Salt Stress Relief and Growth-Promoting Effect of Sweet Pepper Plants (Capsicum annuum L.) by Glutathione, Selenium, and Humic Acid Application
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ABSTRACT

Three pot experiments were carried out at a private farm in Abu Homos, El-Beheira Governorate during 2018 and 2019 and 2020 growing seasons to examine the role of Glutathione, Selenium, and Humic Acid solely in the first two seasons and in combinations in the third season in elevation the impact of salinity on vegetative growth (leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area), chemical composition (chlorophyll, N, P, Ca, K, Na, Proline contents, K/Na ratio) and yield of sweet pepper cultivar “Madrid”. The results illustrated that the growth parameters, chemical composition and yield of sweet pepper decreased by increasing salinity level. The results of the mitigation treatments of Glutathione, Selenium, and Humic acid, showed that the application of either Selenium alone in the first two seasons or combined with Glutathione in the third season showed significant effects for increasing plant growth, nutrient contents and yield under the highest salinity level.
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INTRODUCTION

Pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) is one of the most important consumed vegetables in the world and the nutrition analysis showed that Pepper is an excellent source of many essential nutrients for humans, especially vitamin C, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, tocopherols (vitamin E), carotenoids (pro-vitamin A). Additionally, some pepper cultivars contain significant quantities of Capsaicinoids, a group of pungent phenolic derived compounds with strong physiological and pharmacological properties. Thus, the growing global demand for pepper fruits implies several strategies to increase crop production and fruit quality or promote the investigation to improve the plant resistance to environmental stresses (Jimenez-Garcia et al., 2014). Pepper is one of the most important popular and favorite vegetable crops cultivated in Egypt for local consumption and exportation. The yearly global production of pepper is around 36.771 million tons, harvested from an area that reached about 1.99 million hectares (ha), while in Egypt, the total cultivated area of pepper reached in 2018 statistics about 42132 hectares, with a total production of about 713752 tons (FAO, STAT. 2018). Pepper is moderately sensitive to salinity (Lee, 2006), therefore, the major factor impacting pepper production in Egypt seems to be high salinity level in soil and irrigation.

Salinity stress is one of the most environmental stresses, which causes major reductions in cultivated area and crop productivity (Shahbaz and Ashraf, 2013) as much as 50%. Worldwide, more than 45 million ha of irrigated land which accounts for 20% of total land have been damaged by salt, and 1.5 million ha were taken out of production each year due to high salinity levels in the soil (Munns and Tester, 2008). On the other hand, the salinized areas are expected to increase at a rate of 10% annually for various reasons, including low precipitation, high surface evaporation, weathering of native rocks, irrigation with saline water, and poor cultural practices (Munns and Tester 2008). Hence, the water shortage has emerged as a serious challenge to sustainable develop agriculture. Arid and semi-arid regions are forced to use low-quality waters (brackish, reclaimed, drainage, and wastewater) in irrigation in their long-term development plants (Cartzoulakis et al., 2000). Salt stress affects plant physiology, both at the whole plant as well as at cellular levels, through osmotic and ionic stress. Osmotic stress causes various physiological changes, such as interruption of membranes, nutrient imbalance, impairs the ability to detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS), differences in the antioxidant enzymes and decreased photosynthetic activity, and decrease in stomatal aperture, inhibition of water uptake, cell expansion, and lateral bud development (Rahnama et al. 2010).

Salinity stress is also considered as ionic stress through an accumulation of Na+ ions in tissues of plants exposed to soils with high NaCl concentrations. The entry of Na+ into the cells causes severe ion imbalance and excess uptake might cause significant physiological disorder. High Na+ concentration inhibits the uptake of K+ ions which is an essential element for growth and development that results in lower productivity and may even lead to increased leaf mortality, chlorosis, necrosis, and decreased activity of cellular metabolism including photosynthesis (Zhang and Shi 2013). In recent decades, Various strategies have been used to maximize plant growth and productivity under salinity stress, but it was found the most effective technique to enhance the
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plants’ growth, yield, as well as stress tolerance under plant protectant compound such as plant hormone antioxidants, signaling molecules, polyamines and trace elements have been found effective in mitigating the salt- induced damage in plants (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2012 and 2013). These protectants showed the capacity to enhance the plants’ growth, yield as well as stress tolerance under salinity stress.

Glutathione (GSH) is identified as tripeptide γ-Lglutamyl-L-cysteinyl- glycine and plays an important role in responding to environmental stresses (Khattab 2007). Also, Glutathione is critical for biotic and abiotic stress management. It is a pivotal constituent of the Glutathione- Ascorbate cycle, a system that decreases toxic hydrogen peroxide that make it an ideal antioxidant that protects cells from free radicals and peroxides (Ghoname et al. 2010). Glutathione is a strong antioxidant that enhancing the endogenous antioxidant levels in plants which resulted in better protection against oxidative stress and helps plants against reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Foyer and Noctor 2005). Furthermore, Glutathione alleviates salt stress by reducing oxidative stress and regulating the number of cell vital functions such as synthesis and repair of DNA and synthesis of proteins (Chen et al. 2012), activation and regulation of enzymes in plants (Nahar et al. 2015); maintains the integrity of cell structures and the proper functions of different metabolic pathways (Chen et al. 2012).

