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ABSTRACT

Background Colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRLM) grow in distinct histological patterns that have been associated with outcome after surgical resection. We conducted a systematic review to evaluate the frequency of different CRLM growth patterns and their impact on prognosis.

Methods We searched Embase and MEDLINE databases from inception to 1 December 2017 to identify studies that reported CRLM growth pattern histopathology, their frequencies, and/or data related to outcome.

Results We included a total of 23 studies (2432 patients with CRLM) published between 1991 and 2017. There were variations in the terminology used to describe the growth patterns as well as in their histopathological definitions. A ‘desmoplastic’ pattern was most frequently considered, followed by ‘pushing’ and ‘replacement’ patterns. Data supported the presence of both intrasosinal and interstitial heterogeneity. There were no differences in growth pattern distribution stratified by chemotherapy. While heterogeneity of histopathology assessment precluded formal meta-analysis, the majority of articles found favourable outcomes for desmoplastic and unfavourable outcomes for replacement CRLM, independently of when the study was conducted.

Conclusions The results suggest that CRLM growth patterns may have prognostic potential and that they may be considered for standardised routine histopathological reporting. Further understanding of the different growth patterns may provide important insights into the biological mechanisms that underlie metastatic growth in the liver.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most frequent malignancies worldwide.1 Multi-modal stage-dependent therapy combining surgery and chemoradiotherapy achieves good long-term survival in patients without distant metastases.2 However, approximately half of all patients with CRC develop metastases during the course of their disease, at which point survival rates drop markedly.3 The liver is the organ in which CRC metastases are most frequently found.4 In contrast to most other cancer types, surgical resections of colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRLM) are routinely performed.5 Unfortunately, despite advances in surgical techniques and neoadjuvant chemotherapy, the management of CRLM remains a formidable challenge, as evidenced by the generally poor long-term survival rates.6

In primary CRC, the presence of different histological growth patterns is well known: Jass et al described an ‘infiltrating’ pattern, in which cancer cells invade diffusely into surrounding tissue, in contrast to a ‘pushing’ or ‘expanding’ growth pattern, in which the tumour border is clearly demarcated.7 This assessment of the tumour border has been well established in diagnostic pathology,8 and may serve for prognostic stratification, as primary CRCs with a predominantly ‘pushing’ border are associated with a more favourable outcome.9 10

With the increasing frequency of CRLM resections, the histomorphology of the metastatic front in liver metastases has become routinely accessible and several different CRLM growth patterns have been described: a ‘desmoplastic’ or ‘encapsulated’ pattern, in which the tumour cells are separated from the liver parenchyma by a rim of fibrotic stroma, is distinguished from a ‘pushing’ or ‘expansive’ pattern, in which the liver plates adjacent to the metastases are flattened, while intervening fibrotic tissue is absent. A further pattern is termed ‘invasive’ or ‘replacement’; in this case, the tumour cells infiltrate along the liver cell plates, replace hepatocytes and co-opt the sinusoidal stromal scaffold.11 12 In addition, overlaps of these patterns have been observed.13 14

Several studies have suggested that these distinct growth patterns are associated with differences in tumour recurrence and overall survival (OS).14–16 However, cohorts were of limited size, terminology and histological criteria were heterogeneous, and the morphology of the CRLM tumour border is not routinely reported in clinical practice.17
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Systematic work by an international multidisciplinary team has recently resulted in the first consensus guidelines for scoring CRLM growth patterns.\textsuperscript{18} In the suggested guidelines, the authors define three main growth patterns, \textit{desmoplastic}, \textit{replacement}, and \textit{pushing}. By applying this classification to a validation cohort of 374 patients, they find superior survival in the group with predominantly desmoplastic growth pattern, compared with the replacement type. Their work highlights that it is possible to systematise CRLM growth patterns in a reproducible and clinically valuable way.

From a tumour biology perspective, the modes of metastatic growth in the liver are of paramount interest. Recent studies have identified the local microenvironment as a major determinant of tumour aggressiveness in various cancer types including CRC.\textsuperscript{19–23} In each CRLM growth pattern, metastasised cancer cells interact in particular ways with different host cells such as hepatocytes, Ito cells, and endothelial cells, and are thus embedded in diverse biological microenvironments with hitherto unidentified impact on cancer cell behaviour. Hence, a deeper understanding of the different growth patterns holds potential for deciphering important mechanistic aspects of metastatic tumour progression.

