Nuclear polyadenylation factors recognize cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements
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In the cytoplasm of oocytes and early embryos, addition of poly(A) to mRNAs can activate their translation. We demonstrate that despite many differences between poly(A) addition in the cytoplasm and nucleus, these two forms of polyadenylation may involve identical trans-acting factors. Nuclear polyadenylation requires the sequence AAUAAA, the AAUAAA-binding cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF), and a poly(A) polymerase (PAP). We show that CPSF and PAP, purified from calf thymus, exhibit the same sequence specificity observed in the cytoplasm during frog oocyte maturation, requiring both AAUAAA and a proximal U-rich sequence. The enhanced polyadenylation of RNAs containing U-rich sequences is caused by their increased affinity for CPSF. Frog nuclear polyadenylation factors display cytoplasmic sequence specificity when dilute, suggesting that a difference in their concentrations in the nucleus and cytoplasm underlies the different sequence specificities in the two compartments. Because polyadenylation in extracts prepared from oocytes before maturation is stimulated by addition of CPSF, the onset of polyadenylation during early development may be attributable to the activation or synthesis of a CPSF-like factor. We suggest that sequences upstream of AAUAAA that are required for cleavage and polyadenylation of certain pre-mRNAs in the nucleus may be functionally equivalent to the upstream, U-rich sequences that function in the cytoplasm, enhancing CPSF binding. We propose that CPSF and PAP comprise a core polyadenylation apparatus in the cytoplasm of oocytes and early embryos.
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AAUAAA appears to be the only specific sequence necessary for nuclear polyadenylation, in that AAUAAA-containing RNAs as short as 11 nucleotides interact with CPSF and receive poly(A) in vitro [Wigley et al. 1990]. Similarly, base modifications upstream and downstream of AAUAAA do not significantly impair nuclear polyadenylation or CPSF binding (Conway and Wickens 1987; Keller et al. 1991). The interaction between AAUAAA and CPSF is highly specific: It is prevented by single point mutations, base modifications, or ribose methylations within AAUAAA (Bardwell et al. 1991; Bienroth et al. 1991; Keller et al. 1991; Murthy and Manley 1992). These results, as well as those of gel retardation and UV cross-linking experiments [Bardwell et al. 1991; Bienroth et al. 1991; Keller et al. 1991], demonstrate unambiguously that CPSF binds directly to AAUAAA.

Cytoplasmic polyadenylation has been studied most extensively during early development, in the period termed oocyte maturation. During maturation, frog oocytes advance from first meiotic prophase to second meiotic metaphase, becoming eggs. Oocytes mature in vitro in response to progesterone. During maturation, the oocyte nucleus breaks down, permitting nuclear and cytoplasmic contents to mix. Polyadenylation during oocyte maturation requires two sequences in the mRNA: AAUAAA and a nearby U-rich sequence [e.g., UUUUUAAU] (Fox et al. 1989; McGrew et al. 1989; Paris and Richter 1990). Because virtually all mRNAs contain AAUAAA, the U-rich sequences—also termed cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements (CPEs)—are responsible for the specificity of cytoplasmic polyadenylation.

Whole-cell extracts of frog eggs support polyadenylation with the same sequence specificity seen during oocyte maturation [Paris and Richter 1990; Fox et al. 1992] and have been used to identify essential trans-acting factors. At least two chromatographic fractions derived from this extract—a PAP and an RNA-binding activity—are necessary to reconstitute the reaction in vitro [Fox et al. 1992]. The RNA-binding fraction interacts specifically with RNAs containing both AAUAAA and a U-rich sequence, and confers a preference for both sequences on purified PAP [Fox et al. 1992]. The RNA-binding fraction, and perhaps the binding activity itself, appears to be activated in response to progesterone [Fox et al. 1992]. In unfractionated egg extracts, proteins of 58 and 82 kD can be cross-linked by UV light to different CPE-containing mRNAs [McGrew and Richter 1990; Paris et al. 1991]. Because cross-linking requires a CPE and AAUAAA in both cases, these proteins presumably are involved in polyadenylation during oocyte maturation.

The factors that catalyze polyadenylation during oocyte maturation are cytoplasmic. Manual removal of the nucleus from an oocyte does not impair its ability to add poly(A) during subsequent maturation [Fox et al. 1989]. In addition, certain RNAs injected into the cytoplasm receive poly(A) before the nucleus has broken down [McGrew and Richter 1990; Paris and Richter 1990; Sheets et al. 1994].

