1,5-Anhydroglucitol as a Useful Marker for Assessing Short-Term Glycemic Excursions in Type 1 Diabetes
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Background: Type 1 diabetes is associated with more severe glycemic variability and more frequent hypoglycemia than type 2 diabetes. Glycemic variability is associated with poor glycemic control and diabetic complications. In this study, we demonstrate the clinical usefulness of serum 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) for assessing changes in glycemic excursion in type 1 diabetes.

Methods: Seventeen patients with type 1 diabetes were enrolled in this study. A continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS) was applied twice at a 2-week interval to evaluate changes in glycemic variability. The changes in serum glycemic assays, including 1,5-AG, glycated albumin and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), were also evaluated.

Results: Most subjects showed severe glycemic excursions, including hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. The change in 1,5-AG level was significantly correlated with changes in the glycemic excursion indices of the standard deviation (SD), mean amplitude of glucose excursion (MAGE), lability index, mean postmeal maximum glucose, and area under the curve for glucose above 180 mg/dL ($r = -0.576$, $-0.613$, $-0.600$, $-0.630$, and $-0.500$, respectively; all $P<0.05$). Changes in glycated albumin were correlated with changes in SD and MAGE ($r = 0.495$ and $0.517$, respectively; all $P<0.05$). However, changes in HbA1c were not correlated with any changes in the CGMS variables.

Conclusion: 1,5-AG may be a useful marker for the assessment of short-term changes in glycemic variability. Furthermore, 1,5-AG may have clinical implications for the evaluation and treatment of glycemic excursions in type 1 diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic hyperglycemia is a well-established risk factor for microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetes [1,2]. Recent studies have reported that glycemic excursions, including both hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, are independent risk factors for macrovascular complications [3-5].

Hypoglycemia occurs more frequently in type 1 diabetes than in type 2 diabetes [6]. The titration of insulin doses is indispensable for glycemic control of type 1 diabetes. However, efforts to obtain ideal glycemic control often accompany hypoglycemia, and fear of hypoglycemia often makes glycemic control difficult [7,8]. Therefore, glycemic excursion, including hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, should be evaluated and corrected for proper treatment of type 1 diabetes [9].

A continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS) is currently used to evaluate glycemic excursion, and this is especially useful for type 1 diabetes [10]. Unfortunately, CGMS is expensive and inconvenient because patients must be attached to a needle for several days. Therefore, CGMS is not often used...
in clinical practice. The serum marker hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) is the gold standard for the evaluation of overall glycemic control. However, previous studies have shown that HbA1c levels do not accurately represent glucose fluctuations [5,11]. Therefore, surrogate serum markers that reflect glycemic excursions are needed.

1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) is naturally occurring in the human body and is structurally similar to glucose [12]. It is filtered in the kidneys and 99.9% is reabsorbed in the renal tubules. Under normal conditions, serum levels of 1,5-AG are constant due to a balance between intake and excretion in renal tubules. When blood glucose is higher than the renal threshold of approximately 180 mg/dL, glucose inhibits renal re-absorption of 1,5-AG and serum 1,5-AG levels [13]. Thus, serum 1,5-AG levels are inversely correlated with hyperglycemia. Interestingly, recent studies have reported that 1,5-AG could reflect glucose excursion over a short term period ranging from 1 to 3 days to several weeks [14-16]. However, no previous studies have evaluated whether changes in serum 1,5-AG levels are correlated with changes in glycemic excursions.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether 1,5-AG is a useful marker for evaluating short term changes in glycemic excursion. In this study, we examined whether 1,5-AG could be a useful serum assay for assessment and treatment of glycemic excursion in type 1 diabetes.

METHODS

Study design and patients
Seventeen subjects aged 18 to 65 years with type 1 diabetes were recruited at Severance Hospital, Seoul, Korea. All subjects were treated with multiple daily injections (MDIs) or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII). The exclusion criteria for this study included severe medical illnesses, pregnancy, anemia, serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL, or serum albumin <3.5 mg/dL. CGMS was applied to each patient twice with a 2-week interval. The serum glycemic markers were simultaneously measured for the 2-week interval.

