OsMKK3, a Stress-Responsive Protein Kinase, Positively Regulates Rice Resistance to Nilaparvata lugens via Phytohormone Dynamics
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Abstract: Plants undergo several but very precise molecular, physiological, and biochemical modulations in response to biotic stresses. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades orchestrate multiple cellular processes including plant growth and development as well as plant responses against abiotic and biotic stresses. However, the role of MAPK kinases (MAPKs/MKks/MEks) in the regulation of plant resistance to herbivores has not been extensively investigated. Here, we cloned a rice MKK gene, OsMKK3, and investigated its function. It was observed that mechanical wounding, infestation of brown planthopper (BPH) Nilaparvata lugens, and treatment with methyl jasmonate (MeJA) or salicylic acid (SA) could induce the expression of OsMKK3. The over-expression of OsMKK3 (oe-MKK3) increased levels of jasmonic acid (JA), jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine (JA-Ile), and abscisic acid (ABA), and decreased SA levels in rice after BPH attack. Additionally, the preference for feeding and oviposition, the hatching rate of BPH eggs, and BPH nymph survival rate were significantly compromised due to over-expression of OsMKK3. Besides, oe-MKK3 also augmented chlorophyll content but impaired plant growth. We confirm that MKK3 plays a pivotal role in the signaling pathway. It is proposed that OsMKK3 mediated positive regulation of rice resistance to BPH by means of herbivory-induced phytohormone dynamics.
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1. Introduction

In natural ecosystems, plants frequently experience herbivore attacks. Plants possess complicated defense systems to respond against insect attack and protect themselves. These defense strategies include physical barriers, metabolic adjustments, signaling cascades, and expression of different herbivory-associated genes [1–5]. The process of plant defense involves perception of herbivores, signal transduction, reconfiguration of metabolism, and changes in phenology [6]. During defense, phytohormone-mediated signaling (e.g., with jasmonic acid (JA), salicylic acid (SA), ethylene (ET), and abscisic acid (ABA)) is critically important for increasing resistance to herbivores [7–14]. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades act upstream of hormone-mediated signaling and, therefore, pivotal in plant defense responses to herbivores [4,6,15].

MAPks comprise 11 (I–XI) domains that have also been recorded in all serine/threonine protein kinases [16]. Phosphorylation of Thr and Tyr residues in the TxY motif of the activation loop (T-loop)
activates MAPKs. This activation takes place by means of MAPK kinases (MAPKKs/MKKs/MEKs) which are also activated by MAPKK kinases (MAPKKKs/MKKKs/MEKKs) by phosphorylation of conserved Ser and/or Thr residues in their T-loop [17]. Activation of MAPK cascades results in the activation of transcription factors and enzymes, subsequently inducing downstream signaling to tackle herbivore attacks [4,15,18–21]. In Nicotiana attenuata, oral secretions (OS) of Manduca sexta rapidly induced expression of NaSIPK and NaWIPK and elicited JA, jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine (JA-Ile), SA, and ET biosynthesis [22]. A transgenic approach plays a key role in regulation of environmental stress tolerance; for example, overexpression of OsRab7 enhanced the rice resistance to drought and heat stress [23]. Similarly, silencing of NaMPK4 enhanced the plant resistance to M. sexta through a JA-independent defense pathway in N. attenuate [24]. Transgenic Nicotiana tabacum ectopically expressing AhMPK3 increased plant resistance to Spodoptera litura [25]. Damage by Nezara viridula in Glycine max induced the expression of different protein kinases such as GmMPKK1, GmMPK3, and GmMPK6 [26]. Similar MAPKs have also been recorded in Cicer arietinum infested by Helicoverpa armigera, e.g., CaMAPK2, CaMAPK3, CaMAPK5, CaMAPK7, and CaMAPK8 [27]. In Oryza sativa, OsMPK3 and OsMPK4 were induced by Chilo suppressalis and positively regulated rice resistance by modulating JA signaling [28,29]. Brown planthoppers (BPHs) mediated enhancements in transcriptional levels of OsMPK20-5, which negatively regulated the rice resistance to BPH by modulating ethylene and nitric oxide accumulation [30]. Though the role of MAPKs in herbivore-induced plant defense has been examined extensively, we face a paucity of data with respect to the function of MKKs in the defense against herbivores.

