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Abstract

We consider the two-matrix model with potentials whose derivative are arbitrary rational function of fixed pole structure and the support of the spectra of the matrices are union of intervals (hard-edges). We derive an explicit formula for the planar limit of the free energy and we derive a calculus which allows to compute derivatives of arbitrarily high order by extending classical Rauch’s variational formulæ. The four-points correlation functions are explicitly worked out. The formalism extends naturally to the computation of residue formulæ for the tau function of the so-called universal Whitham hierarchy studied mainly by I. Krichever: our setting extends that moduli space in that there are certain extra data.
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1 Introduction

We consider a matrix model consisting of pairs of Hermitean matrices of size $N$ with an (unnormalized) probability density of the form

$$d\mu(M_1, M_2) = dM_1 dM_2 \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} \text{Tr}(V_1(M_1) + V_2(M_2) - M_1 M_2)\right]; \quad (1-1)$$

$$Z_N(V_1, V_2, t) := \int d\mu, \quad t := Nh. \quad (1-2)$$

Here the potentials $V_1, V_2$ are required to have rational derivative; more explicitly we will set

$$V_1(x) := V_{1,\infty}(x) + \sum_{\alpha} V_{1,\alpha}(x)$$

$$V_{1,\infty}(x) := \sum_{K=1}^{d_1+1} \frac{u_{K,\infty}}{K} x^K; \quad V_{1,\alpha}(x) := \sum_{K=1}^{d_1,\alpha} \frac{u_{K,\alpha}}{K(x - Q_\alpha)^K} - u_{0,\alpha} \ln(x - Q_\alpha) \quad (1-3)$$

$$V_2(y) := V_{2,\infty}(y) + \sum_{\alpha} V_{2,\alpha}(y)$$

$$V_{2,\infty}(y) := \sum_{J=1}^{d_2+1} \frac{v_{J,\infty}}{J} y^J; \quad V_{2,\alpha}(y) := \sum_{J=1}^{d_2,\alpha} \frac{v_{J,\alpha}}{J(y - P_\alpha)^J} - v_{0,\alpha} \ln(y - P_\alpha) \quad (1-4)$$

The logarithmic terms in the measure correspond to powers of determinants. Formally the model is well defined for arbitrary potentials with complex coefficients, provided that we constrain the spectrum to belong to certain contours in the complex plane along the lines explained in [5]. In this case, however, the matrices $M_i$ are no longer Hermitean but only normal (i.e. commuting with their Hermitean-adjoint).

If we insist on a bona fide Hermitean model we should impose that $V_i$ are real functions, bounded from below on the real axis.

In addition to these data we impose that the spectrum contains segments with extrema $\{X_i\}$ for the first matrix and $\{Y_j\}$ for the second matrix (hard–edges of the spectra): in the case of Hermitean matrices then we would be restricting the support of the spectra to some arbitrary union of intervals.

It is used as a working hypotheses that the following limit exists

$$\mathcal{F}(V_1, V_2, t) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \ln Z_N, \quad (1-5)$$

where $t = Nh$ is kept fixed in the limit process.

This model has been analyzed in two papers [12] and [4] from two opposite points of view: in [12] were derived the formal properties of the spectral curve and the loop equations in the large $N$ limit, whereas in [4] were considered the properties of the associated biorthogonal polynomials and the differentials equations they satisfy for finite $N$, together with certain Riemann–Hilbert data.
The loop-equations show that in the planar limit the resolvents of the two matrices

\[
W(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \frac{1}{x - M_1} \right\rangle, \quad \tilde{W}(y) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right\rangle
\]

satisfy an algebraic equation if we replace \( y = Y(x) := W(x) - V_1'(x) \). This means that there is a rational expression that defines a (singular) curve in \( \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) – hereby referred to as spectral curve –

\[
E(x, y) = 0.
\]

and that the cuts of the branched covers \( x: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( y: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) describe the support of the asymptotic density of eigenvalues, and the jumps across these cuts describe the densities themselves.

From the finite \( N \) analysis the spectral curve arises naturally in conjunction with the ODE satisfied by the associated biorthogonal polynomials; indeed any \( s_2 \) consecutive biorthogonal polynomials (where \( s_2 \) is the total degree of the rational function \( V_2'(y) \)) satisfy a \( (s_2 + 1) \) system of first order ODEs, namely an equation of the form

\[
\partial_x \Psi_N(x) = D_N(x) \Psi_N
\]

and the spectral curve is nothing but \( E_N(x, y) = \text{det}(y1 - D_N(x)) = 0 \). While clearly certain properties are valid only for finite \( N \) or in the infinite limit, certain other properties can be read off both regimes: for instance it can be seen [4] that at the hard–edges the matrix \( D_N(x) \) has simple poles with nilpotent rank-one residue. This implies certain local structure of the spectral curve \( y(x) \) above these points.

In an algebro-geometric approach the functions \( x, y \) themselves are meromorphic functions on the spectral curve \( \Sigma \) with specified pole structure and specified singular part near the poles. The loop equations also provide a first-order overdetermined set of compatible equations for the free energy; these however are not sufficient to uniquely determine the partition function because the polar data of the functions \( x, y \) need to be supplemented by extra parameters. This is a purely algebro-geometric consideration but they also can be heuristically justified along the lines of [7]. It turns out that the extra unspecified parameters can be taken as the contour-integrals

\[
e_\gamma := \oint_\gamma y \, dx,
\]

over a maximal set of “independent” non-intersecting contours. The reader with some background in algebraic geometry will recognize that there are \( g = \text{genus}(\Sigma) \) such contours\(^3\). These parameters are often called “filling fractions” and in principle they be should uniquely determined by the potentials; the loop equations cannot determine the filling fraction but can determine the variations of the Free energy w.r.t. them. This way one obtains an extended set of (still compatible) PDEs for \( F \) in terms of the full moduli of the algebro-geometric problem: we call this function the non-equilibrium free energy. In this situation one can actually integrate the PDEs and provide a formula for the planar limit, \( F \).

Note that the actual free energy of the model is obtained by expressing the filling fraction implicitly as functions of the potentials via the equations

\[
\partial_{\xi} F(V_1, V_2, t, \xi) \equiv 0.
\]

Implicit solution yields \( \xi = \xi(V_1, V_2, t) \); the resulting function

\[
\mathcal{G}(V_1, V_2, t) := F(V_1, V_2, t, \xi(V_1, V_2, t)),
\]

\(^3\)More appropriately one should consider only the imaginary parts of these integrals over the full homology of the curve.
will be called the equilibrium free energy. The distinction is important when computing the higher order derivatives of $G$, inasmuch as they differ by the higher order derivatives of $F$ by virtue of the chain-rule; indeed while
\[
\frac{\delta G}{\delta V_1(x)} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta V_1(x)} \bigg|_{\Omega = V_1, V_2, t},
\]
(since $\partial_{\epsilon_j} F = 0$) for the second and higher variations the equations do differ, for example
\[
\frac{\delta^2 G}{\delta V_1(x) \delta V_1(x')} = \left[ \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta V_1(x) \delta V_1(x')} + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \frac{\delta \partial_{\epsilon_j} F}{\delta V_1(x)} \frac{\delta \epsilon_j}{\delta V_1(x)} \right] \bigg|_{\Omega = V_1, V_2, t}
\]
We will provide simple formulas for both $G, F$.

The main approach of this paper is similar to that of [1, 2, 13], namely that of ascertain the algebro-geometric data in a convenient abstract formulation which provides an explicit formula for $F$. Once this step is accomplished we also build the formalism for the “calculus” that allows to compute arbitrarily high order partial derivatives; we recall that the derivatives of $F$ represent higher order correlators of the spectral invariants of the model in this planar limit and also, the coefficients of their expansion in the parameters of the potentials can be related to enumerative problems of polyvalent fat-graph on the sphere. This calculus relies on an extension of Rauch’s variational formulæ to higher order variations (usual Rauch’s formulæ are used to describe first order variations).

We want to mention an interesting byproduct of the formalism developed for our calculus: indeed, with minor modifications mainly in the notation, the same calculus can be applied to computing variation of arbitrarily high order of the “tau” function of the universal Whitham hierarchy [19, 22] which in turn is of relevance for the Seiberg–Witten model. This application is developed in the Appendix.

In this application in fact we obtain an extension of the Whitham hierarchy, because on of the two primary differentials $dX, dY$ must have some of its simple poles at the points where the other has some of its simple zeroes: this requirement follows from the structure of the spectral curve above the hard-edge points. In other words in this moduli space the differentials have also some poles at non-marked points on the spectral curve.

1.1 Bergman kernel

We recall the definition of the Bergman kernel\(^4\) a classical object in complex geometry which can be represented in terms of prime forms and Theta functions. In fact we will not need any such sophistication because we are going to use only its fundamental properties (that uniquely determine it). The Bergman kernel $\Omega(\zeta, \zeta')$ (where $\zeta, \zeta'$ denote here and in the following abstract points on the curve) is a bi-differential on $\Sigma_g \times \Sigma_g$ with the properties
\[
\text{Symmetry:} \quad \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') = \Omega(\zeta', \zeta) \quad (1-14)
\]
\[
\text{Normalization:} \quad \oint_{\zeta' \in \Sigma_g} \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') = 0 \quad (1-15)
\]
\(^4\)Our use of the term “Bergman kernel” is slightly unconventional, since more commonly the Bergman kernel is a reproducing kernel in the $L^2$ space of holomorphic one-forms. The kernel that we here name “Bergman” is sometimes referred to as the “fundamental symmetric bi-differential”. We borrow the (ab)use of the name “Bergman” from [15].
\[ \oint_{\zeta \in b_j} \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') = 2i\pi \omega_j(\zeta) = \text{the holomorphic normalized Abelian differential}. \quad (1-16) \]

It is holomorphic everywhere on \( \Sigma_g \times \Sigma_g \setminus \Delta \), and it has a double pole on the diagonal \( \Delta := \{ \zeta = \zeta' \} \): namely, if \( z(\zeta) \) is any coordinate, we have

\[ \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') \sim_{\zeta \to \zeta'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z(\zeta) - z(\zeta'))^2} + \frac{1}{6} S_B(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}(z(\zeta) - z(\zeta')) \right] dz(\zeta) dz(\zeta'), \quad (1-17) \]

where the very important quantity \( S_B(\zeta) \) is the “Bergman projective connection” (it transforms like the Schwartzian derivative under changes of coordinates).

It follows also from the general theory that any normalized Abelian differential of the third kind with simple poles at two points \( z_- \) and \( z_+ \) with residues respectively \( \pm 1 \) is obtained from the Bergman kernel as

\[ dS_{z_+,z_-}(\zeta) = \int_{\zeta' = z_-}^{z_+} \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') \quad (1-18) \]

For later purposes we introduce the dual Bergman kernel defined by

\[ \tilde{\Omega}(\zeta, \zeta') := \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') - 2\pi i \sum_{j,k=1}^g \omega_j(\zeta) \omega_k(\zeta')(B^{-1})_{jk}, \quad (1-19) \]

where \( B \) is the matrix of \( b \)-periods

\[ B_{ij} = B_{ji} = \oint_{b_j} \omega_i. \quad (1-20) \]

In fact \( \tilde{\Omega} \) is conceptually no different from \( \Omega \), being just normalized so that \( \oint_{b_j} \tilde{\Omega} \equiv 0 \). We keep the distinction only for later practical purposes.

1.1.1 Prime form

For the sake of completeness we recall here that the definition of the prime form \( E(\zeta, \zeta') \).

**Definition 1.1** The prime form \( E(\zeta, \zeta') \) is the \((-1/2, -1/2)\) bi-differential on \( \Sigma_g \times \Sigma_g \)

\[ E(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{\Theta^{[\alpha]}(\zeta)}{h^{[\alpha]}(\zeta)} \frac{(u(\zeta) - u(\zeta'))}{h^{[\alpha]}(\zeta)} \quad (1-21) \]

\[ h^{[\alpha]}(\zeta)^2 := \sum_{k=1}^g \partial_{u_k} \ln \Theta^{[\alpha]} \left. \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right] \right|_{\zeta' = \zeta} \omega_k(\zeta), \quad (1-22) \]

where \( \omega_k \) are the normalized Abelian holomorphic differentials, \( u \) is the corresponding Abel map and \( [\alpha] \) is a half-integer odd characteristic (the prime form does not depend on which one).

