Research Article

Hypercapnic Ventilatory Response in the Weaning of Patients with Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation

Chung-Shu Lee,1 Ning-Hung Chen,1,2 Li-Pang Chuang,1,2 Chih-Hao Chang,1 Li-Fu Li,1,2 Shih-Wei Lin,1 and Hsiung-Ying Huang3

1Department of Thoracic Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, No. 5, Fu-Hsing St., Kueishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan
2Department of Respiratory Therapy, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan City, Taiwan
3Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Xiamen Chang Gung Hospital, Xiamen City, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shih-Wei Lin; ec108146@cgmh.org.tw

Received 13 April 2017; Revised 8 August 2017; Accepted 17 September 2017; Published 30 October 2017

Objective. To investigate whether hypercapnic ventilatory response (defined as the ratio of the change in minute ventilation [Δ\(\dot{V}
\)\(_{E}\)] to the change in end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide [\(\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}}\_2\)]) is a predictor of successful weaning in patients with prolonged mechanical ventilation (PMV) and to determine a reference value for clinical use.

Methods. A hypercapnic challenge test was performed on 32 PMV subjects (average age: 74.3 years ± 14.9 years). The subjects were divided into two groups (i.e., weaning successes and weaning failures) and their hypercapnic ventilatory responses were compared.

Results. PMV subjects had an overall weaning rate of 68.8%. The weaning-success and weaning-failure groups had hypercapnic ventilatory responses (\(\Delta\dot{V}/\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}}\_2\)) of 0.40 ± 0.16 and 0.28 ± 0.12 L/min/mmHg, respectively (\(P = .036\)). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was 0.716 of the hypercapnic ventilatory response, and the practical hypercapnic ventilatory response cut-off point for successful weaning was 0.265 with 86.4% sensitivity and 50% specificity.

Conclusions. PMV subjects who failed weaning had a lower hypercapnic ventilatory response than successfully weaned subjects. However, the prediction capacity of this test, assessed by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, poorly predicted weaning outcome.

1. Introduction

The use of a mechanical ventilator for more than 21 days is defined as prolonged mechanical ventilation (PMV) [1], and a longer duration of mechanical ventilation is associated with higher medical costs and an increased risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia [2]. Patients with PMV who fail weaning generally have longer hospital stays and worse outcomes [3] compared with patients who are successfully weaned.

In Taiwan, a special facility called a respiratory care center (RCC), a step-down care unit distinct from an intensive care unit designed to aid with weaning, is used for patients with PMV with a stable hemodynamic status who need specialized respiratory care. Among patients with PMV in the RCC, reduced respiratory central drive is an important pathophysiological factor responsible for failed weaning [4].

Respiratory drive can be evaluated by airway occlusion pressure or hypercapnic ventilatory response [5]. Airway occlusion pressure (\(P_{0.1}\)), the negative airway pressure at 0.1 seconds after inspiration is occluded, is measured using a mechanical ventilator and requires a medical doctor and respiratory therapist to evaluate respiratory center motor output. Occlusion pressure [6, 7] and its ratio to maximal inspiratory pressure [8] are useful predictors of successful weaning. In addition to the \(P_{0.1}\) value, the change in \(P_{0.1}\) according to hypercapnic status has also been suggested as an index of respiratory drive [5]. In patients with PMV with brain stem lesions, successful weaning has been associated with higher changes in \(P_{0.1}\) to hypercapnic stimulation [9]. Another tool used to evaluate respiratory drive is hypercapnic ventilatory response (the ratio of \(\Delta\dot{V}/\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}}\_2\)), which is assessed by performing the hypercapnic challenge test using...
The weaning process was initiated in 2.2. Weaning Process.

To date, no previous clinical study has evaluated respiratory drive measured by a hypercapnic ventilatory response in patients with PMV. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine whether a hypercapnic ventilatory response may be a predictor of successful weaning in patients with PMV and to determine a reference value for clinical use.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (number 101-3531A3) of our hospital.

Subjects were enrolled from a 24-bed RCC located in a 3800-bed tertiary medical center containing 350 ICU beds. Patient resources included medical, neurological, surgical, neurosurgery, burn, and trauma ICUs and a coronary care unit. Few patients came from other hospitals.

