Non-Homologous End Joining Plays a Key Role in Transgene Concatemer Formation in Transgenic Zebrafish Embryos
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Abstract
This study focused on concatemer formation and integration pattern of transgenes in zebrafish embryos. A reporter plasmid based on enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) driven by Cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter, pCMV-pax6in-eGFP, was constructed to reflect transgene behavior in the host environment. After removal of the insertion fragment by double digestion with various combinations of restriction enzymes, linearized pCMV-pax6in-eGFP vectors were generated with different combinations of 5′-protruding, 3′-protruding, and blunt ends that were microinjected into zebrafish embryos. Repair of double-strand breaks (DSBs) was monitored by GFP expression following religation of the reporter gene. One-hundred-and-ninety-seven DNA fragments were amplified from GFP-positive embryos and sequenced to analyze the repair characteristics of different DSB end combinations. DSBs involving blunt and asymmetric protruding ends were repaired efficiently by direct ligation of blunt ends, ligation after blunting and fill-in, or removed by cutting. Repair of DSBs with symmetric 3′-3′ protrusions was less efficient and utilized template-directed repair. The results suggest that non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) was the principal mechanism of exogenous gene concatemer formation and integration of transgenes into the genome of transgenic zebrafish.
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Introduction
The mechanism by which exogenous genes are integrated into the host genome has been a major concern of transgene research. In an effort to develop effective methods for site-directed integration and enhance the efficiency of stable transgene integration, researchers have analyzed transgene flanking sequences to identify potential integration hotspots (1,2). However, studies on transgene integration in mammals have shown that integration appears to be a random process and, although sequences in integration sites have some common structural features, no so-called integration hotspots exist. Furthermore, transgenes are prone to forming concatamers prior to integration (3,4,5,6). The integrated foreign DNA appears mainly as random end-to-end concatamers (4,7,8,9,10,11,12). There are 3 types of concatamers: head-to-tail, head-to-head, and tail-to-tail. Head-to-tail concatamers can be stably integrated into...
the chromosomes (9). Head-to-head and tail-to-tail concatemers are observed when the concentration of linear foreign molecules in the host nucleus is high; these types of concatemers are unstable and can change into head-to-tail concatemers (9). In transgenic mammals, repair mechanism associated with double strand breaks (DSBs) may affect transgene behavior, as well (3,5). Studies of transgenic fish have also shown that exogenous genes had consistently integrated as head-to-tail concatemers into the host fish genome and the integration process exhibits characteristics of non-homologous recombination (1,2,12,13). Taken together, those studies suggest that DSB repair is related to the molecular mechanism of concatemer formation during exogenous gene integration in transgenic fish.

Cellular genomes are usually sensitive to ionizing radiation (IR), DNA mutagens, and other physical, chemical and biological factors that result in DNA damage in the form of DSBs (14,15). For example, V(D)J recombination during lymphocyte maturation in the immune system of higher organisms generates a large number of endogenous DSBs (16,17,18). As DSBs are considered to be the most severe form of DNA damage, they must be repaired immediately to prevent cell death (19,20,21).

Cells repair DSBs by two mechanisms: homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (22,23,24). HR is the principle mechanism for DSB repair in bacteria and lower eukaryotes, such as yeast (25,26), while NHEJ is the main mechanism used in higher eukaryotes (27). Although NHEJ is the predominant mechanism for DSB repair in mammals (28,29), it is an error-prone repair process (30,31,32,33,34). Since the NHEJ machinery directly ligates the ends of DSBs, and there is little or no homologous sequence, it frequently leads to the loss or insertion of base pairs (22,26).

The majority of research on NHEJ in zebrafish embryos has concentrated on gene expression patterns and functions of the NHEJ repair complex core components. It has been shown that injection of the Ku70 morpholino does not affect zebrafish embryogenesis, but exposure of Ku70 morpholino-injected embryos to low doses of ionizing radiation results in marked cell death throughout the developing brain, spinal cord and tail (35). In addition, Li et al. found that V(D)J recombination in the lymphocytes of zebrafish was different from that in mammals in that the signal ends were lost and the coding ends did not form circle-hairpin structures (36). Zhong found that early immunoglobulin gene rearrangement occurred during the maturation process of zebrafish eggs; however, there was no ligation of immunoglobulin gene coding ends, presumably because of the instability of NHEJ-associated components in zebrafish lymphocytes (37). These findings suggest that zebrafish have an NHEJ repair complex that is similar to that in mammals. The studies described above, however, did not systematically investigate the characteristics of NHEJ or the molecular mechanism of concatemer formation during exogenous gene integration.

