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Abstract
Farmers’ climate perceptions are responsible for shaping their adaptive responses and are thus essential to consider for the design of strategies to reduce vulnerability and increase resilience. In this study, we collected social data in four communities in the central Ethiopian Highlands on farmers’ climate perceptions and adaptations using group discussions and PRA tools. We related these to climate data spanning 30 years (1981 to 2010), consisting of daily minimum temperature, maximum temperature and precipitation, modelled for the four communities using global databases and regional meteorological data. We found that farmers’ climate perceptions showed considerable spatial and gender differences. Perceptions matched well with records describing climate variability, particularly in terms of the shortening and the increased variability of the rainy season, as well as the occurrence of extreme drought in recent years. Climate change, described by long-term average increases in temperature and decreases in precipitation, was perceived, but with subordinate priority. Perceived climate impacts included reduced crop yield, increased occurrence of pests and diseases and increased crop damage by extreme events and poverty. Adaptations were mainly land based and included agronomic measures, land management and ecosystem restoration. Furthermore, important gender differences in adaptation could be traced back to typical gender roles. Results highlight the risk of broadcast adaptation programs, such as the government-propagated combination of mineral fertilizers and early maturing crop varieties. Most importantly, they point to the need to consider climate variability, site- and gender-specific perceptions and priorities.
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1 Introduction

Climate change and variability are important global threats for agriculture (Fischer et al. 2005) that are especially severe for smallholder farmers, who farm the majority of agricultural land in
developing countries (Morton 2007). Smallholder farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa have particularly limited adaptive capacity since they primarily rely on rain-fed agriculture and experience the effects of climate change and variability to a disproportionately high extent (Bryan et al. 2013). Important insights into the effects of the perceived climate on people’s lives and their responses to it can be drawn from understanding the personal experiences of farmers on shifts in climate and its impacts (Thomas et al. 2007; West et al. 2008). Climate perceptions are influenced by beliefs, gender and personal experience (Goebbert et al. 2012). Individual experiences vary across space and time: locally experienced climate impacts and more recent experiences are more formative and can be more easily recalled (Akerlof et al. 2013). They are well suited to detect environmental shifts over several decades (West et al. 2008). Additionally, the variability of climate parameters, along with the frequency of extreme events, shapes farmers’ climate perceptions in a more influential manner than long-term average conditions (Smit et al. 2000). The challenge with individual experiences of climate is to differentiate between experiences on individual weather events, short-term patterns of change and long-term changes in climate (Akerlof et al. 2013). In fact, most studies on climate perceptions use either the term climate change, or climate variability, or a combination without attempting to disentangle individual effects. This is not surprising, as disentangling perceptions of climate change and variability using social science methods poses considerable challenges, as the perceptions of extremes (variability) may override or annul perceptions of long-term trends (change) (Kassie et al. 2013).

Adaptation takes place in specific contexts: perceptions and traditional ecological knowledge are the basis for local adaptive action by vulnerable stakeholders (Koubi et al. 2016), even in cases where climate change leads to unprecedented conditions (Boissière et al. 2013). Contextual knowledge and its variability are necessary to explain whether experiences of climate and its impacts influence farming practices and their environment (Smit and Wandel 2006). On the other hand, inadequate context knowledge may lead to maladaptation resulting from distorted perceptions of evident long-term trends (climate change) at the local level (Kassie et al. 2013), and the lack of understanding of local perceptions in the design of adaptation strategies at the policy level (Maddison 2007). Correlating the variability of farmers’ climate perceptions and their adaptive actions with meteorological data thus assists to develop a wider framework of policy responses to climate change and variability (Marin 2010). Understanding local perceptions helps to explain whether farmers adapt to long-term changes or merely to increased variability.

A plethora of literature is available on climate change and adaptation in East Africa and Ethiopia in particular, with the majority discussing mainly farm-level adaptation (Deressa et al. 2011; Feleke et al. 2016). Farmers in the study area around Lake Tana are primarily mixed crop-livestock farmers. Their traditional, subsistence-oriented farming systems are particularly vulnerable to climatic variability as seasonality and growing seasons change at a fast pace (Tesfahunegn and Gebru 2019). Here, adaptation is primarily driven by education, gender, age, wealth status, access to extension and credit, information on climate, social capital and agroecological setting (Deressa et al. 2009).

