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Abstract

We investigate the near horizon geometry of IIB supergravity black holes with non-vanishing 5-form flux preserving at least two supersymmetries. We demonstrate that there are three classes of solutions distinguished by the choice of Killing spinors. We find that the spatial horizon sections of the class of solutions with an $SU(4)$ invariant pure Killing spinor are hermitian manifolds and admit a hidden Kähler with torsion (KT) geometry compatible with the $SU(4)$ structure. Moreover the Bianchi identity of the 5-form, which also implies the field equations, can be expressed in terms of the torsion $H$ as $d(\omega \wedge H) = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^2 = 0$, where $\omega$ is a Hermitian form. We give several examples of near horizon geometries which include group manifolds, group fibrations over KT manifolds and uplifted geometries of lower dimensional black holes. Furthermore, we show that the class of solutions associated with a $Spin(7)$ invariant spinor is locally a product $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a holonomy $Spin(7)$ manifold.
1 Introduction

There is evidence to suggest that in higher dimensions there are black holes with exotic horizon topologies. As a result, the classical black hole uniqueness theorems [1]-[7] do not extend to more than four dimensions. Five dimensions are also special. Although there is no uniqueness theorem for a large class of theories the horizon topologies that can occur are $S^3$, $S^1 \times S^2$ and $T^3$ [8]. The first two are the horizon topologies of the BMPV black hole [9] and black ring [10], respectively. To our knowledge, no black hole solution has been found with horizon topology $T^3$.

To probe the horizon topologies in more than five dimensions, one can either assume that the solutions are static, see e.g. [11, 12, 13], or are black hole solutions of the type considered in [14, 15], or that they preserve a fraction of spacetime supersymmetry. The latter assumption is natural in the context of string, Kaluza-Klein or supergravity theories. The analysis is further simplified provided that one considers extreme black holes and focuses on a suitable geometry near the horizon, the near horizon geometry\(^1\). In this context, it is natural to ask whether the topology and geometry of the near horizon geometries of supersymmetric black holes of higher-dimensional supergravity theories can be classified. Some progress has been made to solve this problem. For example, there is a good understanding of the near horizon topologies and geometries of heterotic supergravity [16, 17]. This has been assisted by the solution of the Killing spinor equations (KSEs) of heterotic supergravity in all cases [18, 19, 20]. In particular, all the conditions on the geometry of heterotic horizons are known, as well as the corresponding fractions of supersymmetry preserved. The half supersymmetric horizons have been classified, and the 1/4 supersymmetric ones lead to pairing of a cohomological and of a non-linear differential system on Kähler surfaces. Although there is no classification of the 1/4 supersymmetric horizons, many explicit solutions of both systems are known, for example on del Pezzo surfaces, and the associated horizons have exotic topologies.

In this paper we extend the results of the heterotic analysis to type IIB supergravity [21, 22, 23]. In contrast to the heterotic case, somewhat less is known about solutions of IIB supergravity. In particular, the KSEs have been solved for $N = 1$ backgrounds in [24, 25]. It has also been shown that if a background preserves more than 28 supersymmetries it is maximally supersymmetric [26, 27]. Moreover, the backgrounds that preserve 28 and 32 supersymmetries have been classified in [28] and [29], respectively. Very little is known about the properties of solutions in the intermediate cases, however see the conjectures in [30, 31]. Some simplification occurs for those backgrounds that have only 5-form flux [32]. Because of this, we shall first examine the supersymmetric IIB near horizon geometries with non-vanishing 5-form flux. The general case which includes IIB near horizon geometries with other fluxes will be reported elsewhere. The advantage of focusing on near horizon geometries with only 5-form flux is that the analysis is rather economical and leads to insightful connections with KT geometry. This in turn allows for the construction of many examples of near horizon geometries, some of which have exotic topologies.

\(^1\)However, it is not apparent that all near horizon geometries found in such an investigation can be extended to full black hole solutions. For an extensive discussion on this point, see eg [16] and references within.
The focus of our analysis is on IIB near horizon geometries with non-vanishing 5-form flux that preserve at least 2 supersymmetries. An application of the spinorial geometry technique for solving KSEs to IIB supergravity reveals that there are three classes of near horizon geometries depending on the choice of Killing spinors. The Killing spinor of the first class of solutions is constructed from a Spin(7) invariant spinor on the spatial horizon section $S$. In this case we shall show that the near horizon geometry is $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S$. In turn $S$ is a product of closed Riemannian manifolds with special holonomy as given in the Berger classification, and the 5-form vanishes. The Killing spinors of the other two classes are constructed from $SU(4)$ invariant spinors on $S$. These two classes are distinguished by whether the $SU(4)$ invariant spinors are generic or pure. We shall focus our analysis on the pure case. The geometry of the horizons in the generic $SU(4)$ case is different and its exploration requires the development of new techniques which will be reported elsewhere.

The Killing spinor vector bi-linear of the pure $SU(4)$ invariant case, which we identify with the black hole stationary Killing vector field, is null. Consequently, the metric of the near horizon geometry can be written as

$$ds^2 = 2du(dr + rh) + ds^2_{(8)}(S),$$

(1.1)

where $ds^2_{(8)}(S)$ is the metric of the horizon section. Moreover, the KSEs require that $S$ is a Hermitian manifold with an $SU(4)$ structure such that

$$h = \theta_\omega = \theta_{\text{Re} \chi},$$

(1.2)

where $\theta_\omega$ and $\theta_{\text{Re} \chi}$ are the Lee forms of the Hermitian form $\omega$ and the real component of the $(4,0)$-form $\chi$, respectively.

The equality of the two Lee forms is significant. This is because it is precisely the condition for the $SU(4)$ structure on $S$ to admit a compatible Kähler with torsion (KT) geometry. This condition implies that the manifold is equipped with a metric connection, $\hat{\nabla}$, with skew-symmetric torsion $H$, such that

$$\hat{\nabla}\omega = \hat{\nabla}\chi = 0.$$  
(1.3)

Therefore all the horizon sections with non-vanishing 5-form flux admit a hidden 3-form torsion. This cannot be immediately identified with either the NS-NS 3-form or R-R field strengths of IIB supergravity as they have been set to zero. Another advantage of introducing $H$ is that now the Bianchi identity for the 5-form, which also implies all the remaining equations of IIB supergravity including field equations, can be written as

$$d(\omega \wedge H) = i\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^2 = 0.$$  
(1.4)

As we shall demonstrate, expressing the conditions implied by the KSE and field equations as in (1.3) and (1.4) is instrumental for the construction of many examples of near horizon geometries. Our examples include horizons with sections which are group manifolds, and

---

2 This is the minimal amount of supersymmetry that is preserved by a solution when only the 5-form flux is non-vanishing.
toric and $SU(2)$ fibrations over lower dimensional KT manifolds. A particular large class of examples includes $T^2$ fibrations over 6-dimensional Kähler-Einstein manifolds. We also demonstrate that the uplifting of the near horizon geometries of 5-dimensional black holes \cite{35, 36, 37} to IIB solves all the conditions and so provides more examples.

IIB spatial horizon sections are 8-dimensional but the conditions we have found on $S$ can be easily adapted to $2n$ dimensions. Strong KT manifolds (SKT) \cite{34} are KT manifolds which in addition satisfy the second order equation $dH = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$. A comparison of \cite{14} with the strong condition for SKT manifolds leads to a generalization of both conditions. In particular, k-strong Kähler manifolds with torsion (k-SKT) are KT manifolds which in addition satisfy $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k = d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) = 0$. For $2n$-dimensional manifolds, the (n-1)-SKT and (n-2)-SKT structures coincide with the Gauduchon \cite{38} and the Jost and Yau astheno-Kähler \cite{39} conditions, respectively. The above conditions can also be extended to 2n-dimensional manifolds with an $SU(n)$ structure compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion, or equivalently almost Calabi-Yau with torsion (ACYT) and, if the almost complex structure is integrable, Calabi-Yau with torsion (CYT) manifolds. In this terminology, the horizon spatial section $S$ is a 2-SCYT manifold. The expression of k-SKT structure in terms of $H$ allows one to further extend it on other manifolds with almost KT (AKT), $Sp(n)$, $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$, $G_2$ or $Spin(7)$ structures.

A further generalization of k-SKT geometries is possible following the introduction of the k-Gauduchon condition $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} = 0$ for Hermitian manifolds in \cite{40}. One can also define the $(k; \ell)$-SKT condition as $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^k \wedge \omega^\ell = 0$ which includes both the k-SKT and k-Gauduchon structures. Rewriting this as $d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) \wedge \omega^\ell = 0$ it generalizes to other manifolds with $SU(n)$, $Sp(n)$ and $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$ structures.

This paper is organized as follows. In section two, we describe the field and KSEs for near horizon geometries of IIB supergravity. In section 3, we solve the KSEs for horizons which preserve at least two supersymmetries. The cases with $Spin(7)$-invariant and pure $SU(4)$-invariant Killing spinors are emphasized. In section 4, we demonstrate that the spatial horizon sections of solutions with a pure $SU(4)$-invariant Killing spinor admit a hidden KT geometry compatible with an $SU(4)$ structure. In section 5, we give several examples of IIB supersymmetric horizons which are group fibrations over KT manifolds. In section 6, we present some more examples which arise by uplifting lower-dimensional black hole horizons to IIB supergravity, and in section 7, we give our conclusions. In appendix A, we explain our conventions. In appendix B we give the definitions of new geometries associated with other structure groups which arise as a generalization of the conditions we have found on the IIB spatial horizon sections. In appendix C, we give the 5-form field strength of the uplifted lower-dimensional black hole horizon geometries.

