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Abstract: Summary: This paper deals in the midst effect of M-sand usage instead of fine aggregate, TiO$_2$, and iron shavings in terms of volume of concrete to improve the tensile nature of the concrete material. At present larger part of the structures built with solid material namely concrete. Concrete is a composite material arranged by including pounded rocks as course total, normal sand burrowing from conduits as fine total, bond or lime as restricting material and adequate measure of water at specified extents to shape gel. Nowadays the quality of natural sand is degrading and digging at higher depth in water ways leads to land sliding etc. The atmosphere is being polluted due to the harmful gases and toxic byproducts that are released during the production of cement. The present research deals with finding the alternative material for minimizing the problems caused by fine aggregate and binding material. In this context, we are working by means of the stand-in of usual sand by means of manufacture sand producing from crushing of larger boulders into fine particles of required size in cubical shape in various percentages such as 20%, 40%. … (Volume batching) till occurring optimum percentages. At M-sand optimum percentage, we are partially replacing TiO$_2$ instead of cement in various percentages such as 4%, 8% … in addition to that we are adding Iron shavings to concrete volume to improve tensile nature of the conventional concrete.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Foreword:
Concrete be a matrix material prepared by mixing of larger boulders as course total, conventional sand like fine aggregate and cement seeing that binding material to suitable proportions with sufficient water as per water-cement ratio to form gel. Organizing Advancement with Photocatalytic concrete in like way broadens elegant term and make the structure looking like new over postponed stretch of time. Typically Normal Sand is absent in waterway up to required amount. Burrowing sand, from waterway bed in overabundance amount is dangerous to environment. [13-14] The profound pits delved in the stream bed influences the ground water level.

Disintegration of close by land is likewise because of unnecessary sand lifting. Manufactured fine aggregate is familiar as robo sand in construction world prepared by crushing of larger stone boulders into fine particles which will have cubical shape and can play similar role of natural sand in concrete [15-16]. Iron shavings are the waste extracted from nailing of iron rods at industries. [11-12]

The partial replacement of the titanium dioxide improves the strength of concrete and helps in depollution of polluted air and, creates a charge separation of electrons which dispenses on the Photocatalytic surface and reacts with external substances, decomposing organic compounds [1-8]. There is an improvement in strength property of the matrix material through addition of iron dissipate as powder form [11]. Usage of manufactured sand not only fills the voids also improve the strength of the concrete by forming strong bonding for long period [17-18].

II. MATERIALS

A. Binding material:
The binding material used in this study majorly consists of OPC 53 Grade and TiO$_2$ at suitable proportions. When activated by the energy in light, the white pigment creates a charge that dispenses on the surface of the photocatalyst, and reacts with external substances to decompose organic compound.

![Fig. 1 TiO$_2$](image)

Following are the properties of TiO$_2$ mentioned in Table 1.

The below table consist basic parameters of TiO$_2$ as found that values done with laboratory experiments

| S.No | Name of Property | Standard Value |
|------|------------------|----------------|
| 1    | Sp.Gravity       | 2.25           |
| 2    | Molecular Weight | 70.90mg/l      |

Table 1 TiO$_2$ Properties
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B. Manufactured fine aggregate:
Manufactured fine aggregate is furnish from crumble of rock material having size less than 4.75 mm size pre-owned in construction of structures. It is a high constitution material. Basalt material is a very fine-grained with visible mineral grains. The average density of basalt material is 3.0 gm/cm$^3$.

C. Course aggregate
Material which is held on 4.75 mm or more strainer is known as a coarse total. It decreases the expense of cement, since it involves significant volume. The synthesis, shape and size of the total all have critical effect on the crisp, mechanical properties just as weight and shrinkage of the solid. The most extreme size of coarse total utilized in this undertaking is 20 mm and the spans of coarse total utilized are 10mm, 12.5mm and 20 mm individually.

Table 2 Material Properties

| S.No | TESTS                  | MATERIALS |
|------|------------------------|-----------|
|      |                        | Cement    | F.A | M Sand | C.A |
| 1    | Cement setting Time (Initial) | 34 min.  |     |        |     |
| 2    | Cement setting Time (Final)   | 525 Min. |     |        |     |
| 3    | Sp.Gravity              | 3.14      | 2.74| 2.67   | 2.74|
| 4    | Water Absorption        |           |     | 1%     |     |
| 5    | Bulking of sand         | 6%        | 6%  |        |     |

The above table speaks to the essential properties of the Course total and Fine total and is discovered that water retention, Sp.Gravity values are inside the point of confinement when looked at standard qualities

D. Iron Shavings:
Iron Shavings are brought from nailing of iron rods by using lathe machine in Mechanical department

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A. Mix Design
Receive Configuration blend for Evaluation of Cement M20 configuration by utilizing IS10262:2009 and IS 456:2000 code arrangements the following are the details.

