Radio-Histological Correlations of Parotid Tumors

Z Alj1,2*, M Ridal1,2, N Benmansour1,2, A Taleaun1, A Oudidi1,2, Z Zaki1,2 and MN Alami1,2

1Department of otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital Hassan II, Morocco
2Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Morocco
*Corresponding author: Alj Zineb, Department of otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital Hassan II, Morocco

Material and Methods

This is a retrospective study of 46 patients who were followed up and treated for a parotid tumor. The cases were collected over a period of 4 years, from January 2016 to July 2019, at the ENT surgery department at the Hassan II FES University Hospital. We only retained the files containing a computed tomography (CT) and / or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and whose nature of the tumor was confirmed by a histological study after parotid surgery. The parameters studied were the patient’s age and sex, the motif of consultation. All of the clinical features of the swelling were studied and correlated with histology data. For our 46 patients, 39 MRIs and 20 CT scans were performed. The objective of this work is to study the MRI characteristics of parotid tumors correlated with surgical histopathology (parotidectomy) and describe the typical imaging aspects of the main parotid tumors which helps to choose the adequate surgical management of these lesions and to provide the patient with the most accurate information adapted as much as possible to his pathology.

Results

The gender distribution was almost equivalent, with 25 women (54%) and 21 men (46%). The average age was around 39 years with extremes of 7 years and 87 years. All our patients presented a common symptomatology which is the parotid swelling with a size variation between 2 and 4 cm (2 <size ≤ 4) in 18 cases or 39% and between 4 and 6 in 19 cases or 42%. 26 of our patients have swelling...
on the right side (56%). Among our 46 patients, 16 presented parotid pains, 4 a facial paralysis and four patients a reflex otalgia. At the time of diagnosis, five of our patients presented a cervical lymph nodes. Examination of the stenon canal was normal in all of our patients. The anatomopathological study was mainly carried out on the operative piece, since the extemporaneous examination could only be carried out for a few patients due to technical constraints.

Benign tumors account for 80% of all of our patients, dominated mainly by pleomorphic adenoma followed by whartin tumor. There were 8 cases of cancer that were of a diverse nature (Table 1). Cervical ultrasound was performed in 18 patients. Computed tomography in 20 patients (44% of the cases), generally in the face of very large tumors initially in order to specify their depth extension. Magnetic resonance imaging was realized for 39 patients (Figures 1 & 2). We studied the morphological and functional MRI criterias of the pleomorphic adenomas of our patients, warthin tumors and malignant tumors, then we established different criterias associations and their predictability of the final histological result of the tumor.

![Figure 1: MRI image in axial section in T1, T2, T1C + weighted sequences of a pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid.](image1)

![Figure 2: MRI image in axial and coronal section in T1-weighted and diffusion sequences of a malignant tumor of the right parotid.](image2)

| Benign Tumors      | Count |
|--------------------|-------|
| Pleomorphic adenoma | 23    |
| Whartin tumors     | 7     |
| Basal cell adenoma | 2     |
| Myoepitheloma      | 1     |
| Lipoma             | 1     |
| Neurofibroma       | 1     |
| Cyst               | 1     |
| Oncocytoma         | 1     |
| Solitary fibrous tumor | 1   |
The Association: Low signal intensity on T1, high signal intensity on T2, apparent diffusion coefficient superior to 1.5 and positive enhancement after injection of contrast product, was significant in predicting the histological diagnosis of pleomorphic adenoma, with a specificity 100% of and a sensitivity of 83%. The association: low signal intensity on T1, irregular lesion limits, apparent diffusion coefficient inferior to 1 and extra parotid extension, was significant in predicting the histological diagnosis of malignant tumors with a specificity of 100% and a sensitivity of 67%. However no statistically significant association was found for the cases of warthin tumors. The MRI histological correlation predicting the benignity or malignancy of the parotid tumor showed that for a total of 39 MRI results, the correlation was statistically significant (p< 0.001) with a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 94.1%. Furthermore, the MRI histological correlation predicting the diagnosis of pleomorphic adenoma was statistically significant (p< 0.001) with a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 86.7%.

