Imaging in dermatomyositis in adults and children
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Abstract

Dermatomyositis is a rare autoimmune disorder in which an abnormal immune reaction against vascular endothelial antigens and endomysium leads to obstructive inflammatory changes of blood vessels within muscles, skin and other tissues. The disease is characterized by involvement of muscles, and less frequently of other systems, including the gastrointestinal tract, heart and lungs. Dermatomyositis may be diagnosed based on a detailed patient history, through clinical examination, detection of characteristic physical findings and certain specialized tests. Additional imaging studies may be performed to aid in the diagnosis. These include magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound of the affected muscles. Magnetic resonance imaging is the modality of choice in the diagnostic work-up and monitoring of dermatomyositis affecting muscles, fasciae, and the subcutis. It may recognize acute inflammatory edematous changes in the affected muscles as well fatty replacement and atrophy. The role of ultrasound to diagnose and follow up muscle echogenicity, vascularity, elasticity and volume during treatment has increased over the last years in both adults and children. Ultrasound is used to discriminate between high and low disease activity, may show features of subclinical disease and may be used to confirm remission.

Epidemiology and clinical presentation

The annual incidence of DM is estimated between 1 and 10 new cases for every 1 million inhabitants, which leads additionally coexist with another connective tissue disease, most commonly with scleroderma and systemic lupus erythematosus, and less commonly with rheumatoid arthritis or, in children, with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). In this situation, the term overlap syndrome is used. In this paper, we review the available literature on the clinical presentation of adults and juveniles with dermatomyositis and describe imaging features of the disease in conventional radiography, ultrasound, magnetic resonance and computed tomography.

Introduction

Dermatomyositis (DM) is a rare systemic autoimmune disorder in which an abnormal immune reaction against vascular endothelial antigens and endomysium appears to lead to obstructive inflammatory changes of blood vessels within muscles, skin and other tissues. DM belongs to the group of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) which according to the recent EULAR/ACR classification criteria, can be classified into six subgroups: polymyositis (PM), inclusion body myositis (IBM), dermatomyositis (DM), amyopathic dermatomyositis, juvenile dermatomyositis (jDM), and juvenile myositis other than jDM.

DM, PM and jDM also belong to the group of rheumatic connective tissue diseases (Tab. 1). Each of them may additionally coexist with another connective tissue disease, most commonly with scleroderma and systemic lupus erythematosus, and less commonly with rheumatoid arthritis or, in children, with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). In this situation, the term overlap syndrome is used. In this paper, we review the available literature on the clinical presentation of adults and juveniles with dermatomyositis and describe imaging features of the disease in conventional radiography, ultrasound, magnetic resonance and computed tomography.
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to a prevalence estimated between 1/50,000 and 1/10,000. It is more frequent in women than in men (sex ratio: 2F/1M). The disease may occur at any age, but has two peak ages of onset: approximately 40–60 years in adults and 5–15 years in children (5).

Increased risk of malignancy has been noted in both genders, with a relative risk of 5.29 for males and 4.56 for females. This increased risk persists even after 5 years following the initial diagnosis of DM (6). The subtypes of malignancies that are significantly associated with DM remain controversial. Lung, ovarian, pancreatic, gastric and colorectal malignancies have been described to be more frequently associated with DM, but heterogeneity may exist and could reflect differences in malignancy risk across different populations (6).

In both adults and children with DM, early signs of the disease are characteristic skin lesions that may precede muscle weakness. However, in up to 40% of patients skin involvement may be the only presenting sign of DM (dermatomyositis sine myositis). Skin abnormalities include a distinctive rash that may be present on the upper eyelids, forehead, cheeks and bridge of the nose (“butterfly rash”/heliotrope rash) or other regions, and Gottron’s papules (3,7,8). Apart from cutaneous involvement, the main clinical symptom is progressive proximal muscle weakness, with coexisting pain, tenderness, and stiffness, first related to muscle inflammatory edema, later to fatty atrophy and muscle fibrosis. Symmetrical involvement of large proximal muscles of the upper and lower extremities and relative sparing of the muscles of the body trunk are believed to be typical of DM in children and in adults (3,9). Nevertheless, trunk muscles are also frequently affected in our practice. Systemic involvement can include cardiovascular, pulmonary (e.g., interstitial lung disease) or gastro-intestinal complications (3).

