Bioplastics in the Sea: Rapid In-Vitro Evaluation of Degradability and Persistence at Natural Temperatures
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The progressive substitution of petroleum-based polymers, such as polyethylene, polyvinylchloride, or polyethylene terephthalate, by so-called bioplastics facilitated the development and production of many new materials. The continuously refined properties of bioplastic compounds and their blends enable various applications. With growing production and utilization of bioplastic products, these materials are increasingly discarded into the environment. Although many of these materials are labeled biodegradable, there is limited information about their degradability under environmental conditions. We tested the enzymatic degradability of five bioplastic compounds with the rapid pH-Stat titration assay at environmentally relevant seawater temperatures between 5 and 30°C and pH 8.2. These plastics, issued from the European Horizon 2020 Project ‘Bioplastics Europe’, are based on polylactic acid (PLA), polybutylene succinate (PBS), and poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV). Suspensions of microparticles (<200 µm) were incubated with each of the three hydrolytic enzymes, protease, lipase, and esterase. A PLA-based compound blended with polybutylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT) showed the highest hydrolysis rate of 30 nmol·min⁻¹ when incubated with lipase at 30°C. All other materials showed low hydrolysis rates of less than 10 nmol·min⁻¹. Below 20°C, hydrolysis almost ceased. Plate clearing assays with the same enzymes at 37°C and pH 5 and pH 8, respectively, largely confirmed the results of the pH-Stat titration assays. Our findings indicate that there is a potential degradation of most of the materials with at least one of these hydrolytic enzymes. Nonetheless, the rate of enzymatic degradation under environmentally relevant conditions is low, which indicates only a marginal degradability of bioplastics in the marine environment.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Petroleum-derived plastic materials (petro-plastics) shape the daily life of humans and have become indispensable in modern industrialized societies. For decades, the use of plastics is continuously increasing, with an annual global production of about 360 million tons by 2018 (PlasticsEurope, 2019). Excessive use and poorly controlled disposal caused a massive discard of persistent petro-plastics into the environment and a pollution of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems worldwide.
An annually, an estimated amount of about 4-12 million tons of plastic debris ends up in the oceans (Jambeck et al., 2015), where it may remain for centuries before it degrades (Worm et al., 2017). Marine plastic debris covers a wide size range from large abandoned fishing nets to the smallest micro- and nanoparticles (Lebreton et al., 2018). Plastic debris may interfere with marine biota, often causing deleterious effects on organisms and ecosystems (do Sul and Costa, 2014; Gall and Thompson, 2015; Kühn et al., 2015).

To reduce the consumption of fossil resources and to promote the degradability of plastics, extensive research effort is being made to replace conventional petroleum-based polymers with so-called bioplastics. The term bioplastics refers to synthetic polymers derived from renewable biomass sources (Vert et al., 2012), but is also frequently used for biodegradable polymers and materials that combine both of these properties, therefore covering a wide spectrum of different polymers (e.g., Dilshad et al., 2021; Muneer et al., 2021). The majority of bioplastics are aliphatic polyesters composed of monomers linked by ester bonds. Common polymers used as the basis for bioplastics are polyactic acid (PLA), polybutylene succinate (PBS), and poly (hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV) (Garlotta, 2001; Luo and Netravali, 2003; Xu and Guo, 2010; Ferreira et al., 2019; RameshKumar et al., 2020).

Depending on their application, biodegradable plastics have to combine a wide range of properties with the requirements of biodegradability standards. The chemical and mechanical characteristics of such materials can be substantially improved or adjusted by blending with additives and fillers (Angelini et al., 2015; Gug and Sobkowicz, 2016; Shafqat et al., 2021). Currently, bioplastics represent only one percent of the global annual plastic production. However, the demand for bio-based and biodegradable plastics is rapidly growing. Due to the continuous improvement of the compounds and the diversification of applications, the annual bioplastic production will prospectively grow from 2.1 million tons in 2020 to 2.9 million tons in 2025 (European Bioplastics e.V., 2021).

Synthetic biopolymers are degraded by hydrolytic cleavage of their ester bonds, catalyzed by microbial enzymes (Singh and Sharma, 2008). However, only 60% of the produced bioplastics are currently considered biodegradable (European Bioplastics e.V, 2021). Moreover, many of these biodegradable materials are sufficiently degraded only under specific conditions, such as high temperatures and in industrial composting facilities (e.g., Batori et al., 2018; Ruggero et al., 2019; Folino et al., 2020). In the environment, the degradation rate of most biodegradable plastics seems to be low (Wang et al., 2021). Accordingly, improved biodegradability of customized bioplastics in the environment demands a sound understanding of their enzymatic degradation under realistic conditions.

