The Impact of Diabetes Mellitus on the Second Primary Malignancies in Colorectal Cancer Patients
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Introduction: All colorectal cancer (CRC) survivors have an increased risk of developing second primary malignancies (SPMs). The association between diabetes mellitus (DM) and the risk of cancer is well known. However, the role of DM and its therapy in the development of SPMs in CRC patients is not well described.

Methods: In this single-institutional retrospective analysis we identified 1,174 colorectal carcinoma patients, median follow-up 10.1 years, (median age 63 years, 724 men). All patients over 18 years with histologically confirmed CRC who were admitted in the period 1.1. 2003-31.12.2013 and followed-up till 31.12.2018 at the Masaryk Memorial Cancer Institute (MMCI) were screened for eligibility. The exclusion criteria were CRC diagnosed at autopsy, lost to follow-up and high risk of development of SPMs due to hereditary cancer syndrome. Tumours are considered multiple primary malignancies if arising in different sites and/or are of a different histology or morphology group. Comparisons of the basic characteristics between the patients with SPM and the patients without SPM were performed as well as comparison of the occurrence of SPMs by the site of diagnosis between the DM and non-DM cohorts and survival analyses.

Results: A SPM was diagnosed in 234 (20%) patients, DM in 183 (15%) patients. DM was diagnosed in 22.6% of those with SPM vs. in 13.8% of those without SPM (p=0.001). The most common types of SPMs in DM patients were other CRC, kidney, lung, bladder and nonmelanoma skin cancer, but only carcinoma of the liver and bile duct tracts was significantly more common than in the group without DM. Although breast cancer was the second most common in the group with DM, its incidence was lower than in the group without DM, as well as prostate cancer. A significantly higher incidence of SPMs was found in older CRC patients (≥ 65 years) and in those with lower stage colon cancer and DM. No significant difference in DM treatment between those with and without a SPM was observed including analysis of type of insulin.
INTRODUCTION

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is one of the most common malignant tumors in all western countries. Due to the success of personalized therapy and screening, mortality from this disease has been reduced in recent times. In 2015, its prevalence in the Czech Republic (third rank in incidence within Europe) reached 64,126 persons and in recent times. In 2015, its prevalence in the Czech Republic (third rank in incidence within Europe) reached 64,126 persons and increased by almost 40% in comparison with 2005 (1). However, the increasing number of people being cured carries the risk of development of another type of cancer. In Western countries, 17% of all cancer patients experience second primary malignancy (SPM) during their lifetime (2). CRC patients after curative resection are thought to have an additional tumor risk of up to 40% (3). For this reason, it is necessary to focus attention on the early diagnosis of other malignancies in patients with complete remission and adapt the type and timing of screening for SPMs. Primary malignancies are associated with lifestyle, environmental risk factors, and hereditary factors, in secondary tumours, treatment of previous cancer is additionally added.

The associations between diabetes mellitus (DM) and the risk of cancer is well known (4), nevertheless, the factors responsible for this relationship remain unclear. Insulin is a growth factor and major regulator of cell metabolism. Stimulation of growth is facilitated by the insulin receptor which is expressed on cancer cells in an A isoform, known by its predominant mitogenic effect which can stimulate neoplastic proliferation (5). Other factors responsible for cancer development are hyperglycemia accompanying insulin resistance leading to hyperinsulinemia, insulin-like growth factor 1, oxidative stress, and inflammation (6). Obesity which is linked to diabetes mellitus type II is responsible for an increased risk of cancer as well (7). It is hypothesized, that the type of DM treatment also plays an important role in the development of cancer (8, 9). Peroral antidiabetics (PADs) and insulin are long-term standards of care for patients with diabetes mellitus. Previously used animal insulin is currently replaced by recombinant human insulins produced by recombinant DNA technology, which use Escherichia Coli or Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In recent years, insulin glargine has acquired much attention in cancer patients. Insulin glargine (GlyA21, ArgB31, ArgB32 human insulin) is insulin produced by recombinant DNA technology using E. coli, substituting asparagine at position 21 in the A chain with glycine and adding two arginine residues to the B chain at positions 31 and 32 (10). In a large German study, a higher cancer incidence was associated with administration of glargine compared to human insulin. On the other hand, the opposite was described in other retrospective trials and a meta-analysis (11–16). It seems that observational studies describing insulin glargine as a risk factor for developing cancer have important methodological bias (17) and, thus, the importance of insulin glargine in the development of cancer remains unclear (18, 19). There is no robust evidence describing the influence of the type of production of insulin on the development of SPMs or risk of cancer.