2 Fields near the horizon and supersymmetry

2.1 Near horizon limit and field equations

It is well-known that under some analyticity assumptions \cite{41}, one can adapt Gaussian Null co-ordinates near the horizon of an extremal black hole to write the metric as

$$ds^2 = 2e^+e^- + \delta_{ij}e^ie^j = 2du(dr + rh - \frac{1}{2}r^2\Delta du) + \gamma_{IJ}dy^I dy^J,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)
where we have introduced the basis
\[ e^+ = du, \quad e^- = dr + rh - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \Delta du, \quad e^i = e^i_j dY^j. \]  
\( (2.2) \)

The horizon is the Killing horizon of the time-like Killing vector field \( V = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \) which is identified to be in the same class as the stationary Killing vector field of the black hole, see e.g. [41], [16]. The spatial horizon section \( S \) is the co-dimension 2 submanifold defined by \( r = u = 0 \) and it is assumed to be closed, i.e. compact without boundary.

The components of the metric depend on all coordinates apart from \( u \). The near horizon geometry is defined by first making the coordinate transformation
\[ r \rightarrow \ell r, \quad u \rightarrow \ell^{-1} u, \]  
\( (2.3) \)and then taking the limit \( \ell \rightarrow 0 \). The resulting spacetime metric does not change its form, however in the near-horizon limit \( \Delta, h \) and \( \gamma \) no longer depend on \( r \). The components of the spin connection are listed in Appendix A.

The self-dual\(^3\) 5-form field strength \( F \) of IIB supergravity also simplifies in the near horizon limit. Assuming that all components of \( F \) are regular functions of \( r \), independent of \( u \), such that \( F \) is well-defined on taking the near-horizon limit, in addition to the duality condition and the Bianchi identity \( dF = 0 \), one finds that
\[ F = rdu \wedge dY + du \wedge dr \wedge Y - *_8 Y = re^+ \wedge (dY - h \wedge Y) + e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge Y - *_8 Y, \]  
\( (2.4) \)

where \( Y \) is a \( r, u \)-independent 3-form on \( S \). Writing the 10-dimensional spacetime volume form in terms of that on \( S \) as
\[ d\text{vol}_{(10)} = e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge d\text{vol}_{(8)}, \]  
\( (2.5) \)one finds that \( Y \) satisfies
\[ d*_8 Y = 0, \quad dY - h \wedge Y = -*_8 (dY - h \wedge Y), \]  
\( (2.6) \)

and \( *_8 \) is the Hodge dual on \( S \), with the convention that
\[ (_*8 Y)_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 n_5} = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3} n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 n_5 Y_{m_1 m_2 m_3}. \]  
\( (2.7) \)

The field equation for the 5-form field strength coincides with the Bianchi identity which we have already given in \( (2.6) \). The remaining field equation is the Einstein equation of the theory,
\[ R_{AB} = \frac{1}{6} F_{AL_1 L_2 L_3 L_4} F_B^{L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4}. \]  
\( (2.8) \)

For the near horizon geometry, this can be decomposed along the light-cone directions and those of the horizon section \( S \). In particular, from the \(+−\) component, one obtains:
\[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla^i h_i - \Delta - \frac{1}{2} h^2 = -\frac{2}{3} Y_{t_1 t_2 t_3} Y^{t_1 t_2 t_3}, \]  
\( (2.9) \)

\(^3\)In our conventions \( F_{M_1...M_5} = \frac{1}{5!} \epsilon^{N_1...N_5} M_1...M_5 F_{N_1...N_5}, \) where \( \epsilon_{0123456789} = 1. \)
where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $S$. From the $ij$ component one finds
\begin{equation}
\tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(ih_j} - \frac{1}{2} h_i h_j = -4 Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{j} \ell_3 \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} Y_{n_1 n_2 n_3} Y^{n_1 n_2 n_3} , \tag{2.10}
\end{equation}
where $\tilde{R}$ denotes the Ricci tensor of $S$. From the ++ component, one obtains
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^2 \Delta - \frac{3}{2} h^i \tilde{\nabla}_i \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{\nabla}^i h_i + \frac{1}{4} dh_{ij} dh^{ij}
= \frac{1}{6} (dY - h \wedge Y)_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (dY - h \wedge Y)^{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} , \tag{2.11}
\end{equation}
and from the +i component, one gets
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^i dh_{ij} - h^i dh_{ij} - \tilde{\nabla}_i \Delta + \Delta h_i = -\frac{4}{3} (dY - h \wedge Y)_{in_1 n_2 n_3} Y^{n_1 n_2 n_3} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation}

### 2.2 Killing spinor equations

We set the axion and dilaton to be constant, and the 3-forms to vanish. Thus the only active bosonic fields are the metric and real self-dual 5-form $F$. In such case, the only non-trivial KSE is
\begin{equation}
\nabla_M \epsilon + \frac{i}{48} F_{M N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} \Gamma^{N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} \epsilon = 0 , \tag{2.13}
\end{equation}
where $\nabla$ is the spin connection associated with the frame (2.2) and $\epsilon$ is a spinor in the positive chirality complex Weyl representation of $Spin(9,1)$.

To proceed further, we use the projections
\begin{equation}
\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- , \quad \Gamma_+ \epsilon_+ = 0 , \tag{2.14}
\end{equation}

to decompose the KSE along the light-cone directions and the rest. The KSE along the light-cone directions can be integrated. In particular on integrating up the $-$ component of the KSE, one finds
\begin{equation}
\epsilon_+ = \phi_+ , \quad \epsilon_- = \phi_- + r \Gamma_- \left( \frac{1}{4} h_i \Gamma^i + \frac{i}{12} Y_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \Gamma^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \right) \phi_+ , \tag{2.15}
\end{equation}
where $\phi_\pm$ do not depend on $r$. A similar analysis of the $+$ component of the KSE gives that
\begin{equation}
\phi_+ = \eta_+ + u \Gamma_+ \left( \frac{1}{4} h_i \Gamma^i - \frac{i}{12} Y_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \Gamma^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \right) \eta_- , \quad \phi_- = \eta_- , \tag{2.16}
\end{equation}
where $\eta_\pm$ do not depend on $u$ or $r$. Furthermore, $\eta_+, \eta_-$ must satisfy the following algebraic conditions
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
&\left( -\frac{1}{8} h^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \frac{1}{12} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} Y^{\ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6} - \frac{1}{8} dh_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \\
&+ \left( \frac{i}{48} dY_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} - \frac{1}{8} Y_{m \ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{n \ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{m \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \right) \eta_- \right) = 0 , \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
\[
\left( \frac{1}{8} h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta - \frac{1}{12} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} Y^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} - \frac{1}{8} dh_{ij} \Gamma_{ij} \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{i}{48} dY_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} + \frac{1}{8} Y_{m \ell_1 \ell_2} Y^m_{\ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \right) \eta_+ = 0 ,
\]
(2.18)

\[
\left( \frac{1}{8} h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta - \frac{1}{12} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} Y^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} - \frac{1}{8} dh_{ij} \Gamma_{ij} \right.
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{i}{48} dY_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} + \frac{1}{8} Y_{m \ell_1 \ell_2} Y^m_{\ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \right)
\times \left( \frac{1}{4} h_j \Gamma^j - \frac{i}{12} Y_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \Gamma^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \right) \eta_- = 0 ,
\]
(2.19)

\[
\left( - \frac{1}{8} dh_{q_1 q_2} \Gamma^{q_1 q_2} + \frac{i}{48} (dY - h \wedge Y)_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{h_j \Gamma^j} + \frac{i}{12} Y_{m n_1 n_2 n_3} \Gamma^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} (\Delta h_i - \partial_i \Delta) \Gamma^i \right) \eta_+ = 0 ,
\]
(2.20)

and
\[
\left( - \frac{1}{8} dh_{q_1 q_2} \Gamma^{q_1 q_2} + \frac{i}{48} (dY - h \wedge Y)_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \Gamma^{h_j \Gamma^j} + \frac{i}{12} Y_{m n_1 n_2 n_3} \Gamma^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} (\Delta h_i - \partial_i \Delta) \Gamma^i \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} h_m \Gamma^m - \frac{i}{12} Y_{m_1 m_2 m_3} \Gamma^{m_1 m_2 m_3} \right) \eta_- = 0 .
\]
(2.21)

It has been shown in [24, 25] that all supersymmetric IIB backgrounds admit a Killing vector field constructed as a bilinear of the Killing spinor. The solution of the above algebraic conditions as well as that of the remaining component of the KSE along \( S \) proceeds by identifying the Killing vector bilinear with the Killing vector field of the near horizon geometry \( V = \partial_u \). This is justified if one assumes that the black hole spacetime is supersymmetric. However, this is not necessary. As it has been emphasized in [42], the analysis can be carried out under the assumption that only the near horizon geometry is supersymmetric and not necessarily the black hole spacetime. However, such a weaker assumption leads to a more involved analysis in IIB supergravity which is not within the scope of this paper.

3 Solutions with at least two supersymmetries

To proceed, we consider first the solutions with minimal supersymmetry, and we require that the 1-form Killing spinor bilinear
\[
Z_M = \langle B(C \epsilon^*)^*, \Gamma_M \epsilon \rangle = \langle \Gamma_0 \epsilon, \Gamma_M \epsilon \rangle ,
\]
should be proportional to \( V \), where
\[
V = - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \Delta e^+ + e^- .
\]
(3.2)
First, evaluate $Z$ at $r = u = 0$, for which $\epsilon = \eta_+ + \eta_-$. Requiring that $Z_+ = 0$ at $r = u = 0$ implies that

$$\eta_- = 0 . \quad (3.3)$$

Then, using $r, u$ independent $Spin(8)$ gauge transformations of the type considered in [24, 25], one can, without loss of generality, take

$$\eta_+ = p + q\epsilon_{1234} , \quad (3.4)$$

where $p, q$ are complex functions of $S$. Furthermore, on computing the component $Z_-$, one finds that $|p|^2 + |q|^2$ must be a (non-zero) constant.

