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Abstract
We consider, for a bounded open domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$, the quasilinear elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases}
-\text{div} \sigma(x, u(x), Du(x)) = v(x) + f(x, u, Du) + \text{div} g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

(1). We generalize the system $\left(QES\right)_{(f, g)}$ in considering a right hand side depending on the jacobian matrix $Du$. Here, the star in $\left(QES\right)_{(f, g)}$ indicates that $f$ may depend on $Du$. In the right hand side, $v$ belongs to the dual space $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\left(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1, p > 1\right)$, $f$ and $g$ satisfy some standard continuity and growth conditions. We prove existence of a regularity, growth and coercivity conditions for $\sigma$, but with only very mild monotonicity assumptions.
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1. Introduction
In this paper, the main point is that we do not require monotonicity in the strict monotonicity of a typical Leray-Lions operator as it is usually assumed in previous papers. The aims of this text are to prove analogous existence results under relaxed monotonicity, in particular under strict quasi-monotonicity. The main
technical tool we advocate and use throughout the proof is Young measures. By applying a Galerkin schema, we obtain easily an approximating sequence \( u_k \).

The Ball theorem [1] and especially the resulting tool mode available by H hungurbühler to partial differential equation theory give them a sufficient control on the gradient approximating sequence \( D u_k \) to pass to the limit. This method is used by Dolzmann [2], G. J. Minty [3], H. Brezis [4], H. E. Stromberg [5], Muller [6], J. L. Lions [7], Kristnzen, J. Lower [8], M. I. Visik [9] and mainly by Hungurbühler to get the existence of a weak solution for the quasi-linear elliptic system [10]. This paper can be seen as generalization of Hungurbühler and as a continuation of Y-Akdim [11].

This kind of problems finds its applications in the model of Thomas-Fermis in atomic physics [12], and also porous flow modeling in reservoir [13].

2. Preliminaries

Let \( \omega = \{ \omega_j; 0 \leq i \leq n; 1 \leq j \leq m \} \) the weight function systems defined in \( \Omega \) and satisfying the following integrability conditions:

\[
\omega_j \in L^p_m (\Omega), \; \omega_j^{-1} \in L^q_m (\Omega), \; \text{for some } p \in ]1, +[ \text{ and } \exists s > 0 \text{ such that } \omega_j^{-s} \in L^1 (\Omega). \tag{2.1}
\]

with \( \omega^* = \{ \omega_j^* = \omega_j^{-1-s}, 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \} \), \( \sigma = (\sigma_n) \) with \( 1 \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq m \) and which satisfies some hypotheses (see below).

We denote by \( BM^{m \times n} \) the real vector space of \( m \times n \) matrices equipped with the inner product

\[ M : N = \sum_y M_y N_y. \]

The Jacobian matrix of a function \( u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is denoted by

\[ D u(x) = \left( D_1 u(x), D_2 u(x), \ldots, D_n u(x) \right) \] with \( D_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \).

The space \( W^{1, p} (\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) is the set of functions

\[ \left\{ u = u(x) / u \in L^p (\Omega, \omega_j, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \; D_j u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \in L^p (\Omega, \omega_j, \mathbb{R}^n), \]

\( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \).

with

\[ L^p (\Omega, \omega_j, \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u = u(x) / |u| \omega_j^{-\frac{1}{p}} \in L^p (\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}. \]

The weighted space \( W^{1, p} (\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) can be equipped by the norm:

\[ \| u \|_{p, \omega} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p} \omega_j \, dx + \sum_{i \leq l \leq m \leq n} \int_{\Omega} |D_j u_l|^{p} \omega_j \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \]

where \( \omega_j = (\omega_{j}) \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \). The norm \( \| u \|_{p, \omega} \) is equivalent to the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{1, p, \omega} \) on \( W^{1, p} (\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \), such that, \( \| u \|_{1, p, \omega} = \left\{ \sum_{i \leq l \leq m \leq n} \int_{\Omega} |D_j u_l|^{p} \omega_j \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \)

Proposition 2.1 The weighted Sobolev space \( W^{1, p} (\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) is a Banach space, separable and reflexive. The weighted Sobolev space \( W^{1, p} (\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) is the
closure of $C^0_0 \left( \Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right)$ in $W^{1,p} \left( \Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right)$ equipped by the norm $\| \cdot \|_{p, \omega}$. 

Proof: The prove of proposition is a slight modification of the analogous one in [14] [Kufner-Drabek].

Definition 2.1 A Young measure $\left( \vartheta \right)_{x \in \Omega}$ is called $W^{1,p}$-gradient young measures $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ if it is associated to a sequence of gradients $D u_k$ such that $u_k$ is bounded in $W^{1,p} \left( \Omega \right)$. The $W^{1,p}$-gradient young measures $\left( \vartheta \right)_{x \in \Omega}$ is called homogeneous, if it doesn’t depend on $x$, i.e., if $\vartheta = \vartheta \; \text{for a.e.} \; x \in \Omega$.

Theorem 2.1 (Kinder-Lehner-Pedregal) Let $\left( u \right)_{x \in \Omega}$ be a family of probability measures in $C \left( \mathcal{M}^{\nu \omega} \right)$. Then, $\left( u \right)_{x \in \Omega}$ is $W^{1,p}$ Young measures if and only if:

1) There is a $u \in W^{1,p} \left( \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} A d\vartheta \left( A \right) = 0$, a.e in $\Omega$.

2) Jensen’s inequality: $\left( \int_{\Omega} A d\vartheta \left( A \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{\Omega} A d\vartheta \left( A \right)$ for all quasi-convex, and.

3) The function: $\psi \left( x \right) = \int_{\Omega} A \left( A \right) d\vartheta \left( A \right) \leq c x \left( 1 + \left| A \right| \right)$, for all $A \in \mathcal{M}^{\nu \omega}$.

Proof: see [15].

Theorem 2.2 (Ball) Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be Lebesgue measurable, let $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed, and let $u_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of Lebesgue measurable functions satisfying $u_j \rightarrow K$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. given any open neighborhood $U$ of $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \chi \in \Omega : u_j \left( x \right) = U = 0$. Then there exists a subsequence $u_k$ of $u_j$ and a family $\vartheta \left( x \right) \in \Omega$, of positive measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$, depending measurably on $x$, such that:

1) $\left\| \vartheta \right\|_{M} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\vartheta \leq 1$, for a.e $x \in \Omega$.

2) $\text{supp} \vartheta \subset K$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$.

