Electronic and magnetic properties of bimetallic L1₀ cuboctahedral clusters by means of a fully relativistic density functional based calculations
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By means of density functional theory (DFT) and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) we present a structural, electronic and magnetic study of FePt, CoPt, FeAu and FePd based L1₀ ordered cuboctahedral nanoparticles, with total numbers of atoms, $N_{tot} = 13, 55, 147$. After a conjugate gradient relaxation, the nanoparticles retain their L1₀ symmetry, but the small displacements of the atomic positions tune the electronic and magnetic properties. The value of the total magnetic moment stabilizes as the size increases. We also show that the Magnetic Anisotropy Energy (MAE) depends on the size as well as the position of the Fe-atomic planes in the clusters. We address the influence on the MAE of the surface shape, finding a small in-plane MAE for $(Fe,Co)_{24}Pt_{31}$ nanoparticles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Current uses of nanometer designed magnetic devices range from biomedical applications [1, 2], catalysis [3], energy harvesting [4] to data storage [5–9]. Because of these diverse applications in nanotechnology [10–11], the development of nanostructured magnetic materials has become a highly active field. Focusing in the field of magnetic recording, a huge amount of experimental and theoretical work has been carried out during the last decade to seek novel approaches to construct advanced materials for ultrahigh density magnetic storage, with the aim of increasing the state-of-the-art beyond 1 Tbit/in² [8, 12–15]. Most approaches are focused on thin films or multilayers [10–18] and recently on slabs [19]. However, during the last decade has emerged the possibility to use clusters deposited on surfaces [20–29] to increase the recording density. These clusters or nanoparticles (NPs) have properties different from those of bulk alloys due to their reduced surface atomic coordination. In particular, binary 3d-5d NPs formed by transition metals (TM) such as Fe or Co together with 5d noble metals like Au or Pt allow the possibility to tune the magnetic properties based on an in-depth knowledge of their geometrical [27–29] and magnetic behavior [30–32].

One vital physical quantity in magnetic recording is the magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) of the storage medium. The MAE determines the tendency of the magnetization to align along some specific axis in solids and clusters. As we have pointed out, binary magnetic NPs based on (Fe,Co)Pt are good candidates for novel magnetic recording media, especially those phases chemically L1₀ ordered, where the value of the MAE is of order of 7×10⁵ erg/cm³ [33]. The trend to higher recording densities requires continuous reduction in the grain size while retaining large values of the factor $KV/kT$ to avoid loss of recorded information due to the onset of superparamagnetic behavior [34, 35]. Following the Neel relaxation law [36], the only way to reduce the size of the NPs avoiding this trouble is through a higher values of the MAE. To control this magnetic energy, mainly determined by the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) [37], it is necessary to investigate the structure, the electronic and the magnetic behavior of these systems. For this purpose, Gambardella et al showed experimental and theoretically that when Co adatoms were deposited onto a Pt(111) surface, they had a MAE of 9 meV/atom arising from the strong SOC induced by the Pt substrate and for a unquenched orbital moments [21]. In addition, they increased the number of Co atoms on the metal surface forming NPs that ranged from 3 up to 40 atoms. The results showed that smaller NPs exhibited a higher MAE. These results opened a route to understand and fabricate high density magnetic recording materials using deposited NPs on surfaces. There are several experimental [28, 39] and theoretical [28, 32, 30–45] studies regarding isolated NPs aiming to obtain the best morphologies and magnetic behavior covering monometallic NPs [29, 31], binary alloys [28, 42–43, 45] and even capped NPs [32, 44]. Gruner et al have carried out a total energy study of a wide range of structures of various shapes and sizes for (Fe,Co)Pt NPs [28] as well as for Fe(Pd,Ni) [45]. It was found that the most energetically favored structures obtained were those of ordered multiply twinned icosahedra and decahedra shapes. Gruner et al have also obtained locally the magnetic moment (MM) for Fe$_{256}$B$_{296}$, with B = Ni, Pd, Pt, Ir, Au, and as we will see in the present work, the tendency to augment the Fe MM in the vicinity of the cluster surface obtained by Gruner is in good agreement with our results.

