Patterns of attendances to the hospital emergency eye care service: a multicentre study in England
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BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: To characterise the patterns of presentation and diagnostic frequencies in Hospital Emergency Eye Care Services (HEECS) across 13 hospitals in England.

METHODS: Retrospective, cross-sectional, observational multi-centre (n = 13) study to assess HEECS attendances over a 28-day study period. Data derived included: number of consecutive attendances, patient demographics and diagnoses. Age and gender variations, the impact of day of the week on attendance patterns, diagnostic frequencies and estimates of the annual incidence and attendance rates were evaluated.

RESULTS: A total of 17,667 patient (mean ± standard deviation age = 49.6 ± 21.8 years) attendances were identified with an estimated HEECS annual new attendance rate of 31.0 per 1,000 population. Significantly more females (53%) than males (47%) attended HEECS (p < 0.001). Female attendances were 13% higher in those ≥50 years of age. Weekends were associated with a significant reduction in attendances compared to weekdays (χ² = 6.94, p < 0.001). Among weekdays, Mondays and Fridays were associated with significantly higher attendances compared with midweek (χ² = 2.20, p = 0.032). Presenting pathologies involving the external eye, cornea and conjunctiva accounted for 28.6% of the caseload.

CONCLUSION: This is the largest multicentre study assessing attendance patterns in HEECS in England. We have, for the first time, observed a “weekend effect” in relation to attendance to HEECS. Differences in health-seeking behaviour and lack of awareness of HEECS weekend services may be partly attributed to the differences observed. Our findings, along with the type of presentations, have the potential to guide commissioners with future planning of HEECS.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, there has been a rise in demand for ophthalmology led Hospital Emergency Eye Care Services (HEECS) in England [1]. London’s two largest HEECS units, Moorfields Eye Hospital and the Western Eye Hospital, have reported an increase in annual attendance by 7.9% and 9.6% per year respectively [2, 3]. The increase in attendance in HEECS have been attributed to (a) increasing population size and ageing demographic, (b) further indications for treatment, (c) defensive medico-legal practice amongst community practitioners [4], (d) changes to service accessibility and (e) patient health-seeking behaviours [5].

Ophthalmology-led HEECS within the NHS can be accessed through an appointment-based (AB) system, a walk-in (WI) service, or a combination of the two. Access to HEECS is predominantly available during extended outpatient clinic hours. Outside of these hours, patients often have access to on-call services [5, 6].

Community-based optometry schemes have been made available in some regions of UK. Examples include the Primary Eye-care Acute Referral Scheme, Acute Community Eye-care services, and Minor Eye Conditions Services. The overarching aims of these schemes are to provide patients with access to an eye care professional in their local area, subsequently reducing the burden of low-risk pathology presentations on HEECS [7].

Information regarding the nature and patterns of demand on HEECS is essential for planning acute eye care service provision. There is limited published data from the UK, and previous reports
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have been confined to single centre studies [8]. Therefore, this multi-centre study, utilising data from across England, aims to describe the demographic and diagnostic patterns in acute eye care presentations to better understand demands on HEECS and to facilitate the commissioning and provision of emergency eye care.

METHODOLOGY

This Triple E (English Emergency Eye-care) study is a retrospective, cross-sectional, observational multicentre study, conducted by members of the British Emergency Eye Care Society (BEECS) across 13 different ophthalmology-led HEECS centres in England (Fig. 1). The Triple E study involved a convenience sample of centres in England selected based on the engagement of clinicians from those locations in BEECS. We aimed to include a variety of sizes of units, defined by the number of attendances, and service designs. This included 7 walk-in centres and 6 centres with booked or acute referral clinics. There were 5 large-sized (> 1000 average attendances per month), 6 mid-sized (between 500 and 1000 average attendances per month) and 2 small-sized (< 500 average attendances per month) centres. The BEECS study group defined a 28-day study period from 6th January 2020 to 2nd February 2020, inclusive. All participating sites collected data for consecutive patient attendances in their HEECS during this period for face-to-face consultations. Each study site had its own method of data recording and diagnostic categories. Data recording was found to be either solely electronic notes, paper-based or a hybrid system of using both paper documentation and electronic record of diagnoses and activity letters. Standardisation of data collection across different sites was achieved through the distribution of a centralised proforma with pre-defined diagnoses. Sites collected information on patient age, gender, date and time of attendance and diagnosis given at that consultation. Diagnoses were further sub-grouped according to their respective subspecialist categories (supplementary figure 1). Each centre also provided their respective monthly attendances during the previous three years between January and April. Each HEECS centre sought approval from its local research and development department. Centres were invited to include either new patients or a combination of new patients and urgent follow-up patients. Where possible, centres were advised to categorise each attendance to be either new or follow-up. Collected data were anonymised and then cleaned by the study investigators. To ensure standardisation of disease definitions, diagnoses and subspeciality categorisation, two independent external data validation tests were conducted. When multiple diagnoses were present, only the main diagnosis for their presenting complaint was attributed. The validation process involved evaluating the electronic data submitted by each centre for every patient to ensure that they correctly defined the appropriate diagnosis and diagnosis subcategory. In cases with insufficient data, the investigators for those centres were approached for further clarification.

