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ABSTRACT

We present a pre-survey study of using the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS) high sampling rate video mode guide star images to search for trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs). Guide stars are primarily used by Pan-STARRS to compensate for image motion and hence improve the point-spread function. With suitable selection of the guide stars within the Pan-STARRS 7 deg2 field of view, the light curves of these guide stars can also be used to search for occultations by TNOs. The best target stars for this purpose are stars with high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and small angular size. In order to do this, we compiled a catalog using the S/N calculated from stars with $m_V < 13$ mag in the Tycho2 catalog, then cross matched these stars with the Two Micron All Sky Survey catalog, and estimated their angular sizes from ($V - K$) color. We also outlined a new detection method based on matched filter that is optimized to search for diffraction patterns in the light curves due to occultation by sub-kilometer TNOs. A detection threshold is set to compromise between real detections and false positives. Depending on the theoretical size distribution model used, we expect to find up to a hundred events during the three-year lifetime of the Pan-STARRS-1 project. The high sampling (30 Hz) of the project facilitates detections of small objects (as small as 400 m), which are numerous according to power-law size distribution, and thus allows us to verify various models and further constrain our understanding of the structure in the outer reach of the solar system. We have tested the detection algorithm and the pipeline on a set of engineering data (taken at 10 Hz instead of 30 Hz). No events were found within the engineering data, which is consistent with the small size of the data set and the theoretical models. Meanwhile, with a total of ~22 star-hours video mode data ($\beta < 10$°), we are able to set an upper limit of $N(> 0.5$ km) $\sim 2.47 \times 10^{10}$ deg$^{-2}$ at 95% confidence limit.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the first Kuiper Belt object (not including Pluto) was discovered in 1992 (Jewitt & Luu 1993), more than 1000 trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs) have been found.8 A picture is emerging of the principal characteristics of the TNOs that is rich in information but leaves many unanswered questions. For example, a number of dynamical groups have been identified: classical, resonant, scattered disk (Duncan & Levison 1997; Luu et al. 1997), and more recently the extended scattered disk (Duncan & Levison 1997; Gladman et al. 2002). What are the number and size of these small TNOs provides important clues to the dynamical evolution of the early solar system. Kilometer-size TNOs, assuming an albedo of 4%, are expected to have $M_R \gtrsim 30$, which is still well below the detection limit of

8 See http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/iau/lists/TNOs.html for a list of these objects.

8. See http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/iau/lists/TNOs.html for a list of these objects.
to a successful detection is to have high sampling (>20 Hz), high S/N of background stars (S/N > 80), small stellar angular sizes (< 0.1 mas) and, most importantly, many star-hours of observations (> a few hundred thousands).

The Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS) is a project consisting of four telescopes that can cover over 6000 degrees per night or scan the whole visible sky from Hawaii (37°) in a week to a detecting limit ~24th magnitude. Pan-STARRS prototype telescope (PS1) will monitor up to 60 guide stars in a high sampling rate (30 Hz) video mode. We have compiled a list which allows us to select guide stars from anywhere in the field: guide stars could be in any of the 64 × 64 OTCCDs (see Section 2) and read at ~30 Hz. Each field will have multiple choices of guide stars that were ranked based on the predicted event rates, which depend on their S/Ns and angular sizes. By properly selecting guide stars, the Pan-STARRS video mode images would be ideal for searching TNOs.

In the following section, we briefly describe the Pan-STARRS system. In Sections 3 and 4, we discuss the diffraction profiles and present our detection algorithm. In Section 5, we describe the prediction of stellar angular size using \( (V - K) \) color. In Section 6, we estimate the number of expected events based on different number density estimations, sampling rates, and stellar angular sizes. The methodology and compilation of the guide star list is in Section 7. In Section 8, we show and describe the quality of the engineering data obtained in fall 2008. Conclusions are in the final section.

2. PAN-STARRS-1 SYSTEM

The full version of Pan-STARRS will contain four identical telescopes and camera systems, which is also known as Pan-STARRS-4 (Kaiser & Pan-STARRS Team 2002). PS1 is a single telescope and camera system built to test all requirements that are needed in the full version project. PS1 telescope has an aperture of 1.8 m in diameter with three-element wide field corrector that delivers a 7 degrees flat field in the focal plane. Its 8 m focal length gives a plate scale of 38.5 μm arcsec⁻², rendering a pixel resolution of 0.258 arcsec pixel⁻¹. The telescope can monitor up to 240 guide stars at ~30 Hz (in reality, probably about 60 guide stars at 30 Hz with high S/N). High-frequency image motion can be removed by on-chip fast guiding, where localized image motion can be calculated from a collection of nearby guide stars. Hence different image motions across the field of view can be corrected. This can only be achieved with the innovative design of an orthogonal transfer charge coupled device (OTCCD). The OTCCD allows on-chip charge shifting, in both rows and columns, which can compensate for the image motion in the focal plane (Tonry et al. 1997). The PS1 Giga-Pixel Camera (GPC1) contains an 8 × 8 array, minus the four corners, of OTCCDs. For reasons of economy of manufacture, facilitating fast readout, and other practical concerns, the OTCCDs each consist of an 8 × 8 Orthogonal Transfer Array (OTA) of independently addressable cells of size 590 × 598 pixels. Hence GPC1 comprises approximately 1.4 billion pixels. Typically, each OTA will have a single cell devoted to a guide star reading at high frequency. Of the 590 × 598 pixels of this cell, only a small fraction around the guide star will be read, thus allowing a fast readout with relatively low read noise.

