Study of the Radar Cross-Section of Turbofan Engine with Biaxial Multirotor Based on Dynamic Scattering Method
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Abstract: With the continuous advancement of rotor dynamic electromagnetic scattering research, the radar cross-section (RCS) of turbofan engines has attracted more and more attention. In order to solve the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of a biaxial multirotor turbofan engine, a dynamic scattering method (DSM) based on dynamic simulation and grid transformation is presented, where the static RCS of the engine and its components is calculated by physical optics and physical theory of diffraction. The results show that the electromagnetic scattering of the engine is periodic when the engine is working stably, while the rotors such as fans and turbines are the main factors affecting the dynamic electromagnetic scattering and the ducts greatly increase the overall RCS level of the engine. The proposed DSM is effective and efficient for studying the dynamic electromagnetic scattering characteristic of the turbofan engine.
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1. Introduction

Stealth characteristics of aircraft engine intake and exhaust systems have been research hotspots, including infrared radiation, electromagnetic scattering, and noise [1,2]. In the face of the need for a comprehensive stealth design, the study of radar scattering characteristics of the turbofan engine is also receiving attention [3]. Therefore, the calculation of engine electromagnetic scattering has important practical significance for the stealth performance evaluation of the aircraft in the front-rear direction.

Turbofan engines usually consist of a compressor, combustion chamber, high-pressure turbine, low-pressure turbine, and exhaust system [4,5], which makes its electromagnetic scattering characteristics extremely complicated [6]. The engine intake contributes to the forward radar cross-section (RCS) of the aircraft [7] and the entire airflow duct creates complex reflections of the electromagnetic waves [8,9]. In general, physical optics (PO) [3], iterative physical optics [6], and method of moment (MOM) [10] are used to solve the surface scattering of the inlet/outlet chambers. The method of equivalent current is used to solve the edge diffraction of the cavity [11,12]. The inlet complex terminal is divided into several equivalent terminals when calculating the radar scattering area inside the air intake of the aircraft by using the mode superposition method [13,14]. The finite difference method based on the concept of generalized scattering amplitude is applied to the scattering of electromagnetic waves by a two-dimensional airfoil where the wing here uses an improved NACA 4418 airfoil [15]. These studies are based on the electromagnetic scattering calculations when the target is static [16,17], while the engine has many high-speed rotating rotor parts [18,19], which obviously has a dynamic effect [20,21] on the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the aircraft.
For the solution and calculation of rotor parts RCS, quasi-static methods are commonly used to simulate the rotation of the rotor [22–24]. This method is suitable for low-speed rotors or a small number of rotation angle sample points [18,22], and it is not enough for high-speed rotating targets including engine blades. The difficulty with this type of problem is that the rotor rotates at high speed and its support structure is still stationary or relatively stationary, which causes the grid data of the entire model to be constantly updated. The grid transformation method is widely used to solve the electromagnetic scattering problem of the target body [25,26], which could reduce a large amount of calculation time and experimental cost [27]. Component decomposition methods, improved mesh transformation methods, and joint transformation methods are used to solve the dynamic process of the particular problems [28–30]. For turbofan engines, the fan and air intake are the main sources of scattering for the forward RCS of the aircraft [3], the high-speed rotating fan is the main part of the aircraft’s forward dynamic RCS [31–33], and the low-pressure turbine and tail nozzles contribute to the tail RCS of the aircraft. It could be seen that the method of component decomposition or mesh transformation could be used to realize the high-speed rotation of each rotor of the engine in the engine cavity according to the structure and motion characteristics of the turbofan engine itself [34,35], thereby solving the dynamic electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the entire target body.

These studies focus on the static electromagnetic scattering calculations of the inlet cavity and exhaust nozzles of turbofan engines while ignoring the dynamic effects of large-scale, high-speed rotating fans, and turbines on target stealth performance. Therefore, this paper attempts to establish the dynamic principle of simulating the high-speed rotation of engine blades and relies on the component decomposition combination and the grid transformation method to solve the dynamic radar cross-section of the engine. It can be seen that studying the dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the engine has practical significance and promotion value for the stealth characteristics of important directions such as the front and rear direction of the aircraft.

The structure of this manuscript is as follows: the research method is described in Section 2, the turbofan engine models are designed and provided in Section 3, the results are presented and discussed in Section 4, and finally, this article is summarized.

