Silicon recycling through rice residue management does not prevent silicon depletion in paddy rice cultivation
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Abstract Silicon (Si) is known to help plants mitigate environmental stresses and nutrient deficits. In some regions, the limited plant-available Si in soils can have detrimental effects on yields. Crop residue recycling is used to maintain the amount of plant-available Si in soils. However, the effect of crop residue management practices on Si availability to plants remains largely understudied. Here, we study the effects of three different rice residue management practices on Si-depleted rice fields in northern Vietnam. These management practices were (1) the direct incorporation of rice residues into soils, (2) burning in the field, and (3) use as fodder for animals, followed by composting of the obtained manure, and subsequent application to the field. We analyzed different Si reservoirs in soils and the content of plant-Si under these different practices. Our results show correlations between plant Si content and the different soil Si reservoirs, in particular with Si trapped in soil organic material ($Si_{\text{Org}}$; $R^2 = 0.68$, $n = 18$, $p < 10^{-4}$). However, we found no significant difference between the three residue management practices with respect to plant-available Si in soils and to the content of plant-Si. Moreover, our data suggest that strongly Si-depleted rice-cultivation systems proportionally lose Si through grain harvesting twice as fast as less Si-depleted systems because of the enhanced relative Si accumulation in grain. This loss cannot be mitigated by the recycling of rice residues, which suggests that the recycling of rice residues has only a limited effect in extremely Si-depleted rice-cultivation systems.
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Introduction

Rice is the world’s most important food crop. More than 750 million tonnes of rice are harvested annually from about 165 million hectares around the world (FAO 2018). With an annual production of ca. 43 million tonnes, Vietnam is one of the largest rice producers and exporters in the world. Ninety percent of the arable land in Vietnam is used for rice cultivation (FAO 2017). From 1992 to 2015, the average amount of chemical nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) fertilizers applied in agricultural production in Vietnam doubled. However, while the efficiency of fertilizer usage remains low, its increased application causes considerable losses to the
national economy and increases eutrophication and greenhouse gas emissions (FAO 2018; MARD 2018; Pham and Nguyen 2014). Rice cultivation produces large amounts of straw, resulting on average in about five tonnes of crop residues per hectare of paddy rice annually (GSO 2017). These residues can be used as a readily available, cost-efficient, and domestically produced organic fertilizer and may be a partial substitute for chemical fertilizers in agriculture (Hoang et al. 2014; Keck et al. 2019; Tran and Hung 2010; Zhao et al. 2009). A more efficient use of crop residues would thus allow Vietnam to reduce the import of chemical fertilizers (MARD 2018). It would also increase farmers’ net income by reducing their expenses for chemical fertilizers (Baumann 2014; Bui 2008).