Then the relation with the Bergman kernel is the following

\[ \Omega(\zeta, \zeta') = d\zeta d\zeta' \ln E(\zeta, \zeta') = \sum_{k,j=1}^g \partial_{u_k} \partial_{u_j} \ln \Theta^{[\alpha]} \left. \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right] \right|_{u(\zeta) - u(\zeta')} \omega_k(\zeta) \omega_j(\zeta') \quad (1-23) \]
Remark 1.1 In genus zero -of course- there are no theta functions: however there is a Bergman kernel with the same properties, given simply by (using the standard coordinate on the complex plane)

\[ \Omega(z, z') = \frac{dzdz'}{(z - z')^2}. \] (1-24)

2 Setting and notations

We extend the setting of the paper \[1\] and we will work with the following data: a (smooth) curve \(\Sigma_g\) of genus \(g\) with \(2 + K + L\) distinct marked points \(\infty, p_1, \ldots, p_K, \infty, q_1, \ldots, q_L\) and two functions \(X\) and \(Y\) with the following pole structure;

1. The function \(X\) has the following divisor of poles

\[ (X)_- = \infty X + d_{2,\infty} \infty Y + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{H_1} (d_{2,\alpha} + 1)p_\alpha + \sum_{\ell=1}^{K_1} \eta_\ell \] (2-1)

2. The function \(Y\) has the following divisor of poles

\[ (Y)_- = \infty Y + d_{1,\infty} \infty X + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{H_2} (d_{1,\alpha} + 1)q_\alpha + \sum_{\ell=1}^{K_2} \xi_\ell \] (2-2)

3. The differential \(dX\) vanishes (simply) at the (non-marked) points \(\{\xi_\ell\}\) and viceversa the differential \(dY\) vanishes (simply) at the points \(\{\eta_\ell\}\).

All the points entering the above formulæ are assumed to be pairwise distinct. The points of the pole divisors which are not marked (the \(\xi_\ell, \eta_\ell\)) will be called “hard-edge”. As hinted at in the introduction these requirement follow from either the loop equations \[12\] or the exact form of the spectral curve \[4\]: the points \(Q_\alpha := X(q_\alpha)\) and \(X_j := X(\xi_j)\) are the positions of the poles of the derivatives of the potential \(V'_j(X)\) and the hard-edges in the \(X\)-plane (and conversely for \(Y\)): the fact that the ODE for the biorthogonal polynomials has simple poles with nilpotent, rank–one residue at the points \(X_j, j = 1, \ldots\), implies that the differential \(dX\) vanishes at one of the points above \(X_j\), at which the eigenvalue \(Y\) has a simple pole.

Under these assumptions we can write the following asymptotic expansions

\[
Y = \begin{cases}
Y = \sqrt{\frac{-2R_j}{X - X_j}} + O(1) & \text{near } \xi_j, \text{ (here } X_j := X(\xi_j))

- \sum_{K=0}^{d_{1,\alpha}} \frac{u_{K,\alpha}}{(X - Q_\alpha)^{K+1}} + O(1) & \text{near } p_j, \text{ (here } Q_\alpha := X(q_\alpha))

\sum_{K=1}^{d_{1,\infty} + 1} \frac{u_{K,\infty}X^{K-1} - t + \sum_{\alpha} u_{0,\alpha}X}{X} + O(X^{-2}) & \text{near } \infty X
\end{cases}
\]

\(^b\)The functions that there were denoted with \(P, Q\) are here denoted with \(Y, X\).
For later reference we spell out these functions

\[ X = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-2S_j} + \mathcal{O}(1) & \text{near } \eta_j, \text{ (here } Y_j := Y(\eta_j)) \\ \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} \frac{v_{J,\alpha}}{(Y - P_\alpha)^{J+1}} + \mathcal{O}(1) & \text{near } p_\alpha, \text{ (here } P_\alpha := Y(p_\alpha)) \\ \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\infty}+1} v_{J,\infty} Y^{J-1} - t + \sum_{\alpha} v_{0,\alpha} \frac{Y}{Y} + \mathcal{O}(Y^{-2}) & \text{near } \infty Y \end{cases} \tag{2-3} \]

The asymptotics above imply immediately that there exist two rational functions which we denote by \( V_1' \) and \( V_2' \) such that

\[ \left( Y - V_1'(X) + \frac{t}{X} \right) \, dX, \quad \left( X - V_2'(Y) + \frac{t}{Y} \right) \, dY \tag{2-4} \]

are holomorphic differentials in the vicinity of the points \( \{\infty X, q_\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\} \) and \( \{\infty Y, p_\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\} \), respectively. For later reference we spell out these functions

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(x) &= V_{1,\infty}(x) + \sum_{\alpha} \left( V_{1,\alpha}(x) - u_{0,\alpha} \ln(x - Q_\alpha) \right) \\
V_{1,\infty}(x) &= \sum_{K=1}^{d_{1,\alpha}} \frac{u_{K,\infty}}{K} x^K ; \quad V_{1,\alpha}(x) = \sum_{K=1}^{d_{1,\alpha}} \frac{u_{K,\alpha}}{K(x - Q_\alpha)^K} \\
V_2(y) &= V_{2,\infty}(y) + \sum_{\alpha} \left( V_{2,\alpha}(y) - v_{0,\alpha} \ln(y - P_\alpha) \right) \\
V_{2,\infty}(y) &= \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\infty}+1} v_{J,\infty} y^J ; \quad V_{2,\alpha}(y) = \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\alpha}} \frac{v_{J,\alpha}}{J(y - P_\alpha)^{J}} \\
\end{align*} \tag{2-5} \]

A local set of coordinates for the moduli space of these data is provided by the coefficients \( \{u_{K,\alpha}, v_{J,\alpha}, t : \alpha = \infty, 1, 2, \ldots\} \), the position of the poles \( \{Q_\alpha, P_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots} \), the position of the hard-edge divisors \( \{X_j, Y_j\} \), together with the so-called filling fractions

\[ \epsilon_j := \int_{a_j} Y \, dX. \tag{2-7} \]

### 2.1 Planar limit of the free energy

The planar limit of the free energy is defined by the following set of compatible equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_{u_{K,0}} \mathcal{F} &= U_{K,0} := -\frac{1}{K} \text{res}_{\infty} X^K Y dX \\
\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} \mathcal{F} &= U_{K,\alpha} := \frac{1}{K} \text{res}_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^K Y dX \\
\partial_{u_{0,\alpha}} \mathcal{F} &= U_{0,\alpha} := \frac{1}{q_\alpha} \text{res}_{q_\alpha} Y dX \\
\partial_{X_j} \mathcal{F} &= R_j := \frac{1}{2 \xi_j} \text{res}_{\xi_j} Y^2 \, dX \\
\partial_{Q_\alpha} \mathcal{F} &= \frac{1}{2 \eta_j} \text{res}_{q_\alpha} \left( V_{1,\alpha}(X) - \frac{u_{0,\alpha}}{X - Q_\alpha} \right) Y dX \\
\partial_{p_\alpha} \mathcal{F} &= \frac{1}{2 \eta_j} \text{res}_{p_\alpha} \left( V_{2,\alpha}(Y) - \frac{v_{0,\alpha}}{Y - P_\alpha} \right) X dY \\
\end{align*} \]
\[ \partial_t \mathcal{F} = \mu := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y dX - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} v_{0, \alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X dY - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} u_{0, \alpha} \]

\[ \partial_{\epsilon} \mathcal{F} = \Gamma_j := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{b_j} Y dX. \quad (2-8) \]

In these formulæ the symbol \( \mathcal{F} \) stands for the regularized integral obtained by subtraction of the singular part in the local parameter as follows:

(i) at \( \infty_X \) (\( \infty_Y \)) the local parameter is \( z = X^{-1} (\bar{z} = Y^{-1}) \);

(ii) at \( q_\alpha \) (\( p_\alpha \)) the local parameter is \( z_\alpha = X - Q_\alpha \) (\( z_\alpha = Y - P_\alpha \)).

The regularization is then defined as follows: if \( z \) is any of the above local parameters then

\[ \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \omega := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \omega - f(\epsilon), \quad (2-9) \]

where \( f(z) \) is defined as the antiderivative (without constant) of the singular part of \( \frac{\omega}{dz} \) as a function of \( z \) (near \( z = 0 \)). For example

**Example 2.1** The regularized integral according to the definition is

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y dX := \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} Y dX + V_{1, \infty}(X(R)) - \left( t - \sum_{\alpha} u_{0, \alpha} \right) \ln(X(R)) - V_{1, \infty}(X(\epsilon)). \] \( (2-10) \)

The two expressions for \( \mu \) in (2-8) are proven to be equivalent (thus showing the symmetry in the rôles of \( X \) and \( Y \)) by integration by parts, paying attention at the definition of the regularization (which involves as local parameters \( X^{-1} \) and \( Y^{-1} \) at the two different poles); indeed we have

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y dX = \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \left( \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} Y dX - V_{1, \infty}(X(\epsilon)) + (t + \sum_{\alpha} u_{0, \alpha}) \ln(X(\epsilon)) \right) = \] \( (2-11) \)

\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \left( -\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} X dY + X(\epsilon) Y(\epsilon) - X(p) Y(p) + V_{1, \infty}(X(\epsilon)) + (t + \sum_{\alpha} u_{0, \alpha}) \ln(X(\epsilon)) \right) = \] \( (2-12) \)

\[ = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X dY - X(p) Y(p) - (t + \sum_{\alpha} u_{0, \alpha}) \] \( (2-13) \)

together with a similar formula for the symmetric expression

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y dX = X(p) Y(p) + \left( t + \sum_{\beta} v_{0, \beta} \right) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X dY. \] \( (2-14) \)

Combining the two one has

\[ \mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y dX - \sum_{\beta} v_{0, \beta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X dY - \sum_{\alpha} u_{0, \alpha}. \] \( (2-15) \)

In full generality, given any meromorphic differential and local parameters around its poles one can give completely explicit formulæ for its regularized integrals (see App. D). In our specific setting we give explicit formulæ of the previous regularized integrals in terms of canonical differentials of the third kind in App. A.
We make also the important remark that in order for the above formulæ to make sense we must perform some surgery on the surface by cutting it along a choice of the $a, b$-cycles and by performing some mutually non-intersecting cuts between the poles with nonzero residues of the differential $Y\,dX$. We achieve this goal by choosing some segments on the surface joining $\infty_X$ to $\infty_P$, $\infty_X$ to $q_\alpha$ and $\infty_Y$ to $p_\alpha$. The result of this dissection is a simply connected domain where $X$, $Y$ are meromorphic functions and where the regularizations involving logarithms are defined by taking the principal determination.

The compatibility of equations (2-8) for $F$ can be shown by taking the cross-derivatives. We now briefly recall, for the reader’s sake, how to compute them since much of the formalism is needed in the following. The main tool is the previously defined Bergman kernel (Sect. 1.1) providing an effective way of writing formulas for first, second and third-kind normalized differentials on the Riemann-surface. This is needed when computing the cross derivatives of the free energy since the differentials $\partial Y \, dX$ and $\partial X \, dY$ (here $\partial$ is any variation of the coordinates) can be identified with certain canonical differentials.

