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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Sleeve gastrectomy (SG) can induce prominent remission of type 2 diabetes mellitus. However, the long-term remission rate of diabetes usually decreases over time. Oligofructose has been verified to modulate host metabolism. The aim of this study was to explore the protective effect of oligofructose on high-fat diet (HFD)-induced metabolic dysfunction after SG.

AIM
To study the effect and mechanism of oligofructose on diabetic remission in diabetic rats after SG.

METHODS
SG and SHAM operation were performed on diabetes rats induced with an HFD, nicotinamide, and low-dose streptozotocin. Then the rats in the SHAM and SG
As a novel type of bariatric surgery, SG is characterized by lower complication rate, better quality of life, and lower recurrence rate compared to other procedures. It is the most frequently used procedure in the United States/Canada and in Asian/Pacific regions. Although bariatric surgery induces rapid and prominent remission of T2DM, the long-term remission rate usually decreases over time, and the recurrence of T2DM is observed in a part of patients with initial remission after bariatric surgery. Hence, there is still a need for new strategies to improve the long-term outcomes of patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing bariatric surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Bariatric surgery has been considered the most effective treatment for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Over the past decade, the total number of bariatric surgeries worldwide is increasing, particularly sleeve gastrectomy (SG). Currently, SG is the most frequently used procedure in the United States/Canada and in Asian/Pacific regions. Although bariatric surgery induces rapid and prominent remission of T2DM, the long-term remission rate usually decreases over time, and the recurrence of T2DM is observed in a part of patients with initial remission after bariatric surgery. As a novel type of bariatric surgery, SG is characterized by lower complication rate,
faster operation, fewer technical requirements, and fewer postoperative nutritional problems[8], so that a marked increase in the relative application rate of SG has been observed[8]. Many investigators have reported that SG and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) surgery have equal treatment efficacy for diabetes[9,10]. However, long-term randomized controlled comparison for the impact of SG coupled with RYGB as the gold standard in subjects with T2DM is surprisingly limited. The long-term effect of SG on diabetes is questionable[8]. What is more, SG has been reported to be an independent predictor of the relapse of diabetes at 48.7 mo of follow-up[11]. How to reduce or delay the recurrence of diabetes after surgery is a severe problem that the clinicians have to face.

Prebiotics are non-digestible oligosaccharides, such as oligofructose, galactooligosaccharides, lactulose, and inulin. Prebiotics promote the loss of body weights and improve the metabolism of glucose and lipid in rodents and human[12-15]. The mechanisms underlying these benefits may be due to the reduction in energy intake, regulation of gut microbiota, improvements in low-grade inflammation, and increased levels of gut hormones, such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY[16-19], which prompted us to explore whether prebiotics reduce or delay the recurrence of diabetes after surgery.

A high-calorie diet appears to be one of primary factors contributing to obesity and diabetes[9,10], and our previous studies have confirmed that a high-fat diet (HFD) induces the deterioration of glucose tolerance after an initial improvement in diabetic rats subjected to duodenal-jejunal bypass[20]. In the present study, we conducted SG on nicotinamide-streptozotocin (STZ)-HFD-induced diabetic model rats with metabolic characteristics of human diabetes[21-23] and stimulated the recurrence of diabetes after postoperative HFD feeding. The glucose and lipid profiles, serum levels of insulin, GLP-1, total bile acids (TBA), and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and changes in the composition of the gut microbiota were determined and compared.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and diets**

