Recent progress on the interaction between insects and Bacillus thuringiensis crops
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Extensive use of chemical pesticides poses a great threat to the environment and food safety. The discovery of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) toxins with effective insecticidal activity against pests and the development of transgenic technology of plants opened a new era of pest control. Transgenic Bt crops, including maize, cotton and soya bean, have now been produced and commercialized to protect against about 30 major coleopteran and lepidopteran pests, greatly benefitting the environment and the economy. However, with the long-term cultivation of Bt crops, some target pests have gradually developed resistance. Numerous studies have indicated that mutations in genes for toxins activation, toxin-binding and insect immunization are important sources in Bt resistance. An in-depth exploration of the corresponding Bt-resistance mechanisms will aid in the design of new strategies to prevent and control pests. Future research will focus on Bt crops expressing new genes and multiple genes to control a broader range of pests as part of an integrated pest management programme.

This article is part of the theme issue ‘Biotic signalling sheds light on smart pest management’.

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of agricultural society, pest management has been an important part of agricultural production. The advent of various chemical pesticides has promoted crop production and been the main pest control measure [1]. Chemical pesticides have also brought serious problems such as the emergence of insect resistance, the re-emergence of insect pests, threats to non-target organisms, soil contamination, environmental pollution, ecological hazards and food safety problems [2–4]. With the continuous improvement of living standards, pollution and food safety problems caused by chemical pesticides have brought widespread demands for more effective and safe pest control technology [5].

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), a Gram-positive soil bacterium, produces endospores and a poisonous parasporal crystal. After ingestion by a herbivorous insect, the crystal dissolves in the alkaline environment of the insect midgut, releasing one or more insecticidal crystalline proteins (ICPs), also known as a delta-endotoxin [6]. ICPs can be activated by midgut proteases. Once activated, the ICPs interact with larval midgut epithelial cells and destroy membrane integrity, ultimately leading to insect death [7,8].

As a biogenic insecticide, the Bt-ICP has significant advantages over chemical insecticides [9], but direct spraying of Bt has many problems. Poor product stability, easy inactivation under visible light, short residual effect period, slow speed of killing, and susceptibility to soil and environmental factors have severely limited commercialization of Bt insecticides. As more Bt genes have been discovered...
and transgenic technology has improved, generating Bt crops has become more convenient. Since the first Bt-insecticidal crystalline protein gene was cloned and sequenced in 1981, 993 Bt toxin-encoding genes have been cloned and classified, including 801 Cry genes, 40 Cyt genes and 152 Vip genes (http://www.lifesci.sussex.ac.uk/home/Neil_Crickmore/Bt/), providing abundant material for producing transgenic Bt crops. In the past 22 years, transgenic Bt crops have been widely developed and grown commercially, contributing greatly to the control of numerous agricultural pests [10].

With long-time use of Bt crops, however, target pests can respond actively by evolving resistance to the crop as in the case of Busseola fusca, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, Helicoverpa zea, Pectinophora gossypiella and Spodoptera frugiperda [11]. By understanding the mechanism underlying this resistance in target pests, we hope to devise strategies to delay resistance evolution. In addition, crops expressing novel Bt toxins have been developed and popularized. In this paper, we summarize the Bt-transgenic crops that have been commercialized and the target pests and recent progress on the emergence of resistance.

2. Commercialized Bacillus thuringiensis crops and the target pests

Genetically modified (GM) plants producing Bt genes have been approved for commercialized cultivation in most of the major grain and economic crops, including maize, cotton, soya bean, rice, potato, brinjal, tomato and sugar-cane. Currently, Bt crops are mainly cultivated to manage coleopteran, lepidopteran and some hemipteran insects (table 1).

(a) Bacillus thuringiensis maize

The early Bt genes inserted into maize were primarily Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac and Cry2A [56]. Subsequently, Cry3A/35Ab1 and Cry3Bb1 genes were introduced to control closely related pest species [56,57]. In recent years, Bt genes have started to be stacked in GM maize. For example, a maize variety expressing five Bt genes (eCry3.1Ab, mCry3A, Cry1Ab, Cry1Fa2 and Vip3Aa20) was planted commercially in 2013, and another GM maize expressing six Bt genes (Cry2Ab2, Cry1A.105, Cry1F, Cry3Ab1, Cry35Ab1 and Cry3Bb1) was introduced in 2017. At present, even more GM maize varieties that produce multiple Bt genes have been approved (http://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/default.asp). Chilo partellus caused much less damage to the leaves of three Bt maize hybrids producing Cry1Ab than to those of non-Bt iso-hybrids, and the mortality of C. partellus larvae feeding on Bt maize was 79.4–100% in laboratory tests [58]. Cry3Bb1 is one of the most commonly used Bt toxins in GM maize, has good insecticidal activity against Colorado potato beetle (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) and even better activity against western corn rootworm (D. v. virgifera) [59,60]. In eastern North Dakota (United States), the total feeding injury and population level of western corn rootworm were the lowest on Cry3Bb1+Cry34/35Ab1 hybrids than on Bt maize producing either Cry3Bb1 or Cry34/35Ab1 protein alone [61].

