Cone-beam computed tomography for assessment of dens invaginatus in the Polish population
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Abstract

Objectives There are many developmental variations in the permanent dentition. Dens invaginatus can be recognized on many dental X-rays of affected patients, but not every image allows for assessment of the type of malformation. The aim of the present study was to assess the presence of dens invaginatus with radiological features using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Methods CBCT images of 33 patients were evaluated. Age, sex, side, lateralization, occurrence in a particular group of teeth, type of invagination, differentiation, and the consequences of these factors were analyzed.

Results Forty-one teeth with dens invaginatus met the inclusion criteria for this evaluation. Females were affected more frequently than males (57.6 vs. 42.4%, respectively). The patients’ age ranged from 7 to 40 years, and the occurrence of dens invaginatus peaked from age 9 to 13 years. In total, 92.7% of affected teeth were present in the maxilla, more often unilaterally (75.8%) than bilaterally (24.2%). The most frequent tooth with dens invaginatus was the maxillary lateral incisor (53.7% of affected teeth). Almost two-thirds (63.4%) of affected teeth were found on the left side and 36.6% were found on the right. The tooth anatomy was distorted within the crown and root.

Conclusions The obtained data indicate that CBCT examination is an essential tool in assessing dens invaginatus and can guide dental practitioners in treating patients who exhibit characteristic features of this disorder. CBCT allows the clinician to distinguish the type of anomaly.
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Introduction

Dens invaginatus (DI), also known as dens in dente, is a dental malformation found mostly in the permanent dentition. The etiopathogenesis is unclear. Various theories concerning the formation of this anomaly have been proposed; however, according to the most widely accepted theory, DI is caused by invagination of the enamel into the adjacent dental papilla during tooth development [1]. The most common type of DI involves invagination of the crown, but DI can also involve the root or both the crown and the root. With regard to the severity of the anomaly, either small invaginations in the crown accompanied by a reduction in the pulp chamber volume may be observed (foramen cecum), or very deep invaginations including the root of the affected tooth may occur, resulting in a characteristic radiological image called a “tooth within a tooth”. The radiological image is very specific, because the enamel forms layers of opacities inside the dentin, which can have a linear shape. The crown of the invaginated tooth can be normal or peg-shaped. Food debris is difficult to clean from the groove in the area of the invagination, promoting caries development; as a consequence, an incorrect connection...
Consecutive CBCT scans taken from 2008 to 2016 were assessed. The examinations were performed using two devices: the Galileos (Sirona, Bensheim, Germany) (field of view [FOV], 15 cm in diameter; slice thickness, 0.15–0.30 mm) and the CS 9000 3D (Carestream Health, Rochester, NY, USA) (cylindrical FOV, 5 cm in diameter and 3.7 cm in height; slice thickness, 0.077 mm). Patients were referred due to various indications for CBCT scans. Apart from impacted teeth (7 patients) and supernumerary teeth (5 patients), the main reason for CBCT referral was assessment of the structure and position of the maxillary incisors (8 patients) (Table 1). Every CBCT scan in our clinic is performed according to a strict, standardized scanning protocol. All constructions and measurements in the present study were performed on a 21.3-inch flat-panel color-active matrix thin-film transistor medical display (Nio Color 3 M; Barco, Kortrijk, Belgium) with a resolution of 2048 \times 1536 pixels at 76 Hz and 0.2115-mm dot pitch operated at 10 bits. All CBCT images were retrospectively evaluated by a radiologist (I.R.K.) with 20 years of experience and a dentist (M.P.) with 5 years of experience. Axial, sagittal, coronal, cross-sectional, and tangential images were reconstructed for all jaws, and three-dimensional reconstructions were used if necessary. The analysis focused on particular features of DI such as age, sex, side, lateralization, occurrence in a particular group of teeth, type of invagination, differentiation, and the consequences of their presence.

