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ABSTRACT

For any complex reflection group \( G = G(m, p, n) \), we prove that the \( G \)-invariants of the division ring of fractions of the \( n \)-th tensor power of the quantum plane is a quantum Weyl field and give explicit parameters for this quantum Weyl field. This shows that the q-difference Noether problem has a positive solution for such groups, generalizing previous work by Futorny and the author [10]. Moreover, the new result is simultaneously a q-deformation of the classical commutative case and of the Weyl algebra case recently obtained by Eshmatov et al. [8].

Second, we introduce a new family of algebras called quantum OGZ algebras. They are natural quantizations of the OGZ algebras introduced by Mazorchuk [18] originating in the classical Gelfand–Tsetlin formulas. Special cases of quantum OGZ algebras include the quantized enveloping algebra of \( gl_n \) and quantized Heisenberg algebras. We show that any quantum OGZ algebra can be naturally realized as a Galois ring in the sense of Futorny-Ovsienko [11], with symmetry group being a direct product of complex reflection groups \( G(m, p, r_k) \).

Finally, using these results, we prove that the quantum OGZ algebras satisfy the quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture by explicitly computing their division ring of fractions.

1. Introduction and summary of main results

In this paper, \( m \) is a positive integer, \( \mathbb{F} \) is a field of characteristic zero containing a primitive \( m \)-th root of unity, \( q \in \mathbb{F}\setminus\{0\} \) is an element which is not a root of unity, \( \mathbb{N} \) is the set of positive integers and \( \lfloor a, b \rfloor = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z} \). By “algebra,” we mean “unital associative \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebra” and \( \otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \). The division ring of fractions of an Ore domain \( A \) is denoted by \( \text{Frac} \, A \).

1.1. The q-difference Noether problem

Let \( G \) be a finite group, acting linearly on an \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space \( V \). Then \( G \) acts on the symmetric algebra on \( V \), hence on its field of fractions \( L \). If \( \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) is a basis for \( V \), then \( L = \mathbb{F}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \). Noether's problem asks if the subfield \( L^G \), consisting of all elements fixed by \( G \), is a purely transcendental field extension of \( \mathbb{F} \). In other words, \( L^G \) isomorphic to a field of rational functions \( \mathbb{F}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N) \) for some \( N \)? In fact, if this is true, then necessarily \( N = n \), [13, Proposition 3.1].

If \( G \) is a complex reflection group and \( V \) is its defining reflection representation (assuming \( m \) is large enough, so that \( \mathbb{F} \) contains the necessary roots of unity), the answer to Noether’s Problem is affirmative, by virtue of the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem [26] stating a fortiori that the algebra of \( G \)-invariant polynomials, \( \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^G \), is a polynomial algebra over \( \mathbb{F} \).
On the other hand, if $V$ is the direct product of $d > 1$ copies of the reflection representation of a complex reflection group $G$, then the $G$-invariant polynomials on $V$ do not form a polynomial algebra. This follows from Molien’s formula for the Poincaré series of the invariant subalgebra [27, Ex. 1]. Nevertheless, by a theorem of Miyata [23, Remark 3], Noether’s problem has a positive solution in this case: it suffices to observe that $V$ contains a faithful $G$-submodule for which the conclusion holds (namely any one of the $d$ copies of the reflection representation). The special case of $G = S_n$, the symmetric group, was established earlier by Mattuck [17]; see also [6] for an explicit construction. As an example, for $d = 2$, Miyata’s result gives an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^G \simeq \mathbb{F}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n),$$

(1.1)

where $G$ is acting diagonally, e.g., if $G$ is the symmetric group, then $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\sigma(y_i) = y_{\sigma(i)}$.

Noncommutative rational invariant theory [7, Chapter 5] involves the study of division rings and their subrings of invariants under groups of automorphisms. The first main result of the present paper is a noncommutative analog of (1.1).

Let $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ be the quantum plane, defined as the algebra with generators $x, y$, and defining relation $yx = qxy$. The complex reflection group $G(m, p, n)$, where $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p|m$, acts naturally on the $n$th tensor power of the quantum plane (Section 2), hence on the associated division ring of fractions.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any $m, p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p|m$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}$-algebras

$$(\text{Frac} \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]^{\otimes n})^{G(m, p, n)} \simeq \text{Frac} \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m/p}}[x, y] \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x, y]^{\otimes (n-1)} \right).$$

(1.2)

