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Abstract

2,4-D is one of the most used herbicides in the world. It has been used since 1940s and its popularity seems to be increasing. Due to its broad range of application and excessive usage, it has become a serious problem as it can cause pollution to the environment. Extensive researches have been done for 2,4-D but comparatively few for its derivatives. Therefore, in this review article, I focused on 2,4-D environmental fates, persistence and effects on living organisms. Hence, its toxicity levels, toxicity classification and ecotoxicity studies were reviewed based on available references. In this review article, also discussed the toxicity effects of 2,4-D on animals and humans.
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Introduction

2,4-D is one of the oldest herbicides used in the United States. It was first developed during World War II and became famous as a component of the controversial Agent Orange used during the Vietnam War [1]. Today, 2,4-D continues to be one of the most commonly used herbicides on the market. Because there is no longer a patent governing the manufacture and sale of 2,4-D, any company is free to produce it. Thus, a variety of inexpensive 2,4-D products are available from different manufacturers. Because it has been in use for so long, many of the studies regarding its behavior in the environment are old [2]. 2,4-D is a selective herbicide that kills dicots (but not grasses) by mimicking the growth hormone auxin, which causes uncontrolled growth and eventually death in susceptible plants [3,4]. The half-life of 2,4-D in the environment is relatively short, averaging 10 days in soils and less than 10 days in water, but can be significantly longer in cold, dry soils, or where the appropriate microbial community is not present to facilitate degradation [5].

In the environment, most formulations are degraded to the anionic form, which is water-soluble and has the potential to be highly mobile. Ester formulations are toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates, but salt formulations are registered for use against aquatic weeds [4]. 2,4-D is of relatively low toxicity to animals, but some formulations can cause severe eye damage. Certain crops, such as grapes, are highly sensitive to 2,4-D and application of this herbicide should be avoided if they are nearby [5]. Most formulations are highly volatile and should not be applied when conditions are windy or when temperatures are high [6].

Historical Perspectives

2,4-D is commonly known as a component of the controversial herbicide Agent Orange, which was extensively used by the U.K. in Malaysia and by the U.S. military during the Vietnam War to defoliate jungle regions [6]. Agent Orange’s infamy was primarily due to dioxin contamination of the 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T herbicides that it contained. 2,4-D is now manufactured with a process that produces no dioxin as a contaminant. It proved impossible to produce 2,4,5-T that was free of dioxin contamination, so its manufacture and sale have been prohibited in the U.S. since 1983. Small quantities of this dioxin are highly toxic and have been linked with producing birth defects in mammals and increased rates of cancer [7].

Mode of Action

2,4-D is an “auxin mimic” or synthetic auxin. This type of herbicide kills the target weed by mimicking the plant growth hormone auxin (indole acetic acid), and when administered at effective doses, causes uncontrolled and disorganized plant growth that leads to plant death. The exact mode of action of 2,4-D is not fully understood, and it is possible that it causes a variety of effects which are fatal when combined [1]. It is believed to acidify the cell walls which allow the cells to elongate in an uncontrolled manner. Low concentrations of 2,4-D can also stimulate RNA, DNA, and protein synthesis leading to uncontrolled cell division and growth, and, ultimately, vascular tissue destruction [2]. On the other hand, high concentrations of 2,4-D can inhibit cell division
and growth. Plant death typically occurs within three to five weeks following application [5].

### Physico-Chemical Properties

Table 1: Physical/chemical properties of 2,4-D. Adapted from: [5,11-14].

| Characteristics                  | Values (Results)  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Molecular weight                 | 221.04 g/mol      |
| Melting point                    | 135-142°C         |
| Boiling point (at 0.4 mmHg)      | 160°C             |
| Water solubility (at 25°C)       | 3.39x10⁻⁴ ppm     |
| Vapor pressure (at 25°C)         | 1.4x10⁻⁷ mmHg     |
| Hydrolysis half-life (at 25°C & pH=7) | 39 days         |
| Aqueous photolysis half-life (at 25°C) | 13 days        |
| Anaerobic aquatic half-life      | 312 days          |
| Aqueous aerobic half-life        | 15 days           |
| Aerobic half-life                | 66 days           |
| Soil photolysis half-life        | 393 days          |
| Field dissipation half-life      | 59.5 days         |
| Henry’s constant                 | 1.76x10⁻¹²        |
| Octanol-water coefficient (Kow)  | 9.15x10⁻² - 6.74x10⁻² |
| Soil adsorption coefficient (Koc)| 0.067-1.1 cm²/g   |