Several studies document climate perceptions and adaptation (Ayeri et al. 2012; Deressa et al. 2011), but few focus on the comparison of local perceptions and climate data at different temporal scales (Akerlof et al. 2013), across regions (De Longueville et al. 2020) and between gender groups (Mersha and Van Laerhoven 2016). We are not aware of a study that documents climate perceptions, impacts and adaptation strategies across time, space and gender groups.
and relates perceptions to climate data. Thus, the research objectives of this study are to investigate (i) complementarities and differences between local perceptions and climate data, (ii) perceived impacts and (iii) climate adaptation strategies of local people as a function of perceptions. Comparing climate data with local perceptions over 30 years and documenting adaptive responses across communities and gender groups thus covers an important gap in adaptation research, particularly in the Ethiopian Highlands.

2 Methods

2.1 Site description

We chose the Amhara Region in the Ethiopian Highlands as the site of our research. The Highlands of Ethiopia (1) depend heavily on rain-fed agriculture, which is the main source of livelihoods for a high density subsistence agrarian population; (2) are particularly vulnerable to climate change; and (3) have experienced disproportionately high climate change and variability in recent decades (Deressa et al. 2009). Ethiopian small-scale farms with average sizes below 1 ha account for 95% of the total agricultural area, and these farmers contribute more than 90% of the total agricultural output (Welteji 2018). Data were collected in four communities around Lake Tana that are representative of the variation in climatic and agroecological characteristics encountered in the Amhara highlands (Fig. 1). The socio-economic and climatic characteristics, including observed climate trends as well as the dates of data collection in the four communities, are available in Table S1.

2.2 Data collection and analysis

We applied the social science methods focus group discussions (FGD) and participatory rural appraisal (PRA) tools to collect data for addressing all three research objectives. Social data was collected involving members of local Participatory Research and Innovation Clusters (PARICs) that were formed as part of an effort by researchers to engage local communities into applied research focusing on adaptation. PARIC members were nominated by their community members based on selection criteria that included knowledge on natural resources and agriculture, and openness towards research and innovation. PARICs had 15–20 members in each community with roughly equal distribution between gender groups. The four PARICs were disaggregated by gender, which led to eight sets of FGDs and PRA outputs, each generated by four to eight participants. On several occasions, non-PARIC members also joined the discussion. The PRA tools applied were timelines, land use history, trend analysis and pairwise ranking to assign relative importance to (i) perceived climate change parameters and (ii) adaptation strategies.

In the FGDs, we used “climate change” to refer to both climate change and climate variability, as it is a familiar term for farmers used for both climate change and variability. The FGDs and PRA tools collected qualitative and quantitative social science data on local perceptions on (1) the definition of climate change, (2) climate parameters/indicators, (3) their trends over the last 30 years, (4) their ranking in terms of importance, (5) precipitation patterns, (6) climate impacts and (7) adaptation strategies and their ranking. FGDs were conducted in Amharic, transcribed, translated into English and coded inductively using Atlas.ti 8.1 (Scientific Software Development GmbH 2017).
In order to augment results under research objective (i) with mixed methods relying on a combination of natural and social science, we compared perceptions with climate data as follows. Local climate data spanning 30 years (1981 to 2010), consisting of daily minimum temperature, maximum temperature and precipitation, were modelled for the four study sites using regional climate data and meteorological stations, as described in Sisay et al. (2017). Walter climate diagrams indicating dry, moist and wet seasons were constructed from these data for the decades of 1981–1990 and 2001–2010. The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) was calculated as an indicator for drought using the R package SPEI (Beguería and Vicente-Serrano 2017). The SPEI is a multi-scalar drought index based on precipitation and temperature and indicates the deviations from the long-term mean. In this case, we used the 6-month monthly average temperature and precipitation values extracted from the data of Sisay et al. (2017). The SPEI follows a standardized distribution with an average of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 with negative values indicating more dry and positive values more humid periods as compared with the mean (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010). Values at $0 \leq \pm 1$ are considered to be normal to mild, $\pm 1 \leq \pm 1.5$ as moderate, $\pm 1.5 \leq \pm 2$ as severe and $\pm 2 \leq \pm 2.5$ as extreme conditions. Dry, moist and wet seasons identified through decadal Walter climate diagrams were compared with PARICs’ perceptions of the periods of rainy and dry seasons for the same decades. In addition, the most extreme monthly SPEI value within the Amharic seasons corresponding to the potential cropping period ($\text{belg}=\text{March–}$
May, kiremt = June–August and tsedey = September–November) of each year was compared with PARICs’ perception of years of memorable drought. For each site, pairwise t tests compared the differences in the coefficients of variation of monthly precipitation data for the decades of 1981–1990 with those of 2001–2010.

