Abstract: All land plants seal their above ground body parts with a lipid-rich hydrophobic barrier called the cuticle to protect themselves from dehydration and other terrestrial threats. Mutational studies in several model species have identified multiple loci regulating cuticular metabolism and development. Of particular importance are the *eceriferum* (*cer*) mutants characterized by a loss of cuticular wax. Some barley *cer* mutants, including *cer-x*, show defects in the distinctive β-diketone-enriched wax bloom on reproductive stage leaf sheaths, stems, and spikes. We exploited extensive allelic populations, near-isogenic lines, and powerful genotyping platforms to identify variation in the *HvWAX INDUCER1* (*HvWIN1*) gene, encoding a SHINE transcription factor, as underlying *cer-x*. Comparing the *cer-x* allelic *glossy sheath4.l Bowman Near Isogenic Line BW407 to *cv*. Bowman revealed an increased cuticular permeability in tissues showing reduced accumulation of β-diketones and altered cuticular metabolic gene expression in BW407. Analyses across the barley pangenome and hundreds of exome-capture datasets revealed high sequence conservation of *HvWIN1* and two non-synonymous variants exclusive to the cultivated germplasm. Taken together, we suggest that variation in *HvWIN1* controls multiple cuticular features in barley.
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1. Introduction

Land plants have a waxy, reflective cuticle to protect them from dangers of terrestrial life, including desiccation, pathogen attack, and UV damage [1,2]. The cuticle forms on epidermal plant tissues exposed to the atmosphere, and consists of a cutin matrix embedded with polysaccharides and intracuticular waxes, which is covered by an epicuticular wax layer [1,3,4]. Epicuticular waxes are mostly composed of acyl aliphatics arranged as films or crystals, which can impart a glossy or glaucous appearance, respectively [1]. Biochemical and genetic studies have shown that cuticular waxes derive from the elongation of C\textsubscript{16} or C\textsubscript{18} fatty acids (FAs) into very-long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs, >20 carbons) by Fatty Acid Elongase complexes, including β-ketoacyl-CoA synthases (KCSs), in the endoplasmic reticulum. These VLCFAs are either recruited into the decarbonylation pathway, making odd-numbered alkanes, secondary alcohols, and ketones, or into the alcohol forming pathway, producing even-numbered primary alcohols and wax esters [1,5,6]. While most land plant cuticles share these compounds, exact cuticle composition and structure vary depending on tissue, species, developmental stage, and environmental conditions, which likely reflect adaptive responses to diverse niches and growth habits [7–12]. For instance, grasses, including our cereal staples, show cuticular properties that may help these plants cope with drought-prone environments and influence grain yields [7,13–17]. In particular, the distinctive blue-green glaucous wax bloom on exposed leaf sheaths, stem internodes, and inflorescences during the reproductive phase of many graminoid crops, including barley and wheat, may provide protection from pests, UV damage, and water loss [14,15,18–21]. While wild barley and wheat species...
are often glossy, many modern cultivars are glaucous, suggesting an association between cultivation and the glaucous trait [22,23].

To learn more about the development and genetic control of cereal cuticles, we studied cuticular mutants in barley. Many of these are glossy or eceriferum (cer) mutants, identified in mutagenesis screens for visibly reduced glaucousness and subsequently resolved into more than 75 independent allelism groups [24,25]. To date, researchers have identified the genes underlying several cuticular mutants in barley, including *Hv3-KETOACYL-COA SYNTHASE 1* (*HvKCS1*) and *Hv3-KETOACYL-COA SYNTHASE 6* (*HvKCS6*) [26,27]. In addition, cloning of the CER-CQU metabolic gene cluster revealed the genes necessary for the elongation of C\textsubscript{16} and shorter precursors to form the C\textsubscript{29-33} β-diketone and hydroxy-β-diketone crystalline tubes that comprise the main component of the barley and wheat epicuticular wax bloom [28]. The cluster encodes three enzymes—a diketone synthase (DKS), a lipase/carboxyl transferase, and a P450 hydroxylase—which underlie the barley C\textsubscript{16} and C\textsubscript{29-33} β-diketone biosynthesis [28]. However, we know little about the upstream regulation of the expression and activity of these components [28].

