Increased Pathogenicity of the Nematophagous Fungus *Drechmeria coniospora* Following Long-Term Laboratory Culture
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Domestication provides a window into adaptive change. Over the course of 2 decades of laboratory culture, a strain of the nematode-specific fungus *Drechmeria coniospora* became more virulent during its infection of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Through a close comparative examination of the genome sequences of the original strain and its more pathogenic derivative, we identified a small number of non-synonymous mutations in protein-coding genes. In one case, the mutation was predicted to affect a gene involved in hypoxia resistance and we provide direct corroborative evidence for such an effect. The mutated genes with functional annotation were all predicted to impact the general physiology of the fungus and this was reflected in an increased in vitro growth, even in the absence of *C. elegans*. While most cases involved single nucleotide substitutions predicted to lead to a loss of function, we also observed a predicted restoration of gene function through deletion of an extraneous tandem repeat. This latter change affected the regulatory subunit of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Remarkably, we also found a mutation in a gene for a second protein of the same, protein kinase A, pathway. Together, we predict that they result in a stronger repression of the pathway for given levels of ATP and adenylate cyclase activity. Finally, we also identified mutations in a few lineage-specific genes of unknown function that are candidates for factors that influence virulence in a more direct manner.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Drechmeria coniospora* is a nematophagous *Ascomycetes* fungus that lies on a distal branch of the *Ophiocordycipitaceae* (Li et al., 2021). It is one of the best-characterised fungal pathogens of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Infection starts with the adhesion of non-motile asexual spores (conidia) to the nematode cuticle. These single-celled haploid spores are formed through a phialidic mode of holoblastic conidiogenesis. In other words, they grow out from a conidiogenic hypha, on specialised stalks called conidiophores, with their extension involving the complete cell wall of the hypha, and can be distinguished from the conidiogenic hypha before they separate from it. They are also called mitospores, as they are generated through mitosis, with no meiosis, and are therefore genetically identical to their haploid parent. After adhesion, an appressorium forms, allowing the nematode cuticle to be penetrated. Haploid and septate endozoic hyphae grow throughout the infected host,
with new conidiophores then emerging through the cuticle of the nematode, forming conidia that can go on to infect other nematodes (Saikawa, 1982; Germant and Stone, 1999; Wyatt et al., 2013).

A variety of isolates from diverse locations worldwide exist, including ATCC 96282, derived from a strain collected in Sweden by H.-B. Jansson in 1990 (Jansson and Friman, 1999). This strain has been extensively used in studies addressing host defences (Lee et al., 2010, 2018; Dierking et al., 2011; Labeled et al., 2012). More recently, taking its genome as a starting point, \textit{D. coniospora} has been developed as a model to understand how nematophagous fungi can infect and kill their hosts. This capacity has emerged multiple times during evolution and in each case appears largely to involve distinct molecular mechanisms (Meerupati et al., 2013; Andersson et al., 2014; Lebriggand et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Ji et al., 2020), although there is also evidence in some cases for convergent evolution (Iqbal et al., 2018).

With regards \textit{D. coniospora}, as a first example, presumably as a consequence of horizontal gene transfer, the fungus has acquired genes encoding proteins containing one or more SapA domains that bind to and potentially inhibit the antimicrobial activity of host SapB-domains proteins (Lebriggand et al., 2016). Secondly, \textit{D. coniospora} has an unusually broad repertoire of enterotoxins (Wang et al., 2018). Only two out of these 23 enterotoxins have been characterised in any detail. They play specific, partly antagonistic roles, interfering with host defence signalling pathways (Zhang et al., 2021). These examples represent a tiny fraction of the hundreds of potential virulence factors encoded within the \textit{D. coniospora} genome. A substantial proportion of them are either lineage-specific or correspond to proteins for which no functional annotation exists in any species (Lebriggand et al., 2016). Many aspects of the biology of \textit{D. coniospora} that are most relevant to its capacity to parasitise worms are therefore poorly characterised.

One powerful way to address the molecular basis of physiological traits is to take advantage of genetic and phenotypic variation between natural populations. The genome of a Danish isolate of \textit{D. coniospora} has also been sequenced (Zhang et al., 2016), but its genome is very different from that of ATCC 96282 (Courtime et al., 2020), rendering comparative functional analysis challenging. Another approach relies on experimental evolution. Typically, organisms are maintained in a defined environment, with or without applied selective pressures, and the changes that accumulate over time are monitored. The best known such study is one from the Lenski lab, where 12 populations of \textit{Escherichia coli} have been cultured since 1988 in minimal media by batch culture for more than 60,000 generations (Good et al., 2017; Lenski, 2017). In another long-running experiment, \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} populations evolved over 3 years, for some 10,000 generations in three environments (McDonald, 2019; Johnson et al., 2021). These and other studies have given valuable insights into evolutionary processes. It has been observed repeatedly that populations follow a more-or-less foreseeable path, with the rate that fitness increases slowing down as populations adapt, while the mutation rate remains relatively stable. In comparison to complex and changing natural environments, laboratory culture conditions are designed to be simple and constant. Although it is impossible to predict what exact mutations will arise, selection pressure will generally favour the loss of genes and pathways that are superfluous under the experimental conditions (Kvitek and Sherlock, 2013; McDonald, 2019).

Experimental evolution has also been used to characterise host-pathogen interactions. In the case of \textit{C. elegans}, pioneering studies include a dissection of the role of mating modalities in host resistance (Morrán et al., 2011), behavioural responsiveness to bacterial microbes (Schulte et al., 2012), and co-evolutionary studies (Masri et al., 2015). The field continues to be very active (e.g., White et al., 2020, 2021; Ekroth et al., 2021). Here, we took advantage of an unintentional domestication experiment. In 1999, we started laboratory culture of the \textit{D. coniospora} ATCC 96282 strain. We recently sequenced the genome of this isolate, which we refer to as \textit{Swe1}, as well as that of a derived strain, \textit{Swe3}, cryo-archived in 2018 after almost 2 decades of laboratory culture (Courtime et al., 2020). As we show here, there is a notable difference in the speed at which \textit{Swe1} and \textit{Swe3} kill \textit{C. elegans}.

Leveraging the genome annotation available for the \textit{Swe1} derivative \textit{Swe2}, cryo-archived and sequenced in 2013 (Lebriggand et al., 2016), we were able to annotate the \textit{Swe1} and \textit{Swe3} genomes. This then provided an opportunity to conduct a comparative genomic analysis, to identify the mutations that have accumulated over the years, including those potentially linked to the observed increase in virulence. When the laboratory culture of \textit{D. coniospora} was started, there was little expectation that it would continue for so long. Had this been the case, a more controlled experimental protocol would have been instigated, with, for example, passing of spores at more regular intervals, and replicate populations grown in parallel to be able to determine the robustness and reproducibility of any observed changes. Nevertheless, this single somewhat uncontrolled long-term experiment does provide insight into the evolutionary changes that occurred during domestication of \textit{D. coniospora} and that impact its virulence.

