**A B S T R A C T**

Noodles with unique taste are coveted by consumers. Noodles became popular quickly all over the world due to its easy preparation, fast urbanization, changing food habits and busy life style. The current study was conducted to evaluate the effect of natural antioxidant Aloe vera (AV) at 3 different levels viz., 0.3, 0.6 and 0.9 percent added over and above the control chicken noodle formulation. The dough pH and product pH did not show any significant difference between T₁, T₂ and T₃ and the values were in the range of 7.153 – 7.190 and 7.142 – 7.218 respectively. The Water Absorption Index, Water Solubility Index and Swelling Power Index of T₁, T₂ and T₃ did not show any significant difference between them and the values were in the range of 4.872 – 5.353, 3.767 - 4.030 and 4.874 – 5.152 respectively. The lightness, redness and yellowness did not show any significant difference between the test samples and the values were in the range of 43.755 – 44.212, 13.298 – 13.555 and 30.475 – 30.637. The sensory evaluation revealed no significant difference for appearance and colour, flavor, softness and stickiness. AV at 0.6 per cent and above imparted bitter taste in the chicken noodles.

**Keywords**
Aloe vera, Chicken, Noodles, Texture, Sensory and colour

**Accepted:** 15 September 2019  
**Available Online:** 10 October 2019

**Introduction**

Noodles are popular as a major staple food in most of the countries of the world. Noodles are popular across the world due to its easy preparation, fast urbanization, changing food habits and busy life style. Chicken is the second most consumed meat in the world, behind pork. Chicken meat is one of the most recommended meat by experts, to incorporate high quality proteins and nutrients into our diet. In addition, it has a low-fat content which makes it ideal for any type of diet. Several research works had been reported on the use of composite flour in noodle production but, there are less works on incorporation of natural antioxidant in noodles. Synthetic antioxidants have fallen under scrutiny due to
potential toxicological effects (Naveena et al., 2008).

*Aloe barbadensis* (Aloe Vera) belongs to the genus of the Liliaceous plants and has 75 active components in it, which meet the natural cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry requirements (Vogler and Ernst, 1999). Aloe vera is known for its medicinal uses, recently it has attracted the attention of the food industry.

Because of its medicinal properties, it has been used in many functional foods like drinks, beverages and ice cream and also to preserve grapefruit quality as an edible coating (Valverde et al., 2005). Aloe vera is used as a contemporary folk remedy traditionally and has many medicinal properties and can be used in the prevention of arthritis, diabetes, cancer, constipation, heartburns, stomach aids, increase absorption of nutrients and also in neutralizing toxic elements. This plant can also be used against various common pathogenic bacteria (Saritha et al., 2010). Jiang and Xiong (2016) has reported that many exogenous phenolic compounds which act as an antioxidant are derived from dietary fruits, legumes, vegetables, spices and herbs are used in processed meats that contributes antioxidant pool and when the body is exposed to a high degree of radical stress the antioxidants play a major role in neutralizing them and are more essential.

Due to the reported health benefits, the consumption of aloe vera has fetched tremendous attention of food industries in recent years. However it still remains underutilized in terms of production of value added food materials, especially in meat products. The use of aloe vera is a new approach for reaping the health benefit properties of the aloe vera in foods.

Keeping in view of all the above facts, the present study was envisaged the use of aloe vera as an natural antioxidant in the development of functional meat products. The present study was designed to evaluate the effect of different levels of aloe vera powder on physicochemical properties, water hydration properties and sensory profile of noodles standardised with 70% chicken.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chicken**

Spent chicken of 1.5 to 1.75 kg live body weight procured from the local market were scientifically slaughtered and dressed under hygienic conditions at Meat Technology Unit, Mannuthy. The dressed carcasses were stored under freezer conditions at (-23 ± 1°C) until thawed at 4±1°C before used for preparation of noodles. The dressed spent chicken is pressure cooked and deboned. The deboned chicken meat is minced in mincer (MADO primus Model MEW 613, Germany) for three times using 6mm plate and mixed with control formulation.

**Vegetable oil**

Refined sunflower oil (Sundrop) was used throughout the study for frying.

**Spice mixture**

A spice mix was prepared in the department specially for chicken noodles was used.

**Natural antioxidants**

Aloe vera–Supplied by Heilen Biopharm Face and Hair Care Natural Food Grade Aloe Vera Powder.

