2-Amino-1-(4-aminophenyl)-1\textit{H}-pyrrolo(2,3-\textit{b})quinoxaline-3-carbonitrile as an efficient inhibitor for the corrosion of C38 steel in hydrochloric acid solution
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The inhibiting properties of 2-Amino-1-(4-aminophenyl)-1\textit{H}-pyrrolo(2,3-\textit{b})quinoxaline-3-carbonitrile (AHPQC) were examined of C38 steel corrosion in molar hydrochloric acid using electrochemical techniques. Impedance data displayed the AHPQC inhibits C38 steel corrosion becoming the adsorbate at metal/electrolyte interfaces. Polarization study indicated that AHPQC is an anodic-cathodic inhibitor. The inhibition efficiencies of AHPQC calculated by both methods reaches 91%. The Langmuir adsorption isotherm was observed for the AHPQC adsorption and its adsorption is realized by physical adsorption; due to the protonated forms of the AHPQC molecule in acid medium. XPS technique was used to discuss the mechanism for the adsorption process of the AHPQC molecule.

Keywords: AHPQC molecule; Molar hydrochloric acid; Inhibition; XPS; Electrochemical techniques.

1. INTRODUCTION

Organic compounds are extensively used to decrease the corrosion of metals and alloys in aqueous media such acidic solutions. Therefore, various studies regarding the inhibition of metal corrosion using organic compounds as corrosion inhibitors: triazole [1-3], oxathiadiazole [4-5] and carbonitriles derivatives [6-7]. These molecules usually consist of an aromatic, heteroaromatic having
organic groups, non-binding electrons and heteroatoms. Quinoxaline molecules are a main class of heterocycle compounds, it’s a benzoheterocycles containing an aromatic rings, benzene and pyrazine. Consequently, quinoxaline derivatives can be used as corrosion inhibitors.

Quinoxaline derivatives have been used in pharmacological industry [8-11] and biological activities [12-13]. Quinoxaline derivatives have found applications as corrosion inhibitors [14-16]. The activity demonstrated by the cited compounds was significantly reliant on the substituents of the quinoxaline ring, with the benzene-substituted derivatives showing the highest levels of protection activity.

The current study is a continuation of study on the effect of quinoxaline derivatives. The inhibiting effects of AHPQC molecules as an effective and efficient corrosion inhibitor for C38 steel in molar hydrochloric acid were discussed on the basis of the electrochemical and XPS technique. The select of the inhibitor is established on structure considerations; the AHPQC molecule has aromatic rings with delocalized \( \pi \)-electrons and nitrogen atoms in several forms: quinoxaline, pyrrole, nitrile, aniline and amine. These aspects favor the interaction of inhibitor molecule with surface metal.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Corrosion experiments have been realized on C38 steel electrodes. The chemical structure of the tested inhibitors is shown in Figure 1. The investigated compound is a commercial product (Aldrich, P97%). All the tests were performed using an electrochemical three-electrode cell as defined in an earlier study [17].

Impedance spectroscopy and polarization curves were used to determine the corrosion inhibition of the AHPQC using a VSP electrochemical measurement system (Bio-Logic). All the specifics parameters of the electrochemical methods were done in a past study [17].

The inhibition efficiency is calculated by the equation 1 with the polarization resistance \( R_p \) values.

\[
IE(\%) = \frac{(R_p - R_{p0})}{R_p} \times 100
\]  

(1)

Where \( R_{p0} \) and \( R_p \) are the polarization resistance values in the absence and presence of AHPQC, respectively. The inhibitor efficiency \( IE \) is also calculated from the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy data by the formula:

\[
IE(\%) = \frac{(R_{ct} - R_{ct0})}{R_{ct}} \times 100
\]  

(2)

where \( R_{ct0} \) and \( R_{ct} \) are respectively the charge transfer resistance in the absence and presence of AHPQC.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy spectra were detailed resulting the procedure described in the reference [17]. XPS investigates were experienced on pure AHPQC and on the treated steel surface.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Polarization study