In order to sketch how, let us first recall the thermodynamic identity

$$(\partial Y)_X \, dX = - (\partial X)_Y \, dY$$

(2-16)

where the subscript denotes the local coordinate to be kept fixed under variation. As an example of the use of (2-16) in identifying the various differentials we consider a derivative $\partial_{u_K}$. From the defining relations for the coordinates (2-3) we see that

$$(\partial_{u_K, \infty} \, Y)_X \, dX = \begin{cases} X^{K-1} dX + O(X^{-2}) dX & \text{near } \infty_X \\ O(1) dX & \text{near } q_\alpha \end{cases}$$

(2-17)

has a pole of order $K$ at $\infty_X$ without residue. In order what kind of singularity it has at $\infty_Y$ we use (2-16) followed by (2-3)

$$(\partial_{u_K, \infty} \, Y)_X \, dX = - (\partial_{u_K} \, X)_Y \, dY = \begin{cases} O(Y^{-2}) dY & \text{near } \infty_Y \\ O(1) dY & \text{near } p_\alpha \end{cases}.$$  

(2-18)

Therefore the differential $(\partial_{u_K, \infty} \, Y)_X \, dX$ has only a pole at $\infty_X$ and no residues: moreover it follows by differentiation of (2-7) that this differential is also normalized (i.e. with vanishing $a$-cycles), which is sufficient to uniquely specify it. It is then an exercise using the properties of $\Omega$ to see that

$$(\partial_{u_K, 0} \, Y)_X \, dX = - \underset{\infty}{\text{res}} \frac{X^K}{K} \Omega.$$  

(2-19)
Following the same logic and similar reasoning one can prove the following formulæ

\begin{align}
\text{First kind} & \quad (\partial_{\epsilon_j} Y) X dX = \omega_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{b_j} \Omega \\
(\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= -\res_{\omega_{0,\alpha}} \frac{X^K}{K} \Omega =: \omega_{K,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= -\frac{1}{K} \res_{q_{\alpha}} (X - Q_{\alpha})^{-K} \Omega =: \omega_{K,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{X_j} Y) X dX &= \res_{\omega_{j_\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{X_j} \\
(\partial_{Q_{\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{q_{\alpha}} \left( V_{1,\alpha} (X) - \frac{u_{0,\alpha}}{(X - Q_{\alpha})} \right) \Omega \\
(\partial_{v_{j,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{\omega_{j,\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{j,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{P_{\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{p_{\alpha}} \left( V_{2,\alpha} (Y) - \frac{v_{0,\alpha}}{(Y - P_{\alpha})} \right) \Omega \\
(\partial_{u_{0,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{q_{\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{0,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{v_{0,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{p_{\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{0,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{Y_j} Y) X dX &= \res_{\omega_{j_\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{Y_j}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\text{Second kind} & \quad (\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} Y) X dX = -\res_{q_{\alpha}} \frac{X^K}{K} \Omega =: \omega_{K,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{v_{j,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= \res_{p_{\alpha}} \left( V_{2,\alpha} (Y) - \frac{v_{0,\alpha}}{(Y - P_{\alpha})} \right) \Omega \\
(\partial_{Y_j} Y) X dX &= -\res_{\omega_{j_\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{Y_j}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\text{Third kind} & \quad (\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} Y) X dX = \res_{q_{\alpha}} \frac{X^K}{K} \Omega =: \omega_{K,\alpha} \\
(\partial_{v_{j,\alpha}} Y) X dX &= -\res_{p_{\alpha}} \left( V_{2,\alpha} (Y) - \frac{v_{0,\alpha}}{(Y - P_{\alpha})} \right) \Omega \\
(\partial_{Y_j} Y) X dX &= \res_{\omega_{j_\alpha}} Y \Omega =: \omega_{Y_j}
\end{align}

The only formulæ above that need some further explanations are the ones for the derivatives w.r.t. $X_j$ (or similarly $Y_j$); from the asymptotic behavior \((2-3)\) in the local parameter $z = \sqrt{X - X_j}$ we have

$$\partial_{X_j} Y dX = \left[ \frac{(\partial_{X_j} X_j)}{2} \frac{\sqrt{-2 R_j}}{z^3} + \frac{\partial \sqrt{-2 R_j}}{z} + O(1) \right] 2z dz = \frac{\sqrt{-2 R_j}}{z^2} dz + O(1) = -\frac{\sqrt{-2 R_j}}{z} + O(1) = \res_{\xi_j} Y \Omega$$

This proves that if $\partial = \partial_{X_j}$ then the differential has a double pole at $\xi_j$ without residues: similar reasoning at the other singularities and for the $a$-cycles of the differential force it to be equal to the formula above in \((2-21)\).

**Remark 2.1** As explained in the introduction, we are also interested to the restriction of $F$ to the subvariety of the moduli space defined by

$$\partial_{j_\alpha} F (V_1, V_2, t, g(V_1, V_2, t)) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, g.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-24)$$

Since on this subvariety the differentials $X dY, Y dX$ have identically vanishing $b$-periods, the formulas for the constrained derivatives that substitute \((2-21, 2-22)\) are the same with $\Omega$ \((1-19)\) replacing $\Omega$.

Before writing the cross derivatives in a way which is symmetric in $X, Y$, we introduce some useful notation: all the differentials \((2-20, 2-21, 2-22)\) are obtained by applying a suitable integral operator to one variable of

\footnote{The equations \((2-20)\) do not make sense on the subvariety since $\epsilon$ are not independent coordinates any longer.}
the Bergman kernel $\Omega$ according to the following table of translation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{K,\infty}} & \mapsto U_{K,\infty} := -\frac{1}{2iK\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^K \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0,\alpha}} & \mapsto U_{0,\alpha} := \int_{q_\alpha} \frac{1}{2iK\pi} (X - Q_\alpha)^K \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K,\alpha}} & \mapsto U_{K,\alpha} := -\frac{1}{2iK\pi} \int_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^K \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} & \mapsto R_J := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\xi_j} Y \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} & \mapsto T := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_j} & \mapsto E_J := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\eta_j} X
\end{align*}
$$
(2-25)

All the differentials (2-20, 2-21, 2-22) are obtained by applying the corresponding integral operator in (2-25) to the Bergman bidifferential $\Omega$.

In order to write the cross derivatives let us choose two coordinates and denote by $\partial_1, \partial_2$ the corresponding derivatives and by $\int_{\partial_1}, \int_{\partial_2}$ the corresponding integral operator as per the table (2-25): then we have

$$
\partial_1 \partial_2 F = \partial_1 \left( \int_{\partial_2} Y dX \right) = \int_{\partial_2} (\partial_1 Y) X dX = \int_{\partial_2} \int_{\partial_1} \Omega.
$$
(2-26)

The important and conclusive remark now is that the order of the action of the integral operators appearing in the list (2-25) on $\Omega$ is immaterial because the kernel $\Omega$ is symmetric and -more importantly- because its residue on the diagonal is zero. This means that in exchanging two integral operators one may in fact acquire the integral of a total differential which is going to cancel either by integration or against the regularization.

To illustrate the point we make two examples.

**Example 2.2** Consider two coordinates $u_{K,\alpha}, v_{J,\beta}$: then

$$
\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} \partial_{v_{J,\beta}} F = v_{J,\beta} u_{K,\alpha} \Omega.
$$
(2-27)

In this case the two integral operators involve either residues (for $K > 0$) or (regularized) integrals. Either way the contours do not intersect and the double integral is independent of the order.

**Example 2.3** Consider the derivatives $\partial_{u_{0,\alpha}}$ and $\partial_{u_{K,\alpha}}$: in this case the integral operators do involve intersecting contours, hence care must be exercised

$$
\partial_{u_{0,\alpha}} \partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} F = \frac{1}{2iK\pi} \int_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^{-K} (\zeta) \int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} \Omega(\zeta, \xi).
$$
(2-28)

The inner integral in fact does not need any regularization, so we have

$$
\partial_{u_{0,\alpha}} \partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} F = \frac{1}{2iK\pi} \int_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^{-K} (\zeta) \int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \to q_\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon} \int_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^{-K} (\zeta) \Omega(\zeta, \xi) - \frac{1}{K} (X(\epsilon) - Q_\alpha)^{-K} =
$$
(2-29)

$$
= \frac{1}{2iK\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} \int_{q_\alpha} (X - Q_\alpha)^{-K} (\zeta) \Omega(\zeta, \xi) = \partial_{u_{0,\alpha}} \partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} F.
$$
(2-30)

(The exchange of the order of the integrals gives a $-2i\pi\delta$ supported at the intersection of the contours of integration).
Theorem 2.1 The free energy is given by the formula (we set \( u_{K, 0} := u_{K, \infty}, \ v_{I, 0} := v_{I, \infty}, \ u_{0, 0} = u_{0, \infty} := v_{0, 0} = v_{0, \infty} := 0 \) for uniformity in the formulæ)

\[
2F = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{1, \alpha}} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \frac{u_{K, \alpha} U_{K, \alpha}}{+} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} v_{J, \alpha} V_{J, \alpha} + t \mu + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \Gamma_j + \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_X} \text{res } Y^2 X dX \\
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_Y} \text{res } X^2 Y dY
\end{array} \right.,
\]

(2-32)

where

\[
D_X := \{ \infty, q, \xi_j, \ \alpha = 1, \ldots; j = 1, \ldots \} \\
D_Y := \{ \infty, \eta_j, \ \alpha = 1, \ldots; j = 1, \ldots \}
\]

(see definitions of the properties of the points appearing here at the beginning of Sect. 2)\textsuperscript{7}

Proof. First of all note that the expression is symmetric in the rôles of \( X, Y \) after integration by parts and moving the residues to the other poles

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_X} \text{res } Y^2 X dX = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_Y} \text{res } Y^2 X dX = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_Y} \text{res } X^2 Y dY,
\]

(2-35)

where we have used that \( D_X \cup D_Y \) is the set of all poles of the differential \( Y^2 X dX \). Now, the proposed expression is nothing but

\[
2F = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{1, \alpha}} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \frac{u_{K, \alpha} U_{K, \alpha}}{+} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} v_{J, \alpha} V_{J, \alpha} (X dX) + t \mathcal{T} (X dX) +
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j (Y dX) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in D_X} \text{res } Y^2 X dX - t \sum_{\alpha} v_{0, \alpha}
\]

(2-36)

Suppose we compute a derivative w.r.t. \( \mu_{R, \beta} \): using the list of differentials \((2-20, 2-21, 2-22)\) and moving the computation of residues over to \( D_Y \) –for convenience– before the differentiation, we have

\[
2 \partial_{\mu_{R, \beta}} F = \mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} (Y dX) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{1, \alpha}} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \frac{u_{K, \alpha} U_{K, \alpha}}{+} (\mu_{R, \beta} \Omega) +
\]

\[
- \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} v_{J, \alpha} V_{J, \alpha} (-\mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} \Omega) + t \mathcal{T} (\mu_{R, \beta} \Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j (\mu_{R, \beta} \Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in D_Y} \text{res } Y X \mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} (\Omega) = (2-39)
\]

\[
= \mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} + \mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{1, \alpha}} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \frac{u_{K, \alpha} U_{K, \alpha}}{+} (\Omega) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2, \alpha}} v_{J, \alpha} V_{J, \alpha} (\Omega) + t \mathcal{T} (\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j (\Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in D_Y} \text{res } X Y (\Omega) \right)
\]

(2-38)

Note that the operator \( \mathcal{U}_{R, \beta} \) involves residues at one of the points of \( D_X \) and hence commutes with the other residues when acting on the (singular) kernel \( \Omega \) also for the last term involving residues at \( D_Y \).

\textsuperscript{7}The set \( D_X \) is the support of the pole-divisor of \( Y \) less the point \( \infty_Y \), and viceversa for \( D_Y \).
From the properties of $\Omega$ and the definitions of the integral operators it follows that the differential acted upon by $U_{R,\beta}$ is precisely $YdX$, namely

$$YdX = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_1} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} U_{K,\alpha} (\Omega) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_2} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} V_{J,\alpha} (\Omega) + tT(\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j(\Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} \text{res} XY\Omega . \quad (2-41)$$

This can be seen by analyzing the singular behavior near the poles and the $\alpha$-periods of both sides of the equality and verifying that they are the same\(^8\) whence we have the desired conclusion of this part of the proof.

The other derivatives are treated in completely parallel way.

The derivatives w.r.t. $X_j, Y_j$ are a little different because there is no explicit dependence of $F$ from these coordinates. However this produces the correct result since, for example

$$2\partial_{X_i}\mathcal{F} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_1} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} U_{K,\alpha} (R_\epsilon\Omega) - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_2} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} V_{J,\alpha} (-R_\epsilon\Omega) + tT(R_\epsilon\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j(R_\epsilon\Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} \text{res} XYR_\epsilon(\Omega) = \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(YdX) , \quad (2-44)$$

which is consistent with our definitions \((2-8)\).

