Global Lipschitz stability for a fractional inverse transport problem by Carleman estimates

Atsushi Kawamoto\textsuperscript{a} and Manabu Machida\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan; \textsuperscript{b}Institute for Medical Photonics Research, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We consider a fractional radiative transport equation, where the time derivative is of half order in the Caputo sense. By establishing Carleman estimates, we prove the global Lipschitz stability in determining the coefficients of the one-dimensional time-fractional radiative transport equation of half-order.
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1. Introduction

Let us consider the following time fractional radiative transport equation with the initial condition and Cauchy data in one dimension.

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\left( \partial_t^{1/2} + v \partial_x + \sigma_t(x,v) \right) u(x,v,t) \\
= & \sigma_s(x,v) \int_V p(x,v,v') u(x,v',t) \, dv', \quad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,0) = a(x,v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,t) = g(x,v,t), \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $\partial_t^{1/2}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative \cite{1} of half order given by

$$
\partial_t^{1/2} u(\cdot, \cdot, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial_x u(\cdot, \cdot, \tau)}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \, d\tau.
$$

We note that $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function and $\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \sqrt{\pi}$. Here we defined

$$Q = \{(x,t); \ x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T\}, \quad \Omega = (0, \ell), \quad V = \{v \in \mathbb{R}; \ v_0 \leq |v| \leq v_1\},$$

with positive constants $\ell, v_0, v_1$. We define $\Gamma_+$ and $\Gamma_-$ by

$$\Gamma_\pm = \{(x,v) \in \partial \Omega \times V; \ ±v < 0 \text{ at } x = 0, \ ±v > 0 \text{ at } x = \ell\}.$$
That is, for a function \( f(x, v) \), we have
\[
\int_{\Gamma_+} f(x, v) \, dS \, dv = \int_{-v_0}^{v_0} f(0, v) \, dv + \int_{v_0}^{v_1} f(\ell, v) \, dv,
\]
\[
\int_{\Gamma_-} f(x, v) \, dS \, dv = \int_{v_0}^{v_1} f(0, v) \, dv + \int_{-v_0}^{-v_1} f(\ell, v) \, dv.
\]
We assume
\[
\sigma_t \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V)), \quad \sigma_s \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V)),
\]
and
\[
p \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V \times V)), \quad p > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times V \times V.
\]
The phase function \( p(x, v, v') \) is assumed to be known, whereas \( \sigma_t, \sigma_s \), or both are unknown.

**Remark 1.1:** Anomalous diffusion is said to be subdiffusion when \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). In the case of the time-fractional diffusion equation, analysis for \( \alpha = n/m \) \( (m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m > n) \) is possible once we establish the methodology for \( \alpha = 1/2 \) [2]. Similarly, we can in principle use the general \( \alpha \) after we develop in the present paper the analysis for the time-fractional radiative transport equation for \( \alpha = 1/2 \).

The time-fractional radiative transport equation is approximated by the time-fractional diffusion equation in the asymptotic limit [3]. Inverse problems for time-fractional diffusion equations with the Caputo derivative \( \partial_t^\alpha \) have been intensively studied during the last decade. Uniqueness in determining \( \alpha \) and the diffusion coefficient was proven [4]. A Carleman estimate was established for the time-fractional diffusion equation with \( \alpha = 1/2 \) [2]. Using the Carleman estimate technique, conditional stability in determining a zeroth-order coefficient for \( \alpha = 1/2 \) was proven [5]. Recovering the absorption coefficient was considered [6]. A reconstruction scheme for \( \alpha \) was given in [7]. Simultaneous reconstruction of the initial status and boundary value was considered [8]. Recently, unique continuation was proved for arbitrary \( \alpha \) [9].

In this paper, we prove the global Lipschitz stability when determining \( \sigma_t(x, v) \) and \( \sigma_s(x, v) \) from boundary measurements. The proof is based on Carleman estimates first established in [10]. The methodology was first used in inverse problems for proving the global uniqueness [11]. See [12] and references therein. Our proof particularly relies on the method developed to show the global Lipschitz stability for the inverse source problem of parabolic equations [13]. See a review article [14] for further details. For the usual radiative transport equation with \( \partial_t \), the Lipschitz stability was shown for \(-T < t < T \) [15], for the purely absorbing case of \( \sigma_\tau \equiv 0 \) [16], and for \( 0 < t < T \) [17]. The recovery of \( \sigma_t \) was also considered in [18]. The exact controllability was proved [19] and the case that \( \sigma_t \) depends on \( x, v, t \) was considered in [20]. See [21] and references therein for the Hölder-type stability analysis using the albedo operator.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In § 2, main results are stated. We give some physical background in § 3. In § 4, we derive a first-order equation in time by multiplying \( \partial_t^{1/2} \) by the fractional radiative transport equation in (1). In § 5, we establish our key Carleman estimate. In § 6, we prove Theorems 2.1–2.3. Another Carleman estimate necessary in § 6 is derived in Appendix.

### 2. Main results

We define
\[
X = H^2(0, T; H^{1,0}(\Omega \times V)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^{2,0}(\Omega \times V)).
\]
For an arbitrarily fixed constant \( M > 0 \), we set
\[
\mathcal{U} = \left\{ u \in X; \| u \|_X + \| \partial_x u \|_{H^1(\Omega \times (0, T); L^2(V))} \leq M \right\}.
\]
Let \( t_0 \) be an arbitrarily fixed time on \((0, T)\). We take \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
0 < t_0 - \delta < t_0 < t_0 + \delta < T.
\]

Moreover, we set
\[
Q_\delta = \Omega \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T - t_0).
\]

Let us consider two total attenuations \( \sigma_t^{(1)}(x, v) \) and \( \sigma_t^{(2)}(x, v) \) with \( \sigma_t^{(1)}(0, v) = \sigma_t^{(2)}(0, v) \) for all \( v \in V \), and two scattering coefficients \( \sigma_s^{(1)}(x, v) \) and \( \sigma_s^{(2)}(x, v) \) with \( \sigma_s^{(1)}(0, v) = \sigma_s^{(2)}(0, v) \) for all \( v \in V \). We perform boundary measurements twice for the pairs of initial and boundary values, \((a_1, g_1)\) and \((a_2, g_2)\). Let \( u_t^{(1)} \) and \( u_t^{(2)} \) be the corresponding solutions to (1) for \( a_j(x, v) \) and \( g_j(x, v, t) \) \((j = 1, 2)\). We introduce a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( R(x, v, t) \) as
\[
R(x, v, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
-u_2^{(2)}(x, v, t) & \int_V p(x, v, v')u_1^{(2)}(x, v', t) \, dv' \\
-u_2^{(1)}(x, v, t) & \int_V p(x, v, v')u_2^{(1)}(x, v', t) \, dv'
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We choose \((a_1, g_1)\) and \((a_2, g_2)\) so that \( R(x, v, t_0) \neq 0 \) is satisfied for a chosen time \( t_0 \in (0, T) \).