Grade of Concrete : M20
Mix Ratio : 1:1.77:2.89
Water-Cement Ratio : 0.54

All the materials weight and percentage details are mentioned in Table 3&4

Table 3 Mix Details

| Mix Type | TiO$_2$ (%) | Cement (Kg) | TiO$_2$ (Kg) | IS (%) |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| M$_0$    | 0%          | 394.00       | 0.0          | 0%     |
| M$_1$    | 2%          | 386.20       | 7.8          | 2%     |
| M$_2$    | 4%          | 378.30       | 15.7         | 4%     |
| M$_3$    | 6%          | 370.40       | 23.6         | 6%     |
| M$_4$    | 8%          | 362.50       | 31.5         | 8%     |
| M$_5$    | 10%         | 354.60       | 39.4         | 10%    |
| M$_6$    | 12%         | 346.72       | 47.2         | -      |

Table 4 Mix Details

| Mix Type | MS (%) | MS (Kg) | NS (Kg) |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| M$_0$    | 0%     | 0.00    | 698.80  |
| M$_1$    | 20%    | 139.76  | 559.04  |
| M$_2$    | 40%    | 279.52  | 419.28  |
| M$_3$    | 60%    | 419.28  | 279.52  |
| M$_4$    | 80%    | 559.04  | 139.76  |
| M$_5$    | 100%   | 698.80  | 0.00    |

Note: MS – Manufactured Sand; NS-Natural Sand; IS- Iron Shavings

IV. RESULTS

To inspect the properties of modified Matrix concrete and solidified Cement done different trials in the lab, such as Slump Cone test and compaction factor test to examine workability of Fresh concrete as well as Compressive strength, Split & Flexural strength tests to study about hardened concrete properties. The following are the details.
A. Compressive Strength

Table 5 Compressive Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | Compressive Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|------------------------------|
|            | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| 0%         | 8.631  | 15.782  | 24.66   |
| 20%        | 8.82   | 16.140  | 25.22   |
| 40%        | 9.177  | 16.780  | 26.22   |
| 60%        | 9.408  | 17.472  | 26.88   |
| 80%        | 9.527  | 17.420  | 27.22   |
| 100%       | 9.177  | 16.780  | 26.22   |

Fig. 4 impact of M-Sand on Compressive Strength of Matrix

The above diagram shows compressive strength outcomes for Traditional Cement substituted with M-Sand and discovered that compressive strength of Ordinary Matrix increment with 100% of M-Sand

Table 6 Compressive Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | TiO₂ (%) | 8% | Compressive Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|----------|----|------------------------------|
|            | 7 days   | 14 days | 28 days |
| 80%        | 0%       | 9.527  | 17.42  | 27.22  |
|            | 2%       | 10.38  | 18.92  | 29.66  |
|            | 4%       | 10.57  | 19.34  | 30.22  |
|            | 6%       | 11.04  | 20.19  | 31.55  |
|            | 8%       | 11.27  | 20.62  | 32.22  |
|            | 10%      | 11.66  | 21.32  | 33.32  |
|            | 12%      | 9.05   | 18.54  | 26.25  |

Fig. 5 Impact of TiO₂ on Compressive strength of Matrix

The above graph shows compressive strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand, TiO₂. It is discovered that compressive strength of Photocatalytic Concrete rises upto33.32N/mm² with adding of 10% TiO₂ further decrease if increases TiO₂ value

Table 7 Compressive Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | TiO₂ (%) | IS (%) | Compressive Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|----------|--------|------------------------------|
|            | 7 days   | 14 days | 28 days |
| 80%        | 0%       | 11.66  | 21.32 | 33.32  |
|            | 2%       | 11.74  | 21.47 | 33.55  |
|            | 4%       | 11.85  | 21.68 | 33.88  |
|            | 6%       | 9.64   | 17.63 | 27.55  |
|            | 8%       | 7.42   | 13.58 | 21.22  |
|            | 10%      | 7.19   | 13.15 | 20.55  |

Fig. 6 impact of iron Shavings on Compressive strength of Matrix
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The above graph shows compressive strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand, 10% TiO$_2$ and Iron Shavings. It is discovered that compressive strength of Photocatalytic Concrete rises up to 33.88 N/mm$^2$ with adding of 4% Iron Shavings further decrease if increases Iron Shavings value.

B. Split Tensile Strength

The above diagram shows Tensile strength results for Conventional Concrete substituted with M-Sand and discovered that Tensile strength of Conventional Concrete increase with 100% of M-Sand.

Table 8 Split Tensile Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | Split Tensile Strength (N/mm$^2$) |
|------------|----------------------------------|
|            | 7 days  | 14 days | 28 days |
| 0%         | 1.909   | 2.582   | 3.227   |
| 20%        | 1.931   | 2.611   | 3.264   |
| 40%        | 1.969   | 2.662   | 3.328   |
| 60%        | 1.993   | 2.716   | 3.369   |
| 80%        | 2.006   | 2.712   | 3.391   |
| 100%       | 1.969   | 2.662   | 3.328   |

The above diagram shows Tensile strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand, TiO$_2$. It is discovered that Tensile strength of Photocatalytic Concrete rises up to 3.75 N/mm$^2$ with adding of 10% TiO$_2$ further decrease if increases TiO$_2$ value.