To obtain the MAE using the framework of DFT implies a huge computational resource since a fully relativistic (FR) and a full potential (FP) treatment becomes necessary. A widely used approximation to overcome the all-electron (full potential) problem and to do quick and accurate calculations is substitute the core electrons by a pseudopotential (PP) [46, 47]. Most of the codes that use the PP approximation use the scalar-relativistic (SR) corrections (Darwin term and mass velocity) but they are not sufficient to address the behavior of magnetic systems because the MAE is mostly controlled by the SOC. Also, the magnetization density vector can vary from point to point in space presenting a spin non collinearity. To over-
come this barrier we have used a fully relativistic pseudopotential (FR-PP) approach implemented recently in the SIESTA code [54,55].

The (Fe,Co)Pt \( L_1_0 \) based alloys have large uniaxial anisotropy because of the layered structure (see Fig. 1). The purpose of this paper is to investigate the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of (Fe,Co)Pt and Fe(Au,Pd) \( L_1_0 \) cuboctahedral nanostructured NPs having the total number of atoms \( N_{tot} = 13, 55 \) and 147, and to calculate the MAE using the above mentioned FR-PP scheme. It is shown that the energy surface can become complex, showing features beyond the simple uniaxial anisotropy. This demonstrates the importance of the dependence of the total energy on the orientation of the magnetization axis as we will see in Sec. III D.

The paper is structured as follows. In section II we describe briefly the theoretical tools to perform all the calculations as well as the kind of NPs studied in the present work. The importance of the structural relaxations will be explained in II A. The local magnetic moments and the density of states are described in subsections II B and III C, respectively. The MAE and its separate contributions is discussed in Sec. III D. Finally, Sec. IV summarizes the main results.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We have undertaken calculations of electronic structure and magnetic anisotropy energies (MAE) by means of DFT using a recent fully relativistic (FR) implementation [54] in the GREEN [56,57] code employing the SIESTA [55] framework. We use fully separable Kleinmann-Bylander [58] and norm-conserving pseudopotentials (PP) of the Troulliers-Martins [59] type to describe the core electrons. Our DFT based calculations have been performed within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange correlation (XC) potential following the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) version [60]. To address the description of magnetic systems, pseudocore (pc) corrections were used to include in the XC terms not only the valence charge density but also the core charge as Louie et al [62] pointed out. In order to ease the convergence of three center integrals with the size of the real space grid, \( \rho^{pc}(r) \) is replaced by a pseudo-core charge density, \( \rho^{pc}(r) \), which equals the real core charge density beyond a given radius, \( r_{pc} \), while close to the nuclei it becomes a smooth function. The radius \( r_{pc} \) should be chosen small enough to ensure that the overlap region between the valence and the core charges is fully taken into account. Based on previous studies of the binary alloys [54], we have chosen for the radius that equals the core and valence charge the values of \( r_{pc}(\text{Fe,Co}) = 0.6 \) Bohrs and \( r_{pc}(\text{Pt,Au,Pd}) = 1.0 \) Bohrs, ensuring that the overlap region between the valence and the core charge is fully taken into account. As basis set, we have employed double-zeta polarized (DZP) strictly localized numerical atomic orbitals (AO). The confinement energy, \( E_c \), defined as the energy cost to confine the wave function within a given radius was set to 100 meV. The Fermi-Dirac distribution was used to obtain the occupation numbers and the electronic temperature was set to 50 meV.

In the SR pseudopotential approximation, the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}^{KS} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{local}} + \hat{V}_{KB} + \hat{V}_H + \hat{V}_{XC} \tag{1}
\]