Selenium is an essential micronutrient for animals and humans and appears to be a beneficial element for many plants (Feng et al., 2013). Selenium has an important role in reducing the effects of environmental stress through its effect on the activation of antioxidative defense systems in plant cells (Kaur et al., 2014; Sieprawska et al., 2015). Selenium has a positive influence on changes in the activity and permeability of the cellular membrane (Filek et al. 2008), and acts as a cofactor of the enzyme glutathione peroxidase that catalyzes the reduction of peroxides (Cartes et al. 2005). It has the ability to delay senescence and promotes the growth of aging seedlings (Xue et al. 2001; Pennanen et al. 2002). In addition, Kong et al., (2005) demonstrated that Se application improved photosynthesis and enhanced salt tolerance in sorrel seedlings. Furthermore, Se application significantly Enhances growth by improving the water balance and cell membrane integrity; increases photosynthetic pigments in tomato seedlings (Diao et al., 2014).

Humic acid (HA) has been proposed as target tool to improve crop production and alleviate the harmful effect of salinity. Clapp et al. (2002) Studied the effects of humic acid on plants and consistently showed stimulation of plant growth by increasing root length and development of secondary roots. Some researchers attributed the stimulative effects of Humic acid to the higher uptake of nutrients. Others, however, suggested that humic acid promotes plant growth through increased cell membrane permeability and exhibited hormone-like activity (Calvo et al., 2014). The enhancing effect of Humic acid on alleviation salinity may be through : stimulates plant growth by accelerating cell division, increasing the rate of development in root systems especially lengthwise (Tan and Nopamornbod, 2005). Increases the permeability of plant membranes and promoting the uptake of nutrients N, P, K, Ca and Mg (Mackowiak, et al.,2001), Stimulates growth and proliferation of desirable soil microorganisms as well as algae and yeast and finally increasing the yield of dry matter (Neri et al., 2002). Humic acids also permanently tie up the sodium ion, this helps plants to tolerate the higher sodium concentrations, avoiding toxicity and osmotic related problems. There are indications that the application of humic acid can reduce the negative effects of salinity and improve plant growth parameters as well as enhance mineral uptake in several plant species, including pepper (Canellas et al., 2015; Nardi et al., 2009 and Gemin et al., 2019).

The aims of this study were to evaluate the adverse effects of salinity on the growth of sweet pepper plant and enhancing salt tolerance and eventually improving the productivity of sweet pepper plant under salinity stress by Glutathione, Selenium, and Humic acid application”.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Three pot experiments were carried out at Raghib farm in Abu Homos, El-Beheira Governorate during 2018, 2019 and 2020 growing seasons to examine the role of Glutathione, Selenium, and Humic acid solely in the first two seasons and in combinations in the third season in elevation the impact of salinity on the vegetative growth, the chemical composition, and yield of sweet pepper cultivar “Madrid”.

Soil samples were analyzed for both physical and chemical properties in the laboratory of the Soil Science Department, at Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute-Damanhour, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. Soil samples were selected and air dried, ground, sieved through a 2 mm sieve, and then subjected to determine some soil physical and chemical properties according to Page et al. (1982). Properties of the used soil are shown in Table (1).
Experimental Layout

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in the split plot system with three replicates. The salinity levels were allocated in the main plots at concentrations of (500, 1000, and 1500 ppm) as sodium chloride (NaCl) in water irrigation. In addition, to the control that was an application with tap water. Whereas the subplot units of each replicate were treated with Glutathione(GSH) (25mg/L.) and Selenium (Se) (5mg/L.) as Sodium Selenite both as a foliar application, meanwhile Humic acid (HA) (200mg/kg soil) was incorporated into the potting media in addition to the control that was the foliar spray of distilled water. All treatments were added 4 times at 10 days intervals (10, 20, 30, and 40 days) after transplanting. On the other hand, in the third season, salinity levels were distributed in the main plots with concentrations (500, 1000 and 1500 ppm) sodium chloride (NaCl). In addition, to the control treatment that was an application with tap water. Meanwhile, in the sub-plots of the experimental units, the ameliorative treatments were used in coupled with the previous concentrations as follows: Glutathione and Selenium, Selenium and Humic acid and Glutathione and Humic acid.

Sweet pepper cultivation

Seven-week-old, sweet pepper seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots 35 cm inner diameter which filled with 15 kg sandy soil, on the 1st of May 2018, 2019 and 2020 (Table 1). Furthermore, sodium concentration was gradually increased, in order to avoid osmotic shock to growing sweet pepper seedlings. During all growing seasons, the amount of applied saline water was increased by 20% for leaching, to prevent salt accumulation and to maintain salinity as constant as possible throughout the whole season. After one week from saline treatment application, the foliar spray of Glutathione(GSH) (25mg/L.) and Selenium (Se) (5mg/L.) as Sodium Selenite were applied 4 times at 10- days intervals (10, 20, 30, and 40 days). Fertilization and all other recommended agricultural practices were applied as the national recommendation of the Ministry of Agriculture.

Table 1. Some chemical and physical characteristics of the soil used in the experiments

| particle size | chemical properties |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Sand %        | Silt %              | Clay % | Texture Class | EC dsm⁻¹ | pH | Soluble cation mg L⁻¹ | Soluble anion mg L⁻¹ | Total N % | P mg kg⁻¹ |
| 81            | 12                  | 7      | Sandy         | 0.15     | 8.2 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 1.6 |

Data recorded

Vegetative growth characters:

After 70 days from planting, three random plants were chosen and the following measurements were carried out: leaves fresh weight per plant, leaves dry weight (gm) and leaf area per plant (cm²) calculated using the weight method as used by Fayed (1997).

