Proteins implicated in muscular dystrophy and cancer are functional constituents of the centrosome
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Introduction

Muscular dystrophies (MDs) comprise a group of inherited disorders characterized by progressive muscle wasting and weakness. Although genetically heterogeneous, MDs share a common pathology, characterized by cycles of de- and regeneration of muscle fibers and progressive proliferation of connective tissue and fat cells. More recently, however, there is increasing evidence that aberrant expression of MD-related proteins is implicated in the development and/or progression of various types of cancer in humans and mice.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), the most common MD of childhood, is an X-linked recessive disorder caused by mutations disrupting the dystrophin (DMD) gene, resulting in the absence or vast reduction of the muscle-specific full-length dystrophin protein (Dp427; Hoffman et al, 1987). Emerging evidence suggests that dystrophin also plays a role as a tumor suppressor (TS) and anti-metastatic factor (recently reviewed in Jones et al [2021]). Recently, recurrent somatic DMD deletions have been shown to drive development of aggressive sarcomas induced by fusion of immortalized myoblasts (Merle et al, 2020). Somatic DMD gene mutations and/or defective dystrophin expression has been found in various types of cancer, including non-myogenic tumors in humans (Korner et al, 2007; Wang et al, 2014; Luce et al, 2017; Gallia et al, 2018; JuraTT et al, 2018; Mauduit et al, 2019). Moreover, mice lacking the expression of full-length dystrophin (Dmd<sup>mdx</sup>) have been found to prone to spontaneously develop age-related muscle-derived malignant sarcomas (Chamberlain et al, 2007; Fernandez et al, 2010; Schmidt et al, 2011). Although seemingly arising from skeletal muscles, these tumors presented as “mixed sarcomas”: in addition to myogenic tumor cells, also, non-myogenic compartments presenting as fibro- and liposarcomas could be identified histologically (Schmidt et al, 2011). Thus, these findings corroborate the concept that dystrophin conveys its function as TS beyond the myogenic lineage.

In addition to dystrophin, several other MD-associated proteins have been implicated in tumorigenesis (Fanzani et al, 2013). Mutations in the human DYSF and CAPN3 genes are causative for autosomal recessive limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD) types R2 (Liu et al, 1998) and R1 (Richard et al, 1995). Two different Dysf-deficient mouse strains (Sil mutation on the C57BL/10 background and A/J) have been reported to spontaneously develop muscle-derived sarcomas, especially later in life (Schmidt et al, 2011; Sher et al, 2011). Likewise, Capn3-knockout mice are also susceptible to muscle-derived rhabdomyosarcomas (Schmidt et al, 2011). Significantly, the simultaneous loss of dystrophin and dysferlin (Schmidt et al, 2011; Hosur et al, 2012) or dystrophin and calpain-3 (Schmidt et al, 2011) leads to a drastically increased sarcoma propensity, which is compatible with an additive TS function of these MD-related proteins.

Utophin, the autosomal parologue of dystrophin, is not causatively related to MDs per se but is known to be aberrantly regulated and expressed in dystrophin-deficient conditions in humans and corresponding animal models (Hellwell et al, 1992; Matsumura et al, 1992). In addition, dystrophin-deficient Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> mice additionally lacking utrophin show a dramatic aggravation of the MD
Figure 1. Dystrophin localizes to the centrosome.

(A) Double immunocytochemistry (ICC) of C2C12 myoblasts using antibodies specific for dystrophin (red) and γ-tubulin (green) and visualization of nuclei (DAPI, blue). Insets are magnifications of the centrosomes (as indicated by the boxes I and II). Note the co-localization of dystrophin with γ-tubulin. (B) Fluorescence intensity plots illustrating the respective distribution of dystrophin (red) and γ-tubulin (green). The white dashed line denotes the direction of the profiling through the centrosome (shown in inset I in A). Note that dystrophin overlaps the γ-tubulin-positive area, a.u., arbitrary units. (A, C) ICC of proliferating C2C12 myoblasts as in (A) at different phases of the cell cycle. (A, D) ICC of primary murine and human myoblasts as described in (A). (E) Co-purification of dystrophin with γ-tubulin in centrosome-enriched subcellular fractions. Centrosomes were purified from C2C12 myoblasts by sucrose density-gradient centrifugation. Fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting. Note that MD-related proteins at the centrosome Winter et al. https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202201367 vol 5 | no 11 | e202201367 2 of 16
phenotype, leading to premature death, suggesting a cooperative interaction of both molecules (Grady et al, 1997; Deconinck et al, 1997b). Notably, it is also proposed as a TS candidate as the UTRN gene has been found to be mutated in human cancers, like breast cancers, neuroblastomas, and malignant melanomas and as overexpression of utrophin in breast cancer cells inhibits growth (Li et al, 2007).

The fact that each of the four proteins described above, dystrophin, utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3, are implicated in the pathobiology of hitherto unrelated conditions, that is, MDs and cancer, tempted us to speculate that there must be a unifying "player" on the cellular level. However, the functional basis underlying the proposed TS properties of MD-related proteins remained elusive so far. Because dysfunctional centrosomes are causatively involved in DNA damage and somatic aneuploidy, both of which are hallmarks of cancer and in MDs, where these changes are present in muscles already before dystrophic changes, we set out to directly address this hypothesis experimentally.

**Results**

**Dystrophin is a constituent of the centrosome in myoblasts and non-muscle cells**

To test the hypothesis that dystrophin might play a role at the centrosome, we first investigated its subcellular localization in proliferating C2C12 myoblasts by immunocytochemistry (ICC). Most frequently, we observed two dot-like signals mostly located in close vicinity to the cell nucleus, thus reminiscent of centrosomes. We confirmed this by co-probing the myoblasts for two centrosomal marker proteins, which resulted in staining patterns characterized by widely overlapping immunosignals corresponding to dystrophin and γ-tubulin (Figs 1A and B and S1A and B and Video 1); secondary antibody controls for ICC experiments are shown in Fig S1C) or centrin-1 (Fig S1D). Although several antibodies rose against different epitopes of dystrophin that stained the centrosome (Fig S1A and B), no dystrophin signals were observed in myoblasts derived from Dmdmdx mice (Fig S2A). Evaluation of the spatial centrosomal organization of dystrophin revealed a clear co-distribution with γ-tubulin (Figs 1B and S2B and C). Moreover, we found that centrosomal localization of dystrophin was maintained throughout the entire cell division cycle (Fig 1C). Thus, our findings established co-localization of dystrophin and the centrosome in proliferating C2C12 myoblasts. In a next step, we co-stained murine and human primary myoblasts for dystrophin and γ-tubulin, respectively, and again detected a co-localization of these proteins at the centrosome (Fig 1D).

