The acute effects of aerobic exercise on sensorimotor adaptation in chronic stroke
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Abstract.

Background: Sensorimotor adaptation, or the capacity to adapt movement to changes in the moving body or environment, is a form of motor learning that is important for functional independence (e.g., regaining stability after slips or trips). Aerobic exercise can acutely improve many forms of motor learning in healthy adults. It is not known, however, whether acute aerobic exercise has similar positive effects on sensorimotor adaptation in stroke survivors as it does in healthy individuals.

Objective: The aim of this study was to determine whether acute aerobic exercise promotes sensorimotor adaptation in people post stroke.

Methods: A single-blinded crossover study. Participants attended two separate sessions, completing an aerobic exercise intervention in one session and a resting control condition in the other session. Sensorimotor adaptation was assessed before and after each session, as was brain derived neurotrophic factor. Twenty participants with chronic stroke completed treadmill exercise at moderate to high intensity for 30 minutes.

Results: Acute aerobic exercise in chronic stroke survivors significantly increased sensorimotor adaptation from pre to post treadmill intervention. The 30-minute treadmill intervention resulted in an averaged 2.99 ng/ml increase in BDNF levels (BDNF pre-treadmill = 22.31 +/- 2.85 ng/ml, post-treadmill was = 25.31 +/- 2.46 pg/ml; t(16) = 2.146, p = 0.048, cohen\textquoteright s \textit{d} = 0.521, moderate effect size).

Conclusions: These results indicate a potential role for aerobic exercise to promote the recovery of sensorimotor function in chronic stroke survivors.
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1. Introduction

Change in the brain due to neuroplasticity is a foundation principle underpinning the rehabilitation of motor function following stroke. Plastic effects of acute aerobic exercise on the brain have been demonstrated in many domains (Chang et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2016; Brown & Bray, 2018), including in motor learning (Roig et al., 2012; Mang et al., 2014; Ferrer-Uris et al., 2017; Stavrinos & Coxon, 2017; Angulo-Barroso et al., 2019; Neva et al., 2019). The potential for exercise to augment motor learning in stroke patients has particular clinical utility in improving motor rehabilitation (Kitago & Krakauer, 2013), as current rehabilitation practices result in relatively modest improvements in residual...
motor deficits experienced by many stroke survivors (Stinear et al., 2020). Better understanding of how exercise might improve motor learning in stroke will inform judicious design of how to incorporate exercise into rehabilitation interventions targeting persistent residual motor deficits. To this end, two studies have tested the acute effects of exercise on motor learning in stroke (Nepveu et al., 2017; Charalambous et al., 2018). Although stroke survivors have reduced exercise capacity even 6 months post-stroke (Mackay-Lyons & Makrides, 2004), patients can still benefit from exercise interventions (Globas et al., 2012). Nepveu et al. (2017) had chronic stroke survivors either rest or complete high-intensity interval training immediately after a skill acquisition task where survivors adapted grip forces to control an on-screen cursor. Fifteen minutes of high intensity interval training improved performance when participants re-encountered the same task 24 hours later. In contrast, Charalambous et al. (2018) found no benefit of ≈5 mins exercise on split-belt treadmill adaptation, a motor learning task requiring gait adaptation to different treadmill belt speeds, possibly due to the reduced exercise duration in this study.

