Probabilistic mapping of thalamic nuclei and thalamocortical functional connectivity in idiopathic generalised epilepsy
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Abstract

It is well established that abnormal thalamocortical systems play an important role in the generation and maintenance of primary generalised seizures. However, it is currently unknown which thalamic nuclei and how nuclear-specific thalamocortical functional connectivity are differentially impacted in patients with medically refractory and non-refractory idiopathic generalised epilepsy (IGE). In the present study, we performed structural and resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE, segmented the thalamus into constituent nuclear regions using a probabilistic MRI segmentation method and determined thalamocortical functional connectivity using seed-to-voxel connectivity analyses. We report significant volume reduction of the left and right anterior thalamic nuclei only in patients with refractory IGE. Compared to healthy controls, patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE had significant alterations of functional connectivity between the centromedian nucleus and cortex, but only patients with refractory IGE had altered cortical connectivity with the ventral lateral nuclear group. Patients with refractory IGE had significantly increased functional connectivity between the left and right ventral lateral posterior nuclei and cortical regions compared to patients with non-refractory IGE. Cortical effects were predominantly located in the frontal lobe. Atrophy of the anterior thalamic nuclei and resting-state functional hyperconnectivity between ventral lateral nuclei and cerebral cortex may be imaging markers of pharmacoresistance in patients with IGE. These structural and functional abnormalities fit well with the known importance of thalamocortical systems in the generation and maintenance of primary generalised seizures, and the increasing recognition of the importance of limbic pathways in IGE.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic generalised epilepsy (IGE) accounts for ~30% of all epilepsies (Behr, Goltzene, Kosmalski, Hirsch, & Ryvin, 2016; Jallon & Latour, 2005). Approximately, 70% of all patients with IGE will be rendered seizure free after treatment with anti-seizure medication (ASM; Alexopoulos, 2013; Faught, 2004). The pathophysiology of IGE has been associated with centrencephalic, corticoreticular and thalamocortical mechanisms (Avoli, 2012; Meeren, Van Luijtenaar, Lopes Da Silva, & Coenen, 2005). Spike–wave discharges (SWDs) in absence seizures have been attributed to thalamic dysfunction (Bal, von Krosigk, & McCormick, 1995; Hosford et al., 1995; Hosford et al., 1992; Huguenard & Prince, 1994; Liu, Vergnes, Depaulis, & Marescaux, 1992; McCormick & Bal, 1997; McCormick & Contreras, 2002; Prince & Farrell, 1969). This notion began to be established with Penfield and Jasper's centrencephalic theory, which suggested that the intralaminar nuclei were mainly associated with 3 Hz generalised SWDs via stimulation of this particular region of the thalamus (Meeren et al., 2005; Penfield & Jasper, 1954). The theory was later revised to suggest corticoreticular mechanisms for the generation of SWDs (Gloor, 1968). Rodent models have provided evidence for thalamic reticular mechanisms generating normal spindles and SWDs when thalamocortical systems are interrupted (Bal et al., 1995; McCormick & Bal, 1997; McCormick & Contreras, 2002). Studies suggested that a likely pathophysiology of SWDs was an aberrant GABAergic excitatory-inhibitory modulation, majorly involving thalamic reticular cells and thalamocortical relay cells (von Krosigk, Bal, & McCormick, 1993). The cortical participation of extensive SWDs spread was further emphasised in Meeren's epileptic WAG/Rij rats study (Meeren, Pijn, Van Luijtenaar, Coenen, & Lopes da Silva, 2002). The wide synchronisation of SWDs was, therefore, thought to result from disturbances in thalamocortical networks, leading to continuous seizure presentation in IGE. Moreover, pathophysiological progression of IGE may differ in different thalamic nuclei (Norden & Blumenfeld, 2002; Wang, Zhang, Jiao, Liao, & Chen, 2012). Centromedian and anterior nuclei of the thalamus have been associated with initiation, propagation and maintenance of generalised SWDs (Miller & Ferrendelli, 1990; Miller, Hall, Holland, & Ferrendelli, 1989; Tyvaert et al., 2009). Although abnormal thalamocortical networks are clearly important in generating and maintaining generalised seizures, it is currently unknown whether differences in thalamocortical architecture and connectivity underlie pharmaco-resistance in patients with IGE.

There have been many MRI-based investigations of thalamic alterations in patients with IGE. Frequently used research methods include thalamic volume, thalamic shape and voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analyses. While volume analysis attempts to evaluate gross thalamus volume change, shape and VBM analyses have allowed investigation of regional or subtle changes in the thalamus. Studies have reported a decrease (Boss, Abela, Weistanner, Schindler, & Wiest, 2019; Ciumas & Savic, 2006; Du et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014; Kim, Kim, Suh, & Kim, 2018; Lee, Seo, Lee, Kim, & Park, 2020; Mory et al., 2011; Nuyts, D’Souza, Bowden, & Vogrin, 2017; Perani et al., 2018; Pulsipher et al., 2009; Saini et al., 2013; Di Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2012; Whelan et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2018) and an increase (Betting et al., 2006; Bin et al., 2017; Lee, Seo, Lee, et al., 2020) in thalamic volume in patients with IGE compared to healthy controls. Some studies have, however, reported no statistically significant differences in thalamic volume (Betting et al., 2010; Natsume, Bernasconi, Andermann, & Bernasconi, 2003; Seeck et al., 2005). This discrepancy may be due to a number of factors, including that thalamic nuclei are potentially differentially impacted in IGE, differential combinations of refractory and/or non-refractory patients in statistical analyses, and different analytical approaches were adopted. Kim and colleagues reported grey matter (GM) atrophy in the anteromedial thalamus in IGE relative to controls (Kim et al., 2014). Two other studies that specifically focused on juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) suggested volume reduced in the anterior (Mory et al., 2011) and medial thalamus (Saini et al., 2013) in patients relative to controls. Wang and colleagues also identified thalamic atrophy in the medial dorsal and pulvinar nuclei in patients with IGE generalised tonic–clonic seizure alone (IGE-GTCS) relative to controls (Wang et al., 2012). Localised volume reduction of the ventral thalamus has been reported in patients with refractory IGE relative to controls (Boss et al., 2019). There is therefore accumulating evidence indicating regionally specific structural alterations of the thalamus in IGE, but there is a lack of consistency with respect to the particular region of the thalamus affected.