Forty 8-wk-old male Wistar rats (Laboratory Animal Center of Shandong University, Jinan, China) were individually housed in independent ventilated cages at a constant temperature (24-26 °C), humidity (50%-60%), and a light-dark cycle (12 h light: 12 h dark). All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shandong First Medical University. All rats were provided with an HFD (40% of calories as fat, Huafukang Biotech Company, Beijing, China) for 4 wk to induce insulin resistance, and then treated with a single intraperitoneal injection of nicotinamide (170 mg/kg, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) followed by a single injection of STZ (65 mg/kg, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) 15 min later after 12 h of fasting to induce a diabetic state. Two weeks after STZ injection, 29 rats were selected as diabetic rats with a fasting blood glucose level higher than 7.1 mmol/L or a blood glucose level after gavage for 2 h higher than 11.1 mmol/L during the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), and the rats with extreme hyperglycemia (blood glucose level > 16.7 mmol/L) were excluded from the study[22-24]. The diabetic rats were randomly allocated to a SHAM group (n = 9), SG group (n = 10), and SG-oligofructose (SG-OF) group (n = 10). The rats in the SHAM and SG groups were continuously provided with the HFD after the operation, and the rats in the SG-OF group were provided with a specific HFD supplemented with 10% oligofructose (Huafukang Biotech Company, Beijing, China) since 2 wk after surgery. Body weight and calorie intake were measured at baseline, and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery.

**Surgical techniques**

The diabetic rats were fed a low-residue diet from 48 h before the operation to 72 h after the operation. Then, they were allowed for ad libitum access to the HFD and water. Rats were anesthetized with a 10% chloral hydrate solution during surgery. The surgical processes were performed as described in our previous study and a published report[25,26].

**SG surgery**: The surgical procedure is as follows: (1) A 4 cm midline abdominal incision was made from the xiphoid process; (2) The gastric omentum was dissected to disclose the gastric cardium; (3) Short gastric vessels, corresponding gastroepiploic vessels, and the branches of left gastric vessels in the greater curvature were ligated.
and transected using a 7-0 silk suture (Ningbo Medical Needle, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China); (4) A vena caval clamp was placed to occlude the stomach wall through the cardia to pylorus for the prevention of bleeding; (5) The gastric fundus and a large portion of gastric body were removed (70% of the total stomach); and (6) The residual stomach was closed using a 7-0 silk suture (Ningbo Medical Needle, Ningbo, Zhejiang Province, China).

**SHAM surgery:** A similar abdominal incision was made as described for the SG procedure, and a similar process was conducted, but the gastric fundus and the gastric body were not removed. The operation time of the SHAM surgery was prolonged to the same time as SG surgery to acquire similar surgical and anesthetic stress.

**OGTT**
OGTT was performed at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery. After 8 h of fasting, all rats were subjected to gavage with glucose at a dose of 1 g/kg. Blood glucose levels were measured in conscious rats at baseline and 10, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after gavage.

**Serum parameters**
During the OGTT conducted at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery, blood samples were collected from the retrobulbar venous plexus at baseline and 10, 30, 60, and 120 min after glucose gavage. Blood samples were centrifuged (1000 × g) at 4 °C for 15 min, and serum was immediately extracted and stored at -80 °C. Fasting serum TBA levels and serum lipid profiles were measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Serum insulin and fasting serum GLP-1 and LPS levels were measured using enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent assay kits (insulin: Millipore, MA, United States; GLP-1: Uscn Life Science incorporated, Wuhan, Hubei, China; LPS: Bio-Swamp, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China).

**Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance**
At baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after the operation, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated to evaluate insulin resistance using the following formula: HOMA-IR = fasting insulin (mIU/L) × fasting glucose (mmol/L)/22.5.

**16S rDNA sequence analysis of gut microbiota**
The change in the gut microbiota may alter host metabolism partially due to the biological activity of the colonic microbiota. Thus, the colonic contents were collected at 24 wk after surgery, and stored at -80 °C immediately. Genomic DNA was extracted from the colonic contents using standard methods. Amplicons of the 16S rRNA gene V4 region were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (BGI technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China).

