Finite resolution fluctuation measurements of a trapped Bose-Einstein condensate
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We consider the fluctuations in atom number that occur within finite-sized measurement cells in a trapped Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). This approximates the fluctuation measurements made in current experiments with finite resolution in situ imaging. A numerical scheme is developed to calculate these fluctuations using the quasiparticle modes of a cylindrically symmetric three-dimensionally trapped condensate with either contact or dipole-dipole interactions (DDIs). We use this scheme to study the properties of a pancake shaped condensate using cylindrical cells. The extension of the theory to washer shaped cells with azimuthal weighting is made and used to discriminate between the low energy roton modes in a dipolar condensate according to their projection of angular momentum. Our results are based on the Bogoliubov approach valid for zero and small finite temperatures.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many important properties of degenerate quantum gases are revealed through their fluctuations (e.g. see [1–21]). Recently experiments with trapped Bose gases have measured density fluctuations in quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) [16, 18], quasi-two-dimensional (quasi-2D) [13, 22] and three-dimensional systems [20, 21] using in situ absorption imaging. Such measurements are necessarily made with finite resolution, so that effectively the number of atoms within a finite-sized cell is counted. The size of this cell is typically set by the pixel size of the charge-coupled device used to collect the image and the resolution limited spot size of the imaging system (e.g. see [22]). In practice the smallest spot sizes obtained are comparable to the healing length (typically \( \sim 1 \mu m \)). The size of the cell relative to the physical length scales of the system (e.g. thermal wavelength and healing length) is crucial in determining the measurement results [23].

In this paper we develop a technique to calculate the fluctuations of a BEC, in which we explicitly include the properties of the finite-sized measurement cells. Recent work [23] has addressed how this can be done in uniform systems. Here we consider the extension to the trapped system. This situation is rather more challenging because the system lacks translational invariance and the excitations need to be determined numerically. We apply our scheme to consider the kinds of fluctuation measurements that could be made with in situ imaging through a pancake shaped condensate, which should be approximated by calculating the number fluctuations within cylindrical cells, as schematically shown in Fig. 1. Our results, for condensates with both contact and DDIs, demonstrate that fluctuation measurements are acutely sensitive to the nature of the interactions in the system. Finally, we consider a more complex weighted-washer shaped cell, which can be built up by amalgamating a number of smaller cylindrical cells. We show how this washer cell can be used to reveal the low energy excitations with particular values of angular momentum projection.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section II we outline our formalism for the fully three-dimensional trapped system and detail our numerical scheme for calculating number fluctuations within cylindrical cells, as depicted in Fig. 1. In Sec. III we present our main results. We characterize the two-point second order density-density correlation function and then compute the fluctuations within cylindrical cells for a pancake condensate with either contact or DDIs. We then introduce a weighted washer shaped cell and discuss how it might be realized in experiments. We extend our numerical scheme to treat this case and then apply it to characterizing the low energy roton modes of a dipolar BEC. Finally, we conclude in Sec. IV.
II. FORMALISM

A. Condensate and quasiparticles

Here we consider atoms confined to a cylindrically symmetric harmonically potential

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 (\rho^2 + \lambda^2 z^2),$$

where $M$ is the atomic mass and $\lambda = \omega_z/\omega_0$ is the trap aspect ratio. We examine the properties of condensates in which the atoms interact with DDIs or short ranged contact interactions. For the dipolar case we take the dipoles to be polarized along $z$ so that the interaction potential is of the form

$$U_D(r) = \frac{3g_{a0}}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{3 \cos^2 \theta}{|r|^3} \right],$$

where $g_{a0} = \mu_0 \mu_m^2 / 3$, with $\mu_m$ the magnetic dipole moment and $\theta$ is the angle between $r$ and the $z$ axis. This choice ensures that the system remains cylindrically symmetric, simplifying the numerical treatment. For the case of contact interactions $U_C(r) = g_0 \delta(r)$, where $g_0 = 4 \pi a_s \hbar^2 / M$, with $a_s$ the $s$-wave scattering length. We note that in general dipolar gases interact with both parts, $U = U_D + U_C$, although the contact part can be tuned using a Feshbach resonance (e.g. see [24]).

The condensate wavefunction $\Psi_0$, which we take to be normalized to the condensate atom number $N_0$, satisfies the non-local dipolar Gross-Pitaevskii equation [25]

$$\mu \Psi_0 = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2M} + V(x) + \int d^3x' U(x-x') n_0(x') \right] \Psi_0,$$

where $\mu$ is the chemical potential and $n_0(x') = |\psi_0(x')|^2$ is the condensate number density. Our interest is in ground state solutions where the condensate wavefunction can be taken to be real.