The symptoms as well as physical and imaging findings associated with jDM are similar to those observed in adult-onset DM. However, there are some differences (3,7,10). The onset of DM in children is usually more sudden and more acute than in adults, and often involves skin manifestations followed by muscle weakness and inflammation. Vasculopathy is considered central to the pathogenesis of the jDM. The exact nature of vasculopathy is not yet understood, but it is a complex process with both inflammatory and non-inflammatory occlusive components. Development of vasculopathy is associated with severe extra-muscular manifestations of jDM, such as gastrointestinal and cardiac complications, interstitial lung disease, ulcerative skin disease or development of calcinosis, and portends a poor prognosis. In patients with GI vasculopathy, associated findings may include abdominal pain, constipation and tarry, black stools (melena) or vomiting of blood due to the development of bleeding peptic ulcers. Calcification of muscles, skin and subcutaneous tissue is more frequent and widespread in childhood DM as compared to adult forms; the deposits have high calcium content and tend to be firm and larger. Calcification and tendinopathic lesions may potentially lead to contractures and localized muscle atrophy. By contrast with adult-onset DM, the disease in children is not associated with malignancy or nail changes. Muscle weakness and impairment may progress to affect other areas, not only typical skeletal muscles, but also, for instance, GI tract muscles, the cardiovascular system.
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(i.e. heart, blood vessels, and blood circulation), or the lungs. Children may also develop a tiptoe gait secondary to ankle stiffening.

Imaging findings

Radiographs

Radiographs in the acute phase may show discrete soft tissue thickening at the proximal parts of the limbs, increased radiodensity of the subcutaneous tissue and muscle, and poor delineation of the subcutis–muscle interface\(^{7–9}\). In the chronic phase, the most characteristic radiographic features are soft tissue calcifications, detected in approximately 25–50% of patients (Fig. 1). They may potentially lead to contractures and localized muscle atrophy. Bone loss of long bones and other complications, such as compression fractures of vertebral bodies or avascular necrosis secondary to steroid therapy, may also be diagnosed.

Ultrasonography

On ultrasound, calcifications may be seen in the locations mentioned above with posterior shadowing. In the acute phase, some researchers noticed increased muscle thickness and decreased muscle echogenicity\(^{11–14}\). However, most published data show that increased echogenicity may be observed in both acute and chronic inflammatory changes in muscles, the latter resulting from fat replacement and fibrosis\(^{13,15–21}\) (Fig. 2). The similar echogenicity of muscle inflammatory edema and fatty involution may limit the ability of ultrasonography to differentiate disease activity\(^{1}\). Muscle atrophy, a late finding in the disease course, leads to muscle thinning\(^{11,21–23}\) (Fig. 3).

In adults with DM, it was found that echogenicity of muscles may normalize with treatment, paralleling improvement in clinical condition\(^{19}\). Habers et al. observed an increase in the echogenicity of muscles in the first 3 months after treatment initiation in jDM before it normalized, while muscle thickness decreased in the first month after treatment initiation\(^{12}\). Also Bhansing et al. found that muscle echogenicity could discriminate between high and low disease activity in jDM\(^{13}\).

Song et al. carried out a sonoelastographic study in 17 patients with inflammatory myopathies\(^{24}\). They scored muscle elasticity using the strain technique and found that the affected muscles in adults and children were much stiffer than in healthy controls. Bachasson et al.\(^{25}\) applied shear wave elastography and found lower muscle stiffness in patients with more severe muscle weakness. This may suggest the potential of shear wave elastography to differentiate between disease activity.

Meng et al.\(^{18}\) used power Doppler ultrasound to calculate vascularity scores in the affected muscles, showing higher vascularity in the shorter duration disease subgroups.

Muscle echogenicity may also be used to monitor treatment effects. In some studies, it remained high despite normalization of muscle enzymes, indicating subclinical disease\(^{17}\). In children with jDM, it was found that approximately 10 years after the onset of symptoms, most patients still had increased echogenicity in at least one muscle group even though they underwent treatment and were believed to be in remission\(^{26}\).

Magnetic resonance imaging

Although MRI is not included as a diagnostic criterion of IIM, it is a reference imaging examination and offers the...
standard in DM\(^9\). MRI can also detect clinically silent involvement of muscle groups or inflamed fasciae.