**Theorem 2.1 (Simultaneous determination of \( \sigma_t, \sigma_s \)):** Let \( u_t^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U} \) \((i = 1, 2, \ j = 1, 2)\), \( \|\sigma_t^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)} \leq M \) \((i = 1, 2)\), and \( \|\sigma_s^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)} \leq M \) \((i = 1, 2)\). Moreover, we suppose \( u_t^{(2)} \in C^1(Q_\delta; L^\infty(V)) \), \( \partial_t^{1/2} u_t^{(2)} \in C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]; L^\infty(\Omega \times V)) \) for \( j = 1, 2 \). We assume that \( \det R(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \neq 0 \) in \( \Omega_\delta \times V \). Then there exists \( C = C(t_0, \delta, M) > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\sigma_t^{(1)} - \sigma_t^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_\delta; L^2(V))} + \|\sigma_s^{(1)} - \sigma_s^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_\delta; L^2(V))}
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\| u_t^{(1)}(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) - u_t^{(2)}(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega_\delta; L^2(V))}
\]
\[
+ C \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_0^{t_0 + \delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left[ \left| \partial_t(u_t^{(1)} - u_t^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t^2(u_t^{(1)} - u_t^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t \partial_x(u_t^{(1)} - u_t^{(2)}) \right|^2 \right] \, dS \, dv \, dt
\]
\[
+ C \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_0^{t_0 - \delta} \int_{V} \left| \partial_x \partial_t \left( u_t^{(1)}(0, v, t) - u_t^{(2)}(0, v, t) \right) \right|^2 \, dv \, dt,
\]
where \( 0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T - t_0) \). Here, \( C(t_0, \delta, M) \to \infty \) as \( M \to \infty \).

If one of the coefficients is known, we can determine \( \sigma_t \) or \( \sigma_s \) from a single measurement. The following theorems can be proved similar to Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2 (Determination of \( \sigma_t \)):** Let \( u_t^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U} \) \((i = 1, 2)\), \( \|\sigma_t^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)} \leq M \) \((i = 1, 2)\). Moreover, we suppose \( u_t^{(2)} \in C^1(Q_\delta; L^\infty(V)) \), \( \partial_t^{1/2} u_t^{(2)} \in C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]; L^\infty(\Omega \times V)) \), and \( u_t^{(2)}(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \neq 0 \) in \( \Omega_\delta \times V \). Then there exists \( C = C(t_0, \delta, M) > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\sigma_t^{(1)} - \sigma_t^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega_\delta; L^2(V))}
\leq C \left\| u_t^{(1)}(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) - u_t^{(2)}(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega_\delta; L^2(V))}
Anomalous diffusion is often studied using fractional diffusion equations \[23,24\]. In particular, anomalous diffusion is observed for tracer particles moving in an aquifer \[25\]. An analysis of column experiments revealed a power-law behavior of the waiting-time function of the continuous-time random walk \[26\], which has motivated the use of the fractional diffusion equations. However, recent experiments revealed a power-law behavior of the waiting-time function of the continuous-time random walk \[26\].

It is known that the mass distribution of tracer particles moving in an aquifer reveals non-Gaussian behavior \[25\] and the linear Boltzmann transport has been proposed \[28,29\]. If such flow is governed by fractional radiative transport equations, Theorem 2.1 guarantees the global Lipschitz stability in determining the absorption and scattering properties of the area of interest when the concentration of tracer particles is measured with pumping wells surrounding the area. Also Theorem 2.1 might be related to optical tomography \[30\], in which optical properties of absorption and scattering are determined from boundary measurements, if propagation of light for some reason shows anomalous transport.

Theorem 2.3 (Determination of \(\sigma_s\)): Let \(u^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U} \ (i = 1,2)\), \(\|\sigma_s^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V)} \leq M \ (i = 1,2)\). Moreover, we suppose \(u^{(2)} \in C^1(\overline{Q}_0; L^\infty(V))\), \(\partial_t^{1/2} u^{(2)} \in C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]; L^\infty(\Omega \times V))\), and \(\int_V p(\cdot, \cdot, v') u^{(2)}(\cdot, v', t_0) dv' \not= 0 \in \Omega \times V\). Then there exists \(C = C(t_0, \delta, M) > 0\) such that

\[
\|\sigma_s^{(1)} - \sigma_s^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega; L^2(V))} \leq C \left\| u^{(1)}(\cdot, t_0) - u^{(2)}(\cdot, t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega; L^2(V))} + C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left[ \left| \partial_t(u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t^2(u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t \partial_s(u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 \right] dS dv dt + C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_V \left| \partial_s \partial_t \left( u^{(1)}(0, v, t) - u^{(2)}(0, v, t) \right) \right|^2 dv dt,
\]

where \(0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T - t_0)\). Here, \(C(t_0, \delta, M) \rightarrow \infty \) as \(M \rightarrow \infty\).

Remark 2.1: In Theorem 2.1, we need an a priori assumption \(\det R(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \not= 0 \) in \(\Omega \times V\) at the observation time \(t = t_0\). This nonzero condition is satisfied by the appropriate choice of \((a_j, g_j)\) for \(j = 1,2\). The controllability result for (1) about how to choose \((a_j, g_j)\) for \(j = 1,2\) is not yet known but obtained along the same lines of the calculation (in particular, Proposition 1.1) by Yuan and Yamamoto \[22\], which is concerned with a parabolic equation. See also Remark 1.3 in Machida and Yamamoto \[17\] for the radiative transport equation.

3. Anomalous transport

3.1. Relation to anomalous diffusion and anomalous transport

Anomalous diffusion is often studied using fractional diffusion equations \[23,24\]. In particular, anomalous diffusion is observed for tracer particles moving in an aquifer \[25\]. An analysis of column experiments revealed a power-law behavior of the waiting-time function of the continuous-time random walk \[26\], which has motivated the use of the fractional diffusion equations. However, recent study shows that such fractional diffusion equations fail to explain the flow of tracer particles in column experiments especially during short time periods \[27\]. When considering the fact that the time-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the asymptotic limit of the time-fractional radiative transport equation for long time and large distance \[3\], our attention is driven to the study of the latter equation as a more accurate model of anomalous transport.

It is known that the mass distribution of tracer particles moving in an aquifer reveals non-Gaussian behavior \[25\] and the linear Boltzmann transport has been proposed \[28,29\]. If such flow is governed by fractional radiative transport equations, Theorem 2.1 guarantees the global Lipschitz stability in determining the absorption and scattering properties of the area of interest when the concentration of tracer particles is measured with pumping wells surrounding the area. Also Theorem 2.1 might be related to optical tomography \[30\], in which optical properties of absorption and scattering are determined from boundary measurements, if propagation of light for some reason shows anomalous transport.
3.2. Continuous-time random walk

The fractional diffusion equation is derived from the continuous-time random walk. In the same manner, the fractional radiative transport equation is derived from the continuous-time random walk with velocity.