Several transcription factors are known to control cuticular wax in plants. Of particular interest are the SHINE1/WAX INDUCER1 (SHN1/WIN1) clade of the APETALA2 (AP2) domain superfamily, hereafter referred to as SHINE (SHN) transcription factors, a group named after its founding member, the Arabidopsis SHN1/WIN1 transcription factor shown to control the expression of genes involved in cuticular wax and cutin biosynthesis, as well as the formation of surface features on reproductive organs [32–36]. Homologous genes in rice, cotton, and wheat are also associated with cuticular wax production, cuticle permeability, and responses to pathogens and abiotic stress [37–39]. In barley, a gene called *NUDULUM (NUD)* encodes a putative SHN transcription factor whose deletion leads to a loss of cuticular modifications on the barley grain pericarp essential for hull adherence but is not associated with altered cuticular features on other tissues [40]. In contrast, the barley *glossy sheath4* (*gsh4*, synonym *cer-x*) mutants are characterized by a loss of surface wax on leaf sheaths, spikes, and stems [41], which previous analyses showed was associated with dramatic reductions in β-diketone wax classes and alkan-2-ol containing esters on spikes [42]. We hypothesized that mutations in the CER-X gene underlie the surface wax phenotypes in *gsh4/cer-x* mutants, and our study aimed to identify and characterise the hypothesized causal variation in this gene. To do this, we mobilized advanced genotyping technologies and exploited germplasm resources at NordGen and USDA-ARS. We discovered that multiple alleles show the predicted deleterious polymorphisms in a single gene encoding an SHN transcription factor, distinct from NUD. Previous work by Kumar et al. (2016) named this gene *HvWIN1* and showed that reducing *HvWIN1* gene expression via virus-induced gene silencing led to reduced cuticular FA and increased susceptibility to fungal infection [43]. Consistent with a role in the cuticular barrier, we found that putative impaired function of HvWIN1 in the *gsh4.l* allele was associated with increased cuticular permeability in tissues showing reduced surface wax and changes in gene expression, including CER-C, CER-Q, and CER-U. We also show that while HvWIN1 is largely conserved among barley genotypes, two non-synonymous variants specifically occur in cultivated barleys. Our data suggest that variation in HvWIN1 is associated with changes in surface wax and metabolic gene expression. Based on homology with SHN transcription factors, we speculate that HvWIN1 likely plays a regulatory role in controlling gene expression important for cuticle integrity and wax bloom formation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) cultivar (*cv.* Bowman and the Bowman Near Isogenic Lines (BWNILs) were obtained from the James Hutton Institute [44] for two available *cer-x* mutants, *cer-x.60* (BW126) and *gsh4.l* (BW407), to characterise the CER-X locus, as
well as for the nud1.a mutant (BW638) (Table 1). Twenty-five original cer-x alleles and their backgrounds, cultivars (cv.) Bonus, Nordal, Carlsberg II, Foma, and Kristina, were used for allele resequencing to confirm CER-X gene identity. These were obtained from NordGen, Alnarp, Sweden (www.nordgen.org 11 August 2020), except for gsh4.1 and its background cv. Gateway, which were obtained from the National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) at the U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS; www.ars.usda.gov 11 August 2020). All germplasm is detailed in Table S1 in Supplementary Materials. Visual scoring of wax coverage for each line is reported in Table S2. BW407 was selected for major experiments due to the original mutant, gsh4.1, displaying a more severe phenotype than that of cer-x.60 (Table S2 in Supplementary Materials). Plants were grown in general-purpose cereal compost (peat/wood fibre 70%/30%, magnesium limestone, Osmocote® start 12 + 14 + 24, Osmocote® standard 16 + 9 + 12, H2Gro® wetting agent, perlite, and sand) under long-day glasshouse conditions (16 h light 18 °C/8 h dark 14 °C), with supplemental light as required during short seasonal photoperiods to reach a light intensity of 150 Wm⁻². Plants for visual wax scoring were grown in 5-inch square pots, while all other plants were grown in trays of 3.5-inch pots. Genotypes were randomly arranged on the glasshouse bench.

Table 1. Major germplasm used within this study.

| Germplasm ID | Background | Accession |
|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Bowman       | -          | PI 483237 |
| BW407        | Bowman, gsh4.1 in Gateway | NGB 20640, GSHO 2072 |
| BW126        | Bowman, cer-x.60 in Bonus | NGB 20532, GSHO 2073 |

2.2. Cuticle Integrity Measurements

Cuticle integrity was assessed using chlorophyll leaching and Toluidine Blue (TB) staining assays in BW407 compared to Bowman and BW638 compared to Bowman. For chlorophyll leaching assays, 10 cm fresh tissue sections were isolated from the second fully expanded leaf blade (growth stage 12, GS12; [45]), flag leaf blade (GS55), and leaf sheath (GS55), with three (leaf sheath) or four (leaf blade) biological replicates per genotype. Fresh weight was recorded for each tissue sample before immediately immersing samples in 80% ethanol and agitating them at 60 rpm in the dark at room temperature. Following tissue immersion, the absorbance of the ethanol solution was measured with a Varioskan Lux using Skanit microplate reader software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) every hour for 6 h. For each sample, absorbance was recorded at 664 and 647 nm as maximum absorbance of chlorophyll A and B, respectively; at 720 nm to subtract the background signal and at 900 and 975 nm to correct for path length. Absorbance values were normalised to a 1 cm pathlength using the formulae: path length = (A₉₇₇ − A₉₀₀₃)/0.036, AX (1 cm) = (AX − A₇₂₀)/path length (where AX is the absorbance to be corrected) [46,47]. Total chlorophyll concentration was then calculated using the formula: total chlorophyll (µg/mL) = 7.93 (A₆₆₄) + 19.53 (A₆₄₇) [48]. A sample of 80% ethanol was used as blank. Data were normalised to fresh weight. Area under the curve was calculated for each genotype, and unpaired Student’s t-tests were used to check for statistical significance between genotypes separately for each tissue, comparing BW407 versus Bowman and BW638 versus Bowman. Data were plotted using the ggplot2 R function [49]. Epidermal staining with TB was used to examine cuticle permeability in flag leaf blade, flag leaf sheath, and spike. Samples were immersed in 0.05% (w/v) aqueous TB for 5 h (spike) or 24 h (leaf blade and leaf sheath), rinsed with water, and photographed.

