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Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in ARDS: Aspects to Think about for Better Understanding, Evaluation, and Management

Iuri Christmann Wawrzeniak,1,2 Silvia Regina Rios Vieira,1,2 and Josué Almeida Victorino2,3

1Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Médicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
2Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Brazil
3Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Iuri Christmann Wawrzeniak; iwawrzeniak@hcpa.edu.br

Received 15 June 2018; Revised 22 August 2018; Accepted 26 August 2018; Published 9 October 2018

Academic Editor: George Karlis

Copyright © 2018 Iuri Christmann Wawrzeniak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is characterized by severe inflammatory response and hypoxemia. The use of mechanical ventilation (MV) for correction of gas exchange can cause worsening of this inflammatory response, called “ventilator-induced lung injury” (VILI). The process of withdrawing mechanical ventilation, referred to as weaning from MV, may cause worsening of lung injury by spontaneous ventilation. Currently, there are few specific studies in patients with ARDS. Herein, we reviewed the main aspects of spontaneous ventilation and also discussed potential methods to predict the failure of weaning in this patient category. We also reviewed new treatments (modes of mechanical ventilation, neuromuscular blocker use, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation) that could be considered in weaning ARDS patients from MV.

1. Introduction

Since the creation of the intensive care unit (ICU), the use of mechanical ventilation (MV) has been evaluated basically with regard to three aspects of debate [1]. The first is when should MV be started, either invasive or noninvasive. The second is after the start of MV, when the choice of ventilation mode is made and ventilation parameter settings are evaluated. These adjustments were better understood after the description of “ventilator-induced lung injury” (VILI), where adjustments made only for the correction of gas exchange may worsen pulmonary and extrapulmonary injury [2–4].

The third subject of debate, which is no less challenging, is when would be the best time for withdrawal of ventilatory support, so-called weaning from MV [5]. MV weaning has been studied for several years and has gone from a state of the art to a science after formulating more defined concepts and conducting clinical studies. MV weaning can be simple in most cases, but there may be cases of difficult or prolonged weaning. In these groups, the outcomes are worse when compared to simple weaning from MV [6]. This more complicated MV weaning scenario has been seen more in the last years after the best initial care of the critical patient, which has provided a reduction in mortality, but a portion of patients progress to chronic critical illness [7, 8].

Studies and guidelines for MV weaning have little concern for distinguishing the peculiarities of the critical patient [9–13]. There is no individualization of a patient with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). The first shows the changes of a chronic lung disease, while the second displays various acute peculiarities related to intense inflammatory response. New approaches could be considered in MV weaning with the evolution of intensive care [14].

This study proposes a review of the main aspects for the understanding of ARDS during weaning of MV as well as evaluating and managing this phase of withdrawal of ventilatory support. The review of the literature was carried...
out aiming at the aspects related to the evaluation of weaning of MV in patients with ARDS. Search was conducted in the period from 1967 to 2018 and the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, LILACS, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and relevant science sites. The following “Mesh terms” are from MEDLINE: “Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Adult”[Mesh], “Ventilator Weaning”[Mesh]. After the results, the articles of relevance to the theme of the proposed study were selected.

2. Mechanical Ventilation in ARDS: Start, Transition, and End of Weaning Process

The initial evaluation and treatment of the patient with ARDS begin with the correction of the inflammatory mechanism that triggered the process, i.e., sepsis and the decision to start ventilatory support [17, 18]. This ventilatory support can be provided as supplemental oxygen, high-flow nasal oxygen therapy, and noninvasive MV and in most cases invasive MV [19–21]. Another important initial aspect of ventilatory support is the choice of ventilatory mode (controlled versus spontaneous) and parameter settings to adopt the “lung-protective ventilation” strategy. This protective ventilation consists in the adjustment of low tidal volume (TV) on the basis of predicted weight and elevated levels of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) with respiratory regulation (RR), considering not only the correction of hypoxemia, but in the care of the targets of pulmonary pressures and volumes to avoid volutrauma and atelectrauma [22–24]. In the most severe cases, the use of neuromuscular blockade (NMB), prone position, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) will be evaluated when there is refractory hypoxemia [25–29]. These initial strategies are key to successful treatment of patients with ARDS and therefore weaning success and reduction in MV time.

After a few days with assumed improvement in inflammatory status and gas exchange, the clinician at bedside begins to think of withdrawing ventilatory support. First, NMB and sedation are provided until spontaneous ventilation movements are detected. Afterwards, spontaneous breathing trials (SBTs) are conducted to evaluate the withdrawal of MV. The T tube test or pressure support ventilation (PSV) modalities are useful for all types of MV weaning patients [12]. In recent years, the influence of spontaneous ventilation has been better evaluated in patients with ARDS. Initial studies suggest the beneficial effect of spontaneous ventilation in both improvement of hypoxemia and pulmonary compliance and reduction in atrophy of respiratory muscles, mainly diaphragmatic [30–33]. However, animal studies show the opposite with increased transpulmonary pressure, worsening of asynchrony (flow starvation, short cycling, and double-triggering), breath stacking, pendeluft phenomenon, diaphragmatic injury, and worsening of inflammatory response and VILI (Figures 1 and 2) [15, 16, 34–37]. Spontaneous efforts may cause swings and heart-lung imbalances with worsening of pulmonary edema and injury, mainly due to excessive negative pleural pressure (Ppl) [38, 39]. During weaning, excessive respiratory drive and high ventilatory demands increase dyspnea and may lead to weaning failure and/or failure to intubate and may present “air hunger” [40]. The high respiratory drive leads to vigorous inspiratory efforts that result in excessive global or regional pulmonary distension due to a nonhomogeneous distribution of stress and strain. A mechanism recently termed “patient self-inflicted lung injury” (P-SILI) may create a vicious circle of worsening injury, resulting in higher TVs and injurious lung stress [19]. Papazian et al. showed a reduction in mortality and inflammation in moderate and severe ARDS with the use of NMB in the early stages, suggesting the attenuation of lung injury with NMB [25, 41]. These findings related to the presence of spontaneous movements in injured lungs should be considered in patients with ARDS, who are starting weaning from MV. Despite the great interest in this area, there are few studies that definitively assess the true impact of spontaneous ventilation during weaning from MV in patients with ARDS (Figure 3).

