Surface Reduction State Determines Stabilization and Incorporation of Rh on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(1102)
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Iron oxides (FeO$_x$) are among the most common support materials utilized in single atom catalysis. The support is nominally Fe$_2$O$_3$, but strongly reductive treatments are usually applied to activate the as-synthesized catalyst prior to use. Here, Rh adsorption and incorporation on the (1102) surface of hematite ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) are studied, which switches from a stoichiometric (1 × 1) termination to a reduced (2 × 1) reconstruction in reducing conditions. Rh atoms form clusters at room temperature on both surface terminations, but Rh atoms incorporate into the support lattice as isolated atoms upon annealing above 400 °C. Under mildly oxidizing conditions, the incorporation process is so strongly favored that even large Rh clusters containing hundreds of atoms dissolve into the surface. Based on a combination of low-energy ion scattering (LEIS), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) data, as well as density functional theory (DFT), it is concluded that the Rh atoms are stabilized in the immediate subsurface, rather than the surface layer.

1. Introduction

Stabilizing ever smaller metallic clusters on inexpensive metal oxide supports has been a long-standing goal of catalysis research. So-called “single-atom” catalysts (SACs) represent the ultimate limit of this endeavor, but stabilizing single atoms against agglomeration under reaction conditions is challenging. To be stable, the metal atoms must form chemical bonds with the support, which affects their electronic structure and catalytic properties. To accurately model such a system requires the atomic-scale structure of the active site to be known. This information is extremely difficult to ascertain using current experimental methods, particularly under reaction conditions, and most theoretical calculations assume a high-symmetry site on an idealized periodic surface. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images usually suggest that isolated adatoms are located in cation-like sites relative to the bulk structure, but it is important to realize that, with this technique, neither the termination of the support nor the binding coordination of the adatom can be unambiguously determined. Some information is often inferred from ex situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements, but these area-averaging methods do not necessarily probe the active sites on inhomogeneous samples, and rely on comparison of the SAC sample to standard samples such as a metal oxide, which may have very different coordination environments. In any case, the reactive environment almost certainly changes the state of the support surface, and with it the coordination environment of the single-atom sites. In situ TEM and XAS are possible for such systems, but are not commonly applied.

Iron oxides (FeO$_x$) are popular support materials in heterogeneous catalysis because they are inexpensive and chemically robust. In SAC studies, the as-synthesized support is nominally hematite ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$). Consequently, the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface with or without point oxygen vacancies is often chosen to represent the FeO$_x$ support in SAC studies. The atomic-scale structure of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) remains particularly controversial, even under highly controlled ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions. There is evidence for a fcc(111) termination in oxidizing conditions, and a whole host of long-range Moiré reconstructions occur when the surface becomes reduced. Eventually, when reduced more, the surface transforms to Fe$_2$O$_3$(111). Recently, we have studied the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(1102) surface, which is non-polar and similarly prevalent as the (0001) orientation on nanomaterial. This surface exhibits two terminations: a simple, stoichiometric (1 × 1) termination, and a reduced (2 × 1) reconstruction containing surface Fe$_{2+}$. In this paper, we explore how Rh adsorbs on these surface terminations, and if stabilization is affected by oxidation and...
reduction of the iron oxide support surface. Using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), XPS, and He⁺ LEIS, we find that Rh forms small clusters on both surface terminations upon vapor-deposition at room temperature (RT), indicative of a low surface diffusion barrier. Upon annealing, however, Rh atoms are accommodated in the subsurface on both surfaces. On the stoichiometric (1 × 1) termination, Rh is stabilized as single atoms in the second cation layer when the sample is heated to 400 °C, and does not diffuse further into the bulk. On the reduced (2 × 1) termination, higher coverages of Rh initially sinter to nanometer-sized clusters upon annealing in UHV, and these then dissolve into the hematite lattice with mild oxidation at 520 °C. These observations imply a strong driving force for Rh incorporation into the immediate subsurface of hematite, indicating a potential route for redispersion of sintered particles.