2.3. Genotyping and Candidate Gene Sequencing

BW407 and BW126, along with Bowman, Bonus, and Gateway, were genotyped using the barley 50K iSelect SNP chip [50]. Markers were ordered based on barley cv. Morex genome assembly (Morex V3 [51]) and plotted to visualise their positions along the
barley chromosomes using the ggplot2 R function [49]. We attempted to amplify \( \text{HvWIN1} \) (HORVU6Hr1G038120) from 27 \( \text{cer-x} \) alleles, their background cv’s, and BWNILs using 15 \( \mu \)L reactions of 0.075 \( \mu \)L GoTaq DNA Polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 3.0 \( \mu \)L 5X Colorless GoTaq Reaction Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 0.3 \( \mu \)L dNTPs, 0.3 \( \mu \)L of each primer at 10 \( \mu \)M, 9.025 \( \mu \)L DNase free water and 2 \( \mu \)L genomic DNA for each sample using the following PCR cycling conditions: 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles: 95 °C for 60 s, 60 °C for 60 s and 72 °C for 60 s; 72 °C for 2 min. The amplicons were cleaned with ExoSAP (Applied Biosystems, Bedford, MA, USA) and sequenced at the Genome Technology sequencing facilities of the James Hutton Institute. Primers used for PCR and sequencing are listed in Table S3. The \( \text{HvWIN1} \) gene model was plotted using the ggplot2 R function [49].

2.4. Gene Expression

Hull tissues at five days post-anthesis (DPA) were harvested from BW407 and Bowman, snap-frozen, and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated from 0.1 g of each sample using the Qiagen RNeasy Plant Mini Kit, and cDNA was synthesised using ProtoScript II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). SYBR Green Power Up (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to measure transcript levels of \( \text{HvCER-C} \), \( \text{HvCER-Q} \), \( \text{HvCER-U} \), \( \text{HvCER1} \), \( \text{HvCER1.2} \), \( \text{HvWAX ESTER SYNTHASE/DIACYLGLYCEROL ACYLTRANSFERASE 1} \) (HvWSD1), \( \text{HvLONG-CHAIN ACYL-COA SYNTHETASE 2} \) (HvLACS2), \( \text{HvKCS1} \), and \( \text{HvKCS6} \) in four biological replicates. Primers for \( \text{HvCER-C} \), \( \text{HvCER-Q} \), and \( \text{HvCER-U} \) were taken from [22], primers for \( \text{HvCER1} \), \( \text{HvKCS6} \), and \( \text{HvWSD1} \) were taken from [52], primers for \( \text{HvKCS1} \) were taken from [26], and primers for \( \text{HvLACS2} \) were taken from [43]. The qRT-PCR was normalised as in [53] with \( \text{HvACT7} \) as an endogenous control. Primer sets are listed in Table S3. Data were plotted using the ggplot2 R function [49]. We retrieved \( \text{HvWIN1} \) expression data from the Barley Expression Database (EoRNA, ref. [54]) using the corresponding barley gene reference transcript model (BART1_0-p44305; BaRTV1.0, ref. [55]) to profile \( \text{HvWIN1} \) expression across 16 different tissues in Morex.

2.5. Wax Quantification

Flag leaf blades, flag leaf sheaths, and spikes at GS55 were harvested from BW407 and Bowman and immediately stored at −80 °C. Surface waxes were extracted from one spike, one flag leaf blade, and one 10 cm segment of leaf sheath for four biological replicates by dipping the sample for 1 min in 20 mL dichloromethane containing 10 \( \mu \)g methyl-nonadecanoate as the internal standard and dried under a vacuum evaporator. Extracts were derivatised by resuspending them in 200 \( \mu \)L (spikes and leaf sheaths) or 100 \( \mu \)L (leaf blades) N-O-bis-trimethylsilyltriﬂuoroacetamide (BSTFA) and incubating at 140 °C for 1 h. Wax components were identiﬁed using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) using a Trace DSQ™ II Series Quadrupole system (Thermo Electron Corporation, Hemel Hempstead, UK), fitted with a CTC CombiPAL autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) as previously described [56] with the following modifications: the programmable temperature vaporising (PTV) injector operated in split mode (40:1 ratio), and solvent delay for mass spectrum acquisition was 2.8 min. Data were acquired and analysed using Xcalibur™ (version 2.0.7, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA): specific ions, characteristic of each compound, were selected and used for compound detection and quantification in a processing method. Processed data were manually checked and corrected where necessary. Compounds found in less than three (out of four) replicates were assigned as “traces”. Data were plotted using the ggplot2 R function [49].

2.6. Sequence Retrieval and Phylogeny

We identiﬁed SHN proteins across plants by conducting a BLASTP search with the HvWIN1 protein sequence as the reference sequence against the following databases: Physcomitrium patens, Selaginella moellendorfii, Marchantia polymorpha, Amborella trichopoda,
Arabidopsis thaliana, Solanum lycopersicum, Solanum tuberosum, Brassica rapa, Oryza sativa Japonica, Zea mays, Sorghum bicolor, Brachypodium distachyon, Triticum aestivum, and Hordeum vulgare sequences were obtained from EnsemblPlants (https://plants.ensembl.org/ [57] 20 September 2021), Pinus sylvestris and Picea abies from PLAZA Gymnosperms (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/plaza/versions/gymno-plaza/ 22 September 2021), and Azolla filiculoides and Salvinia cucullata from FernBase (https://www.fernbase.org/ 23 September 2021). Additionally, the SlSHN2 (Solyc12g009490.1.1) protein sequence was obtained from Sol Genomics (https://solgenomics.net/ [58] 20 September 2021) and Traes_6DS_E6A0BE6CD protein sequence was obtained from Phytozome [59] (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/ 20 September 2021). Sequences with apparent assembly errors were manually corrected by genomic sequence comparison against other putative SHINEs. Orthologues were selected based on the presence of three conserved motifs (AP2 domain, middle motif, and c-terminal motif) and further explored using MEME motif discovery (http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme 26 January 2022). Site distribution was set to one occurrence per sequence (OOPS) for a maximum of six motifs per sequence with motif widths of 5–70 amino acids [60].

