Non-toxic doses of modified titanium dioxide nanoparticles (m-TiO$_2$NPs) in albino CFW mice
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ABSTRACT

Modified titanium dioxide (m-TiO$_2$NPs) is a novel photocatalytic nanomaterial. Its level of toxicity was evaluated to be used in photodynamic treatment for cervical cancer. In the toxicity studies (Irwin test, acute and repeated doses (10 days)), female albino Swiss Webster (CFW) mice, 28 days old were used; the m-TiO$_2$NPs was administered in single 300, 600 and 5,000 mg/kg of body weight (b.w) doses injected in the peritoneal zone. No adverse events or mortality were produced. Daily intraperitoneal doses of 300 and 600 mg/kg b.w every 24 h for 10 days did not produce adverse effects or mortality. There were no abnormal clinical signs or behavioral changes (neurological or physiological) in any of the mice. All organs exhibited normal architecture, and histological studies determined that m-TiO$_2$NPs does not produce changes in the cells or tissues. Based on the test results, it is concluded that the m-TiO$_2$NPs has not a toxic effect in doses equal to or less than 5,000 mg/kg b.w.

1. Introduction

Toxicity studies of nanomaterials are important, and identifying damage to the lungs, heart, and other organs have received much attention [1, 2]. Toxic risk estimation is a complex process of balancing the risks and benefits of employing such substances [3, 4]. Experiments with biological models are the main sources for providing information on the toxicological properties and the kinetics of chemical substances. Methods in this research include the Irwin test and acute toxicity [5, 6] and repeated dose toxicity tests [7].

The Irwin test occupies a prominent place in the preliminary assessment of new drugs and their effects on the central nervous system (CNS) [8]. Its simple execution and the sufficient validity it provides make its practice very useful before continuing with more complex tests [9]. The development of this test is summarized in three major categories: behavioral studies, neurological studies and studies related to the autonomic nervous system (ANS) [9]. Irwin described his behavioral screening assay to be a complex process that required: 1) specialized training; 2) a common rating scale for each of the selected parameters (nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio scale); 3) each animal to serve as its own control using a schedule of pre-dose and post-dose observations of the same subjects [10, 11].

The acute toxicity test is understood as the ability of a substance to produce adverse effects after a single exposure [12]. These effects can vary from skin irritation to death [7, 13]. It is necessary to know the toxicity range of the substance, which implies taking into account two estimates [7]:

a) A median lethal dose (LD$_{50}$) less than 25 mg/kg is so strongly toxic that it is not worth determining with greater accuracy [7]; and
b) An LD$_{50}$ greater than 5,000 mg/kg represents such low acute toxicity that it is also not necessary to determine it more accurately [7].

As a consequence, the substances are classified into four categories according to their approximate LD$_{50}$ values: highly toxic, toxic, noxious and unclassified [7].

The limit test is applied when low toxicity is assumed, and it is carried out by applying doses of 2,000 or 5,000 mg/kg to an animal [7]. If it dies, the complete essay is performed, but otherwise, the low toxicity is confirmed using 4 animals sequentially [7].

The main objectives of repeated dose studies are to evaluate the long-term effects, and to determine the dose at which no adverse effect is observed [14, 15]. The target organs are identified, and the possible reversibility of the effects is determined, as well as detecting whether the effects are cumulative or delayed [7]. The administration of the product...
should be daily since it has been observed that if it is performed 5 days a week and not 7 days a week, the 48-h rest period can allow recovery from the toxic effects [7].

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) is an amphoteric and chemically stable metal oxide semiconductor [16, 17, 18] with great potential. TiO2 has an adequate band gap value (~3 eV) [18]. It has four crystalline phases: rutile (tetragonal structure), anatase (octahedral structure), which has the highest photocatalytic activity, brookite (orthorhombic structure) and \( \alpha \)-PbO2 type (high pressure amorphous) [16, 17, 18]. TiO2 nanoparticles (NPs) are the most produced nanomaterials, with a worldwide production of 10,000 tons, and the second most used nanomaterial in consumer products [19]. With the extensive application of TiO2NPs in the industry [20, 21, 22], there is a growing debate about the possible risk associated with exposure to it [23, 24, 25].

