CT-guided palladium-103 seed brachytherapy for metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma: a retrospective study to assess initial safety and effectiveness of percutaneous CT fluoroscopy-guided permanent seed brachytherapy
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Abstract
Purpose: Multiple pulmonary metastases present treatment difficulties in available treatment techniques, which are inconvenient or may damage sufficient pulmonary tissue to cause pulmonary crippling. This retrospective study of a single-community practice evaluated responses to computed tomography (CT)-guided 103Pd permanent seed brachytherapy (CTGPSB) in adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) synchronous pulmonary metastases. The purpose of the current study was to document that metastatic pulmonary ACC lesions can be controlled with CTPSB.

Material and methods: Twenty-nine discrete lesions in 14 patients were evaluated with serial CT scans. All were treated with CTPSB. Lesions were tracked over serial CT scans and volumes measured. Primary endpoint was a reduction in tumor volume on subsequent CT scan. Secondary endpoint was occurrence of CTCAE grades 2-5.

Results: There was a 100% measured reduction in tumor volume (n = 29) at follow-up. Follow-up was a mean of 3.13 years. Baseline tumor volume was a mean of 1.85 ml (range, 0.69-9.15 ml). There were two grade 1 and one grade 2 adverse events, which did not require hospitalization.

Conclusions: CTPSB for the treatment of multiple ACC pulmonary metastases is effective, with minimal acute complications, as shown in small cohort of subjects of the present study. Further studies evaluating specific dosimetry parameters in this free-hand technique are needed to specify minimal and maximal dose constraints.
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Purpose

Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) was first described as cylindroma in 1859 [1]. ACC is an uncommon cancer, usually occurring in salivary gland tissue. Rate of occurrence is approximately 4.5 per 1,000,000 per year. Most common sites of presentation are the minor salivary glands, and submandibular and parotid glands [2]. ACC appears to spread by hematogenous, perineural, and lymphatic routes. However, the hematogenous route predominates in many cases, and metastases to lung, liver, bone, and brain can be observed after long periods of indolence [3]. Approximately half of all patients presenting with ACC will develop metastatic disease or succumb to local recurrence [2]. Majority of metastases will occur in the lungs, with larger primary lesions, local recurrence, and perineural invasion, as the risk factors [4].

Metastatic ACC responds poorly to known chemotherapies, partly due to slow cell kinetics. Published reviews and retrospective studies indicate no accepted standard systemic chemotherapy for patients with ACC tumors [5, 6].

Without effective systemic therapies, multiple pulmonary metastases arising from ACC or other histologies are a clinically vexing problem. ACC patients commonly present with multiple lesions in both lungs, therefore risking a pulmonary compromise with conventional interventions for multiple lesions. These patients commonly...
present again months or years later with new pulmonary
tumors, requiring further intervention.

Subsequent to our initial experience with treatment of
recurrent non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with compu-
ted tomography (CT)-guided 103Pd permanent seed brachytherapy (CTGPSB) [7], we have had a high-volume community hospital experience with multiple pulmonary metastatic ACC lesions utilizing CTPSB, and here, present a part of the data showing that multiple pulmonary metastases can be rapidly, safely, and effectively treated.

**Material and methods**

A retrospective community hospital study protocol was approved by the institutional review board (Integ-
Revю Review Board, Austin, TX, USA). This study was performed in accordance with the applicable regulations and the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was waived by the community hospital insti-
tutional review board, as this was a retrospective study and all data were derived retrospectively from subjects’ medical records. The principal investigator’s office records were reviewed to identify ACC patients, which had computed tomography (CT) visible pulmonary lesions that could be definitively identified on pre-operative, intra-operative, and 6-month post-operative subsequent CT scans.

Study exclusions were lesions greater than 20 cc or lesions that could not be identified on subsequent scans with some degree of certainty. This led to exclusion of a number of patients with numerous metastasis since de-
finite identification on subsequent scans was extremely difficult. We observed that the irregular appearance of abscoopal effect and post-implant scarring produced positive identification of the index lesion against a dynami-
cally changing background challenging.

Pre-operative and post-operative scans were fre-
quently performed on geographically distant machines, as patients came from other states and other countries. Patients living non-locally were often non-compliant with requests for follow-up status and repeat CT scans, which significantly narrowed the number of patients with eval-
uable CT data, and therefore inclusion in the study.

