“Basicles”: Microbial Growth and Production Monitoring in Giant Lipid Vesicles
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ABSTRACT: We present an optimized protocol to encapsulate bacteria inside giant unilamellar lipid vesicles combined with a microfluidic platform for real-time monitoring of microbial growth and production. The microfluidic device allows us to immobilize the lipid vesicles and record bacterial growth and production using automated microscopy. Moreover, the lipid vesicles retain hydrophilic molecules and therefore can be used to accumulate products of microbial biosynthesis, which we demonstrate here for a riboflavin-producing bacterial strain. We show that stimulation as well as inhibition of bacterial production can be performed through the liposomal membrane simply by passive diffusion of inducing or antibiotic compounds, respectively. The possibility to introduce as well as accumulate compounds in liposomal cultivation compartments represents great advantage over the current state of the art systems, emulsion droplets, and gel beads. Additionally, the encapsulation of bacteria and monitoring of individual lipid vesicles have been accomplished on a single microfluidic device. The presented system paves the way toward highly parallel microbial cultivation and monitoring as required in biotechnology, basic research, or drug discovery.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Microbial cells and their monitoring in a controlled environment are of great importance for biotechnology and drug discovery as well as in basic research. Microbes are the standard host for protein and metabolic engineering by rational design or directed evolution. Microbial cell factories can be used for the production of structurally demanding natural products for the pharmaceutical and chemical industry. The importance and variety of applications for microbes in research and industry nourishes interest in versatile cultivation strategies and complementary sensitive analytical systems.

Typical tools for monoseptic cultivations are shake flasks, Petri dishes, bioreactors, and multiwell plates. Petri dishes and shake flasks are straightforward to use but provide only limited control over cultivation conditions, and assays are performed in a low throughput. Bioreactors allow for the regulation of cultivation parameters such as pH, oxygen concentration, or nutrient availability, but they suffer from difficulties to parallelize workflows. Multiwell plates with well numbers of up to more than a thousand offer low volumes and minimal sample consumption and are suited for handling highly parallel cultivation, for example, for drug discovery and toxicity testing. However, automation of liquid handling by pipetting robots is required in order to realize the potential.

A promising strategy for highly parallelized cultivation and automated analysis has emerged with the recent developments of microfluidic systems. Microfluidic devices with cell trapping features have been implemented in microfabricated chambers of volumes in the range of nL to pL for capturing of single or few cells followed by monitoring of growth and phenotypic characterization. For serial analysis at higher throughput, hydrogel microparticles and microemulsions or double emulsions are appealing solutions and can be prepared in microfluidic devices at up to kHz frequencies and with excellent monodispersity to guarantee reproducible conditions. Encapsulated microbial cells can be directly analyzed or incubated for several weeks. Nevertheless, these approaches have some limitations. Thus, in microemulsions, the aqueous culture compartment is surrounded by oil and a surfactant to stabilize the water–oil interface. While the microbes are stably retained inside the aqueous phase, the addition or exchange of compounds including nutrients is difficult. Droplet fusion or so-called picoinjection facilitates the addition of a fluid at one point in time, but controlled feeding over time is not possible. Consequently, the culture conditions vary over time. Gel/agarose microparticles on the other hand allow continuous exchange of the nutrients and reagents through diffusion but do not retain small molecules.

Recently, others explored the possibility to use lipid vesicles as culture compartments to address some limitations of the techniques described above. Giant unilamellar vesicles...
GUVs) are composed of a phospholipid membrane and have typically diameters of a few micrometers. They resemble the plasma membrane of living cells and present a biomimetic alternative to isolate and protect encapsulated microbes instead of the oil phase surrounding microemulsion. Nevertheless, the described encapsulation protocols required the use of unphysiologically high sugar concentrations in order to achieve density differences required for the GUV preparation, which do not comply with standard cultivation conditions for microbial cultures.