They are also used for medical purposes, for example as photosensitizers in photodynamic therapy [26], in tissue engineering, and therapeutic drug development [27]. TiO2NPs are used in the treatment of various types of cancer to overcome the limits of conventional treatments due to its possible cytotoxicity when exposed to UV light (heterogeneous photocatalysis) [28]; it can also induce chemical reactions with UV radiation [29]. In recent years, TiO2 based drug delivery systems have demonstrated the ability to decrease the risk of tumorigenesis and improve cancer therapy [27]. TiO2-NPs cause decreased cell proliferation, adhesion, and increased apoptosis in breast cancer cells [30]. Studies of TiO2 nanosquares (TNS) and TiO2 nanotubes (TNT) (developed and evaluated for anti-cancer activity \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}) suggest that these materials inhibited proliferation of breast cancer MDAMB 231 cells \textit{in vitro}, in a shape-dependent manner [31]. In addition, TiO2NPs inhibited the migration and colony formation of breast cancer MDAMB231 cells. TiO2NPs induced the up-regulation of tumor suppressor genes p53, MDA7, TRAIL and transcription factor STAT3 [31].TiO2NPs for microwave (Microdynamic Therapy) exhibited higher cytotoxicity on cancer cells (osteosarcoma UMR-106 cells) than on normal cells (mouse fibroblast L929 cells) [32].

Given the increasing use of TiO2NPs in everyday products and health concerns regarding their use, we modified and examined their potential cytotoxicity using cervical cancer cell lines. The modified Titanium dioxide nanoparticle (m-TiO2NPs) comprising functionalized multilayer carbon nanotubes (FMWCNTs) and TiO2 anatase phase was synthesized by the Physicochemistry of Bio and nanomaterials Research Group using a sol-gel pathway [33]. These novel m-TiO2NPs are more cytotoxic to tumor cells (HeLa CCL-2 cell line) when combined with UV light (99 % of cytotoxicity) than on normal cells (CHO –K1 cell line) also exposed to UV light, both during 40 min [33]. The difference between the use of TiO2NPs in the treatment of cancer with conventional therapy is the selectivity of these nanoparticles for cancer cells [30, 35].

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the toxicity of a novel photocatalytic m-TiO2NPs by Irwin test, acute and repeated dose toxicity tests. The results of the Irwin test were used to determine potential toxicity and to select doses for specific therapeutic activity [34].

Table 1. Experimental design of toxicology study.

| Group* | Mice number | Dose/day m-TiO2NPs (mg/kg) | Doses number |
|--------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| A      | 3           | 300                       | 1            |
| B      | 3           | 300                       | 10           |
| C      | 3           | 600                       | 1            |
| D      | 6           | 600                       | 10           |
| E      | 6           | 5,000                     | 1            |

* Control group: 3 female mice of the same age were used as control for each experimental group.
The Irwin test can help to determine the dose range to be tested in other safe tests [7]. Acute toxicity describes the adverse effects of a substance that result from a single dose while chronic toxicity describes the adverse health effects from repeated exposures [7].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

1. Modified Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (m-TiO2NPs): A fully characterized pure anatase TiO2NPs with functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube (FMWCNT) used in the present study were synthesized by the Physicochemistry of Bio and nanomaterials Research Group using a sol-gel pathway (detailed description of the synthesis of m-TiO2NPs was published in the patent number WO2016055869A1) (Figure 1) [33]. The characterizations of the m-TiO2NPs were as follows: pure anatase nanopowder and white odorless fine powder with a particle size of <100 nm (Figure 2) and purity of ≥99%. Initially, the alternative photodynamic therapy with m-TiO2NPs was studied being their selectivity for cancer cell lines the principal finding [33].

