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Supplementary Text 1: Parameterisation of models of the apoptosis execution phase
Kinetic values are based on the previously published model of allosteric and homodimerisation
mediated cleavage at the apoptosome (ApoptoAll). All kinetic values in the ApoptoAll model are
based on experimental data. Kinetic values for apoptosome formation were determined by a
kinetic screening for $K_D$, $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ values that allowed for 65% apoptosome formation within 5
Kinetic rates for the binding of PC9 and C9 to the apoptosome were determined by a kinetic screening of $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ value pairs to a experimentally determined $K_D$ value (2,3). All models require initial concentrations of PC3, PC9, Apaf-1, XIAP, mitochondrial Smac, mitochondrial cyt c and ATP (Table 1). Figure 1A, C & D and Figure 2D, E & H were based on HeLa cell quantification of these proteins, as reported previously (3,4). In parameter screens, cytochrome C (5) and ATP (6) levels were taken from HeLa cells as generally they are not thought to be rate limiting.

### Table 1: List of starting concentrations in HeLa cells

| Name     | Description              | HeLa |
|----------|--------------------------|------|
| PC3      | Procaspase-3             | 0.12 |
| PC9      | Procaspase-9             | 0.03 |
| Apaf-1   | Apaf-1                   | 0.372|
| XIAP     | XIAP                     | 0.063|
| Smac_mito| Mitochondrial Smac       | 0.126|
| CytC_mito| Mitochondrial Cytochrome C| 10   |
| ATP      | ATP                      | 920  |

Species that are not present at the start of the simulation, but are formed during apoptosis execution, are displayed in Table 2. The key reactions and kinetic parameters of the models are further summarised in Table 3 and Table 4. Most species are additionally modelled to undergo degradation with a specific degradation rate (Table 5). Additionally, Apaf-1, PC9, PC3 and XIAP are also formed de novo in the models. The formation rate is calculated by multiplying the kinetic rate of the degradation reaction of each protein with its initial concentration.
Table 2: List of molecules not present at the start of the simulation (initial concentration = 0 µM).

| Protein         | Description                                      |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| C3              | Caspase-3                                        |
| C9              | Caspase-9                                        |
| CytC            | Cytosolic Cytochrome C                           |
| SMAC            | Cytosolic SMAC                                   |
| [APAF1~CytC]    | Monomeric Apaf-1 bound to CytC                  |
| [APAF1~ATP]     | Monomeric Apaf-1 bound to ATP                   |
| [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_n | Apaf-1 oligomer, where n can be any number between 1 and 6 representing a Apaf-1 monomer, dimer, trimer, tetramer, pentamer or hexamer respectively |
| Apoptosome      | Fully assembled heptameric Apaf-1 complex       |
| [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] | Fully assembled heptameric Apaf-1 complex with bound PC9 and/or C9. Both n and m can be any number between 0 and 7, however n + m ≤ 7. |
| [Apoptosome_P9_n_C9_m~XIAP] | Apoptosome complex with bound XIAP. n can be any number between 0 and 7, m any number between 1 and 7, however n + m ≤ 7. |
| [XIAP~SMAC]     | XIAP bound to Smac                               |
| [XIAP~C3]       | XIAP bound to Caspase-3                          |
| Substrate_C3    | Caspase-3 substrate                              |
| clSubstrate_C3  | Cleaved Caspase-3 Substrate                     |
Table 3: List of key reactions and their descriptions.