3 Results

3.1 Farmers’ perceptions of climate change and variability and their correspondence with climate records

Farmers’ definitions of climate change were succinctly summarized by a member of the male PARIC in Askuna Abo: “For me climate change is the change in rainfall, temperature and wind condition”. Besides changes in climate parameters, farmers frequently used impacts to define climate change. “In general, a weather condition which is not suitable for human, animal and plant welfare can be considered as climate change”, stated a female PARIC member in Gelawdiwos. Agreement on widespread deforestation as the main cause of climate change was unanimous across PARICs: “We have unknowingly and severely destroyed forests and as a result we see that climate is changing.” (Askuna Abo male FGD).

Farmers in all four communities perceived changes in different climate parameters over the past 30 years. However, perceptions differed considerably between communities and gender groups. The increased variability of precipitation, increased drought, followed by higher temperatures and stronger wind were listed as the most important aspects. In terms of precipitation, PARICs reported late and increasingly variable onset of monsoon, intermittent dry periods, early cessation of rains, unwanted rain in the harvest season between November and December, increased frequency of hail and high inter-annual variation. While females rather perceived precipitation extremes, males noted increased variability of precipitation within a season (Table 1).

Farmers on average reported a shortening of the duration of the rainy season from 7 months 30 years ago to 3 to 4 months at present, an observation which to a large extent was backed by climate records (Fig. 2). Perceptions on the increased variability of precipitation were also corroborated by climate data: the variability of mean monthly precipitation was significantly higher in the decade 2001–2010 as compared with 1981–1990 for all sites ($p \leq 0.05$), except for Askuna Abo (Fig. 2). While the decrease in annual precipitation (Fig. S1) was perceived by farmers, they did not assign any priority to this phenomenon (Table 1).

Apart from males in Gelawdiwos, all other PARICs reported a marked rise in temperature over the past 30 years (Table 1)—mostly with increased rate of change since 2000 to 2005—and assigned intermediate importance to this climate parameter. Perceptions matched well with temperature records that showed a gradual temperature rise until 1999, followed by a sharp rise until 2002, and a subsequent drop to levels above those reported in 1999 or prior (Fig. S2, Fig. 2).

All communities lamented a rise in wind occurrence and speed (Table 1), occasionally with an increased rate of change since 2000 to 2006. In two communities, increased wind was considered more important as compared with temperature rise. The recent improvement in wind conditions in Askuna Abo was attributed to successful forest restoration efforts.

An increase in the occurrence of extremes of single climate components (precipitation, temperature, and wind), as well as multivariate climate components (flood and drought), was
Torrential rainfall was of particularly high importance due to its direct impact on agriculture. Drought as the most important climate extreme was reported, highlighting its severe consequences: “There was drought from 2005-9 for five years. During that time, we did not have enough … to feed the whole family” (Tara Gedam male PARIC).

Climatic drought described by the SPEI was not common in any community prior to 2002, when a period of mostly severe drought started. Years of particularly memorable drought well matched SPEI records for more recent drought years (from 2003 onwards), but not for years before that (Fig. 3).

