INTRODUCTION

Since introduced by Brånemark in Sweden, the dental implant has been remarkably developed, and has positioned as one of the methods with predictable prosthodontic treatment.1 Accompanying with the enhancement of the dentistry, the esthetic demand of patients has been also elevated. According to this, the ceramic restoration has been increasingly used in fabrication of the implant prostheses on the anterior region.2 In addition, the recently increase of the gold prices and the development of CAD-CAM technology have been a factor which has dramatically elevated the frequency of using the ceramic materials, on making the dental prosthesis.3,4

The esthetics has become more important in making anterior implant prostheses, while it is unfortunate that the esthetic restorations for anterior teeth have been the most difficult to gain predictable results of the implant treatment. It has been caused by the variety of the healing pattern of alveolar bone and overlying gingiva according to the individual.5 The predictable problems of the anterior implant prostheses are the occurrence of the black triangle between teeth due to the loss of the interdental papilla,6 the phenomenon that the teeth have been looked long due to the gingival recession7 and the shadow effect that the underlying implant has looked grey due to the thin gingiva on the anterior region.8-10 Especially, in case of the tooth loss caused by severe periodontal disease, these aforesaid problems have been more deteriorated. In this case, the effort to regenerate the damaged periodontal tissue through various way of soft or hard tissue graft has been made, and many successful results have been actually obtained.5,7,9,10 However, in case of destructive loss of periodontal tissues, the effect and the application extent of these periodontal surgeries are limited. These surgeries could not be the ideal treatment for severe defects and the longer treatment time with the higher cost could be demerits for the regenerative surgeries.12 Thus, it is essential to fabricate the implant prostheses including the artificial gingiva using the gingiva-colored materials like pink resin or pink porcelain.
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study is to evaluate and compare the shear bond strength of the gingiva-colored composite resin and the tooth-colored composite resin to porcelain, metal and zirconia. MATERIALS AND METHODS. Sixty cylindrical specimens were fabricated and divided into the following 6 groups (Group 1-W: tooth-colored composite bonded to porcelain, Group 1-P: gingiva-colored composite bonded to porcelain, Group 2-W: tooth-colored composite bonded to base metal, Group 2-P: gingiva-colored composite bonded to base metal, Group 3-W: tooth-colored composite bonded to zirconia, Group 3-P: gingiva-colored composite bonded to zirconia). The shear bond strength was measured with a universal testing machine after thermocycling and the failure mode was noted. All data were analyzed using the two-way analysis of variance test and the Bonferroni post-hoc test at a significance level of 0.05. RESULTS. The mean shear bond strength values in MPa were 12.39, 13.42, 8.78, 7.98, 4.64 and 3.74 for Group 1-W, 1-P, 2-W, 2-P, 3-W and 3-P, respectively. The difference between the two kinds of composite resin was not significant. The shear bond strength of Group 1 was the highest and that of Group 3 was the lowest. The differences among Group 1, 2 and 3 were all significant (P<.05). CONCLUSION. The shear bond strength of the gingiva-colored composite was not less than that of the tooth-colored composite. Thus, repairing or fabricating ceramic restorations using the gingiva-colored composite resin can be regarded as a practical method. Especially, the prognosis would be fine when applied on porcelain surfaces. [J Adv Prosthodont 2011;3:166-71]
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fabrication of specimens

A total of sixty cylindrical specimens were fabricated according to the manufacturer’s instructions: twenty from feldspathic porcelain (VITA VM13, Vident, CA, USA) with 1.0 mm thickness and 10.0 mm diameter, twenty from nickel-chromium base metal alloy (Bellabond Plus, BEGO, Bremen, Germany) with 1.0 mm thickness and 8.0 mm diameter and twenty from zirconia (ZirBlank, ACUCERA, Gyeonggi, Korea) with 1.0 mm thickness and 11.0 mm diameter.

Group 1: A group of feldspathic porcelain specimens. A silane coupling agent (Monobond S, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) was applied to all kinds of porcelain surfaces and light-cured for 40 seconds.

