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ABSTRACT The use of Blockchain technology has recently become widespread. It has emerged as an essential tool in various academic and industrial fields, such as healthcare, transportation, finance, cybersecurity, and supply chain management. It is regarded as a decentralized, trustworthy, secure, transparent, and immutable solution that innovates data sharing and management. This survey aims to provide a systematic review of Blockchain application to intelligent transportation systems in general and the Internet of Vehicles (IoV) in particular. The survey is divided into four main parts. First, the Blockchain technology including its opportunities, relative taxonomies, and applications is introduced; basic cryptography is also discussed. Next, the evolution of Blockchain is presented, starting from the primary phase of pre-Bitcoin (fundamentally characterized by classic cryptography systems), followed by the Blockchain 1.0 phase, (characterized by Bitcoin implementation and common consensus protocols), and finally, the Blockchain 2.0 phase (characterized by the implementation of smart contracts, Ethereum, and Hyperledger). We compared and identified the strengths and limitations of each of these implementations. Then, the state of the art of Blockchain-based IoV solutions (BlIoV) is explored by referring to a large and trusted source database from the Scopus data bank. For a well-structured and clear discussion, the reviewed literature is classified according to the research direction and implemented IoV layer. Useful tables, statistics, and analysis are also presented. Finally, the open problems and future directions in BlIoV research are summarized.

INDEX TERMS Blockchain, automotive communication, internet of vehicles, intelligent transport system, Bitcoin, Ethereum, smart contract, Internet of Things, security.

I. INTRODUCTION With the rapid increase in number of vehicles over the last two decades, and in spite of notable improvements in infrastructure, the transportation solutions that have been previously formulated and implemented have become insufficient to handle today’s ever-increasing traffic problems. The necessity of integrating intelligent transportation systems (ITS) has become more critical. In particular, the purpose of ITS is to reduce traffic problems, enhance traffic efficiency, and contribute to the development of smart roads. Users receive valuable information regarding seat availability and other traffic conditions. Accordingly, safety and comfort are improved, and commuting time is reduced. Owing to rapid developments in innovative computation and communication technologies, the original concept of vehicular ad-hoc networks (VANETs) was transformed into an innovative concept termed as the Internet of Vehicles (IoV) [1]–[3]. The IoV is a necessary prerequisite of the ITS because it enables the interconnection of smart vehicles on the Internet. According to the US Department of Transport (DOT) [4], the IoV can particularly contribute to the reduction in crashes...
involving unimpaired drivers. With the integration of IoV, 79% of such crashes are estimated to be avoidable owing to the effective communication and collaboration among vehicles. The interconnection includes communication with bicycles, pedestrians, and roadside infrastructures. By exchanging messages regarding traffic conditions and information on safety and accidents, global traffic control can reduce environmental pollution, accident rates, and traffic jams [5], [6] while enhancing convenience, comfort, and safety. Consequently, public transportation and pedestrian traffic can be considerably significantly improved. A rapid increase in the integration of ITS is expected in the succeeding years through initiatives, such as ERTICO-ITS Europe [7] and CityVerve Manchester [8], that can contribute to the development of smart cities. The IoV ensures the interconnection between smart vehicles, roadside infrastructures, and pedestrians to respond to the complex functional requirements of the ITS and enables the vehicle-to-everything (V2X) paradigm. However, an increasing number of smart vehicles and related vehicular applications as well as services are expected to inevitably generate enormous amounts of data and considerable network traffic. Moreover, the complex IoV characteristics and context, low latency, and high mobility can result in problems related to security, management, and cloud-based storage. Consequently, ensuring the compatibility and interoperability of IoV entities using different service providers is necessary. Therefore, ensuring that the storage and data exchange of the IoV platform are secure, scalable, flexible, interoperable, distributed, and decentralized is paramount. This further ensures the development of the IoV and the realization of the full potentials of the ITS.

Blockchain technology [9] has fundamentally transformed digital currencies since the introduction of Bitcoin [10]. This new decentralized technology represents a distributed ledger that can maintain an immutable log of transactions occurring within a network. Although the primary research focus is on the use of Blockchain in the financial sector, recently, the scientific communities have shifted their attention to the Internet of Things (IoT) [11] and adopted it to generate a decentralized, trustworthy, and secure environment. The development of Blockchain has led to the emergence of high technology in sensitive and active sectors by ensuring the reliability of information via consensus, immutability of records, and transaction transparency. However, the significant added value of Blockchain is enhanced security and trust. In addition, owing to smart contracts, the optimization and automation of the handling process of information and cost saving have been achieved. Compared with traditional centralized architectures, Blockchain technology has numerous advantages. However, problems, such as storage limitation, inflexibility, and high costs, must be considered. The combination of Blockchain technology with IoV introduces considerable benefits and opportunities. More specifically, this integration can considerably improve security, intelligence, big data storage, and efficient management of the IoV.

A. RELATED WORKS AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF THIS SURVEY

The studies relevant to Blockchain applications in the transportation industry and their contributions, challenges, and opportunities are summarized in Table 2. Smetadin et al. [12] investigated the relevant academic and industrial barriers to Blockchain application in transport management, logistics, and supply chain by focusing on four clusters: traceability/traceability, trade, trust, and technology. However, their study did not analyze the implementation of Blockchain through Hyperledger, Ethereum, and Bitcoin. In fact, the study focused on the application domain, but open problems were not considered. Butt et al. [13] explored the privacy issues and critical factors in social IoV (SIoV) environments. The authors explored the factors essential for the privacy of SIoV systems. These factors include security, applications, goals, communication technologies, social relationships, user preferences, context awareness, and architecture. Note that this work only considered the privacy perspective of Blockchain; no other challenges were discussed. Astarita et al. [14] used the multi-step methodology to review relevant studies on Blockchain application and identified primary gaps in the literature, current research trends, and possible future challenges. However, topics related to general business, trade, IoT, and trust issues have not been considered. Gupta et al. [15] investigated the classification of threats (e.g., wormhole attacks, timing, denial of service (DoS), and impersonation) on autonomous vehicles (AVs) via service availability, accountability, and authentication. A critical merit of this study is that it also includes the taxonomy of AV attacks. However, the authors did not discuss in depth the research challenges related to Blockchain-based AV systems.

El-Switi and Qatawneh [16] investigated the use of Blockchain technology in the market of used vehicles with the aim of eliminating fraud using a secure ledger to log the life-cycle events of vehicles. Because the market of used vehicles is a critical economic sector characterized by numerous stakeholders and high potential for frauds (e.g., odometer frauds), the authors argued that devising a solution for tracking and logging vehicle data is necessary. Most importantly, this study determined the incentives for stakeholders to maintain and manage the Blockchain; critical privacy issues were also emphasized, but the Blockchain limitations were not analyzed. Iqbal et al. [17] summarized the recent studies that used Blockchain technology. Although they presented an extensive comparative study of different approaches and scenarios, only 14 research contributions were analyzed and compared. Mendiboure et al. [18] analyzed and compared the current applications of Blockchain technology to improve the trust, privacy, and security in vehicular environments. More importantly, they investigated the primary challenges of integrating the Blockchain technology to vehicular networks (e.g., vehicular networks constraints and performance evaluation). However, although the authors extensively focused on the challenges, they did not
consider the application of Blockchain to vehicular networks. Mollah et al. [19] surveyed the cutting-edge developments in Blockchain for IoV aimed at identifying potential application areas. However, although the primary problems related to the application of Blockchain to the IoV were analyzed, the classification methodology of analyzed works was not considered. Wang et al. [20] surveyed Blockchain-based cybersecurity for vehicular networks and discussed the cybersecurity threat analysis of vehicular networks; however, recent advances in fifth-generation (5G) technology, big data analytics, and machine learning were not considered. Dibaei et al. [21] investigated the integration of innovative technologies (e.g., machine learning and Blockchain) to IoV for securing vehicular networks. However, the challenges that hinder the implementation of deep learning and Blockchain in vehicular networks have not been well investigated. Wang et al. [20] investigated several aspects (e.g., preserving privacy in IoV, certificate management, trust management, and Blockchain-based IoV security) of the Blockchain implementation in the IoV; however, they did discuss the open issues in detail, and recommendations for further research were not provided. Mikavic and Kostić-Ljubicavljević [22] reviewed state-of-the-art Blockchain architectures according to their primary features and objectives related to security, privacy preservation, and trust management. Their study aimed to enhance security services in vehicular networks. However, they did not focus on the challenges involved in Blockchain implementation; hence, potential directions to resolve such problems were not proposed. Megha et al. [23] opted for a software engineering approach to categorize and assess the solutions to problems in the AV industry using Blockchain. The study focused on the “Applications of Blockchains in the IoVs” highlighted several aspects of Blockchain implementation in IoVs, and resolved the problems involved. However, the work only considered 22 studies. Khoshavi et al. [24] investigated the potential applications of Blockchain in transportation systems and its potential integration to connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs). More specifically, they compared the maintenance, energy, and security features in terms of Blockchain type, drawbacks, and advantages. Nevertheless, the study did not discuss open issues in detail, and recommendations for further research were not provided. Kumar et al. [25] surveyed current studies whose objective is to secure the IoV using Blockchain techniques, such as trust-based, authentication, data sharing, decentralized, distributed, reputation, privacy, and security approaches. An important merit of this study is that it considered the performance evaluation metric tools used by researchers and the timeline from the experimentation perspective. Nevertheless, the study did not discuss open issues in detail, and recommendations for further research were not provided. Queiroz et al. [26] analyzed well-known solutions for Blockchain-based vehicular edge computing (VEC), introduced primary features, limitations, and advantages, and categorized them based on usage scenarios. Moreover, they provided a comprehensive taxonomy of Blockchain and edge computing for the IoV; however, only 14 studies were reviewed.