**METHODS**

**\textit{C. elegans} Strains and Culture**

The strain IG463 [\textit{rrf-3(b26);frIs7}] was made by standard crosses between the conditionally sterile mutant strain DH26 \textit{rrf-3(b26)} \textit{II} (formerly \textit{fer-15}) and the reporter strain IG274 (+\textit{frIs7} [\textit{nlp-29p::GFP} \textit{col-12p::DsRed}]) IV (Pujo et al., 2008). N2 and other strains were maintained on nematode growth media (NGM) and fed \textit{E. coli} strain OP50 (Stiernagle, 2006). IG463 and DH26 were maintained at 15°C, the permissive temperature, the other strains at 25°C.

**\textit{D. coniospora} Culture**

\textit{D. coniospora} was serially cultured by infecting \textit{C. elegans}. Typically, spores were harvested from infected worms every 1 or 2 weeks and used to infect a fresh worm population. The methods used are described in detail elsewhere (Powell and Ausubel, 2008). Briefly, about 300 µl of freshly harvested spore solution (ca. 1.5 × 10^6 spores) was added to a standard 10 cm NGM
agard plate with 1000–2000 synchronised L4 or young adult N2 worms on an extensive lawn of *E. coli* OP50. After drying under a laminar flow hood, the plate was incubated at 25°C for 1 day. Infected worms were harvested with 50 mM NaCl and transferred to an NGM plate supplemented with 15 μg/ml gentamicin and 100 μg/ml ampicillin, without OP50. The plate was incubated at 25°C for up to 1 week and then stored at 20°C.

**Infection Assays**

Spores were harvested from plates at 25°C after 6 days and counted using a Neubauer chamber (Bürker) as described (http://www.lo-laboroptik.de/englisch/info/info.html) before dilution in 50 mM NaCl to the required concentration. Around 150 young adult IG463 worms that had been grown at 25°C, the non-permissive temperature, were manually transferred to 4 cm plates containing NGM agar seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Freshly harvested spores (1 × 10⁶) were spread on the plate. After drying under a laminar flow hood, and overnight infection at 25°C, for each experimental condition, 25 worms were picked into 4 wells of a 12-well plate containing NGM agar seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Images of each well were collected automatically every 24 min using a custom system that will be described elsewhere. The images were examined, and worms scored as dead when they no longer exhibited movement between successive images.

**Analyses With the Biosort Worm Sorter**

Fluorescent protein expression was quantified with the COPAS (Complex Object Parametric Analyzer and Sorter) Biosort system (Union Biometrica, Holliston, MA) as described (PujoL et al., 2008). Worms were analysed for length (assessed as TOF, time of flight), optical density (assessed as extinction) and Green and/or Red fluorescence (GFP/Red). Raw data were filtered on the TOF for adult worms (typically 300 ≤ TOF ≤ 1500). Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired t-test (GraphPad Prism).

**Monitoring Fungal Growth**

Spores (typically 10⁶ in 30 μl) were added to each well of 12-well plates containing 700 μl of NGM agar supplemented with 0 mM, 1 mM, or 2 mM CoCl₂ and incubated at 25°C. Fungal growth was recorded using an Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 microscope equipped with Definite Focus, a motorised stage, a PeCon GmbH incubation chamber and a Hamamatsu C11440-42U30 camera. All images from a stack were aligned using the ImageJ macro Align_Slice (https://github.com/landingig/II-Align_Slice/) to compensate for any image drift. Spore and hyphae length were measured using the ImageJ toolbox HyphaTracker v1.0 (Schindelin et al., 2012; Brunk et al., 2018). The thresholds to convert images into binary data were set automatically, the minimal area was set to 5 pixels and the last frame was selected to be the reference.

**Fungal PCR**

Fungal DNA was extracted as previously described (Courtine et al., 2020) from freshly thawed aliquots of the original isolate ATCC 96282, called here Swe1, or its derivatives Swe2 and Swe3, archived and sequenced in 2013 (Lebrigand et al., 2016) and 2018 (Courtine et al., 2020), respectively. Once samples of Swe1, Swe2 or Swe3, which were cryopreserved at −80°C, were thawed, their precise culture history before being used in experiments was recorded (number of passages, etc). The identity of the different strains was verified regularly by PCR using primers designed to amplify one locus per chromosome, divergent either between Swe1 and Swe2, or between Swe2 and Swe3. The strains could be distinguished on the basis of the results of PCR amplification: presence or absence of an amplicon, size of an amplicon, or amplicon sequence, depending on the strain and PCR primers used (Supplementary Table S1). PCR with the indicated primers pairs was also used to produce amplicons from Swe2 genomic DNA, corresponding to regions that were previously poorly defined, that were then sequenced.

**Assembling the Swe2 Mitochondrial Genome**

The genomic reads from Swe2 (SRR1810847) mapping on the Swe1 and Swe3 mitochondrial sequences (Courtine et al., 2020) were extracted and used for a de novo assembly with IDBA-UD v1.1.3-1 using default parameters (Peng et al., 2012). The resulting longest contig was circularised with nucmer (--maxmatch --nosimplify), followed by show-coords (--lrcT) within the package MUMmer v4.0.0b2 (Marcais et al., 2018). This generated a mitochondrial genome that was judged complete on the basis of comparison with available mitochondrial genomic sequences from the closely-related fungi *Purpureocillium lilacinum*, *Tolypocladium inflatum*, and *Tolypocladium cylindrosporum* (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S1).

**Scaffolding of Swe2 Sequences Into Chromosomal Assemblies**

A previous comparison of Swe1 and Swe3 genomes revealed a chromosomal collinearity. This had allowed the major Swe2 scaffolds to be assembled into three chromosomes (Courtine et al., 2020), but omitted smaller scaffolds that included some predicted protein-coding genes (Supplementary Table S3). Each of these unincorporated scaffolds was compared to the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences using BLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997). The alignments were visualised using Kablammo (Wintersinger and Wasmuth, 2015), permitting manual assignment of scaffolds containing repeat sequences to unique chromosomal positions, as well as trimming of unaligned regions. The precise insertion position and orientation for each scaffold was determined by manual inspection of a multiple sequence alignment from the three genomes using Mauve (Darling et al., 2004). When the precise junctional sequence could not be defined, an NNN sequence was added at either side of each newly inserted scaffold.