As a final case we compute the derivative w.r.t. $Q_\alpha$: here some care should be paid to the commutation of the derivative with the integral operators. Indeed $\partial_{Q_\alpha}$ does not commute with the integral operators $U_{K,\alpha}, K = 0, \ldots$ but instead we have

$$[\partial_{Q_\alpha}, U_{K,\alpha}] = KU_{K+1,\alpha}, K = 1, \ldots \quad (2-45)$$

$$[\partial_{Q_\alpha}, U_{0,\alpha}] = U_{1,\alpha} . \quad (2-46)$$

While \((2-45)\) is rather obvious from the definition of the integral operator, some explanation is necessary for \((2-46)\). Expanding $\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} YdX$ in the local parameter at $q_\alpha$ we have

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} YdX = -V_{1,\alpha}(X(\epsilon)) + c_0 + c_1 z_\alpha + O(z_\alpha^2) + V_{1,\alpha}(X(\epsilon)) \quad (2-47)$$

Therefore we have

$$\partial_{Q_\alpha} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} YdX = \partial_{Q_\alpha} \left( \lim_{\epsilon \to q_\alpha} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} YdX + V_{1,\alpha}(X(\epsilon)) \right) = \partial_{Q_\alpha} c_0 \quad (2-48)$$

Viceversa (recalling that $\partial_{Q_\alpha} z_\alpha = -1$)

$$\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} (\partial_{Q_\alpha} Y)dX = \lim_{\epsilon \to q_\alpha} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} (\partial_{Q_\alpha} Y)dX = \lim_{\epsilon \to q_\alpha} \left( \partial_{Q_\alpha} c_0 - c_1 + \partial_{Q_\alpha} c_1 z_\alpha + O(z_\alpha^2) \right) = \partial_{Q_\alpha} c_0 - c_1 \quad (2-49)$$

\(^8\)One should use that the behaviour near a pole of the last term in the LHS is, e.g.,

$$\sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} \text{res} XY\Omega \sim -d(YV_{2,\alpha}(Y)) .$$
This shows that
\[ \partial Q_\alpha \int_{q_\alpha} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{q_\alpha} \frac{1}{X - Q_\alpha} = U_{1,\alpha}. \] (2-50)

Using this and computing the derivative of \( F \) we obtain the desired result
\[ \partial Q_\alpha F = \text{res} V'_\alpha(X)\mathbf{Y}d\mathbf{X}. \] (2-51)

Finally, while the reasoning is mostly similar, the \( t \) derivative has an additional technical difficulty. First of all we have
\[ \partial t \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}d\mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{q_\alpha} \frac{1}{X - Q_\alpha} = U_{1,\alpha}. \] (2-52)

The reason of the additional +1 is the fact that the local parameters near the two poles are different functions (here we set for brevity \( t_X = t + \sum u_{0,\alpha} \), \( t_Y = t + \sum v_{0,\alpha} \))
\[ \partial t \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}d\mathbf{X} = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \partial t \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{\rho} \mathbf{Y}d\mathbf{X} - (V_{1,\infty}(X) - t_X \ln(X))_\rho + (Y V'_{2,\infty}(Y) - V_{2,\infty}(Y) - t_Y \ln(Y))_\epsilon \right] = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{\rho} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left( \Omega + \ln(X(\rho)) - \ln(Y(\epsilon)) + \left( Y V''_{2,\infty}(Y) - t_Y \frac{1}{Y} \right) (\partial t \mathbf{Y})_X \right] = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{\rho} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left( \Omega + 1 \right) \right] \] (2-53)

Moreover, whether we sum at the poles in \( D_X \) or \( D_Y \), we need to interchange the order of the following residue/integral
\[ \text{res} \mathbf{XY} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}d\mathbf{X} = \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \text{res} \mathbf{XY} \Omega - Y(\epsilon)X(\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \text{res} \mathbf{XY} \Omega + t + \sum_{\alpha} v_{0,\alpha}. \] (2-54)

Putting it all together we find
\[ 2\partial t F = (T(\mathbf{YdX}) + t - \sum v_{0,\alpha}) + T(\mathbf{YdX}) - t - \sum v_{0,\alpha} = 2\mu. \] (2-55)

The other derivatives w.r.t. to the moduli \( v_{j,\alpha}, P_\alpha \) are computed in similar way.

**Corollary 2.1** The Free energy satisfies the following scaling constraints
\[ 2F = \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{Y}} F + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta} v_{0,\alpha}v_{0,\beta} + t \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} v_{0,\alpha} + \frac{t^2}{2} \] (2-56)
\[ 2F = \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{X}} F + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta} u_{0,\alpha}u_{0,\beta} + t \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} u_{0,\alpha} + \frac{t^2}{2} \] (2-57)

where
\[ \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{Y}} := \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \sum_{K \geq 0} u_{K,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{K,\alpha}} + \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\infty}} (1 - J)v_{j,\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j,\infty}} + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \left( P_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\alpha} + \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} (J + 1)v_{j,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j,\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\infty}} \sum_{J=1}^{d_{2,\infty}} (1 - J)v_{j,\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j,\infty}}. \]
\[ \nabla x := \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} v_{j,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j,\alpha}} + \sum_{K=1}^{d_{1,\infty}} (1 - K)u_{K,\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{K,\infty}} + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \left( Q\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial Q\alpha} + \sum_{K=0}^{d_{1,\infty}} (K + 1)u_{K,\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{K,\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{j} X_j \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{9} \epsilon_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_j} \]

Note that these formulæ give other representations of the free energy in terms of its first derivatives defined independently in (2-8). Moreover any convex linear combination will give another representation.

**Proof.** The formulæ can be obtained by explicitly computing the residues of \( Y^2 X dX \) at the various points or by the following straightforward argument. Consider the new functions \( \tilde{X} := X \) and \( \tilde{Y} = e^c Y \): the new free energy \( \tilde{F} \) will be given by the same formula (2-32) in terms of the new objects. Taking \( \frac{d}{dc}\big|_{c=0} \) gives the first formula. Some particular care has to be paid to the regularizations which involve subtraction of logarithms. The second formula is obtained in a symmetric way. Q.E.D.

If we denote by \( \int_{\partial} \) the integral operator associated to a derivative \( \partial \), the formulas for the second order derivatives are written concisely

\[ \partial_1 \partial_2 F = \int_{\partial_1} \int_{\partial_2} \Omega + \delta_{\partial_1} \cdot \delta_{\partial_2} \] (2-59)

In other words\(^9\) the Bergman kernel is the universal kernel for computing the second derivatives of the free energy and hence the two-point correlation functions of the matrix model in the planar limit.

The third order correlation functions were computed in [2] for the case of polynomial potentials: since the reasoning is identical we only report the result. The key ingredient there is the formula that allows you to find the variation of the Bergman kernel under infinitesimal change of the deformation parameters. The formulæ can be summarized as follows

\[ (\partial \Omega)_X(\xi, \eta) = -\int_{\partial, \partial} \sum_k \text{res}_{\xi = x_k} \frac{\Omega(\xi, \zeta)\Omega(\rho, \zeta)\Omega(\eta, \zeta)}{\partial Y(\zeta) dX(\zeta)} = -\sum_k \text{res}_{\xi = x_k} \frac{\Omega(\xi, \zeta)\omega_0(\zeta)\Omega(\eta, \zeta)}{\partial Y(\zeta) dX(\zeta)} \] (2-60)

where \( x_k \) and \( y_k \) denote respectively all the critical points of \( X \) and \( Y \) other than \( \infty_Y, \infty_X \) (namely \( dX(x_k) = 0, dY(y_j) = 0 \)). These formulæ follow from Rauch variational formula [21, 15]. Note that \( dY dX \) in the denominator has simple poles at the \( \xi_j, \eta_j \), hence the residues at these points do not contribute to the sum except for the cases where \( \omega_0 \) has a (double) pole at one of those points, namely only for the cases \( \partial = \partial_{X_j}, \partial_{Y_j} \).

The final formulæ for the third derivatives are simpler if we introduce the two kernels

\[ \Omega^{(3)}_X(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) := -\sum_k \text{res}_{\xi = x_k} \frac{\Omega(\zeta_1, \zeta)\Omega(\zeta_2, \zeta)\Omega(\zeta_3, \zeta)}{\partial Y(\zeta) dX(\zeta)} \] (2-61)

\[^9\text{We could dispose of the last term (enters only in } \partial^2 F \text{) by subtracting } \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 F; \text{ this would change the } t \text{-derivative } \mu \rightarrow \mu + t \text{ making the formula for the first derivatives slightly different. Note that this does not affect the derivatives of order } 3 \text{ and higher.}\]
\[ \Omega_Y^{(3)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) := \sum_{k \text{ res}} \Omega(\zeta_1, \zeta) \Omega(\zeta_2, \zeta) \Omega(\zeta_3, \zeta) \frac{dY(\zeta) dX(\zeta)}{dY(\zeta) dX(\zeta)} \]  

(2-62)

This way one obtains

\[ \partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} \partial_{u_{J,\beta}} \partial_{F} = \int_{\partial} U_{K,\alpha} \partial \Omega_Y^{(3)} \]

(2-63)

\[ \partial_{u_{K,\alpha}} \partial_{t,\beta} F = U_{K,\alpha} \partial \Omega_X^{(3)} ; \quad \partial_{v_{J,\alpha}} \partial_{t,\beta} F = V_{J,\alpha} \partial \Omega_Y^{(3)} \]

(2-64)

For all other third order derivatives one can use either kernels:

\[ \partial_{t,\alpha} \partial_{t,\beta} \partial_{F} = \int_{\partial} \Omega_Y^{(3)} \]

(2-65)

It should be clear to the reader that these formulæ translate to residue formulæ in the spirit of [19, 10]. For example

\[ \partial_{\varepsilon_j} \partial_{\varepsilon_k} \partial_{\varepsilon_\ell} F = - \sum_k \text{ res} \frac{\omega_{j-k} \omega_{\ell-k} \omega_{j-k}}{dY dX} = \sum_k \text{ res} \frac{\omega_{j-k} \omega_{\ell-k} \omega_{j-k}}{dY dX} \]

(2-66)

We should stress, however, that although the present moduli space can be embedded as a submanifold of the moduli space considered in [19], the coordinates \((u_k, v_j)\) that are relevant to the matrix-model applications are of a different sort and -resultingly- the free energy it is not the same function as the tau function of the Whitham hierarchy in [19].

## 3 Residue formulas for higher derivatives: extended Rauch-variational formulæ

It is clear from the previous review of the material that in order to compute any further variation we must be able to find the variation of the kernels \(\Omega_Y^{(3)}\) and \(\Omega_X^{(3)}\): this step will produce three kernels

\[ \Omega_Y^{(4)} , \Omega_X^{(4)} , \Omega_{XX}^{(4)} , \]

(3-1)

according to which variable \(Y\) or \(X\) we keep fixed under the new variation. The reason of this plethora is essentially that the variations of the basic differentials \(\int_{\partial} \Omega\) are performed more easily either at \(Y\) or \(X\) fixed: for instance if we compute the variation of \(\omega_K = U_K(\Omega)\) at \(X\)-fixed we obtain

\[ (\partial \omega_K, \partial \omega_\infty)_X(\xi) = \text{ res} \frac{X^K}{K} (\partial \Omega)_X = - \sum_k \text{ res} \frac{\omega_K(\xi) \omega_0(\xi) \Omega(\xi, \zeta)}{dY(\zeta) dX(\zeta)} \]

(3-2)

whereas

\[ (\partial \omega_K, \partial \omega_\infty)_Y = \text{ res} \left( X^{K-1}(\partial X)_Y \Omega + \frac{X^K}{K} (\partial \Omega)_Y \right) \]

(3-3)

This is in fact a manifestation of the thermodynamic identity for differentials

**Lemma 3.1** The variation of a differential at \(Y\) and \(X\) fixed are related by the following formulæ

\[ (\partial \omega)_Y = (\partial \omega)_X + \frac{d \omega}{dX}(\partial X)_Y = (\partial \omega)_X - \frac{d \omega}{dX}(\partial Y)_X \]

(3-4)
Proof. Writing $\omega = f \, dY = g \, dX$ we have
\[(\partial \omega)_Y = \left[(\partial g)_X + \frac{dg}{dX}(\partial X)_Y\right] \, dX + g \, d(\partial X)_Y = (\partial \omega)_X + d \, (g(\partial X)_Y) \ . \quad (3-5)\]

Since $g = \omega / dX$ and $(\partial X)_Y = -\omega \partial / dY$ we have the assertion. Q.E.D.