**Results**

The study population comprised 33 patients [19 (57.6%) female, 14 (42.4%) male] who underwent CBCT imaging for dental abnormalities. The average age of the 33 patients

| Reason for CBCT referral                        | Patients (n) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Assessment of structure and position of a tooth | 8            |
| Impacted tooth                                  | 7            |
| Supernumerary and impacted teeth                | 6            |
| Supernumerary tooth                             | 5            |
| Trauma                                         | 1            |
| Dens in dente                                   | 1            |
| Teratoma                                        | 1            |
| Malocclusion                                    | 1            |
| Dilaceration                                    | 1            |
| Transposition                                   | 1            |
| Assessment of the buccal cortex of the maxilla  | 1            |
| Total                                          | 33           |

*CBCT* cone-beam computed tomography

---

Materials and methods

Polish patients of both sexes were included in the study. Only high-quality scans were selected for analysis. Low-quality images, such as those with scattering or insufficient accuracy of bone borders, were excluded.
was 15.48 years (standard deviation, 8.99 years; range 7–40 years). The mean age of the male patients was 9 years (range 8–38 years), while the mean age of the female patients was 12 years (range 7–40 years).

The invagination most frequently involved the maxillary lateral incisors [22 (53.7%) teeth], followed by supernumerary teeth (11’, 21’) [12 (29.3%) teeth] and the maxillary central incisors [2 (4.9%) teeth]. The invaginated teeth also included the maxillary second premolar, second molar, mandibular central incisor, and third molar. In total, 92.7% of the invaginated teeth were present in the maxilla, unilaterally rather than bilaterally (75.8 and 24.2%, respectively). Almost two-thirds (63.4%) of the affected teeth were found on the left side, and 36.6% were found on the right. The root type of DI was seen more frequently than the coronal type. According to Oehlers’ classification, the collected material comprised 6 type I teeth, 20 type II, 4 type IIIa, and 8 type IIIb. In some teeth with DI, it was impossible to distinguish types a and b because of incomplete root formation. Consequently, we classified three teeth as type III. The anatomy of the teeth with this anomaly changed in reference to both the crown and the root (Tables 2, 3; Figs. 1, 2, 3). The radiological features of DI are presented below.

**Characteristic features of invaginated crowns**

In most cases, the crown had an irregular shape. Specifically, it was very wide (7.7–12.5 mm) compared with normal teeth from a particular group of teeth, and its length ranged from 4.7 to 20.0 mm. It also exhibited mesiodistal widening and vertical shortening and showed a peg-shaped or incorrect structure. The germ of the tooth with invagination developed in the coronal part only or developed improperly in the coronal part only.

**Characteristic features of roots with invagination**

Roots with invagination were incorrectly formed with a wide or open apical foramen. The periapical area showed widening of the periodontal ligament (one tooth) and an osteolytic lesion of 9–16 mm in size with an ill-defined contour without the marginal ridge (six teeth).

**Table 2** Occurrence of dens invaginatus in individual groups of teeth

| Tooth       | Maxilla | Mandible | Total |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|
| Molar       | 1       | 1        | 2     |
| Premolar    | 1       | 0        | 1     |
| Canine      | 0       | 0        | 0     |
| Lateral incisor | 22  | 0        | 22    |
| Central incisor | 2   | 1        | 3     |
| Supernumerary | 12  | 1        | 13    |
| Total       | 38      | 3        | 41    |