Group 2: A group of base metal alloy specimens. The metal surfaces were treated with a metal primer (Metal/Zirconia Primer, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) for 180 seconds and dried completely. In order to mask the shade of base metal alloy, an opaque (Monopaque, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) was applied to the metal surfaces and light-cured for 40 seconds.

Group 3: A group of zirconia specimens. Zirconia surfaces were also treated with a metal primer (Metal/Zirconia Primer, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) for 180 seconds and dried completely.

After the surface treatments, an adhesive (Heliobond, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) was applied on the porcelain surface and allowed to react for 60 seconds, then air-dried.

Surface treatment and composite resin bonding

The surfaces of the specimens were treated with a commercially available porcelain repair system (Ceramic Repair, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The detailed information on components of Ceramic Repair is listed on Table 1. First, 50 μm aluminum oxide were blasted on all specimens for 15 seconds with 2 bar pressure. For the purpose of cleaning the surfaces, 37% Phosphoric acid (Total Etch, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) was applied for 180 seconds and dried completely. In order to remove any resin oozing from the edge of the specimens was carefully removed after complete polymerization of the acrylic resin. Any resin oozing from the edge of the specimens was carefully removed with Sof-Lex™ Extra Thin disk (3M, ESPE, AG, Seefeld, Germany). The specimens were cleaned using an ultrasonic cleaner with distilled water.
The three groups of specimens were arbitrarily divided into two subgroups (P group and W group) so that each subgroup consisted of ten specimens. After the surface treatments, gingiva-colored composite resin (Anaxgum gingival paste, ANAXDENT, Stuttgart, Germany) and tooth-colored composite resin (Tetric N-ceram, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) were bonded onto each subgroup of specimens respectively. In order to obtain an equal bonding area, a glass cylindrical matrix with 6.0 mm inner-diameter and 3 mm thickness was used. The composite resin was applied in a thickness of 2.0 mm, and then cured for 20 seconds. After the glass matrix was removed, an additional 20 seconds of visible light was applied. As a result, 6 groups of specimens were fabricated as shown in Fig. 1.

Storage of specimens and measurement of shear bond strength

All specimens were stored in distilled water for 15 hours. Then, the specimens were thermocycled between 5°C and 55°C for 1000 cycles with a 30 second-dwell time. After thermocycling, they were stored in 37°C distilled water for an additional 15 hours before being subjected to shear load. A universal testing machine (Instron 3345, Instron Corp., Norwood, MA, USA) with a 10 kN load cell, a 0.5 mm/min crosshead speed and a flat-end apparatus was used to direct parallel shearing forces as close as possible to the resin/substrate interface. The shear load in newtons at the point of failure was noted, and force was calculated in MPa. The mode of failure was recorded as being adhesive (failure at the substrate-resin interface), cohesive (failure within the substrate) or a combination (adhesive and cohesive). Photographs of all debonded specimens were taken using a digital camera (EOS 1000D, Canon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Table 1. System components of Ceramic Repair**

| Component                | Chemical composition                                                |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Etch               | 37% Phosphoric acid                                                 |
| Monobond S               | 3-methacryloxypropyl-trimethoxysilane                               |
| Metal/Zirconia Primer    | Phosphoric acid acrylate and methacrylate cross linking agents      |
| Monopaque                | Dimethacrylates: Bis-GMA, urethane dimethacrylate and triethylene glycol dimethacrylate |
| Heliobond                | Bis-GMA, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate                          |
| Tetric N-Ceram           | Light polymerizing hybrid composite restorative material             |

**Fig. 1.** Prepared specimens prior to measurement of shear bond strength. A: white resin bonded to porcelain (Group 1-W), B: white resin bonded to metal (Group 2-W), C: white resin bonded to zirconia (Group 3-W), D: pink resin bonded to porcelain (Group 1-P), E: pink resin bonded to metal (Group 2-P), F: pink resin bonded zirconia (Group 3-P).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS 17.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Two-way analysis of variance was applied to detect any difference between groups, with shear bond strength as the dependent variable, the substrates of specimens and the types of composite resin as the independent factors. Multiple comparisons were made by Bonferroni post-hoc test to determine whether groups were significantly different. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant in all tests.

