Protective Effect of Maternal First-Trimester Low Body Mass Index Against Macrosomia: A 10-Year Cross-Sectional Study
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Objective: We aimed to assess whether maternal first-trimester low body mass index (BMI) has a protective effect against macrosomia.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study from January 1, 2011, to June 30, 2021, and 84,900 participants were included. The predictive performance of maternal first-trimester and parental pre-pregnancy BMI for macrosomia was assessed using the area under the receiver-operating characteristics curve (AUC). Multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate the independent effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia. Interactions were investigated to evaluate the potential variation of the effect of first-trimester low BMI across different groups. Furthermore, interactions were also examined across groups determined by multiple factors jointly: a) gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)/GDM history status, parity, and maternal age; and b) GDM/GDM history status, fetal sex, and season of delivery.

Results: The proportion of macrosomia was 6.14% (5,215 of 84,900). Maternal first-trimester BMI showed the best discrimination of macrosomia (all Delong tests: \( P < 0.001 \)). The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia remained significant after adjusting for all confounders of this study [adjusted odds ratios (aOR) = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.32–0.43]. Maternal first-trimester low BMI was inversely associated with macrosomia, irrespective of parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status. The protective effect was most pronounced among pregnant women without GDM/GDM history aged 25 to 29 years old, irrespective of parity (multipara: aOR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.22–0.47; nullipara: aOR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.24–0.43). In multipara with GDM/GDM history, the protective effect of low BMI was only observed in the 30- to 34-year-old group (aOR = 0.12, 95% CI: 0.02–0.86). For pregnant women without GDM/GDM history, the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the weakest in infants born in winter, irrespective of fetal sex (female: aOR = 0.45, 95% CI: 0.29–0.69; male: aOR = 0.39, 95% CI: 0.28–0.55).
Conclusion: Maternal first-trimester low BMI was inversely associated with macrosomia, and the protective effect was most pronounced among 25- to 29-year-old pregnant women without GDM/GDM history and was only found among 30- to 34-year-old multipara with GDM/GDM history. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the weakest in winter among mothers without GDM/GDM history.

Keywords: macrosomia, body mass index, gestational diabetes mellitus, parity, maternal age, fetal sex, season of delivery

INTRODUCTION

Fetal macrosomia is defined as a birth weight of more than 4,000 g regardless of gestational age (1). Macrosomia is an important risk factor for fetal fracture, perinatal asphyxia, cerebral hemorrhage, shoulder dystocia, and even death and is associated with long-term adverse outcomes such as obesity and cardiovascular and metabolic complications in the long run (1–3). Besides, macrosomia can also cause a number of adverse maternal health issues, such as cesarean delivery, perineal lacerations, postpartum hemorrhage, and diabetes or gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (1, 4). The incidence of macrosomia has been reported between 7.8% (5) and 15.1% (6) in developed countries and 7.0% in China (6). Given the immense burden of macrosomia on women and their offspring, thoroughly exploring the factors of macrosomia is of paramount importance for the development of primary preventive strategies.

There has been evidence on the association between pre-pregnancy overweight/obesity and macrosomia (7, 8). A previous study of 19,622 singleton pregnancies suggested that maternal GDM status increased the risk of macrosomia independently and synergistically with pre-pregnancy overweight (9). Despite widespread screening and management of GDM, the prevalence of macrosomia remains relatively high among pregnant women without GDM, which indicates that some other factors may also influence the incidence of macrosomia (10). It is reported that advanced maternal age (11), male fetuses (12), higher parity (13), and certain season of delivery (14) were also associated with increased odds for fetal macrosomia.

