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Abstract. High-frequency atmospheric measurements of methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) from Darjeeling, India are presented from December 2011 (CH₄)/March 2012 (N₂O and SF₆) through February 2013. These measurements were made on a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector and electron capture detector, and were calibrated on the Tohoku University, the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO)-98 and SIO-2005 scales for CH₄, N₂O and SF₆, respectively. The observations show large variability and frequent pollution events in CH₄ and N₂O mole fractions, suggesting significant sources in the regions sampled by Darjeeling throughout the year. By contrast, SF₆ mole fractions show little variability and only occasional pollution episodes, likely due to weak sources in the region. Simulations using the Numerical Atmospheric dispersion Modelling Environment (NAME) particle dispersion model suggest that many of the enhancements in the three gases result from the transport of pollutants from the densely populated Indo-Gangetic Plains of India to Darjeeling. The meteorology of the region varies considerably throughout the year from Himalayan flows in the winter to the strong south Asian summer monsoon. The model is consistent in simulating a diurnal cycle in CH₄ and N₂O mole fractions that is present during the winter but absent in the summer and suggests that the signals measured at Darjeeling are dominated by large-scale (∼ 100 km) flows rather than local (< 10 km) flows.

1 Introduction

Methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) are potent greenhouse gases that play a significant role in the climate system. Atmospheric CH₄ is the second largest contributor to anthropogenic radiative forcing after carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 0.48 W m⁻² (Forster et al., 2007). The major sink for CH₄ is reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH), resulting in an atmospheric budget lifetime of 7.8 yr (Prather and Hsu, 2010). Globally, a large amount CH₄ emissions are naturally occurring, and primarily originate from wetlands; but anthropogenic sources, which include rice paddies, ruminants, biomass burning, and fossil fuel emissions make up ∼ 60% of the CH₄ budget (Chen and Prinn, 2006; Denman et al., 2007). In India, over 75% of CH₄ emissions are from the agricultural sector, and are created by enteric fermentation and microbial processes in rice paddies (Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment, 2007). The peak in CH₄ emissions occurs during the wet season (June to September), when the majority of rice in south Asia is grown (Pathak et al., 2005).

Nitrous oxide is a powerful greenhouse gas and ozone-depleting substance. Based on current mole fractions, N₂O has the third-largest anthropogenic radiative forcing (Hoffmann et al., 2006; Montzka et al., 2011). The main sink for N₂O occurs in the stratosphere where photolysis and reaction with O(¹D) result in an atmospheric budget lifetime
of 118 yr (Prather and Hsu, 2010). Nitrous oxide also plays a significant role in the chemistry of the ozone layer and is the primary source of stratospheric NO. Though its ozone-depletion potential (ODP) is low (0.017), its large atmospheric burden and long lifetime make N2O the largest ODP-weighted emitter (Ravishankara et al., 2009). The principal global sources of N2O occur naturally from microbial processes in soils and in the ocean, and these sources account for approximately 60% of the budget. The remainder of emissions in soils and in the ocean, and these sources account for approximately 60% of the budget. The remainder of emissions is anthropogenically emitted through the usage of fertilizers in agricultural soils, from biomass burning, sewage, vehicle emissions, and other, smaller sources (Denman et al., 2007). The dominant N2O source in India is fertilized soils used in rice and wheat agriculture; this comprises over 60% of national emissions (Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment, 2007).

With a 100 yr global warming potential of 22 800, sulfur hexafluoride is the most potent greenhouse gas regulated under the Kyoto Protocol (Forster et al., 2007; Rinsland et al., 1990). Its lifetime of 3200 yr owes to the fact that destruction of SF6 only occurs in the mesosphere, making it essentially inert on human timescales (Ravishankara et al., 1993). Measurements of SF6 from firm air have shown only a small pre-industrial concentration of 6 × 10−3 pmol mol−1, suggesting that SF6 is almost entirely anthropogenically emitted (Vollmer and Weiss, 2002). Emissions globally and in India are largely from the electrical industry, and occur through leakage from high-voltage switchgear and during the maintenance and refill process (Niemyer and Chu, 1992).

