Intravenous iron supplementation may be superior to observation in acute isovolemic anemia after gastrectomy for cancer
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Abstract

AIM: To determine whether the application of post-operative intravenous (IV)-iron for acute isovolemic anemia after gastrectomy may be effective.

METHODS: Among 2078 gastric cancer patients who underwent surgery between February 2007 and August 2009 at the National Cancer Center Korea, 368 patients developed post-operative anemia [hemoglobin-(Hb)-level < 9 g/dL] within the first postoperative week. Patients requiring transfusions were excluded. IV-iron was administered to 63 patients (iron group). Sixty patients were observed without treatment (observation group). The clinical outcomes of the groups were compared concerning clinicopathologic data, morbidity, and changes in Hb levels using Fisher’s exact test, Student’s t-test and the Z-test.

RESULTS: The initial Hb level was higher in the iron group than in the observation group (7.3 ± 1.0 g/dL vs 8.4 ± 0.5 g/dL, P < 0.001). The slope of the changes in the Hb level was significantly higher in the iron group than in the observation group (0.648 ± 0.054 vs 0.349 ± 0.038, P < 0.001). The Hb level 1 and 3 mo post-operatively increased from 10.7 ± 1.3 to 11.9 ± 1.3 g/dL in the iron group (P = 0.033) and from 10.1 ± 1.0 to 10.8 ± 1.4 g/dL in the observation group (P < 0.001). The postoperative hospital stay was significantly longer in the iron group than in the observation group (10.5 ± 6.8 d vs 7.6 ± 5.5 d, P = 0.011). There were no significant differences in the major and surgical complications between the groups (6.3% vs 13.3%, P = 0.192; 9.5% vs 3.3%, P = 0.164).

CONCLUSION: IV-iron supplementation may be an effective treatment for post-operative isovolemic post-gastrectomy anemia and may be a better alternative than observation.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.
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Core tip: Acute isovolemic anemia frequently occurs after major surgery. Intravenous iron supplementation was more effective in elevating the hemoglobin level than observation, and the complications were comparable to observation in 123 acute post-gastrectomy anemia patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Perioperative anemia occurs in 25%-75% of cancer patients, and the prevalence of anemia in the immediate post-operative period after major surgery is reported to be as high as 90%[6]. Because acute blood loss normally leads to intraoperative hypovolemia, volume expanders (crystalloids or colloids) are usually used to stabilize volume status. Transfusion is often deferred until the amount of bleeding is considered excessive according to transfusion guidelines[7,8]. Under such conditions, acute isovolemic anemia develops. Postoperative acute isovolemic anemia can affect the recovery and quality of life of patients by subtly slowing reaction time, deteriorating memory, increasing the heart rate and decreasing oxygen levels[9,10].

Acute isovolemic anemia can frequently occur after gastrectomy for gastric cancer due to the invasiveness of the procedure. Oncologic gastrectomy with a D2 lymphadenectomy is considered the standard treatment for gastric cancer, and there is thus a high probability of blood loss with subsequent acute isovolemic anemia. Some surgeons balance acute isovolemic anemia with a red blood cell (RBC) transfusion. The postoperative transfusion rates for patients with colorectal and gastric cancers have been reported to be 10%-38% and 21%, respectively[6,7]. Other surgeons prefer observation for postoperative anemia because spontaneous correction occurs within several months if oral intake is adequate. Moreover, RBC transfusion can result in potential complications and is associated with poor prognosis[8-11]. Indeed, many patients do not recover from postoperative anemia.

Refactory iron deficiency anemia thus remains a clinical problem particularly in the postoperative setting. In recent years, intravenous (IV)-iron and red cell substitutes, such as erythropoietin stimulants and artificial oxygen carriers, have been developed and used under clinical investigation[12]. An IV-iron sucrose infusion is considered safe and effective in patients on dialysis[13] and before orthopedic surgery[14]. However, treatment with IV-iron for acute isovolemic anemia after oncologic gastrectomy has not been investigated.