We conducted this systematic review of the literature on CRLM growth patterns to systematically analyse variations in their nomenclature and to evaluate their reported frequencies as well as their impact on patient survival. Finally, we highlight recent functional evidence on the mechanistic underpinnings of this important clinicopathological phenomenon.

METHODS

Literature search and selection criteria

We performed a systematic search for published studies on growth patterns in CRLM as of 1 December 2017 in Embase and MEDLINE databases. The search strings (online supplementary figures 1 and 2) were designed to find articles on liver metastases from adenocarcinomas of the colon or rectum that included a histological evaluation of the tumour border and assessed the growth pattern.

Additional searches were performed based on the lists of references in the eligible studies. Inclusion criteria for abstract review were defined as follows and judged independently by two reviewers (MG and CFM): (1) report on sporadic colon and/or rectum adenocarcinoma; (2) report on liver metastases; (3) histological assessment of the tumour border, either explicitly stated or implied (eg, by mentioning immunohistochemistry on CRLM sections); (4) species is human. Exclusion criteria were: (1) language other than English, Spanish, German or Swedish; (2) article is a case report; (3) article is a review.

After independent evaluation, MG and CFM discussed those articles for which there was disagreement on their inclusion. If no agreement was reached, BB was consulted. This systematic review was not preregistered.

Data extraction

The full texts of potentially eligible articles were acquired by MG, assessed independently by and finally discussed between MG and CFM to reach agreement on their final inclusion. In the case of overlapping cohorts, the article with the largest sample size was included; if the exact same cohort was reported more than once (as identified by the number of patients, clinical institution, and dates of sample collection), the most recent publication was included. If CRLM growth patterns were assessed histologically, but not quantified, the corresponding author(s) were contacted by email and asked to share the following information: histopathological definition/growth pattern criteria, absolute frequencies, and available survival data. Requests were also made in cases of potential overlap of similar, but not identical, study cohorts (according to date, size, and reported data). If there was no reply within 1 week, an additional email was sent; in case of no response within a total of 2 weeks, the request was considered to have failed and the decision on inclusion was made jointly by CFM and MG; if no agreement could be reached, BB was consulted.

Estimates of internal study validity were recorded using a modified version of the \textit{Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies} criteria.\textsuperscript{24} Based on these data, Risk of Bias was assessed using an adapted version of the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing Risk of Bias\textsuperscript{25}; ‘performance bias’ and ‘allocation bias’ were not assessed as the analysed studies were non-interventional.

Data handling

GraphPad Prism (V.6.0g) was used for data analysis. For word clustering, growth pattern terminology was extracted from all eligible studies and groups of terms were inferred by the descriptions of the histological patterns (eg, in a study where the term ‘sinusoidal’ was used to describe a pattern in which tumour cells replace hepatocytes, this was considered to represent the ‘replacement pattern’). The absolute frequency of each term was calculated and the terms were displayed in a word clustering diagram with a proportional font size.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the study flow diagram according to the \textit{Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses} criteria.\textsuperscript{26} The search strategy identified a total of 2473 articles, of which 2368 were excluded after abstract review. Full texts were acquired for 105 articles and after full text review, 23 studies reporting data on a total of 2432 patients were considered eligible.\textsuperscript{11–14 18 27–44} Table 1 presents the eligible studies included for systematic review and the clinicopathological characteristics of the cohorts; online supplementary figure 3 presents data on the risk of bias.

Terminology of CRLM growth patterns

Although in general three major patterns were discernible across the studies, the terminology used to describe
different growth patterns varied markedly (illustrated in figure 2). The most frequently used single term to describe the presence of fibrotic tissue separating tumour and liver cells was ‘desmoplastic’ (27% of all studies), but expressions referring to ‘capsule’ (such as ‘encapsulated’ or ‘pseudocapsule’) were cumulatively more frequent (54.5%). The most frequently used term to describe a pattern in which tumour cells infiltrate along the liver cell plates and replace the hepatocytes was ‘replacement’ (27.3%), followed by ‘infiltrative’ (22.7%). The third pattern, in which liver cell plates surrounding the tumour appear flattened (often referred to as ‘compressed’), while intervening fibrotic tissue is absent, was most frequently described as ‘pushing’ (31.8%), followed by ‘expansive’ (22.7%). Throughout the remainder of this article, we employ the most frequently used terms, ‘desmoplastic’, ‘replacement’, and ‘pushing’, adhering to the consensus guidelines.18