3. Monitoring MV Weaning in ARDS

The usual parameters for the evaluation of MV weaning are in regard to clinical, gasometry, ventilatory mechanics, and radiological data. These parameters can assess the overall improvement in the cause of respiratory failure. However, they may be unable to predict patients with MV weaning failure.

The use of frequency-to-tidal volume ratio (f/VT) is the most widespread predictor of weaning and has better prediction than other prediction methods. However, the f/VT as well as the other methods have failures to predict the withdrawal of the MV. New applications of weaning
Figure 2: Dynamic CT scan in end-expiration (left panel) demonstrates that the aerated lung (blue) is nondependent, while the dependent lung is densely atelectatic (red). At end-inspiration during a spontaneous breath (mid panel), there is little change in the nondependent aerated lung (blue); the dependent lung, previously densely atelectatic (red) is now partially aerated (green/red). The inspiratory pleural pressure traces (right panel), measured at the arrow tips, show the negative deflections (“swings”) in regional Ppl and global Pes during inspiration. However, the “swing” in regional Ppl is greater (x2) than the “swing” in Pes, indicating that diaphragm contraction results in greater distending pressure applied to the regional lung near the diaphragm compared with the pressure transmitted to the remainder of the lung (i.e., Pes). Ppl: pleural pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; HU: Hounsfield Units, with authors permission [15].

Figure 3: Weaning failure in ARDS. ARDS: "Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome"; VILI: "Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury"; MV: "Mechanical Ventilation"; TV: “Tidal Volume”; DP: “Driving Pressure”; P-SILI: “patient self-inflicted lung injury”.

Another point to emphasize is that, during spontaneous ventilation tests, there is the inability to evaluate transpulmonary pressure or driving pressure (DP) [56, 69]. During spontaneous ventilation after withdrawal of NMB, other pressures involved—pleural and muscular pressure—influence the evaluation of pulmonary mechanics [70]. Pilot study for early weaning evaluation was seen to be increased DP. For the evaluation of pulmonary mechanics during study, small doses of sedatives or short-acting NMBs (propofol 10mg IV and if necessary succinylcholine 2-4mg IV) may be given [58].

The evaluations of airway pressures usually employed in MV weaning assessment are the levels of PEEP and peak and plateau pressures. The target generally recommended to start weaning from MV is a PEEP level of 5-8 cmH2O and pressure support levels to maintain adequate ventilation.

In patients with ARDS there is a wide variation of radiological findings seen on conventional chest radiography compared with computed tomography [71]. There is also a discrepancy of the observers in the interpretation of the presence of edema seen in the chest radiograph [18].

4. Potential Methods for Predicting MV Weaning in ARDS

The purpose of this topic is to present some methods that have been the subject of debate in the literature and that could be better evaluated for weaning prediction in ARDS patients (Table 1). However, the magnitude of weaning from MV specifically in patients with lung injury as well as new methods should be further studied. However, clinicians need new parameters at bedside to better predict MV weaning because of the unique pathophysiology of patients with ARDS compared to other causes of respiratory failure.
4.1. Monitoring Mechanical Ventilation Parameters. The monitoring of pulmonary pressures through the usual pressure curves shown by ventilators during weaning is mixed by the presence of spontaneous ventilation. The pressures abolished by the effect of the BNM appear after their withdrawal and can influence the lung lesion as well as during the transition from controlled to spontaneous [70, 72]. Amato et al. in a large retrospective analysis showed the increase in DP as a worse predictor of outcome in patients with ARDS [56]. At weaning from MV, the persistence of the inflammatory response could increase DP. This alteration could be better evaluated as a new marker of complicated weaning in lungs that still had unresolved “occult” lung injury.

The use of esophageal manometry has been used in respiratory physiology research, but its clinical use is not common. The evaluation of esophageal pressure (Pes) using esophageal manometry helps in the estimation of pleural pressures because its measurement can be influenced by the effects of the chest wall and lungs [42]. There is a gradual reduction in ventilatory support and increased patient effort during weaning from MV. The Pes increases progressively in patients who fail weaning, while the success of weaning does not occur significant changes in Pes [73, 74]. The continuous evaluation of Pes variations predicts a better success or failure at MV weaning than f/TV [74]. Yoshida et al. suggest the use of the esophageal manometry to guide PEEP settings to reduce VILI [57]. Pes monitoring may be a useful tool and part of the intensivist’s clinical armamentarium to show oscillations of pulmonary pressures during weaning from MV [42, 43].

The pressure developed in the occluded airway 100 ms after the onset of an inspiratory effort (P0.1) is another measure that could help as a weaning predictor in patients with ARDS. P0.1 was initially described more than 40 years ago [75] and may be used to assess simply and noninvasively the increased ventilatory drive in ARDS and its deleterious consequences in injured lungs [40]. P0.1 is independent of respiratory mechanics and the patient’s reaction, and it is, importantly, unaffected by respiratory muscle weakness. The optimal target range for respiratory drive and inspiratory effort during MV is uncertain. In healthy subjects breathing at rest, P0.1 varies between 0.5 and 1.5 cmH2O [44]. P0.1 can be useful to adjust the level of ventilatory support due to its close correlation with inspiratory effort. Higher values of P0.1 indicate insufficient levels of support, while lower values correspond to excessive assistance. P0.1 has been extensively studied as a predictor of weaning success or failure [5]. A high P0.1 during a spontaneous breathing trial is associated with failure, suggesting that a high respiratory drive could predict weaning failure. P0.1 alone can provide clinicians with information regarding their patient’s drive, where it is sensitive to ventilator settings and may be useful during weaning [45].