**Remark 1.2.** The special case of Theorem 1.1 when $G(m, p, n)$ is a Weyl group of classical type was proved in [10].

**Remark 1.3.** The isomorphism (1.2) is a $q$-deformation (1.1) for $G = G(m, p, n)$.

**Remark 1.4.** Let $A_q^n(\mathbb{F})$ denote the quantum Weyl algebra, defined as the algebra with generators $x, y$, and defining relation $yx - qxy = 1$. It is easy to prove that $A_q^n(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ have isomorphic division rings of fractions. Therefore, the quantum plane may be replaced by the quantum Weyl algebra in (1.2), which yields

$$\left(\text{Frac} A_q^n(\mathbb{F})^{\otimes n}\right)^{G(m, p, n)} \simeq \text{Frac} \left( A_q^{m/p}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes A_q^m(\mathbb{F})^{\otimes (n-1)} \right).$$

(1.3)

Let $A_1(\mathbb{F})$ denote the Weyl algebra with generators $x, y$, and defining relation $yx - xy = 1$. Then the isomorphism (1.3) can be thought of as a $q$-deformation of the isomorphism

$$\left(\text{Frac} A_1(\mathbb{F})^{\otimes n}\right)^{G(m, p, n)} \simeq \text{Frac} A_1(\mathbb{F})^{\otimes n},$$

(1.4)

which was established recently by Eshmatov et al. [8, Theorem 1(a)], in fact with $G(m, p, n)$ replaced by an arbitrary finite complex reflection group. In the quantum case, it is unclear how to define an action of an exceptional complex reflection group on a tensor power of the quantum plane, see Problem 5.1 at the end of this paper.

### 1.2. Quantum OGZ algebras

The matrix elements in the Gelfand–Tsetlin bases for finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules [12, 24] give rise to an embedding of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ into the $G$-invariants of a skew group algebra $L \ast M$, where $L$ is a field of rational functions, $M$ is a free abelian group acting by additive shifts on $L$, ...
and $G$ is the direct product of symmetric groups $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n$. The notion of a Galois ring [11] is an axiomatization of this situation.

In [18], Mazorchuk used a faithful generic Gelfand–Tsetlin $U(q\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module [19] as the starting point for a generalization of $U(q\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. The resulting family of algebras is called Orthogonal Gelfand–Zetlin (OGZ) Algebras, denoted $U(r)$, where $r = (r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is the signature. Intuitively, $r_k$ is the number of boxes in the $k$-th row of a Gelfand–Tsetlin-type tableau. Taking $r = (1,2,\ldots,n)$, one recovers an algebra isomorphic to $U(q\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. There are also OGZ algebras of type BD, defined in [20, Section 8].

Quantum analogs of the Gelfand–Tsetlin bases were constructed in [28] and of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules in [22]. Thus, it is natural to ask for a quantum analog of OGZ algebras. This idea was briefly mentioned as a possibility in [21, Remark 3.7], but no algebras were defined.

In Section 3.2, we propose a definition of quantum OGZ algebras (of type $A$). We denote them by $U_q^{m,p}(r)$, where $(m,p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $p|m$ and $r$ is as before. The integers $m$ and $p$ are related to the choice of notion of $q$-number and also to the parameters of a complex reflection group. Following [18], we define these algebras as algebras of operators acting on an infinite-dimensional vector space. We motivate our definition by an informal process of quantization that we describe. A new feature is the necessity to include a certain prefactor not present in the classical case to ensure that the formulas have the correct symmetry. We also give examples including $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and quantized Heisenberg algebras, which show that this definition is sensible.

Our second main theorem is Theorem 3.9, in which we prove that quantum OGZ algebras are examples of Galois rings. On the one hand, this gives an alternative way to define quantum OGZ algebras, which in our mind is preferable to the operator algebra approach. On the other hand, it gives the possibility of studying the structure and representation theory for quantum OGZ algebras using Galois rings. The final part of the paper illustrates the latter point.

### 1.3. The quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture

A quantum Weyl field is the division ring of fractions of a tensor product of quantum planes parametrized by powers of $q$ (Definition 2.1). An Ore domain $A_q$ depending on $q$ is said to satisfy the quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture if $\text{Frac } A_q$ is isomorphic to a quantum Weyl field over a purely transcendental field extension of the ground field. For $A_q = U_q(n)$, where $n$ is the nilpotent radical of a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, this was first conjectured by Feigin in a talk at RIMS in 1992 and independently proved for generic $q$ by Alev-Dumas [1], Iohara-Malikov [14], and Joseph [15]. Since then, many other algebras in the area of quantum groups have been proved to have this property, including quantized function algebras [4], $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ and generalizations [25], quantum Schubert cells and CGL extensions [5], $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ [9], $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ with explicit parameters of the quantum Weyl field [10].