PS1 has four filters closely resembling Sloan Digital Sky Survey filters \((g, r, i, z)\), and a y-band filter. Their passbands, corresponding \( m_1 \) magnitudes, and sky brightness are listed in Table 1. The major sources of noise are shot noise, background noise from the sky, read noise, radio-frequency interference, and dark current noise. The S/N for a given star can be estimated by

\[
S/N = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_r^2 + \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_z^2 + \sigma_R^2}{\mu}} \, \epsilon, \tag{1}
\]

where the various sources of noise are the following.

1. Poisson noise, \( \sigma_g^2 = 0.5 \times 10^{-0.4(m - m_i)} \times t \), where \( t \) is the exposure time and \( m \) is the apparent magnitude of the target star.
2. (Sky) background noise, \( \sigma_R^2 = 3.45 \mu m \times t \), where \( \mu \) is the FWHM of the point-spread function (PSF) in arcsec, and \( \mu \) is sky brightness in mag arcsec⁻².
3. Read noise, \( \sigma_R^2 = 3.46 \mu m \times N_{\text{read}}^2 \), where \( A = 3.46 \mu m \times N_{\text{read}}^2 \) is the read noise of the detector.
4. Noise from radio-frequency interference, \( \sigma_{\text{RFI}}^2 = \frac{\pi}{4} \omega^2 \times A \times N_{\text{RFI}}^2 \), where \( N_{\text{RFI}}^2 \) is the radio-frequency interference noise.
5. Noise from dark current, \( \sigma_d^2 = \frac{\pi}{4} \omega^2 \times A \times d \times t \), with \( d \) counts s⁻¹.

Based on the characteristic noise mentioned above, we calculated the S/N versus magnitude with different filters under 30 Hz sampling, 27e⁻ readout noise, 1 arcsec FWHM, \( d = 5 \) counts s⁻¹ and no radio-frequency interference. Figure 1 shows the relation between magnitude and S/N for all filters. Except for the y filter, stars with \( m_Y < 11 \) mag can achieve S/N > 80 with 30 Hz sampling.

### Table 1

| Filter | Bandpass (nm) | \( m_1 \) (mag) | \( \mu \) (mag arcsec⁻²) |
|--------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| g      | 405–550      | 24.90          | 21.90                    |
| r      | 552–689      | 25.15          | 20.85                    |
| i      | 691–815      | 25.00          | 20.15                    |
| z      | 815–915      | 24.63          | 19.26                    |
| y      | 967–1024     | 23.03          | 17.98                    |

Figure 1. S/N plot for PS1 filters with 27e⁻ readout noise, 1 arcsec FWHM, \( d = 5 \) counts s⁻¹, and 30 Hz sampling. Sky brightness and \( m_1 \) values for each filter are taken from Table 1. Note that, except for the y filter, stars with \( m_Y < 11 \) mag can achieve S/N > 80 with 30 Hz sampling.

---

9 We took the numbers from PS1 document PSDC-230-002-00 (Mission Concept Definition: http://pan-starrs.ifa.hawaii.edu/project/PSDC/PSDC-2xx/) for the characteristic noise for all possible sources.
3. DIFFRACTION PROFILE OF STELLAR OCCULTATION

This section is a quick review of the work by Roques et al. (1987) and Nihei et al. (2007). Readers can skip this section if they are familiar with the diffraction patterns of objects smaller than the Fresnel scale near 40 AU.

The differential size distribution $dN/dD \propto D^{-q}$ implies that there are more small objects than large objects in TNO populations. Based on what we know of the size distributions there are only a handful of TNOs larger that 1000 km and only a few hundred of them larger than 300 km and therefore, the odds of serendipitous occultation by a large object is less likely. Instead, we stand a better chance looking for occultations by objects in the small end of the size spectrum.

There are two reasons for this: kilometer to sub-kilometer TNOs are far more numerous than big TNOs as the size distribution suggests, and the effective diameter of the occultation shadow is enlarged due to the diffraction effect, which effectively increases the event rates.

In diffraction theory, there are two regimes delimited by the Fresnel number $F = D^2/(r\lambda)$, where $D$ is the size of the TNO, $r$ is the distance from the object to the observer, and $\lambda$ is the observing wavelength: the Fresnel diffraction (near field) where $F \geq 1$ and Fraunhofer diffraction (far field) where $F \ll 1$. As we increase the size of the TNO or decrease the distance to the object, we pass from the Fraunhofer regime to the Fresnel regime. The characteristic size, known as Fresnel scale $F \sim 2$ km at 43 AU, $\lambda$ = 600 nm, is defined by $\sqrt{r\lambda}$ and can be used to identify the two regimes. The regime of $F \gg 1$ is called the geometric regime, however for the scope of this work the probability of having such an occultation is insignificant.

