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Background: Second-generation antipsychotics are often used off-label in the treatment of anorexia nervosa (AN) across the clinical spectrum. Patients with anorexia nervosa often cite concerns about metabolic effects, such as weight gain, as reasons for their reluctance to start or continue second-generation antipsychotics. Improving our understanding of the metabolic effect patients experience and reasons underlying their disinclination will enable us to build rapport and guide our clinical decisions. We therefore aimed to conduct a comprehensive review of dropouts, metabolic effects, and patient-reported outcomes associated with second-generation antipsychotic in people with AN.

Method: EMBASE, Medline, and PsycINFO were searched for all relevant studies published until 2019, and retrieved studies were assessed for eligibility as per predefined inclusion criteria. A random-effects meta-analysis was conducted to assess overall dropout rates.

Results: Of 983 citations retrieved, 21 studies met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review and 10 studies had appropriate data for meta-analysis. Using the random effects model, the pooled dropout rate in the intervention arm (95% confidence interval) from psychopharmacological trials was 28% (19 to 38%) in people with AN. Personal reasons or factors associated with study were commonest reason for dropout, not adverse events or metabolic effects as hypothesized.

Conclusion: Compared to personal reasons, drug-related factors such as side effects seem to play a lesser role for the discontinuation of antipsychotic treatment under trial conditions. This suggests an urgent need to consider and fully examine potential individual and patient-related factors that influence dropout rates in psychopharmacological trials and treatment compliance in clinical settings.

Keywords: anorexia nervosa, dropout, antipsychotic, metabolic effect, meta-analysis
BACKGROUND

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a debilitating illness with a median duration of 7–10 years. Approximately 20% of individuals with AN develop a severe and enduring form of the illness (1) and a 22 years follow-up study found that only 68.2% of participants with AN have recovered (2). Treating AN successfully is a challenge. Admissions have continued to rise exponentially in the UK (3), even though National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommended outpatient treatment as a first line of management since 2004 (4, 5).

Various forms of outpatient psychological therapies have been developed. A recent meta-analysis of 35 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) concluded that specialised AN treatment was not superior to standard treatment at follow-up [effect size (95% CI) for i) for weight outcomes: 0.11 (-0.04 to 0.27) and ii) psychological outcomes: -0.001 (-0.11 to 0.11)] (6). A recent Cochrane Review also reported that there is little or no difference between specialist inpatient care and active outpatient or combined inpatient and outpatient care in weight gain at 12 months after the start of treatment [standardised mean difference (SMD): -0.22 (-0.49 to 0.05)] (7).

Second-generation antipsychotics are often used off-label in the treatment of AN. For example, a recent self-reported survey of prescribing practice in the UK reported that psychotropic medications are commonly prescribed to a minority of children and adolescents with AN, with olanzapine being the most frequent psychotropic drug (8). The rationale for their use includes weight gain and symptom relief such as reducing anxious feelings and weight/shape concerns. The clinical effectiveness of antipsychotics for AN; ii) the design was RCTs, open label trials, or observational studies; and iii) published in English. Participants of any age and any sex, with a diagnosis of AN were considered. For the meta-analysis, only studies with information about the proportion of participants who begin but do not complete the full course of recommended treatment in the intervention arm were considered.

METHOD

Eligibility Criteria

Abstracts were considered eligible for full manuscript data extraction if the study met all the following criteria: i) they compared the treatment outcomes of second-generation antipsychotics for AN; ii) the design was RCTs, open label trials, or observational studies; and iii) published in English. Participants of any age and any sex, with a diagnosis of AN were considered. For the meta-analysis, only studies with information about the proportion of participants who begin but do not complete the full course of recommended treatment in the intervention arm were considered.

