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Shotgun mass spectrometry-based lipid profiling identifies and distinguishes between chronic inflammatory diseases
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Localized stress and cell death in chronic inflammatory diseases may release tissue-specific lipids into the circulation causing the blood plasma lipidome to reflect the type of inflammation. However, deep lipid profiles of major chronic inflammatory diseases have not been compared.

Methods: Plasma lipidomes of patients suffering from two etiologically distinct chronic inflammatory diseases, atherosclerosis-related vascular disease, including cardiovascular (CVD) and ischemic stroke (IS), and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), were screened by a top-down shotgun mass spectrometry-based analysis without liquid chromatographic separation and compared to each other and to age-matched controls.

Lipid profiling of 596 lipids was performed on a cohort of 427 individuals. Machine learning classifiers based on the plasma lipidomes were used to distinguish the two chronic inflammatory diseases from each other and from the controls.

Findings: Analysis of the lipidomes enabled separation of the studied chronic inflammatory diseases from controls based on independent validation test set classification performance (CVD vs control - Sensitivity: 0.94; Specificity: 0.88; IS vs control - Sensitivity: 1.0; Specificity: 0.91; Specificity: 1; IS vs CVD - Sensitivity: 1; Specificity: 0.82). Preliminary linear discriminant analysis plots using all data clearly separated the clinical groups. Dysregulated lipids are partially but not fully counterbalanced by statin treatment.

Interpretation: Dysregulation of the plasma lipidome is characteristic of chronic inflammatory diseases. Lipid profiling accurately identifies the diseases and in the case of CVD also identifies sub-classes.

Funding: Full list of funding sources at the end of the manuscript.
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1. Introduction

Lipids in the plasma reflect diet and metabolic characteristics of an individual [1] but are also known to regulate inflammatory responses both positively and negatively [2–4]. In the case of inflammatory processes cell stress and death also release internal cellular lipids into the blood. It might, therefore, be expected that chronic inflammatory diseases alter the plasma lipidome in a manner that is characteristic of the chemistry of the cells/tissues primarily involved in the disease. With this reasoning we examined the lipidomes of patients suffering from cardiovascular diseases (CVD), ischemic stroke (IS), and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and compared them with the lipidome of age-matched controls who were not known to suffer, or to have suffered, from any of these diseases.

The role of inflammation and lipids in atherosclerosis is well established [5–7]. There is a reasonably large amount of literature [7–29] on the profiling of lipids in plasma and atherosclerotic plaques in CVD cohorts. The emphasis of previous studies has been on
the association of plasma lipid species with CVD-risk stratification and CVD-related mortality [8,10,11,13–24], improved classification of stable and unstable CVD states [25], correlation with established diagnostic tools for CVD [22,26], genetic risk factors for CVD [10,22,27], association of CVD with co-morbidities [8,18,28,29], and association of CVD with changes in lipid biochemistry in the plasma [30]. In general, the different lipidomic profiling studies differ in the methods and definition of clinical outcome subjected to lipidome-based classification. This means that the clinical outcome and lipids profile differ across studies. Consequently, consensus targets from multiple studies are still unobtainable. Nevertheless, common to all studies are the highly sensitive changes in lipid profiles depending on the clinical outcome evaluated. Table S1 summarizes individual lipids associated with CVD or CVD risk factors from past studies.

SLE is a chronic inflammatory disease. Its etiology displays multifactorial characteristics and the molecular mechanisms of this disorder are largely unknown [31–33]. A notable aspect of this disease is the excessive production of reactive oxygen species that oxidize cellular lipids producing derivatives that cause dyslipidemia and dyslipoproteinemia [34,35]. The dyslipoproteinemia signature has been identified in SLE patients with markedly increased age-specific incidence of cardiovascular disease [35]. Lipidomics of the plasma of SLE patients has been previously reported [36,37].

In this work we enquired whether it would be possible to characterize the lipidomic profile of different manifestations of essentially the same clinical disease (different degrees of CVD and IS) and whether the lipidomic profile of two distinct diseases that only had inflammation as a common characteristic could be distinguished from each other and used as a diagnostic identifier. Furthermore, the accuracy as a diagnostic identifier was evaluated on an independent test data set.