Next, evaluate $Z_i$ at $r \neq 0$. As this component must vanish, one finds

$$h_i = -\frac{|p|^2 - |q|^2}{|p|^2 + |q|^2} Y_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} \omega^{\ell_1\ell_2} , \quad (3.5)$$

where in conventions similar to those in [24, 25],

$$\omega = -e^1 \wedge e^6 - e^2 \wedge e^7 - e^3 \wedge e^8 - e^4 \wedge e^9 , \quad (3.6)$$

is an almost Hermitian structure on $S$. Also, noting that

$$\Delta = -2r^{-2} Z_+ / Z_- , \quad (3.7)$$

one finds

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{6} Y_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} Y_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} - \frac{1}{4} h^2 + Y_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \omega \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{4} \frac{pq}{|p|^2 + |q|^2} \chi - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\bar{p}q}{|p|^2 + |q|^2} \bar{\chi} \right] n_{1234} , \quad (3.8)$$

where, in the conventions of [24, 25],

$$\chi = (e^1 + i e^6) \wedge (e^2 + i e^7) \wedge (e^3 + i e^8) \wedge (e^4 + i e^9) , \quad (3.9)$$

is the $(4,0)$ form on $S$.

In particular, on defining

$$\hat{Y}_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} = (Y_{(0,3)} + Y_{(3,0)})_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} - \frac{i}{8(|p|^2 + |q|^2)} Y_{m_1m_2n_1n_2} \omega^{m_1m_2} \left( pq \chi^{m_1m_2} - \bar{p}q \bar{\chi}^{m_1m_2} \right) , \quad (3.10)$$

it is straightforward to show, using (3.3), that (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{3} \hat{Y}_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} \hat{Y}_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3} , \quad (3.11)$$

so $\Delta \geq 0$, as expected.
Next, we consider the remaining components of the KSE. These imply that
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_i \eta_+ - \frac{1}{4} h_i \eta_+ - \frac{i}{12} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \Gamma_i \eta_+ = 0 ,
\] (3.12)
and
\[
\left( \left[ \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\nabla}_j h_i - \frac{1}{8} h_i h_j + \frac{1}{4} Y_{iq_1 q_2} Y_{j q_1 q_2} \right] \frac{\Gamma^j}{\Gamma_i} + \left[ \frac{i}{12} \left( \tilde{\nabla}_{i} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} - (dY)_{i \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \right) 
\right. 
+ \frac{i}{24} \left( (h \wedge Y) + i s (h \wedge Y) \right)_{i \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} - \frac{1}{144} Y_{m_{1 m_2} Y_{m_3 m_4 m_5} \epsilon_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 m_5} \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} 
\left. - \frac{1}{4} Y_{m_{[\ell_1 \ell_2} Y_{\ell_3] i m]} \Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \right) \eta_+ = 0 ,
\] (3.13)
where \( \tilde{\nabla} \) denotes the Levi-Civita connection on \( S \). Note that on contracting (3.13) with \( \Gamma^i \), and on making use of (2.18), one obtains (2.9). Furthermore, (2.10) is obtained from the integrability conditions of the KSE.

Also, on expanding out (3.12), one obtains the conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\alpha} p + \left( \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} - i Y_{\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha} \right) p & = 0 , \\
\partial_{\alpha} \bar{p} + \left( - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} - \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha} \right) \bar{p} - \frac{i}{3} \epsilon_{\alpha \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} Y^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \bar{q} & = 0 , \\
\partial_{\alpha} q + \left( - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} - \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha} \right) q + \frac{i}{3} \epsilon_{\alpha \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} Y^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} p & = 0 , \\
\partial_{\alpha} \bar{q} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} + i Y_{\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha} \right) \bar{q} & = 0 ,
\end{align*}
\] (3.14)
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\alpha, \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \epsilon^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2} & = \frac{4 p \bar{q}}{|p|^2 + |q|^2} \Omega_{\alpha, \bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2} , \\
i Y_{\alpha \bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2} - i \delta_{\alpha [\bar{\mu}_1} Y_{\bar{\mu}_2] \beta} & = \frac{(|p|^2 - |q|^2)}{2(|p|^2 + |q|^2)} \Omega_{\alpha, \bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2} .
\end{align*}
\] (3.15)

So far, we have investigated the general supersymmetric near horizon geometries. From now on, we shall restrict ourselves to some special cases which depend on the choice of the functions \( p \) and \( q \) and of the spinor \( \eta_+ \) in (3.4). There are three cases to consider as follows:

- \( \eta_+ \) is an Spin(7) invariant spinor, \( |p|^2 = |q|^2 \).
- \( \eta_+ \) is a generic SU(4) invariant spinor, \( p \neq 0 \) and \( q \neq 0 \) and \( |p|^2 - |q|^2 \neq 0 \).
- \( \eta_+ \) is a pure SU(4) invariant spinor, \( p = 0 \) or \( q = 0 \).

We shall investigate in detail the geometry of the spatial horizon section in the first and last cases.
3.1 Spin(7) invariant Killing spinors

For solutions with \(|p|^2 = |q|^2\), one can, by an appropriate \(r, u\)-independent Spin(8) gauge transformation, take \(q = p\). The conditions on the fields derived from the KSEs can be organized in Spin(7) irreducible representations but for the analysis that follows it suffices to use their local expressions in \(SU(4) \subset Spin(7)\) representations as stated in the previous section. Moreover observe that the 3-form null Killing spinor bi-linear \([24]\) which contains the Hermitian 2-form vanishes in this case.

Note first that \((3.15)\) implies that the (2,1) and (1,2) parts of \(Y\) vanish, and \((3.5)\) implies that \(h = 0\). Also, from \((3.14)\) one finds that \(p\) is constant and the (3,0) and (0,3) parts of \(Y\) also vanish. It then follows from \((3.8)\) that \(\Delta = 0\) as well. Hence, without loss of generality we have \(\epsilon = \eta_+ = 1 + e_{1234}\) and \(\Delta = 0, h = 0, F = 0\). The spacetime is \(\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S\), where \(S\) is a compact Spin(7) holonomy manifold.

3.2 Pure SU(4) invariant Killing spinor

To analyse these solutions, first note that \(\eta_+ = p1\) is related to \(\eta_+ = q e_{1234}\) by a \(r, u\)-independent Spin(8) gauge transformation, hence without loss of generality, it suffices to consider \(\eta_+ = p1\). Furthermore, an appropriately chosen \(u, r\)-independent \(U(4)\) gauge transformation can be used to set \(p\) to be a real function. As \(|p|^2\) is constant, we can without loss of generality take

\[
\eta_+ = 1 .
\]

Then the conditions \((3.14)\) are equivalent to

\[
Y_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} = 0 , \quad \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} - i Y_{\alpha}^{\phantom{\alpha} \beta} = 0 , \quad i Y_{\alpha}^{\phantom{\alpha} \beta} + \frac{1}{2} h_\alpha = 0 ,
\]

so, in particular, the (3,0) and (0,3) components of \(Y\) vanish. As \(q = 0\) as well, it follows from \((3.11)\) that

\[
\Delta = 0 .
\]

Also, \((3.15)\) can be rewritten as

\[
\Omega_{\alpha, \lambda_1 \lambda_2} = 0 , \quad i Y_{\alpha}^{\phantom{\alpha} \beta} - i \delta_{\alpha [\bar{\beta}_1} Y_{\bar{\beta}_2] \beta} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}_1 \bar{\beta}_2} .
\]

Note that these conditions are sufficient to imply that

\[
\left( \frac{1}{4} h_i \Gamma^i + \frac{i}{12} Y_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \right) \eta_+ = 0 ,
\]

so the Killing spinor is

\[
\epsilon = \eta_+ = 1 .
\]

Furthermore, the algebraic condition \((3.13)\) can be simplified to obtain

\[
((dh)_{ij} \Gamma^j + \frac{i}{3} (dY - h \wedge Y)_{i \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \Gamma_{i \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} ) \eta_+ = 0 .
\]
On contracting (3.22) with $\Gamma^i$, and making use of the anti-self-duality of $dY - h \wedge Y$, one finds

$$(dh)_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \eta^+ = 0 ,$$

i.e.

$$dh_{\alpha \beta} = 0, \quad dh^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = 0 ,$$

so $dh \in su(4)$. The remaining content of (3.22) can be written as

$$dh_{ij} = -(dY - h \wedge Y)_{ijmn} \omega^{mn} .$$

To summarize, the KSE implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is a Hermitian manifold with an $SU(4)$ structure associated with the pair $(\omega, \chi)$ of a Hermitian form $\omega$ and $(4,0)$-form $\chi$. In addition, the KSE imposes the geometric condition

$$\theta_\omega = \theta_{\text{Re} \chi} ,$$

where

$$\theta_{\text{Re} \chi} = -\frac{1}{4} *_8 \left( \text{Re} \chi \wedge *_8 \text{d Re} \chi \right) , \quad (\theta_\omega)_i = -\nabla^k \omega_k j \omega^j_i ,$$

are the Lee forms of $\text{Re} \chi$ and $\omega$, respectively. This follows on comparing the second equation in (3.17) with the second equation in (3.19). Observe that (3.26) can also be written as

$$d \theta_\omega \text{Re} \chi = [d \text{Re} \chi - \theta_\omega \wedge \text{Re} \chi] = 0 .$$

We have not included the condition that $d \theta_\omega \in su(4)$ as this follows from the Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{S}$. We shall produce a proof for this in the next section. Moreover, the components of the metric and fluxes are given as

$$\Delta = 0, \quad h = \theta_\omega, \quad Y = \frac{1}{4}(d \omega - \theta_\omega \wedge \omega) .$$

This concludes the analysis of the KSEs.

It remains to investigate the field equations and Bianchi identities. The Bianchi identity $dF = 0$ implies that

$$d *_8 \left( d \omega - \theta_\omega \wedge \omega \right) = 0 .$$

The rest of the field equations are also satisfied as a consequence of (3.30) and the conditions derived from the KSEs.

### 3.2.1 Solutions with $\theta_\omega = 0$

Before examining the pure spinor solutions in greater detail, it is instructive to briefly consider the special case for which $\theta_\omega = 0$. The Bianchi identity (3.30) implies that

$$\omega \wedge d *_8 d \omega = 0 ,$$

and on integrating this expression over $\mathcal{S}$, one finds that $d \omega = 0$, so from (3.22) it follows that the 5-form flux vanishes, $F = 0$, and $\Delta = 0, h = 0$ so the spacetime is a product $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a compact Calabi-Yau 4-fold.
4 Hidden KT structure of horizon sections

In this section, we examine further the properties of the solutions for which the Killing spinor is $\epsilon = 1$, concentrating in particular on the structure of the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$.