Polarisation results of the C38 steel in molar hydrochloric acid of AHPQC are exposed in Figure 2. It is observable from Figure 2 that, the adding of AHPQC to the corrosive solution moves the potential to positive values, while there was not a specific relation between $E_{corr}$ and inhibitor concentrations. As it can be also seen, the anodic and cathodic reactions are changed by the adding inhibitor. This modification indicates that, the addition of AHPQC to corrosive solution decreases the anodic dissolution of steel and also retards the cathodic hydrogen evolution reaction. The inhibiting effect of AHPQC can be correlated to its adsorption on the metal surface. It should also be noted that the desorption potential values are practically constant (around -300 mV/ECS) for the different concentrations studied. This suggests that the values of the desorption potential of the inhibitor are slightly affected by the inhibitor molecules. According to the fit of electrochemical parameters (Table 1), the C38 steel surface in molar hydrochloric acid had the parameter of $R_p = 76 \ \text{k}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$. When covered with inhibitor, the $R_p$ increased for all of the studied concentrations. $IE(\%)$ calculated from equation 1, increase also with inhibitor concentrations, attainment a 91% at 1.2 mM.

![Polarization curves for C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing AHPQC molecule.](image-url)
Table 1. Parameters Polarization curves for C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing AHPQC molecule.

| Concentrations (mM) | $E_{corr}$ vs SCE (mV) | $R_p$ (Ω.cm$^2$) | IE (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|
| 1M HCl              | -463                   | 76               |        |
| 0.2                 | -431                   | 380              | 80     |
| 0.4                 | -450                   | 447              | 83     |
| 0.8                 | -443                   | 543              | 86     |
| 1.2                 | -455                   | 844              | 91     |

3.2. Impedance study (EIS)

The Impedance diagrams of C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing AHPQC are exposed in Figure 3. All the obtained Nyquist plots give one semicircle proposing that inhibition of metallic corrosion proceeding in the present study is due to retardation of electron charge transfer process which is revealed by one-time constant in the Bode diagrams for the 0.8 mM AHPQC as an example (Figure 4). At high frequency, The log $|Z|$ and phase angle values decline to zero representative of a typical resistive comportment of the mild steel, consequential to solution resistance happened between the saturated calomel and working electrodes [18]. The obtained impedance plots can be elucidated by contribution of an equivalent circuit containing the solution resistance ($R_s$), the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) and constant phase element (CPE) as described in the Figure 5.

The double layer capacitance was derived using following relation:

$$C_{dl} = (A.R_{ct}^{1-n})^{1/n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $A$ is the amplitude comparable to a capacitance and $n$ is the phase shift, which is a degree of surface roughness. For more precise fit, the constant phase element (CPE) was used in the present study rather than double layer capacitance. The CPE impedance can be denoted as follows:

$$Z_{CPE} = A^{-1}(i\omega)^{-n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where $\omega$ is the angular frequency (in rad s$^{-1}$) and $i^2 = -1$ is the imaginary number.

All the electrochemical parameters and the inhibitor efficiency registered in Table 2. It was noted that the $R_{ct}$ values rise while the $C_{dl}$ values decline in the presence of inhibitor. This rise in $R_{ct}$ and decline in $C_{dl}$ values with AHPQC molecule, as related to that in its absence, can be propose that AHPQC molecule reacts by adsorption at the metal/solution interface. The values of $n$ are superior in the presence of AHPQC than in its absence, signifying that surface roughness is enhanced owing to formation of protective film on surface. The inhibition efficiency calculated from EIS presented the similar sequence with change in concentration as those exhibited by linear polarization measurements.
Figure 3. Nyquist diagrams for C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing AHPQC molecule.

Figure 4. Bode diagrams for C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing 0.8 mM AHPQC.