Despite differences in cellular location, regulation, and sequence specificity, cytoplasmic and nuclear polyadenylation factors may be related. The PAP activities present in extracts of frog eggs and of calf thymus fractionate similarly [Fox et al. 1992]. Furthermore, calf thymus PAP, when mixed with the frog egg RNA-binding fraction, becomes specific for RNAs containing AAUAAA and a U-rich element [Fox et al. 1992]. Likewise, the stimulatory activity found in the egg RNA-binding fraction fractionates as does calf thymus CPSF through several chromatographic steps [Fox et al. 1992; C. Fox, A. Bilger, and M. Wickens, unpubl.]. However, although CPSF appears to require only AAUAAA to bind to RNA and stimulate polyadenylation, the egg RNA-binding fraction requires both AAUAAA and a U-rich sequence [Fox et al. 1992].

In this report we have reconstituted the sequence specificity of cytoplasmic polyadenylation during early development using purified polyadenylation factors from somatic cells. CPSF and PAP purified from calf thymus, specifically polyadenylate RNAs containing both a CPE and AAUAAA, demonstrating a sequence specificity indistinguishable from that observed during frog oocyte maturation. We propose that CPSF, or a closely related molecule, imparts sequence specificity to cytoplasmic, as well as nuclear, polyadenylation, and that regulation of CPSF activity in the cytoplasm activates polyadenylation during early development. We suggest that competition for CPSF in the cytoplasm, but not in the nucleus, underlies the differences in the compartments. In the simplest hypothesis, the enzymes that add poly(A) in the nucleus and cytoplasm are the same.

**Results**

*Purified calf thymus PAP and CPSF display maturation-specific polyadenylation activity*

Biochemical fractionation studies suggested that the RNA-binding activity present in frog egg extracts might be similar to mammalian CPSF [Fox et al. 1992; C. Fox, A. Bilger, and M. Wickens, unpubl.]. Therefore, we tested whether purified CPSF could functionally substitute for the egg RNA-binding fraction and cause purified PAP to preferentially add poly(A) to substrates containing a U-rich element near AAUAAA.

We incubated purified calf thymus CPSF and PAP with radioactively labeled segments of the Xenopus c-mos 3'-untranslated region (3' UTR) transcribed in vitro. Poly(A) addition was assayed by gel electrophoresis and autoradiography. The c-mos substrates either contained UUUUUUA, as does wild-type c-mos mRNA, or lacked it because of a 6-nucleotide substitution [Fig. 1A]. Both substrates contained AAUAAA. In vivo, c-mos mRNA receives poly(A) during maturation [Paris and Richter 1990, Sheets et al. 1994], in a reaction stimulated by its UUUUAU sequence [Paris and Richter 1990; C. Fox, M. Sheets, and M. Wickens, unpubl.]. The UUUUUUA sequence enhances polyadenylation by purified calf thymus CPSF and PAP [Fig. 1A, lanes 1–6]. Stimulation by
the UUUUAU sequence occurs throughout the range of CPSF concentrations tested. Polyadenylation increases progressively with the amount of CPSF added and requires AAUAAA [Fig. 1A, lane 7]. We conclude that polyadenylation of the c-mos 3' UTR by purified CPSF and PAP is enhanced by the presence of a U-rich element near AAUAAA.

To confirm that U-rich elements stimulate polyadenylation by CPSF and PAP, we analyzed a substrate in which the sequence UUUUAU was inserted into a portion of the 3' UTR of ribosomal protein L1 mRNA [Fig. 1B]. During maturation, this RNA receives poly(A), whereas wild-type L1 mRNA, lacking the U-rich sequence, does not (Varmum and Wormington 1990; S. Thompson and M. Wickens, unpubl.). Similarly, in vitro, the sequence UUUUUUAU dramatically enhances polyadenylation by purified CPSF and PAP [Fig. 1B]. These data confirm that CPSF and PAP recognize a U-rich element upstream of AAUAAA, in addition to AAUAAA itself. This implies that CPSF or a related molecule may participate in cytoplasmic polyadenylation during maturation.