The formulation of control noodles was standardized by conducting several trials. The standardized control formulation was used for the entire studies (Table 1).
Preparation of control noodles

The ingredients such as wheat flour, rice flour, maida flour, salt, sodium bicarbonate, potato starch, wheat gluten, guar gum and polyphosphates were used in the formulation of control noodles. Several trials were conducted to standardize the flour and chicken per cent in the control formulation. The sodium bicarbonate, potato starch, wheat gluten, guar gum and polyphosphates were mixed separately in hot water and then added to the flour mix. The flour mix prepared is put in to the “automatic pasta making machine” Dolly GB (Italy), and is kneaded for 10 min and water is added to it at 33% of control flour formulation and approximately 12% of chicken stock solution in chicken noodles to make dough of proper consistency and extruded. The prepared raw noodles were then deep fat fried in sunflower oil at 180°C in electric single tank fryer. (Toastmaster model no. E-DZ-4L) for 45 seconds and then dried in hot air oven at 100°C for 30 min. The dried noodles were cooled at room temperature and then packed aerobically in laminated pouches (PE/AL/PA) and stored in dry place at ambient temperature.

Quality parameters analysed

pH

The dough pH and the product pH of the noodles from all the treatments and control, was determined using a combined electrode digital pH meter (μ pH system 362, Systronics, India) as per procedure of AOAC (2012).

Water activity (a"w")

For determination of a_w, the samples were cut into small pieces and filled in the sample cup up to the mark. The filled sample cup was kept in the measurement chamber of Lab swift a_w meter (Novasina, Switzerland). The readings were taken, when the stable a_w was on in the display (Cabonell et al., 2005).

Cooking yield percentage

The weights of fresh extruded noodles before and after frying stage of preparation were recorded. Product yield was expressed in percentage. The fried noodles are referred as raw noodles throughout this thesis. (Berry et al., 1992).

Cooking yield (%) = \frac{\text{Weight of extruded fried noodles} \times 100}{\text{Weight of fresh extruded noodles}}

Water hydration properties

The water absorption index (WAI), water solubility index (WSI) and swelling power index (SPI) of the rice flour samples were determined according to a reported method (Ohishi et al., 2007) with slight modifications. 1 g raw noodle was dispersed in 20 mL deionized water and agitated at 25°C and 100°C for 30 min, respectively. After centrifuging the dispersion at 15,000 g for 30 min, the supernatant was dried in a hot air oven at 105°C until a constant weight was obtained. WAI, WSI and SPI were calculated by the following formulae.

WAI = \frac{\text{Wet sediment weight}}{\text{dry sample weight}}

WSI = \frac{\text{Dry supernatant weight}}{\text{dry sample weight}} \times 100

SPI = \frac{\text{Wet sediment weight} \times 100}{\text{Dry sample weight} (100 - \text{dry supernatant})}

Colour parameters

Colour of the steam raw noodles sample was determined objectively as per Page et al.,
(2001) using Hunter Lab Mini Scan XE Plus Spectrophotometer (Hunter Lab, Virginia, USA) with diffuse illumination. The instrument was set to measure Hunter L*, a* and b* using illuminant 45/0 and 10° standard observer with an aperture size of 2.54 cm. It was calibrated using black and white calibration tiles before starting of the measurement and colorimeter score recorded with ‘L’ of black equals zero and ‘L’ of white equals 100, ‘a’ of lower numbers equals more green (less red), higher numbers equals more red (less green) and ‘b’ of lower numbers equals more blue (less yellow), higher numbers equals yellow (less blue). The colour coordinates L* (lightness), a* (redness) and b* (yellowness) of the samples were measured thrice and mean values were taken.

**Sensory evaluation**

The sensory evaluation was conducted by serving hot noodles cooked with the spicemix (4gm) that was developed in the department. 70gm of noodles was cooked in 420ml for 10 minutes at 120°C in an induction cooker.

The Sensory attributes of the noodles were assessed organoleptically using 8 point Hedonic scale score card with the help of seven semi-trained taste panelists drawn from the Department of Livestock Products Technology, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India. The average of the individual scores was taken as the score for the particular attribute (Sirichokworrakit et al., 2015).

