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A recently developed method [1,2] for incorporating initial binary correlations into the Kadanoff–Baym equations (KBE) is used to derive a generalized T–matrix approximation for the self-energies. It is shown that the T–matrix obtains additional contributions arising from initial correlations. Using these results and taking the time-diagonal limit of the KBE, a generalized quantum kinetic equation in binary collision approximation is derived. This equation is a far-reaching generalization of Boltzmann-type kinetic equations: it selfconsistently includes memory effects (retardation, off-shell T–matrices) as well as many-particle effects (damping, in-medium T–matrices) and spin-statistics effects (Pauli–blocking).

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonequilibrium properties of many-particle systems have traditionally been described by kinetic equations of the Boltzmann type. Despite their fundamental character, these equations have well-known principal shortcomings, e.g. (i) the short-time behavior \( t < \tau_{\text{cor}} \) - the correlation time) cannot be described correctly, (ii) the kinetic or the quasiparticle energy is conserved instead of the total (sum of kinetic and potential) energy, (iii) no bound states are contained, and (iv) in the long-time limit, they yield the equilibrium distribution and thermodynamics of ideal particles.

An important generalization are the well-known Kadanoff–Baym equations derived by Kadanoff and Baym [3], and Keldysh [4]. However, the original KBE contain no contribution from initial correlations. Therefore, the KBE are unable to describe the initial stage of the evolution \( t_0 \leq t \leq \tau_{\text{cor}} \) and the influence of initial correlations which can be important for ultrafast relaxation processes.

To include initial correlations into the KBE, various methods have been used, including analytical continuation of the equilibrium KBE to real times [3,5–8] and perturbation theory with initial correlations [9,10,5]. A convincing solution has been presented by Danielewicz [5], who developed a perturbation theory for a general initial state and derived generalized KBE which take into account arbitrary initial correlations. Finally, a straightforward and very intuitive method which does not make use of perturbation theory but uses the equations of motion for the Green’s functions instead, has been developed in [1,2]. While perturbative approaches are restricted to situations where the coupling is weak, our method is valid for arbitrary coupling strength. In particular, it allows to consider systems with strong coupling, such as Coulomb systems at low temperatures and/or high density (e.g. metals and dense plasmas) and nuclear matter, and to include bound states. In Sec. II we briefly recall the main ideas of our method. After this, Sec. III is devoted to the application of our approach to the T–matrix approximation. In Sec. IV we derive a non-Markovian Boltzmann equation in binary collision approximation.

II. INITIAL CORRELATIONS IN THE KADANOFF–BAYM EQUATIONS

Starting point of our approach is the first equation of the Martin–Schwinger hierarchy [11],

\[
(S_{ac} - U_{ac})G_{cb} = \delta_{ab} \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce}G_{ce,bd},
\]

with

\[
S_{ac} = \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a} + \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2_a}{2m_a} \right) \delta_{ac},
\]

together with an initial condition for \( G_{ce,bd} \),

\[
G_{ce,bd}|_{t_c=t_e=t_b=t_d=t_0} = G_{cb}(t_0)G_{ed}(t_0) \pm G_{cd}(t_0)G_{eb}(t_0) + C_{ce,bd}(t_0).
\]
Summation/integration over repeated indices is implied. Here, $C$ denotes initial binary correlations in the system, and $U$ is an external potential. The self-energy is defined by

$$
\Sigma_{ac}G_{cb} = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce}G_{ce,bd} = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce} \left\{ G_{cb}G_{cd} \pm \frac{\delta G_{cb}}{\delta U_{de}} \right\}.
$$

(4)

Considering Eq. (4) in the limit $t = t' \to t_0$, we get explicitly

$$
\int d\tilde{t} \Sigma_{ac}(t_0, \tilde{t})G_{cb}(\tilde{t}, t_0) = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce} \left\{ G_{cb}(t_0)G_{cd}(t_0) \pm G_{cd}(t_0)G_{cb}(t_0) + C_{ce,bd}(t_0) \right\}.
$$

(5)

Since the time integration is performed along the Keldysh–Schwinger contour, only time-local contributions of $\Sigma$ survive on the l.h.s. The last term on the r.h.s shows that there must exist, in addition to the Hartree–Fock contributions (first two terms), another time-local part, which is related to initial correlations. That means, the self-energy has the structure ($\hat{\Sigma}$ denotes the self-energy in the adjoint equation)

$$
\Sigma_{ab} = \Sigma^{HF}_{ab} + \Sigma^{C}_{ab} + \Sigma^{IN}_{ab},
$$

(6)