Classification schemes and histopathological criteria for growth pattern reporting
Not all studies considered all three major patterns. Overall, the desmoplastic pattern was considered most frequently (in 21 out of 23 studies), while the replacement and pushing patterns were described less often (both in 14 out of 23 studies; figure 3A). A total of 11 studies distinguished between two growth patterns, while 11 studies used a three-tier, and one study a four-tier classification system (figure 3B). The consensus paper specifically discusses two further rare growth patterns, ‘sinusoidal’ and ‘portal’,18 which are not systematically used in the literature and for the sake of practicability will not be considered further here.

The exact definitions of the histopathological criteria varied substantially (online supplementary table 1). For example, describing the desmoplastic pattern, some definitions required the metastases to be ‘completely surrounded by fibrous tissue’38 or to be of a certain thickness that varied between studies,29 32 while other definitions were less specific and based on the presence of a ‘fibrotic capsule’.30 42 44

Four studies reported the presence or absence of a fibrotic capsule as a feature separate from either the replacement or pushing growth patterns (table 1). In general, a heterogeneous picture of histopathological definitions and classification schemes became evident.

---

Figure 1  Study flow diagram according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) criteria. One study15 was included specifically for outcome data, as it reported the outcome for a cohort published in a more recent article13; this study was excluded due to overlapping cohort from the main series. Another study27 included two independent patient cohorts that were reported separately; this study is counted as one item.
### Table 1 Overview of eligible studies included

| Study | Title | Publication year | Time period | Location | Male | Female | Primary in colon | Primary in rectum | Synchronous CRLM | Metachronous CRLM (%) | Desmoplastic CRLM (%) | Pushing CRLM (%) | Replacement CRLM (%) | Mixed CRLM (%) | Capsule independent | Outcome assessed | Preoperative chemo |
|-------|-------|------------------|-------------|----------|------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| van Dam et al. | International consensus guidelines for scoring the histopathological growth patterns of liver metastasis | 2017 | 2000–2015 | Department of Surgical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute (Rotterdam, The Netherlands) | 241 | 133 | 204 | 170 | 243 (defined as <1 year) | 131 | 49 | 3 | 47 | 1 | N | Y | ≥44% of patients |

Frentzas et al. | Vessel co-option mediates resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy in liver metastases | 2016 | 2006–2012 | The Royal Marsden Hospital (RM), London, UK | 21 | 12 | 12 | 21 | NA | NA | 52.5 | 1.7 | 45.8 | NA | N | Y | All bev+chemo |
Frentzas et al. | Vessel co-option mediates resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy in liver metastases | 2016 | 2008–2014 | McGill University Health Centre (MUHC), Montreal, Canada | 35 | 24 | 39 | 20 | NA | NA | 51.6 | 3.1 | 45.3 | NA | N | Y | All bev+chemo |

Siriwardana et al. | Biological and prognostic significance of the morphological types and vascular patterns in colorectal liver metastases (CRLM) looking beyond the tumor margin | 2016 | 1998–2008 | Royal Free Hospital, London, UK | 18 | 8 | 18 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 43.3 | NA | 43.3 | 10 | N | Y | N |

Serrablo et al. | Impact of novel histopathological factors on the outcomes of liver surgery for colorectal cancer metastases | 2016 | 2004–2010 | NA, Spain and/or Italy | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17 | 52.3 | 46.3 | NA | Y | Y | Reported separately |

Eefsen et al. | Microvessel density and endothelial cell proliferation levels in colorectal liver metastases from patients given neo-adjuvant cytotoxic chemotherapy and bevacizumab | 2015 (print 2016) | 2007–2011 | Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark | 146 | 91 | 162 | 75 | 146 | 91 | 29.5 | 37.1 | 14.8 | 16.6 | N | N (for same cohort in ref 15) | Reported separately |

Brunner et al. | Prognosis according to histochemical analysis of liver metastases removed at liver resection | 2014 | 2004–2010 | Regensburg, Germany | 118 | 83 | 119 | 82 | NA | NA | 37.8 | NA | NA | NA | Y | Y | Reported separately |

