Strategies to Potentiate Paracrine Therapeutic Efficacy of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Inflammatory Diseases

Yoojin Seo 1,†, Min-Jung Kang 1,† and Hyung-Sik Kim 1,2,*

1 Dental and Life Science Institute, Pusan National University, Yangsan 50612, Korea; amacat24@naver.com (Y.S.); kkang085@naver.com (M.-J.K.)
2 School of Dentistry, Pusan National University, Yangsan 50612, Korea
* Correspondence: hskimcell@pusan.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-10-5283-0721
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been developed as cell therapeutics for various immune disorders using their immunoregulatory properties mainly exerted by their paracrine functions. However, variation among cells from different donors, as well as rapid clearance after transplantation have impaired the uniform efficacy of MSCs and limited their application. Recently, several strategies to overcome this limitation have been suggested and proven in pre-clinical settings. Therefore, in this review article, we will update the knowledge on bioengineering strategies to improve the immunomodulatory functions of MSCs, including genetic modification and physical engineering.
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1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are the multipotent adult stromal cells that can self-renew and differentiate into various cell types of the mesodermal lineage. Moreover, MSCs have been revealed to possess unique immunomodulatory properties through a broad spectrum of mechanisms including cell-to-cell contact and mediation of soluble factors [1,2], rendering them an attractive candidate for cellular therapy for a wide range of immune-related diseases. Indeed, a variety of innate immune cells including monocytes/macrophages, dendritic cells (DC), natural killer (NK) cells and adaptive immune cells such as T cells and B cells are polarized to the inactive or inflammation-resolving state by MSCs [3]. In particular, growing attention has been paid to the paracrine capacity of MSCs in this context since several MSC-derived paracrine factors are associated with their immunomodulatory effects [4]. For instance, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) derived from MSCs participate in the suppression of pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization, T cell proliferation and NK cell cytotoxicity [5,6], while MSC-derived transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) leads to the systemic immune tolerance via inducing the regulatory T cells [7].

At present, hundreds of clinical trials have been conducted to treat immune-mediated disease with MSCs; however, the clinical application of MSCs often fails to recapitulate therapeutic potential for immunomodulation despite the promising results from in vitro and animal studies, partially due to their heterogeneity according to originated sources and diversity of delivery methods (e.g., cell dose, injection route and treatment frequency) [8]. Dynamic interaction between transfused MSCs and unfavorable host microenvironments such as nutrient deficiency, hypoxia and extensive inflammatory stimuli also changes the characteristics of MSCs, reducing the benefit of cell therapy [9]. Therefore, strict quality management of MSCs and standardization of their potency in vivo must precede the practical application to achieve reproducible and successful clinical outcomes as demonstrated in the preliminary settings [10,11]. In addition, it is necessary to explore novel strategies to strengthen the therapeutic capacity of MSCs. This review summarizes the state-of-the-art
engineering technologies for the clinical translation of MSCs, with a focus on enhancing their paracrine activity.

2. Immunomodulatory Property of MSCs

2.1. Interaction between MSCs and Innate Immune Cells

2.1.1. Macrophage

Macrophages are the crucial cell component in the innate immune system with significant plasticity. The activation state of macrophages can be divided into two directions: classically polarization towards M1 (pro-inflammatory subtype) or alternatively activated M2 type (anti-inflammatory subtype) [12]. In general, MSCs tend to inhibit M1 type while inducing M2 type, contributing to the resolution of inflammation and further tissue regeneration [13]. In this context, MSC-derived paracrine factors such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) play a significant role [14]. In addition, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) pathway is involved in the M2 macrophage differentiation process induced by MSCs [15].

2.1.2. Myeloid Dendritic Cells (DCs)

DCs play as antigen-presenting cells (APCs), linking the innate- and adaptive immune system cascade [16]. MSCs can compromise their APC function via the suppression of differentiation, maturation and migration of DCs; MSCs inhibit the differentiation of monocytes to DCs by suppressing their expression of Major Histocompatibility Complex II (MHC II), CD1-α, CD80 and CD86 and IL-12 [17]. Similar to macrophage polarization, MSCs can induce DCs into an anti-inflammatory phenotype through downregulating the pro-inflammatory factors (i.e., TNF-α and IL-12) and upregulating the anti-inflammatory factors (i.e., IL-10) in DCs [18]. In addition, MSCs prevent LPS-mediated maturation of DCs and induces regulatory DC population in a hepatic growth factor (HGF)-dependent manner [19].

2.1.3. Natural Killer (NK) Cells

Natural killer (NK) cells are the key effector cells possessing both cytotoxic lymphocyte function and anti-tumor/anti-viral capacity in the innate immune system [20,21]. Notably, MSCs exert potent inhibitory functions on NK cell proliferation, differentiation and migration and these suppressive impact of MSCs on NK cells are mediated by MSC-derived general immunomodulating factors including IDO, PGE2 and human leukocyte antigen-G5 (HLA-G5) [22,23]. Interestingly, NK cell-derived IL-12- or IL-18 promotes IFN-γ secretion, which would further enhance C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 2 (CCL2) expression and immunomodulatory capacity of MSCs [24].

2.1.4. Neutrophils

Neutrophils are abundantly found in the bloodstream and are regarded as the key players during acute inflammation [25], defending the invading microorganisms, while they also function as APCs to trigger the adaptive immune response [26]. MSCs provide some protective effects on neutrophils against apoptosis and promote their recruitment to the bone marrow through the recreation of IL-6, IL-8 and macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) [27]. On the contrary, some reports have shown that MSCs play the opposite role since they would impede neutrophils’ recruitment and functionality in terms of extracellular trap formation and protease secretion by secreting superoxide dismutase-3 (SOD-3) [28]. Thus, neutrophils modulation by MSCs might depend on the immunophenotype of MSCs.