Pure 2,4-D can be found as flakes, powder, crystalline powder and solid material. It is white to light tan in color and may be odorless or have a phenolic aroma. The compound is stable at its melting point [5]. 2,4-D forms water soluble salts with alkali metals and amines. 2,4-D is soluble in most organic solvents and is insoluble in benzene and petroleum oils [5]. However, the esters are soluble in oils [8] and are generally formulated as emulsions. Esters of low molecular weight alcohols have relatively high vapor pressures and will readily volatilize [9]. The 2,4-D salts are formulated as aqueous solutions. The physical/chemical properties of 2,4-D can be shown in Table 1. 2,4-D is the part of chlorophenoxy herbicide family of chemical compounds with six number rings containing six carbon atoms. In addition to the phenoxy carbon ring it also contains two chlorine and acetic acid attached to the ring. The chemical name is 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and the chemical structure is shown in Figure 1 [10]. 2,4-D is produced from chloroacetic acid and 2,4-D dichlorophenol, which itself produced by chlorination of phenol. Alternatively, it may be produced by the chlorination of phenoxyacetic acid [10].

![Figure 1: Chemical Structure of 2,4-D.](image)

### Environmental Fate and Persistence

#### In Air

Volatilization plays only a minor role in the breakdown and dissipation of the 2,4-D acid due to its low vapor pressure of 1.4x10⁻⁷ mmHg (Table 1). Further, there is little movement of 2,4-D acid through the air/water barrier, the barrier between atmosphere and surface water or soil moisture, into air due to a low Henry’s Law Constant of 1.76x10⁻¹² ppm [14] (Table 1).

The primary source of 2,4-D in air is drift from spray applications of the herbicide [10]. If proper application techniques are not used, the high volatile esters may be prone to spray drift causing toxic effects in nearby crops [15]. With the exception of the high volatile ester formulations, the small amount of 2,4-D that gets into the air is subject to photo oxidation by reaction with hydroxyl radicals with an estimated half-life of one day [10] or dissolves into water droplets and is transported back to the earth’s surface via wet deposition. The low volatile ester and amine formulations are used in forests so drift from those applications is relatively negligible [15].

#### In Water

In the aqueous environment, 2,4-D is most commonly found as the free anion [16]. The amine salt formulations dissociate to the anion and ester formulations hydrolyze to the anion, usually within one day [15]. The rate of hydrolysis is pH dependent, with the hydrolysis half-life at pH 9 much shorter than the half-life at pH 6 [15,17]. Therefore, the persistence of the 2,4-D anion is of primary concern. Residues of 2,4-D can enter ponds and streams by direct application or accidental drift; by inflow of herbicide previously deposited in dry streambeds, pond bottoms, or irrigation channels; runoff from soils; or by leaching through the soil column [18]. Groundwater contribution of 2,4-D residues into ponds and streams is dependent upon soil type, with coarse-grained sandy soils with low organic content expected to leach 2,4-D into groundwater [10]. Transport losses from forest soils to water bodies are expected to be less than losses from agricultural soils due to factors such as reduced surface runoff, adsorption to forest litter, absorption by plants, and possible greater organic material and microbial activity in forest soils [9].

Decomposition of the anion appears to result from microbial or photodegradation, with photolysis playing a minor role if microbial degradation is rapid. Both aerobic and anaerobic degradation are possible, although anaerobic degradation is relatively slow with a half-life of 312 days (Table 1). In water, 2,4-D will biodegrade at a rate dependent upon the level of nutrients present, temperature, availability of oxygen, and whether the water has been previously contaminated with 2,4-D or other phenoxy acetic acids [10]. Microbial degradation is a possible route for the breakdown of 2,4-D, but it is very dependent on the characteristics of the water.

Laboratory studies have shown that in warm, nutrient rich water that has been previously treated with 2,4-D microbial
2,4-D has an aqueous photolysis half-life of 13 days at 25°C at the surface of distilled water (Table 1). In natural surface waters photodecomposition is not expected to be significant due to weaker ultraviolet radiation of natural sunlight and the presence of suspended and organic matter which reduces the effects of solar radiation [15]. The half-life is expected to increase with depth due to reduction in penetration. According to a study by the Industry Task Force on 2,4-D, the major photodegradation product is 1,2,4-benzenetriol [19]. Additional studies showed that 2,4-D is susceptible to photodegradation resulting in the formation of carbon dioxide, 1,2,4-benzenetriol, 2,4-dichlorophenol and then proceeds to a secondary photolysis forming humic acids [20,21]. For high volatile ester formulations of 2,4-D, volatilization may play a larger role for removal from water than hydrolysis. In neutral and acidic waters conversion from ester to anion via hydrolysis is slower than the potential rate of vaporization [15].

