A strong convergence to the Rosenblatt process
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Abstract

We give a strong approximation of Rosenblatt process via transport processes and
we give the rate of convergence.
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1 Introduction

Self-similar stochastic processes are of practical interest in various applications, including
econometrics, internet traffic, and hydrology. These are processes $X = (X(t) : t \geq 0)$ whose
dependence on the time parameter $t$ is self-similar, in the sense that there exists a (self-
similarity) parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ such that for any constant $c > 0$, $(X(ct) : t \geq 0)$ and
$(c^H X(t) : t \geq 0)$ have the same finite dimensional distributions. These processes are often
endowed with other distinctive properties.
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The fractional Brownian motion (fBm) is the usual candidate to model phenomena in which the self-similarity property can be observed from the empirical data. This fBm \( B^H \) is the continuous centered Gaussian process with covariance function given by

\[
R^H(t,s) := \mathbb{E}[B^H(t)B^H(s)] = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).
\] (1)

The parameter \( H \) characterizes all the important properties of the process. In addition, to being self-similar with parameter \( H \), which is evident from the covariance function, fBm has correlated increments: in fact, from (1) we get, as \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ (B^H(n) - B^H(1)) B^H(1) \right] = H(2H-1)n^{2H-2} + o(n^{2H-2});
\] (2)

when \( H < 1/2 \), the increments are negatively correlated and the correlation decays more slowly than quadratically; when \( H > 1/2 \), the increments are positively correlated and the correlation decays so slowly that they are not summable, a situation which is commonly known as the long memory property. The covariance structure (1) also implies

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ (B^H(t) - B^H(s))^2 \right] = |t-s|^{2H};
\] (3)

this property shows that the increments of fBm are stationary and self-similar; its immediate consequence for higher moments can be used, via the so-called Kolmogorov continuity criterion, to imply that \( B^H \) has paths which are almost-surely \((H-\varepsilon)\)-Hölder-continuous for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

It turns out that fBm is the only continuous Gaussian process which is self-similar with stationary increments. This constitutes an alternative definition of the process. However, there are other stochastic processes which, except for the Gaussian character, share all the other properties above for \( H > 1/2 \) (i.e. \( H \) which implies (2), the long-memory property, (3), and in many cases the Hölder-continuity). In some models the Gaussian assumption may be implausible and in this case one needs to use a different self-similar process with stationary increments to model the phenomenon. Natural candidates are the Hermite processes: these non-Gaussian stochastic processes appear as limits in the so-called Non-Central Limit Theorem (see [2], [5], [16]) and do indeed have all the properties listed above. While fBm can be expressed as a Wiener integral with respect to the standard Wiener process, i.e. the integral of a deterministic kernel w.r.t. a standard Brownian motion, the Hermite process of order \( q \geq 2 \) is a \( q \)-th iterated integral of a deterministic function with \( q \) variables with respect to a standard Brownian motion. When \( q = 2 \), the Hermite process is called the Rosenblatt process. This stochastic process typically appears as a limiting model in various applications such as unit the root testing problem (see [20]) or semiparametric approach to hypothesis test (see [10]). On the other hand, since it is non-Gaussian and self-similar with stationary increments, the Rosenblatt process can also be an input in models where self-similarity is observed in empirical data which appears to be non-Gaussian. The need of non-Gaussian self-similar processes in practice (for example in hydrology) is mentioned in the paper [17] based on the study of stochastic modeling for
river-flow time series in [11]. Recent interest in the Rosenblatt and other Hermite processes, due in part to their non-Gaussian character, and in part for their independent mathematical value, is evidenced by the following references: [1], [3], [14], [18], [19].

In this paper we will give a strong approximation result for the Rosenblatt process by means of transport processes. It is also interesting from the theoretical point of view since all the approximation results for the Rosenblatt process known in the literature are in the weak sense ([5], [16]).

Our work is a natural extension of the strong approximation results for the Brownian motion and for the fractional Brownian motion. The study of the convergence of transport processes to the Brownian motion has a long history. We mention the works ([4], [9], [8]) among others. More recently, due to the development of the stochastic analysis for fractional Brownian motion, the need of simulating the paths of this process led to the study of the strong approximation of the fBm by means. We refer to [7] for such an approximation in terms of transport processes and to [6] or [15] for related works.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some preliminaries on multiple integrals and Malliavin derivatives. In section 3 we describe the approximating processes and prove the convergence to Rosenblatt process.

2 Multiple Wiener-Itô Integrals and Malliavin Derivatives

We start by introducing the elements from stochastic analysis that we will need in the paper. Consider \( \mathcal{H} \) a real separable Hilbert space and \( (B(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{H}) \) an isonormal Gaussian process on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)\), which is a centered Gaussian family of random variables such that \( \mathbb{E}(B(\varphi)B(\psi)) = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \). Denote by \( I_n \) the multiple stochastic integral with respect to \( B \) (see [13]). This \( I_n \) is actually an isometry between the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}^\otimes n \) (symmetric tensor product) equipped with the scaled norm \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}^\otimes n} \) and the Wiener chaos of order \( n \) which is defined as the closed linear span of the random variables \( H_n(B(\varphi)) \) where \( \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \| \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \) and \( H_n \) is the Hermite polynomial of degree \( n \geq 1 \)

\[
H_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

The isometry of multiple integrals can be written as: for \( m, n \) positive integers,

\[
\mathbb{E}(I_n(f)I_m(g)) = \begin{cases} n!(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}^\otimes n} & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}
\]

(4)

It also holds that

\[
I_n(f) = I_n(\tilde{f})
\]

where \( \tilde{f} \) denotes the symmetrization of \( f \) defined by \( \tilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \).
We recall the following hypercontractivity property for the $L^p$ norm of a multiple stochastic integral (see [12, Theorem 4.1])

$$E|I_m(f)|^{2m} \leq c_m (E|I_m(f)|^2)^m$$

(5)

where $c_m$ is an explicit positive constant and $f \in \mathcal{H}^\otimes m$.

In this paper we will use multiple stochastic integrals with respect to the Brownian motion $(B_m)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ as introduced above. Note that the Brownian motion on the real line is an isonormal process and its underlying Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

For every $\frac{1}{2} \leq H < 1$ the Rosenblatt process $(X^H_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ could be defined as follows,

$$X^H_t = c(H)I_2(g_t(\cdot))$$

(6)

where for every $t \in [0,T]$

$$g_t(y_1,y_2) = \int_{y_1 \vee y_2}^t (u - y_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}(u - y_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} du.$$  

(7)

The constant $d(H)$ is a normalizing constant which ensures that $E(X^H_t)^2 = t^{2H}$ for every $t \in [0,T]$. This constant can be explicitly computed but it has no interest for our investigation. It can be proved that the process $X^H$ is self-similar with stationary increment and has the same covariance (1) as the fBm. Moreover it satisfies properties (2) and (3).