**Table 3** Occurrence of dens invaginatus depending on type of field of view, sex, age, tooth, and position in the dental arch

| FOV type | Sex   | Age | Tooth | Side | FOV type | Sex   | Age | Tooth | Side |
|----------|-------|-----|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|-------|------|
| 1        | Small | Female | 7 | 21’ | L      | Small | Male | 8 | 22 | L |
| 2        | Small | 7 | 31 | L | Small | 9 | 12 | 22 | R, L |
| 3        | Small | 9 | 21 | L | Small | 9 | 11’, 21’ | R, L |
| 4        | Small | 10 | 22 | L | Small | 9 | 11’ | R |
| 5        | Small | 11 | 22, 12 | R, L | Large | 9 | 21 | L |
| 6        | Small | 11 | 11’ | R | Small | 10 | 11’, 21’ | R, L |
| 7        | Small | 11 | 22 | L | Small | 10 | 11’, 21’ | R, L |
| 8        | Large | 12 | 12, 22 | R | Small | 11 | 22 | L |
| 9        | Large | 13 | 21’ | L | Small | 13 | 12, 22 | R, L |
| 10       | Small | 13 | 22 | L | Small | 13 | 21’ | L |
| 11       | Large | 13 | 32’ | L | Small | 13 | 11’ | R |
| 12       | Small | 14 | 22 | L | Small | 33 | 12 | R |
| 13       | Large | 16 | 38 | L | Large | 33 | 12, 22 | R, L |
| 14       | Small | 18 | 22 | L | Large | 38 | 17 | R |
| 15       | Large | 19 | 12 | R |
| 16       | Large | 19 | 22 | L |
| 17       | Large | 22 | 12 | R |
| 18       | Large | 28 | 12 | R |
| 19       | Large | 40 | 25 | L |

*FOV field of view*
Radiological images of invagination

In the coronal type, the invagination extends from the palatal surface of the crown to the level of the cementoenamel junction. We found the presence of a pocket covered by enamel, discontinuity of the enamel layer, and an alternate system of opaque and translucent layers. In addition, we observed a surplus of hard tissues from the palatal side, whereas the enamel invaginated into the inner part of the tooth from the palatomesial surface.

In the root type, the invagination occurs within the crown and the root. We found the presence of tapered invagination of dentin in the central part of the root and a pocket covered by lamina dura in the apical region of the root.

The differentiation of DI according to type is presented in Table 4. The consequences were as follows:

1. Delayed resorption of the primary tooth root.
2. Delayed development compared with the corresponding contralateral tooth.
3. Delayed eruption compared with the corresponding contralateral tooth.
4. Impediment in eruption of a permanent tooth if the invagination involves a supernumerary tooth.
5. Pathological connection of the tooth with the oral cavity.
6. Rotation of the tooth crown and root deviation.
7. Displacement or deviation of adjacent teeth.

Discussion

The development of radiodiagnostics has contributed to an increased treatment success rate for developmental malformations, meaning that patients can preserve their own dentition for a longer period of time. Because of the cause of DI was historically unknown and endodontic treatment could not be performed, affected teeth were simply extracted. CBCT examination with precise analysis of numerous thin slices has allowed for the performance of proper endodontic treatment even in very difficult cases [7]. Patel [8] claimed that it is impossible to assess the real nature of DI based on the conventional radiographs only; therefore, CBCT should be used when this anomaly is suspected. A periapical X-ray can clearly show the anomaly but does not depict its three-dimensional nature. It gives insufficient diagnostic information for the practitioner while assessing the true anatomy and planning the
treatment. A case report by Patel [8] showed a particular advantage of CBCT. A periapical X-ray taken for diagnostic reasons revealed a lesion 4 mm in diameter associated with the mesial part of the root and signs of a class III invagination that appeared to have its own apical foramen. The periapical radiolucency appeared to be associated with the invagination. In this case, CBCT images confirmed the lesion but gave no information regarding its origin. The main canal was intact. The periapical lesion did not communicate with the main root canal; thus, only the invagination was treated. The root canal with the vital pulp was left untreated [8].

Depending on the source, the incidence of DI ranges from 0.04 to 10.00% in the general population [9] and from 1.30 to 12.00% in the Turkish population [10–12]. Few studies to date have focused on the prevalence of DI based on CBCT examination [13, 14]. Irrespective of the type of examination used during investigation, all studies (including the present study) have shown that the tooth most frequently affected by DI is the maxillary lateral incisor [13–15]. We found that 53.7% of all teeth affected by DI were maxillary lateral incisors. Decurcio et al. [14] discovered DI in 85.5% of cases and Capar et al. [15] in 75.0%, whereas Ceyhanli et al. [13] found DI in 86 (2.8%) of 3067 lateral incisors.