A recent systematic review suggests that effects of exercise on motor learning depend on when exercise is administered, exercise dose (intensity and/or duration), and the nature of the motor learning task (Wanner et al., 2020). More intense exercise administered immediately after motor learning tended to have most prominent effects on the consolidation but not the encoding of motor learning. The review also suggests that exercise might particularly benefit a form of motor learning termed sensorimotor adaptation: although there were fewer published studies on exercise in sensorimotor adaptation, effect sizes were overall larger with sensorimotor adaptation than with other forms of motor learning (Wanner et al., 2020). An additional benefit of the sensorimotor adaptation paradigm is its long history of study: there are clear theories on its mechanisms and a rich body of knowledge on its characteristics in healthy and clinical populations (Morehead & de Xivry, 2021), including in stroke (e.g., Mutha et al., 2011). In sensorimotor adaptation, the sensory feedback of a movement is experimentally perturbed, leading to a discrepancy between the predicted sensory outcome and the actual sensory outcome (sensory prediction error) (Tseng et al., 2007). A perturbation can be created by rotating the visual feedback of a hand movement in a 30° clockwise direction during a target reaching task. Perturbations lead to discrepancies between the predicted sensory feedback about the movement and the actual sensory feedback received (i.e., sensory prediction errors) (Jordan, 1992). With repeated reaches, this sensory prediction error triggers an updating of the motor command to reduce the sensory prediction error, and this learning occurs in an implicit, automatic way. Perturbations also often lead to discrepancies between the predicted task outcomes (e.g., hit the target) and the actual task outcomes (e.g., fail to hit the target), here termed task errors (Welch, 1969; Schaefer et al., 2012; Leow et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019). Such task errors are thought to promote the use of explicit strategies, to obtain desired task outcomes. Quantifying how quickly individuals return sensory prediction errors and task errors to pre-perturbation levels measures the brain’s ability to learn to adapt to altered sensory feedback via implicit and explicit learning mechanisms, and may indicate the neuroplastic capacity of the individual (Seidler & Carson, 2017).

Here, we designed a study examining the acute effect of 30 minutes of moderate to high intensity aerobic exercise on sensorimotor adaptation with goal-directed reaching of the upper limbs in chronic stroke patients. The aim of this study was to determine if a single bout of moderate to high intensity aerobic exercise performed by people following stroke improved sensorimotor adaptation compared to a control period of rest. We hypothesised that acute aerobic exercise would improve the sensorimotor adaptation of individuals post stroke.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty people with chronic stroke (Table 1) participated in the study (aged 61 ± 13 years; 76% male). To be included in the study, participants had to be diagnosed with a stroke at least 3 months prior (Bernhardt et al., 2017), be able to walk with or without an aid for at least 10 metres and be able to understand three-stage commands. Individuals were excluded if

| Participant characteristics (n = 20) |
|-------------------------------------|
| Average age                         | 60 years ± 14 |
| Time since stroke                   | 3 years, 11 months |
| Male participants                   | 76% |
| 10 Metre Walk Time                  | 8.5 seconds |
| Dominant hand used for reach        | 17/20 or 85% |
they were unable to walk independently prior to the
current stroke, had co-morbidities that might limit
their walking (such as arthritis), had an unstable car-
diac status, or were unable to understand instructions
or provide informed consent. Participants who had
a non-functional upper limb post stroke (that was
also their dominant limb) were not excluded from the
study, but were asked to use their other upper limb to
complete the sensorimotor task.

A single bout of exercise induced changes in
brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in a
sample of 20 people with multiple sclerosis (Schulz
et al., 2004; Castellano & White, 2008). Both stud-
ies found a change of BDNF levels of 5 ng/ml from
pre to post, with a maximum SD of 5 ng/ml. We esti-
minated that a sample size of 18 is required to detect
a difference of 5 +/–5 ng/ml at a two sided 0.05
significance level with a power of 80%. We note
however that the true effect size might be less than
this due to publication bias (Szuhany et al., 2015),
as it remains unclear what the minimum sample size
is to detect exercise-induced changes in BDNF in a
chronic stroke population.

Information collected to describe the sample par-
ticipants included date, location and type of stroke,
age, sex, medical co-morbidities and current medi-
cations. Ethical approval for the study was obtained
through the local human research ethics committee.
All participants provided written informed consent in
accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Experimental design

In a cross-over design, each participant was pse-
udo-randomised to complete an intervention con-
dition (Treadmill) or control condition (Rest) first,
returning at least one week later (washout period)
to complete the alternate condition. A minimum
washout period of one week was used to allow
for any physiological changes due to exercise to
return to resting levels, but to minimise any stroke
recovery-associated changes to function. Participants
were asked to refrain from exercising in the 24
hours prior to their visit. At each visit, participants
completed the sensorimotor adaptation task on two
occasions, pre and post intervention, resulting in four
assessment timepoints (PreControl, PostControl and
PreTreadmill, PostTreadmill). The post intervention
assessment aimed to commence within 15 minutes of
completing the treadmill or rest condition.

| Table 2  | Exercise performance data (n = 20) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
|          | Mean & St. Dev                     |
| TREADMILL DATA |                        |
| Speed Maximum (km/hr) | 3.94 ± 1.54               |
| Time (mins)        | 28.58 ± 3.46                   |
| Distance (metres)  | 1761.2 ± 660.8                 |
| Maximum predicted HR (bpm) | 157 ± 16               |
| HR Max achieved (bpm)| 122 ± 19                      |
| % of Max HR        | 78.3 ± 13.6                    |
| Modified Borg Maximum | 13 ± 1                      |

Legend: HR - Heart Rate, bpm - beats per minute.