Global monitoring of atmospheric mole fractions has existed for several decades for CH4 and N2O, and has been implemented in the past decade for SF6 (Prinn et al., 2000; Dlugokencky et al., 2005; Hall et al., 2007). Global emissions of the three gases have been deduced in previous studies using data from global measurement networks. Methane emissions have been estimated to be between 500–600 Tg CH4 yr−1 from 1996–2004 (Chen and Prinn, 2006; Bergamaschi et al., 2009). A recent increase in the growth rate of CH4 was detected in 2007 following a decade of stability; the cause of this increase remains unresolved but is speculated to be changes in tropical emissions (Rigby et al., 2008; Dlugokencky et al., 2009; Bouquet et al., 2011). Global N2O emissions were estimated to be between 17.2–20.1 Tg N yr−1 from 2005–2009 and global SF6 emissions were found to be 7.2–7.4 Gg yr−1 in 2008 (Saikawa et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2013; Park et al., 2012; Rigby et al., 2010; Levin et al., 2010).

Quantification of regional emissions using atmospheric measurements is less constrained than the global burden primarily because it requires measurements that sample air masses containing regional pollution rather than measurements that sample the “background”. Previous measurements in south Asia include low-frequency flask measurements and satellite observations. Between 1993–2002 and from 2009–present, flasks have been collected biweekly at a station in Cabo de Rama (Cape Rama), India and measured for CH4 and N2O mole fraction at the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) (Bhattacharya et al., 2009). Previous and ongoing satellite measurements include measurements by SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY), Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), and Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) (Bergamaschi et al., 2009; Xiong et al., 2009; Parker et al., 2011). In Xiong et al. (2009), satellite retrievals of CH4 showed a plume-like enhancement of CH4 over south Asia during the monsoon, suggesting enhanced emissions and deep convection during this period. Samples collected by Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container (CARIBIC) flights have also shown a similar monsoon plume (Schuck et al., 2010).

Of the many global emission estimates of these gases, only a few studies have utilized atmospheric measurements from south Asia. In Bergamaschi et al. (2009), CH4 emissions over Asia (including India, China, and southeast Asia) were estimated using SCIAMACHY retrievals with a CO2 proxy and NOAA surface data at 128.4 Tg yr−1. The study showed the large spatial and temporal variability in CH4 source strength within India. However, satellite retrievals over India are often compromised in areas with frequent cloud cover and high aerosol optical depth (Parker et al., 2011). Retrievals based on CO2 proxies may have additional uncertainties in India’s case, due to the sparsity of available CO2 measurements in the region. The importance of including Cape Rama measurements in regional emission estimates has been demonstrated in Huang et al. (2008). An inversion for N2O emissions performed with Cape Rama data showed significant error reduction compared to one in which Indian measurements were not included; the results also showed significant error reduction compared to when Indian measurements were not included; they also showed that large spatial covariances exist between emissions derived for south Asia and other regions without the use of these measurements. This study found the N2O emissions of south Asia (region encompassing India, the Middle East, and portions of China) to be 0.95 Tg N yr−1 from 2002–2005. In another study, aggregated south Asian and southeast Asian N2O emissions were deduced using global network and Cape Rama data to be 3.63 Tg N yr−1 in 2008 (Saikawa et al., 2013). Total 2008 SF6 emissions for all non-UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) Asian countries have been estimated to be 4.1 Gg yr−1 (Rigby et al., 2010).
provide important constraints for top-down emissions estimation.

2 Experimental methods

A fully automated, custom-built sampling system was developed and equipped with a gas chromatograph (GC, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, model 6890N) with a flame ionization detector (FID) and micro electron capture detector (µECD, henceforth referred to as ECD) to measure CH$_4$ (FID), N$_2$O, and SF$_6$ (ECD). The instrument was based on similar designs by Prinn et al. (2000), Hall et al. (2007, 2011), and Dlugokencky et al. (2005). Full details of the instrument design are provided in the supplementary material. Analysis time for each sample has varied between ten and twelve minutes in this study.

Though measurements with the FID and ECD are performed simultaneously, each channel is discussed separately. The carrier gas for the FID is nitrogen at a purity of 99.999 %. Hydrogen fuel gas at 99.999 % purity is supplied to the FID at 60 mL min$^{-1}$ along with zero air from a pure air generator equipped with a CH$_4$ reactor (Aadco Instruments Inc, Cleves, OH, model 737-1A) at 275 mL min$^{-1}$. After the FID sample loop has equilibrated to ambient pressure, the sample is injected through a 10-port 2-position backflush valve (BFV) onto a HayeSep Q 100/120 pre-column (3 ft (0.91 m), 1/8 in (0.318 cm) OD), and main-column (6 ft (1.83 m), 1/8 in (0.318 cm) OD). After CH$_4$ has been eluted off the pre-column, the BFV is switched to the backflush position, at which time the pre-column is backflushed and CH$_4$ continues through the main-column to the FID. The column and backflush flow rates are 40 mL min$^{-1}$ and 20 mL min$^{-1}$, respectively. The column temperature is held isothermally at 85 °C and the detector is maintained at 190 °C.