Despite the preliminary efforts and promising results based on Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) lipid profiling, identifying individuals at risk for stroke and cardiovascular events from a healthy control population remains a challenge. Time invested per sample constitutes a barrier for large scale clinical validation and implementation of lipid profiles (e.g., the Liquid Chromatography (LC) dimension of previous studies is time intensive [see [38] and references therein]). In this study we evaluated classification performance of lipid profiling based on shotgun Mass Spectrometry (MS) without LC lipid separation prior to MS. A total of 596 lipids were profiled in a total of 427 individuals.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient samples

Plasma samples were obtained from a total of 427 individuals. Baseline characteristics are outlined in Table 1. Control (n = 85) were taken from the population of the Coimbra and Lisbon, Portugal, regions. They were healthy volunteers who satisfied the criterion that they had never had any CVD- or SLE-related health complaints. The CVD patients (n = 217) were divided into 6 groups. CVD1 (n = 67) contains individuals who went to the hospital with chest pain but had no indicators for stable angina pectoris, unstable angina pectoris or myocardial infarction. CVD2 (n = 76) are patients with stable angina pectoris (SAP). CVD1 and CVD2 are defined according to the ACCF/AHA/ACP/ACS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guidelines [39]. CVD3 (n = 14) contains patients with unstable angina pectoris, CVD4 (n = 39) are patients who suffered an acute myocardial infarction with no ST-elevation in ECG, and CVD5 (n = 21) are patients who suffered acute myocardial infarction with ST-elevation in ECG [40,41]. CVD3, CVD4, and CVD5, together, may be classified as patients with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). CVD1 through CVD5 groups were all obtained from Hospital Santa Cruz, Carnaxide, Portugal. Acute ischemic stroke (IS) (n = 21) were patients admitted at the emergency room of the Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Lisbon, Portugal, who suffered from acute ischemic stroke. The SLE cohort (n = 104) were patients from Hospital Dr. Fernando Fonseca, Amadora, Portugal. The inclusion criteria were all patients diagnosed with the pathology and above 18 years old. The exclusion criteria were the existence of serious renal and hepatic pathologies, cancer or existence of infectious diseases. All the lupus patients had active disease but were all stable on medication. None was flaring at the time of the study (no A or B in BILAG) [42] nor were any patients in clinical remission (D and E only in BILAG). The study design is summarized in Fig. 1.

2.2. Ethics

A written informed-consent was obtained from all the participants in this study or from their legal representatives. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine of the New University of Lisbon (n°06/2015/CEFCM) and the Ethics Committee for Health of the Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, that includes the Hospital Santa Cruz, the Hospital Egas Moniz and Hospital São Francisco Xavier, and the Ethics Committee for Health of the Hospital Fernando Fonseca. All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations including, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights of UNESCO, 2005; The Charter of Fundamental rights of the EU, 2012; Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects - Declaration of Helsinki, 2013; EU Regulation 2016/679 and Good Clinical Practice guidelines (Directive 2001/20/EC) and EU Clinical Trials Directive (2005/28/EC). Moreover, they complied with national legislations for the scientific use of human biological samples (Law N° 12/2005 and N° 131/2014).

2.3. Blood samples collection and processing

Blood samples were drawn into tubes containing an anti-coagulant (heparin or EDTA) immediately after admission into the hospital and signing of the informed consent. The samples were kept at 4 °C and processed within 24 h from collection. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation of the blood at 500 g for 10 min at 4 °C, frozen at −80 °C and stored at this temperature until they were used for the lipidomic analysis.

2.4. Definition of age matched controls

The total control cohort consisted of individuals with ages between 22 and 82 years. The whole control cohort (n = 85) was used for comparison with SLE patients.