4.1 k-SKT and k-SCYT manifolds

Before we proceed with the detailed analysis of the geometry of the spatial horizon section, we shall first explore some geometric structures in the context of 2n-dimensional Hermitian manifolds with Hermitian form $\omega$. Kähler with torsion (KT) manifolds \cite{34} are Hermitian manifolds equipped with the unique compatible connection\footnote{In our conventions, we have set $\hat{\nabla}_i Y^j = \nabla_i Y^j + 1/2 H^j_{\cdot \cdot k} Y^k$.} $\hat{\nabla}$ with skew-symmetric torsion $H$, $\hat{\nabla} \omega = 0$. Moreover $H$ is expressed in terms of the complex structure and Hermitian metric as

$$H = -i d\omega = -i (\partial - \bar{\partial}) \omega \, . \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly $\text{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq U(n)$. For strong KT manifolds (SKT), the torsion is in addition closed, $dH = 0$. The latter condition can be expressed as

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0 \, . \quad (4.2)$$

This condition has been extensively investigated in the context of supersymmetric 2-dimensional sigma models \cite{43, 44, 45} and in the context of Hermitian geometry \cite{34, 46, 49, 50, 52}.

Another second order equation which arises in the context 2n-dimensional Hermitian manifolds is

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0 \, . \quad (4.3)$$

It has been shown by Gauduchon \cite{38} that within the conformal class of a Hermitian metric, there is a representative which solves (4.3).

To continue, it is suggestive to define as $k$-SKT manifolds the Hermitian manifolds equipped with the compatible connection with skew-symmetric torsion, $H$, which in addition satisfies

$$d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) = \frac{2i}{k} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^k = 0 \, . \quad (4.4)$$

Clearly for $k = 1$ this condition coincides with SKT, while for a 2n-dimensional Hermitian manifold and for $k = n - 1$ it coincides with the Gauduchon condition (4.3).

Next let us compare the above conditions for Hermitian manifolds of different dimension. It is clear that for 4-dimensional Hermitian manifolds the SKT condition coincides with the Gauduchon condition, and so all 4-dimensional Hermitian manifolds are SKT. In 6 dimensions, the 2-SKT condition (4.9) coincides with the Gauduchon condition \cite{38}. Therefore all 6-dimensional Hermitian manifolds are 2-SKT. However, it is known that the SKT condition is restrictive for 6-dimensional manifolds \cite{47}. It is likely that this is
also the case for the SKT and 2-SKT conditions for 8-dimensional Hermitian manifolds. In this case, the Gauduchon condition coincides with the 3-SKT structure. Similar observations can be made for Hermitian manifolds in higher dimensions. The conditions (4.4) provide a set of natural second order equations on Hermitian manifolds which may deserve further investigation.

Next consider CYT manifolds, i.e. KT manifolds which in addition have hol(\(\hat{\nabla}\)) \(\subseteq SU(n)\). Clearly the k-strong condition also generalizes in this case yielding a k-SCYT structure. It is known that there are restrictions on the existence of such manifolds. As an example, closed, conformally balanced, i.e. \(\theta_\omega = 2d\Phi\) and \(\Phi\) is a smooth real function, SCYT manifolds are Calabi-Yau [49, 50]. It is not known under which conditions similar theorems hold for k-SKT manifolds, \(k \geq 1\). It turns out that the spatial horizon sections \(\mathcal{S}\) admit a 2-SCYT structure. Moreover we shall provide compact 8-dimensional examples with this structure. However in all examples, we shall construct manifolds which are not conformally balanced.

### 4.2 Hidden torsion

Returning to the geometry of the spatial horizon sections, we have shown that \(\mathcal{S}\) is a Hermitian manifold with a \(SU(4)\) structure associated with the pair \((\omega, \chi)\) of fundamental forms. In addition, the Killing spinor equations impose the geometric constraint given in (3.26). It turns out that (3.26) is equivalent to requiring that \(\mathcal{S}\) is a KT manifold with a compatible \(SU(4)\)-structure, i.e. a CYT manifold. This has been first observed for 6-dimensional manifolds with an \(SU(3)\)-structure in [48], and later it has been expressed in the form (3.26) for all 2n-dimensional manifolds with a \(SU(n)\)-structure in [18, 19]. This means that there exists a connection with skew-symmetric torsion \(H\) such that

\[
\hat{\nabla}\omega = \hat{\nabla}\chi = 0 ,
\]

where \(H\) is given in (4.1).

The 3-form \(H\) is not immediately identifiable with either the NS-NS or the R-R 3-form field strengths of IIB supergravity as we have set both of them to zero. In addition, \(H\) may not be closed and, for a non-product near horizon geometry, \(\mathcal{S}\) should not be balanced, \(\theta_\omega \neq 0\).

The KSE requires that \(d\theta_\omega \in su(4)\). To show that the (2,0) part of \(d\theta_\omega\) vanishes we can utilize the existence of \(H\) and in particular (4.5). For this first observe that the Ricci form \(\hat{\rho}\) of \(\hat{\nabla}\) for any KT manifold can be written [49, 50] as

\[
\hat{\rho} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \hat{R}_{ij,k\ell} \omega^{k\ell} e^i \wedge e^j = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\det g - d(I\theta_\omega) ,
\]

where \((I\theta_\omega)_i = (\theta_\omega)_j I_{ij}\). To establish the above identity, it is convenient to use complex coordinates. Since the holonomy of \(\hat{\nabla}\) is contained in \(SU(4)\), \(\hat{\rho} = 0\). Taking the (2,0)

---

5The classes of \(SU(3)\)-structures on 6-dimensional manifolds have been investigated in [51].

6It turns out that \(d\theta_\omega^{2,0} = 0\) for all Hermitian manifolds, i.e. hol(\(\hat{\nabla}\)) \(\subseteq U(n)\), but a proof is more involved.
part of the rhs, one finds that $d\theta^2_{\omega} = 0$. It remains to show that $d(\theta_\omega)_{ij} \omega^{ij} = 0$. This follows from the definition of $\theta_\omega$ and
\[
\frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} (d\theta_\omega)_{ij} = -\omega^{ij} \nabla_i (\nabla^k \omega_{kj}^{} \omega^f_j) = \nabla_i \nabla_j \omega^{ij} + \nabla_k \omega^{ki} \nabla^f_j \omega_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j \omega^{ij} = 0 ,
\]
where one establishes the last equality by expressing the two derivatives in terms of the Riemann curvature and by using that the Ricci tensor is symmetric.

Another advantage of introducing the torsion $\mathcal{H}$ is that the Bianchi identity for $F$ (3.30) can now be expressed as
\[
d \ast_8 [d\omega - \theta_\omega \wedge \omega] = d(\omega \wedge \mathcal{H}) = 0 .
\]
Using (4.11) observe that the above equation can be rewritten as
\[
\partial \partial \omega^2 = 0 .
\]
Clearly this is a second order equation on the Hermitian form $\omega$ and it coincides with the 2-strong condition on KT manifolds.

To summarize, both the KSEs and field equations require that spatial horizon section $S$ is a 2-SCYT manifold. To find examples of IIB horizons, it is convenient to utilize the hidden torsion of $S$ and solve the conditions required for the 2-SCYT structure. These are two equations, one is the vanishing of the Ricci form $\hat{\rho} = 0$ of the connection with torsion and the other is the 2-strong condition (4.9). There are two sources of examples of such manifolds. One source is the Nil-manifolds. However this class will not produce interesting examples as it has been shown that all Nil-manifolds with invariant Hermitian structure and $\text{hol}(\nabla) \subseteq SU(4)$ are balanced [52]. Since in this case $h = \theta_\omega$ and $\Delta = 0$, the near horizon geometry is a product $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S$, where $S$ is a compact Calabi-Yau 4-fold, and the 5-form flux vanishes. In fact as a consequence of the argument given in section 3.2.1, all balanced, $\theta_\omega = 0$, 2-SKT 8-dimensional manifolds are Kähler. The other source of examples are group fibrations over Hermitian manifolds. We shall demonstrate that this class produces many examples.

5 KT fibrations

In this section, we present a number of examples of near-horizon geometries corresponding to the class of solutions for which the Killing spinor is $\epsilon = 1$ by constructing horizon sections satisfying the conditions described in section 4. As we have shown, the entire near-horizon solution is completely determined in terms of that of the spatial horizon section $S$. Thus we have to find examples of 8-dimensional 2-SCYT manifolds. For this, we shall consider group fibrations over KT manifolds.

Our primary interest is in 8 dimensions but the construction of fibrations can be made for any 2n-dimensional KT manifold $X^{2n}$. To continue suppose that $X^{2n}$ is a fibration of a group $G$ over a KT 2m-dimensional manifold $B^{2m}$ with metric $ds^2_{(2m)}$, complex structure $I$ and skew-symmetric torsion 3-form $H_{(2m)}$. For $G$ a torus such fibrations have been extensively investigated in [53, 54, 55] and have been further explored in [56, 57]. Here we
shall extend the construction to more general group fibrations. For this, we take $X^{2n} = G \times_K P(K, B^{2m})$, i.e., $X^{2n}$ is a group fibration associated to a principal fibration $P(K, B^{2m})$, $K \subset G$. In addition, $P(K, B^{2m})$ is equipped with a principal bundle connection $\lambda^A$ and $K$ acts on $G$ from the right. Considering a metric $h$ on $G$ which is left invariant and assuming that in addition is invariant under the right action of $K$, one can introduce a metric and a 3-form on $X^{2n}$ as

$$ds^2_{(2n)} = h_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + ds^2_{(2m)} , \quad ds^2_{(2n)} = \delta_{ij}e^i e^j ,$$

$$H_{(2n)} = \frac{1}{3!}H_{abc}\lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b \wedge \lambda^c + h_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b + H_{(2m)} , \quad (5.1)$$

where the frame $\lambda^a = e^a - \xi^a A \lambda^A$, $e^a$ are the left-invariant 1-forms on $G$, $\xi$’s are the (left-invariant) vector fields that generate the right $K$ action on $G$, and $H_{abc}$ are the structure constants of $G$. Observe that the $\xi$’s are constant when evaluated on the left invariant frame $e^a$. This construction gauges the right action of $K$ on $G$. Furthermore

$$d\lambda^A - \frac{1}{2}H^A_{BC}\lambda^B \wedge \lambda^C = \mathcal{F}^A , \quad \mathcal{F}^a = \xi^a A \mathcal{F}^A , \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^A$ is the curvature of $\lambda^A$ and $H_{ABC}$ are the structure constants of $K$. This relation of $H_{(2n)}$ to the Chern-Simons-like form of $\lambda$ has been motivated by the results of [18, 19]. Observe that

$$H_{(2m)} = -i_I d\omega_{(2m)} , \quad (5.3)$$

where $\omega_{(2m)}$ is the Hermitian form of $B^{2m}$.

