BIN1 is a key regulator of proinflammatory and neurodegeneration-related activation in microglia
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Abstract

Background: The BIN1 locus contains the second-most significant genetic risk factor for late-onset Alzheimer's disease. BIN1 undergoes alternate splicing to generate tissue- and cell-type-specific BIN1 isoforms, which regulate membrane dynamics in a range of crucial cellular processes. Whilst the expression of BIN1 in the brain has been characterized in neurons and oligodendrocytes in detail, information regarding microglial BIN1 expression is mainly limited to large-scale transcriptomic and proteomic data. Notably, BIN1 protein expression and its functional roles in microglia, a cell type most relevant to Alzheimer’s disease, have not been examined in depth.

Methods: Microglial BIN1 expression was analyzed by immunostaining mouse and human brain, as well as by immunoblot and RT-PCR assays of isolated microglia or human iPSC-derived microglial cells. Bin1 expression was ablated by siRNA knockdown in primary microglial cultures in vitro and Cre-lox mediated conditional deletion in adult mouse brain microglia in vivo. Regulation of neuroinflammatory microglial signatures by BIN1 in vitro and in vivo was characterized using NanoString gene panels and flow cytometry methods. The transcriptome data was explored by in silico pathway analysis and validated by complementary molecular approaches.

Results: Here, we characterized microglial BIN1 expression in vitro and in vivo and ascertained microglia expressed BIN1 isoforms. By silencing Bin1 expression in primary microglial cultures, we demonstrate that BIN1 regulates the activation of proinflammatory and disease-associated responses in microglia as measured by gene expression and cytokine production. Our transcriptomic profiling revealed key homeostatic and lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammatory response pathways, as well as transcription factors PU.1 and IRF1 that are regulated by BIN1. Microglia-specific Bin1 conditional knockout in vivo revealed novel roles of BIN1 in regulating the expression of disease-associated genes while counteracting CX3CR1 signaling. The consensus from in vitro and in vivo findings showed that loss of Bin1 impaired the ability of microglia to mount type 1 interferon responses to proinflammatory challenge, particularly the upregulation of a critical type 1 immune response gene, Ifitm3.

Conclusions: Our convergent findings provide novel insights into microglial BIN1 function and demonstrate an essential role of microglial BIN1 in regulating brain inflammatory response and microglial phenotypic changes.
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Moreover, for the first time, our study shows a regulatory relationship between Bin1 and Ifitm3, two Alzheimer’s disease-related genes in microglia. The requirement for BIN1 to regulate Ifitm3 upregulation during inflammation has important implications for inflammatory responses during the pathogenesis and progression of many neurodegenerative diseases.
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**Graphical Abstract**

**Background**

Bridging Integrator 1 (BIN1) is a significant genetic risk factor locus for late-onset Alzheimer’s disease (LOAD) identified by genome-wide association studies [1–3]. Tissue- and cell-type-specific alternate splicing of seven out of the twenty BIN1 exons generates multiple BIN1 isoforms, which vary in functional domains and differ in their subcellular localization [4]. BIN1 isoforms participate in a range of functions, including membrane remodeling, endocytosis, cytoskeleton regulation, and cell cycle [4]. Neuronal BIN1 localizes to presynaptic terminals in the mouse brain and plays an indispensable role in excitatory neurotransmission by regulating synaptic vesicle dynamics [5]. A central Clathrin-Associated Protein binding region (CLAP domain), present only in neuronal isoforms, confers BIN1’s ability to interact with the endocytic protein clathrin and its adaptor protein AP-2 [6]. Despite the importance of the endosomal pathway in β-amyloid production, the loss of neuronal BIN1 expression does not modulate β-amyloid pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) amyloidosis [7]. Additionally, BIN1 can bind to tau and BIN1 overexpression induces tau-dependent network hyperexcitability in cultured neurons [8, 9], indicating that BIN1 may promote AD risk through tau pathogenesis. BIN1 has also been shown to limit the inter-neuronal spread of pathogenic tau in cultured neurons [10]. Moreover, independent studies have reported a significant decrease in neuronal BIN1 expression in individuals with AD [11, 12]. These latter findings are at odds with the suggestion that an increase in BIN1 expression contributes to tangle pathology. One possible explanation for this seeming
inconsistency may be drawn from the observations that reduction in the neuronal isoform (iso 1) coincides with an increase in the ubiquitous isoform (iso 9) [11, 13].

In the CNS, ubiquitous BIN1 isoforms lacking the CLAP domain are expressed in neurons and non-neuronal cells, most prominently in oligodendrocytes within human brain white matter [11, 14, 15]. Interestingly, high-level Bin1 transcript and protein expression have been reported in large-scale datasets of acutely isolated mouse and human brain microglia (Fig. S1) [16–18]. Moreover, in wild-type mice and mouse models of AD pathology, Bin1 was in the top 20th percentile of abundant microglial transcripts as assayed by quantitative mass spectrometry [19–21]. Still, it was found to be lower in abundance in phagocytic microglia isolated from mouse brain injected with apoptotic neurons [22], consistent with a potential homeostatic role of BIN1.

In human brain proteomic studies, Multi-marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation (MAGMA) highlighted that protein co-expression modules enriched in microglia markers were also enriched in AD risk genes, implicating microglial dysfunction in LOAD pathogenesis [23]. Microglia are resident immune cells in the CNS with critical roles in brain development and function, including synapse pruning, neurogenesis, and immune surveillance of the brain [24]. Additionally, microglia play vital and complex disease-modifying roles in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative disorders, including AD, and transform from homeostatic states to disease-associated microglia (DAM) phenotypes through an immune check-point regulated by TREM2 [22, 25]. A recent network analysis of mouse microglial transcriptomic datasets also revealed heterogeneity within DAM phenotypes, namely proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory DAM sub-profiles [26]. In this framework of homeostatic microglia, pro- and anti-inflammator DAM in AD, we found that Bin1 has the highest module membership among AD-associated genes in a homeostatic gene module, raising the possibility that BIN1 may play functional and AD-relevant roles in microglia [21].

Phagocytosis and proliferation are hallmark microglial responses to AD-like pathologies [27, 28]. Despite the potential for BIN1 to regulate crucial cellular pathways and microglial functions under homeostatic and pathological conditions, studies to date have largely neglected to characterize microglial BIN1 expression. Seemingly, this oversight stems from unsuccessful efforts in previous investigations to visualize BIN1 immunoreactivity in microglia in an unambiguous manner. In this regard, high-level BIN1 expression in oligodendrocytes, myelin, and synapses results in the labeling of diverse cell populations; thus, slender BIN1-positive processes that densely overlap with each other are tightly packed throughout the depth of the histological sections [5, 11, 15]. BIN1 immunoreactivity in the relatively small microglial cells is therefore obscured by the more intense staining of oligodendrocyte and neuronal processes, posing technical challenges for a clear demonstration of microglial BIN1 protein expression in situ.

In light of myriad findings of microglial dysfunction and DAM transformation in AD pathogenesis [29, 30], it is critical to investigate microglial BIN1 expression and function. The present article achieves the first-layer analysis by documenting microglial BIN1 protein in the mouse brain and characterizing microglial BIN1 isoforms. Subsequently, we explored the functional role of BIN1 by silencing Bin1 expression in primary mouse microglia and conditional knockout mice (cKO) via selective ablation of Bin1 alleles in microglia. Utilizing neuroinflammatory transcriptomic profiling, we have identified BIN1 as a homeostatic microglial regulator that has a non-redundant role in the activation of proinflammatory responses upstream of Ape, Trem2, and Tyrobp, and upstream of PU.1 and IRF1, both master regulators of microglial gene expression and transition to DAM [31, 32]. Loss of Bin1 in vitro profoundly impaired microglial ability to respond to LPS, resulting in a blunted proinflammatory response as measured by cytokine production and gene expression. In vivo, loss of microglial Bin1 in the systemic LPS-induced neuroinflammatory model also blunted proinflammatory gene expression changes in addition to diminishing the upregulation of several DAM genes. Consistent across in vitro and in vivo Bin1 manipulation studies, BIN1 was predicted to regulate type 1 interferon response in microglia. Importantly, BIN1 was found to regulate inflammation-induced expression of Ifitm3, an interferon-response gene recently associated with AD-related mechanisms [33]. IFITM3 facilitates lysosome acidification [34, 35] and limits immune-response cytokine production [36, 37]. Notably, IFITM3 gene networks are enriched in brains and peripheral blood mononuclear cells of AD patients [38], suggesting that IFITM3 plays a role in microglial inflammatory responses to AD pathology. Collectively, these findings provide important insights into BIN1 expression and function in microglia, demonstrating the significance of microglial BIN1 expression in brain inflammatory response.

**Methods**

**Animals, drug administration, and harvest**

All experiments involving animals were conducted in accordance with the IACUC guidelines at the University of South Florida. Bin1<sup>fl/fl</sup> strain was obtained from Dr. George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research) [39]. Emx1-IRES-Cre (JAX stock #005628) and Cx3cr1<sup>tm2.1(cre/ERT2)Litt/WganJ</sup> (JAX stock #021160;
heterozygous mice are referred to as $\text{Cx3cr1}^{\text{CreER}}$ lines were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). $\text{Bin1}^{\text{fl/fl}}$ mice were crossed with $\text{Emx1}^{\text{1-RES-Cre}}$ or $\text{Cx3cr1}^{\text{CreER}}$ animals to generate $\text{Emx1}^{\text{1-RES-Cre}}:\text{Bin1}^{\text{fl/fl}}$ ($\text{Emx1}^{\text{1-RES-Cre}}:\text{Bin1}^{\text{cKO}}$) and $\text{Cx3cr1}^{\text{CreER}}:\text{Bin1}^{\text{fl/fl}}$ ($\text{Cx3cr1}^{\text{CreER}}:\text{Bin1}^{\text{cKO}}$) animals [5]. The mice were maintained on a C57BL6/J background.

Tamoxifen (10 mg/mL, prepared in a 10% ethanol and 90% sunflower seed oil solution by vortexing and sonicating) was administered through intraperitoneal injections (100 mg/kg) on 5 consecutive days. Mice were then rested for 4 weeks to allow the re-population of peripheral monocytes. Subsequently, LPS (dissolved in sterile saline at 250 μg/mL and filtered through a 0.22 μm syringe filter) was injected (750 μg/kg) on four consecutive days. The animals were sacrificed 24 h after the final injection. Mice were weighed prior to each LPS injection and monitored for sickness and weight loss. All animals were terminally anaesthetised with isoflurane overdose and perfused with ice-cold PBS. Brain tissue was dissected out and divided for microglial isolation and immunostaining.