Here, we significantly advanced these initial studies by optimizing the water-in-oil transfer method used for the formation of bacteria-encapsulating GUVs. Instead of using high-density sugar solutions, we opted for a bioinert density gradient medium. Further, we present a microfluidic platform (i) allowing to separate individual GUVs and protect them from the shear stress, (ii) enabling rapid and controlled reagent exchange during the cultivation, and (iii) facilitating real-time monitoring of individual bacteria-encapsulating GUVs via microscopy. Moreover, we successfully demonstrated the possibility to not only cultivate Escherichia coli using the presented platform but also furthermore interfere with the bacterial production using the membrane permeable compounds. Finally, to form bacteria-encapsulated GUVs in a more controlled and rapid way, we integrated GUV production, encapsulation, and monitoring of the bacterial cells on a single microfluidic device. Based on the combination of the names bacteria and vesicles, we refer to the liposomal compartment in its inoculated state as a basicle.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials. Anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride (aTc, analytical standard), bovine serum albumin (BSA), OptiPrep (iodoanol), LB broth, mineral oil, 1-octanol, and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was purchased from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Pluronics F-68 surfactant was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) Sygel 184 was purchased from Dow Corning. Glycerol was purchased from ABCR. 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoylphosphatidylcholine (POPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl (Rho-PE), and cholesterol were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. The antibiotics, kanamycin sulphate (100 μg/mL), was obtained from Gibco (Life Technologies), ampicillin sodium salt was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, tetracycline hydrochloride (TC), pharmaceutical grade, was purchased from Panreac. 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (PS), and 1,2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (PE) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids.

2.2. Bacterial Strains. To monitor bacterial growth inside the liposomal compartments, strain E. coli K12 MG1655 [pSEVA271-sffgp] was used. The strain was modified to overexpress the gene for the green fluorescent protein sfGFP, allowing for simplified quantification and observation of the bacteria. The strain was transformed with plasmid pSEVA271-sffgp (lab collection) carrying the sffgp gene. The plasmid was constructed based on plasmid pSEVA271 [p15A origin of replication, kanamycin resistance] adding a gene for sfGFP under control of a constitutive promoter (BioBrick part BBA J23100). For riboflavin secretion, strain E. coli BW23474 [pB2-ribDBECA-mka12] carrying plasmid pB2_ribDBECA-mka12 [p15A origin of replication, kanamycin resistance] was used. The strain overexpresses the genes for riboflavin biosynthesis (ribDBECA) arranged in a gene cluster and under control of promoter P_rbcL. Riboflavin is produced and secreted under standard cultivation conditions, but levels of riboflavin can be increased by induction of cluster expression using anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride at a concentration of 50 ng mL⁻¹.

Bacterial strains were streaked on agar plates (BD Difco LB agar, Miller, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Unless otherwise mentioned, plates and liquid cultures were supplemented with appropriate antibiotics (50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin sulphate) for plasmid maintenance. For experiments, a liquid pre-culture was prepared by inoculating 2 mL LB media with a single colony picked from the agar plate. The liquid culture was grown at 37 °C on a shaking incubator (IKA Shakers) with a shaking speed of 200 rpm. Once the OD600 of the liquid culture reached 0.4–0.6, the culture was diluted to OD600 = 0.2 (BW23474 [pB2-ribDBECA-mka12]) or OD600 = 0.1 (K12 MG1655 [pSEVA271-sffgp]) with fresh LB medium and stored on ice until further processing.

For the on-chip production of bacteria-encapsulating lipid vesicles, a liquid pre-culture was prepared by inoculating 2 mL of the inner aqueous phase (IA, LB supplemented with 15 vol % glycerol) with a single colony picked from the agar plate. The overnight pre-culture was diluted to the final OD600 of 0.4 in fresh IA and introduced into the microfluidic device.

2.3. Formation of Basciles. Basciles were prepared following an adapted protocol for GUVs. We overlaid 500 μL of Dulbecco’s PBS (pH 7.0–7.3) with 200 μL mineral oil, wherein we dissolved POPC and cholesterol in a molar ratio 2:1 (total lipid concentration = 200 μM). Thereby, an oil–water interface was formed. We left the interface for ~12 h at 21 °C to let the amphiphilic lipids rearrange at the interface. In a second tube, we prepared a water-in-oil emulsion that serves as the inner solution of the basicles. Here, we used an overnight culture of E. coli which was freshly diluted to OD600 = 0.1 (or 0.2 in case of BW23474 [pB2-ribDBECA-mka12]) in LB medium containing 50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin sulphate as the aqueous phase. Additionally, we added 5 vol % of the density gradient medium OptiPrep to a final volume of 50 μL that represented the inner solution. The inner solution was overlaid with 500 μL mineral oil containing dissolved lipids (total lipid concentration = 200 μM, POPC/cholesterol = 2:1). The tube was mechanically agitated to emulsify the inner solution in the oil. The POPC (and cholesterol) present in the mineral oil stabilized the resulting emulsion. The emulsion was transferred to the first tube containing the interface. We used centrifugal forces (1500g for 3 min in an Eppendorf MiniSpin centrifuge) to pass the emulsion droplets through the interface. By passing through the oil–water interface, the outer lipid leaflet forms around the droplets, resulting in GUVs with encapsulated bacteria (=bascile). OptiPrep inside the basicles increased their density relative to the outer buffer (here Dulbecco’s PBS). Therefore, intact basicles sedimented during centrifugation and could be harvested as a pellet. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended with fresh Dulbecco’s PBS. This washing process was repeated twice to remove nonencapsulated bacteria and debris.

