Silent cerebral MRI findings in lupus nephritis patients: Is it clinically significant?
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ABSTRACT

Lupus nephritis (LN) carries high morbidity and mortality and whenever added to neuropsychiatric manifestations lead to more unfavorable prognosis. Though silent brain MRI findings in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) had been widely studied, the current work focused on LN patients comparing them to those without kidney affection, studying their cerebral MRI and its correlation with the histopathological classes of LN and disease activity. This may enable us to know more about early brain affection in LN patients for better follow up, management, and prognosis of this serious comorbidity. Cerebral MRI and MRA were studied in 40 SLE patients without neuropsychiatric manifestations; 20 LN patients with different histopathological classes and 20 patients without kidney affection. Disease activity was assessed for all patients using SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI). Abnormal MRI brain findings were more common in LN patients “though non significant” (P = 0.9). The most common lesions were white matter hyperintense lesions (WMHLs). Number and size of such lesions were significantly higher in LN patients (1.8 fold that of non nephritis, P = 0.003 and 0.03, respectively) and positively correlated with urea, creatinine, urinary albumin/creatinine ratio, SLEDAI, ESR, CRP and Grades of renal biopsy and negatively correlated with C3 and C4. Cortical atrophy and prepontine space dilatation were also significantly higher in LN patients (P = 0.01). Asymptomatic MRI brain lesions whenever present in LN patients, they are usually

Keywords:
Lupus nephritis
Asymptomatic cerebral MRI lesions
White matter hyperintense lesions
SLEDAI
Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disorder with multiorgan affection, including vital organs, such as brain, blood, and kidneys [1]. Generally, there is a significant association between decline of GFR and MRI brain findings independent of cardiovascular risk factors explained by the hemodynamic similarities between the vascular beds of the kidney and the brain [2], LN patients frequently presented with neuropsychiatric (NP) manifestations that usually indicate worse prognosis [3]. LN and neuropsychiatric SLE (NPSLE) comorbidity carries a higher incidence of end stage renal disease and a significant increased mortality compared to LN alone [4]. Moreover, cognitive dysfunction, headache, psychoses, and seizures are frequently reported in patients with LN [5]. Silent MRI brain findings in SLE patients were investigated in many previous studies, but mostly in comparison to healthy volunteers. However, for the above mentioned facts, the current work aimed to study the effect of renal affection on the brain in SLE and their clinical significance with early detection and management of such lethal comorbidity if proved.

Patients and methods

All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the national research committee and the Helsinki Declaration, revised 2008. Informed consent was obtained from all included patients. This observational cross-sectional study included 40 SLE patients with no current or previous history of NP events recruited from outpatient clinic and inpatient wards of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology unit of Internal medicine department of Cairo University hospitals. Patients fulfilled 1982 revised criteria for the classification of SLE [6]. Patients were divided into two groups, which were comparable in terms of age, sex, and BMI. Group (I) included 20 patients with LN classified according to International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society (ISN/RPS) 2003 criteria for the classification of LN [7], and group (II) included 20 SLE patients without renal disease. All patients were subjected to detailed history, physical examination with special emphasis on neurological examination, as well as cognitive and psychiatric charts, Mini-Mental State examination [8] to identify CNS involvement.

Routine laboratory investigations, including fasting blood glucose, lipid profile, complete blood count, liver and kidney functions, urine analysis, urinary albumin/creatinine ratio, ESR, CRP, and C3 and C4 by nephelometry were performed for all patients. Disease activity was assessed using SLEDAI and defined by score greater than 8 points [9]. Patients with conventional cardiovascular risk factors, such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia as well as those with positive antiphospholipid antibodies (APL ab) were excluded from the study. MRI and MRA were performed using 1.5 T MRI scanner (Philips Intera) equipped with phased-array torso surface coil. Examination included axial T1, T2, fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), coronal T2W1, sagittal T1W1, and 3 dimensional time of flight MRA (3D TOF MRA) images.

Data management and statistical analysis

All data were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 17 and Microsoft excel. Statistical comparisons were carried out using unpaired Student’s t-test. Using logistic regression analysis, odds ratio (OR) were calculated with 95% confidence interval (CI). The associations between variables were assessed by Pearson’s correlation coefficient (2-tailed). The level of significance was identified at \( P < 0.05 \).

