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Abstract

Background: Opioidergic SLP (sustained ligand-activated preconditioning) induced by 3–5 days of opioid receptor (OR) agonism induces persistent protection against ischemia-reperfusion (I-R) injury in young and aged hearts, and is mechanistically distinct from conventional preconditioning responses. We thus applied unbiased gene-array interrogation to identify molecular effects of SLP in pre- and post-ischemic myocardium.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Male C57Bl/6 mice were implanted with 75 mg morphine or placebo pellets for 5 days. Resultant SLP did not modify cardiac function, and markedly reduced dysfunction and injury in perfused hearts subjected to 25 min ischemia/45 min reperfusion. Microarray analysis identified 14 up- and 86 down-regulated genes in normoxic hearts from SLP mice (≥1.3-fold change, FDR≤5%). Induced genes encoded sarcomeric/contractile proteins (Myh7, Mybpc3,Myom2,Des), natriuretic peptides (Nppa,Nppb) and stress-signaling elements (Csd6,Ptgds). Highly repressed genes primarily encoded chemokines (Ccl2,Ccl4,Ccl7,Ccl9,Ccl13,Ccl33,Cxcl13), cytokines (Il1b,Il6,Tnf) and other proteins involved in inflammation/immunity (C3,Cd74,Cd83, Cd86,Hla-dbq1,Hla-drb1,Saa1,Selp,Serpina3), together with endoplasmic stress proteins (known: Dnajb1,Herpud1,Socs3; putative: Il6, Gadd45g,Rcan1) and transcriptional controllers (Egr2,Egr3, Fos,Hmox1,Nfkbid). Biological themes modified thus related to inflammation/immunity, together with cellular/cardiovascular movement and development. SLP also modified the transcriptional response to I-R (46 genes uniquely altered post-ischemia), which may influence later infarction/remodeling. This included up-regulated determinants of cellular resistance to oxidant (Mgst3,Gstm1,Gstm2) and other forms of stress (Xirp1,Ankrd1,Clu), and repression of stress-response genes (Hspa1a,Hspd1,Hsp90aa,Hsph1,Serpinh1) and Txnip.

Conclusions: Protection via SLP is associated with transcriptional repression of inflammation/immunity, up-regulation of sarcomeric elements and natriuretic peptides, and modulation of cell stress, growth and development, while conventional protective molecules are unaltered.

Introduction

Adjunctive cardioprotective therapy to limit myocardial damage and death during infarction or surgical I-R in ischemic heart disease (IHD) patients remains an important though elusive clinical goal [1]. IHD is the leading cause of death and healthcare expenditure in Australia, and is predicted to remain the leading global health problem in coming decades, emerging as a major issue in both developing and developed countries [2]. With population aging the impact of IHD will rise, with growing incidences of diabetes, obesity, dyslipidemia, and hypertension further contributing to IHD prevalence. Despite the enormity of this problem, we still have no clinically effective cardioprotective therapies to improve short- or long-term outcomes from myocardial ischemia, beyond essential (and timely) reperfusion. This reflects in part our incomplete understanding of mechanisms governing myocardial survival vs. death, and particularly how these are influenced by age, sex, disease and common pharmaceuticals [3,4]. Unfortunately, widely studied experimental stimuli including pre- and post-conditioning may be impaired or negated with aging [5], relevant disease states such as diabetes [6,7], obesity [8] and hypertension/hypertrophy [9,10], and commonly applied drugs such as ß-blockers [11] and ACE inhibitors [9].

Contrasting conventional protective responses, SLP is highly effective in both young and aged myocardium, inducing protection that persists for up to a week post-stimulus and that is equivalent or superior to that with other preconditioning stimuli [12–15]. While...
potentially superior to other candidate responses, the molecular basis of SLP remains to be elucidated. Shifts in myocardial protein expression/localization are likely involved given that SLP requires 3–5 days of induction and generates persistent protection evident both in vivo and ex vivo [15]. Mechanistically, SLP is distinct from conventional protective and conditioning responses [14,15], being Gs(-pertussis toxin) insensitive and independent of well-established mediators including PI3K/Akt, NOS, mTOR, PKC, KATP channels and cRaf1 kinase (upstream of MEK/MAPK signaling), whereas Gs(-dependent PKA signals may contribute [14]. Given these unique features, we here applied un-biased transcriptome-wide interrogation to identify molecular changes associated with novel SLP.

Results

Cardiac Response to SLP

Induction of SLP did not modify baseline contractile function or coronary flow in isolated perfused hearts (Table 1). In terms of L-R tolerance, hearts from SLP mice exhibited substantially improved ventricular contractile recoveries (Figure 1). Furthermore, the extent of cellular death/damage, as indicated by post-ischemic LDH efflux, was significantly reduced by ≧75% in the SLP group (Figure 1).