2.4. Chip Fabrication. Observation of individual basicles was facilitated by the two-layered microfluidic device shown in Figure S1. A bottom layer contained fluidic channels and array of chambers with hydrodynamic traps. The presented device configuration allows for the capture of 224 vesicles in total, with 32 chambers and 7 trapping sides per chamber. The top layer of the device contained channels which upon pressure induced actuation that served as a valve system. The device is conceptually similar to previously published devices and allows capturing of single vesicles. The device consisted of PDMS (1–10 ratio of curing agent and polymer). For the top layer, we casted the PDMS onto the SU-8 mould on a 4 in. silicon wafer and cured the PDMS for approximately 3 h at 80 °C. For the thinner bottom layer, we applied a ~40 μm thick layer of PDMS on a second SU-8 mould by spin-coating and left it to cure for about 1 h at 80 °C. The cured layers were aligned and bonded together by using an intermediate layer of curing agent. Using biopsy punches, we pierced holes through the PDMS device that we used as inlets or outlets. Finally, the PDMS was bonded to a glass support (Menzel Microscopes Coverslips) with a thickness of about 300 μm. We filled all channels of the microfluidic devices with PBS containing 4 wt % BSA prior to the experiments. Thereby, the microfluidic channels were coated with BSA which prevented vesicles from bursting upon contact with the glass or PDMS.
ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces

2.5. Chip Operation and Imaging. We mounted the microfluidic chip on an automated, inverted microscope with temperature control. The environmental box was preheated to 37 °C and kept on this temperature during the entire experiment. We filled reservoirs of the microfluidic device with the 20 μL of basicles in buffer and supplied the solution by suction into the chip at a flow rate of 1 μL min⁻¹. To wash out free bacteria and nontrapped vesicles, we withdrew about 10 μL of fresh PBS at 1 μL min⁻¹. Then, the donut-shaped valves were closed with 2.6 bar N₂. During imaging, we kept flushing fresh buffer through the channels at a flow rate of 0.2–0.5 μL min⁻¹ to avoid bubble formation. Reagents were exchanged by pipetting the new solution (i.e., inducer or antibiotics) into the chip reservoirs and withdrawing the solution into the chip (1–2 μL min⁻¹) while the donut-shaped valves were closed. When the fresh solution surrounded all of the chambers, the pressure was decreased to 1–1.5 bar to partially open the donut valves. Valves remained open for about 10 s and were closed by increasing the pressure again. The process was repeated three times with about 1 min waiting time in between. This ensured that the solution in the chambers was exchanged without being diluted by the previous solution and the solution released during this exchange. During the exchange, new solution was continuously supplied. Inducer and antibiotics were diluted in the PBS containing 4 wt % BSA. We imaged each of the individual chambers with the trapped basicles every 30 min using brightfield and fluorescence microscopy on a fully motorized inverted wide-field microscope (Nikon Ti-Eclipse) through a 20× objective (Nikon, S Plan Fluor ELWD 20×). We used a Lumencor Spectra X LED light source for fluorescence excitation with appropriate optical filters and dichroic mirrors (green channel: cyan LED, 475/28 excitation filter, 495 dichroic, 525/50 emission filter; red channel: green LED, 549/15 excitation filter, 562 dichroic, 593/40 emission filter). Images were recorded by a Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 camera (exposure times: 50 ms bl, 100 ms green and red). We recorded images of the basicles for 10 h. The microscope was driven by NIKON NIS-Elements Advanced Research software, and images were acquired using the Nikon Perfect Focus System.