Pinheiro et al. | Tumor growth pattern as predictor of colorectal liver metastasis recurrence | 2014 | 2000–2009 | NA, likely Sao Paulo, Brazil | 50 | 41 | NA | NA | 43 | 48 | NA | 40.7 | 59.3 | NA | N | Y | Reported separately |

Wiggans et al. | Extended pathology reporting of resection specimens of colorectal liver metastases: the significance of a tumour pseudocapsule | 2012 (print 2013) | 2010–2011 | Dentford Hospital, UK | 40 | 26 | NA | NA | 38 | 28 | 41.5 | NA | NA | NA | N | Y | Mixed |

Continued
Table 1

| Study                  | Title                                                                 | Publication year | Time period | Location                                      | Male | Female | Primary in colon | Primary in rectum | Synchronous CRLM | Desmoplastic CRLM (%) | Metachronous CRLM | Pushing CRLM (%) | Replacement CRLM (%) | Mixed CRLM (%) | Capsule independent | Outcome assessed | Preoperative chemo |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|--------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Nyström et al        | Liver-metastatic potential of colorectal cancer is related to thestromal composition of the tumour | 2012             | 1998–2009   | Västerbotten County, Sweden                  | 25   | 23     | 29               | 18                | NA                | 45.8                 | 52.1             | NA              | NA                  | NA              | Y                  | Mixed             |
| Van Den Eynden et al | The histological growth pattern of colorectal cancer liver metastases has prognostic value | 2012             | 1997–2005   | Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK    | NA   | NA     | 104              | 91                | 101               | 98                  | 34.6             | 15.6            | 27.8               | 17.6            | N                  | Y                | NA                |
| Rajaganthan et al    | Biological characteristics and behaviour of putatively curatively resected colorectal liver metastases | 2007 (print 2008) | 1999–2004   | St James’s University Hospital, Leeds, UK   | 60   | 49     | NA               | NA                | 19                | 90                  | 34.8             | NA              | NA                  | NA              | N                  | Y                | Unrestr     |
| Stessels et al       | Breast adenocarcinoma liver metastases: in contrast to colorectal cancer liver metastases, display a non-angiogenic growth pattern that preserves the stroma and lacks hypoxia | 2004             | NA          | General Hospital Sint-Augustinus and of the University Hospital of Antwerp, Belgium | NA   | NA     | NA               | NA                | NA                | NA                  | 50               | 17.9            | 32.1               | NA              | N                  | N                | NA                |
| Terayama et al       | Peritumoral rim enhancement of liver metastases: hemodynamics observed on single-level dynamic CT during hepatic arteriography and histopathologic correlation | 2002             | NA          | Kanazawa University School of Medicine, Japan | 24   | 5      | NA               | NA                | NA                | NA                  | 10.5             | NA              | NA                  | NA              | N                  | N                | NA                |
| Yamaguchi et al      | Mode of infiltrative growth of colorectal liver metastases is a useful predictor of recurrence after hepatic resection | 2002             | 1981–1998   | Nagasaki University School of Medicine, Japan | NA   | NA     | NA               | NA                | NA                | NA                  | 38.1             | 61.9            | NA                  | NA              | N                  | Y                | NA                |
| Vermeulen et al      | Liver metastases from colorectal adenocarcinomas grow in three patterns with different angiogenesis and desmoplasia | 2001             | NA          | University Hospital Antwerp and AZ Sint-Augustinus, Belgium | NA   | NA     | NA               | NA                | NA                | NA                  | 42.3             | 46.2            | 11.5               | NA              | N                  | N                | Excluded          |
| Weber et al          | Is a proliferation index of cancer cells a reliable prognostic factor after hepatectomy in patients with colorectal liver metastases? | 2001             | 1988–1998   | Hôpital Universitaire de Hautepierre, Strasbourg, France | 132  | 89     | 142              | 76                | 90                | 131                | 28.5             | 34.8            | 65.2               | NA              | Y                  | NA               | NA                |