The diffraction profile of stellar occultation for a point source can be calculated by the Lommel function and the profile for stars with finite size can be obtained by convolving the point-source profile with the stellar disk (Roques et al. 1987). The profile is a function of TNO distance, size and shape, stellar angular size, and impact parameter (defined as the distance between the line of sight of the star and the object). Nihei et al. (2007) showed that the width of a diffraction profile $H$ (the diameter of the first Airy ring) with zero impact parameter is defined as

$$H(\lambda, r, D, \theta_\ast) = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} F \right)^2 + \left( \frac{D}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + r \theta_\ast, \quad (2)$$

where $\theta_\ast$ is the angular size of occulted star. Figure 2 shows diffraction profiles observed with PS1 $r$ filter for various TNO sizes at 43 AU with zero impact parameter at opposition. As the size of TNOs becomes smaller than the Fresnel scale, the effective diameter remains almost the same. In other words, for objects smaller than the characteristic length defined by the Fresnel scale, the diffraction effect will make the effective diameter independent of the size of the object.

For non-point sources, due to the fringe superposition, stars with large stellar angular size would smear out the diffraction profile and reduce the depth of occultation as shown in Figure 3, the diffraction profiles of 600 m size TNO at 43 AU for various stellar angular sizes.

The diffraction profile of spherical objects have very distinct Poisson peak which cannot be observed in irregular shape objects. However, as the objects become smaller than the Fresnel scale, the central Poisson peak exists for all shapes of objects (Roques et al. 1987).

Finally, Figure 4 shows the diffraction pattern for various impact parameters. When the objects are smaller than the Fresnel scale, the Poisson peak is prominent for $b = 0$. The peak falls gradually, as $b$ increases, to a trough and then the intensity reverses (brightening event) as it reaches the first Airy ring.

One can notice that in the Fraunhofer regime, for example, $D = 500$ m at 43 AU, the shape and size do not change the width of the diffraction profile, only the depth of the occultation is affected by the object size and impact parameters. We based our detection method on this property as we explain in the following section.

4. DETECTION METHOD

When the shadow of a stellar occultation sweeps across a telescope, high sampling rate systems like PS1 video mode will translate the shadow with diffraction profile into several time-sampled light curve points. In order to look for signatures...
of occultation, a detection method should be able to probe these light curves for diffraction patterns. There are far more numerous TNOs smaller than the Fresnel scale, therefore we these light curves for diffraction patterns. There are far more of occultation, a detection method should be able to probe up again.

Figure 4. Diffraction profile for 500 m size TNO at 43 AU with various impact parameter at opposition. The central Poisson peak drops as the impact parameter increases until it reaches the first Airy ring where the intensity goes up again.

In practice, for a given \( \theta_s \) and \( S \), we built a set of templates with impact parameter values, \( b = \{0.00H, 0.01H, 0.02H, \ldots, 0.50H\} \), and scan all templates across the light curve to find the template that maximizes \( \alpha(b, \{\theta_s, S\}) \). In order to speed up the computation, we actually perform a two-pass procedure. The first pass involves scanning corresponding templates from \( b = 0.0H \) to \( 0.5H \) with \( \Delta b = 0.1H \) to find the region that brackets the maximum \( \alpha \). Then within this region, we apply a second pass that uses templates with finer impact parameter step, \( \Delta b = 0.01H \), and again find the maximum \( \alpha \) value within this region. The template that gives the largest \( \alpha \) value is the best fit between the scaled template and a pattern in the light curve. At the end of this process, we have the same number of optimized \( \alpha \) as the number of points in all light curves. In order to decide if any of these \( \alpha \) values represent real events or false positives, we determined a threshold value \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \), calculated from the null hypothesis distribution. For this purpose, we simulated light curves with no events, then scanned templates through these light curves, optimized for \( \alpha \), built the distribution, set the \( p \)-value based on the desired false positives, and finally determined the \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \) accordingly.

This distribution can also be estimated semi-analytically, thereby reducing the computational cost. Finding an optimized parameter using a least-square metric while minimizing over another parameter is equivalent to a regression with one nuisance parameter (Rice 2007). The cumulative distribution of such a system is known to follow the Gumbel distribution or type I extreme value distribution (Gumbel 1943),

\[
G(X \leq x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}}},
\]

where \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) are fitted parameters (scale and location parameters).