Previous research works explored the adoption of Blockchain in IoV, provided taxonomies, and highlighted their main features, advantages, and limitations. However, the aforementioned studies have several limitations. First, their extents are limited in terms of the number of reviewed research works. Iqbal et al. [17] analyzed 14 studies, and Megha et al. [23] and Queiroz et al. [26] considered less than 22 studies. Moreover, the reviews did categorize the surveyed studies. For example, Mollah et al. [19] did not include the classification methodology of analyzed works. Furthermore, the reviews tended to focus only on one problem related to the use of Blockchain. For example, Butt et al. [13] only examined privacy issues related to SIoV environments; Dibaei et al. [21] only focused on security issues and did not investigate in depth the challenges of implementing deep learning and Blockchain in vehicular networks. Some studies, such as [12], [15], [16], [22], [23], [27], did not consider the challenges or limitations of Blockchain. Finally, virtually all studies only focused on the application of Blockchain to IOVs. Hence, in upcoming studies, the investigation of the used Blockchain architectures is recommended. Accordingly, future reviews must systematically and comprehensively analyze the limitations and challenges of the IoV (which is regarded as a crucial enabler of ITS) as well as future research directions and opportunities. In addition to the systematic analysis of research contributions categorized according to use, the classification must consider the IoT architecture. In this work, we reviewed the literature on state-of-the-art Blockchain technology and traced its evolution from the pre-Bitcoin phase (as represented by fundamental cryptographic systems) to the Blockchain 2.0 phase (as represented by the implementation of Hyperledger and Ethereum as well as smart contracts). After highlighting various Blockchain applications across multiple domains, we focused on intelligent transport applications to IoV networks and classified related research into six categories: security, transportation applications, energy, communication and network, data management, and payments. Then, for each direction, we categorized the literature according to their IoV layer affiliation. Finally, we identified the main challenges in this field and proposed future research directions.

B. ARTICLE ORGANISATION

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section II presents a global overview of Blockchain technology and its opportunities, challenges, related cryptography fundamentals, relative taxonomies, and different uses. In Section III, we present a detailed chronological evolution of the history of Blockchain, divided into three main phases: pre-Bitcoin, Blockchain 1.0, and Blockchain 2.0. In this section, we also discuss the existing consensus algorithms as well as introduce and compare three popular Blockchain implementations: Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Hyperledger. In Section IV, different
IoV-layered architecture models are discussed. Section V reviews how the Blockchain technology is applied to ITS from the IoV perspective. In Section VI, future research opportunities are identified. Finally, in Section VII, the concluding remarks of this paper are presented. Additionally, the list of acronyms is presented in Table 1.

II. OVERVIEW OF BLOCKCHAIN

Blockchain is an innovative technology that forms the basis of the cryptocurrency Bitcoin [10], which was formulated by Satoshi Nakamoto (pseudonym) who proposed it in 2008 and then released it in 2009. However, the original paper did not discuss the Blockchain technology [28]. Therefore, it may be stated that Blockchain is an unintentionally invented technology with potential applications to numerous fields. The main objective of Blockchain is to ensure that transactions of value within a network of entities that cannot be trusted go through a trusted intermediary [29]. The emergence of Blockchain has contributed to the paradigm shift in computer science. The aim of this section is to explain the Blockchain concept as well as its beginnings, development, and relevance to proposed solutions to problems.

A. BLOCKCHAIN DEFINITION

Blockchain [30], [31] represents a database structured as a one-dimensional hash chain of blocks whose origins are in a genesis block. The distribution and maintenance of Blockchain are accomplished by a group of participants of a peer-to-peer network who do not trust each other (Figure 1). Thus, a consensus mechanism must exist among the participants such that they can all agree about the state of the database. The introduction of a data structure to fingerprint the information can enhance the storage efficacy of Blockchain. In particular, digital signatures must be used to ensure that only authorized entities can implement data change.

Blockchain is argued to be a linked list implemented with hash pointers [32]; this simply means that it is a one-dimensional hash chain. Some scholars, such as Abeyratne and Monfared [34], argue that Blockchain is a database distributed in a peer-to-peer network. Nevertheless, the distributed property is not a requirement for Blockchain; instead, it is an orderly application of the Blockchain database. The Blockchain is powerful due to this property; accordingly, it is typically known as a distributed database. A decentralized distribution means that trust among participants is not necessary to manage Blockchain [35]. The database depends on a chain structure. Consequently, long chains consume considerable amounts of memory. A hash pointer stored in one block points to

| TABLE 1. List of acronyms. |
|--------------------------------|
| Intelligent Transportation Systems | ITS |
| Internet of Vehicles | IoV |
| Blockchain based IoV solutions | BiOv |
| Vehicular Ad hoc network | VANET |
| US Department of Transport | DOT |
| Vehicle to Everything | V2X |
| Social Internet of Vehicle | SIoV |
| Denial of Service | DoS |
| Autonomous Vehicle | AV |
| Internet of Things | IoT |
| Connected and Autonomous Vehicle | CAV |
| Vehicular Edge Computing | VEC |
| Asymmetric Cryptography | AC |
| Message Digest Algorithm 5 | MD5 |
| Secure Hash Algorithm 1 | SHA 1 |
| Proof of Work | PoW |
| Proof of Stake | PoS |
| Delegated Proof of Stake | DPoS |
| Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance | PBFT |
| Proof of Elapsed Time | PoET |
| Proof of Activity | PoAc |
| Proof of Burn | PoB |
| Proof of Capacity | PoC |
| Decentralized Software Platform | DApps |
| Ethereum Blockchain as a Service | EBaaS |
| Ethereum Virtual Machine | EVM |
| Transactions Per Second | TPS |
| Web Assembly | WASM |
| Roadside Unit | RSU |
| Message Authentication Code | MAC |
| Electric Vehicles | EVs |
| Vehicle Data Collection System | VDCS |
| Authorized Proof of Stakes | APoS |
| Distributed Denial of Service | DDoS |
| Smart Contract Security Verification Standard | SCVS |
| Proof of Participation and Fees | PoPF |
| Proof of Search | PoSe |
| Proof of Accuracy | PoA |
| Proof of Sincerity | PoSn |
| Proof of Learning | PoL |
| Proof of Benefit | ePoB |
| Proof of Experience | PoEx |
| Proof of Evaluation | PoE |
| Proof of Adjourn | PoAj |
| Federated Learning | FL |
| Directed Acyclic Graph | DAG |
| Deep Reinforcement Learning | DRL |
| Device to Device | D2D |
| Network Functions Virtualization | NFV |
| Software Defined Networking | SDN |
| Authors          | Year | Survey objectives                                                                 | Merits                                                                                                                                  | Demerits                                                                                                                           |
|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pour-nader et al. [33] | 2020 | Discussed relevant and industrial barriers to Blockchain application in transport management, logistics, and supply chain. | Investigated relevant academic and industrial barriers to Blockchain application in transport management, logistics, and supply chain, particularly focusing on four clusters: Traceability/Transparency, Trade, Trust, and Technology | Did not analyze Blockchain implementation, such as Hyperledger, Ethereum, and Bitcoin; focus was on the application domain, but open issues were not considered |
| Butt et al. [13] | 2019 | Investigated privacy issues and critical factors related to maintaining privacy in SIoV environments. | Investigated the challenges related to the privacy of SIoV systems by exploring factors such as security, applications, goals, communication technologies, social relationships, user preferences, context-awareness, and architecture | Only explored privacy issues related to social SIoV environments; no other challenges were discussed |
| Astaria et al. [14] | 2020 | Discussed relevant studies regarding the application of Blockchain-based systems in transportation. | Identified primary research gaps in the literature, current research trends, and possible future challenges using multipurpose technology | Did not analyze documents pertaining to general business, trade, trust issues, and the Internet of Things |
| Gupta et al. [15] | 2020 | Comprehensively and systematically reviewed privacy and security issues based on AVs and related countermeasures. | Investigated the classification of threats on AVs via service availability, accountability, and authentication; it included the taxonomy of AV attacks | Did not discuss in detail research challenges related to Blockchain-based AV systems |
| El-Switi et al. [16] | 2021 | Investigated the status of current research with regard to the use of Blockchain in the market of used vehicles with the aim of eliminating fraud using a secure ledger to log vehicle lifecycle events. | Identified incentives for stakeholders to maintain and manage the Blockchain as well as emphasize critical privacy issues | Did not discuss limitations of Blockchain technology in the market of used vehicles with the aim of eliminating fraud using a secure ledger to log vehicle lifecycle events |
| Iqbal et al. [17] | 2021 | Summarized the newest studies that used Blockchain technology because of its inherent security features. | Summarized some of the latest articles on Blockchain technology due to inherent security features of Blockchain | Only analyzed 14 studies |
| Mendi-boura et al. [18] | 2020 | Analyzed and compared current applications of Blockchain technology to improve trust, privacy, and security in vehicular environments. | Analyzed the primary challenges in the integration of Blockchain technology to vehicular networks (e.g., vehicular networks, constraints, and performance evaluation) | Did not consider the application of Blockchain to vehicular networks |
| Mollah et al. [19] | 2021 | Surveyed the cutting-edge developments in Blockchain for IoV. | Analyzed main challenges related to the Blockchain application in IoV | Did not include the classification methodology of analyzed works |
| Wang et al. [20] | 2020 | Surveyed the recent application of Blockchain for cybersecurity in vehicular technology. | Discussed the security application of Blockchain technology and analyzed cybersecurity threats of vehicular networks | Did not include emerging technologies, such as 5G, big data, and the latest developments in machine learning |
| Dibaei et al. [21] | 2021 | Investigated innovative technologies (e.g., machine learning) and discussed the use of Blockchain as a cybersecurity defense mechanism in vehicular networks. | Analyzed in detail the security vulnerabilities in vehicular networks | Challenges of implementing deep learning and Blockchain in vehicular networks were not well investigated |
| Wang et al. [27] | 2021 | Investigated several aspects of Blockchain implementation in IoV (e.g., privacy and security). | Investigated recent works considering seven aspects (e.g., preserving privacy in IoV, certificate management, trust management, and Blockchain-based IoV security) | Did not discuss open issues in detail; recommendations for further research were not provided |
| Mikavica et al. [22] | 2021 | Analyzed Blockchain-enabled solutions regarding trust, privacy, and security issues in vehicular networks. | Analyzed Blockchain-based solutions aimed at improving security services in vehicular networks | Did not discuss open issues in detail; recommendations for further research were not provided |
| Mehta et al. [23] | 2020 | Described, categorized, and assessed solutions to problems in the autonomous vehicle industry that employ Blockchain. | Employed a software engineering approach to categorize current studies according to promoted quality attributes and resolved challenges | Only considered 21 studies |
| Khoshav et al. [24] | 2021 | Investigated the potential applications of Blockchain in transportation systems and potential integration with CAVs. | Compared maintenance, energy, and security methods in terms of Blockchain type, drawbacks, and advantages | Did not discuss open issues in detail; recommendations for further research were not provided |
| Kumar et al. [25] | 2021 | Surveyed current studies with the aim of securing the IoV using Blockchain techniques, such as trust-based, authentication, data-sharing, decentralized, distributed, reputation, privacy, and security approaches. | Considered the performance evaluation metrics, tools used by researchers, and timeline from the perspective of experimentation | Did not discuss open issues in detail; recommendations for further research were not provided |
| Queirroz et al. [26] | 2020 | Analyzed well-known solutions for Blockchain-based VEC, introduced primary features, limitations, and advantages, and categorized them to provide subsidies for further proposals. | Provided comprehensive taxonomy of Blockchain and edge computing for IoV | Only considered 14 studies |
the previous block. Hence, modifying data in the previous block without invalidating the pointer in the next block is not possible. A Merkle tree [36] can resolve this problem because the participants can be able to keep a valid copy of only the data relevant to them by fingerprinting the transactions using a data structure. This data structure is not necessary for the Blockchain; however, it is a beneficial tool for increasing the storage efficiency for Blockchain participants and improving overall usefulness. The use of digital signatures in Blockchain ensures the authenticity of the origin of issued database transactions; accordingly, data can be linked to the owner. This tool is not a requirement for the Blockchain because a transaction must simply be consistent to be valid. In other words, transactions for altering data must have adequate identification, which can be achieved using digital signatures. With regard to monetary systems, this means that only owners control their money.