Rice residue management practices may also have an influence on the bio-availability of silicon (Si) in rice fields (Klotzbücher et al. 2016; Savant et al. 1997). Si is generally not considered an essential element for plant growth (Epstein 1994). Yet many studies highlight its strong beneficial effects for a variety of plants, particularly under stress conditions (see Guntzer et al. 2012a for a review). As a consequence, Si is now broadly recognized as a beneficial element for plants and a quasi-essential nutrient (Coskun et al. 2018; Epstein 1999). Si enhances plant resistance in a large variety of circumstances, including for example nutrient depletion, drought stress, pathogens and pest attacks. There is evidence for multiple combined beneficial effects of Si rather than one single mechanism (Guntzer et al. 2012a; Liang et al. 2015; Rizwan et al. 2015). The functioning of these effects is, however, far from being fully understood and is still subject to debate (Coskun et al. 2018). The quantity of Si taken up and accumulated by plants varies according to species (Hodson et al. 2005). It is generally accepted that Si uptake is achieved both by passive uptake (Si absorption along with water) and, for Si accumulators, by an active transport process using specific transporters (see Ma and Yamaji 2015 for a review); some authors, however, challenge this approach and have suggested that Si uptake could be a strictly passive process (Exley 2015; Exley et al. 2020). After its absorption by the plant, part of the Si precipitates in roots (Lux et al. 2020) while the rest is transferred with the xylem to aerial parts of the plants via the transpiration stream (Mitani and Ma 2005) and precipitates mostly in parts of the plants where transpiration takes place, forming amorphous silica structures known as phytoliths (Perry 2003; Piperno 2006). These structures vary greatly in size and shape inside a plant (e.g., Hodson 2016; Meunier et al. 2017; Puppe et al. 2017). Si that has precipitated cannot be re-mobilized by the plants and remains insoluble until it is returned to soil through litter decomposition. When organic matter is decomposed, the Si present in the organic matrix as monomeric silicic acid and small silica polymers (Si$_{\text{org}}$, Currie and Perry 2007; Fraysse et al. 2010) and in small and fragile phytogenic siliceous structures is rapidly released (e.g., Meunier et al. 2017; Puppe et al. 2017), while the release of Si from more robust phytoliths is comparatively slow (Fraysse et al. 2009, 2010; Puppe et al. 2017). The dissolution rate of phytoliths may also be affected by their physico-chemical surface properties, such as their specific surface area and their Al content (Fraysse et al. 2009; Hodson 2016; Puppe and Leue 2018). With time, phytoliths may structurally or chemically alter (e.g., reduction of the reactive surface, development of a protective coating layer) and be stored for longer periods (Alexandre et al. 1997; Bartoli 1981; Sommer et al. 2006). In most soils, Si present in biogenic silica (Si$_{\text{Si}}$) accounts for 0.1 to 3 wt% of the fine earth fraction (< 2 mm), with concentrations generally decreasing with depth (Bartoli 1981; Drees et al. 1989; Georgiadis et al. 2014). Although phytoliths often constitute a major part of Si$_{\text{Si}}$ in soils (Clarke 2003), it is worth noting that other Si$_{\text{Si}}$ pools like testate amoebae and terrestrial diatoms are known to be a very reactive fraction of the Si$_{\text{Si}}$ pool (Puppe et al. 2015; Sommer et al. 2006). Because of its high solubility, Si$_{\text{Si}}$ is assumed to represent an important source of Si in soil solution (Bartoli 1981; Fraysse et al. 2010). The balance between the different sources of Si (mineral dissolution vs Si$_{\text{Si}}$ recycling) absorbed by plants is not yet well established, but there is evidence that, in many ecosystems, soil–plant Si fluxes involve intense Si$_{\text{Si}}$ recycling and are several times larger than fluxes of Si released from primary minerals via weathering. As a consequence, Si cycles on average several times through the Si$_{\text{Si}}$ pool before eventually being exported to the hydrological network (Bartoli 1983; Blecker et al. 2006; Clymans et al. 2011; Derry et al. 2005; Struyf et al. 2010). In agricultural environments, regular Si$_{\text{Si}}$ export through crop harvest interrupts this soil–plant recycling loop.
and can thus lead over time to a depletion of the SiB pool in soils (Clymans et al. 2011; Struyf et al. 2010; Vandevenne et al. 2012). Several studies have already proved an anthropogenic influence on SiB storage and on continental Si fluxes (e.g., Ameijeiras-Mariño et al. 2018; Carey and Fulweiler 2012, 2013; Clymans et al. 2011; Conley and Carey 2015; Fortner et al. 2012; Struyf et al. 2010; Unzué-Belmonte et al. 2017; Vandevenne et al. 2015b; Vandevenne et al. 2015a). Decrease of SiB stocks is critical as it may affect yields, in particular for plants grown on highly weathered soils where Si input from soil mineral dissolution is low.

In rice plants, the average Si content is usually higher than 40 mg/g of the dry mass (e.g., Hodson et al. 2005; Savant et al. 1997) and can reach up to 100 mg/g (Epstein 1994). This high Si content classifies rice as a Si accumulator and makes the SiB export flux through crop harvest even more important. As Si fertilization is not a common practice in northern Vietnam, this Si export is usually not compensated by the input of Si from fertilizers. Returning crop residues to fields is expected to partially close again the plant-soil Si cycle and reduce the net export of Si. Such Si recycling with crop residues should thus eventually help to maintain appropriate levels of plant-available Si in cultivated soils.

Each of the three rice residue management techniques studied here may affect the recycling rate of SiB in soils and thereby Si availability to plants. To the best of our knowledge, no study has yet to focus on comparing the effects of different crop residue management practices on Si cycling. Owing to the various beneficial effects of Si for plants mentioned above, the current lack of knowledge about the effect of agricultural practices on the Si cycle is unfortunate. Owing to the gaps in knowledge pointed out above, the objectives of our study were to assess the effects of different rice residue management practices on (i) various soil Si pools in a Si-depleted system, (ii) Si uptake by rice plants and (iii) rice yields, through the management effects on Si plant-availability. The overall goal of this assessment was to identify management practices that may contribute to a more sustainable rice cultivation in Vietnam.

Material and methods

Rice residue management techniques

In our study, we tested the effects of three rice residue management practices on Si-depleted paddy rice systems. Each of these crop residue management techniques are commonly found in Vietnam. For all three management practices, grain (including the husk) is exported with the harvest and thus accounts for a net export of Si. Rice residues, to the contrary, are almost entirely recycled back to the fields and should thus only constitute a transient Si reservoir that is eventually returned to the soil. Unfortunately, a comparison with fields where rice residue is not recycled was not possible as this later practice is not common in the region. The three management practices are:

- Incorporation: Plant residues are spread over the land following a mechanical harvest. They are then incorporated into the soil, thereby burying the Si contained in straw. Crop residue incorporation into the soil is known to increase soil organic carbon stocks, improve soil structure, and substantially contribute to maintaining appropriate levels of nutrients such as N, P, and K in the soil (Bijay-Singh et al. 2008; Fairhurst et al. 2007; Hoang et al. 2014).
- Burning: In Vietnam, like in many countries, the most common practice is to burn rice residues directly on the field (Tran et al. 2014; Truc et al. 2012). This labor-saving method has the advantage of destroying pests, but it is known to result in a loss of nutrients to the atmosphere, including macronutrients like N, P and K (Fairhurst et al. 2007; Gupta et al. 2004).
- Manure production: Rice residues can be used as fodder for cattle. The obtained manure is then composted and the final product is dispersed back onto the fields of origin.