Whole-body MRI (WB-MRI), which provides a complete assessment of inflammation, is used for the monitoring of the disease course and for the evaluation of treatment effects, including side effects (avascular necrosis and fractures) (Fig. 3)\(^{3,7,9}\). This approach is especially valuable in detecting truncal/axial disease.

Successful treatment prevents muscle fatty atrophy, which is seen as a high signal on T1-weighted images, representing fatty degeneration in the chronic form of the disease, and is a conspicuous marker of damage\(^{3,7,28,29}\) (Fig. 5, Fig. 6).

Computed tomography

Computed tomography is less accurate in evaluation muscle edema/inflammation. It might be considered when the suspected etiology is infectious in order to rule out pyomyositis or abscess formation\(^3\). It is also more sensitive than MRI in calcinosis detection (Fig. 7).

Treatment and prognosis of dermatomyositis

Although there is no curative treatment for DM, long-term remissions are possible\(^{30}\). The disease-related mortality is estimated at 10% in adult patients, with the cause of death mostly being associated cancer or pulmonary complications. Treatment-related complications can also occur, and muscle involvement can greatly impair patients’ quality of life.

**Fig. 4.** Pelvic MRI shows bilateral active myositis of the iliopsoas muscle in an adult patient with known DM

**Fig. 5.** T2-weighted FS MRI of the thighs shows evolution of muscle involvement in an adult patient with DM at the initial stage (A) and after 4 months of treatment with corticosteroids (B), with features of fatty involution despite treatment
In children, there are 3 types of the disease course:

1. Monocyclic, in which within 2 years after treatment initiation there is only one episode with subsequent remission and no relapses;
2. Polycyclic, in which long-term remission periods are interrupted by relapses;
3. With continuous chronic activity.

Treatment of DM is based on glucocorticosteroids (mainly prednisone) or corticosteroid-sparing agents, such as methotrexate (MTX) or azathioprine. Since effects of MTX become visible after 3 months of therapy initiation, especially children with severe muscle weakness (some of them not being able to stand, sit, etc.) may initially require a high-dose prednisone therapy which starts acting immediately. A high-dose glucocorticoid therapy may, however, produce adverse effects, particularly after prolonged use. For that reason, as soon as clinical improvement is seen, corticosteroid doses may be gradually reduced to the lowest possible dose, sufficient to maintain normal enzyme levels and improve symptoms. Biologic therapies (rituximab and anti-TNF) are used rarely, only in difficult, refractory cases.

According to experts, children with DM may generally be able to discontinue prednisone after approximately two years, when an apparent cessation of symptoms (i.e. remission) is noted. An accurate method enabling disease monitoring and especially confirming remission is lacking.

**Conclusion**

Dermatomyositis is a rare, systemic disease, with a number of challenges, regarding both diagnosis and therapy. So far, MRI has been the modality of choice in the diagnostic work-up and monitoring of DM affecting muscles, fasciae, and the subcutis. The role of US as a diagnostic tool in the field of all IIMs has grown over the years to monitor changes in muscle echogenicity, elasticity and thickness during treatment. Continuous developments of MRI and ultrasound are expected to further improve patient management.

**Conflict of interest**

Authors do not report any financial or personal connections with other persons or organizations, which might negatively affect the contents of this publication and/or claim authorship rights to this publication.
References

1. Thompson C, Piguet V, Choy E: The pathogenesis of dermatomyositis. Br J Dermatol 2018; 179: 1256–1262.

2. Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, Werth VP, Pilkington C, de Visser M et al.: 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Arthritis Rheumatol 2017; 69: 2271–2282.

3. Yao L, Rider LG: Idiopathic inflammatory myopathy. In: Pope, Bloem, Beltran, Morrison, Wilson (ed.): Imaging of the Musculoskeletal System. Saunders Elsevier, Philadelphia 2008: 1171–1179.

4. Znajdek M, Gazda A, Gietka P, Wysmolek M, Sudoł-Szopińska I: Juvenile spondyloarthritis and CRMO overlap syndrome in a 16-year old adolescent. J Ultrason 2019; 19: 152–157.

5. Jakubaszek M, Kwiatkowska B, Maślińska M: Polymyositis and dermatomyositis as a risk of developing cancer. Reumatologia 2015; 53: 101–105.