Full protein sequences were aligned in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 11 [61] using MUSCLE. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model [62] and tested using 300 bootstrap replications. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model and then selecting the topology with a superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.7322)). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 43 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated (complete deletion option), resulting in 149 positions in the final dataset [61].

2.7. Haplotype Analysis

SNP data of HvWIN1 were retrieved from published exome-capture datasets of H. spontaneum and H. vulgare lines [63,64]. The dataset collected included 3 kb upstream and 3 kb downstream of the coding region and was filtered to retain sites with ≥98% of samples homozygous. Accessions with missing data points or heterozygosity at these sites were excluded. The resulting dataset, including 456 accessions, was used to build two HvWIN1 haplotype networks, one containing only exonic SNPs and another containing all SNP sites in the dataset, including those in the 3 kb upstream and downstream sequences. Median-Joining haplotype network construction was performed using PopArt (popart.otago.ac.nz [65]). Exonic site haplotypes were plotted on a world map using the rworldmap R package (v1.3-6; [66]). Where available, latitude and longitude of sampling or based on sub-national production mid-point as in [63,64] were used. If unknown, the latitude and longitude of the capital city of the country of origin were used. This information was not available for nine lines which were therefore excluded from this analysis. In addition, HvWIN1 sequences from 19 barley accessions were retrieved from published sequencing data [67]. Full-length genomic sequences, including 3 kb upstream and 3 kb downstream, were aligned using ClustalW in MEGA-X (version 10.1.8, ref. [61]), and the alignment was used to identify SNPs and define haplotypes.

3. Results

3.1. CER-X Controls Cuticular Integrity and Epicuticular Wax Composition

To investigate the mechanisms underlying cuticle formation in barley, we examined the Bowman Near Isogenic Line [44] BW407 which contains the glossy sheath4.l (gsh4.l) allele originally identified as a radiation-induced mutant in Gateway (Table S1 in Supplementary Materials) and allelic to cer-x [41]. Compared to the glaucous appearance of Gateway, the gsh4.l mutant displays a striking glossy appearance on spikes, leaf sheaths, and exposed
nodes and internodes, characteristic of a loss of crystalline epicuticular waxes [24,68,69]. We confirmed that BW407 exhibits glossy phenotypes similar to the original gsh4.1 allele and in contrast with the glaucous recurrent parent Bowman (Figure 1A–C, Table S2 in Supplementary Materials).

Figure 1. The CER-X gene regulates specific cuticle structural and chemical properties in barley. (A–C) Wax crystal deposition on spikes, flag leaf sheaths, and stems (nodes and internodes) of Bowman and BW407. Scale bars, 5 mm. (D) Chlorophyll leaching of Bowman and BW407 flag leaf sheaths. Bars represent standard error (N = 3), p = 0.00145 (unpaired Student’s t-test). (E,F) Toluidine blue staining of spikes and flag leaf sheaths of Bowman and BW407. Scale bars, 10 mm. (G,H) Quantification of surface wax components extracted from (G) spikes and (H) flag leaf sheaths at GS55. NF = not found. Alk = Alkane, FA = Fatty Acid; β-dik = β-diketones; OH-β-dik = hydroxy-β-diketones. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 (two-tailed Student’s t-test).