OsMKK3 is an ortholog of AtMKK3 [31]. In Arabidopsis thaliana, MKK3 is involved in defense against pathogens, JA signal transduction, and ABA and auxin responses [32–36]. In Xanthomonas oryzae-infested rice plants, OsMKK3 phosphorylated OsMPK7 and activated OsWRKY30. Furthermore, overexpression of OsMKK3 enhanced disease resistance and up-regulated genes related to pathogenesis, the cell wall, and metabolism [37]. Although MKK3 has been functionally characterized and evaluated against microbial attack, the impact of MKK3 in mediating plant defense responses to herbivores needs to be explored.

Rice (O. sativa) crop is severely damaged by various insect pests. Among them, brown planthoppers (BPHs, Nilaparvata lugens) destroy rice crops by phloem sucking and cause heavy losses in growth and productivity [38]. It is on record that BPH infestation in O. sativa induced the biosynthesis of JA, JA-Ile, SA, ABA, ET, and H2O2, which regulate the plant defense response to herbivores [12,13,39–44]. We isolated and evaluated a rice MKK3 gene, OsMKK3, for its probable role in rice against BPH attack. It was confirmed that OsMKK3 was induced by mechanical damage and BPH infestation. Moreover, overexpression of OsMKK3 enhanced rice resistance to BPH through increased JA, JA-Ile, and ABA levels as well as decreased SA levels. Taken together, our data suggest that OsMKK3 plays a positive role of rice immune responses elicited by BPH.

2. Results

2.1. Expression Profiles of OsMKK3

qRT-PCR analysis revealed significant differences in expression levels of OsMKK3 in response to mechanical wounding, BPH infestation, and MeJA and SA treatments. All these treatments induced OsMKK3 expression to different extents (Figure 1). Mechanical wounding and SA treatments rapidly induced the expression of OsMKK3 to a maximum at 6 hours post treatment (hpt) and 4 hpt, respectively (Figure 1A,D). However, with gravid BPH females and MeJA treatments the expression of this gene was elicited at a later time, reaching a peak at 48 hpt (Figure 1B,C). These data demonstrated that OsMKK3 might regulate rice defense in the case of BPH infestation.
Figure 1. OsMKK3 expression levels that were elicited by multiple treatments in wild-type rice. OsMKK3 expression levels (mean ± SE, n = 6) in rice sheaths treated with mechanical wounding (Wound) (A), brown planthopper (BPH) infestation (B), methyl jasmonate (MeJA) (C), and salicylic acid (SA) (D) were analyzed by qRT-PCR. Con, non-treated plant, BUF, plant which absorbs buffer as mock. *(asterisk) show significant differences among control and treatments (*, p < 0.05; and **, p < 0.01, Student’s t-tests).

2.2. OsMKK3 Negatively Regulates Rice Growth but Enhances Resistance to Wounding

The differences recorded in relative expression level of OsMKK3 against JA, SA, wounding, and BPH treatments prompted us to thoroughly investigate its role in rice defense. Therefore, we obtained transgenic lines with over-expressed OsMKK3 and screened two T2 homozygous lines (OE3-26, 47) containing a single insertion through southern blot (Figure 2A). The transcription analysis confirmed the overexpression effect of OsMKK3 in transgenic lines which over-expressed OsMKK3 (oe-MKK3), exhibiting 12.9- and 16.6-fold higher expression levels of OsMKK3 in the OE3-26 and OE3-47 lines, respectively, in comparison with wild-type plants (WILD) without wounding treatment, and 17.6-fold and 16.6-fold higher expression levels of OsMKK3 in the OE3-26 and OE3-47 lines respectively comparing to WILD at 8 h post wounding (Figure 2B). Therefore, these two lines were selected and investigated for further research. The phenotype of wild-type plants and transgenic lines over-expressing OsMKK3 displayed clear differences in growth parameters. Growth was significantly retarded in transgenic plants overexpressing OsMKK3, especially at the late growth stage, but the negative regulation of plant growth was mild. To be specific, OE3-26 and OE3-47 exhibited 2.6% and 3.5% reductions in plant height as well as 4% and 4.2% reductions in root length, respectively, in comparison to WILD at 30 days. (Figure 3A,B,A1–C1). Contrary to the phenotype, the chlorophyll content of two transgenic lines increased in comparison with wild-type plants (Figure 3C).
2.3. OsMKK3 Positively Mediates Rice Defense to BPH

