Tick-borne pathogens induce differential expression of genes promoting cell survival and host resistance in *Ixodes ricinus* cells
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Abstract

**Background:** There has been an emergence and expansion of tick-borne diseases in Europe, Asia and North America in recent years, including Lyme disease, tick-borne encephalitis and human anaplasmosis. The primary vectors implicated are hard ticks of the genus *Ixodes*. Although much is known about the host response to these bacterial and viral pathogens, there is limited knowledge of the cellular responses to infection within the tick vector. The bacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* is able to bypass apoptotic processes in ticks, enabling infection to proceed. However, the tick cellular responses to infection with the flaviviruses tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) and louping ill virus (LIV), which cause tick-borne encephalitis and louping ill respectively, are less clear.

**Results:** Infection and transcriptional analysis of the *Ixodes ricinus* tick cell line iRE/CTVM20 with the viruses LIV and TBEV, and the bacterium *A. phagocytophilum*, identified activation of common and distinct cellular pathways. In particular, commonly-upregulated genes included those that modulate apoptotic pathways, putative anti-pathogen genes, and genes that influence the tick innate immune response, including selective activation of toll genes.

**Conclusion:** These data provide an insight into potential key genes involved in the tick cellular response to viral or bacterial infection, which may promote cell survival and host resistance.
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**Background**

The past few decades have seen the emergence and expansion of tick-borne diseases in Europe, Asia and North America [1]. These include Lyme disease, tick-borne encephalitis, human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA) and louping ill [2–5]. Hard ticks of the genus *Ixodes* are primarily implicated, including *Ixodes ricinus*, *Ixodes persulcatus* and *Ixodes scapularis*.

The flavivirus tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) is responsible for an increasing number of cases of human tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) throughout Europe and Asia. Infection with the virus can cause severe encephalitic disease which can be fatal in some cases [6]. Louping ill virus (LIV), which is genetically and antigenically closely-related to TBEV, also causes severe encephalitis and is responsible for disease in sheep in the United Kingdom (UK) and discrete parts of mainland Europe [5]. Both viruses are transmitted by *I. ricinus*, although in Asia, TBEV is transmitted predominantly by *I. persulcatus* [7]. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* is considered an emerging zoonotic bacterium, transmitted by *I. ricinus* ticks in Europe, and *I. scapularis* in the United States [8]. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infects vertebrate host granulocytes, leading to human, canine or equine granulocytic anaplasmosis and to tick-borne fever in ruminants [9–11].

The biological effect on *I. ricinus* ticks of infection with these pathogens has yet to be fully characterised, and genes associated with apoptosis and innate immune function are of particular interest, as these pathways are crucially involved in the cellular response to infection. The induction of apoptosis serves a range of functions...
in the vertebrate host, including control at the cellular level following infection [12]. Previous studies have shown that *A. phagocytophilum* is able to inhibit this process in *I. scapularis* ticks and human cells, through inhibition of different apoptotic pathways, leading to increased bacterial dissemination [13]. Subsequent studies have shown that the transcriptional response to *A. phagocytophilum* infection in an *I. ricinus* cell line was similar to that detected in *I. scapularis* midguts [14, 15], where the response did not associate the intrinsic apoptotic pathway with the inhibition of cellular apoptosis, but did suggest a role for the janus-associated kinase signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) pathway via upregulation of Jak [15]. Along with the JAK-STAT pathway, the Toll pathway is known to constitute part of the innate immune response in arthropods [16]. A number of recent studies have investigated the response of tick cells to virus infection and provided preliminary data on the pathways activated by flaviviruses [17–19].

In this study, the transcriptional response of an *I. ricinus* cell line to LIV and TBEV infection was investigated, and compared to that observed following *A. phagocytophilum* infection. All infection experiments were undertaken simultaneously, and the dataset derived from *A. phagocytophilum* infection has previously been utilised to investigate apoptosis in a comparison with infection in *I. scapularis* cells [15]. The utilisation of a systems biology approach using high-throughput omics technology has enabled the generation of large datasets yielding evidence of differential gene expression associated with both apoptotic and innate immune pathways. Furthermore, evidence for increased expression of anti-pathogen genes is demonstrated. The application of Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) and subsequent transcriptomic analysis has provided an insight into the tick cell response to virus or bacterial infection, and enhanced our understanding of the tick-pathogen interface.

**Methods**

**Virus and bacterial isolates**

The virus isolates used were LIV strain LI3/1 (APHA reference: Arb 126), which was originally isolated from a sheep in Oban, Scotland, in 1962, and the TBEV strain Neudorfl H2J (APHA reference: Arb 131), originally isolated from an *I. ricinus* tick in Austria in the early 1950s. Both isolates were mouse brain homogenates, kindly provided by Professor John Stephenson (Public Health England, formerly Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research, Porton Down, UK). The TBEV isolate was originally isolated by Dr Christian Kunz, University of Vienna, Austria, and had subsequently been passaged four times in an outbred strain of mice. However, it remains genetically identical to the standard prototype Neudorfl strain. The LIV isolate was originally isolated by Dr Hugh Reid, Moredun Institute, Scotland, and had been passaged four times in sheep and six times in an outbred strain of mice. The bacterial isolate was *A. phagocytophilum* NY-18, which was originally isolated from a human in 1996 [20, 21]. The isolate was subsequently passaged in *I. scapularis* tick cells prior to infection of *I. ricinus* cells.

**Ixodes ricinus** cell line

The *I. ricinus* embryo-derivated tick cell line IRE/CTVM20 [22] (provided by the Tick Cell Biobank, The Pirbright Institute, UK) was maintained in a 1:1 mixture of supplemented L-15 (Leibovitz) medium and L-15B medium [23], as previously described [24]. Briefly, the supplemented L-15 medium contained 20% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 10% tryptose phosphate broth (TPB), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin. The L-15B medium included 10% FBS, 5% FBS, 0.1% bovine lipoprotein concentrate, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 100 U/ml penicillin and a range of vitamin and mineral supplements, as previously described [23].