Leaf chlorophyll content

Leaf samples were oven dried at 70 °C for 48 hours and ground in a stainless steel mill blades. The wet digestion procedure was performed according to Chapman and Pratt (1978).

Nitrogen content in leaves was determined by the micro Kjeldahl method according to Page et al. (1982).

Phosphorus content was determined colorimetrically as reported by Jackson (1973).

Leaves K and Na contents were determined by flame photometer as illustrated by Horneck and Hanson (1998). Then the ratio of K/Na was calculated.

Determination of free proline content was carried out according to Bates (1973).

The Yield and its components

The fruit yield of sweet pepper was recorded at harvest time as fruit yield of sweet pepper plant⁻¹ (g).

Statistical analysis:

All obtained records were statistically analyzed by using CoStat program (Version 6.4, CoHort, USA, 1998–2008). Least significant difference test (LSD₀.₀₅) was applied at 0.05 confidence level to compare the different treatments means by using the same program.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Vegetative growth characters

Effect of salinity

The main effects of salinity treatments on vegetative growth characters of sweet pepper plants in the three seasons presented in Tables 2 and 3 showed that all the measured growth parameters of sweet pepper plants namely: leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf...
area plant$^{-1}$ decreased generally in a stepwise fashion with increasing salinity stress, in the three seasons of study. Irrigation with water of the highest salinity level (1500 ppm), resulted in reductions of 50.14, 66.74, and 31.92% in the first season and 44.51, 59.06 and 45.78% in the second season respectively. Meanwhile the reductions in the third season (Table 3) were 30.68, 43.00 and 39.03% for these characters, respectively compared to the control treatment. Such a reduction in growth could be attributed to the influence of high osmotic stress and ion toxicity (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013) or due to the altered cell wall structure induced by salinity stress (Sekhon et al., 2010). Further, salinity stress might inhibit cell division, cell enlargement, and expansion resultantly production of new biomass shrunk as mentioned by Radi et al. (2013). These findings were generally noticed to coincide with those reported by Elkhatib et al. (2004) who found negative and significant effects of salinity stress on dry weight and leaves area of potato plants. Moreover, Yildirim et al. (2008) found that the salinity stress was associated with a noticeable depression in shoot fresh and dry weight, plant height and leaves number plant$^{-1}$. These findings were supported also by Abdelaal et al. (2020) who found that a high concentration of salts in the irrigation water and soil accumulated around the roots of sweet pepper caused a significant decline of vegetation rate and growth performance.

**Effect of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments:**

The obtained data (Table 2 and 3) showed that Selenium, Glutathione and Humic acid treatments when used on sweet pepper plants either singly in the first and second seasons or in coupled in the third season, significantly increased vegetative growth parameters with different significant levels as compared to untreated plants followed by Glutathione. Meanwhile, Humic acid gave the lowest values. It was found that foliar spraying with selenium was superior and associated with the highest mean values of all vegetative growth traits on the first and second seasons compared to control treatment. The increasing percentages due to Selenium application over the control were estimated by 27.19, 43.09 and 40.20% in the first season and by 34.50, 48.13 and 46.46% in the second season for leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area plant$^{-1}$ respectively compared to control treatment. Meanwhile, in the third season Selenium coupled with Glutathione was superior and associated with pronounced increments reached about 40.62, 39.71 and 21.31% for leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area plant$^{-1}$ respectively compared to control treatment. These results are in agreement with those found by Xue et al. (2001) and Simojoki et al. (2003) who found that Selenium treatments increased shoot and root biomass production in lettuce and it also increased the shoot dry matter production of soybean sprayed with 50mg Se L$^{-1}$. Furthermore, Ghoname et al. (2010) reported there were significant increases in vegetative growth parameters due to Glutathione treatments on hot pepper under the newly reclaimed sandy soil. Also Abd-Elhamid et al. (2018) mentioned that the increased growth by Glutathione can be attributed to the improved cell division of chickpea plants under salinity stress.

**Table 2. Leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and 2019 seasons**

| Salinity levels p.p.m | Treatments | Growth | Season (2018) | Season (2019) |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
|                       |            | leaves fresh weight (g) | leaves dry weight (g) | leaf area (cm²) | leaves fresh weight (g) | leaves dry weight (g) | leaf area (cm²) |
| Control               | Control    | 29.71 A | 12.87 A       | 564.24 A      | 34.20 A         | 17.54 A          | 597.29 A        |
| 500                   | 27.87 A    | 10.08 B | 557.78 A      | 30.17 B       | 14.02 B         | 496.00 B         |
| 1000                  | 22.82 B    | 8.17 C  | 527.73 B      | 22.27 C       | 12.24 C         | 366.05 C         |
| 1500                  | 14.81 C    | 4.28 D  | 384.11 C      | 18.98 D       | 7.18 D          | 323.82 D         |
| Control               | Glutathione (25 Mg/L) | 24.62 B | 9.44 B       | 562.00 B      | 27.14 B         | 12.84 B         | 464.82 B       |
| 500                   | Selenium (5mg/L) | 26.81 A | 10.56 A      | 631.27 A      | 31.01 A         | 15.91 A         | 535.60 A       |
| 1000                  | Humic acid (200mg/L) | 22.71 C | 8.02 C       | 490.32 C      | 24.40 C         | 11.50 C         | 417.06 C       |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.
Table 3. Leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaves area of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid during 2020 season

| Season (2020) | Growth | leaves fresh weight (g) | leaves dry weight (g) | leaf area (cm²) |
|---------------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Control       | 24.62 A| 16.61 A                 | 521.57 A             |
| 500           | 20.17 B| 14.39 B                 | 425.31 B             |
| 1000          | 18.39 C| 13.28 C                 | 387.66 C             |
| 1500          | 17.06 D| 9.47 D                  | 317.99 D             |
| Control       | 17.11 D| 11.13 D                 | 371.95 D             |

