Ion exchange-prepared NaSbSe$_2$ nanocrystals: electronic structure and photovoltaic properties of a new solar absorber material
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We report the calculated electronic structure, syntheses and photovoltaic properties of a new ternary solar absorber material NaSbSe$_2$. NaSbSe$_2$ nanocrystals (NCs) have been prepared from a Na–Sb–S precursor by the solution-based Se$^{2-}$ anion exchange reaction. The Na–Sb–S precursor was grown on a TiO$_2$ electrode using the successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) method. X-ray diffraction shows that the synthesized NaSbSe$_2$ NCs have the same crystal structure as the NaSbS$_2$ precursor with the diffraction angles significantly down-shifted. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy confirms the complete anion exchange and formation of the NaSbSe$_2$ phase. First principles calculations show that the ordered NaSbSe$_2$ structure resulting from the ion exchange synthesis is important for the performance. The NaSbSe$_2$ NCs have an average size of ~17 nm and a near-optimal optical band gap $E_g$ of 1.48 eV that is lower than the NaSbS$_2$ precursor. Liquid-junction NaSbSe$_2$ quantum dot-sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs) were fabricated from the synthesized NaSbSe$_2$ NCs for the first time. The best cell, prepared using the Au counter electrode and the polysulfide electrolyte, yielded an efficiency of 2.22%, a short current density of 1.31 mA cm$^{-2}$, an open-circuit voltage of 0.30 V and a fill factor of 56.4% under the reduced light intensity of 10% sun. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum covers the spectral range of 300–900 nm with a maximum EQE of 75% at $\lambda = 500$ nm. The near-optimal $E_g$ suggests that NaSbSe$_2$ could be a potential material for solar cells. In addition, the ion exchange method can be extended to the preparation of many new metal selenide-based solar materials from their corresponding sulfides. These materials may show improved characteristics compared to samples with more disorder.

1. Introduction

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are emerging as a candidate for the next generation of low-cost alternatives to Si photovoltaic devices. Their benefits include solution processing, ease of fabrication, and low costs. Due to the limitations of dye absorbers on the bandwidth of light harvesting as well as synthesis of new and low-cost dyes, inorganic semiconductor quantum dot solar absorbers have acquired increasing attention. Semiconductor quantum dot-sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs), derived on the same principle of a DSSC, are more promising due to the unique properties of semiconductor quantum dot solar absorbers such as band gap tunability and high extinction coefficient.1–5 Moreover, the multiple exciton generation effect in a quantum dot could push the Shockley–Queisser theoretical efficiency limit of 31% to 44% for single band gap solar cells.6

Ternary semiconductors based on metal chalcogenides have a broader range of electrical and optical properties than either the elementary or binary compounds because of the possibility of varying the constituent elements and their compositions. One of the representative ternary semiconductors – the I–V–VI$_2$ class, where I = Cu, Ag, or alkali metals; V = Sb, Bi; and VI = S, Se, Te, have been studied for their potential applications in thermoelectrics7–10 and solar cells.11–16 Among the various I metals, the Cu-based I–V–VI$_2$ materials, such as Cu–Bi–S, CuSbS$_2$, have been explored as solar materials.17–21 The Ag-based materials such as AgSbS$_2$, Ag$_2$SbS$_4$, and AgBiS$_2$ have also been reported recently.11,16,22 In contrast, I–V–VI$_2$ materials based on alkali metals have been rarely investigated despite their ease of preparation and promising solar cell performance.23 Alkali metal antimony-based ternary chalcogenides of the type A'SbX$_3$ (where A = Na, K, Rb and X = S, Se) had been synthesized by the direct interaction of an alkali metal with antimony and either sulphur or selenium at extremely high temperature.23–26 The cation sublattice of these compounds is often disordered at high temperature and may order or partially order as temperature is lowered.