B. OPPORTUNITIES AND DISADVANTAGES
Currently, Blockchain technology has a wide application range beyond cryptocurrency. Its decentralized architecture relieves the system from known central authority limits, such as security vulnerabilities and bottleneck access to networks. Historical and current data as well as relative changes are all transparently recorded and publicly viewable to any seeker. Blockchain is also an immutable ledger where data are difficult to alter or tamper with. It ensures a high and secure data sharing channel that can be attributed to the efficient decentralized cryptographic mechanisms it employs. In addition, the data exchange operations and transactions in Blockchain are faster and cost-effective than those in traditional systems; however, big data processing remains a challenge. Regarding Bitcoin, blocks are currently restricted to a size of 1 MB, and at approximately every 10 min, a new block is mined. As a result, the Bitcoin network is limited to seven transactions per second, rendering it incapable of coping with high-frequency trading. However, bigger blocks require more storage space and have a more gradual propagation over the network. This can gradually lead to centralization because users generally prefer to maintain a big Blockchain. The two well-known Blockchain implementations, Bitcoin and Ethereum, have sizes of 351 GB and more than 1 TB, respectively [37], [38]. As a result, balancing block size and security has become difficult.

C. BLOCKCHAIN SYSTEM TYPES
Three primary types of Blockchain systems (private, public, and consortium) are commonly discussed in literature [39]–[43]. The private Blockchain, also called permissioned Blockchain, is a closed and access-restricted system where only pre-verified persons who satisfy certain requirements are allowed to perform certain actions on the Blockchain. Most small-range organizations and business Blockchains favor this Blockchain type; however, it is not suitable for trading scenarios. In contrast, the public Blockchain presents a wide-open permissionless system where anyone can join and have full rights to use it. The auditability and transparency of information are more appreciated because no access limitation is imposed. However, the cost of related mining operations, delay, and synchronization among all participating nodes are high. Public Blockchains are not recommended for long delays or energy-sensitive domains. These two Blockchain types are considerably self-explanatory. The third type, consortium Blockchain, is between the two aforementioned types; it is a semi-private and semi-open Blockchain system where only organizations or participants with the same goals could join the group. It ensures scalability, acceptable delay, and reasonable costs.

D. BLOCKCHAIN KEY CONCEPTS
This section describes the fundamental concepts of cryptography related to key pair encryption, hashing function, and Merkle tree. Further, it defines the Blockchain taxonomy, such as data block and transactions (Figure 2).

1) ASYMMETRIC CRYPTOGRAPHY
Asymmetric cryptography, also known as public key cryptography, is a data encryption–decryption technique that provides an extremely high level of security, information protection, authenticity, and confidentiality. The technique allows a user to sign a transaction in the public register of the Blockchain, therefore certifying that the user is the author. Based on a key pair [43], each user has an appropriate pair of keys (public and private keys). The private key remains private to its user, whereas the public key is accessible to other participants. Let M denote the clearly transferred message and M’ be the sent message, as shown in Figure 3. As given by Equation 1, M’ is consistently encrypted or signed by the sender’s private key:

\[ M' = \text{Sign}(\text{PrivateKey}(\text{PrvS}); \text{Message}(M)) \]  

where PrvS is the private key of sender S.

In turn, the receiver determines the public key that decrypts the message; then, the sender identity is disclosed [44]. Equation 2 illustrates the decryption or verification phase:

\[ M = \text{Verify}(\text{PublicKey(PrvS)}; \text{Message}(M')) \]  

According to the philosophy of asymmetric cryptography, the reliability of the authentication is confirmed because the signature is bound to a signer. Moreover, the provision of non-repudiation is insufficient to allow a sender to deny sending a particular message. Moreover, the message cannot be changed in transit because this implies the invalidation of verification; thus, integrity is ensured. Moreover, a digital signature ensures the validity of the message origin because the sender cannot forge a signature, which is based on previously signed messages [45].

2) CRYPTOGRAPHIC HASH FUNCTION
Figure 4 illustrates the hashing-based cryptography scenario. Hash functions are widely used in asymmetric cryptography

\[ \text{\textbf{Figure 4}} \text{ illustrates the hashing-based cryptography scenario.} \]
for integrity verification purposes [46]. A cryptographic hash function, $H$, is an algorithm that accepts a message, $M$, with a variable input length and outputs a fixed-length digest or fingerprint, $h$ [41]. Equation 3 mathematically formulates the hash function, as follows:

$$h = H(M), \text{ } M : \text{message} \quad (3)$$

The equation is also known as a one-way hash function because recovering the original message, $M$, from the fingerprint, $h$, is virtually impossible. Additionally, it is difficult to find two different message inputs, $M$ and $N$, with an identical hash output (Equation 4). The hash function is collision-free because the occurrence of collisions is extremely rare [47]:

$$H(M) \neq H(N), \text{ if } M \neq N, M, N : \text{messages} \quad (4)$$

Some examples of commonly used hash functions are MD5, SHA-1, SHA-3, SHA-256, and SHA-512. The last three are used to ensure the authenticity of the Blockchain.
3) HASH POINTER

The hash pointer function, shown in Figure 5, is a cryptographic hash (of certain data) and a storage location pointer. The input can be calculated with the pointer and data, which are guaranteed to be tamper-proof [32]. The integrity property is derived from the cryptographic hash function. Consider two messages, M and N. Their hash digests, H(M) and H(N), are equal if and only if the messages are identical, i.e., M = N. Thus, the content changes with the hash value of a data element.

4) HASH CHAIN

When hash pointers are used to link different data elements, the process is known as a hash chain [41]. A hash pointer represents the head of the chain. A one-dimensional hash chain represents a linked list utilizing a hash pointer derived from a genesis element, as shown in Figure 6. The alteration of one element invalidates all subsequent elements of the chain; hence, a hash pointer update is highly recommended. The hash function is collision-free. Consequently, the value of the hash pointer in the next element and that of the hash of the tampered element cannot be the same. Moreover, if the chain was tampered, it could be detected. In fact, when one element is tampered, all subsequent elements must be changed to preserve the chain consistency. The alteration modifies the head of the chain, compromising the integrity of the entire chain [32].

5) MERKLE TREE

The Merkle tree, also called a hash tree, presents a binary data structure that more securely and efficiently encodes Blockchain data. Instead of hashing a large data block, which is a costly operation in terms of time, it is partitioned into small data elements. In turn, each element is separately hashed. The corresponding hash digests are grouped in pairs, concatenated, and re-hashed until all data elements of the current block are processed. In some cases, a block contains an odd number of data elements; hence, one element is doubled.
before hashing is executed. Figure 7 illustrates a highly simplified data block with only four data elements. The bottom layer of the tree represents the hash digest relative to each data element. Hence, “Hash1” is the hash print of “Data1,” and so on. This layer refers to the leaf nodes of the Merkle Tree. The intermediate hashes form branch nodes, which are the hashes of respective child nodes (leaf or branch nodes); the top hash represents the root. The Merkle proof [48] allows the verification of a leaf value by comparing the public Merkle root and the authentication path information (API) across branch layers. For example, “Hash 1” could be authenticated by sending “Data 1” (a leaf value) and paths “Hash 12” and “Hash 34”. Thus, the root node computes Hash1 relative to the received value, Data1. Using the sent path, the hash value, Hash12, of the upper branch is calculated by hashing the pair (Hash1, Hash2), and the root value Hash1234 by hashing the pair (Hash12, Hash34). Then, the original Merkle root is compared with the calculated value; data are verified if the values are equal.

6) TRANSACTION
Because Blockchain is a large database, a transaction is the operator that modifies its state. The transaction forms an independent unit of work that verifies four main properties commonly referred to by the acronym “ACID” [49]:

- “Atomicity propriety” means that a transaction is either fully performed or not at all;
- “Consistency” refers to the satisfaction of database constraints after transaction;
- “Isolation” ensures that each transaction is independently executed;
- “Duration” highlights the sustainability of the transaction effect once completed.

7) BLOCK
A block is defined as a unit encompassing a batch of transactions. Blocks can be similarly chained as elements of a hash chain (Blockchain). A chain of transactions results in an extremely long chain; therefore, building the blocks of units of the hash chain is more efficient. All transactions must be shared with all interested actors. Hence, publishing one transaction at once is inefficient; instead, a block of several transactions must be announced [50]. The block is divided into block header and payload. The block header includes the metadata: timestamp, Merkle root derived from the payload, and hash pointer to the previous block [51]. The payload includes the actual transaction data.

As illustrated in Figure 8, It is created under the Merkle tree, and the block integrates the hash chain units. However, the storage of all actual data does not improve the efficacy or maintain the integrity level of the chain. Therefore, the hash of a block only represents the block header hash [52]. When the transaction is tampered with, the meaning of the Merkle root changes. The block hash also changes when the chain integrity is violated.

8) PEER-TO-PEER NETWORK
A peer-to-peer network represents a collection of loosely combined interacting autonomous nodes. Due to its decentralization, the nodes can join and leave the network unimpeded. In a pure peer-to-peer network, all participating nodes have the same privileges [53]; typically, nodes share resources. A seed node in Blockchain is a known node capable of joining the network [49], [54].
E. BLOCKCHAIN USE CASES

The Blockchain technology has been integrated into many industries and may be incorporated with many others in the next decades (Figure 9). Some examples of these applications are briefly introduced, as follows.

