Effects of upper disc boundary conditions on the linear Rossby wave instability
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ABSTRACT
The linear Rossby wave instability (RWI) in global, 3D polytropic discs is revisited with a much simpler numerical method than that previously employed by the author. The governing partial differential equation is solved with finite differences in the radial direction and spectral collocation in the vertical direction. RWI modes are calculated subject to different upper disc boundary conditions. These include free surface, solid boundaries and variable vertical domain size. Boundary conditions that oppose vertical motion increase the instability growth rate by a few per cent. The magnitude of vertical flow throughout the fluid column can be affected but the overall flow pattern is qualitatively unchanged. Numerical results support the notion that the RWI is intrinsically two dimensional. This implies that inconsistent upper disc boundary conditions, such as vanishing enthalpy perturbation, may inhibit the RWI in 3D.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The Rossby wave instability (RWI; Lovelace et al. 1999; Li et al. 2000) is the thin-disc analogue of the Papaloizou–Pringle instability in thick tori (Papaloizou & Pringle 1984, 1985, 1987). The RWI is a shear instability associated with extrema in the potential vorticity profile of a disc. Its occurrence in radially structured protoplanetary discs, leading to vortex formation (Li et al. 2001), can transport angular momentum, assist planet formation (Lyra et al. 2008, 2009) and affect planetary migration (Li et al. 2009; Lin & Papaloizou 2010).

The RWI was originally described in 2D discs. Recent works show that it can operate in the 3D geometry (Meheut et al. 2010, 2012a; Umurhan 2010; Lin 2012; Meheut, Yu & Lai 2012b). In these calculations the perturbation extends vertically through the disc, but the effect of conditions at the disc surface was not investigated. This might be a relevant issue for the RWI to develop in non-magnetized regions of protoplanetary discs (Lyra & Mac Low 2012). In the layered accretion scenario, this ‘dead zone’ is overlaid by an actively accreting layer (Gammie 1996; Okuzumi & Hirose 2011; Martin et al. 2012). A first step towards revealing vertical boundary effects on the 3D RWI is to calculate it subject to different upper disc boundary conditions.

Previous linear RWI simulations assume the zero-density surface as the upper disc boundary. When the density stratification has the appropriate functional form, regularity conditions enable the vertical dependence of perturbations to be expressed in terms of classic orthogonal polynomials (Papaloizou & Pringle 1985; Takeuchi & Miyama 1998). The linear problem becomes a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs), but these ODEs can be complicated. Furthermore, this approach does not permit boundary conditions at other heights to be imposed (Lin 2012, hereafter L12).

The purpose of this work is to address the above caveat of L12. An alternative numerical method is applied to the linear problem so that effects of upper disc boundary conditions can be explored through simple numerical experiments. This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the equilibrium and perturbed disc. Section 3 gives a step-by-step description to convert the problem into a single matrix equation. Solutions are presented in Section 4 and discussed in Section 5.

2 MODEL AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS
The system is a geometrically thin, non-self-gravitating, inviscid fluid disc orbiting a central star of mass $M_*$. The disc is governed by the Euler equations in the $(r, \phi, z)$ cylindrical coordinates centred on the star. Units such that the gravitational constant $G = M_* = 1$ are adopted. A polytropic equation of state is assumed, so that the pressure $P$ is related to the density $\rho$ by $P = K \rho^{1 + 1/n}$, where $n$ is the polytropic index and $K$ is a constant.

Details of the equilibrium disc are given in L12. The unperturbed disc is steady and axisymmetric with surface density profile in the form $\Sigma \propto r^{-\kappa} B(r)$, where $B(r)$ describes a Gaussian bump centred at $r = r_0$ with amplitude $A$ and width $\Delta r$. The density is $\rho(r, z) = \rho_0(r) [1 - z^2/H^2(r)]^\alpha$, where $\rho_0$ is the mid-plane density and $H(r)$ is the disc thickness. The unperturbed velocity field is $(v_r, v_\phi, v_z) = (0, r\Omega, 0)$ where $\Omega(r)$ is the angular velocity, and...
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$\Omega \simeq \Omega_0 = \sqrt{GM_*/r^3}$. Fiducial parameter values are $\alpha = 0.5, r_0 = 1, A = 1.4, \Delta r = 0.05r_0$ and $H(r_0) = 0.14r_0$.