(b) Bacillus thuringiensis cotton

In 1996, Bt cotton producing Cry1Ac was first released for cultivation in Australia and the United States, and in China the next year [11,62]. Early in the history of GM cotton, Bt cotton expressing Cry1Ac gave good control of major target pests such as cotton bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera) and pink bollworm (P. gossypiella), and obviously, the population of the target pests decreased [63]. However, long-term planting of a cotton variety with one Bt gene brings the risk of resistance, so two-toxin cotton, including different toxin combinations of Cry1Ac+Cry2Ae, Cry1Ab+Cry3P3(a), Cry1Ab+Cry2Ab2, Cry1Ab+Cry2Ac and Cry1Ac+Cry2Ab2, began to be studied and tested at the end of the twentieth century. In many countries, Bt cotton expressing Cry1Ab+Cry2Ab2 gradually replaced GM cotton expressing a single-Bt gene [62]. When control efficiency was monitored, the number of cotton bollworm larvae at the second, third and fourth generations on two-toxin cotton was 81.4%, 87.1% and 87.0%, respectively, lower than on non-Bt cotton. Compared with one-toxin cotton, the number of larvae decreased by 11.1%, 33.3% and 57.1%, respectively [64]. Some three-toxin Bt cottons that express Cry1Ac+Cry1F+Cry3P3(a), Cry1Ac+Cry2Ab2+Cry3P3(a), and Cry1Ab+Cry2Ac+Cry3P3(a) have also been developed (http://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/gmtrait/default.asp?TraitID=6&GmTrait=Lepidopteran%20Insect%20Resistance). Although cotton bollworm and other lepidopteran pests have been well controlled, the non-target pest, the mirid bug (Lygus hesperus; Hemiptera: Miridae), has emerged as the main pest [65]. Interestingly, Monsanto has found that Cry51Aa2 had insecticidal activity against mirid nymphs. Cry51Aa2 belongs to the Mtx (mosquitocidal toxins) group of proteins, which differs structurally from the widely used Cry1A [39,66] and is also insecticidal against Apolygus latus [41]. At present, mirid is mainly controlled by chemical pesticides. If the Cry51Aa2 gene can be co-introduced into cotton with another Bt toxin gene(s), the risk of mirids outbreak and environmental problems from pesticides should be greatly lowered.

(c) Bacillus thuringiensis soya bean

GM soya bean accounts for the largest proportion of all GM crops planted, so far, Cry1Ac, Cry1F, Cry1A105 and Cry2Ab2 have been studied in soya bean. Monsanto developed a GM soya bean variety MON87701 (expressing Cry1Ac) and MON89788 (expressing 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS)) and was first commercially released in Brazil during the 2013–2014 growing season [10]. Bt soya bean varieties MON87701 and MON87701RR2Y (expressing Cry1Ac+EPSPS) were significantly resistant to H. armigera throughout the whole growing season when first released; H. armigera larvae had a survival rate between 5.4% and 24.4%, significantly lower than after feeding on non-Bt beans (71–94.9%). The survival rate, larval mass and female fecundity of Spodoptera litura also significantly decreased when Bt soya bean was planted [51]. Soya bean MON87701×MON89788 also has a high preventive effect against Heliotis virescens [67]. In an efficacy test with a modified Bt soya bean cultivar, 100% mortality of H. armigera was obtained for all six instars [68].

(d) Bacillus thuringiensis rice

Since Fujimoto first introduced Cry1Ab into a japonica rice variety, several other Bt rice materials with good insect
| crop   | Bt toxin | commercialized (yes/no) | target pest                  | reference |
|--------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| maize  | Cry1Ab   | yes                     | Ostrinia furnacalis          | [12]      |
|        |          |                         | Ostrinia nubilalis           | [13]      |
|        |          |                         | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [14]      |
|        |          |                         | Busseola fusca               | [15]      |
|        |          |                         | Diatraea saccharalis         | [16]      |
|        |          |                         | Diatraea grandiosella        | [17]      |
|        |          |                         | Chilo partellus              | [18]      |
|        | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Ostrinia furnacalis          | [12]      |
|        |          |                         | Chilo partellus              | [18]      |
|        | Cry1Fa2  | yes                     | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [14]      |
|        | Cry1F    | yes                     | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [19]      |
|        | Cry9C    | yes                     | Ostrinia nubilalis           | [21]      |
|        | Cry1A.10S| yes                     | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [20]      |
|        | Cry2Ab2  | yes                     | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [20]      |
|        | Vip3Aa20 | yes                     | Spodoptera frugiperda        | [23]      |
|        | Cry3Bb1  | yes                     | Diabrotica virgifera virgifera | [24] |
|        | Cry34Ab1 | yes                     | Diabrotica virgifera virgifera | [24] |
|        | Cry35Ab1 | yes                     | Diabrotica virgifera virgifera | [24] |
|        | mCry3A   | yes                     | Diabrotica virgifera virgifera | [25] |
|        | eCry3.1Ab| yes                     | Diabrotica virgifera virgifera | [25] |
|        | Cry1Ie   | no                      | Ostrinia furnacalis          | [26]      |
|        | Cry1C    | no                      | Ostrinia furnacalis          | [27]      |
|        | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
| cotton | Cry2Ab2  | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Vip3Aa20 | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Cry3Bb1  | yes                     | Pectinophora gossypiella     | [30]      |
|        | Cry34Ab1 | yes                     | Helicoverpa zea              | [28]      |
|        | Cry35Ab1 | yes                     | Helicoverpa punctigera       | [31]      |
|        | mCry3A   | yes                     | Spodoptera exigua            | [32]      |
|        | eCry3.1Ab| yes                     | Trichoplusia ni              | [33]      |
|        | Cry1Ie   | no                      | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Cry1C    | no                      | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Cry2Ab2  | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera         | [28]      |
|        | Vip3Aa20 | yes                     | Pectinophora gossypiella     | [30]      |
|        | Cry3Bb1  | yes                     | Helicoverpa zea              | [28]      |
|        | Cry34Ab1 | yes                     | Helicoverpa puntigera        | [31]      |
|        | Cry35Ab1 | yes                     | Spodoptera exigua            | [32]      |
|        | mCry3A   | yes                     | Trichoplusia ni              | [33]      |
|        | eCry3.1Ab| yes                     | Heliothis virescens          | [29]      |
|        | Cry1Ie   | no                      | Heliothis virescens          | [29]      |
|        | Cry1C    | no                      | Pectinophora gossypiella     | [30]      |
|        | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Helicoverpa zea              | [29]      |
|        | Cry2Ab2  | yes                     | Spodoptera exigua            | [34]      |