Study area

The study area is located in the Luong Phong Commune, in the alluvial plain of the Red River, ca. 55 km north-east of Hanoi, northern Vietnam (Hiep Hoa District, Bac Giang Province; 106° 01’ E, 21° 20’ N). The climate is humid subtropical with a
monsoon season. The mean annual temperature is 23.5 °C and the mean annual rainfall is 1620 mm, of which more than 80% occurs between May and October. The soils are Plinthic Acrisols according to the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (IUSS Working Group WRB 2015). The texture of the soils is predominantly sandy loam. Acrisols are among the main soils used for agriculture in Vietnam, occupying 1.4 million hectares (12% of the agricultural land of Vietnam). In northern Vietnam, these soils are concentrated in regions that are known for their intensive agricultural production (Nguyen et al. 2002). The fertility of these soils is, however, generally low (Nguyen et al. 2015). The predominant cropping system is a lowland paddy rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) system, with two harvests per year. Spring rice is usually planted in February and harvested in late May. Summer rice is planted in late June and harvested in late September. Although some farmers combine rice cultivation with other crops, we focused on plots where only rice is cultivated.

With a median landholding size of 0.63 ha, smallholders are the backbone of Vietnamese agriculture (FAO 2017). Due to this relatively small size of the fields, various management practices can be found within a close proximity. This allows for an easy comparison of the effects of different management practices, whereby other influencing environmental parameters (e.g., climate, irrigation, soil type, geology, topography) can be easily kept similar. For each of the three rice residue management practices we selected three plots (n = 9). These plots have an area of ca. 0.03 ha and are all comprised within a radius of ca. 150 m. They thus have an identical climate and similar soils. In addition to rainwater, plots may also receive irrigation water from a nearby dammed lake when rainwater input is insufficient. This source of irrigation water is common to all plots. Soils have a low pH (average pH KCl = 4.5). All plots have been used for rice agriculture for centuries and have been managed with the current practices since 2010. Before 2010, the management technique in use for all fields was the application of manure. NPK chemical fertilizers were applied in similar rates on all plots; these chemical fertilizers contained no Si. We recently presented the results of a study on the effects of crop residue management practices on N, P and K budgets of these plots in a separate article (Trong Hung et al. 2019).

### Sampling

Samples of topsoil, crop residues and rice grains were collected at the time of the harvesting of summer rice in September 2015 and September 2017. With 2156 mm of rainfall, 2015 was particularly rainy, while 2017 was an average year (1624 mm). At each plot, five topsoil samples were taken with a shovel over a depth of 0–20 cm (corresponding to the root zone layer) within an area of 5 × 5 m and were merged in the field. The samples were air-dried and sieved (< 2 mm). Aliquots of these samples were analyzed by Trong Hung et al. (2019) for various parameters, including soil density, pH, total N, P and K, and available P and K. Plant samples were collected from an area of 2 × 2 m within the area from which topsoil samples had been taken. Samples of irrigation water were collected at each plot in September 2017, filtered and analyzed for their dissolved Si content using the molybdate-blue colorimetric method. The dissolved Si content of rainwater was not analyzed, as it is known to be usually negligible (Tegen and Kohfeld 2006).

### Si pools in soils

In order to quantify the effects of the different rice residue management practices on the various Si reservoirs of the paddy soils, we applied a sequential Si extraction to the soil samples. The extraction followed the procedure developed by Georgiadis et al. (2013, 2015). For each sample, 1 g of soil material is processed through the whole extraction procedure. The extracts are centrifuged and filtered through paper filters (1–2 μm) before analysis. The remaining soil is rinsed several times with deionized water between the single extraction steps. Throughout the successive steps, Si is extracted from the following reservoirs:

**Dissolved and readily mobilized Si (Si$_M$)** This fraction mostly corresponds to (1) Si that is dissolved in soil solution and (2) Si that is loosely adsorbed at the surface of the soil minerals and is therefore in quick, dynamic equilibrium with the solution. The soil samples are mixed with 5 ml of calcium chloride solution (0.01 M). The samples are shaken once per hour for one minute over 24 h. After filtration, the Si content is measured using the molybdate-blue colorimetric method.
Adsorbed Si (Si_{Ads}) Si adsorbed on mineral surfaces is desorbed by adding 10 ml of acetic acid (0.01 M) to the cleaned soil material from step 1. The samples are shaken once per hour for one minute over 24 h. After filtration, the Si content is measured using the molybdate-blue colorimetric method.