To estimate the annual attendance rate, only data of new patients of ‘walk in’ HEECS were used. AB attendances were excluded from this calculation as their attendances are determined by the number of available clinical appointments. This provided the number of new WI attendances in the 28-day period. The annual number of HEECS attendances were derived by extrapolating the
number of HEECS attendances for a year, based on this 28-day data. The catchment population of HEECS was derived from estimating the population served by each of the study centres across England. This was based on the relative general A&E attendances at each of the centres in comparison to the total A&E attendances in England (supplementary table 1) [9]. Moorfields Eye Hospital was excluded from these calculations since it was a standalone centre without a general A&E service. The annual age-specific attendance rates were estimated using demographic data from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) [10].

Only centres with a seven-day WI service with the same opening hours irrespective of the day of the week were used to assess the effect of the day of the week on the number of attendances. We further divided the data into weekdays (Monday to Friday) and weekends (Saturday and Sunday).

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2019. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for normality of the data. Gender and age in the study population and general population of England (derived from the latest update of the ONS) [10] demonstrated non-normally distributed data. Rates of attendances over the seven-day week demonstrated normally distributed data.

The Pearson chi-squared test ($\chi^2$) was used to assess the relative proportions between the following groups: (a) males and females in the study population compared to the general population in England (ONS data); (b) age and gender proportions for each subspecialty group.

An unpaired t-test was used to examine differences between the mean attendances on weekdays and weekends. Similarly, an unpaired t-test was used to test our null hypotheses that there were no differences in mean attendances for Monday and Friday in comparison to the midweek (Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday). Data was transformed for each day attendance as a percentage of total attendance per week. All analyses were considered significant at a type 1 probability value of $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

### Age and gender

During the 28-day study period, a total of 17,667 patients (mean ± standard deviation age = 49.2 ± 21.8 years) attended HEECS across all sites. A higher percentage of females (53.3%) presented to HEECS compared to males (46.7%). This proportion of females presenting to HEECS during the study period was significantly higher in comparison to that reported for the general population (ONS data) ($\chi^2 = 52.9, p < 0.001$). The preponderance of females in the HEECS study amongst those ≥50 years was also found to be significant ($\chi^2 = 34.4, p < 0.001$) showing a difference of 13% more females than males in our study. There were no significant differences in the proportion of males and females aged <50 years attending HEECS when compared to the general population ONS data ($\chi^2 = 3.35, p = 0.07$). Figure 2 illustrates the overall demographic data based on age and gender.

We estimated that the population served by the 12 centres (excluding Moorfields Eye Hospital) to represent ~9.3% of the total population in England. The estimated annual WI HEECS attendance rate was 31.0 per 1000 population for new patients. This
was observed on Mondays and Fridays compared to other days (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, a significant increase in attendance compared with those aged in the 5–9 age group had the lowest attendance rate. Figure 3 illustrates the age-specific attendances over the 28-day period.

was based on three WI HEECS services representing 5057 midweek days.

The highest annual attendance rate was observed in the 80–84-year age group with approximately a five-fold higher rate of attendance compared with those aged in the 5–9-year group, who had the lowest attendance rate. Figure 3 illustrates the age-specific annual attendance rates per 1000 population.

### Attendance by ‘Day of the week’

The highest proportion of attendances were on Mondays (16.6% of total week caseload) and Fridays (15.7%). The lowest proportion of attendances were on Sundays (10.0%) and Saturdays (13.0%) (Fig. 4). Overall, a significant reduction in attendance was observed on weekends in comparison to weekdays (t = -2.20, p = 0.032) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, a significant increase in attendance was observed on Mondays and Fridays compared to other weekdays (t = -6.94, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4).