2.1.1. Experimental animals

Thirty-six (36) female albino Swiss Webster (CFW) mice, weighing 19 ± 3 g, 28 days old, were obtained and maintained at the Animal House of the Faculty of Medicine, Universidad del Valle, Colombia (Table 1). The acclimatization period was 2 weeks. Mice were housed in filter-top plastic cages in a controlled temperature (19–23 °C) and humidity (60–90%) and an artificially illuminated (12:12 h light:dark cycle) room, free from any source of chemical contamination. They had free access to the pelleted feed and the drinking water supply of the site. Noises, vibrations, and smells were minimized, and gloves and tweezers were used to change the beds of the cages. During the experiment, the animals were not paralyzed with chemical agents, placed under physical restrictions for greater than 12 h, nor nutritional distress for more than 24 h.

The experiment was carried out in compliance with the guidelines of Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, Commission on Life Sciences, and National Research Council (2010), and the Universidad del Valle institutional guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals. The project was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Universidad del Valle, Colombia.

Figure 3. Irwin test results by comparison with control mice: (A) strength test on a grid, (B) color of skin, eyes, and ears, as well as eye shape in a normal test mouse, and (C) normal group behavior.

| Table 2. Irwin test scoring method. |
|---|---|---|
| Scale | Term | Impaired animal/total animals (n = 6) |
| 0 | Normal | 0/6 |
| 1 | Slightly impaired | 1-2/6 |
| 2 | Moderately impaired | 3-4/6 |
| 3 | Maximally impaired | 5-6/6 |

| Table 3. Hodge and Sterner toxicity scale [5]. |
|---|---|---|
| No. | Term | LD50 mice (mg/kg) |
| 1 | Extremely Toxic | Less than 1 |
| 2 | Highly Toxic | 1-50 |
| 3 | Moderately Toxic | 50-500 |
| 4 | Slightly Toxic | 500-5000 |
| 5 | Practically Non-Toxic | 5000 - 15,000 |

| Table 4. Histopathologic scoring method. |
|---|---|---|
| m-TiO2NPs in organs | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | 1-2 NPs/HPF* | |
| 2 | 3-4 NPs/HPF | |
| 3 | ≥5 NPs/HPF | |
| Cell necrosis | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | Focal occurrence of 1-5 necrotic cells/HPF | |
| 2 | Diffuse occurrence of 1-5 necrotic cells/HPF | |
| 3 | Same as 2 + focal occurrence of 1-5 necrotic cells/HPF | |
| 4 | Diffuse occurrence of ≥6 necrotic cells/HPF | |
| Leukocyte or neutrophil infiltrate | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | 1-5 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| 2 | ≥6 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| Polymorphonuclear cells | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | 1-5 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| 2 | ≥6 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| Plasma cells | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | 1-5 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| 2 | ≥6 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| Eosinophils lymphocyte | | |
| 0 | Absent | |
| 1 | 1-5 infiltrated cell/HPF | |
| 2 | ≥6 infiltrated cell/HPF | |

* HPF, high power field (400 ×) [43, 44].
2.1.2. Experimental design

The mice were randomly assigned to four groups (Table 1). They were caged separately. Saline was used as a vehicle to suspend m-TiO2NPs and the suspension was sonicated \[35\] for 15 min before the treatment. Animals were weighed before the dose administration.

Control groups (untreated control groups): kept without treatment and used as control for each experimental group to measure the parameters to be compared with each experimental group.

Group A: Each mouse was treated with m-TiO2NPs at a dose of 300 mg/kg b.w suspended in 0.1 ml of normal saline. The suspension was given a single dose by intraperitoneal (i.p) injection. Mice were sacrificed 10 days after the single exposure. The dose level for m-TiO2NPs was based on previously published reports of Jiaying Xu, et al \[6\].