2. Experimental Results

First, we investigated the stability of small amounts of Rh on α-Fe₂O₃(1102)-(1 × 1) by depositing 0.025 ML Rh on the freshly prepared surface at RT. In STM [Figure 1a], we clearly observe small Rh clusters on an otherwise pristine surface. Based on the expected number of Rh atoms per unit area after deposition, we can estimate that, on average, a cluster consists of 3–4 atoms. Figure 2a shows XPS results for the Rh 3d region after deposition, and after consecutive heating steps at elevated temperatures. The samples were cooled to RT to acquire XPS and STM data between the heating steps. Additional STM images from the annealing series are shown in Figure S1, Supporting Information. Immediately after deposition, the Rh 3d peak is relatively broad, with a maximum at ≈3078 eV [black line in Figure 2a]. Since the Rh 3d₅/₂ peak always exactly mirrors the 3d₃/₂ peak with a shift of 4.8 eV and two thirds of the intensity, we will only discuss the 3d₅/₂ peak from here on. When fitting the Rh 3d region, appropriate additional components, fully constrained in position, area, and FWHM, were added for the 3d₃/₂ peak.

After heating to 200 °C, the Rh 3d₃/₂ peak sharpens and shifts to lower binding energies [yellow line in Figure 2a]. This correlates with the formation of larger clusters in STM [Figure S1b, Supporting Information]. At higher temperatures, the peak splits into a component at the position associated with the larger clusters at ≈3075 eV, close to that of metallic bulk Rh,[28] and a component at higher binding energy. The evolution of the area ratio between these two components is shown in Figure 2c (black). For simplicity, we refer to the second component as the “oxidized” contribution, though it should be noted that for the small clusters found initially, the shift could also be explained at least in part by final state effects related to the cluster size.[29,30] Taking a possible asymmetry of the metallic peak into account, with a tail toward higher binding energy, would further reduce the fraction of oxidized Rh, so the percentages of metallic Rh shown in Figure 2c should be taken as a lower limit. After annealing to 550 °C, the metallic component disappears and the Rh 3d₃/₂ peak sharpens significantly [red line in Figure 2a]. In this state, the peak contains a single component at 309.3 eV, which can be assigned to an oxidized Rh species. This binding energy is significantly higher than the ≈308.6 eV that were previously reported for bulk Rh₂O₃[28,31,32] and is closer to that reported for RhO₂.[31] In STM, this development corresponds to a disappearance of the clusters, and single bright features are instead observed at negative sample bias [Figure 1b]. A few of these bright features coexisting with clusters are observed in STM starting at 300 °C [Figure S1c–f, Supporting Information], in good agreement with the appearance of the oxidized peak at 309.3 eV in XPS [Figure 2a]. We attribute this to Rh being liberated from the clusters and substituted into Fe sites in the hematite surface. Some of these features are still grouped together locally after annealing to 500 °C, suggesting that the incorporated Rh remains in the vicinity of the original cluster due to slow diffusion within the hematite lattice. However, after annealing for longer times, a random distribution can also be achieved, as shown in Figure S2, Supporting Information. Interestingly, we found no further decrease in the XPS peak intensity even after annealing for 2 h at 520 °C in 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar O₂, which indicates that Rh does not diffuse further into the bulk under these conditions.

In the annealing series shown in Figure 1 and Figure S2a–h, Supporting Information, the consecutive heating steps were all performed in UHV, which results in the surface being mostly reduced to the (2 × 1) termination after heating to 550 °C. Patches of the (1 × 1) structure remain only at step edges [Figure S1h, Supporting Information]. This is the expected behavior, as annealing in UHV at these temperatures is the normal preparation procedure for the (2 × 1) termination.[26]
In the presence of Rh, however, small patches of the reduced (2 × 1) termination were found at step edges already after heating to 400 °C [Figure S1e,f, Supporting Information], which is insufficient to form the (2 × 1) termination in the absence of Rh. The (1 × 1) termination could be restored everywhere by the final heating step at 520 °C in 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar O₂ [Figure S1i, Supporting Information] without loss of Rh signal in XPS [Figure 2a, pink]. Qualitatively, this is the same end result as was obtained when heating in 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar O₂ directly after Rh deposition [Figure S2, Supporting Information], which prevents the surface from being reduced in the first place.