Our third and final main result is an explicit description of the division ring of fractions of quantum OGZ algebras, which shows that they also satisfy the quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r = (r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $(m,p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $p|m$, and $q \in \mathbb{F}$ be nonzero and not a root of unity. The quantum OGZ algebra $U_q^{m,p}(r)$ satisfies the quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture. Explicitly, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}$-algebras

$$\text{Frac } \left( U_q^{m,p}(r) \right) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$$

where $\tilde{q} = (q^{m/p}, q^{m/p}, \ldots, q^{m/p}, q^m, q^m, \ldots, q^m)$ with $q^{m/p}$ appearing $n-1$ times and $q^m$ appearing $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_{n-1} - (n-1)$ times, and $\mathbb{K}$ is a purely transcendental field extension of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree $r_n$.

**Remark 1.6.** In the special case when $r = (1,2,\ldots,n)$ and $(m,p) = (2,2)$, we recover the result for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ from [10], in view of Example 3.4.
2. The \( q \)-difference Noether problem for complex reflection groups

Let \( \tilde{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \), where for each \( i \in \{1, n\} \) we have \( q_i = q^{k_i} \) for some \( k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}[x, y] \) be the algebra generated by \( \{x_i\}_{i \in \{1, n\}} \cup \{y_i\}_{i \in \{1, n\}} \) modulo the relations

\[
x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad y_i x_j = \delta_{ij} y_i x_i
\]

for all \( i, j \in \{1, n\} \). If \( k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n = 1 \), we write \( q \) instead of \( \tilde{q} \). It is well known that \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}[x, y] \) is an Ore domain and thus has a division ring of fractions denoted by \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y) = \text{Frac} (\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}[x, y]) \).

**Definition 2.1 (Quantum Weyl Field).** A division ring is a *quantum Weyl field* over \( \mathbb{F} \) if it is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y) \) for some \( \tilde{q} \).

The \( \tilde{q} \)-difference Noether problem for a finite group \( G \) acting by \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebra automorphisms on the division ring \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y) \) asks if the invariant subring \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y)^G \) is a quantum Weyl field.

**Problem 2.2 (The \( q \)-difference Noether problem for \( G \)).** Do there exist \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) and an \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebra isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{F}_q(x, y)^G \cong \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y),
\]

where \( \tilde{q} = (q^{k_1}, q^{k_2}, \ldots, q^{k_n}) \)?

In this section, we give a positive solution to this problem for all complex reflection groups \( G(m, p, n) \), acting in a natural way on \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y) \), in the case when \( q \) is not a root of unity.

Let \( \mu_m \) denote the group of all \( m \):th roots of unity in \( \mathbb{F} \). Let \( A(m, p, n) \) be the subgroup of \( (\mu_m)^n \) consisting of all \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (\mu_m)^n \) with \( (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)^{m/p} = 1 \). The symmetric group \( S_n \) acts naturally on \( A(m, p, n) \) by permuting the entries \( \alpha_i \). By definition,

\[
G(m, p, n) = S_n \rtimes A(m, p, n).
\]

The group \( G(m, p, n) \) acts by \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebra automorphisms on \( \mathbb{F}_q[x, y] \), hence on the quantum Weyl field \( \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y) \) through

\[
g(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)} \quad \text{(2.4a)}
\]

\[
g(y_i) = y_{\sigma(i)} \quad \text{(2.4b)}
\]

for all \( g = (\sigma, \alpha) \in G(m, p, n) \) and all \( i \in \{1, n\} \).

**Remark 2.3.** Changing the \( G(m, p, n) \)-action on \( y_i \) to \( g(y_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)} \) is equivalent to the above through a change of generators \( x_i \mapsto x_i, y_i \mapsto x_i y_i \).

We now prove Theorem 1.1, which gives a positive solution to the \( q \)-difference Noether problem for the reflection groups \( G(m, p, n) \). The idea of the proof is to reduce to the special case \( G(1, 1, n) = S_n \), which was established in [10].

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Step 1: There is an isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}^m(x, y)^{G(1, 1, n)} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}(x, y)^{G(m, 1, n)}.
\]

To see this, first note that there is an isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}[x, y] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}[x, y]^{A(m, 1, n)}
\]
given by \( x_i \mapsto x_i^m \) and \( y_i \mapsto y_i \). Taking division ring of fractions on both sides of (2.6) and then taking invariants on both sides with respect to \( G(1, 1, n) \), we obtain (2.5), using that \( G(m, 1, n) = G(1, 1, n) \times A(m, 1, n) \).