Salinity levels p.p.m

| Treatments               | leaves fresh weight (g) | leaves dry weight (g) | leaf area (cm²) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| glutathione & selenium   | 24.00 A                 | 15.55 A              | 451.22 A       |
| selenium & humic acid    | 20.73 B                 | 14.51 B              | 431.63 B       |
| glutathione & humic acid | 18.41 C                 | 12.56 C              | 397.75 C       |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.

Effect of the interaction:

Generally, the interaction between salinity levels and the ameliorative treatments was found to be significant for all the studied vegetative growth characters, in the three seasons of study (Figs. 1 - 9). Under the highest salinity level (1500 ppm NaCl), Selenium treatment produced the highest significant interaction among the other ameliorative treatments of all vegetative characters followed by Glutathione in the first two seasons of study, which reflected the increments, in leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area plant⁻¹ by 67.41, 56.77 and 40.15% respectively in the first season and 47.84, 62.45 and 32.91%, respectively in the second season, as compared to those plants grown under the same salinity level without protection treatment. Meanwhile, in the third season the combined effect of Selenium and Glutathione was more pronounced compared to other combinations and their increment values were 48.00, 52.12 and 21.71% for leaves fresh weight, leaves dry weight and leaf area plant⁻¹ respectively, as compared to control treatment. Similar findings were stated by Abul-Soud and Abd-Elrahman (2016) who reported that Se application (20 M) in the form of sodium selenite caused improvements in the growth and yield of eggplants under varying levels of soil salinity. Likewise, Astaneh et al. (2019) suggested that growth parameters such as the bulb height, fresh and dry biomass of bulbs, bulb diameter, and the number of cloves bulb⁻¹ of Garlic (Allium Sativum L.) were significantly improved with the addition of Se under salinity stress. On the other hand, Hemmat (2007) confirmed that exogenous application of Glutathione mitigated partially or completely the adverse effects of salt stress on the growth of Canola seedlings. Furthermore, Nahar et al. (2015) reported that applications of exogenous GSH promoted plant growth and improved stress tolerance by detoxifying stress-induced ROS in mung bean plants.

![Fig. 1. Leaves fresh weight of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season](image-url)
Fig. 2. Leaves fresh weight of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season.

Fig. 3. Leaves dry weight of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season.

Fig. 4. Leaves dry weight of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season.
Fig. 5. Leaf area of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season

Fig. 6. Leaf area of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 7. Leaves fresh weight of sweet pepper under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatments during 2020 season
Fig. 8. Leaves dry weight of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatment during 2020 season.

Fig. 9. Leaves area of sweet pepper plants under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatment during 2020 season.

2. Chlorophyll contents

Effect of salinity:

Tables 4 and 5 showed that salt stress had a significant noticeable reducing effect on chlorophyll content of sweet pepper plants. The relative reductions in chlorophyll content in the three seasons of study at the highest salinity level (1500 ppm Na Cl) as percentages of the corresponding values of control were 30.27, 28.39, 31.61% respectively. These findings are in agreement with the results found by Ghosh et al. (2001) and Faried et al. (2016) who found a remarkable effect of salinity stress on decreasing the potato leaves content of photosynthetic pigments. Also the results achieved by Franken et al. (2014) stated that salinity can affect photosynthesis via reducing chlorophyll content, destruction of chloroplast ultrastructure, or damaging many of the related enzymes.

Effect of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments:

The main effect of ameliorative treatments was found to be significant for chlorophyll pigment contents of sweet pepper leaves, when used either singly in the first and second seasons or in coupled in the third season of study (Tables 4 and 5). Selenium was the most effective treatment in the first two seasons of study for chlorophyll contents with increment percentages...
estimated by 30.58% and 31.64%, respectively over control. Meanwhile, in the third season the best valuable combination was Selenium coupled with Glutathione treatment with the percentage increments of 29.55% compared to control treatment. Similar findings were obtained by Hawrylak-Nowak (2008) who found that, Se treatments at 5 and 10 μM significantly increased the photosynthetic pigment contents in cucumber leaves. Furthermore, Duma et al. (2011) illustrated that, lettuce plants (*Lactuca sativa*) treated with selenium had higher leaves photosynthetic pigment (chlorophyll and carotenoids) content in comparison to untreated ones. On the other hand, Exogenous Glutathione protects tomatoes against salt stress by modulating photosystem II efficiency, and H2O2-scavenging system in chloroplasts thus increasing tomato resistance to the damaging oxidative effects of salt stress (Zhou et al. 2017).

**Effect of the interaction:**

Concerning the interaction effects between salinity and ameliorative treatments, the recorded results clarified significant positive effects on chlorophyll contents on both seasons (Figures 10-12). The best valuable interactions were obtained from the treatments of Selenium application either singly or coupled with Glutathione at all salinity levels on the three seasons of study. Similarly, Wang et al. (2012) found increased photosynthesis in rice seedlings at low doses of Se. Also the results achieved by Alsina et al. (2012) confirmed an increase in lettuce pigment as a result of Se application. Also Ghasemi et al. (2016) observed significant increases in chlorophyll contents as a result of Se treatments.

