**T6BP and NDP52 are myosin VI binding partners with potential roles in cytokine signalling and cell adhesion**
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Summary

Myosin VI has been implicated in many cellular processes including endocytosis, secretion, membrane ruffling and cell motility. We carried out a yeast two-hybrid screen and identified TRAF6-binding protein (T6BP) and nuclear dot protein 52 (NDP52) as myosin VI binding partners. Myosin VI interaction with T6BP and NDP52 was confirmed in vitro and in vivo and the binding sites on each protein were accurately mapped. Immunofluorescence and electron microscopy showed that T6BP, NDP52 and myosin VI are present at the trans side of the Golgi complex, and on vesicles in the perinuclear region. Although their biophysical and kinetic properties have been intensively studied, they remain less well characterised at a cellular level and the molecular details of many of their various tasks remain to be elucidated.

We have identified and characterised two new myosin VI binding partners: TRAF6-binding protein (T6BP) and nuclear dot protein 52 (NDP52). T6BP (also known as TAX1BP1 and TXBPI51) is a 747-amino acid protein with three large coiled-coil regions, two C2H2-type zinc fingers at its C-terminus and a region at its N-terminus with homology to the recently defined skeletal muscle and kidney-enriched inositol phosphatase (SKIP) carboxyl homology (SKICH) domain (Gurung et al., 2003) (see cartoon in Fig. 2C). In SKIP this SKICH domain is required for its recruitment into membrane ruffles, loss of T6BP and NDP52 in RNAi knockdown cells results in reduced membrane ruffling activity and increased stress fibre and focal adhesion formation. Furthermore, we observed in these knockdown cells an upregulation of constitutive secretion of alkaline phosphatase, implying that both proteins act as negative regulators of secretory traffic at the Golgi complex. T6BP was also found to inhibit NF-κB activation, implicating it in the regulation of TRAF6-mediated cytokine signalling. Thus myosin VI-T6BP interactions may link membrane trafficking pathways with cell adhesion and cytokine-dependent cell signalling.

Supplementary material available online at http://jcs.biologists.org/cgi/content/full/120/15/2574/DC1
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Introduction

Myosins are a superfamily of motor proteins found virtually throughout the eukaryota (Foth et al., 2006) and, harnessing the energy liberated by ATP hydrolysis, they move along the actin filament cytoskeleton and instigate a plethora of cellular processes ranging from cell migration to membrane traffic (Coureux et al., 2004; De La Cruz and Ostap, 2004; Tuxworth and Titus, 2000). Although their biophysical and kinetic properties have been intensively studied, they remain less well characterised at a cellular level and the molecular details of many of their various tasks remain to be elucidated.

The myosins in class VI are unique because unlike all the other classes of myosin, they move towards the minus end of actin filaments (Wells et al., 1999) and have thus been implicated in cellular processes, such as endocytosis, secretion and membrane ruffling (Buss et al., 1998; Buss et al., 2001; Warner et al., 2003; Aschenbrenner et al., 2003). There is also a growing body of literature linking myosin VI with cell migration and cancer progression (Dunn et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 2004). An intriguing question is: how is myosin VI able to achieve such a multitude of functions? One way is by interacting with a wide variety of binding partners and the mechanisms behind these associations are slowly beginning to be understood (Buss et al., 2004). Binding partners, such as disabled homolog 2 (DAB2), GAIP-interacting protein C (GIPC) and the synapse-associated protein 97 (SAP97), link myosin VI to endocytosis and the transport of cargoes such as the transferrin receptor, the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance receptor and AMPA receptor (Buss et al., 2001; Morris et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2002; Aschenbrenner et al., 2003; Swiatecka-Urban et al., 2004). In the exocytic pathway, optineurin and myosin VI are found at the Golgi complex and the recycling endosome, where they play a role in the delivery of newly synthesised proteins to the plasma membrane (Sah lender et al., 2005; Au et al., 2007).

We have identified and characterised two new myosin VI binding partners: TRAF6-binding protein (T6BP) and nuclear dot protein 52 (NDP52). T6BP (also known as TAX1BP1 and TXBPI51) is a 747-amino acid protein with three large coiled-coil regions, two C2H2-type zinc fingers at its C-terminus and a region at its N-terminus with homology to the recently defined skeletal muscle and kidney-enriched inositol phosphatase (SKIP) carboxyl homology (SKICH) domain (Gurung et al., 2003) (see cartoon in Fig. 2C). In SKIP this SKICH domain is required for its recruitment into membrane ruffles in COS 7 cells upon epidermal growth factor (EGF) stimulation (Gurung et al., 2003). T6BP was first identified as a target of the human T-cell leukaemia virus protein Tax (Gachon et al., 1998) and was shown to bind to A20, a negative regulator of NF-κB signalling with cell-line-specific anti-
apoptotic properties (De Valck et al., 1999). T6BP also interacts with TNFα-receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), a key regulator of NF-κB activation, in an interleukin-1 (IL1)-inducible manner, but a physiological role for this association was not established (Ling and Goeddel, 2000; Chung et al., 2002). NDP52 is a 446-amino acid protein with a high level of similarity to T6BP. Like T6BP, it consists of an N-terminal SKICH domain, an intervening region of coiled-coil, and a zinc finger arrangement at its C-terminus usually referred to as a LIM-like domain (see cartoon in Fig. 2C). NDP52 is clearly a cytosolic protein (Sternsdorf et al., 1997), although initial studies identified it as a protein present in nuclear dots (Korioth et al., 1995). It has latterly been shown to interact with human hepatocellular-carcinoma-associated protein 1 (HCAP1, also known as RNGTT) in COS 7 cells (Di et al., 2003) but its cellular function is not known.

