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Abstract

Background: Postoperative complications (PCs) after laparoscopic gastrectomy with mediastinal lymph nodes resection for adenocarcinoma of esophagogastric junction (AEG) prolonged the time of hospitalization and increased mortality rate. Early diagnosis of PCs was of great importance. This retrospective study aimed to investigate whether the variation tendency of serum C-reactive protein can be used as an early marker to predict major postoperative complications after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG.

Methods: Data for patients underwent laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG, from January 2015 to March 2020, were retrospectively analyzed. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) was used to determine diagnostic accuracy of inflammatory markers. The optimal cutoff values were calculated by maximizing Youden's index. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to identify risk factors for PCs of grade III or more according to the Clavien-Dindo classification.

Results: A total of 114 patients were analyzed, of whom 21 (18.4%, 95% CI 11.4-25.4 %) developed major PCs, including anastomotic leakage in 13. The ratio of CRP level on postoperative day 3 to day 2 (POD3/2 CRP) provided the best diagnostic accuracy (AUC 0.903, 95% CI 0.814-0.993, p<0.001). Proximal gastrectomy (OR 8.224, 95% CI 1.976-34.234, p=0.004) and operation time ≥360 minutes (OR 6.753, 95% CI 2.037-22.395, p=0.002) were identified as significant independent risk factors for major PCs.

Conclusions: The POD3/2 CRP was the best marker to predict PCs after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG.

Background

Adenocarcinoma of esophagogastric junction (AEG) is defined as an adenocarcinoma with epicenter located between 5 cm above to 5 cm below the esophagogastric junction (EGJ) and must infiltrate into EGJ [1]. Due to the characteristic location, AEG has two lymphatic drainage pathways: the mediastinal and abdominal field [2,3]. The specific lymphatic drainage of AEG results in the resection margin much larger and prolongs the operation time. Hence, the incidence rate of postoperative complications (PCs) is higher compared with other sites originated gastric cancer. PCs prolonged the hospitalization and increased mortality rate. It is reported that PCs were also a risk factor of poor prognosis [4-6]. However, PCs are often diagnosed after the patient develops severe clinical symptoms, which makes patient requires major clinical interventions such as intensive care and reoperation. Therefore it is of great importance to diagnose PCs at early time. There were several investigations using the cut-off value of C-reactive protein (CRP) to early predict the onset of PCs [7-16]. Indeed, CRP is an acute-phase protein associated with systemic inflammatory response. However, the individual serum CRP level after inflammation varies greatly according to age, sex, nutrient status, and operation [17-20]. Therefore, we
aimed to study whether the variation tendency of serum C-reactive protein can be used as an early marker to predict PCs after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG.

Methods

Patient selection and data collection

Patients who underwent laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG in our hospital from January 2015 to March 2020 were included in this study. Tumors were staged according to the 8th edition of the international union against cancer classification of the malignant tumors. Data for clinicopathological characteristics, intra-operative findings and postoperative course were retrieved from our database, retrospectively. White blood cell (WBC) count, proportion of neutrophils, CRP level, and procalcitonin (PCT) level were routinely detected on postoperative day 1 to 3, and then examined according to the physical condition. Postoperative complications (PCs) were categorized according to the Clavien-Dindo classification. Patients in the major PCs group were defined as suffered from PCs of grade III or more, according the Clavien-Dindo classification. Patients with PCs of grade I to II, or who didn’t experienced PCs were distributed to the no/minor PCs group. When postoperative anastomotic leakage was suspicious, a contrast-enhanced computer tomography was performed.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median with range. The Mann–Whitney test and Chi-square test/Fisher’s exact test were employed to evaluate differences in continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The diagnostic accuracy of WBC, proportion of neutrophils, CRP, and PCT were assessed by the area under the receiver operator curve (AUC). The optimal cut-off values were calculated by maximizing Youden’s index (sensitivity + specificity - 1). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were utilized to identify clinic factors for postoperative complications. A two-side p value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed on SPSS, version 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Clinicopathologic characteristics

Patients' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The study consisted of 82 men and 32 women with a mean age of 63.9 ± 10.5 years old. The preoperative mean BMI and Alb level of all patients were 22.6 ± 3.3 kg/m² and 37.8 ± 3.6 g/L, respectively. The median preoperative hemoglobin concentration was 125.5 g/L [interquartile range (IQR) 103-141]. The number of patients with an ASA-graded physical status of 1, 2, or 3 were 13, 100 and 1, respectively. 20 patients received neoadjuvant chemo/chemoradiotherapy. The vast majority of patients underwent laparoscopic operation, only 6 patients received the combination surgery of laparoscopy and thoracoscopy. 98 patients underwent total gastrectomy and 3 patients of them performed combined resection of spleen or distal pancreas. The
most frequent reconstruction technique of esophagojejunal anastomosis was end-to-side anastomosis with tubular stapler, and 48 patients received over-lap anastomosis using linear stapler. The median operation time and estimated blood loss were 335 minutes (IQR 315-360) and 100ml (IQR 50-200), respectively. 79 patients were diagnosed with Siewert type II AEG. The pathological stage of I, II, and III were 35, 25, and 54, respectively.