Table 4. Total chlorophyll content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and 2019 seasons

|       | Season (2018) | Season (2019) |
|-------|---------------|---------------|
|       | Growth | Total cha. | Total cha. |
| **Factors** |        |        |        |
| Salinity levels p.p.m | Control | 55.21 A | 56.83 A |
| | 500 | 50.25 B | 51.35 B |
| | 1000 | 45.88 C | 46.07 C |
| | 1500 | 38.50 D | 40.69 D |
| | Control | 41.25 D | 43.38 D |
| Treatments | Glutathione (25 Mg/L) | 50.18 B | 48.98 B |
| | Selenium (5mg/L) | 53.86 A | 57.11 A |
| | Humic acid (200mg/L) | 44.55 C | 45.47 C |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.

Table 5. Total chlorophyll content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by glutathione &selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid during 2020 season

|       | Season (2020) |
|-------|---------------|
| **Factors** | Growth | Total cha. |
| Salinity levels p.p.m | Control | 55.77 A |
| | 500 | 48.36 B |
| | 1000 | 41.09 C |
| | 1500 | 38.14 D |
| | Control | 39.93 D |
| Treatments | glutathione &selenium | 51.73 A |
| | selenium & humic acid | 48.64 B |
| | glutathione & humic acid | 43.06 C |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.
Fig. 10. Total chlorophyll contents in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and season

Fig. 11. Total chlorophyll contents in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during and 2019 season

Fig. 12. Total chlorophyll contents in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatment during 2020 season
3. Chemical composition

Effect of salinity:

Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Calcium contents:

Tables 6 and 7 indicated that N, P and Ca contents in leaves of sweet pepper significantly decreased with increasing salinity level in the three seasons compared with the control. The percentages of decreasing due to 1500 ppm salinity treatment compared with control were 43.54 %, 26.63 and 30.18% for N; 54.43, 34.0 and 29.09 % for P; 31.58, 24.28 and 48.48 % for Ca. These results of this investigation were in agreement with those of Ghosh et al. (2001) and Faried et al. (2016) who found remarkable effects of salinity stress on decreasing the potato leaves of different nutrient contents. Similar findings were found by El-Folly et al. (2000) who was reported that macronutrients (N, P, K, Mg, and Ca) uptake were negatively affected by increasing NaCl in the growth root medium under saline conditions. Numerous reports indicated that salinity reduces nutrient uptake and accumulation of nutrients in the plants (Rogers et al. 2003; Hu and Schmidhalter 2005). Decreased N uptake under saline conditions occurs due to interaction between Na⁺ and NH₄⁺ that ultimately reduce the growth and yield of the crop (Debouba et al. 2006). Qadir and Schubert (2002) reported that the availability of P was reduced in saline soils due to ionic strength effects that reduced the activity of (PO₄)³⁻, and low solubility of Ca-P minerals which resulted in decreased phosphate concentration by increasing salinity level.

Effect of of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments:

Tables 6 and 7 showed that Selenium, Glutathione and Humic acid treatments when used singly in the first and second seasons or in coupled in the third season, significantly increased N, P and Ca contents with different significant levels when compared to untreated

Table 6. Nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and 2019 seasons

| Growth Factors | Season (2018) | Season (2019) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|
|                | N % | P % | Ca % | N % | P % | Ca % |
| Control        | 4.34 A | 0.79 A | 0.76 A | 3.83 A | 1.00 A | 0.70 A |
| 500            | 3.39 B | 0.66 B | 0.77 A | 3.55 B | 0.89 B | 0.63 B |
| 1000           | 2.84 C | 0.48 C | 0.67 B | 3.14 C | 0.75 C | 0.58 C |
| 1500           | 2.45 D | 0.36 D | 0.52 C | 2.81 D | 0.66 D | 0.53 D |
| Glutathione (25 Mg/L) | 3.42 B | 0.60 B | 0.69 B | 3.35 B | 0.84 B | 0.60 B |
| Selenium (5mg/L) | 3.68 A | 0.68 A | 0.80 A | 3.78 A | 0.96 A | 0.74 A |
| Humic acid (200mg/L) | 3.07 C | 0.54 BC | 0.65 BC | 3.17 C | 0.77 BC | 0.55 C |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.

Table 7. Nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by glutathione &selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatments during 2020 season

| Growth Factors | Season (2020) |
|----------------|--------------|
|                | N % | P % | Ca % |
| Control        | 3.81 A | 1.10 A | 0.99 A |
| 500            | 3.47 B | 1.05 B | 0.76 B |
| 1000           | 3.16 C | 0.91 C | 0.64 C |
| 1500           | 2.66 D | 0.78 D | 0.51 D |
| glutathione &selenium | 3.80 A | 1.12 A | 1.08 A |
| selenium & humic acid | 3.61 A | 1.06 A | 0.98 B |
| glutathione & humic acid | 3.03 B | 0.89 B | 0.83 C |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.
plants. Treated sweet pepper plants with Selenium singly in the first and the second seasons or coupled with Glutathione in the third season achieved higher N, P and Ca contents estimated by 29.12, 44.68 and 37.93% in the first season, 24.75, 33.33 and 34.55% in the second season and 43.58, 34.54 and 45.94% in the third season respectively. These findings were emphasized by Gul et al. (2017) who suggested that selenium applied at 10mM showed better performance on different biochemical attributes in both saline and non-saline conditions. On the other hand, Noctor et al. (2012) reported that the importance of Glutathione is due to its role in different biosynthetic pathways, detoxification process and antioxidant biochemistry.