**Improving the Swe2 Genome Sequence**

The reassembled Swe2 genome still included 1,386 regions of sequence undetermined in the original assembly i.e., stretches with one or several consecutives Ns. Sequences 1000 nt 5’ and 3’ to each of these regions were extracted separately from the Swe2 genome and aligned by BLASTN against the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes. In the simplest case, when unambiguous syntenic
alignments revealed regions of defined genome sequence fully conserved between Swe1 and Swe3, the relevant sequence was copied from Swe1/Swe3 and inserted in the place of the undefined region of the Swe2 genome. In cases where the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences had the same length but were not identical, the differences were examined, and only the ones with 10 or less ambiguous positions were retained. These remaining undefined bases were left as N before using the consensus sequence to edit the Swe2 genome. This resulted in an addition of 41,997 bp of defined sequence. No attempt was made to correct the Swe2 sequence when the corresponding regions in the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were of different lengths. In some cases, the flanking regions overlapped when aligned, because of an incorrect copy number for a repetitive sequence in the Swe2 genome. As a complementary automatic approach, in parallel we used the tool Sealer, from the assembler ABYSS (Paulino et al., 2015) with the parameters “-b20G -k120 -k110 -k100 -k90 -k80 -k70 -k60 -k50 -k40 -F 700 -P 10 -B 3000” to generate a list of gaps to be closed, many of which were also identified by the manual workflow. We filtered these out, as well as those containing ambiguous bases, and then implemented the remaining modifications identified by Sealer. The improved version of Swe2 still contains 33,795 Ns in 993 stretches.

**Genome Similarity Metrics**

Average Nucleotide Identity values were calculated using pyani (v0.2.9) with default parameters (Pritchard et al., 2015). Genomes were aligned with Minimap v2.17-r974-dirty with the parameters “-c -s=long (Li, 2018a). The resulting PAF file was converted to MAF with the Minimap2 utility paftools.js view -f maf and the command sed ‘s/\[aA\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[cC\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[gG\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[tT\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[nN\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[uU\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[lL\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[sS\]{5,}\//g’ -e ‘s/\[iI\]{5,}\//g’”.

**Swe2 Gene Set**

A number of proteins predicted for *D. coniospora* ARSEF 6962 (Zhang et al., 2016), referred to here as Dan2, were absent from the original Swe2 protein set as judged by the results of reciprocal BLASTP searches. These included members of highly repeated families, for example integrases and transposases, as well as a few with atypical structures (KYK54054.1/KYK54053.1/KYK56388.1/KYK54065.1) that we did not attempt to curate. The remaining Dan2 protein sequences were aligned by TBLASTN against the Swe2 genome. Those matching an existing predicted Swe2 protein-coding gene were considered likely to reflect events of gene expansion in Dan2 and not pursued further, with the exception of genes encoding proteins with an enterotoxin alpha domain (PFAM: PF01375), which was the subject of manual annotation (Zhang et al., 2021). Then Dan2 protein sequences that aligned with predicted coding sequence from Swe2 with an identity >90% and for which the main exon was more than 80% of the predicted coding sequence for the gene were manually curated and added to the set of predicted protein-coding genes in Swe2. When polishing of the Swe2 genome removed one or more N from a predicted protein-coding gene, the RNAseq reads (SRR1930119, SRR1930124) and/or BLASTX alignment at NCBI against nr/nt databases were used to validate the corrected sequence.

**Gene Comparison**

To identify the mutations that accumulated within protein-coding genes, the Swe2 gene set was directly compared to the Swe1 and Swe3 genome sequences, taking advantage of their conserved genomic structure. Thus, from the start of each chromosome, Swe2 genes were sequentially aligned on Swe1 and Swe3 by BLASTN v2.8.1+ with the parameters -max_hsps 1 -dust no, verifying at each iteration that the target gene was in the expected chromosomal position. For each such gene, the query coverage, percent of identity, as well as alignment start and stop coordinates on the Swe1 and Swe3 chromosomes were recorded.

We then selected, i) genes with a query coverage equal to 1 and <100% sequence identity, ii) genes with a query coverage different from 1. For the former set, exons for each gene were aligned to the expected gene sequence in Swe1 and Swe3 by BLASTN to identify genes with mutations in introns; these were excluded from further analysis. The protein sequence of the remaining genes was aligned to Swe1 and Swe3 by TBLASTN with parameters -seq no and -subject_loc to define the gene coordinates on the target genome. All high scoring pairs (HSPs) were compared 2 by 2 between Swe1 and Swe3 to identify potential sequence changes. The predicted protein sequence of candidate genes with expected non-synonymous substitutions were aligned on the expected Swe1/Swe3 gene using Exonerate v2.2.0 (Slater and Birney, 2005) and parameters -m protein2genome to confirm the mutation. Finally, the sequence evidence for each potentially mutated position was checked in the 3 genomes using the sets of short-reads available: ERR3997395, SRR1810847 and ERR3997392 for Swe1, Swe2 and Swe3, respectively, and only those fully supported were retained. The second set of genes was parsed in the same way, except for those having one or more exons that failed to align during the first BLASTN analysis. Among these, genes with N in their sequence were removed. For the remaining genes, each corresponding genomic locus in the 3 Swe genomes was manually inspected in combination with alignments of short sequencing reads as above, and long reads (ERR3997483, ERR3997394) for Swe1 and Swe3, respectively and only those exhibiting fully supported non-synonymous changes were retained.

**RESULTS**

*D. coniospora* Has Become More Virulent Following Laboratory Culture

We have cultured *D. coniospora* in the laboratory for two decades,passaging it serially through *C. elegans* hundreds of times. We noticed that compared to the original isolate, which we call
Sw1, the passed strain, Swe3, appeared to kill its nematode host more rapidly. As *D. coniospora* can be cryopreserved at −80°C, we were able to compare in the same experiment the Swe1 and Swe3 strains. When we thus assayed the survival of *C. elegans* following *D. coniospora* infection, there was indeed a significant difference between the strains (TD50 40.7 vs. 70.8 h for Swe3 vs. Swe1, p < 0.0001; Figure 1A). The speed of killing is influenced by the number of fungal conidia (spores) that attach to the nematode cuticle (Zugasti et al., 2016). Non-infectious spores acquire an adhesive bud as they mature, essential for the capacity to attach to the host. There were no significant differences in spore morphology nor in their rate of maturation between Swe1 and Swe3 (Figure 1B), with figures that were comparable to those reported previously for *D. coniospora* (van den Boogert et al., 1992). Nor was there any significant difference for spore attachment to *C. elegans* between the two strains (Figure 1C), suggesting that the observed difference in worm survival was related to other changes affecting fungal virulence. This was supported by the fact that at early time points during the infection, Swe3 provoked a significantly greater host innate immune reaction, as reflected by the higher expression of the *nlp-29p::GFP* transgene (Figure 1D), a well-characterised reporter of the host response to fungal infection (Pujol et al., 2008). Additionally, there was markedly more rapid growth of mycelia from infected worms before and after they died from infection with Swe3 compared to Swe1 (Figure 1E). The greater virulence of Swe3 therefore appears to be correlated with an increase in fungal growth during the colonisation of *C. elegans*.