### Attendance by subspecialty

Of the total 17,667 HEECS attendances observed across the 28-day study period, 15,400 (87.2%) had sufficient diagnostic data to enable appropriate subspecialty grouping. Cases with insufficient data and patients that self-discharged prior to the clinical consultation were excluded from this sub-study. External eye disease, cornea, and conjunctiva group (n = 4404) were the most frequently presenting diagnoses, representing 28.6% of cases. Trauma (n = 2110), adnexal (n = 2094) and vitreoretinal (n = 1648) conditions followed, representing 13.7%, 13.6%, and 10.7% of attendances, respectively. Subspecialties of ‘other’ and ‘glaucoma’ groups had the least frequent attendances with the latter ‘glaucoma’ group (n = 323) representing just 2.1% of all case attendances to HEECS. Table 1 illustrates the breakdown of attendance based on subspecialties.

Our results showed that in all but one subgroup category there was a statistically significant difference in the proportion of attendances between those <50 and those ≥50 years old. The most significant difference was observed in vitreoretinal cases (3.3% vs. 16.6% respectively, \( \chi^2 = 486.09, p < 0.001 \)) and trauma (21.1% vs. 7.9% respectively, \( \chi^2 = 369.92, p < 0.001 \)). The exception to these observed differences was in the uveitis group, where a near equal proportion of cases were seen in both age groups; <50 groups (9.6%) and the ≥50 groups (9.0%) (\( \chi^2 = 1.03, p = 0.310 \)). Subgroup analysis by age ≥50 years and gender are also highlighted in Table 1.

There were significantly more proportion of female patients (7.4%) presenting with neuro-ophthalmological conditions than male patients (4.6%) (\( \chi^2 = 51.46, p < 0.001 \)). Furthermore, there were significantly more proportion of female patients (6.7%) than male patients (4.8%) in the ‘no ocular abnormality detected’ group (\( \chi^2 = 23.46, p < 0.001 \)).

Seventy percent of all trauma cases were observed in patients <50 years old and this rose to 83.6% when including all patients <60 years old. There were significantly more males (18.7%) who presented with trauma compared to females (9.2%) (\( \chi^2 = 292.64, p < 0.001 \)). Trauma-related pathology was the most common presentation in males <50 years old (29.6%). The ratio of males: females ≤16 years presenting with trauma was 1.32:1.

Comparatively, in females <50 years old, trauma accounted for only 13% of presentations while almost half of presentations were due to adnexal and external eye diseases (48.1%).

### DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, we have reported the largest multicentre observational study in England assessing clinical presentations to HEECS. This Triple E study highlights the spectrum of diagnoses and demographics of patients accessing HEECS during a 4-week period in 2020 across 13 different sites in England. To date, literature reporting the annual attendance rates for HEECS has been scarce. Based on a sample of 28 days, we have estimated the annual attendance of new HEECS presentation to be 31 per 1000 population. Our results corroborate previous estimates of new eye casualty attendances, which have been between 20-30 per 1000 population per year [11].
Compared to the ONS data, our results showed that the largest proportion of patients accessing HEECS were between those aged 50–90 years. This in part can be explained by the increased presentations of ocular emergencies in the older age groups such as vitreoretinal, retinal vascular and macular disorders [5, 12, 13]. After adjusting for the population differences within each age group, the highest attendance rate was observed in the 80–84-year age group.

We observed a significantly greater proportion of female patients accessing HEECS than male patients in comparison to the gender difference in the general population. One study of urgent eye care services [14] and another review of patients attending general practice in the UK [15] found similar female preponderances. After allowing for the gender difference in the general population of England, we found that female patients were 17% more likely to present to HEECS in those ≥50 years of age.

The observed gender differences may partly attributed to the differences in health seeking behaviours between males and females [15–18]. Further studies would be required to assess if the lower attendance rate in males ≥50 years of age puts them at greater risk of sight threatening harm than females. Conversely, our findings may represent an underutilisation of community eye care services by females, or a health education need to address the greater number of females presenting to HEECS for low sight-threatening risk presentations that may be appropriately self-managed. A secondary consequence of sight-threatening disease includes the significant impact on the patients’ social and psychological wellbeing and the impact on the wider community, and evaluation of gender differences in this would also be useful [19–21].