For the ECD channel, carrier gas is a 10 % CH$_4$ in argon mixture (P-10) at a purity of 99.995 % (relative to the mixture). After equilibration to ambient pressure, the sample is injected through a 10-port 2-position BFV onto a Porapak Q 80/100 pre-column (1 ft (0.3 m), 3/16 in (0.476 cm) OD) and main-column (2 ft (0.61 m), 3/16 in (0.476 cm) OD), where N$_2$O and SF$_6$ are separated from air. After oxygen is eluted from these columns, it is “heart-cut” out to the vent, upon which the BFV is switched so that the pre-column is backflushed while N$_2$O and SF$_6$ continue through the main-column onto a third Molecular Sieve 5Å 40/60 post-column (3 ft (0.91 m), 1/8 in (0.318 cm) OD). On the post-column, the order of elution of N$_2$O and SF$_6$ is reversed so that SF$_6$ is detected before the much larger N$_2$O peak. This results in an increase in SF$_6$ peak height and improved precision. Nitrous oxide is co-eluted with CO$_2$ on this post-column, but care is taken to ensure that the N$_2$O response is not affected by variations in ambient CO$_2$. This third post-column is contained in a custom-built oven that is controlled and modulated by one of the heated zones on the GC. The pre- and main-columns are maintained at 85 °C for consistency with the FID system, the post-column is held at 180 °C and the ECD detector temperature is maintained at 340 °C. Column flow is 35 mL min$^{-1}$ during the heart-cut, increases to 40 mL min$^{-1}$ through the post-column, and is 30 mL min$^{-1}$ during backflush. P-10 exhaust is vented outside the lab to prevent any accidental contamination of the FID system with CH$_4$.

Measurements were calibrated using a dry, compressed air standard in an aluminum cylinder (Scott Marrin, Riverside, CA) at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) in July 2011. The cylinder was first passivated with air for approximately a week and then evacuated and re-filled. The standard was calibrated to values of 1835.01 ± 1.16 nmol mol$^{-1}$, 324.60 ± 0.09 nmol mol$^{-1}$, and 7.55 ± 0.04 pmol mol$^{-1}$ for CH$_4$, N$_2$O and SF$_6$, respectively, using the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) multi-detector system (for CH$_4$ and N$_2$O) and the AGAGE “Medusa” GC-MS system (for SF$_6$). CH$_4$, N$_2$O and SF$_6$ were calibrated on the Tohoku University, SIO-98 and SIO-2005 scales, respectively (Prinn et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2008). Standard and air samples were measured alternately.

Due to the high fill pressure and large volume of the cylinder, enough standard gas is available in the cylinder to calibrate the instrument for three years. Studies using dry standards in aluminum cylinders have been used widely and have shown no significant drift for each of the three gases over the time frame of this study (Dlugokencky et al., 2005; Hall et al., 2007, 2011). To determine any possible drift in the standard, the ratios of the standard and two other cylinders that were calibrated at SIO have been monitored. No drift within the repeatability of the instrument has been observed for the three gases, and the measured values match the SIO-calibrated values in the cylinders, likely indicating that the two measurement systems are also measuring the same values.

On this system, median 1σ repeatability achieved for the three gases over the period of study was 1.2 nmol mol$^{-1}$ (CH$_4$), 0.17 nmol mol$^{-1}$ (N$_2$O) and 0.03 pmol mol$^{-1}$ (SF$_6$), which lie within the ranges typically achieved for these gases at AGAGE stations (Prinn et al., 2000; Cunnold et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2008; Rigby et al., 2010).

At the station, meteorological measurements of air temperature, barometric pressure, wind speed and direction, solar radiation, and precipitation were collected using sensors that were installed prior to this study in 2010. The components of the meteorological station are found in the supplementary material. The measurements used in this study are one minute averages of 10 Hz data.

