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Rutin-mediated Apoptosis and Glutathione Changes in Ascites Dalton’s Lymphoma Cells: In silico Analysis of Rutin Interactions with Some Antiapoptotic and Glutathione-related Proteins
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Rutin-induced changes in apoptosis and reduced glutathione levels was studied in murine ascites Dalton’s lymphoma cells under different treatment conditions followed by the binding affinity of rutin and cis-diaminedichloroplatinum (II) with two antiapoptotic proteins and two glutathione-related enzymes was examined through molecular docking analysis. Rutin, as well as cis-diaminedichloroplatinum (II) induced apoptosis and a decrease in reduced glutathione level in Dalton’s lymphoma cells. The molecular interactions of rutin, cis-diaminedichloroplatinum (II) and a known inhibitor of the protein/ enzyme showed that the binding free energies (ΔG) with antiapoptotic proteins, Bcl-xL and c-FLIP, and glutathione-related enzymes such as glutathione S-transferase and glutathione reductase were closer and comparable, which might suggest inhibitory potential of rutin on these proteins/enzymes and could play a role in its anticancer activity.
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Rutin or rutoside or sophorin is quercetin-3-rutinoside, a citrus flavonoid glycoside found in a wide variety of plants and has been reported to exhibit anticancer effect against many cancers[1-3]. Molecular docking is a frequently used tool in computer-aided structure-based rational drug design, which may help in evaluating how a small molecule called ligand and the target macromolecule (proteins/enzymes) fit together[4,5]. The anticancer activity of rutin involves inhibition of cell proliferation, a decrease in reduced glutathione (GSH) and induction of apoptosis in cancer cells[6,7]. Cis-diaminedichloroplatinum (II) (CDDP) is a well-known platinum-based cancer chemotherapeutic drug and its anticancer properties have been credited to its DNA binding ability, induction of apoptosis and decrease in glutathione level in cancer cells[8,9]. Therefore, to have a comparative study, CDDP was used as a reference anticancer drug in the present study.

Apoptosis is a genetically regulated programmed cell death and the efficacy of anticancer drugs is often measured by their ability to selectively promote apoptosis in cancer cells[10]. B-cell lymphoma-extra-large (Bcl-xL), a member of the Bcl-2 family of proteins, is an antiapoptotic protein, which leads to caspase activation and ultimately, programmed cell death[11]. c-FLIP (cellular FLICE, a FADD-like IL-1β-converting enzyme-inhibitory protein) is a major antiapoptotic protein and an important factor that suppresses cytokine- and chemotherapy-induced apoptosis[12]. GSH, a tri-peptide of L-cysteine, L-glutamic acid and glycine, plays important roles in antioxidant defense, nutrient metabolism, and regulation of cellular processes, including cell differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis[13]. Glutathione S-transferases (GST) catalyzes the conjugation of GSH to xenobiotic substrates for the purpose of detoxification. Glutathione reductase (GR) catalyzes the reduction of glutathione disulphide to GSH[14].

Known inhibitors such as ABT-737, plumbagin, ethacrynic acid, and ajoene for Bcl-xL, c-FLIP, GST
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and GR, respectively were also used for comparative in silico study. ABT-737 is a small molecule drug and a known inhibitor of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL protein[15]. Plumbagin inhibits and down-regulates c-FLIP expression[16]. Ethacrynic acid is a potent inhibitor of GST family members, which are enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism[17]. Ajoene is a covalent inhibitor as well as a substrate of human GR[18].

On the basis of the significance of changes in apoptosis and glutathione in cancer chemotherapy, the present study was aimed to determine apoptosis and GSH level in murine ascites Dalton’s lymphoma (DL) cells under different treatment conditions and subsequently to perform in silico binding analysis using rutin, CDDP and a known inhibitor as a ligand with two antiapoptotic proteins, Bcl-xL and c-FLIP and two GSH-related enzymes, GST and GR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rutin (CAS No. 153-18-4, rutin hydrate) with ≥94 % purity was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). CDDP solution (1 mg/ml of 0.9 %, NaCl) was obtained from Biochem Pharmaceutical Industries, Mumbai, India.