In addition to insulin, oral antidiabetic drugs (PAD) are also used to treat diabetes with metformin being one of the most commonly prescribed. Metformin is an antihyperglycemic drug with a hypoglycemic effect without hyperglycemia, it improves insulin resistance (20) and decreases circulating insulin levels through activation of the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway (21). Antiproliferative potential is demonstrated by reduced prevalence and number of metachronous adenomas or polyps after polypectomy (22) and, thus, it is considered as a protective factor in colorectal adenomas and subsequent carcinomas (23). In multiple studies, metformin has also been identified as a drug with anticancer activity, especially in CRC (24–30).

A meta-analysis of 24 metformin studies demonstrates that metformin usage decreases cancer risk in diabetes mellitus type II patients (8) and that metformin could have a protective effect (29, 31). However, no large studies evaluating the risk of development of SPMs and type of treatment of diabetes mellitus in CRC patients are currently available.

The aim of this single-institutional retrospective analysis is the identification of SPMs in colorectal cancer patients and description of the potential relationship between the occurrence of DM, its treatment and the development of SPMs.

Conclusion: CRC patients with diabetes mellitus, especially those with older age, and early stages of colon cancer, should be screened for second primary malignancies more often than the standard population. Patients without DM have longer survival. According to the occurrence of the most common secondary malignancies, a clinical examination, blood count, and ultrasound of the abdomen is appropriate, together with standard breast and colorectal cancer screening, and lung cancer screening under certain conditions, and should be recommended in CRC survivors especially in patients with intercurrent DM, however the necessary frequency of screening remains unclear.
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MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients Selection

After approval by the institutional ethics committee (2019/1827/MOU), all patients over 18 years with histologically confirmed
Second Primary Malignancies

For epidemiological studies, tumors are considered multiple primary malignancies if arising in different sites and/or are of a different histology or morphology group (32). In our study, criteria according to the SEER definition of multiple primary tumors were used: 1) tumors with ICD-O-3 histology codes that are different at the first, second or third number are multiple primaries; 2) tumors with ICD-O-3 topography codes that are different at the second and/or third characters are multiple primaries (33).

Synchronicity and multiplicity were qualified according to the rules of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) which suggest synchronous tumours to be diagnosed in an interval of less than 6 months (or metachronous if more than 6 months) and if arising in different sites (34).

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons of the basic characteristics between the patients with SPM and the patients without SPM were summarized with counts and frequencies and tested with the Fisher exact test in case of categorical characteristics. For countinuous characteristics median, 5%-95% percentile and the Mann-Whitney test was used. The Fisher exact test was also used to test the relationship between the occurrence of SPMs on one side and the presence of DM, DM therapy, and the laterality of colorectal cancer on the other side.

Comparison of the occurrence of SPMs by the site of diagnosis between the DM and non-DM cohorts was performed by the N-1 chi-squared test. SPMs with an unknown date of diagnosis were not included in the analysis (7 cases). The national cancer registry of the Czech Republic (35) was used to compare the frequencies of sites of diagnosis in our study with the frequencies in the entire Czech population.

Kaplan-Meier curves were utilized to display the survival of the patients with colorectal cancer stratified by the occurrence of SPM and DM. 15-year survival was used as the primary endpoint. Observations with 15 or more years of follow-up were censored at 15 years. The Breslow test was used to compare the differences in survival between defined groups of patients with respect to the presence of DM and the occurrence of SPM.

RESULTS

Second Primary Malignancies

In total, 1174 patients were identified and enrolled in this study. The median follow-up was 10.1 years, median age 63 years and 724 of the patients were men (62%). The other basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1 in respect to occurrence of SPM, which was diagnosed in 234 (20%) patients (Table 2). One secondary neoplasm was found overall in 190 (16.2%) patients, 36 (3.1%) patients suffered from two SPMs and 8 (0.7%) were treated with three SPMs (Table 2). A significantly higher incidence of SPMs was observed in older CRC patients and also in patients with a lower stage of CRC reflecting their better overall survival.