2.6. Microfluidic Device for On-Chip Basicle Production. We used a two-layered microfluidic device similar to the device described above. Here, the fluidic layer additionally contained a double-emulsion formation junction placed upstream of the GUV trapping Focus System.
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2.8. Data Analysis. Microscopy images were processed and analyzed in FIJI image analysis software. Circular regions of interest (ROIs) were selected around the analyzed basicle, and the grey values were measured. The obtained data were processed and plotted in MATLAB (MathWorks). We performed background subtractions in all images. We calculated the mean integrated density (sum of all gray values in ROI) of the measured GFP and mKate2 fluorescence. In the case of secreted riboflavin, we calculated the mean intensity (mean gray value of pixels in a ROI) as it represented a concentration. For the data graphs, we calculated and plotted the 95% bootstrap confidence interval (calculated from 1000 bootstrap samples). The mean normalized riboflavin production per biomass was calculated by dividing the riboflavin fluorescence with the respective mKate2 fluorescence for each individual vesicle at each time point after the background extraction. Empty GUVs, damaged basicles, or overlapping basicles were omitted from the analysis.

The bacterial number was approximated by converting the images to RGB 8-bit and subtracting the background. After further application of the threshold (selection of the cut off pixel intensity value to distinguish between fluorescence signal and background) and watershed segmentation, particle analysis plugin from FIJI software was used.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacteria were encapsulated in the GUV cultivation compartments using an optimized water-in-oil transfer method. It is crucial for this method that the bacteria-containing IA phase of the vesicles is denser than the OA phase, PBS. We opted to use OptiPrep (iodixanol) as a bioinert high-density agent instead of the commonly used glucose/sucrose solution. OptiPrep is osmotically inactive therefore there was no need to balance osmosity of the outer solution. We further confirmed that OptiPrep has no major impact on cell growth (Figure S2) and on vesicle stability compared to GUVs prepared with glucose/sucrose solutions (data not shown). This modification of the protocol allowed us to cultivate bacteria inside the liposomes at conditions similar to the standard bacterial cultures.

First, we diluted bacteria in media containing 5 vol % OptiPrep and overlaid the bacterial culture with mineral oil containing the phospholipid POPC as a 2:1 mixture with cholesterol. A water-in-oil emulsion was formed by mechanical agitation of the two phases (Figure 1a). The emulsion was transferred onto a lipid-stabilized oil–water interface prepared in a second tube. Having the highest density, the emulsion passed through the interface during centrifugation. Thereby, a stable lipid bilayer was formed around the bacteria-encapsulating aqueous compartment (Figure 1b), and the resulting basicles were collected at the bottom of the tube.

Shortly after formation, we introduced basicles into a microfluidic device and observed them for typically 10 h and up to 15 h. The microfluidic device made of PDMS consisted sufficiently filled with basicles, the pressures applied at the IA and LO vials were set to zero, and the GUV formation was stopped. We actuated the microfluidic valves by using the Fluigent pressure control unit to apply pressure to the control channel and thereby isolated individual vesicles.

For better visualization and therefore control of the formation process, we added a fluorescently labeled lipid, Rho-PE to the LO. The fluorescent label allowed us to visually confirm the proper vesicle formation and distinguish formed membranes from the octanol droplets. The on-chip production of bacteria-encapsulating vesicles was performed at 25 °C. The temperature was increased to 37 °C, and individual vesicles were monitored using fluorescence microscopy for up to 15 h. We used the same wide-field microscope (Nikon Ti-Eclipse) as described previously with a 40× objective (Nikon, S Plan Fluor ELWD 40×).
of a channel network with implemented hydrodynamic traps (Figure S1). The traps could be separated from the flow by actuating round valves located above the trapping sites (Figure 1d). This particular design allowed us to stably immobilize individual GUVs at predefined locations which facilitated automated imaging and provided temporal and spatial control over the exchange of fluids. Additionally, basicles were protected from shear stress during the cultivation. The mean diameter of monitored basicles was around 12 μm (Figure S3), given by the 5 μm gap of the hydrodynamic traps and the channel height of 20 μm. Prior to cultivation, trapped basicles were washed with PBS and nontrapped basicles and bacteria were removed.