Figure 5. Equivalent circuit used for adjustment of the impedance diagrams.
Table 2. The electrochemical parameters and the inhibitor efficiency for C38 steel in hydrochloric acid containing AHPQC molecule.

| Inhibitor | $R_{ct}$ | $A \times 10^4$ | $n$ | $C_{dl}$ | IE |
|-----------|----------|-----------------|----|----------|----|
|           | (Ω.cm$^2$) | ($\Omega^{-1}$ s$^n$ cm$^2$) |     | (µF.cm$^{-2}$) | (%) |
| 1 M HCl   | 51 ± 0.02 | 9.50 ± 0.05     | 0.853 ± 0.014 | 563 |
| 0.2 mM    | 220 ± 0.81 | 3.91 ± 0.01     | 0.797 ± 0.008 | 209 | 77 |
| 0.4 mM    | 252 ± 2.01 | 3.89 ± 0.07     | 0.762 ± 0.014 | 188 | 80 |
| 0.8 mM    | 476 ± 2.08 | 1.24 ± 0.02     | 0.803 ± 0.008 | 62  | 89 |
| 1.2 mM    | 520 ± 2.73 | 1.06 ± 0.12     | 0.824 ± 0.015 | 57  | 90 |

3.3. Adsorption behavior and XPS analyses

Langmuir, Temkin, and Frumkin isotherms are verified to discover the inhibitor adsorption isotherm. The results obtained in the figure 6 displayed that Langmuir isotherm offers the finest fit. The Langmuir isotherms can be denoted as follows:

$$C_{inh}/\theta = 1/K + C_{inh}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The surface coverage values ($\theta$) of various concentrations of the inhibitor are calculated by the equation 6, where $IE$ is the inhibitor efficiency calculated from the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and LP data.

$$\theta = IE / 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The interception of the line $C_{inh}/\theta$-axis gives the value of the equilibrium constant of the adsorption process ($K_{ads}$). So the calculated value of $K_{ads}$ permits to calculate the standard free energy of adsorption ($\Delta G^o_{ads}$) value from the equation 7.

$$\Delta G^o_{ads} = -RT \ln(55.5 K_{ads})$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $R$ is the universal gas constant and $T$ is the absolute temperature. The calculated $\Delta G^o_{ads}$ values are -14.63 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ and -14.24 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ from EIS and LP data, respectively. The found values are around -20 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ suggest a physisorption mechanism of AHPQC molecule on surface C38 steel.

The XPS tests are realized for the AHPQC molecule and the treated steel following to 3h of immersion in hydrochloric acid containing 1.2 mM of AHPQC. All the groups binding energies and the equivalent assignment for each peak component for the obtained XPS spectra are given in Table 5.

The C 1s XPS spectrum indicates three components. The one which positioned at 285.7 eV can be assigned to C–C, C=C and C–H aromatic [19]. The second peak situated at 286.3 eV attributed to C=N and C–N [20] and the last one 288.9 eV attributed to the C=N structure.

Three peaks at 399.9, 400 and 400.5 eV were detected for the N 1s spectrum for which to three components. The first one correspond to the unprotonated N atoms of aniline and amine. The second is attributed to the nitrogen in the nitrile group. The third component is also attributed to the nitrile group.
After immersion in acid medium containing 1.2 mM of AHPQC. The C 1s, N 1s XPS results shows practically similar characteristic bands of the pure AHPQC molecules (Table 5). It should be noted that a binding energies displacements were observed on the steel substrate treated with AHPQC. Demonstrating a probable chemical modification of the AHPQC molecules after adsorption. As example the presence of the C=N+ form in the monoprotonated or the diprotonated of the nitrogen atoms of quinoxaline, confirms the hypothesis of a possible chemical change of the AHPQC molecules. The XPS confirms a chemisorption of AHPQC molecules not including a physical adsorption.

The deconvolution of the high peak resolution of Fe 2p3/2 spectrum display three peaks (Table 5). The first one at 711.6 eV correspond to Fe3+ is ascribed to ferric compounds like Fe2O3 and/or FeOOH. The peak positioned at 712.5 eV is attributed to the presence of a small concentration of FeCl3 on the surface. The last one positioned at 714 eV indicates the presence of Fe (III) [21]. The results obtained by XPS analysis supporting the adsorption of inhibitors on the steel surface.