Step 2: The algebra \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, p, n)} \) is free of rank \( p \) as a left module over \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)} \), with basis \( \{ (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{km/p} | k \in \llbracket 0, p - 1 \rrbracket \} \). That is, we have

\[
\mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, p, n)} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)}(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{km/p}.
\]

(2.7)

To see this, first observe that \( G(m, 1, n) \ni (\sigma, \alpha) \mapsto \prod_i \alpha_i \in \mu_m \) composed with the canonical projection \( \mu_m \to \mu_p \) induces an isomorphism

\[
G(m, 1, n)/G(m, p, n) \cong \mu_p.
\]

Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (\mu_m)^n \) be a representative for a generator of \( G(m, 1, n)/G(m, p, n) \). Then,

\[
\alpha \left( (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{m/p} \right) = \varepsilon_p(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{m/p},
\]

(2.8)

where \( \varepsilon_p = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)^{m/p} \) is a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity. It is now straightforward to see that (2.7) is nothing but the eigenspace decomposition of \( \alpha \) acting on \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, p, n)} \). Indeed, by (2.8), the \( k \)-th term in the right-hand side of (2.7) is clearly contained in the eigenspace of \( \alpha \) with eigenvalue \( \varepsilon_p^k \). Since \( \alpha \) has order \( p \), there can be no other eigenvalues than \( \varepsilon_p^k \) for \( k \in \llbracket 0, p - 1 \rrbracket \). Conversely, if \( f \) is any element of \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, p, n)} \) such that \( \alpha(f) = \varepsilon_p^k f \), then \( f \cdot (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{-km/p} \) is fixed by \( \alpha \), hence fixed by \( G(m, 1, n) \) and therefore belongs to \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)} \). Then \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)}(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{km/p} \).

Step 3: By [10, Theorem 3.10] there exists an isomorphism

\[
f : \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) \to \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(1, 1, n)}
\]

(2.9)

such that

\[
f(x_1) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n.
\]

(2.10)

Composing \( f \), with \( q \) replaced by \( q^m \), with the isomorphism (2.5), we obtain an isomorphism

\[
g : \mathbb{F}_q^m(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) \to \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)}
\]

satisfying

\[
g(x_1) = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m.
\]

Now we adjoin to \( \mathbb{F}_q^m(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) \) the \( p \)-th root of \( x_1 \). The resulting division ring is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_q^m(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) \), where \( \bar{q} = (q^{m/p}, q^m, q^m, \ldots, q^m) \). Through \( g \) this corresponds to adjoining \( (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{m/p} \) to \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, 1, n)} \).

By (2.7), the resulting algebra is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y})^{G(m, p, n)} \). \( \Box \)

3. Quantum OGZ algebras and their Galois ring realization

3.1. Galois rings

Galois rings were introduced by Futorny and Ovsienko in [11]. We briefly recall their definition and state a result we will use.

Let \( \Gamma \) be an integral domain, \( K \) its field of fractions, \( K \subseteq L \) a finite Galois extension with Galois group \( G, \mathcal{M} \) a monoid acting by automorphisms on \( L \). We assume that the action of \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( L \) is \( K \)-separating:

If \( h, h' \in \mathcal{M} \) satisfy \( h(a) = h'(a) \) for all \( a \in K \) then \( h = h' \).
In particular, $L$ is a faithful $\mathcal{M}$-module and we may regard $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{Aut}(L)$. Assume $G$ acts by conjugation on $\mathcal{M}$, and hence naturally by automorphisms on the skew monoid ring $\mathcal{L} = L \ast \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}^G$ be the subring of invariants.

**Definition 3.1 (Galois $\Gamma$-Ring [11]).** A $\Gamma$-subring $U \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ is a Galois $\Gamma$-ring if the following property holds:

$$UK = \mathcal{K} = KU.$$  

(3.1)

We will use the following result from [11] (see [10, Proposition 5.2] for a more detailed proof) which gives a sufficient criterion for a subring $U \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ to be a Galois $\Gamma$-ring. For $a = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}} a_{\varphi} \varphi \in L \ast \mathcal{M}$, the support of $a$ is defined as $\text{Supp}(a) = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{M} | a_{\varphi} \neq 0 \}$.

**Proposition 3.2 ([11, Proposition 4.1(1)]).** Let $U$ be a $\Gamma$-subring of $\mathcal{K}$ generated as a $\Gamma$-ring by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{K}$. If $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Supp}(u_i)$ generates $\mathcal{M}$ as a monoid, then $U$ is a Galois $\Gamma$-ring in $\mathcal{K}$.

### 3.2. Definition of quantum OGZ algebras

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $(m, p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $p \mid m$. Let $q \in \mathbb{F}$ be nonzero and not a root of unity.