Diabetes Mellitus

Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed in 183 (15.5%) patients. DM was diagnosed in 22.6% of those with SPM vs. in 13.8% of those without SPM (p=0.001). The type of DM treatment is summarized in Table 3. Oral antidiabetic drugs (PADs) alone or in combination with insulin were taken by 127 patients. No significant difference in DM treatment between those with and without SPM was observed including analysis of type of insulin and its production.

CRC patients with diabetes mellitus had a higher incidence of SPMs than those without DM, especially another CRC, liver and intrahepatic bile ducts, lung, nonmelanoma tumors of the skin, kidney, bladder, non-Hodgkin disease, and leukemia (Table 4).

| TABLE 1 | Characteristics of colorectal cancer patients (C18–C20) stratified by the occurrence of second primary malignancies. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gender                  |                                                                                                                   |
| Men                    | 590 (62.8%)                                                          | 134 (57.3%)                        | 0.1331   |
| Women                  | 350 (37.2%)                                                          | 100 (42.7%)                         |          |
| Age at CRC diagnosis   |                                                                                                                   |
| 0–44                   | 79 (8.4%)                                                           | 14 (6.0%)                          | 0.0011   |
| 45–64                  | 153 (16.3%)                                                         | 21 (9.0%)                           |          |
| 55–64                  | 296 (31.5%)                                                         | 58 (24.8%)                          |          |
| 65–74                  | 278 (29.6%)                                                         | 93 (39.7%)                          |          |
| 75+                    | 134 (14.3%)                                                         | 48 (20.5%)                          |          |
| Median (5%–95% percentile) | 63 (55–70)                                                          | 67 (60–73)                          | < 0.0012 |
| Clinical stage         |                                                                                                                   |
| Complete records       | 906 (96.4%)                                                         | 221 (94.4%)                         | 0.0121   |
| Stage I + in situ      | 249 (27.5%)                                                         | 68 (30.8%)                          |          |
| Stage II               | 218 (24.1%)                                                         | 67 (30.3%)                          |          |
| Stage III              | 260 (28.7%)                                                         | 61 (27.6%)                          |          |
| Stage IV               | 179 (19.8%)                                                         | 25 (11.3%)                          |          |
| Occurrence of DM       |                                                                                                                   |
| No                     | 810 (86.2%)                                                         | 181 (77.4%)                         | 0.001    |
| Yes                    | 130 (13.8%)                                                         | 53 (22.6%)                          |          |

1Fischer exact test; 2Mann-Whitney test; SPM, second primary malignancy; CRC, colorectal cancer.
but except for liver and intrahepatic bile duct cancer (4.6% with DM vs. 0.5% without DM, p=0.014), a higher incidence of other SPMs was not statistically significant (Figure 1). Although breast cancer is the second most common in the group with DM, its incidence is lower than in the group without DM, as well as prostate cancer. Statistical significance of a group of other malignant neoplasms is biased by multiple diagnostic units and is listed in Table 5.

According to the date of diagnosis of SPMs, patients were divided into three groups (before the development of CRC, synchronous and metachronous SPM). These and individual SPMs according to DM are summarized in Table 6. In patients with DM, there was a statistically significant difference in laterality of initial CRC cancer between the SPM and non-SPM groups (Table 7). In the SPM group, a higher proportion of cancer of the right colon and left colon and, conversely, a lower proportion of rectal cancer compared to the group without SPM was observed (p=0.014). Patients with rectal cancer and DM had the smallest probability of developing SPM. The transverse colon (C18.4) was excluded from the laterality assessment, due to the difficult assignment into the group for the right or left colon, only by ICD-O-3 topography codes.

Overall survival (OS) differed according to the occurrence of SPM and DM (Breslow test p=0.001). Patients without SPMs and with DM have shorter OS (median 4.7 years) than patients with SPMs and DM (median 7.8 years). Patients without SPMs have shorter survival, probably because of the poor prognosis of primary CRC in combination with DM than those with SPMs and DM, who have early stages of CRC, longer survival, and a higher probability of development SPMs. Patients without DM have longer survival, than those with DM. DM seems to be an important factor for survival. Patients without DM have a similar survival estimation for both groups (with or without SPMs) during the first 5 years, after which patients without diabetes and SPMs live longer (Figure 2 and Table 8).