2.2. Interaction between MSCs and Adaptive Immune Cells

2.2.1. T Cells

In general, MSCs suppress T-cell proliferation (both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell subsets) and activation regardless of their originated species and tissue types. As mentioned in Section 2.1.2., MSCs inhibit DC maturation and, in turn, reduce the T cell activation.
MSCs expressing HLA-G1 and TGF-β inhibit T-cell proliferation by reducing cell-cycle associated components such as phospho-retinoblastoma (pRb), cyclin D and cyclin A, resulting in growth arrest in the G1 phase [29]. PD-L1 secreted by MSCs also acts on T cell apoptosis and influences an irreversible T cell hypo-responsiveness [30]. In terms of helper T cell subtype, MSCs can interfere with the differentiation of pro-inflammatory Th1 to anti-inflammatory Th2 condition [31]. MSCs inhibit Th1 type pro-inflammatory factor expression (i.e., IFN-γ, TNF-α and IL-1β) and induce an increase in IL-10 levels and thus, enhancing Th2 type factor expression. MSCs also inhibit the differentiation and function of Th17 cells by de-activating the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathway through PD-1, IL-10, CCL2 or suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) expression [32]. MSCs are known to directly induce the differentiation of regulatory T cells (Tregs), T cells mainly involved in T cell suppression and immunomodulation for maintenance of homeostasis [33], through the TLR-Notch pathway and the secretion of IL-10, TGF-β1, IL-10 and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) [34]. In addition, MSCs suppress the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines including interferon-γ (IFN-γ), IL-22 and IL-17, but promote IL-10 production by Th1 and Th17 cells, inducing the generation of Treg [35].

2.2.2. B Cells

MSCs affect differentiation, proliferation, reduce cell cycle arrest, impaired plasma cell generation and compromised the immunoglobulin-secreting ability of B cells [36]. MSCs inhibit STAT3 activation and induce PAX5 expression via CCL2 secretion to suppress immunoglobulin synthesis in B cells [37]. MSCs secrete IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) and PD-L1 to inhibit B-cell differentiation [38]. Finally, MSCs drive the induction of regulatory B cells (Bregs) or naïve B cells with memory function and IL-10 derived from the Breg further enhances the immunomodulation of MSCs via converting effector T cells into Tregs [39,40].

2.3. MSC-Derived Immune Modulators

MSCs display immunomodulatory phenotype partially via the secretion of immune-associated bioactive factors depending on the context of the microenvironment. These soluble factors include a diverse multitude of cytokines, growth factors, chemokines and hormones, which combine to modulate the immune system [41].

PGE2 is regarded as one of the most potent, key immunosuppressive factors of MSCs. It is generated from the arachidonic acid in the membrane phospholipids by cyclooxygenase-1 and 2 (COX-1 and COX-2) and prostaglandin synthase [42]. MSC-derived PGE2 modulates the direction of macrophage polarization from the pro-inflammatory phenotype M1 into the anti-inflammation phenotype M2 and exerting the inhibitory effects of MSCs on DCs by inducing up-regulation of IL-10 secretion from DCs [43]. In addition, PGE2 inhibits T cell proliferation, Th17 cell differentiation and NK cell cytotoxicity [44].

MSC-derived IDO plays immunosuppressive roles against various immune cells, including Macrophages, DCs, T cells and NK cells [6]. IDO catalyzes the conversion of tryptophan to kynurenine, which inhibits the proliferation of immune cells. IDO leads to T cell suppression by skewing the pro-inflammatory Th1 state to the anti-inflammatory Th2 condition [6]. IDO secretion by MSCs inhibits NK cell activation as well as the maturation of DC and M1 macrophages [45].

Finally, MSCs express iNOS, which metabolizes L-arginine into citrulline and produces NO, which suppresses the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and T cell proliferation [46]. Upon exposure to pro-inflammatory cytokines in vitro, MSCs produce high amounts of NO to suppress the proliferation and modulation of T cells and other immune cells [47,48].
3. Clinical Application of MSCs for Immune-Associated Disorders

Given that MSCs exhibit an impressive immunomodulatory role in a context-dependent manner in pre-clinical settings, the practical efficacy of MSC application has been evaluated over the past decade. Statistically, bone-marrow (BM) is a dominant source for MSCs, while umbilical cord (UC) and adipose-tissue (AD)-derived MSCs are also frequently used in clinical trials [49]. The injection route is largely divided into intravenous systemic delivery and local delivery (i.e., intrathecal, intramuscular and intra-articular injection) [11,49]. The local injection of MSCs enables direct targeting of the problematic lesion but it is usually invasive and impedes the viability as well as engraftment of MSCs due to the harsh microenvironment [11]. On the contrary, systemic administration of MSCs can be a feasible option in various clinical circumstances and provides flexibility in terms of injection volume, dose and frequency. The major drawback of the intravenous route is the insufficient migration of MSCs to the target lesion. Indeed, most of the intravenously introduced cells are trapped in the lung and cleared by resident macrophages, which significantly dampens the therapeutic efficacy of MSC application [50,51]. In addition, undesirable immune responses so-called “instant blood-mediated inflammatory reaction” triggered by systemic MSC transplantation can elicit safety concerns [51]. Therefore, intensive monitoring of the injected cell fate as well as host response should be followed to overcome the current limitation and improve the therapeutic as observed in the preclinical investigation.

To mitigate and/or control the disabilities in the immune system with MSC application, several clinical trials targeting various intractable autoimmune disorders and inflammatory diseases such as graft-versus-host disease (GvHD), multiple sclerosis (MS), inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have been conducted in MSC therapeutic field (Table 1).

3.1. Graft-Versus-Host Disease (GvHD)

GvHD is a major cause of death after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) and is the result of donor-derived hematopoietic stem cell mounting an alloreactive response against host tissues and organs. GvHD is characterized by the immune response of helper T cells, showing the typical characteristics of autoimmune diseases [52]. Owing to the innate supporting and immunomodulatory role of MSCs for HSCs in the bone marrow, transplantation of MSCs has been applied to manage this complication and a total of 46 studies have been enrolled for the clinical trials (to February 2021, clinicaltrials.gov. 6 March 2021).

3.2. Multiple Sclerosis (MS)

MS is an autoimmune disorder with chronic, progressive inflammation in the central nervous system. The etiology is unknown, but autoimmune responses mainly of CD4+ T cells that migrate from the periphery attack myelin-based protein, leading to demyelination and, in turn, neurodegeneration [53]. Since MSC application in the EAE model, the representative MS-recapitulating animal model, has been proven effective with promising outcomes, about 50 clinical trials have been conducted to estimate the therapeutic role of MSCs for treating MS (to February 2021, clinicaltrials.gov. 6 March 2021).