3) $f \left( u \right) \rightarrow f \left( \vartheta \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \left( x \right) d\vartheta \left( x \right)$ in $L^p \left( \Omega \right)$, for each continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfing $\lim f \left( x \right) = 0, \text{as} \; x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.3 (vitali) Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded domain and let $u_n$ be a sequence in $L^p \left( \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $u_n$ is a cauchy sequence in the $L^p$-norm if and only if the two following conditions hold:

1) $u_n$ is cauchy in measure (i.e.: $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \| x \in \Omega, u_n \left( x \right) - u_m \left( x \right) \| \geq \varepsilon \right\| = 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

2) $\left\| u_n \right\|_p$ is equiintegrable i.e.:

$\left( \sup_{n} \int_{\Omega} u_n \left( x \right) \, dx \right) < \infty$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\int_{\Omega} u_n \left( x \right) \, dx < \varepsilon$ for all $n$ whenever $E \subset \Omega$ and $|E| < \delta$.

Note that if $u_n$ converges pointiest, then $u_n$ is cauchy in measure.

Hypotheses (H2) (Hardy-Type inequalities): There exist some constant $c > 0$, some weighted function $\gamma$ and some real $q \left( 1 < q < \infty \right)$ such that,

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left| \gamma_j \left( x \right) \right|^q \left| D_j u \left( x \right) \right|^p \right)^\frac{1}{p} \leq c \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}_M, j \neq M} \int_{\Omega} \left| D_j u \left( x \right) \right|^p \right)^\frac{1}{p},$$
for all \( u \in W^{1,p}_0 \left( \Omega; \omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right) \), with \( \gamma = \{ \gamma_j / 1 \leq j \leq m \} \).

The injection \( W^{1,p}_0 \left( \Omega; \omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right) \hookrightarrow L^p \left( \Omega; \gamma; \mathbb{R}^n \right) \) is compact, and \( W^{1,p}_0 \left( \Omega; \omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right) \hookrightarrow L' \left( \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n \right) \) is compact, by \([14]\) with

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 \leq r < \frac{nps}{n(s+1)} & \text{if } ps < n(s+1) \\
r \geq 1 & \text{if } n(s+1) < ps
\end{array} \right.
\]

\((H_1)\) Continuity: \( \sigma : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \) is a Carathéodory function (i.e. \( x \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F) \) is measurable for every \((u,F) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \) and \((u,F) \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F) \) is continuous for almost every \( x \in \Omega \)). \((H_2)\) Growth and coercivity conditions: There exist \( c_1 \geq 0 \), \( c_2 > 0 \), \( \lambda_1 \in L^p \left( \Omega \right) \), \( \lambda_2 \in L^1 \left( \Omega \right) \), \( \frac{1}{q} < \alpha < p \), \( 1 < q < \infty \) and \( \beta > 0 \) such that for all \( 1 \leq r \leq n \), \( 1 \leq s \leq m \), we have:

\[
\left| \sigma_{\omega} (x,u,F) \right| \leq \beta \omega^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left[ \lambda_1 (x) + c_1 \sum_{j=1}^m |y_j|^{p'} \right] \left[ u_1 \right]^{p'} + c_2 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j \left( x \right) \left| F_j \right|^{p-1} \quad (2.2)
\]

and

\[
\sigma(x,u,F) : F \geq -\lambda_2 (x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_{bij} (x) u_j \lambda_j (x) \left| u_j \right|^{p'} + c_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j (x) \left| F_j \right|^{p-1} \quad (2.3)
\]

\((H_3)\) Monotonicity conditions: \( \sigma \) satisfies one of the following conditions:

1) For all \( x \in \Omega \), and all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the map \( F \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F) \) is a \( C^1 \)-function and is monotone (i.e., \( \sigma(x,u,F)-\sigma(x,u,G) \geq \left( F-G \right) \geq 0 \), for all \( x \in \Omega \), all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and all \( F,G \in \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \).

2) There exists a function \( W : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \) such that

\[
\sigma(x,u,F) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial F} (x,u,F) \quad \text{and} \quad F \mapsto W(x,u,F) \quad \text{is convex and } C^1 \text{ function.}
\]

3) For all \( x \in \Omega \), and for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \) the map \( F \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F) \) is strictly monotone (i.e., \( \sigma(x,u,.u) \) is monotone and:

\[
\left( \sigma(x,u,F) - \sigma(x,u,G) \right) \geq 0 \Rightarrow F = G
\]

4) \( \sigma(x,u,F) \) is strictly \( p \)-quasi-monotone in \( F \), i.e.,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sigma(x,u,\lambda) - \sigma(x,u,\tilde{\lambda}) \right) \wedge 0 > 0
\]

for all homogeneous \( W^{1,p;r}_{-\gamma} \)-gradient young measures \( \wedge \) with center of mass \( \lambda = \langle \theta, \text{id} \rangle \) which are not a single Dirac mass.

The main point is that we do not require strict monotonicity or monotonicity in the variables \((u,F)\) in \((H_3)\) as it is usually assumed in previous work (see \([15]\) or \([16]\)).
\forall 1 \leq j \leq m \quad (G_0)^* \quad \text{(continuity)} \quad \text{the map} \quad g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{n \times n} \quad \text{is a Carathéodory function.} \quad (G_1)^* \quad \text{(growth condition)} \quad \text{There exist:} \quad b_2 \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega) \quad \text{such that} \quad |g_0| \leq \omega_0 \left[ b_2 + \sum_j \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \|w_j\|^p \right]

For all \( 1 \leq r \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq s \leq m \).

Our aim of this paper is to prove the existence of the problem \((QES)_{f,g}\) in the space \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \).

**Remark 2.1** - The condition \((F_0)^*\) and \((G_0)\) ensure the measurability of \(f\) and \(g\) for all measurable function \(u\).

- \((F_1)^*\) and \((G_1)^*\) ensure that growth conditions, in particularly if \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)\) then \(f(.,u(.,D(.)),u(.))\) and \(g(.,.):Du\) is in \(L^1(\Omega, \omega)\).

- Exploiting the convergence in measure of the gradients of the approximating solutions, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** If \( p \in (1, \infty) \) and \( \sigma \) satisfies the conditions \((H_0)-(H_1)\), then the Dirichlet problem \((QES)_{f,g}^*\) has a weak solution \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)\) for every \(v \in W^{-1,p}(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^n)\), if \(f\) satisfies \((F_0)^*\) and \((F_1)^*\) and \(g\) satisfies \((G_0)\) and \((G_1)\).

In order to prove theorems, we will apply a Galerkin scheme, with this aim in view, we establish in the following subsections, the key ingredient to pass to the limit for this, we assume that the conditions: \((H_0)-(H_1)\), \((F_0)^*\), \((F_1)^*\), \((G_0)\) and \((G_1)\).