3 Field site

The field site selected for this study is a high-altitude hill station in the eastern Himalayas (27°02’ N, 88°15’ E,
2194 m.a.s.l., Chatterjee et al., 2010) in Darjeeling, India. Instrumentation is housed at the National Facility of Astroparticle Physics and Space Science of the Bose Institute. Darjeeling has a population of approximately 100,000 and has developed on the northwest-facing slope of a northeast–southwest oriented ridge (Fig. 1). The main town center in Darjeeling is located at approximately 2000 m.a.s.l., 200 m below the station and 250 m below the ridgetop. It is located ∼50 km north of the densely populated Indo-Gangetic Plains. The measurement station is located in a relatively unpopulated area of Darjeeling. Only one road leads to the Bose Institute, shortly after which it ends; consequentially, there is very little traffic on this road.

The mountain slope below Darjeeling contains several tea plantations, where synthetic nitrogen fertilizers are used seasonally; these fertilizers could be a local source of N₂O. Wood biomass burning is prevalent throughout the mountain region and occurs mainly during the winter season; this may contribute local sources of CH₄ and N₂O. Additionally, there may be small natural gas sources from fuel, another potential local influence on CH₄. Vehicular emissions also exist, mainly from tourist vehicles which operate predominantly on diesel fuel, though their contribution to CH₄ and N₂O is thought to be low. The nearest high-voltage power substation is located in Ghoom, approximately 10 km away from Darjeeling, but it could be a potential source of SF₆.

The inlet line to the instrument was installed approximately halfway up a ten meter tower that was built on the roof of a four-story building. Meteorological sensors were mounted above the instrument inlet at the top of the tower. Details of the inlet setup are provided in the supplementary material.

4 Transport model

A transport model was used to diagnose important signals in the trace gas data and to characterize the meteorology of the site. The UK Met Office’s Numerical Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling Environment (NAME) III is a Lagrangian particle dispersion model used to simulate atmospheric transport (Ryall and Maryon, 1998; Jones et al., 2007) and has been used extensively for similar applications and at various sites (Manning et al., 2011, 2003; O’Doherty et al., 2004; Reimann et al., 2005). NAME calculates transport by following a large number of particles “backwards” in time from their release at the measurement site. The particles are advected by three-dimensional meteorological fields supplied by a Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) model. Additional turbulent and low-frequency meandering motions are simulated by a random-walk formulation (Morrison and Webster, 2005). Chemistry, wet and dry deposition, and radioactive decay can be included, but, owing to the long lifetimes of the gases being studied here and the length of the back trajectories, particles were assumed to be inert. The computational domain used for the model runs over Darjeeling was from 5–50° N, and 50–120° E, covering India, China, southeast Asia, and part of the Middle East, and covering up to 19 km vertically. Particles were released from the Darjeeling station (27°02’ N, 88°15’ E) at a release height between 450–550 m.a.g.l. and were released randomly throughout this 100 m column. The particle release height was chosen as a compromise between the true station height (2194 m.a.s.l.) and the height of the station in the model (1340 m.a.s.l.). A release height between the true and model station heights is typically chosen at mountain sites (Brunner et al., 2012; Tuzson et al., 2011). Particles were released continuously at a rate of 20,000 particles h⁻¹ at a mass of 1 g s⁻¹ for each three hour period and tracked backwards for 30 days.

NWP meteorological fields from the Met Office’s Unified Model (UM) were used to drive NAME. Model simulations utilized the UM South Asian Model (SAM), which is available from 2010 onwards for the south Asian domain at 0.11° horizontal resolution, for 70 vertical levels, and at 3 h temporal resolution. Seven days after release, at which time particles are assumed to have left the Himalayas, or if and when particles have left the SAM domain prior to this time, the UM’s global meteorology at 0.352° × 0.234° (long. × lat.) resolution was used. The model time step in all simulations was 5 min.

NAME outputs “air histories” or the influence of surface emissions on the measured concentrations at the station and directly provides the sensitivity of a measurement in Darjeeling to emissions from the domain. Air histories were created at 0.352° × 0.234° resolution for a 0–100 m.a.g.l. vertical level every three hours.

![Fig. 1. Location of field site in Darjeeling, India (27°02’N, 88°15’E, 2194 m.a.g.l, yellow dot) in the Himalayan foothills of Northeast India. The white border shows the approximate location of the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Images are from Google Earth.](image-url)
5 Results

Measurements of CH₄, N₂O and SF₆ mole fractions from Darjeeling, India are presented for the period from December 2011 for CH₄ and March 2012 for N₂O and SF₆ through February 2013. Meteorological measurements and air histories from the period are used to explain the signals observed in the mole fraction measurements.