3.3. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD)

IBD is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract associated with multifactorial conditions, such as ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) [54]. The development and progression of IBD is influenced by numerous factors, such as the dysfunction of mucosal T cells, impairment in the mucosal/epithelial barrier, intestinal infections and dysbiosis [55]. The paracrine functions of MSCs can remedy these complications in various ways. A total of 34 clinical trials to treat IBD with MSCs have been conducted so far (to February 2021, clinicaltrials.gov. 6 March 2021). MSCs are provided IL-12 and TGF-β to control the function of NK cells and restrained the proliferation of B lymphocytes via promoting the expression of CD40 in colitis [56,57]. Nod-like receptor
signaling pathway would be activated by MSCs to boost the PGE2 expression and reduce the multiplication of monocyte [58]. MSCs also secrete TGF-β to transform the phenotype of macrophages from M1, identified as pro-inflammatory properties, to M2. In the meantime, MSCs were able to secret TGF-β and IL-10 to inhibit the T cell activation and promote regulatory T cells [59]. Therefore, the therapeutic actions of MSC-paracrine factors are largely dependent on their immunomodulatory capacity in IBD.

3.4. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE)

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by activation of B and T lymphocytes [60]. SLE is accompanied by the formation of immune complexes, tissue inflammation in multiple organs and high levels of serum pro-inflammatory cytokines. In addition, Tregs and T helper 17 cells play important roles in the pathogenesis of SLE [61]. So far, about 15 cases of clinical trials for SLE have been performed with MSC application (to February 2021, clinicaltrials.gov. 6 March 2021). Recent clinical studies have revealed that UC MSCs up-regulate Foxp3 + Treg cell and down-regulate Th17 cells through the regulation of TGF-β and PGE2 in SLE [44].

| Disease   | Origin of MSCs | Clinical Trial Number | Phase | MSC-Derived Soluble Factors | Alteration in the Immune System | References |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| GvHD      | Allogeneic MSCs | NCT01522716            | II    | CXCL9 ↑ CXCL10 ↑            | Naïve CD4 T cell ↑ Naïve B cell ↑ | [62]       |
| IBD       | Autologous MSCs | NCT01659762            | I     | IDO ↑                      | PBMC proliferation ↓             | [63]       |
|           | Autologous BM-MSCs | NCT01659762      | I     | IL-10 ↑                    | Treg induction ↑ T cell apoptosis ↑ | [64]       |
| MS        | Autologous BM-MSCs | NCT01228266         | II    | N.A                        | Th1/Th17 ratio ↓ Breg induction ↑ | [65]       |
| SLE       | Allogeneic UC-MSCs | NCT01741857          | I     | TGF-β ↑ PGE2 ↑             | Th17 cell proliferation ↓ Breg induction ↑ | [44]       |

MSCs; mesenchymal stem cells, GvHD; Graft-versus-host disease, CXCL; Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand, IBD; Inflammatory bowel diseases, IDO; Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, BM; bone marrow, PBMC; Peripheral blood mononuclear cell, IL; Interleukin, Treg; regulatory T cell, MS; Multiple sclerosis, N.A; not available, Breg; regulatory B cell, SLE; Systemic lupus erythematosus, UC; umbilical cord, TGF; Transforming growth factor, PGE2; Prostaglandin E2.

4. Bioengineering of MSCs for the Functional Improvement

Based on the improved understanding of mode-of-action underlying the MSC-mediated immune regulation as well as practical limitations of naïve cells, various bioengineering strategies aiming to maximize the therapeutic potency have been proposed [66,67]. These approaches can be briefly divided into (1) enforcement of innate paracrine function via priming or genetic engineering of MSCs and (2) biomaterial-based physical/structural modification of MSCs.

4.1. Enforcement of Innate Paracrine Function

4.1.1. MSC Priming

Since the immunomodulatory function of MSCs is conferred by reciprocal communication with immune cells, pre-conditioning of MSCs with immune response mediators in vitro, so-called “priming” or “licensing” strategy, has been applied to enhance their innate immunomodulatory capacity [68,69]. IFN-γ, TNF-α and several interleukin families are the most frequently used bioactive agents and exposure to these pro-inflammatory cytokines prior to in vivo application can educate MSCs to acquire immunosuppressive phenotype via reinforcing their paracrine capacity mainly for IDO, PGE2, IL-10, TGF-β and NO [70]. Global transcriptome- and proteome analysis of MSCs has further revealed that activity of immune-associated key signaling such as NF-kB, JAK-STAT1/3, COX-2...
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and mTOR pathway can be dramatically altered upon priming towards anti-inflammatory signature [71–74]. As a result, the superior therapeutic performance of primed MSCs compared to naïve cells has been reported in various immune-related disorders including atopic dermatitis [75], experimental colitis [76], experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) [77], hepatic infection [78,79] and GvHD [73,80].

In addition, recapitulating the infectious condition in MSCs via stimulating the innate immune systems contributes to boosting their immune regulatory functions [81]. The pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) participate in the early response of innate immune cells by detecting the specific endogenous and exogenous dangerous signals. Of interest, MSCs are constantly expressing the PRRs such as TLRs and Nod-like receptors (NLRs) and PRR activation in MSCs can drive anti-inflammatory downstream response both in vitro and in vivo [82]. For example, MSCs cultured with TLR3 agonist polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C) or TLR4 agonist lipopolysaccharide (LPS) could suppress T cell proliferation and Th1/17 polarization to a greater extent to control cells partially via the activation of Notch pathway, resulting in a better clinical outcome in EAE and colitis model [33,83,84]. LPS primed MSCs exerted an enhanced innate antibacterial activity than naïve cells and promoted the faster bacterial clearance in septic mice [85]. Similarly, pre-activation of the NOD2 pathway using muramyl dipeptide (MDP) promoted the anti-inflammatory signature of MSCs mainly through the activation of COX-2 signaling and PGE2 secretion, which could ameliorate the disease severity of the experimental colitis model [58]. The potential role of innate immune sensor “inflammasome complex” in the regulation of MSC immunophenotype has been also demonstrated recently [86]. After the stimulation of NLRP3, one of the best-described inflammasomes in present, the immunomodulatory function of MSCs was potentiated in terms of induction of Treg as well as suppression of pro-inflammatory macrophage and NLRP3-activated MSCs could provide superior protection against colitis mice.