The purpose of this retrospective analysis was to determine whether the postoperative use of IV-iron for acute severe isovolemic post-gastrectomy anemia in patients not requiring urgent transfusion may be effective.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective case-control study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Center Korea (NCC NCS-10-388). The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Between February 2007 and August 2009, 2,078 patients underwent surgery for gastric cancer at the National Cancer Center in Korea. Three hundred sixty-eight patients (17.7%) exhibited hemoglobin (Hb) levels < 9.0 g/dL during the postoperative period and were diagnosed with anemia. We excluded 245 patients from this analysis with documented substitutions of a RBC-unit pre-, intra- or post-operatively; preoperative iron treatments; unstable vital signs (hypotension or tachycardia); dyspnea; heart disease (angina and myocardial infarction); more than one treatment modality for postoperative anemia; and any other surgical procedure during the follow-up period. Thus, 123 patients who were either treated with an IV-infusion of iron (iron group) or who underwent clinical observation without treatment (observation group) were enrolled in this analysis.

Treatments

The target Hb level was considered 12.0 g/dL. Sixty-three patients were treated with IV-iron sucrose, and 60 patients were observed without treatment. The iron group received 10 mL of Fe^3+ (200 mg) mixed with 100 mL of normal saline every other day and had a mean IV infusion of 13.7 ± 8.3 ampules of iron sucrose.

Complications

All postoperative adverse events requiring treatment or hospitalization were considered relevant complications. Surgical complications included ileus, anastomotic site leakage, anastomotic site stenosis, fluid collection and abscess formation. Non-surgical complications included pleural effusion, voiding difficulty, brain infarction and stress-induced cardiomyopathy. Postoperative clinically unapparent events not requiring hospitalization or treatment such as minor pleural effusion, atelectasis or abdominal fluid collections without signs of infection were omitted from the analysis as relevant complications.

Possible adverse events related to IV-iron administration, including nausea/vomiting, headache, anaphylactic reaction, dyspnea, abdominal pain and allergic reactions, such as urticaria and fever, were retrospectively reviewed.

Statistical analysis

The following parameters were recorded and analyzed using a Pearson χ^2 test or Fisher’s exact test: patient age and gender, clinicopathologic data and morbidity. Student’s t test was used to analyze the Hb levels before treatment and throughout the hospital stay after treatment. The Z test was performed to determine whether a significant difference existed between the groups with respect to the slopes of the changes in the Hb level during follow-up. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The slopes of the two groups were estimated using a linear regression test.

RESULTS

Demographics

The mean patient ages were 63.2 ± 12.3 and 64.4 ± 10.2
years in the iron and observation groups, respectively \((P = 0.560)\). The gender ratio was not significantly different between the groups \((P = 0.331)\). There were no statistically significant differences between the groups with respect to the type of surgical procedure and combined resection \((P = 0.184\) and \(P = 0.610\), respectively). The cancer stage was more advanced in the iron group than in the observation group \((P = 0.036)\). The number of patients undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy in the iron group was significantly higher than that in the observation group \((P = 0.002)\). Demographic data are presented in Table 1.

**Hematologic laboratory data before treatment**

The Hb and hematocrit level before treatment was lower in the iron group than in the observation group \((7.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ g/dL} vs 8.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ g/dL}, P < 0.001; 23.8 \pm 3.3 \text{ g/dL} vs 26.4 \pm 1.9 \text{ g/dL}, P < 0.001)\). The mean corpuscular volume in the iron group before treatment did not differ from that of the observation group \((P = 0.13)\), and the mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration in the iron group was lower than that in the observation group \((P < 0.001)\). Hyperchromic anemia was ruled out for all patients in the present analysis (Table 2).