To understand the fluctuations of this system we consider the field operator, which can be decomposed as

$$\Psi(x) \approx \Psi_0(x) + \delta(x),$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the non-condensate operator, with $\langle \delta(x) \rangle = 0$. The non-condensate operator can be expressed in a quasiparticle expansion as

$$\delta(x) = \sum_i \left[ u_i(x) \hat{\alpha}_i - v_i^*(x) \hat{\alpha}_i^\dagger \right],$$

where the amplitude functions $\{u_i, v_i\}$, with energy eigenvalues $\epsilon_j$, are obtained by solving nonlocal Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations [26]. The quasiparticle operators satisfy $[\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\alpha}_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$, and in thermal equilibrium have an occupation given by

$$\tilde{n}_j = \langle \hat{\alpha}_j^\dagger \hat{\alpha}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \epsilon_j} - 1},$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the inverse temperature. The non-condensate number density is given by

$$\tilde{n}(x) = \langle \delta^\dagger(x) \delta(x) \rangle,$$

$$\approx \sum_j \left[ |u_j(x)|^2 \tilde{n}_j + |v_j(x)|^2 (\tilde{n}_j + 1) \right],$$

so that the total density is

$$n(x) = n_0(x) + \tilde{n}(x).$$

We solve for the condensate and quasiparticles exploiting the cylindrical symmetry of the problem. For example, the quasiparticles can be calculated in sub-spaces of fixed $z$-projection of angular momentum ($m_j$) as $u_j(x) = u_j(\rho, z) e^{im_j \phi}$, where $\rho$ and $\phi$ are the radial and angular coordinates for the $x$-$y$ plane. The numerical solutions are then found on special quadrature grids based on Bessel ($\rho$ direction) and Fourier ($z$ direction) decompositions, which differ for each value of angular momentum projection [26]. Further details on how we solve the Gross-Pitaevskii and Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations can be found in Ref. [27].

B. Number fluctuations within cells

We initially focus on the case of cylindrically shaped measurement cells (see Fig. 1) where we denote the region inside the cell as $\sigma$. The number fluctuations within the cell may be characterized by the variance of the atom number,

$$\delta N^2_\sigma = \langle (\tilde{N}_\sigma - N_\sigma)^2 \rangle,$$

where

$$\tilde{N}_\sigma = \int d^3x \, \tilde{\Psi}(x) \tilde{\Psi}(x),$$

is the cell number operator, and $N_\sigma = \langle \tilde{N}_\sigma \rangle$. The mean number of atoms within the measurement cell $\sigma$ is given by

$$N_\sigma = N_{0\sigma} + \tilde{N}_\sigma,$$

where

$$N_{0\sigma} = \int d^3x \, n_0(x),$$

$$\tilde{N}_\sigma = \int d^3x \, \tilde{n}(x),$$

are the mean condensate and non-condensate number in the cell, respectively.

The fluctuations in number about the mean is

$$\delta N^2_\sigma = \int d^3x_1 \int d^3x_2 \, S_{nn}(x_1, x_2),$$

where $S_{nn}(x_1, x_2) = \langle \delta n(x_1) \delta n(x_2) \rangle$ is the Ursell density-density correlation function, and we have introduced the density fluctuation operator

$$\delta n(x) \equiv \tilde{\Psi}(x) \tilde{\Psi}(x) - n(x),$$

$$\approx \psi_0(x) \left[ \delta(x) + \delta^\dagger(x) \right].$$
In the last line we have used Eq. (4) and neglected the small terms which are second order in the quasiparticles. This should be a good approximation for temperatures well below the condensation temperature and away from the condensate surface. Both of these conditions ensure that $n_0 \gg \bar{n}$. Evaluating Eq. (15) using (17) gives

$$\delta N^2 = \sum_i \int d^D x_1 \int d^D x_2 \delta n_i(x_1) \delta n^*_i(x_2) \coth \left( \frac{\beta \epsilon_i}{2} \right),$$

(18)

where $\delta n_i(x) \equiv \psi_0(x) [u_i(x) - v_i(x)]$ is the density fluctuation amplitude of the $i$-th quasiparticle.

1. Uniform system

In this section we consider a homogeneous condensate in D spatial dimensions. We take the system to be in a box of volume $V = L^D$, and subject to periodic boundary conditions, so that the condensate is $\psi_0 = \sqrt{n_0}$, with $n_0 = N_0/L^D$ the condensate density. In this case the cell fluctuations are [23, 28, 29]

$$\delta N^2 = n \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{\tau}(k) S(k),$$

(19)

where $n = N/L^D$ is the total density and

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \left| \int d^D x e^{-\imath k \cdot x} \psi_0(x) [u_j(x) - v_j(x)] \right|^2,$$

(20)

is the static structure factor. The function $\tilde{\tau}(k)$ describes the cell geometry, and is the Fourier transform of $\tau(r) \equiv \int_0 d^D x_1 \int_0 d^D x_2 \delta(r + x_2 - x_1)$ (see [23] for details).

