Analysis of Risk Factors and Construction of a Prediction Model of Motor Dysfunction Caused by Limb Herpes Zoster
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Objective: To analyze the influencing factors of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster and to construct a nomogram to predict the risk of this complication.

Methods: A total of 213 patients with limb herpes zoster in the First Hospital of Jiaxing from January 2015 to June 2020 were enrolled. The muscle strength of the affected limb was evaluated by the Medical Research Council (MRC) score. The muscle strength of the affected limb was less than grade 5, and lower than that of the contralateral limb (normal side) was defined as motor dysfunction. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to determine the influencing factors of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster. The nomogram of the risk of motor dysfunction was drawn by R software. Discrimination and calibration of the prediction model were assessed by using the C-index and calibration plot. Internal validation was assessed by using bootstrapping validation.

Results: There were 58 cases in the motor dysfunction group and 155 cases in the nonmotor dysfunction group, and univariate analysis showed that the location of involvement (OR = 4.095, 95% CI: 2.097–8.466, P = 0.017), whether or not diseases were combined (OR = 0.520, 95% CI: 0.281–0.959, P = 0.036) and the number of affected centrums (OR = 0.336, 95% CI: 0.177–0.632, P = 0.001) were associated with the occurrence of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that upper limb involvement (OR = 3.811, 95% CI: 1.829–8.387, P = 0.001), noncombined diseases (OR = 0.493, 95% CI: 0.249–0.969, P = 0.041) and the number of affected centrums ≤3 (OR = 0.439, 95% CI: 0.218–0.881, P = 0.020) were independent influencing factors of this complication. The prediction model displayed good discrimination with a C-index of 0.72 and good calibration. A high C-index value of 0.71 could still be reached in the interval validation.

Conclusion: This study used the clinical data available to establish a nomogram model for motor dysfunction of limb herpes zoster and found that motor dysfunction is more likely to occur in patient who meets upper limb involvement, combined diseases and the number of affected centrums >3. This kind of high-risk group should be intervened as soon as possible.
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Introduction

Herpes zoster is a skin infectious disease caused by varicella-zoster virus (VZV), which is common in the elderly.1–3 It is characterized by erythema and clustered blisters distributed in bands along one side of the peripheral nerve, accompanied by severe Pain.4,5 Its incidence is approximately 4–4.5/1000 person-year. With population aging, the global incidence of herpes zoster continues to rise. A total of 20–30% of people suffer from herpes zoster in their lifetime.6

Motor dysfunction is a rare neurological complication of herpes zoster, accounting for only 0.3%-5.0%.7 However, pain can cover up the symptoms of limb weakness, so its incidence is underestimated clinically. This complication seriously reduces the quality of life and work efficiency of patients and may lead to permanent disability.8 Therefore, the early prevention of motor dysfunction is of great significance to reduce the permanent disability of patients with herpes
zoster. At present, there are few studies on the related factors and is no study on the prediction model of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster at home and abroad. Therefore, this study retrospectively analyzed the available clinical data of patients with limb herpes zoster and discussed the independent influencing factors of motor dysfunction. To construct a nomogram to predict the risk of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster to provide some clinical guidance for early prevention and reduction of the occurrence of this complication.

**Clinical Materials and Methods**

**Study Patients**

We retrospectively collected all patients with limb herpes zoster hospitalized in the First Hospital of Jiaxing from January 2015 to June 2020, and finally, after inclusion and exclusion, 213 patients were included in the final study. The process for patient selection is presented in Figure 1. The study protocol was approved by the First Hospital of Jiaxing. We confirm that our study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. This project was conducted as a retrospective observational study, and the study protocol involved minimal risk and did not threaten the health of the subjects. All participating patients signed informed consent forms.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Inclusion criteria: (1) fit the diagnostic criteria of herpes zoster; (2) herpes zoster located in the extremities; (3) aged from 18 to 85 years old; (4) moderate and severe pain patients with numerical rating scale scores (NRSs) > 3; and (5) complete clinical data.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) central nervous system-related diseases: such as cerebral infarction, cerebral hemorrhage; (2) nerve compression syndromes: such as carpal tunnel syndrome; (3) skeletal muscle system-related diseases: such as cervical spondylosis, lumbar spondylosis, shoulder periarthritis; and (4) refusal to provide clinical data and information.