To address the question if dystrophin is a constituent of the centrosomal multi-protein complex, we isolated centrosomes from C2C12 myoblasts by density-gradient centrifugation. Probing the centrosome-enriched fractions for dystrophin expression by Western blotting (WB) gave rise to an immunoreactive band at the position of the full-length skeletal-muscle isoform Dp427m (Fig 1E) (Hoffman et al, 1987).

Because defective dystrophin expression has been implicated not only in myogenic but also non-myogenic cancers in mice and men (Schmidt et al, 2011; Wang et al, 2014), we hypothesized that the canonical full-length dystrophin isoform Dp427m might be a centrosome constituent also in cells other than muscle cells. Therefore, we probed murine and human fibroblasts as well as human carcinoma cells (HeLa, Hep G2) for dystrophin and γ-tubulin expression. Also, in these cells, we observed a co-localization of respective immunosignals (Figs 1F and S3A and B). Using highly concentrated protein extracts from murine and human fibroblasts as well as from HeLa cells, we could detect a dystrophin-specific immunoreactive band by WB at 427 kDa (Figs 1G and H and S3C). To verify that Dp427m is a centrosomal constituent in non-muscle cells, we tested these cells for the expression of the canonical muscle promoter of dystrophin and indeed found it to be expressed on the RNA level (Fig 1I–K). Taken together, our experiments demonstrate that the Dp427m is a centrosomal protein in myoblasts and also in cells not belonging to the myogenic lineage.

**Urophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 also localize to the centrosome in myoblasts and fibroblasts**

Next, we examined whether other MD-related proteins are also constituents of the centrosome. To this end, we performed ICC in C2C12 myoblasts to probe also for the subcellular localization of utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3, respectively. As for dystrophin, we consistently found a close association of dot-like immunosignals with γ-tubulin for all three MD-related proteins in C2C12 cells (Fig 2A–D and Video 2–Video 4) and primary mouse myoblasts but not in myoblasts derived from mutant Utrn<sup>+/−</sup>, Dysf<sup>−/−</sup>,
Figure 2. Utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 also localize to the centrosome.

(A) ICC of proliferating C2C12 cells using antibodies specific for utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3, respectively (all in red), in combination with antibodies specific for γ-tubulin (green), and visualization of nuclei (DAPI, blue). Insets are magnifications of the boxed centrosomes (as indicated by I–VI). Note the co-localization of muscular dystrophy–related proteins with γ-tubulin. Scale bars, 5 μm. (B, C, D) Fluorescence intensity plots illustrating the distributions of utrophin (B), dysferlin (C), and calpain-3 (D), respectively (all in red) and γ-tubulin (green). (A) The white dashed lines denote the direction of the profiling through the respective centrosome (shown in insets I, III, and VI in A). Note that utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 overlap the respective γ-tubulin-positive area. a.u., arbitrary units. (E) Co-purification of utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 with γ-tubulin. Centrosomes were purified from C2C12 myoblasts by sucrose density-gradient centrifugation. Fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting. Note that in centrosome-enriched fractions 4, 5, and 6, as evaluated by increased γ-tubulin protein levels, also full-length utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 were detected. (A, F) ICC of mouse fibroblasts (p53−/−) as described in (A). Insets are magnifications of the boxed centrosomes (I–VI). Scale bars, 5 μm. (G) Expression of utrophin, dysferlin,
or Capn3KO mice, respectively (Figs S4A–C, S5A–C, and S6A–C). Moreover, also, these three MD proteins were localized to the centrosome throughout the entire cell division cycle (Fig S7).

To test if these proteins are also biochemically linked to the centrosome complex, we probed centrosome-enriched cellular fractions by WB. Antibodies against utrophin, dyserfin, and calpain-3, respectively, gave rise to bands of apparent molecular weights, which were indicative for the full-length isoforms of the respective proteins (Fig 2E). The fact that defective expression of these proteins has been found in cancers of different tissue types prompted us to speculate that centrosomal expression of these proteins might not be confined to myogenic cells. Therefore, we next probed murine fibroblasts by double ICC for the respective proteins with γ-tubulin and observed a centrosome-associated localization of utrophin, dyserfin, and calpain-3 (Fig 2F). Moreover, when we tested protein lysates prepared from murine and human fibroblasts by WB, we confirmed high-level expression of canonical full-length isoforms also in these cell types (Fig 2G and H).

Even though all four MD proteins displayed close spatial association of dot-like immunosignals with γ-tubulin in C2C12 cells, utrophin seemed to be more distally shifted, whereas dystrophin, dyserfin, and calpain-3 appeared to more clearly overlap with the maximum of centrosomal γ-tubulin signal (Fig S8A). Likewise, statistical evaluation of the co-localization with γ-tubulin-positive signals revealed ~90% overlap with dystrophin, dyserfin, and calpain-3 but only ~65% with utrophin (Fig S8B). In addition, the evaluation of the Pearson’s and Mander’s coefficients indicated that all four MD-related proteins studied herein clearly co-localized with the centrosome (Fig S8C and D).

Centrosome amplification in human and murine MD myoblasts

Chromosomal instability and aneuploidy, which we have previously shown to affect skeletal muscle and cultured myoblasts from human MD patients (Schmidt et al, 2011), are known to be causatively related to centrosome amplification (Cosenza & Kramer, 2016). Thus, we next determined the numbers of centrosomes in primary myoblasts derived from MD patients. We detected significantly increased numbers of cells containing amplified centrosomes (~5% of DMD and ~7% of LGMDR2 myoblasts, respectively), as compared with less than 2% of myoblasts derived from healthy (control) individuals (Fig 3A–D). Supernumerary centrosomes, as found in myoblasts derived from MD patients, often displayed immunosignals, which were suggestive for centrosome clustering (Fig 3A and B, see insets II–IV). Centrosome amplification was also found to occur at a significantly higher level in primary murine myoblasts derived from neonatal single-mutant (Dmdmdx, UtrnKO, Dysflox, Capn3KO) MD mice as compared with wild type (WT). In myoblasts from double-mutant (Dmdmdx UtrnKO, Dmdmdx Capn3KO, Dmdmdx Dysflox) mice, centrosome amplification was even more increased, highly suggestive of a negative additive effect (Fig 3E and F).

