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Abstract
Spectroscopic measurements with low-temperature scanning tunneling microscopes have been used very successfully for studying not only individual atomic or molecular spins on surfaces but also complexly designed coupled systems. The symmetry breaking of the supporting surface induces magnetic anisotropy which lead to characteristic fingerprints in the spectrum of the differential conductance and can be well understood with simple model Hamiltonians. Furthermore, correlated many-particle states can emerge due to the interaction with itinerant electrons of the electrodes, making these systems ideal prototypical quantum systems. In this manuscript more complex bipartite and spin-chains will be discussed additionally. Their spectra enable to determine precisely the nature of the interactions between the spins which can lead to the formation of new quantum states which emerge by interatomic entanglement.
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1. Introduction

The transfer of electrons between metallic leads separated by vacuum or an insulating gap is classically forbidden. However, it becomes possible if the size of the gap is reduced to the scale of a few Angstroms due to the tunneling effect. This entirely quantum mechanical effect, which allows the electrons to cross the forbidden region, was already discussed in the early days of quantum mechanics [1] and first observed in the 1960s on planar superconducting–oxide–normal conducting junctions [2, 3].

In general, the electron transport in such tunnel junctions can be divided into two distinct classes: Elastic tunneling in which an applied bias drives electrons from the many states of one electrode to cross the junction without interaction with the local environment and inelastic tunneling, where the electrons interact with the junction environment and change their energy, phase, or angular momentum. These inelastic processes leave characteristic fingerprints in bias dependent conductance measurements. In particular, when discrete states are excited during tunneling and the tunneling electron looses partly its kinetic energy, a bias threshold voltage can be observed below which the inelastic process cannot occur (Figure 1). In this sense inelastic tunneling spectroscopy (IETS) is complementary to far-field methods such as high resolution electron energy-loss (HREELS), infrared reflection adsorption (IRRAS), Raman spectroscopy, or inelastic neutron spectroscopy [4, 5] and has been performed in planar tunnel junctions to detect vibrational excitation modes of molecules embedded in the junction for almost 50 years [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Remarkably, besides molecular vibrations, excitations due to the interaction of electrons with localized magnetic impurities have already been studied in these pioneering days leading to the discovery of anomalies in the density of states very close to the Fermi energy, which was shown to be due to the formation of a Kondo state and inelastic spin-flip excitations [12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

While planar tunnel junctions have the disadvantage of averaging over an ill-defined contact area, the development of the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) by Binning, Rohrer, Gerber, and Weibl in the early 1980s [18, 19, 20] opened a entirely new world for tunneling experiments. Very soon it became clear that the STM, with its capability to atomically resolve metallic and semiconducting surfaces, would become a powerful tool for the analysis of surfaces and nanoscale structures down to the single molecule or atom level. Its discovery was awarded with the Nobel prize in a surprisingly short time of only 4 years after its first successful demonstration.

In the early days of STM, collective vibrational excitations at the surface of graphite were detected [21], however, it was clear that the true capability of the STM would lie in combining its spectroscopic possibilities with its inherent atomic resolution. Nevertheless, it took about 15 years of technology development before
the mechanical stability and electronic sensibility of the STM at cryogenic temperatures and in ultrahigh vacuum was high enough to make this dream reality.

The year 1998 brought two important experimental breakthroughs: The group around Wilson Ho at the Cornell University showed for the first time that IETS was possible on the single molecular level using the spatial resolution of the STM [22]. In their experiment they detected the vibrational excitations of an isolated acetylene (C₂H₂) molecule adsorbed on a Cu(100) surface. The detection of mechanical excitation in molecular systems, has since been applied to many quite different molecular systems ranging from diatomics like carbon monoxide [23, 24], metal hydride molecules [25], and molecular hydrogen [26, 27, 28] to complex molecules like porphyrins [29] and C₆₀ bucky balls [30]. However, importantly for the work discussed in this manuscript, inelastic excitations can also be observed on individual spin systems as discovered by Andreas Heinrich and co-workers at IBM Almaden first on Mn atoms adsorbed on patches of Al₂O₃ on a NiAl surface [31]. As we will discuss in the following, spin excitation spectroscopy gives unparalleled access to the quantum nature of individual and coupled spin systems enabling the determination and manipulation of their spin states, their magnetic anisotropy, and their coupling with the environment; properties which are actually mutually interdependent.

The second breakthrough was the detection of the spectroscopic signature of the correlated many-particle Kondo state of individual magnetic atoms adsorbed on non-magnetic metal substrates. This discovery was made almost simultaneously by the group around Wolf-Dieter Schneider at the University Lausanne [32] and the group around Michael Crommie at the University of Boston [38].

These two hallmarking observations are the foundation on which the work presented here is based. Both rely on the interaction of individual spins, i.e. atoms or molecules which have a magnetic moment, with the local environment as is schematically illustrated in figure 2. A paramagnetic atom with a total spin $S > 1/2$ has $2S + 1$ eigenstates which are indistinguishable in the gas phase when no external magnetic field is applied. Upon adsorption on the surface this situation changes. When the atom is physisorbed, the out-of-plane direction forms a distinct axis, different from all other directions. This symmetry breaking is the origin of magnetic anisotropy which lifts the degeneracy and defines the stability of a spin in a preferred direction [41] (figure 2a). In the case the atom is chemisorbed onto the surface, that means it forms covalent chemical bonds and is rather incorporated into the surface, complex molecular networks might form, further reducing the system’s symmetry. Additionally, spin-orbit coupling, charge transfer, and delocalized spin polarization in the substrate influences the effective magnetism of the atom [42].

The influence of the magnetic anisotropy on the tunneling spectra will be briefly discussed in section 2. Here, we will additionally see how the strength of the direct exchange coupling between the localized magnetic moment and the itinerant electron bath of the substrate modifies the magnetic anisotropy via virtual coherences between the eigenstates [43, 44].

While the magnetic anisotropy removes the degeneracies of high spin systems, for half-integer spins Kramers theorem prevails the full lifting of all degeneracies [45]. In these systems every energy level is at least doubly degenerate at zero field. The ground state degeneracy has, in particular for $S = 1/2$ systems, dramatic consequences which leads to an entirely new area of physics in which correlations between the localized magnetic moment and the many electrons of the substrate form, at low enough temperature, a new singlet ground state creating a prominent resonance at the Fermi energy (see figure 2b) [16, 17]. Section 3 will discuss this Kondo effect in detail. Starting with the temperature and magnetic field dependence in the weak coupling limit which was first measured on an organic radical [15] we will elaborate a perturbative scattering model up to 3rd order in the exchange interaction and show under which circumstances the model breaks down and other, more sophisticated models have to be used [40]. Here, individual Co atoms on different substrates can act as examples for this strong coupling regime [49]. Intriguingly, Co atoms on a Cu₂N substrate possesses a spin of $S = 3/2$ and are thereby also influenced by the magnetic anisotropy leading to a directionally dependent magnetic field behavior [50]. Additionally, on this system the spin polarization of the split Kondo state was determined [51].

Note, that due to newer measurements which revealed that single Ce adatoms on Ag(111) are even at 5 K still very mobile [33, 34, 35, 36], the original publications was presumably measured on a small Ce cluster, which can indeed show a Kondo state [37].

1
After the discussion of the emergence of anisotropy and correlations in single spin systems, section 4 will discuss coupled systems containing more than one paramagnetic spin center. Starting with the prototypical molecular magnet Mn$_{12}$Acetate$_{16}$ which has a total ground state spin of $S_T = 10$ we will observe that $S_T$ is not a conserved quantity and that spin excitations can change the total spin $S_T$ leaving characteristic fingerprints in the IETS spectrum [52]. Afterward, spin dimer systems will be inspected with a particular focus on the description in the perturbative transport model [40, 53] and the appearance of different quantum phases in the two-impurity Kondo system. Last, coupled spin chains are discussed which are of particular interest due to the emergence of entanglement that can be directly observed in the zero-energy peak [54]. Finally, section 5 summarizes the manuscript and outlines possible routes for future research.

2. The magnetic anisotropy in single spins

Magnetic anisotropy defines the preferred directions in which the magnetic moment of a spin of strength $S$ likes to be aligned and is crucial for the lifetime in which the direction of magnetization is maintained [41, 42, 55]. While a spin carrying atom in gas phase can not have any magnetic anisotropy due to its spherical symmetry, the situation changes when the spin is embedded into a crystal structure. The crystal field and the spin-orbit coupling lead to a lifting of the degeneracies. In general the crystal field spin Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{ani}}$ can be perturbatively constructed from an infinite series of quadratic, cubic, etc. spin operators, which are usually expressed in Stevens operators $\hat{O}_k^N$ to easily connect to point group symmetries [56]:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ani}} = \sum_{N=2,4,...,2S}^{N=2,4,...,2S} B_N^k \hat{O}_N^k,$$

Figure 2: Schematic view of the emergent physical phenomena upon absorption of a paramagnetic spin onto a surface. (a) The surface breaks the symmetry and leads to magnetic anisotropy in which the spin prefers distinct alignments. As an example the energy level diagram for a Mn adatom on Cu$_2$N with axial anisotropy of $D = -40$µeV is shown (left). Here, the projected magnetic moment $m_z$ along the hard axis is a good quantum number allowing us to distinguish the 6 eigenstates of the effective spin $S = 5/2$ system. The visualization of the magnetic anisotropy shows the magnetic easy axis ($z$-axis) and the $x$–$y$ hard plane (right, scale in meV) [39, 40]. (b) Degenerate ground states, as for example in spin $S = 1/2$ systems (illustrated as singly occupied level below $E_F$), can interact with the electrons of the sample leading to the formation of a new singlet state in which the localized magnetic moment is screened by the many-electrons of the bath. This Kondo state leaves a characteristic signature in the quasiparticle excitation spectrum close to the Fermi energy $E_F$. Note that the processes (a) and (b) can compete against each other. Figure adapted from references [37] and [40].
with $B_N^k$ as the parameters. As we will see, it is often a good approximation to assume the crystal field spin Hamiltonian to be only quadratic in the spin operators with a symmetric coupling matrix $D$:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ani}} = \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{S} \equiv D S_x^2 + E \left(S_y^2 - S_z^2\right),$$

where the scalar parameter $D$ determines the axial and $E$ the transverse anisotropy, and $\mathbf{S} = (S_x, S_y, S_z)^T$ is the total spin operator.

For adatoms on surfaces, a low coordination number and changes in hybridization can lead to a dramatic enhancement of magnetic anisotropy [57, 58, 59, 60] which have been shown to reach values of up to $\approx 60$ meV for Co adatoms on MgO [60]. Additionally, different surface adsorption sites or the bonding to hydrogen alter the magnetic anisotropy or even the total spin of the adatoms [61, 62, 63, 64, 65]. Furthermore, the exchange interaction with the substrate can affect the observed magnetic anisotropy as it has been found for 3d metal adatoms on Cu$_2$N islands on Cu(100) [43, 66, 67] or metal-hydrates on h-BN on Rh(111) [44]. For single molecule magnets containing 3d or 4f spin centers it is well known that chemical changes to the ligands surrounding the spin can affect the magnetic anisotropy [68, 69, 70]. However, the most important factor for creating and maintaining magnetic anisotropy in single molecule magnets remains a low coordination number and a high axial symmetry [60, 71, 72]. Under such conditions the symmetry-protected magnetic ground state of single Ho-atoms adsorbed on a double-layer of MgO on Ag(100) can lead to relaxation times of 1 h at a temperature of 2.5 K as recently observed in magnetic circular dichroism measurements [73].

In this section we will discuss the use of scanning tunneling spectroscopy to measure IETS on individual magnetic spin systems. Such measurements enable the determination of the total spin as well as the orientation and strength of the magnetic anisotropies. Additionally, we will show that a perturbative scattering model [40] can accurately reproduce the experimental observations enabling us to precisely measure the coupling to the underlying substrate. On hydrogenated metal complexes not only energetically low vibrational modes have been found [25, 74] but also a wide range of magnetic excitations have been detected [62, 63, 11, 64]. Therefore, we will focus on CoH$_x$ complexes coupled to the spatially varying template h-BN/Rh(111) moiré as an example of hydrogenated metal complexes.

### 2.1. Modifying the spin state and anisotropy in CoH$_x$ complexes

CoH$_x$ ($x = 0 - 3$) complexes on the h-BN/Rh(111) moiré form when Co atoms from a metallic rod are deposited by an e-beam evaporator onto the cold ($T \approx 30$ K) surface together with residual hydrogen from the background vacuum [14]. The h-BN monolayer, a two dimensional material with a wide band gap, decouples the CoH$_x$ from the underlying Rh(111) metal while the lattice mismatch leads to a spatial corrugation resulting in an enlarged supercell with 3.2 nm periodicity corresponding to 13 BN units on top of 12 Rh atoms [75, 76].

Figure 3a shows a typical STM constant-current topography of the h-BN/Rh(111) moiré with isolated CoH$_x$ ($x = 1, 2$) complexes imaged as protrusions. Lines profile indicate that CoH$_x$ can adsorb at multiple positions within the moiré (Figure 3b) [77, 14]. The differential conductance spectra, $dI/dV$, measured at low-temperature ($T = 1.4$ K) and zero magnetic field ($B = 0$ T) on these CoH$_x$ complexes can be divided into two broad classes: a sharp peak centered at zero bias or two symmetric steps of increasing conductance at well-defined threshold energies (Figure 3c). The peak at zero bias is consistent with a spin $S = 1/2$ Kondo resonance which will be discussed in detail in section 3 while the steps correspond to the onset of inelastic excitations from the magnetic ground state to excited states. The observation of two steps hints towards an effective $S = 1$ system with zero-field splitting. The two lower spectra (Figure 3c, red and blue curves) are measured on CoH at different parts of the moiré and share the same overall characteristics but the step positions vary.