A variety of hydrolytic enzymes from microbes or other organisms are capable of degrading bioplastics (Meereboer et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2021; Polman et al., 2021). Such enzymes, comprising various peptidases and esterases, are present in the environment, including seawater and marine sediments (Arnosti et al., 2014; Liu and Liu, 2018; Patel et al., 2019). Hydrolases, namely proteinase K, protease, esterase, and lipase, have been used in in vitro assays on the enzymatic degradability of bioplastics (Miksch et al., 2021; Richert and Dabrowska, 2021). The pH-Stat titration is a rapid assay, which is based on maintaining the pH during a pH-affecting reaction. The hydrolysis of polyesters forms carboxyl end groups, which reduce the pH in the surrounding medium. Accordingly, the pH-Stat detects the drop in pH and adds an alkaline solution. Based on the amount of the added solution over a certain period of time, the degradation rate can be calculated. According to previous studies, we chose for the present investigation a proteolytic enzyme (protease), capable of hydrolyzing peptide and ester bonds, as well as an esterase and a lipase, which hydrolyze short-chain and long-chain esters, respectively. Although the selected enzymes do not derive from a marine microorganism, they represent important enzymes in the class of hydrolases, which are prevalent in almost all organisms and possess a rather broad substrate specificity. Moreover, the chosen enzymes are readily available commercially, and their specificity is equivalent to enzymes synthesized by marine fungi and bacteria.

In the frame of the EU Horizon 2020 project Bioplastics Europe (BPE) (Bioplastics Europe, 2022), we investigated the enzymatic degradability of selected customized bioplastics in seawater by rapid in vitro methods. Five bioplastic compounds, which were designed for different applications, were provided by project partners. These materials were tested for degradation at environmentally relevant seawater temperatures and their hydrolysis rates were compared with the conventional petroleum-based polymer poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and a biogenic structural protein (collagen).

### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Chemicals

NaOH standard solution (cat. no. 5564732) was purchased from Omnilab (Bremen, Germany). Agarose (cat. no. 16500) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). All other chemicals and enzymes (Table 1) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany).

| Enzyme | Source | Enzyme activity | Distributor | Cat. no. |
|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Protease | Bacillus licheniformis | 2.4 AU·mg⁻¹ | Sigma | P4860 |
| Lipase | Candida antarctica | 9 U·mg⁻¹ | Sigma | 62288 |
| Esterase | Bacillus subtilis | 10 U·mg⁻¹ | Sigma | 96667 |
2.2 Polymers
Bioplastic compounds, in the following referred to as BPE-materials, were provided by Arctic Biomaterials OY (ABM, Tampere, Finland) and NaturePlast SAS (Ifs, France). The materials were supplied as granules (3 x 3 mm, 25 mg). The designations of the materials are composed of the abbreviations of the project, the intended use of the application, and the predominant base polymer (Table 2A). Petroleum-based PMMA was manufactured by Kunststoff- und Farben-Gesellschaft mbH (KFG, Biebesheim, Germany) and supplied as powder with particles < 200 μm (Table 2B). The structural protein collagen was purchased from Sigma (Table 2B). The material was cryo-milled as described under 2.3, and the size fraction < 200 μm was analyzed.

2.3 Preparation of Microparticles
Granules of the bioplastic compounds were ground in a cryogenic mill (SPEX SamplePrep, 6775 Freezer/Mill). The grinding program included 15 min of pre-cooling before the initiation of the grinding process. Grinding was performed in four to eight cycles, with 1 to 2 min of agitation and 1 to 2 min of cooling within each cycle. Grinding was performed with 15 impacts per second (cps). Pre-cooling was essential to achieve sufficient brittleness of the material to avoid agglutination of melting particles. One gram of material was processed per grinding operation. The ground material was sieved for fractions smaller than 200 μm. The yield of the < 200 μm fraction varied depending on the polymer type from about 20% to 60% of the total ground material.

2.4 ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectroscopy
The composition of the bioplastic compounds was verified by NMR spectroscopy. Prior to the NMR analysis, the materials were solubilized in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃). The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Neo spectrometer (equipped with a 1.7 mm cryo probe) at 300 K operating at 600 MHz (¹H) and 125 MHz (¹³C). For each sample, a one-dimensional (1D) ¹H-NMR spectrum and a two-dimensional (2D) ¹H,¹³C-HSQC spectrum were acquired. The 1D ¹H-NMR spectra were acquired with a 30° pulse, 128 scans, and 65536 data points (acquisition time of 3.6 s, relaxation delay of 1.0 s). The 2D ¹H,¹³C-HSQC spectra were run with 16 scans and 2048 data points in F₂ and 256 data points in F₁ (acquisition time of 113 ms, relaxation delay of 1.5 s).