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{ab} = \Sigma^{HF}_{ab} + \Sigma^{C}_{ab} + \Sigma^{IN}_{ab},
$$

(7)

with the time-local terms (here, we give the time arguments explicitly)

$$
\Sigma^{IN}_{ab}(t, t') = \Sigma^{IN}_{ab}(t, t_0)\delta(t_0 - t'),
$$

(8)

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}^{IN}_{ab}(t, t') = \tilde{\Sigma}^{IN}_{ab}(t_0, t')\delta(t - t_0).
$$

(9)

The further steps aim at the determination of these initial correlation terms and are sketched here, for details, we refer to Refs. [3,4]. Inserting (4) into (5), one obtains a Dyson–Schwinger equation for $t, t' > t_0$,

$$
(S_{ac} - U_{ac} - \Sigma_{ac})G_{cb} = \delta_{ab},
$$

(10)

which can be cast into the form $G^{-1}_{ac}G_{cb} = \delta_{ab}$. Functional differentiation of this equation with respect to the external potential $U$ yields a Bethe–Salpeter equation for $\delta G/\delta U$. Performing the same steps for the adjoint equation to (5) as well, a solution for $\delta G/\delta U$, which incorporates initial binary correlations, is obtained,

$$
\frac{\delta G_{ab}}{\delta U_{dc}} = G_{ad}G_{cb} + G_{ac} \frac{\delta \left[ \Sigma^{C}_{ef} + \Sigma^{IN}_{ef} + \tilde{\Sigma}^{IN}_{ef} \right]}{\delta U_{dc}} G_{fb} \pm G_{ac}G_{cf}G_{gh}G_{gb}G_{hd},
$$

(11)

where $C$ has the time structure

$$
C_{ab,cd}(t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d) = C_{ab,cd}(t_0)\delta(t_a - t_0)\delta(t_b - t_0)\delta(t_c - t_0)\delta(t_d - t_0).
$$

(12)

III. GENERALIZED T–MATRIX APPROXIMATION

In the previous section we have obtained a formal decoupling of the Martin–Schwinger hierarchy by introduction of the self-energy. Furthermore, our approach shows, that initial correlations can, in principle, be straightforwardly included into this quantity. The next step on the way to a quantum kinetic equation is to choose a suitable approximation for the self-energy. Among the standard schemes are the random phase approximation (RPA), describing dynamical screening, and the T–matrix (or binary collision) approximation. The determination of $\Sigma$ in these schemes without inclusion of initial correlations is well-known. For example, the T–matrix approximation leads to a non-dynamical screening, and the T–matrix (or binary collision) approximation for the self-energy. Among the standard schemes are the random phase approximation (RPA), describing dynamical screening, and the T–matrix (or binary collision) approximation. The determination of $\Sigma$ in these schemes without inclusion of initial correlations is well-known. For example, the T–matrix approximation leads to a non-dynamical screening, and the T–matrix (or binary collision) approximation. The determination of $\Sigma$ in these schemes without inclusion of initial correlations is well-known.

In the following, we will use the nonequilibrium Green’s functions theory to derive a generalization of the usual T–matrix approximation, which includes initial binary correlations. According to Eqs. (11,12), the self-energy is determined by the functional equations [13].
\[ \Sigma_{ab} = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce} \left\{ \delta_{cb} G_{cd} \pm \delta_{cb} G_{cd} + G_{cf} G_{eg} C_{fg,bb} G_{hd} + G_{cf} \delta \left[ \Sigma_{fb} + \Sigma^{IN}_{fb} \right] \right\} \]  
(13)

\[ \hat{\Sigma}_{ab} = \pm i\hbar \left\{ \delta_{ae} G_{cd} \pm \delta_{ae} G_{de} + G_{dg} C_{ag,fh} G_{fc} G_{he} + \frac{\delta}{\delta U_{cd}} \right\} V_{ce,bd}. \]  
(14)

\[ \Sigma^{IN}_{ab} = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce} \left\{ G_{cf} G_{eg} C_{fg,bb} G_{hd} + G_{cf} \frac{\delta \Sigma^{IN}_{fb}}{\delta U_{de}} \right\}, \]  
(15)

\[ \hat{\Sigma}^{IN}_{ab} = \pm i\hbar \left\{ G_{dg} C_{ag,fh} G_{fc} G_{he} + \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}^{IN}_{ab}}{\delta U_{cd}} G_{fc} \right\} V_{ce,bd}. \]  
(16)

