Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Risk Factors among Community Health Workers in Selected Villages in the Philippines
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Abstract

Objective. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome among community health workers (CHWs) in selected villages in the Philippines. It also determined the association of urbanization and socio-demographic characteristics with hypertension, diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome among CHWs.

Methodology. A cross-sectional study was conducted among CHWs who were actively rendering service from selected communities at the time of the study. Standardized interviews were conducted and clinical measurements were collected.

Results. Of the total of 457 CHWs who participated, 96% were females with a median age of 50 years. The prevalence of hypertension in this population was 32.4%. Hypertension was found to be associated with older age [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 5.3, 95% CI: 3.2 to 8.8, p<0.001], obesity (aOR 2.4, 95% CI: 1.4 to 4.0, p=0.002) and alcohol consumption (aOR 1.7, 95% CI: 1.0 to 3.0, p<0.040). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) was 13.6%. It was found to be more prevalent among CHWs who were at least 50 years old (aOR 2.7, 95% CI: 1.4 to 5.1, p=0.002), and those who spent at least 5 hours a day in sedentary activities (aOR 3.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 12.7, p<0.033). Borderline to high total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) and triglycerides (TG) were seen in 41%, 37% and 20%, respectively. Sixty percent had low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c). The overall prevalence of metabolic syndrome was 52.3%.

Conclusion. Metabolic syndrome is prevalent among CHW participants, with obesity, hypertension and low LDL-c as the most common components present. The prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in this population was not found to be significantly different between rural and urban areas after adjusting for other factors.

Key words: community health workers, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular diseases

INTRODUCTION

Community health workers, as in many other countries, are the front liners in the delivery of primary health care at the grassroots level, both in urban and rural communities in the Philippines. The term CHWs embraces a variety of community health aides who are selected, trained and are working in the communities from which they come. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), CHWs should be: (1) members of the communities where they work, (2) selected by the communities, (3) answerable to the communities for their activities, (4) supported by the health system but not necessarily as part of its organization, and (5) should have shorter training than professional workers.1

In the Philippines, CHWs refer mainly to Barangay Health Workers (BHWs) although CHWs can also include Barangay Nutrition Scholars (BNSs), Rural Health Midwives (RHMs) and Mother Leaders (MLs). In some areas of the country, there are MLs who perform the same roles as BHWs. Mostly females, BHWs are volunteers who are supposed to be accredited by the Local Health Board (LHB) and who have been trained to provide primary health care services in the community in accordance with the guidelines promulgated by the Department of Health (DOH).2 The number of health workers in the community is set by the DOH. It is determined by the ideal ratio of BHWs relative to the number of households, provided that the total number of BHWs nationwide shall not exceed one percent (1%) of the total population.2

BHWs, usually of age 20 to 45 years, play significant roles in providing primary health care in the communities they serve.1 A study conducted in Camarines Sur in the Luzon island in the Philippines reported that majority of BHWs
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were women, age 45 and above. BHWs are considered competent as community organizers, health educators, and healthcare service providers, although continuing education may be warranted so that they will be more adept in their knowledge and skills. Given that the BHWs are aging, it can be surmised that they also have medical conditions such as hypertension, diabetes and other cardiovascular diseases (CVD). A large body of epidemiologic studies likewise demonstrated associations between CVD and common lifestyle determinants including tobacco use, alcohol, physical inactivity, obesity, and diet. Urbanization was also believed as one of the key drivers of non-communicable diseases especially in low- and middle-income countries.

The limited literature about CHWs were mainly about the definition of CHWs, their general functions, level of competence and existing CHW programs. CHWs are expected to take care of the health of their community members. Ironically, there is no existing program that has been cited in the literature that paid attention to the health of the aging CHWs. In the Philippines, to the best of our knowledge, the cardiovascular risk profile of CHWs has not been studied. Paradoxically, the CHWs are advocates for promoting health through education and dissemination of information. The CHWs must be in good health condition in order to perform better in the delivery of health services in the communities.

CHWs have been identified as one strategy in addressing the growing shortage of health workers, particularly in low-income countries. On average, each health worker is expected to work with approximately 20 families in their communities. In the Philippines, BHWs are considered as volunteers under Republic Act (R.A.) No. 7833, known as The Barangay Health Workers’ Benefits and Incentives Act of 1995. Only a few BHWs in the barangay (village) receive a modest allowance from the Local Government Unit (LGU). Availability of allowance depends on factors such as LGU income and political considerations. Various bills have been proposed to improve the benefits and incentives of BHWs. The latest is the one filed by Senator Grace Poe, Senate Bill No. 2219, an act to improve and promote quality delivery of health services in barangays, enacting the BHWs and services reform act of 2014. This Senate Bill states that: (1) the entry pay level of a BHW shall be the prevailing rate equivalent to Salary Grade One (SG 1), (2) BHWs shall be entitled to the same incentives and benefits provided under the Magna Carta for Public Health workers, and (3) BHWs shall be covered by the existing statutory benefits from the Government Service Insurance System (GSIS), the Philippine Health Insurance Corporation (PhilHealth) and the affordable shelter financing program, Pagtutulungan sa Kinabukasan: Ikaro, Bangko, Industriya at Gobyerno (Pag-IBIG).