To our knowledge, this study is the first to objectively report on the impact of the day of the week on emergency eye care attendances and an apparent ‘weekend effect’, where there was a significant reduction in attendance on weekends compared to weekdays. Previous studies reporting trends in general A&E attendances showed the highest attendance was on a Monday (10% higher than any other day) and lowest on a Friday, with no significant difference in the number of attendances on weekends compared to the weekdays [22, 23]. Anecdotal experience from the authors suggested the contrary in that acute eye departments seem less busy over the weekend. Our observation of an apparent ‘weekend effect’ would need further research to fully understand these findings. One explanation may be a perception of the lack of awareness regarding the availability of HEECS during the weekend. This may change health-seeking behaviours on a weekend where patients may access alternative sources such as a local pharmacy, optometrist or present earlier or later, avoiding weekends. Furthermore, reduced access to the general practitioner or general optometric services that act as primary referral sources to a portion of HEECS may be a factor over weekends, with some patients delaying presentation to primary care until the weekday. Conversely, this may also increase weekend attendances due to the absence of alternative primary care services. This may be especially important for specific sight-threatening conditions where delay in presentation may be detrimental. Reduced access of HEECS in nearby regions during the weekend may also explain higher attendances on weekends. Further research to study the impact of our findings is required to determine the sight-threatening risk of the reduced presentation over the weekend. This may highlight an important public health message for patients with significant visual symptoms to present as early as possible including over the weekend, as well as commissioning the correct services and allocation of staff to ensure service availability for patients presenting with an emergency eye condition at weekends.

Anterior segment disease (anterior segment trauma, external eye conditions, adnexal and anterior uveitis) was the most

---

Table 1. Presentations according to subspecialties, gender, and age with $\chi^2$ and $p$ values.

| Subspecialty Category (n = 15,400) | Number of attendances (%) | Male (% of male attendances) | Female (% of female attendances) | $\chi^2$ | $p$ |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|------|
| 1 - Adnexal/Oculoplastic         | 2091 (13.6%)              | 13.1%                       | 14.0%                           | 2.76   | 0.097|
| 2 - External/Cornea/Conjunctiva  | 4400 (28.6%)              | 26.8%                       | 30.1%                           | 20.92  | <0.001|
| 3 - Glaucoma                     | 331 (2.1%)                | 2.7%                        | 1.7%                            | 19.99  | <0.001|
| 4 - Uveitis                      | 1458 (9.5%)               | 9.0%                        | 9.9%                            | 3.33   | 0.068|
| 5 - Medical Retina               | 658 (4.3%)                | 4.5%                        | 4.1%                            | 1.47   | 0.226|
| 6 - Vitreo-retinal               | 1655 (10.7%)              | 10.0%                       | 11.4%                           | 7.42   | 0.006|
| 7 - Neuro-ophthalmology          | 939 (6.1%)                | 4.6%                        | 7.4%                            | 51.46  | <0.001|
| 8 - Post-op/post intervention complication/issue | 642 (4.2%) | 4.2% | 4.1% | 0.21 | 0.647|
| 9 - Other                        | 226 (1.5%)                | 1.5%                        | 1.5%                            | 0.00   | 0.971|
| 10 - Trauma                      | 2103 (13.7%)              | 18.7%                       | 9.2%                            | 292.64 | <0.001|
| 11 - No ocular abnormality       | 897 (5.8%)                | 4.8%                        | 6.7%                            | 23.43  | <0.001|

| Subspecialty Category (n = 15,400) | Age < 50 years (%) | Age ≥ 50 years (%) | $\chi^2$ | $p$ |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|------|
| 1 - Adnexal/Oculoplastic          | 13.9%             | 12.5%             | 4.36   | 0.037|
| 2 - External/Cornea/Conjunctiva   | 30.7%             | 23.5%             | 68.29  | <0.001|
| 3 - Glaucoma                      | 1.1%              | 3.2%              | 54.11  | <0.001|
| 4 - Uveitis                       | 9.6%              | 9.0%              | 1.03   | 0.310|
| 5 - Medical Retina                | 2.0%              | 6.8%              | 134.31 | <0.001|
| 6 - Vitreo-retinal                | 3.3%              | 16.6%             | 486.09 | <0.001|
| 7 - Neuro-ophthalmology           | 7.9%              | 6.1%              | 13.43  | <0.001|
| 8 - Post-op/post intervention complication/issue | 1.6% | 6.9% | 168.78 | <0.001|
| 9 - Other                         | 0.8%              | 2.1%              | 30.58  | <0.001|
| 10 - Trauma                       | 21.1%             | 7.9%              | 369.92 | <0.001|
| 11 - No ocular abnormality        | 8.0%              | 5.5%              | 26.70  | <0.001|
common collection of diagnoses made in the HEECS (65.3%) during the study period. This finding is consistent with previous studies, where anterior segment diseases were diagnosed in 55–75% of all presentations [8, 14]. We identified five-fold higher vitreoretinal presentations in both genders ≥50 years old. This reflects known evidence of a higher prevalence of vitreoretinal conditions in the older population. Less substantial higher attendance rates were found in patients ≥50 years presenting with glaucoma, medical retina, and post-operative/treatment conditions. Our findings can help to prepare disease-specific resources available required to meet the demand in HEECS.