To define a KT structure on $X^{2n}$, we assume that the fibre $G$ admits a left-invariant almost complex structure $J$ such that $h$ is a Hermitian metric with respect to $J$. In addition, we require that the almost Hermitian form is chosen such that it is also invariant under the right action of $K$. This in particular implies that $H^{c A}\xi c - (b, a) = 0$. Moreover $J$ is chosen such that the structure constants $H_{abc}$ of the Lie algebra of $G$ are identified with the components of skew-symmetric torsion associated with the Hermitian structure $(h, J)$ on $G$. Using these, one can write an almost Hermitian form on $X^{2n}$ as

$$\omega_{(2n)} = \frac{1}{2}J_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b + \omega_{(2m)} . \quad (5.4)$$

Next for $X^{2n}$ to be a complex manifold, one finds the conditions

$$\mathcal{F}^a_{ij}I_i^k I_j^\ell = \mathcal{F}^a_{k\ell} , \quad (5.5)$$

ie the curvature of the fibration is (1,1) with respect to the complex structure of the base space $B^{2m}$, and

$$H_{abc} - 3H_{ef[a} J^e_{b} J^f_{c]} = 0 , \quad (5.6)$$

ie the structure constants of $G$ are (2,1) and (1,2) with respect to $J$. Thus provided (5.5) and (5.6) are satisfied, $X^{2n}$ is a KT manifold with respect to $(ds^2_{(2n)}, \omega_{(2n)})$ with torsion given in (5.1).
The conditions (5.5) and (5.6) can be solved as follows. First (5.6) is automatically satisfied because $J$ is chosen such that $H_{abc}$ is the skew-symmetric torsion of the Hermitian structure $(h, J)$ of $G$. The condition (5.5) can be solved by taking the fibration to be holomorphic. Therefore, $X^{2n}$ is a holomorphic fibration over a Hermitian manifold $B^{2m}$, with fibre $G$ which also admits an invariant Hermitian structure with skew-symmetric torsion constructed from the structure constants of $G$.

Next for $X^{2n}$ to have a CYT structure, it is required that the connection with skew-symmetric torsion has holonomy contained $SU(n)$, $\text{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(n)$. Since by construction $\hat{\nabla}$ preserves both the metric $ds^2_{(2n)}$ and $\omega_{(2n)}$, clearly the holonomy of $\hat{\nabla}$ is contained in $U(n)$. It remains to further restrict the holonomy to $SU(n)$. For this, we set the Ricci form of the connection with skew-symmetric torsion to zero, $\hat{\rho}_{(2m)} = 0$. This in turn gives the conditions

\begin{align}
(\hat{\rho}_{(2m)})_{k\ell} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} F^a_{k\ell} F^b_{ij(2m)} & = 0 , \\
2 F^a_{ik} F^b_{j\ell} \delta^{k\ell}_{ij} & = 0 , \\
\hat{\nabla}_k (F^a_{ij\omega(2m)}) & = 0 ,
\end{align}

where $\hat{\rho}_{(2m)}$ is the Ricci form of the connection with torsion of $B^{2m}$. It is clear that

\begin{equation}
F^a_{ij\omega(2m)} = k^a ,
\end{equation}

is constant. Using this and that $F$ is a (1,1)-form, the above conditions can be simplified somewhat to

\begin{align}
(\hat{\rho}_{(2m)})_{k\ell} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} k^b F^a_{k\ell} & = 0 , \\
H^{ab} c^c & = 0 .
\end{align}

It is clear from the last condition above that if $k \neq 0$, the direction along $k$ in the Lie algebra of $G$ commutes with all other generators of $G$. Thus up to a discrete identification, $G = U(1) \times G'$. Finally, one can compute the Lee form to find that

\begin{align}
(\theta_{\omega_{(2n)}})_i & = (\theta_{\omega_{(2m)}})_i , \\
(\theta_{\omega_{(2n)}})_a & = \frac{1}{2} H_{b_1 b_2 c} J^{b_1 b_2} J^c_a + \frac{1}{2} k^c J^c_a .
\end{align}

Observe that the first term in the second equation of (5.10) is the Lee form associated with the Hermitian structure $(h, J)$ of $G$. This completes the general analysis on group fibrations and KT structures.

Next take $S = X^8$. Since $S$ is a CYT manifold both the fibre group and the base manifold $B^{2m}$ are restricted. First the fibre groups are restricted to be KT manifolds, and with skew-symmetric torsion obtained from the structure constants of the associated Lie algebra. Furthermore, the fibre groups must admit a left-invariant metric and a left-invariant Hermitian form which are in addition invariant under the right action of a subgroup $K$. In the examples explored below $K$ is chosen either as the trivial subgroup or a torus. It turns out that all even-dimensional compact Lie groups satisfy all these
### Table 1:
The first column gives the rank of the fibre which is the dimension of the group. The second column gives the available compact Lie groups up to discrete identifications.

| dim $G$ | $G$ |
|---------|-----|
| 2       | $T^2$ |
| 4       | $T^4, S^1 \times SU(2)$ |
| 6       | $T^6, T^4 \times SU(2), SU(2) \times SU(2)$ |
| 8       | $T^8, T^6 \times SU(2), T^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(2), SU(3)$ |

conditions. We have tabulated all such groups up to dimension 8 in table 1. These are relevant for the construction of horizons.

The only restriction on the fibre group arises whenever the fibre twists over the base space with a connection $\lambda$ such that $k$ in (5.8) does not vanish. As we have mentioned in such a case $G$ is a product $U(1) \times G'$ up to a discrete identification. To find new horizon geometries, it remains to solve for (5.9) and (5.8), and in addition verify the 2-strong condition

\[d(\omega(8) \wedge H(8)) = 0.\]

We shall do this explicitly in some special cases below. In all the examples below, the requirement that the metric and Hermitian form to be invariant under the right action of the subgroup $K$ of $G$ that it is gauged is always satisfied.

### 5.1 Group Manifold Horizon Sections

Let us suppose that the horizon section is a group manifold. The $T^8$ case is trivial. Next consider the case $T^5 \times SU(2)$ and take

\[
\begin{align*}
    ds^2(8) &= \sum_{r=1}^{5} (\tau^r)^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2, \\
    \omega(8) &= -\sigma^3 \wedge \tau^1 - \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=2}^{5} J_{rs} \tau^r \wedge \tau^s,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[d\tau^r = 0, \quad d\sigma^3 = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2,
\]

and cyclically in 1, 2 and 3, and $J_{rs}$ a constant complex structure in the denoted 4 directions. In this case $\nabla$ is a parallelizable connection and so the holonomy is \{1\}. Moreover

\[
H(8) = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3, \quad \theta_{\omega} = \tau^1,
\]

and the 2-strong condition can be easily verified.

Next consider $T^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$. One can take

\[
\begin{align*}
    ds^2(8) &= \sum_{r=1}^{2} (\tau^r)^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2 + (\rho^1)^2 + (\rho^2)^2 + (\rho^3)^2, \\
    \omega(8) &= -\sigma^3 \wedge \rho^3 - \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 - \rho^1 \wedge \rho^2 - \tau^1 \wedge \tau^2,
\end{align*}
\]
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where

\[ d\tau = 0, \quad d\sigma^3 = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2, \quad dp^3 = \rho^1 \wedge \rho^2, \]  

(5.15)

and cyclically in 1, 2 and 3. In such case \( \hat{\nabla} \) is again parallelizable and

\[ H_{(8)} = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3 + \rho^1 \wedge \rho^2 \wedge \rho^3, \quad \theta_{\omega(8)} = -\sigma^2 + \rho^3. \]  

(5.16)

A short calculation reveals that the 2-strong condition is also satisfied.

It remains to examine \( SU(3) \). For this consider the Hermitian structure associated with the bi-invariant metric of \( SU(3) \) and the complex structure given in [58]. The associated connection with skew-symmetric torsion is the left-invariant parallelizable connection and so \( \text{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) = \{1\} \). But the condition (1.4) is not satisfied.

### 5.2 Fibrations over Riemann surfaces

Suppose that \( B^2 \) is a Riemann surface. Equations (5.8) and (5.9) imply that the curvature of \( B^2 \) is non-negative. Thus \( B^2 \) is either \( T^2 \) or \( S^2 \). Let us focus on the \( S^2 \) case. The fibre group is 6-dimensional and from table 1 there are 3 different cases to consider. First suppose that \( G = T^6 \). In such case one can write

\[ ds^2_{(8)} = h_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + ds^2(S^2) \]
\[ \omega_{(8)} = \frac{1}{2} J_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b + \omega_{(2)}(S^2). \]  

(5.17)

Moreover (5.8) implies that

\[ F_{ij}^a = \frac{1}{2} k^a (\omega_{(2)})_{ij}, \]  

(5.18)

where \( k \) is constant. In turn the first condition implies that

\[ R_{ij,k\ell} = \frac{|k|^2}{4} (\omega_{(2)})_{ij} (\omega_{(2)})_{k\ell}, \]  

(5.19)

as \( H_{(2)} = 0 \). A straightforward computation reveals that

\[ H_{(8)} = h_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge F^b, \quad \theta_{\omega(8)} = \frac{1}{2} k^b J_{ba}\lambda^a. \]  

(5.20)

Moreover one can easily verify that \( d(\omega_{(8)} \wedge H_{(8)}) = 0 \). Thus any rank 6 toroidal fibration over \( S^2 \) with curvatures proportional to the Kähler form of \( S^2 \) solves all the conditions. All such manifolds are 2-SCYT.