Continued
| Study            | Title                                                                 | Publication year | Time period          | Location                        | Male | Female | Primary in colon | Primary in rectum | Synchronous CRML | Metachronous CRML | Desmoplastic CRML (%) | Pushing CRML (%) | Replacement CRML (%) | Mixed CRML (%) | Capsule independent | Outcome assessed | Pre-operative chemo |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Lunevicius et al | Clinicopathological significance of fibrotic capsule formation around liver metastasis from colorectal cancer | 2001             | 1985–1997            | Aichi Cancer Center, Japan    | NA   | NA     | NA               | NA               | NA                | NA                | 20.3                | NA                | NA                 | NA             | N                   | Y                | NA                 |
| Okano et al      | Fibrous pseudocapsule of metastatic liver tumors from colorectal carcinoma: clinicopathologic study of 152 first resection cases | 2000             | 1992–1996            | National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan | 104  | 48     | NA               | NA               | 46                | 106               | 61.1                | NA                | NA                 | NA             | N                   | Y                | NA                 |
| Ambiru et al     | Hepatic resection for colorectal metastases: analysis of prognostic factors | 1999             | 1984–1997            | Chiba University School of Medicine, Japan | 104  | 62     | 109              | 53               | 71                | 91                | 27.9                | NA                | NA                 | NA             | N                   | Y                | NA                 |
| Nagashima et al  | Histopathological prognostic factors influencing long-term prognosis after surgical resection for hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer | 1999             | 1981–1994            | Tokyo University Hospital, Japan | 48   | 11     | NA               | NA               | 24                | 35                | 80                 | 91.5              | 8.5                | NA             | Y                   | Y                | NA                 |
| Terayama et al   | Histologic growth patterns of metastatic colorectal carcinomas of the liver | 1996             | NA                  | Kanazawa University School of Medicine, Japan | 6    | 7      | 13               | NA               | NA                | NA                | 15.4               | 76.9              | 7.7                | NA             | N                   | N                | N                  |
| Yamamoto et al   | Pathologic support for limited hepatectomy in the treatment of liver metastases from colorectal cancer | 1995             | 1991–1992            | National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan | 26   | 14     | 27, multiple primaries | 12, multiple primaries | 32               | 70                | NA                 | NA                | NA                 | NA             | N                   | N                | NA                 |
| Morino et al     | Clinico-pathological features of liver metastases from colorectal cancer in relation to prognosis | 1991             | 1980–1986            | Kyoto University Hospital, Japan | 16   | 13     | 12               | 17               | 11                | 18                | 27.6               | 20.7              | 34.5               | 41.4            | Y                   | Y                | NA                 |

Clinicopathological data were extracted as specified in the Methods section.
bev, bevacizumab; chemo, conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy; CRML, colorectal cancer liver metastases; N, no; NA, not available; Y, yes.
Reported frequencies of CRLM growth patterns
Reported unweighted median frequency for the desmoplastic pattern was 41.7% (range: 10.5%–80%), for the pushing pattern 37.1% (range: 1.7%–91.5%), and for the replacement pattern 43.3% (range: 7.7%–65.2%) (figure 3C).

Reported frequencies of CRLM growth patterns in relation to preoperative chemotherapy
Following current treatment routines, most patients with CRLM will undergo preoperative chemotherapy, which may impact directly on the growth pattern phenotypes or select for those types possibly resistant to chemotherapy. Thus, we assessed whether studies explicitly reported data on chemonaive patients. For articles published in the 1990s, systemic preoperative chemotherapy was unlikely, but given regional and historic differences in treatment regimens that may not have been reported in detail, we included only those studies with explicit statements. We identified two studies in which only patients who had not received preoperative chemotherapy were included.12 28 In a third study (not included in the eligible studies due to overlap with a more recent report), data for patients without preoperative chemotherapy were published separately.46 In addition, four studies reported growth pattern frequencies for chemonaive and chemotherapy-treated patients separately.13 26–28 In one study with two cohorts, all patients had received cytotoxic therapy in combination with the anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antibody bevacizumab, while in another study, the cohort receiving bevacizumab in addition to chemotherapy was analysed individually.13 Figure 4A shows the distribution of growth patterns stratified according to the administration of preoperative chemotherapy. Although there was substantial variation between studies with respect to histopathological analysis, which precluded quantitative synthesis, there were no obvious differences in overall growth pattern distribution across treatment groups (figure 4B). However, a decrease in the frequency of the pushing pattern was observed in patients treated with both bevacizumab and chemotherapy, likely due to differences in histologic classification27 as discussed below.