**Immunofluorescence staining and imaging**

Brain tissue was post-fixed at 4 °C for 24 h in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde and processed for paraffin embedding. Five μm-thick sections were deparaffinised in xylene, hydrated through an ethanol series, and subjected to antigen retrieval at 90 °C (in 10 mM sodium citrate containing 0.05% Tween 20, pH6). After washing and permeabilization in 0.25% Triton X-100, non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubation at room temperature for 1 hour in a buffer containing 10% donkey serum, 3% BSA, and 0.1% Triton X-100 in TBS. The antibodies used for immunostaining are listed in Supplementary Table 5. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1% BSA (in TBS + 0.1% Triton X-100), added to slides, and incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing, fluorescence-labeled secondary antibodies were added to slides and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Alternatively, immunostaining was processed on an intelliPATH FLX automated staining system (Biocare Medical) following epitope retrieval and blocking non-specific binding sites according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The slides were sequentially incubated with each primary and secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were washed and dried at room temperature prior to mounting coverslips with VectorShield mounting medium. Images were acquired on an automated Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope fitted with the Yokogawa spinning disk field scanning confocal system and Photometrics PRIME 95B sCMOS camera, using 20X and 100X objectives. High magnification z-stack images were deconvolved in NIS-Elements software (Nikon), processed using Fiji/ImageJ, and converted into 2D projections by smooth manifold extraction plug-in [40].

**Fluorescence-activated microglial cell sorting**

Mouse brain microglia were isolated by flow cytometry, as previously described [41]. Briefly, harvested brain tissue was minced on ice and passed through a 40 μm nylon cell strainer with ice-cold filtered PBS. The dispersed cell suspension was centrifuged at 800 x g, at 4 °C, for 5 mins, and pellet suspended in 35% isotonic Percoll solution containing 1x HBSS. Myelin was separated by centrifugation (800 x g, at 15 °C, for 25 mins) and removed from the top of the cell suspension. The Percoll solution was diluted >10-fold in ice-cold PBS and centrifuged at 800 x g, at 4 °C, for 5 mins. The microglial cell pellet was resuspended in 300 μl ice-cold PBS. Dead cells were labeled with 7-aaminocinomycin D [1:1000] in PBS at room temperature for 30 mins. Cells were then labeled with APC-Cy7 rat α-CD11b[M1/70] (BD Pharmingen 557,657), PE-Cy7 rat α-mouse CD45[30-F11] (BD Pharmingen 552,848), and BV421 Armenian hamster α-CD11c[N418] (BD Horizon 565,452) [all diluted 1:100] in PBS for 30 mins, then washed twice with PBS. Flow cytometry was performed on a BD FACS Melody cell sorter. Live cells were gated by 7-aaminocinomycin D-negative staining. Mononuclear cells were gated by FSC-A/SSC-A and single cells by FSC-A/FSC-H. Microglial cells were isolated as CD11b$^+$ and CD45$^{int}$ population and collected in PBS and centrifuged at 1000 x g for 2 mins to sediment the cells. Cell pellets used for immunoblot analysis were snap-frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C until further processing. Cell pellets used for NanoString analysis were immediately lysed in RLT buffer (Qiagen), snap-frozen on dry ice, and stored at −80°C.

**Primary neonatal microglia isolation**

Primary mouse microglial cultures were established using established isolation and enrichment protocols [42, 43]. As described previously [31], C57BL/6J mice (P0-3) were euthanized, and brains were dissected then digested with Trypsin for 15 min at 37 °C. After quenching the Trypsin with 20 ml DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium)/10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine, the cellular pellet was washed, and myelin debris was removed. The remaining cell suspension was filtered through a 40 μm strainer followed by CD11b$^+$ positive selection using the mini-MACS (Miltenyi Biotec Cat#130-042-201) column. CD11b$^+$ enrichment resulted in > 90%
pure CD11b+ microglia as previously validated by flow cytometry [42]. Cells were then seeded in poly-L-lysine-coated wells and cultured in DMEM. After 24 h, the medium was replaced with a fresh medium, after which cells were used for experimentation.

Human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells
The generation of human iPSC lines from human blood cells and their characterization have been previously described [44, 45]. Human iPSCs were differentiated into primitive macrophage precursors and then to microglia (iMG) essentially as described [44, 46]. Final differentiation of primitive macrophage precursors into iMG occurred over 10 days, and cells were maintained in culture for at least 1 month before harvesting for immunoblot and RT-PCR analyses.

Generation of Bin1 KO BV2 pools
BV2 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 4 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Cells were transduced with lentiviruses generated using pLentiCRISPRv2 plasmids (Genscript) expressing Bin1 sgRNA (GAAGGATCTTCGGGCTGACTATC) or non-target sgRNA. Stably transduced pools were selected in puromycin, and Bin1 deletion was assessed by PCR amplification across the gRNA target site (F-primer: ACT GAGTGGTGCGCCTGACAAAG; R-primer: TGAGTGCCA GAGGACAGCG) and sequencing. PCR products were also cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega), and four individual clones from each pool were sequenced to confirm deletions within the target region. WT and KO pools grown to 60 -70% confluency were serum-starved for 16 h and treated with LPS (0.5 μg/mL) for a further 16 h before harvesting for RNA isolation or lysate preparation.

Bin1 small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection study
Bin1 was silenced with Bin1 siRNA (sc-29,805 Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and equal amounts of non-specific sham siRNA (sc-37,007) were used for control. Primary microglia were transfected with 40 nM (final concentration) of siRNA using Lipofectamine™ RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) and OptiMEM (Invitrogen). After 48 h, the efficiency of siRNA-mediated gene silencing was confirmed by qRT-PCR. LPS (10 ng/ml or 100 ng/ml, Sigma-Aldrich Cat#L4391, E. coli 0111:B4) was added after 24 h of siRNA exposure to activate microglia. The cells were collected after 24 h of activation for qRT-PCR, NanoString, and phagocytosis studies, while supernatants were collected for cytokine assays. The viability of Bin1 siRNA-treated cells was measured by flow cytometric assay by LIVE/DEAD Dye staining (Invitrogen) with heat-treated cells as a positive control.

Immunoblot
Protein was extracted from whole-brain samples by homogenizing in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% SDS) supplemented with 1x Roche complete protease inhibitors, 250 μM PMSF. DNA was sheared by sonication using a probe sonicator. Protein was extracted from microglia isolated by flow cytometry and cultured human iMG by trituration in lysis buffer. Aliquots of protein samples were electrophoresed through 4-20% Bis-Tris gels, and blots were probed with rabbit anti-BIN1 (Proteintech 14,647-1-AP, 1:1000) and mouse anti-β-actin (Proteintech 66,009-1-lg, 1:50,000) antibodies. The blots were developed with IR680- and IR800-conjugated secondary antibodies and imaged with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-COR Biosciences).

RT-PCR and isoform quantification
RNA was extracted from tissue and cells using the DirectZol kit (Zymo), per the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was reverse transcribed using Superscript IV (Invitrogen), and PCRs were performed using Phusion polymerase (NEB). The PCR primers were designed to span exon 7 (5-F: GGATGAAGCCAAAATGGCAAA; 10-R: CATCATTGGGTTCTGATTGAGC), the CLAP domain and exon 17 (12-F: AF690_14CATCCCAAGGTCCCATCTC; 19-R: AATCACAAACACCACACTGCC), or exon 11 (10-F: TCAATGATGTCCTGGTCAAGC; 12-R: GCTCATGTTCTCCTGATCT). Primers were also designed to amplify across the human exon 11 sequence (Hu exon 10-F: GAAGCCCTCAATGATGTGCTGG; Hu exon 12-R: TCGTGGTCTACTGGTCCTGCG). Amplified DNA fragments were electrophoresed through 7.5% acrylamide gels. The gels were stained using SYTO™ 60 dye (Invitrogen) and visualized on an infrared Odyssey scanner (LICOR). PCR products amplified using Alexa flour 690-modified forward primer were scanned without staining to allow semi-quantification of DNA based on fluorescence intensity relative to the molecular load.

For isoform frequency calculation, FACScalibur-isolated microglial cDNA was used for PCR amplification using primers 12-F and 19-R. The products were purified and cloned in pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and transformed into JM109 cells. DNA isolated from individual colonies was re-amplified by PCR and electrophoresed through 5% acrylamide TBE gels to distinguish splicing by the insert length. All larger inserts and a selection of the most frequent (and readily distinguishable, smallest) inserts were analyzed by sequencing to identify the four isoforms expressed in mouse brain microglia (Fig. S2B). The relative frequency of clones corresponding to each
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of the four isoforms was calculated, and the data are presented in Fig. 1H.

Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed as described previously [47]. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on 7500 Fast Real-time PCR System using TaqMan PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). The following gene-specific TaqMan probes were used: Trem2 (Mm04209424_g1), Apoe (Mm01307193_g1), Tyrobp (Mm00449152_m1), Spp1 (Mm00436767_m1), Grn (Mm00433848_m1), Lamp1 (Mm00495262_m1), Bin1 (Mm00437457_m1), and Gapdh (Mm99999915_g1). Each sample was analyzed in duplicates, and the relative gene expression analysis was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_{T}}$ method compared to the housekeeping gene Gapdh [31]. Alternatively, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were conducted in the same manner described for RT-PCR. qRT-PCR was then conducted using a QuantStudio™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Samples were amplified using technical triplicates (using primer sequences listed in Supplementary Table 6). Relative gene expression analysis was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_{T}}$ method, normalised to CottI.