Abnormal nuclear morphology in murine and human MD myoblasts

Because centrosome amplification also impairs the integrity of the cell nucleus (Pihan, 2013), we counted nuclei displaying abnormal morphologies (i.e., occurrence of micronuclei, nuclear blebs, or multinucleated cells) in murine single- and double-mutant MD myoblasts. We detected a significantly increased number of nuclei displaying abnormal morphologies in UtrnKO and Capn3KO myoblasts as compared with WT cells. In all double-mutant MD myoblasts, these nuclear pathologies were even more pronounced (Fig 4A and B), whereas the analyses of other morphometric parameters of nuclei such as area, perimeter, circularity, or aspect ratio revealed no statistically significant differences (Fig 5A–D). Likewise, we could frequently detect pronounced nuclear abnormalities such as altered shapes and reduced roundness, giant nuclei, nuclear blebbing, or micronuclei in human myoblasts derived from patients affected by DMD or LGMDR2, respectively (Fig 4C).

Impaired centrosome reorientation and microtubule regrowth in MD myoblasts

Orchestrated reorientation of the centrosome in conjunction with proper nucleation of microtubules in the daughter cell are pivotal mechanisms during cell division. Therefore, we functionally assessed these parameters in WT and MD myoblasts. Upon wounding, reorientation of the centrosomes was significantly disturbed in MD myoblasts (Fig 5A). Although ~75% of WT myoblasts displayed oriented centrosomes 2 h post-wounding, the proportion of cells with correctly positioned centrosomes was significantly decreased to ~60% in Dmdmdx, UtrnKO, and Dysflox myoblasts and, again more pronounced, down to ~50% in all double-mutant MD myoblasts (Fig 5B). It had been shown that upon wounding, myoblasts position their centrosomes between the nucleus and the leading edge to obtain the required migratory front-rear polarity (Chang et al, 2015). Therefore, we evaluated whether the nuclear positioning in relationship with the centrosome localization was affected in MD myoblasts by measuring the distances from the centroid of the nucleus and from the centrosome relative to centroid of the cell (Chang et al, 2016). Although the positions of the nuclei were unaltered in MD myoblasts compared with WT cells, centrosomes were misaligned to a more rearward position in Dmdmdx, UtrnKO, Capn3KO single mutants and, even more pronounced, in all double-mutant MD myoblasts (Fig 5C).

Next, we determined the microtubule-nucleating capacity of centrosomes in murine MD and WT myoblasts by disrupting microtubule assembly by nocodazole (Fig 6A). Microtubule outgrowth after nocodazole removal was significantly impaired in Dmdmdx, UtrnKO, and Capn3KO single mutants and, even more severe, in all double-mutant MD myoblasts reduced to ~40–50% of WT levels, indicating perturbed microtubule nucleation arising from centrosomes (Fig 6B and C). Because it has been shown that...
supernumerary centrosomes directly promote chromosome mis-segregation (Ganem et al., 2009), we tested whether the excess centrosomes, which we have found in MD myoblasts, were capable of nucleating microtubules. Indeed, we observed that microtubule outgrowth arises also from supernumerary centrosomes in microtubule-regrowth experiments (Fig 6D). Interestingly, the

Figure 3. Centrosome amplification in human and murine muscular dystrophy (MD) myoblasts. (A, B, C) Representative ICC of centrosomes (γ-tubulin, red) and nuclei (DAPI) in human myoblasts derived from Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) (A) or LGMDR2 (B) patients or healthy controls (C). Insets are magnifications of the boxed centrosomes. (A, B) Arrowheads in (A, I–IV) and (B, I–IV) indicate clustered centrosomes. Scale bars, 5 μm. (D) Statistical analyses of the percentage of control, DMD, or LGMDR2 myoblasts harboring ≥3 centrosomes. Data presented as values (dots) and median (line); (control [n = 4], analyses were performed on duplicates of two controls], DMD [n = 4], LGMDR2 [n = 3]). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey correction). (E) ICC of wild-type (WT) and MD double-mutant murine myoblasts using γ-tubulin antibody (red) and visualization of nuclei (DAPI, blue). Arrowheads indicate centrosomes. Note that double-mutant MD myoblasts display supernumerary centrosomes. Also note the occurrence of nuclear abnormalities such as micronuclei (long arrow) and blebs (circles) in Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Ut<sup>rnKO</sup> and Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Dysf<sup>SJL</sup> myoblasts. Moreover, note that a binucleated myoblast is shown for Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Ut<sup>rnKO</sup>. Scale bars, 5 μm. (F) Percentage of murine myoblasts harboring 3 (white bars), 4 (blue bars), or ≥5 centrosomes (magenta bars) per cell. Mean ± SEM (WT [n = 320], Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> [n = 306], Ut<sup>rnKO</sup> [n = 324], Dysf<sup>SJL</sup> [n = 303], Capn3<sup>KO</sup> [n = 316], Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Ut<sup>rnKO</sup> [n = 310], Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Dysf<sup>SJL</sup> [n = 336], Dmd<sup>mdx</sup> Capn3<sup>KO</sup> [n = 319 myoblasts], cells were isolated from three to four newborn mice each). ***P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001 (Fisher’s exact test, compared with WT myoblasts; cells with normal centrosome counts (1–2) versus cells with supernumerary centrosomes).
Figure 4. Altered nuclear morphology in murine and human muscular dystrophy myoblasts.

(A) Visualization of nuclear morphology (DAPI) in WT and double-mutant primary myoblasts. Note the occurrence of nuclear abnormalities such as blebs (short arrows) and micronuclei (arrowheads) in double-mutant muscular dystrophy myoblasts. Also note the presence of multiple nuclei within a single myoblast (long thin arrows). Scale bars, 5 μm.