There have been many approaches to segment thalamic nuclei using MRI; many of these necessitate the use of high field or advanced MRI sequences that may not be incorporated to clinical scanning for patients with epilepsy. A recently published thalamic nuclei segmentation method provides an opportunity to probabilistically segment the thalamus into 50 thalamic nuclei based on histological data and ex-vivo MRI and necessitates only three dimensional T1-weighted (T1w) MRI data (Iglesias et al., 2018). The approach has been recently applied to determine regionally specific thalamic alterations in neurological disorders (Bocchetta et al., 2020; Hougaard et al., 2020; Ngamsombat et al., 2020; Shin, Lee, & Park, 2019) but has not yet been applied to patients with IGE. In the present study, we use this method to determine regional structural and functional thalamic abnormalities in patients with IGE and differences according to seizure control.

Previous simultaneous EEG-fMRI studies have reported that the thalamus is activated during generalised SWDs (Centeno & Carmichael, 2014; Klamer et al., 2018; Seneviratne, Cook, & D’Souza, 2014; Tyvaert et al., 2009). Large-scale network analysis using resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) has revealed altered functional connectivity in patients with IGE relative to controls, which were often found in intrinsic networks, such as the default mode and salience networks (Li et al., 2017; McGill et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2015). Few studies have identified thalamocortical functional alterations in IGE using rs-fMRI. Serving as a functional complex and relay station between different subcortical areas and the cerebral cortex, distinct thalamic nuclei may be divergently associated with functionally distinct areas in cortex.
(Mai & Majtanik, 2019; Noback, Strominger, Demarest, & Ruggiero, 2005; Rikhye, Wimmer, & Halassa, 2018; Yuan et al., 2016). This suggests that thalamocortical functional connectivity alterations in IGE might also be divergent depending on the involvement of particular thalamic nuclei—potentially differing between patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE. Some studies have reported reduced or increased thalamocortical rs-fMRI connectivity when the regional thalamic seed was generated based on VBM results (Kim et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2012; Zhong et al., 2018) or diffusion tensor imaging connectivity-based thalamic parcellations (Jiang et al., 2018). However, there has been no work administered to date that has explored the functional connectivity between cortex and the delineated nuclei of the thalamus, while investigating potential differences in thalamocortical connectivity in patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE.

There were two primary goals of the present study. First, we sought to determine regional thalamic nuclear volume alterations in patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE and healthy controls. We hypothesised differential thalamic nuclei involvement based on whether patients were refractory or non-refractory to ASM. Second, using parcellated thalamic nuclei regions as seeds, we sought to investigate rs-fMRI thalamocortical connectivity in IGE and to determine whether alterations are associated with treatment outcomes. To our knowledge, this represents the first study to probe differences in thalamocortical architecture and connectivity in patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

Thirty-five patients with a diagnosis of IGE were prospectively recruited at the Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust (Liverpool, United Kingdom) and Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust (Manchester, United Kingdom). All patients had a diagnosis of childhood absence epilepsy, juvenile absence epilepsy, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy or epilepsy with generalised tonic–clonic seizures alone. Based on the ILAE clinical guidelines (Fisher et al., 2017; Scheffer et al., 2017). Patients were prospectively recruited based on their seizure control; those who experienced two or more seizures in the past 12 months were classified as medically refractory and patients who had been seizure-free in the preceding 12 months were classified as medically non-refractory. Seizure frequency and 12 months seizure freedom were determined by self-report from patients, their family and witnesses and regular clinical evaluation. Exclusion criteria included patients with either progressive neurological disease or confirmed focal abnormality indicated in clinical MRI. A breakdown of the patients’ clinical information is provided in Table 1. We also recruited 39 healthy volunteers with no history of neurological or psychiatric illness to serve as a control cohort. Comparison between patient and control demographic data is presented in Table 2. There were no differences in sex between patients and controls, but a difference in age between patients with non-refractory IGE and controls.

2.2 | MRI acquisition

MRI acquisition for all participants was performed at the Department of Neuroradiology, Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust using a 3.0 Tesla General Electric Discovery MR750 scanner with a 32-channel head coil. Sequences used for analysis in this paper included: (a) 3D axial T1w fast spoiled gradient echo (FSPGR) MRI with Phased Array Uniformity Enhancement (PURE) signal inhomogeneity correction (pulse sequence = BRAVO; TR = 8.2 ms, TI = 450 ms, TE = 3.22 ms, flip angle = 12, slice thickness = 1 mm, voxel size = 0.94 mm × 0.94 mm, 136 slices, FOV = 24 cm) and (b) resting-state functional MRI using a gradient echo EPI sequence (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 25 ms, flip angle = 75, slice thickness = 2.4 mm, voxel size = 3.75 mm × 3.75 mm, 180 volumes, 38 slices, FOV = 24 cm). No task was used, and sedation was not administered during scanning. For all participants, image acquisition was performed while awake and with visual fixation on a white crosshair with a black background.

2.3 | Analysis of thalamic nuclei volumes

FreeSurfer (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu, version 6.0, Fischl, 2012) and a probabilistic thalamic segmentation algorithm incorporated into FreeSurfer software were applied to segment and estimate the volumes of thalamic nuclei. First, T1w images were processed with the FreeSurfer “recon-all” function to correct for non-uniformity and fluctuations in MRI intensity, remove skull, and perform an automated intensity-based segmentation for cortical and subcortical brain structures. The second step was to segment the left and right thalamus each into 25 different nuclei using Bayesian inference based on a probabilistic atlas built with histological data (Iglesias et al., 2018). The parcellated thalamic nuclei are illustrated in Figure 1; abbreviations for each nucleus are presented in Table 3. We divided the nuclear volume measurements by the respective total intracranial volume estimated using FreeSurfer (Buckner et al., 2004) in order to control for the effect of brain size. The following formula was used:

\[
nuclei\text{ volume} (\%) = \frac{\text{nuclei volume} (\text{mm}^3)}{\text{total intracranial volume} (\text{mm}^3)} \times 100\%
\]