Recently a member of the alkali antimony A'SbX$_3$ group – NaSbS$_2$ – has been demonstrated as a potential solar material, achieving a power conversion efficiency of 3.2% under the
reduced light intensity of 0.1 sun. First-principles calculations indicate that the particular electronic structure, which has hybridization between Sb and S p-states of NaSbS2, could provide screening and defect tolerance for carrier collection, making NaSbS2 a potential solar material. NaSbSe2 could be a potential solar absorber material. Moreover, the absorption band and large absorption coefficient of NaSbSe2 show that this synthesis method is important as a solar material. In addition, NaSbSe2 has a large absorption coefficient of $\alpha = 10^5 \text{cm}^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 600 \text{nm}$. The broad absorption band and large absorption coefficient suggests that NaSbSe2 could be a potential solar absorber material. Moreover, the three elements contained in NaSbSe2 are earth-abundant, low cost, nontoxic and environmentally friendly. However, there has been no report on solar cells based on NaSbSe2 so far. Thin films of NaSbSe2 were synthesized by thermal evaporation. Here NaSbSe2 nanocrystals (NCs) were produced by selenide ion exchange from a successive ionic layer adsorption reaction (SILAR)-prepared Na–Sb–S precursor. First principles calculations comparing ordered and disordered cation sublattices show that this synthesis method is important as a cation disorder reduces the optical band gap to below the useful range. Liquid-junction quantum dot-sensitized solar cells based on NaSbSe2 are demonstrated for the first time. We investigate the effects of ion exchange time and the number of SILAR cycles on the optical spectra and photovoltaic performance of NaSbSe2. We also investigate the dependence of photovoltaic parameters on the types of counter electrode. The best cell yielded an efficiency of 2.2%, a respectable efficiency for the first report of a new solar material.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and methods

Preparation of TiO2 photoelectrode. Fluorine-doped tin oxide glass (FTO, Pilkington, sheet resistance $\sim 7 \Omega \square^{-1}$) was cut to 1.2 x 2.0 cm$^2$ pieces, followed by cleaning with acetone, methanol and deionized water consecutively for 5 min each under ultrasonic bath. Three layers of TiO2 films with different particle sizes and film thicknesses were coated layer-by-layer on the FTO substrate. First, a blocking or compact layer of TiO2 ($\sim 90 \text{ nm}$ thick) was made by spin-coating (2000 rpm, 60 seconds) an ethanol solution of 0.2 M titanium tetraisopropoxide (TTIP) and annealing at 190 °C for 5 minutes. Second, an active mesoporous TiO2 layer (Dyesol 30NR-T, particle size $\sim 30 \text{ nm}$, $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ thick) was coated using the doctor blade technique, followed by heating at 125 °C for 10 minutes. Lastly, a scattering layer of TiO2 (Dyesol WER4-0, particle size $\sim 300 \text{ nm}$, $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ thick) was coated on top of the mesoporous TiO2 layer.

2.2 Synthesis of NaSbSe2 and device fabrication

The Na–Sb–S precursor was directly grown on a TiO2 electrode with a single-stage SILAR process. The SILAR growth involved the following steps: first, the TiO2 electrode was dipped into a 0.1 M SbCl3 solution in ethanol for 15 s for Sb$^{3+}$ ion layer adsorption, followed by rinsing with pure ethanol and drying in air. Second, this electrode was dipped in to a 0.1 M NaS solution in methanol for 1 min, followed by rinsing with pure methanol and drying in air. These two steps together are termed as one SILAR cycle. This process was repeated 11 times to grow 11 SILAR cycles of Na–Sb–S precursor. Meanwhile, a Se$^{2-}$ solution was made by dissolving 0.36 g of selenium powder and 0.3 g of NaBH4 in 125 ml of ethanol under N2 gas flow. The solution turned from black to colorless within a few minutes, showing the reduction of Se powder to Se$^{2-}$ ion. The Na–Sb–S precursor grown on the TiO2 electrode was dipped into this solution for the exchange of S$^{2-}$ in the Na–Sb–S structure by Se$^{2-}$ from the solution. Then NaSbSe2 nanocrystals (NCs) were obtained after annealing the material at 300 °C for 40 min under flowing N2 gas. The NaSbSe2 NCs were further coated with a ZnSe passivation layer to passivate surface defects according to the procedure described in the literature. The solar cells were fabricated by arranging the photoelectrode and counter electrode in a sandwich-type assembly and sealing them with a 190 µm-thick parafilm thermoplastic spacer. Pt and Au-coated FTO substrates were employed as the counter electrodes (CE). Pt CEs were made by dropping a 0.005 M H2PtCl6 ethanol solution on an FTO substrate, followed by annealing in a furnace at 400 °C for 15 min. This is below the temperature of 480 °C at which cation disorder is induced. The Au CE film, 70 nm in thickness, was deposited onto an FTO substrate by sputtering. The polysulfide electrolyte consisted of 0.25 M Na2S, 1 M S, 0.2 M KCl, and 0.1 M KI in a methanol/DI water (volume ratio 7 : 3) solution. The electrolyte was injected separately through a predrilled hole on the counter electrode into the cell.