Table 9 Split Tensile Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | TiO$_2$ (%) | Split Tensile Strength (N/mm$^2$) |
|------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
|            |             | 7 days  | 14 days | 28 days |
| 0%         | 0%          | 2.00    | 2.712   | 3.39    |
| 2%         | 2%          | 2.09    | 2.831   | 3.47    |
| 4%         | 4%          | 2.11    | 2.85    | 3.57    |
| 6%         | 6%          | 2.15    | 2.92    | 3.65    |
| 8%         | 8%          | 2.18    | 2.95    | 3.68    |
| 10%        | 10%         | 2.21    | 3.00    | 3.75    |
| 12%        | 12%         | 1.21    | 2.81    | 3.425   |

The above diagram shows Tensile strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand, TiO$_2$, and Iron Shavings. It is discovered that Tensile strength of Photocatalytic Concrete rises up to 3.75 N/mm$^2$ with adding of 10% TiO$_2$ further decrease if increases TiO$_2$ value.
The above graph shows Tensile strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand,

### Table 11 Split Tensile Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | Flexural Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|--------------------------|
|            | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| 0%         | 2.056  | 2.780   | 3.476   |
| 20%        | 2.079  | 2.812   | 3.515   |
| 40%        | 2.120  | 2.867   | 3.584   |
| 60%        | 2.147  | 2.925   | 3.629   |
| 80%        | 2.160  | 2.921   | 3.652   |
| 100%       | 2.120  | 2.867   | 3.584   |

10% TiO₂ and Iron Shavings. It is discovered that Tensile strength of Photocatalytic Concrete rises upto 4.94 N/mm² with adding of 4% Iron Shavings further decrease if increases Iron Shavings value.

### A. Flexural Strength

#### Table 12 Split Tensile Strength detail

| M-Sand (%) | TiO₂ (%) | Flexural Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|----------|---------------------------|
|            | 0%       | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| 80%        | 2%       | 2.25   | 3.04    | 3.81    |
| 6%         | 4%       | 2.27   | 3.07    | 3.84    |
| 8%         | 6%       | 2.32   | 3.14    | 3.93    |
| 10%        | 8%       | 2.35   | 3.17    | 3.97    |
| 12%        | 10%      | 2.39   | 3.23    | 4.04    |
|            | 12%      | 2.18   | 3.05    | 3.85    |

#### Fig. 10 impact of M-Sand on Flexural strength of Matrix

The above diagram shows Flexural strength results for Conventional Concrete substituted with M-Sand and It is discovered that Flexural strength of Conventional Matrix increase through 100% of M-Sand.

#### Fig. 11 impact of TiO₂ on Flexural strength of Matrix

### Table 13 Split Tensile Strength details

| M-Sand (%) | TiO₂ (%) | IS (%) | Flexural Strength (N/mm²) |
|------------|----------|--------|---------------------------|
|            | 0%       | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| 80%        | 2%       | 2.73   | 3.69    | 4.61    |
| 6%         | 4%       | 2.74   | 3.70    | 4.63    |
| 8%         | 6%       | 2.75   | 3.72    | 5.10    |
| 10%        | 8%       | 2.48   | 3.35    | 4.19    |
|            | 10%      | 2.18   | 2.94    | 3.68    |
|            |          | 2.14   | 2.90    | 3.62    |
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The above graph shows Flexural strength results for Photocatalytic Concrete substituted with 100% M-Sand, TiO₂. It is discovered that compressive strength of Photocatalytic matrix rises up to 4.04 N/mm² with adding of 10% TiO₂ further decrease if increases TiO₂ value

- The Flexural Strength also increases with the increase in percentage of Iron Shavings and maximum Flexural strength obtained is 5.10 N/mm² at 4% of Iron Shavings.
- The percentage of increase in the compressive strength is 26.65% and the flexure strength is 10.48% at the age of 28 days with the replacement of M-Sand, TiO₂ and Iron Shavings at 80%, 10% and 4% respective

V. CONCLUSIONS

The following Inferences are exhausted from this examination:
- It is discovered that mechanical properties, for example, quality attributes of the matrix being improved by incomplete swap of M-sand for fine total.
- The perfect level of successor of normal fine total by Fabricated fine total is 80%
- Because of shortage of regular fine total and its elevated expense could renew the selection of fabricated fine total by 100% successor rather than normal fine total.
- It is seen that the compressive strength along with flexure strength of concrete can be improved by fractional substitution TiO₂ as Binding Material
- From the above exploratory outcomes it is demonstrated that, TiO₂ can be utilized as halfway substitution as Cementitious Material and the compressive, flexure qualities are expanded as the level of TiO₂ is expanded up to ideal level. The ideal level of substitution of TiO₂ by Bond is 10%
- The optimum percentage of TiO₂ is 10% for getting maximum compressive strength and it is obtained as 33.32 N/mm².
- The optimum percentage of Iron Shavings is 4% for getting maximum compressive strength and it is obtained 33.88 N/mm².
- The Split tensile Strength increments with the expansion in level of Iron Shavings along with 80% expansion in level of M-sand, and 10% of TiO₂. The maximum tensile Strength is obtained as 4.94 N/mm² at 4% of Iron Shavings.
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