Analysis was performed using a one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) in SPSS 25 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY), where each nuclear volume was compared among all three groups (controls, patients with refractory IGE and those with non-refractory IGE) while controlling for effects of age and sex. A false discovery rate (FDR) approach (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) was applied to correct for multiple comparisons using MATLAB 2018a (The Mathworks, Inc., 2018) Bioinformatics Toolbox. An FDR-adjusted p-value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.
2.4  Thalamic functional connectivity

As a first step, all imaging data were manually recentered to the anterior commissure. Rs-fMRI data were preprocessed using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12, Welcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, United Kingdom; https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) and the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12; http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat/) in MATLAB 2018a. Functional image preprocessing included slice-timing correction, motion estimation, spatial normalisation to MNI space and spatial smoothing. First, rs-fMRI slice timing was corrected using the first image volume as the reference. Second, head motion parameters and movement-by-susceptibility induced variance in the fMRI EPI sequence were estimated using the realign and unwarp functions. The movement parameters were further included as covariance in the general linear model for functional connectivity analysis. Quality control for

| Patient | Age  | Sex | Onset | Duration | Category | FH | PS | Seizures | ASM (mg/day) |
|---------|------|-----|-------|----------|----------|----|----|----------|--------------|
| 1       | 34   | F   | 2     | 32       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | VPA 3000, ZON 300 |
| 2       | 23   | F   | 14    | 9        | REF      | N  | N  | AS, MS   | LEV 300, TOP 300, Clob 10 |
| 3       | 19   | M   | 16    | 3        | REF      | Y  | Y  | GTCS     | VPA 1000 |
| 4       | 19   | F   | 8     | 11       | REF      | Y  | N  | AS, GTCS | LTG 200 |
| 5       | 25   | M   | 19    | 6        | nonREF   | N  | N  | MS       | LEV1500, VPA 1600 |
| 6       | 60   | F   | 13    | 47       | REF      | Y  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 2500 |
| 7       | 24   | M   | 15    | 9        | REF      | Y  | N  | AS, MS, GTCS | CBZ10000, LEV 3000, VPA 2500 |
| 8       | 21   | F   | 15    | 6        | REF      | N  | N  | AS, MS, GTCS | LEV 4000, VPA 2000 |
| 9       | 32   | F   | 23    | 9        | REF      | Y  | N  | MS, GTCS | LEV 350, Clob 15 |
| 10      | 38   | M   | 18    | 20       | REF      | Y  | N  | GTCS     | VPA 600, LTG 50 |
| 11      | 67   | M   | 29    | 38       | REF      | N  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 2000, LTG 200, PB 150, Clob 10 |
| 12      | 46   | F   | 7     | 39       | REF      | N  | N  | AS       | VPA 1200, LTG 200, LEV 2500 |
| 13      | 20   | M   | 8     | 12       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | VPA 2000 |
| 14      | 24   | F   | 13    | 11       | REF      | Y  | N  | MS, GTCS | TOP 100 |
| 15      | 35   | M   | 6     | 29       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | LEV 2000, VPA 2000 |
| 16      | 18   | M   | 14    | 4        | REF      | N  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 1500, ZON 350 |
| 17      | 39   | M   | 17    | 22       | REF      | Y  | Y  | GTCS     | VPA 1000, LTG 75 |
| 18      | 24   | F   | 16    | 8        | nonREF   | N  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 1000, LTG 200, LEV 4000 |
| 19      | 21   | M   | 16    | 5        | REF      | N  | N  | AS, MS, GTCS | VPA 2400 |
| 20      | 36   | F   | 17    | 19       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | LEV 1250, TOP 100 |
| 21      | 31   | F   | 15    | 16       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | LEV 2000, LTG 400 |
| 22      | 31   | F   | 16    | 15       | REF      | N  | N  | AS, MS, GTCS | VPA 1500, LEV 3500 |
| 23      | 23   | M   | 16    | 7        | nonREF   | N  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 2100, LEV 500 |
| 24      | 19   | F   | 13    | 6        | nonREF   | Y  | N  | GTCS     | LEV 3000 |
| 25      | 58   | F   | 15    | 43       | REF      | N  | N  | GTCS     | VPA 1000, ZON 400, Clob 1.5 |
| 26      | 18   | F   | 15    | 3        | nonREF   | N  | Y  | AS, MS   | LEV 2000 |
| 27      | 22   | M   | 2     | 20       | nonREF   | N  | Y  | AS, MS   | VPA 1400 |
| 28      | 24   | M   | 13    | 11       | REF      | N  | N  | MS       | VPA 1700 |
| 29      | 56   | F   | 3     | 53       | nonREF   | N  | Y  | AS       | VPA 1500 |
| 30      | 57   | F   | 7     | 50       | REF      | N  | N  | AS, GTCS | VPA 1200, CBZ 600 |
| 31      | 33   | M   | 7     | 26       | nonREF   | N  | N  | AS       | VPA 1800 |
| 32      | 19   | F   | 14    | 5        | nonREF   | N  | N  | AS, MS   | LEV 1000 |
| 33      | 57   | F   | 7     | 50       | REF      | Y  | N  | GTCS     | VPA 2000, LTG 75 |
| 34      | 20   | M   | 16    | 4        | nonREF   | N  | N  | AS, GTCS, MS | VPA 1700, ETX 500 |

Note: Onset indicates the age of onset of epilepsy. Abbreviations: AS, absence seizures; ASM, anti-seizure medication (daily dose in milligramme); Clob, clobazam; Clon, clonazepam; CBZ, carbamazepine; ETX, ethosuximide; F, female; FH, family history; GTCS, primary generalised tonic–clonic seizures; LEV, Levetiracetam; LTG, lamotrigine; M, male; MS, myoclonic seizures; N, no; PB, phenobarbital; PS, photosensitive; REF, refractory; TOP, topiramate; VPA, Valproic acid; Y, yes; ZON, zonisamide.
motion artefacts was performed by employing a threshold (translation > 3 mm, rotation > 1°) for exclusion (Fallon, Chiu, Nurmikko, & Stancak, 2016; Johnstone et al., 2006). Functional data were subsequently spatially normalised to MNI space using the ICBM 152 template of European brains and interpolated to isotropic 2 mm voxels using the fourth degree B-Spline method. The mean functional image generated from the previous step for each subject was initially selected as the target for image registration. All images were smoothed using an 8 mm full-width half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel at every data point. T1w images additionally underwent automated tissue segmentation into grey/white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) compartments using CAT12 followed by a non-linear registration to the ICBM 152 template. MNI registrations for all image data and segmentations were visually inspected to confirm accuracy and each individual’s thalamic nuclear mask was checked for alignment with MNI thalamic masks provided in CONN.