4.2. Imaging Monitoring. Lung ultrasound can be a good alternative to chest radiography or computed tomography scan in many cases. Bedside lung ultrasound in the evaluation of patients with respiratory failure has been well established [76, 77]. In ARDS there are several findings in the pulmonary ultrasound [71]. The evaluation of aeration can predict the success or failure of weaning from MV [46–48]. Bouhemad

### Table 1: Potential methods for predicting weaning in ARDS.

| Potential Method         | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Esophageal pressure[42, 43] | Pressure measurements with spontaneous ventilation                          | Difficulty in positioning the catheter and its accuracy for measuring esophageal pressure |
|                          | Quantification of pulmonary swings                                          | Minimally Invasive                                                           |
|                          | Help in the visualization of asynchrony                                     |                                                                               |
| P0.1[44, 45]             | Evaluation of ventilatory drive                                            | Failure of previous studies as a predictor of general weaning failure         |
| Lung ultrasound [46–48]  | Non-invasive                                                               | Operator dependent, Skin lesions may make it impossible to perform the test |
|                          | Quantification of aeration and collapse during weaning                     |                                                                               |
| Echocardiography[49]     | Evaluation of the heart-lung interaction                                    | Same as above, Cardiac images are difficult to visualize in some patients    |
|                          | Measures left and right ventricular function                                |                                                                               |
| Asynchrony[36, 50]       | Quantification of asynchrony and better adjustment of parameters and modes of mechanical ventilation during weaning | Automatic devices that are validated for clinical use are missing             |
| EIT[51–54]               | Non-invasive and radiation-free                                            | Artifacts caused by changes in thoracic shape, providing three-dimensional absolute/relative images with better resolution |
|                          | Real-time visualization of aeration and collapsed lung and swings during weaning |                                                                               |
|                          | Evaluation of pulmonary perfusion                                           |                                                                               |
| Biomarkers[55]           | Evaluation of VILI and P-SILI worsening during mechanical ventilation weaning | Influence by extrapulmonary inflammatory response                             |

EIT: Electrical Impedance Tomography; VILI: Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury; P-SILI: patient self-inflicted lung injury.
showed to be accurate the use of the lung ultrasound rea-
ration score for the use of antibiotics in ventila-
togram technique for continuous monitoring of 
ventilation and possibly a guide for the weaning pro-
cess [51, 52, 80]. The dynamic real-time evaluation of aerated 
and nonaerated areas could show the pulmonary swings and their 
correlation with the course of MV weaning (see Figure 2). 
Blankman et al. compared the effects of pressure control 
ventilation (PCV) and PSV on the distribution of ventilation 
with the use of EIT. There was improved ventilation of the 
dependent lung region during PSV due to the contribution of 
the diaphragm resulting in a distribution shifted to the 
nondependent lung with elevated TV [81]. Bickenbach et 
al. showed changes in regional ventilation of the lung and 
heterogeneity in prolonged weaning undergoing T-piece 
trials in real time [53]. Regional EIT monitoring during 
edema formation reveals a decrease in lung aeration in dorsal 
regions, associated with a decrease in regional ventilation. In 
association with such changes, EIT typically discloses com-
pensatory increases in regional ventilation of ventral regions. 
The presence of spontaneous ventilatory movements causes 
increased ventilation in dorsal regions. This ventilation is 
an effect of apposition of the dorsal diaphragm and also 
gravitational effect, leading the dependent lung to a greater 
regional complacency [54]. In contrast, high pressure support 
levels or TVs are associated with increased ventral ventilation 
and signals of nondependent lung overdistension (Figures 4 
and 5). When pendelluft occurs, the possibility of overstretch 
of the dependent lung is strongly suggested by EIT, even 
in patients subjected to low TV ventilation [82]. Impedance 
properties are sensitive to the difference between blood and 
air; therefore, EIT has also been studied to assess the regional 
distribution of perfusion and its relationship with ventilation. 
The lung pulsatility method has so far been shown to provide 
qualitative information about lung perfusion, e.g., following 
the activation of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction [83]. 

Another evaluation during weaning from MV is the use of 
plethysmography, a tool to assess functional residual capacity, 
TV, and variability over time. Studies with pulmonary vari-
ability have correlated with success or failure of MV weaning 
in patients in general [84–86]. Studies have been carried 
out recently with the use of EIT, but there is still a need to 

to help predict failed extubation [49]. Echocardiography 
should be further explored in this population because the risk 
of swings and changes in heart-lung interaction can influence 
the success of weaning from MV [38, 78]. The lung ultrasound 
examination has some limitations: it cannot detect lung over-
inflation; subcutaneous emphysema and the presence of large 
thoracic dressings may preclude propagation of ultrasound 
beams to the lung surface, with severe chest trauma or 
burns; it may be limited by the patient’s pain and discomfort; 
training is required to correctly perform the examination and 
interpret its findings and it is not a continuous monitoring 
tool [71]. Lung ultrasound and echocardiography are still 
uncertain methods in the evaluation of weaning in ARDS and 
require more specific studies for their present application.

Another imaging tool to use at bedside is electrical 
impedance tomography (EIT), which is a noninvasive imag-
ing method [79]. EIT is a radiation-free, noninvasive tech-
ique for continuous monitoring of lung volume during 
ventilation and possibly a guide for the weaning process 
[51, 52, 80]. The dynamic real-time evaluation of aerated and 
nonaerated areas could show the pulmonary swings and their 
correlation with the course of MV weaning (see Figure 2). 
Blankman et al. compared the effects of pressure control 
ventilation (PCV) and PSV on the distribution of ventilation 
with the use of EIT. There was improved ventilation of the 
dependent lung region during PSV due to the contribution of 
the diaphragm resulting in a distribution shifted to the 
nondependent lung with elevated TV [81]. Bickenbach et 
al. showed changes in regional ventilation of the lung and 
heterogeneity in prolonged weaning undergoing T-piece 
trials in real time [53]. Regional EIT monitoring during 
edema formation reveals a decrease in lung aeration in dorsal 
regions, associated with a decrease in regional ventilation. In 
association with such changes, EIT typically discloses com-

4.3. Monitoring Asynchrony. Ventilator asynchrony is asso-
ciated with increased ICU stay and mortality. During 
episodes of asynchrony may occur worsening hypoxemia and 
increased respiratory muscle work [50, 59, 88]. There is also 
increasing concern that asynchrony may cause large transpul-
monary pressure swings and inappropriately large TV that 
may be especially harmful in critically ill patients who are 
receiving lung-protective ventilation [36]. The presence of 
asynchrony during the weaning of ARDS patients from MV 
could be better quantified and distinguished. In addition, the 
types of asynchrony could also be evaluated. The presence 
asynchrony, both quantity and type, could be considered at 
bedside as a predictor MV weaning failure in patients with 
ARDS.