Employing density functional theory (DFT) performed by Oleg Brovko and Valerie Stepanyuk from the MPI Halle enables us to correlate the magnetic properties of the CoH$_x$ with the local adsorption configuration [14]. The calculations show that adsorption in the BN hexagon, i.e. hollow site, is preferable for bare Co leading to a magnetic moment of 2.2 Bohr magnetons ($\mu_B$). The addition of a hydrogen atom shifts the preferred adsorption site to N and concomitantly lowers the magnetic moment to 2.0$\mu_B$, equivalent to a
Figure 3: Cobalt hydrates adsorbed on a h-BN/Rh(111) surface. (a) Constant current STM topography with three CoH$_x$ complexes (protrusions) adsorbed on different sites of the highly corrugated surface. (image size 15 × 4 nm$^2$, V = 100 mV, I = 20 pA, T = 1.4 K). High symmetry points of the moiré are marked by the white overlay. (b) Line profiles along the dashed lines indicated in (a) show two CoH$_x$ systems with adsorption sites r$_1$ and v (red line) and a h-BN reference cut (blue line), offset by 0.5 Å. (c) Differential conductance $dI/dV$ curves measured on top of three different CoH$_x$ systems (stabilization setpoint: $I = 500$ pA, $V = -15$ mV, $T = 1.4$ K, curves vertically offset for clarity). The upper curve (grey) shows a spin $1/2$ Kondo resonance (see section 3) centered at zero bias. The two lower curves (red and blue) show step-like conductance increases symmetric around zero bias indicating a $S = 1$ system. Solid black lines are least-square fits using the perturbative transport model. Figure adapted from reference [44].

3d$^8$ configuration. The second hydrogen changes the picture significantly, with the $sp$ – $d$ hybridization sufficient to bring the Co $d$-levels closer together, reducing the magnetic moment to 1.2$\mu_B$ resulting in a 3d$^9$ configuration [44].

An important consequence of the N adsorption site is the linear crystal field acting on the cobalt (i.e. N–Co–H) removing the 5-fold degeneracy of the $d$-levels. From these results and the spectroscopic observations we can identify CoH as an effective $S = 1$ and CoH$_2$ as an $S = 1/2$ Kondo system. The strong vertical bond between Co and N can be expected to provide the system with an out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy (Figure 3a). While the hydrogen is not rigidly pinned to the cobalt, tilting of the hydrogen combined with the underlying lattice mismatch reduces the $C_{3v}$ symmetry and introduces small shifts in the $d_{xz}$, $d_{yz}$ levels producing a non-negligible in-plane component of the anisotropy lifting all degeneracies of the spin system (Figure 3b).

To analyze the experimental data we use an impurity Hamiltonian which includes the Zeeman energy and the magnetic anisotropy (equation 2), and which is sufficient to fully explain the spectroscopic features observed in our scanning tunneling spectroscopy measurements [78, 50, 79, 43, 66, 40]:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{imp}} = g\mu_B \vec{B} \cdot \hat{S} + D\hat{S}_z^2 + E \left( \hat{S}_x^2 - \hat{S}_y^2 \right). \quad (3)$$

In this equation is $g$ the gyromagnetic factor, $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton, $\vec{B}$ is the external applied magnetic field and $D$, $E$, and $\hat{S}$ the axial and transverse anisotropy, and the total spin operator for the $S = 1$ spin with the components ($h = 1$):

$$\hat{S}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

In the absence of a magnetic field the three eigenvectors $|\Psi\rangle_i$ and eigenenergies $\varepsilon_i$ of equation 3 are...
**Figure 4:** The magnetic properties and the tunneling spectra of CoH.

(a) Ball and stick model of the adsorption of CoH on h-BN. The linear adsorption geometry of CoH on the N atom is emphasized and marks the main (axial) magnetic anisotropy ($D$) along the $z$-axis. (b) Additional transverse anisotropy ($E$) in the $x-y$ plane lifts all degeneracies at zero field. The plot shows the state energy over the $m_z$ expectation value $\langle m_z \rangle = \text{tr} (\hat{S}_z | \Psi_i \rangle \langle \Psi_i |)$ with $D = -5$ meV and $E = 1$ meV as an example. (c) The total spectrum with $J_{\rho 0} = 0$ (dashed red line) and $-0.1$ (full black line) calculated for $T = 1$ K, $B = 0$ T. (d) The significant higher order processes where the digits refer to the visited eigenstates during the scattering process. Figure adapted from reference [44].

Calculated in the $m_z$ basis to

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_1 &= 0, & |\Psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |+1\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |-1\rangle, \\
\varepsilon_2 &= 2E, & |\Psi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |+1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |-1\rangle, \\
\varepsilon_3 &= E - D, & |\Psi_3\rangle &= |0\rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

as shown in figure 4b, for hard axis anisotropy ($D < 0$) and non negligible transverse anisotropy ($E \neq 0$).

To calculate the tunneling spectrum we use a model based on the perturbative approach first established by Appelbaum, Anderson, and Kondo [46, 80, 81, 82] in which spin-flip scattering processes up to the 2nd order Born approximation are accounted for. While we will outline the main components of this model, a more detailed approach can be found in [40].

In this model the transition probability $W_{i \rightarrow f}$ for an electron to tunnel between tip and sample and
concomitantly change the spin state of the CoH complex from its initial \((i)\) to its final \((f)\) state is

\[
W_{i \rightarrow f} \propto (|M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 + \rho_0 J \sum_m \frac{(M_{i \rightarrow m}M_{m \rightarrow f}M_{f \rightarrow i})}{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_m + c. c.}) \delta(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_f),
\]

with \(M_{i \rightarrow j}\) as the matrix elements given by the Kondo-like interaction of the scattering electron with the wavevector \(|\varphi\rangle\) with the localized spin of the CoH complex

\[
M_{i \rightarrow f} = \sum_{\varphi', \Psi} \langle \varphi' \rangle \Psi_f |\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{S} |\varphi', \Psi_i\rangle.
\]

In this equation \(|\varphi_i, \Psi_i\rangle\) is the combined state vector of the localized \(S = 1\) spin and the interaction electron. \(\hat{\sigma} = (\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_z)^T\) is the total spin operator for the spin-1/2 electrons, with \(\hat{\sigma}_{x,y,z}\) as the standard Pauli matrices.

The first term in equation 5 is responsible for the conductance steps observed in our spectra. When we assume zero magnetic field and no spin-polarization in the two electron reservoirs of tip and sample, the matrix elements are easily calculated to \(|M_{i \rightarrow j}|^2 = 0.5\) for \(i \neq j\) and \(|M_{i \rightarrow i}|^2 = 0\) otherwise. This leads, at low temperature, i.e. \(k_B T \ll \varepsilon_2\), when only the ground state \(|\Psi_1\rangle\) is significantly occupied, to two, increasing steps in the differential conductance \(dI/dV\) with identical amplitude at the energies \(\pm \varepsilon_2\) and \(\pm \varepsilon_3\) (red dashed line in Figure 4).

The second term of equation 5 is due to the 2nd order Born approximation and accounts for scattering processes involving an intermediate state \(|\Psi_m\rangle\). At the bias voltage where this process changes from being virtual to real, the denominator approaches zero which leads to a temperature broadened logarithmic divergence in the spectrum:

\[
g(\varepsilon) = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varepsilon' \int_{-\omega_0}^{+\omega_0} d\varepsilon 1 - f(\varepsilon', T) f'(\varepsilon' - \varepsilon, T),
\]

with \(f(\varepsilon, T) = [1 + \exp(-\varepsilon/(k_B T))]^{-1}\) as the Fermi-Dirac distribution and \(f'(\varepsilon, T) = \partial f(\varepsilon, T) / \partial \varepsilon = (k_B T)^{-1} \times \text{sech}^2\varepsilon/(2 k_B T)\) as its derivation. For the tunneling spectra the correct value of the cut-off energy \(\omega_0\) is uncritical, but is of crucial importance for the energy renormalization, as we will see in the section 2.2.

The dimensionless scaling factor \(-J\rho_0\) accounts for the fact that either the scattering into the intermediate or the final state is performed with electrons originating and ending in the substrate. Here, \(J\) is the coupling strength between substrate electrons and the localized spin and \(\rho_0\) the substrate electron density at \(E_F\).

In the case discussed here, with an effective spin \(S = 1\) and all state degeneracies broken, the real parts of the matrix elements at zero field are calculated to \(M_{i \rightarrow m}M_{m \rightarrow f}M_{f \rightarrow i} = -1/4\) for the processes which go over all states and otherwise zero. Assuming again that solely the ground state is thermally populated, only the processes \(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3\) and \(1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2\) can account to the tunneling transport leading to an additional conductance of:

\[
\sigma_2(eV) = -\frac{1}{4} \sigma_0 J \rho_0 \left\{ [g(\varepsilon_2 + eV) + g(\varepsilon_2 - eV)] \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2 + eV}{k_B T} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2 - eV}{k_B T} \right) \right] \\
+ [g(\varepsilon_3 + eV) + g(\varepsilon_3 - eV)] \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\varepsilon_3 + eV}{k_B T} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{\varepsilon_3 - eV}{k_B T} \right) \right] \right\}.
\]

Interestingly, the conductance \(\sigma_2\) changes in a very particular fashion the observed spectra which is the sum of \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\): Additional peak-like structures arise at the energy \(\varepsilon_3\) due to the scattering processes via the states \(1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2\) which allow us to determine \(J\rho_0\) very precisely from fits of equations 5 and 6 to the spectra measured at zero field. However, the scattering processes \(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3\), which has at \(B = 0\) the same weight to equation 6, does not lead to significant peaks at \(\varepsilon_2\) due to the cut-off for electrons with a kinetic energy \(|eV| < \varepsilon_2\) (Figure 4).
2.2. Renormalization of the eigenstate energies

As shown in figure 3c different CoH complexes on h-BN have different step energy positions which correspond to different anisotropy parameters $D$ and $E$. Statistical analysis neither lead to a sharp distribution nor to a correlation with the adsorption site of the CoH on the corrugated h-BN substrate. However, by considering the values of $-J\rho_0$ from the fits to the IETS spectra, we observe a correlation between the magnetic anisotropy and the coupling with the substrate, $-J\rho_0$ [44]. The red branch in figure 5a shows that as the substrate coupling increases, the axial magnetic anisotropy decreases. For this analysis we restricted the evaluation to complexes with a clear out-of-plane anisotropy [42] determined by the criterion $|D| > 1$.5.

To rationalize this finding, we treat the quantum mechanical system of the impurity $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}}$ (equation 3) not as a separated system but as coupled to the dissipative bath of the substrate electrons. We then employ a Bloch-Redfield approach to account for the decay of excited states and coherences in the density matrix [84]. Interestingly, this approach leads for the off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix $\chi = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ of $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}}$ not only to a fast decoherence but additionally to an energy shift of the eigenstates due to the interaction between $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}}$ and the reservoir. We will restrict ourselves to the Kondo-like scattering between the substrate electrons and the localized spin, as described by equation 6, up to second order leading to a correction term of the form [84, 43]:

$$\Delta \varepsilon_\alpha = (J\rho_0)^2 \sum_n \sum_{n',\alpha'} \left| \langle \varphi_{n'}, \Psi; \alpha' \rangle \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{S} \langle \varphi_{n'}, \Psi; \alpha' \rangle \right|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Knowing the scattering matrix elements and making use of equation 8 we can rewrite the energy shift as:

$$\Delta \varepsilon_\alpha = \frac{(J\rho_0)^2}{2} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d} \varepsilon \, g(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon) f(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon) [1 - f(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Figure 5b illustrates the effect of the energy renormalization. The energetically higher excited state at $\varepsilon_3$ is stronger affected than the low lying state at $\varepsilon_2$. For the magnetic anisotropy parameters $D$ and $E$ of the

---

Figure 5: Magnetic anisotropy renormalization due to the coupling to the substrate. (a) Experimentally determined $D$ and $E$ (red and blue dots) parameters plotted versus the coupling strengths $-J\rho_0$. Full lines show the expected renormalization of $D$ and $E$ due to virtual coherences calculated with a Bloch-Redfield approach taking exchange scattering with the dissipative substrate electron bath into account. Shaded region shows the experimental uncertainty. (b) Principle scheme of the shift of the state energies. The integral contributions $I_{i,j}$ to the energy shift $\Delta \varepsilon_i$ are displayed in the right hand side graphs revealing that for the low lying state at the energy $\varepsilon_2$ only $I_{2,1}$ has weight in the equation 11, while for $\varepsilon_3$ the weights $I_{3,2}$ and $I_{3,1}$ have to be accounted for. Figure adapted from reference [44].
CoH system the shift can be approximated as:

\[ D(J_{\rho s}) \approx D_0 \left( 1 - \alpha(J_{\rho 0})^2 \right), \quad \text{and} \quad E(J_{\rho s}) \approx E_0 \left( 1 - \beta(J_{\rho 0})^2 \right), \quad (12) \]

with the coefficients \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) given by the integrals of equation \[11\].

The solid red line in figure 5 shows the best fit when employing this model onto our data and follows the trend of equation \[12\]. The shaded regions accounts for the possible range of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) by considering an effective bandwidth of \( \omega_0 = 0.4 - 1.2 \, \text{eV} \) \[14\].

2.3. The anisotropies of Fe and Mn embedded in CuN

After the \( S = 1 \) CoH on \( h\)-BN system, we will now focus on the experimentally and theoretically intensively studied single 3d transition metal atoms Fe and Mn adsorbed on a monolayer of Cu2N on Cu(100). It was on these two systems that the magnetic anisotropy of individual, single atoms was measured by IETS for the first time \[78\].