5.1 Air histories and meteorology

Monthly median air history maps are shown for each season and indicate the regions sampled by Darjeeling (Fig. 2). January air histories show surface influence from both east and west of the station, indicating that air from both sides of the site is sampled after particles are released from Darjeeling. This likely results from diurnal changes in wind direction caused by thermally-driven slope winds in the mountains. During the day, air flows from the plains to the mountains and is locally upslope, while at night, air usually descends from the mountains down to the plains and is locally downslope. During the winter, the greatest sensitivity to the surface is localized to the Himalayan region. In April, the east and west “lobes” caused by diurnal winds are still seen but southerly winds are additionally present. In these 30-day integrated maps, this suggests that a fraction of particles move with the diurnal winds and a fraction are carried by the largescale flow. In the summer period, only the dominant summer monsoon flow is observed. During the monsoon, the large temperature contrast between the Asian landmass and Indian Ocean results in a surface low pressure system over India (Hoskins and Rodwell, 1995). A strong southwesterly flow (known as the southwest monsoon) brings moisture-laden air from the ocean to the continent and results in intense precipitation over south Asia. This summer monsoon is also characterized by strong convection over the heating landmass that can transport pollutants to the upper troposphere (Schuck et al., 2010; Xiong et al., 2009). In October, following the summer monsoon, the “lobes” return and air histories are similar to those seen in January. The seasonal differences in the air histories show the different flows captured between winter and summer and suggests that Darjeeling samples air masses from several regions of south Asia throughout the year.

To assess the ability of the UM to reproduce flows at the site as well as to understand the origin of air masses sampled at the site, observed and modeled wind speeds and wind directions are compared using wind roses (Fig. 3). UM modeled winds are shown at 500 m.a.g.l., which is the mid-point particle release height used in the model.

Horizontal wind speeds at Darjeeling reach a maximum in April (not shown) during the pre-monsoon period, and a minimum in July when vertical motion is strong in the Himalayas. Wind speeds are almost always larger in the 500 m.a.g.l. model winds than in the 15 m.a.g.l. observed winds because surface friction is less significant at this height in the model.

In January, 500 m.a.g.l. modeled and observed winds at 15 m.a.g.l. show good agreement in wind direction. Air flow is southerly during the day and northeasterly at night, which is consistent with the direction of plains-to-mountain winds. Upslope and downslope winds local to the Darjeeling ridge (which is oriented towards the northeast) would result in observed and modeled diurnal winds that are oriented to the southeast and northwest, respectively, if the flow being captured was a local process. This diurnal shift in wind direction, which is well-captured by the model, is responsible for the “lobes” seen in the winter air history maps (Fig. 2). In July, the diurnal cycle in wind direction is not significant, and this lack of diurnal cycle is reproduced in the model as well. Both the model and observations show a consistent southeasterly wind direction at this time, resulting from air masses that originate in the southwest but first pass over the Bay of Bengal before reaching Darjeeling from the southeast. The consistency of the model with observations suggests that the air sampled at Darjeeling is representative of the “large-scale” flows of the region rather than the local mesoscale flows.

This comparison has been performed for a variety of model heights. Only small differences exist in the meteorology at heights between 400–600 m.a.g.l., but surface meteorology shows a better fit to wind speed and a worse fit for wind direction. This tradeoff between wind speed and wind
direction at the release height could contribute to errors in the derived air histories.

Sensible heat flux is a measure of the heat transferred between the surface and atmosphere, and is a major driver in the formation of slope winds. Sensible heat flux from the UM was averaged into diurnal values for January 2012 and July 2012, which were used to diagnose seasonal variability in the diurnal cycle (Supplement). While sensible heat flux in both months reaches a maximum at \( \approx 12:00 \), it was found that the wintertime diurnal sensible heat flux was considerably larger than that of the summer. This could occur for several reasons: (1) winter days in Darjeeling are very clear and are generally cloud-free, resulting in more solar radiation reaching the surface; (2) the winter is dry while the summer is humid, and humidity results in solar radiation being absorbed by the surface to be converted to latent heat flux rather than to sensible heat flux; (3) there is a dominant synoptic flow in the summer, which may be stronger than the diurnal flows.