The RCC is a step-down, subacute care facility after ICU. The purpose of the RCC is to care for patients who are on mechanical ventilation for more than 21 days and for patients who experience difficulty in weaning from mechanical ventilation. All patients who were transferred to the RCC from January 2013 to September 2014 were screened for inclusion in this study. Eligibility criteria included >18 years of age, respiratory failure with the use of mechanical ventilation longer than 21 days, hemodynamic stability without the use of inotropic agents or sedatives, and the use of spontaneous ventilator mode with an $F_{IO_2} < 40\%$. We had ruled out pulmonary edema, myocardial dysfunction, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and delirium status before RCC admission. The exclusion criteria were expected life expectancy of less than 3 months; an unstable clinical condition including terminal cancer, massive bleeding, acute renal failure, acute hepatic failure, or any other condition the physician judged to be unstable; and refusal to provide informed consent. A diagram of recruitment is shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Weaning Process. The weaning process was initiated when the patients were judged to be ready for weaning. If the patients had an unstable hemodynamic condition, were using inotropic agents, had unstable vital signs (body temperature $> 38^\circ C$; heart rate $> 140$ beats per minute; respiratory rate $> 30$ breaths per minute), or did not have a low ventilator setting ($F_{IO_2} > 40\%$; PEEP $> 8$ cmH$_2$O), they were considered to be unsuitable for weaning. Either a step-by-step reduction in pressure support mode of the mechanical ventilator or an increased duration of spontaneous breathing was the main indicator for the weaning process with daily evaluations, after which the weaning protocol [9] was initiated and supervised by a respiratory therapist. The cornerstone of the protocol was to shift from full ventilatory support to 24-hour unassisted and spontaneous breathing. Shifting from full to partial ventilator support was achieved by reducing the level of pressure support ventilation or synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation. Among tracheostomized patients, spontaneous breathing trials were performed by using a Venturi tracheostomy $O_2$ mask, with gradual increases in the duration of the spontaneous breathing trials. We had measured the maximal inspiratory pressure by pressure gauge. After the patient was in stable status with smooth respiratory pattern, we occluded the inspiratory tube for 20 seconds manually. During the occlusion, the gauge would detect several inspiratory pressures, and the highest level of inspiratory pressure was the maximal inspiratory pressure. Weaning success was defined as liberation from mechanical ventilation for 5 continuous days. Weaning failure was defined as the need for mechanical ventilation even after the weaning process and clinical adjustment.

2.3. Hypercapnic Challenge Test for Ventilatory Response. The modified Read rebreathing method [10] was used as the hypercapnic challenge test. All patients in the trial were placed in the supine position with a pulse belt, continuous pulse oximeter, and electrocardiographic monitoring during the hypercapnic challenge test. A respiratory therapist and a physician were at the bedside during the entire test. Respiratory rate, blood pressure, and heart rate were measured 20 minutes prior to the start of the test. A bedside capnograph (Capnomac® 20, Oridion, USA) and a pneumotachograph (PowerLab® Systems 16/30, AD Instruments, New Zealand)

![Figure 1: Diagram of enrolled patients with hypercapnic ventilatory response in respiratory care center.](image-url)
recorded data with Lab Chart Pro software and were directly connected to the patient's endotracheal or tracheostomy tube. $P_{ETCO_2}$ flow, and respiratory rate were recorded simultaneously using a PowerLab system. The air inlet of the ventilator was connected to the central air source, while a cylinder containing 10% $CO_2$ was connected to the patient's endotracheal or tracheostomy tube. The patient breathed spontaneously while the trigger sensitivity was set to minimum (2 L/sec) under a pressure support mode of mechanical ventilation with a positive end expiratory pressure up to 8 cm H$_2$O. Following the observation time, hypercapnic challenge was increased by adjusting the inlet flow toward the 10% $CO_2$ mixture using the $P_{ETCO_2}$ button on the ventilator in 5 mmHg increments from the baseline $P_{ETCO_2}$ level. The scheduled observation time was terminated when the $P_{ETCO_2}$ reached 70 mmHg or the test time reached 3 minutes to avoid prolonged respiratory acidosis. $P_{ETCO_2}$, respiratory rate, minute ventilation volume, blood pressure, and $P_{ETCO_2}$ were collected for each 5 mmHg $P_{ETCO_2}$ increment from the $P_{ETCO_2}$ baseline level. Measurements ceased immediately if any of the following conditions occurred: saturation $O_2 < 90\%$, heart rate $>140$ beats or $<55$ beats per minute, systolic blood pressure $>180$ or $<90$ mmHg, a change in consciousness, or if the patient felt anxious or became agitated.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD, and categorical data were expressed as frequency and percentage. Clinical characteristics and baseline respiratory assessments were compared using the independent two sample t-test or chi-square test. A cut-off value was determined by receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis. Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and a $P$ value $<.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results
A total of 427 patients were screened, of whom 32 were enrolled in the trial. Their characteristics, demographics, clinical, and physiological variables are shown in Table 1.