4.4. Biomarkers. ARDS is characterized by intense inflamma-
tory response with release of several inflammatory mediators 
during the course of this response, exudative, proliferative, 
and fibrotic phases of ARDS [18]. Increased levels of plasma 
biomarkers, including markers of systemic inflammation 
(interleukin-6 and interleukin-8), epithelial and endothelial 
инjury, along with markers of dysregulated coagulation, have 
been associated with adverse outcomes of ARDS [18, 89, 90]. 
During the weaning process, SBTs involve cardio-pulmonary 
stress for ventilated patients; interleukin-6, a major modu-
lator of the stress response, has been shown to be higher 
in COPD patients during weaning failure [91]. Yang et al. 
showed reduced levels of serum inflammatory cytokines, 
especially IL-6, with successful weaning in septic patients on 
ventilators [55]. Other more specific lung biomarkers could 
also be evaluated during weaning from MV in patients with 
ARDS, i.e., amphiregulin and type III procollagen [92, 93]. 
However, there are still no studies that define the role of 
biomarker measurement in the evaluation of MV weaning in 
ARDS patients.

5. Innovative Therapies to Treat ARDS with 
Complicated Weaning

There have been few studies specifically on the subject of 
weaning ARDS patients from MV and on their approach 
as well [94, 95]. Table 2 has future potential suggestions to 
resume the actual moment in research that is waiting research 
to confirm this evaluation in VM weaning for ARDS. Wean-
ing that does not progress should be evaluated for any factors 
that perpetuate the inflammatory response, e.g., uncontrolled 
infection. Invasive ventilation itself can lead to iatrogenic 
damage to the lungs already with lung injury, and therefore, 
caution with the influence of spontaneous ventilation can 
lead to more lung injury and diaphragmatic dysfunction. 
New occult mechanisms increasing the risk of VILI during 
asisted spontaneous breathing (e.g., occult pendelluft and
Figure 4: Electrical impedance tomography (EIT) waveforms in experimental lung injury, spontaneous versus ventilator breaths. In an anesthetized pig model of acute lung injury assist pressure-controlled ventilation (IP, 15 cm H2O; f, 25 min⁻¹; PEEP=13 cm H2O; triggering threshold, 22 cm H2O) was used. The EIT image was divided into four zones, each covering 25% of the ventrodorsal diameter (zones 1–4). During controlled ventilation (under muscle paralysis), simultaneous inflation of each of the different lung regions was observed, although at different inflation rates. In contrast, when spontaneous efforts were present, two observations were noted. First, in the initial stages of the breath, spontaneous efforts caused inflation of dependent lung regions (red in zones 3 and 4), which was greater with controlled breaths. Second, the early inflation in the dependent region was accompanied by concomitant (transient) deflation of nondependent region (red in zone 1), indicating movement of gas from nondependent to dependent lung regions, because this was not associated with alterations in tidal volume it indicates a pendelluft phenomenon. This finding was always present during spontaneous breathing efforts in all animals with experimental lung injury: f = respiratory frequency; IP: inspiratory pressure; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure, with authors permission [16].

Figure 5: Electrical Impedance Tomography. Example of the visualization of the variation in pulmonary ventilation seen through EIT of MV weaning in two ARDS patients in the first 2 hours. Image on the left indicates the gain in ventilation in green with an increase in TV from 6 to 12 ml/kg. The patient on the right showed weaning failure and prolonged weaning from MV. Image displays an increase in TV from 6 to 8 ml/kg and loss of ventilation variation in red; the patient showed simple weaning from MV.
Table 2: Future potential suggestions to evaluation in VM weaning for ARDS.

| WEANING IN ARDS |
|-----------------|
| (1) Control of the Illness (reducing inflammation) |
| (2) PaO2/FiO2>200 and PEEP≤10 cmH2O |
| (3) Evaluate: |
| (a) Pulmonary mechanics during the spontaneous ventilation test: |
| (i) Measure TV e DP – caution TV>8ml/kg and/or DP>13 [56] |
| (ii) If available – monitoring Pes [42, 57] |
| (iii) Bedside alternative for the evaluation of pulmonary mechanics: Administration of small doses of sedatives and short-acting NMB (propofol 10mg IV and if necessary succinylcholine 2-4mg IV) and change to VCV to measurements [58] |
| (b) Asynchrony and Ventilatory Drive: |
| (i) Asynchrony Index (failure if≥10%) [59] |
| (ii) P0.1 (consider high drive if≥3.0) [44, 45] |
| (c) Imaging Monitoring: |
| (i) EIT (tidal variation of impedance (TIV), the changes in end-expiratory lung impedance (ΔEELI) – failure if global inhomogeneity index (GI) value>40 [53] |
| (ii) US (lung score >17 is predictive of postextubation distress [49, 60]) |
| (iii) Echocardiography (qualitative right ventricular failure and diastolic dysfunction) [49] |
| (4) Management with High TV, DP Asynchrony Index, P0.1 or worse of regional aeration: |
| (i) Eliminate stress factors (pain, anxiety and delirium) and sedation adjustment – try dexmedetomidine or propofol. Avoid bolus of fentanyl (can lower RR and increase TV) |
| (ii) Test increment in PEEP to 12cmH2O |
| (iii) Alternative ventilatory modes to improve asynchronies – PAV [61] or NAVA [62] |
| (iv) Patients with refractory weaning: use partial NMB [63] and ECMO [64] |

ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; MV: mechanical ventilator; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; TV: tidal volumes; DP: driving pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; P0.1: pressure 100 ms after the onset of an inspiratory effort; EIT: electrical impedance tomography; VCV: volume control ventilation; US: ultrasound; PAV: proportional-assist ventilation; NAVA: neurally adjusted ventilator assist; NMB: neuromuscular blockade; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Solid-like lung behavior are extremely difficult to recognize at bedside, but clinically, they should be suspected in patients with more severe lung injury (e.g., patients with extremely low compliance) and/or with strenuous inspiratory effort [72].