When Fe or Mn atoms are placed on top of a Cu site they form strong covalent bonds with the neighboring N atoms, as revealed by DFT calculations performed by Chiung-Yuan Lin and Barbara Jones and shown in figure 6b, d. This highly anisotropic adsorption geometry leads to three distinct symmetry axes that are perpendicular to each other: The direction out-of-plane and two in-plane directions along the Cu-N bonds and perpendicular to it, along the so called vacancy rows (Figure 7 inset).

Single Fe atoms adsorbed on this surface have been found to be in the effective \( S = 2 \) state with a magnetic easy-axis along the \( N \) rows (\( z \)-direction) and a magnetically hard-axis along the vacancy row (\( x \)-direction). These main anisotropy axes are directly visible in spin-resolved DFT calculations as shown in figure 6 and c. Using anisotropy values of \( D = -1.55 \, \text{mV} \) and \( E = 0.31 \, \text{mV} \), and a gyromagnetic factor of \( g = 2.11 \) described the experimental data well using the spin Hamiltonian of equation 5 and a second order tunneling model \[78\] \[79\] \[86\]. Note that possible forth order anisotropy parameters as \( B_4^{\alpha} \), \( B_4^{\beta} \) have been found to be \( < 10 \, \mu \text{eV} \) \[87\]. The Hamiltonian has as solution five non-degenerate eigenstates and, due to \( D < 0 \), favors, at zero field, ground states with weights at high \( m_z \) values. Similarly to the \( S = 1 \) system the transverse anisotropy breaks the degeneracies leading to a symmetric and antisymmetric solution with the main weights at \( \pm 2 \) as ground and first excited state and weights in \( \pm 1 \) for the second and third excited state (Figure 7).

In second order, spin-flip scattering is allowed between the groundstate and the three lowest excited states but a transition to the highest state is forbidden because this would require an exchange of \( \Delta m = \pm 2 \). Experimental \( dI/dV \) measurements on this system show, in addition to the conductance steps, peak-like structures at the second and third step but not at the lowest one (Figure 7h). Additionally, they show an asymmetry between positive and negative bias. To rationalize these observations we can follow a similar argument as in the \( S = 1 \) case (see section 2.1). In third order, transitions like \( (121) \) are not possible and processes like \( (123) \) or \( (124) \) scale with \( J_{\rho s} \) leading to the peak features in the differential conductance. The additional asymmetry hints at a non-negligible potential scattering with matrix elements of the form

\[ M_{i \rightarrow f} = \sum_{i', f'} \langle \varphi_{f'}, \Psi_f | U| \varphi_{i'}, \Psi_i \rangle = U \delta_{i f}. \quad (13) \]

This elastic scattering term can interfere with the exchange scattering matrix elements (equation 6), leading to bias asymmetries in the spectrum (see also section \[3.3\] \[40\]. As the computed curves in figure 7a reveal, this model almost perfectly fits the magnetic field data without any adaption of the parameters. The coupling strength in these simulations is \( J_{\rho s} = -0.087 \), close to the \( -0.1 \) found in a similar perturbative approach \[89\]. A potential scattering term of \( U = 0.35 \) is necessary to reproduce the asymmetry. This value is significantly smaller than the \( U \approx 0.75 \) found in experiments where the magneto-resistive elastic tunneling was probed \[88\]. Part of this discrepancy can be understood by an additional conductance term that does not coherently interact with the spin-system and which would lead to an overestimation of \( U \) in magneto-resistive measurements. Indeed we need a constant conductance offset of about 20\%, which is added to the calculated conductance to reproduce the spectra.
Figure 6: Adsorption of Fe and Mn on Cu$_2$N. (a) The charge density for a Cu$_2$N surface on Cu(100) with an Fe atom (blue) adsorbed on top of a surface Cu site along the N direction. Solid yellow and green circles label the centers of the Cu and N atoms, respectively. The numbers inside the circles indicate the net charge on selected atoms in units of $e$. (b) Same as (a) along the vacancy direction. (c–f) Calculated spin-density distribution for Fe (c, d) and Mn (e, f) on CuN. Contours in blue and red show the majority and minority constant spin density (0.01$e$/a$_0^3$) as calculated by DFT. Abscissa is along the N direction. Ordinate in panel (c, e) [(d, f)] is the out of plane [vacancy] direction. a$_0$ = 52.9 pm is the Bohr radius. Figure adapted from reference [78].
Figure 7: Comparison of experimental and calculated spectra on Fe and Mn atoms adsorbed on Cu$_2$N. (a) Experimental data measured on a single Fe atom at increasing field $B_z$ along the easy axis (N-direction) and at a temperature of $T = 550$ mK (red circles). The simulations (black lines) for all plots are obtained with one set of parameters: $g = 2.11$, $D = -1.57$ meV, $E = 0.31$ meV, $J_{ρ0} = -0.087$, $U = 0.35$, and $T_{\text{eff}} = 740$ mK. Additionally, a constant offset of $\approx 20\%$ of the total conductance has been added (black shaded area). For $B_z = 0$ the second (green shaded area) and third order (orange hatched area) contributions to the conductance are indicated. The spectra at field are vertically shifted for better visibility. The inset shows the adsorption site of the 3$d$ atoms (black circle) on the Cu$_2$N (Cu yellow, N blue circle) and the two distinct surface directions.

(b) Schematic state diagram for Fe. (c) Experimental data of two different Mn atoms at $B_z = 0$ and $B_z = 7$ T (colored circles). The fits (full lines) for the $B_z = 0(7)$T data results in $J_{ρ0} = -0.029(-0.0091)$, $U = 1.35(1.28)$, $D = -51(-39)$ µeV, $g = 1.9$, and $T_{\text{eff}} = 790(930)$ mK. The dashed line shows simulated data for zero field and the absence of any anisotropy. The dotted line shows simulated data with the 7 T parameters in the absence of a magnetic field. (d) Schematic state diagram for Mn. (e) The 7 T atom as in (c) probed with tips of different spin polarizations $\eta^t$ (Data from ref. [88]). Figure adapted from reference [40].
Switching from an integer to a half-integer spin system we now discuss individual Mn atoms on Cu$_2$N, which have a spin of $S = 5/2$ and only a small easy-axis anisotropy of $D \approx -40 \mu$eV along the out-of-plane direction and a negligible transverse anisotropy $^{50, 78}$. Also here spin-resolved DFT can visualize the main anisotropy axis (Figure 6, f). The easy-axis anisotropy prohibits the immediate formation of a Kondo state due to a Kramer’s degenerate ground state doublet with $m_\pm = \pm 5/2$, which would require a $\Delta m = 5$ to flip (Figure 7). At zero field a typical spectrum shows only one step, which belongs to the transition between the $\pm 5/2$ and the $\pm 3/2$ states that have superimposed asymmetric peak structures (Figure 5). The fit to the model yields $J_{\rho s} = -0.029$ and resembles a $S = 1/2$ split-Kondo peak at small magnetic fields (see next section, figure 5). A different Mn atom investigated at $B_z = 7$ T shows a significantly reduced $J_{\rho s} = -0.0091$. Interestingly, we find for both atoms a potential scattering value of $U \approx 1 S$, which allows one to describe the spectra without the need of any additional conductance offset. This high $U$ value that is the origin of the bias asymmetry has been independently found in spin-pumping experiments $^{91}$ and by measuring the magneto-resistive elastic tunneling contribution $^{83}$. The extraordinary agreement between model and experiment can be seen in measurements using different spin-polarized tips on the same atom (Figure 7f). Here, the strong influence of the tip-polarization on the inelastic conductance at bias voltages $|V| < 1$ mV is evident while the differential conductance at $V > 1.5$ mV stays constant for all tips.

3. The Kondo effect

The electrical resistance of pure metals usually deceases when they are cooled down because one of the main origin of the resistivity, the scattering of electrons on lattice vibrations, is frozen out at reduced temperature. However, already in the 1930s it was discovered that in some metals containing diluted magnetic impurities the electrical resistance increases again below a certain temperature $^{92, 103}$. The origin of this effect remained obscure for a long time but was explained in 1964 by Jun Kondo $^{46, 94}$. He showed that these experimental observations can be understood within a scattering model, which explicitly takes into account the interaction of the spins of the conduction electrons of the host metal with the localized spin of the magnetic impurities. This interaction is usually considered to be antiferromagnetic (AFM), i.e. the spin-spin exchange coupling $J$ is negative, and creates correlations between the localized magnetic moment and the surrounding host electrons. This leads to a screening of the impurity magnetic moment and to the formation of a new, non-magnetic, many-electron singlet ground-state below a critical temperature $T_K$ $^{17}$ (Figure 5).

Interestingly, this so-called ‘Kondo effect’ emerges in quite a broad range of different physical contexts, such as the zero-bias anomalies observed in quantum dots and nanowires $^{97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 103}$, or the dynamical behavior close to a Mott transition $^{104, 105}$. The simplicity of the underlying model Hamiltonian (equation 6) contrasts the complex physics and the non-trivial solutions that occurs in the strong coupling regime. The origin of this Kondo problem lies in the appearance of logarithmic divergences which make perturbative models fail for $T \to 0$. Only the development of a completely new theoretical understanding clarified the nature of the many-electron ground state which manifest itself in a strong resonance close to the Fermi-energy $^{95, 106, 107}$.

The existence of the Kondo resonance in dense Kondo systems like solid surfaces or thin films of $\gamma$- and $\alpha$-cerium as well as in Co-heavy-fermion compounds has been experimentally confirmed by high-resolution photoemission electron spectroscopy (PES) $^{108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113}$ and by inverse photoemission $^{114, 115}$. While these measurements always probe an ensemble of impurities due to the limited spatial resolution in PES, STM opened the unique opportunity to detect the Kondo effect in the smallest conceivable Kondo system: a single magnetic impurity supported on top of a nonmagnetic metal $^{32, 58, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122}$. While most of these experiments where performed at $T \ll T_K$ much less attention has been paid to the weak coupling regime, which is relevant either at elevated temperatures ($T \gg T_K$) or for ferromagnetic (FM) interactions ($J > 0$). In the case of FM interaction, the impurity spin is always weakly coupled and becomes asymptotically free in the limit of low temperature $^{95, 123}$. A possible path for creation of such a state will be discussed in section 4. For AFM interactions at high temperatures, thermal fluctuations destroy the singlet state enabling the physics to be described by perturbation theory $^{95}$.
Figure 8: The different regimes of the Kondo effect. The Kondo interaction $J \hat{S} \cdot \hat{\sigma}$ couples itinerant electrons of the host to a magnetic impurity. For exchange interaction $J < 0$, the antiferromagnetic (AFM) coupling leads to an entangled many-body state, where the antiparallel alignment of the spins of the conduction electrons effectively screens the impurity spin. The ground state at temperatures $T$ below the characteristic Kondo temperature $T_K$ is a singlet with total spin $S = 0$ (red area), well protected from higher energy states. In contrast, for $J > 0$, the ferromagnetic (FM) coupling tends to create a screening cloud of spins aligned parallel to the impurity spin, which becomes asymptotically free at low temperatures [95, 96]. For FM coupling or at temperatures $T \gg T_K$, the system is in the weak coupling regime, which can be treated perturbatively. Figure adapted from reference [48].

In this section we will start our discussion with the results obtained on a fully organic radical molecule which was the first detailed study of the Kondo effect in the weak coupling limit [48]. From thereon, we will briefly summarize the externally controllable conditions, like temperature and magnetic field, that causes the perturbative approach to breakdown [40]. Therefore, individual Co atoms on Cu$_2$N, with their high effective spin $S = 3/2$ will link the Kondo physics with the magnetic anisotropy discussed in section 2 [50, 43]. Additionally, this system is particularly interesting because it was the one used to determine the spin polarization of the field split Kondo state [51].

3.1. The weak coupling limit in an organic radical

In this study we used a purely organic molecule, which has a radical nitronyl-nitroxide side group [124], adsorbed on a clean Au(111) surface as sketched in figure 9a. Molecular crystals containing the same radical side group showed ferromagnetic coupling below a Curie temperature of 0.6 K [125]. In this molecule the unpaired electron is spatially delocalized over the O–N–C–N–O part of the side group instead of being localized on a specific atom (Figure 9b). This delocalization stabilizes the unpaired electron against chemical reaction and charge transfer, which would lead to a spin zero system. Constant-current STM images show the elongated molecular backbone and the radical side group, which is imaged as a $\approx 0.3$ nm high and 1.0 nm wide protrusion (Figure 9c).

Figure 9d shows the differential conductance measured at zero-field on the side-group of the molecule revealing a strongly temperature dependent peak a zero bias. Field dependent measurements at low temperature ($T = 1.8$ K) show that this peak is split into two peaks as soon as the Zeeman-energy $g\mu_B B$, with $g \approx 2$ for a free $S = 1/2$ spin, is comparable to $k_B T$ (see figure 9d). At higher fields ($B \gtrsim 10$ T) the spectra show a clear steplike structure symmetrically around zero bias and additional peak-like conductance increases at the step-positions.