We begin with the usual continuous-time random walk in \(x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\). Let \(\varphi(x, t)\) be the jump probability density function given by \(\varphi(x, t) = \lambda(x)w(t)\), where \(\lambda(x)\) is the jump length probability density function and \(w(t)\) is the waiting time probability density function. They are calculated as \(\lambda(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) \, dt\), \(w(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t) \, dx\). Using \(\varphi(x, t)\), the probability density function \(\eta(x, t)\) of just having arrived at position \(x\) at time \(t\) is written as

\[
\eta(x, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta(y, s)\varphi(x - y, t - s) \, dy \, ds + a(x)d(t),
\]

where \(a(x)\) is the initial value. We note that the cumulative probability \(\Phi(t)\) of not having moved during \(t\) is given by

\[
\Phi(t) = 1 - \int_{0}^{t} w(s) \, ds.
\]

Thus the probability density function \(P(x, t)\) of being at \((x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)\) is obtained as \(P(x, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \eta(x, s)\Phi(t - s) \, ds\). Suppose that the Fourier transform of \(\lambda(x)\) behaves like \((\mathcal{F}\lambda)(k) \sim 1 - \sigma^2 k^2\) for small \(k\) and the Laplace transform of \(w(t)\) behaves like \((Lw)(s) \sim 1 - (ts)^\alpha\) for small \(s\) \((0 < \alpha \leq 1)\). Then it is known that \(P(x, t)\) asymptotically obeys the following diffusion equation \((\alpha = 1)\) or time-fractional diffusion equation \((0 < \alpha < 1)\) in the limit of large \(x\) and large \(t\) (see, for example, [23]).

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha P}{\partial t^\alpha} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} = 0.
\]

Now, we generalize \(\lambda\) taking velocity into account [3]. Absorption is also considered. We give \(\lambda(x; v, v')\) as

\[
\lambda(x; v, v') = \xi_s \delta(x)p(v, v') + (1 - \xi_t) \delta(x - v\tau_0)\delta(v - v'),
\]

where \(\xi_t \in (0, 1), \xi_s \in (0, \xi_t)\), and \(\tau_0 > 0\) are constants. We will give \(\tau_0\) below depending on \(w(t), \xi_t\). The first term on the right-hand side is the probability that there is no jump but the velocity changes from \(v'\) to \(v\). The function \(p(v, v')\) is the probability that the target particle changes its velocity from \(v'\) to \(v\) when it is scattered by a scatterer. The second term shows the probability of transport that the target particle jumps keeping its velocity without being scattered nor absorbed. Correspondingly, we give \(\varphi(x, t; v, v')\) as \(\varphi(x, t; v, v') = \lambda(x; v, v')w(t)\), with the relations \(\lambda(x; v, v') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t; v, v') \, dt\), \((1 - \xi_a)w(t) = \int_{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t; v, v') \, dx \, dv'\), where we introduced the probability \(\xi_a = \xi_t - \xi_s > 0\) for absorption. Then we have

\[
\eta(x, v, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta(y, s, v)\varphi(x - y, t - s; v, v') \, dy \, dv' \, ds + a(x, v)\delta(t).
\]

With this \(\eta(x, v, t)\), the probability density function \(P(x, v, t)\) of being at \((x, v, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times V \times [0, \infty)\) is given by \(P(x, v, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \eta(x, v, t; \Phi(t - s)) \, ds\), where \(\Phi\) is introduced in (2). In the asymptotic limit of small \(k, s\), we obtain

\[
(\partial^\alpha_t + v \partial_x + \sigma_1) P(x, v, t) = \sigma_1 \int_{V} p(v, v')P(x, v', t) \, dv',
\]

where \(\sigma_1 = \xi_t / \tau^\alpha, \sigma_s = \xi_s / \tau^\alpha, \tau_0 = \tau^\alpha / (1 - \xi_t)\). Thus we see that (1) is related to the continuous-time random walk with velocity. Furthermore, it can be shown that (1) reduces to the diffusion
equation with the absorption term in the asymptotic limit [3]. In this sense, (1) governs anomalous transport at the mesoscopic scale, whereas the governing equation is the fractional diffusion equation at the macroscopic scale.

4. From one-half to one

Since we have no Carleman estimates for time-fractional radiative transport equations, we begin by obtaining an equation with the time derivative \( \partial_t \) by taking the \( t \)-derivative of half-order in the original equation. The following lemma ensures the relation \( \partial_t^{1/2} \partial_t^{1/2} = \partial_t \) in the calculation developed in this section.

**Lemma 4.1 (Xu-Cheng-Yamamoto [2]):** Let \( \tilde{u} \in C[0, T] \cap W^{1,1}(0, T) \) and

\[
\tilde{u}(0) = \partial_t^{\alpha_2} \tilde{u}(0) = 0.
\]

Then for \( 0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 1 \),

\[
\partial_t^{\alpha_1} \partial_t^{\alpha_2} \tilde{u}(t) = \partial_t^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \tilde{u}(t).
\]

Let us consider differences,

\[
r_t(x, v) = \sigma_t^{(1)}(x, v) - \sigma_t^{(2)}(x, v), \quad r_s(x, v) = \sigma_s^{(1)}(x, v) - \sigma_s^{(2)}(x, v),
\]

where \( r_t(x, v), r_s(x, v) \in C^1(\Omega; L^\infty(V)) \) with \( r_t(0, v) = r_s(0, v) = 0 \) for \( v \in V \). We define vectors \( r, u \) as

\[
r(x, v) = \left( \begin{array}{c} r_t(x, v) \\ r_s(x, v) \end{array} \right), \quad u(x, v, t) = \left( \begin{array}{c} u_1^{(1)}(x, v, t) - u_1^{(2)}(x, v, t) \\ u_2^{(1)}(x, v, t) - u_2^{(2)}(x, v, t) \end{array} \right).
\]

Similar to Yamamoto and Zhang [5], we introduce a new vector \( \hat{u}(x, v, t) \) as

\[
\hat{u}(x, v, t) = u(x, v, t) - \frac{2t^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} R(x, v, 0) r(x, v).
\]

By differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to \( t \), we obtain \( \partial_t \hat{u} \) as

\[
\partial_t \hat{u}(x, v, t) = \partial_t u(x, v, t) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} t^{1/2} R(x, v, 0) r(x, v).
\]

We note that

\[
\hat{u}(x, v, 0) = 0.
\]

Using \( \partial_t^{1/2} t^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1/2) \), we obtain

\[
\partial_t^{1/2} \hat{u}(x, v, t) = \partial_t^{1/2} u(x, v, t) - R(x, v, 0) r(x, v).
\]