(B) Statistical evaluation of primary myoblasts harboring nuclear abnormalities as depicted in (A). (WT [n = 320], Dmdmdx [n = 306], UtrnKO [n = 324], DysfSJL [n = 303], Capn3KO [n = 316], Dmdmdx UtrnKO [n = 310], Dmdmdx DysfSJL [n = 336], Dmdmdx Capn3KO [n = 319 myoblasts], cells were isolated from three to four newborn mice each).

(C) Visualization of nuclear morphology (DAPI) in primary myoblasts derived from control samples and Duchenne muscular dystrophy or LGMDR2 patients. Lower panels (I–VIII) are magnifications of the boxed nuclei in the upper panel. Note the occurrence of nuclear abnormalities such as altered shape and reduced MD-related proteins at the centrosome.
microtubule asters in MD myoblasts with supernumerary centrosomes appeared smaller than those in cells with 1–2 centrosomes, suggesting that MD-related proteins are indispensable for timely and proper microtubule nucleation (Fig 6E).

Discussion

There is growing evidence that aberrant expression of dystrophin, utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3 does not only give rise to different forms of MDs but is also causatively related to tumorigenesis in humans and mice, which makes MD-related proteins TS candidates (Chamberlain et al, 2007; Korner et al, 2007; Li et al, 2007; Fernandez et al, 2010; Schmidt et al, 2011; Wang et al, 2014; Luce et al, 2017; Gallia et al, 2018; Juratli et al, 2018). Although case reports of cancer in MD patients are rare, growing evidence implicates all four MD genes and/or their protein products in tumorigenesis (Fanzani et al, 2013; Jones et al, 2021), likely by generating a permissive environment for tumor establishment. Especially for DMD gene mutations and/or expression changes, numerous reports highlight a clear role in the pathogenesis in a wide range of cancers, including sarcomas, carcinomas, melanomas, lymphomas, and leukemia, as well as brain tumors (Korner et al, 2007; Wang et al, 2014; Jones et al, 2021). To date, 13 DMD cases with cancer have been published, with a high incidence of rhabdomyosarcomas (six patients) being reported (Jones et al, 2021; Vita et al, 2021). In addition, reports of several patients with soft-tissue sarcomas indicate a role of the DMD gene and especially of intragenic deletions, in the development of this type of cancer (Wang et al, 2014; Jones et al, 2021). Moreover, reduced DYSF mRNA expression levels were found in pancreatic tumors, compared with healthy adjacent tissues, and intronic SNPs in the DYSF gene were associated with a higher risk of death (Tang et al, 2017). Interestingly, patients with renal-cell carcinoma and high DYSF gene expression levels presented with a better survival rate compared with renal-cell carcinoma patients with low DYSF expression (Ha et al, 2019). These results were, however, in contrast to another study, in which DYSF mRNA and protein expression levels were oppositely involved in tumor progression (Cox et al, 2020). CAPN3 expression was found to be reduced in human melanoma cell lines and biopsies, and its overexpression induced p53 stabilization and other effects, ultimately resulting in decreased cell proliferation (Moretti et al, 2009, 2015). Finally, Zhou et al recently showed that reduced UTRN expression levels in melanoma patients were associated with advanced clinical characteristics, including decreased survival and poorer prognosis (Zhou et al, 2021). In addition, up-regulated utrophin expression inhibited melanoma cell proliferation (Zhou et al, 2021). These clinical reports are in line with the observation that in MD mice, sarcomas share nonrandom genomic alterations including frequent loss of TS (such as Cdn2a or Nft), amplifications of oncogenes (Met, Jun), recurrent duplications of whole chromosomes 8 and 15, and DNA damage (Schmidt et al, 2011). However, the functional basis underlying the proposed TS properties of MD-related proteins remains elusive. Our finding that all four MD-related proteins investigated in this study show a centrosomal localization supports are in favor of a common pathomechanistic concept that essentially involves a common function at the centrosome. Therefore, one might hypothesize that also other proteins which are related to both MD and cancer could represent centrosomal proteins in addition to their known (muscle-related) function. To this end, we tested also α-sarcoglycan, as defective expression of which causes MD in humans (LGMDR3) and in mice and, in addition, confers cancer susceptibility in respective Sgcα2 mice (Roberts et al, 1994; Fernandez et al, 2010). In line with our initial hypothesis that proteins related to both MD and cancer are components of the centrosome, we found that α-sarcoglycan also locates to the centrosome by ICC and by probing in centrosome-enriched fractions by WB (data not shown).

Although we found all four MD proteins investigated herein associated with centrosomes in undifferentiated myoblasts, they are differentially expressed and localized during myogenic differentiation. Dystrophin and dysferlin are up-regulated and recruited to the myotube membrane (Belkin & Burridge, 1995) and to the T-tubule system (Klinge et al, 2007) in differentiating C2C12 cells, respectively, whereas utrophin is down-regulated during muscle fiber formation (Galvagni et al, 2002). When embryonic chick myogenic cells were differentiated into multinucleated myotubes, calpain-3 was found in a perinuclear organization, in adhesion structures, and in long-stress fiber-like structures (de Andrade Rosa et al, 2020). In their publication, de Andrade Rosa et al (2020) hypothesized that the perinuclear concentration of calpain-3 in chick muscle cells likely represents the presence of the scaffolding protein calpain-3 in centrosomes and suggest a possible role of calpain-3 in signaling pathways during myogenesis. Whether such an interaction in differentiated myotubes is also feasible for the other MD proteins remains to be investigated.