Whether pre-pregnancy low body mass index (BMI) also influence the risk of macrosomia is inconclusive until recently (15, 16). No study has reported on the effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia stratified by all the above risk factors. We speculated that the impact of maternal first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia may differ by these maternal factors. The aim, therefore, of this study was to determine the relationship between maternal first-trimester low BMI and macrosomia, stratifying by parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Data Sources

Pregnant women (n = 92,406) were initially recruited to this study during their first prenatal visit in Beijing Obstetrics & Gynecology Hospital, Maternal & Child Health Centre, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, from January 1, 2011, to June 30, 2021. Retrospective data of participants were retrieved from the hospital information systems, which provide comprehensive information covering results of pre-pregnancy, regular health examinations during pregnancy, and delivery details. Participants (mother–father–fetus pairs) and their detailed information at each gestational stage were identified and extracted using their unique identification (ID) numbers.

Exclusion criteria included multiple pregnancies (n = 1,986), participants with missing data or outliers for birth weight (n = 51), termination-abortion (n = 4,262), and dysmorphia (n = 615), with missing data or outliers for parental weight/height (n = 251), with missing data or outliers for key variables (including maternal age, parity, GDM/GDM history status, fetal sex, and season of delivery) and pre-existing diabetes (n = 341). Thus, 84,900 singleton pregnancies were eligible for inclusion in the study for final analysis (Figure 1). Participation in the study was voluntary, and written informed consent was obtained from each study subject. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee.
of Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University (Reference No. IEC-C-03-V04-FJ2).

Data Collection and Measurements
For each of the 84,900 participants, four main categories of detailed information were collected: a) demographic characteristics, including maternal age, parental height, parental weight, parental ethnicity, parental education level, parental occupational physical activity, and maternal income; b) lifestyle behaviors, parental drinking, smoking, and maternal secondhand smoke exposure; c) GDM/GDM history, hypertension/hypertension history, and thyroid disease/thyroid disease history; and d) current pregnancy and other information, including conception method, parity, folic acid and multivitamin supplements, preterm birth, fetal sex, birth weight, season of delivery.

The primary outcome was macrosomia, which was defined as an infant with birth weight ≥4,000 g. Parental pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated based on self-reported values of height and weight before conception. As for pregnant women in the first trimester, the value of weight was accurately measured with electronic scales (BW-150; UWE, Beijing, China) with mothers wearing light clothes, no shoes, and empty pockets (17). Standing height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (18). BMI was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. BMI was categorized as low (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m²), overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m²), and obesity (BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m²) based on the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. Occupational physical activities were categorized into three levels: a) light (e.g., unemployed/housewife), b) moderate (e.g., salespeople or clerk), and c) active (e.g., farmer or manual worker) (19). Parental smoking or drinking was defined as mothers or fathers who smoked at least one cigarette per day or drank alcohol once a week for over 6 months (20). Maternal secondhand smoke exposure was defined as non-smokers being exposed to tobacco smoke of another person for at least 15 min daily for more than 1 day per week (21). GDM was diagnosed in accordance with the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Group recommendation (IADPSG) using 75 g OGTT: a fasting glucose ≥5.1 mmol/L, or a 1-h result ≥10.0 mmol/L, or a 2-h result ≥8.5 mmol/L (22).

Statistical Analysis
Differences for numerical and for categorical variables were calculated based on the t-test, Mann–Whitney U test, or chi-square test, as appropriate. The predictive performance of maternal first-trimester and parental pre-pregnancy BMI for macrosomia was assessed using the area under the receiver-operating characteristics curve (AUC). The DeLong test was used to compare AUCs. Univariate logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate factors that influenced macrosomia. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed and adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to evaluate the independent effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia. According to the recommendation of the STROBE statement, unadjusted, minimally adjusted, and fully adjusted analyses were performed. Interactions between the first-trimester low BMI and multiple individual characteristic factors were investigated to evaluate the potential variation of the effect of first-trimester low BMI across different groups. Furthermore, interactions were also examined across groups determined by multiple factors jointly: a) GDM/GDM history status, parity, and maternal age; and b) GDM/GDM history status, fetal sex, and season of delivery. P-values of two-sided tests less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed by R version 4.1.1 (http://www.R-project.org).