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}[x_{ki}^{\pm 1} \mid i \in [1, r_k], k \in [1, n]]$ be a Laurent polynomial algebra in $|r| = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n$ variables and $L$ be the field of fractions of $\Lambda$. Let $G_{r_k}$ be the complex reflection group $G(m, p, r_k)$, defined in (2.3), and put $G = G_{r_1} \times G_{r_2} \times \cdots \times G_{r_n}$. The group $G$ acts naturally on $\Lambda$, hence on $L$, by

$$g(x_{ki}) = \alpha_k x_{k\sigma(i)}$$  

(3.2)

for

$$g = (\sigma_1 \alpha_1, \sigma_2 \alpha_2, \ldots, \sigma_n \alpha_n) \in G, \quad \sigma_k \in S_{r_k}, \quad \alpha_k = (\alpha_{k1}, \alpha_{k2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k r_k}) \in A(m, p, r_k).$$  

(3.3)

Define $\Gamma = \Lambda^G$, the subalgebra of $G$-invariants in $\Lambda$. By the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd Theorem [26][3], $\Gamma$ is a semi-Laurent polynomial algebra in the generators

$$\gamma_{kd} = e_{kd}(x_{k1}^{m}, x_{k2}^{m}, \ldots, x_{kr_k}^{m}), \quad d \in [1, r_k - 1], \quad k \in [1, n],$$  

(3.4a)

$$\gamma_{kr_k} = (x_{k1}^{m} x_{k2} x_{kr_k})^{m/p}, \quad k \in [1, n].$$  

(3.4b)

where $e_{kd}$ denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $d$ in $r_k$ variables.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma_{kd}]$, written multiplicatively, with $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{ \delta_{ki}^{1/r_k+1/r_2+\cdots+1/r_n-1} \}$ be the subgroup generated by $\delta_{ki}$ for $i \in [1, r_k], k \in [1, n - 1]$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the skew group algebra $L \ast \mathcal{M}$, where $\delta_{ki} x_{ij} = q^{-\delta_{ki} x_{ij} / 2} x_{ij}$. In particular $\mathcal{V}$ is a left $L$-module space with basis $\{ v_{\lambda} \}$ indexed by $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}} L v_{\lambda}$$  

(3.5)

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $^L \gamma \in \text{End}_\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V})$ be the operator of left multiplication by $\gamma$. For $k \in [1, n - 1]$, define the operators $L X^k \in \text{End}_\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V})$ to be the left multiplication by

$$X^k_k = \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} (\delta_{ki}^{1/r_k+1/r_2+\cdots+1/r_n-1} A_{ki} \in L \ast \mathcal{M} \subseteq L \ast \mathcal{M},$$  

(3.6)

where

$$A_{ki} = \frac{m/r_k (r_k + 1 - r_k) \prod_j \left( (x_{k+1} x_{ki}^{m-1} - m/p (x_{k+1} x_{ki}^{m-1} - 1) \right) \prod_{j \neq i} \left( (x_{kj} x_{ki}^{m-1} - m/p (x_{kj} x_{ki}^{m-1} - 1) \right)}{\prod_{j \neq i} \left( (x_{kj} x_{ki}^{m-1} - m/p (x_{kj} x_{ki}^{m-1} - 1) \right)}.$$  

(3.7)
The products are taken over all $j$ for which the expressions are defined. Thus in the numerator $j$ runs over $[1, r_k+1]$ and in the denominator $j$ runs over $[1, r_k]\setminus \{i\}$ and $r_0 = 0$ by convention.

We make the following definition, inspired by the classical case considered in [18].

**Definition 3.3 (Quantum OGZ Algebra).** The $(m, p)$-form of the Quantum OGZ Algebra of signature $r$, denoted $U_q^{m,p}(r)$, is defined as the $\mathbb{F}$-subalgebra of $\text{End}_\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{Y})$ generated by $^t\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $^tX_k^\pm$ for $k \in [1, n-1]$.

Some comments are in order. The fraction in (3.7) can be informally obtained from the corresponding classical expression $\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(t_{ii}-t_{jj})}$ by replacing the differences $t_{ab} - t_{cd}$ with their $q$-analog $[t_{ab} - t_{cd}]_q^{m,p}$, where we use what we call the $(m, p)$-form $q$-number

$$[x]_q^{m,p} = \frac{q^{xm/p}(q^{xm} - 1)}{q^{-m/p}(q^m - 1)}$$

and then replacing $q^{ki}$ by $x_{ki}$. The prefactor $x_{ki}^{-(t_{ii}-t_{ij})}$ in (3.7) has no classical counterpart (more precisely, the classical limit is 1) but is needed in the quantum case to ensure that the elements $X_k^\pm$ are $G$-invariant relative to the natural action (3.15) (Theorem 3.9). The reason we choose to work with $q$-numbers of the form (3.8) is twofold. On one hand, it allows us to encapsulate several different flavors of the quantum group $U_q(gl_n)$ and the quantized Heisenberg algebra (Section 3.3). On the other hand, in this setup, the natural “Weyl group of type $A_{n-1}$” turns out to be precisely the complex reflection group $G(m, p, n)$, which allows us to use Theorem 1.1 to calculate the division ring of fractions of $U_q^{m,p}(r)$.

### 3.3. Examples

Before continuing, we provide some examples of quantum OGZ algebras.