To investigate whether the difference in virulence might reflect a more general alteration of fitness, we compared the growth of Swe1 and Swe3 in the absence of worms. These tests were conducted in the absence of bacteria, as we observed that the *E. coli* strain OP50 used with *C. elegans* strongly inhibited fungal growth. Interestingly, Swe3 grew in culture much more rapidly than Swe1 (Figure 1F), suggesting that part of the observed increase in virulence might reflect generally improved growth under laboratory culture conditions, rather than changes affecting specific virulence mechanisms.

**Improving the Swe2 Genome Sequence**

We wished to identify the changes between the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes that could account for their phenotypic differences. The faster growth of Swe3 could reflect changes in mitochondrial function. While the nuclear genome of Swe2, a strain intermediate between Swe1 and Swe3 was sequenced and annotated a few years ago, its mitochondrial genome had not been assembled (Lebrigand et al., 2016). Taking the mitochondrial genomes of Swe1 and Swe3 as a template (Courtine et al., 2020), we remapped the available Swe2 DNA reads, allowing assembly of a complete Swe2 mitochondrial genome. When we compared the genomes of the 3 strains, we found that they were 100% identical. Thus, the phenotypic differences observed between Swe1 and Swe3 must be a consequence of changes to the nuclear genome.

While the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes are more complete than that of Swe2 (Courtine et al., 2020), gene predictions have only been made for Swe2 (Lebrigand et al., 2016). We therefore needed to transpose the Swe2 gene annotations to the other two strains’ genomic sequences in order to then be able to compare gene sequences between the different strains. Before doing so, we decided to improve the existing Swe2 sequence and gene predictions. Using the most recent chromosome-level assembly (Courtine et al., 2020) as a starting point, we took advantage of the global synteny between the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes to improve further the assembly of the Swe2 nuclear genome, adding 22 previously un-scaffolded small Swe2 scaffolds, for a total of exactly 580 kb to the existing 31.14 Mb genome (an increase of 0.19%; Supplementary Table S4).

At the nucleotide level, the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes are extremely similar, with numerous stretches of >100 kb with 100% sequence identity, the longest being 260 kb. If a particular sequence is absolutely conserved between Swe1 and Swe3, it is reasonable to assume that the Swe2 sequence will be the same. We validated this assumption by amplifying and sequencing 2 randomly selected Swe2 genomic regions containing undetermined (i.e., “N”) nucleotides (Supplementary Figure S2). On this basis, we therefore replaced 19,478 previously undetermined nucleotides in the Swe2 genome with the corresponding sequence from the Swe1/Swe3 genomes, in 471 stretches ranging from 1 to 694 bp (median 23 bp), thereby adding a further 42 kb of defined sequence, removing 7.5 kb of extraneous (erroneously duplicated) sequence, and resulting in an improved sequence for 4 protein coding genes (see section Methods for details). This then gave us high-quality chromosomal level assemblies for Swe1, Swe2 and Swe3, that provided a starting point for more detailed analyses.

**Sequence Divergence Following Years of Laboratory Culture**

Unlike the Swe2 genome (Lebrigand et al., 2016), the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were assembled using long-reads, with short-read polishing. This has the potential to introduce systematic errors, particularly in homopolymeric sequences (Courtine et al., 2020), complicating precise comparisons. Nevertheless, to have a global overview of the sequence divergence between the 3 genomes, we calculated the Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI), a widely applied measure to compare genome sequences, using one alignment-free and two alignment-based methods (Olm et al., 2017). As expected, the sequences were all very similar by this metric (>99.9%). Surprisingly, however, Swe1 and Swe3 showed a higher sequence similarity to each other than to the intermediary strain Swe2 (Supplementary Table S5). Therefore, to complement this analysis and provide a more refined view of the sequence divergence between the 3 Swe strains, we aligned their genomes and evaluated the similarity between each pair. We restricted this test to blocks of sequence that gave unambiguous alignments longer than 1 Mbp, to limit the confounding effects of repeated sequences (see section Discussion). To obtain an estimate of single nucleotide substitutions, we chose the gap-excluded identity (Li, 2018b). We also used the gap-compressed identity within Minimap2, in which indels of any size are counted as one event. Despite polishing (see above), the Swe2 genome includes stretches of
FIGURE 1 | Phenotypic differences between Swe1 and Swe3. (A) Survival of C. elegans worms (strain IG463) following infection at 25°C with $1 \times 10^9$ spores of Swe1 or Swe3; $n = 100$ for each strain, **** $p < 0.0001$, one-sided log rank test. The results are representative of three independent trials. (B) Comparison of the size (Continued)
undetermined sequences. We therefore calculated the different metrics with N masking when appropriate. The Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were calculated to differ by <0.002%, i.e., at one position per 50 kb (Table 1). This value rose to ca. 0.009% when indel events were taken into account. Unexpectedly, but consistent with the results obtained with ANI, the metrics indicated that the genomes of Swe1 and Swe3 were indeed more similar to each other than either were to the genome of Swe2. Compared to the Swe2 genome, the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes differed by 0.004% and 0.005%, respectively. When indels were taken into account, these figures increased, up to 0.06% (Table 1).

Inspection revealed that many instances of potential differences occurred in stretches of homopolymeric sequence. It is known that correctly calling homopolymers from short-read polishing (Courtine et al., 2020), the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes contain residual homopolymer errors (Supplementary Figure S3). To have a more realistic measure of sequence similarity, we therefore removed homopolymer sequences of 5 or more identical nucleotides, from each of the Swe genomes, and recalculated the different metrics. In all comparisons, the similarity scores increased, with a more marked effect for comparisons involving Swe2 (Table 1). Nevertheless, Swe1 and Swe3 were still reported to have the highest similarity, with a divergence as low as 0.0005% and 0.0001% (i.e., one change per 200 kb and 1 Mb) by the gap-excluded and gap-compressed metrics, respectively. The values for the comparison with Swe2 were an order of magnitude higher (0.004% and 0.003% of divergence with Swe1 and Swe3, respectively), and about 0.04% of divergence using the gap-compressed metric. As discussed below, this likely reflects the different genome sequencing approaches. Nevertheless, the values for Swe1 and Swe3 give an estimate of the comparatively low sequence evolution of the Swe genomes over time.