CHWs, in general, perform a wide range of tasks including home visits, environmental sanitation, first aid and treatment of simple and common ailments, health education, nutrition and surveillance, maternal and child health and family planning activities, TB and HIV/AIDS care (i.e. counselling, peer and treatment support, and palliative care), malaria control, treatment of acute respiratory infections, communicable disease control, community development activities, referrals, record keeping, and collection of data on vital events. These tasks are performed in many different combinations and with varied degrees of breadth and depth in diverse countries.

OBJECTIVES

This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome among CHWs in selected areas in the Philippines. It also determined the association of urbanization and other socio-demographic characteristics with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome among CHWs.

METHODOLOGY

Study design and setting

This study was conducted in conjunction with the main LIFECourse study in CARdiovascular disease Epidemiology (LIFECARE). The LIFECARE study is a community-based prospective cohort of apparently healthy individuals aged 20 to 50 years old that examined the effects of socioeconomic factors, psychosocial stress and lifestyle factors in the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors and CVD. Unlike the main LIFECARE study, this sub-study on CHWs was cross-sectional in design with just one data collection point and no specific age range for enrolment in the study. This sub-study was conducted in 2 selected urban barangays in Metro Manila, and in 54 urban and rural barangays in Central and Southern Luzon (provinces of Batangas, Bulacan, Rizal, and Quezon). Participants were CHWs who were actively rendering service from the selected communities at the time of the study. Standardized interviews were conducted and clinical measurements were done. Informed written consent was obtained from participants prior to the interview. The study was approved by the University of the Philippines Manila Research Ethics Board (UPMREB 2008-027-01).

Trained research assistants interviewed and conducted clinical measurements. The clinical evaluation and collection of samples were carried out at screening centers set up at the barangay health centers of the selected barangays. The participants’ anthropometric data such as weight, waist and hip circumferences were taken. The weight was measured using the standardized bathroom scale (10 kg weight was used). The height was measured with a stadiometer. The waist circumference was determined at midway between the sub-costal margin and the iliac crest while the hip circumference was taken at the widest diameter. The blood pressure measurements were taken in the seated position 3 times in the upper arm using an Omron digital sphygmomanometer with appropriate cuff sizes and validated by the Philippine Society of Hypertension. One to 2 tablespoons (10 mL) of fasting blood was taken from each participant and transferred into a plain vial for blood chemistry. Analysis of fasting blood glucose was done in a central laboratory using Roche Cobas Mira blood analyzer. All samples were analyzed at the Department of Medicine’s Lipid Research Laboratory in the Philippine General Hospital. The total cholesterol and triglycerides were measured by enzymatic colorimetric method and high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol by
differential precipitation enzymatic colorimetric using semi-autoanalyser Mitra Photometer. All parameters were expressed as mmol/L.

Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mmHg or both, and/or concomitant use of antihypertensive medications according to the WHO/International Society of Hypertension (ISH) guidelines.9

The current WHO diagnostic criteria for diabetes was used, which included a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or a 2-hour plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL), and/or concomitant use of antidiabetic medications.10

Cut-off values for lipid profile were adopted from the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel 3 (NCEP ATP III) report. Dyslipidemia was defined as having one of the following: high TC (≥6.2 mmol/L), high TG (≥2.6 mmol/L), high LDL-c (≥4.1 mmol/L) and/or low LDL-c (<1.03 mmol/L for male and <1.29 for female).11

The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was based on the interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Task Force composed of several major organizations. Three abnormal findings out of 5 would qualify a person for the metabolic syndrome, and that abdominal obesity will not be a prerequisite for diagnosis but will continue to be a useful tool for screening.12 The harmonized criteria defined the following component risk factors: (1) elevated BP with SBP ≥130 mmHg or DBP ≥85 mmHg or on drug treatment; (2) elevated TG ≥1.7 mmol/L or on drug treatment; (3) elevated FPG ≥5.5 mmol/L or on drug treatment; (4) low HDL-c <1.0 mmol/L for men and <1.3 mmol/L for women or on drug treatment; and (5) abdominal obesity or increased waist circumference of ≥90 cm for men and ≥80 cm for women.

Rural and urban classification or geographic location of barangays was based on the Philippine Statistics Authority definition. This was determined in terms of population size, number of establishments and employees, and available facilities (e.g. town hall or provincial capitol, church, public plaza, market place, public buildings, etc.).