**Example 3.4 (Quantized $gl_n$).** Let $r = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and $(m, p) = (2, 2)$. Then $U_q^{m,p}(r) \simeq U_q(gl_n)$, the quantized enveloping algebra of $gl_n$, defined as the algebra with generators $E_i^+, E_i^-, K_j, K_j^{-1}$ for $i \in [1, n-1], j \in [1, n]$ and defining relations

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i E_j^+ K_i^{-1} = q^{\pm (i-j)} E_j^+, \quad [E_i^+, E_j^-] = \delta_{ij} K_i/K_{i+1} - (K_i/K_{i+1})^{-1}$$

$$\quad (E_i^-)^2 = [2]_q E_i^- E_i^+ E_i^- + E_i^- (E_i^+)^2 = 0, \quad |i-j| = 1,$$

where $[2]_q = (q^2 - q^{-2})/(q - q^{-1}) = q + q^{-1}$. This follows from Theorem 3.9 below, combined with [10, Prop. 5.9]. Note that the correct Weyl group $W$ for $U_q(gl_n)$ (in the sense of the image of the quantum Harish-Chandra isomorphism being the $W$-invariants of the torus) is the complex reflection group $G(2, 2, n)$ (the Weyl group of type $D_n$).

**Example 3.5 (Simply Connected Form of Quantized $gl_n$).** Let $r = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$, $m = 4$, $p = 2$. Then $U_q^{m,p}(r)$ is isomorphic to the so-called simply connected quantum group $\tilde{U}_q(gl_n)$ ([16, Section 7.3.1], although their $q^{1/2}$ is our $q$), obtained by changing the notion of $q$-number from $[a]_q = \frac{q^a - q^{-a}}{q - q^{-1}}$ to $[a]_q^{1/2} = \frac{q^a - q^{-a}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}$. Thus the right-hand side of the second relation in (3.9b) should be replaced by

$$\delta_{ij} \frac{(K_i/K_{i+1})^2 - (K_i/K_{i+1})^{-2}}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.$$
while in (3.9c), $[2]_q$ should be replaced by $[2]_q^2 = q^2 + q^{-2}$. The other relations stay the same. Here the correct Weyl group is $G(4, 2, n)$.

**Example 3.6 (The $(m, p)$-Form of Quantized $\mathfrak{gl}_n$).** Generalizing the above, we let $r = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$, $(m, p) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p|m$. Then $U_q^{m,p}(r)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $U_q^{m,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ obtained from the definition of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ using the $(m, p)$-form $q$-number (3.8). Thus the definition of $U_q^{m,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is the same as that of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ except that the right-hand side of the second relation in (3.9b) should be replaced by

$$\delta_{ij} \left( \frac{K_i/K_{i+1}}{q^{m/p}} \left( \frac{K_i/K_{i+1}}{q^{m/p}} \right)^m - 1 \right),$$

and in (3.9c), $[2]_q$ should be replaced by $[2]_q^{mp} = q^{2m/p}(q^{p-1} - 1) = q^{-m/p}(q^m + 1)$. The other relations stay the same. The Weyl group in this case is the complex reflection group $G(m, p, n)$.

**Example 3.7 (Extended Quantized Heisenberg Algebra).** Let $r = (1, 1)$ and $(m, p) = (2, 2)$. Then one can check that $U_q^{m,p}(r)$ is isomorphic to the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{H}_q[L, L^{-1}]$, where $\mathcal{H}_q$ is the quantized Heisenberg algebra with generators $X, Y, K^{\pm 1}$, and relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1,$$  

$$YX = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad XY = \frac{qK - q^{-1}K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$  

$$KXX^{-1} = qX, \quad KKY^{-1} = q^{-1}Y.$$  

This example can also be generalized to arbitrary $(m, p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $p|m$, which leads to an $(m, p)$-form of the quantized Heisenberg algebra, denoted $\mathcal{H}_q^{m,p}$.

### 3.4. Galois ring realization of $U_q^{m,p}(r)$

In this subsection, we prove that quantum OGZ algebras can be realized as Galois $\Gamma$-rings. Keeping the notation from Section 3.2, define an action of $G$ on $L \ast M$ by $F$-algebra automorphisms as follows:

$$g(x_{ki}) = \alpha_{ki}x_{k\sigma(i)},$$

$$g(\delta_{ki}) = \delta\sigma_{ki},$$

with $g$ is as in (3.3). It is straightforward to check that this is indeed an action by algebra automorphisms. Note that the action of $G$ on $M$ is the conjugation action.

**Lemma 3.8.** The following two statements hold.

(a) The action of $M$ on $L$ is $K$-separating.