Tumor maintenance and drugs treatment schedule:

Originally, inbred Swiss albino mice were procured from Pasteur Institute, Shillong, India and inbred mice colony was maintained at 24±2° in a standard laboratory condition by providing food pellets (Amrut Laboratory, New Delhi) and drinking water to the animals ad libitum. Ascites DL tumor was maintained in vivo in 10-12 w old mice of both sexes weighing 25-30 g by serial intraperitoneal (ip) transplantation of viable tumor cells (1×10⁷ cells in 0.25 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) to the animals as per the established procedure in the lab. Following tumor transplantation, an increase in abdomen size and body weight associated with sluggish movement of animals was noted from 3-4 d onwards, which was an early sign of tumor progression and malignancy[19,20]. Tumor-transplanted hosts usually survive for 19-21 d.

Estimation of GSH:

Total GSH in DL cells was determined using the method of Sedlak and Lindsay[22]. Briefly, 5 % homogenates of DL cells were prepared in 0.02 M EDTA (pH 4.7). One hundred microlitres of the homogenate or pure glutathione was added to 1.0 ml of 0.2 mol/l Tris-EDTA buffer, pH 8.2. To this, 0.9 ml of EDTA (0.02 mol/l, pH 4.7) with 20 µl of Ellman’s reagent (10 mM DTNB in methanol) were added. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min and the absorbance of the clear supernatant was read against a reagent blank at 412 nm in a Varian Carey-50 spectrophotometer. The results were read from a standard curve prepared from 1 mM solution of GSH.

In silico study:

The 3-D structures of the ligands, rutin (CID 5280805), CDDP (CID 2767) and inhibitors ABT-737 (CID
11228183), plumbagin (CID 10205), ethacrynic acid (CID 3278) and ajoene (CID 5386591) were obtained from PubChem Database\textsuperscript{[23]}. The 3-D structures of the proteins Bcl-xL (2YXJ), c-FLIP (3H13), glutathione transferase (2A2R) and GR (3DK8) were obtained from Protein Database Bank (PDB)\textsuperscript{[24]}.

These retrieved structures were modified and used for carrying out molecular docking studies using Autodock 4.2 software\textsuperscript{[25]}. The proteins were individually loaded in Autodock 4.2 software. Any bound ligands and heteroatoms like water and ions were removed. Polar hydrogen bonds and Kollman charges were added to these proteins. The coordinates of the grid box were defined for individual proteins so that it covered the entire protein. Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm\textsuperscript{[26]}, was implemented in the docking study where the ligand, rutin, and CDDP were docked against these proteins individually. The binding energies of the protein-ligand complexes were evaluated/calculated in Autodock 4.2 based on the Eqn., $\Delta G = (V_{P-L[unbound]} + V_{P[bound]} - V_{P-L[bound]} + V_{L[bound]} + V_{L[unbound]} + \Delta S_{conf})$, where, $P$ refers to the protein; $L$ refers to the ligand; $V$ represents the pair-wise evaluations and $S$ denotes the loss of conformational entropy upon binding. The binding free energies obtained for all ligands and receptor interactions are shown in Table 1. Further, the ligplot analysis was carried out\textsuperscript{[27]}, for rutin-receptor complexes to analyse the protein residues involved in the interactions, as rutin was the main drug to explore the binding interactions.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Viable DL cells nuclei stain green due to the permeability of only AO whereas apoptotic cells appear red/orange due to co-staining of AO and EB dyes. Rutin treatment induced various apoptotic features in DL cells. The prominent apoptotic features such as membrane blebbing (MB), apoptotic bodies (AB) and chromatin condensation (CC) were observed in rutin as well as CDDP-treated groups in a time-dependent manner (fig. 1). The apoptotic cells (% control) obtained in the rutin-treated group was ~53 % whereas in the CDDP-treated group was ~64 % (fig. 2). Rutin, as well as CDDP treatment, caused a substantial decrease in GSH level in DL cells (fig. 3).