**Statistical analysis**
All data are presented as the mean ± SD. Areas under the curves for OGTT (AUCOGTT) were calculated using the trapezoidal integration. The data in each group were analyzed by Shapiro-Wilk test for normality. Intergroup comparisons were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni post hoc comparison. The concentrations of insulin and total GLP-1 after glucose gavage were analyzed using a mixed model analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni post hoc comparison. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistic Package for Social Science version 19.0 (IBM, United States), and the statistically significant difference was considered at P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Oligofructose promotes the improvement of insulin resistance after SG in rats**
At the end of this experiment, 9, 7, and 8 rats were alive in the SHAM, SG, and SG-OF groups, respectively. Five rats died of residual stomach leakage after SG. As shown in Figure 1A, the AUCOGTT of the three groups were not significantly different at baseline assessment. At 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery, the AUCOGTT in the SG and SG-OF groups were both lower than those in the SHAM group. The difference of AUCOGTT between the SG group and SG-OF group was observed since 24 wk after surgery. At 24
Figure 1 Areas under the curves for oral glucose tolerance test, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, and serum insulin levels. A: Areas under the curves for oral glucose tolerance test; B: Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; C-F: Serum insulin levels measured during the oral glucose tolerance test at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery. *P < 0.001, SHAM vs sleeve gastrectomy (SG); †P < 0.001, SHAM vs SG-oligofructose (SG-OF); ‡P < 0.001, SG vs SG-OF. The concentration of insulin during the oral glucose tolerance test was analyzed using mixed model ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc comparison. A statistically significant difference was considered at P < 0.05. AUC\textsubscript{OGTT}: Areas under the curves for oral glucose tolerance test; SG-OF: Sleeve gastrectomy-oligofructose; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance.

wk after surgery, rats from the SG-OF group showed significantly lower AUC\textsubscript{OGTT} than rats from the SG group.

HOMA-IR showed a similar trend to the AUC\textsubscript{OGTT} as shown in Figure 1B. No significant differences of HOMA-IR among the three groups were observed at baseline assessment. At 2 and 12 wk after surgery, the rats that underwent SG showed significantly lower HOMA-IR than that from the SHAM group. At 12 wk after surgery, the HOMA-IR of the SG group appeared to be higher than that in the SG-OF group, but there was no statistic difference between the two groups. At 24 wk after surgery, the HOMA-IRs in the SHAM and SG groups were comparable, and higher than that in the SG-OF group.

The curves of serum insulin levels measured during OGTT at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery are shown in Figure 1C-F, respectively. No significant difference of serum insulin levels was observed among the three groups at baseline assessment. A
greater amount of insulin was secreted into the serum in the SG and SG-OF groups during the OGTT than that in the SHAM group at 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery. A difference in the secretion of insulin into the serum was observed between the SG and SG-OF groups, and detected earlier than changes in the AUC<sub>OGTT</sub> and HOMA-IR. A lower amount of insulin was secreted into the serum of the SG group than the SG-OF group beginning at 12 wk after surgery.

**Oral oligofructose can improve postoperative lipid profiles**
Fasting serum triglyceride and cholesterol levels are shown at Figure 2. There was no significant difference in lipid profiles among the three groups at baseline assessment. And triglyceride and cholesterol in the SG and SG-OF groups were significantly lower than those in the SHAM group at 2 and 12 wk after surgery. At 12 wk after surgery, the SG-OF group showed a lower triglyceride level than the SG group and a comparable cholesterol level to the SG group. At 24 wk after surgery, the SHAM group showed the highest triglyceride level among the three groups and the triglyceride level in the SG group was higher than that in the SG-OF group. Cholesterol levels in the SG group were comparable to the levels in the SHAM group, but higher than those in the SG-OF group.

**The mechanism of diabetes remission may be related to weight loss and energy intake**
As shown in Figure 3, body weight and calorie intake exhibited a similar trend during this experiment. No significant differences in body weight or calorie intake were observed among the three groups at baseline, and all rats subjected to SG showed a lower body weight and calorie intake than the rats from the SHAM group at 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery. The body weight and calorie intake in the SG-OF group were lower than those in the SG group beginning at 12 wk after surgery.

**Oligofructose can increase GLP-1 level and lower LPS level, but has limited effect on TBA**
The fasting serum GLP-1 levels at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery are shown in Figure 4A-D, respectively. All the three groups showed similar baseline levels of GLP-1, but the SG and SG-OF groups showed higher GLP-1 levels at all postoperative time points. Compared with the SG group, the SG-OF group exhibited higher GLP-1 levels beginning at 12 wk after surgery. 