In this report, we characterized the end ligation process and DSB repair via NHEJ in zebrafish embryos. To monitor DSB repair, we constructed a reporter plasmid with a CMV promoter and an enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) coding sequence, having a linker sequence between them. The linker contained pax6in and SV40poly(A) to prevent the CMV promoter from driving eGFP expression. The reporter plasmid was digested with different pairs of restriction endonucleases to remove the linker and generate linearized vectors with non-homologous ends. Linearized vectors were then microinjected into zebrafish embryos, and the ability to ligate and repair different fragment ends was monitored by restoration of GFP expression. In addition, the sequences of 197 junction regions were determined in order to analyze their ability to repair different fragment ends. The results indicated that the NHEJ repair mechanism in zebrafish is similar to that in mammals in that repair occurs through direct ligation of DNA ends, rather than depending on multiple homologous sequences. Based on these results, we propose a two-step mechanism for the integration of exogenous genes via NHEJ repair in zebrafish. Specifically, after microinjection the NHEJ complex would first process DSBs between exogenous gene molecules and form concatemers. As the concentration of exogenous gene DSBs decreases, the NHEJ repair mechanism would begin to skew towards endogenous DSBs generated in the host genome during successive generations of cellular division. Since endogenous DSBs are distributed on various sites in the chromosomes, ligation between transgene DSBs and endogenous DSBs would result in random integration of exogenous genes. We presume that ligases, polymerases and endonucleases related to DNA repair are involved in this process.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish and Microinjection

Wild type zebrafish (AB) were maintained according to the established guide for zebrafish care (38) in a glass aquarium with a circulating water system maintained at a constant temperature of 28°C. The
ratio of illumination time to darkness time was 12hrs:12hrs. Fish handling and embryo generation were performed in accordance with IACUC regulations. Fifteen minutes after artificial fertilization, zebrafish embryos were microinjected with DNA at a concentration of 100 ng/μl.

**Construction of pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmid**

With consideration to the study of NHEJ repair mechanism in mammalian cells (28), our zebrafish NHEJ reporter plasmid, pCMV-pax6in-eGFP, was derived from the pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA). The pEGFP-N1 vector backbone was PCR amplified using reverse primer P1 (5′-GAGCTCGAGAATTCA AACCAGCTCT-3′) with SalI, XhoI and EcoRI restriction sites and forward primer P2 (5′-AAGCTGTAACCCAGCTGGATCC ACCGTT CGCCACC-3′) with HindIII, KpnI, PmaCI and BamHI restriction sites (Figure 1). Underlined text and strikethroughs correspond to the various restriction endonuclease target sequences. The amplified vector backbone was digested with SalI and HindIII (Fermentas, MBI, Shenzhen, China). The PCR amplified 1.2 kb pax6 fragment was digested with SalI and EcoRI, and an amplified SV40 poly(A) fragment was digested with EcoRI and HindIII. The three digested amplification products, vector, pax6 fragment, and SV40 poly(A) fragment were ligated and transformed into DH5α competent bacteria to obtain the NHEJ reporter plasmid pCMV-pax6in-eGFP (Figure 1).

**NHEJ reporter assay**

The pCMV-pax6in-eGFP reporter plasmid was digested with seven different pairs of restriction endonucleases to produce linearized vectors with different DNA ends. The corresponding enzyme combinations were used to generate DSBs: 5′-5′(XhoI+HindIII) and HindIII+EcoRI, 3′-3′(KpnI+SalI), 5′-3′(EcoRI+KpnI), 5′-B (HindIII + EcoRV), 3′-B(KpnI+EcoRV) and B-B(PmaCI+EcoRV), where 5′, 3′, and B denote digestions that result in 5′-protruding, 3′-protruding and blunt ends, respectively. After removal of the insertion fragment by restriction endonuclease digestion, linearized vectors were recovered and injected into artificially fertilized zebrafish embryos, and the fluorescence was monitored 10 hours after sample injection. Ligation of the linearized fragment ends between the CMV promoter and eGFP coding sequence was expected to result in GFP expression and a readout of a functional NHEJ repair mechanism (Figure 2).