On the α-Fe₂O₃(110)–(2 × 1) termination, the initial state immediately after Rh deposition is similar as on the (1 × 1) termination, with small clusters observed in STM [Figure 1c]. The behavior of the Rh 3d peak in XPS with increasing temperature also qualitatively resembles the trends observed on the (1 × 1) termination. The peaks were again fitted by a metallic and an oxidized contribution. However, analysis of the peak intensity ratios [Figure 2c] highlights some differences between the two cases: On the (1 × 1) surface, the metallic contribution initially increases up to 200 °C, corresponding to sintering without incorporation. On the (2 × 1) termination, the metallic component decreases from the very beginning, and disappears completely at 400 °C, at a lower temperature than on the (1 × 1) termination (500 °C). In STM, images taken after annealing to 300 °C [Figure 1d] resemble those of the pristine surface, with no visible signature of the Rh, although it remains present in XPS. Additional STM data from this annealing series is shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information.

As the Rh 3d peak in XPS does not weaken significantly even at high annealing temperatures on either surface termination, and since Rh-related protrusions remain clearly visible in STM on the (1 × 1) surface, the obvious question is whether the Rh is located directly in the surface layer. To answer this, we performed LEIS with 1 keV He⁺ ions on both terminations, an exquisitely surface-sensitive technique. The spectra (Figure 3) clearly show a Rh peak directly following Rh deposition, but no trace of Rh is found in LEIS after annealing. This strongly suggests that incorporated rhodium is situated in the subsurface, rather than the surface layer, for both the (1 × 1) and the (2 × 1) termination.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2.** Analyzing the thermal stability using the Rh 3d region in XPS. a,b) XPS spectra (Al Kα, 70° grazing emission, pass energy 16 eV, offset vertically for clarity) of the Rh 3d core-level peaks for 0.025 ML Rh on the α-Fe₂O₃(110)–(1 × 1) and (2 × 1) surfaces, respectively, as deposited at room temperature and after successive annealing steps in UHV at different temperatures (at least 10 min per step). The spectra were acquired after cooling back to room temperature. For the bottom-most spectrum in (a), the sample was annealed for 30 min at 520 °C in a background of 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar O₂. c) Area percentages for peak fits to the spectra in (a) and (b) using two components for Rh 3d₅/₂. Fit results for the first two curves in (a) are shown in the insets to (c).

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3.** He⁺ LEIS of as-deposited and incorporated Rh. LEIS measurements (1 keV He⁺, 90° scattering angle) of a) Rh on the α-Fe₂O₃(110)–(1 × 1) surface and b) on the (2 × 1) termination. In panel (a), as-deposited Rh is clearly visible as a peak at ≈920 eV for both the 0.025 and 0.1 ML coverage. A magnified view of the Rh region is shown in the inset. The broad peak below 500 eV is correlated with adsorbed water, as demonstrated by the spectrum acquired after dosing 10 L H₂O (1 L = 1.33 × 10⁻⁶ mbar s) on the clean (2 × 1) surface (b, pink). We attribute this peak to fast hydrogen recoils (at 90° scattering angle, these must be either H₂ recoils deflected at surface atoms or H⁺ recoils created by He deflected at surface atoms).
Finally, we explored how the findings for 0.025 ML of Rh extend to a higher coverage (0.1 ML). On the stoichiometric (1×1) surface, the results are essentially the same as for 0.025 ML, with all Rh atoms ultimately being incorporated into the surface. An STM image and the corresponding XPS data of 0.1 ML, with Rh incorporated in the (1×1) surface is shown in Figure S2, Supporting Information. On the reduced, (2×1)-reconstructed surface, however, we find a decidedly different behavior for 0.1 ML Rh (Figure 4). In XPS [Figure 4a], the Rh 3d_{5/2} peak progressively shifts to 307.3 eV upon heating and remains there, in good agreement with literature values for metallic Rh.\(^\text{[28]}\) Fits of the Rh 3d data are shown in Figure S4, Supporting Information, using the same fitting procedure as described above for the low-coverage case. The metallic component dominates at all times, but an oxidized component is also present, most strongly after heating to 200 °C in STM. This corresponds to sintering of Rh into large clusters, as shown in Figure 4b after annealing to 580 °C in UHV. The increased cluster height also explains the decrease of the overall Rh intensity to 49% of the value after deposition, since the photoelectron signal from Rh in deeper layers of the clusters is attenuated by the layers above. Additional STM images for the entire annealing series are shown in Figure S5, Supporting Information. Assuming a spherical cap shape, we estimate that the cluster visible in Figure 4b contains \(\approx 1200\) Rh atoms. This is an upper bound for the actual number of atoms, as the cluster shape is always convoluted with the tip shape in STM. Interestingly, even for these very large clusters, Rh atoms can still be completely dissolved and incorporated into the surface upon annealing in oxygen, as seen in the STM image in Figure 4c. Again, bright features within the lattice are visible, and these are often agglomerated locally [orange arrows in Figure 4c]. Both STM and LEED [inset to Figure 4c] show that the surface itself is transformed from the reduced (2×1) reconstruction to the stoichiometric (1×1) termination, as expected after oxygen annealing. In XPS, the Rh 3d peak [pink line in Figure 4a] again shifts to 309.3 eV, as observed whenever Rh is incorporated into the hematite lattice [Figure 2a,b].