Patients residing non-locally, which were nearly all of the ACC patients treated, were requested to have serial CT scans every 6 months and images sent to us for a re-
view. Patients with new lesions seen on subsequent CT scans were requested to return for further brachytherapy. Patients were contacted at four to six months intervals, and asked by email or telephone if they were experienc-
ing pain or shortness of breath. Since this was a retrospec-
tive study, data was collected on forms that were devel-
oped according to the investigators’ standard of care for documen-
tation of medical records of the patients treated with CTPSB. Our technique for CT-guided permanent seed implants has been previously described in detail [7]. Implant was planned with 103Pd, 2.0-4.0 U NIST/seed according to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. Planned minimum dose to clinical target volume was predictably selected as 100 Gy, since there were no specific data in the literature found to guide dose parameters for treating gross lung metastasis with low-
dose brachytherapy. Dose was selected by a review of the American Brachytherapy Society guidelines for per-
manent seed prostate brachytherapy [8]. The American Brachytherapy Society boost dose was chosen since we were aware that the free-hand non-template-based nature of our technique would commonly result in ‘clumping’ of seeds in the center of the tumor. Concern for excessive dose in the surrounding lung caused us to refrain from planning higher doses.

Maximal dose was disregarded due to a desire for achieving high intra-tumoral dose, unless implant was adjacent to the viscus, vessel, or chest wall. During the implant, attempts were made to replicate the plan. Because increasing number of pleural punctures may enhance the risk of bleeding and pneumothorax, we attempted to mini-
mize the punctures by balancing the ideal needle and seed spacing against increasing number of pleural punctures. Typically, lesions of 1-2 cm in diameter were planned with up to six needles, and implanted with one or two needles.

Bony rib obstruction and impingement of the mass by bronchial and vascular structures were the challenges to satisfactory needle and seed positioning. Multiple occurrences of intra-operative needle repositioning were com-
monly necessary during implantation near large vessels and the esophagus to avoid puncturing these structures and still achieve target contact. Frequent CT/fluoroscopic imaging was used throughout the procedure to ensure that the needle tips were positioned as planned in relation to the target.

Masses greater than 2 cm in diameter may require more than one needle puncture and several sub-pleu-
eral episodes of repositioning of each needle during seed deposition to ensure adequate lesion dosage. Intermittent CT/fluoroscopic imaging was used during seed deposi-
tion to confirm appropriate seed spacing. The patients had post-implant CT for pneumothorax surveillance and post-implant dosimetry planning at the completion of the implant. They were taken to a recovery area and moni-
tored for the next 6 hours, and chest radiograph was taken just before discharge.

Pneumothorax usually required only pleural aspira-
tion of the pneumothorax, while the patient was still on the CT table. Upon CT confirmation of non-recurrence of the pneumothorax, the patients were sent to recovery and discharged home several hours later. Persistent or re-
current pneumothorax is rare, and treated with thoracic vent placement and same day discharge. No termination of seeding procedure was done due to the appearance of pneumothorax or hemorrhage.

Post-implant CT identified lesions were evaluated with MIM Symphony software. The planned dose to gross tumor volume (GTV) was 100 Gy. Post-implant CT images were imported into MIM software and, the images were evaluated and measured by the principal investigator as well as separately verified by two independent reviewers (Eminence Clinical Research, Inc., Colorado Springs, CO USA). All reviewers were trained in the imaging and the use of MIM software by MIM tech-
nical experts. Results were reported using descriptive statistics, as no formal analyses were planned.
Primary study endpoint was a reduction in tumor volume on follow-up CT scan compared to baseline CT scan for ACC patients treated with CTGPSB using $^{103}$Pd seed implants. Secondary endpoint was an occurrence of common terminology criteria adverse events (CTCAE v.5.0) severity grades 2 through 5 during follow-up period [9].

Results

There were 64 patients who underwent CTGPSB treatment from July 2011 through December 2018 who were considered for this study. Fifty of the 64 patients did not meet qualifying criteria for study inclusion. These were patients for whom follow-up CT scans and/or telephone or email assessment could not be obtained. A total of 14 subjects with 29 lesions, with a range of one to four lesions per subject were enrolled. The retrospective data collection and CT scan comparisons took place from July 2020 through December 2020. The average age was 52 years, with a range of 26 to 78 years, and 57% of the patients were women. Twelve (85.7 percent) of the subjects were Caucasian, non-Hispanic, and two were Asian (Table 1). All were non-smokers at the time of the treatment; one of the study subjects was a former smoker who stopped smoking in 2018. All lesions included in this study were pulmonary metastases from an ACC primary lesion. The number of seeds per lesion ranged from 5 to 79 per lesion, with a mean of 17.5 seeds per lesion. Lesion implanted with 79 seeds used low activity seeds as the case was delayed for several days, and therefore required placement of more seeds of lower activity. The units of $^{103}$Pd per seed ranged from 0.6 mCi to 3.52 mCi, with a mean of 2.78 mCi. $D_{90}$ ranged from 64-155%, with average 93% (Figures 1-3). The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission instructions to clinicians is to maintain coverage at a $D_{90}$ of 80% or greater for prostate permanent seed brachytherapy. Our goal was to accomplish this criterion in all lesions, with minimal dose to regions of interest, such as the chest wall, bronchus, and esophagus (Table 2).