As mentioned earlier, we recorded an abundance of OsMKK3 transcripts after BPH infestation; this instigated us to validate whether overexpression of OsMKK3 could influence the defense of transgenic
rice plants under BPH attack. As shown in Figure 4A–D, we found that gravid BPH females had a preference for feeding and oviposition on wild-type plants (WILD) as compared with transgenic lines (OE3-26, 47). To be specific, OE3-26 showed a decrease in egg percentage by 7% compared with WILD but had no obvious effect on BPH feeding. In contrast, OE3-47 exhibited no significant reduction in BPH oviposition but decreased BPH feeding comparing with WILD. On the other hand, BPH preference of OE3-26 and OE3-47 resulted in a significantly reduced hatching rate of eggs, i.e., 35% and 27%, respectively, compared with WILD (Figure 4F). Additionally, BPH nymph survival rates on oe-MKK3 were decreased by about 10% in comparison with WILD, demonstrating that over-accumulation of OsMKK3 enhanced rice resistance to BPH (Figure 4E).

Figure 4. OsMKK3 positively mediates rice defense to BPH. (A,C) Number of gravid BPH females per plant (mean ± SE, n = 10) on pairs of plants (wild-type plants (WILD) versus mutant lines (OE3-26 and OE3-47 line)); (B,D) BPH egg percentage per plant (mean ± SE, n = 10) on pairs of plants (WILD versus OE3-26 or WILD versus OE3-47) after BPH infestation for 72 h. (E) BPH nymph survival rate (mean ± SE, n = 10) on WILD, OE3-26, and OE3-47 lines; (F) BPH hatching rate (mean ± SE, n = 15) on WILD, OE3-26, and OE3-47 lines. * (asterisk) shows significant differences among WILD and oe-MKK3 (*, p < 0.05; and **, p < 0.01, Student’s t-tests); different letters represent significant differences among WILD and oe-MKK3. (Duncan’s multiple range test, p < 0.05).
2.4. Overexpressed OsMKK3 Alters the Accumulation of JA, JA-Ile, ABA, and SA but Not Ethylene and H$_2$O$_2$ in Response to BPH Infestation

Numerous reports have suggested that JA, JA-Ile, ABA, SA, ethylene, and H$_2$O$_2$ play vital roles in rice resistance to BPH [12,13,39–48]. Therefore, we targeted phytohormone pathways to determine whether the enhanced resistance to BPH in two OsMKK3-overexpressing lines related with them or not. We examined elicitation of JA and JA-Ile by gravid BPH females in both transgenic lines (OE3-26, 47) and wild-type plants (WILD). Under BPH infestation, the levels of these two signals were much higher in oe-MKK3 lines than WILD. At 8 hours post BPH infestation (hpi), the JA levels exhibited remarkable differences between oe-MKK3 and WILD; meanwhile, the significant difference for JA-Ile lasted from 8 hpi to 48 hpi. The levels of these two signals showed the most remarkable difference at 8 hpi; to be specific, OE3-26 and OE3-47 exhibited 2.6- and 1.9-fold higher levels of JA as well as 3.3- and 2.6-fold higher levels of JA-Ile, respectively, in comparison with WILD at 8 hpi (Figure 5A,B). Moreover, just like JA and JA-Ile, an obvious high induction amplitude was observed for ABA levels following BPH attack in transgenic lines at 48 hpi, exhibiting 1.3- and 1.4-fold higher levels of ABA in OE3-26 and OE3-47 separately compared with WILD (Figure 5C). On the contrary, the SA levels in transgenic plants decreased slightly at 3 h, 12 h, and 24 h after infestation compared with wild-type plants; moreover, the accumulation of SA in OE3-26 and OE3-47 decreased 12.6% and 14.6%, 9.9% and 17.7%, and 3% and 17.7% at 3 hpi, 12 hpi, and 24 hpi, respectively. However, just the SA level in OE3-47 declined significantly. (Figure 5D). Although BPH induced ethylene and H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation, the difference was non-significant among transgenic and wild-type plants (Figure 5E,F). These results imply that the OsMKK3 overexpression mediating rice defense against BPH infestation was JA-, JA-Ile-, and ABA signal pathway-dependent. It was confirmed that overexpression of OsMKK3 significantly increased their biosynthesis and decreased SA production, but did not influence the accumulation of ethylene and H$_2$O$_2$ in BPH-infested plants.