CPSF and PAP display cytoplasmic sequence specificity with a variety of substrates

To test further whether a CPSF-like factor is involved in polyadenylation during maturation, we analyzed three additional RNA substrates [Fig. 2]. The 3' UTRs of H4 and cyclin B1 RNAs, which receive poly(A) during maturation in vivo [Dworkin and Dworkin-Rastl 1985; Sheets et al. 1994], are polyadenylated in vitro by purified CPSF and PAP [Fig. 2]. Xfin RNA, which does not

Figure 1. Purified calf thymus CPSF and PAP display maturation-specific polyadenylation activity. (A) The UUUUAU sequence in c-mos mRNA stimulates polyadenylation by purified CPSF and PAP. Substrates were derived from the last 50 nucleotides of c-mos mRNA. RNAs were incubated with 25 units of pure PAP (units as defined by Fox et al. 1992) and the indicated amounts of CPSF (units defined by Bienroth et al. 1991) for 20 min at 25°C. Incubation with 25 units PAP alone does not result in polyadenylation (not shown). [Lanes 1,3,5]: Wild-type c-mos RNA; [Lanes 2,4,6] c-mos RNA containing AAUAAA but not UUUUAU; [lane 7] c-mos RNA containing UUUUAU but not AAUAAA (AAGAAA instead). (UUUUUAU and AAUAAA are highlighted with black.) (B) Insertion of UUUUUUAU into L1 mRNA stimulates polyadenylation by CPSF and PAP. Substrates are derived from the last 104 nucleotides of L1 mRNA. RNAs were incubated with 12 units of CPSF and ~150 of units PAP for 20 min at 25°C [lanes 2,4]. [Lanes 1,2] Wild-type L1 RNA; [lanes 3,4] L1 with UUUUUUAU. [UUUUUUUAU and AAUAAA are highlighted with black.]

Figure 2. CPSF and PAP display cytoplasmic sequence specificity with a variety of substrates. Substrates are derived from the last ~80 nucleotides of the indicated RNAs [see Materials and methods]. RNAs were incubated with ~150 units of PAP and 12 units of CPSF for 20 min at 25°C. [Lane 1] Cyclin B1 RNA, no protein added; [lane 2] cyclin B1 RNA incubated with CPSF and PAP; [lane 3] H4 RNA, no protein added; [lane 4] H4 RNA incubated with CPSF and PAP; [lane 5] Xfin RNA, no protein added; [lane 6] Xfin RNA incubated with CPSF and PAP. H4 mRNA encodes a protein of unknown function; it is not histone H4 mRNA.
receive poly[A] during maturation, is not polyadenylated by CPSF and PAP (Fig. 2). Thus, for five substrates—c-mos, L1, cyclin B1, H4, and Xfin—and mutants thereof, purified calf thymus CPSF and PAP accurately recapitulate the sequence specificity of cytoplasmic polyadenylation during oocyte maturation.

**CPSF stimulates an inactive oocyte extract**

Whole-cell extracts of matured oocytes, or eggs, efficiently polyadenylate mRNAs, whereas extracts of non-mature oocytes are much less active (Paris and Richter 1990; Fox et al. 1992). Polyadenylation in both extracts requires U-rich sequences in addition to AAUAAA. The relative lack of polyadenylation activity in oocyte extracts appears to be attributable to the lack of an RNA-binding activity: Addition of egg RNA-binding fraction to oocyte extract stimulates CPE-specific polyadenylation, whereas addition of egg PAP does not (Fox et al. 1992). If the key factor in the egg RNA-binding fraction is CPSF-like, as our results suggest, then addition of purified CPSF should also stimulate polyadenylation in the otherwise inactive oocyte extract. To test this prediction, we added purified calf thymus CPSF to an oocyte extract and assayed polyadenylation activity using the labeled c-mos RNAs described in Figure 1A.

Purified CPSF activates polyadenylation in the oocyte extract, in a concentration-dependent manner [Fig. 3]. As expected, polyadenylation is stimulated by the presence of a U-rich element near AAUAAA and requires AAUAAA. These results suggest that a CPSF-like factor limits polyadenylation in oocyte extracts, and imply that such a factor may be activated to turn on cytoplasmic polyadenylation during oocyte maturation.

**A U-rich element increases the affinity of CPSF for the substrate**

CPSF and PAP might preferentially add poly[A] to RNAs with U-rich sequences because CPSF binds more tightly to such RNAs. To test this notion directly, we determined the relative affinity of CPSF for RNAs with or without a U-rich element using a mobility shift assay [Fig. 4]. Equal amounts of labeled L1 RNAs with or without UUUUUUAU were mixed together and incubated with various concentrations of CPSF. The mixture was then electrophoresed under non-denaturing conditions [Fig. 4A]. RNAs that had bound to CPSF and RNAs that had not were recovered from the gel and analyzed by electrophoresis under denaturing conditions [Fig. 4B]. Because the two RNAs differ in length by 8 nucleotides (UUUUUUAAU), they migrated as two distinct bands in the denaturing gel and their relative abundances could be determined. CPSF preferentially interacts with the UUUUUUAU-containing RNA: That RNA is more abundant than L1 RNA in the CPSF complexes and correspondingly less abundant than L1 in the free RNA. As expected of a simple RNA–protein interaction, the difference in binding between the two RNAs is greatest at the lowest CPSF concentration; as the concentration of CPSF increases, more of each RNA enters the complex and the discrimination between the two RNAs decreases. Quantitative analysis of these data reveals that CPSF has approximately a fivefold greater affinity for the RNA containing UUUUUUAU.