Next take \( G = T^3 \times SU(2) \). Again equations (5.8) and (5.9) imply that \( B \) is either \( T^2 \) or \( S^2 \). We shall focus on the latter case. The second condition in (5.9) and (5.8) imply that the fibration curvature along the \( SU(2) \) directions vanishes. Thus there is no twisting of \( SU(2) \) over the Riemann surface. As a result, we take only the \( T^3 \) part of the fibre to twist. Thus we have

\[ ds^2_{(8)} = h_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + (\lambda^3)^2 + ds^2(S^3) + ds^2(S^2), \quad a, b = 1, 2, \]  

(5.21)
\[ \omega^{(8)} = \frac{1}{2} J_{ab} \lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b - \sigma^3 \wedge \lambda^3 - \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 + \omega^{(2)}(S^2) \]  

(5.21)

where

\[ ds^2(S^3) = (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2 . \]  

(5.22)

As in the previous case (5.8) implies (5.18) but now \( k \) lies along the 3 toroidal directions. Moreover

\[ H^{(8)} = h_{ab} \lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b + \lambda^3 \wedge \mathcal{F}^3 + \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3 , \quad \theta_{\omega^{(8)}} = \frac{1}{2} k^b J_{ba} \lambda^a + \lambda^3 + \frac{1}{2} k^3 \sigma^3. \]  

(5.23)

It remains to verify the 2-strong condition \( d(\omega^{(8)} \wedge H^{(8)}) = 0 \). This is satisfied provided that

\[ \mathcal{F}^1 = \mathcal{F}^2 = 0 , \]  

(5.24)

and so \( k^1 = k^2 = 0 \). Thus the horizon section is \( T^2 \times S^3 \times S^3 \), with one of the 3-spheres possibly squashed. Observed that in both cases above the data are invariant with respect to the right action of subgroup \( K \), which is a torus, that it is gauged.

The last case is for \( G = SU(2) \times SU(2) \). There are no solutions in this case as one cannot satisfy all conditions in (5.9).

### 5.3 Fibrations over Kähler-Einstein manifolds

#### 5.3.1 Six-dimensional base space

First we shall examine horizon sections which are \( T^2 \)-fibrations over 6-dimensional KT manifolds. At the end we shall consider the horizon sections which are fibrations over 4-dimensional KT manifolds. To simplify the problem further we shall take \( B^6 \) to be a Kähler-Einstein manifold. The Ricci form of such manifolds is proportional to the Kähler form. Thus the Kähler form, up to an overall scale, represents the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle. Using the Kähler-Einstein condition of \( B^6 \), the metric, torsion and Hermitian form of the horizon section can be written as

\[ ds^2(B) = (\lambda^0)^2 + (\lambda^1)^2 + ds^2(B) , \]
\[ H^{(8)} = \lambda^0 \wedge \mathcal{F}^0 + \lambda^1 \wedge \mathcal{F}^1 , \]
\[ \omega^{(8)} = -\lambda^0 \wedge \lambda^1 + \omega^{(6)}(B) , \quad d\omega^{(6)}(B) = 0 . \]  

(5.25)

Moreover, we choose the curvature of \( \lambda^0 \) as

\[ \mathcal{F}^0 = \frac{k}{6} \omega^{(6)}(B) , \]  

(5.26)

setting \( k^0 = k, k^1 = 0 \). Observe that this forces the Ricci form of \( B^6 \) to be positive.\(^7\)

In what follows, we shall specify \( \mathcal{F}^1 \), which is (1,1) and traceless on \( B^6 \), and solve the 2-strong condition \( d(\omega \wedge H) = 0 \) for a variety of base manifolds \( B^6 \).

\(^7\)Our conventions are chosen so that the Ricci form \( \rho \) of a Kähler-Einstein manifold with Hermitian form \( \omega \) is positive if \( \rho = -c\omega \), for constant \( c > 0 \).
First take $B^6 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \times S^2$. Write
\[
\omega(6) = \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} + \omega_{S^2} \quad (5.27)
\]
where $\omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ and $\omega_{S^2}$ are the Fubini-Study Kähler forms on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $S^2$, respectively. Also set
\[
\mathcal{F}^1 = p \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} + q \omega_{S^2} \quad (5.28)
\]
Clearly $\mathcal{F}^1$ is (1,1). Enforcing that $\mathcal{F}^1$ is traceless, one finds that
\[
2p + q = 0 \quad (5.29)
\]
Moreover the 2-strong condition $d(\omega(8) \wedge H(8)) = 0$ implies that
\[
\frac{k^2}{12} + 2pq + p^2 = 0 \quad (5.30)
\]
Thus we find that the system has a solution provided that
\[
p = \pm \frac{k}{6}, \quad q = \mp \frac{k}{3} \quad (5.31)
\]
To give more examples, observe that the same calculation can be carried out provided that $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is replaced by any 4-dimensional Kähler-Einstein manifold $X_4$ with positive Ricci form. Such manifolds include $S^2 \times S^2$ and the del Pezzo surfaces which arise from blowing up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ on more than two generic points, for the latter see [59].

5.3.2 Four-dimensional base space

One can also consider horizons which are fibrations over a 4-dimensional Kähler manifold $B^4$. Start first with torus fibrations. In this case,
\[
d_{(8)}^2 = (\lambda^0)^2 + (\lambda^1)^2 + (\lambda^2)^2 + (\lambda^3)^2 + ds_{(4)}^2 \ ,
\]
\[
H_{(8)} = \lambda^0 \wedge \mathcal{F}^0 + \lambda^1 \wedge \mathcal{F}^1 + \lambda^2 \wedge \mathcal{F}^2 + \lambda^3 \wedge \mathcal{F}^3 ,
\]
\[
\omega_{(8)} = -\lambda^0 \wedge \lambda^1 - \lambda^2 \wedge \lambda^3 + \omega_{(4)} ,
\]
and $k^0 = k$, $k^1 = k^2 = k^3 = 0$. The condition (4.8) implies that
\[
\mathcal{F}^2 \wedge \mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{F}^3 \wedge \mathcal{F}^3 = 0 , \quad \mathcal{F}^0 \wedge \mathcal{F}^0 + \mathcal{F}^1 \wedge \mathcal{F}^1 = 0 , \quad (5.33)
\]
and we remark that $k^2 = k^3 = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{F}^2$, $\mathcal{F}^3$ are traceless (1,1) forms on $B^4$, so the first condition in (5.33) implies that
\[
\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{F}^3 = 0 \ . \quad (5.34)
\]
There is a solution for $B^4 = S^2 \times S^2$ and
\[
\mathcal{F}^0 = \frac{p}{2} \omega_{S^2}^1 + \frac{q}{2} \omega_{S^2}^2 , \quad k = p + q ,
\]
\[ F^1 = \frac{\ell}{2}(\omega_{S^2}^1 - \omega_{S^2}^2), \quad \ell^2 = pq. \] (5.35)

Therefore \( S \) is a product of \( T^2 \) with a 6-dimensional manifold.

One can also find solutions with fibre \( U(1) \times SU(2) \). In this case, one can show that

\[ F^0 \wedge F^0 = 0. \] (5.36)

and the rest of the curvatures \( F \) along \( \text{su}(2) \) must vanish. The condition (5.36) is rather restrictive since it implies that the self-intersection of the canonical class must vanish. This can never be satisfied by a Kähler-Einstein 4-manifold. However for Ricci flat Kähler manifolds one can take \( F^0 = 0 \). In such case, the solutions are products. As a result one finds that up to discrete identifications the horizon sections are either \( S^1 \times S^3 \times K_3 \) or \( S^1 \times S^3 \times T^4 \).

6 Uplifted Near-Horizon Geometries

Another class of solutions can be constructed as lifts to IIB supergravity of near-horizon geometries in minimal \( N = 2, D = 5 \) supergravity derived in [35]. We shall adopt the notation used in [32] where we distinguish the near-horizon data for the lower dimensional supergravity from those of the higher dimensional theory by adding a subscript indicating the dimension of the associated space as appropriate. In particular, the near horizon geometry and 1-form gauge potential flux in five dimensions are

\[
\begin{align*}
&ds^2_{(5)} = -r^2\Delta_{(3)}^2 du^2 + 2dudu + 2rh_{(3)}du + ds^2(S^3), \\
&\mathcal{A}_{(5)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}r\Delta_{(3)} du + a, \\
\end{align*}
\] (6.1)

where \( h_{(3)}, a \) and \( \Delta_{(3)} \) depend only on the coordinates of the 3-dimensional spatial horizon section \( S^3 \) and in addition

\[ da = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \star_3 (h_{(3)} + 2\ell^{-1}Z^1). \] (6.2)

Moreover, we have equipped \( S^3 \) with a basis of 1-forms \( (Z^1, Z^2, Z^3) \) such that \( d\text{vol}(S^3) = Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 \), \( \ell \) is a nonzero constant and \( \star_3 \) denotes the Hodge dual operation on \( S^3 \).