2.3 Material characterization and photovoltaic measurements

The crystalline structure and phase purity of NaSbSe2 was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 SSS). Transmission electron microscope (TEM, Joel JEM-2010) was used to determine the crystal size and morphology. Optical property was studied using a Hitachi U-2800A UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The complete ion exchange and elemental analysis was confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS coupled SEM, JEOL, JSM-7600F). The photovoltaic performance was studied by measuring photocurrent–voltage (I–V) curves using a Keithley 2400 source meter under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ light illumination from an Oriel 150 W Xe lamp with an Oriel bandpass filter simulating the AM 1.5 solar spectrum. External quantum efficiency (EQE) was measured using the monochromatic light generated from a 250W tungsten halogen lamp.

2.4 First principles calculations

Two structural models were investigated. The first was a monoclinic structure based on the structure of NaSbS2, with S replaced by Se and fully relaxed lattice parameters and atomic positions. This model represents the experimental samples studied here. The second model is a model of a special...
quasirandom structure (SQS) with cation disorder. We used the reported NaCl structure lattice parameter of 5.966 Å and constructed a 16 atom supercell following the method of Wei and Zunger. The atomic coordinates were fully relaxed. The structure relaxations were done using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE). The full relaxation for the monoclinic structure was done using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method as implemented in the VASP code, with a cut-off energy of 400 eV. All other calculations were done using the linearized augmented plane-wave (LAPW) method as implemented in the WIEN2k code. Electronic structures and optical properties were obtained using the modified Becke–Johnson (mBJ) potential, which generally give improved band gaps compared to the PBE GGA.

3. Results and discussion

The Na-Sb–S precursor was synthesized based on the reaction between Sb₂S₃ and Na₂S as given in eqn (1) below:

\[
\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{S} \rightarrow 2\text{NaSbS}_2. \tag{1}
\]

After annealing the Na-Sb–S precursor under N₂ gas, clear diffraction peaks, shown in Fig. 1 (middle panel), appearing at 16.81, 21.51, 28.60, 30.59, 31.56, 35.40, 43.83, and 45.31° all match well with the (110), (020), (112), (221), (002), (131), (221), and (223) planes of the monoclinic NaSbS₂ reference pattern (JCPDS No. 00-032-1039). There are no other impurity phases observed in the spectrum. The other larger peaks observed belong to either TiO₂ or the FTO substrate (labeled as # in the figure).

The pre-annealed NaSbS₂ precursor was used for S²⁻ exchange with Se²⁻, as demonstrated by eqn (2),

\[
\text{NaSbS}_2 + \text{Se}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{NaSbSe}_2 + \text{S}^{2-}. \tag{2}
\]

The basis of eqn (2) is explained as follows. The most important factor for driving the ion exchange reaction is the thermodynamic factor. Ions in a solid with a relatively higher solubility can be exchanged by other ions in solution if the product has a lower solubility. The solubility product (K_sp), is related to the free energy change of the process. The K_sp of metal selenides is lower than that of metal sulfide. Even though the actual solubility can be controlled by many factors, the solubility products of metal chalcogenides have a lower value as the ionic radius of chalcogen increases. That is the ion exchange of S in the crystal with Se²⁻ is thermodynamically feasible process. Once the ion exchange is initiated on the solid–solution interface, further exchange requires the diffusion of ions towards the core of the solid. The inward selenium ion diffusion is accompanied with sulfur ion diffuse towards the solution. In addition, the time required for the exchange reaction is a function of the magnitude of the kinetic barrier for the process.

X-ray diffraction analysis of NaSbSe₂ confirms the successful preparation of single-phase NaSbSe₂ NCs (Fig. 2, top panel). The pronounced NaSbSe₂ peaks are (110), (221), (002), (221), and (223). After conversion to NaSbSe₂, the crystal structure maintained the same monoclinic phase with each (hkl) peak shifted to a lower angle relative to the corresponding NaSbS₂ peak. For example, the (002) plane shifted from 31.53° (NaSbS₂) to 30.13° (NaSbSe₂). Our first principles calculations yield lattice parameters, \(a = 8.433\ \text{Å}, b = 7.230\ \text{Å}, c = 8.716\ \text{Å}, \gamma = 59.986°\), for a volume 16% larger (~5% linear expansion) than the sulfide, in reasonable accord with these shifts in diffraction peak position. The lower angle shifts are due to lattice expansion as a result of the replacement of smaller S²⁻ (0.184 nm) with larger Se²⁻ (0.198 nm). A size difference of 0.014 nm per atom produces a significant lattice expansion after a complete exchange of S²⁻ with Se²⁻, which is in agreement with the significant lower angle shifts of the NaSbSe₂ peaks relative to the NaSbS₂ peaks. The monoclinic structure found is to be contrasted with that normally obtained by high temperature synthesis, which is NaCl with cation disorder, and partial ordering below 480 °C. The average crystallite size of the NaSbSe₂ NCs, calculated using the Scherrer’s equation from the dominant diffraction peak, is
~19 nm, which is close to the size determined from the TEM image shown in Fig. 2 below.