Intralesional heterogeneity of CRLM growth patterns
The fact that some authors assessed desmoplasia/encapsulation independently of the other growth patterns indicated heterogeneity within single metastases. This is also reflected in the recent consensus guidelines, in which a cut-off >50% of the tumour-liver interface is considered defining the predominant growth pattern.18 Therefore, next we assessed how frequently heterogeneous patterns were reported. We found that in addition to the four studies in which the desmoplastic pattern was a separate parameter, a ‘mixed’ pattern was reported independently of the three main patterns in a further three studies,14 15 44 and independently of the desmoplastic and replacement patterns in a fourth.28 Frequencies for a mixed pattern...
were 18.6%\textsuperscript{15} and 17.6%\textsuperscript{14} in two larger cohorts and 10%\textsuperscript{28} and 41.4%\textsuperscript{44} in two smaller ones. Intralesional heterogeneity was also reflected indirectly in some studies that did not quantify this feature, stating that heterogeneity was ‘uncommon’\textsuperscript{31}, or that ‘usually’ or ‘in most of the cases’, only one growth pattern was present.\textsuperscript{12,33}

Similar to the consensus guidelines, a recent study addressed intralesional heterogeneity by scoring the percentage of each pattern for every individual lesion.\textsuperscript{27} Of note, the authors refined their own previously developed criteria,\textsuperscript{12} as they observed that in some cases in which tumour cells seemed to directly replace hepatocytes, flattening of the surrounding liver plates—a characteristic of the pushing type—was also apparent.\textsuperscript{27}

**Interlesional heterogeneity of CRLM growth patterns**

Approximately half of all patients with CRLM present with more than one hepatic lesion at the time of diagnosis.\textsuperscript{45,47} Hence, an important question was whether interlesional heterogeneity was present and how it was assessed. Various approaches were used: three studies included a statement that multiple lesions showed similar pathological features,\textsuperscript{12,40,43} while in others, quantitative differences in growth patterns between multiple lesions were not commonly reported. In studies with statements on multiple lesions, the largest metastasis (or the lesion with the largest tumour-liver interface) was most frequently used for growth pattern assessment.\textsuperscript{12,33,40,43} Given the lack of quantitative reporting, interlesional heterogeneity could not be analysed further for review purposes. However, one additional paper (not included in the eligible studies due to likely cohort overlap) provided a systematic analysis of interlesional heterogeneity in chemonaïve patients with multiple CRLM (n=24).\textsuperscript{48} Applying a three-tier system for desmoplastic, pushing, and replacement patterns, and also considering mixed cases, the authors found that in 20 patients with a uniform growth pattern in a single metastasis, 12 had a single growth pattern in all metastases, while eight...
had CRLM of more than one type. Overall, the authors concluded that the data suggested ‘... that the growth pattern of liver metastases is not a random phenomenon’.48 Two studies reported data on repeated hepatic resections. Of note, the majority of recurring CRLMs showed the same growth pattern as in the first resection (n=5 and n=21, respectively).30,33

**Prognostic potential of growth pattern assessment**
Among the eligible studies, a total of 17 investigated the prognostic impact of CRLM growth patterns (online supplementary table 2). One additional study13 reported recurrence risk specifically for a cohort included in a more recent study.13 One study reported two cohorts separately,27 yielding a total of 19 different patient cohorts.

---

**Figure 4** Reported frequencies stratified according to preoperative chemotherapy. (A) Percentage frequencies of reported growth patterns by study, grouped according to treatment: no preoperative treatment, cytotoxic chemotherapy, or cytotoxic chemotherapy plus bevacizumab. For studies (in italics) in which the capsule was assessed independently, its frequency is represented in a separate bar. Studies that reported both treated and untreated patients are grouped and indicated with grey or white background. The total number of patients in each study cohort is given to the right of each bar. (B) Reported frequencies for the three treatment groups; lines indicate minimum and maximum, filled circle indicates median (frequency in %). Note: The mixed pattern was reported in one study only for chemo and bev-chemo groups. bev, bevacizumab; chemo, conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy.
for analysis of outcome. The most commonly reported metrics were overall survival, disease-free survival, and disease recurrence.

However, reported outcome data across studies were not applicable to pooled quantitative analysis due to substantial heterogeneity in terminology, definitions of histopathological criteria, classification systems (two or three-tiered), independent assessment of the capsule, and different outcome metrics.