To describe the excitation processes during tunneling we use a model based on the perturbative approach established by Appelbaum, Anderson, and Kondo [46, 80, 81, 82] in which spin-flip scattering processes up to 3rd order in the spin-spin exchange coupling $J$ are accounted for (Figure 9b). This model is equivalent to the one discussed in section 2.1 and leads to a tunneling conductance $\sigma(eV) = dI/dV(V)$ due to the
Figure 9: The weak coupling Kondo effect in an organic radical molecule. (a) Principle scheme of the experiment. (b) Chemical structure of the studied organic radical molecule (C_{28}H_{25}O_{2}N_{4}) with a nitronyl-nitroxide side group that contains a delocalized singly occupied molecular orbital. The molecule is drawn with a similar orientation as in the topography in (c). (c) Topography of one organic radical molecule (V = 100 mV, I = 33 pA). Contour lines are at height intervals of 50 pm. (d) Typical dI/dV spectra taken on the radical side group of the molecule (black) and simulated spectra using the perturbation model (red). (e) Spectra taken at successively increased magnetic fields at T = 1.8 K. Blue line at 14 T shows simulation in 2nd order only. All spectra in (d) and (e) are normalized and offset for visual clarity. Figure adapted from reference [48].
electron-spin interaction with the following contributions:

\[
\sigma_1(eV) = \frac{e^2}{h} T_J^2 \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{i,f \neq f} g_i(T) \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\Delta_{if} + eV}{k_B T} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{\Delta_{if} - eV}{k_B T} \right) \right] \right)
\]

\[
\sigma_2(eV) = \frac{e^2}{h} T_J^2 J\rho_0 \sum_{i, f} g_i(T) \left\{ \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\Delta_{if} + eV}{k_B T} \right) + \Theta \left( \frac{\Delta_{if} - eV}{k_B T} \right) \right] \times \right.
\]

\[
\left[ 2 \times g(eV) + g(\Delta_{if} + eV) + g(\Delta_{if} - eV) \right]_{112 \text{ and } 112R}
\]

\[
\left[ + g(\Delta_{if} + eV) + g(\Delta_{if} - eV) \right]_{121 \text{ and } 121R}
\]

(14)

Here, \( T_J \) is the coupling constant determining the overall conductance of the tunnel junction, \( g_i(T) = Z^{-1} \times \exp[-\varepsilon_i/(k_B T)], \) where \( Z = \sum_i \exp[-\varepsilon_i/(k_B T)] \), is the thermal occupation of the localized spin in the state \( |\Psi_i\rangle \), \( \Theta(\varepsilon) \) is the thermally broadened step function (see page 5) [8], \( \Delta_{if} = \varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i = \pm g\mu_B B \) is the energy difference (Zeeman energy) between the two spin states of the molecule, and \( g(\varepsilon) \) is the function originating from the divergence of the second term in equation 5 as defined in equation 8.

At zero field the conductance simplifies to \( \sigma(eV) = \sigma_0 - \alpha \times g(eV/(k_B T)) \), a temperature broadened logarithmic function, with the temperature \( T \) as the only relevant fit parameter. At \( B \neq 0 \) the step-like structure is governed by ordinary inelastic spin-flip scattering of \( \sigma_1 \) in equation 14 as illustrated in figure 10a [50, 58, 10]. The additional logarithmic peaks in the conductance result from the different possible higher order scattering paths described by \( \sigma_2 \) and labeled as Feynman diagrams illustrated in figure 10b. We see that there are in total 6 processes of order three per initial state which have to be accounted for and which are reflected in the terms of \( \sigma_2 \) in equation 15.

The model fits exceptionally well with our data (see red lines in figure 6a, c) using a coupling to the substrate electrons of \( J\rho_0 = -0.04 \pm 0.01 \). Different as for the case of the spin \( S = 1 \) system discussed in section 2.2 in this half-integer Kondo system energy renormalization occurs already in first order of the coupling strength \( J\rho_0 \) leading to an effective gyromagnetic factor of \( g_{\text{eff}} = g_0(1 + J\rho_0) \) [122]. For the highest fields \( B \geq 10 \text{ T} \) this is in good agreement with an experimentally observed \( g_{\text{eff}} = 1.93 \pm 0.02 \) [10]. Note, however, that this perturbative approach only holds as long as higher order contributions can be neglected, that is, as outlined in the next section, as long as the temperature is high compared to the Kondo temperature \( T_K \) of the system.

### 3.2. The limit of the perturbative approach

When a half-integer spin with \( S > 1/2 \) has easy-plane anisotropy \( D > 0 \), its ground state at zero field is a doublet with its main weights in \( m_z = \pm 1/2 \). This enables an effective scattering with the substrate electrons and leads, at low enough temperatures, to the formation of a Kondo state. Experimentally, this has been observed for bare Co on h-BN/Rh(111) [11] and for Co atoms on Cu$_2$N [51, 50, 127, 133, 128, 129, 130]. Both systems can be described as effective \( S = 3/2 \) whereby the latter enters the correlated Kondo state with a characteristic Kondo temperature of \( T_K = 2.6 \text{ K} \) in experiments performed on small patches of Cu$_2$N at temperatures down to \( T = 550 \text{ mK} \) [50]. Apart from \( D > 0 \), Co on Cu$_2$N also has a small in-plane anisotropy \( (E \neq 0) \) which creates an easy axis \( (x) \) along the nitrogen row and a hard axis \( (z) \) along the vacancy rows (Figure 11a).

Interestingly, in this system the coupling to the substrate \( J\rho_0 \) changes with the position of the Co atom on larger Cu$_2$N patches, concomitant with a change in the anisotropy energies which separates the \(|\pm 1/2| \)
Figure 10: Interaction diagrams of order two (a) and three (b) for an electron tunneling from tip to sample into a two level $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin system. The large spheres depict the state of the localized spin and the small spheres the state of the interaction electron. Schematic spectra show their contributions to the conductance at positive bias. The numbers label the processes with the state order of the localized spin-system. An appended ‘R’ label processes in which the scattering into the intermediate state is performed before the tunneling electron interacts (exchange diagrams). Note that the time order of the processes strongly influences the conductance spectra as schematically displayed in the small graphs (vertical line is $E_F$; the * means multiplication). Figure adapted from reference [40].

Figure 11: The tunneling spectra of Co atoms ($S = \frac{3}{2}$) on Cu$_2$N. (a) Schematic state diagram and visualizations of the magnetic anisotropy (in meV). At $B = 0$ the states $|\psi\rangle_1$ and $|\psi\rangle_2$ are degenerated and differ by $\Delta m_z = \pm 1$. (b) and (c) Experimental data from reference [43] of two different Co atoms at $B_x = 0, 4, 6$ T (colored circles, top to bottom, shifted for clarity). The best fits (full lines) results in $T_{\text{eff}} = 4$ K, $D = 3.3$ meV, $E = 0.7$ meV, $g = 2$, $J_{\rho 0} = -0.11$ for (b) and $T_{\text{eff}} = 3.8$ K, $D = 2.7$ meV, $E = 0.5$ meV, $g = 2$, $J_{\rho 0} = -0.25$ for (c). Figure adapted from reference [40].
states from the \(|\pm 3/2\rangle\) states \([43, 67]\). This effect can be rationalized by virtual coherences as discussed in section 2.2. For us this allows the study of the transition from the weak coupling to the strong coupling regime. In the case where the Co atom is relatively weakly coupled to the substrate \((J\rho_0 \approx -0.1)\) the experimental data can be consistently fitted to the 3rd order perturbation model even at different field strengths along \(B_z\) (Figure 11a) \([40]\). We observe a zero-energy peak that splits at applied fields similar to the \(S = 1/2\) radical molecule shown in figure 9. But while for \(S = 1/2\) the field direction does not play a role, here the peak splitting depends strongly on the direction due to the magnetic anisotropy \([50]\). For this high-spin system we furthermore observe inelastic steps due to the transition to energetically higher excited states which are located at \(|\epsilon V| = 2|D|\) for \(B = 0\) and whose additional peak structure is well described within the scattering model \([40]\).

For Co atoms adsorbed closer to the edges of the Cu2N patches, the coupling to the substrate increases and the fit to the model worsens significantly (Figure 11). While the experimental data measured for non-zero fields are reasonably well described with \(J\rho_0 \approx -0.25\), at \(B = 0\) the experimentally detected peak at \(E_F\) is stronger than the peak created by the model. Additionally, the experimental peak-width appears to be smaller than the temperature broadened logarithmic function. Furthermore, we observe that the calculated spectrum no longer accurately describes the steps at \(2|D|\). At this energy the third order contributions are less pronounced in the experimental data, indicating that we reach the limit of the perturbative approach.

The full description of a spin system in the strong coupling regime requires complex theoretical methods like numerical renormalization group theory \([109, 47, 131]\) which are beyond the scope addressed here. Nevertheless, we can discuss some of the physical consequences within the perturbative model. In contrast to the examples discussed in section 2, the two lowest degenerate ground states of the \(\text{Co/Cu}\) system, as well as any \(S = 1/2\) system, have weights in states that are separated by \(\Delta m = \pm 1\). Thus, at zero field, electrons from the substrate can efficiently flip between these two states. The computation of the transition rate between the two degenerate states \(|\Psi_i\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_f\rangle\) of the spin system up to second order only is directly proportional to the temperature (Figure 12a) \([40]\):

\[
\Gamma^{(2)s\rightarrow s}_{12} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} (J\rho_s)^2 |M_{12}|^2 k_B T,
\]

with the scattering matrix \(M_{12}\) as defined in equation 3.

These scattering processes tend to change the spin polarization of the electronic states in the sample near the adsorbate to be correlated with the localized spin. Nevertheless, this local correlation will be quickly destroyed by decoherent scattering processes with the remaining electron bath, which we can assume to be large and dissipative. This decoherence rate is also proportional to the temperature, \(\Gamma_{\text{decoh}} \propto k_B T\) \([132]\), but usually stronger so that no highly correlated state can form. This picture changes when we additionally consider third order scattering processes which yield the probability \([40]\):

\[
\Gamma^{(3)s\rightarrow s}_{ij} = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} (J\rho_s)^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \sum_m R(M_{fi} M_{im} M_{jm})
\times [g(\epsilon_m - \epsilon, T) + g(\epsilon_m - \epsilon, T)] f(\epsilon, T) [1 - f(\epsilon - \epsilon_i f, T)],
\]

Due to the growing intensity of \(g(\epsilon = 0)\) at reduced temperatures, for temperatures \(T \to 0\), the scattering \(\Gamma^{(3)}\) decreases significantly more slowly than \(\Gamma^{(2)}\) (see figure 12) so that their ratio steadily increases (see figure 12):

\[
\frac{\Gamma^{(3)}}{\Gamma^{(2)}} \approx J\rho_s \ln \left( \frac{k_B T}{\omega_0} \right).
\]

In contrast, the decoherent scattering rate with the bath \(\Gamma_{\text{decoh}}\) lacks localized scattering centers and therefore has no significant third order contributions. Equation 18 leads to a characteristic temperature, the Kondo temperature \((T_K)\), where \(\Gamma^{(3)}\) and higher order scattering terms become the dominant processes and perturbation theory breaks down \([46, 133, 134, 67]\):

\[
T_K \approx \frac{\omega_0}{k_B} \exp \left( \frac{1}{J\rho_s} \right).
\]
Figure 12: Correlations induced by substrate electrons. (a) In second order the scattering probability of a substrate electron with energy $\varepsilon$ is given by the overlap of the electron and hole-like Fermi-Dirac distributions (area underneath the curve) and is for degenerate ground states directly proportional to the temperature. Third order scattering (b) gets logarithmically stronger than the second order processes with decreasing temperature. The graphical inset illustrate the entangled state at temperatures below the characteristic Kondo temperature. (c) Ratio of the 2nd and 3rd order contributions when an additional external field is applied ($S = 1/2$, $g = 2$, $J_{\rho 0} = -0.1$, $\omega_0 = 100$ meV). The inset show $T_K$ as defined by $\Gamma^{(2)}/\Gamma^{(3)} = 1$ for different fields $B$. (d) Same as (c) but for a $S = 1$ system with varying magnetic anisotropies $D$ and $E = 0.2D$. At $D \approx -1.5$ meV, the strong correlation regime cannot be reached even at $T \to 0$. Figure adapted from reference [40].
Below this temperature the assumption used up to now, i.e. that the electronic states in the sample are not influenced by the presence of the spin system, no longer applies. Using exact methods like the modified Bethe ansatz \[107, 135\] or numerical renormalization group theory \[131\] reveals that the sample electrons in the vicinity rather form an entangled state with the impurity, i.e.

\[\Psi_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\downarrow_s\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |\uparrow_s\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\downarrow_s\rangle - |\uparrow_s\rangle \right), \tag{20}\]

as illustrated in the inset of figure 12b. This combined state is quite complicated because the electronic states in the sample are continuous in energy and extend spatially, and therefore strongly alter the excitation spectrum of the adsorbate \[136, 137\].

An externally applied magnetic field will counteract the formation of the singlet Kondo state. However, while the precise calculation at finite temperature and field is rather difficult, we can use our definition of \(T_K\), which is the break-down of the perturbative approach, to estimate the behavior at applied field. Figure 12c shows the result for a prototypical \(S = 1/2\) system. We see that the increasing field reduces the Kondo temperature \(T_K\) and that at \(B \geq B_C\) the 3rd order scattering rate \(\Gamma^{(3)}\) will not exceed the 2nd order scattering rate \(\Gamma^{(2)}\) even at \(T \to 0\). In this example the ratio \(g\mu_B B_C/k_B T_K \approx 3\) differs from the usually cited ratio of \(\approx 0.5\) at which the splitting of the Kondo state can be observed \[136\]. Note also, that this approach has neglected the renormalization of the gyromagnetic factor due to the coupling with the substrate (see page \[16\] \[126\]. The relationship between the Kondo temperature and the critical field can be described with a simple equation,

\[\frac{B_C(T)}{B_C(T = 0)} = 1 - \left( \frac{T}{T_K(B = 0)} \right)^\alpha, \tag{21}\]

with the exponent \(\alpha \approx 3\) (see inset figure 12c). Note, that equation 21 is formally identical to the relation between the critical magnetic field and the transition temperature in classical, BCS-like, superconductors \[138\].

We can use the same approach to determine under which conditions a non-degenerated high-spin system might enter the strongly correlated Kondo state. As an example we will use a spin \(S = 1\) system, similar to the one discussed in section 2.1. While we have already seen that \textit{virtual} coherences lead to an energy renormalization (see section 2.2), we now ask about the interplay between magnetic anisotropy and the high-spin Kondo screening phase. Figure 12d shows the result, which behaves similarly to the \(S = 1/2\) system in an external magnetic field. The introduction of an easy axis anisotropy reduces \(T_K\), eventually prohibiting the formation of the highly correlated state Kondo state even at zero temperature. In the example here, that uses parameters close to the ones discussed for CoH on \(h\)-BN, the critical anisotropy is \(D \approx -1.5\) meV. Thus, the evaluation of the \(S = 1\) system with \(D \leq -3\) meV (see figure 5a) in terms of a purely perturbative model is appropriate.