2. Dynamic Scattering Method

The dynamic electromagnetic scattering of a turbofan engine is described in Figure 1, where $\alpha$ is the azimuth of the radar observatory, $\beta$ is the elevation angle, $\theta_{fan}$ is the rotation angle of the fan, $V_{tip, fan}$ is the linear velocity of the fan blade tip, $t$ is the observation time, and the subscript 0 indicates the initial state.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of dynamic electromagnetic scattering of a biaxial turbofan engine.](image-url)
For this biaxial turbofan engine, the fan and the low-pressure turbine share a low-speed rotating shaft, and the compressor and the high-pressure turbine share a high-speed rotating shaft, where the compressor, the high-pressure turbine and the low-pressure turbine are all in the inner duct of the engine, while the fan is located at the outer duct of the air inlet.

2.1. Electromagnetic Scattering Calculation

When the rotors of the engine are at rest, the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the entire engine could be calculated by physical optics and physical theory of diffraction (PTD). In the physical optics method [8,18], an induced current is generated in the illuminated area when the incident wave is irradiated on the surface of the target body, while the magnetic vector position thereof could be described as follows:

$$A(r) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int \frac{R}{R} J_s(r') e^{-jkR} dS',$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $A(r)$ is the magnetic vector position generated by the surface-induced current, $\mu$ is the permeance coefficient, $r'$ is the coordinate vector of the source point, and $R$ is the distance between the field point and the source point:

$$R = |r - r'|,$$

where $r$ represents the coordinate vector of the field point. According to the magnetic vector position, the electric field and the magnetic field can be, respectively, determined:

$$E(r) = \frac{1}{j\omega \varepsilon} \int \frac{3 - k^2 R^2 + j3kR}{R^5} e^{-jkR} R \times (R \times J_s(r')) + 2J_s(r') \frac{1 + jkR}{R^3} e^{-jkR} dS',$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$H(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{-1 - jkR}{R^3} e^{-jkR} (R \times J_s(r')) dS',$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\omega$ is the electromagnetic wave angular frequency and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric permittivity.

According to the assumptions of physical optics, there are:

$$J_s = \begin{cases} 2n \times H, & Z_i \\ 0, & Z_d \end{cases},$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $n$ is expressed as the unit normal vector of the normal direction of $r'$ at the surface of the scatterer, $Z_i$ is the illuminated area, and $Z_d$ is the dark area. According to the mirror principle:

$$E^\dagger(r) = \frac{-k^2}{j\omega \varepsilon \cdot 2\pi} \int \hat{R} \times (\hat{R} \times \left[ (\hat{n} \times (r') \times \hat{H}^\dagger(r')) \right] e^{-jkR} R dS'. \hspace{1cm} (6)

When the incident wave is a plane wave, there are:

$$E^\dagger(r') = |E_0| e^{-jkr'},$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $k$ refers to the wave vector. The resulting electric field formula is as follows:

$$E_e(r) = \frac{j}{\lambda r} |E_0| e^{-jkr} \int \hat{r} \times \left[ (\hat{n} \times (r') \times E_0) \hat{k} - \left( \hat{n} \times (r') \cdot \hat{k} \right) E_0 \right] e^{-jk(-\hat{r} + \hat{k})r} dS'. \hspace{1cm} (8)

The integral term could be written as:

$$I = \int \hat{r} \times \left[ (\hat{n} \times (r') \times E_0) \hat{k} - \left( \hat{n} \times (r') \cdot \hat{k} \right) E_0 \right] e^{-jk(-\hat{r} + \hat{k})r} dS'. \hspace{1cm} (9)
Then, we get the following RCS expression:

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^2} |I|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

When there are many edges or sharp points in the target, it is necessary to assist in solving the related theory of edge diffraction. The actual scattering field is the sum of the contribution of the physical optics method and the result of PTD, namely:

$$J_S = J_{PO} + J_{PTD}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

More statements about PTD could be found in the literature [8,18].

2.2. Dynamic Simulation Method

When the rotors of the engine begin to work, the dynamic simulation method is used to simulate their high-speed rotation process. Consider the linear circumferential velocity of the tip of each rotor blade of the engine:

$$\begin{align*}
V_{\text{tip},\text{fan}} &= \omega_l \cdot R_{\text{fan}} \\
V_{\text{tip},\text{comp}} &= \omega_h \cdot R_{\text{comp}} \\
V_{\text{tip},\text{high}} &= \omega_h \cdot R_{\text{high}} \\
V_{\text{tip},\text{low}} &= \omega_l \cdot R_{\text{low}}
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$V_{\text{tip},\text{max}} = \max\{V_{\text{tip},\text{fan}}, V_{\text{tip},\text{comp}}, V_{\text{tip},\text{high}}, V_{\text{tip},\text{low}}\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $R_{\text{fan}}$ is the radius of the fan, $R_{\text{comp}}$ is the radius of the compressor, $R_{\text{high}}$ is the radius of the high-pressure turbine, and $R_{\text{low}}$ is the radius of the low-pressure turbine. Each time the fan is rotated, its model will be updated as follows:

$$m_{\text{fan}} = m_{\text{r, fan}} |\theta_{\text{fan}}(m_{\text{fan}}) - \theta_{\text{t, fan}}(m_{\text{r, fan}}, t)|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $m_{\text{fan}}$ is the model of the fan, $m_{\text{r, fan}}$ is the fan model after rotation, and $\theta_{\text{t, fan}}$ is the rotating real-time angle of the fan. The rotation angle is a function of time:

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_l(t) &= \frac{180}{\pi} \omega_l \cdot t \\
\theta_h(t) &= \frac{180}{\pi} \omega_h \cdot t
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The rotational motion of the engine blades has periodic characteristics, so consider a base pass time here, taking the fan as an example:

$$t_{\text{base, fan}} = \frac{\theta_{\text{fan}}}{\omega_l} \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}, \quad \theta_{\text{fan}} = \frac{360}{N_{\text{fan}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $t_{\text{base, fan}}$ is the base pass time during which the fan blade passes the angle between adjacent blades when the fan rotates. Consider the propagation time of electromagnetic waves:

$$\begin{align*}
t_{\text{prop}} &= D/c \\
\varepsilon &= \min\{t_{\text{base, fan}} - t_{\text{prop}}, t_{\text{base, comp}} - t_{\text{prop}}, t_{\text{base, high}} - t_{\text{prop}}, t_{\text{base, low}} - t_{\text{prop}}\}
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $t_{\text{prop}}$ is the time from emitting electromagnetic waves to electromagnetic waves reaching the blade surface. $\varepsilon$ is used to measure the difference between the basic passage time of each rotor and the electromagnetic wave propagation time. The smaller the $\varepsilon$, the more the dynamic RCS needs to be considered. After the time $t$, the model of the engine could be updated as:
where \( m_{\text{engine}} \) is the model of the engine and \( t_r \) is the real observation time when the rotors rotate.

\[
t_{\text{base,max}} = \max \left\{ t_{\text{base,fan}}, t_{\text{base,comp}}, t_{\text{base,high}}, t_{\text{base,low}} \right\}
\]

where \( N_{\text{obs}} \) is a custom observation time multiple, which defaults to 1. The larger the \( N_{\text{obs}} \), the more dynamic RCS changes can be monitored in order to find periodicity.

### 2.3. Grid Transformation Method

For the engine model at each observation time, the grid matrix of the whole model could be generated as follows:

\[
M_{\text{engine}} = \left[ M(m_{\text{fan}}); M(m_{\text{comp}}); M(m_{\text{high}}); M(m_{\text{low}}); M(m_{\text{duct}}) \right],
\]

where \( M_{\text{engine}} \) is the grid coordinate matrix of the engine model. When the rotors rotate around the \( x \)-axis, the real-time model of the fan could be updated to:

\[
M(m_{\text{fan}}) \bigg| _{t = t_r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{\text{r,fan}} & -\sin \theta_{\text{r,fan}} \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{\text{r,fan}} & \cos \theta_{\text{r,fan}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot M(m_{\text{fan}}) \bigg| _{t = 0}, \quad \theta_{\text{r,fan}} = \theta_1(t = t_r).
\]

The other rotors model could be generated as follows:

\[
M(m_{\text{comp}}) \bigg| _{t = t_r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{\text{r,comp}} & -\sin \theta_{\text{r,comp}} \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{\text{r,comp}} & \cos \theta_{\text{r,comp}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot M(m_{\text{comp}}) \bigg| _{t = 0}, \quad \theta_{\text{r,comp}} = \theta_h(t = t_r)
\]

\[
M(m_{\text{high}}) \bigg| _{t = t_r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{\text{r,high}} & -\sin \theta_{\text{r,high}} \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{\text{r,high}} & \cos \theta_{\text{r,high}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot M(m_{\text{high}}) \bigg| _{t = 0}, \quad \theta_{\text{r,high}} = \theta_h(t = t_r)
\]

\[
M(m_{\text{low}}) \bigg| _{t = t_r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{\text{r,low}} & -\sin \theta_{\text{r,low}} \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{\text{r,low}} & \cos \theta_{\text{r,low}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot M(m_{\text{low}}) \bigg| _{t = 0}, \quad \theta_{\text{r,low}} = \theta_1(t = t_r)
\]

where the duct model of the engine is considered to be stationary, so its mesh matrix is not transformed.