In Soil

In soil, 2,4-D esters and salts are first converted to the parent acid prior to degradation. The rate of the ester hydrolysis decreases with decreasing soil moisture and with increasing molecular weight of the alcohol portion of the ester. The fate of 2,4-D may be affected by several processes including runoff, adsorption, chemical and microbial degradation, photodecomposition, and leaching [22]. Water solubility and the soil adsorption coefficient (Koc) indicate the potential mobility of a chemical in soil; while the aerobic and anaerobic soil metabolism, hydrolysis half-lives, and field dissipation rate indicate the persistence of a chemical in soil [23]. 2,4-D has a moderate persistence in soil with a field dissipation half-life of 59.3 days; aerobic half-life of 66 days, and a hydrolysis half-life of 39 days (Table 1).

As Hermosin & Cornejo [24] reported that by using a simple regression analysis between adsorption capacities and soil properties, they found that high organic matter and free iron in soils favored the adsorption of 2,4-D, while high pH, large surface area, and phyllosilicates as essential clay components decreased adsorption. 2,4-D is in nonionic form at pH less than 6 and is in anionic form at pH greater than 6. In slightly acidic soils, 2,4-D will be adsorbed at pH less than 6 but will not be adsorbed as much if in the anionic form because the negative charges of the soil and chemical repel each other [25].

Microbial degradation is the major route in the breakdown of 2,4-D in soil. The most important mechanism of microbial degradation involves the removal of the acetic acid side chain to yield 2,4-DCP. This is followed by ring cleavage and degradation to produce aliphatic acids such as succinic acid [15]. The rate of microbial degradation is dependent upon the water potential, depth and temperature of the soil. Han and New [26] found that sandy loam soil containing 2,4-D degrading single-celled bacteria, filamentous bacteria (actinomycetes), and fungi had the lowest degradation rates at a low water potential of -5.5 MPa (megapascals), with -0.1MPa corresponding to soils at or below field capacity. An increase in water potential resulted in increased rates of breakdown [26].

Dry soil conditions contribute to the inhibition of 2,4-D mineralization by restricting solute mobility, reducing the herbicidedegradation activity of organisms, and suppressing the 2,4-D degrading microorganism populations. Under dry conditions the addition of organic matter may enhance degradation by simulating the co-metabolizing fungal and actinomycete communities [26]. The rate of microbial degradation is also dependent on soil depth and temperature, with rates of degradation decreasing with increased depths and lower temperatures [27].

Degradation in soil is affected by the rate of adsorption-desorption of 2,4-D onto soil particles which bind the chemical, making it unavailable for microbial degradation [28]. Bolan and Baskaran also found that as soil organic carbon content increased to 12% the rate of adsorption increased correlating to a decreased rate of degradation due to low concentrations of 2,4-D available for microbial degradation. But when the organic carbon content was more than 12% there was an increase in the rate of both adsorption and degradation.

The enhanced degradation of 2,4-D was attributed to the increased biological activity of the soil and the decreased 2,4-D-induced inhibitory effect on microbial activity [28]. Benoi et al. have also demonstrated the importance of soil organic matter in the sorption of 2,4-D. Lignin from plant tissue or aliphatic compounds from microbial origin contributed to increased sorption, while compounds such as soluble tannins decreased sorption [29]. This indicates that the addition of lignin or aliphatic compounds to soil may decrease the rate of degradation, while the addition of soluble tannins may increase the rate of degradation.

Photodecomposition on soil surfaces plays a very minor role in the breakdown of 2,4-D and only occurs on the upper surface of the soil. In a photolysis study conducted by the Industry Task Force on 2,4-D, no degradation products were found at concentrations above 1.1% of the initially applied compound indicating that 2,4-D is very resistant to soil photodegradation [30]. Another study conducted by the EPA was unsuccessful in identifying the photodegradation products [21].
The high-water solubility of $4.46 \times 10^4$ ppm and low soil adsorption coefficient of 0.067-1.1 cm$^2$/g (Table 1) for the 2,4-D free acid suggests that it has a high potential to leach in soil. The principal means of movement would probably be with percolating water, while diffusion is important only for transport over small distances [10]. The adsorption capacity of a given soil affects the potential for leaching of 2,4-D; in soils that promote adsorption, the leaching potential is lower. 2,4-D adsorption has been correlated with the organic content.

