Genome Scans Reveal Homogenization and Local Adaptations in Populations of the Soybean Cyst Nematode
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Determining the adaptive potential of alien invasive species in a new environment is a key concern for risk assessment. As climate change is affecting local climatic conditions, widespread modifications in species distribution are expected. Therefore, the genetic mechanisms underlying local adaptations must be understood in order to predict future species distribution. The soybean cyst nematode (SCN), Heterodera glycines Ichinohe, is a major pathogen of soybean that was accidentally introduced in most soybean-producing countries. In this study, we explored patterns of genetic exchange between North American populations of SCN and the effect of isolation by geographical distance. Genotyping-by-sequencing was used to sequence and compare 64 SCN populations from the United States and Canada. At large scale, only a weak correlation was found between genetic distance (Wright's fixation index, FST) and geographic distance, but local effects were strong in recently infested states. Our results also showed a high level of genetic differentiation within some populations, allowing them to adapt to new environments and become established in new soybean-producing areas. Bayesian genome scan methods identified 15 loci under selection for climatic or geographic co-variables. Among these loci, two non-synonymous mutations were detected in SMAD-4 (mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 4) and DOP-3 (dopamine receptor 3). High-impact variants linked to these loci by genetic hitchhiking were also highlighted as putatively involved in local adaptation of SCN populations to new environments. Overall, it appears that strong selective pressure by resistant cultivars is causing a large scale homogenization with virulent populations.
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INTRODUCTION

The introduction of an organism into a new environment can have unpredictable detrimental consequences, including public health problems, losses in biodiversity and ecosystem services or crop yield losses due to exotic weeds, insects and pathogens, altogether resulting in significant economic impact (Pimentel et al., 2005). Unfortunately, the steady increase in international trade
facilitates the movement and introduction of new invasive species (Hulme, 2009). In addition, climate change is altering environmental conditions and could change the species’ distribution range or favor their establishment in previously unsuitable habitats (Early and Sax, 2014). It is therefore imperative to carry out specific risk assessment in order to target species to be controlled. Alien invasive species, by definition, did not evolve in the biogeographic habitat in which they are introduced. Consequently, they are often poorly adapted to their new environment. Generally, the most successful invaders will have a high potential for rapid adaptation through phenotypic plasticity or microevolution (Novak, 2007). Understanding the genetic mechanisms of local adaptation is therefore critical to predict future species distribution.

Plant-parasitic nematodes are microscopic worms that reduce global annual food production by 12.3% and cause more than US$157 billion in economic losses worldwide (Hassan et al., 2013). In North America, one of the most damaging species is the soybean cyst nematode (SCN), *Heterodera glycines* Ichinohe. Since its first detection in 1954 in Hanover County, North Carolina, SCN has been reported in almost every soybean-producing county in the United States (Davis and Tylka, 2000), as well as in southwestern Ontario, Canada (Anderson et al., 1988). During the 2000s, SCN colonized several new northern localities in the United States (North Dakota in 2003; Mathew et al., 2015) and Eastern Canada (northeastern Ontario in 2007 and Québec in 2013; Mimee et al., 2014). A few studies have investigated SCN dispersal, which seems to follow a northward and eastward pathway in North America (Tylka and Maret, 2017). This dispersion pattern correlates with the expansion of soybean cultivation following the introduction of new soybean varieties with shorter maturity periods and improved tolerance to drought and cold (Shurtleff and Aoyagi, 2010; Yu, 2011).

It is expected that SCN would survive and multiply throughout the current North American soybean-growing area and complete at least two generations at its northern limit (Gendron St-Marseille, 2013). Climate warming should also favor the establishment of SCN at higher latitudes and increase the number of generations per year in most regions. However, these predictions are based strictly on temperature requirements and do not account for the intrinsic capacity of SCN to adapt to new environmental conditions. Genetic variations within a population reflect its evolutionary potential and result from four evolutionary forces that affect individual fitness: mutation, gene flow, selection, and genetic drift (Eizaguirre and Baltazar-Soares, 2014). For most organisms, including SCN, the relative weights of these forces can differ significantly. Mutations are rare events that should not contribute significantly to SCN adaptations in a short time frame. Gene flow depends on the dispersal ability of an organism, which for SCN is achieved mainly by means of human activities at the short spatial scale (Kristjansson, 2010). In addition, wind and flooding can carry SCN cysts over very long distances, and contribute to its dispersal at the regional and continental scales. Many different selection pressures can shape the genetic structure of SCN populations, but host plant is probably the strongest selection factor. The ability of SCN to reproduce on a given soybean genotype differs greatly depending on its resistance genes and the nematode’s virulence profile (HG type) (Colgrove and Niblack, 2008; Niblack et al., 2008). Thus, management decisions by growers (for example, the systematic use of resistant cultivars) can result in a strong selection pressure. Finally, the influence of genetic drift will also depend on pest control strategies, because they contribute to dictate population size, although it was shown for cyst nematodes that genetic diversity can be very high within a single cyst (Green et al., 1970). Each nematode female can mate with several males and lay hundreds of eggs that can survive for at least a decade in the soil (Slack et al., 1972). Thus, even if the diversity appears reduced due to genetic drift under strong selection by resistant cultivars, most alleles probably persist for several years in the population in a “dormant” state.