**Infection of tick cells with bacterial and viral isolates**

IRE/CTVM20 cells were seeded in 12-well tissue culture plates (Greiner), at a cell density of 6 × 10^5 cells/well. Quadruplicate wells were infected with either louping ill virus (LIV), tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) or *A. phagocytophilum* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1, alongside uninfected control wells. Plates were incubated at 28 °C without additional CO2; this was the optimal temperature for growth of this cell line, as utilised in previous studies [24–26]. Samples were taken at 0, 72, 120 and 168 hours post-infection (hpi), and cells and medium within each well were resuspended and transferred to sterile cryotubes at each time-point. Following centrifugation at 2000 rpm (366× g) for 15 min, the supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes for virus titration. The cell pellets were mixed with 0.5 ml of TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) for RNA extraction.

**Extraction of RNA from infected tick cells**

Total RNA was extracted from the cell pellets combined with TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was further purified using an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and quantified spectrophotometrically. Each RNA sample was assessed by RT-PCR for evidence of virus replication prior to further treatment of the remaining RNA in preparation for sequencing.
Confirmation of virus growth in tick cells
To assess the growth of LIV and TBEV in tick cells, both the cells and the cell supernatants were assessed at each time-point. To assess growth in cells, extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed using Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus Reverse Transcriptase (M-MLV RT; Promega, Southampton, UK) and random hexamers (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK), according to the manufacturers' instructions. Positive controls were included, using RNA previously extracted from the virus isolates used as inoculum. Molecular standards with known copy numbers were prepared from the positive control cDNA samples, and were used to quantify the viral copy numbers in the test samples by qPCR using SYBR Green Jumpstart Taq Readymix (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and TBEV/LIV-specific primers. Similarly, host standards were prepared from RNA extracted from uninfected IRE/CTVM20 cells. Acari primers. Similarly, host standards were prepared from RNA extracted from uninfected IRE/CTVM20 cells. Acari primers (Additional file 1: Table S1) were used to quantify 16S copies in the test samples, to allow normalisation of results. To quantify virus titre of the cell supernatants at each time-point, the supernatants were assessed by plaque assay on Vero C1008 cells, using standard techniques [27].

Confirmation of bacterial growth in tick cells
Growth of A. phagocytophilum in tick cells was confirmed by qPCR and microscopy of Giemsa-stained slides. DNA was extracted from tick cells using Tri Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Anaplasma phagocytophilum DNA levels were characterised by major surface protein 4 gene (msp4) real-time PCR with normalisation against the level of tick 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) as described previously [13]. Giemsa-stained cytocentrifuge smears were prepared from resuspended cell suspensions, and were inspected microscopically to monitor infection.

Next generation sequencing (NGS)
rRNA was depleted from extracted total RNA, using Terminator exonuclease (Epicentre [Illumina], Madison, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to sequencing, the RNA was quantified (Nanodrop, Nanodrop Products, Thermo Scientific), to confirm that it was at a suitable concentration for Illumina sequencing (> 10 ng/μl).

Sequencing was undertaken of duplicate RNA samples from 0 hpi uninfected and 168 hpi infected cells only. RNA (200 ng) was reverse-transcribed to generate double-stranded cDNA, using the cDNA Synthesis System (Roche) and random hexamers. Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT system (Illumina, Madison, USA), and these were sequenced using an Illumina GA IIx instrument.

Bioinformatics and determination of differential gene expression
Sequence analysis was undertaken using multiplexed paired-end samples. Pre-analysis sequence quality checking was performed using the ‘FastQC’ programme (Barham Institute). The Ixodes scapularis genome was used as a reference for mapping (Broad Institute assembly lsaW1), and the programme ‘BowTie 2’ was used as an assembler, to align sequenced reads with the reference sequence. ‘TopHat’ was used to analyse the mapping results and identify splice junctions between exons. The ‘Cufflinks’ programme was used to provide an estimation of gene and isoform abundance and differential expression, allowing for splice variants and gaps due to the genome reference. Within Cufflinks, ‘Cuffmerge’ was used to merge Cufflinks assemblies, to provide normalisation of biological replicates. ‘Cuffquant’ was used to provide abundance estimation across normalised samples. The ‘Cuffdiff’ algorithm was used to account for biological variability between samples and identify differentially expressed genes; this included non-statistical analysis (log fold-change) and statistical analysis (test for variance), in order to identify statistically significant fold-changes in gene expression (P < 0.05, q < 0.05).

Genome coverage and depth statistics were generated using the ‘Quailmap’ programme [28, 29].

RNAseq data derived from LIV and TBEV-infected tick cells have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus, and are available through GEO Series accession number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE85300). RNAseq data derived from A. phagocytophilum-infected tick cells has been previously analysed and published [15], and is available through GEO Series accession number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE76906).

Molecular detection of transcripts
Selected differentially-expressed genes were detected by qPCR using specifically-designed primer pairs, and the primer sequences are detailed in Additional file 1: Table S1. The genes selected were Toll genes (ISCW022740, ISCW007724, ISCW007727 and ISCW007724) and Myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) gene (ISCW008802), along with Acari 16S rRNA as a housekeeping gene. Briefly, RNA was reverse transcribed with M-MLV RT (Promega) and random hexamers (Roche), in the presence of 14 units of RNAsin and 10 mM di-thiothreitol (DTT), with incubation for 60 min at 42 °C. Amplification using transcript-specific primer sets was performed using SYBR® Green JumpStart™ Taq ReadyMix™ (Sigma-Aldrich) and an Mx3005p (Stratagene [Agilent], Santa Clara, USA). Amplification was achieved using specific primers for each mRNA transcript, which was quantified by comparison with a standard curve.
The amount of 16S rRNA transcript was used to normalise each transcript within the sample. Transcript fold changes were calculated relative to the uninfected control cells at 0 hpi as previously described [30], with three test groups, *A. phagocytophilum*, LIV and TBEV, sampled at 72 hpi and 120 hpi. Results are reflected as transcript mean fold-change, with standard error of the mean (SEM). Upregulated transcripts have fold-change > 1, and downregulated transcripts have fold-change < 1. Statistical analysis was performed using a Student’s *t*-test with unequal variance. Statistically significant differences between the results from infected samples in comparison to the uninfected controls were denoted as follows: *P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.01.