We note that for the uniform system the Ursell correlation function is translationally invariant, i.e. $S_{nn}(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow S_{nn}(x_1 - x_2)$, and in obtaining Eq. (19) we have used

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{n} \int d^D r S_{nn}(r) e^{-\imath k \cdot r}.$$

(21)

For a uniform condensate the structure factor is given by the Feynman relation

$$S(k) = \alpha_f \frac{\epsilon_0(k)}{\epsilon(k)} \coth \left( \frac{\beta \epsilon(k)}{2} \right),$$

(22)

where $\epsilon_0(k) = \hbar^2 k^2/2M$, $\alpha_f = n_0/n$,

$$\epsilon(k) = \sqrt{\epsilon_0(k) \epsilon_0(k) + 2n_0 \tilde{U}(k)},$$

(23)

is the Bogoliubov dispersion relation, and $\tilde{U}(k)$ the Fourier transform of the interaction potential $U(r)$. We note that Eq. (22) is valid for small condensate depletion, i.e. $\alpha_f \approx 1$.

While result (19) does not apply to the trapped case, it does provide a starting point for a local-density treatment of trapped systems, which we develop further in Sec. II B 3.

2. Trapped system

For the trapped system, using modes obtained by numerical diagonalization, it is inconvenient to calculate the Ursell function because it has a shot-noise part that behaves as $\delta(x_1 - x_2)$, and thus slowly converges as we increase the number of modes. Instead it is convenient to normally order the operators to obtain

$$\delta N^2 = N_\sigma + \int_0 \int G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) d^D x_1 d^D x_2 - N^2, $$

(24)

where

$$G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \equiv \langle \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x_1) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x_2) \hat{\Psi}(x_1) \hat{\Psi}(x_2) \rangle,$$

(25)

is the normally ordered density-density correlation function.

Making use of Wick’s theorem [30, 31] and keeping only terms to second order in the quasiparticle operators we arrive at

$$\delta N^2 = N_\sigma + \int \int d^D x_1 d^D x_2 \psi_0(x_1) \psi_0(x_2) \left[ n(x_1, x_2) + \bar{n}(x_1, x_2) + c.c. \right],$$

(26)

where

$$n(x_1, x_2) = \langle \delta \hat{\Psi}(x_1) \delta \hat{\Psi}(x_2) \rangle = \sum_j \left[ u_j^*(x_1) u_j(x_2) - \bar{n}_j \right],$$

(27)

$$\bar{n}(x_1, x_2) = \langle \delta \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x_1) \delta \hat{\Psi}(x_2) \rangle = -\sum_j \left[ u_j(x_1) v_j(x_2) (1 + \bar{n}_j) + v_j^*(x_1) u_j(x_2) \bar{n}_j \right],$$

(28)

1 Note that this approximation is valid when $n_0(x) \gg \bar{n}(x), |\bar{n}(x)|$. 


are the non-condensate and anomalous density matrices, respectively \(^2\) (also see [32]). The local character of these quantities [i.e. \( \tilde{n}(x) = \bar{n}(x, x) \) and \( \tilde{m}(x) = \bar{m}(x, x) \)] was investigated for systems with contact and DDIs in Ref. [27]. We emphasize that Eq. (26) is equivalent to Eq. (18), but converges much faster with the number of quasiparticle modes included in the summation.

3. Local Density Approximation

The uniform system treatment of Sec. II B 1 can be extended to the trapped system using a local density approximation (LDA). The LDA theory has also been used to obtain the dynamic structure factor for trapped BECs (e.g. see [33, 34]), relevant to Bragg spectroscopy. Because our interest in this paper is in pancake shaped systems we formulate this section making a quasi-2D approximation, i.e. utilizing the results in Sec. II B 1 with \( D = 2 \). To do this we integrate out the \( z \)-dimension to obtain the 2D density profile of the condensate, which is the in-plane position vector. An important issue is the form of the Fourier transformed interaction \( \tilde{U}(k_x) \) for the quasi-2D system. The approach we use is discussed in Refs. [35, 36] and we do not repeat here.

The essence of the LDA approach is to treat each part of the trapped system as a locally homogeneous system at the same density, and then add up all such contributions in the region of interest (i.e. cell). This approach should be valid where the uniform system treatment presented in Sec. II B 1 is valid (i.e. cell). This approach should be valid where (i) \( n_0 \gg \bar{n} \) and where the density of the system does not vary rapidly with position.