Study Selection

We searched the Embase (1985 to 2019), PsycInfo (2005 to 2019), and Medline (1982 to 2018), using Ovid Database. We also hand-screened reference lists of all included articles to identify additional studies that were not found during the initial search. Keywords used in the searches included, but not limited to “anorexia nervosa”, “atypical antipsychotic”, “second generation antipsychotic”, “amisulpride”, “aripiprazole”, “asenapine”, “brexpiprazole”, “cariprazine”, “clozapine”, “lurasidone”, “olanzapine”, “paliperidone”, “pimavanserin”, “quetiapine”, “remoxipride”, “risperidone”, “sertindole”, “ziprasidone” (exhaustive list of keywords in Appendix 1).
Quality of Study
To assess the quality of studies, the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias was applied to randomized trials and Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies-of Interventions (ROBINS-I) assessment tool for non-randomized studies (17, 18). These include adequacy of study design (observational or open label trials or RCT with an adequate control group); recruitment of sample and control for confounding variables, such as age, sex, socioeconomic status. A study was considered to be of high quality if the study design consists of a control group; consecutive or random sampling method was used; adequate blinding of participants and personnel; appropriate reporting of outcome variables.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using the “Metafor” package (19) in open source software programme R. We first transformed the proportion data using the Freeman-Tukey (double arcsine) transformation. This approach is recommended for proportion data as it produces more stable estimates of corresponding sampling variances for the sampling distribution of proportions close to 0 or 1 (20, 21). The transformed proportions and corresponding sampling variances were used in the meta-analysis and then back-transformed using the equation derived by Miller (22) for ease of interpretation.

To estimate the average overall dropout rate from active treatment, a weighted pooled event rate was calculated (“escalc” function in “Metafor”). The meta-analysis was conducted using a random effects model. Given the likely significant differences in study design, a fixed-effects model was deemed inappropriate. Significance threshold was set at p < 0.05. A Cochran Q test was performed to assess between-study heterogeneity of effect size (23). Publication biases were investigated with visual inspection of the funnel plots and Begg’s rank correlation tests for funnel plot asymmetry (24).

RESULTS
Study Selection
The literature search resulted in 983 studies (Figure 1). After reviewing their titles and abstracts, 51 studies met the inclusion criteria and were retrieved for full text. Of these, 33 studies were excluded from the systematic review as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Upon closer inspection of the remaining 18 studies, two studies utilized the same study population (25, 26). The study with the main aim of reporting the findings of olanzapine in people with AN was selected (25). One study was published as an abstract and the journal is now defunct (27). We therefore did not have access to any information about sampling method, baseline clinical characteristics of the sample population and variables of interest. Five additional studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria were found from searches of the reference lists of included articles. In total, 21 studies were included in the systematic review and summarised in Table 1.

11 studies were excluded from the meta-analysis because they did not report information about the proportion of participants in the intervention arm who did not complete the study. Raw data were therefore not available to generate a standardized effect size for dropout rates. This resulted in 10 datasets being included in the meta-analysis.

Qualitative Summary
Of the 21 datasets included in the systematic review, 11 were RCTs (25, 28, 32–35, 39, 42, 46, 47), 5 open label trials (29, 40–43, 45), 1 prospective study (38) and 4 retrospective cohort studies (31, 36, 44). Sample size varies substantially, with a range between 8 and 152. The median number of participants was 31 with an interquartile range of 18 and 39. There were only 3 studies with a sample size over 100 (106, 152, and 152 respectively). In addition, the majority of the studies included in the systemic review (n = 10) have been conducted in USA, with the rest being in Canada only (n = 3), Canada/US (n = 1), Australia (n = 3), and Italy (n = 4). In terms of sample populations, 6 studies focused on adolescents, with the mean age ranging from 13.7 to 17.1, while 15 studies focused on adults, with a mean age ranging from 20.5 to 38. Of the 14 studies with information on gender, 6 studies recruited only females, while the proportion of females in the remaining 8 studies range between 88.9 and 97.0%.