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients

| Characteristic                                      | Total N=114 | No/minor complications n=93 | Major complications n=21 | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age(years)                                          | 63.9±10.5   | 63.8±9.7                   | 67.1±11.4                | 0.127   |
| Male                                                | 82 (71.9)   | 67 (72.0)                  | 15 (71.4)                | 0.955   |
| Female                                              | 32 (28.1)   | 26 (28.0)                  | 6 (28.6)                 |         |
| BMI(kg/m2)                                          | 22.6±3.3    | 22.3±3.6                   | 24.5±2.7                 | 0.003   |
| ASA-PS 1/2/3                                         | 13/100/1    | 11/81/1                    | 2/19/0                   | 0.774   |
| Preoperative albumin (g/L)                          | 37.8±3.6    | 37.1±3.3                   | 39.0±2.4                 | 0.033   |
| Preoperative hemoglobin (g/L)                       | 125.5 (103-141) | 123 (98-136) | 132 (123-145) | 0.003   |
| Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy                       |             |                           |                          |         |
| Present                                             | 20 (17.5)   | 17 (18.3)                  | 3 (14.3)                 | 0.907   |
| Absent                                              | 94 (82.5)   | 76 (81.7)                  | 18 (85.7)                |         |
| Approach method                                      |             |                           |                          |         |
| Laparoscopy                                         | 108 (94.7)  | 88 (94.6)                  | 20 (95.2)                | 0.669   |
| Laparoscopy and thoracoscopy                        | 6 (5.3)     | 5 (5.4)                    | 1 (4.8)                  |         |
| Extent of resection                                 |             |                           |                          |         |
| Total gastrectomy                                   | 96 (84.2)   | 83 (89.2)                  | 13 (61.9)                | 0.006   |
| Proximal gastrectomy                                | 18 (15.8)   | 10 (10.8)                  | 8 (38.1)                 |         |
| Combined resection                                  |             |                           |                          |         |
| No                                                   | 111 (97.4)  | 90 (96.8)                  | 21 (100.0)               | 0.406   |
| Yes                                                  | 3 (2.6)     | 3 (3.2)                    | 0                        |         |
| Reconstruction method of esophagojejunal anastomosis |             |                           |                          |         |
| Tubular                                             | 66 (57.9)   | 55 (59.1)                  | 11 (52.4)                | 0.571   |
| Linear                                              | 48 (42.1)   | 38 (40.9)                  | 10 (47.6)                |         |
| Operation time(min)                                 | 335 (315-360) | 330 (300-348) | 360 (335-465) | 0.016   |
| Estimated blood loss(ml)                            | 100 (50-200) | 100 (50-125) | 100 (50-200) | 0.100   |
| Siewert Classification I/II/III                     | 0/79/35     | 0/62/31                    | 0/17/4                   | 0.308   |
| Pathological stage I/II/III                          | 35/25/54    | 29/23/41                   | 6/2/13                   | 0.224   |
| Postoperative hospitalization duration               | 8 (7-12)    | 8 (7-9)                    | 33 (18-43)               | 0.001   |

BMI body mass index, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists
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Table 2 Diagnostic accuracy and the corresponding optimal cut-off value of different inflammatory markers

| Variables | AUC   | Cut-off Value | 95% CI        | P-Value |
|-----------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| POD3 NEU  | 0.725 | 0.808         | 0.603-0.848   | 0.001   |
| POD4 NEU  | 0.784 | 0.745         | 0.650-0.918   | 0.068   |
| POD1 CRP  | 0.564 | 72.0          | 0.434-0.695   | 0.359   |
| POD2 CRP  | 0.756 | 176.1         | 0.634-0.878   | 0.001   |
| POD3 CRP  | 0.891 | 171.4         | 0.817-0.965   | 0.001   |
| POD2 PCT  | 0.651 | 1.110         | 0.525-0.778   | 0.041   |
| POD3 PCT  | 0.677 | 1.320         | 0.549-0.805   | 0.065   |
| POD3/2 CRP | 0.903 | 1.160        | 0.814-0.993   | 0.001   |

NEU neutrophils

Fig. 2 Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis of the ratio of CRP level on postoperative day 3 to day 2.

Predictive factors for major PCs

According to Table 1, age, BMI, preoperative albumin, preoperative hemoglobin, extent of resection and operation time were different significantly in two groups. However, preoperative hemoglobin was at normal range in both groups (123 vs. 132). Preoperative albumin was below the lowest reference value (37.1 vs. 39.0) in two groups. In addition, our study was short at sample size. Therefore, only age, BMI, extent of resection, operation time and classification of Siewert type were introduced into univariate and multivariate analyses. Among these factors, proximal gastrectomy [ odds ratio (OR) 8.224, 95% CI 1.976-34.234, p=0.004] and operation time no less than 360 minutes (OR 6.753, 95% CI 2.037-22.395, p=0.002) were identified as significant independent predictive factors for major postoperative complications after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG (Table 3).