We compute the averaged static structure factor for the system within the cell \( \sigma \) by the density weighted LDA sum

\[
\hat{S}(k_x) = \frac{1}{N_\sigma} \int d^2 \rho \, n_{2D}(\rho) S(k_x, \rho),
\]

where \( S(k_x, \rho) \) is the uniform static structure factor (22) evaluated using the density at \( \rho \) [i.e. \( n_0 \rightarrow n_{2D}(\rho) \)]\(^3\). The number fluctuations for the trapped system is then given by Eq. (19) with the replacement \( S(k_x) \rightarrow \hat{S}(k_x) \). Additionally, we note that under the quasi-2D approximation the cylindrical cells become disks in the \( \rho \)-plane, and the geometry function appearing in Eq. (19) is [23]

\[
\hat{\tau}(k_x) = \frac{\pi^2 D J_1^2 (\frac{1}{2}DK_x \bar{n})}{k_x^2},
\]

where \( J_1 \) is the Bessel function and \( D \) is the disk diameter.

Details of our full algorithm for evaluating the fluctuations according to Eq. (26) are given in the Appendix.

III. RESULTS

A. Systems and parameters

For our results we define length in terms of the radial harmonic oscillator length \( a_\rho = \sqrt{\hbar/M\omega_\rho} \), and following Ref. [26] we utilize the dimensionless interaction parameters \( C_1 = N_0 a_s/a_\rho \) and \( D_1 = 3N_0 g_{2d} M/4\pi \hbar^2 a_\rho \) for the contact and DDIs, respectively.

We calculate results for \( N_0 = 25 \times 10^3 \) atoms within a pancake shaped trap with aspect ratio \( \lambda = 20 \). In the results we focus on the comparison of two parameter sets: (i) a system interacting purely via a contact interaction, i.e. with \( C_1 = 127 \) and \( D_1 = 0 \); (ii) a system interacting purely via a DDI, i.e. with \( C_1 = 0 \) and \( D_1 = 220 \). Both parameter sets have been chosen so that the condensates have nearly the same chemical potential \( \mu = 37.6 \hbar \omega_\rho \). This ensures that many properties of the two systems are comparable. For example, in Fig. 2 we compare the density profiles of the two systems along the \( x \)-axis, demonstrating that the density and shapes of the condensates are very similar. To put the dipolar parameters into the context of current experiments the choice of

---

\(^2\) Both \( \bar{n} \) and \( \tilde{n} \) are real since the sum is taken over all modes.

\(^3\) Note we also take \( 1/2 = 1 \) in applying the uniform treatment, and only make use of the condensate density.

---

\(^4\) In the pancake geometry the DDI is predominantly repulsive and the effect on the chemical potential is overall positive.
Dy, with dipole moment $\mu_m = 10 \mu_B$, would correspond to a radial trapping frequency $\omega_r = 2\pi \times 10.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

For the choice of parameters we make here the dipolar condensate has roton like excitations [37]. These roton modes arise in regimes of tight confinement along the direction that the dipoles are polarized, and for sufficiently strong DDIs. In this case the effective interaction in the $x$-$y$ plane becomes momentum dependent [38]. For in-plane momenta $h k_{\rho} \lesssim h / a_z$, where $a_z = \sqrt{\hbar / M \omega_z}$ is the $z$-confinement length, the DDI is repulsive, while for $h k_{\rho} \gtrsim h / a_z$ it crosses over to being attractive. Thus modes of wavelength $\lambda_{\text{roton}} \sim a_z$ can be energetically softened by their interaction with the condensate, in which case we refer to them as rotons. Interestingly these modes are sensitive to the condensate density and, due to the radial trapping, are effectively confined as a roton gas in the high density central part of the condensate [39]. Detailed properties of the rotons for the parameter regime we consider here can be found in Refs. [27, 40].

### B. Two Point Correlations

We first examine the two-point normally ordered density correlation function, with results comparing the purely dipolar and contact condensates presented in Fig. 3. Here we show the normalized correlation function [2] defined by

$$g^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{n(x_1)n(x_2)},$$

with one point taken at trap center $x_1 = 0$ and the other taken to lie along the $x$-axis, $x_2 = x \hat{x}$. Previous work has considered aspects of this correlation function for condensates with contact interactions [31, 41–45], and DDIs [46, 47] in the quasi-two-dimensional regime.

For the case of pure contact interactions $g^{(2)}(0, x \hat{x})$ is near unity everywhere that the condensate density is significant, except for a dip occurring for small point separation ($x \lesssim 0.5 a_\rho$). The dip is a manifestation of suppressed density fluctuations due to repulsive contact interactions and occurs on a length scale set by the healing length $\xi = \hbar / \sqrt{\hbar g m}$, which is $\xi \approx 0.17 a_\rho$ for our system (using peak density at trap centre).