### 3.2 Perceived impacts of changes in climate parameters

In PARIC members’ perception, changes in climate parameters impacted the agro-ecological classification sensu Hurni (1998), hydrology, biodiversity, agricultural production and livelihoods (Table 2). Agro-ecological categorization shifted towards warmer and drier categories in two communities. Reduced water availability, forest degradation and the spread of invasive species were also attributed to climate change and variability. Reduced land productivity and crop yields were reported from all four communities and two reported accelerated rates of decline. Even though farmers reported strong inter-annual fluctuations in crop yield, they agreed that “In general, crop production and productivity declined year after year” (Ambo Ber male PARIC). It was attributed to torrential rainfalls and strong winds, as well as land cultivation without fallowing. Several communities reported shortened growing seasons of several crops over a period of 30 years (Fig. 4) and of livestock productivity as a result of climate change.

### Table 1  Perception and ranking of climate parameters by female (f) and male (m) Participatory Research and Innovation Cluster (PARIC) members in four Amhara communities

| Climate parameter | Perceived change | PARIC | Overall rank<sup>a</sup> |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------------|
|                   |                  | AB    | TG | GE | AA |
| Precipitation     | Late onset of rainy season | f/m 2 | f/m 3 | f | 1 | m | 1 | 1 |
|                   | Early end of rainy season | f/m 1 | f/m 1 | f | - | - | - | - |
|                   | Increased variability of rainy season onset | - | F | m | m | - | - | - |
|                   | Off-season precipitation | f | M | f/m | f/m | - | - | - |
|                   | Increased inter-annual variability | f | F | m | - | - | - | - |
|                   | Increased intra-annual variability | m | f/m | m | m | - | - | - |
|                   | Decreased precipitation | - | - | f/m | - | f/m | m | - | - |
|                   | Increased humidity/cloud cover | m | 5 | - | - | f | 7 | f/m | 3 | 5 |
| Temperature       | Increased temperature | f/m 3 | f/m 5 | f | 3 | f/m | 2 | 3 | - | - |
|                   | Increased solar radiation | - | - | f/m | - | f | - | - | - | - |
| Wind              | Increased wind | f | 4 | f/m | 2 | f | 2 | f/m | 4 | 2 |
| Extreme events    | Extreme precipitation | f | 2 | F | - | f | - | m | 5 | 5 |
|                   | Increased frost | - | - | - | m | 6 | m | 7 | 7 | - |
|                   | Increased storms | f | 4 | - | - | f/m | - | m | 7 | - |
|                   | Increased flood | f | 6 | M | - | f | 5 | m | 6 | 6 |
|                   | Increased drought | f/m 1 | f/m 1 | f/m 4 | m | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |

<sup>a</sup>Numbers indicate ranking of perceived changes by individual PARICs and overall, with 1 for the most important change perceived

reported by PARICs (Table 1). Torrential rainfall was of particularly high importance due to its direct impact on agriculture. Drought as the most important climate extreme was reported, highlighting its severe consequences: “There was drought from 2005-9 for five years. During that time, we did not have enough … to feed the whole family” (Tara Gedam male PARIC). Climatic drought described by the SPEI was not common in any community prior to 2002, when a period of mostly severe drought started. Years of particularly memorable drought well matched SPEI records for more recent drought years (from 2003 onwards), but not for years before that (Fig. 3).
All communities reported crop damage because of extreme climatic events, including heavy rain, strong wind, hail and frost. In Askuna Abo, strong winds commonly blew away lightweight seeds, such as teff during harvest (male PARIC). In Gelawdiwos “there was a strong hail at the end of the growing period, this totally damaged our production and we were left with/nothing/” (female PARIC). Reduced coffee yield due hail was reported from Askuna Abo (female PARIC).

Pests and diseases of crops, livestock and humans were consistently reported to be on the rise because of climate change and variability. Over the period investigated, malaria became established in all communities except for Askuna Abo. Overall, climate change and variability led to increased workload in all four communities and agricultural practices frequently demanded hired labour.

Marked differences in the perception of impacts were observed between gender groups. Decreased agricultural productivity, forest degradation, rapid changes in water discharge and increased debt and poverty were exclusively or mostly reported by females. On the other hand, crop damage by drought and invasive species were exclusively reported by males.

