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Abstract
The paper studies the estimation and the portmanteau test for double AR\((p)\) model with Laplace\((a, b)\) distribution. The double AR\((p)\) model is investigated to propose firstly the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator, design a portmanteau test of double AR\((p)\) on the basis of autocorrelation function, and then establish some asymptotic results. Finally, an empirical study shows that the estimation and the portmanteau test obtained in this paper are very feasible and more effective.

MSC: 62M10; 91G70
Keywords: Double AR\((p)\) model; Quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator; Portmanteau test; Autocorrelations

1 Introduction
In 1982, Engle proposed the ARCH model, and used it to analyze the volatility clustering of the inflation index. Weiss [1] considered a model called double AR\((p)\) as an extension of the ARCH model. After parameter estimation and test he showed that there are various available test means to be used to test this model. The double AR\((p)\) model is an AR\((p)\) model with conditional heteroscedasticity. Then Francq and Zakoian gave an example of weak ARMA model in 1998 and 2000, respectively. Ling [2] applied quasi-maximum likelihood estimation (QMLE) to give a parameter estimation, then used two ways to test the stationary of double AR\((1)\) model under weak conditions, finally presented an empirical study. Chan and Peng [3] presented a locally weighted least absolute deviation estimation for the double AR\((1)\) model and established its asymptotic theory. Wang et al. [4] studied the heteroscedastic mixture double AR model to simulate the nonlinear time series, and proposed some stability conditions of the model. Ling and Li [5] performed the diagnostic tests for non-stationary double AR\((1)\) model. Zhu and Ling [6] proposed the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator for the double AR\((p)\) model, and made a comparison with the weighted least squares under the finite sample condition.

The research of a diagnostic test, often accompanied by the development of a model, plays an important role in the research of the model. McLeod and Li [7] presented a diagnostic test using squared-residual autocorrelation function. Dufour and Roy [8] gave a nonparametric portmanteau test. Monti [9] proposed a portmanteau test based on resid-
ual partial autocorrelation function. Wong and Li [10] made the portmanteau test for multivariate conditional heteroscedasticity model. Francq et al. [11] proposed a diagnosis test method for weak ARMA model. Kwan et al. [12] studied the portmanteau test under the condition of finite sample. Francq [13] aiming at autoregressive models with uncorrelated but non-independent errors made the multivariate portmanteau test. And then Mainasara [14] also made a multivariate portmanteau test for structural VARMA models with uncorrelated but non-independent error terms. Kwan et al. [15] defined two portmanteau tests based on residual autocorrelation function and square residual autocorrelation function, respectively. Fisher and Gallagher [16] proposed a new weighted portmanteau statistic for goodness of fit for time series. Zhu and Ling [17] presented a Ljung–Box portmanteau test based on symbolic function in order to test the properties of ARMA model with fat-tailed noise. Zhu [18] used the random weighting method to make a bootstrap portmanteau test on the basis of residual autocorrelation function and residual partial autocorrelation function of weak ARMA model. Recently, Xuan [19] made a portmanteau test aiming at ARFIMA–GARCH model. Stefanos [20] studied time-varying parameter regression models with stochastic volatility and made a semiparametric Bayesian inference.

The structure of this paper is as follows. The second part focuses on the parameter estimation method and the portmanteau test statistic of double AR(\(p\)) model derived from this method. The quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator and portmanteau test statistic based on residual autocorrelation function will be given in this section. In the third part, there is an empirical study of CSI 800 which applies the portmanteau test to check the double AR(\(p\)) model. Conclusions are given in the final section.

2 Quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator and portmanteau test

In this section, the double AR(\(p\)) model with Laplace(\(a, b\)) distribution will be investigated to propose the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator, establish some asymptotic results, and design the portmanteau test based on autocorrelation function.

2.1 Quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator based on Laplace(\(a, b\))

Consider the double AR(\(p\)) model

\[
y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_i y_{t-i} + \eta_t \sqrt{\omega + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i y_{t-i}^2},
\]

where \(\phi_i \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \geq 0, \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, p, \eta_t\) are independent and identically distributed white noise sequences, \(y_t\) is independent of \(\{\eta_t : t \geq 1\}\) for \(s \leq 0\), and the conditional variance of \(y_t\) is \(h_t = \alpha^2 = \text{var}(y_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = E\eta_t^2(\omega + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i y_{t-i}^2),\) where \(E\eta_t^2 < \infty\). In practice, the estimated value of the intercept \(\omega\) is very small and can be considered as infinitely approaching to zero.