In other cyst nematode species, genetic diversity at the population level has been studied by means of several techniques, including microsatellite markers, ITS-RFLP (internal transcribed spacer–restriction fragment length polymorphism), RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA), and 2-DGE (two-dimensional gel electrophoresis) (e.g., Blok et al., 1997; Grenier et al., 2001; Plantard et al., 2008; Boucher et al., 2013). However, these methods focus only on specific sections of the genome, yield very few markers, or do not allow precise comparisons among populations. For example, Eves-van den Akker et al. (2015) recently published a metagenetic approach to genotype populations of the pale cyst nematode, *Globodera pallida*. While this method was shown powerful to rapidly evaluate genetic diversity and distribution of specific mitotypes, it is based on very few neutral markers, which prevent any assessment for selection. Genome scan is an interesting approach for the identification of genetic loci involved in adaptation to specific selection pressure. It was notably used in *G. pallida* to identify genomic regions associated with virulence on resistant potato cultivars (Eoche-Bosy et al., 2017).

Despite rapid advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, sequencing a large number of individual genomes at high coverage in order to perform population genetic studies remains very expensive and may require important quantities of DNA from individuals. Elshire et al. (2011) developed a genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) protocol to rapidly identify single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). The GBS technique and other restriction-site-associated DNA (RAD) sequencing methods produce large quantities of reads that do not cover the entire genome but have higher sequencing depth, thus reducing sequencing errors (Gautier et al., 2013; Anand et al., 2016). Loci generated by GBS can be present in both coding and non-coding regions and will be shared between all populations owing to the conservation of restriction sites (Cariou et al., 2013). Finally, GBS does not require any prior genomic information for the species being studied, which is an important consideration for SCN since there is no reference genome yet. The optimal gene coverage to reduce the amount of missing data depends on the choice of restriction enzyme (Fu et al., 2016). Fortunately, optimal gene coverage was already tested for the closely related species *Globodera rostochiensis* (Mimee et al., 2015).

The main objectives of this study were to (1) investigate the genetic relationships among SCN populations from United States...
and Canada, (2) detect isolation by geographical distance (IBD) between SCN populations from United States and Canada, (3) detect genetic loci under selection associated to environmental and climatic parameters, and (4) identify the putative gene functions contributing to the adaptation of SCN populations to specific environmental conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soybean Cyst Nematode Populations
Sampling and Genotyping-by-Sequencing

A total of 64 field populations of SCN, representative of the area currently infested in North America, were sampled or provided by collaborators from 11 US states (Delaware, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota) and one Canadian province (Ontario) (Figure 1). For DNA extraction, 40 cysts were randomly chosen from each population and pooled together. Eggs were extracted from each cyst and then washed twice in sterile filtered water. Total genomic DNA of each pool was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA extracts were quantified using Qubit fluorometric quantification (ThermoFisher Scientific, Burlington, ON, Canada) and normalized at 2 ng/µL prior to library preparation and sequencing. These steps were performed following standard protocols (Elshire et al., 2011; Poland et al., 2012) at the Institute for Integrative and Systems Biology (IBIS; Université Laval, Québec City, QC, Canada). Genotyping-by-sequencing was performed using the method described by Mimee et al. (2015) with a combination of two restriction enzymes (PstI/MspI) (New England Biolabs, Whitby, ON, Canada). After the restriction enzyme treatment, all samples (one composite per field population) were barcoded and multiplexed to obtain a single library for the 64 samples, which was sequenced on three Ion Proton chips (ThermoFisher Scientific) at IBIS.

Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Calling

The UNEAK pipeline (Lu et al., 2013), which is part of the TASSEL 3.0 bioinformatics analysis package (Bradbury et al., 2007), was used to process the raw reads, since no complete reference genome is yet available for *H. glycines*. This pipeline is designed to call SNPs de novo, without a reference genome with high stringency. Only sequences containing a single putative SNP (1-bp mismatch) per sequencing read were kept. Before analysis, the SNPs were further filtered with a minimum coverage (minCov) of 20 reads, a maximum coverage (maxCov) of 10,000 reads, and a minimum allele frequency (MAF) of 0.01.

Population Genetics

Clustering of SCN populations using PCA was carried out using the procomp function from the stats package in R software (R Core Team, 2017). The poppr package (v2.4.1) in R (Kamvar et al., 2014) was used to investigate the genetic relationship between populations and to build a phylogenetic tree (Provesti’s distance, 10,000 bootstraps, neighbor-joining algorithm counting missing data as equivalent in the distance computation). Visualization of sample coordinates and phylogenetic relationship analyses were carried out using the phytools 0.6–20 package in R (Revell, 2017).

Fixation index (FST) values (Wright, 1943) were calculated using the classical approach (Hartl and Clark, 2007) with the PoPoolation2 software (Kofler et al., 2011) to evaluate the genetic differentiation between each pair of populations. Furthermore, the effect of isolation by distance on population structure was tested using the correlation between the genetic distance ratio [FST/(1–FST)], as defined by Rousset (1997), and the geographic distance of population pairs in kilometers (km). The geographic distance between each sample location was calculated with the haversine formula using the geosphere package in R. To examine the significance of the relationship between the genetic distance ratio and the pairwise geographic distance distances, we performed a Mantel test (Spearman rank correlation) using the vegan package in R with 999 permutations. To evaluate the effect of time on population genetic differentiation, linear regression analyses were run in R software using pairwise FST distances based on a point of origin selected on the basis of the first reports of SCN in North America. In our dataset, the closest sample to the oldest population was located in Clarkson, Missouri (MO1) (Hegge, 1957; Tylka and Maret, 2017). To access the genetic isolation from the MO1 location, a Spearman rank correlation (r_s) test was performed at a 0.95 confidence level.