![Figure 5. OsMKK3 mediates the levels of jasmonic acid (JA), jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine (JA-Ile), abscisic acid (ABA), and salicylic acid (SA), but not ethylene and H$_2$O$_2$ in response to BPH. Levels (mean ± SE, n = 6) of JA (A), JA-Ile (B), ABA (C), SA (D), ethylene (E), and H$_2$O$_2$ (F) in overexpressed lines (OE3-26, 47) and wild-type plants (WILD) after infestation of 15 gravid BPH females. Different letters refer to significant differences among WILD, OE3-26, and OE3-47. (Duncan's multiple range test, p < 0.05).](image-url)
which participated in ABA signaling through the MKK3-MPK1 cascade, which are triggered by herbivores and pathogens in plants. ABA treatment activated MAPKKK17 in Arabidopsis (Figure 1). This analysis provides evidence for a significant involvement of OsMKK3 in biotic- and abiotic stress-induced defense.

3. Discussion

In this study, BPH-induced overexpression of OsMKK3 increased the rice resistance to BPH (Figure 4) by enhancing the accumulation of BPH-induced JA, JA-Ile, and ABA but weakening the level of SA content of chlorophyll (Figure 3). These findings indicated positive regulation of rice resistance to BPH by OsMKK3 but negatively regulated plant growth.

Like other MAPKs, MKK3 participates in various plant responses. In Arabidopsis, AtMKK3 could be induced by treatments of JA, MeJA, wounding, cold, NaCl, blue light, and ABA as well as by pathogen infestation [32,34,35,49–52]. Similarly, in rice X. oryzae infestation and H2O2 production resulted in strong induction of OsMKK3; however, the expression of OsMKK3 was induced slightly at 2 h post SA treatment [37]. The present study confirms the earlier reports. Our results demonstrated that BPH infestation, mechanical wounding, and treatment with MeJA and SA could elicit the expression of OsMKK3 (Figure 1). This analysis provides evidence for a significant involvement of OsMKK3 in biotic- and abiotic stress-induced defense.

In the light of our results, it is worth discussing the interesting facts revealed by other authors. In line with previous studies, we confirmed that MKK3 plays a pivotal role in signaling pathways. For instance, in Arabidopsis, JA activated the MKK3-MPK6 cascade, which negatively regulated MYC and positively regulated the expression of PDF1.2 but not ERF1. Furthermore, interestingly, this cascade did not affect ethylene accumulation [32]. Likewise, in the necrotrophic pathogen infestation of Arabidopsis, activated MKK3 triggered JA accumulation that led to activation of defense responses [50]. A similar pattern of results was obtained in an Arabidopsis-activated MKK3–MPK6 cascade, showing a crucial role in JA signaling for enhancing the resistance to Salmonella [53]. These facts represent interconnected elements of plant defenses i.e., protein kinases and phytohormones. Our findings regarding JA and JA-Ile involvement (increased levels) and unaffected ET levels are supported by this evidence. Uninfluenced H2O2 has also been observed in OsMKK3 overexpression lines during pathogen infestation. Here, the discrepancy of H2O2 may result from different defensive mechanisms which are triggered by herbivores and pathogens in plants. ABA treatment activated MAPKKK17/18, which participated in ABA signaling through the MKK3-MPK1/2/7/14 cascade [35,54,55]. In addition to the MKK3–MPK7 cascade response to H2O2 in Arabidopsis [34], OsMKK3 interacted with OsMPK7 in response to H2O2 and positively regulated resistance to X. oryzae in rice [37]. This points out that instead of signaling interaction with phytohormones only, protein kinases can also interact with...
each other to confer a robust defense response. Such an interaction may require other molecules e.g., H$_2$O$_2$, as a trigger. Accumulated JA, JA-Ile and ABA in oe-MKK3 plants is in accordance with the function of MKK3 in _A. thalianas_, which activates its downstream MAPKs (MPK1/2/6/7/14) to regulate this signaling. Numerous studies have reported that this accumulation of JA and ABA is supported by the fact that JA and ABA interact synergistically, whereas they have antagonistic interactions with SA in plant defense [7,10,56]. Numerous studies have revealed that some MAPKs acted as negative regulators in SA signaling in plant defense; for example, in _Arabidopsis_, AtMPK3 and AtMPK4 negatively regulated flg22-induced SA accumulation [57], and in _N. tabacum_, NtMPK3 and NtMPK6 suppressed wound-induced SA accumulation [58]. On the contrary, several MAPKs positively regulate SA signaling; for instance, overexpression of OsMPK6 increased _X. oryzae_-induced SA accumulation and overexpression of OsMPK4 elevated _C. suppressalis_-induced SA levels in rice [29,59]. Therefore we attribute slight decrease in SA among overexpression OsMKK3 plants to the negative regulation of SA via its downstream MAPKs or the antagonistic interaction between JA and SA. Additionally, our results indicated that SA elicited the expression of OsMKK3 which was a negative regulator of SA. Similarly, AtMPK3 could be induced by SA but negatively regulated SA accumulation in _Arabidopsis_ [57,60].

