Caudate lobe as the sole remnant liver following extended liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Extended liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma can be performed safely and results in long-term survival in select patients. Caudate lobe as the sole remnant liver following extended liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma has traditionally been considered a relative contraindication to resection for advanced tumors of the liver. This study evaluated this surgical technique and the results of patients with tumors who had undergone liver resection with the caudate lobe as the sole remnant liver.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 68-year-old man with a tumor (9 cm × 11 cm) located in Couinaud's segment VI + VII + VIII and another tumor (7 cm × 8 cm) located in segment IV + V underwent liver tumor resection.

DISCUSSION: Pathological examination of the resected tumors revealed HCC and mixed nodular cirrhosis. With a follow-up, the patient survived 28 months.

CONCLUSION: Despite its small volume, the caudate lobe has integrated bilateral blood supply system and proliferates easily. Liver resection is a feasible procedure that can be performed with an acceptable operative risk leading to long-term outcome in selected patients.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Extended liver resection has generally been accepted as the treatment of choice for large HCC in patients with well-preserved liver function. Caudate lobe-sparing subtotal hepatectomy for primary hepatolithiasis has been reported.† But, extended hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with the caudate lobe representing the only remnant liver following resection has not been previously reported.

2. Presentation of case

A 68-year-old man presented with the complaint of upper abdominal pain and discomfort for 1-month duration. Additional symptoms were asthenia and anorexia with a weight loss of 5 kg in past 5 months. He denied fever and chills, and jaundice. He denied high blood pressure, heart disease, or diabetes. Physical examination was normal. Serum liver function tests revealed a total bilirubin (TBIL) of 17.5 μmol/L, albumin (ALB) 38 g/L, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) 36 U/L, and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 29 U/L. Renal function was normal. White blood cells were 3.57 × 9/μL, hemoglobin 70.2 g/L; platelet: 157 × 10^9/μL, prothrombin time (PT): 13.35, and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT): 27.45. Tumor markers (alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA199)) were all within the normal range and the serum hepatitis panel was negative. Ultrasound showed multiple hypoechoic areas in the right liver lobe. The maximum diameter of each lesion ranged from 7 to 11 cm. Imaging characteristics were consistent with that of cirrhosis and the presence of left intrahepatic bile duct stones. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning confirmed following findings: multiple liver tumors with cirrhosis, splenomegaly, esophageal varices and cholangitis of the liver, and compensatory hypertrophy of the caudate lobe (Fig. 1) were found. Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min (ICG-15) was 12.1%. The future liver remnant (FLR) was about 40%.

At the time of the operation, the abdominal cavity was thoroughly explored to rule out extrahepatic disease. Intraoperative ultrasound was performed to assess the number and size of the lesions, and the relationship of the tumor to vascular structures. The left lobe was noted as atrophic with caudate lobe compensatory hypertrophy. A tumor (9 cm × 11 cm) was located in Couinaud's segments VI + VII + VIII and the other tumor (7 cm × 8 cm) was located in segments IV + V. The caudate lobe was found to protrude through the lesser omentum. After ruling out extrahepatic disease, the liver was fully mobilized and the suprahepatic cava was surrounded. The sheath of the porta hepatis was opened and a small portal branch that passes from the main portal vein to the caudate lobe was carefully preserved. The hepatic artery and the
bile duct of the caudate lobe were also preserved. All vessels of
the right lobe (segments V–VIII) and the left lobe (segments II–IV)
were divided and ligated prior to the liver parenchymal transsection.
The lesser omentum was opened and the caval left hepatic junc-
tion was exposed. The common venous trunk of the left hepatic
vein and the middle hepatic vein was then divided and ligated.
All short ducts draining the caudate were preserved. The right
hepatic vein was then isolated, cross-clamped, and divided. During
liver parenchymal transection, was performed under intermit-
tent Pringle’s maneuver (intermittent inflow occlusion of 15 min
clamps time and 5 min unclamped interval) was employed, and
liver resection was carried out by a clamp-crushing method. Inci-
sion was made between segments I and segments II + III. The liver
capsule was incised and vessels of the left lobe encountered were
ligated and divided. Great care was taken to protect the short
hepatic vein and the left portal branch of the caudate lobe. A second
incision was positioned to the right of the caudate lobe (between
segments VI + VII + VIII and process portion + paracaval portion).
Transection of the liver tissue was performed as close as feasible
to the lines of segmental anatomy. It is essential to preserve the
portal vein, hepatic artery, and bile duct of the caudate lobe dur-
ing hepatectomy. One of the major issues is the preservation of
inflow and outflow vasculature. The cut surfaces of the liver were
managed with a combination of electrocautery and directly covered
by greater omentum and hemostatic gauze. Only the hypertrophic
caudate lobe was left as the remnant portion. The resected tumors
located in the atrophic right and left livers are shown in Fig. 2.

