INTRODUCTION

Hypertension remains a worldwide public health burden. The global prevalence of hypertension is rising mainly due to the population aging and obesity. Estimated number of hypertensive adults will reach 1.56 billion by 2025. Hypertension is a well-established risk factor for cardiovascular disease, renal disease, and premature death. In order to improve the secondary prevention and minimize its complications, early prediction of individuals at risk of hypertension is of great importance.

Albumin detected in the urine may represent a sign of kidney disease. Urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) is most frequently applied to diagnose albuminuria. In spot urine specimens, normal level of UACR is below 30 mg/g. The normal UACR value is less than or equal to 17 mg/g in men but in women, the level is observed to be higher ranging around 25 mg/g. Value of 30 to 300 mg/g in the spot urine
is considered as presence of microalbuminuria. Microalbuminuria has been identified as an independent predictor of coronary artery disease, cardiovascular, and all-cause death in the general population. Several observational studies have provided evidence of an association between a slight increase in UACR within the normal range and incident hypertension with conflicting results. Particularly, one study reported that the association of UACR with incident hypertension was female-specific, whereas other did not find the significant association in women. These conflicting findings may be correlated with the impact of gender-specific cutoff value of UACR.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous systematic review and meta-analysis have assessed the association between elevated UACR within the normal range and development of hypertension. To address this knowledge gap, we perform this meta-analysis to answer whether elevated level of albuminuria within the normal range can predict incident hypertension in the general population.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Data sources and searches

We performed this meta-analysis according to the guideline of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses Statement. A comprehensive literature search was conducted on PubMed and Embase databases until July 31, 2020, using the following terms in combination: "albumin creatinine ratio" AND "hypertension" AND "follow-up". We also manually scanned the reference lists of included articles and pertinent reviews to identify additional studies.

2.2 | Study selection

Two authors independently selected the eligible studies according to the following inclusion criteria: (a) longitudinal observational studies that enrolled the participants in the general population; (b) exposure: baseline UACR within the normal range (spot urine UACR from 0 to 30 mg/g); (c) comparison: the highest versus the lowest category of UACR level; (d) outcome measure: incident hypertension; and (e) provided multivariable-adjusted risk summary of hypertension. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mm Hg, or use of antihypertensive drugs. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) participants with hypertension at baseline; (b) albuminuria as exposure; and (c) analysis of UACR level by continuous value.