![Figure 1](http://www.biolsci.org)

**Figure 1.** Features of the plasmid pCMV-pax6in-eGFP. The plasmid contains a CMV promoter and the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) coding sequence, separated by a linker sequence. The linker contains pax6in and SV40poly(A).
Each linearized vector was injected into zebrafish embryos separately; 10 hours later, twenty GFP-emitting embryos were collected for DNA extraction and sequencing. Each group of embryos were ground separately with a plastic rod, and 500 μL of DNA extraction solution [10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 2.0% (w/v) SDS] was added. Samples were incubated for 3 hours at 65°C to extract the genomic DNA. The fragment between the religated CMV promoter and eGFP was amplified by using the forward primer P3 (5′-AGAGCTGGTTTA GTGAA-3′) targeting the CMV promoter and the reverse primer P4 (5′-TGCGGTCCTCTCTGCTTC-3′) targeting the eGFP coding sequence; the predicted amplified fragment length was 531bp. The amplified PCR fragment was ligated into pMD18-T vector (Takara, Shiga, Japan), and 30 clones from each group were selected for sequencing (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

The mechanism by which exogenous transgenes are processed in zebrafish embryos may require expression of the zygotic gene that processes DSBs; alternatively, residual maternal substances in eggs may be utilized to repair exogenously introduced DSBs. To analyze the mechanism for processing exogenous genes in zebrafish embryos, unfertilized eggs were microinjected with linearized fragments digested with 5′-5′(XhoI+HindIII), 3′-3′(KpnI+SaeI) and 5′-5′(HindIII+EcoRl). Samples were collected 15 minutes after microinjection, and DNA extraction solu-
tion was added. DNA extracted from 20 embryos was amplified with primers P3 and P4 to detect NHEJ reaction products. In addition, 20 unfertilized zebrafish eggs were placed into 1×PBS and triturated with a plastic grind rod. Homogenized samples were incubated with 100 ng of linearized vector digested with 5′-5′(XhoI+ HindIII), 3′-3′(KpnI+Sacl) or 5′-5′(HindIII+EcoRI). After incubation for 15 minutes, DNA extraction solution was added to stop the reaction and extract DNA. PCR amplification with primers P3 and P4 was used to determine if ligation occurred between the CMV promoter and eGFP.

Results

NHEJ reporter plasmid

To monitor NHEJ DSB repair in zebrafish embryos, we constructed pCMV-pax6in-eGFP, in which pax6 cDNA and SV40 poly(A) terminator fragments were inserted between the CMV promoter and eGFP coding sequence to block eGFP expression. The use of SV40 poly(A) terminator was necessary for eliminating background fluorescence from the plasmid; use of a fragment without the SV40 poly(A) terminator did not completely eliminate the green fluorescence expression (data not shown). The pax6 fragment contained a number of restriction sites on each end to facilitate generation of restriction fragment ends with various combinations of 5′-protruding, 3′-protruding or blunt ends. After removal of the insertion fragment through double digestion, ligation of non-homologous ends via NHEJ DSB repair restored GFP expression from the reporter plasmid.

Microinjection of NHEJ reporter plasmid

Zebrafish embryos that were microinjected with the intact pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmid, including pax6 fragment and SV40 poly(A) terminator, did not express GFP. However, embryos injected with pCMV-pax6in-eGFP after removal of the insertion fragment exhibited increased GFP fluorescence (Figure 3). DNA extracted from GFP expressing embryos was amplified with primers P3 and P4 and yielded the expected ~531 bp fragment which resulted from religation of the CMV promoter and eGFP (Figure 4). This result suggested that direct ligation occurred between DSBs in linearized fragments after injection into the zebrafish embryo. In addition, the amplified fragment length demonstrated that the ligation direction between transgenes occurred in a head-to-tail manner.