(Continued.)
resistance have been developed. T1C-19 and T2A-1 are two widely used Bt rice lines, which have insecticidal activity in various insect tissues and organs and confer resistance during different reproductive periods [69,70]. Because deploying two or more Bt genes in one rice variety can delay the emergence of pest resistance [71], Cheng et al. [72] introduced the Cry1Ab/Cry1Ac fusion gene into various rice plants and obtained highly efficient expression strains. Field experiments with rice strain Minghui63 (Cry1Ab+Cry1Ac fusion gene) and its hybrid strain Bt-Shanyou63 showed high resistance against target pests [42]. The incidence of Chilo suppressalis larvae on another variety Huahui1, which also expresses Cry1Ab/Cry1Ac, was significantly reduced by 84.9–100% [73]. In addition, the incidence of dead heart/white head plants and damaged plants caused by C. suppressalis on Bt rice was significantly

| crop        | Bt toxin | commercialized (yes/no) | target pest                | reference |
|-------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| rice        | Vip3A(a) | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera       | [28]      |
|             |          |                          | Heliothis virescens        | [29]      |
|             |          |                          | Helicoverpa zea            | [29]      |
|             | Cry1F    | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera       | [28]      |
|             |          |                          | Heliothis virescens        | [29]      |
|             | Cry1Ab   | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera       | [28]      |
|             |          |                          | Heliothis virescens        | [37]      |
|             | Cry2Ae   | yes                     | Helicoverpa armigera       | [28]      |
|             |          |                          | Heliothis virescens        | [37]      |
|             | Cry1Ca   | no                      | Spodoptera exigua          | [38]      |
|             | Cry51Aa  | no                      | Lygus hesperus             | [39]      |
|             | Cry15Aa  | no                      | Apolygus lucorum           | [40]      |
|             | Cry1Ab   | no                      | Chilo suppressalis         | [41]      |
|             |          |                          | Cnaphalocrocis medinalis   | [41]      |
|             |          |                          | Scirpophaga incertulas     | [42]      |
|             | Cry1Ac   | no                      | Chilo suppressalis         | [41]      |
|             |          |                          | Cnaphalocrocis medinalis   | [41]      |
|             | Cry1C    | no                      | Chilo suppressalis         | [43]      |
|             |          |                          | Cnaphalocrocis medinalis   | [44]      |
|             | Cry2A    | no                      | Chilo suppressalis         | [45]      |
|             |          |                          | Cnaphalocrocis medinalis   | [46]      |
|             | Cry9C    | no                      | Chilo suppressalis         | [45]      |
|             | Vip3H    | no                      | Scirpophaga incertulas     | [47]      |
|             | Cry64Ba  | no                      | Laodelphax striatellus     | [48]      |
|             | Cry64Ca  | no                      | Laodelphax striatellus     | [48]      |
| potato      | Cry3A    | yes                     | Leptinotarsa decemlineata  | [49]      |
|             | Cry1Ab   | no                      | Phthorimaea opercullela    | [50]      |
| soya bean   | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Spodoptera litura          | [51]      |
|             |          |                          | Anticarsia gemmatalis      | [52]      |
| brinjaul    | Cry1Ac   | yes                     | Leucinodes orbonalis       | [53]      |
| sugarcane   | Cry1Ab   | yes                     | Diatraea saccharalis       | [54]      |
lower (30.8–98.3% and 11.4–96.6%, respectively) than on the control variety. Recently, Cry6Ba and Cry6Ca proved to be effective in controlling rice planthoppers, thus providing a novel strategy to manage hemipteran pests [48].