Transcriptional Effects of SLP “Induction” in Normoxic Myocardium

To identify molecular adaptations in SLP hearts, myocardial gene expression was interrogated via microarray. Of 45,200 transcripts represented on the Illumina MouseWG-6 v1.1 BeadChip, 13,335 (30%) were expressed in ≧2 myocardial samples per group. In normoxic myocardium SLP induction was associated with up-regulation of 14 transcripts and repression of 86 transcripts, based on fold-changes ≧1.3 and a FDR of ≧5% (Table S1). Induced transcripts were involved in contraction/sarcomeric function (Myh7, Mylpc3, Myom2, Dsc1), cardioprotection/remodeling (Nppa, Nppb), and stress signaling (Cda, Pgyd). Of highly repressed transcripts, a majority were chemokines (Ccl2, Ccl4, Ccl7, Ccl9, Ccl13, Ccl23, Cxcl3), cytokines (Il1b, Il6, Tnf), and other inflammation/immune related transcripts (Setpin3, Sna1, C5, Ccl7, H1a-db1, H1a-dbq1, Selp, Ccl13, Ccl6), together with endoplasmic reticulum stress response (ERSR) genes (Dnaq1, Soc3, Htrap1, Il6, Gadd45g, Rem1) and transcriptional controllers (Egr2, Egr3, Fos, Hmx1, Nfkbid). We further assessed shifts in protein expression for 2 key transcript changes - Myh7 and Nppa (Figure 2). These data confirm Myh7 transcript induction translates to increased myocardial MYH7 protein content (which was below detection limits in untreated tissue, consistent with normal expression in the neonatal myocardium), whereas induction of Nppa was not associated with a detectable increase in cardiac ANP expression (Figure 2).

Functional annotation and interrogation via the IPA suite identified similar themes of inflammatory/immune modulation, regulation of cell movement, growth and development, and cell death/survival responses (Table 2; Table S3). The top molecular canonical functions identified included (in descending order of significance): cell-to-cell communication and interaction, cellular movement, antigen presentation, cellular development, cellular function and maintenance, cellular growth and proliferation, cell death, and cell signaling. The top represented disease processes included: inflammatory responses, immunological disease, connective tissue disorders, inflammatory disease, skeletal and muscular disorders. These paths and functions are suggestive of SLP-dependent control of inflammatory/immune function, cardiac contraction and remodeling, and stress-responses (cell death, survival and signaling, oxidative stress responses). Network analysis identified 9 significantly modified networks during SLP induction, based upon known molecular interactions (Table 3). Again, the most significantly modified revolve around cell movement, immune/inflammatory functions and cardiovascular disease and development (Table 3). As detailed in Figure 3 the two top modified networks are involved in inflammatory/immune function, network 1 centered on NfkB and Il12/chemokine responses, and network 2 centered on TNFα and MHC/HLA responses. The two cardiovascular-related networks identified (3 and 4) also involve inflammatory signaling, together with processes of cellular growth and development (Figure 4).

Transcriptional Effects of SLP in Post-Ischemic Myocardium

Myocardial gene expression patterns following ischemic insult will influence progression of infarction, remodeling and ultimately failure. Post-ischemic expression patterns were significantly modified by SLP, which led to up-regulation of 29 transcripts and repression of 51 transcripts in reperfused myocardium (Table S2). Of these SLP-responsive transcripts, 33 were similarly altered by SLP pre-ischemia, whereas 46 were identified as specifically modified by SLP post-ischemic tissue only (21 up- and 25 down-regulated). The latter included up-regulation of transcripts involved in cardiac stress signaling and development (Xbp1, Ankhd1, Chi) and anti-oxidant function (Mgst3, Gstm1, Gstm2), and repression of Tmip and heat shock transcripts (Hspb1, Hspa1a, Hspa1d, Ssepal1) (Table S2). Functional/pathway analysis of post-ischemic transcriptional responses to SLP identified processes and networks similar to those modified in normoxic tissue, including inflammatory/immune signaling paths and processes, cellular movement, growth, development, and signaling (Tables 4 and 5; Table S4).

Select gene changes identified via microarray interrogation of normoxic and post-ischemic myocardium were further validated via RT-qPCR analysis. As shown in Figure 5, genes assessed by RT-qPCR exhibited expression changes during SLP induction that were consistent with responses detected by microarray.

