More than fishing in the dark: PCR of a dispersed sequence produces simple but ultrasensitive Wolbachia detection
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Abstract

Background: Detecting intracellular bacterial symbionts can be challenging when they persist at very low densities. Wolbachia, a widespread bacterial endosymbiont of invertebrates, is particularly challenging. Although it persists at high titers in many species, in others its densities are far below the detection limit of classic end-point Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). These low-titer infections can be reliably detected by combining PCR with DNA hybridization, but less elaborate strategies based on end-point PCR alone have proven less sensitive or less general.

Results: We introduce a multicopy PCR target that allows fast and reliable detection of A-supergroup Wolbachia - even at low infection titers - with standard end-point PCR. The target is a multicopy motif (designated ARM: A-supergroup repeat motif) discovered in the genome of wMel (the Wolbachia in Drosophila melanogaster). ARM is found in at least seven other Wolbachia A-supergroup strains infecting various Drosophila, the wasp Muscidifurax and the tsetse fly Glossina. We demonstrate that end-point PCR targeting ARM can reliably detect both high- and low-titer Wolbachia infections in Drosophila, Glossina and interspecific hybrids.

Conclusions: Simple end-point PCR of ARM facilitates detection of low-titer Wolbachia A-supergroup infections. Detecting these infections previously required more elaborate procedures. Our ARM target seems to be a general feature of Wolbachia A-supergroup genomes, unlike other multicopy markers such as insertion sequences (IS).
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Background

Detecting endosymbionts such as the widespread alpha-proteobacterium Wolbachia in its host cell environment requires reliable and ideally simple but still sensitive molecular marker systems. When such bacteria are present at high titers, classic end-point PCR is sufficient to unambiguously determine infection status of an unknown specimen. Particularly for Wolbachia, a quite comprehensive set of diagnostic PCR markers has been developed and applied successfully. The most commonly used among these makers is the multi locus sequence typing (MLST) system [1-3] and the four hypervariable regions (HVRs) of the Wolbachia outer surface protein gene wsp [4,5]. Both MLST, comprising a set of five singlecopy Wolbachia genes, and the wsp locus were demonstrated to be highly useful for Wolbachia infection determination and consequent diversity assessment. However, those marker systems are limited if the endosymbiont persists at very low titers within the host, either only during a certain ontogenetic stage [6] or throughout all life stages. In both cases proper detection of the endosymbiont is hindered and this points towards the need of an alternative strategy for efficient, robust and fast Wolbachia detection. One approach to address this issue is to use multicopy Wolbachia gene markers for PCR analyses. Particularly insertion sequences (IS; [7,8]) represent a good strategy to increase the detection threshold [9,10]. However, this approach relies on the conservation of such elements and their copy-numbers in diverse strains, which might not be the case over longer evolutionary distances due to the mobile nature of these elements. Another
approach to cope with the detection problem introduced by low-titer infections is ‘nested PCR’. This method might help to increase the detection threshold but is also highly prone to contamination [6]. A third strategy combines standard PCR with consequent hybridization [6,11,12], which increases overall detection limit by four orders of magnitude [6]. On the other hand, this is an elaborate and time-consuming technique. Hence, we set out to find a more sensitive marker for detection of low-titer Wolbachia infections using standard PCR and identified ARM as such a simple but ‘ultra-sensitive’ marker for A-supergroup Wolbachia.

Results and discussion
Identification of a multicopy marker associated with tandem repeats in A-supergroup Wolbachia genomes (ARM)
To find a marker that serves a highly sensitive detection method of low-titer Wolbachia strains we identified multicopy regions in the A-supergroup wMel genome (Wolbachia of Drosophila melanogaster; GenBank NC_002978). An intergenic region of 440 bp associated with the recently described hypervariable tandem repeat region (Figure 1; [13]) was the most promising candidate, hereafter called ARM (A-supergroup repeat motif) as it was found in 24 almost identical copies dispersed throughout the wMel genome (Additional file 1). However, for a marker to be useful as a general tool it also needs to be conserved and present in multiple copies in other strains and we therefore used the wMel repeat sequence to search an additional 13 draft and complete Wolbachia genomes from four different Wolbachia supergroups for the same sequence. We were able to identify the presence of the repeat in seven A-supergroup Wolbachia genomes (wHa, wRi, wWil, wAna, wUni, wSuzi and wGmm; see Table 1), albeit in variable copy numbers. In the Drosophila associated Wolbachia strains, the copy numbers were around 20 per genome (Table 1), whereas the other two A-supergroup genomes (wUni and wGmm) contained about half the amount of copies. Low number of hits in wUni is most likely explained by the incomplete status of the genome resulting in an underestimation of the actual copy number. In the B- (wNo, wVitB, wPip), C- (wOo, wOv), and D-supergroup (wBm) genomes, ARM was not found. Even though some of the genomes in supergroups B, C, and D are incomplete, the total absence of the repeat in all genomes from these supergroups suggests that this motif might be Wolbachia A-supergroup specific. Additionally, VNTR-tandem repeats associated with ARM in A-supergroup infections are also absent.