It is not rare to find DI in supernumerary teeth, particularly in the mesiodens [16, 17]. In the present study, 29.3% of all teeth with DI were supernumerary teeth. We encountered no patients with more than two DI-affected teeth (two teeth in 24.2% of patients and a single tooth in 75.8%), but some authors have reported patients with four DI-affected teeth (3.3% of patients), three teeth (2.2%), two teeth (29.7%), and one tooth (64.8%) [14].

Ceyhanli et al. [13] reported that 5.90% of all patients had at least one tooth with DI with no sex-related difference (5.31% of male patients and 6.57% of female patients). Likewise, no significant sex-related difference was found in the present study, and other studies seem to confirm this result [10, 12, 13, 18]. Only Gündüz et al. [11] reported a statistically higher prevalence of DI in female patients. In the present study, female patients were also more often affected, but the difference was not strongly emphasized. We also noticed that there was a stronger predilection for DI in the maxilla than in the mandible; 92.7% of DI-affected teeth were observed in the maxilla, and only 7.3% were found in the mandible. Surprisingly, the authors of some studies rarely found DI [14, 15, 18] or even found no DI in the mandible [13]. According to
Oehlers’ classification, the most common type of DI is type I, depending on source (range 65.9–86.6% of cases) [4, 10, 13, 15]. In the present study, however, we found that type II was the most frequent type, as in the study by Decurcio et al. [14].

DI is more often found unilaterally, but bilateral occurrence is also possible. In the present study, DI was quite prevalent bilaterally (24.2% of cases), but other studies have revealed that bilateral occurrence is also frequent at 82.0% [12], 67.5% [11], 23.1% [10], and 15.6% of cases [13].

Many case reports have described successful management of DI diagnosed by means of CBCT [6, 7, 19–21]. In one case report, a tooth with type III DI with a large periapical lesion was treated simply by filling the invaginated canal. The main root canal was not affected, and, importantly, the vitality of the tooth was preserved [19]. Such treatment is possible only with a three-dimensional diagnosis.

CBCT allows for evaluation of the connection between the invagination and root canal, which is crucial when endodontic treatment is carried out to manage periapical lesions [6, 20].

CBCT allows for assessment of all necessary information regarding teeth with malformations such as DI, and this, in turn, facilitates the planning of treatment in all fields of dentistry. CBCT is particularly valuable in patients with type III DI for whom an endodontic treatment plan must be developed [6, 21, 22]. Using sagittal slices, the clinician can distinguish whether a periapical lesion arises from the main canal or from the invaginated one.

Knowledge of the presence of DI is crucial, because it affects the results of treatment in all fields of dentistry, particularly in endodontics. If DI is suspected, clinical examination is essential; careful radiographic interpretation is also required. To confirm the suspicion of DI, additional radiographic examination should be performed; CBCT is adequate for this purpose. CBCT with a small FOV is better than that with a large FOV, because the higher resolution exhibits more details. This particular examination

![Fig. 3 Example of bilateral occurrence of dens in dente of supernumerary, incorrectly developed and situated teeth 11′, 21′. a Panoramic view. b Cross-sectional image. c Axial plane](image)
is helpful in evaluating the type of anomaly. Many researchers have observed that DI occurs most frequently in the maxillary lateral incisors. Dental practitioners should be aware of the characteristic clinical features of DI and be able to recognize them using various radiological methods. They should also know which type of CBCT examination to choose to present the best view.

In conclusion, CBCT allows for precise diagnosis of DI. The most frequent location of this anomaly is the maxillary lateral incisor. CBCT allows the clinician to distinguish the type of abnormality, which is essential during treatment planning.
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