Table 1. Baseline function in Langendorff hearts from SLP and placebo mice.

| GROUP            | LVEDP (mmHg) | LVDP (mmHg) | dP/dt (mmHg/s) | −dP/dt (mmHg/s) | Coronary Flow (ml/min/g) |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 5-day Placebo (n = 8) | 5±1         | 148±9       | 5458±487       | 3345±256       | 3.0±0.2                |
| 5-day SLP (n = 8)   | 4±1         | 150±7       | 5220±363       | 3208±210       | 2.9±0.2                |

Data were acquired after 30 min aerobic perfusion (at a fixed heart rate of 420 bpm). Data are means ± S.E.M. There were no significant differences in baseline (pre-ischemic) functional measures between groups. LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVDP, left ventricular developed pressure; dP/dt, differential of ventricular pressure development or relaxation over time. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.t001
analysis. The very strong positive and linear correlation ($r^2 = 0.95$) highlights the complementarity of RT-qPCR and microarray techniques, and thus the general quantitative value of array-derived gene expression changes (though the slope of the relationship reflects a superior sensitivity and dynamic range for RT-qPCR; Figure 5). Interestingly, of this sub-set of transcriptional responses specifically quantitated by PCR, pre-ischemic induction of myocardial $Myh7$ and $Nppa$, and repression of $Pdk4$, $Ccl7$, $Fos$, and $Il6$ have not previously been reported for cardioprotected models. Post-ischemic induction of $Ankrd1$ and $Xirp1$ and repression of $Txnip$ and $Tlr2$ have also not been linked to cardioprotection.

Discussion

Clinically applicable interventions to limit myocardial cell death with infarction or surgical I-R are needed [3,4]. Interventions based on conventional pre- and post-conditioning have been widely studied, yet may possess significant drawbacks. Notably, they appear sensitive to inhibitory influences of age (with the majority of IHD patients >50 yrs old), disease status (most IHD patients suffer co-morbidities of obesity/dyslipidemia, diabetes, and/or hypertension), and common pharmaceuticals (almost all IHD patients are on ß-blockers, statins, ACE inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers [4]. These factors may explain modest outcomes from clinical trials of conditioning stimuli [3]. Experimental SLP on the other hand engages unique signaling which may be resistant to these inhibitory influences [14,15], and indeed is effective in aged myocardium [13]. While SLP induction is wortmannin-sensitive [15], implicating PI3K, whether this reflects a role in phospho-dependent signal transduction, activation of mRNA translation, and/or regulation of gene transcription is not known. Certainly the resulting phenotype is unique, I-R tolerance being independent of PI3K/Akt, NOS, mTOR, KATP channel and MEK/MAPK activation [14].

Array analysis reveals SLP significantly alters the cardiac transcriptome, though consistent with novel signaling involvement [14], this does not involve modulation of canonical protective paths or molecules (eg. RISK signaling elements, NOS) [16], antioxidants, or major determinants of cell death/apoptosis. Rather, SLP induces unconventional transcriptional changes, including shifts in mediators of inflammation/immunity, sarcomere function, and cardiovascular growth and development (Figures 3 and 4, Table S1). Intriguingly, this response exhibits features similar to those arising with cardioprotective exercise [17], itself attributed to OR-dependent signaling [18,19]. Both SLP and voluntary-

Figure 1. Cardioprotective effects of OR-dependent SLP. Data are shown for contractile recoveries and cell death following 25 min ischemia and 45 min reperfusion in isolated hearts from placebo vs. SLP treated mice (n = 8 per group). Shown are recoveries of left ventricular developed pressure (% of baseline) and left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mmHg), together with total post-ischemic washout of cellular LDH. Values are mean±S.E.M. *, P<0.05 vs. Placebo.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.g001

Figure 2. Relationship between transcript and protein expression changes for cardiac MYH7 and ANP. Data are shown for myocardial: A) $Myh7$ and MYH7 transcript and protein levels, respectively, and B) $Nppa$ and ANP transcript and protein levels, respectively (n = 6 per group). ND: not detected ($Myh7$ was undetectable in the placebo group; ANP was un-detectable in the cytosolic fraction). Values are mean±S.E.M. *, P<0.05 vs. Placebo.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.g002
Table 2. The top functional gene groupings sensitive to SLP induction in normoxic myocardium.

| Molecular and Cellular Functions                          | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Cell-to-cell Communication and Interaction                 | 2.4E-23-2.25E-05 | 51           |
| Cellular Movement                                          | 1.6E-20-2.25E-05 | 43           |
| Antigen Presentation                                       | 9.5E-16-1.69E-05 | 31           |
| Cellular Development                                       | 5.97E-14-2.62E-05 | 51           |
| Cellular Function and Maintenance                          | 6.79E-13-1.13E-05 | 35           |
| Cellular Growth and Proliferation                          | 2.82E-12-2.62E-05 | 59           |
| Cell Death                                                 | 1.09E-10-2.31E-05 | 53           |
| Cell Signaling                                             | 7.27E-10-1.29E-05 | 24           |
| Molecular Transport                                        | 7.27E-10-2.2E-05 | 27           |

| Physiological System Development and Function              | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Hematological System Development and Function              | 1.6E-20-2.58E-05 | 52           |
| Immune Cell Trafficking                                    | 1.6E-20-2.58E-05 | 42           |
| Tissue Development                                         | 2.54E-15-2.58E-05 | 50           |
| Lymphoid Tissue Structure and Development                  | 8.17E-15-1.16E-05 | 23           |
| Tissue Morphology                                          | 1.25E-14-1.81E-05 | 35           |

| Disease and Disorders                                      | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Inflammatory Response                                      | 1.6E-20-2.58E-05 | 49           |
| Immunological Disease                                      | 7.27E-17-1.84E-05 | 46           |
| Connective Tissue Disorders                                | 1.18E-13-2.47E-05 | 39           |
| Inflammatory Disease                                       | 1.18E-13-2.47E-05 | 50           |
| Skeletal and Muscular Disorders                            | 1.18E-13-2.47E-05 | 52           |

Running generate predominant mRNA repression as induction, and modify transcripts involved in inflammation/immunity and sarcomeric function.