After the first CGMS analysis, the results from CGMS data were analyzed by a single clinician. The patients were subsequently presented with their results and were taught how to manage glucose control via exercise, diet, and adjustment of insulin dosage. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Severance Hospital (4-2011-0144). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Continuous glucose monitoring system and data analysis
A CGMS (Medtronic Minimed, Northridge, CA, USA) was applied to each subject for approximately 72 hours at a 2-week interval. The CGMS sensor measured interstitial tissue fluid glucose every 5 minutes. For calibration, patients were instructed to check their seven-point fingerstick glucose profiles before a meal, 2 hours after a meal, and at bedtime and to enter the data into the CGMS. After 72 hours, the recorded data were downloaded using Medtronic CGMS software. The data recorded during the middle 48 hours were used for analysis in this study. To evaluate glycemic variability, the standard deviation (SD), continuous overall net glycemic action (CONGA), mean amplitude of glycemic excursion (MAGE), lability index (LI), and mean post-meal maximum glucose (MPMG) were calculated using the CGMS data. CONGA-1 was calculated as the SD of the differences between glucose level and the corresponding glucose level measured 1 hour earlier [17]. MAGE was defined as the mean of the absolute difference in peak-to nadir or nadir-to peak direction and was used for assessing intra-day glycemic variability [18]. More than one SD of glycemic excursions were taken into account for MAGE analysis. The LI is calculated by dividing the sum of the square of the difference between successive glucose measurements by the difference in time between measurements [19]. MPMG is the mean of maximal blood glucose levels at 4 hours after consumption of each meal [20]. The area under the curve for a glucose level greater than 180 mg/dL (AUC-180) was measured as the total area of glucose excursions greater than 180 mg/dL [21].

Measurement of serum glycemic markers
Serum levels of fasting glucose and postprandial glucose were measured by standard methods. Serum 1,5-AG was measured using an enzymatic assay kit (Kyowa Medex, Tokyo, Japan) and an auto-Hitachi 7600 DDP analyzer (Hitachi High-Technologies Co., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Serum glycated albumin was measured enzymatically using an albumin-specific proteinase (ketoamine oxidase) and albumin assay reagent (LUCICA GA-L; Asahi Kasei Pharma Co., Tokyo, Japan) with a Hitachi 7699 P-module auto-analyzer (Hitachi Instruments Service, Tokyo, Japan). HbA1c was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography using Variant TM II Turbo (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The normal ranges were 10.7 to 32.0 μg/mL for 1,5-AG, 11% to 16% for glycated albumin, and 4.0% to 6.0% for HbA1c.
**Statistical analysis**

The data are expressed as the mean with SD or number (proportions). The data changes were established by calculating the differences between the data for a 2-week interval in each subject. Changes of glycemic markers and CGMS variables for a 2-week interval were compared using a nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The correlation coefficients were determined using Spearman correlation coefficients. Correlations between changes in glycemic variability indices and changes in serum glycemic markers were analyzed according to baseline mean glucose level (≤180 and ≥180 mg/dL). A log transformation was performed for skewed data before further analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Baseline characteristics**

The baseline characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the subjects was 49 years, and the mean duration of diabetes was 11.2 years. All subjects were treated with either MDI (88%) or CSII (12%). We collected data at baseline and 2 weeks later. The levels of mean 1,5-AG and mean glycated albumin improved (P=0.017 and P=0.004, respectively). However, there were no differences in mean HbA1c (P=0.077). There were no significant differences between the baseline and CGMS measures after 2 weeks.

