INTRODUCTION

The vestibular-evoked myogenic potential (VEMP) is widely used in clinical practice as an effective test for evaluating the function of the saccule and the utricle. However, the acoustic stimulus used in VEMP testing is a loud sound of around 120–130 dBSPL, and the safety evaluation of the sound pressure level is still under investigation.\(^1\) Although only one case of bilateral hearing loss after VEMP testing has been previously reported in the literature,\(^2\) there is a potential risk of bilateral permanent noise-induced hearing loss in VEMP sound stimulation.

CASE REPORT

A 78-year-old man with a history of lumbar spinal canal stenosis and chronic pain after lumbar spine surgery was referred to our hospital for vestibular evaluation of floating dizziness and wobbling throughout the day and a tendency to waver to the right during walking for the previous one month. He also had non-pulsatile mild right occipital pain and stiffness in his shoulders. His medication included ketoprofen patch, fentanyl patch, fexofenadine, tramadol hydrochloride, mirogabalin besylate, mecobalamin, and limaprost alfadex, which had been newly prescribed.

Shinnosuke Asakura and Teru Kamogashira equally contributed to the study.

Abstract was accepted for a presentation at the 79th Annual Meeting of the Japan Society for Equilibrium Research (Yokohama, Japan, 11/26/2020).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Clinical Case Reports published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
5 days before the vestibular examination. In the vestibular assessment, there was no gaze-evoked nystagmus or positional nystagmus. Pure tone audiometry (PTA) showed age-appropriate thresholds. The total trajectory lengths of posturography for 60 s were 254.17 cm (eyes open) and 339.82 cm (eyes closed), and the gravitational chart was teardrop-shaped, suggesting a psychogenic vertigo. Videonystagmography (VNG) revealed no gaze-evoked nystagmus, normal smooth pursuit, and good optokinetic nystagmus. The maximum slow phase velocities recorded in the ice water caloric test were 36 degrees/second on the right and 38 degrees/second on the left. The results of cervical VEMP (cVEMP) and ocular VEMP (oVEMP) testing were all normal, except for absent oVEMPs with stimulation at 1kHz on the left. The Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) score was 18, the hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) scores were 10 (A) and 16 (D), and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) score was 13. There was no evident peripheral or central vestibular dysfunction during the examination, and the patient was diagnosed with psychogenic vertigo.

While testing the right ear for cVEMPs with a 500 Hz stimulation, the patient suddenly stopped responding to the examiner's instructions and complained of sudden bilateral hearing loss. The examination was immediately stopped, and the physician checked both ears, but found no abnormalities. After a 5-min break, the examination of cVEMPs and oVEMPs was continued with the patient's consent. After all tests were completed, he still complained of difficulty hearing, and pure tone audiometry showed threshold increases of about 30 dB in both ears. The results of distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAE) showed no responses bilaterally. Subsequently, he was treated with oral steroid therapy and bilateral intratympanic dexamethasone, but there was no recovery in hearing thresholds after 1 month (Figure 1).

3 | DISCUSSION

There are three possible causes of bilateral hearing loss in this case. First is bilateral sudden hearing loss. Although sudden deafness usually occurs on one side only, there have been several reports of bilateral sudden deafness in the past. The reported time of onset of these cases varied from morning to noon and night, allowing the possibility that bilateral sudden deafness occurred coincidentally during the examination. The second possible cause is an enlarged vestibular aqueduct (EVA), which can cause hearing loss as a result of loud sounds.
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We could not evaluate the presence of EVA in our patient, however, because he did not agree to undergo MRI or CT scan. Because the onset of hearing loss with EVA generally ranges from around 10 years old up to patients in their 20s, the possibility of EVA in our elderly patient is low. The third possibility is noise-induced hearing loss caused by VEMP stimulus sound. Although the total stimulation sound levels used in this case were within the noise and tolerance standards recommended by the Japan Society for Occupational Health, the eight-hour equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level \( (L_{Aeq,8hr}) \) of National Institutes of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) was 89.1 dBA (left) and 89.5 dBA (right), which slightly exceeded the recommendations by 4.1 dB and 4.5 dB, respectively. In the past, only one case of bilateral hearing loss after VEMP testing has been reported, in which the \( L_{Aeq,8hr} \) equivalents of NIOSH were exceeded by 6 dB and 7 dB. Krause et al. reported that 27% of subjects exposed to VEMP sound stimulation \( (133 \text{ dB SPL}) \) experienced auditory symptoms after VEMP testing, which had improved the next day. There were no PTA threshold increases reported (Figure 2), and DPOAE levels decreased but recovered in 24 h. Strömberg et al. also reported a DPOAE level decrease and no PTA threshold increase after VEMP sound stimulation \( (130 \text{ dBpSPL}) \). A recent study evaluating the otoacoustic emissions (OAE) and PTA in young adults undergoing VEMP testing \( (125 \text{ dBpSPL}) \) showed no significant changes. These studies show that the acoustic stimulation of VEMP can temporarily affect DPOAE levels but not PTA thresholds in young subjects depending on the stimulation sound level, although the effect in the elderly is still unknown and requires investigation. Initial guidelines for VEMP testing recommended a maximum sound pressure of 140 dBpSPL, including attention to \( L_{Aeq,8hr} \); however, the recommended maximum safety level was reduced to 126 dBpSPL within a few years, which indicates that the actual safety level of the sound pressure is still under investigation. Because the vestibular examination is often performed in the elderly, the safety level of the acoustic stimulation of VEMPs should be paid attention to, especially the increased vulnerability to loud sounds in aged people who may have a high susceptibility to noise exposure due to various factors. Studies evaluating DPOAE levels and PTA thresholds after VEMP testing in elderly subjects are also required to determine the safety level for the patient population with vestibular disorders. The use of
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**Figure 2** Waveforms of cVEMP (500 Hz (A: left, B: right), 1 kHz (C: left, D: right)) and oVEMP (500 Hz (E: left, F: right), 1 kHz (G: left, H: right)). Nicolet EDX (Natus) was used to record the VEMP, and the stimulation sounds were a tone burst of 500 Hz at 133 dBpSPL (rise, 2 ms; plateau, 4 ms; and fall, 2 ms) or a tone burst of 1 kHz at 133 dBpSPL (rise, 1 ms; plateau, 2 ms; and fall, 1 ms). The numbers of stimuli for the left or right ears, respectively, were 78/175 (500 Hz) and 107/90 (1 kHz) for cVEMP and 85/71 (500 Hz) and 104/76 (1 kHz) for oVEMP. The results of cVEMP testing were normal with no significant difference in asymmetry ratio (AR) \( (12\% \text{ (500 Hz)} \) and 5\% \text{ (1 kHz)}) respectively. The result of oVEMP testing with 500 Hz stimulation was normal with no difference in AR \( (12\%); \) however, the oVEMP with 1 kHz stimulation was absent on the left side.
gVEMP (galvanic VEMP) instead of cVEMP or oVEMP in some cases should be considered because galvanic stimulation does not involve the risk of acoustic trauma.

4 | CONCLUSION

Vestibular evoked myogenic potential sound stimulation can cause noise-induced hearing loss in some cases with high sensitivity to noise exposure or by exceeding individual cochlear safety thresholds. It is necessary for healthcare providers to understand the risk of hearing loss with VEMP sound stimulation and to explain this to patients in advance. To prevent acoustic trauma, it is necessary to have the patient listen to the stimulus sound before starting the test, to make the patient take a break during the test, and to reduce the number of stimuli and stimulus sound pressure levels. A hearing threshold check after VEMP testing should be considered to make sure there are no hearing problems.
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