### DISCUSSION

In patients with CRC and a history of DM, a higher incidence of second primary malignancies compared with CRC patients without DM was observed in this large retrospective study with more than a 10 year follow up. Identifying the group of patients with CRC at higher risk of developing a SPM, and analyzing their type and timing is essential for clinical practice and development of long-term management, especially with increasing prevalence associated with better treatment and screening programs. This group of patients with SPMs is usually excluded from clinical trials, and available information about their OS or other related factors are limited. Recently, an online competing-risk nomogram was released (http://biostat.fudan.edu.cn/crc) (36), however, without DM listed as a risk factor.

Considering the general biology of carcinogenesis, each primary malignancy is associated with the occurrence of secondary malignancies, but the type of SPMs does not have to be the same. For example, breast cancer survivors often developed secondary breast cancer and colorectal cancer (37) and lung cancer is associated with the occurrence of other tumors of the lung, head and neck and the genitourinary tract (38). According to Jia et al., CRC survivors with an older age, male sex, with localized disease, and treatment with surgery are at high risk of developing SPMs (36, 39). A high incidence of the SPM in older patients is probably due to the long exposition of toxic substances in the environment during the longer life of these people. Also in patients with DM there was a higher incidence of SPMs, and DM was an independent risk factor for the occurrence of SPMs in gastric cancer patients (40). The higher incidence of liver and intrahepatic bile duct cancer in our analysis is in contrast with Broman et al.’s. study, where the incidence of these tumors was lower than expected, it is probably

### TABLE 2 | Second primary malignancies in patients with colorectal cancer (C18–C20).

| Patients with CRC | Men (N = 724) | Women (N = 450) | Total (N = 1 174) |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| No SPM            | 590 (81.5%)^1 | 350 (77.8%)^1  | 940 (80.1%)       |
| With SPM          | 134 (18.5%)^1 | 100 (22.2%)^1  | 234 (19.9%)       |
| Two primary neoplasms | 112 (15.5%)  | 78 (17.3%)     | 190 (16.2%)       |
| Three primary neoplasms | 18 (2.5%)    | 18 (4.0%)      | 36 (3.1%)         |
| Four primary neoplasms | 4 (0.6%)    | 4 (0.9%)       | 8 (0.7%)          |

^p-value of Fisher exact test: 0.133. SPM, second primary malignancy; CRC, colorectal cancer.

### TABLE 3 | Relationship between treatment of diabetes mellitus and risk of second primary malignancy in patients with colorectal cancer (C18–C20).

| Therapy of DM                  | No SPM (N = 130) | With SPM (N = 53) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Diet                           | 32 (24.6%)      | 12 (22.6%)      | 0.737  |
| PAD                            | 65 (50.0%)      | 30 (56.6%)      |        |
| PAD/Insulin                    | 28 (19.2%)      | 7 (13.2%)       |        |
| Insulin                        | 3 (8.2%)        | 4 (7.5%)        |        |
| Therapy of DM - PAD            |                 |                 |        |
| Metformin                      | 74 (82.2%)      | 33 (89.2%)      | 0.427  |
| Other PAD                      | 16 (17.8%)      | 4 (10.8%)       |        |
| Therapy of DM - insulin        |                 |                 |        |
| Glargine                       | 6 (18.2%)       | 2 (18.2%)       | 1.000  |
| Other insulin                  | 27 (81.8%)      | 9 (81.8%)       |        |
| Insulin made by recombinant DNA technology in Escherichia coli | 8 (24.2%) | 2 (18.2%) | 1.000 |
| Insulin made by recombinant DNA technology in Saccharomyces cerevisiae | 25 (75.8%) | 9 (81.8%) |        |

SPM, second primary malignancy; DM, diabetes mellitus; PAD, oral antidiabetics.
Breast cancer was reported (45). Patients treated with metformin colorectal cancer (44). Among insulin users, an increased risk of antidiabetic treatment may also play a role in carcinogenesis. In described as a risk factor for developing cancer and it seems that than SPMs without DM, patients without SPMs and with DM years for patients with SPMs and DM but, thereafter was worse.

Other malignant neoplasms 6 (2.8%) 6 (9.2%) 111,787 (4.7%).