(e) Other Bacillus thuringiensis crops
GM potato expressing Cry3A showed significant resistance to Colorado potato beetle [49]. Potato producing Cry1Ab was also effective against potato tuber moth (Phthorimaea operculella), and transgenic tubers caused significant growth retardation and high mortality of neonatal tuber moth larvae [50]. In 2014, Bangladesh began to plant Cry1Ac-transgenic brinjal to control the main pest Leucinodes orbonalis [10]. With the continuous improvement of GM technology, more potential Bt genes will be discovered and applied in GM crops. In general, Bt crops show high efficiency against most target pests, but the risk of insect resistance evolution needs to be given more attention.

3. Managing the efficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis crops against target pests
The first insect-resistant Bt-transgenic maize was developed in the United States in 1986, but did not enter commercial production until 1996. Subsequently, three Bt-transgenic maize lines were commercialized in the United States, and in 2017, 59.7 million hectares among 14 countries were planted in transgenic maize [10]. GM cotton, commercially grown for more than 20 years, made up 80% of the cotton grown with a planting area of 24.21 million hectares in 2017. Among the 14 countries that grew GM cotton in 2017, the top four producers were India (11.40 million hectares), United States (4.58 million), Pakistan (3.00 million hectares) and China (2.78 million). Bt soya beans have been grown in seven countries since they were introduced in Brazil in 2013. The planting area of Bt aubergine in Bangladesh has reached 2400 ha. Bt sugarcane (expressing Cry1Ab protein) will also be first commercially grown in Brazil in 2018.

When Bt crops were first planted, target pests were effectively controlled, but with the long-term cultivation of Bt crops, target pests gradually developed resistance. To delay the evolution of Bt resistance, refuge strategies are recommended. The success of such strategies depends on three factors: inheritance of the resistance allele must be recessive, resistance allele frequency must be low, and abundant non-Bt host plants must be near the Bt crop [10]. Second-generation Bt crops, that produce two or more distinct Bt toxins, have also been developed and used in target pest resistance management. In some countries, Bt resistance has been delayed with this strategy, while others have failed.

Pest resistance management can be divided into three types, which we discuss using P. gossypiella as an example. In the United States, refuges with non-Bt cotton have grown more than 25% in acreage every year from 1996 to 2005, increasing the survival of the susceptible pink bollworm. Very few resistant pink bollworms in Bt cotton fields mate with the susceptible ones from the refuges because the resistant inheritance is recessive; Bt cotton kills any heterozygous progeny produced by mating between a homozygous susceptible moth and homozygous resistant moth [74,75]. This refuge strategy plays a crucial role in sustaining the susceptibility of the pink bollworm to Bt cotton. With this strategy, even after many years of commercial cultivation of Bt cotton, a few Bt-resistant genes in pink bollworm were detected in fields, and pink bollworm remained susceptible to Bt toxins and was rare in fields [10] (figure 1). With the production of two-toxin cotton, the refuge abundance was greatly reduced during 2006–2009, to a mean percentage of only 7% [75]. Mass releases of sterile pink bollworms in these years has contributed greatly to the control of pink bollworm, and this target pest has nearly been eradicated.

In India, Bt cotton that produces a single Cry1Ac protein has been planted since 2003, and pink bollworms resistant to Bt cotton expressing Cry1Ac were first detected in 2008 in Gujarat [30,76]. This emergence of field-evolved resistance is probably owing to insufficient planting of conventional cotton as refuges [77]. Although the Indian government has mandated that each Cry1Ac cotton field be surrounded by non-Bt refuges with more than five lines or at least 20% of the field area, Indian growers have not complied [78]. Second-generation Bt cotton (expressing Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab protein) has been planted since 2006, and subsequently, one-toxin and two-toxin plants have been grown concurrently [79]. On the basis of continuous field surveys from 2010 to 2017, the survival of pink bollworm on two-toxin Bt cotton increased in central and southern India [80], meaning that the management strategy against Bt resistance in the targeted cotton pest failed in India (figure 1).

In China, millions of small-scale farmers first planted transgenic cotton producing Cry1Ac in 2000 in the Yangtze River Valley to prevent and control pink bollworm [81]. Pest resistance to Cry1Ac toxin increased significantly from 2005–2007 to 2008–2010 in the Yangtze River region. Surprisingly, however, resistance then decreased from 2011 to 2015. After a survey in 2010 and subsequent years, Wan et al. [82] found that growers had planted seeds from second-generation (F2) cotton hybrids. The production of F1 hybrid seeds requires expensive artificial pollination, but F2 hybrid seed is relatively easy to produce through self-pollination of plants from F1 hybrid seeds at an expected rate of 25% homozygous and 50% heterozygous for Bt toxin production and 25% homozygous for nonproduction of Bt. Thus, the seed mixture generated with F2 hybrids is equivalent to the mixture provided by refuges and was the main reason for the delay in Bt resistance of pink bollworm in China (figure 1).