In contrast, the case of pure dipolar interactions demonstrates a marked peak for small separation and subsequent oscillations with increasing $x$. The large central peak is due to the attractive character of the DDI for the short wavelength roton modes. The choice of the fixed point at the origin results in oscillations dominated by the $m_j = 0$ roton modes as these are the only excitations with non-zero density at the trap center. As noted in Sec. III A, the roton wavelength is approximately set by the $z$ confinement length, and is $\lambda_{\text{roton}} \approx 1.5 a_\rho$ [from Fig. 8(b)]. The reduction in $g^{(2)}$ for $x \gtrsim 7 a_\rho$ occurs because at these positions the non-condensate density begins to exceed the condensate density (see Fig. 2) which invalidates

---

5 Note that the fixed point is actually at the center-most radial grid point which is not precisely at the origin, this implies a small contribution from $|m_j| > 0$ modes.
our evaluation of (25).

C. Cylinder cell fluctuations

1. zero temperature

In Fig. 4 we investigate the role of interactions on number fluctuations within cylindrical cells at zero temperature. The purely contact case is examined in Fig. 4(a). These results reveal that the fluctuations are sub-poisssonian \( \langle \delta N^2 \rangle / N \sim \delta N \sigma / N \) in the central region where the condensate is dense, and that the relative fluctuations \( \langle \delta N^2 / N \rangle \) decrease with increasing cell size. For large cells \( D \gg \xi \) the fluctuations are sensitive to the long wavelength (phonon) modes of the system qualitatively consistent with the treatment developed in Ref. [23] for the uniform quasi-2D Bose gas. For cells near the surface of the condensate \( R \sim 8 a_n \), where the density is low and interaction effects reduce, the fluctuations approach poissonian.

For the pure dipolar case the relative fluctuations exhibit a non-monotonic dependence on cell size. Notably, near the trap center a super-poisssonian peak emerges for intermediate cylinder sizes that best match the roton modes, i.e. \( D \sim 1 a_n \) for \( R \approx 0 [23, 36] \), where this value of \( D \) is roughly half the roton wavelength. As these cells are are moved outwards (e.g. \( D = 1 a_n \) for \( R \gtrsim 3 a_n \)) the relative fluctuations decrease. This reveals the narrow confinement of the rotons to the high density region of the condensate [39].

2. non-zero temperature

We assess the influence of temperature in Fig. 5, by considering the same systems presented in Fig. 4, but with the temperature increased to \( T = 10 \hbar \omega_a / k_B \) (corresponds to 5.3 nK, which is about 14% of the condensation temperature \( T_c \)). For pure contact interactions [Fig. 5(a)] two significant changes occur: (i) The fluctuations increase near the surface \( R \approx 6 a_n \), where the non-condensate density is largest and the suppression effect of the repulsive interactions is weakest (also noting that for \( \rho > 7 a_n \) is also where \( \tilde{n} \gtrsim n_0 \) and our approach is invalid). (ii) The fluctuations in large cells \( D \gtrsim 1 a_n \) tend to increase. This occurs because the large cells are dominated by phonon modes which are thermally activated at the temperature considered. In contrast the smaller cells \( D < 1 a_n \) are dominated by shorter wavelength excitations that are largely frozen out, and the fluctuations remain near their \( T = 0 \) value.

The behavior we observe in Fig. 5(a) is qualitatively similar to the behavior seen in Fig. 1 of Ref. [18], where density fluctuations were measured for a quasi-1D Bose gas.

Similarly, in Fig. 5(b) we observe that temperature tends to increase the fluctuations of the purely dipolar condensate [c.f. Fig. 4(b)]. Notably, a large roton peak occurs at trap center for cells with \( D \sim 1 a_n \). This enhancement is due to the thermal activation of the rotons, and is considerably more prominent than the peak in two point correlations function observed for the same parameters in Fig. 3.

3. Comparison to LDA approach

In Fig. 6 we compare the full Bogoliubov calculations against the LDA approach outlined in Sec. II B 3. Because the LDA approach only requires the condensate mode \( \psi_0 \) to obtain \( n_{2D}(\rho) \) and \( U(k) \) it is much simpler to implement, and avoids the complexity of solving for all of the quasiparticle modes.