2.5 pH-Stat Titration
The rate of enzymatic hydrolysis of the bioplastics was measured by pH-Stat titration using a TitroLine® 7000 titrator (SI Analytics GmbH, Mainz, Germany). The titration unit was equipped with a 20-mL exchangeable head and a 1 mm diameter PTFE tube as a titration tip. The unit was connected to a magnetic stirrer (TM 235), a pH-electrode model A 162 2M DIN ID, and a circulation thermostat (Lauda, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany). The reaction vial was a 20-mL glass vial placed in a custom-made thermostat jacket to maintain a constant temperature (Miksch et al., 2021).

pH-Stat titration was performed after Miksch et al. (2021) whilst the rate of acidification due to the hydrolysis of the bioplastic was determined by counter-titration of a base. Briefly, suspensions of bioplastic microparticles (3 mg·mL⁻¹) were prepared in a solution of 3.2% sea salt (Seequasal, Münster, Germany) in deionized water (referred to as artificial seawater, ASW). The suspensions were first stirred in a glass beaker with a magnetic stirring bar at 800 rpm for 16 h before aliquots of 10 mL were subjected to pH-Stat titration. Ten to 100 μL of enzyme solution of commercial lipase, protease, and esterase (Table 2) were added to the reaction vial with a 100-μL micro-syringe (Model 710 N, Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland). The pH was kept constant at 8.2 by titration of 10 mmol·L⁻¹ NaOH solution. The addition of NaOH solution after enzyme addition was recorded every minute for 60 min. Each measurement involved an initial recording of the addition of NaOH solution for 60 min without enzyme, to correct for the effects of atmospheric CO₂ and polymer autolysis. For each replicate at all temperatures an additional enzyme blank was measured, where the hydrolysis rate of the enzyme in seawater without substrate was determined, to correct for the autocatalytic activity of the enzyme. The hydrolysis of the five bioplastics and the petroleum-based polymer PMMA was assayed at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30°C. The electrode was calibrated each day before use. Routine measurements were carried out in triplicate.

To compare the enzymatic degradation of the bioplastics with that of a natural polymer, hydrolysis of non-soluble collagen by each of the three enzymes was measured at 20°C using the same methodology.

2.6 Plate Clearing Assay
Plate clearing assays were performed as an alternative measure of enzymatic polymer degradation. Polymer emulsions and

**TABLE 2** | Specifications of polymers used in this study.

| Designation | Base polymer | Producer | Application | Biodegradability |
|-------------|--------------|----------|-------------|------------------|
| BPE-C-PLA   | PL/PLA       | ABM      | Cutlery     | No information   |
| BPE-RP-PLA  | PL/PBS       | ABM      | Rigid Packaging | Manufactured from compostable plastic (EN 13432) |
| BPE-AMF-PLA | PLA/PLAT     | NaturePlast | Agricultural | No information mulch films |
| BPE-SP-PLA  | PBS          | NaturePlast | Soft packaging | Industrially compostable (NF EN 13432:2000) |
| BPE-T-PHBV  | PHBV         | NaturePlast | Toys        | Industrially compostable (ASTM D6400) |

**B** Specification of PMMA and collagen, used for comparison.

| PMMA   | KFG     |
|-------|---------|
| Collagen | Sigma (C 9879) |

| Biodegradability |
|------------------|
| Industries       |
| Biodegradable    |
polymer agar plates were prepared after Uchida et al. (2000); Urbanek et al. (2020) with slight modifications. Briefly, 0.4 g of plastic particles were dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane by stirring at 28°C. After dissolution, 400 μL of Triton-X and 20 mL of distilled water were added. The mixture was sonicated for 2 to 4 min with a Sonopuls ultrasonic homogenizer GM 2070.2 (Bandelin, Berlin, Germany). Next, the dichloromethane was evaporated by stirring under vacuum for 1 h. The remaining emulsion was diluted with 60 mL of distilled water and 800 mg of agarose (Thermo Fisher, cat. no. 16500) was added. After heating the mixture in a microwave oven for 60 s at 600 W, the pH was adjusted to 5 and 8, respectively, by adding 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH. About 20 mL of this solution was poured into a Petri dish and allowed to cool and polymerize. The enzymes lipase, esterase, and protease (10 μL each) were applied onto the surface of the gel with the help of small ceramic wells (diameter: 10 mm, height: 8 mm) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The formation of clear zones (halos) around the wells was interpreted as enzymatic degradation of the polymer. The diameter of the clear zones was used as a measure for the amount of polymer degraded by the enzyme. This plate clearing assay could not be performed on BPE-AMF-PLA, because it was not possible to create an opaque emulsion of this plastic.