Natural refuges can usually serve as adequate refuges. Owing to intercropping with multiple crops, cotton bollworm has been well controlled and Bt resistance effectively delayed [83]. The effectiveness of natural refuges is influenced by many factors, including the characteristics of target pests, distribution and abundance of host plants, and so on [84]. Although natural refuges are important in delaying Bt resistance in pests, they are not as effective as non-Bt cotton refuges. Field population monitoring data showed that non-recessive resistance increased faster than recessive resistance. During resistance monitoring in 17 counties in six provinces in northern China from 2010 to 2013, Jin et al. [85] found that the proportion of resistance among more than 70 000 larvae increased from 1% in 2010 to 5.5% in 2013. This large-scale field investigation and simulation modelling of the evolution of Bt resistance of bollworm in northern China, generated more attention on the increase in non-recessive resistance populations by comparing the developmental trends in non-recessive resistant and recessive
resistant populations. Although the overall Bt-resistance levels in the field are still low, the populations of cotton bollworm in China should be monitored carefully for resistance in the future.

In some countries and regions, owing to the widespread planting of Bt-transgenic corn, cotton and other crops, the natural refuge of target pests has disappeared, and the risk of Bt resistance evolution increased dramatically. Fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) is a major maize pest in Brazil, and migrated to South America [86]. Because of wide planting of Bt crops and no natural refuge, this pest had developed resistance to Bt crops [87].

4. Resistance mechanisms of target pests to *Bacillus thuringiensis* crops

Laboratory and field data have shown that different mechanisms are involved in the evolution of resistance to Bt crops. So far, the mechanisms comprise three types: variations in toxin activation, mutation in the toxin receptor and regulation of the immune system (figure 2).

(a) Variations in toxin activation

Bt protoxin is hydrolysed in the alkaline intestine and activated by protease degradation in the midgut, then released as the insecticidal toxin. Changes in the proteases in insect midgut can thus affect the activation of insecticidal proteins. When major intestinal trypsin was absent in the midgut of a Bt-resistant strain of *Plodia interpunctella*, the protoxin was not activated in the midgut, and resulted in Bt resistance [88,89]. Forcada *et al.* [90] reported that changes in the composition of midgut protease in Bt-resistant *H. virescens* strain were associated with a significant reduction in protoxin activation. When the protease activity in resistant and sensitive strains of European corn borer was compared, soluble serine protease activity in sensitive strains was higher than in the resistant strains [91]. Liu *et al.* [92] found that mutations in the promotor of one trypsin gene conferred high Cry1Ac resistance in the cotton bollworm. Although reports have shown that variations in toxin activation are important in the development of Bt resistance [93,94], most researchers believe that the proportion of Bt-resistance cases caused by changes of protease is not very high.

(b) Mutation in genes for toxin receptors

Midgut membrane-bound cadherin (CAD), ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters, aminopeptidase N (APN), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and perhaps unknown receptors have important roles in the insecticidal activity of Bt toxins in lepidopteran larvae. Mutations and gene expression regulation of receptors are important reasons for Bt resistance in insects (table 2).
(i) Cadherin

Cadherin (CAD) is one of the most important Bt toxin receptors because it has important roles in toxin oligomerization. Bt resistance in many pests is related to a mutation in the CAD gene. Disruption of the gene by retrotransposon-mediated insertion and an early stop codon is related to the high resistance to Cry1Ac toxin that developed in the cotton pest *H. virescens* [104]. Morin *et al.* [114] reported that pink bollworm field populations harboured three mutant alleles of the CAD-encoding gene that were linked to Cry1Ac resistance. Each of the three Cry1Ac-resistance alleles had a deletion, which was associated with binding of Cry1Ac. Zhao *et al.* [125] also reported that diverse CAD mutations in cotton bollworm were linked with resistance to Cry1Ac toxin. In addition, a CAD transmembrane mutation affects cellular trafficking and results in resistance of pink bollworm to Cry1Ac toxin [115]. Amino acids Leu1425 or Phe1429 play a vital role in the interaction between CAD and Cry1Ac toxin, and if they are replaced with charged amino acids, the toxin will not bind to CAD, which may lead to resistance to Cry1Ac [106]. Xiao *et al.* [102] found that a single-point mutation caused CAD mislocalization on the surface of the midgut epithelium, which led to high Cry1Ac resistance in the cotton bollworm, which is a novel finding. The interaction of CAD1 and CAD2 with Bt toxins may underlie Bt resistance in the important rice pest *C. suppressalis* reducing the expression of CAD1 or CAD2 can increase resistance to Cry2A and Cry1C [108].

(ii) ATP binding cassette transporter

ABC transporter plays important roles in the toxicity of Bt toxin and insect metabolism of chemical pesticides. A mutation in *ABCC2* was first found to contribute to Cry1Ac resistance in *H. virescens* [107], then in other insects such as Bombyx mori and *Plutella xylostella* [126,127]. Xiao *et al.* [99] demonstrated that mis-splicing of the *ABCC2* gene led to a loss of 150 amino acids and conferred high resistance to Cry1Ac toxin in *H. armigera*. Tay *et al.* [98] found that a mutation in the *ABCA2* gene in cotton bollworm led to resistance to Cry2Ab toxin, another important Bt toxin used in cotton. This finding was the first elucidation of a molecular genetic mechanism resistance to Cry2Ab in insects, and the detection of related resistance sites was helpful to understand the microevolution processes of Bt resistance in lepidopteran insects. Wang *et al.* [128] knocked out the midgut *HaABCA2* gene with the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 gene editing system and revealed
that the edited strains had high levels of resistance to Cry2Aa and Cry2Ab. The ABCG1 protein is located on the cell membrane, and expression of the ABCG1 gene in a Bt-resistant population of *P. xylostella* population is significantly lower than in the susceptible populations. Silencing by RNA interference (RNAi) of the midgut ABCG1 gene significantly reduces susceptibility of *P. xylostella* to Cry1Ac toxin. Moreover, decreased expression of the ABCG1 gene is closely