**Effect of the interaction:**

The interaction effects of Ameliorative treatments and salinity levels on N, P and Ca contents were significant in the three seasons. Ameliorative treatments significantly increased N, P and Ca contents of sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels, but with different magnitudes (Figs 13-21). At the highest salinity level (1500 ppm), data revealed that Selenium increased N, P and Ca contents of sweet pepper leaves by 31.31, 32.26 and 25.53 % in the first season and by 23.53, 23.33 and 45.65% respectively in the second season compared to the control. Also Selenium coupled with Glutathione application at 1500 ppm salinity level increased N, P and Ca contents by 45.58, 46.03 and 55.0 % respectively in the third season compared to the control (Figs 19-21). Similar finding by Boghdady et al. (2017) revealed that plants treated with 10 mgL⁻¹ selenium gave a significant increase of N, P and K percentages in seeds of faba bean plants.

---

**Fig. 13.** Nitrogen content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and season

**Fig. 14.** Nitrogen content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season
Fig. 15. Phosphorus content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and season

Fig. 16. Phosphorus content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 17. Calcium content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season
Fig. 18. Calcium content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 19. Nitrogen accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatment during 2020 season

Fig. 20. Phosphorus content in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatments during 2020 season
Potassium, Sodium, Proline contents and K/Na ratio:

Effect of salinity:

Tables 8 and 9 showed that salinity stress significantly increased proline and Na contents but reflected a reversal trend to that of Na and proline where decreased K content and K/Na ratio with increasing salinity level in leaves of sweet pepper plants of all the three seasons of study. Therefore, the irrigation by high level of salinity (1500 ppm) gave the highest values of sodium and proline contents in leaves which reached 6.75, 6.2 and 5.57 times of control for sodium and 2.5, 1.9 and 3.34 times of the control for proline in the first, second and third seasons respectively. On the other hand, the decrements of K were 16.37, 18.62 and 22.94 % in the first, second and third seasons respectively, compared to control treatment. It can therefore be concluded that salinity induces proline accumulation in leaves of sweet pepper plants to maintain osmotic and ionic balance. The obtained results seemed also to be in accordance with those obtained by Babu et al. (2012) who mentioned that the increasing of Na content and decreasing of K contents and K/Na ratio caused confection in ion homeostasis which affected negatively the osmotic potential of cells that adversely affected plants' growth and development under salinity stress. The higher concentration of proline under salt stress is favorable to plants as proline participate to osmotic potential of leaf and thus to osmotic adjustment (Hasegawa et al., 2000). In addition Sivasankaramoorthy et al. (2010) reported that proline and free amino acids act as compatible solutes to protect the cellular macromolecules which are functioning in maintaining the osmotic balance and also scavenge the free radicals.

Effect of of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments:

Concerning the effects of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments (Tables 8-9) the obtained result generally, indicated that the applied protection treatments (Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid) significantly increased K and K/Na but decreased proline and Na contents in sweet pepper leaves. It could be showed from the data that each applied antioxidant completely counteracted the harmful effect of salinity stress levels by increasing K content and K/Na ratio in leaves of sweet pepper plants. The highest increment values of K content were noticed by Selenium treatment which estimated by 43.62 and 30.74% in the first and second season, respectively, compared to control treatment meanwhile, Selenium coupled with Glutathione treatment was the most effective in the third season with increment K value by 62.78% compared to control treatment. The positive effects of selenium on potassium accumulation were also observed by Pazurkiewicz-Kocot et al. (2003), who found that the content of potassium in maize leaves significantly increased when introducing 10 μmol Se into the medium. Similarly, Kopsell et al. (2000) revealed that potassium levels in cabbage leaves were linearly increased along with the increase in selenium spray concentration in the medium treatment. On the other hand the study of Hawrylak-Nowak et al. (2019) demonstrated that the application of selenium to the medium containing 40 mM NaCl reduced the level of free proline, relative to the plants growing at moderate salinity levels.
Table 8. K, Na, K/Na and proline content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 and 2019 seasons