| #  | Reaction                                                                 | Description                                                                                                                   |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | CytC_Mito => CytC                                                        | Release of CytC from the mitochondria.                                                                                        |
| 2  | SMACmito => SMAC                                                         | Release of Smac from the mitochondria.                                                                                       |
| 3  | APAF-1 + ATP <=> [APAF1~ATP]                                            | Binding of ATP to Apaf-1.                                                                                                     |
| 4  | APAF-1 + CytC <=> [APAF1~CytC]                                          | Binding of CytC to Apaf-1.                                                                                                    |
| 5  | [APAF1~ATP] + CytC <=> [APAF1~CytC~ATP]                                  | Formation of active state of Apaf-1.                                                                                         |
| 6  | [APAF1~CytC] + ATP <=> [APAF1~CytC~ATP]                                  | Formation of active state of Apaf-1.                                                                                         |
| 7  | [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_n + [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_m <=> [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_k           | Formation of Apaf-1 oligomers. $n + m = 6, k \leq 6$                                                                           |
| 8  | [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_n + [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_m => Apoptosome                   | Formation of the apoptosome. $n + m = 7$                                                                                      |
| 9  | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + PC9 <=> [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m]              | Binding of PC9 to the Apoptosome. $n + m = 6$                                                                                |
| 10 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + C9 <=> [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1]             | Binding of C9 to the Apoptosome. $n + m = 6$                                                                               |
| 11 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1]                   | Autocatalytic cleavage of PC9 monomers. $n = 1$                                                                              |
| 12 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1]                   | Autocatalytic cleavage of PC9 dimers. $n \geq 2$                                                                              |
| 13 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+2_C9_m+2]                   | Autocatalytic cleavage of PC9 dimers. $n \geq 2$                                                                              |
| 14 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + PC9 => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1] + C9         | Substitution of C9 by PC9. $m \geq 1$                                                                                       |
| 15 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + PC3 => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1] + C3         | C3 cleavage mediated by PC9. $n > m$                                                                                         |
| 16 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + PC3 => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1] + C3         | C3 cleavage mediated by C9. $n \leq m$                                                                                       |
| 17 | C3 + Substrate_C3 => C3 + clSubstrate_C3                                | C3 substrate cleavage by C3                                                                                                  |
| 18 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + C3 => [Apoptosome_PC9_n+1_C9_m+1] + C3          | Feedback cleavage of C3 on apoptosome-bound PC9. $n \geq 1$                                                               |
| 19 | PC9 + C3 => C9 + C3                                                      | Feedback cleavage of C3 on cytosolic PC9. $n \geq 1$                                                                          |
| 20 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + XIAP <=> [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m~XIAP]          | Binding of XIAP to the apoptosome. $m \geq 1$                                                                               |
| 21 | XIAP + C3 <=> [XIAP~C3]                                                  | Binding of XIAP to C3.                                                                                                        |
| 22 | XIAP + SMAC <=> [XIAP~SMAC]                                             | Binding of Smac to XIAP.                                                                                                      |
| 23 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m~XIAP] + SMAC <=> [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] + [XIAP~SMAC] | Binding of Smac to apoptosome-bound XIAP. $m \geq 1$                                                                          |
| 24 | [XIAP~C3] + SMAC <=> [XIAP~SMAC] + C3                                   | Binding of Smac to C3-bound XIAP.                                                                                             |

$n + m \leq 7$, unless indicated otherwise.
| # | Forward rate [µM⁻¹ min⁻¹] | Reverse rate [min⁻¹] | Reference         |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 0.4621                     |                      | (5,13)            |
| 2 | 0.099                      |                      | (4)               |
| 3 | 0.1359                     | 0.1155               | (14,15)           |
| 4 | 0.24                       | 0.006                | (16,17)           |
| 5 | 0.24                       | 0.006                | $K_d$ (16), $k_{off}$ (17) |
| 6 | 0.1359                     | 0.1155               | (14,15)           |
| 7 | 40                         | 0.004                | (18)              |
| 8 | 40                         |                      | (18)              |
| 9 | 2.85                       | 2                    | (2,8)             |
| 10| 0.285                      | 2                    | (2,8)             |
| 11| 73.38                      |                      | $k_{cat}$ (19), $K_m$ (8) |
| 12| 73.38                      |                      | $k_{cat}$ (19), $K_m$ (8) |
| 13| 73.38                      |                      | $k_{cat}$ (19), $K_m$ (8) |
| 14| 2.85                       |                      | (2,8)             |
| 15| 63.38                      |                      | $k_{cat}$ (19), $K_m$ (8) |
| 16| 73.38                      |                      | $k_{cat}$ (19), $K_m$ (8) |
| 17| 12                         |                      | (20)              |
| 18| 0.105                      |                      | (21)              |
| 19| 0.105                      |                      | (21)              |
| 20| 156                        | 0.144                | (22)              |
| 21| 156                        | 0.144                | (22)              |
| 22| 420                        | 0.133                | (23)              |
| 23| 420                        | 156                  | (23)              |
| 24| 420                        | 156                  | (23)              |
Table 5: List of protein degradation reactions and their kinetic rates in the models.

