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**ABSTRACT:** Water salinity is among the most important factors influencing the distribution, abundance, growth, and survival of *Crassostrea gasar*, an important aquaculture resource grown in estuarine environments in diverse regions of the world. The goal of the present work was to evaluate the effects of different salinities on survival and the tissues of *C. gasar* under laboratory conditions. Two experiments were performed using adult oysters from five marine farms located in the bay of Guaratuba, Brazil. In Experiment 1, the daily survival rates were evaluated after the oysters were submitted to gradual acclimatization at salinities ranging from 0 to 65 gL⁻¹ and maintained in the laboratory without feeding for up to 365 days. In Experiment 2, the oysters were exposed to salinity from 0 to 50 g L⁻¹ for up to 30 days without feeding and possible histological alterations caused by salinity were assessed. Three tolerance ranges of *C. gasar* to salinity were identified: “Optimal” (between 4 and 40 gL⁻¹), “Tolerable” (between 2.1 and 3.9 and between 41 and 50 gL⁻¹) and “Intolerable” (less than 2 and greater than 50 gL⁻¹). No evidence of histological alterations was observed in oysters exposed to the different salinities.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Oysters are widely cultivated commercially in estuarine environments that feature great seasonal and even daily fluctuations of several environmental variables (Pereira C. S. 2003, Vilanova and Chaves 1988). In these environments, while the salinity is typically less than that of natural seawater, it also varies temporally and spatially and can, in certain instances, become hypersaline in specific regions where evaporative water loss is high and freshwater and tidal inputs are negligible (Potter et al. 2010). Therefore, salinity is considered the most important factor in the distribution, abundance, growth, and survival oyster (Brown and Hartwick 1988, Casas et al. 2017, Tolley et al. 2006, Wells 1961).

Salinity can directly affect the physiological processes of mollusc larvae, interfering in their feeding capacity, duration of the planktonic phase, and ability to select settlement sites (Siddall 1982). In juvenile and adult oysters, the ability to support variations of salinity is related to their osmoconforming efficiency (Galtsoff 1964, Shumway 1996).

Similar to most bivalves, oysters do not have sophisticated osmoregulation mechanisms (Méthé 2015). In fact, they do not regulate but instead dilute/concentrate the extracellular osmolarity through the rapid increase/decrease in cellular volume in response to the flow of water produced by osmotic stress (Pierce 1982). In this way, the extracellular fluid remains isosmotic in relation to the fluid present in the mantle cavity (Loosanoff 1953).

The capacity of osmotic equilibrium with the environment differs significantly between oysters of the same species that inhabit different aquatic biotopes, due primarily to population polymorphisms (Pierce 1982). Despite the wide tolerance that the oysters present, it is known that tissue changes and drastic reductions in oyster survival and growth rates can occur depending on the amplitude and velocity of salinity variation.
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(Downs et al. 2009, La Peyre et al. 2003, Levinton et al. 2011, Parada et al. 2012).

*Crassostrea gasar* (synonymy = *Crassostrea brasiliana*) is present on the West coast of Africa from Senegal to Angola (Afinowi 1984), as well as on the Atlantic coast of South America, ranging from French Guiana to southern Brazil (Baldan and Bendhack 2009, Lapègue et al. 2002). This species is popularly known as the mangrove oyster because it fixes itself to mangrove roots in the intertidal zone, though it settles directly on the bottom substrate in the infralittoral zone (Areias 2012).

*Crassostrea gasar* is the main native oyster species cultivated in Brazil (Castilho-Westphal and Ostrensky 2017, Legat et al. 2017, Lopes et al. 2013).

This study aimed to evaluate the effects of different salinities on *C. gasar* under laboratory conditions, particularly their influence on the survival rates and possible tissue alterations in these animals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In 2015 two independent experiments were conducted: Experiment 1 - Effects of salinity on oyster survival and Experiment 2 - Histological effects of salinity.

Obtaining animals

The oysters used in the experiments (n=438) were obtained from five marine farms located in the Bay of Guaruatuba, Paraná State, Brazil (Lat. 25°83'S, Long. 48°57'W). The oysters used in the experiment 1 were obtained in February of 2014 and the others used in the experiment 2 were obtained in May of 2015.

At the time of animal collection in the cultivation structures (Japanese lanterns), the temperature (analogue thermometer Incoterm, Brazil) and salinity (Instrutemp optical refractometer, Brazil) were measured. The values recorded for these parameters were subsequently used as a reference to guide the acclimatization of the animals in the laboratory.