Group B: Each mouse was treated with m-TiO2NPs at a dose of 300 mg/kg b.w/day suspended in 0.1 ml of normal saline. The suspension was given once daily by i.p injection for 10 days. Mice were sacrificed 10 days after the last exposure.

Group C: Each mouse was treated with m-TiO2NPs at a dose of 600 mg/kg b.w suspended in 0.2 ml of normal saline. The suspension was given a single dose by i.p injection. Mice were sacrificed 10 days after the single exposure.

Group D: Each mouse was treated with m-TiO2NPs at a dose of 600 mg/kg b.w/day suspended in 0.2 ml of normal saline. The suspension was given once daily by i.p injection for 10 days. Mice were sacrificed 10 days after the last exposure.

Group E: Each mouse was treated with m-TiO2NPs at a dose of 5,000 mg/kg b.w suspended in 0.6 ml of normal saline. The suspension was given a single dose by i.p injection. Mice were sacrificed 10 days after the single exposure.

| Treatment | GROUP D | GROUP CONTROL D |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|
| Observation Time | 0-15' | 15' | 30' | 1h | 4h | 24h | 0-15' | 15' | 30' | 1h | 4h | 24h |
| Death | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Excitation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Convulsions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tremor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Straub tail | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increased activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jumping | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increased fear/startle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increased reactivity to touch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increased abdominal muscle tone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aggression | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stereotypy | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Head-twitches | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stereotypies (Head movements) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stereotypies (Chewing) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stereotypies (Sniffing) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scratching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Motor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Catalepsy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Akinesia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Abnormal gait (rolling) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Abnormal gait (tip-toe) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Motor incoordination | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loss of traction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loss of grasping | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sedation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Decreased activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Decreased fear/startle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Decreased reactivity to touch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Decreased abdominal muscle tone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pain | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Writhing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Analgesia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Autonomic | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pptosis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exophthalmia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Myosis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mydriasis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pilorection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Defecation/diarrhea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salivation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lactimation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(0) 0/6; (1) 1–2/6; (2) 3–4/6; (3) 5–6/6
2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Irwin test study

Behavior, physiological and neurological response of Autonomic Nervous System of mice inoculated with m-TiO₂NPs were studied. The modified Irwin test and the Irwin test were performed during this research [36]. The modified Irwin test consisted on the observation of the mice pre- and post-applications by injecting the m-TiO₂NPs, at times 0, 15, and 30 min; the Irwin test consisted of follow-up observations at 1, 4 and 24 h [11, 37]. During this time, observation tests were performed with and without human presence (Figure 3).

We evaluated Group D and E with the modified Irwin test and the Irwin test, observing the following: if the animal was awake or asleep; if it was restless, showed fear, aggressiveness or indifference with regards to the experimenter; and if it was silent or made noises. The position of the body, locomotor activity (movements of grooming), abnormal behavior (tremors, shaking or convulsions); changes in the skin, fur, mucous membrane, eyes, respiration, behavior patterns were also observed [9].

Table 6. Irwin test results of the Group E.

| Treatment               | GROUP E | GROUP CONTROL E |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Observation Time        | 0-15'   | 15' 30' 1h 4h 24h |
| Death                   | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Exitation               | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Tremor                  | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Strab tail              | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Increased activity      | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Jumping                 | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Increased fear/startle  | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Increased reactivity to touch | 0/3 | 0/3 |
| Increased abdominal muscle tone | 0/3 | 0/3 |
| Aggression              | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Stereotypy              | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Motor                   | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Sedation                | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Pain                    | 0/3     | 0/3             |
| Autonomic               | 0/3     | 0/3             |

Table 7. Toxicological study of different doses of m-TiO₂NPs administered i.p in mice.

| Group | Dose/day (mg/kg) | Doses number | Mortality (X/n) n – experimental mice | Mortality (X/m) m – control mice | Dead (%) | Symptoms None |
|-------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| A     | 300             | 1            | 0/3                                   | 0/3                               | 0        | None           |
| B     | 300             | 10           | 0/3                                   | 0/3                               | 0        | None           |
| C     | 600             | 1            | 0/3                                   | 0/3                               | 0        | None           |
| D     | 600             | 10           | 0/6                                   | 0/3                               | 0        | None           |
| E     | 5,000           | 1            | 0/6                                   | 0/3                               | 0        | None           |
Each parameter was scored on a 0 to 3 scale, with 0 representing the response in a normal group animal and 3 representing a maximally impaired animals [11, 38] (Table 2).