**Diagnostic Criteria of Motor Dysfunction**

MRC score was adopted: 0: no muscle contraction; 1: muscle contraction but no joint activity; 2: full range movement of joint under no gravity; 3: full range movement of joint under anti-gravity state; 4: joint can resist partial resistance

---

Figure 1 Flow of patients through this retrospective study.
activity, but worse than normal; 5: normal muscle strength, that is, joint can achieve full range movement under maximum resistance. If the muscle strength was less than grade 5 and lower than that of the contralateral limb (normal side), it was defined as motor dysfunction, and if the muscle strength was equal to grade 5 or less than grade 5, but the same as the contralateral limb, it was defined as nonmotor dysfunction.

Data Collection
The data of sex, age, course of disease, location of involvement (upper limb/lower limb), number of affected centrums, presence of combined diseases (such as diabetes, immune system disease, cancer), NRS score and treatment (epidural nerve block or pulse radiofrequency of posterior root of spinal nerve) were collected from the hospital database.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 26.0 (Chicago, Illinois, USA) and R software version 4.1.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and histograms. Normally distributed continuous data are presented as the mean±SD, nonnormally distributed continuous data are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), and categorical data are presented as numbers and percentages (%). Independent t-tests were used to compare normally distributed continuous data, while Mann–Whitney U-tests were used for nonnormally distributed continuous data and chi-square tests were used for categorical data. Univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression analysis were used to analyze the risk factors for motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster, and the partial regression coefficients with statistical significance were screened to determine the risk factors for motor dysfunction and construct the nomogram. Discrimination and calibration of the prediction model were assessed using the C-index and calibration plot. Internal validation was assessed using bootstrapping validation.

Results
Patient Characteristics
The flow of patients through this retrospective study is summarized in Figure 1. There were 1264 inpatients with herpes zoster admitted to the pain Department of the First Hospital of Jiaxing from January 2015 to June 2020. Among these patients, 1041 patients with herpes zoster were excluded because herpes zoster was located in chest, abdomen, head and face. After inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 213 patients with limb herpes zoster were included. Among them, motor dysfunction occurred in 58 cases, and nonmotor dysfunction occurred in 155 cases. The overall incidence of impaired motor function was 4.59% (58/1264). The details are shown in Table 1.

Analysis of the Risk Factors for Motor Dysfunction in Patients with Limb Herpes Zoster
The results of univariate analysis showed that the location of involvement, whether or not diseases were combined and the number of affected centrums were factors related to motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster (P<0. 05). Sex, age, course of disease, NRS score and treatment were not related to motor dysfunction of limb herpes zoster (P>0. 05). The details are shown in Table 2.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis took the occurrence of motor dysfunction as the dependent variable and the factors of P<0. 05 in Table 1 as the independent variable. Multivariate logistic regression was performed by the step-by-step method. The results showed that upper limb involvement (OR=3.811, 95% CI=1.829–8.387, P=0.001), noncombined diseases (OR=0.493, 95% CI=0.249–0.969, P=0.041) and the number of affected centrums ≤3 (OR=0.439, 95% CI=0.218–0.881, P=0.020) were independent influencing factors of this complication. The details are shown in Table 3.

Construction and Application of a Nomogram of Motor Dysfunction in Patients with Limb Herpes Zoster
According to the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis, taking the location of involvement, whether diseases were combined with the number of affected centrums as predictive factors, and the occurrence of motor dysfunction as the
clinical outcome, a nomogram was established to predict the risk of motor dysfunction in patients. The details are shown in Figure 2. Through the values of different variables in the vertical line, the corresponding values are obtained on the score line at the top of the nomogram, and then all variables are added to obtain the total score. Finally, the corresponding predicted risk value is obtained through the total score line on the prediction line at the bottom of the nomogram.