In a yeast two-hybrid screen using the myosin VI tail as bait we identified T6BP and NDP52 as new binding partners for myosin VI. We confirmed that T6BP and NDP52 interact with myosin VI using a series of binding assays, and mapped their binding sites to single-residue accuracy. At the cellular level, we localised both proteins to cytoplasmic vesicles at the trans side of the Golgi complex, and both proteins colocalised with myosin VI on these vesicles in the perinuclear region of the cell. Interestingly T6BP and NDP52 were able to interact with one another as well as with myosin VI. Even though T6BP and NDP52 contain a SKICH domain, contrary to expectations, neither was recruited into membrane ruffles at the leading edge of the cell in response to EGF stimulation. However, functional RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown (KD) experiments show that both proteins modulate actin filament organisation. Loss of either T6BP or NDP52 leads to a decrease in the number of membrane ruffles at the plasma membrane and an increase in stable actin stress fibres and focal adhesions. Furthermore, both proteins appear to have a role in regulating the secretion of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase from the Golgi complex to the plasma membrane. T6BP was also shown to be a potent inhibitor of TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation. These results suggest that myosin VI-T6BP-NDP52 complexes may play a role in coordinating cytokine signalling and membrane transport pathways with actin filament organisation and cell adhesion.

Results
Identification of T6BP and NDP52 as myosin VI binding partners
To identify novel binding partners for myosin VI, we used a yeast two-hybrid assay to screen a human endothelial cell cDNA library using the chicken myosin VI tail as bait. A C-terminal fragment of NDP52 (187 amino acids) and seven C-terminal fragments of T6BP ranging in size from 290 to 456 amino acids were identified. The full-length cDNAs of T6BP and NDP52 was amplified by PCR from a human liver cDNA library. To confirm that the two proteins interacted with myosin VI in a mammalian cellular environment, we carried out a series of two-hybrid assays in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (Fig. 1A). Both full-length proteins interacted with the tail domain (amino acids 845-1276) of myosin VI; the previously characterised binding partner optineurin was included as a positive control. The interactions were shown to be specific to the globular domain of the myosin VI tail, because a truncated construct (amino acids 845-1000) of the myosin VI tail did not bind to either T6BP or NDP52.

To confirm that myosin VI interacted with T6BP and NDP52 we tested their binding using recombinant proteins in vitro. Despite using a variety of strategies and bacterial cell strains we were unable to successfully express full-length T6BP, so a truncation construct (amino acids 291-747) corresponding to the largest fragment identified in the yeast two-hybrid screen was used instead. Binding was first tested using an F-actin pelleting assay (Fig. 1B). Purified T6BP or NDP52 were mixed with myosin VI and F-actin, and the mixtures were centrifuged and the unbound supernatant fractions removed. The actomyosin pellet containing any attached binding partners was then resuspended in a salt-ATP solution. Mg-ATP binding caused the myosin to dissociate from actin and a second centrifugation step separated the myosin into the supernatant. T6BP and NDP52 were found to co-fractionate with the myosin VI in the supernatant (supernatant lane). To check whether T6BP and NDP52 bind to F-actin, both proteins were incubated with F-actin in the absence of myosin VI. Under these conditions very little T6BP or NDP52 pellets with F-actin and is released from the pellet into the supernatant by salt-ATP solution. As a further in vitro test of binding, we carried out GST pull-down assays using [35S]methionine-labelled in vitro-translated T6BP and NDP52. Both proteins bound to the GST-myosin VI tail but not GST alone (Fig. 1C). The smaller band in the T6BP lane is probably the result of initiating translation at an internal ATG codon (De Valck et al., 1999).

When we immunoblotted HeLa cell lysates using affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against the N-terminus of human T6BP, a 86 kDa band was detected. A polyclonal antibody raised against full-length human NDP52 detected a single 52 kDa protein band (Fig. 1D). T6BP was also detected in the lysates of A431, COS 7, HEK293T, CHO and NRK cell lines by immunoblotting; NDP52 was detected in A431, COS 7 and HEK293T but our antibody raised against human NDP52 did not detect NDP52 in any rodent cell line, consistent with the lower sequence identity of its orthologue in these species (data not shown).