Figure 1 Schematic presentation of ARM. (A) Position of ARM in association with VNTR-105 locus plus flanking regions in the wMel genome (GenBank NC_002978). Scheme for VNTR-105 repeat region was adapted from [13] (see this publication for detailed description of VNTR-105 structural features). Black arrows indicate the full 105 bp core repeat segment. Dashed box represents a disrupted segment. ARM (highlighted in yellow) is located within the intergenic region containing the VNTR-105 repeat region. ARM plus repeat region are flanked by WD_1129 (red; NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, putative) on the 5′-prime end and WD_1131 (green; conserved hypothetical protein, degenerate) on the 3′-prime end. (B) Detailed scheme of ARM. The 315 bp PCR amplicon is generated by primer ARM-F (21-mer) and ARM-R (18-mer). Both primers are displayed above and below the PCR amplicon (indicated in yellow).
from genomes of B- to D-supergroups, further indicating that this feature might indeed be A-supergroup specific.

**ARM facilitates detection of low-titer Wolbachia from A-supergroup**

ARM-targeting primer were tested via end-point PCR screen on DNA from high- and low-titer *Wolbachia* infections in *Drosophila* and Glossina (tsetse fly) species (Additional file 2). As shown in Figure 2, the classic Wolbachia singlecopy gene marker *wsp* (Wolbachia outer surface protein gene) is only applicable for samples with high-titer infections, since *Wolbachia* was only detected in high-titer *D. paulistorum* Orinocan semispecies (OR, Figure 2A) as well as in *D. willistoni* (*Dw*+, Figure 2B), *D. melanogaster* (*Dm*+, Figure 2B), *D. simulans* (Ds+, Figure 2B) and *Glossina* *morsitans* morisitans (*Gmm*, Figure 2B). The *wsp* primer failed to detect *Wolbachia* in low-titer strains like *D. paulistorum* Amazonian (AM) and Centroamerican (CA) semispecies plus *Glossina swynnertonii* (Figure 2A,B), indicating that a singlecopy gene like *wsp* is not suited for tracking low-titer infections. As multicopy gene markers like insertion sequences (IS) can be used to increase the detection limit, we ran PCR using primer for Insertion Sequence 5 (ISS; [8-10]) on the same sample set. We observed increased sensitivity compared to *wsp*-PCR since *Wolbachia* was detected in low-titer CA2 (Figure 2A) and in the A/O hybrid samples. However, ISS primer failed at amplifying the target sequence in all three *Glossina* samples (*Gmm, Gsw* and *Gs/Gm* hybrid; Figure 2B) despite the overall high *Wolbachia* titer in *Gmm* [12].

We have recently shown that *Wolbachia* titers increase in *D. paulistorum* [11] and *Glossina* [12] hybrid backgrounds, which should significantly facilitate detection and strain characterization. Such titer increase was sufficient to detect *Wolbachia* with the ISS primer set in A/O hybrids, but the low-titer *Wolbachia* infection in the AM mother still remained undetected (Figure 2B). Failure of ISS-amplification in the *Gs/Gm* hybrid plus parents is explained by lacking homology between primer sequences and target, as no matches with the ISS primer sequence were found in the *wGmm* genome [14]. This finding implies that ISS is not suitable as a general *Wolbachia* A-supergroup marker.