**Specificity for U-rich elements is attributable to CPSF and PAP**

In the experiments presented thus far, both CPSF and PAP were purified from calf thymus. Although both factors are highly purified, neither is homogeneous [see below]. Therefore, we examined whether the enhancement of polyadenylation by U-rich sequences was caused by CPSF and PAP, rather than by a contaminant present in either preparation.

To examine the PAP, the protein was overexpressed and purified from an *Escherichia coli* strain carrying a calf thymus PAP cDNA clone (Wahle et al. 1991; G. Martin and W. Keller, unpubl.). When combined with calf thymus CPSF, this recombinant PAP displayed the same preference for UUUUUUAU seen previously [not shown]. These results demonstrate that PAP is sufficient to reconstitute U-rich specificity when mixed with CPSF.

Comparable experiments are not possible with CPSF, as that factor is not available in recombinant form. However, three lines of evidence argue that CPSF itself discriminates U-rich sequences.
Figure 4. A U-rich element increases the affinity of CPSF for the substrate. (A) Radiolabeled L1 wild-type RNA and L1 RNA with a UUUUUUAUU insertion were mixed together and incubated with the indicated amounts of CPSF. This mixture was then electrophoresed under nondenaturing conditions, transferred to nitrocellulose, and visualized by autoradiography. The positions of CPSF–RNA complexes and of free RNA (uncomplexed with protein) are indicated with brackets. (B) RNAs contained in the complex and free bands from A were eluted from the nitrocellulose and analyzed by electrophoresis under denaturing conditions, followed by autoradiography. One fmole of this preparation of CPSF corresponds to 1.5 × 10⁻⁹ units (units defined by Bienroth et al. 1991). The assays in Fig. 1–3 and 5 were performed in the presence of unlabeled yeast RNA, which significantly reduces the activity observed for a fixed amount of CPSF and PAP (not shown). As a result, less CPSF was required in this assay than in the others.

1. Purity. Highly purified CPSF exhibits specificity for U-rich sequences. This is shown in Fig. 5A, in which the preparation of CPSF used in Figures 1–3 was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining. The three large subunits of CPSF (160, 100, and 73 kD) are the most abundant protein species in this preparation, although other polypeptides are present.

2. Coelution. CPSF and the factor conferring U-rich specificity coelute. Peak fractions from each of four successive columns in a CPSF purification were assayed for U-rich specificity, using L1 RNA with and without UUUUUUAUU. The specificity of the U-rich sequence-discriminating factor increased in concert with CPSF during fractionation (not shown). Consistent with these observations, CPSF and the U-rich specificity factor precisely coelute. CPSF that had already been partially purified was fractionated by Sephacryl S-500 chromatography. Fractions containing CPSF protein were identified by SDS-PAGE and silver staining (Fig. 5B). Three major bands of ~73, 100, and 160 kD, corresponding to CPSF subunits, are present in a peak centered in fraction 64. CPSF activity in each fraction was assayed using a standard substrate for nuclear polyadenylation, adenovirus L3 RNA. As expected, fractions 62–65 contain peak levels of CPSF activity (S. Bienroth and W. Keller, unpubl.). To identify the activity that discriminates the U-rich sequence, we assayed each fraction with c-mos RNA with [Fig. 5C] or without [Fig. 5D] its U-rich element. The distribution of fractions containing polyadenylation activity is virtually identical for the two substrates and coincides with CPSF. Furthermore, in every fraction containing CPSF activity, the presence of a U-rich sequence stimulates polyadenylation.

3. Mobility shift assays. In mobility shift assays using highly purified CPSF, complexes formed on RNAs with and without a U-rich sequence exhibit identical mobility (not shown). These results suggest that complexes formed on RNAs with and without a U-rich sequence do not differ in composition. Furthermore, no U-rich sequence-specific complexes are observed in the absence of AAUAAA (not shown), suggesting that the factor that binds the U-rich element also requires AAUAAA.