To confirm that myosin VI, T6BP and NDP52 interact in vivo we co-immunoprecipitated myosin VI, T6BP and NDP52 from cell lysates. Since NDP52 has a molecular mass of 52 kDa it cannot be resolved from the immunoglobulin heavy chain on SDS-PAGE gels, so the immunoprecipitations were performed using untransfected HeLa cells (U) and also cells expressing GFP-NDP52 (T) (Fig. 1E). Myosin VI co-immunoprecipitates with endogenous as well as expressed GFP-NDP52. Overexpression of NDP52 results in more myosin VI immunoprecipitated using antibodies to NDP52. Finally, endogenous T6BP was immunoprecipitated by anti-myosin VI antibodies from the cytosol of A431 cells (Fig. 1E). These results clearly demonstrate that, in vitro and also in vivo, T6BP and NDP52 bind to myosin VI.

Mapping the binding sites of T6BP, NDP52 and myosin VI
To determine the regions of T6BP and NDP52 that bind to myosin VI, we used a mammalian two-hybrid binding assay. We tested whether a panel of T6BP truncation mutants bound to the myosin VI tail and found that the C-terminus was required for interaction because removal of the last 17 amino acids...
acids, which form the second predicted zinc finger, abolished binding. Further site-directed mutagenesis on the two zinc fingers in T6BP, by converting the first cysteine in each zinc finger into alanine T6BP(C688A) and T6BP(C715A), revealed that neither of these constructs was able to bind to the myosin VI tail, suggesting that both intact zinc fingers are required for binding (Fig. 2A). An identical approach was taken with NDP52. The N-terminal region could be removed without loss of binding, but removal of the C-terminal 21 amino acids abolished binding to myosin VI. The C-terminus of NDP52 contains several cysteine and histidine residues predicted to form a zinc finger-like structure called a LIM domain. Mutating two of the cysteines to alanines in this region showed that Cys425, but not Cys400, is essential for binding to myosin VI (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that the zinc finger regions of T6BP and NDP52 are protein-protein interaction zones involved in binding to myosin VI.

In a converse set of experiments, we determined which region(s) of the myosin VI tail were required for interaction with T6BP and NDP52. A series of truncation constructs showed that both binding partners interacted with the region of the myosin VI tail lying between amino acids 1094 and 1117 (data not shown). This region contains the RRL motif, an interaction hotspot that we have previously shown to be necessary for binding to optineurin and GIPC (Sahlender et al., 2005; Spudich et al., 2007). Using a myosin VI tail construct, in which the RRL sequence had been mutated to alanines, we found that T6BP and NDP52 also bind to this site (Fig. 2D).

**Localisation of T6BP and NDP52 in HeLa cells**

To gain insight into the intracellular functions of these proteins we localised endogenous T6BP and NDP52 in HeLa cells using affinity-purified antibodies (Fig. 1D). We observed that...
endogenous T6BP was present in the perinuclear region around the Golgi complex, on a number of cytoplasmic vesicles and as a diffuse cytoplasmic staining (Fig. 3Aa,b). One of our anti-T6BP antibodies also showed some nuclear staining, but we believe this is a nonspecific crossreaction for the following reasons: (1) T6BP has no predicted nuclear localisation sequence; (2) a second anti-T6BP antibody showed no nuclear staining (Fig. 3Ab); (3) transiently transfected GFP-T6BP showed no nuclear staining (Fig. 3Ac); (4) when used in cells transiently overexpressing GFP-T6BP, the anti-T6BP antibody did not give any nuclear staining, presumably because the excess T6BP protein absorbed all of the antibodies; (5) directed depletion of T6BP by using RNAi had no effect on the nuclear stain, despite showing complete silencing of protein levels by immunoblot (data not shown). When the cells were pre-permeabilised with saponin prior to fixation (in order to remove the cytoplasmic pool of T6BP), the vesicular perinuclear T6BP staining was close to and partially colocalised with the trans-Golgi complex marker TGN46 (Fig. 3Ad-f). To investigate whether T6BP is associated with
focal adhesions at the plasma membrane, because loss of T6BP and NDP52 increases the number of large focal adhesions in RNAi KD cells (see Fig. 7), we performed double labelling experiments in mouse fibroblasts with antibodies against T6BP and vinculin, a marker protein for focal adhesions. In these fibroblasts, T6BP localises to vesicles/dots that show significant overlap with vinculin patches at the plasma membrane (Fig. 3g-i). These results indicate that a fraction of the T6BP associates with vesicular structures at or near focal adhesion sites in the plasma membrane.

We observed that, using our anti-NDP52 antibodies, NDP52 displayed a very similar distribution to T6BP, and was present in the perinuclear region and in vesicles distributed throughout the cell (Fig. 3Ba,b). Pre-permeabilisation with saponin also highlights the partial colocalisation of NDP52 with TGN46 (Fig. 3Bd-f,d’-f’). Our results confirmed previous studies that showed NDP52 concentrated in vesicles in the perinuclear area of the cell (Di et al., 2003; Sternsdorf et al., 1997). This association of T6BP and NDP52 with vesicles in the perinuclear region of the cell was confirmed by overexpressing these proteins tagged with GFP (Fig. 3Ac,Bc).