Populations from three states (North Dakota, Minnesota, Illinois) were selected as case studies to evaluate the local (short-scale) genetic differentiation. These states were chosen because there were sufficient samples for comparison and because (i) North Dakota shows a recent introduction of SCN and a continuous northward dispersal of the nematode (Nelson and Bradley, 2003; Mathew et al., 2015); (ii) Minnesota has a longer history of SCN, with the nematode being first detected in 1978 and the infested area still expanding each year (Zheng et al., 2006); (iii) in Illinois SCN has been well established in every county for many years (Riggs, 2004; Tylka and Maret, 2017).

Outlier Detection and Their Association
With Environmental Variables

Two geographic and four climatic covariables were investigated as possible factors explaining loci under selection: latitude (LAT), longitude (LONG), annual mean air temperature (BIO1), maximum air temperature of the warmest month (BIO5), monthly precipitation of the warmest quarter (BIO18). All climatic variables were retrieved from the WorldClim global climate database, version 1.4 (Fick and Hijmans, 2017), corresponding to historic conditions (1960–1990). The spatial resolution used for the bioclimatic analysis was set at 30 s or 0.86 km².

To detect correlations between variations in population allele frequencies of SNPs and environmental factors, we used three different Bayesian methods (software programs): BayPass, version 2.1 (Gautier, 2015), BayeScan, version 2.1 (Foll and Gaggiotti, 2008), and BayeScEnv, version 1.1 (de Villemereuil and Gaggiotti, 2015). For each program, triplicate runs with different random seeds were performed with a pilot run of
FIGURE 1 | Genetic relationship among North American populations of the soybean cyst nematode. **(A)** Three-dimensional principal component analyses (PCAs). **(B)** Phylogenetic tree plot based on Provosti’s absolute genetic distance. Significant bootstrap values (> 50%) are indicated. Soybean cyst nematode populations from Ontario (Canada), North Dakota and Minnesota, and central US states are indicated in red, green and black, respectively.

10,000 iterations to estimate starting parameters, a burn-in length of 50,000 iterations, and a minimum of 50,000 iterations, accounting for the small number of SNPs being investigated. Post-run diagnostics were carried out using the coda package in R software in order to ensure sufficient iterations and normality of the Markov chain (Plummer et al., 2006).
The first software, BayPass, identifies genetic markers subject to selection by covariates such as phenotypic or environmental variables associated with the population of interest (Gautier, 2015). This application is based on the BAYENV model proposed by Coop et al. (2010) and Günther and Coop (2013), but with several modifications detailed in Gautier (2015), including the reprogramming of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. For BayPass, we used a pool-size file with the –dbuyj option set at 800 and 20 pilot runs with a length of 10,000 iterations, a burn-in length of 50,000 iterations, and a chain length of 50,000 iterations. For each SNP, BayPass generates a Bayes factor (BF), quantifying evidence against the null hypothesis, and an empirical Bayesian p-value (eBPis), a metric measuring the difference between observed data and a simulated set of data (posterior distribution) (Kass and Raftery, 1995; Andrászewicz et al., 2015; Gautier, 2015). To be considered under selection, a SNP had to meet two criteria: a BF greater than 10 (BF > 10) and an eBPis lower than 0.05 (eBPis < 0.05).

The second software, BayeScan, uses a Bayesian likelihood method that assumes a Dirichlet distribution of allele frequencies between populations (Foll, 2012). This program estimates the probability that each locus is subject to selection by using a logistic regression on the two locus-population FST coefficients. This Bayesian method uses a reversible-jump MCMC algorithm to calculate a posterior probability that each locus is under selection. The decision criterion to determine whether a locus is likely to be under a strong selection is the q-value (Foll, 2012), analog to a false discovery rate (FDR) p-value, that must be under 0.05. A second decision criterion was applied to further endorse the selected outliers: the ratio of posterior probabilities (PO). The PO threshold to affirm that a locus was under selection, in comparison with a neutral model, was set to 0.91, which corresponds to a strong relationship on the Jeffreys scale (Foll, 2012). We used BayeScan with the default parameters, but we set the minimum number of iterations to 50,000, the length of 20 pilot runs to 10,000 iterations, and the burn-in length to 50,000 iterations.

The third software, BayeScanEnv, is similar to BayeScan and uses the FST index to detect loci with a high level of differentiation in comparison with the entire genome. This program allows a normalization vector to be applied to the environmental data instead of only a binary combination, thus generating a lower number of false positives, according to the creators of the software (de Villemereuil and Gaggiotti, 2015). We used BayeScanEnv with the default parameters, with the number of iterations set to 50,000 and 20 pilot runs with a length of 10,000 iterations. We used the reported q-value, which is related to the FDR, as our decision criterion, considering only SNPs with a q-value less than 0.05.

Localization of Outlier Loci, Gene Function, and Genic Environment

The SNPs identified in short reads were first retrieved from a draft SCN genome available from SCNBase (https://www.scnbase.org/) by means of BLASTN with the default parameters, except for a smaller word size of 4, with the Blast2GO application (Conesa et al., 2005). Many of the identified SNP-containing fragments matched multiple genes or genome locations (see Supplementary Tables 3–5). To assign a putative gene function to each SNP, we compared the aligned sequences to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) protein database by means of BLASTX and BLASTP (Altschul et al., 1990) on a subset of sequences (nematodes, taxid: 6231) or to all of the NCBI non-redundant (nr) sequence database with an E-value significance cutoff of 1e−5.