Our finding that the centrosomal localization of MD-related proteins is not restricted to cells of the myogenic lineage is in line with the finding that defective expression of MD-related proteins is not only restricted to myogenic tumors but has also been shown to be related to different tissue types of non-myogenic cancers (Korner et al, 2007; Li et al, 2007; Schmidt et al, 2011; Fanzani et al, 2013; Wang et al, 2014; Luce et al, 2017; Gallia et al, 2018; Juratli et al, 2018; Jones et al, 2021). Remarkably, our finding that the MD proteins we investigated in this study are expressed in different suborganellar compartments of the centrosome support the notion that they are engaged in different functional pathways with respect to centrosome-related functions, which has to be tested in further experiments. We show here that the absence of MD-related proteins leads to centrosome amplification in murine and human myoblasts, which is consistent with the findings of Dumont et al (2015), who found centrosome amplification in dystrophin-deficient muscle stem cells (satellite cells, Scs; Dumont et al, 2015). Moreover, they showed that in Scs, dystrophin contributes to SC polarity and asymmetric division via association with Mark-2, an important regulator of cell polarity (Dumont et al, 2015). In the absence of
dystrophin, expression of Mark-2 is down-regulated, resulting in a strikingly reduced number of asymmetric divisions, leading to loss of polarity, impaired mitotic spindle orientation, prolonged cell divisions, and abnormal division parameters including centrosome amplification (Dumont et al., 2015). In another study, it has been shown that the activation of epidermal growth factor receptor through Aurora kinase A (Aurka) regulates orientation of centrosomes during asymmetric SC division (Wang et al., 2019). Ultimately, in vivo EGF treatment in Dmd-mdx mice rescued the reduction of asymmetric divisions in dystrophin-deficient SCs and resulted in increased numbers of progenitors and enhanced regeneration, thus restoring muscle strength (Wang et al., 2019). Whether this signaling pathway also plays a role in SCs from other MD mouse models, such as Utrn-KO, Dysf-SJL, or Capn3-KO, remains to be investigated.

Multiple centrosome abnormalities represent a hallmark of virtually all cancer types and have been linked to chromosomal instability and tumorigenesis (Cosenza & Kramer, 2016). In vitro, supernumerary centrosomes have been shown to promote chromosome mis-segregation during cell division (Ganem et al., 2009) and favor invasive phenotypes in a 3D-culture model (Godinho et al., 2014). Moreover, the presence of supernumerary centrosomes per MD-related proteins at the centrosome Winter et al. Life Science Alliance

Figure 5. Impaired centrosome reorientation in murine muscular dystrophy myoblasts.

(A) Representative ICC of microtubules (α-tubulin, green), centrosomes (γ-tubulin, red), and nuclei (DAPI, blue) in WT and Dmd-mdx Dysf-SJL myoblasts in a scratch assay at the edge of a wounded monolayer. Upper panel: white arrows indicate oriented centrosomes; arrowheads indicate misoriented centrosomes; the direction of the arrows/arrowheads denotes the orientation of the respective centrosomes. Boxed areas are shown enlarged in lower panels: Ia and Ila (α-tubulin, γ-tubulin, and DAPI) and Ib and IIb (γ-tubulin and DAPI). White lines outline the periphery of the respective cells, arrowheads indicate the centrosomes, and dashed lines indicate the area between the nucleus and cell periphery oriented toward the wound edge. Centrosomes located within this area were considered as oriented and centrosomes in other parts of the cells as misoriented. Scale bars, 20 μm. (B) Statistical analysis of the percentage of oriented centrosomes 2 h after wounding. Mean ± SEM (WT [n = 177], Dmd-mdx [n = 157], Utrn-KO [n = 156], Dysf-SJL [n = 173], Capn3-KO [n = 128], Dmd-mdx Utrn-KO [n = 189], Dmd-mdx Dysf-SJL [n = 181], Dmd-mdx Capn3-KO [n = 178 myoblasts], three newborn mice each). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (Fisher’s exact test). (C) Bar graph shows the position of the nucleus (white) and the centrosome (gray) in WT and muscular dystrophy-mutant myoblasts at the wound edge. Mean ± SEM (WT [n = 42], Dmd-mdx [n = 43], Utrn-KO [n = 40], Dysf-SJL [n = 40], Capn3-KO [n = 43], Dmd-mdx Utrn-KO [n = 39], Dmd-mdx Dysf-SJL [n = 40], Dmd-mdx Capn3-KO [n = 42 myoblasts], three newborn mice each). *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 (unpaired t test).
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myonuclei are not merely a symptom but also drivers of dystrophic changes in MDs (Folker & Baylies, 2013). Moreover, nuclear positioning is closely associated with centrosome orientation in myoblasts and has important pathomechanistic consequences during directional cell migration as it is pivotal for establishing cell polarity (Chang et al, 2015).

Our findings suggested that loss of MD-related proteins can impede adequate reorientation and rearward positioning of centrosomes during migration, whereas proper nuclear positioning was unaffected. Also in this context, we provide evidence that MD-related proteins act cooperatively by demonstrating that double-mutant MD myoblasts display a significantly lower fraction of cells with properly orientated centrosomes as compared with single-mutant cells. We also show that MD-related proteins are involved in conferring timely and proper microtubule nucleation because MD myoblasts display aberrant and impaired regrowth of microtubules in a respective assay. Also with respect to this phenotype, cooperativity of the MD-related proteins is suggested because the MD-related proteins at the centrosome Winter et al.