Although MSC priming is the foremost and simplest way to augment the MSC-derived immunoregulatory potential, several practical challenges remain prior to its clinical application. First, the intrinsic immunomodulatory nature of MSCs and their response to licensing agents vary depending on the cell origin and the priming protocols such as stimulant combination, treatment concentration and exposure time [87,88]. Moreover, the boosting impact of pre-conditioning often fails to reach a substantial level in vivo, resulting in unexpected therapeutic differences. Several advanced approaches have been suggested to overcome these limitations of priming strategy. One way is to utilize biomaterials for the fabrication of microparticle (MP), a bioinstructive molecule-carrying platform, to deliver the priming agents to the MSCs consistently [89]. Using this technique, licensing molecules can be anchored to MSCs. For instance, MSCs mixed with IFN-γ loaded-heparin MP presented a sustained expression of IDO and T cell suppressive property compared to traditionally-primed cells [90]. Moreover, MP containing the immune-response controlling chemicals can be internalized into MSCs to change their immunophenotype directly. Ranganath et al. delivered MPs encapsulating TPCA-1, an inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase subunit-β (IKK-β), to MSCs. As MPs were internalized in cells, the intracellular release of TPCA-1 led to a stable inhibition of NF-kB pathway, preventing the unexpected pro-inflammatory response of MSCs upon TNF-α treatment [91]. Based on the prior finding that activation of glucocorticoid pathway augments the immunomodulatory function of MSCs, Ankrum et al. conducted MSC modification with MP carrying a glucocorticoid steroid budesonide [92]. Budesonide MP was efficiently internalized into MSCs and enhanced immunomodulatory potential along with stable IDO activity in vitro. Thus, MP-based local delivery of the bioactive compound to MSCs would be an effective and safe strategy to control the therapeutic capacity that can replace the conventional priming strategy.

4.1.2. Genetic Engineering of MSCs

Although MP-mediated priming can provide a more constant and durable boosting impact on the paracrine capacity of MSCs, it can only potentiate the innate function.
Therefore, researchers have applied genetic engineering techniques for the direct induction of either insufficient endogenous factors or brand-new proteins within MSCs [93]. In general, RNA viruses such as lentivirus and retrovirus are the most commonly used viral vectors for gene transfer owing to their host-genome integration capacity. Virus-transduced cells display the permanent expression of the desired gene product, while potential safety issues such as mutagenesis and tumorigenesis should be carefully monitored prior to their clinical application [94]. On the contrary, DNA viruses including adenovirus and adeno-associated virus (AAV) provide transient but relatively safer gene delivery. The viral vector-based method provides high potent gene transfer with low cytotoxicity; however, viral vectors tend to elicit host immune response which might dampen their efficiency [95]. Genetic information can be also introduced to MSCs via non-viral method using physical (i.e., microinjection, electroporation) or chemical (i.e., calcium-phosphate nanoparticle) tools, although significant cytotoxic effects during the procedure as well as unstable gene expression with low efficiency limit its practical use [96].

The representative pre-clinical outcomes of genetically enhanced MSCs targeting immune-associated diseases are summarized in Table 2. At present, IL-10 is the most frequently chosen overexpression target in MSC genetic engineering since IL-10 acts as a powerful immunomodulatory factor for the resolution of excessive inflammation and tissue regeneration. Therefore, the potential therapeutic impact of IL-10 overexpressing MSCs has been demonstrated in various immune-mediated pathologic conditions including various neuro-inflammatory/degenerative diseases [97–99], acute liver allograft rejection [100] and lung injury induced by ischemia-reperfusion damage or LPS challenge [101,102].

| Target Factor | Engineering Method | Cell Source/Route of Injection | Animal Model/Immune-Related In Vivo Effect | References |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| IL-10         | Lentivirus         | mBM-MSC Intracerebral         | TBI model                                | [99]       |
|               |                    |                               | Astrocytosis & Microgliosis ↓            |            |
| IL-10         | Lentivirus         | hAD-MSC Intraperitoneal       | EAE model/ Treg ↑, Th17 ↓, DC maturation ↓| [98]       |
| IL-10         | AAV                | hBM-MSC Intravenous           | MCAO model                               | [97]       |
| IL-10         | Lentivirus         | Dark-Aguti MSC Intravenous    | acute liver allograft rejection model/ Treg ↑, Th17 ↓ | [100] |
| IL-10         | Retrovirus         | hBM-MSC Intravenous           | Lung Ischemia–Reperfusion Injury/ Granulocyte, CD4+ & CD8+ T cells ↓ Treg ↑ in BAL | [102] |
| IL-10         | Retrovirus         | mBM-MSC Intravenous           | LPS-Induced ALI model/ IL-10 producing CD4+ & CD8+ T cells, B cells ↑ TNF-α ↓ in BAL | [101] |
| IL-4          | Lentivirus         | hAD-MSC Intraperitoneal       | EAE model/ Th1/Th17 ↓, Th2 response ↑    | [103] |
| IL-4          | Lentivirus         | mAD-MSC (single cells and spheroid) Intraperitoneal | Osteoarthritis model/ NO mediated damage ↓ | [104] |
| GM-CSF        | Lentivirus         | mBM-MSC Intraperitoneal       | ECDC model/ CD11b+GR-1+ MDSC & Treg mobilization ↑, Th17 ↓ | [105] |
| IFN-γ         | Lentivirus         | mAD-MSC Intravenous           | EAE model/ Treg ↑, CD3+ & CD4+ T cells ↓ | [106] |
| IL-1Ra        | Lentivirus         | hAF-MSC Portal vein injection | FHF model/ infiltration of mononuclear cells ↓ | [107] |
| IL-37         | Lentivirus         | mBM-MSC Intravenous           | MRL/lpr mice (model of SLE)/ B220+, CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD11b+, B220+CD3+, CD138+IgG+ and CD4+IL17+ cells ↓ Treg ↑ | [108] |
| sST2          | Lentivirus         | hAD-MSC Intravenous           | LPS-Induced ALI model/ Pro-IL-33, TLR4, IL-1β and IFN-γ ↓ IL-10 ↑ | [109] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Target Factor | Engineering Method | Cell Source/Route of Injection | Animal Model/Immune-Related In Vivo Effect | References |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| IL-10         | CRISPR/Cas9 (dCas-SAM system) | mBM-MSC/Intramyocardial | myocardial infarction in diabetes model/CD68+ CD11b+ cells ↓ in the heart | [110] |
| miR-223       | Lentivirus          | mBM-MSC/Intrapitoneal exosome treatment | experimental autoimmune hepatitis model/NLRP3 inflammasome activation ↓ IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-17 ↓ | [111] |
| miR-181a      | Lentivirus          | hUCB-MSC/Intramyocardial exosome treatment | myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model, Treg ↑ in the heart | [112] |
| miR-181-5p    | Plasmid transfection | mAD-MSC/Intrasplicenic exosome treatment | Liver fibrosis model/TNF-α, IL-6, IL-17 ↓ in the liver | [113] |
| miR-30d-5p    | Plasmid transfection | ratAD-MSC/Intravenous exosome treatment | Ischemic stroke model/Microglial autophagy ↓ M1 polarization ↓ | [114] |
| Angiopoietin1 | Plasmid electroporation | mBM-MSC/Intravenous | LPS-Induced ALI model/TNF-α, IL-6, IL-8, Cxcl2 ↓ in the lung | [115] |
| SOD3          | Lentivirus          | hUCB-MSC/Subcutaneous | Imiquimod-induced psoriasis-like model/lymphocyte, DC, neutrophil infiltration ↓ in the skin | [116] |
| SOD3          | Lentivirus          | hUCB-MSC/Subcutaneous MSCs or exosome treatment | atopic dermatitis model/lymphocyte and mast cell infiltration ↓ | [117] |