Nomogram Verification of Motor Dysfunction in Patients with Limb Herpes Zoster

The calibration plot of the motor dysfunction nomogram for the prediction of this complication in limb herpes zoster patients demonstrated good agreement in this cohort, and the calibration plot is shown in Figure 3. The C-index for the prediction nomogram was 0.72 for the cohort and was confirmed to be 0.71 through bootstrapping validation, which suggested the model’s good discrimination. In the motor dysfunction nomogram, apparent performance addressed a good prediction capability.

| Table 1 Demographic or Characteristic Date of Motor Dysfunction Group and Nonmotor Dysfunction Group |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Demographic or Characteristic                               | Motor Dysfunction (n=58)          | Nonmotor Dysfunction (n=155) | P        |
| Age (years)                                                   | 65.28±12.37                      | 64.57±11.69         | 0.762    |
| Course of disease (days)                                     | 15.50, 20.00                     | 20.00, 20.00        | 0.257    |
| Sex                                                          |                                  |                  |          |
| Male                                                         | 21 (36.20)                       | 69 (44.52)         | 0.274    |
| Female                                                       | 37 (63.80)                       | 86 (55.48)         |          |
| Location of involvement                                      |                                  |                  |          |
| Upper limb                                                   | 45 (77.59)                       | 71 (45.81)         | 0.000    |
| Lower limb                                                   | 13 (22.41)                       | 84 (54.19)         |          |
| Whether or not diseases were combined                        |                                  |                  |          |
| No                                                           | 29 (50.00)                       | 102 (65.81)        | 0.035    |
| Yes                                                          | 29 (50.00)                       | 53 (34.19)         |          |
| NRS                                                          |                                  |                  |          |
| <7                                                           | 34 (58.62)                       | 88 (56.77)         | 0.808    |
| ≥7                                                           | 24 (41.38)                       | 67 (43.23)         |          |
| The number of affected centrums                              |                                  |                  |          |
| ≤3                                                           | 30 (51.72)                       | 118 (76.13)        | 0.001    |
| >3                                                           | 28 (48.28)                       | 37 (23.87)         |          |
| Treatment                                                    |                                  |                  |          |
| Epidural nerve block                                         | 43 (74.14)                       | 117 (75.48)        | 0.840    |
| PR of posterior root of spinal nerve                         | 15 (25.86)                       | 38 (24.52)         |          |

Notes: Results are expressed as mean ± SD, percentages, or as medians, IQR; P values were compared by independent t-test, Mann–Whitney U-test or χ² test as appropriate.

Abbreviations: NRS, numerical rating scale; PR, pulse radiofrequency.

| Table 2 Univariate Logistic Regression of Motor Dysfunction in Patients with Limb Herpes Zoster |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Odds Ratio (95% CI)                                          | P                               |
| Sex                                                          | 0.707 (0.375–1.309)             | 0.276    |
| Age                                                          | 0.5429 (0.253–1.194)            | 0.119    |
| Course of disease                                            | 1.588 (0.736–3.738)             | 0.260    |
| Location of involvement                                      | 4.095 (2.097–8.466)             | 0.017    |
| Combine diseases                                             | 0.520 (0.281–0.959)             | 0.036    |
| NRS                                                          | 1.079 (0.587–2.003)             | 0.808    |
| The number of affected centrums                              | 0.336 (0.177–0.632)             | 0.001    |
| Treatment                                                    | 0.931 (0.472–1.900)             | 0.840    |

Abbreviation: NRS, numerical rating scale.
**Discussion**

The neurological complications of herpes zoster include postherpetic neuralgia, meningoencephalitis, myelitis, Guillain–Barre syndrome, polycranial neuritis and motor dysfunction. When varicella-zoster virus invades the anterior nerve root, patients experience decreased muscle strength and motor dysfunction, which usually occurs within a few weeks after the appearance of the rash. Since Broadbent first reported a case of motor dysfunction caused by cervical herpes zoster in 1866, a number of cases have been reported, including literature reporting that the incidence of motor dysfunction in patients with herpes zoster was only 0.3%-5.0%, but Mondelli et al found that more than half of the patients with herpes zoster had limb motor nerve involvement, indicating that a large number of patients were missed. In our study, when MRC score rather than electromyography was used, the overall incidence of motor dysfunction reached 4.59% (58/1264), which may be underestimated. Because the lesions of these patients are located in the extremities and the location is special, there is no evidence-based treatment at present, and they cannot be treated with