Let \(\theta = (r', \delta')'\) be the unknown parameters of the model, and the true value is \(\theta_0 = (\theta_0', \delta_0')\), \(r = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_p)', \delta = (\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p)'.\) Define the parameter space \(\Theta = \Theta_r \times \Theta_\delta,\) and \(\Theta_r \in \mathbb{R}^p, \Theta_\delta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}_+, \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty), \mathbb{R}_0 = [0, \infty).\)
Let $X$ obey Laplace$(a, b)$ distribution, where $a$ is a positional parameter and $b$ is a scale parameter. After the transformation $Y = \frac{X - a}{b}$ is drawn, $Y$ follows the Laplace$(0, 1)$ distribution. Therefore, we only discuss the situation of Laplace$(0, 1)$ distribution as follows. In order to carry out the calculation successfully, we also need the following three assumptions.

**Assumption 1** \( \Theta \) is a compact set, $\theta_0$ is the inner point of $\Theta$. $\omega \leq \omega \leq \bar{\omega}$ and $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_i \leq \bar{\alpha}_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, p)$, $\omega, \bar{\omega}, \alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, p)$ are positive constants.

**Assumption 2** For $l > 0$ and $E|y_t|^l < \infty$, \( \{y_t : t = 1, \ldots, p, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) is a strictly stationary and ergodic sequence.

**Assumption 3** In the situation of $E\eta_t^2 < \infty$, the median of $\eta_t$ is zero, and it has a bounded continuous density function $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the range of density function $(0, +\infty)$.

Based on the conditions of the three assumptions, in what follows we will derive asymptotic distribution of the estimators.

When $\eta_t$ obeys the Laplace$(0, 1)$ distribution, the log-likelihood function can be expressed as

$$L_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^{n} l_t(\theta),$$

where

$$l_t(\theta) = \log \sqrt{h_t(\delta)} + \frac{|\varepsilon_t(r)|}{\sqrt{h_t(\delta)}}.$$

Let

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \min_{\theta} L_n(\theta).$$

Then $\hat{\theta}_n$ is called the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator of $\theta_0$. It follows from Assumptions 1–3 that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is obtained immediately, and the asymptotic properties of the estimators are derived as follows.

**Theorem 1** If Assumptions 1–3 hold, then

$$\hat{\theta}_n \to \theta_0 \quad a.s., \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

It is easy to obtain the proof of Theorem 1 by using compact set theory, Markov theorem, and ergodicity theorem.

### 2.2 Portmanteau test based on autocorrelation function

Let $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t = \varepsilon_t(\hat{\theta}_n)$ be double AR($p$) model's residual. Then the residual autocorrelation function of lag $k$ is

$$\hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{n-k} \tilde{\varepsilon}_t \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t+k}}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \tilde{\varepsilon}_t^2}.$$
From the definition of the autocorrelation function, we can get the sample covariance function

$$
\hat{\xi}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \hat{\epsilon}_t \hat{\epsilon}_{t+k}.
$$

Therefore, the autocorrelation function of $k$-order can be simplified as $\hat{\rho}_k = \hat{\xi}_k \hat{\xi}_0$. At the same time, let

$$
\hat{\xi}_k = (\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2, \ldots, \hat{\xi}_k), \quad \hat{\rho}_k = (\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_k).
$$

Further, the double AR($p$) model can yield the recursion formula

$$
\epsilon_t(\theta) = y_t - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_i y_{t-i}.
$$

For $\Phi(B) = 1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \cdots - \phi_p B^p$, where $B$ is the back-shift operator, that is, $\epsilon_t(\theta) = \Phi(B)y_t$. Then

$$
\frac{\partial \epsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = (\Phi^{-1}(B)\epsilon_{t-1}(\theta), \Phi^{-1}(B)\epsilon_{t-2}(\theta), \ldots, \Phi^{-1}(B)\epsilon_{t-p}(\theta))'.
$$

Specially, let $\epsilon_t(\theta_0) = \epsilon_t$, the $\phi^*_i$ is coefficient of $\Phi^{-1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^*_i z^i$, when $i < 0$, we have $\phi^*_i = 0$. From the above we can draw the following:

$$
\frac{\partial \epsilon_t}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \epsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{t-i} \lambda_i,
$$

where $\lambda_i = (-\phi^*_1, -\phi^*_2, \ldots, -\phi^*_p)'$.