As shown in Figure 4E, there was no significant difference in LPS levels between groups at baseline assessment. In contrast to the GLP-1 levels, the SG and SG-OF groups showed lower LPS levels at all postoperative time points than the SHAM group, and the LPS level in the SG-OF group was lower than that in the SG group beginning at 12 wk after surgery. 

The fasting serum TBA levels are shown in Figure 4F. At all postoperative time points, the TBA levels in the SG and SG-OF groups were significantly higher than those in the SHAM group, but no significant difference was observed between the SG group and SG-OF group.

**Oligofructose can change gut microbiota**
As shown in Figure 5A and B, Bacteroidetes were the predominant gut microbes in the SHAM group while Firmicutes were dominant in the SG and SG-OF groups. The relative abundance of Bifidobacterium (Figure 5C), Lactobacillus (Figure 5D), and Akkermansia muciniphila (Figure 5E) in the SG-OF group was significantly higher than that in the SHAM and SG groups. There was no difference in relative abundance of Bifidobacterium and Akkermansia muciniphila between the SHAM group and SG group. The SG group showed a significantly higher relative abundance of lactobacillus than the SHAM group.

## DISCUSSION
Compared with nonsurgical treatment, bariatric surgery has achieved a higher remission rate of T2DM<sup>[12,29]</sup>. In addition, SG is characterized by faster operation, fewer technical requirements, lower complication rate, and fewer postoperative nutritional problems<sup>[8]</sup>. In recent years, SG has been performed more frequently than RYGB in the United States/Canada and in Asian/Pacific regions<sup>[3]</sup>. However, as a novel surgical approach, the long-term effect of SG on diabetes is questionable. Suboptimal loss and
regain of body weight are associated with noncompliant dietary and lifestyle habits\[30\]. Suboptimal loss and regain of body weight have been demonstrated as the factors for insufficient control of diabetes following bariatric surgery\[31,32\]. Prebiotics have been considered as an effective management tool to promote body weight loss and improve metabolism of glucose and lipid\[11-14\]. As shown in our previous study, HFD reverses the improvements in diabetes after bariatric surgery\[21\]. In the present study, we conducted SG on diabetic rats, and stimulated the reversion of improvements in diabetes with sustained postoperative HFD feeding. Meanwhile, a portion of the SG rats were provided with a specific HFD supplemented with 10% oligofructose (one kind of prebiotic) to explore whether oligofructose prevents the reversion of improvements in diabetes after SG.

In this study, at 2 wk after surgery, the AUC\(_{OGTT}\) of the SG rats was significantly lower than that of the SHAM rats. Following postoperative HFD feeding, the AUC\(_{OGTT}\) of the SG rats showed a gradually increasing trend. At 24 wk after surgery, the AUC\(_{OGTT}\) of the SG group was significantly higher than that of the SG-OF group. Among these factors, the difference in insulin secretion during the OGTT and triglyceride levels (at 12 wk after surgery) revealed an earlier appearance than others (at 24 wk after surgery), suggesting that, at the early stage after surgery, SG improves the metabolism of glucose and lipid obviously; postoperative HFD feeding reverses these metabolic improvements, and oligofructose feeding partially prevents the detrimental effect of HFD feeding. Factors such as body weight, calorie intake, GLP-1 levels, serum TBA levels, LPS levels, and the gut microbiota were measured to elucidate the possible mechanisms underlying the protective effect of oligofructose.
Figure 4 Glucagon-like peptide 1, fasting serum lipopolysaccharide, and total bile acids levels. A-D: Fasting serum glucagon-like peptide 1 levels measured at baseline and 2, 12, and 24 wk after surgery. The P value is shown in a rectangular frame; E: Fasting serum lipopolysaccharide; F: Total bile acids \( ^{a}P < 0.01, ^{b}P < 0.001, \text{SHAM vs sleeve gastrectomy (SG)}; ^{c}P < 0.001, \text{SHAM vs SG-oligofructose (SG-OF)}; ^{d}P < 0.05, ^{e}P < 0.01, \text{SG vs SG-OF}) \]. A statistically significant difference was considered at \( P < 0.05 \). GLP-1: Glucagon-like peptide 1; LPS: Lipopolysaccharide; TBA: Total bile acids; SG-OF: Sleeve gastrectomy-oligofructose.