2.7 Statistics
Statistical analysis and graphs were done with the program GraphPad Prism version 7.05 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA, https://www.graphpad.com. The temperature dependency of the hydrolysis rates of the tested polymers by the enzymes was described by non-linear regression models. The temperature dependency of the hydrolysis was described either by a Boltzmann sigmoidal model (Eq. 1) or by an exponential model (Eq. 2):

\[ f(x) = a + \frac{(b - a)}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{c - x}{d}\right)} \]  
\[ f(x) = a \cdot e^{bx} \]

with \( a \) = minimum of the fit, \( b \) = maximum of the fit, \( c \) = point of inflection (50% level of the function), and \( d \) = slope.

2.8 RESULTS

3.1 ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra
The ¹H-NMR spectra of BPE-C-PLA and BPE-RP-PLA clearly indicate a mixture of PLA (¹H signals: CH and CH₃) and PBS (¹H signals: 3 x CH₂) with ratios of about 2.4:1 and 2.6:1, respectively (Figures 1A, B). BPE-AMF-PLA is a mixture of PLA and PBAT (seven ¹H signals) in a ratio of about 30:1 (Figure 1C). BPE-SP-PBS shows the indicative ¹H signals (three methylene groups) for PBS (Figure 1D). BPE-T-PHBV shows the characteristic signals for poly-(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate)-copolymer (PHBV) with the HB (three ¹H signals) and HV (four ¹H signals) subunits and traces of PLA (Figure 1E). Detailed ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR results are listed in the following.

BPE-C-PLA (Figure 1A): NMR data (600 MHz, CDCl₃): ¹H, δ5.17 (q, 1H, PLA-CH₂), 4.08 (br, 2H, PBS-O-CH₂), 2.59 (br, 4H, PBS-CO-CH₂), 1.67 (br, 4H, PBS-CF₂), 1.54 (d, 3H, PLA-CH₃); ¹³C, δ69.1 (PLA-CH), 64.3 (PBS-O-CH₂), 29.1 (PBS-CO-CH₂), 25.3 (PBS-CH₂), 16.6 (PLA-CH₂), n.d. (CO).

BPE-RP-PLA (Figure 1B): NMR data (600 MHz, CDCl₃): ¹H, δ5.12 (q, 1H, PLA-CH₂), 4.07 (br, 2H, PBS-O-CH₂), 2.58 (br, 4H, PBS-CO-CH₂), 1.66 (br, 4H, PBS-CF₂), 1.54 (d, 3H, PLA-CH₃); ¹³C, δ68.9 (PLA-CH), 64.1 (PBS-O-CH₂), 29.0 (PBS-CO-CH₂), 25.2 (PBS-CH₂), 16.6 (PLA-CH₂), n.d. (CO).

BPE-AMF-PLA (Figure 1C): NMR data (600 MHz, CDCl₃): ¹H, δ8.05 (s, 4H, PBAT-CH₃), 5.13 (q, 1H, PLA-CH₂), 4.38 (br, 4H, PBAT-CH₂), 4.08 (br, 2H, PBAT-O-CH₂), 2.29 (br, 4H, PBAT-CO-CH₂), 1.93 (br, 4H, PBAT-O-CH₂-CH₂), 1.79 (br, 4H, PBAT-CO-CH₂-CH₂), 1.63 (br, 4H, PBAT-CO-CH₂-CH₂), 1.54 (d, 3H, PLA-CH₃); ¹³C, δ66.9 (PLA-CH), 64.8 (PBAT-O-CH₂), 63.8 (PBAT-CH₂), 33.8 (PBAT-CO-CH₂), 25.4 (PBAT-CO-CH₂-CH₂), 25.3 (PBAT-CO-CH₂-CH₂), 24.3 (PBAT-CO-CH₂-CH₂), 16.6 (PLA-CH₂), n.d. (CO).