Strategies to reduce patient attendance at HEECS can include (a) effective triaging, (b) appropriate training of general A&E staff and community general practitioners, and (b) the utilisation of community optometry schemes [8, 24, 25].

Previous studies have identified that a significant proportion of anterior segment diseases may be suitable for management outside the hospital eye service setting [8]. A study involving 2123 patients in the minor eye conditions scheme in Lambeth & Lewisham over 12 months showed that >75% of patients were managed in the community alone [7]. No abnormality was identified in 6% of attendances to HEECS in this study which may be a further group of cases to potentially be managed in the community, thus reducing the demand on HEECS. More detailed diagnostic information on the presentations and suitability of cases to be managed in the community setting would be required in future studies.

We found a higher proportion of trauma in young males, which was consistent with findings in other published studies [26–30]. A large study on ocular injuries in the USA showed that 66% of patients were males and 91% were <60 years old [31]. In Scotland, a study on serious ocular trauma showed that 85% of cases were males [29]. These findings may be attributed to the increased prevalence of manual labour vocations in males compared to females [32]. One study proposed that the gender segregation of occupations and the gender division of labour within specific occupations can place men at a greater risk of injury compared to female counterparts [33]. In a study of ocular injuries in children, the difference in gender prevalence was attributed to boys being more adventurous or possibly displaying more aggressive behaviours [34].

Our study design aimed at capturing acute presentations to the eye casualty clinics, therefore a limitation is this does not represent all acute ocular presentations to hospital services. Attendances "out of hours" that may have been managed in the emergency department or referrals to other urgent "fast-track clinics" will be missed in our data capture process. The authors did not include the above as the purpose and focus of the Triple E study was to assess the presentations to the HEECS (WI or AB) to evaluate the service demand. Another limitation of our study relates to the calculation of the HEECS attendance rates. Several assumptions were made when calculating the proportion served by the NHS trusts in this study and the relative age specific attendance rates. We assumed that our sample 28- day study period was a reflective representation of HEECS attendances across the year. We validated this annual HEECS attendance by recording attendances and diagnoses in HEECS across the UK. Services (CUES). The original intention and success of the scheme was to reduce the burden on secondary healthcare services, allowing staff and resources to be redirected to manage the increased admissions due to the pandemic [35]. The impact of CUES would be of great interest to further research in this field. Although CUES continues to provide alternative paths for HEECS patients to utilize, the current trend and thoughts amongst the authors is that most HEECS services are seeing attendances return to pre-pandemic levels.

In conclusion, this multi-centre study undertaken by BEECS highlights the attendance rates of HEECS and differences in presentation based on age, gender, day of the week and diagnosis subgroup. This will guide service delivery including staff allocation based on local demographics and day of the week. Moreover, targeted training with emphasis on anterior segment disorders both within HEECS and community-based triaging services will help reduce burden of presentations and follow-ups to hospitals. With an increase in community-based optometry schemes and the advent of teleophthalmology, our dataset will provide the foundation for commissioning and establishing the best infrastructure to screen and triage for the majority of the ocular emergency presentations.

**Summary**

**What was known before**

- Most hospital urgent eye care presentations are due to anterior segment disease.
- Most young males present with trauma-related diagnoses.

**What this study adds**

- Identifies the significant gender difference in attendances to the hospital urgent eye care service in males ≥50 years of age.
- Significantly less people present over the weekend than the weekday with Sunday being the quietest day. Mondays and Fridays are the two busiest days.
- Reinforces anterior segment related disease to be the most prevalent group of presentations.
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