Lesion locations, baseline tumor volumes, and tumor volumes at follow-up are reported in Table 2. The time between implant and last follow-up was a mean of 3.13 years, with a range of 0.83 to 5.92 years. All study subjects, when contacted for follow-up, denied any increased shortness of breath and reported no new pain. There was a 100% measured reduction in tumor volume at follow-up compared to baseline for the 29 lesions in the 14 study subjects (Table 3). The safety results showed that there were three study subjects who developed pneumothorax peri-operatively (Table 4). As per CTCAE criteria, one subject experienced an event of pneumothorax at the time of procedure. This subject was asymptomatic and did not require any treatment; therefore, according to CTCAE criteria, this event was a grade 1. Two more study subjects developed a delayed pneumothorax, the day after the procedure. One of these subjects suffered from diminished breath sounds, but no shortness of breath. Aspiration was performed, and a follow-up CT

Table 1. Demographics and history

| Variable | $n = 14$ |
|----------|---------|
| Age (years), mean (range) | 52.29 (26-78) |
| Female, $n$ (%) | 8 (57.14) |
| Race, $n$ (%) | | |
| Caucasian (non-Hispanic) | 12 (85.72) |
| Asian | 2 (14.28) |
| African-American | 0 (0.00) |
| Smoker, $n$ (%) | | |
| Current | 0 (0.00) |
| Former | 1 (7.14) |

Fig. 1. Right lung lesion post-implant dosimetry
scan was done the following day, showing this adverse event resolved. The third subject was asymptomatic, and no treatment was required. No patient displayed measurable bleeding either by appearance of pulmonary hematoma, pleural effusion, or hemoptysis. The results of this small cohort of the present study presented favorable safety and early positive effectiveness.

Discussion

Adenoid cystic carcinoma is an uncommon secretory gland malignancy arising from a variety of head and neck sites, including major and minor salivary glands, palate, maxilla, and trachea [6, 7]. While biologic behavior of the disease is poorly understood, it has been long recognized that ACC progresses slowly and has a high propensity for metastases and perineural invasion [10-14]. The most common site of metastases is the lungs, resulting in poor prognosis [11, 12, 15]. Twelve hundred people are diagnosed with ACC annually in the United States of which, 60% are women. Nearly half of all patients with ACC will develop metastases or die of complications from local recurrences [10]. The 5- and 15-year survival rates for ACC are approximately 89% and 40%, respectively [16].
Metastasectomy is a frequently reported treatment option for patients with various pulmonary metastases; although, patients with insufficient pulmonary reserve may not qualify for surgical intervention [3, 17-19]. Radio-frequency ablation, microwave ablation, and cryotherapy ablation are competing technologies for the treatment of lung neoplasms, with a 0-34% of local recurrence rate and a pneumothorax rate of 25-39% [15, 18, 20, 21].Radio-frequency ablation therapy, compared to volumetric-modulated arc therapy, scanned carbon-ion therapy [32, 33].

The benefit of removal of some but not all of the metastases and metastatic ACC lesions has demonstrated longer survival, although judgment of cure ‘is almost impossible’ due to the indolent course of the disease [28]. Authors of a retrospective surgical series of 109 ACC patients concluded that a lung metastasectomy may have a survival benefit if there is a greater than 36-month gap between primary treatment and metastasectomy. The benefit of removal of some but not all of the metastasis is uncertain [29].