3 Strong convergence to the Rosenblatt process

The Rosenblatt process $(X^H_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ defined above can be written as an iterated double integral in the following way

$$X^H_t = c(H) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_0^t (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}(s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} ds \right) dB(x_1)dB(x_2), \quad t \in [0,T]$$

(8)

where $B$ is a Wiener process on the whole real line and the Hurst parameter $H$ belongs to the interval $(\frac{1}{2},1)$. The process $X^H$ is $H$ self similar with stationary increments and it has the same covariance as the fractional Brownian motion.

We will separate $X^H$ into three terms. For every $t \in [0,T]$

$$X^H_t = c(H) \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \left( \int_0^t (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}(s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} ds \right) dB(x_1)dB(x_2)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^0 (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}(s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} ds) dB(x_1)dB(x_2)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}(s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} ds) dB(x_1)dB(x_2)$$
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\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^t \left( \int_{x_1 \vee x_2}^t (s-x_1)^{\frac{H}{2}-1}(s-x_2)^{\frac{H}{2}-1} \, ds \right) dB(x_1) dB(x_2) \\
&= X_t^{1,H} + 2X_t^{2,H} + X_t^{3,H},
\end{align*}
\]

(note that the second and the third integrals are actually equal, for that reason the term \(X^{2,H}\) appears twice. We will treat separately the third terms above since they have different behavior which comes from the singularity of the integral appearing in their expression.

### 3.1 Transport processes

For each \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\), let \((Z^{(n)}(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be a process such that \(Z^{(n)}(t)\) is the position on the real line at time \(t\) of a particle moving as follows. It starts from 0 with constant velocity \(+n\) or \(-n\), each with probability \(1/2\). It continues until a random time \(\tau_1\) which is exponentially distributed with parameter \(n^2\), and at that time it switches from velocity \(\pm n\) to \(\mp n\) and continues for an additional independent random time \(\tau_2 - \tau_1\) which is again exponentially distributed with parameter \(n^2\). At time \(\tau_2\) it changes velocity as before, and so on. This process is called a (uniform) transport process. Griego, Heath and Ruiz-Moncayo [9] showed that \(Z^{(n)}\) converges to Brownian motion strongly and uniformly on bounded time intervals, and a rate of convergence was derived by Gorostiza and Griego in [8] as follows:

**Theorem 1** There exist versions of the transport processes \(Z^{(n)}\) on the same probability space as a given Brownian motion \((B_t)_{t \geq 0}\) such that for each \(q > 0\),

\[
P \left( \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |B_t - Z^{(n)}_t| > C n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{5/2} \right) = o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]

where \(C\) is a positive constant depending on \(a, b\) and \(q\).

Let \((X^H_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) a Rosenblatt process. With \(a < 0\) fixed, we consider the following Bm’s constructed from the Bm \(B\) in [8],

1. \((B_1(s))_{s \in [0,T]}\), the restriction of \(B\) to the interval \([0,T]\).
2. \((B_2(s))_{a \leq s \leq 0}\), the restriction of \(B\) to the interval \([a,0]\).
3. \(B_3(s) = \begin{cases} sB(\frac{1}{s}) & \text{if } s \in \left[\frac{1}{a},0\right], \\ 0 & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}\)

Let us define now the transport processes that will intervene in our main results. By Theorem [8] there are three transport processes

\[
(Z^{(n)}_1(s))_{0 \leq s \leq T}, \quad (Z^{(n)}_2(s))_{a \leq s \leq 0}, \quad \text{and} \quad (Z^{(n)}_3(s))_{\frac{a}{2} \leq s \leq 0}, \quad (10)
\]
such that for each $q > 0$,

$$P \left( \sup_{b_i \leq t \leq c_i} |B_i(t) - Z^{(n)}_i(t)| > C(i)n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{5/2} \right) = o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \quad (11)$$

where $b_i, c_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are the endpoints of the corresponding intervals, and $C(i)$ is a positive constant depending on $b_i, c_i$ and $q$.

### 3.2 Strong approximation

We will approximate successively each summand $X^{1,H}, X^{2,H}, X^{3,H}$ from (9) in the strong sense by processes constructed in terms of the transport processes $Z^{(n)}_1, Z^{(n)}_2, Z^{(n)}_3$ introduced above. Let us start with the summand $X^{1,H}$. Using Fubini theorem, we can express it as

$$X^{1,H}_t = c(H) \int_0^t ds \int_{-\infty}^0 dB(x_1)dB(x_2)(s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1}$$

$$= c(H) \int_0^t ds \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 (s-x)^{H/2-1}dB(x) \right)^2$$

$$= c(H) \int_0^t ds (Y^{1,H}_s)^2, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (12)$$

where

$$Y^{1,H}_s = \int_{-\infty}^0 (s-x)^{H/2-1}dB(x), \quad s \in [0, T]. \quad (13)$$

**Remark 1** Notice that integral $\int_{-\infty}^0 (s-x)^{H/2-1}dB(x)$ is well-defined in $L^2(\Omega)$ as a Wiener integral for every $s > 0$ since

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 (s-x)^{H/2-1}dB(x) \right)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 (s-x)^{H-2}dx = \frac{1}{1-H}s^{2H-1}. $$

The situation will be different when we treat the summand $X^{3,H}$. This is one of the reasons to decompose the Rosenblatt process into several parts.

Let $0 < \max \left( \frac{1-H/2}{3-2H}, \frac{2-H}{2H+2} \right) < \beta < 1/2$ be fixed (note that $\frac{1-H/2}{3-2H} < \frac{1}{2}$ since $H < 1$ and $\frac{2-H}{2H+2} < \frac{1}{2}$ because $H > \frac{1}{2}$), denote in the sequel by

$$\varepsilon_n = n^{-\frac{\beta}{1-H/2}} \quad (14)$$

and by

$$\alpha_n = n^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\beta\right)}(\log n)^{\frac{5}{2}}. \quad (15)$$
We will use the notation 
\[ \|Y\|_{\infty,[a,b]} = \sup_{a \leq s \leq b} |Y_s|. \]

When the interval is of the form \([0,T]\) we will use the shorter notation \(\|Y\|_{\infty,[0,T]} := \|Y\|_{\infty,T}\).