Notice especially that, due to the structure of the self-energy, the arguments of the functional derivative in the equations for \( \Sigma \) and \( \hat{\Sigma} \) are the same in both cases,

\[ \Sigma + \hat{\Sigma}^{IN} = \hat{\Sigma} + \Sigma^{IN} = \Sigma^{C} + \Sigma^{IN} + \hat{\Sigma}^{IN} = \hat{\Sigma}. \]  
(17)

We now introduce an effective two-particle potential \( \Xi \) by

\[ \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}_{ab}}{\delta U_{cd}} = \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ab}}{\delta G_{ef}} \frac{\delta G_{ef}}{\delta U_{cd}} = \pm i\hbar \Xi_{af,be} \frac{\delta G_{ef}}{\delta U_{cd}}, \]  
and define a generalized T–matrix [16].

\[ T_{ab,cd} = \Xi_{ab,cd} \pm i\hbar \Xi_{ae,cf} G_{fg} G_{he} T_{gh,bd} \pm \Xi_{ae,cf} G_{fg} G_{he} C_{gb,bd}. \]  
(19)

In terms of Feynman diagrams, Eq. (19) reads (the shaded block denotes the initial correlation \( C \))

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{G}
\end{array}
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\Xi
\end{array}
\end{array} \pm \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\Xi
\end{array}
\end{array} \pm \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\Xi
\end{array}
\end{array} \end{array} \]

Comparing Eq. (13) with the solution (11) for \( \delta G / \delta U \), one obtains the relation

\[ \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}_{ab}}{\delta U_{cd}} = \pm i\hbar G_{de} T_{ae,bf} G_{fc}. \]  
(20)

So we could identify \( T \) with the correlated part of the two-particle function without the bare initial correlation \( C \). The equation for the self-energy now takes the form

\[ \Sigma_{ab} = \pm i\hbar V_{ad,ce} \left\{ \delta_{cb} G_{cd} \pm \delta_{cb} G_{cd} + G_{cf} G_{eg} C_{fg,bb} G_{hd} + i\hbar G_{cf} G_{eg} T_{fg,bb} G_{hd} \right\}. \]  
(21)

Functional differentiation of this equation yields a relation for \( \Xi \), which depends on \( T \) and on the quantity \( \delta \hat{\Sigma}^{IN} / \delta G \equiv \pm i\hbar \Phi \). Inserting this relation into Eq. (13), and evaluating the functional derivative \( \delta \hat{\Sigma}^{IN} / \delta G \), one arrives at two coupled equations for \( T \) and \( \Phi \), where self-energies and \( \Xi \) have been eliminated. Keeping only the ladder-type terms, these equations can be written as

\[ T_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,cd} + \Phi_{ab,cd} + V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} T_{gh,cd} + i\hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} T_{fg,cd}, \]  
(22)

\[ \Phi_{ab,cd} = C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} T_{gh,cd} + i\hbar C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} T_{fg,cd}. \]  
(23)

Eqs. (22, 23) can be solved easily (see Appendix A), yielding an explicit expression for \( T \),

\[ T_{ab,cd} = T_{ab,cd} + i\hbar T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} C_{gh,i} G_{ik} G_{ji} T_{kl,cd} + T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} C_{gh,cd} + C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} T_{gh,cd}. \]  
(24)
or, in terms of Feynman diagrams,

\[ \mathcal{G} = T + T \overline{T} + T T \overline{T}. \]

Here, $T$ denotes the well-known “ladder T–matrix” which obeys

\[ T_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,cd} + i\hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd}. \]  

The system (22,23) can be regarded as a generalization of the usual T–matrix equation (25), where Eq. (24) shows explicitly the corrections which are due to initial correlations.