5.1. New Ventilatory Modes. The ventilation mode should be evaluated to improve patient-ventilator synchrony. When pressure support is added to spontaneous breathing, the same principles apply, but total pressure across the respiratory system and transpulmonary pressure increase, generating additional flow and volume. During pressure support, if inspiratory airflow exists after the end of respiratory muscular pressure, Pes/Ppl can result in positive swings along inspiration, where ventilation is a hybrid of active (during the first part) and passive (towards the end) phenomena [70].

An alternative ventilatory mode that the ventilator generates pressure in proportion to the patient’s effort is the proportional-assist ventilation (PAV) mode. The synchrony can improve because the RR is determined by the patient’s own respiratory drive of the patient and the ventilatory assistance terminates with the end of the inspiratory effort. The different approaches used in PSV and PAV to pressurize the lung could theoretically lead to marked differences in response to these variations in respiratory system impedance. Respiratory loading has often been used to simulate changes in respiratory impedance and to evaluate the consequences of such changes on ventilatory patterns and respiratory muscle performance [61].

Another ventilatory mode that is adjusted to the neural output of the patient’s respiratory center is neurally adjusted ventilator assist (NAVA). The pressure is regulated by the integral of the electrical activity of the diaphragm (EAdi) and therefore better synchrony between the patient and the ventilator. Studies show that NAVA protects against excess pressure and TV when compared to PSV. The Hering-Breuer reflex may be implicated in the absence of a TV increase with NAVA levels [96]. Terzi et al. evaluated ARDS patients and showed recovery and improved the synchrony compared PSV [62]. Therefore, NAVA is another ventilation mode that improves patient-ventilator synchrony in these patients during the weaning process [63, 97–99].

5.2. Partial Neuromuscular Blockade. An alternative but still experimental approach with “partial neuromuscular blockade” proposed by Doorduin et al. in 10 patients with ARDS during PSV is innovative and deserves attention [100]. This study showed a reduction in TV, EAdi, and transpulmonary...
pressure with subtherapeutic doses of rocuronium without changes in pH and diaphragm activity. In other words, strong spontaneous breathing efforts were abolished, but a significant degree of diaphragm activity was maintained. An assist-controlled mode under these conditions resulted in severe breath stacking, which is associated with high TV [31]. A change of mode of ventilation to controlled ventilation and adjustment of sedatives (propofol or dexmedetomidine, for example) could help in controlling the high respiratory drive.

5.3. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. Another device that has gained ground in intensive therapy is ECMO. After a series of cases with H1N1 and the CESAR study, its use has been more widespread and studied [27]. Authors suggest that ultra-protective MV can be better performed with this device especially in patients with spontaneous ventilatory movements and control of ventilatory drive in patients with ARDS [64, 101–104]. Its use in patients with difficulty in weaning needs to be better studied because there is physiological and protective rationale as a whole. However, the risks of the procedure and the absence of robust studies in this situation do not allow its routine use in weaning patients with ARDS.

6. Conclusion
Weaning ARDS patients from MV still needs to be better debated and studied. New knowledge related to the presence of spontaneous ventilation and the risk of inflammatory worsening are important in this debate. Practitioners could consider weaning in ARDS to continue to protect the lung. New methods to evaluation of weaning of the patients with ARDS as well as more rational approaches based on pathophysiology should be performed for success in withdrawal of ventilatory support and improvement of their outcomes.
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DP: Driving pressure
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EAdi: Electrical activity of the diaphragm.

Data Availability
The data used for this review were consulted through the availability of journal access.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions
Iuri Christmann Wawrzeniak reviewed the literature and wrote the article. Silvia Regina Rios Vieira conducted a review of the article. Josué Almeida Victorino reviewed the articles and wrote the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments
Fund do Incentivo à Pesquisa (FIPE/HCPA) provided financial support.

References

[1] A. S. Slutsky, “History of mechanical ventilation. From vesalius to ventilator-induced lung injury,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 191, no. 10, pp. 1106–1115, 2015.
[2] D. Dreyfuss and G. Saumon, “Ventilator-induced lung injury: lessons from experimental studies,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 157, no. 1, pp. 294–323, 1998.
[3] A. S. Slutsky and V. M. Ranieri, “Ventilator-induced lung injury,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 369, no. 22, pp. 2126–2136, 2013.
[4] Y. Imai, J. Parodo, and O. Kajikawa, “Injurious mechanical ventilation and end-organ epithelial cell apoptosis and organ dysfunction in an experimental model of acute respiratory distress syndrome,” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 289, no. 16, pp. 2104–2112, 2003.
[5] M. J. Tobin and A. Jubran, “Weaning from mechanical ventilation,” in *Principles and Practice of Mechanical Ventilation*, M. J. Tobin, Ed., pp. 1307–1351, 3rd edition, 2013.
[6] J.-M. Boles, J. Bion, A. Connors et al., “Weaning from mechanical ventilation,” *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1033–1056, 2007.
[7] J. E. Nelson, C. E. Cox, A. A. Hope, and S. S. Carson, “Chronic critical illness,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 182, no. 4, pp. 446–454, 2010.
[8] D. Chiumello, S. Coppola, S. Froio, and M. Gotti, “What's next after ARDS: Long-term outcomes,” *Respiratory Care*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 689–699, 2016.
[9] N. R. MacIntyre, “Evidence-based guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support,” *CHEST*, vol. 120, no. 6, pp. 375S–395S, 2001.
[10] G. Beduneau, T. Pham, F. Schortgen et al., “Epidemiology of weaning outcome according to a new definition,” The WIND study,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 195, no. 6, pp. 772–783, 2017.

[11] Ó. Peñuelas, A. W. Thille, and A. Esteban, “Discontinuation of ventilatory support: New solutions to old dilemmas,” Current Opinion in Critical Care, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 74–81, 2015.

[12] E. Fan, B. Zakhary, A. Amaral et al., “ Liberation from mechanical ventilation in critically ill adults: An official ATS/ACCP clinical practice guideline,” Annals of the American Thoracic Society, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 441–443, 2017.

[13] J. F. McConville and J. P. Kress, “Weaning patients from the ventilator,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 367, no. 23, pp. 2233–2239, 2012.