We speculate OsMKK3 might have a negative feedback to SA via its downstream MAPKs. JA and ABA signaling pathways positively mediate the rice resistance to BPH whereas SA signaling pathway is opposite [39,45,46,61–64]. Guo et al. and Liu et al. explained that exogenous MeJA decreased BPH survival rate in rice and exogenous ABA hampered BPH feeding and fecundity but enhanced rice resistance through increased calllose deposition [45,46]. Therefore, overexpression of OsMKK3 enhanced the rice resistance to BPH may result from the increased biosynthesis of JA, JA-Ile as well as ABA and decreased SA. However, some studies report that SA signaling pathway is a positive regulator, while the JA signaling pathway is a negative regulator in rice resistance to BPH [42–44,47,65,66]. This conflict may be due to the fact that when plants are attacked by BPH, they will alter signal molecules differentially according to different conditions. Additionally, OsMKK3 plays a crucial role in the defense of plants to biotic stress. Overexpression of OsMKK3 enhanced rice resistance to _X. oryzae_ through the OsMKK3–OsMPK7–OsWRKY30 pathway, which induced up-regulated genes related with pathogenesis, maintenance of the cell wall, and metabolism [37]. Moreover, for other MAPKs it has been reported that they participate in herbivore-induced defense. For example, OsMPK3 and OsMPK4 positively regulated OsMKK3 induced rice resistance to _C. suppressalis_ by JA and trypsin proteinase inhibitors, while OsMPK20-5 negatively regulated rice resistance to BPH by ethylene and NO [28–30]. _NaWIPK_ and _NaSIPK_ act as positive regulators of _M. sexta_-induced expression levels of _NaWRKY6_ and CDPKs as well as accumulation of phytohormones (JA, SA) and defense metabolites (nicotine, trypsin proteinase inhibitors, caffeoylputrescine, and volatiles) [22,67]. Taken together, we speculate that when rice is attacked by BPH, OsMKK3 may activate downstream MAPKs and WRKYs, causing accumulation of phytohormones and defense metabolites to enhance rice resistance to BPH. However, the mechanistic details about how OsMKK3 influenced signaling pathways in resisting BPH are largely unknown and should be studied further.