(b) $L/K$ is a Galois extension and $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

**Proof.** (a) For $h \in M$ write $h = \prod (\delta_{ki})^{h_{ki}}$ where $h_{ki} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $k \in [1, n]$ and consider the element $a = y_{k1} = x_{k1}^m + x_{k2}^m + \cdots + x_{kn}^m \in K$. Then

$$h(a) = q^{-mh_{k1}}x_{k1}^m + q^{-mh_{k2}}x_{k2}^m + \cdots + q^{-mh_{kn}}x_{kn}^m.$$  

Since $q$ is not a root of unity, the exponents are uniquely determined by $h$.

(b) [3, Chapter 3].

**Theorem 3.9 (Galois Ring Realization of $U_q^{m,p}(r)$).** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $(m, p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $p|m$, and $q \in F$ be nonzero and not a root of unity. Put $U = U_q^{m,p}(r)$. Then there exists an injective
\(\mathbb{F}\)-algebra homomorphism

\[
\varphi : U \longrightarrow (L \ast M)^G,
\]

(3.16a)

given by

\[
\varphi(t^k x_k^\pm) = x_k^\pm, \quad k \in \llbracket 1, n - 1 \rrbracket,
\]

(3.16b)

\[
\varphi(t^\gamma) = \gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.
\]

(3.16c)

Moreover,

\[
K\varphi(U) = \varphi(U)K = (L \ast M)^G.
\]

(3.17)

In other words, \(U\) is isomorphic to a Galois \(\Gamma\)-ring in \((L \ast M)^G\).

Proof. Let \(U'\) denote the subalgebra of \(L \ast M\) generated by \(X_k^\pm\) and \(\Gamma\). Let \(\psi : U' \rightarrow U\) denote the homomorphism sending \(X_k^\pm\) to \(t^k x_k^\pm\) and \(\gamma\) to \(t^\gamma\). Thus \(\psi\) is just the left regular representation of \(U'\). By definition of \(U\), the map \(\psi\) is surjective. Since \(U'\) is unital, \(\psi\) is injective. Thus \(\varphi = \psi^{-1}\) is an injective homomorphism from \(U\) to \(L \ast M\). We must show that the image, \(U'\), of \(\varphi\) is contained in the invariant subalgebra \((L \ast M)^G\). Since \(\Gamma = \Lambda^G \subseteq L^G\), clearly \(\Gamma \subseteq (L \ast M)^G\). Let \(g = (\sigma_1 \alpha_1, \sigma_2 \alpha_2, \ldots, \sigma_n \alpha_n) \in G\) and \(k \in \llbracket 1, n - 1 \rrbracket\). Then

\[
g(X_k^\pm) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} g\left((\delta^k)^\pm\right) g(A_{kj}^\pm) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} \delta^k g(\sigma_k(i)) g(A_{kj}^\pm).
\]

Thus we must show that

\[
g(A_{ki}^\pm) = \delta^k g(\sigma_k(i)) \text{ for all } i \in \llbracket 1, r_k \rrbracket.
\]

(3.18)

First rewrite \(A_{ki}^\pm\) as follows:

\[
A_{ki}^\pm = \prod_j x_{ki,j}^{-m/p} \cdot \prod_j \left\{ \left( x_{ki,j}^{-m/p} x_{ki,j}^{-m} - 1 \right) / \left( q^{-m/p} (q^m - 1) \right) \right\}.
\]

(3.19)

By direct inspection, the products involving curly brackets are mapped under \(g\) to the same expressions with \(i\) replaced by \(\sigma_k(i)\). The other two products belong to \(\Gamma\) by (3.4b) and hence are \(G\)-invariant. This proves (3.18). Finally, the identities (3.17) follow from Proposition 3.2 and that the union of the support of the generators \(X_k\) is equal to \(\{ \delta^k, (\delta^k)^{-1} \mid i \in \llbracket 1, r_k \rrbracket, k \in \llbracket 1, n - 1 \rrbracket \}\), which generates \(M\) as a monoid.

\[\square\]

4. The quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture for \(U^{m,p}_q(r)\)

We will apply the Galois ring realization of quantum OGZ algebras (Theorem 3.9) and the positive solution to the \(q\)-difference Noether problem (Theorem 1.1) to give a proof of Theorem 1.5 describing the division ring of fractions of \(U^{m,p}_q(r)\).