### No Sexual Reproduction in D. coniospora Under Laboratory Conditions

Any interpretation of *D. coniospora* molecular evolution requires a knowledge of its reproductive mode. Whether or not *D. coniospora* has a sexual cycle remains unclear. Sexually reproducing fungi can be homothallic (self-fertile) or heterothallic (outcrossing) (Heitman et al., 2007). In ascomycetes, this is determined by the allelic combination of the genes of the mating type (*MAT*) loci, termed idiomorphs. In a homothallic species, individual cells will possess both *MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2* idiomorphs, while in heterothallic species, a single cell will have genes of only one idiomorph. Mating is then restricted to cells with complementary idiomorphs. Zhang et al. reported the *D. coniospora* ARSEF 6962 (called here Dan2) genome to include a well-conserved *MAT1-1* locus composed of 3 genes [*MAT1-1-1* (KYK59754.1), *MAT1-1-2* (KYK59755.1), and *MAT1-1-3* (KYK59756.1)], but no *MAT1-2* idiomorphs. Taken together with evidence for an active repeat induced point mutation (RIP) system, and the presence of genes for 2 RIP system proteins, as well as 4 heterokaryon incompatibility (HET) proteins, they suggested that *D. coniospora* might be heterothallic (Zhang et al., 2016). Dan2 is a derivative of the *D. coniospora* isolate CBS 615.82 that we refer to as Dan1 (Courtine et al., 2020). Like Dan2, the Dan1 genome contains genes for the 2 RIP system and 4 HET proteins, as well as 3 *MAT1-1* genes in

---

**TABLE 1** Differences between the Swe genomes.

| Query | Subject | Frequency of differences (per Mb) |
|-------|---------|----------------------------------|
|       |         | With homopolymers               | Without homopolymers            |
|       |         | Gap-excluded (Ns removed)       | Gap-compressed                  | Gap-excluded (Ns removed)       | Gap-compressed                  |
| Swe1  | Swe2    | 34                               | 550                             | 32                               | 425                             |
| Swe2  | Swe1    | 40                               | 512                             | 39                               | 387                             |
| Swe1  | Swe3    | 15                               | 83                              | 6                                | 1                               |
| Swe3  | Swe1    | 11                               | 86                              | 5                                | 1                               |
| Swe2  | Swe3    | 45                               | 512                             | 30                               | 400                             |
| Swe3  | Swe2    | 47                               | 550                             | 32                               | 412                             |
a single locus, but no MAT1-2 genes. While the Swe genomes contain genes for the same RIP system and HET proteins (Supplementary Table S6), no MAT genes were predicted in the original annotation of the Swe2 genome (Lebrigand et al., 2016). On the other hand, in the Swe2 region syntenic to the Dan1/Dan2 MAT1-1 locus, using BLASTX and TBLASTN searches, we found a putative MAT1-2-1 gene that had not been previously annotated, fully conserved in Swe1 and Swe3, but absent from the Dan1 and Dan2 genomes (Figure 2). Zhan et al. had proposed that D. coniospora’s adaptation to an endoparasitic lifestyle might result in a gradual loss of sexual reproduction (Zhang et al., 2016). On the basis of our analysis, while it remains possible that in nature D. coniospora is heterothallic, under our experimental conditions, the Swe strains used here will only reproduce in an asexual manner. Since spore formation involves a transition between two haploid states, with no meiosis, for this study, the D. coniospora isolate Swe1 and its derivatives can be considered to behave as haploid asexual clones.

Completion of the Swe Proteome

The absence of MAT1-2-1 from the predicted gene set for Swe2 suggested that there might be other lacunae in gene prediction. We therefore conducted an all-against-all comparison between the protein-coding genes of Dan2 and Swe2 (Genbank GCA_001625195.1 and GCA_001618945.1, respectively). This identified 298 genes present in Dan2 for which there was no equivalent prediction for Swe2. Detailed sequence searches in the Swe2 genome (see section Methods) revealed plausible sequences for 39 of them, and these were added to the Swe2 gene set. This set was then used to predict genes in the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes, using a sequential approach (see section Methods). Direct searches of the remaining 259 Dan2 genes against the Swe1 genome failed to identify any further previously unpredicted genes.

Genomic Comparison of the 3 D. coniospora Strains Reveals Mutated Genes

We then compared the respective sequences of the complete set of 8,701 protein-coding genes from the Swe1, Swe2, and Swe3 genomes. The vast majority, 94.7%, had precisely the same DNA sequence in the three genomes (Figure 3). The remaining 456 genes potentially contain mutations. In most cases (68.4%), the mutation was present in an intron. In no case did this affect splice donor or acceptor sites and these mutations would not be expected to affect the corresponding protein. We filtered out 103 of the remaining 144 genes either because the mutation was synonymous, and would not result in any change in the sequence of the corresponding protein, or since a potential mutation had been called because the polished Swe2 sequence still contained one or more N. Even though the three genomes are of high quality, 31 out of the remaining 41 potential mutations were found to be a consequence of an error in a homopolymeric sequence, despite short-read support for the consensus sequence (e.g., Supplementary Figure S3). These errors in the Swe1 and/or Swe3 genomes that were manually corrected precluded the use of standard SNP-calling tools, like GATK. It should be noted that similar errors will exist in non-coding regions that were not examined here. In the end, we identified with high confidence just 10 protein-coding genes with indels or non-synonymous mutations in exonic sequences.

When applicable, the changes that we found in the genomic sequence were fully supported by the transcriptomics data available for Swe2. Interestingly, in two cases, specifically for mutations that were predicted to appear between Swe2 and Swe3, the RNAseq reads were indicative of sequence heterogeneity (Supplementary Figure S4). The samples for RNAseq analysis had been collected following a particular culture regime, involving amplification in liquid media (Lebrigand et al., 2016). It appears that the RNAseq captured a snapshot of a heterogeneous population, with a mixture of both mutated and non-mutated fungal lineages, prior to subsequent fixation of the respective mutations.