Despite the positive regulation of rice defense by OsMKK3, we noticed its involvement in plant growth and chlorophyll content in this study. Overexpression of OsMKK3 impaired plant growth compared with wild-type plants, i.e., shortened plant height and root length, and increased chlorophyll content (Figure 3). Such growth retardation in mutants is in line with previous reports. For example, MKK3 negatively influenced plant growth which indicated that MKK3 mediated overexpression AtMAPK17/18 attributing impaired _Arabidopsis_ growth such as decreased plant weight and rosette diameter [54,55]. Meanwhile, Enders indicated that the MKK3–MPK1 cascade pathway has a negative regulation on auxin-dependent cell growth in _Arabidopsis_ [36]. Additionally, other MAPKs are also involved in auxin signaling. For example, _AtMPK3_, _AtMPK6_, and _AtMPK12_ act as negative regulators in auxin signaling [68,69]. As we know, auxin plays a vital role in plant growth and development, so we attribute the growth retardation in oe-MKK3 to repressed auxin signaling. However, our observation regarding increased chlorophyll content is contrary to the available information. A comparison of
results shows that overexpression of \textit{AtMAPKKK18} activated MKK3 to lower the chlorophyll content in \textit{Arabidopsis} [54]. A difference between these results can be attributable to dissimilar effect of MAPK cascades in different plant species.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth

Seeds of wild-type rice (Xiushui 11, WILD) and two overexpressed OsMKK3 transgenic lines (oe-MKK3, OE3-26, and OE3-47) were pre-germinated and grown in an incubator at 28 ± 2 °C with a 14-h light period. Seedlings (10 days) were shifted to hydroponic boxes (20 L) containing nutrient media and placed in growth room (28 ± 2 °C, 14-h light phase, 55–65% relative humidity). After 25–30 days, seedlings of good health and with consistent growth were transplanted in 300-mL hydroponic jars with nutrient solution. Four days later, plants were used for experiments.

4.2. Insects

A BPH colony was collected from rice fields in Zhejiang province and reared on BPH-susceptible rice variety TN1 under favorable conditions (28 ± 2 °C, 14-h light phase, 55–65% RH).

4.3. Isolation and Characterization of OsMKK3 cDNA

PCR was used to amplify the cDNA of OsMKK3. The forward and reverse primers MKK3-F (5′-AGGTCCGCCCTATCCAGC-3′) and MKK3-R (5′-TAACTGCAAACAAAGCAATCCCTAG-3′) were designed according to the OsMKK3 (Os06g27890) sequence. In pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), PCR products were cloned and sequenced.

4.4. Generation of Transgenic Plants

To prepare an overexpression construct, OsMKK3 full-length cDNA was cloned with pCAMBIA-1301 vector. Rice variety Xiushui 11 was transformed with this vector by using \textit{Agrobacterium}. Using GUS staining and southern blot, homozygous T2 transgenic plants were screened and confirmed [40]. T2 homozygous lines i.e., OE3-26, OE3-47, were screened and adopted for further experimentation.

4.5. Plant Treatments

For mechanical injury, 2-cm-long basal portions of leaf sheaths were separately wounded for 200 pricks with a needle. Non-wounded plants were considered control for comparisons (Con). For recording responses to BPH attack, each plant covered with a glass cylinder was individually attacked with 15 gravid female BPHs. Non-infested plants were considered as the control for comparisons (Con). For the treatment of methyl jasmonate (MeJA) and SA, each plant absorbed the nutrient solution which was mixed with the ethyl alcohol (70%), dissolving MeJA (100 µM) and SA (100 µM), respectively. Plants supplied with ethyl alcohol (70%) only were used as controls (BUF). The experiment was performed with six replications.

4.6. qRT-PCR

The SV Total RNA Isolation System was used to isolate plant total RNA, with subsequent reverse transcription to cDNA by CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). We performed qRT-PCR for OsMKK3 (Os06g27890) together with an internal standard Os\textit{ACTIN} (Os03g50885) for normalizing concentrations of all the sample cDNA. Details on OsMKK3 and Os\textit{ACTIN} primers and probes are provided in Table 1.
Table 1. qRT-PCR primers and probes.

| GENE | LOCUS | F-PRIMER (5′-3′) | R-PRIMER (5′-3′) | PROBE                      |
|------|-------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| OsACTIN | Os03g50885 | TGAACAGCTTAGTACACCAATGGTT | CCGCAAGCTTTGCTCTCTATG | HEX-CGTTTCCGCTGCCCTGAGGTCC-BHQ1 |
| OsMKK3  | Os09g27990 | TTTAGTTGAATTCCAGGGTGCAAAGGACCCACCATCCATGTATTFAM-TTCTGGACAAATAAGCATCGCCCT-BHQ2 |