Quantitative NanoString neuroinflammatory gene expression and data analysis

Microglia were exposed to siRNAs against Bin1 for 24 h, followed by LPS treatment (10 ng/ml) for an additional 24 h, after which cells were lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA was then isolated using the nCounter (NanoString LOW-RNA-48). Quality control checks were performed on all samples to determine RNA concentration and integrity (RIN scores > 8.8 for all samples), and 50 ng of each sample was used for the NanoString assay using the NanoString Neuroinflammation panel (770 selected genes) [48]. Gene expression was measured using the NanoString, and the genes with counts two standard deviations above the negative control geomean were included in the final analysis. Of 770 genes represented in the panel, 681 genes met this criterion. The counts per gene were then normalized to the geometric mean of 8 housekeeping genes included in the panel. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the expression dataset was first performed to determine whether experimental conditions clustered together and to identify the Bin1 and LPS effect on the dataset. K-means cluster analysis was performed using Morpheus software (Broad Institute). As an orthogonal clustering approach, tSNE was also performed on the NanoString expression data. The agreement between K-means and tSNE clusters was determined by overlaying the two-dimensional tSNE scatter plot with K-means cluster membership (SPSS Version 24). Group-wise analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Tukey’s test was performed for comparisons across groups. Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed to identify enriched GO terms, Wikipathways, and KEGG pathways within each cluster using all 681 included genes as the reference list (GOElite, Version 1.2.5) [48, 49].

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1

Characterization of BIN1 in the mouse brain and human iPSC-derived microglia. A Five μm-thick paraffin sections were stained with antibodies against BIN1 (green) and IBA1 (magenta). Images of the cortex and hippocampus from a WT animal show BIN1 expression in IBA1-positive microglia (top panel). By genetically ablating Bin1 expression from excitatory neurons and oligodendrocytes, microglial BIN1 expression is confirmed in Bin1 cKO mice (bottom panel). An asterisk indicates the expected unperturbed BIN1 expression in the thalamus beneath the dentate gyrus in the cKO brain [5]. B Line-scan analysis shows the concordance of BIN1 and IBA1 signal intensities in a subset of cells (in WT) and indicates the expression of BIN1 in IBA1+ microglia. The removal of BIN1 expression in excitatory neurons and oligodendrocytes demonstrates that the high-intensity profile of the microglial marker (IBA1) overlaps with that of BIN1, affirming microglial BIN1 expression. C Higher magnification images evidence BIN1 localization in the perinuclear regions of the microglial soma in Bin1 cKO and EmxCre littermates. Microglial BIN1 localization is readily apparent in the Bin1 cKO mouse brain, where BIN1 immunoreactivity could be seen permeating into cells’ ramifications (bottom panels). D Human post-mortem brain sections were stained with antibodies against BIN1 (green) and IBA1 (magenta). Overlapping morphological homogeneity of immunofluorescence unambiguously demonstrates BIN1 expression in human microglia. E Line-scan analysis exemplifies the overlapping expression of the two channels in D. Peaks in both channels represent microglial BIN1 expression. BIN1 only peaks reflect signals from oligodendrocyte cell bodies. The single isolated IBA1 peak suggests a lack of BIN1 expression in the nucleus of microglia. F Immunoblot analysis of BIN1 expression in whole-brain homogenates shows higher levels of BIN1 isoforms containing the CLAP domain (BIN1: H) and lower levels of BIN1 L isoforms. In contrast, FACS-isolated mouse microglia and human iPSC-derived iMG predominantly express BIN1 isoforms lacking the CLAP domain (BIN1: L). G RT-PCR analyses of FACS-isolated microglia demonstrate that exon 7 (left) and the CLAP domain (right) are excluded in the majority of microglial Bin1 transcripts. We detected no relative change in Bin1 isoforms following LPS administration (see Figs. S2A and S5H). An asterisk indicates a non-specific PCR product. H Microglial Bin1 isoforms generated by alternative splicing. Cloning and individual analysis of the PCR products allowed the Bin1 isoform frequency to be calculated. Approximately 90% of mouse microglial Bin1 transcripts code for isoform 10, with isoforms 9, 12, and 6 together, accounting for approximately 10% of Bin1 transcripts. Exon 7 (within the BAR domain), exon 11 (PI domain; see Fig. S3A), and exons 14–16 (within the CLAP domain) were not present in any microglial isoforms screened.
Fluorescent polystyrene microsphere phagocytosis flow Cytometric assay
Phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated polystyrene microspheres (Thermo-Fisher Fluorospheres, Cat#F13083) were added to primary microglia [41, 50]. Cells were exposed to 5 µl microspheres (≈200 microspheres/cell) for 1 h at 37 °C followed by trypsin incubation for 10 min at 37 °C to detach the cells, after which DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum was added. The cells were harvested while on ice to halt phagocytic activity. The cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and then labeled with fluorophore-conjugated CD45 (CD45-BV421, BD Biosciences Cat#563890) for 30 min at room temperature, followed by washing prior to flow cytometry. Phagocytic characteristics were assayed by flow cytometry as previously described [50]. All flow cytometric data were analyzed using FlowJo version 10, and proportions of cells demonstrating phagocytic uptake of > 1 bead/cell were determined as an index of phagocytic activity. Phagocytic uptake of > 2 beads/cell was regarded as high-level phagocytosis.

Phagocytosis was assessed in adult mouse brain cells (containing unpurified microglia) following processing in the same manner described for fluorescence-activated microglial cell sorting. Following myelin removal, cells...
were incubated with 1μl yellow-green polystyrene beads (Sigma, Cat#L4665) in 100μl PBS and incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 1h. Cells were then washed twice with PBS, stained with APC-Cy7 rat α-CD11b and PE-Cy7 rat α-CD45, and flow cytometry gated as described for fluorescence-activated microglial cell sorting.

**Fluorescent Fibrillar Aβ42 phagocytosis flow Cytometric assay**

Fibrillar fluorescent Aβ42 conjugated to HiLyte Fluor 488 (fAβ42-488) was prepared by mixing 100μg of peptide (Anaspec Cat#AS-60479) in 20μl 1% NH₄OH and immediately diluted with 1XPBS to prepare a 100 μM stock. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 6days and then used for phagocytosis assays as described previously [41, 50]. After in-vitro exposure to siRNA and/or inflammatory stimuli, fAβ42-488 (2μM final concentration) was added for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were harvested as discussed above, and then the washed cells were labeled with fluorophore-conjugated anti-CD45 mAb (CD45-PE-Cy7, BD Biosciences Cat#552848). Compensation experiments were performed using compensation beads. Phagocytic uptake of fluorescent fAβ42-488 within live CD45⁺ microglia was measured as a proportion of fluorescent cells. We have already previously shown that this peak of fluorescence is inhibited by cytochalasin D treatment, confirming that our assay measures actin-dependent phagocytic processes [50].

**Multiplex immunoassays of cytokines and chemokines** *(Meso scale discovery platform V-PLEX)*

Culture supernatants were collected prior to harvest for transcriptomic studies. Supernatants were centrifuged to remove debris and then 120μl was used for multiplex immunoassays (MSD V-PLEX Proinflammatory panel: IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, LIF, IL-13, LIX, IL-15, IL-17, IP-10, KC, MCP-1, MIP-1a, MIP-1b, M-CSF, MIP-2, MIG, RANTES, VEGF, TNF-α, for in vivo studies). These assays were performed per the manufacturer’s protocols and read out on a MAGPIX instrument.

**Microglial morphology analysis**

Mouse brains were post-fixed with 4% PFA at 4°C, then equilibrated in 30% sucrose until the brains sank. Frozen sections (25μm) were stained with goat α-IBA1 antibody (Novus Biologicals) for 40 h at 4°C. Secondary antibody (Alexa fluor 555 donkey α-goat, Invitrogen) was incubated for 3h, and nuclei were stained with Hoechst for 30 mins. Micrographs of whole-brain sections were acquired as 4.5μm-thick z-stacks (at 0.5μm intervals) using a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope with a 20X objective. Image tiles were stitched together and deconvoluted using NIS Elements software (Nikon).

**Statistical analyses**

GraphPad Prism version 8.0, Microsoft Excel version 2017, SPSS version 24, and R (version 3.5.1) were used for data analyses and data representation. Data are shown as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM). Student’s t-test (two-tailed, assuming equal variance) was used for pairwise comparisons, with statistical significance set at p < 0.05 unless otherwise specified. All other statistical considerations are discussed in the relevant sections above.

**Results**

**BIN1 expression and subcellular localization in mouse and human brain microglia**

Initially, we sought to unambiguously identify BIN1 protein expression in microglia in the mouse brain. We immunostained wild-type (WT) mouse brain sections with antibodies against BIN1 and IBA1 and found several cells positive for both proteins in the cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 1A, upper panel). However, the blanket
of synaptic BIN1 throughout the brain parenchyma shrouded the entire field of view in the micrographs, producing poor contrast to identify cell specificity by morphology. In order to definitively confirm BIN1 expression in IBA1-positive microglial cells, we generated EmxCre-Bin1fl/fl mice in which Bin1 alleles were deleted from excitatory neurons and oligodendrocytes of the hippocampi and cortices [5], providing better contrast for detection of BIN1 in unaffected cell populations (i.e., microglia). As expected, only low-level BIN1 immunoreactivity (likely in inhibitory synapses) was detected in the neuropil of the cortex and hippocampus of EmxCre-Bin1 cKO using a BIN1-specific antibody [5] (Fig. 1A, lower panel). Unlike the cellular BIN1 immunofluorescence staining in both IBA1+ and IBA1− cells in WT mice, BIN1 cellular staining in the cortex and hippocampus of cKO mice was limited to IBA1+ microglial cells. The cellular co-expression of BIN1 and IBA1 was assessed by line scan analyses of the two-channel images (Fig. 1B). In the WT, whilst there is a clear overlap of BIN1 and IBA1 signals in a subset of high-intensity peaks signifying microglial BIN1 expression, other BIN1 peaks were devoid of IBA1 signal, indicating BIN1 expression in other cell types (such as oligodendrocytes, which express high levels of BIN1 [4]). In comparison with EmxCre-Bin1 cKO, the high level of parenchymal BIN1 signal was evident in WT mice. Importantly, measurements from the cKO showed a near-perfect alignment of BIN1 and IBA1 signals in high-intensity peaks, demonstrating BIN1 protein expression within the microglia (Fig. 1B). At higher magnification, intense BIN1 immunoreactivity in the perinuclear regions and ramified processes were visible in microglia of EmxCre-Bin1 cKO mice and EmxCre littersmates (Fig. 1C). To relate this finding to human microglia, we immunostained post-mortem frontal cortex sections from non-diseased humans in the same manner. BIN1 immunoreactivity was observed in the neuropil and the soma of many cells, some of which were identified as IBA1+ microglia. As with mouse brain microglia, BIN1 was localised to perinuclear regions of microglia and the processes in the human brain (Fig. 1D). A line scan across the soma of microglia confirmed the overlap and concomitant intensity changes in the two channels (Fig. 1E). Together, these immunohistochemical studies unequivocally confirm microglial BIN1 expression in both human and mouse brains.