- The banking and payments sector [55]–[60] provide access to financial services that can include countries without traditional banking systems. Payment and other financial operations are facilitated, more efficient, and secure.
- The cybersecurity sector [61]–[63] supports in verifying and securing data using advanced cryptography. The data are less prone to hacking or alteration without authorization. Different from typical traditional legacy systems, an authorized third party or a middleman becomes unnecessary.
- The supply chain management sector [64]–[66] aids in documenting transactions in a permanent decentralized record and monitoring them in a secure and transparent manner. This reduces delays and precludes the further introduction of human errors. Blockchain is also employed to reduce costs, labor, etc. in the supply chain and verify the authenticity or fair trade status of products.
- Forecasting [67] provides a decentralized market for consulting, analysis, and forecasting operations in various domains, such as elections, sports, stock markets, and energy consumption.
- Networking and IoT markets [68], [69] propose the decentralized networks of IoT devices using Blockchain. Because the Blockchain operation is similar to a public ledger for numerous devices, the necessity of a central entity to handle all IoT communication devices is eliminated.
- The global insurance market [70], [71] is based on trust management. Because Blockchain presents a new way of managing trust, it can be applied to verify various types of data in insurance contracts, such as the identity of the insured person.
- Online data storage using Blockchain [72], [73] allows cloud storage to be more secure and robust against attacks, hacking, data loss or human errors.
- Charitable organizations that use Blockchain [74] can be more certain that financial aids and donations reach those who deserve it; Blockchain technology can aid in overcoming inefficiency and corruption.
- Voting [75], [76] presents an area where Blockchain has the greatest potential. It can be used for voter registration, identity verification, and electronic vote counting, ensuring that votes have not been altered, and only legitimate votes are counted. It can potentially reduce organizational expenses significantly while improving voter turnout. It eliminates the necessity of filling printed ballots or visiting polling locations, and people may vote from any location with an Internet connection.
- Government systems [77], [78] are typically slow, opaque, and prone to corruption. Blockchain can reduce bureaucracy and increase the security, transparency, and efficiency of governmental operations.
- Healthcare [79]–[83] is another industry that relies on legacy systems. Hospitals require a secure platform to store and share sensitive data to avoid hacking and privacy breach problems. Blockchain can contribute to the safe storage and sharing of medical records with authorized users. It can aid in improving data security, accuracy, and high-speed diagnostics.
- Energy management [84], [85] used to be a highly centralized industry [86]–[88]. Energy producers and
consumers interact with each other through public grids or trusted third intermediary. With Blockchain, a decentralized system of buying and selling energy can be established. It could be also adopted for products retail, real estate and similar commercial activities.

- The intelligent transportation industry [89]–[91] is evolving because of Blockchain. The technology aids in implementing a secure and trusted ITS infrastructure based on peer-to-peer networks. Blockchain-based ITS applications enable drivers and users to set transport conditions as well as securely share and update road and infrastructure status and information without third-party providers. Automatic parking and toll and fuel payment systems are also proposed.

A number of researchers have expressed interest in the application of Blockchain technology to the last cited industry. Their contributions include those related to traffic management, driving safety, road safety and security, payments and billing, parking services, privacy, and preserving security for ITS. In the next section, a detailed overview of existing related studies is presented.

### III. HISTORY OF BLOCKCHAIN

The history of Blockchain technology relates to its beginnings and evolution leading to Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Hyperledger implementations. As illustrated in Figure 10, three basic periods are distinguished. First, the pre-Bitcoin period involving cryptographic science and related areas. Second, the Blockchain 1.0 phase includes the first implementation of Bitcoin, a well-known financial application. Finally, in the Blockchain 2.0 phase, more elaborate Blockchain platforms, specifically Ethereum and Hyperledger, are announced.

#### A. PRE-BITCOIN

Some research initiatives [36], [92]–[95] associated the Blockchain technology with the emergence of Bitcoin, which was proposed by Nakamoto in 2008 [28]; however, the concept existed prior to that. In 1991, the preliminary work of Haber and Stornetta focused on the cryptographic security chain of blocks [96]. Their idea was to implement an anti-fraud system against data timestamp tampering. In 1998, Szabo et al. [97] proposed a decentralized digital currency mechanism called “bit gold,” presenting an introduction to what is subsequently called “Bitcoin.” However, “bit gold” was never implemented. After two years, Stefan Konst published a unified theory of encryption protection chains including some applications [98]. In 2008, Satoshi Nakamoto published his white paper “Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System” [28] in which he proposed a new form of digital currency; Bitcoin was implemented in 2009.
then on, this technology evolved, leading to the more generic Blockchain 2.0 that deals with applications beyond cash transactions and currencies. In 2015, smart contracts and Ethereum Blockchain [48] emerged.

B. BLOCKCHAIN 1.0
The Blockchain 1.0 phase refers to the launch of Bitcoin Blockchain application. In this section, the Bitcoin standard, consensus protocols, and reward mechanism for miners are explored.

1) BITCOIN
Bitcoin [92] is a public, decentralized, and fully distributed peer-to-peer system for digital currencies. Originally designed by Satoshi Nakamoto (pseudonym), Bitcoin aimed to create an electronic cash solution sheltered from any central authority for validation or settlement of transactions and currency insurance. Different from traditional currencies, it is entirely virtual; no physical or digital coins are handled. Bitcoin users possess keys that prove ownership rights in the network. Users sign transactions with their keys to unlock and spend the value by transferring it to another key owner. Keys are typically registered in a digital wallet on user terminals. Bitcoin relies on a robust computation process called “mining,” which verifies and unanimously validates a transaction every 10 min (on average); then, the miners are rewarded. Because of Bitcoin, the problem of double-spent transactions of digital currencies [99] has been resolved.

2) CONSENSUS PROTOCOLS
Consensus protocols present the core of Blockchain technology. Their main role is to maintain and verify transactions across a distributed network that is not fully trusted using cryptographic mechanisms. Moreover, consensus nodes are able to validate transferred data even by approval or declines. Validated data are also orderly appended into the Blockchain register. The majority of the known studied consensus protocols published in the literature are presented in the following section.

a: PROOF-OF-WORK
Proof of work (PoW) is among the most widely used consensus mechanism in existing Blockchains [100]. Each modification of single-chain elements requires subsequent changes in all upcoming elements to ensure validity. Accordingly, an attacker who intends to modify the contents of a block must also change the rest of the chain’s hash code, which is difficult to accomplish [101]. Consequently, this mechanism enhances the Blockchain security. The PoW mechanism is regarded as a mathematical puzzle because the first miner who finds the solution is permitted to publish the block. Some puzzles are extremely heavy in a computational sense because they require performing numerous computations to solve them. Therefore, miners with advanced computational capabilities have better chances. To summarize, the probability of solving the puzzle first corresponds to the miner’s proportion of work and contribution. This property is labeled as progress-free. Thus, the number of blocks created is proportional to a miner’s contribution to the solution of the puzzle [102]. The consensus mechanism is the extension of the branch with the most supporting computations. The longest branch is that with the most work behind it when blocks are mined with the same interval and puzzle difficulty. Consequently, the consensus mechanism leads to a long-term consensus chain.

b: PROOF-OF-STAKE
Proof of stake (PoS) [103], [104] represents a consensus mechanism based on a proof of ownership (i.e., the stake); rigorous computational work is not required [105], [106]. Using PoS, which involves a few algorithms, miners proportionally mine blocks according to their stake [107]. The PoS mechanism is comparable to PoW because miners directly mine blocks in proportion to their wealth instead of using money. Similar miners may also mine with the same probability proportionate to their stakes. Thus, a similar miner is chosen to propose a block if the selected miner does not accomplish it on time [108].

c: DELEGATED PROOF OF STAKE
As an extension of PoS, the delegated PoS (DPoS) [49], [53] is a dependable and verifiable transaction approval protocol based on a shareholder voting scheme. By distributed vote, the DPoS algorithm chooses contributor nodes that can play as witnesses and delegate roles in the validation process. Elected witnesses are called to generate blocks regularly at defined time slots. Delegates nodes are in charge of deciding or modifying Blockchain parameters, such as intervals of blocks, sizes of transactions, transactions fees, and transactions per block. To ensure that reputation is maintained, non-trusted nodes may be progressively rejected. Compared with PoS, the DPoS mechanism [54] saves more energy and accelerates transactions rates.

d: PRACTICAL BYZANTINE FAULT TOLERANCE (PBFT)
The practical Byzantine fault tolerance (PBFT), originally introduced in the late ’90s by Miguel Castro and Barbara Liskov [109], is an asynchronous algorithm for efficiently processing transactions and ensuring reliability by double-checking the information with the message queue server. The PBFT mechanism [53] protects against system failures through collective decision-making (both correct and faulty nodes) with the goal of reducing the influence of faulty nodes. The PBFT algorithm is derived from the Byzantine generals problem [110], [111]. The PBFT mechanism enables a distributed computer network to function as desired and correctly reach a sufficient consensus despite the failure of malicious system components (nodes) or the propagation of incorrect information to other peers.

e: PROOF OF ELAPSED TIME
Often used on permissioned networks, proof of elapsed time (PoET) [49] is a scalable, nimble, and energy-efficient
consensus protocol designed by Intel’s Sawtooth project. It is based on random block generation by reducing the high utilization of processing resources or coins, thus avoiding greedy energy consumption. Because all participant nodes have equal chances to be a miner, PoET follows a fair mechanism for selection. Specifically, all nodes are given a random waiting time during which they are on standby. A node that finishes its waiting time first is then selected to generate the block. The PoET protocol ensures that the waiting time assignment among nodes is purely random. It must be verified that the winner node has certainly completed its waiting period. To keep the environment protected, PoET requires mutual trust [112].

f: PROOF OF ACTIVITY
Proof of activity (PoAc) [49] is a consensus algorithm for decentralized systems. The PoAc algorithm is a hybrid protocol that combines block generation through the PoW mining mechanism and validation by the PoS mechanism.

g: PROOF OF BURN
Proof of burn (PoB) [49] is a consensus algorithm for state agreement and validation of Blockchain networks. It is considered as a PoW alternative that aims to prevent the double spending of cryptocurrency coins. To become a validator block node, coins must be paid. In contrast, validated coins are burned or destroyed. Because the validation process is costly, PoB avoids the unnecessary waste of money and resources.

h: PROOF OF CAPACITY
Proof of capacity (PoC) [49], also known as proof of space and proof of storage, is an energy-saving consensus protocol. To gain the next block production, a concurrent validator node has to engage hard drive spaces to host outcome data named “plots.” In addition, PoC does not require expensive hardware (called application-specific integrated circuit, which is next in the evolution of crypto-mining after central processing units and graphic processing units). It is capable of accomplishing the same task in a considerably more efficient and profitable manner [113].

