Ultrasonic-assisted extraction of gallic acid and isoquercetin from aspergillus niger fermented tri-phala waste
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Abstract. The Aspergillus niger fermented Tri-phala waste (FTW) was extracted with ultrasonic assisted extraction (UAE) using deionized water as an extraction medium at 30°C. The 40 kHz ultrasonic frequency was used for sonicate the FTW immersed in the water at the ratio of 1 : 100 for 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min. The contents of gallic acid, isoquercetin obtained after extraction were measured by HPLC. The extraction yields of gallic acid and isoquercetin were compared with the yields from the water extraction without ultrasonic assistance (control condition). The results showed that using the ultrasonic assistance increased the extraction yield of gallic acid from 0.25±0.03 to 1.26±0.25 g.g⁻¹ with the shorter extraction time from 60 min to 30 min. Moreover, isoquercetin extraction yield increased from 0.17±0.02 to 0.41±0.04 g.g⁻¹ with the shorter extraction time from 60 min to 20 min.

1 Introduction

Tri-phala is a traditional Ayurvedic herbal medicine, consisted of equal parts of three herbal fruits: Indian gooseberry (Emblica officinalis Gaertn), Myrobalan Wood (Termingesalia chebula Retz.) and Belleric myrobalan (Termingesalia bellerica (Gaertn) Roxb) [1-3]. Typically, Tri-Phala is boiled in water to extract the polyphenolic compounds which are gallic acid and rutin [4, 5]. Both of them have powerful antioxidant properties used in medical applications such as antimutagenic, lipid-lowering and anti-tumor agent [6-8]. Charoenchai et al. [4] measured gallic acid and rutin in Tri-phala powder using HPLC as 1.32-4.02% and 0.61-2.94% (w/w), respectively. However, Pawar and Alunkhe [5] measure gallic acid and rutin using UV Spectrophotometor as 0.67±0.01 and 0.76±0.01 in Tri-phala powder, respective.

Fig. 1 Gallic acid [9]

Recently, Pattarabhorn and Teerin [2] studied the solid state fermentation (SSF) of A. niger on Triphala waste and found the gallic acid as the main product and a trace of isoquercetin (data not shown). Isoquercitrin is a kind of flavonoid which is derived from rutin [5]. Isoquercetin is an active ingredient that posseses many medical properties such as antioxidant, atheroprotective, allelopathic, plaque-stabilizing and anti-inflammatory [10-12] and has been in the GRAS (general regarded as safe) standard to be used as food additive.

Fig. 2 Isoquercetin [13]

Gallic acid and isoquercetin are bioactive compounds that are soluble in water due to their strong electronegativity. The samples of bioactive compound extraction are shown in table 1.

Ultrasonic-assisted extraction has been well proven as a green technology and has enhanced the extraction process in different green aspects. Its advantages over other extraction methods are reducing extraction time, solvent usage, and energy consumption, increasing a yield of production, and enhancing bioactivity preservation of the separated biomolecules due to lower extraction temperatures [14]. Altemimi et al. [15] found that 50% ultrasonic power, frequency 37 kHz with extraction time 30 min at 40 °C increased the extraction yield, total phenols, flavonoids, DPPH-free radical...
scavenging activity and % ferric reducing antioxidant power in spinach extracts. Corrales et al. [16] also reported that ultrasonic enhanced anthocyanin extraction from grape by-products by disrupting its cell wall.

Table 1. Contents of isoquercetin and gallic acid extracted from different materials

| Materials                  | Isoquercetin     | Gallic acid | References |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Apple juice                | 4.81±0.95 mg L⁻¹ | -           | [17]       |
| *Scutia buxifolia*         | 6.66±0.04 mg L⁻¹ | -           | [18]       |
| Leaves (*Morus nigra* L.)  | 1.84 mg g⁻¹ dw   | -           | [19]       |
| *Ardisia japonica*         | 0.09 mg g⁻¹      | 2.15 mg g⁻¹ | [20]       |
| Stem bark (*jatropha curcas*) | -                | 0.54 mg L⁻¹ | [21]       |
| Leaves (*Suaeda glauca* Bge.) | -               | 6.30 mg g⁻¹ | [22]       |