2.3 | Data extraction and quality assessment

The following relevant data were extracted by two independent authors: first author's last name, publication year, study design, source...
| First author/Year | Country | Study design | Participants | Sample size (% males) | Median/mean age (years) | UACR cutoff (mg/g) | HYP case | RR/HR (95% CI) | Follow-up (years) | Adjustment for covariates | Total NOS |
|-------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Forman. 2008³     | USA     | P            | Postmenopausal women | 1065 (0)          | 65 (60-70)            | Quartile 4 vs. 1; >6.5 vs.<1.0 | 271       | 5.4 (3.7–23.8) | 4.0             | Age, BMI, eGFR, baseline BP, physical activity, smoking, family history of hypertension | 7        |
| Forman. 2008³     | USA     | P            | Premenopausal women | 1114 (0)           | 44 (41-47)            | Quartile 4 vs. 1; >6.5 vs.<1.0 | 296       | 1.35 (0.97–1.91) | 8.0             | Age, BMI, eGFR, baseline BP, physical activity, smoking, family history of hypertension | 8        |
| Jessani 2012²     | Pakistan| P            | Normotensive non-diabetic adults | 920 (52.4)     | 48.8 ± 9.4            | Quartile 4 vs. 1; >7.0 vs.<2.0 | 105       | 2.45 (1.21–4.98) | 2.0             | Age, sex, census, cluster assignment to home health education | 6        |
| Park 2014⁸        | Korea   | P            | Men on medical check-up | 1284 (100)      | 50.9 ± 10.5           | Quartile 4 vs. 1; ≥5.54 vs.<2.84 | 284       | 1.89 (1.31–2.71) | 3.2             | BMI, DM, SBP, TC, hsCRP, eGFR, recent smoking, alcohol intake, regular exercise. | 7        |
| Takase 2015⁹      | Japan   | R            | Normotensive on medical check-up | 6205 (61.8)    | 53.4 ± 11.4.          | Quartile 4 vs. 1; >7.04 vs.<1.82 | 1184      | 1.53 (1.30–1.80) | 3.0             | BMI, SBP, heart rate, eGFR, uric acid, fasting plasma glucose, LDL, TG, current smoking, family history of hypertension | 8        |
| Hirayama 2015⁶    | Japan   | P            | Normotensive community-based persons | 412 (39.3)     | 56.9 ± 8.6            | Tertile 3 vs.1; >10 vs.<5 | 133       | 2.67 (1.36–5.38) | 6.7             | Age, sex, alcohol, smoking, BMI, 24-h urinary excretion of sodium, HbA1c, BP categories at baseline | 6        |
| Huang 2015¹⁰      | USA     | P            | Normotensive general population | 5215 (NP)       | 62.6 ± 5.6            | Quintile 5 vs. 1; ≥9.14 vs.<1.36 | 2175      | 1.26 (1.08-1.46) | 9.8             | Age, sex, race, BMI, alcohol, smoking, DM, prevalent stroke, TC, education, physical activity, eGFR, SBP, DBP | 8        |
| Sung 2016¹¹       | Korea   | R            | Individuals on health screening | 9102 (53.3)    | 42.7 ± 10.3           | Quartile 4 vs. 1; ≥7.4 vs.<3.4 | 963       | 1.97 (1.52–2.55) | 11             | Age, sex, center, screening examination, smoking, alcohol, regular exercise, education level, SBP, BMI, eGFR, LDL, HDL | 8        |
| Yadav 2016¹²      | Korea   | P            | Normotensive general population | 1173 (37.7)    | 53.5 ± 8.4            | Tertile 3 vs.1; ≥9.37 vs.<5.38 (M); ≥11.6 vs.<6.17 (W) | 123       | 1.83 (0.85–3.94) | 2.6             | Age, BMI, muscle mass, SBP, DM, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, fasting serum glucose, TG, LDL, hs-CRP, eGFR | 8        |
| Munakata 2017¹⁸   | Japan   | P            | Normotensive general population | 1281 (34.3)    | 58 ± 12.3             | Quartile 4 vs. 1 | 315       | 1.67 (1.15-2.42) | 3.7             | Age, sex, BMI, SBP, DBP, TG, LDL, HbA1c, uric acid, eGFR, smoking, exercise habits, heavy drinking | 7        |

Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; CAD, coronary artery disease; CI, confidence intervals; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HR, hazard ratio; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HYP, hypertension; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, men; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; P, prospective; R, retrospective; RR, risk ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; W, women.

³Excluding those with ACR ≥300 mg/g.

⁴Age was expressed by median (interquartile range) or mean (standard deviation).
of population, participants, sample sizes, gender distribution, age at baseline, cutoff of UACR elevation, definition of hypertension, case of hypertension event, length of follow-up, multivariable-adjusted risk ratio (RR) or hazard ratio (HR) with their 95% confidence intervals (CI), and adjusted confounders. Methodological quality of included studies was assessed with a 9-point Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Those with a score of 7 points or more were deemed as high-quality studies. Any disagreement was resolved by consulting with the third author.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using Stata 12.0 (Stata Corporation). The pooling risk estimate of hypertension was calculated for the highest versus the lowest category of UACR. Heterogeneity between studies was explored using the $I^2$ statistics (significance set at $\geq 50\%$) and Cochrane Q test (significance set at $p < .10$). A random effect model was selected when there was statistically significant heterogeneity; otherwise, we choose a fixed-effect model. In case of random effect model analysis, we also estimated a 95% predictive interval using the “rfdist” option under the metan command. In order to observe the credibility of the pooled risk summary, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by removal of any single study each time. Subgroup analyses were conducted according to study design, number of participants, gender, menopausal status, duration of follow-up, and whether adjusted estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Begg’s test and Egger’s test were used to examine the publication bias. A trim-and-fill analysis was conducted to investigate the impact of publication bias when the publication bias was observed.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Search results and studies’ characteristics

Of 1052 potential records identified, 37 full-text articles were retrieved for assessment of eligibility. Twenty-eight articles were removed with various reasons (Figure 1). Forman and colleagues article included first Nurses’ Health Study (NHS I) and the second Nurses’ Health Study (NHS II). Thus, nine articles (10 studies) were finally included in this analysis.