The EDS elemental analysis in Fig. 2 displays the percentage of atoms in the NaSbSe₂ sample. The ratio of Na : Sb : Se is 1 : 1.14 : 2.15, in close agreement with the atomic ratio 1 : 1 : 2 of NaSbSe₂. The residual amount of sulfur in the sample is undetectable, confirming the complete exchange of S with Se.

The TEM images in Fig. 3 show the synthesized particles prior to and after the ion exchange reaction. Fig. 3(a) shows the NaSbS₂ NCs and Fig. 3(b) shows the NaSbSe₂ NCs deposited on a 30 nm TiO₂ substrate. The NaSbS₂ and NaSbSe₂ NCs have spherical morphology and exhibit no significant changes in morphology and size after ion exchange. There is no observable aggregation during the SILAR growth of NaSbSe₂ NCs. The NaSbSe₂ NC size ranges from 15–18 nm, with an average diameter of 17 nm.

The first principles density of states and direction averaged optical absorption spectra for the ordered monoclinic structure, representing the experiments, and the disordered SQS model are contrasted in Fig. 4. As seen the electronic structure and optical spectrum are very different for these two structures. NaCl structure NaSbSe₂ with full cation disorder is found to be semimetallic, with an onset of strong optical absorption at 0.5 eV. The ordered monoclinic structure has a calculated indirect band gap of 0.85 eV. The onset of direct optical absorption is at ~1 eV with strong absorption above ~1.5 eV, roughly in accord with the experimental data. Projections of the density of states (not shown) show substantial hybridization between the Se p orbitals making up the valence bands and the nominally unoccupied Sb p orbitals, similar to NaSbS₂. This type of hybridization favors high mobility due to defect screening, as was discussed previously for NaSbS₂.

Fig. 5(a) shows the UV-visible transmission spectra $T(\lambda)$ of three Na–Sb–Se samples: NaSbS₂, NaSb(S/Se)₂ and NaSbSe₂. The three samples were prepared with different ion exchange times of 0, 15 and 40 min, respectively, which changed the crystallographic structures from NaSbS₂ (0 min) to NaSb(S/Se)₂ (15 min) to NaSbSe₂ (40 min). The transmission edge of NaSbS₂ appears
at a higher energy relative to that of the NaSb(S/Se)₂ and NaSbSe₂ NCs (see Fig. 5(a)). After 15 minutes of S\(^2\^-\) ion exchange reaction with Se\(^2\^-\), a significant redshift in transmission edge was observed. A further red shift in transmission edge was observed after 40 minutes of ion exchange. The transmission edge of NaSbSe₂ (determined as the wavelength where T(λ) < 1%, i.e. absorption = 99%) is ~757 nm, while for NaSbS₂ is at a lower wavelength of 630 nm, showing that NaSbSe₂ has a broader absorption range. The energy gaps E\(_g\) for NaSbS₂, NaSb(S/Se)₂ and NaSbSe₂ NCs were determined from Tauc plots by extrapolating the curves to y = 0 as shown in Fig. 5(b). E\(_g\) decreases in the order of NaSbS₂ (1.71 eV), NaSb(S/Se)₂ (1.54 eV), NaSbSe₂ (1.48 eV), in agreement with previous reports.\(^{23,24}\) NaSbSe₂ NCs (1.48 eV) have the lowest E\(_g\), resulting in a broader optical absorption range of solar spectrum. The E\(_g\) also exhibits a dependence on the particle size of NaSbSe₂ NCs. Fig. 5(c) shows the Tauc plots of three NaSbSe₂ NCs with different SILAR numbers. The three samples had undergone thorough ion exchange reactions and exhibited the pure NaSbSe₂ phase. A lower SILAR number produces smaller-sized NaSbSe₂ NCs with a higher E\(_g\) due to the quantum size effect.\(^{25}\) When the SILAR number is increased from 9, 10 to 11, the size of the NaSbSe₂ NCs would grow larger and the E\(_g\) is lowered from 1.74, 1.60 to 1.47 eV, respectively. A notable feature is that the NaSbSe₂ sample with 11 SILAR cycles has an optical E\(_g\) of 1.48 eV, which is very close to the optimal E\(_g\) of ~1.4 eV for a solar absorber,\(^{26}\) suggesting that NaSbSe₂ has the potential to be a highly efficient solar absorber.