Therefore, we were interested to increase the gallic acid and the isoquercetin yields during water extraction of the FTW by the ultrasonic assistance. The objective of this study was to compare the extraction rates and yields of gallic acid and isoquercetin released from the FTW by *A. niger* with the UAE and the water extraction.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials preparation

TW was dried at 60 °C in a tray dryer for 24 h and ground using a hammer mill. The particles were screened using 30-mesh and 70-mesh sieves using a sieve shaker to obtain the particles size between 210 - 595 micron for the SSF [2]. The screened particles were adjusted their moisture content to 55 %(w/w) using 0.75 % sodium nitrate solution, which was used as a nitrogen source, and sterilized at 120ºC for 20 min. Then, the spores of *A. niger* were inoculated at the concentration of 5x10⁵ spores·g⁻¹ of dry substrate. The SSF were conducted in 250 mL Erlenmayer flask containing the substrate 30 g at 30 °C for 72 h. The fermented sample (FTW) was dried at 60 °C in a hot-air oven for 24 h and milled into a powder for the extraction.

2.2 Gallic acid and Isoquercetin Extraction

The FTW was sonicated for 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min (40kHz) at 30 °C to extract the gallic acid and isoquercetin. Control sample was prepared by soaking sample into deionized water at 30 °C for 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min. The temperature was controlled by a temperature controller and monitored all the extraction time. In case that the temperature was over than 30 °C, cold water was added into the bath. The extraction rate was calculated from a slope of a time course curve of an extraction in a unit of g g⁻¹·min⁻¹.

2.3 Gallic acid and isoquercetin determination

HPLC system with the Water 717 plus autosampler was used to carry out the analysis. The mobile phase was the gradient of acetonitrile and acetic acid (0.1%) in water. The flow rate was 1 mL·min⁻¹ and a detection was at 280 nm. The column was phenomenax and the injection volume was 10 μL [2]. The gallic acid and isoquercetin contents were reported as a gram of gallic acid and isoquercetin per one gram of dry FTW. The chromatogram of the extracted FTW was shown in figure 3. The first and second peak represent gallic acid and isoquercetin, respectively.

2.4 Data analysis

The experiment was carried out in triplicate. An average values ± standard deviations were reported as results. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the 95 % significance level was used to analyse the significance of data.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Gallic Acid Extraction

Figure 4 shows that the highest extraction rates obtained from both the UAE and the water extraction (control) were found from 0 to 30 min as 0.42±0.00 and 0.01±0.00 g g⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively.

The maximum content of gallic acid released from the UAE was 1.26±0.25 g g⁻¹ after 30 min. extraction, while the highest one from the water extraction (control)
was only 0.25±0.03 g·g⁻¹ after 60 min extraction. It was believed that the releasing gallic acid in the UAE after 30 min. was occurred due to the equilibrium of the gallic acid concentration in the solution and the extracted FTW. The UAE helped shorten this extraction process since a violent shockwave from a sonication disrupted the substrate cell wall to allow solvent penetrating into the cell and extracting the desired component [23]. However, when using high frequencies and power of ultrasonic treatment caused a degradation or an oxidation of the phenolic compounds by the generation of highly reactive hydroxyl radicals [24].

3.2 Isoquercetin Extraction

The yield of isoquercetin from the FTW during the UAE and control water extraction was shown in figure 5. It is indicated that the isoquercetin releasing from the FTW in the UAE process highly increased from 0 to 20 min extraction. In contrast, that in the water extraction without sonicate-assisted (control) slowly increased until 60 min or the end of the study period. The highest yield of isoquercetin from the FTW was found at 20 min as 0.41±0.04 g·g⁻¹ which could be calculated the extraction rate as 0.02±0.00 g·g⁻¹·min⁻¹. In comparison, the isoquercetin in the control extraction gradually released from the FTW until a terminal of study period (60 min). Its maximum yield measured at 60 min was only 0.17±0.02 g·g⁻¹.

![Fig. 5 Isoquercetin content of control (x) and UAE (●) during the extraction](image)

**Conclusion**

The water extraction of *A. niger* fermented TW with ultrasonic-assisted increased the extraction yields of gallic acid and isoquercetin. It also reduced the extraction time down to 30 min for gallic acid and 20 min for isoquercetin to obtain their highest yields.
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