Si in organic matter (Si_{Org}) When plant materials or soil organic matter are decomposed, Si_{Org} turns into SiM and thus becomes plant-available. H_2O_2 is used to decompose the soil organic matter in order to release the Si present in the organic matrix. The sample is first treated with 20 ml H_2O_2 (17.5%) at room temperature. Once the reaction subsides, 10 ml of concentrated H_2O_2 (35%) is added and the samples are put in a shaking hot water bath at 85 °C until no further reaction is visible. After filtration (PES filters, 0.2 μm), the extracts are analyzed for Si by use of an atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES). Aluminum concentrations are also measured to check for possible contamination from mineral dissolution.

Si occluded in pedogenic oxides and hydroxides (Si_{occ}) The plant-availability of Si_{occ} can be coupled to the dissolution and re-precipitation of iron hydroxides during the redox cycles in paddy rice cultivation. Dissolution and re-precipitation of these oxides and hydroxides with changes of redox conditions can scavenge or release Si (Cornelis et al. 2011; Opfergelt et al. 2009; Sommer et al. 2006). Pedogenic oxides and hydroxides are dissolved by adding 50 ml of an ammonium oxalate (0.2 M) and oxalic acid (0.14 M) solution to the samples. After an initial reaction time of 8 h, the samples are exposed to UV light overnight. The centrifuged and filtered extracts are analyzed for Si by use of an ICP-AES.

Amorphous Si (Si_{Am}) The remaining soil samples are then transferred into 400 ml of a 0.2 M NaOH solution to extract Si from amorphous silica following the protocol of Georgiadis et al. (2015). The samples are kept for 7 days and are shaken hourly for one minute. After centrifugation and filtration, Si concentrations in the extracts are measured colorimetrically.

Plant-available Si: In addition to the different Si pools measured through the sequential extraction, we also estimate the soil Si fraction readily available to plants. Multiple methods exist to measure this soluble Si pool (Liang et al. 2015; Sauer et al. 2006). One way is to take the sum of SiM and Si_{Ads} from the sequential extraction (Si_{M+Ads}), as SiM and Si_{Ads} are both readily soluble and available to plants (Haynes 2014; Sauer et al. 2006). However, in the literature Na-acetate extraction (Si_{NaAcet}) is more widely used to measure the Si-supplying capacity in soils. In order to allow a comparison between our results and the existing literature we also measured the Si extracted with the protocol of Imaizumi and Yoshida (1958) as cited in the review of Sauer et al. (2006). Briefly, 1 g of soil is incubated for 5 h in 10 ml of 0.18 M sodium acetate adjusted to pH = 4 with acetic acid. After centrifugation and filtration, the Si content is measured using the molybdate-blue colorimetric method.

Plant analyses

Plant samples were separated into crop residues (stems and leaves) and rice grain (including husks). They were weighed, air-dried, cut into small pieces, dried at 60–70 °C to constant weight, and ground to pass through a 0.4 mm nylon sieve. The Si concentrations of crop residues (Si_{Straw}) and rice grain (Si_{Grain}) were measured by the 1% Na_2CO_3 method (Meunier et al. 2014; Saccone et al. 2007) initially developed for amorphous silica extraction from soil samples. Ca. 20 mg of plant material was weighed into a 50 ml centrifuge tube using a high-precision scale. 40 ml of a 1% Na_2CO_3 solution (0.094 M) was added and the tube was then put into a shaking hot water bath at 85 °C for minimum 3 h. The tubes were manually shaken hourly to fully mix the samples. After centrifugation (5 min at 3000 rpm), an aliquot of the solution was neutralized with HCl and filtered through a paper filter (1–2 μm). The sample was then diluted and analyzed with the molybdate-blue colorimetric method. We ensured that no solution contained more than 84 μg/l Si, as recommended by Meunier et al. (2014), as amorphous silica dissolution might be incomplete for samples with higher Si concentrations. All Si extractions in plants were replicated at least once.