| ID | Reaction | Forward rate [min⁻¹] | Reference |
|----|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| 24 | APAF-1 => | 0.00048 | (3) |
| 25 | CytC => | 0.000385 | (24) |
| 26 | [APAF1~ATP] => | 0.0058 | (25) |
| 27 | [APAF1~CytC] => | 0.0058 | (25) |
| 28 | [APAF1~CytC~ATP]_n => | 0.0058 | (25) |
| 29 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m] => | 0.0039 | (25) |
| 30 | [Apoptosome_PC9_n_C9_m~XIAP] => | 0.0039 | (25) |
| 31 | PC3 => | 0.00048 | (25) |
| 32 | PC9 => | 0.00048 | (25) |
| 33 | C3 => | 0.0058 | (25) |
| 34 | C9 => | 0.0058 | (25) |
| 35 | SMAC => | 0.0058 | (24) |
| 36 | [XIAP~C3] => | 0.0347 | (26) |
| 37 | [XIAP~SMAC] => | 0.0347 | (26) |
| 38 | XIAP => | 0.0116 | (25) |

The models with only homodimerisation-mediated cleavage (ApoptoDimC) and cooperative recruitment (ApoptoCoop) models are based on the original ApoptoAll model and the differences between the models are highlighted in Table 6.

Table 6: Differences in reactions and reaction rates between Apopto-All, -DimC and -Coop

| Reaction | ApoptoAll | ApoptoDimC | ApoptoCoop |
|----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Binding of PC9 to Apoptosome occupied with only C9 | ✗ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Autocatalytic cleavage of PC9 monomers. | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Substitution of C9 by PC9 | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| C3 feedback cleavage on PC9 | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |

| Reaction | ApoptoAll | ApoptoDimC | ApoptoCoop |
|----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Initial binding of PC9 to the apoptosome | 2.85 | 2.85 | 1.843 k_{on} [\mu M^{-1} min^{-1}] |
| | | | k_{off} [min^{-1}] |
| Subsequent binding of PC9 to the apoptosome | 2.85 | 2.85 | 272.225 k_{on} [\mu M^{-1} min^{-1}] |
| | | | k_{off} [min^{-1}] |
| Binding of C9 to the apoptosome | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.0049 k_{on} [\mu M^{-1} min^{-1}] |
| | | | k_{off} [min^{-1}] |
| PC9 mediated cleavage of C3 | 63.38 | 63.38 | 20 k_{on} [\mu M^{-1} min^{-1}] |

In all the models used, PC9 and C9 were modelled to only bind to the fully assembled apoptosome, not to any precursor molecules. In the originally published ApoptoAll model(3), C3
was implemented to cleave PC9 to C9. This reaction was turned off in all simulations, since C3 was shown to cleave both PC9 and C9, leading to the formation of new C9 species with different binding behaviour and activity that is not included in the models in this paper(7). Moreover, in the ApoptoAll model, C3-cleavage of PC9 to C9 had no notable effect due to the more rapid monomeric autocleavage of PC9 to C9 (data not shown). Additionally, the activity of PC9 was lowered in ApoptoCoop compared to the allosteric model. This was to reflect the fact that PC9 is not constitutively activated on the apoptosome but likely alternates between active heterodimer and inactive states. Although C9 also is activated as a heterodimer, C9’s ability to dimerise is impeded after autocatalytic cleavage, moreover C9-35/12 has poor affinity for the apoptosome and is prone to falling off. Therefore, the majority of C9 activity likely comes from the original PC9 homodimer formed prior to cleavage(7,8). As a further validation of this effect, simulations matched experimental findings that C9-35/12 contributed approximately 85% of PC3 cleavage, as determined using the C9-35/12 specific inhibitor Bir3-RING derived from truncation of XIAP (data not shown)(8).