Results

The current study included 38 females (95%) and 2 males (5%) with mean age of 26.8 ± 6.2 and 26.4 ± 6.8 years in group I and group II, respectively. Table 1 shows demographic data of included patients while Table 2 demonstrates their clinical characteristics. Seventeen/40 patients (42.5%) showed abnormal MRI brain findings; 9 in group I and 8 in group II \((P=0.9)\). Recorded MRI and MRA brain findings were as follow: Small deep white matter hypertensive lesions (WMHLs) were detected in all 17 patients with abnormal cerebral MRI with bilateral presentation in 7/9 patients of group I and 4/8 patients of group II \((P=0.2)\). The number of lesions was significantly higher in group I \((39)\) vs. \((14)\) in group II \((P=0.003)\). Lesions were also significantly larger in group I \((7.8 ± 1.1 \text{ mm})\) vs. \((4.2 ± 0.05 \text{ mm})\) in group II \((P=0.03)\) (Table 1). Concerning the distribution of WMHLs, it was noticed that the prevalence of lesions in parietal, occipital, and periventricular areas was significantly higher among LN patients after hypertension adjustment as a confounding factor that may be responsible for predominance of such lesions in these areas (Table 3).

- Cortical atrophy was significantly higher in group I (7/9 compared to 1/8 patients in group II) with an estimated OR = 24.5 (95% CI: 1.7–245.2, \(P=0.01\)) (Table 4).
- Deep grey matter lacunar infarcts were present only in 1 patient in group II while subcortical grey matter lesions were not seen in all patients.

| Table 1 | Demographic and clinical data among studied groups. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age (years) | 26.8 ± 6.2 | 26.4 ± 6.8 | 0.8 |
| Sex (F/M) | 19/1 | 19/1 | 1 |
| BMI | 23.9 ± 2.7 | 22.6 ± 2.1 | 0.11 |
| Size of WMHLs (mm) | 7.8 ± 1.1 | 4.2 ± 0.05 | 0.03(S) |

| Table 2 | Clinical features of the studied groups: |
|---|---|---|---|
| Features | Lupus nephritis \((n = 20)\) | Lupus non nephritis \((n = 20)\) |
| Malar rash | 11 | 16 |
| Discoid rash | 2 | 4 |
| Photosensitivity | 1 | 3 |
| Oral ulcers | 14 | 19 |
| Arthritis | 16 | 18 |
| Myalgia | 12 | 8 |
| Neurological | 0 | 0 |
| Hematological | 3 | 7 |
| Psychiatric | 0 | 0 |
Correlations between number of WMHLS and other parameters.

Sites of WMHLS in the studied groups.

Data are represented as numbers of lesions in each site. Each patient may have more than one lesion. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed adjusted by age, sex, BMI, and hypertension.

Table 3
Sites of WMHLS in the studied groups.

| Areas of the white matter lesions | Lupus non nephritis (n = 20) | Lupus nephritis (n = 20) | Adjusted OR | 95%CI | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------|--------|
| Frontal area                     | 4                           | 10                      | 2.5         | 0.8–4.4 | 0.07   |
| Parietal area                    | 5                           | 18                      | 7.1         | 2.5–28.4 | <0.01  |
| Temporal area                    | 2                           | 2                       | 1.08        | 0.18–8.9 | 0.9    |
| Occipital area                   | 1                           | 9                       | 5.5         | 1.8–78.4 | <0.01  |
| Internal capsule                 | 2                           | 0                       | 0.3         | 0.01–7.4 | 0.47   |
| Periventricular area             | 9                           | 33                      | 8.5         | 3.1–14.5 | <0.01  |

Table 4
Correlation between MRI finding according to cerebral atrophy in lupus nephritis and non nephritis:

| Item                  | Normal MRI n | Abnormal MRI n | OR   | CI   | P-value |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|------|------|---------|
| Cerebellar atrophy    | 7            | 8              | 0.8  | Ref [1] |         |
| Normal Cerebellar atrophy | 7            | 8              |      | 0.04–16.7 | 0.9    |
| Cerebral atrophy      | 1            | 1              |      | Ref [1] |         |
| Normal Cerebral atrophy | 7            | 2              |      |         |         |
| Cerebral atrophy      | 1            | 7              | 24.5 | 1.7–245.2 | <0.01  |
| Normal Prepontine space | 7            | 3              |      | Ref [1] |         |
| Dilated Prepontine space | 1            | 6              | 11.5 | 4.7–23.4 | <0.05  |