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by squared height in meters (kg/m²). The current WHO BMI cut-off points were as follows: <18.5 kg/m² (underweight), 18.5 to 24.9 (normal), 25 to 29.9 (overweight), and ≥30 (obese). For adult Asians, the proposed BMI categories were as follows: <18.5 kg/m² (underweight), 18.5 to 22.9 (normal), 23 to 24.9 (overweight), and ≥25 (obese).13

Participants were also classified as current smoker if they answered “Yes” to the question, “Do you presently smoke cigarettes, cigars, pipes or any other tobacco products?”

Alcohol consumption was based on the question, “In your entire life, have you ever consumed one or more drinks of any type of alcoholic beverage?” Another question on the frequency of alcohol consumption in the past 12 months was also included.

Physical activity includes exercise as well as other activities which involve bodily movement and are done as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores and recreational activities.14 In adults aged 18 to 64, physical activity includes leisure time physical activity (e.g. walking, dancing, gardening, hiking, swimming), transportation (e.g. walking or cycling), occupational (i.e. work), household chores, play, games, sports or planned exercise, in the context of daily, family, and community activities. For this study, recreation-related activities such as sports and fitness that cause large increases in breathing or heart rate for at least 10 minutes continuously were estimated for each participant (both moderate and vigorous-intensity recreation-related). Moreover, average amount of hours per day spent for sedentary activities such as sitting or reclining were also calculated.

Statistical analysis

A total of 449 CHWs from the selected communities was required to estimate the prevalence of low HDL-C in this population within the interval (64±5%) with a 95% confidence level, adjusted to a 20% non-response. This was considered the final sample size and was deemed sufficient to cover estimation of prevalence of all other cardiovascular risk factors. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, median and range were calculated for continuous variables while frequency and percentages were presented for categorical variables. Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics by geographical location and health status were analyzed using independent t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, and Chi-square test, where appropriate. Bivariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression determined the association of urbanization and other selected demographic and anthropometric measures with hypertension, diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Crude and adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals, and P values were derived. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata® version 14.15

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of the Philippines Manila (UPMREB 2008-027-01). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

RESULTS

A total of 457 CHWs were interviewed in this study: 96% were females with a median age of 50 years and age range of 20 to 78 years (Table 1). Sixty percent of the CHWs were from urban barangays. Majority of the participants were married, and more than half reached at least high school level of education. Six out of 10 participants were BHWs,
and another 20% were Mother Leaders who performed similar functions as BHWs. There were 3 CHWs who reported that they performed multiple roles in their barangay (e.g. serving as BHW and BNS at the same time). Twenty six percent said that they had ever consumed barangay (e.g. serving as BHW and BNS at the same time). Two out of 10 CHWs were in the pre-

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of community health workers in selected areas in the Philippines