### 3.3 Adaptation

Adaptations mainly concentrated around agronomic practices that maximized yield and minimized risk in the short term, or around long-term investments into creating resilient...
Fig. 3 Maximal monthly Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) values during annual spring (belg) to autumn (tedey) seasons, and people’s drought perceptions for particular years as reported in 2014 in four Amhara communities: AB–Ambo Ber, TG–Tara Gedam, GE–Gelawdiwos (no drought perception data available), AA–Askuna Abo
agricultural landscapes applying mainly ecosystem-based adaptation options (Table 3). The
two most important adaptation strategies were the use of mineral fertilizers promoted by the
government, and the implementation of soil and water conservation measures. Fertilizer use
was closely linked to the use of quickly maturing crop varieties, a combination that led to
dependence: “We were obliged to replace the local seed with seed that is provided by the
government. The seed that is obtained from the government is shorter growing and more
fertilizer intensive than the local ones. The main problem with this is the cost of the fertilizer
that is too high.” (Tara Gedam female PARIC). Though quickly maturing crop varieties are
better suited to shorter and more unpredictable rainy seasons (Fig. 4), even in combination
with mineral fertilizers, they could not offset yield decline attributed to climate change and
land degradation. Additionally, this represents a high-risk practice, as reported from Askuna
Abo: “mineral fertilizers are expensive, and it is a great loss if they are washed away by
torrential rains.” (female PARIC). The use of organic fertilizer was restricted to home gardens,
since compost is difficult to transport.

Fallowing and frequent ploughing were also reported as adaptation strategies. Farmers did
not only rely on improved varieties distributed by the government, but actively selected
varieties for desired properties, including early maturity, disease and drought resistance.
Farmers also adjusted planting times: “Due to the rain fall uniformity, in the early time we

| Impacted sphere      | Perceived impact                        | PARIC       |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
|                      |                                         | AB | TG | GE | AA |
| Agro-ecology         | Change in agro-ecological classification | m  | -  | f  | -  |
| Hydrology            | Reduced water availability              | -  | f/m| -  | f/m|
|                      | Rapid changes in water discharge        | -  | -  | f  | -  |
| Biodiversity         | Forest degradation                      | -  | f  | f  | -  |
|                      | Invasive species                        | m  | -  | -  | -  |
| Agricultural production | Reduced productivity                  | f/m| f  | f  | f  |
|                      | Crop damage by precipitation extremes   | f  | m  | m  | f  |
|                      | Crop damage by temperature extremes     | -  | m  | f/m| -  |
|                      | Crop damage by wind extremes            | f  | -  | f/m| -  |
|                      | Crop damage by drought                  | m  | m  | -  | m  |
|                      | Crop pests and diseases                  | f/m| f/m| f/m| f/m|
| Livelihoods          | Livestock pests and diseases            | f/m| -  | -  | -  |
|                      | Increased occurrence of human diseases  | f/m| f/m| f/m| f/m|
|                      | Increased debt and poverty              | f  | f  | f  | -  |

AB Ambo Ber, TG Tara Gedam, GE Gelawdiwos, AA Askuna Abo

Table 2 Impacts of climate change perceived by female (f) and male (m) Participatory Research and Innovation Cluster (PARIC) members in four Amhara communities

Fig. 4 Changes in cropping season over 30 years for selected crops in three Amhara communities. Shaded fields indicate inter-annual variation
follow a fixed pattern of sowing season. But most recently the sowing season depends on the rainfall onset” (Ambo Ber female PARIC). Crop diversification was applied as a conscious choice to reduce risk: “We plant too many crop types in a given year to protect from crop failure” (Gelawdiwos male PARIC). Crop rotation, intercropping and double cropping, especially following failed crops and in irrigated areas were considered important. To reduce the risk of crop failure or low yield, farmers in all four communities increasingly relied on irrigation, pest and disease management using pesticides and increased ploughing. Land