Data regarding toxicities of treating multiple sites over a span of several years with these modalities are not available in the literature. Tumor debulking is an effective approach in palliative cancer care, as multiple studies demonstrated that reducing tumor volume via chemotherapy or stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) can in many cases improve survival [17, 22, 23]. SBRT is an effective technique for intra-thoracic malignancies, with well-elicited risk factors for chest wall pain and rib fracture [25]. The toxicity profiles for multiple courses of SBRT for intra-thoracic malignancy have not yet been studied in detail. Published work indicates that pneumonitis is related to composite doses and timing of subsequent doses of SBRT [26]. Data on toxicities of repeated pulmonary SBRT are sparse and mostly deal with re-irradiation of solitary local recurrences following conventional radiation or SBRT [27]. Retrospective clinical studies of ACC have been published, but data documenting superiority of one treatment modality over another and prognosis beyond 20 years post-treatment are lacking. Initial and repeated surgical treatment of both primary and metastatic ACC lesions has demonstrated longer survival, although judgment of cure ‘is almost impossible’ due to the indolent course of the disease [28].

Table 2. Study subject dosimetry

| Subject number | Lesion # | D90 (%) | D90 (Gy) | V100 (%) | V150 (%) |
|----------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| 01-01          | 1        | 70      | 92       | 76       | 57       |
|                | 2        | 150     | 90       | 100      | 90       |
| 01-02          | 1        | 100     | 90       | 100      | 96       |
|                | 2        | 100     | 90       | 100      | 92       |
| 01-03          | 1        | 93      | 100      | 89       | 69       |
|                | 2        | 86      | 80       | 82       | 61       |
| 01-04          | 1        | 81      | 84       | 74       | 52       |
|                | 2        | 90      | 90       | 100      | 100      |
| 01-05          | 1        | 100     | 215      | 100      | 99       |
| 01-06          | 1        | 98      | 122      | 96       | 77       |
|                | 2        | 64      | 72       | 76       | 57       |
| 01-07          | 1        | 115     | --       | 94       | 78       |
| 01-08          | 1        | 94      | 100      | 90       | 100      |
|                | 2        | 91      | 101      | 90       | 80       |
| 01-09          | 1        | 98      | 124      | 95       | 81       |
|                | 2        | 100     | > 200    | 100      | 150      |
| 01-10          | 1        | 99      | 118      | 97       | 74       |
|                | 2        | 100     | > 200    | 100      | 100      |
| 01-11          | 1        | 100     | > 200    | 100      | 100      |
|                | 2        | 100     | > 200    | 100      | 100      |
| 01-12          | 1        | 100     | > 200    | 100      | 150      |
| 01-13          | 1        | 100     | 197      | 99       | 97       |
|                | 2        | 88      | 95       | 84       | 68       |
| 01-14          | 1        | 90      | 90       | 87       | 74       |
|                | 2        | 100     | 145      | 100      | 145      |

* Older version of software did not provide value for D90 dose.
### Table 3. Lesion locations and tumor volumes at baseline, follow-up, and time point

| Subject No. | Lesion location | Needle approach used | Baseline tumor volume (ml) | Number of seeds implanted | Units of $^{103}$Pd per seed | Follow-up tumor volume (ml) | Follow-up (months) |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 01          | R mid lateral pulm | Anterior             | 1.88                        | 10                        | 2.03                          | 0                           | 44                |
|             | R upper mid ant pulm | Anterior             | 1.91                        | 10                        | 1.98                          | 0                           | 44                |
| 02          | R paraspinal      | Posterior            | 2.01                        | 10                        | 1.97                          | 0                           | 44                |
| 03          | RUL of lung       | Posterior            | 1.57                        | 10                        | 2.93                          | 0                           | 10                |
| 04          | R lobar fissure   | Posterior            | 1.10                        | 20                        | 3.48                          | 0                           | 39                |
|             | R paraspinal      | Posterior            | 4.55                        | 17                        | 3.48                          | 0                           | 39                |
| 05          | R mid pulm        | Posterior            | 2.53                        | 20                        | 2.93                          | 0                           | 17                |
| 06          | R paraspinal pulm | Posterior            | 0.96                        | 20                        | 2.93                          | 0                           | 17                |
| 07          | R post medial inf level | Posterior     | 1.64                        | 10                        | 2.07                          | 0                           | 44                |
| 08          | R apical lateral  | Posterior            | 2.58                        | 10                        | 3.06                          | 0                           | 21                |
|             | L lateral pleural | Posterior            | 0.16                        | 5                         | 3.06                          | 0                           | 21                |
| 09          | LLL post medial pulm | Posterior     | 3.11                        | 10                        | 2.94                          | 0                           | 17                |
| 10          | R post lower chest wall | Posterior     | 1.11                        | 15                        | 2.94                          | 0                           | 17                |
| 11          | L lower lateral   | Anterior            | 1.81                        | 10                        | 3.67                          | 0                           | 22                |
|             | R paraspinal      | Posterior            | 2.77                        | 20                        | 3.52                          | 0                           | 22                |
| 12          | R post lower lobe | Posterior            | 9.15                        | 12                        | 2.97                          | 0                           | 37                |
| 13          | L parotid to Lung | Posterior            | 0.24                        | 10                        | 3.29                          | 0                           | 25                |
|             | L paracardiac pulm | Anterior            | 0.69                        | 10                        | 3.18                          | 0                           | 18                |
| 14          | R apex            | Posterolateral       | 1.58                        | 11                        | 2.66                          | 0                           | 71                |
|             | R upper ant lateral | Upper ant           | 0.19                        | 6                         | 2.66                          | 0                           | 71                |
| 15          | R apex            | Posterior            | 1.10                        | 20                        | 3.03                          | 0                           | 67                |
|             | L apex            | Posterior            | 0.19                        | 20                        | 3.03                          | 0                           | 67                |