In addition to DM itself, its treatment, antidiabetic therapy, was described as a risk factor for developing cancer and it seems that antidiabetic treatment may also play a role in carcinogenesis. In previously published literature, insulin use has been associated with increased and metformin with decreased incidence of colorectal cancer (44). Among insulin users, an increased risk of breast cancer was reported (45). Patients treated with metformin have no lower probability of SPMs incidence in our group of patients. In concordance with our results, in head and neck cancer metformin does not show a protective effect on the development of SMPs (46), on the other hand, in the development of primary pancreatic cancer this relationship was significant (47). Although long-term use of metformin appears to have the effect of reducing the incidence of CRC and its progression (48), it appears to have no effect on the incidence of secondary malignancies in CRC patients, as we have shown. In one previous study users of insulin glargine and users of other insulin analogs had a lower risk of cancer in general than those using human insulin (49), but on the other hand, an increased risk of breast cancer in users of insulin glargine in comparison with users of human insulin was found (50). For users of glargine insulin compared to users of non-glargine insulin, a decreased risk of colon cancer, as well as a marginally significant increased risk of breast cancer and prostate cancer, was observed (9, 51). However, in some studies, the effect on cancer development has not been confirmed (14). It has even been previously described that serum of patients treated by insulin glargine is more mitogenic to a breast cancer cell than those treated by insulin glargine or detemir compared with human insulin (53) and according to our results, insulin glargine was not associated with a higher risk of SPMs in our group of patients.

An inherent limitation of this study is related to its retrospective nature, which is similar to all other studies dealing with this issue.
The same reason limits availability of some other data which may be related to the risk of SPM, such as obesity, which increases the risk of malignancy (54) as well as information on alcohol use, smoking, diet, sports activity, and lifestyle (55, 56). Although one may assume that patients with DM have mostly uniform diet, this and other information was not available for the majority of our patient’s cohort and has a significant impact on cancer development. Due to the length of follow-up and changes in the treatment strategy for both CRC and diabetes mellitus, patients with a more recent diagnosis of CRC could survive longer, and their SPMs may not have been detected yet, despite the long follow-up of our group of patients. The strengths of our study include use of a well-characterized and population-based cohort of CRC survivors, patient characteristics, and treatment with extensive follow-up, detailed information on the incidence of SPMs in CRC patients from the source documentation, review of medical charts, and detailed information about antidiabetic medication of patients.

The better identification of risk groups of patients is important for clinicians, health care providers, and health insurance companies. From our analysis it has arisen that CRC patients stage I or II with diabetes mellitus have a higher incidence of SPMs, especially second colon and rectal cancer, liver and intrahepatic bile ducts, lung, nonmelanoma tumors of the skin, kidney, bladder, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and leukemia. Liver and intrahepatic bile duct cancer is even more common than in the group without DM. On the other hand, although breast cancer is the second most common in the group with DM, its incidence is lower than in the group without DM, as well as prostate cancer.
TABLE 6 | Second primary malignancies by the site of diagnosis stratified by the occurrence of diabetes mellitus.