Eulerian perturbations to the above equilibrium in the form $\text{Re}[\delta \rho(r, z) \exp(i(\sigma t + m\phi))]$ are considered. $\sigma = -(\omega + i\gamma)$ is a complex eigenfrequency and $m$ is a positive integer. $\gamma$ and $-\omega$ are the mode growth rate and real frequency, respectively. The linearized fluid equations yield the following partial differential equation (PDE):

$$r \delta \rho = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{r \rho \delta W}{D} \right) + \frac{2nW \partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\rho \Omega}{D} \right) - \left( \frac{m^2 \rho}{rD} \right) W - r \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\rho \delta W}{D} \right)$$

(1)

(L12), where $W = \delta P/\rho$ is the enthalpy perturbation and $D \equiv \kappa^2 - \sigma^2$; here, $\kappa^2 \equiv -3(d(r^2 \Omega^2)/dr)$ is the square of the epicycle frequency and $\sigma = \sigma + m\Omega$ is the shifted frequency. The RWI is associated with an extremum in potential vorticity ($\eta \equiv \kappa^2/2\Omega^2$; Lovelace et al. 1999). In the above set-up min($\eta$) occurs near $r_0$, so that $\delta r_0 \sim 0$. The aim is to solve equation (1) as a PDE eigenvalue problem with various boundary conditions applied at $z/H = \pm 1$.

For simplicity, $Z_s$ is assumed constant, but extension to $Z_s = Z_s(r)$ is straightforward provided that $Z_s(r) \neq 0$ (in which case transformation to polar-like coordinates is needed; see Papaloizou & Pringle 1984).

### 2.1 Vertical boundary conditions

The enthalpy perturbation is assumed to be symmetric about the mid-plane, since even modes have been found to dominate in non-linear simulations (Meheut et al. 2010). The upper disc boundary is set to $Z_s < 1$, thus avoiding the disc surface where the PDE becomes singular. The following upper boundary conditions (BC) were found to permit the RWI.

'Open' boundary. The Lagrangian pressure perturbation vanishes at $Z_s$:

$$\Delta P = \delta P + \xi \cdot \nabla P = 0$$

(2)

where $\xi$ is the Lagrangian displacement. If $Z_s$ is unity then this is the usual condition for polytropes with vanishing pressure at its surface (which is satisfied automatically for regular solutions).

'Solid' boundaries. At $Z_s$ the meridional velocity perturbation satisfies

$$\delta v_z = v \delta v_z, \quad \delta v_s = 0,$$

where $v = v(r)$ is a real function. Choosing $v = Z_s \delta H/dr$ corresponds to zero velocity perpendicular to the upper disc boundary ($\delta v_z = 0$). This applies to an impermeable surface. The simpler choice $v = 0$ corresponds to zero vertical velocity ($\delta v_z = 0$). This might apply, for example, when vertical velocities are strongly damped above $Z_s$. In practice, the two conditions are similar because $|v| \ll 1$ for a thin disc.

### 3 NUMERICAL METHOD

It is convenient to adopt the coordinate system $(R, Z) \equiv (r, z/H)$ so the computational domain is rectangular for constant $Z_s$. Then the governing equation becomes

$$0 = A \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial R^2} + B \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Z \partial R} + C \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Z^2} + D \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} + E \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} + FW,$$

(4)

where $A = (1 - Z^2)R^2$, $B = -2Z(1 - Z^2)R^3 H' / H$, $C = Z^2(1 - Z^2)R^2 \left( \frac{H'}{H} \right)^2 - R^2 D(1 - Z^2) / \sigma H^2$, $D = \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\rho_0 R}{D} \right) \right] \left( 1 - Z^2 \right)^2 + 2nZ^2 \left( \frac{2m \rho \Omega H'}{\sigma H} \right)$, $E = 2nZ^2 R^2 D / \sigma H^2 + Z^2 \left( \frac{H'}{H} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - (2n + 1)Z^2 \right]$ - $Z(1 - Z^2)R^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{H'}{H} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{H'}{H} \right) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\rho_0 R}{D} \right) \right] \right\}$, $F = \frac{2m \rho \Omega H'}{\sigma}$, $m^2 \rho R / (1 + n)$, and primes denote differentiation with respect to the argument.

To construct a numerical scheme, the radial coordinate is discretized into $N_R$ grid points uniformly spaced by $\Delta R$. Let $R_i$ denote the radial coordinate of the $i$th grid point, define $W_i(Z)$ as the solution along the vertical line $R = R_i$ and approximate it with a linear combination of basis functions,

$$W_i(Z) \equiv W(R_i, Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_R} a_{ik} \psi_k(Z/Z_s),$$

(11)

for $1 \leq i \leq N_R$, with $\psi_k = T_{2(k-1)}$, where $T_k$ is a Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of order $l$ (Abramowitz & Stegun 1965). The number of basis functions is $N_R$ and $l_{max} = 2(N_s - 1)$ is the highest polynomial order.