In terms of treatment setting, 6 studies were conducted in inpatient, 7 in outpatients, 1 in day-care, and 7 across all three settings. For concurrent treatment, 20 studies reported adequate information for extraction. 10 studies allowed for concurrent medication, mainly antidepressants and benzodiazepines, 3 studies combined psychotherapy with second generation-antipsychotics and 6 studies was part of a comprehensive eating disorder treatment programme or its participants receive multidisciplinary team inputs which can include psychotherapy and meal support. One study recorded that no participants received psychotherapy or any other psychiatric treatment during the study. For second-generation antipsychotics as the study drug in the intervention group, 13 studies examined olanzapine, 4 quetiapine, 1 risperidone, 1 aripiprazole, 1 amisulpride, and 1 study compared olanzapine with aripiprazole. Their associated treatment duration ranges from a minimum of 2 weeks to a maximum of 20 weeks. Descriptive data from the datasets are summarized in Table 1.

For the meta-analysis, 7 were RCT (25, 28, 32, 34, 35, 37, 39) and 3 open label trials (40, 41, 45). Total number of participants in the intervention group ranged between 6 and 75. The majority of the studies have been conducted in USA (n = 6), with the rest being in Canada only (n = 1), Canada/US (n = 1) and Australia (n = 2). Two studies focused on adolescents, with the mean age ranging from 16 to 17.1. 8 focused on adults, with a mean age ranging from 20.5 to 34. In terms of second-generation antipsychotics as the study drug in the intervention group, 5 studies examined olanzapine, 4 quetiapine and 1 risperidone.

Meta-Analysis
The pooled dropout rate in the intervention arm was estimated to be 28% (95% CI: 19 to 38%; p < 0.01) in a random effect model. Results were not statistically significant heterogeneous (Q: 11.96,
df: 9, p: 0.02, I²: 33.1%). Visual inspection of the funnel plot suggested asymmetry, but the Begg’s rank correlation test was statistically insignificant (τ: 0.27, p: 0.28), indicating no publication bias.

**Outcome of Interest: Dropout**

Ten studies specified reasons for dropout. The percentage of each dropout reason, as a proportion of all the reasons given, are described below from most prevalent to least prevalent. The commonest reason for dropout was personal choices (43.5%; 27/62), such as participants’ voluntarily withdrawal from a study or preference of a different intervention. This was closely followed by study reasons, for example being lost to follow-up or failure to adhere to protocol (25.8%; 16/62). Of interest, 16.1% (10/62) of dropouts were due to poor response to the intervention or deterioration in mental state, with 6
### TABLE 1 | Summary table of primary studies included in the systemic review.