In addition, IL-10, another important anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-4, can be genetically delivered in MSCs to enhance the immunosuppressive role of naïve cells targeting autoimmune disorders [103,104]. To increase homing capacity to the injury site, Silva et al. introduced granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF) to MSCs (MSC<sup>GM-CSF</sup>) and evaluated their therapeutic roles in Chagas disease cardiomyopathy [105]. Compared to control MSCs, MSC<sup>GM-CSF</sup> displayed a remarkable homing ability to the heart, sequentially leading to the recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and Treg induction. Likewise, the therapeutic efficacy of genetically engineered IFN-β expressing MSCs (MSC<sup>IFN-β</sup>) was evaluated in murine EAE model owing to the beneficial role of recombinant IFN-β in the management of MS [106]. The authors found that MSC<sup>IFN-β</sup> led to a significant recovery of demyelination in the spinal cord accompanied by a reduction in clinical score of EAE mice, partially via the suppression of circulating CD25/69+ activated CD4+ T cells.

As represented by IL-1α and β, activation of IL-1 pathway is generally associated with clinical deterioration of inflammatory disease [118]; interestingly, however, some of the recently-discovered IL-1 family members such as IL-1Ra are known to suppress the classical pro-inflammatory IL-1 function and, thus, treatment of MSCs expressing these endogenous IL-1 antagonists can provide superior therapeutic benefits than naïve cells. Indeed, overexpression of IL-1Ra in MSCs improved the survival of the fulminant hepatic failure model by alleviating the liver damage accompanied by the attenuation of intrahepatic inflammation [107]. Inhibition of IL-1 signaling with MSC overexpressing IL-37 also augmented the anti-inflammatory capacity of MSCs both in vitro and in vivo, reducing the SLE-like symptoms in the mouse model [108]. Given that pro-inflammatory IL-33 binds to its receptor IL-33/IL-1 receptor–like–1 (ST2) to elicit the Th2 differentiation, González et al. genetically introduced soluble IL-1 receptor–like–1 (sST2) into MSCs to block the IL-33/ST2 interaction [109]. The authors found that sST2-expressing MSCs
brought an improvement in the clinical severity of endotoxemia as well as histological pathology in a murine model of LPS-mediated lung injury, demonstrating the therapeutic benefits of targeting the IL-33 pathway for the management of the acute respiratory disease.

Meanwhile, microRNAs (miRNAs) are attractive overexpression targets for the functional improvement of MSCs. miRNAs are highly conserved single-stranded non-coding RNA molecules that induce gene silencing either by degradation or translational blocking of target messenger RNA. Importantly, cell-to-cell communication is largely mediated by the exchange of miRNAs-containing extracellular vesicles (EVs). In this context, MSCs and their EVs can be utilized as therapeutic miRNA delivering tools and miRNAs involved in immune regulation have been introduced to MSCs to upregulate the innate immunomodulatory function of MSCs. For instance, the introduction of miR-223, which can negatively regulate the pro-inflammatory responses such as activation of NLRP3 inflammasome pathway, can enhance the MSC-mediated protection against murine models of experimental autoimmune hepatitis [111]. Zilun et al. overexpressed T cell-regulating miR-181a in MSCs and found that exosomes derived from miR-181a overexpressing MSCs led to a prominent induction of Treg cells in injured cardiac tissue compared to control [112]. The therapeutic advantage of miR-181 overexpression in MSCs was also revealed in liver fibrosis model, in which MSCs could induce autophagy of hepatic satellite cells and down-regulate inflammatory response upon miR-181-5p overexpression [113]. In addition, clinical data-based miRNA selection is another commonly used strategy; indeed, given that the expression level of miR-30d-5p tend to be down-regulated in the serum of stroke patients, Jiang et al. generated miR-30d-5p overexpressing MSCs and demonstrated their protective impact on M1 microglia-mediated acute ischemic stroke injury [114]. Both in vitro and in vivo, MSC-derived miR-30d-5p skewed M2 polarization by preventing abnormal autophagy. Overexpression of angiogenic- or pro-survival factors also augments the overall therapeutic efficacy of MSCs against inflammatory disease. For instance, angiopoietin 1, a major player for blood vessel formation and maturation, enhanced the benefits of MSCs in the acute lung injury (ALI) model by reducing vascular leakage [115]. The overexpression of superoxide dismutase 3 (SOD3) in MSCs resulted in the increment of cell viability both in vitro and in vivo, contributing to functional improvement of MSCs in various inflammatory skin disease models [116,117].