The molecular docking of different ligands and the proteins depicting their binding energy are shown in Table 1. The lowest binding energy between the protein and the ligand represents the best binding conformation and the present findings showed that the binding energy for rutin with GR was lowest (~5.36 kcal/mol). The ligplot analysis showed the interacting residues between the ligand and the protein. Rutin binds to Bcl-xL with $\Delta G$ of ~3.27 kcal/mol, which was comparable with CDDP (~3.10 kcal/mol) and $\Delta G$ obtained with known inhibitor ABT-737 was ~10.88 kcal/mol. The binding modes analysis of rutin-Bcl-xL revealed that it formed 9 hydrogen bonds and the number of non-bonded contacts was 96. The residues involved in the interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are Asn128, Phe131, Gln160, Val161, and Ser164; while the residues mediated by hydrophobic contacts or non-bonded contacts are Arg132, Asp133, Gly134, Val135, Leu162 and Val163 (fig. 4A and B, Table 2).

Rutin binds to c-FLIP with $\Delta G$ of ~3.17 kcal/mol, which was closer with CDDP interaction (~3.07 kcal/mol) and comparable with known inhibitor plumbagin (~6.00 kcal/mol). The binding modes analysis of rutin with c-FLIP revealed that it formed 8 hydrogen bonds and a number of non-bonded contacts were 54. The residues involved in the rutin-c-FLIP interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are Asn128, Phe131, Gln160, Val161, and Ser164; while the residues mediated by hydrophobic contacts or non-bonded contacts are Gly317, Ser318, Ser329, Pro332 and His334 (fig. 4C and D, Table 2).

Rutin binds to c-FLIP with $\Delta G$ of ~3.17 kcal/mol, which was closer with CDDP interaction (~3.07 kcal/mol) and comparable with known inhibitor plumbagin (~6.00 kcal/mol). The binding modes analysis of rutin with c-FLIP revealed that it formed 8 hydrogen bonds and a number of non-bonded contacts were 54. The residues involved in the interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are Asn128, Phe131, Gln160, Val161, and Ser164; while the residues mediated by hydrophobic contacts or non-bonded contacts are Gly317, Ser318, Ser329, Pro332 and His334 (fig. 4C and D, Table 2).

Rutin, CDDP or known inhibitor ethacrynic acid binds to GST with $\Delta G$ of ~4.58 kcal/mol, ~3.29 kcal/mol and ~6.65 kcal/mol, respectively. The binding modes analysis of rutin with GST revealed that it formed 8 hydrogen bonds and a number of non-bonded contacts were 56. The residues involved in the interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are Tyr7, Trp38, Lys44, Leu52, and Tyr108; while the interactions mediated by hydrophobic contacts or non-bonded contacts are
Phe8, Val10, Arg13, Pro53 and Ile104 (figs. 5A and B, Table 2).

Rutin showed better binding energy with GR (–5.36 kcal/mol) as compared to CDDP (–2.83 kcal/mol) and known inhibitor ajoene (–4.92 kcal/mol). This might suggest that rutin has inhibitory potential comparable to that of the known inhibitor. Rutin and GR interactions formed 5 hydrogen bonds and a number of non-bonded contacts were 59. The residues involved in the interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are His82, Tyr85 and Tyr407, while

Fig. 1: Morphological features of apoptotic and viable DL cells stained with acridine orange and ethidium bromide (A) Dalton’s lymphoma (DL) cells from tumor-bearing mice treated with rutin showing 24-96 h duration. (B) DL cells from tumor-bearing mice treated with CDDP for the 24-96 h duration. Prominent apoptotic features such as membrane blebbing (MB), apoptotic bodies (AB), chromatin condensation (CC), early apoptosis (EA) and late apoptosis (LA) were noted. Green nuclei are viable cells (VC) and red/orange nuclei indicate apoptotic cells (EA/LA). Control=DL cells from tumor-bearing mice without any treatment; CDDP=cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II). Scale bar of each picture is 50 μm (magnification: x100)

Fig. 2: The pattern of apoptotic DL cells (% control) obtained in treated groups
- Rutin treatment, ■ CDDP treatment, results are expressed as mean±SD (standard deviation), n=3; CDDP=cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II)

Rutin showed better binding energy with GR (–5.36 kcal/mol) as compared to CDDP (–2.83 kcal/mol) and known inhibitor ajoene (–4.92 kcal/mol). This might suggest that rutin has inhibitory potential comparable to that of the known inhibitor.

