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Abstract

Context: One-day orientation program (OP) for fresh MBBS entrants is running in our institute since 2010, but has never been evaluated.
Aims and Objectives: To evaluate the OP from students’ and faculty perspectives and to recommend a revised program.
Methodology: Totally 439 students of three MBBS batches were enrolled in the study. Students were asked to fill an anonymous semi-structured, pretested questionnaire. Views of faculty members were recorded by conducting three focus group discussions.
Results: More than half of the students have never attended the institutional OP due to timings issue. Overall rating of the program was good, but many students and faculty members suggested changes in the duration, timings and course content of the OP. A revised OP was proposed to the authorities.
Conclusion: The current institutional program though rated good, requires a lot of amendments. The revised proposed OP should be implemented from the coming session.

Key words: Focused group discussion, orientation program, program evaluation, qualitative analysis

Introduction

World orientation literally means familiarization with something; may be a new program, or a new establishment, etc. Professionals have always advocated that the orientation of any person being inducted in a new program, should be the first task of any organization; which can be in the form of a conventional orientation program (OP) or merely a walk around the establishment. OP is considered valuable in lowering the anxiety of new workplace, and can benefit both faculty and the new entrants.

Many medical colleges in India are running OP of new MBBS entrants of varying duration of 1–3 days. We at our institute are also running 1-day OP for new entrants, on the first day of their joining the course, since year 2010. The program has been structured around six core areas – anti-ragging measures in the campus, time management, stress management, introduction to mentorship program, introduction to the ethical issue in medical practice and study skills and learning techniques. This program is running for last 6 years, but till date this program has never been evaluated from faculty or students’ perspectives.

During one-to-one discussion, many a times, faculty members have shown their discontent with this 1-day OP. A constant need was being felt to evaluate this program from both faculty and students’ perspectives. Accordingly program evaluation was conducted with the objectives of evaluating the OP from faculty and students’ perspectives and to recommend any changes in the program if required.
Methodology

After the approval of the Institutional Research Committee and University Ethical Committee, the program evaluation study was conducted between October 2014 and January 2015. Students of three MBBS batches, that is, admission batch 2012, 2013, and 2014 who participated in the current OP in August 2012, August 2013, and September 2014 respectively were included in the study. An informed oral consent was taken.

An anonymous, pretested, semi-structured questionnaire, designed on the basis of tools available for designing a questionnaire for evaluating a training program[4] was given to the students to fill. Questionnaire has eight questions on a Likert scale (to great extent = 3, to some extent = 2, not at all = 1, can’t say = 0), five closed-ended and three open-ended questions. Students’ were first oriented to the questionnaire and the Likert scale. The purpose of this study was well-explained to them. Data from faculty involved in the implementation of the program and other first professional faculty was collected by conducting focused group discussion (FGD). In total, three FGDs were held.

After collection of filled-questionnaire, data was analyzed using descriptive analysis and thematic content analysis. Recommendations for a change in the OP were submitted to the higher authorities.

Results

Of the 439 students contacted, only 213 (48.5%) have attended current OP in the institute. Others have joined after 2nd/3rd counseling, so could not attend. No response of “can’t say” was documented.

The median for improvement in understanding for anti-ragging measure and ethical issues topic was three, for all others it was two. Similarly for the question “how important are these topics for medical student-career”, median was two for mentorship program; for all other topics it was three [Table 1].

Over-all rating of the program was good (median 3 on a five point Likert scale of excellent = 5, very good = 4, good = 3, satisfactory = 2, poor = 1, can’t say = 0). OP was marked as “very good” and “good” by 35.68% and 37.09% students, respectively.

Only 18.31% stated that the objectives have been achieved to a great extent. A large number reported “no improvement in understanding” on stress management (23%), time management (22.09%) and study skills (19.72%) after program delivery [Figure 1]. 19.72% were “not at all” satisfied with the timings and 15.02% were “not at all” satisfied with the duration of the program [Figure 2].

| Table 1: Students response to various questions on Likert scale |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Question                                                        | Score |
|                                                               | Score |
|                                                               | To great extent (3) | To some extent (2) | Not at all (1) | Median |
| Please rate to what extent your understanding of the following topics improved after attending OP |  |
| Anti-ragging measures in campus                                | 177   | 32   | 4   | 3   |
| Introduction to mentorship program                            | 82    | 113  | 18  | 2   |
| Ethical issues in medical profession                          | 112   | 99   | 2   | 3   |
| Stress management                                             | 42    | 122  | 49  | 2   |
| Time management                                               | 64    | 102  | 47  | 2   |
| Study skills and learning techniques                          | 48    | 123  | 42  | 2   |
| Please rate how much you think the knowledge in these topics is essential for you in your student career |  |
| Anti-ragging measures in campus                                | 176   | 35   | 2   | 3   |
| Introduction to mentorship program                            | 95    | 108  | 10  | 2   |
| Ethical issues in medical profession                          | 166   | 42   | 5   | 3   |
| Stress management                                             | 146   | 52   | 15  | 3   |
| Time management                                               | 158   | 46   | 9   | 3   |
| Study skills and learning techniques                          | 161   | 46   | 6   | 3   |
| To what extent you think the objectives of the program were achieved | 39    | 163  | 11  | 2   |
| To what extent the program improved your understanding of the Medical Student Career and medical profession | 82    | 122  | 9   | 2   |
| To what extent you are satisfied with the current timings     | 64    | 107  | 42  | 2   |
| To what extent you are satisfied with the duration of the program | 57    | 124  | 32  | 2   |
| To what extent you are satisfied with the course-content of the program | 77    | 123  | 13  | 2   |

OP: Orientation program
Major factors facilitating learning of the students during OP were – experienced speakers and good topics [Figure 3]; while major factors hindering learning of the students during OP were – only 1-day program and very lengthy sessions [Figure 4].

