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1 Introduction

1.1 Abelian Galois theory via quantum statistical mechanics

The Bost–Connes system [11] provides the original model for the recasting of explicit class field theory problems in the setting of classical or quantum statistical mechanics.

Basically, it starts with classical Mellin transforms of Dirichlet series of various arithmetic origins, and represents them as calculations of statistical averages of certain observables as functions of inverse temperature \(1/kT\) (classical systems) or imaginary time \(it\) (quantum systems). Here is a brief description of such systems relevant in the contexts of abelian extensions of number fields (see [11] and [21, Chapter 3]).

One constructs the arithmetic noncommutative algebra \(A_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \rtimes \mathbb{N}\) given by a semigroup crossed product. One then passes to the associated \(C^*\)-algebra of observables with the time evolution \((A, \sigma_t)\). The algebra is \(A = C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{N} = C(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rtimes \mathbb{N}\). The time evolution acts trivially on the abelian subalgebra, \(\sigma_t|_{C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})} = \text{Id}\), and nontrivially on the semigroup \(\mathbb{N}\): \(\sigma_t(\mu_n) = n^it \mu_n\), where \(\mu_n\) are the isometries in \(A\) corresponding to \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). The group \(G = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*\) acts as symmetries of the quantum statistical mechanical system \((A, \sigma_t)\). There are covariant representations \(\pi : A \to B(\mathcal{H})\) on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})\), with \(e^{itH}\pi(a)e^{-itH} = \pi(\sigma_t(a))\), for \(a \in A\) and \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), with Hamiltonian \(H\), for which the partition function \(Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \zeta(\beta)\) is the Riemann zeta function. The extremal KMS equilibrium states \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\infty\) at zero temperature, when evaluated on elements of \(A_\mathbb{Q}\), take values in the maximal abelian extension \(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}\) (generated by roots of unity) and intertwine the symmetries and the Galois action, \(\theta(\gamma)\varphi(a) = \varphi(\gamma a)\), for \(\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* = \text{GL}_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})\) and \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\infty\), with \(\theta : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \to \text{Gal} (\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q})\) the class field theory isomorphism.

This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Integrability, Geometry, Moduli in honor of Motohico Mulase for his 65th birthday. The full collection is available at https://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/Mulase.html
An interested reader will find much more details and basic references in [21, Chapter 3, Section 2].

This construction of the Bost–Connes system was then generalized in [22, 36, 46, 63], to the case of abelian extensions of arbitrary number fields. Given a number field \( K \), there is an associated quantum statistical mechanical system \( BC_K \) with the properties that its partition function is the Dedekind zeta function of \( K \), and its symmetry group is the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension \( \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \). Moreover, there is an arithmetic subalgebra \( A_K \) with the property that evaluations of zero-temperature KMS states on elements of \( A_K \) take values in \( K^{ab} \), and intertwine the action of \( \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \) by symmetries of the quantum statistical mechanical system with the Galois action on \( K^{ab} \).

Later, it was shown in [24, 25] that the quantum statistical mechanical system \( BC_K \) completely determines the number field \( K \). Other generalizations of the Bost–Connes system were developed for the abelian varieties related to 2-lattices and the Shimura variety of \( \text{GL}_2 \) (see [20]), and for more general Shimura varieties in [36] and abelian varieties in [62]. See also [21, Chapter 3] for an overview of these arithmetic quantum statistical mechanical models.

### 1.2 Non-abelian Galois theory via quantum statistical mechanics

A natural further generalization of this program is a development of quantum statistical mechanical models for the action of the non-abelian absolute Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) instead of its abelianization \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})^{ab} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q}) \) as in the original Bost–Connes system. This development is the main focus of the present paper. We will approach the problem from the point of view of Belyi’s theorem and Grothendieck’s theory of dessins d’enfant, see [33] and further articles in the same collection. See also the recent work [8, 32].

More concretely, whereas \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})^{ab} \) can be presented in terms of the profinite completion of the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} \), according to Grothendieck’s prophetic vision, \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) might be similarly presented in terms the profinite completion of the fundamental group (or rather groupoid) of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \). A decisive step in this direction was made by G. Belyi [6]. The semigroup \( \mathbb{N} \) of the classical Bost–Connes system is replaced here by the semigroup of Belyi-extending maps of [61].

The relation to the profinite fundamental groups mentioned above can be seen more explicitly in the following way. The abelianization \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})^{ab} \) is isomorphic by the class field theory isomorphism to \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \) and acts by automorphisms on the profinite completion \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) of the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} \). On the other hand, the absolute Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) has a faithful action by automorphisms on the profinite completion \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_2 \) of the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \), as in of [41, Proposition 1.6] and Theorem 1 in the Appendix to the same paper. This action is given on a set of generators \( x, y \) of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_2 \) by \( x \mapsto x^{\chi(\gamma)} \) and \( y \mapsto f_{\gamma}^{-1}(x, y)y^{\chi(\gamma)}f_{\gamma}(x, y) \), with \( \chi: \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \) the cyclotomic character and \( f_{\gamma}(x, y) \) are elements in the commutator subgroup of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_2 \) [41, Proposition 1.5]. These two actions are clearly compatible through the cyclotomic character, since the action \( \alpha \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \) on the profinite fundamental group of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} \) is just given by \( x \mapsto x^{\alpha} \).

Belyi’s theorem, Grothendieck’s program, and a construction of the respective versions of Bost–Connes system will be described in the Section 2 of this paper. This section, however, is mainly concerned with the combinatorics and enumeration of dessins d’enfant, rather than Galois action upon the fundamental groupoid of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \). However, see Section 2.5 and the following discussions, making explicit the fact that not all our constructions are purely combinatorial ones that avoid an explicit use of Galois action upon dessins.

Our main motivation here is the fact that various Bost–Connes systems are practically equivalent to the relevant enumerations of dessins d’enfant, and their behaviour formally is
determined by asymptotic properties of the relevant enumerations and their generating functions.

The broad idea behind our generalization of the Bost–Connes system from the abelianized to the absolute Galois group can be summarized as obtained by replacing the Hopf algebra \( \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \) on roots of unity by a suitable Hopf algebra on dessins, and the action of the power maps separating different orbits of roots of unity by the action of a semigroup of Belyi-extending maps. However, expecting that the resulting quantum statistical mechanical system obtained in this way will suffice to separate the different Galois orbits of dessins is probably too strong an expectation, at least in its present form. We will show that one can see some of the new Galois invariants of dessins introduced in [61] occurring in the low temperature KMS states of the system, but some technical restrictions on the choice of the Belyi-extending maps, which will be specified in Section 2, limit the effectiveness of these invariants at separating Galois orbits. It is possible that using constructions from [8, 32], one may be able to obtain a more refined version of the quantum statistical mechanical system presented here that bypasses this limitation.

The Hopf algebra formalism of dessins we discuss in Section 2 makes it possible, in principle, to obtain new Galois invariants of dessins from known ones, by applying the formalism of Birkhoff factorization, so that the new invariants depend not only on a dessin and its sub-dessins, but also on associated quotient dessins. We illustrate this principle in Proposition 2.16 in the case of Tutte and Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomials.

Section 3 is dedicated to the absolute Galois action and its (partial) descriptions. Such descriptions are centred around various versions of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group. We start with its formal definition in the Section 3.1, where this group appears together with its action upon the Bost–Connes algebra and upon the relevant quantum statistical mechanical system. In this environment, the Bost–Connes statistical mechanics becomes much more sensitive to the arithmetics of the absolute Galois group, through its relation to the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, rather than through its action on dessins as in the choices surveyed in Section 2.

The last Section 3.10 presents, following [16], one of the avatars \( \text{mGT} \) of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group as the symmetry group of the genus zero modular operad introduced in [45] and much studied afterwards.

Section 4 discusses the relation between the algebras of our quantum statistical mechanical systems and Drinfeld’s quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras [30, 31]. In particular, we show that both the Bost–Connes algebra and our Hopf algebra of dessins d’enfant have an associated direct system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras obtained using Drinfeld’s twisted quantum double construction [29].

2 Dessins and dynamics

Below we will use (with certain laxity) the language of graphs as it was described in [10].

A finite graph \( \tau \) is a quadruple of data \((V_\tau, F_\tau, \delta_\tau, j_\tau)\) of finite sets \(V_\tau\) of vertices and \(F_\tau\) of flags, a map \(\partial_\tau: F_\tau \to V_\tau\), and an involution \(j_\tau: F_\tau \to F_\tau\): \(j_\tau^2 = \text{id}\). For each vertex \(v \in V_\tau\), the graph with flags \(\partial_\tau^{-1}(v)\) and trivial involution \(j\) is called the corolla of \(v\) in \(\tau\). If a graph has just one vertex, it is called a corolla itself.

A geometric realisation of graph \(\tau\) is a topological space of the following structure. If \(\tau\) is a non-empty corolla with vertex \(v\), then its geometric realisation is the disjoint union of segments whose components correspond to elements of \(F_\tau\) modulo identification of all points 0, which becomes the geometric realisation of \(v\). Generally, consider the disjoint union of geometric realisations of corollas of all vertices of \(\tau\), and then identify the endpoints of two different flags, if these two flags are connected by the involution \(j_\tau\). Sometimes one considers the endpoint removed in the case of geometric realisations of all flags that are stationary points of the involution.
The pairs of flags, connected by involution, resp. their geometric realisations, are called *edges* of \( \tau \), resp. their geometric realisations.

In order not to mix free flags with halves of edges, one may call a free flag a *leaf*, or a *tail* as in [45].

The description of graphs in terms of flags with an involution is common especially in contexts originating from physics where corollas represent possible interactions and one expects graph to have both internal edges, namely pairs of different flags glued by the involution, and external edges (leaves or tails), namely flags that are fixed points of the involution.

Among various types of labelings or markings of the sets \( V_\tau, F_\tau \) forming a graph, an important role is played by *orientations of flags*. If two flags form an edge, their orientations must agree.

We often use oriented trees, in which one free flag is chosen as a *root*, and all other leaves are oriented in such a way, that from each leaf there exists a unique oriented path to the root.

Below we will often pass in inverse direction: from the geometric realization of a graph to its set-theoretic description. It should not present any difficulties for the reader.

### 2.1 Belyi maps and dessins d’enfant

Let \( \Sigma \) be a smooth compact Riemann surface. We recall the following definition (see [6, 33]).

**Definition 2.1.**

(i) A Belyi map \( f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) is a holomorphic map that is unramified outside of the set \( \{0, 1, \infty\} \).

(ii) Dessin d’enfant \( D = D_f \) of \( f \) is the preimage \( f^{-1}([0, 1]) \) embedded in \( \Sigma \) and considered as a marked graph in the following way.

Its set of vertices \( V(D) \) is the union \( f^{-1}(0) \cup f^{-1}(1) \); each point in the preimage \( V_1(D) = f^{-1}(1) \), resp. \( V_0(D) = f^{-1}(0) \), is marked white, resp. black. Such graphs are called bipartite ones.

Its set of (open) edges \( E(D) \) consists of connected components of \( f^{-1}(0, 1) \) of the preimage of the unit interval \( I \).

Each \( \Sigma \) is the Riemann surface of a smooth complex algebraic curve. The role of Belyi maps in this context is determined by Belyi’s converse theorem [6]: each smooth complex algebraic curve defined over subfield of algebraic numbers admits a Belyi map.

Grothendieck’s intuition behind introduction of dessins of such maps was the hope, that action of the absolute Galois group upon the category of such algebraic curves would admit an explicit description after the transfer of this action to dessins.

More precisely, in terms of branched coverings and Belyi maps \( f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), we can view the Galois action of \( G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) in the following way. In the connected case, consider the finite extension \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma) \) of the function field \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \). The field \( \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma) \) is given by \( \mathbb{Q}(t)[z]/(P) \) where \( P \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[z] \) is an irreducible polynomial. An element \( \gamma \in G \) maps \( P \to P_\gamma \), where \( P_\gamma \) is the polynomial obtained by action of \( \gamma \) on the coefficients of \( P \) (extending the action of \( \gamma \) to \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \) by the identity on \( t \)). Thus the action of \( G \) maps the extension \( \mathbb{Q}(t)[z]/(P) \) to \( \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\gamma) := \mathbb{Q}(t)[z]/(P_\gamma) \). The action extends to the case where \( \Sigma \) is not necessarily connected, by decomposing the étale algebra of the covering into a direct sum of field extensions as above. Correspondingly, the element \( \gamma \in G \) maps the dessin \( D \) determined by the branched covering \( f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), or equivalently by the extension \( \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma) \) to the dessin \( \gamma D \) determined by \( \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\gamma) \).

Therefore we must first trace how the combinatorics of dessins encodes the geometry of their Belyi maps.
2.2 Geometry of Belyi maps vs combinatorics of dessins

(a) The number of edges \( d = \#E(D) \) equals the degree of \( f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \).

(b) The numbers of black and white vertices \( m = \#V_0(D) \) and \( n = \#V_1(D) \) correspond to the orders of ramification of \( f \) at 0 and 1.

(c) The numbers \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \) and \( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n \) of edges in the corollas around the black, resp. white vertices, give the ramification profiles of \( f \) at 0 and 1. According to the degree sum formula for bipartite graphs, \( \sum_i \mu_i = d = \sum_j \nu_j \).

(d) In order to keep track of additional data of topology of embedding \( D = D_f \) into \( \Sigma \) we must endow \( D \) with cyclic ordering of flags at each corolla coming from the canonical orientation of \( \Sigma \). In other words, \( D \) must be considered as a bipartite ribbon graphs.

(e) The graph \( D \) is connected iff the covering is connected, or equivalently iff the \( \acute{e} \)tale algebra of the covering is a field. The degree \( d \) is the dimension of the \( \acute{e} \)tale algebra of the covering as a vector space over \( \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \), in the connected case the degree of the field extension. The group of symmetries of a connected dessin is the automorphism group of the extension, which is a finite group of order at most equal to the degree \( d \).

(f) Finally, for each bipartite ribbon graph \( D \) one can construct a Belyi map \( f \) such that \( D = D_f \).

In order to see that, one can first construct a map of topological surfaces \( \Sigma' \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) with desired properties, by working locally in a suitable covering of \( \Sigma' \), and then endow \( \Sigma' \) with the complex structure lifted from \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \).

2.3 Regular and clean dessins

For studying action of the Galois group, two subclasses of dessins d’enfant are especially interesting.

(a) Regular dessins. A dessin is called regular one, if it is connected and if its symmetry group is as large as possible: its cardinality equals to the degree \( d \).

Regular dessins correspond to Galois field extensions. Geometrically, they correspond to regular branched coverings where the deck group acts transitively on any fiber. Every connected dessin admits a regular closure, which corresponds to the smallest extension that is Galois.

(b) Clean dessins. A dessin is called clean, if all its white vertices have valence two.

The clean dessins can be re-encoded to ribbon graphs that are not bipartite, by colouring all its vertices black and then inserting valence two white vertices in the middle of each edge. This was the class of dessins originally considered by Grothendieck. In terms of branched coverings of \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) and Belyi maps, the clean dessins correspond to coverings whose ramification profile over the point 1 is only of type \( (2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1, \ldots, 1) \), that is, they have either simple ramification or no ramification.

Any dessin, not necessarily connected, whose all connected components are clean, will be called locally clean.

2.4 Hopf algebras of dessins

(a) Locally clean dessins. We consider first the class \( CD \) of locally clean dessins re-encoded as in Section 2.3(b). Isomorphism classes of such graphs can be used in order to construct a Hopf algebra, which will serve as a generalization to ribbon graphs [52] of the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory [19].
We start by constructing a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{CD}$ of locally clean dessins. As an algebra $\mathcal{H}_{CD}$ is the free commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra freely generated by isomorphism classes of clean dessins. Locally clean dessins are identified with the monomials given by the products of their connected components. More generally, if $D$ is a clean design considered as ribbon graph on the black vertices and $\delta \subset D$ is its proper subgraph, we can construct the quotient $D/\delta$.

Combining these two constructions, we can construct the map

$$\Delta: \mathcal{H}_{CD} \to \mathcal{H}_{CD} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CD}, \quad \Delta(D) := D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D + \sum_{\delta \subset D} \delta \otimes D/\delta.$$  

An equivalent description of the coproduct is given in [52] in terms of surfaces. Let $\Sigma$ denote the Riemann surface determined by the dessin $D$. Consider open not necessarily connected subsurfaces (Riemann surfaces with boundary) $\sigma \subset \Sigma$. To each such subsurface $\sigma$ one can associate a quotient $\Sigma/\sigma$ and a closure $\bar{\sigma}$. The quotient is the closed surface obtained by replacing $\sigma$ in $\Sigma$ with a sphere with the same number of holes glued back into $\Sigma$ in place of $\sigma$. The closure $\bar{\sigma}$ is obtained by adding boundary edges so that every sphere with holes in $\bar{\sigma}$ has the same number of boundary edges as it would have in $\Sigma$. The coproduct is then equivalently written in terms of surfaces as [52]

$$\Delta(\Sigma) = \Sigma \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Sigma + \sum_{\sigma \subset \Sigma} \bar{\sigma} \otimes \Sigma/\sigma.$$  

This coproduct is shown in [52] to be coassociative.

The number of edges (which is equal to the degree of the Belyi map) is additive, $\#E(D) = \#E(\delta) + \#E(D/\delta)$. One can consider the Hopf algebra as graded by this degree. The antipode on a connected graded Hopf algebra is defined inductively by the formula $S(X) = -X + \sum S(X')X''$ for $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X + \sum X' \otimes X''$ with terms $X'$ and $X''$ of lower degree.

(b) General dessins. This construction can be extended from clean dessins to all dessins in the following way.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $D$ be a connected dessin. A (possibly non-connected) sub-dessin $\delta$ consists of a (possibly non-connected) subgraph of $D$ which is bipartite.

We consider $\delta$ as endowed with internal and external edges: the internal edges are the edges between vertices of the subgraph and the external edges (flags) are half-edges for each edge in $D$ with one end on the subgraph $\delta$ and the other on some vertex in $D \setminus \delta$. We endow $\delta$ with a ribbon structure where the corolla of each vertex (including both internal and external edges) has a cyclic orientation induced from the ribbon structure of $D$.

In the following, with a slight abuse of notation, we will use the notation $\delta \subset D$ for the sub-dessin with or without the external edges included, depending on context. In each case the graph $\delta$ is bipartite and with a ribbon structure induced by $D$. We describe how to obtain a quotient dessin in this more general case, and we then discuss the corresponding possible choices of grading of the resulting Hopf algebra.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $D$ be a connected dessin and $\delta \subset D$ a sub-dessin as in **Definition 2.2**. There is a quotient bipartite graph $D/\delta$, obtained by shrinking each component of $\delta$ to a bipartite graph with one white and one black vertex and a single edge, for which any choice of a cyclic ordering of the boundary components of a tubular neighborhood of $\delta$ in $\Sigma$ determines a ribbon structure, making $D/\delta$ a dessin.

**Proof.** We need to check that the graph $D/\delta$ is indeed still a dessin, namely that it is bipartite and has a ribbon structure, and that these are compatible with those of the initial dessin $D$ and of the subdessin $\delta$. 


The dessin structure on the quotient $D/\delta$ is obtained by the following procedure. First label all the external half edges of $\delta$ with black/white labels according to whether they are attached to a black or white vertex of $\delta$.

Forgetting temporarily the bipartite structure, consider then the quotient graph, which we denote by $D//\delta$, obtained by shrinking each connected component of the subgraph $\delta$ to a single vertex, but with the edges of $D//\delta$ out of these quotient vertices retaining the black/white labels coming from the bipartite structure.

Consider a small tubular neighborhood of the subgraph $\delta$ on the surface $\Sigma$ where $D$ is embedded and consider its boundary components: endow the corollas of the quotient vertices in $D//\delta$ with a cyclic structure obtained by listing the half edges in the order in which they are met while circling around each of the boundary components (in an assigned cyclic order) in the direction induced by the orientation of $\Sigma$.

Because of the black/white labels of the external edges of $\delta$, the cyclic ordering of half-edges around each quotient vertex in $D//\delta$ can be seen as a shuffle of two cyclically ordered sets of black and white labelled half-edges: separate out each quotient vertex into a black and a white vertex connected by one edge, with the cyclically ordered black half-edges attached to the black vertex and the cyclically ordered white half-edges attached to the white vertex. The resulting graph $D/\delta$ obtained in this way is bipartite and has a ribbon structure, hence it defines a quotient dessin. The ribbon structure defined in this way depends on the assignment of a cyclic ordering to the boundary components of a tubular neighborhood of $\delta$ in $\Sigma$.

We can again reformulate the construction of the quotient dessins $D/\delta$ in terms of the surfaces $\sigma$ and $\Sigma/\sigma$ as above [52]. Although the formulation we gave above in terms of graphs is more explicit, an advantage of the reformulation in terms of surfaces we discuss below is that it explains the definition of the ribbon structure on $D/\delta$ used in Lemma 2.3 in a more natural way as follows.

**Corollary 2.4.** The ribbon structure on $D/\delta$ can be equivalently described by considering $D/\delta$ embedded in the surface $\Sigma/\sigma$, for $\sigma$ the sub-surface with boundary $\sigma \subset \Sigma$ containing $\delta$, with the external edges of $\delta$ cutting through the components of $\partial \sigma$. Each cyclic orientation of the components of $\partial \sigma$ determines a ribbon structure on $D/\delta$.

**Proof.** An open subsurface $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$ containing $\delta$, with the property that the external edges of $\delta$ pass through the boundary curves $\partial \sigma$ is equivalent, up to homotopy, to a tubular neighborhood of $\delta$ in $\Sigma$ with its boundary curves. We can assume for simplicity that $\delta$, hence $\sigma$, are connected. Thus, a choice of a cyclic ordering of the boundary curves of such a tubular neighborhood is equivalent to the choice of a cyclic ordering of the components of $\partial \sigma$. The quotient bipartite graph $D/\delta$ is contained in the surface $\Sigma/\sigma$ obtained by gluing a sphere with the same number of punctures to the boundary $\partial(\Sigma \setminus \sigma) = \partial \sigma$, with the bipartite graph with one black and one white vertex and the same external edges of $\delta$ contained in this sphere, with the same external edges cutting through the same boundary components as in the case of $\delta$ in $\sigma$. If $\delta$ has multiple connected components, and $\sigma$ has correspondingly multiple components, then the same can be argued componentwise, when each component of $\sigma$ is replaced by a sphere with the same boundary in $\Sigma/\sigma$ with each of these spheres containing a bipartite graph with one black and one white vertex and the number of external edges of the corresponding component of $\delta$. We see in this way that the procedure for the construction of the ribbon structure on $D/\gamma$ described in Lemma 2.3 is the same as the one described here.

As the result, we obtain another commutative connected Hopf algebra $H_D$ with involution, with a grading that is again expressible in terms of the number of edges (the degree of the respective Belyi map), as follows.
Lemma 2.5. Any of the functions defined on connected dessins as follows defines a good grading of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_D$,

$$\deg(D) = \begin{cases} 
    b_1(D) & \text{or} \\
    \#E(D) - 1 & \text{or} \\
    \#V(D) - 2.
\end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. The degree defined with any of the options in (2.1) for connected dessins extends additively to monomials in $\mathcal{H}_D$ (multi-connected dessins) so that one obtains, respectively, $b_1(D)$, $\#E(D) - b_0(D)$, and $\#V(D) - 2b_0(D)$. With the construction of the quotient dessin $D/\delta$ of a subdessin $\delta \subset D$ as in Lemma 2.3, we have $\#V(D) = \#V(\delta) + \#V(D/\delta) - 2b_0(\delta)$ and $\#E(D) = \#E(\delta) + \#E(D/\delta) - b_0(\delta)$, hence $b_1(D) = 1 - \#V(D) + \#E(D) = 1 - \#V(\delta) - \#V(D/\delta) + 2b_0(\delta) + \#E(\delta) + \#E(D/\delta) - b_0(\delta) = b_0(\delta) + \#E(\delta) + \#V(\delta) + 1 + \#E(D/\delta) - \#V(D/\delta) = b_1(\delta) + b_1(D/\delta)$. Thus, in all three cases of (2.1) we obtain $\deg(D) = \deg(\delta) + \deg(D/\delta)$.