**Lemma 2.1** For arbitrary \(u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)\) and \(v \in W^{-1,p}(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^n)\), the functional

\[
F(u): W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x,u(x),Du(x)) : D\varphi(x) dx - \langle v, \varphi \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f(x,u,Du) : \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x,u) : D\varphi dx.
\]

is well defined, linear and bounded.

**Proof** For all \(\varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)\), we denote

\[
F(u)(\varphi) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4
\]

with

\[
I_1 = \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x,u(x),Du(x)) : D\varphi(x) dx,
\]

and

\[
I_2 = -\langle v, \varphi \rangle.
\]

\[
I_3 = -\int_{\Omega} f(x,u,Du) : \varphi dx
\]

\[
I_4 = \int_{\Omega} g(x,u) : D\varphi dx
\]

We define

\[
I_n = \int_{\Omega} \sigma_n(x,u(x),Du(x)) : D_n\varphi(x) dx
\]
Firstly, by virtue of the growth conditions \((H_2)\) and the Hölder inequality, one has
\[
|I_1| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\sigma_\nu (x,u(x),Du(x))||D_\nu \varphi(x)| \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\Omega} \beta \omega^{\frac{1}{p'}}_\nu (x) \left[ \lambda_\nu (x) + c_1 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_j (x) \right] |u_j(x)|^{p'} |\varphi_\nu(x)|^{p'}
+ c_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \omega^{\frac{1}{p'}}_i |D_\nu u_i|^{p-1} |D_\nu \varphi(x)| \, dx
\leq \beta \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \lambda_\nu (x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_\nu \varphi(x)|^p \omega_\nu \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
+ \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_\nu \varphi(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} |u_j(x)|^p \gamma_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
+ \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D_\nu u_i|^{p'} \omega_i \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_\nu \varphi(x)|^p \omega_\nu \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]
\]
with \((p = p'(p-1))\), and thanks to Hardy inequality we have:
\[
|I_1| \leq c \beta \left[ \lambda_\nu \|\varphi\|_{L^p,\Omega} + c_1 \|D\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right]
\leq c' \beta \left[ \lambda_\nu \|\varphi\|_{L^p,\Omega} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p'} \gamma_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right]
\]
with \(c' = \max(c,1)\). Which gives
\[
|I_1| \leq c' \beta \left[ \lambda_\nu \|\varphi\|_{L^p,\Omega} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}} \right] < \infty.
\]
and
\[
|I_2| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)| \, dx \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^{1,p',\Omega}} < \infty.
\]
\[
I_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} f_j (x,u(Du)) \varphi_j (x) \, dx
\]
We denote \(I_{3,j} = \int_{\Omega} f_j (x,u(Du)) \varphi_j (x) \, dx\).
\[I_{3,j} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega} \left[ b(x) \varphi_j (x) \right] \, dx
\leq \int_{\Omega} b_\nu (x) \varphi_j (x) \omega_\nu \, dx + c_1' \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_j \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p'} \omega_\nu \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
+ c_2' \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_j (x)| |D_\nu u_j|^{p-1} \omega_j \, dx
\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} b_\nu (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_j (x)| \omega_\nu \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
+ \left( \int_{\Omega} \gamma_j \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p'} \varphi_j (x) \omega_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_j |^{p'} \omega_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_\nu u_j|^{p'(p-1)} \omega_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\right]
\leq \|b_\nu \|_{L^{1,p',\Omega}} + c_1' \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} \gamma_j |u_j|^{p'} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}} + c_2' \|\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}} \|D\varphi\|_{L^{p',\Omega}}
\]
\[ \leq \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + c_{1}^\prime \cdot \| Du \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot \| \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + c_{2}^\prime \cdot \| Du \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot \| \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \]
\[ \leq \left( \| b_{\phi} \| + c_{1}^\prime \cdot \| Du \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + c_{2}^\prime \cdot \| Du \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \right) \cdot \| \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} . \]

\[ I_4 = \sum_{rs} \int_{\Omega} g_{rs} (x,u) D_{rs} \varphi \, dx \]
\[ \int_{\Omega} |g_{rs}| \cdot |D_{rs} \varphi| \, dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{\Omega} \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot D_{rs} \varphi + \sum_{j} \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{j} \cdot (x) \left\| u \right\|_{L^q_{\Omega,p}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega_{rs} \varphi \, dx \]
\[ \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_{rs} \varphi| \omega_{rs} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ + \sum_{j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \| u \|_{L^q_{\Omega,p}} \gamma_{j} (x) \, dx \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_{rs} \varphi| \omega_{rs} (x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \leq \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot \| D_{rs} \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + \| u \|_{L^q_{\Omega,p}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_{rs} \varphi| \omega_{rs} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ I_4 \leq \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot \| D_{rs} \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + \| u \|_{L^q_{\Omega,p}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_{rs} \varphi| \omega_{rs} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ \leq \| b_{\phi} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \cdot \| D_{rs} \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} + \| u \|_{L^q_{\Omega,p}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \| D_{rs} \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \]
\[ \leq c^\prime \| \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} . \]

Hence \[ I \leq c_{4} \| \varphi \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} . \] With \[ c_{4} < \infty . \]

Finally the functional \( F(\cdot) \) is bounded.

**Lemma 2.2** The restriction of \( F \) to a finite dimensional linear subspace \( V \) of \( W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,R^{m}) \) is continuous.

**Proof** Let \( d \) be the dimension of \( V \) and \( (a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{d}) \) a basis of \( V \). Let \( u_{j} = \sum a_{i} \cdot e_{i} \) be a sequence in \( V \) which converges to \( u = \sum a_{i} \cdot e_{i} \) in \( V \). The sequence \( (a_{j}) \) converge to \( a \in R^{d} \), so \( u_{j} \to u \) and \( Du_{j} \to Du \) a.e., on the other hand \( \| u_{j} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \) and \( \| Du_{j} \|_{L^p_{\Omega,p}} \) are bounded by a constant \( c \). Thus, it follows by the continuity conditions \( (H_{2}) \), that

\[ \sigma(x,u_{j},Du_{j}) : Du \to \sigma(x,u,DU) : Du \]

for all \( \varphi \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,R^{m}) \) and a.e. in \( \Omega \). Let \( \Omega^\prime \) be a measurable subset of \( \Omega \) and let \( \varphi \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega^\prime,\omega,R^{m}) \).