After collection, the oysters were washed using a high-pressure washer to remove sediment and epibionts. The animals were later stored in thermal boxes and transported to the Aquatic Organisms Research Laboratory (LAPOA), which belongs to the Integrated Group of Aquaculture and Environmental Studies (GIA) of the Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil (Lat. 25°24'47.70"S, Long. 49°14'52.82"O).

Common procedures for experiments 1 and 2

The oysters were acclimatized in tanks with a capacity of 500 L, filled with seawater of the same salinity (27 gL⁻¹) observed at the time that the animals were collected from the cultivation structures. The oysters were maintained in these tanks for five days to acclimatize to the conditions. After this period, the salinity of the water was altered every two days in 5 gL⁻¹ adding freshwater or sea salt (Romani S/A, Brazil). The acclimation was performed under constant aeration and temperature of 25 ± 1.0°C.

None of the animals was fed during the experiment. The following abiotic variables were monitored daily throughout the experiment: dissolved oxygen (mg/L) and temperature (°C) (digital oximeter YSI™ Pro 20, USA); salinity (gL⁻¹) (Instrutemp™ refractometer, Brazil); pH (pH metre Sensoglass™ SP1400, Brazil); total ammonia (mg/L (NH₃ + NH₄⁻)-N) and nitrite concentrations (mg/L NO₂⁻-N) obtained by the colourimetric method (APHA, 2005) by reading the samples by spectrometry (Molecular Devices ™ ExpectraMax M2, USA). After these variables were measured, 100% of the water was renewed in the experimental units. The water used in the experiment was previously chlorinated with 12% sodium hypochlorite, neutralized with sodium thiosulphate and later filtered through a 20 µm mesh net.

Experiment 1

The animals used in this experiment had a mean (± standard deviation) weight of 52.5 ± 13.8 g, height (dorsoventral axis) of 68 ± 8 mm, length (anteroposterior axis) of 48 ± 7 mm and width (transverse axis) of 22 ± 4 mm (measurements based on Galtsoff (1964)).

The tests were performed in 42 experimental units composed of 5 L plastic containers filled with water, which were kept in water-bath systems mounted in 1,000 L water tanks. With the aid of the heaters and thermostats, these systems enabled to maintain the water temperature throughout the experiment (25 ± 1.0°C).

Fourteen treatments (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 25, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 65 gL⁻¹) were tested, all of which were performed in triplicate. In each experimental unit, four oysters were placed, totalling 12 oysters per treatment and 168 animals tested in this experiment.

The oysters were observed daily three times a day (8 am, 1 pm and 7 pm) to identify any dead individuals. Oysters with open shells that did not
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There was significant variation in water quality variables measured among the different experimental treatments (p < 0.05). However, extreme values remained within the recommended limits for the survival of bivalve molluscs (Table 1).

Although the oysters did not receive any food during the experimental period, 23.2% of individuals exposed to a salinity of 5 gL\(^{-1}\) and 25% of those exposed to a salinity of 10 gL\(^{-1}\) remained alive for 365 days. The "Optimum" range of salinity was 4 to 40 gL\(^{-1}\); the "Tolerable" range was between 2.1 and 3.9, and 41 and 49 gL\(^{-1}\); and the "Intolerable" range was below 2 gL\(^{-1}\) and above 50 gL\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1).

Effect of salinity on oyster tissue

Deaths of individuals were recorded in all treatments, which made it impossible for all animals tested to have their tissues analysed through histology (Table 2).
Table 1. Measured (mean [min-max]) and references values for the water quality variables throughout the experiment. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) among treatments.