The gradings of Lemma 2.5 are the analogs for dessins of the choices of gradings for the original Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of graphs by either loops $b_1(\Gamma)$, or internal edges $\#E(\Gamma)$ or by $\#V(\Gamma) - 1$ (for connected graphs, extending to $\#V(\Gamma) - b_0(\Gamma)$ for monomials). Here all the choices of grading in Lemma 2.5 have a direct interpretation in terms of Belyi maps, or internal edges $\#E(\Gamma)$ for monomials). Here

The coassociativity of the coproduct (2.2) is the only property that needs to be verified to ensure that indeed we obtain a Hopf algebra, as all the other properties are clearly verified. The argument for the coassociativity is similar to the case of the Conner–Kreimer Hopf algebra, with some modifications due to the different definition of the quotient graph that we used in Lemma 2.3. We present the explicit argument for the reader’s convenience.

Proposition 2.6. As an algebra $\mathcal{H}_D$ is the commutative polynomial $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra in the connected dessins. The coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_D$ is given by

$$\Delta(D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D + \sum_{\delta \subset D} \delta \otimes D/\delta, \quad (2.2)$$

where now the sum is over the sub-dessins defined as in Definition 2.2 and also over all the possible ribbon structures on the corresponding quotients, defined as in Lemma 2.3. Then $\mathcal{H}_D$ is a graded connected Hopf algebra, with the grading by $\#E(D) - b_0(D)$, where $\#E(D)$ is the number of edges (the degree of the Belyi map).

Proof. We just need to show that $\Delta$ is coassociative. The antipode is then constructed inductively as before. It suffices to check the identity $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ on a single connected dessin $D$. We have $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(D) = \sum_{\delta \subset D} \Delta(\delta) \otimes D/\delta$. If $\delta$ is multiconnected, $\Delta(\delta)$ is the product of $\Delta(\delta_j)$ over the connected components $\delta_j$, since $\Delta$ is an algebra homomorphism. We have $\Delta(\delta) = \sum_{\delta' \subset \delta} \delta' \otimes \delta/\delta'$, where in the case where $\delta$ has multiple connected components the subdessin $\delta'$ of $\delta$ consists of a collection of a subdessin of each component. Similarly, in the quotient dessin $\delta/\delta'$ we can perform the quotient operation of Lemma 2.3 separately on the components of $\delta$, so that the resulting $\delta/\delta'$ is a product of the quotients over each component. The bipartite and ribbon structure of $\delta'$ are the same with respect to $\delta$ as they are with respect to $D$, since the set of external edges of $\delta'$ in $\delta$ are either external lines in $\delta$ or internal edges of $\delta$ that define external lines in $\delta'$ and in each case these would also occur as external lines of $\delta'$ in $D$. Thus we have $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(D) = \sum_{\delta' \subset D} \delta' \otimes \delta/\delta' \otimes D/\delta$. We also have $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(D) = \sum_{\delta' \subset D} \delta' \otimes \Delta(D/\delta')$. As
subgraphs and quotient graphs we have $\Delta(D/\delta') = \sum_{\delta' \subseteq \delta \subseteq D} \delta/\delta' \otimes D/\delta$ (see [21, Theorem 1.27]).

Thus, we need to check that this identification is also compatible with the bipartite and the ribbon structures. The identification above depends on identifying subgraphs $\tilde{\delta} \subseteq D/\delta'$ with subgraphs $\delta \subseteq D$ with $\delta' \subseteq \delta$. Given such a $\delta$ with $\delta' \subseteq \delta \subseteq D$ one obtains $\tilde{\delta}$ from $\delta$ with the quotient procedure described in Lemma 2.3, which determines the bipartite and ribbon structure on $\tilde{\delta}$ and every $\tilde{\delta} \subseteq D/\delta'$ is obtained in this way from a unique $\delta \subseteq D$ with $\delta' \subseteq \delta$, with $\tilde{\delta} = \delta/\delta'$.

Each of the Hopf algebras $H = H_{CD}$ or $H_D$ determines the respective affine group scheme $G = G_{CD}$ or $G_D$ whose $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$-points are morphisms of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $H \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and multiplication is defined by $\phi_1 \ast \phi_2(X) = (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)\Delta(X)$, with inverse $\phi^{-1} = \phi \circ S$ given by composition with the antipode.

### 2.5 Hopf algebra and Galois action

We want to make the construction described above of the Hopf algebra $H_D$ of dessins compatible with the Galois action. This would mean requiring that, for all $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\Delta(\gamma D) = \sum \gamma \delta \otimes \gamma D/\delta$. The condition is satisfied if we have an identification of the class of images $\gamma \delta$ of subdessins $\delta \subset D$ with the class of subdessins of $\gamma D$ and an identification of the images of the quotient dessins $\gamma D/\delta$ with the quotients $\gamma D/\gamma \delta$. When we consider in the construction of the coproduct $\Delta(D)$ of $H_D$ all the possible choices of subdessins $\delta$ and quotient dessins $D/\delta$, defined as in Lemma 2.6, we are also including pairs $\{\delta, D/\delta\}$ that are not necessarily compatible with the Belyi map and the Galois action on the Belyi maps, for which the required identifications need not hold. We can see this by considering the following example, based on of [47, Example 2.2.15].

**Lemma 2.7.** The dessins $A$ and $B$ shown in the figure are in the same Galois orbit. The subdessins $\delta_A$ and $\delta_B$ circled in the figure are also in the same Galois orbit (which in this case consists of a single element). However, the quotients $A/\delta_A$ and $B/\delta_B$ do not belong to the same Galois orbit.
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**Proof.** The circled subgraph $\delta_B$ of $B$ is the only subdessin in the same Galois orbit of the subgraph $\delta_A$ of $A$ since the action of the Galois group is trivial on trees with less than five edges. The quotient dessins $A/\delta_A$ and $B/\delta_B$, however, are not in the same Galois orbit since they have different vertex degrees (a Galois invariant).

This problem can be corrected by only considering, in the construction of the coproduct $\Delta(D)$ of the Hopf algebra, certain pairs $\{\delta, D/\delta\}$ of subdessins and quotient dessins that behave well with respect to the Galois orbit.
Definition 2.8. A balanced pair \{δ, D/δ\} for a dessin D is a pair of subdessin and quotient dessin with the property that for \(γ \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\) the pair \(γδ, γD/δ\) is a pair of a subdessin and a quotient dessin for \(γD\). A subdessin \(δ \subset D\) is strongly balanced if for all subdessins \(δ' \subseteq δ\) the pair \(δ', D/δ'\) is balanced. Let \(B(D)\) denote the set of strongly balanced subdessins.

Example 2.9. The subdessins circled in the figure are an example of strongly balanced subdessins. Both have quotient dessin the bipartite line with three white and three black vertices. All their subdessins consist of either a single edge with a white and a black vertex, or two edges with a common black vertex and two white vertices. In the first case the quotient dessin is just \(A\) or \(B\) itself and in the second case the quotients are given by two tree dessins on six edges that are in the same Galois orbit.

Note that the balanced property depends on the entire Galois orbit of \(D\) not just on \(D\) itself, hence the set \(B(D)\) of strongly balanced pairs is associated to all dessins \(D\) in the same orbit. The coproduct of the Hopf algebra \(H_D\) is then modified by summing in (2.2) only over the strongly balanced subdessins \(δ\), with each appearing once in the coproduct,

\[
\Delta(D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D + \sum_{δ \in B(D)} δ \otimes D/δ. \tag{2.3}
\]

In the following we just write for simplicity of notation \(\Delta(D) = \sum_{B(D)} δ \otimes D/δ\) with the primitive part \(D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D\) implicitly included in the sum.

Lemma 2.10. The restriction (2.3) of the coproduct to the strongly balanced subdessins maintains the coassociativity property.

Proof. We have \((\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(D) = \sum_{δ \in B(D)} \Delta(δ) \otimes D/δ\) and \(\Delta(δ) = \sum_{δ' \in B(δ)} δ' \otimes δ'/δ'\). Because of the strongly balanced conditions \(B(D)\) and \(B(δ)\), for \(δ' \subset δ\) we have both \(γD/δ' = γD/γδ'\) and \(γδ'/δ' = γδ/γδ'\). Thus, the pair \((δ/δ', D/δ')\) with \(D/δ' = (D/δ)/(δ/δ')\) is a balanced pair for \(D/δ\), and in fact strongly balanced, since any subdessin of \(δ/δ'\) can be identified with a \(δ/δ''\) for some subdessin \(δ'' \subset δ\). Thus, the rest of the argument of Proposition 2.6 continues to hold.

We still denote by \(G\) the affine group scheme dual to the Hopf algebra \(H_D\) endowed with the coproduct (2.3).

Proposition 2.11. The action of the absolute Galois group \(G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\) passes through the automorphism group scheme \(\text{Aut}(G)\) of the affine group scheme \(G\).

Proof. It suffices to show that the \(G\)-action on dessins induces an action on \(H\) by bialgebra homomorphisms. The compatibility with the multiplication is clear since on non-connected
dessins the action of $G$ is defined componentwise, so $\gamma(D_1 \cdot D_2) = \gamma D_1 \cdot \gamma D_2$. The compatibility with comultiplication $\Delta(\gamma D) = \sum_{\delta \subseteq D} \gamma \delta \otimes \gamma D / \delta$ requires the identification of the class of images $\gamma \delta$ of subdessins $\delta \subset D$ with the class of subdessins of $\gamma D$ and the identification of the images of the quotient dessins $\gamma D / \delta$ with the quotients $\gamma D / \gamma \delta$. This is ensured by the balanced condition on the pairs $\{\delta, D/\delta\}$ introduced in the coproduct (2.3). Thus, elements $\gamma \in G$ act as bialgebra homomorphisms on $\mathcal{H}$. The compatibility $S \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ S$ with the antipode $S$ then follows for a general bialgebra homomorphism, hence they are automorphisms of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and dually of the affine group scheme $G$. 

\section{Rota–Baxter algebras and Birkhoff factorization}

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a commutative algebra. A structure of Rota–Baxter algebra of weight $-1$ on it is given by a linear operator $T : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ satisfying the Rota–Baxter relation of weight $-1$:

$$T(xy) + T(x)T(y) = T(xT(y)) + T(T(x)y).$$

(2.4)

The ring of Laurent series with $T$ given by the projection onto the polar part is the prototype example of a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight $-1$. The Rota–Baxter relation (2.4) ensures that the range $\mathcal{R}_+ = (1 - T)\mathcal{R}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$, not just a linear subspace, and $\mathcal{R}_- = TR$ as well.

Consider now $\mathcal{R}$-valued characters of, say, $\mathcal{H}_D$.

The Birkhoff factorization $\phi = (\phi_- \circ S) \ast \phi_+$ of a character $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \mathcal{R})$ is defined inductively by the formula

$$\phi_-(x) = -T(\phi(x)) + \sum \phi_-(x')\phi(x''),$$

$$\phi_+(x) = (1 - T)(\phi(x)) + \sum \phi_-(x')\phi(x''),$$

where $\Delta(x) = \sum x' \otimes x''$ in Sweedler notation. The factors $\phi_\pm$ are algebra homomorphisms $\phi_\pm \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \mathcal{R}_\pm)$.

In the case where the Rota–Baxter algebra is an algebra of Laurent power series with the operator $T$ given by projection onto the polar part, the evaluation at 0 of the positive part $\phi_+$ of the Birkhoff factorization has the effect of killing the polar part, hence it achieves renormalization, see [19]. Such a systematic deletion of “divergences” (at least, formal ones) is used in the Connes–Kreimer algebraic theory of renormalization in quantum field theory [19]. For a general introduction to Rota–Baxter algebras and their properties see [35]. In our context, formal sums over dessins d’enfant with weights defining partition functions are only formal series because “there are too many” such dessins, so that divergences should be deleted by renormalization. This will be achieved through a deformation of our quantum statistical mechanical system, which we introduce in Section 2.14, rather than through a Birkhoff factorization procedure. Birkhoff factorizations, however, have other interesting applications besides the elimination of divergences in quantum field theory. As shown in [56] in the context of computation, Birkhoff factorization can be used to enrich invariants of a graph by compatibly combining invariants of subgraphs and quotient graphs. The reason why we are considering them here is similar to the applications considered in [56], namely as a method for constructing new Galois invariants of dessins, as we show in the following.

\textbf{Lemma 2.12.} The action $\phi \mapsto \gamma \phi$ of $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the group-scheme $\mathcal{G}_D$ is compatible with the Birkhoff factorization, in the sense that $(\gamma \phi)_\pm = \gamma \cdot \phi_\pm$.

\textbf{Proof.} The action of $G$ on $\mathcal{G}_D$ is determined by the action of $G$ on $\mathcal{H}_D$ by Hopf algebra homomorphisms. We have $\Delta(\gamma x) = \sum \gamma x' \otimes \gamma x''$. Thus we obtain $\phi_\pm(\gamma x)$ as either $-T$ or $1 - T$. 

Proposition 2.14. The following is then an immediate consequence of the previous statements. φ invariants of dessins that satisfy the multiplicative property I
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The action of the Galois group G = Gal(\bar{Q}/Q) by Hopf algebra homomorphisms of H_D determines a Hopf ideal and a quotient Hopf algebra H_D,G.

Proof. Consider the ideal I_D,G of the algebra H_D generated by elements of the form D − γD for D ∈ D and γ ∈ G. To see that I_D,G is a Hopf ideal notice that we have Δ(D) − Δ(γD) = ∑ δ′ ⊗ δ'' − ∑ δ′ ⊗ δ'' = ∑ (δ' − δ'') ⊗ δ'' + ∑ δ' ⊗ (δ'' − γδ'') hence Δ(I_D,G) ⊂ I_D,G ⊗ H + H ⊗ I_D,G. Since I_D,G is a Hopf ideal, it defines a quotient Hopf algebra H_D,G = H_D/I_D,G.

Characters in Hom_{Alg}(H_D,G,R) with R a commutative algebra over Q are R-valued Galois invariants of dessins that satisfy the multiplicative property φ(D · D') = φ(D)φ(D') over connected components. The following is then an immediate consequence of the previous statements.

Proposition 2.14. Given a Galois invariant of dessins φ ∈ Hom_{Alg}(H_D,G,R), where (R,T) is a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight −1, the Birkhoff factorization φ = (φ_− ∘ S) ◦ φ_+ determines new Galois invariants φ_± ∈ Hom_{Alg}(H_D,G,R_±).

One should regard the Galois invariants φ_± constructed in this way as a refinement of the Galois invariant φ in the sense that they do not depend only on the value of φ on D, but also inductively on the values on all the subdessins δ and the quotient dessins D/δ, hence for example they can potentially distinguish between non-Galois conjugate dessins with the same value of φ, but for which the invariant φ differs on subdessins or quotient dessins.

2.7 New Galois invariants of dessins from Birkhoff factorization

We discuss here a simple explicit example, to show how the formalism of Rota–Baxter algebras and Birkhoff factorizations recalled in the previous subsection can be used as a method to construct new Galois invariants of dessins.

We start by considering a known invariant of dessins with values in a polynomial algebra. This is given by the 2-variable Tutte polynomial, as well as its 3-variable generalization, the Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial of [9] defined for ribbon graphs. Both can be applied to dessins D and we will show in Lemma 2.15 that both are Galois invariants of dessins. We also consider some possible specializations of these polynomials, related to the Jones polynomial, as discussed in [26]. As already discussed in [2, 3] in the context of the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of Feynman graphs, such invariants derived from the Tutte polynomial can be regarded as “algebraic Feynman rules”, which simply means characters of the Hopf algebra H: homomorphisms of commutative algebras from H to a commutative algebra R of polynomials (Tutte and Bollobás–Riordan case) or of Laurent polynomials (Jones case).

We then consider a Rota–Baxter structure of weight −1 on the target algebra of polynomials or of Laurent polynomials and use the Birkhoff factorization formula to obtain new Galois invariants of dessins.

The main point of this construction in our environment is not just subtraction of divergences: the intended effect is to introduce new invariants that are sensitive not only to D itself but that encode in a consistent way (where consistency is determined by the Rota–Baxter operator) the information carried by all the sub-dessins δ and the quotient dessins D/δ as well.

The Tutte polynomial of a graph is determined uniquely by a deletion-contraction relation and the value on graphs consisting of a set of vertices with no edges, to which the computation reduces by repeated application of the deletion-contraction relation. It can also be defined by a closed “sum over states” formula 

\[ P_D(x,y) = \sum_{\delta \subset D} (x - 1)^{b_\delta(D)} (y - 1)^{b_\delta}, \] (2.5)
where here the sum is over all subgraphs $\delta \subset D$ with the same set of vertices $V(\delta) = V(D)$ but with fewer edges $E(\delta) \subset E(D)$.

The Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial is defined for an oriented ribbon graph. It can also be characterised in terms of a deletion-contraction relation or in terms of a state-sum formula, which generalizes (2.5) in the form

$$BR_D(x, y, z) = \sum_{\delta \subset D} (x - 1)^{b_0(\delta) - b_0(D)}(y - 1)^{b_1(\delta)}z^{b_0(\delta) + b_1(\delta) - f(\delta)},$$

(2.6)

with $f(\delta)$ the number of faces of the surface embedding of $\delta$. Note that here, for consistency with (2.5), we used $y - 1$ instead of $y$ in the analogous formula of [9]: switching to the $y - 1$ variable is also advocated in the last section of [9] for symmetry reasons.

As shown in [26, Theorem 5.4], the specialization of the Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial

$$t^{2 - 2\#V(D) + \#E(D)}BR_D(-t^4, 1 - t^2(t + t^2), (t^2 + t^{-2})^2)$$

gives the Kauffman bracket (for a link projection whose associated connected ribbon graphs is $D$). It is similarly known that the Jones polynomial is obtained from the 2-variable Tutte polynomial by specializing to $P_D(-t, -1/t)$. The specialization to the diagonal $P_D(t, t)$ of the Tutte polynomial, on the other hand, gives the Martin polynomial [59]. Such 1-variable specializations, with values in polynomials or Laurent polynomials, are also invariants of dessins $D$.

**Lemma 2.15.** The Tutte polynomial $P_D(x, y)$ is a Galois invariant of dessins $D$, namely $P_{\gamma D}(x, y) = P_D(x, y)$ for all $\gamma \in G = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Similarly, the Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial $BR_D(x, y, z)$ is a Galois invariant of dessins $D$.

**Proof.** Note that if $D$ is a dessin, then the quantities $b_0(D)$, $\#E(D) = d$ and $\#V(D) = m + n$ are Galois invariants and so is $b_1(D)$ by the Euler characteristic formula. For $\gamma \in G = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ we then have

$$P_{\gamma D}(x, y) = \sum_{\delta \subset \gamma D} (x - 1)^{b_0(\delta') - b_0(D)}(y - 1)^{b_1(\delta')}.$$

As in Proposition 2.11, we can argue that the set of subgraphs $\delta' \subset \gamma D$ with $V(\delta') = V(\gamma D)$ and $E(\delta') \subset E(\gamma D)$ can be identified with the set of subgraphs $\gamma \delta$ where $\delta$ ranges over the subgraphs of $D$ with $V(\delta) = V(D)$ and $E(\delta) \subset E(D)$. Thus, we get

$$P_{\gamma D}(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \delta \subset \gamma D} (x - 1)^{b_0(\gamma \delta) - b_0(D)}(y - 1)^{b_1(\gamma \delta)} = P_D(x, y),$$

since $b_0(\gamma \delta) = b_0(\delta)$ and $b_1(\gamma \delta) = b_1(\delta)$. The case of the Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial $BR_D(x, y, z)$ is similar: we only need to verify that the number of faces $f(D)$ of the embedding of $D$ in $\Sigma$ is also a Galois invariant. This is the case (see also Lemma 2.48 below) since by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula $\chi(\Sigma) = -d + m + n + r$, where the degree $d$ and the ramification indices $m, n, r$ at 0, 1, $\infty$ are all Galois invariants. Writing $\chi(\Sigma) = \#V(D) - \#E(D) + f(D)$ then shows $f(D)$ is also a Galois invariant. \qed

Note that, as shown explicitly by the state-sum formulae (2.5) and (2.6), these invariants are only sensitive to simple Galois invariants such as $b_0$, $b_1$, for subdessins $\delta \subset D$. However, when we apply to this invariant the Birkhoff factorization procedure we obtain more refined invariants that also depend on the quotient dessins $D/\delta$.

We now discuss Rota–Baxter structures. In the case of specializations to Kauffman brackets and Jones polynomials, which take values in the algebra $\mathcal{R}$ of one-variable Laurent polynomials,
we can use the Rota–Baxter operator $T$ of weight $-1$ given by projection onto the polar part. In the case of Tutte and Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomials with values in a multivariable polynomial algebra, we can consider a Rota–Baxter operator built out of the following Rota–Baxter structure of weight $-1$ on the algebra of polynomials, applied in each variable. As shown in [34], the algebra $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Q}[t]$ with the vector space basis given by the polynomials

$$\pi_n(t) = \frac{t(t+1) \cdots (t+n-1)}{n!}, \quad (2.7)$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $\pi_0(t) = 1$, is a Rota–Baxter algebra of weight $-1$ with the Rota–Baxter operator $T$ given by the linear operator defined on this basis by

$$T(\pi_n) = \pi_{n+1}.$$ 

In the case of multivariable polynomials, Rota–Baxter structures of weight $-1$ can be constructed using the tensor product in the category of commutative Rota–Baxter algebras described in [13].

**Proposition 2.16.** Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \mathcal{R})$ be either the Tutte or Bollobás–Riordan–Tutte polynomial or one of its specializations to Kauffman bracket or Jones polynomial or Martin polynomial. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be endowed with the Rota–Baxter operator $T$ of weight $-1$ as above. Then the Birkhoff factorization $\phi = (\phi_\circ S) \ast \phi_+$ determines new Galois invariants $\phi_\pm \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_{D,G}, \mathcal{R}_\pm)$ which depend on both the strongly balanced subdessins $\delta \subset D$ and their quotient dessins $D/\delta$.

