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Abstract: Nowadays, environmental and energy problems are becoming increasingly prominent. Nuclear power plants are an important means to solve these two problems. Therefore, research on the reliability of nuclear power plants is closely related to environmental and energy issues. The reliability research of nuclear safety valves has always been the top priority in the reliability research of nuclear power plants, so the reliability of the operation performance of such safety valves must be considered under seismic conditions. This article borrows ANSYS to carry out fluid-solid coupling simulation of relief valves, including simulations under seismic conditions and non-seismic conditions, and explores changes during opening and closing under various conditions, including the opening height, pressure and velocity in the internal flow field, inlet pressure, solid stress and solid strain. Finally, a test comparison shows that the effect of longitudinal seismic load on the return pressure of the relief valve can be ignored.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, environmental and energy problems are becoming increasingly prominent, and nuclear power plants are an important means to solve these two problems. Therefore, research on the reliability of nuclear power plants is closely related to environmental and energy issues. The reliability research of nuclear safety valves has always been the top priority in the reliability research of nuclear power plants, so the reliability of the operation performance of such safety valves must be considered under seismic conditions. The relief valve is an automatic valve. It can discharge a rated amount of fluid by using the pressure of the medium, so as to prevent boilers, pressure vessels and other equipment from being damaged by overpressure. It can ensure the normal operation of the equipment and the safety of personnel. Therefore, the reliability of the relief valve must be guaranteed, even under seismic conditions. At present, predecessors have done a lot of research in related fields.

Guo [1] studied the flow field characteristics of the pressure regulating valve and the spool movement law in the gearbox hydraulic control system, and performed fluid-solid coupling simulation on the flow field and spool of the pressure regulating valve, and obtained the spool’s state of stress and deformation at different openings. Deng et al. [2] analyzed the clogging failure caused by viscous heating fluid in the spool valve through fluid-structure coupling simulation. Zhou [3] analyzed the solid domain and fluid domain of the spring tube of the electro-hydraulic servo valve by setting up a two-way fluid-solid coupling, and obtained the stress state of the spring tube at different times. Sang-Uk Han et al. [4] studied the dynamic characteristics of butterfly valves under seismic conditions, and used CQC method and 2% damping ratio design response spectrum for seismic evaluation. Zhao et al. [5] used fluid-solid coupling to study the closing process of a spherical check valve and obtained values such as stress and strain. Koji Nishino et al. [6] studied the operability of the main steam relief valve used in boiling water reactor nuclear power plants under high acceleration seismic loads. Chen et al. [7] used three-dimensional fluid-structure coupling to analyze the opening and closing process of the reed valve of a miniature compressor. Liu et al. [8] used CFX to numerically simulate two-three eccentric butterfly valves and verified the flow resistance coefficient.

Many flow field analysis and unidirectional fluid-solid coupling analysis in the simulation of relief valves in existing studies are studied while there are few studies on two-way fluid-solid coupling, so this paper uses two-way fluid-solid coupling to analyze the reliability of the relief valve in seismic conditions that used in nuclear power plant.

2 Model Establishment

It’s necessary to simply the valve appropriately and add a large container at the inlet end of the valve to replace the piping system. The entire fluid-structure coupling model is shown in Figure 1. The gray part is the solid part and the blue part is the fluid part. After that, the mesh was divided and the independence of mesh was verified. Finally, boundary setting and simulation are carried out on the ANSYS Workbench platform.
3 Results and discussions

3.1 Simulation results without loading seismic waves

Fig. 2 is a graph showing the inlet vessel pressure and lift as a function of discharge time of PRV when no seismic wave is applied to the bottom of the valve seat. As shown in Figure 2, the maximum opening time of the valve is 3200μs, the maximum opening height is 1.64mm, the return time is 8000μs, and the return pressure is 0.59984MPa.

