Early Demonstration of Spontaneous Perinodal Lymphangiogenesis by Lymphoscintigraphy after Vascularized Lymph Node Transplantation - A Pilot Study

Abstract

**Background:** Despite the lymphatic system being so important and extensive, the field of lymphatic diseases, research is still very young. Lymphedema is a progressively debilitating condition with no known "cure." Specific pathologies that could benefit from improved lymphatic drainage by advanced super surgical techniques or engineered tissue transfer are being sought. Microsurgical techniques like lymphovenous bypass and anastomosis have spurred interest as they tend to physiologically restore the damaged lymphatic channels and draining lymph nodes. Autologous healthy lymph nodes are transferred along with surrounding fat and vascular pedicle to the affected limb in a bid to promote lymphangiogenesis. Lymphoscintigraphy (LS) is a simple, noninvasive nuclear technique used in identifying upper or lower limb lymphatic dysfunction and obstruction with a high degree of sensitivity. Quantitative LS is extremely useful in follow-up assessment of lymphedema postmanual lymphatic drainage (MLD) or other forms of medical management. **Aim:** We hypothesize that LS can document perinodal lymphangiogenesis post VLNT. **Material and Methods:** Three cases of acquired lymphedema (suspected filariasis and postmastectomy conditions) who underwent VLNT in our institute were prospectively studied with LS. The imaging findings highlight the subtle lymphatic regeneration along with the vascularized graft in all three patients during the early postoperative period. **Conclusion:** This is the first (pilot) study documenting early spontaneous perinodal lymphangiogenesis after VLNT in human subjects. $^{99m}$Tc Nanocolloid LS has been found to be incremental in demonstrating early lymphangiogenesis.
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Introduction

Lymphedema is a chronic and debilitating disease that occurs due to poor lymphatic flow through the affected limb. It can occur because of congenital disorders, cancer, side effects of oncosurgery, chemoradiation, cardiac disorders, and immunological diseases. Filariasis, in developing countries, is found to be the major contributor for pedal lymphedema. Antifilarial measures are initiated in endemic zones in a bid to control the disease progression. Manual lymphatic drainage (MLD) is a conservative but effective form of management in early stages of lymphedema to reduce the interstitial fluid volume in affected limb and enhance fluid drainage through collateral lymphatic channels before contemplating surgical options. Lymphedema causes physical and psychological morbidity in patients affected with this condition. Generally, the involved limb becomes swollen, heavy, fibrotic, and deformed. Limb pain can co‑exist. Due to lymphatic collection, the affected limb may become prone to infections, and typically require lifelong palliative interventions to prevent disease progression, rather than to cure the condition.

Historically, lymphedema was treated with debulking, ablative procedures where the excess fat and fluid accumulation was directly excised or removed through liposuction.[1] However, these approaches are far from physiologic and are often disfiguring or can only achieve temporary improvement. Although it was first described in 1960s,
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the field of lymphedema surgery and supermicrosurgical treatment of lymphedema through a lymphovenous bypass (LVB) or lymphaticovenular anastomosis (LVA) has only recently become more common and is considered one of the gold standard treatments for lymphedema. In 1977, O’Brien et al.[2] introduced LVA for the treatment of obstructive lymphedema in the extremities. Further development of super microsurgery has facilitated anastomosis of vessels of 0.3 mm to 0.8 mm in caliber.[3] LVB creates a new pathway to drain excess lymphatic fluid that is trapped in lymphedematous areas into the venous circulation. It increases the transport capacity of the lymphatic fluid. In preparation for LVB/LVA, lymphoscintigraphy (LS) followed by MR lymphangiography is ideal in the background of normal venous supply (normal Doppler study). LVB/LVA can be performed only in those patients demonstrating faint or intact lymphatic channels in the affected limb, some amount of dermal lymphatics/backflow, and draining node/s. The main prerequisite being identification of an ideal lymphatic vessel (with good caliber) demonstrated by MR lymphangiography with the absence of adequately sized venules near it. Once this finding is confirmed, LVB and anastomosis can be attempted. However, our cases were better suited for vascularized lymph node transfer (VLNT) as per the LS finding of nodal absence in respective draining basin (axilla/groin) and surgeon’s opinion. We present three cases of chronic lymphedema who displayed early spontaneous lymphangiogenesis 4–12 weeks’ postsurgery. Although various etiologies can lead to lymphedema, we shall be presenting three cases of VLNT performed in our institute.