We will denoted by \(C\) a generic strictly positive constant that may depend on \(a,T,H,p\) and may change from line to line.

Let us give a different expression for the process \(Y_1,H\).

**Lemma 1** Let \(Y_1,H\) be the process defined by (13) and \(a<0\) fixed, then for every \(s \in [0,T]\)
\[
Y_1,s,H = f_s(a)B_2(a) - \int_{1/a}^{-\varepsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \left( \frac{1}{u^2} \right) B_3(u) du - \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \left( \frac{1}{u^3} \right) B_3(u) du \\
+ \int_{a}^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dB_2(x) + \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} [f_s(x) - f_s(x - \varepsilon_n)]dB_2(x) \\
+ \int_{a}^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n)dB_2(x)
\]
(16)

where \(\partial_x f_s\) denotes the derivative of the function \(f_s(x) = (s - x)^{H/2-1}, s > x\) with respect to its second variable (even when this second variable is not denoted by \(x\)).

**Proof:** We can write, for every \(t \in [0,T]\)
\[
Y_1,t,H = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f_s(x)dB_2(x) + \int_{a}^{t} f_s(x)dB_2(x).
\]
(17)

We express the first Wiener integral above as an integral with respect to \(ds\). Since by the Hölder continuity of \(B\),
\[
\lim_{b \to -\infty} f_s(b)B(b) = 0,
\]
by integration by parts and putting \(x = 1/u\),
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{a} f_s(x)dB(x) = \int_{a}^{a} f_s(x)B(x)dx \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{a} \partial_x f_s(x)B(x)dx \\
= \int_{1/a}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \left( \frac{1}{u^2} \right) B \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) du \\
= \int_{1/a}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \left( \frac{1}{u^3} \right) B_3(u)du.
\]
(18)

By (17) and (18), for every \(s \in [0,T]\) we have the result. 

We first approximate the process \((Y_1,H)_{s \in [0,T]}\) (in the strong sense (11)) by stochastic processes constructed from transport processes. Basically, in the expression of \(Y_1,H\), we
replace the Brownian motions by their corresponding transport processes. The approximating processes to \( Y^{1,H} \) is defined as

\[
Y^{1,H,n}_s = f_s(a)Z_2^{(n)}(a) - \int_{1/a}^{-\varepsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} Z_3^{(n)}(u) du + \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) + \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dZ_2^{(n)}(x), \quad s \in [0, T].
\] (19)

We state the result concerning the approximation of \( Y^{1,H} \). Its proof follows the ideas of the proofs in [7] but the context is technically more complex. Note that the singularity of the integrand \((s - x)^{H-1}\) at \( s = x \) does not allows to use directly the results in [7] and the arguments of the proofs must be adapted to fit in our context.

**Proposition 1** Let \( Y^{1,H} \) and \( Y^{1,H,n} \) be the processes defined by (13) and (19), respectively and let \( \alpha_n \) given by (15). Then for each \( q > 0 \) and each \( \beta \) such that \( 0 < \frac{1-H/2}{3-2H} < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \),

\[
P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1-H/2} |Y^{1,H}_s - Y^{1,H,n}_s| > C \alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \] (20)

**Proof:** From (16) and Lemma 1 we have

\[
|Y^{1,H}_t - Y^{1,H,n}_t| \leq \left\{ f_t(a)B_2(a) - f_t(a)Z_2^{(n)}(a) \right\} + \\
+ \int_{1/a}^{-\varepsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) du - \int_{1/a}^{-\varepsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} Z_3^{(n)}(u) du + \\
+ \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) du + \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x) dB_2(x) - \int_{\varepsilon_n}^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) + \\
+ \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dB_2(x) - \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) + \left| \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} [f_s(x) - f_s(x - \varepsilon_n)] dB_2(x) \right|.
\]

By Lemmas 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 below we have the result. \( \blacksquare \)

**Lemma 2** Let \( Z_2^{(n)} \) be the process defined by (10). Then for each \( q > 0 \) there is \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
I_1 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |f_s(a)B_2(a) - f_s(a)Z_2^{(n)}(a)| > C \alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \] (21)

**Proof:** It holds, for fixed \( a < 0 \),

\[
|f_s(a)B_2(a) - f_s(a)Z_2^{(n)}(a)| \leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,|a,0|} (s - a)^{H/2-1}
\]
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\[ \| B_2 - Z_2^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[a,0]} (-a)^{H/2-1} \]

then (recall that \( C \) is a generic strictly positive constant that may depend on \( a, T, H \))

\[
I_1 \leq P \left( \| B_2 - Z_2^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[a,0]} (-a)^{H/2-1} > C\alpha_n \right) \\
\leq P \left( \| B_2 - Z_2^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[a,0]} > C\alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q}).
\]

\[\blacksquare\]

**Remark 2** The conclusion of Lemma 2 is clearly true if we add the factor \( s^{1-H/2} \) after the supremum. This remark is also available for the following lemmas and we will not mention it at each time.

**Lemma 3** Let \( Z_3^{(n)} \) be the process defined by (10). Then for each \( q > 0 \),

\[
I_2 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1-H/2} \left| \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) \, du - \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} Z_3^{(n)}(u) \, du \right| > C\alpha_n \right)
\leq o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

**Proof:** Putting \( z = 1/u \) and \( w = s - z \),

\[
\left| \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) \, du - \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} Z_3^{(n)}(u) \, du \right|
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \left| \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) \right| \, du
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} (1 - H/2) \int_{1/a}^{\epsilon_n} \frac{1}{(-u)^3} (s - 1/u)^{H/2-2} \, du
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} (1 - H/2) \int_{-1/\epsilon_n}^{a} (-z)(s - z)^{H/2-2} \, dz
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} (1 - H/2) \int_{s-1/\epsilon_n}^{s+1/\epsilon_n} (w - s)(w)^{H/2-2} \, dw
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} (1 - H/2) \int_{s-1/\epsilon_n}^{s+1/\epsilon_n} w^{H/2-1} \, dw
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} \left[ (s + 1/\epsilon_n)^{H/2} - (s - a)^{H/2} \right]
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} (T + 1/\epsilon_n)^{H/2}
\leq \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} 2^{H/2} (TH/2 + (\epsilon_n)^{-H/2})
\]
then

\[
I_2 \leq P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} 2^{H/2} (T^{H/2} + (\varepsilon_n)^{-H/2}) > C \alpha_n \right) \\
\leq P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} 2^{H/2} T^{H/2} > C \alpha_n \right) \\
+ P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} \frac{1 - H/2}{H/2} 2^{H/2} T^{1-H/2} (\varepsilon_n)^{-H/2} > C \alpha_n \right) \\
\leq P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} > C \alpha_n \right) \\
+ P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} > C (\varepsilon_n)^{H/2} \alpha_n \right) \\
\leq o(n^{-q}) + P \left( \| B_3 - Z_3^{(n)} \|_{\infty,[1/a,0]} > C n^{-1/2+\beta(1-H)/(1-H/2)} (\log n)^{5/2} \right) \\
= o(n^{-q}).
\]

The following lemma explains one of the conditions imposed on \( \beta \) in the statement of Proposition 1. Another restriction comes from Proposition 4 later.