| Factors                         | Season (2018)                                      | Season (2019)                                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                 | Growth                                           |                                                  |
|                                 | K %     | Na %     | K/Na ratio | Proline % | K %     | Na %     | K/Na ratio | Proline % |
| Salinity levels p.p.m           | Control | 3.42 A   | 0.33 C     | 10.30 A   | 0.45 C   | 3.49 A   | 0.30 D     | 11.60 A   | 0.43 C   |
|                                 | 500     | 3.33 A   | 0.38 C     | 8.78 B    | 0.52 C   | 3.35 B   | 0.42 C     | 8.18 B    | 0.53 B   |
|                                 | 1000    | 3.17 B   | 0.65 B     | 5.22 C    | 0.74 B   | 3.07 C   | 0.80 B     | 3.99 C    | 0.60 B   |
|                                 | 1500    | 2.86 C   | 2.23 A     | 2.21 D    | 1.14 A   | 2.84 D   | 1.86 A     | 1.84 D    | 0.85 A   |
|                                 | Control | 2.59 D   | 1.76 A     | 1.47 D    | 0.80 A   | 2.83 C   | 0.87 A     | 0.60 D    | 0.69 A   |
|                                 | Glutathione (25 Mg/L)                            |                                                  |
|                                 | Control | 3.48 B   | 0.73 B     | 4.76 B    | 0.72 B   | 3.25 B   | 0.63 B     | 0.93 B    | 0.59 B   |
|                                 | 500     | 2.76 B   | 0.38 C     | 7.26 B    | 0.61 C   | 3.7 A    | 0.61 B     | 1.12 A    | 0.50 C   |
|                                 | 1000    | 2.63 C   | 0.92 B     | 3.04 C    | 0.86 B   | 4.65 A   | 0.86 B     | 2.98 C    | 0.78 A   |
|                                 | 1500    | 2.25 D   | 1.45 A     | 1.55 D    | 0.80 A   | 2.11 D   | 0.80 A     | 2.72 A    | 0.72 A   |
|                                 | Control | 2.23 D   | 1.05 A     | 2.11 D    | 0.80 A   | 2.11 D   | 0.80 A     | 2.72 A    | 0.72 A   |
|                                 | Selenium (5 mg/L)                                |                                                  |
|                                 | Control | 3.63 A   | 0.78 C     | 4.65 A    | 0.46 C   | 3.63 A   | 0.78 C     | 4.65 A    | 0.46 C   |
|                                 | 500     | 2.70 B   | 0.81 B     | 3.3 B     | 0.64 B   | 2.70 B   | 0.81 B     | 3.3 B     | 0.64 B   |
|                                 | 1000    | 2.57 C   | 0.86 B     | 2.98 C    | 0.78 A   | 2.57 C   | 0.86 B     | 2.98 C    | 0.78 A   |

Table 9. K, Na, K/Na and proline content in leaves of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by glutathione & selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatments during 2020 season

| Factors                         | Season (2020)                                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                 | Growth                                           |
|                                 | K %     | Na %     | K/Na ratio | Proline % |
| Salinity levels p.p.m           | Control | 2.92 A   | 0.26 D     | 11.23 A   | 0.35 C   |
|                                 | 500     | 2.76 B   | 0.38 C     | 7.26 B    | 0.41 C   |
|                                 | 1000    | 2.63 C   | 0.92 B     | 3.04 C    | 0.75 B   |
|                                 | 1500    | 2.25 D   | 1.45 A     | 1.55 D    | 1.17 A   |
|                                 | Control | 2.23 D   | 1.05 A     | 2.11 D    | 0.80 A   |
|                                 | glutathione & selenium                           |                                                  |
|                                 | Control | 3.63 A   | 0.78 C     | 4.65 A    | 0.46 C   |
|                                 | 500     | 2.70 B   | 0.81 B     | 3.3 B     | 0.64 B   |
|                                 | 1000    | 2.57 C   | 0.86 B     | 2.98 C    | 0.78 A   |

Effect of the interaction:

The interaction effects between salinity levels and ameliorative treatments (Figures 22-33) showed that application of the ameliorative treatments either singly in the first and second seasons or in coupled in the third season, significantly increased potassium concentration and subsequent increase in K/Na ratio. K increments estimated by 34.71 and 37.75% in the first and second seasons respectively, when compared to those plants grown under the same salinity level without protection treatment. Meanwhile, in the third season the coupled treatment of selenium and Glutathione under the highest salinity level achieved the highest increment value for K estimated by 44.39% compared to corresponding control. Such Se effect on increasing K uptake and/or K/Na ratio and decreasing Na uptake has been revealed by (Kong et al. 2005) who reported that the Selenium application to the salt-stressed Sorrel plants induced the accumulation of K in leaves and they found that the growth-promoting effect of Se under salinity conditions can be related to the improvement of the K/Na ratio. On the other hand, Ibrahim et al. (2017) found that the application of exogenous GSH was more effective in reducing Na contents, Na/K ratio, and mitigating the effects of salt stress on the growth of cotton plants.
Fig. 22. Potassium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season

Fig. 23. Potassium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 24. Sodium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season
Fig. 25. Sodium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 26. K/Na ratio in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season

Fig. 27. K/Na ratio in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season
Fig. 28. Proline accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season

Fig. 29. Proline accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season

Fig. 30. Potassium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione& Selenium, Selenium& Humic acid and Glutathione& Humic acid treatments during 2020 season
Fig. 31. Sodium accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione& Selenium, Selenium & Humic acid and Glutathione & Humic acid treatments during 2020 season.

Fig. 32. K/Na ratio in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione& Selenium, Selenium & Humic acid and Glutathione & Humic acid treatments during 2020 season.

Fig. 33. Proline accumulation in sweet pepper leaves under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione& Selenium, Selenium & Humic acid and Glutathione & Humic acid treatments during 2020 season.
4. Sweet pepper yield

Effect of salinity:

Tables 10 and 11 illustrate that Irrigation of sweet pepper plants with saline water at all salinity levels significantly inhibited fruit yield plant\(^1\) and it was more severely at the highest salinity level. The maximum reduction in yield was recorded at 1500 ppm NaCl in the three seasons and this reductions of fruits yield plant\(^1\) were estimated by 46.36, 53.05 and 40.54 % in the first, second, and third seasons respectively compared to the non-treated plants. The negative effect of salinity on sweet pepper yield may be due to its inhibitory effect on the uptake and translocation of some major and micro elements within plant roots (Larcher, 1980). Also, Mozafariyan \textit{et al.} (2013) reported that the decline in tomato yield derived from salinity stress could be a result from the negative relationship between salinity and photosynthetic rate. Furthermore Navarro \textit{et al.}, (2002) reported that reduced growth and yield of bell pepper due to salinity was attributed to reduced water content of leaves caused by poor osmotic adjustment. Similar results were reported by Rubio \textit{et al.} (2010) who recorded lower fruit yield from the saline water treatment (4.6 dS m\(^{-1}\)) when compared to control (2.6 dS m\(^{-1}\)). Also Savvas \textit{et al.} (2007) obtained lower fruit yield by irrigation with water of high salinity for greenhouse-grown bell pepper.