[14] M. Singer and M. A. Matthay, “Clinical review: Thinking outside the box—an iconoclastic view of current practice,” Critical Care, vol. 15, p. 225, 2011.

[15] T. Yoshida, Y. Fujino, M. B. P. Amato, and B. P. Kavanagh, “Fifty years of research in ards spontaneous breathing during mechanical ventilation risks, mechanisms, and management,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 195, no. 8, pp. 985–992, 2017.

[16] T. Yoshida, V. Torsani, S. Gomes et al., “Spontaneous effort causes occult pendelluft during mechanical ventilation,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 188, no. 12, pp. 1420–1427, 2013.

[17] L. Papazian, C. S. Calfee, D. Chiumello et al., “Diagnostic workup for ARDS patients,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 674–685, 2016.

[18] B. T. Thompson, R. C. Chambers, and K. D. Liu, “Acute respiratory distress syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 377, no. 6, pp. 562–572, 2017.

[19] L. Brochard, A. Slutsky, and A. Pesenti, “Mechanical ventilation to minimize progression of lung injury in acute respiratory failure,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 195, no. 4, pp. 438–442, 2017.

[20] G. Bellani, J. G. Lafey, T. Pham et al., “Noninvasive Ventilation of Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Insights from the LUNG SAFE Study,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 195, no. 1, pp. 67–77, 2017.

[21] J.-P. Frat, A. W. Thille, A. Mercat et al., “High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 372, no. 23, pp. 2185–2196, 2015.

[22] R. G. Brower, M. A. Matthay, A. Morris, D. Schoenfeld, B. T. Thompson, and A. Wheeler, “Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 342, no. 18, pp. 1301–1308, 2000.

[23] M. B. P. Amato, C. S. V. Barbas, D. M. Medeiros et al., “Effect of a protective-ventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 338, no. 6, pp. 347–354, 1998.

[24] E. Fan, L. Del Sorbo, E. C. Goligher et al., “An Official American Thoracic Society/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine/Society of Critical Care Medicine Clinical Practice Guideline: Mechanical Ventilation in Adult Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 195, pp. 1253–1263, 2017.

[25] L. Papazian, J.-M. Forel, A. Gacouin et al., “Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 359, no. 12, pp. 1107–1116, 2010.

[26] C. Guérin, J. Reignier, J. C. Richard et al., “Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 368, no. 23, pp. 2159–2168, 2013.

[27] G. J. Peek, M. Mugford, and R. Tiruvoipati, “Efficacy and economic assessment of conventional ventilatory support versus extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe adult respiratory failure (CESAR): a multicentre randomised controlled trial,” The Lancet, vol. 374, no. 9698, pp. 1531–1536, 2009.

[28] T. Bein, S. Grasso, O. Moerer et al., “The standard of care of patients with ARDS: ventilatory settings and rescue therapies for refractory hypoxemia,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 699–716, 2016.

[29] A. Combes, D. Hajage, G. Capellier et al., “Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 378, no. 21, pp. 1965–1975, 2018.

[30] M. Gama de Abreu, M. Cuevas, P. M. Spieth et al., “Regional lung aeration and ventilation during pressure support and biphasic positive airway pressure ventilation in experimental lung injury,” Critical Care, vol. 14, p. R34, 2010.

[31] N. C. Carvalho, A. Gündner, A. Beda et al., “Higher levels of spontaneous breathing reduce lung injury in experimental moderate acute respiratory distress syndrome,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. e702–e715, 2014.

[32] C. Putensen, N. J. Mutz, G. Putensen-Himmer, and J. Zinserling, “Spontaneous breathing during ventilatory support improves ventilation-perfusion distributions in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 159, no. 4, pp. 1241–1248, 1999.

[33] C. Putensen, S. Zech, H. Wrigge et al., “Long-term effects of spontaneous breathing during ventilatory support in patients with acute lung injury,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 164, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 2001.

[34] E. C. Goligher, M. Dres, E. Fan et al., “Mechanical Ventilation–induced Diaphragm Atrophy Strongly Impacts Clinical Outcomes,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 204–213, 2018.

[35] T. Yoshida, A. Uchiyama, N. Matsuura, T. Mashimo, and Y. Fujino, “Spontaneous breathing during lung-protective ventilation in an experimental acute lung injury model: High transpulmonary pressure associated with strong spontaneous breathing effort may worsen lung injury,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1578–1585, 2012.

[36] J. R. Beitler, S. A. Sands, S. H. Loring et al., “Quantifying unintended exposure to high tidal volumes from breath stacking dysynchrony in ARDS: the BREATHE criteria,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1427–1436, 2016.

[37] S. Ebihara, S. N. A. Hussain, G. Danialou, W.-K. Cho, S. B. Gottfried, and B. J. Petrof, “Mechanical ventilation protects heart–lung interactions in ventilator-induced lung injury,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 165, no. 2, pp. 221–228, 2002.
[40] I. Telisias, L. Brochard, and E. C. Goligher, "Is my patient’s respiratory drive (too) high?" *Intensive Care Medicine*, pp. 1–4, 2018.

[41] J.-M. Forel, A. Roch, V. Marin et al., "Neuromuscular blocking agents decrease inflammatory response in patients presenting with acute respiratory distress syndrome,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 2749–2757, 2006.

[42] E. Baedorf Kasis, S. H. Loring, and D. Talmon, "Esophageal pressure: research or clinical tool?" *Medizinische Klinik - Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin*, vol. 113, pp. 13–20, 2018.

[43] T. Mauri, T. Yoshida, G. Bellani et al., "Esophageal and transpulmonary pressure in the clinical setting: meaning, usefulness and perspectives,” *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1360–1373, 2016.

[44] M. J. Tobin and W. Gardner, “Monitoring the control of breathing,” in *Principles and Practice of Intensive Care Monitoring*, pp. 415–464, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1998.

[45] I. Telisias, F. Damiani, and L. Brochard, "The airway occlusion pressure (P0.1) to monitor respiratory drive during mechanical ventilation: increasing awareness of a not-so-new problem,” *Intensive Care Medicine*, pp. 1–4, 2018.