**Proof.** We discuss explicitly only the one-variable cases of the Martin polynomial specialization $PD(t, t)$ and the Jones polynomial specialization $P_D(-t, -1/t)$ of the Tutte polynomial. The other cases are not conceptually different but computationally more complicated. For the Jones specialization $P_D(-t, -1/t)$ is a Laurent polynomial and we use the Rota–Baxter operator $T$ of projection onto the polar part. We obtain two new invariants $P_{D,\pm}$ with $P_{D,+}(t)$ a polynomial in $t$ and $P_{D,-}(u)$ a polynomial in $u = 1/t$ given by

$$P_{D,-}(1/t) := -T(P_D(-t, -1/t) + \sum_{\delta \in B(D)} P_{\delta,-}(1/t)P_{D/\delta}(-t, -1/t)),$$

$$P_{D,+}(t) := (1-T)(P_D(-t, -1/t) + \sum_{\delta \in B(D)} P_{\delta,-}(1/t)P_{D/\delta}(-t, -1/t)).$$

In the case of the Martin specialization $P_D(t, t)$ of the Tutte polynomial, let $\pi_n$ be the linear basis of $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ as in (2.7) and let

$$P_D(t, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(D)\pi_n(t)$$

be the expansion in this basis of the Martin polynomial. Let $u_{m,n,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the combinatorial coefficients satisfying $\pi_n \pi_m = \sum_k u(m, n, k) \pi_k$. The Rota–Baxter operator in this case is the linear map $T(\pi_n) = \pi_{n+1}$ so that $T(P_D(t, t)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n-1}(D)\pi_n$. In this case, the new invariants $P_{D,\pm}(t)$ obtained from the Birkhoff factorization are polynomial invariants of the form

$$P_{D,\pm}(t) := \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^\pm(D)\pi_k(t),$$

$$a_k^-(D) := a_{k-1}(D) + \sum_{m,n} a_m^- (D/\delta) a_n(D/\delta) u_{m,n,k-1},$$

$$a_k^+(D) := (a_k(D) - a_{k-1}(D)) + \sum_{m,n} a_m^- (D/\delta) a_n(D/\delta) (u_{m,n,k} - u_{m,n,k-1}).$$
This shows explicitly how passing from the coefficients $a_n(D)$ to the coefficients $a_n^\pm(D)$ incorporates the information on the Galois invariants of all the quotient dessins $D/\delta$ as well as sub-dessins $\delta$ in a combination dictated by the specific form of the Rota–Baxter operator.

### 2.8 The semigroup of Belyi-extending maps

Belyi-extending maps were introduced in [61] as a way to obtain new Galois invariants for the action of the absolute Galois group on dessins d’enfant. They consist of maps $h: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, ramified only at $\{0, 1, \infty\}$, and mapping $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ to itself. In particular, they have the property that, if $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a Belyi map, then the composition $h \circ f$ is still a Belyi map. This defines an action of the semigroup of Belyi-extending maps on dessins, which commutes with the action of $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

In the following, we will denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the semigroup of Belyi-extending maps with the operation of composition. We define the product in $\mathcal{E}$ as $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 = \eta_2 \circ \eta_1$, for the convenience of writing semigroup homomorphisms rather than anti-homomorphism later in this section, since the action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Belyi maps will be by composition on the right.

Notice that the semigroup $\mathbb{N}$ of self maps of $\mathbb{G}_m$ (extended to self maps of $\mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at 0 and $\infty$), used in [17] for the construction of the Bost–Connes endomotive can be seen as a subsemigroup of the semigroup $\mathcal{E}$ of Belyi-extending maps.

We can in principle consider two different versions of the semigroup $\mathcal{E}$, one as in [61], where we consider all Belyi-extending maps (mapping the set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ into itself), and one where we consider only those Belyi-extending maps that map it to itself. The first choice has the advantage of giving rise to a larger set of new Galois invariants of dessins. In particular, the only known example of a Belyi-extending map separating Galois-orbits, as shown in [61], does not satisfy the more restrictive condition. In the more restrictive class one can always assume, up to a change of coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$, that a Belyi-extending map sends the points 0, 1, $\infty$ to themselves. In each isomorphism class there is a unique representative with this property. This assumption is convenient when one considers dynamical properties under compositions, see for instance [5]. The main construction we discuss works in both settings, but some statements will depend on choosing the more restrictive class of Belyi-extending maps, as we will see in the following subsections. We will state explicitly when this choice is needed.

Note that, in principle, one could also consider a larger semigroup $\mathcal{E}_Q$ consisting of all Belyi maps of genus zero mapping $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ into itself. The construction of the crossed product system we outline below would also work in this case, but this choice would have the inconvenient property that the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ action would involve both the part $\mathcal{H}_D$ of the algebra and the semigroup $\mathcal{E}_Q$, unlike the Bost–Connes case. However, we will include the case of $\mathcal{E}_Q$ and subsemigroups in our discussion, because it will be useful in presenting in an explicit way a method of removal of certain catastrophic everywhere-divergence problems that can occur in the partition function of the quantum statistical mechanical system. We will discuss this in Section 2.14 below.

**Definition 2.17.** Given an element $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{E}_\eta = \{ h \in \mathcal{E} | \exists h' \in \mathcal{E}, h = h' \circ \eta \},$$

the range of precomposition by $\eta$. We also denote by $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ the subset of Belyi maps that are in the range of composition with a given Belyi-extending map $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{B}_\eta = \{ f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ Belyi} \mid \exists f': \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1, \text{ Belyi: } f = \eta \circ f' \}.$$
\[ \mu_\eta \epsilon_\eta' = \epsilon_\eta \circ \eta'. \] With the product in \( E \) defined as above, this gives a semigroup homomorphism \( \mu: E \to \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(E)) \). We denote by \( \Pi_\eta \) the orthogonal projection of \( \ell^2(E) \) onto the subspace generated by the elements of \( E_\eta \).

### 2.9 Developing maps

We review here some general facts about orbifold uniformization and developing maps and apply them to the studies of multivalued inverses of Belyi maps.

In general (see [64]), an orbifold datum \((X, D)\) consists of a complex manifold \( X \), a divisor \( D = \sum_i m_i Y_i \) on \( X \) with integer multiplicities \( m_i > 2 \), and hypersurfaces \( Y_i \) such that near every point of \( X \) there is a neighborhood \( U \) with a branched cover that ramifies along the loci \( U \cap Y_i \) with branching indices \( m_i \).

A complex manifold \( \tilde{X} \) with a branched covering map \( f: \tilde{X} \to X \) that ramifies exactly along \( Y = \cup Y_i \) with indices \( m_i \) is called an uniformization of the orbifold datum \((X, D)\).

One might consider the multivalued inverse \( \phi: X \to \tilde{X} \) of the uniformization map \( f: \tilde{X} \to X \). This is usually referred to as the developing map in the cases where \( \tilde{X} \) is simply connected, though we will be using the term “developing map” more generally here for multivalued inverse maps. Some concrete developing maps are related to certain classes of differential equations, such as hypergeometric, Lamé, Heun, etc. In particular, the Belyi maps can consider the associated multivalued developing map \( \phi = \phi_f \).

In the case where \( \tilde{X} = \mathbb{H} \) is the upper half-plane and the uniformization map is the quotient map that realizes an orbifold datum \((\mathbb{P}^1, D)\) as the quotient of \( \mathbb{H} \) by a Fuchsian group, if we denote by \( z \) the coordinate on \( \mathbb{H} \) and by \( t \) the (affine) coordinate on \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \), a developing map \( z = \phi(t) \) (period map) is obtained as the ratio \( \phi(t) = u_1(t)/u_2(t) \) of two independent non-trivial solutions of the differential equation (orbifold uniformization equation, see [64, Proposition 4.2])

\[
\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2}\{z, t\}u = 0, \tag{2.8}
\]

where \( \{z, t\} = \{\phi(t), t\} = S(\phi)(t) \) is the Schwarzian derivative

\[
S(\phi)(t) := \left( \frac{\phi''}{\phi'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi''}{\phi'} \right)^2 = \frac{\phi'''}{\phi'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\phi''}{\phi'} \right)^2.
\]

More generally, given a Belyi map \( f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), we can precompose it with a Fuchsian uniformization \( \pi: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/\Gamma = \Sigma \) and consider the multivalued inverse of the Belyi map \( f \) in terms of a developing map for \( f \circ \pi \).

Riemann surfaces \( \Sigma \) that are obtained from algebraic curves defined over number fields admit uniformizations \( \Sigma = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \) by Fuchsian groups that are finite index subgroups of a Fuchsian triangle group \( \Delta \), hence one can view Belyi maps as maps \( f: \mathbb{H}/\Gamma = \Sigma \to \mathbb{H}/\Delta = \mathbb{P}^1 \), see [14].

In the case of a triangle group \( \Delta \) the orbifold uniformization equation reduces to a hypergeometric equation, hence the resulting \( \phi(t) \) can be described in terms of hypergeometric functions.

The classical hypergeometric equation, depending on three parameters \( a, b, c \)

\[
t(t - 1)F'' + ((a + b - 1)t - c)F' + abF = 0 \tag{2.9}
\]

has the hypergeometric function

\[
F(a, b, c|t) = \sum (a)_n(b)_n t^n \quad \frac{c}{(c)_n} \quad \frac{n!}{n!}
\]
as a solution, with \( (x)_n = x(x + 1) \cdots (x + n - 1) \) the Pochhammer symbol.
Two linearly independent solutions \( f_1, f_2 \), locally defined in sectors near \( t = 0 \), can be obtained as \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear combinations of \( F(a, b, c|t) \) and \( t^{1-c}F(a-c+1, b-c+1, 2-c|t) \). The Schwarz map \( \phi(t) = \frac{f_1(t)}{f_2(t)} \) given by the ratio of two independent solutions maps holomorphically the upper half plane \( \mathbb{H} \) to the curvilinear triangle \( T \) with vertices \( \phi(0), \phi(1), \phi(\infty) \) and angles \( |1-c|\pi, |c-a-b|\pi, |a-b|\pi \). The equation (2.9) is equivalent to (2.8), for the case of branch points at 0, 1, \( \infty \) and these angles. Extending the map by Schwarz reflection, one obtains in this way the developing map, for the uniformization of the orbifold datum \( (P^1(\mathbb{C}), D) \), with set of orbifold points \( \{0, 1, \infty\} \) and angles as above, with a tessellation of \( \mathbb{H} \) (in the hyperbolic case) by copies of \( T \) and the group generated by reflections about the edges of \( T \).

In the case where \( |1-c| = m_0^{-1}, |c-a-b| = m_1^{-1}, |a-b| = m_2^{-1} \) are inverses of positive integers, the Schwarz function \( \phi(t) \) can be inverted and the inverse function \( t = \phi(z) \), the uniformization map, is an automorphic function for the Fuchsian triangle group \( \Delta(m_0, m_1, m_2) \), which is related to the problem of computing the Hauptmodul for triangle groups \([14, 28]\). For a Belyi map \( f: \Sigma = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \to P^1 = \mathbb{H}/\Delta \) the uniformization equation can be seen as the pullback of the equation for \( \mathbb{H}/\Delta \). A formulation of multivalued inverse developing maps of Belyi maps in terms of log-Riemann surfaces is discussed in \([7]\).

The properties of developing maps for Belyi maps recalled here give us the following consequence, which we will be using in our construction of the quantum statistical mechanical system based on Belyi-extending maps in the next subsections.

**Lemma 2.18.** Consider an element \( \eta \in \mathcal{E} \), that is, a Belyi map \( \eta: P^1 \to P^1 \), defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), with \( \eta(\{0, 1, \infty\}) \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\} \). Let \( \phi_\eta \) be its developing map as above.

If \( \mathcal{B}_\eta \) is the subset of Belyi maps introduced in Definition 2.17, then composition \( \phi_\eta \circ f \) of any \( f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \) with the developing map \( \phi_\eta \) gives a map \( f' \) satisfying \( \eta \circ f' = f \).

Also, for any \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \), as in Definition 2.17, precomposition of \( \eta' \) with \( \phi_\eta \) gives a map \( \eta'' \) with \( \eta'' \circ \eta = \eta' \). We put \( \rho_\eta(f) := \phi_\eta \circ f \) for \( f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \).

For more general Belyi maps \( f \) we define as above the compositions \( \eta' \circ \rho_\eta(f) \), for any \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Gamma \) be the uniformizing group of the source orbifold datum \( (P^1, \eta^{-1}(D)) \) with \( D = \{0, 1, \infty\} \) and let \( \phi_\eta(t): (P^1, D) \to (P^1, \eta^{-1}(D)) \), and let \( \pi: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \) of \( (P^1, \eta^{-1}(D)) \), with \( \phi_\eta \) the corresponding developing map.

The multivalued inverse \( \phi_\eta \) for the Belyi-extending map \( \eta: P^1 \to P^1 \) can then be regarded as the composition \( \pi \circ \hat{\phi}_\eta \) of the developing map \( \hat{\phi}_\eta = \phi_\pi \circ \phi_\eta \) for \( \eta \circ \pi \) and the uniformization \( \pi: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \) of \( (P^1, \eta^{-1}(D)) \). Given \( f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \), the composition \( \phi_\eta \circ f \) is defined and gives a Belyi map \( f': \Sigma \to P^1 \) such that \( \eta \circ f' = f \). Thus, the transformation \( \rho_\eta(f) = \phi_\eta \circ f \) is well defined on \( f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \).

For \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \) similarly we have \( \eta' = h' \circ \eta \) for some \( h' \in \mathcal{E} \). Thus, the composition \( \eta' \circ \phi_\eta \) is defined and gives an element in \( \mathcal{E} \). Given a Belyi map \( f: \Sigma \to P^1 \), a Belyi-extending map \( \eta \), and a Belyi-extending map \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \), with \( \eta' = h' \circ \eta \) for some \( h' \in \mathcal{E} \), we have \( \eta' \circ \rho_\eta(f) \) given by the composition \( \eta' \circ \phi_\eta \circ f = h' \circ f \).

### 2.10 Belyi-extending semigroup and Belyi functions operators

Returning to the framework of the end of Section 2.5, recall that isometries \( \mu_\eta \) of \( L^2(\mathcal{E}) \) act upon the basis elements \( \epsilon_\eta \) as \( \mu_\eta \epsilon_\eta = \epsilon_{\eta' \circ \eta} \). We have \( \mu_\eta \mu_\eta = \text{id} \).

Clearly, \( \epsilon_{\eta'} := \mu_\eta \epsilon_\eta \) is the projector acting on \( L^2(\mathcal{E}) \) as the orthogonal projector \( \Pi_\eta \) onto the subspace generated by the basis elements \( \epsilon_\eta \) with \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \).

Given a Belyi map \( f: \Sigma \to P^1 \), let \( D = D_f \) be the associated dessin. We include here the case where \( D \) may have multiple connected components. This case corresponds to branched coverings where \( \Sigma \) can have multiple components. Given a Belyi-extending map \( \beta \in \mathcal{E} \), we consider the dessin \( \eta(D) \) corresponding to the Belyi map \( \eta \circ f \) as in \([61]\).
We will now discuss simple examples of characters \( \varphi \). Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{G}_D(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \) be a character of the Hopf algebra of dessins. Given a Belyi map \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), we define a linear operator \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) by setting

\[
\pi_\varphi(f) \epsilon_\eta = \varphi(\eta(D_f)) \epsilon_\eta,
\]

for all \( \eta \in \mathcal{E} \) and with \( \eta(D_f) = D_{\eta \circ f} \). For simplicity of notation we shall write \( \varphi(\eta \circ f) := \varphi(\eta(D_f)) \) in the above.

The operator \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) is bounded if

\[
\sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}} |\varphi(\eta(D_f))| \leq C_{\varphi,f},
\]

for some constant \( C_{\varphi,f} > 0 \).

### 2.11 Invariant characters and balanced characters

We will now discuss simple examples of characters \( \varphi \) that satisfy this boundedness condition.

Let \( \iota : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \) be a fixed embedding. Let \( \lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \) be an algebraic number of absolute value \( |\iota(\lambda)| \leq 1 \), with the property that all its Galois conjugates are also contained in the unit disk. Note that this condition can be easily achieved, for instance by dividing by a large integer, unlike the more delicate conditions (such as for Pisot and Salem numbers) where one requires one of the points in the Galois orbit to remain outside of the unit disk.

**Proposition 2.20.** Let \( \lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \) be chosen as above, so that \( \iota(\gamma \lambda) \) is contained in the unit disk for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \). Consider the Galois invariant of dessins given by \( \varphi(D) = \iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D)} = \iota(\lambda)^d \) where \( d \) is the degree of the Belyi map. This determines a character \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \) that descends to a character of the quotient Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{D,G} \) of Lemma 2.13.

For a Belyi map \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), the operator \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) associated to this character satisfies the boundedness condition. Moreover, for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) the character \( \gamma \circ \varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \) given by \( \gamma \varphi(D) = \gamma(\lambda)^{\#E(D)} \) also gives bounded operators \( \pi_{\gamma \varphi}(f) \).

**Proof.** Consider \( \lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \) as above. The map \( \varphi(D) = \iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D)} \) determines an algebra homomorphism \( \varphi : \mathcal{H}_D \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \), since for a disjoint union \( D = D_1 \coprod D_2 \), we have \( \#E(D) = \#E(D_1) + \#E(D_2) \) hence \( \varphi(D) = \varphi(D_1) \varphi(D_2) \). Since the number of edges of a dessin (degree of the Belyi map) is a Galois invariant, the character descends to the quotient Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{D,G} \). Given a Belyi function \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), the operator \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) acts on the basis \( \epsilon_\eta \) of the Hilbert space \( \ell^2(\mathcal{E}) \) by \( \pi_\varphi(f) \epsilon_\eta = \varphi(\eta(D_f)) \epsilon_\eta \), with \( \eta(D_f) = D_{\eta \circ f} \). We know (see [61, Proposition 3.5]) that if \( D_f \) is the dessin corresponding to a Belyi map \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \eta \) is the dessin corresponding to \( \eta : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) in \( \mathcal{E} \), then the edges of the dessin \( D_{\eta \circ f} \) of the Belyi map \( \eta \circ f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) are given by \( E(\eta(D_f)) = E(D_f) \times E(\eta) \). Thus, we have

\[
\varphi(\eta(D_f)) = \iota(\lambda)^{\#E(\eta(D_f))} = (\iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D_f)})^{\#E(\eta)}.
\]

Under the assumption that \( |\iota(\lambda)| \leq 1 \), hence \( |\iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D_f)}| \leq 1 \) we have

\[
|(\iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D_f)})^{\#E(\eta)}| \leq |\iota(\lambda)^{\#E(D_f)}| \leq 1
\]

for all \( \eta \in \mathcal{E} \), hence \( \|\pi_\varphi(f)\| \leq 1 \). Since we assume that all Galois conjugates are also in the unit disk, \( |\iota(\gamma(\lambda))| \leq 1 \) for \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), the same holds for the operators \( \pi_{\gamma \varphi}(f) \).

In Proposition 2.20, we constructed a character \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \) that is invariant with respect to the \( G \)-action by Hopf algebra homomorphisms of \( \mathcal{H}_D \) (by automorphisms of the dual affine group scheme \( \mathcal{G}_D \)) and descends to a character of the quotient Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{D,G} \). We now
consider a setting that is more interesting for the purpose of obtaining the correct intertwining of symmetries and Galois action on the zero-temperature KMS states, extending the similar properties of the Bost–Connes system. To this purpose we focus on characters of the Hopf algebra $H_D$ that are not $G$-invariant, but that satisfy the expected $G$-equivariance condition intertwining the $G$-action on the source $H_D$ with the $G$-action on the target $Q$.

**Definition 2.21.** A character $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_Q}(H_D, Q)$ is called balanced if it satisfies the identity

$$\varphi(\gamma D) = \gamma \varphi(D),$$

for all $\gamma \in G = \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$ and all dessins $D$, where $D \mapsto \gamma D$ is the $G$-action on dessins and $\varphi(D) \mapsto \gamma \varphi(D)$ is the Galois action on $\bar{Q}$.

The following example shows that the set of balanced characters is non-empty, although the example constructed here is not computationally feasible, since it assumes an a priori choice of a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits on the set $D$ of dessins, which in itself requires an explicit knowledge of these orbits. The question of constructing an explicit map $D \mapsto \varphi(D)$ of dessins to $Q$ that intertwines the $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$ actions remains a very interesting problem.

**Lemma 2.22.** Let $R = \{D\}$ be a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits on the set of dessins. Let $\{\lambda_D\}$ be a set of algebraic numbers with $|\nu(\gamma \lambda_D)| \leq 1$ for all $\gamma \in G$ and $\deg(\lambda_D) = \#\text{Orb}(D)$. Then the formula

$$\varphi(\gamma D) = (\gamma \lambda_D)^{\#E(D)}$$

determines a balanced character of $H_D$, with the property that the linear operators $\pi_\varphi(\gamma f)$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{E})$ are bounded for any Belyi function $f$ and all $\gamma \in G$.

**Proof.** Let $d_D = \#\text{Orb}(D)$ be the length of the corresponding orbit. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem this is also the cardinality of the set of cosets $d_D = \#G/\text{Stab}(D)$. For each $D \in R$, choose an algebraic number $\lambda_D \in \bar{Q}$ with the property that $\#\text{Orb}(\lambda_D) = \deg(\lambda_D) = [Q(\lambda_D) : Q]$ satisfies $\deg(\lambda_D) = d_D$. We then set

$$\varphi(\gamma D) = \gamma(\lambda_D)^{\#E(D)}.$$

Since $\#\text{Orb}(D) = \#\text{Orb}(\lambda_D)$ and we can identify the action of $G$ on $\text{Orb}(D)$ with the left multiplication on the cosets $G/\text{Stab}(D)$, the map $\varphi$ bijectively maps $\text{Orb}(D)$ to $\text{Orb}(\lambda_D)$ in such a way that by construction $\varphi(\gamma D) = (\gamma(\lambda_D))^{\#E(D)} = \gamma(\lambda_D)^{\#E(D)}$, hence $\varphi$ is a balanced character.

Possibly after dividing by a sufficiently large integer, we can assume that $\lambda_D$ and all its Galois conjugates lie inside the unit disk, so that the sequence $\{\gamma(\lambda_D)^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in the $\ell^\infty$-norm, for all $\gamma \in G$. Consider then the operators $\pi_\varphi(f)$ associated to the character $\varphi$, for Belyi maps $f$. These act on $\ell^2(\mathcal{E})$ by $\pi_\varphi(f)\epsilon_\eta = \varphi(\eta(D))\epsilon_\eta$, where $D = D_f$ is the dessin associated to the Belyi map.

Since all the Belyi-extending maps $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ are defined over $Q$, we have $\nu(\eta(D)) = \nu(\gamma D)$ for all $\gamma \in G$ and $\eta\text{Orb}(D) = \text{Orb}(\eta(D))$. If we take $\eta(D)$ as the representative for $\text{Orb}(\eta(D))$, we can write

$$\pi_\varphi(f)\epsilon_\eta = \gamma_{\eta(D)}^{\#E(\eta(D))}\epsilon_\eta.$$

Since the algebraic numbers $\gamma_{\eta(D)}\lambda_D$ lie in the unit disk, for all $\gamma \in G$, all $D \in D$ and all $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, the operators $\pi_\varphi(\gamma f)$ are all bounded. ■
2.12 Quantum statistical mechanics of Belyi-extending maps

We will now proceed to the construction of a quantum statistical mechanical system associated to dessins with the $G$-action and Belyi-extending maps.

**Lemma 2.23.** Let $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ a Belyi map and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ a Belyi-extending map. Denote by $\sigma_\eta(f) = \eta \circ f$ the action of the semigroup $\mathcal{E}$ on Belyi maps by composition.