The dynamic scattering method (DSM) is verified by PO+MOM/MLFMM (multilevel fast multipole method) in FEKO as shown in Figure 2, where \( f_r \) is the radar wave frequency. The RCS-\( \alpha \) curve determined by DSM is generally consistent with the results of FEKO, where the mean value of the DSM RCS-\( \alpha \) curve is 1.59 dBm² smaller than that of FEKO and there are errors in some local peaks, because different mesh types and RCS algorithms are used. The quasi-static principle (QSP) is used to discretize the high-speed rotation state of the fan at a few time sample points. The calculation results show that the DSM values in the given time range are consistent with the discrete calculation values, showing that DSM is accurate and efficient.

The above calculation results also show that the RCS of the individual fan has changed more than 21.92 dBm² in a very short time, so it is necessary to study the dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the engine blade. More information about the RCS algorithm test is shown in Figure A1. In the following calculations, if not specified, the radar wave frequency is always 6 GHz, horizontal polarization.
3. Model

The geometric model of a turbofan engine is conceived by the full factorial design (FFD) as shown in Figure 3, where $L_{duct}$ is the length of the duct, $A_{cut}$ is the cutting angle of the end face of the duct inlet, $R_{duct}$ is the outer radius of the duct, noting that the duct includes the outer duct, the inner ducts, and the associated support components.

The geometric characteristics of the engine are shown in Table 1, where the four rotors are designed with different airfoil data, including AG 08, AG 25, AH 21, and ARA-D 10%. The outer duct of the entire engine is approximately a spiral body, because the design of $A_{cut}$ makes the upper end of the engine casing entrance slightly longer than the lower end. The number of blades of these rotors is different, which also leads to different base pass times.

![Figure 3. Geometric configuration of a turbofan engine with full factorial design (FFD).](image)

**Table 1.** Main geometric characteristics of the turbofan engine.

| Model         | $m_{fan}$ | $m_{comp}$ | $m_{high}$ | $m_{low}$ | $m_{duct}$ |
|---------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Airfoil       | AG 08     | AG 25      | AH 21      | ARA-D 10% | —          |
| Outer radius/m | 1.485     | 0.630      | 0.563      | 0.806     | 1.946      |
| Number of blades | 12        | 10         | 18         | 16        | —          |
Based on the above geometric design and related parameters, the entire engine model is built as shown in Figure 4, where the total length of the engine is 8.501 m. The engine model consists of the duct, the fan, the compressor, the high-pressure turbine, and the low-pressure turbine. The head direction of the engine points in the positive direction of the $x$-axis, and the central axis of rotation coincides with the $x$-axis.

![Figure 4. Model building and detail display of the turbofan engine.](image)

The low-speed shaft of the turbofan engine is set to 8000 r/min and the high-speed shaft to 10,000 r/min as shown in Table 2 when the engine is working properly. The duct is set to be stationary, while the fan and the low-pressure turbine rotate around the low-speed shaft, and the compressor and the high-pressure turbine rotate around the high-speed shaft.

Table 2. Motion parameters of the rotors of the turbofan engine.

| Model   | $n_{\text{fan}}$ | $n_{\text{comp}}$ | $n_{\text{high}}$ | $n_{\text{low}}$ |
|---------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Rotating speed/r/min | 8000  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 8000  |

Fine meshing of this engine and its components is shown in Figure 5, where the duct model is composed of the outer duct, the inner duct, and associated support structures. The size data of each part when determining the high-precision mesh is shown in Table 3, where the global minimum mesh size has a maximum value of 2 mm, and local density increase technology of the grid is used where the geometric size changes dramatically, including the leading and trailing edge regions of each rotor.

Table 3. Grid size for each part of the turbofan engine.

| Area                  | Maximum Value/mm | Area                  | Maximum Value/mm |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Global minimum size   | 2                 | Fan blade trailing edge| 5                 |
| Fan blade leading edge| 8                 | Fan blade             | 25                |
| Fan hub               | 35                | Blade trailing edge of $m_{\text{comp}}$ | 4 |
| Blade leading edge of $m_{\text{comp}}$ | 10             | Blade of $m_{\text{comp}}$ | 25            |
| Hub of $m_{\text{comp}}$ | 25              | Blade trailing edge of $m_{\text{high}}$ | 5 |
| Blade leading edge of $m_{\text{high}}$ | 10             | Blade of $m_{\text{high}}$ | 25            |
| Hub of $m_{\text{high}}$ | 25            | Blade trailing edge of $m_{\text{low}}$ | 6 |
| Blade leading edge of $m_{\text{low}}$ | 10             | Blade of $m_{\text{low}}$ | 25            |
| Hub of $m_{\text{low}}$ | 30              | Support               | 50                |
| Inner duct            | 120               | Outer duct            | 150               |
4. Results and Discussion