Table 1 describes the main characteristic of the included studies. These studies were published from 2008 to 2017 and performed in the United States, Pakistan, Japan, and Korea. Two articles were retrospective designs and others were prospective studies. Sample sizes ranged from 412 to 9,102 individuals. The overall number was 27,771 participants. The follow-up length ranged between 2.0 and 11 years. Eight studies were classified as high-quality studies according to the NOS criteria.

3.2 | Hypertension

All the included studies reported the association between UACR and incident hypertension. As shown in Figure 2, a random effect model was applied because there was significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 61.0\%$; $p =$ .004). Meta-analysis showed that individuals with the highest UACR had a 75% higher risk of incident hypertension (RR 1.75; 95% CI 1.47-2.09) when compared with those with the lowest UACR. The predictive interval for the RR of hypertension was 1.06 to 2.89. Sensitivity analysis further confirmed the robustness of the original predictive value (data not shown). Table 2 summarizes the results of subgroup analysis. Both the Begg’s test ($p =$ .062) and the Egger’s

![FIGURE 2. Forest plots showing pooled RR with 95% CI of incident hypertension for the highest versus the lowest category of UACR. Circle and squares represent the estimated effect size and percentage of weight of individual studies, respectively. Diamonds represent the pooled effect sizes from all the studies](image-url)
test ($p = .004$) suggested the presence of publication bias. However, the "trim-and-fill" analysis indicates that the pooling risk estimate of hypertension remained statistically significant (RR 1.42; 95% CI 1.03–1.90) when imputed these 6 missing studies (Figure 3).

### 3.3 Gender-stratified analysis

One study$^{12}$ reported the risk estimates by gender, one study$^{8}$ recruited men only, and one article$^{5}$ only enrolled women. As shown in Figure 4, when the highest UACR were compared with the lowest UACR, the pooled RR of hypertension was 2.47 (95% CI: 1.10–5.55; $I^2 = 78.1%$, $p = .010$) for women and 1.88 (95% CI: 1.35–2.61; $I^2 = 0.0%$, $p = .941$) for men in a random effect model.

### 4 DISCUSSION

This is the first meta-analysis to examine the association between UACR level at baseline and development of hypertension. The main finding of this meta-analysis is that elevated UACR even within the normal range is independently associated with an increased risk of hypertension in the general population. The pooled multivariable-adjusted risk estimate of the association of UACR with incident hypertension showed that individuals with the highest UACR level had a 75% higher risk of future hypertension compared to those with the lowest UACR. This finding reveals that baseline UACR can be served as a predictor of incident hypertension in the general population.

Recently, albuminuria has been proposed as a cause of hypertension$^{19}$ However, there are different degrees of albuminuria according to the amounts of protein in the urine. Clinicians usually examine the ratio of albumin to creatinine for the detection of albuminuria. Lower amounts of albumin constitute a condition known as microalbuminuria. Our meta-analysis specially focused on the predictive role of elevated UACR within the normal range in the general population. Apart from the categorical analysis of UACR, per 10-fold increase of baseline UAER level was also significantly associated with higher risk of incident hypertension (RR 2.29; 95%CI 1.77–2.95) in the general population.$^{20}$ Also, normal-range UACR level in healthy individuals increased over time was associated with an increased risk