RESULTS
Characteristics of Participants
Characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1 and Table S1. A total of 84,900 singleton pregnancies including 5,215 (6.14%) macrosomia were ultimately included in this study. Compared with maternal first-trimester normal, overweight, and obesity BMI groups, pregnant women in the low BMI group were younger (mean age of 28.53 years old), tended to be nullipara (58.26%), less likely to deliver a macrosomia (2.12%), and had lower probability of GDM/GDM history (5.05%), hypertension/hypertension history (5.17%), and thyroid disease/thyroid disease history (8.09%).

Comparison of Prediction Performance of Three BMIs for Macrosomia
Maternal first-trimester BMI showed better discrimination of macrosomia than maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (AUC: 0.64 versus 0.63; Delong test: P < 0.001) and paternal pre-pregnancy BMI (AUC: 0.64 versus 0.54; Delong test: P < 0.001) (Figure 2).

The Relationship Between Maternal First-Trimester BMI and Macrosomia
The results of univariate analyses are given in Table S2. The results of non-adjusted and adjusted multivariate logistic regression models are shown in Table 2. In the crude model, maternal first-trimester low BMI showed protective effect against macrosomia (OR = 0.36, 95% CI: 0.31–0.42, P < 0.001). In minimally adjusted model (adjusted maternal age, parental ethnicity, parental educational level, parental occupational physical activity, and maternal income), the protective effect did not have obvious changes (aOR = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.32–0.43, P < 0.001). Adjusting for all the confounders (minimally adjusted model plus parental smoking, maternal secondhand smoke exposure, parental drinking before or during pregnancy, parity, mode of conception, folic acid and multivitamin supplementation, preterm birth, fetal sex, season of delivery, GDM/GDM history, hypertension/hypertension history, and thyroid disease/thyroid disease history) of this study also did not substantially change the protective effect (aOR = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.32–0.43).

Stratified Analyses
Stratified and interactive analyses showed that maternal first-trimester low BMI was inversely associated with macrosomia,
irrespective of parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status (Figure 3). As shown in Table S3, the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was significantly modified by fetal sex (interaction test $P = 0.040$), whereas parity, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status could not modify the protective effect of the first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia ($P$ for interaction = 0.927, 0.309, 0.282, and 0.169, respectively).

### Combined Effect of GDM/GDM History Status and Parity in Different Maternal Age Groups

The interactive effects between maternal age and maternal first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia stratified by parity and GDM/GDM history status are presented in Figure 4 and Table S4. Pregnant women without GDM/GDM history in the 25- to 29-year-old group were more benefited from a low BMI (<18.5 kg/m$^2$) than other maternal age groups, irrespective of parity (multipara: aOR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.22–0.47; nullipara: aOR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.24–0.43) (Figures 4A, C and Table S4). In multipara with GDM/GDM history, the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI was only observed in the 30- to 34-year-old group (aOR = 0.12, 95% CI: 0.02–0.86) (Figure 4B). No protective effect was observed in nullipara with GDM/GDM history (Figure 4D).

### Combined Effect of GDM/GDM History Status and Fetal Sex in Different Season of Delivery Groups

The interactive effects between season of delivery and first-trimester low BMI on macrosomia stratified by GDM/GDM history status and fetal sex are presented in Figure 5 and Table S5. In pregnant women without GDM/GDM history, maternal first-trimester low BMI was inversely associated with macrosomia across all seasons of delivery groups, irrespective of fetal sex. For pregnant women without GDM/GDM history, the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI (<18.5 kg/m$^2$) against macrosomia was the weakest in infants born in winter, irrespective of fetal sex.