The pseudo-spectral coefficients $a_{ik}$ are obtained by demanding the governing PDE, equation (4), to be satisfied at vertical grid points $Z_j$ along each line, where

$$Z_j = -Z_s \cos \left( \frac{(j - 1 + l_{max}/2)\pi}{l_{max}} \right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N_s.$$

(12)

This is a standard choice for collocation points in pseudo-spectral methods with Chebyshev polynomials (Boyd 2001). Note that only the upper half-plane ($z \geq 0$) is covered, by the assumption of symmetry.

The next step is to insert equation (11) into equation (4) and evaluate the governing PDE at each $(R_i, Z_j)$. Radial derivatives are approximated with central differences while vertical derivatives are computed exactly. At each grid point the result is

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_R} a_{ik} \left[ \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{\Delta R^2} \right) \psi_{ij} + \mathcal{E}_{ij} \psi_{ij} + \mathcal{C}_{ij} \psi_{ij}^\prime \right] + \sum_{k=-1}^{N_R} a_{i,k-1} \left[ \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{\Delta R^2} - \frac{D_{ij}}{2\Delta R} \right) \psi_{ij} - B_{ij} \psi_{ij} \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{N_R} a_{i,k+1} \left[ \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{\Delta R^2} + \frac{D_{ij}}{2\Delta R} \right) \psi_{ij} + B_{ij} \psi_{ij} \right],$$

(13)

where $A_{ij} = A(R_i, Z_j)$ and similarly for other PDE coefficients, and $\psi_{ij} \equiv \psi_i(Z_j/Z_s)$. Equation (13) can be written in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{V}^{(i)} \mathbf{a}^{(i)} + \mathbf{V}_-^{(i)} \mathbf{a}^{(i-1)} + \mathbf{V}_+^{(i)} \mathbf{a}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{0},$$

(14)
The operators $V^{(i)}$ and $V^{(i)}_\pm$ are $N_z \times N_z$ matrices and the column vector $a^{(i)}$ consists of the $N_z$ pseudo-spectral coefficients associated with the $i$th line. $V^{(i)}_\pm$ represent coupling to adjacent lines. The matrix elements can be read off equation (13).

For simplicity, $\partial_r W = 0$ is set at radial boundaries. This is achieved implicitly by setting $a_{R,i-1} = a_{R,i+1}$ for $i = 1, N_R$. $V^{(i)}_\pm$ and $V^{(i)}_{\pm k}$ are modified accordingly. At $Z_i$, the governing equation is replaced by upper disc boundary conditions listed in Section 2.1. This corresponds to modifying the PDE coefficients and the matrix elements for $j = N_z$.

There are $N_k$ lines in total, so the entire system of equations is

$$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0},$$

(15)

where $\mathbf{M}$ is a $(N_R N_z) \times (N_R N_z)$ block tridiagonal matrix and $\mathbf{a}$ is a column vector of length $N_R N_z$, representing the linear operators and the $a_{R,i}$, respectively.

The discretized problem, equation (15), is a set of linear homogeneous equations. A solution method is described in L12. The eigenfrequency $\sigma$ is varied, using Newton–Raphson iteration, until $\mathbf{a}$ becomes radially global at large heights. Only solutions where the inverse condition number of $\mathbf{M}$ is zero at machine precision are accepted.

LAPACK was used for the matrix operations, which also yield $\mathbf{a}$ up to an arbitrary normalization.

4 LINEAR SIMULATIONS

The computational domain is $R \in [0.4, 1.6]r_0$ and $Z_i = 0.9$ or 0.45. The default polytropic index is $n = 1.5$ but cases with $n = 0.7, 2.5$ are also presented. Linear modes with azimuthal wavenumber $m = 3$ are considered.

The fiducial case below employed a trial frequency $\sigma = -(0.994m + 0.107i)\Omega_0$, where $\Omega_0 \equiv \Omega(r_0)$. This was the solution found in L12. Eigenfrequencies for other parameter values may be found by varying those parameters slowly away from fiducial values. The numerical grid is $(N_R, N_z) = (384, 8)$, which corresponds to $l_{\max} = 14$ (cf. $l_{\max} = 6$ in L12).