If we now insert Eq. (24) into the equation for the self-energy (21), we obtain $\Sigma$ in T–matrix (binary collision) approximation,

\[ \Sigma_{ac} = \pm i\hbar T_{ab,cd} G_{db} \pm i\hbar T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd} G_{db} + (i\hbar)^2 T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,ij} G_{ik} G_{jl} T_{kl,cd} G_{db}, \]

and analogously $\hat{\Sigma},$

\[ \hat{\Sigma}_{ac} = \pm i\hbar T_{ab,cd} G_{db} \pm i\hbar C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd} G_{db} + (i\hbar)^2 T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,ij} G_{ik} G_{jl} T_{kl,cd} G_{db}, \]

Comparing these results with the predicted structure of the self-energies, Eqs. (28), the time-local contributions are identified as

\[ \Sigma_{\text{loc}}^{tN} = \pm i\hbar T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd} G_{db}, \]
\[ \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{loc}}^{tN} = \pm i\hbar C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd} G_{db}, \]

or, diagrammatically,

\[ \Sigma^{tN} = T \overline{T}, \]
\[ \hat{\Sigma}^{tN} = \overline{T} T. \]
Interestingly, the correlation part $\Sigma^C$ of the self-energy contains an initial correlation contribution, too,

$$\Sigma^C_{ac} = \pm i\hbar T_{ab,cd}G_{db} \pm (i\hbar)^2 T_{ab,ef}G_{eg}G_{fh}C_{gh,ij}G_{ik}G_{jl}T_{kl,cd}G_{db},$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

and, again in diagrams,

$$\Sigma^C = T + T$$.

With Eqs. (26–30) we have found a generalization of the T–matrix approximation. In addition to the usual ladder term, the self-energies contain explicitly contributions of initial correlations.

All relations derived so far are valid on the Keldysh–Schwinger contour. In order to obtain the Kadanoff–Baym equations for the correlation functions and kinetic equations for the Wigner function, it is now necessary to specify the position of the time arguments of Green’s functions on the contour. Then we obtain from the Dyson equation (10) the well-known Kadanoff–Baym equations for the correlation functions $g^{\Xi}$ (in the following, small letters denote quantities on the physical time axis, and the time arguments will be shown explicitly),

$$\int d\bar{t} \left\{ s_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) - \sigma^{HF}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) \right\} g^{\Xi}_{cb}(\bar{t},t') = \int_{t_0}^{t} d\bar{t} \left\{ \sigma^{>}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) - \sigma^{<}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) \right\} g^{\Xi}_{cb}(\bar{t},t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)$$

$$\int d\bar{t} \tilde{g}^{\Xi}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) \left\{ \sigma^{hf}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) - \sigma^{HF}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) \right\} = \int_{t_0}^{t} d\bar{t} \left\{ \sigma^{>}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) - \sigma^{<}_{ac}(t,\bar{t}) \right\} \tilde{g}^{\Xi}_{cb}(\bar{t},t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)$$

The self-energies read in T–matrix approximation

$$\sigma^{\Xi}_{ac}(t, t') = \pm i\hbar t^{\Xi}_{ab,cd}(t, t') g^{\Xi}_{db}(t', t) \pm i\hbar t^{\Xi}_{ab,cd}(t, t') g^{\Xi}_{db}(t, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)$$

$$\sigma^{HF}_{ac}(t, t') = \pm i\hbar (v_{ab,cd} \pm v_{ab,dc}) g^{\Xi}_{db}(t, t') \delta(t - t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

where the initial correlation contributions are given by

$$t^{IN}_{ab,cd}(t, t') = \int d\bar{t} t_{ab,ef}^{R}(t, \bar{t}) G_{ef,gh}^{R}(\bar{t}, t_0) c_{gh,cd}(t_0) \delta(t_0 - t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

$$t^{IN}_{ab,cd}(t, t') = \int d\bar{t} c_{ab,ef}(t_0) G_{ef,gh}^{A}(t_0, \bar{t}) t_{gh,cd}^{A}(\bar{t}) \delta(t_0 - t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

while the greater/less and the retarded/advanced T–Matrices obey the equations

$$t^{\Xi}_{ab,cd}(t, t') = i\hbar \int d\bar{t} v_{ab,ef} G_{ef,gh}^{R}(\bar{t}, t) \tilde{g}^{\Xi}_{gh,cd}(\bar{t}, t') + i\hbar \int d\bar{t} v_{ab,ef}^{R} G_{ef,gh}^{\Xi}(\bar{t}, t) t_{gh,cd}^{A}(\bar{t}, t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

$$t^{R/A}_{ab,cd}(t, t') = v_{ab,cd} \delta(t - t') + i\hbar \int d\bar{t} v_{ab,ef}^{R/A} G_{ef,gh}^{\Xi}(\bar{t}, t) g_{gh,cd}^{R/A}(\bar{t}, t')$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

where we introduced the abbreviations.
\begin{align}
\tilde{G}^{R/A}_{t_f,h}(t,t') &= g^{R/A}_{t_f,h}(t,t')g^{R/A}_{t,h}(t,t'), \\
\tilde{G}^{\leq}_{t_f,h}(t,t') &= g^{\leq}_{t_f,h}(t,t')g^{\leq}_{t,h}(t,t'),
\end{align}

