Abstract

In this paper, we describe our approach for the task of homophobia and transphobia detection in English social media comments. The dataset consists of YouTube comments, and it has been released for the shared task on Homophobia/Transphobia Detection in social media comments. Given the high class imbalance, we propose a solution based on data augmentation and ensemble modeling. We fine-tuned different large language models (BERT, RoBERTa, and HateBERT) and used the weighted majority vote on their predictions. Our proposed model obtained 0.48 and 0.94 for macro and weighted F1-score, respectively, ranking at the third position.

1 Introduction

Despite the progress on LGBT+ rights, Internet still remains a hostile environment for LGBT+ people. The growing number, intensity, and complexity of online hate cases is also reflected in the real world: Anti-LGBT+ hate crimes increased dramatically in the last three years.1 In 2020, the UK’s LGBT+ anti-violence charity (Galop) presented a report about online hate crimes regarding homophobia, biphobia, and transphobia.2 They surveyed 700 LGBT+ people distributed through online community networks of LGBT+ activists and individuals. The results are worrisome: 8 out of 10 people experienced online hate speech in the last five years, and 1 out of 5 said they had been victims of online abuse at least 100 times. Transgender people experience online harassment at a higher rate (93%) than cisgender ones (70%). It is also alarming that 18% of people claimed that online abuse was linked with offline incidents. These statistics show a worrying picture of the everyday experience that LGBT+ people are living.

Natural language processing (NLP) has emerged as a significant field of research for combating online hate speech because of its ability to automate the process at scale while, at the same time, decreasing the labor and emotional stress on online moderators (Chaudhary et al., 2021). Despite the interest of the NLP community in creating datasets and models for the task of hate speech detection, no research effort has been made to cover homophobia and transphobia specifically. This is a problem because Nozza (2021) has demonstrated that hate speech detection models do not transfer to different hate speech target types.

The shared task of Homophobia and Transphobia Detection (Chakravarthi et al., 2022) enabled researchers to investigate solutions for this problem with the introduction of a novel dataset. The dataset comprises around 5k YouTube comments manually annotated with respect to the presence of homophobia and transphobia. The corpus shows a high imbalance with respect to the non-hateful class, which covers 95% of the dataset. In this paper, we propose an approach designed to overcome the problem of class imbalance. We use ensemble modeling to combine different fine-tuned large language models. We also perform data augmentation from an external dataset to include more homophobic and transphobic instances. However, data augmentation results in lower performance, and we did not use it for the submission.

Our system ranked third for the English track with a macro F1-score of 0.48 and a weighted F1-score of 0.94.

2 Data

The shared task on homophobia and transphobia detection in social comments released three different datasets in English, Tamil, and code-mixed Tamil-English (Chakravarthi et al., 2021). The dataset

---

1https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/dec/03/recorded-homophobic-hate-crimes-soared-in-pandemic-figures-show
2https://www.report-it.org.uk/files/online-crime-2020_0.pdf
comprises YouTube comments of videos from popular YouTubers that talk about LGBT+ topics. The comments have been labelled according to three classes: Non-anti-LGBT+ content (N), Homophobic (H), Transphobic (T). In Table 1 we show the distribution of the English dataset, which is the portion we investigate in this paper.

The numbers clearly show a strong imbalance of the dataset distribution. On average, the class Non-anti-LGBT+ content covers 94% of the instances, while there are only 6% of homophobic instances and 0.3% of transphobic ones.

### 2.1 Data Augmentation

The low number of instances associated with the hateful classes (homophobic and transphobic categories) may prevent the model from distinguishing them. In order to overcome this issue, we decide to test data augmentation techniques. Including additional hateful instances can increase model performance, even if the definition of hate speech or targets does not match exactly. We perform data augmentation by sampling additional data from the Multilingual and Multi-Aspect Hate Speech (MLMA) (Ousidhoum et al., 2019) corpus. This dataset consists of tweets with various hate speech targets. In order to perform data augmentation, we selected hateful English tweets and sexual orientation as the target attribute based on which it discriminates against people. This process allows us to obtain 514 tweets. We proceed by mapping every non-hateful tweet to the Non-anti-LGBT+ content class and every hateful tweet to the Homophobic one. Then, we filtered all the homophobic tweets containing the word "trans", and we associated them with the label Transphobic. Table 2 shows the statistics of the augmented dataset. Note that the MLMA dataset comprises tweets and not YouTube comments.