In initial experiments, we aimed to demonstrate that bacteria sustain the preparation of the basicles unharmed and that basicles serve as a liposomal compartment. We expected that the water-soluble carbon sources in LB media (peptides and amino acids) will not passively diffuse through the membrane, allowing bacteria to grow within GUVs for multiple generations. To confirm this, we selected the well-characterized laboratory strain, *E. coli* (K12 MG1655), capable of producing sfGFP which also serves as an arbiter for biomass quantification by fluorescence microscopy. We collected fluorescence images of individual basicles cultivated on the microfluidic device every 30 min over 10 h period. The mean sfGFP fluorescence per GUV is shown in Figure 2 along with time-lapse images of a selected basicle.

An estimation of the number of bacteria inside the selected GUV based on the fluorescence intensity and automated particle counting is presented in the inset graph, Figure 2a. The count starts at zero as the initial fluorescence intensity of the bacteria was below the set threshold. Similarly, for the consecutive frames, the number of detected bacteria fluctuates. Bacteria are freely moving inside the GUV, and temporarily overlapping cells (in z-direction) as well as out-of-focus cells are difficult to distinguish by the automated image analysis. Nevertheless, we clearly observed an increasing trend with about 18 cells after the 10 h cultivation, corresponding to approximately four generations. Also, the increase of the mean sfGFP fluorescence is positively correlated with the increase of the number of bacteria per GUV observed visually (Figures 2b and S4, Movie_S1) and using automated particle counting. The results clearly indicate the increase of biomass, suggesting that no essential compounds leaked out of the GUVs and nutrients were accessible to the bacteria inside GUVs to grow and divide in the expected rate. At the same time, we did not observe the growth of the bacteria outside of the basicles, confirming a lack of nutrients outside of the GUVs (see Figure S5). The width of the confidence interval reflects the variation of the fluorescence intensity between individual colonized GUVs. These differences resulted from heterogeneities between bacteria; variations in the initial number of bacteria per vesicle and the volume of GUVs contributed to these variations as well. We found that GUVs were stable for up to 15 h in conventional cultivation conditions (temperature, buffer, and media). Furthermore, we confirmed that basicles can be analyzed using flow-cytometry. Based on sfGFP fluorescence,
we identified empty GUVs, free bacteria (i.e. not encapsulated), and basicsles with negative, low, and bright fluorescence intensity, respectively (Figure S6).

We further demonstrate the possibility to accumulate a biosynthesized product inside the GUVs and the possibility to interfere with the biosynthesis pathway of microbial cells by adding membrane-permeable compounds. We selected an E. coli (BW23474) strain which overexpresses certain genes of the riboflavin (vitamin B2) biosynthesis pathway and secretes the vitamin. Riboflavin exhibits green fluorescence and could be monitored by fluorescence microscopy. To quantify the biomass, we additionally equipped the strain with the red-fluorescent protein mKate2 (see Figure S7).

To compare the riboflavin production under the different conditions, we plotted the increase of the mean riboflavin fluorescence over the cultivation period. Additionally, we estimated the slope of the riboflavin accumulation by approximation as a linear function (see Figure S8a). As a measure for the cellular response toward selected membrane-permeable compounds, we investigated the mean normalized riboflavin production per biomass, calculated as ratio of riboflavin and mKate2 fluorescence (Figure S8b).

We were able to observe secretion and accumulation of riboflavin inside GUVs. Figure 3a shows time-lapse images of growth/elongation of an encapsulated bacterium (red) and the secretion of riboflavin (green) (see also Figure S9 and Movie_S2). Riboflavin production resulted in a less frequent division of cells leading to elongation and filamentous morphology. The same morphology was observed in the shake-flask culture (data not shown), that is, the irregular shape is not due to the cultivation in the GUVs but due to stress resulting from riboflavin overexpression. Geometric restrictions by the lipid membrane resulted in bending of elongated bacteria (Figure S10, Movie_S3); the deformation of lipid vesicles due to the continuous elongation of filaments was observed very rarely.

The mean riboflavin fluorescence measured in GUVs is represented in Figure 3b. Riboflavin accumulates linearly with an estimated slope of 0.17–0.19 a.u. per min. During the 10 h cultivation, the riboflavin fluorescence does not reach a plateau, and the green fluorescence outside of GUVs remains constant (data not shown). Therefore, we conclude that the GUV efficiently retained riboflavin inside and leakage through the lipid membrane was negligible.

Riboflavin was produced and accumulated at a rather constant rate as the cells were growing as is reflected by the normalized mean riboflavin production (Figure 3c). The normalized riboflavin fluorescence remained in the range between 0.005 and 0.015 throughout the cultivation period, showing that the riboflavin level increased along with the biomass (mKate2 fluorescence).