3) MINING INCENTIVES
Blockchain security is based on incentives for miners to follow the protocol. Incentives are offered to generate and validate blocks with appropriate transactions issued by the network and to work on the branch supported with the most work. An example of this is a monetary incentive. Miners are rewarded for mining blocks that lead to a long-term consensus chain [36]. In contrast, the miners are penalized if they do not comply with this rule. The penalization in PoW involves reducing the power necessary for computations [108], whereas in PoS, the penalization occurs through the stake [103], [107]. Without penalization, miners may opt to mine on different chains simultaneously and collect rewards; this can increase the profitability of mining malicious blocks. This is known as a nothing-at-stake problem, considering that the miner does not lose anything by mining different chains [107], [114]. Therefore, penalization for mining on blocks that are not the part of the final Blockchain is necessary. Due to incentives and penalizations, the profit of miners from mining on the blocks is expected to be a part of the true Blockchain. Because the majority is honest, the honesty of a node is profitable [36], [105]. The rationale behind PoS is that stakeholders find their stakes important; thus, they receive incentives for securing the system [107]. Furthermore, monetary incentives ensure that prescriptions are followed. Business and social incentives, such as a consortium with known participants running the Blockchain, can also be offered. Incentives contribute to honest business and social relationships, ensuring successful collaborations.

C. BLOCKCHAIN 2.0
The Blockchain 2.0 phase refers to the birth of Blockchain applications beyond the digital currency exchange. This section discusses the wide range of Blockchain implementations, such as Ethereum, Hyperledger, and related areas, developed after Bitcoin.

1) SMART CONTRACT
A smart contract represents an executable piece of code that may reside on Blockchain such that the script can be inspected by all participants. The smart contract is comparable to stored procedures in conventional relational databases; the difference is that the former resides in Blockchain [115]. Therefore, a stored procedure is not necessarily enforced. However, bypassing the smart contract is not possible [116]. It is executed on all nodes; hence, each node runs a virtual machine. Accordingly, Blockchain represents a distributed virtual machine. Considering that the code is executed on every node, avoiding inconsistencies by having a precise and deterministic contract is necessary. Hence, the smart contract [93], [116] is an autonomous actor that behaves transparently and predictably.

2) ETHEREUM
Blockchain technology has been applied to various applications labeled as Bitcoin 2.0, Blockchain 2.0, and Crypto 2.0. Ethereum [117], Established in 2015, is the biggest open-ended decentralized software platform (DApps). It enables creating and running applications without fraud, downtime, interference, or control from a third party. It also represents a Turing-complete programming language based on Blockchain. Developers use it for building and publishing distributed applications. Ethereum applications are diverse and run on its platform-specific cryptographic token, Ether. Ethereum launched a pre-sale of Ether in 2014 and received considerable attention from developers that are interested in formulating and running applications based on Ethereum. The use of Ether is twofold. First, it is used to trade a digital currency exchange similar to other cryptocurrencies.
Second, it is used within Ethereum to run applications and monetize the work. As defined by Ethereum, it is employed to “codify, decentralize, secure, and trade just about anything.” Ethereum’s big project is Microsoft’s partnership with ConsenSys [78] offering “Ethereum Blockchain as a Service (EBaaS)” on Microsoft Azure [118].

3) HYPERLEDGER

Hyperledger [39], [119] began in 2015 under the Linux Foundation. The idea was to create an open-source Blockchain technology that can enable individuals, businesses, and interested parties to collaborate. It is a modular, highly secure, and interoperable distributed ledger solution involved in concrete domains, such as banking, financial services, and healthcare. The Hyperledger project emerges from a set of frameworks and tools, as summarized in Table 3.

| Frameworks     | Definition and properties                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hyperledger Burrow | It is a fully-fledged Blockchain node that runs Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) and WebAssembly (WASM) smart contracts.                                                               |
| Hyperledger Fabric | Intended as a foundation for developing applications or solutions with a modular architecture. It allows consensus and membership services as plug-and-play components, such as consensus and membership services, to be plug-and-play. |
| Hyperledger Indy | It uses the concept of Self Sovereign Identity and Blockchain technology to protect digital identities from threats.                                                           |
| Hyperledger Iroha | It was developed by a group of developers in Japan who built their own Blockchain technology for some mobile use cases. It is implemented in C++, which can be more performant for small data and focused-use cases. |
| Hyperledger Sawtooth | It was originally developed by Intel and introduced a novel consensus algorithm called PoET.                                                                 |
| Hyperledger Caliper | It is a Blockchain performance benchmarking framework that enables users to test different Blockchain solutions with custom-use cases and derive a set of performance test results. |
| Hyperledger Cello | It provides an on-demand deployment model of the Blockchain system where a real-time dashboard is provided to users to check the Blockchain system status and statistics (e.g., events, system performance, and utilization). |
| Hyperledger Explorer | It is a viewing dashboard that enables the network information control of transactions, blocks, logs, etc. |

Another distributed ledger with a decentralized identity is Hyperledger Indy [47], [119]. It allows the creation of digital and interoperable identities and uses distributed ledgers or Blockchains. Hyperledger Indy satisfies the requirements of privacy and self-sovereignty of identity. Identity claims could be verified by combined or individually secured transferred information, such as passport, birth certificate, and driver’s license. Hyperledger Iroha [32], [119], which is an easy incorporated-in-project distributed Blockchain framework, is also introduced. It was originally developed by Soramitsu and proposed by Soramitsu, Hitachi, NTT Data, and Colu. It has a simple structure, enables mobile application development, and uses new chain-based Byzantine fault-tolerant consensus algorithm. Another proposed solution, Hyperledger Sawtooth [51], [119] is a modular framework aiming to preserve the distributed structure of ledgers and safety of smart contracts. It allows organizations and user groups to evaluate their Blockchain applications and enables dynamic consensus in which consensus algorithms can easily change. In addition, it supports the PoET consensus protocol and is compatible with Ethereum contracts. The parallel execution of transactions is enabled, and their privacy is preserved. Hyperledger Caliper [119], [121] is another open-source framework from the Linux Foundation that uses utility libraries and tools provided by Hyperledger. It is a performance evaluation tool for Blockchain implementation that is compatible with multiple Blockchain platforms. It is used as a transaction latency indicator and transactions-per-second indicator; it also measures resource utilization and other metrics. Furthermore, Hyperledger Cello [119], [122] is another toolkit providing an on-demand deployment model of a Blockchain system. A real-time dashboard is provided to users to check the Blockchain system status and statistics (e.g., events, system performance, and utilization) as well as manage Blockchain and chain codes; Python and
JavaScript are its main programming languages. Finally, the Hyperledger Explorer toolkit [119], [123], which is a viewing dashboard, enables the network information control of transactions, blocks, logs, etc. This tool is compatible with open-source, commercial, authorization, or authentication platforms. Most of these tools support the Hyperledger Fabric Blockchain infrastructure.

4) COMPARISON BETWEEN BITCOIN, ETHEREUM AND HYPERLEDGER
Table 4 summarizes the details of the most popular Blockchain platforms: Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Hyperledger [124], [125]. Features, such as Blockchain types (public/permissionless, consortium, or private/permissionless), adequate consensus algorithms (PoW, PoS, PBFT, etc.), and suitable applications (currencies, smart contracts, etc.), are found to differ from one Blockchain environment to another. Bitcoin was the first popular Blockchain implementation. It is a public Blockchain that uses a stack-based language and a secure hash algorithm, such as SHA-256. Bitcoin primarily acts as a store of value and a medium of payment transactions; however, the transaction rate per second is limited. In addition, Bitcoin adopts the PoW algorithm for consensus operations that requires high-computational performance. Ethereum allows developers and clients of the enterprise to access a single-click cloud-based Blockchain developer environment. Similar to Bitcoin, Ethereum is enabled by the principle of distributed ledgers and cryptography; however, its programming language is Turning-complete, and it uses Ethash as a secure hash algorithm. The purpose of Ethereum is to enable peer-to-peer contracts and applications through its currency vehicle; it is not intended as a payment alternative. Its main objectives are to facilitate and monetize developers who build and run DApps [126]. Ethereum enables a higher transaction rate in both private and public Blockchains. In addition to the PoW, it supports the PoS consensus algorithm to a moderate required computational complexity to enhance performance. For these reasons, Ethereum presents a better solution for limited computational capacities and environments with higher transaction rates. Hyperledger aims to provide a more improved Blockchain environment. It is a Linux open-source platform designed for business applications. The Hyperledger Fabric presents the commonly used Hyperledger framework implemented on a private ledger. It supports more sophisticated consensus algorithms, such as PBFT, PoW, and PoS. It can ensure high transaction volumes at approximately 3500/s, rendering it suitable for applications with high data volume. It also supports simple access control mechanisms. Different from Bitcoin and Ethereum, the Hyperledger Fabric is not recommended for cryptocurrencies, such as public transactions or incentive approaches, because it is based on a permissionless environment.

| TABLE 4. Features comparison of the well-known Blockchain technologies: Bitcoin, Ethereum and Hyperledger. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Type**        | **Bitcoin**     | **Ethereum**    | **Hyperledger** |
| **Application** | Crypto-currencies | General platform | General platform |
| **Blockchain platform** | No | Yes | Yes |
| **Source**      | *** | Open source Ethereum Foundation | Open source Linux Foundation |
| **Consensus algorithm** | PoW | PoW, PoS | PBFT, others |
| **Language**    | C++, Golang     | Solidity, LLL, Serpent | Java, Golang |
| **Currency transaction rate** | Lower | Higher | No |
| **Data exchange rate** | No | Low data volume | High data volume |
| **Energy saving** | No | No | Yes |
FIGURE 11. Existed IoV layered architecture models.

B. FOUR-LAYERED IoV ARCHITECTURE
The four-layered model [129] introduces additional functionalities to the network layer, including control networks, data management (analysis, processing, etc.) and monitoring, and node management. This model also adds a coordination layer responsible for intelligent data processing and computing as well as resource allocation. However, the model does not particularly define the sets of functionalities for upper layers, especially for data management.

C. FIVE-LAYERED IoV ARCHITECTURE
The five-layered model [130] presents a global and clearly defined model where the majority of IoV functionalities are included. The intermediate “network and transport” and “coordination” layers of the previous model are merged into a single layer, called “coordination” layer, in the five-level model. Its main role is to secure the transportation of data through existing heterogeneous networks. Data management, including storage, analysis, processing, and decision-making, is associated with the artificial intelligence layer. Efficient remote solutions for data management, such as cloud computing, are applied if the integrated computational resources of IoV nodes are restricted and insufficient. The application layer introduces smart vehicular services to end-users, and the business layer offers a practical display of results, such as flowcharts, tables, and graphs, which are provided by the lower layer. This top layer aids in determining the best business model or strategy.