Figure 6 supports that the electromagnetic scattering effect of the fan surface also changes in a very short time (from $t = 2.083 \times 10^{-4}$ s to $t = 4.167 \times 10^{-4}$ s). In the irradiated area of the radar wave, the upper surface of most of the blades shows a deep red color, indicating that this area is a strong source of electromagnetic scattering. The hub portion presents a lighter red color because the head has a conical design that deflects the radar wave to a nonthreatening direction. The blade root forms a certain occlusion of the joint between the hub and the blade, so a small amount of orange yellow appears here. These results show that DSM could well simulate the rotation of the blade and calculate its electromagnetic scattering characteristics.

Blade rotation is a continuous and long-term process when the turbofan engine is running normally. Therefore, the dynamic electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the various components of the engine and its entirety require a lot of calculation and analysis as shown below.
4.1. Effect of Individual Rotor

Figure 7 shows that there is an obvious periodicity in the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the fan observed in a long time. It could be seen that the period of dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the fan is $6.25 \times 10^{-3}$ s, which is also the basic pass time because the fan uses a uniform distribution of blade design and the rotating speed remains the same. The maximum RCS of the fan in one observation period is $4.928$ dBm$^2$ appearing at $t = 1.875 \times 10^{-5}$ s, while the minimum size is $-17.35$ dBm$^2$ appearing at $t = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ s. This shows that the DSM could capture the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the fan well for a long time, and the rotation of the fan will have a greater impact on this characteristic.

Figure 8 reveals that the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the low-pressure turbine also exhibit periodicity within the given observation time. The dynamic RCS period of the low-speed turbine is still $6.25 \times 10^{-4}$ s because the low-pressure turbine and the fan share a low-speed shaft. The minimum value of the RCS-time curve of the low-pressure turbine is $-26.35$ dBm$^2$ at $t = 6.25 \times 10^{-6}$ s, while the maximum value is $-5.983$ dBm$^2$ at $t = 5.937 \times 10^{-4}$ s. These results show that the observation time has a non-negligible influence on the dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the low-pressure turbine.
As the observation time increases, the RCS of the rotor on the low-speed axis of the engine changes periodically, while the degree of undulation is large, which will affect the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the forward and tail directions of the target.

4.2. Effect of Azimuth Angle

Figure 9 indicates that the dynamic RCS of the fan at different azimuths is very different, including shape, peak, and range of variation. For the RCS-time curve with $\alpha = 0^\circ$, the RCS value is almost always maintained at 1.708 dBm$^2$, because the fan rotating plane is perpendicular to the radar wave at this time, causing the electromagnetic scattering change caused by the rotation to be too small to be found in the current observation scale. When $\alpha = 10^\circ$, the overall change of RCS-time curve is relatively flat, but a peak of up to 17.04 dBm$^2$ appears at $t = 2.188 \times 10^{-4}$ s, because the fan rotates 10.5024$^\circ$ at this time and the fan adopts a gradual twist and a variable chord design, this makes the roots of the blades in the upper left part and the leading edges of other blades become strong scattering sources. When $\alpha$ increases from $10^\circ$ to $50^\circ$, the number of fluctuations of the RCS-time curve is obviously increased, and the variation law is also different, because the angle between the normal direction of the rotating surface of the fan and the radar wave is getting bigger and bigger, while the electromagnetic scattering caused by the blades and the hub is getting stronger. The two RCS-time curves of $\alpha = 70^\circ$ and $80^\circ$ are similar in shape, while the RCS-time curve with $\alpha = 60^\circ$ shows a peak of up to 35.48 dBm$^2$ at $t = 6.188 \times 10^{-4}$ s, since the fan rotates 35.48$^\circ$ at this time, the upper-right part of the upper surface of the blade makes the main contribution to the peak. It should also be noted that the RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 290^\circ$ shows a peak with a size of 65.86 dBm$^2$ at $t = 5.125 \times 10^{-4}$ s, because the incident wave is almost perpendicular to the left contour of the front of the hub at this time, the outer end faces of the left blades, the side of the hub, and the root surface of the blades in the illuminated area all have larger RCS. These results indicate that the azimuth has a significant impact on the dynamic RCS of the fan.