**Correlations between changes in serum glycemic assays and changes in CGMS measures**

We then compared the relationship between changes in serum

---

**Table 1. Patient characteristics**

| Variable                  | Baseline | 2 Weeks later | P value |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Age, yr                   | 49 ± 10 (32–65) |               |         |
| Gender, male/female       | 8/9      |               |         |
| Duration of diabetes, yr  | 11.2 ± 6.6 (2–24) |               |         |
| BMI, kg/m²                | 22.6 ± 3.8 (17.6–28.4) |   |         |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL   | 0.97 ± 0.20 (0.70–1.22) |   |         |
| Treatment                 |          |               |         |
| MDI                       | 15 (88)  |               |         |
| CSII                      | 2 (12)   |               |         |
| Glycemic assays           |          |               |         |
| 1,5-AG, μg/mL             | 3.4 ± 2.7 (0.7–10.3) | 4.2 ± 3.1 (1.3–11.7) | 0.017 |
| Glycated albumin, %       | 26.6 ± 5.0 (18.4–35.0) | 24.5 ± 3.5 (18.4–30.0) | 0.004 |
| HbA1c, %                  | 8.8 ± 1.4 (6.4–10.9) | 8.6 ± 1.2 (6.8–10.6) | 0.077 |
| CGMS measures             |          |               |         |
| Mean blood glucose, mg/dL | 164 ± 34 (115–247) | 168 ± 35 (123–260) | 0.551 |
| SD, mg/dL                 | 58 ± 13 (37–79) | 67 ± 17 (46–96) | 0.826 |
| CONGA-1, mg/dL            | 146 ± 34 (95–232) | 152 ± 34 (108–235) | 0.826 |
| MAGE, mg/dL               | 126 ± 34 (67–169) | 142 ± 43 (64–230) | 0.152 |
| LI, mg/dL                 | 96 ± 54 (40–244) | 105 ± 46 (49–207) | 0.875 |
| MPMG, mg/dL               | 233 ± 43 (152–315) | 248 ± 58 (171–353) | 0.683 |
| AUC-180, mg/dL · day⁻¹    | 20.3 ± 17.0 (1.4–71.2) | 25.7 ± 21.2 (3.7–84.9) | 0.900 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (range) or number (%).

BMI, body mass index; MDI, multiple daily injection; CSII, continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; 1,5-AG, 1,5-anhydroglucitol; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; CGMS, continuous glucose monitoring system; SD, standard deviation; CONGA-1, continuous overlapping net glycemic action with 1 hour time intervals; MAGE, mean amplitude of glucose excursion; LI, lability index; MPMG, mean post-meal maximum glucose; AUC-180, area under the curve for glucose above 180 mg/dL.
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glycemic assays and changes in CGMS variability indices for a
2-week interval (Table 2). The results showed the change in
1,5-AG (∆1,5-AG) level was significantly correlated with
changes in most of the variability indices of CGMS. The ∆1,5-
AG was correlated with changes in SD (r = –0.576, P = 0.016),
MAGE (r = –0.613, P = 0.009), LI (r = –0.600, P = 0.011), and
MPMG (r = –0.630, P = 0.007). A change in glycated albumin
(∆glycated albumin) was correlated with changes in a several
variability indices, including changes in SD (r = 0.495, P = 0.043)
and MAGE (r = 0.517, P = 0.033). However, changes in HbA1c
(∆HbA1c) did not show a significant correlation with changes in
any CGMS variability indices.

Correlations between changes in serum glycemic assays
and changes in CGMS measures according to glycemic control

To further investigate the clinical usefulness of these findings,
the subjects were classified into either the moderate glycemic
control group (baseline mean CGMS glucose <180 mg/dL) or
the poor glycemic control group (baseline mean CGMS glucose ≥180 mg/dL) (Table 3). In subjects with moderate control
(n = 11), the ∆1,5-AG showed a significant correlation with
changes in CGMS variability indices (mean blood glucose, SD,
CONGA-1, MPMG, and AUC-180 [r = –0.609, r = –0.645, r =
–0.755, r = –0.764, and r = –0.727, respectively; all P < 0.05]).
∆Glycated albumin was only correlated with changes in
MAGE (r = 0.662, P = 0.026). Additionally, ∆HbA1c showed no
significant correlation with changes in any CGMS variability
indices. Interestingly, in the poor glycemic control group
(n = 6), ∆1,5-AG, ∆glycated albumin, and ∆HbA1c showed no
correlations with changes in CGMS glycemic variability
dindices.