We applied the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test in R statistical programming language to optimize the similarity of the age distribution in the control group with each of the disease groups [43,44]. Linear scanning of age thresholds from low to high identifies a age threshold with a minimum $-\log_{10}(p)$ value. In the neighborhood of the minimum, we have insufficient evidence to claim that the two distributions are different. The scanning was performed with steps of one year (Fig. S1). The minimum is where the two distributions should have the highest similarity. However, we are also interested in optimizing the number of controls to obtain better statistical sampling. We therefore apply the threshold that gives the largest number of controls and with a two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov test statistic below 0.01 for CVD (Fig. S1a) and IS (Fig. S1b). This resulted in the age threshold ≥50 years for CVD and ≥55 for IS. The minimum $-\log_{10}$ P value for SLE was obtained when using all controls (Fig. S1c). These age matched control groups were used together with data from diseased groups for constructing the partial least square (PLS) models and for the principal component analysis (PCA) analysis to ensure that the observed separation was not age related. For linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and linear regression analysis all controls
were used. For linear regression the models were adjusted for age, gender and statin use. Statin use was defined based on clinical records.

2.5. Lipid extraction for mass spectrometry lipidomics

Mass spectrometry-based lipid analysis was performed at Lipotype GmbH (Dresden, Germany) as previously described [38]. Briefly, plasma was diluted 1:50 v/v in water (LC-MS grade, Sigma) and 50 μL of this diluted plasma (equivalent to 1 μL of undiluted plasma) was mixed with 130 μL of 150 mM ammonium bicarbonate solution and 810 μL of methyl tert-butyl ether/methanol (7:2, v/v) was added. 21 μL of an internal standard mixture was pre-mixed with the mixture of organic solvents. As internal standards cholesterol (Chol) D6, cholesteryl ester (CE) 20:0, ceramide (Cer) 18:1:2/17:0, diacylglycerol (DAG) 17:0/17:0, phosphatidylcholine (PC) 17:0/17:0, phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) 17:0/17:0, lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) 12:0, lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE) 17:1, tricylglycerol (TAG) 17:0/17:0/17:0, and sphingomyelin (SM) 18:1:2/12:0 were used. The plate was then sealed with a teflon-coated lid, shaken at 4 °C for 15 min, and spun down (3000 g, 5 min) to facilitate separation of the liquid phases. One hundred microliters of the organic phase was transferred to an infusion plate and dried in a speed vacuum concentrator. Dried lipids were re-suspended in 40 μL of 7.5 mM ammonium acetate in chloroform/methanol/propanol (1:2:4, v/v/v) and the wells were sealed with an aluminum foil to avoid evaporation and contamination during infusion. All liquid handling steps were performed using a Hamilton STARlet robotic platform with the AntiDroplet-Control feature for pipetting of organic solvents.

2.6. MS data acquisition

Details of MS data acquisition have been described by Surma et al [38]. Samples were analyzed by direct infusion in a QExactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a TriVersa NanoMate ion source (Advion Biosciences). 5 μL were infused with gas pressure...
and voltage set to 1.25 psi and 0.95 kV, respectively. Samples were analyzed in both positive and negative ion modes with a resolution of \( R_{m/z=200} = 280000 \) for MS and \( R_{m/z=200} = 17,500 \) for Tandem mass spectrometry (MSMS) experiments, in a single acquisition. MSMS was triggered by an inclusion list encompassing corresponding MS mass ranges scanned in 1 Da increments. Both MS and MSMS data were combined to monitor CE, DAG and TAG ions as ammonium adducts; PC, ether phosphatidylcholine (PC O-) as acetate adducts; and PE, ether phosphatidylethanolamine (PE O-) and phosphatidylinositol (PI) as deprotonated anions. MS only was used to monitor LPE as deprotonated anion; Cer, SM and LPC as acetate adducts and cholesterol as an ammonium adduct.

2.7. Lipid nomenclature

The following annotations were used: Lipid class-<sum of carbon atoms>-<sum of double bonds>-<sum of hydroxyl groups>, i.e. SM-34:1;2 means an SM lipid with 34 carbon atoms, 1 double bond and 2 hydroxyl groups in the ceramide backbone. Lipid molecular subspecies annotation [45] contains additional information on the exact identity of their fatty acids. For example PC 18:1;0_16:0;0 denotes a phosphatidylcholine with one acyl chain having 18 carbon atoms, 1 double bond, 0 hydroxylation, and a second acyl chain with 16 carbon atoms, 0 double bonds, 0 hydroxylation. The exact position of the fatty acids in relation to the glycerol backbone (sn-1 or sn-2) cannot be discriminated. CE 18:1;0 denotes a cholesteryl ester with an 18:1 fatty acid. Lipid identifiers of the SwissLipids database [45] and LIPID MAPS Shorthand Notation [46] are provided in the supplemental dataset (Table S2).