The significant advantage of the presented system is that membrane-permeable reagents can be supplied at any selected time point by passive diffusion across the liposomal membrane, whereas the response of the encapsulated bacteria can be monitored on the individual GUV level. Previous studies showed that tetracyclines permeate passively through lipid membranes. Therefore, tetracyclines were ideal candidates to confirm whether compounds could be supplied to the liposomal encapsulated bacteria from the outer media. Anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride (aTC) is an inducer of the gene cluster responsible for the riboflavin biosynthesis, and tetracycline hydrochloride (TC) is a known bacteriostatic antibiotic. TC inhibits the ribosomal translation and therefore protein production and growth of bacteria.

First, we cultivated the riboflavin-producing bacteria in GUVs in the absence of tetracyclines for 240 min to ensure that bacteria adapted to the environment indicated by the increase of biomass (mKate2) and riboflavin fluorescence. Subsequently, we replaced the buffer surrounding the basicsles with a buffer containing 50 ng mL−1 aTC or 100 μg mL−1 TC, respectively. For this, we shortly opened the microfluidic valves. Afterward, the cultivation was continued with either aTC or TC present.

Directly after the induction by aTC, the riboflavin production evidently changed as seen in Figure 3bii. As indicated by the fluorescence intensity, directly after the induction by aTC, riboflavin production increased from 0.13 to approximately 0.29 a.u. per min (Figures 3bii; S6). The results indicate that in comparison to uninduced cells, more riboflavin was secreted per time unit as well as per relative biomass. Moreover, the normalized riboflavin fluorescence (Figure 3cii)
increased to 0.04 toward the end of the cultivation and more than doubles after 10 h, exceeding the values recorded for cells without the aTC supplement.

In contrast, riboflavin production instantaneously decreased in basicles that had been exposed to TC (Figure 3biii). The estimated slopes of the riboflavin fluorescence decreased from 0.1 to 0.006 a.u. per min (Figure S8). After the TC supplement, the normalized riboflavin production remained in a comparable range as for cells cultivated in the absence of tetracyclines (Figure 3ci,iii). These results indicate that TC-exposed cells discontinued to grow as well as produce riboflavin. The biomass and produced riboflavin per vesicle remained approximately at the level of the last time point before the Tc exposure. Neither the biomass nor riboflavin level increased for the rest of the 10 h cultivation period.

Taken together, these observations corroborate that amphiphilic compounds supplied from outer buffer permeated into the GUVs and qualitatively affected the growth behavior of the strain and its riboflavin biosynthesis behavior as expected. The effect of the inducer, aTC, and growth inhibiting TC on the bacterial cultivation was further corroborated by microplate reader experiments, without the presence of lipid vesicles (Figure S11).

Additionally, the combination of the presented cultivation system with the microfluidic device allows different bacterial strains to be cultivated together in one microfluidic chamber and hence under the same conditions (Figure S12). Both strains are isolated in their liposomal membrane without the risk of cross-contamination. Similarly, basicles containing both different bacterial strains were prepared as well (Figure S13), showing the potential of the presented protocol for different applications.

In the next step, we combined the formation of GUVs with encapsulated bacteria followed by their capture on a single microfluidic device (Figure 4a). This method to form GUVs introduced by the Dekker group is based on the microfluidic formation of a double-emulsion in a six-way junction. An IA phase is surrounded by the lipid-carrying organic phase (LO) and subsequently pinched off by the stream of the OA phase. The OA and IA phases contain 15 vol % glycerol to increase viscosity of the aqueous solutions to help improve the pinch off process and stabilize formed interfaces. Additionally, the OA phase contained 5 vol % Pluronic F-68 surfactant to prevent coalescence of double-emulsion droplets and improve their stability. Key for the subsequent formation of GUVs is a dewetting process in which an excess organic phase accumulates at the front of the double-emulsion droplet before budding off as a smaller octanol droplet and leaving behind a lipid bilayer. Previous works from Deshpande et al. confirmed that the produced GUVs are unilamellar by successfully incorporating the bilayer-spanning α-hemolysin pore in the on-chip produced GUVs.