The most noticeable difference is at \( R \gtrsim 7 a_n \) where the LDA result starts to increase rapidly with \( R \). This occurs at the surface of the condensate where both approaches are invalid. We also note that for the dipolar case [Fig. 6(b)] the agreement for \( R \lesssim 3 a_n \) is less satisfactory at finite temperatures than what we observe for the contact case [Fig. 6(a)]. This arises because the roton modes, which are thermally activated at this temperature, are not well described within the LDA. Temperature in the dipolar case also tends to increase the non-condensate density at trap center (relative to the contact case, see Fig. 2).
D. Weighted-washer shaped cell fluctuations

1. Measurement operator

We define the washer shaped cell \( \sigma \) to be concentric with the trap center, and to have a mid-radius \( R \) and radial thickness \( W \) [see Fig. 7(a)]. We require \( R > W/2 \), to ensure that the washer has a finite central core. Like the cylinder cell we assume that the \( z \) extent is larger than the condensate thickness.

In Ref. [36] it was found that considering fluctuations within washer-shaped cells centered at the origin selects for the contribution from \( m_j = 0 \) modes. Here we generalize this idea by defining a weighted number operator for washer-shaped cell \( \sigma \) as

\[
\hat{N}_{\sigma m} \equiv \int d^3x \ e^{-im\phi(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x),
\]

(32)

with \( m \) being an integer. We note that effectively \( \hat{N}_{\sigma m} \) is the azimuthal Fourier transform of the density operator in the region \( \sigma \). In practice the washer-shaped cell could be formed by amalgamating measurements from a number of smaller cylindrical sub-cells, weighted by appropriate phases, as indicated in Fig. 7(b). Recently experiments have demonstrated similar types of combined cell correlation measurements: In Ref. [48] a Fourier transform over the cells was used to correlate density fluctuations at different locations in a quasi-2D condensate; in

![Fig. 6. (Color online) Cylindrical cell fluctuations from full 3D (solid) and LDA (dashed) calculations for both \( T = 0 \) and \( T = 10\hbar^2/\hbar \omega_p \) with a cell diameter of \( D = 1 \alpha_p \). (a) Pure contact case \( C = 127 \). (b) Pure dipolar case \( D_1 = 220 \).](image)

![Fig. 7. (Color online) (a) The geometry of the washer-shaped cell. This cell is centered on the trap center, and is parameterized by the mid-radius \( R \) and width \( W \), with a \( z \) extent taken to be larger than the condensate thickness. (b) In practice the washer cell can be constructed using a set of cylinder sub-cells, which we have labeled by \( \nu \). A weighted cell measurement can be made by multiplying the number measurement made in each sub-cell by a phase factor \( e^{-im\phi_\nu} \), where \( \phi_\nu \) is the angle to the center of sub-cell \( \nu \).

![Ref. [18] cells were amalgamated in the analysis of a quasi-1D condensate to verify the scaling of fluctuations with cell size. The fluctuations in \( \hat{N}_{\sigma m} \) are given by

\[
\delta N_{\sigma m}^2 \equiv \left\langle (\hat{N}_{\sigma m} - \langle \hat{N}_{\sigma m} \rangle)^2 \right\rangle,
\]

(33)

where \( N_{\sigma m} = \langle \hat{N}_{\sigma m} \rangle \) is the mean value, with \( N_{\sigma m} = 0 \) for \( m \neq 0 \), due to the cylindrical symmetry of the system. The numerical algorithm for evaluating this is a minor variation to the cylinder-shaped cell algorithm and details are given in the Appendix.]
experiments using absorption imaging. We present results for cells in a pancake shaped BEC, which is relevant to current roton profiles shown in Figs. 9(b) and (c). In contrast for the center where these modes are confined, as can be seen by the restricted to these two modes [36] and show this comparison measurements are dominated by a few roton modes we compare D excitations from a few quasiparticles of particular angular momentum projection. This observation also holds true for the case. This variation arises because the washer cell selects the contributions from a few quasiparticles of particular angular momentum projection. If these modes are rotonic modes they contribute strongly to the fluctuation signal [27] and tend to have a rapid spatial variation. To confirm that the fluctuation measurements are dominated by a few roton modes we compare the full \((m = 1)\) calculation with that using only the two lowest energy \(m_j = 1\) roton excitations [i.e. the sum in Eq. (46) restricted to these two modes] 6 and show this comparison in the inset. The agreement is particularly good near the trap center where these modes are confined, as can be seen by the roton profiles shown in Figs. 8(b) and (c). In contrast for the \(m = 6\) case the relevant roton mode propagates azimuthally and only has a weak radial dependence [Figs. 8(d)] and the fluctuation signal in in Fig. 8(a) varies much more slowly as \(R\) changes. Also the larger angular momentum of this mode forces it further from the trap center.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this paper we have developed a method for calculating the number fluctuations within finite-sized cells of a trapped BEC. We have investigated the canonical case of cylindrical cells in a pancake shaped BEC, which is relevant to current experiments using absorption imaging. We present results for condensates with purely contact or purely dipole interactions. These results reveal the strong dependence of the fluctuations on the nature of the interactions. We also use these results to validate a simpler LDA approach which is found to have reasonable agreement with the full solutions.