5.2 Trace gas measurements

Darjeeling intercepts air with surrounding “regional” pollution, air that characterizes the “background” and occasionally air with “local” influence. These three types of signals are defined broadly as the signal characterizing emissions from south Asia, the signal pertaining to well-mixed air masses and the signal characterizing local Darjeeling emissions. The measurement time series from December 2011 through February 2013 for the three gases at Darjeeling are compared with “pollution-removed” monthly mean mole fractions from several AGAGE stations (Fig. 4).

Sulfur hexafluoride is discussed first, as its mole fractions at Darjeeling generally vary slowly with time and pollution episodes are infrequent. Though Darjeeling lies approximately halfway between Ireland and Barbados latitudinally, its signal is most similar in magnitude to that of Ragged Point, Barbados. This could result from the Himalayas serving as a barrier to the transport of high-latitude air to Darjeeling as well as from the fact that Darjeeling is at a higher altitude than Mace Head, Ireland. The magnitude of pollution events measured at Darjeeling is smaller than those seen at stations that measure high levels of pollution such as Gosan, South Korea, suggesting that sources of SF\(_6\) near Darjeeling are weaker or farther away than those sampled at Gosan (Rigby et al., 2010). It is assumed that the SF\(_6\) record is indicative of Darjeeling’s place within the global latitudinal gradient if few regional sources are present. By contrast, CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O mole fractions are significantly elevated over the assumed background level, and are elevated even further over Mace Head levels. This suggests that there are strong regional sources present that almost always enhance CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O over the background, though at occasional times during the winter, their mole fractions exhibit excursions down to the levels of Ragged Point, Barbados. These low excursions could imply either that background air is sampled occasionally or that cleaner mid- to upper tropospheric air is being sampled during times of subsidence.

The monthly mean CH\(_4\) mole fraction and 1\(\sigma\) variability in January 2012 and July 2012 are 1929.2 (55.7), and 1923.6 (64.8) nmol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, values which are similar for both seasons. While it is expected that CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O emissions peak in summer when wet season production of the two gases is greatest, the influence of the background mole fractions serves to compensate for the effect of increased emissions. In winter, air histories show transport of northern hemispheric (NH) air into India. In summer, the southwest monsoon results in the transport of southern hemispheric (SH) air into India with lower background content of CH\(_4\), N\(_2\)O, and SF\(_6\). To investigate the role of the monsoon, a linear fit was applied to the SF\(_6\) data (excluding monsoon months of June to September) and interpolated to mid-July. This represents the value, to first-order, that would be expected given only NH air. The observed July data was averaged into weekly values and compared to the NH-air value.
A difference of 0.13 pmol mol$^{-1}$ was found at the peak of the monsoon. Previous studies have shown a seasonal SF$_6$ amplitude of 0.17 pmol mol$^{-1}$ at the Seychelles (Gloor et al., 2007). It was expected that the difference at Darjeeling, which is a continental site, would be smaller than that observed at the Seychelles because SH air entering India first passes over eastern Africa and the Indian subcontinent before reaching Darjeeling.

A fast Fourier transform (FFT) was applied to each month of CH$_4$ data (for months when continuous data was available for the majority of the month) to diagnose the dominant timescales of variability in each month (Fig. 5). A mean and linear trend were first removed and data were padded with zeros to reach an integral power of 2 data points following Thoning et al. (1989). Because of data gaps in the full data set, the FFT was not applied to the entire time series. Excluding trends in the monthly data, the dominant timescale of variability in the January measurements is diurnal, while in July, the diurnal signal is small. In July, the dominant variability is from synoptic-scale events on timescales of the order of a week. High-frequency (i.e., shorter than 1 d) variability is greater in July than in January, suggesting variability in the air masses sampled during the highly convective monsoon period, and variability in source strength as emissions from rice paddies could be episodic or due to greater local influence from nearby Darjeeling sources. A similar spectrum is seen in N$_2$O measurements, while SF$_6$ shows greater high-frequency variability in all seasons owing to its lower measurement repeatability and lack of a diurnal cycle in any season (supplementary material).

### 5.2.1 Pollution events

Methane and N$_2$O generally exhibit concurrent enhancements in their mole fractions. As the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O measurement systems only share common sampling, it is unlikely that measurement artifacts would lead to these enhancements,
suggesting that emission sources for the two gases in the regions sampled by Darjeeling are generally co-located. Though enhancements are concurrent, over the course of the study the ratio of the two gases does not remain constant. Correlation coefficients, \( r \), between \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) and between \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{SF}_6 \) were computed for winter and summer periods and are provided in the supplementary material.