Fig. 3: Changes in GSH content in DL cells from tumor-bearing mice under different treatment conditions
- Control, □ rutin treatment, ■ CDDP treatment, results are expressed as mean±SD, n=3; CDDP=cis-diammine dichloroplatinum (II),*p≤0.05 as compared to the corresponding control

and GR interactions formed 5 hydrogen bonds and a number of non-bonded contacts were 59. The residues involved in the interaction mediated by hydrogen bonds are His82, Tyr85 and Tyr407, while
the residues mediated by hydrophobic contacts or non-bonded contacts are: His75, Phe78, Asp81, and Phe87 (fig. 5C and D, Table 2).

Rutin is one of the phenolic compounds found in a wide variety of plants and has been reported to exhibit anticancer properties against many cancers.[1]. The anticancer activity of rutin involves inhibition of cell proliferation, a decrease in GSH and induction of apoptosis in cancer cells.[2,6]. The fluorescence-based method has been used very commonly for the detection of apoptosis in cells.[27]. The fluorescence-based apoptosis determination in DL cells using AO/EB staining showed that rutin treatment significantly increased CC, nuclei fragmentation, the appearance of more AB and MB in DL cells (fig. 1). The number of apoptotic cells increased significantly after rutin treatment and it was comparable with that of CDDP treatment (fig. 2). Rutin has been known to induce apoptosis in cancers as a mode of its anticancer activity.[28-30]. The lower intracellular GSH levels decrease cellular antioxidant capacity whereas elevated GSH levels generally increase antioxidant capacity and resistance to oxidative stress.[31]. The GSH level decreased in DL cells after rutin treatment as observed for CDDP treatment also (fig. 3). The observed decrease in GSH content in DL cells in control animals at d 11-14 of tumor growth might be associated with increased tumor burden along with decreased GSH metabolism/synthesis in the hosts and has also been reported with a similar trend for Ehrlich ascites tumor cells.[32]. However, with drug treatment at these time points observed further decrease may be due to inhibition of the

Fig. 4: Molecular docking of rutin with Bcl-xL and c-FLIP
A. Interaction complex of rutin-Bcl-xL, B. ligplot analysis of rutin and Bcl-xL, C. interaction complex of rutin-c-FLIP and D. ligplot analysis of rutin and c-FLIP
enzymes GST, GR associated with GSH metabolism. The possible inhibitory potential of the drugs on these enzymes as noted in in silico analysis along with the inhibition in antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-xL and c-FLIP should be facilitating deaths in DL cells. The decrease in GSH level in DL cells could deteriorate the defensive ability of these cells and contribute to the tumor cells death (fig. 1). The rutin-mediated induction in apoptosis and decrease in GSH level in DL cells may involve changes in antiapoptotic proteins

### TABLE 2: MOLECULAR INTERACTIONS OF RUTIN WITH BCL-xL, c-FLIP, GST AND GR

| Receptor protein | PDB ID | Binding energy (ΔG) [kcal/mol] | Residues mediated by hydrogen bonds | Residues mediated by hydrophobic non-bonded contacts | Final intermolecular energy (kcal/mol) | No. of H-bond |
|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Bcl-xL           | 2YXJ   | -3.27                         | Asn128, Phe131, Gln160, Val161 and Ser164 | Arg132, Asp133, Gly134, Val135, Leu162, and Val163 | -8.04                               | 9             |
| c-FLIP           | 3H13   | -3.17                         | Gin319, Ser320, Tyr322, His328, and Gly330 | Gly317, Ser318, Ser329, Pro332, and His334           | -7.95                               | 8             |
| GST              | 2A2R   | -4.58                         | Tyr7, Trp38, Lys44, Leu52, and Tyr108 | Phe8, Val10, Arg13, Pro53, and Ile104                | -9.35                               | 8             |
| GR               | 3DK8   | -5.36                         | His75, Phe78, Asp81, and Phe87        |                                                     | -10.14                              | 5             |

