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Abstract

The compact steep-spectrum radio source 3C 298 (redshift of 1.44) has the largest 178 MHz luminosity in the Third Cambridge Revised Catalogue (3CR); its radio lobes are among the most luminous in the universe. The plasma state of the radio lobes is modeled with the aid of interferometric radio observations (in particular, the new Low Frequency Array observation and archival MERLIN data) and archival single-station data. It is estimated that the long-term time-averaged jet power required to fill these lobes with leptonic plasma is $Q \approx 1.28 \pm 0.51 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$, rivaling the largest time-averaged jet powers from any quasar. Supporting this notion of extraordinary jet power is a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $\approx 5.2 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, comparable to luminous blazars, yet there is no other indication of strong relativistic beaming. We combine two new high signal-to-noise ratio optical spectroscopic observations from the Hobby-EBerly Telescope with archival Hubble Space Telescope, Two Micron All Sky Survey, and Galaxy Evolutionary Explorer data to compute a bolometric luminosity from the accretion flow of $L_{bol} \approx 1.55 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The ratio, $Q/L_{bol} \approx 1$, is the approximate upper limit for quasars. Characteristic of a large $Q/L_{bol}$, we find an extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) spectrum that is very steep (the “EUV deficit” of powerful radio quasars relative to radio-quiet quasars), and this weak ionizing continuum is likely a contributing factor to the relatively small equivalent widths of the broad emission lines in this quasar.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Quasars (1319)

1. Introduction

The quasar 3C 298, at a redshift of $z = 1.44$, has the highest 178 MHz luminosity, $L_{178}$, of any source in the Third Cambridge Revised Catalogue (3CR; Bennet 1962; Salvati et al. 2008). The small angular size of $2\".5$ estimated in Spencer et al. (1989) makes this a member of a subclass of radio sources known as compact steep-spectrum (CSS) radio sources. The CSS sources are a particular class of small extragalactic radio sources with a size less than the galactic dimension, and this can be taken as a working definition (O’Dea 1998). They typically have synchrotron self-absorbed (SSA) spectra, in which the spectral peak frequencies $\nu_{peak} \sim 100$ MHz before turning over to the characteristic steep spectrum. This low-frequency value of $\nu_{peak}$ distinguishes them for other peaked radio sources, gigahertz-peaked spectrum sources, and high-frequency-peaked sources (O’Dea 1998; Orienti & Dallacasa 2008). They could be frustrated by the denser galactic environments, but in general, it is believed that most are in the early stages of an evolutionary sequence in which the CSS sources are younger versions of the larger radio sources, $>50$ kpc (O’Dea 1998). Traditional estimates of long-term average jet power, $Q$, are based on radio sources that are much larger than the host galaxy (Willott et al. 1999; Birzan et al. 2008; Cavagnolo et al. 2010). Thus, these estimates of $Q$ are not valid in general for CSS sources (Barthel & Arnaud 1996; Willott et al. 1999). One of our primary goals is to determine whether the large value of $L_{178}$ for 3C 298 is indicative of one of the most powerful jets in the universe or is amplified by the dissipative interaction of the expanding radio source with the host galaxy.

3C 298 is also known for having very strong starburst regions and a conical ionized wind along the jet direction (Podigachoski et al. 2015; Vayner et al. 2017). Since the bulge luminosity is extremely low for the virial estimated central black hole mass, $M_{bh}$, it was concluded that strong negative feedback is occurring in a conical outflow early in the gas-rich merger phase (Vayner et al. 2017). This highly dynamical system motivates a detailed analysis of the central engine of this quasar with an extraordinarily powerful jet. In particular, we explore the UV continuum and emission lines with the aid of the upgraded Hobby-Eberly Telescope (HET, Ramsey et al. 1994; Hill et al. 2021) and archival Hubble Space