| Author         | Setting                          | Study type          | Adults/Adolescent Age mean (SD) | % of female | Number of participants | Dropout in intervention group | Reasons for dropout | Adverse event | Intervention dosage mean (SD) | Duration of intervention (weeks) | Concurrent treatment |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| *Attia (28)*   | US/Canada; Outpatient RCT        | Adults              | Cx: 30.0 (11.0)  Ix: 28.0 (10.9) | 96 (146)    | 34/75                  | Hospitalisation: 5          | Hospitalisation: 5   | Olanzapine 5.77 (1.07) | 16                      | Psychotropics (41.4% in sample) |
|                |                                  | Adolescents         | Cx: 77  Ix: 75                   |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
| Spettigue (29) | Canada; Mainly Inpatient Open label trial | Adolescents         | 15.48 (1.45)                  | 90.6 (20)   | NA                    | NA                          | NA                    | None          | Olanzapine 5.28 (NA) | 12                      | Individual and family therapy  |
|                |                                  | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 14.4 (2.5)  Ix: 15.0 (2.2) |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
|                |                                  | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 10  Ix: 22                   |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
| Frank (30)     | US; Inpatient/ partial inpatient Mainly Inpatient | Retrospective chart review | Adolescents | NA                     | NA                    | NA                          | NA                    | NA            | Aripiprazole 3.59 (1.85) | Minimal 3               | Specialized eating disorders program |
|                |                                  | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 18  Ix: 14                    |             | 34/75                  |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
|                |                                  | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 84  Ix: 22                   |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
| Marzola (31)   | Italy Inpatient Retrospective chart review | Adults/Adolescents | 25.43 (9.4)                  | 90.7 (68)   | NA                    | NA                          | None          | Olanzapine 6.11 (3.27) | Mean: 4.96 (1.62) | Antidepressants |
|                |                                  | Adults              | Cx: 25  Ix-A: 23  Ix-B: 27       |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
| *Powers (32)*  | US; Outpatient RCT               | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 14.4 (2.5)  Ix: 15.0 (2.2) |             | 2/6                    | Hospitalisation: 2          | Hospitalisation: 2    | Quetiapine 177.7 (90.6) | 8                        | NA                    |
|                |                                  | Adults/Adolescents  | Cx: 10  Ix: 22                   |             |                        |                             |                       |               |                               |                          |                      |
| *Attia (33)*   | US/Canada; Outpatient RCT        | Adults              | Cx: 14.4 (2.5)  Ix: 15.0 (2.2) |             | 2/6                    | Hospitalisation: 2          | Hospitalisation: 2    | Olanzapine 7.95 (2.7) | 8                        | Antidepressants; no psychological therapy |
| Norris (36)    | Canada; Inpatient, outpatient and daycare Retrospective cohort study | Adolescents | 14.8 (1.6)                  | 100 (20)    | 3/10                   | Sedation: 1 Stopped Ix: 2  | None          | Olanzapine 8.5 (NA) | 10                      | Comprehensive eating disorders programme; no group/family therapy |
| *Hagman (34)*  | US, Inpatient, outpatient and daycare | Adolescents          | 14.4 (1.9)                  | 100 (20)    | 3/10                   | Sedation: 1 Stopped Ix: 2  | None          | Olanzapine 8.5 (NA) | 10                      | Comprehensive eating disorders programme; no group/family therapy |
| *Kafantaris (35)* | US, Inpatient, outpatient and daycare | Retrospective cohort study | Adolescents | 14.8 (1.6)                  | 100 (20)    | 3/10                   | Sedation: 1 Stopped Ix: 2  | None          | Olanzapine 8.5 (NA) | 10                      | Comprehensive eating disorders programme; no group/family therapy |
| Norris (36)    | Canada; Inpatient, outpatient and daycare Retrospective cohort study | Adolescents | 14.8 (1.6)                  | 100 (20)    | 3/10                   | Sedation: 1 Stopped Ix: 2  | None          | Olanzapine 8.5 (NA) | 10                      | Comprehensive eating disorders programme; no group/family therapy |
| *Court (37)*   | Australia; Outpatient and Inpatient if needed during stay Open label RCT | Adolescents          | 14.8 (1.6)                  | 100 (20)    | 3/10                   | Sedation: 1 Stopped Ix: 2  | None          | Olanzapine 8.5 (NA) | 10                      | Comprehensive eating disorders programme; no group/family therapy |
| Leggero (38)   | Italy; Outpatient Perspective cohort study | Adolescents | 13.7 (2.5)                  | NA  Ix: 13 | NA                    | NA                          | NA          | Olanzapine 322.5 (NA) | 12                      | Antidepressants +/- benzodiazepine |
|                |                                  | Adolescents         | NA  Ix: 13 | NA                    | NA                          | NA          | Olanzapine 322.5 (NA) | 12                      | Antidepressants +/- benzodiazepine |