Results

Water

Dissolved Si content in irrigation water sampled at the time of the 2017 harvest is similar in the different fields, ranging from 0.75 to 1.04 mg/l (average = 0.9 mg/l ± 0.2; 2SD). In comparison, water
### Table 1  Plant and soil Si content and above-ground plant biomass at the time of harvest under the different rice residue management practices

| Rice residue management | Year | Si in rice plants (mg/g) | Si in rice plants per hectare (kg/ha) |      |
|-------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
|                         |      | Si_{Straw}               | Si_{Grain}                           | Si_{Plant} | Straw | Grain | Plant |
| Manure                  | 2015 | 18.5 ± 5.4               | 15.9 ±13.1                           | 17.2 ± 8.1 | 98 ± 22 | 83 ± 62 | 181 ± 66 |
|                         | 2017 | 13.4 ± 8.1               | 9.9 ± 2.5                            | 11.6 ± 4.6 | 62 ± 33 | 46 ± 15 | 107 ± 40 |
| Burning                 | 2015 | 21.3 ± 1.4               | 17.7 ± 10.1                          | 19.5 ± 4.4 | 116 ± 3 | 95 ± 55 | 211 ± 55 |
|                         | 2017 | 17.2 ± 17.3              | 7.1 ± 2.2                            | 12.3 ± 10.1 | 83 ± 87 | 32 ± 10 | 116 ± 96 |
| Incorporation           | 2015 | 16.3 ± 4.4               | 14.4 ± 2.0                           | 15.3 ± 1.4 | 90 ± 27 | 78 ± 12 | 168 ± 21 |
|                         | 2017 | 15.8 ± 2.5               | 8.9 ± 1.5                            | 12.4 ± 1.0 | 74 ± 12 | 40 ± 6  | 114 ± 11 |
| Average                 |      | 17.1 ± 8.6               | 12.3 ± 9.9                           | 14.7 ± 7.7 | 87 ± 49 | 62 ± 58 | 149 ± 93 |
| Average 2015            |      | 18.7 ± 5.6               | 16.0 ± 8.8                           | 17.3 ± 5.9 | 101 ± 29 | 86 ± 45 | 187 ± 58 |
| Average 2017            |      | 15.5 ± 10.2              | 8.6 ± 3.0                            | 12.1 ± 5.6 | 73 ± 50 | 39 ± 15 | 112 ± 53 |
| Average manure          |      | 15.9 ± 8.3               | 12.9 ± 10.7                          | 14.4 ± 8.5 | 80 ± 47 | 65 ± 58 | 144 ± 94 |
| Average burning         |      | 19.2 ±11.9               | 12.4 ± 13.3                          | 15.9 ± 10.5 | 99 ± 65 | 64 ± 77 | 163 ± 125 |
| Average incorporation   |      | 16.1 ± 3.2               | 11.6 ± 6.2                           | 13.9 ± 3.4 | 82 ± 25 | 59 ± 43 | 141 ± 61 |

| Rice residue management | Year | Plant biomass (Mg/ha) | Soil Si fractions (amount of Si per g of soil) (µg/g) |      |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
|                         |      | Straw                 | Grain                                                | Plant |
| Manure                  | 2015 | 5.30 ± 0.70           | 5.30 ± 0.37                                         | 10.60 ± 1.07 |
|                         | 2017 | 4.64 ± 0.62           | 4.61 ± 0.41                                         | 9.25 ± 1.02 |
| Burning                 | 2015 | 5.43 ± 0.30           | 5.38 ± 0.22                                         | 10.81 ± 0.44 |
|                         | 2017 | 4.84 ± 0.39           | 4.53 ± 0.15                                         | 9.37 ± 0.24 |
| Incorporation           | 2015 | 5.49 ± 0.20           | 5.46 ± 0.32                                         | 10.94 ± 0.50 |
|                         | 2017 | 4.71 ± 0.06           | 4.47 ± 0.16                                         | 9.18 ± 0.17 |
| Average                 |      | 5.07 ± 0.81           | 4.96 ± 0.91                                         | 10.03 ± 1.68 |
| Average 2015            |      | 5.41 ± 0.43           | 5.38 ± 0.30                                         | 10.79 ± 0.70 |
| Average 2017            |      | 4.73 ± 0.41           | 4.54 ± 0.26                                         | 9.27 ± 0.56 |
| Average manure          |      | 4.97 ± 0.93           | 4.96 ± 0.83                                         | 9.93 ± 1.75 |
| Average burning         |      | 5.14 ± 0.72           | 4.95 ± 0.95                                         | 10.09 ± 1.61 |
| Average incorporation   |      | 5.10 ± 0.86           | 4.97 ± 1.10                                         | 10.06 ± 1.96 |

Averages are given with ±2SD
collected at the same time at the dammed lake and used as a complement to rainwater for irrigating the fields had a dissolved Si content of 3.50 mg/l.

Soils

Si concentrations in the various soil Si reservoirs of the different experimental plots are highly variable. However, our results do not show significant differences between sites with different management practices. The results are summarized in Table 1. Si_{Am} is always by far the largest of the different Si pools considered in our study (on average 2.3 mg/g and 6.1 t/ha for the top 20 cm layer, using an average soil density of 1.33; Trong Hung et al. 2019). All the considered soil Si reservoirs tend to be correlated with each other to variable degrees. There is a marked difference between samples from 2015 and 2017; under all three management practices, the average Si content of the different soil reservoirs is systematically either lower or stable in soil samples from 2017 in comparison to samples from 2015. Both estimates of the plant-available Si (Na-acetate extractable Si and Si_{M+Ads} from the sequential extraction) show similar results (Fig. 1), but with Si_{M+Ads} being systematically shifted toward higher values by about 5 μg/g.