12 The Hobby-Eberly Telescope is operated by McDonald Observatory on behalf of the University of Texas at Austin, Pennsylvania State University, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, and Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen. The HET is named in honor of its principal benefactors, William P. Hobby and Robert E. Eberly.
Telescope (HST) observations in order to characterize the nuclear environment.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 compiles the radio data with a particular emphasis on the new Low Frequency Array (LOFAR) photometry and the International LOFAR Telescope (ILT) images at frequencies below 100 MHz. Sections 3 and 4 fit the radio data with parametric models of the radio lobes and the nucleus. Section 5 finds an infinite set of physical models that correspond to this fit. The solution that has equal internal energy in the two lobes, bilateral symmetry, is one in which both lobes are near minimum internal energy. For this solution, we compute the jet power. Section 6 describes the new optical observations and provides a detailed discussion of the broad emission lines (BELs). The optical spectra are combined with archival data in Section 7 in order to find the continuum spectral energy distribution (SED) of the thermal emission from the accretion flow and its bolometric luminosity, $L_{bol}$. In Section 8, we make a connection between the weak BELs (relative to the UV continuum) found in Section 6 and the steep extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) spectrum found in Section 7. Finally, in Section 9, we have the insight gained in the previous sections to address how to best approach the virial mass estimate of $M_{bh}$ for the particular continuum SED of 3C 298. Throughout this paper, we adopt cosmological parameters

$$H_0 = 69.6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, \quad \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.714,$$

and $\Omega_m = 0.286$ and use Ned Wright’s Javascript Cosmology Calculator$^{13}$ (Wright 2006).

2. Radio Observations

3C 298 is a famous bright radio source that has been extensively observed. We have collected radio data in Table 1. Most of the data are archival integrated flux density measurements from 26.3 MHz to 15 GHz. We also include archival interferometric component data at 327 MHz, 5 GHz, 8.4 GHz, and 15 GHz that have insufficient $u-v$ coverage and sensitivity to detect all of the lobe flux density, rendering their value as merely lower bounds (van Breugel et al. 1992; Akujor & Garrington 1995; Ludke et al. 1998; Fanti et al. 2002; Dallacasa et al. 2021). The source is very small, with a projected size on the sky plane of 1.5 typically claimed (Akujor & Garrington 1995). However, full-track 1.66 GHz MERLIN observations detected diffuse lobe flux and found that the overall size is actually 2.5 (Spencer et al. 1989). The small size and steep spectrum require extremely challenging interferometric observations in order to characterize the lobes. Until recently the MERLIN observation was the only interferometric observation that had sufficient sensitivity and resolution to characterize the lobes. We review the archival low-frequency integrated flux density observations and discuss a new LOFAR image in detail in this section. The low-frequency emission is the most difficult to observe, yet it is the most crucial data for this analysis.

Most of the data in Table 1 are survey results, and we apply a 10% uncertainty in spite of much more optimistic estimates in their original published form. In our experience, this approach is consistent with the repeatability of such measurements with different telescopes. There are two exceptions. For the GMRT 150 MHz survey data in the TIFR GMRT Sky Survey Alternative Data Release (TGSS ADR), the 10% uncertainty used in TGSS ADR does not appear to be vetted well on a case-by-case basis and can be considerably larger for individual sources; 15% uncertainty is a more prudent choice (Hurley-Walker 2017). The best case is a low-resolution Very Large Array (VLA) observation that does not resolve out the diffuse lobe flux density (such as L-band observations). For this best case, the uncertainty in the flux density measurements is 5% based on the VLA manual;$^{14}$ see also Perley & Butler (2013). High-frequency measurements are difficult to find because both ATCA and VLA will resolve out lobe flux density.

2.1. Low-frequency Integrated Flux Densities

Low-frequency observations were an important part of the early radio observations of extragalactic radio sources, including observations at frequencies <100 MHz. There are three major complicating issues: ionospheric propagation effects, the absolute flux density scale, and the blending of sources in the low-resolution telescopes. The ionospheric correction is the most deleterious. In particular, the varying index of refraction in both position and time creates a time-varying shift and blurring of observed images, and the associated phase delays wreak havoc on radio-interferometric observations (de Gasperin et al. 2018). The first comprehensive study of 3C sources at low frequency was the 38 MHz data presented in Kellermann et al. (1969). However, the absolute flux density scale was called into question in later studies owing in large part to the drift in the brightness of the supernova remnant, Cas A, and the lack of well-characterized flux density calibrators at low frequency (Roger et al. 1973). They determined that the absolute flux densities in Kellermann et al. (1969) were low by 18%. A less well-known 26.3 MHz study reanalyzed the Roger et al. (1973) conclusion using a deep survey with the Clark Lake telescope (Viner & Erickson 1975). The Clark Lake telescope had considerably higher resolution than the Penticton telescope ($\approx 0.5 \text{ deg}^2$ compared to $\approx 2 \text{ deg}^2$) used in Roger et al. (1969, 1973). They found that the agreement with Penticton was excellent if only the sources with no confusion from nearby sources in the Penticton field were used. If the entire Penticton sample was used (including confused fields), the Penticton flux density scale was 5% higher. Regardless, the Penticton flux density scale has been the most referenced calibration scale to this day and is used by the LOFAR team (Scaife & Heald 2012). Recently, this flux density scale was reexamined (Perley & Butler 2017). Examining their nonvariable calibrators (neglecting 3C 380) suggests that the Roger et al. (1973) scale is 2% high, including the case of 3C 196, which is the absolute flux density calibrator for 3C 298. The next-to-last column of Table 1 transforms all the archival data and the LOFAR data to the Perley & Butler (2017) absolute flux density scale (the scale factor). The only reliable $\sim 20$ MHz measurement of 3C 298 is the high-resolution Clark Lake measurement (Viner & Erickson 1975). The radio emission from the cluster A1890 gets blended into lower-resolution fields used in other studies (Roger et al. 1969).