2.2.2. Acute and repeated dose toxicity study

We used the acute toxic class method (ATC method) [39]. The ATC method is a sequential testing procedure using only three animals of one sex per step at any of the defined dose levels. Depending on the mortality rate three but never more than six animals are used per dose level [39, 40].

Animals are dosed one group at a time. If all the animals survived, the dose for the next group was increased; if they died, the dose was decreased. Every group of animals was observed for the first 24 h and daily for a total of 10 days before dosing the next group of animals. Attention was given to toxicity signs including mortality [41]. The animals that survived were monitored for delayed death for a total of 10

![Image](image_url)

Figure 4. Dissection of mice exposed to m-TiO$_2$NPs: the arrows show the m-TiO$_2$NPs: (A) dissection of mouse inoculated with m-TiO$_2$NPs at 5,000 mg/kg b.w in the i.p area, (B) histological tissue section in the peritoneal area of the inoculated mouse (20X), (C) dissection of control mouse, (D) histological tissue section in the peritoneal area of control mouse (20X).

| Cell in tissues          | Group D (n = 6) | Control group D (n = 6) | Group E (n = 6). | Control group E (n = 6) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Cell necrosis            | 0               | 0                       | 0               | 0                       |
| Leukocyte or neutrophil infiltrate | 0               | 0                       | 0               | 0                       |
| Polymorphonuclear cells  | 0               | 0                       | 0.2$^a$         | 0                       |
| Macrophages              | 0               | 0                       | 0               | 0                       |
| Plasma cells             | 0               | 0                       | 0               | 0                       |
| Eosinophils lymphocyte   | 0               | 0                       | 0               | 0                       |

$^a$ This was found in the lung of one single mouse.
days. The rate of mortality in each group was noted. At the end of the period of the study, dead animals would be counted for the calculation of LD₅₀. All mice were sacrificed 10 days after the last exposure.

The experiment started with the acute toxicity on Group A, at a dose of 300 mg/kg b.w that is twice as higher than the human dose [5] (Table 1). Then, after the results were obtained, the experiment was carried on Group B, at the same dose of 300 mg/kg b.w/day during 10 days, to study the repeated dose toxicity.

After obtaining the results of Group A and B, the dose was duplicated to carry the acute and repeated dose toxicity study on Groups C and D. The increase of the dose finally got to the maximum of 5000 mg/kg b.w (Group E) equivalent to 35 times higher than the human dose [5].

The Karber’s method was used for the determination of LD₅₀ as per Eq. (1), [5].

\[
LD_{50} = \frac{LD_{100}}{C_0 \cdot \frac{a \cdot b}{n}}
\]

Equation 1

n = total number of animal in a group.

a = the difference between two successive doses of administered extract/substance.

b = the average number of dead animals in two successive doses.

LD₁₀₀ = Lethal dose causing the 100% death of all test animals.

The Repeto’s toxicity range [7] and Hodge and Sterner toxicity scale (Table 3) were used for the evaluation of toxicity with the help of LD₅₀.

2.2.3. Dissection

Ten days after the last dose, all mice in both the acute and the repeated dose toxicity tests were dissected for histological studies of the organs. The following organs were extracted: brain, eyes, heart, lungs, skin, peritoneum, stomach, small and large intestines, liver and kidney, which were stored in bottles with 10% formaldehyde. Anesthesia and euthanasia were carried out with isoflurane under protocols already established at the Animal Ethics Committee of Universidad del Valle, Colombia.