**Lemma 4** Let \( (1 - H/2) / (3 - 2H) < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \). Then for each \( q > 0 \)

\[
I_3 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) du \right| > \alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{23}
\]

**Proof:** For every \( s \in [0,T] \) and \( u \in [-\varepsilon_n,0) \) we can write

\[
\left| \frac{1}{u^3} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \right| = \frac{1 - H/2}{u^3} \left( H/2 - 1 \right) - (s - 1/u)^{H/2-2} = \frac{1 - H/2}{(-u)^3} \cdot \left( 1 - us \right)^{H/2-2} \\
\leq (1 - H/2) (-u)^{-1-H/2} \tag{24}
\]

By (24) and the pathwise Hölder continuity of the \( B_m B_3 \) there exists a random variable \( Y \) (having all its moments finite) such that for any \( \gamma < 1/2 - H/2 \),

\[
\left| \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} \partial_x f_s \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u^3} B_3(u) du \right| \leq Y \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} (1 - H/2) (-u)^{-1-H/2} (-u)^{1/2-\gamma} du \\
= Y \frac{1 - H/2}{1/2 - H/2 - \gamma} (\varepsilon_n)^{1/2-H/2-\gamma}.
\]

By Chebyshev’s inequality, for \( r > 0 \),

\[
I_3 \leq P \left( Y \frac{1 - H/2}{1/2 - H/2 - \gamma} n^{-\beta(1/2-H/2-\gamma)} > \alpha_n \right)
\]
where \( \kappa = -(1/2 - \beta) + \beta(1/2 - H/2 - \gamma)/(1 - H/2) \). Taking
\[
(1 - H/2)/(3 - 2H) < (1 - H/2)/(3 - 2H - \gamma) < \beta < 1/2,
\]
then \( \kappa > 0 \). For \( q > 0 \) there is \( r > 0 \) such that \( q < r\kappa \), then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^q I_3 = 0.
\]

\[\text{Lemma 5}\]

Let \( Z_2^{(n)} \) be the process defined by (10). Then for each \( q > 0 \),
\[
I_4 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dB_2(x) - \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dZ_2^{(n)}(x) \right| > C\alpha_n \right)
\]
\[
= o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \ n \to \infty \qquad \text{(25)}
\]

\[\text{Proof}:\] By integration by parts,
\[
\int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dB_2(x) = f_s(-\varepsilon_n)B_2(-\varepsilon_n) - f_s(a)B_2(a) - \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} (1 - H/2)(s - x)^{H/2 - 2}B_2(x)dx
\]
and
\[
\int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dZ_2^{(n)}(x) = f_s(-\varepsilon_n)Z_2^{(n)}(-\varepsilon_n) - f_s(a)Z_2^{(n)}(a) - \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} (1 - H/2)(s - x)^{H/2 - 2}Z_2^{(n)}(x)dx
\]
then
\[
\left| \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dB_2(x) - \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} f_s(x)dZ_2^{(n)}(x) \right|
\]
\[
\leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} \left\{ f_s(-\varepsilon_n) + f_s(a) + \int_a^{-\varepsilon_n} (1 - H/2)(s - x)^{H/2 - 2}dx \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} \left\{ (s + \varepsilon_n)^{H/2 - 1} + (s - a)^{H/2 - 1} + (s + \varepsilon_n)^{H/2 - 1} - (s - a)^{H/2 - 1} \right\}
\]
\[
= \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} 2(s + \varepsilon_n)^{H/2 - 1}
\]
\[
\leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} 2(\varepsilon_n)^{H/2 - 1} = \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} 2n^{\beta}
\]

Consequently,
\[
I_4 \leq P \left( \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} > C\alpha_n \right)
\]
\[
= P \left( \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} > Cn^{-1/2}(\log n)^{5/2} \right) = o(n^{-q})
\]

\[\blacksquare\]
Lemma 6 Let $Z_2^{(n)}$ be the process defined by (10). Then for each $q > 0$,

$$I_5 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left| \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dB_2(x) - \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) \right| > C\alpha_n \right)$$

$$= o(n^{-q}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \quad (26)$$

Proof: By integration by parts as before and taking into account that $B_2(0) = Z_2^{(n)}(0) = 0$ we can express the two integrals in the statement as

$$\int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dB_2(x) = -f_s(-2\varepsilon_n) B_2(-\varepsilon_n) - \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} (1 - H/2)(s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2-2} B_2(x) dx$$

and

$$\int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) = -f_s(-2\varepsilon_n) Z_2^{(n)}(-\varepsilon_n)$$

$$- \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} (1 - H/2)(s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2-2} Z_2^{(n)}(x) dx.$$

then

$$\left| \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dB_2(x) - \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} f_s(x - \varepsilon_n) dZ_2^{(n)}(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} \left\{ f_s(-2\varepsilon_n) + \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{0} (1 - H/2)(s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2-2} dx \right\}$$

$$\leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} \left\{ (s + 2\varepsilon_n)^{H/2-1} + (s + \varepsilon_n)^{H/2-1} - (s + 2\varepsilon_n)^{H/2-1} \right\}$$

$$= \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} (s + \varepsilon_n)^{H/2-1} \leq \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} \|\varepsilon_n\|^{H/2-1}$$

$$= \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} n^{\beta}$$

Consequently,

$$I_5 \leq P \left( \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} n^{\beta} > C\alpha_n \right)$$

$$= P \left( \|B_2 - Z_2^{(n)}\|_{\infty,[a,0]} > C n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{5/2} \right) = o(n^{-q})$$