BPE-SP-PBS (Figure 1D): NMR data (600 MHz, CDCl₃): ¹H, δ4.07 (br, 4H, O-CH₃), 2.58 (br, 4H, CO-CH₂), 1.66 (br, 4H, CH₂); ¹³C, δ64.1 (O-CH₃), 29.0 (CO-CH₂), 25.2 (CH₂), n.d. (CO).

BPE-T-PHBV (Figure 1E): NMR data (600 MHz, CDCl₃): ¹H, δ5.22 (b, 2 x 1H, HB-CH₂+HV-CH₂), 2.58/2.43 (2 x d, 2 x 2H, HB-CH₂), 2.56/2.45 (2 x d, 2 x 2H, HV-CH₂), 1.24 (d, 3H, HB-CH₃), 1.22 (m, 2H, HV-EtCH₂), 0.85 (q, 3H, HV-EtCH₂); ¹³C, δ67.7 (HB-CH₂+HV-CH₂), 40.9 (HB-CH₂), 40.6 (HV-CH₂), 29.6 (HV-EtCH₂), 19.7 (HB-CH₃) n.d. (CO).

3.2 pH-Stat Titration
3.2.1 BPE-Materials
The hydrolysis rates of BPE materials varied with the applied enzymes and temperature.

Hydrolysis of BPE-C-PLA incubated with protease increased exponentially with a temperature to 9.0 ± 2.2 nmol·min⁻¹ at 30°C (Figure 2A). Hydrolysis by lipase and esterase was only detectable at 30°C at rates of 2.6 ± 0.4 nmol·min⁻¹ and 2.4 ± 1.2 nmol·min⁻¹, respectively.

BPE-RP-PLA displayed a sigmoidal increase of hydrolysis rate with temperature when incubated with protease (Figure 2B). The highest rate was 4.6 ± 1.4 nmol·min⁻¹ at 30°C. No hydrolysis (< 0.1 nmol·min⁻¹) of this polymer was observed with lipase and esterase at either temperature.

BPE-AMF-PLA showed the highest hydrolysis rate when incubated with lipase (Figure 2C). The hydrolysis rate increased exponentially with temperature from 4.7 ± 0.5 nmol·min⁻¹ at 5°C to 28.5 ± 3.7 nmol·min⁻¹ at 30°C. The same polymer was only poorly hydrolyzed by protease and esterase. Almost no hydrolytic activity was observed with these enzymes below 20°C. Above 20°C, the hydrolysis rate was still low with maximum activities of 2.5 ± 1.1 nmol·min⁻¹ for protease and 2.6 ± 0.5 nmol·min⁻¹ for esterase.
BPE-SP-PBS was hydrolyzed by all three enzymes (Figure 2D). The hydrolytic activity was low and showed a sigmoidal increase with temperature. Accordingly, the highest activities were measured at 30°C at rates of 3.9 ± 0.2 nmol·min⁻¹ for protease, 5.0 ± 0.2 nmol·min⁻¹ for lipase, and 4.3 ± 0.5 nmol·min⁻¹ for esterase.

BPE-T-PHBV showed very low or no hydrolysis when incubated with the three enzymes (Figure 2E). The hydrolytic activity slightly increased at 30°C to 1.4 ± 0.7 nmol·min⁻¹ for protease, 0.3 ± 0.3 nmol·min⁻¹ for lipase, and 1.1 ± 0.9 nmol·min⁻¹ for esterase.

**3.2.2 PMMA and Collagen**

The petroleum-based PMMA was hydrolyzed by lipase at a very low rate, which varied only slightly with temperature (Figure 3). The highest hydrolysis rate of 0.7 ± 0.6 nmol·min⁻¹ was measured at 20°C. Hydrolysis by protease and esterase occurred only at 20°C at very low rates of 0.2 ± 0.4 nmol·min⁻¹ and < 0.01 nmol·min⁻¹, respectively.

Hydrolysis of non-soluble collagen was highest when incubated with protease (Figure 4). At 20°C the hydrolysis rate was 67.7 ± 5.7 nmol·min⁻¹, which was about six times higher than the highest hydrolysis rate of BPE-AMF-PLA at the same temperature (i.e., 11.4 ± 2.2 nmol·min⁻¹, Figure 2C). Hydrolysis of collagen by lipase was very low at 0.7 ± 0.1 nmol·min⁻¹. Almost no hydrolytic activity (< 0.1 nmol·min⁻¹) was measured with esterase (Figure 4).

**3.3 Plate Clearing Assay**

Meaningful plate clearing assays were performed with BPE-C-PLA, BPE-RP-PLA, and BPE-SP-PBS. No opaque suspension could be prepared with BPE-AMF-PLA, thus obstructing the
plate clearing assays. The control (H₂O) showed no clear zones (Table 3 and Figure 5).