2.8. Post-processing

Data were analyzed with in-house developed lipid identification software based on LipidXplorer [47,48]. All information concerning the characteristic fragments used to identify lipid classes is shown in Table S3. The mass errors for precursor ion was 1ppm, for fragment ions around 5 ppm. Data post-processing and normalization were performed using an in-house developed data management system. This management system is programed in PHP, C++ and Javascript and contains in-house developed deconvolution and normalization algorithms. Only lipid identifications with a signal-to-noise ratio >5, and a signal intensity 5-fold higher than in corresponding blank samples were considered for further data analysis. Using 8 reference samples per 96-well plate batch, lipid amounts were corrected for batch variations. Amounts were also corrected for analytical drift, if the p-value of the slope was below 0.05 with an R2 greater than 0.6 and the relative drift was above 5%. The full data set contained quantitative information from 623 lipids. Lipids with a concentration less than 0.5 \( \mu \)M were considered “not analyzable” (NA) and, for the purposes of the present work were considered to be at half of the minimum detectable value and zero variance lipids were filtered out providing a data set of 596 lipids which served as the input to subsequent multivariate analyses.

Statistical analysis was performed in R statistical programming language. The R function seed was applied to enable producible random number generations before each analysis. Shapiro–Wilk
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**Fig. 1.** Scheme of the study design. Eighty-five healthy control subjects, two-hundred seventeen cardiovascular disease (CVD) subjects (including acute myocardial infarction with and without ST-elevation in ECG and stable and unstable angina pectoris), twenty one acute ischemic stroke (IS) subjects and one hundred four systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients were included in this study. Individual data [age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), clinical history and medication] were collected from all cohorts at baseline. Blood samples from all subjects were obtained and processed for plasma isolation. Then, plasma lipid extraction was performed for mass spectrometry lipidomics (shotgun lipidomics) to obtain the lipid profile of all individuals involved in this study. The obtained data were analysed by PCA, LDA and PLS models were tested for classification performance on independent data set. R package limma was used for statistical analysis of differential regulated lipids.

**Fig. 2.** Principal component analysis using all 596 selected lipids as input. Plot of the first and second principal component for a) IS vs control (n=46), b) CVD1 vs control_50 (n=101), c) CVD2 vs control_50 (n=110), d) CVD3 vs control_50 (n=48), e) CVD4 vs control_50 (n=73), and f) CVD5 vs control_50 (n=55).
normality test was applied to decide whether a Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon test should be applied.

Principal component analysis was performed by single value decomposition in R statistical programming language. All the lipid expression values were quantile normalized with the “normalize quantiles” function from the library preprocessCore. The quantitative values were added one, log2 transformed and subtracted by row means. The base R function “svd” were used to calculate principal components. The two main components were plotted in a scatter plot using R.

Significant regulated lipids were defined by the R package “limma version 3.42.0” [49]. The data expression values obtained by MS were added one and log2 transformed. Next the quantitative values were normalized across samples using robust quantile normalization [50]. Both the raw and the quantile normalized values were analyzed by the R package “limma version 3.42.0” to determine significant regulated lipids between control and patient cohorts. Linear regression models included terms to correct for gender and statin treatment and only age matched controls were included for statistical regulation analysis involving IS and CVD cases. All controls were included for comparison with the SLE group. The SLE group was sub divided into two groups according to the Systemic Lupus International Collabrating Clinics (SLICC) group criteria (SLICC ≥ 4 versus SLICC < 4) [51].