[46] B. Bouhemad, S. Mongodi, G. Via, and I. Rouquette, "Ultrasound for "lung monitoring" of ventilated patients,” *Anesthesiology*, vol. 122, no. 2, pp. 437–447, 2015.

[47] G. Via, E. Storti, G. Gulati, L. Neri, F. Moljoli, and A. Braschi, "Lung ultrasound in the ICU: From diagnostic instrument to respiratory monitoring tool,” *Minerva Anestesiologica*, vol. 78, no. 11, pp. 1282–1296, 2012.

[48] A. Soummer, S. Perbet, H. Brisson et al., “Ultrasound assessment of lung aeration loss during a successful weaning trial predicts postextubation distress,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 2064–2072, 2012.

[49] K. Haji, D. Haji, D. J. Canty, A. G. Royse, C. Green, and C. F. Roys, "The impact of heart, lung and diaphragmatic ultrasound on prediction of failed extubation from mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients: a prospective observational pilot study,” *Critical Ultrasound Journal*, vol. 10, p. 13, 2018.

[50] A. Messina, D. Colombo, G. Cammarota et al., “Patient-ventilator asynchrony affects pulse pressure variation prediction of fluid responsiveness,” *Journal of Critical Care*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 1067–1071, 2015.

[51] I. Frerichs, M. B. P. Amato, A. H. Van Kaam et al., “ Chest electrical impedance tomography examination, data analysis, terminology, clinical use and recommendations: Consensus statement of the TRanslational EIT developmeNt stuDy group,” *Thorax*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 83–93, 2017.

[52] T. Mauri, G. Bellani, A. Confalonieri et al., “Topographic distribution of tidal ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome: Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure and pressure support,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 1664–1673, 2013.

[53] J. Bickenbach, M. Czaplik, M. Polier, G. Marx, N. Marx, and M. Dreher, "Electrical impedance tomography for predicting failure of spontaneous breathing trials in patients with prolonged weaning,” *Critical Care*, vol. 21, no. 1, 2017.

[54] E. L. V. Costa, J. B. Borges, A. Melo et al., “Bedside estimation of recruitable alveolar collapse and hyperdistension by electrical impedance tomography,” *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1132–1137, 2009.

[55] C. Yang, J. L. Hsiao, M. F. Wu et al., “The declined levels of inflammatory cytokines related with weaning rate during period of septic patients using ventilators,” *The Clinical Respiratory Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 772–778, 2018.

[56] M. B. P. Amato, M. O. Meade, A. S. Slutsky et al., “Driving pressure and survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 372, no. 8, pp. 747–755, 2014.

[57] T. Yoshida, M. B. Amato, D. L. Grieco et al., "Esophageal Manometry and Regional Transpulmonary Pressure in Lung Injury,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 197, no. 8, pp. 1018–1026, 2018.

[58] I. C. Wawrzeniak, J. A. Victorino, S. R. R. Vieira, and M. B. P. Amato, “Use of Electrical Impedance Tomography in the Evaluation of the Spontaneous Ventilation During the Weaning of Mechanical Ventilation in Patients with ARDS: Pilot Study,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 197, 2018.

[59] A. W. Thille, P. Rodriguez, B. Cabello, F. Lellouche, and L. Brochard, "Patient-ventilator asynchrony during assisted mechanical ventilation,” *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1515–1522, 2006.

[60] B. Bouhemad, Z.-H. Liu, C. Arbiolet et al., “Ultrasound assessment of antibiotic-induced pulmonary reaeration in ventilator-associated pneumonia,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 84–92, 2010.

[61] M. Younes, "Proportional assist ventilation, a new approach to ventilatory support: Theory," *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 114–120, 1992.

[62] N. Terzi, I. Pelieu, L. Guittet et al., "Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist in patients recovering spontaneous breathing after acute respiratory distress syndrome: Physiological evaluation,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1830–1837, 2010.

[63] C. Sinderby, P. Navalesi, J. Beck et al., “Neural control of mechanical ventilation in respiratory failure,” *Nature Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 1433–1436, 1999.

[64] S. Crotti, N. Bottino, G. M. Ruggeri et al., "Spontaneous Breathing during Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in Acute Respiratory Failure,” *Anesthesiology*, vol. 126, no. 4, pp. 678–687, 2017.

[65] J. Sellares, M. Ferrer, and A. Torres, "Predictors of weaning after acute respiratory failure," *Minerva Anestesiologica*, vol. 78, pp. 1046–1053, 2012.

[66] A. D. T. Force, V. M. Ranieri, G. D. Rubenfeld et al., "Acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 315, no. 8, pp. 788–800, 2016.

[67] P. Radermacher, S. M. Maggiore, and A. Merca, "Gas exchange in acute respiratory distress syndrome," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 196, no. 8, pp. 964–984, 2017.

[68] W. R. Henderson, L. Chen, M. B. Amato, and L. J. Brochard, “Fifty Years of Research in ARDS. Respiratory Mechanics in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 196, no. 7, pp. 822–833, 2017.

[69] T. Mauri, C. Guérin, and R. Hubmayr, “The ten pressures of the respiratory system during assisted breathing,” *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 1504–1506, 2017.
A. Pesenti, G. Musch, D. Lichtenstein et al., “Imaging in acute respiratory distress syndrome,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 686–698, 2016.

T. Mauri, B. Cambiaggi, E. Spinelli, T. Langer, and G. Grasselli, “Spontaneous breathing: A double-edged sword to handle with care,” Annals of Translational Medicine, vol. 5, p. 292, 2017.

A. Jubran and M. J. Tobin, “Passive mechanics of lung and chest wall in patients who failed or succeeded in trials of weaning,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 155, no. 3, pp. 916–921, 1997.

A. Jubran, B. J. B. Grant, F. Laghi, S. Parthasarathy, and M. J. Tobin, “Weaning prediction esophageal pressure monitoring complements readiness testing,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 171, no. 11, pp. 1252–1259, 2005.

W. A. Whitelaw, J.-P. Derenne, and J. Milic-Emili, “Occlusion pressure as a measure of respiratory center output cm conscious man,” Respiratory Physiology, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 181–199, 1975.