Thanks to the condition \( (H_{2}) \), we get

\[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x,u_{j},Du_{j}) : Du \varphi \, dx < \infty , \]

By the continuity conditions \( (F_{0}^\prime) \) and \( (G_{0}) \) we have:

\[ f(x,u_{j},Du_{j}) \cdot \varphi \to f(x,u,DU) \cdot \varphi \]

And

\[ g(x,u_{j}) : Du \to g(x,u) : Du \]
almost everywhere. Moreover we infer from the growth conditions \((F_j)^*\) and \((G_i)\) that the sequences:

\[ (\sigma(x,u_j,Du_j) \cdot D\varphi), \quad (f(x,u_j,Du_j) \cdot \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad (g(x,u_j) \cdot D\varphi) \]

are equi-integrable. Indeed, if \( \Omega' \subset \Omega \) is a measurable subset and \( \varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \) then:

\[
\int_{\Omega'} f(x,u_j,Du_j) \cdot \varphi \, dx < \infty \quad \text{(by \((F_j)^*\) and Hölder inequality)},
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega'} g(x,u_j) \cdot D\varphi \, dx < \infty \quad \text{(by \((G_i)\) and Hölder inequality)},
\]

which implies that \( \sigma(x,u_j,Du_j) : D\varphi \) is equi-integrable. And by applying the Vitali’s theorem, it follows that

\[
\int_{\Omega'} \sigma(x,u_j,Du_j) : D\varphi \, dx \rightarrow 0
\]

for all \( \varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \).

Finally

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \langle F(u_j), \varphi \rangle = \langle F(u), \varphi \rangle,
\]

which means that

\[
F(u_j) \to F(u) \quad \text{in} \quad W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^n).
\]

**Remark 2.2** Now, the problem \((QES)^*_f,g\) is equivalent to find a solution \( u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \) such that \( \langle F(u), \varphi \rangle = 0 \), for all \( \varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \).

In order to find such a solution we apply a Galerkin scheme.

### 3. Galerkin Approximation

**Remark 3.1 (Galerkin Schema)**

Let \( V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \) be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces with \( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k \) dense in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \). The sequence \( V_k \) exists since \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \) is separable.

Let us fix some \( k \), we assume that \( V_k \) has a dimension \( d \) and that \((e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_d)\) is a basis of \( V_k \). Then, we define the map,

\[
G : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k
\]

\[
(a_1,\ldots,a_k) \mapsto \langle F(u),e_1 \rangle,\ldots,\langle F(u),e_k \rangle; \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i.
\]

**Proposition 3.1** The map \( G \) is continuous and \( G(a) \cdot a \) tends to infinity when \( \|a\|_{\mathbb{R}^k} \) tends to infinity.

**Proof.** Since \( F \) restricted to \( V_k \) is continuous by Lemma 2.2, so \( G \) is continuous.

Let \( a \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( u = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i e_i \) in \( V_k \), then \( G(a) \cdot a = \langle F(u),u \rangle \) and which implies that \( \|a\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \) tends to infinity if \( \|u\|_{W^{1,p}_0} \) tends to infinity.

**Proposition 3.1** The map \( G \) is continuous and \( G(a) \cdot a \) tends to infinity when \( \|a\|_{\mathbb{R}^k} \) tends to infinity.
\[ \|a\|_{p, \omega}^p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \right)^p \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i| \right)^p \leq \max \{ \|a\|_{p, \omega}^p, \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i| \right)^p \} \leq c \|a\|_{p, \omega}^p, \]

which implies that \( \|a\|_{p, \omega}^p \) tends to infinity if \( \|a\|_{p, \omega} \) tends to infinity.

Now, it suffices to prove that

\[ \{ F(u), u \} \to \infty \quad \text{when} \quad \|u\|_{p, \omega} \to \infty. \]

Indeed, thanks to the first coercivity condition and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

\[ I = \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, Du) \cdot Du \, dx \]

\[ \geq - \|a\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_j| |u_j| \right)^{\alpha} dx + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |D_{ij} u|^{\alpha} \omega_{ij} \, dx \]

By the Hölder inequality, we have

\[ \int_{\Omega} |a_j| |u_j| \omega_{ij}^{\alpha} \, dx \leq \|a\|_{p, \omega} \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_j| u_j \right)^{\alpha} \]

\[ \leq c \|a\|_{p, \omega} \|u\|_{p, \omega}. \]

where \( c' \) is a constant positive. For \( \|u\|_{p, \omega} \) large enough, we can write

\[ |I| \geq - \|a\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_j| u_j \right)^{\alpha} \]

\[ \geq - \|a\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_j| u_j \right)^{\alpha} \]

And since

\[ |I'| = \|v, u\| \leq \|v\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \|u\|_{p, \omega} \]

Finally, it follows from the growth condition \((F')^*\) and \(G_1\) that:

\[ |I'^*| = \left\| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot u \, dx \right\| \]

\[ \leq \left\| f(x, u, Du) \right\|_{p, \omega} \leq c_3 \|u\|_{p, \omega} \]

\[ |I''| = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \cdot Du \, dx \leq \left( \|g\|_{p, \omega} + \|u\|_{p, \omega} \right) \|Du\|_{p, \omega} \leq c_4 \|u\|_{p, \omega} \]

with \( c_4 \) is a constant. With \( 0 < \alpha < p \) and \( p > 1 \), we get:

\[ I - I' - I'^* \geq c_2 \cdot c' \cdot \|u\|_{p, \omega} - \|v\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \|u\|_{p, \omega} - c' \cdot \|a\|_{p, \omega} \cdot \|u\|_{p, \omega} \]

\[ - \|a\|_{p, \omega} - c_3 \cdot \|u\|_{p, \omega} \]

(3.1)

Consequently, by using (3.1), we deduce

\[ I - I' - I'^* \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\|_{p, \omega} \to \infty. \]

and
\[ I^n \to \infty \text{ as } \|u\|_{L^p,\omega} \to \infty. \]

\[ \{F(u, u)\} \to \infty \text{ as } \|u\|_{L^p,\omega} \to \infty. \]

**Remark 3.2** The properties of \( G \) allow us to construct our Galerkin approximations.

**Corollary 3.1** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( (u_k) \subset V_k \) such that \( \{F(u_k, \varphi) = 0 \}, \) for all \( \varphi \in V_k \).

**Proof** By the proposition 3.1, there exists \( R > 0 \), such that for all \( a \in \partial B_k(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \), we have \( G(a) \cdot \alpha > 0 \). And the usual topological argument see [Zei 86 proposition 2.8] [17] implies that \( G(x) \) has a solution \( x \in B_k(0) \). So, for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( (u_k) \subset V_k \), such that

\[ \{F(x^i, e_j) = 0 \}, \] for all \( 1 \leq j \leq d \), with \( d = \dim V_k \)

Taking \( u_k = (x^i, e_j) \), \( e_j \in V_k \), so we obtain:

\[ \{F(u_k, \varphi) = 0 \}, \] for all \( \varphi \in V_k \).