Figure 10 manifests that as the azimuth increases, the RCS-time curve of the fan gradually becomes stable overall within the given azimuth range. The RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 320^\circ$ generally shows a wave shape that decreases first and then increases, while the peak value is 8.738 dBm$^2$ appearing at $t = 1.25 \times 10^{-5}$ s. The minimum value of the RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 330^\circ$ is $-16.55$ dBm$^2$ but the peak value of the RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 350^\circ$ is as high as 43.2 dBm$^2$. When $\alpha$ increases from $320^\circ$ to $360^\circ$, the angle between the normal of the rotating plane of the fan and the radar wave is decreases, which makes the strong scattering source of the fan surface gradually decrease within the same observation scale.
Table 4 presents that azimuth has a very significant effect on the RCS mean of the fan within one observation period. When $\alpha$ increases from $-10^\circ$ to $80^\circ$, the RCS mean in one observation period of the fan decreases first and then increases, where the maximum difference is $24.115 \text{ dBm}^2$. The change in RCS within $\pm 10^\circ$ azimuths of the head indicates that the dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the fan will affect the RCS at important azimuth angles in the head direction of the engine.

Figure 9. Influence of azimuth on dynamic RCS of $m_{\text{fan}}$, $N_{\text{obs, fan}} = 1$ and $\beta = 0^\circ$.

Figure 10. Influence of azimuth on dynamic RCS of $m_{\text{fan}}$, $\alpha = 320^\circ$–$360^\circ$, $N_{\text{obs, fan}} = 1$, and $\beta = 0^\circ$. 
Table 4. Influence of azimuth on radar cross-section (RCS) mean of \( m_{\text{fan}} \), \( N_{\text{obs,\,fan}} = 1 \), \( \beta = 0^\circ \).

| \( \alpha^\circ \) | -10 | 0  | 10 | 20 | 30 |
|------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| RCS mean/dBm²    | 23.181 | 1.709 | -0.039 | -0.934 | 1.427 |
| \( \alpha^\circ \) | 40  | 50  | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| RCS mean/dBm²    | 3.883 | 9.056 | 16.284 | 9.748 | 2.518 |

Figure 11 demonstrates that the RCS-time curves of the low-pressure turbine at different azimuths vary widely, including peaks, ranges of changes, and number of fluctuations. For the RCS-time curve at \( \alpha = 150^\circ \), the peak value is only \(-2.155 \text{ dBm}^2 \) at \( t = 4.594 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s} \). When \( \alpha \) is increased by \( 10^\circ \), the RCS-time curve changes in a similar pattern, while the RCS-time curve remains substantially straight (RCS equals 6.799 dBm²) at the current observation scale when \( \alpha \) is increased to \( 180^\circ \), for the reason that the normal direction of the rotating plane of the low-pressure turbine is perpendicular to the radar wave, while the size of the hub is larger than that of the blade, which will form strong scattering and cover the RCS changes caused by the rotation of the blades. When \( \alpha \) continues to increase to \( 210^\circ \), the shape of its RCS-time curve is similar to that at \( \alpha = 150^\circ \), but the peak becomes \(-2.808 \text{ dBm}^2 \) at \( t = 3.281 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s} \). These results show that the effect of azimuth on the low-pressure turbine is also evident.

![Figure 11](image)

(a) \( \alpha = [150, 160, 170, 180]^\circ \)

(b) \( \alpha = [180, 190, 200, 210]^\circ \)

Figure 11. Influence of azimuth on dynamic RCS of \( m_{\text{low}} \), \( N_{\text{obs,\,low}} = 1 \), \( \alpha = 150^\circ \sim 210^\circ \), and \( \beta = 0^\circ \).

It could be found that although the outer diameter of the low-pressure turbine is smaller than that of the fan, the RCS generated by the low-pressure turbine in the positive tail direction is larger than the RCS generated by the fan in the positive head direction.

4.3. Effect of Elevation Angle

Figure 12 investigates that the dynamic RCS-time curves of low-pressure turbines at different elevation angles vary widely, including curve shape and minimum and maximum position. When \( \alpha = 160^\circ \), the increase in the elevation angle will significantly increase the number of fluctuations in the RCS-time curve. For the RCS curves of \( \alpha = 200^\circ \), the curves at \( \beta = 0^\circ \) and \( 20^\circ \) are similar in shape but the undulation strength is somewhat different, where the former peak is \(-6.064 \text{ dBm}^2 \) at \( t = 4.453 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s} \) and the latter has a peak value of \(-7.715 \text{ dBm}^2 \) at \( t = 3.750 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s} \). The increase in the elevation angle directly increases the angle between the normal direction of the rotating plane of the low-pressure turbine and the radar wave, which will result in a strong scattering source on the hub and part of the blade surface. These results show that DSM could well demonstrate the effect of elevation angle change on the dynamic RCS of the low-pressure turbine and the change at this time is also not negligible.
Figure 12. Influence of elevation angle on dynamic RCS of $m_{\text{low}}, N_{\text{obs,low}} = 1$ and $\beta = (0, 10, 20)^\circ$.