All 32 subjects were classified into either weaning-success or weaning-failure groups. The overall weaning rate was 68.8%. The subjects in the weaning-failure group were significantly older than those in the weaning-success group (82.5 years ± 10.3 years versus 70.6 years ± 15.3 years, resp., $P = .034$). The duration of ventilation-use days till drive test was also significantly longer in the weaning-failure group.

### Table 1: Clinical characteristics of enrolled subjects.

| Characteristic                        | All (n = 32) | Weaning success (n = 22) | Weaning failure (n = 10) | P value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age, mean ± SD years                  | 74.3 ± 14.9  | 70.6 ± 15.3              | 82.5 ± 10.3              | .034*   |
| Male, number (%)                      | 19 (59.4%)   | 14 (63.6%)               | 5 (50%)                  | .467    |
| Height, mean ± SD cm                  | 160.1 ± 10.7 | 161.6 ± 11.1             | 156.6 ± 9.3              | .222    |
| Body mass index, mean ± SD kg/m$^2$   | 22.7 ± 4.5   | 22.8 ± 4.8               | 22.5 ± 3.8               | .848    |
| Transfer from medical ICU, number (%)| 28 (87.5%)   | 20 (90.9%)               | 8 (80.0%)                | .387    |
| Tracheostomy, number (%)              | 8 (25.0%)    | 5 (22.7%)                | 3 (30.0%)                | .660    |
| APACHE II score                       | 8 (25.0%)    | 5 (22.7%)                | 3 (30.0%)                | .660    |
| Ventilation-use days till drive test, mean ± SD day | 31.4 ± 6.3 | 29.5 ± 5.3 | 35.5 ± 6.6 | .011* |
| Hemoglobin, mean ± SD g/dL            | 9.86 ± 1.41  | 9.82 ± 1.56              | 9.95 ± 1.08              | .811    |
| Albumin, mean ± SD g/dL               | 2.81 ± 0.52  | 2.84 ± 0.52              | 2.76 ± 0.54              | .710    |
| TSH, mean ± SD µU/mL                  | 2.49 ± 1.89  | 2.19 ± 1.99              | 3.11 ± 1.59              | .215    |
| Free-T4, mean ± SD ng/dL              | 1.14 ± 0.32  | 1.12 ± 0.28              | 1.19 ± 0.41              | .551    |
| Reason for admission                  |              |                          |                          |         |
| Pneumonia, number (%)                 | 15 (53.1%)   | 8 (36.4%)                | 7 (70.0%)                | .077    |
| AECOPD, number (%)                    | 2 (6.3%)     | 2 (9.1%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                 | .325    |
| Congestive heart failure, number (%)  | 4 (12.5%)    | 2 (9.1%)                 | 2 (20.0%)                | .387    |
| Myocardial infarction, number (%)     | 1 (3.1%)     | 1 (4.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                 | .493    |
| Cerebrovascular disease, number (%)   | 6 (18.8%)    | 6 (27.3%)                | 0 (0.0%)                 | .067    |
| Ventricular tachycardia, number (%)   | 1 (3.1%)     | 1 (4.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                 | .493    |
| Urinary tract infection, number (%)   | 1 (3.1%)     | 1 (4.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                 | .493    |
| Burn, number (%)                      | 1 (3.1%)     | 0 (0.0%)                 | 1 (10.0%)                | .132    |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding, number (%) | 1 (3.1%)     | 1 (4.5%)                 | 0 (0.0%)                 | .493    |

APACHE II: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; ventilation-use days till drive test: the duration time from the first day of mechanical ventilation use to the day of hypercapnic challenge test; AECOPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute exacerbation; * denotes statistical significance with $P < .05$. 

---

*Author's note: The table contains statistical information that is relevant to the study's findings, including demographic and clinical characteristics of the enrolled subjects. The table highlights significant differences between weaning-success and weaning-failure groups, with emphasis on factors such as age, gender, and specific medical conditions. The data suggest that patients in the weaning-failure group were significantly older, with a longer duration of ventilation-use days till drive test compared to the weaning-success group. This information is crucial for understanding the factors influencing weaning outcomes and can guide further research and clinical interventions.*
Table 2: Respiratory function assessment.