3. Density Functional Theory Calculations

To rationalize the stabilization of Rh in the subsurface, we performed DFT calculations for the (1×1) termination, with one Fe atom substituted by Rh in a (2×1) supercell. The substitution was tested for the first eight cation layers, and relative energies are shown in Figure 5. We find the first subsurface layer (C2) to be energetically most favorable, with an energy gain of \(-0.49\) eV compared to the immediate surface layer. The second subsurface layer (C3) is \(0.14\) eV worse than C2, and below that, the substitution energy is already converged to a bulk value (0.12 eV worse than C2). These energies explain why extended annealing of the system does not lead to the diffusion of much Rh into the bulk of the sample at the temperatures used in this work.

Substitution of multiple Fe atoms in layer C2 by Rh was tested in a (3×3) supercell. The substitution energy per Rh atom stayed the same when a second Rh atom was placed in either of the nearest-neighbor sites in the subsurface layer. This means that there is neither repulsive nor attractive interaction between neighboring Rh atoms in these sites, and thus no enthalpic preference to accumulate or disperse them, consistent with the observation of areas with high Rh concentration in Figure 4c. However, random dispersion is favored to maximize entropy.

In all tested configurations, the calculated spin magnetic moments for Fe suggest that all iron remained Fe\(^{3+}\) (4 \(\mu_B\)), with no evidence of Fe\(^{2+}\) (3.5 \(\mu_B\)).\(^\text{[26]}\) No direct conclusions about the Rh charge state can be drawn from the spin magnetic moment of Rh, which we always found to be close to zero. However, the Rh ion in the preferred C2 configuration exhibits a Bader charge of only \(+1.15\) e, lower than in RhO\(_2\) (+1.45 e) and in Rh\(_2\)O\(_3\) (+1.22 e), which suggests a formal charge state of 3+ or less. Based on the known overall charge of the slab and the fact that no iron appears to be reduced, we therefore assign the rhodium as Rh\(^{3+}\).
Our results show that, in contrast to Fe$_2$O$_3$(001),\cite{33} neither the stoichiometric (1 \times 1) surface nor the reduced (2 \times 1) reconstruction of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$([-100]) stabilizes Rh adatoms at RT. However, on the (1 \times 1) termination at least, single atoms can incorporate into the hematite lattice via a thermally activated process. This makes sense, because Rh$_2$O$_3$, the most stable Rh oxide, is isostuctural with α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Interestingly, our results show that even large clusters can be re-dispersed by very mild oxidation, and these atoms remain visible in STM \cite{Figure 2b}. However, the fact that no Rh signal remains in LEIS after incorporation (Figure 3) strongly suggests that the Rh atoms are in fact not situated in the topmost cation layer, but rather in the subsurface, in agreement with our DFT results. Since the presence of the incorporated Rh atoms is most clearly visible in filled-states images, where oxygen atoms are imaged,\cite{26} we conclude that we observe a modified density of states for the surface oxygen atom that is bound directly to an Rh atom in the immediate subsurface layer, marked by a dashed circle in Figure 5b. The dopants are also visible at some positive bias values, as shown in Figure S6, Supporting Information. This indicates that the electronic structure of surface Fe atoms in the vicinity of subsurface Rh is also modified, since we have shown previously that empty-states images show the surface iron atoms.\cite{26}