Figure 2A and B show that the ARM-marker system can be applied to address aforementioned problems arising with *wsp* and ISS primer: sensitivity during PCR is increased significantly and all tested A-supergroup infections are unambiguously detected. *Wolbachia* was traced in all low-titer New world *Drosophila* species (AM1, AM2, CA1, CA2) plus the A/O hybrid. In contrast to ISS, the ARM primer set amplified *Wolbachia* from all three *Glossina* samples (*Gmm, Gsw* and *Gs/Gm* hybrid). As anticipated, all samples from high-titer *Wolbachia* infections (OR, *Dw*+, *Dm*+, *Ds*) showed bright bands with ARM, whereas *Wolbachia*-uninfected specimens (*Dw*, *Ds*) did not (Figure 2A,B). This argues for a high specificity of the ARM primer and against mis-amplification of a random host target rather than the specific symbiont target site.

**Conclusions**

We suggest that the new multicopy *Wolbachia* A-supergroup marker can be used as an ‘ultra-sensitive’

---

**Table 1 Number of matches to ARM in complete and draft *Wolbachia* genomes**

| Wolbachia | Supergroup | Host | Number of matches to ARM | GenBank references |
|-----------|------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| wMel     | A          | *Drosophila melanogaster* | 24 | NC_002978; [8] |
| wHa      | A          | *Drosophila simulans* | 23 | CP003888; [23] |
| wRi      | A          | *Drosophila simulans* | 21 | NC_012416; [22] |
| wWil     | A          | *Drosophila willistoni* | 17a | ASM15358v1; TSC#14030-0811.24 |
| wAna     | A          | *Drosophila ananassae* | 20a | ASM16747v1; [24] |
| wUni     | A          | *Muscidifex uniraptor* | 7a | wUni_1.0; [22] |
| wSuzi    | A          | *Drosophila suzuki* | 23a | CAOU02000000; [25] |
| wGmm     | A          | *Glossina morsitans morsitans* | 20a | [14] |
| wNo      | B          | *Drosophila simulans* | 0b | CP003883; [23] |
| wVitB    | B          | *Nasonia vitripennis* | 0b | WWB_1.0; [26] |
| wPip     | B          | *Culex quinquefasciatus* | 0b | NC_010981.1; [27] |
| wOo      | C          | *Onchocerca ochengi* | 0b | NC_018267.1; [28] |
| wOv      | C          | *Onchocerca volvulus* | 0b | ASM33837v1; [29] |
| wBm      | D          | *Brugia malayi* | 0b | NC_006833.1; [30] |

Number of matches in column four refer to hits of the 315 bp ARM-PCR amplicon in the searched *Wolbachia* genomes. Hits were produced using the blastn algorithm (megablast) with match/mismatch scores 1,-2.

---
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tool to trace low-titer infections by means of classic end-point PCR. First, ARM has the advantage of higher sensitivity compared to classic single-copy Wolbachia markers like wsp and thus improves detection limit significantly. Particularly, ARM-PCR can be easily applied to screen larger numbers of untyped DNA specimens, even of low quality arising from long-term storage and/or storage in inappropriate media, from laboratory stocks or samples directly from nature. This is of pivotal interest since classical detection tools might yield false negatives when examining species harboring Wolbachia at very low densities, and thereby lead to underestimating natural prevalence of A-supergroup infections. Given that 80% of the Dipteran infections are supergroup A [15], our new method will significantly facilitate and improve the sensitivity of such surveys. In addition our approach is an advantage over the classic IS5-marker, which fails in Wolbachia from the tsetse fly Glossina. Taken together, we show that a Wolbachia sequence motif found in multiple copies associated with the VNTR loci facilitates reliable Wolbachia screening of samples from low-titer infections and might thus serve as a great tool for the Wolbachia research community. Furthermore a similar approach might be applied to detect other symbionts such as *Sodalis glossinidius* (secondary symbiont of Glossina) and the primary symbiont *Candidatus Sodalis pierantoni str. SOPE* of the weevil *Sitophilus orizae*. Both symbiont genomes exhibit more than 20% of repetitive DNA rendering them appropriate candidates for repeat-based PCR analysis [16,17]. However, we anticipate that such a method reaches its limit when dealing with symbiont genomes, which have become highly streamlined in the course of tight host-symbiont coevolution.