We next examined whether the gsh4.1 allele influences cuticular properties in tissues showing the glossy phenotype (flag leaf sheaths and spikes) as well as those without a visible phenotype (fully expanded leaf blades from the second leaf and flag leaf). We used chlorophyll leaching as a proxy for cuticle permeability, with an increased permeability associated with cuticular defects [32]. We first measured how readily chlorophyll leaches from BW407 versus Bowman tissues following immersion in 80% ethanol. Both flag leaf and second leaf blades showed no differences in chlorophyll leaching between genotypes, but chlorophyll leached more quickly from flag leaf sheaths in BW407 compared to Bowman (p = 0.00145; Figures 1D and S1A,B), suggesting increased cuticular permeability in BW407. Next, we tested cuticle integrity by immersing tissues in a toluidine blue (TB) solution, which penetrates and stains tissues with discontinuous or defective cuticles [70]. Both spikes and leaf sheaths in BW407 compared to Bowman were more permeable to TB when immersed into a 0.05% TB solution for 5 h and 24 h, respectively, while no significant difference was observed in the leaf blades after 24 h (Figures 1E,F and S1C). Based on these data, we suggest that BW407 has weakened cuticle integrity on leaf sheaths and spikes compared to Bowman, but not in leaves. Thus, we conclude that the CER-X gene is important for cuticle integrity in tissues that develop a wax bloom.
We next assessed whether decreases in the β-diketone aliphatics which make up the epicuticular wax tubes [69] could explain the loss of glaucous wax in BW407. We used gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS) to perform partial compositional analysis on flag leaf blade, flag leaf sheath, and spike wax extracts from BW407 and Bowman. As reported previously [71], barley leaf blade wax is predominantly composed of C_{26} alcohols, which we found made up 69% and 71% of total leaf blade wax in Bowman and BW407, respectively. Neither total wax accumulation nor levels of identified single components differed between BW407 and Bowman leaf blades (Figure S1D; Table S4). In contrast, BW407 leaf sheaths and spikes showed 96% and 93% reductions in total wax, respectively, compared to Bowman (Figure 1G,H; Table 2). As expected, C_{31} β-diketones (β-diketones and hydroxy-β-diketones) contribute 92% and 85% of the total wax from Bowman flag leaf sheaths and spikes, respectively; BW407 showed reductions in most wax components compared to Bowman, with β-diketones showing the greatest drop and accumulating to only 0.044% and 0.86% Bowman levels in BW407 flag leaf sheaths and spikes, respectively (Figure 1G,H; Tables 2 and S4; p < 0.001, t-test), consistent with the previous report of trace amounts of β-diketone wax classes in cer-x.60 compared to its wild-type parent Bonus [42]. We also detected lower levels of C_{30} FAs in leaf sheaths and reductions in several long-chain alkenes in spikes (Tables 2 and S4). Interestingly, while C_{18} FAs were not robustly detected in Bowman leaf sheaths, higher levels were detectable in BW407 leaf sheaths. Altogether, our evidence supports that loss of β-diketones leads to the loss of glaucousness in BW407 leaf sheaths and spikes, the same tissues showing compromised cuticular integrity.

Table 2. Relative abundance of major wax components on flag leaf sheaths and spikes.

| Tissue          | Compound b | Bowman c (µg/cm² (% of Total)) | BW407 c (µg/cm² (% of Total)) | Significance d |
|-----------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Flag leaf sheath| C_{33-Alk} | 0.21 (0.71%)                   | 0.02 (1.53%)                   | ***            |
|                 | C_{31-β-diketone} | 23.5 (77.91%)               | 0.01 (1.14%)                   | ***            |
|                 | C_{30-FA}   | 0.39 (1.30%)                   | 0.02 (1.91%)                   | ***            |
|                 | C_{31-OH-β-diketone} | 4.14 (13.71%)              | NF                             | -              |
|                 | TOTAL WAX   | 30.17                         | 1.08                           | ***            |
| Spike           | C_{33-Alk}  | 1.02 (1.29%)                   | 0.88 (17.1%)                   | ns             |
|                 | C_{34-Alk}  | 1.51 (1.91%)                   | 0.78 (15.2%)                   | **             |
|                 | C_{31-Alk}  | 3.79 (4.81%)                   | 0.63 (12.22%)                  | ***            |
|                 | C_{31-β-diketone} | 48.35 (61.34%)           | 0.58 (11.26%)                  | ***            |
|                 | C_{31-OH-β-diketone} | 18.67 (23.68%)           | NF                             | -              |
|                 | TOTAL WAX   | 78.82                         | 5.16                           | ***            |

a Complete dataset in Table S4; b Alk = Alkane, FA = Fatty Acid, OH = hydroxy; c means of four bio-replicates and percentage of the total wax. NF = not found; d significance of a t-test (two-tailed distribution, two samples equal variance): ns = not significant, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001.

3.2. CER-X Is HvWIN1

We exploited existing germplasm resources and applied high throughput genotyping platforms to identify the gene underlying the Cer-X locus. We used the barley 50k iSelect SNP chip [50] to genotype two BWNILs, the aforementioned BW407 (gsh4.I, generated in Gateway) [68], and BW126, which contains the cer-x.60 allele originally generated in Bonus [24]. We identified Gateway alleles across chromosome 6H in BW407, consistent with previous mapping data [72], but did not distinguish clear introgression borders. However, two Bonus introgressions across chromosome 6H in BW126 overlapped with the BW407 region containing Gateway alleles (Figure 2A; Table S5). The first introgression spans a region between 452–457 Mb and contains the rob1 locus previously shown to
be closely linked to the *gsh4.l* locus in BW407 [73]. This introgression also includes the gene *HORVU6Hr1G038120* (*HORVU.MOREX.r3.6HG0578240.1* from Morex V3 [51]), which encodes a predicted protein containing characteristic SHN domains—an AP2/ERF DNA binding domain, a “middle motif” which is unique to SHN family proteins, and a “c-terminal motif”—identifying this gene as encoding a barley homolog of the SHN1/WIN1 transcription factor controlling wax and cutin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis [32,36]. This gene was previously named *HvWIN1* by Kumar et al. (2011), who associated *HvWIN1* expression with cuticular FA levels and resistance to fungal infection [43]. We speculated that loss of function alleles in *HvWIN1* may underlie the glossy mutant phenotypes associated with the *Cer-X* locus.