Colocalisation of T6BP and NDP52 with myosin VI

To further investigate the interaction between myosin VI and T6BP or NDP52 in vivo, we compared their localisation in HeLa cells. Using our T6BP or NDP52 antibodies on cells transiently transfected with the GFP-myosin VI tail domain we observed colocalisation of T6BP and NDP52 with myosin VI in vesicles adjacent to the Golgi complex in the perinuclear region of the cell (Fig. 4Aa-d and e-h). At the ultrastructural level, we labelled cryo-sections of HeLa cells with T6BP and NDP52 antibodies and also performed double-labelling experiments with antibodies to myosin VI. Both T6BP and NDP52 are associated with vesicles surrounding the Golgi complex (Fig. 4Ba,c and enlarged images) and in the double-labelling experiment colocalisation of T6BP and NDP52 with myosin VI was observed (see arrows in Fig. 4Bb,d). So myosin VI and both partners show close association with vesicles at the trans side of the Golgi complex.
T6BP and NDP52 form a protein complex and colocalise in vivo

T6BP and NDP52 are found in vesicles surrounding the trans-Golgi network (TGN) where they colocalise with myosin VI. To establish whether both proteins are found in exactly the same intracellular compartment or whether they associate with different vesicle populations, we transiently expressed GFP-T6BP and double labelled with antibodies against NDP52, and conversely expressed GFP-NDP52 and double labelled with α-T6BP (Fig. 5A). Both proteins are present in the same vesicular structures (see arrows in Fig. 5A) and show very close association at the ultrastructural level in a double labelling with antibodies against T6BP and NDP52 (see arrows in Fig. 5B).

To test whether T6BP and NDP52 directly interact in vivo we immunoprecipitated endogenous NDP52 and GFP-NDP52 from either untransfected (U) HeLa cells or HeLa cells transfected (T) with GFP-NDP52 and observed that both proteins are present in one immuno-complex from both cells (Fig. 5C). These immuno-complexes also contained myosin VI (data not shown), indicating that T6BP–NDP52–myosin-VI complexes can occur in addition to T6BP–myosin-VI and NDP52–myosin-VI complexes. The interaction between T6BP and NDP52 was confirmed using the mammalian two-hybrid assay (Fig. 5D).

T6BP and NDP52 are not recruited into membrane ruffles but are required for actin filament organisation and membrane ruffle formation

The SKICH domain present in the C-terminal region of the phosphatase SKIP and proline-rich inositol-polyphosphate 5-phosphatase (PIPP) has been shown to be important for plasma membrane localisation in resting and in growth-factor-stimulated cells (Gurung et al., 2003). Does the SKICH domain present at the N-terminus of T6BP and NDP52 have a similar function? Since we have shown previously that myosin VI is recruited into membrane ruffles in response to EGF stimulation (Buss et al., 1998), this seemed to be an obvious physiological process that might involve T6BP and NDP52. We used A431 cells, a human epidermoid carcinoma cell line
that overexpresses the EGF receptor and, therefore, responds with extensive membrane ruffling to growth factor stimulation. Myosin VI was efficiently recruited into membrane ruffles 5 minutes after EGF stimulation, whereas neither T6BP nor NDP52 were recruited into membrane ruffles (Fig. 6). So, we investigated ruffle formation and F-actin organisation in T6BP and NDP52 RNAi KD cells. In mock-transfected control HeLa cells, where F-actin structures were visualised with Rhodamine-phalloidin and focal adhesions by using vinculin antibodies, we observed membrane ruffles at the cell edge, a few stress fibres and small focal adhesions throughout the cell (Fig. 7). However, in T6BP, NDP52 or double-KD cells we observed a dramatic change in actin organisation with an increase in thick actin stress fibre bundles, very prominent large focal adhesions, but no membrane ruffles at the plasma membrane (Fig. 7). Although neither proteins are recruited into membrane ruffles these observations suggest a role for T6BP and NDP52 in ruffle formation and actin cytoskeleton organisation.

**T6BP and NDP52 are negative regulators of secretion**

Since myosin VI and its binding partner optineurin are involved in secretion from the Golgi complex to the plasma membrane (Warner et al., 2003; Sahlender et al., 2005), and both T6BP and NDP52 localise to vesicles in the trans-Golgi region, we tested whether they played any role in secretion. We silenced the expression of either myosin VI, T6BP or NDP52 by using RNAi in a HeLa cell line stably transfected with the human placental secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) gene, which constitutively secretes the SEAP enzyme into the growth medium. After a double transfection of the small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes over a period of 4 days, the expression levels of all three proteins were <10% of control levels as shown by western blotting (Fig. 8A). Loss of myosin VI expression caused a 80% reduction in active SEAP secreted into medium (Fig. 8B), confirming our previous results that myosin VI is required for SEAP secretion (Warner et al., 2003). By contrast, the supernatants of T6BP or NDP52 RNAi KD cells, either transfected with a single siRNA duplex (T6BP P1 or NDP52 P1) or with a smart pool of four siRNA duplexes (T6BP SP or NDP52 SP), contained significantly higher levels of SEAP activity (30-90% increase) (Fig. 8B). This suggests that T6BP and NDP52 negatively regulate constitutive secretion.