**Characterization of microglial BIN1 isoforms**

To identify microglial BIN1 isoforms, we probed immunoblots of lysates from mouse whole-brain homogenates and adult mouse brain-derived CD11b+CD45int FACS-purified microglia [41]. In accordance with previous analyses of BIN1 isoforms [5, 11], the blots of mouse brain homogenates revealed predominantly higher molecular weight (~75-80 kDa) BIN1 isoforms, representing those containing the CLAP domain (henceforth referred to as BIN1:H), with low-level detection of lower molecular weight (~50-55 kDa) isoforms, which lack the CLAP domain (henceforth referred as BIN1:L) (Fig. 1F). In contrast, BIN1 in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-isolated microglial lysates corresponded to BIN1:L, with an almost complete lack of the CLAP domain-containing isoforms. Some low-level expression of proteins that migrated between the dominant BIN1:H and BIN1:L isoforms was evident in microglia. These intermediate-sized proteins may represent a post-translational modification of BIN1:L isoforms or possibly low-level alternate splicing of one or more exons that constitute the CLAP domain.

In order to elucidate Bin1 isoform expression, we performed RT-PCR analysis of FACS-isolated mouse brain-derived microglia and mouse brain. Results from a set of reactions spanning exons 6-10 demonstrated that exon 7 within the BAR domain is excluded in microglial Bin1 transcripts (Fig. 1G, left). Furthermore, the skeletal muscle-specific exon 11, which codes for a polybasic sequence that confers binding to phosphoinositides and is essential for BIN1-induced membrane tubulation [52], is spliced out from Bin1 transcripts in adult mouse brain microglia and human induced iPSCs-derived microglia (Fig. S2A). In concurrence with our immunoblot data, RT-PCR across the region spanning exons 12-19 revealed that microglial Bin1 transcripts predominantly exclude the exons 13-16 corresponding to the CLAP domain, with some level of alternative splicing in this region (Fig. 1G, right). As expected, isoforms containing the CLAP domain and those lacking this domain were amplified in whole-brain RT-PCR (Fig. 1G). We verified our interpretation of Bin1 splicing in microglia by cloning the microglial RT-PCR products and analysing the amplified regions in individual clones by gel electrophoresis (for predominant splice pattern) and/or sequencing (predominant and non-predominant splice patterns). The vast majority of clones lacked exons 13-17 (Δ CLAP, Δ exon 17), accounting for ~90% of Bin1 transcripts (isoform 10), with low-frequency inclusion of exons 13 and 17 (isoforms 6, 12, and 9; Figs. 1H and S2B).

In order to relate the above findings from murine microglia to human expression, we generated
differentiated microglia-like cells (iMG) from human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) [44–46] and extracted protein lysates. Immunoblot analysis demonstrated the presence of BIN1:L isoforms, the lack of BIN1:H isoforms, and the presence of some intermediate-size BIN1-related polypeptides. These cross-species investigations show that the general pattern of BIN1 isoforms expressed in human iPSC-derived microglial cells resembles the BIN1 isoforms found in FACS-isolated adult mouse brain microglia (Fig. 1F).

BIN1 is a regulator of proinflammatory activation, cytokine production, and neurodegeneration-associated gene expression in primary mouse microglia

Neuroinflammation and microglial activation are common pathological features of several neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. Cultured microglia initiate a robust proinflammatory response when exposed to LPS, an agonist of toll-like receptors, resulting in an altered gene signature and release of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL1β, TNF, and IL6), which are implicated in neurodegenerative diseases [53, 54]. To investigate...
the potential role of microglial BIN1 in the regulation of homeostatic signaling and inflammatory responses, we manipulated primary microglia in culture. Mouse postnatal microglial cultures were treated with *Bin1* siRNA (or sham siRNA) for 48 h and then with or without LPS (100 ng/ml) for an additional 24 h, and their neuroinflammatory profile was assessed (770-gene NanoString neuroinflammatory gene panel) [31, 48, 55]. LPS stimulation caused a significant upregulation of *Bin1* transcripts (Fig. S3B). *Bin1* siRNA treatment substantially suppressed *Bin1* transcript levels (> 80%, Fig. 2A) without impacting cell viability or morphological response to LPS (Fig. S3A and data not shown). Of 681 genes included in the final analysis (Supplemental Table 1), 513 were differentially expressed at the unadjusted level (ANOVA *p* < 0.05) and 498 genes at the adjusted level (FDR < 5%). The first two principal components (PCs) collectively explained 71% of the variance in the dataset. PC1 predominantly accounted for the *Bin1* knockdown (KD) effect (42% variance), which was largely independent of the LPS effect (Fig. 2B-C). PC2 accounted for the LPS effect (29% variance) and, furthermore, showed that the LPS effect was dampened following the loss of *Bin1* (Fig. 2B-C).

K-means clustering revealed 6 clusters of affected genes, of which 5 showed distinct patterns of regulation by LPS and *Bin1* (Fig. 2D). Cluster 1, positively regulated by *Bin1* under both resting and LPS-stimulated conditions, was enriched in genes (including *Siglec1,*...
C3ar1, Fcgr1, and Tmem119) involved in innate immune response, regulation of type I interferon production and signaling, lipid binding, antigen binding, and localization in membrane rafts (Fig. 2E and Fig. S4C). This cluster also contained Bin1, confirming the effect of Bin1 siRNA. Cluster 2 genes were upregulated by LPS and positively regulated by BIN1 and included canonical proinflammatory genes (including Il1b, Marco, C3, and Ira3), involved in the regulation of NF-κB signaling, cytokine production, and NLRP3 inflammasome function. Cluster 3 genes were downregulated by LPS and positively regulated by BIN1 and included homeostatic (Cx3cr1, Gpr34) and DAM genes (Trem2, Tyrobp, Spp1, and Apoe) [25] involved in endo-lysosomal function, lipid metabolism, adhesion, and TGFβ signaling (see Fig. S4E). Cluster 4 contained genes upregulated by LPS but independent of BIN1, which are involved in ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, ribosome and epigenetic regulation, and histone methylation, reflecting the profound effect LPS has on microglia. Cluster 5 genes were generally expressed at lower levels, were negatively regulated by BIN1 independent of LPS stimulation, and included genes involved in synaptic transmission, typically expressed in neurons (see Fig. S4F).
We also visualized our gene expression data using T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) analysis to demonstrate better broad groups of genes positively regulated by BIN1 (overlapped with clusters 1, 2, and 3) and negatively regulated by BIN1 (clusters 4 and 5), identified above by hierarchical clustering analysis (Fig. 3A). In cluster 3 of our dataset, BIN1 positively regulated several DAM genes (Fig. 3C) previously identified by single-cell RNAseq of microglia from mouse models of AD pathology [25]. To ascertain the significance of microglial BIN1-regulated genes in AD pathology, we performed MAGMA of AD-associated genetic risk factors [23]. This analysis revealed substantial overlap with our dataset (Fig. 3B), indicating that several AD risk genes act downstream of BIN1 in microglia. Interestingly, risk genes with high homeostatic expression in microglia (Apoe, Trem2, Cd33, and Ms4a4a) were positively regulated by BIN1, whereas neuronal AD risk genes (Cun2 and Gria1) and autophagy genes (Sqtst1) were negatively regulated by BIN1. To validate the regulation of DAM genes by BIN1 in microglia, we performed a qRT-PCR analysis of primary microglia (Cnn2 and Trem2) and IL6) across two different assay platforms (MSD and Luminex; Fig. 4A-B). Analysis of transcript levels from the six cytokines included in our NanoString panel demonstrated a similar pattern (Cluster 2) for five of these (Il1b, Tnf, Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl5). Of further functional importance, several proteins coded by Cluster 3 genes (Trem2, Tyrobp, Cdh6, and Apoe; see Fig. 3C) regulate phagocytosis in microglia. To investigate the functional significance of these gene expression changes, we analyzed the phagocytic capacity of
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**Fig. 5** In vivo deletion of Bin1 affects surface CD11c expression. A Experimental strategy for in vivo experiments involved three groups of mice: Bin1flo/flo (WT equivalent), Cx3cr1creERT2 primary reference group, and Cx3cr1creERT2-Bin1 cKO (experimental group). Mice were injected with tamoxifen for 5 consecutive days, then rested for four weeks to allow replenishment of Bin1 expression in peripheral monocytes. Mice then received saline or LPS for four consecutive days, and brains were harvested for flow cytometry / FACS, IHC, and cytokine assays. 24 h after the final injection. B Immunofluorescence staining in the piriform cortex demonstrates Bin1 expression in microglia (yellow arrows), oligodendrocytes (asterisks), and synapses (unlabelled) in mice with normal Bin1 expression (Cx3cr1creERT2). Bin1 was deleted from the microglia of experimental mice (Cx3cr1creERT2-Bin1 cKO), whilst oligodendrocytes and synaptic Bin1 were unaffected. C Mouse brain cells were labelled with APC-Cy7 α-CD11b, PE-Cy7 α-CD45, and BV421 α-CD11c. Single, mononuclear, CD45INT population were gated, and microglia were sorted as CD11b+CD45R0 population. D A representative flow cytometric image of each experimental group is depicted. E Flow cytometric analysis demonstrates that LPS administration in vivo caused an increase in the proportion of cells with high surface CD11c expression in all genotypes. The LPS effect was augmented by Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency (Cx3cr1creERT2), this additional increase was blunted by microglial Bin1 deletion (Cx3cr1creERT2-Bin1 cKO). Two-way ANOVA found main effects for genotype (F2,17 = 32.98, p < 0.001) and LPS (F1,17 = 100.9, p < 0.001). There was a significant genotype*LPS interaction (F2,17 = 16.87, p < 0.001). F NanoString mRNA counts show that LPS increased Itgax transcript numbers (F1,16 = 27.01, p < 0.001). No differences between genotypes (F2,16 = 0.065, p = 0.77) and no genotype*LPS interactions (F2,16 = 1.052, p = 0.372) were found. Bin1 deletion did not attenuate Itgax transcript numbers. G NanoString analysis of mRNA from sorted microglia demonstrates that our cKO system resulted in approximately 50% decrease in microglial Bin1 expression (F2,17 = 13.14, p < 0.001), which was not affected by LPS (F2,17 = 0.712, p = 0.505), despite the main effect for LPS increasing Bin1 transcripts (F1,17 = 5.853, p = 0.027). Analysis of Cx3cr1 transcript numbers found a main effect for genotype (F2,17 = 43.802, p < 0.001), with post-hoc differences between Bin1flo/flo with Cx3cr1creERT2 (p < 0.001), Bin1flo/flo with Cx3cr1creERT2-Bin1 cKO (p < 0.001), and Cx3cr1creERT2 with Cx3cr1creERT2-Bin1 cKO (p = 0.043) demonstrating that the reduction in Cx3cr1 expression in the Cre line was partially attenuated by Bin1 deletion. No main effect for LPS treatment (F2,17 = 0.303, p = 0.589) and no genotype*LPS interaction (F2,17 = 0.515, p = 0.606) were found. All by two-way ANOVA. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001, by post-hoc t-test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. All data plotted as mean ± SEM.
primary microglia following Bin1 KD (Fig. 4C-D). Reduced Bin1 expression resulted in a decrease in phagocytosis of fluorescent microspheres and augmented the impairment induced by high-dose LPS exposure. However, we observed no effect for Bin1 loss on the ability of primary microglia to phagocytose fluorescent Aβ42 fibrils (Fig. 4E). Overall, our in vitro studies suggest that BIN1 regulates proinflammatory responses, the expression of several neurodegenerative disease-relevant genes, and cytokine production in primary mouse microglia.
Microglia-specific ablation of Bin1 mitigates LPS-mediated proinflammatory activation and DAM gene expression profile in vivo