Statistical differential regulated lipids were determined using the R package “limma version 3.42.0” and p values were adjusted for multiple testing by the method of Benjamini and Hochberg [52]. Principal component analysis was performed using R base functions on centered quantile normalized quantification values. Overlap between significant regulated lipids was performed with the R package “VennDiagram”.

2.9. Supervised classification

LDA was performed using the R package “caret version 6.0.84” [53] as interface to “MASS version 7.3.51.4”. LDA was performed using either all 596 zero variance filtered lipids or 206 lipids selected with more than 50% non-NAs per clinical group. Additionally, the parameters for training and testing of LDA were set to remove lipids with total intensity across all samples below 30. The value 30 was obtained by stepwise optimization to establish best possible separations. The correlation cut off was set to 1 which means that correlated variables were not eliminated (caret parameter pair-wise absolute correlation cutoff). Setting the correlation cut off slightly lower, e.g. 0.9-1 had no effect on the separation in the LDA plots. The results from the two approaches resulted in similar classification.

Fig. 3. Significant regulated lipids across comparisons. Venn comparison of significant regulated lipids after correction of multiple testing (a) and with two fold regulation (b) for CVD groups (n=217). c) Heatmap depicting the direction of regulation for significant regulated lipids across comparisons (n=427). d) Heatmap depicting the direction of regulation for significant regulated lipids with more than two fold regulation across comparisons.
performance and for simplicity only the results from the 596 zero variance filtered lipids is presented.

PLS analysis was performed using the R package “caret version 6.0.84” [53] as interface to “pls version 2.7.1”. The full data set 427 individuals were split into disease groups with age matched controls as described in section “Definition of age matched controls”. Training and test sets were prepared by first selecting the appropriate controls for the classification task involving CVD, IS and SLE. For each of these pairwise comparisons the smallest group sizes were determined. The size of the training data was two times 75% of the smallest group size. The function “strata” from the library “sampling” were used to ensure a random and balanced training set containing an equal number of cases of controls and diseases. For CVD, 26 controls with age ≥50 years and 26 CVD cases were selected as a training set. The remaining data set were used as a validation set (8 controls and 191 CVD). This analysis was also performed using the full control cohort or an age threshold above 37 years to have more controls in the validation set. These additional analyses are not presented here but the classification performance was similar. The training set consisted of 16 controls and 55 and 16 IS cases for the classification of IS and control. The validation set for IS versus control contained 9 controls and 5 IS cases. For classification of SLE versus control, 64 controls and 64 SLE cases were used as training data. The remaining 21 controls and 40 SLE cases were used as validation set. The data sets were preprocessed before PLS model training. The “caret” function “nearZeroVar” identified features with near zero variance which were filtered out. Correlation between features were calculated with base R function “cor” and features with a correlation above 75% identified with the “caret” function “findCorrelation” were filtered out.

The caret preprocessing parameters specified were: “center” – subtracts the mean from predictor values, and “scale” – divide predictor values with the standard deviation”. The model was optimized by 10-fold cross validation repeated 10 times using accuracy as the metric for optimization. ROC curves and area under the curve were calculated with base R function pROC (Fig. 3ab). Heatmaps were constructed to address the direction of the dysregulated lipids (Fig. 3cd). These heatmaps only depict lipids that are regulated in more than one pairwise comparison. The direction of dysregulation of lipids displays large similarities for the CVD, IS and SLE groups. In contrast, lipids regulated between controls with and without statin treatment displayed a reverse pattern of regulation compared to the pathological groups (Fig. 3cd). Next, the frequency of lipid classes of the significant regulated lipids for pathological groups versus statin regulation were compared (Fig. 4abcd). Predominantly the lipid classes PC, PE, CE, SM including component in lipid abundance correlate with these disease conditions. Notably, this PCA analysis was performed using quantitative values from all lipids without any biased pre-selection of lipids known to associate to CVD and IS.