**Results**

**Pathogen growth in tick cells**

Infection of IRE/CTVM20 cells with LIV, TBEV or *A. phagocytophilum* did not appear to inhibit cell growth or alter the cell morphology over the course of the experiment, as previously demonstrated [18, 25]. These observations were based on comparison between infected and uninfected cells using cell counts and examination of cell morphology under the microscope. Growth of LIV and TBEV was confirmed in both cell supernatants and cells (Fig. 1a) and of *A. phagocytophilum* in cells (Fig. 1b).

**Infection with LIV, TBEV and *A. phagocytophilum* induces differential gene expression in a tick cell line**

Initial gene expression analysis using RNA derived from *A. phagocytophilum*-infected tick cells has recently been published [15], but the extensive dataset generated has been further utilised here for comparison with flavivirus-induced gene expression. Infection of IRE/CTVM20 cells with LIV or TBEV induced differential gene expression (*P* < 0.05 and *q* < 0.05) as shown previously for *A. phagocytophilum* infection in the same cell line [15] and TBEV in another *I. ricinus* cell line IRE/CTVM19 [18]. These analyses have identified which of the annotated genes were differentially expressed in response to infection with LIV (samples 17 and 18) and TBEV (samples a–b).

---

**Fig. 1** a Replication of LIV (grey) and TBEV (black) in IRE/CTVM20 cells, as demonstrated by log_{10} mean virus copies/16S copies detected in cellular-derived RNA (dashed lines), and virus titre (PFU/ml) quantified in cell supernatant (solid bars). b Replication of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in IRE/CTVM20 cells, as demonstrated by mean *Msp4* copies normalised against mean 16S copies in cellular-derived RNA. Error bars represent the standard deviation. *P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.01.
19 and 20) when compared to uninfected control cells (samples 1–4). Details of the sequence depth and quality of alignment for these samples are provided in Additional file 2: Table S2, and suggest that the sequencing coverage was generally uniform across all of the samples included in the study, with between 38.34 and 50.65% of aligned pairs being within exon annotated sections of the reference genome. However, as we were not attempting to identify novel transcripts there was no requirement for ultra-deep sequencing. Additionally, the statistics for *A. phagocytophilum* (samples 15 and 16), of which some transcriptomic data has been previously published [15], have also been included in this table for comparison.

Following LIV and TBEV infection, RNAseq analysis identified 20,861 genes in IRE/CTVM20 cells, which was identical to results reported previously for *A. phagocytophilum* [15] (Table 1). When comparing infected cells at 168 hpi with uninfected cells at 0 hpi, analysis of differential expression identified 613 and 409 differentially expressed genes for LIV and TBEV infection, respectively, in comparison to 197 genes following *A. phagocytophilum* infection, as previously reported [15]. When compared to *A. phagocytophilum* infection, this suggests that flavivirus infection in tick cells leads to the differential expression of a greater number of genes, with 0.95, 2.96 and 1.98% of genes differentially expressed for *A. phagocytophilum*, LIV and TBEV, respectively. Although this suggests differences between the transcriptional responses to each pathogen, the limited number of samples in the study did not enable any correlation between levels of infection and levels of RNA expression to be inferred. However, it is possible that the low infection rate of *A. phagocytophilum* in IRE/CTVM20 cells observed (Fig. 1) may provide an alternative explanation for this difference. Following infection with all three pathogens, the majority of differentially expressed genes in tick cells were upregulated, rather than downregulated, with 78.7, 65.6 and 61.6% of genes upregulated following *A. phagocytophilum*, LIV and TBEV infection, respectively. The numbers of genes shared between pathogens which were significantly upregulated or downregulated are shown in Fig. 2a and b, respectively. Although the vast majority of differentially-expressed genes were involved in metabolic processes, many are important in a number of key pathways, including apoptosis, innate immune response and anti-pathogen responses. Selected key genes involved in these pathways are detailed in Fig. 3 and, again, a key observation is the greater number of genes differentially expressed following flavivirus infection, when compared to *A. phagocytophilum* infection, suggesting that virus infection may have a more profound effect on tick cells than *A. phagocytophilum* infection.

### Table 1 RNAseq statistics for *I. ricinus* IRE/CTVM20 cells, uninfected at 0 hpi, or infected with LIV or TBEV at 168 hpi (upper panel), and differential gene expression statistics at 168 hpi following infection with LIV or TBEV at 0 hpi, compared to uninfected cells at 0 hpi (lower panel)

| Parameter                  | Uninfected 0 hpi (replicate 1/replicate 2) | LIV 168 hpi (replicate 1/replicate 2) | TBEV 168 hpi (replicate 1/replicate 2) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Total reads                | 11,976,631/10,334,781                      | 16,075,150/13,693,034                | 13,339,640/18,910,604                  |
| Aligned reads              | 11,976,631/10,334,781                      | 16,075,150/13,693,034                | 13,339,640/18,910,604                  |
| PF_reads                   | 11,976,631/10,334,781                      | 16,075,150/13,693,034                | 13,339,640/18,910,604                  |
| PF_aligned reads           | 11,976,631/10,334,781                      | 16,075,150/13,693,034                | 13,339,640/18,910,604                  |
| PF_HQAligned reads         | 3,878,432/3,760,670                       | 4,956,000/3,983,347                  | 4,775,958/6,552,021                   |
| Mean read length           | 119/119                                    | 119/119                              | 119/119                                |
| PF_HQ_error rate           | 0.76256/0.76193                            | 0.763221/0.764392                   | 0.766099/0.765034                     |
| PF_indel rate              | 0.000067/0.000066                          | 0.000067/0.000066                   | 0.000062/0.000063                     |
| Total genes annotated      | na                                         | 20,861                               | 20,861                                 |
| Total DE genes             | na                                         | 613 (9.6%)                           | 409 (1.3%)                            |
| Upregulated DE genes       | na                                         | 402 (65.6%)                          | 252 (61.6%)                           |
| Downregulated DE genes     | na                                         | 211 (34.4%)                          | 157 (38.4%)                           |