For $\rho_\eta$ defined as in Lemma 2.18, we have $\rho_\eta(\sigma_\eta(f)) = f$ for all Belyi maps $f$ and $\sigma_\eta(\rho_\eta(f)) = f$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Let $\pi_\varphi(f)$ be as in (2.10) satisfying the boundedness condition (2.11). For any Belyi map $f$, the operator $\pi_\varphi(\rho_\eta(f))$ acts on the range of the projection $\epsilon_\eta$ in $\ell^2(\mathcal{E})$ as

$$
\pi_\varphi(\rho_\eta(f))\epsilon_\eta\epsilon_{\eta'} = \begin{cases} \varphi(\eta' \circ \phi_\eta \circ f)\epsilon_{\eta'}, & \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
$$

The operators $\pi_\varphi(f)$ of (2.10) satisfy the relations

$$
\mu_\eta^* \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta = \pi_\varphi(\sigma_\eta(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_\eta \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta^* = \pi_\varphi(\rho_\eta(f))\epsilon_\eta.
$$

**Definition 2.24.** Let $\mathcal{H}_D$ be the Hopf algebra of dessins, seen as a commutative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ the non-commutative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\mathcal{H}_D$ as a commutative algebra (that is, by the dessins $D$, or equivalently by the Belyi functions $f$) and by the isometries $\mu_\eta$, with the relations $\mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta = 1$ and $\mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* = \rho_\eta(f)\epsilon_\eta$ with $\epsilon_\eta = \mu_\eta \mu_\eta^*$.

We will construct a time evolution on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ using invariants of Belyi-extending maps that behave multiplicatively under composition. The following result follows directly from the construction of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ in Definition 2.24.

**Lemma 2.25.** Let $\Upsilon : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a semigroup homomorphism. Then setting $\sigma_t(\mu_\eta) = \Upsilon(\eta)^t \mu_\eta$ and $\sigma_t(D) = D$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $D \in \mathcal{D}$ defines a time evolution $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}})$. Given a character $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that the operators $\pi_\varphi(f)$ are bounded for all Belyi maps $f$, the time evolution $\sigma_t$ is implemented in the resulting representation of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{E})$ by the Hamiltonian $H\epsilon_\eta = \log \Upsilon(\eta)\epsilon_\eta$, with partition function given by the (formal) Dirichlet series

$$
Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}} \Upsilon(\eta)^{-\beta} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \#\{ \eta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \Upsilon(\eta) = n\} n^{-\beta}. \tag{2.13}
$$

**Proof.** The $\sigma_t$ defined as above gives a time evolution on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ because of the multiplicative property of $\Upsilon$ under composition in $\mathcal{E}$. A character $\varphi$ with the boundedness condition on the operators $\pi_\varphi(f)$ determines a representations $\pi_\varphi$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}$ by bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathcal{E})$, where the generators $D$ act as $\pi_\varphi(f)$ with $f$ the Belyi map associated to the dessin $D$ and the isometries $\mu_\eta$ act as shifts on the basis, $\mu_\eta \epsilon_\eta = \epsilon_{\eta' \circ \eta}$. In this representation we see directly that the time evolution is implemented by the Hamiltonian $H$ with the partition function given by the formal Dirichlet series (2.13).

**Remark 2.26.** Note that for any given choice of a subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ of the semigroup of Belyi-expanding maps, one can adapt the construction above and obtain an algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}'}$ generated by $\mathcal{H}_D$ and the isometries $\mu_\eta$ with $\eta \in \mathcal{E}'$ and its representation on $\ell^2(\mathcal{E}')$. A semigroup homomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathcal{E}' \to \mathbb{N}$ then determines a time evolution with partition function as in (2.13) with the summation over $\eta \in \mathcal{E}'$.

The reason for considering such restrictions to subsemigroups $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ of the system $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D,\mathcal{E}}, \sigma_t)$ is this: we will be able to obtain from the system of Definition 2.24 and Lemma 2.25 a specialization that recovers the original Bost–Connes system.
**Proposition 2.27.** Let $\mathcal{E}_{BC} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be the subsemigroup of Belyi-extending maps $\eta$ such that $\eta$: $0 \mapsto 0$ and the ramification is maximal at $0$, that is, $m = 1$ and $n = d$. Consider the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_D, \mathcal{E}}$ obtained by restricting the semigroup to $\mathcal{E}_{BC}$ and restricting the Belyi functions $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathcal{H}_D$ to maps ramified only at $0$ and $\infty$.

Let $\Upsilon: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the semigroup homomorphism given by the degree $d$ of the Belyi-expanding map, and let $\sigma_i$ be the associated time evolution. There is a choice of a balanced character $\varphi: \mathcal{H}_D \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the restriction of the resulting time evolution to this subalgebra is the original Bost–Connes quantum statistical mechanical system.

**Proof.** Choose a balanced character $\varphi: \mathcal{H}_D \to \mathbb{Q}^{ab} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ constructed as in Proposition 2.22, with $\lambda^\beta_{\pi, \mathcal{E}(D)}(\Upsilon) = d$ given by a primitive root of unity of order $d = \# E(D)$, when $D$ is the dessin of a Belyi function ramified only at $0$ and $\infty$.

These Belyi functions have $g(\Sigma) = 0$ and up to a change of coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$ we can assume they map $0, 1, \infty$ to themselves. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, the ramification points $0$ and $\infty$ have maximal ramification, $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and $f^{-1}(\infty) = \{\infty\}$, so $m = 1$ while $n = \# f^{-1}(1) = d$. Similarly, for a Belyi-extending map with $m = \# \eta^{-1}(0) = 1$ so that $\eta^{-1}(1) = \deg(\eta) = d$. Thus, when restricting the system to this subset of Belyi maps and the subgroup $\mathcal{E}_{BC}$ of Belyi-extending maps, the multiplicity of $\Upsilon(\eta)$ is one, since for these maps the dessin $D$ or $D_0$ is the unique bipartite tree with a single white colored vertex and $d$ black colored vertices attached to the white vertex.

We can then identify the basis $\epsilon_d$ of $\ell^2(\mathbb{E})$ with the basis $\epsilon_d$ of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ on which the operators associated to these Belyi maps act as $\pi_\varphi(f)\epsilon_d = \zeta^d_{\deg(f)}\epsilon_d$ and the isometries $\mu_\eta$ act as the isometries $\mu_{\deg(\eta)}\epsilon_d = \epsilon_{d\deg(\eta)}$ of the Bost–Connes system, satisfying the relations of the Bost–Connes algebra, after identifying $\zeta_d = \alpha(e(1/d))$ for $\{e(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$ the generators of the Bost–Connes algebra and $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}^* = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ a chosen embedding of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

In the statement of Lemma 2.25 above we have regarded the partition function purely as a formal Dirichlet series. In order to proceed to the consideration of Gibbs states and limiting zero-temperature states, however, we need a setting where this Dirichlet series converges for sufficiently large $\beta > 0$. As we discuss in detail in the next subsection, due to the particular nature of semigroup homomorphisms $\Upsilon: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{N}$ from the semigroup of Belyi-expanding maps to the integers, the Dirichlet series (2.13) tends to be everywhere divergent, except in the case where the system is constructed using the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}_{BC}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ that recovers the Bost–Connes system.

After discussing semigroup homomorphisms from the semigroup of Belyi-expanding maps, we return to the construction of the quantum statistical mechanical system and we show how Definition 2.24 and Proposition 2.25 can be modified to avoid this divergence problem.

### 2.13 Semigroup homomorphisms of Belyi-extending maps

We discuss here semigroup homomorphisms with source the semigroup of Belyi-extending maps with the operation of composition. In particular, we calculate the behaviour of the multiplicities associated to these semigroup homomorphisms, namely the size of the level sets.

As a simple illustration of the most severe type of divergence one expects to encounter in the partition functions, we consider first the case of the larger semigroup $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{Q}$ of Belyi maps of genus zero mapping $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ into itself, and the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{Q},0$ of Belyi polynomials, which have bipartite planar trees as dessins.

**Lemma 2.28.** The map $\Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by the degree $\Upsilon(\eta) = \deg(\eta)$ is a semigroup homomorphism. The level sets of its restriction to $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{Q},0$ are given by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\Upsilon,d} := \{\eta \in \mathcal{E} | \deg(\eta) = d\} = \{\text{bipartite trees } T | \# E(T) = d\},
$$

$$
\# \mathcal{E}_{\Upsilon,d} = 2(d + 1)^{d-1}.
$$
Proof. The degree of a Belyi map \( \eta \in \mathcal{E} \) is \( \deg(\eta) = \#\mathcal{E}(D_{\eta}) \), the number of edges of its dessin. For Belyi maps \( \eta: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) in \( \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},0} \) the dessin \( D_{\eta} \) is a bipartite tree. Since the bipartite structure on a tree is uniquely determined by assigning the color of a single vertex, the number of elements in \( \mathcal{E}_{\gamma,d} \) can be identified with twice the number of trees on \( d \) edges, which is equal to \((d + 1)^{d-1}\). ■

Remark 2.29. For \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},0} \to \mathbb{N} \) given by the degree \( \Upsilon(\eta) = \deg(\eta) \), the formal Dirichlet series (2.13)

\[
2 \sum_{d \geq 1}(d + 1)^{d-1}d^{-\beta}
\]

is everywhere divergent.

When we restrict ourselves to the subsemigroup \( \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \) of Belyi-extending maps and to the corresponding \( \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},0} \), the multiplicities decrease, since many bipartite trees do not correspond to Belyi maps defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \). One can see that there are choices of subsemigroups \( \mathcal{E}' \) of the semigroup \( \mathcal{E} \) of Belyi-extending maps for which the partition function does not have this dramatic everywhere-divergence problem. We give an example of such a subsemigroup in Lemma 2.28 below. In such cases, we can just use the quantum statistical mechanical system constructed in Section 2.12 above as the correct generalization of the Bost–Connes system. However, subsemigroups of \( \mathcal{E} \) with this property tend to be too small to achieve separation of Galois orbits. For this reason, we want to allow larger subsemigroups of Belyi maps and we need to introduce an appropriate method that will cure possible everywhere-divergence phenomena in the partition function.

In the example above we have considered the degree homomorphism. One can obtain other semigroup homomorphisms \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to \mathbb{N} \) (with restrictions \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{N} \)) by composing the degree homomorphism with an arbitrary semigroup homomorphism \( \Psi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \). Since \( \mathbb{N} \) is the free abelian semigroup generated by primes, a homomorphism \( \Phi \) can be obtained by assigning to each prime \( p \) a number \( \Psi(p) \in \mathbb{N} \). It is easy to check, however, that any homomorphism \( \Psi \circ \Upsilon \) obtained in this way will not compensate for the everywhere divergence problem of Remark 2.29. This leads to the natural question of whether there are other interesting semigroup homomorphism with source \( \mathcal{E} \) that do not factor through the degree map. If one restricts to the subsemigroup \( \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},0} \) as in Lemma 2.28, for which the dessins are trees, then the length of the unique path in the tree from 0 to 1 is an example of such a morphism. We focus here below on a semigroup homomorphism to a non-abelian semigroup of matrices.

In particular, we will be observing more closely how other combinatorial data of dessins behave under the composition of maps, such as the number of W/B vertices in the bipartition (the ramification indices \( m \) and \( n \) of the map at the points 0 and 1). This will identify some interesting (non-abelian) semigroup laws, and resulting semigroup homomorphisms.

Proposition 2.30. Consider the subsemigroup \( \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \) of Belyi maps of genus zero mapping the points 0, 1, \( \infty \) to themselves. The map \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}) \) given by

\[
\Upsilon(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} d & m - 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( d = \deg(\eta) \) and \( m = \#\eta^{-1}(0) \), is a semigroup homomorphism. The same holds for the map \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to M_3^+(\mathbb{Z}) \) as above, with \( m \) replaced by \( n = \eta^{-1}(1) \) and for the map \( \Upsilon: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \to M_3^+(\mathbb{Z}) \)

\[
\Upsilon(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} d & m - 1 & n - 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The level sets of the map (2.14) restricted to the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}$ of tree dessins are given by

$$\mathcal{E}'_{T,d,m} := \left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{Q,0} \mid \Upsilon(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} d & m-1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \text{bipartite trees } T \mid \#E(T) = d, \#V_0(T) = m \right\},$$

$$\#\mathcal{E}'_{T,d,m} = m^{d+1-m}(d + 1 - m)^{-m-1}. \quad (2.15)$$

**Proof.** We will discuss the map (2.14): the other cases are analogous. In restricting to the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}'_Q$ of $\mathcal{E}_Q$ we are considering the more restrictive condition on the Belyi maps, that map the set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ to itself rather than into itself, and after a change of coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we are assuming that the points $0, 1, \infty$ are mapped to themselves by these Belyi maps.

For a composition $\eta' \circ \eta$ of two such Belyi maps, the preimage $(\eta' \circ \eta)^{-1}(0)$ consists of the preimage $(\eta')^{-1}(0)$ of the point $0$ in the preimage $\eta^{-1}(0)$ and of the preimages $(\eta')^{-1}(u)$ for each of the remaining $m - 1$ points $u \in \eta^{-1}(0)$. The first set $(\eta')^{-1}(0)$ consists of $m'$ points while the second set consists of $d'(m - 1)$ points. Thus, we have $\#(\eta' \circ \eta)^{-1}(0) = d'(m - 1) + m'$. It remains to check that the product in the semigroup $M^+_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' & m' - 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d & m - 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d'd' & d'(m - 1) + m' - 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to $\Upsilon(\eta' \circ \eta)$. When restricting to the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}_{Q,0}$, the counting of the size of the level sets is based on the number of bipartite trees with $m$ white and $n$ black vertices, which is equal to $m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ and the fact that the total number of vertices is $m + n = d + 1$. \[\square\]

The semigroup homomorphism (2.14) therefore captures the semigroup law satisfied by the ramification indices $m$ and $n$. Note that the determinant homomorphism of semigroups $\det: M^+_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ produces the semigroup homomorphism given by the degree

$$\det \circ \Upsilon(\eta) = \deg(\eta).$$

We can use the semigroup homomorphism constructed above to identify choices of sufficiently small subsemigroups $\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathcal{E}$ that do not have everywhere-divergence phenomena in the partition function. The following shows that the genus zero single cycle normalized Belyi maps considered in [5] provide an example of such a semigroup.

**Lemma 2.31.** Consider genus zero Belyi maps $\eta: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, normalized so that they fix the points $0, 1, \infty$, such that the corresponding dessin $D_\eta$ has a single cycle. They form a subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ of the semigroup of Belyi-extending maps with the property that the partition function (2.13)

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}'} \Upsilon(\eta)^{-\beta}$$

of the associated quantum statistical mechanical system for the degree homomorphism $\Upsilon(\eta) = \deg(\eta)$ is convergent for $\beta > 2$.

**Proof.** The normalized genus zero single cycle Belyi maps are a particular case of the more general class of conservative (or critically fixed) rational maps [23], where one assumes that the critical points are also fixed points. The genus zero and single cycle condition correspond to requiring that the ramification indices $m, n, r$ at $0, 1, \infty$ satisfy $2d + 1 = m + n + r$. One can see that these maps form a semigroup using the semigroup law of Proposition 2.30 for the ramification indices. Indeed, for a composition $\eta \circ \eta'$ of two such maps we have $2d'd' + 1 = d(m' - 1) + m + d(n' - 1) + n + d(r' - 1) + r = 2dd' + d - 3d + 2d + 1$. As observed in [5] it is known that all the normalized genus zero single cycle Belyi maps are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, so this is a subsemigroup
of the semigroup $E$ of Belyi-extending maps. The number of normalized genus zero single cycle Belyi maps of a given degree $d$ is computed in [5, Corollary 2.8 and Remark 2.9] and is of the form

$$N(d) = \frac{1}{12} (d^2 + 4d - c),$$

where the constant $c$ takes one of the following values

$$c = \begin{cases} 
5, & d \equiv 1 \mod 6, \\
8, & d \equiv 4 \mod 6, \\
9, & d \equiv 3, 5 \mod 6, \\
12, & d \equiv 0, 1 \mod 6. 
\end{cases}$$

Thus, the partition function (2.13) for the semigroup $E'$ for the degree homomorphism $\Upsilon(\eta) = \deg(\eta)$

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{\eta \in E'} \Upsilon(\eta)^{-\beta} = \sum_{d \geq 1} \# \{ \eta \in E' \mid \deg(\eta) = d \} d^{-\beta} = \sum_{d \geq 1} N(d) d^{-\beta}$$

is convergent for $\beta > 2$.

As in [61], given a dessin $D$ with Belyi function $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and a Belyi-extending map $\eta \in E$, we denote by $\eta(D)$ the dessin of the composite function $\eta \circ f$.

**Corollary 2.32.** Let $E' \subset E$ be a subsemigroup of Belyi-extending maps for which the partition function $Z(\beta) = \sum_{\eta \in E'} \Upsilon(\eta)^{-\beta}$ is convergent for sufficiently large $\beta$. Consider the quantum statistical mechanical system of Section 2.12 with $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{Q})$ a character satisfying the boundedness condition. Then all the invariants $\varphi(\eta(D))$, for $\eta \in E'$ and dessins $D$, occur as values of zero-temperature KMS states.

**Proof.** If $E'$ is a subsemigroup of Belyi-extending maps with partition function that converges for large $\beta$, as in the case of Lemma 2.31, then the quantum statistical mechanical system of Section 2.12 has low temperature KMS states of the form

$$\psi_{\beta,\varphi}(X) = Z(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\eta \in E'} \langle \epsilon_\eta, X e^{-\beta H} \epsilon_\eta \rangle,$$

for elements $X$ of the crossed product algebra $A_{\mathcal{H}_D,E'}$ and in particular

$$\psi_{\beta,\varphi}(D) = Z(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\eta \in E'} \langle \epsilon_\eta, \pi_\varphi(f) e^{-\beta H} \epsilon_\eta \rangle = Z(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\eta \in E'} \varphi(\eta(D)) \Upsilon(\eta)^{-\beta},$$

where $f$ is the Belyi map with dessin $D$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_D, \bar{Q})$ is a character satisfying the boundedness condition. The zero-temperature KMS states are then given by

$$\psi_{\infty,\varphi}(X) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \psi_{\beta,\varphi}(X) = \varphi(X)$$

for $X \in A_{\mathcal{H}_D,E'}$. In particular, we consider elements of the form $X = \mu_\eta^* \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta$ for which we have

$$\psi_{\infty,\varphi}(\mu_\eta^* \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta) = \langle \epsilon_{\text{id}}, \pi_\varphi(f) \epsilon_{\text{id}} \rangle = \varphi(\eta(D)).$$

\[\blacksquare\]
In [61] the Belyi-extending maps are used to construct new Galois invariants of dessins, in the form of invariants of the form $\varphi(\eta(D))$, where $D$ is a given dessin, $\varphi$ is a Galois invariant, and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ ranges over the Belyi-extending maps. In our setting, these invariants occur as values of zero-temperature KMS states. However, if the subsemigroup $\mathcal{E}'$ is too small (as in the case of Lemma 2.31) one does not expect that the invariants $\varphi(\eta(D))$ would have good properties with respect to separating different Galois orbits of dessins. Thus, it is preferable to develop a way to extend the construction of Section 2.12 to obtain a quantum statistical mechanical system that can be used in cases of larger semigroups of Belyi-extending maps, for which the partition function (2.13) may have the type of everywhere-divergence problem encountered in the case of Remark 2.29.

This observation was suggested to us by Lieven Le Bruyn: examples include the case where one restricts to the trees of dynamical Belyi polynomials. This semigroup contains several free subsemigroups, such as all Belyi polynomials of fixed degree $d > 2$ that form a free semigroup. It is possible to take 1 as a leaf-vertex, and consider the subsemigroup of such dynamical Belyi polynomials having the same Julia set. (If two polynomials have different Julia sets, then their forward orbit is dense in the plane by [60].) Then this subsemigroup acts on the inverse images of 1 like the action of the power maps on the roots of unity. However, even this subsemigroup is likely to be too large.

To the purpose of analyzing how to treat the everywhere divergent cases, we return to a consideration of the model case of the semigroup $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q},0}$ of Belyi maps with composition considered in Lemma 2.28 and Remark 2.29, for which we know that everywhere-divergence occurs. This semigroup can be equivalently seen as a semigroup of bipartite trees with a product operation that reflects the composition of maps, see [1].

### 2.14 Extended system, partial isometries, and partition function

In this subsection we present a method for curing the type of everywhere-divergence problems in the partition function (2.13) that occur in the example of the semigroup $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q},0}$ in Remark 2.29. For the purpose of clarity, we illustrate how the method works in the case of this semigroup. A similar method, mutatis mutandis, can be applied to other semigroups with similar divergence phenomena in the partition function.

We use the semigroup homomorphism (2.14) in order to modify the quantum statistical mechanical system of Proposition 2.25 in such a way that the Dirichlet series of the resulting partition function becomes convergent for large $\beta > 0$.

In the process, we will have to slightly modify our algebra: the isometries $\mu_\eta$ with $\mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta = 1$ and $\mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* = e_\eta$ will be replaced by partial isometries (which we will still call $\mu_\eta$) with $\mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* = e_\eta$ and $\mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta = \tilde{e}_\eta$ where both $e_\eta$ and $\tilde{e}_\eta$ are projectors.

Actually, we will construct the one-parameter family of such systems, depending on a parameter $\theta > 0$ with $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We can think of this additional parameter as a regularization parameter for the original system $(A_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{E}}, \sigma_t)$ that eliminates the divergence of the partition function.

**Definition 2.33.** Given a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \setminus \mathbb{Q}_+^*$, let $\Omega_\theta$ denote the set $\Omega_\theta = \mathbb{N}\theta + \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{a\theta + b \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$.

**Lemma 2.34.** Let the semigroup be chosen as $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q},0}$. Consider the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_\theta)$ with the standard orthonormal basis $\{e_{\eta}\}_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}, \lambda \in \Omega_\theta}$. Let $\mu_\eta$ be the operators

$$
\mu_\eta e_{\eta',\lambda} = \begin{cases} e_{\eta',\alpha_\eta^{-1}(\lambda)}, & \text{if } \alpha_\eta^{-1}(\lambda) \in \Omega_\theta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

(2.16)
where
\[ \alpha_{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} d & m - 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

is the image of \( \eta \) under the semigroup homomorphism (2.14), with \( d = \deg(\eta) \) and \( m = \# \eta^{-1}(0) \), and the matrix acts on \( \lambda \) by fractional linear transformations.

The \( \mu_\eta \) are partial isometries with \( \mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* = e_\eta \) (the projector defined as before), and \( \mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta = \tilde{e}_\eta \) is another projector defined by
\[
\tilde{e}_\eta e_{\eta',\lambda} = \begin{cases} e_{\eta',\lambda}, & \text{if } \alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \Omega_\theta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The matrix \( \alpha_{\eta} \) maps \( \Omega_\theta \) to itself by the action by fractional linear transformations, \( \lambda \mapsto \alpha_{\eta}(\lambda) = d\lambda + m - 1 \). The inverse \( \alpha_{\eta}^{-1} \) is given by the matrix
\[
\alpha_{\eta}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & d^{-1}(1-m) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The condition that for a given \( \lambda = a\theta + b \in \Omega_\theta \), we have \( \alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\lambda) = d^{-1}a\theta + d^{-1}(b+1-m) \) also in \( \Omega_\theta \) is satisfied if \( d|a|, b+1-m \geq 0 \), and \( d|(b+1-m) \). Thus, it is on this subset \( \Omega_\theta(\eta) \subset \Omega_\theta \) that the operation \( \alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\theta) \) is defined with values in \( \Omega_\theta \). The projector \( \tilde{e}_\eta \) is given by the characteristic function of this subset. The adjoint \( \mu_\eta^* \) of the operator \( \mu_\eta \) of (2.16) is given by \( \mu_\eta^* e_{\eta',\lambda} = e_{\eta',\phi_{\eta,\alpha_{\eta}}(\lambda)}(\lambda) \) if \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}_\eta \) and zero otherwise. Thus, \( \mu_\eta \) and \( \mu_\eta^* \) satisfy the relations as stated. [∎]

Now, given a Belyi function \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) and a character \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Q}) \), consider the operators \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) defined as before, acting as \( \pi_\varphi(f)e_{\eta,\lambda} = \varphi(\eta(D))e_{\eta,\lambda} \).