| Subgroup                  | Number of studies | Pooled RR | 95% CI | Heterogeneity between studies |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Study design              |                   |           |        |                             |
| Prospective               | 8                 | 1.85      | 1.44–2.37 | $p = .005; I^2 = 63.2\%$     |
| Retrospective             | 2                 | 1.70      | 1.33–2.17 | $p = .105; I^2 = 61.9\%$     |
| Region                    |                   |           |        |                             |
| Asia                      | 7                 | 1.75      | 1.55–1.97 | $p = .407; I^2 = 3.0\%$      |
| USA                       | 3                 | 1.64      | 1.03–2.59 | $p = .010; I^2 = 78.92\%$    |
| Sample sizes              |                   |           |        |                             |
| $\geq 1000$               | 8                 | 1.68      | 1.40–2.00 | $p = .006; I^2 = 62.7\%$     |
| $<1000$                   | 2                 | 2.56      | 1.56–4.19 | $p = .864; I^2 = 0.0\%$      |
| Follow-up duration        |                   |           |        |                             |
| $\geq 5$ years            | 4                 | 1.60      | 1.19–2.15 | $p = .008; I^2 = 74.7\%$     |
| $<5$ years                | 6                 | 1.90      | 1.51–2.39 | $p = .111; I^2 = 42.0\%$     |
| Adjusted eGFR             |                   |           |        |                             |
| Yes                       | 8                 | 1.68      | 1.40–2.00 | $p = .006; I^2 = 62.7\%$     |
| No                        | 2                 | 2.56      | 1.56–4.19 | $p = .864; I^2 = 0.0\%$      |
| Menopausal status         |                   |           |        |                             |
| Postmenopausal            | 2                 | 4.62      | 2.38–8.96 | $p = .639; I^2 = 0.0\%$      |
| Premenopausal             | 2                 | 1.51      | 0.76–3.01 | $p = .274; I^2 = 16.4\%$     |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence intervals; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RR, risk ratio.
of hypertension.\textsuperscript{21} Taken together, these findings support that elevated UACR conferred an increased risk of incident hypertension.

There may be a gender-specific association of elevated UACR within the normal range with incident hypertension.\textsuperscript{12} Our subgroup analysis showed that elevated UACR level was associated with an increased risk of hypertension in both men and women. However, this conclusion was established in a small number of studies. Future well-designed studies are required to further confirm this finding. Women had higher normal UACR level than men.\textsuperscript{12,22} Gender-specific reference value of UACR may be contributed to the different predictive role. Menopausal status may affect the association between UACR level and risk of hypertension. Stratified analysis suggested that postmenopausal women but not premenopausal women exhibit a significant association of elevated UACR with incident hypertension. This finding may be explained by lack of protection by estrogen in postmenopausal women.

The association between UACR and incident hypertension may be affected by renal function. Subgroup analysis indicated that the predictive value of elevated UACR was slightly attenuated after adjusting for the eGFR. However, this association remained statistically significant after adjustment of eGFR. These findings suggest that high normal UACR is still a predictor of incident hypertension even in the absence of kidney disease.

The mechanisms underlying the association of UACR with incident hypertension are largely unknown. Increased urinary albumin excretion can reflect glomerular and systemic vascular endothelial dysfunction.\textsuperscript{23} On the other hand, low-grade inflammation is determinants of the development of elevated UAER.\textsuperscript{24,25} Glomerular hyperfiltration induced by inflammation may be linked between the albumin excretion and hypertension.

Nevertheless, this meta-analysis has several limitations. First, specimen for analyzing UACR was collected from a single spot urine sample rather than a 24-h collection, which may increase the possibility of misclassification of participants. Second, cutoff values of elevated UACR were not uniform in the analyzed studies. Notably, majority of included studies did not use the gender-specific UACR\textsuperscript{26} to assess the association between UACR and incident hypertension which may have affected their predictive values. Third, significant heterogeneity was observed in pooling the overall predictive role of UACR. Different cutoffs of UACR elevation, duration of follow-up, gender distribution, and degrees of the adjusted model may be partly responsible for the significant heterogeneity. Finally, the pooling risk summary may be slightly overestimated due to the impact of publication bias.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This meta-analysis indicates that even within the normal range of urinary albumin excretion, elevated UACR at baseline is associated with an increased risk of hypertension in the general population. For individuals with high UACR, closer monitoring of blood pressure is highly recommended. However, further studies are warranted to investigate the gender-specific predictive role of UACR.
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