2.2.4. Histopathological study

The extracted organs were fixed with 4% formalin buffer, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, sectioned to a thickness of 4μm, mounted on glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) [42]. Images were observed under a light microscope (Olympus Microscope BX41).

The histopathologic scoring method applied to tissues in each individual animal of the Groups D and E as control for each group was described in Table 4 [43,44]. Parameters of the histological study consisted of the degree of inflammation (leukocyte or neutrophil infiltrate...

---

**Figure 5.** Histological studies of tissues: (A) Mice exposed to m-TiO₂NPs; mixed glandular tissue (salivary), the arrow show m-TiO₂NPs precipitate (10X), lung (10X), kidney (10X), heart (20X), brain (20X). (B) Control mouse tissue; mixed glandular tissue (10X), lung (10X), kidney (10X), heart (20X), brain (20X).

---

**Table 9. Presence of cellular inflammation**

| Group | Normal | Inflammation | % inflammation |
|-------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| D     | 5      | 1            | 16.6          |
| Control D | 6      | 0            | 0             |
| E     | 6      | 0            | 0             |
| Control E | 6      | 0            | 0             |

a Sections of tissues from each animal in group D (n = 6) and E (n = 6) were examined and designated as within normal limits.
polymorphonuclear cells, macrophages, plasma cells, eosinophils, lymphocytes) m-TiO₂NPs in organs, and the abnormality of cells and tissues (cell necrosis). No need for a positive control in this experiment according to a fully trained Veterinarian pathologist that examined all histological samples [43, 44].

3. Results

3.1. Toxicology study: Irwin test

The administration of the doses of m-TiO₂NPs at concentrations of 600 and 5,000 mg/kg b.w via the i.p route to the mouse, resulted in no observable changes to motor activity, coloration of the ears, grooming, or aggressiveness. Additionally, there were no tremors; catalepsy, or tonic, clonic or tonic-clonic seizures (Table 5 and Table 6). There was also no decreased in reflexes or strength (Figure 3, A), nor central muscle relaxation due to a decrease in muscle tone (Figure 3, B). There were no presence of piloerection or sign of Straub tail (Figure 3, C), no paralysis or poor motor coordination and no changes in grooming.

3.2. Toxicology study: acute toxicity and repeated dose tests

The results of acute toxicity tests and repeated dose tests (10 days) show that all mice survived single doses of m-TiO₂NPs at all acute administered concentrations (300–5,000 mg/kg b.w) as well as repeated doses of 300 and 600 mg/kg b.w every 24 h for 10 days (Table 7).

LD₅₀ Value: As per observations and calculations (Karber, 1931), the LD₅₀ value of m-TiO₂NPs after i.p administration was found to be more than 5,000 mg/kg b.w.

According to Repeto's toxicity range and Hodge and Sterner (2005) toxicity scale, m-TiO₂NPs is said to be in non-toxic drug category.

3.3. Dissection

Figure 4 shows dissection of mouse inoculated with m-TiO₂NPs at 5,000 mg/kg b.w and the control mice. The arrows show the m-TiO₂NPs (white cumulus) in the i.p area in contrast of control mice (Figure 4, A). During the dissection of all experimental groups of mice, we observed the m-TiO₂NPs accumulated in the area of the injection and in part of the large and small intestines (Figure 4, A). However, the histological section shows the peritoneal area with m-TiO₂NPs, with normal cells and no evidence of acute or chronic inflammation (Figure 4, B). All organs exhibited normal architecture similar to the control group.

3.4. Histological studies

The images shown in Figure 5 are of organs of mice exposed to m-TiO₂NPs, from both acute and repeated dose toxicity tests (Groups D and E as control for each group). There is evidence of the presence of the nanomaterial in mixed glandular (salivary) tissue (Figure 5, A) and in the peritoneal area of control mouse (Figure 4, B).