is diagonal in spin space and collinear spin is assumed [61]. In equation (1) \( \hat{T} \) is the kinetic energy, \( \hat{V}_{\text{local}} \) is the fully local long-ranged potential commonly set to the \( l = 0 \) radial component of the PP, \( \hat{V}_{KB} \) is the Kleinmann-Bylander (KB) operator [58], \( \hat{V}_H \) the Hartree term and \( \hat{V}_{XC} \) is the exchange-correlation operator. Just two of those terms depend on the spin projections (say along the \( z \) axis), \( \sigma (\uparrow, \downarrow) \): the KB term and the final (exchange correlation) term. In the collinear case, there is a common quantization axis for the whole system, and the charge density has two independent projections, \( \rho^\uparrow (r) \) and \( \rho^\downarrow (r) \), parallel and antiparallel, respectively. However, in the FR-PP approximation, off-diagonal spin terms appear in the Hamiltonian causing a mixture of spin components because the spin quantization axis varies from point to point in space – i.e. non collinear case. Consequently it was necessary to use the scheme developed by Kübler et al [63] that will give the mixed components for XC potential (see Ref. [54] for details).

From an \textit{ab initio} point of view the MAE is defined as the difference in the total energy between easy and hard magnetization axis. It is common to fix the spin quantization axis as the \( z \) direction. However, when the FR-PP approximation is used and we need the total energy in several directions it necessary to proceed in a different way, specifically to generalize the magnetization direction.
to an arbitrary axis, $S_{\alpha}$, characterized by polar angles $\theta$ and $\phi$. The procedure will give us a new set of matrix elements as a function of $\theta$ and $\phi$ angles for the KB term, $V^{KB}_{\theta,\phi'}$. For the total energy calculations required to determine the MAE we obtain self-consistency by means of the Hamiltonian instead of using the density matrix. To this end, in each iteration the Hamiltonian is obtained after a Pulay mixing \cite{64} of the input and output Hamiltonian, $H^{in}$, $H^{out}$ respectively. The criterion for a self-consistent solution is the requirement that input and output values differ by less than 1 meV. For each different set of angles, $(\theta',\phi')$, we restart the self-consistent scheme using as input Hamiltonian the one output for the previous angles $(\theta,\phi)$:

$$H^{\prime,in} = H^{out} - V^{KB}_{\theta,\phi} + V^{KB}_{\theta',\phi'}.$$

where the primes denote the matrices calculated for angles $(\theta',\phi')$.

The unit cell for L1$_0$ metallic based alloys consists of two fcc cells displaced along the diagonal of the cube. The presence of two different kinds of atoms generates a vertical distortion so that its structure is defined by two quantities, the in-plane lattice parameter, $a$, and the out-of-plane constant, $c$. Prior to relaxation the NPs were constructed from their bulk fct phase forming a perfect L1$_0$ ordered cuboctahedron (see Fig. 1). We have restricted our study to the so-called magic cluster sizes $N_{tot} = (10n^2 + 15n^2 + 11n + 3)/3$, where $n$ is the number of geometrical closed shells, being the total number of atoms for each species $N_M = (5n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n)/3$ for magnetic (M) species -Fe and Co-, and $N_{NM} = (5n^3 + 9n^2 + 7n + 3)/3$ for non-magnetic (NM) species -Pt, Au and Pd. The initial lattice parameters, $a$, as well as the $c/a$ ratios were chosen as their bulk experimental values \cite{63}: $a_{FePt} = 3.86$ Å and $(c/a)_{FePt} = 0.98$; $a_{FePd} = 3.89$ Å and $(c/a)_{FePd} = 0.938$; $a_{FeAu} = 4.08$ Å and $(c/a)_{FeAu} = 0.939$; $a_{CoPt} = 3.81$ Å and $(c/a)_{CoPt} = 0.968$.

### III. RESULTS

We have carried out a systematic study of bimetallic nanoclusters, concentrating on the magnetic properties (spin and MAE). The calculations were made on fully relaxed structures produced using a conjugate gradient method. In the following sections we present the results of the magnetic property calculations, but first we consider the structures themselves as a basis for the interpretation of the magnetic properties.

#### A. Conjugate gradient relaxations

To carry out relaxation of the NP structures, we have employed the conjugate gradient (CG) method, minimizing the forces between atoms until they were less than 0.03 eV/Å. The optimizations have been done at a spin polarized SR level, and just to address the calculations of MAE, spin moments and density of states (DOS) a FR-PP scheme was included.

