A 82-year-old woman was transferred to our hospital from another centre after sustaining a fall, which had been preceded by several days of right hip pain. She had undergone a right total hip arthroplasty (uncemented with femoral head of cobalt–chromium alloy) for osteoarthritis decades earlier. Her medical history was remarkable for left renal cell carcinoma treated with nephrectomy 16 years earlier, asthma, hypertension, dyslipidemia and hypothyroidism. The patient had no systemic illness or trauma before her symptoms.

Initial right hip radiography was negative for fracture. Subsequent computed tomography showed a complex collection at the right hip prosthesis, measuring 3.5 × 4.8 × 11.5 cm in the largest dimension (Figure 1). The patient was transferred to the orthopedic surgery service at our hospital with concern for a prosthetic joint infection.

On examination, the patient was afebrile and hemodynamically stable. There was no erythema, edema or drainage around the surgical site. She had substantial pain with motion of her right hip. Investigations showed leukocytosis, with a leukocyte count of 17.3 (normal 4.5–11.0) ×10⁹/L, and a neutrophil count of 15.4 (normal 2.0–7.5) ×10⁹/L. C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) were elevated to 312.7 (normal ≤ 5.0) mg/L and 101 (normal ≤ 20) mm/h, respectively. Arthrocentesis showed 127 (normal < 0.2) ×10⁹ cells/L, 100% of which were neutrophils. Culture was positive for *Lactobacillus paracasei*.

Two sets of blood cultures were negative.

The patient was started empirically on ceftriaxone, 1 g/d given intravenously.

A 2-stage revision arthroplasty was planned. The first stage of the 2-stage revision involves surgical removal of an infected prosthesis (or other foreign material) and implantation of an antibiotic-impregnated cement spacer, followed by 4 to 6 weeks of intravenous or highly bioavailable oral antibiotic therapy. On successful treatment of the infection, the second stage of the procedure is performed, which involves implantation of a new prosthesis.

Infected tissue and purulence were identified during the patient’s first-stage revision. A cement spacer impregnated with vancomycin and tobramycin was implanted. All intraoperative samples (6/6) grew *L. paracasei* in culture. The patient was placed on a 6-week course of ampicillin, 2 g given intravenously every 4 hours. The patient’s symptoms were quiescent during treatment with ampicillin, but the right hip pain did not fully resolve.

**Figure 1:** Computed tomography scan of the pelvis showing a complex fluid collection around the right prosthetic hip (red arrow) in an 82-year-old woman who was subsequently diagnosed with a prosthetic joint infection after right total hip arthroplasty caused by *Lactobacillus paracasei*. The fluid collection extended inferiorly along the femoral shaft and superiorly along the iliopsoas muscle.

**KEY POINTS**

- Prosthetic joint infections are serious complications of joint arthroplasties, associated with prosthetic failures, revision surgeries, and increased morbidity and mortality.
- The presence of a sinus tract to the prosthesis and 2 or more cultures positive for the same organism are considered diagnostic of a prosthetic joint infection.
- Management of prosthetic joint infections involves surgical intervention coupled with prolonged courses of antibiotics.
- *Lactobacillus* species are commensal organisms and are commonly used as probiotics; however, they are capable of causing severe invasive infections.
The preoperative diagnosis of prosthetic joint infections is often challenging. Early-onset infections present with features of septic arthritis, including acute pain, erythema, edema, drainage and poor wound healing. Delayed-onset infections can masquerade as aseptic failure, with chronic pain and loosening. The presence of a sinus tract communicating with the prosthesis is diagnostic for infection. On laboratory investigations, CRP and ESR are frequently elevated. Diagnostic arthrocentesis should be performed in all suspected cases, preferably in consultation with an orthopedic surgeon owing to the potential for direct inoculation of bacteria. Elevated synovial-fluid leukocyte count with neutrophilic predominance and positive bacterial culture are indicative of infection. Synovial-fluid leukocyte counts are often much lower than what is seen in native-joint septic arthritis.