Considering the differences in microglial phenotypes between culture conditions and in vivo, we proceeded to investigate the effects of microglia-specific Bin1 deletion on the mouse brain microglial transcriptome under homeostatic and inflammatory (systemic LPS) conditions. We employed an inducible conditional Bin1 knockout strategy by crossing Cx3cr1^CreER:Bin1^fl/fl mice. Experimental groups included Bin1^fl/fl as the wild-type equivalent, Cx3cr1^CreER as the primary reference group (as these mice only have one functional Cx3cr1 allele), and Cx3cr1^CreER:Bin1^fl/fl (Cx3cr1^CreER, Bin1 cKO) as the experimental group. Following 5 days of daily tamoxifen injections, mice were rested for a four-week interval to allow replenishment of peripheral monocytes/macrophages (which also express Cx3cr1). Following this, LPS was administered for four consecutive days to induce a well-characterised proinflammatory microglial response [57, 58], and mice were euthanized 24 h after the final injection (Fig. 5A). As expected, LPS induced a sickness response of hypothermia and weight loss. Interestingly, we observed a trend towards dampened hypothalamic responses in Bin1 cKO mice without affecting weight loss (Fig. S5B-E).

LPS administration did not cause a significant change in Bin1 expression in FACS-isolated brain microglia as quantified by NanoString analysis (Fig. S5G), and no change in Bin1 splicing was detected by RT-PCR (Figs. 1G, S2A, and S5H). Using immunofluorescence staining, we confirmed BIN1 expression in IBA1^+ microglia of Cx3cr1^CreER but not Cx3cr1^CreER, Bin1 cKO mice (Fig. 5B). Whilst LPS administration induced a morphological transition into an amoeboid phenotype in WT (Bin1^fl/fl) microglia, Cx3cr1 haploinsufficient microglia presented a hyper-ramified resting morphology (Fig. S6A), suggesting a functional inability to respond to inflammatory conditions. However, key parameters of microglial morphology were not significantly affected, demonstrating the highly variable morphological response of these heterogeneous cells, with significant differences between brain regions (Fig. S5B-C). LPS administration did not seem to affect BIN1 expression or localization in microglia, as detected by immunofluorescence histology staining of Bin1^fl/fl mouse brains (Fig. S5F).

Flow cytometry was performed on mononuclear cells isolated from brains to measure the surface expression of CD11b, CD45, CD11c, and Ly6c. CD11b^+CD45^int microglia were FACS-purified and processed for NanoString transcriptomic profiling (Fig. 5A and C). Based on Iba1 immunofluorescence staining and flow cytometry studies, we observed no difference in microglial density or numbers across the genotypes (Fig. S6A and data not shown). Amongst CD11b^+CD45^int microglia, there was a significant increase in the proportion of CD11c^+ microglia following LPS treatment, which was most apparent in Cx3cr1^CreER mice (Fig. 5D and E), likely attributable to Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. In contrast to Cx3cr1^CreER mice, the additional loss of Bin1 (Cx3cr1^CreER, Bin1 cKO) abrogated this LPS effect (Fig. 5D and E). Thus, high-level surface CD11c expression, a feature of microglial activation and signature of the DAM phenotype [21, 25, 59] (several genes of which are upregulated following LPS exposure [60]), becomes apparent in microglia in the LPS-induced neuroinflammation model and appears to be moderated by CX3CR1 signaling. Collectively, these findings indicate that BIN1 positively regulates cell surface CD11c levels in microglia and may control the induction of the DAM phenotype following systemic LPS administration.

Inflammatory gene expression data from FACS-purified microglia were then analyzed for 511 transcripts (Supplemental Table S3). Microglia isolated from Cx3cr1^CreER, Bin1 cKO animals had approximately 50% lower Bin1 levels than Cx3cr1^CreER controls (Fig. 5G). The efficiency of Bin1 loss did not vary between sexes.

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 6** In vivo microglia-specific loss of Bin1 dampens the proinflammatory microglial response. A PCA of gene expression data from FACS-purified mouse brain microglia from in vivo Bin1 cKO studies identified two PCs which accounted for 42% of the variance in the data. B PC1 (effect of LPS regardless of genotype) explained 29.9% of the variance, whilst PC2 (LPS effect impacted by genotype) explained 12.4% of the variance and exemplified the pattern of Bin1 cKO mitigating dysregulation by Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. C K-means clustering identified five clusters of genes affected in our dataset. Cluster 1 genes were upregulated during LPS stimulation, dependant on Bin1. Cluster 2 was upregulated by LPS stimulation and positively regulated by Bin1 (downregulated by Bin1 cKO). Cluster 3 was upregulated by LPS independent of Bin1. Cluster 4 was downregulated by LPS and positively regulated by Bin1 in unstimulated conditions. Cluster 5 genes were negatively regulated by Bin1, counter to Cx3cr1. D Gene ontology enrichment analysis identified interferon-response pathways regulated by cluster 1 genes. E Thirteen microglial genes were suppressed by Bin1 (upregulated by Bin1 cKO) independent of LPS inflammation, including homeostatic genes P2ry12, Tmem119, and Tygbr1. F Pathway analysis suggests STAT1 signaling may regulate expression of cluster 1 genes (nature of the interaction between genes is shown based on color scheme shown in the key). G Analysis of microglia numbers found no main effects for genotype (F_{1,21} = 2.614, p = 0.097), LPS treatment (F_{1,21} = 0.002, p = 0.966), or no genotype*LPS interaction (F_{2,21} = 1.192, p = 0.323) (by two-way ANOVA). Phagocytic capacity was not affected by LPS (F_{1,21} = 1.939, p = 0.178) or genotype (F_{2,21} = 0.121, p = 0.887) in Bin1 cKO studies, and no genotype*LPS interactions was found (F_{2,21} = 0.101, p = 0.904) (by two-way ANOVA). Data plotted as mean ± SEM. For associated physiological data and immunohistochemistry data, see Fig. S5B-F.
or by LPS-treatment (data not shown and Fig. 5G). As expected, microglia from Cx3cr1CreER mice showed lower Cx3cr1 expression, demonstrating the Cx3cr1 haplo-insufficiency of this mouse line (Fig. 5G). PCA showed that two PCs explained 43% of the variance in the data (PC1 29.9%, PC2 12.4%) (Fig. 6A). PC1 captured the LPS effect that was relatively similar across all three genotypes. PC2 captured LPS responses that were modified by...
Cx3cr1 genotype as well as by Bin1 deletion. At baseline, Cx3cr1CreER mice showed higher levels of activation when compared with WT (Bin1fl/fl) mice. The LPS response captured by PC2 was most pronounced in Cx3cr1CreER mice, consistent with the previous characterization that signaling through CX3CR1 controls microglial activation [61]. Interestingly, the loss of Bin1 mitigated the heightened LPS response elicited by Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. These high-level transcriptomic findings align with our flow cytometric results suggesting that, during inflammation, the CD11c+ DAM phenotype is facilitated by BIN1.

Of 511 genes included in the analysis, 164 genes show group-wise differential expression (ANOVA \( p < 0.05 \), Supplemental Table S3). K-means clustering of these differentially expressed genes identified 5 clusters with distinct patterns of expression (Fig. 6C). Cluster 1, which contains proinflammatory genes (including C4a, Il1b, Ccl2, If7, and Stat1), was upregulated following LPS, while the loss of Bin1 suppressed this response. Therefore, cluster 1 genes were positively regulated by BIN1 specifically under proinflammatory conditions, corroborating the aforementioned in vitro phenotype from Bin1 siRNA KD. Cluster 2 genes demonstrated a uniform LPS effect across all genotypes and included some canonical proinflammatory genes known to be upregulated by LPS (e.g., Tlr2, Il1a, and Fcgr3), as well as Apoe, the ε4 allele variant of which is the highest predictor of LOAD risk [62]. Cluster 3 genes showed a pattern similar to Cluster 2 without an apparent BIN1 dependence, and included Itgax (which encodes CD11c), Tyrobp, and Rpl9. While increased Itgax expression by LPS was consistent with flow cytometric findings of an increase of CD11c+ microglia in LPS-treated mice (Fig. 5D and E), the unabating expression of Itgax following Bin1 deletion despite a decrease of CD11c+ microglia was surprising (Fig. 5F). This finding suggests that post-transcriptional or post-translational control of CD11c expression, or surface localization, requires BIN1 function. Cluster 4 genes were suppressed by LPS and showed amelioration with the additional Bin1 deletion, indicative of positive regulation by BIN1 during inflammation. Cluster 5 contains genes that were negatively regulated by both BIN1 and CX3CR1, irrespective of LPS treatment. A summary of overall trajectories of changes in gene expression at the cluster level is presented in Fig. S7A-B. Additionally, we identified 13 genes (including Olfml3, Tmem119, Mertk, Tmem2, and P2ry12) regulated by BIN1 independent of the state (Fig. 6E).