| Characteristic                                         | Total (n=457) | Rural (n=181) | Urban (n=276) | p value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Sex (%)                                               |               |               |               |         |
| Male                                                  | 18 (3.9)      | 7 (3.9)       | 11 (4.0)      |         |
| Female                                                | 439 (96.1)    | 174 (96.1)    | 265 (96.0)    |         |
| Age, yr                                               |               |               |               |         |
| Range                                                 | 452           | 180           | 272           |         |
| Mean (SD)                                             | 49.3 (10.8)   | 50.1 (11.0)   | 48.7 (10.6)   | 0.189   |
| Mean (SD)                                             | 50            | 50.5          | 49            |         |
| Range                                                 | 20 - 78       | 20 - 78       | 21 - 76       |         |
| Civil status (%)                                      |               |               |               |         |
| Single never married                                   | 24 (5.3)      | 8 (4.4)       | 16 (5.8)      | 0.038   |
| Married                                               | 316 (69.2)    | 134 (74.0)    | 182 (65.9)    |         |
| Widow/widower                                         | 59 (12.9)     | 28 (15.5)     | 31 (11.2)     |         |
| Separated/annulled                                     | 23 (5.0)      | 6 (3.3)       | 17 (6.2)      |         |
| Live-in                                                | 21 (4.6)      | 3 (1.7)       | 18 (6.5)      |         |
| No answer                                              | 14 (3.1)      | 2 (1.1)       | 12 (4.4)      |         |
| Education (%)                                          |               |               |               |         |
| Elementary                                            | 79 (17.3)     | 31 (17.1)     | 48 (17.4)     | 0.318   |
| High school                                            | 238 (52.1)    | 102 (56.4)    | 136 (49.3)    |         |
| Vocational/college                                     | 124 (27.1)    | 43 (23.8)     | 81 (29.4)     |         |
| Missing                                                | 16 (3.5)      | 5 (2.8)       | 11 (4.0)      |         |
| Barangay designation (%)                              |               |               |               |         |
| Barangay health worker                                 | 280 (61.0)    | 152 (84.0)    | 128 (46.4)    |         |
| Barangay nutrition scholar                            | 28 (6.1)      | 10 (5.5)      | 18 (6.5)      |         |
| Mother leader                                          | 93 (20.4)     | 0             | 93 (33.7)     |         |
| Nutritionist                                           | 2 (0.4)       | 1 (0.6)       | 1 (0.4)       |         |
| Midwife                                               | 18 (3.9)      | 9 (5.0)       | 9 (3.3)       |         |
| Councilor for health                                   | 22 (4.8)      | 10 (5.5)      | 12 (4.4)      |         |
| Nepalod lingap sa layon                                | 15 (3.3)      | 0             | 15 (5.4)      |         |
| Municipal Health Officer                              | 1 (0.2)       | 0             | 1 (0.4)       |         |
| Ethnicity (%)                                          |               |               |               |         |
| Tagalog                                                | 385 (84.2)    | 156 (86.2)    | 229 (83.0)    |         |
| Bisaya/Binisaya                                        | 18 (3.9)      | 5 (2.8)       | 13 (4.7)      |         |
| Bicol/Bicol                                            | 19 (4.2)      | 7 (3.9)       | 12 (4.4)      |         |
| Ilocano                                                | 2 (0.4)       | 0             | 2 (0.7)       |         |
| Kapampangan                                           | 2 (0.4)       | 0             | 2 (0.7)       |         |
| Pangasinan/Panggalatok                                 | 3 (0.7)       | 0             | 3 (1.1)       |         |
| Others                                                 | 3 (0.7)       | 1 (0.6)       | 2 (0.7)       |         |
| No answer                                              | 25 (5.5)      | 12 (6.6)      | 13 (4.7)      |         |
| Ever consumed $\geq1$ drink of any type of alcoholic beverage in the past 12 months (%) | 117 (25.6) | 51 (28.2) | 66 (23.9) | 0.407 |
| Daily                                                  | 2 (1.7)       | 1 (2.0)       | 1 (1.5)       |         |
| 5-6 days / week                                        | 1 (0.8)       | 1 (2.0)       | 0             |         |
| 1-4 days / week                                        | 12 (10.3)     | 5 (9.8)       | 7 (10.6)      |         |
| 1-3 days / month                                       | 17 (14.5)     | 9 (17.7)      | 8 (12.1)      |         |
| Less than once a month                                 | 79 (67.5)     | 32 (62.7)     | 47 (71.2)     |         |
| Current smoker (%)                                     | 26 (5.9)      | 8 (2.8)       | 18 (4.4)      | 0.061   |
| Physical activity (%)                                  |               |               |               |         |
| With recreation activity $^*$                          | 226 (49.4)    | 94 (52.2)     | 132 (47.6)    | 0.340   |
| Median time spent for recreation-related activity per day (minutes) | 30.0 | 28.7 | 30.0 | 0.044 |
| Average amount of sedentary activity (hours)$^*$        | 8.0           | 7.5           | 8.3           | 0.012   |

$^*$ Includes those with some level and those who graduated for each specific category (e.g. elementary, includes those with some level and those who graduated elementary education)

$^*$ Multiple response, some community health workers with multiple roles in the barangay

$^*$ Did any moderate- to vigorous-intensity sports, fitness or recreational (leisure) activities that cause large increases in breathing or heart rate (e.g. running or football) for at least 10 minutes continuously

$^*$ Sitting or reclining (at work, at home, getting to and from places) but does not include time spent sleeping

Table 2 shows the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors by geographical location. The overall prevalence of overweight was 33% by WHO and 21% by Asia Pacific classification. Meanwhile, the overall prevalence of obesity was 11.2% by WHO and 43.8% by the Asia Pacific classification. There were more CHWs who were obese in urban areas compared to those from rural areas ($p=0.012$).
Table 2. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors among community health workers by geographical location

