Nud locus and the effects on seedling vigour related traits for genetic improvement of hulless barley

Ludovic Capo-chichi¹²*, Kimberly Kenward¹, Joseph Nyachiro³, and Anthony Anyia²
Correspondence: Ludovic.Capo-chichi@albertainnovates.ca
¹20/20 Seed Labs Inc, # 201 - 509 11 Avenue, Nisku, AB, Canada.
²Alberta Innovates – Technology Futures, PO Bag 4000, Vegreville, AB, Canada.
³Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Field Crop Development Centre, 5030 - 50 Street, Lacombe, Canada.

Abstract
Some hulless barley varieties have been reported to be associated with poor vigour, which can limit their yield and make them unattractive to growers. A study was conducted to determine the linkage relationship of the locus nud conditioning hullessness and other loci controlling the expression of the seedling vigour related traits. Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were generated from a cross between spring type varieties with contrasting seedling vigour. These two parental lines differ in seedling growth habit, with 'CDC Cowboy', a hull type (N/_), possessing superior seedling vigour and 'CDC Rattan', a hulless type (n/n), exhibiting poor seedling vigour. Early vigour was assessed as leaf areas and specific leaf areas at the 3rd leaf stage. The traits considered to contribute to early vigour were: leaf width, leaf area, leaf dry weight, and specific leaf area of the first and second leaves. Each of the traits showed continuous variation among the hulless RILs. Transgressive segregation was distinctly observed for all traits. Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) revealed that the hullessness, controlled by the recessive gene (n/n), may not have an epistatic effect on several other loci, including those controlling the expression of seedling vigour related traits. This suggests that it is possible to develop hulless types of barley varieties with improved seedling vigour. The present study represents the first step in development of a marker-assisted breeding effort to improve early-season performance in hulless barley.
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Introduction
Spring barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is widely grown throughout the Canadian prairies. Soil moisture in these areas is usually high at the time of seeding but becomes limited over the mid and late part of the growing season. Physiological studies of spring barley in water-limited environments have identified several traits that appear to contribute to a more efficient use of available soil water, and thereby increase productivity. Early seedling vigour is one of these traits [1,2,3].

Differences in early seedling vigour in cereals have been reported to be associated with variation in specific leaf area (SLA), leaf width (LW), and leaf area (LA) of seedling leaves, along with embryo size [4,5,6,7]. The width of the first seedling leaf has been found to integrate the embryo size and can be an indirect estimate of early seedling vigour of cereals [5]. SLA and its inverse, specific leaf weight (SLW) of the first leaves have been found to be correlated with early seedling vigour [8,9], suggesting that SLA is suitable for selecting plants with high early seedling vigour [10]. Previous studies reported that high early seedling vigour allows the plant to close the leaf canopy at minimal carbon expense [11]. As canopy closure improves the water use efficiency of small-grain cereals, as suggested by [2], the maximization of leaf area by increasing the SLA may be important in plant breeding for increasing water use efficiency. In addition, the authors found that the width of the first or second seedling leaf is strongly and positively correlated with early seedling vigour. Therefore, leaf width may be used to select for varieties with rapid leaf development and early seedling vigour [12,13]. Although seedling vigour can be influenced by many other factors, in this study we focused on the traits related to specific leaf area.

The effects of major genes on quantitative traits have been shown in barley, where V locus has pleiotropic effect on height and biomass production [14]. Vrs1 is the gene controlling two-row/six row [15,16] and the nud locus is for hulled/hulless [17,18,19]. In a replicated trial of hulless and hulled double haploid lines derived from a single hulled x hulless cross, the presence of the nud gene has been found to be associated with reduced plant height, lower plant density, lower seed weight, higher test weight, and yield reduction [19]. The hulless barley is governed by a...
recessive gene, which in a homozygous condition has been shown to have an epistatic effect on several loci, including those controlling the expression of thickness of leaves; culm diameter; number of internodes; panicle size; and number of days to anthesis and to maturity [20]. Previous reports showed that hulless barley yielded less than covered barley [21]. On the other hand, a hulless mutant yielded as much as the covered parent [22]. They also showed that the change of a single gene by radiation to result in a hulless kernel was consistently associated with shorter stems and longer rachis internodes. In spring type oat (Avena sativa L.), studies showed that the expression of the hulless trait is affected by environmental conditions [23,24]. An incompletely dominant gene (N), interacting with modifying genes, controls the hulless characteristics in oats [23,24]. However, the effect of the nud gene on seedling vigour related traits in barley such as specific leaf area, leaf area, and leaf length has not been thoroughly investigated. It is true that hulless types have generally poorer crop establishment due to damage to the exposed embryo during harvest. Determination of correlation coefficients between seedling vigour related traits is important to select favourable plant types. As direct selection for various traits could be challenging, indirect selection via related traits with high heritability might be more effective [25]. Traditionally, univariate analysis such as correlation and regression analysis have been used in determining traits’ interrelationships [26]. However, when considering many traits simultaneously, overlapping may occur since each variable is viewed separately. In canonical discriminant analysis, all independent variables are considered simultaneously for the differentiation of individuals, resulting in a more distinct population differentiation than univariate analysis. The overall objective of this study was to 1) evaluate the heritability and genetic variability in specific leaf area, leaf area, and leaf length in a population of recombinant inbred lines of barley and 2) assess the effects of the Nud locus on these traits.