As our GBS sequencing covers approximately 0.8% of the genome (see Results section), we explored the genomic regions around outlier loci for genetic hitchhiking in whole-genome sequences from four populations of different origin and distinct virulence profile (ON1, ON34, IL4, and KS2). Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina) using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600-cycle). Reads were demultiplexed using the Sabre software program (https://github.com/najoshi/sabre) and processed with Trimmomatic (v0.32) (Bolger et al., 2014) to remove adapters and barcodes. Alignment on the draft reference genome (see above) was done using SAMTools (v0.1.19) (Li et al., 2009) and BWA (v0.7.12) (Li and Durbin, 2009). Only a window of ±50 kb around the 15 loci under selection was retained for the genomic environment analysis. Variants were called with freebayes (v1.0.2) (Garrison and Marth, 2012) and snpeff (v4.2) (Cingolani et al., 2012). Distribution of allele frequencies in these four populations for each gene variant was compared with the allele frequency of the corresponding loci under selection in the same population in the genotyping-by-sequencing dataset. Only SNPs exhibiting a similar allele frequency and having a high impact on the predicted protein product were retained in our analysis.

RESULTS

Genotyping-by-Sequencing

A total of 192,576,709 short reads were obtained from the sequencing of the DNA from the 64 SCN populations, following digestion by the PstI/MspI restriction enzymes. The H. glycines effective genome length was estimated to be 96,752,286 bp, with an average of one PstI restriction site every 12,688 bases (E. Lord, private communication, 2017). On the basis of the sequence size used by the UNEAK (Universal Network-Enabled Analysis Kit) pipeline (first 64 bp of each read), the expected horizontal coverage was approximately 0.8% of the total SCN genome, and the vertical coverage at each locus, considering the number of reads obtained, was 400×. Before filtering, the UNEAK pipeline identified 3,172 variants. After filtering for low coverage and selecting only SNP variants, two datasets were generated. The first dataset contained 245 SNPs without missing data (loci sequenced in all populations), and the second contained 804 SNPs with missing data (Sequences and coverage in Supplementary Table 7).

Population Structure

Principal Component Analysis

A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the dataset without missing data, which contained 245 SNPs. The
PCA plot of the 64 North American SCN populations revealed a geographically ordered pattern (Figure 1A). Overall, the Ontario populations showed the greatest dispersion, indicating they are more genetically differentiated, while the central regions of the US showed more clustered populations. Also, the group containing populations from Minnesota and North Dakota (in green in Figure 1A) was clearly different from the Ontario populations by the first axis, which explained 21% of the total variation. All populations from the central states, although they originated from many states and covered a much wider area, were less diverse and more clustered together.

**Phylogenetic Tree**

To better understand the population structure of each population, we used the 804-SNP dataset to conduct a phylogenetic analysis based on Provesti’s distance. The inferred neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree (Figure 1B) showed that most of the Ontario samples (in red in Figure 1B) were different from the rest of the North American populations. Some samples from Ontario were found to be different from each others and more similar to those of central states. Such a pattern can also be observed in the PCA analysis (Figure 1A).

**Genetic Differentiation**

Overall, we observed an average value for Wright’s fixation index ($F_{ST}$) of 0.15 ± 0.07. However, pairwise genetic distance was highly variable, with a minimum $F_{ST}$ of 0.005 and a maximum $F_{ST}$ of 0.53 (Supplementary Table 1). The highest $F_{ST}$ value was found between two of the most remote populations, ND6 from North Dakota and ON41 from Ontario, which were separately by approximately 1,720 km. On the other hand, the lowest $F_{ST}$ value was observed between ON3 and ON5, which were separated by only 17.9 km. In general, higher $F_{ST}$ values were found in distant populations, especially between populations from Minnesota (MN4 and MN5), North Dakota (ND3 and ND6), South Dakota (SD2), and Ontario (ON6, ON13, ON25, ON41, and ON46).

**Isolation by Distance**

The analysis of the relationship between genetic distance [$F_{ST}/(1 – F_{ST})$] and geographic distance (km) for all pairs of populations revealed a significant ($p = 0.012$) but weak effect of isolation by distance, with a Mantel correlation ($r$) of only 0.135. However, when analyzing the effect of isolation by distance at the local scale, a different pattern was found. With respect to US states with recent SCN history, a strong and significant positive correlation was observed between the genetic and geographic distances in North Dakota ($r = 0.430$, $p = 0.013$) and Minnesota ($r = 0.730$, $p = 0.006$). In contrast, no correlation was found among the populations from Illinois ($r = −0.170$, $p = 0.767$).

To further explore the contribution of isolation by distance to the genetic differentiation of United States and Canada populations of SCN, we compared the genetic distance of each population from a theoretical ancestor population (MO1) using the 245-SNP dataset. This analysis included 38 independent populations that were separated by 273 to 1,367 km from MO1 (Figure 2A). The genetic-distance ratio to the “oldest population” varied from 0.06 for IL1 (386 km apart) to 0.52 for ND6 (1,327 km apart) (Supplementary Table 2) and an overall moderate ($r_s = 0.535$) but significant ($p = 0.001$) relationship was observed between genetic distance and geographic distance (Figure 2B).