Using Lemma 3.1 and trading the residues at the $x_k$ over to the others (at the $y_\ell, \xi, \infty\text{X}$) one can check directly that the formulæ (3-2, 3-3) are consistent.

It should also be clear that the variation of the numerators of $\Omega^{(3)}_{Y,X}$ are obtained by simply applying the product rule and the previously listed appropriate Rauch formulæ. The only new ingredient is the variation of the denominator of $\Omega^{(3)}_{Y,X}$ as explained below.

Suppose we want to perform a variation $\partial$ at $X$ fixed of one of the two kernels; when we need to compute the variation of the denominator we need a formula for $\partial \frac{1}{dY}$. We should think of the expression $\frac{1}{dY}$ as a meromorphic vector field on the Riemann surface and the variation is the vector field
\[\partial \left(\frac{1}{dY}\right)_X = -\frac{d((\partial Y)_X)}{dY^2} = -\frac{1}{dY^2} d \left(\frac{\omega(X)}{dX}\right) \quad (3-6)\]

Now, the differential of the function $\frac{\omega}{dX}$ can be expressed as a residue using -once more- the Bergman kernel according to the following

**Lemma 3.2** Let $F$ be a (local) meromorphic function: then the differential $dF$ can be obtained by
\[dF(\xi) = \operatorname{res}_{\xi} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) F(\zeta) . \quad (3-7)\]

The proof is very simple using a local parameter near the point $\xi$ and the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel.

Combining Lemma 3.2 with (3-6) we have the new variational formula
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial \left(\frac{1}{dY}\right)_X &= -\frac{1}{dY^2(\xi)} \operatorname{res}_{\xi} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) \frac{\omega(\zeta)}{dX(\zeta)} \\
\partial \left(\frac{1}{dX}\right)_Y &= \frac{1}{dX^2(\xi)} \operatorname{res}_{\xi} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) \frac{\omega(\zeta)}{dY(\zeta)}
\end{align*} \quad (3-8)
\]

where the different sign in the second formula is due to the fact that $(\partial X)_Y = -\omega / dY$.

Let us summarize the rules of the calculus:

1. The variations of any differential can be performed at $X$ or $Y$ fixed, the two being related by Lemma 3.1.

2. The variations at $X$-fixed of the vector fields $1/dY$ and viceversa are given by eq. (3-8).

3. The variations of the Bergman bidifferential $\Omega$ are given by eqs. (2-60).

The choice of variable to be kept fixed $Y$ vs. $X$ is ultimately immaterial. However formulæ can take on a significantly more involved form if one chooses the "wrong" way of differentiation. We are going to practice this calculus and compute the fourth order derivatives explicitly. This will also provide us with relevant formulæ for the four point correlators of the planar limit of the two-matrix model.
3.1 Fourth order

To illustrate the method we compute the fourth derivatives w.r.t. $u_{K,\alpha}, u_{L,\beta}, u_{M,\gamma}, u_{N,\delta}$ (which we will denote in short hand by subscripts $M,N,L,K$ only). We start from the expression for the third derivative

$$\partial_M \partial_N \partial_L \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_{M,N,L} = - \sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_M \omega_L \omega_N}{dYdX}.$$ (3-9)

It is quite obvious from the considerations around Eq. (3-2) that the extra derivative is most easily computed at $X$-fixed:

$$\partial_K \mathcal{F}_{M,N,L} = - \sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_L \omega_M \omega_N}{dYdX} \cdot (M \leftrightarrow L) - (M \leftrightarrow N) + \sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_L \omega_M \omega_N}{dYdX} \cdot \frac{d(\partial_K Y)}{dY}.$$ (3-10)

Using now Lemma 3.2 and the variational formulæ (2-60) we obtain

$$\partial_K \mathcal{F}_{M,N,L} = \sum_{k} \sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_L(\xi)\omega_M(\xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \left( \sum_{\zeta} \frac{\omega_M(\zeta)\omega_K(\zeta)}{dY(\zeta)dX(\zeta)} \cdot (M \leftrightarrow L) + (M \leftrightarrow N) \right)$$

$$\quad + \sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_L(\xi)\omega_M(\xi)}{dY(\xi)^2dX(\xi)} \cdot \frac{\omega_K(\zeta)\Omega(\zeta,\xi)}{dX(\zeta)}.$$ (3-11)

The computation could end here, since we have successfully expressed the derivatives in terms of residues of known differentials: however this expression is not obviously symmetric in the exchange of the indices, whereas it should be since it expresses the fourth derivatives of the free energy. The expression is symmetric, but not at first sight. In the double sum the order of the residues is immaterial only for the non-diagonal part: for the diagonal part of the sum the residue w.r.t. $\zeta$ must be evaluated first. The non-diagonal part of the sum is

$$\sum_{\xi=x_k} \sum_{\ell \neq \xi} \frac{\omega_L(\xi)\omega_N(\xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \cdot \frac{\omega_M(\zeta)\omega_K(\zeta)}{dY(\zeta)dX(\zeta)} \cdot (M \leftrightarrow L) + (M \leftrightarrow N)$$ (3-12)

where the order of the residues is as we said- immaterial because they are taken at different points. This term corresponds diagrammatically to

![Diagram]

and is manifestly symmetric in $K, L, M, N$. The diagonal part is not manifestly symmetric but in fact we are going to show that it is. The diagonal part of the sum together with last term is made of the following residues

$$\sum_{\xi=x_k} \frac{\omega_L(\xi)\omega_N(\xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \cdot \frac{\omega_M(\zeta)\omega_K(\zeta)}{dY(\zeta)dX(\zeta)} \cdot (M \leftrightarrow L) + (M \leftrightarrow N)$$

where we stress that the residues w.r.t. $\zeta$ have to be evaluated first. For instance, a rather long computation in the local coordinate $z = \sqrt{X - X(x_k)}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2} L M N K'' + L M N'' K + L M'' N K + L'' M N K + L M N K S_B - \frac{1}{2} K L M N Y''' \cdot \frac{1}{(Y')^2}.$$ (3-13)
where the short-hand notation is as follows
\[ \omega_L = L(z)dz, \quad \omega_K = K(z)dz, \quad \omega_M = M(z)dz, \quad \omega_N = N(z)dz \] (3-16)
\[ \Omega(z, z') = \left( \frac{1}{(z - z')^2} + \frac{1}{6}S_B(z, z') \right) dzdz', \] (3-17)
and \( S_B(z, z) \) is the projective connection, and all quantities are evaluated at \( z = 0 \).

### 3.1.1 4-point correlator

This is the formal expression for
\[ R_{4,0}^{(4)}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) := \frac{\delta^4F}{\delta V_1(q_1)\delta V_1(q_2)\delta V_1(q_3)\delta V_1(q_4)}, \] (3-18)
where the formal operator \( \delta/\delta V_1(q) \) is defined by
\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta V_1(q)} = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} q^{-K-1}K \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{K,\infty}}. \] (3-19)

By summing the four indices of the above derivatives (at least formally) we obtain
\[ R_{4,0}^{(4)}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) dq_1 dq_2 dq_3 dq_4 = \Omega_{XX}^{(4)}(\zeta(q_1), \zeta(q_2), \zeta(q_3), \zeta(q_4)) \] (3-20)
where \( \zeta(q) \) is the solution of \( X(\zeta) = q \) on the physical sheet of the cover \( X : \Sigma_g \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \) and
\[ \Omega_{XX}^{(4)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\xi=x_\ell} \Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)\Omega(3, \xi)\Omega(4, \xi) \frac{dY^2(\xi)dX(\xi)}{\zeta=\xi} + \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\xi=x_\ell} \sum_{\zeta=x_\xi} \Omega(1, \zeta)\Omega(4, \xi) \frac{dY(\zeta)dX(\xi)}{\zeta=\xi} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) \] (3-21)
\[ \Omega_{YY}^{(4)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\xi=y_\ell} \Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)\Omega(3, \xi)\Omega(4, \xi) \frac{dY(\xi)dX^2(\xi)}{\zeta=\xi} + \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\xi=y_\ell} \sum_{\zeta=y_\xi} \Omega(1, \zeta)\Omega(4, \xi) \frac{dY(\zeta)dX(\xi)}{\zeta=\xi} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) \] (3-25)
3.2 “Mixed” fourth order derivatives

As a further example we compute the derivatives w.r.t. \( u_L, u_M, v_N, v_K \): we leave the derivative w.r.t. \( v_K \) last and perform it at \( X \)-fixed

\[
\bar{F}_{LM\bar{N}\bar{K}} = \partial_{\bar{K}} \bar{F}_{LM\bar{N}} = \partial_{\bar{K}} \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-28}
\]

\[
= \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-29}
\]

\[
= \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-30}
\]

Note that in order to compute effectively the derivative of \( \omega_{\bar{K}} \) at \( X \)-fixed we have used Lemma 3.1. Once more one can check that the resulting expression is symmetric in \( \bar{N} \leftrightarrow \bar{K} \) and \( M \leftrightarrow L \). The only terms which do not have this symmetry at first sight are the diagonal part of the double sum over the \( x_k \) together with the last term:

\[
\bar{F}_{LM\bar{N}\bar{K}} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-31}
\]

\[
- \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-32}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-33}
\]

\[
\bar{F}_{LM\bar{N}\bar{K}} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-34}
\]

\[
- \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-35}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-36}
\]

\[
- \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} = \sum_{x_k} \omega_L \omega_M \omega_N \frac{dY d\bar{X}}{dY dX} \tag{3-37}
\]

The same considerations about symmetry done previously apply to the sum on line (3-37) as well.

3.2.1 4-point correlator

Using the above computation we can compute the following four-point correlator

\[
\bar{R}_{2,2}^{(4)}(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) := \frac{\delta^4 F}{\delta V_1(q_1)V_1(q_2)V_2(p_1)V_2(p_2)} \tag{3-38}
\]

Performing the multiple summation we find

\[
\bar{R}_{2,2}^{(4)}(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) = \Omega_{XY}^{(4)}(\zeta(q_1), \zeta(q_2), \zeta(p_1), \zeta(p_2)) \tag{3-39}
\]
where \( \xi(p) \) is the solution on the physical sheet of \( Y(\xi) = p \) and

\[
\Omega^{(4)}_{XY}(1, 2, 3, 4) := \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} + \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) +
\]

(3-40)

\[
= \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} + \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) +
\]

(3-41)

\[
= \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} + \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) +
\]

(3-42)

\[
= \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} + \sum_{\xi = \xi_k} \sum_{\xi = \xi_r} \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} \Omega(\xi, \xi) \frac{\Omega(1, \xi)\Omega(2, \xi)}{dY(\xi)dX(\xi)} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) +
\]

(3-43)

Repeating the derivation from the beginning one can realize that there is no need of any other kernel for

\[
R^{(4)}_{3,1}(q_1, q_2, q_3, p_2) := \frac{\delta^4 F}{\delta V_1(q_1)V_1(q_2)V_2(p_1)V_2(p_2)}
\]

(3-44)

which is given by

\[
R^{(4)}_{3,1}(q_1, q_2, q_3, p_1)dq_1dq_2dq_3dp_1 = \Omega^{(4)}_{XX}(\xi(q_1), \xi(q_2), \xi(q_3), \xi(p_1))
\]

(3-45)

### 3.2.2 Summary of all fourth derivatives

These three kernels are sufficient for us to write all fourth derivatives compactly as some new residue formulæ (note: the order in which the integral operators appear is to mean that they are applied to the variable that appear in the corresponding position in the kernel)

\[
\partial_{u_k} \partial_{u_l} \partial_{v_i} \partial_{v_m} F = U_K U_J V_L V_M \Omega^{(4)}_{XY}
\]

(3-46)

\[
\partial_{u_i} \partial_{v_j} \partial_{u_i}^2 F = U_K T T V_J \Omega^{(4)}_{XY}
\]

(3-47)

\[
\partial_{u_i} \partial_{v_j} \partial_{v_i} F = U_K \int_{\partial_1} \int_{\partial_2} \int_{\partial_3} \Omega^{(4)}_{XX}
\]

(3-48)

\[
\partial_{v_j} \partial_{v_i} \partial_{u_i} F = V_J \int_{\partial_1} \int_{\partial_2} \int_{\partial_3} \Omega^{(4)}_{YY}
\]

(3-49)

\[
\partial_{u_i} \partial_{u_i} \partial_{v_i} \partial_{v_i} F = \int_{\partial_1} \int_{\partial_2} \int_{\partial_3} \int_{\partial_4} \Omega^{(4)}_{YY}
\]

(3-50)

where the symbols \( \partial_j \) here mean derivatives with respect to variables not included in the previous items of the list.