The model without the molecular timer (ApoptoCoop^Timer) was implemented as ApoptoCoop with the following modification. The $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ of C9 binding to the apoptosome were set to the same as secondary binding of PC9, effectively preventing the molecular timer. This was validated by monitoring the IETDase activity with this alteration (ApoptoCoop^Timer), where the activity is both increased and sustained compared to ApoptoCoop, demonstrating the lack of molecular timer activity.

![Figure 1: Turning off the molecular timer. Schematic of ApoptoCoop^Timer with reaction 4 (red) altered to prevent the molecular timer (A). IETDase activity over time with ApoptoCoop and ApoptoCoop^Timer (B). Simulations based on apoptosome activity in HeLa cells.](image)

**Figure 1: Turning off the molecular timer.** Schematic of ApoptoCoop^Timer with reaction 4 (red) altered to prevent the molecular timer (A). IETDase activity over time with ApoptoCoop and ApoptoCoop^Timer (B). Simulations based on apoptosome activity in HeLa cells.

**Supplementary Text 2: Parameter Estimation**

In order to implement cooperative recruitment, a parameter estimation was performed to determine kinetic values for primary binding of PC9, cooperative binding of PC9 and binding of C9 to the apoptosome. The upper and lower bounds used for the parameter estimation were chosen with regard to the SPR data (Fig 2) and the original kinetic values from the ApoptoAll
model (Table 1). Since the SPR data was based on binding to an individual CARD domain and the ApoptoAll model considers the heptameric apoptosome as a singular reactant, the SPR kinetic values were multiplied by seven to reflect the maximal availability of seven CARDs per apoptosome. In order to achieve a global parameter estimation approach, 100 different iterations of local parameter estimation were performed with local boundaries created using the lhsdesign function in Matlab for Latin Hypercube sampling.

**Table 1: Upper and lower bounds used for the parameter estimation.**

|                      | Initial PC9 binding | Cooperative PC9 binding | C9 binding |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                      | $k_{on}$ [μM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$] | $k_{off}$ [min$^{-1}$] | $k_{on}$ [μM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$] | $k_{off}$ [min$^{-1}$] | $k_{on}$ [μM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$] | $k_{off}$ [min$^{-1}$] |
| Upper bound          | 70                  | 2                       | 315        | 2                       | 315        | 2                       |
| Lower bound          | 0                   | 0                       | 0          | 0                       | 0          | 0                       |

For the parameter estimation, simulated data was compared to two sets of experimental data with equal weighting using a least squares regression. Experimental data used was C3 substrate cleavage in HeLa cells, obtained previously from fitting a Boltzmann sigmoidal to FRET substrate data and the molecular timer data, extracted from Malladi and colleagues using ImageJ and normalised to the 5 minute time point(4,8). The cost function for each individual experiment was calculated via the least squares method with the following formula, where $t$ is each time point with available experimental data:

$$cost = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (\text{model}(t) - \text{experiment}(t))^2$$  

[1]

The cost functions for both fits were then combined to a total cost:

$$cost_{\text{total}} = cost_{\text{molecular timer}} + cost_{\text{substrate cleavage}}$$

[2]

The matlab function fmincon was used to minimise the cost function in each local estimation. The obtained optimal $k$-values were post-processed and the $k$-value combination chosen that fulfilled all of the following criteria:

- $k_{on}$ initial PC9 binding $< k_{on}$ cooperative PC9 binding
- $k_{off}$ monomer PC9 unbinding $> k_{off}$ dimer PC9 unbinding
- $k_{off}$ C9 unbinding $> k_{off}$ dimer PC9 unbinding