| target pest         | receptor/enzyme | resistance mechanism                                                                 | Bt toxin | reference |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| *Bombyx mori*       | ABCC2           | variation in amino acid residues around 770DYWL773 of ECL4                            | Cry1Aa   | [95]      |
| *Chilo suppressalis*| ALP             | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1A    | [96]      |
| *ABCA2*             |                  | three independent indel mutations                                                    | Cry1Ac   | [98]      |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | insertion of 73 bp in cDNA leads to 6-bp deletion at splicing site                   |
| *Helicoverpa armigera* | cadherin       | premature stop codon                                                                 | Cry1Ac   | [97]      |
| *trypsin*           |                  | mutations in promoter region                                                         | Cry1Ac   | [92]      |
| *ABCA2*             |                  | three independent indel mutations                                                    | Cry2Ab   | [98]      |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | insertion of 73 bp in cDNA leads to 6-bp deletion at splicing site                   |
| *Helicoverpa punctigera* | cadherin  | point mutation leads to cadherin mislocalization                                     | Cry1Ac   | [102]     |
| *ALP*               |                  | N-glycosidase digestion                                                              | Cry1Ac   | [103]     |
| *Heliothis virescens* | cadherin       | retrotransposon-mediated insertion                                                   | Cry1Ac   | [104]     |
| *trypsin*           |                  | single-nucleotide mutation, CTG→CGG                                               | Cry1A    | [105]     |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | inactivating mutation                                                                | Cry1Ac   | [106]     |
| *Heliothis virescens* | cadherin       | point mutation leads to cadherin mislocalization                                     | Cry1Ac   | [102]     |
| *ALP*               |                  | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Ac   | [103]     |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | knockdown                                                                            | Cry1Ab   | [108]     |
| *Heliothis virescens* | cadherin       | downregulation and mutation                                                         | Cry1Ac   | [109]     |
| *Ostrinia furnacalis* | cadherin       | premature termination codons and/or large deletions                                  | Cry1Ab   | [104]     |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | mutation                                                                             | Cry1Ab   | [110]     |
| *APN*               |                  | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Ab   | [111]     |
| *Aminopeptidase-P like gene* |              | mutation                                                                             | Cry1Ab   | [112]     |
| *Pectinophora gossypiella* | cadherin  | three mutant alleles in toxin-binding region                                         | Cry1Ac   | [114]     |
| *Pectinophora gossypiella* | cadherin  | deletion of 207 bp and loss of transmembrane domain                                  | Cry1Ac   | [115]     |
| *Pectinophora gossypiella* | cadherin  | premature stop codon, deletion of at least 99 bp or both                            | Cry1Ac   | [116]     |
| *Pectinophora gossypiella* | cadherin  | insertion of intact CR1 retrotransposon                                               | Cry1Ac   | [117]     |
| *Plutella xylostella* | ABCC1          | downregulation mediated by MAPK pathway                                               | Cry1Ac   | [118]     |
| *APN*               |                  | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Ac   | [118]     |
| *Spodoptera exigua*  | ALP2            | knockdown                                                                            | Cry2Ab   | [120]     |
| *APN*               |                  | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Ca   | [121]     |
| *ABCC2*             |                  | mutation                                                                             | Cry1Ac   | [122]     |
| *Spodoptera frugiperda* | ALP             | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Fa   | [123]     |
| *Trichoplastic ni*   | APN1            | downregulation                                                                      | Cry1Ac   | [124]     |
linked to resistance to Cry1Ac [129]. Downregulation of genes in the ABCG subfamily of *Ostrinia furnacalis* is related to its resistance to Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac [12]. The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathway alters expression of ABCG genes, leading to high resistance to Cry1Ac in *P. xylostella* [118]. Recently, forkhead box protein A (FOXA) was also shown to upregulate expression of ABC2 and ABC3 genes in *S. frugiperda* SF9 cells [126]. ABC2 and ABC3 are important receptors of Cry1Ac toxin, so the low expression of FOXA is related to the lepidopteran larval resistance to Bt toxin. Specific toxicity of Cry1A to some lepidopteran insects is related to the conservation or variation in amino acid residues around 770DYWL773 of extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) in ABC2 [95]. ABC transporters may play key roles together with CAD, which is responsible for oligomerization of activated toxins and may be necessary for binding to ABC transporters. ABC transporters might bind to the oligomeric toxins to form pores. Perhaps in some insects, the oligomerization is not needed; thus, CAD would not function in the insecticidal process in these insects. But ABC transporters are necessary. Different ABC transporters can bind to different Bt toxins, for example, ABCA2 is the receptor for Cry2Ab, and ABCC2 is the receptor for Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac [98]. We speculate that nearly all Bt toxins need a responding ABC transporter to bind and form pores. Moreover, a strain with a mutation in the ABCC2 gene is more sensitive to abamectin, which means that Bt resistance which is mediated by the ABC transporter mutation may cause negative cross-resistance to other biological or chemical insecticides [127].