**Lemma 2.35.** Let \( \sigma_\eta(f) = f \circ \eta \) as before, for all Belyi maps \( f \in \mathcal{B} \) and all \( \eta \in \mathcal{E} \). Also let \( \rho_\eta(f) = f \circ \phi_\eta \) for \( f \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \) be the partial inverses. With the partial isometries \( \mu_\eta \) and \( \mu_\eta^* \) as in Lemma 2.34 we have
\[
\mu_\eta^* \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta = \pi_\varphi(\sigma_\eta(f))e_{\eta,\tilde{e}_\eta} \quad \mu_\eta \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta^* = \pi_\varphi(\rho_\eta(f))e_{\eta,\tilde{e}_\eta}.
\]

**Proof.** We have \( \mu_\eta^* \pi_\varphi(f) \mu_\eta e_{\eta',\lambda} = \varphi(\eta'(\eta(D)))e_{\eta \tilde{e}_\eta e_{\eta',\lambda}} = \pi_\varphi(\sigma_\eta(f))e_{\eta \tilde{e}_\eta e_{\eta',\lambda}} \). The other case is similar. [∎]

**Definition 2.36.** Let the semigroup be chosen as \( \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q},0} \). For a fixed \( \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathcal{Q}_+ \) and a character \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Q}) \) as above, for which the operators \( \pi_\varphi(f) \) are bounded, the extended quantum statistical mechanical system of dessins is given by the C*-subalgebra \( \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E},\theta} \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_\theta)) \) generated by the \( \pi_\varphi(f) \), with \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) ranging over the Belyi functions and the partial isometries \( \mu_\eta, \mu_\eta^* \) with the relations \( \mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* = e_\eta \) and \( \mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta = \tilde{e}_\eta \) and (2.17). The time evolution on \( \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E},\theta} \) is again given by \( \sigma_\eta(\mu_\eta) = \deg(\eta)^{it} \mu_\eta \).

Modifying the isometries \( \mu_\eta \) on \( \ell^2(\mathcal{E}) \) to partial isometries on \( \ell^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_\theta) \) by introducing the projection \( \tilde{e}_\eta \) will make it possible to extend the Hamiltonian determined by the degree map to an operator (2.18) for which \( e^{-\beta H} \) is trace class for large \( \beta > 0 \). However, this changes significantly some of the properties of the algebra of observable as follows.

**Remark 2.37.** Unlike what happens in the original Bost–Connes system, in our case the partial isometries \( \mu_\eta \) and \( \mu_\eta^* \) are not physical creation-annihilation operators. Indeed, the ground state \( e_{id,\theta} \) is in the kernel of both the range projections \( e_\eta = \mu_\eta \mu_\eta^* \) and the source projections \( \tilde{e}_\eta = \mu_\eta^* \mu_\eta \), since the identity map does not factor through another Belyi-extending map, hence \( e_{id,\theta} = 0 \), and \( \theta \) does not satisfy \( \alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\theta) \in \Omega_\theta \), since for \( a > 1 \) and \( b \geq 0 \) we have \( \alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\theta) = a^{-1}(\theta - b) < \theta \), hence \( \tilde{e}_\eta e_{id,\theta} = 0 \) as well.
Definition 2.38. Consider a family of densely defined unbounded linear operators $H$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_\theta)$ given by

$$H\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (F(\alpha_\eta(\lambda) - F(\theta))) \log(\deg(\eta))\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} & \text{if } \eta \neq \text{id}, \\ (F(\lambda) - F(\theta))\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} & \text{if } \eta = \text{id}, \end{cases}$$

(2.18)

where $F$ is a real valued function on the set $\Omega_\theta$.

The specific form of the function $F$ and the conditions on the choice of the parameter $\theta$ will be determined in Propositions 2.39 and 2.41 below.

Proposition 2.39. Let the semigroup be chosen as $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\Omega,0}$. We choose $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \setminus \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ to be an algebraic number such that $\{1, \theta, \theta^2\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $F(\lambda) = \lambda^2$. Then, for $d \neq 1$, the multiplicity $M(d,m,\lambda)$ of an eigenvalue $(F(d\lambda + m - 1) - F(\theta))\log(d)$ of the operator $H$ is equal to

$$M(d,m,\lambda) = 2 + \#T_{d,m},$$

(2.19)

where $\#T_{d,m}$ is the number of bipartite trees with $d$ edges and $m$ white vertices, given by (2.15). For $d = 1$, each eigenvalue $F(\lambda) - F(\theta)$ of $H$ has multiplicity one.

Proof. Suppose that $\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda}$ and $\epsilon'_{\eta',\lambda'}$, with $\eta, \eta' \neq \text{id}$, are in the same eigenspace of $H$. We have, for $d = \deg(\eta)$, $d' = \deg(\eta')$, $m = \#\eta^{-1}(0)$, and $m' = \#(\eta')^{-1}(0)$,

$$(F(d\lambda + m - 1) - F(\theta))\log(d) = (F(d'\lambda' + m' - 1) - F(\theta))\log(d'),$$

where $\lambda = \alpha \theta + b, \lambda' = \alpha' \theta + b' \in \Omega_\theta$. By our choice of $F$, it takes values $F(\lambda) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_\theta$.

Recall that if we have algebraic numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ such that $\log(\alpha_1)$ and $\log(\alpha_2)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $\beta_1 \log(\alpha_1) + \beta_2 \log(\alpha_2) \neq 0$. This shows that, for $d, d'$ with $\log(d), \log(d')$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ we have $F(d\lambda + m - 1)\log(d) - F(d'\lambda' + m' - 1)\log(d') \neq 0$.

So we only need to check the dependent case.

Two logarithms of integers $\log(d), \log(d')$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, if $d^\delta = (d')^\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ (hence $d$ and $d'$ have the same prime factors). Thus we can write $d = \delta^{\delta}, \delta' = \delta'^{\ell}$ for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$. We are then looking at the relation

$$k(F(d\lambda + m - 1) - F(\theta)) = \ell(F(d'\lambda' + m' - 1) - F(\theta)).$$

(2.20)

By our choice of $\theta$ and of the function $F$, a relation of the form $k(F(u\theta + v) - F(\theta)) = \ell(F(u'\theta + v') - F(\theta))$ for some $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$, some $u, u' \in \mathbb{N}$, and some $v, v' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ gives

$$k((u\theta + v)^2 - \theta^2) = \ell((u'\theta + v')^2 - \theta^2),$$

that is,

$$k(u^2\theta^2 + 2uv\theta + v^2 - \theta^2) = \ell((u')^2\theta^2 + 2u'v'\theta + (v')^2 - \theta^2).$$

The independence of $\{1, \theta, \theta^2\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ implies relations

$$k(u^2 - 1) = \ell((u')^2 - 1), \quad kvu = \ell u'v', \quad kuv^2 = \ell(v')^2.$$

The last one gives $v' = \sqrt{k/\ell} v$, which substituted in the second one gives $u' = \sqrt{k/\ell} u$.

The first one then gives $k(u^2 - 1) = \ell((k/\ell)u^2 - 1)$, hence $k = \ell$, so that $d = d', u = u'$ and $v = v'$. Thus, we obtain that the relation (2.20) is satisfied for $k = \ell$ (hence $d = d'$) and $da\theta + db + m - 1 = da'\theta + db' + m' - 1$ which gives $a = a'$ and $db + m - 1 = db' + m' - 1$. The latter equality gives $b - b' = (m' - m)/d$. 
We have both $1 \leq m \leq d$ and $1 \leq m' \leq d$ so that $0 \leq |m' - m| \leq d - 1$ and $(m' - m)/(d-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for either $m = m'$ (so $b = b'$) or for either $m = 1$ and $m' = d$ or $m = d$ and $m' = 1$. In the first of these two cases $b' = b - 1$ and in the other $b' = b + 1$. In each of the cases where $m = 1$ and $m' = d$ or $m = d$ and $m' = 1$ the number of bipartite trees $T$ with fixed $d = \#E(T)$ and with either one or $d = \#V(T) - 1$ white vertices is just one, consisting of the single vertex of the different color and $d$ edges from it to the remaining vertices all of the other color. Thus, in both of these cases the multiplicity is equal to one, while in the remaining case with $m = m'$ and $b = b'$ the multiplicity is the number of bipartite trees with $d$ edges and $m$ white vertices.

Thus, we obtain that the overall multiplicity of the eigenvalue $F(d\lambda + m - 1) \log(d)$ of $H$ is equal to $M_{d,m,\lambda} = 2 + \#T_{d,m}$ as in (2.19). For $d = 1$, we have $\eta = \text{id}$ and the condition $F(\lambda) = F(\lambda')$ implies $\lambda = \lambda'$, so all these eigenspaces are one-dimensional. In particular, the kernel of the operator $H$ is one-dimensional, spanned by the vector $\epsilon_{\text{id},\theta}$.

\textbf{Proposition 2.40.} Consider the operator $H$ of (2.18). The operators $e^{itH}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ determine a covariant representation of the quantum statistical mechanical system $(A_{\mathcal{H},E},\sigma_t)$ of Definition 2.36 on the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_{\theta})$.

\textbf{Proof.} The covariance condition prescribes that the time evolution $\sigma_t$ on $A_{\mathcal{H},E}$ is implemented by the Hamiltonian $H$ in the representation on $l^2(\mathcal{E} \times \Omega_{\theta})$,

$$e^{itH}Xe^{-itH}\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} = \sigma_t(X)\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda},$$

for all basis elements $\epsilon_{\eta,\lambda}$. We check this on the generators $\mu_{\eta}, \mu_{\eta}^*$ and $\pi_f(f)$ of the algebra. For $X = \mu_{\eta}$ we have

$$\sigma_t(\mu_{\eta})\epsilon_{\eta',\lambda} = \deg(\eta)^it\epsilon_{\eta',\eta^{-1}(\lambda)}$$

or zero if $\eta^{-1}(\lambda) \notin \Omega_{\theta}$. On the other hand, for $\eta' \neq \text{id}$, we have

$$e^{itH}\mu_{\eta}e^{-itH}\epsilon_{\eta',\lambda} = e^{itH}\mu_{\eta}e^{-itF(\alpha_{\eta'}(\lambda))}\deg(\eta')^{-it}\epsilon_{\eta',\lambda} = e^{itH}e^{-itF(\alpha_{\eta'}(\lambda))}\deg(\eta')^{-it}\epsilon_{\eta',\eta^{-1}(\lambda)}$$

or zero if $\eta^{-1}(\lambda) \notin \Omega_{\theta}$. In the non-zero case it is then equal to

$$e^{itF(\alpha_{\eta'}(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\lambda)))}\deg(\eta')it\epsilon_{\eta',\eta^{-1}(\lambda)} = e^{itF(\alpha_{\eta'}(\lambda))}\deg(\eta')^{-it}\epsilon_{\eta',\eta^{-1}(\lambda)}.$$

Because $\Upsilon(\eta) = \alpha_{\eta}$ is a semigroup homomorphism and so is the degree, we have $F(\alpha_{\eta'}(\alpha_{\eta}^{-1}(\lambda))) = F(\alpha_{\eta'}(\lambda))$ and the above gives

$$e^{itH}\mu_{\eta}e^{-itH}\epsilon_{\eta',\lambda} = \deg(\eta)^it\mu_{\eta}\epsilon_{\eta',\lambda}.$$
so we obtain $\deg(\eta)^{-H}e_{\eta'\circ\phi_{\eta'}(\lambda)} = \sigma(\mu^*)e_{\eta',\lambda}$. When $\eta' = \text{id}$ we have $\eta' \notin \mathcal{E}_\eta$ for $\eta \neq \text{id}$, hence $\mu^*_{\eta_1} = 0$. In the case where $X = \pi_\varphi(f)$ we have

$$e^{iH} \pi_\varphi(f)e^{-iH} e_{\eta',\lambda} = \varphi(\eta'(D))e_{\eta',\lambda} = \pi_\varphi(f)e_{\eta',\lambda}.$$ 

So indeed the operator $H$ gives a covariant representation of $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{E},\theta}, \sigma_t)$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\eta)$.

**Proposition 2.41.** Let $H$ be the operator of (2.18), with $F$ and $\theta$ chosen as in Proposition 2.39. We also assume that $\theta > 1$. Then the partition function for the semigroup $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}},0}$

$$Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}, \lambda \in \Omega_\eta} M_{\deg(\eta),\lambda} Z_{\deg(\eta),\lambda} e^{-\beta F(\alpha_\eta(\lambda))} \deg(\eta)^{-\beta}$$

(2.21)

converges for $\beta > 1$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.39, the partition function takes the form

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{d,a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq d} M(d, m, \lambda) e^{-\beta(F(d(a\theta+b)+m-1)-F(\theta))} d^{-\beta}$$

$$= \sum_{a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} e^{-\beta(F(a\theta+b)-F(\theta))} + \sum_{d \geq 1, a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq d} (2 + \#T_{d,m}) e^{-\beta F(d(a\theta+b)+m-1)} d^{-\beta}.$$ 

The first series, which corresponds to the case $d = 1$ (hence $m = 1$), is up to a multiplicative factor $e^{3\theta^2}$ the series $\sum_{a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)^2}$. Since we chose $\theta > 1$, we have $(a\theta+b)^2 > a\theta + b$ for all $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$ and we can estimate first sum by the series $\sum_{a,b} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)} = \sum_{a \geq 1} e^{-\beta a} \sum_{b \geq 0} e^{-\beta b}$

which is convergent for all $\beta > 0$.

Thus, it remains to check that the series

$$\sum_{d,a,b \geq 1 \leq m \leq d} m^{d+1-m}(d+1-m)^{m-1} e^{-\beta(d(a\theta+b)+m-1)^2} d^{-\beta}$$

converges for sufficiently large $\beta > 0$. We can estimate the terms of this series as follows. For $m = 1$ we have $\#T_{d,1} = 1$ and the sum reduces to the form

$$\sum_{d,a,b} e^{-\beta(d(a\theta+b)^2)} d^{-\beta} \leq \sum_{a,b} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)^2} \sum_{d} d^{-\beta},$$

which is convergent for $\beta > 1$. Equivalently, we can estimate this sum in terms of the Jacobi theta constant and the series $\sum_{a} \theta_3(0, e^{-\beta d^2}) d^{-\beta}$. Since $\theta_3(0, q) \to 1$ for $q \to 0$, the behavior of the series then depends on the behavior of $\sum d^{-\beta}$ that is convergent for $\beta > 1$. More generally, the multiplicity $\#T_{d,m} = m^{d+1-m}(d+1-m)^{m-1}$ satisfies $\#T_{d,m} \leq d^{2d}$ since $1 \leq m \leq d$. Since $\theta > 1$ we have $\Omega_\eta = \mathbb{N}\theta + \mathbb{Z}_+ \subset (1, \infty)$. For $m > 1$ we estimate the sum by

$$\sum_{d,a,b} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq d} d^{2d} e^{-\beta(d(a\theta+b)+m-1)^2} d^{-\beta} \leq \sum_{d} d^{2d} e^{-\beta d^2} d^{-\beta} \sum_{a,b} \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-\beta(m-1)^2} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)(m-1)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{d} d^{2d} e^{-\beta d^2} d^{-\beta} \sum_{a,b} \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} e^{-\beta \ell^2}.$$ 

The series $\sum_{a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)}$ and $\sum_{a \geq 1, \beta \geq 0} e^{-\beta(a\theta+b)^2}$ are convergent for all $\beta > 0$, and so is the series $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} e^{-\beta \ell^2}$. The series $\sum_{d \geq 1} d^{2d} e^{-\beta d^2} d^{-\beta} = \sum_{d \geq 1} e^{2d \log(d) - \beta(d^2 + \log(d))}$ is also convergent. ■
2.15 Gibbs KMS states and zero-temperature states

In this subsection we show what the KMS states look like for a modified system of the kind introduced in the previous subsection. We find that again the invariants $\varphi(\eta(D))$ appear in the low temperature KMS states, in the form of a weighted sum of the $\varphi(\eta(D))$, with $\eta$ ranging over the chosen semigroup of Belyi maps. Unlike the case of Corollary 2.32, however, in this case the invariants $\varphi(\eta(D))$ do not occur individually as values of zero-temperature states on elements $\mu_\eta^*\pi_\varphi(f)\mu_\eta$ of the algebra. As in the previous subsection, we only discuss here explicitly the case of the semigroup $E = E_{Q,0}$ so that we can use the explicit form of the quantum statistical mechanical system constructed above.

Consider a character $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(H_D, \bar{Q})$ that satisfies the boundedness condition for the operators $\pi_\varphi(f)$, and let $H$ be as in (2.18), with $F$ and $\theta$ as in Proposition 2.41, for the semigroup $E = E_{Q,0}$. In the range $\beta > 1$ where the series (2.21) is convergent, the low temperature Gibbs KMS states of the quantum statistical mechanical system are given by

$$\psi_{\beta,\varphi}(X) = Z(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\eta,\lambda} \langle \epsilon_{\eta,\lambda}, X e^{-\beta H} \epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} \rangle,$$

for all $X \in A_{H_D,E,0}$. We are interested here in the values of these Gibbs states on the arithmetic abelian subalgebra $H_D$ of $A_{H_D,E,0}$. These are given by

$$\psi_{\beta,\varphi}(D) = Z(\beta)^{-1} \left( \sum_{\eta \in E \setminus \{id\}, \lambda \in \Omega_\theta} \varphi(\eta(D)) e^{-\beta(F(\alpha_\eta(\lambda)) - F(\theta))] \deg(\eta)^{-\beta} \right. \left. + \varphi(D) \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_\theta} e^{-\beta(F(\lambda) - F(\theta))} \right).$$

(2.22)

**Lemma 2.42.** Let $H$ be as in (2.18), with $F$ and $\theta$ as in Proposition 2.41, with the semigroup $E = E_{Q,0}$. In the zero-temperature limit where $\beta \to \infty$, the ground states, evaluated on the rational subalgebra $H_D$ are given by the limits

$$\psi_{\infty,\varphi}(D) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \psi_{\beta,\varphi}(D) = \varphi(D).$$

**Proof.** The ground state of the Hamiltonian $H$ of (2.18), with the choice of $F$ and $\theta$ as in Proposition 2.41, corresponds to $d = 1$ and $m = 1$ and to $\lambda = \theta$, and is spanned by the vector $\epsilon_{id,\theta}$. In the limit where $\beta \to \infty$ the expression (2.22), which is the normalized trace

$$\psi_{\beta,\varphi}(D) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_\varphi(f)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = Z(\beta)^{-1} \sum_{\eta,\lambda} \langle \epsilon_{\eta,\lambda}, \pi_\varphi(f)e^{-\beta H} \epsilon_{\eta,\lambda} \rangle$$

converges to $\langle \eta_{id,\theta}, \pi_\varphi(f)\eta_{id,\theta} \rangle = \varphi(D).$.

In the case of this quantum statistical mechanical system all the values $\varphi(\eta(D))$ for $\eta \in E_{Q,0}$ (or another semigroup for which a similar system can be constructed) are built into the Gibbs states evaluated on the elements of the rational subalgebra, as the expression (2.22) shows. However, one cannot extract an individual term $\varphi(\eta(D))$ from the Gibbs states by taking the zero-temperature limit, because of the observation in Remark 2.37. Indeed, the zero-temperature states evaluate trivially on elements of the form $\mu_\eta^*\pi_\varphi(f)\mu_\eta$ since we have $\psi_{\infty,\varphi}(\mu_\eta^*\pi_\varphi(f)\mu_\eta) = \langle \epsilon_{id,\theta}, \mu_\eta^*\pi_\varphi(f)\mu_\eta \rangle$, but $\mu_\eta \epsilon_{id,\theta} = 0$ since $\eta^{-1}(\theta) \notin \Omega_\theta$.

On the other hand, we can still obtain the intertwining of symmetries and Galois action for zero-temperature KMS states evaluated on the arithmetic subalgebra.
Proposition 2.43. Suppose that $E$ is a semigroup of Belyi-extending maps for which the construction of the extended quantum statistical mechanical system $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}, E}, \sigma_t)$ can be applied. Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{Q})$ be a balanced character as in Definition 2.12. Then the KMS Gibbs state $\psi_{\infty, \varphi}$ at zero temperature evaluated on the rational subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ intertwines the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ by symmetries of the quantum statistical mechanical system $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}, E}, \sigma_t)$ with the Galois action of $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q)$.

Proof. First note that the $G$-action on dessins gives an action of $G$ by symmetries of the quantum statistical mechanical system $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}, E, \theta}, \sigma_t)$, namely by automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}, E, \theta}$ compatible with the time evolution: $\gamma \circ \sigma_t = \sigma_t \circ \gamma$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\gamma \in G$. Here it is convenient to assume that $E$ is a semigroup of Belyi-extending maps rather than a more general subsemigroup of $E_{\overline{Q}}$, so that the Galois group acts only on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and fixes the partial isometries $\mu_\eta$.

Indeed, since in the case of Belyi-extending maps $G$ acts on the abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ by the action of Proposition 2.11 and acts trivially on the partial isometries $\mu_\eta$, $\mu_\eta^*$ while the time evolution acts on the $\mu_\eta$, $\mu_\eta^*$ and acts trivially on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$, the two actions commute. (Note, however, that if the semigroup homomorphism $\Upsilon$ generating the time evolution is itself Galois invariant, then the same argument applies to more general subsemigroups of $E_{\overline{Q}}$.)

Evaluating the zero-temperature KMS state $\psi_{\infty, \varphi}$ on an element $D$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ gives $\psi_{\infty, \varphi}(D) = \varphi(D)$. Since $\varphi$ is a balanced character, it also satisfies $\varphi(\gamma D) = \gamma \varphi(D)$ which gives the intertwining of the $G$-actions.

Below we consider some variants of quantum statistical mechanical systems associated to dessins d’enfant.

Generally, in number theory many natural Dirichlet series appear as Euler products whose $p$-terms encode the results of counting problems (e.g., counting points of a $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme mod $p$, and subsequent twisting them by additive or multiplicative characters.

In the examples below, the attentive reader will find analogs of prime decomposition and twisting by characters, but the central role is taken by counting/enumeration problems themselves.

As a result, we obtain again some formal partition functions/Dirichlet series such as (2.25) and (2.26). Typically they suffer from the same divergence problem that we have already discussed in the main part of this section and would require a similar modification of the underlying algebra of observables and representation. We will not discuss this further in this paper.

2.16 Enumeration problems for dessins d’enfant

The enumeration problem for Grothendieck dessins d’enfant was considered in [43, 65]. In [65] it is shown that the generating function for the number of dessins with assigned ramification profile at $\infty$ and given number of preimages of 0 and 1 satisfy the infinite system of PDEs given by the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy. In [43] it is shown that this generating function satisfies the Eynard–Orantin topological recursion. Moreover in [4] it is shown that the generating function of the enumeration of dessins d’enfant with fixed genus, degree, and ramification profile at $\infty$ is the partition function of a matrix model, which in the case of clean dessins agrees with the Kontsevich–Penner model of [12]. We see here that it is also, in an immediate and direct way, the partition function of a quantum statistical mechanical system with algebra of observables given by the (Hopf) algebra $\mathcal{H}$ constructed above.