### Table 3
Adaptation strategies and priority rankings of female (f) and male (m) Participatory Research and Innovation Cluster (PARIC) members in four Amhara communities

| Adaptation target | Adaptation strategy | PARIC | Overall Rank |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|
|                   |                     | AB    | TG | GE | AA |
| Soil fertility management | Mineral fertilizers | f/m 2 | f/m 2 | f/m 1 | f/m 4 | 2 |
|                     | Compost             | F f/m | m f | |
|                     | Fallowing           | F 5 - | - - - |- - - | 10 |
|                     | Ploughing           | m 3 | m 5 | m - m - 5 |
| Crop management    | Improved varieties  | F 1 | f 4 | f/m 2 | f/m 2 | 2 |
|                     | Crop diversification| m 4 | - | f/m 4 | m - | 6 |
|                     | Intercropping       | - - - | - - f - |
|                     | Crop rotation       | - - - | m 3 | m 10 |
|                     | High density sowing | m - - | - - - | |
|                     | Row planting        | m - - | - - - | |
|                     | Flexible planting date | m - - | m - m - | |
|                     | Irrigation          | F - m | 3 f 4 | f/m 1 | 3 |
| Pest and disease management | Herbicides | m 7 | - | f/m 7 | - | 10 |
|                     | Insecticides        | m - | f/m m - | |
|                     | Weeding             | - - - | - - - | m - |
| Livestock management | Destocking         | f/m 6 | f - | m 8 | - 10 |
|                     | Change of species   | m - - | - - - | - - - |
|                     | Off-season fattening| - - - | - - m - | |
|                     | Storing/buying fodder | - - m | 10 - - m 6 | 7 |
| Land management    | Terracing          | F 4 | f 1 | f/m 2 | f/m 1 | 1 |
|                     | Contour bunds       | m - | m - | |
|                     | Stone bunds         | m - | m - | |
|                     | Water harvesting pond | F - | f/m | - - f/m 5 | 8 |
|                     | Drainage/water harvesting trench | - - f - | f - f - | |
| Land use           | Land use change     | - - f - | - - - | - - - |
|                     | Use of trees in agricultural land | - - f - | f/m | 6 m 8 | 8 |
| Primary factors of agricultural production | Land rental       | - - m | 11 - - | - - 12 |
|                     | Hiring of labour    | - - - | - m - | - - |
| Ecosystem restoration | Afforestation      | f/m - | f 6 | f/m 5 | m 3 | 4 |
|                     | Forest conservation | F - f 7 | m - | - - | 9 |
| Non-land-based     | Income diversification | m 5 | f 9 | - - - | - - 7 |
|                     | Migration           | F - f | - f/m | f - | |
|                     | Sanitation          | m 8 | f 8 | - - - | - - 9 |
|                     | Institutions        | - - m | - - m | - - |
|                     | Climate-proof housing | - - - | - - m | 9 11 |
|                     | Saving              | - - - | - - | m 7 10 |

Numbers indicate ranking of adaptation strategies by individual PARICs and overall, with 1 for the most important strategy.

*AB* Ambo Ber, *TG* Tara Gedam, *GE* Gelawdiwos, *AA* Askuna Abo
holding fractionation and land use change were held responsible for the decreased availability of grazing land. As an adaptation, farmers reduced livestock numbers and additionally fattened livestock during the off-season.

Farmers also focused on landscape resilience through improved land management and ecosystem restoration. Terraces on steep land, and ditches on flat agricultural fields aimed at restricting erosion and draining excess moisture during periods of heavy precipitation. Tree plantation in home gardens prevented erosion and led to nutritional and financial benefits. Ecosystem restoration included afforestation, conservation by employing village forest guards and passing community by-laws governing forest tenure.

External initiatives invested into organizing community committees to conserve local forests. Income diversification, through off-farm employment and migration, was also important: “since all lands were unable to support the whole people, especially the young men and women obliged to migrate in to other places in Ethiopia and a country outside of Ethiopia” (Tara Gedam female PARIC). Some communities increased investment into hygiene to contain contagious diseases. In Askuna Abo, people responded to increased wind and temperatures by constructing sturdier houses.

Females considered fertilizers, soil and water conservation and irrigation most important and additionally listed composting, fallowing, terracing, water harvesting, forest conservation and migration. Males, on the other hand, considered the choice of crop varieties, irrigation and fertilization as most important, but also engaged on ploughing, crop, pesticide and livestock management (Table 3).