Discussion

It is neither new nor surprising to find that 17/40 of our SLE patients (42%) had abnormal brain MRI. This was consistent with other studies, including Sabbadini et al. [10], Jarek et al. [11], Nomura et al. [12], and Gonzalez-Crespo et al. [13] with variable results ranging between 13% and 50% that may be related to MRI technique and differences in geographic distribution and ethnicity. However, these studies included patients with positive APL ab, hypertenstion, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and/or cigarette smoking that might be responsible for the abnormal MRI findings as stated by Stimmier et al. [14], Kertesz et al. [15], Jennings et al. [16], and Appenzeller et al. [17]. Such confounding factors were excluded in the current work except for hypertension, which was inevitably present in some LN patients. Abnormal MRI lesions may be also related to aging [15,18], but fortunately our patients were young with average age of 26.6 ± 6.3 years that also strengthened our results in favor of the disease itself. Other studies, such as Fazekas et al. [18], Rubbert et al. [19], and Baker et al. [20] demonstrated non significant lesions in SLE patients compared to healthy subjects that could be also related to the used MRI technique, ethnicity, genetic, and environmental factors.

Many previous studies couldn't correlate brain MRI lesions in their SLE patients with renal manifestations [12,13,21]. In our results, LN patients had more abnormal MRI findings compared to non nephritis ones “though non-significant”. This lack of significance may be related to our study’s design that compared two diseased groups; the control of whom had such findings and/or the

Table 5
Correlations between number of WMHLS and other parameters.

| Parameter                  | r     | P-value |
|----------------------------|------|---------|
| Urea                      | 0.9  | <0.0001 |
| Creatinine                | 0.85 | <0.0001 |
| Urinary A/C ratio         | 0.69 | 0.007   |
| Grade of renal biopsy     | 0.6  | 0.01    |
| Age                       | 0.68 | 0.001   |
| Duration of SLE           | 0.62 | 0.004   |
| C3                        | 0.5  | 0.02    |
| C4                        | 0.47 | 0.03    |
| SLEDAI                    | 0.84 | <0.0001 |
| ESR                       | 0.48 | 0.03    |
| C-Reactive protein        | 0.72 | 0.004   |

Table 6
Clinical parameters of patients regarding their MRI finding.

| Item                          | Normal MRI n | Abnormal MRI n | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| Duration                      | 1.32 ± 0.61  | 2.9 ± 1.4      | 0.01    |
| SLEDAI                        | 5.2 ± 4.3    | 10.1 ± 6.2     | 0.03    |
| Cumulative dose of steroid (mg/day) | 9234 ± 6351 | 6812 ± 5187 | NS      |

* Significant level at P < 0.05.
small number of patients in each arm that made such comparison difficult. On the other hand, Stimmel et al. [14] noticed a significant difference of abnormal MRI findings between patients with active nephritis (19/24, 79%) and those without nephritis (15/40, 38%; P = 0.002), however, they included older patients with NP manifestations compared to our neurologically free younger patients. Of worth note that WMHs “the most abundant lesions according to our results” may be incidental findings in healthy subjects. However; what should be considered in our work that such lesions were significantly larger in size in LN patients, which makes them of clinically significant close to Podrazilová et al. [22], Katsamata et al. [23], and Sarbu et al. [24], who demonstrated that larger WMHs in general usually indicate significant progressive disease with worse prognosis.