### 3.3 Higher order correlators

The computation of any derivative of any order is just a matter of application of the “rules of calculus” outlined previously; in this fashion one could obtain residue formulæ for any derivative and possibly develop some diagrammatic rules to help in the computation. We leave this exercise to the reader who may need it for his/her application to a specific problem. The formal “puncture” operators

\[
dX(\xi) \frac{\delta}{\delta V_1(X(\xi))}, \quad dY(\xi) \frac{\delta}{\delta V_2(Y(\xi))}
\]

(3-51)
act as follows on each term
\[ dX(1) \frac{\delta \Omega(2,3)}{\delta V_1(X(1))} = \sum_{\xi=x} \text{res} \Omega(1,\xi)\Omega(2,\xi)\Omega(3,\xi) \frac{dY(\xi)}{dX(\xi)} \] (3-52)
\[ dX(1) \frac{\delta}{\delta V_1(X(1))} \left( \frac{1}{dY(2)} \right) = \frac{1}{dY^2(2)} \frac{d2}{dX(\xi)} \Omega(1,2) \frac{dY(x)}{dX(\xi)} \] (3-53)
\[ dY(1) \frac{\delta \Omega(2,3)}{\delta V_2(Y(1))} = -\sum_{\xi=y} \text{res} \Omega(1,\xi)\Omega(2,\xi)\Omega(3,\xi) \frac{dX(\xi)}{dY(\xi)} \] (3-54)
\[ dY(1) \frac{\delta}{\delta V_2(Y(1))} \left( \frac{1}{dX(2)} \right) = -\frac{1}{dX^2(2)} \frac{d2}{dY(\xi)} \Omega(2,\xi)\Omega(\xi,1) \] (3-55)

Combining these “rules” it is easy to obtain any correlator: the resulting expression will be symmetric in the exchange of the variables, although to recognize this some careful analysis of the residues is required.

3.4 The Equilibrium correlators

The derivation of the multiple derivatives of the equilibrium free energy \( G \) follows the same lines and the results are the same formulæ with \( \Omega \) replaced by \( \bar{\Omega} \) (clearly there are no derivatives w.r.t. the filling fractions \( \epsilon_j \) which are now dependent functions). In general the rules of calculus for \( G \) are the same as the rule of calculus for \( F \) with all the instances of the Bergman kernel replaced by the dual kernel \( \bar{\Omega} \).

A Explicit form of the regularized integrals

In this section we provide explicit formulæ for the regularized integrals used in the definition of the Free energy and the \( \tau \) function of the previous section.

The main tools are the following properties which were used in the proof of the derivatives of the free energy
\[
Y \cdot dX = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_1,\alpha} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{K,\alpha}(\Omega) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_2,\alpha} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{J,\alpha}(\Omega) + tT(\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \varepsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j(\Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\chi} \text{res} \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{Y} \Omega \] (A-1)
\[
X \cdot dY = -\sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_1,\alpha} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{K,\alpha}(\Omega) - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_2,\alpha} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{J,\alpha}(\Omega) - tT(\Omega) - \sum_{j=1}^{g} \varepsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j(\Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\chi} \text{res} \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{Y} \Omega \] (A-2)

Let us compute \( \int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} Y \cdot dX \) according to the original definition of regularization: since the operator \( \int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \) commutes with the integral operators/regularizations in (A-1) we obtain immediately
\[
\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} Y \cdot dX = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_1,\alpha} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{K,\alpha}(\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \Omega) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_2,\alpha} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{J,\alpha}(\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \Omega) + \]
\[+ tT(\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \varepsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j(\int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \Omega) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\chi} \text{res} \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{Y} \int_{q_\alpha}^{\infty} \Omega \] (A-3)

The differential \( \int_{\infty}^{\Omega} \) is the unique normalized differential of the third kind with simple poles at \( q_\alpha \), \( \infty \cdot \chi \) and residues—respectively—+1, −1. To simplify formulæ let us define for any two points \( \xi, \eta \) the following function
\[
\Lambda_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) := \exp \left[ \int_{\zeta}^{\xi} \int_{\xi}^{\eta} \right] \quad \int_{\xi}^{\eta} \Omega = \frac{d\Lambda_{\xi,\eta}}{\Lambda_{\xi,\eta}} \] (A-4)
This is a multivalued function around the $b$-cycles; on the simply connected domain obtained by dissection of our surface, $\Lambda_{\xi, \eta}$ has a simple pole at $\xi$ and a simple zero at $\eta$. It is defined up to a multiplicative constant (depending on the base-point for the outer integration), which however will not affect our result. With this definition we have ($q_0 := \infty_X$, $p_0 := \infty_Y$)

$$\partial_{u_0, \alpha} F = \int_{q_0}^{\infty_X} Y dX = -\sum_{\alpha = 0}^{\infty_X} \text{res}_{q_0} V_{1, \alpha}(X) \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + \sum_{\beta = 0}^{\infty_X} \text{res}_{q_0} (V_{2, \beta}(Y) - XY) \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + \sum_{\beta = 1}^{\infty_X} v_{0, \beta} \ln \left( \Lambda_{\beta}(q_0) \right) + \sum_{\alpha = 0}^{\infty_X} u_{0, \alpha} \ln \left( \gamma_{\infty_X}(\Lambda(q_0)) \right)$$

where we have set $\Lambda := \Lambda_{q_0, \infty_X}$ and

$$\ln \gamma_{\infty_X} := \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty_X} \ln (\Lambda_{q_0, \infty_X} X)$$

$$\ln \gamma_{q_0} := \lim_{\epsilon \to q_0} \ln (\Lambda_{q_0, \infty_X} (X - Q_\alpha))$$

The formulæ for the derivatives w.r.t. $v_{0, \alpha}$ are obtained by interchanging all the roles of $X, \infty_X, q_0$ with $Y, \infty_Y, p_\alpha$.

Finally the formula for the $t$-derivative

$$\partial_t F = \int_{\infty_Y}^{\infty_X} Y dX - \sum_{\alpha} v_{0, \alpha} = -\sum_{\alpha = 0}^{\infty_X} \text{res}_{q_0} V_{1, \alpha}(X) \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + \sum_{\beta = 0}^{\infty_X} \text{res}_{q_0} (V_{2, \beta}(Y) - XY) \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + \sum_{\alpha = 0}^{\infty_X} u_{0, \alpha} \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda_{\beta}(q_0)}{\gamma_{\infty_Y}} \right) + \sum_{\beta = 1}^{\infty_X} v_{0, \beta} \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda(p_\beta)}{\gamma_{\infty_Y}} \right) + \sum_{j = 1}^{\infty_X} \frac{\epsilon_j}{2i\pi} \oint_{b_j} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + t$$

where -this time-

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_{\infty_Y, \infty_X}$$

$$\ln \gamma_{\infty_X} := \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty_X} \ln(\Lambda X)$$

$$\ln \gamma_{\infty_Y} := \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty_Y} \ln \left( \Lambda \frac{1}{Y} \right)$$

The extra $\sum_{\alpha} v_{0, \alpha}$ which cancels with the same term in the expression for $\mu$ is due to a careful analysis of the regularization prescription for the following term in the computation

$$\text{res}_{\infty_Y} XY \int_{\infty_Y}^{\infty_X} \Omega = \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty_Y} \left( \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty_Y} \text{res}_{\infty_X} XY \Omega + X(\epsilon) Y(\epsilon) \right) = -t - \sum_{\alpha} v_{0, \alpha} + \int_{\infty_Y}^{\infty_X} \text{res}_{\infty_Y} XY \Omega \right)$$

Note that, in all these formulæ, the $b$-periods of $\frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$ are the Abel map of the two poles of this differential.

**B Example: one cut case (genus 0) and conformal maps**

The formulæ for the derivatives simplify drastically in case the curve $\Sigma_g$ is a rational curve. In this case, introducing a global coordinate $\lambda$ (as explained in [1, 2]) with a zero at $\infty_Y$ and a pole at $\infty_X$ and suitably
normalized one can always write the two functions $X, Y$ as

$$X = \gamma \lambda + \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\infty}} A_{K,\infty} \lambda^{-K} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{K=0}^{d_{2,\infty}} A_{K,\alpha} (\lambda - \lambda_\alpha)^{-K-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{F_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \lambda_Y$$

$$Y = \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} + \sum_{J=0}^{d_{1,\infty}} B_{J,\infty} \lambda^J + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{J=0}^{d_{1,\infty}} B_{J,\alpha} (\lambda - \lambda_\alpha)^{-J-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{G_j}{\lambda - \lambda_{j,X}}$$

(B-1)

$$Q_\alpha := X(\lambda_\alpha), \quad P_\alpha := Y(\lambda_\alpha).$$

(B-2)

The parameters $\gamma, A_j, F_j$ and $B_j, G_j, j = 1\ldots,s$ are not independent but are constrained by the following set of linear equations (in $\gamma, A_j, B_j, F_j, G_j$)

$$X'(\lambda_{j,X}) = 0, \quad j = 1,\ldots,r; \quad Y'(\lambda_{j,Y}) = 0, \quad j = 1,\ldots,s.$$ 

(B-3)

As we have already mentioned, the equivalent of the Bergman kernel is simply

$$\Omega(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{d\lambda d\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2}.$$ 

(B-4)

This is the kernel of the derivative followed by projection to the principal part. For example the differentials $\omega_{K,\infty}$

$$\omega_{K,\infty}(\lambda) = -\operatorname{Res}_{\mu=\infty} \frac{X^K(\mu)}{K} \Omega(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{K} \left( X^K \right)_t d\lambda,$$ 

(B-5)

where the $\pm$-subscripts mean the polynomial or Laurent part of the expression enclosed in the brackets. Similar completely explicit formulæ for all other differentials in the lists (2-20, 2-21, 2-22) are left to the reader.

The coordinates are given by the usual formulæ (2-3). The free energy can be written in a quite explicit form using the following simplifications due to the existence of a global coordinate $\lambda$ ($\lambda_0 := \infty, \lambda_0 := 0$)

$$\partial_{u_{0,\beta}} F = -\sum_{\beta \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha=0} V_{1}(X) \lambda = \lambda - \lambda_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \geq 0} V_{2}(Y) - XY \lambda = \lambda - \lambda_\alpha +$$

$$u_{0,\beta} \ln (\gamma (\lambda - \lambda_\alpha)) + u_{0,\alpha} \ln \left( \frac{\gamma}{X(Y)} \right) + \sum_{\beta \geq 0} v_{0,\beta} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\lambda - \lambda_\beta} \right) + t \ln \left( \lambda_\alpha \gamma \right)$$

(B-6)

$$\partial_{v_{0,\beta}} F = -\sum_{\beta \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha=0} V_{2,\beta}(Y) \lambda = \lambda - \lambda_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \geq 0} V_{1}(X) - XY \lambda = \lambda - \lambda_\alpha +$$

$$v_{0,\beta} \ln \left( \frac{(\lambda_\alpha)^2}{(\lambda - \lambda_\alpha) \gamma} \right) - v_{0,\alpha} \ln \left( \frac{(\lambda_\beta)^2}{\gamma} \right) + \sum_{\beta \geq 0} v_{0,\beta} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_\beta}{\lambda - \lambda_\alpha} \right) + t \ln \left( \frac{\gamma}{\lambda_\alpha} \right)$$

since $\Lambda_{\mu,\infty} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_\mu}$ and $\Lambda_{\mu,\infty} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_\mu}$.

Moreover, using this time $\Lambda_{\infty,K,\infty} = \lambda$ and formula (A-7)

$$\partial_{t} F = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha=0} V_{1,\beta}(X) \lambda = \lambda - \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \left( V_{2,\beta}(Y) - XY \right) \lambda$$

$$u_{0,\beta} \ln (\lambda_\gamma) - \sum_{\beta = 1} u_{0,\beta} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_\beta}{\gamma} \right) + t \ln (\gamma^2) + t$$

(B-8)
By computing the other residues one can get explicit formulas for the Free energy in terms of the uniformization (B-1) and using Thm. 2.1.