The left panel of Figure 5 compares the null hypothesis cumulative distribution of \( \tilde{\alpha} \), for \( S/N = 100 \) and \( \theta_s = 0.01 \) mas, built from simulations (solid points) with the fitted Gumbel distribution (solid line). The simulated light curves were generated from random numbers that follow Gaussian distribution with mean \( 1 \) and standard deviation \( 1/(S/N) \). Each point is therefore independent of any other point and no autocorrelation is present in our simulated light curves. As can be seen from the figure, the fitting for cumulative distribution \( 0.8 \) (\( \tilde{\alpha} < 0.2 \)) was good. But the fitted part of the tail (\( \tilde{\alpha} > 0.2 \)) of the distribution, which is the part we care about the most, did not fit well. Therefore, we separately fitted the tail part of the cumulative distribution, and the results are shown in the inset of left panel of the same figure. Note that the difference in the tail between the null hypothesis distribution and the fitted Gumbel distribution is now less than \( 10^{-5} \).

The right panel of Figure 5 shows the number of false positives predicted by Gumbel distribution versus the number of false positives observed in the simulation. As can be seen, at high threshold (bottom left corner) the number of predicted false positives is more than the number of observed false positives. This is due to the fact that the \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \) set by fitted Gumbel distribution is actually higher than that of the true null hypothesis distribution. As a result, even though the threshold will be set a bit higher, \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \) can still be calculated based on the Gumbel distribution to ensure one false positive in the lifetime of the project. In reality, we may get fewer than one false positive, as we demonstrated above.

The next step is to search in the light curves for any \( \tilde{\alpha} \) values that are larger than the \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \). Note that the \( \alpha_0(\theta_s, S) \)

\[ \text{Figure 5. Cumulative distribution of } \tilde{\alpha} \text{, for } S/N = 100 \text{ and } \theta_s = 0.01 \text{ mas, built from simulations (solid points)} \]
is \( \theta \) and \( S \) dependent, thus the process of simulating the null hypothesis distribution and fitting for \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) has to be repeated for each individual star. In order to alleviate this problem, we have constructed a grid of fitted \( \alpha(\theta, S) \) values for various \( S/N \)s and angular sizes a priory and tabulated these values into a database. For a given star, the threshold is approximated with \( \alpha(\theta^*, S^*) \), where \( \theta^* \) and \( S^* \) are the closest pre-calculated values to \( \theta \) and \( S \).

Note that in the presence of autocorrelations (trends) in the light curves the Gumbel distribution may not best describe the data. We have not yet investigated how well this approximation performs when trend exists in the light curves. Therefore, in the event detection pipeline, we apply a high-pass filter and de-trend the light curves to avoid this difficulty (see Section 8).

To estimate the detection efficiency as a function of \( S/N \) for PS1 guide stars with various \( S/N \)s and angular sizes, we implanted objects with various sizes into simulated light curves and calculated the number of \( \tilde{\alpha} \) values for each object size that are larger than the threshold value \( \alpha(\theta^*, S^*) \). Figure 6 (left panel) shows the detection efficiency for different stellar angular sizes as a function of object size. As the plot suggests, PS1 can detect objects as small as \( \sim 400 \) m. Figure 6 (right panel) shows the detection efficiency as a function of \( S/N \) and \( \theta \), for \( 3 \) m objects; the detection efficiency is higher for higher \( S/N \)s and smaller stellar angular sizes. Therefore, the guide stars selection (see Section 7) should be based on this fact: stars with higher \( S/N \) and smaller angular size are best candidates for searching stellar occultation in the light curves.

To evaluate whether our detection process is computationally feasible, we have estimated the total float point operations (FLOPs) needed to process all data for one night to be \( \sim 10^{11} \) (this is a conservative estimate). To save computational time, we first tabulate the values of

\[
\sum g(\tau; \beta, \{\theta, S\})^2
\]

in Equation(5). The two-pass procedure to search for matched template needs about 25 FLOPs. Each template has 13 data points, therefore for each data point in the light curve, the computational cost is about 325 FLOPs and for each night, there will be a total of \( \sim 5 \times 10^7 \) data points assuming 30 Hz sampling and 60 guide stars. With a 3 GHz CPU, the whole detection process can be done in few minutes.

Bickerton et al. (2008) have demonstrated a similar detection method using convolution of templates with light curves. The advantage of convolution done in Fourier space is that it is computationally faster. Nevertheless, our computational cost is not significant as we have pointed out above, and therefore we choose to do the template matching in real space in order to avoid problems of aliasing and for better control and understanding of the underlying distribution.

5. ESTIMATE OF THE STELLAR ANGULAR SIZE

As mentioned before, the stellar angular size is important in choosing the guide stars. For this reason, we need to be able to estimate these angular sizes ahead of time in order to select guide stars that will yield the highest number of events.