**RESULTS**

The shear bond strengths of all specimens were measured and the results of the shear bond strength tests are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

The results of the two-way ANOVA test showed that the substrate of specimens significantly affected the shear bond strength between composite resin and the specimens regardless of the types of composite resin (Table 3). According to the results of Bonferroni post-hoc test, the feldspathic porcelain specimens demonstrated the highest shear bond strength among all kinds of specimens and the specimens made from zirconia showed the lowest shear bond strength to composite resin (Table 4). However, for all types of specimens, there were no significant differences between the shear bond strength of tooth-colored resin and gingiva-colored resin.

In each type of specimens, both white and pink resin bonded specimens presented the same failure patterns. In the porcelain specimens, cohesive failures of the porcelain occurred in all specimens. In the base metal specimens, all debonded surfaces showed adhesive failures between the metal surfaces and the opaque. Lastly, all zirconia specimens also showed adhesive failures between the zirconia surfaces and the bonding agents (Fig. 3).
DISCUSSION

The method to overlay the pink composite resin on the pink porcelain core has not been used for a long time since its introduction on the market, but has shown very predictable results. When fabricating the artificial gingiva, using both the pink composite resin and the pink porcelain is much better than using only the pink porcelain in many aspects.11-13 This hybrid technique can facilitate a more biocompatible subgingival environment, and can have strong points to be easy for repair or maintenance, as well as the excellent aesthetics.13 In the event of the tooth extraction due to the severe periodontal disease, the periodontal tissue would remain unstable and the continuous additional bone loss would occur after extracting tooth and inserting the implant.7,10 In this case, although the artificial gingiva has been formed with using the pink porcelain, there is still a high possibility for the marginal integrity of the implant prostheses to be damaged due to the additional bone loss. The method of mounting the provisional restoration without aesthetic concerns and waiting until the periodontal bone become stable also consumes too much time. In this case, the hybrid ceramic and composite artificial gingiva fabricated as the screw-retained type could be an excellent substitution.11-13 It can continue the best esthetic results by repairing the implant prostheses with another add of tissue-colored composite resin, whenever needed.

Also, in case of fracture of the prostheses fabricated with the gingiva-colored porcelain, the pink composite resin can be useful for repairing it. Fracture and chipping of the ceramic restoration is one of the problems frequently occurred in the clinic.17 Especially, the fracture on the labial surface of the implant prostheses at the anterior region has occurred frequently,18 which...
is caused from the brittleness of the ceramic as well as the unbearable mechanical load due to patients’ parafunctional habit or improper occlusion of the implant prostheses.17,18 The gingiva-colored porcelain is expected to receive relatively low mechanical load compared to the white porcelain because it has been mainly applied on the cervical area of implant prostheses where the occlusal force is not applied directly. Thus, it is expected to cause less fracture compared to the area where the direct occlusal force is employed, like the functional cusp of the molar or the incisal edge of the incisor.20 However, mechanical stress could be transmitted from the occlusal surface to the cervical area or periodontal ligament and could cause fracture at cervical region.21,22 In case of the implant prostheses including the artificial gingiva, it could have an undesirable structure to endure the mechanical load as it was relatively lengthened, which could cause the fracture at the area of the artificial gingiva due to the similar mechanism with the cervical abfraction of the tooth. Also, the possibility that the fractured fragment includes the artificial gingiva area cannot be excluded because fracture of the ceramic restoration could occur from the occlusal to the cervical direction.19 In this case, the immediate repair is required by the esthetic reason and the gingiva-colored composite resin could be very useful for it.