4 Discussion

Our study corroborates the notion that it is difficult to disentangle climate change and climate variability as both have local impacts (Hageback et al. 2005; Smit et al. 2000) and have increased considerably during recent decades (Hansen et al. 2012). In line with this, it became evident from the narratives that farmers do not consciously differentiate between these two phenomena. However, our results confirm the findings of Smit et al. (2000) that farmers’ perceptions are rather shaped by short-term variability of climate parameters and the frequency of extreme events than slow long-term changes in the average conditions.

4.1 Farmers’ perception of climate change and climate variability

The list of climate indicators and impacts we documented tallied well with findings of a meta-analysis (Reyes-García et al. 2016). PARIC members ranked the variability of precipitation and drought, along with increases in temperature, wind, hail and floods, as the most important factors of climate change and variability. Similarly, drought and the variability of precipitation were ranked as the most important climate risks faced by farmers in western Uganda (Hartter et al. 2012). In the case of precipitation, its period (Hageback et al. 2005) and variability (Barbier et al. 2009) were found to be more important to farmers as compared with the overall amount of rainfall. The overall amount of precipitation as an important scientific climate parameter was not important in the perception of PARIC members, even though its changes were perceived.

Considerable differences in climate change perceptions across communities and between gender groups were noted. These corresponded to findings of Hamilton and Keim (2009) and
Maddison (2007) on spatial autocorrelation in climate change perceptions and Mersha and Van Laerhoven (2016) on distinct gender differences.

Farmers’ perceptions were closely reflected in climate data on temperature, precipitation and drought for the four sampled communities. Climate perceptions and measured climate data are commonly compared (Hageback et al. 2005; Marin 2010; Kosmowski et al. 2016; Marchildon et al. 2016), mostly with good general correspondence (Ayeri et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2016; Rao et al. 2011). The level of correspondence may, however, be more accurate with fast-onset events such as floods, as compared with slow-onset events such as drought (Kichamu et al. 2018; Marchildon et al. 2016). In fact, we found a weaker match between drought perceptions and the SPEI; specifically, for drought events that were further back in time. This corroborates the findings of Akerlof et al. (2013), who found that more recent experiences are more formative and can be recalled more easily.

Farmers’ perceptions of climate cannot be entirely explained by standard climate data (Deressa et al. 2009), but rather by data on small-scale variability and localized perceptions (Marchildon et al. 2016), as also indicated by our findings. Climate records capture average conditions at large spatial scales that often do not depict conditions perceived by farmers (Meze-Hausken 2004). Instead, farmers’ perceptions are based on the frequency and magnitude of extreme events, rainfall timing and intensity (Roncoli et al. 2002). Also, in our case, farmers perceived the variability of precipitation and extreme events, such as droughts, as being more important than mean temperature and precipitation amounts. Additionally, climate records refer to annual data, whereas farmers are generally more interested in the growing period (Ovuka and Lindqvist 2000). Thus, climate information is often of limited value to farmers and the utility differs by localized conditions (Ingram et al. 2002).

4.2 Perceived impacts of climate variability

Documenting climatic variability impacts, Ayeri et al. (2012) found changes in agro-climate, and Morton (2007) listed increased likelihood of crop failure, increased disease occurrence and forced sale of livestock and other assets, debt, migration, dependence on relief, exacerbated land degradation, loss of biodiversity and negative impacts on human development from the same region. Additionally, Meze-Hausken (2004) reported shortened cropping seasons for sorghum, wheat and barley, also for the same region. The outcomes of our study largely corroborate these findings. PARIC members had high climate awareness, but climate narratives likely influenced their perception of impacts (Mertz et al. 2009). They associated land degradation, loss of biodiversity and the spread of invasive species solely or partially with climate change. Apart from this, other drivers of global change may have played a role in explaining their occurrence (Morton 2007).