4.7. Measurement of Plant Growth Parameters

The plant height and root length were measured with the help of a ruler. Plant height and root length of transgenic plants (OE3-26, OE3-47) and wild-type plants (WILD) were measured at 0, 15 and 30 days (d) after transfer to hydroponic boxes. For the determination of chlorophyll content, 30-days-old three-identical-position leaves on each plant were selected. Chlorophyll was measured by the chlorophyll meter SPAD-502 Plus. Thirty replications of each rice line at each growth stage were performed for this experiment.

4.8. BPH Performance Measurement

To observe the impact of overexpressing OsMKK3 on BPH preference for feeding and oviposition, individual plants (OE3-26 or OE3-47, versus WILD) were confined in a glass jar. Gravid females BPH (15) were released in every jar and number of females BPH was recorded on every plant after 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h. After 72 h of female BPH infestation, every plant was dissected and observed under microscope for recording the number of eggs. Data were collected in 10 replicates.

Survival rates and developmental duration were recorded to assess impact of transgenic plants on BPH nymphs. Fifteen BPH nymphs (newly hatched) were released on each plant (WILD, OE3-26 and OE3-47) confined with a glass cylinder. Until their final emergence as adults, all the surviving nymphs were counted and recorded each day. Post-emergence, the BPH nymph survival rate and developmental duration were calculated for each plant. The experiment of each rice line was replicated 10 times.

To compare the difference of the hatching rate of BPH eggs between transgenic plants and wild-type plants, 10 gravid female BPHs were allowed to lay eggs on each plant for 24 h. The numbers of BPH nymphs (newly-hatched) on transgenic plants (OE3-26, OE3-47) and wild-type plants (WILD) were noted until the total absence of nymphs. Plant was dissected under microscope and the number of unhatched eggs was recorded to evaluate the hatching rate. The experiments for each rice line were replicated 15 times.

4.9. JA, JA-Ile, ABA, and SA Analysis

Every plant was infested by 15 gravid BPH females confined in a covered glass jar. We harvested the sheaths of non-infested plants as 0 hpi. The sheaths were collected at 3, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hpi. After grinding the samples in liquid nitrogen, we extracted the JA, JA-Ile, and ABA as well as SA of samples by ethyl acetate, which contained their labelled internal standards, through vortex, centrifugation, and concentration. Then we analyzed their contents by an HPLC-MS-MS according to Lu et al. [70]. Six replications of each rice line at each time point were performed for this experiment.

4.10. Ethylene Analysis

Every plant with a closed glass jar received 15 gravid BPH females. The production of ethylene was measured at 24, 48, and 72 hpi by GC-MS based on the method of Lu et al. [71]. Ten replications of each rice line at each time point were performed for this experiment.

4.11. Hydrogen Peroxide Analysis

Every plant was infested by 15 gravid BPH females covered with a glass cylinder. We harvested the sheaths of non-infested and infested plants at 0 hpi. At 8, 12, and 24 hpi, we ground the sheaths in liquid nitrogen and extracted the H₂O₂ of samples by cold water through vortex and centrifugation. Then, we determined H₂O₂ concentrations of all the samples by the Amplex® Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase
Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). A standard curve (0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 nmol/mL) was used to normalize the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration of every sample. Six replications of each rice line at each time point were performed for this experiment.

4.12. Data Analysis

We analyzed the differences with respect to OsMKK3 expression level, plant height, root length, chlorophyll, and BPH hatching rate as well as the level of phytohormones and H$_2$O$_2$ between oe-MKK3 and wild-type plants by one-way ANOVA with PASW statistics software. Meanwhile, we also analyzed the differences in means of OsMKK3 expression levels between controls and treated plants by Student’s $t$-test with PASW statistics software.

5. Conclusions

We conclude that OsMKK3 is a positive regulator of BPH-induced rice defense through the JA, ABA, and SA signaling pathways, whereas it is a negative regulator of rice growth. This provides new evidence for the crucial role of MAPKs in plant defense against herbivores as well as a theoretical basis for controlling pests in the fields.
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