**Abbreviations:** na not available, HPI hours post-infection, PF pass-Illumina filter, HQ high quality, PF_HQ_ERROR_RATE percentage of *I. ricinus* sequence bases that mismatch the *I. scapularis* reference genome sequence in PF HQ aligned reads, PF_INDEL_RATE number of insertion and deletion events per 100 PF aligned bases. This uses the number of events as the numerator, not the number of inserted or deleted bases. Picard metrics definitions (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/picard-metric-definitions.html) were used, DE differentially expressed.
to uninfected cells at 0 hpi (Fig. 3). Significant upregulation of the gene encoding cytochrome c following infection with all three pathogens was observed. Crucially however, there was no detection of Caspase gene upregulation (Fig. 3) following infection with any of the pathogens. This suggests that not all components of the apoptotic pathway were transcriptionally induced, although this observation may be due to lack of sequence coverage for some genes. Significant upregulation of the gene encoding heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) was induced by all three pathogens, and may suggest potential involvement in inhibition of apoptosis (Fig. 3) [31].

**Host cell survival supported by expression of innate immune activation and anti-pathogen genes**

Viral infection is known to activate the Toll and Jak-STAT pathways [32]. There was evidence for activation of an immune response in *I. ricinus* tick cells via the Jak-STAT pathway following infection with all three pathogens, with a number of innate immunity genes differentially expressed at 168 hpi (Fig. 3, Table 2). Only *A. phagocytophilum* infection led to an increase in expression of the Jak receptor gene as previously described [15], although this was not significantly differentially expressed (*P* < 0.05, but *q* > 0.05) (Table 2), and there was also no observed differential expression of the gene encoding STAT3. However, the Jak-STAT modulatory suppressor of cytokine signalling (Socs) gene was upregulated significantly following TBEV infection, with some evidence for upregulation following LIV infection (*P* = 0.009, *q* = 0.055). There was also evidence for activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway; although only LIV infection caused upregulation of the gene encoding MAPK, all three pathogens induced significant upregulation of genes for MAPK-activated protein kinase and MAPK phosphatase 5 (Fig. 3, Table 2).

Only flavivirus infection led to significant upregulation of gene expression for toll gene ISCW022740 at 168 hpi (Fig. 3, Table 2). Toll genes ISCW007727 and ISCW7724 were significantly downregulated at 168 hpi following TBEV and LIV infection respectively (Fig. 3, Table 2). There was no change in gene expression for the downstream MyD88 gene at 168 hpi, although LIV infection appeared to upregulate the gene encoding an antimicrobial peptide (ISCW014204, Beta transducin Trp-Asp domain containing protein) (Fig. 3, Table 2). To further analyse toll gene activation as an initiator of innate immune response, selected genes involved in the Toll pathway were analysed, where RNA from the remaining intermediate time-points at 72 and 120 hpi were assessed using specific primers to determine transcript fold-change (normalised against 16S rRNA). Quantitative RT-PCR for toll gene ISCW022740 demonstrated that this transcript was significantly upregulated at 72 hpi following LIV infection (2.47-fold; SEM = 0.55; *P* = 0.047), with evidence for upregulation at 120 hpi following LIV infection (3.74-fold; SEM = 1.16; *P* = 0.118) and TBEV infection (2.38-fold; SEM = 0.59; *P* = 0.063), when compared to uninfected control cells at 0 hpi (Fig. 4a). In contrast, the remaining toll genes were all significantly downregulated at 72 and 120 hpi following flavivirus infection, in accordance with the RNAseq results at 168 hpi. There was no significant increase in expression of any of the toll genes following *A. phagocytophilum* infection, although toll gene ISCW007727 was significantly downregulated at 72 and 120 hpi (*P* = 0.022 and 0.025, respectively). Despite the upregulation of toll gene expression induced by flavivirus infection, the gene encoding down-stream MyD88, which is activated via toll engagement, was significantly downregulated at 72 and 120 hpi following infection with all three pathogens (Fig. 4b, Table 2). Therefore, although there was evidence for antimicrobial peptide gene activation, the selective or limited expression of genes within the Toll pathway suggests that Jak-STAT signalling and activation of the MAP kinase cascade dominate the immune response in this cell line.
In addition to immune genes, a number of genes associated with anti-pathogen responses were differentially expressed (Fig. 3, Table 2). *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and LIV induced differential expression of genes encoding FK506 binding protein (FKBP) and X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1) (Fig. 3, Table 2); both of these genes are important for immune gene expression, and were significantly upregulated. Additionally, the gene for antiviral helicase Slh1 was differentially expressed following LIV infection only, with significant upregulation suggestive of a direct antiviral response.

**Discussion**

The *I. scapularis* genome is the only tick genome fully sequenced and annotated [33, 34], and constitutes a valuable tool for the investigation of interactions between tick-borne pathogens and *Ixodes* spp. ticks [35]. Transcriptional analysis of the *I. scapularis* genome has suggested the involvement of a number of host pathways involved in anti-pathogen responses, including Toll, Jak-STAT and the immune deficiency (Imd) pathway [36–38]. A systems biology approach has previously been utilised to study *A. phagocytophilum* infection in *I. scapularis* ticks, where infection was shown to inhibit apoptosis and upregulate the Jak-STAT pathway, promoting survival and enabling infection to become established [14, 38]. Although the response in tick cells to *A. phagocytophilum* has been well characterised, the response to tick-borne flaviviruses is not yet fully understood. The majority of genes differentially expressed within *I. ricinus* cells infected with LIV and TBEV were associated with metabolic processes (data not shown), an observation shared with previous proteomics studies analysing the infection of *I. scapularis* cells with the related tick-borne flavivirus Langat virus (LGTV) [19]. Similarly, TBEV infection