BPE-C-PLA: all three enzymes, protease, lipase, and esterase developed clear zones on plates of BPE-C-PLA at pH 8 (Table 3). The diameter of the clear zone was the largest for protease. At pH 5, a clear zone developed only for esterase.

BPE-RP-PLA: protease and lipase formed clear zones at pH 8 with the largest zone with protease, suggesting the highest hydrolytic activity for this enzyme (Figure 5 and Table 3). At pH 5, a clear zone was observed only for lipase with the same diameter as at pH 8.

BPE-SP-PBS: large clear zones were formed by all three enzymes at pH 8. At pH 5, clear zones were visible only for lipase and esterase but not for protease (Figure 5 and Table 3). The diameters of the clear zones were substantially smaller at pH 5 than at pH 8.

BPE-T-PHBV: no indication of enzymatic activity was detected at pH 8 and pH 5.

4 DISCUSSION

The five bioplastics consisted mainly of PLA, PBS, PBAT, and PHBV, as confirmed by NMR spectroscopy. The bioplastic materials showed different compositions and ratios of these basic materials, which, apparently, affected their degradability. The enzymatic degradability was demonstrated with rapid in vitro assays. pH-Stat titration and plate clearing assays showed mostly low or no degradation at environmental water temperatures. Our results indicate a poor biodegradability of these bioplastics in marine environments.
4.1 pH-Stat Titration

Hydrolysis rates were highest for BPE-AMF-PLA, BPE-C-PLA, and BPE-RP-PLA, although with different enzymes. These materials are based on PLA, which is the major component, accounting for at least 50% of each blend. Pure PLA is efficiently degraded by protease from *Bacillus licheniformis* as measured by pH-stat titration (Miksch et al., 2021). However, of the three materials containing PLA as base polymer, only BPE-C-PLA and BPE-RP-PLA showed a noticeable degradability with protease.

BPE-C-PLA and BPE-RP-PLA are blends of PLA and PBS with ratios of 2.5:1 and 2.6:1, respectively. PLA is well degradable by protease from *B. licheniformis* and other serine proteases (Oda et al., 2000; Lim et al., 2005), whereas PBS polymers are preferably hydrolyzed by lipase (Rizzarelli et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2012). Accordingly, considerable hydrolytic activity was present when these materials were incubated with protease, while the substantially lower hydrolysis rate when incubated with lipase may indicate the degradation of the PBS fraction of the material.

BPE-AMF-PLA is a blend of PLA and PBAT. It was most efficiently hydrolyzed by lipase from *Candida antarctica*. PLA cannot be hydrolyzed by lipase (Jararat and Tokiwa, 2003) whereas PBAT is well degraded by lipase from, e.g., *Pseudomonas cepacia*, *Candida cylindracea* (Herrera et al., 2002), and *Pelosinus fermentans* (Biundo et al., 2016). Therefore, the hydrolytic activity of the lipase on BPE-AMF-PLA likely displays the degradation of the PBAT component of the material rather than PLA. Surprisingly, BPE-AMF-PLA was not degraded by protease although the material is composed of PLA by 70 to 80%, according to producer information. Apparently, the PLA was not accessible for the protease or the enzyme may have been inhibited by compounds of the bioplastic blend.

PBS polymers are hydrolyzed by lipase (Rizzarelli et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2012). Among the three PBS-containing materials, only BPE-SP-PBS was significantly degraded by lipase, but also by protease and esterase. Materials sharing the same base polymer may differ in their degradability depending on the associated copolymers or additives. Differences in physical properties, such as molecular weight, crystallinity, or hydrophilic/hydrophobic properties may affect the enzymatic degradation (Singh and Sharma, 2008; Tokiwa et al., 2009) and, thus, determine the degradability of bioplastics.

BPE-T-PHBV was the least degradable of all bioplastic polymers tested herein. A slight increase in hydrolysis was observed with all enzymes though at very low rates and only at the highest temperature of 30°C. According to the course of the degradation curve, an exponential increase may occur above 30°C. Degradation of PHBV in seawater has been observed previously (Rutkowska et al., 2008). However, the catalytic properties were attributed to specific PHBV-depolymerases (Li et al., 2007). Our results indicate that peptidases and esterases

![Image 1](http://www.frontiersin.org)

**FIGURE 3** | Hydrolytic degradation of PMMA measured by pH-stat titration at temperatures between 5°C and 30°C (means ± SD, n = 3).