### Table 3 Multivariable Logistic Regression of Motor Dysfunction in Patients with Limb Herpes Zoster

| Location of involvement | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | P    |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------|
| Lower limb              | 1.000              | 0.001|
| Upper limb              | 3.811 (1.829–8.387)|      |
| Combine diseases         |                    |      |
| Yes                     | 1.000              | 0.041|
| No                      | 0.493 (0.249–0.969)|      |
| The number of affected centrums |          |      |
| >3                      | 1.000              | 0.020|
| ≤3                      | 0.439 (0.218–0.881)|      |

### Figure 2 Nomogram for prediction of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster.
**Notes:** Different values of each variable correspond to different positions in the nomogram. Draw a line from the position of each variable to the points axis for acquiring points of this variable. Points of different variables are calculated and summed to yield a total score that can be converted into predicted probability of motor dysfunction based on the total score axis of the nomogram.

**Abbreviations:** LOI, location of involvement; CD, combine diseases; NOAC, the number of affected centrums.
chemical or physical destruction of the corresponding nerve roots, which is more difficult than the treatment of lesions in
the chest and abdomen. \(^{20–22}\) This complication seriously reduces the patient’s quality of life and work efficiency, which
may lead to permanent disability. Therefore, it is necessary to further identify high-risk patients and take proactive
personalized preventive measures according to the risk factors for impaired motor function.

In this study, 8 potential variables were analyzed in 213 patients with limb herpes zoster. The following three
independent risk factors were identified: location of involvement, whether diseases were combined and the number of
affected centrum. A simple and easy-to-use prediction nomogram for predicting motor dysfunction in patients with limb
herpes zoster was developed by multivariate analysis for the first time. Stepwise regression was used to filter out six
variables for the line map. The prediction nomogram has good diagnostic performance (C-index=0.72) and has been
verified internally using a random sampling method. In addition, the calibration curve shows good consistency.

Thomas and Liu et al reviewed 61 and 8 patients, respectively, and concluded that the upper limb was more prone to
motor dysfunction than the lower limb. \(^{7,23}\) Jones et al reviewed 49 patients and found that lower limb involvement was
more common, accounting for 55\%, \(^{24}\) while Molloy and Cruz-Velarde reviewed 15 and 10 patients, respectively, and
concluded that there was no difference in the incidence of motor dysfunction between the upper limb and the lower
limb. \(^{25}\) Therefore, in this paper, the location of the lesion (upper limb or lower limb) was included in the study to explore
the relationship between the occurrence of upper limb or lower limb and motor dysfunction. There was no difference in
the number of cases of motor dysfunction between the left limb or the right limb, so the left and right limbs were not
included in the risk factors in this study. In this study, the results of multivariate analysis showed that upper limb
involvement was an independent factor affecting the motor function of limb herpes zoster, and the probability of motor
dysfunction caused by herpes zoster in the upper limb was 3.811 times higher than that in the lower limb. The reasons

\[\text{Figure 3 Calibration plot.} \]
\[\text{Notes}: \text{The y-axis is the actual probability. The x-axis is the predicted probability. The diagonal dotted line represents a perfect prediction by an ideal model. The solid line represents the bias-corrected performance of the nomogram, where a closer fit to the diagonal dotted line represents a better prediction.}\]
may be related to the following two points. First, from the analysis of neurofunctional anatomy, the movement mode of the lower limb was simple, while that of the upper limb was complex. The increasingly complex labor of human beings makes the nerves innervating the upper limbs become increasingly developed, the division of nerve cells is increasingly detailed, and the virus is more likely to lurk and invade. Then, some of the muscles related to the lower limbs are innervated bilaterally, while the upper limbs are innervated unilaterally, which makes the upper limbs more vulnerable to neuropathy and myopathy.