Materials and methods
Genetic materials
Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were developed from a cross between two-rowed barley varieties: CDC Cowboy, a hulled barley variety and CDC Rattan, a hulless barley variety possessing relatively high β-glucan content. The two parents, developed at the Crop Development Centre in Saskatchewen, Canada, differ in seedling growth habit with CDC Cowboy having superior early seedling vigour compared to CDC Rattan, under both field and greenhouse environments. Two hundred random F2 plants of this cross were self pollinated and advanced to the F3 generation by single-seed-descent method. Self pollinated seeds from each of the inbred plants were grown in F3 s progeny rows. As major genes effect many quantitative traits [27,28,14], they may interfere with the ability to detect other quantitative traits. Taking into consideration that the hulled caryopsis allele (Nud) is dominant over the hulless, the RILs population was separated into two sub-populations. Naked caryopsis (hulless) in barley is characterized by complete detachability of the hulls (lemma and palea) on threshing, whereas in covered (hulled) barleys, the hull remains completely attached with the grain on threshing, making facile the phenotypic screening of lines. The hulless RILs, controlled by a recessive gene, which in a homozygous condition has been shown to affect the expression of several traits, were compared to the parental lines including CDC Rattan and CDC Cowboy.

Experimental design and phenotypic data
The experiment was conducted in Vegreville (Longitude/Latitude: 112º – 03º W/53º – 30º N), under well-drained soil developed on fluvial-lacustrine material over till (Malamo series of an Eluviated Black Chernozem) in Alberta, Canada. All lines were grown in replicated field plots in Vegreville using randomized block design with three replicates. The row was 2 meters long and the plants were spaced at 0.2 m. Seeding rate was 215 seeds per square meter. The greenhouse experiment consisted of one single plant per pot with five replicates. Plants were grown within adequate nutrition and irrigation up to the fifth leaf stage at which time the leaves were sampled for seedling characteristics. Leaf length (LL) and LW were measured on the first and second leaves. Leaf dry weight (LDW) was determined after oven-drying at 70ºC for 48 h. Leaf area was calculated from the product of the length by width of leaves, correcting for leaf shape using a correction factor of 0.8 [13]. SLA was determined as the ratio of leaf area to dry weight of the first two main stem leaves.

Data analysis
Analysis of variance was performed using PROC GLM procedure of SAS [29]. Mean separation was done by Fishers LSD α = 0.05. Heritability (H²) on an entry mean basis was estimated from the genetic and error variance components using the formula:

\[ H^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2 / r} \]

where \( \sigma_g^2 \) is the genetic variance, \( \sigma_e^2 \) is the residual error variance and \( r \) is the number of environments. Pearson’s correlations between pairs of traits were determined with the function PROC CORR in SAS. Significant correlations with 0.2 ≤ r² ≤ 0.5, 0.5 < r² ≤ 0.8 and 0.8 < r², were considered weak, moderate and strong, respectively. Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) was used to differentiate the two sub-populations corresponding to the alleles at the nud locus. It was performed with the function PROC CANDISC in SAS. It facilitates differentiation of the sub-populations by taking into account the interrelationships of the independent variables (traits) and the dependable variables (RILs and sub-populations). Canonical correlation analysis, developed
by [30], often allows a more meaningful interpretation of interrelations between variables than simple correlation and multiple regression analyses. Unlike univariate statistical techniques which do not show how individuals within populations differ when all variables (traits) are considered together, canonical discriminate analysis simultaneously examines the differences in the seedling vigour related traits and indicates their relative contribution [31].