**T6BP inhibits TRAF6-dependent NF-κB activation**

T6BP was identified as a binding partner of the immune signalling modulator TRAF6, which participates in NF-κB
activation, operating downstream of receptors from both the interleukin-1 receptor/toll-like receptor (IL1R/TLR) and tumour necrosis factor (TNFα) receptor superfamilies (Ling and Goeddel, 2000). TRAF6 exerts a dominant-positive effect when overexpressed (Cao et al., 1996) and, thus, HEK293 ET cells transiently transfected with a TRAF6 expression plasmid show NF-κB activation (Fig. 9A). Since a direct interaction between T6BP and TRAF6 has been reported (De Valck et al., 1999), we investigated the role of T6BP on NF-κB signalling. Coexpression of GFP-T6BP with TRAF6 resulted in a strong inhibition of NF-κB activation. The T6BP point mutant (C688A in the first zinc finger) was able to partially relieve this inhibition, whereas the mutation in the second zinc finger (C715A) in T6BP had a co-stimulatory effect on TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation. NDP52 exhibited only a slight inhibition, whereas myosin VI exerted no significant inhibitory effect (Fig. 9A).

We next tested whether the T6BP inhibitory effect applied to all NF-κB pathways or only to those that are dependent on TRAF6. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) signalling, which leads to NF-κB activation, is strictly TRAF6 dependent. In HEK293 ET cells transiently transfected with TLR2 and stimulated with peptidoglycan, T6BP inhibited NF-κB activation. Similar to the picture seen in TRAF6-overexpressing cells, zinc finger mutation C688A alleviated the inhibitory effect of T6BP, whereas the T6BP(C715A) mutant had a co-stimulatory effect (Fig. 9B). Since TRAF6 is not required for signalling downstream of the TNFx receptor (Cao et al., 1996), we looked to see whether T6BP affected TRAF6-independent NF-κB activation. In HEK293 ET cells stimulated with TNFx, we found that T6BP did not significantly affect NF-κB activation (Fig. 9C), therefore strongly indicating that T6BP inhibits NF-κB activating pathways at the level of TRAF6.

So far, there is no clear-cut evidence linking NDP52 to a role in cytokine signalling, but its high degree of similarity to T6BP in terms of its domain organisation and cellular localisation makes it a good candidate. Similarly, myosin VI has not been directly implicated in signal transduction, although it was shown to be involved in receptor mediated endocytosis (Swiatecka-Urban et al., 2004; Osterweil et al., 2005), and there is a conceivable need for motor-cargo complexes in the propagation of signals from the plasma membrane to the cell interior and nucleus and vice-versa.

**Discussion**

One approach to determine the cellular functions of myosin VI is to identify and characterise its interacting proteins. So far, myosin VI has been shown to participate in endocytosis via its interactions with Dab2 and GIPC (Morris et al., 2002; Reed et al., 2005), and to be involved in secretion and protein sorting to the basolateral domain in polarised cells through binding to optineurin (Sahlender et al., 2005; Au et al., 2007). Here, we show that T6BP and NDP52 are myosin VI binding partners that might participate in secretion, but also modulate cell adhesion and actin organisation. In addition, T6BP appears to function in signal transduction, acting as an inhibitor of TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation.

The binding sites for myosin VI in both proteins are in their extreme C-termini. In the case of T6BP, there are two well-defined C2H2-type zinc fingers in this region, and mutagenesis of cysteine residues in either zinc finger abolished binding to myosin VI. The C-terminus of NDP52 is less well defined but contains cysteine and histidine residues that fall into the pattern C3HC3HCH, which can be classified as a LIM-like domain (consensus C2HC3) (Korioth et al., 1995), capable of coordinating two zinc ions and involved in protein-protein interactions (Bach, 2000). In the myosin VI tail two interaction hotspots for its binding partners both consisting of three amino acids, the RRL site and the WWY site, have been identified (Spudich et al., 2007). T6BP and NDP52 both bind to the RRL site. Perhaps significantly, optineurin – a protein previously shown to play a role in secretion (Sahlender et al., 2005) – also binds at this site. The observation that these three binding
partners bind to such a short stretch of amino acids emphasises the inherently weak, transient nature of these interactions. It is now recognised that many cellular multi-component complexes do not exist as strong, stable entities but, instead, are assembled in a more stochastic manner with transient encounter complexes being progressively buttressed by the combinatorial addition of further elements or ligands (Tang et al., 2006). In this model, weakly associating complexes are precursors for larger functional assemblies and myosin VI would seem to conform to this type. One can readily envisage how myosin VI could bind to T6BP, NDP52 or optineurin at the Golgi complex, and how such interactions would ensure the presence of a pool of myosin VI there at all times. Sidestepping the need to find myosin VI in the thick ‘cytoplasmic/cytoskeletal soup’, these small encounter complexes could serve as the nuclei for larger transport complexes, within which multiple weak interactions combine to preserve a cohesive whole.