(41)

A further important relation is the optical theorem, which follows from Eqs. (39) and (40),

\begin{align}
\tilde{t}^{\leq}_{ab,cd}(t,t') &= \hbar \int d\vec{p} d\vec{t} \tilde{t}^{R}_{ab,ef}(t,\vec{r})\tilde{G}^{\leq}_{ef,h}(\vec{r}, t)\tilde{t}^{A}_{gh,cd}(\vec{r}, t').
\end{align}

(43)

Equations (31–43) represent the Kadanoff–Baym equations in the generalized binary collision approximation. Here, the T–matrix contains contributions which are due to initial binary correlations. These additional terms can be separated from the “usual” T–matrix, and, in particular, do not influence the structure of the Lippmann–Schwinger equation (10).

IV. NON-MARKOVIAN BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In the previous section, we presented a far-reaching generalization of the usual T–matrix approximation by incorporating initial correlations. This way, the Kadanoff–Baym equations have become sufficiently general to describe the evolution of a many-particle system on arbitrary time scales, in particular on ultra-short times after an excitation. Their solutions, the two-time correlation functions, contain a tremendous amount of information on the statistical and dynamical properties of a strongly correlated many-particle system, fully including damping (lifetime) of the one and two-particle states (14). However, in many cases the information contained in the Wigner distribution is sufficient. Therefore, in the following, we will derive an equation for this function, i.e., a kinetic equation in a narrow sense.

For this purpose, we consider the Kadanoff–Baym equations (31–43) in the limit of equal times \(t = t'\) and subtract them from each other. The result is an equation for the distribution function which reads, in momentum representation (we consider a homogeneous system without external forces),

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(p, t) &= \pm \int_{t_0}^{t} \int d\vec{t} \left\{ \sigma^{>} (p, t, \vec{t}) g^{<} (p, \vec{t}, t) - \sigma^{<} (p, t, \vec{t}) g^{>} (p, \vec{t}, t) \\
+ g^{<} (p, t, \vec{t}) \tilde{\sigma}^{>} (p, \vec{t}, t) - g^{>} (p, t, \vec{t}) \tilde{\sigma}^{<} (p, \vec{t}, t) \right\} \\
&= I(p, t) + F^{IC}(p, t).
\end{align}

(44)

This so-called time-diagonal equation is a very general representation of a kinetic equation. The r.h.s. describes the influence of collisions as well as initial correlations on the Wigner distribution and is, in principle, determined by the exact self-energy and the two-time correlation functions.

In order to obtain a closed kinetic equation, two major tasks remain: (i) an approximation for the self-energies has to be chosen, and (ii) the reconstruction problem, i.e., the determination of \(g^{\leq}\) as a functional of the Wigner distribution, has to be solved. The first task has already been dealt with in the previous section, with the result being the generalized T–matrix approximation, given by Eqs. (31–43). Let us now consider the reconstruction problem. In order to obtain the functional relation \(g^{\leq} = g^{\leq}[f]\), we use the generalized Kadanoff–Baym ansatz (GKBA) proposed by Lipavský et al. (13),

\begin{align}
g^{\leq}(p, t, t') &= \pm \left\{ g^{R}(p, t, t') f^{\leq}(p, t') - f^{\leq}(p, t) g^{A}(p, t, t') \right\},
\end{align}

(45)

with \(f^{<} = f\) and \(f^{>} = 1 \pm f\). For the products \(\tilde{G}^{\leq}\) then follows

\begin{align}
\tilde{G}^{\leq}_{12}(t, t') &= \tilde{G}^{R}_{12}(t, t') F^{\leq}_{12}(t') + F^{\leq}_{12}(t) g^{A}_{12}(t, t'),
\end{align}