Figure 6. Impaired microtubule regrowth in murine muscular dystrophy myoblasts. (A) Representative ICC of microtubules (α-tubulin, green) and nuclei (DAPI, blue) in WT and double-mutant myoblasts, either left untreated, immediately after nocodazole treatment (+nocodazole) or after 5 min of recovery. Arrowheads indicate microtubule organizing centers. Note the severely impaired microtubule regrowth in double-mutant muscular dystrophy myoblasts compared with WT myoblasts. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Box plots depicting the volumes obtained from α-tubulin signals per volumes of DAPI-positive nuclear area. Each dot represents data from one image stack. **P < 0.01 (one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey correction). (C) Statistical analysis of the microtubule aster size after 5 min of recovery. Mean ± SEM [WT (n = 89), Dmdmdx (n = 83), UtrnKO (n = 89), DysfSJL (n = 89), Capn3KO (n = 89), Dmdmdx UtrnKO (n = 89), Dmdmdx DysfSJL (n = 82), Dmdmdx Capn3KO (n = 88 centrosomes), three newborn mice each]. **P < 0.01 (one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey correction). (D) Representative ICC images of microtubules (α-tubulin, green), emerging from two centrosomes in a WT control cell or from supernumerary centrosomes in Dmdmdx UtrnKO, Dmdmdx DysfSJL, or Dmdmdx Capn3KO myoblasts and nuclei (DAPI, blue), after 5 min of recovery from nocodazole treatment. Arrowheads indicate centrosomes, and arrow denotes a micronucleus. Scale bars, 10 μm. (E) Statistical analysis of the microtubule aster size emerging from 1 to 2 centrosomes or from supernumerary centrosomes in Dmdmdx UtrnKO, Dmdmdx DysfSJL, or Dmdmdx Capn3KO myoblasts after 5 min of recovery. Mean ± SEM [Dmdmdx UtrnKO (n = 65 asters from cells with 1–2 centrosomes, n = 17 asters from cells with supernumerary centrosomes), Dmdmdx DysfSJL (n = 66 asters from cells with 1–2 centrosomes, n = 14 asters from cells with supernumerary centrosomes), Dmdmdx Capn3KO (n = 65 asters from cells with 1–2 centrosomes, n = 24 asters from cells with supernumerary centrosomes)]. **P < 0.01 (unpaired t test).
outgrowth was dramatically hampered in double-mutant myoblasts as compared with single mutants. Our findings that MD-related proteins are implicated in two dynamic centrosome-related processes, that is, migration-dependent centrosome reorientation and, in addition, conferring orchestrated microtubule outgrowth, indicates a functional role of these proteins in centrosome biology in more general. However, it should be noted that our data derived from nocodazole treatment experiments cannot discriminate between defective nucleation and outgrowth dynamics, representing an important limitation of this study, which needs to be addressed in future work. At least dystrophin behaves like a microtubule-associated protein by interacting with microtubules in skeletal-muscle cells (Prins et al, 2009). Dysferlin also interacts with α-tubulin and microtubules (Azakir et al, 2010), preventing microtubule depolymerization by controlling the levels of α-tubulin acetylation in myoblasts (Di Fulvio et al, 2011). Contrary to dysferlin, which binds to microtubules with high affinity and pauses microtubule polymerization, utrophin has been shown to be inactive in microtubule binding assays and rescue experiments (Belanto et al, 2014). Moreover, even though no interaction between calpain-3 and tubulin has been reported so far, calpain-3 was reported to act as a modulator of the dysferlin protein complex (Huang et al, 2008) and could probably thereby influence the cytoskeleton. Although microtubules and their associated proteins and motors mediate most of the nuclear movements studied to date (Luxtton et al, 2011; Luxtton & Gundersen, 2011), it has been shown that nuclear movement and positioning as well as centrosome orientation in migrating myoblasts also require the actin cytoskeleton and its associated factors (Chang et al, 2015). Indeed, γ-actin is also implicated in regulating centrosome function and mitotic progression in cancer cells (Po’uha & Kavallaris, 2015) and therefore represents another candidate for interaction with MD proteins. In most cellular contexts, the actin network and the microtubules are intimately connected and often co-regulated, making it difficult to discern the specific effects of either network (Rodriguez et al, 2003). As all four MD-related proteins studied herein interact with the cytoskeleton in various ways, it might be anticipated that additional pathways contribute to the observed pathologic phenotypes.

Even though we present a hitherto unreported centrosomal localization of several MD proteins together with a pathologic phenotype in MD protein-lacking cells, the causative pathomechanism interlinking cancerogenesis and muscle damage has not yet been identified. First, insights gained by centrosome reorientation and microtubule nucleation experiments open a perspective for a possible function, but more in-depth studies will be needed to answer these questions. Strikingly, all centrosome-related pathologies which we show here, namely, the occurrence of supernumerary centrosomes, nuclear abnormalities, impaired orientation, and microtubule re-polymerization, were markedly aggravated in double-mutant MD myoblasts. This additive effect on the cellular level thus could explain why combined defects in MD genes provoked dramatically increased frequency and the earlier onset of sarcoma formation in Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx}, Dysf\textsuperscript{Fl}} (Schmidt et al, 2011), Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx}\textsuperscript{Scv} Dysf\textsuperscript{Fl}} (Hosur et al, 2012), and Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} Capn3\textsuperscript{KO} (Schmidt et al, 2011) double-mutant MD mice.

Up to now, lack of utrophin was not linked to any severe phenotype in respective knockout mice. In humans, however, defective expression of utrophin because of UTRN mutations has been detected in various types of human tumors, such as breast cancers, neuroblastomas, and malignant melanomas (Li et al, 2007). Here, we describe for the first time that the absence of utrophin gives rise to centrosome-related phenotypes on the cellular level, some of which were even more pronounced as compared with MD myoblasts deficient for dystrophin, dysferlin, or calpain-3. Noteworthy, the combined loss of utrophin and dystrophin gives rise to a markedly aggravated centrosome-related phenotype, suggesting an additive interaction of both proteins on the cellular level (Schmidt et al, 2011). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that this might reflect the underlying pathomechanism responsible for the aggravation of the MD-related phenotype in double-mutant (Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} Utrn\textsuperscript{KO}) MD mice (Deconinck et al, 1997b).

In conclusion, we identified for the first time a centrosomal localization of four different MD-related proteins, dystrophin, utrophin, dysferlin, and calpain-3, in myoblasts and non-muscle cells and show that their absence leads to extra centrosomes, impaired centrosome function, and abnormal nuclear morphology. Therefore, we introduce a novel pathomechanistic concept that will foreseeably help to better understand the emerging link between MDs and cancer.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Mouse stocks were maintained at the Division for Laboratory Animal Science and Genetics, Medical University of Vienna, according to Austrian Federal Government laws and regulations. The following mouse lines were used in this study: mdx (Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx}) mice (Bulfield et al, 1984) and dysferlin-deficient (Dysf\textsuperscript{Fl}} because of the SJL mutation) mice bred on a C57BL/10J background (Bittner et al, 1999) were originally obtained from the Jackson Laboratory; Capn3\textsuperscript{tm1Isdr} (Capn3\textsuperscript{KO}) mice (Richard et al, 2000) were obtained from Isabelle Richard; Utrn\textsuperscript{tm1xed} (Utrn\textsuperscript{KO}) mice (Deconinck et al, 1997a) were obtained from Kay E Davies. All mice were inbred on a C57BL/10J background (WT; Jackson Laboratory). To obtain a double-mutant mice (Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} Utrn\textsuperscript{KO}, Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} Dysf\textsuperscript{Fl}}, or Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} Capn3\textsuperscript{KO}), Dmd\textsuperscript{mdx} mice were crossed with Utrn\textsuperscript{KO}, Dysf\textsuperscript{Fl}}, or Capn3\textsuperscript{KO} mice.