Fig. 5: Binding of rutin with glutathione S-transferase and glutathione reductase
A. Interaction complex of rutin-glutathione S-transferase, B. ligplot analysis of rutin and glutathione S-transferase, C. interaction complex of rutin-glutathione reductase and D. ligplot analysis of rutin and glutathione reductase
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and GSH related enzymes, thus, these were examined through molecular docking analysis using their known inhibitor and other established anticancer drug, CDDP for comparison.

Molecular docking is of ample importance in the study and design of new drugs due to its ability to predict the binding-conformation of small molecule ligands to the appropriate target binding site. The interaction property, in turn, may also be used to predict the binding orientations of drug candidates to protein/ enzyme targets in order to presume the affinity and activity of the drug molecule[33]. The in silico docking analysis indicated that rutin strongly binds to the active site of antiapoptotic proteins i.e., Bcl-xL and c-FLIP, which were comparable with that of CDDP (Table 1). As the binding energy of rutin and CDDP is very close to each other, their binding affinities may also be similar. However, the binding energy of their known inhibitors was much lower than rutin or CDDP, this may indicate stronger inhibitory activity (fig. 4; Table 1). Binding energy is correlated with the probability of affinity and may also predict the bioactivity value for a ligand to the corresponding receptor. The ligplot analysis revealed that rutin interacts with Bcl-xL and c-FLIP involving 9 and 8 hydrogen bonds, respectively (Table 2). During the effect of an apoptotic related drug generally antiapoptotic and pro-apoptotic proteins activity is affected in the opposite way i.e. during the increase in the activity of a pro-apoptotic protein there is a simultaneous decrease in the antiapoptotic protein activity. A study has shown that combined administration of CDDP and bortezomib caused up-regulation of caspase-3, -8 and -9 and simultaneously down-regulated Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL protein expression levels in T24 cells[34]. The in silico docking study using 5 derivatives of gallic acid against Bcl-xL protein, it was noted that 3,4,5-trimethoxycis-2-hexenylgallate serves as a potential inhibitor of antiapoptotic Bcl-xL protein and could be a promising candidate to develop as an anticancer agent for treating breast cancer[35]. Gorakh et al.[36] reported the interaction of the c-FLIP with the natural and synthetic inhibitors that stop the activity of c-FLIP with highest binding shown by droxinostat and chrysin and inhibition of c-FLIP could help in increasing the apoptosis of cancer cells. Thus, the present observation of rutin’s interaction with Bcl-xL and c-FLIP (Table 2) might also involve a similar effect in increasing the apoptosis in DL cells.

GST and GR are frequently over-expressed in different types of cancers[37,38]. It was noted that rutin binds to GST and GR with a binding energy of −4.58 kcal/mol and −5.36 kcal/mol, respectively (Table 1) which was comparable and closer to the binding energy obtained for CDDP and known inhibitor. Rutin interacted with GST and GR involving 8 and 5 hydrogen bonds, respectively as revealed by the ligplot analysis (Table 2). Methotrexate was reported to inhibit GST activity and a molecular docking study showed that methotrexate interacted with the active site of GST[39].

The findings revealed that rutin induced apoptosis and decreased antioxidant ability (GSH level) in DL cells. Molecular interactions of rutin with antiapoptotic proteins, Bcl-xL, c-FLIP, and glutathione-related enzymes, GST and GR showed substantial binding energy comparable to that of CDDP and a specific inhibitor, which might indicate the potency of inhibition of these proteins/enzymes. This inhibitory potential could be involved in increasing apoptosis along with a decrease in GSH in DL cells and in the anticancer activity of rutin.
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