Noncomplicated disease is a protective factor for motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster. In particular, basic diseases such as diabetes, immune system diseases and malignant tumors can reduce the immunity of patients, and the spread of the virus is difficult to limit, thus invading the anterior nerve root. Diabetes easily causes pathological changes in the peripheral blood vessels, thus losing nourishment to the nerves, and the virus is more likely to invade. Immune system disease is a group of diseases that mainly invade joints, bones, muscles, blood vessels and related soft tissue or connective tissue; such patients are more vulnerable to virus invasion, resulting in decreased motor function. Thomas and Howard found that the incidence of malignant tumors in patients with motor dysfunction caused by herpes zoster is three times higher. Malignant tumors and motor dysfunction caused by herpes zoster influence and promote each other, which is consistent with the results of this study.

For patients with herpes zoster, the area of rash is an independent factor affecting the occurrence of postherpetic neuralgia. The smaller the lesion area is, the less likely it is to develop postherpetic neuralgia. For patients with limb herpes zoster, the number of affected centrum ≤ 3 is also a protective factor for motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster. The number of affected centrum was lower, and the area of the lesion was also correspondingly reduced. The condition of patients with less affected centrum is milder than that of patients with more affected centrum, and complications of impaired motor function do not easily occur.

In addition, some scholars have found that the extent of muscle involvement is consistent with the skin distribution of sensory disorders, but there is no correlation between the severity of motor dysfunction and the severity of pain, which is consistent with the results of this study. Akiyama found that herpes zoster patients with motor dysfunction were older than herpes zoster patients without motor dysfunction. Jones et al found that the disease occurs more frequently in males than in females, and the elderly are the main disease groups, indicating that advanced age and males may be the influencing factors of motor dysfunction in patients with limb herpes zoster. This is not consistent with the results of this study, which may be because limb herpes zoster often occurs in the elderly, and the age differentiation of patients with and without motor dysfunction is not large. In this study, the influencing factor of sex had no significant correlation with the occurrence of motor dysfunction, which may be caused by the small sample size.

In our research, there was no correlation between the course of disease and the occurrence of motor dysfunction, indicating that the process of varicella zoster virus invading the anterior root of the spinal nerve has nothing to do with the course of the disease. In addition, this study also found that there was no correlation between the treatment and the occurrence of motor dysfunction. The main treatment methods in this study are epidural nerve block or pulse radio-frequency of the posterior root of the spinal nerve, both of which have an analgesic effect on the posterior root of the spinal nerve. However, the effect on the anterior root of the spinal nerve may be weak or absent, so neither of these two treatments can prevent the occurrence of motor dysfunction. Therefore, new treatments and methods used to reduce or even prevent motor dysfunction urgently need to be proposed.

The weakness of motor function in patients with limb herpes zoster is a clinical problem that needs to be paid attention to. For patients with upper limb involvement, underlying diseases and a large number of involved segments (> 3), we should be highly vigilant against the possibility of motor dysfunction. Try to perfect the electromyography and other examinations to observe whether there is motor nerve involvement; patients with high-risk factors should be treated with nutritional nerve therapy as soon as possible to prevent the deterioration of the disease.

Finally, this study still has some limitations. First, the lack of external verification is one of the major limitations of our study. In this case, additional research is needed to replicate and externally verify the results of this study. Second, in this study, the MRC classification method was used for defined motor dysfunction rather than a more objective and accurate neuroelectrophysiological examination, so the diagnosis of motor dysfunction may lack strictness. Third, the sample size is limited. However, the prediction model in this study may still be universal. In the future, it is necessary to
further carry out multicenter, prospective, large-sample clinical studies, include more patient data, and compare different algorithm models to establish a more accurate prediction model.

**Conclusion**

This study used the clinical data available to establish a nomogram model for motor dysfunction of limb herpes zoster and found that motor dysfunction is more likely to occur in patients who meet upper limb involvement, combined diseases and the number of affected centrum > 3. This kind of high-risk group should be intervened as soon as possible.
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