Grover [31] found that higher volumes of water were required to leach 2,4-D from soils with a high organic content. Further, leaching was correlated with the pH of soils; 2,4-D leached more readily in soils with pH’s of 7.5 and above [30] reflecting higher adsorption to organic matter in more acidic soils [25]. However, despite its potential mobility, 2,4-D generally remains within the top few inches of the soil [15,32] found that most of the 2,4-D, applied at a rate of 4.49 kg/ha in the ester form to nursery plots with varying crop covers, remained in the top 20 cm of the soil. Norris [18] states that entry via leaching is not an important process for transporting significant quantities of 2,4-D into streams since it is adsorbed onto organic material and is readily degraded by microorganisms.

The extent of leaching and runoff of 2,4-D is influenced by the formulation, soil properties, slope, and timing and intensity of rainfall. 2,4-D was found susceptible to runoff if the rain event occurred shortly after the application, with runoff concentrations decreasing over time [32]. Esters form of 2,4-D do not leach into soil as readily as the more soluble formulations, they have a greater potential to be carried in surface runoff [15]. Wilson & Cheng [33] studied the iso octyl ester and dimethylamine salt formulations of 2,4-D and found that both formulations are subject to similar potential for leaching of 2,4-D; in soils that promote adsorption, the leaching potential is lower. 2,4-D adsorption has been correlated with the organic content.

Toxicity to Animals and Humans

Table 2: Toxicity of 2,4-D to some animals and other species, Adapted from: [6,37-38].

| Animals                  | Toxicity level |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Rat (acute, oral)        | LD$_{50}$ 639-1646 mg/Kg |
| Mice                     | LD$_{50}$ 138 mg/Kg      |
| Rat (acute, inhalation)  | LD$_{50}$ 0.78-5.4 mg/Kg |
| Rabbit (acute, percutaneous) | LD$_{50}$ > 1829 to >2000 mg/L |
| Wild ducks (acute, oral) | LD$_{50}$ > 1000 mg/Kg   |
| Japanese quail (acute, oral) | LD$_{50}$ 668 mg/Kg |
| Pigeons (acute, oral)    | LD$_{50}$ 668 mg/Kg      |
| Pheasants (acute, oral)  | LD$_{50}$ 472 mg/Kg      |
| Dog                      | LD$_{50}$ 100 mg/Kg      |
| Chicken                  | LD$_{50}$ 540 mg/Kg      |
| Honeybees                | -                           |
| Rainbow trout (48 hour)  | LC$_{50}$ 100 mg/L       |
| Earthworm                | LC$_{50}$ 61.6 µg/mL     |
| Bluegills (48 hour)      | LC$_{50}$ 0.9 mg/L       |
| Striped bass (96 hour)   | LC$_{50}$ 70 mg/L        |
| Fish and aquatic life    | LC$_{50}$ 80-2244 mg/L   |
| Pumpkinseed (96 hour)    | LC$_{50}$ 65 mg/L        |
| White perch (96 hour)    | LC$_{50}$ 40 mg/L        |
| American eel (96 hour)   | LC$_{50}$ 300 mg/L       |
| Crap (96 hour)           | LC$_{50}$ 96.5 mg/L      |
| Guppy (96 hour)          | LC$_{50}$ 70.7 mg/L      |
| Daphnia (48 hour)        | LC$_{50}$ 5.2 mg/L       |

Toxicity of pesticides can be classified into neurotoxicity, genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, hepatotoxicity and many more. Toxicity can be defined as negative effect of certain substance that capable of damaging the structure or any processes which are vital for organism survival [34]. Factors that influence the herbicide toxicity are concentration, frequency, intensity of exposure and target organism susceptibility, which is depend on age, sex, health state and genetic variations [35,36]. Among herbicides, phenoxyacetic acid showed hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic effects in animal studies when they are exposed to high level of these herbicides. Toxicity of 2,4-D to some animals and other species shown in (Table 2).