Another interesting aspect of the enumeration problem for dessins d’enfant is addressed in [38], namely a piecewise polynomiality result and a wall crossing phenomenon. More precisely, the enumeration of (not necessarily connected) dessins d’enfant corresponds to the case
Corollary 2.45. If the additive invariant $N$ of dessins d’enfant also satisfies $N(D) = N(\delta) + N(D/\delta)$, for any subdessin $\delta$ and quotient dessin $D/\delta$, then the time evolution $\sigma_t$ of Lemma 2.44 is convergent for sufficiently large $\beta > 0$, while the generating function can be regarded more generally as a formal power series.
is a one-parameter family of Hopf algebra homomorphisms of \( \mathcal{H}_{D,C} \). Moreover, the representation on \( \ell^2(D) \) induced by the adjoint action of the Hopf algebra on itself \( D' \mapsto \sum \delta' D'S(\delta') \) is also covariant with respect to this time evolution.

**Proof.** It suffices to check that \( \sigma_t(D) = e^{itN(D)}D \) is a bialgebra homomorphism, since the compatibility with the antipode \( \sigma_t(S(D)) = S(\sigma_t(D)) \) is then automatically satisfied. We have \( \Delta(\sigma_t(D)) = e^{itN(D)}\Delta(D) = e^{itN(\delta)}e^{itN(D/\delta)}\sum \delta \otimes D/\delta \). The compatibility with the antipode, which is a linear antiautomorphism, gives \( \sigma_t(S(D)) = e^{itN(D)}S(D) \), hence under the action \( D \cdot e^{itH} \Delta D' := \sum \delta \cdot D'S(\delta') \) we have \( e^{itH}D e^{-itH} \Delta D' = \sum e^{itN(D')} e^{itN(\delta')} e^{-itN(D/\delta)} e^{-itN(D')} e^{itN(D')} = e^{itN(D)} e^{itN(\delta')} = \sigma_t(D) \Delta D' \), using again the property that \( N(D) = N(\delta') + N(\delta) \) for all the terms in the coproduct.

Suppose given a finite set of integer-valued additive invariants \( N = (N_1, \ldots, N_k) \), with \( N_i(D) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) for all \( D \in \mathcal{D} \) and all \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) be a chosen set of \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) that are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Consider the \( \mathbb{R}_+ \)-valued additive invariant \( N_{\lambda}(D) = \lambda_1 N_1(D) + \cdots + \lambda_k N_k(D) \), and the time evolution \( \tau_{\lambda} \) determined by \( N_{\lambda} \) on \( \mathcal{H}_{D,C} \) as in Lemma 2.44. If each \( N_i \) also satisfies \( N_i(D) = N_i(\delta) + N_i(D/\delta) \) for all sub-dessins then \( \tau_{\lambda} \) is also a Hopf algebra homomorphism as in Corollary 2.45.

**Lemma 2.46.** For a given set of additive invariants \( N = (N_1, \ldots, N_k) \) and a choice of coefficients \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) as above, the partition function of the time evolution \( \tau_{\lambda} \) computes the generating functions of dessins d’enfant with fixed invariants \( N_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).

**Proof.** We have

\[
Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \sum_{\alpha \in N_{\lambda}(D)} \# \{ D \in \mathcal{D} \mid N_{\lambda}(D) = \alpha \} e^{-\beta \alpha},
\]

where \( \alpha \in N_{\lambda}(D) \) means that \( \alpha = \sum i \lambda_i n_i \) with \( n_i \in N_i(D) \). Since the \( \lambda_i \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), this determines the \( n_i \) and we can write the sum above as

\[
\sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \# \{ D \in \mathcal{D} \mid N_i(D) = n_i \} e^{-\beta \lambda_1 n_1} \cdots e^{-\beta \lambda_k n_k}.
\]

Upon setting \( t_i = e^{-\lambda_i} \), we identify this series with the generating function for dessins with fixed values of the invariants \( N_i(D) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).

In particular, we see from this simple general fact that we can reinterpret as partition functions the generating functions of \cite{43} and \cite{65} for the number of dessins with assigned ramification profile at \( \infty \) and given number of preimages of 0 and 1, as well as the generating function of \cite{38} of dessins of genus \( g \) with assigned ramification profiles over 0 and \( \infty \).

While this system recovers the correct partition function that encodes the counting problem for dessins, since the representation of the algebra \( D \cdot \epsilon D' = \epsilon_{D,D'} \) on the Hilbert space is a simple translation of the basis elements, we do not have an interesting class of low temperature KMS states, unlike the case we discussed in the previous subsections.

### 2.18 Fibered product structure on dessins

This subsection together with the subsequent Sections 2.19 and 2.20 contain a short digression on a construction of dynamics and partition functions based on different product structures on dessins.

We describe here a possible variant of the construction presented in the previous subsection, where instead of considering the (Hopf) algebra \( H_D \) of not necessarily connected dessins, where
the multiplication is given by the disjoint union, we consider a commutative algebra of dessins based on a different product structure built using the fibered product of the Belyi maps. The resulting construction of an associated quantum statistical mechanical system is similar to the previous case, but the associated partition function will have here the structure of a Dirichlet series rather than the usual power series generating function for the counting of dessins.

A product law on dessins induced by the fibered product of the Belyi maps was discussed in [58]. Let $D_1$ and $D_2$ be two dessins with $f_i : X_i \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ the associated Belyi maps. Let $Y$ denote the desingularization of the fibered product $\tilde{Y} = X_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) X_2$, fibered along the Belyi maps $f_i$, and let $f : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ denote the resulting branched covering map. Consider the graph $D$ given by the preimage $f^{-1}(D)$ in $Y$. The graph $D$ can be combinatorially described in terms of $D_1$ and $D_2$, with bipartite set of vertices $V_0(D) = V_0(D_1) \times V_0(D_2)$ and $V_1(D) = V_1(D_1) \times V_1(D_2)$ and with set of edges given by all pairs of edges $(e_1, e_2) \in E(D_1) \times E(D_2)$ with endpoints in $V(D)$. We denote the fibered product of dessins by $D = D_1 \star D_2$. We correspondingly write $f = f_1 \star f_2$ for the fibered product of the Belyi maps. Under this fibered product operations, the degree is multiplicative $d = d_1 d_2$, and so are the ramifications $m = \#V_0(D) = m_1 n_2$ and $n = \#V_1(D) = n_1 m_2$ and the ramification profiles $\mu_i = \mu_i,1 \mu_i,2$ and $v_j = v_{j,1} v_{j,2}$.

Let $A_\mathbb{Q}$ be the algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the dessins $D$ with the fibered product as above. Equivalently we think of elements of $A_\mathbb{Q}$ as functions with finite support $a : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{Q}$ from the set $\mathcal{D}$ of dessins, with the convolution product $a_1 \ast a_2(D) = \sum_{D = D_1 \star D_2} a_1(D_1) a_2(D_2)$. The resulting convolution algebra $A_\mathbb{Q}$ is commutative. We let $A_\mathbb{C} = A_\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be the complex algebra obtained by change of coefficients. The generators of the algebra $A_\mathbb{Q}$ are those dessins $D$ that admit no non-trivial fibered product decomposition $D = D_1 \star D_2$, which we refer to as “indecomposable dessins”.

### 2.19 Arrangements and semigroup laws

We now consider the commutative semigroups $\mathcal{S} := \oplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}$, with the product of $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}^m \oplus \mathbb{N}^n$ and $(\mu', \nu') \in \mathbb{N}^{m'} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{n'}$ given by $(\mu \mu', \nu \nu')$ with $(\mu \mu') = (\mu_i \mu_i')(i,i')$, $\nu \nu' = (\nu_j \nu_{j'}) \in \mathbb{N}^{mm'} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{nn'}$. We restrict this semigroup law to the arrangements $\mathcal{H}(m,n)$ considered in [38].

**Lemma 2.47.** Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{H}(m,n) = \left\{ (\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}^m \oplus \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \right\}$. The arrangements $\mathcal{H}(m,n)$ determine a subsemigroup $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deg}} \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$.

**Proof.** With $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deg}} = \oplus_{m,n} \mathcal{H}(m,n) \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ we see that for $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{H}(m,n)$ and $(\mu', \nu') \in \mathcal{H}(m', n')$ we have $(\mu \mu', \nu \nu') \in \mathcal{H}(mm', nn')$ with $\sum_{i,i'} \mu_i \mu_{i'} = d \cdot d' = \sum_{j,j'} \nu_j \nu_{j'}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i = d = \sum_j \nu_j$ and $\sum_{i,i'} \mu_{i'} = d' = \sum_{j,j'} \nu_{j'}$. □

This simple fact shows that the data of the ramification profiles at two of the three ramification points, say at 0 and $\infty$, of the dessins can be arranged as a multiplicative semigroup structure. This semigroup operation is consistent with the algebra operation in $A_\mathbb{Q}$ given by the fibered product.

**Lemma 2.48.** The degree, the ramification profiles and the ramification indices over the points $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ are multiplicative with respect to the fibered product operation of Belyi functions $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ are the ramification profiles over 0 and $\infty$, then the ramification index $r$ at 1 satisfies $r = \chi(\Sigma) - \chi(D)$, where $\chi$ is the topological Euler characteristic.

**Proof.** We have already seen in the construction of the fibered product dessin $D = D_1 \star D_2$ in the previous subsection that the ramification indices and the ramification profiles behave
multiplicatively. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula the Euler characteristic \( \chi(\Sigma) \) satisfies
\[
\chi(\Sigma) = d \cdot \chi(\mathbb{P}^1) - \sum_{P \in \Sigma} (e_P - 1),
\]
where the sum is taken over the ramification points with the corresponding ramification index, so we have
\[
\chi(\Sigma) = 2d + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mu_i - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\nu_j - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} (\rho_k - 1) = -d + m + n + r,
\]
where \( \rho = (\rho_k)_{k=1}^{r} \) is the ramification profile over the point 1. Since \( m + n = \#V(D) \) and \( d = \#E(D) \) we have
\[
-d + m + n = \chi(D).
\]

The relation between the ramification index \( r \) and the Euler characteristics \( \chi(\Sigma) \) and \( \chi(D) \) shows that the enumeration of dessins with fixed \( \mu, \nu, g \) as in [38] can be reformulated as the enumeration of dessins with fixed \( \mu, \nu, r \). The advantage of this formulation is that the assignment of the data \( (\mu, \nu, r) \) is multiplicative with respect to the fibered product operation on Belyi functions.

### 2.20 Multiplicative invariants and partition function

Let \( \Upsilon \) be a multiplicative invariant of dessins d’enfant, that is, an invariant with the property that \( \Upsilon(D \star D') = \Upsilon(D) \star \Upsilon(D') \), where \( D \star D' \) is the fibered product. We assume that \( \Upsilon \) takes values in a group, a semigroup, or an algebra.

As in the previous subsections, we consider the Hilbert space \( \ell^2(D) \). The algebra \( \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{Q} \) acts by bounded operators with \( D \cdot \epsilon_{D'} = \epsilon_{D \star D'} \).

**Lemma 2.49.** An \( \mathbb{N} \)-valued multiplicative invariant \( \Upsilon \) of dessins d’enfant determines a time evolution on the algebra \( \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{Q} \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C} \) of the form \( \sigma_t(D) = \Upsilon(D)^{it}D \), implemented by the Hamiltonian \( H_{\Upsilon} = \log(\Upsilon(D))\epsilon_D \). The partition function of this quantum statistical mechanical system is a Dirichlet series with coefficients enumerating dessins with assigned invariant \( \Upsilon(D) \).

**Proof.** We have \( e^{itH}De^{-itH}\epsilon_{D'} = \Upsilon(D')^{-it}\Upsilon(D \star D')^{it}\epsilon_{D \star D'} = \sigma_t(D)\epsilon_{D'} \), by the multiplicative property. The partition function is given by the formal Dirichlet series
\[
Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \#\{D \in \mathcal{D} | \Upsilon(D) = n\} n^{-\beta}.
\]  
\[ (2.25) \]

In particular, since the ramification profiles, ramification indices and degree behave multiplicatively with respect to the fibered product of dessins, we obtain a partition function as above, associated to the counting problem of [38], where the multiplicative invariants take values in the semigroup \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{deg}} \) of Lemma 2.47.

**Proposition 2.50.** The partition function (2.25) associated to the counting problem of [38] is given by
\[
\sum_{m,n,r} \sum_{(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{H}(m,n)} h_{g,\mu,\nu} \epsilon_1^{-s_0} \mu_1^{-s_1} \cdots \mu_m^{-s_m} \nu_1^{-\sigma_1} \cdots \nu_n^{-\sigma_n}
\]
\[ (2.26) \]
with the \( h_{g,\mu,\nu} \) as in (2.23) and with \( \mathcal{H}(m,n) = \{(\mu,\nu) \in \mathbb{N}^m \times \mathbb{N}^n | \sum_i \mu_i = \sum_j \nu_j\} \).
Proof. First observe that by Lemma 2.48 we can express the genus $g$ as a function of the multiplicative invariants $d, r, m, n$, hence we can identify the counting function $h_{g,\mu,\nu}$ of (2.23) with a function $h_{g,\mu,\nu}$. The piecewise polynomiality result of [38] shows that the $h_{g,\mu,\nu}$ are polynomials $P_{d,r,C}(\mu,\nu)$ in the variables $(\mu,\nu)$ of degree $2d + 1 - 2r - m - n$, for all $(\mu,\nu)$ in a fixed chamber $C$ of the arrangement $\mathcal{H}(m,n)$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ and $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n$ and $\lambda$ be coefficients in $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that, for all integers $r, a_i, b_j > 1$ with $\sum_i a_i = \sum_j b_j$, the products $r^{\lambda}a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_m^{\alpha_m} b_1^{\kappa_1} \cdots b_n^{\kappa_n} \neq 1$. Then given multiplicative invariants $(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{H}_{deg}$ we can form a single multiplicative invariant

$$\Upsilon_{m,n}(D) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i(D)^{\alpha_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \nu_j(D)^{\kappa_j},$$

such that $\{D | \Upsilon_{m,n}(D) = r^{\lambda}a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_m^{\alpha_m} b_1^{\kappa_1} \cdots b_n^{\kappa_n}\} = \{D | \mu_i(D) = a_i, \nu_j(D) = b_j\}$. Given a sequence $\{\alpha_i, \kappa_j\}$ that satisfies the property above for given $d, m, n$ we obtain a time evolution $\sigma_t(D) = \Upsilon_{m,n}(D) t^{\beta} D$ on $A_\mathbb{C} = A_\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C}$ with partition function given by the formal Dirichlet series

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{D \in D} \Upsilon_{m,n}(D)(D)^{-\beta} = \sum_{r,m,n} h_{r,m,n,\mu,\nu} r^{-\beta \lambda} \mu_1^{-\beta \alpha_1} \cdots \mu_m^{-\beta \alpha_m} \nu_1^{-\beta \kappa_1} \cdots \nu_n^{-\beta \kappa_n}.$$

This agrees with (2.26) for $s = \beta \lambda$, $s_i = \beta \alpha_i$ and $\sigma_j = \beta \kappa_j$. 

3 Bost–Connes crossed product algebras and the Grothendieck–Teichmüller groupoid

The basic object of combinatorial topology related to the set of Belyi maps $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$, is the fundamental groupoid of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ classifying (modulo homotopy) oriented paths between pairs of, say, algebraic points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

For studying its interactions with the absolute Galois group, it is convenient to restrict the set of base points to Deligne’s base points at 0, 1 and $\infty$ that is, real tangent directions to these points, as explained in [40, 41], and earlier, although in a less explicit form, in [31].

This groupoid can be visualized via the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group: product of two cyclic sugroups generated by loops around 0 and 1 respectively, and connecting them involution generated by a path from 0 to 1. We will sometimes refer to this involution as hidden symmetry, or else Drinfeld–Ihara involution.

This section is dedicated to the constructions of quantum statistical mechanical systems associated to the absolute Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. They transfer to the Grothendieck–Teichmüller environment versions of the Bost–Connes algebras considered in [55].

We will start with a description of the combinatorial version of the (profinite) Grothendieck–Teichmüller group $m\text{GT}$ as the automorphism group of the genus zero modular operad, as was done in [16].

3.1 Bost–Connes algebra with Drinfeld–Ihara involution

The group $m\text{GT}$ was defined in [16] in the following way. One starts with the same projective limit as in the Bost–Connes endomotive ([17, Section 3.3], and [55, Section 2.1]). Put $X_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}])$ with projections $X_n \to X_m$ when $m|n$ ordered by divisibility, and consider first the limit $X = \varprojlim X_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}])$.

We then enrich the action of the automorphism group $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ on $X$ and on the Bost–Connes algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \rtimes \mathbb{N}$ corresponding to the actions of the groups $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^*$ of the $X_n$, with the
further symmetries \( \theta_n \) of \( X_n \). Concretely, the map \( \theta_n \) is the involution of \( X_n = \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \) given by \( k \mapsto n - k + 1 \).

The non-abelian group \( \mathbf{mGT}_n \) is defined as the subgroup of the symmetric group \( S_n \) generated by \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^* \) and \( \theta_n \). One defines the group \( \mathbf{mGT} = \varprojlim_n \mathbf{mGT}_n \)

**Lemma 3.1.** The involutions \( \theta_n: X_n \to X_n \) are compatible with the maps \( \sigma_m: X_{nm} \to X_n \) of the projective system of the Bost–Connes endomotive, namely \( \sigma_m \circ \theta_{nm} = \theta_n \circ \sigma_m \). They determine an involution \( \theta: X \to X \) on the projective limit.

**Proof.** If we identify \( X_n \) with the set of roots of unity of order \( n \), this involution can be equivalently written as \( \theta_n(\zeta) = \zeta_n \cdot \zeta^{-1} \), where \( \zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n) \). Also, identifying the set \( X_n \) with roots of unity of order \( n \), the maps \( \sigma_m: X_{nm} \to X_n \) are given by raising to the \( m \)-th power, \( \sigma_m(\zeta) = \zeta^m \). Thus, we have \( \sigma_m(\theta_{nm}(\zeta)) = \zeta^m_n \cdot (\zeta^{-1})^m = \theta_n(\zeta^m) = \theta_n(\sigma_m(\zeta)) \).

We refer to the map \( \theta: X \to X \) as the Drinfeld–Ihara involution of the Bost–Connes endomotive mentioned above. The following reformulation of this involution in terms of its action on the Bost–Connes algebra then follows directly.

**Proposition 3.2.** The involution \( \theta: X \to X \) described above induces a self-map of the arithmetic Bost–Connes algebra \( \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \rtimes \mathbb{N} \), which acts by \( \theta(e(r)) = e(\frac{1-r}{n}) \) on generators \( e(r) \) with \( r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, r = a/b \) for integers with \( (a, b) = 1 \).

The group \( \mathbf{mGT} \) acts on the Bost–Connes quantum statistical mechanical system compatibly with the time evolution and preserving the arithmetic subalgebra.

**Proof.** The first statement follows directly from Lemma 3.1, which determines the action of \( \theta \) on the generators \( e(r) \) of the abelian subalgebra \( \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \). The action on the arithmetic Bost–Connes algebra \( \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \rtimes \mathbb{N} \) is then determined by letting \( \theta \) act as the identity on the remaining generators \( \mu_n \).

This action extends to an action on the Bost–Connes \( C^* \)-algebra \( C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{N} \), which preserves the arithmetic subalgebra. This action is compatible with the time evolution since the action is trivial on the generators \( \mu_n \) so that \( \theta \circ \sigma_t = \sigma_t \circ \theta \).

The group \( \mathbf{mGT} \) is generated by elements of \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \) and the Ihara involution \( \theta \). In fact, we can write arbitrary elements in \( \mathbf{mGT} \) as sequences \( \theta^{e_0} \gamma_1 \theta^{e_2} \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_n \theta^{e_1} \) for \( e_0, e_1 \in \{0, 1\} \) and with the \( \gamma_k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \). The group \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \) acts by automorphisms of the Bost–Connes quantum statistical mechanical system with \( \gamma \circ \sigma_t = \sigma_t \circ \gamma \), preserving the abelian subalgebra. Since the action of \( \theta \) also has these properties, we obtain an action of \( \mathbf{mGT} \) as symmetries of the Bost–Connes quantum statistical mechanical system.

To be more precise, the effect of enlarging the group of symmetries from \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \) to \( \mathbf{mGT} \) implies that we can consider a larger family of covariant representations of the Bost–Connes system on the same Hilbert space \( l^2(\mathbb{N}) \), parameterized by elements of \( \mathbf{mGT} \), by setting, for \( \alpha \in \mathbf{mGT} \),

\[
\pi_\alpha(e(r))\epsilon_n = \alpha(\zeta_r)^n\epsilon_n,
\]

where \( \zeta_r \) is the image of \( r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \) under a fixed embedding of the abstract roots of unity \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \) in \( \mathbb{C}^* \). The isometries \( \mu_n \) from [55] act in the usual way, \( \mu_n\epsilon_n = \epsilon_{nm} \). This change does not affect the generating Hamiltonian of the time evolution, nor the corresponding partition function given by the Riemann zeta function. The low temperature Gibbs states are still given by polylogarithm functions evaluated at roots of unity, normalized by the Riemann zeta function. However, when evaluating zero temperature KMS states on elements of the arithmetic subalgebra, we now have an action of the larger group \( \mathbf{mGT} \) on the values in \( \mathbb{Q}^{ab} \). This action by a non-abelian group no longer has a Galois interpretation as an action on roots of unity, hence it does not directly give us a way to extend the Bost–Connes system to non-abelian Galois theory.
3.2 Crossed product algebras and field extensions

The variant of the Bost–Connes quantum statistical mechanical system constructed in [55] was aimed at merging two different aspects of $\mathbb{F}_1$-geometry: the relation described in [18] of the integral Bost–Connes algebra to the extensions $\mathbb{F}_1m$ of [42], and the analytic functions over $\mathbb{F}_1$ constructed in [53]. The modified Bost–Connes algebra considered in [55] involves an action of endomorphisms on the Habiro ring of [37].

We consider here a simpler variant of the algebra of [55], which will enable us to present naturally the transition from abelian to non-abelian Galois groups in a form that recovers the embedding of the absolute Galois group in the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group as described by Ihara in [41].

Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathbb{Q}[t^r; r \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*]$ be the polynomial algebra in rational powers of a variable $t$. For $s \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ let $\sigma_s$ denote the action $\sigma_s(f)(t) = f(t^s)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Thus, we can form the group crossed product algebra $\mathcal{F}_n \rtimes Q^*_+$.

The subsemigroup $\mathbb{N}$ of the group $Q^*_+$ induces an action by endomorphisms on the subalgebra $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathbb{Q}[t]$ by $\sigma_n(f)(t) = f(t^n)$. Note that, unlike the morphisms $\sigma_n$ of the Bost–Connes system, acting by endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$, which are surjective but not injective, in this case the morphisms $\sigma_n$ acting on $\mathcal{P}_n$ are injective.