Nevertheless, descriptive analysis (figure 5A) showed that for 14 out of 17 cohorts (82.4%) in which the CRLM growth pattern was assessed, a statistically significant favourable outcome was reported for patients with desmoplastic CRLM. In eight out of 12 cohorts (66.7%) in which the pattern was assessed, a significantly unfavourable outcome for patients with a predominantly replacement-type CRLM was found. No study reported the opposite effects, that is, neither inferior outcome for desmoplastic pattern nor beneficial outcome for replacement pattern CRLM was found in any of the cohorts. Two studies found no significant differences, and one out of 12 studies (8.3%) that considered this pattern showed unfavourable survival for patients with pushing-type CRLM.

It has recently been shown that survival of patients with desmoplastic CRLM compared with the replacement type was favourable only in patients receiving the anti-angiogenic antibody bevacizumab, while there was no difference in the cohort that did not receive the drug. When focusing on articles published before the approval of bevacizumab by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2004, we found that all six studies that considered the desmoplastic growth pattern reported a significantly better outcome for patients with this pattern, while three out of four studies that considered the replacement-type growth pattern found a

![Figure 5](https://example.com/fig5.png)

**Figure 5** Impact of main growth patterns on prognosis. First column: The desmoplastic pattern is characterised by a rim of fibrotic stroma (green) that separates tumour cells from the surrounding hepatocytes. Second column: In the replacement pattern, tumour cells (grey) invade along the liver cell plates, replacing the hepatocytes (yellow). Third column: In the pushing pattern, the liver plates adjacent to the metastases appear flattened (arrowheads); blue: central veins. (A) Dot plot of all studies reporting outcome for every growth pattern; one dot corresponds to one study; (B) as in (A), but only considering studies published prior to Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) approval of bevacizumab (indicated by thick line in online supplementary table 2). Note that some studies found effects for more than one pattern.
significantly poorer survival associated with replacement pattern CRLM (figure 5B); two of these studies found both effects.

**DISCUSSION**

In the earliest systematic description of CRLM growth patterns identified in this systematic analysis of the literature, Morino *et al* classified the tumour border of CRLM inspired by previous observations in hepatocellular carcinoma, the authors distinguished between ‘sinusoidal’ (largely corresponding ‘replacement’, but also used as a term to describe a very rare pattern for CRLM in the consensus guidelines) and ‘expansive’ (corresponding to ‘pushing’). They assessed ‘capsule formation’ as an independent feature. This early description established the morphological framework, and modifications of the initial terminology have been followed in all studies included in this systematic review.

The rationale behind the need for routine reporting of CRLM growth patterns is at least twofold: on one hand, the patterns may be useful for prognostication and may aid treatment decisions; on the other hand, it is likely that understanding these distinct patterns of metastatic progression will provide important insights into the biological mechanisms that support tumour growth in the liver. To this end, Frentzas *et al* showed that microvessel co-option, besides providing vascular supply, renders metastases of the replacement type resistant to anti-angiogenic therapy. Furthermore, the authors suggested an alternative approach for treating replacement-type metastases by suppressing cancer cell motility, which they achieved experimentally by knocking down the actin-related protein 2/3 complex. It is worth noting that several studies published before FDA approval of anti-angiogenic therapy found a favourable prognosis for patients with desmoplastic-type CRLM, suggesting that there are important mechanistic insights beyond differences in angiogenesis to be gained from the different growth patterns.

The most significant limitation of this systematic review is intrinsic to the studies analysed and highlights a major challenge of the field: Pronounced study heterogeneity—most importantly in classification systems and histopathological definitions as summarised in online supplementary table 1—precluded quantitative synthesis. Although formal meta-analysis was not possible, a high number of studies that assessed outcome concluded that the desmoplastic pattern is associated with a favourable prognosis. This was independent of the era in which the patient cohorts were treated and thus the data indicate that specific treatment regimens cannot sufficiently explain the positive prognostic effect of the desmoplasic pattern. In addition, more than one quarter of all studies found that the replacement pattern was associated with unfavourable prognosis, suggesting that distinguishing between the desmoplastic and replacement patterns and understanding the underlying mechanisms likely is of highest impact for developing prognostic tools and treatment strategies.

As highlighted by our results, comparable data are essential for future quantification, and following common terminologies as well as growth pattern definitions is warranted. We suggest, in line with the recent consensus guidelines, the most frequently used terms, ‘desmoplastic’, ‘replacement’, and ‘pushing’. We hope that this review will contribute to routine pathological reporting of the histological growth patterns in CRLM.
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