**Case Report**

**Case 1: Vascularized lymph node transfer at right ankle**

A 37-year-old female presented with right lower limb lymphedema of 17 years duration. There was a slow progressive increase in limb swelling and pain for the last 3 years, exacerbated for past 6 months. The patient had repeated episodes of lymphangitis almost every month for the past 6 months. Filariasis was clinically suspected. Initial baseline blood investigations such as hemogram, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C reactive protein, blood sugar, urea, creatinine, calcium were done and a Doppler study of the right lower limb was performed. There was no evidence of deep vein thrombosis. The right saphenofemoral junction was found to be incompetent. There was subcutaneous edema in the right lower limb. The patient was subjected to MLD for 8 weeks and was prescribed antiedema medications (Tab. Torsemide 5 mg 1 daily, Tab. Glucosamine 1500 mg per day at night) for limb swelling reduction. Preoperative bilateral lower limb LS was done just prior to surgery [Figure 2a]. 800 microcurie of $^{99m}$Tc nanocolloid (NC) in 0.4 ml volume (subcutaneous web space 2 injections [0.1 ml each] in each foot, using 1 ml syringe) was used. Images revealed lymphatic dysfunction-scintigraphic grade III obstruction of the right lower limb.[4]

After plastic surgery expert panel discussion, the patient was considered for VLNT of right lower limb at the ankle. Submental VLNT was performed under general anesthesia. Supraclavicular flap harvest and markings done on the right side. Ellipsoid skin paddle was marked above the clavicle between the sternocleidomastoid (SCM) and the trapezius, with a size of 7 cm × 3 cm. Incision given (from the lateral border of SCM, 1 cm above the clavicle) and subplatysmal flaps raised. An external jugular vein was identified and included in the flap. Dissection done at the lateral border of SCM and the muscle was retracted. Deep dissection to identify the omohyoid was done, and muscle was divided, subsequently, identification of the transverse cervical vessels deep into the muscle was undertaken. Transverse cervical vessels were found to be anomalous with a tiny branch from the dorsal scapular artery in the plane deep to scalene and brachial plexus. Dissection was completed. Primary closure was done over a suction drain. Submental flap was harvested and donor site closed in layers over a suction drain. Recipient site: Lazy S incision was made on the medial aspect of the right leg above the medial malleolus. Subcutaneous dissection done, creating a compartment for flap insertion. Recipient vascular pedicle was identified and prepared (posterior tibial vessels). Anastomoses of flap artery and vein to posterior tibial artery and vein were performed with 9-0 nylon. Closure done with 4-0 nylon over a suction drain. Right Submental lymph node flap transfer to right ankle
was performed [Figure 1]. Postoperative period was uneventful, and the patient was discharged with adequate antibiotic cover and analgesics after 3 days.

Pre and postoperative LS [Figure 2a, 2b] with single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) CT (GE Optima NM/CT 640) was performed at 6 weeks. The site was
aseptically cleaned, and freshly prepared radiotracer was used. A higher dose of radiocolloid was used to identify neo lymphangiogenesis. Three-four subcutaneous injections of 6 mCi $^{99m}$Tc NC were given at 2 interdigital webspaces. Lower limbs followed by regional SPECT CT images at graft site were acquired immediately post-injection [Figure 2c quantitative SPECT]. There was a moderate reduction in dermal backflow in right dorsum and ankle. SPECT CT (noncontrast) images (128 × 128 matrix, zoom 1.2, 30 s/frame, 360°, step and shoot acquisition) showed focal sites of tracer uptake along the graft, fat and surgical clips at medial malleolus indicating possibly the onset of neo lymphangiogenesis [Figure 2d Fused sagittal, computed tomography [CT] and fused coronals, Figure 2e fused transaxial and 2f is three-dimensional fused image with arrow pointing at graft site neolymphangiogenesis]. Patient reported minimal reduction in swelling of limb at 6 weeks’ follow-up.