This study was done to evaluate the shear bond strength between the gingiva-colored composite resin and various base materials and to decide whether the shear bond strength is clinically sufficient. In order to make a meaningful decision, the clinically sufficient bond strength should be defined first. However, as the study to determine the sufficient strength on the margin of the artificial gingiva has not been actively conducted in present, it is not easy to define the clinically sufficient strength. According to Behr et al., the maximum bite force in the anterior teeth is range from 150 N to 200 N. If the bond strength over 10 MPa can be obtained on the adhered surface, it would be regarded as the sufficient bond strength at the anterior area.20 According to this standard; the pink composite resin has shown the sufficient bond strength only on the feldspathic porcelain, while it has shown insufficient on applying to the metal and zirconia. However, the conclusion that the bond strength between the pink composite and the metal or zirconia could not have the clinically sufficient strength is not correct by the reasons shown as following. Firstly, the pink composite does not receive any direct bite forces because it is mainly applied only to the cervical area. Therefore, the mechanical stress that is applied on the adhered surfaces should be calculated by other method. Secondly, in the real clinical situations, it is possible to obtain the additional bond strength from the surface of the porcelain because the fractured surface includes not only the metal or zirconia but also the near porcelain in the most cases.

The feasibility of the pink composite resin is intended to be verified through the comparison to the ceramic repair method using the tooth-colored composite resin, which is used by many clinicians and accepted as having clinically sufficient bond strength through many research works.15,16 Considering this utility of the pink composite resin and the potential to be used expansively in future, the conclusions of this study that the pink composite has shown the similar bond strength to the white composite can be very meaningful. Moreover, if the adhesion surface can be enlarged by means of forming the bevel on the surface of the porcelain or preparing the retention groove on the surface, it is expected to obtain the bond strength as much as that of feldspathic porcelain.

Considering that the pink composite resin should be bonded on the pink porcelain in the clinical situations, the fact that the shear bond strength between the feldspathic porcelain and the pink composite resin has been shown as the highest among all types of specimens is very meaningful. On measuring the bond strength, all porcelain specimens demonstrated the cohesive failure of the feldspathic porcelain. This could be also the evidence that the bond strength between the composite resin and the feldspathic porcelain is satisfactory. In case of the metal specimens, the major failure pattern was the adhesive failure between the metal surface and the opaque. This result can be interpreted that the application of opaque weakened the adhesion between metal and composite resin. In case of repair of porcelain-fused-metal crowns with the white resin, the application of opaque is obligatory to prevent the reflection of the shade of underlying metal14,21 and it could result in weakened bond strength. However, in most clinical situation, it is possible to complement this weakened bond strength by obtaining additional adhesion from adjacent porcelain surface. Thus, the result that the bond strength between the metal and the composite resin is a little lower does not mean that the composite resin cannot be applied when underlying metal structure is exposed. In case of the zirconia specimens, the result that the shear bond strength has shown low is reasonably expected. According to the results of this study, it is hardly expected to obtain the sufficient bond strength in case of adhering the pink composite resin on the only-exposed surface of zirconia. Although zirconia has been known as having less bond strength with the composite resin compared to other materials,21 many studies has been recently conducted to overcome this weakness through various surface treatments. In this study, the surface of zirconia has been processed according to the manufacturer’s instruction, following the procedure which has been frequently used clinically, while the method to obtain the higher coherence has been introduced by the recent researches. The coherence between the zirconia and the resin is reported to be increased by the various methods including the application of phosphate monomer containing primers26,27 or silane containing primers,28,29 the selective infiltration etching technique30 and tribochemical silica coating.30,31 With using these methods, it is expected to increase the bond strength.
between the pink composite and zirconia.
This study has also an obvious limitation that the actual situations inside the oral cavity could not be simulated as it is. Although the 1000 cycles of thermo-cycling has been implemented to mimic the situations, it is not enough to simulate all the effects. Actually, if it has been exposed to the similar environment inside the oval cavity for a long time, or been through the repetitive thermo-cycling, the shear bond strength is reported to decrease. Therefore, further in vivo study for a long-term basis is needed to determine the physical properties of the pink composite resin.

CONCLUSION
Within the limitation of this study, the following conclusions were drawn:
When adhered on feldspathic porcelain, metal, and zirconia, the shear bond strength of the gingiva-colored composite resin is comparable to that of the tooth-colored composite resin. It is presumed that the best prognosis could be seen when gingiva-colored composite resin is applied to feldspathic porcelain surface.
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