### 3.3. The strong coupling regime

At temperatures \(T \ll T_K\) the zero bias anomaly peak at the Fermi energy can no longer be well reproduced by a temperature broadened logarithmic function which in any case must diverge for \(T \to 0\). While the quantum system enters the Fermi liquid regime \[139\], the zero-bias peak remains at finite width and amplitude. Such a bias dependent peak is much better described by either a Lorentzian function or the so-called Frota function \[140, 141, 142\] which has additional weight at elevated biases to account for the logarithmic tails:

\[g_{\text{Frota}}(\varepsilon) = \Re \left( \frac{\Gamma_F}{i\Gamma_F + \varepsilon} \right). \tag{22}\]

This peak reveals itself as an apparent increase of the local density of states or, more precisely, as a weight increase of the spectral function of the many particle system in tunneling experiments. If an additional coherent tunneling channel exists, then interferences can change the differential conductance significantly, leading to strongly asymmetric peaks or even dips in the spectrum \[37\]. This behavior is quite
Figure 13: (a) Spectra of a Co atom on Cu$_2$N/Cu(100) measured at a temperature of $T = 0.5$ K and an external magnetic field of $B = 0$ and 7 T. The zero-field spectrum reveals a Kondo peak which is fitted to a temperature-broadened Fano function (red dashed line) and IETS steps at $V \approx \pm 6$ mV due to spin-flip transitions \[50\]. At $B = 7$ T, the spin-flip step positions have moved and the Kondo peak has split. This splitting depends strongly on the direction of the magnetic field. (b) The central Kondo peak measured at different sample temperatures (black lines) and fitted with a temperature-broadened Fano function (red dashed lines). Inset: intrinsic half-width $\Gamma$ extracted from the fits. The red line shows the linear behavior of $\Gamma$ at high temperature and has a slope of $(5.4 \pm 0.1)k_B$. The black line is a fit to equation \[25\]. Figure adapted from reference \[37\].

easy to understand; let us assume that the bare Kondo peak can be well described by a Lorentzian which has the transfer function $t_1 = (1 + i\varepsilon)^{-1}$, with $\varepsilon = (E - E_0)/\Gamma$ as the normalized energy. Additionally, we will assume an energy independent constant direct tunneling channel which we describe without any restrictions as $t_0 = -(1 - iq)^{-1}$.

Tunneling experiments detect the absolute square of the sum of all possible transfer channels. Using the above defined $t_0$ and $t_1$ results in the well known Fano equation \[143\]

$$g_{\text{Fano}}(\varepsilon) = |t_0 + t_1|^2 = \left| -\frac{1}{1 - iq} + \frac{1}{1 + i\varepsilon} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + q^2} \left( \frac{q + \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon^2} \right)^2,$$ \tag{23}

in which $q$ determines the asymmetry. Equivalently, equation \[22\] can be generalized \[144\] leading to an asymmetric Frota function as:

$$g_{\text{Frota}}(\varepsilon, q) = \frac{q^2 - 1}{q^2 + 1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{i\Gamma_F}{i\Gamma_F + \varepsilon}} + \frac{2q}{\varepsilon^2 + 1} \sqrt{\frac{i\Gamma_F}{i\Gamma_F + \varepsilon}} \right).$$ \tag{24}

For bare metal adatoms on metal surfaces, typically $q \approx 0$ has been found \[118, 119\], in which case $g(\varepsilon)$ becomes a dip. For $q \approx 1$, the line shape becomes strongly asymmetric, and for $q \to \infty$, the peak is recovered.

At $T \ll T_K$ the half-width $\Gamma$ of the Lorentzian (or the effective half-width of the Frota function $\Gamma \approx 2.54\Gamma_F$ \[140\]) is directly related to the Kondo temperature and the correlation energy of the Kondo state: $k_B T_K = \Gamma$. This zero-temperature result can be expanded in the Fermi-liquid framework to elevated temperatures using corrections in first leading order \[116\]:

$$\Gamma(T) = \sqrt{(k_B T_K)^2 + \alpha(k_B T)^2}. \tag{25}$$

Here, one expects theoretically $\alpha = 2\pi$, close to the experimentally observed $\alpha = 4.5$ in quantum dots \[115\], $\alpha \approx 5$ for individual Ti atoms on Cu(100) \[116\], and $\alpha = 5.4 \pm 0.1$ in low temperature measurements on (relatively) strongly coupled Co atoms on small patches of Cu$_2$N \[50\].

The latter system is of particular interest because here the many-body Kondo effect and the magnetic anisotropy, usually described within a single-particle approximation, are of similar strength. Figure 13a shows such spectra measured at $T = 0.5$ K at zero magnetic field $B$ and at $B = 7$ T \[50\]. The spectra
can be well described with an asymmetric Lorentzian and additional conductance steps at voltages that enable scattering of the spin system. Surprisingly, these steps are well described using only a second order perturbation spin-flip model and do not show any third order logarithmic contributions. This means that the probability of the third order scattering channels must be closed due to the ground-state correlation between the localized spin and the substrate electrons.

Under an applied magnetic field, the peak splits and the spin-flip excitation step positions shift in energy. Interestingly, the single-particle magnetic anisotropy Hamiltonian of equation 3 not only describes the energy shift of the inelastic conductance steps accurately, but also the positions of the split Kondo peak. The peak width at zero-field corresponds to a Kondo temperature of \( T_K = 2.3 \pm 0.3 \) K and the broadening of the peak at elevated temperatures follows equation 25 as expected from Fermi liquid theory \[139, 116\] and as shown in the inset of figure 11. Note, that at even higher temperatures, where \( T > T_K \), we reach again the weak-coupling limit and the spectrum is better described with the perturbative model and temperature broadened logarithmic divergences as shown in figure 11. Due to the limitations of equation 25 which is only valid at \( T \lesssim T_K \), fitting temperature dependent experimental data in the weak coupling limit with Lorenzian or Frota functions can lead to unphysically high \( \alpha \) values \[15\]. In such cases, \( T_K \) is presumably much smaller than the experimentally accessed temperatures.

### 3.4. Spin polarization of the split Kondo peak

As we have seen in the last section, when external magnetic fields which exceed the Kondo correlation energy, i.e. \( g_{\text{eff}}B > k_BT_K \), are applied to a strongly coupled Kondo system the zero-bias resonance splits into two distinct parts (Figure 13). \[50\]. However, while this has been observed also for other systems \[146, 62\], the spin-resolved properties of such a split Kondo state and, in particular, the amount of spin polarization of the two resulting peaks remains elusive \[137, 138\]. While there is one early spin-resolved measurement of a split Kondo state \[149\], the asymmetry of the peaks was not studied systematically and a comprehensive picture was only found recently using individual Co adatoms on Cu\(_2\)N as a test system \[51\].

In this experiment a spin-polarized tip was prepared by picking up Mn atoms from the Cu\(_2\)N surface with a conventional STM tip \[91\]. Since the measured asymmetry in the spectrum is the product of sample and tip spin polarization, \( \eta_{\text{eff}} = \eta_{\text{sample}} \times \eta_{\text{tip}} \), it is crucial to characterize the degree of spin polarization of the tip. This was done by spin-resolved measurements of individual Mn atoms on the same surface. As discussed in section 2.3 and displayed in figure 7 and 7, Mn atoms on Cu\(_2\)N show one spin-flip excitation at about 1 mV. When measured with a spin-polarized tip, the heights of the inelastic steps at positive and negative voltage \((h^+ \text{ and } h^-)\) differ \[91, 150\]. The asymmetry of the step heights \( \eta_{\text{eff}} = (h^+ - h^-) / (h^- + h^+) \) can now be measured as a function of the external magnetic field. Because of the small magnetocrystalline anisotropy of Mn the nominal spin polarization of the peak is \( \eta_{\text{Mn}} = 1 \) and therefore the experimental \( \eta_{\text{eff}} \) is a quantitative measure of the tip spin polarization \( \eta_{\text{tip}} \). The magnetic-field dependence of the tip’s spin polarization was found to be consistent with a paramagnetic behavior of the Mn atoms on the sample and the metallic tip. Hence the magnetic field-dependent spin polarization of the tip can be well described by the Brillouin function:

\[
\eta_{\text{tip}} = \eta_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{2S + 1}{2S} \coth \left( \frac{2S + 1}{2S} x \right) - \frac{1}{2S} \coth \left( \frac{1}{2S} x \right) \right),
\]

with \( x = \frac{g_B S \beta}{\hbar} \), \( g = 2 \), \( S = 5/2 \), and a maximal polarization \( \eta_{\text{max}} = 0.5 \pm 0.05 \).

Utilizing this spin-polarized tip at magnetic fields leads to spectra on the Co atoms as displayed for \( B = 5 \) T in figure 14. The two spectra differ by the adsorption site of the Co atom and therefore correspond to the situation where the magnetic field is either applied along (a) or perpendicular (b) to the main anisotropy axis (see also figure 13). These spectra can be excellently fitted by using the sum of two double-step functions with step-energies symmetrically around zero-bias and asymmetric step-heights, and two Frota peak-functions (equation 22) at the energies of the energetically low-lying step, with identical half-width but different intensities. Surprisingly, the step-functions, that are due to inelastic spin-flip excitations, can be fully described in a second order transport model using the standard anisotropy Hamiltonian of equation 3 taking into account the spin-polarization governed by equation 26 \[51, 91, 68\].
We now continue to evaluate spectra measured at different fields by subtracting the inelastic, step-like contributions, and analyzing the Kondo peaks using Frota functions as shown in figure 15a and 15b. Interestingly, the behavior of the Kondo peak seems to be related to the splitting energy as the data applied along the vacancy row and along the N-row fall on top of each other when plotted versus the peak energy $\varepsilon_K$. Plotted with this abscissa, the peak height $h_K$ decays with $1/\varepsilon_K$ as shown in figure 15d. From the peak width $\Gamma^0_K$ measured at $B = 0$ the Kondo temperature is extracted to $T_K = 2.4 \pm 0.2$ K, equal to the previously stated $T_K = 2.3 \pm 0.3$ K (Figure 13), which corresponds to a correlation energy of $k_B T_K = 0.21$ meV. When the splitting exceeds this energy, we observe that the spectral weight of the correlated state, i.e. the area $h_K \times \Gamma_K$ underneath the Kondo peak, settles at about twice the zero field value and remains afterward constant irrespective of magnetic field strength up to 7 T or, equivalently, $\varepsilon_K \approx 6 \times k_B T_K$ (Figure 15e). Presumably, this stems from the lifting of the spin degeneracy of the Kondo singlet state at significant field. Note, that the the transport measurement, as it is performed here, might introduce additional spectral weight created by the hot electrons at finite bias, similar as observed with spin-polarized currents on Fe atoms on Cu$_2$N [88] and rationalized with a 3rd order scattering transport model including rate-equations [40]. Here, however, we have not observed any strong current dependencies.

In the regime, where $\varepsilon_K > k_B T_K$, our data suggest a linear dependence of $\Gamma_K$ on $\varepsilon_K$, (Figure 15b) which leads to a surprisingly simple equation:

$$\Gamma_K(\varepsilon_K) = (2 \pm 0.1) \Gamma^0_K + (1 \pm 0.03) \frac{1}{\pi} \varepsilon_K,$$

(27)

where we relate the linear term to an increased scattering with bulk electrons which reduces the lifetime [91]. The factor 2 hints to an equal contribution of correlations induced by the bulk electrons and by the biased electrons in the transport experiment when $\varepsilon_K$ exceeds the Kondo energy scale.

Finally, figure 15 shows that the effective polarization of the Kondo peak $\eta_{K}^{\text{eff}}$ for the two different field directions stays approximately constant and is close to 0.5, with a small systematic offset between the two field directions. In order to derive the spin polarization of the split Kondo peak $\eta_{K}$, we need to consider the spin-polarization of the tip $\eta_{\text{tip}} \approx 0.5$ leading to:

$$\eta_{K} = \frac{\eta_{K}^{\text{eff}}}{\eta_{\text{tip}}} \approx 1.0.$$  

(28)

In summary, this experiment shows that the split Kondo state is an excellent source for spin polarized electrons and might serve as a magnetic probe in transport measurements, similar to the fully spin-polarized
Figure 15: The splitting and the polarization of the Kondo peak. (a), (b) Kondo-related experimental data (circles) and fit with two Frota functions (solid lines) for magnetic fields applied along the vacancy row (a) and along the N-row (b). Curves in field are shifted vertically for better visualization. (c) Fit results for the width $\Gamma_K$, (d) the peak height $h_K$, (e) the area $\Gamma_K \times h_K$, and (f) the experimental peak asymmetry $\eta_{K_{\text{eff}}}$ plotted against the splitting energy $\varepsilon_K$. Error bars indicate the 90% confidence interval of the fit and stem mainly from the interdependence of the parameters (nonzero off-diagonal covariance matrix elements). The horizontal and vertical dashed lines in (c)–(f) mark the characteristic Kondo energy. Figure adapted from reference [51].
magnetic field split superconducting state [151, 152]. Experimentally, this could be realized, for example, by attaching a magnetic molecule that exhibits a Kondo resonance to the tip apex. The Kondo resonance would then act as an energy-dependent spin filter for quantitative spin-resolved STM measurements.