Methane and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) show greater correlation during the winter than during the summer; the correlation coefficient is 0.90 for the period from December 2012 through February 2013 and 0.63 from June through August 2012 (both significant on a 95% confidence level according to a \( t \) test). Because the timing of events are concurrent (in the winter, the enhancements are largely diurnal), this suggests that the temporal distribution of emissions of the two gases are similar during the winter. In the summer, the simultaneous enhancements in mole fractions but lower correlation coefficient suggests a different temporal distribution of emissions during the period. Emissions of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) from rice paddies and fertilized soils, respectively, have been found not to occur simultaneously during the summer monsoon period, as fertilizer application occurs at specific times over the growing season (Pathak et al., 2005; Schuck et al., 2010). The lower correlation could also result from a different distribution of local emissions of the two gases, which is more prominent in the summer when the high-frequency component of variability is more significant.

Enhancements between \( \text{CH}_4 \) (or \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) and \( \text{SF}_6 \) are not always simultaneous, which reflects a different source distribution for the two gases, assuming that \( \text{SF}_6 \) emissions are constant. The correlation coefficient between \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{SF}_6 \) is 0.22 for the period from December 2012 through February 2013, and 0.59 for June through August 2012 (both significant). The low correlation in winter results from the presence of a prominent \( \text{CH}_4 \) diurnal cycle but lack of a \( \text{SF}_6 \) diurnal cycle. In summer, neither gas has a significant diurnal cycle and a larger correlation is derived.

The role of transport in producing enhancements in mole fractions will now be discussed for each season (excluding spring when only one month of data was available). Methane measurements were first averaged into six hourly values in order to smooth the data and minimize the influence of short duration pollution on this analysis. Average air histories corresponding to the times that measurements are 1\( \sigma \) above the mean of the period and for times that they are 1\( \sigma \) below the mean were derived. The difference between the two was computed to show the changes in air histories that are likely to be responsible for the observed signals (Figs. 6–8, unsmoothed data shown). At times when \( \text{CH}_4 \) mole fractions are enhanced during the winter, Darjeeling samples a larger extent of the Himalayan region and there is greater sensitivity to the surface. In summer, the air histories corresponding to enhanced measurements show greater sensitivity to northern India while those corresponding to measurements that are low show greater sensitivity in southern India. The majority of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions occur in the highly populated Indo-Gangetic plains of northern India (Fig. 1), (Patra et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2008, and references therein). In autumn, as the meteorology transitions from the summer monsoon flow to the winter Himalayan flow, differences in air histories between enhanced and low mole fractions are attributable to both types of flows. As enhancements between \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) are typically concurrent, similar results are derived for \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \).

An analysis of transport in producing \( \text{SF}_6 \) enhancements shows that the two events from August and September occur when air masses sampled at Darjeeling come from eastern India and southeast Asia (shown in supplementary material), suggesting the possibility of new \( \text{SF}_6 \) sources in that region that are not included in the Emissions Database for Global...
Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) version 4.2 (JRC/PBL, 2011). Several SF$_6$ enhancements are observed in the winter. The air histories associated with these winter enhancements show small differences in the areas of the Himalayas that are sampled relative to measurements that are not enhanced but do not show a consistent pattern. As the number of SF$_6$ pollution events measured during the year are small relative to the number of pollution events in CH$_4$ and N$_2$O, these results could be biased towards the few SF$_6$ pollution events.

### 5.2.2 Diurnal cycle

The diurnal cycle is a prominent feature of the measured signal during the winter. The median CH$_4$ diurnal cycles (N$_2$O and SF$_6$ in the supplementary material) of the different seasons are compared with model-generated diurnal cycles for the same periods (Fig. 9). Simulated CH$_4$ mole fractions were generated using constant monthly emissions fields (Patra et al., 2011, scenario CH$_4$ _CTL_E4 and references therein). Mole fractions were first detrended by subtracting the median value of each running 24 h period from either the measured or the simulated time series. The median was chosen to minimize the effect of outliers. Variability in both the observed and simulated diurnal cycles are shown as the 16th and 84th percentile values of the month. The size and variability of the simulated diurnal cycles are dependent on the magnitude of emissions and particle release height in the model.

