Time-Dependent Characteristics of Two-Layer Functionally Graded Plates Adhesively Bonded by a Viscoelastic Interlayer Based on Kirchhoff Plate Theory
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1. Introduction

Functionally graded (FG) materials composed of two or more constituent phases are being extensively researched due to their continuous property along a particular orientation and are widely applied in weight sensitive areas, such as sensors and actuators, metallic porous and shape memory alloy structure [1-3] etc. Recently, FG material concept was also used in layered plate, including sandwich plates with FG core and/or FG face sheets, to meet the optimal structural design requirements [4-6]. The adjacent layers in layered FG plate are commonly connected by the flexible interlayer made of polymer adhesive with smaller modulus than that of FG layer, which inevitably leads to the slips in the interlayer [7-9]. Moreover, the polymer material naturally possesses the viscoelastic property; therefore, the mechanical behavior of the layered FG plate is actually time-dependent and can be greatly influenced by the interlayer [10-12]. The investigation of such a problem becomes essential and deserves in-depth studies.

It is generally known that the analytical solutions can be served as a benchmark to verify the veracity and accuracy of the numerical solutions. Several a nalytical models for the mechanical analysis of layered FG structures have been proposed in literature. Based on the Kirchhoff theory, Moita et al. [13] developed an efficient finite element model to analyze the active-passive damped FG sandwich plates with a viscoelastic core. Joseph and Mohanty [14] designed a finite element (FE) model relying on the first-order shear deformation theory for the analysis of the free vibration of a beam with FG constraining layer and viscoelastic core. By the use of Fourier series and Rayleigh-Ritz method, a unified accurate model based on the first-order shear deformation theory was provided by Yang et al. [15] to study the vibration and damping of a FG sandwich plate with a soft or hard core. According to the refined zigzag theory, Kolahchi et al. [16] dealt with the general wave propagation in a piezoelectric plate, whose core is consisted of several viscoelastic layers with temperature-dependent behaviors and reinforced by FG carbon nanotubes. Considering the initial geometrical imperfection, Tung [17] studied the bending and postbuckling of FG sandwich plate built upon the first order shear deformation theory by employing the Galerkin procedure. By virtue of the first order shear deformation theory, the electro elastic analysis of the thick-walled FG piezoelectric cylinder was explored by Rahimi et al. [18]. Nejad et al. [19] presented the elastic analysis of the exponential FG solid sphere based on the 2-dimensional elasticity theory. A novel quasi-3D shear deformation theory was created for the static and free vibration analysis of FG sandwich plates by Farzam-Rad et al. [20] with the application of the isogeometric analysis method. Furthermore, centered on nth-order shear deformation theory, the natural frequencies of plate with FG face layer and homogenous core have been studied by Xiang et al. [21] via the meshless global collocation method on account of the thin plate spline radial basis function. Foraboschi [22] proposed an analytical model for two-layer glass plate on the basis of the Kirchhoff plate theory.

However, in the above literatures, the connection between the adjacent layers in structures is considered as perfectly bonded condition or static slip interface, while the long-term response of structures caused by viscoelastic adhesive interlayer is neglected. In the present study, an analytical solution based on the Kirchhoff plate theory for two-layer FG plates bonded by a viscoelastic interlayer is proposed. The mechanical property of interlayer is simulated by the Maxwell-Wiechert model. With the incorporation of Fourier series expansion and energetic method, the potential energy equation of system is obtained and the deformation components can be solved. In addition, the effects of the geometry and material on the time-dependent behaviour of the structure are discussed in detail.

2. Theoretical model

The structure investigated is a two-layer plate of length $a$, width $b$ and thickness $H$, comprising two FG facial layers of thickness $h_i$ bonded by an adhesive interlayer of thickness $\Delta h_i$, as shown in Fig. 1, in which the scripts $i$ means the variables belongs to the $i$-th ($i=1, 2$) layer and the variable with superscript * means it belongs to interlayer. $E(z)$ and $\mu$ denote the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the FG layer, respectively, and $G(l)$ signifies the shear modulus of the interlayer. $\mu$ holds a constant value in each layer. The plate is subjected to vertical load $q(x, y)$ on its top surface and simply supported at four edges.