**Methods**

*Drosophila* and *Glossina* strains plus hybrid samples

*Drosophila* specimens included members of New world and Old world clades (Additional file 2). Representatives of the new world clade were *Drosophila paulistorum* semispecies AM, CA and OR, together with *Wolbachia*-}
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**Figure 2** Comparison of Wolbachia marker sensitivity by PCR. (A) The three Wolbachia markers wsp, IS5 and ARM were tested on the following specimens: New world *Drosophila* species from the *Drosophila willistoni* group including *D. paulistorum* Amazonian (AM1, AM2), and Centroamerican (CA1, CA2) semispecies. Orinocan semispecies (OR) served as Wolbachia positive control; *Ds* as Wolbachia negative control. B = blank. Quality of DNA was assessed with universal primer set 12SCFR, 12SCRR targeting the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene [20,21]. Expected amplicon sizes for Wolbachia positive control (OR) are 631 bp (wsp), 752 bp (IS5), 315 bp (ARM) and 399 bp (12S rRNA). (B) Same markers as above were tested on additional samples including hybrids: A/O hybrid plus parents AM and OR; Glossina Gs/Gm hybrid plus parental strains Gsw and Gmm (Additional file 2). *Drosophila* New world members include *D. willistoni Dw* and *Dw*. Old world species are *D. melanogaster Dm*; *D. simulans Ds* and *Ds*. B = blank. Note: IS5 primer set does not produce amplicons in all three Glossina samples due to complete absence of this IS element in symbionts of tsetse flies (see discussion).
infected (Dw*) and -uninfected (Dw) D. willistoni (see Additional file 2 for details). The Old world clade was represented by Wolbachia-infected D. melanogaster (Dm*) and Wolbachia-infected (Ds) and uninfected (Ds) D. simulans (Additional file 2). Additionally, the tsetse fly species Glossina swynnertoni and G. morsitans moritans (genus Glossina, superfamily Hippoboscoidae) and hybrids from D. paulistorum (A/O) and Glossina (Gs/Gm) were included (Additional file 2). Detailed descriptions of establishing hybrid samples can be found in [11,12]. Drosophila strains are permanently maintained in the Laboratory of Genome Dynamics in Vienna, Glossina colonies are kept at the Insect Pest Control Laboratory, Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Vienna, Austria.

Analysis of complete and draft Wolbachia genomes for candidate marker loci and primer design

Candidate multicopy marker regions were identified by running nucmer and repeat-match from the MUMmer 3 package [18] on the wMel genome (Wolbachia, endosymbiont of Drosophila melanogaster; GenBank reference NC_002978). Searches were performed with the megablast algorithm using default settings against 14 Wolbachia genomes present in GenBank (see Table 1; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and other analyses were performed using Ginger 5.6.6 software (Biomatters, New Zealand).

Diagnostic wsp-, ISS-, ARM- and 12S rRNA-PCR

Primer pairs for diagnostic wsp-PCR were taken from [19] and the following primer set targeting ARM: ARM-F 5′-TTGCGCCAATCTGCAGATTAAA-3′ and ARM-R 5′-GTTTTTAAACGCTTGACAA-3′. Both primers are positioned in the flanking regions of the VNTR-I06 locus in wMel [9,13], and produce an amplicon of 315 bp constant size. Composition of the locus is shown in Figure 1. Diagnostic ARM-PCR was performed in 20 μl reactions containing 1x reaction buffer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 μM of forward and reverse primer, 35 μM dNTPs, 0.4 U of Taq Polymerase (Promega) and 2 μl of DNA template. PCR was performed using a profile of 2 min initial denaturation at 94°C followed by 30 cycles consisting of 45 sec denaturation at 94°C, 45 sec annealing at 55°C, and 1 min extension at 72°C. Final extension was performed for 10 min at 72°C. In order to assess DNA quality, we performed 10 min at 72°C. In order to assess DNA quality, we performed 10 min at 72°C. In order to assess DNA quality, we performed 10 min at 72°C. In order to assess DNA quality, we performed 10 min at 72°C.
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Additional files

Additional file 1: Positions of ARM in the wMel and wRi genomes. Circular schemes of the wRi (Wolbachia symbiont of Drosophila simulans; NC_012416: [22]) and wMel genomes (Wolbachia, endosymbiont of D. melanogaster; NC_002978; [8]), showing that ARM (indicated by black bars) is equally dispersed throughout the genomes.

Additional file 2: Detailed information on Drosophila and Glossina specimens used in this study. First column refers to the abbreviated code used for each specimen in text, figures and figure legends. Last column lists reference and/or collector’s name [31,11,32-34,12].
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