A methods workflow of rs-fMRI thalamocortical analysis is provided in Figure 2. Resting-state functional connectivity analysis was performed using the Functional Connectivity Toolbox (CONN; https://web.conn-toolbox.org, Whitfield-Gabrieli & Nieto-Castanon, 2012) integrated in SPM12. The toolbox implemented two general steps of BOLD signal denoising: (1) linear regression to remove effects of confounding variables and (2) band-pass filtering for extraneous and physiological noise. Noise components from WM and CSF were removed based on principal component analysis of the multivariate BOLD signal within GM masks produced from T1w tissue.
Thalamic nuclei segmented in the present study. Grouping was based on Iglesias’s et al. (2018)

| Group      | Abbreviation | Nucleus                                      |
|------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Anterior   | AV           | Anteroventral                                |
| Ventral    | VA           | Ventral anterior                             |
|            | VAmc         | Ventral anterior magnocellular               |
|            | VLa          | Ventral lateral anterior                     |
|            | VLP          | Ventral lateral posterior                    |
|            | VM           | Ventromedial                                 |
|            | VPL          | Ventral posterolateral                       |
| Medial     | MD           | Mediodorsal lateral parvocellular           |
|            | MDm          | Mediodorsal medial magnocellular            |
|            | MV-Re        | Reuniens (medial ventral)                  |
| Lateral    | LD           | Laterodorsal                                 |
|            | LP           | Lateral posterior                            |
| Posterior  | PuA          | Pulvinar anterior                            |
|            | PuM          | Pulvinar medial                              |
|            | PuI          | Pulvinar inferior                            |
|            | PuL          | Pulvinar lateral                             |
|            | LGN          | Lateral geniculate                           |
|            | MGN          | Medial geniculate                            |
|            | L-SG         | Limitans (suprageniculate)                  |
| Intralamin | CeM          | Central medial                              |
|            | CM           | Centromedian                                |
|            | CL           | Central lateral                              |
|            | Pc           | Paracentral                                 |
|            | Pf           | Parafascicular                               |

segmentation for each subject. This removal was specified as an anatomical component-based noise correction procedure (Behzadi, Restom, Liu, & Liu, 2007). Furthermore, each subject’s motion parameters were included as regressors (Figure 2c, denoising [a]). A conventional band-pass filter at 0.01 Hz–0.08 Hz frequency band was employed to minimise scanner drift, vascular and physiological fluctuations (Boubela et al., 2013; Davey, Grayden, Egan, & Johnston, 2013; Fox & Raichle, 2007; Yuen, Osachoff, & Chen, 2019). For analysis of functional connectivity, we explored group differences in thalamocortical connectivity through the analysis of statistical dependencies of functional data between thalamic and other cortical and subcortical GM regions of interest using the seed-to-voxel approach. We selected thalamic nuclei which relay information to specific cortical areas as the seeds of interest, including anteroventral (AV), ventral lateral anterior (VLa), ventral lateral posterior (VLP), ventral anterior (VA), and lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) (Table 3). Moreover, centromedian (CM), mediodorsal (MD) and pulvinar regions were also included for their association with epilepsy (Avoli, Gloor, Kostopoulos, & Gotman, 1983; Inoue, Duysens, Vossen, & Coenen, 1993; Ji et al., 2015; Kato et al., 2008; Miller et al., 1989; Tyvaert et al., 2009; Valentín et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2012). The MD seed was generated by combining MDl and MDm (Table 3) due to shared functional topology and the small size of those seeds (Mitchell & Chakraborty, 2013; Pergola et al., 2018). The pulvinar seed was defined as a collection of PuA, PuM, PuI and PuL (Table 3). All nuclei were then spatially normalised to MNI space using SPM tools.

To compute seed-based functional connectivity, the resting-state BOLD time series for each seed ROI was averaged and correlated with BOLD time series for each GM voxel. Fisher-transformed bivariate correlation coefficients were calculated to represent the degree of functional connectivity. The seed-based connectivity maps were subsequently used for the second-level analysis of relative thalamocortical functional connectivity changes between groups. A statistical parametric map was created to characterise the differences in functional connectivity between groups using the general linear model, corrected for age and sex. Voxel-wise statistics for thalamocortical connectivity throughout the entire brain were controlled at an uncorrected level (p < .001) with an additional cluster-level correction (pFDR < .05) based on Gaussian Random Field theory (Worsley et al., 1996) applied for FDR correction (Alonazi et al., 2019; Chumbley, Worsley, Flandin, & Friston, 2010; Fallon et al., 2016). Identification of anatomical regions for significant clusters was based on Harvard-Oxford atlas (Makris et al., 2006).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Thalamic nuclei volumetric analysis

Statistically significant volume reduction was only observed in patients with refractory IGE compared to healthy controls (left AV, pFDR = .025; right AV, pFDR = .018) (Figure 3). There was no trend for volume reduction of the left or right AV in patients with non-refractory IGE compared to controls (Table 4). Trends were observed for volume reduction of other thalamic nuclei in patients compared to controls, but these effects did not survive FDR correction (Table 4).

3.2 | Thalamocortical resting-state functional connectivity analysis

Patients with IGE had significantly altered functional connectivity between thalamic seeds—including left VLa and LGN bilaterally—and regions of the cerebral cortex, relative to controls (Figure 4a). Significantly increased thalamocortical connectivity was observed between left VLa and frontal medial cortex and right precentral gyrus in patients relative to controls. Conversely, significantly decreased thalamocortical connectivity was observed between left VLa and right frontal pole, left LGN and left paracingulate gyrus, and right LGN and left supramarginal gyrus in patients with IGE compared to controls (Table 5).