4.1.3. CRISPR/Cas9-Based Functional Improvement of MSCs

In recent years, the groundbreaking technique called Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) system contributes to a profound development in the field of gene therapy [119,120]. The operating principle of CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing is initiated with the induction of target-site specific double-strand breaks with Cas9 endonuclease to activate the DNA repair system, which in turn leads to gene correction or mutation. The advanced utilization of mutated Cas9 with Nickase activity enables researchers to perform more accurate and purpose-specific genomic engineering [121]. Moreover, deactivated Cas9 (dCas9) without catalytic activity applies to transcriptional regulation of the target genes, expanding the scope of the technique beyond genome editing [121]. Owing to its convenience and economic advantages compared to conventional methods, CRISPR has become the most popular genome engineering technique. Growing attempts have been conducted to apply CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene modification in the field of MSC therapeutics [122]. First, CRISPR-based gene silencing can modify the intrinsic nature of naïve cells. Shen et al. have shown that knockout of tumor suppressor phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) in BM-MSCs via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated exon targeting increased the cell proliferative capacity accompanied with the decreased osteogenic- and adipogenic potentials compared to control cells [123]. In a recent work by Zha et al., authors have utilized CRISPR/Cas9 system targeting one of MHC class I molecules β2 microglobulin (B2M) to generate “less immunogenic” iPSC-derived MSC lines for the allogenic transplantation [124]. It is noted that B2M-KO MSCs could escape more efficiently from the immune response-mediated killing by peripheral blood-derived
monocytes (PBMCs) than control cells, while the loss of B2M expression did not alter the innate immunosuppressive feature of MSCs. In addition, since CRISPR/Cas9 system only requires short nucleotides so-called guide RNAs (gRNAs) to recognize the target site, researchers can knock-in the desired sequences at a specific, intended “safe” location. For instance, Hu et al. have represented a concept of CRISPR-based cell immortalization strategy for mouse MSCs by introducing simian virus SV40 large T antigen into an intrinsic safe harboring site at Rosa26 locus [125]. In other reports, CRISPR/Cas9 construct was simultaneously delivered with the AAV vector then gene construct that encodes beneficial protein was knock-in into the AAV-specific safe locus such as AAVS1 [126,127]. This AAV-CRISPR/Cas9 genetic engineering platform can induce the stable overexpression of therapeutic factors in MSCs in a relatively simple and safe way. Finally, dCas9-based gene regulation at the transcriptional level can change the fate of MSCs. Indeed, CRISPR-mediated activation of the adipogenic system induced spontaneous adipogenesis in MSCs and switching the target gene combination could control the white/beige adipocyte ratio during the differentiation condition [128]. Sun et al. have reported that MSCs were transformed into sweat-gland like cells via ectopic stimulation of ectodysplasin promoter with dCas9 [129]. Moreover, dCas9-activation mediator system has been applied to generate IL-10-overexpressing MSCs, which could suppress the immune cell accumulation and pro-inflammatory response in the diabetes-associated myocardial infarction model [110]. In the future, CRISPR/Cas9 technique would contribute to enhancing the therapeutic potential of MSCs in immune-associated disorders not only by upregulating the beneficial immunomodulatory factors (via knock-in or transcriptional activation strategy) but also by lowering their immunogenicity (via knock-out strategy) to prevent host immune-rejection.

4.2. Structural/Physical Engineering of MSCs

4.2.1. 3D Assembly of MSCs via Spheroid Formation

After the isolation and phenotype validation, MSCs are grown on a flat plastic surface as a monolayer sheet in general. This conventional 2D culture method is a well-established convenient system to obtain a large number of cells in a short time; however, it significantly affects the innate characters of MSCs and even diminishes their therapeutic potentials [130,131]. For instance, a standardized culture condition and fast expansion cycle lead to premature cellular senescence, lowering both cell yields and quality. Another major drawback of the 2D system is the lack of proper cell-to-cell and/or cell-to-microenvironment communications. In vivo, MSCs reside in the “stem cell niche” surrounded by other cell components and extracellular matrix (ECM) and depending on physio-pathological circumstances, as well as the tissue-of origin, dynamic nature within the niche such as concentration gradients of oxygen and nutrient, mechanical force changes and multiple paracrine signals from neighbor cells can regulate MSC behavior. On the contrary, 2D-cultured cells seem to lose their heterozygosity due to the limited cellular interaction and identical microenvironment supplemented with sufficient nutrients and constant oxygen level. Hence, established 2D MSC lines often fail to represent their in vivo response to various stimuli, hindering the accurate estimation of the therapeutic effect of MSC application in the practical field.

The disadvantages of the traditional method have led to the development of an advanced 3D cell culture system. For instance, floating cells in a small droplet (hanging drop culture) or centrifugation of cells in low-attachment wells (forced aggregation method) leads to the formation of the spheroid-like structure by gravitational force [132]. Since the aggregation of MSCs (which in turn induces spheroid formation) recovers the cell communication and provides a concentration gradient of external factors depending on the location (core to marginal region) as observed in vivo, MSC spheroid exhibits superior viability and self-renew capacity with enhanced differentiation potential compared to 2D cells [132]. Moreover, 3D cells tend to produce a higher level of therapeutic paracrine molecules than 2D cells; indeed, the secretion of anti-inflammatory factors such as TSG-6, PGE2, Stanniocalcin-1, Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and TGF-β is significantly stimu-
lated upon cell aggregation [133]. Collectively, the immunomodulatory efficacy of 3D cells is generally superior to that of 2D cells. Interestingly, 3D assembly of MSCs drives transcriptional change with activation of immune responsive pathways including chemokines and IL-1 signaling and these pro-inflammatory agents within the spheroid microenvironment can further prime MSCs to adopt anti-inflammatory properties [134–136]. Thomas et al. found that both neutralization of IL-1 activity and prevention of pro-IL-1 cleavage with caspase inhibitor significantly decreased the anti-inflammatory effect of 3D cells against macrophage activation [135]. In addition, treatment of γ-secretase inhibitor during the MSC spheroid culture suppressed the secretion of PGE2 in a dose-dependent manner, indicating the involvement of contact-dependent Notch signaling in this phenomenon.

The anti-inflammatory property of MSC aggregates has been demonstrated in vitro and in vivo (Table 3). Several works have been indicated that both MSC spheroid itself and its conditioned media stimulate the macrophage polarization into M2 phenotype via PGE2 and its receptor EP4-mediated regulation [133,135,137]. In addition, intraperitoneally transfused MSC spheroid could reduce the total volume and protein content of ascites in the zymogen-induced murine peritonitis model with improved inflammatory signs [136]. The intraperitoneal injection of 3D MSCs rescued the macrophagic M1/M2 imbalance by inducing M2 differentiation in galactosamine/LPS (GalN/LPS)-mediated hepatic injury mouse [138]. Moreover, the immunomodulatory function of MSC spheroid can be further enhanced by combining priming strategies as described in Section 4.1.1. For instance, 3D MSCs given pre-treatment of IL-1α and β could reduce the TNF-α secretion level in LPS-activated murine microglia cell BV2 to a greater extend to naïve 3D cells [139]. In addition, priming of MSC spheroid with IFN-γ and TNF-α led to a great increment in the production of MSC-derived immunomodulatory factors such as PGE2 and kynurenine, resulting in the suppression of the pro-inflammatory response of macrophage [140].