The basis elements \( Z^i \) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_i Z^j &= -\frac{\Delta_{(3)}}{2}(\star_3 Z^i)_{1j} + (\gamma_{(3)})_{1j}(h_{(3)} Z^i + 3\ell^{-1}\delta_{i1}) - Z^j_{(h_{(3)})j} \\
&- 3\ell^{-1}Z^i_j Z^1_j + 2\sqrt{3}\ell^{-1}\epsilon_{1ij} a_i Z^j_j, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \gamma_{(3)} \) denotes the metric on \( S^3 \), \( \nabla \) is the Levi-Civita connection on \( S^3 \), and \( h_{(3)} \) satisfies

\[ \star_3 dh_{(3)} - d\Delta_{(3)} - \Delta_{(3)} h_{(3)} = 6\ell^{-1}\Delta_{(3)} Z^1. \] (6.3)
The 2-form field strength of the 5-dimensional solution is

$$F_{(5)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (-\Delta_{(3)} du \wedge dr - r du \wedge d\Delta_{(3)} - \ast_3 h_{(3)}) - \sqrt{3}\ell^{-1} \ast_3 Z^1 . \quad (6.5)$$

After some manipulation, one finds that the uplifted metric and 5-form flux can be written as

$$ds^2_{(10)} = 2dudr + 2rdu (h_{(3)} + \Delta_{(3)} w) + w^2 + ds^2(S^3) + ds^2(\mathbb{C}P^2) ,$$

$$F_{(10)} = \Theta + \ast \Theta , \quad (6.6)$$

where $\Theta$ has been given in (C.2) and the 10-dimensional volume form with respect to which the Hodge duality operation is taken is

$$d\text{vol}_{(10)} = -\frac{1}{2} e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 \wedge w \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} . \quad (6.7)$$

The internal manifold is $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric

$$ds^2(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \ell^2 \left( da^2 + \cos^2 \alpha d\beta^2 + \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha (d\chi_1 + (\cos ^2 \beta - \sin ^2 \beta)d\phi)^2 \right) + 4 \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta d\phi^2 , \quad (6.8)$$

which is Kähler-Einstein, and

$$w = \frac{\ell}{2} (d\chi_2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}\ell} a + \frac{2}{3} Q) . \quad (6.9)$$

In addition,

$$Q = 3 \cos^2 \alpha (\sin^2 \beta - \cos^2 \beta)d\phi + \frac{3}{2} (\sin^2 \alpha - \cos^2 \alpha)d\chi_1 , \quad (6.10)$$

is the potential for the Ricci form of this metric and the Kähler form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ is given by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} = \frac{1}{6} \ell^2 dQ . \quad (6.11)$$

It follows that the metric of spatial cross-sections of the 10-dimensional horizon geometry and the 3-form $Y$ which determines $F_{(10)}$ are

$$ds^2(S^8) = w^2 + ds^2(S^3) + ds^2(\mathbb{C}P^2) ,$$

$$Y = -\ell^{-1} Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 - \frac{1}{4} (h_{(3)} + 2\ell^{-1} Z^1 + \Delta_{(3)} w) \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} , \quad (6.12)$$

with

$$\Delta_{(8)} = 0, \quad h_{(8)} = h_{(3)} + \Delta_{(3)} w . \quad (6.13)$$

---

Note that the null basis element $e^+$ used in the near-horizon geometries described here is not the same as the $e^+$ used in [32], although $e^- = dr + rh$ is the same. If we denote by $e'^+$ the basis element in [32], then $e'^+ = e^+ - \frac{\ell}{r^2 \Delta_{(3)}} e^- + \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{3}\ell} (d\chi_2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}\ell} a + \frac{2}{3} Q)$. [32]
Note that although $\Delta_{(8)} = 0$, there exist near-horizon solutions with $\Delta_{(3)} \neq 0$ (and in fact with $d\Delta_{(3)} \neq 0$ as well).

It turns out that the Hermitian form on the spatial horizon section is

$$\omega_{(8)} = Z^1 \wedge w - Z^2 \wedge Z^3 + \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \ .$$

(6.14)

From this, it is straightforward to compute the torsion 3-form associated with the black hole uplift solutions, and one finds that

$$H_{(8)} = \frac{2}{\ell} \left( \omega_{\mathbb{C}P^2} + \frac{\ell}{2} \star_3 (h_{(3)} + \frac{4}{\ell} Z^1) \right) \wedge w - \Delta_{(3)} Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 \ .$$

(6.15)

After a short computation using previous conditions like (6.2) and (6.11), one can verify the 2-strong condition $d(\omega_{(8)} \wedge H_{(8)}) = 0$. Observe that the above construction can be easily generalized by replacing $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with another 4-dimensional Kähler Einstein manifold.

Explicit examples of 5-dimensional near horizon geometries have been found by explicitly solving for $h_{(3)}, a, \Delta_{(3)}$ and the $Z$’s. All known examples have 3-dimensional horizon sections $S^3$ which admit two commuting rotational isometries, which are also symmetries of the full solution. There are three cases of particular interest to consider.

### 6.1 Cohomogeneity-2 BPS Black Holes in $D = 5$

The near-horizon geometry of the cohomogeneity-2 BPS black holes of Chong et al. [36] has near-horizon data [37]

$$ds_{S^3}^2 = \frac{\ell^2 \Gamma d\Gamma^2}{4P(\Gamma)} + \left( C^2 \Gamma - \frac{\Delta_0^2}{\Gamma^2} \right) \left( dx^1 + \frac{\Delta_0(\alpha_0 - \Gamma)}{C^2 \Gamma^3 - \Delta_0^2} dx^2 \right)^2 + \frac{4\Gamma P(\Gamma)}{\ell^2(C^2 \Gamma^3 - \Delta_0^2)} (dx^2)^2 \ ,$$

(6.16)

where

$$P(\Gamma) = \Gamma^3 - \frac{C^2 \ell^2}{4} (\Gamma - \alpha_0)^2 - \frac{\Delta_0^2}{C^2} \ .$$

(6.17)

with $C, \Delta_0$ and $\alpha_0$ constant with $\Delta_0 > 0$. Furthermore,

$$\Delta_{(3)} = \frac{\Delta_0}{\Gamma^2} \ .$$

(6.18)

and

$$h_{(3)} = \Gamma^{-1} \left( C^2 \Gamma - \frac{\Delta_0^2}{\Gamma^2} \right) \left( dx^1 + \frac{\Delta_0(\alpha_0 - \Gamma)}{C^2 \Gamma^3 - \Delta_0^2} dx^2 \right) - d\Gamma \ .$$

(6.19)

and

$$Z^1 = \frac{\ell(\alpha_0 - \Gamma)C^2}{2\Gamma} dx^1 + \frac{2\Delta_0}{\ell C^2 \Gamma} dx^2 + \frac{\ell}{2\Gamma} d\Gamma \ .$$

(6.20)

and

$$da = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Gamma^{-2} (- \Delta_0 dx^1 + \alpha_0 dx^2) \wedge d\Gamma .$$

(6.21)

From this information, the whole geometric structure associated with the 8-dimensional horizon section $S$ can be reconstructed. Note that the Ricci scalar of the metric (6.16) is not constant, and $h$ does not correspond to an isometry of $S$. 
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6.2 Cohomogeneity-1 BPS Black Holes in $D = 5$

These were the first examples of supersymmetric, asymptotically $AdS_5$ black holes, with regular horizons. The near horizon data is as follows; $\Delta_{(3)}$ is a positive constant, and

$$h_{(3)} = -\frac{3}{\ell} Z^1$$

where one can choose that basis $Z^i$ for $S_3$ satisfying

$$dZ^1 = -\Delta_{(3)} Z^2 \wedge Z^3$$
$$dZ^2 = \Delta_{(3)} (1 - 3\ell^{-2} \Delta_{(3)}^{-2}) Z^1 \wedge Z^3$$
$$dZ^3 = -\Delta_{(3)} (1 - 3\ell^{-2} \Delta_{(3)}^{-2}) Z^1 \wedge Z^2$$

(6.23)

with

$$a = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ell^{-1} \Delta_{(3)}^{-1} Z^1$$

(6.24)

and it is clear that in this case, $S^3$ is a squashed 3-sphere, and $h_{(8)}$ is a Killing vector on $S^8$.

6.3 $AdS_5 \times S^5$

It is straightforward to write $AdS_5 \times S^5$ as an uplifted solution. The near-horizon data is as follows: $\Delta_{(3)} = 0$, $a = 0$, $h_{(3)} = -\frac{2}{\ell} Z^1$, where the basis $Z^i$ satisfies

$$dZ^1 = 0$$
$$dZ^2 = \ell^{-1} Z^1 \wedge Z^2$$
$$dZ^3 = \ell^{-1} Z^1 \wedge Z^3$$

(6.25)

Hence, one can introduce local co-ordinates $x, y, z$ such that

$$Z^1 = dz, \quad Z^2 = e^z dx, \quad Z^3 = e^z dy$$

(6.26)

and so the spacetime metric is

$$ds^2_{(10)} = ds^2(AdS_5) + ds^2(S^5)$$

(6.27)

where

$$ds^2(AdS_5) = 2dudr - \frac{4r}{\ell} dudz + dz^2 + e^z (dx^2 + dy^2),$$
$$ds^2(S^5) = w^2 + ds^2(\mathbb{C}P^2),$$

(6.28)

and $ds^2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ and $w$ are given by (6.8) and (6.9). The 8-dimensional horizon section is $S^8 = H_3 \times S^5$, where $H_3$ is hyperbolic 3-space.
7 Conclusions

We have solved the KSEs of IIB near horizon geometries with only 5-form flux preserving at least 2 supersymmetries. We demonstrated that there are three cases to consider depending on the choice of Killing spinor which lead to different geometries on the spatial horizon sections. We have examined in detail two of these three cases. If the Killing spinor is constructed from a Spin(7) invariant spinor on the spatial horizon section $S$, then the near horizon geometry is a product $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times S$, where $S$ is an 8-dimensional holonomy Spin(7) manifold. For the other case we investigated, the Killing spinor is constructed from a SU(4)-invariant pure spinor of $S$. In this case $S$ is a Hermitian manifold with a SU(4) structure. The most striking property of $S$ is that it admits a hidden Kähler with torsion structure compatible with the SU(4) structure, i.e. a Calabi-Yau with torsion structure. The presence of this torsion $H$ is not apparent as both the R-R and NS-NS 3-form field strengths have been set to zero. Moreover, the rotation of the horizon is given by the Lee form of the Hermitian form $\omega$. All the remaining equations, including field equations, are also satisfied provided that $d(\omega \wedge H) = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^2 = 0$. It is therefore clear that the torsion $H$ completely characterizes the near horizon geometry.

We have utilized the existence of Kähler with torsion structure on the spatial horizon sections to provide many examples of near horizon geometries mostly constructed from group fibrations over Kähler with torsion manifolds of lower dimension. We also demonstrated that lifted lower-dimensional near horizon geometries to IIB satisfy all the conditions we have found. Thus there is a large class of examples.