Patients with non-refractory IGE had significantly increased functional connectivity between left MD and right temporoparietal cortex and decreased connectivity between right CM and right frontal medial
FIGURE 2  A framework for resting-state thalamocortical functional connectivity analysis. (a) Identifying thalamic regions of interest as per Figure 1. All nuclei were extracted and binarized into 50 separate masks using FSL. Nuclei selected for analysis were registered to ICBM 152 template. (b) rs-fMRI pre-processing based on the standard SPM protocol. The origin of T1w and rs-fMRI data was set to the anterior commissure prior to all processing. rs-fMRI data underwent corrections, normalisation and spatial smoothing; T1w data underwent tissue characteristic segmentation and spatial normalisation. (c) CONN processing for resting state functional connectivity. All pre-processing data (from a and b) were input into CONN toolbox for running functional connectivity analysis. The seed-to-voxel approach was used. Movement parameters were regressed, and noise was filtered to produce clean BOLD signals. Second-level analysis was implemented to determine significant differences of rs-fMRI thalamocortical connectivity between study groups.

FIGURE 3  Significant volume reduction of the left and right anteroventral (AV) only in patients with refractory idiopathic generalised epilepsy (IGE) as revealed by one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Asterisks indicate significant differences between groups at $p_{FDR}$. 
## Table 4: Thalamic nuclear volume and group differences

| Thalamic nucleus | Control | IGE | REF-IGE | nonREF-IGE | Control versus IGE | Control versus REF-IGE | Control versus nonREF-IGE | nonREF-IGE versus REF-IGE |
|-----------------|---------|-----|---------|------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | \( \rho_{\text{uncorr}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{uncorr}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{uncorr}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{uncorr}} \) |
| L-MGN           | 6.59 (1.13) | 6.74 (1.19) | 6.53 (1.02) | 7.24 (1.47) | .63 | .97 | .23 | .24 |
| L-LGN           | 13.54 (1.52) | 13.53 (1.99) | 12.85 (1.33) | 15.15 (2.42) | .97 | .12 | .03a | .003a |
| L-Pul            | 14.71 (2.20) | 14.95 (2.73) | 14.26 (1.93) | 16.60 (3.69) | .70 | .47 | .11 | .06 |
| L-PuM            | 72.24 (7.23) | 74.46 (11.27) | 71.55 (7.64) | 81.4 (15.51) | .35 | .79 | .04a | .06 |
| L-LSg            | 1.15 (0.28) | 1.21 (0.28) | 1.18 (0.27) | 1.27 (0.29) | .46 | .61 | .38 | .78 |
| L-VPL            | 52.43 (5.37) | 51.19 (6.93) | 49.45 (4.12) | 55.36 (10.26) | .32 | .03a | .35 | .05a |
| L-CM             | 15.81 (1.66) | 15.68 (2.01) | 15.19 (1.60) | 16.85 (2.47) | .70 | .18 | .27 | .09 |
| L-VLa            | 39.20 (3.79) | 38.57 (4.98) | 37.44 (2.99) | 41.28 (7.52) | .43 | .07 | .42 | .12 |
| L-PuA            | 15.26 (1.39) | 15.35 (2.10) | 14.91 (1.38) | 16.38 (3.09) | .90 | .34 | .17 | .16 |
| L-MDM            | 52.46 (4.88) | 52.67 (11.27) | 51.55 (7.64) | 61.4 (15.51) | .35 | .79 | .04a | .06 |
| L-Pf             | 3.62 (0.33) | 3.53 (0.46) | 3.43 (0.40) | 3.77 (0.53) | .18 | .03a | .48 | .12 |
| L-VA             | 25.94 (5.26) | 25.99 (3.20) | 25.52 (2.42) | 27.11 (4.53) | 1.00 | .63 | .43 | .44 |
| L-MVM(Re)        | 0.84 (0.13) | 0.766 (0.28) | 0.76 (0.18) | 0.77 (0.22) | .03a | .04a | .21 | .61 |
| L-VLa            | 11.79 (1.73) | 11.95 (2.04) | 11.68 (1.83) | 12.59 (2.48) | .71 | .88 | .28 | .43 |
| L-Pt             | 0.43 (0.05) | 0.42 (0.06) | 0.41 (0.04) | 0.46 (0.08) | .33 | .05a | .38 | .09 |
| L-VA             | 7.61 (1.10) | 6.68 (1.42) | 6.55 (1.29) | 6.98 (1.74) | .001a | .001b | .14 | .95 |
| L-Pt             | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.23 (0.04) | 0.23 (0.04) | 0.25 (0.04) | .66 | .31 | .45 | .29 |
| L-VLP            | 50.83 (5.13) | 49.59 (7.01) | 47.88 (4.08) | 53.69 (10.56) | .29 | .02a | .44 | .09 |
| L-LP             | 7.15 (1.82) | 6.36 (1.90) | 5.92 (1.53) | 7.42 (2.36) | .04a | .01a | .80 | .13 |
| L-LGN            | 13.80 (1.82) | 13.99 (1.90) | 13.57 (1.24) | 15.02 (2.77) | .71 | .67 | .15 | .09 |
| L-MGN            | 6.42 (0.95) | 6.62 (1.66) | 6.27 (1.00) | 7.44 (2.54) | .49 | .84 | .07 | .17 |
| L-Pul            | 14.30 (2.23) | 14.35 (2.41) | 13.84 (2.05) | 15.58 (2.87) | .98 | .49 | .34 | .11 |
| L-PuM            | 68.48 (6.25) | 68.38 (10.48) | 65.83 (7.22) | 74.50 (14.51) | .88 | .18 | .15 | .08 |
| L-LSg            | 1.15 (0.27) | 1.19 (0.35) | 1.13 (0.28) | 1.35 (0.46) | .62 | .79 | .11 | .16 |
| L-VPL            | 49.56 (4.41) | 48.59 (5.89) | 47.28 (4.07) | 51.73 (8.33) | .35 | .05 | .45 | .10 |
| L-CM             | 15.31 (1.61) | 14.98 (1.84) | 14.59 (1.48) | 15.91 (2.35) | .38 | .11 | .59 | .17 |
| L-Vla            | 39.44 (3.63) | 38.84 (4.28) | 37.99 (2.77) | 40.89 (6.39) | .40 | .11 | .55 | .22 |
| L-PuA            | 14.82 (1.34) | 14.78 (2.02) | 14.33 (1.43) | 15.84 (2.82) | .81 | .19 | .20 | .11 |
| L-MDm            | 51.66 (5.59) | 50.53 (8.21) | 48.59 (5.56) | 55.18 (11.59) | .32 | .03a | .38 | .08 |
| L-Pf             | 3.66 (0.35) | 3.55 (0.50) | 3.43 (0.41) | 3.83 (0.60) | .20 | .01a | .36 | .08 |
| L-VAmc           | 2.18 (0.19) | 2.17 (0.21) | 2.14 (0.18) | 2.25 (0.24) | .74 | .39 | .50 | .37 |
| L-MDi            | 18.91 (2.20) | 18.33 (2.73) | 17.73 (1.88) | 19.79 (3.88) | .21 | .03a | .62 | .11 |
| L-VA             | 26.13 (2.29) | 26.81 (2.64) | 26.31 (2.11) | 28.01 (3.99) | .31 | .70 | .10 | .31 |
| L-MV(Re)         | 0.87 (0.14) | 0.78 (0.18) | 0.78 (0.19) | 0.79 (0.17) | .02a | .03a | .14 | .64 |
| L-CeM            | 4.56 (0.61) | 4.26 (0.75) | 4.27 (0.74) | 4.25 (0.82) | .05a | .07 | .25 | .67 |
| R-VM             | 1.11 (0.12) | 1.07 (0.13) | 1.05 (0.11) | 1.11 (0.16) | .08 | .03a | .76 | .33 |