Figure 3. Zebrafish embryos were microinjected with circular type plasmid pCMV-pax6in-eGFP and linearized plasmid which had the insertion fragment removed from between the CMV promoter and the eGFP reporter gene. A: Zebrafish embryos microinjected with circular type plasmid pCMV-pax6in-eGFP (no fluorescence was observed). B: Zebrafish embryos microinjected with linearized plasmid which had the insertion fragment removed from between the CMV promoter and the eGFP reporter gene in the pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmid. Re-expression of eGFP was observed as the embryo emitted fluorescence.
Characteristics of DSB repair in zebrafish embryos

The pCMV-pax6in-eGFP reporter plasmid was digested with seven different pairs of restriction enzymes to generate seven groups of linearized vectors with different forms of DSBs. Following microinjection into zebrafish embryos, DNA was extracted and sequenced, yielding 197 sequences that crossed the junction site of the CMV promoter and eGFP. We found that the majority of linearized vectors were directly ligated and formed head-to-tail junctions; only a minority of the ends resulted in recombination through as few as one or even no base pair homology.

From the 197 PCR products, we were able to deduce the repair mechanism for different pairs of blunt and/or protruding ends. The characteristics of junction region formation were typical of the NHEJ repair mechanism. According to the ends formed, linearized vectors could be divided into groups with blunt ends, asymmetrical protruding ends and symmetrical protruding ends (Figures 5 and 6). For linearized vectors with blunt ends and asymmetrical ends, the primary repair mechanisms were direct blunt-end ligation, ligation after blunting of protruding ends or fill-in and removal post-ligation (shown with green numbers) (Figure 5). In most cases, ligation was homology-independent and the terminal sequences were kept intact, i.e. no additional bases were added or deleted on the basis of terminal sequences. For DSBs with two blunt ends, B-B(PmaCl+EcoRV), 89.5% of the ends were found to have been directly ligated, while linearized vectors with one blunt and one protruding 5′ end also exhibited 86% direct ligation. The amount of direct ligation for 3′-B(KpnI+EcoRV) and 5′-3′(EcoRI+KpnI) combinations was lower for both (60% and 53.3%), and in this two linearized vectors contained KpnI 3′-protruding ends; the proportions followed incorrect pairing between corresponding base pairs (shown with red numbers) were quite high, reaching 30% and 43.3%, respectively.

For linearized vectors generated with symmetrical protruding ends, 5′-5′(XhoI+HindIII) and 5′-5′(EcoRI+HindIII) (Figure 6), 47.8% (11/23) and 30% (9/30) of the ends were repaired, respectively, by direct ligation of blunt ends following fill-in. In addition, repair after ligation involved base deletion in 17.4% (4/23) and 43.3% (13/30) of the cases, respectively. On the contrary, ligation after end blunting and fill-in or removal by excision repair post-ligation was not observed for linearized vectors with symmetrical 3′-protruding ends, 3′-3′(KpnI+SacI). The majority of ligation types for this type of vector was template-directed repair and depended on microhomology of terminal bases. Following pairing of complementary bases, extra bases were excised, and the ends were finally religated; 84.6% (22/26) were ligated with the correct base pairing (shown with orange numbers) compared to 15.4% (4/26) with incorrect base pairing. The above results indicated that 3′-protruding ends were quite active in ligation reactions and the repair proportion of correct base pairing in this process was high, suggesting that the invasion of free radical -OH at the 3′ end required highly homologous base pairs.

Figure 4. Gel electrophoresis of the PCR products amplified from the genomes of six fluorescent zebrafish embryos which were microinjected with linearized pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmids. A band of 531 bp length was amplified from all the fluorescent zebrafish embryo genomes, which represented the expected product from a religation event between the CMV promoter and eGFP. Lanes 1-6: PCR products of the amplified beta-actin gene, used for genome quality control. Lanes 1′-6′: PCR products of the junction sites between the CMV promoter and eGFP. -: negative control in which the template was the wild type zebrafish genome DNA. +: positive control in which the template was circular type pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmid. M: DNA molecular weight marker.
### A

|  | EcoRV | Sac I | EcoRI |
|---|---|---|---|
| PmaC I  | T  | C   | G   |
| BamH I  | T  | C   | G   |

