1. Introduction

The development of large-scale power storage systems with high energy density has become essential to advance renewable energy and electric vehicles. This trend has led to an increasing demand for lithium ion secondary batteries as they are considered to offer the high energy density required. However, lithium ion batteries using organic liquid electrolytes can carry safety issues because of the risk of leakage and flammability. All-solid-state lithium ion secondary batteries using inorganic solid electrolytes have been studied in the last two decades as the next-generation batteries, because of their higher safety. Moreover, the solid electrolytes have a wider electrochemical potential window making them compatible with high voltage cathodes, therefore higher energy densities can be achieved.

Oxide and sulfide solid electrolytes with conductivities comparable to those of liquid electrolytes have been reported, although sulfide electrolytes have a rather higher conductivity than oxide electrolytes, around $10^{-2}$ S cm$^{-1}$. Also, sulfide-based solid electrolytes are one of the most promising solid electrolytes for all-solid-state batteries, not only because their high ionic conductivity, but also because their good mechanical properties, that allows an intimate contact in the interface between electrode and electrolyte by using only room-temperature pressing. A good ionic conduction path at the solid–solid interface between electrode active material and solid electrolyte is a key factor for improving the cycle performance of the all-solid-state battery. Thus, the morphology of the sulfide solid electrolyte is also important. Small sized particles with a large interfacial area entail a dense and homogeneous electrolyte and electrode composite, which increases the energy density of the all-solid-state battery. Sulfide-based solid electrolytes generally are synthesized by the ball milling method, which requires high synthesis energy and a long time, more than 24 h. Recently, liquid phase synthesis using an organic solvent has been reported. Smaller particle size, in the nanometer range, has been obtained by this process. The synthesis of Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ has been mediated by diethyl $n$-methylformamide, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran and ethyl acetate, and conductivities around $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ have been obtained. The reaction process involves more than 24 h of magnetic stirring, and subsequent heat treatment to obtain stable Li$_3$PS$_4$. Reduction of the time during reaction process has been attained by synthesis via liquid-phase shaking, using zirconia balls, and ethyl propionate or dimethyl carbonate as solvent. In this case, 6 hours for the reaction process was required.

On the other hand, the synthesis of Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ crystal phase by liquid phase process, has been mediated by solvents such as 1,2-dimethoxyethane, acetonitrile and tetrahydrofuran. Higher ionic conductivities of the order of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ have been obtained, but reaction times from 24 h to more than 3 days were required. To date, no deep investigation about the necessary time for the reaction process has been reported. A new process that entails a short time for the reaction, while ensuring sufficiently high ionic conductivity and small particle size is desirable.

Sulfide-based solid electrolytes are one of the most promising solid electrolytes for all-solid-state batteries, not only because their high ionic conductivity, but also because their good mechanical properties, that allows an intimate contact in the interface between electrode and electrolyte by using only room-temperature pressing. A good ionic conduction path at the solid–solid interface between electrode active material and solid electrolyte is a key factor for improving the cycle performance of the all-solid-state battery. Thus, the morphology of the sulfide solid electrolyte is also important. Small sized particles with a large interfacial area entail a dense and homogeneous electrolyte and electrode composite, which increases the energy density of the all-solid-state battery. Sulfide-based solid electrolytes generally are synthesized by the ball milling method, which requires high synthesis energy and a long time, more than 24 h. Recently, liquid phase synthesis using an organic solvent has been reported. Smaller particle size, in the nanometer range, has been obtained by this process. The synthesis of Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ has been mediated by diethyl $n$-methylformamide, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran and ethyl acetate, and conductivities around $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ have been obtained. The reaction process involves more than 24 h of magnetic stirring, and subsequent heat treatment to obtain stable Li$_3$PS$_4$. Reduction of the time during reaction process has been attained by synthesis via liquid-phase shaking, using zirconia balls, and ethyl propionate or dimethyl carbonate as solvent. In this case, 6 hours for the reaction process was required.
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Acetonitrile is a good candidate as solvent as it has a low vapor pressure (13.3 kPa at 25 °C) and allows the growth of smaller individual particles of around 2 μm, compared for instance with the tetrahydrofuran solvent that leads to obtaining larger particles of around 10 μm.2,16

In addition, ultrasonic irradiation is a useful technique to enhance chemical reactivity in a solid–liquid system. The implosion of cavitation bubbles during ultrasonication generate local high temperatures and pressures, and this large amount of energy stimulates chemical activity.20,21

Herein we report for the first time an instantaneous preparation of high lithium-ion conducting sulfide solid electrolyte Li2P3S11 by a simple procedure involving a liquid phase process and ultrasonic irradiation.