Various methods have been used to measure stellar angular sizes, for example, lunar occultation, stellar interferometry, speckle interferometry, and other photometric and spectrophotometric methods (see Scholz 1997, and reference therein). However, these measurements from the literatures are limited to relatively few bright stars. An alternative way to select the most appropriate guide stars from all stars visible to PS1 3\( \pi \) sky is to estimate the stellar angular sizes using their colors. For example, Van Belle (1999) fitted a few stars with known zero-magnitude angular sizes \( \theta_0 \) versus their \( V \) and \( B - K \) colors to set up the relation between the color indices and their angular sizes. Accordingly, we used the formula in his work in combination with the Tycho-2\(^\text{11}\) catalog (Høg et al. 2000) for \( V \)-band magnitudes, and the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS)\(^\text{12}\) catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006) for \( K \)-band magnitudes. We first extracted all stars with \( m_V < 13 \) mag and \( m_K < 16 \) mag above the PS1 southern declination limit \( \delta \geq -30^\circ \) and cross-matched stars from each catalog by looking for pairs within 1 arcsec radius and calculated their corresponding \( V - K \) color. We then refitted recent measurements of angular sizes from the Catalog of Apparent Diameter and Absolute Radii of Stars (CADARS) compiled by Pasinetti Fracassini et al. (2001) with Van Belle (1999) relations. We obtained the following fitted relation:

\[
\theta = 10^{0.453 \pm 0.003 + 0.246 \pm 0.005 (V - K) - 0.2V} \]

\(^{11}\) http://archive.eso.org/ASTROM/TYC-2/readme.htm

\(^{12}\) http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/
for main-sequence stars and
\[
\theta = 10^{(0.407 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.238 \pm 0.009(V-K)-0.2V)}
\] (9)

for giants. The fitting results are shown in Figure 7 and as can be seen, the predicted values matched well with the measured ones for both main-sequence and giant stars.

Due to the fact that stellar angular sizes depend not only on the spectral type but also on the luminosity class, the next question is how to reckon the luminosity class for every matched star when there is no such information. To do so we relied on the \((V-K)\) color as a way to (roughly) distinguish between main-sequence and giant stars. In the left panel of Figure 8, we show that the distribution of \((V-K)\) from all matched stars in PS1 3\(\sigma\) sky has two peaks, suggesting two groups of stars—most likely main-sequence and giants. We used the luminosity class information provided in CADARS to determine the boundaries between these two classes (shown in Figure 8, middle panel). Based on this, all stars with \((V-K) \leq 1.85\) were considered to be the main-sequence stars and all stars with \((V-K) > 2.0\) to be giants. We abstained from classification for stars between these boundaries. Note there are still a few giant stars with small \((V-K)\) (see the middle panel of Figure 8). This is a selection bias in the catalog due to the fact that these are bright blue O or B giant stars with multiple measurements. In reality, O and B giant stars are rare and can be removed from the final catalog using known O and B star catalogs (Reed 2003; Maíz-Apellániz et al. 2004).

Using the \((V-K)\) cut, Equations (8) and (9), we predicted angular size for CADARS stars and estimated the rms error to be 21.7% for main sequence and 20.6% for giants.

Finally, we estimated the angular sizes for all matched stars visible to PS1 3\(\sigma\) sky and the resulting distributions are shown in Figure 8 (right panel). Main-sequence stars peak around 0.02 mas, and giants peak around 0.05 mas, whereas the overall distribution (solid line) peaks around 0.02 mas.

6. EVENT RATE BASED ON VARIOUS MODELS

In this section, we estimate the number of expected events for the three-year project lifetime based on different models. The comparison of the number of expected events with the actual observed events will allow for discrimination between the different models.

One can estimate the number of expected events as a combination of the total solid angle, detection efficiency, and differential size distribution. It follows that

\[
N_{\text{event}} \approx \sum_k \sum_{j_k} n_k v(\phi_{j_k}, r) \xi_{j_k} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dN}{dD} \times H(\lambda, r, D, \theta_k) \epsilon(\lambda, D, \theta_k, S_k) dD,
\]

where \(n\) is the total number of guide stars, \(j_k\) is the \(j\)th exposure for guide star \(k\), \(v(\phi_{j_k}, r)\) is the apparent motion of the TNO for guide star \(k\) and exposure \(j_k\), \(\xi_{j_k}\) is the exposure time, \(dN/dD\) is the differential size distribution, \(H(\lambda, r, D, \theta_k)\) is the effective diameter, and \(\epsilon(\lambda, D, \theta_k, S_k)\) is the detection efficiency for PS1. The apparent motion \(v(\phi_{j_k}, r)\) and effective diameter.
The number of main-sequence stars dropped quickly for \((V - K) > 2.0\) and so is the number of giants for \((V - K) < 2.0\). Right panel: the distribution of predicted angular size of the matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS. Main-sequence stars peak around 0.02 mas, and giants peak around 0.05 mas. The overall distribution (solid line) peaks around 0.02 mas.