The condition $d(\omega \wedge H) = 0$ on Kähler with torsion manifolds admits various generalizations which we have explained, like for example $d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) = 0$. We have also compared $d(\omega \wedge H) = 0$ with the strong condition $dH = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ on Kähler manifolds with torsion, which arises in the context of heterotic horizons. The expression for the above condition in terms of the torsion allows for a generalization to other manifolds with structure group different from SU($n$) which however is compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion. We gave a list of several possibilities. It would be of interest to construct examples of manifolds satisfying such conditions.

All the examples of horizons we have constructed so far admit more symmetries than those one a priori expects to be present in the problem. A general solution to the problem will require the solution of two second order differential equations $\hat{\rho} = 0$ and $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^2 = 0$ on an 8-dimensional complex manifold. The first involving the Ricci form, $\hat{\rho}$, of the connection with skew torsion will enforce the condition that the associated connection has (reduced) holonomy contained in SU(4), and the second will enforce the remaining equations of IIB supergravity including field equations. These equations can be contrasted with the two equations that arise in the context of heterotic horizons $\hat{\rho} = 0$ and $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ as well as the two equations that arise in the context of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\rho = 0$ and $d\omega = 0$, where now $\rho$ is the Ricci form of the Levi-Civita connection. Therefore all these manifolds can be viewed as a generalization of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

There is one remaining class of IIB horizons which we have not investigated in this paper. This is associated with a generic SU(4) invariant spinor on $S$. If solutions exist in this case, the spatial horizon sections are almost complex manifolds but the almost complex structure is not integrable. Moreover, although the spatial horizon sections
have an \(SU(4)\) structure, this structure is not compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion. Therefore, the geometry of the horizons in this case is different from that we have encountered so far in the pure spinor case. We shall examine this case separately in another publication.
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Appendix A Conventions
We have used extensively in our calculations that the non-vanishing components of the spin connection associated with the basis (2.2) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{-,+} &= -\frac{1}{2} h_i, & \Omega_{+,+} &= -r \Delta, & \Omega_{+,+} &= r^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta h_i - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \Delta \right), \\
\Omega_{+,i} &= -\frac{1}{2} h_i, & \Omega_{+,ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} r dh_{ij}, & \Omega_{i,+} &= \frac{1}{2} h_i, & \Omega_{i,j} &= -\frac{1}{2} r dh_{ij}, \\
\Omega_{i,j,k} &= \tilde{\Omega}_{i,j,k}
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.1)

where \(\tilde{\Omega}\) denotes the spin-connection of the 8-manifold \(S\) with basis \(e^i\).

In the analysis of the KSE, we have split the spinors \(\xi\) into positive and negative parts as

\[
\xi = \xi_+ + \xi_-, \quad \Gamma_\pm \xi_\pm = 0.
\]  

(A.2)

Note that if \(\xi\) is an even spinor, then

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \xi_+ &= \pm \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \eta_{q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4} \Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4} \xi_+, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \xi_- &= \pm \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \eta_{q_1 q_2 q_3} \Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3} \xi_-, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6} \xi_+ &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6} \xi_, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_+ &= \mp \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \eta \Gamma_{q} \xi_+, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_- &= \pm \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_-
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.3)

whereas if \(\xi\) is an odd spinor then

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \xi_+ &= \pm \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \eta_{q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4} \Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4} \xi_+, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5} \xi_- &= \mp \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5} \eta_{q_1 q_2 q_3} \Gamma_{q_1 q_2 q_3} \xi_-, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6} \xi_+ &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6} \xi_+, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_+ &= \mp \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \eta \Gamma_{q} \xi_+, \\
\Gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_- &= \mp \epsilon_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6 \ell_7} \xi_-
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.4)
Appendix B  New geometries with torsion

As we have seen the second order equation (4.4) on KT manifolds can be expressed in terms of the skew-symmetric torsion \( H \). Because of this it can be extended to other manifolds with a \( G \)-structure compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion. We have already investigated the cases with \( U(n) \) and \( SU(n) \) structures. Here, we shall explore similar conditions on manifolds with almost KT, \( Sp(n) \), \( Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1) \), \( G_2 \) and \( \text{Spin}(7) \) structure.

B.1 k-SAKT manifolds

Almost KT manifolds (AKT) are almost hermitian manifolds compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion \( H \). This have arisen in the context of supersymmetric 2-dimensional sigma models in \[60, 61\]. In this case, the expression for \( H \) in terms of the almost Hermitian and almost complex structure has been given in \[62, 63\]. As in the KT case, we can define as k-SAKT manifolds those spaces for which the AKT structure satisfies the second order equation

\[
d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) = 0 ,
\]

where \( \omega \) is the almost Hermitian form. Unlike the k-SKT condition, the above restriction cannot be easily expressed in terms of a \( \partial \bar{\partial} \) operator. Nevertheless, it is identical to the k-SKT condition when it is expressed in terms of \( H \).

As has been mentioned in the introduction, one can also define the \((k; \ell)\)-SAKT condition as

\[
d(\omega^{k-1} \wedge H) \wedge \omega^\ell = 0 .
\]

Clearly this generalizes the k-SAKT structure for \( \ell \geq 1 \).

B.2 \((k_1, k_2, k_3)\)-SHKT and k-SQKT manifolds

It is clear that the condition (4.4) can easily be extended in the context of HKT manifolds \[34\], that is hyper-complex manifolds equipped with a compatible connection with skew-symmetric torsion. The expression of the condition (4.4) in terms of \( H \) naturally leads to an extension of the strong HKT condition (SHKT) to a \((k_1, k_2, k_3)\)-SHKT structure as

\[
d(\omega^{k_1-1}_I \wedge \omega^{k_2-1}_J \wedge \omega^{k_3-1}_K \wedge H) = 0 ,
\]

where \( I, J \) and \( K \) is a hyper-complex structure and \( \omega_I, \omega_J \) and \( \omega_K \), are the associated Hermitian forms respectively. When two of the three \( k_1, k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) integers vanish, the above condition coincides with that in (4.4). Similarly, one can define the \((k_1, k_2, k_3; \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)\)-SHKT structure as

\[
d(\omega^{k_1-1}_I \wedge \omega^{k_2-1}_J \wedge \omega^{k_3-1}_K \wedge H) \wedge \omega^{\ell_1}_I \wedge \omega^{\ell_2}_J \wedge \omega^{\ell_3}_K = 0 .
\]

A similar condition can also be written for QKT manifolds, i.e. manifolds with a \( Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1) \) structure compatible with a connection with skew-symmetric torsion, \[64\].
In particular, one can define as $k$-SQKT manifolds the QKT manifolds which in addition satisfy

$$d(\psi^{k-1} \wedge H) = 0 ,$$

where

$$\psi = \omega_I \wedge \omega_I + \omega_J \wedge \omega_J + \omega_K \wedge \omega_K .$$

A $(k; \ell)$-SQKT condition can also be defined as

$$d(\psi^{k-1} \wedge H) \wedge \psi^\ell = 0 .$$

**B.3 $G_2$ and $Spin(7)$**

The above conditions can also be extended to manifolds with $Spin(7)$ and $G_2$ structures. It is known that all 8-dimensional manifolds with a $Spin(7)$ structure admit a compatible connection with skew-symmetric torsion [65]. The torsion $H$ of this connection may not be closed. So one natural second order equation on the $Spin(7)$ structure is to impose the closure of $H$, $dH = 0$, which is the analogue of the strong condition for SKT manifolds. Alternatively, one can impose

$$d(\phi \wedge H) = 0 ,$$

where $\phi$ is the fundamental self-dual 4-form of the $Spin(7)$ structure.

A 7-dimensional manifold with a $G_2$ structure admits a compatible connection with skew-symmetric torsion provided a certain geometric condition is satisfied [62, 63]. Again, one can either impose as a second order equation the strong condition, $dH = 0$, or alternatively

$$d(\varphi \wedge H) = 0 ,$$

where $\varphi$ is the fundamental 3-form of the $G_2$ structure. Observe that in both the $Spin(7)$ and $G_2$ cases, the conditions (B.8) and (B.9) impose a single restriction on the corresponding structures. Both these conditions can be rewritten as $\ast d^* \theta_\phi = 0$ and $\ast d^* \theta_\varphi = 0$, where $\theta_\phi$ and $\theta_\varphi$ are the Lee forms of $\phi$ and $\varphi$, respectively. So these are Gauduchon type of conditions.

### Appendix C  Uplifted Horizons

The self-dual 5-form of the lifted 5-dimensional black hole solutions is

$$F_{(10)} = \Theta + \ast_{10} \Theta$$

where

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{\ell} e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 + r \Delta_{(3)} e^+ \wedge Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 \wedge w$$
\[ + \omega_{CP^2} \wedge \left( \frac{1}{4} \Delta(3) Z^1 \wedge Z^2 \wedge Z^3 - \frac{1}{4} e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge (h(3) + \frac{2}{\ell} Z^1) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} re^+ \wedge \star_3 (-d \Delta(3) + \Delta(3) h(3)) + \frac{1}{4} re^+ \wedge w \wedge (h(3) + \frac{2}{\ell} Z^1) \right) \\
\star_{10} \Theta = \frac{\ell}{2} w \wedge \left( -\frac{1}{4} \omega_{CP^2} \wedge \omega_{CP^2} - \frac{1}{8} \ell \Delta(3) e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge \omega_{CP^2} \\
- \frac{1}{8} \ell \star_3 (h(3) + \frac{2}{\ell} Z^1) \wedge \omega_{CP^2} + \frac{1}{8} \ell e^+ \wedge (-d \Delta(3) + \Delta(3) h(3)) \wedge \omega_{CP^2} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} r \Delta(3) e^+ \wedge \omega_{CP^2} \wedge \omega_{CP^2} - \frac{1}{4} r e^+ \wedge \star_3 (h(3) + \frac{2}{\ell} Z^1) \wedge \omega_{CP^2} . \quad (C.2) \]

This together with the metric in (6.6) describes the full 10-dimensional solution.
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