Finally, we prove our last auxiliary approximation result. Here we need to add the factor $s^{1-\frac{H}{2}}$ which appears in Proposition 1. This is due to the singularity of the derivative of $f_s(x)$ with respect to $x$. $s^{1-\frac{H}{2}}$. 
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Lemma 7 Let \((1 - H/2)/(3 - 2H) < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\). Then for each \(q > 0\)

\[
I_6 := P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1 - \frac{H}{2}} \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{0} |f_s(x) - f_s(x - \epsilon_n)| dB_2(x) > C\alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q}) \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

\[
(27)
\]

**Proof:** We first write the difference \(f_s(x) - f_s(x - \epsilon_n)\) as an integral and we use Fubini theorem. We obtain

\[
\int_{-\epsilon_n}^{0} [f_s(x) - f_s(x - \epsilon_n)] dB_2(x) = \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{0} \int_{s-x}^{s+\epsilon_n-x} (1 - H/2) u^{H/2-2} du dB_2(x)
\]

\[
= (1 - H/2) \left[ \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} dB_2(x) - \int_{s-u}^{s+\epsilon_n-u} dB_2(x) \right]
\]

\[
= (1 - H/2) \left[ \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [B_2(0) - B_2(s - u)] du + \int_{s+\epsilon_n}^{s+2\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} dB_2(x) du \right]
\]

The Hölder continuity of the Wiener process \(B_2\) implies for every \(0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}\)

\[
\left| \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{0} [f_s(x) - f_s(x - \epsilon_n)] dB_2(x) \right|
\]

\[
\leq (1 - H/2) Y \left[ \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [u - s]^{1/2-\gamma} du + \int_{s+\epsilon_n}^{s+2\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [2s + 2\epsilon_n - s]^{1/2-\gamma} du \right]
\]

\[
\leq (1 - H/2) Y \left[ \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [\epsilon_n]^{1/2-\gamma} du + \int_{s+\epsilon_n}^{s+2\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [\epsilon_n]^{1/2-\gamma} du \right]
\]

\[
\leq (1 - H/2) Y \left[ \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon_n} u^{H/2-2} [\epsilon_n]^{1/2-\gamma} du \right]
\]

\[
\leq Y \epsilon_n^{1/2-\gamma} s^{H/2-1}
\]

and consequently

\[
P \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1 - \frac{H}{2}} \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{0} |f_s(x) - f_s(x - \epsilon_n)| dB_2(x) > C\alpha_n \right) \leq P \left( CY \epsilon_n^{1/2-\gamma} > \alpha_n \right)
\]

and the result follows by analogous arguments as in proof of Lemma 4.

**Remark 3** In particular Proposition 7 implies that

\[
P \left( \lim_{n} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1 - \frac{H}{2}} |Y_s^{1,H} - Y_s^{1,H,n}| > C\alpha_n \right) = 0
\]

by using Borel-Cantelli lemma.
We finish the strong approximation of the term $X^{1,H}$ appearing in the decomposition of the Rosenblatt process $X^H$ in [9]. By (12), we define for every $n$ and $Y^{1,H,n}$ given by (19)

$$X^{1,H,n} = c(H) \int_0^t (Y^{1,H,n}_s)^2 ds. \quad (28)$$

We have the following.

**Proposition 2** Let $X^{1,H}$ be given by (12) and $\beta \in \left(\frac{1-H/2}{3-2H}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ fixed. Define $X^{1,H,n}$ by (28). Then for any $\gamma$ such that $0 < \gamma < \beta$ and $\beta + \gamma < 1/2$,

$$P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \| X^{1,H,n} - X^{1,H} \|_{\infty,T} \geq C n^{-\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) = 0.$$

**Proof:** Using the fact that $A^2 - B^2 = (A - B)^2 + 2B(A - B)$ we can write, for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$X^{1,H,n}_t - X^{1,H}_t = c(H) \int_0^t ((Y^{1,H,n}_s)^2 - (Y^{1,H}_s)^2) ds$$

$$= c(H) \int_0^t [(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)^2 + 2Y^{1,H}_s(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)] ds$$

and hence

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |X^{1,H,n}_t - X^{1,H}_t| \leq c(H) \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t |Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s|^2 + 2Y^{1,H}_s(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)| ds$$

$$= c(H) \int_0^T |Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s|^2 + 2Y^{1,H}_s(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)| ds$$

$$\leq c(H) \int_0^T (Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)^2 ds + 2c(H) \int_0^T |Y^{1,H}_s| |Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s| ds$$

$$\leq C \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{2-H}(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s)^2$$

$$+ 2C \int_0^T s^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} |Y^{1,H}_s| ds \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{1-H/2}(Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s).$$

We used above the trivial inequality $P(|X|^2 \geq C \alpha_n) \leq P(|X| \geq C \alpha_n)$ for any random variable $X$. We will get (C denoted a generic strictly positive constant depending on $T, H$ that may change from line to line) by Proposition 1

$$P \left( \| X^{1,H,n} - X^{1,H} \|_{\infty,T} > C n^{-\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \right)$$

$$\leq P \left( \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{2-H}|Y^{1,H,n}_s - Y^{1,H}_s|^2 > C n^{-\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \right)$$
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\[ + \ P \left( \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{1-\frac{H}{2}} |Y_{s}^{1,H,n} - Y_{s}^{1,H}| \int_{0}^{T} s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} |Y_{s}^{1,H}| ds > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \right) \]

\[ = o(n^{-q}) + P \left( \int_{0}^{T} s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} |Y_{s}^{1,H}| ds \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{1-\frac{H}{2}} |Y_{s}^{1,H,n} - Y_{s}^{1,H}| > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \right). \]

We apply Lemma 8 below with

\[ A = \int_{0}^{T} s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} |Y_{s}^{1,H}| ds \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1-\frac{H}{2}} |Y_{s}^{1,H} - Y_{s}^{1,H,n}|. \]

We note first that

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} |Y_{s}^{1,H}| ds \leq c(H) \int_{0}^{T} ds \ s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} \left( \mathbb{E}(Y_{s}^{1,H})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
\leq c(H) \int_{0}^{T} ds \ s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{0} (s-x)^{H-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= c(H) \int_{0}^{T} ds \ s^{\frac{H}{2}-1} s^{\frac{H-1}{2}} = c(H) T^{H-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

and thus the random variable \(A\) is almost surely finite. We obtain by (29) and Remark 3

\[ P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \|X^{1,H,n} - X^{1,H}\|_{\infty,T} > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) \]

\[ \leq P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ A \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1-\frac{H}{2}} |Y_{s}^{1,H} - Y_{s}^{1,H,n}| > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) \]

\[ \leq P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1-\frac{H}{2}} |Y_{s}^{1,H} - Y_{s}^{1,H,n}| > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) = 0. \]

The following lemma has been used in the proof of Proposition 2.