Gene set enrichment analyses of Cluster 1 genes suggested positive regulation of type I interferon (α/β) expression and production (Fig. 6D). In addition, the BIN1-regulated Cluster 1 was enriched in ribosomal genes (Rpl28, Rpl29, Rps10, and Rps9), cytokines, and response to IFNγ genes (Il1b, Ccl2, Ccl5, and Stat1), as well as regulation of oxidoreductase activity (Apoe, Il1b, and Slamf8). Thirty-three of the LPS-upregulated genes were suppressed at least 1.5-fold following Bin1 deletion. An analysis of the known interactions between these genes and their encoded proteins is shown in Fig. 6F.

Overall, our in vivo studies suggest that BIN1 somewhat counteracts Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. The loss of CX3CR1 signaling has previously been shown to increase phagocytosis of fluorescent microspheres [63]. We, therefore, sought to relate the loss of Bin1 in microglia with microglial functionality, focusing on their ability to phagocytose fluorescent microspheres [50] and asked whether the additional loss of Bin1 was sufficient to reverse the effect of Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. However, we found that neither Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency nor deletion of microglial Bin1 had any impact on phagocytosis under the assay conditions employed in this study, and LPS also failed to impact this cellular function (Fig. 6G).

The lack of any observed effect of FACS-sorted microglia lacking Bin1 on phagocytosis was somewhat consistent with the modest effects observed in cultured microglia following Bin1 siRNA KD.

We also measured inflammatory cytokine levels in brain homogenates (sampled from the frontal cortex) from all experimental mice by Luminex (32 cytokine panel) (Fig. S7C). LPS increased eotaxin, MIG, and IP-10 levels in all genotypes with no effect of Bin1 loss. While the effect of Bin1 deletion within unstimulated and LPS-stimulated groups was not statistically significant, we observed global effects of Bin1 deletion, independent of LPS treatment. As compared to Cx3cr1CreER mice, Cx3cr1CreER-Bin1 cKO mice had higher levels of IFNγ (\( p = 0.017 \)), IL4 (\( p = 0.026 \)) and IL7 (\( p = 0.014 \)).

**BIN1 may mediate its transcriptomic effects in microglia by impacting type 1 interferon signaling**

Our in vitro studies showed robust effects of Bin1 loss on microglial gene expression and inflammatory cytokine production. However, post-natal microglia do not entirely recapitulate adult microglial responses [64]. While our in vivo experiments overcome these limitations of in vitro studies, the effect of Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency complicates interpretations from in vivo microglia-selective Bin1 deletion. Despite these limitations, we observed notable overlap in gene ontologies and patterns of BIN1-mediated gene regulation from in vitro and in vivo studies. In order to identify the most robust and concordant findings emerging from our in vitro and in vivo data, we performed a combined analysis of NanoString datasets derived from both sets of experiments. A set of 498 genes with expression above threshold across both datasets were included
in this analysis. The Venn diagrams show the number of shared DEGs under basal and LPS-stimulated conditions in the in vitro and in vivo datasets (Fig. 7A). PCA of these shared gene sets revealed suppression of LPS-induced transcriptomic changes in microglia following LPS stimulation as the common feature following the reduction of Bin1 expression (Figs. 7B and C). Under non-stimulated conditions (sham siRNA vs. Bin1 siRNA in vitro, and Cx3cr1CreER vs. Cx3cr1CreER-Bin1 cKO microglia from in vivo studies), we observed few concordant genes regulated by Bin1 in both datasets (Fig. 7D). However, under LPS stimulated conditions (sham siRNA+LPS vs. Bin1 siRNA+LPS in vitro, and Cx3cr1CreER+LPS vs. Cx3cr1CreER-Bin1 cKO+LPS microglia from in vivo studies), we observed greater concordance between the two model systems (Fig. 7D). We combined these concordant lists of BIN1-regulated genes (31 genes positively regulated including Cd69, Ccl2, Irf7, and Ifitm3; and 13 genes negatively regulated including Cables1 and Tmem100) and performed GO enrichment analysis to identify BIN1-regulated ontologies in microglia. BIN1 was found to be a positive regulator of carbohydrate binding, type I interferon and immune pathways, antigen presentation via MHC-I, and a negative regulator of cell proliferation. BIN1 also negatively regulated genes located at cell projection, cell junction, and membrane (Fig. 7E). Specific BIN1-regulated genes involved
in type I interferon signaling included Ddx58, Ifih1, Irf7, Irf30, and Ifitm3 (Fig. 7F).

**Inflammatory upregulation of Ifitm3 is dependent on BIN1 expression**

In light of the profound effect of Bin1 deletion on gene transcription, we validated key homeostatic and DAM genes identified in our NanoString panel – as well as Cst7, a DAM gene – by qRT-PCR (Fig. 8A and Fig. S8). We also found that inflammatory upregulation of transcription factor Sfpi1 (identified by network analysis) depended on BIN1 (Fig. 8A and Fig. S8). Based on its localization in the cytosol and processes, we reasoned that microglial BIN1 likely functions as a cytosolic adaptor protein that regulates receptor dynamics in the context of endocytosis and recycling, rather than a transcriptional regulator. We, therefore, sought to determine whether BIN1 regulates signaling via type I interferon pathways. One key gene uncovered in our datasets is the lysosome-associated restriction factor Interferon Induced TransMembrane protein 3 (Ifitm3), whose expression is activated by type I and type II interferon signaling. To validate our NanoString data from FACS-isolated microglia (Fig. 8A-B), we performed a qRT-PCR analysis of whole-brain RNA isolated from Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> and Cx3cr1<sup>CreER-Bin1</sup> cKO mice following LPS challenge (or saline injection). We found an LPS-induced upregulation of Ifitm3 transcripts in Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> mice, which was significantly attenuated by Bin1 deletion (Fig. 8A-C). We further validated this finding at the protein level in immunoblot analysis of whole-brain protein lysates (Fig. 8D). Transcript levels from cultured microglia quantified by NanoString analysis confirmed that the Ifitm3 upregulation is blunted by Bin1 knockout in the absence of Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency (Fig. 8E). In order to further validate this effect without the limitations of Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency (in vivo) or complete transient Bin1 reduction (siRNA KD), we generated stable pools of Bin1 KO microglial cells by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing in the BV2 cell line (Fig. S9). Bin1 KO cells displayed a remarkably blunted Ifitm3 response to LPS treatment (Fig. 8F). Strikingly, immunofluorescence labelling of mouse brain sections with an IFITM3 antibody demonstrated intense IFITM3 immunoreactivity throughout the microglial soma and ramifications of Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> mice following LPS challenge, with little, if any, LPS-mediated upregulation in the absence of BIN1 expression (Fig. 8G).

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates, for the first time, that BIN1 is a key regulator of microglial gene expression and functionality, both in homeostatic and inflammatory conditions. By transcriptional profiling of cultured microglia challenged with LPS, we identify BIN1 as a regulator of proinflammatory activation, cytokine production, and

---

**Fig. 8** LPS-induced up-regulation of IFITM3 in microglia is dependent on BIN1. A qRT-PCR analysis of whole-brain cDNA found inflammation-induced upregulation of key homeostatic and DAM genes are dependent on BIN1. Upregulation of a crucial myeloid transcription factor (Sfpi1), as well as an interferon-induced innate immune gene (Ifitm3), were also BIN1-dependent. Raw dataset is provided in Fig. S8. B NanoString analysis of transcripts in FACS-isolated microglia demonstrates an up-regulation of Ifitm3 following in vivo LPS injections. This effect is augmented in Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> microglia and is dependent on BIN1. Main effects were found for genotype (F<sub>2,18</sub> = 26.538, p < 0.001) and LPS treatment (F<sub>1,8</sub> = 66.105, p < 0.001), and a significant genotype*LPS interaction was found (F<sub>2,18</sub> = 20.609, p < 0.001) (by two-way ANOVA). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons found Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup>-Bin1 cKO to be different from both Bin1<sup>+/−</sup> (p < 0.001) and Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup>-Bin1 KO (p < 0.001) (with Fisher’s LSD applied). C qRT-PCR analysis of whole-brain transcripts validated the pattern of microglial expression. A main effect for LPS treatment was found (F<sub>1,18</sub> = 17.497, p < 0.001), but the effect for genotype (F<sub>2,18</sub> = 3.189, p = 0.065) and the genotype*LPS interaction (F<sub>1,18</sub> = 2.734, p = 0.092) failed to reach significance (by two-way ANOVA). Despite this, post-hoc pairwise comparisons found Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> to be different from Cx3cr1<sup>CreER-Bin1</sup> cKO (p = 0.036). However, the comparison with Bin1<sup>+/−</sup> genotype failed to reach significance (p = 0.051) (with Fisher’s LSD applied). D Immunoblot analysis of whole-brain lysates confirmed the transcriptional regulation results in similar IFITM3 protein level changes. Whilst a main effect for LPS treatment was found (F<sub>1,8</sub> = 61.56, p = 0.038), genotype (F<sub>1,8</sub> = 4.788, p = 0.06) and the genotype*LPS interaction (F<sub>1,8</sub> = 4.126, p = 0.07) failed to reach significance in our data (by two-way ANOVA). E NanoString analysis of transcripts in primary cultured microglia shows Ifitm3 expression is blunted in Bin1<sup>−/−</sup> KO cells. Main effects for siRNA treatment (F<sub>2,10</sub> = 53.326, p < 0.001) and LPS (F<sub>1,10</sub> = 43.226, p < 0.001) were found. There was no siRNA*LPS interaction (F<sub>2,10</sub> = 3.137, p = 0.015). F CRISPR-edited BIN1 BV2 KO microglia validate that Ifitm3 upregulation in response to LPS stimulation is impaired in Bin1<sup>−/−</sup> KO cells, with main effects for Bin1 (F<sub>1,10</sub> = 44.503, p < 0.001) and LPS (F<sub>1,10</sub> = 25.945, p < 0.001), and a significant Bin1*LPS interaction (F<sub>1,10</sub> = 16.023, p < 0.001). G Immunofluorescence detection of IFITM3 in mouse brain demonstrates that IFITM3 expression throughout the LPS-treated Cx3cr1<sup>CreER</sup> microglia but not in Cx3cr1<sup>CreER-Bin1</sup> cKO. Note that microglia are indicated by white arrows, and IFITM3 labelling of blood vessels is indicated by small yellow arrowheads. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; by post-hoc t-test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. All data plotted as mean ± SEM.
neurodegeneration-associated gene expression. The in vitro results were confirmed by analysing FACS-isolated adult brain microglia from WT and cKO mice and showing that microglial BIN1 is a key regulator of LPS-mediated proinflammatory activation and DAM gene expression in vivo.
High-level BIN1 expression in microglial transcriptomics and proteomics datasets has been reported previously [16–18]. Still, there have been only two reports of cellular co-localization of BIN1 with microglial markers. One identified IBA1-positive cells expressing BIN1 in immunohistochemical analysis of post-mortem brain tissue from patients with AD [8]. Another study reported detection of BIN1 isoforms 12 and 6 in the nucleus of CD45+ microglia using antibodies raised against BIN1 exons 11 and 13; notably, the major microglial BIN1 isoform 10 lacking both exons 11 and 13 was not observed by immunostaining in the previous study [65]. In this regard, it is worth mentioning that exon 11, which codes for a polybasic sequence that confers binding to phosphoinositides and is essential for BIN1-induced membrane tubulation [66], is spliced out from Bin1 transcripts in adult mouse brain microglia and human induced iPSC-derived microglia (Fig. S2A). By generating mice lacking BIN1 expression in excitatory neurons and oligodendrocytes, we have unequivocally demonstrated BIN1 expression in microglia. The identification of BIN1 isoform 10 in our study as the most abundant Bin1 transcript in mouse brain microglia is consistent with the human brain microglia RNAseq data imputed to BIN1 isoforms [65]. However, our demonstration of BIN1 localization in the perinuclear region and microglial processes is at odds with the earlier report of BIN1 in microglial nuclei [65]. The analyses of BIN1 in EmxCre.Bin1 cKO mice by immunostaining (this study) and immunoblots [5] indicate that microglial BIN1 accounts for only a minor fraction of all BIN1 expressed in the brain. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that a large-scale cell-type-specific promoter–enhancer interaction study identified a microglia-specific enhancer in the human BIN1 gene, which contains the AD-risk variant rs6733839 [67]. A more recent study predicted the risk variant rs6733839 to facilitate the binding of the transcription factor MEF2C to the BIN1 enhancer in microglia, increasing BIN1 expression [68]. Thus, the AD association signal at BIN1 appears to be microglial cell-type-specific.