Transcripts Up-Regulated in SLP Hearts

Data in Figure 1 highlight powerful protection against dysfunction and cell death with SLP, a persistent stress-resistance induced by several days of OR agonism [15]. This pattern implicates protein expression changes rather than or additional to post-translational regulation. Only a small set of transcripts was induced by SLP, the majority being repressed (Figure S1). Several induced transcripts may contribute to I-R tolerance, including a novel suite of sarcomeric genes (Myh7, Mybpc3, Myom2, Des), together with potentially protective Nppa and Nppb.

Sarcomeric elements. Myh7 was the most highly induced, with encoded β-myosin heavy chain protein also elevated (Figure 2). There are no prior reports of OR (or ischemic) regulation of this protein, which is considered a marker of pathological hypertrophy (reflecting expression of a fetal gene program). Nonetheless, a solely pathological function for the protein has recently been challenged [20], and is contrary to improved cardiac efficiency [21] and Ca^{2+} homeostasis [22] with β-myosin heavy chain expression. Pronounced induction may thus benefit hearts, reducing the effects of I-R on 2 key outcome determinants - contractile efficiency and Ca^{2+} handling.

Transcript for myosin-binding protein C (Mybpc3), a critical regulator of cardiac function, was also induced. Myosin-binding protein C stabilizes thick filaments and regulates actomyosin ATPase activity. Dysregulation leads to dilated and hypertrophic cardiomyopathies, with phospho-dependent degradation potentially contributing to I-R injury [23]. Induction has not been previously reported in protected phenotypes, yet could limit cardiac I-R injury, protect sarcomeric function, and together with β-myosin heavy chain improve contractile efficiency.

Induced Myom2 and Des may additionally preserve sarcomere function. Myomesin-2 is the primary myosin M-band cross-linking protein, and binds titin in a complex with obscurin/obs1. The protein is key to normal function, as evidenced by associations between heart failure and low expression. Since titin is a critical I-R sensitive sarcomere element [24], up-regulated myomesin-2 may mitigate against titin dysfunction (and is also increased with protective exercise [17]). Up-regulated desmin (Des) connects myofibrils to each other and the sarcolemma, controls mitochondrial proximity to myofibrils, and maintains myocyte structure and interactions at Z-disks/intercalated disks. Evidence indicates degradation of desmin may contribute to cardiac I-R injury [25]. Novel up-regulation may thus be protective. Collectively, induction of this suite of key sarcomeric genes may protect against I-R damage, with reduced desmin and myosin-binding protein C already implicated in I-R injury, while roles for β-myosin heavy chain and myomesin-2 warrant further study.

Natriuretic peptides. Transcripts for atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) - Nppa and Nppb, respectively - were induced with SLP. There are no prior reports...
of OR-dependent control of cardiac ANP/BNP expression, though the secretion of ANP may be enhanced by ORs [26–28]. Surprisingly, ANP/BNP involvement in preconditioning has not been tested, despite increased secretion with brief ischemia [27,28]. Other induced transcripts. Up-regulated Cda encodes cold-shock domain protein A (or OxyR), a redox-sensitive transcriptional controller of anti-oxidants and cellular stress responses [36]. Induction has not been reported in protected states, yet may promote myocardial I-R tolerance. Up-regulated Pdg6 encodes prostaglandin D2 synthase, involved in synthesis of prostaglandin D2. Induction prevents cardiovascular injury via anti-inflammatory effects [37], protects against platelet aggregation, and limits growth of vascular smooth muscle cells [38], effects that could contribute to I-R resistance in vivo. The function of induced Mps17 is poorly understood, though there is evidence this inner mitochondrial membrane protein may regulate mtDNA copy number and longevity [39]. Tjre encodes the traptillin receptor, which contributes to iron handling and could facilitate iron-dependent oxidative stress. However, there is no strong link between iron handling and cell damage in myocardial infarction.