**Case II: Vascularized lymph node transfer right wrist**

A 54-year-old female with diabetes mellitus complained of right upper limb progressive lymphedema. The patient a known case of carcinoma right breast underwent radical mastectomy and axillary clearance 4 years back. Her present complaints related to repeated episodes of lymphangitis especially for the past 6 months with inability to use the arm actively for more than 30 min. The patient has been treated conservatively but with no relief. Blood investigations including fasting/postprandial blood sugar evaluation were done followed by Doppler study of affected upper limb and $^{99m}$Tc NC LS. The patient was scheduled for a VLNT from supraclavicular nodal location. Preoperative LS images showed discrete lymphatic tracts and axillary nodes on the left side with normal colloid transit [Figure 4A]. No discrete lymphatic tract and axillary nodes were seen in right upper limb, consistent with complete disruption of lymphatic channels. SPECTCT confirmed the anatomic absence of right axillary lymph nodes. Postoperative LS [Figure 4B] demonstrated improvement in count statistics at VLNT site after 4 weeks. MR lymphangiogram (MRL) with contrast was also acquired [Figure 4C]. Based on MRL findings, the patient was scheduled for VLNT under general anesthesia. Postoperative LS [Figure 4B] CT and fused SPECT CT coronal images showed focal site of colloid accumulation along the flap site and along the dermis demonstrating neolymphatic channels (arrow).

**Case III – Vascularized lymph node transfer right groin**

A 68-year-old male with suspected filariasis presented with longstanding right lower limb and scrotal lymphedema and lymphorrhrea. The patient experienced frequent bouts of fever, scrotal pain, and inguinal swellings. Baseline blood investigations, ultrasound of scrotum, Doppler study of lower limbs were performed. On local examination, the patient had moderate edema of the right lower limb extending to thigh and scrotum (Lymphangioma circumscriptum over the scrotum) and nonpitting edema over the pubic region. Lower abdomen was normal. The right inguinal lymph node excision biopsy revealed, suppurative granulomatous lymphadenitis. On special staining, (periodic acid–Schiff and, acid-fast bacteria), no microorganisms were seen. Possibilities include mycobacterial infections including atypical mycobacteria due to lymphogranuloma venereum. Tuberculosis (TB) polymerase chain reaction was negative for TB (done on slide block). Preoperative LS [Figure 4A] was reported as grade III lymphatic obstruction of right lower limb with faint accumulation of colloids in scrotum in delayed images (lymphedema of scrotum). VLNT from the right supraclavicular lymph node was planned. The nodes were harvested and shredded to piecemeal and transferred to right groin intact with fat and vascular pedicle. Postoperative LS (6 mCi of Tc NC was injected intradermally at base of scrotum, 4 injections) done at 12 weeks. Static pelvis images were acquired at 5, 10, and 30 min postinjection. There was faint visualization of grafted nodes in right groin at 10⁶ min image which showed higher...
count statics in further delayed images. SPECT CT showed tiny nodes in right groin with focal colloid accumulation confirming functioning grafted nodes [Figure 4B]. On follow-up, clinically, there was symptomatic improvement of scrotal lymphedema and thigh swelling. There was an objective (75%) reduction in right lower limb, scrotal lymphedema and with no further lymphorrhea.

Discussion

99 mTc NCs are routinely used in the evaluation of lymphedema. We wanted to see if it can be extended as a promising imaging tool to establish neo lymphangiogenesis also. The process of forming new lymphatic channels from either preexisting vessels or as a result of VLNT is a new microsurgical technique being increasingly performed for lymphedema. For limb volume reduction, an additional liposuction is also contemplated.