We adopted this method to encapsulate bacteria inside GUVs and monitor their growth and production using the presented microfluidic device. The experiments were performed with the organic phase containing DOPC and 0.1 mol % fluorescent Rho-PE to monitor proper GUV formation. Bacterial culture supplemented with 15 vol % glycerol formed the IA phase; the OA phase additionally contained 5 vol % of Pluronic F-68 surfactant. Once a bacteria-containing double emulsion was formed in the junction, dissolved lipids started to assemble at the water–octanol interface. Excess lipids and octanol partially separated as a prominent pocket at the front of the droplet due to a dewetting process. The pocket eventually completely detached, creating an unilamellar lipid vesicle containing encapsulated bacteria (Figure 4b). Freshly produced lipid vesicles traveled together with the detached octanol droplets toward the trapping array. Hydrodynamic traps were designed such that small and flexible octanol droplets passed between the posts (gap = 9.5 μm) while lipid vesicles between 10 and 30 μm in diameter (depending on the applied pressures) remained inside the trap. The basicles are captured at the trapping array and monitored. The mean sfGFP fluorescence together with the time-lapse image of a selected GUV is shown in Figure 4c,d and S14. The sfGFP fluorescence (biomass) was continuously increasing over the cultivation period (11 h), clearly showing that bacteria grow and divide in the produced GUVs. With this, we successfully demonstrated for the first time a streamlined workflow of encapsulating E. coli in GUVs with subsequent trapping and monitoring. Even though E. coli can use glycerol as a carbon source, higher concentrations can lead to osmotic stress; therefore, we currently test potential replacements for glycerol to provide cultivation conditions even closer to conditions.

Figure 4. On-chip production and monitoring of the basicles. (a) Integrated microfluidic device for production and monitoring of basicles with fluidic channels (blue) and microfluidic valves (red). (b) Formation of basicles at the channel junction. IA: inner aqueous phase (bacterial suspension), OA: outer aqueous phase, LO: lipids in 1-octanol. Scale bar = 100 μm. (c) Mean sfGFP production per basicle with 95% confidence interval (n = 10). (d) Time-lapse images of a selected rhodamine-labeled basicle (yellow) with sfGFP producing bacteria (green). Scale bar = 25 μm.
typically used. Moreover, significantly less or no residual oil is left in GUV membranes, potentially allowing better incorporation of membrane proteins, important for future direction and usability of the basicle concept.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we demonstrated that lipid vesicles are versatile culture compartments for the monoseptic cultivation of bacteria combining advantages of both droplets and gel beads. Similar to droplets, the presented system encloses single to few microbes and retains hydrophilic products while additionally offers possibility to supply membrane-permeable stimulants during the cultivation through the aqueous media. The first presented technique for basicle formation is an excellent tool for simple and fast bacterial encapsulation without the need of a special equipment. These basicles can be introduced in a microfluidic device with integrated traps for monitoring and rapid, selective fluid exchange.

Moreover, we showed on-line basicle formation and trapping, opening the possibility for high throughput production and parallel studies for a variety of microbial cultures. The integrated microfluidic preparation of basicles is rapid and well controlled regarding the size and composition of the GUVs, which is crucial in comparative studies. The formation process, however, requires the use of glycerol and the Pluronic surfactant, which may influence the membrane permeability of compounds as well as assays within the basicles.

We believe that basicles have the potential to support or even replace currently used microfluidic cultivation compartments as are microemulsions and gel beads. Further, the unique properties of the lipid membrane allow employing cell-biological systems (membrane pores, membrane-bound transporters) to further tailor membrane permeability, for example, accumulation of the product of bacterial biosynthesis, while releasing and therefore diluting toxic byproducts or replenish depleted nutrients through membrane pores. We are convinced that the technique will become a powerful tool for rapid screening and parallel observation of monoclonal microbial cultures, for example, for drug discovery or testing.
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MOV_1: GUV encapsulating sfGFP-producing *E. coli* (AVI)

MOV_2: GUV encapsulating riboflavin-secreting (green fluorescence) *E. coli* (BW23474), 15 h. The bacteria produced mKate2 (red) constitutively (AVI)

MOV_3: Time-lapse movie of a bacteria (BW23474) growing inside a GUV (AVI)

MOV_4: Growth and production of two *E. coli* strains, MG1655 (outside, green) and BW23474 (vesicle, red) (AVI)
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

aTC, anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride

GUVs, giant unilamellar vesicles

PDMS, polydimethylsiloxane

POPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine

sGFP, green fluorescent protein

TC, tetracycline hydrochloride
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