CstF, a factor consisting of three polypeptides (Takagaki et al. 1990), stabilizes complexes between AAUAAA and CPSF in nuclear extracts (Gilmartin and Nevins 1989, 1991; Weiss et al. 1991; Murthy and Manley, 1992). Stabilization by CstF requires sequences downstream of the cleavage site (Gilmartin and Nevins 1989, 1991; Weiss et al. 1991). Because non-AAUAAA sequences are recognized both by CstF and by our CPSF preparations, we determined whether CstF significantly contaminates our purified CPSF. It does not: In Western blotting experiments using an antibody directed against the 64-kD subunit of CstF [a gift of J. Manley, Columbia University, NY] and in cleavage assays in vitro, we detected no CstF in our CPSF preparations [not shown].

From these observations, we conclude that the same factors that are required for nuclear polyadenylation—namely CPSF and PAP—exhibit the sequence specificity seen in the cytoplasm during oocyte maturation.

Frog nuclear polyadenylation factors can display either nuclear or cytoplasmic sequence specificities

All mRNAs (except certain histone mRNAs) are polyadenylated in the nucleus, yet only those RNAs with U-rich elements receive poly(A) in the cytoplasm during maturation. If CPSF is responsible for poly(A) addition in both compartments, then how do RNAs without a U-rich sequence receive poly(A) in the nucleus? One simple explanation is that the concentration of CPSF might be much higher in the nucleus than in the cytoplasm. If this were true, then the preference of CPSF for U-rich RNAs would be masked in the nucleus: RNAs would be bound by CPSF and polyadenylated regardless of U-rich elements. One prediction of this model is that
concentrated extracts of frog oocyte nuclei should polyadenylate all RNAs, whereas dilute extracts should polyadenylate only those RNAs with U-rich elements.

To test this prediction, we prepared microscale nuclear extracts and examined their sequence specificity when concentrated or dilute [Fig. 6]. Frog oocytes were enucleated under mineral oil to prevent leakage of nuclear contents, and the isolated nuclei were combined. To prepare concentrated reactions, nuclei were diluted only 2-fold by the addition of labeled RNA and buffer; to prepare dilute reactions, nuclei were diluted 20-fold. Reactions were incubated under mineral oil and polyadenylation was assayed by gel electrophoresis.

In concentrated nuclear extracts [Fig. 6, lanes 3,4], RNAs with and without UUUUUUAUU both receive poly[A] efficiently: The tail added is very long, and most of the substrate reacts. In dilute reactions [Fig. 6, lanes 5,6], the UUUUUUAUU sequence stimulates polyadenylation dramatically. Less of the substrate receives poly[A], and the tails are shorter, as predicted. Oligo(dT)/RNase H digestion confirmed that the lengthening seen in both concentrated and dilute reactions is caused by the addition of a poly(A) tail [not shown]. We conclude that frog nuclei contain factors capable of displaying either nuclear or cytoplasmic specificity, depending on their concentration.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that polyadenylation by purified calf thymus CPSF and PAP in vitro exhibits the same sequence specificity as does polyadenylation in the cytoplasm during oocyte maturation. Polyadenylation by these factors is markedly stimulated by the presence of a U-rich element near AAUAAA. The enhanced polyadenylation of RNAs containing U-rich elements is caused by their elevated affinity for CPSF. Addition of CPSF stimulates polyadenylation in oocyte extracts, implying that a CPSF-like factor may be rate-limiting before maturation. Sequences upstream of AAUAAA, by
enhancing CPSF binding, may not only stimulate polyadenylation in the cytoplasm but may also enhance cleavage and polyadenylation of certain pre-mRNAs in the nucleus.

We propose that CPSF and PAP catalyze both nuclear and cytoplasmic polyadenylation, and that CPSF imparts sequence specificity to both reactions. In the cytoplasm, we suggest that CPSF is limiting, such that only RNAs with U-rich elements are polyadenylated efficiently. In the nucleus, where polyadenylation factors are abundant, all AAUAAA-containing RNAs are polyadenylated. Differences in the concentration of CPSF, PAP, or RNA could result in competition for polyadenylation factors in one compartment but not the other. Consistent with this model, polyadenylation factors in the frog nucleus can display either nuclear or cytoplasmic polyadenylation specificities, depending on their concentration (Fig. 6).

In the nucleus, the interaction between CPSF and AAUAAA may be enhanced by other proteins. Nuclear pre-mRNAs associate with CPSF, PAP, and a collection of other trans-acting factors to form a large complex in which cleavage and polyadenylation then occur (for review, see Wahle and Keller 1992). CstF, which enhances cleavage in vitro, does so by stabilizing the interaction between CPSF and AAUAAA in these precleavage complexes (Gilmartin and Nevins 1989, 1991; Weiss et al. 1991; Murthy and Manley 1992) and, therefore, may also contribute to the difference in CPSF binding in nucleus and cytoplasm.