Consider, as in the case of the original Bost–Connes algebra, generators $\mu_n$ and $\mu_n^*$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the relations $\mu_n \mu_m = \mu_{nm}$, $\mu_n^* \mu_m^* = \mu_{nm}^*$, $\mu_n^* \mu_n = 1$, and in the case where $(n, m) = 1$ also $\mu_n \mu_m = \mu_m \mu_n$. Consider the algebra generated by $\mathcal{P}_n$ and the $\mu_n$, $\mu_n^*$ with the relations $\mu_n^* f = \sigma_n(f) \mu_n^*$ and $f \mu_n = \mu_n \sigma_n(f)$. The elements $\pi_n = \mu_n \mu_n^*$ satisfy $\pi_n^2 = \pi_n = \pi_n^*$ and $\pi_n f = f \pi_n$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Unlike the Bost–Connes case, the $\pi_n$ are not elements of the algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$ on which the semigroup is acting.

Denote by $\rho_n$ the endomorphisms $\rho_n(f) := \mu_n f \mu_n^*$. They satisfy the relations $\sigma_n(\rho_n(f)) = f$ and $\rho_n(\sigma_n(f)) = \pi_n f$. The map that sends $\mu_n f \mu_n^*$ to $f(t^{1/n})$ identifies the direct limit of the injective maps $\sigma_n : \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ with $\mathcal{F}_n$ on which the action of the $\sigma_n$ becomes an action by automorphisms. This leads to the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{F}_n \rtimes Q^*_+$.

Furthermore, in [55] there was considered the inverse limit $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{P}_n / \mathcal{J}_n$ with respect to the ideals $\mathcal{J}_n$ generated by $(t^r)_N = (1 - t^r) \cdots (1 - t^{rN})$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, which is modelled on the Habiro ring construction of [37]. Here instead we replace $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$ with the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{Q}\{(t)\}$, given by the algebraically closed field of formal Puiseux series $\mathbb{Q}\{(t)\} = \cup_{N \geq 1} \mathbb{Q}((t^{1/N}))$, and the field of rational functions $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathbb{Q}(t)$, respectively.

3.3 The Drinfeld–Ihara hidden symmetry involution

The involution $t \mapsto 1 - t$ maps isomorphically $\mathbb{Q}\{(t)\} \to \mathbb{Q}\{(1 - t)\}$, and as in [41] we denote by $M$ and $M'$, the maximal Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ unramified outside of $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ inside $\mathbb{Q}\{(t)\}$ and in $\mathbb{Q}\{(1 - t)\}$, respectively, with the involution mapping $M \to M'$. The absolute Galois group $G_n = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}\{(t)\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}\{(1 - t)\}$ by acting on the Puiseux coefficients and induces actions on $M$ and $M'$. Moreover, as discussed in [41], the Galois group $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}(t))$ can be identified with the profinite fundamental group $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}, (0, 1))$, where $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}, (0, 1))$ is the free group on two generators.
As observed in [41], the maximal abelian subextension of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \) in \( M \) is generated by all the elements \( t^{1/N} \) and \( (1-t)^{1/N} \). Thus, we can view a slightly modified version of the construction of the crossed product algebra \( \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}_+^\star \) mentioned above as a construction of this maximal abelian extension, just like the original Bost–Connes system can be regarded as a noncommutative geometry construction of the maximal abelian extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \).

### 3.4 Semigroup action and maximal abelian extension

More precisely, we need to modify the above crossed product algebra construction to account for the missing involution \( t \leftrightarrow 1-t \). This can be done by replacing the polynomial algebra \( \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[t] \) with \( \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{Q} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \) and, in addition to the endomorphisms \( \sigma_n(f)(t) = f(t^n) \) considering an extra generator \( \tau(f)(t) = f(1-t) \).

**Definition 3.3.** Denote by \( S \) the non-abelian semigroup given by the free product \( S = \mathbb{N} \star \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). Its elements can be written in the form

\[
\mu_s = F^{e_0} \mu_{n_1} F \mu_{n_2} F \cdots F \mu_{n_k} F^{e_1},
\]

with \( s = s(e_0,e_1,n) \) for \( e_0,e_1 \in \{0,1\} \) and \( n = (n_1,\ldots,n_k) \).

Denote by \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) the two abelian sub-semigroups given, respectively, by \( S_0 = \mathbb{N} \) and \( S_1 = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) where \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) is generated by all the \( \sigma_n, \), \( \tilde{\sigma}_n \) and \( \tau \) defined above.

The following statement is obtained by directly adapting the original argument of [55] as discussed in the subsection 3.2 above.

**Lemma 3.4.** The sub-semigroups \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) define the action of endomorphisms \( \sigma_n \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}_n \) upon \( \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{Q} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \), with partial inverses \( \rho_n \) and \( \tilde{\rho}_n \) respectively.

The direct limits with respect to injective endomorphisms \( \sigma_n \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}_n \) can be identified, respectively, with the crossed product algebras \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},0} \times \mathbb{Q}_+^\star \) and \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},1} \times \mathbb{Q}_+^\star \), where \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},0} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t^{1/r} ; r \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\star) \) and \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},1} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}((1-t)^r; r \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\star) \).

**Proof.** The elements \( \tilde{\mu}_n = F \mu_n F \), with \( \tilde{\mu}_n^* = F \mu_n^* F \), satisfy \( \tilde{\mu}_n^* f \tilde{\mu}_n = \tilde{\sigma}_n f \). Here \( \tilde{\sigma}_n = \tau \circ \sigma_n \circ \tau \) is the semigroup action \( \tilde{\sigma}_n = \tilde{\sigma}_n \circ \tilde{\sigma}_m \) given by \( \tilde{\sigma}_n f(t) = f(1 - (1-t)^n) \). Correspondingly we have \( \tilde{\mu}_n f \tilde{\mu}_n^* = \tilde{\rho}_n f(t) \) with \( \tilde{\rho}_n f(t) = f(1 - (1-t)^{1/n}) \). Together with the description of [41] of the maximal abelian subextension of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \) in \( M \) as generated by all the elements \( t^{1/N} \) and \( (1-t)^{1/N} \) (see also [51]), we then obtain this maximal abelian subextension in the following way.

**Proposition 3.5.** Consider the algebras \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},0} \) and \( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q},1} \) of Lemma 3.4 as subalgebras of \( M \). They together generate the maximal abelian subextension of \( M \).

### 3.5 Semigroup action and non-abelian extensions

We now consider the semigroup action of the full \( S = \mathbb{N} \star \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and the endomorphisms \( \sigma_s \) of \( \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{Q} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \), given by \( \sigma_s(f) = \mu_s^* f \mu_s \) with partial inverses \( \rho_s(f) = \mu_s f \mu_s^* \).

**Lemma 3.6.** Consider the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra generated by the field of rational functions \( \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{Q} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \) and an additional generator \( F \) satisfying relations \( F = F^*, F^2 = 1d \), and \( FfF = \tau(f) \), for all \( f \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \).

The resulting algebra is a group crossed product \( \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), where the \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) action is the Drinfeld–Ihara involution \( t \leftrightarrow 1-t \).
Proposition 3.8. The fields极限divisibility. The direct limit
\[ \text{Proposition 3.8.} \]
\[ \text{The fields } \bar{Q}(\Sigma_s) \text{ form a direct system over the semigroup } S \text{ ordered by divisibility. The direct limit } \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) := \lim_{r \in S} \bar{Q}(\Sigma_s), \text{ with symmetries } G_S = \lim_{r \in S} G_s, \text{ contains all functions of the form } (1 - t^r)^{r'} \text{ for } r, r' \in \mathbb{Q}_+^+. \text{ The resulting action of } S \text{ on the direct limit } \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \text{ becomes invertible and extends to an action of the enveloping group } \Upsilon = \mathbb{Q}_+^* \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \]
\[ \text{Proof.} \]
\[ \text{For all } s \in S, \text{ the morphisms } \sigma_s \text{ acting on } B_Q \text{ are injective. This can be seen by explicitly writing} \]
\[ \sigma_s(f) = \tau^{r_0} \sigma_{r_1} \tau \sigma_{r_2} \cdots \tau \sigma_{r_k} \tau^{r_1}(f), \]
\[ \text{Proof.} \]
\[ \text{The generator } F \text{ is a sign operator since it satisfies } F = F^*, \quad F^2 = \text{Id}, \text{ and it implements} \]
\[ \text{the Drinfeld–Ihara involution by the relation } FfF = \tau(f), \text{ hence we obtain the action of } B_Q \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \text{ as superalgebra.} \]
\[ \text{Consider now the } \bar{Q}-\text{algebra generated by the field of rational functions } B_Q = \bar{Q}(t) \text{ and a set of generators } \mu_n, \mu_n^*, \text{ with relations} \]
\[ \mu_n \mu_m = \mu_{nm}, \quad \mu_n^* \mu_m = \mu_{nm}^*, \quad \mu_n^* \mu_n = 1 \]
\[ \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ as well as} \]
\[ \mu_n \mu_m^* = \mu_{nm}^* \mu_n \]
\[ \text{for } (n, m) = 1, \text{ and} \]
\[ \mu_n^* f = \sigma_n(f) \mu_n^*, \quad f \mu_n = \mu_n \sigma_n(f) \]
\[ \text{for all } f \in \bar{Q}(t) \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Moreover, include an additional generator } F \text{ satisfying} \]
\[ F = F^*, \quad F^2 = \text{Id}, \quad FfF = \tau(f) \]
\[ \text{for all } f \in \bar{Q}(t). \]
\[ \text{This } \bar{Q}-\text{algebra contains the group crossed product } B_Q \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \text{ of Lemma 3.6} \]
\[ \text{and the full semigroup } S = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \text{ In fact the same argument as in Section 3.2 shows that we can describe} \]
\[ \text{this algebra in terms of a direct limit over the endomorphisms } \sigma_s \text{ acting on } B_Q, \text{ where the action} \]
\[ \text{in the limit becomes implemented by automorphisms, so that the resulting algebra is a group} \]
\[ \text{crossed product by } \Upsilon = \mathbb{Q}_+^* \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \]
for an element \( s = s(ε_0, ε_1, n) \in S \), where both the involution \( τ \) and the endomorphisms \( σ_{n_i} \) are injective. The map \( f \mapsto σ_s(f) \) gives an embedding of \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \) inside \( \mathbb{Q}(Σ_s) \). Thus, on the limit \( \mathbb{Q}(Σ_S) \) the morphisms \( σ_s \) become invertible and the field \( \mathbb{Q}(Σ_S) \) contains all the \( ρ_s(f) \) for all \( f \in \mathbb{Q}(t) \). In particular, it then contains all the functions of the form \((1 − t^r)r'\) for \( r, r' \in \mathbb{Q}_+^∗ \).

Remark 3.9. By viewing \( \mathbb{Q}(Σ_s) \) as a subfield of \( \mathbb{Q}\{\{t\}\} \) (or of \( \mathbb{Q}\{\{1 − t\}\} \)), we can identify elements in \( \mathbb{Q}(Σ_s) \) with analytic functions on the region \((0, 1) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ((−∞, 0] \cup [1, ∞)) \) considered in [41], with a convergent Puiseux series expansion on \((0, 1)\), where all the \((1 − t^r)r'\), for \( r, r' \in \mathbb{Q}_+^∗ \), are assumed to be positive real for \( t \in (0, 1) \).

3.6 Quantum statistical mechanics of \( \mathbb{Q}_+^∗ \)

The definition of a quantum statistical mechanical system associated to this construction, relies on the general setting for a quantum statistical mechanics for the group \( \mathbb{Q}_+^∗ \) described in [57], which we recall briefly here, adapted to our environment.

Let \( \mathcal{H} = ℓ^2(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \) be the Hilbert space with canonical orthonormal basis \( \{e_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+^∗} \). For \( r = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k} \) the prime decomposition of \( r \in \mathbb{Q}_+^∗ \) with \( p_i \) distinct primes and \( n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \), consider the densely defined unbounded linear operator

\[
H_{e_r} = (|n_1| \log(p_1) + \cdots + |n_k| \log(p_k))e_r..
\]

(3.1)

The operator \( e^{-βH} \) is trace class for \( β > 1 \) with

\[
\text{Tr}\left(e^{-βH}\right) = \frac{ζ(β)^2}{ζ(2β)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2ω(n)}{n^β}.
\]

Here \( ζ(β) \) is the Riemann zeta function, and \( ω(n) \) denotes the number of pairwise distinct prime factors of \( n \).

Consider the algebra \( C^*_r(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \) acting via the left regular representation on \( ℓ^2(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \). The smallest sub-C*-algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \) of \( B(ℓ^2(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗)) \) that contains \( C^*_r(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \) and is preserved by the time evolution \( σ_t(X) = e^{-itH}Xe^{itH} \), with \( H \) as above, is generated by \( C^*_r(\mathbb{Q}_+^∗) \) and the projections \( Π_{k,ℓ} \) with \( Π_{k,ℓ}e_{a/b} = e_{a/b} \) when \( k|a \) and \( ℓ|b \), for \( (a, b) = 1 \), and zero otherwise. The spectral projections of \( H \) belong to this C*-algebra, hence the time evolution acts by inner automorphisms, see [57] for a more detailed discussion.

3.7 Quantum statistical mechanics of \( S \) and \( Υ \)

In our setting, we consider first the semigroup \( S = \mathbb{N} \ast Z/2Z \). It acts upon the Hilbert space \( ℓ^2(S) \) via the left regular representation. Thus, for \( \{ε_s\}_{s \in S} \) the standard orthonormal basis of \( ℓ^2(S) \) and for a given \( s' \in S \) we have \( μ_{s'}ε_s = ε_{s's} \).

Lemma 3.10. Consider the semigroup C*-algebra \( C^*_r(S) \) acting on \( ℓ^2(S) \) by the left regular representation. The transformations \( σ_s(μ_s) = n^tμ_s \), where \( n = n_1 \cdots n_k \) for \( s = s(ε_0, ε_1, n) \) with \( n = (n_1, \ldots, n_k) \), define a time evolution \( σ_t : \mathbb{R} → \text{Aut}(C^*_r(S)) \). Consider then the densely defined unbounded linear operator on the Hilbert space \( ℓ^2(S) \) given by

\[
H_{ε_s} = \log(n_1 \cdots n_k)ε_s,
\]

for \( s = s(ε_0, ε_1, n) \) with \( ε_0, ε_1 \in \{0, 1\} \) and \( n = (n_1, \ldots, n_k) \), with \( n_i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( n_i > 1 \). The operator \( H \) is the Hamiltonian that generates the time evolution \( σ_t \) on the C*-algebra \( C^*_r(S) \). The partition function of the Hamiltonian \( H \) is given by

\[
Z(β) = \text{Tr}(e^{-βH}) = \frac{4}{2 − ζ(β)},
\]

where \( ζ(β) \) is the Riemann zeta function.
Proof. In order to check that $\sigma_t(\mu_s) = n^t \mu_s$ defines a time evolution as above, we first notice that the assignment $n^t \mu_s = n_1 \cdots n_k$ for $s = s(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \eta)$ with $\eta = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is a semigroup homomorphism $S \to \mathbb{N}$.

In order to see that the operator $H$ is the infinitesimal generator of this time evolution we check that, for all $s \in S$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma_t(\mu_s) = e^{itH}\mu_s e^{-itH}$ as operators in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(S))$.

Indeed, both sides act on a basis element $\epsilon_s$ as $n(ss')^t n(s')^{-it} \epsilon_{ss'} = n(s)^t \epsilon_{ss'}$. The multiplicity of an eigenvalue $\log n$ of the Hamiltonian $H$ is $4P_n$, where the factor 4 accounts for the four choices of $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $P_n$ is the total number of ordered factorizations of $n$ into nontrivial positive integer factors.

Thus, the partition function equals

$$Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = 4 \sum_{n} P_n n^{-\beta} = 4 \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-\beta} \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_k} 1 \right) = 4 \left( 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{n_i \geq 2} n_i^{-\beta} \right) = 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\zeta(\beta) - 1)^k = \frac{4}{2 - \zeta(\beta)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We now consider the enveloping group $\Upsilon = Q_+^* \ast \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We proceed as in the quantum statistical mechanics of $Q_+^*$ described in [57] and recalled above. For a positive rational number $r \in Q_+^*$ with prime decomposition $r = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_\ell^{a_\ell}$ where $p_i$ a pairwise distinct primes and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \neq 0$, let

$$n(r) = p_1^{\lfloor a_1 \rfloor} \cdots p_\ell^{\lfloor a_\ell \rfloor}. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.11. Consider on $\ell^2(\Upsilon)$ the densely defined unbounded linear operator

$$H \epsilon_v = \log(n(r_1) \cdots n(r_k)) \epsilon_v, \quad (3.3)$$

for an element $v = v(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r)$ with $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k)$ with $r_i \in Q_+^*$, $r_i \neq 1$. The operator $e^{-\beta H}$ satisfies

$$Z(\beta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = \frac{4 \zeta(2\beta)}{2 \zeta(2\beta) - \zeta(\beta)^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The eigenvalue $\log n$ of $H$ has multiplicity $4 \sum_{n=n_1 \cdots n_k} 2^{\omega(n_1) + \cdots + \omega(n_k)}$ where the factor of 4 accounts for the choices of $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and each factor $2^{\omega(n_i)}$, with $\omega(n_i)$ the number of distinct prime factors of $n_i$, accounts for all the $r_i \in Q_+^*$ with $n(r_i) = n_i$.

Thus, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) = 4 \left( 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n=n_1 \cdots n_k} 2^{\omega(n_1) + \cdots + \omega(n_k)} n^{-\beta} \right) = 4 \left( 1 + \prod_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i \geq 1, n_i \geq 2} \sum_{n_i \geq 2} 2^{\omega(n_i)} n_i^{-\beta} \right) = 4 \left( 1 + \sum_{k} \left( \frac{\zeta(\beta)^2}{\zeta(2\beta)} - 1 \right)^k \right) = \frac{4}{2 - \frac{\zeta(\beta)^2}{\zeta(2\beta)}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

As in the case of the quantum statistical mechanics of $Q_+^*$, the time evolution on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\Upsilon))$ generated by the Hamiltonian $H$ of (3.3), no longer preserves the reduced group $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(\Upsilon)$ acting on $\ell^2(\Upsilon)$ through the left regular representation, since we have $n(rr') = n(r)n(r')(b,u)(a,v)$ for $r = u/v$ with $(u,v) = 1$ and $r' = a/b$ with $(a,b) = 1$. This implies the following behavior of the time evolution on the generators of $C^*_r(\Upsilon)$.
Lemma 3.12. For \( m = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \) and \( \ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k) \), let \( \Pi_{\ell} \) denote the projection on \( \ell^2(\Upsilon) \) given by \( \Pi_{\ell} \mu_v = \mu_v \) if \( v = v(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \tau) \) with \( \tau = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \) where \( r_i = a_i/b_i \), and \( (a_i, b_i) \) are coprime integers such that \( k_i|b_i \) and \( \ell_i|a_i \).

The sub-C*-algebra \( A_\Upsilon \) of \( B(\ell^2(\Upsilon)) \) generated by \( C_\tau^*(\Upsilon) \) and by the projections \( \Pi_{\ell} \) is stable under the time evolution \( \sigma_t: X \mapsto e^{itH}Xe^{-itH} \) on \( B(\ell^2(\Upsilon)) \). This time evolution acts on \( A_\Upsilon \) by inner automorphisms.

Proof. Consider an element \( s = s(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \tau) \) with \( \tau = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \) and \( r_i = a_i/b_i \) where \( u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( (u_i, v_i) = 1 \). We have

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{itH}\mu_s e^{-itH} & = n(ss')^t n(s')^{-it} \mu_s \epsilon_{s'} = \sum_{m_i|u_i, \ell_i|v_i} n(s)^{it} (m_1 \cdots m_k)^{it} (\ell_1 \cdots \ell_k)^{it} \mu_s \Pi_{\ell}.
\end{align*}
\]

This shows that the sub-C*-algebra of \( B(\ell^2(\Upsilon)) \) generated by \( C_\tau^*(\Upsilon) \) and by the projections \( \Pi_{\ell} \) is stable under the time evolution \( \sigma_t \). As in the case of \( \mathbb{Q}_+^* \) discussed in [57] the spectral projections of \( H \) are in the algebra \( A_\Upsilon \) hence the time evolution is acting by inner automorphisms on \( A_\Upsilon \).

3.8 Quantum statistical mechanics and the Drinfeld–Ihara embedding

We now consider the crossed product algebra \( \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma_S) \rtimes \Upsilon \) introduced in Proposition 3.8, and its quantum statistical properties.

By Remark 3.9, elements \( h \in \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma_S) \) determine analytic functions \( h_C \) in the region \((0, 1)C\) with convergent Puiseux series.

Definition 3.13. Given a function \( h \in \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma_S) \) and the choice of a point \( \tau \in (0, 1) \), we define a linear operator \( \pi_\tau(h) \) on \( \ell^2(\Upsilon) \) by setting

\[
\pi_\tau(h) \epsilon_v = \sigma_v(h)C(\tau) \epsilon_v,
\]

where for \( v = v(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \tau) \), with \( \tau = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \), we put

\[
\sigma_v(h) = \tau^{r_0} \circ \sigma_{r_1} \circ \tau \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{r_k} \circ \tau^{r_1}(h).
\]

Remark 3.14. If the analytic function \( h_C \) is bounded on the unit interval, then for any choice of \( \tau \in (0, 1) \) the operator of (3.4) is bounded, \( \pi_\tau(h) \in B(\ell^2(\Upsilon)) \).

Lemma 3.15. For any choice of a point \( \tau \in (0, 1) \), the operators \( \pi_\tau(h) \) from (3.4) determine an action of the crossed product algebra \( \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma_S) \rtimes \Upsilon \) on the Hilbert space \( \ell^2(\Upsilon) \).

Proof. We let the generators \( \mu_v \) with \( v \in \Upsilon \) of the algebra act on the Hilbert space through the left regular representation \( \mu_v \epsilon_v' = \epsilon_v \epsilon_v' \). It is then sufficient to check that the operators \( \pi_\tau(h) \) of (3.4) satisfy the relations

\[
\mu_v^* \pi_\tau(h) \mu_v = \pi_\tau(\sigma_v(h)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_v \pi_\tau(h) \mu_v^* = \pi_\tau(\rho_v(h)).
\]

We have \( \epsilon_v \epsilon_v' = \mu_v \epsilon_v' \) and

\[
\pi_\tau(h) \mu_v \epsilon_v' = h(\sigma_v \epsilon_v'(\tau)) \epsilon_v \epsilon_v' = \mu_v \sigma_v \epsilon_v'(h) \epsilon_v = \mu_v \pi_\tau(\sigma_v(h)) \epsilon_v'.
\]

The other relation is checked similarly.

The same argument as in Lemma 3.12 then gives the following.
Lemma 3.16. The time evolution \( \sigma_t(X) = e^{iH}Xe^{-iH} \) on \( \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\Upsilon)) \) generated by the Hamiltonian \( H \) of (3.3) induces a time evolution on the algebra generated by \( \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \times \Upsilon \) and the projections \( \Pi_{k,\ell} \) of Lemma 3.12. This time evolution acts as the identity on \( \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \).

Lemma 3.17. Let \( A_{Q,\Sigma_S,\Upsilon} \) denote the algebra generated by \( \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \times \Upsilon \) and the projections \( \Pi_{k,\ell} \), with the time evolution \( \sigma_t \) generated by the Hamiltonian of (3.3). The absolute Galois group \( G = \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts by symmetries of the dynamical system \( (A_{Q,\Sigma_S,\Upsilon}, \sigma_t) \).

