Immunohistochemical detection of ERβ in breast cancer: towards more detailed receptor profiling?
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Summary Oestrogen receptor (ER) is used routinely to predict endocrine responsiveness in patients with breast cancer. A second ER, ERβ has been described but its significance remains undefined; most studies have described mRNA levels rather than protein expression. Here, we demonstrate for the first time, immunohistochemical detection of ERβ in archival breast tumours. © 2001 Cancer Research Campaign
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2 human ER isoforms exist, the ‘classic’ ERα (Green et al, 1986) and the more recently identified ERβ (Kuiper et al, 1996; Mosselman et al, 1997). Immunohistochemical analysis of ERα in breast cancer is now routine practice and plays a major role in the selection of adjuvant therapy in patients with this disease (Harvey et al, 1999). Pilot work at the mRNA level suggests a role for ERβ in tamoxifen resistance (Speirs et al, 1999a). However, few studies have investigated protein expression in archival material. This is fundamental because there is no guarantee that mRNA will be translated into functional protein. Recently, immunohistochemical detection of ERβ was reported in frozen sections of normal (Speirs et al, 2000) and malignant breast (Jarvinen et al, 2000). However, for ERβ to be of clinical use it is essential to identify a suitable antibody for its detection in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumours, since the majority of clinical samples are processed in this way. Therefore, we have optimized an antigen retrieval histochemical technique using a suitable antibody, capable of detecting ERβ protein in archival human breast carcinomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

With ethical approval, 65 breast carcinomas (35 infiltrating ductal, 15 lobular, 9 tubular/cribriform, 4 mucinous, 1 DCIS, 1 medullary) and 8 normal breast tissues were randomly selected. None of the patients had been treated pre-operatively with endocrine therapy. Detection of ERβ by immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using a monoclonal antibody (ERβ-14C8, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The antibody was affinity-purified and raised by immunizing mice with a recombinant protein encoding 1–153 amino acids of human ERβ sequence. According to the manufacturers 14C8 did not cross react with hERα. This was further confirmed by incubating 14C8 and anti-ERα (1D5, Dako, UK) with an ERβ blocking peptide (sc-6820P, Autogen Bioclear, UK). Appropriate positive controls (normal human breast) and negative (omission of primary antibody, incubation with blocking peptide) were also included.

RESULTS

Consistent, strong ERβ staining was detected specifically in cell nuclei of both epithelial and myoepithelial cells from normal breast ducts and lobules, both in breast reduction specimens and normal tissue adjacent to tumours (Figure 1A, B). 74% of carcinomas (48/65) exhibited specific nuclear staining for ERβ (Figure 1C,D,E). Light cytoplasmic staining was visualized in some tumours, scored as ERβ negative if seen alone, whilst occasional weak to moderate staining was seen in surrounding stromal cells. Specific staining was abolished where primary antibody was substituted with blocking serum or pre-absorbed with an ERβ blocking peptide (Figure 1F). No effect of this peptide was seen with primary antibody directed against ERα (data not shown). Results obtained between different test runs were consistently reproducible.

Compared with infiltrating ductal carcinomas, invasive lobular, tubular/cribriform and mucinous tumours showed significantly increased ERβ positivity (P = 0.02, Table 1), illustrating the differences in biological characteristics between distinct tumour types. However, when the results were correlated with clinico-pathological features, ERβ was significantly associated with ERα, PR and well-differentiated tumours (Table 1).
Figure 1  (A) Immunohistochemical detection of ERβ protein in cell nuclei of breast ducts. Note occasional positivity in stromal cells (arrow).  (B) ERβ expression in the nuclei of both epithelial (red arrows) and myoepithelial cells (black arrows) of normal mammary glands.  (C) Invasive ductal carcinoma showing specific nuclear staining for ERβ.  (D) Strong ERβ immunoreactivity localized in cell nuclei of an invasive lobular carcinoma.  (E) Invasive tubular/cribriform tumour expressing ERβ protein.  (F) Serial section of (A) showing abolition of specific staining following pre-absorption of primary antibody with an ERβ blocking peptide. Bars = 1 μm.
DISCUSSION