Effect of Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments:

Tables 10 and 11 showed the influence of different mitigation treatments (Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid and their coupled treatments) on fruit yield of sweet pepper plants. The statistical comparisons among the mean values of the different ameliorative treatments illustrated that each mitigation treatment used either singly or in coupled was associated significantly with an increase in yield plant\(^1\) compared to control of the three seasons of study (Tables 10 and 11).

Table 10. Fruit yield plant\(^1\) of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress as affected by Glutathione, Selenium and humic acid treatments during 2018 and 2019 seasons

| Factors               | Season (2018) | Season (2019) |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                       | Growth       |              |
| Control               | 555.10 A     | 462.34 A     |
| 500                   | 539.97 C     | 462.34 A     |
| 1000                  | 385.93 B     | 308.49 B     |
| 1500                  | 297.74 D     | 218.92 C     |
| Control               | 396.75 D     | 302.67 D     |
| Salinity levels p.p.m |              |              |
| Glutathione (25 Mg/L) | 461.54 A     | 375.38 B     |
| Selenium (5mg/L)      | 493.70 A     | 433.94 A     |
| Humic acid (200mg/L)  | 426.75 C     | 344.00 C     |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.

Table 11. Fruit yield/plant of sweet pepper plants grown under salt stress by glutathione &selenium, selenium & humic acid and glutathione & humic acid treatments during 2020 season

| Factors               | Characters         | Season (2020) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Control               | 525.24 A           |              |
| 500                   | 493.65 B           |              |
| 1000                  | 443.27 C           |              |
| 1500                  | 312.31 D           |              |
| Control               | 371.87 D           |              |
| Salinity levels p.p.m | Glutathione &selenium | 541.40 A |
| 500                   | Selenium & humic acid | 467.46 B |
| 1000                  | Glutathione & humic acid | 393.26 C |

*The mean values with the same alphabetical letters do not significantly differ at 0.05% probability level.
The highest increment of fruit yield plant\(^{-1}\) (24.44 and 43.37%) in the first and the second seasons respectively, were obtained by Se application. Moreover, in the third season of the study, the coupled treatment of Glutathione and Selenium surpassed those of the other coupled treatments on fruits yield plant\(^{-1}\) with the increment value of 45.59 %. These results are in agreement with those found by Yassen et al. (2011) who demonstrated that Selenium application to potato plants promoted plant growth, tuber yield over the control treatments. **Effect of the interaction:**

The comparisons among the means of different interactions of salinity levels and (Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments) singly or in coupled on fruits yield of sweet pepper plants are shown in Figs. (34-36). The obtained results indicated that fruits yield of sweet pepper plant\(^{-1}\) were significantly affected by these interaction treatments in the three seasons. The application of Selenium\(^{-1}\) alone in the first two seasons or coupled with Glutathione in the third season under different salinity levels was favorable for the plants to express their best performance. The highest percentage increments of fruit yield plant \(^{-1}\) at the highest salinity were in the present of Selenium \(^{-1}\) alone in the first and second seasons or coupled with Glutathione level in the third season which estimated by 18.59, 29.73 and 27.84% respectively. These results are in agreement with Daniel et al. (2015) who reported a positive effect of selenium could be explained on the ground that selenium plays an important role in enhancing their anti-oxidative capacity and thereby improve growth and yield.

**Fig. 34.** Fruit yield plant\(^{-1}\) of sweet pepper under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2018 season

**Fig. 35.** Fruit yield plant\(^{-1}\) of sweet pepper under different salinity levels in response to Glutathione, Selenium and Humic acid treatments during 2019 season
Also Ramos et al. (2010) found that the application of Selenium at low concentrations is more appropriate for lettuce bio-fortification because it favors plant growth. A similar trend was reported by Yassen et al. (2011) who demonstrated that selenium foliar application at 20 and 40 g/fed, promoted plant growth, tuber yield and quality over the control treatments. Moreover, Khattab (2007) found that Glutathione application enhances the chlorophyll biosynthesis or decreases its degradation and integrated into primary metabolism, and it can affect the functioning of the signal transduction pathway by modulating cellular redox state and thus return in increasing crop yield.

CONCLUSIONS

This study suggests that, the application of either Selenium singly or in coupled with Glutathione regulates sweet pepper response to salt stress and may be used to enhance plant growth and mineral status. Application of Selenium singly or in coupled with Glutathione alleviate salt stress, give highest growth parameters, increased chlorophyll content, plant leaf area also enhanced its mineral status such as N, P, K, and Ca concentrations and decreased Na concentrations compared to control (spraying with distilled water). Additionally, an interesting phenomenon was found in our experiment that the application of either Selenium singly or in coupled with Glutathione led to reduction of Na+ and proline concentration and increased K+ concentration in sweet pepper leaves, indicating that selective absorption of K+ over Na+ (high K+/Na+) could be one of the mechanism for this plant adapting to salt stress.
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