\[ H_0(\lambda, r, D, \theta_\star) \] can be calculated with \(\phi = 0^\circ\) (PS1 will observe mainly close to opposition) for all stars and all pointings, \(r = 43\) AU and \(\lambda s\) corresponding to the particular filters. The total number of exposures \(n_\star\) for each star \(k\) will be reduced according to the time that PS1 is pointing within the ecliptic. We defined \(r\) as the total observing time of the whole 3 \(\pi\) sky, in PS1 three-year lifetime. And we assumed that TNOs are distributed uniformly within \(|\beta| \leq 5^\circ\) along the ecliptic. Therefore, the total time PS1 would spend on the ecliptic region is about 0.1 \(r\). The detection efficiency for PS1 has been investigated as a function of \(\theta_\star\) and \(S_k\) in Section 4. The last unknown in the event rate calculation is the model-dependent differential size distribution. For this, we adapted three differential size distributions. First, we used a double power-law distribution described in Bernstein et al. (2004) (hereafter B04) with bright-end slope \(\alpha_1 = 0.88\) and faint-end slope \(\alpha_2 = 0.32\). The conversion from \(R\)-magnitude to size of the TNO was done by using 4\% albedo and \(\phi \sim 0^\circ\) (Russell 1916). The cumulative size distribution is shown as B04 line in Figure 9. Second, we use the models by Pan & Sari (2005, hereafter P&S05) with three different break diameters at 20, 40, and 80 km and \(q = 3\) also shown in Figure 9. The third model is from the upper limit set by the TAOS project (Zhang et al. 2008, hereafter Z08). Anchored at 28 km, TAOS collaboration reported an upper limit on the cumulative surface density for objects larger than 0.5 km shown as solid circle (anchored point) and solid square (upper limit) also in Figure 9.

Other models, such as Kenyon & Bromley (2004), predicted the cumulative size distributions for sub-kilometer objects to be within the ranges of the three models mentioned above and hence are not shown here.

We next calculate the number of events for three models assuming 300 clear nights per year, eight hours of observation per night, and 60 guide stars with 0.02 mas and S/N = 100 for PS1 three-year lifetime as shown in Figure 10. From the TAOS upper limit, the most optimistic prediction for the number of events is \(\sim 100\). The P&S05 model with different break diameters have the number of events ranging from a few to a few tens. For B04, we expect less than one event in PS1 three-year lifetime.

**7. GUIDE STARS CATALOG**

As we have shown in the previous section, the detection efficiency is higher for smaller stellar angular size and higher S/N. Therefore, it is crucial to select guide stars with the smallest possible angular size yet with good S/N in order to maximize the detection rates. Nevertheless, it is not clear whether a star with S/N = 120 and \(\theta_\star = 0.07\) mas is a better choice than another star with S/N = 100 and \(\theta_\star = 0.03\) mas. To answer this question we chose a size distribution from models, such as P&S05 with break diameter \(D_0 = 40\) km, and calculated the number of

---

**Figure 8.** Left panel: distribution of \((V - K)\) of all matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS catalogs. As can be seen, there are two peaks in the distribution, indicating contribution from main-sequence (left peak) and giant (right peak) stars. Middle panel: the \((V - K)\) distribution of the measurements from CADARS. The number of main-sequence stars dropped quickly for \((V - K) > 2.0\) and so is the number of giants for \((V - K) < 2.0\). Right panel: the distribution of predicted angular size of the matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS. Main-sequence stars peak around 0.02 mas, and giants peak around 0.05 mas. The overall distribution (solid line) peaks around 0.02 mas.

**Figure 9.** Straight solid line (P&S05) has \(q = 5\). The slope after the break diameter has \(q = 3\). Double power law from Bernstein has \(\alpha_1 = 0.88\) and \(\alpha_2 = 0.32\) (B04). TAOS (Z08) upper limit was anchored at 28 km on the B04 model and set an upper limit with \(q < 0.04\) at 0.5 km. The arrow indicates an upper limit.

**Figure 10.** Total number of expected events in the PS1 three-year lifetime, assuming all 60 guide stars are 0.02 mas and S/N = 100, for different models. The arrow between Z08 and B04 indicates that the number of expected events will move along the dash line as the upper limit (i.e., \(q\)) becomes smaller (as stronger constraints apply to the upper limit). Number of expected events from Z08 gives the most optimistic value of \(\sim 100\). The number of expected events from P&S05s models range from a few to a few tens. For B04, we expect less than one event in the PS1 three-year lifetime.
expected events for a given star. In Figure 11, we show the total number of expected events per star in PS1’s three-year lifetime as a function of angular size and S/N. Using this relation, we can now estimate the event rates for all matched stars (i.e., candidate guide stars) from Tycho2 and 2MASS catalogs and then select stars that give the highest event rates as our guide stars.

In Figure 12, we show the distribution of $m_V < 13$ mag for all Tycho2 stars visible to PS1 $3\pi$ sky along with all matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS catalogs. For this work, we removed all matched stars with $m_V < 8.5$ mag due to possible saturation. As can be seen, most of the stars with $m_V < 12$ mag were matched. On average, there are about 180 candidates with $m_V < 11.5$ mag for every PS1 $7\text{deg}^2$ field. Furthermore, Figure 11 shows the number distribution of matched stars as a function of S/N and $\theta$; The distribution of S/N peaks around 80 and the angular size peaks around 0.02 mas.