(Continues)
cortex relative to controls (Figure 4b, Table 5). Patients with refractory IGE had significantly increased connectivity between left VLa and right precentral gyrus relative to controls. Compared to controls, patients with refractory IGE had decreased connectivity between right VLa and right fusiform gyrus, between the right CM and supplementary motor area and anterior cingulate gyrus, and between left LGN and left superior parietal lobule, paracingulate gyrus, and right middle frontal gyrus (Figure 4c, Table 5). In direct comparisons between patient groups, patients with refractory IGE had increased functional connectivity between the right VLa and superior part of left lateral occipital gyrus, between the left VLp and right middle frontal gyrus, and regions of frontal and occipital cortex in patients with refractory IGE relative to patients with non-refractory IGE.

4.1 Biological and clinical implications

VBM studies have not consistently reported atrophy of the anterior regions of the thalamus in IGE, although some have described this in patients with JME (Kim, Kim, Seo, Suh, & Koh, 2013; Mory et al., 2011). On the contrary, one study reported increased volume in the anterior thalamus in patients with IGE relative to controls, which was more obvious in patients with absence seizures, who had worse seizure control (Betting et al., 2006). Our findings that the AV nuclei are specifically affected in patients with refractory IGE is a new finding; the fact that these nuclei are affected in both cerebral hemispheres is consistent with the bihemispheric nature of IGE. The anterior thalamus has been suggested to relate to multiple cognitive processing tasks such as memory, executive function and spatial navigation (Jankowski et al., 2013; Ketz, Jensen, & O’Reilly, 2015; Wolff & Vann, 2019), which are cognitive

| Thalamic nucleus | Control Mean (SD) | IGE Mean (SD) | REF-IGE Mean (SD) | nonREF-IGE Mean (SD) | Control versus IGE puncorr | Control versus REF-IGE puncorr | Control versus nonREF-IGE puncorr | nonREF-IGE versus REF-IGE puncorr |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| R-PuL           | 10.82 (1.64)     | 11.06 (1.92)  | 10.79 (1.65)      | 11.71 (2.44)         | 0.63                      | .99                         | .23                             | .32                              |
| R-CL            | 1.92 (0.43)      | 1.65 (0.44)   | 1.57 (0.40)       | 1.85 (0.49)          | 0.02                      | .01                         | .92                             | .20                              |
| R-VLp           | 50.65 (4.87)     | 48.84 (5.95)  | 47.51 (3.71)      | 52.03 (8.88)         | 0.10                      | .01                         | .82                             | .14                              |
| R-Pc            | 0.24 (0.03)      | 0.23 (0.03)   | 0.23 (0.03)       | 0.24 (0.03)          | 0.47                      | .40                         | 1.00                            | .83                              |
| R-Pt            | 0.41 (0.04)      | 0.39 (0.06)   | 0.38 (0.05)       | 0.42 (0.07)          | 0.21                      | .04                         | .48                             | .10                              |
| R-AV            | 8.05 (1.25)      | 7.15 (1.23)   | 6.85 (0.90)       | 7.87 (1.62)          | 0.004                     | .0004                       | .80                             | .09                              |
| R-LP            | 6.65 (1.41)      | 5.95 (1.66)   | 5.64 (1.44)       | 6.71 (1.98)          | 0.06                      | .02                         | .82                             | .29                              |
| L-Thal          | 421.71 (34.55)   | 418.40 (54.83)| 404.41 (32.66)    | 451.95 (80.78)       | 0.64                      | .07                         | .19                             | .07                              |
| R-Thal          | 412.41 (32.40)   | 405.57 (51.36)| 393.09 (31.03)    | 435.54 (76.15)       | 0.39                      | .03                         | .31                             | .08                              |

Abbreviations: L, left side; R, right side; Thal, thalamus.

aUncorrected significance.
bFDR corrected significance (pFDR < .05).