Results

Environmental variation

The two parental varieties, CDC Cowboy and CDC Rattan, showed significant differences in LW, LA, SLA, and LDW of the first and second seedling leaves under greenhouse and field environments (Table 1). CDC Cowboy showed the highest values for all traits, suggesting that it has the greatest seedling vigour, while the lowest seedling vigour was shown in CDC Rattan. Combined analysis of field and greenhouse environments indicated that there were differences among both RILs and environments (P < 0.001). Seedlings grown in the greenhouse had significantly (P < 0.001) larger LA, LW, and SLA than seedlings grown in the field (Table 1). The width, LA, and SLA of the first seedling leaf of RILs mean values were 7%, 13% and 23% greater for greenhouse-grown than field-grown plants, respectively. The width, LA, and SLA of the second seedling leaf of the RILs means were 14%, 16%, and 11% greater for greenhouse-grown than field-grown plants. Genotype, environment and their interactions have contributed to the phenotypic variation for LW, LA, and SLA of the first and second seedling leaves.

Phenotypic distribution of seedling vigour related traits

Each of the traits examined showed continuous variation (Figure 1). The range in LW1, LA1, and SLA1 of hulless RILs was 0.54 – 0.90 cm, 3.22 – 6.61 cm², and 181 – 302 cm².g⁻¹, respectively (Table 2), while among the hulled RILs, the values were 0.54 – 0.82 cm, 2.93 – 6.34 cm², 150 – 279 cm².g⁻¹, respectively. A similar trend was observed for LW2, LA2, and SLA2 (Table 2). On average, the hulless RILs produced high values for all traits, similar to the hulled RILs (Table 2). The nud locus seems to have no pleiotropic effect on the seedling vigour related traits because the traits of some hulless lines were relatively high. The highest values for LW, LA, and SLA of the first leaf were also observed in the hulless RILs (Table 2).

Genotypic difference between parental lines translated into significant differences among the hulless RILs. Each of the traits examined showed continuous variation among the RILs (Table 2). Mean values for all seedling vigour related traits showed evidence of transgressive segregation, as indicated by lower minimum and higher maximum trait values of the RILs when compared to the trait values measured for the parental lines. The exception to this is SLA1 in the hulled RILs where the highest value is less than the mean value of CDC Cowboy (Table 2, Figure 1).

Table 1. Average, minimum (min) and maximum (max) values and trait heritability of CDC Cowboy, CDC Rattan and hulless RILs for the following traits. The experiment was conducted under field and greenhouse conditions.

| Trait ‡ | Environment | Parental lines | RILs |
|---------|--------------|---------------|------|
|         |              | CDC Cowboy    | CDC Rattan | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Range | E  | G  | G×E | H² |
| LW1 (cm) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 0.78 ± 0.04   | 0.84 ± 0.11 | 0.77 ± 0.06 | 0.84 ± 0.05 | 1.2 - 8.01 | 0.73   |   |    |    |    |
| LA1 (cm²) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 5.96 ± 0.19   | 6.72 ± 1.24 | 5.03 ± 0.66 | 5.81 ± 0.97 | 3.22 - 6.60 | 0.81   |   |    |    |    |
| LDW1 (mg) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 21.00 ± 4.00  | 21.00 ± 3.00 | 21.00 ± 3.00 | 19.00 ± 3.00 | 0.01 - 0.03 | 0.78   |   |    |    |    |
| SLA1 (cm².g⁻¹) | Field |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 284.00 ± 34.00| 319.00 ± 46.00| 236.00 ± 28.00| 311.00 ± 34.00| 181 - 290 | 0.52   |   |    |    |    |
| LW2 (cm) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 0.80 ± 0.07   | 0.94 ± 0.05 | 0.74 ± 0.06 | 0.85 ± 0.05 | 0.58 - 0.84 | 0.71   |   |    |    |    |
| LA2 (cm²) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 9.62 ± 1.11   | 11.29 ± 1.06 | 7.33 ± 1.21 | 8.79 ± 1.22 | 4.47 - 9.94 | 0.78   |   |    |    |    |
| LDW2 (mg) | Field        |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 29.00 ± 0.06  | 28.00 ± 0.01 | 27.00 ± 6.00 | 28.00 ± 4.00 | 18 - 39 | 0.31   |   |    |    |    |
| SLA2 (cm².g⁻¹) | Field |               |          |          |          |          |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Mean ± SD     | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | Field         | GH        | Field    | GH        | Field    | GH        |       |     |    |     |    |
|          |              | 335.00 ± 58.00| 412.00 ± 88.00| 298.00 ± 36.00| 313.00 ± 24.00| 206 - 428 | 0.81   |   |    |    |    |