2. Experimental section

Synthesis

Li2S (Mitsuw Chemical, 99.9%) and P2S5 (Aldrich, 99%) with a stoichiometry of 74 to 26 were mixed in anhydrous acetonitrile (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). The mixture was ultrasonicated at 60 °C for 30 min under 28 kHz using an ultrasonic bath (Shimadzu SUS-103). The ultrasonication process formed a white suspension (named as SSE-slurry). Consequently drying process was applied at 180 °C for 3 h under vacuum to remove the solvent and obtain solid powders (named as SSE-180). Subsequently, heat treatment at 220 °C for 1 h was carried out to promote the crystallization of the Li2P3S11 sulfide electrolyte. The crystallized powder hereafter is named as SSE-220. Fig. 1 shows photographs taken during the synthesis procedure illustrating the material state in each synthesis step.

Characterization

Crystal phase and chemical composition of the sulfide based materials were studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectroscopy. XRD measurements were performed using a CuKα radiation with an X-ray diffractometer (Miniflex 6000, Rigaku) to identify crystalline phase of the samples. Diffraction data were collected in 0.01° steps from 10° to 40° in 2θ.

Raman spectroscopy was performed using a Raman spectrometer (Horiba XploRA PLUS Scientific) to identify structural units of the samples. Raman shift was ranged between 300 cm⁻¹ and 3050 cm⁻¹. Morphology of the sulfide solid electrolyte particles was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), performed on a JIB-4600F Multibeam SEM-FIB Scanning Electron Microscope.

The ionic conductivity of pelletized samples was evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The solid electrolyte powders (approximately 80 mg) were pressed under 360 MPa (at room temperature) in a polycarbonate tube with 10 mm of diameter, two stainless steel (SS) disks were used as current collectors. EIS measurements were conducted using an impedance analyser (SI 1260, Solartron) in the frequency range of 0.1 MHz to 1 Hz at the amplitude of 30 mV. The spectra were analysed with the ZView software (Version 3.3f, Scribner Associates) in order to assess the ohmic resistance (R) of the pellet.

For the activation energy measurement, the temperature was controlled from −50 °C to 60 °C.

Electrochemical properties of the SSE-220 sample were evaluated by a cyclic voltammetry technique. The solid electrolyte powders (approximately 140 mg) were pelletized at 360 MPa. A cell of Li/SSE-220/SS was constructed by carefully attaching Li to one of the current collectors. The cyclic voltammogram measurement was conducted using a potentiostat/galvanostat device (SI 1287, Solartron) in the potential range of −0.5 V to 5 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) at a scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. All processes were performed in an argon atmosphere.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of the SSE-180 and SSE-220 samples. The indexed diffraction pattern of the Li2P3S11 phase (ICDD#157564, Fig. 2c) is shown for comparison. A complex
pattern with undefined peaks was obtained after the drying process at 180 °C (Fig. 2a). The peak corresponding to the Li2S phase precursor is identified, but the other peaks were not able to be associated to P2S6 precursor or any solid electrolyte phases. Peaks corresponding to Li2P2S11 phase were observed only after heat treatment at 220 °C (Fig. 2b). The presence of Li2S phase was also identified.