Association of lipids with clinical diagnosis. Comparison of SLE subgroups SLE, SLE, SLE criteria ≥ 4 vs < 4 resulted in no significant lipids after correction of multiple testing (Table S4). Pairwise comparison between age matched controls and the patient groups adjusted for gender and statin use revealed the following number of significant dysregulated lipids after correction of multiple testing (First value: p adjusted < 0.05/ Second value: p adjusted < 0.05 and at least two-fold regulated, Table S4): 179/15 CVD1, 175/20 CVD2, 98/21 CVD3, 149/24 CVD4, 136/20 CVD5, 128/18 IS and 113/2 SLE. Among controls the number of significant regulated lipids after correction of multiple testing (p adjusted < 0.05/ p adjusted < 0.05 and at least two-fold regulated) were 19/4 when comparing controls with and without statin treatment (Table S4). Only about 2–20% of the significant (dys)regulated lipids displayed an effect size bigger or equal to two-fold, prompting the question if the lipids with small effect size are diagnostically relevant.

Therefore, Venn diagrams were used to compare the overlap of significant dysregulated lipids (Fig. 3a) and significant dysregulated lipids with an effect size more than two-fold (Fig. 3b). We observed that a considerable number of significant dysregulated lipids with effect size less than two-fold were shared across pathological groups (Fig. 3ab). Heatmaps were constructed to address the direction of the shared dysregulated lipids (Fig. 3cd). These heatmaps only depict lipids that are regulated in more than one pairwise comparison. The direction of dysregulation of lipids displays large similarities for the CVD, IS and SLE groups. In contrast, lipids regulated between controls with and without statin treatment displayed a reverse pattern of regulation compared to the pathological groups (Fig. 3cd). Next, the frequency of lipid classes of the significant regulated lipids for pathological groups versus statin regulation were compared (Fig. 4abcd). Predominantly the lipid classes PC, PE, CE, SM including...
Chol were up-regulated in the pathological conditions (Fig. 4a) which to some extent match the statin down-regulation of CE and Chol (Fig. 4d). Similarly, the down regulation of lipid classes TAG, PC, PE and DAG in pathological conditions match, to some degree, the observed up-regulation of TAG, PE, DAG and Cer for statin treated control subjects.

In general, pairwise comparison for disease groups or disease sub-groups versus control resulted in statistical differences in lipid expression (Table S4). This was also the case when different disease groups were compared pairwise against each other. However, sub-comparisons of CVD (e.g. CVD1 versus CVD5) or SLE (e.g. SLICC ≥ 4 versus SLICC < 4) resulted in fewer significant regulated lipids after correcting for multiple testing. Especially, SLICC ≥ 4 versus SLICC < 4 comparison resulted in no significant regulated lipids after correction for multiple testing.

Supervised analysis. Preliminary LDA based on the 596 selected lipids and all data displayed strong potential for building classifiers for separating the pathological groups and control (Fig. 5). Even the individual CVD sub-groups were fairly effectively separated in the LDA plots (Fig. 5ab). LDA separates SLE from controls with a p-value < 2.2e-16 according to student T test (Fig. 5c). Fig. 5d depicts the LDA plot for controls versus IS. The first LDA component significantly separates IS patients from controls (p value < 1.5e-12, Wilcoxon test). The PCA (Fig. 2) and LDA (Fig. 5) plots encouraged us to build and test PLS models. As a proof-of-concept three PLS classifiers with high classification performance when tested on an independent data set were established (Fig. 6).

The optimal number of PLS component for separation of CVD and controls was four (Fig. 6a). The right panel (Fig. 6b) displays the 20 most important lipids contributing to the PLS components. The width of each bar is indicative of the lipids importance in the model. The optimal PLS component for IS versus control were four (Fig. 6c) and the 20 highest ranked lipids in terms of importance are depicted in the right panel (Fig. 6d). For SLE versus controls five PLS components were optimal (Fig. 6e). The 20 most important lipids for SLE (Fig. 6f) displayed some similarity to the most relevant lipids for IS versus control (Fig. 6bdf). For example, the lipids SM 32:1;2, LPC 22:6;0, SM 36:1;2, and PC O/C0 18:0;0/C0 20:4;0 were included in the models for IS and SLE. The three PLS models for separating IS, CVD and SLE from age matched controls was validated by using a validation set left out from the training and optimization of the PLS models (Fig. 7). The ROC curve for classification performance of CVD versus controls is depicted in Fig. 7a. The area under the curve was 0.95. The confusion matrix depicted in Fig. 7b indicates that 187 out of 199 of the left-out data set were correctly classified. The model for CVD1 versus controls displayed a slightly worse classification performance with five out of 52 misclassified (Accuracy ≈ 90%, area under the curve was 0.97). Although, LDA based on all data displayed partial to complete separation for CVD1 versus CVD2 (Fig. 5ab) the PLS model was not much better than random when tested on the independent data set (area under the ROC curve=0.53).
The ROC curve for the PLS model for classification of IS versus controls when tested on the independent validation data set is depicted in Fig. 7cd. The IS model misclassified zero patients in the independent validation out of a total of 14 samples (Accuracy=100%). The ROC curve for the PLS model for classification of SLE versus controls displayed an area under the curve of 1 and no misclassified subjects.