| Salinity (gL⁻¹) | Total ammonia-N (mg/L TA-N) | Nitrite -N (mg/L NO₂⁻N) | Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | pH |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|
|                | Reference value              |                         |                         |    |
|                | < 7.2†                       | < 345.1‡                 | > 3§                     | 6.7-8.7¶ |
| 0              | 1.1³                          | 0.2bcd                   | 6.9bc                    | 7.4abc |
|                | (0.01-5.5)                   | (0.00-2.2)               | (5.5-8.3)                | (6.4-8.6) |
| 1              | 1.2³                          | 7.3⁴ strengthens         | 7.2bcd                   | 7.6abcd |
|                | (0.04-5.8)                   | (0.6-22.1)               | (6.3-8.3)                | (6.9-8.1) |
| 2              | 0.7³                          | 9.9⁴ strengthens         | 7.2abcd                   | 7.6abcd |
|                | (0.00-2.2)                   | (0.1-24.2)               | (6.2-8.3)                | (7.0-8.0) |
| 3              | 0.8⁴                          | 11.1⁴ strengthens        | 7.8ed                    | 7.5abc |
|                | (0.01-6.7)                   | (0.06-20.6)              | (6.3-8.9)                | (6.7-8.4) |
| 4              | 0.5⁵                          | 6.6⁶ strengthens         | 7.7ed                    | 7.3abc |
|                | (0.01-6.0)                   | (0.01-20.5)              | (6.2-8.9)                | (6.3-8.2) |
| 5              | 0.6⁶                          | 1.4⁷ strengthens         | 7.3bc                    | 6.9⁸ strengthens |
|                | (0.01-5.6)                   | (0.01-10.2)              | (5.0-9.9)                | (6.0-9.9) |
| 10             | 0.7⁸                          | 1.6⁹ strengthens         | 7.2bc                    | 7.1⁹ strengthens |
|                | (0.01-6.4)                   | (0.01-13.3)              | (5.1-8.9)                | (5.5-8.8) |
| 25             | 0.3⁹                          | 0.2⁹ strengthens         | 7.3bc                    | 7.3bc |
|                | (0.03-2.9)                   | (0.00-9.6)               | (5.1-8.3)                | (6.5-8.2) |
| 35             | 0.2⁹                          | 0.2bc                    | 7.3bc                    | 7.5bc |
|                | (0.02-1.7)                   | (0.00-0.8)               | (5.5-8.3)                | (6.4-8.7) |
| 40             | 0.2bc                        | 0.2bc                    | 7.2abc                   | 7.7bc |
|                | (0.03-3.2)                   | (0.00-0.9)               | (4.8-8.3)                | (6.5-8.7) |
| 45             | 0.4bc                        | 0.3bc                    | 7.1abc                   | 7.9bc |
|                | (0.04-3.1)                   | (0.01-1.0)               | (5.2-8.9)                | (6.6-8.8) |
| 50             | 0.2⁰                          | 0.2bc                    | 7.1abc                   | 7.8bc |
|                | (0.02-1.4)                   | (0.01-0.8)               | (5.3-8.2)                | (6.7-8.9) |
| 55             | 0.5⁰                          | 0.2bc                    | 7.1abc                   | 7.3⁹ strengthens |
|                | (0.03-3.4)                   | (0.01-1.0)               | (5.5-7.9)                | (6.4-8.6) |
| 65             | 0.6⁰                          | 2.2⁰ strengthens         | 7.2abc                   | 7.3⁹ strengthens |
|                | (0.02-3.8)                   | (0.01-4.6)               | (6.2-8.6)                | (6.7-8.0) |

† C. rhizophorae (Guzenski 1996)
‡ Argopecten irradians (Widman et al. 2008)
§ Crassostrea sp. (Mello 2007)
¶ Crassostrea gigas (Morales 1986)
Figure 1. Adjusted survival curve and tolerance ranges of *Crassostrea gasar* to salinity. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the experimental treatments (salinities), using the Kruskal-Wallis H test.

Table 2. Total number of oysters analysed during the experimental period in different treatments (salinities).

| Salinity (gL⁻¹) | Period (days) | 0 (Control) | 1 to 5 | 6 to 15 | 16 to 30 |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------|---------|----------|
|                | L  D  A      | L  D  A     | L  D  A | L  D  A |
| 0              | 6  0  6      | 15  39  15  | 0  0  0 | 0  0  0 |
| 10             | 6  0  6      | 54  0  18   | 36  0  18 | 14  4  14 |
| 25             | 6  0  6      | 54  0  18   | 36  0  18 | 6   12  6  |
| 40             | 6  0  6      | 54  0  18   | 36  0  18 | 10  8  10 |
| 50             | 6  0  6      | 54  0  18   | 8   28  8  | 0   0  0  |

L = Alive; D = Dead; A = Analysed

Histological analysis revealed three types of alterations in the oysters: the presence of stress indicator cells, tissue changes, and the presence of pathogens (Figure 2; Table 3). However, none of these factors was correlated with salinity (p > 0.05), either in relation to the total numbers of alterations, or in relation to the organs analysed or the periods of exposure. Significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed only in relation to *Nematopsis* sp. and *Rickettsia*-like organism (RLO) pathogens (Table 4).