4. Coupled spin systems

Up to now we have discussed the spectroscopic features due to second and third order scattering of electrons on single spin systems and the occurrence of correlation effects which lead to the Kondo effect. Now we will turn our attention to coupled systems where two or more individual spins interact. On one hand, this coupling can take place within a single molecule, where spin centers are coupled via exchange and superexchange interactions and the organic ligands control their properties, such as their anisotropies and effective spin states [153]. These molecules form a promising class of coupled spin systems called single molecule magnets and have been studied quite extensively (for a review see for example [42, 154, 155]). However, up to now few scanning tunneling spin excitation spectroscopy measurements have been performed due to the fragility of these molecules with complex geometry [52, 155] which, upon adsorption, easily alter their magnetic properties [156, 157, 158, 159, 160]. In section 4.1 we will briefly discuss the spectroscopic features measured on the prototypical single molecular magnet manganese-12-acetate [52].

On the other hand, the coupling between different spins can be achieved by deliberately organizing the individual spins, such as transition metal atoms, via lateral or vertical atom manipulation on thin insulating or metal substrates. Such experiments revealed, for example, that chains built of up to 10 Mn atoms on Cu$_2$N showed a pronounced odd-even behavior that was clearly visible in the spectroscopic data, which could be rationalized by considering the chains as a singular quantum mechanical object in which the Mn atoms are strongly coupled via Heisenberg exchange to their next neighbors [90, 161], and second-next neighbors [162]. Furthermore, bistable behavior and spin waves were observed in weakly antiferromagnetically coupled Fe chains on Cu$_2$N [163, 164]. Here, also ferromagnetically coupled 2D structures containing only a dozen Fe atoms demonstrated extremely long living Néel-states with lifetimes reaching hours at low temperatures [165]. Recently, it has been shown that the spin state of such structures can be read out by their influence onto the spin-dynamics of a near-by spin structure consisting of 3 Fe atoms [166]. Additionally, first successful attempts have been undertaken to use deliberately built spin-structures to explore the two-impurity Kondo system [53], the chiral magnetic interaction between atomic spin systems [167], or the quantum criticality of the $xxz$ Heisenberg chain model [130].

In section 4.2 we will discuss the spectral features of a prototypical example: the antiferromagnetic coupling between two spins with $S = 1/2$ and $S = 1$. On such a system which has been recently studied in a vertical geometry, i.e. with the spins attached to tip and sample electrodes [168], we will show how correlations due to higher order scattering influence the spectrum. Then we will turn to the experimentally studied Fe–Co (section 4.3) [49] and Co–Co (section 4.4) [53] dimers on Cu$_2$N whereby the latter is an example for the two-impurity Kondo system [169, 170, 171] which can be deliberately tuned into different many-particle correlation phases. Furthermore, we will discuss correlation and entanglement in spin chains containing up to 12 spin sites which have been experimentally assembled from Fe and Mn atoms on Cu$_2$N [54].

4.1. The spectrum of a prototypical molecular magnet

In single molecular magnets, spin carrying atoms are arranged within a molecular framework in a way that their magnetic states can be described as a single giant spin. Manganese-12-acetate (Mn$_{12}$) is composed of a Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$ core surrounded by 16 acetate groups and represents a prototypical molecular magnet with a total spin $S_T = 10$. Resulting from its relatively large magnetic anisotropy $D$, it has a magnetization reversal barrier height of $\Delta \varepsilon = DS^2 = 6$ meV in bulk, enough to produce very long spin relaxation times at low temperatures [172]. Throughout many studies, the immobilization of Mn$_{12}$ molecules at surfaces has been found to be difficult, as its fragile structure changes easily upon deposition, thus altering its magnetic properties [156, 157, 158, 159, 160]. To circumvent the fragmentation of the molecule during in-vacuo deposition due to its thermal instability, we use electrospray ion beam deposition (ES-IBD) as gentle
deposition method \[173, 174, 175, 176, 177\] to bring Mn\(_{12}\) molecules on the well-defined ultrathin insulating h-BN/Rh(111) surface (Figure 16).

To address the question of whether these molecules still exhibit their striking magnetic properties, we measured the differential conductance \(\frac{dI}{dV}\) at low temperature \(T = 1.5\) K on top of the Mn\(_{12}\) molecules and observe symmetric features around the Fermi energy \[52\]. The spectra show a step-like structure in \(\frac{dI}{dV}\) which corresponds to peaks in the numerically derivated \(\frac{d^2I}{dV^2}\) as shown in figure 16f. The innermost step is usually the most prominent one and can be found at 1–2 meV, while the outer steps can be observed in a range up to 16 meV. To interpret these features we omit for the moment the many spin nature of the system by using the giant spin approximation in which \(S_T = 10\) is fixed. The magnetic anisotropy is responsible for the zero-field splitting of the spin eigenstates in the \(z\)-projection of the magnetic moment \(m_z\) and leads to a degenerate ground state for \(|S_T, m_z\rangle = |10, -10\rangle\) and \(|10, +10\rangle\) (see figure 16e). The model with fixed \(S_T\) reduces possible magnetic excitations to changes of \(m_z\), explaining the inner step of the spectra at \(\approx \pm 1.4\) mV as the excitation from \(|S_T, m_z\rangle = |10, \pm 10\rangle\) to \(|10, \pm 9\rangle\). In this approximation there are no transitions at higher energy possible which obey the conservation of angular momentum, i.e. which only changes \(m_z\) by \(\pm 1\). Note, that this is also true when additional higher order anisotropy terms are accounted for in the Hamiltonian.

To cover excitations that change \(S_T\), we have to go beyond the giant spin picture. The magnetic core of the Mn\(_{12}\) molecule contains 12 Mn atoms which are coupled via superexchange by oxygen bridges (Figure 16c). The eight ferromagnetically coupled outer atoms are thereby in the Mn\(^{3+}\) oxidation state and have an individual spin of \(S = 2\) while the four inner atoms are in the Mn\(^{4+}\) state with a spin of \(S = 3/2\) that are also ferromagnetically coupled \[178\]. Between the two ferromagnetically coupled sets of spins a strong antiferromagnetic coupling leads to a total spin of \(S_T = 8 \times 2 - 4 \times 3/2 = 10\).

To calculate the low-energy eigenvalues and state vectors of the coupled spin system of the Mn\(_{12}\) molecule we use a simplified 8-site Hamiltonian which reduces the matrix size with \(n^2\) elements from \(n = 10^8\) to
acceptable $n = 10000$. In this model the exchange interaction of the four antiferromagnetically coupled dimers with the strongest coupling ($1–9, 3–10, 5–11, 7–12$ in figure $16$) are approximated by four spins $S = 2 - 3/2 = 1/2$ (Figure $16$) [178]. This can be done because the exchange interaction inside these dimers is much larger than all other exchange interactions and larger as the energy range of interest in our experiment. Thus, the four $S = 1/2$ "dimer" spins interact with each other and the remaining four $S = 2$ spins (sites 2, 4, 6, 8 in figure $16$).

We regard 3 types of exchange interactions in this system which are determined by DFT calculations [52]: (i) Easy-axis anisotropy on the individual spins $H_{an} = D_2(S_z^2)^2$, with $D_2 = 0.48$ meV as the anisotropy term which is only relevant at the $S = 2$ sites due to the Kramer’s degeneracy theorem. (ii) Direct Heisenberg spin-spin interaction $H_J = J_{ij} \left( \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j \right)$, which couples different spin sites isotropically and has in the 8-spin model two distinct strengths: A relatively strong ferromagnetic coupling $J' = -9.3$ meV between the $S = 2$ and $S = 1/2$ sites and a weaker coupling $J = -0.25$ meV between the more distant $S = 2$ sites. (iii) Non-collinear Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interactions [179, 180] $H_{DM} = D_\text{DM} \left( \hat{S}_i \times \hat{S}_j \right)$, in which the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya vector parameter $D = (2.1, 0, 0.1)^T$ meV couples neighboring $S = 2$ and $S = 1/2$ sites. Note, that similar anisotropy and coupling parameters have been found earlier by comparison to electron spin resonance measurements [178]. The total Hamiltonian is then diagonalized and the spin excitation spectrum is calculated up to second order in the scattering elements. The resulting spectrum (Figure $16$) agree well with the model, in particular considering that the influence of the substrate was neglected for the parameters of the Hamiltonian.

4.2. Coupling between a spin 1 and a spin 1/2

To gain insight into Kondo correlations in coupled structures, we will now continue the discussion with a rather small dimer system, which contains only two spin centers, one with $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and the other with $S^{(2)} = 1$, where we want to assume that the degeneracies of the eigenstates are lifted by magnetic anisotropy of $D = -5$ meV and $E = 1$ meV (see section 2.1). When the isotropic antiferromagnetic Heisenberg exchange coupling $J_{12}$ between the two is switched on, we observe new step-like increases in the differential conductance that arise from spin-flip transitions on the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ sites that were absent before (Figure 17a and b). At small coupling strengths $J_{12} \lesssim 1$ meV, the spectrum of the $S^{(2)} = 1$ is almost unaltered, while at higher coupling the energies and the intensities of the transitions change significantly. With increased antiferromagnetic coupling the inelastic transitions move to higher energy. On the spin-1 system the excitation step at lower energy decreases, while the excitation step at higher energy increases in intensity. In contrast, the step heights on the spin-1/2 system grow with increased coupling, whereas the energetically lower step is always significantly stronger than the energetically higher step. Most remarkably, with increased coupling the intensity of the zero-energy peak on the $S = 1/2$ spin diminishes while at the same time a zero-energy peak emerges at the $S = 1$ site.

To elucidate this behavior, we look at the $(2 \times 3)$ eigenstates of the combined system at a coupling $J_{12} = |D| = 5$ meV (Figure 17). These six states can be grouped into a doublet with the total spin expectation value of $\langle S_T \rangle \approx 1/2$ and $\langle m_z \rangle$ values of $\pm 1/2$ [2]. This degenerate ground state doublet is separated from the four excited states with $\langle S_T \rangle \approx 3/2$ and $\langle m_z \rangle$ values of $\pm 1/2$ and symmetric energy of about $\frac{1}{2}J_{12}$. Employing this set of states allows an easy rationalization of the observed spectroscopic features: The two symmetric steps in the conductance arise due to excitations of the system from the ground states $|\Psi_{1,2}\rangle = |S_T, m_z = 1/2, \pm 1/2\rangle$ to the excited states $|\Psi_{3,4}\rangle = |3/2, \pm 1/2\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{5,6}\rangle = |3/2, \pm 3/2\rangle$, induced by the tunneling electron. Note, that in these processes the total spin $S_T$ is not conserved. Furthermore, we can attribute the zero-bias peak to scattering processes of third and higher orders between the two degenerate ground states $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2\rangle$.

[2] The total spin for a $n$-partite system is calculated as $\langle S_T \rangle = \sqrt{\langle \hat{S}_T^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ with $\hat{S}_T^2 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i^2 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i^2 + \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i^1 \hat{S}_y^1 + \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_z^2 \hat{S}_z^2$. The total magnetic moment $\langle m_z \rangle$ is just the sum of the individual magnetic moments of the constituents.
Figure 17: The spectra of an antiferromagnetically Heisenberg coupled dimer consisting of a $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and a $S^{(2)} = 1$ system at $T = 1$ K and $B = 0$ T. (a) Simulated spectra on the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ system for different coupling strengths. (b) Same as (a) for the $S^{(2)} = 1$ system with the anisotropy parameters $D = -5$ meV and $E = 1$ meV. Both spins are coupled to the substrate with $J_{ρs} = -0.1$. Schematic insets illustrate the probing geometry. The spectra are shifted vertically with respect to each other for better visibility. (c) Schematic state diagram of the six eigenstates at a coupling strength of $J_{12} = 5$ meV. The black full arrow indicates the high transition rates on the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ spin, the blue dashed arrows on the $S^{(1)} = 1$ spin, respectively. Arrows indicate the main $m_z$ values of the combined state ($\uparrow = +1/2$, $\downarrow = -1/2$, $\uparrow\downarrow = +1$, $\emptyset = 0$, and $\downarrow\uparrow = -1$). (d) Full lines: Expectation values of the absolute magnetic quantum number $|\langle S_z^{(i)} \rangle|$ on each part of the dimer and the total quantum number $\langle S_T \rangle$ of the combined system for one of the two ground states. Dashed line: Negativity $N$ as a measure of the quantum entanglement of the two spin systems.
The description of the eigenstates with quantum numbers $S_T$ and $m_z$ allows an easy understanding of even complex spin systems, but it fails to explain the different spectra of the individual parts of the dimer. Here, it is necessary to analyze the contributions to the states in the $(m_z^{(1)}, m_z^{(2)})$ basis, for which the main contributions are illustrated in figure 17. The two ground states have the most weight in $|+1/2, -1\rangle$ and $|-1/2, +1\rangle$, respectively. Thus, the second order transition matrix elements to the low-lying excited states (at an energy of about 6.5 meV) are large, when the tunneling electron interacts with the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ spin. The reason is that this transition requires a change of $\Delta m_z = \pm 1$ on the $S^{(1)}$ spin while the $m_z$ value of the $S^{(2)}$ spin remains unchanged. In contrast, these transitions are unfavorable for a tunneling electron that interacts with the $S^{(2)}$ spin. However, on this site transitions to the high-lying excited states are preferred because they end in states with unchanged $m_z^{(1)}$ and a difference between initial and final $m_z^{(2)}$ of $\pm 1$.

Interestingly, the coupling has an effect on the spin-1 states similar to an applied magnetic field along the $z$-axis. Without coupling, the ground state is an antisymmetric superposition of the $m_z = \pm 1$ states, as discussed in section 2.1, leading to a total magnetic moment $\langle m_z \rangle = 0$. The Heisenberg coupling induces a duplication of states that effectively separates the $m_z$ states and leads for the individual states of the $S = 1$ subsystem to an effective magnetization (Figure 17a). At $J_{12} = 5$ meV the absolute magnetization for each ground state has reached $\pm 0.8$, where the difference to one stems mainly from some weight in $m_z = 0$. Similarly, the coupling decreases the average magnetization of the $S = 1/2$ subsystem, concomitant with the change of the total spin of the bipartite system approaching $S_T = 1/2$.