Plants

The average Si content in the above-ground plant biomass is 15 mg/g of the dry plant biomass (± 4 mg/g, 2SD), with generally slightly higher concentrations in straw than in grain (17 mg/g and 12 mg/g, respectively). The biomass at the time of harvest is similar for the different plots (average of 10.0 Mg/ha ± 0.8, 2SD). On average, grain accounts for 49% of the above-ground plant biomass (± 1%, 2SD) and for 41% (± 8%, 2SD) of the Si present in the above-ground plant biomass. Si uptake within one growing season ranges from 80 kg/ha to 230 kg/ha. The average Si_{Am} stock in the root zone layer (6.1 t/ha) is thus about 40 times larger than the Si stored in standing biomass (0.15 t/ha). Such a ratio between Si_{Am}, storage in biomass and in soil is similar to values reported for wetlands (Norris and Hackney 1999; Struyf et al. 2005), but lower than the 90:1 ratio reported by Nguyen et al. (2016) for another site in the plain of the Red River. We found no significant difference between the Si content of the above-ground plant biomass (Si_{Plant}) under the different rice residue management practices (Table 1). Similar to soil Si reservoirs, average Si content and Si stock in plants are either lower or stable in plant samples from 2017 in comparison to samples from 2015.

The Si content in straw (Si_{Straw}) correlates closely with Si content of most of the soil Si reservoirs (Fig. 2), in particular with Si_{Ads} (R^2 = 0.64; p = 7 \times 10^{-5}) and Si_{Org} (R^2 = 0.65; p = 3 \times 10^{-5}). Only between Si_{Straw} and Si_{M} is no marked correlation found. We also observe a good correlation between Si_{Straw} and plant-available Si estimated both from the sequential extraction (Si_{M+Ads}; R^2 = 0.57; p = 3 \times 10^{-4}) and from the Na-acetate extraction (Si_{NaAcet}; R^2 = 0.64; p = 2 \times 10^{-4}; n = 16, excluding one strong outlier). Si_{Straw} also tends to correlate with Si_{Occ} and Si_{Am} (R^2 = 0.47 and R^2 = 0.46, respectively). In contrast, the Si content in grain shows only a weak correlation with the Si content in the various soil Si reservoirs. The only noticeable relationship is observed between Si_{Grain} and Si_{Org} (R^2 = 0.33). When considering the Si content of the whole plant (Si_{Plant}) the most noticeable correlation is with Si_{Org} (R^2 = 0.68, n = 18, p < 10^{-4}).

Discussion

Possible effects of the different management practices

Si cycling and Si availability in rice cultivation have already been the subject of several studies, including
some in regions near to our research site (Red River area; e.g., Klotzbu¨cher et al. 2015b, 2016; Marxen et al. 2016). These studies showed a strong Si limitation in rice cultivation of the Red River area, with a possible effect on yields. They emphasized the importance of recycling crop residues for maintaining a sufficient Si supply to paddy rice in the area, and in regions with low Si availability in general. In addition, they pointed to a lack of knowledge on the effects of different agricultural practices on Si availability and called for more studies, in particular on crop residue management. So far, research on the influence of crop residue management on Si bio-availability has focused on comparing the effect of crop residue export against crop residue recycling (e.g., Guntzer et al. 2012b; Klotzbücher et al. 2015a; Marxen et al. 2016; Seyfferth et al. 2013), but no comparison on the impact of different crop residue recycling techniques exists. We know, however, that different treatments and processing of the rice residues may affect in different ways the solubility and the availability of the Si contained in the residues returned to the field:

- Incorporation: When plant residues are incorporated into the soil, the Si it contains remains embedded in the organic matrix. Like in natural systems, the decomposition of the organic matter will then progressively allow the increased release of Si$_B$. Studies have shown that the incorporation of plant residues into the soil can markedly increase the Si concentration in soil solution in comparison to situations where plant residues are exported (Marxin et al. 2016; Savant et al. 1996; Seyfferth et al. 2013).

- Burning: Burning of plant material was shown to enhance the solubility of Si$_B$ in comparison to unburned plant material (Cabanes et al. 2011; Unzué-Belmonte et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2014). Nguyen et al. (2014) specifically studied the effect of burning rice straw and concluded that burning at low temperatures (e.g., 400 °C) can be an efficient measure for improving Si availability in the short term. Burning at higher temperature can, however, lead to the transformation of amorphous silica into crystalline, less soluble, forms of silica. Some Si loss through flying ashes may also occur.