2.1. Assumptions

Following assumptions are proposed beforehand for the present study:

a) The plate deforms within the range of linearity;

b) The thickness of the adhesive interlayer is small; thus, the interlayer strains are assumed to be constant along the thickness direction;
c) The adhesive interlayer is rather soft compared with the FG layer; hence, the flexural stiffness in the thin interlayer is ignored.

2.2. Governing formulations for the FG layer

The Cartesian coordinate system o-xyz in Fig. 1 is introduced to identify the position of the present structure. Based on the Kirchhoff plate theory, the relations between the deformation components are given by:

\[ u' = u'_0 - (z-z_i) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \quad v' = v'_0 - (z-z_i) \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \quad i = 1, 2 \]  

in which, \( u' \), \( v' \) and \( w \) represents the deformations in \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively; \( z_i \) denotes the \( z \)-coordinate value of the middle plane of the \( i \)-th layer; \( u'_0 \) and \( v'_0 \) are defined as the deformations of \( u' \) and \( v' \) on the middle plane, respectively. \( u' \) and \( v' \) are functions of \( x \), \( y \) and \( t \), while \( w \) is the function of \( x \), \( y \) and \( t \). The geometrical relations can be written as:

\[ \varepsilon'_i = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial y} \right] - (z-z_i) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \gamma'_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \right), \quad i = 1, 2 \]  

where: \( \varepsilon'_i \), \( \varepsilon'_i \), and \( \gamma'_{xy} \) are the strains of the \( i \)-th layer. The constitutive equations in plate are given by:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_{x} \\ \sigma'_{y} \\ \tau'_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E_i(z)}{(1-\mu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mu & 0 \\ \mu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_x \\ \varepsilon'_y \\ \gamma'_{xy} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]  

By substituting Eq. (2) to Eq. (3), one has:

\[ \sigma'_{x} = \frac{E_i(z)}{(1-\mu^2)} \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial y} - (z-z_i) \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right) \right] \]  

\[ \sigma'_{y} = \frac{E_i(z)}{(1-\mu^2)} \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial x} - (z-z_i) \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y \partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \right] \]  

\[ \tau'_{xy} = \frac{E_i(z)}{2(1+\mu)} \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial y} - \mu \frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial x} - 2(z-z_i) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y \partial x} \right], \quad i = 1, 2. \]  

Fig. 1 A two-layer functionally graded plate with viscoelastic interlayer

2.3. Governing formulations for the interlayer

The adhesives commonly possess the viscoelastic property, which leads to that the whole system exhibits time-dependent behavior [23]. The Maxwell-Wiechert model, consisting of a series of spring-dashpot units and a spring in parallel as shown in Fig. 2, is adopted for the simulation of the viscoelasticity. Thus, \( G'(t) \) can be expressed in the form of Prony series, as follows:

\[ G'(t) = G'_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^{n} G'_j e^{-t/\theta_{Gj}}, \]  

in which, \( G'_\infty \) means the long-term modulus; \( G'_j \) denotes the relaxation modulus; \( \theta_{Gj} \) represents the relaxation time. These viscoelastic parameters can be achieved by long-term creep tests [24]. As shown in Fig. 3, the geometric equations in the interlayer are given by:

\[ \begin{aligned} &\gamma'_{xc} = \frac{u'_2 - u'_0 - \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} h_0}{\Delta h} \\ &\gamma'_{yc} = \frac{v'_2 - v'_0 - \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} h_0}{\Delta h} \end{aligned} \]  