Table 1 summarizes the calculations. The last column, $(\theta_m)$, is a measure of three dimensionality of the flow near $r_0$. $\theta_m$ is defined by

$$\theta_m^2(r, z) \equiv \frac{v_z^2}{(v_t^2 + v_z^2)},$$

(16)

where $v_t \equiv \Re\{\delta v_t(r, z) W(r_0, 0)/|W(r_0, 0)|$ is the real velocity perturbation at an azimuth close to a local maximum in mid-plane

The RWI typically has disturbance radially confined near $r_0$ and therefore insensitive to distant radial boundaries.

| Case | $n$ | $Z_i$ | BC | $\omega/m\Omega_0$ | $\gamma/\Omega_0$ | $(\theta_m)$ |
|------|-----|------|----|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1a   | 1.5 | 0.90 | $\Delta P = 0$ | 0.9941 | 0.1074 | 0.31 |
| 1b   | 1.5 | 0.45 | $\Delta P = 0$ | 0.9997 | 0.0889 | 0.36 |
| 2a   | 1.5 | 0.90 | $\delta v_z = 0$ | 0.9940 | 0.1091 | 0.26 |
| 2b   | 1.5 | 0.45 | $\delta v_z = 0$ | 0.9939 | 0.1174 | 0.06 |
| 3a   | 1.5 | 0.90 | $\delta v_z = 0$ | 0.9945 | 0.1086 | 0.26 |
| 3b   | 1.5 | 0.45 | $\delta v_z = 0$ | 0.9973 | 0.1143 | 0.06 |
| 4a   | 0.7 | 0.90 | $\Delta P = 0$ | 0.9959 | 0.1976 | 0.34 |
| 4b   | 0.7 | 0.45 | $\Delta P = 0$ | 0.9968 | 0.2034 | 0.22 |
| 5a   | 2.5 | 0.90 | $\Delta P = 0$ | 0.9926 | 0.0359 | 0.39 |
| 5b   | 2.5 | 0.45 | $\delta v_z = 0$ | 0.9927 | 0.0361 | 0.38 |

Figure 1. Reference case with $\Delta P = 0$ at $Z_i$. The normalized enthalpy perturbation, $|W(r, z)/W(r_0, 0)|$ (top), and the perturbed meridional velocity (bottom) are shown. The real velocity field is taken at the vortex core. Velocities are normalized, so its magnitude can be compared to other cases.

4.1 Fiducial case

The numerical method is first tested against L12 with the open boundary (case 1a). Fig. 1 shows $W$ at several heights and the perturbed real velocity field projected on to the $(r, z)$ plane. $|W|$ has a weak vertical dependence in the co-rotation region $r \in [0.8, 1.2]r_0$. The enthalpy perturbation becomes increasingly three dimensional away from $r_0$. Near $Z_i$, the disturbance in the wave region can reach amplitudes comparable to that near $r_0$. This means that the RWI becomes radially global at large heights.

The above result, considered as the intrinsic solution, is very similar to L12, including the growth rate and radially converging flow towards $r_0$ with upwards motion at the vortex core. This validates the choice of solution method.

4.2 Solid boundaries

Comparison of case 2a ($\delta v_z = 0$) and case 3a ($\delta v_z = 0$) with the reference case above shows that a solid boundary only has a minor effect on the instability growth rate. The perturbation for case 3a is plotted in Fig. 2.

In comparison with case 1a, the enthalpy perturbation in the co-rotation region is unaffected by solid boundaries. There is, however, a decrease in three dimensionality as indicated by $(\theta_m)$. This correlates with a slight increase in growth rate, suggesting that suppressing vertical motions favour instability.

A solid upper boundary causes the disturbance to become more two dimensional in the wave region. Compared to Fig. 1, for $r < 0.6r_0$ the amplitude of $W(r, 0.9H)$ has decreased while that for

\[ \text{Figure 1. Reference case with } \Delta P = 0 \text{ at } Z_i. \]
W(r, 0) has increased. Consequently, the magnitude of the vertical flow is smaller in case 3a than in case 1a.

### 4.3 Effect of domain height

In cases 2b and 3b, the vertical domain is reduced from cases 2a and 3a, respectively. This increases the growth rate by <8 per cent but ⟨θm⟩ is reduced by a factor of 3–4. When θm is averaged over Z ∈ [0, 0.45], cases 2a and 3a have ⟨θm⟩ = 0.19. Therefore, the reduction in three dimensionality with decreased Z is not simply due to averaging over a region closer to the mid-plane (towards which Δv⊥ → 0).