Cell culture

C2C12 cells (European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures, ECACC 91031101) were grown in proliferation medium (DMEM [Gibco]) supplemented with 20% FCS (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin (P/S; Gibco) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Mouse fibroblasts (p53\textsuperscript{−/−}) (Andræ et al, 2003; Winter et al, 2008), human WI-38 fibroblasts (ECACC 90020107), HeLa cells (ECACC 9302103), and Hep G2 cells (ECACC 85011430) were grown in proliferation medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and P/S) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.
Primary mouse myoblasts were isolated from neonatal mice (1–2 d) as described previously (Winter et al., 2014). De-skinned front and hind limbs were enzymatically dissociated in 3 ml enzyme solution (0.2% collagenase I in serum-free DMEM medium containing 100 nM nonessential amino acids [NEAA, Gibco], 2 mM L-glutamine, P/S) for 1.5–2 h at 37°C with gentle agitation. The digested tissue was poured into 5 ml pre-warmed medium (serum-free DMEM supplemented with NEAA, P/S, and L-glutamine), and single muscle fibers were released by gentle trituration with a glass pipette. The slurry was collected by centrifugation and washed twice in PBS (15g for 3 min). The pellet was resuspended in 2.5 ml DMEM containing 20% FCS, 10% horse serum (Gibco), 1% chicken embryo extract (Seralab), and P/S and plated on Geltrex (Geltrex LDEV-Free Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Matrix, Thermo Fisher Scientific; diluted 1:100 in DMEM)-coated Ø 10-cm dishes for 48 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Myoblasts were split, pre-plated on uncoated culture dishes for up to 2 h (to remove contaminating fibroblasts), and cultivated in Ham’s F10 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 20% FCS, 2.5 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (Promega), and P/S on collagen-coated (0.01% collagen [PureCol; CellSystems] in PBS) culture dishes. For ICC, primary mouse myoblasts were cultivated on Geltrex-coated eight-well µ slides (Ibidi) for 24 h before fixation.

Primary human myoblasts were obtained from the Muscle Tissue Culture Collection, Friedrich-Baur-Institute, Department of Neurology, Ludwig-Maximilian-University Munich. DMD: “Essen 88/07” (age at biopsy: 14 yr (a), del45_50); “72/05” (7 a, dup_ex8-29); “Essen 8/02” (4 a, del_ex51-55); “166/00” (6 a, 2-bp deletion in exon 6); LGMDR2: “90/01” (36 a, female, c.[638C>T];[5249delG]); “176/01” (32 a, male, c.[2367C>A][5979dupA]); “363/07” (21 a, male); “72/05” (21 a, female). Cells were cultivated in skeletal-muscle cell growth medium (PromoCell) containing P/S at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

**Antibodies**

The following primary antibodies were used for ICC and/or WB: rabbit antiserum (AS) to dystrophin #2166 (ICC 1:200; directed against the last 17 amino acids of murine dystrophin; Blake et al., 1999), ab15277 (ICC 1:500; Abcam), sheep AS to dystrophin (ICC 1:000, 60 kD; Hoffman et al., 1987), mouse mAbs to dystrophin DYS1 (ICC 1:100, WB 12,000; NCL-DYS1; Novocastra), DYS2 (ICC 1:100, WB 1:400; NCL-DYS2; Novocastra), DYS3 (ICC 1:100; NCL-DYS3; Novocastra), and MANDRA1 antibodies (ICC 1:100, WB 1:2,000; NCL-DYS1; Novocastra), DYS2 (ICC 1:100, WB 1:2,000; NCL-DYS2; Novocastra), DYS3 (both from Leica Biosystems), and MANDRA1 antibodies (both from Sigma-Aldrich); mouse mAbs to dystrophin DYS1 (ICC 1:100, WB 1:800; Sigma-Aldrich), and G9545 (Sigma-Aldrich), and mouse mAbs to centrin-1 (ICC 1:100; 04-1624; Merck Millipore). For ICC, primary antibodies were used in combination with donkey anti-mouse IgG Alexa 488, donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 488, donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 594, and donkey anti-sheep/goat Alexa 594 (all from Invitrogen). For immunoblot analyses, HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were used (Dako) in combination with ECL Select WB detection reagent (Amersham).

**Immunocytochemistry (ICC)**

Cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol for 2 min at −20°C, washed with PBS, and blocked with 5% BSA (Pan Biotech GmbH) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Next, cells were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in PBS overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. For dystrophin-specific immunostaining, either monoclonal DYS1, DYS2 (both from Leica Biosystems), and MANDRA1 antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich) were combined, or polyclonal antisera were used to enhance the number of dystrophin epitopes (see also Fig S1A and B). After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature and again washed with PBS. Nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidin-2-phenylindol-dihydrochlorid (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich). C2C12 cells and primary human myoblasts, grown on coverslips, were mounted in Mowiol 4+88 supplemented with 2.5 g/100 ml 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (both from Sigma-Aldrich); primary mouse myoblasts, which were grown on eight-well µ slides, were mounted in Ibidi mounting medium (Ibidi). Microscopy was performed using an Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal microscope equipped with PlanApo N 60× 1.4 NA objective lenses (Olympus). Images were recorded using the Olympus Fluoview software and processed and analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH). Co-localization analysis (Pearson’s correlation coefficient, Mander’s co-localization coefficients) of fluorescence signals was performed from confocal maximum intensity projections using “Coloc2” in ImageJ.

**Preparation of cell and tissue lysates, SDS–PAGE, and WB analysis**

Cells grown on a 15-cm dish were directly scraped off in 200 µl lysis buffer (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl, 2M urea, 20 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 3.5% SDS, and 1.5% β-mercaptoethanol, mixed with 6× SDS sample buffer (500 mM Tris–HCl [pH 6.8], 600 mM DTT, 10% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol-blue, and 30% glycerol), DNA sheared by pressing the samples through a 27-gauge needle, and samples were incubated for 5 min at 95°C (Winter et al., 2014). Serial 5-µm cryosections of frozen skeletal-muscle tissue (quadriceps femoris) were homogenized in lysis buffer, mixed with 6× SDS sample buffer, and incubated for 5 min at 95°C. SDS–PAGE was performed as described (Laemmli, 1970). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Protran 0.45 NC; Amersham) using a Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell blot apparatus (Bio-Rad).