A diurnal cycle in CH$_4$ and N$_2$O mole fractions is observed during the winter but is not significant during the summer. During the winter, mole fractions maximize in the afternoon and minimize at night, which is consistent with diurnal profiles of wind direction (upslope from plains during the day) and wind speed (reaches a maximum at $\sim 15:00$) in the mountain system. The model-reproduced CH$_4$ diurnal cycle matches the wintertime phase, with a maximum in both the observed and modeled mole fractions between 15:00–17:00. The agreement of the phase of the diurnal cycle between model and measurements suggests that the underlying mechanism stems from a large-scale flow. Progressive removal of emissions from a growing radius around the site show that the diurnal cycle is preserved in the simulation even when emissions are removed up to 100 km away and confirms that the flow being modeled is a large-scale plains-to-mountain flow.

Two peaks are observed throughout the year in the diurnal cycles. One peak occurs between 7:00–9:00 and the second peak occurs between 15:00–17:00. This timing of the morning peak also shifts throughout the year, which is consistent with changes in sunrise time throughout the year. The prominence of the morning peak changes from winter to summer. While the magnitude of the median morning peak signal remains similar, variability in the diurnal cycle grows from winter to summer. This peak may be a result of the ventilation of pollutants from a stable nighttime inversion layer up to the site. The morning peak is a feature that is not captured in this setup of NAME, suggesting that boundary layer processes occurring locally in relation to the Darjeeling slope are not captured at this resolution. The height of release of the model particles may also contribute to this mismatch, as the peak may be a near-surface phenomena. This type of double peak is often seen in “urban pollutants” such as carbon monoxide, where morning and evening rush hours lead to elevated mole fractions (Panday and Prinn, 2009). However, vehicular emissions are not large sources of CH$_4$, and it is thought that this feature is caused mainly by radiative effects rather than by a variable emissions signal.

Nitrous oxide and SF$_6$ diurnal cycles are shown in the supplementary material. Small differences are seen between CH$_4$ and N$_2$O diurnal cycles’ monthly variability, but the general features are consistent, further supporting the argument for the importance of radiative effects. An SF$_6$ diurnal

---

**Fig. 8.** Same as Fig. 6 but for September 2012 through November 2012.

**Fig. 9.** Median observed CH$_4$ diurnal cycles (blue circles) and NAME-generated diurnal cycles (black squares) from (a) December 2011–February 2012 (winter) and (b) June–August 2012 (summer). Solid blue lines and grey shading indicate the 16th and 84th percentile of the observed and modeled values, respectively, from the period.
cycle is not observed in any season, suggesting that sources near the Himalayas are too weak to create a diurnal signal that can be seen within the measurement repeatability.

6 Summary and conclusions

The first high-frequency measurements of CH$_4$, N$_2$O and SF$_6$ from India have been presented in this paper for the period from December 2011 through February 2013; this represents a significant contribution to data for the region. Data from this study can be acquired for research purposes by contacting the lead author of this study.

Several key signals are present in the data. While SF$_6$ mole fractions generally exhibit a slowly varying background signal, suggesting weak sources in the area, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O are often polluted and have enhanced mole fractions over the assumed background level. Due to the largely polluted air masses sampled for CH$_4$ and N$_2$O throughout the year and the high-frequency sampling of the instrumentation, these data can be used to constrain national-scale emissions from south Asia.

The ability of the transport model to simulate transport at the site shows that the measurements at Darjeeling are representative of the large-scale transport of pollutants. While the January and July monthly mean mole fractions of CH$_4$ are similar, the air histories of measurements during the two seasons are considerably different. In winter, air histories reflect a sensitivity to emissions from the Himalayan region, and a significant diurnal cycle in CH$_4$ and N$_2$O mole fractions is both observed and simulated. In contrast with that of winter, the summer monsoon flow is predominantly southwestward, resulting not only in high surface sensitivity in India and Bangladesh, but also in the transport of southern hemispheric background air.

Many of the enhancements seen in the three gases have been diagnosed as the large-scale transport of pollutants from source regions to Darjeeling. During the summer, many pollution events for the three gases can be explained by transport from the Indo-Gangetic plains of northern India and some SF$_6$ events are shown to result from transport from southeast Asia. Methane and N$_2$O enhancements observed during the winter occur when surface sensitivity is higher over a larger area of the Himalayas. Sulfur hexafluoride wintertime pollution events are measured but their air histories show a less consistent pattern than those of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O, likely due to the few SF$_6$ sources within the Himalayas.
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