R. Copetti, G. Soldati, and P. Copetti, “Chest sonography: A useful tool to differentiate acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema from acute respiratory distress syndrome,” Cardiovascular Ultrasound, vol. 6, p. 16, 2008.

D. A. Lichtenstein and G. A. Mezière, “Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: the BLUE protocol,” CHEST, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 117–125, 2008.

A. Vieillard-Baron and D. Dreyfuss, “Ventilator-induced lung injury: Follow the right direction! Another piece of the puzzle in the ventilator-induced lung injury epic,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 196, no. 11, pp. 1366–1368, 2017.

J. A. Victorino, J. B. Borges, V. N. Okamoto et al., “Imbalances in regional lung ventilation: A validation study on electrical impedance tomography,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 169, no. 7, pp. 791–800, 2004.

G. Cinnella, S. Grasso, P. Raimondo et al., “Physiological effects of the open lung approach in patients with early, mild, diffuse acute respiratory distress syndrome: An electrical impedance tomography study,” Anesthesiology, vol. 123, no. 5, pp. 1113–1121, 2015.

P. Blankman, S. M. Van Der Kreeft, and D. Gommers, “Tidal ventilation distribution during pressure-controlled ventilation and pressure support ventilation in post-cardiac surgery patients,” Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 997–1006, 2014.

I. G. Bikker, P. Blankman, P. Specht, J. Bakker, and D. Gommers, “Global and regional parameters to visualize the ‘best’ PEEP during a PEEP trial in a porcine model with and without acute lung injury,” Minerva Anestesiologica, vol. 79, no. 9, pp. 983–992, 2013.

C. J. Roth, A. Ehrl, T. Becher et al., “Correlation between alveolar ventilation and electrical properties of lung parenchyma,” Physiological Measurement, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1211–1226, 2015.

M. J. Tobin, F. Laghi, and A. Jubran, “Ventilatory failure, ventilator support, and ventilator weaning,” Comprehensive Physiology, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 2871–2921, 2012.

M. Wysoczyk, C. Cracco, A. Teixeira et al., “Reduced breathing variability as a predictor of unsuccessful patient separation from mechanical ventilation,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 2076–2083, 2006.

M.-Y. Bien, Y. Shui Lin, C.-H. Shih et al., “Comparisons of predictive performance of breathing pattern variability measured during T-piece, automatic tube compensation, and pressure support ventilation for weaning intensive care unit patients from mechanical ventilation,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 2253–2262, 2011.

A. Adler, M. B. Amato, J. H. Arnold et al., “Whither lung EIT: Where are we, where do we want to go and what do we need to get there?” Physiological Measurement, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 679–694, 2012.

L. Blanch, A. Villagra, B. Sales et al., “Asynchronies during mechanical ventilation are associated with mortality,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 633–641, 2015.

L. B. Ware, T. Koyama, D. D. Billheimer et al., “Prognostic and pathogenetic value of combining clinical and biochemical indices in patients with acute lung injury,” CHEST, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 288–296, 2010.

A. Binnie, J. L. Tsang, and C. C. dos Santos, “Biomarkers in acute respiratory distress syndrome,” Current Opinion in Critical Care, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 47–55, 2014.

J. Sellarés, H. Loureiro, M. Ferrer, R. Amaro, R. Farré, and A. Torres, “The effect of spontaneous breathing on systemic interleukin-6 during ventilator weaning,” European Respiratory Journal, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 654–660, 2012.

R. Coudroy, A. Jamet, O. Peñuelas, and A. W. Thille, “Use of Type III procollagen measurement as predictor of lung fibroproliferation in ARDS: early measurement for earlier antifibroproliferative therapy?” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1159–1160, 2015.

L. Moraes, P. L. Silva, A. Thompson et al., “Impact of Different Tidal Volume Levels at Low Mechanical Power on Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury in Rats,” Frontiers in Physiology, vol. 9, p. 318, 2018.

S. Braune and B. Schönhofer, “Prolonged Weaning in Patients with ARDS,” Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 102–109, 2017.

S. M. Burns, “Mechanical ventilation of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and patients requiring weaning: the evidence guiding practice,” Crit Care Nurse, vol. 25, pp. 14–23, 2005, quiz 24.

G. U. Z. Abraham, “Hering and breuer revisited in humans: An invasive study before the days of ethics committees,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 164, no. 7, pp. 1100–1111, 2001.

N. Terzi, L. Piquiollud, H. Rozé et al., “Clinical review: Update on neurally adjusted ventilatory assist—report of a round-table conference,” Critical Care, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 225, 2012.

M. J. Tobin, A. Jubran, and F. Laghi, “Patient-ventilator interaction,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 163, no. 5, pp. 1059–1063, 2001.

D. Colombo, G. Cammarota, V. Bergamaschi, M. De Lucia, F. D. Corte, and P. Navalesi, “Physiologic response to varying levels of pressure support and neurally adjusted ventilatory assist in patients with acute respiratory failure,” Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 2010–2018, 2008.

J. Doorduin, J. L. Nollet, L. H. Roesthuis et al., “Partial neuromuscular blockade during partial ventilatory support in sedated patients with high tidal volumes,” American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 193, no. 8, pp. 1033–1042, 2017.

T. Langer, V. Vecchi, S. M. Belenkiy et al., “Extracorporeal gas exchange and spontaneous breathing for the treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome: an alternative to mechanical ventilation,” Extreme Medicine, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2016.
ventilation?" Critical Care Medicine, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. e211–e220, 2014.

[102] T. Mauri, G. Grasselli, G. Suriano et al., "Control of respiratory drive and effort in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation patients recovering from severe acute respiratory distress syndrome," Anesthesiology, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 159–167, 2016.

[103] T. Langer, A. Santini, N. Bottino et al., "'Awake' extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO): Pathophysiology, technical considerations, and clinical pioneering," Critical Care, vol. 20, p. 150, 2016.

[104] T. Bein, S. Weber-Carstens, A. Goldmann et al., "Lower tidal volume strategy (approximately 3 ml/kg) combined with extracorporeal CO2 removal versus 'conventional' protective ventilation (6 ml/kg) in severe ARDS: the prospective randomized Xtravent-study," Intensive Care Medicine, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 847–856, 2013.