The preference of Rh to assume a subsurface instead of a surface site can be understood in terms of its preferred oxidation state and its lower tolerance for undercoordinated environments compared to Fe. In its native oxides, Rh is always sixfold coordinated, either as Rh(III) in Rh$_2$O$_3$ or as Rh(IV) in RhO$_2$. Fe, on the other hand, appears as Fe(II) in both Fe$_2$O$_3$ and FeO, and has tetrahedral coordination to oxygen in the former. Crucially, the Rh octahedra in Rh$_2$O$_3$ have different preferred bond lengths than Fe,\cite{34} which they can achieve more easily near the surface in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ lattice, as the lattice strain induced by substitution can be mitigated by surface relaxations. The Fe octahedra in bulk hematite are trigonally distorted to a $C_3v$ symmetry\cite{25,33} with two different bond lengths. However, in our DFT calculations, Rh in the first subsurface layer can realize its preferred, relatively uniform bond lengths of 2.05–2.08 Å,\cite{34} as opposed to the hematite bulk Fe–O bond lengths of 1.97 Å and 2.12 Å, respectively. Together, these two effects favor the closest cation site to the surface in which a sixfold bonding environment can be achieved, which is in the first subsurface layer.

As to how the Rh is oxidized, there are two possible routes: When annealing in a background of oxygen, displaced iron likely diffuses to form more hematite at step edges or as new islands, as we have observed previously when depositing Ti (which also replaces Fe in layer C2).\cite{16} When no gas-phase oxygen is available, small amounts of excess cations may be compensated without major reduction of the surface by diffusion of Fe into the bulk, as has been documented for Fe$_2$O$_3$.\cite{1104} However, it is worth noting that when depositing Rh on the (1 \times 1) termination and annealing in UHV, we found small patches of the (2 \times 1) termination sooner than expected, after heating to only 400 °C (Figure S1, Supporting Information). This may be a compensation mechanism to help accommodate reduced Fe that has been displaced by Rh incorporation.

Concerning the oxidation state of incorporated Rh, the very high binding energy of 309.3 eV observed in XPS (Figures 2 and 4) may indicate an Rh$^{4+}$ state, as the peak position for bulk Rh$_2$O$_3$ is usually given as ≈308.6 eV.\cite{28,32} However, in the absence of compensating O vacancies, this would require the reduction of iron to Fe$^{2+}$. We have shown previously that introducing Ti$^{4+}$ dopants into the surface induces a localized, oxidized restructuring of the surface, which allows all iron to remain Fe$^{3+}$.\cite{36} Rh induces no such restructuring, and when annealing in oxygen to prevent partial reduction to the (2 \times 1) termination, we do not observe any signature of Fe$^{2+}$ cations in grazing-emission XPS, even for 0.1 ML Rh [Figure S2b, Supporting Information]. Furthermore, our DFT calculations show no evidence for reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ in the presence of Rh, and Bader charge analysis indicates a Rh charge state closer to that of Rh in Rh$_2$O$_3$. Based on these results, we assign the incorporated rhodium as Rh$^{4+}$, despite the unusually high binding energy in XPS.

The incorporation of Rh in a sixfold coordinated environment in the subsurface means that it would likely be catalytically inactive. Similar behavior has been observed following the calcination of Rh on anatase TiO$_2$ particles.\cite{37} One can
therefore see why reductive treatment is required to activate the catalysts following calcination.\cite{35} However, the fact that lattice incorporation is favorable enough to abstract Rh even from very large clusters may hold interesting possibilities for regenerating SACs. Typical deactivation mechanisms involve sintering and poisoning; both can be reversed if the catalyst is dispersed by an oxidation step (which also burns off carbonaceous species). Note, however, that we could not recover the Rh to the surface by reducing the (1 × 1)-terminated surface by UHV annealing, because Rh is also accommodated in the subsurface on the (2 × 1)-terminated surface. We have shown previously that Rh atoms are stabilized on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(001) \),\cite{33} so perhaps an even stronger reduction of the surface could recover surface Rh species.

The behavior of Rh on the reduced (2 × 1) surface is different from that on the stoichiometric (1 × 1) termination. If we start from a 0.1 ML deposition, the reduced (2 × 1) termination retains large Rh clusters at temperatures where the stoichiometric surface has already taken all of the metal into the lattice (Figures S2 and S5, Supporting Information). This suggests that there is less energy gain for Rh incorporation on the (2 × 1) termination, and that the energy gained by incorporation of Rh is smaller than the cohesive energy in large, bulk-like Rh clusters. This also indicates that reduction should help with re-exposing the Rh atoms and activating them for catalysis. On the other hand, the incorporation of small amounts of Rh appears to be more facile on the (2 × 1) surface, as the process begins at lower temperatures [Figure 2c]. Taking the apparently lower thermodynamic driving force into account, this suggests that the faster incorporation of low coverages on the (2 × 1) termination [Figure 2c] is due to lower diffusion barriers, perhaps due to the different, more open structure of the \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(1\bar{1}0\bar{2})-(2 \times 1) \) reconstruction.