### B

|  | EcoRV | Sac I | EcoRI |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hind III | A | T | G |
| Kpn I | C | G |
| PmaC I  | T  | A   | G   |
| BamH I  | T  | A   | G   |

http://www.biolsci.org
Figure 5. Sequence analysis of the junction site between CMV and eGFP isolated from transgenic zebrafish embryos microinjected with blunt-end (PmaCl+EcoRV) and asymmetrical end (HindIII+EcoRV, KpnI+EcoRV, KpnI+EcoRI) linearized vectors. Nucleotide sequences of the ends of the indicated substrates are shown along the axes. DNA strands are illustrated in the 5'-3' direction (from bottom to top and from left to right). Arabic numerals indicate the position of the junction, occurrence frequency of specific ligation types. Ligation types are shown with different colours: direct ligation of blunt-end and ligation after blunting of protruding ends, or fill-in and removal by excision post-ligation are shown with green numbers; correct base-pairings following repair are shown with orange numbers; incorrect base-pairings following repair attempt are shown with red numbers; blue numbers represent the repair of bases where more than 15 bps were lost following base repair. The nucleotide sequence of these junctions starts with the last protruding or extension nucleotide of the left enzyme and the first protruding or extension nucleotide of the upper enzyme. For example, the green number 18 shown in boldface in KpnI+EcoRV indicates the type of this junction site is direct ligation, and it appeared 18 times in a total of 30 sequenced DNA ends; the sequence of this junction site is 5’-GTACATG-3’. This denotation scheme is preserved in Figure 6.
### A

| HindIII + Xho I | Xho I | EcoR I |
|-----------------|-------|--------|
|                 | T C G A | C T T A A G 3' |
| Hind III        |       | A 11 | 2 |
|                 |       | G 3 | 1 |
|                 |       | C    | 1 |
|                 |       | T    | 1 |
|                 |       | AT   | 4 |
| Kpn I           | C G   |       |   |
|                 | C G   |       |   |
|                 | A T   |       |   |
| PmaC I          | G C   |       |   |
|                 | G C   |       |   |
|                 | T A   |       |   |
|                 | G C   |       |   |
|                 | C G   |       |   |
|                 | AT    |       |   |
| BamH I          | C G   |       |   |
|                 | C G   |       |   |
|                 | T A   |       |   |
|                 | G C   |       |   |
|                 | G C   |       |   |
|                 | S' 3' |       |   |

### B

| Kpn I + Sac I | Sac I | Xho I | EcoR I |
|---------------|-------|-------|--------|
|               |       | T C G A | A T T C 3' |
| Kpn I         | C     |       | 17     |
|               | A     |       | 3      |
|               | T     |       |       |
| PmaC I        | G C   |       | 1      |
|               | T A   |       |       |
|               | G C   |       | 1      |
|               | C G   |       |       |
|               | A T   |       |       |
| BamH I        | C G   |       |       |
|               | C G   |       |       |
|               | T A   |       |       |
|               | AT    |       | 2      |
|               | G C   |       |       |
|               | G C   |       |       |
|               | S' 3' |       |       |

http://www.biolsci.org
Based on the junction sites of head-to-tail-ligated junctions formed by the repair of seven different kinds of DSBs, we divided repair types into two classes: (I) terminal base homology-independent repair, including direct ligation of blunt ends, ligation after blunting of protruding ends and fill-in or removal by excision post-ligation of protruding ends; and (II) terminal base homology-dependent repair, which included ligation following template-directed repair. The first repair type acted on all DSB combinations except for the 3′-3′ type, which were primarily repaired by the second mechanism. In addition, template-directed repair could be further divided into two groups, depending on whether bases were correctly or incorrectly paired. The repair mechanism for each type of DSB combination is summarized in Table 1.

**NHEJ complex pre-exist in zebrafish unfertilized eggs**

To test if the machinery for NHEJ was present in unfertilized eggs, zebrafish eggs were injected with linearized vectors, or homogenates of unfertilized eggs were incubated with linearized vectors. The DNA from both cases was extracted and amplified with primers P3 and P4. In both cases, the amplified PCR product corresponded to the re-ligation between the CMV promoter and eGFP (Figure 7). This result suggested that the NHEJ machinery for repairing DSBs was present in the mature eggs and was not a result of embryogenesis.