D. SEVEN-LAYERED IoV ARCHITECTURE
Although the five-layered IoV architecture model [131], [132] is regarded as the most structured, it has some gaps. These deficiencies include the lack of a security layer (which ensures the smoothness and security of IoV functionalities of different levels) and communication layer (which smartly selects the best transmission channel if many heterogeneous networks are available (satellite, mobile network, WiFi, etc.)). To enable the robustness of such a model, the addition of pre-processing and filtering layers reduces the load of the upper layer by avoiding the transmission of redundant or unnecessary data; all of these aspects have been considered by the seven-layered model. In the following, each layer is described. The user–vehicle interface is responsible
for notifying vehicle drivers about events that occur in the IoV environment due to vibrations and sound or light signals. The data acquisition layer collects data regarding these events. The data-filtering and pre-processing layer analyzes the collected information and eliminates useless and redundant data. The communication layer employs appropriate metrics to identify the suitable heterogeneous network for transferring filtered data. As its name suggests, the control and management layer provides control and management mechanisms, such as data packet inspection, flow-based management, and policy enforcement. The processing layer computes the output of the received data according to predefined procedures and prepares the results for end-users. Finally, the security layer transversely interacts with all the aforementioned layers. Its main function is to ensure security at all levels, including privacy, confidentiality, authentication, non-repudiation, integrity, and security (against attacks). In the following, our review of research work and efforts devoted to the application of Blockchain to IoV is based on the seven-layered IoV.

V. STATE OF THE ART LITERATURE CONFERENCES: CLASSIFICATION METHODOLOGY, STATISTICS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we present useful statistics regarding research progression published in the Scopus database [133]. As shown in Figure 12, we found 654 research papers in executing the following search request.

allintitle:
(Blockchain | Bitcoin | Ethereum | Hyperledger) (Vehicle | Cars | Vehicles | Transport | Transportation | Car | Driver | Vehicular)

Source: Scopus

The number of published papers in 2020 is 226 (the highest at the time of writing this paper), as indicated in Figure 13. The publishers are from well-ranked journals and conference proceedings, such as IEEE Access, IEEE Internet of Things Journal, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Computers, and Electrical Engineering. This indicates that
Blockchain technology is an attractive research focus in scientific communities.

Figures 14 and 15 show that most of the published research works are produced by Chinese institutions with a total of 242 publications.

The top Chinese institutions are the Xidian University, University of Electronics Science and Technology of China, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, and Beijing Institute of Technology. The United States is second with more than 84 published papers, followed by India with 77 publications. South Korea, Canada, UK, Australia, France, Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia are also contributing countries (listed according to their number of contributions). According to the Scopus database, N. Javaid from COMSATS University Islamabad in Pakistan, Y. Zhang from Texas A& M University in USA, and M. Guizani from Qatar University are the authors with the most contributions in the field considered in this paper. To provide an overview on current research trends, Table 5 lists the first five highly cited papers (excluding literature reviews); publication year, publisher source, authors, and country are summarized.

Yong Yuan and Fei-Yue Wang in [36] discusses the potential integration of Blockchain technology in transportation research. It also presents a seven-layered conceptual model that aids in standardizing a typical Blockchain-based ITS architecture. Yang et al. in [134] presents a decentralized trust management system in vehicular networks based on Blockchain techniques. In this system, vehicles can validate received messages from neighboring vehicles using a Bayesian inference model. Lei et al. in [105] contributes to the improvement of security for vehicular communications systems. More specifically, it proposes an efficient and secure key management framework applied to heterogeneous ITS and implemented at the top of a distributed Blockchain-based network. Sharma et al. in [135] proposes a Block vehicular network (Block-VN) architecture for a distributed and secure network for vehicles using Blockchain. This solution enhances the decentralized transport management system. Li et al. in [101] proposes the CreditCoin solution that utilizes Blockchain to preserve the privacy of user identities in a distributed vehicular network. It encourages users to participate in sharing traffic data via incentive mechanisms.

Our review methodology involves the collection of all documents pertaining to Blockchain in IoV systems from the Scopus database [133]. The selected papers were found according to the following set of keywords: Blockchain, Bitcoin, Ethereum, Hyperledger, Vehicle(s), Car(s), Transport, Transportation, Driver, Vehicular; a total of 654 papers were found. Then, papers on economy and other articles that were not relevant to the proposed review were omitted. The studies were categorized according to their research direction, as shown in Figure 16. Six categories were identified: 23% focused on the security aspect, 17% on transport applications, 10% on energy, 25% on communication and networks, 19% on data management, and 5% on payment and optimization. In the following, the research contributions by category projected on the seven-layered IoV architecture model are discussed; Table 6 summarizes all of the reviewed research works.

A. SECURITY

Security issues are critical in vehicular networks because of their sensitive effects on the user. Security failures, hacker threats, and cyber attacks may result in vehicle immobilization, road accidents, financial losses, disclosure of sensitive data, and even endangerment of road-user safety. Many contributions were proposed to enhance data security in this field, aiming at establishing and improving privacy, anonymity, authentication, trust, resilience to attacks, reputation, immutability, confidentiality, integrity, accessibility, identification, transparency, and credibility. A trustworthy and credible distributed Blockchain-based platform for
the development of smart contracts and programming features for solving computational problems to facilitate the transfer of various sensitive data. A new reputation system for data credibility assessment based on the Blockchain was proposed in [136]. The proposed Blockchain approach allowed vehicles to evaluate the transmitted data based on their observations of the system. Then, a score value stored in a block was provided to the shared data. Based on the score, vehicles could verify the reputation of sending vehicles and the credibility of sent data. In [137], a smart car authentication and revocation framework was designed with the objective of using Blockchain features to provide updates regarding the status of revoked vehicles and reduce the cost of processing and communications compared with that of a trusted-authority central architecture. A study that focused on the use of Blockchain technology to aid in ensuring and enforcing the authentication of transmitted data and vehicle identity was conducted in [138]. It proposed a mechanism for authenticating vehicle identification and data through data packets transmitted from one vehicle to another using Blockchain technology. Another prototype was presented in [139] to demonstrate how Blockchain technology could ensure transparency in an IoT-based distributed system; the paper proposed a traceability information system. This system collects data, such as sensor data from IoT devices, throughout the manufacturing process and makes the information available to end-users as an added value. A trusted vehicle platform that distinguished between misbehaving and malicious vehicles was proposed in [140]. Using Blockchain, the collection of VANET environmental data, validation of packets, and participation of vehicles in generating and sharing blocks allow vehicles to gain or lose the trust of other vehicles. This platform also performed well in detecting Sybil attacks [141]. A secure and transparent framework for CAVs was proposed in [142]. Blockchain was employed to extract and store data. It reduced the risks of sharing data with fake users, receiving altered information, and compromising the smart sensors of connected vehicles. In [143], a Blockchain-based authentication mechanism using asymmetric keys and a message authentication code was proposed to improve privacy and authenticate messages for VANET. To aggregate the consensus on message authentication, PBFT and PoW were used. A fog node-based distributed Blockchain cloud

vehicular systems is proposed in [134]. Using a Bayesian inference model, a vehicle can validate messages from nearby vehicles. The vehicle can rate each message from source vehicles based on the validation result. Roadside units (RSUs) calculate the trust value offsets of involved vehicles and store them in a “block.” Once this is achieved, each RSU attempts to add their “blocks” to the trusted Blockchain. The performance of the proposed solution was evaluated by focusing on security and privacy; other properties, such as execution time and cost, were not considered. CreditCoin, a privacy-preserving announcement architecture, was studied in [101] to allow and encourage message broadcasting while preserving identities. Users were incentivized to sign and forward messages using the anonymous message aggregation protocol and a Blockchain-based incentive mechanism.

However, note that in this work, Bitcoin has been adopted as a Blockchain implementation platform. Bitcoin is primarily used to buy and sell commodities in a secure pseudo-anonymous marketplace. Hence, it does not support
architecture scheme was studied in [144] to ensure the privacy of vehicular data and protect them from attacks. The proposed solution was capable of efficiently managing the huge amount of generated vehicle data with high computational performance at the edge of the network.

B. TRANSPORT APPLICATIONS

The smart transport applications for IoV and vehicular systems present a wide, renewed, and innovative market. Researchers have proposed and tested APIs for congestion avoidance, traffic safety, in-vehicle entertainment, and mobility services to locate, unlock, and read the odometers of cars across brands. Research initiatives related to transport applications for vehicle systems present varying contributions and are mainly encompassed in the IoV processing layer combined with the IoV security layer for security and privacy purposes. Blockchain-based platforms are used for smart car parking services [241], [452], [453], car leasing [223], training and learning autonomous cars [224], and establishing trusted multiparty insurance [39]. They are also employed for the secure selling and buying of used cars [257] and the transparent dissemination of the usage history of motors for trading [225]. A smart contract-based platform for emerging transport services was proposed in [242]. With this platform, data regarding the privileges of drivers and vehicles are stored, shared, and then deleted after completing the service. To protect the data stored in the built-in restricted resources of smart vehicles, a secure content caching scheme using private Blockchain and deep reinforcement learning (DRL) approach was designed in [269]. A reliable framework for multi-vehicle cooperative positioning corrections with the goal of increasing the accuracy of the global positioning system (GPS) for locating common vehicles was designed in [260]. Additionally, to enhance system security and robustness, a Blockchain architecture was utilized to link sensor-rich vehicles, common vehicles, and RSUs. The simulation results demonstrate the accuracy, robustness, and security of the framework in terms of vehicular positioning, data transfer, and data sharing, whereas scalability, privacy, execution time, and cost were not analyzed. A credible traffic management mechanism must be capable of intelligently switching traffic lights, quickly allocating the duration of green lights, and ensuring road traffic safety. A proposed system that applies a group signature algorithm and ElGamal encryption algorithm to disable the transmission of malicious and fake messages among vehicles in a consortium was developed in [263]. The performance evaluation results show that the encryption, signature, verification, and batch verification algorithms of the proposed system are superior to other algorithms and have lower computational costs, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed scheme.