The adsorption mechanism can also be discussed in relation to the AHPQC structure. The AHPQC structure has nitrogen atoms in several forms: quinoxaline, pyrrole, nitrile, aniline and amine. In acid solution, The AHPQC molecule can be protonized, principally on the nitrogen atoms. In HCl, the aniline exists in the anilinium ion form (Figure 7e). When aniline is protonated, the resonance stabilization is no longer present, for the reason that the unshared pair is neutralized by a proton H+ and its incorporation in the benzene ring is impossible. Consequently, the anilinium ion gives only one protoned form. And the protonation of the primary amines group produces ammonium ions (Figure 7c) and the protonation of nitrile gives nitrilium ion (Figure 7a). In addition, the protonated form of the pyrrole heterocycle gives iminium ions, and contributes to the delocation of π electrons with the adjacent quinoxaline ring. This delocation offers a number of mesomeric forms. The quinoxaline can give two forms the monoprotonated and the diprotonated. Therefore, the diprotonated takes place significantly only in a strongly acidic medium (in our case the pH is around 0). The monoprotonated one of the two nitrogen atoms (Figure 7b). The diprotonated of the two nitrogen atoms of quinoxaline (figure 7d) gives a large contribution of the resonance form, characterized by the delocalization of the positive charge in the benzene ring, giving a number of mesomeric forms [22].

As cited before, the adsorption mechanism of the AHPQC includes a physisorption. It means that, the AHPQC is able to electrostatically adsorb on the steel surface covered with principal adsorbed Cl- ions. In the usual condition (acidic medium), all the nitrogen atoms exists in the protonated forms as mentioned before (Figure7). Consequently, it may be supposed that, the first contact between the metal surface and the AHPQC is concerning metal and the protonated form. Thus, the protonated form can adsorb directly on the chloride-covered electrode surface through a simple electrostatic attraction between the cation forms of the molecule and chloride ions [23]. The adsorption of the AHPQC take place also through donor-acceptor interactions concerning the π electrons and the vacant “d” orbitals of iron surface atoms [24].
Figure 6. Langmuir isotherm for C38 steel in 1 M HCl containing different concentrations of AHPQC.

Figure 7. The protonated forms of the nitrogen atom of the AHPQC molecule in acid medium.

Table 3. The groups binding energies and the equivalent assignment for AHPQC molecule and steel treated with AHPQC in acid medium at 25°C.

| Substrate                | C 1s | N 1s | Fe 2p 3/2 |
|--------------------------|------|------|-----------|
|                           | BE (eV) | Assignment | BE (eV) | Assignment | BE (eV) | Assignment |
| AHPQC                    | 285.7  | C–C/C=C/ | 399.9  | =N–/C–N | — | — |
|                           | 286.3  | C–H aromatic | 400  | C–N/-CN | — | — |
|                           | 288.9  | C≡N | 400.5  | -CN | — | — |
| AHPQC treated steel substrate | 285.7  | C–C/C=C/ | 399.9  | =N–/C–N | 711.6 | Fe^{3+} |
|                           | 286.5  | C–H aromatic | 400  | C–N/-CN | 712.5 | FeCl_3 |
|                           | 289.1  | C≡N^+ | 400.5  | -CN | 714 | Fe (III) |
4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study illustrates the subsequent results:

1. The AHPQC molecule is an effective corrosion inhibitors for C38 steel in molar hydrochloric acid using electrochemical techniques and its efficiencies reaches 91%.
2. The polarization method revealed that AHPQC inhibit anodic and cathodic reactions.
3. The AHPQC adsorption on the C38 steel in molar hydrochloric acid solution obeys to Langmuir adsorption isotherm with high correlation coefficient.
4. The AHPQC inhibition is defined by a physical adsorption; due to the protonated forms of the AHPQC molecule in acid medium.
5. The XPS confirms a chemisorption of AHPQC molecules onto the steel surface.
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