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of clinical factors for major postoperative complications
### Discussion

Even though significant improvements have achieved in surgical techniques and perioperative management, the morbidity rate after total gastrectomy was still up to 21.5%, according to a nation survey in Japan [21]. In this study, the incidence of major PCs was 18.5% (95% CI 11.4-25.4%). This result was consistent with former research. PCs prolonged the time of hospitalization and increased mortality rate. In our research, the median hospitalization period significantly prolonged in major PCs group, compared with no/minor PCs group (33 vs. 8, p<0.001). It was reported that PCs was also a risk factor for poor prognosis [4-6]. A latest meta-analysis comprised of 12,065 patients revealed that the pooled HR (95% CI) for complications regarding OS was 1.79 (1.39, 2.30) [22].

The multivariate analysis suggested that operation time longer than 360 minutes significantly increased the risk of major PCs (OR 6.753, 95% CI 2.037-22.395, p=0.002). This finding was consistent with other studies [23-25]. Furthermore, our research revealed that proximal gastrectomy with double-tract anastomosis increased the risk of major PCs (OR 8.224, 95% CI 1.976-34.234, p=0.004). Compared with Roux-en-Y reconstruction for total gastrectomy, one more anastomosis (gastrojejunostomy) is performed during double-tract anastomosis. Hence, the risk of leakage is increased. However, a latest meta-analysis consisted of 592 patients found that laparoscopic proximal gastrectomy with double-tract reconstruction (PG-DTR) had comparable short-term outcome with laparoscopic total gastrectomy (TG) [26]. As a novel reconstruction procedure considered superior to TG in term of nutrition, the clinical outcomes of PG-DTR were still controversial. There needs high-quality evidence to confirm the safety of PG-DTR.

Anastomotic leakage is the most common and serious PCs after gastrectomy. According to a recent large-scare cohort study and multicenter phase II studies, the prevalence of esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage (EJAL) for laparoscopic total gastrectomy range from 1.7 to 5.7% [27-29]. In this study, the incidence of EJAL was 11.4% (95% CI 5.6-17.2%), higher than data reported by former research. Some factors may lead to this difference. First of all, the patients included in this study were all AEG. It was recommended that lower mediastinal lymph nodes should be dissection through transhiatal approach for Siewert type II or III AEG, if there was esophageal invasion of 3 cm or less [30,31]. A retrospective research suggested that the optimal proximal margin length for Siewert type II and III AEG was 2cm (2.8 cm in vivo) [32]. Hence, the scope of resection was wider and the location of anastomosis was higher.
compared with other sites originated gastric cancer. These differences resulted in more operation difficulty and longer operation time.

C-reactive protein (CRP) was the first reported acute-phase protein in 1930. CRP was synthesized by hepatocytes quickly upon the inflammatory stimulation. CRP levels peaked at 48 hours after the initiation of an acute inflammatory response [33,34]. This feature was consistent with our result that the mean CRP level in no/minor PCs group peaked at postoperative day 2 and reduced to baseline gradually. Thus, an abnormal elevated serum CRP level can be utilized to indicate the presence of postoperative infectious complications. What’s more, elevated CRP levels were ahead of the onset of descriptive clinical manifestation and positive imaging findings.

There have been some studies investigated the cut-off values of CRP at a certain day to predict the leakage of anastomosis. But the reported cut-off values of CRP varied greatly ranging from 78 to 229 mg/L on POD 1 to 5 [7-16]. As a systematic inflammatory factor, CRP levels varied individually according to age, sex, nutrient status, and operation [17-20]. Therefore, the diagnostic accuracy of postoperative serum CRP level on a certain day was not that exact. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research using the variation tendency of serum CRP to predict PCs after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG. And we did find that the ratio of CRP on postoperative day 3 to postoperative day 2 had the best accuracy to predict PCs (AUC 0.903 95% CI 0.814-0.993, p<0.001) compared than other inflammatory factor.

PCT was another biomarker detected to predict PCs and believed to be a more specific marker of severe infections and complications [35,36]. As shown in Fig. 1d, the mean PCT level of no/minor PCs were at their highest on postoperative day 1 and declined to baseline in a few days. The PCT levels of major PCs maintained above normal range even though effective antibiotics had been used. However, the diagnostic accuracy of PCT wasn’t good as CRP. Because the positive predictive value of PCT was too low. The diagnostic accuracy calculated by Youden's index should consider both the negative predictive value and positive predictive value.

The limitations of this study include its retrospective and single-institution design. Prospective studies should be performed to investigate whether early diagnostic or therapeutic approaches based on variation tendency of postoperative CRP levels could actually lead to earlier detection of infectious complications and improve outcomes. Due to the strict inclusion criteria, the sample size of this study was small. However, as stated above, strict enroll criteria can minimalize the variation of postoperative CRP levels.

Conclusions
We find that the variation tendency of serum CRP levels is a reliable marker to predict PCs after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG. Proximal gastrectomy (OR 8.224, 95% CI 1.976-34.234, p=0.004) and operation time no less than 360 minutes (OR 6.753, 95% CI 2.037-22.395, p=0.002) were identified as
significant independent predictive factors for major postoperative complications after laparoscopic gastrectomy for AEG.
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Figures
Figure 1
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Figure 2

Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis of the ratio of CRP level on postoperative day 3 to day 2.
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