The body weight and calorie intake in the SG group were obviously lower than those in the SHAM group at all postoperative time points, and a lower body weight and calorie intake were observed in the SG-OF group but not in the SG group beginning at 12 wk after surgery. Body weight and calorie intake seem to be one of primary factors contributing to diabetes\(^{20}\), so we hold the opinion that lower body weight and calorie intake may be one of the mechanisms for the protective effect of SG and oligofructose.

As one of the most important incretin hormones, GLP-1 is secreted by L-cells that are mainly located in the epithelium of the distal ileum and colon\(^{33}\). It regulates glucose homeostasis by stimulating insulin secretion, suppressing glucagon secretion, and promoting proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis of \( \beta \) cells\(^{34}\). In our study, a greater amount of GLP-1 was secreted in rats subjected to SG during the OGTT than in rats in the SHAM group at all postoperative time points, and a higher level of GLP-1 secretion in the SG-OF group than in the SG group was observed beginning at 12 wk after surgery. GLP-1 has been considered a mediator in the remission of diabetes after
Figure 5 Gut microbiota. A: Bacteroidetes; B: Firmicutes; C: Bifidobacterium; D: Lactobacillus; E: Akkermansia_muciniphila. The relative abundances of Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, and Akkermansia_muciniphila between groups were analyzed using the ANOVA and a statistically significant difference was considered at $P < 0.05$ ($P < 0.001$, SHAM vs sleeve gastrectomy (SG); $P < 0.001$, SHAM vs SG-oligofructose (SG-OF); $P < 0.001$, SG vs SG-OF). SG-OF: Sleeve gastrectomy-oligofructose.

SG and oligofructose has been demonstrated as the promoter for the production of endogenous GLP-1. Based on these findings, we have deduced that the function of oligofructose for improving glucose metabolism after SG is partially attributed to the enhanced secretion of GLP-1. The mechanisms for the enhanced secretion of GLP-1 after oligofructose feeding may be due to the increased number of L-cells and colonic fermentation. Short-chain fatty acids [(SCFAs); butyrate, propionate, and acetate)] are produced through the fermentation of nondigestible carbohydrates in the colon, and it has been reported that the oral administration of butyrate and propionate in mice can significantly increase plasma levels of GLP-1, thus leading to an improvement in insulin sensitivity, which is consistent with another report showing that SCFAs stimulate free fatty acid receptor 2 that is colocalized in L-cells to increase the secretion of GLP-1. Unfortunately, SCFAs were not determined in our study.

Bile acids can act as signaling molecules to regulate the metabolism of lipid, glucose, and energy, and these regulatory functions of bile acids are predominantly mediated by farnesoid X receptor and the G-protein-coupled receptor TGR5. In this study, at 2 wk after surgery, fasting serum TBA of the rats subjected to SG was significantly higher than that in the SHAM group. This result is coincident with the previous report in human, showing that the increased TBA levels contribute to the improvements in the metabolism of glucose and lipid after SG. However, we did not observe a significant difference in TBA levels between the SG group and SG-OF group at 12 and 24 wk after surgery, indicating that the protective effect of oligofructose may be
independent of the increased TBA levels. LPS is a component of the cell wall in Gram-negative intestinal microbiota, which triggers low-grade inflammation and results in insulin resistance. A chronic infusion of LPS over a 4-wk period in chow-fed mice leads to increased adiposity, increased macrophage infiltration in adipose tissues, hepatic inflammation, and hepatic insulin resistance[42]. Clinical trials have shown that SG decreases LPS levels[43], consistent with the outcome reported in our study. What is more, we found that LPS levels in the SG-OF group were lower than those in the SG group at 12 and 24 wk after surgery. A similar effect of oligofructose has also been observed in obese mice without surgery[15]. Therefore, we consider that the protective effect of oligofructose might partially be ascribed to the reduced LPS level.