| Strategy | Method/Biomaterial | Cell Source | In Vitro/In Vivo Immunomodulatory Effect | References |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|------------|
| 3D spheroid | Hanging drop | hBM-MSC | (in vitro) PGE2 ↑, M2 ↑ | [133,137] |
| 3D spheroid | Hanging drop | hBM-MSC | (in vitro) Self-activation of IL1 pathway PGE2 ↑, M ↓, M2 ↑ | [135] |
| 3D spheroid | Hanging drop | hBM-MSC | (in vitro) TSG-6 ↑, STC-1 ↑, LIF ↑ (in vivo) M1 ↓ peritonitis model | [136] |
| 3D spheroid | Hanging drop | hAD-MSC | (in vitro) PGE2 ↑, M1 ↓, M2 ↑ (in vivo) CD11b+F4/80+ cell ↓, M1 ↓, M2 ↑ in FHF model | [138] |
| 3D spheroid + IL-1αβ priming | Hanging drop | hBM-MSC | (in vitro) priming enhanced TNF-α ↓ in LPS-treated BV2 cell | [139] |
| 3D spheroid + TNF-α, IFN-γ priming | Forced aggregation | hAD-MSC | (in vitro) priming enhanced M1 ↓ | [140] |

At present, the hanging-drop culture, forced aggregation technique and culture on the low-attachment micro-well plate are the simplest as well as the most widely used 3D culture techniques in the basic research field; however, these methods are labor-intensive and often lead to low yields of spheroids. Therefore, several advanced techniques such as utilizing biocompatible scaffold, microbioreactor and robotics-based 3D printing have been developed to produce a sufficient number of homogenous spheroid [141]. In addition, different culture conditions such as media type, composition and the presence of serum can significantly influence not only cell yield but also the immunophenotype of MSC spheroid [137,140]. Since cells residing at the core have to be exposed to hypoxia and mechanical stress, the optimal cell packing density and average diameter of aggregates must be determined during spheroid generation. Interestingly, Shobha et al. generated...
heterospheroid by combining 3D MSCs with anti-oxidative agent quercetin to potentiate the viability of MSCs. Interestingly, the delivery of quercetin could prevent apoptosis of center-positioned cells and thus improved their therapeutic capacity against DSS-induced colitis model [142]. In addition, Murphy et al. have designed an analysis platform to estimate how three culture variables, which are cell count per spheroid, oxygen concentration and immune mediators, can affect the functionality of MSC spheroid. Using this approach, the authors specified the best combination of culture conditions to generate the most potent BM-MSC aggregates in terms of secretion of PGE2 and VEGF [143], providing some insights on how to optimize the MSC spheroid culture procedure for the clinical translation.

4.2.2. Encapsulation or Embedding of MSCs with Biocompatible Agents

For the reconstitution of MSC niche-mimicking environment in vitro, various bioactive materials can be utilized as the encapsulating hydrogel as well as the biomimetic scaffolds [144]. They can offer MSCs with stable “tissue-like” microenvironment with sufficient cell-to-ECM interactions, which enables MSCs to maintain their therapeutic potency in vivo as observed in vitro. Both natural and synthetic biomaterials can be applied for the 3D culture of MSCs. The most widely-used natural materials include alginate, hyaluronic acid (HA), chitosan, collagen, gelatin and fibrin, while poly ethylene glycol (PEG) and poly-(l-lactic acid), poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) represent the synthetic materials at present. Each material can be cross-linked together to synthesize new copolymer structures (i.e., PEG-PLGA).

The positive impact of biomaterial-based 3D structure on MSC-mediated immunomodulation has been demonstrated over the past decade (Table 4). For instance, alginate microencapsulation can induce immune-phenotype of MSCs towards an anti-inflammatory direction. It has been reported that alginate-MSC hydrogel induced macrophage polarization towards anti-inflammatory M2 type differentiation and prevented PBMC proliferation significantly, while it did not elicit DC maturation and activation [145,146]. Zanotti et al. also studied the immune-regulatory capacity of alginate encapsulated MSCs in murine GvHD model and found that intravenously injected MSCs could reduce the proliferation of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and ameliorated the infiltration of immune cells in the liver, leading to the overall enhancement in the clinical score and survival of GvHD mouse [147]. In a spinal cord injury model, alginate-MSC hydrogel reduced the neuro-inflammatory signs by preventing the pro-inflammatory reactive microgliosis and astrocytosis [146]. The neuroprotective impact of encapsulated MSCs has also been addressed on LPS-treated organotypic hippocampal slice, where MSC-derived PGE2 was involved in the reduction of TNF-α level [148]. Similar to encapsulated MSCs, MSCs cultured within the biomaterial 3D scaffold exerted a superior anti-inflammatory impact on innate- and adaptive immune cells compared to 2D cultured MSCs [149,150].

It has been noted that biomaterials modulate the MSC behavior in terms of differentiation, proliferation, mobility (retention at the injected site or homing to other targets) and paracrine activity depending on the combination of physical parameters such as stiffness, degradability, polarity and porosity. A recent study has investigated how the rigidity of encapsulating material affects the transcriptome of MSCs cultured in alginate hydrogels at different stiffness by bulk sequencing [151]. The main signatures of differentially expressed genes were involved in cell-substrate adhesion, proteolysis and developmental pathway, along with immune-related processes such as IL-1 signaling. Intriguingly, an increase in alginate stiffness led to an up-regulation of the NF-kB subunit p65 and IDO expression in MSCs, implying that the activity of central immune mediators including NF-kB and CREB signaling could be regulated by the substrate stiffness. In another study, three HAs with different molecular weight (1.6 MDa, 150 kDa or 7.5 kDa) was applied for microencapsulation of MSCs and their immune-related activity was evaluated with PBMC, T cells and monocytes to study the influence of the molecular weight of biomaterials on MSC functionality [152]. It has been noted that although the higher molecular weight of HA (hHA) itself led to an unexpected slight increase in PBMC proliferation, application of hHA could enhance the immunomodulatory capacity of MSCs in terms of induction.
of IL-10 secretory Th cells and M2 macrophages. Meanwhile, the fibrous topography of scaffolds is another important determinant for MSC regulation [153,154]. To investigate the contribution of nanofiber orientation in the scaffold to the paracrine function of MSCs, MSCs were cultured on the 2D plate or 3D scaffolds that consist of electrospun fibers with random, mesh-like or aligned structures and their secretory profiles were evaluated [153]. Interestingly, differences in fiber arrangement of 3D scaffold can significantly affect the paracrine activity of MSCs and conditioned media (CM) obtained from MSCs on mesh-like structure (MSC-MEF CM) displayed the most potent anti-inflammatory roles in macrophage inhibition. Moreover, upon the topical application in the skin defect model, MSC-MEF CM accelerated the wound healing process via recruiting the pro-regenerative CD206 + M2 macrophages into the wound bed. In another report by Wan and colleagues, the authors compared the immunophenotype of MSCs cultured on random or aligned fibrous scaffold [154]. They found that aligned fiber structure was ideal to upregulate the immunoregulatory capacity of MSCs than the randomly assembled scaffold. Mechanistically, aligned microenvironment-mediated mechanotransduction induced the stimulation of the Yes-associated protein (YAP) pathway as well as focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-ERK1/2 signaling cascade in MSCs, resulting in enhanced immunomodulatory properties. Collectively, these observations emphasize the importance of the hydrogel fabrication method in the regulation of the MSC functions.