The structural units of the solid electrolyte during the synthesis process was also examined by using Raman spectroscopy. Fig. 3 shows the Raman spectra of the SSE-slurry, SSE-180 and SSE-220 samples. A main band at 428 cm⁻¹, attributed to the PS4₃⁻ (ortho-thiophosphate) units, was observed after 30 min of ultrasonic irradiation (SSE-slurry sample). Bands located around 370 cm⁻¹, 900 cm⁻¹, 2200 cm⁻¹ and 2900 cm⁻¹, corresponding to C–C≡N bending, C–C stretch, C≡N stretching and symmetric C–H stretch vibrations of acetonitrile were identified. After drying at 180 °C, similar bands were also observed, revealing that the powder is composed mainly by P2S6⁻ units and the remained acetonitrile.

The Raman spectra of the as-synthesized powder after heat treatment at 220 °C shows only a main wide band at 405 cm⁻¹, attributed to P2S7⁻ (pyro-thiophosphate) units. The bands associated to acetonitrile completely disappeared, confirming the full removal of the solvent. The heat treatment at 220 °C, to remove the remaining solvent, promoted also a structure rearrangement of PS4₃⁻ units into P2S6⁻ units. The studies of the crystal Li2P2S11 structure suggest that this contains mainly P2S6⁻ ditetrahedral and PS4₃⁻ tetrahedral units. Fig. 3c shows the deconvolution of the band of the as-synthesized powder after heat treatment at 220 °C using a Gaussian–Lorentzian function. Two bands centered at 420 cm⁻¹ and 404 cm⁻¹ confirmed the presence of P2S6⁻ and PS4₃⁻ units, respectively. A third band centered at 385 cm⁻¹ was also found and is associated to P2S4⁻ unit. The major fraction, corresponds to P2S6⁻ and PS4₃⁻ units. A small concentration of P2S6⁻ unit has also been identified in the high ionic conductor Li2P2S11 obtained by mechanical milling. Higher contents of P2S6⁻ unit could lead to the dramatical drop of the ionic conduction.

The results of Raman spectra are in good agreement with the results obtained by X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2). According to Raman spectra, the unknown peaks observed in the XRD pattern of the powder dried at 180 °C (Fig. 2a), are ascribed to the formation of complexes between PS4₃⁻ units and remained acetonitrile. The main Li2P2S11 crystal phase observed after heat treatment at 220 °C is composed by P2S7⁻, PS4₃⁻ and P2S6⁻ units, confirmed by the Raman spectra analysis.

The additional intense peak associated to Li2S phase, observed after drying process at 180 °C and heat treatment at 220 °C, can be explained by the possible formation mechanism of the Li2P2S11 crystal phase. It is believed, that the rearrangement of the structure produced during the heat treatment at 220 °C led to the formation of Li2S as a sub-product of this reaction.

Fig. 4 shows the morphology of the SSE-180 and SSE-220 samples. After drying at 180 °C, big agglomerates (approx. 5–20 μm) and irregular particles with a small particle size around 500 nm were observed. After heat treatment at 220 °C, the particle size was irregular, but remains in the nanometric range. Inset in Fig. 4b shows smaller individual particles lower than 500 nm. The small particle size is attributed to the interaction between solvent and particles during the synthesis process. Acetonitrile can play a surfactant function, leading to obtain a better dispersion of the powder during the ultrasonication and further a good control of the particle size growth during the solvent removal. In contrast, preparation of sulfide solid electrolyte by ball milling produces particle sizes greater than 10 μm. A smaller particle size should improve the contact at the solid–solid interface between active material and solid electrolyte, which is a key factor to obtaining a good performance in the all-solid-state battery.

Fig. 5a shows the impedance spectra of the pelletized SSE-180 and SSE-220 samples, correspondingly. The impedance spectra of the SSE-180 sample consists of a well-defined semicircle at high frequency due to bulk and grain boundary resistances and a capacitive tail at low frequency due to the electrodes interface. The data was fitted with a simple
equivalent circuit comprising a resistor in parallel with a capacitor ($R$|$C$, $C$ is a constant phase element) to describe the pellet electrical behavior. In addition, a capacitor in series was used to simulate the contribution of the electrodes interface. The fitting results was drawn together with the EIS data. The total resistance (bulk and grain boundary resistances), was used to calculate the ionic conductivity, attaining $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ (22 °C). The impedance spectra of the SSE-220 sample does not exhibit the full semicircle due to the lower resistance. In this case, the resistance was estimated by the value of $Z'$ at the intercept with the real axis obtained by linear fitting. The ionic conductivity of the SSE-220 sample attained $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ (22 °C).