The above equation implies

\[
\partial_t^{1/2} \hat{u}(x, v, 0) = 0.
\]
We note that by writing $\sigma_i^{(1)}$, $\sigma_s^{(1)}$ as $\sigma_t$, $\sigma_s$, we obtain the following time-fractional radiative transport equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(\partial_{t}^{1/2} + v \partial_{x} + \sigma_t(x,v)) u(x,v,t) &= \sigma_s(x,v) \int_{V} p(x,v,v') u(x,v',t) \, dv' \\
&+ R(x,v,t) r(x,v), \quad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,t) &= 0, \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T).
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
$$

(4)

Now we can alternatively compute $\partial_t \mathbf{u}$ as follows.

$$
\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \partial_{t}^{1/2} \partial_{t}^{1/2} \mathbf{u}
$$

$$
= \partial_{t}^{1/2} \left( -v \partial_{x} u - \sigma_t u + \sigma_s \int_{V} p \, dv' + R \right)
$$

$$
= -v \partial_{x} \left( -v \partial_{x} u - \sigma_t u + \sigma_s \int_{V} p \, dv' + R \right)
$$

$$
- \sigma_t \left( -v \partial_{x} u - \sigma_t u + \sigma_s \int_{V} p \, dv' + R \right)
$$

$$
+ \sigma_s \int_{V} p \left( -v' \partial_{x} u(x,v',t) - \sigma_t(x,v') u(x,v',t) \right)
$$

$$
+ \sigma_s \left( x, v' \right) \int_{V} p \, dv'' + R(x,v',t) r(x,v') \right) \, dv' + \left( \partial_{t}^{1/2} R \right) r
$$

$$
= v^2 \partial_{x}^2 u - v R \partial_{x} r - \left( v \partial_{x} R + \sigma_t R - \partial_{t}^{1/2} R \right) r
$$

$$
+ 2 v \sigma_t \partial_{x} u + (v \partial_{x} \sigma_t) u - (v \partial_{x} + \sigma_t) \sigma_s \int_{V} p(x,v,v') u(x,v',t) \, dv'
$$

$$
+ \sigma_s \int_{V} p \left( -v' \partial_{x} u(x,v',t) - \sigma_t(x,v') u(x,v',t) \right)
$$

$$
+ \sigma_s \left( x, v' \right) \int_{V} p \, dv'' + R(x,v',t) r(x,v') \right) \, dv'.
$$

(5)

From (3) and (5), we arrive at the following equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u(x,v,t) - v^2 \partial_{x}^2 u - L_1 u(x,v,t) &= \int_{V} K(x,v,v') u(x,v',t) \, dv' \\
&+ f(x,v,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x,v,t) &= 0, \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T).
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

Here,

$$
L_1 u(x,v,t) = 2 v \sigma_t(x,v) \partial_{x} u(x,v,t) + (v \partial_{x} \sigma_t(x,v) + \sigma_t^2(x,v)) u(x,v,t),
$$

$$
K(x,v,v') = -v \partial_{x} \left( \sigma_t(x,v) p(x,v,v') \right)
$$

$$
- \sigma_t(x,v) p(x,v,v') \left( (v + v') \partial_{x} + \sigma_t(x,v) + \sigma_t(x,v') \right)
$$

$$
+ \sigma_s(x,v) \int_{V} \sigma_s(x,v'') p(x,v,v'') p(x,v'',v') \, dv''.
$$
\[ f(x, v, t) = -vR(x, v, t)\partial_x r(x, v) \]
\[ \quad - \left[ v\partial_x R(x, v, t) + \sigma_t(x, v)R(x, v, t) - \partial_t^{1/2}R(x, v, t) - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)t^{1/2}}R(x, v, 0) \right] r(x, v) \]
\[ \quad + \sigma(x, v) \int_V p(x, v, v')R(x, v', t)r(x, v') \, dv'. \]  

(7)

**Remark 4.1:** Our argument only works in one dimension. In the multi-dimensional case \( n > 1 \), the principal coefficients \( v_i v_j \) of the parabolic equation corresponding to (6) do not satisfy the uniform ellipticity for \( V = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n; \ v_0 \leq |v| \leq v_1 \} \), and we cannot derive the Carleman estimate, which is obtained below for the one-dimensional equation.

### 5. Carleman estimate

Hereafter in this paper, we let \( C \) denote generic positive constants. Let us look at one component of the vector equation (6) and consider the following equation.

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_0 u(x, v, t) - L_1 u(x, v, t) - \int_V K(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) \, dv' &= f(x, v, t), \\
(x, t) &\in Q, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x, v, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\
u(x, v, t) &= 0, \quad (x, v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0, T),
\end{aligned}
\]  

(8)

where

\[ L_0 u(x, v, t) = \partial_t u(x, v, t) - v^2 \partial_x^2 u(x, v, t). \]

Let \( d \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \) be a function such that

\[ d(x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \partial_x d(x) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}. \]

As was done in [13,14,31,32], we use the weight function \( \alpha \) as

\[ \alpha(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda d(x)} - e^{2\lambda\|d\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}}{t(T - t)}. \]  

(9)

We define

\[ \varphi(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda d(x)}}{t(T - t)}. \]

We set

\[ z(x, v, t) = e^{\varphi(x, t)} u(x, v, t). \]

We note that \( \alpha < 0 \) in \( \Omega \times (0, T) \), and

\[ z(x, v, 0) = z(x, v, T) = 0, \quad \partial_x z(x, v, 0) = \partial_x z(x, v, T) = 0, \]

for \( (x, v) \in \Omega \times V \).
Proposition 5.1 (Carleman estimate): There exists \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) such that for arbitrary \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \), we can choose \( s_0 = s_0(\lambda) > 0 \) and there exists \( C = C(s_0, \lambda_0) > 0 \) such that the following estimate holds for all \( s > s_0 \) and all \( u \in U \) which satisfies (8).

\[
\int_{Q \times V} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} |\partial_t u|^2 + s \lambda^2 \phi |\partial_x u|^2 + s^3 \lambda^4 \phi^3 |u|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
\leq C \int_{Q \times V} |f|^2 e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt + Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \\
+ Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_V \int_0^T |\partial_x u(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv.
\]

Proof: It is sufficient to show the Carleman estimate for \( L_0 u \). Suppose we have

\[
\int_V \int_Q \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} |\partial_t u|^2 + s \lambda^2 \phi |\partial_x u|^2 + s^3 \lambda^4 \phi^3 |u|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
\leq C \int_V \int_Q |L_0 u|^2 e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt + Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \\
+ Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_V \int_0^T |\partial_x u(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv.
\]

Since

\[
|L_0 u|^2 \leq C|f|^2 + C|L_1 u|^2 + C \left| \int_V K(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) \, dv' \right|^2 \\
\leq C|f|^2 + C|\partial_x u|^2 + C|u|^2 + C \left| \int_V K(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) \, dv' \right|^2,
\]

we obtain

\[
\int_V \int_Q \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} |\partial_t u|^2 + s \lambda^2 \phi |\partial_x u|^2 + s^3 \lambda^4 \phi^3 |u|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
\leq \int_V \int_Q \left[ C|f|^2 + C|\partial_x u|^2 + C|u|^2 + C \left| \int_V K(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) \, dv' \right|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
+ Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \\
+ Ce^{C(s_0)\lambda} \int_V \int_0^T |\partial_x u(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv.
\]