Denoting as before by \( x_k \) and \( y_t \) the critical points of the functions \( X \) and \( Y \) respectively we have as example of fourth point correlators

\[
-\mathcal{F}_{4,0}^{(4)}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)X'(\mu_1)X'(\mu_2)X'(\mu_3)X'(\mu_4) = \sum_{k,r} \frac{(\mu_1 - x_k)^{-2}(\mu_2 - x_k)^{-2}}{Y'(x_k)X''(x_k)} \frac{1}{(x_k - x_r)^2} \frac{(\mu_3 - x_r)^{-2}(\mu_4 - x_r)^{-2}}{Y'(x_r)X''(x_r)} + (\mu_1 \leftrightarrow \mu_3) + (\mu_1 \leftrightarrow \mu_4) + (B-9)
\]

\[
+ \sum_k \frac{1}{6Y''(X'')} \left[ \frac{2Y'(X'')^2 + 2Y''X''X''' - 3(X'')^2Y''' - 3X^{(iv)}X''Y'}{(\mu_1 - x_k)^2(\mu_2 - x_k)^2(\mu_3 - x_k)^2(\mu_4 - x_k)^2} \right] + (B-10)
\]

\[
+ \frac{18(X'')^2Y'}{(\mu_1 - x_k)^2(\mu_2 - x_k)^2(\mu_3 - x_k)^2(\mu_4 - x_k)^2} \left[ (\mu_1 - x_k)^{-2} + \text{cyc} \right] - \frac{2X''X''Y'}{(\mu_1 - x_k)^2(\mu_2 - x_k)^2(\mu_3 - x_k)^2(\mu_4 - x_k)^2} \right] \bigg|_{\lambda = x_k} (B-11)
\]

Here the expression looks more complicated than necessary because the derivatives are taken w.r.t. \( \lambda \).

Higher order correlators are of increasingly cumbersome expression but in principle they are easily computed using the general calculus outlined in the main text.

**B.1 Conformal maps**

A further simplification of the formulæ arises in the case the functions \( Y \) and \( X \) above describe the Riemann uniformization and its Schwartz reflected of a simply-connected domain \( D \) in the \( X \) plane \([\).

We recall that all our formulas can be easily adapted to the description of simply and multiply connected domains (the number of connected components being the genus of the curve) by taking the curve \( \Sigma_g \) as an \( M \)-curve in the sense of Harnack [14]: namely a curve with an anti-holomorphic involution \( \varphi : \Sigma_g \to \Sigma_g \) having \( g + 1 \) contours of fixed points and such that

\[
X(\zeta) = \overline{Y(\varphi(\zeta))}. \tag{B-12}
\]

In genus zero and with the normalization used in the previous paragraph for the uniformizing coordinate, the anti-holomorphic involution would be \( \lambda \to \frac{1}{\lambda} \). The two functions \( Y \) and \( X \) then satisfy

\[
X(\lambda) = Y \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \tag{B-13}
\]

Since \( X(\lambda) \) is now the uniformizing map of a simply connected domain \( D \) it follows from the general properties of such maps that \( X \) maps biholomorphically the outer region \( \mathbb{C} \setminus D \) to the outside of the unit disk in the \( \lambda \)-plane. This means that the zeroes of \( dX \) all lie inside the unit disk and hence the zeroes of \( dY \) (which is the Schwartz function of the domain) all lie outside.

The Free energy of the two-matrix model under this reduction \( v_K = \overline{v_K} \), reduces to the tau-function of Jordan curves studied by Zabrodin at al. [17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 25] as explained in [1, 2]

\[
\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_D \int_D d^2X d^2\overline{X} \ln \left| \frac{1}{X} - \frac{1}{\overline{X}} \right| \tag{B-14}
\]

\(^{10}\text{Note that the set of points } \{\lambda_{j,\lambda}\} \text{ is a subset of the } \{x_k\} \text{ and similarly for the } \{\lambda_{j,y}\} \text{ and } \{y_k\}.\)
The coordinates $u_K$ are the so-called exterior harmonic moments of the region

$$
t = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_D d\mathbf{X} \wedge d\mathbf{X} = \mathcal{I}
$$

(B-15)

$$
u_k = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_D \mathbf{X}^K d\mathbf{X} \wedge d\mathbf{X}.
$$

(B-16)

The Free energy is in this case a real analytic function of the harmonic moments $\mathcal{F} = (u_K, \overline{u_K}, t)$ and the previous formulæ for the fourth derivatives\(^\text{11}\) can be translated into contour integral-formulæ which in turn could be written in terms of the Green’s function of the Laplacian for the given region. It is also clear that effective formulæ can be obtained for the multiply connected domains which correspond to higher genus $M$-curves considered in this context.

### C  An extended moduli space

The moduli space considered in this paper could be easily extended in the spirit of [19] by considering instead of functions $X, Y$ some normalized second-kind differentials $dX, dY$: this generalization has probably no relevance in the context of matrix models, nevertheless we sketch the main extra features. The practical difference is that now we may still think of multivalued functions $X, Y$ with the properties

$$
X(\zeta + b_j) = X(\zeta) + A_j
$$

(C-1)

$$
Y(\zeta + b_j) = Y(\zeta) + B_j,
$$

(C-2)

whereas the functions have no multivaluedness along the $a$-cycles. The rest of the description of the moduli space is exactly as in Sect. 2. Note that this moduli space is “larger” than the moduli space of [19] because we are also considering the position of some zeroes of our primary differentials.

After dissection of the surface $\Sigma_g$ along the chosen cycles $\{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,g}$ and along the fixed contours between the non hard-edge poles we obtain a simply connected domain over which we will consider the functions $X = \int dX, Y = \int dY$. In this domain the same asymptotics as in (2-3) are valid (where the “potentials” are discontinuous across the cuts along which we have dissected the surface). The free energy (we should probably call it rather “tau” function) would be defined by the same formulæ (2-8) except for the fact that the $\epsilon_j$-derivatives should be replaced by the formulæ below and we should consider the derivatives w.r.t. the extra moduli $A_j, B_j$

$$
A_j := \partial_{A_j} \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( \oint_{a_j} YX dY - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_j B_j \right)
$$

(C-3)

$$
B_j := \partial_{B_j} \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( \oint_{a_j} YX dX + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_j A_j \right)
$$

(C-4)

$$
\Gamma_j := \partial_{\epsilon_j} \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} A_j B_j - B_j X(\zeta) + \int_{\zeta}^{\zeta+b_j} Y dX \right)
= -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} A_j B_j - A_j Y(\zeta) + \int_{\zeta}^{\zeta+b_j} X dY \right)
$$

(C-5)

\(^\text{11}\)The third derivatives were computed in [25].
The equivalence of the two last lines is given by integration by parts. Also, the last integrals may seem to depend on the base-point of integration: in fact they do not as one may check by computing the differential at $\zeta$.

Besides the differentials considered in (2-20, 2-21, 2-22) one also has

\[
(\partial_A, X)Y \, dY = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega = -A_j(\Omega)
\]

\[
(\partial_B, Y)X \, dX = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} X \Omega = -B_j(\Omega)
\]

(C-6)

These formulæ are obtained by noticing that $(\partial_B, Y)X \, dX$ is a holomorphic multivalued differential with monodromy only around the corresponding $b$-cycle

\[
(\partial_B, Y)X \, dX \bigg|_{\zeta}^{\zeta + b} = -\delta_{jk} dX(\zeta). \tag{C-7}
\]

The integral formula has the same properties and hence we have the equality. The reasoning for $(\partial_A, X)Y \, dY$ is symmetric. Note that -using the thermodynamic identity- we have

\[
(\partial_B, X)Y \, dY = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} X \Omega.
\]

(C-8)

The considerations to prove the compatibility of the above equations are similar to the previous case with one notable exception we want to bring to the attention of the reader; in the computations of the second derivatives one is lead to considering integrals of the form

\[
\oint_{a_j} X \oint_{b_k} Y \Omega, \quad \oint_{a_j} X \oint_{a_k} X \Omega, \quad \oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{b_k} Y \Omega, \quad \oint_{a_j} \oint_{a_k} Y \Omega.
\]

(C-9)

These integrals do not depend on the order only if $j \neq k$: in fact we have

\[
\oint_{a_j} X \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega = \oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{a_j} X \Omega + 2i\pi \oint_{a_j} X \, dY
\]

\[
\oint_{a_j} X \oint_{b_k} X \Omega = \oint_{a_j} X \oint_{a_j} X \Omega + 2i\pi \oint_{a_j} X \, dX = \oint_{a_j} X \oint_{a_j} X \Omega
\]

\[
\oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega = \oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega + 2i\pi \oint_{a_j} Y \, dY = \oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega
\]

(C-10)

Another kind of integrals that one encounters are of the type

\[
\oint_{a_j} Y \oint_{b_k} \Omega = 2i\pi \oint_{a_j} Y \Omega_k
\]

(C-11)

Here one has to use the following rule for exchanging the order of the integrals: suppose that a specific choice of the homology representatives of $a_j$ and $b_j$ intersect at the point $\zeta_0$, then

\[
\oint_{\zeta \in a_j} F(\zeta) \oint_{b_k} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) = \oint_{\xi \in b_k} (F(\zeta) - F(\zeta_0)) \oint_{\zeta \in a_j} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) + F(\zeta_0) \oint_{\zeta \in a_j} \oint_{\xi \in b_k} \Omega(\zeta, \xi) = \tag{C-12}
\]

\[
= \oint_{\xi \in b_k} \oint_{\zeta \in a_j} (F(\zeta) - F(\zeta_0)) \Omega(\zeta, \xi) - 2i\pi \delta_{jk} F(\zeta_0) = 2i\pi \delta_{jk} F(\zeta_0) + \oint_{\xi \in b_k} \oint_{\zeta \in a_j} F(\zeta) \Omega(\zeta, \xi) \tag{C-13}
\]
By following similar arguments one can prove that

\[ 2\mathcal{F} = 2\mathcal{F}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{g} (A_j A_j + B_j B_j) \]  

(C-14)

where \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) is given by the same formula (2-32) (with the new meaning of \( \Gamma_j \), though). The proof rests on the identity

\[ Y d\mathbf{X} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{1,\alpha}} u_{K,\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{K,\alpha} (\Omega) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d_{2,\alpha}} v_{J,\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{J,\alpha} (\Omega) + \]

\[ + t\mathcal{T} (\Omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \left( \epsilon_j \mathcal{E}_j (\Omega) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} \mathbf{X} \Omega + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} \mathbf{Y} \Omega \right) + \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{D}} \text{res} \mathbf{XY} \Omega \]  

(C-15)

which is proved as before by matching the singular behaviors of both sides at all possible singularities and by checking that both sides have the same multivaluedness around the \( a \) and \( b \)-cycles and the same periods.

### C.1 Higher order derivatives

In order to write compactly the second derivatives let us denote by \( \partial \) any derivative w.r.t. one of the parameters \( u_{K,\alpha}, Q_\alpha, X_j, v_{J,\alpha}, P_\alpha, Y_j \). Beside the second derivatives already computed, the new ones are given by the formulæ

\[ \partial A_j \partial A_k \mathcal{F} = A_j A_k \Omega \]
\[ \partial B_j \partial B_k \mathcal{F} = B_j B_k \Omega \]
\[ \partial A_j \partial \mathcal{E}_j \Omega = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{4i\pi} \mathcal{E}_k \]
\[ \partial B_j \partial \mathcal{E}_j \Omega = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{4i\pi} \mathcal{E}_k \]
\[ \partial B_j \partial \mathcal{F} = B_j \int_\partial \Omega \]
\[ \partial A_j \partial \mathcal{F} = A_j \int_\partial \Omega \]

(C-17)

We remark that the order of the integral operators acting on \( \Omega \) is relevant because \( \Omega \) is singular on the diagonal: for instance

\[ A_j B_k \Omega = B_k A_j \Omega - \frac{\delta_{jk}}{2i\pi} \epsilon_k \].  