| Risk Factor | Total (n=457) | Rural (n=181) | Urban (n=276) | p value |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| BMI (WHO), kg/m² (%) | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 19 (4.2) | 6 (3.3) | 13 (4.7) | 0.208 |
| Ideal (18.5-24.9) | 228 (49.9) | 100 (55.2) | 128 (46.4) | |
| Overweight (25-29.9) | 149 (32.6) | 57 (31.5) | 92 (33.3) | |
| Obese (≥30) | 51 (11.2) | 15 (8.3) | 36 (13.0) | |
| No data | 10 (2.2) | 3 (1.7) | 7 (2.5) | |
| BMI (Asia Pacific), kg/m² (%) | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 19 (4.2) | 6 (3.3) | 13 (4.7) | 0.106 |
| Ideal (18.5-24.9) | 132 (28.9) | 64 (35.4) | 68 (24.6) | |
| Overweight (23-24.9) | 96 (21.0) | 36 (19.9) | 60 (21.7) | |
| Obese (≥25) | 200 (43.8) | 72 (39.8) | 128 (46.4) | |
| No data | 10 (2.2) | 3 (1.7) | 7 (2.5) | |
| Android obesity% | | | | |
| ≥0.85 (Female) (n=439) | 323 (73.6) | 127 (73.0) | 196 (74.0) | 0.529 |
| ≥1.0 (Male) (n=18) | 2 (11.1) | 0 | 2 (18.2) | 0.476 |
| Blood pressure% | | | | |
| Normotensive | 162 (35.4) | 70 (38.7) | 92 (33.3) | 0.762 |
| Pre-hypertensive | 136 (29.8) | 52 (28.7) | 84 (30.4) | |
| Stage 1 hypertension | 93 (20.4) | 35 (19.3) | 58 (21.0) | |
| Stage 2 hypertension | 55 (12.0) | 21 (11.6) | 34 (12.3) | |
| No data | 11 (2.4) | 3 (1.7) | 8 (2.9) | |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L (%) | | | | |
| <5.2 (Desirable) | 250 (54.7) | 110 (60.8) | 140 (50.7) | 0.143 |
| 5.2-6.1 (Borderline high) | 114 (25.0) | 42 (23.2) | 72 (26.1) | |
| ≥6.2 (High) | 74 (16.2) | 24 (13.3) | 50 (18.1) | |
| No data | 19 (4.2) | 5 (2.8) | 14 (5.1) | |
| LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L (%) | | | | |
| <2.6 (Optimal) | 111 (24.3) | 53 (29.3) | 58 (21.0) | 0.073 |
| 2.6-3.3 (Near/above optimal) | 160 (35.0) | 65 (35.9) | 95 (34.4) | |
| 3.4-4.0 (Borderline high) | 90 (19.7) | 36 (19.9) | 54 (19.6) | |
| ≥4.1 (High) | 77 (16.8) | 22 (12.2) | 55 (19.9) | |
| No data | 19 (4.2) | 5 (2.8) | 14 (5.1) | |
| HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L (%) | | | | |
| Low<sup>a</sup> | 275 (60.2) | 124 (68.5) | 151 (54.7) | 0.006 |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L (%) | | | | |
| <1.7 (Normal) | 347 (75.9) | 142 (78.4) | 205 (74.3) | 0.791 |
| 1.7-2.25 (Borderline high) | 49 (10.7) | 19 (10.5) | 30 (10.9) | |
| ≥2.26 (High) | 42 (9.2) | 15 (8.3) | 27 (9.8) | |
| Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L (%) | | | | |
| <5.5 | 151 (33.0) | 63 (34.8) | 88 (31.9) | 0.082 |
| 5.5-6.9 (Pre-diabetes) | 224 (49.0) | 96 (53.0) | 128 (46.4) | |
| ≥7.0 (Diabetes) | 62 (13.6) | 17 (9.4) | 45 (16.3) | |
| Resting heart rate, beats/minute (%) | | | | |
| <70 | 196 (42.9) | 101 (55.8) | 95 (34.4) | <0.001 |
| ≥70 | 241 (52.7) | 70 (42.0) | 165 (59.8) | |

<sup>a</sup> BMI, body mass index
<sup>1</sup> WHO, World Health Organization
<sup>2</sup> High waist-hip ratio
<sup>3</sup> Stage I: SBP ≥140 to ≤159 mmHg, or DBP ≥90 to ≤99 mmHg; stage II, SBP ≥160 mmHg or DBP ≥100 mmHg
<sup>4</sup> LDL, low density lipoprotein
<sup>5</sup> HDL, high density lipoprotein
<sup>6</sup> Low HDL-cholesterol, <1.03 mmol/L for males and <1.29 mmol/L for females

hypertensive level. Furthermore, the overall prevalence of diabetes mellitus was at 13.6%, more commonly in CHWs in urban compared to rural areas. The percentage of CHWs with low HDL-c was higher in rural compared to those from urban areas (p=0.006). On the other hand, the percentage of CHWs with heart rate of at least 70 bpm was higher in urban compared to those in rural areas (<0.001).

Table 3 presents the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus among CHWs by urbanization and other selected demographic and anthropometric characteristics. By bivariate analysis, hypertension was not found to be significantly different between rural and urban locations. Conversely, significant factors found to be associated with hypertension were age (at least 50 years old), civil status (without domestic partner), educational attainment (elementary level of education), and BMI (overweight/obese). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that age (aOR 5.3, 95% CI: 3.2 to 8.8, p=0.001), obesity (aOR 2.4, 95% CI: 1.4 to 4.0, p=0.002), and alcohol consumption (aOR 1.7, 95% CI: 1.0 to 3.0, p<0.040) were strongly associated with hypertension. On the other hand, diabetes mellitus was found to be associated with geographical location, age and time spent in sedentary activities by bivariate analysis. The prevalence of diabetes was higher among CHWs at least 50 years old (aOR 2.7, 95% CI: 1.4 to 5.1, p=0.002), and those who spent at least 5 hours in sedentary activities (aOR 3.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 12.7, p<0.033) by multivariate analysis.