(46)

where we used the abbreviations \(F^{\leq}_{12} = f^{\leq}(p_1)/f^{\leq}(p_2)\) and \(g^{A}_{12} = g(p_1, p_2)\). From Eq. (40) follow relations between the functions \(g^{R/A}\) and \(\tilde{G}^{R/A}\) which were defined in Eqs. (11–12),

\begin{align}
\tilde{G}^{R}_{12}(t, t') &= g^{R}_{12}(t, t') N_{12}(t'), \\
\tilde{G}^{A}_{12}(t, t') &= -N_{12}(t) g^{A}_{12}(t, t'),
\end{align}

(47)

(48)

where we introduced the Pauli blocking factor \(N_{12} = 1 \pm f(p_1) \pm f(p_2)\).
Now we insert the self-energies in T–matrix approximation, Eqs. (33–38), into the time diagonal equation (44), replacing $\tilde{t}^R$ with the help of the optical theorem [33] and $G^{\tilde{t}}$ by means of the reconstruction ansatz [46]. The result is the collision integral $I$,

$$I(p_1, t) = (i\hbar)^2 \int \frac{d\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int dt \frac{d\vec{p}}{\hbar} \frac{d\vec{p}'}{\hbar}$$

$$\times \left\{ t^R(p_1, p_2, t) G_{12}^R(t, \vec{t}) t^A(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) G_{12}^A(t, \vec{t}) \left[ F_{12}^\Gamma(t) F_{12}^{\bar{\Gamma}}(t) - F_{12}^\Gamma(t) F_{12}^{\bar{\Gamma}}(t) \right] + t^R(p_1, p_2, \vec{t}) G_{12}^R(t, \vec{t}) t^A(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) G_{12}^A(t, \vec{t}) \left[ F_{12}^\Gamma(t) F_{12}^{\bar{\Gamma}}(t) - F_{12}^\Gamma(t) F_{12}^{\bar{\Gamma}}(t) \right] \right\}, \quad (49)$$

with $G_{12}^{\tilde{t}/A} = G^{\tilde{t}/A}(p_1, \vec{p}_2)$ and $t^R(p_1, p_2, t, \vec{p}_2, \vec{t}) = \langle p_1, p_2 | t^R(t, \vec{t}) | p_1, \vec{p}_2 \rangle$, and the collision integral arising from initial correlations $I^{IC}$,

$$I^{IC}(p_1, t) = i\hbar \int \frac{d\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int dt \frac{d\vec{p}}{\hbar} \frac{d\vec{p}'}{\hbar}$$

$$\times \left\{ t^R(p_1, p_2, \vec{t}) \mathcal{K}(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) - \mathcal{K}(p_1, p_2, \vec{t}) t^A(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) \right\}$$

$$- (i\hbar)^2 \int \frac{d\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d\vec{p}}{\hbar} \frac{d\vec{p}'}{\hbar} \int dt \frac{d\vec{p}}{\hbar} \frac{d\vec{p}'}{\hbar}$$

$$\times \left\{ t^R(p_1, p_2, t, \vec{p}_2, \vec{t}) \mathcal{K}(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) G_{12}^{\tilde{t}}(t, \vec{t}) N_{12}^{\tilde{t}}(t) G_{12}^A(t, \vec{t}) + G_{12}^{\tilde{t}}(t, \vec{t}) N_{12}^{\tilde{t}}(t) t^R(p_1, p_2, \vec{t}) \mathcal{K}(p_1, \vec{p}_2, p_1, p_2) G_{12}^A(t, \vec{t}) \right\}, \quad (50)$$

with $\mathcal{K}(p_1, p_2, \vec{p}_2) = G_{12}^{\tilde{t}}(t_0, t) c(p_1, p_2, \vec{p}_2; t_0) G_{12}^A(t_0, \vec{t})$. \quad (51)

With Eqs. (49) we have obtained a very general quantum kinetic equation. The character of its approximations goes far beyond that of the usual Boltzmann equation. The collision integral $I(p_1, t)$ was derived without any approximation with respect to the times and thus fully includes retardation and memory effects which is usually referred to as non-Markovian behavior. Many-particle effects, as for instance self-energy and damping [14], and spin statistics effects (Pauli blocking) are included. So far, no restriction has been introduced with respect to the retarded and advanced propagators $G^{\tilde{t}/A}$. In principle, they are to be determined self-consistently from their KBE which follow easily from Eq. (44). However, to avoid this essential complication, in most cases approximations are used. For example, in the quasiparticle approximation, the propagators are given explicitly by

$$G_{12}^{\tilde{t}/A}(t, t') = \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^2} \Theta(\pm(t'-t)) e^{\mp(E_{12} + i\Gamma_{12})(t'-t)} \quad (52)$$

with $E_{12} = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2m} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2m} + \text{Re}\sigma_1 + \text{Re}\sigma_2$ and $\Gamma_{12} = \text{Im}\sigma_1 + \text{Im}\sigma_2$.