Figure 2. *HvWIN1* underlies the *Cer-X* locus in barley. (A) Barley 50k iSelect SNP chip [50] plotted over chromosome 6H of BW126 and BW407. (B) *HvWIN1* gene model (*HORVU6Hr1G038120*). Variants in independent *cer-x* alleles are represented as triangles. Triangles are coloured based on mutation type. (C) Expression of *HvWIN1* in Morex tissues from the Barley Expression Database (EoRNA [54]). Data expressed in transcripts per million (TPM). Bars represent mean expression. *N* = 3. (D) Expression of *HvWIN1* putative downstream targets in Bowman and BW407 hull tissues at five days post anthesis (DPA). Expressed as relative quantity (RQ). *N* = 3.
Direct sequencing of HvWIN1 in BW407 and BW126 identified point mutations in each line which cause single amino acid substitutions in the predicted AP2 domain of HvWIN1: M54R in BW407 and W19R in BW126 (Figure 2B; Table S2). These point mutations were confirmed in their donor mutants, gsh4.l and cer-x.60, compared to their parent cultivars (Table S2). Resequencing HvWIN1 in 23 other independent cer-x alleles, which all exhibit loss or reduction in surface waxes, identified four alleles that failed to amplify a HvWIN1 product, suggesting a potential deletion, while the remaining 19 alleles all contained mutations in HvWIN1 compared to their parent cultivars (Figure 2B; Table S2). One of these, cer-x.407, contained a 42 bp deletion in the first exon, which led to an early stop codon, while the other 18 were single point mutations (Table S2). Of the cer-x alleles containing point mutations, including gsh4.l and cer-x.60, 18 were unique: 13 caused single amino acid substitutions, four caused premature stop codons, and one interrupted an intron splicing site (Figure 2B; Table S2). All these mutations impacted either the AP2 domain or the SHN-specific middle motif in the predicted protein, suggesting these regions are crucial to HvWIN1 function. We then used the Barley Expression Database (EoRNA, ref. [54] to examine HvWIN1 expression across different tissues in cv. Morex. Transcripts were abundant in developing tissues, including grain, tillers, and shoots from seedlings, as well as inflorescence tissues such as the rachis (Figures 2C and S2A), supporting HvWIN1’s role in barley cuticle development. From these data, we conclude that CER-X is HvWIN1.

3.3. HvWIN1 Influences Gene Expression Associated with Cuticle Development

Since HvWIN1 encodes a putative transcription factor, we speculated that loss of β-diketones and decreased cuticular integrity in mutants reflected differences in HvWIN1-dependent gene expression. To explore this hypothesis, we compared the expression levels of selected candidate genes in Bowman and BW407 based on their demonstrated or predicted roles in wax synthesis and cuticle formation in barley. Consistent with β-diketone and hydroxy-β-diketone deficiency, BW407 showed strikingly reduced HvCER-C, HvCER-Q, and HvCER-U expression levels (Figure 2D). The expression of key genes controlling cuticular lipid biosynthesis was also reduced in BW407, including HvKCS1 and HvKCS6 (Figure 2D) which encode condensing enzymes producing VLCFAs. These substrates are necessary for various wax and cutin biosynthetic pathways, supporting our observation that most wax components are reduced in BW407 and potentially explaining the increase in BW407 flag leaf sheaths of C18 FAs, essential precursors in FA elongation for the ubiquitous aliphatic classes but not β-diketones (Table S4). In addition, BW407 had decreased expression of HvWAX ESTER SYNTHASE/DIACYLGLYCEROL ACYLTRANSFERASE 1 (HvWSD1), a homolog of the Arabidopsis wax synthase A1WSD1 involved in ester biosynthesis [74], and two homologs of alkane synthase AtCER1 [75], HvCER1 and HvCER1.2 (Figures 2D and S2B), consistent with the reduction of long-chain wax esters and long-chain alkanes in BW407 (Figure 1G; Table S4). We also detected reductions in the barley orthologue of LONG-CHAIN ACYL-COA SYNTHETASE 2 (LACS2; Figure S2B) involved in generation of long-chain acyl pools important for cutin biosynthesis and cuticle permeability in Arabidopsis [76,77]. Based on these results, we suggest that HvWIN1 may participate in a regulatory network to control the expression of genes important for cuticular integrity and the wax bloom in barley.