C. ENERGY

With the introduction of smart cars (i.e., fully autonomous or driverless cars and electric vehicles (EVs)), considerable efforts were devoted for enabling energy and electric utility providers to digitally monitor, manage, and control the EVs of their customers. The primordial tasks of industries and researchers include retrieving the state of charge and remaining range of an EV battery, scheduling and remotely controlling the charging and discharging processes, optimizing relative pricing costs, and building EV management dashboards. The common application among existing energy studies on IoV [283], [284], [287], [289], [291], [294], [295], [405], [454], [455] are for smart charging or fueling services in vehicular networks using decentralized, private, or consortium Blockchain. As an application example, a simple selection mechanism of the charging unit for EV drivers is developed based on smart contracts [282]. In [293], a scheduling approach for EV charging was proposed considering the battery capacity, the rate or behavior of charging and discharging operations, and the relative cost of charging. This mechanism could be extended to consider other selection criteria, such as actual battery status, traffic congestion, and service delay. Other research works [115], [115], [279]–[281], [286], [288], [290], [292], [297]–[300], [319] focused on designing efficient energy trading frameworks for EVs. In [281], an optimized cost-aware trading energy platform was studied over a consortium Blockchain. This platform applied a contract-based incentive mechanism to respect the preferences of EVs and improve their participation cycle. At the level of the IoV communication layer, a novel distributed architecture for energy trading among specific bidirectional battery vehicles was proposed [311]. In the proposed architecture [115], [314]–[318], an autonomous energy exchange process is allowed; consequently, vehicles with surplus energy may execute a discharging operation to charge vehicles with low batteries. At the level of the IoV security layer, research was conducted to secure energy transactions and protect exchange mechanisms from attacks and security vulnerabilities. For example, In [314], energy exchange was secured using consensus algorithms over a topology composed of EVs and charging units. Smart contracts were also applied to personalize preferences and exigencies for charging services. In [317], the study contribution was based on a proof-of-reputation consensus scheme to secure the delivery of energy in a private Blockchain-based energy vehicular network. An incentive scheme for a price model was also applied to order the charging and discharging processes between energy nodes and energy-restricted nodes. Then, the utility function of the energy exchange process was enhanced to improve the satisfaction experience of users.

D. DATA MANAGEMENT

Smart cars and EVs with embedded computers, GPS receivers, short-range wireless network interfaces, and potential access to in-car sensors and the Internet must be capable of sharing and storing records of events and sensitive data, such as the identity of drivers or vehicles, cryptographic keys, localization, predicted future direction, traffic, and roads congestion. To avoid security vulnerabilities and bottleneck problems in centralized architectures, sharing and storing must
be securely implemented over a fully distributed or semi-distributed vehicular network topology. As regards works related to the Blockchain-based data management axis [157], [159], [178], [320]–[344], [344], [345], [345]–[348], [353], all contributions reside in both the IoV processing layer and IoV security layer to offer novel traffic services and/or secure the transferred data, thus avoiding the security attack of vehicular systems. Fundamental works [269], [321], [325], [331], [337], [338], [341], [342], [352] propose the use of smart frameworks for generating, storing, and sharing data over existing network elements, including vehicles, users, infrastructure nodes, and sensors, over a consortium or private Blockchain. As a primordial service for improving road safety, especially with the introduction of self-driving cars, a control application for accidents that aided in analyzing and verifying the cause of accidents was suggested in [321]. A privacy scheme was then implemented to prove the correctness of collected and stored events as well as the registry of driver information. In [331], a distributed solution for intelligent vehicular transactions was modeled and studied to overcome the limitations of traditional data management solutions based on a centralized approach. In [341], an enhanced version of the Diffie–Hellman algorithm was proposed to improve trust on the applied verification mechanism in a consortium Blockchain-based network. Moreover, the consensus mechanism was optimized to reduce consensus delays.

**E. COMMUNICATION AND NETWORKS**

The ability of vehicles to securely communicate among themselves is a key factor for a successful vehicular system. The objective of research works in the communication and network axis [157], [158], [176], [212], [237], [268], [270], [368], [369], [374]–[388], [388]–[400], [442], [456] was to enhance existing or suggest new communication protocols in vehicular networks, such as VANETs [190], [367], [381], [385], IoT based networks [376], IoV [157], [157], [378], [380], [382], [457], UAVs [379], SDN [157], [158], [401], for better security, privacy, trust, reliability, authentication, anonymity, access control, and security against attacks. The contributions were from two major IoV layers: communication and security layers. In [374], a smart and trustworthy communication protocol using Blockchain technology for a cloud vehicular system was proposed. Successful exchanges were achieved as a result of applying an incentive mechanism that improves trust on the implied nodes. Jabbar et al. [458] developed a decentralized framework based on Blockchain technology (DISV); the DISV [459]–[461] represents a real-time application specification that provides secure communication among all participants in the transportation system. The developed solution is composed of three layers: perception, network, and application layers. The perception layer assumes the form of an Android application including two sub-systems. The vehicle data collection system [462], [463] is a sub-system for obtaining information regarding the journey and vehicle. The second sub-system, called driver drowsiness detection [464], [465], aims to sense driver drowsiness by acquiring driver behavior information. In [392], a hybrid 5G and cloud vehicle network was studied to support an emergent communication protocol for warning messages while ensuring the privacy of sensitive user information. In [395], a hybrid architecture was developed to secure vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure communications. In [396], a hash-based storage and access control scheme to manage traffic data was proposed for a Blockchain-based vehicular network.

**F. PAYMENTS**

The payment category presents research works on non-traditional, smart, and decentralized payment and billing solutions for IoV users. It enables secure and efficient transactions, optimized price, and energy consumption. As for the IoV processing layer, an idea on a Blockchain-based billing service that secures transactions between EVs and charging stations was introduced in [107]. Another novel transaction structure for verification and unique ledger registration was proposed for a Hyperledger system to ensure trustworthy and tamper-proof payments [102]. An original optimization model of the distributed scheduling mechanism of EV battery swap stations was studied in [108]. The objective was to optimize the load and cost of power generation. A smart contract-based rental car platform with optimized cost was also proposed in [442]. As for the IoV communication layer, a new topology composed of EVs and charging stations was designed to personalize Bitcoin transactions in a private network and reduce corresponding costs and verification delays [104]. Another optimization approach [106] was conducted to maximize the throughput of transactions in a Blockchain-based IoV network with security and delay.

**TABLE 6. Papers classified according to research directions and IoV layer correspondence.**

| Research axis          | IoV Processing layer | IoV Communication layer | IoV security layer |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Security               | [149], [146]         | [147]–[150]              | [103], [134], [136]–[140], [142]–[144], [151]–[221] |
| Transport applications | [39], [222]–[256]    | -                       | [257]–[278]       |
| Energy                 | [115], [281]–[299], [299]–[310] | [297]–[300], [311]–[313] | [115], [314]–[319] |
| Data management        | [105], [159], [320]–[351] | [268], [352]         | [105], [159], [320]–[345], [353]–[366] |
| Communication and network | [190]–[373]       | [135], [157], [158], [237], [374]–[403] | [135], [157], [158], [374]–[397], [404]–[441] |
| Payments               | [102], [107], [108], [442]–[443], [443]–[449] | [104], [106]         | [102], [104], [106]–[108], [114], [442], [459], [451] |
Due to poor scalability and interconnection, the development of a Blockchain system for large-scale commercial adoption is problematic. First, traditional Blockchain has a sequential data structure. Accordingly, scalability is hindered because of the sequential block storage. One solution is to develop a parallel data structure that can accommodate multiple chains. Consequently, the addition of multiple blocks to the chain at the same time becomes possible. This enables a faster transaction process and increases throughput. Nevertheless, multiple chains require the enhancement of the consensus protocol to ensure data consistency and integrity. Aelf [468] is a multi-chain parallel computing Blockchain framework based on the concept of the main chain and multi-layer side chains. Its objective is to improve the network capacity and allow the use of Blockchain in commercial applications. Instead of the original chain, Kan et al. [469] proposed the introduction of parallel mining and changing the chain data structure to graph chain [470]. Toan et al. [471] proposed the use of a consensus mechanism of authorized PoSs to improve the efficiency of the Blockchain network. Fitz et al. [472] proposed a parallel-chain composition method to improve settlement latency by combining parallel compositions with a novel transaction-weighing mechanism, demonstrating that reducing the time for a transaction to settle by any given constant while maintaining the same level of security was possible.

3) SECURITY LIMITATIONS AND POSSIBLE DIRECTIONS
Blockchain can be susceptible to distributed DoS (DDoS) attacks although it is regarded as a promising technique for defeating cyber attacks. Because a DDoS attack can consume enormous network resources, it may block legitimate users from responding promptly to service requests. When the DDoS attack targets an insufficiently distributed Blockchain system, the target system may not provide necessary services, including the creation of new blocks and reaching a consensus; this can lead to system failure. Hence, future research must focus on enhancing the security against DDoS attacks. Furthermore, cryptography in Blockchain aims at providing identity security. However, their development in quantum computers can result in the easy breaking of the most widely used encryption. Therefore, research on anti-quantum algorithms must contribute to the enhancement of the cryptography algorithm security, including aggregate, ring, and blind signatures. Furthermore, because Blockchain is based on a code, it is a target for hackers. To improve code protection, researchers must develop a more robust testing standard for Blockchain codes and smart contracts. For example, the smart contract security verification standard (SCSVS) [473] offers guidance for testing all stages of the smart contract development cycle, starting with design and ending with implementation. Third, using the Byzantine fault-tolerant implementations, the security of a Blockchain-based system is ensured when malicious nodes control less than 50% of the mining capacity. As a result, the network is vulnerable to a 51% attack if a malicious node controls at least 51% of the total computing capacity. Furthermore, malicious nodes can manipulate the consensus process and
compel other nodes to remove their transactions. Due to majority control, attackers can spend tokens or coins multiple times (known as double spending).

In this context, innovative consensus protocols were developed as Proof of Participation and Fees [474], Proof of Search [475], Proof of Accuracy [476], Proof of Sincerity [477], Proof of Learning [478], Proof of Benefit [479], Proof of Experience [480], Proof of Evaluation [481], and Proof of Adjourn [482], providing a strong protection that is independent of the hashing capability of attackers. Finally, the investigation of privacy concerns is necessary because protecting sensitive data despite the presence of transparent and open transactions within the public chain is extremely critical. Thus, the challenge is to keep secure algorithms open while protecting data privacy.