Furthermore, the retarded and advanced T–Matrices are many-particle generalizations of the familiar T–Matrices of quantum scattering theory. They have to be determined from the Lippmann–Schwinger equation (40) which reads in momentum representation

$$t^{R/A}(p_1, p_2, t, t') = v(p_1 - p'_1)(2\pi\hbar)^3 \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) \delta(t - t')$$

$$+ i\hbar \int \frac{d\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int dt' v(p_1 - p'_1)(2\pi\hbar)^3 \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)$$

$$\times G^{R/A}(p_1, p_2; t, t) t^{R/A}(p_1, p_2; t', t'). \quad (53)$$

The collision integral $I^{IC}(p_1, t)$ contains the terms arising from binary correlations, existing in the system initially. It should be stressed explicitly that the structure of these contributions is completely general and does not depend on parameters characterizing the system, such as coupling strength or degree of degeneracy. Furthermore, the inclusion of initial correlations does not depend on their actual form, i.e. the form of the function $c$. The damping of the two-particle propagators leads to a decay of this collision term, i.e. the initial correlations die out on a time scale which is determined by the one-particle damping rates [14].

Finally, we want to remark here that our result for the non-Markovian Boltzmann equation is in agreement with the result derived within the framework of the density operator technique, see [12].
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APPENDIX A: SOLUTION OF THE GENERALIZED T–MATRIX EQUATIONS

We rewrite Eqs. (22,23), which contain the ladder-type terms of the generalized T–matrix [19],

\[ T_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,cd} + \Phi_{ab,cd} + V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd} + i \hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd}, \]  
\[ \Phi_{ab,cd} = C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} V_{gh,cd} + i \hbar \Phi_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} V_{gh,cd}. \]  

(A1)

Due to the structure of Eq. (A1), \( T \) can be split into three parts,

\[ T_{ab,cd} = T^{(A)}_{ab,cd} + T^{(B)}_{ab,cd} + T^{(C)}_{ab,cd}. \]  

(A3)

\[ T^{(A)}_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,cd} + i \hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T^{(A)}_{gh,cd}. \]  

(A4)

\[ T^{(B)}_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd} + i \hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T^{(B)}_{gh,cd}. \]  

(A5)

\[ T^{(C)}_{ab,cd} = \Phi_{ab,cd} + i \hbar \Phi_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T^{(C)}_{gh,cd}. \]  

(A6)

Obviously, Eq. (A4) coincides with the well-known ladder equation of the T–matrix approximation. Thus, we can identify \( T^{(A)} \) with the usual T–matrix \( T \). The ladder equation (A4) now serves as a basis for the solution of (A5) and (A6). If one assumes for \( T^{(B)} \) the form

\[ T^{(B)}_{ab,cd} = T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd}, \]  

(A7)

Eq. (A5) is valid if (A4) holds. In order to determine \( T^{(C)} \), Eq. (A2) has to be considered. This equation is fulfilled if \( \Phi \) is of the structure

\[ \Phi_{ab,cd} = C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd}, \]  

(A8)

if the adjoint equation to (A4) is valid. Due to the symmetry properties of \( T \), Eq. (A4) and its adjoint are equivalent. Inserting (A8) into Eq. (A2) and assuming \( T^{(C)} \) to be of the structure

\[ T^{(C)}_{ab,cd} = C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd} + i \hbar T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,ij} G_{ik} G_{jl} T_{kl,cd}. \]  

(A9)

Eq. (A6) is fulfilled, again under the assumption (A4). Collecting all parts together, \( T \) can be represented as

\[ T_{ab,cd} = T^{(A)}_{ab,cd} + i \hbar T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,ij} G_{ik} G_{jl} T_{kl,cd} \]
\[ + T_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} C_{gh,cd} + C_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd}, \]  

(A10)

together with the equation for the well-known “ladder T–matrix”,

\[ T_{ab,cd} = V_{ab,cd} + i \hbar V_{ab,ef} G_{eg} G_{fh} T_{gh,cd}. \]  

(A11)
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