**Changes in the Hb level**

The Hb level was higher in the iron group one month post-operatively than in the observation group \((10.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ and } 10.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ g/dL}, \text{ respectively}, P = 0.033)\). Three months post-operatively, the Hb level was higher in the iron group than in the observation group \((11.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ and } 10.8 \pm 1.4 \text{ g/dL}, \text{ respectively}, P < 0.001)\). Six months post-operatively, the Hb level was again higher in the iron group than in the observation group \((11.5 \pm 1.3 \text{ g/dL} \text{ vs } 10.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ g/dL}, P = 0.003)\). At 12 mo post-operatively, the Hb level was higher in the iron group than in the observation group \((12.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ and } 11.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ g/dL}, \text{ respectively}, P = 0.003)\) (Figure 1).

**Table 1 Hematologic laboratory data and morbidity before treatment \(n (%)\)**

| Variables                      | Iron group \((n = 63)\) | Observation group \((n = 60)\) | \(P\) value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| **Age (yr), mean ± SD**       | 63.2 ± 12.3              | 64.4 ± 10.2                   | 0.560\(^1\) |
| **Gender (male/female)**      | 37:26                    | 30:30                         | 0.331\(^2\) |
| Operation type                |                          |                               | 0.878\(^2\) |
| Subtotal gastrectomy          | 38                       | 37                            |             |
| Total gastrectomy             | 25                       | 24                            |             |
| Stage of cancer (AJCC \(6\)) |                          |                               | 0.036\(^3\) |
| I and II                      | 28 (44.4)                | 38 (63.3)                     |             |
| Ill and IV                    | 35 (55.6)                | 22 (36.7)                     | 0.610\(^3\) |
| Combined resection            |                          |                               |             |
| None                          | 48 (76.2)                | 48 (75)                       |             |
| Done                          | 15 (23.8)                | 12 (25)                       |             |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy         |                          |                               | 0.002\(^4\) |
| No                            | 39 (61.9)                | 52 (86.7)                     |             |
| Yes                           | 24 (38.1)                | 8 (13.3)                      |             |

\(^1\)Student’s \(t\) test; \(^2\)Pearson \(x^2\) test. AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer.

**DISCUSSION**

Recently, several clinical studies on IV-iron treatment in surgery have been reported\([14,16]\). Theusinger et al.\([14]\) reported on the beneficial effects of preoperative IV-iron administration in orthopedic patients, and Van Wyck et al.\([15]\) reported the advantages of IV-iron for postpartum...
anemia. In contrast, a consensus statement by Beris et al.\(^{16}\) reported only moderate- to low-quality evidence for IV-iron application in surgical patients until further data from prospective randomized controlled trials become available. However, there are few studies on acute postoperative anemia in patients with gastric cancer. This retrospective analysis was designed to evaluate the efficacy of IV-iron for the treatment of post-operative anemia in patients with gastric cancer. The current analysis demonstrated that the Hb level in the iron group increased more rapidly than in the observation group and that there were no significant differences between the groups with respect to complications or any adverse events related to iron application. The results of the present analysis are consistent with those of earlier studies that reported that IV-iron succrose treatment for patients with dialysis-associated anemia, pre-arthroplasty and pre-hysterectomy resulted in increased Hb levels\(^{13-15}\).

When intra-operative bleeding occurs, anesthesiologists do not use packed RBCs as long as the patient's vital signs are stable and the amount of bleeding is not considered excessive. As a consequence, postoperative acute isovolemic anemia has been largely neglected by surgeons. We found that one, three and six months postoperatively, the Hb level in the observation group increased spontaneously. However, the Hb level in the observation group was lower than that in the iron group at every timepoint and increased more slowly than did the levels in the iron group. These findings suggest that the active treatment of acute isovolemic anemia by the administration of IV-iron might improve postoperative recovery because impaired cognitive function and circulatory homeostasis might be restored earlier\(^{4,5}\).

The postoperative Hb levels in the iron group and the observation group differed significantly before any treatment, which might imply a selection bias. Further, there were more advanced cancers in the iron group. It is possible that advanced gastric cancer influences iron metabolism and that preoperative Hb levels might thus have been lower. It is also conceivable that due to the larger number of advanced cancers, surgical invasiveness and thus blood loss was higher in the iron group. Another finding was that the number of patients undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy was significantly higher in the iron group. Interestingly, though chemotherapy may influence erythropoiesis, the Hb levels in the iron group recovered more quickly than they did in the observation group, which might suggest the beneficial effect of IV-iron administration.