**RNA isolation**

RNA was isolated from serial 10-µm cryosections of frozen skeletal muscle or from a Ø 10-cm dish of confluent cells by lysis in 1 ml TRIZOL reagent (800 mM guanidinium thiocyanate, 400 mM ammonium thiocyanate, 100 mM sodium acetate, 5% glycerol, and 38% phenol in RNase-free water), followed by chloroform extraction and precipitation with isopropanol. RNA samples were measured by
spectrophotometry (NanoDrop), and integrity was confirmed by electrophoretic separation on agarose gels.

Nested reverse-transcriptase (RT) PCR

To analyze whether C2C12 myoblasts, mouse p53+/− fibroblasts, human WI-38 fibroblasts, or HeLa cells express dystrophin, isolated RNA was subjected to RT–PCR. RNA (200 ng) was reverse-transcribed using specific priming with an antisense oligonucleotide targeting exon 12 of dystrophin (primer sequence [5′–3′] for mouse Dmd was GCC TCT TCC ATT TTC TTA GTT and for human DMD GTT GTA CTT GTT GTA CTT CTT) in a 20 µl reaction containing 1 µM primer, 2 µM 5′ first strand reaction buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.5 mM dNTPs, 10 mM DTT, and 50 U Superscript II (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA synthesis was performed for 60 min at 42°C and terminated by incubation at 70°C for 10 min. Amplification was obtained by two rounds of PCR (using the GoTaq DNA Polymerase protocol; Promega) using the following primer pairs (0.5 µM each): first round PCR included primers complementary to the sequences of 5′UTR and exon 11 (mouse Dmd gene: m5′UTR, GTT TAT TGG CTT CTC ATC GTA CCT; mEx11rev, CTG ATA ATT TCC TTT TCA CCA; human DMD gene: h5′UTR, TGC TGA AGT TTG TTG TGT TCT CAT; hEx11rev, ATT TTC CTG TTA CA A GCT TAC). After cycling (3 min 95°C, 6× [40 s 95°C, 40 s 60°C, 90 s 72°C], 32× [30 s 95°C, 30 s 60°C, 90 s 72°C], 5 min 72°C), 1 µl of a 1:100 dilution of first-round PCR reaction products were used as the template for nested PCR. Second-round primers were designed complementary to exons 1 and 10 of the dystrophin gene (mouse Dmd gene: mEx11for, GGT GGG AAG AAG TAG AGG ACT GT; mEx10rev, CAT TTG AAA TCT CTC TCT GTG; human DMD gene: hEx12for, GCT TTG GTG GGA AGA AGT AGA GGA TGT T; hEx10rev, GTC CAG GTT TAC TTC TCT CCA). PCR products were separated in Midori Green-stained agarose gels, excised, and purified with the illustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit (GE Healthcare). Capillary DNA sequencing was performed at Eurofins Genomics, Austria.

Preparation of centrosomes

Centrosomes were isolated from confluent C2C12 cells essentially as reported (Gogendeau et al, 2015). Cells were scraped off in growth medium and treated with 10 µg/ml nocodazole and 2 µM cytochalasin D (both from Sigma–Aldrich) for 1 h at 37°C to depolymerize microtubules and actin filaments. After centrifugation at 280g for 8 min, cells were washed sequentially in 1× TBS (Tris-buffered saline) and 1× TBS/8% sucrose and then carefully lysed in 1 mM Hepes (pH 7.2), 0.5% Igepal, 0.5 mM MgCl2, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, and Complete Inhibitor Cocktail tablets (Roche) for 5 min on ice. After centrifugation at 2,500g for 10 min, the lysis supernatant was filtered through a 40-µm nylon mesh and incubated with 20 U/ml DNase I (AppliChem) and 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.2) for 30 min at 4°C. The lysate was underlaid with 60% sucrose solution (w/w) in gradient buffer (10 mM Pipes [pH 7.2], 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol) and centrifuged at 10,400g for 30 min. The interface containing centrosomes and sucrose cushion was collected and further purified on a discontinuous (70, 50, and 40%) sucrose gradient by centrifugation at 120,000g for 1.5 h. For detection of dystrophin by WB analysis, fractions were concentrated three- to fourfold by using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filters (Merck Millipore).

Centrosome reorientation assay

Centrosome reorientation after wounding was assessed as described (Palazzo et al, 2001; Chang et al, 2015). Primary mouse myoblasts were serum-starved in DMEM containing L-glutamine, P/S, and 0.1% FCS for 24 h, wounded with a 200-µl pipette tip, and reorientation of centrosomes was stimulated by incubation in fresh proliferation medium for 2 h at 37°C. Afterward, cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol and stained with α- and γ-tubulin antibodies for ICC. Centrosome orientation and nuclear and centrosomal positions were measured using ImageJ as described (Chang et al, 2016).

Microtubule regrowth assay

The microtubule regrowth assay was performed as described (Delgehyr et al, 2005; Fumoto et al, 2009). Primary mouse myoblasts were treated with 5 µM nocodazole in proliferation medium for 1 h at 37°C. Afterward, cells were washed, incubated for 5 min with fresh proliferation medium to allow microtubule regrowth, fixed with ice-cold methanol, and stained with α-tubulin antibodies for ICC. Analysis of microtubule regrowth was performed using ImageJ v1.52n by importing image stack files (*.oir) using the Bio-Formats Macro Extensions. After thresholding each channel (using the “Huang dark stack” method), the 3D Objects Counter function was used to automatically detect objects. Total size of green fluorescence objects were normalized to total size of DAPI objects for each image stack. Aster size was measured using ImageJ as well.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Experiments were performed at least in biological triplicates, unless otherwise stated. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM or median with minimum and maximum (whiskers). Statistical analysis was performed using Excel or GraphPad statistical software. Comparisons of categorical data between two groups were made using a two-tailed Fisher’s exact test. Comparisons among values of multiple groups were performed using one-way ANOVA. The significance between the individual groups was subsequently determined using the Tukey post hoc test (α = 0.05).

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information is available at https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.20201367.
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