Figure 6 shows results of histopathologic scoring m-TiO₂NPs in tissues of organs such as the lung, brain, heart, kidney, liver, small and large intestines, skin, stomach and, peritoneum. The peritoneum scoring was the highest obtained and, m-TiO₂NPs was absent in brain and skin.

Table 8 and Table 9 show the normal limits in inflammation in group D (n = 6) and E (n = 6). Results of histopathologic scoring method show absent necrosis cells, leukocyte or neutrophil infiltrate, macrophages, plasma cells and, eosinophils lymphocyte. The polymorphonuclear cells had scoring 1 (1–5 infiltrated cell/HPF).

4. Discussion

The present study is the first report about m-TiO₂NPs toxicity in mice. It is not toxic at the levels tested. The behavior of CWF mice biomodels in the presence of m-TiO₂NPs was normal when compared to the control mice. The nanomaterial administered at doses of 300 mg/kg, 600 mg/kg and 5,000 mg/kg b.w does not cause alteration in the motor performance of the exposed animals, nor did it have any adrenergic effect. The nanomaterial had no significant cholinergic effect or laxative effect because diarrhea did not occur. The mice did present any seizures, which means that there is no excitatory effect at the Central Nervous System (CNS) level [45]. Because there were no signs of decreased motor activity or reflexes, it can be suggested that there are no sedative or hypnotic effects. Similarly, Straub's sign (erection of the tail) [46] was not present, and thus, there was no analgesic effect of the opioid type.

The tests of acute and repeated dose toxicity with m-TiO₂NPs at doses of and lower than 5,000 mg/kg b.w determined that it is not toxic. It is important to mention that 5,000 mg/kg b.w is the maximum dose recommended in toxicity studies in mice (35 times greater than human dose [5]), and more research at higher doses is not considered necessary [7].
Doses equal to or less than 5,000 mg/kg b.w. does not change the behavior or the neurological and physiological state of the mice.

No pathological effects were observed in the heart in TiO₂ NPs treated mice, which agrees with Jiayung Xu, et al [6]. Other organs like kidney and spleen did not show any significant change. Previously reported data revealed the toxic effect of different to ours TiO₂ NPs at different doses such as 5,000 mg/kg b.w when administrated to mice via oral gavage [47]. Acute inflammatory responses in mice treated with 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0 mg/m³ TiO₂ NPs [48]. Myocardial toxicity [35] and pathological lesions of the liver, spleen, kidneys, and brain [49].

In the present study, our m-TiO₂ NPs compound was found to be safe up to 5,000 mg/kg intraperitoneal. The histopathology studies show no evidence of changes in the cells or tissues and that could indicate the presence of inflammatory cells such as polymorphonuclear cells, macrophages, plasma cells, and eosinophils lymphocytes or neutrophils. And the results showed that all animals survived at doses of and lower than 5,000 mg/kg b.w determining that it is not lethal or even toxic.

This present study is in agreement with Zhang et al in which the relationship between NPs toxicity and physicochemical properties is largely unknown [50]. For that reason, is important to know that m-TiO₂ NPs used in this work is a novel material [33] with different physicochemical properties that commercial TiO₂ NPs and other types of TiO₂ NPs.

5. Conclusion

All organs exhibited normal architecture. Organs like kidney, heart, and brain did not show any significant change. Histological studies determined that it accumulates in the injection area of the animal model, there being no changes in the cells or tissues. This work is the first report of the application of m-TiO₂ NPs nanomaterial In vivo tests and in the absence of UV light, demonstrating that m-TiO₂ NPs administered i.p in albino CFW mice does not have any toxic effect in doses equal to or less than 5,000 mg/kg b.w. The results of the present study allows us to conclude that m-TiO₂ NPs is safe and practically non-toxic when administered i.p.

In the future, the results of this research can be used continue testing it by administering it by different routes, and different animal species, with different sources of energies which could conclude by applying eventually to treat some form of human cancer.
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