If we notice

\[
\int_V \int_Q \left[ \int_V K(x, v, v') u(x, v', t) \, dv' \right|^2 e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
\leq C \int_V \int_Q \left( \int_V \left[ |u(x, v', t)|^2 + |\partial_x u(x, v', t)|^2 \right] dv' \right) e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt \\
\leq C|V| \int_V \int_Q \left( |u(x, v, t)|^2 + |\partial_x u(x, v, t)|^2 \right) e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dv \, dt,
\]

(12)
we have
\[
\int_V \int_Q \left[ \frac{1}{s \varphi} \left| \partial_t u \right|^2 + s \varphi \left| \partial_x u \right|^2 + s^3 \varphi^3 \left| u \right|^2 \right] e^{2sa} \, dx \, dt \, dv 
\]
\[
\leq C \int_V \int_Q \left| f \right|^2 e^{2sa} \, dx \, dt \, dv + C \int_V \int_Q \left( \left| \partial_x u \right|^2 + \left| u \right|^2 \right) e^{2sa} \, dx \, dt \, dv 
\]
\[
+ Ce^{C(\lambda)s} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} \left( \left| u \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t u \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x u \right|^2 \right) dS \, dv \, dt 
\]
\[
+ Ce^{C(\lambda)s} \int_V \int_0^T \left| \partial_x u(0, v, t) \right|^2 \, dt \, dv. 
\]

Taking sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), we can absorb the second term on the right-hand side of the above inequality and we obtain the Carleman estimate (10). Below we will derive (11).

Let us define
\[
Pz := e^{sa} L_0 (e^{-sa} z) = e^{sa} L_0 u. 
\]

We split \( Pz \) into three parts:
\[
Pz = P_1 z + P_2 z - R_0 z,
\]
where
\[
P_1 z = -v^2 \partial_x^2 z - s^2 \varphi (\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z - s (\partial_t \alpha) z, 
\]
\[
P_2 z = \partial_t z + 2s \varphi (\partial_x d) v^2 \partial_x z, 
\]
\[
R_0 z = -s \varphi (\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z - s \varphi (\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z.
\]

We note that
\[
\left\| P_1 z + P_2 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 \leq 2 \left\| Pz \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 + 2 \left\| R_0 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2.
\]

Here,
\[
\left\| P_1 z + P_2 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 = \left\| P_1 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 + \left\| P_2 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 + 2 \int_{Q \times V} \left( P_1 z \right) \left( P_2 z \right) \, dx \, dv \, dt.
\]

Therefore we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\| P_2 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 + \int_{Q \times V} \left( P_1 z \right) \left( P_2 z \right) \, dx \, dv \, dt \leq \left\| Pz \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 + \left\| R_0 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2.
\]

Let us calculate the left-hand side of the above inequality term by term. First, using the inequality
\[
\left| z_1 + z_2 \right|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| z_1 \right|^2 - \left| z_2 \right|^2 \quad (z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}),
\]
we have for any \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \),
\[
\left\| P_2 z \right\|_{L^2(Q \times V)}^2 = \int_V \int_Q \left| P_2 z \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv 
\]
\[
\geq \varepsilon \int_V \int_Q \frac{1}{s \varphi} \left| P_2 z \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv 
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_V \int_Q \frac{1}{s \varphi} \left| \partial_t z \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv - 4 \varepsilon v_1^4 \int_V \int_Q s \varphi (\partial_x d)^2 \left| \partial_x z \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv.
\]
The second term can be estimated as follows. Let us write

\[ \int_{Q \times V} (P_1 z)(P_2 z) \, dx \, dv \, dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5, \]

where

\[ I_1 = \int_{Q \times V} (-v^2 \partial_x^2 z)(\partial_t z) \, dx \, dv \, dt, \]

\[ I_2 = \int_{Q \times V} (-v^2 \partial_x^2 z)(2s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^2 \partial_x z) \, dx \, dv \, dt, \]

\[ I_3 = \int_{Q \times V} (-s^2 \lambda^2 \varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z)(\partial_t z) \, dx \, dv \, dt, \]

\[ I_4 = \int_{Q \times V} (-s^2 \lambda^2 \varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z)(2s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^2 \partial_x z) \, dx \, dv \, dt, \]

\[ I_5 = \int_{Q \times V} (-s(\partial_t \alpha)z)(\partial_t z + 2s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^2 \partial_x z) \, dx \, dv \, dt. \]

We can compute \( I_1 \) through \( I_5 \) using integration by parts and the Schwarz inequality. Note that \( z(x, v, t) = \partial_t z(x, v, t) = 0 \) in \( \Gamma_- \times (0, T) \) because \( u(x, v, t) = 0, (x, v, t) \in \Gamma_- \times (0, T) \). We have

\[ I_1 = -\int_0^T \left( \left. \int_{v_1}^{v_0} v^2(\partial_x z)(\partial_t z) \, dv \right|_{x=0} \right) \, dt. \] (15)

For the second term, there exists \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ I_2 = -\int_V \int_Q s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^2 \partial_x |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dv \]

\[ \geq \int_V \int_Q s\lambda^2 \varphi(\partial_x d)^2 v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dv - C \int_V \int_Q s\lambda \varphi |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dv \]

\[ - \int_0^T \int_V \left( s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \right|_{x=0} + s\lambda \varphi(\partial_x d)v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \right) \, dv \, dt. \] (16)

We can estimate the third term as

\[ I_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_V \int_Q s^2 \lambda^2 \varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dv \geq -C \int_V \int_Q s^2 \lambda^2 \varphi^3 |z|^2 \, dx \, dv. \] (17)

The fourth term is estimated as

\[ I_4 = -\int_V \int_Q s^3 \lambda^3 \varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3 v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dv \]

\[ \geq 3 \int_V \int_Q s^3 \lambda^4 \varphi^3(\partial_x d)^4 v^4 |z|^2 \, dx \, dv - C \int_V \int_Q s^3 \lambda^3 \varphi^3 |z|^2 \, dx \, dv \]

\[ - \int_0^T s^3 \lambda^3 \left( \left. \int_{v_1}^{v_0} \varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3 v^4 |z|^2 \, dv \right|_{x=0} + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} \varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3 v^4 |z|^2 \, dv \right) \, dt. \] (18)
The last term $I_5$ is computed as

\[
I_5 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_V \int_Q \left( s(\partial_t \alpha) \partial_t |z|^2 \right) \, dx \, dt \, dv - \int_V \int_Q s^2 \lambda \phi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t \alpha) \nu^2 \partial_x |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
\geq -C(\lambda) \int_V \int_Q (s \psi^3 + s^2 \psi^3) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
- \int_0^T s^2 \lambda \left( \int_{v_0}^{v_1} \phi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t \alpha) \nu^2 |z|^2 \, dv \right) \bigg|_{x=\ell} + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} \phi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t \alpha) \nu^2 |z|^2 \, dv \bigg|_{x=0} \right) \, dt. \tag{19}
\]