Note that the only scale factors different from 1.00 in Table 1 are at low frequency. The Kellermann et al. (1969) observations have the largest scale factor correction. The other low-frequency scale factors differ only by a few percent from unity. The Large Cambridge Interferometer observations at 38 MHz are likely more uncertain than the 5–15% in Kellermann et al. (1969).

---

13 http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html

14 located at https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/docs/manuals/oss/ performance/tdscale.
### Table 1
Radio Data for 3C 298

| ν_{obs} (MHz) | log ν | Flux Density (Jy) | Telescope | Absolute Flux Density Scale Factor |
|--------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| **Integrated** |       |                   |           |                                    |
| 26.3         | 7.81  | 54.59 ± 13.65     | Clark Lake | 1.03                               |
| 34.0         | 7.92  | 73.58 ± 21.00     | Dutch Array of LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 38.0         | 7.97  | 84.68 ± 21.17     | Large Cambridge Interferometer | 1.16 |
| 44.0         | 8.03  | 78.58 ± 22.00     | Dutch Array of LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 53.5         | 8.12  | 98.10 ± 26.00     | Dutch Array of LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 60.0         | 8.17  | 86.30 ± 9.97      | LOFAR (AART-FAAC) | 1.00 |
| 63.0         | 8.19  | 108.89 ± 28.00    | Dutch Array of LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 73.0         | 8.25  | 101.04 ± 26.00    | Dutch Array of LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 73.8         | 8.26  | 97.77 ± 9.77      | VLA | 1.00 |
| 150          | 8.56  | 59.59 ± 8.94      | GMRT | 1.00 |
| 160          | 8.59  | 63.20 ± 9.48      | Culgoora Array | 1.00 |
| 178          | 8.64  | 52.30 ± 7.85      | Large Cambridge Interferometer | 1.00 |
| 327          | 8.90  | 30.00 ± 3.00      | VLA | 1.00 |
| 408          | 9.00  | 23.36 ± 2.34      | Molonglo Telescope | 1.00 |
| 1400         | 9.53  | 6.10 ± 0.31       | VLA D configuration | 1.00 |
| 1500         | 9.56  | 5.80 ± 0.29       | VLA D configurations | 1.00 |
| 1600         | 9.59  | 5.40 ± 0.27       | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |
| 2640         | 9.81  | 2.89 ± 0.29       | Effelsberg 100 m | 1.00 |
| 4850         | 10.07 | 1.57 ± 0.16       | Parkes 64 m | 1.00 |
| 8350         | 10.31 | 0.79 ± 0.08       | Effelsberg 100 m | 1.00 |
| 8870         | 10.34 | 1.22 ± 0.12       | Parkes 64 m | 1.00 |
| 10070        | 10.42 | 1.03 ± 0.10       | NRAO 140 ft | 1.00 |
| 14900        | 10.56 | 0.69 ± 0.07       | MPIfR 100 m | 1.00 |

| **East Lobe** |
| 55.0         | 8.13  | 52.92 ± 13.23     | International LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 327          | 8.90  | >8.55^b            | Global VLBI | 1.00 |
| 1660         | 9.61  | 2.23 ± 0.22       | MERLIN | 1.00 |
| 4993         | 10.09 | >0.51^b            | MERLIN | 1.00 |
| 8414         | 10.31 | >0.28^b            | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |
| 14965        | 10.56 | >0.12^b            | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |

| **West Lobe** |
| 55.0         | 8.13  | 22.54 ± 5.64      | International LOFAR | 0.98 |
| 327          | 8.90  | >10.73^b           | Global VLBI | 1.00 |
| 1660         | 9.61  | 2.00 ± 0.20       | MERLIN | 1.00 |
| 4993         | 10.09 | >0.35^b            | MERLIN | 1.00 |
| 8414         | 10.31 | >0.16^b            | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |
| 14965        | 10.56 | >0.05^b            | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |

| **Core + Jet** |
| 327          | 8.90  | 0.83 ± 0.12       | Global VLBI | 1.00 |
| 4993         | 10.09 | 0.49 ± 0.05       | MERLIN | 1.00 |
| 8414         | 10.31 | 0.43 ± 0.04       | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |
| 14965        | 10.56 | 0.27 ± 0.04       | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |
| 22500        | 10.74 | 0.24 ± 0.04       | VLA A configuration | 1.00 |

Notes.

^b https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/observing/callist

^A significant amount of lobe flux density is resolved out. Thus, this is a lower bound.

...overall uncertainty of 25%, similar to the LOFAR uncertainties in Groeneveld et al. (2022).

### 2.2. LOFAR Observations

To properly characterize the lobes, one requires observations at multiple low frequencies with high resolution in order to detect all the diffuse flux and resolve it into two distinct...
components. This was impossible until recently with the development of the International LOFAR Telescope (ILT), in particular the Low-Band Antenna (LBA; van Haarlem et al. 2013). In 2020, an observation of 8 hr duration was performed in the LBA-OUTER configuration using the outer 48 of 96 antennae in each Dutch station. More details can be found in Groeneveld et al. (2022). Figure 1 is a remarkable previously unpublished high-sensitivity LBA-OUTER image obtained at the band center of 53.4 MHz. The high sensitivity is achieved by using the full LBA-OUTER bandwidth of 48.9 MHz. It is this new image and the LOFAR data in Groeneveld et al. (2022) that motivate our new detailed analysis of the radio lobes and confirm the 1.66 GHz MERLIN detection of a 25 linear size.

The Amsterdam ASTRON Radio Transient Facility And Analysis Centre (AART-FAAC), a parallel computational back end to LOFAR, is the source of the 60 MHz data in Table 1. It processes data from six stations of the Dutch LBA-Outer configuration. It was concluded that the absolute flux density of these measurements is accurate to 10% (Kuiack et al. 2019). There are about 5 Jy of VLSS (74 MHz) background sources that are not resolved by AART-FAAC (Lane et al. 2014). Thus, the 60 MHz observation is chosen to have an uncertainty of 5 Jy added in quadrature with the 10% estimate of Kuiack et al. (2019) in order to account for possible errors due to potential source blending. We included the flux density of each lobe separately from the 55 MHz ILT image in Table 1 (Groeneveld et al. 2022). More details of the ILT observations can be found in Groeneveld et al. (2022). The uncertainties in the estimated ILT flux density of 3C 298 in Groeneveld et al. (2022) and Table 1 are largely calibration errors rather than errors inherent to the flux density scale.

3. Synchrotron Self-absorbed Homogeneous Plasmoids

A high-resolution 5 GHz MERLIN (0.05 mas synthesized beam) image reveals a detailed structure beyond the two lobes indicated in Figure 1 (Ludke et al. 1998). There is a core that dominates with higher-frequency very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) and is also prominent in the MERLIN image (van Breugel et al. 1992). There is a jet emerging from the core toward the eastern lobe. The jet surface brightness vanishes as it propagates away from the core and then reveals itself again as it enters the eastern lobe. To even partially resolve the jet requires a resolution of <200 mas. Thus, observations that are capable of detecting the diffuse lobe flux are incapable of also detecting the jet and vice versa. We consider the source as consisting of three regions (or components): the western lobe, the core plus easterly directed jet, and the eastern lobe that includes the small piece of the jet as it enters the lobe. Our primary interest in determining the energetics of the radio source will be to estimate the energy stored in the luminous radio lobes. A simple homogeneous spherical volume model or plasmoid has historically provided an understanding of the spectra and the time evolution of astrophysical radio sources.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