Both effects are a consequence of the emergence of quantum entanglement between the two spins which finally allows a description of the eigenstates in quantum numbers of the total spin $S_T$ and the total magnetic moment $m_z$. There are many different approaches to measure quantum entanglement \cite{181, 182, 183} that are based, for example, on the formation or distillation of entanglement \cite{184}, the entropy \cite{185, 186, 187}, the concurrence \cite{188}, or the tangle \cite{189, 190}. Here, we will restrict ourself to the "negativity" $N$ \cite{191, 192, 193}, which is the sum of the negative eigenvalues $\lambda_j$ of the partially, with respect to the subsystem $\Gamma_i$, of the $i$-th spin, transposed density matrix $\chi^{T_i}$:

$$N_i(\chi^{T_i}) = \sum_j \frac{|\lambda_j| - \lambda_j}{2},$$

with $\chi$ as the total density matrix of the full system. The negativity is an excellent measure of the non-separability for spin-1/2 and spin-1 composite quantum systems enabling the quantization of non-classical quantum correlations \cite{194, 195}. In the dimer system discussed here, the negativity $N$ increases steadily with the coupling $J_{12}$, reaching $N \approx 0.27$ at $J_{12} = 5$ meV, close to the maximal possible entanglement in this system of $N = 1/3$ at $J_{12} \rightarrow \infty$ (Figure 17b).

These quantum correlations are the origin for the zero-energy peak at the spin-1 system. Naïvely, scattering between the two groundstates should be forbidden because it would require a spin-flip action on both subsystems when considering only the ground state components shown in figure 17. It is the entanglement that gives weights to other components and leads finally to the appearance of logarithmic zero-bias peaks, which will diminish at the spin-1/2 site and grow at the spin-1 site when the coupling strengths $J_{\rho_1}$ and $J_{\rho_2}$ of both subsystems are equal.

Interestingly, this situation changes when $J_{\rho_1} \neq J_{\rho_2}$. Figure 18 displays the peak intensities at the sites of the two spins for different ratios of coupling strength to the substrate electrons and antiferromagnetic Heisenberg interactions. Surprisingly, when one of the $J_{\rho}$ is small compared to the other, dips in the spectra can occur at certain Heisenberg coupling strengths (see insets in figure 18a and b). Note, that third order contributions can be significant even at negligible coupling to the substrate for the corresponding spin because of spin-spin interactions of electrons that originate and end in the substrate on other spins of the coupled system. When the spins are entangled, processes acting on all subsystems have to be accounted for and can interfere with each other. Figures 18a and 18b schematically illustrate the processes for a dimer: A tunneling electron scatters at the spin in proximity of the tip, leaving it in an intermediate state. The final state can now be reached either by a scattering event on this spin or on the other spin of the coupled system.

A dip-like reduction of the differential conductance at zero bias only occurs if one spin is loosely coupled
Figure 18: Zero bias peak intensities for a coupled dimer consisting of a $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and a $S^{(2)} = 1$ spin ($D = -5$ meV, $E = 1$ meV) with different Heisenberg interactions $J_{12}$ and coupling strengths $J_{\rho s}$ to the substrate. (a) Peak intensities when the differential conductance is measured on the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ spin. (b) Same as (a) when measured on the $S^{(2)} = 1$ spin. Dashed lines: Ising-like $J_{12} = (0; 0; J_z)$ coupling at $J_{\rho s} = 0$. Insets in (a) and (b) illustrate the spectra at $J_{12} = 1.5$ meV (white squares), with $J_{\rho s}^{(1)} = -0.025$, and $J_{\rho s}^{(2)} = -0.1$ at $T = 1$ K. The bias range is from $-15$ to $+15$ meV. (c+d) In third order scattering processes that originate and end in the substrate and interact on the probed spin (c) or on any other spin of the coupled spin-system (d) have to be accounted for. (e) Schematic of the situation illustrated by the green-shaded area in (a): The $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ is ferromagnetically coupled to the substrate electrons by superexchange via the $S^{(2)} = 1$ spin, leading to a dip in the spectrum. (f) Same as (e) for the blue-shaded area in (b).
to the substrate and a significant exchange interaction is established between the two subsystem. At low
enough temperature, the states of the strongly coupled spin are antiferromagnetically correlated with the
substrate electrons (see section 3), while the weakly coupled spin is ferromagnetically correlated with the
substrate bath via the Heisenberg interaction (Figure 18e and f). This is equivalent to a ferromagnetic
Kondo effect, which has been recently proposed to emerge for a triple spin system [196]. Note, however,
that this requires a Heisenberg exchange interaction between both spins. If the interaction is Ising-like,
i.e. couples only one direction of the magnetic moments, merely classical correlations occur, which are not
sufficient to create the entanglement required to observe the Kondo effect for the spin-1 system (see dashed
lines in Figure 18a and b). However, the results presented here are obtained in the weak coupling limit.
While it is known that a single spin will become asymptotically free for $T \to 0$ when ferromagnetically
coupled to an electron bath [95, 197], it is not clear what happens in the coupled structures discussed here,
where one spin is ferromagnetically and the other antiferromagnetically coupled to the substrate.

Note, that the two spins can be coupled also vertically by having one spin center adsorbed to the tip apex
and the second one onto the substrate surface. In this situation, the antiferromagnetic exchange coupling
has been found to be proportional to the tunneling coupling which depends exponentially on the distance
between the two spins on tip and sample [198, 168]. Building a similar structure as discussed in this section
with a $S = 1$ spin adsorbed on the sample and a strongly correlated half-integer spin on the tip lead to
the observation of bias direction dependent step asymmetries at the energetically outer steps (blue dashed
lines in Fig. 17d). These asymmetries enable to directly determine the correlation strength between the
half-integer spin and the supporting electron bath [168].

4.3. Fe-Co dimers on Cu$_2$N

Dimer spin systems have been studied experimentally for different transition metal atoms on metallic and
insulating surfaces. For Co atoms on Au(111), which show a pronounced Kondo effect with a $T_K \approx 70$ K,
the disappearance of the Kondo effect due to the formation of a non-magnetic singlet state was observed
only when the two adatoms were strongly coupled by placing them on neighboring adsorption sites [199].
In a similar experiment using Cu(100), the exchange interaction could be varied concomitantly with a
change of the spectroscopic signature [200]. More recently, the coupling between two Kondo systems was
established by attaching one Co atom to the apex of a Au tip and having the second Co atom adsorbed
on a Au(111) surface [201] or between metal-molecule complexes in a non-consummate molecular lattice on
Au(111) [202]. Furthermore, spin-spin interactions have been studied between Fe-Fe [66], Co-Co [53], and
Co-Fe [49] adatoms adsorbed on Cu$_2$N.

We will revisit the latter Co-Fe dimer system and compare it to simulations done in the third order
scattering model presented in reference [40]. In the experiment the two spins are separated by 0.72 nm and
only weakly coupled via the Cu$_2$N surface (see inset of figure 19a), but the measurements of the differential
conductance on both atoms reveal a change in the spectra compared to the individual atoms as discussed
in section 2.3 and 3 and shown in figure 19a and b.

Simulating the data by using parameters almost identical to those employed for uncoupled single Co
and Fe atoms, and an additional isotropic Heisenberg interaction of $J_{12} = 0.16$ meV, renders the spectra
obtained for the Fe atom almost perfectly, but only the main features and not all details are reproduced
for the spectra measured on the Co atom. This stems from the fact, that our model only accounts for
third order scattering contributions, neglecting higher order effects and reaches its limits in systems in the
strong-coupling Kondo regime (see section 3.2).

At zero field we observe on the Fe atom a reduction of the intensity of the low-energy conductance
steps compared to the uncoupled atom (Figure 7). Furthermore, these steps diminish when a field along
the main anisotropy axis of the Fe atom ($z$-axis) is applied, much more quickly than for the uncoupled Fe
atom. Nevertheless, the overall spectral form is only weakly influenced by the coupling to the Co atom.
The coupling is also not strong enough to produce a zero-energy feature at the high spin in this dimer, i.e. the
Fe atom, as we would expect from the discussion of the coupled $S^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $S^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$ system (Section
1.2). This can be understood by looking at the density matrices of the two degenerate groundstates of the
combined system (Figure 19a and d). The two groundstates contain only contributions with weights at high
$m_z = \pm 2$ values, inhibiting any scattering between them. Note that a description via quantum numbers
Figure 19: Tunneling spectra measured on a weakly coupled Co-Fe dimer on Cu$_2$N. (a-b) Experimental data from reference [49] obtained with the tip placed on top of the Fe (a) and Co (b) atom of the bipartite system at different fields applied along the main anisotropy axis of the Fe measured at $T = 550$ mK (red circles). The simulations (black lines) are performed using the following parameters for the Fe atom: $D = -1.53$ meV, $E = 0.31$ meV, $g = 2.11$, $J_{PS} = -0.085$, and $U = 0.35$; for the Co atom: $D = 2.7$ meV, $E = 0.5$ meV, $g = 2.16$, $J_{PS} = -0.25$, and $U = 0$. Note, that the main anisotropy axis for the Fe is along the N-rows, while for the Co it is along the vacancy rows. The isotropic Heisenberg exchange coupling between both atom was fixed to $J_{12} = 0.16$ meV. Curves are vertically offset for clarity. The inset in (a) shows the adsorption site of the 3d atoms (black circle) on the Cu$_2$N (Cu yellow, N blue circle). The lowest curves in (b) are a zoom of the zero-field data measured on the Co atom. (c + d) Graphical representation of the real part of the reduced density matrices of the two lowest, at zero-field degenerated, ground states. The displayed parts contain > 95% of the state weights. The labels correspond to the $|m_{Fe}^{z},m_{Co}^{z}\rangle$ values.
of the total system is not appropriate here due to the small coupling strength. Only when the Heisenberg coupling is comparable to the anisotropy energies, i.e., at $J_{12} \gtrsim 3$ meV, these quantum numbers become a good description of the total system and the emergence of a zero-energy peak at the Fe atom is expected, similar to the dimer with $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and $S^{(2)} = 1$.

Compared to the spectrum measured on the Fe atom, the zero-field spectrum on the Co atom is strongly affected by the creation of this bipartite system. Similar as for the $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and $S^{(2)} = 1$ dimer, we observe in the experimental data, as well as in the simulation, conductance steps and peaks at an energy corresponding to the first excitation energy of the Fe atom. Additionally, the remainder of the zero-bias Kondo peak is clearly visible, but similar as in the example of the last section, the coupling to the Fe atom has already strongly reduced its intensity. At an applied field along the easy axes of both atoms, the energetic positions of the two peaks at low bias move towards zero and at a critical field of $B_c = (g_{Co}J_{12})/(g_0\mu_B) \approx 2.6$ T, a novel Kondo peak at zero bias emerges. At even higher fields the spectra resemble those of an uncoupled Co atom, as shown in Figure 11 where the presence of the Fe atom has reduced the magnetic field to $B_{eff} = B_{ext} - B_c$.

Overall, it is surprising how well the perturbative model can reproduce the experimental data for this bipartite systems. Note, that not only does it enable us to determine the coupling strength and sign between interacting spins, but it also allows us to detect additional non-collinear couplings such as the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, as it has been recently shown [167].

4.4. Co-Co dimers on Cu$_2$N

We now turn to the case where two Co atoms with $S = 3/2$ are coupled via Heisenberg interactions on the Cu$_2$N substrate [53]. This situation is in particular interesting, because at low enough temperature both spin sites form independently a correlated Kondo state with the substrate electrons as discussed in section 3. We have seen that the characteristic correlation energy of the Kondo state is $\Gamma_K = k_B\lambda_K \approx 0.2$ meV (see section 3.4). This energy scale is of the same order as the Heisenberg exchange coupling strength in the previously discussed Fe-Co dimers. As illustrated in figure 20, the competition between these two effects in combination with an external magnetic field embodies rich physics ranging from a correlated singlet or triplet state to complex Kondo states and has been of considerable theoretical interest since decades [203, 169, 170, 171, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209]. Despite several studies on coupled quantum-dots [210, 211] and atoms [201, 212, 202], so far few experimental observations have been reported of the regime where both interactions have similar strengths and are thereby in direct competition with each other.

When the coupling $J_{12}$ is negligible compared to $\Gamma_K$ and the magnetic field is zero, then the two spins are independently Kondo screened. An applied magnetic field which is strong enough will destroy the correlations between the localized spin and the bulk electrons as discussed in detail in section 3 leading to a situation where each spin acts like a free local magnetic moment.

The situation changes when $J_{12}$ is at similar order as $\Gamma_K$. For either sign of $J_{12}$, the four lowest ground states of the combined spins govern now the behavior of the dimer. The zero-field energy difference between the lowest and the highest state of the quartet is thereby $4J_{12}$ (see figure 20b and 20c). In the limit of $|J_{12}|$ being much smaller than the magnetic anisotropy $|D|$ of the individual spins, the difference between the ground state and the first excited state is either $5/2J_{12}$ or $3/2J_{12}$ for anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) or ferromagnetic (FM) coupling, respectively. This different behavior enables even at zero-field to distinguish clearly from differential conductance measurements between AFM and FM coupled dimers [53].

In the case of FM coupling, the ground state can be written in the $m_z$ base of the two spin sites as $\Psi_{FM} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \rangle + | -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right)$, i.e., as the symmetric high-spin, low-magnetic moment triplet state. Any applied external magnetic field will polarize both spins moving the system closer to the free local magnetic moment regime as can be seen in figure 20.