**Proposition 3.2** The Galerkin approximations sequence constructed in corollary (3.1) is uniformly bounded in \( W^{1, p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \); i.e.,

\[ \text{There exists a constant } R > 0, \text{ such that } \|u_k\|_{L^p,\omega} \leq R, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \]

**Proof** Like in the proof of proposition (3.1), we can see that

\[ \{F(u, u)\} \to \infty \text{ as } \|u\|_{L^p,\omega} \to \infty. \]

Then, there exists \( R \) satisfying \( \{F(u, u)\} \to 1 \) when \( \|u\|_{L^p,\omega} > R \). Now, for the sequence of Galerkin approximations \( (u_k) \subset V_k \) of corollary (3.1), which satisfying \( \{F(u_k, u_k) = 0 \}, \) we have the uniform bound \( \|u_k\|_{L^p,\omega} \leq R, \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Remark 3.3** There exists a subsequence \( (u_k) \) of the sequence \( (u_k) \subset V_k \), such that

\[ u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \text{W}^{1, p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \]

and

\[ u_k \to u \text{ in measure in } \text{L}^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n); \]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & \leq r < \frac{np}{n(s+1) - ps} \quad \text{if } ps < n(s+1) \\
1 & \geq 1 \quad \text{if } n(s+1) < ps
\end{align*}
\]

The gradient sequence \( (Du_k) \) generates the young measure \( \mathcal{G}_s \). Since \( u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in measure, then } (u_k, Du_k) \) generates the Young measure \( \left( \delta_{u(x)} \otimes \mathcal{G}_s \right) \), see [2]. Moreover, for almost \( x \) in \( \Omega \), we have,

1) \( \mathcal{G}_s \) is the probability measure, i.e., \( \mathcal{G}_s|_{\text{mes}} = 1 \).

2) \( \mathcal{G}_s \) is the \( W^{1, p, \omega} \) gradient homogeneous young measure.

3) \( \langle \mathcal{G}_s, id \rangle = Du(x) \), see [18].
Proof. See [2]. (Dolzmann, N. Humgerbuhler S. Muller, Non linear elliptic system …)

4. Passage to the Limit

Now, we are in a position to prove our main result under convenient hypotheses. Let

\[ I_k = \left( \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) - \sigma(x, u, Du) \right) : (Du_k - Du) \].

Lemma 4.1 (Fatou lemma type) (See [2]) Let: \( F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{M}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a Carathéodory function, and \( u_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) a measurable sequence, such that \( (Du_k) \) generates the Young measure \( \mathcal{Q}_x \), with \( \| \mathcal{Q}_x \|_{\text{mes}} = 1 \), for a.e. \( x \in \Omega \). Then,

\[
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k, Du_k) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathcal{M}^{m \times n}} F(x, u, \zeta) \, d\mathcal{Q}_x(\zeta) \, dx,
\]

which provided that the negative part of \( F(x, u_k, Du_k) \) is equi-integrable.

Proof.

Lemma 4.2 Let \( p > 1 \) and \( u_k \) be a sequence which is uniformly bounded in \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \). There exists a subsequence of \( u_k \) (for convenience not relabeled) and a function \( u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( u_k \to u \) in \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) and such that \( u_k \to u \) in measure on \( \Omega \) and in \( L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \), with:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 \leq r &< \frac{nps}{n(s+1)-ps} & \text{if} \quad ps < n(s+1) \\
\geq 1 & & \text{if} \quad n(s+1) < ps
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. see [10].

Lemma 4.3 The sequence \((I_k)\) is equi-integrable.

Proof We have

\[
I_k = \left( \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) - \sigma(x, u, Du) \right) : (Du_k - Du) = \left[ \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k \right] - \left[ \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du \right] + \left[ \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du \right] - \left[ \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k \right] = I_k^1 + I_k^2 + I_k^3 + I_k^4
\]

We denote \((I_k^1) = -\left[ \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k \right] \). Thanks to the coercivity condition (H2), we have

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( I_k^1 \right) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} |\nabla u_k|^p \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} \right) |\nabla u_k|^p dx + c \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} \right) |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx
\]

with \( p/\alpha \geq 1 \). Therefore,

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( I_k^1 \right) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p + \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} |\nabla u_k|^p \right)^{\alpha/p} + \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} \right) |\nabla u_k|^p + c \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l,j,m}^a \omega_{ij} \right) |D_{ij} u_k|^p
\]

with \( p/\alpha \geq 1 \). Therefore,
for all $\Omega' \subset \Omega$. Similarly for $\left( I^1_k \right)$.

Now, by using the growth condition ($H_2$) and the Hardy inequality ($H_0$), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \left( I^1_k \right) \right|^{1/p} \geq \beta \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| g_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \cdot \left| u_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \right) \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \phi_{l} \right) dx,$$

$$\leq \beta \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| g_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \cdot \left| u_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \right) \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \phi_{l} \right) dx,$$

Thus, by the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \left( I^1_k \right) \right|^p dx \leq \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \phi_{l} \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

(4.6)

So, by combining (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \phi_{l} \right|^p dx \leq c \beta \left( \left\| \phi_{l} \right\|_{p,p} + \left\| u \right\|_{p,p} \right) < \infty.$$  (4.7)

Similarly to $\left( I^1_k \right)$, we obtain $\left( I^1_k \right)$. Finally: $I_k$ is equi-integrable.

We choose a sequence $\phi_k$ such that $\phi_k$ belongs to the same space $V_k$ and $\phi_k \to \phi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, this allows us in particular, to use $u_k - \phi_k$ as a test function in (3.1). We have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| g_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \cdot \left| u_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \right) \left( \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \phi_{l} \right) dx$$

$$= \left\langle v, u_k - \phi_k \right\rangle + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - \phi_k) dx$$

(4.8)

The first term on the right in 4.8 converge to zero since $(u_k - \phi_k) \to 0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. By the choice of $\phi_k$, the sequence $\phi_k$ uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and lemma (4.2). Next, for the second term:

$$H_k = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - \phi_k) dx$$

in 4.8 it follows from the growth condition $F^*$ and the Hölder inequality that:

$$\left\| H_k \right\| \leq \left\| \phi \right\| + c \left\| \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| g_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \cdot \left| u_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \right\| \left\| \phi \right\|,$$

$$\leq \left\| \phi \right\| + c \left\| \sum_{l \in J \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| g_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \cdot \left| u_{l} \right|^{1/p'} \right\| \left\| \phi \right\|,$$

By the equivalence of the norm in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the sequence $u_k$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\left\| u_k \right\|_{p,p}$ is bounded.