Figure 1. This wide-bandwidth ILT image provides high sensitivity and is well suited for the detection of diffuse lobe flux. The center frequency is 53.4 MHz, and the bandwidth is 48.9 MHz. The red contour levels are in a linear scale of 2 Jy increments, 1.6 Jy, 3.6 Jy, 5.6 Jy, 31.6 Jy. The dashed blue contour levels are $-3\sigma_{\text{rms}}$, $-4\sigma_{\text{rms}}$, $-5\sigma_{\text{rms}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{rms}} = 0.22$ Jy. The rms noise is driven primarily by calibration errors (Groeneveld et al. 2022). The cross is the Gaia EDR3 optical position (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2020). This is the origin of the image. The restoring beam FWHM is represented by the ellipse in the lower left corner. The dashed light-blue line is an approximate symmetry axis used to estimate arm length asymmetry in the source in Section 5.1.
Single-zone spherical models are a standard technique even in blazar jet calculations out of practical necessity (Ghisellini et al. 2010). We have used this formalism to study a panoply of phenomena, major flares in a Galactic black hole, a γ-ray burst, and flares in a radio-quiet quasar (Reynolds et al. 2009; Punsly 2012, 2019; Reynolds et al. 2020). Most importantly, we used this method in Punsly et al. (2020) to study the radio lobes in the superluminous CSS radio quasar, 3C 82 (which should be consulted for more details of the calculational method). The SSA turnover provides information on the size of the region that produces the preponderance of emission. This is useful because Figure 1 is resolution limited and provides no fine details of the emission regions. Furthermore, these models do not need to invoke equipartition in order to produce a solution.

These homogeneous models produce a simple-to-parameterize spectrum. An SSA power law for the observed flux density, $S_{\text{obs}}$, is the solution to the radiative transfer in a homogeneous medium such as a uniform spherical volume (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1965; van der Laan 1966):

$$ S_{\text{obs}} = S_0\nu_{\text{obs}}^{\alpha} \times (1 - e^{-\tau(\nu_{\text{obs}})}), \quad \tau(\nu_{\text{obs}}) = \tau_\nu^{(-2.5 + \alpha)}, $$

where $\tau(\nu)$ is the SSA opacity, $S_0$ is a normalization factor, $\tau$ is a constant, and $\nu_{\text{obs}}$ designates the observed frequency, as opposed to $\nu$, which we use to designate the frequency in the plasma rest frame. The spectral index of the power law is defined in the optically thin region of the spectrum by

$$ S_{\text{obs}} = S_0\nu_{\text{obs}}^{\alpha}. $$

4. Fitting the Data with Three SSA Power Laws

Thus motivated, we approximate the total spectrum by three SSA power laws, one for the western lobe, one for the eastern lobe, and one for the core plus jet. We determine the three SSA power laws that, in combination, minimize the residuals to the overall integrated SED. Since the uncertainties of the measurements in Table 1 are significant, we need to account for these in the calculation of the residuals of the fit to the data. It makes sense in curve fitting to assign the least amount of weight to points that are the least reliable. This is properly accomplished statistically by weighting each point by the inverse square of its standard error (Reed 1989). To incorporate this notion, we define a weighted residual, $\sigma_{\text{res}}^2$,

$$ \sigma_{\text{res}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{S_i - f_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2, $$

where “$i$” labels one of the $N$ measured flux densities from Table 1, $f_i$ is the expected value of this flux density from the fitted curve, $S_i$ is the measured flux density, and $\sigma_i$ is the uncertainty in this measurement. This quantity compares the fractional error of the fit to the data to that which is expected to occur just from measurement-induced scatter. The smaller the value of $\sigma_{\text{res}}^2$, the better that a particular fit agrees with the data.

We minimize the weighted residuals of the fit to the data, $\sigma_{\text{res}}^2$, for 22 points in Table 1 (the 18 total flux density points with $\nu_{\text{obs}} \leq 4.85$ GHz and the four flux densities for the lobes, LOFAR 55 MHz and MERLIN 1.66 GHz). Since the total flux density is approximately the sum of the two lobe flux densities (the core-jet contribution is small in Figure 2 at $\nu_{\text{obs}} \leq 4.85$ GHz), the 18 GHz $\nu_{\text{obs}} \leq 4.85$ GHz total flux densities provide significantly more constraints on the lobe spectra than if we merely had a limited number of flux densities measured per lobe. The lower bounds are not used in the minimization of the residuals and are sufficiently low that they would not provide meaningful additional constraints. The spectral index of the optically thin power laws of the lobes fits the total flux density data tightly between 327 MHz and 1.6 GHz. The cutoff of data suitable for fitting at $\nu_{\text{obs}} \leq 4.85$ GHz is motivated by the large core-jet contribution to the total flux density at higher frequencies that masks the pure lobe contribution. Furthermore, the core-jet contribution appears to create some scatter to a smooth monotonic fit as evidenced by Table 1 and Figure 2. This might represent intrinsic variability (compare the integrated flux density entry for 8350 MHz with that of 8870 MHz in Table 1), but the data are not of sufficiently quality to make such a claim at this point in time.