| Cellular stress | Mitochondrial porin (T2) | ISCO00781 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Tumour necrosis factor (TNF) | ISCO00781 |
| Alpha-fodrin, spectrin alpha chain (CG8) | ISCO00781 |
| Beta-tubulin (CG10) | ISCO00781 |
| Npl-1 R-K ATPase, alpha subunit (T1) | ISCO00781 |

| Apoptosis | Cytochrome C | ISCO00784 |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) | ISCO00784 |
| Caspase 3 | ISCO00784 |
| Bcl-2 | ISCO00784 |
| Bcl-2 interacting factor | ISCO00784 |
| Oxidative stress-induced growth inhibitor (OSGIN) | ISCO00784 |
| Sarm 1 | ISCO00784 |
| Smac/DIABLO | ISCO00784 |
| Fatty acid synthase (FAS) | ISCO00784 |
| Fatty acid synthase (FAS) | ISCO00784 |
| Apoptosis-promoting RNA-binding protein (TIA-1/TLAR) | ISCO00784 |
| Tumour necrosis factor-induced protein | ISCO00784 |
| Cell death specification protein (ces) | ISCO00784 |
| Poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) | ISCO00784 |
| Malcorin (MKRN) | ISCO00784 |

| Inhibitors of apoptosis | Programmed cell death 6-interacting protein (PDCD6IP) | ISCO00784 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| TNF receptor-associated factor (TRAF) | ISCO00784 |
| Heat shock protein 70 | ISCO00784 |
| Tax-mediated apoptosis inhibitor (TSG101) | ISCO00784 |
| TIPS3-regulated inhibitor of apoptosis (TIP3AP) | ISCO00784 |

| Immunity | Toll | ISCO02276 |
|----------|------|-----------|
| Toll | ISCO02276 |
| Toll | ISCO02276 |
| Toll | ISCO02276 |
| MyD88 | ISCO02276 |
| JAK receptor | ISCO02276 |
| STAT3 | ISCO02276 |
| SOCS | ISCO02276 |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) | ISCO02276 |
| MAP kinase-activated protein kinase | ISCO02276 |
| MAP kinase phosphatase 5 | ISCO02276 |
| NFKB- and NIKKB-binding protein | ISCO02276 |
| N-CAM-like domain-containing protein | ISCO02276 |

| Antimicrobial peptides | TAK1 | ISCO02276 |
|-----------------------|------|-----------|
| MAPK activating death domain protein | ISCO02276 |
| Beta transducin Tnp-Asp domain containing protein | ISCO02276 |

| Anti-pathogen molecules | FK506 binding protein (FKBP) | ISCO02276 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Antiiral helicase Sh1 | ISCO02276 |
| X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1) | ISCO02276 |

Fig. 3 Summary of differential gene expression for selected genes, highlighted green (upregulated), red (downregulated) or black (no significant change), following infection of IRE/CTVM20 cells with *A. phagocytophilum* (Ap), LIV or TBEV
in *I. ricinus* and *I. scapularis* cells has been shown to induce changes in expression of genes associated with a number of processes, including metabolism and immunity [18]. In *Ixodes* spp. tick cells infected with *A. phagocytophilum*, the upregulation of genes associated with apoptosis and cellular stress, including cytochrome c, has been previously reported [15], and flavivirus infection appears to activate some of the same genes that could be associated with apoptosis. However, the lack of Caspase gene activation suggests selective gene activation, which correlates with microscopic observations of tick cells during the 7-day course of infection with LIV, TBEV (this study), or *A. phagocytophilum* [15], where cell morphology remained unaltered. As vectors of these pathogens, *I. ricinus* ticks therefore have mechanisms for restricting the cellular damage caused by infection, including apoptosis. Hsp70 has previously been shown to inhibit apoptosis through a number of pathways [39–41], suggesting that upregulation of the hsp70 gene may be involved in the inhibition of apoptosis as a mechanism for host survival. Indeed, knockdown of hsp70 family genes has been shown to increase LGTV replication in another *I. ricinus* cell line, suggesting a potential antiviral role for these proteins [18]. All three pathogens induced a significant increase in expression of the hsp70 gene by 168 hpi, and the upregulation of Hsp70 at the protein level has previously been observed in *A. phagocytophilum*-infected tick cells [42]. However, although these data may suggest inhibition of apoptosis, it is possible that the differential expression of these genes could be associated with other cellular responses, such as Hsp70 involvement in protein folding and cellular stress [43].

Innate immune activation is essential for survival following infection, and the infection of tick cells with *A. phagocytophilum* has previously been shown to upregulate the Jak-STAT pathway, with a role in inhibition of apoptosis [14, 15], or the restriction of infection through regulation of antimicrobial peptide expression [37].

### Table 2 RNAseq results for *I. ricinus* IRE/CTVM20 cells infected with *A. phagocytophilum* (Ap), LIV or TBEV at 168 hpi for selected genes associated with innate immunity and anti-pathogen response. Statistical significance denoted by *P*- or *q*-values highlighted in bold (< 0.05); genes are considered differentially expressed when both *P* and *q* < 0.05 (Continued)

| Gene | VectorBase ID | Pathogen | Log2 fold-change (168 hpi) | Statistical analysis (*P*-value/*q*-value) |
|------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Slh1 | ISCW018611    | Ap       | -0.13                    | 0.669/0.862                              |
|      |               | LIV      | 0.60                     | 0.002/0.018                              |
|      |               | TBEV     | 0.24                     | 0.270/0.503                              |
| XBP1 | ISCW007440    | Ap       | 1.39                     | 0.00005/0.003                           |
|      |               | LIV      | 0.67                     | 0.0007/0.008                             |
|      |               | TBEV     | 0.53                     | 0.014/0.097                              |
Although we could find no evidence of increased expression of Jak or STAT genes following flavivirus infection (Fig. 3), possibly due to limitations in sequence coverage, the differential expression of other genes within the pathway, including Socs genes, suggests that selective gene regulation following infection occurs. SOCS inhibit the Jak-STAT pathway, leading to reduced transcription of Jak-STAT genes, and the upregulation of Socs gene transcripts has previously been demonstrated in mouse brain following flavivirus infection [44]. Additionally, all three pathogens also induced significant increases in expression of genes within the MAPK cascade. Differential expression of genes involved in both the Jak-STAT and MAPK signalling pathways has also been observed in an Aedes albopictus mosquito cell line, following infection with another arthropod-borne virus, bluetongue virus (BTV) [45].

