modeled in such a way that no discontinuity occurs between the Schottky-like term for T < T* and the residual specific heat C_{res} = C - C_{mag} - C_{latt} - C_{oo} for T > T*. In the upper inset of Fig. 4 C_{oo} and C_{res} are shown together. One can clearly recognize a λ-shaped anomaly at the JT transition at 285 K and a broad hump-like contribution below the transition followed by the Schottky-like contribution for T < T*. The entropy ∆S = S^{0}_{oo} + S^{0}_{res} = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{d\theta}{d\theta} (C_{oo} + C_{res})/\theta associated with the orbital DOF reaches a value slightly higher than the expected ∆S_0 = R \ln 4 (lower inset of Fig. 4). We interpret this observation as due to persistent fluctuations of the orbital and lattice DOF in the temperature range T* < T < T_{JT} in agreement with the anomalous temperature dependence of the IR phonons and the ESR spectra.

In summary, the Zeeman splitting of singlet-triplet excitations at 5.13 and 6.08 meV was observed in Sr_{2}Cr_{2}O_{8}. The spin-relaxation is dominated by spin-lattice effects and revealed the splitting ∆/k_B = 388 K of the low-lying e doublet of the Cr ions below 120 K. The broadening of spin resonances and polar phonons above 120 K is ascribed to strong orbital fluctuations. The specific heat clearly marks the JT transition temperature at 285 K and reveals an extended fluctuation regime below the JT transition. This indicates the competition of e.g. spin-orbit coupling and electron-electron interactions with electron-phonon coupling.
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