- Manure production: The reactivity of Si$_B$ was shown to increase after passing through an animal’s digestive tract. This is mainly due to degradation of organic matrices, resulting in increased exposure of phytolith surfaces and thus higher dissolution rates (Vandevenne et al. 2013).
At our study sites, burning and burying practices have been in application since 2010. Given the high recycling rate of Si estimated in similar conditions by Marxen et al. (2016) for buried rice straw (more than half of the plant Si recycled within one month) and by Nguyen et al. (2014) for burned residues, it is reasonable to assume that at the time of the first sampling in 2015 Si fluxes were already near to equilibrium in regards to the respective new management techniques. This assumption is also supported by the large variations in the soil Si content between 2015 and 2017, which shows the highly dynamic nature of this environment.

Sources of bio-available Si

The strong correlation between plant-available Si (SiM+Ads) and the plant Si content shows the clear link between Si availability and Si uptake by plants. However, SiM+Ads amounts on average to less than half of the Si contained in the above-ground biomass at harvest time (Table 1). The SiM+Ads pool must thus be replenished by other Si sources. Possible Si sources include SiOrg, SiOcc, SiAm, lithogenic and pedogenic mineral weathering and irrigation water. Si inputs from irrigation water over a growing season are difficult to quantify, but Si concentration of irrigation water samples was generally low (∼1 mg/l on average in September 2017). Data on the amount of water from the reservoir lake used for irrigation are lacking, but as the growing season preceding the September harvest corresponds to the rainy season in northern Vietnam it is reasonable to assume that most of the water input is rain water (containing only negligible amounts of Si). Similarly, it is difficult to quantify the Si input from mineral weathering. However, given the high degree of weathering of the soils in the region, input from mineral weathering is expected to be limited in comparison to other soil types, thereby potentially increasing the importance of the different soil Si pools measured in this study as a source of Si for plants. Among soil Si sources, SiOrg and SiAm are thought to be important sources of plant-available Si. In a recent litterbag experiment with Si-poor rice straw, Marxen et al. (2016) measured that 2/3 of the Si in the straw was released as plant-available Si within one month. The good correlations observed in our samples between SiM+Ads and both SiOrg (R² = 0.60; p = 10⁻⁴) and SiAm (R² = 0.41; p = 4 × 10⁻³) tend to confirm that the recycling of Si from plants is an important source of plant-available Si. This is also reflected in the strong correlations between these pools and SiStraw (Fig. 2). Looking at SiAm as a homogeneous Si reservoir may be misleading though. Given that on average 41% of the Si is not returned to the field after harvest, with two annual growing seasons, we can calculate an average residence time of SiAm in soil of 34 yrs. Such a long average residence time does not reflect the high Si recycling rate reported in the literature for similar environments (Marxen et al. 2016; Nguyen et al. 2014) and for SiB recycling in general (e.g., Bartoli 1983; Fraysse et al. 2010). This dichotomy was explained before by a bicompartamental distribution of SiAm in soil (Alexandre et al. 1997; Blecker et al. 2006) where part of the SiB is recycled quickly while some SiB is stored for a longer period in more resistant phytoliths. There is thus a disconnection between the total SiAm content in soil and the SiAm content effectively available for plants, which makes difficult a precise assessment of the importance of SiAm as a source of bio-available Si.

Implications of plant-available Si depletion

The general correlation observed between all soil Si reservoirs contrasts with results from Klotzbücher et al. (2015a), who observed clear anti-correlations between SiAm and plant-available Si (measured as SiNaAcet), and between SiAm and SiOcc, in paddy soils of the Philippines (Hydragric and Irragric Anthrosols). This may reflect a difference of behavior related to the abundance of Si in the environment as the rice fields studied in the Philippines presented a high Si availability while the fields studied here are depleted in Si. The average plant Si content of 15 mg/g found at our research sites is well below values commonly reported for paddy rice systems, which usually exceed 40 mg/g (e.g., Hodson et al. 2005; Savant et al. 1997; Tsujimoto et al. 2014). Even for other paddy rice plots in the alluvial plain of the Red River Klotzbücher et al. (2015b), and Nguyen et al. (2016) both measured a markedly higher content of average plant Si (41 mg/g and 74 mg/g, respectively). The average rice plant Si content of 15 mg/g reported here is also well below the threshold of 50 mg/g proposed by Dobermann and Fairhurst (2000) below which Si deficiency affects rice plants and yields. These findings classify the plants in...
our study as strongly Si-depleted. These fields are thus ideal for observing the effects of different management practices on Si plant-availability as any minor change in Si input to these Si-depleted systems should have a proportionally larger effect on the bioavailable Si content of the soil than in systems where plant-available Si is abundant.