Innate immunity in ticks and tick cells has still not been fully elucidated, but evidence suggests that the Toll signalling pathway is also present in ticks [36]. The lack of detection of toll gene activation following A. phagocytophilum infection is unsurprising, since gram-negative bacteria are more likely to initiate the alternative Imd pathway [46]. However, the upregulation of toll gene ISCW022740 following flavivirus infection suggests that the toll pathway is activated during flavivirus infection in tick cells and ticks. This constitutes a host survival mechanism, since the toll pathway has been shown to be important for restricting infection with dengue virus (DENV) in Aedes aegypti mosquito cells [47]. However, the downregulation of other toll genes assessed suggests some degree of toll receptor specificity following flavivirus infection, and the downregulation of MyD88 gene

Fig. 4 Pathogen-specific effect on biological processes in IRE/CTVM20 cells following infection with tick-borne pathogens at 72 and 120 hpi, as demonstrated by differential expression of (a) toll genes ISCW022740, ISCW007724, ISCW017724 and ISCW007727, and (b) MyD88 gene ISCW008802, where A. phagocytophilum, LIV and TBEV are represented by light grey bars, dark grey bars and black bars respectively. Statistical significance denoted by *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
expression following infection with all three pathogens suggests limitations to, or potential inhibition of, toll effector functions. Although not beneficial for host survival, this may enhance infection and benefit tick transmission of pathogens, since MyD88 has been shown to restrict the flaviviruses West Nile virus (WNV) and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), in mammalian cells [48, 49]. Furthermore, an absence of MyD88 in mice has been shown to enhance the infectivity of a strain of the spirochaete Borrelia burgdorferi when transmitted to I. scapularis ticks during feeding [50], suggesting that a lack of expression of this gene enabled an enhancement of infection within tick cells.

Immune activation leads to down-stream upregulation of a number of genes which may be considered to have anti-pathogen functionality. These include the xbp1 gene, which was upregulated following infection with all three pathogens (although only differentially expressed following A. phagocytophilum or LIV infection), consistent with the activation of an innate immune response. The transcription factor XBP1 has multiple functions, including a role in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in macrophages, and has been shown to be induced via Toll-like receptor (TLR) activation [51]. It has also been shown to contribute to host protection against immune activation in bacterially-infected Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes [52]. A similar trend was demonstrated for fkbp gene expression, which was also upregulated following infection with all three pathogens. FKBP51, a protein from the FKBP family of proteins, has been shown to interact with TNF receptor associated factor (TRAF) proteins in mammalian cells to facilitate the expression of type I interferon following viral infection [53]. Infection with Sindbis virus (SINV), an alphavirus, has also been shown to alter gene expression for FKBP1 in the midgut of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes [54], whilst FKBP1 paralogs have been shown to suppress infection with DENV and WNV in human HeLa cells [55]. FKBP5 can therefore be considered to constitute host resistance factors with antiviral potential [56]. Additionally, the antiviral helicase Slh1 gene was significantly upregulated following LIV infection. Slh1 has been associated with antiviral defence in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), where it has been shown to inhibit translation of viral mRNAs [57]. This provides some evidence that a mechanism may exist within tick cells for limiting flavivirus replication independently of apoptosis, and in addition to immune gene activation.

Host cells respond by increasing apoptosis and activating immune genes for survival. Indeed, A. phagocytophilum infection of ISE6 tick cells has been shown to induce other pathways that increase host cell survival, whilst enabling pathogen transmission through limitations to the tick cell response via protein mis-folding, suggestive of co-evolution between pathogen and host [42, 58]. There is evidence that selective gene expression in response to bacteria or viruses occurs within tick cells, implying that different cellular recognition proteins are allied to alternative pathways for transcript activation. Viral-induced dsRNA is also known to be recognised in mosquito cells by Dicer-2, which is similar in functionality to mammalian retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I), and also activates the Jak-STAT pathway [59]. There may also be activation of alternative immune pathways including RNAi responses in tick cells [17]. However, the response observed in tick cells may vary compared to the response in ticks, although previous studies in tick cell lines have demonstrated that the transcriptional response to A. phagocytophilum infection in I. scapularis ISE6 cells was similar to that observed in tick haemocytes, whilst the response in I. ricinus IRE/CTVM20 cells was more similar to that observed in the tick midgut [15]. Additionally, although these experiments were undertaken at a single temperature of 28 °C, previous studies have shown that variation in temperature can affect tick physiology and tick-pathogen interactions [60]. Therefore, variation in temperature may influence gene expression in tick cell lines, and may lead to alternative gene expression profiles.

Conclusions

The host response to flavivirus infection in tick cells is complex, and involves the interaction of a number of host mechanisms in order to promote cellular survival, whilst ensuring that virus replication can occur. Infection of I. ricinus cells with the tick-borne flaviviruses LIV and TBEV induced an increase in the expression of genes associated with apoptosis, along with the activation of immune genes, including toll, for survival. However, infection with these pathogens was also associated with an increase in gene expression for proteins associated with inhibition of apoptosis, which is promoted by pathogens to increase infection. Therefore, multiple pathways exist within tick cells to provide efficient mechanisms for pathogen control and host survival, and the identification of key genes may contribute to the identification of potential targets for future antiviral strategies. However, differential gene expression in virus-infected cells does not necessarily lead to significant changes in levels of the related proteins, therefore although not in scope for this study, future investigations will include proteomics analysis in order to enhance and validate these transcriptional studies.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Primers used in this study for detection of viral RNA (LIV/TBEV) from infected I. ricinus IRE/CTVM20 cells, along with host gene transcripts in RNA extracted from I. ricinus IRE/CTVM20 cells infected with A. phagocytophilum, LIV or TBEV. (DOC 38 kb)
Abbreviations