B. MACHINE LEARNING WITH BIoV

Machine learning has been established as an efficient approach to support future BIoV. As a basis of artificial intelligence, machine learning [483]–[487] has been used in numerous areas, such as speech recognition, medical diagnosis, and computer vision. It has also revolutionized BIoV services because it enables them to learn from training data and derive data-driven conclusions, provide decision support, and predict improvements in network performance. Thus, interdisciplinary research must focus on the integration of machine learning and BIoV, particularly with regard to designing smart agents and learning-based analysis of the Blockchain-based IoV system. The so-called smart agents are capable of managing the Blockchain system and identifying abnormal behaviors. The detection of abnormal behaviors is critical to the public chain, whereas the proper management of the network is crucial to the consortium and private chains because they require coordination among users. Furthermore, the use of the learning-based analysis of the Blockchain-based system remains limited. Traditional centralized systems do not have considerable amounts of available data for evaluating the performance of the decentralized Blockchain structure. In contrast, learning-based analysis can reveal important information about the mechanism design of Blockchain structures and on-time forecasting models.

- Blockchain must support anonymous data sharing. Users have increasingly become interested in privacy concerns because of the growing number of IoT and wearable devices. Combined with data fusion, the development of Blockchain structures with multiple layers, including sophisticated data authorization for different users, is possible.
- The Blockchain mining activity is technically the same as solving the Markov decision process [488]. Several studies, aimed at determining the optimal mining strategy via single-agent reinforcement learning (RL), were conducted. However, compared with individual mining, pool mining remains prevalent. More precisely, pool mining is performed by miners who collaborate but at the same time compete by mining blocks. Multi-agent RL involves a mixed setting of collaborative and competitive agents. Therefore, it can model the complex pool mining activity and allow miners to determine optimal mining strategies. Cryptocurrency has a critical role in the public chain, and various chains use different cryptocurrencies. Cryptocurrencies and cryptocurrency portfolios have been established as investment options comparable to traditional financial products. Several studies have investigated cryptocurrency price prediction via supervised learning techniques. However, the potentials of RL or DRL have not been fully determined. More importantly, RL and DRL have achieved outstanding performance regarding financial forecasts, such as stock price prediction, considering that historical data do not accurately reflect current market conditions. This results in poor prediction performance with respect to changes in future prices. Thus, the adoption of RL, DRL, or inverse RL is recommended to investigate the investment return of cryptocurrencies.

Another machine learning technique that has been proven promising is federated learning (FL). It is a distributed machine learning approach [489] aimed at achieving collaborative learning using a huge amount of data belonging to different parties; the raw data of different owners are not shared. Cooperative autonomous driving and ITS are future IoV systems that consist not only of an exceptional number of devices but also a considerable amount of privacy-sensitive data. Accordingly, the efficient use of storage, computing, and communication resources is necessary. Direct data-sharing can be prevented by FL; hence, privacy leakage can be minimized. Therefore, FL can be used in resolving current problems and adopted for such applications as road safety prediction, autonomous driving, and vehicular object detection. Because Blockchain is a secure technology, it can tolerate a single-point failure with distributed consensus and support the implementation of additional incentive mechanisms. Thus, participants can be encouraged to contribute to the system effectively [490]. Blockchain is introduced to FL to overcome certain limitations. For example, it can solve the problem that the resiliency of an aggregator depends on the robustness of the center that operates the FL network. In addition, it can prevent vulnerability to malicious clients who can upload poisonous models to attack the FL network. Lu et al. [345] developed a novel architecture drawing based on FL to minimize the transmission load and respond to the privacy concerns of providers. The authors proposed a hybrid Blockchain architecture comprising the local directed acyclic graph and the permissioned Blockchain to improve the reliability and security of model parameters. In addition, an asynchronous FL scheme was proposed by adopting DRL for node selection to enhance efficiency. If learned models are integrated into the Blockchain system and a two-stage verification is implemented, then the reliability of shared data is ensured. According to the numerical results, this data-sharing scheme ensures faster convergence and higher learning accuracy. Moreover, Chai et al. [252] developed a hierarchical FL algorithm and a hierarchical Blockchain framework to ensure knowledge sharing. In this process, machine learning methods are used to enable the vehicles to learn environmental
data and share the learned knowledge. The hierarchical FL algorithm satisfies the distributed pattern and privacy requirement of IoVs. This hierarchical Blockchain framework can be applied to large-scale vehicular networks. Otoum et al. proposed an innovative solution by integrating Blockchain and FL to ensure that network security and data privacy are maintained. This framework is aimed at decentralizing the mutual machine learning models on end devices. To ensure that the shared cloud training can be trusted, a Blockchain-based consensus solution is employed as a second line for privacy protection. In this model, centralized training data and coordination are not necessary to enable end device machine learning; this is achieved using a consensus method in Blockchain.

C. BIG DATA IN BloV

Due to the rapid progress in BloV applications, big data analysis has been considered as a vital data analytical tool for maximizing the value of information contained in massive amounts of Blockchain IoV data. In the future, BloV is expected to experience exponential growth in terms of diversity, velocity, and volume of Blockchain data. Big data analysis enables a variety of solutions, including analytics, data cleansing, and storage, which aid in the implementation of BloV systems. Additionally, big data analysis enables cleaning services, which are regarded as a pre-processing step prior to big data analytics. This pre-processing step is used to integrate and enhance the quality of big data. In particular, the cleaning service is divided into two distinct stages. Data integration, also known as data aggregation or data fusion, is the initial step. It is followed by data quality management, which is responsible for identifying low-quality information, such as redundant or damaged data, in Blockchain-based sensor networks, in BloV data gathering services. Moreover, the analytics service includes data analysis, processing methodologies, and models. The use of big data clustering techniques. Moreover, data clustering is frequently used to analyze the use and performance characteristics of large peer-to-peer consensus-based systems. In particular, Blockchain datasets are gathered, analyzed, and visualized to determine previously unknown patterns in Blockchain networks. Additionally, BloV supports big data analysis in terms of enhanced privacy and data integrity protection, ensuring the secure storage of data analytics in big data. In these circumstances, BloV is an excellent solution for big data problems. Furthermore, decentralized management maintains the stability and authenticity of Blockchain; in turn, massive data resources are secured. Blockchain technology enables the transparent and trustworthy interchange of large amounts of data between customers and service providers. By eliminating security barriers, BloV can enable large-scale universal data interchange. Recently, researchers developed various big data models that use Blockchain technology, including solutions for data tracking via Blockchain transactions and data sharing via smart contracts. According to preliminary findings, Blockchain technology has the potential for significantly improving the performance and security of big data applications in the IoV era.

D. BloV IN 5G NETWORKS AND BEYOND

The mobile industry is developing and preparing to deploy the 5G network, which is anticipated to change businesses and societies. The innovation is based on important benefits, such as massive data interconnection, high system throughput, low operating costs, energy conservation, low network latency, and high data rate. Additionally, the new technology architectures used in 5G wireless networks, such as cloud computing, device-to-device (D2D) communications, network slicing, network function virtualization (NFV), and SDN, have introduced additional security problems. To demonstrate, SDN is prone to security problems, such as the lack of trusted mechanisms between controllers and management applications; attacks on controllers, control plane communications, and switches; and forged and simulated traffic flows. Furthermore, ensuring the integrity of platforms and service providers, as well as avoiding data leakage problems associated with resource sharing between NFV users and servers, remains a challenge. Moreover, Blockchain can be employed to solve the problem of remote data integrity checking when massive data from IoV are uploaded to a cloud server through the 5G network. In addition, Blockchain technology may be able to provide practical security solutions for such problems. For example, Blockchain technology can be leveraged to create decentralized authentication procedures for SDN. As a result, decentralized access authorization through smart contracts can be enabled. Meanwhile, Blockchain can be used to create trust among network elements (e.g., between network users and SDN controllers) and provide secure data transmission and communication. Additionally, Blockchain technology can be employed in NFV to enable network functions and ensure system integrity in spite of data risks, such as data breaches and malicious virtual machine alterations. Similarly, 5G networks support IoT applications through the notion of network slicing, which enables multiple users to share the same physical gear; however, network slicing can create inter-slice security problems. To demonstrate, if multiple slices share a communication link, a malicious user in one slice can detrimentally affect other slices by compromising data or misusing the resources of the target slice. In this case, Blockchain technology can be utilized to construct trustworthy end-to-end network slices and facilitate resource management by network slice providers. When a slice provider requests to construct an end-to-end slice, Blockchain employs smart contracts to secure authentication. As a result, resource suppliers engage in resource trading using contracts that include sub-slice components. During this process, Blockchain technology is utilized to immutably capture and store information on sub-slice deployment. In the context of D2D interactions over 5G networks, Blockchain fosters trust among D2D users and enables them to securely and
transiently exchange data [506]. In a Blockchain-based D2D scenario, the Blockchain mining process is implemented by edge servers and resource devices, such as powerful smartphones and laptops. In contrast, lightweight D2D devices do not require Blockchain mining; they simply connect to the network for communication [507]. Moreover, Blockchain technology is capable of supporting 5G services. To illustrate, due to the immutable and decentralized nature of Blockchain, trust management in 5G mobile vehicular communication is enabled [508]. The 5G VANET strategy utilizes Blockchain technology to identify network attacks and data risks, preventing them from entering automotive ecosystems. In other words, by boosting communication security and reducing computational complexity, Blockchain can enable flexible and secure key management in 5G IoT networks [509]. Blockchain technology, when combined with cloud computing, offers considerable advantages that can be applied to 5G network administration. For example, Blockchain is utilized to create trustworthy end-to-end network slices and renders resource management easier for network slice providers. In [510], the authors demonstrated how vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-everything communications in vehicular network slices can be dynamically governed using Blockchain. Additionally, the programmable networking of a cloud-native architecture enables the enhancement of 5G network slicing functionalities. For instance, the authors of [511] confirmed that lifecycle slice management can produce, organize, and optimize network slice performance in terms of data throughput, end-to-end delay, and resources due to cloud-native architecture. These findings provide an idea for the next generation of BioV 5G networks.

VII. CONCLUSION
This paper reviewed the state-of-the-art Blockchain technology studies reported in the literature. The review involves a careful chronological study of Blockchain evolution from the pre-Bitcoin phase (represented by the fundamental cryptographic systems) to the Blockchain 2.0 phase (typified by the use of Hyperledger and the implementation of Ethereum and smart contracts). After identifying the different Blockchain applications in various domains, we focused on intelligent transport applications for IoV networks and classified related research works into six categories: security, transport applications, energy, communication and network, data management, and payments and optimization. Then, for each direction, existing research contributions were classified according to the IoV layers. Most contributions were observed to belong to the three main IoV layers (individual or combined): processing, communication, and security layers. Moreover, we compared this review with previous literature surveys, highlighted its added value, and identified most of the current open problems in Blockchain application.
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