**Theorem 2** If model (1) satisfies Assumptions 1–3, then it holds

$$
\sqrt{n} \hat{\rho} \rightarrow_d N(0, \Sigma),
$$

where $\hat{\rho} = (\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_m)'$.

**Proof** Let $\Lambda_m = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$. Then

$$
\Lambda_m = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -\phi^*_1 & \cdots & \cdots & -\phi^*_{m-1} \\
0 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & -\phi^*_{m-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -\phi^*_1 & -\phi^*_{m-p}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

is a $p \times m$ matrix, further

$$
\Lambda_m' \Lambda_m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \lambda_i'.
$$
Let \( J(l, l') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t+l} \varepsilon_{t+l'} \varepsilon_{t+l'}) \). Then we obtain

\[
H_{ij} = H(l, l')_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} = \frac{1}{\sigma^4} J(l, l')_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, \infty.
\]

According to Theorem 2 of Francq et al. [11], it holds that

\[
\Sigma = H_{m,n} + \Lambda_n \left[ \Lambda_m \Lambda_n \right]^{-1} \Lambda_n H_{\infty,\infty} \Lambda_n \left[ \Lambda_m \Lambda_n \right]^{-1} \Lambda_m
\]

\[
= -\Lambda_n \left[ \Lambda_m \Lambda_n \right]^{-1} \Lambda_n H_{\infty,m} \Lambda_n \left[ \Lambda_m \Lambda_n \right]^{-1} \Lambda_m.
\]

Now, we will present the limit distribution of the autocorrelation function of the residuals. It follows from Theorem 1 of Francq et al. [11] that

\[
\text{cov}(\sqrt{n} \hat{\zeta}_t, \sqrt{n} \hat{\zeta}_{t'}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{t'=1}^{n} E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t+l} \varepsilon_{t+l'} \varepsilon_{t+l'})
\]

\[
\rightarrow J(l, l') \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

When \( p > 0 \), let \( \hat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_t(\hat{\theta}) \) approximately equal \( e_t(\hat{\theta}) \). According to the above conditions, we have

\[
\hat{\zeta}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t+k} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} e_t(\hat{\theta}) e_{t+k}(\hat{\theta})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} [e_t(\hat{\theta}) e_{t+k}(\hat{\theta}) - \hat{e}_t(\hat{\theta}) \hat{e}_{t+k}(\hat{\theta})] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \hat{e}_t(\hat{\theta}) \hat{e}_{t+k}(\hat{\theta})
\]

\[
\approx R_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} e_t(\theta_0) e_{t+k}(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \left[ e_{t+k}(\theta_0) \frac{\partial e_t(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} + e_t(\theta_0) \frac{\partial e_{t+k}(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \right] (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)
\]

\[
= R_n + \zeta_k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} \left[ e_{t+k} \frac{\partial e_t}{\partial \theta} + e_t \frac{\partial e_{t+k}}{\partial \theta} \right] (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)
\]

\[
\approx R_n + \zeta_k + E \left( \frac{\partial e_{t+k}}{\partial \theta} \right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)
\]

\[
= R_n + \zeta_k + \sigma^2 \lambda_k (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0),
\]

where

\[
R_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} [e_t(\hat{\theta}) e_{t+k}(\hat{\theta}) - \hat{e}_t(\hat{\theta}) \hat{e}_{t+k}(\hat{\theta})].
\]

So there exist constants \( K > 0 \) and \( \rho \in (0, 1) \) such that the following inequalities

\[
\sup_{\hat{\theta} \in \Theta} |e_t(\hat{\theta}) e_{t+k}(\hat{\theta}) - \hat{e}_t(\hat{\theta}) \hat{e}_{t+k}(\hat{\theta})| \leq K \rho^l,
\]

\[
R_n \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} K \rho^l \leq \frac{1}{n} = O_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\]
hold. Therefore,

\[ \hat{\zeta}_k = \zeta_k + \sigma^2 \Lambda_k' (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + O_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \right). \]