Let \(M_k\) be the subgroup of \(M\) generated by \(\delta^k\), \(i \in \llbracket 1, r_k \rrbracket\), and \(\Lambda_k = \mathbb{F} \left\llbracket x_{ki}^\pm \mid i \in \llbracket 1, r_k \rrbracket \right\rrbracket\). Let \(P_k = \mathbb{F}[x_{i,1}^\pm, x_{i,2}^\pm, \ldots, x_{i,r_k}^\pm, y_{1,i}^\pm, y_{2,i}^\pm, \ldots, y_{r_k,i}^\pm]\) be the quantum Laurent polynomial algebra, generated by \(x_{i}^\pm, y_{j}^\pm\) for \(i \in \llbracket 1, r_k \rrbracket\) with defining relations

\[
x_i x_i^{-1} = y_i y_i^{-1} = y_i^{-1} y_i = 1,
\]

(4.1a)

\[
x_i y_j = x_j y_i, \quad y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad y_i x_j = q^{\delta_{ij}} x_j y_i.
\]

(4.1b)

Recall that \(G_k = G(m, p, r_k)\). The group \(G_k\) acts by automorphisms on \(P_k\) as in (2.4).
Lemma 4.1. There is a $G_k$-equivariant $\mathbb{F}$-algebra isomorphism

$$\psi : \mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \Lambda_k \ast M_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

given by

$$\psi(y_i) = (\delta^{ki})^{-1}, \quad \psi(x_i) = x_{ki}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

**Proof.** That $\psi$ is an isomorphism is immediate, using (4.1), that $x_{ki}$ and $\delta^{ki}$ are invertible in $\Lambda_k \ast M_k$ and satisfy

$$x_{ki}x_{kj} = x_{kj}x_{ki}, \quad \delta^{ki}\delta^{kj} = \delta^{kj}\delta^{ki}, \quad \delta^{ki}x_{kj} = q^{-\delta_{ij}}x_{kj}\delta^{ki}.$$  

Moreover, $\psi$ clearly intertwines the $G_k$-actions given in (3.15) and (2.4).

We are now ready to prove the third and final main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** The proof is similar to [10, Section 6] but we provide some more details for clarity. By (3.17), the map $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Frac}\left(U^{m,p}_q(r)\right) \simeq \text{Frac}\left((L \ast M)^G\right).$$

Since $G$ is finite, any fraction is equivalent to a fraction with $G$-invariant denominator, hence

$$\text{Frac}\left((L \ast M)^G\right) \simeq \left(\text{Frac}(L \ast M))^G\right.$$

Since $L = \text{Frac} \Lambda,$

$$\left(\text{Frac}(L \ast M))^G\right) \simeq \left(\text{Frac}(\Lambda \ast M))^G\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

Since $\delta^{ki}$ fixes $x_{ij}$ if $l \neq k$ and $M$ is generated by $\delta^{ki}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{N}, r_k,$ the right-hand side of (4.4) is isomorphic to

$$\left(\text{Frac}(\Lambda_1 \ast M_1) \otimes (\Lambda_2 \ast M_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\Lambda_{n-1} \ast M_{n-1}) \otimes \Lambda_n)\right)^G.$$

Using again that $G$ is finite, and is a direct product of groups $G_k$ acting trivially on $\delta^l$ and $x_l$ if $l \neq k,$ the last division ring is isomorphic to

$$\text{Frac}\left((\Lambda_1 \ast M_1)^{G_1} \otimes (\Lambda_2 \ast M_2)^{G_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\Lambda_{n-1} \ast M_{n-1})^{G_{n-1}} \otimes (\Lambda_n)^{G_0}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

By the isomorphism (4.2), $(\Lambda_k \ast M_k)^{G_k}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}; y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm 1}]^{G_k},$ which in turn has the same division ring of fractions as $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]^{G_k}.$ (Here the number of pairs of variables $x_l, y_l$ is suppressed from the notation, but can be deduced from the notation $G_k$ to be $r_k.$) Hence (4.5) is isomorphic to

$$\text{Frac}\left(\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]^{G_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]^{G_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]^{G_{n-1}} \otimes (\Lambda_n)^{G_0}\right).$$

By Theorem 1.1, the latter is isomorphic to

$$\text{Frac}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^1}[x, y] \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x, y] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}_{q_{n-1}}[x, y] \otimes (\Gamma_n)^{G_0}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

where $q_k = (q^{m/p}, q^{m}, q^{m}, \ldots, q^{m}) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ for $k \in \mathbb{N},$ and we put $\Gamma_n = (\Lambda_n)^{G_0}.$ Thus, letting $k = \text{Frac} \Gamma_n,$ (6.4) is isomorphic to $k_\mathbb{F}(x, y)$ where $\mathbb{F}$ consists of $n-1$ components of $q^{m/p}$ and $|\mathbb{F}| - (r_n + n - 1)$ components of $q^{m}.$ By the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem, $\mathbb{F}$ is a purely transcendental field extension of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree $r_n.$
5. Open problem

We end by stating an open problem.

**Problem 5.1.** If G is an exceptional complex reflection group, does it act naturally by algebra automorphisms on a suitable tensor power of the quantum plane? If so, is there a positive solution to the $q$-difference Noether problem for such G?
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