**Signature of Genetic Adaptations**

**Genome Scans**

Using three Bayesian inference genome scan approaches, we identified 71 SNPs (out of 804) that were under selection. Among the different computational methods, 48 loci were identified by BayeScan, 23 loci were identified by BayPass, and 16 loci were identified by BayeScEnv (Supplementary Tables 3–5). Out of those 71 SNPs, only one was highlighted by the three methods, while 15 outliers were inferred by at least two of the methods (Figure 3). The geographic variables (LAT and LONG) were associated with seven and eight outlier loci, respectively, and the climatic variables (BIO1, BIO5, BIO12, and BIO18) were associated with nine, six, eight, and seven SNPs, respectively (Table 1). Three SNPs were strictly associated with
only one environmental variable: TP188858 was associated with maximum temperature, while TP252086 and TP364091 appeared to be linked to annual temperature. The allele frequencies analysis at these 15 loci revealed SNPs that were specific for a given region, such as TP364091, TP181514, and TP333913 for Minnesota and North Dakota and TP376687, TP146577, and TP226227 for Ontario (Figure 4).

Localization, Effect, and Genic Environment of Outlier Loci
Of the 15 SNPs under selection identified by at least two Bayesian approaches, 10 SNPs were located in predicted genes (seven in exons and three in introns), and five SNPs were located in intergenic regions (Table 2). Two SNPs (TP380188 and TP364091) correspond to non-synonymous mutations that induce a change in the amino acid sequence of the resulting protein. The annotations of the genes putatively impacted by these modifications are SMAD-4 (mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 4) for TP380188 and DOP-3 (dopamine receptor 3) for TP364091. The sequence corresponding to TP364091 was retrieved in four different genes (g14639.t1, g14642.t1, g14644.t1, and g14654.t1) in the draft reference genome and introduced two different mutations (Supplementary Tables 3–5). All the other SNPs (13/15) were silent mutations (synonymous in exons or located in introns or intergenic regions) and thus probably not the cause of their selection. Among the 42 remaining SNPs that were under selection but identified by only one pipeline, 12 correspond to a non-synonymous modification impacting the predicted protein sequence. These SNPs include the gene UFM1 (Ubiquitin-fold modifier 1), the gene PLA2 (85/88 kDa calcium-independent phospholipase A2), and a pyruvate kinase (Supplementary Tables 3–5).

The whole-genome resequencing of four SCN populations for the exploration of the genic environment of outlier loci highlighted 257 genes containing high-impact variants in a ± 50-kb window around loci under selection. Annotation was available for 148 of these genes, corresponding to 132 different gene functions (Supplementary Table 6). Of these genes, 25 exhibited allele frequencies similar to their neighboring outlier loci (Table 3).

DISCUSSION
Since its introduction into North America in 1954, the soybean cyst nematode has spread at a regular pace to most of the soybean-producing areas in the US and reached Canada in 1987. Its northern limit of establishment remains to be determined, as new populations are found each year. Soybean acreage is rapidly increasing in northern latitudes; for example, farmers in the province of Manitoba, Canada, sowed 2.3 million acres with soybean in 2017, a 40% increase in 1 year in a province where soybean was not grown a decade ago (Statistics Canada, 2017). This spectacular change in land use results from a coordinated breeding program for short-season and drought-tolerant soybean cultivars (Tardivel et al., 2014). Although soybean is nowadays very profitable in Canada (Manitoba, Northern Ontario, Québec), managing a pest such as SCN could be challenging, as no resistant cultivars are currently adapted to these regions. Simulations based on thermal development have shown that SCN could theoretically survive in these new soybean growing areas (Gendron St-Marseille, 2013), but actual establishment is a different matter, as initial observations in the province of Québec indicated that even though the nematode is detected in many regions, populations weakly reproduced (Mimee et al., 2016). This phenomenon probably reflects SCN’s very recent history in this part of the world and the poor fitness of the introduced populations but does not exclude possible adaptations of the nematode in the future. To better understand the evolution of SCN population genetics in North America, we compared 64 populations originating from 11 US states and the province of Ontario in Canada.

The dynamics of cyst nematode populations are intrinsically complex. Several thousand cysts from different females can form on a single plant, and each cyst contains hundreds of half-sibling individuals as a result of SCN’s polyandrous mode of reproduction. Thus, the number of unique genotypes occurring in a field is massive. Isolating individual nematodes, even hundreds of them, to explore the genetic relationship between populations would be an arduous task and the approach would still be biased owing to limited sub-sampling. For these reasons, we opted for a Pool-Seq (sequencing of pooled DNA samples) approach. Sequencing DNA from pooled samples for each population also has the advantage of keeping the number of
TABLE 1 | Association of outlier loci [single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)] identified by at least two Bayesian genome scan methods in 64 populations of the soybean cyst nematode from North America with geographic or climatic co-variables.

| SNP ID   | Method | Latitude | Longitude | Temperature (annual) | Temperature (maximum) | Precipitation (annual) | Precipitation (warmest quarter) |
|----------|--------|----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| TP66981  | BayeScan | 0.998    | 0.995     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.017    | 0.042     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP77825  | BayeScan | 0.999    |          | 0.941                |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.029    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP146577 | BayeScan | 0.952    | 1.000     | 1.000                | 0.997                 | 0.997                  |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.047    | 0.038     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP173057 | BayeScan | 0.988    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP181514 | BayeScan | 0.996    | 0.940     | 0.992                | 0.961                 |                       |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.050    | 0.009     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP188858 | BayeScan | 0.999    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.050    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP226227 | BayeScan | 1.000    | 1.000     | 0.966                | 0.999                 |                       |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.044    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP252086 | BayeScan | 0.963    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.050    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP324827 | BayeScan | 0.999    | 0.999     | 0.999                | 1.000                 | 0.999                  |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.043    | 0.023     | 0.006                | 0.017                 |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP333577 | BayeScan | 0.984    | 0.978     | 0.999                |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.029    | 0.015     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP333913 | BayeScan | 0.931    | 0.978     | 0.978                |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.029    | 0.015     |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP364091 | BayeScan | 0.976    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.020    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP376687 | BayeScan | 0.993    | 0.999     | 1.000                | 0.999                 | 0.999                  |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.038    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP380020 | BayeScan | 0.998    |           | 1.000                |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv | 0.030    |           | 0.027                |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
| TP380188 | BayeScan | 0.968    |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayeScEnv |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |
|          | BayPass   |          |           |                      |                       |                        |                                 |