Figure 13. Influence of elevation angle on dynamic RCS of $m_{\text{low}}, N_{\text{obs,low}} = 1$ and $\beta = (0, 10, 20)^\circ$.

Table 5 supports that an increase in the angle of the elevation will have a significant impact on the RCS mean of the low-pressure turbine at the given azimuths. When $\alpha = 150^\circ$ and $210^\circ$, an increase in $\beta$ will result in an increase in the RCS mean. However, when $\alpha = 160^\circ$ and $200^\circ$, the increase in $\beta$ will cause the RCS mean to decrease and then increase. This shows that choosing the right azimuth and elevation angle is beneficial to reduce the electromagnetic scattering intensity of the low-pressure turbine.
Table 5. Influence of elevation angle on RCS mean of $m_{low}$, $N_{obs,low} = 1$, RCS unit: dBm$^2$.

| $\alpha^\circ$ | 150  | 160  | 200  | 210  |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|
| $\beta = 0^\circ$ | −6.316 | −10.437 | −10.862 | −6.649 |
| $\beta = 10^\circ$ | −5.450 | −13.122 | −12.813 | −5.474 |
| $\beta = 20^\circ$ | −0.460 | −12.180 | −11.966 | −0.496 |

Overall, when approaching the positive tail direction, the RCS index of the low-pressure turbine generally decreases with the increase in $\beta$, noting that $\alpha = 180^\circ$ is the positive tail direction.

4.4. Effect of Engine Duct

Figure 14 provides that the addition of engine duct greatly increases the RCS level of the combination with the fan within the given azimuth and observation time. When $\alpha = 0^\circ$, the rotation of the fan still cannot show the change of the RCS of the assembly at the current observation scale, because the radar wave at this time is perpendicular to the normal of the rotation plane of the fan, and the scattering caused by the blade is too weak. When $\alpha$ is gradually increased to 20$^\circ$, the fluctuation of the RCS-time curve is enhanced, including the number of fluctuations and peaks. The shape of the RCS time curve is similar when $\alpha = 30^\circ$ and 40$^\circ$, but the latter has more minimum values. In the negative azimuth range of the head direction, the RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 320^\circ$ and 340$^\circ$ varies in a similar range. As the azimuth angle is further restored to the head position, the angle between the radar wave and the rotation plane of the fan gradually approaches zero, which causes the RCS to remain unchanged. Therefore, it could be seen that the RCS-time curve at $\alpha = 350^\circ$ changes slowly overall, except for the two peaks. The RCS of the fan and duct combination at $\alpha = 360^\circ$ is maintained at 13.66 dBm$^2$, which is much higher than the RCS level of the individual fan (1.708 dBm$^2$), because the large casing of the duct has more sources of strong scattering, such as the duct lip with a large curvature. These results indicate that the engine’s duct is also an important source of strong scattering but not a dynamic source of scattering here.

![Figure 14. Cont.](image-url)
Figure 14. The dynamic RCS of \( m_{\text{fan}} + m_{\text{duct}} \), \( N_{\text{obs,\text{fan}}} = 1 \), \( \alpha = (0\text{–}40 \text{ and } 320\text{–}360)^\circ \), and \( \beta = 0^\circ \).

Figure 15 implicates that the RCS curve under the omnidirectional angle of the engine is obvious and cannot be ignored, while the RCS-time curves under different heading azimuths are very different. When \( t = 1.875 \times 10^{-4} \) s, the peak value in the omnidirectional angle of the engine is 42.62 dBm\(^2\) at \( \alpha = 0^\circ \), while that of the engine at \( t = 3.75 \times 10^{-4} \) s is 55.41 dBm\(^2\) at \( \alpha = 215.8^\circ \) because the trailing edges and facets of the right blades of the low-pressure turbine is not easy to deflect the incident wave at this time. The RCS peaks at \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) and \( 270^\circ \) at different times appear larger and stable, which is mainly due to the mediocre design of the huge engine duct. When \( \alpha \) increases from \( 0^\circ \) to \( 20^\circ \), the dynamic RCS curve of the engine becomes more fluctuating, but the peak and RCS averages decrease, because the strong scattering source at this time is mainly reflected in the duct of the engine, the azimuth angle increases, and the strong scattering source on the lip in the illumination area is transferred to the side of the duct. These results show that the omnidirectional RCS of the engine changes dynamically with time, and the difference of dynamic electromagnetic scattering at different azimuths is obvious.