The diagnosis is often made intraoperatively. Two or more tissue cultures (or in combination with specimens from arthrocentesis) positive for the same organism is diagnostic for a prosthetic joint infection, though isolation of virulent organisms (e.g., \textit{S. aureus}, gram-negative bacilli) in a single specimen is highly concerning as well. Purulence surrounding the prosthesis and histopathologic evidence of inflammation are also suggestive. Given the importance of microbiologic identification, antimicrobials should be withheld for at least 2 weeks before specimen collection in clinically stable patients. Guidelines are available to assist clinicians with diagnosis. \textsuperscript{4,5}

The treatment of prosthetic joint infections includes both surgical and antibiotic management. We suggest consulting an expert in infectious diseases. The primary surgical options, with their indications and associated antibiotic management, are summarized in Box 1. Débridement, antibiotics and implant retention (DAIR) involves irrigation and débridement of the infected prosthesis, often with exchange of the polyethylene liner covering the joint interface. One-stage revision arthroplasty, common in Europe and increasingly used in North America, involves removal of the infected prosthesis and reimplantation of a new prosthesis during the same surgery. \textsuperscript{4} There is increasing evidence that 1-stage arthroplasties have similar outcomes to 2-stage revision arthroplasties, which have generally been considered the gold-standard method for treating prosthetic joint infections. \textsuperscript{4} The antimicrobial regimen for both DAIR and 1-stage revision arthroplasty involves up to 6 weeks of intravenous antibiotics, followed by 6 weeks of a highly bioavailable oral antibiotic (extended to 12 weeks for knee arthroplasty infections). Adjunctive rifampin is indicated with staphylococcal infections. Chronic suppressive oral antibiotics should be considered on a case-by-case basis. Given the need for prolonged oral antibiotics, these options are ideally performed when the causative organism is identified preoperatively and susceptible to highly bioavailable oral antibiotics. However, the microbiologic etiology may be elusive until intraoperative cultures are obtained. Two-stage revision arthroplasty, described in this case, should be considered only for patients who can withstand 2 separate surgeries. Permanent resection arthroplasty, which was ultimately performed in our patient, or amputation may be required in select severe or nonresolving cases. \textsuperscript{4}
### Lactobacillus species

*Lactobacillus* species are gram-positive nonsporulating anaerobic bacilli that are part of the normal oral, gastrointestinal and female genitourinary microbiota. Probiotic strains are widely used to improve gastrointestinal health. However, *Lactobacillus* can cause clinically significant infections, particularly in patients who are immunocompromised or with critical illness.⁷,⁸ Bacteremia and infective endocarditis are most common, though intra-abdominal, respiratory and neurologic infections have also been reported.⁹ *Lactobacillus* has rarely been implicated in prosthetic joint infections, with only 3 previous case reports.⁹–¹¹

The source of our patient’s *L. paracasei* prosthetic joint infection is not clear. Computed tomography of her abdomen and pelvis did not show any intra-abdominal pathology. She had not undergone recent odontogenic, abdominal or genitourinary procedures. In addition, the persistence and severity of our patient’s prosthetic joint infection was unexpected, given appropriate surgical and antibiotic treatment, and the absence of substantial immunocompromise. Adding gentamicin for synergy following the initial first-stage revision may have more effectively treated the infection. Although no other microorganisms were identified, cultures may have been sterilized by perioperative antibiotics; mixed infection by other pathogens is therefore possible. Mycobacterial and fungal cultures were never ordered, but the patient had no risk factors for infections with these organisms. Invasive *Lactobacillus* infections have been associated with substantial mortality, though in highly comorbid patients.⁸

There are no guidelines on antibiotic therapy for invasive infections by *Lactobacillus*. Bacteremia and, by association, other invasive infections are usually treated with benzylpenicillins (e.g., penicillin G) or aminopenicillins (e.g., ampicillin), often in combination with an aminoglycoside.⁷ In general, studies have shown that *Lactobacillus* has greater susceptibility to penicillins, carbapenems, clindamycin and erythromycin.⁷,⁸ However, susceptibilities differ by species; one study of 85 blood isolates of *Lactobacillus* found widely variable susceptibilities to penicillins between species.⁷

### Conclusion

This case highlights the diagnostic and therapeutic considerations of prosthetic joint infections. Multidisciplinary management is encouraged, as treatment involves surgical intervention coupled with prolonged antibiotic regimens. Invasive infections by *Lactobacillus* species are rare but have been well documented; isolation of *Lactobacillus* therefore requires treatment in the appropriate clinical contexts.
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