(Continued)
| Author | Setting | Study type | Adults/ | % of | Number of | Dropout in | Reasons for dropout | Adverse event | Intervention | Duration of intervention | Concurrent treatment |
|--------|---------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|        |         |            | Age mean (SD) | female | participants | intervention group | | | dosage mean (SD) | (weeks) | |
| *Bissada (39) | Canada; Daycare | RCT | Adults | Cx: 29.67 (11.58) | 100 | Cx: 18 (34) | 2/16 | Discontinued daycare: 1 Stopped Ix: 1 | None | Olanzapine 6.61 (2.32) | 10 | Comprehensive day programme including group therapy |
| Brambilla (25) | Italy; Outpatient | RCT | Adults | Cx: 26.3 (8.5) | 100 | Cx: 15 (30) | NA | NA | NA | Olanzapine 7.77 (1.07) | 12 | CBT |
| *Bosanac (40) | Australia; Inpatient/ outpatient | Open label trial | Adults | 33.25 (7.65) | 100 | Ix: 8 (8) | 3/8 | Own request: 1 Substance misuse: 2 | None | Quetiapine 520 (277.49) | 8 | Specialised and multidisciplinary treatment |
| *Powers (41) | US; Outpatient | Open label trial | Adults | 26.8 (11.2) | 94.7 (18) | Ix: 19 | 5/19 | Unknown: 1 Seek treatment elsewhere: 1 Weight gain: 1 Moved out of area: 1 Non-response: 1 | None severe | Quetiapine 150-300 (NA) | 10 | None |
| Mondraty (42) | Australia; Inpatient | RCT | Adults | NA | 25.3 (NA) | Ix: 8 | NA | NA | NA | Cx: Chlorpromazine Mean: (Unit: days) | Antidepressants (63% in Ix arm) |
| *Barbarich (43) | US; Inpatient & outpatient | Open label trial | Adults | 20.5 (5.1) | NA | Ix: 17 | 5/17 | NA | NA | Olanzapine 4.7 (1.6) | 6 | CBT and DBT mainly, with 1 participant being on antidepressants |
| Malina (44) | US; Inpatient | Retrospective cohort study | Adults | 22 (7) | NA | Ix: 18 | NA | NA | NA | Olanzapine 4.7 (2.4) | 17-20 | Antidepressants, benzodiazepine |
| *Powers (45) | US; Outpatient | Open label trial | Adults | 26.8 (12.3) | 88.9 (16) | 18 | 4/18 | Hospitalisation: 1 | NA | Olanzapine 10 (NA) | 10 | Psychotherapy for 1 participant and benzodiazepine for 2 participants |
| Ruggiero (46) | Italy; Inpatient | Single blind RCT | Adults | Cx-A: 23.69 (4.57) Cx-B: 24.5 (50.6) Ix: 24.33 (5.76) | NA | Cx-A: 13 Cx-B: 10 Ix: 12 | NA | NA | NA | Cx-A: Clomipramine 57.69 (25.79) Cx-B: Fluoxetine 28 (10.32) Ix: Amisulpride 50 (NA) | 12 | Weight gaining programme |

(Continued)
participants requiring hospitalization during the study. Adverse events were cited as a reason for dropouts in only 12.9% cases, with weight gain being mentioned once. There were, however, three incidences of suicidal ideations (4.8%) as a reason for dropout from one study (28).

**Outcome of Interest: Metabolic Effects**

All studies included in the systematic review provided some information for weight/BMI/ideal body weight. This information was, however, difficult to interpret due to heterogeneity between studies. This included different duration of intervention and measures of weight, with some focus on weight gain over time or changes in pre- and post-intervention BMI between groups. In addition, the handling of missing data analysis was often not discussed, leading it hard to summarize the results in a systematic manner. In brief, 6 studies reported a statistically difference between intervention and comparison groups in either weight/BMI increase over time (28–30, 33, 36, 47) whereas 9 studies concluded the opposite (25, 32, 34, 46, 47). Six studies focused on the intervention group only, with 4 reporting a statistically significant weight gain/BMI increase or a greater proportion reaching their ideal body weight (38, 40, 43, 45). One study did not observe any statistically significant change in weight between baseline and end of treatment (41) while one study did not report any significance testing (44).