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Lorentzian broadening of the bond distances between magnetic (d$_{M,M}$) and non-magnetic (d$_{NM,NM}$) atoms, thick green and thin blue lines, respectively. Each one of the two columns (A,B), from bottom to top, depict the distances when the number of total atoms, $N_{tot}$, increase from 13 to 147, (A.1) to (A.3) for FePt and (B.1) to (B.3) for CoPt. The three vertical lines show a (black dashed), c (red solid) and the first nearest neighbours nn (black solid) experimental lattice values in their bulk phases. The values are provided in the text.](image)

In Fig. 2 we show the evolution of the values of the lattice parameters $a$, $c$ and the first nearest neighbor distances, $nn$, after a CG relaxation of the (Fe,Co)Pt NPs. Although the NPs experience only a small reconstruction, this is enough to change the magnetic properties substantially as we will show in section IID. The dispersion in the $nn$ values for Fe species (green thick lines in the Fig. 2(A.1–A.4)) around the bulk value is ±0.4 Å. This means that the Fe atoms have experienced a regular distribution around $nn$. Regarding Pt atoms (blue thin lines), this range is 0.2 Å less than those of Fe atoms and also the average displacements are smaller. As a result, the Pt atoms are concentrated closer to their bulk positions than Fe. With respect to the mean distance between planes for each species, it is interesting to note that...
Fe planes are closer after a reduction of the bulk value by -0.6 Å. On the contrary the distances between Pt planes are larger, increasing by a value of 0.6 Å. In general, we can say that the magnetic species has a higher dispersion around its bulk lattice parameters than the non magnetic one, except for the case of N\text{tot} = 55, where Pt atoms are also significantly distributed around c and a. It can be seen that for CoPt NPs (Fig. 2(B.1–B4)) the dispersion around a, c and nm is less than for FePt NPs. In this case, both Co and Pt atom positions deviate by ±0.15 Å from their bulk nn structure values. As in the FePt case the Co atoms have reduced their mean separation values whilst those of the Pt atoms have increased. The distance between planes differ by smaller amounts than for FePt, the ranges being between -0.2 Å and +0.3 Å for M and NM atoms. This implies that for CoPt NPs there is less distortion of the bulk structure. The bond distances for Fe(Au,Pd) relaxed NPs (not shown here) have a similar behavior for each atomic species (magnetic and non magnetic). Specifically, the Au atoms experience an increase in their nn distances of 0.15 Å while the separation of Fe atoms decreases by 0.3 Å. The out of plane variations are between +0.4 Å for Au atoms and −0.3 Å for Fe atoms. It is interesting to point out that in general the distance of the surface atoms from the center of the NPs tends to be reduced in comparison with the initial bulk structures. Studying this distances for the atoms located at different type of surfaces (squares on the top and the bottom and triangles or squares in the side of the molecules) (See Fig. 1), we can say that there is not a general trend either for magnetic nor non-magnetic species.

B. Magnetic moments

As a result of a Mulliken analysis we show in Fig. 3 the variation of the spin magnetic moment (MM) values for every atom belonging to (Fe,Co)Pt NPs in function of its distance from the center. The MM values have been derived as the difference between the majority and minority spin charges and, although this is a qualitative study, it allows us to determine whether the NPs have more polarization in the surface or in the core.

The local MM of the Fe and Co atoms (green filled squares), are remarkably large in comparison with those of the Pt atoms (blue empty squares). This is a general trend in all the clusters and the average differences range from 1.8 to 3.3 µB for Co\text{67}Pt\text{80} and Fe\text{67}Pt\text{80}, respectively. Taking into account the region where the atoms reside (core or surface), the values will be slightly different. So, we can observe that for magnetic atoms all the NPs have their inner MM values lower than those in the surface by ~0.4 µB. However, the Pt MM values remain around 0.25 µB along their radial positions, the difference being only 0.1 µB from inner to surface. This behavior prevails even for CoPt NPs. It is noticeable that the Co local MM are ~1.4 µB smaller than its magnetic counterpart in any other NP, even for Fe(Au,Pd) (not shown in Fig. 3). It is also interesting to note that the local MM at the surface in the smaller NPs (red symbols) have the largest values. The ratio of surface to volume atoms in these tiny NPs is 12/1 and 92% of the atoms are located on the surface. So, the surface effects are more pronounced at these sizes as we see in the increasing values of the MM.