The first example where we captured a mutation before it became the preponderant allele in the population corresponds to g932.t1/ODA82425.1 that encodes a transcription factor related to sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBP), homologous to Sre1 in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Swe1 and Swe2 share a common sequence, while in Swe3, the gene carries a premature stop codon that would be predicted to be a null mutation (Table 2). Sre1 is activated under hypoxic and sterol-depleted conditions and upregulates the expression of genes involved in sterol biosynthesis (Hughes et al., 2005). A similar process exists in Aspergillus species, for which the ability to grow under hypoxic conditions is linked to virulence (Chung et al., 2014). In fungi of the classes Sordariomycetes and Leotiomycetes, relatively close phylogenetically to Drechsleria, the homologues of SREBP, such as FgSre1 in Fusarium graminearum, are required for hypoxic growth, but are not involved in the regulation of sterol biosynthesis, which is controlled by the sterol uptake control protein FgSR (Liu et al., 2019). The gene g932.t1, which we refer to here as DcSre1, is
therefore potentially involved in growth under hypoxic and/or sterol-depleted conditions.

While in natural environments sterols can be limited, to support *C. elegans* growth, NGM is supplemented with cholesterol. The loss of *Dsre1* might have arisen as a consequence of prolonged growth on a sterol replete medium. To address the potential function of *Dsre1*, we therefore first assayed the growth of Swe3 on agar plates of NGM with or without added cholesterol. We observed no obvious difference between the high and low cholesterol conditions (Supplementary Figure S5). Since even without *Dsre1*, Swe3 is able to maintain its growth regardless of sterol levels, this suggests that *Dsre1*, like *FgSre1*, is not involved in the regulation of sterol biosynthesis. *D. coniospora* does possess an orthologue

### TABLE 2 | Summary of non-synonymous mutations.

| Gene      | Protein ID | Location | Mutation appearance | Mutation | Protein change | Protein length (Swe2) | Function |
|-----------|------------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| fg4743.t1 | ODA79924.1 | chr 3    | Swe1 > Swe2         | G1421C   | Ser(AGC) 347 Thr(ACC) | 398       | Unknown function      |
| g1354.t1  | ODA82845.1 | chr 2    | Swe1 > Swe2         | C1377T   | Leu(CTT) 408 Phe(TTT) | 813       | cAMP phosphodiesterase |
| g3072.t1  | ODA80305.1 | chr 1    | Swe1 > Swe2         | C490A    | Gin(CAG) 164 Lys(AAG) | 186       | Unknown function      |
| g8020.t1  | ODA75964.1 | chr 3    | Swe1 > Swe2         | A1230G   | Asn(AAC) 319 Asp(GAC) | 487       | Ras family            |
| g1885.t1  | ODA83372.1 | chr 2    | Swe1 > Swe2         | del 196  | AGCTACCC GGCCTAGTACAA C323T | 378       | cAMP dependent protein kinase |
| g7143.t1  | ODA77116.1 | chr 2    | Swe2 > Swe3         | Ser(TCA) 74 Leu(TTA) | 340 | Unknown function      |
| g7471.t1  | ODA67624.1 | chr 3    | Swe2 > Swe3         | G1486A   | Trp(TGG) 431 STOP(TGA) | 964 | Hamartin              |
| g7915.t1  | ODA75859.1 | chr 3    | Swe2 > Swe3         | G397T    | Asp(GAQ) 133 Tyr(TAC) | 490 | 26S proteasome regulatory subunit Rp7 |
| g932.t1   | ODA82425.1 | chr 1    | Swe2 > Swe3         | C1338T   | Gin(CAG) 420 STOP(TAG) | 984 | Transcription factor induced by hypoxia |
| g3500.t1  | ODA80732.1 | chr 1    | Swe2 > Swe3         | ins 209 C | Premature stop | 1270 | GTPase-activator protein for Ras-like GTPase |

FIGURE 3 | Classification of mutations identified between the genomes of the Swe strains. The overview includes the number of genes and mutations identified at each step of the workflow (see section Methods for details). Mutations identified in introns were individually examined; none affected splice donor or acceptor sites.
of *FgSR* (ODA81938.1), and we hypothesise that this plays the role of sterol biosynthesis regulator as in closely-related species. On the other hand, when we assayed Swe1 and Swe3 in the presence of CoCl$_2$, which mimics hypoxia (Lee et al., 2007), there was a significant difference in the growth of the two strains. While under standard conditions Swe3 grew faster than Swe1 (Figures 1F, 4A), at a concentration of 1 mM of CoCl$_2$, the situation was reversed and Swe1 clearly grew better than Swe3. Indeed Swe3's growth was as strongly inhibited as it was in the presence of 2 mM CoCl$_2$, when Swe1 failed to grow too. Thus, presumably as a consequence of the mutation in *DcSre1*, Swe3 has lost the capacity to adapt to conditions that mimic hypoxia. Whether loss of *DcSre1* function also leads to better growth under laboratory conditions, both in the absence of worms and during infection, remains to be determined.

In a previous study of transcriptional response of *C. elegans* at early stages of infection (Engelmann et al., 2011), the sequenced reads included some corresponding to a small fraction (6%) of the predicted fungal genes. There were 339 such genes only at 5 h post-infection (p.i), 142 only at 12 h (p.i.) and 56 at both time-points (Lebrigand et al., 2016). While the majority corresponded to genes expressed at high and relatively consistent levels in spores and mycelia, the expression of a small proportion appeared to be specifically elevated during infection. Interestingly, *DcSre1* was among this latter group. In an unrelated study using Dan2, looking at gene expression very late in infection (i.e., 8 days p.i. when worms would be expected to be dead), *DcSre1* did not stand out as being preferentially expressed, relative to mycelia growing in culture (Supplementary Table S7). This would be consistent with a role for *DcSre1* during the active phase of infection.

The second gene for which there was evidence for the mutation arising during liquid culture of Swe2 and being fixed in Swe3 was g7915.t1/ODA75859.1 (Table 2). It corresponds to the RPN7 subunit of the proteasome lid, required for the structural integrity of the complex (Isono et al., 2004). We identified a non-synonymous mutation at a highly conserved position within the Pfam RPN7 (PF10602) domain. The proteasome serves an essential role in cell physiology. We would predict this mutation to affect overall organisal fitness rather than being directly important for virulence.

Several other mutations affect genes involved in important cell functions (Table 2). Thus, we identified a non-sense mutation that occurred between Swe2 and Swe3 in the gene g7471.t1/ODA76724.1, encoding a protein similar to hamartin/tuberous sclerosis protein 1 (TSC1). The TSC1/TSC2 complex has GTPase Activating Protein (GAP) activity and is known to inactivate the Ras GTPase, Rheb (Rhh1/a). In *S. pombe*, loss of Tsc1 and/or Tsc2 function results in a decreased uptake of arginine and impacts amino acid biosynthesis (van Slegtenhorst et al., 2004). A second mutation, in gene g8020.t1/ODA75964.1, also potentially impacts Ras signalling as a non-synonymous change, N319D, occurred between Swe1 and Swe2 in the *D. coniospora* *Ras* gene itself. The mutation is predicted to affect the interaction with the Ras regulator GDP Dissociation Inhibitor that stabilises the inactive (GDP-bound) form of Ras in the cytosol (Müller and Goody, 2018). The mutations observed in *DcTsc1* and *DcRas1* have the potential to affect the overall growth capacity of *D. coniospora*.