The PLS model for SLE versus control demonstrated slightly improved classification performance compared to CVD versus controls with a total of 3 misclassified cases out of 61 (Fig. 7ef).

In conclusion, we present the accuracy of separation on independent lipidomics test data, of the cohorts studied – namely, control, SLE, IS, and CVD1 through CVD5. The accuracy of separating SLE and CVD cases was above 0.91. The accuracy of separating IS versus SLE and IS versus CVD was 0.78. Fig. 8 summarizes pairwise classification accuracies for all CVD subgroups versus controls when evaluated on an independent test data set. All CVD cases were separated from the controls with accuracies above 0.80. The high accuracies obtained in our study may result from the higher number of lipids profiled. These pairwise classification accuracies are concurrent with the number of significantly identified lipids in the pairwise comparisons obtained from the linear regression models (Table S4). Overall, these findings suggest that plasma lipidomics profiles have the potential to accurately distinguish chronic inflammatory diseases from controls and that the lipidomic profiles are characteristics of the pathophysiological states.

4. Discussion

Blood plasma is the medium through which the physiological steady state of lipid distribution in the body is maintained. These lipids may be of dietary or auto-synthetic origin but are also the result of degradative chemical processes (oxidation, lysis, modification in the plasma, etc.) and cell death due to acute or chronic processes such as inflammation. The exact steady state concentration of each lipid in the plasma is therefore a combination of dietary, physiological-chemical, genetic, and patho-physiological states. Many lipidic products are known to positively or negatively influence inflammatory processes [3,4]. Lipidomics may therefore hold a promise as a valuable tool for identifying and distinguishing between different patho-physiological states. There are perhaps well over a thousand
different lipids and their derivative products in the plasma. Therefore, the more lipids are identified and quantified, the better will be our capacity to diagnose the physiological state. This view seems to be reinforced by recent developments [55].

We have, therefore, used lipidomic data on three separate cohorts -- a cohort consisting of people who suffer from SLE, a cohort of people who suffer from atherosclerosis-related vascular disease (CVD and IS), and an age-matched Control cohort characterized by the fact that they never sought medical help for any of the disease states of the other two cohorts. The CVD cohort consisted of five patient groups, CVD-1 through CVD-5, as described earlier. The patients of CVD, IS, and SLE have a common characteristic -- chronic inflammation -- but distinct primary etiologies. Inflammation plays a major role in the genesis of atherosclerotic vascular disease, which underlies the vast majority of clinical manifestations of coronary and cerebral arterial disease represented in the studied population. Inflammatory cells and cytokines are present from the early stages of atherosclerosis, contributing decisively to its development [56,57].

The infiltration of atherogenic low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) particles from the endothelium modified by mechanical and oxidative stress associated with several vascular risk factors triggers the inflammatory response. Monocytes bind to the endothelium expressing adhesion molecules and chemokines direct their migration into the intima followed by differentiation into macrophages and phagocytosis of LDL-C particles with formation of foam cells. Once the process of atheroma formation begins, inflammation promotes progression and instability of the atheroma leading to rupture and thrombus formation triggering the vascular event. SLE is an autoimmune disease characterized by aberrant B and T cell responses [58,59] with increased production of autoantibodies [60]. Although inflammation is also involved and an interferon signature is associated with SLE [61] a dysfunctional adaptive immune system is mainly responsible for the pathogenesis of this disease. Overall, immune dysfunction contributes to accelerated atherosclerosis in patients with SLE [62].