---

**Table 1** | Characteristics of the participants.

| Characteristics | Maternal first-trimester body mass index | $P$ |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
|                | Normal | Low | Overweight | Obesity |
| Maternal age (years) | 30.25 (4.16) | 28.53 (3.85) | 30.99 (4.48) | 30.55 (4.68) | <0.001 |
| Maternal ethnicity, $n$ (%) | 58,209 (93.86) | 8,267 (93.66) | 11,159 (93.65) | 1,992 (93.17) | 0.482 |
| Han | | | | | |
| Minority | 3,810 (6.14) | 560 (6.34) | 757 (6.35) | 146 (6.83) | <0.001 |
| Macrosomia, $n$ (%) | 58,514 (94.35) | 8,640 (97.88) | 10,661 (89.47) | 1,870 (87.46) | 0.001 |
| No | 3,505 (5.65) | 187 (2.12) | 1,255 (10.53) | 268 (12.54) | <0.001 |
| Yes | | | | | |
| Parity, $n$ (%) | 31,648 (51.03) | 3,684 (41.74) | 7,046 (59.13) | 1,288 (60.24) | <0.001 |
| Multipara | 30,371 (48.97) | 5,143 (58.26) | 4,870 (40.87) | 850 (39.76) | 0.001 |
| Nullipara | | | | | |
| GDM/GDM history, $n$ (%) | 56,262 (90.72) | 8,381 (94.95) | 9,955 (83.54) | 1,695 (79.28) | 0.001 |
| No | 5,757 (9.28) | 448 (5.05) | 1,961 (16.46) | 443 (20.72) | 0.007 |
| Yes | | | | | |
| Season of delivery, $n$ (%) | 11,637 (18.76) | 1,657 (18.77) | 2,282 (19.15) | 433 (20.25) | 0.001 |
| Spring | 16,907 (27.26) | 2,102 (23.82) | 3,400 (28.53) | 611 (28.58) | <0.001 |
| Summer | 17,385 (28.03) | 2,515 (28.49) | 3,303 (27.72) | 571 (26.71) | 0.007 |
| Autumn | 16,090 (25.95) | 2,553 (28.92) | 2,931 (24.60) | 523 (24.64) | <0.001 |
| Winter | | | | | |
| Fetal sex, $n$ (%) | 32,408 (52.25) | 4,452 (50.44) | 6,271 (52.63) | 1,098 (51.36) | 0.001 |
| Male | 29,611 (47.75) | 4,375 (49.56) | 5,645 (47.37) | 1,040 (48.64) | <0.001 |
| Female | | | | | |
| Mode of conception, $n$ (%) | 59,712 (96.28) | 8,589 (97.30) | 11,310 (94.91) | 2,028 (94.86) | <0.001 |
| Natural conception | 2,307 (3.72) | 238 (2.70) | 606 (5.09) | 110 (5.14) | 0.007 |
| Assisted reproduction | | | | | |
| Folic acid supplementation, $n$ (%) | 4,507 (7.27) | 663 (7.51) | 855 (7.18) | 184 (8.61) | 0.001 |
| No | 57,512 (92.73) | 8,164 (92.49) | 11,061 (92.82) | 1,954 (91.39) | <0.001 |
| Yes | | | | | |
| Multivitamin supplementation, $n$ (%) | 22,212 (35.81) | 3,473 (39.35) | 4,267 (35.81) | 859 (40.18) | 0.001 |
| No | 39,807 (64.19) | 5,354 (60.65) | 7,649 (64.19) | 1,279 (59.82) | <0.001 |
| Yes | | | | | |
| Gestational hypertension/gestational hypertension history, $n$ (%) | 56,993 (91.90) | 8,371 (94.83) | 10,204 (85.63) | 1,668 (78.02) | 0.001 |
| No | 5,026 (8.10) | 458 (5.17) | 1,712 (14.37) | 470 (21.98) | <0.001 |
| Yes | | | | | |
| Thyroid disease/thyroid disease history, $n$ (%) | 56,397 (90.94) | 8,113 (91.91) | 10,730 (90.05) | 1,924 (89.99) | 0.001 |
| No | 5,622 (9.06) | 714 (8.09) | 1,186 (9.95) | 214 (10.01) | 0.007 |
| Yes | | | | | |