**Lemma 8** Let \(A\) and \(\Gamma\) be random variables with \(A\) an almost surely finite. Then for every \(\gamma > 0\)

\[ P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ A \Gamma > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) \]

\[ \leq P \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \Gamma > C n^{-(1/2-\beta)} (\log n)^{5/2} \} \right) \]

with \(C\) a generic strictly positive constant.
Proof: We prove the following inclusion
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ A \Gamma > n^{-1/2-\beta-\gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \right\} \subseteq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \Gamma > n^{-1/2-\beta} (\log n)^{5/2} \right\}.
\]
Since
\[
\omega \in \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ A \Gamma > n^{-1/2-\beta-\gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \right\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ (A n^{-\gamma}) \Gamma > n^{-1/2-\beta} (\log n)^{5/2} \right\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{ (A k^{-\gamma}) \Gamma > k^{-1/2-\beta} (\log k)^{5/2} \right\},
\]
then for all \( n \geq 1 \),
\[
\omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{ (A k^{-\gamma}) \Gamma > k^{-1/2-\beta} (\log k)^{5/2} \right\},
\]
and since \( A \) is an almost surely finite random variable, there is \( \hat{N} = \hat{N}(\omega) \) such that for all \( n \geq \hat{N} \), \( A n^{-\gamma} < 1 \), then \( \omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{ \Gamma > k^{-1/2-\beta} (\log k)^{5/2} \right\} \) and the conclusion follows easily. \( \square \)

Let us handle now the term \( X^{3,H} \) appearing in (39). We will decompose it as follows:
\[
X^{3,H}_t = c(H) \int_0^t \int_0^t dB(x_1) dB(x_2) \int_{(x_1 \vee x_2 + \varepsilon_n) \wedge t}^t ds (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} (s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} + c(H) \int_0^t \int_0^t dB(x_1) dB(x_2) \int_{x_1 \vee x_2}^t ds (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} (s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}
\]
\[
:= c(H) (F^n_t + G^n_t) \quad (30)
\]
where \( \varepsilon_n \) is given by (14).

Remark 4 For the term \( X^{3,H} \) we cannot use Fubini theorem (as in the case of \( X^{1,H} \)) because \( \int_0^s (s - x)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} dB(x) \) is not defined as a Wiener integral in \( L^2(\Omega) \) since the function \( (s - x)^{\frac{H}{2} - 2} \) is not integrable on \([0, s]\) with respect to \( dx \).

For every \( t \in [0, T] \) the summand \( F^n_t \) can be written as
\[
F^n_t = \int_0^t \int_0^t dB(x_1) dB(x_2) \int_{(x_1 \vee x_2 + \varepsilon_n) \wedge t}^t ds (s - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} (s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}
\]
\[
= \int_0^{t - \varepsilon_n} \int_0^{t - \varepsilon_n} dB(x_1) dB(x_2) \int_{(x_1 \vee x_2)}^{t - \varepsilon_n} ds (s + \varepsilon_n - x_1)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} (s + \varepsilon_n - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1}
\]
\[
= \int_0^{t - \varepsilon_n} \left( \int_0^s (s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} dB(x) \right)^2 ds = \int_0^{t - \varepsilon_n} (Y^{3,H}_s)^2 ds \quad (31)
\]
where we denoted by
\[
Y^{3,H}_s = \int_0^s (s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} dB(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq T. \quad (32)
\]
Note that the process $Y^{3,H}$ depends on $n$. But we prefer to use the notation $Y^{3,H}$ without $n$ in order to keep the coherence with the other terms treated before and in the sequel.

Let $(B_1(s))_{s \in [0,T]}$ the restriction of the Wiener process $B$ to the interval $[0,T]$ and let $Z_1^{(n)}$ be the corresponding transport process defined in (10) that converges to $B$ in the strong sense (11). Then,

$$Y^{3,H}_s = \int_0^s (s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2 - 1} dB_1(x)$$

and we define

$$Y^{3,H,n}_s = \int_0^s (s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2 - 1} dZ_1^{(n)}(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t.$$  

We will show first that $Y^{3,H,n}$ is a strong approximation of $Y^{3,H}$.

**Proposition 3** Let $Y^{3,H}$, $Y^{3,H,n}$ and $\alpha_n$ be given by (33), (34) and (15) respectively,

$$P \left( \sup_{s \in [0,T]} |Y^{3,H,n}_s - Y^{3,H}_s| > C\alpha_n \right) = o(n^{-q})$$

for each $q > 0$ and for $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

**Proof:** After integrating by parts, we can write, for every $s \in [0,T],$

$$|Y^{3,H,n}_s - Y^{3,H}_s| \leq \varepsilon_n^{H/2 - 1} \left| B_1(s) - Z_1^{(n)}(s) \right|
+ (1 - H/2) \int_0^s (s + \varepsilon_n - x)^{H/2 - 2} \left| B_1(x) - Z_1^{(n)}(x) \right| dx
\leq 2\varepsilon_n^{H/2 - 1} \|B_1 - Z_1^{(n)}\|_{\infty,T} = 2n^\beta \|B_1 - Z_1^{(n)}\|_{\infty,T}$$

using the choice of $\varepsilon_n$ and hence by (11),

$$P \left( \|Y^{3,H,n} - Y^{3,H}\|_{\infty,T} \geq C\alpha_n \right) \leq P \left( \|B_1 - Z_1^{(n)}\|_{\infty,T} \geq Cn^{-1/2}(\log n)^{5/2} \right) = o(n^{-q})$$

for every $q > 0$ by (11).  