Fig. 5b shows the cross-section micrograph of the SSE-220 pellet. Cross-section micrograph displays a compact surface with a tight contact of micronized particles. There is almost no crack, but microporous were observed.

Total ionic conductivity can be increased by reducing the grain boundary resistance through pellet densification.

In accordance with the XRD and Raman studies, the low ionic conductivity of the SSE-180 sample was expected, due to the insufficient acetonitrile removal. Further, the rather low temperature of 220 °C was efficient enough to remove acetonitrile, as well as to promote the crystallization of the Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ phase with a consequent high conductivity. In addition, the small particle obtained in the nanometric range allowed a good densification to be obtained by a simple cold pressure. The high ionic conductivity of the Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ (SSE-220) reported in this work is comparable to the Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$ solid electrolyte obtained by mechanical milling ($3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at RT, 30 h of synthesis process) or by liquid phase ($1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C, 37 h of synthesis process).

Fig. 6 shows the cyclic voltammogram of the SSE-220 sample, from $-0.5$ V to 5 V (vs. Li/Li$^+$). Both cathodic and anodic current peaks assigned to the lithium deposition ($\text{Li}^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{Li}$) and...
particles during ultrasonication and further removal of the mechanical milling. This is probably attributed to the oxidation-cally stable for lithium metal. A small anodic current peak was suggesting that the synthesized solid electrolyte is electrochemical window up to 5 V (Li+/Li) was observed around 2 V, these results indicate that the synthesized solid electrolyte Li7P3S11 has a wide and electrochemical window up to 5 V (vs. Li/Li+).

4. Conclusion

The high lithium-ion conducting sulfide solid electrolyte Li7P3S11 has been successfully synthesized by an instantaneous preparation involving a liquid phase process under ultrasonic irradiation followed by drying and low thermal treatment. Interaction between solvent and Li2S and P2S5 precursors particles during ultrasonication and further removal of the solvent at rather low temperatures of 220 °C led to obtain smaller particle size lower than 500 nm, less than 10 times to the particle size of the solid electrolyte typically obtained by mechanical milling. The high ionic conductivity of 1.0 × 10⁻³ and the low activation energy of 12.8 kJ mol⁻¹ was achieved because of the good crystallization of the Li7P3S11 phase.

Fig. 6 Temperature dependency of the ionic conductivity of SSE-220 sample.

Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammogram of the SSE-220 sample using a cell constructed of Li/SSE-220/SS, in the potential range from −0.5 V to 5 V (vs. Li/Li+) at a scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹.

dissolution (Li → Li⁺ + e⁻) reactions were observed in the potential range of −0.5 V to 0.5 V in the voltammogram, suggesting that the synthesized solid electrolyte is electrochemically stable for lithium metal. A small anodic current peak was observed around 2 V, this is probably attributed to the oxidation of free S²⁻ ions as a similar behavior was observed in the cyclic voltammogram of the solid electrolyte Li7P3S11 synthesized by mechanical milling. These results indicate that the synthesized Li7P3S11 solid electrolyte (SSE-220) has a wide and electrochemical window up to 5 V (vs. Li/Li⁺).

Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammogram of the SSE-220 sample using a cell constructed of Li/SSE-220/SS, in the potential range from −0.5 V to 5 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) at a scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹.

4. Conclusion

The high lithium-ion conducting sulfide solid electrolyte Li7P3S11 has been successfully synthesized by an instantaneous preparation involving a liquid phase process under ultrasonic irradiation followed by drying and low thermal treatment. Interaction between solvent and Li2S and P2S5 precursors particles during ultrasonication and further removal of the solvent at rather low temperatures of 220 °C led to obtain smaller particle size lower than 500 nm, less than 10 times to the particle size of the solid electrolyte typically obtained by mechanical milling. The high ionic conductivity of 1.0 × 10⁻³ and the low activation energy of 12.8 kJ mol⁻¹ was achieved because of the good crystallization of the Li7P3S11 phase.
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