Of 213 students who have attended OP in the institute and participated in the study, 147 (69.01%) students suggested changes, majority in timings (101 students) and duration (93 students), while changes in the course content were suggested by 62 students only. In total, 37 students asked to conduct more activities during the OP. As students were allowed to give suggestions in more than one field, so the numbers of suggestions (293) are more than the number of students (147) who gave suggestions.

Major student suggestions were: Timings - Conduct it after all have joined, conduct twice a year, conduct after 2–3 months of joining; duration - Should be 2–3 days program, on any day not more than 4 h; course content - Include topics such as communication skills, inter-personal relationship skills, and have more group activities and include other teaching methodologies [Figure 5].

Major patterns of FGDs with faculty were: Conduct main program after final counseling, make it a minimum 3 days program, include topics such as social etiquette, interpersonal relationships, communication skills, biomedical waste management, and overview of the whole MBBS curriculum.

**DISCUSSION**

Program evaluation is defined as the systematic process of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting information that enables judgments to be made about the value of a program and its effectiveness and/or efficiency in achieving a set of outcomes. Program evaluation can help to improve the ongoing program in many ways, like - A program evaluation can find out “what works” and “what does not work”; a program evaluation can showcase the effectiveness of a program to the community and to funders; a program evaluation can improve staff’s frontline practice with participants; a program evaluation can increase a program’s capacity to conduct a critical self-assessment and plan for the future; and a program evaluation can build knowledge for the out-of-school time field.

The current “OP for fresh MBBS entrants” at our institute is running since 2010. Hence, the need was felt to evaluate the program, keeping in mind students’ and faculty perspective, alike. As the OP is being conducted on the first day of joining after first counseling, the students who got admission at the
institute after 2nd or 3rd counseling are not able to attend the OP. Consequently, more than half of the students (51.5% to be precise in this study) never attended institutional OP, thus being at a disadvantage to other students.

Though, overall rating of the OP was good; only 18.31% stated that the objectives of the OP have been achieved to a great extent. A large number reported “no improvement in understanding” on stress management, time management, and study skills after OP. 19.72% were “not at all” satisfied with the timings and 15.02% were “not at all” satisfied with the duration of the program. Many students and faculty members suggested changes in the currently running OP. Based on these suggestions a new OP has been proposed to the authorities, to be implemented from the next academic session [Table 2].

Hopefully, the new program will be able to fulfill the aspirations of the students and the faculty members. We will again review this proposed program (if implemented), after running it for 2–3 years.
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Table 2: Proposed revised OP for first year MBBS students

| Day   | Topic                                                                 | Time       |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Phase I (after first counseling) | Anti-ragging measures in the campus | 9:00-10:00 am |
| Phase II (after final counseling) | Anti-ragging measures in the campus | 10:30-11:00 am |
| 1     | Welcome                                                             | 8:30 am    |
|       | About AIMSR and Adesh University                                    | 8:35-9:00 am |
|       | Anti-ragging measures in the campus                                 | 9:00-9:30 am |
|       | Tea break                                                           | 9:30-10:00 am |
|       | Brief review of MBBS course                                        | 10:00-10:45 am |
|       | Mentorship program: Conduct and objectives                          | 10:45-11:30 am |
|       | Ethical issues in medical profession                                | 11:30 am-12:30 pm |
|       | Group activity: Framing of small groups and allocation of topics*   | 12:30-1:00 pm |
|       | Lunch break                                                         | 1:00-2:00 pm |
|       | Ice breaker group activity and interaction with seniors             | 2:00-4:00 pm |
| 2     | Recap of first day’s activity                                       | 8:30-9:00 am |
|       | Stress management                                                   | 9:00-9:45 am |
|       | Small group activity: How to cope with stress                       | 9:45-10:15 am |
|       | Time management                                                     | 10:15-11:00 am |
|       | Tea break                                                           | 11:00-11:30 am |
|       | Study skills and learning techniques                                | 11:30 am-12:15 pm |
|       | Social etiquette and inter-personal relationship                    | 12:15-1:00 pm |
|       | Lunch break                                                         | 1:00-2:00 pm |
|       | Small group activity-Tour of college and hospital                   | 2:00-4:00 pm |
| 3     | Recap of second day’s activity                                      | 8:30-9:00 am |
|       | Communication skills                                                | 9:00-9:45 am |
|       | Bio medical waste management                                        | 9:45-10:30 am |
|       | Small group activity: Bio medical waste management                  | 10:30-11:00 am |
|       | Tea break                                                           | 11:00-11:30 am |
|       | Gender sensitization                                                | 11:30 am-12:15 pm |
|       | Group activity: Medical quiz                                        | 12:15-1:00 pm |
|       | Lunch break                                                         | 1:00-2:00 pm |
|       | Small group activity: Presentation of allotted topics*              | 2:00-4:00 pm |

*Students will be divided into groups and topics on social and common public health problems like accidents, alcoholism, drug addiction, epidemic outbreak, women’s issues etc., will be allotted. They will make a presentation like a seminar, posters, skits etc. It will help them to bond with each other and work in groups. OP: Orientation program; AIMSR: Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research.