2.3 Intervention

The intervention condition (Table 2) consisted of
a single session of moderate-high intensity aerobic
exercise (65% of heart rate reserve) for 30 minutes
walking on a standard treadmill (Landice L7 tread-
mill), with arms placed comfortably by participants
on the rail (in front) or swinging freely. Information
describing the specific features of the training
session (e.g. heart rate, blood pressure response,
treadmill speed, total distance walked) were recorded
to monitor the response to exercise and adherence to
the exercise protocol. The single treadmill session
included a progressive increase in intensity (usually
increased speed, or gradient) to reach the target heart
rate (approx. 5 mins) as well as a cooling down
period (approx. 5 mins) to allow for participants to
return towards resting levels for vital observations.
The warm-up and cool-down were included as part
of the total 30 minutes of walking exercise. Tar-
get heart rates were calculated using the Karvonen
method (Lawrence, 2006) with levels adjusted for
those taking heart rate lowering medications (i.e.,
beta blockers), following methods previously pub-
lished in post stroke populations (MacKay-Lyons &
Makrides, 2002; Kuys et al., 2011). Heart rate was
measured using a chest strap monitor (polar-electro)
and monitored by a research assistant who facilitated
changes in treadmill parameters to enable partici-
pants to reach their target. Participants were asked to
self-rate their intensity of exercise every 10 minutes
verbally using BORG’s 6–20 scale rating of perceived
exertion (Borg, 1982). Participants were instructed to
walk at a pace that resulted in a rating between 11
(fairly light) and 14 (somewhat hard) on the scale.
The control condition involved an equivalent time
period (30 minutes) of seated resting where partici-
pants were provided with an education session about
the impact and effects of stroke by the same research
assistant.
2.4. Sensorimotor adaptation task

2.4.1. Apparatus and setup (Fig. 1)

Participants were seated in front of a desk (approximately 50 cm from their coronal plane) and asked to move a digitizing pen (15.95 cm long, 1.4 cm wide, 17 g) on a digitizing tablet (WACOM Intuos4 PTK 1240, size: 19.2 x 12 in., resolution = 0.25 mm) from an origin to a target point. The pen’s position on the tablet (XY coordinates) was sampled at 100 Hz and displayed in real time as a circular cursor with a 5-pixel radius (1.25 mm) on a horizontally placed computer monitor. Direct vision of the hand was prevented by placing the tablet and the hand directly beneath an opaque stand, with the horizontal monitor placed atop the stand.

2.4.2. Task instructions

Participants first received task instructions to move an on-screen cursor from the start to the target, in a straight line, in a single movement, as quickly and as accurately as possible. Further, participants were instructed that the feedback of the movement would be changed from time-to-time, and that participants were to change their movement in response to this change of feedback, whilst keeping movements as straight as possible.

In each trial, the participants’ task was to move from the origin location through the target location as quickly and accurately as possible using their dominant upper limb. Targets were presented in one of three locations (210, 225 or 240 degrees from the right horizontal plane) in random order. These target
directions were selected such that target-reaching movements involved the horizontal adductors of the shoulder joint. After moving through the target, or past the target, a high-pitched tone sounded to indicate trial completion. Following completion of a trial the origin location was re-displayed immediately and participant directed to repeat task. If the participant did not complete a successful trial, they were directed to return to the origin location and repeat the trial.

First, participants encountered 18 baseline trials under normal (correct) feedback with no rotation. Participants then immediately completed 66 adaptation trials, where the visual feedback on the display monitor was perturbed by rotating it 30 degrees in a clockwise direction (1st testing day) or counter-clockwise direction (2nd testing day). After the adaptation block, to notify participants that the perturbation had been removed, a popup dialog box appeared with the statement “In the next few trials, the disturbance that the computer applied will be removed. Please aim straight to the target.” The instructions on-screen were read out by the experimenter to ensure it was understood. This was immediately followed by 6 no-feedback trials. Finally, participants completed 36 washout trials under normal cursor feedback conditions (i.e., no cursor rotation), to return behaviour to an unadapted state.