**Results and Discussion**

T1, T2 and T3 samples were prepared by incorporating AV at level 0.3 per cent, 0.6 per cent and 0.9 per cent respectively in table 2. The best level of natural antioxidant aloe vera was selected primarily based on the physico-chemical properties, water hydration, colour values and sensory attributes.

**Physico-chemical properties**

The physico-chemical properties of the functional chicken noodles with addition of different levels of Aloe vera (AV) in T1, T2, T3 are presented in Table 2. The dough pH and product pH did not show any significant difference between T1, T2 and T3 and the values were in the range of 7.153 – 7.190 and 7.142 – 7.218 respectively. The aloe vera incorporation progressively increased the dough pH and product pH with increase in aloe vera level. Similarly, McCarthy et al., (2001) found pH of pork patties as 4.18± 0.33 on the 0th day of preparation which was lower than control patties due to the addition of aloe vera and Qin et al., (2013) reported no remarkable variation in pH by incorporation of natural antioxidants in ground pork meat, but it was found to have relatively reduced pH from 5.88 – 5.61 over a period of 12 days of storage. The water activity and product yield did not show any significant difference between T1, T2 and T3 and the values were in the range of 0.507 – 0.513 and 95.894 - 96.104 respectively. Though there was no significant difference, the water activity increased with increase in the AV level and it may be because of the gelation property of the AV whereas, the frying yield decreased with the increase in the level of aloe vera.

**Water hydration properties**

The Water Hydration Properties of the functional chicken noodles with addition of different levels of Aloe vera (AV) are presented in Table 2. The Water Absorption Index, Water Solubility Index and Swelling Power Index of T1, T2 and T3 did not show any significant difference between them and the values were in the range of 4.872 – 5.353, 3.767 - 4.030 and 4.874 – 5.152 respectively. With increase in level of Aloe vera increased the WAI and SPI whereas WSI decreased.
**Table.1** Formulary for the preparation of control noodles

| Ingredients | Control Noodles (%) |
|-------------|---------------------|
| **I.**      |                     |
| 1. Chicken  | 41.18%              |
| 2. Maida flour | 29.48%            |
| 3. Wheat flour | 14.7%             |
| 4. Rice flour | 14.7%              |
| **II.**     |                     |
| 1. Salt     | 3%                  |
| 2. Sodium bicarbonate | 0.5%          |
| 3. Potato Starch | 5%              |
| 4. Wheat gluten | 0.4%             |
| 5. Guar gum  | 0.2%                |
| Polyphosphate | 0.2%              |

*aloe vera and II were added over and above the standardised control formulation of I.

**Table.2** Physico-chemical, Water hydration and Colour parameters of the instant chicken noodles

| Treatments         | T<sub>1</sub>             | T<sub>2</sub>             | T<sub>3</sub>             | F-Value | p-Value |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|
| Dough pH           | 7.153 ± 0.013             | 7.178 ± 0.04              | 7.190 ± 0.068             | 0.166<sup>ns</sup> | 0.848   |
| Product pH         | 7.142 ± 0.017             | 7.227 ± 0.07              | 7.218 ± 0.053             | 0.815<sup>ns</sup> | 0.461   |
| Water Activity     | 0.507 ± 0.002             | 0.509 ± 0.001             | 0.513 ± 0.002             | 2.455<sup>ns</sup> | 0.120   |
| Product yield      | 96.104 ± 0.255            | 95.903 ± 0.176            | 95.894 ± 0.172            | 0.338<sup>ns</sup> | 0.719   |
| WAI                | 4.872 ± 0.204             | 5.263 ± 0.069             | 5.353 ± 0.135             | 3.055<sup>ns</sup> | 0.077   |
| WSI                | 4.030 ± 0.253             | 3.843 ± 0.041             | 3.767 ± 0.027             | 0.831<sup>ns</sup> | 0.455   |
| SPI                | 4.874 ± 0.204             | 5.061 ± 0.067             | 5.152 ± 0.130             | 0.959<sup>ns</sup> | 0.406   |
| L*                 | 43.755 ± 0.170            | 43.827 ± 0.189            | 44.212 ± 0.057            | 2.663<sup>ns</sup> | 0.102   |
| a*                 | 13.555 ± 0.087            | 13.350 ± 0.058            | 13.298 ± 0.141            | 1.804<sup>ns</sup> | 0.199   |
| b*                 | 30.603 ± 0.423            | 30.637 ± 0.225            | 30.475 ± 0.265            | 0.730<sup>ns</sup> | 0.930   |