For glycaemic control, seven studies reported their findings. One study reported a statistically significant increase in fasting glucose in the intervention group (olanzapine) between baseline and end of study (35) whereas one study reported that 4 participants reported hypoglycaemic events with olanzapine (42). For ECG results, four studies reported their findings. One study reported that QTc prolongation was observed in one participant from both intervention (olanzapine) and comparison groups (29) whereas another study reported that one participant developed borderline QTc prolongation with olanzapine (31).

For other routine metabolic effects, there was limited information, with some studies stating that no abnormalities were observed during routine laboratory investigation. In summary, 5 studies reported lipid profiles, 5 for liver function test, and 2 on prolactin. Most studies did not report any statistically significant difference between intervention and comparison groups. One study reported that 3 participants developed raised cholesterol and one participant developed raised low-density lipoprotein in the intervention group (olanzapine) during the study period (31). One study concluded that more participants in the intervention group (olanzapine) experienced clinically significant abnormalities in lipid profiles, liver function tests and prolactin than comparison group, but the differences did not reach statistical difference (29). Another study reported that there is statistically difference in prolactin level between intervention (risperidone) and comparison groups (34), while another study reported that two participants in one study developed raised liver enzymes with olanzapine (38).
Outcome of Interest: Patients Reported Physical Side Effects
Second-generation antipsychotics are associated with a range of physical side effects which may not be captured by biometric investigations. All studies included in the systematic review were examined for any description of patient reported physical side effects. In brief, 14/21 (67%) studies documented physical side effects or adverse events. There was no information on the duration of the side effects, except for two studies describing them as mainly transient (37, 41).

In the intervention group, the most common side effect was sedation which was reported in 12 out of the 21 studies (57%). This was followed by dizziness (n = 7 studies, 33%), headache (n = 7, 33%), gastrointestinal problems (n = 6, 29%), insomnia (n = 5, 24%), fatigue (n = 4, 19%), muscular problems (n = 4, 19%). A few studies also reported dry mouth (n = 3, 14%), blurred vision (n = 2, 10%), poor concentration (n = 2, 10%), agitation (n = 2, 10%), respiratory problems (n = 2, 10%), lower extremity oedema (n = 2, 10%), difficulty in staying still (n = 1, 5%), paraesthesia (n = 1, 5%), and dental problems (n = 1, 5%). Of interest, participants who received placebo also reported physical side effects (n = 3, 14%) (28, 29, 34). These included troubles concentrating, subjective restlessness, agitation, sleep problems, headache, constipation, dizziness, muscle stiffness, somnolence, dry mouth, fatigue, dizziness, gastrointestinal complaints, and headache.

Risk of Bias and Strength of Evidence Quality
For randomized trials, two studies did not blind participants and personal adequately (37, 42). For non-randomized trial, six studies did not have a comparison group as they were observation studies (25, 38, 40, 44, 45). Other sources of bias were which could potentially threaten the external and internal validity of the findings include heterogeneous demographics across groups during baseline, a short duration of treatment, wide range of medication dosage, or confounding variables such as concurrent treatment. In addition, sample sizes of each individual studies were generally small and of the 21 studies included in the systematic review, only 10 studies reported appropriate dropout rates for the meta-analysis, further reducing the sample sizes. The challenges of small sample size were further compounded by the significant dropout observed and only missing data analysis was often not clearly detailed. The overall risk of bias was therefore low to medium (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION
To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis of dropout associated with second-generation antipsychotics in people with AN. Our finding of 28% dropout rate (95% CI: 19 to 38%) from psychopharmacological trial is low when compared to 48.1% reported in a meta-analysis of second-generation antipsychotics in people with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (48). Our dropout rate is, however, high when compared to psychotherapy. Meta-analyses have reported dropout rates of 24% for cognitive behaviour therapy in people eating disorders (49), 18.7% for interpersonal therapy in eating disorders (50) and 19.9% for individual psychotherapy in unipolar depression (51). Of interest, personal choices and factors associated with individual trials are the main reasons for dropout, not metabolic effects such as weight gain as initially hypothesised. Thus, our findings prompt the need to consider how specific patient and study characteristics may influence dropout rates in psychopharmacological trials in people with AN.