2.5. Sensorimotor adaptation data processing and analysis

Custom scripts written in LabVIEW scored reach directions, which were quantified at the 15th data point (150 milliseconds into the reach), as online movement corrections typically occur after 150 ms into a reach. Trials with reach direction outside a 120 degree range of the target (60 degrees on either side of the target) were discarded as outliers (Leow et al., 2017). Trials were binned into cycles of one visit to each of the three targets. The dependent variable was percent adaptation (Krakauer et al., 2005), which quantified reach directions in every cycle relative to the ideal reach direction by calculating reach directions as a percentage of ideal reach directions resulting from perfect adaptation performance. Ideal reach direction was 30 degree clockwise for a 30 degree counter-clockwise rotation, and 30 degrees counter-clockwise for a 30 degree clockwise rotation.

Percent adaptation = 100% × (reach direction)/(ideal reach direction)

As observed previously (Krakauer et al., 2005), there was a rapid error reduction phase of reaching where a majority of learning occurred followed by a slower rate of adaptation. Here, as the targets were spaced close together, rapid error reduction occurred in trials 1–9 (i.e., the first three cycles), similar to recent work (Huberdeau et al., 2015). At completion of the fourth cycle, adaptation was greater than 70% both before and after the treadmill and control conditions. We thus selected the first three cycles to quantify rapid error reduction.

Individual differences in reach directions at baseline can affect measures of adaptation (Ghilardi et al., 1995). Previous methods of accounting for this by subtracting pre-perturbation behaviour from post-perturbation behaviour is more sensitive to noisy baselines and risk of Type 2 error (Vickers, 2001). To account for pre-perturbation baseline biases, we entered percent adaptation averaged from the final three cycles before rotation onset (i.e., percent adaptation in the last five baseline cycles) as covariates in all of our analysis of covariance analyses.

After the adaptation trials, there were two cycles where no feedback was provided to the participant. That is, unlike all previous trials where participants could follow a tracking cursor with their vision, the participants received no real time feedback about where they were reaching. The first no-feedback cycle was taken as a measure of implicit learning, similar to previous work (Heuer & Hegele, 2008).

Our outcome measures of interest were (1) adaptation performance (2) implicit aftereffects, quantified as reaches that remained adapted despite notification of perturbation removal in the no-feedback block and (3) explicit learning, estimated as the volitional disengagement of adapted behaviour after receiving notification of perturbation removal (i.e., the change in percent adaptation from the mean of the last three adaptation cycles to the first no-feedback cycle after receiving notification that the perturbation was gone), and (4) de-adaptation performance. To evaluate these measures, we ran ANCOVAs with the between-subjects factor Intervention Order (Control First, Treadmill First) and the within-subjects factors: Intervention (Control, Treadmill), Time (Pre-Intervention, Post-Intervention) and (where applicable) Cycles (cycles 1–3), with pre-rotation biases as covariates of no interest (estimated from mean percent adaptation in the last three baseline cycles). Where appropriate, Greenhouse-Geisser corrections were applied. Alpha was set at 0.05. SPSS v24.0 was used for statistical analyses.
Fig. 2. Percent adaptation of participants during the adaptation (cycles 1–22), no-feedback (cycles 23–24) and washout (cycles 25–36) trials for the control condition (A) and the exercise condition (B). Note that data from the Post conditions are offset by 0.5 to avoid being obscured by data from the Pre conditions. There was a significant interaction between the control and exercise condition from pre to post in the rapid error reduction phase (shaded region, i.e., first 3 adaptation cycles). Individual participant data in the rapid error reduction phase is shown for the control condition (C) and the treadmill condition (E). Covariate adjusted mean percent adaptation +/- standard error of the mean for the rapid error reduction phase is shown for the control (D) and treadmill (F) conditions.