Ant – anterior, Mets – metastases, R – right, L – left, RLL – right lower lobe, LLL – left lower lobe, Pulm – pulmonary, RUL – right upper lobe, Post – posterior, Inf – inferior

### Table 4. Follow-up period and reduction in tumor volume compared to baseline

| Variable                | Tumor volume (ml) at baseline, mean (range) | Tumor volume (ml) at follow-up, mean (range) | Follow-up period in months, mean (range) | Reduction in tumor volume (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Tumor volume pre-implant| 1.85 (0.69-9.15)                             | 0.00 (0.00-0.00)                             | 37.52 (10-67)                           | 100%                          |

### Table 5. CTCAE adverse events

| Subject | Adverse event | CTCAE grade | Treatment | Resolved |
|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| 05      | Pneumothorax  | 1           | N.A.      | Yes      |
| 08      | Pneumothorax  | 2           | Aspiration| Yes      |
| 12      | Pneumothorax  | 1           | N.A.      | Yes      |
resource intensive process. Increasing financial pressures on the U.S. institutions demand faster patient throughput when utilizing costly diagnostic and treatment technologies. For radio-frequency ablation, microwave ablation cryotherapy, and SBRT, the number of lesions able to be treated in a single-session can be limited by the time needed to set up treatment technology and imaging devices needed to deliver the therapy. Additionally, concerns regarding normal lung tissue damage by these competing modalities can limit the number and size of lesions.

Advances in CT-fluoroscopic technology have shortened the time required to treat multiple lesions and decreased risk of organs at risk (OARs) damage. A high frame-rate (up to eight images per second) and short delay (0.3 seconds) between needle movement and screen visualization of the needle movement, permits accurate needle tip positioning even adjacent to organs at risk. With these advances in imaging technology and increasing team experience, we have been able to implant 14 lesions in one lung in 73 minutes.

We anticipate future studies demonstrating that tumor debulking ability of CTGPSB can also extend survival, which may permit, as yet undiscovered but more effective, systemic treatments to enter clinical treatment space [35]. Retrospective studies, such as the present one, may lack data on various treated patients, thus decreasing the sample size. Data sets were incomplete due to difficulty in obtaining follow-up CT scans from geographically distant and linguistically different sites. In many cases, the differences in hardware and software of distant CT scanners did not permit for identification and analyses of lesions. Lack of contrast material at the time of follow-up scan, differences in CT slice thickness, and unknown patient’s body position changes at remote CT facilities, contributed to elimination of many lesions from the analysis. Examination of follow-up CT scans tracking multiple lesions over time is a difficult task. CT-detected lesions’ masses on follow-up in this series were listed as recurrent/residual cancers. Lesions determined to be 100% resolved were identified by the presence of X-ray seeds could not be definitely called ‘recurrent or residual cancers. Lesions determined to be ed lesions’ masses on follow-up in this series were listed multiple lesions over time is a difficult task. CT-detecting team experience, we have been able to implant 14 lesions in one lung in 73 minutes.

The literature is silent regarding differentiation of recurrent versus benign lesion following brachytherapy. There are few imaging tracers for immune-oncology and are typically utilized in the research departments of large institutions [37]. Resource limitation in this small pilot study did not permit detailed post-operative dosimetry analysis of the treated lesions, nor did permit for histopathologic evaluation of each treated lesion.

Conclusions

This retrospective pilot study documents the ability of CTGPSB to reduce or eliminate ACC pulmonary lesions using a safe and resource-conserving method. Future trials are needed comparing survival of implanted patients and historical survival controls. 103Pd seed implants for the treatment of ACC pulmonary metastases are effective, with minimal complications, in this small cohort of subjects in the present study.
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