An obvious prediction of our model is that PAP and CPSF are located both in the nucleus and the cytoplasm. Preliminary results indicate that CPSF is present in both compartments [A. Bilger, A. Jenny, W. Keller, and M. Wickens, unpubl.]. Partitioning between the two compartments might be controlled by regulated modification, such as the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation reactions that govern the distribution of SWI5 (Moll et al. 1991), or by interaction with other proteins, as in the regulation of NF-κB nuclear import by IF-κB (for review, see Baeuerle 1991). Alternatively, nuclear and cytoplasmic factors might be related but non-identical, such that they partition differently.

The preparations of CPSF and PAP used in this report probably are nuclear, at least in part. Both factors are nearly identical to their counterparts purified from HeLa nuclei, in molecular weight, fractionation properties, and biochemical activities (Bienroth et al. 1991). Furthermore, the CPSF and PAP that we have used support mRNA 3' end cleavage, an exclusively nuclear event (Murthy and Manley 1992; K. Beyer, E. Wahle, and W. Keller, unpubl.). However, somatic cells may contain cytoplasmic CPSF and PAP, consistent with reports of PAP activity in cytoplasmic fractions (Ryner et al. 1989; Edmonds 1992) and of possible cytoplasmic increases in poly(A) tail length (e.g., Muschel et al. 1986; Pack and Axel 1987; Robinson et al. 1988, for review, see Brawerman 1981).

Our results strongly suggest that CPSF itself recognizes both U-rich elements and AAUAAA. Other observations are consistent with the notion that a single factor recognizes both sequences. The activities that bind to these two sequences are, as yet, chromatographically inseparable (C. Fox, A. Bilger, and M. Wickens, unpubl.). Mutations in either the CPE or in AAUAAA greatly decrease or eliminate formation of specific polyadenylation complexes in egg extracts (Paris and Richter 1990). Finally, an excess of either of these sequence elements alone does not prevent complex formation or polyadenylation of RNAs containing both sequences (Paris and Richter 1990).

The onset of polyadenylation during maturation may be caused by the activation or expression of a CPSF-like factor. Calf thymus CPSF, like the egg RNA-binding fraction, activates polyadenylation in extracts prepared from oocytes before maturation. This suggests that a CPSF-like molecule is limiting before maturation and may be synthesized or activated to turn on polyadenylation during oocyte maturation.

Extension of poly(A) tails

The apparent mechanism of poly(A) tail extension differs in the nucleus and the cytoplasm. In the nucleus, AAUAAA is required only to add the first 10 adenosines; after that point, extension of the tail is sequence-independent (Sheets and Wickens 1989). In the cytoplasm, however, AAUAAA and a CPE are required to extend...
poly[A] tails that already are 25–75 nucleotides long. This apparent difference in sequence specificity may be only superficial. A sequence-independent poly[A] extension activity might be active in the cytoplasm, as it is in the nucleus, but be fully counteracted by the poly[A]-removing activity that is activated during maturation (Fox and Wickens 1990; Varnum and Wormington 1990). In this view, CPEs would accelerate the rate of tail extension and therefore result in a net increase in poly[A] length during maturation.

The length of poly[A] added to different mRNAs in the cytoplasm is highly controlled. For example, whereas cyclin B1 mRNA receives ∼300 adenosines during maturation, c-mos mRNA receives only 75 [Sheets et al. 1994]. This control of final poly[A] length is reconstituted in crude egg extracts and in mixtures of the RNA-binding and PAP activities of the egg (Paris and Richter 1990; Fox et al. 1992; Sheets et al. 1994), but is missing in reactions containing only purified CPSF and PAP [this paper]. These results imply that an additional factor, present in oocyte and egg extracts, is required to regulate tail length during maturation. Such a factor might be related to PABII, which promotes poly[A] tail elongation in the nucleus and causes it to terminate after 25 adenosines have been added (Wahle 1991b). However, unlike PABII, the cytoplasmic length-controlling factors must cause a different length of poly[A] to be added to each mRNA.

Implications for mRNA 3' end formation in the nucleus

In the nucleus, formation of the 3' terminus of certain mRNAs, such as those of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other viruses, requires sequences upstream of AAUAAA (e.g., Gilmartin et al. 1992; Schek et al. 1992; Paris and Richter 1990; Paris et al. 1991). Each of these proteins has been detected on a different mRNA. These and related observations suggest a role for these proteins in polyadenylation. The 58- and 82-kD proteins could promote polyadenylation by binding to different CPEs, without the involvement of CPSF. Alternatively, binding of the 58- and 82-kD proteins could enhance, prevent, or delay binding of CPSF to specific mRNAs. It is also possible that one or both of these proteins binds CPE-containing RNAs generally but only cross-links efficiently with certain RNAs. Such an explanation would be consistent with these proteins being part of a core polyadenylation apparatus containing CPSF and PAP.