redundant sequences low (Schlötterer et al., 2014). However, this approach does not allow the assignment of sequences to individuals and prevents some population genetic analyses such as linkage-disequilibrium estimation (Lynch et al., 2014; Anand et al., 2016). Nevertheless, a number of recent studies have overcome these limitations and have proposed new methods to
analyze genetic variations among populations based on Pool-Seq data (Van Tassell et al., 2008; Gautier et al., 2013; Navon et al., 2013; Mimee et al., 2015; Anand et al., 2016).

Both the PCA and phylogenetic analyses showed strong clustering of populations based on their geographic origin, which supports the “nearest-neighbor theory” movement as in the stepping-stone model (Kimura and Weiss, 1964; Hutchison and Templeton, 1999). We observed a geographic separation dividing SCN populations into two clusters, a northeastern one (Ontario) and a northwestern one (Minnesota, North Dakota) that both share genetic similarities with central populations. In northeast and northwest regions, SCN populations established only recently, and new detections continue to occur in the northern areas. In the central states, SCN populations have been present for a longer time and resistant soybean cultivars are routinely used. We believed that these two conditions contribute to explain the homogeneity of the central populations. On one hand, the continuous exchange of genetic material would have led to the homogenization of alleles in sympatric populations. On the other hand, the massive use of nematode resistant cultivars that are all derived from a single source of resistance (PI 88788) would have selected for the virulent SCN genotypes, thereby limiting the propagation of some alleles. It is thus surprising to observe a greater genetic differentiation in SCN populations from Ontario since the main assumption is that they originate from central states. These populations should contain less diversity, owing to the founder effect, which would normally result in reduced potential for differentiation. However, the selective pressures mentioned above are not yet present in Ontario, allowing the development of most genotypes. This finding concurs with the results of Faghihi et al. (2010), who observed a much greater diversity in SCN population phenotypes (HG types) in Ontario than in Tennessee, Indiana, or Illinois. A similar pattern was obtained in Minnesota by Zheng et al. (2006) and in China by Liu et al. (1997) when comparing populations from the north to populations from central regions. However, all these authors suggested that climatic conditions or local environmental factors may also play a role.
According to Hartl and Clark (2007), the overall average $F_{ST}$ value of 0.15 that we obtained by comparing all pairs of SCN populations from North America corresponds to a high level of differentiation. However, when we examined specific pairs of populations, we found that the populations were very similar at the local scale. Also, the differentiation of recent populations (Ontario, Minnesota, North Dakota) from those of the central states was only moderate, whereas comparing these recent populations together generated very high $F_{ST}$ values (up to 0.53). This corroborates results from the PCA analysis and clearly suggests that populations diverged following two distinct routes, one in the northwest toward North Dakota and one in the northeast toward Ontario. This hypothesis is further supported by the isolation-by-distance analysis. Overall, we observed a significant effect of distance on genetic differentiation at the continental scale. The effect of distance was even more noticeable when each population was compared to a common ancestor. Nevertheless, six SNPs under selection and associated with temperature were specific to populations from northern areas, this homogenization process would accelerate the development of virulent genotypes in these regions (in comparison with the central states) and would be independent from the use of resistant cultivars. This concurs with the finding of Faghihi et al. (2010) where 15% of SCN populations in Ontario were able to multiply on PI 90763, even though these sources of resistance were not present in commercial cultivars in Ontario.

Although 71 SNPs were found to be under selection among SCN populations, only one SNP was identified by the three Bayesian approaches tested. This SNP (TP333577) was strongly associated with precipitation; but the geographic distribution of allele frequencies (Figure 4) at that specific locus did not match with the general pattern of genetic differentiation, instead showing a very local effect (in populations from Minnesota but also in some populations from Iowa, Illinois, North Dakota, and Ohio). Thus, this selection for wetness was either lost in favor of a more stringent pressure or too costly to be maintained in the other regions. Overall, considering the broad range of environmental conditions in which these populations develop, finding only a few genes under selection suggests that SCN possesses an intrinsic capacity to evolve within a large range of temperature and wetness gradients.

Most of the 15 outliers identified by at least two pipelines correlated with temperature, reinforcing that temperature is a key factor that acts on the genetic diversity and natural selection of nematode populations. Nematodes are poikilothermic organisms and depend greatly on temperature to complete their life cycle. Thus, any adaptation that facilitates the development and reproduction of nematodes at lower temperatures would be advantageous. On the basis of the distribution of allele frequencies, however, this adaptation does not seem crucial for survival, as the northern populations did not all exhibit similar patterns. This trait is probably not yet fixed in these populations. Nevertheless, six SNPs under selection and associated with temperature were specific to populations from Ontario (TP376687, TP146577, and TP226227) or only retrieved from Minnesota and North Dakota (TP364091, TP181514, and TP333913), a finding that clearly suggests local adaptations.