Fig. 2 The Maxwell–Wiechert model

By substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (6), the shear strains can be rewritten as:

\[ \begin{aligned} &\gamma'_{xc} = \frac{1}{\Delta h} \left[ u'_2 - u'_0 - \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} h_0 \right] \\ &\gamma'_{yc} = \frac{1}{\Delta h} \left[ v'_2 - v'_0 - \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} h_0 \right] \end{aligned} \]  

where: \( h_0 = (z_2 - z_1) \). According to the Boltzmann superposition principle [25, 26], the constitutive equations in the interlayer can be expressed in the form:

\[ \begin{aligned} &\tau'_{xc}(t) = G'(t) \gamma'_{xc}(0) + \int_0^t G'(t-\xi) \frac{\partial \gamma'_{xc}(\xi)}{\partial \xi} d\xi, \\ &\tau'_{yc}(t) = G'(t) \gamma'_{yc}(0) + \int_0^t G'(t-\xi) \frac{\partial \gamma'_{yc}(\xi)}{\partial \xi} d\xi. \end{aligned} \]  

This means the stress depends on both the current strain and the strain history. However, the calculation considering the strain history is complicated and time-consuming. The quasi-elastic approximation method, which neglects the strain history, can be employed to simplify the viscoelastic constitutive equation. Thus, the stress equations of the viscoelastic interlayer can be reduced as:
\[
\tau_{y_0}^{(t)} = G^*(t) \gamma_{y_0}^{*\prime}(t), \quad \tau_{y_1}^{(t)} = G^*(t) \gamma_{y_1}^{*\prime}(t).
\]  

(9)

It should be pointed out that solution based on the quasi-elastic approximation is always on the side of safety in comparison of the exact solution [12, 27].

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations of Eq. (13) are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial u_i/\partial x)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial u_i/\partial y)} \right) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial v_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial v_i/\partial x)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial v_i/\partial y)} \right) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial w/\partial x)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\partial w/\partial y)} \right) &= 0, \\
+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(14)

Then, by substituting Eqs. (11-13) into the above equations, one obtains:

\[
\begin{align*}
G^* \left( u_0^2 - u_0 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} h_0 \right) + R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial y^2} &= 0, \\
- R_i \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} - R_i \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} &= 0 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x \partial y}, \\
G^* \left( v_0^2 - v_0 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} h_0 \right) - R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial y^2} &= 0, \\
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} &= 0, \\
G^* \left( w_0^2 - w_0 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} h_0 \right) - R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x^2} &= 0, \\
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} &= 0, \\
G^* \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} h_0 \right) + R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu \right) R_i \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial y^2} &= 0, \\
+ R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x^2} + R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} &= 0, \\
+ R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} + R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x^2} + R_i \frac{\partial^3 v_i}{\partial x \partial y} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)

The boundary conditions of the simply supported layered FG plate are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + q(x, y, t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)
\[ \sigma_x = v = w = 0 \text{ at } x=0, \ a; \]
\[ \sigma_y = u = w = 0 \text{ at } y=0, \ b. \]  
(16)

Resorting to the Navier’s method, the applied load and the deformations are expanded as the form of double trigonometric series:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    u_0^1 \\
    u_0^2 \\
    v_0^1 \\
    v_0^2 \\
    w \\
    q
\end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 
\begin{bmatrix}
    u_m^{1m}(t) \cos(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_m y) \\
    u_m^{2m}(t) \cos(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_m y) \\
    v_m^{1m}(t) \sin(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_m y) \\
    v_m^{2m}(t) \sin(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_m y) \\
    w_m^{1m}(t) \sin(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_m y) \\
    q_m^{1m}(t) \sin(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_m y)
\end{bmatrix},
\]  
(17)
in which, \( \alpha_m = m \pi / a, \beta_n = n \pi / b, q_m^{1m}(t) = \frac{4}{ab} \int_0^a \int_0^b q(x, y, t) \sin(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) dx dy. \)

By substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (15), the unknown variables can be obtained by the Cramer’s Rule and given by as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    u_1^{1m}(t) \\
    u_1^{2m}(t) \\
    v_1^{1m}(t) \\
    v_1^{2m}(t) \\
    w_1^{1m}(t) \\
    q_1^{1m}(t)
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\varphi_1^{1m}} 
\begin{bmatrix}
    \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t) \\
    \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t) \\
    \beta_m \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t) \\
    \beta_m \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t) \\
    \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t) \\
    \varphi_1^{2m} p_1 + p_1 G^1(t)
\end{bmatrix},
\]  
(18)
where: \( \varphi_1^{1m} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}, \ p_1 = \Delta h R_1^1 R_1^2, \)
\( p_2 = h_1 R_1^1 R_1^2 + h_1 R_1^1 R_1^2, \ p_3 = \Delta h R_1^1 R_1^2, \)
\( p_4 = -h_1 R_1^1 R_1^2 + R_1^1 + R_1^2, \ p_5 = \Delta h R_1^1 R_1^2, \)
\( p_6 = R_1^1 + R_1^2, \)
\( r_1 = \Delta h [R_1^1 (R_1^1 + R_1^2) + R_1^2 (R_1^1 + R_1^2)] + \Delta h R_1^1 R_1^2, \)
\( r_2 = (R_1^1 + R_1^2)^2 + R_1^1 (-2h_1 R_1^1 - R_1^2 - R_1^2) + \)
\( + R_1^2 (-h_1 R_1^1 + 2h_1 R_1^1 - R_1^2 - R_1^2), \)
\( r_3 = \Delta h [R_1^1 (R_1^1 + R_1^2) + R_1^2 (R_1^1 + R_1^2)] + \Delta h R_1^1 R_1^2, \)
\( r_4 = R_1^1 (-h_1 R_1^1 - 2h_1 R_1^1 - R_1^2 - R_1^2) + \)
\( R_1^2 (R_1^1 + R_1^2)^2 + (2h_1 R_1^1 - R_1^2 - R_1^2). \)

By substitution of the determined variables in Eq. (18) back into Eqs. (17), (1) and (4), respectively, the analytical solutions of stress and deformation can be obtained eventually.

3. Numerical examples and discussion

In this section, a FG system with two constituents, formed by metal and ceramic materials, is considered, and their volume fractions are rendered as the power-law relations through the z direction, which are respectively defined by:

\[ V_{m,1}(z) = \begin{cases} 
1 - (z/h_1)^{\beta} & \text{for } k_1 \geq 1 \\
1 - (z/h_1)^{\beta_1} & \text{for } k_1 \leq 1
\end{cases}, \]
\[ V_{m,2}(z) = \begin{cases} 
1 - [(H-z)/h_2]^\beta & \text{for } k_2 \geq 1 \\
1 - [(H-z)/h_2]^\beta_2 & \text{for } k_2 \leq 1
\end{cases}, \]
\[ V_{c}(z) = 1 - V_{m,1}(z), \]
\[ V_{c,2}(z) = 1 - V_{m,2}(z). \]
(19)
in which, the scripts \( m \) and \( c \) represent the variables belonging to the metal and ceramic layers, respectively; \( k_i \) is non-negative real number named as gradient factor. The layer degenerates to a metal case when \( k_i \to \infty \), while it degenerates to a ceramic case as \( k_i \to 0 \). The elastic moduli in FG layers are determined by the modified rule of mixtures [28], as follows:

\[ E_i(z) = \frac{E_m V_{m,i}(z) (\phi + E_m) + E_c V_{c,i}(z) (\phi + E_m)}{V_{m,i}(z) (\phi + E_m) + V_{c,i}(z) (\phi + E_m)}, \]
(20)
where: \( \phi \) means the ratio of stress to strain transferring between the two phases which can be achieved by material test [29, 30]. The typical metal-ceramic FG system Al/SiC is chosen to be analyzed in this part and the basic properties of this system are \( E_m = 67 \text{ GPa}, E_c = 302 \text{ GPa}, \phi = 91.6 \text{ GPa} \) and \( \mu_m = \mu_c = 0.25 \text{ [29]} \).

Eight variables are beforehand defined for the following analysis: \( \sigma_x^1, \sigma_x^2, w^1, w^2 \) represent \( \sigma_x^1, \sigma_x^2 \) and \( w \) at \( x=0.5a, y=0.5b, z=0 \) respectively; \( \tau_{x y}^1, \tau_{x y}^2, u^1 \) and \( v^1 \) at \( x=0.25a, y=0.25b, \Delta z=h_1 \) respectively; \( \tau_{x y}^2, \tau_{x y}^3 \) and \( \tau_{x y}^4 \) at \( x=0.25a, y=0.25b, \Delta z=h_1 \) respectively. The variable with two external vertical bar denotes its absolute value, e.g., \( |w| \); meanwhile the variable with subscript max represents its maximum value, e.g., \( w_{\text{max}} \).