The comparison of these two patho-physiological states therefore provides an efficient way to evaluate the ability of lipidomics to

---

**Fig. 7.** Classification performance illustrated with ROC curves and confusion matrix for a) CVD versus control, b) IS versus control, and c) SLE versus control.
about chest pain but no pathological diagnostic indicators for CVD were identified. The trained classifiers on lipid abundance were, however, able to distinguish between control and CVD1. However, it must be noted that the classification between CVD1 and CVD2 were basically close to random. This suggests that lipid profiling is the first clinical indicator that can diagnose CVD1 individuals. This finding may have both therapeutic and diagnostic impact and must be further explored in future studies.

In conclusion, we present the accuracy of separation on independent lipidomics test data, of the cohorts studied — namely, control, SLE, IS, and CVD1 through CVD5. The accuracy of separating SLE and CVD cases was above 0.91. The accuracy of separating IS versus SLE and IS versus CVD was 0.78. Fig. 8 summarizes pairwise classification accuracies for all CVD subgroups versus controls when evaluated on an independent test data set. All CVD cases were separated from the controls with accuracies above 0.80. The high accuracies obtained in our study may result from the higher number of lipids profiled. However, the cohorts used were not ideal in terms of sex distribution across CVD conditions and controls. Furthermore, the age matched controls had a slightly lower average age than the CVD and stroke groups. No statistical differences between the Control groups and CVD groups for body mass index (BMI) was identified (Table 1). The samples for each individual disease condition were also not obtained from multiple centers which may introduce bias.

Pairwise classifications of CVD1 versus CVD2 and CVD4 versus CVD5 resulted in an accuracy which was only slightly better than random. These pairwise classification accuracies are concurrent with the number of significantly identified lipids in the pairwise comparisons obtained from the linear regression models (Table S4). Overall, these findings suggest that plasma lipidomics profiles have the potential to accurately distinguish chronic inflammatory diseases from controls and that the lipidomic profiles are characteristics of the pathophysiological changes. The necessary criterion is that the lipidomic data contain as many lipids as possible. We suggest that, given the ease of shotgun lipidomic quantification of a very large number of lipids in plasma and the high accuracy of the separation and identification of chronic inflammatory pathologies upon analysis of the lipidomic data, the methods described in this work could be a valuable tool in early diagnostic methodology.

Study limitations and caveats. This study is limited by the number of samples per group especially when subdividing into cardiovascular subgroups. Therefore, the list of lipids in Fig. 6 should be considered as indicative and not definitive. Gender balance is unbalanced across stroke and cardiovascular disease (see Table 1). For linear regression models statin use, age and gender were corrected for in the linear regression model. The age distributions of controls were optimized for similarity to the age distribution of the disease groups for the analyses involving PCA and PLS models. Females were more prevalent in controls (61.2%), IS (67%) and SLE (91%) and males more prevalent in CVD (65% males). Therefore, gender might constitute a confounding factor in the separation of the disease groups from controls in the PCA and PLS analysis. This might especially be a problem for the SLE disease group. However, linear regression models with or without the gender variable did not suggest gender to have a major effect on the number of significant regulated lipids. For example, the number of significant regulated lipids after correction of multiple testing were 201 and 203 with and without correction for gender. In the case of SLE versus control the number of significant regulated lipids after correction of multiple testing were 113 and 140 with and without gender correction. The samples for each individual disease condition were not obtained from multiple centers which may introduce bias.

The reverse regulation of lipids when comparing statin treated controls with CVD and IS is an explorative result and the observed regulation might originate due to confounding factors. This observation should preferably be validated in a randomized control study.
We understand the present study as an exploratory preliminary study and clearly more inflammatory diseases need to be compared. Recommendations with regard to diagnostics will require much larger cohorts with better matching of age, sex, statin use, BMI, etc. This will be a process that will require many more years and preferably collaborative work between many laboratories.
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