DISCUSSION

The Diabetes Control and Complication Trial and the United
Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study demonstrated that
chronic hyperglycemia is a risk factor for diabetic complications. Thus, improving glycemic control can reduce diabetic
complications [22,23]. Lowering hyperglycemia is currently
the main treatment of diabetic patients. However, many recent
reports have demonstrated that glycemic variability is associ-
ated with diabetic complications independent from hypergly-
cemia [24,25]. The reason for this finding could be that glyce-
mic variability increases oxidative stress or inflammation,
which are both important mediators of hyperglycemia-mediated
cellular damage [26,27]. HbA1c is a proper marker for
the evaluation of chronic hyperglycemia and is most widely
used for determining overall glycemic control in clinical prac-
tice. However, it has been reported that HbA1c is not suitable
for the evaluation of short-term glycemic control or assess-
ment of glucose fluctuation [23]. In type 1 diabetes, glycemic
variability is usually severe and is important. In relatively well-
controlled type 1 diabetes, severe glycemic variability and hy-

Table 2. Correlations between changes of the continuous glucose monitoring system measures and glycemic assays

| Variable                          | ∆HbA1c     | ∆Glycated albumin | ∆1,5-AG     |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
|                                  | r         | P value           | r           | P value           | r         | P value           |
| ∆Glycated albumin                | 0.594     | 0.012             | -           | -                 | -         | -                 |
| ∆1,5-AG                          | –0.539    | 0.026             | –0.683      | 0.003             | -         | -                 |
| ∆Mean blood glucose              | –0.124    | 0.636             | 0.211       | 0.416             | –0.324    | 0.205             |
| ∆SD                              | 0.232     | 0.370             | 0.495       | 0.043             | –0.576    | 0.016             |
| ∆CONGA-1                         | 0.113     | 0.235             | 0.296       | 0.249             | –0.412    | 0.101             |
| ∆MAGE                            | 0.221     | 0.394             | 0.517       | 0.033             | –0.613    | 0.009             |
| ∆LI                              | 0.315     | 0.218             | 0.373       | 0.141             | –0.600    | 0.011             |
| ∆MPMG                            | 0.069     | 0.793             | 0.362       | 0.444             | –0.630    | 0.007             |
| ∆AUC-180                         | 0.038     | 0.885             | 0.378       | 0.443             | –0.500    | 0.041             |

Δ The differences of the variables for the 2-week interval.
HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; 1,5-AG, 1,5-anhydroglucitol; SD, standard deviation; CONGA-1, continuous overlapping net glycemic action with 1 hour time intervals; MAGE, mean amplitude of glucose excursion; LI, lability index; MPMG, mean post-meal maximum glucose; AUC-180, area under the curve for glucose above 180 mg/dL.

‘Log transformed.
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poglycemia often occur and are important causes of low treatment compliance [7,28]. Thus, proper assessment of glycemic variability and hypoglycemia is very important for treatment of type 1 diabetes. In our study, patients with type 1 diabetes showed severe glycemic variability. More than half of the subjects showed both severe hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) and hyperglycemia (>400 mg/dL) when analyzed with CGMS (data not shown). In this study, 1,5-AG levels in subjects were very low (3.8±2.8 μg/mL) when considering the normal range of 1,5-AG is 10.7 to 32.0 μg/mL. This finding might be suggestive of severe glycemic variability in type 1 diabetes. The mean MPMG (240±39 mg/dL) and mean AUC-180 (23.0±14.6 mg·dL⁻¹·day⁻¹) indicated there was severe postprandial hyperglycemic excursion despite a relatively favorable overall glycemic control of the mean glucose level (166±28 mg/dL). The high mean SD values (63±12 mg/dL) also suggested that there was demonstrable glycemic excursion, including both hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia.