It is important to interpret our findings in the context of difficulties of recruiting and retaining participants with AN in psychopharmacological studies. For example, in a study of quetiapine, only 10.4% of the adult patients (21/217) consented to treatment (32). A study in adolescents examining risperidone was ended after 4 years due to slow recruitment and exhausted funding (34). Thus, the extent of generalizability of our findings to those who declined to participate is at present unclear.

Limitations
A major source of heterogeneity is study design, given only 11 out of the 21 studies included in the systematic review were RCT in design. Another source of heterogeneity is concurrent treatment and study setting. The regimen of the second-generation antipsychotics also varies substantially between and within studies. The dosage is often flexible and individual-tailored within studies. A major limitation of our review is that most studies included in the systematic review (67%) and meta-analysis (80%) were from USA/Canada, rendering it difficult to generalize our findings. In addition, most studies were small in size. Another major limitation of our review is that most studies included were small in size. This is further compounded by the significant proportion of participants who did not complete a study. Thus, adopting the “intention-to-treat” in data analysis according to the treatment groups being assigned at randomization, with appropriate handling of missing data is important. In addition, the long-term metabolic effects of second-generation antipsychotics in people with AN is currently unclear, as only two studies discussed follow-up beyond 3 months (37, 38).

Clinical Implications
Recruitment and retention rates are a major challenge for psychopharmacology studies in AN. To encourage patients to consider second-generation antipsychotics as part of their treatment plan, it is important to explain the potential side effects experienced and stress the transient nature. Given that adverse events such as suicidal ideation were observed (28), it is important that patients are reviewed after being commenced on second-generation antipsychotics and address any side effects experienced promptly. Including family members in discussions about treatment and potential risks can allow for a more balanced appraisal of possible costs and benefits.
CONCLUSION

Our study echoes the need to conduct high-quality psychopharmacological studies in people with AN. There are severe major limitations in the quality of the studies included in our meta-analysis. Future psychopharmacological studies for AN need to be large-scale and involve multiple centres while including qualitative feedback from patients and patient-related outcomes to address these limitations. Involving patients and their families in the co-design process will potentially lead to better engagement and lower dropout rates. Overall, patient-related factors may explain drop-out rates in psychopharmacological trials even better than drug-related factors and side effects.
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APPENDIX 1  EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF KEYWORDS FOR SEARCH

1. ‘anorexi*’ OR ‘anorexia nervosa’
2. ‘atypical antipsychotic’ OR ‘atypical neuroleptic’ OR ‘second generation antipsychotic’ OR ‘second generation neuroleptic’ OR amisulpride OR aripiprazole OR asenapine OR brexpiprazole OR cariprazine OR clozapine OR lurasidone OR ‘lurasidone hydrochloride’ OR olanzapine OR paliperidone OR ‘paliperidone palmitate’ OR primavanserin OR quetiapine OR ‘quetiapine fumarate’ OR remoxipride OR risperidone OR sertindole OR ziprasidone.
3. metaboli* OR BMI OR ‘body mass index’ OR obesity OR hba1c OR ‘blood pressure’ OR hypertension OR cholesterol OR QTc OR prolactin OR hyperglycemi* OR diabetes OR ‘weight gain’ OR ‘weight increase’ OR ‘metabolic side effects of drugs and substances’ OR ‘metabolic diseases’ OR ‘metabolic syndrome’ OR ‘weight gain — drug effects’ OR ‘metabolic networks and pathways — drug effects’ OR ‘body weight — drug effects
4. 1 AND 2 AND 3
5. Limit 4 to humans + English language