2.6. BDNF analysis

Blood was collected in 9 mL serum separation tubes that contained gel and clot activator, allowed to clot at room temperature for 20–30 minutes then centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C and stored at −80°C for later analysis. Serum BDNF concentrations were evaluated via an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (R&D systems Quantikine ELISA – Total BDNF kit) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

3. Results

3.1. Reaching with rotated feedback (adaptation trials) – Figure 2

During the rapid error reduction phase of the adaptation trials (cycles 1–3), a significant Intervention × Time interaction was observed [F (1,13) = 6.399, p = 0.027, partial eta squared = 0.346]. Follow-up ANCOVAs were run separately for the Treadmill and Control interventions. Percent adaptation increased pre-to-post in the Treadmill intervention [Fig. 2B, significant main effect of Time, F(1,15) = 6.241, p = 0.025, partial eta-squared = 0.247, Pre-Tread 52.5 ± 6.2% [39.1, 66.0] vs. PostTread 65.7 ± 3.8% [57.4, 74.0]], but not for the Control intervention [Fig. 2A, non-significant main effect of Time, F(1, 15) = 1.138, p = 0.303, partial eta-squared = 0.07, Pre-Control 62.8 ± 5.9% [49.9%, 75.9%] vs. Post-Control 53.9 ± 6.1% [40.5%, 67.5%]].

3.2. Implicit aftereffects and Explicit learning – Figure 3

Implicit learning (Fig. 3A), measured as percent adaptation in the first no-feedback cycle after receiving notification of the perturbation removal (Heuer...
Fig. 3. A. Individual participant data for implicit learning, measured as percent adaptation in the first no-feedback cycle (i.e., movements that remained adapted despite being notified that the perturbation had been removed). B. Individual participant data for explicit learning, measured as the change in percent adaptation upon notification of the perturbation removal. Implicit and explicit learning did not differ significantly from pre- to post-control and/or pre-to-post intervention.

& Hegele, 2008), was larger overall in the treadmill intervention conditions than the control intervention conditions, but did not differ significantly from pre-to-post control and treadmill conditions, as the Intervention × Time interaction was not significant, \( p > 0.05 \). The main effect of treadmill and the main effect of Time was also not significant.

All conditions showed a clear change in reach directions after receiving instructions that the perturbation had been removed (see Fig. 2), indicating a role for explicit learning in this sensorimotor adaptation task. Explicit learning, as assessed here at the end of each adaptation block, did not differ reliably between before and after the control and treadmill interventions (all main effects of Intervention and Time, and all interactions with Intervention and Time \( p > 0.05 \)).

3.3. Reaching with normal feedback
(de-adaptation trials)

Pre- and post-intervention de-adaptation did not differ significantly between Control and Treadmill intervention conditions, (non-significant main effect of Intervention, non-significant \( \times \) Time interaction, \( F(1,12) = 0.4, p = 0.538 \), partial \( \eta \)-squared = 0.03). Participants in both intervention conditions returned to a normal level of baseline reaching, as expected.

3.4. Effect of exercise on BDNF

Although the primary goal of the study was not to examine effects of exercise on BDNF, and thus sample size was likely underpowered to detect exercise-induced changes in BDNF, we ran exploratory analyses to examine if the treadmill exercise intervention changed BDNF levels. The 30-minute treadmill intervention resulted in an averaged 2.99 ng/ml increase in BDNF levels (BDNF pre-treadmill = 22.31 +/−2.85 ng/ml, post-treadmill was 25.31 +/−2.46 pg/ml; \( t(16) = 2.146, p = 0.048 \), cohen’s \( d = 0.521 \), moderate effect size). The control condition resulted in an averaged 1.60 pg/ml, non-statistically significant increase in BDNF from pre- to post \( t(16) = 1.400, p = 0.181 \), cohen’s \( d = 0.340 \), small effect size). To explore the relationship between treadmill-induced BDNF change with treadmill-induced performance improvements early in the adaptation block, we first quantified (1) BDNF change pre-to-post treadmill = post-treadmill BDNF – pre-treadmill BDNF, (2) performance change pre-to-post treadmill = post treadmill percent adaptation mean-averaged from the first 3 adaptation cycles – pre treadmill percent adaptation mean-averaged from the first 3 adaptation cycles; and then ran pearson’s correlations between these two variables. Treadmill-induced BDNF change showed a non-significant positive correlation with treadmill-induced improvements in early adaptation \( r = 0.340, p = 0.198 \).