By putting (15) through (19) together, we obtain

\[
\int_V \int_Q s^2 \lambda \psi(\partial_x d)^2 v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv + 3 \int_V \int_Q s^3 \lambda \nu^3 (\partial_x d)^4 v^4 |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
\leq \int_Q \int_V (P_1 z)(P_2 z) \, dx \, dv + C \int_V \int_Q s^2 \lambda \nu |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
+ C \int_V \int_Q (s \psi^3 + s^2 \psi^3) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv + C(\lambda) \int_V \int_Q (s \psi^3 + s^2 \psi^3) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[+ B, \tag{20}\]

where

\[
B = \int_0^T \left( \int_{v_0}^{v_1} v^2 (\partial_x z)(\partial_t z) \, dv \right) \bigg|_{x=\ell} + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} v^2 (\partial_x z)(\partial_t z) \, dv \bigg|_{x=0} \right) \, dt
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T \int_V (s \lambda \phi(\partial_x d) v^4 |\partial_x z|^2 \bigg|_{x=\ell} + s \lambda \nu |\partial_x z|^2 \bigg|_{x=0}) \, dv \, dt
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T \left( \int_{v_0}^{v_1} s^3 \lambda \psi^3 (\partial_x d)^3 v^4 |z|^2 \, dv \right) \bigg|_{x=\ell} + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} s^3 \lambda \psi^3 (\partial_x d)^3 v^4 |z|^2 \, dv \bigg|_{x=0} \right) \, dt
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T s^2 \lambda \left( \int_{v_0}^{v_1} \phi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t \alpha) v^2 |z|^2 \, dv \right) \bigg|_{x=\ell} + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} \phi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t \alpha) v^2 |z|^2 \, dv \bigg|_{x=0} \right) \, dt.
\]

The remainder term $\|R_0 z\|_{L^2(V \times Q)}^2$ is estimated as follows.

\[
\|R_0 z\|_{L^2(V \times Q)}^2 \leq C \int_V \int_Q (s^2 \lambda \psi + s^2 \lambda \psi^2) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv. \tag{21}\]

Let us apply the estimates (14), (20), (21) in (13). For sufficiently small $\epsilon$, we have

\[
\int_V \int_Q \left[ \frac{1}{s \psi} |\partial_t z|^2 + s \lambda \psi |\partial_x z|^2 + s^3 \lambda \psi^3 |z|^2 \right] \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
\leq C \int_V \int_Q |Pz|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv + C \int_V \int_Q s \lambda \nu |\partial_x z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
+ C \int_V \int_Q (s^2 \lambda \psi^2 + s^3 \lambda \psi^3 + s^2 \lambda \psi^3 + s^2 \lambda \psi^2) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv
\]

\[
+ C(\lambda) \int_V \int_Q (s^2 \psi^3 + s \psi^3) |z|^2 \, dx \, dt \, dv + CB. \tag{22}\]
The boundary term is estimated as

\[ B \leq C e^{C(\lambda)s} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (|z|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\partial_x z|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \]

\[ + C e^{C(\lambda)s} \int_0^T \int_V |\partial_x z(0, v, t)|^2 \, dv \, dt. \] (23)

Therefore for sufficiently large \( s, \lambda \), we obtain

\[ \int_V \int_Q \left[ \frac{1}{s}\lambda \partial_t u |\partial_t u|^2 + s^2 \lambda^4 \phi^3 |u|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[ \leq C \int_V \int_Q |L_0 u|^2 e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dt \, dv + C \int_V \int_Q s\lambda \phi |\partial_x u|^2 e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[ + C \int_V \int_Q \left( |u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2 \right) e^{2s\alpha} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[ + C e^{C(\lambda)s} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} (|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \]

\[ + C e^{C(\lambda)s} \int_0^T \int_V |\partial_x u(0, v, t)|^2 \, dv \, dt. \] (24)

The second, third and fourth terms on the right-hand side of (24) can be absorbed in the left-hand side, and (11) is derived. Thus the proof is complete. ■

Remark 5.1: The proof is similar to the calculation in [14,31,32] in the sense that the same weight function is used. However, our equation contains the integral term, and furthermore the surface integral appears in the Carleman estimate due to the half-range boundary condition in (8).

6. Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3

6.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Here we prove Theorem 2.1 by making use of Proposition 5.1.

Let us recall that \( \mathbf{u} \) satisfies (6). We set

\[ \mathbf{y}(x, v, t) = \partial_1 \mathbf{u}(x, v, t). \]

We obtain

\[ \partial_t \mathbf{y} = v^2 \partial_x^2 \mathbf{y} + L_1 \mathbf{y} + \int_V K(x, v, v') \mathbf{y}(x, v', t) \, dv' + \partial_t f(x, v, t), \] (25)

where each component of \( \mathbf{y} \) satisfies \( y_j(x, v, t) = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_+ \times (0, T) \) (\( j = 1, 2 \)). For \( 0 < t_0 < T \), we have

\[ f_j(x, v, t_0) = y_j(x, v, t_0) - v^2 \partial_x^2 u_j(x, v, t_0) - L_1 u_j(x, v, t_0) - \int_V K(x, v, v') u_j(x, v', t_0) \, dv', \] (26)

for \( j = 1, 2 \).
We consider the Carleman estimate for (25) on $Q_8$. We here use the following weight function for the Carleman estimate instead of $\alpha(x, t)$ in (9).

$$\alpha_\delta(x, t) = \frac{e^{2\lambda d(x)} - e^{2\lambda \|d\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}}{(t - t_0 + \delta)(t_0 + \delta - t)}.$$  

We define

$$\varphi_\delta(x, t) = \frac{e^{2\lambda d(x)}}{(t - t_0 + \delta)(t_0 + \delta - t)}.$$  

We can readily see that Proposition 5.1 holds true for $t \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ instead of $t \in (0, T)$. For a sufficiently large fixed $\lambda > 0$, we can write the Carleman estimate in Proposition 5.1 as

$$\int_V \int_{Q_8} \left[ \frac{1}{s\varphi_\delta} |\partial_t y_j|^2 + s\varphi_\delta |\partial_x y_j|^2 + s\varphi_\delta^2 |y_j|^2 \right] e^{2\alpha_\delta} \, dx \, dt \, dv \leq C \int_V \int_{Q_8} |\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta} \, dx \, dt + Ce^{C_\delta} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|y_j|^2 + |\partial_t y_j|^2 + |\partial_x y_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt$$

$$+ Ce^{C_\delta} \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x y_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv,$$  

(27)

for $j = 1, 2$.