Amount of physical activity was compared in those with and without hypertension (Table 4). The amount of time doing recreation-related activities and sedentary activities was not found to be significantly different between CHWs with or without hypertension. On the other hand, the average time doing sedentary activities such as sitting and reclining (excluding sleeping) was higher among CHWs with diabetes compared to those without diabetes (p=0.048).
DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the Philippines about cardiovascular risk profile and metabolic syndrome in CHWs. It is significant to note that the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors was common among CHWs who participated in this study.

The results of the 8th National Nutrition Survey (NNS) done in 2013 by the Food and Nutrition Research Institute of the Department of Science and Technology (FNRI-DOST) showed that more Filipinos have hypertension, high fasting blood sugar (FBS) and high cholesterol and triglyceride levels, which are risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and other lifestyle-related diseases. One in every 4 Filipino adults has hypertension or a blood pressure (BP) reading equal to or higher than 140/90. In the Asia-Pacific Region, the prevalence of hypertension ranges from 5 to 47% in men and 7 to 38% in women. In other developing countries like Nigeria, the prevalence of hypertension is even higher at 35.4% in the semi-urban community as against 25.1% in rural community.

The prevalence of high fasting blood sugar, an indicator of diabetes mellitus, is 5 in every 100 Filipinos, based on the 8th NNS. The prevalence of high FBS or hyperglycemia peaks at age 50 to 59 years. The NNS also showed that almost half of Filipino adults had borderline to high TC level. More than one-third of Filipinos have hypertension, high fasting blood sugar (FBS) and high cholesterol and triglyceride levels, which are risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and other lifestyle-related diseases.

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome was higher with increasing age and among those with lower level of education (Table 7).

Table 3. Prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus according to socio-demographic and anthropometric characteristics

| Demographic characteristics | n | Hypertension (%) | p value | Diabetes mellitus (%) | p value |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age, yr                     |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| <50                         | 222 | 16.2             | <0.0001 | 9.9                   | 0.021   |
| ≥50                         | 230 | 48.7             |         | 17.4                  |         |
| Place or residence          |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Rural                       | 181 | 30.9             | 0.529   | 9.4                   | 0.035   |
| Urban                       | 276 | 33.3             |         | 16.3                  |         |
| Civil status                |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| No domestic partner         | 106 | 41.5             | 0.027   | 16.0                  | 0.437   |
| With domestic partner       | 337 | 30.3             |         | 13.1                  |         |
| Education                   |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Elementary                  | 79  | 49.4             | 0.001   | 13.9                  | 0.927   |
| High school/college         | 362 | 29.3             |         | 13.5                  |         |
| BMI (WHO), kg/m²            |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Underweight (<18.5)         | 19  | 10.5             | 0.014   | 0                     | 0.024   |
| Ideal (18.5-24.9)           | 228 | 29.4             |         | 12.3                  |         |
| Overweight (25-29.9)        | 149 | 36.9             |         | 13.4                  |         |
| Obese (≥30)                 | 51  | 45.1             |         | 25.5                  |         |
| BMI (Asia-Pacific) kg/m²    |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Underweight (<18.5)         | 19  | 10.5             | 0.008   | 0                     | 0.191   |
| Ideal (18.5-22.9)           | 132 | 25.0             |         | 12.1                  |         |
| Overweight (23-24.9)        | 96  | 35.4             |         | 12.5                  |         |
| Obese (≥25)                 | 200 | 39.0             |         | 16.5                  |         |
| Ever consumed ≥1 drink of any type of alcoholic beverage |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Yes                         | 117 | 34.2             | 0.658   | 13.7                  | 0.954   |
| No                          | 324 | 32.1             |         | 13.9                  |         |
| Current smoker              |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| Yes                         | 28  | 25.0             | 0.329   | 14.3                  | 1.000   |
| No                          | 413 | 33.2             |         | 13.8                  |         |
| Time spent in sedentary activities (hrs) |   |                  |         |                       |         |
| <5 hours                    | 69  | 29.0             | 0.584   | 4.4                   | 0.012   |
| ≥5 hours                    | 362 | 34.0             |         | 15.2                  |         |

* No domestic partner includes those who were never married, widow/widower, and separated/annulled
* BMI, body mass index
* WHO, World Health Organization

Table 4. Average amount of physical activity per day according to hypertension status

| Physical activity | Hypertension | p value |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|
|                   | With | Without |     |
| Amount doing recreation-related activity, minutes |   |         |     |
| N                 | 67   | 154     |     |
| Mean (SD)         | 47.9 (49.2) | 60.2 (95.8) |     |
| Median            | 30.0 | 27.9    | 0.739* |
| Mean amount of sedentary activity, hours (SD) | 8.00 (3.1) | 8.03 (3.3) | 0.936* |