Since Si may be actively taken up by rice plants, the Si content of the plants and the bioavailable Si in the soil need not be necessarily systematically correlated. However, in a previous study on soil–plant Si cycling in rice fields, Klotzbücher et al. (2016) found a positive relationship between Si\textsubscript{Straw} and Si\textsubscript{NaAcet}. This correlation was limited to Si\textsubscript{Straw} concentrations below ca. 80–100 mg/g. Above this threshold, rice plants probably reached a maximum uptake capacity and additional Si\textsubscript{NaAcet} did not lead to a further increase of plant Si content (Fig. 3). A similar threshold effect was also observed in rice agriculture from Louisiana, USA, by Paye (2016). As the Si content of our plant samples is always below 30 mg/g, it falls well within the range for which Klotzbücher et al. (2016) found the correlation between plant Si content and plant-available Si in soils. In agreement with this later study, the clear correlation shown in our data between Si\textsubscript{Straw} and both Si\textsubscript{M+Ads} and Si\textsubscript{NaAcet} suggests a direct link between Si\textsubscript{Straw} and soluble Si in soil. We thus conclude that Si uptake at our study sites was limited by the Si availability in the soil.

Causes for the absence of differences between management practices

Given the low Si plant-availability in our study area, we had expected that small differences in Si input would lead to more easily measurable effects on the content of plant Si than in systems with higher Si plant-availability. However, our data do not show any significant differences in plant Si content between the different management practices (Table 1). Caution must be exercised though in the interpretation of these results due to the limited size of the dataset. A first possible explanation to the absence of significant differences in Si content of rice plants grown under the three different management practices could simply be that the recycling of Si\textsubscript{B} is particularly efficient under the present humid-subtropical climate. All three management practices involve recycling of the Si in crop residues. Thus, if for all three management practices the Si\textsubscript{B} is recycled at a similar rate, this might result in a similar plant-availability of Si. Another possible explanation for the absence of clear differences between the three management practices is the high Si export rate through grain harvesting. As the Si contained in the rice grains is exported from the system and is thus not recycled on the field, this regular export (twice a year) leads to a considerable systematic loss of Si\textsubscript{B} from the system. Possible effects of different Si recycling rates by the three management techniques might thus be obscured by Si export with grain. This Si loss during harvest is, moreover, enhanced at our study sites as Si\textsubscript{Grain} comprises a particularly large proportion of Si\textsubscript{Plant} compared to other studies. On average, at harvest time grain accounted for 49% of the total aerial plant
biomass (± 1%, 2SD) and for 41% (± 8%, 2SD) of the Si present in the above-ground plant biomass (Fig. 4). This is relatively high compared to data from other studies. For example, Klotzbücher et al. (2016) reported a Si content in grain accounting for 20% of the Si in the above-ground plant (23% for Vietnamese rice and 17% for Philippine rice). This finding also raises the question whether the high proportion of $\text{Si}_{\text{Grain}}$ in $\text{Si}_{\text{Plant}}$ is a consequence of the low content of plant-available Si in the soils. Unfortunately, few studies have measured both $\text{Si}_{\text{Grain}}$ and $\text{Si}_{\text{Plant}}$, but the limited data shows that there might be a negative correlation between these two parameters (Fig. 4). If this is the case, it may trigger a snowball effect in which a low content of plant-available Si in soils leads to a higher fraction of $\text{Si}_{\text{Plant}}$ being stored in grain, thus eventually resulting in a proportionally greater Si export during the harvest of the rice grains. Such a snowball effect would have serious implications, as it would mean that the more Si-depleted a soil already is, the higher is the proportion of plant-available Si lost from the system via grain export. This hypothesis can easily be tested in future studies by systematically measuring the Si content in grain along with that in bulk plants or in straw.

Conclusions

The absence of any difference in the plant-availability of Si between the three crop residue management practices suggests that it is therefore not necessary to take Si recycling into account when deciding which of these practices to adopt. This simplifies the decision-making process and allows more emphasis to be put on the recycling of other nutrients. Our results also highlight the importance of Si fertilization in Si-depleted systems, not only in the short term to improve yield, but also on the longer term to avoid an increasingly rapid depletion of plant-available Si in soils as Si is exported with grain. It also emphasizes the need for a better constraint on the impact of Si input from recycling (or fertilization) on the other Si fluxes of the system, since it is, for example, not clear to what extent the recycling of Si influences mineral dissolution. In future studies, it would thus be helpful to analyze plots where Si input from recycling and/or fertilization is equal or higher than Si output during harvest. This will allow the finding of the tipping point where the plant-soil system reaches a Si equilibrium and beyond which Si starts accumulating in soils. Such information will help quantify the need for Si fertilization. An additional comparison with rice plots where rice residues are not returned to the field at all, and where all plant Si is thus exported, would also be necessary in order to better assess the exact benefit of returning the Si contained in rice residues to the fields.
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