The present analysis also reveals that IV-iron use was commonly effective irrespective of the preoperative iron deficiency. Although some studies for different surgical procedures reported that iron supplementation after surgery is unnecessary for recovery if the iron level before surgery is adequate, those prior studies were not focused on the specific clinical setting of acute isovolemic anemia\(^{17,18}\). The pre- and immediate postoperative iron level should be checked to determine whether IV-iron supplementation for acute isovolemic anemia is equally effective for preoperative iron deficiency and normal iron storage in a future prospective study.

Although the Hb level after transfusion therapy might increase more rapidly than the level following iron treatment, transfusion alone does not replenish the iron stores, which could eventually be depleted for several months\(^{19}\). Iron supplementation is necessary to restore the Hb level effectively. Furthermore, for post-gastrectomy patients, the diet is severely restricted during the early postoperative months, and iron absorption is reduced.

**Figure 1** Changes in hemoglobin levels 1, 3, and 6 mo after treatment in the iron and observation groups. *P < 0.05 between iron and observation groups.

**Figure 2** Estimation of slopes for changes in hemoglobin levels in the iron and observation groups by univariate linear regression test.
Furthermore, the clinical observation of acute post-operative isovolemic anemia without iron supplementation may be tolerable for some patients to return to a normal Hb level but may result in chronic anemia in other patients. The present analysis revealed that 10.5% of the patients in the observation group developed chronic anemia (Hb < 9 g/dL) by 12 mo post-operatively. On the contrary, no patient in the iron group developed chronic anemia.

Many physicians may be reluctant to use iron dextran as a transfusion alternative because iron dextran is known to cause life-threatening anaphylactic reactions in up to 0.6% of treated patients because of the high molecular weight. Furthermore, IV-iron is believed to produce oxidative stress, inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and renal injuries. However, a recent study reported that the rates of adverse drug effects, life-threatening events, and allergic reactions for iron sucrose are extremely rare: 19.8 per million, 0.6 per million and 2.0 per million, respectively. In the current analysis with iron sucrose, there were no adverse events, such as severe anaphylactic reactions or mortality. The rate of adverse events of low molecular weight IV-iron was recently reported to be lower than that of high molecular weight IV-iron.

The Hb levels became steady three months postoperatively irrespective of iron supplementation. As Liedman et al demonstrated, energy intake decreases in the early postoperative period after gastrectomy due to the difficulty of food intake and remained constant or increased only after the first three months after surgery, a dietary factor that could possibly explain these findings. Most importantly the Hb level in the iron group was higher than in the observation group at 3, 6, 9 and 12 mo postoperatively and may support the idea that iron supplementation in the early postoperative period might be helpful in maintaining normal Hb levels. The current retrospective analysis has several limitations. The data were retrospectively collected from a prospectively documented database. Furthermore, the clinical outcomes of earlier Hb level recovery were not evaluated, and the quality of life of the patients was not measured and compared. It is thus debatable whether earlier Hb recovery actually translates into measurable patient benefits. Further, no data were collected concerning the patients’ ferritin, iron, and TIBC levels. Finally, IV-iron was used based on clinical experience. The authors are well aware of the limitations of this retrospective analysis, but the main intention was to demonstrate that IV-iron administration for acute isovolemic anemia may be more beneficial than clinical observation alone. Therefore, our institution is initiating a randomized controlled trial to clarify these uncertainties.

In conclusion, the current analysis demonstrates that IV-iron supplementation might be an effective treatment for postoperative isovolemic post-gastrectomy anemia and appears to be a better alternative than clinical observation. An additional prospective trial is needed to determine the proper indications of IV-iron in postoperative isovolemic anemia for gastric cancer patients.
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