There was only a difference between two concentrations for each pathogen, which does not represent an indication that salinity influences the number of pathogens, since there was no pattern. That is, there was no increase or decrease of pathogens proportionate to the increase or decrease of salinity.
Table 3. Pathogens observed in oyster (*Crassostrea gasar*) tissues exposed to different salinities.

| Pathogens       | Description                                                                 | Organ                          | Salinity (gL⁻¹)          |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nematopsis sp.  | Porosporidae, Sporozoa. Genera of eukaryotic, protozoic, gregarine parasites. | Gills, stomach, digestive gland, gonads, mantle and labial palps | 0, 10, 25, 40 and 50     |
| Rickettsia-like organism | Genera of nonmotile, Gram-negative, nonspore-forming, highly pleomorphic bacteria that can be present as cocci (0.1 µm in diameter), rods (1–4 µm long), or thread-like (10 µm long). | Gills | 0, 10, 25, 40 and 50 |
| Urastoma sp.    | Urostomidae, turbellarian parasites.                                       | Gills, digestive gland and gonads | 0, 10, 25, 40 and 50     |

Table 4. Indicators and the total number of alterations and pathogens in parameters observed in oyster (*Crassostrea gasar*) tissues exposed to different salinities after 0, 5, 15 and 30 days of exposure.

| Indicators          | Parameter                          | Salinity (gL⁻¹) | Control (n=6) | 0 (n=18) | 10 (n=18) | 25 (n=18) | 40 (n=18) | 50 (n=18) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Stress indicator cell | Brown cells                        |                 | 925           | 2009      | 9928      | 7180      | 9710      | 4743      |
| Tissue alterations  | Hyperplasia of gill epithelium     |                 | 0             | 2         | 32        | 34        | 24        | 21        |
|                     | Gland tissue cell hypertrophy      |                 | 4             | 2         | 19        | 10        | 19        | 0         |
| Pathogen            | Nematopsis sp.                     |                 | 11<sup>ab</sup> | 27<sup>ab</sup> | 237<sup>ab</sup> | 220<sup>ab</sup> | 97<sup>b</sup> | 227<sup>a</sup> |
|                     | Rickettsia-like organism            |                 | 130<sup>ab</sup> | 321<sup>ab</sup> | 1044<sup>ab</sup> | 1101<sup>a</sup> | 1026<sup>ab</sup> | 389<sup>b</sup> |
|                     | Urastoma sp.                       |                 | 1             | 4         | 9         | 10        | 19        | 2         |
DISCUSSION

Even some of the world's most aquacultured species may encounter problems related to the reduction of salinity in cultivation areas. For example, according to Ellard et al. (2004), *Crassostrea gigas* cultivated in the bays of George and Moulting, Australia suffered 90% mortality after a period of rainfall in the summer when salinity was reduced to 2 gL\(^{-1}\) for 10 consecutive days. Similarly, La Peyre M. K. et al. (2013) noted that nearly 100% of the oysters *Crassostrea virginica* cultivated in the Breton Sound estuary in Louisiana died when they were exposed to salinities below 5 gL\(^{-1}\) during the summer months. Based on these findings, it is possible to say that in the present study *C. gasar* presented high tolerance to low salinities. The individuals tested survived at salinities below 2 gL\(^{-1}\) for up to approximately 13 days and, on average, for 6 months in a salinity of 5 gL\(^{-1}\).

In addition to estuarine areas, oysters can also be cultivated in hypersaline waters. For instance, there are records of commercial enterprises installed in hypersaline lagoons (Ferreira et al. 2009, Largier et al. 1997), in subtropical seawater fish
ponds with salinity above 40 gL⁻¹ (Hughes-Games 1977), or in abandoned saline ponds (Hughes-Games 1977, King 1977). Our results suggest that *Crassostrea* could survive for long periods (for up to approximately 100 days) in waters with up to 40 gL⁻¹, occasionally tolerating up to 50 gL⁻¹ for short periods, which could enable it to be cultivated in temporarily hypersaline environments.