4. Discussion

This study found that a single bout of moderate to high intensity aerobic exercise was sufficient
to increase sensorimotor adaptation performance of chronic stroke survivors. The improvement in reaching performance (observed as a faster adaptation post-intervention compared to pre-intervention, often termed savings) was observed during the rapid error reduction phase of the trials after the treadmill exercise, but not after the rest condition. Thus, the present study showed that a single session of moderate to high intensity aerobic exercise increased capacity to improve sensorimotor adaptation in chronic stroke survivors.

Accelerated improvement in adaptation performance (savings) post-exercise was specifically seen in the rapid error reduction phase of adaptation. Participants demonstrated increased ability to re-adapt to the imposed rotation in the first nine trials following the exercise compared to the control condition. Such an improvement in performance following exercise may represent an acute change in the brain leading to an enhanced internal environment that could facilitate adaptation. Although exercise-induced improvements in motor skill learning have previously been shown in stroke patients (Nepveu et al., 2017), this is the first study to our knowledge to demonstrate an improvement in sensorimotor adaptation following moderate-high intensity exercise in a stroke population. Our findings corroborate other findings of improved sensorimotor adaptation (i.e., increased savings) with exercise in young healthy adults (Ferrer-Uris et al., 2017; Neva et al., 2019) and in children (Angulo-Barroso et al., 2019). We did not detect an effect of exercise on the implicit aftereffect measured nor in explicit learning when measured at the end of the adaptation block. This might have resulted from exercise having a selective effect early and not late in the adaptation block. We cannot rule out an effect of exercise on implicit and explicit learning, as we did not measure implicit and explicit learning early during adaptation. We speculate that faster re-adaptation with exercise shown here in chronic stroke patients results from similar mechanisms as in neurologically intact individuals (Ferrer-Uris et al., 2017; Angulo-Barroso et al., 2019; Neva et al., 2019). One open question is whether chronic stroke results in selective deficits in savings, which renders stroke patients more sensitive to beneficial effects of exercise on savings: to the best of our knowledge, the majority of previous reach adaptation studies in stroke patients have not explicitly examined savings (Schaefer et al., 2009; Mutha et al., 2011). These results of exercise-induced benefits to motor learning stands in contrast with other work by Charalambous et al. (2018, 2019) who found no effects of exercise on consolidation in locomotor adaptation in stroke patients (Charalambous et al., 2018) and in healthy controls (Charalambous et al., 2019). Several methodological differences might have led to this discrepancy. First, although locomotor adaptation is susceptible to effects of explicit learning, people do not appear to actively engage explicit learning in locomotor adaptation (Roemmich et al., 2016). In contrast, behaviour in the type of reach adaptation paradigm used here has a large contribution from explicit learning (Taylor et al., 2014). Here, exercise had a selective influence on improved re-adaptation to a previously encountered perturbation (i.e., savings), which often has a contribution from explicit learning (Morehead et al., 2015). Exercise might have modulated adaptation by modulating explicit learning processes, which might be more prominent in reach adaptation than in gait adaptation. Finally, the duration of exercise (> =5 mins) in Charalambous et al. (2018, 2019) was shorter than that used here (30 minutes) and elsewhere (e.g., >= 15 mins in Nepveu et al., 2017; Neva et al., 2019). A recent meta-analysis suggests that the nature of the motor task, and the timing and intensity of exercise are crucial factors in determining the efficacy of exercise in modulating motor learning (Wanner et al., 2020). Thus, careful consideration of these factors will be important for designing exercise-based interventions in movement rehabilitation.

A number of mechanisms might contribute to exercise-related improvements in learning. This improvement may be related to changes in the brain that accompany intense exercise, such as upregulation of neurotrophic factors such as brain derived neurotrophic factor, or proteins such as growth hormone, increased cortisol levels and/or changes to neurotransmitter release (Gligoroska & Manchevska, 2012; Gray et al., 2013; Szuhan, et al., 2015). In our exploratory analyses, we found a positive but non-significant relationship between performance improvements and BDNF increases in the treadmill condition. We note that our sample size might be underpowered to detect this effect, and larger sample sizes and/or more sensitive study designs (e.g., measuring plasma rather than serum BDNF) are required to robustly test the relationship between exercise-induced changes in BDNF and adaptation (Szuhan et al., 2015; Dinoff et al., 2017). Other potential mediators for improved performance include the salience of the task at hand (i.e. how relevant/important is the task to the individual completing it) and the ability of
the client to engage with and concentrate on the task. These two mediators (salience and concentration) are of particular importance, highlighted by stroke guideline statements that encourage active task practice outside of scheduled therapy hours to maximise functional return in rehabilitation (English, 2017).