T6BP and NDP52 are both present on a number of cytoplasmic vesicles in the perinuclear region around the Golgi complex. Neither T6BP nor NDP52 have transmembrane domains, and their additional presence in a cytoplasmic pool implies that they are recruited to these vesicular structures from the cytosol by an as-yet-unidentified membrane anchor. At what point they begin their association with the secretory pathway is unclear. Immunofluorescence microscopy shows that both T6BP and NDP52 colocalise with the trans-Golgi marker TGN46, and at the greater resolution afforded by electron microscopy most of the T6BP and NDP52 are associated with small vesicles at the trans face of the Golgi complex. Movies showing GFP-T6BP- and GFP-NDP52-associated vesicles moving in HeLa cells are in supplementary material (Movies 1 and 2). These vesicles are most likely to be either TGN-derived vesicles or vesicles belonging to the perinuclear recycling compartment; however, their exact cargo and intracellular destination (whether it be late endosomes/lysosomes or the plasma membrane) needs to be established.

T6BP and NDP52 colocalise with myosin VI on vesicles at the trans face of the Golgi complex and there is now strong evidence that myosin VI in this cellular location plays a role in constitutive secretion (Warner et al., 2003). In HeLa cells depleted of the myosin VI binding partner optineurin, myosin VI is lost from the Golgi complex and transport of the reporter molecule VSV-G to the plasma membrane is reduced (Sahlender et al., 2005). Since T6BP, NDP52, and optineurin all compete for the same RRL-binding site on the myosin VI tail, decreasing the levels of T6BP and NDP52 by using siRNAs could mean that more myosin VI molecules are available for interaction with optineurin, resulting in enhanced constitutive secretion. In polarised epithelial cells, myosin VI and optineurin are required for sorting of membrane proteins with tyrosine-motifs to the basolateral domain (Au et al., 2007). Sorting of cargo after exit from the TGN and on route to the plasma membrane occurs in recycling endosomes that contain myosin VI, optineurin and Rab8. However, whether T6BP, NDP52 and myosin VI regulate exocytosis and delivery of cargo to the plasma membrane at the TGN or in the recycling compartment is unknown.

There is circumstantial evidence that links T6BP to cytokine signalling because it has been identified as a binding partner of TRAF6 and A20 (De Valck et al., 1999), both of which are known to participate in signal transduction cascades controlled by the ubiquitous transcription factor NF-κB. This factor is a key component of the immune system, marshalling the

![Fig. 9. T6BP is an inhibitor of TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation.](image-url)

(A) Overexpression of T6BP inhibits NF-κB activation induced by TRAF6. HEK293 ET cells were transfected with the plasmids encoding either GFP or wild-type or mutant T6BP. NDP52 or myosin VI (400 ng per well) and plasmids encoding TRAF6 (200 ng per well). An empty pEGFP vector was included as a control. At 24 hours after transfection the luciferase activities in cell lysates were measured. T6BP inhibits TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation, whereas myosin VI and NDP52 have no significant effect.

(B) Overexpression of T6BP inhibits NF-κB activation downstream of a TRAF6-dependent pathway. HEK293 ET cells were transfected with the plasmids encoding either GFP or wild-type or mutant T6BP (600 ng per well) and plasmids encoding TLR2 (100 ng per well). At 24 hours after transfection, cells were stimulated for 5 hours with 10 ng/ml of peptidoglycan. The luciferase activities in cell lysates were measured. (C) NF-κB activation via a TRAF6-independent pathway is unaffected by T6BP overexpression. HEK293 ET cells were transfected with the GFP plasmid or increasing amounts (200, 400 and 800 ng per well) of T6BP plasmid. At 24 hours after transfection cells were stimulated for 5 hours with 10 ng/ml of TNF-α and then the luciferase activities in the cell lysates were measured.
expression of various pro-inflammatory molecules and also guiding tissue repair and regeneration (Youssef and Steinman, 2006). Since NF-κB has such potent pleiotropic effects, it is crucial that its activation is tightly regulated. TRAF6 is one of the main activators of NF-κB, being recruited early on in signal cascades (Moynagh, 2005), whereas A20 is a negative regulator of NF-κB, and it is possible that it accomplishes this function by acting on TRAF6 (Boone et al., 2004; Heyninck and Beyaert, 1999). By being able to interact with both TRAF6 and A20, T6BP could provide a regulatory function or orchestrate their association. Indeed, one possible explanation for the co-stimulatory effect on NF-κB activation seen with the T6BP mutagenesis constructs (Fig. 9) is that they sequester A20 and render it incapable of checking TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation. Whatever the mechanism, it seems that T6BP is a potent inhibitor of TRAF6 signalling pathways, as shown by its effect on TLR2 activation. No effect on NF-κB activation was seen upon overexpression of NDP52. This seems somewhat surprising given the high level of similarity between NDP52 and T6BP in their primary structure and cellular localisation. The fact that they colocalise and directly interact with one another also hints at a shared function. It may be that there is a degree of redundancy and that T6BP is able to compensate for loss of NDP52, but not vice versa.