We will introduce now the approximation processes that will converge to $X^{3,H}$. Let us denote, for every $t \in [0,T],$

$$X^{3,H,n}_t = c(H) \int_0^t (Y^{3,H,n}_s)^2 ds.$$  

The part $X^{3,H}$ is approximated as follows.
**Proposition 4** For $0 < \max\left(\frac{1 - H}{3 - 2H}, \frac{2 - H}{2 + 2H}\right) < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ fixed, let $X^{3,H}$ and $X^{3,H,n}$ defined by (30) and (33) respectively. Then for every $\gamma$ such that $0 < \gamma < \beta$ and $\gamma + \beta < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{\|X^{3,H,n} - X^{3,H}\|_{\infty,T} \geq Cn^{-\left(1/2 - \beta - \gamma\right)(\log n)^{5/2}}\right\}\right) = 0.$$

**Proof:** By (30) and (31),

$$X^{3,H,n} - X^{3,H} = c(H) \int_0^t \left[\left(Y^{3,H,n}_s\right)^2 - \left(Y^{3,H}_s\right)^2\right] ds - c(H)G^n_t$$

we have the bound

$$\|X^{3,H} - X^{3,H,n}\|_{\infty,T} \leq c(H) \int_0^T |Y^{3,H}_s - Y^{3,H,n}_s|^2 ds$$

$$+ 2c(H) \int_0^T |Y^{3,H}_s||Y^{3,H}_s - Y^{3,H,n}_s| ds + c(H) \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |G^n_t|.$$

The first two summand in the right hand side above can be treated as in the case of $X^{1,H}$ in Proposition 2. We note, in order to apply Lemma 8, we need to notice that $E \left|\int_0^t Y^{3,H}_s ds\right| < C$ with $C$ not depending on $n$ (Lemma 8 can still be used although the process $Y^{3,H}$ depends on $n$). Let us handle the term $G^n$. We will actually show that

$$\sum_n P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} G^n_t > Cn^{-\left(1/2 - \beta - \gamma\right)(\log n)^{5/2}}\right) < \infty. \quad (36)$$

which will imply that

$$P\left(\lim_n \left\{\|G^n\|_{\infty,T} > Cn^{-\left(1/2 - \beta - \gamma\right)(\log n)^{5/2}}\right\}\right) = 0.$$
Note that the mapping
\[ x_1 \to \int_0^{x_1} dB(x_2) \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\varepsilon_n} ds (s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1} \]
is adapted with respect to \( F_{x_1} \) (the filtration generated by the Wiener process \( B \)). Then the process \( (G^1_{t,n}) \) is a martingale for every \( n \). Then we have, taking \( \hat{\alpha}_n = n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)}(\log n)^{5/2} \) and using Doob's inequality,
\[
P \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} G^1_{t,n} \geq Cn^{-\left(1/2-\beta-\gamma\right)}(\log n)^{5/2} \right) \leq \hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| G^1_{t,n} \right|^p
\leq C\hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{(T-\varepsilon_n)^0} dB(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} dB(x_2) \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\varepsilon_n} ds (s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1} \right]^p
\]
with \( C \) allowed to depend also on \( p \) in this proof. Note that the random variable
\[
\int_0^{(T-\varepsilon_n)^0} dB(x_1) \left( \int_0^{x_1} dB(x_2) \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\varepsilon_n} ds (s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1} \right)^2
\]
is a multiple integral of order two. Therefore, by the hypercontractivity property (5), it is not difficult to see that
\[
P \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} G^1_{t,n} \geq Cn^{-\left(1/2-\beta-\gamma\right)}(\log n)^{5/2} \right)
\leq C\hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{(T-\varepsilon_n)^0} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\varepsilon_n} ds (s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}
\]
Let us first compute the integral with respect to \( ds \). By making the change of variables
\[ z = \frac{s-x_1}{x_1-x_2} \] with \( ds = \frac{x_1-x_2}{(1-z)^2} dz \) we get
\[
\int_0^{T-\varepsilon_n} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\varepsilon_n} ds (s-x_1)^{H/2-1}(s-x_2)^{H/2-1} \right)^2
= \int_0^{T-\varepsilon_n} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 (x_1-x_2)^{2H-2} \left( \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_n+1-x_2} z^{H/2-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \right)^2.
\]
We separate the integral \( dx_1 dx_2 \) into two regions: when \( x_1-x_2 \leq \varepsilon_n \) and when \( x_1-x_2 > \varepsilon_n \). The above term will be bounded by
\[
\int_0^{T} dx_1 \int_{(x_1-\varepsilon_n)^0}^{x_1} dx_2 (x_1-x_2)^{2H-2} \left( \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_n+1-x_2} z^{H/2-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \right)^2
\]
For every \( \beta \) estimate the change of variables \( z \) and the series 
\[
\sum \epsilon_n \leq c(H) \int_0^T dx_1 \int_{x_1 - \epsilon_n}^{x_1} dx_2 (x_1 - x_2)^{2H-2} \left( \int_0^{\epsilon_n} u \frac{H-1}{z} \right. \left(1 - z)^{-H} dz \right)^2
\]
where \( F_1(H/2, H, H/2 + 1, 1) \) is the incomplete beta function and hence
\[
P \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G_{t, n}^{2, n} \geq c\hat{\alpha}_n \right) \leq C\hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \epsilon_n^{(2H-1)p/2}
\]
and the series \( \sum_n \epsilon_n^{p(2H-1)} \hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \) is finite if
\[
p \left( \beta \frac{2H-1}{2-H} - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta - \gamma \right) \right) > 1.
\]
Note that \( \beta > \frac{2-H}{2+2H} \) implies that \( \beta \frac{2H-1}{2-H} - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta - \gamma \right) > 0 \) for small \( \gamma > 0 \). By choosing \( p \) large enough we obtain that
\[
\sum_n P \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G_{t, n}^{1, n} \geq C\hat{\alpha}_n \right) < \infty
\]
for every \( \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \).