Figure 3 shows the mesh displacement of the solid area in the Y-axis direction. Figure 3(a) shows the mesh displacement of the solid area when before the valve opens and after the spring is pre-compressed. Figure 3(b) shows the mesh displacement of the solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the valve is opened, the adjusting screw and the upper spring seat are compressed downward by 3mm, and the displacement of the spring is larger in the upper part while smaller in the lower part, until the displacement of the lower spring seat becomes 0mm. It can also be seen that in the process of stable discharge, the valve is displaced upward due to the impact of the fluid. The maximum total displacement is 1.7mm, which is consistent with the maximum opening height in the lift curve.

Fig. 3 Mesh displacement in the Y direction of the solid area

Fig. 4 shows the stress distribution in the solid area. Fig. 4(a) shows the stress distribution in the solid area when before the valve is opened after the spring is pre-compressed, and Fig. 4(b) shows the stress distribution in the solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the relief valve is discharged, the spring, disc, disc seat and guide sleeve have greater stress, and the stress on the spring is evenly distributed.

The maximum stress(363MPa) appears near the lower edge of the disc seat. After the relief valve stably discharges, the stress at the spring is uneven. The stress at the lower part of the spring is higher while the stress at the upper part is slightly lower. The maximum stress(311MPa) appears in the lower part of the spring.
3.2 Simulation results of loading seismic waves (frequency 16Hz, acceleration 6g)

A longitudinal seismic wave (frequency 16Hz, acceleration 6g) is loaded at the bottom of the valve seat. The seismic wave is loaded to the bottom of the valve seat in the form of displacement that formula is \( x = -0.0005938 \sin(100.53 \times \text{time})(m) \). Finally, Fig. 5 is a graph showing the inlet vessel pressure and lift as a function of discharge time of PRV.

![Fig. 4 Stress distribution in the solid area](image)

![Fig. 5 The inlet vessel pressure and lift as a function of discharge time of PRV with loading seismic waves (frequency 16Hz, acceleration 6g)](image)

It can be seen from Figure 5 that the maximum opening time of the valve is 3300μs, the maximum lift is 1.69mm, the return time is 8500μs, and the return pressure is 0.59981MPa.

Figure 6 shows the mesh displacement of the solid area in the Y-axis direction. Figure 6(a) shows the mesh displacement of the solid area when before the valve opens and after the spring is pre-compressed. Figure 6(b) shows the mesh displacement solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the valve is opened, the adjusting screw and the upper spring seat are compressed downward by 3mm, and the displacement of the spring is larger in the upper part while smaller in the lower part, until the displacement of the lower spring seat becomes 0mm. It can also be seen that in the process of stable discharge, the valve is displaced upward due to the impact of the fluid. The maximum total displacement is 1.7mm, which is consistent with the maximum opening height in the lift curve.
Fig. 6 Mesh displacement in the Y direction of the solid area

Fig. 7 shows the stress distribution in the solid area. Fig. 7(a) shows the stress distribution in the solid area when before the valve is opened and after the spring is pre-compressed. Fig. 7(b) shows the stress distribution in the solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the relief valve is discharged, the spring, disc, disc seat and guide sleeve have greater stress, and the stress on the spring is evenly distributed. The maximum stress (359MPa) appears near the lower edge of the disc seat. After the relief valve stably discharge, the stress at the spring is uneven. The stress at the lower part of the spring is higher while the stress at the upper part is slightly lower. The maximum stress (329MPa) appears in the lower part of the spring.

Fig. 7 Stress distribution in the solid area

3.3 Simulation results of loading seismic waves (frequency 32Hz, acceleration 6g)

A longitudinal seismic wave (frequency 32Hz, acceleration 6g) is loaded at the bottom of the valve seat. The seismic wave is loaded to the bottom of the valve seat in the form of displacement that formula is \( x = x_0 = 0.000148 \times \sin(201.06 \times \text{time})(m) \). Finally, Fig. 8 is a graph showing the inlet vessel pressure and lift as a function of discharge time of PRV.

Fig. 8 The inlet vessel pressure and lift as a function of discharge time of PRV with loading seismic waves (frequency 32Hz, acceleration 6g)

It can be seen from Fig. 8 that the maximum opening time of the valve is 5500μs, the maximum lift is 1.91mm,
the re-seat time is 9600μs, and the re-seat pressure is 0.59967MPa.