The role of T6BP and NDP52 in exocytosis and also in cytokine signalling might be closely linked to the dramatic reorganisation of actin filaments seen in T6BP and NDP52 KD cells. Increased exocytosis either from the Golgi complex or the recycling compartment of cell-substrate adhesion molecules such as integrins (Caswell and Norman, 2006) might explain the increase in size and number of focal adhesions at the plasma membrane accompanied by increased actin stress fibres in KD cells. Alternatively, the SKICH domain present in T6BP and NDP52, which can mediate membrane ruffle localisation, might link T6BP and NDP52 to proteins modulating actin filament organisation underneath the plasma membrane. Loss of T6BP or NDP52 might, therefore, result in loss of these dynamic actin structures leading to an increase in stable stress fibres. Future experiments will aim at exploring the motile behaviour of T6BP and NDP52 KD cells.

Our results provide a strong basis for future work on T6BP, NDP52 and their association with myosin VI. Since T6BP and NDP52 appear to regulate secretion, a process involving myosin VI and optineurin, they may accomplish regulation simply by competing with optineurin for myosin VI binding. An optineurin–myosin VI complex promotes exocytosis of cargo whereas a T6BP–NDP52–myosin VI complex results in tethering of the vesicles in the perinuclear area and a reduction in the rate of secretion. Interestingly, we observed in vitro and in vivo that T6BP can self-associate to form a relatively stable dimer (data not shown). In view of the controversy whether myosin VI functions in the cell as a monomer or a dimer (Buss et al., 2004; O’Connell et al., 2006; Sweeney and Houdusse, 2007), the first demonstration that one of its binding partners forms a stable dimer may be significant. Exactly what is the cargo within the T6BP/NDP52 vesicles is an interesting but, so far, unanswered question. T6BP also functions as an inhibitor of TRAF6-mediated NF-κB activation, consistent with its published interactions with TRAF6 and A20. Could the two regulatory roles, in secretion and NF-κB activation, be linked? The activation of NF-κB within cells is known to cause the release of cytokines into the growth media, and a concurrent upregulation of cell surface proteins. Both these processes require the exocytotic pathway and it is tempting to speculate that T6BP/NDP52-associated vesicles may harbour proinflammatory cargo. Is the interaction of T6BP and NDP52 with myosin VI limited to regulating secretion? Myosin VI has been shown to be upregulated by p53 in response to DNA damage as part of a pro-survival pathway (Jung et al., 2006) and there is extensive integration between the p53 and NF-κB signalling networks, so T6BP/NDP52 interaction with myosin VI may even act to facilitate this cross-talk. The reported anti-apoptotic activity of T6BP (De Valck et al., 1999) might be a clue in this regard and it will be fascinating to explore these and other possibilities in the future.

Materials and Methods

Constructs, antibodies and reagents

The human T6BP and NDP52 genes were amplified from a human liver cDNA library by PCR and subcloned into the pEGFP, pM and pVP16 vectors (Clontech, Saint Germain-en-Laye, France), pRSSET and pcDNA3 vectors (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), the pGEX vector (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK) and mutagenesis carried out using the Quikchange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, Amsterdam, NL). For mammalian two-hybrid assays, chicken myosin VI tail fragments (Spudich et al., 2007) were inserted into the pM vector. The optineurin, GST–myosin VI tail and the TLR2 constructs were as described previously (Randow and Seed, 2001; Sahlander et al., 2005). Human TRAF6 was inserted into the pEAK-8 plasmid, which was kindly provided by A. Ting (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, USA). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies were raised against His-tagged T6BP(1–423), T6BP(425–747) and NDP52 by Harlan Sera-Lab Limited (Loughborough, UK) and affinity-purified. Other primary antibodies were rabbit polyclonal myosin VI tail antibody (Buss et al., 1998), mouse monoclonal GFP antibody (Roche Diagnostics, Lewes, UK) and sheep polyclonal TGN46 antibody (Serotec). Secondary antibodies were from Molecular Probes (Cambridge BioSciences, Cambridge, UK) and recombinant human Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) (Invitrogen), TNFα (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) and polyclidogycan (Fluka-Sigma, Gillingham, UK).