In table I we summarize the total MM of all the NPs studied in this work. One of the main results is that for all the sizes, the FePt NPs have higher MM\text{tot}/N\text{tot} when compared with any other kind of NPs. The FePt values range from 1.62 µB/\text{at} for Fe\text{24}Pt\text{31} to 1.66 µB/\text{at} for Fe\text{24}Pt\text{31}. Despite this small increase for MM\text{tot}/N\text{tot}, the different kind of NPs follow the same trend as the NP size increases. Fe\text{67}Pd\text{80} is an exception having a value of 0.15 µB/\text{at} for Fe\text{24}Pt\text{31}. If we inspect the third and the fourth columns, we note that the above mentioned increase of the MM\text{tot}/N\text{tot} is followed by the non-magnetic atoms but that the converse is true for the magnetic atoms. This loss of MM\text{tot}/N_M for the magnetic atoms as the size of the NPs increases could be due to the fact that the percentage of surface atoms decreases from 80% with increasing N_M and as we have seen in Fig 3 the contribution of the higher spin values of the surface atoms will be diminished. The magnetic atoms are not entirely responsibility for the overall magnetic behavior, the contribution from the spins of non-magnetic...
atoms is vital to this complicated magnetic process.

C. Density of states (DOS)

To gain further insight about the electronic behavior of the NPs we present in Fig. 4 the spin resolved density of states (DOS) projected onto Fe, Co and Pt atoms for both FePt and CoPt NPs, left and right panels, respectively. The atoms have been divided into two groups as previously in this work: surface (thick green lines and thin blue lines) and core (filled colored curves). The black lines show the total DOS.

Firstly we note that for both types of NPs, as \( N_{\text{tot}} \) increases, the total DOS peaks are smeared and bands are formed implying that the electrons become delocalized. Further, the projected DOS on magnetic surface atoms, together with their core counterpart, show that they provide the largest contribution to the total MM of the NPs. The majority and minority bands of the smaller FePt and CoPt type NPs (A.1,B.1) have peaks around 0.25 eV and as the size of the NPs increases some of these peaks move below the Fermi level. For minority states, the FePt peak moves up to -0.3 eV, and up to the Fermi level for the majority states. CoPt NPs have the same behavior only for majority states while the minority peak remains at energies greater than \( E_F \) for larger sizes. These displacements imply that the \( d \) bands are filling and as a result, there is a decrease of the total surface MM for the magnetic species of 0.4 \( \mu_B \) for FePt and 0.15 \( \mu_B \) for CoPt (see first row in the Fig. 5) as \( N_{\text{tot}} \) increases. Other features of the total majority DOS of smaller NPs are the humps at -1 eV, -4 eV and at -5 eV for FePt and at -1 eV, -3.5 eV and -5 eV for CoPt. The first two peaks located at higher energies persist for larger NPs, however the last disappears when \( N_{\text{tot}} > 13 \). It is worth noting that the FePt NPs with \( N_{\text{tot}} > 13 \) have a dip in the minority DOS at the Fermi level, showing that the minority channel is dominated by Fe surface atoms. This is in good agreement with the work of Gruner et al. so that this feature gives a way to distinguish between different morphologies such as icosahedron or \( L_1_0 \) cuboctahedron [27]. The DOS of FePd and FeAu NPs (not shown here) present a slightly different shape, but nonetheless exhibit the main feature the primary responsibility of the M atoms for the polarization in these NPs.

D. Magnetic anisotropy

We finally present the calculations of the magnetic anisotropy (MAE). In order to get a better knowledge
of the magnetic behaviour of the NPs, we also show in Fig. 5 together with the MAE, the MM for Fe, Co, Pt, Pd and Au atoms in the first and second rows. It is easy to distinguish between the MM of the surface and core atoms, whether or not they are magnetic, since as we have seen in sec. III B that the local surface MM values are higher than those of the core.