* Mann-Whitney U test
* t-test

Table 5. Average amount of physical activity per day according to diabetes status

| Physical activity | Diabetes mellitus | p value |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                   | With | Without |     |
| Amount doing recreation-related activity, minutes |   |         |     |
| N                 | 28   | 198     |     |
| Mean (SD)         | 39.8 (44.4) | 59.1 (87.7) |     |
| Median            | 25.7 | 30.0    | 0.622* |
| Mean amount of sedentary activity, hours (SD) | 8.7 (3.4) | 7.9 (3.2) | 0.048* |

* Mann-Whitney U test
* t-test

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome and component risk factors in this population are shown in Table 6. The overall prevalence of metabolic syndrome was 52.3%. Among the component risk factors of metabolic syndrome, the prevalence of low HDL-c among CHWs from rural areas was higher compared to those from urban areas (p<0.006). The prevalence of metabolic syndrome was higher with increasing age and among those with lower level of education (Table 7).
related to reproductive health to a female CHW. Female patients are more comfortable sharing problems because they may be more helpful in the realm of maternal health because compared to their male counterparts. Female CHWs may accomplish their tasks vis-à-vis maternal and child health with greater ease compared to their male counterparts. Female CHWs may be more helpful in the realm of maternal health because female patients are more comfortable sharing problems related to reproductive health to a female CHW.

Six percent of the CHWs in the present study were current smokers, borderline to high TC, TG, LDL-c, and low HDL-c. On the other hand, this study showed a higher prevalence of obesity (11.2% by WHO and 43.8% by the Asia Pacific classification), hypertension (32.4%) and diabetes (13.6%) compared to the national data. These two populations may not be comparable since majority of the CHWs in this study were females and older with a median age of 50 years, compared with the NNS adult population which was randomly selected nationwide.

The current policy under R. A. 7833 considers BHWs as merely volunteers. CHWs, in general, were mostly females. One usual explanation for this is the cultural expectations and social norms that men are supposed to be breadwinners while women are homemakers, which includes the role of taking care of the sick. Majority of Filipino males have full-time jobs, and more women are out of the workforce. The 2016 Labor Force Survey showed that 78% of Filipino men are in the labor force compared to just 49% among women. It was also observed by Najafizada in Afghanistan that female CHWs accomplished their tasks vis-à-vis maternal and child health with greater ease compared to their male counterparts. Female CHWs may be more helpful in the realm of maternal health because female patients are more comfortable sharing problems related to reproductive health to a female CHW.

Six percent of the CHWs in the present study were current smokers, lower than the overall national prevalence (25%) in the 8th NNS. It was expected that the prevalence of current smokers among CHWs was lower since 96% of the participants were females, and the national prevalence of current smokers among women was only 7.8%. However, the prevalence of current smokers was higher among rural CHWs compared to their urban counterparts. Rural residency has long been associated with higher rates of smoking. Based on a study in the United States, compared to their urban counterparts, rural communities have higher rates of risky behaviors such as smoking.

Older age, alcohol consumption and higher BMI were independently associated with hypertension in the study population. This finding was consistent with other studies in Malaysia, India, and Kenya. Similarly, bivariate analysis showed that civil status was found to be associated with hypertension, as observed in other studies. It was hypothesized that social integration, such as marital state and cohabitation, can influence health status, specifically blood pressure level. It can partly be explained that when cohabiting, there may be a social support system which is protective from having hypertension. On the other hand, the economic aspects of living alone may also be considered as a factor contributing to higher blood pressure, since married individuals potentially have higher financial resources that could promote healthy lifestyles. In this study, hypertension was higher among CHWs with lower educational attainment, consistent with other studies in

| Table 6. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and component risk factors by geographical location |
| Component risk factor | Total (n=457) | Rural (n=181) | Urban (n=276) | p value |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| BP ≥130/85 or on treatment, % | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 0.906 |
| Fasting glucose ≥5.5 mmol/L, % | 57.1 | 58.0 | 56.5 | 0.981 |
| Low HDL cholesterol, % | 60.2 | 68.5 | 54.7 | 0.006 |
| Triglycerides ≥1.7 mmol/L, % | 19.9 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 0.538 |
| WC, % | 65.9 | 61.9 | 68.5 | 0.118 |
| Metabolic syndrome by IDF, % | 47.3 | 42.5 | 50.4 | 0.101 |
| Metabolic syndrome by mNCEP, % | 52.3 | 51.4 | 52.9 | 0.491 |