Similarly, the predicted null mutation in gene g3500.t1/ODA80732.1 (a single nucleotide insertion causing a frameshift and so a precocious stop codon) affects the *D. coniospora* Bud2p homologue. In *S. cerevisiae*, Ras-GAP Bud2p activates the Ras protein Rsr1p/Bud1p, which controls the site of budding. Loss of function of Bud2 leads to the constitutive activation of Rsr1p/Bud1p and thus to a random budding site (Ni and Snyder, 2001). In *D. coniospora*, loss of DcBud2 might be expected to affect the pattern of hyphal branching, but the ramifications from a single germinating spore (see for example Figures 1E, 4B) confounded attempts at quantitative comparison. Like *DcSre1*, *DcBud2* was preferentially expressed during infection of *C. elegans*, relative to mycelia growing in culture (Supplementary Table S7), suggesting that this mutation might have an important role in pathogenesis, likely by affecting growth.

The remaining mutations affecting genes with well-characterised orthologues correspond to a pair of proteins that potentially function in the same regulatory pathway (Table 2). For one, gene g1354.t1/ODA82845.1, a non-synonymous mutation, L408F, occurred between Swe1 and Swe2. This gene (*DcPde1*) is predicted to encode a 3'5'-cAMP phosphodiesterase (PDE). Loss of PDE function would be expected to result in increased cAMP levels. For the second, gene g1885.t1/ODA83372.1, a 20 bp duplication in the Swe1 genome, predicted to render the corresponding protein non-functional, was lost in Swe2. Thus in this case, the mutation would be expected to restore function to the predicted regulatory subunit of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase (*DcCPKA*). In many fungi, cAMP levels act via cAMP-dependent protein kinases to influence growth, morphology and sporulation (Kim et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). Assuming that the mutation of *DcPde1* is a loss-of-function, in combination with the mutation in *DcCPKA*, growth and sporulation in Swe2 and Swe3 would be predicted to be more tightly controlled by the ATP/cAMP balance (Figure 5).

The remaining 3 proteins have poor or non-existent annotation. Genes g7143.t1/ODA77116.1 and g3072.t1/ODA80305.1 that acquired non-synonymous mutations at different steps of culture (Table 2) correspond to hypothetical proteins of unknown function, found in a phylogenetically restricted range of fungi. As the latter falls into the category of genes preferentially expressed during infection (Supplementary Table S7), pursuing functional studies on this gene would be particularly interesting. Still more extreme is the case of fg4743.t1/ODA79924.1. Despite using sensitive search tools (including HHblits (Steinwegger et al., 2019) against the UniRef100 database), we were unable to find any plausible homologues in any other species. Indeed, there is no equivalent of fg4743.t1 even in the genomes of the 2 available *D. coniospora* Dan strains. The gene was predicted *ab initio* with the software tool Augustus (Lebrigand et al., 2016), but has only very marginal RNAseq read support, with 11 and 16 reads in samples from mycelia and spores, respectively, not covering all of the predicted coding sequence and with none of the reads confirming the predicted intron-exon boundaries (Supplementary Figure S6).
We were unable to amplify a transcript from cDNA using sequence-specific PCR primers designed on the basis of the existing gene model. Thus if such a gene does exist, it is unique to the Swe strains of *D. coniospora* and its sequence gives no clue as to its possible function. Like all the genes described above, its potential role in virulence *per se* remains to be addressed.

**DISCUSSION**

The difference in virulence between Swe1 and its domesticated derivative Swe3 opened a potential path to dissect the molecular basis of pathogenicity in *D. coniospora*. As mentioned above, at the outset, we had little idea that we would culture *D. coniospora* for 20 years. Otherwise, we would have made adjustments to our method. We used the wild-type N2 strain of *C. elegans* to propagate *D. coniospora*. In line with recommended practice, the cultures of N2 were replaced from frozen stocks several times a year. Although this was not the specific intention, as a consequence, *D. coniospora* was grown in a host with an effectively stable genetic background. While this simplifies interpretation of any changes to *D. coniospora*, had a replicate been included for which N2 was not replaced, but allowed to accumulate mutations, we might have gained insight into host-pathogen co-evolution.

Our *in silico* analysis was, therefore, necessarily restricted to a genomic comparison of the different *D. coniospora* strains. As a first step, we improved the genome assembly for Swe2, as this served as our reference sequence for gene curation in the other two strains. We deliberately chose not to use *de novo* gene annotation tools on the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes as they still contain residual errors, particularly in homopolymeric sequences, affecting the accuracy of gene annotation (Courtine et al., 2020). The refined Swe2 genome is of high quality, with <1/1000 undefined bases on average. Given the degree of divergence in long fully-defined regions of the Swe genomes (i.e., 0.5 substitutions per 100 kb between Swe1 and Swe3), one would expect <70 changes in exonic sequence. Thus, we can predict that few if any SNPs and indels affecting protein-coding genes have been overlooked because of the remaining undefined bases. In the context of this study, improving the genome sequences further would require a disproportionate effort for relatively limited potential return.

Unexpectedly, on the basis of standard similarity metrics, the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences were reported to be more similar to each other than to Swe2, which makes little biological sense. This was also the case when the effect of erroneous homopolymer length determination associated with nanopore sequencing was eliminated. This difference is likely to reflect the strategy used to assemble the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes, compared to that for Swe2. Firstly, the average short-read coverage for Swe1 and Swe3 was close to 400X, four times higher than that for Swe2. This should increase the intrinsic sequence accuracy at each position. Perhaps more importantly, the long reads used for assembling the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes allowed unambiguous positions to be assigned to repeated elements, including transposons. During polishing, short-reads were recruited to the correct sequence.
At the DNA level, we found no evidence for incomplete spread of the different alleles that accumulated in Swe2 and then Swe3. In the simplest case of haploid selection, as a very rough estimate, a new allele that confers a 10% fitness advantage can go from a low to a high frequency in a population in 100 generations, while one associated with a 1% increase in fitness will take 1,000 generations. For this experiment with D. coniospora, a generation can be equated to one passage, from the addition of spores to a plate of C. elegans, to the harvesting of new spores, typically 1–2 weeks later. We have no way of knowing exactly when each new allele arose, but given their spread in a relatively small number of generations (estimated to be 25/year on average), excepting any case of hitch-hiking by a neutral allele, each is likely to confer a substantial increase in fitness. Ideally, we would be able to introduce the alleles singly into the Swe1 background (or that of Swe2, as appropriate) to determine their individual contributions to fitness and/or virulence. Our established D. coniospora transformation method (He and Ewbank, 2017) no longer functions and we are not currently able to alter specifically the fungal genome. As an alternative, one can heterologously express candidate virulence factors in C. elegans (Zhang et al., 2021), but this is only appropriate for D. coniospora proteins that are predicted to be secreted into the host, which is not the case for any of the candidates identified in the current study.