### TABLE 2

| ID          | Location     | S or N-S¹ | SNP | a.a. subst.² | $E$-value | Best annotation                                      |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|
| TP380188    | Exon         | N-S       | C/A | Gin/Lys      | 2.0e-20   | SMAD-4 [Strongyloides ratti]                         |
| TP364091    | Exon         | N-S       | G/A | Asp/Asn      | 2.6e-104  | Dopamine receptor 3 [Toxocara canis]                 |
| TP376687    | Exon         | S         | T/G | Gly/Gly      | 4.0e-11   | Putative esophageal gland cell protein Hgg-20 [Heterodera glycines] |
| TP173057    | Exon         | S         | C/T | Ile/Ile      | 2.0e-11   | Leucine-rich repeat-containing domain protein, partial [Necator americanus] |
| TP333577    | Exon         | S         | C/T | Leu/Leu      | 1.0e-110  | Cadherin-4 [Caenorhabditis elegans]                  |
| TP181514    | Exon         | S         | T/C | Gly/Gly      | 1.6e-100  | LET-19 [Caenorhabditis brenneri]                    |
| TP333913    | Exon         | S         | T/C | Gly/Gly      | 1.6e-7    | EGL-17 [Brugia malayi]                              |
| TP252086    | Intron       | –         | G/A | –            | 2.0e-64   | Esophageal gland-localized secretory protein 11 [Heterodera glycines] |
| TP324827    | Intron       | –         | C/T | –            | 3.0e-32   | Reverse transcriptase [Ancylostoma ceylanicum]       |
| TP146577    | Intron       | –         | T/A | –            | 2.0e-11   | Hypothetical protein Y032_0209g2110 [Ancylostoma ceylanicum] |
| TP77825     | Intergenic   | –         | T/A | –            | 2.0e-57   | Nearest gene: hypothetical protein CRE_19487 [Caenorhabditis remanei] |
| TP226227    | Intergenic   | –         | T/G | –            | 3.0e-05   | Nearest gene: ATP-dependent DNA helicase PIF1, partial [Toxocara canis] |
| TP66981     | Intergenic   | –         | T/G | –            | –         | No significant hit                                   |
| TP380020    | Intergenic   | –         | G/T | –            | –         | No significant hit                                   |
| TP188858    | Intergenic   | –         | G/A | –            | –         | No significant hit                                   |

¹ Synonymous (S) or non-synonymous (N-S) mutations in the final protein product.
² a.a., amino acid substitution.
### TABLE 3 | Putative hitchhiking gene variants with high-impact neighboring loci under selection and exhibiting a similar allele frequency in Heterodera glycines.

| ID       | Gene                                | Best annotation                                           | E-value | Type of variants |
|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| TP146577 | g15502.11                           | G-protein-coupled receptor, partial [Pristionchus pacificus] | 9.10e-57 | complex, insertion |
| TP173057 | g18365.11                           | 85 kDa calcium-independent phospholipase A2 [Ascaris suum] | 8.50e-46 | SNP, insertion   |
| TP173057 | g18358.12                           | F-box only protein 30 [Ascaris suum]                      | 1.10e-33 | 4 complexes     |
| TP173057 | g18327.11                           | Protein C8R-CLEC-223 [Caenorhabditis briggsae]            | 5.70e-57 | SNP             |
| TP173057 | g18328.11                           | Protein lap1 [Toxocara canis]                             | 1.80e-104 | 6 SNP, 3 insertions, 2 complexes |
| TP173057 | g18332.11                           | Protein scribble-like protein, partial [Stegodyphus mimosarum] | 1.00e-33 | 2 deletions, MNP |
| TP181514 | g3633.11                            | Mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 13-like protein [Ascaris suum] | 1.20e-133 | Deletion |
| TP226227 | g1982.11                            | 85/88 kDa calcium-independent phospholipase A2 [Toxocara canis] | 8.00e-29 | SNP             |
| TP226227 | g14545.11                           | Glycoside hydrolase domain containing protein [Haemonchus contortus] | 1.10e-162 | deletion, deletion |
| TP226227 | g12205.11                           | Kazal domain and organic anion transporter polypeptide (OATP) family and MFS [Strongyloides ratti] | 3.00e-36 | deletion, 3 complexes |
| TP226227 | g1979.11                            | Serine/threonine-protein kinase haspin [Haemonchus contortus] | 1.40e-62 | insertion |
| TP252096 | g18812.11                           | Bestrophin/UPF0187 family-containing protein [Strongyloides ratti] | 2.30e-44 | deletion |
| TP252096 | g18825.11                           | mth3/salva family protein [Oesophagostomum dentatum] | 1.30e-55 | deletion, SNP, complex |
| TP33577  | g18696.12                           | AFG3-like protein 2 [Toxocara canis]                      | 1.30e-286 | complex, 2 insertions |
| TP33913  | g14633.11                           | Cytosine-1 [Trichinella zimbabwensis]                     | 1.30e-118 | SNP             |
| TP33913  | g14626.11                           | Fibroblast growth factor 17 [Toxocara canis]              |         |                |
| TP33913  | g14617.11                           | Immunoglobulin domain containing protein [Haemonchus contortus] | 9.60e-42 | deletion |
| TP33913  | g14614.11                           | Sodium-dependent acetylcholine transporter [Toxocara canis] | 1.50e-215 | deletion, SNP |
| TP364091 | g14633.11                           | Cytosine-1 [Trichinella zimbabwensis]                     | 1.30e-118 | SNP             |
| TP364091 | g14656.11                           | Major facilitator superfamily MFS-1 domain containing protein, partial [Haemonchus contortus] | 2.00e-106 | deletion |
| TP380020 | g7291.11                            | Carboxypeptidase [Eupolyphaga sinensis]                   | 1.00e-60 | SNP             |
| TP380020 | g8313.11                            | Cell division cycle related [Caenorhabditis elegans]      | 1.10e-254 | deletion, SNP |
| TP380020 | g8311.11                            | GPI ethanolamine phosphate transferase 3, partial [Trichinella papuae] | 1.90e-125 | deletion |
| TP380020 | g8314.13                            | Homeobox protein ceh-6 [Toxocara canis]                   | 4.60e-52 | insertion, 2 deletions |
| TP380020 | g8309.11                            | Immunoglobulin I-set domain containing protein [Ascaris suum] | 5.50e-31 | 2 deletions |
| TP380020 | g8305.11                            | RecQ-mediated genome instability protein 1 [Toxocara canis] | 4.60e-28 | SNP             |
| TP380188 | g2288.11                            | Cleavage polyadenylation specificity factor domain containing protein [Haemonchus contortus] | 3.90e-209 | insertion |
| TP380188 | g2287.11                            | Cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit 2, mitochondrial [Strongyloides ratti] | 1.20e-63 | deletion, SNP |