Considering that only 60 guide stars are needed for each target field, we selected $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ guide stars from a total of $\sim 1.27 \times 10^6$ candidates. The dotted line in Figure 12 is the distribution of the top $3 \times 10^5$ stars that were selected for the highest event rates. It is clear that most of these stars are also bright ($m_V < 11.2$ mag). In reality, even if the guide stars were selected based solely on their S/N, only a few stars per field would have not been selected from our selection based on the event rates. For each PS1 target field, we provide multiple choices of guide stars ranked by the estimated event rates. Multiple choices will ensure freedom of selecting guide stars away from gaps in CCD arrays or dead pixels that would develop over time.

8. ENGINEERING DATA

A set of video mode engineering data (~166 star-hours) was acquired in the fall of 2008. The guide stars used in these images were randomly picked bright stars as opposed to being selected based on the optimized event rates as we described in the previous section. Each FITS (Wells et al. 1981) cube in the data set contains a few thousand $100 \times 50$ pixels images with one bright guide star. For the purpose of testing, these images were taken with 10 Hz instead of 30 Hz sampling. Nevertheless, we calculated all the related threshold values for 10 Hz sampling and tried to look for possible events in these engineering data.

All images in the FITS cube were processed with SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to extract light curves for every guide star (MAG_BEST was used as flux output). Light curves for stars on the edges were excluded, and the first and last 100 data points in the light curves were removed because no stars were in these images due to shutter operations.

We applied high-pass filter to the light curves to remove the low-frequency components (calculated by a running mean from 21 data points) and then de-trending to remove the common features existing among the light curves taken at the same time (Kim et al. 2009). Figure 13 shows two examples of light curves along with their autocorrelations before and after the light curves were high-pass filtered and de-trended. The raw light curves showed considerable fluctuations due to either changing sky transparency or image motion, resulting in significantly lower measured S/N than predicted S/N from Equation (1). Measured S/N here is the median value divided by the standard deviation of the light curve. Also, strong autocorrelations were present in the raw light curves, which can compromise the detection

---

\footnote{For $3\pi$ sky and PS1 $7\text{deg}^2$ field of view, there are about 5000 unique target fields.}

\footnote{We have compiled a complete list for all matched stars with $m_V < 13$ mag. For the complete list, see http://timemachine.iic.harvard.edu/andrew/ps.matched.all.uniq}

---

\textbf{Figure 11.} Total number of expected events in the PS1 three-year lifetime. Given S/N, $\theta$, and Pan & Sari's model with 40 km break diameter with $q = 3$, assuming all 60 guide stars have the same angular sizes and S/Ns.

\textbf{Figure 12.} Left panel: histograms of all stars visible to PS1 $3\pi$ sky in Tycho2 catalog with $m_V < 13$ mag (TY2), cross-matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS (TY2-TMC), and the top 300 thousand cross-matched stars (300 K) that give the highest event rates based on their predicted angular sizes, S/Ns, and the P&SSS model. The peak distribution is near $m_V \sim 10.8$ mag which has predicted S/N $\sim 100$. Right panel: cumulative distribution. On average, there are 180 stars with $m_V < 11.5$ mag for each PS1 $7\text{deg}^2$ field; hence we can have multiple choices of guide stars ranked by their predicted event rates.
Figure 13. These two light curves and their autocorrelations are from the same video mode batch. Left panel: the crosses are the raw light curves and the solid lines are the high-pass filtered and de-trended ones. High-pass filtering was done by removing a running mean of neighboring 21 data points from the light curves. Right panel: the autocorrelations of the light curves before and after processing. The dashed lines are the $2\sigma$ range for autocorrelation of white noise (Box & Pierce 1970). As can be seen, high-pass filtering and de-trending improved the S/N of the light curves and also removed the correlation from the light curves.

technique. Nevertheless, the filtered and de-trended light curves show improved S/N and low autocorrelations. Dashed lines in the right panels are the $2\sigma$ range for autocorrelation of white noise: $(z-l)/z(z+2)$, where $z$ is the number of data points in the light curve and $l$ is the lag in the autocorrelation (Box & Pierce 1970).

Finally, we searched for possible events using the detection algorithm described in Section 4 to determine if the performance of the detection method on the engineering data is consistent with the simulations. One of the guide star ($\alpha = 333:4820917$, $\delta = 1:2981389$) light curves with $\theta_* \sim 0.04$ mas and $m_V = 11.12$ mag and measured S/N = 76.3 was selected and events with various sizes were injected. The detection method was then used to recover the injected events and therefore the efficiency (see Figure 14). Compared with the efficiency of 30 Hz for the similar S/N and $\theta_*$, one can see that the detection efficiency of 30 Hz is better than that of 10 Hz. This also confirms that higher sampling rate has better detection efficiency (Bickerton et al. 2009). Meanwhile, the efficiency from the simulation for similar S/N and $\theta_*$ was slightly better than the video mode light curve as expected.