In the end, it holds that

\[ n \left( \frac{\hat{\xi}_k}{\hat{\xi}_0} - \frac{\xi_k}{\xi_0} \right) = \sqrt{n} \hat{\xi}_k \sqrt{n} (\sigma^2 - \hat{\xi}_0) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \hat{\rho}_k = \frac{\hat{\xi}_k}{\sigma^2} + O_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \right). \]

The proof of Theorem 2 is finished. □

In financial applications, it is often necessary to test whether some of the autocorrelation functions of the residual are zero at the same time. Box and Pierce (1970) proposed a portmanteau test statistic

\[ Q_m = n \sum_{k=1}^{m} \hat{\rho}_k^2 \to d \mu_m(z) \]

to test

\[ H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \cdots = \rho_m = 0 \]

or

\[ H_1: \exists i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}, \quad \rho_i \neq 0. \]

Ljung and Box (1978) modified the \( Q(m) \) statistic as

\[ \tilde{Q}_m = n(n + 2) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\hat{\rho}_k^2}{n - k} \]

to increase the power of the test in finite samples.

The decision rule is to reject \( H_0 \) if \( \tilde{Q}_m > \chi^2_\alpha \), where \( \chi^2_\alpha \) denotes the 100(1 - \( \alpha \)) percentile of a chi-squared distribution with \( m \) degrees of freedom.

According to Theorem 2, we can directly get the exact asymptotic distribution of the portmanteau statistics.

**Theorem 3** It is true that

\[ \tilde{Q}_m \to d \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i z_i^2, \]

where \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \) is independent \( N(0, 1) \) random variables and \( \xi_m = (\xi_m, \xi_m, \ldots, \xi_m) \) is an eigenvector of \( \Sigma \).
It follows from Theorem 3 that $\bar{Q}_m$ is always a portmanteau test statistic of residual autocorrelation function under the condition of quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator. The conclusion is derived that the double AR($p$) model with the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator can be used to test the diagnostic results of the portmanteau test statistic.

3 An empirical study

To study the law of financial market development, researchers generally select some indices to investigate the features of comprehensive economics and reflect the overall rather than one-sided trend of economic development in order to ensure the conclusions proposed appropriately for most phenomena. The CSI 300 index is one of indexes with these characteristics which can reflect the situation of Chinese stock market.

This article selects recent closing price data of CSI 300 index (399300) from December 1, 2016 to March 16, 2018, 315 sample observations in total. We used statistical software MATLAB to conduct research and analysis. The data can be downloaded from Netease Finance.

It is shown in Table 1 that the skewness is $-1.1129$, the return series is left skewed and the sequence distribution obtained is asymmetric. The kurtosis is 7.5884 $> 3$, and the sequence presented has a high peak. The critical value under the 0.05 significance of the JB statistic is 5.9915 $< 340.2778$. As is shown, the assumption of a normal distribution is not true, and this return series is heavy-tailed distribution.

From Table 2, we can see that the value of $t$ statistic of ADF test and PP test, respectively, is less than the critical value in the significance level in 1%, 5%, and 10%, and $p$ value of $t$ statistic approaches zero. Hence, we can judge the rejection of the original hypothesis. Then we can draw the conclusion that the sequence is a stationary sequence.

We can see from Table 3 that there is no obvious difference between $p$ values. Since $Q$ statistic is zero, it shows that the original hypothesis does not hold in the significance of 5%. And then the return sequence obtained has relevance. For the observation data at different time, the corresponding variance is also different. So, it is of practical significance to test whether the sequence has heteroscedasticity.

From Fig. 1, residual has less fluctuation in November 2017 to February 2018, and fluctuates greatly in January 2017 to April 2017. This shows that conditional heteroscedasticity may exist in the presence of residual. Here, we use square residuals to analyze heteroscedasticity of the residual sequence. The square residuals can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{\eta}_t^2 = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_t^2}{\hat{h}_t^2}.$$
Table 3  The autocorrelation function value and the partial autocorrelation function value of the sequence