Cell culture and treatments

HeLa, A431 and HEK293 ET cells were cultured in DMEM (GibcoBRL, Paisley, UK) and CHO cells in MEM-alpha medium (GibcoBRL) all containing 10% FCS. For EGF treatment, A431 cells were serum-starved for 2 hours and then stimulated with 200 ng/ml EGF. Polyclidogycan was added to HEK293 ET cells at 10 µg/ml for 5 hours. TNFα stimulation was at 10 ng/ml for 5 hours. Transfections of HeLa cells were carried out using FuGENE6 (Roche) and transfections of HEK293 ET cells using LipofectAMINE2000 (Invitrogen), both according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Yeast two-hybrid screen

Chicken brush border myosin VI tail was used to screen a human umbilical vein endothelial cell cDNA library as described previously (Morris et al., 2002) using the Proquest Two-hybrid System (Invitrogen). 3-amino-1,2,4-TRIzol (Sigma, Gillingham, UK) was used to titrate out the propensity of the myosin VI tail to self-activate, and transformants were screened for their ability to grow on plates lacking leucine, tryptophan and histidine and positive colonies were then tested for induction of the three reporter genes URA3, HIS3 and lacZ.

Mammalian two-hybrid assay

CHO cells (2×10⁵) were transfected with 0.5 µg each of (1) the bait construct in pM vector, (2) the prey construct cloned into pVP16 vector, (3) the constitutively active pRL-CMV plasmid (Promega, Southampton, UK) encoding Renilla luciferase and (4) the inducible pG5Luc plasmid (Clontech) encoding firefly luciferase. After a 48-hour incubation, the cells were lysed using passive lysis buffer (Promega) and relative firefly and luciferase activities measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). Three independent transfections were carried out for each experiment and the results compiled. Error bars are s.e.m. for the whole dataset.

Actin pelleting assay

His-tagged T6BP (amino acids 291–747) and NDP52 were expressed and purified by the protocol described (Spudich et al., 2007). Full-length myosin VI was expressed in SF9 insect cells using the Baculovirus expression system and purified (Lister et al., 2004), and F-actin was prepared from chicken skeletal muscle. 10 µg of F-actin was mixed with 5 µg of binding partner and myosin VI in binding buffer (40 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.25 mM DTT, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5)
7.5) in a volume of 50 µl and incubated for 30 minutes on ice. The actomyosin complexes with associated binding partners were sedimented at 190,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant containing unbound protein was removed and the pellet resuspended in 50 µl dissociation buffer (300 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM MgATP, 1 mM EGTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) and incubated on ice for 15 minutes. This allows active myosin to dissociate from the F-actin, along with any attached binding partners. The mixture was centrifuged at 190,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet the F-actin. Samples of the final supernatant and pellet, together with the initial solution and unbound fraction, were analysed by 6-20% acrylamide SDS-PAGE. Controls without myosin VI were run to exclude direct binding to F-actin.

Gust pull-down assay

Full-length T6BP and NDP52 cloned into the pcDNA3 vector were in vitro-translated with [35S]methionine incorporation using the TNT-coupled Ret.iculocyte Lysate System (Promega). The radiolabelled protein was incubated with GST or GST-myosin-VI-tail (Buss et al., 1998) coupled to glutathione beads in binding buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM Hepes pH 7.4) for 2 hours at 4°C. Following several washes, the bound proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by autoradiography.

Immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation

For immunoprecipitation, HeLa or A431 cells were lysed in 100 mM NaCl, 1% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM MgATP, 5 mM EGTA, Complete™ protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and processed as described (Buss et al., 1998). The immunoprecipitated proteins were run on 10% acrylamide SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to 0.15 µm nitrocellulose membranes, blocked with 10% non-fat dried milk and probed using the relevant affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antiserum followed by goat anti-rabbit coupled to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma). The bands were visualised using the ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare).

Indirect immunofluorescence and digital image analysis

The cells were fixed, stained (Buss et al., 1998) and, when required, pre-permeabilised with 0.1% saponin in cytosolic buffer (25 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgAc₂, 150 mM glutamate, 5 mM EGTA, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4). Cells were analysed and imaged using a Radiance confocal microscope (Bio-Rad) using Lasersharp 2000 software, and any further processing was carried out using Adobe Photoshop.

Electron microscopy

HeLa cells were fixed in a mixture of 2% paraformaldehyde/0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 2 hours. After washing with PBS/0.02M glycine, the cells were scraped into PBS/12% gelatine, centrifuged and embedded in the same gelatine solution. Small blocks of less that 1 mm³ were cut, embedded in 2.3M sucrose cryoprotection medium and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C to pellet the F-actin. The mixture was centrifuged at 190,000 g for 7.5 minutes. This allows active myosin to dissociate from the F-actin, along with any attached binding partners. The mixture was centrifuged at 190,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet the F-actin. Samples of the final supernatant and pellet, together with the initial solution and unbound fraction, were analysed by 6-20% acrylamide SDS-PAGE. Controls without myosin VI were run to exclude direct binding to F-actin.
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