More interesting is the case where both spins are AFM coupled. The ground state in the $m_z$ base of the two spin sites is the antisymmetric low-spin singlet state $\Psi_{AFM} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( | +\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \rangle - | -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right)$. This singlet state will compete with the many electron Kondo singlet between the individual spins and the substrate electrons. If the coupling $J_{12}$ is strong enough, the dimer-singlet becomes the energetically more favorable ground state and correlations with the substrate electrons concomitant with the Kondo peak disappear [53].
Figure 20: Phase diagram of the two-impurity Kondo problem. (a) Schematic phase diagram of two coupled Kondo-screened spins with varying interaction strength $J_{12}$ and external field $B_\perp$ transverse to the main anisotropy axis of the individual spins with $S = \frac{3}{2}$ and easy plane anisotropy $D > 0$. When $|J_{12}|$ is small compared to the characteristic Kondo energy $\Gamma_K$, at $B = 0$ the two spins are independently screened by the substrate electrons, while for $|J_{12}| \gg \Gamma_K$ a non-magnetic singlet or a high-spin triplet state form. For antiferromagnetic coupling, i.e. $J_{12} > 0$, an applied magnetic field can lead to the formation of a new, combined correlated state in which both spins are screened. (b + c) Energy versus transverse magnetic field $B_\perp$ of the four lowest energy states for ferromagnetic ($J_{12} < 0$) and antiferromagnetic ($J_{12} > 0$) coupling, respectively. In the ferromagnetic case (a) the groundstate $\psi_{FM}$ does not change with applied field, while in the antiferromagnetic case, a state crossing between $\psi_{FM}$ and $\psi_{AFM}$ occurs at a critical field $B_c$. Figure adapted from reference [53].
However, as the state diagram in figure 20 suggests, a magnetic field orthogonal to the main anisotropy axis will decrease the energy difference between the ground state singlet and the lowest triplet state until the crossing field \( B_c = (13/8) \times J_{12}/(g \mu_B B_1) \) is reached, at which the two states become degenerate. At this point the two degenerated states \( \psi_{AFM} \) and \( \psi_{PM} \) form the basis for the emergence of a new Kondo state in which the substrate electrons are correlated with the combined state of the dimer \[53\].

### 4.5. Entanglement and the zero-energy peak in spin chains

Chains of exchange coupled spins have been of interest for studying fundamental questions since the early days of quantum mechanics, dating back to the exact solution found by H. Bethe \[213\] for the infinite spin-1/2 chain. Higher dimensional (anti-)ferromagetically coupled spin lattices have led to the development of spin wave models \[214, 215\], which are the basis of descriptions of collective bosonic excitations, so called magnons, which have been observed in STM measurements, for example, on thin Co films on Cu(111) \[216\].

In one-dimensional spin-chains there is a peculiar difference between half-integer and integer spins: While infinite half-integer spin chains become gap-less \[217\], the energy difference between ground and first excited state in integer spins will always be finite, leading to the so called Haldane gap \[218, 219\]. Interestingly, as long as the magnetic anisotropy is small compared to the next-neighbor Heisenberg coupling strength, edge-states are expected to appear in finite integer spin-chains of odd lengths \[220\]. These half-spin degeneracies should reveal themselves by symmetric zero-energy Kondo peaks at the end of the chain together with a reduced lifetime. Very recently, the lifetime reduction at the ends of an antiferromagetically coupled 3-spin Fe chain on Cu2N has been observed \[198\]. Nevertheless, due to the relatively high magnetic anisotropy and low next-neighbor coupling strength, the formation of a zero-bias peak at the end was impeded.

Here, we want to explore and model spin-chains starting with the \( S = 1 \) and \( S = 1/2 \) dimer of section 4 where we assume an identical coupling \( J_{\rho} \) of the individual spins with the substrate and, for simplicity, a negligible magnetic anisotropy of the \( S = 1 \) spin. An antiferromagnetic Heisenberg interaction results then in a zero-bias peak mainly at the \( S = 1 \) site similar to the spectra shown in figure 17. To stay in the interesting \( S^T = 1/2 \) regime, we expand the dimer with additional \( S = 1 \) sites on the high-spin side, creating chains like \((1/2) \leftrightarrow (1) \leftrightarrow (1) \ldots \). Now the question arises, where can we find the zero-bias peak for such chains and how will it’s intensity scale with the different parameters of the chain?

Figure 21 shows the differential conductance simulated for the different sites of an 11-spin chain where the first site is a spin-1/2 and all other sites are spin-1 without any magnetic anisotropy. The coupling between neighboring spins is \( J_{i,i+1} = 5 \) meV and the coupling to the substrate is \( J_{\rho} = -0.1 \) for all spins. Surprisingly, the zero-bias peak is strongest at the \( S = 1 \) end of the chain, extends spatially, and decays towards the \( S = 1/2 \) beginning of the chain. Furthermore, we observe many step-like inelastic excitations at increasing energy, which reveal rich features. The probabilities for second and third order scattering processes, i.e. the step heights and the additional peak-like structures, oscillate along the chain remarkably differently for the various excitation energies. This multitude of possible transitions stems from the enormous number of low lying excitations in this many-spin system. Figure 21 shows the 56 lowest eigenstates with energies \( \varepsilon_n \leq 13 \) meV. The groundstate is a doublet with total spin \( S^T = 1/2 \) and is separated by about 3.4 meV from the first excited states, a quadruplet with \( S^T = 3/2 \). However, there are many eigenstates in the chain that result in a total spin of 1/2 or 3/2, but with different contributions and weights of the individual spins. This is reflected in the oscillatory behavior of the transition probabilities and stems from the boundaries at the ends of the chain and the chain asymmetry, leading to a complex standing wave pattern for the coupling to the magnons of the chain \[221, 222\].

The amplitude of the zero-energy peak decays approximately exponentially along the chains as follows:

\[
A_l(n) \approx A_l(l) \exp \left( \frac{l-n}{\lambda_l} \right).
\]  

Here, \( A_l(n) \) is the peak amplitude measured at the \( n \)-th spin of a chain with length \( l \), and \( \lambda_l \) is the decay length, which approaches \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \lambda_l = 3 \) for long chains. Odd-even fluctuations of the peak amplitude are due to some ferromagnetic exchange interactions of the spins in the chain with the substrate electron bath, similar to what we observed for the dimer (figure 18). Interestingly, the maximal zero-energy peak amplitude
Figure 21: The zero-bias Kondo peak in spin chains without magnetic anisotropy. (a) 3D plot of simulated $dI/dV$ spectra along a 10-spin chain with $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ (red sphere), $S^{(2)} - S^{(10)} = 1$ (gray spheres), $J_{ρρ} = -0.1$ on all spins, and a Heisenberg coupling between next neighbors of $J_{i,i+1} = 5$ meV. (b) The energy of the lowest 56 eigenstates of the 10-spin chain in the giant spin basis $|S_{T}, m_z⟩$. Red circles denote states with $S^T = 1/2$, blue squares with $S^T = 3/2$, and green triangles with $S^T = 5/2$, respectively. (c) Zero-bias peak intensity $A_l(n)$ for different chain lengths $l$ with $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and all other $S^{(n)} = 1$ relative to the peak intensity of a single $S = 1/2$ spin. Black circles and red squares mark the intensity $A_l(n)$ on the $n$-th spin for even and odd chain lengths, respectively. The thick blue line is a fit of the peak intensity of the last spin in the chain against the chain length $l$. 
at the last spin of the chains diminishes for longer chains only slowly with $A_l(l) \approx 0.41A_1 \times l/(l-0.59)$, and thus approaches a final value of $\approx 0.41A_1$ for long spin chains, with $A_1$ as the peak amplitude of a single $S = 1/2$ (see figure 21).

This result is very remarkable. It means that the presence of a spin-1/2 at the beginning of an ideal, arbitrarily long, $S = 1$ chain determines the appearance of the zero-bias peak at the opposite end of the chain. Note that removing the spin-1/2 in chains with odd length results in an even spin-1 chain with a non-magnetic ground state of $S_T = 0$ and a finite Haldane gap to the excited states [213]. Obviously, such a spin system cannot have any zero-bias peak.

Removing the spin-1/2 from chains with even length, makes the chain an odd chain that has a three-fold degenerate ground state of $S^T = 1$ and topologically protected edge states which are expected to show a weak zero-energy peak at both ends of the chain [220]. Here too, the addition of a single spin-1/2 completely changes the properties.

Clearly, the ideal case as discussed above cannot be realized in real experiments. The adsorption of the spin-1 on a surface will break the symmetry inducing some magnetic anisotropy as we have discussed in section 2.1. Assuming an anisotropy of the same strength as the Heisenberg interaction between neighboring sites leads to much more quickly decaying peak intensities (figure 22a and b). When the spin-1 sites have easy-axis anisotropy ($D > 0$) the peak-intensities drop very quickly and are only about 0.001$A_1$ for a $l = 12$ chain. For the two groundstates the easy-axis anisotropy favors the high $m_z = \pm 1$ values at each site, and thus is effectively reducing any scattering between the groundstates. For easy-plane anisotropy ($D > 0$), which favors $m_z = 0$ values at each site, the peak-intensities do not drop as quickly, but the strongest peak occurs now no longer at the end of the chain, but is rather smeared out at approximately the center of the chain.

Interestingly, for all three cases discussed, the peak-intensities of the last spin of chains with different lengths $l$ follow quite simple algebraic relations (see figure 22):

\[
A_l(l) \approx \begin{cases} 
A_1 \frac{0.41l}{l-0.59} & \text{if } D = 0, \\
0.68A_1 \times l^{-0.84} & \text{if } D = +J, \\
1.60A_1 \times \exp(-0.55l) & \text{if } D = -J.
\end{cases}
\]

Except for $D = 0$, all peak intensities decay either with an exponential law or an inverse power law. Note, that also for $|D/J| \neq 1$ decay laws exist, which predict that the peak at the end of the chain disappears for $l \to \infty$.

We can understand this behavior if we look at the quantum mechanical entanglement inside the chain. For vanishing magnetic anisotropy the system is maximally entangled, while any anisotropy reduces the chain entanglement. To measure the entanglement inside the chain we calculate the negativity $N_n$ of different sites in the chain with respect to the total chain using equation 20. Figure 22 shows the results for a small chain of length $l = 6$ and different relative magnetic anisotropies $D/J$. For moderately small easy-plane anisotropy or for easy-axis anisotropy, the entanglement of the total chain is strongest with the last spin, but decays with the strength of the magnetic anisotropy. For larger easy-plane anisotropy $D/J \gtrsim 1$ the entanglement for spins closer to the beginning of the chain grows, and finally becomes larger than the entanglement with the chain end. Thus, quantum-mechanically, the chain separates in a moderately entangled short chain that consists of the spin-1/2 and a weakly entangled end chain.

Surprisingly, the entanglement measure correlates well with the calculated zero-bias peak intensities and positions. Thus, the observation of the Kondo peak at such spin chains is a direct measure of the quantum entanglement inside these chains. Recently, it was possible to verify this behavior in chains constructed atom-by-atom on Cu$_2$N with one Fe atom ($S = 2$) at the beginning and 2n + 1 Mn atoms ($S = 5/2$) [54, 223]. The observations for these high-spin chains were similar to the calculations presented here. Chains up to FeMn$_6$ showed a spatially localized Kondo peak at the end of the chain. Due to the high spin of the chain constituents, odd chains of the form FeMn$_{2n}$ showed no zero-bias peak because the groundstate of these chains is close to $S^T = 2$, the spin of the uncoupled Fe atom on Cu$_2$N.
Figure 22: The zero-bias peak and entanglement in spin chains with different magnetic anisotropy. (a+b) Zero-bias peak intensity $A_n$ for different chain lengths $l$ with $S^{(1)} = 1/2$ and all other $S^{(n)} = 1$ relative to the peak intensity of a single $S = 1/2$ spin. In (a) easy axis anisotropy with $D = -J$, and in (b) easy plane anisotropy with $D = +J$ at the $S = 1$ sites is assumed. Black circles and red squares mark the intensity $A_l(n)$ on the $n$-th spin for even and odd chain lengths, respectively. The thick blue lines are fits of the peak intensity of the last spin in the chain against the chain length $l$. (c) Comparison of the decay of the zero-bias peak intensity with the chain-length $l$ at the last spin $A_l$ of chains with different anisotropy values. The full, dashed-dotted, and dashed line are fits with the corresponding decay function. (d) Comparison of the negativity $N_n$ (top panel) and peak intensity $A_n$ (bottom panel) of a 6-spin chain at different $D/J$ ratios.
5. Summary and outlook

In this manuscript I have outlined how scanning tunneling spectroscopic methods can reveal the rich variety of effects individual and coupled quantum spins show when adsorbed on a supporting surface. The local environment influences the spins via crystal-field and spin-orbit coupling leading to magneto-crystalline anisotropy. Furthermore, we discussed how the exchange coupling to substrate electrons can drive the total quantum state into the highly correlated Kondo screening phase and how the very same interactions lead to renormalization effects influencing the anisotropy and the gyromagnetic factor. Not discussed in detail, but these interactions which couple the spin to the dissipative bath of the environment, are also of crucial importance for the state lifetime, coherence time, and the einselection of quantum states which generate classical behavior [224, 225].

Additionally, we inspected coupled spin systems and their ability to form entanglement. I expect that studying these non-classical correlations and their imprint in transport measurements, as for example seen in Kondo anomalies, will be of great importance for getting a deeper understanding of modern complex materials.

We have also seen that applying straight forward perturbation theory to such quantum spin systems allows to describe experimentally measured differential conductance spectra with very high accuracy. This enables one to obtain a profound understanding of the physical processes on play and to separate single- as well as many-electron effects, where perturbation theory and experiment must divert from each other.

Only during the last few years, both experiment and theory of individual and coupled spin systems have made tremendous progress. The versatility of low-temperature scanning tunneling measurements led me to believe that we should expect a multitude of exciting new experiments for the future, which will further deepen our fundamental knowledge on quantum systems in general and, in particular, quantum magnetism.
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