$\text{LD}_{50}/\text{LC}_{50}$: A common measure of acute toxicity is the lethal dose (LD$_{50}$) or lethal concentration (LC$_{50}$) that causes death (resulting from a single or limited exposure) in 50 percent of the treated animals. LD$_{50}$ is generally expressed as the dose in milligrams (mg) of chemical per kilogram (kg) of body weight.
LC$_{50}$ is often expressed as mg of chemical per volume (e.g., liter (L)) of medium (i.e., air or water) the organism is exposed to. Chemicals are considered highly toxic when the LD$_{50}$/LC$_{50}$ is small and practically non-toxic when the value is large. However, the LD$_{50}$/LC$_{50}$ does not reflect any effects from long-term exposure (i.e., cancer, birth defects or reproductive toxicity) that may occur at levels below those that cause death [39-42]. The toxicity of 2,4-D may be classified as high toxicity, moderate toxicity, low toxicity and very low toxicity. These classifications are depending on acute toxicity: oral, dermal, inhalation and others. Toxicity classification of 2,4-D shown in (Table 3).

Table 3: Toxicity classification of 2,4-D, Adapted from: [5,39,40,42,43].

| Toxicity Level | High Toxicity | Moderate Toxicity | Low Toxicity | Very Low Toxicity |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Acute Oral LD$_{50}$ | Up to and including 50 mg/Kg (≤ 5mg/Kg) | Greater than 50 through 500 (> 50-500mg/Kg) | Greater than 500 through 5000 (> 500-5000mg/Kg) | Greater than 5000 (> 5000-50000mg/Kg) |
| Inhalation LC$_{50}$ | Up to and including 0.05 mg/L (≤ 0.05 mg/Kg) | Greater than 0.05 through 0.5mg/L (> 0.05-0.5 mg/L) | Greater than 0.5 through 0.2mg/L (> 0.5-0.2 mg/L) | Greater than 0.2 mg/L (> 0.2 mg/L) |
| Dermal LD$_{50}$ | Up to and including 200 mg/L (≤ 200 mg/Kg) | Greater than 200 through 2000 mg/Kg (> 200-2000 mg/Kg) | Greater than 2000 through 5000 mg/Kg (> 2000-5000 mg/Kg) | Greater than 5000 mg/Kg (> 5000-50000 mg/Kg) |
| Primary eye Irritation | Corrosive (irreversible destruction of ocular tissue) or corneal involvement or irritation persisting for more than 21 days (Acid, Ester) | Corneal involvement or other eye irritation clearing in 8 - 21 days | Corneal involvement or other eye irritation clearing in 7 days or less (Ester) | Minimal effects clearing in less than 24 hours (Ester) |
| Primary skin irritation | Corrosive (tissue destruction into the dermis and/or scarring) | Severe irritation at 72 hours (severe erythema or edema) | Moderate irritation at 72 hours (moderate erythema) | Mild or slight irritation at 72 hours (no irritation or erythema) (Ester, Salt) |

Acute Toxicity

LD$_{50}$ values range from 639 mg/kg to 1646 mg/kg in rats depending on the chemical form of 2,4-D utilized in the study [39]. Researchers found that 2,4-D was more toxic for mice, reporting an LD$_{50}$ of 138 mg/kg [40]. All chemical forms for 2,4-D are considered low in toxicity for acute oral exposure based on tests with rats [39,43] (Table 2). Acute dermal LD$_{50}$s ranged from 1829 mg/kg to greater than 2000 mg/kg in rabbits depending on the chemical form of 2,4-D. All chemical forms of 2,4-D are considered low in toxicity for acute dermal exposure based on studies using rabbits [39].

The acid and salt forms of 2,4-D are highly toxic to eye tissue, causing severe eye irritation. This is reflected in the signal word of the formulated product. The ester forms are not considered eye irritants and have low to very low ocular toxicity (Table 3). The ester and salt forms of 2,4-D are considered slight skin irritants [39]. All chemical forms of 2,4-D are of low to very low toxicity via inhalation based on studies using rats. Acute inhalation LC$_{50}$s for rats ranged from 0.78 mg/L to greater than 5.4 mg/L depending on the chemical form. Most forms of 2,4-D are very low in toxicity, and the parent acid and tri-isopropanol amine (TIPA) salt forms are low in toxicity [39].