Our results unequivocally demonstrate that ERβ can be routinely detected, in archival, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded breast tumours using the 14C8 monoclonal antibody. This may have profound clinical implications, as it will now allow detailed receptor analysis in the routine diagnostic setting. ERβ was co-expressed with ERα in 74% of tumours and showed a strong association with PR and well-differentiated tumours. This is in concordance with Jarvinen (2000), but refutes the observations of Dotzlaw et al (1999). However, the latter study was conducted at the mRNA level, highlighting that caution should be observed when extrapolating mRNA results to those of protein.

Many ERβ antibodies have become commercially available over the last year. Despite this, there is a paucity of studies investigating this protein in breast tumours. Taylor and Al-Azzawi (2000) reported ERβ in a range of formalin-fixed normal human material, including breast. They used 2 polyclonal antibodies raised against the N- and C-termini of hERβ (06–629, Upstate Biotechnology; PAI-310, Affinity Bioreagents, USA respectively). In addition, Jarvinen et al (2000) reported successful detection of ERβ in frozen tumours, using a different polyclonal antibody (PAI-313, Affinity Bioreagents, USA), but interestingly their attempts with paraffin material were unsuccessful. Frozen sections are performed infrequently in routine practice, so there is a need for a suitable antibody and a reliable technique for use in paraffin sections. To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies reporting ERβ in paraffin-embedded human breast carcinomas. The availability of 14C8 should help resolve conflicting reports proposing ERβ as a good (Jarvinen et al, 2000) or poor (Dotzlaw et al, 1999; Speirs et al, 1999a,b) prognostic factor in breast cancer. Presence of ERβ in breast tumours may help explain the differential tissue- or gene-specific effects, which have been reported with oestrogens/antioestrogens (Paech et al, 1997). The relative expression of ER subtypes seems to alter during tumorigenesis in terms of mRNA (Leygue et al, 1998); if this is borne out at protein level, it could have relevance with respect to novel selective ER modulators, currently being developed against specific ER phenotypes. When these become available, they could offer the possibility of individually tailored therapy based on the particular receptor profiles of breast carcinomas.
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Table 1 Association of ERβ with clinico-pathological features in 65 breast carcinomas

| Parameter          | ERβ+ | ERβ− | P value |
|--------------------|------|------|---------|
| Receptorsa         |      |      |         |
| ERα+              | 40   | 10   | 0.03    |
| ERα−              | 6    | 7    |         |
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| ERα+/PR−          | 3    | 4    |         |
| ERα−/PR+          | 0    | 0    |         |
| ERα−/PR−          | 5    | 7    | 0.004   |
| Lymph nodea       |      |      |         |
| +                 | 15   | 5    | 0.77    |
| −                 | 29   | 13   |         |
| Menopause         |      |      |         |
| Post              | 44   | 13   | 0.19    |
| Pre               | 4    | 4    |         |
| Tumour typea      |      |      |         |
| Ductal            | 22   | 13   | 0.18    |
| Lobular           | 13   | 2    | 0.02    |
| Tubular/cribriform| 8    | 1    | 0.23    |
| Mucinous          | 4    | 0    |         |
| Tumour size       |      |      |         |
| ≤ 2 cm            | 31   | 12   | 0.77    |
| > 2 cm            | 17   | 5    |         |
| Histological grade|      |      |         |
| I                 | 15   | 3    | 1 vs. II = 1.0 |
| II                | 24   | 4    | 1 vs. III = 0.04 |
| III               | 9    | 10   | II vs. III = 0.009 |

*Unknown ERα status = 2, unknown PR status = 9, unknown node status = 3. *Excludes one medullary carcinoma and one DCIS.
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