Fig. 3 compares |W(r, 0)| between cases 2a and 2b. The co-rotation region is unchanged. (Note that Δv⊥ ∝ ∂ZW/Ω and |Ω|/Ω0 ≪ 1, so a small difference in ∂ZW between the two cases can still result in an appreciable difference in vertical velocity.) The perturbation magnitude in r < 0.8, r > 1.2 has noticeably increased with reduced disc thickness. In this sense the RWI has been made more radially global by restricting the fluid to a thinner slab.

### 4.4 Dependence on polytropic index

L12 found that the vertical flow in the vortex core increases in magnitude with decreasing polytropic index when other parameters are fixed. This is reflected in Fig. 4 when the open boundary is applied. As n is lowered from 2.5 to 0.7, the maximum vertical velocity increases by a factor of ~6. For each n, a calculation with a solid boundary is also shown, for which the increase in vertical velocity is less significant (by a factor of ~3).

Fig. 4 indicates that upper disc boundary conditions are more important for smaller polytropic indices. The dotted curve for n = 2.5 only deviates from the solid curve in Z ≳ 0.6. For n = 0.7, forcing the flow to be horizontal at Zs causes the disturbance to be more two dimensional throughout the column of the fluid.

In previous studies with a vertically isothermal disc (equivalent to a polytrope with n → ∞), the vortex core appears hydrostatic (Meheut et al. 2012b; L12). Therefore, for large n explicitly setting Δv⊥ = 0 at Zs makes little difference. Conversely, as n is lowered the intrinsic solution acquires larger |vz| at the vortex core, so that the effect of forcing Δv⊥ → 0 at the upper boundary becomes global in the vertical direction.

Imagine setting up the RWI with ΔP = 0 at Zs, but during its development force Δv⊥ → 0 at Zs. Suppose upper boundary effects are communicated through the fluid by sound waves. The sound speed in a polytrope is $c_s = \sqrt{\frac{dP}{d\rho}} = \Omega_0 H(1 - Z^2)^{1/2}/\sqrt{2n}$, and the vertical sound-crossing time is $\tau_v \sim H/c_s \propto \sqrt{n}/\Omega_0$. In unit time, the change in boundary condition should affect the lower n polytrope deeper into the disc than higher n, as observed in Fig. 4. (This is also seen when the bump amplitude A for each n was adjusted to achieve equal growth rates.)

### 4.5 Other experiments

Additional calculations have been performed with a variable surface function $Z_s = Z_s(r)$. Examples include a surface of constant aspect ratio (with Δv⊥ = 0) and a surface of constant pressure (with...
\( \Delta P = 0 \). In this case a second coordinate transformation is required. Similar results to previous experiments were obtained, including the aforementioned trend of growth rate with respect to disc thickness. The RWI appears insensitive to the exact shape of the upper disc boundary as well.

5 DISCUSSION

Linear simulations of the RWI with different upper disc boundary conditions have been performed. Changing from a free surface to a solid boundary increases growth rates slightly. This is accompanied by a decrease in the three dimensionality of the flow at the vortex core. Confining the fluid to a smaller vertical domain has the same effect. This suggests that 3D effects are weakly stabilizing (Li, Goodman & Narayan 2003). However, upper disc boundary conditions affect the vertical flow throughout the vertical extent of the vortex core. This effect is stronger for decreasing polytropic index \( n \), which corresponds to decreasing compressibility.

The above results support previous interpretations that the RWI is largely two dimensional (Umurhan 2010; L12). The linear growth rate and general flow pattern are insensitive to details of the upper disc boundary conditions, provided these are consistent with the 2D solution. A counterexample is vanishing enthalpy perturbation at the upper disc boundary. Indeed, in this case no RWI-like modes were found (i.e. modes with enthalpy disturbances radially confined to the bump). Inappropriate upper disc boundary conditions may suppress the RWI as seen in linear and non-linear simulations performed to date (e.g. Meheut et al. 2012a).

Experimenting with different vertical boundary conditions, as done here, is only a crude way to mimic possible boundary effects in a protoplanetary disc. However, failure to find the RWI for some conditions imply that whether or not the RWI can develop in protoplanetary discs depends on the disc vertical structure, which can be complicated (Terquem 2008). Calculating the RWI for protoplanetary discs will ultimately require multilayer fluid models (Umurhan 2012).

The solution method in this paper supersedes L12 for its simplicity and ability to admit different upper disc boundary conditions. Moreover, it does not rely on the functional form of the background stratification. In principle, it can be applied to non-barotropic perturbations since inclusion of the energy equation only changes the coefficients of the governing PDE. Assuming that the RWI is not modified significantly, this solution method should be suitable for discs with entropy gradients. This will be the subject of a follow-up paper (Lin 2012, submitted).
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