**Discussion**

MRI currently appears to be the preferred examination in the exploration of the tumor pathology of the parotid glands, possibly combined with fine needle aspiration [2]. It is a non-invasive examination, allowing reconstruction in all planes and excellent tissue discrimination, however it is not tolerated by all patients, in particular claustrophobic ones, and its duration of acquisition remains significant with a weak bone characterization. Nevertheless, MRI is considered superior to computed tomography for the detection and analysis of a parotid tumor process. In clinical practice, the first step is to affirm an intraparotid tumor process. The ultrasound of the parotid region is a rapid, accessible, non-invasive examination allowing good diagnostic sensitivity, with the possibility of acquisition in all planes. On the other hand, it is operator-dependent and offers poor accessibility for deep structures. Its sensitivity, specificity and relevance to tumors are 62, 91 and 85% respectively [3]. Some studies have analyzed the contribution of scintigraphy to 18-fluorodeoxyglucose. This examination does not seem to offer sufficient information for parotid tumor detection, even in image fusion with computed tomodraphy [4].

The second step is to confirm the parotid origin of a large tumor or a tumor with a deep location. Three criteria allow MRI to confirm the parotid origin of the lesion: the medial displacement of the parapharyngeal fat; the lateral center of mass, relative to the parapharyngeal space; and the widening of the distance between the styloid process and the mandibular angle at the front [4]. During this stage, the needle aspiration is also discussed: some authors give relevance figures reaching 97% [5,6], others are more reserved obtaining lower results [7]. Some complications are possible such as a hematoma, facial paralysis [8] or even the implantation, however exceptional, on the puncture pathway of malignant tumor cells [9]. In all cases, this examination is highly operator dependent. The third step is to distinguish a benign tumor from a malignant one. The literature review made it possible to objectify studies of correlations between MRI and histological results in parotid tumors, the results of which were close to those found in our study. A study by A Khalek Abdel Razek et al. published in 2019 [10] objectified the presence of a correlation between the diffusion coefficient and the histological grade of parotid malignancies with as main points:

a) Low ADC values are correlated with advanced T stages of parotid tumors
b) ADC values for cancers with N3 N2 lymph node status are lower than those for cancers with N0 N1 status.
c) An inverse correlation between ADC values and the degree of tumor differentiation: lower ADC for high grade tumors.

Another study conducted by A Elmokadem et al. in 2019 [11] allowed the multi-parameter analysis of MRI to differentiate benign tumors from malignant tumors and concluded that:

1) Presence of a significant difference in the location of benign tumors (predominant in the superficial lobe) and malignant tumors, and in the study of the perfusion signal with predominance of type A and B curve for benign tumors and C for tumors malignant.
2) No statistically significant difference between benign and malignant tumors regarding ADC values. AT. Christe et al. conducted a study [12] on MRI characteristics for differentiation between benign and malignant tumors and found a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 73% of malignancy for low signal intensity on T2 lesions with irregular limits [13-15].

d) A study by J Prades et al. [16] established in 68 patients, with an average age of 51 years, 30 pleomorphic adenomas with the following values concerning the specificity and sensitivity of MRI (Table 2).
Table 2: Predictive diagnostic values of MRI for parotid tumors.

|                          | Sensibility |           | Specificity |           |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Pleomorphic Adenoma      | 87,5        | 83        | 80,5        | 100       |
| Whartin Tumor            | 45,5        | 67        | 96,2        | 100       |

Conclusion

MRI is currently the first line examination for the detection of a tumor in the parotid gland. It provides excellent spatial resolution and relevant tissue discrimination. It also makes it possible to confirm or deny a surgical indication for parotidectomy. Based on morphological and functional arguments, it gives a very specific diagnostic orientation to the anatomopathological results found in postoperative.
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