### 3.1 Photovoltaic performance

Fig. 6 shows the I–V curves of NaSbSe₂ QDSSCs for various SILAR cycles n and counter electrodes under 1 sun illumination. The electrolyte used was polysulfide and the counter electrode was Pt. The photovoltaic parameters – short-circuit current density (J\(_{sc}\)), fill factor (FF), open-circuit voltage (V\(_{oc}\)) and power conversion efficiency (η) are given in Table 1. The SILAR cycles n has a vital effect on the η of the cells. The η of the NaSbSe₂ cells increased when n was increased from 10 to 11 and then decreased when n was further increased to 12 cycles (see Fig. 6 and Table 1, sample nos. 1–3). Therefore the optimum SILAR cycle for NaSbSe₂ QDSSCs is n = 11. Surface defects are common problems in SILAR-grown NCs. They act as recombination centers for photogenerated charge carriers. Surface
passivation by a layer of ZnS or ZnSe coating could reduce the density of these defect sites. The higher conduction band of ZnSe relative to the conduction band of NaSbSe2 and TiO2 acts as an energy barrier and prevents the recombination between the photoelectrons in a NC and holes in the polysulﬁde electrolyte. The efﬁciency of NaSbSe2 QDSSCs with ZnSe passivation improved from 0.71% (before) to 0.98% (after) (see Fig. 5(a) and Table 1, sample no. 4), an increase of 38%. The efﬁciency improvement is the result of combined contributions from enhanced Jsc, FF and Voc. The effect of counter electrodes (CEs) on the performance of the NaSbSe2 cells was analyzed by employing two types of CEs − Pt and Au. The cell with Au CE exhibited a higher η of 1.25% (sample no. 5), a 27% enhancement relative to Pt CE (0.98%). This is mainly a result of improved Jsc = 7.35 mA cm−2 and FF = 51.7% with Au CE relative to Pt CE (Jsc = 6.28 mA cm−2 and FF = 46.1%). In a polysulﬁde electrolyte, a Pt CE has lower electrocatalytic activity relative to an Au CE towards reduction of the electrolyte. This is due to sulfide poisoning of the catalytic active sites of a Pt CE.

Fig. 6(b) displays the J–V curves of NaSbSe2 QDSSCs with Au CE under various reduced light intensities. Table 2 presents the corresponding solar cell parameters. The η increased to 2.22% as the intensity was reduced to 10% sun, a 78% increase over the 1.25% under 1 sun. Moreover, the normalized Jsc increased to 13.1 mA cm−2, which is signiﬁcantly larger than Jsc = 7.35 mA cm−2 under 1 sun. The improvement in the photovoltaic performance − Jsc, FF and η − under reduced light intensities is attributed to a reduced photoelectron–hole recombination rate. The electron–hole (e–h) recombination rate is proportional to the number of e–h pairs generated by the incident light. Under a low light intensity, the absorber generates fewer charge carriers, leading to reduced recombination and improved Jsc, FF and η. The signiﬁcantly improved η under low light intensities indicates that carrier recombination is an active process in the NaSbSe2 QDSSCs.

The efﬁciency (2.22%) of the present NaSbSe2 cells is lower than that (3.18%) observed in NaSbS2 cells.23 The different efﬁciencies are caused mainly by the different open-circuit voltage Voc of 0.33 V in NaSbSe2 and 0.45 V in NaSbS2. Voc is proportional to the energy gap Eg. As revealed in the present work, NaSbSe2 has a smaller Eg (∼1.45 eV) than that (1.8 eV) of NaSbS2. The smaller Eg in NaSbSe2 leads to a smaller Voc and, hence, a smaller efﬁciency, as shown in this work. The Jsc of NaSbSe2 (7.35 mA cm−2) is smaller than that of NaSbS2 (10.76 mA cm−2). In principle, the smaller Eg in NaSbSe2 should produce a larger Jsc than that in NaSbS2 with a larger Eg.