Although there are some common tendencies in the behavior, there is no overall trend, presumably because of the complexity of the atomic rearrangements and charge transfer. Consider first the behavior of the MM values of the magnetic atoms in FePt, CoPt, FePd and FeAu. The common factor in the behavior of all systems is an increase of the MM in the surface over that of the core atoms. In addition, FePt, CoPt and FePd exhibit large differences (as large as $\Delta \mu = 0.7 \mu_B$/at for FePt), which decreases with increasing $N_{tot}$. The similarity presumably reflects the chemical similarity of Pd and Pt. Although its surface atoms have a larger MM than the core atoms, FeAu breaks the trend in that $\Delta \mu$ remains reasonably constant, presumably reflecting the different atomic rearrangements and charge transfer. Turning to the MM values of the non magnetic species, the tendency of the MM is to be almost constant within both the core and surface regions. Again, we note that the non magnetic atoms of FeAu NPs exhibit a different trend, and that further their MM values for $N_{tot} = 55, 147$ are negative.

The calculated values of the MAE are shown in Fig. 5 (A.1,B.1). The alloys from which our NPs have been constructed have in their bulk L1$_0$ phases a MAE of order of a few meV [65] with the easy magnetization axis perpendicular to the atomic planes (see Fig. 1). We will see that most of all the studied NPs have the same easy axis orientation as their bulk alloys. Also, the values of the total MAE obtained in this work are of order of tens of meV following the same trend shown by other groups for small NPs [31][22]. In the graphs, the MAE is expressed in meV per atom by dividing by the total number of atoms (magnetic plus non-magnetic) of each NP and using straight coloured lines we show the MAE values for each L1$_0$ alloy.

Consider first the case of FePt and CoPt shown in Fig. 5 (A.1). Although we do not have site-resolved MAE values, we can interpret the data in relation to previous calculations of bulk properties of FePt [66][67]. These suggest that the primary contribution to the MAE in FePt is a 2-ion anisotropy of the Fe sites mediated by the Pt sites. This suggests that the presence of surfaces and the consequent loss of coordination might be expected to lower the overall MAE, which is certainly the case for the two larger NP sizes considered here. However, it is interesting to note that the smallest NP size exhibits an increased MAE. Although we cannot here obtain site-resolved information for the MAE, it seems reasonable to suggest that this arises from the modified electronic properties within the smallest NPs. This is worth further consideration, with site-resolved calculations, since

FIG. 5. (Color online) Magnetic anisotropy (MAE) values per atom and mean surface and core magnetic moments (MM) values per atom for Fe, Co, Au, Pd and Pt of FePt (green), CoPt (blue), FeAu (red) and FePd (turquoise) NPs as function of their total number of atoms.

this enhanced MAE may be useful for applications.

Regarding the orientation of the easy axis, most of the NPs studied present easy axis along the Z–axis. However, we note that for Fe$_5$Pd$_8$, Fe$_5$Au$_8$ and Fe$_{24}$Pd$_{80}$ the MAE has a negative value which means that the easy axis lies in the XY–plane. Further evidence of contributions to the MAE beyond the simple uniaxial case is shown in Fig. 6. Here we show the variation of the total energy of the Fe$_{24}$Pt$_{31}$ (upper row) and Co$_{24}$Pt$_{31}$ (lower row) NPs with the $\theta$ (left) and $\phi$ (right) angles. In both types of NPs the easy magnetization axis lies along the (001) direction –having the minimum value of the energy ($\theta = 0^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$). Fixing $\phi$ to $0^\circ$ (empty blue squares) and $45^\circ$ (full green dots) and varying $\theta$ from zero to $180^\circ$ we obtained different maxima for Co$_{24}$Pt$_{31}$ while the Fe$_{24}$Pt$_{31}$ NPs exhibit purely uniaxial behavior, with no dependence of $\phi$. The graphs on the right side sweep the energy from $\phi = 0^\circ$ to $\phi = 180^\circ$ keeping $\theta$ constant. It can be seen that in the in-plane magnetization for CoPt has two minima exactly at $45^\circ$ and at $135^\circ$ degrees (see Fig. 1). In the case of FePt NPs, no in-plane anisotropy is observed.
of the NPs, there is an exception for CoPt NPs that show much less dispersion for both magnetic and non magnetic species.