Signs of Toxicity to Animals

Dogs fed 2,4-D exhibited myotonia, vomiting, and weakness; dogs are more sensitive to chlorophenoxy acid herbicides than other animals [44]. In addition, dogs and cats have displayed anapetence, anorexia, ataxia, salivation, diarrhea, lethargy, and convulsions following exposure to 2,4-D, which may include eating treated grass [45] although the potential for this is unclear [46]. Rats demonstrated incoordination, central nervous system depression and muscular weakness following acute oral dosing...
Humans altered expression of some genes in hamster embryo cells [60]. The maximum tolerated dose in the two-year rat study was 150 mg/kg/day in male rats and 75 mg/kg/day in females [50]. Additional no observable adverse effect level (NOAEL) and no observable adverse effect level (NOAEL) were 15 mg/kg for rats in a 90-day study, and 1 mg/kg for dogs in a 12-month study, respectively [43,52]. Rabbits exhibited toxicity following dosing with either acid, salt, or ester forms of 2,4-D at doses of 30 mg/kg/day or greater [53].

Chronic no observable adverse effect levels (NOAEL) and lowest observable effect levels (LOEL) in dogs, however, varied for different parameters studied and by chemical form [52]. Rats showed no outward signs of toxicity following exposure to 200 mg/L of 2,4-D in drinking water for 30 and 100 days, but biochemical analysis suggested hepatic and muscle damage [47]. Researchers fed rats 2,4-D at doses of 1, 15, 100, and 300 mg/kg/day acid equivalents (ae). Changes in blood and thyroid parameters, organ weight ratios, and body weight gain were noted at 100 and 300 mg/kg/day doses [50]. Chronic toxicity in the eye, kidney, thyroid and liver of the rat were like effects found in sub-chronic studies [51]. Eye lesions were associated only with high doses of 150 mg/kg/day [51].

Humans

No human data were found on chronic effects of 2,4-D other than epidemiological studies of cancer occurrence. Although pesticide use has been linked to Parkinson’s disease and to respiratory disease in farmers, 2,4-D was not implicated in any relationships between pesticide exposure and subsequent disease [54-55].

Carcinogenicity

Animals

No oncogenic effects were observed in rats or mice following 2 years of dietary exposure of 2,4-D with concentrations ranging from 5-150 mg/kg/day or 5-300 mg/kg/day, respectively [51]. Similarly, researchers did not observe immune-toxic or oncogenic responses in dogs dosed with 1.0-7.5 mg/kg/day for either 13 weeks or 1 year [52]. A case-control study in companion dogs concluded that there was a “modest association” between malignant lymphoma in the dogs and the use of 2,4-D in their owners’ yards after accounting for other home and yard pesticide use [56]. Other investigators have questioned the epidemiological association reported in that study [57,58]. Overall, there has been no consistent association between exposure to 2,4-D and tumor induction in animals [59]. More recently, non-cytotoxic concentrations of 2,4-D were correlated to DNA damage and altered expression of some genes in hamster embryo cells [60].

Humans

The U.S. EPA evaluated 2,4-D for carcinogenic effects in 1988, 1992, and again in 2004. Each evaluation has concluded that “the data are not sufficient to conclude that there is a cause and effect relationship between exposure to 2,4-D and non- Hodgkin’s Lymphoma.” 2,4-D was categorized as “Group D - not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity” in 2004 [39]. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), had not assigned 2,4-D a cancer rating as of June 2008. However, in 1987, IARC placed the family of chlorophenoxy herbicides in Group 2B, possibly carcinogenic to humans [61].

A discussion of the history of classification decisions regarding the carcinogenicity of 2,4-D has been published. A confounding factor in determining the carcinogenicity of 2,4-D is the frequent simultaneous exposure of workers to 2,4-D in addition to 2,4,5-T and its contaminant tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD), or to other herbicides. However, other work examining incidents of exposure to 2,4-D without simultaneous exposure to 2,4,5-T has found some association between 2,4-D and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma [58]. Although the free acid form of 2,4-D did not damage chromosomes, there is limited evidence that commercial formulations may have the potential to do so [59]. Overall, evidence for mutagenicity has been inconsistent [58,59,62].

Reproductive or Teratogenic Effects

Animals

Teratogenic effects were not observed in mice, rats, or rabbits unless the excretion capacity of the mother was overwhelmed following oral exposure to 2,4-D or its salt and ester forms [53,59]. Reduced fetal viability was observed in hamsters following maternal dosing at 40 mg/kg/day during pregnancy, although effects did not follow a dose-response relationship [63]. Fetal abnormalities were observed in rats following oral doses of 90 mg/kg/day or greater beginning at fertilization; these doses were toxic to the mothers as well [53]. A no observable effect level (NOEL) of 25 mg/kg/day was derived for fetal rats in one study, and a no observable adverse effect level of 12.5 mg/kg/day for the mothers and a developmental no observable adverse effect level (NOEL) of 50 mg/kg/day for the young were derived in another study [1].