Moreover, by the construction of $\phi_k$ and lemma (4.2) we have:

$$\left\| u_k - \phi_k \right\|_{p,p} \leq \left\| u_k - u \right\|_{p,p} + \left\| u - \phi_k \right\|_{p,p}$$

$$\left( \left\| u_k - u \right\|_{p,p} + \left\| u - \phi_k \right\|_{p,p} \right) \to 0$$
We infer that the second term in 4.8 vanishes as \( k \to \infty \). Finally, for the last term

\[
III_k = \int_{\Omega} g(x,u_k) : D(u_k - \varphi_k) \, dx
\]

in 4.8, we note that

\[
g(x,u_k) \to g(x,u)
\]

Strongly in \( L^p(\Omega,M^{\infty}) \) by \( (G_{\epsilon}) \), \( (G_{\lambda}) \) and lemma (4.2).

Indeed we may assure that \( u_k \to u \) almost everywhere.

\[
III_k \leq \left( \left\| b_2 \right\|_p + \left\| u_k - \varphi_k \right\|_{L^q,\Omega}^q \right) \cdot \left\| D(u_k - \varphi_k) \right\|_{L^p,\Omega}
\]

\[
\leq c' \left( \left\| b_2 \right\|_p + \left\| u_k - \varphi_k \right\|_{L^q,\Omega}^q \right) \cdot \left\| u_k - u \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} + \left\| \varphi_k - u \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} \n\]

\[
\left\| \varphi_k - u \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} \to 0, \quad \left\| u_k - u \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| u_k - \varphi_k \right\|_{L^q,\Omega} \to 0
\]

Now, we consider \( (I_k) = \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Du) \). We have, \( I_k \) is equi-integrable because \( I_k \) it is. So, we define

\[
X = \liminf \int_{\Omega} I_k \, dx = \liminf \int_{\Omega} (I_k) \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (\lambda - Du) \right) \, d\vartheta(\lambda)
\]

So to prove (4.9), it suffices to prove that:

\[
X \leq 0 \tag{4.9}
\]

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \), so there exists \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( k > k_0 \), we have \( dist(u, V_\epsilon) < \epsilon \) since:

\[
\liminf_{\varphi_k \in V_\epsilon} \left\| u - \varphi_k \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} < \epsilon, \quad (u_k \to u)
\]

Or in an equivalent manner \( dist(u_k - u, V_\epsilon) < \epsilon, \quad \forall k > k_0 \) then for all \( v_k \in V_\epsilon \), we have

\[
X = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Du) \right) \, dx
\]

\[
= \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D(u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right) \, dx \right] + \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D(\varphi_k) \right) \, dx
\]

Combining (H2) and (0.1), we get

\[
X \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \beta \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{\nu_j}} \left[ \lambda_4 + c_1 \sum_{1 \leq j < m} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{\nu_j}} \left\| u_j^k \right\|^{\nu_j} + c_1 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{\nu_j}} \left\| D_{ij} u_k \right\|^{\nu_j} \right] \times \left\| D_{ij} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right\| \, dx + \langle v, \varphi_k \rangle.
\]

For all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), we choose \( \varphi_k \in V_\epsilon \) such that

\[
\left\| u_k - u - \varphi_k \right\|_{L^p,\Omega} \leq 2\epsilon,
\]

(4.10)

For all \( k \geq k_0 \), which implies that

\[
\left\| (v, \varphi_k) \right\| \leq \left\| (v, \varphi_k + (u - u_k)) \right\| + \left\| (v, u_k - u) \right\| \leq 2\epsilon \left\| (1, p',\Omega) + o(k)
\]

Hence \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle v, u_k - u \rangle = 0 \). According to Hölder and Hardy inequalities,
and by (4.1) we deduce that
\[
X \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} c \beta \left( \left\| \lambda \right\|_{p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| D_{\alpha} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right|^{p} \omega_{\alpha} \text{d}x \right)^{1/p} \right.
\]
\[
+ c_1 \left( \sum_{\Omega} \left| D_{\alpha} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right|^{p} \omega_{\alpha} \text{d}x \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| D_{\alpha} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right|^{p} \omega_{\alpha} \text{d}x \right)^{1/p'}
\]
\[
+ c_2 \left( \sum_{\Omega} \left| D_{\alpha} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right|^{p} \omega_{\alpha} \text{d}x \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| D_{\alpha} (u_k - u - \varphi_k) \right|^{p} \omega_{\alpha} \text{d}x \right)^{1/p'} \right) + \left( \nu, \varphi_k \right)
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} c \left( \left\| \lambda \right\|_{p'} \cdot \left\| u_k - \varphi_k \right\|_{p', \nu, \omega} \right)^{1/p'} + \left( \nu, \varphi_k \right)
\]
\[
+ 2c \left\| u \right\|_{1, p', \nu, \omega} + o(k)
\]
Therefore,
\[
X \leq 2 cc \beta \left( \left\| \lambda \right\|_{p'} + \left\| u \right\|_{p', \nu, \omega} + \left\| u \right\|_{1, p', \nu, \omega} \right).
\]
which proves that \( X \leq 0 \), and finally
\[
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : \lambda \text{d} \vartheta, \text{d}x \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : D \text{d} \vartheta, \text{d}x.
\]

**Proof of theorem:**
For arbitrary \( \varphi \) in \( W^{1, p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \). It follows from the continuity condition \( (F')_0 \) and \( (G)_0 \) that
\[
f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi(x) \to f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi(x)
\]
and
\[
g(x, u_k) : Du(x) \to g(x, u) : Du(x)
\]
almost everywhere. Since, by the growth conditions \( (F')_0 \), \( (G)_0 \) and the uniform bound of \( u_k \), \( f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi(x) \) and \( g(x, u_k) : Du(x) \) are equi-integrable, it follows that the Vitali’s theorem. This implies that:
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi(x) \text{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi(x) \text{d}x
\]
for all \( \varphi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \) and
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_k) : Du(x) \text{d}x = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) : Du(x) \text{d}x
\]
for all \( \varphi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \). We will start with the easiest case
\[
(d): F \mapsto \sigma(x, u, F) \text{ is strict } p \text{-quasi-monotone.} \tag{4.11}
\]
Indeed, we assume that \( \vartheta_{x} \) is not a Dirac mass on the set \( M \) with \( x \in M \) of positive Lebesgue measure \( |M| > 0 \). Moreover, by the strict \( p \)-quasi-monotonicity of \( \sigma(x, u, \cdot) \) and \( \vartheta_{x} \) is an homogeneous \( W^{1, p} \) gradient young measure for a.e. \( x \in M \). So, for a.e. \( x \in M \), with \( \vartheta = \left\{ \vartheta_{x}, \text{Id} \right\} = apDu(x) \), with \( apDu(x) \) is the differentiable approximation in \( x \). We get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : (\lambda - Du) \text{d} \vartheta \left( \lambda \right)
\]
\[
> \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du) \text{d} \vartheta \left( \lambda \right)
\]
\[
> \sigma(x, u, Du) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda \text{d} \vartheta \left( \lambda \right) - \sigma(x, u, Du) : Du \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{d} \vartheta \left( \lambda \right)
\]
\[
> \left( \sigma(x, u, Du) : Du - \sigma(x, u, Du) : Du \right) = 0
\]
\[
> 0
\]
On the other hand (4.9), integrating over $\Omega$, and using the div-curl inequality we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{M^{\infty}} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : \lambda d\partial_\lambda (\lambda) dx > \int_{\Omega} \int_{M^{\infty}} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : D\partial_\lambda (\lambda) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{M^{\infty}} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : \lambda d\partial_\lambda (\lambda) dx.$$ 