The qualitative difference between the low and high Rh coverage on the (2 × 1) termination can be explained by two different effects. On the one hand, since incorporating Rh requires it to be oxidized, Fe needs to be reduced simultaneously. Rh is more electronave than Fe, so it is clear that Rh can only reduce Fe from 3+ to 2+ (which are both common oxidation states of Fe), not any further. Thus, for each incorporated Rh, three Fe atoms have to be reduced to Fe\(^{2+}\). Unlike the stoichiometric (1 × 1) surface, the (2 × 1) reconstruction already contains large amounts of Fe\(^{2+}\).\cite{25} Rh incorporation will therefore be unfavorable unless diffusion of oxygen from the bulk to the surface, or of excess Fe to the bulk, is fast. If bulk diffusion is slow, displacing Fe upon Rh incorporation becomes increasingly harder. This would offset the energy gained by Rh incorporation, and would lead to a saturation behavior. On the other hand, clusters may never reach a critical size in the low-coverage case (Figure S3, Supporting Information), and thus never become thermodynamically stable against incorporation. Most likely, both effects need to be taken into account to correctly describe the behavior of Rh during the annealing series performed here. However, insufficient bulk diffusion should become less relevant at higher temperatures. We can therefore conclude that once very large clusters are formed, as was the case for our experiments with 0.1 ML Rh, an equilibrium state is reached in which the clusters are indeed thermodynamically stable against incorporation.

These findings illustrate that it is important to account for the reconstructions formed under reducing conditions, instead of simply modeling the reduction by assuming that oxygen vacancies are introduced. In prior DFT studies, the \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(0001) \) surface was usually modeled using the \( \bar{O}_1 \)-termination capped with a layer of the catalyst atoms (equivalent to an Fe-termination with the terminating Fe layer completely substituted by the metal in question).\cite{18,8,11} In modeling CO oxidation, it has been assumed that an oxygen vacancy can be formed next to the SAC site, which can react with \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO} \) forming \( \text{CO}_2 \) and repairing the vacancy. To complete the cycle, another CO molecule reacts with a surface oxygen, forming a second \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecule and recovering the oxygen vacancy.\cite{18,8,11} However, the reduced \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(0001) \) surface exhibits an abundance of reconstructions,\cite{20,23} and removing O from an already reduced surface likely costs more energy. Of course, assuming a somewhat simplified model for the surface structure is necessary to make calculations tractable, but it seems unlikely that the real surface activated in reducing conditions would exhibit the bulk-truncated termination with easily available oxygen.

Furthermore, stabilization of single adatoms will depend strongly on both the oxidation state and the structure of the actual surface. Adatom stabilization depends more strongly on diffusion barriers than on pure binding energies, but generally, oxidized surfaces tend to bind cations more strongly, as we have seen on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(0001) \).\cite{36} While defects can certainly act as trap sites for metal adatoms, oxygen vacancies have been reported to be ineffective at stabilizing metals such as Pt or Rh on TiO\(_2\).\cite{40–41} For covalently bound cations, this is not surprising, since the adatom loses a potential bond to the support, and bonding may be weakened further if charge transfer is inhibited by the presence of other reduced cations. A recent screening study of a wide range of different transition metals on \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(0001) \) reports strongly covalent binding for all of them.\cite{36} Therefore, there is reason to assume that our finding of metal clusters forming on the reduced, but not on the oxidized surface may also be more generally applicable to \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) surfaces.

Finally, for Rh specifically, it seems likely that the driving forces of preferred sixfold coordination on one hand and lattice strain on the other hand can be generalized to other hematite surfaces, as well as to step defects. This may be helpful for stabilizing and re-dispersing catalyst atoms. A similar route has been demonstrated for Pt on ceria, where Pt can be abstracted from clusters into highly coordinated, but catalytically inactive sites under oxidizing conditions.\cite{42} However, whether an atom is situated on the surface or in the first subsurface layer can be extremely hard to distinguish in imaging techniques such as STM [as seen in Figure 1b] or TEM, which is commonly used to identify atom positions in nanoparticle studies.\cite{1,12–17} Special care should therefore be taken to avoid erroneous identification of catalyst activity if the preparation leaves the single atom in an inactive subsurface site, or if it moves there under reaction conditions.