To estimate $\int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_t u_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv$ from above, we note that

$$\lim_{t \to t_0-\delta+0} e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega.$$  

Hence we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times V} |y_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv = \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0} \partial_t \left( \int_{\Omega \times V} |y_j(x, v, t)|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t)} \, dx \, dv \right) \, dt$$

$$= \int_{\Omega \times V} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0} \left( 2|y_j| |\partial_t y_j| + 2s(\partial_t \alpha_\delta)|y_j|^2 \right) e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t)} \, dt \, dx \, dv.$$  

We can further estimate the above inequality by noting that $|\partial_t \alpha_\delta| \leq C\varphi_\delta^2$ and using

$$|y_j| |\partial_t y_j| = \left( \frac{1}{s\sqrt{\varphi_\delta}} |\partial_t y_j| \right) \left( s\sqrt{\varphi_\delta} |y_j| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2s^2 \varphi_\delta} |\partial_t y_j|^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 \varphi_\delta |y_j|^2,$$

and applying (27). We obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \times V} |y_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv$$

$$\leq C \int_V \int_{Q_8} \left( \frac{1}{s^2 \varphi_\delta} |\partial_t y_j|^2 + s^2 \varphi_\delta^2 |y_j|^2 \right) e^{2\alpha_\delta} \, dx \, dt \, dv$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{s} \int_V \int_{Q_8} |\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2\alpha_\delta(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \, dv + Ce^{C_\delta} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|y_j|^2 + |\partial_t y_j|^2 + |\partial_x y_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt$$

$$+ Ce^{C_\delta} \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x y_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv.$$
That is,
\[\int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_t u_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq \frac{C}{s} \int_V \int_{Q_0} |\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[+ Ce^{C_3} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|\partial_t u_j|^2 + |\partial_r^2 u_j|^2 + |\partial_x \partial_t u_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \]

\[+ Ce^{C_3} \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x \partial_t u_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv, \]

for \( j = 1, 2 \). By taking the weighted \( L^2 \) norm of (26) using the above inequality, we obtain

\[\int_{\Omega \times V} |f_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq \int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_t u_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv + Ce^{C_3} \| u_j(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \|^2_{H^2(\Omega; L^2(V))} \]

\[+ \frac{C}{s} \int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_t^2 f_j|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[+ Ce^{C_3} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|\partial_t u_j|^2 + |\partial_r^2 u_j|^2 + |\partial_x \partial_t u_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \]

\[+ Ce^{C_3} \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x \partial_t u_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv, \]  

(28)

where the integral term on the right-hand side of (26) was estimated by a calculation similar to (12). By differentiating (7), we obtain

\[\partial_t f(x, v, t) = -v \partial_t R(x, v, t) \partial_x r(x, v) \]

\[- \left[ v \partial_t \partial_x R(x, v, t) + \alpha_t(x, v) \partial_t R(x, v, t) - \partial_t \partial^1/2 R(x, v, t) \right] \]

\[+ \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} R(x, v, 0) \]

\[\partial_r r(x, v) \]

\[+ \alpha_s(x, v) \int_{\Gamma} p(x, v, v') \partial_t R(x, v, v', t) r(x, v') \, dv' . \]

Thus, we have

\[\int_V \int_{Q_0} |\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[\leq C \int_V \int_{Q_0} (|r_j|^2 + |\partial_x r_j|^2 + |r_j|^2 + |\partial_x r_j|^2) e^{2\alpha_3(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \, dv \]

\[\leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} (|r_j|^2 + |\partial_x r_j|^2 + |r_j|^2 + |\partial_x r_j|^2) e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv. \]  

(29)

Here, since \( \alpha_3(x, t) \leq \alpha_3(x, t_0) \) was used, \( C \) depends on \( t_0 \) and \( \delta \). By (28) and (29), we obtain

\[\int_{\Omega \times V} |f_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq \frac{C}{s} \int_{\Omega \times V} (|\partial_x r_j|^2 + |r_j|^2 + |\partial_x r_j|^2 + |r_j|^2) e^{2\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv + Ce^{C_3} \| u_j(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \|^2_{H^2(\Omega; L^2(V))} \]
\[ + Ce^s \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|\partial_t u_j|^2 + |\partial_{xx} u_j|^2 + |\partial_x \partial_t u_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \]
\[ + Ce^s \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x \partial_t u_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv, \]  
where \( j = 1, 2. \)

Let us estimate \( \int_{\Omega \times V} |f_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \) from below in terms of \( r_t \) and \( r_s \). For this purpose, we use the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1:** Suppose \( w(x, v) \) satisfies

\[ \partial_x w(x, v) + A(x, v)w(x, v) + \int_V D(x, v, v')w(x, v') \, dv' = F(x, v), \]

where \( A \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V)^{2 \times 2} \) and \( D \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)^{2 \times 2} \). Then for sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ \int_{\Omega \times V} \left[ |\partial_x w(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x, v)|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |F(x, v)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv, \]

for all \( w \in H^1(\Omega; L^2(V)) \) and \( w(0, v) = 0, v \in V \).

**Proof:** Let us express \( A, D, w, \) and \( F \) as

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We have

\[ \partial_x w_1(x, v) + A_{11}(x, v)w_1(x, v) + \int_V D_{11}(x, v, v')w_1(x, v') \, dv' = \bar{F}_1(x, v), \]
\[ \partial_x w_2(x, v) + A_{22}(x, v)w_2(x, v) + \int_V D_{22}(x, v, v')w_2(x, v') \, dv' = \bar{F}_2(x, v), \]

where

\[ \bar{F}_1(x, v) = F_1(x, v) - A_{12}(x, v)w_2(x, v) - \int_V D_{12}(x, v, v')w_2(x, v') \, dv', \]
\[ \bar{F}_2(x, v) = F_2(x, v) - A_{21}(x, v)w_1(x, v) - \int_V D_{21}(x, v, v')w_1(x, v') \, dv'. \]

If we use Lemma A.1 in **Appendix**, we obtain

\[ \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x w_1(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w_1(x, v)|^2 + |\partial_x w_2(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w_2(x, v)|^2 \right) e^{2s\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \]
\[ \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\bar{F}_1(x, v)|^2 + |\bar{F}_2(x, v)|^2 \right) e^{2s\alpha_3(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \]
\[ \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |F_1(x, v)|^2 + |F_2(x, v)|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv + C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |w_1(x, v)|^2 + |w_2(x, v)|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv. \]