Let us handle now the term denoted by \( G_{t, n}^{2, n} \). We have
\[
P \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G_{t, n}^{2, n} \geq C\hat{\alpha}_n \right) \leq \hat{\alpha}_n^{-p} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| G_{t, n}^{2, n} \right|^p
\]
In order to control \( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| G_{t, n}^{2, n} \right|^2 \) we will use Garsia’s lemma. To this end we need to estimate the \( L^p \) norm of the increment \( G_{t, n}^{2, n} - G_{s, n}^{2, n} \) when \( t \) is close to \( s \). Note first that, by the change of variables \( z = \frac{u}{x_1 - x_2} \) we have
\[
G_{t, n}^{2, n} = \int_{t - \epsilon_n}^t dB(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} dB(x_2) |x_1 - x_2|^{H-1} \int_0^{\epsilon_n} u \frac{H-1}{z} \left(1 - z)^{-H} dz
\]
and for \( t, s \in [0, T] \) such that \( s > t - \varepsilon_n \), by the isometry of multiple stochastic integrals 
\[
\mathbb{E} \left| G_{t}^{2,n} - G_{s}^{2,n} \right|^2 = c(H) \int_{t - \varepsilon_n}^{t} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2} \left( \int_{0}^{t-x_1} z^{H-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \right)^2 
\]
\[
+ c(H) \int_{s-\varepsilon_n}^{s} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2} \left( \int_{0}^{t-x_1} z^{H-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \right)^2 
\]
\[
- 2c(H) \int_{t-\varepsilon_n}^{s} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2} \left( \int_{0}^{t-x_1} z^{H-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \right)^2 
\]
and by majorizing \( \int_{0}^{1} z^{H-1}(1-z)^{-H} dz \) by \( \int_{0}^{1} z^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-z)^{-H} dz = \beta(\frac{H}{2}, 1-H) \) we obtain the bound
\[
\mathbb{E} \left| G_{t}^{2,n} - G_{s}^{2,n} \right|^2 \leq c(H) \int_{t - \varepsilon_n}^{t} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2} 
\]
\[
+ c(H) \int_{s-\varepsilon_n}^{s} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2} + c(H) \int_{t-\varepsilon_n}^{s} dx_1 \int_{0}^{x_1} dx_2 |x_1 - x_2|^{2H-2}. 
\]
The hypercontractivity property of multiple integrals \([4]\) implies that for all \( t, s \)
\[
\mathbb{E} \left| G_{t}^{2,n} - G_{s}^{2,n} \right|^p \leq c(p, H) \left( \mathbb{E} \left| G_{t}^{2,n} - G_{s}^{2,n} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C\varepsilon_n^\frac{p}{2}.
\]
where \( C \) is a constant that depend on \( H \) and \( p \). Finally, by Garsia lemma (see e.g. \([13]\), Appendix A.3) for \( p > 2 \)
\[
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |G^{2,n}|^p \leq C\varepsilon_n^\gamma
\]
for every \( \gamma \) such that \( 0 < \gamma < \frac{p}{2} - 1 \). The bound \( (37) \) implies, using Markov’s inequality and taking suitable \( p \) large enough, that
\[
\sum_{n} P \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G^{2,n}_t \geq c\delta_n \right) < \infty \quad (38)
\]
due to the fact that \( \beta > \frac{1}{3 - 2H} \) and this finishes the proof.

Let us finally treat the summand \( X^{2,H} \) in \( (9) \). Its approximation will be a mixture of the approximations of \( X^{1,H} \) and \( X^{3,H} \). We have
\[
X_t^{2,H} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dB(x_1) \int_{0}^{t} dB(x_2) \int_{x_2}^{t} (s - x_1)^{H-1}(s - x_2)^{\frac{H}{2} - 1} ds
\]
21
\[
\int_0^t dB(x_2) \int_{x_2}^t Y_s^{1,H}(s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 ds
\]

with \(Y^{1,H}\) given by (13). To avoid the singularity of the integral with respect to \(ds\) at \(s = x_2\) we will decompose this integral into two parts. In this way we can write, for \(\varepsilon_n\) the sequence converging to 0 as \(n \to \infty\) chosen before

\[
X^{2,H}_t = \int_0^t dB(x_2) \int_{x_2}^t Y_s^{1,H}(s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 ds
+ \int_0^t dB(x_2) \int_{x_2}^{(x_2+\varepsilon_n)^\wedge t} Y_s^{1,H}(s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 ds
\]

\[
= \int_{\varepsilon_n}^t ds Y_s^{1,H} \int_0^{s-\varepsilon_n} (s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 dB(x_2) + \int_{\varepsilon_n}^t dB(x_2) \int_{x_2}^{(x_2+\varepsilon_n)^\wedge t} Y_s^{1,H}(s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 ds
\]

\[
\int_{\varepsilon_n}^t ds Y_s^{1,H} Y_s^{3,H} ds + F^n + G^n \tag{39}
\]

with

\[
Y_s^{3,H} = \int_0^{s-\varepsilon_n} (s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 dB(x_2), Y_s^{3,H,n} = \int_0^{s-\varepsilon_n} (s-x_2)^\frac{H}{2}-1 dZ^{(1)}(s), s \in [0,T] \tag{40}
\]

As in the proof of (36) and (38) we can show that

\[
\sum_n P \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} F^n_t \geq c\alpha_n^2 \right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_n P \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} G^n_t \geq c\alpha_n^2 \right) < \infty
\]

The approximation result to \(X^{2,H}\) is stated in the next proposition. We will use the process \(Y^{3,H,n}\) instead of \(Y^{3,H,n}\) because clearly they are very close and one can replace the other.

**Proposition 5** For \(0 < \max \left( \frac{1-H/2}{3-2H}, \frac{2-H}{2+2H} \right) < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\) fixed, let \(Y^{1,H,n}, Y^{3,H,n}\) and \(X^{2,H}\) be given by (19), (39) and (39) respectively. Define

\[
X^{2,H,n}_t = \int_0^t ds Y_s^{1,H,n} Y_s^{3,H,n}, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{41}
\]

Then for every \(\gamma\) such that \(0 < \gamma < \beta\) and \(\gamma + \beta < \frac{1}{2}\),

\[
P \left( \lim_n \|X^{2,H,n} - X^{2,H}\|_{\infty,T} > C n^{-(1/2-\beta-\gamma)(\log n)^{5/2}} \right) = 0.
\]
**Proof:** The proof follows from the proofs of Proposition 2 and Proposition 4 since for every $s$ we have
\[ 2Y_s^1, H, n Y_s', 3, H, n \leq (Y_s^1, H, n)^2 + (Y_s', 3, H, n)^2. \]

Let us summarize the conclusions of Proposition 2, 4 and 5 in the main result of our paper.

**Theorem 2** Let $X^H$ be the Rosenblatt process (8) and $0 < \max \left( \frac{1-H/2}{3-2H}, \frac{2-H}{2+2H} \right) < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ fixed. Define
\[ X^H, n_t = X_t^1, H, n + 2X_t^2, H, n + X_t^3, H, n, \quad t \in [0, T] \]
with $X^1, H, n$, $X^2, H, n$, $X^3, H, n$ given by (28), (41), (35) respectively. Then for every $\gamma$ such that $0 < \gamma < \beta$ and $\gamma + \beta < \frac{1}{2}$,
\[ P \left( \lim_n \{ \sup_{t \leq T} \| X^H, n - X^H \|_\infty > C_n \left( \frac{1}{2-\beta-\gamma} (\log n)^{5/2} \right) \} \right) = 0. \]

**Remark 5** The slowest rate of convergence is obtained for $H$ close to one because in this case $\beta$ is close to $\frac{1}{2}$. When $H$ is close to $\frac{1}{2}$ then $\beta$ is close to $\frac{3}{8}$. But this situation cannot be compared with previous results in the literature because the Rosenblatt process is not defined for $H = \frac{1}{2}$.
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