| ID   | Top Functions                                                                 | Score | Focus |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1    | Cellular Movement, Hematological System Development and Function, Immune Cell Trafficking | 32    | 18    |
| 2    | Antigen Presentation, Inflammatory Response, Immunological Disease             | 31    | 17    |
| 3    | Cardiovascular Disease, Infectious Disease, Inflammatory Disease               | 24    | 14    |
| 4    | Cardiovascular System Development and Function, Tissue Morphology, Lipid Metabolism | 21    | 13    |
| 5    | Hematological System Development and Function, Hematopoiesis, Lymphoid Tissue Structure and Development | 19    | 12    |
| 6    | Drug Metabolism, Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry                | 19    | 12    |
| 7    | Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, Embryonic Development         | 10    | 8     |
| 8    | Protein Degradation, Protein Synthesis, Organ Morphology                      | 8     | 6     |
| 9    | Cell Cycle, Gene Expression, Cellular Growth and Proliferation                | 3     | 3     |
exercise [17]. Additionally, a number of stress-response genes were repressed by SLP (Table S1).

Inflammation/immunity. Transcripts involved in inflammation/immunity, including interleukins, chemokines/cytokines and their receptors, and other immune modulators, were down-regulated (Table S1). A number of these changes may be relevant to I-R tolerance. Ccl2 (MCP-1) was one of the most repressed, and is involved in monocyte invasion during I-R [40]. Inhibition of MCP-1 thus protects the heart, reducing monocyte infiltration and inflammation [41]. MCP-1 additionally mediates myocyte death via ER stress [42]. Repression of MCP-1 and other pro-inflammatory chemoattractants such as Cxcl3, Ccl9/MCP-5, Ccl4/MIP-1ß, and Ccl7/MCP-3 may thus be relevant to I-R tolerance, particularly in vivo. Indeed, Ccl7 exaggerates inflammatory injury in heart [43]. The cytokine TNFα is a well-established mediator of inflammation, cell death and I-R injury, and repression of Tnf together with pro-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic and cardiodepressant Il1ß and Il6, may also limit inflammation and cell damage during I-R.

Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Response (ERSR) transcripts. A significant number of repressed transcripts are involved in or targeted by the ERSR. While generally beneficial, the ERSR can also promote apoptosis during severe or sustained insult, including myocardial I-R [44]. Known ERSR genes Dnajb1, Soc3 and Herpud1 were repressed by SLP, together with putative ERSR genes Il6, Gadd45g and Rcan1. As noted above, MCP-1 also up-regulates myocyte ER stress genes, such as Dnajb1, promoting ER-dependent apoptosis [42]. Repression of Dnajb1 and MCP-1 may thus counter death signaling. Reductions in Soc3, a feedback inhibitor of JAK-Stat, can also limit infarction and remodeling [45]. Repression of Il6 may further contribute since Il6 induction by BNIP3 in hypoxia/ischemia may mediate infarction and pathological remodeling.

Other repressed transcripts. Other down-regulated transcripts are relevant to SLP protection. Pdk4, encoding pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (PDK4), was the most repressed in SLP hearts (Table S1). PDK4 phosphorylates and inactivates pyruvate dehydrogenase, with repression favoring a substrate switch from fatty acid to glucose metabolism, a shift known to protect against I-R injury [46,47]. While there are no reported associations between Pdk4 expression and cardioprotection, inhibition of PDK does protect ischemic myocardium [48], supporting benefit via SLP-dependent Pdk4 repression. Repression of Mmp13 may also improve post-ischemic outcomes since MMP-13 is involved in post-infarction fibrosis and detrimental ventricular remodeling.

SLP Modulation of Post-Ischemic Transcripts

While ~1/3 of transcripts modified by SLP in post-ischemic tissue were similarly altered prior to ischemia, 46 were specific to post-ischemic tissue (Table S2). These include up-regulated transcripts for regulators of cardiac growth and function (xin actin-binding repeat containing 1 and ankyrin repeat domain 1 - repression of the latter linked to cardiac apoptosis), anti-oxidants and cell-stress proteins (microsomal glutathione S-transferase 3, glutathione S-transferase μ1 and μ2, clusterin), cell-signaling elements (dual specificity phosphatase 6, connector enhancer of kinase suppressor of Ras 1, GTP cyclohydrolase I feedback...
Figure 4. The top 2 cardiovascular-related networks modified by SLP in normoxic myocardium (networks 3 and 4). Shown are the 3rd and 4th most modified gene networks in SLP hearts. Network 3 is involved in cardiovascular, inflammatory and immune diseases; Network 4 in cardiovascular system development. Transcripts and interactions are coded as outlined in Figure 3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.g004

Table 4. The top functional gene groupings sensitive to SLP in post-ischemic myocardium.