Data are given as mean (SD), or $n$ (%). Normal, BMI of 18.5–24.9 kg/m$^2$. Low, BMI <18.5 kg/m$^2$. Overweight, BMI of 25.0–29.9 kg/m$^2$. Obesity, BMI ≥30.0 kg/m$^2$. GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.
Comparison With Previous Studies

To the best of our knowledge, the effect of maternal first-trimester BMI on macrosomia based on comprehensive information has seldom been investigated. Previous studies reported that maternal pre-pregnancy and first-trimester high BMI were independent risk factors for macrosomia (23, 24). The effect of maternal pre-pregnancy low BMI on macrosomia was less studied and the results were inconclusive. An updated meta-analysis, involving 1,392,799 pregnant women, reported that low pre-pregnancy BMI was a significant protective factor for the development of macrosomia (16), whereas such protective effect (OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.17–3.24) was not found in a cohort study (15).

Mounting evidence has demonstrated that the risk of macrosomia increases with parity (25, 26). Koyanagi et al. (27) performed a multicenter study based on 276,436 singleton livebirths or fresh stillbirths and demonstrated that higher parity was associated with a significantly increased risk of macrosomia. One possible explanation is that fetuses of nullipara were more likely to be exposed to a different maternal immune environment which might restrict the fetal growth in the uterine (28). Another possible explanation is that higher parity has been reported to be strictly related to GDM, which played an important role in the development of macrosomia (29). Schwartz et al. (13) found that multipara had a higher risk of GDM compared with nullipara (73% versus 40%, P < 0.001) based on a meta-analysis of 19,053 singleton participants. GDM increased the risk of macrosomia more than twice compared with non-GDM mothers (95% CI: 1.56–2.71; P < 0.001) (30).

The increased risk of fetal macrosomia in GDM mothers is mainly due the following reason: maternal hyperglycemia drives a higher amount of circulating glucose to pass through the placenta and reach compared with parental pre-pregnancy BMI. Maternal first-trimester low BMI is inversely associated with macrosomia, irrespective of parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was most pronounced among 25- to 29-year-old pregnant women without GDM/GDM history and was only found among 30- to 34-year-old multipara with GDM/GDM history. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the weakest in winter of delivery among pregnant women without GDM/GDM history.

DISCUSSION

Main Findings

In this 10-year cross-sectional study, maternal first-trimester BMI showed better prediction performance for fetal macrosomia compared with parental pre-pregnancy BMI. Maternal first-trimester low BMI is inversely associated with macrosomia, irrespective of parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was most pronounced among 25- to 29-year-old pregnant women without GDM/GDM history and was only found among 30- to 34-year-old multipara with GDM/GDM history. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the weakest in winter of delivery among pregnant women without GDM/GDM history.

Table 2: Relationship between maternal first-trimester BMI and macrosomia in different models.

| Exposure                           | Crude model OR (95% CI) | Minimally adjusted model aOR (95% CI) | Fully adjusted model aOR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Maternal first-trimester BMI (continuous) | 1.82 (1.76–1.89)      | 1.80 (1.73–1.87)                     | 1.82 (1.75–1.89)                        |
| Maternal first-trimester BMI (category) |                         |                                      |                                  |
| Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m²)       | Ref                     | Ref                                  | Ref                              |
| Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m²)   | 0.36 (0.31–0.42)        | 0.37 (0.32–0.43)                     | 0.37 (0.32–0.43)                     |
| Obesity (BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m²)         | 1.97 (1.84–2.10)        | 1.92 (1.79–2.06)                     | 1.93 (1.80–2.07)                     |
| P for trend                        | <0.001                  | <0.001                               | <0.001                            |

Crude model: adjusted for none. Minimally adjusted model: adjusted for maternal age, parental ethnicity, parental educational level, parental occupational physical activity, and maternal income. Fully adjusted model: adjusted for minimally adjusted model plus parental smoking, maternal secondhand smoke exposure, parental drinking before or during pregnancy, parity, mode of conception, folic acid and multivitamin supplementation, preterm birth, fetal sex, season of delivery, GDM/GDM history, hypertension/hypertension history, and thyroid disease/thyroid disease history.