Negative regulatory elements have been identified genetically in the 3' UTRs of several mRNAs that are critical in early development in Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans, including bicoid, tra-2, and fem-3 (Ahlinger and Kimble 1991; Wharton and Struhl 1991; Gavis and Lehmann 1992; Goodwin et al. 1993). Mutations in some of these elements have been shown to increase poly[A] tail length, as well as translational activity. Similarly, negative elements in the 3' UTR of frog Ci2 mRNA specifically prevent poly[A] addition until after fertilization (Simon et al. 1992). Factors interacting with such negative elements might repress a core, cytoplasmic polyadenylation apparatus.

Materials and methods

RNA substrates

The number +1 designates the last nucleotide in the mRNA before the poly[A] tail. Thus, − 50/ +1 c-mos contains the last 50 nucleotides of c-mos mRNA [Fox et al. 1992]. In every case except − 58/+1 H4 RNA, the last nucleotide of the transcript is the poly[A] site of the mRNA.

−50/+1 c-mos RNA and −50/+1 c-mos RNA containing a UUUUAU substitution or a point mutation in AAUAAA Oligonucleotides carrying c-mos and T7 promoter sequences
were annealed, as described by Fox et al. (1992). Single-stranded regions were filled in with T4 DNA polymerase, and the resulting blunt-ended fragments were ligated to filled-in EcoRI-cut pSP64 [Promega] to yield p-50/+1c-mos, p-50/+1c-mos: Usub, and p-50/+1c-mos:AAGAAAA. To generate the 50-nucleotide transcripts, plasmids were digested with XbaI and incubated with T7 RNA polymerase [sequences in Fig. 1A]. The plasmid-derived RNA containing the UUUUAU substitution (a of AAUAAA. The deletion does not affect the activity of the 49-nucleotide transcript) lacks a single nucleotide downstream incubated with T7 RNA polymerase (sequences in Fig. 1A). The cleavage of RNA (C. Fox and M. Wickens, unpubl.).

\[ -101/+1 \text{ribosomal protein L1 RNA} \]

-80/+1 L1 RNA (a 114-nucleotide transcript) was generated as described [Fox et al. 1992] from pL13z. A pGEM3Z [Promega]-derived plasmid encoding -101/+1 L1 RNA with UUUUUUAU [pL13zmut] was generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction. The UUUUUUAU is inserted 8 nucleotides upstream of AAUAAA [sequences in Fig. 1B]. The plasmid was cut with AflII and incubated with T7 RNA polymerase to yield L1 RNA containing UUUUUUAU [a 122-nucleotide transcript].

-80/+1 Xfin RNA By annealing complementary oligonucleotides, the terminal 80 nucleotides of an Xfin CDNA were cloned into pGEM3Z, flanked by EcoRI and NsiI–BamHI sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. [In cloning, a single nucleotide 73 nucleotides from the 3' end was deleted.] To generate -80/+1 Xfin RNA (a 90-nucleotide transcript), this plasmid [pXfin32] was digested with NsiI and incubated with T7 RNA polymerase. As expected [Ruzi i Altaba et al. 1987, Fox and Wickens 1990], -80/+1 Xfin RNA injected into oocytes does not receive poly(A) during maturation when injected [A. Bilger, D. Daniel, and M. Wickens, unpubl.].

-58/+1 H4 RNA This 95-nucleotide RNA was generated as described [Fox and Wickens 1990].

-83/+2 cyclin B1 RNA This 94-nucleotide RNA was generated as described [Sheets et al. 1994].

**Transcription in vitro**

RNAs were prepared using T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase as described by Fox et al. (1989), except that RNA pellets were re-suspended in water, not in 88 mM NaCl. RNAs had specific activities of 2 \times 10^{5} to 10 \times 10^{5} cpm/fmol.

**Preparation of Xenopus laevis oocyte and egg extracts and fractions**

Crude frog oocyte extracts were prepared as described [Fox et al. 1992]. From frog egg extract, separate fractions containing the PAP and RNA-binding activities were obtained by elution from DEAE–Sepharose with 0.1 and 0.3 M KC1, respectively [Fox et al. 1992].