3.4. HvWIN1 Is Part of a Highly Conserved Gene Family

The extent of glaucousness varies across grasses [23,28,78–81], with wild Triticeae species generally producing alcohol-rich wax at the reproductive stage [82], suggesting that the β-diketone rich wax characteristic of cultivated wheat and barley may have been selected during domestication. We conducted haplotype analyses to explore whether variation in HvWIN1 could contribute to differences in reproductive wax between cultivated and wild germplasm. We retrieved HvWIN1 sequence data from whole-exome HvWIN1 sequences from 456 wild (H. spontaneum) and cultivated (H. vulgare) barley accessions [63,64]. We identified only six variations in the coding region, all in the second exon of HvWIN1, suggesting that
HvWIN1 is highly conserved. Of these variants, two caused non-synonymous changes (D136N and T113I) in the SHN-specific middle motif, with the T113I variant changing a highly conserved amino acid in SHN1/WIN1 orthologs across species. The variants were arranged in seven haplotypes (HAP; Figure 3A; Tables S6 and S7). HAP1 and HAP2 contain over 90% of the germplasm (417 out of 456 accessions) and are the only two haplotypes represented across cultivars, landraces, and wild barleys. HAP3, with 19 lines, was shared between landraces and wild barley only. Interestingly, the two non-synonymous variants, T113I and D136N, were only found in HAP4 (13 sequences) and HAP5 (5 sequences), respectively, haplotypes made up of cultivars and landraces only. Finally, HAP6 and HAP7 were found in one landrace and one wild barley, respectively. The geographical distribution of HvWIN1 haplotypes did not identify strong associations between a specific variant and its origin; however, all HAP5 lines and eight out of 13 HAP4 lines were collected from central, southern, and eastern Asian countries, including Afghanistan, Pakistan, Tajikistan, Nepal, India, and China, with a strong presence in regions of the Himalayan plateau (Figure S3B, Table S7). Analysis of the 3 kb upstream and 3 kb downstream of the HvWIN1 coding sequence identified an additional 15 and 11 variants, respectively, which formed 25 haplotypes, of which six included 90% of the lines (412 out of 456, Tables S7 and S8; Figure S3). We also compared HvWIN1 genomic sequences across the barley pangenome, 19 barley accessions representative of global barley diversity [67]. We found little variation in the coding region, with only two-second exon SNP sites, both present in the previous dataset, including the T113I variant in two landraces: HvZDM01467 (also called Du-Li Huang or Dulihuang), one of the founders of the Chinese breeding program [67], and HvHOR7552, a landrace from Pakistan (Tables S7 and S9). Analysis of the 3 kb upstream and 3 kb downstream of the HvWIN1 coding sequence in the pangenome lines identified an additional 15 and 10 SNPs, respectively, and no big structural changes (such as large deletions or introgressions) in the regions putatively containing regulatory domains (Table S9). Collectively, these data suggest that HvWIN1 is broadly conserved in barley, although two minor haplotypes exclusive to landraces and cultivated barley show changes to conserved amino acids.

We expanded our analyses to examine SHN transcription factors across representative species in the green plant lineage. Consistent with previous work [83], SHN proteins appear land plant-specific and likely emerged during plant adaptation to drier terrestrial environments, with SHN homologs detected in the bryophyte Physcomitrium patens but not in other bryophytes or algal species (Figures 3B and S4). SHN homologs were highly conserved in all other land plants examined, with intriguing exceptions in water ferns. The closest homologs in the water ferns, Azolla filiculoides and Salvinia cucullata, contained partial SHN motifs but low conservation of gene sequence and structure (Figure S4), though we note that the lack of clear SHN homologs in water ferns could also represent assembly errors. SHN homologs form distinct subclades within both the dicot and monocot groups, suggesting that SHN expansion and diversification events occurred separately in dicot and monocot lineages (Figure 3B). Three SHN transcription factors (SHN1/WIN1, SHN2, and SHN3) act redundantly to pattern surface features in Arabidopsis floral organs [33], while the barley SHN ortholog NUD seems to have undergone neofunctionalisation. In barley, the deletion of the NUD gene underlies ‘naked’ varieties where hulls shed freely due to a loss of a lipid-rich cementing layer on the grain pericarp, which normally adheres to the hull [40]. Comparing Bowman and the BWNIL introgressed with the nud1.a deletion (BW638) showed no change in leaf blade or leaf sheath cuticle permeability (Figure S5) and no visual wax phenotype (Table S2), suggesting that, unlike HvWIN1, NUD does not regulate these traits.
Figure 3. Sequence analyses of HvWIN1 and SHN transcription factors. (A) Median-joining network for HvWIN1 haplotypes. SNPs were identified comparing HvWIN1 exonic regions of 456 barley lines. Node size is relative to haplotype frequency. Bars between two nodes indicate the number of nucleotides within the sequence that differ between haplotypes. Amino acid changes are indicated in bold. (B) Phylogenetic relationship of SHN transcription factors across representative land plant species. Evolutionary analysis was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model in MEGA 11 [61,62]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−3217.61) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in 300 bootstrap replications is shown next to the branches. Hv, Hordeum vulgare; Ta, Triticum aestivum; Bd, Brachypodium distachyon; Os, Oryza sativa; Sb, Sorghum bicolour; Zm, Zea mays; Bra, Brassica rapa; At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Sl, Solanum lycopersicum; St, Solanum tuberosum; Am, Amborella trichopoda; Pa, Picea abies; Ps, Pinus sylvestris; Sm, Selaginella moellendorffii; Pp, Physcomitrium patens. HvNUD is highlighted in purple, HvWIN1 is highlighted in green. Gene models in bold indicate functionally characterised genes.
4. Discussion

The original gsh4.1 and cer-x alleles were characterized by loss of surface wax coating on spikes, leaf sheaths, and stems, which we confirmed reflect a loss of β-diketones, VLC alkanes, and VLCFAs in the BW407 gsh4.1 introgression line. We also found that cuticular permeability increased in BW407. However, while total wax was reduced in BW407, changes in composition rather than total wax load may play more important roles in explaining increased cuticular permeability in glossy BW407 tissues. Firstly, across multiple species and tissues, total wax content is not correlated to cuticle permeability [84,85]. Secondly, β-diketone-deficient glossy iw1Iw2 wheat lines also show increased chlorophyll leaching compared to glaucous β-diketone rich lines, despite equivalent total wax in both groups [14]. Altogether, our data is consistent with the role of β-diketones and alkanes in cuticular permeability in barley, which may underlie responses to drought and improved yield performance in glaucous varieties under arid conditions [13,14,16,86].