3.1. Validation analysis

In this part, the Al/SiC system is applied in FG layers and the shear relaxation moduli of the PVB as the interlayer are listed in Table 1. The analytical solutions are compared with the FE solutions which are given by the commercial software ANSYS. The material of interlayer is simulated by the VISCO-89 elements. Since ANSYS cannot directly model the FG material, the two FG layers here are equally divided into \( \lambda \) isotropic sub-layers with each modeled by the PLANE-183 element. The elastic modulus in each sub-layer is determined by \( E_i(z_i^k) \), in which \( z_i^k \) represents the \( x \)-coordinate of the middle plane of each sub-layer. The FG layer and interlayer in \( x-y \) plane are divided by \( k \times \lambda \) parts. The geometric and material parameters of the plate are taken as \( a=1000 \text{ mm}, H=20 \text{ mm}, k_1=k_2 \).
Table 1  
The relaxation moduli and relaxation time in the viscoelastic interlayer (PVB)  

| $j$ | $G_i^r$, MPa | $\theta_{j\rightarrow j}$, s |
|-----|--------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | 75.6426      | $3.256\times10^{-11}$    |
| 2   | 37.0677      | $4.949\times10^{-11}$    |
| 3   | 137.1552     | $7.243\times10^{-8}$     |
| 4   | 33.5140      | $9.864\times10^{-8}$     |
| 5   | 126.6048     | $2.806\times10^{-3}$     |
| 6   | 42.1950      | $1.644\times10^{-3}$     |
| 7   | 14.2162      | $2.265\times10^{-6}$     |
| 8   | 3.3822       | $3.336\times10^{-6}$     |
| 9   | 0.4538       | $9.368\times10^{-6}$     |
| 10  | 0.1912       | $6.414\times10^{-6}$     |
| 11  | 0.0289       | $4.135\times10^{-6}$     |
| $\infty$ |       | 0.0880                 |

Table 2 displays the comparison of $\sigma_{ij}^r$, $\tau_{ij}^*$, and $w^j$ between the present results and FE ones with $k_1=3$ and $t=10^4$ s for different $\lambda$ and different length-width ratios $a/b$, respectively. It can be observed from Table 2 that a good agreement is obtained between the present and FE results as $\lambda$ increases, and the relative errors of stresses and deformations are less than 2.25% when $\lambda=20$. It is worth emphasizing that the precise FE solutions are highly time-consuming to be obtained because of the fine mesh both in geometric shape and the time step.

3.2. Parametric analysis

Consider a simply supported layered Al/SiC FG plate subjected to a sinusoidal load $q(x, y) = -sin(\pi x/\alpha)\sin(\pi y/\beta)$ N/mm² on its top surface. The geometric parameters are fixed at $a = 800$ mm, $b = 1000$ mm, $\Delta h=0.2$ mm.

The distributions of stresses and deformations in structure with $k_1=k_2=1/3$ when $t=10$ s, $10^2$ s, $10^3$ s are presented in Fig. 4, in which PB means the perfectly bonded case. It can be observed from Fig. 4 that $|\sigma_{ij}^r|$ and $|w^j|$ close to the surfaces and the interlayer increases with $t$, and $|w^j|$ increases with $t$. $|\tau_{iz}^*$| max in x-y plane decreases with $t$, while $|\tau_{xy}^*$| in x-y plane increases with $t$. Compared with the PB case, $|\sigma_{ij}^r|_{\text{max}}, |w^j|_{\text{max}}, |\tau_{iz}^*|_{\text{max}}$ and $|\tau_{xy}^*|_{\text{max}}$ increases by 157.3%, 82.3%, 191.7%, 82.4%, respectively when $t=10^3$ s, while $|\tau_{xy}^*|_{\text{max}}$ decreases by 77.1%.