1 Expected value for annotations of each putative hitchhiking gene.
2 Variant in bold indicates a stop codon gain. More details on types of variants and position in the gene are provided in Supplementary Table 6. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; MNP, multiple-nucleotide polymorphism.

The SNP corresponding to TP364091 was retrieved in four out of six populations from Minnesota and in one population from North Dakota. That SNP, which is a non-synonymous mutation in the gene DOP-3 (dopamine receptor 3) that replaces an aspartic acid with an asparagine, was strongly associated with the annual mean temperature and annual precipitation. This modification was retrieved in two distinct gene sequences (g14639.t1 and g14644.t1), but in a different amino acid, indicating a reading frame shift and a putative upstream indel. These four genes were all annotated as DOP-3 and were probably the result of gene duplication. Gene duplication has frequently been hypothesized to play an important role in adaptation to the environment (reviewed in Kondrashov, 2012). In Caenorhabditis elegans, the DOP-3 protein is well known to modulate chemosensory functions, such as mating and foraging, and to be involved in locomotion (Chase et al., 2004; Wood and Ferkey, 2016). The other SNP that introduces a non-synonymous mutation and was highlighted by two genome scan methods, namely, TP380188, was located in the gene SMAD-4 (mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 4) and was retrieved in only a few populations from Minnesota, North Dakota, and Ontario. The SMAD-4 protein is a regulator of different cellular processes, including cell differentiation, apoptosis, migration, and proliferation (Nikolic et al., 2011). Mutations of SMAD-2, SMAD-3, SMAD-4, or SMAD-6 were previously shown to result in a 30% reduction in body size in C. elegans (Savage-Dunn et al., 2003; Watanabe et al., 2007). Also of interest is the locus TP122360, identified only by BayPass, that was located...
in the UFMI (ubiquitin-fold modifier 1) genes (g7250.t1 and g7228.t1). A deletion in this gene in *C. elegans*, although reducing reproductive rate and life span, increased the survival of this nematode under oxidative or heat stress (Hertel et al., 2013).

For the majority of the loci, their selection probably results from genetic hitchhiking rather than a direct contribution to adaptation. To explore that possibility, we analyzed the genomic environment in a ± 50 kb window around each SNP in four SCN populations. Genes containing high-impact genetic variations and exhibiting the same allele frequencies as the associated SNP under selection were of particular interest. Two of these genes (g18327.t1 and g15502.t1) coded for G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR). These proteins are members of a large and very diverse multigene family with hundreds of occurrences in the *C. elegans* genome (Bockaert and Pin, 1999; Bargmann, 2006). The GPCR are crucial in sensing the local environment and were shown to evolve following alterations in habitat or foraging behavior (Nei et al., 2008). Four genes coding for proteins implicated in metabolite transport into the cell were also identified (g12205.t1, g14656.t1, g18812.t1, and g18825.t1), as was one gene involved in the regulation of those proteins (g18365.t1). All these proteins are required to maintain homeostasis in the cell and to respond to local environmental changes. In a similar study using the fungus *Fagus sylvatica*, Pluess et al. (2016) found that a version of a potassium transporter was associated with lower precipitation and could contribute to the regulation of growth under dry conditions. Structural changes in the body of an organism as a result of microevolution can also confer a significant advantage in terms of resisting more adverse environmental conditions, such as drought or high temperatures (Hazel and Williams, 1990). In our study, mutations were observed in two genes involved in cell-membrane and cell-wall stability (g18328.t1 and g8311.t1). Lastly, modifications in genes involved in the regulation of transcription (g2288.t1 and g3633.t1) and in the maturation of proteins (g14633.t1 and g18358.t12) have the potential to radically change the proteome of the adapted organism.

Although some SCN genes were found to be under selection and local adaptation was found to be underway in this study, our results also indicate that there is no critical adaptive event required for SCN establishment in northern latitudes. Consequently, all populations should theoretically survive and multiply at high latitudes. The risk is thus real for new soybean areas where cultivars resistant to SCN are not available at this time. Of course, the method we used does not explore the entire genome, and a pan-genomic study of these populations could reveal other loci under selection in an evolutionary process.
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