|                          | All (n = 32) | Weaning success (n = 22) | Weaning failure (n = 10) | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Baseline arterial blood gases |             |                         |                         |         |
| pH                       | 7.47 ± 0.06 | 7.47 ± 0.07             | 7.47 ± 0.06             | .917    |
| $P_{\text{CO}_2}$, mmHg | 40.8 ± 8.4  | 38.9 ± 8.1              | 44.9 ± 8.0              | .059    |
| $P_{\text{O}_2}$, mmHg  | 108.7 ± 30.1| 112.2 ± 31.9            | 101.0 ± 25.6            | .336    |
| $\text{HCO}_3^-$, mmol/L | 29.1 ± 6.4  | 27.8 ± 5.1              | 31.9 ± 8.3              | .091    |
| $P_{\text{O}_2}/P_{\text{FIO}}_2$ | 324.9 ± 97.4| 334.4 ± 102.5          | 304.0 ± 86.3            | .422    |
| Baseline arterial blood gases |             |                         |                         |         |
| Respiratory function      |             |                         |                         |         |
| RSBI, breath/min/L        | 122.8 ± 56.2| 121.1 ± 61.1            | 126.7 ± 46.4            | .799    |
| Maximal inspiratory pressure, cm H$_2$O | $-36.5 ± 13.2$ | $-35.2 ± 11.5$ | $-39.2 ± 16.7$ | .438    |
| Drive function            |             |                         |                         |         |
| $\Delta\dot{V}_{\text{E}}/\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}_2}$, cm H$_2$O/mmHg | 0.33 ± 0.21 | 0.33 ± 0.22 | 0.34 ± 0.21 | .991    |
| $\Delta\dot{V}_{\text{E}}/\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}_2}$, L/min/mmHg | 0.36 ± 0.16 | 0.40 ± 0.16 | 0.28 ± 0.12 | .036*   |

RSBI: rapid shallow breathing index; * denotes statistical significance with $P < .05$.

Figure 2: Plotted graph with hypercapnic ventilatory response of weaning-success and weaning-failure groups; $P = .036$.

The hypercapnic ventilatory response ($\Delta\dot{V}_{\text{E}}/\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}_2}$) was 0.33 L/min/mmHg ± 0.22 L/min/mmHg in the weaning-success group compared with 0.34 L/min/mmHg ± 0.21 L/min/mmHg in the weaning-failure group ($P = .991$).

The areas under the ROC curve (Figure 3) were 0.716 and 0.486 for the hypercapnic ventilatory response and hypercapnic drive response, respectively. The optimal hypercapnic ventilatory response cut-off point to predict weaning success was 0.265 with a sensitivity of 86.4% and a specificity of 50%.

After controlling with confounding factors, multivariate analysis of possible factors with weaning success was performed (Table 3), and the ventilation-use days till drive test is with significance as predictor of weaning success.

4. Discussion

This study investigated whether hypercapnic ventilatory response (defined as the ratio of the change in minute ventilation [$\Delta\dot{V}_{\text{E}}$] to the change in end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide [$\Delta P_{\text{ETCO}_2}$]) was a predictor of successful weaning in patients with prolonged mechanical ventilation (PMV). Our results revealed a significant difference in hypercapnic ventilatory response between subjects with PMV who were successfully weaned compared with those who failed weaning.

Hypercapnic ventilatory response has been used to predict weaning outcomes in ICU patients on short durations of mechanical ventilation [14, 18] and in various other populations [16–18]. However, to the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to focus on subjects with PMV. Our results were similar to those published by Raurich et al. [15], in which a higher hypercapnic ventilator response was more likely to predict successful weaning. However, their study focused on weaning duration (time from the first spontaneous breathing trial to the day of successful weaning); that is, subjects with a duration longer than 7 days were compared with those with a duration shorter than 7 days. By contrast, we compared
Table 3: Multivariate analysis of possible factors with weaning success.

| Factor                              | OR  | 95% CI          | P value |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|---------|
| Age                                 | 0.907 | 0.808–1.018 | .097    |
| Ventilation-use days till drive test | 0.795 | 0.637–0.993 | .043*   |
| Maximal inspiratory pressure         | 1.042 | 0.968–1.122 | .273    |
| $\Delta P_{ETCO_2}/\Delta P_{ETCO_2}$  | 0.114 | 0.000–106.656 | .534    |
| $\Delta V_E/\Delta P_{ETCO_2}$      | 6676.078 | 0.008–5.48E + 009 | .205    |

Ventilation-use days till drive test: the duration time from the first day of mechanical ventilation use to the day of hypercapnic challenge test; * denotes statistical significance with $P < .05$.

subjects with and without successful weaning, and all of our enrolled subjects had used a ventilator for more than 21 days.