![Image 2](http://www.frontiersin.org)

**FIGURE 4** | Hydrolysis of non-soluble collagen by protease, lipase, and esterase measured by pH-stat titration at 20°C (means ± SD, n = 3).

### TABLE 3 | Diameter (mm) of clear zones formed around the area of enzyme application for lipase, esterase, and protease on plates of the BPE-polymers at pH 5 and pH 8.

|          | BPE-C-PLA | BPE-RP-PLA | BPE-AMF-PLA | BPE-SP-PBS | BPE-T-PHBV |
|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| pH 5     | pH 8      | pH 5       | pH 8        | pH 5       | pH 8       | pH 5       | pH 8       | pH 5       | pH 8       | pH 5       | pH 8       |
| H₂O (control) | 0         | 0          | n.d.        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| Lipase   | 16        | 16         | 16          | 15         | 17         | 17         | 24         | 24         | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| Esterase | 0         | 16         | n.d.        | 0          | 17         | n.d.       | 24         | n.d.       | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| Protease | 0         | 32         | 0           | 26         | 0          | 46         | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          |

n.d., not determined.
play little to no role in the degradation of PHBV, but their contribution to degradation might increase at higher temperatures. However, their relevance in the degradation of PHBV in natural aquatic environments remains to be quantified.

Depending on the composition of the material, pH-Stat titration may underestimate the degradability of the synthetic polymer. During hydrolysis, alkaline degradation products may be formed, which can affect the pH. This would counteract the formation of degradation products with carboxyl end groups, where the acidifying effect is used as a measure of degradability. Alkaline leachates would increase the pH during the blank measurement of the material (Miksch et al., 2021). This effect was observed for BPE-C-PLA and BPE-RP-PLA but not for the other bio-based polymers (data not shown). The use of alkaline additives was confirmed by the manufacturer. The unspecific shift in pH was quantified by the blank measurement without enzyme and can be subtracted from the total rate. However, an increasing alkalization during the progressive enzymatic degradation of the material cannot be excluded.

### 4.2 Thermal Profiles

Enzymatic hydrolysis of the bioplastics increased with temperature. Hydrolysis rates were low below 20°C and highest at 25°C and 30°C. The thermal profiles of BPE-C-PLA and BPE-AMF-PLA showed an exponential increase whereas the profiles of BPE-RP-PLA and BPE-SP-PBS were best described by a sigmoidal regression model. The exponential increase displays the progressive rise in enzyme activity with temperature, which may continue up to the optimum of the enzymes at 40 to 60°C (Distributor Information, Sigma Aldrich). At higher temperatures, enzyme activity will cease due to progressive thermal denaturation of the enzymes. Consequently, the curve will flatten and then follow a sigmoidal course as well with an inflection point well above 30°C. Additionally, hydrolysis is also favored when the incubation temperature approaches the melting point of the material due to the higher accessibility of the substrate to the enzyme (He et al., 2001; Marten et al., 2005; Herzog et al., 2006).

The sigmoidal course of the thermal profiles of BPE-RP-PLA and BPE-SP-PBS at temperatures clearly below the thermal optimum of the enzymes must be attributed to the properties of the material rather than the catalytic properties of the enzymes. Depending on the composition of the material, only a limited fraction of the degradable component may be accessible to the enzyme. Hydrolysis may be limited by the surface availability of the degradable component so that increasing
temperature cannot further accelerate the hydrolysis rate. This may particularly affect the hydrolysis of blends and of polymers with amorphous and crystalline fractions, as the amorphous fraction is degraded faster than the crystalline fraction (Göpferich, 1996).

Additionally, the enzymatic activity may be inhibited by chemical additives, which may leach from the hydrolyzed material (Stloukal et al., 2015). To improve the properties and facilitate the processing of plastics, substances such as plasticizers, impact modifiers, or fillers are added during the production process (Jacobsen and Fritz, 1999; Liu et al., 2013; Wiesinger et al., 2021). The broad and diverse application of plastics requires a wide spectrum of additives. Unfortunately, the additives in the bioplastics used in this study were not specified by the manufacturer. The NMR spectra show various small signals in addition to the signals of the base polymers, indicating the presence of additives.