Table 3. Correlations between the changes of the continuous glucose monitoring system measures and glycemic assays according to the basal mean glucose level (<180 and ≥180 mg/dL)

| Variable                     | ΔHbA1c a | r     | P value | ΔGlycated albumin a | r     | P value | Δ1,5-AG a | r     | P value |
|------------------------------|----------|-------|---------|---------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|
| ΔGlycated albumin a          | 0.816    | 0.002 | -       | -                   | -     | -       | -         | -     | -       |
| Δ1,5-AG a                    | 0.459    | 0.156 | -0.589  | 0.057               | -     | -       | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔMean blood glucose          | 0.248    | 0.463 | 0.187   | 0.581               | -0.609 | 0.047   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔSD                          | 0.578    | 0.063 | 0.603   | 0.050               | -0.645 | 0.032   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔCONGA-1                     | 0.330    | 0.321 | 0.370   | 0.263               | -0.755 | 0.007   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔMAGE                        | 0.495    | 0.121 | 0.662   | 0.026               | -0.555 | 0.077   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔLI                          | 0.395    | 0.230 | 0.420   | 0.198               | -0.555 | 0.077   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔMPMG                        | 0.468    | 0.147 | 0.493   | 0.123               | -0.764 | 0.006   | -         | -     | -       |
| ΔAUC-180                     | 0.440    | 0.175 | 0.553   | 0.078               | -0.727 | 0.001   | -         | -     | -       |

Δ The differences of the variables for the 2-week interval.
HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; 1,5-AG, 1,5-anhydroglucitol; SD, standard deviation; CONGA-1, continuous overlapping net glycemic action with 1 hour time intervals; MAGE, mean amplitude of glucose excursion; LI, lability index; MPMG, mean post-meal maximum glucose; AUC-180, area under the curve for glucose above 180 mg/dL.

*Log transformed.
changes of serum glycemic assays reflect short term changes in glucose variability.

In this study, changes in the 1,5-AG level were significantly correlated with changes in CGMS variability indexes, such as SD, MAGE, LI, MPMG, and AUC-180. The changes in glycated albumin showed a partial correlation with changes in the CGMS variability indexes (SD and MAGE). As expected from previous studies, changes in HbA1c did not show a correlation with changes of any variability indexes. There were no gender differences correlated between changes of serum glycemic markers and changes of CGMS variability markers (data not shown). Interestingly, changes in 1,5-AG reflected changes in glycemic variability, especially in patients with a relatively lower mean blood glucose level, but not in cases with higher mean blood glucose levels. This finding is consistent with previous clinical studies showing that 1,5-AG is more useful in evaluating glycemic variability in well- or moderately controlled patients [14,15,20]. The reason for this finding is not yet clear. However, the characteristics of 1,5-AG might provide a possible explanation. In poorly controlled subjects, 1,5-AG levels decrease substantially (nearly an exhausted state). It might take time until the 1,5-AG level is restored. Therefore, changes in the 1,5-AG level could be less apparent in poorly controlled subjects than in well-controlled subjects. This finding might explain the differing results between the well-controlled and poorly controlled subjects in this study. Consistent with our study, Dungan [14] proposed that the 1,5-AG level is appropriate for the evaluation of glycemic variability in well- or moderately controlled patients, which could be appropriate for monitoring short term overall hyperglycemia in poorly controlled patients. Therefore, 1,5-AG could be a useful marker in subjects with severe glycemic excursions despite fair glycemic control.

This is the first study to demonstrate that 1,5-AG level changes reflect short term changes in glycemic variability. The limitation of this study is the small number of enrolled subjects. Further studies are required to determine which subjects might benefit the most from assessment of 1,5-AG. It is known that the 1,5-AG level could be different in patients with renal impairment, severe liver dysfunction, pregnancy, or persistent glucosuria. Additional studies evaluating the usefulness of 1,5-AG in these patients are needed.

In conclusion, serum 1,5-AG might be a useful marker for assessing short-term changes in glucose variability in Korean type 1 diabetes, especially in moderately controlled subjects. Furthermore, 1,5-AG levels may be valuable in assessing changes in glycemic variability and could be helpful in achieving better glycemic control with less glycemic excursions.
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