**Preparation of purified calf thymus polyadenylation factors**

The CPSF used in Figures 1–3 was purified as described [Bienroth et al. 1991]. For the preparation used in Figure 4, the spermine–agarose column was omitted. The preparation of CPSF used in Figure 5 was obtained using the following scheme: DEAE–Sepharose, blue–Sepharose, 80% ammonium sulfate, heparin–Sepharose, 70% ammonium sulfate, S-500 gel filtration, Mono Q, poly[A]–Sepharose, and heparin–Sepharose to concentrate. Note that the pH of the purification buffers in Bienroth et al. was given incorrectly as 7.0; the pH should be 7.9. Units of CPSF are as defined by Bienroth et al. [1991]. These units differ from those used by Fox et al. (1992). PAP was purified as described [Wahle, 1991a].

**Polyadenylation assays**

Sequence-specific polyadenylation was assayed in 9-ml reactions containing 6 μl of protein in buffer B [100 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris, 150 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol [pH 8.5, at 5°C], 1–2 fmols of RNA, 2.2 U/μl of RNasin, 2.8 mM DTT, 0.7 μg/μl of yeast RNA, 1.1 mM MgCl2, 1.1 mM ATP, 0.11 mM EGTA [pH 7.7], and 8.3 mM creatine phosphate. Assay mixtures were assembled on ice, and incubated at 25°C for 20 min. RNAs were cleaned using phenol/chloroform extraction and precipitation with ethanol, and analyzed by gel electrophoresis through a denaturing 6% or 8% polyacrylamide gel followed by autoradiography.

Non-specific polyadenylation activity (i.e., PAP activity in the absence of any other factors) was assayed in the presence of Mn²⁺, as described [Wahle 1991a]. A unit of non-specific polymerase activity is defined here as 1 pmole of ATP incorporated per 10-min incubation [Fox et al. 1992].

**SDS-PAGE analysis of purified CPSF**

Fully and partially purified CPSF was boiled for ~5 min in protein gel loading buffer [1× stacking gel buffer, 20% glycerol, 0.025% bromphenol blue, 1.43 M [β-mercaptoethanol, 6% SDS] and analyzed by electrophoresis through a 4.2% [stacking]/9% [separation] polyacrylamide gel [Laemmli 1970]. Proteins were identified by silver staining.

**Analysis of CPSF RNA complexes**

To analyze complexes formed between CPSF and labeled RNAs (Fig. 4), incubations were carried out in 10% glycerol, 2.6% polyvinyl alcohol, 25 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.9], 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.05 mM EDTA, 0.01% NP-40, 0.4 mg/ml of methylated BSA, and 0.5 units of RNAguard [Pharmacia]. RNA (65 fmols of each) and the amount of CPSF indicated were combined. Reactions (25 μl) were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Complete reaction mixtures were loaded onto a 4% non-denaturing gel already running at 250 V. After electrophoresis, RNAs were transferred from the gel to NA45 paper [Schleicher & Schuell] and visualized by autoradiography.

Complex and free RNA bands were excised after autoradiography, and RNAs were eluted as described [Keller et al. 1991]. Eluted RNAs were purified by phenol/chloroform extraction, precipitated with ethanol, and then analyzed by electrophoresis under denaturing conditions, followed by autoradiography.

Relative dissociation constants were calculated according to the following equation (see Weeks and Crothers 1991):

\[ K_{rel} = K_d(AC)/K_d(BC) = |A_{free}|/[BC]/|B_{free}|[AC] \]

**Mini-extracts of oocyte nuclei**

Individual frog oocytes were placed in a petri dish under light mineral oil [Sigma]. The oocytes were then pricked with a fine injection needle at the animal pole and gently squeezed with forceps until the nucleus emerged. The needle was then used to gently separate the nucleus from the oocyte cytoplasm. Nuclei bore minor cytoplasm contamination. For each reaction, 10 nuclei (~0.5 μl total) were gathered under mineral oil into one cluster in the dish. Polyadenylation was assayed in the presence
of the following: 1.4 fmole/µl of labeled RNA, 1 U/µl of RNasin
[Promega], 1.25 mM DTT, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM ATP, 0.05 mM
EGTA [pH 7.7], and 3.75 mM creatine phosphate. Concentrated
reactions were brought to 1 µl by the addition of 0.25 µl of
buffer B. Dilute reactions were brought to 20 µl by the addition
of 14.5 µl of the same buffer. Nuclei and exogenous reaction
components were mixed thoroughly with a needle, which
breaks the nuclei, and incubated for 25 min at 25°C.

Molecular weight standards
MspI fragments of pBR322 were labeled using the Klenow frag-
ment of DNA polymerase I and [α³²P]dCTP [Amersham or Du-
Pont]. Protein standards were "Rainbow" [Amersham] and
"Low Range" [Bio-Rad].
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