Figure 9 shows the mesh displacement of the solid area in the Y-axis direction. Figure 9(a) shows the mesh displacement of the solid area when before the valve opens and after the spring is pre-compressed. Figure 9(b) shows the mesh displacement solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the valve is opened, the adjusting screw and the upper spring seat are compressed downward by 3mm, and the displacement of the spring is larger in the upper part while smaller in the lower part, until the displacement of the lower spring seat becomes 0mm. It can also be seen that in the process of stable discharge, the valve is displaced upward due to the impact of the fluid. The maximum total displacement is 1.9mm, which is consistent with the maximum opening height in the lift curve.

![Fig.9 Mesh displacement in the Y direction of the solid area](image)

Fig.10 shows the stress distribution in the solid area. Fig.10(a) shows the stress distribution in the solid area when before the valve is opened and after the spring is pre-compressed. Fig.10(b) shows the stress distribution in the solid area during stable discharge. It can be seen from the figure that before the relief valve is discharged, the spring, disc, disc seat and guide sleeve have greater stress, and the stress on the spring is evenly distributed. The maximum stress (391MPa) appears near the lower edge of the disc seat. After the relief valve stably discharge, the stress at the spring is uneven. The stress at the lower part of the spring is higher while the stress at the upper part is slightly lower. The maximum stress (391MPa) appears in the lower part of the spring.

![Fig.10 Stress distribution in the solid area](image)

3.4 Comparison of simulation results

Fig.11(a) shows that comparison of the lift curves under seismic and non-seismic conditions, while Fig.11(b) shows comparison of the inlet pressure curves of the relief valve under seismic and non-seismic conditions. It can be seen that with the loading of the longitudinal sine wave, the lift of the relief valve increases slightly. The 1.7mm under non-seismic conditions rises to 1.9mm under seismic conditions with frequency 32Hz and acceleration 6g. The change that the return pressure of
the relief valve changes slightly from 0.59990MPa to 0.59965MPa can be ignored.

Fig.11 Comparison of lift(a) and inlet pressure(b) curves for seismic and non-seismic conditions

4 Action performance test of relief valve under seismic conditions

According to the actual working conditions of the valve, a test platform capable of simulating seismic conditions was established. The action performance test results of the relief valve without seismic conditions are shown in Fig.12(a). The set pressure is 0.58MPa and the return pressure is 0.48MPa. The action performance test results of the relief valve under longitudinal loading of a seismic wave (frequency 32Hz, acceleration 6g) are shown in Fig.12(b). The set pressure is 0.60MPa and the return pressure is 0.48MPa. It can be seen that under the condition of longitudinal seismic wave, the return pressure of the relief valve is almost constant, which is consistent with the result trend of Fig.11. Due to the longitudinal loading of the seismic wave, the setting pressure of the relief valve increases slightly. It is because that the longitudinal vibration causes the sealing performance of the relief valve to decrease, and the relief valve leaks before the jump, causing the setting pressure of the relief valve to increase slightly. The reset pressure value obtained in the test is slightly lower than the simulated reset pressure value (0.5998MPa). These differences are caused by the simplified structure. Although there are differences in numerical values, it is very accurate that the longitudinal seismic wave predicted by the two-way fluid-solid coupling simulation has a negligible effect on the return pressure of the relief valve. In the later stage, the action performance characteristics of the pressure stabilizer relief valve under more complicated seismic conditions can be further studied through the two-way fluid-solid coupling.

Fig.12 Operation performance test results without wave(a) and with loading longitudinal sine wave(b) on relief valve

5 Conclusion

Using dynamic grid, watershed block, external virtual large container and other simulation technologies, the simplified pressure stabilizer relief valve model is used to conduct two-way transient fluid-solid coupling dynamic simulation. The contact pairs between the fluid field and the solid coupling surface and the solid parts are accurately set, and the dynamic characteristics of the fluid area and the stress and strain of the solid area are solved simultaneously. The action of the longitudinal seismic wave on the relief valve is preliminarily explored by loading the sine wave. The results show that the effect of longitudinal seismic load on the return pressure of the relief valve is negligible.
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