Specific BIN1 isoforms might participate in divergent functions in relation to membrane dynamics, including endocytosis. Neuronal BIN1 regulates synaptic vesicle release [5] and limits the inter-neuronal tau spread in cultured neurons by regulating endocytic uptake of pathogenic tau [10]. Compared to neuronal BIN1 isoforms, mouse brain microglial BIN1 and human iPSC-derived microglial BIN1 isoforms lack the central CLAP domain, a region conserved between BIN1 and its homolog Amphiplysin 1, which contains the sites for clathrin and AP2 adaptor binding [69]. The CLAP domain is important for BIN1’s function in clathrin-mediated endocytosis; however, all microglial BIN1 isoforms have an SH3 domain, which can interact with the proline-rich domain of dynamin 1 [70]. Thus, a role for microglial BIN1 in endocytosis could not be excluded solely based on the lack of the CLAP domain. In non-neuronal cells, the depletion of BIN1 does not impede transferrin uptake by endocytosis but rather delays endocytic recycling [71, 72]. Our finding that the loss of BIN1 expression has little effect on microglial phagocytosis shows congruence with a previous study that assessed phagocytosis in Bin1 KO macrophages and found that BIN1 did not have a functional role in endocytosis or phagocytosis in these immunoregulatory cells [71]. The inclusion of exon 13 (referred to as exon 12A in earlier publications) and exon 17 sequences in a subset of microglial Bin1 transcripts (albeit at low frequency) may have implications for cell cycle regulation. Indeed, it has previously been reported that the inclusion of exon 13 abrogates BIN1’s binding to the transcription factor E2F1, inhibiting cell cycle progression [73, 74]. Furthermore, exon 13 contains a class I SH3-binding motif (PxxP), which can engage in an intramolecular interaction with BIN1’s own SH3 domain, thereby sequestering its interactions with cMYC [75], as well as potentially impeding interactions with other SH3 domain-dependent partners (e.g., dynamin and tau). Additionally, exon 17 encodes half of the c-MYC binding motif of BIN1, which regulates c-MYC-mediated transformation and apoptosis [76]. The microglia-specific functions of individual BIN1 isoforms, including the minor isoforms containing exons 13 and 17, presents an exciting area for future functional investigations.

Results of our neuroinflammatory transcriptional profiling indicate that BIN1 is a homeostatic microglial regulator that has a non-redundant role in the activation of immune responses upstream of the transcription factor PU.1, which is crucial for microglial viability [77]. PU.1 is a master regulator of microglial gene expression and transition to DAM phenotypes [32, 78–81]. Moreover, PU.1 regulates the expression of several AD-related microglial genes [32], and lower PU.1 expression in myeloid cells has been reported to delay AD onset [82]. Importantly, we have shown that BIN1 regulates Sfpi1, which codes PU.1. Interestingly, our consensus in vitro and in vivo finding that BIN1 did not affect microglia numbers suggests that BIN1 function might not be essential for microglial maintenance and survival. Overall, our finding that BIN1 positively regulates PU.1 transcription may suggest that BIN1 expression could be a significant determinant of microglial phenotype and pathology outcomes, particularly in AD, a hypothesis that warrants future investigations.

A limitation of our in vivo model system relates to the inherent Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency in Cx3cr1CreER mice which by itself is known to impact microglia via premature upregulation of an aging transcriptomic phenotype, inclusive of some disease-associated microglial genes observed in AD pathology [83]. This is exemplified by the differences between Cx3cr1CreER mice and the WT
equivalent (\textit{Bin1}^\text{fl/fl}) group. This effect of \textit{Cx3cr1} haploinsufficiency may partly explain some lack of concordance between in vitro and in vivo effects of \textit{Bin1} loss in microglia observed in our studies. Differences in responses between primary post-natal microglia in culture versus adult microglia in their native state in the brain, as well as direct effects of LPS on microglia in vitro, and indirect effects of LPS on microglia in vivo, may have also contributed to differences between the in vitro and in vivo experimental paradigms. Despite these differences, we observed several LPS-induced inflammatory genes and pathways that were \textit{Bin1}-dependent in both model systems (Fig. 7A and D). This consensus analysis of our in vitro and in vivo transcriptomic findings identified a novel role for \textit{BIN1} in regulating the type 1 interferon response in microglia. We found that manipulating \textit{BIN1} in microglia impacted the expression of interferon regulatory factors (IRFs). IRFs are a family of transcriptional regulatory proteins that translocate to the nucleus in response to signaling events triggered by pathogen recognition receptors. Nuclear-translocated IRFs then regulate the expression of distinct groups of genes involved in immune responses and immune cell development. For example, IRF1, IRF5, and IRF8 play essential roles in proinflammatory responses [84–88], whereas IRF2 and IRF4 regulate anti-inflammatory responses [89]. IRF8 is a critical regulator of microglial motility [90], and IRF7 and IRF8 regulate microglial homeostasis and reactivity [87, 91]. IRF7 is a master signaling regulator of the interferon-dependent immune response [92]. The interferon signaling pathway is activated concomitantly with neuroinflammation in multiple mouse models of AD amyloid pathology [93]. Moreover, IRF7 expression in the human brain is highly correlated with AD clinical dementia, Braak score, and neuritic amyloid burden [93]. In our in vitro studies, we found that loss of \textit{BIN1} in microglia decreased expression of IRF1 and IRF7 genes without affecting IRF, IRF3, IRF4, and IRF8 expression. In vivo, loss of microglial \textit{BIN1} dampened the upregulation of IRF7 by systemic LPS, mirroring in vitro findings, providing a link between \textit{BIN1} function and type 1 interferon signaling in microglia. Our results further show that \textit{Ifitm3} – one of the genes stimulated by type 1 interferons, as well as by proinflammatory cytokines that are critical mediators of the host innate immune response, including IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF [35] – is positively regulated by \textit{BIN1}, both in vitro and in vivo. A recent publication found \textit{IFITM3} gene networks to be enriched in tau tangle-containing neurons and peripheral mononuclear cells from AD patients [38]. Expression of \textit{IFITM3} is also upregulated in microglia of a transgenic model of AD amyloid pathogenesis [33, 94]. In addition, \textit{IFITM3} is upregulated in a transient ‘interferon responsive’ microglial subset that expands during cortical remapping in a partial whisker lesion model. In this microglial population, \textit{IFITM3} localised to early phagosomes and was found necessary for phagosome maturation [94]. Interestingly, \textit{IFITM3} is necessary for lysosome acidification in macrophages [34, 35]. Further, lysosomes in homeostatic microglia are weakly acidic (pH 6) compared to peripheral macrophages (pH 5), and inflammatory activation causes acidification of microglial lysosomes, allowing them to degrade fibrillar Aβ [95]. Thus, an investigation into the functional role of \textit{IFITM3} in microglial lysosomes is imperative to elucidate pathology-specific cellular functions regulated by \textit{BIN1}.