CI, confidence intervals; OR, odds ratios; aOR, adjusted odds ratios; Ref, reference.
the fetal circulation, whereas the maternal-derived or exogenous insulin could not pass the placenta (31). Meanwhile, higher glucose in fetal circulation results in increased fetal insulin secretion (32). Thus, the combinative effect of extra glucose and insulin leads to the increased accumulation of adipose tissue and protein in the fetuses, resulting in fetal accelerated growth and macrosomia (33, 34).

Pereda et al. (29) conducted a cross-sectional study involving 42,663 pregnant women and reported that male fetuses were associated with increased risk of macrosomia (aOR = 1.89, 95% CI: 1.72–2.08), and such association was also found in our study (OR = 1.69, 95% CI: 1.60–1.78) (Table S2). We found that for pregnant women without GDM/GDM history, the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the strongest in female infants born in summer and in male infants born in spring and autumn. These findings were similar to those of Wu et al. (14) who reported that compared with infants born in spring, the risk of developing macrosomia for infants born in summer and autumn was low (summer: aOR = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.75–0.98; autumn: aOR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77–0.99). The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was the weakest in winter. The possible explanation is that maternal lower exposure to sunshine and reduced level of activity in winter could cause a lower level of maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, and 25-hydroxyvitamin D assists with a Th2 phenotype in the immunology of pregnancy (35).

Strengths and Limitations

The current study has several strengths. First, our study has a large sample size of 84,900 participants based on a 10-year cross-sectional study, which enabled us to identify the effect of maternal first-
trimester BMI on macrosomia in subgroups with convincing statistical results. Second, detailed information of participants was recorded, allowing us to adjust for potential confounding factors as much as possible, including demographic characteristics, lifestyle behaviors, maternal previous/current diseases, and current pregnancy information of the study population. Third, the value of weight and height of pregnant women in the first trimester was accurately measured, which reduced the potential reporting bias.

However, we acknowledge that several limitations cannot be neglected. First, although we used strict statistical adjustments to minimize residual confounding based on the recommendation of the STROBE statement, we cannot rule out the possibility of some residual effects related to unknown factors. Female pregnancy is a constantly changing process, during which there are many confounding factors that lead to the occurrence of macrosomia. Second, our hospital information system does not offer weight gain during pregnancy, which has been reported to be related to macrosomia (36), and this may have led to biased estimates. Third, participants with missing data and outliers of key variables were excluded, which may have contributed to bias in our findings, but we believe our final conclusion is robust because of the low missing and outlier rate of 0.39% (360 of 92,406). Fourth, our study population was from a single center, restricting the generalizability of the findings, and replication of our findings in other large populations is warranted. Besides, the current study did not include maternal information of diet/lifestyle that could help reduce the risk of GDM; this may influence our results and we will collect the related information and study it in the future.

CONCLUSION

Maternal first-trimester BMI is a good marker for macrosomia. Maternal first-trimester low BMI is inversely associated with macrosomia, irrespective of parity, fetal sex, season of delivery, maternal age, and GDM/GDM history status. The protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia was most pronounced among 25- to 29-year-old pregnant women without GDM/GDM history and was only found among 30- to 34-year-old multipara with GDM/GDM history. Expected delivery date for pregnant women in winter is not advisable. Further studies are required to shed light on the underlying mechanisms contributing to the protective effect of maternal first-trimester low BMI against macrosomia.
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