The reason for the lower Jsc is probably due to the surface defects formed on the surface of NaSbSe2 NCs during the ion-exchange reaction. The higher density of surface defects in NaSbSe2 relative to that in NaSbS2 results in a lower Jsc. With improved material growth, the problem of surface defects could be reduced and the Jsc in NaSbSe2 should eventually surpass the Jsc in NaSbS2.

The EQE spectrum covers the spectral range from 300 to 900 nm with the maximum value of 75% at λ = 550 nm, as plotted in Fig. 7. The energy gap of NaSbSe2, determined from the onset of the EQE curve, is Eg ∼ 1.38 eV, in close agreement with the value (1.48 eV) determined from optical measurements (Fig. 5). Therefore, we conclude based on the optical and EQE data that the Eg of the material is in the range of ∼1.4–1.5 eV.

The EQE integrated current density Jph, the total photocurrent that the cell can generate, can be determined from the following equation:

\[
J_{ph} = e \int_{300}^{900} \Phi(\lambda)EQE(\lambda)d(\lambda)
\]

where \(\Phi(\lambda)\) is the incident light intensity and \(e\) is the elementary charge. The integrated photocurrent plotted in the right axis of Fig. 7 yields a total Jph of 14.5 mA cm−2. This is in close agreement with the normalized Jsc = 13.1 mA cm−2 from the J–V result (see Table 2).

### Table 1 NaSbSe2 QDSSC photovoltaic parameters. Counter electrode (CE): Pt or Au; electrolyte: polysulﬁde

| No | Sample | SILAR cycles n | CE  | Jsc (mA cm−2) | Voc (V) | FF (%) | η (%) |
|----|--------|----------------|-----|---------------|--------|-------|-------|
| 1  | NaSbSe2 | 10             | Pt  | 3.79          | 0.33   | 46.1  | 0.58  |
| 2  | NaSbSe2 | 11             | Pt  | 5.03          | 0.32   | 43.9  | 0.71  |
| 3  | NaSbSe2 | 12             | Pt  | 4.25          | 0.30   | 41.0  | 0.52  |
| 4  | NaSbSe2/ZnSe | 11 | Pt   | 6.28          | 0.34   | 46.1  | 0.98  |
| 5  | NaSbSe2/ZnSe | 11 | Au   | 7.35          | 0.33   | 51.7  | 1.25  |

### Table 2 NaSbSe2 QDSSC photovoltaic parameters under various reduced sun intensities. CE: Au; electrolyte: polysulﬁde

| Sun power | Jsc (mA cm−2) | Voc (V) | FF (%) | η (%) |
|-----------|---------------|---------|--------|-------|
| 100%      | 7.35          | 0.33    | 51.7   | 1.25  |
| 50%       | 4.12 (8.24)   | 0.32    | 53.5   | 1.41  |
| 10%       | 1.31 (13.1)   | 0.30    | 56.4   | 2.22  |

Fig. 7 EQE spectrum.
We summarize the notable results in this work. NaSbSe$_2$ NCs were synthesized from the NaSbS$_2$ precursor using the ion exchange method. The synthesized NaSbSe$_2$ has larger lattice constants as well as a reduced optical $E_g$ (1.48 eV) compared to that of the parent NaSbS$_2$. This $E_g$ is very close to the optimal $E_g$ of a solar absorber. First principles calculations show that the synthesis method is crucial in yielded NCs with cation order and in particular that the cation disorder in material grown at high temperature will be detrimental. The best cell exhibited an efficiency of 2.2% under 0.1 sun, a respectable value for the first report of a new solar material. Since the synthesis method is a general technique, many new ternary metal selenide-based solar materials can be produced following the same technique used in this work.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully synthesized NCs from the as-synthesized Na–Sb–S precursor by the solution-phase Se$^{2-}$ anion exchange reaction. A reaction time of 40 min completed the NaSbS$_2$ to NaSbSe$_2$ exchange reaction. NaSbSe$_2$ NCs exhibit the same crystal structure as the parent NaSbS$_2$ precursor with larger lattice constants and a lower $E_g$ of 1.48 eV relative to NaSbS$_2$ (1.71 eV). The best solar cell was prepared using 11 SILAR cycles, an Au counter electrode and a ZnSe passivation coating. The best cell exhibits a normalized $J_{sc}$ = 13.1 mA cm$^{-2}$, a $V_oc$ = 0.30 V, a FF = 56.4% and an $\eta = 2.22\%$. The near-optimal $E_g$ suggests that NaSbSe$_2$ has the potential to be a highly efficient solar material.
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