The justification for this rule set is, in the case of cooperative recruitment, the $k_{on}$ value of the initial PC9 molecule binding to the apoptosome should be considerably lower than the $k_{on}$ value of the cooperative binding event. Furthermore, once PC9 is bound as a homodimer it is expected...
to be more stable on the apoptosome and therefore the $k_{\text{off}}$ value of cooperative binding should be lower than that of initial binding events. For the molecular timer to work it additionally is important that the $k_{\text{off}}$ value of C9 is higher than the $k_{\text{off}}$ value of PC9 and vice versa that the $k_{\text{on}}$ value of C9 is lower than the $k_{\text{on}}$ value of PC9. These assumptions are further supported by the SPR data extracted from Wu and colleagues, reported in Fig 2b. The resulting value sets were then chosen according to optimal cost function and implemented as ApoptoCoop.

Supplementary Figures:

A. Association, Dissociation and Cleavage Flux of Caspase 9 Species
i. Non-Cooperative Recruitment  
ii. Cooperative Recruitment

B. Cleavage Rate of Pro-Caspase 9
i. Non-Cooperative Recruitment  
ii. Cooperative Recruitment

Supplementary Figure 1. A cooperative binding model of PC9 recruitment to the apoptosome reproduces experimental cleavage half-life of PC9 and leads to dissociation of C9. Flux analysis of association, cleavage and dissociation of PC9 and C9 at the apoptosome (A) using HeLa cell protein concentrations with non-cooperative recruitment(i) and cooperative
recruitment (ii). Simulated PC9 turnover rates against experimental rates from the literature (B) with non-cooperative recruitment(i) and cooperative recruitment (ii) (9–12).

Supplementary Figure 2. Apoptosome formation efficiency after MOMP and minMOMP in HeLa cells. The formation of the heptameric apoptosome compared to total APAF1 after MOMP or minMOMP using conditions for HeLa cells.

Supplementary Figure 3. Reduction of IETDase activity occurs in the absence of XIAP and degradation post-MOMP and minority MOMP. Traces of IETDase activity over time at the apoptosome in HeLa cells under normal control conditions, without XIAP and/or without protein degradation after MOMP (A) or minority MOMP (B).
Supplementary Figure 4. The molecular timer can prevent apoptosis after MOMP and minority MOMP. Survival curves with and without the molecular timer for complete MOMP (A) and minority MOMP (B). Simulations were performed as in Fig4C, albeit with apoptotic cell death defined as C3-substrate cleavage of >80%. P-values in C from logrank test, n=1000 for all groups.

Supplementary Figure 5. Non-cleavable PC9 sensitises cells to apoptosis more than the molecular timer alone. Survival curves with and without the molecular timer, without XIAP and with non-cleavable PC9 for complete MOMP (A) and minority MOMP (B). P-values from logrank test, n=1000 for all groups.
Supplementary Figure 6. The effect of protein concentrations on apoptosis decisions post-MOMP. The relative probability of being apoptosis capable or apoptosis resistant with increasing concentrations of apoptotic proteins after MOMP.

Supplementary Figure 7. The effect of protein concentrations on molecular timer-mediated apoptotic resistance post-MOMP. The relative probability of apoptosis resistance being molecular timer dependent or independent with increasing concentrations of apoptotic proteins after MOMP.
**Supplementary Figure 8.** The effect of protein concentrations on apoptosis decisions post-minority MOMP. The relative probability of being apoptosis capable or apoptosis resistant with increasing concentrations of apoptotic proteins after minority MOMP.

**Supplementary Figure 9.** The effect of protein concentrations on molecular timer-mediated apoptotic resistance post-minority MOMP. The relative probability of apoptosis resistance being molecular timer dependent or independent with increasing concentrations of apoptotic proteins minority MOMP.
Supplementary Figure 10. The molecular timer may contribute to HeLa cell survival after minority MOMP. The level of free active C3 is plotted against time with different levels of mitochondria permeabilisation under normal conditions (A) or without the molecular timer (B).
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