The gut microbiota has been verified as an environmental factor affecting obesity and diabetes, and can modulate the metabolism of host glucose and lipid[44]. A recent study has revealed a significantly decreased Firmicutes abundance in T2DM patients when compared with nondiabetic individuals[45]. Meanwhile, a remarkably higher relative abundance of Firmicutes and a lower relative abundance of Bacteroidetes in rats receiving SG were observed. These results are consistent with the report from Ryan et al[46]. However, no difference in the relative abundances of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes between the SG group and SG-OF group were observed, indicating that the benefit of oligofructose is not associated with the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. Notably, the rats from the SG-OF group had remarkably higher relative abundances of Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, and Akkermansia muciniphila. And the increased relative abundance of these bacteria has been observed in oligofructose-fed diabetic rodents by other research groups[19,47]. The advantage of oligofructose in the control of diabetes may be associated with an improvement in inflammation. Therefore, the effect of oligofructose in protecting the recurrence of diabetes after SG may be partially mediated by the increased abundance of Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and Akkermansia muciniphila, accompanied by the decrease in the abundance of Bacteroidetes, thus reducing the concentration of LPS.

There are still some limitations in this study. First, although oligofructose partially prevented the HFD-induced glucose and lipid metabolism damage after SG in rats, further clinical studies are highly desired to validate these results in humans. Second, the effects of oligofructose on calorie intake, insulin levels, GLP-1 levels, LPS levels, and the gut microbiota after SG were analyzed in this study; however, the intrinsic mechanism underlying the protective function of oligofructose should be further explored. We plan to further study the internal mechanism of these changes, especially focusing on the changes of the gut microbiota. At the same time, we are applying for relevant clinical trials to further observe the effects and side effects of probiotics, and we hope that oligofructose will become a novel target to reduce or delay the recurrence of diabetes after bariatric surgery.

CONCLUSION

Oligofructose can partially prevent the HFD-induced glucose and lipid metabolism damage in rats after SG, and the effects of oligofructose on calorie intake, insulin levels, GLP-1 levels, LPS levels, and the gut microbiota may contribute to this protective function.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is one of the important complications of obesity. Bariatric surgery can treat obesity and diabetes effectively, but the recurrence of diabetes after surgery is still one of the problems to be solved. However, whether oligofructose has an effect on metabolism after bariatric surgery remains to be further studied.

Research motivation

Prebiotics promote the weight loss and improve the metabolism of glucose and lipid. The mechanism of these benefits may be due to reduced energy intake, regulation of gut microbiota, improvement of low-grade inflammation, and increase of intestinal hormones, such as glucagon like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY, which prompted us to explore whether prebiotics can reduce or delay the recurrence of diabetes after
surgery.

Research objectives
The present study aimed to find the effect and mechanism of oligofructose on diabetic remission in diabetic rats after sleeve gastrectomy (SG).

Research methods
SG and SHAM operation were performed on diabetes rats induced with a high-fat diet (HFD), nicotinamide, and low-dose streptozotocin. Then the rats in SHAM and SG groups were continuously provided with the HFD, and the rats in the SG-oligofructose group were provided with a specific HFD containing 10% oligofructose. Body weight, calorie intake, oral glucose tolerance test, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, lipid profile, serum insulin, GLP-1, total bile acids, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and colonic microbiota levels were determined and compared at the designated time points. All statistical analyses was performed using Solutionsstatistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19.0 (IBM, United States), and the statistically significant difference was considered at $P < 0.05$.

Research results
Oligofructose treatment reduced body weight, energy intake, and LPS levels, increased GLP-1 levels, and improved insulin resistant and lipid profiles. Oligofructose also altered the gut microbiota in the SG-oligofructose group.

Research conclusions
Oligofructose partially prevents HFD-induced glucose and lipid metabolism damage after SG in rats, and the effects of oligofructose on calorie intake, insulin, GLP-1, LPS, and gut microbiota may contribute to protective function for damaged metabolism.

Research perspectives
The results of this study provide evidence that oligofructose could be a promising agent for the treatment in diabetic rats after SG. Further studies that assess the effect of oligofructose on the mechanism of preventing HFD-induced glucose and lipid metabolism damage after SG may substantiate our findings and pave the path for clinical translation of the therapeutic effects of oligofructose.
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