Ap: Anaplasma phagocytophilum; BTB: Bluetongue virus; cDNA: Complementary DNA; DENV: Dengue virus; DTT: Dithiothreitol; FKBP: FK506 binding protein; HGA: Human granulocytic anaplasmosis; hpi: Hours post-infection; HSP70: Heat shock protein 70; IMD: Immune deficiency; Jak-STAT: Janus kinase-signaling ducer and activator of transcription; JEV: Japanese encephalitis virus; LGTV: Langat virus; LIV: Louping ill virus; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; M-MV: RT: Maloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase; MOI: Multiplicity of infection; MSP4: Major surface protein 4; MyD88: Myeloid differentiation factor 88; NGS: Next generation sequencing; PFU: Plaque forming units; Rig-I: retinoic acid-inducible gene-I; RNA: Ribosomal RNA; SEM: Standard error of the mean; SINV: Sindbis virus; SH1: Antiviral helicase; SOCS: Suppressor of cytokine signalling; TBE: Tick-borne encephalitis; TBEV: Tick-borne encephalitis virus; TLR: Toll-like receptor; TRB: Tryptophosphate broth; TRAF: TNF receptor associated factor; UK: United Kingdom; WN: West Nile virus; XBP1: X-box binding protein 1

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was jointly funded by the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme under ANTIGONE (project 278976), the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Scottish Government and Welsh Government under project SE4112, EUH2020-funded Research Infrastructure Grant: European Virus Archive Global (EVAg) (H2020 grant agreement number 653316) and the INIA grant E-RTA2013-C04-04 (FEDER co-funded, Spain). Lesley Bell-Sakly is supported by the United Kingdom Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council's Institute Strategic Programme Grant (BB/S5/E/0000174) to the Pirbright Institute.

Availability of data and materials

RNAseq data supporting these findings are deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus, and are available through GEO Series accession number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE85300) (LIV and TBEV-infected tick cells). Previously available RNAseq data derived from A. phagocytophilum-infected tick cells [15], are available through GEO Series accession number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE69096).

Authors’ contributions

ARF, NJ and JDF provided funding for this study; LBS provided the tick cell line; KLM, PA and RJE undertook the experimental work; CC, RJE and KLM prepared the bioinformatics; KLM, CC, NJ and JDF analysed the results; KLM prepared the draft manuscript and all authors contributed to the final version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Author details

1Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), Woodham Lane, New Haw, Surrey KT15 3NB, UK. 2Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. 3The Tick Cell Biobank, The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking, Surrey GU24 0NP, UK. 4Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XH, UK. 5Saúco, Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos IREC-CSIC-UCLM-JCCM, Ronda de Toledo s/n, Ciudad Real 13005, Spain. 6Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Center for Veterinary Health Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA. 7Department of Clinical Infection, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

Received: 24 August 2016 Accepted: 2 February 2017
Published online: 15 February 2017

References

1. Otstfeld RS, Brunner JL. Climate change and ixodes tick-borne diseases of humans. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015;370:1665.
2. Bacon RM, Kugeler KJ, Mead PS. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Surveillance for Lyme disease - United States, 1992–2006. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2008;57:1–9.
3. Leger E, Vourch G, Vial L, Chevillon C, McCoy KD. Changing distributions of ticks: causes and consequences. Exp Appl Acarol. 2013;59:219–44.
4. Medlock JM, Hanford KM, Bormane A, Demdakova M, Estrada-Peña A, George JC, et al. Driving forces for change in geographical distribution of ixodes ricinus ticks in Europe. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:11.
5. Jeffrey CL, Mansfield KL, Phipps LP, Wakeley PR, Mearns R, Schock A, et al. Louping ill virus: an endemic tick-borne disease of Great Britain. J Gen Virol. 2014;95:1005–14.
6. Mansfield KL, Johnson N, Phipps LP, Stephenson JR, Fooks AR, Solomon T. Tick-borne encephalitis virus - a review of an emerging zoonosis. J Gen Virol. 2009;90:1781–94.
7. Gritsun TS, Nuttall PA, Gould EA. Tick-borne flaviviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2003;61:137–71.
8. de la Fuente J, Estrada-Peña A, Verma JS, Kocan KM, Sonenshine DE. Overview: ticks as vectors of pathogens that cause disease in humans and animals. Front Biosci. 2008;13:6938–46.
9. Durnler JS, Barbet AF, Bekker CP, Dasch GA, Palmer GH, Ray SC, et al. Reorganization of the genera in the families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of Ehrlichi a with Anaplasma, Cowdria with Ehrlichia and Ehrlichia with Neorickettsia, descriptions of six new species combinations and designation of Ehrlichia equi and ‘HGE agent’ as subjective synonyms of Ehrlichia phagocytophila. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2001;51:2145–65.
10. Steen S. Anaplasma phagocytophilum - the most widespread tick-borne infection in animals. Vet Res Commun. 2010;31:79–84.
11. Steen S, Granquist EG, Slågåh C. Anaplasma phagocytophilum - a widespread multi-host pathogen with highly adaptive strategies. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2013;3:31.
12. Ayllón N, Villar M, Busby AT, Kocan KM, Blouin EF, Bonzón-Kulichenko E, et al. Apoptosis: an innate immune response to virus infection. Trends Microbiol. 1999;7:160–5.
13. Ayllón N, Villar M, Galindo RC, Kocan KM, Sima R, López JA, et al. Apoptosis in tick cell lines responds to infection with Anaplasma phagocytophilum: inhibition of apoptosis and promotes cytoskeleton rearrangement for infection of tick cells. Infect Immun. 2013;81:2415–25.
14. Ayllón N, Villar M, Galindo RC, Kocan KM, Sima R, López JA, et al. Apoptosis in tick cell lines and in infected tick cells revealed different apoptosis in the tick vector Ixodes scapularis. PLoS Genet. 2015;11:e1005120.
15. Alberdi P, Mansfield KL, Manzano-Román R, Cook C, Ayllón N, Villar M, et al. Tissue-specific signatures in the transcriptional response to Anaplasma phagocytophilum infection of Ixodes scapularis and Ixodes ricinus tick cell lines. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2016;6:20.
16. De Gregorio E, Spellman PT, Tzou P, Rubin GM, Lemaître B. The Toll and IMD pathways are the major regulators of the immune response in Drosophila. Embo J. 2002;21:2568–79.
17. Schnettler E, Tykalová H, Watson M, Sharma M, Sterken MG, Obbard DJ, et al. Induction and suppression of tick cell antiviral RNAi responses by tick-borne flaviviruses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:9426–46.
18. Weisheit S, Villar M, Tykalová H, Poppa M, Loecherbach J, Watson M, et al. Ixodes scapularis and Ixodes ricinus tick cell lines respond to infection with tick-borne encephalitis virus: transcriptomic and proteomic analysis. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:599.
19. Grabowski JM, Perera R, Roumani AM, Hedrick VE, Inerowicz HD, Hill CA, et al. Changes in the proteome of Langat-infected Ixodes scapularis SIM6 cells; metabolic pathways associated with flavivirus infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10:e0004180.
20. Agouro-Rosenfeld ME, Horowitz HW, Wormser GP, McKenna DF, Nowakowski J, Muñoz J, et al. Human granulocytic ehrlichiosis: A case series from a medical center in New York State. Ann Intern Med. 1996;125:904–8.
21. Anawoer KM, Bakken JS, Madigan JE, Auers-Ardener M, Wormser GP, Dumler JS. Antigenic diversity of granulocytic Ehrlichia species from humans in Wisconsin and New York and a horse in California. J Infect Dis. 1997;176:1029–34.