The proof is complete by noticing that terms \( \int_V |w_j(x, v)|^2 \, dv \) \((j = 1, 2)\) can be absorbed to the left-hand side if \( s \) is sufficiently large.
Recall that we assumed \( \det R(x, v, t_0) \neq 0 \) and \( |v| > v_0 > 0 \). We apply the above Proposition after rewriting (7) as

\[
\partial_x r(x, v) + \frac{1}{v R(x, v, t_0)} \times \left( v \partial_x R(x, v, t_0) + \sigma_t(x, v) R(x, v, t_0) - \partial_t^{1/2} R(x, v, t_0) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} t^{1/2} R(x, v, 0) \right) r(x, v)
+ \int_v \left( -\sigma_t(x, v) \partial_v (x, v) R(x, v, t) \right) r(x, v') \, dv' = -\frac{1}{v R(x, v, t_0)} f(x, v, t_0).
\]

By \( 1/R \) we denote the inverse matrix of \( R \), that is, \( 1/R = R^{-1} \). We obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} (|\partial_x r_1|^2 + \sigma_t^2 |r_1|^2 + |\partial_x r_3|^2 + \sigma_t^2 |r_3|^2) e^{2\sigma_t s(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \\
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Omega \times V} |f_j(x, v, t_0)|^2 e^{2\sigma_t s(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv.
\]

Thus we have

\[
(1 - \frac{C}{s}) \int_{\Omega \times V} (|\partial_x r_1|^2 + |r_1|^2 + |\partial_x r_3|^2 + |r_3|^2) e^{2\sigma_t s(x, t_0)} \, dx \, dv \\
\leq C e^{C} \sum_{j=1}^2 \| u_j(\cdot, \cdot, t_0) \|_{H^2(\Omega; L^2(V))}^2 \\
+ C e^{C} \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} (|\partial_t u_j|^2 + |\partial_t^2 u_j|^2 + |\partial_x \partial_t u_j|^2) \, dS \, dv \, dt \\
+ C e^{C} \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_x \partial_t u_j(0, v, t)|^2 \, dt \, dv.
\]

If we take sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), we obtain the stability estimate in Theorem 2.1.

### 6.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Instead of the vector-valued \( r(x, v), u(x, v, t) \), we introduce

\[
r(x, v) = r_t(x, v), \quad u(x, v, t) = u^{(1)}(x, v, t) - u^{(2)}(x, v, t).
\]

Correspondingly, we have \( R(x, v, t) = -u^{(2)}_t(x, v, t) \). We can carry out almost the identical calculation in \( \S \, 4 \) and \( \S \, 6.1 \) with these \( r(x, v), u(x, v, t) \). As a result, we similarly obtain the stability estimate in Theorem 2.2.
6.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Instead of the vector-valued $r(x, v), u(x, v, t)$, we introduce

\[ r(x, v) = r_s(x, v), \quad u(x, v, t) = u^{(1)}(x, v, t) - u^{(2)}(x, v, t). \]

In this case, we have \( R(x, v, t) = \int_V p(x, v, v') u^{(2)}_1(x, v', t) \, dv' \). We can carry out almost the same calculation in § 4 and § 6.1 with these \( r(x, v), u(x, v, t) \). As a result, we similarly obtain the stability estimate in Theorem 2.3.
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Appendix

Let us consider
\[\partial_x w(x, v) + b(x, v)w(x, v) + \int_V c(x, v, v') w(x, v') \, dv' = F(x, v),\]
where \(b \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V)\) and \(c \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)\).

**Lemma A.1:** For sufficiently large \(s > 0\), there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x w(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x, v)|^2 \right) e^{2a(x, v_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |F(x, v)|^2 e^{2a(x, v_0)} \, dx \, dv,
\]
for all \(w \in H^1(\Omega; L^2(V))\) satisfying (A1) and \(w(0, v) = 0, \forall v \in V\).

**Proof:** Hereafter we let \(C\) denote generic constants which do not depend on \(s\) but may depend on \(\lambda\).

Let us set \(\tilde{w} = we^{a(x, v_0)}\) and define \(\tilde{P}\) by
\[\tilde{P}\tilde{w} = e^{a(x, v_0)} \partial_x \left( \tilde{w} e^{-a(x, v_0)} \right).\]

Then we have
\[\tilde{P}\tilde{w} = \partial_x \tilde{w} - s\lambda \phi_3(\cdot, t_0)(\partial_x d)\tilde{w}.\]

Taking \(L^2\)-norm for \(\tilde{P}\tilde{w}\), we obtain
\[
\|\tilde{P}\tilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} = \|\partial_x \tilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + \|\lambda \phi_3(\cdot, t_0)(\partial_x d)\tilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}
\]
\[\quad - 2 \int_{Q \times V} \left( \partial_x \tilde{w} \right) \left( s\lambda \phi_3(\cdot, t_0)(\partial_x d)\tilde{w} \right) \, dx \, dv
\]
\[\quad \geq C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x \tilde{w}|^2 + s^2 |\tilde{w}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv - \int_{\Omega \times V} s\lambda \phi_3(\cdot, t_0)(\partial_x d)\partial_x |\tilde{w}|^2 \, dx \, dv
\]
\[\quad \geq C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x \tilde{w}|^2 + s^2 |\tilde{w}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv - C \int_{\Omega \times V} s|\tilde{w}|^2 \, dx \, dv,
\]
where we could drop the boundary term which arose from integration by parts because \(\partial_x d < 0\) in \(\tilde{\Omega}\) and \(w(0, \cdot) = 0\) in \(V\). Hence we have
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x \tilde{w}|^2 + s^2 |\tilde{w}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv \leq C \|\tilde{P}\tilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega \times V)} + C \int_{\Omega \times V} s|\tilde{w}|^2 \, dx \, dv. \quad \text{(A2)}
\]
Taking sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), we may absorb the second term on the right-hand side of (A2) and we have
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left( |\partial_x \tilde{w}|^2 + s^2 |\tilde{w}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dv \leq C \left\| \tilde{w} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \times V)}^2.
\]
From the above equation for \( \tilde{w} \), we arrive at the following inequality for \( w \).
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left[ |\partial_x w(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x, v)|^2 \right] e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |\partial_x w|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv. \tag{A3}
\]
Since
\[
|\partial_x w|^2 \leq C |F|^2 + C |w|^2 + C \left| \int_V c(x, v, v') w(x, v') \, dv' \right|^2,
\]
we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left[ |\partial_x w(x, v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x, v)|^2 \right] e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |F|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv + C \int_{\Omega \times V} |w|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv + C \int_{\Omega \times V} \left| c(x, v, v') w(x, v') \right|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |F|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv + C \int_{\Omega \times V} |w|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv, \tag{A4}
\]
where we noted that \( c \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V) \times V \) and used the fact that, by the Schwarz inequality,
\[
\int_{\Omega \times V} \left| \int_V c(x, v, v') w(x, v') \, dv' \right|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv \leq C \int_{\Omega \times V} |w|^2 e^{2\alpha x(x, x_0)} \, dx \, dv.
\]
Taking sufficiently large \( s > 0 \), we can absorb the second term on the right-hand side of (A4) to the left-hand side. Thus we obtain the estimate in Lemma A.1. ■