DISCUSSION

There were two primary goals of the present study. First, we sought to determine regional thalamic nuclear volume alterations in patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE and healthy controls. We report significant volume reduction of the AV nuclei bilaterally only in patients with refractory IGE. Patients with non-refractory IGE did not show significance or a trend (uncorrected p < .05) for volume reduction of these nuclei. Second, we sought to investigate rs-fMRI thalamocortical connectivity alterations in IGE and to determine whether alterations are associated with treatment outcomes. We
domains where patients with IGE are often impaired (Abarrategui, Parejo-Carbonell, García García, Di Capua, & García-Morales, 2018; Kim & Ko, 2016; Loughman, Bowden, & D’Souza, 2014; Ratcliffe et al., 2020). Localised anterior thalamic structural alterations may relate to recent work documenting limbic changes in IGE, including hippocampal anatomy, function and metabolism (Caciagli et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2013; Ristić et al., 2011), cingulate diffusion and metabolic changes (Focke et al., 2014; Simani et al., 2020; Sinha et al., 2019; Slinger, Sinke, Braun, & Otte, 2016) and diffusion value alterations of the fornix (Sinha et al., 2019). Our result also fit with those of EEG-fMRI studies that have demonstrated an association between the anterior thalamic nucleus and generalised SWDs in patients with IGE (Tyvaert et al., 2009). Given the limbic pathways impacted, anterior thalamus deep brain stimulation (DBS) could be considered an attractive target to modulate limbic seizure networks in addition to the modulation of overall thalamocortical excitability (Laxpati, Kasoff, & Gross, 2014). Anterior thalamus DBS is principally used to treat refractory focal epilepsy (Bouwens van der Vlis et al., 2019; Fisher et al., 2010) based on the known limbic involvement in these patients. Despite that, the CM thalamic nucleus is the typical DBS target for refractory IGE (see below), anterior thalamic DBS has been performed in some patients with primary generalised epilepsy (Kim et al., 2017; Krishna et al., 2016; Park et al., 2019). However, it is not clear whether the stimulation of anterior nuclei has any benefit for patients with refractory primary generalised seizures. One study indicated no seizure outcome improvements when stimulating the anterior thalamic and centromedian thalamic nuclei in patients with generalised epilepsy (Alcala-Zermeno et al., 2021).

Bihemispheric thalamocortical alterations seeded from the ventral lateral nuclei were prominent findings in the present study. We observed significantly increased functional connectivity between these nuclei and cortex in all patients compared to controls (anterior), refractory patient’s relative to controls (anterior), and refractory patients relative to non-refractory patients (anterior and posterior).
Collectively this suggests functional hyperconnectivity between ventral lateral nuclei and cortex in patients with refractory generalised seizures. The VLa nucleus receives pallidal afferents and the VLp nucleus receives cerebellar afferents, and projects to the supplementary motor area, premotor cortex and primary motor cortex (Mai & Majtanik, 2019). Hyperconnectivity was observed between these nuclei and primary motor and frontal lobe regions (in addition to superior occipital regions), which is consistent with the known pathophysiology of generalised seizures and hyperactivation and hyperconnectivity during cognitive processing in IGE (Caciagli et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2016) and surprising. There is an increasing imaging (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020) and electrophysiological nature of IGE. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of CM functional connectivity alterations in IGE, which is reassuring, although the unilateral nature of these results was not, however, hypothesise unilateral CM abnormalities given the bilateral nature of CM DBS yields over 50% reduction in seizure frequency across various types of epilepsy and patients with medically refractory generalised seizures benefiting the most (Alcala-Zermeno et al., 2021; Cukiert et al., 2009; Ilyas, Pizarro, Romeo, Riley, & Pati, 2019; Klinger & Mittal, 2018; Son et al., 2016; Valentín et al., 2013; Zangiabadi et al., 2019). CM DBS may help discriminate between refractory and non-refractory IGE. However, whether this represents a mechanistic marker of pharmacoresistance or is an epiphenomenon of a clinical difference between patient groups (e.g., prevalence of GTCS) remains to be elucidated. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of functional nuclei-cortical connectivity differences between patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE. Similar to our structural findings, that these findings were bihemispheric is in keeping with the generalised nature of the disorder.

Both patients with refractory IGE and patients with non-refractory IGE showed decreased functional connectivity between the right CM nucleus and areas of the medial frontal cortex relative to controls. On the basis that the CM-parafascicular complex contributes to neuromodulation within a basal ganglia and motor network (Mclardy, 1948; Sadikot & Rymar, 2009), the CM nucleus is the DBS target for refractory primary generalised seizures (Valentín et al., 2013; Zangiabadi et al., 2019). CM DBS may help discriminate between refractory and non-refractory IGE. However, whether this represents a mechanistic marker of pharmacoresistance or is an epiphenomenon of a clinical difference between patient groups (e.g., prevalence of GTCS) remains to be elucidated. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of functional nuclei-cortical connectivity differences between patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE. Similar to our structural findings, that these findings were bihemispheric is in keeping with the generalised nature of the disorder.

| TABLE 5 | Significant differences in functional thalamocortical connectivity between groups, based on seed-to-voxel analysis |
|---|---|
| Contrast | Seeds | MNI coordinate (mm) | Cluster |
| Control > IGE | L-VLa | 20 70 -4 | 106 4.74 .038 | Right frontal pole |
| | L-LGN | -4 24 44 | 359 6.31 .00013 | Left paracingulate gyrus |
| | R-LGN | -54 -22 24 | 137 5.32 .044 | Left supramarginal gyrus |
| Control < IGE | L-VLa | 44 -12 54 | 357 5.31 .00011 | Right precentral gyrus |
| | | -2 42 -18 | 103 4.17 .038 | Frontal medial cortex |
| Control > REF-IGE | R-VLa | 26 -40 -16 | 230 6.27 .003 | Right fusimotor; right cerebellum |
| | L-LGN | -40 -44 58 | 367 5.7 .0001 | Left superior parietal lobule |
| | R-VLp | 6 14 26 | 215 6.45 .0025 | Paracingulate gyrus |
| | R CM | 38 2 60 | 127 5.05 .025 | Right middle frontal gyrus |
| | | 8 -14 58 | 114 5.03 .039 | Supplementary motor area |
| | | 10 8 44 | 106 5.15 .039 | Anterior cingulate gyrus |
| Control < REF-IGE | L-VLa | 46 -12 54 | 270 5.24 .00068 | Right precenral gyrus |
| Control > nonREF-IGE | R-CM | 4 48 -18 | 408 7.98 .00002 | Right frontal medial cortex |
| Control < nonREF-IGE | L-MD | 46 -56 -14 | 248 6.72 .0024 | Right temporo-occipital region |
| REF-IGE > nonREF-IGE | R-VLa | -12 -70 44 | 108 5.19 .033 | Left lateral occipital gyrus, superior part |
| | L-VLp | 32 32 56 | 349 6.17 .00014 | Right middle frontal gyrus |
| | R-VLp | 18 -68 56 | 271 6.02 .00021 | Right lateral occipital gyrus, superior part |
| | | -12 -70 46 | 159 5.02 .0035 | Left lateral occipital gyrus, superior part |
| | | -20 -54 56 | 116 4.91 .011 | Left superior parietal lobule |
| | R-CM | 12 42 20 | 100 5.85 .026 | Right paracingulate gyrus |
| REF-IGE < nonREF-IGE | R-CM | 58 -22 18 | 128 5.35 .015 | Right parietal operculum cortex |