Our results show that variation in HvWIN1 influences cuticular properties in both vegetative and reproductive tissues, consistent with previous work manipulating SHN1/WIN1 activity that showed roles in cuticular lipid metabolism as well as resistance to stress [32–39]. In Arabidopsis, the overexpression of AtWIN1/SHN1 significantly increased wax and cutin accumulation and improved drought tolerance [32,35,36], while silencing of a tomato SHINE gene SISHINE3 (SISHN3) caused reduced accumulation of fruit cuticular lipids, including cutin, and increased susceptibility to fungal infection and drought [87]. Similarly, transgenic knock-down of wheat TaWIN1 reduced cuticular waxes and cutin, associated with increased susceptibility to fungal infection [88]. Overexpression of rice SHN orthologs, the wax synthesis regulatory gene 1 (OsWR1) and wax synthesis regulatory gene 2 (OsWR2), each increased drought tolerance and promoted wax synthesis; cutin levels also increased in the OsWR2 overexpression line, although these were not examined for OsWR1 [37,89]. Barley spikes rub-inoculated with HvWIN1 silencing constructs showed decreases in free FAs important for cutin biosynthesis and increased susceptibility to fungal infection, which the authors suggested reflects a role for HvWIN1 in reinforcing the cuticle [43]. Our data show that variation in HvWIN1 reduces cuticular waxes and alters cuticular integrity, but whether HvWIN1 function also influences cutin levels in barley remains a pressing question. Moreover, we are curious whether non-synonymous cultivar-specific variation in HvWIN1 has any influence on cuticular properties which could have been selected during cultivation, given the association between SHN function and resistance to drought and infection.

Consistent with our work showing HvWIN1-responsive changes in cuticular metabolic gene expression, transgenic manipulation of SHN-encoding gene expression in other plants also suggested that SHN transcription factors regulate the expression of genes involved in both wax and cutin biosynthetic pathways [36]. Silencing of SISHN3 in tomato decreased expression of SICYP86A69, a gene necessary for cutin accumulation in the tomato fruit cuticle [87], while OsWR1 overexpression and RNA interference lines in rice showed increased and decreased expression of OsCER1, OsKCS1, and OsCYP86A7 homologs, respectively [37]. OsWR2 overexpression similarly promoted the expression of OsCER1, OsKCS1, OsLACS1, and OsCYP86A7 homologs [89]. Silencing HvWIN1 in barley was also correlated with reduced expression of HvCYP86A2, HvCYP89A2, and HvLACS2 genes [43]. Overexpressing AtWIN1/SHN1 rapidly and directly induced the expression of cutin biosynthetic genes such as AtCYP86A7, AtCYP86A4, and AtLACS2 [35], while wax biosynthetic genes such as AtKCS1 and AtCER1 are induced more slowly, suggesting that they operate further downstream [35]. Finally, heterologous expression of a durum wheat homologue in tobacco, called TdWIN1, showed that the protein localized to the nucleus and could activate reporter constructs, consistent with transcription factor activity [38]. These data all point to a role for SHN proteins in regulating the transcription of genes important for surface characteristics.

We speculate that HvWIN1 may also control different gene targets depending on developmental stage and tissue. In barley, cutin and wax deposition reduced cuticular permeability in expanding leaves, but further decreases in cuticular permeability reflected
additional wax deposition in cells at their final length [90], suggesting carefully coordinated metabolic gene expression linked to differentiation. Environmental signals may be involved as deposition of epicuticular waxes appears linked to exposure to the atmosphere rather than age per se [71]. Moreover, barley and wheat leaf waxes change from predominantly primary alcohols and alkanes in seedlings to β-diketones in reproductive stage tissues such as sheaths, stems, and spikes [14,31,91,92]. Learning more about HvWIN1 control of metabolic gene expression during development will be important to determine how HvWIN1 may control individual events and/or responses to environmental signals during vegetative and reproductive development to regulate cuticular integrity and cuticular waxes in specific tissues.

To the best of our knowledge, the only other SHN-encoding gene studied in barley is the NUD gene shown to control cuticular lipids on the grain pericarp but not on other surfaces [40]. A recent study showed that heterologous overexpression of an SHN coding sequence amplified from barley, which these authors called HvSHN1, increased tolerance to stress and altered cuticle permeability in tobacco [93]. We suspect that this HvSHN1 is NUD since the protein interaction network displayed in [93] names HvSHN1 as NUD, and the protein sequence reported in their previous manuscript [94] differs from NUD by only four amino acids, while HvWIN1 differs from NUD by 63 amino acids; our searches of the barley genome do not detect a third SHN orthologue in barley. This suggests that NUD can influence the cuticular properties of other tissues when overexpressed. We speculate that the different roles of HvWIN1 and NUD may reflect their different expression profiles, with HvWIN1 expressed in most tissues (Figure 2C) and NUD being expressed in grain but not the hull or leaf tissues [40]. It will be interesting to explore the origin of HvWIN1 and NUD tissue expression differences.

In summary, we discovered that variation in HvWIN1 underlies alleles at the Cer-X locus, which we conclude are responsible for changes in cuticular integrity and cuticular waxes in barley. Our work was accelerated by a synergistic combination of access to invaluable germplasm resources and the development of advanced genotyping platforms. Unlike most other genes so far identified, which control cuticular waxes in barley, HvWIN1 encodes a transcription factor. Learning more about the regulatory network controlling cuticular features and how this may differ between cultivars and wild species may become increasingly important to develop more resilient cereal varieties better equipped to respond to environmental challenges.
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