The overall maternal no observable effect level (NOEL) in rats was determined to be 8-17 mg/kg/day and overall developmental no observable effect level was 30 mg/kg/day 2,4-D acid equivalents [53]. Rabbit fetuses were unaffected at doses below 40 mg/kg/day administered to the dams although extra ribs were formed at doses above this threshold [53]. In rabbits, the developmental no observable effect level (NOEL) was 30 mg/kg/day 2,4-D acid equivalents [53].

Humans

No experimental data are available regarding the effects of 2,4-D exposure on reproduction or development in humans. There are some reports of reproductive effects following occupational exposure to chlorophenoxy herbicides, [1] including reduced sperm motility and viability following occupational exposure.
Although motility and viability recovered over a period of several months, malformations were still present [64]. Exposure to multiple pesticides in epidemiological studies makes inference difficult [59].

**Ecotoxicity Studies**

**Birds**

LD$_{50}$ values range from 472 mg/kg for acute oral exposure in pheasants, to 668 mg/kg in pigeons and Japanese quail, to greater than 1000 mg/kg in wild ducks [40] (Table 3). The acute oral LD$_{50}$ for the dimethyl amine salt form of the compound was 500 mg/kg for bobwhite quail, and the acute oral LD$_{50}$ for the ethyl hexyl form was 663 mg/kg in mallard ducks. The acute oral LD$_{50}$ for wild ducks was in excess of 2025 mg/kg for the sodium salt form of 2,4-D [40]. Overall, 2,4-D is moderately toxic to practically non-toxic to birds. There are no pronounced differences in toxicity based on the form of 2,4-D [39]. Five-day studies estimated LC$_{50}$ values for bobwhite quail and mallard ducks at greater than 5620 ppm [40]. Chronic studies have also demonstrated low toxicity, with no effects observed below very high exposure levels such as concentrations in drinking water greater than the solubility of the chemical [42]. Under field conditions, eggs of ground-nesting birds could be exposed, but eggshell permeability to 2,4-D is low and treating eggshells with high concentrations of 2,4-D did not reduce hatchability or cause chick abnormalities [42].

**Fish and Aquatic Life**

Toxicity to fish and aquatic invertebrates varies widely depending on chemical form, with esters being the most toxic [40,42]. Acid and amine salt LC$_{50}$ values range from greater than 80 to 2244 mg acid equivalents per liter (mg ae/L) whereas the esters range from less than 1.0 to 14.5 mg acid equivalents per liter [39]. The greater toxicity generally of the esters in fish is likely due to the greater absorption rates of the esters through the gills, where they are hydrolyzed to the acid form [42]. The acute LC$_{50}$ of the dimethyl amine salt form to rainbow trout was 100 mg/L, which is considered slightly toxic [40] (Table 3). The acute LC$_{50}$ of the ethyl hexyl form to rainbow trout was greater than its solubility in water [40]. The LD$_{50}$ value for the isocyt form in cutthroat trout was 0.5-1.2 mg/L, [39] or moderately to highly toxic. Adult fathead minnows exhibited toxic effects at chronic exposures of the butoxyl ethanol ester form that were 1:10 to 1:45 of the 96-hour LC$_{50}$ concentrations [42]. Early life stages of fish are more susceptible compared with adult fish or eggs [42] (Table 3).

Daphnia exposed to the acid form for 21 days exhibited an LC$_{50}$ of 235 mg/L when exposed to 2,4-D acid for 21 days, and an LC$_{50}$ of 5.2 mg/L when exposed to the ethyl hexyl form for 48 hours [40]. Therefore, the acid form is practically non-toxic to Daphnia, but the ethyl hexyl form is moderately toxic. As with fish, esters are more toxic than acid or amine salt forms to freshwater aquatic invertebrates, with LC$_{50}$ values ranging from 25 to 643 mg/mg ae/L for the acid and amine salt forms but 2.2 to 11.8 mg ae/L for esters [39]. The relative toxicities for acids and salts are slightly toxic to practically non-toxic, whereas the esters are moderately to slightly toxic (Table 3).