![Fig. 4 Distributions of the stress and deformation components and those corresponding PB cases when $t=10$ s, $10^2$ s and $10^3$ s, respectively when $k_1=k_2=1/3$](image-url)
The influences of $k$ and $\Delta h$ on $\sigma_x^i$, $\tau_{xz}^i$, $w^i$ in model III are presented in Fig. 5. It can be found from Fig. 5 that for a given $\Delta h$, $|\sigma_x^i|$ and $|w^i|$ increase with $t$ and reach at a fixed value as $t$ is close to $10^{10}$ s, while $|\tau_{xz}^i|$ decreases with $t$ and is reduced to a constant as $t$ draws near $10^{10}$ s. The rise of the $\Delta h$ leads to an obvious increase of $|\sigma_x^i|$ and $|w^i|$ at any $t$, while $|\tau_{xz}^i|$ exhibits a downward trend as $\Delta h$ increases at any $t$. For a given $k$, $|\sigma_x^i|$ and $|w^i|$ increase with $t$ and reach at a fixed value as $t$ is close to $10^{10}$ s. Similarly, $|\sigma_x^i|$ and $|w^i|$ increase with the rise of $k$ and tend to be constants at any $t$. For a given $k$, $|\tau_{xz}^i|$ monotonically decreases with $t$ and tend to be a constant as $t$ draws near $10^{10}$ s. In early stage, $|\tau_{xz}^i|$ increases initially and then decreases with the rise of $k$, while $|\tau_{xz}^i|$ monotonically goes up as $k$ increases when $t>10^3$ s.

![Graphs showing variations of stress and displacement](image)

**Fig. 5 Variations of $\sigma_x^i$, $\tau_{xz}^i$ and $w^i$ with time for different gradient factor and different interlayer thickness**

### 4. Conclusions

In the present work, an analytical solution based on the Kirchhoff plate theory is proposed to analyze the time-dependent behaviors of the two-layer FG plate with adhesive interlayer. The obtained results provide the following conclusions:

1. The FE results are in great agreement with the present one. However, the FE solutions are highly time-consuming to be obtained because of the fine mesh both in geometric shape and the time step.
2. The longitudinal stress, longitudinal displacement and deflection increase with time, while the shear stress in
the interlayer decreases with time. In contrast to the perfectly bonded case, the maximum value of deflection increases by 191.7% and that of shear stress decreases by 77.1%.

3. The stress and deformation both tend to be constant in the long term. The increase of the thickness of the interlayer leads to the growth of the longitudinal stress and deflection, while the shear stress in the interlayer decreases as the interlayer thickness increases.

4. The longitudinal stress and deflection both show an upward trend as gradient factor increases and remain unchanged at any time. However, with the rise of gradient factor, the shear stress increases initially and then decreases to a constant in early stage, while in the medium term it has a monotonic rise and then stays the same as gradient factor increases.
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Z. Y. Yang, P. Wu, W. Q. Liu

TIME-DEPENDENT CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO-LAYER FUNCTIONALLY GRADED PLATES ADHESIVELY BONDED BY A VISCOELASTIC INTERLAYER BASED ON KIRCHHOFF PLATE THEORY

Summary

An analytical solution is proposed to investigate the time-dependent characteristics of two-layer functionally graded plates with a viscoelastic interlayer. The elastic modulus in each graded layer varies through the thickness following an arbitrary function, and its mechanical properties are described based on the Kirchhoff theory. The Maxwell–Wiechert model is applied to simulate the viscoelastic adhesive interlayer with the neglect of memory effect. The energy equation of the system is expressed by the deformation components, which are expanded as the double trigonometric series. By virtue of variational method, the solutions of stress and deformation are determined efficiently. The comparison study indicates that the present solution matches the finite element solution well; however, the finite element method is highly time-consuming because of the fine mesh in the geometric shape and the time step. Finally, the influences of the geometry and material on the time-dependent behavior of the structure are discussed in detail.

Keywords: functionally graded material, viscoelastic interlayer, time-dependent behavior, variational method.
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