Chemosensitivity determined with the hypercapnic ventilatory response provides a guide of the integrity of the respiratory system. Any impairment of the respiratory system (ventilatory or neuromuscular apparatus and metabolic control) can reduce the hypercapnic ventilatory response [19]. ICU patients ready for weaning from mechanical ventilation but failing a spontaneous breathing trial have lower carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) response than successfully weaned patients [6, 14, 18, 20]. Patient’s age [21] and muscular weakness induced by mechanical ventilation [22] may contribute to the low hypercapnic ventilatory response. Chemoreceptor blunting could also be a contributing factor responsible for weaning failure in some patients [23]. Other reasons might explain the low hypercapnic ventilatory response including patients who failed weaning developed worsening pulmonary mechanics [23], with a lung volume close to the total lung capacity and the diaphragm unable to act as an inspiratory muscle [24].

One of the most common concerns when measuring respiratory drive is the effect of the respiratory muscles on respiratory drive. In general, once the respiratory muscles are affected by trauma, dysfunction of the neural system, oxidative stress, or cytokines (secondary to inflammatory or immune reactions), the affected respiratory muscles may not generate enough drive. In order to avoid such a confounding factor, we shortened the hypercapnic challenge test time to 3 minutes in our study.

Hypoventilation is an additional issue among PMV patients that is still under debate. In our study, the baseline $P_{aCO_2}$ level in the weaning-failure group was higher than that in the weaning-success group (44.9 ± 8.0 versus 38.9 ± 8.1 mmHg, $P = .059$). It is worthwhile to note that poor drive related to alveolar hypoventilation may occur in the weaning-failure group and result in a failed liberation from the ventilator; that is, poor respiratory drive can lead not only to hypoventilation but also to poor outcome.

We chose $P_{ETCO_2}$ instead of $P_{aCO_2}$ because the value of $P_{ETCO_2}$ correlated with that of $P_{aCO_2}$, and there was a gap difference between $P_{ETCO_2}$ and $P_{aCO_2}$: In our study, we measured baseline $P_{ETCO_2}$ and $P_{aCO_2}$ with 37.1 ± 6.9 and 40.8 ± 8.4 mmHg, respectively. Linear regression analysis
showed a good correlation ($r = 0.725$) between $P_{ETC02}$ and $P_{aCO2}$. In addition, Jones et al. [25] have validated the correlation between $P_{ETC02}$ and $P_{aCO2}$ with tidal volume, and several studies have also shown that $P_{ETC02}$ could represent $P_{aCO2}$ under certain conditions [26, 27]. The hypercapnic ventilatory response was calculated using the change in minute ventilation divided by the change in $P_{ETC02}$, and although we did not obtain the absolute value of $P_{aCO2}$, we felt that it was feasible to use the change in $P_{ETC02}$ instead of the change in $P_{aCO2}$ as their values appeared to correlate. In addition, hypercapnic challenge using $P_{ETC02}$ has been applied to patients with brain stem lesions with good success [9].

The duration of ventilator use was higher in our weaning-failure group compared with our weaning-success group (35.5 days ± 6.6 days versus 29.5 days ± 5.3 days, $P = .011$). This finding is similar to that reported by Hermans et al. in which an increased duration of mechanical ventilation was associated with a decline in diaphragmatic force [28]. Respiratory muscle weakness is an important risk factor for delayed weaning [4], and PMV causes changes in both diaphragmatic structure and function [29].

The subjects in our weaning-failure group were older than those in the weaning-success group (82.5 years ± 10.3 years versus 70.6 years ± 15.3 years, $P = .034$). Some studies [30, 31] have reported that advanced age is a risk factor for weaning failure. Comorbidities are common in the elderly and a lower comorbidity burden is more likely to result in successful weaning from mechanical ventilation [30]. As for “ventilation-use days till hypercapnic challenge test” being associated with weaning success, once the patient was in unstable condition, we would not proceed to assess the weaning. Weaning failure may be associated with previously more medical conditions which resulted in more ventilation-use days till hypercapnic challenge test. Although the hypercapnic ventilatory response is not the significant predictor after logistic regression analysis, we could see its trend to be an adjuvant predictor.

Our study had several limitations including its small sample size. Future studies involving larger numbers of subjects are needed to confirm our results.

In conclusion, the results of our study showed that PMV patients who failed weaning had a lower hypercapnic ventilatory response than successfully weaned patients. However, the prediction capacity of this test, assessed by the area under the ROC curve, poorly predicted weaning outcome.

**Acronyms**

| Acronym | Definition |
|---------|------------|
| PMV     | Prolonged mechanical ventilation |
| RCC     | Respiratory care center |
| ROC     | Receiver operating characteristic |
| APACHE II | Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II |
| AECOPD | Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute exacerbation |
| RSBI    | Rapid shallow breathing index |
| AUC     | Area under the curve |
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