According to La Peyre M. K. et al. (2013), the high mortality rate of oysters of the genus *Crassostrea* exposed to extreme salinities is related to the inability of these animals to control plasma osmolality for long periods, and to the prolonged closure of the valves during these events. Thus, it is probable that the rapid mortality of the oysters tested (in salinities below 2 and greater than 50 gL⁻¹) occurred due to a process of metabolic acidosis. As stated by Lombardi et al. (2013), when exposed in intolerable salinity ranges, oysters close their valves for an indeterminate time, causing the accumulation of carbon dioxide in the tissues, which promotes respiratory acidosis, eventually leading to the death of the animal.

In relation to the ranges considered "Tolerable" (between 2.1 and 3.9 and between 40 and 50 gL⁻¹) and "Optimum" (from 4 to 40 gL⁻¹), some differences were observed when our results were compared to those of Funo et al. (2015), who also studied the effects of salinity on *C. gasar*. Funo *et al.* (2015) recorded that the *C. gasar* tolerance range to salinity extended from 10 to 50 gL⁻¹, but better results were achieved at 25 gL⁻¹. Additionally, Wakamatsu (1973) and Pereira O. M. et al. (2001) concluded that *C. gasar* tolerates salinities between 8 and 34 gL⁻¹ but with a higher survival rate between 15 and 25 gL⁻¹. The primary difference between the present work and these previous studies is the total duration of the experiment. Even without access to any kind of exogenous food, the animals in the present study were able to survive within the "Optimum" range of salinity tolerance for periods longer than 6 months, with a portion even surviving for a year under these conditions. Although unexpected, this great tolerance to prolonged fasting probably is related to anabolism and the storage of energy reserves. Oysters store glycogen in their tissues, and when necessary, degrade it, generating energy from glucose (LI et al. 2007).

Another difference in relation to the results obtained in the present study was the absence of a causal link between salinity and the only two tissue alterations identified in the oysters analysed (hyperplasia and gill hypertrophy). These results differ from those found by Knowles *et al.* (2014), who identified several tissue alterations resulting from exposure to reduced salinities (up to 3 gL⁻¹) in *C. gigas* from northwestern Tasmania. Among the alterations identified by Knowles *et al.* (2014) were: intracytoplasmic vacuolization and haemocytes infiltration in the digestive tract, erosion of the mantle, expansion of renal intracellular spaces, gonadal necrosis, and Leydig cells. Additionally, Winstead J. T. (1995) found that *C. virginica* presented atrophy of the digestive gland due to valve closure after exposure to low salinities in Apalachicola Bay, Florida.

Among the anomalies identified in the present study, only the presence of the parasite *Nematopsis* sp. and RLO bacteria exhibited statistically significant differences between certain treatments. It is known that *Nematopsis* sp. is usually found in the gills, mantle, digestive gland and labial palps and that when in low densities, this parasite does not cause significant damage to the oyster tissues (Cremonte et al. 2005, Winstead J. T. et al. 2004). However, Sabry *et al.* (2007) found that the high prevalence of *Nematopsis* sp. in *Crassostrea rhizophorae* (affecting from 60 to 100% of organs) led to the occurrence of haemocyte infiltration. Other damage caused by *Nematopsis* sp. can be the destruction of connective tissue (Boehs *et al.* 2010). However, the occurrence of *Nematopsis* sp. in the oysters analysed in the present study was too low to enable us to identify any specific lesions that could be associated with the presence of this parasite. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that relate the effects of salinity with the presence of *Nematopsis* sp. in oysters.

Conversely, several studies conducted with other species of bivalves reported that after an increase in environmental salinity, there was increase in RLO colonization. This phenomenon was described in cultivated *C. gigas* exposed to 29 gL⁻¹ in Alaska (MEYERS *et al.* 1990) and in the cockle *Tegillarca granosa* exposed to 30 gL⁻¹, in Yueqing Bay, China (ZEWEN *et al.* 2012). In our study, the presence of RLO was recorded in all treatments, with the exception of 50 gL⁻¹, in which there was a trend of these organisms decreasing in the tissues between the analysed periods. However, the absence of a defined standard did not confirm the hypothesis that the presence of RLO was related to salinity.

*Crassostrea gasar* is an euryhaline oyster that can survive for long periods in waters with salinities between 4 and 40 gL⁻¹, even without access to food. Additionally, for short periods, these oysters can tolerate salinities as extreme as 2.1 and 50 gL⁻¹. No histological changes were identified.
that could be correlated with the exposure of *C. gasar* to sub-optimal or even extreme salinities.
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