|                      | No diabetes mellitus (N = 214) | With diabetes mellitus (N = 65) | All malignant neoplasms according to NOR (N = 2,367,973) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | SPM before the first CRC (N = 82) | SPM synchronously with the first CRC (N = 59) | SPM after the first CRC (N = 73) | Total SPM (N = 214) | SPM before the first CRC (N = 22) | SPM synchronously with the first CRC (N = 27) | SPM after the first CRC (N = 16) | Total SPM (N = 65) | All malignant neoplasms |
| Oral cavity and pharynx (C00–C14) | 3 (3.7%) | 1 (1.7%) | 2 (2.7%) | 6 (2.8%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (6.3%) | 1 (1.5%) | 47,097 (2.0%) |
| Esophagus (C15) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.4%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 16,943 (0.7%) |
| Stomach (C16) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3 (4.1%) | 5 (2.3%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.5%) | 268,753 (11.3%) |
| Colon and rectum (C18–C20) | 0 (0.0%) | 28 (47.5%) | 14 (19.2%) | 42 (19.6%) | 0 (0.0%) | 13 (48.1%) | 4 (25.0%) | 17 (26.2%) | |
| Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts (C22) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.7%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (3.7%) | 2 (12.5%) | 3 (4.6%) | 30,775 (1.3%) |
| Gallbladder and biliary tract (C23, C24) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 39,697 (1.7%) |
| Pancreas (C25) | 1 (1.2%) | 1 (1.7%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (0.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 65,789 (2.8%) |
| Larynx (C32) | 2 (2.4%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (0.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 41,122 (1.7%) |
| Lung, bronchus and trachea (C33, C34) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 5 (6.8%) | 5 (2.3%) | 2 (9.1%) | 2 (7.4%) | 0 (0.0%) | 4 (6.2%) | 249,926 (10.6%) |
| Malignant melanoma of skin (C43) | 5 (6.1%) | 3 (5.1%) | 4 (5.5%) | 12 (6.6%) | 1 (4.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.5%) | 56,372 (2.4%) |
| Other malignant neoplasms of skin (C44) | 2 (2.4%) | 1 (1.7%) | 1 (1.4%) | 4 (1.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (7.4%) | 1 (6.3%) | 3 (4.6%) | 532,199 (22.5%) |
| Soft tissues (C47, C49) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (4.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.5%) | 10,358 (0.4%) |
| Breast (C50) | 26 (31.7%) | 6 (10.2%) | 9 (12.3%) | 41 (19.2%) | 8 (36.4%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 8 (12.3%) | 199,562 (8.4%) |
| Cervix uteri (C53) | 6 (7.3%) | 1 (1.7%) | 0 (0.0%) | 7 (3.3%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 43,373 (1.8%) |
| Uterus (C54, C55) | 4 (4.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.4%) | 5 (2.3%) | 1 (4.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (1.5%) | 66,192 (2.8%) |
| Ovary (C56) | 1 (1.2%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 4 (2.3%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 42,593 (1.8%) |
| Prostate (C61) | 12 (14.6%) | 6 (10.2%) | 6 (8.2%) | 24 (11.2%) | 1 (4.5%) | 1 (4.5%) | 2 (12.5%) | 4 (6.2%) | 142,994 (6.0%) |
| Testis (C62) | 4 (4.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 4 (1.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 14,440 (0.6%) |
| Kidney (C64) | 1 (1.2%) | 8 (13.6%) | 9 (12.3%) | 18 (8.4%) | 1 (4.5%) | 3 (11.1%) | 3 (18.8%) | 7 (10.8%) | 85,270 (3.6%) |
| Bladder (C67) | 2 (2.4%) | 1 (1.7%) | 7 (9.6%) | 10 (4.7%) | 1 (4.5%) | 2 (7.4%) | 1 (6.3%) | 4 (6.2%) | 69,826 (2.9%) |
| Central nervous system (C70–C72) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 27,516 (1.2%) |
| Thyroid gland (C73) | 1 (1.2%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3 (4.1%) | 4 (1.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 23,545 (1.0%) |
| Hodgkin’s disease (C81) | 1 (1.2%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 12,082 (0.5%) |
| Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (C82–C86) | 3 (3.7%) | 1 (1.7%) | 0 (0.0%) | 4 (1.9%) | 2 (9.1%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (3.1%) | 41,122 (1.7%) |
| Multiple myeloma (C90) | 1 (1.2%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 17,252 (0.7%) |
| Leukemia (C91–C95) | 2 (2.4%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (2.7%) | 4 (1.9%) | 1 (4.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (6.3%) | 2 (3.1%) | 46,717 (2.0%) |
| Other malignant neoplasms | 4 (4.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (2.7%) | 6 (2.8%) | 3 (13.6%) | 2 (7.4%) | 1 (6.3%) | 6 (9.2%) | 111,787 (4.7%) |

Only SPMs with known date of diagnosis were considered (date of diagnosis was not available for seven SPMs).

1diagnosed 6 or more months before the first CRC in the patient.
2diagnosed within 6 months before or after the first CRC in the patient.
3diagnosed 6 or more months after the first CRC in the patient.

SPM, second primary malignancy; CRC, colorectal cancer, NOR, national cancer registry (1977–2017).
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this single-institution population-based study shows that CRC patients in complete remission have an increased risk of development of SPMs, especially patients ≥65 years of age, with stage I and II primary colon cancer and those with diabetes mellitus. These patients should be frequently and regularly screened for second primary malignancies. This screening should be cheap and without increased radiation load. According to the occurrence of the most common second malignancies, clinical examination, blood...
count, and ultrasound of the abdomen are appropriate, together with standard breast and colorectal cancer screening, and lung cancer screening under certain conditions, but the frequency of the screening remains unclear.
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