Lymphangiogenesis is a response that occurs not only during normal development but also in adults during wound healing, inflammation, and as a result of cancer microenvironment.\[^5\]\ We found in our pilot study that NC was able to demonstrate sites of neo-lymphangiogenesis in early weeks localized to vascularized pedicle in all three patients after VLNT. Care needs to be taken to avoid false-positive LS findings postoperatively along with subcutaneous fat stranding in our limited experience. To circumvent this issue, SPECTCT images may be helpful. Similarly, LS can be undertaken at various time points to see if the new lymphatic channels are slowly but persistently being formed around VLNT.

VLNT has been found to be 98% successful as reported by Cheng et al.\[^6\]\ Outcomes were measured. They found greater functional improvements along with arm or leg circumference reduction, reduced episodes of cellulitis, and better quality of life. In 79% of patients, VLNT was preferred over LVA (21%), especially if no patent lymphatic channels were preoperatively evaluated on indocyanine green lymphography. The mechanism of reducing lymphedema in the affected limb is thought to take place by multiple ways. The absence of normal lymphatic channels requires bypassing the congested lymph/stasis through the transferred lymph nodes to the affected limb. Due to the positive interstitial pressure and the new lymphaticovenous connections provided, the stagnant lymph can be effectively drained into the newly anastomosed pedicle vein.\[^7,8\]\ The hydrostatic pressure results in the preferential removal of lymphatic fluid through the transferred lymph node flap into the draining venous system, which has been shown in prior studies.\[^7\]\

VLNT promotes the regeneration of functional lymphatic vessels. Endothelial cells, along with keratinocytes, fibroblasts, macrophages, and platelets are involved in any healing process.\[^8\]\ However, the exact mechanism of perinodal lymphangiogenesis that takes place between the transferred lymph node and the recipient lymphatic system has not been identified. Few researchers have used various animal models to study the effect of lymphatic regeneration. One such study by Suami et al.\[^9\]\ has used canine models to understand this lymphatic restoration mechanism. They investigated anatomical changes in the lymphatic system in the forelimb of a canine after lymph node dissection and irradiation.

Studies have shown that in case of chronic inflammation, lymphangiogenesis can get upregulated.\[^10,11\]\ Transferred lymph node and perinodal fat begin to express lymphangiogenic growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor C,\[^12,13\]\ and along with macrophage infiltration establish spontaneous reconnection to surrounding lymphatics. Yan et al.\[^14\]\ have reported lymphatic regeneration process in tail skin grafts in nude mice (recipients). Lymphatic regeneration occurred beginning at the peripheral edges of skin grafts, primarily from ingrowth of new lymphatic vessels originating from recipient mice. They also exhibited that donor lymphatic vessels appeared to spontaneously re anastomosed with recipient vessels. However, the time frame for lymphatic regeneration and formation of discrete channels is unknown. In an animal study, it was observed that by inflammatory lymphangiogenesis,\[^15,16\]\ the gene expression of lymphatic endothelial cells is altered and leads to the lymphatic network expanding, along with increased fluid drainage both to and from the site of inflammation. Therefore, our pilot study is the first study on adult lymphedema patients documenting successful VLNT procedure. Second, it goes on to prove that LS can demonstrate lymphangiogenesis that starts as early as 4 weeks postoperative.

Conclusion

Our pilot study objectively demonstrates spontaneous perinodal lymphangiogenesis/upregulation of inflammatory lymphangiogenesis by LS. There are no human-based imaging reports to substantiate our hypothesis on literature search. Larger animal model studies and clinical trials on humans need to be performed to prove that lymphatic regeneration not only occurs around the graft but also along the entire involved limb to relieve lymphedema. We plan to enroll more number of patients and continue imaging patients at varying time points postsurgery to document if there is sustained improvement in establishing lymphatic network and flow around the VLNT site and limb.
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