Regarding the magnetic structure we have shown that the outermost local magnetic moments of all the NPs studied in this work are larger than in the core, in good agreement with previous investigations. This magnetic behaviour is correlated with the PDOS analysis that shows the importance of the magnetic ordering of the surface magnetic species polarization. Also we showed that the MAE is size and stacking dependent and that the value increases for the smallest NPs respect to the bulk values. This indicates enhanced thermal stability of the smallest NPs. However, the larger FePt and CoPt NPs showed a reduction of the MAE consistent with the loss of coordination at the surface and a consequent reduction of the (dominant) 2-ion anisotropy. This is an interesting observation which shows a dramatic change in the magnetic behavior in the smallest NPs which is worth investigating using site-resolved MAE calculations.

The easy magnetization axis generally lies along the (001) direction, although in some FeAu and FePd NPs the anisotropy lies in-plane. As an example of an in-plane magnetic anisotropy we obtained θ and φ energy dependence for (Fe,Co)_{24}Pt_{31} NPs in the Fig. 6 showing that the surface shape it is important to study the entire energy surface to investigate the overall form of the MAE, which, certainly for the case of Co_{24}Pt_{31} has a significant contribution from a cubic anisotropy term in addition to the main uniaxial term.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have carried out a fully relativistic calculations, within the GGA approximation, of the magnetic moments, density of states and MAE of L1₀ cubic-tetrahedral FePt, CoPt, FeAu and FePd based NPs. We have restricted the total number of atoms to the magic numbers: 13, 55 and 147, giving diameters of the NPs from 0.6 nm for N_{tot}=13 up to 1.6 nm for N_{tot}=147.

Although the original stacking is retained after CG relaxation, the atoms exhibit small displacements from their original bulk positions. The bond distances between magnetic species have higher dispersion around the characteristic bulk values than exhibited by non magnetic atoms, the non magnetic species being almost at the same position. Although this trend is followed by most

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge helpful discussions with Dr. T.J Klemmer. The financial support of Seagate Technology and the EU FP7 programme [Grants No. NMP3-SL-2008-214469 (UltraMagnetron), No. 214810 (FANTOMAS)] is also gratefully acknowledged.

[1] Q. A. Pankhurst, J. Connolly, S. K. Jones and J. Dobson J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 36, R167 (2003).
[2] O. Salata Journal of Nanobiotechnolog 2, 3 (2004).
[3] C. C. Berry and S. G. Curtis J. Phys.: Appl. Phys. 36, R198 (2003)
[4] S. Zyade, F. Garin and G. Maire New J. Chem. 11, 429 (1987)
[5] Jones N. Nature 472, 22-23 (2011).
[6] O. Gutfleisch, L. Lyubina, K. H. Muller and L. Schultz Adv. Eng. Mater. 7, 208 (2005)
[7] B. D. Terris and T. Thomson J. of Phys. D 38, R199 (2005)
[8] C. A. Ross Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci. 31, 203 (2001).
[9] Y. F. Xu, M. L. Yan and D. J. Sellmyer J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 7, 206 (2007)
[10] D. Weller and A Moser IEEE Trans. Mag. 35, 4423 (1999)
[11] H. Zeng, J. Li, P. Liu, Z. L Wang and S. Sun Nature 420, 395 (2002)
[12] A. Y. Dobin and H. J. Richter Appl. Phys. Lett., vol 89, 602512 (2006).
[13] S. Greaves, Y. Kanai and H. Murakoa IEEE Trans. Magn. 43, 2118 (2007).
[14] R. E. Rottmayer, S. Batra, D. Buechel et al IEEE Trans. Magn. 42, 2417 (2006).
[15] C. Verdes, R. W. Chantrell, A. Satoh et al J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 304, 27 (2006).
[16] J. Kohlhepp and U. Gradmann J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 139, 347 (1995).
[17] B. Revaz, M. C. Cyrille, B. Zink, I. K. Schuller and F. Hellman Phys. Rev. B 65, 094417 (2002).