Figure 15. The dynamic RCS of \( m_{\text{engine}} \), \( N_{\text{obs}} = 1 \) and \( \beta = 0^\circ \).

Figure 16 suggests that the engine duct has an important effect on the electromagnetic scattering of the entire engine in the current observation azimuth and time. For the engine scattering characteristics at \( t = 1.875 \times 10^{-4} \) s, it could be found that there are more dark red areas in the lip of the inlet, and more red areas are distributed on the right side of the duct. The left side of the fan and the inner side of the
left side of the duct show more orange red. When \( t \) is increased to \( 3.75 \times 10^{-4} \) s, the light and dark areas of the engine duct surface and its color distribution hardly change, while the color distribution of the fan surface has changed slightly and the least obvious is the blade color of the tail of low-pressure turbine, because the fan, compressor, and inner and outer ducts block more radar waves, making it difficult for them to reach the low-pressure turbine at the tail. These results indicate that the rotors inside the engine will have a dynamic effect on the electromagnetic scattering of the engine while the outer casing occupies more sources of strong scattering.

![Figure 16. Surface electromagnetic scattering characteristics of engine, \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) and \( \beta = 0^\circ \); RCS unit: dBm².](image)

Overall, the dynamic electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the engine are very obvious, and the RCS is different under different azimuth and observation time. Studying the dynamic electromagnetic scattering of the engine is of great significance to the head and tail RCS characteristics of the aircraft.

5. Conclusions

This paper establishes a dynamic scattering method to investigate the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of a biaxial multirotor turbofan engine. Through these studies, the following conclusions could be drawn:

1. The electromagnetic scattering characteristics of each rotor during steady engine operation are periodic, and the period is equal to the base pass time of the rotor at the current rotating speed;
2. The azimuth and elevation angles have a large impact on the dynamic RCS of the engine. The fan has a great influence on the change of the engine head to the RCS, while the low-pressure turbine has a greater influence on the engine tail RCS;
3. The engine duct will greatly increase the RCS level of the entire engine, but will also block the scattering of its internal components. Front and rear rotors are the main influencing factors of engine dynamic RCS.

Future research work can focus on the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the rotor at different engine speeds, the effect of engine dynamic RCS on aircraft stealth performance, and the change of aircraft attitude on engine RCS.
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Nomenclature

- $\alpha$: the horizontal angle between radar station-engine connection and the positive x-axis
- $\beta$: the elevation angle between the radar station and the engine
- $\theta$: rotation angle
- $\theta_b$: angle between adjacent blades
- $D$: distance between the radar and the target
- $c$: electromagnetic wave propagation speed
- $V_{\text{tip}}$: line speed of the blade tip
- $\sigma$: radar cross-section
- $\omega_l$: angular velocity of the low-speed shaft
- $\omega_h$: angular velocity of the high-speed shaft
- $m_{\text{engine}}$: the model of the engine
- $M_{\text{engine}}$: the grid coordinate matrix of the engine model
- $t_{\text{base}}$: base pass time
- $N_{\text{fan}}$: number of fan blades
- $\epsilon$: a custom difference
- $T_{\text{obs}}$: total observation time
- $t$: observation time

Subscript

- fan: fan
- comp: compressor
- high: high-pressure turbine
- low: low-pressure turbine

Appendix A

The RCS algorithm test is shown in Figure A1, where the electromagnetic scattering characteristics of the fan model are investigated under different radar wave frequencies, different numbers of grids, and different polarization modes. The RCS-$\alpha$ curves are generally similar at different radar wave frequencies, where RCS average increased from less than 9 to 9.805 dBm$^2$ as $f_R$ increased from 2 to 12 GHz. When the number of grids is greater than $1.002 \times 10^5$, the calculation results of RCS tend to be stable. The fewer meshes, the rougher the model displayed, the faster the calculation speed, but the larger the error. The more the grids, the more accurate the model is described, the calculation speed is reduced but the results are accurate. In order to take into account the calculation speed and grid accuracy, the number of grids and the size of the grid at this time are set as the benchmark. The RCS curves under different polarization methods are almost the same, and the difference is less than 0.051 dBm$^2$. 
Figure A1. RCS algorithm test with \( m_{\text{fan}} \cdot \alpha = 0 \sim 360^\circ \), \( \beta = 0^\circ \), and \( t = 1.25 \times 10^{-4} \) s.
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