4.3 Comparison With PMMA and Collagen

To better estimate the enzymatic degradability of the bioplastics, we compared their hydrolysis rates with those of the conventional petroleum-based polymer PMMA and the biogenic polymer collagen. PMMA is a synthetic polymer primarily made from crude oil. Due to its favorable properties, such as low weight and high mechanical strength and toughness, PMMA is used in a wide range of applications. It is a very stable polymer, with no susceptibility to hydrolytic degradation (Boudaoud et al., 2018) and almost no biodegradation in the environment (Kaplan et al., 1979; Smith et al., 1987). Accordingly, PMMA showed among the lowest enzymatic hydrolysis of all materials tested in our experiment. However, it was in a similar range as the hydrolysis of BPE-RP-PLA by lipase and esterase and BPE-T-PHBV by all three enzymes. These results indicate that below 20°C the enzymatic degradation is slow and elevated temperatures of up to 30°C occur only in surface waters of tropical regions (Deser et al., 2010). Plastic debris is not restricted to the surface, where the temperature is usually the highest, but also disperses throughout the water column and down to the seafloor (Kershaw et al., 2015) where temperatures are low. Since the density of the five tested bioplastics is between 1.2 and 1.5

4.4 Plate Clearing Assay

The plate clearing assays largely confirmed the results of pH-Stat titration, albeit with some deviations. Significant hydrolysis rates as detected by pH-Stat titration were confirmed by clear zones on the agar plates at pH 8. Clear zones were larger at pH 8 than at pH 5 for almost all enzymes, confirming favorable conditions for the chosen enzymes at pH values of natural seawater. Lipase from *Candida antarctica* and esterase from *Bacillus subtilis* have a pH optima in the neutral to lower alkaline range (Kaiser et al., 2006; Eom et al., 2013), and the serine protease from *Bacillus licheniformis* in the alkaline range (Sareen and Mishra, 2008). The diameter of the clear zones did not consistently correlate with the hydrolysis rates measured by pH-Stat titration. The diameter of the clear zones ranged from 13 to 46 mm indicating considerable degradation, whereas the corresponding pH-Stat hydrolysis rates were mostly low. Additionally, the plate clearing assay indicated a considerable degradation of BPE-RP-PLA by lipase, which was not detected by the pH-Stat titration. The differences may be explained by the higher temperatures and the longer incubation time of the plate clearing assays. For example, no enzymatic degradation of BPE-T-PHBV was observed up to 25°C but distinctly increased at 30°C. A further exponential increase at higher temperatures is likely. Additionally, the dissolution and subsequent re-crystallization of the plastics in the plate clearing assay may have changed the structural properties of the polymer thereby potentially affecting the degradability of the material. Further studies are needed to confirm the suitability of plate clearing assay for the verification of pH-Stat titration results.

4.5 Environmental Relevance

Degradation of bioplastics in the environment requires the presence of the appropriate enzymes or mixtures thereof produced by microorganisms. Besides temperature, salinity, pH, and UV radiation (Voinova et al., 2008), also depth, oxygen, and organic substrate availability determine the microbial community in marine habitats and their catalytic potential (Chen et al., 2019; Tobias-Hünefeldt et al., 2019). Our *in vitro* experiments proved the general enzymatic degradability of the tested materials by single enzymes, but we did not test the combined effects of enzymes co-occurring in the environment. Mixtures of several enzymes showed synergistic effects on the degradation of natural materials (Spagnuolo et al., 1997; Murashima et al., 2003). A combination of various enzyme classes may show different effects on the hydrolysis of the materials as well. However, the presence of highly active proteases in such mixtures may be adverse as they may degrade other enzymes like lipases and esterase (Drouault et al., 2000) and reduce their catalytic potential.

Three of the tested materials are labeled as industrially compostable (Table 2) at the specific conditions in industrial composting plants of 50 - 60°C [European Bioplastics e.V. (EUBP), 2015]. However, under natural seawater conditions below 20°C, the enzymatic degradation is slow and elevated temperatures of up to 30°C occur only in surface waters of tropical regions (Deser et al., 2010). Plastic debris is not restricted to the surface, where the temperature is usually the highest, but also disperses throughout the water column and down to the seafloor (Kershaw et al., 2015) where temperatures are low. Since the density of the five tested bioplastics is between 1.2 and 1.5
g cm⁻³, (Manufacturer Information) these materials likely sink to the ocean floor and are exposed to low temperatures, where they degrade only slowly or not at all.

5 CONCLUSION
Degradation rates of the tested bioplastics were low at environmentally relevant temperatures. Accordingly, uncontrolled release of these materials into the environment would inevitably lead to an accumulation in sensitive ecosystems similar to conventional, petroleum-based plastics. To counteract the pollution of the marine environment with putative biodegradable plastics, more effort in research and development is needed to design polymers that are more degradeable to low at less environmental temperatures of the oceans.
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