| Table 7. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome according to socio-demographic characteristics of CHWs |
| Demographic characteristics | n | MetS by IDF | p value | MetS by mNCEP | p value |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Age, yr | | | | | |
| <50 | 222 | 42.3 | 0.045 | 43.7 | <0.0001 |
| ≥50 | 230 | 51.7 | 0.632 | 53.6 | 0.145 |
| Civil status | | | | | |
| No domestic partner | 106 | 49.1 | 0.736 | 57.6 | 0.004 |
| With domestic partner | 337 | 47.2 | 0.060 | 51.3 | 0.491 |
| Education | | | | | |
| Elementary | 79 | 57.0 | 0.048 | 67.1 | 0.271 |
| High school/college | 362 | 45.3 | 0.004 | 49.5 | 0.271 |
| Place of residence | | | | | |
| Rural | 181 | 42.5 | 0.010 | 51.4 | 0.271 |
| Urban | 276 | 50.4 | 0.004 | 52.9 | 0.271 |
| Ever consumed ≥1 drink of any type of alcoholic beverage | | | | | |
| Yes | 171 | 44.4 | 0.022 | 47.9 | 0.022 |
| No | 324 | 48.8 | 0.491 | 54.6 | 0.491 |
| Current smoker | | | | | |
| Yes | 28 | 21.4 | 0.004 | 39.3 | 0.004 |
| No | 413 | 49.4 | 0.101 | 53.8 | 0.101 |
| Time spent in sedentary activities, hours | | | | | |
| <5 | 69 | 44.9 | 0.632 | 53.6 | 0.084 |
| ≥5 | 362 | 48.1 | 0.491 | 52.8 | 0.491 |

a BP, blood pressure
b HDL, high density lipoprotein <1.0 mmol/L for males, <1.3 mmol/L for females
c IDF, International Diabetes Federation
d mNCEP, modern National Cholesterol Education Program
The prevalence of diabetes was higher among CHWs compared to the national estimate of the 8th NNS among the general adult population. Older age, those living in urban areas and those who spent at least 5 hours a day in sedentary activities were more likely to have diabetes. These findings were similar with previous studies conducted in Vietnam and South Asia. The cause of diabetes has been attributed to a variety of social and lifestyle factors that can be modified. Higher rate of obesity in this population may explain the high prevalence of diabetes, as it is a major contributory factor to the current diabetes epidemic. The lifestyle of CHWs living in the rural areas is relatively more active as compared to those in the urban areas as indicated by the higher percentage of physical inactivity. Most people in the rural areas live and work on farms or fisheries which require physical activities such as walking, swimming, gardening, planting or fishing. The higher prevalence of diabetes in urban areas may partly be explained by the sedentary lifestyle observed in urban areas. Sedentary lifestyle is characterized by sitting or lying down while engaged in activities like reading, watching television or using mobile phones, to name a few. Environmental factors associated with cardiovascular risk factors differ widely across populations. This may be due to culture and stage of urbanization. Specific populations in different locations may adopt diverse lifestyles, and one of the most marked societal and environmental changes has been linked with urbanization.

CHWs are the significant link between communities or families and the formal health systems. They are known to be effective community organizers, health educators, and health care providers. With the recent passage of R.A. No. 11223, the Universal Health Care Act, for the DOH to effectively implement their strategic thrust to attain universal health care for all, the contribution of CHWs cannot be overlooked. However, based on the results of this study, CHWs are aging and have higher rates of obesity, hypertension and diabetes compared to the general population. Their credibility as health workers is linked to their health status. Modelling healthy behaviour is a vital function of CHWs, and unhealthy behaviours and conditions among them render their ability to promote health and provide health messages less effective. Full implementation of R.A. 7883 is essential to look into the welfare of the CHWs and provide them due benefits and incentives under the Magna Carta for Public Health workers. CHWs can be considered as among the priority in appropriating budgetary allocation using the “Special Health Fund” of the Universal Health Care Act. The local authorities hiring community health workers must establish well-defined selection criteria and adopt occupational health programs to ensure effectiveness of services given by the health workers. The provision of patient support programs, such as free medicines, laboratory exams and lifestyle advice, would also improve the present health condition of CHWs in the communities. The health status of CHWs must be addressed for them to perform better in the delivery of health services in their respective communities.

The results of this study gave us an overview of the cardiovascular risk profile among CHWs from selected villages in the Philippines. These findings may be validated in a greater scale that would be representative of the CHWs nationwide. This can be made possible once the Universal Health Care law is fully implemented.

CONCLUSION

Metabolic syndrome is prevalent among CHW participants, with obesity, hypertension and low-HDL-c as the most common components of metabolic syndrome present. Older CHWs, those who consumed alcoholic drinks and those with higher BMI were more likely to have hypertension. Moreover, older CHWs and those who spent at least 5 hours of sedentary activities tended to have diabetes. The prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in this population was not found to be significantly different between rural and urban areas after adjusting for other factors.
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