After adjustment of age, sex, and hypertension, WMHs in the current study were significantly abundant in the occipital lobes and periventricular areas among LN patients (P = 0.04 for each). This is of great interest as periventricular lesions are extremely rare in healthy population before the 5th decade and always considered pathological [25,26]. This may be another important prove of the pathological nature of such lesions in LN. The occipital lobes predominance in our LN patients may be explained by mechanisms close to those found in reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (RPLS), including elevation of blood pressure that can easily exceed cerebral autoregulatory capacity due to diminished sympathetic innervation of the vertebrobasilar vasculature leading to arteriolar dilatation, which in turn along with additive endothelial injury from renal impairment and cytotoxic agents lead to focal edema and occurrence of such lesions [27,28]. This was also supported in the current study by the tendency to bilaterality of such lesions in LN patients “although non-significant”. A third and most important clue in our findings to the clinical importance of WMHs in LN patients was the significant higher number of lesions (load) compared to non nephritis patients. This is very close to what was found by Podrazilová et al. [22] that the lesion load was significantly larger in DPSLE than in SLE patients free from NP and controls; thence indicated their clinical significance. It is also well known that number of abnormal brain MRI lesions is important to diagnose other neurological diseases, such as multiple sclerosis. More interestingly, according to our results, the number of WMHs positively correlated with LN laboratory parameters, such as urea, creatinine, and urinary A/C ratio, ESR and CRP as well as grades of renal biopsy but negatively correlated with both C3 and C4 all of which may reflect the association between these lesions and activity and/or severity of nephritis. In line with current work, Sarbu et al. [24] results revealed inverse correlation between WMHs and complement levels, while others couldn’t find such association [10–12,29]. This difference may be related to study design. This current study focused on LN patients and all included patients in Sarbu et al. study had DPSLE with the known role of complement in both LN and DPSLE, while the latter mentioned studies included mainly patients with asymptomatic DPSLE regardless of their renal condition. For all above mentioned results, one should study details of abnormal cerebral MRI findings in term of number, size, and site of lesions in each affected patient in addition to their correlation with clinical and laboratory parameters of LN.

The current results showed also statistically significant cortical atrophy in LN patients (which is surely of clinical significant in our young age patients) in line with Stimmel et al. [14], who reported that LN was more frequent in those with cerebral atrophy (11/17) than in those without atrophy (15/47; P = 0.017). This again can be explained by hypertension and renal impairment in patients with kidney disease [2,21,30]. At variance, other studies didn’t notice such lesions in their asymptomatic SLE patients [10,13,31]. This may be related to ethnicity and genetic variability. Technique, such as diffusion-tensor brain imaging are more sensitive than conventional MRI as a measure of atrophy [32]. Our results showed significant dilatation of the preponette space in LN compared to non nephritis patients, the results which are consistent with Stimmel et al. finding that showed a significant association between enlarged preponette space and hypertension, active nephritis as well as anti ds DNA antibodies [14]. Nomura et al. [12] in line with our results, found no relation between number of patients with abnormal MRI (not number of lesions) and renal biopsy histopathological class. However, they reported that lesions were more pronounced in patients with renal biopsy grade III, while in our study, lesions were more common in grade IV. It is worth mentioning that both grades III and IV are known to be aggressive with poorer prognosis and this in our opinion may further add to the risk of kidney affection on the brain.

There was a trend towards an association between WMHs and greater duration of SLE in many studies [11,13,29,33], which was in line with our results that may render these changes to the disease itself while Petri et al. [34] reported cerebral MRI changes in 25% of the newly diagnosed SLE patients, suggesting its possible relation to serum antibodies that may precede the clinical manifestations of SLE by many years rather than the clinically symptomatic disease itself [35]. We didn’t find a relation between cerebral MRI abnormalities and cumulative corticosteroid doses in accordance with many previous studies [13,17,36], while others noticed this association with brain atrophy [37,38] and WMHs [34,39]. Assessment of disease activity is important in evaluation of clinical significance of MRI lesions. Our data confirm previous reports of a significant correlation of MRI lesions with SLE activity measured by SLEDAI [12,40,41], while Koziara et al. [29] didn’t find such association; explained by small sample size and possible lack of regional specificity of MRI measures. Another possible explanation is the difference in patients cohorts regarding comorbidities and treatment. In our LN patients, SLEDAI also positively correlated with number of WMHs; a finding that was also noticed by Sarbu et al. [24]. Cerebral MRA abnormalities in NPSLE patients are contradictory. Vukadinovic et al. [42], Abdel Razek et al. [43], and Kato et al. [44] reported that there is a role for MRA in SLE. They noticed inflammation and necrosis of the cerebral arteries, small arterioles, and capillaries with reduced diameter or occlusion. On the other hand, Jennings et al. [16] found abnormal cerebral MRA only in 5/16 SLE patients; two of whom were false positive. This debate was the argument of performing MRA in our study that was “to the best of our knowledge” the first to investigate MRA in SLE patients without neurological manifestations. Our results showed normal MRA in all patients that may be related to its non-significance in the pathogenesis of the disease, the used conventional MRA technique, which is less sensitive than contrast mediated MRA [43] or immunosuppressives that halted the inflammation.

Conclusions

Incidental brain MRI findings in LN patients should be interpreted carefully especially large numerous white matter lesions and cerebral atrophy.
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