The operation lasted for 3 h and the Warm ischemia time was
13 and 8 min. Intra-operative blood loss was 1800 ml and 4 U of
RBC and 6 U of fresh plasma were transfused during the operation.
A continuous abdominal double-cannula lavage with low negative
pressure drainage was utilized for drainage of the abdominal cavity.
The abdominal drain was removed on the fifth day after the oper-
ation. The patient recovered fully 15 days after the operation and
was discharged from the hospital. Pathological examination of the
resected tumors revealed HCC (II–III) and mixed nodular cirrhosis.
The patient died of recurrence 28 months after the operation.

3. Discussion

The caudate lobe is generally divided into three regions: the left
Spiegel lobe (Couinaud’s segment I), the process portion (segment
X), and the paracaval portion (segment IX).\(^2\)\(^3\) The caudate lobe
extends to the hilum of the liver just posterior to the bifurcation
of the portal vein. Cephalad portion of the caudate lobe lies pos-
terior to the confluence of the left and middle veins as they enter
the IVC on the left. The caudate lobe receives portal blood flow
from both the left and, to a lesser extent, the right portal systems.
Venous drainage occurs along its posterior aspect directly into the
IVC through multiple small branches of variable size and location
(short hepatic veins). Biliary drainage includes small tributaries
to the right, but is predominantly through the left hepatic duct;
hepatic arterial flow is mainly through a solitary branch from the
main left hepatic artery and a second smaller branch from the right
posterior sectoral artery.\(^4\)

Despite the small volume, the caudate lobe has integrated
bilateral blood supply system and proliferates easily. The atrophy
caused by intrahepatic bile duct stones is always combined with
compensatory hyperplasia. Therefore hyperplasia of the caudate
lobe is always found in patients with intrahepatic bile duct stones,
especially in end-stage patients to maintain basic liver functions.\(^1\)

It is critical to assess the liver function of residual caudate lobe
to avoid liver failure after hepatectomy. The residual liver func-
tion evaluation includes ICG\(^5\) and measurement of residual liver
volume. A remnant liver volume-to-body weight ratio (RLV-BWR)
≥0.8% or the ratio of RLV-to-total liver volume (RLV-TLV) ≥30% is
safe in predicting postoperative course after major hepatectomy
in normal liver. Patients having RLV-BWR <0.5% or RLV-TLV <20%
are at considerable risk for hepatic dysfunction and postoperative
mortality.\(^6\)\(^–\)\(^9\) Our patient’s ICG–15 was of normal range. The MRI
scan also indicated the volume of the caudate lobe to be more
than 30% of the whole liver (Fig. 3)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^8\). In addition, the patient had
intrahepatic bile duct stones for an unknown period of time; the
hyperplasia present maintained the normal functioning of the cau-
date lobe. With adequate inflow, outflow and biliary drainage, a
case such as this which is based on the caudate remnant alone is
possible.

Resection of HCC with only a preserved caudate lobe is chal-
lenging for several reasons. First, from the anatomical standpoint,
the caudate lobe was large and deep in the retroperitoneum, thus
inhibiting visualization. Second, cirrhosis of the liver may neces-
sitate the need for a larger liver remnant. Third, this anatomic
resection requires a thorough knowledge of the anatomy of the
liver and a high level of operative experience.
4. Conclusion

Hepatic resection may be performed for a large HCC within the caudate lobe, provided inflow, outflow and biliary drainage are preserved in addition to an adequate remnant. This unique case of the caudate being the sole remnant is the first such case reported to date.
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