Which is a contradiction with (3.8). Thus $\partial_x = \delta_x = \delta_{Du(x)}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, $Du_k \to Du$ in measure when $k$ tends to infinity. Then, we get $\sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) \to \sigma(x,u, Du)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. In the other hand, for all $\varphi \in \bigcup \partial_\lambda$; $\sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi \to \sigma(x,u, Du) : D\varphi$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, for all $\Omega^p \subset \Omega$ measurable, it is easy to see that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi dx \leq c\beta \left( \|u_k\|_{L^p,p} + \|u_k\|_{L^q,q} + \|u_k\|_{L^r,r} \right) \|\varphi\|_{L^1,p,o} < \infty,$n

because $\|u_k\|_{L^p,p} \leq R$. And thanks to Vitali’s theorem, we obtain:

$$\{F(u),\varphi\} = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in \bigcup \partial_\lambda.$$

which proves the theorem in this case.

**Remark 4.1** Before treating the cases (a), (b) and (c) of (H3), we note that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{M^{\infty}} \left( \sigma(x,u,\lambda) - \sigma(x,u, Du) \right) : (\lambda - Du) d\partial_\lambda (\lambda) dx \leq 0 \quad (4.12)$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{M^{\infty}} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (\lambda - Du) d\partial_\lambda (\lambda) dx = 0,$$

thanks to the div-Curl inequality in (4.9). On the other hand, the integrand in (4.12) is non negative, by the monotonicity of $\sigma$. Consequently, the integrating should be null, a.e., with respect to the product measure $d\partial_x \otimes dx$, which mean

$$\{\sigma(x,u,\lambda) - \sigma(x,u, Du) \} : (\lambda - Du) = 0 \text{ in } spt\partial_x.$$

Thus,

$$spt\partial_x \subset \{ \lambda \in M^{\infty} \mid \{\sigma(x,u,\lambda) - \sigma(x,u, Du) \} : (\lambda - Du) = 0 \} \quad (4.14)$$

**Case c:** We prove that, the map $F \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F)$ is strictly monotone, for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Sine $\sigma$ is strict monotone, and according to (4.14),

$$spt\partial_x = \{ Du \}, \text{ i.e. } \partial_x = \delta_{Du}, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

which implies that, $Du_k \to Du$ in measure. For the rest of our prove is similarly to case d.

**Case b:** We start by showing that for almost all $x \in \Omega$, the support of $\partial_x$ is contained in the set where $W$ agrees with the supporting hyper-plane.

$$L = \left\{ \left( \lambda, W(x,u,\lambda) + \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (\lambda - \lambda) \right) \right\} \text{ with } \lambda = Du(x).$$

So, it suffices to prove that
If \( \lambda \in \text{sp} \partial_s \), thanks to (4.14), we have
\[
(1-t)\{\sigma(x,u,Du)-\sigma(x,u,\lambda)\} : (Du-\lambda) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].
\]

On the other hand, since \( \sigma \) is monotone, for all \( t \in [0,1] \) we have:
\[
(1-t)\{\sigma(x,u,Du+t(\lambda-Du))-\sigma(x,u,\lambda)\} : (Du-\lambda) \geq 0.
\]

By subtracting (4.16) from (4.17), we get
\[
(1-t)\{\sigma(x,u,\lambda+t(\lambda-\lambda))-\sigma(x,u,\lambda)\} : (\lambda-\lambda) \geq 0,
\]
for all \( t \in [0,1] \). Doing the same by the monotonicity in (4.18), we obtain
\[
(1-t)\{\sigma(x,u,\lambda+t(\lambda-\lambda))-\sigma(x,u,\lambda)\} : (\lambda-\lambda) \leq 0.
\]

Combining (4.18) and (4.19), we conclude that
\[
(1-t)\{\sigma(x,u,\lambda+t(\lambda-\lambda))-\sigma(x,u,\lambda)\} : (\lambda-\lambda) = 0,
\]
for all \( t \in [0,1] \), and for all \( \lambda \in \text{sp} \partial_s \).

Now, it follows from (4.19)ler
\[
W(x,u,\lambda)=W(x,u,\lambda)+W(x,u,\lambda-W(x,u,\lambda))
\]
\[
=W(x,u,\lambda)+\int_0^1\{\sigma(x,u,\lambda+t(\lambda-\lambda)) : (\lambda-\lambda)\}dt
\]
\[
=W(x,u,\lambda)+\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (\lambda-\lambda)
\]

Which prove (4.15).

Now, by the coercivity of \( W \), we get
\[
W(x,u,\lambda) \geq W(x,u,\lambda)+\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (\lambda-\lambda),
\]
for all \( \lambda \in M^{mx} \). Therefore,
\( L \) is a supporting hyper-plane, for all \( \lambda \in K_s \).

Moreover, the mapping \( \lambda \mapsto W(x,u,\lambda) \) is continuously differentiable, so we obtain
\[
\sigma(x,u,\lambda) = \sigma(x,u,\lambda), \text{ for all } \lambda \in K_s.
\]

Thus,
\[
\sigma(x) = \int_{\partial_s M} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) d\partial_s(\lambda) = \sigma(x,u,\lambda).
\]

Now, we consider the Carathéodory function
\[
g_s(x,u,\rho) = \left\| \sigma(x,u,\rho) - \sigma(x) \right\| : D\phi,
\]
and lets \( g_s(x) = g_s(x,u_k,Du_k) \) is equi-integrable. Thus, thanks to BALL’s theorem, see [6] \( g_s \rightarrow g \) weakly in \( L^1(\Omega) \), and the weakly limit of \( g \) is given by
\[
\varrho(x) = \int_{\partial_s M} \left\| \sigma(x,u(x),\lambda) - \sigma(x) \right\| d\partial_s(\lambda)
\]
\[
= \int_{\partial_s M} \left\| \sigma(x,u(x),\lambda) - \sigma(x) \right\| d\partial_s(\lambda)
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
According to (4.22) and (4.23), and since \( g_k \geq 0 \), it follows that \( g_k \to 0 \) strongly in \( \dot{L}^1(\Omega) \) by Fatou lemma, which gives
\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_\Omega \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi dx = \int_\Omega \sigma(x,u, Du) : D\varphi dx.
\]

Thus
\[
\langle F(u), \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty V_k.
\]

This completes the proof of the case (b).