22. Bell-Sakly L, Zwygart E, Blouin EF, Gould EA, Jongejan F. Tick cell lines: tools for tick and tick-borne disease research. Trends Parasitol. 2007;23:450–7.

23. Munderloh UG, Kurtti TJ. Formulation of medium for tick cell culture. Exp Appl Acarol. 1989;7:219–29.

24. Dyachenko V, Geiger C, Pantchev N, Majzoub M, Bell-Sakyi L, Krupka I, et al. FlaVirus-induced antibody cross-reactivity. J Gen Virol. 2011;92:2821–9.

25. Garcia-Alcalde F, Okonechnikov K, Carbonell J, Cruz LM, Gotz S, Tarazona S, et al. Qualimap: evaluating next-generation sequencing alignment data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:2678–9.

26. Mazelier M, Rouxel RN, Zumstein M, Mancini R, Bell-Sakyi L, Lozach P-Y. Ixodes scapularis between Lyme disease tick vectors, Ixodes ricinus and Ixodes persulcatus: tools for tick and tick-borne disease research. Trends Parasitol. 2008;24:670–5.

27. Mansfield KL, Horton DL, Johnson N, Li L, Barrett AD, Smith DJ, et al. Transcriptional upregulation of JNK and caspase-3 activation in Drosophila heat-shock protein 70 antagonizes apoptosis-inducing factor. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2:683–41.

28. McKimmie C, Johnson N, Fooks AR, Fazakerley JK. Viruses selectively inhibiting JNK and caspase-3 activation in Aedes aegypti. Mol Cell Biol. 1997;17:5317–20.

29. Okonechnikov K, Conesa A, García-Alcalde F. Qualimap 2: advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2016;32:292–4.

30. McKimmie C, Johnson N, Fooks AR, Fazakerley JK. Jak-STAT pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:18915–20.

31. Beere HM, Wol BB, Cain K, Mosser DD, Mahboubi A, Kuwana T, et al. Heat-shock protein 70 inhibits apoptosis by preventing recruitment of procaspase-9 to the Apaf-1 apoptosome. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2:649–75.

32. Smith AA, Pal U. Immunity-related genes in Ixodes scapularis – perspectives from genome information. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014;4:116.

33. Geraci NS, Spencer Johnston J, Paul Robinson J, Wikel SK, Hill CA. Variation in tick cell line IRE/CTVM20. Vet Microbiol. 2013;162:980–6.

34. Kopáček P, Hájdušek O, Burelová V, Daffre S. Tick innate immunity. In: Söderhäll K, editor. Invertebrate Immunity. Austin: Landes Biosciences and Sinauer Associates; 2006. p. 141–67.

35. Liu L, Dai J, Zhao YO, Narashimhan S, Yang Y, Zhang L, Fikrig E. Ixodes scapularis JAK-STAT pathway regulates tick antimicrobial peptides, thereby controlling the agent of human granulocytic anaplasmosis. J Infect Dis. 2012;206:1233–41.

36. Alberdi P, Ayllón N, Cabezas-Cruz A, Bell-Sakyi L, Zweygarth E, Stuen S, et al. Infection of Ixodes spp. tick cells with different Anaplasma phagocytophilum isolates induces the inhibition of apoptotic cell death.Ticks Tick-Borne Dis. 2015;6:758–67.

37. Mosser DD, Caron AW, Bourget L, Denis-Larose C, Massie B. Role of the human heat shock protein hsp70 in protection against stress-induced apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol. 1997;17:5317–27.

38. Ravagnan L, Gurbuxani S, Susin SA, Mancini R, Daugas E, Zamzami N, et al. Heat-shock protein 70 antagonizes apoptosis-inducing factor. Nat Cell Biol. 2001;3:829–33.

39. Shukla AK, Pragya P, Chauhan HS, Tiwari AK, Patel DK, Abdin MZ, et al. Heat shock protein-70 (Hsp-70) suppresses paratauge-induced neurodegeneration by inhibiting JNK and caspase-3 activation in Drosophila model of Parkinson’s disease. PLoS One. 2014;9:e98886.

40. Villar M, Ayllón N, Alberdi P, Moreno A, Moreno M, Tobes R, et al. Integrated metabolomics, transcriptomics and proteomics identifies metabolic pathways affected by Anaplasma phagocytophilum infection in tick cells. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2015;14:12.

41. King AM, MacRae TH. Insect heat shock proteins during stress and diapause. Annu Rev Entomol. 2015;60:59–75.