**Table 4.** The biomaterial-based structural modification of MSCs to boost immunomodulatory property.

| Strategy                  | Method/Biomaterial          | Cell Source | In Vitro/In Vivo Immunomodulatory Effect                                                                 | References |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Encapsulation             | Alginate                    | mBM-MSC     | (in vivo) CD4+, CD8+ and CD11c+ cells ↓ in Murine GvHD model                                              | [147]      |
| Encapsulation             | Alginate-PLL                | hBM-MSC     | (in vitro) M1 ↓, M2 ↑ (in vivo) recruitment of M2 in the lesion of SCI model                              | [146]      |
| Encapsulation             | Alginate with RGD motif     | hAD-MSC     | (in vitro) PBMC proliferation ↓                                                                         | [145]      |
| Encapsulation             | Alginate-PLL                | hBM-MSC     | (ex vivo) PGE2 ↑, TNF-α ↓ in hippocampal slice culture                                                   | [148]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | Collagen, chitosan, PLGA    | hUCB-MSC    | (in vitro) CD73 ↓ in 3D MSCs T cell proliferation ↓                                                       | [150]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | HA-gelatin                  | hBM, AD, VF MSC | (in vitro) CD16 ↓ in monocyte co-cultured with 3D MSC                                                      | [149]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | Alginate with different stiffness | mMSC       | (in vitro) NF-kB subunit p65 and IDO ↑ in MSCs cultured within stiff gel                                  | [151]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | HA with various MW          | hBM-MSC     | (in vitro) high MW HA-derived MSC further increased M2 ↑                                                 | [152]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | PCL EF with various orientation | Rat AD-MSC | (in vitro) MSCs cultured on mesh-like scaffold were most potent in M1 ↓, M2 ↑                           | [153]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | PLLA EF with various orientation | hAD-MSC   | (in vitro) PGE2 ↑, TSG6 ↑ in MSCs cultured on aligned scaffold                                           | [154]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | HA with Adhesive motif      | Rat BM-MSC | (in vitro) CD68+ cell ↓, glial scar ↓ in spinal cord transection model                                   | [155]      |
| 3D scaffold embedding     | PEG hydrogel with IFN-γ functionalization | hBM-MSC | (in vitro) increase in MCP-1, M-CSF, CXCL9, CXCL10 and CCL8 in MSCs cultured within PEG-INF-γ scaffold | [156]      |
| Encapsulation + TNF-α, IFN-γ priming | APA construct                | hBM-MSC     | (in vitro) increase in IL-10, IL-6, Cox-2, TGF-β and TSG-6 in the form of MAPA                         | [157]      |

PLL; Poly-L-Lysine, SCI; spinal cord injury, RGD; Arg-Gly-Asp, PLGA; poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid), HA; hyaluronic acid, MW; molecular weight, PCL EF; polycaprolactone electrospun fiber, PEG; poly(ethylene glycol), APA; alginate to form alginate–PDL–alginate, MAPA; multicellular APA.
Meanwhile, MSC encapsulation technique can overcome the several limitations of the conventional single cell- or spheroid injection [158]; first, biomaterials function as a physical barrier of MSCs against harsh environmental conditions such as damaged tissue-derived cytotoxic signals and host immune responses, leading to the prolonged survival of MSCs in vivo. Moreover, the natural ECM-mimicking domain can be tethered into the substrate in an attempt to enhance cell adhesion and viability. For instance, PPFLMLLKSTR peptide-bearing HA scaffold significantly improved the MSC viability than naïve HA, contributing to the effective nerve regeneration with decreased astrocytic activation upon MSC-scaffold implantation in spinal cord injury model [155]. The fibronectin-derived Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif is another commonly used peptide for this purpose [145]. In addition, encapsulated MSCs can be primed by tethering the pro-inflammatory agent such as IFN-γ into hydrogel [156] as described in Section 4.1.1.

A recent work by Mao et al. has suggested an advanced strategy for the practical usage of the current technique [157]. Using a microfluidic device, a single cell can be encapsulated into a multi-layered microgel composed of alginate-poly-D-lysine (PDL)-alginate (APA) coating. These microgels exerted resistance to the cytotoxic damage caused by the repeated freeze-thawing cycle. The enclosed MSCs could proliferate normally, generating a clonally identical multicellular structure (MAPA). In the form of MAPA, MSCs produced a higher level of immunomodulatory paracrine factors including IL-10, Cox-2, TGF-β and TSG-6 than control cells. Upon in vivo administration via the intravenous route, both naïve single cells and MAPA are predominantly trapped in the lung then single cells were disappeared rapidly as reported previously [159]; on the contrary, MAPA exhibited a significantly prolonged half-life and higher residence capacity than bare cells without causing any host pathological responses. In addition, licensing factors such as TNFα and IFN-γ could further reinforce the therapeutic immunophenotype of MAPA; indeed, primed MAPA reduced host immune rejection responses and, in turn, supported the engraftment of allogeneic BM transplant in the mouse model to a great extent to unprimed control. Therefore, the application of this specialized microencapsulation technique with a programmable multilayered structure resulted in an overall improvement in the immunomodulatory capacity of MSCs.

5. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

During the last decade, MSCs have been suggested as promising therapeutics for the treatment of various immune disorders and a large body of preclinical and clinical studies have been reported. More recently, as summarized in this review, researchers have developed several bioengineering technologies to generate highly efficient MSCs to overcome previously reported limitations of MSC application mainly mediated by non-uniform functional potency and rapid clearance after transplantation. For the clinical application of these latest technologies, future studies should intensively focus on the verification of the safety of manipulated cells, as well as the development of the standard platform for the quality control of clinically potent cells.
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