| Molecular and Cellular Functions                          | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction                   | 7.10E-13 - 2.00E-03 | 33           |
| Cellular Movement                                        | 3.75E-08 - 2.52E-03 | 26           |
| Antigen Presentation                                     | 5.52E-08 - 2.37E-03 | 18           |
| Cell Signaling                                           | 5.72E-08 - 2.50E-03 | 19           |
| Small Molecule Biochemistry                              | 5.72E-08 - 2.52E-03 | 29           |

| Physiological System Development and Function            | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Hematological System Development and Function            | 2.80E-10 - 2.53E-03 | 31           |
| Immune Cell Trafficking                                  | 5.80E-10 - 2.52E-03 | 26           |
| Nervous System Development and Function                  | 5.03E-08 - 5.88E-04 | 8            |
| Endocrine System Development and Function                | 1.85E-07 - 2.52E-03 | 7            |
| Tissue Development                                       | 5.43E-07 - 2.52E-03 | 31           |

| Disease and Disorders                                    | P-Value Range | No. of Genes |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Inflammatory Response                                    | 4.70E-10 - 2.52E-03 | 31           |
| Inflammatory Disease                                     | 1.13E-09 - 2.52E-03 | 31           |
| Renal and Urological Disease                             | 1.51E-09 - 1.77E-03 | 18           |
| Immunological Disease                                    | 3.80E-09 - 2.52E-03 | 19           |
| Cardiovascular Disease                                   | 6.63E-09 - 2.53E-03 | 22           |

Functional groupings of transcripts differentially modified by SLP in post-ischemic tissue (also shown are P-values, and numbers of involved genes). Groupings from IPA analysis are categorized into molecular and cellular functions, physiological system development and function, and disease and disorders (complete functional gene grouping data can be found in Table S4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.t004
Table 5. Functional gene networks modified by SLP in post-ischemic myocardium.

| ID | Top Functions | Molecules In Network | Score | Focus |
|----|---------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| 1  | Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, Cellular Function and Maintenance | Alp,AMPK,CLU,Cyclooxygenase, Cytochrome c, DNAJB1, DUSP6,Fcer1,glutathione transferase, Growth hormone, GST, GSTM1, GSTM2, Hsp27, Hsp70, Hsp90AA1, HSP7A1A, JGF, IgG2a, IL1, IL6, JNK1/2, LDLG, LCAT, MGST3, NCF1, PLIN2, SAA, Sarco protein, SERPIN A3, Sod, STAT, UCP2, UCP3 | 30 | 16 |
| 2  | Antigen Presentation, Inflammatory Response, Immunological Disease | ANKRD1, CCL4, CCL7, CCL3L3, CD74, CHEMOKINE, CORO1A, Eotaxin, GM-csf, HLA-DQ, HLA-DQA1, HLA-DQB1, HLA-DR, HLA-DRB1, IJFN, alpha/beta, IJFN Beta, IJNF gamma, IJnfar, IJFB1, IL-1β, IJL2 (complex), IJL2 (family), Immunoglobulin LNYC1, MHC, MHC Class II (complex), Mhc2, Alpha, Nkfb1 (complex), Nkfb1-RelA, Rel | 25 | 14 |
| 3  | Hematological System Development and Function, Hematopoiesis, Organismal Development | ANGPT1, ATPP5, C22orf82, C2orf13, CRBP2, CCDC80, dimethylglycine, EPO, EWSR1, FFC1, HNF4A, Hras, Hsp90AA1, Htt, KND1 (includes EG:85442), Kras, LAPTMS, MYH7, NDBF2, NDFB1, NME3, NRN1, PDK4, PRAP1, RAS1L, RAF1, RPT3, SEC11, SLC2A4, SLC4A2; SLC4A12, SLC11A1, TNF, TRIM15 (includes EG:89870), ZBTB11, ZNF118 (includes EG:24149) | 25 | 14 |
| 4  | Nucleic Acid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, Endocrine System Development and Function | ABR, AK2, C3, C4A, CCL2, CCL16, Collagen(s), Cyclin A, Cyclin E, EIF4EBP1, ERK, ERK1/2, Estrogen Receptor, Focal adhesion kinase, HE1, HMORX1, HSPD1, Ige, IgM, JIL, Insulin, MAP2K1/2, NGF, NPPA, NPPB, p70 S6k, p85 (pik3r), Pdgf, Pdgf B, PI3-kinase, PI3K, Pld, PTDG5, Ras, Statsa, b | 22 | 13 |
| 5  | Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Cellular Movement, Immune Cell Trafficking | ABAT, ACP, ANSK1A, AR, C11orf80, C1201, CRK, CXXL6, CXXL10, ERBB2, GNB1 (includes EG:14468), GCHFR, H6PD, HRNIP, Hydrogen peroxide, IFI16L1, IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, IFNG, IL11, ILF6, ING2, JAM1, JAP1, MIR122, MVP, MYBPC3, PRDX2, Rbbx1, SERPINH1, SLC20A1, SLC7A12, ZF | 16 | 10 |
| 6  | Carbohydrate Metabolism, Cell Morphology, Cellular Assembly and Organization | ANGPT4, Apl, B2M, C2c, Cc14, Ccr7, CD50, Clec10a, Clec12a, Clec17, could well contribute to improvements in post-ischemic infarct development with SLP | 11 | 8 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.t005