4.3 Adaptation strategies

Sub-Saharan agriculture is mostly practiced in agroecosystems subject to constant change. Unpredictable rainfall and associated droughts, as the most important environmental drivers, have prompted the evolution of farming systems that focus on minimizing risk (Cooper et al. 2008). Farming in these agroecosystems is characterized by mixed cropping, opportunistic planting, weeding and harvest periods, integrated farming, more intensive farming, and livelihood diversification (Mortimore and Adams 2001). Adaptation has long been practiced in these agroecosystems and is thus not a new concept to farmers (Thomas et al. 2007), as also
evident from the long list of adaptation strategies reported in our study. The novelty is that current climate change has no precedence in farmers’ experience and thus conventional adaptation strategies based on traditional ecological knowledge may not be an entirely appropriate response to mitigate its impacts (Adger et al. 2003).

High priority climate change adaptation strategies focused on technological and behavioral adaptation in agronomy. These included the adoption of mineral fertilizers, improved crop varieties, flexible planting times and crop diversification to minimize the impacts of crop failure, in correspondence with the findings of other studies (Howden et al. 2007). The government-driven policy on the adoption of mineral fertilizers with early maturing crop varieties may lead to maladaptation by increasing vulnerability if it is implemented without considering local conditions and priorities (Antwi-Agyei et al. 2018). Nevertheless, investment into fertilizers (Hageback et al. 2005) and harvesting crops early (Maddison 2007) were also considered important climate change adaptation strategies elsewhere. Besides, farmers relied on long-term investments into the creation of more resilient landscapes through soil and water conservation, tree planting and the establishment of an irrigation infrastructure. Non-land-based adaptation strategies, such as seeking off-farm activities, migrating, etc., were assigned lower priority, corresponding to the findings of Bryan et al. (2009).

Certain adaptation strategies can be linked to particular local climatic (Boissière et al. 2013) or socio-economic conditions (Thomas et al. 2007). In the Highlands of Ethiopia, soil and water conservation was identified as the main adaptation strategy associated with mitigating the impacts of changes in precipitation, whereas crop variety choice and tree planting were primary adaptation responses to increased temperature (Di Falco et al. 2012). Even though our results are indicative, they corroborate this pattern. Except for one community, climate change impacts associated with precipitation were ranked more important than those associated with temperature. The difference between the relative rankings was negligible in Gelawdiwos, and climate change adaptation strategies associated with temperature rise, such as the use of fertilizers and the choice of crop varieties, were ranked more important as compared with other communities.

Gender differences in rankings of adaptation strategies can be partially explained by gender differences in agricultural tasks and in perceived impacts. Farming in the Amhara Highlands is primarily a male domain and men are responsible for the selection of crop varieties (Abay et al. 2008), ploughing and livestock rearing. This explains higher adaptation priority rankings assigned to these and to pest and disease management by male as compared with female PARIC members, which corresponded well with the findings of Deressa et al. (2009) and Mersha and Van Laerhoven (2016). Females perceived forest degradation, decline in agricultural productivity and differences in stream discharge and thus preferred adaptation options addressing these impacts, such as soil and water conservation, water harvesting and forest restoration. The reporting on improved varieties, mineral fertilizers, terracing, water harvesting ponds and afforestation was balanced between gender groups, indicative that these adaptation practices do not stem from traditional gender roles but result from an externally introduced agenda.

Migration irrespective of destination was almost exclusively reported by females, as opposed to the study of Mersha and Van Laerhoven (2016), where males were more likely to engage in local, whereas females in long-distance migration. Gender differences in the ranking of non-land-based adaptation options cannot be explained by differences in perceived impacts, which indicates that their drivers are more complex and rooted in rigid gender norms and conceptions of the patriarchal society of the Amhara Highlands (Mersha and Van Laerhoven 2016).
5 Conclusions

Farmers in the Ethiopian Highlands perceive climatic variability; however, aspects that feature high in scientific records are of low priority for farmers. Ultimately, scientific climate information is of little use for an important target group—farmers responsible for implementing adaptation strategies. Based on the perceived impacts, farmers implement adaptation options that vary by region, even across small scales, and by gender. To be effective, adaptation options need to be based on local perceptions and specifically tailored to meet local environmental, socio-economic and cultural conditions, including traditional gender roles. Our findings corroborate other studies that call for a meaningful combination of scientific and local knowledge systems to allow for better adaptation.
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