(C-18)

In order to compute all higher derivatives and loop correlators we need to specify the relevant additional Rauch variational formulæ: besides those considered in (2-60) we need the ones related to the extra moduli

\[ (\partial A_j \Omega) \mathbf{X} (1, 2) = - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\xi \in a_j} \left( \mathbf{Y}(\xi) \Omega^{(3)} (1, 2, \xi) \right) \]
\[ (\partial B_j \Omega) \mathbf{X} (1, 2) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\xi \in a_j} \mathbf{X}(\xi) \partial \Omega^{(3)} (1, 2, \xi) \]
\[ (\partial B_j \Omega) \mathbf{Y} (1, 2) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\xi \in a_j} \left( \mathbf{X}(\xi) \Omega^{(3)} (1, 2, \xi) + \frac{\Omega(1, \xi) \Omega(2, \xi)}{d\mathbf{Y}(\xi)} \right) \]
\[ (\partial A_j \Omega) \mathbf{Y} (1, 2) = - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{\xi \in a_j} \mathbf{Y}(\xi) \Omega^{(3)} (1, 2, \xi) \]  

(C-19)
We briefly justify these formulæ. Suppose \( \omega \) is any of our differentials and consider the function \( \omega/dX \) (or symmetric argument for \( Y \)). This function has poles at the zeroes of \( dX \) and possibly constant monodromy around a \( b \)-cycle. Thinking of it as a function of \( X \) the monodromy condition reads (\( c \) is 0 or 1 depending on the case chosen, but the argument is unaffected)

\[
\frac{\omega}{dX}(X + A_j) - \frac{\omega}{dX}(X) = c \tag{C-20}
\]

Taking the derivative w.r.t. \( A_j \) at \( X \)-fixed we have

\[
(\partial A, \omega)_X^{\zeta + b_j} = d \left( \frac{\omega}{dX} \right) \tag{C-21}
\]

Considering with some care the singularities at the zeroes of \( dX \) and this multivaluedness one gets

\[
(\partial A, \omega)(\zeta) = -\sum \text{res}_{x=x_0} \frac{\omega(\zeta)\Omega(\zeta, \xi)A_j(\xi)}{dX(\xi)dY(\xi)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} \frac{\Omega(\zeta, \xi)\omega(\xi)}{dX(\xi)}. \tag{C-22}
\]

This gives the previous extended Rauch formulæ.

Using these expressions for the variation of the Bergman kernel one can obtain all third derivatives. Besides those already considered in (2-63, 2-64, 2-65) we find also

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial A_j \partial A_k \partial A_l \mathcal{F} &= A_j A_k A_l \Omega_Y^{(3)}; \\
\partial A_j \partial A_k \partial B_i \mathcal{F} &= B_j B_k B_i \Omega_X^{(3)}; \\
\partial B_j \partial B_k \partial B_i \mathcal{F} &= \int_{\partial} B_j B_k \Omega^{(3)}_X \\
\partial A_j \partial B_k \partial U_{\alpha, \Omega} \mathcal{F} &= A_j B_k U_{\alpha, \Omega}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} B_k \Omega(\Omega) - \delta_{jk}\delta_{kl} \\
\partial A_j \partial B_k \partial V_{J, \alpha} \mathcal{F} &= A_j B_k V_{J, \alpha}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} A_j(\Omega) V_{J, \alpha}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial B_k \partial U_{K, \alpha} \mathcal{F} &= A_j B_k U_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} B_k(\Omega) U_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) \\
\partial B_j \partial B_k \partial V_{J, \alpha} \mathcal{F} &= B_j B_k V_{J, \alpha}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_j} V_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) V_{J, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial V_{K, \alpha} \partial V_{J, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j V_{K, \alpha} V_{J, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} V_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) V_{J, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial U_{K, \alpha} \partial U_{L, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j U_{K, \alpha} U_{L, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} U_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) U_{L, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial U_{K, \alpha} \partial V_{J, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j V_{K, \alpha} U_{L, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} V_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) U_{L, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial U_{K, \alpha} \partial U_{L, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j U_{K, \alpha} U_{L, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} U_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) U_{L, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial V_{K, \alpha} \partial U_{L, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j V_{K, \alpha} U_{L, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} V_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) U_{L, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial V_{K, \alpha} \partial V_{J, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= A_j V_{K, \alpha} V_{J, \beta}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{a_k} V_{K, \alpha}(\Omega) V_{J, \beta}(\Omega) \\
\partial A_j \partial U_{K, \alpha} \partial T \mathcal{F} &= \int_{\partial} T A_j \Omega_Y^{(3)}; \\
\partial B_j \partial U_{L, \beta} \mathcal{F} &= \int_{\partial} T B_j \Omega_X^{(3)}. \tag{C-23}
\end{align*}
\]

C.1.1 Order four and higher

It is clear that the formulæ become rather long due to many case-distinctions. However the reader should be able to compute any derivative of order four or higher by using the same rules of calculus outlined in the main text, with the additional Rauch formulæ (C-19).
D General definition of regularized integrals

Let $\omega$ be a meromorphic differential with poles at the points $\zeta_\rho$, $\rho = 0, \ldots$. Let $z_\rho$ be chosen and fixed local parameters at $\zeta_\rho$. Let $\omega_j$ be the Abelian differentials of the first kind normalized w.r.t. the $a$-cycles of a given choice of basis $\{a_j, b_j\}$ in the homology of the curve. Then we have

$$\omega = \sum_{\rho \geq 0} \sum_{K \geq 1} \frac{1}{K} \text{res}(z_\rho)^K \text{res}(z_\rho)^{-K} \Omega + \sum_{\rho \geq 1} \left( \text{res}_\rho \omega \right) \int_{\zeta_\rho}^\xi \Omega + \sum_{j=1}^g \left( \oint_{a_j} \omega \right) \omega_j$$

(D-1)

The regularized integral from $\xi$ to $\eta$ is defined for a homology class of contours in the punctured surface: in general one has to dissect the surface along the $a, b$-cycles and along a set of mutually non-intersecting segments joining the poles of $\omega$ in such a way as to have a simply connected domain. Choosing an arbitrary path within this simply connected region and joining the two chosen points we have (supposing that both $\xi, \eta$ are poles of $\omega$)

$$\int_{\xi}^\eta \omega = \sum_{\rho \geq 0} \sum_{K \geq 1} \frac{1}{K} \text{res}(z_\rho)^K \text{res}(z_\rho)^{-K} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} + \sum_{\rho \geq 0} \left( \text{res}_\rho \omega \right) \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda(\zeta_\rho)}{\gamma_\xi} \right) + \left( \text{res}_\eta \omega \right) \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda(\zeta_\eta)}{\gamma_\eta} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^g \left( \oint_{a_j} \omega \right) \oint_{b_j} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$$

(D-2)

$$\Lambda := \exp \left( \int \int_{\eta}^\xi \Omega \right) : \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} := \int_{\xi}^\eta \Omega$$

$$\gamma_\xi := \lim_{\epsilon \to \xi} \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda(\epsilon)}{z_\xi(\epsilon)} \right)$$

$$\gamma_\eta := \lim_{\epsilon \to \eta} \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda(\epsilon)}{z_\eta(\epsilon)} \right)$$

(D-3)

(D-4)

Some remarks are in order: the function $\ln(\Lambda)$ is defined as any antiderivative of the normalized third kind differential $\int_{\xi}^\eta \Omega$, which has residue $-1$ at $\xi$ and residue $+1$ at $\eta$. Hence $\Lambda$ has a simple zero at $\xi$ and a simple pole at $\eta$ (in the simply connected domain). Also, $\Lambda$ is defined up to a multiplicative constant depending on the base-point of integration: the final formula for the regularized integral does not depend on this constant. $\Lambda$ can be written explicitly in terms of a Theta function and the $b$-periods of $\frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$ are the difference of the Abel-map between $\xi$ and $\eta$.

In the more general situation of the extended moduli space studied in Sect. C we had also some multivaluedness of the type

$$\omega(\zeta + b_j) - \omega(\zeta) = dH_j(\zeta)$$

(D-5)

where $dH_j(\zeta)$, $j = 1, \ldots g$ are meromorphic differential of the second kind with vanishing $a$-cycles. The formula for a regularized integral is easily adapted: the main observation is that (D-1) now needs on the R.H.S. the following extra term

$$\omega = \text{(D-1)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{j=1}^g \oint_{a_j} H_j \Omega$$

(D-6)

and consequently the formula for the regularized integral is

$$\int_{\xi}^\eta \omega = \text{(D-2)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{j=1}^g \oint_{a_j} H_j \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$$

(D-7)
References

[1] M. Bertola, “Free Energy of the Two–Matrix Model/dToda Tau–Function”, Nucl. Phys. B, 669, no.3, 425–461 (2003).

[2] M. Bertola, “Second and third order observables of the two–matrix model”, J. High Energy Phys. 2003, no. 11, 062, 30pp. (electronic).

[3] M. Bertola, “Bilinear semi–classical moment functionals and their integral representation”, J. App. Theory 121 (2003), no. 1, 71–99.

[4] M. Bertola, “Biorthogonal polynomials for 2-matrix models with semiclassical potentials”, CRM–3205 (2005), submitted.

[5] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, J. Harnad, “Differential systems for biorthogonal polynomials appearing in 2–matrix models and the associated Riemann–Hilbert problem”, Comm. Math. Phys. 243 (2003), no. 2, 193–240;
“Duality of spectral curves arising in two–matrix models”, Theor. Math. Phys. 134 (1), 25–36 (2003);
“Duality, Biorthogonal Polynomials and Multi–Matrix Models”, Commun. Math. Phys. 229 (2002), 73–120.

[6] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, “Mixed Correlation Functions of the Two-Matrix Model”, J. Phys. A 36 (2003), 7733–7750.

[7] G. Bonnet, F. David, B. Eynard, “Breakdown of universality in multi-cut matrix models”, J.Phys. A 33 6739-6768 (2000).

[8] P. Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg, J. Zinn-Justin, “2D Gravity and Random Matrices”, Phys. Rep. 254, 1 (1995).

[9] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T. R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, Z. Zhou, “Strong asymptotics of or-thogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights”, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52, 1491–1552 (1999).

[10] B. Dubrovin, “Geometry of 2D topological field theory”, in: Integrable systems and quantum groups, M. Francaviglia, S. Greco eds., Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 1260, 120-348 (1996).

[11] B. Eynard, “Large $N$ expansion of the 2-matrix model”, J. High Energy Phys. 2003, no. 1, 051, 38 pp.

[12] B. Eynard, “Loop equations for the semiclassical 2-matrix model with hard edges”, math-ph/0504002

[13] B. Eynard, “Master loop equations, free energy and correlations for the chain of matrices”, hep-th/0309036.

[14] A. Harnack, “Über die Vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Curven”, Math. Ann. 10, 189–198 (1876).

[15] A. Kokotov, D. Korotkin, “Tau-function on Hurwitz spaces”, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 7, (2004), no. 1, 47–96.
[16] A. Kokotov, D. Korotkin, “On G–function of Frobenius manifolds related to Hurwitz spaces”, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 7, 343–360.

[17] I. K. Kostov, I. Krichever, P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin, “The τ-function for analytic curves”, Random matrix models and their applications, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 40, 285–299, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[18] I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Zabrodin, “Integrable Structure of the Dirichlet Boundary Problem in Multiply-Connected Domains”, hep-th/0309010.

[19] I. Krichever, “The τ-Function of the Universal Whitham Hierarchy, Matrix Models and Topological Field Theories”, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 47 (1994), no. 4, 437–475.

[20] A. Marshakov, P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin, “Integrable structure of the Dirichlet boundary problem in two dimensions”, Comm. Math. Phys. 227 no 1. (2002), 131–153.

[21] H. E. Rauch, “Weierstrass points, branch points, and moduli of Riemann surfaces”, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 12 543–560 (1959).

[22] R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. Agam, A. Zabrodin, P. Wiegmann, “Semiclassical evolution of the spectral curve in the normal random matrix ensemble as Whitham hierarchy”, Nucl.Phys. B 700 (2004) 521-532.

[23] P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin, “Conformal maps and integrable hierarchies”, Comm. Math. Phys., 213, (2000), no.3, 523–538.

[24] P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin, “Large scale correlations in normal and general non-Hermitian matrix ensembles”, J.Phys. A 36 (2003) 3411-3424.

[25] A. Zabrodin, “The dispersionless limit of the Hirota equations in some problems of complex analysis”, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., 129 (2001), no. 2, 239–257.