### 2.2 Data Preprocessing

Social media textual data strongly differ from formal text, such as newspaper articles (Nozza et al., 2017). They contain slang, emojis, hashtags, URLs, and misspellings. In order to improve the quality of the data, we apply preprocessing techniques. First, we convert the text to lowercase and remove characters that are not words (e.g., numbers and punctuation). Then, we replace URLs, mentions, and emoticons with placeholder tags. Finally, we replace emojis with their textual description (e.g., rolling on the floor laughing) following (Corazza et al., 2020).

### 3 Experimental Settings

#### 3.1 Fine-tuned Models

We use different large language models (LLMs) exploiting the HuggingFace library (Wolf et al., 2020). We selected two popular LLMs (BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019)). We choose these models based on their performance and their low hurtful sentence completion (HONEST) score (Nozza et al., 2021, 2022b). We also selected HateBERT (Caselli et al., 2021), a re-trained BERT model for abusive language detection in English. Caselli et al. (2021) demonstrate that HateBERT has superior abilities for tasks of abusive detection, yielding much better results than BERT.

Each model has been fine-tuned for the task of homophobia and transphobia detection. We train each model with the same parameters (Table 3).

#### 3.2 Ensemble Modeling

Ensemble modeling consists in creating a meta-classifier that treats the predicted label of distinct machine learning classifiers as a vote towards the final label that is to be predicted. This paper in-
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**Table 1:** Statistics of the English dataset.

|       | Train | Dev | Test |
|-------|-------|-----|------|
| Size  | 3,164 | 792 | 990  |
| # Non-anti-LGBT+ content | 3,001 | 732 | 924  |
| # Homophobic               | 157   | 58  | 61   |
| # Transphobic              | 6     | 2   | 5    |

**Table 2:** Statistics of the augmented dataset.

|       | Train |
|-------|-------|
| Size  | 3,678 |
| # Non-anti-LGBT+ content | 3,043 |
| # Homophobic               | 626   |
| # Transphobic              | 9     |

**Table 3:** Main models’ parameters.

| Param       | Value |
|-------------|-------|
| Batch Size  | 128   |
| Warm Up Steps | 50    |
| Learning Rate | 1e-3  |
| Learning Epochs | 10    |
| Optimizer    | AdamW |
| Betas        | 0.9 and 0.999 |
| Max Length   | 200   |
vestigates two frameworks for ensemble: majority voting and weighted voting. Moreover, we focus only on hard voting, i.e., we consider only the predicted class as a vote and not its probability value (which is known as soft voting).

**Majority voting**  
Majority voting is the simplest case of ensemble learning. We consider the prediction of each classifier \( C_j \) as a vote, and then we take the predicted class with the highest votes. The predicted class label \( \hat{y} \) can be defined as:

\[
\hat{y} = \text{mode}\{C_1(x), C_2(x), \ldots, C_m(x)\}
\]

where \( x \) is the data instance.

**Weighted Voting**  
We use the weighted majority vote by associating a weight \( w_j \) with classifier \( C_j \) to predict the class label \( \hat{y} \):

\[
\hat{y} = \arg \max_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \chi_A (C_j(x) = i)
\]

where \( \chi_A \) is the characteristic function \( [C_j(x) = i \in A] \), and \( A \) is the set of unique class labels.

Here, as weight we use the recall metric for the homophbic class for each classifier. The recall metric represents the percentage of homophbic posts correctly classified by our algorithm.

### 4 Experimental Results

Table 4 shows the precision, recall, and F1-score on the test set disaggregated by class: Non-anti-LGBT+ content (N), Homophobic (H), Transphobic (T). We report the results for each fine-tuned LLMs tested (BERT, RoBERTa, and HateBERT) and the respective version fine-tuned on preprocessed data (prep). Finally, we provide the results of our ensemble classifiers using majority and weighted voting on the previous 6 models. From the scores, it is possible to observe that behavior regarding the non-hateful and the transphobic classes are stable for each metric and model. This is due to the class imbalance. Indeed, the Non-anti-LGBT+ content reaches high F1-scores, with a stable 0.97. In contrast, no posts have been predicted as transphobic in the test set, resulting in 0 F1-score. We argue that this is a direct consequence of the limited number of training examples (0.19%), which prevents the models from learning the phenomena. The homophbic class shows more variable performance, with an average of 0.43 and a maximum of 0.49.