**Case (a):** In this case, on \( spt\partial_\varphi \), we affirm that,
\[
\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : M = \sigma(x,u, Du) : M + \left( \nabla_x \sigma(x,u, Du) : M \right) \cdot (Du - \lambda),
\]
for all \( M \in BM_{\text{max}} \), where \( \nabla_x \) is the derivative with respect to the third variable of \( \sigma \) and \( \lambda = Du(x) \).

Thanks to the monotonicity of \( \sigma \), we have
\[
\left( \sigma(x,u,\lambda) - \sigma(x,u, Du + tM) \right) : (\lambda - Du - tM) \geq 0, \text{ for all } t \in IR.
\]

By invoking (4.19), we obtain
\[
-\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (tM)
\geq -\sigma(x,u, Du) : (\lambda - Du) + \sigma(x,u, Du + tM) : (\lambda - Du - tM).
\]

On the other hand, \( F \mapsto \sigma(x,u,F) \) is a \( C^1 \) function, so
\[
\sigma(x,u, Du + tM) = \sigma(x,u, Du) + \nabla_x (x,u, Du) : (tM) + o(t).
\]

Thus
\[
-\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (tM)
\geq -\sigma(x,u, Du) : (tM) + \nabla_x \sigma(x,u, Du) (t : M) : (\lambda - Du) + o(t),
\]
which gives
\[
-\sigma(x,u,\lambda) : (tM)
\geq t\left[ \nabla_x \sigma(x,u, Du) : (M) : (\lambda - Du) - \sigma(x,u, Du) : (M) \right] + o(t),
\]
t is arbitrary in (4.24).

Finally for all \( \varphi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty V_k \) the sequence \( \sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi \) is equi-integrable. Then, by the BALL’s theorem, see [1] the weak limit is
\[
\int_{\partial_\varphi x} \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : D\varphi dx = 0.
\]

By choosing \( M = Du \) in (4.24), we obtain
\[
\int_{\partial_\varphi x} (Du - \lambda) \left( \sigma(x,u,\lambda) : D\varphi \right) dx = 0.
\]

Hence:
\[
\sigma(x,u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi = \sigma(x,u, Du) : D\varphi \text{ strongly}
\]

This proves that
\[
\langle F(u), \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty V_k.
\]
And since $\bigcup V_k$ is dense in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$, so $u$ is a weak solution of $(QES)_{f,g}$, as desired.

**Remark 4.2** In case (b) $\sigma(x,u_i, Du_i) : D\varphi \to \sigma(x,u,Du) : D\varphi$ strongly, but in the case (c) and (d) $Du_i \to Du$ in measure.

**Example 4.1** We shall suppose that the weight functions satisfy:

$w_{ij} = 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ for some $i_0 \in I'$; and $w_{ij}(x) = w(x); x \in \Omega$, with $I' \subseteq I = \{0; 1; 2; \ldots; n\}$, for all $i \in I \cup I'$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and $i \neq i_0$ with $w(x) > 0$ a.e in $\Omega$. Then, we can consider the Hardy inequality in the form:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} | u_j (x) |^p \gamma_j (x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i \in \Omega, j = m} \int_{\Omega} | D_i u |^p \omega_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for every $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ with a constant $c > 0$ independent of $u$ and for some $q > p$. Let us consider the Carathéodory functions:

$$\sigma_{ij} (x, \eta, \xi_j) = \omega(x) | \xi_j |^{p-1} \text{sng} (\xi_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad i \in I$$

$$\sigma_{ij'} (x, \eta, \xi_{j'}) = \omega(x) | \xi_{j'} |^{p-1} \text{sng} (\xi_{j'}), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad i \in I', \quad i \neq i_0$$

$$\sigma_{ij} (x, \eta, \xi_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

$$f_j (x, \eta, \xi_j) = - \text{sign} (\xi_j) \sum_{r \neq j} \omega_{r} \frac{1}{| \xi_r |^{p-1}} \frac{1}{\omega_{r}}$$

The above functions defined by $(*)$ satisfies the growth conditions $(H_2)$. In particular, let use the special weight function $\omega, \gamma$ expressed in term of the distance to the boundary $\partial \Omega$ denote $d(x) = \text{dist} (x; \partial \Omega)$ and $\omega(x) = d^\lambda (x)$, $\gamma_j (x) = d^\mu (x)$ the hardy inequality reads:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} | u_j (x) |^{p} d^\mu (x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i \in \Omega, j = m} \int_{\Omega} | D_i u |^p d^\mu (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the corresponding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,\mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega,\gamma,\mathbb{R}^m)$ is compact if:

1) For, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$

$$\lambda < p - 1; \mu - n \frac{q}{p} + 1 \geq 0; \frac{\lambda}{q} + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{p} + 1 > 0$$

2) For, $1 \leq q < p < \infty$

$$\lambda < p - 1; \mu - n \frac{q}{p} + 1 \geq 0; \frac{\lambda}{q} + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{p} + 1 > 0$$

3) For, $q > 1$

$$\mu (q' - 1) < 1,$$ by the simple modifications of the example in [11]. Moreover, the monotonicity condition are satisfied:

$$\sum_{i} \left( \sigma_{ij} (x, \eta, \xi_j) - \sigma_{ij} (x, \eta, \xi_{j'}) \right) \left( \xi_j - \xi_{j'} \right)$$

$$= \omega(x) \sum_{i} \left( | \xi_j |^{p-1} \text{sng} (\xi_j) - | \xi_{j'} |^{p-1} \text{sng} (\xi_{j'}) \right) \left( \xi_j - \xi_{j'} \right) \geq 0$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all, $\xi_j, \xi_{j'} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. This last inequality cannot be
strict, since for $\xi_{ii} \neq \xi_{ij}$ with $\xi_{ii} \neq \xi_{ij}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. But $\xi_{ii} = \xi_{ij}$ for $i \in I^c$, $i \neq i_0$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ the corresponding expression is zero.
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