We propose the idea of using weighted-washer shaped cells, which could be formed from a set of underlying cylindrical sub-cells. The fluctuations measured in the washer cell discriminates contributions from particular classes of quasiparticles modes, i.e. those with a particular angular momentum projection, with the particular projection values selected being determined by the choice of weighting. We demonstrate that this scheme can probe roton modes within a dipolar condensate, and that the measured fluctuation signal comes from the lowest few modes. Rotons have not yet been identified in experiments and fluctuation measurements complement a number of other proposals for schemes to detect these modes (e.g. see [49]). The importance of discriminating rotons with \(m_j \neq 0\) has been identified by Ronen et al. [50]: In certain parameter regimes they predict that the dipolar condensate will spontaneously take a bi-concave (density oscillating) shape, and that accompanying this transition the lowest energy roton will change from having angular momentum projection \(m_j = 0\) ("radial roton") to \(m_j \neq 0\) ("angular roton"). More recently it was shown that angular rotons can be engineered by applying an external potential to force the condensate density into a bi-concave shape [40]. We note however, that when

---

6 The rotons for the parameters of the calculation we present here are extensively characterized in Ref. [40], particularly Figs. 1 and 3(b).
the trap is not cylindrically symmetric \( m_j \) is no longer a good quantum number and the roton modes distort [51].

The high resolution imaging required to experimentally measure the fluctuations in small cells is a capability that is now appearing in many experiments. At the forefront of such work Hung et al. [48] have developed a system to calculate the static structure by such in situ measurements of density fluctuations. In those experiments the maximum wavevector for the structure factor was limited to \( \approx 2 \mu \text{m}^{-1} \), due to their resolution limit. This setup would immediately carry over to the construction of weighted-washer shape cells, with the maximum value of \( m \) limited to the washer circumference divided by the imaging system resolution limit.

Natural extensions of this work are to go beyond the Bogoliubov approach in calculating the quasiparticle modes.

That is to include the back-action of the non-condensate atoms on themselves and the condensate e.g., using Hartree-Fock or other similar approximations [52–54]. In the case of dipolar gases, where the fluctuations due to the roton modes become significant, these corrections may be important even at low temperatures [55].
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APPENDIX: NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

In this appendix we briefly outline the algorithm we use to accurately and efficiently compute the fluctuations [i.e. evaluate Eq. (26)].

1. Cylinder-shaped cells

Taking advantage of the cylindrical symmetry of the problem we decompose the modes as

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_j(x_1) &= e^{i (m_j \phi_1 + S_j)} u_j(\rho_1, z_2), \\
v_j^*(x_2) &= e^{-i (m_j \phi_1 + S_j)} v_j(\rho_2, z_2),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m_j \) is the angular momentum projection along \( z \) of mode \( j \) and \( S_j \) represents a constant phase for each mode that cancels from the observables we compute. By construction we take two-dimensional functions \( u_j(\rho, z) \) and \( v_j(\rho, z) \) to be real.

The integration region \( \sigma \) for the cylinder-shaped cell is shown in Fig. 1. The limits in the \( z \)-direction \( (-z_L, z_L) \) are taken to be symmetric about zero and large enough so that the cylinder height is greater than the condensate thickness in this direction. Thus we can focus on the shape of the cell in the \( x-y \) plane and take all atoms, irrespective of their \( z \) coordinate, to contribute (as would be the case of a column density taken with absorption imaging along \( z \)). Without loss of generality we position the cell symmetrically about the \( x \)-axis so that the limits of \( \phi \) integration are symmetric about zero (which we refer to as \( \phi_L \) and \( -\phi_L \), as shown in Fig. 9(a) for the case of a cylinder-shaped cell in the \( x-y \) plane, and in the \( \rho-\phi \) plane in Fig. 9(b). The \( \phi \) integration is performed first and in general \( \phi_L \) is \( \rho \) dependent, meaning that the \( x-y \) integration of cylindrical cells is performed by summing arcs that subtend various angles. See Fig. 9 for additional information on the definition of cylinder-shaped cells.