regulator), metabolic enzymes (glucokinase or hexokinase-4, NADH dehydrogenase 1β sub-complex-4), and tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 12A (TWEAK receptor), involved in maladaptive remodeling and inflammatory disease. Transcripts repressed in post-ischemic tissue included Tnem, which inhibits threonokin and is an important determinant of myocardial I-R damage not previously linked to cardioprotective stimuli. Usp2 was also repressed, which may protect against oxidative stress. A number of these responses, together with common pre- and post-ischemic responses outlined above (eg, induced Myb7, Myh7, Npsa, Nppb) were also depressed Pdk4, Ccl2, Ccl4a, Cclb, which may reflect the intrinsic resistance to I-R in SLP hearts.

Conclusions

Consistent with a unique signaling profile [14], SLP does not transcriptionally modify canonical pathways/mediators of cell survival and cardioprotection, but regulates expression of genes involved in inflammation/immunity, contractile/sarcomeric function, cardiac growth and development, and stress-signaling. The novel SLP response offers distinct advantages as a candidate for development of adjunctive cardioprotection (albeit currently limited to pre-ischemic intervention), including preserved efficacy in aged myocardium [13] where conventional stimuli may fail [4].

Though able to limit infarction up to 40% if implemented within a 60–90 min window from symptom onset [16], effects of reperfusion therapy remain variable: in as many as half of patients, 50% of at-risk myocardium may not be salvaged, while in a quarter up to 75% infarction may still occur [49]. Unfortunately, optimal timing of reperfusion is frequently unrealized, with reports of delays of 4–5 hrs from symptom onset to reperfusion for US patients [50,51], and in urban Australian hospitals [52]. These data emphasize the need for adjunctive cardioprotection that may be applied prior to, with or after reperfusion to improve outcomes, broaden the window for reperfusion and limit progression to failure. The present data, highlighting shifts in inflammation/immunity and sarcomere structure/function with SLP, suggest that modulation of inflammatory signaling and sarcomeric integrity may be valuable in generating sustained I-R tolerance. Future work is warranted in identifying the functional relevance of these novel gene expression patterns in governing myocardial resistance to injurious stimuli.

Materials and Methods

All studies were approved by and performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Ethics Committee of Griffith University, which is accredited by the Queensland Government, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries under the guidelines of “The Animal Care and Protection Act 2001, Section 757”.

Animals and Experimental Design

Opioid Preconditioning and Cardiac Gene Expression

Mature male C57BL/6 mice aged 10–14 weeks (n = 8 for functional studies; n = 6 for gene and protein expression analyses) were briefly anesthetized with halothane, a small incision made at the base of the neck, and placebo or 75-μg morphine pellets
Opioid Preconditioning and Cardiac Gene Expression

Microarray analysis was performed in a manner similar to that outlined previously [17]. Atrial and vascular tissue was removed and left ventricular myocardium dissected from each heart, homogenized in TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and total RNA isolated according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Total RNA was further purified using RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen, Maryland, USA). The RNA yield and integrity were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) and a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Forest Hills VIC, Australia), respectively. RNA integrity (RIN) scores were ≥0.0 in each sample.

Microarray experiments were performed at the IMB Microarray Facility (University of Queensland) according to standard protocols. In brief, 0.5 µg of total RNA was used to synthesize biotinylated amplified RNA (aRNA) using an Illumina TotalPrep RNA amplification kit (Illumina Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Samples of aRNA (1.5 µg) were fragmented and hybridized (n = 6 per group) to MouseWG-6 v1.1 BeadChips (Illumina Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Following hybridization, microarrays were washed and stained with streptavidin-Cy3 prior to scanning on an Illumina BeadStation Scanner. Data values with detection scores were compiled using BeadStudio v2.3.41 (Illumina Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data discussed here were deposited into NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Data are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE39407 at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/.

Array Data Analysis

Microarray expression data were variance stabilized and robust spline normalized using the ‘limma’ package in R/BioConductor (http://www.r-project.org/) [53]. Data were filtered to include only transcripts with detection scores ≥0.99 on ≥2 arrays before statistical analysis via TIGR MeV 4.0 software (13,335 bead types passed these criteria). The Significant Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) algorithm was used to correct for multiple comparisons and non-parametrically identify differentially expressed genes [54]. After multiclass SAM analysis, transcripts with fold-changes of ≥1.3 and a false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤5% were classed as significantly differentially expressed. These genes were functionally annotated via Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (v8.7; Ingenuity® Systems, Redwood City, CA, USA) to link SLP-sensitive genes in signal networks based on known molecule interactions and canonical pathways. IPA was also used to identify the top biological and molecular themes on the basis of over-representation analysis. Briefly, the fraction of altered genes within a canonical path was compared to the fraction of total genes within that path. Probability of involvement of the respective number of modified transcripts in the path/network is expressed as a P-value (with values <0.05 considered significant).