| Lag | ACF     | PACF    | Q-Statistic | P      |
|-----|---------|---------|-------------|--------|
| 1   | -0.463  | -0.463  | 67.786      | 0.0000 |
| 2   | -0.068  | -0.359  | 69.232      | 0.0000 |
| 3   | 0.172   | -0.054  | 78.664      | 0.0000 |
| 4   | -0.18   | -0.175  | 88.993      | 0.0000 |
| 5   | -0.036  | -0.253  | 89.407      | 0.0000 |
| 6   | 0.11    | -0.158  | 93.264      | 0.0000 |
| 7   | -0.087  | -0.183  | 95.725      | 0.0000 |
| 8   | 0.039   | -0.146  | 96.224      | 0.0000 |
| 9   | 0.032   | -0.138  | 96.555      | 0.0000 |
| 10  | 0.028   | -0.024  | 96.803      | 0.0000 |
| 11  | 0.036   | 0.092   | 97.237      | 0.0000 |
| 12  | -0.163  | -0.126  | 105.99      | 0.0000 |
| 13  | 0.104   | -0.057  | 109.51      | 0.0000 |
| 14  | -0.004  | -0.014  | 109.52      | 0.0000 |

In Fig. 2 most of the scattered points deviate from the mean, showing that the unspecific shape is around the average. Obviously, the residual sequence has heteroscedasticity.

In order to verify the usefulness of the double AR($p$) model under autocorrelation function, it is necessary to estimate the parameters for the given model under the actual data. The effect of model fitting has a direct impact on the accuracy of data prediction. Thus, model parameter estimation must be carried out firstly.

Regarding the approaches of parameter estimation, scholars put forward many methods of estimation for model parameters, such as moment estimation, least squares estimation, maximum likelihood estimation, and so on. In application, however, the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator is used widely because of its excellent properties. Thus, we use quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator to make parameter estimation in this part.

Since the ARCH model, proposed by Engle [21], has many advantages, the ARCH model has been widely used in the simulation of economic and financial data. Later, the ARCH model has been extended to the GARCH model. The innovation of GARCH model can
be rewritten as an ARMA form

$$\varepsilon_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\max(p,q)} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \varepsilon_{t-i}^2 + \tau_t - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j \tau_{t-j},$$

where $\tau_t = \varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$.

If the AR polynomial in the GARCH model has a unit root, then we can obtain the IGARCH model. Because the IGARCH model has a similar ARCH effect to the double AR($p$) model, through some statistic of IGARCH model obtained we can compare the effect of portmanteau test statistic for the double AR($p$) model. Then we take IGARCH(1,1) and double AR(1) model as a group example. The IGARCH(1,1) model is as follows:

$$\varepsilon_t^2 = \eta_t^2 h_t,$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + (1 - \beta_1) \varepsilon_{t-1}^2.$$

On the basis of quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimation, this paper uses the nonlinear multivariate function to determine the initial value. Finally, we can obtain model parameter estimation: $\alpha_0 = 0.5093$, $\beta_1 = 0.2501$. For $\beta_1$ reflects the correlation between the observed data. This data indicates that the CSI 300 index has a weak sequence correlation in this period. The results show that the volatility of the CSI 300 index has a short duration.

Finally, as is shown in Sect. 2, it is necessary to do a portmanteau test of the double AR(1) model, which is the core of this section. The test statistic of IGARCH(1,1) gradually obeys the $\chi^2$ distribution. When the lag $n = 7$, and the significance level is 0.05, we can draw that $\chi^2(7) = 14.067$, $\chi^2(14) = 23.685$. When the lag $n = 14$, we can get that $\chi^2(28) = 41.337$. The results of the portmanteau test for double AR(1) model are presented in Table 4.
From Table 4, it is obvious that no matter the lag is 7 or 14, the portmanteau test statistic \( \bar{Q}_m \) is always less than the \( \chi^2 \) statistic with different degrees of freedom in the same lag. Therefore, we can judge that the AR(1) model is tested by portmanteau test based on the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood, and thus, the model fitting is reasonable.

4 Conclusions

This paper proposes the quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimator and constructs the portmanteau test for the double AR\((p)\) model of residual autocorrelation function based on certain assumptions. We select a part of the history data of the CSI 300 index closing price data to make an empirical study for the double AR\((p)\) model. The conclusions are as follows:

(i) The CSI 300 index return sequence has weak correlation in the selected time period, with a short duration and no long memory.

(ii) On the basis of quasi-maximum exponential likelihood estimation method, the double AR\((p)\) model is fitted. Then a diagnostic test for this model is conducted by using portmanteau test statistic based on residual partial autocorrelation function. It is concluded that the double AR\((p)\) model is reasonable in practical application.
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