Proof. The absolute Galois group \( G = \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts on \( \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \) through the morphism \( G \to G_S = \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}(\Sigma_S)/\mathbb{Q}) \). Extending this action by the trivial action on the generators \( \mu_i \) and \( \Pi_{k,\ell} \), we get an action on the algebra \( A_{Q,\Sigma_S,\Upsilon} \) with the property that \( \gamma \circ \sigma_t = \sigma_t \circ \gamma \), for all \( \gamma \in \bar{G} \) and all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

For \( h \in \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \) we consider as in Remark 3.9 the associated analytic function \( h_C \) on \( (0,1) \). Then, proceeding as in [41], one can consider the action of \( G \) on \( \bar{Q}\{\{t\}\} \) and on \( \bar{Q}\{\{1-t\}\} \) via the action on the Puiseux coefficients. Given an element \( h \in \bar{Q}(\Sigma_S) \), it can be seen as an element in \( \bar{Q}\{\{t\}\} \) or as an element in \( \bar{Q}\{\{1-t\}\} \), since the function \( h_C \) can be expanded in Puiseux series in \( t \) or in \( 1-t \) with coefficients in \( \bar{Q} \). Given an element \( \gamma \in G \) one acts on \( h_C \) with \( \gamma^{-1} \), through the action on the Puiseux coefficients at \( t = 0 \), then takes the expansion in \( 1-t \) of the resulting element and acts by \( \gamma \) on the Puiseux coefficients at \( t = 1 \) of this function. The function obtained in this way is then again expanded in \( t \). The transformation constructed in this way is the element \( f_\gamma \) in \( \hat{\pi}_1((\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\},(0,1)) \) considered by Drinfeld and Ihara.

3.9 Gibbs states

We discuss here some functions associated to the evaluation of low temperature KMS states (Gibbs states) of the time evolutions defined earlier in this section. We start with a simpler case based on the quantum statistical mechanics of \( Q_+^+ \) of [57], and then we move to the dynamics considered in Lemma 3.16.

Lemma 3.18. Consider the Hilbert space \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) with the Hamiltonian \( H_{\epsilon_n} = \log(n)e_n \). Let \( h_C \) be an analytic function in the region \( (0,1)_\mathbb{C} \), which is bounded on the unit interval and with convergent Puiseux series \( h_C(\tau) = \sum_k a_k \tau^{kn/N} \) for some \( N \), at all \( \tau \in (0,1) \). Let \( \pi_\tau(h) \) be the linear operator on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) defined by \( \pi_\tau(h) = \sigma_n(h_C)(\tau)e_n = h_C(\tau^n)e_n \). The corresponding Gibbs state is given by

\[
\varphi_{\tau,\beta}(h) := \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_\tau(h)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_k a_k \text{Li}_\beta(\tau^{kn/N}).
\]

Proof. We have

\[
\varphi_{\tau,\beta}(h) := \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_\tau(h)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_{n \geq 1} h_C(\tau^n)n^{-\beta}.
\]

Under the assumption on \( h_C \), the series \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq -M} a_k \tau^{kn/N} \) is absolutely convergent at \( \tau \) and we can write the above as

\[
\frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_k a_k \sum_n \tau^{kn/N}n^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_k a_k \text{Li}_\beta(\tau^{kn/N}).
\]

We are considering here the polylogarithm function \( \text{Li}_s(z) \) in the range where \( z \in (0,1) \) and \( s > 1 \), hence we have its integral description of the form

\[
\text{Li}_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} z + z \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(s \arctan t - t \log z)}{(1 + t^2)^{s/2}(e^{2\pi t} - 1)} \, dt.
\]
We can estimate the integral by
\[
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sin(s \arctan t - t \log z)}{(1 + t^{2})^{s/2}(e^{2\pi t} - 1)} \, dt \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(s - \log z)}{(1 + t^{2})^{s/2}2\pi} \, dt,
\]
\[
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(s \arctan t - t \log z)}{(1 + t^{2})^{s/2}(e^{2\pi t} - 1)} \, dt \leq \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1 + t^{2})^{s/2}(e^{2\pi t} - 1)}.
\]
Thus, for some positive $A_{\beta}, B_{\beta} > 0$, we obtain, in our range $\beta > 1$ and $z \in (0, 1)$, the estimate
\[
\sum_{a} \text{Li}_{\beta}(\tau^{k/N}) \leq A_{\beta} \tau^{k/N} - B_{\beta} \tau^{k/N} \log \tau^{k/N}.
\]
For $\tau \in (0, 1)$, the entropy function $-\tau \log \tau$ is maximal at $\tau = 1/e$ with value $1/e$. Thus, we further estimate
\[
-B_{\beta} \tau^{k/N} \log \tau^{k/N} = \frac{-B_{\beta}}{(1 - \eta)} \tau^{k/N} \log \tau^{k(1 - \eta)/N} \leq \frac{B_{\beta}}{e(1 - \eta)} \tau^{k/N}.
\]
If $0 < \eta < 1$ is chosen close to 1 and so that $\tau^{n/N}$ is still within the domain of convergence of $\sum_{k} a_{k} \tau^{n k/N}$, then we obtain from this estimate the convergence of the series $\sum_{k} a_{k} \text{Li}_{\beta}(\tau^{k/N})$. \hfill \blacksquare

We now consider the effect of extending the previous setting from $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ to $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*})$, with the Hamiltonian of (3.1).

**Lemma 3.19.** Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*})$ with the Hamiltonian $H$ of (3.1). Let $h_{C}$ be as in Lemma 3.18 and let $\pi_{\tau}(h)$ denote the linear operator on $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*})$ defined by $\pi_{\tau}(h)\epsilon_{r} = h_{C}(\tau^{r})\epsilon_{r}$. The corresponding Gibbs state is given by
\[
\varphi_{\tau, \beta}(h) := \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_{\tau}(h)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \frac{\zeta(2\beta)}{\zeta(\beta)^{2}} \sum_{k} a_{k} \text{Li}_{\beta}(\tau^{k/N}).
\]

**Proof.** We have
\[
\varphi_{\tau, \beta}(h) := \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_{\tau}(h)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \frac{\zeta(2\beta)}{\zeta(\beta)^{2}} \sum_{n} 2^{\omega(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\omega(n)}} h_{C}(\tau^{r_{j}}) n^{-\beta},
\]
where $\omega(n)$ is the number of pairwise distinct prime factors of $n = p_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots p_{\omega(n)}^{k_{\omega(n)}}$ and the $r_{j}$ run over all the $2^{\omega(n)}$ possible choices of $\pm$ signs in $p_{1}^{\pm k_{1}} \cdots p_{\omega(n)}^{\pm k_{\omega(n)}}$. By the assumptions on $h_{C}$, the Puiseux series $\sum_{k} a_{k} \tau^{k/N}$ is absolutely convergent at $\tau$, hence we can write the summation in the expression above as
\[
\sum_{k} a_{k} \sum_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\omega(n)}} \tau^{r_{j}k/N} n^{-\beta}.
\]
We have $\min\{r_{j} \mid j = 1, \ldots, \omega(n)\} = 1/n$, and $\tau^{r_{j}k/N} \leq \tau^{k/N}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, \omega(n)$, hence we can give an upper bound for this
\[
\sum_{k} a_{k} \sum_{n} 2^{\omega(n)} \tau^{k/N} n^{-\beta}.
\]
Where the absolute convergence holds we have
\[
\sum_{n} \frac{2^{\omega(n)} \tau^{k/N} n^{-\beta}}{n^{\beta}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2^{\omega(n)} \log^{\ell} (\tau^{k/N})}{\ell! n^{\beta+\ell}} = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{\log^{\ell} (\tau^{k/N})}{\ell!} \frac{\zeta(\ell + \beta)\ell^{2}}{\zeta(2(\beta + \ell))}.
\]
Since $\zeta(s)^{2}/\zeta(2s)$ is decreasing for a real variable $s > 1$, the convergence of the series above is controlled by the convergence of the Puiseux series $\sum_{k} a_{k} \tau^{k/N}$. \hfill \blacksquare
The more general case is less explicit, but the case above serves as a model for the expected behavior of the Gibbs states. On the Hilbert space $\ell^2(T)$ with the Hamiltonian $H$ of (3.3) and the operators $\pi_\tau(h)$ of (3.4), the Gibbs states have the form

$$\varphi_{\tau,\beta}(h) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\pi_\tau(h)e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} = \sum_{\nu \in \Upsilon} \sigma_\nu(h)(\tau) n(\nu)^{-\beta},$$

where for $\nu = \nu(e_0, e_1, (r))$ with $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k)$ we write $n(\nu) := n(r_1) \cdots n(r_k)$ with $n(r_j)$ as in (3.2). As before, for a fixed positive integer $n$, we have $\min\{\tau \mid n(\tau) = n\} = 1/n$ and $\max\{\tau \mid n(\tau) = n\} = n$. We have $\sigma_\nu(h) = \tau^{e_0} \sigma_{r_1} \tau \cdots \tau \sigma_{r_k} \tau^{e_1}(h)$, where $\sigma_{r_j}(t) = t^{r_j}$. Using estimates of terms of the form $(1 - \tau^r)^{r'}$ by terms $(1 - t^{n(\tau)})^{1/n(r')}$, we can estimate the convergence of the series above in terms of a series

$$h(\tau) + \sum_k \sum_{n=n_1 \cdots n_k} 2^{\omega(n_1) + \cdots + \omega(n_k)} h(P_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(\tau)), n^{-\beta},$$

where $P_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(\tau)$ is the expression obtained as above, majorizing $\sigma_\nu(h)(\tau)$ with the $\sigma_{r_j}$ replaced by either $\sigma_{n_j}$ or $\sigma_{1/n_j}$. One can then, in principle, obtain convergence estimates in terms of Puiseux series in $t$ and $1 - t$.

### 3.10 The group mGT and the symmetries of genus zero modular operad

In this section we show very briefly, following [15] and [16], how the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group mGT acts upon the family of modular spaces of genus zero curves with marked points, compatibly with its operadic structure.

Let $S$ be a finite set of cardinality $|S| \geq 3$. The smooth manifold $\overline{M}_{0,S}$ is the moduli space of stable curves of genus zero with $|S|$ pairwise distinct non-singular points on it bijectively marked by $S$. The group of permutations of $S$ acts by smooth automorphisms (re-markings) upon $\overline{M}_{0,S}$ and in fact, is its complete automorphism group.

The group mGT appears naturally if we restrict ourselves (as we will do) by finite subsets of roots unity in $\mathbb{C}^*$. The operadic morphisms in which $S$ can be varied in a controlled way and are only subsets of roots of unity, are also compatible with re-markings defining the family of all componentwise automorphisms groups.

For further details, see [16].

In [48] and [54] it was shown that the endomorphisms $\sigma_n$ and $\rho_n$ of the Bost–Connes algebra lift to various equivariant Grothendieck rings and further to the level of assemblers and homotopy theoretic spectra. The symmetries of the Bost–Connes system, given by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ in the original version, or by mGT according to Proposition 3.2 above, also can be lifted to these categorical and homotopy theoretic levels in a similar manner. The relation between the group mGT and the symmetries of the modular operad suggests that the same type of Bost–Connes structure, consisting of endomorphisms $\sigma_n$ with partial inverse $\rho_n$ and symmetries given by mGT may also have a possible lift at the level of the modular operad. This question remains to be investigated.

### 4 Quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras

In this section we consider a different point of view, based on quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras. The results of Drinfeld [31] showed that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group GT acts by tranformations of the structure (associator and $R$-matrix) of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras, hence the absolute Galois group also acts via its embedding into GT. We show here that there are systems of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras naturally associated to the constructions we discussed in the previous section. In particular, we show that the Bost–Connes...
endomorphisms $\sigma_n, \rho_n$ on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$ determine a system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras. We also show that there is a system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras associated to our previous construction of the Hopf algebra of dessins d’enfant.

4.1 Twisted quantum double and quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras

Recall the following notions from [30]. A quasi-Hopf algebra $H$ over a field $K$ is a unital associative algebra over $K$ with multiplication $m: H \otimes H \to H$, endowed with a counit $\epsilon: H \to K$, a comultiplication $\Delta: H \to H \otimes H$, an invertible element $\Phi \in H \otimes H \otimes H$ (the associator), as well as an antihomomorphism $S: H \to H$ and two distinguished elements $\alpha, \beta \in H$ such that

1. $\Phi$ satisfies the pentagon identity
   $$\left(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1\right)\Phi = \Phi \left(\Phi \otimes 1\right) = \left(1 \otimes \Delta \otimes 1\right)\Phi = \left(1 \otimes \Phi\right).$$

2. $\Delta$ is quasi-associative
   $$\left(1 \otimes \Delta\right)\Delta(x) = \Phi^{-1}\left(\Delta \otimes 1\right)\Delta(x)\Phi, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

3. $\Phi$ and the counit $\epsilon$ satisfy
   $$\left(1 \otimes \epsilon \otimes 1\right)\Phi = 1.$$

4. The antipode $S$ satisfies the relations
   $$m(S \otimes \alpha)\Delta(x) = \epsilon(x)\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad m(1 \otimes \beta S)\Delta(x) = \epsilon(x)\beta, \quad \forall c \in H,$$
   $$m(m \otimes 1)(S \otimes \alpha \otimes \beta S)\Phi = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m(m \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \beta S \otimes \alpha)\Phi^{-1} = 1.$$

The structure of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra upon $H$ is given by an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$ such that $\Delta^t(x) = R\Delta(x)R^{-1}$, where $\Delta^t$ is the coproduct $\Delta$ with swapped order of the two factors in $H \otimes H$.

The Drinfeld quantum double construction of [29] assigns to a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $H$ a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra $D(H) = H \otimes H^*$, where $H^*$ is the linear dual of $H$ with the Hopf algebra structure with coproduct corresponding to the product of $H$ and viceversa. The quasi-triangular structure is determined by the element $R$ obtained by considering the image of the identity in $\text{Hom}(H, H)$ under the identification of the latter with $H \otimes H^*$,

$$R = \sum_a e_a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes e_a,$$

where $\{e_a\}$ is a basis of $H$ and $\{e^a\}$ is the dual basis.

The construction of the Drinfeld quantum double can be extended from Hopf algebras to quasi-Hopf algebras, in such a way that it assigns to a quasi-Hopf algebra a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. This can be done in a categorical way, as shown in [50]. Namely, the Drinfeld quantum double construction has a categorical formulation (see [29]) by considering the rigid monoidal category $\mathcal{M}_H$ of modules over a Hopf algebra $H$ and associating to it a braided tensor category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}_H)$ which can be identified with the category of modules $\mathcal{M}_{D(H)}$ over the Drinfeld quantum double $D(H)$ quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Since by the Tannaka reconstruction theorem for Hopf algebras, there is a bijection between Hopf algebras and rigid monoidal categories with a forgetful functor to finite dimensional vector spaces, the categorical formulation of the Drinfeld quantum double can be seen as a construction that assigns to a rigid monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ a braided tensor category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ called the Drinfeld double or the Drinfeld center. Using Tannaka reconstruction, $\mathcal{C}$ determines a Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ determines its double category.
quasi-triangular Hopf algebras. It is shown in [50] that the same kind of categorical argument
be applied starting with a quasi-Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \), by considering its category of modules
and then obtaining from the Drinfeld center construction \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{H}) \) a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf
algebra, \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \), the twisted Drinfeld double, by applying the Tannaka reconstruction theorem
for quasi-Hopf algebras proven in [49].

The categorical formulation of Drinfeld quantum doubles can also be used to show func-
toriality properties. Indeed, the functoriality of the Drinfeld center was proved in [44], as
a functor with source a category whose objects are tensor categories and whose morphisms are
bimodules and target a category whose objects are braid tensor categories with morphisms given
by monoidal categories obtained from a suitable tensoring operation of the source and target
braid tensor categories, see [44] for more details.

In particular, we are interested here in the twisted Drinfeld double \( \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(G) \) of a finite group
(\( G \)). It is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra, obtained by applying the Drinfeld quantum
double construction of [29] to the quasi-Hopf algebra obtained by twisting the Hopf algebra
associated to \( G \) by a 3-cocycle \( \omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m) \). More precisely, the group algebra \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) of \( G \) has
a Hopf algebra structure with the coproduct of group-like elements given by \( \Delta(g) = g \otimes g \), and
product given by the convolution product of the group algebra, while \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) has coproduct given
by the convolution product \( \Delta(e^g) = \sum_{g=ab} e^a \otimes e^b \) and product given by the pointwise product
of functions, \( e^g e^h = \delta_{g,h} e^{gh} \). One considers then the product \( \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \) with basis \( e_g \) of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \)
and \( e^g \) of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \). A 3-cocycle \( \omega \in Z^3(G, U(1)) \) for a finite group \( G \) satisfies the cocycle identity
\[
\omega(y, s, t)\omega(x, ys, t)\omega(x, y, s) = \omega(s, y, st)\omega(xy, s, t)
\]
and \( \omega(x, e, y) = 1 \) for \( e \) the identity element in \( G \). The choice of a 3-cocycle \( \omega \) has the effect
of twisting the Hopf algebra \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) into a quasi-Hopf algebra \( \mathbb{C}[G]^{\omega} \), with associator \( \Phi \) given by
\[
\Phi = \sum_{a, b, c \in G} \omega(a, b, c)^{-1} e^a \otimes e^b \otimes e^c.
\]
The twisted quantum double \( \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(G) = \mathbb{C}[G]^{\omega} \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \) is the
extension \( \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \) with the first map given by \( e^g \mapsto e^g \otimes 1 \) and the second by
\( e^g \otimes e^{g'} \mapsto \delta_{g,1} e^{g'} \). The quasi-Hopf algebra structure of \( \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(G) \) is determined by the product and
coproduct
\[
(e^g \otimes e^h)(e^{g'} \otimes e^{h'}) = \theta_g(h, h')\delta_{h^{-1}gh,g'} e^{gh} \otimes hh',
\]
\[
\Delta(e^g \otimes e^h) = \sum_{g=ab} \gamma_h(a, b) e^a \otimes e_h \otimes e^b \otimes e_h
\]
where \( \theta_g(h, h') \) and \( \gamma_h(a, b) \) are given by
\[
\theta_g(h, h') = \frac{\omega(g, h, h')\omega(h, h', (h')^{-1}gh)}{\omega(h, h^{-1}gh, h')},
\]
\[
\gamma_h(a, b) = \frac{\omega(a, b, h)\omega(h, h^{-1}ah, h^{-1}bh)}{\omega(a, h, h^{-1}bh)}.
\]
The quasi-triangular structure on \( \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(G) \) is given by
\[
\mathcal{R} = \sum_{a \in G} (e^a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e_a).
\]

This construction of a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra associated to a finite group was
introduced in [27] in the context of RCFT orbifold models and 3-dimensional topological field
theory. The compatibility between the direct construction described in [27] and the general
categorical formulation of the twisted Drinfeld double mentioned above is discussed in detail
in [50].
4.2 A Bost–Connes system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras

We show here that there is a direct system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras naturally associated to the Bost–Connes datum, by which we mean the datum of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$ together with the endomorphisms $\sigma_n(e(r)) = e(nr)$ and $\rho_n(e(r)) = n^{-1} \sum_{s \equiv ns=r} e(s)$. We also write $\tilde{\rho}_n(e(r)) = \sum_{s \equiv ns=r} e(s)$ for the correspondences considered in the integral Bost–Connes system of [18].

Consider the tensor product $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$. Using the Bost–Connes notation we write $e(r)$ for the basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and we write $e_r$ for the dual basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$. In particular, for a fixed level $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the tensor product $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$ and we still use the same notation $e(r)$ and $e_r$ for the dual bases.

The choice of a 3-cocycle $\omega$ for $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is given by the choice of a representative in $H^3(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, U(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

An explicit description of the representatives is given in [39, Proposition 2.3] as

$$\omega_a(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, g_{i_3}) = \zeta_m^{a[i_2+i_3]/3}, \quad 0 \leq a \leq m,$$

for $\zeta_m$ a primitive root of unity of order $m$. The twisted Drinfeld double $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ of a finite cyclic group, with $\omega$ chosen as one of the representatives of $H^3(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, U(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ above, is then obtained as recalled in the previous subsection.

**Proposition 4.1.** The Bost–Connes endomorphisms $\sigma_n$ and $\rho_n$ determine a direct system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ indexed by the positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ordered by divisibility, with associators related by $\omega_{nm} = \sigma_n(\omega_m)$ and $R$-matrices related by $R_{nm} = \tilde{\rho}_n(R_m)$.

**Proof.** The Bost–Connes maps $\sigma_n : \mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ map roots of unity of order $nm$ to their $n$-th power. They organize the roots of unity into a projective system with $\lim_{n} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. By identifying $X_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}])$ with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the maps above induce morphisms $\sigma_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}] \to \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}]$ that determine the limit algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$ as a direct limit of the $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$. On $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$ the morphisms $\sigma_n : \mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ act by precomposition, $\sigma_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}] \to \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}]$ with $f \mapsto \sigma_n(f) = f \circ \sigma_n$. The group cohomology is a contravariant functor with respect to group homomorphisms, with the restriction map $\sigma_n : H^3(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, U(1)) \to H^3(\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}, U(1))$. Consider then the $R$-matrix of the quasi-triangular structure, given by the element $R_m = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} (e_a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e(a))$.

The image of $R_m$ under the map $\tilde{\rho}_n$ is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_n(R_m) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{b \equiv nb=a} (e_b \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e(b)) = \sum_{b \equiv nb=nm} (e_b \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes e(b)) = R_{nm}. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.3 Dessins d’enfant and quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras

We now consider a similar construction of a system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras associated to the Hopf algebra of dessins d’enfant of Proposition 2.6.

**Proposition 4.2.** The Hopf algebra of dessins d’enfant of Proposition 2.6 determines an associated system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathcal{G}_d)$.

**Proof.** The construction of the quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathcal{G}_d)$ is similar to the one we have seen in Proposition 4.1 associated to the Bost–Connes datum, and relies in a similar way on the twisted Drinfeld quantum double. By construction, the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_T$ of dessins d’enfant of Proposition 2.6 is a connected commutative Hopf algebra, with grading as...
in Lemma 2.5 related to the degree of the Belyi maps, $H_D = \oplus_{d \geq 0} H_{D,d}$ with $H_{D,0} = \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, the dual affine group scheme $\mathcal{G}$ is a pro-unipotent affine group scheme, $\mathcal{G} = \underset{\leftarrow}{\lim} G_d$. We work here with complex coefficients, with $H_{D,C} = H_D \otimes \mathbb{C}$. For a fixed $d \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the product $H_{D,C,d} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We can use this to construct a twisted Drinfeld quantum double $D^{\omega_d}(G_d)$, given the choice of a 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G_d, U(1))$. The functoriality of the Drinfeld quantum double via the functoriality of the Drinfeld center \cite{44}, together with the functoriality of the group cohomology and the categorical construction of the twisted Drinfeld quantum double of \cite{50} then show that the projective system of group homomorphisms between the $G_d$ and corresponding dual direct system of Hopf algebras $H_{D,C,d}$ induce a system of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras $D^{\omega_d}(G_d)$.

We then have two actions of the absolute Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras $D^{\omega_d}(G_d)$. On the one hand, the action of $G$ by Hopf algebra automorphisms of $H_D$ restricts to an action on the $H_{D,d}$ since the degree is a Galois invariant, hence $G$ acts by automorphisms of $G_d$. On the other hand we also have the embedding of $G$ into the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group $GT$, which acts on the quasi-triangulated quasi-Hopf structure of the $D^{\omega_d}(G_d)$, by transforming the pair $(\Phi, R)$ of the associator and the $R$-matrix as in \cite{31}.
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