Note: $k$, number of contiguous voxels; Group statistics were presented with absolute T-score ($|T|$) and cluster-size false discovery rate corrected $p$-value ($p_{FDR}$).
electrophysiological (Fernandez-Baca Vaca & Park, 2020) literature reporting unilateral abnormalities in IGE; whether the same is true for the CM requires further studies to support our findings. Moreover, given that, CM connectivity differences were observed between patient groups, and that this region is an effective DBS target for refractory IGE, we suggest that connectivity profiles of this area may additionally have utility as imaging markers of pharmacoresistance. Whereas CM findings may have been expected, we also unexpectedly observed significantly decreased functional connectivity between the left and right LGN and fronto-parietal cortical regions. The LGN part of the visual pathway (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011; Yuan et al., 2016) and we are not aware of previous work reporting the significance of these nuclei in the generation or modulation of primary generalised seizures. Interconnectivity with the thalamic reticular nucleus may provide insights (Uhlrich, Manning, & Feig, 2003); the thalamic reticular nucleus has been suggested to be involved in generalised SWDs in absence seizures (Huguenard, 2019). A recent study has also proposed that increasing neuronal firing in the thalamic reticular nucleus may enhance the inhibitory activity of the dorsal LGN (Campbell, Govindaiah, Masterson, Bickford, & Guido, 2020).

4.2 Methodological considerations

The patient cohort included different subtypes of IGE. Previous studies have suggested that thalamic alterations may be exhibited differently in IGE with different underlying seizure conditions (Betting et al., 2006). We were unable to analyse individual IGE subtypes in this study given the overall sample size; future work should try to replicate our findings separately in patients with refractory and non-refractory absence epilepsy, juvenile myoclonic epilepsy, and epilepsy with primary generalised tonic–clonic seizures alone. Our primary goal was to prospectively recruit patients according to whether they had refractory or non-refractory IGE; it is challenging to recruit patients with non-refractory IGE in particular given that these patients are frequently not in a tertiary care service. Recruiting patients with refractory IGE is less challenging and all our refractory patients were under care at the Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust at the time of investigation. This accounts for the fewer number of non-refractory patients recruited. Although we recommend that our findings are replicated in larger groups of patients with non-refractory IGE, we remain confident of our findings, as our statistical approaches were robust. Furthermore, the refractory IGE group had a higher number of patients with GTCS compared to patients with non-refractory IGE. Previous work has demonstrated that patients with GTCS are more likely to be medically refractory compared to patients without GTCS (Janmohamed, Brodie, & Kwan, 2020). Therefore, refractoriness may be more closely associated with the seizure disorder and the imaging differences merely an epiphenomenon of the seizure disorder, not necessarily refractoriness. Future work should strive to recruit equal numbers of patients with refractory and non-refractory IGE and a balanced representation of patients with GTCS.

It is plausible to assume that administration of different ASMs have differential effects on thalamocortical functional connectivity in patients. Previous studies suggested the chronic use of topiramate and carbamazepine—less common ASMs in our cohort—may have effects on BOLD signal measures and negatively impact on cognition in patients with focal epilepsy, although valproic acid, lamotrigine or levetiracetam were unlikely to yield significant effects (Haneef, Levin, & Chiang, 2015; van Veenendaal et al., 2015, 2017). Although there is limited evidence indicating that typically prescribed ASMs used for primary generalised epilepsy affect resting-state fMRI networks in patients with IGE, it would be prudent to design a study that systematically examines whether this is the case.

Although the probabilistic method of thalamic nuclei segmentation used in the present study corresponds well to a histological atlas of the thalamus (Iglesias et al., 2018), the approach does not delineate nuclear subfields and is inherently constrained by the limited spatial resolution and contrast of T1w MRI. Optimising sequences to improve tissue contrast (Iglesias et al., 2018; Lee, Seo, & Park, 2020; Su et al., 2019) and high-field delineation of thalamic regions (Kanowski et al., 2014; Liu, D’Haese, Newton, & Dawant, 2020; Plantinga et al., 2014) may increase the reliability of segmentations. The accuracy of nuclei segmentations and limited spatial resolution may also impact rs-fMRI connectivity; the time series from adjacent nuclear regions may impinge on some of the observed thalamocortical functional correlations. Furthermore, we have suggested that regional thalamic structural and functional alterations shown in our study may be relevant for cognitive impairments previously observed in patients with IGE. However, we urge caution with this interpretation given that we did not collect cognitive data on our participants and therefore we were unable to investigate this potential correlation directly. Finally, it is important to be mindful that interictal epileptiform discharges may impact on regional glucose metabolism, rs-fMRI data and resultant functional networks in patients with IGE (Aghakhani et al., 2015; Cheng, Yan, Xu, Zhou, & Chen, 2020; Dahal et al., 2019; Gotman et al., 2005; Kim, Im, Kim, Lee, & Kang, 2005; Lv, Wang, Cui, Ma, & Meng, 2013). We did not perform simultaneous EEG-fMRI to control for interictal epileptiform discharges in this study and this will be an important for future resting-state functional network studies in IGE. Moreover, our study would also have been strengthened by the inclusion of ambulatory EEG or video EEG data. Ambulatory EEG data is not routinely collected in patients with IGE in the United Kingdom. Finally, given that, the LGN is one of the smallest nuclei, our method of probabilistic segmentation may have overestimated the LGN region and functional correlations may have been confounded by adjacent nuclei. Further work is needed to determine whether functional connectivity alterations of the LGN are due to the disorder or methodological confounds.

5 Conclusion

Atrophy of the anterior thalamic nuclei and resting-state functional hyperconnectivity between ventral lateral nuclei and cerebral cortex
may be imaging markers that help discriminate between refractory and non-refractory patients with IGE. These structural and functional abnormalities fit well with the known importance of thalamocortical systems in the generation and maintenance of primary generalised seizures, and the increasing recognition of the importance of limbic pathways in IGE.
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