Figure 6. Sequence analysis of the junction site between CMV and eGFP isolated from transgenic zebrafish embryos microinjected with symmetrical end (HindIII+XhoI, HindIII+EcoRI, KpnI+SacI) linearized vectors.
Table 1. Ligation types of the seven groups of different DNA end joining

| DSBS type                      | NHEJ repair type and proportion |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Direct ligation of blunt ends, ligation after blunting of protruding ends and fill-in or cut-off after ligation | Template-directed repair (correct base pairing) | Others (incorrect base pairing and base loss of more than 15 bp) |
| XhoI+EcoRI(5′-5′)              | 11/23 (ligation after fill-in) +4/23 (excision after ligation) [65.0%] | 4/23 (17.5%) | 4/23 (17.5%) |
| EcoRI+EcoRI(5′-5′)             | 9/30 (ligation after fill-in) +6/30+7/30 (excision after ligation) [73.5%] | 2/30 (6.7%) | 6/30 (20.0%) |
| HindIII+EcoRV(5′-B′)           | 25/29 (ligation after fill-in) [86.0%] | 0 | 4/29 (14.0%) |
| EcoRV+PmaC1(5′-B′)             | 26/29 (direct ligation) [89.5%] | 0 | 3/29 (10.5%) |
| EcoRV+KpnI(5′-3′)              | 18/30 (fill-in after ligation) [60.0%] | 2/30 (6.7%) | 10/30 (33.3%) |
| KpnI+Sacl(3′-3′)               | 0 | 22/26 (84.6%) | 4/26 (15.4%) |
| KpnI+EcoRI(3′-5′)              | 16/30 (fill-in after ligation) [53.3%] | 0 | 14/30 (46.7%) |

Figure 7. NHEJ effects in unfertilized zebrafish eggs. 1, 4, 7 junction site PCR product amplified from the genomes of fluorescent embryos microinjected with linearized DNA. 2, 5, 8 junction site PCR product amplified from homogenates of unfertilized eggs incubated with linearized DNA. 3, 6, 9 linearized vector used as the PCR template. 1-3: XhoI+EcoRI linearized DNA; 4-6: KpnI+Sacl linearized DNA; 7-9: HindIII+EcoRI linearized DNA. M: DNA molecular weight marker. DNA, 10: template was the wild type zebrafish genome. +: template was the pCMV-pax6in-eGFP plasmid. A band of 531 bp length was amplified from all the fluorescent zebrafish embryo genomes, which was the expected product for the event of religation between the CMV promoter and eGFP.

Discussion

In our previously published studies on the F4 hGH-transgenic common carp, the integration pattern and host sequences adjacent to the inserted pMThGH-transgene were extensively studied by using methods of plasmid rescue and Southern analysis. We found that the transgenes had consistently integrated into the host genome with concatamers (1-2). Many other researchers have previously reported that linearized exogenous genes form concatamers prior to integration into the host genome when used in microinjection (3-8). Many studies have explained the mechanism of transgene integration, but the mechanisms of concatamer formation have not yet been clearly elucidated. Studies of transgenic fish have shown that the integration process of exogenous genes shows characteristics of non-homologous recombination (1,2,12,13).

This study analyzed the sequence characteristics of 197 PCR products isolated from transgenic zebrafish embryos, all of the sequence in the junction region examined was the product of direct ligation, a typical characteristic of NHEJ repair. This indicated that NHEJ plays an important role in concatamer formation of DSB repair in zebrafish. In contrast to repair mechanisms in mammals, the efficiency of single-strand ligation was quite high. These results suggest that although the NHEJ repair mechanism in zebrafish appears to be similar to that in higher eukaryotes, it also retains some distinct characteristics.

Linearized vectors with double blunt ends showed the highest frequency of ligation without base pair insertions or deletions, and appeared to be the optimal substrate for NHEJ repair.

The repair ratio of substrates containing 3′-protruding ends, especially those with 3′-3′, was sufficiently less than the corresponding ligation of B-B and 5′-B. The results suggested that the presence of a 3′ end may reduce the efficiency of the direct ligation reaction.