\[
\text{Returning to our expression for number fluctuations, Eq. (26) now reads}
\]

\[
\delta N_\sigma^2 = N_\sigma + \sum_j \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} d\rho_1 \rho_1 \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} d z_1 \int_{-\phi_L(\rho_1)}^{\phi_L(\rho_1)} d\phi_1 \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} d\rho_2 \rho_2 \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} d z_2 \int_{-\phi_L(\rho_2)}^{\phi_L(\rho_2)} d\phi_2 \psi_\rho(\rho_1, z_1) \psi_\rho(\rho_2, z_2)
\]

\[
\times 2 \cos(m_j(\phi_1 - \phi_2))[ -u_j(\rho_1, z_1)u_j(\rho_2, z_2)|1 + 2n_j| + u_j(\rho_1, z_1)u_j(\rho_2, z_2)n_j + v_j(\rho_1, z_1)v_j(\rho_2, z_2)|1 + n_j|].
\]

This expression can be simplified considerably to a form suitable for numerical evaluation. Crucially the \( \phi \) integral is analytic, i.e.

\[
\int_{-\phi_L(\rho_2)}^{\phi_L(\rho_2)} \int_{-\phi_L(\rho_1)}^{\phi_L(\rho_1)} \cos(m_j(\phi_1 - \phi_2)) d\phi_1 d\phi_2 = \frac{4}{m_j^2} \sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho_1)) \sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho_2)).
\]

The mean cell number [see Eq. (12)] is evaluated as

\[
N_{0\sigma} = 2 \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} \phi_L(\rho) \rho \left[ \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} n_0(\rho, z) dz \right] d\rho, \quad A_j = 2 \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} \phi_L(\rho) \rho \left[ \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} u_j(\rho, z)^2 dz \right] d\rho,
\]

\[
\tilde{N}_\sigma = \sum_j \{ A_j n_j + B_j (1 + n_j) \}, \quad B_j = 2 \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} \phi_L(\rho) \rho \left[ \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} v_j(\rho, z)^2 dz \right] d\rho.
\]
The number fluctuations can be evaluated as
\[
\delta N^2 = N_n + \sum_j \left\{ \mathcal{C}_j (1+2\bar{n}_j) + \mathcal{D}_j \bar{n}_j + \mathcal{E}_j (1+\bar{n}_j) \right\},
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{C}_j = -8\alpha_j \beta_j, \quad \mathcal{D}_j = 8\alpha_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_j = 8\beta_j^2;
\]
and
\[
\alpha_j = \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} \frac{\sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho))}{m_j} \left[ \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} u_j(\rho, z) \psi_0(\rho, z) \, dz \right] \, d\rho,
\]
\[
\beta_j = \int_{\rho_a}^{\rho_b} \frac{\sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho))}{m_j} \left[ \int_{-z_L}^{z_L} v_j(\rho, z) \psi_0(\rho, z) \, dz \right] \, d\rho,
\]
with \( \frac{1}{m_j} \sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho)) \) \( \rightarrow \phi_L(\rho) \) for \( m_j = 0 \).

The key quantities to be evaluated, given in Eqs. (38)-(45), benefit because the integrations over the \( z \)-variable can be carried out in advance (these terms are all in square brackets). We also note that because \( u_j \) and \( v_j \) are obtained on quadrature grids (see Sec. II A), careful interpolation onto a specialized cell-integration grid is required to avoid boundary effects when calculating the various integrals over the variable \( \rho \). This is particularly important when the cell size is small compared to the resolution of the grids on which the condensate and quasiparticles are calculated.

In a typical case, which involves using \( \sim 10^4 \) pre-calculated quasiparticle modes, it takes around 2 minutes per cell to calculate \( \delta N^2 \) on a single core machine. For each of our figures we normally need to consider several hundred different cells which would take of order a day on a single core. However, the calculation for each cell is independent and this process may be efficiently implemented in parallel.

2. Weighted-washer shaped cells

Our numerical procedure follows that outlined above with a few small modifications. The \( x-y \) plane integration is easily converted to the \( \rho-\phi \) plane (c.f. Fig. 9), where it is given by \( \phi_L = \pi \) for \( \rho_a \leq \rho \leq \rho_b \), with \( \rho_a = R - \frac{1}{2} W \) and \( \rho_b = R + \frac{1}{2} W \). The fluctuations are then calculated according to
\[
\delta N^2_{\rho m} = N_n + \sum_j \left\{ \mathcal{C}_j (1+2\bar{n}_j) + \mathcal{D}_j \bar{n}_j + \mathcal{E}_j (1+\bar{n}_j) \right\} \delta_{m,m_j},
\]
with the replacement \( \frac{1}{m_j} \sin(m_j \phi_L(\rho)) \) \( \rightarrow \phi_L(\rho) \) in Eqs. (44) and (45). The term \( \delta_{m,m_j} \) in Eq. (46) shows that a cell symmetrically placed (with respect to the symmetry axis of the system) selects contributions from quasiparticles of a definite angular momentum projection.