|                | N   | H   | T   |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Precision**  | 0.95| 0.70| 0.00|
| **Recall**     | 0.99| 0.34| 0.00|
| **F1-score**   | 0.97| 0.46| 0.00|

**Table 4:** Results of the different fine-tuned LLMs predictions on the test set for the classes Non-anti-LGBT+ content (N), Homophobic (H), Transphobic (T). Preprocessing is denoted with prep.

|                | N   | H   | T   |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Precision**  | 0.48| 0.43| 0.00|
| **Recall**     | 0.94| 0.94| 0.00|
| **F1-score**   | 0.94| 0.94| 0.00|

**Table 5:** Macro and weighted F1-score on test set.

|                | N   | H   | T   |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Precision**  | 0.42| 0.46| 0.00|
| **Recall**     | 0.92| 0.93| 0.00|
| **F1-score**   | 0.93| 0.93| 0.00|

**Table 6:** Macro and weighted F1-score on test set with data augmentation approach.
0.49 obtained by majority voting. Highest scores for this class are highlighted in bold in Table 4.

Concerning the different LLMs, the best results are obtained by RoBERTa+prep and HateBERT. We did not observe a consistent effect regarding pre-processing, which has decreased the performance for BERT and HateBERT and has improved the one of RoBERTa. Results also demonstrate the superiority of ensembling methods, in particular, majority voting.

Table 5 reports macro and weighted F1-score. The model obtaining the highest macro F1-score (the score considered by the shared task) is majority voting. Note that we submit to the shared task the weighted voting run cause of its best performance in the dev set.

Finally, we tested the performance of the data augmentation approach (Table 6). Differently from our expectations, we notice a slight decrease in the performance. This is probably due to the different nature of the social media considered in the studies (i.e., Twitter vs. YouTube), resulting in shorter texts comprising emojis, URLs, and user mentions.

5 Related Work

In the last years, many shared tasks have been organized with the aim of detecting hate speech on social media comments (Kumar et al., 2018; Basile et al., 2019; Zampieri et al., 2020, inter alia). While the majority of them focus on English, some efforts have been made to include other languages (e.g., Italian, Arabic) (Bosco et al., 2018; Fersini et al., 2018; Wiegand et al., 2018; Fersini et al., 2020b; Mubarak et al., 2020; Mulki and Ghanem, 2021, inter alia). Chaudhary et al. (2021) proposed a one-of-a-kind shared task for Homophobia and Transphobia detection on social comments for three languages (English, Tamil, and code-mixed Tamil-English).

Several NLP approaches have been proposed for the task of hate speech detection (Qian et al., 2018; Indurthi et al., 2019; Vidgen et al., 2021; Fersini et al., 2020a; Attanasio and Pastor, 2020; Kennedy et al., 2020; Attanasio et al., 2022b, inter alia). While ensemble modeling has been proven to be effective for several tasks in NLP (Garmash and Monz, 2016; Nozza et al., 2016; Fadel et al., 2019; Bashmal and AlZeer, 2021), a limited number of research work have investigated its potentiality for hate speech detection (Plaza-del Arco et al., 2019; Ramakrishnan et al., 2019; Zimmer-

man et al., 2018).

Only recently, researchers have focused on detecting and measuring harmfulness against LGBTQIA+ community members in NLP. Some research work investigated bias in co-reference resolution (Cao et al., 2020), conversational language models (Barikeri et al., 2021), and LLMs (Nozza et al., 2022b). In a similar spirit, Dev et al. (2021) discussed the harms of treating gender as binary in English language technologies, and pointed to the complexity of gender representation. Focusing on the notion of referential gender, Lauscher et al. (2022) presented an overview on phenomena relating to 3rd person pronouns and discussed how NLP can and should model pronouns.

6 Conclusion

This article describes our approach for the shared task of Homophobia and Transphobia on social media comments. We propose to couple ensemble learning and data augmentation to address the problem of class imbalance of the dataset. We found that augmenting the dataset with a corpus from a different domain was ineffective. Our submitted model consists of the weighted majority vote of different fine-tuned LLMs (BERT, RoBERTa, and HateBERT) ranked at the third position out of 13 submissions. In the future, we aim to explore how fine-tuned LLMs are biased towards members of the LGBT+ community and propose a bias mitigation solution following (Nozza et al., 2019, 2022a; Attanasio et al., 2022a).
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