‡ LW1 = Leaf width of the first leaf, LA1 = Leaf area of the first leaf, LDW1 = Leaf dry weight of the first leaf, LW2 = leaf width of the second leaf, LA2 = Leaf area of the second leaf, LDW2 = Leaf dry weight of the second leaf, SLA1 = Specific leaf area of the first leaf, SLA2 = Specific leaf area of the second leaf.
Table 2 Average, minimum (min) and maximum (max) values and trait heritability of ‘CDC Cowboy’, ‘CDC Rattan’ and RILs for the following traits across environments.

| Traits ‡ | CDC Cowboy | CDC Rattan | Hulless | Hullled |
|----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|
| LW1 (cm) | 0.78       | 0.72       | 0.76    | 0.54    | 0.90    | 0.72    | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.82 | 0.81 |
| LA1 (cm²) | 5.96      | 4.05       | 5.01    | 3.22    | 6.61    | 0.81    | 4.73 | 2.93 | 6.34 | 0.81 |
| LDW1 (g) | 0.03       | 0.02       | 0.02    | 0.01    | 0.03    | 0.49    | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.37 |
| SLA1 (cm².g⁻¹) | 284.00  | 197.00     | 237.00  | 181.20  | 302.00  | 0.56    | 226.00 | 149.00 | 278.00 | 0.64 |
| LW2 (cm) | 0.80       | 0.60       | 0.73    | 0.58    | 0.84    | 0.68    | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.86 | 0.83 |
| LA2 (cm²) | 9.62      | 4.50       | 7.25    | 4.46    | 9.94    | 0.79    | 7.03 | 3.99 | 10.08 | 0.85 |
| LDW2 (g) | 0.03       | 0.02       | 0.03    | 0.02    | 0.10    | 0.32    | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.54 |
| SLA2 (cm².g⁻¹) | 335.00  | 253.00     | 295.00  | 207.00  | 396.00  | 0.80    | 278.00 | 208.00 | 396.00 | 0.88 |

‡ LW1 = Leaf width of the first leaf, LA1 = Leaf area of the first leaf, LDW1 = Leaf dry weight of the first leaf, LW2 = leaf width of the second leaf, LA2 = Leaf area of the second leaf, LDW2 = Leaf dry weight of the second leaf, SLA1 = Specific leaf area of the first leaf, SLA2 = Specific leaf area of the second leaf.

Figure 1. Frequency distribution of leaf width of the first leaf (LW1), leaf area of the first leaf (LA1), leaf dry weight of the first leaf (LDW1), leaf width of the second leaf (LW2), leaf area of the second leaf (LA2), leaf dry weight of the second leaf (LDW2), specific leaf area of the first leaf (SLA1), and specific leaf area of the second leaf (SLA2) means measured on the hulless recombinant inbred lines (RILs) from CDC Cowboy and CDC Rattan grown in the field and greenhouse. Parental means are indicated.
Correlation among seedling vigour related traits
Significant correlations were observed and were relatively strong in most cases (Table 3). The LDW of the first and second leaves was negatively correlated with SLA1 (Table 3), but positively and moderately correlated with LW and LA. The LW was highly correlated with LA; the correlation was moderate with SLA (Table 3). The LA of the first leaf was strongly correlated with the LA of the second leaf. There was no significant correlation between LA of the first leaf or LDW of the second leaf and SLA2 (Table 3). We hypothesized that LW values may be predictive of LA and SLA values. We proposed that measuring the width of seedling leaves would integrate variation for SLA, and therefore, provide an efficient selection tool for early seedling vigour. In general, seedling vigour related traits of the first leaf were significantly correlated with those of the second leaf.