It is technically challenging to treat 3′-protruding ends with polymerase, but 5′-protruding ends are receptive to polymerase activity to fill-in overhangs.
This may be the reason why 3'- and 5'-protruding ends have different effects on the DSB repair efficiency (39). On the other hand, the free 5'-PO$_4$ group from the protruding end base appeared to be more prone to attack and subsequent direct ligation with the vicinal 3'-OH group; but, when the 5'-PO$_4$ group was absent, the 3'-OH group preferentially served as the primer for polymerase. Since direct ligation of DSBs is the main target of the NHEJ repair mechanism, our results support the hypothesis that NHEJ is the principal mechanism for exogenous gene concatemer formation and integration of transgenes into host genome in zebrafish.

The correction rate for NHEJ repair of asymmetric protruding ends in zebrafish is quite high, and the proportions of base loss and insertion are quite small. This feature is consistent with the results for NHEJ repair in _Kluyveromyces lactis_, as reported by Kegel et al. (22). However, the repair efficiencies without nucleotide deletion and insertion in the three combinations we examined, 5'-5'(_HindIII_+ _EcoRI_ and _XhoI+_ _HindIII_ ) and 3'-3'(_KpnI+_ _SalI_), was significantly less when compared with that of asymmetric protruding end and blunt-end combinations, suggesting that when polarity of protruding ends is the same, the ligation reaction may be hindered and result in base loss or insertion through other NHEJ processes.

Although 5'-5' protruding ends displayed no ligation effects after end fill-in or cleavage in mammalian cells (3), our study found that the efficiencies of ligation after protruding end cleavage were higher than 30%. In addition, the proportion of fill-in and cleavage events following joining of 5'-5' protruding ends was significantly smaller in zebrafish, as compared to that in mammals.

Researchers have hypothesized that significant differences exist between the NHEJ complexes of zebrafish and mammals (35,36,37). Our results indicated that there was, at least, a small divergence among the NHEJ repair pathways of zebrafish and mammals.

The proper repair events we observed for linearized vectors injected into unfertilized eggs or incubated with homogenates of unfertilized eggs indicated that the NHEJ complex is present and functional in zebrafish eggs, and it can mediate the ligation of exogenous DSB ends. In addition, we predict that this NHEJ complex also plays a principal role in repairing the large quantity of endogenous DSBs generated by the rapid cleavage rate that occurs in the early stage of zebrafish embryogenesis.

Similar conclusions have been obtained from concatemer type and transgene integration patterns in three-year-old P0 common carp tissues expressing an antisense sGnRH transgene (40). In those studies, we found that concatamers were the primary form of transgenes in the genome, and the ligation type mediating concatemer formation was similar to what we found in zebrafish here (data not shown).

Taken together, the above data demonstrated that fish utilize a DSB repair mechanism that is similar to that used by mammals. Since DSB-directed ligation appears to be the main form of NHEJ repair mechanism, our results indicate that NHEJ is the principal mechanism for exogenous gene concatemer formation and integration of transgenes into the host genome of zebrafish. We propose the following two-step model to explain these mechanisms.

The NHEJ repair components functioning in zebrafish embryos could repair DSBs with high efficiency, even _in vitro_. The extremely high concentration of exogenous genes microinjected into zebrafish embryos would result in large accumulations of DSBs. Following microinjection, the NHEJ complex would first process DSBs between exogenous gene molecules and form concatamers. As the concentration of exogenous gene DSBs decreases, the NHEJ repair mechanism would begin to skew towards endogenous DSBs generated in the host genome during successive generations of cellular division. Since endogenous DSBs are distributed on various sites in the chromosomes, ligation between transgene DSBs and endogenous DSBs would result in random integration of exogenous genes.

Foreign genes introduced by microinjection inevitably form concatemers and randomly integrate into the host chromosomes. Many studies have shown that the transgenes are efficiently expressed, only with fewer integrated copy numbers of the transgene and allowing for their stable transmission in the germ-line of fish. Our results suggest that it is necessary to modify the end of a transgene before microinjection, in order to prevent concatamer formation and increase the integration efficiency and expression level of the transgene.
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