The most striking molecular change concerned DcCPKA. In Swe1, the gene is predicted to be non-functional, due to a tandem duplication of a short sequence element. This was lost in Swe2, putatively restoring function. Similar effects have been reported following long-term culture of S. cerevisiae, including one linked to adenine biosynthesis (ade2-1), wherein mutations reverted a premature stop codon in the parental strain so that the full ADE2 sequence could be translated (Johnson et al., 2021). Rearrangements involving tandem sequence elements like this can arise due to replication slippage and are well-known drivers of genomic change (Hancock, 1996). In the Dan1 and Dan2 genomes, the DcCPKA locus resembles that of Swe2 and is thus predicted to encode a functional protein. Sequence analysis of other environmental isolates of D. coniospora will be needed to establish how often DcCPKA function is lost in nature. It would also be extremely interesting to know whether the DcCPKA mutation appeared in our laboratory culture before or after the mutation in DcPde1, but unfortunately we have no cryopreserved samples between Swe1 and Swe2. Since we cannot be certain that the DcPde1 mutation in Swe2 represents a loss of function allele, it is also an open question as to whether the two mutations, in DcCPKA and DcPde1, are compensatory or mutually reinforce an effect on PKA signalling. Our current model is that the Swe2 DcCPKA allele encodes a functional regulatory protein, subject to control by cAMP levels, and that with decreased DcPde1p activity, the PKA pathway is more repressed in Swe2 than Swe1 for given levels of ATP and adenylyl cyclase activity. This model could be tested by site-specific mutation of the Swe1 genome, but, unfortunately, as explained above, this is currently not possible. Indeed, this is an important barrier to making definitive causative links between any of the observed mutations and the observed

with high-fidelity. For the Swe2 genome, without the support of long reads, some repeated elements were mis-positioned, or had an incorrect copy number, leading to genome compression, as described previously (e.g., Eccles et al., 2018), and reflected in the slightly smaller (ca. 1%) total size for the current Swe2 genome. In the absence of correct assembly, some short reads would be mapped to an erroneous genomic region and this can arise due to replication slippage and are well-known drivers of genomic change (Hancock, 1996). In the Dan1 and Dan2 genomes, the DcCPKA locus resembles that of Swe2 and is thus predicted to encode a functional protein. Sequence analysis of other environmental isolates of D. coniospora will be needed to establish how often DcCPKA function is lost in nature. It would also be extremely interesting to know whether the DcCPKA mutation appeared in our laboratory culture before or after the mutation in DcPde1, but unfortunately we have no cryopreserved samples between Swe1 and Swe2. Since we cannot be certain that the DcPde1 mutation in Swe2 represents a loss of function allele, it is also an open question as to whether the two mutations, in DcCPKA and DcPde1, are compensatory or mutually reinforce an effect on PKA signalling. Our current model is that the Swe2 DcCPKA allele encodes a functional regulatory protein, subject to control by cAMP levels, and that with decreased DcPde1p activity, the PKA pathway is more repressed in Swe2 than Swe1 for given levels of ATP and adenylyl cyclase activity. This model could be tested by site-specific mutation of the Swe1 genome, but, unfortunately, as explained above, this is currently not possible. Indeed, this is an important barrier to making definitive causative links between any of the observed mutations and the observed

![FIGURE 5](image_url) | Two mutations potentially affect the same pathway. Simplified diagram illustrating the regulation of protein kinase A (PKA) by cAMP. Under low cAMP condition, the PKA regulatory and catalytic subunits dimerize, leading to an inactive PKA. In Swe1, as the regulatory subunit is constitutively inactive, PKA would be predicted to be permanently active. In Swe2, the inactivating mutation of the regulatory subunit is reverted to wild-type (as indicated by the green cross), so PKA would again come under the control of cAMP levels. Its activity is also regulated by a cAMP phosphodiesterase (PDE), as this converts cAMP to AMP. The PDE bears a non-synonymous mutation in Swe2 (red cross). Assuming that this corresponds to a loss of function, the two mutations would act synergistically, as cAMP would accumulate, thereby accentuating the suppression of repression of PKA activity. Thus PKA would be predicted to be more active in Swe2 (and Swe3) than in Swe1 under the same culture conditions.
alteration of virulence. Evaluating the virulence (and other phenotypes) for Swe2 could help clarify the relative contribution of individual mutations, but this was beyond the scope of the current study.

Another potential limitation of our study reflects the use of Swe2 gene set as our reference. While we found no more paralogues for Dan2 genes in the Swe1 genome than in the Swe2 genome, if there are genes with mutations substantially altering their predicted coding sequence (e.g., introduction of a premature stop codon) in Swe2, they would be missing from the gene set and therefore not included in our analysis. Nevertheless, in common with DcCPKA and DcPde1, several of the mutations that were identified between Swe1 and Swe3 are likely to play general roles in fungal growth. Compared to the natural environment, laboratory culture conditions are relatively constant and it may be advantageous for D. coniospora to lose regulatory pathways that are not needed, as has been seen in other evolution experiments (reviewed in Kvitek and Sherlock, 2013; McDonald, 2019). Indeed, in one replicated study, a majority of mutations were found in three major signalling networks that regulate growth control, including the Ras/cAMP/PKA pathway that in D. coniospora involves DcCPKA and DcPde1. Although such mutations are predicted to be beneficial in a stable environment, they generally come at the cost of eliminating the metabolic plasticity needed when nutrient supplies change. We assume that this type of mutation will impact pathogenesis through a general effect on growth. D. coniospora has a relatively broad host tropism (Dijksterhuis et al., 1993, 1994). It would be interesting to investigate the virulence of the Swe strains toward a range of other more or less distantly-related nematode species, C. briggsae or Panagrellus spp., for example, as this would indicate whether increased virulence against C. elegans is specific, and has come at the cost of decreased pathogenicity toward other hosts. It remains an open question whether any of the other mutations we identified in three unannotated towards other hosts. It remains an open question whether any of the other mutations we identified in three unannotated pathways that in
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