Marine invertebrate sensitivities are like aquatic invertebrates, with LC$_{50}$ values of 50-830 mg ae/L for acid and salt forms and >0.092 to >66 mg ae/L for ester forms [39]. The corresponding relative toxicity values are slightly toxic to practically non-toxic for the salts and acid but highly toxic to practically non-toxic for the ester forms. Researchers have estimated a No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) of 16.1 mg ae/L for the DEA ester and 79.0 mg ae/L for the acid form based on survival and reproduction for diethanolamine salt (DEA) and number of young produced for the acid form. The freshwater aquatic invertebrate No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for the butoxyethyl ester (BEE) was estimated at 0.2 mg ae/L based on survival and reproduction [39].

2,4-D is marketed for controlling aquatic plants. Therefore, the lethal concentrations (LC) are reported as effective concentrations (EC) for killing half the target population (EC$_{50}$). Researchers estimated an EC$_{50}$ of 0.58 mg/L for duckweed (Lemma gibba). A variety of algal species exhibited LC$_{50}$ values ranging between 0.23 and greater than 30 mg/L for the ethylhexyl form [40]. The EC$_{50}$ for the dimethyl amine salt form against Selenastrum capricornutum was estimated at 51.2 mg/L [40]. No effects were recorded for 19 genera of algae exposed to 2,4-D at concentrations of up to 222 mg/L. However, the ester forms were toxic to some algae at much lower concentrations [42].

A mesocosm study indicated that an unspecified form of 2,4-D applied at 0.117 mL/m$^2$ had no negative effects on species richness, biomass, or survival on algae and 25 species of aquatic animals, including frog larvae, salamanders, snails, and a range of other invertebrates [65]. 96-hour LC$_{50}$ concentrations for several species of amphipod larvae exceeded 100 mg/L for the amine salt forms. 2,4-D acid, 2,4-D ethylhexyl ester (EHE), and 2,4-D dimethyamine (DMA) are considered practically non-toxic to amphipod larvae based on tests with Rana pipiens [39].

Bioavailability and uptake of 2,4-D by organisms are strongly influenced by pH, temperature, and other environmental factors [42]. The sensitivity of aquatic invertebrates to 2,4-D increases with temperature; concentrations below those associated with short-term toxic effects impaired reproduction when ambient temperature was elevated [42]. Although some aquatic invertebrates appear to sense and avoid 2,4-D in the water, others do not, even when exposed to lethal concentrations. Fish appear to avoid 2,4-D in a dose-dependent manner until the onset of toxic effects. Toxicity of 2,4-D was increased when fish were simultaneously exposed to 2,4-D and carbaryl or picloram [42].

**Terrestrial Invertebrates**

LC$_{50}$ values for 24-hour exposures in honeybees (Apis mellifera) were estimated to be 104 and 115 µg per bee. Researchers estimated the LD$_{50}$ at greater than 10 µg/bee, so 2,4-D is considered practically non-toxic [39] (Table 3). Effects on
bee longevity varied according to dose and 2,4-D form [42]. 2,4-D is not considered hazardous to beneficial insects due to its low insecticidal activity and an adequate safety margin when products containing 2,4-D are used at recommended levels [39,42]. Carabid beetles (Carabidae) exposed to sand dosed with 1 g/m² exhibited greater than 50% mortality after 4 days [42]. The calculated 48-hour LC₅₀ concentration for earthworms (Lumbricus rubellus) exposed to filter paper treated with 2,4-D was 61.6 μg/cm² [54]. Effects of 2,4-D on soil microorganisms were species-dependent [42].

Conclusion

2,4-D has been the most widely used herbicide in all over the world. It’s popularities among farmers and other users are undeniable. It has given the world a lot of benefits in many ways. Despite its sophisticated contributions, it had caused a lot of problems to the environment. This herbicide had caused contamination in various environmental bodies including water, soil and air. Due to many reasons, its distribution in the environment has become broad and it can pollute the food source. Human and other organisms are exposed to this herbicide and this situation can cause much adverse effect to their health and growth. Many factors influence its fate and persistency in the environment.

The environmental fate and negative impact of the 2,4-D has become a great concern and it is vital to evaluate regularly. Its toxicity effects also become another serious issue as the problems occur globally. The study of its toxicity behavior in animal and human are important and can be used to prevent misuse of this herbicide. Living life such as humans and animals are exposed to 2,4-D by the contaminated air; drinking water, soil and foods or if the human works in the agriculture sector and in the factory that produce 2,4-D. So, factors that influence the 2,4-D toxicity are concentration, frequency, intensity of exposure and target organism susceptibility, which is depend on age, sex, health state and genetic variations. Among herbicides, 2,4-D showed hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic effects in animal studies when they are exposed to high level.
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