Of crucial importance, several genes transcriptionally regulated by \textit{BIN1} both in vitro and in vivo have been independently linked to \textit{AD} pathogeneses. In particular, \textit{BIN1} positively regulates the transcription of critical \textit{AD}-related genes (including \textit{Apoe}, \textit{Trem2}, and \textit{Tyrobp}) under homeostatic conditions. This regulatory interaction between \textit{BIN1} and other \textit{AD}-associated genes illustrates the complexities of multi-aetiological disorders and the challenge of pinpointing specific genetic variations which account for the entire spectrum of pathologies associated with \textit{AD}. However, \textit{BIN1}'s involvement in both homeostasis- and inflammation-specific signal regulation suggests that \textit{BIN1} may act as a central regulator of microglial activation status, with implications for mediating the kaleidoscope of DAM transcriptional profiles. \textit{BIN1}'s known function in membrane remodelling and endocytic trafficking is consistent with such a broad role in regulating phenotypic changes. Indeed, this is exemplified by our findings that \textit{BIN1} regulates surface expression of CD11c in response to LPS administration, independent of \textit{Itgax} transcript levels (assayed in the same FACS-isolated cell samples). Unravelling the potential co-operative role(s) played by \textit{BIN1} and microglial surface receptors in DAM transformation presents a crucial area for future investigations. However, \textit{BIN1}'s relationship with surface receptors appears more complex than the singular function of endocytosis or endosome recycling, as exemplified by our findings of \textit{CX3CR1} dysregulation.

Despite some limitations due to the loss of one functional \textit{Cx3cr1} allele, by utilising the \textit{Cx3cr1} \textit{Cre} driver line, we fortuitously found that \textit{BIN1} counteracts changes elicited by \textit{Cx3cr1} haploinsufficiency, demonstrating \textit{BIN1}'s involvement with another central regulator of microglial function. Compared with \textit{Bin1}^\text{fl/fl} mice having normal \textit{Cx3cr1} expression, \textit{Cx3cr1} \textit{CreER} mice exhibited an exaggerated response to systemic LPS challenge, consistent with prior observations [96]. As \textit{Cx3cr1} is downregulated during Stage I DAM transition [25], the microglia in the \textit{Cx3cr1} \textit{CreER} driver line may be primed
towards DAM transition. When Bin1 was deleted in Cx3cr1-CreER mice, we observed an attenuation of the effect caused by Cx3cr1 haploinsufficiency. This finding suggests that BIN1 may serve as a mediator of Cx3CR1 receptor activation in the CX3CL1 (fractalkine)-CX3CR1 signaling axis. This conclusion is supported by the microglial cell population data showing a significant LPS-induced increase of DAM CD11c+ (CD11b+CD45+) in Cx3cr1-CreER mice, which is abrogated following the deletion of Bin1 alleles in microglia. Our in vivo transcriptional dataset revealed Bin1 to regulate gene transcripts in a manner inverse to Cx3cr1. Ongoing investigations of microglia-specific BIN1 deletion using the Tmn119Cre-ERT2 driver [97] in models of AD pathologies will help determine whether microglial BIN1 may function to protect against both amyloid and tau aggregations.

**Conclusions**

Our study demonstrates that BIN1 regulates crucial elements of inflammatory response in microglia. Importantly, key characteristics of microglial phenotypic transition, including the upregulation of Ifitm3 and surface expression of CD11c, are BIN1-dependent. We conclude that BIN1 expression is central for appropriate microglial responses to CNS challenge, and that AD risk may arise through impaired BIN1 functionality in microglia.
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**Additional file 1: Fig. S1.** BIN1 expression in mouse and human microglia from transcriptomic and proteomic datasets. (A-B) BIN1 transcript and protein levels in brain cells reported in large-scale datasets. (A) Transcript abundances from purified neural cells were described by Zhang et al. [16]. OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cells; NF Oli, newly-formed oligodendrocytes; Myel Oli, myelinating oligodendrocytes; End, endothelial cells. (B) Comparison of protein abundance data (log2 transformed abundance values from label-free quantitative studies) from purified mouse neural cell types [20]. (C) A comparison of microglial protein levels of BIN1 (percentile rank abundance) between humans (aged post-mortem human brain-derived microglia) and several mouse models. The plotted data was compiled from several independent quantitative mass spectrometry datasets. CD11b+ magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) from 6 to 7-mo-old female C57BL/6J mice that received vehicle or LPS (4 daily i.p. doses), or a transgenic mouse model of AD pathology (5XFAD), CD11b+ microglia from 3-mo-old C57BL/6J mice purified by MACS, or fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS), and immortalised microglial BV2 cells (untreated or LPS-treated for 24h) [26, 98, 99]. (D) Singe nucleus RNA sequencing data demonstrates the high level of BIN1 transcripts found in microglia and oligodendrocytes in fresh-frozen post-mortem human brain tissue [100]. (E) RNA sequencing mRNA abundance (log2 transformed) from isolated human microglia across different age groups shows high-level BIN1 mRNA abundance in microglia across the life span in the human brain [101].

**Additional file 2: Fig. S2.** Exon 11 splicing in microglia. (A) RT-PCR across exon 11 found no inclusion of exon 11 in FACS-isolated microglia from mouse brain, with no change in splicing following LPS injections (left). IMG cells differentiated from human iPSCs showed negligible inclusion of exon 11 (right). Some low-level inclusion of exon 11 is evident in the grey matter sample of human post-mortem brain tissue. (B) Schematic of BIN1 exons and primer locations for RT-PCR amplification across exon 7, exon 11, and the CLAP domain / exon 17. The strategy used to discern alternate splicing of exons 13-17 is indicated. The four mouse microglial Bin1 isoforms identified in this study are depicted at the bottom. BAR, the BIN-amphiphysin/Rvs domain, PI, the phosphoinositide binding motif (encoded by the muscle-specific exon 11), CLAP, the clathrin and AP2 binding domain, SH3, the Src homology 3 domain.

**Additional file 3: Fig. S3.** Bin1 siRNA treatment of primary microglia dysregulates DAM gene transcripts without affecting cell viability. CD11b+ enriched primary mouse microglia (p0-3) were cultured for 48h in the presence of either sham siRNA or Bin1 siRNA (equimolar concentrations). (A) Cell viability within the CD45+ microglia population was assessed by flow cytometry (Live/Dead Fixable Blue viability dye). N=3 independent experiments were performed per condition. (B) Bin1 transcript levels (NanoString) increase following LPS stimulation of cultured microglia. (C) Volcano plot showing key genes differentially expressed following Bin1 KD. (D) Transcript expression of key AD-related microglial genes are dysregulated following the loss of Bin1 expression, an effect augmented by LPS-induced inflammatory signaling.

**Additional file 4: Fig. S4.** Transcript cluster analysis of in vitro dataset demonstrates the extent of transcriptional dysregulation by Bin1 KD in primary cultured microglia. (A) Volcano plot of transcript expression following LPS exposure identifies several AD- and DAM-related genes affected by this endotoxin. The color scheme depicted is based on microglial gene co-expression module assignment, as described in Rangaraju et al. [21]. (B) Volcano plot illustrating genes regulated by Bin1 following LPS exposure highlights several inflammatory genes dysregulated by Bin1 siRNA. (C-F) Gene ontology expression analysis identified important cellular functions affected by misexpressed gene clusters.

**Additional file 5: Fig. S5.** Flow cytometry gating strategy for mouse brain-isolated microglia; weight, and temperature analysis during LPS injections. (A) Cells isolated from mouse brains were gated by scatter (single mononuclear cells) and fluorescence (CD11b+CD45+) to sort microglial populations. (B-C) LPS-injected mice lost significant weight during injections. Reduced Cx3cr1 expression (in Cx3cr1CreERT2) augmented the LPS-induced weight-loss recorded in control (Bin1fl/fl) animals, which was attenuated by the additional deletion of microglial Bin1 (Cx3cr1CreERT2-Bin1cKO). (D) ERT2 had no effect on body temperature before or after injection. (F) Immunofluorescence staining of Bin1fl/fl mouse brains suggests that peripheral LPS injections did not affect the microglial expression or localization of Bin1. (G) NanoString analysis of mRNA transcripts found no change in Bin1 expression following LPS injections. (H) Relative levels of Bin1 iso10 mRNA transcripts quantified from FACS-isolated microglia, following saline or LPS injections.

**Additional file 6: Fig. S6.** Deletion of microglial Bin1 does not impact cell morphology. (A) Following the deletion of Bin1 from microglia (Cx3cr1CreERT2-Bin1 cKO), no obvious change in microglial morphology was observed. There didn't seem to be any effect on LPS administration. (B) Quantification of FracLac hull and circle morphometric analysis of microglia from LPS-injected mice. Microglia in the primary somatosensory cortex (SSP) of Cx3cr1CreERT2-Bin1 cKO mice had larger span ratios than Cx3cr1CreERT2 control mice. *p<0.05; by Mann-Whitney U test. (C) Representative images of data presented in B. All data plotted as mean ± SEM.
Additional file 7: Fig. S7. Summary of in vivo transcriptional changes and cytokine production. (A-B) Genes which are positively (A) and negatively (B) regulated by BIN1 (i.e., decrease and increase respectively with Bin1 knockout) are summarised from the in vivo NanoString dataset by raw transcript counts (top panel) and normalized expression (relative to Bin1 WT equivalent; bottom panel). (C) Summary of cytokine expression in brain lysates (measured by Luminex). Data plotted as mean ± SEM.

Additional file 8: Fig. S8. Whole-brain qRT-PCR analysis of selected homeostatic- and DAM-related genes, and master myeloid-regulating transcription factor. Raw dataset for the analysis summarised in Fig 8A. Transcript levels of genes encoding homeostatic proteins (P2ry12, Tmem119), DAM proteins (Iqtpx, Cst7, Cd68, Trem2, Spp1), and transcription factor (Sp1) were analysed by qRT-PCR. With the exception of the DAM-related gene Spp1, all were upregulated following LPS stimulation in a Bin1-dependent manner, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01; by unpaired t-test. Data plotted as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05.

Additional file 9: Fig. S9. Generation of BV2 KO microglia lacking BIN1 expression by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. (A) Lenti viral constructs expressing a sgRNA targeting a region within the Bin1 invariant exon 3 (KO) or a non-target sgRNA were used to generate stably transduced pools of BV2 KO and control (WT) cells. Two independent pools of WT and KO were further characterized. Sequencing (using a reverse primer) across the target sequence of the two KO pools as well as the sequences of individual cloned inserts from the PCR products are aligned to Bin1 exon 3 sequence. Numbering is based on the RefSeq NM_009662.2. (B) WT control and Bin1 KO pools retain similar morphology. (C) Immunoblot analysis demonstrates that stable Bin1 KO BV2 pools do not express BIN1 protein under basal conditions or following LPS stimulation.

Additional file 10: Supplementary Tables S1-6.
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