6. Qiang JK, Kim WB, Baibergenova A, Alhusayen R: Risk of malignancy in dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cutan Med Surg 2017; 21: 131–136.

7. Resnick D, Krausdorf MJ: Dermatomyositis, polymyositis, and other inflammatory myopathies. In: Bone and joint imaging. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia 2005: 337–343.

8. Doria AS, Babyn P: Imaging investigation of arthritis in children. In: Weissman BN. Saunders Elsevier, Philadelphia 2009: 428–456.

9. Greenspan A, Gershwin ME (ed.): Imaging in Rheumatology. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia 2018: 316–320.

10. Filli L, Winklhofer S, Andreisek G, Del Grande F: Imaging of myopathies. Radiol Clin North Am 2017; 55: 1055–1070.

11. Reimers CD, Fleckenstein JL, Witt TN, Müller-Felber W, Pongratz DE: Ultrasonography in the inflammatory myopathies: a potential tool for assessment of disease activity in juvenile dermatomyositis and polymyositis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 329–340.

12. Habers GE, Van Brussel M, Bhansing KJ, Hoppenreijs EP, Janssen AJ, van Royen-Kerkhof A, Nijs-Malattia C, Damasio MB, Madeo A, Pistorio A, Providenti A, Pederzoli S et al.: Whole-body MRI in the assessment of disease activity in juvenile dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a follow-up study of long-term sequelae. South Med J 1998; 91: 17–22.

13. Walker UA: Imaging tools for the clinical assessment of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies-a preliminary study. J Assoc Physicians India 2003; 51: 252–256.

14. Noto Y, Shiga K, Tsuji Y, Kondo M, Tokuda T, Mizuno T et al.: Contrast-enhancing lesions in the flexor digitorum profundusflexor carpi ulnaris: a diagnostically useful sign in dermatomyositis. Muscle Nerve 2014; 49: 745–748.

15. Nodera H, Takamatsu N, Matsui N, Morii A, Terasawa Y, Shimatani Y et al.: Intramuscular dissociation of enhancement in the triceps surae characterizes sporadic inclusion body myositis. Eur J Neurol 2016; 23: 588–596.

16. Heckmatt JZ, Pier N, Dubowitz V: Real-time ultrasound imaging of muscles. Muscle Nerve 1988; 11: 56–65.

17. Mittal GA, Wadhwani R, Shroff M, Sukhankar R, Pathan E, Joshi VR: Ultrasonomography in the diagnosis and follow-up of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies—a preliminary study. J Assoc Physicians India 2003; 51: 252–256.

18. Beltran, Morrison, Wilson (ed.): Imaging of the Musculoskeletal System. Saunders Elsevier, Philadelphia 2018: 316–320.

19. Maurits NM, Bollen AE, Windhausen A, De Jager AE, Van Der Hoeven PL, Pillen S: Increased fascial thickness of the deltoid muscle in dermatomyositis and polymyositis: an ultrasound study. Muscle Nerve 2015; 52: 534–539.

20. Song Y, Lee S, Yoo DH, Jang KS, Bae J: Strain sonoelastography of inflammatory myopathies: comparison with clinical examination, magnetic resonance imaging and pathologic findings. Br J Radiol 2016; 89: 20160283.

21. Bachasson D, Dubois GJR, Allenbach Y, Ven beniste O, Hogrel JY: Muscle shear wave elastography in inclusion body myositis: feasibility, reliability and relationships with muscle impairments. Ultrasound Med Biol 2018; 44: 1423–1432.

22. Collison CH, Sinal SH, Jorizzo JL, Walker FO, Mons Ju, Snyder J: Juvenile dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a follow-up study of long-term sequelae. South Med J 1998; 91: 17–22.

23. Walker UA: Imaging tools for the clinical assessment of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies-a preliminary study. J Assoc Physicians India 2003; 51: 252–256.

24. Schulze M, Kötter I, Ernemann U, Fenchel M, Tzaribatchev N, Claussen CD et al.: MRI findings in inflammatory muscle diseases and their non-inflammatory mimics. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009; 192: 1708–1716.

25. Enders FB, Bader-Meunier B, Baldiam E, Constantín T, Doležalova P, Feldman BM et al.: Consensus-based recommendations for the management of juvenile dermatomyositis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 329–340.