(iii) Alkaline phosphatase

In the brush border membrane vesicle of *H. virescens*, ALP is a receptor for Cry1Ac toxin [106], and ALP levels in resistant *H. virescens* are significantly lower than in susceptible strains. According to proteomic and genomic analyses of the Bt-resistant and susceptible larvae of *H. virescens*, *H. armigera* and *S. frugiperda*, the level of ALP that bound to the midgut membrane is significantly lower in resistant strains than in susceptible [130]. The MAPK signalling pathway alters expression of ALP genes, causing Cry1Ac resistance in *P. xylostella* [118]. When the ALP gene is downregulated, *C. suppressalis* becomes resistant to Cry1Aα, Cry2Aα- and Cry1C-transgenic rice lines [96]. ALP2 is also important for the susceptibility of *Spodoptera exigua* to Cry2Aa and is probably the receptor for Cry2Aa [120]. The expression of midgut membrane-bound Cry1Fa and midgut ALP is also reduced in a field-evolved Bt-resistant *S. frugiperda* strain [123]. In an analysis of the molecular mechanism of HaALP binding to Cry1Ac toxin in *H. armigera*, Ning et al. [103] found that N-glycosidase digestion of HaALPs reduces the binding level of Cry1Ac on the midgut brush border membrane surface. The exact function of ALPs as important receptors for Bt toxins is still unclear. One of our hypotheses is that the glycosyl on ALP binds the toxins, which may help the toxin accumulate, accelerate oligomerization of the Bt toxin by CAD and eventually cause cell perforation by binding to the ABC transporters.

(iv) Aminopeptidase N

APN is also an important receptor in the midgut membrane of insects for Bt toxins. Zhang *et al.* [100] reported that HaAPN1 was a receptor of Cry1Ac, and a deletion mutation in the HaAPN1 gene is associated with resistance of *H. armigera* to Cry1Ac. When the HaAPN1 gene is silenced by RNAi, the susceptibility of *H. armigera* to Cry1Ac is reduced [131]. Biochemical, proteomic, and molecular analyses of Cry1Ac-resistant cabbage loopers revealed that APN1 gene expression is significantly downregulated, but ANP6 gene expression is significantly upregulated. Further analysis showed that Cry1Ac resistance is only related to the downregulation of APN1. The concurrent upregulation of APN6 might play a compensating role for the loss of APN1 to minimize the fitness costs of resistance [124]. In a comparison of Cry1Ab-resistant and -susceptible strains of *O. furnacalis*, the APN sequence of the resistant strain had an amino acid variation in four locations [132]. An RNAi-mediated knockdown analysis showed that APN1, APN3 and APN6 might be receptors of Cry1Ca in *S. exigua* [133]. However, the role of APNs in the Bt-insecticidal process is not very clear. Perhaps their role is similar to that of ALPs.

(v) Other receptors

Other types of receptors on the cell membrane are involved in insect interactions with Bt toxin. For example, several possible Cry3Ba receptors in *Tribolium castaneum* were identified by ligand blotting. Sodium solute symporter (TcSSS) protein gene knockdown enhances the resistance of *T. castaneum* to Cry3Ba. The presence of CAD repeats in amino acid sequences is a significant feature of TcSSS, and a TcSSS peptide fragment that contains sequences homologous to binding epitopes in Bt CAD functional receptors was found to enhance Cry3Ba toxicity in *Manduca sexta* and *Tenebrio molitor* [134]. This finding was the first report that the TcSSS protein is a Bt toxin receptor, which broadens the scope of Bt-resistance mechanisms in insects. Bt toxin can bind to glycolipids directly, and Griffiths *et al.* [135] found that Cry1Ac, Cry1Aa and Cry1Ab combine with the same glycolipids extracted from midguts of *M. sexta*. Resistance to Cry1Ac in a strain of *P. xylostella* is also associated with a decrease in glycolipid levels, consistent with glycolipids serving as general host cell receptors for these toxins [136]. Chen *et al.* [137] reported that glucosinolate sulfatases GSS1 and GSS2 bind directly to Cry1Bd in *P. xylostella* and play a crucial role in Cry1Bd toxicity. New Bt receptors and new mechanisms are likely to be discovered as research continues.

(c) Changes in immune systems

Insects can improve their resistance to Bt toxin by increasing the level of esterases such as carboxylesterase or accelerating degradation of the toxin [138,139]. Carboxylic cholinesterase increases in larvae of *M. sexta* after they feed on Bt toxin [90]. In the third-instar larvae of the Asian corn borer, carboxylesterase activity is significantly lower after the larvae feed on Bt maize than on non-Bt maize, indicating that the activity of carboxyesterase may be related to the detoxification of Bt by the insect [139]. In an Australian bollworm population with 275-fold higher resistance to Bt toxin than in the susceptible strain, inheritance of this resistance was found to be autosomal semi-dominant and associated with elevated esterase levels [140]. Biochemical analysis showed that the esterase in the resistant population binds to the Bt protein and the activated proteotoxin, preventing the toxin from binding to the receptor.
Symbiotic microbes in insects may also be involved in insect interactions with Bt toxins. Larvae of *H. armigera* carrying HaDNV-1, a novel densovirus that phylogenetically groups with members of the genus *Iteravirus*, are significantly more resistant to Bt toxin at low doses [141]. Compared with uninfected insects, HaDNV-1-positive individuals develop faster and have greater reproductive capacity. These results suggest that HaDNV improves the resistance of *H. armigera* to Bt cotton and helps the pest survive in Bt crop areas. Perhaps the interaction between an insect pest and a microorganism can activate immunity or tolerance in the pest, increase its rate of growth and reduce the fitness cost for Bt resistance. In the laboratory, although symbionts seem to contribute little to Bt-resistance levels in pests, in the natural environment, the effect might be remarkable.