Evidence supports the prescription of moderate to high intensity aerobic exercise to improve sensorimotor performance in healthy individuals. The present study extends these findings to demonstrate that in stroke survivors, utilising moderate to high intensity exercise can improve sensorimotor adaptation. A positive change in performance (measured as an increased adaptation) may represent an exercise induced change in the brain. It has been previously stated that BDNF concentrations increase following a single bout of aerobic exercise (Szuhany et al., 2015). BDNF is a neurotrophin that plays a key role in the formation of new neurons, development, and strengthening of existing neurons and in the restructure of the neuron pool with use (Lessmann & Brigadski, 2009; Cunha et al., 2010; Hartmann et al., 2012). It may be possible to harness increased levels of BDNF following exercise to enhance sensorimotor performance. Increased levels of BDNF can occur in response to a program of aerobic exercise in neurological populations (Mackay et al., 2017). This is an important concept for chronic stroke survivors due to the stagnant nature and plateau that often accompanies this phase of recovery. Providing stroke survivors with a way to augment their recovery could lead to enhanced motor re-adaptation, functional gains and ultimately boost community participation of this group.

In this study, participants’ average time post stroke was four years, representing the chronic phase of recovery. We note that although gains are still made in this phase of recovery, the magnitude of gains may be less than in a more acute recovery phase (Ballester et al., 2019). The majority of post-stroke recovery is generally observed to occur within the acute (1–7 days) and subacute phases of recovery (7 days – 6 months) (Bernhardt et al., 2017). Harnessing potential changes in the brain due to exercise is important for all stages of recovery post stroke, but the magnitude and impact of this change may be increased in the earlier phases of recovery. It is therefore important to consider the timing of an aerobic exercise intervention post-stroke to maximise patient benefit in this way. Implementing an aerobic exercise intervention during the subacute phase of recovery may represent a more appropriate temporal window for enhancing neuroplastic benefit. Stroke survivors in the chronic phase of recovery, however should still be encouraged to participate in aerobic exercise for potential benefit.

4.1. Limitations

One possible limitation of this study was carryover effects. As this study was a within-subject (crossover) design, participants acted as their own control. Participants engaged in the sensorimotor adaptation task four times, on two separate testing days, with a one-week washout period between the two testing days. Compared to the control intervention, the treadmill exercise intervention increased rapid error reduction performance from pre-to-post intervention. We controlled for carryover effects with a washout block with the perturbation removed at the end of the test session, as well as by employing an equal and opposite rotation on the second testing day in a counter-balanced order (30 degrees clockwise on Day 1 vs 30 degrees counter-clockwise on Day 2). We also randomised the number of participants who completed the control condition on their first visit compared to the treadmill condition.

The assessment item to quantify motor learning in this study was a sensorimotor adaptation task. Although this task has been widely used, it might not fully describe real-life motor skill acquisition (Krakauer et al., 2019). This sensorimotor adaptation task, however, enabled the sensitive detection of exercise-related improvements in motor learning here.

5. Conclusions

This is the first study to our knowledge to demonstrate an improvement in sensorimotor adaptation following moderate-high intensity exercise in a chronic stroke patient sample. Our findings are consistent with an increasing body of work demonstrating improved retention of other forms of motor learning with exercise, both in young healthy adults (Roig et al., 2012; Mang et al., 2014; Statton et al., 2015; Stavrinos & Coxon, 2017), in stroke patients (Nepveu et al., 2017) and in Parkinson’s disease (Steib et al., 2018; Wanner et al., 2021). Due to the neurological deficit incurred by stroke survivors, being able to improve adaptation of a motor task through aerobic exercise may have the potential to significantly improve function in this cohort.
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