Heritability
Relatively high heritability values were found for LW, LA, and SLA of the first two leaves (Tables 1 and 2), while the lowest to moderate values were found for LDW of the first and second leaves and the number of coleoptile tillers and stem tillers (Table 2). The broad sense heritability ranged from 0.30 for the number of coleoptile tillers to 0.88 for SLA2 and LW1 (Tables 1 and 2). The high heritability ranging from 0.71 to 0.88 indicates the genetic stability of the associated traits across generations. The heritability for SLA2, SLA1, LW1, LA1, LDW1, LW2, LA2, and LDW2 appeared stable across environments (Table 2). Results show that heritability for SLA1, LDW1, and LW2 were small (Table 1). However, LW1 averaged across environments was highly heritable and had significant correlations with SLA1 and LA1 (r = 0.34 and 0.74, respectively). The combination of high heritability and significant correlations suggests that LW1 can be used as an indirect measure of SLA1 and LA1. Measuring LW1 is rapid, non-destructive and can be used on large number of seedlings.

Discriminant analyses
The canonical discriminant analysis revealed that the first three discriminant functions accounted for 69% of the total observed variance among the response variables (Table 4). The centroid values for the first two canonical functions for the RIL population plotted in Figure 2 show significant (P < 0.001) canonical correlation between the RILs and the three canonical variates (r1 = 0.90, r2 = 0.79, and r3 = 0.75, respectively). This indicates that the canonical variates can explain the differentiation of the lines. The first discriminant function is dominated by a large loading from LDW1 and LW2 (Table 4). The second function is dominated by a large loading from LDW1 and SLA2. The third function is dominated by a large loading of LA1, LDW1, SLA1, and LW1 (Table 4). This suggests that the genetic variation of the RILs differ mainly in LA1, LW2, LA1, LDW1, SLA1, LW1, and SLA2. In spite of the loadings from the traits dominating the discriminant functions, the hulless RILs could not be separated from the hulled RILs (Figure 2), indicating that the nud locus is not the underlying biological component influencing the canonical functions.

Discussion
The nud locus on seedling vigour related traits
One of the most important considerations in this study was to investigate to what extent the nud locus would affect seedling vigour related traits in barley. The hulled/hulless caryopsis in barley is controlled by a single locus (nud, for nudum) located on chromosome arm 7HL; the hulled caryopsis allele (Nud) is dominant over the hulless one (nud) [32]. Previous research has shown that hullessness is associated with short plant height, poor emergence, low seed weight, and low grain yield, but does not affect
Table 4 The canonical loadings of the independent variables on the first three canonical discriminant variates of the hulless RILs. The study was conducted in the field and greenhouse.

| Trait‡ | Canonical discriminant variate |
|--------|-------------------------------|
|        | 1    | 2    | 3    |
| LW1    | 0.58 | 0.6  | -1.05|
| LA1    | 0.61 | -0.4 | 2.6  |
| LDW1   | -1.74| 1.42 | -1.53|
| SLA1   | 0.08 | 0.4  | -1.06|
| LW2    | 1.31 | -0.43| -0.48|
| LA2    | 0.08 | -0.13| 0.89 |
| LDW2   | -0.08| 0.07 | -0.06|
| SLA2   | -0.33| 1.28 | -0.42|
| Eigenvalue | 4.47 | 1.74 | 1.32 |
| Cumulative % | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.69 |
| Canonical correlation | 0.9  | 0.79 | 0.75 |
| P level of significance | 0.001| 0.001| 0.001|

‡ LW1 = Leaf width of the first leaf, LA1 = Leaf area of the first leaf, LDW1 = Leaf dry weight of the first leaf, LW2 = leaf width of the second leaf, LA2 = Leaf area of the second leaf, LDW2 = Leaf dry weight of the second leaf, SLA1 = Specific leaf area of the first leaf, SLA2 = Specific leaf area of the second leaf.