**ns-** non significant, means with same superscript have no significant difference between them. The values are expressed as their Mean ± Standard error. (Number of observations =24)

T1 – 0.3 per cent Aloe vera (AV)  L*- Lightness  WAI- Water Absorption Index
T2 – 0.6 per cent Aloe vera (AV)  a*- Redness  WSI- Water Solubility Index
T3 – 0.9 per cent Aloe vera (AV)  b*- Yellowness  SPI- Swelling Power Index
Table 3: Effect of different level of Aloe vera on Sensory attributes of instant chicken noodles

| Treatments               | T₁       | T₂       | T₃       | Chi-square - Value | p-Value |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------|---------|
| Appearance and colour    | 7.417 ± 0.154 | 7.167 ± 0.105 | 7.250 ± 0.017 | 1.737 ns          | 0.420   |
| Flavour                  | 6.833 ± 0.279 | 6.708 ± 0.262 | 6.875 ± 0.011 | 0.226 ns          | 0.893   |
| Taste                    | 6.792 ± 0.262 | 6.458 ± 0.319 | 6.667 ± 0.014 | 0.788 ns          | 0.674   |
| Softness                 | 7.167 ± 0.279 | 6.625 ± 0.221 | 7.000 ± 0.023 | 2.837 ns          | 0.242   |
| Stickiness               | 6.750 ± 0.250 | 6.458 ± 0.344 | 7.042 ± 0.024 | 1.526 ns          | 0.466   |
| Mouth Coating            | 7.083 ± 0.083 | 6.917 ± 0.083 | 6.667 ± 0.124 | 6.998 ns          | 0.030   |
| Overall acceptability    | 7.125 ± 0.155 | 6.708 ± 0.187 | 6.792 ± 0.198 | 2.855 ns          | 0.240   |

ns- Non significant. The values are expressed as their Mean ± Standard error. (Number of observations =24) # - based on eight point hedonic scales. 1- extremely low and 8- extremely high

Water Absorption Index relatively increased with addition of the AV may be due to gelation property of the AV. Park and Baik (2002) quoted that Water Absorption Capacity of food sample is the power to hold water within its internal structure affected by factors such as quality protein content, starch damage and physical properties of flour etc. The swelling Power Index relatively increased with the increase in AV in the noodles because of better absorption capacity. Saibuatong et al., (2010) found increased water absorption of edible films because of the hydrophilic components present in the AV.

Colour (L*a*b* values)

The Colour parameters of the functional chicken noodles with addition of different levels of Aloe vera (AV) in T₁, T₂, T₃ at 0.3 per cent, 0.6 per cent and 0.9 per cent respectively are presented in Table 2.

The lightness, redness and yellowness did not show any significant difference between the test samples and the values were in the range of 43.755 -44.212, 13.298 -13.555 and 30.475 – 30.637. The redness and yellowness values of chicken noodles reduced with addition of Aloe vera (AV) whereas lightness increased relatively. Similarly, Chauhan et al., (2011) found no significant difference for colour parameters upon addition of AV in apple slices and Benitez et al., (2013) also reported same upon addition of AV on Kiwifruit as edible coating.

Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation of chicken noodles with aloevera at 3 different levels are presented in table 3.

The sensory evaluation revealed no significant difference in appearance and colour, flavour, taste, softness and stickiness. Though there was no significant difference in sensory scores of the test samples the scores were relatively higher for T₁. The panellists opined that increase in the AV induced slight brightness in
the chicken noodles and T1 with 0.3 per cent aloe vera had highest score value for overall acceptability. Similarly Devatkal et al., (2010) found no remarkable difference by panellists in sensory scores for flavour and overall acceptability of various types of meat patties incorporated with natural antioxidants. Valverdee et al., (2005) found off flavour and reduction in sweetness of grapes coated with aloe vera gel.

Aloe vera powder above 0.6 per cent incorporation in chicken noodles imparted bitterness and it was not accepted by panellists. The noodles with 0.3 aloe vera powder incorporated chicken noodles had better sensory scores. Aloe vera can improve the water hydration properties of the chicken noodles. Thus, functional chicken noodles with better acceptability and nutritive value could be prepared by incorporating Aloe vera powder upto 0.3 per cent in the formulation without affecting the sensory attributes.
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