RT-qPCR Confirmation of Microarray Data

Two-step RT-qPCR, utilizing SYBR Green I, was employed to confirm differential gene expression of the following 12 transcripts: Ankrd1, Ccl7, Foxa, 18S rRNA and Tcf2, Top1, Vcam1 and Xrpl1 (primer details provided in Table S5). Six additional genes (Actb, Top1, Pkg1, Gapjdh, 18S rRNA and Atp5b) were assessed using GeNorm to determine their usability as reference genes [55]. Following GeNorm assessment, Pkg1 was found to be the most stable (M = 0.04) and therefore served as the endogenous reference control for all mRNAs assessed via RT-qPCR. Briefly, 1 µg total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using the Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT-qPCR was

Correlation (r² = 0.95): RT-qPCR expression = (1.351 ± 0.047) indicating a predictably greater dynamic range for RT-qPCR analysis. Probabilistic involvement of the respective number of modified transcripts in the path/network is expressed as a P-value (with values <0.05 considered significant).

Figure 5. Validation of microarray assay data by RT-qPCR. Shown are expression changes determined via RT-qPCR and microarray analysis for: A) SLP vs placebo responses in normoxic myocardium; and B) SLP vs. placebo responses in ischemic myocardium. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. (n = 6 per group). Linear regression analysis of these data yielded a significant and strongly positive correlation (r² = 0.95): RT-qPCR expression = (1.351 ± 0.047) indicating a predictably greater dynamic range for RT-qPCR analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072278.g005

Ex Vivo Myocardial I-R

Mice were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg) and hearts excised and perfused in a Langendorff mode as described previously [15]. After 30 min stabilization control (placebo) and SLP hearts were subjected to either 35 min of global normothermic ischemia and 45 min of aerobic reperfusion, or time-matched normoxic perfusion. Protection afforded by SLP was evaluated by assessing post-ischemic recoveries of left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and developed pressures, with total washout of myocardial LDH throughout the reperfusion period employed as an indicator of cellular disruption/oncotic (LDH content assayed enzymatically as outlined previously [15]).

On completion of experiments hearts were stored in cold RNAlater solution to protect RNA integrity and expression levels prior to ventricular dissection and RNA extraction.
performed in a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The final reaction volume (10 μL) included 5 μL iQ SYBR-Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), 100 nM of each primer, and 4 μL of a 1:20 dilution of cDNA. Optimal qPCR cycling conditions entailed an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 sec and 62°C for 60 sec. After the final PCR cycle, reactions underwent melt curve analysis to detect non-specific amplifications. All reactions were performed in triplicate with each plate containing an equal number of samples from each group, a calibrator control derived from a pool of all cDNA samples, and a no-template control. PCR amplification efficiencies (90–110%) for each primer pair were calculated using a 5-log serial dilution of calibrator sample. PCR data were analyzed using CFX Manager v1.6 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Baseline subtractions and threshold settings above background were applied to all data. The calibrator sample was used to normalize inter-assay variations, with the threshold coefficient of variance for intra- and inter-assay replicates <1% and <5%, respectively. Normalized expression (ΔΔCq) was calculated, with mRNAs normalized to Pgk1 levels and the calibrator control then log2-transformed.

Myocardial Protein Expression

To assess the impact of transcriptional changes on protein expression immunoblot analysis was employed as outlined previously [14,15] to assess myocardial expression of MYH7 and ANP, both transcriptionally induced with SLP and implicated in modulation of cardiac phenotype under other conditions. Briefly, a sub-set of placebo and SLP hearts (n = 6 per group) were removed from the chest, frozen in liquid N2, and homogenized using a glass dounce in lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Samples containing 30 μg of protein from either cytosolic or detergent-soluble membrane fractions were loaded onto 10% acrylamide dithioureide membranes and blocked in 5% skim milk powder in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBST) for 60 min. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibody (MYH7 or ANP; 1:1000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Following 3 washes in TBST, membranes were incubated with secondary antibody and visualized on a ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Protein expression was normalized to values for placebo hearts for the purposes of comparison.

Statistical Analyses

Unless stated otherwise, physiological and gene expression data are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical approaches to microarray interrogation are detailed above. Other data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Comparisons between groups were made via an analysis of variance (ANOVA), with Tukeys post-hoc test applied where differences were detected. Significance was accepted for P<0.05.
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Table S1 Genes significantly modified during SLP induction in normoxic myocardium.

Table S2 Effects of SLP on post-ischemic gene expression.

Table S3 Functional gene groupings sensitive to SLP induction in normoxic myocardium.

Table S4 Functional gene groupings sensitive to SLP in post-ischemic myocardium.

Table S5 RT-qPCR primer sequences for validated experiments: KJA AT GW-P CJK JNP LESH. Analyzed the data: KJA AT
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