Figure 2. A: Scatterplot of centroid values of the RILs and parental lines on the first two canonical discriminant functions showing the distribution of the hulless and hulled lines. B: Scatterplot of centroid values of the hulless RILs population hulled RILs population, CDC Cowboy (●), and CDC Rattan (○) on the two canonical discriminant functions. The study was conducted at Alberta Innovates – Technology Futures in Vegreville, AB, Canada.
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differences in seedling vigour related traits under field and greenhouse
CDC Cowboy could be an excellent donor for larger SLA.
Effects of environment on seedling vigour related traits
Among CDC Cowboy and the hulless RILs, the effects of
were significantly larger on SLA than on LW, LA, and LDW which showed insignificant variations across
environments. The variations for seedling vigour related
traits were smaller among CDC Rattan plants, showing
stability of the traits across environments. The influence
of environment on SLA was consistent with previous
studies [33,10,7] where plants grown under greenhouse
environments produced greater SLA values than field
grown plants. Several environmental factors have been
proposed to affect SLA, including air temperature [30],
light quality and light intensity [34,35]. High humidity and
low wind speed have been suggested as an explanation
as to why individual plants respond to low light levels
with an increase in SLA [36]. Environments associated with
greater SLA1 and SLA2 were found to be associated with
greater LA1 and LA2, indicating positive environmental
correlations. Similar results were obtained by [10], who
investigated the nature and repeatability of gene actions
for SLA in segregating wheat populations. The genotypic
differences observed for SLA suggest that it is possible
to breed hulless barley for high SLA through recombination. 
CDC Cowboy could be an excellent donor for larger SLA.
Sources of genetic variation
The results indicated that the hulled X hulless cross generated
wide genetic variations for the seedling vigour related traits
and showed evidence for transgressive segregation. The
traits differed among genotypes and for most of the RILs,
but the ranking remains the same across environments. 
Transgressive segregation is defined as the appearance of
individuals in segregating populations that fall beyond their
parental phenotypes [37-39]. Our results showed that RILs
had a mean value outside the parental lines, suggesting
polygenic control for seedling vigour related traits and
the possibility that independent alleles at two or more loci can be combined to increase trait values. This could be
explained in most cases as a result of complementary genes,
along with the contribution of epistasis and overdominance
[38]. A recent review of phenotypic variation in hybrids
indicates that transgressive segregation occurs frequently
in segregating plant populations [38]. Out of 171 studies
reviewed, 155 (91%) reported at least one transgressive
trait and 44% of 1229 traits examined were transgressive
[40], RIL populations often show transgressive segregation,
even when the parents are phenotypically similar [37]. 
Heritability and correlation between traits can show the
to which these traits are genetically determined
and which of them contribute to the selection and cultivar
development. Relatively high heritability values were
obtained for all traits, suggesting that these traits can be
improved through conventional breeding. A high estimate
of heritability for leaf width has been reported by [41].
The SLA has relatively low heritability in cereals [10],
suggesting that its value as a selection tool for high early vigour may
be limited. The difference in heritability values obtained
for SLA in this study, compared to the values reported by
[10], can be explained by the fact that heritability depends
on many factors, such as the environmental conditions.
Correlation coefficients among the seedling vigour related
traits were significant, reflecting the effectiveness of indirect
selection that can be used to improve any of these traits.
The seedling vigour related traits tended to be positively
correlated when they were investigated under similar
environments [42]. In this work, the LW exhibited highly
significant positive associations with LA and SLA, suggesting
that selection of LW with desirable LA or SLA is possible.
The higher heritability for LW and its strong association
with SLA and LA under field and greenhouse environments
indicates that LW can integrate the genotypic variation
of SLA and LA toward selection of greater seedling vigour.
The early seedling vigour of barley previously reported
in field and control environments [3,33,5] was observed in
the current study. At the initial stage of early seedling growth,
leaf dry matter is largely dependent on the seed reserves
[43]. A highly significant and positive correlation exists
between seed weight and leaf dry matter accumulation [44].
Considering the strong genetic control of the LW, LA and
SLA, these traits could be used to improve early seedling
vigour in hulless barley.
Conclusion
Significant variation among seedling vigour related traits
exists in both hulless and hulled RILs of the study barley
population set. We have shown that segregation of these
RILs exhibit wide variable phenotypes when compared
to parental values for the seedling vigour related traits.
Several of the hulless RILs showed much greater seedling
vigour, translating into higher rates of plant establishment.
Hulless RILs derived from CDC Cowboy, the hulled parental
type, appeared to retain this vigour, suggesting that it may
be possible to develop hulless barley with high seedling
vigour which may overcome the establishment problems of
hulless barley. Therefore, CDC Cowboy could be a potential
source of genetic traits of seedling vigour in a hulless
barley breeding program. Additional crosses should be
made using different parental sources of seedling vigour.
Such crosses could be used to determine if similar results are obtained or if results of this study are a consequence of specific interactions between the parents used. The hulled/hulless caryopsis controlled by the locus nud was found not to be associated with the seedling vigour related traits. This study showed that genetic gain from selection for early seedling vigour is likely to be greatest through selection for leaf area and leaf width and it is possible to breed hulless barley for high seedling vigour.
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