5. Discussion

With further research and commercialization of multiple-gene Bt crops, the efficacy of pest control can be improved and the development of Bt resistance delayed. Usually, Bt genes have different insecticidal mechanisms, thus providing choices for a particular Bt crop. When the target pest evolves resistance to one Bt toxin, another Bt toxin still can kill them. Moreover, the percentage refuge can be greatly reduced when multiple-Bt-gene crops are planted. In the United States and Australia, the non-Bt cotton refuge was more than 25% of the area planted to cotton with a single-Bt toxin protein. For cotton expressing two Bt proteins, the area of the refuge has been decreasing significantly [74,75]. This reduction is even more important for some developing countries such as China and India, where there are many small farmers, and they do not want to plant conventional crops as the refuge.

The discovery of new Bt genes is another important directive for Bt crop development, primarily in two areas: new Bt genes with different insecticidal mechanisms that can kill the target pests that are now resistant to previous Bt toxins and Bt genes to control important hemipteran pests such as mirids, planthopper, aphids rather than lepidopteran and coleopteran insects. Towards this new direction, Monsanto has developed GM cotton MON8802, which produces a modified Cry51Aa2 toxin protein with good insecticidal activity against hemipteran insects [16]. Another modified Bt-Cyt2Aa crystal toxin is toxic to green peach aphids and pea aphids [142]. So crops with Bt genes that control a wider range of pests seem likely in the future.

Another important area is increasing the commercialization of Bt crops. Although Bt maize has been studied for many years and is very successful in controlling pests and reducing usage of insecticides, Bt maize is still not commercialized in China. People worry about the food safety of Bt maize [10]. Relevant policies should be further enriched to drive the use of Bt maize. Bt rice and other Bt crops encounter the same problems. Other countries face similar problems and worries.

There are legitimate concerns with commercializing more Bt that still need to be addressed. Pest populations, especially those of polyphagous insects, may be affected by the commercialization of many crops. Usually, Bt resistance in polyphagous insects can be delayed by mass migrations in different areas and different crops. Conventional crops in different areas can serve as natural refuges [83]. If the non-Bt crops are replaced by Bt crops, the insects will continue to be under high Bt selection pressure, and the evolution of Bt resistance will be accelerated, which will increase the difficulty of pest control. In Brazil, with the large-scale planting of Bt maize and Bt cotton, the rate of Bt-resistance emergence among fall armyworms (*S. frugiperda*) has increased dramatically. Most Bt maize varieties gradually lost their ability to control fall armyworm after only 3 years of planting [87]. Fall armyworms can also migrate long distances. In 2016 for the first time, this pest was found in South Africa and caused significant damage to maize crops and other crops [143]. It has spread to almost all of Africa [144].

Integrated pest management that combines the use of attractants; physical, chemical and biological controls; and planting both Bt and non-Bt crops helps delay the evolution of insect resistance. In recent years, new molecular techniques have been applied to pest control to indirectly help to reduce the harm from resistant pests. Host-mediated RNAi of important pest genes has been proposed as a potential avenue for increasing crop resistance against pests. Plants that have been modified to express double-stranded RNA against suitable target genes in pests have effectively controlled pest growth and reproduction or reduced pest resistance to pesticides [145,146]. Ni et al. [147] demonstrated by computer simulation that, compared with Bt cotton alone, Bt cotton combined with RNAi can substantially delay the evolution of Bt resistance in bollworm. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-out of related genes effectively inhibits egg production and viability of target pests [148]. CRISPR/Cas9 technology provides an easier way to control pests using a site-specific homing-based gene driver, as demonstrated in model insects [149]. If CRISPR-based gene drivers can be used to spread target genetic elements through wild populations and then combined with Bt-transgenic crops, we may have a more effective measure for pest resistance management. In addition, as mentioned earlier, a resistant bollworm strain with a mutation in *ABCC2* had negative cross-resistance between Cry1Ac and abamectin [127]; thus, taking advantage of the fitness cost of resistance may provide another strategy for managing resistance. If similar negative cross-resistance mechanisms exist in other pests, new methods will be developed to prevent and control the pests [150]. Such research provides a theoretical basis for feasible strategies to manage Bt resistance and support the long-term usage of multiple Bt crops. With the development of genomics, proteomics and metabolomics, we anticipate more novel integrated pest control technologies will be developed and adopted. Such technologies and other environment-friendly pest control methods will provide safer, more effective pest management.
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