To further evaluate the quality of the light curves, we compared the predicted S/N with the S/N of light curves before and after filtering and de-trending (see the left panel of Figure 15). As can be seen in the plot, the measured S/N is never as good as the predicted ones. The major noise for bright star is believed to be the scintillation noise (Kron 1940; Young 1967; Gilliland et al. 1993; Dravins et al. 1998) which sets the

S/N upper limit according to the empirical Equation (11) in Gilliland et al. (1993):

$$S/N^{-1} = 0.09A^{-2/3}(\chi)^{1.75}\exp(-h/h_0)(2\Delta t)^{-1/2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $A$ is the diameter of the telescope in centimeters, $\chi$ is the
air mass, $h = 3000$ m is the altitude at Haleakala, $h_0 = 8000$ m is the scale height, and $\Delta t$ is the exposure time in seconds. The scintillation limit based on PS1 parameters is shown as dotted line in the right panel of Figure 15. Even though Equation (11) is empirical, but none of the bright guide stars in the field have $S/N \geq 110$, indicating other sources of noise are dominating. For example, the non-ideal mean PSF ($\sim 1.47$ arcsec) and the presence of rapid image motions (seeing effect) in most of the images would spread the photons across the pixels contributing extra noise in photometry which would lower the $S/N$ to finally settle around $S/N \sim 100$. As can be seen, the same run (color coded) has similar measured $S/N$ which was most likely limited by weather-wise and/or system-wise problems.

The immediate impact of the reduction in the measured $S/N$s is the number of expected events. In Figure 10, we estimated the event rates from the distribution peak of predicted $S/N$ (=100) and $\theta_1 = 0.02$ mas of the guide star candidates. If the distribution peak of measured $S/N$s is, for example, reduced from 100 to 50, then the number of expected events will be halved (estimated from $S/N = 50, \theta_1 = 0.02$ mas in Figure 11). Nevertheless, given the wide range of expected events depending on the models and other assumptions, we are still able to impose constraints and eliminate models.

We realized that these engineering data might not be taken from the best sky condition with optimal optical and mechanical performances. We expect that the quality of the video mode images will be improved in the future, and better $S/N$s can be achieved as of optical and/or mechanical problems are solved. And therefore the measured $S/N$ will be close to the scintillation noise limit. In the end, no event in the engineering data set passed the one false positive threshold as was expected from our event rate calculations.

9. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

We have made a pre-survey study of using light curves from guide star video mode images to search for occultation by TNOs near 43 AU. Simulations were made to calculate the null hypothesis distribution (Figure 5) and detection efficiency for various angular sizes and $S/N$s. Under 30 Hz sampling, PS1 can detect objects as small as $\sim 400$ m at 43 AU (Figure 6). Using $(V - K)$ indices, we predicted the angular sizes of all matched stars between Tycho2 and 2MASS catalogs with $m_V < 13$ mag and $m_K < 16$ mag above the PS1 southern declination limit $\delta \geq -30^\circ$. The distribution of stellar angular sizes peak around 0.02 mas in PS1 $5\pi$ sky (Figure 8). On average, there are about 180 stars with $m_V < 11.5$ mag for PS1 7 deg$^2$ field of view. Given that we can choose only 60 guide stars for each PS1 target field, we ranked our guide star candidates by their number of expected events based on their angular sizes, $S/N$s, and the model by P&S05. As mentioned before, multiple choices of guide star will ensure us the freedom of selecting guide stars away from gaps in the CCD array or dead pixels that would develop over time. Based on the differential size distribution from different models and the threshold to have one false positive in the PS1 three-year lifetime, we estimated that the number of expected events could be somewhere from 1 to $\sim 100$ (Figure 10). The engineering data allowed us to investigate the quality of the light curve and develop the detection pipeline for the upcoming real data. We have established that the detection technique performs as well with the filtered engineering data. We also realize that the true $S/N$ is limited by systematics. Some of the systematics will be removed as we move to real data stream, however some of the systematics will remain. For example, scintillation will limit the $S/N$ to about 200. We have
recalculated the event rates with the worst-case scenario and found that the event rates were compromised by a factor of 4. Even with this pessimistic estimation, the event rate that PS1 will find allows us to place a constraint on the size distribution and hence the evolution of the TNOs. Using the available engineering data and detection efficiency at 0.4 and 0.5 km, we were able to derive an effective solid angle $\sim 2.3 \times 10^{-11}$ and $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ deg$^2$ and set the 95% confidence upper limit on surface number density at $N(>0.4\text{ km}) \sim 1.3 \times 10^{14}$ and $N(>0.5\text{ km}) \sim 2.47 \times 10^{10}$ deg$^{-2}$ (Figure 16). In future work, for the upcoming real data, we will set the threshold based on maximum true positive to false positive ratio, which allows more candidate events for further investigations. Meanwhile, the video mode light curves can also be used to search for objects in Sedna-like orbits from 100 to 1000 AU. We will work on a new detection algorithm that is capable of searching for objects in this region.
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