5. Conclusion

We have studied the interaction of Rh with \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(1\bar{1}0\bar{2}) \) both on the stoichiometric (1 × 1) and the reduced (2 × 1) termination. Neither surface stabilizes single Rh adatoms, and small
Rh clusters are found after deposition at RT. Low coverages of Rh are incorporated in the substrate below 400 °C in both cases and are stabilized in the subsurface. Larger coverages of Rh sinter into clusters consisting of hundreds of atoms on the reduced (2 x 1) termination, but can be dissolved and re-dispersed by annealing in oxygen, which also transforms the surface back to the (1 x 1) termination. The incorporated oxidized Rh species substitute Fe in the first subsurface layer. We assign the features imaged with increased apparent height in STM as surface O atoms bound to the subsurface Rh.

6. Experimental and Computational Methods

All results presented in this work were collected in a UHV setup consisting of a preparation chamber (base pressure <10^{-10} mbar) and an analysis chamber (base pressure <5 x 10^{-11} mbar). The system was equipped with a commercial low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) apparatus (VSI), a nonmonochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (VG), an ion gun (He⁺) used for LEIS, a SPECS Phoibos 100 analyzer for XPS and LEIS, and an Omicron μ-STM. The STM was operated in constant-current mode using electrochemically etched W tips. STM images were corrected for distortion and creep of the piezo scanner, as described in ref. [43]. Rh was deposited from an electron-beam evaporator (Omicron) in the preparation chamber. A quartz-crystal microbalance was used to calibrate the amount of deposited material, with deposition times of ~30-120 s for 0.025-0.1 monolayers (ML) of rhodium. Throughout this paper, a monolayer is defined as the number of Fe atoms in the surface layer. 1 ML of Rh is therefore defined as two Rh atoms per α-Fe₂O₃(110) (1 x 1) unit cell, which corresponds to a density of 7.3 x 10^{24} atoms cm^{-2}.

The experiments were conducted on a single-crystalline, 0.03 at% Ti-doped hematite film grown homoeptaxially by pulsed laser deposition on a natural α-Fe₂O₃(110) sample (SurfaceNet GmbH, 10 x 10 x 0.5 mm³, <0.3% miscut) in 2 x 10⁻² mbar O₂, as described previously.[26] Doping was achieved by alternating deposition from an Fe₂O₃ single crystal target and a 1 at% Ti-doped hematite target, home-synthesized from commercial TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ powders (99.995% purity, Alfa Aesar). The mixed powders were pressed in an isostatic press at 400 MPa and RT in a cylindrical silicone mold, and sintered in an alumina-tube furnace (6 h at 1200 °C, 1 bar of flowing O₂, 5 °C min⁻¹ ramp rates), as described in detail elsewhere.[44] The resulting hematite film was sufficiently conductive for STM at RT, with large atomically flat terraces. The surface appeared identical to the undoped samples studied previously.[26] Before each experiment, the sample was re-prepared by sputtering (1 keV Ar⁺ ions, ~2 μA) and annealing in oxygen (2 x 10⁻⁶ mbar, 520 °C) for 30 min, which yields the stoichiometric (1 x 1) termination. For experiments on the reduced (2 x 1) reconstruction, the sample was then annealed in UHV at 580 °C for 15 min.

DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package[45,46] with the projector augmented wave method.[47] The Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional[48] was used together with a Hubbard U (Uₘₐₜ = 4.0) to treat the highly correlated Fe 3d electrons.[50] The same U was applied for Rh atoms to avoid artificially biasing 3d electron occupations among the different transition metal cations.[51] Rh substitution was tested in (2 x 2) supercells on asymmetric slabs consisting of 30 atomic layers, with the bottom five layers kept fixed, and using a 4 x 4 x 1 T-centred k-mesh. Coverage dependencies of substituting two Rh atoms in the same sublayer were tested in a larger (3 x 3) supercell, with an adjusted k-mesh of 3 x 3 x 1. The plane-wave basis-set cut-off energy was set to 450 eV, and convergence was achieved when residual forces acting on ions were smaller than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹. The charge states of Rh ions were evaluated using the Bader approach,[52-54] and benchmarked to calculations of bulk Rh₂O₃ and Rh₆O₁₇ using the same computational setup.