Our primary scientific goal is to fit the radio lobes, so total flux density measurements that are strongly influenced by potential core variability are of little value to this process. In summary, there are three parameters for the SSA power law of each lobe. We are using 22 observational data points to constrain six total parameters by minimizing the residuals in Equation (2). The best fit is displayed in Figure 2. Note that the SSA turnover of the east lobe is real; an extrapolation of the power law seen at higher frequencies would grossly grossly overproduce the flux density at 26.3 and 34 MHz (see the bottom panel of Figure 2).

5. Physical Realizations of the Lobe SSA Power Laws

The spherical homogeneous plasmoid models employed here have been described many times elsewhere as noted above. We compile the physical equations in Appendix A for ease of reference. More details can be found in Punsly et al. (2020), which will be referenced as needed in this abbreviated discussion. It has been argued that leptonic lobes are favored in the more luminous FR II (Fanaroff-Riley II) radio sources (Fanaroff & Riley 1974; Croston et al. 2018). This model will be our starting point, but we will consider protonic lobes as well, subsequently. From Appendix A, mathematically, the theoretical determination of the flux density, $S_\nu$, of a spherical plasmoid depends on seven parameters: $N_5$, the rest-frame normalization of the lepton number density spectrum, $B$ (rest-frame magnetic field), $R$ (the radius of the sphere in the rest frame), $\alpha$ (the spectral index of the power law), $\delta$ (the Doppler factor), $E_{\text{min}}$ (the minimum energy of the lepton energy spectrum), and $E_{\text{max}}$ (the maximum energy of the lepton energy spectrum). However, there are only three constraints from the fit in Figure 2 for each lobe, $\tau$, $\alpha$, and $S_\nu$; it is an underdetermined system of equations. Most of the particles are at low energy, so the solutions are insensitive to $E_{\text{max}}$. For a slow-moving lobe, $\delta = 1$, $E_{\text{min}}$ is not constrained strongly by the data, so we start with an assumed $E_{\text{min}} = 1$ and then subsequently explore the ramifications of letting $E_{\text{min}}$ increase. One has four remaining unknowns, $N_5$, $B$, $R$, and $\alpha$, in the physical models and three constraints from observations, $\tau$, $\alpha$, and $S_\nu$, for each model. Thus, there is an infinite one-dimensional set of solutions in each lobe that results in the same spectral output.

The primary discriminant for a meaningful solution is the physical requirement: over long periods of time, we assume

15 The best way to determine this might be with a few years of monitoring with JVLA in D configuration (so as not to resolve out flux) at X band and $\delta$ band.
Figure 2. The broadband radio spectrum of 3C 298 is fit by three SSA power laws in the top panel. The radio data are from Table 1. Weighted residuals, as defined by Equation (2), are minimized for $\nu_{\text{obs}} = 4.85$ GHz (log[Frequency in Quasar Rest Frame] = 10.1 Hz). There are 22 total measurements that contribute to the sum in Equation (2). Four of these are the fitted lobe flux densities relative to the measured component flux densities; 18 are the sum of the fits (black dashed curve) to the lobe flux densities and the core-jet flux density (which contributes minimally in this frequency range) relative to the integrated flux density measurements. The bottom panel is a magnified view of the densely sampled low-frequency region. The observed frequencies of the data points are labeled in MHz in the bottom panel. The optically thin power-law tails of the core, east lobe, and west lobe have $\alpha = 0.52, 1.02, \text{and } 1.27$, respectively, in Equation (1), and $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ is at 1.4 GHz, 95 MHz, and 240 MHz in the quasar rest frame, respectively.
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that there is an approximate bilateral symmetry in terms of the energy ejected into each jet arm. Thus, we require the internal energy, \( E(\text{lm}) \) (defined in Appendix A, Equation (A9)), to be approximately equal in both lobes in spite of the fact that the spectral index is not.

Figure 3 describes the physical parameters of the (infinite 1D set of) models that produce the fit in Figure 2. The top panels show the dependence of \( E(\text{lm}) \), the lepto-magnetic energy (internal lepton energy and magnetic energy), on \( R \) (the lobe radius). The middle row displays the dependence of \( E(\text{lm}) \) on the total number of particles in the lobe, \( N_p \). The bottom row shows the dependence on the turbulent magnetic field strength. The two independent lobe solutions have minimum energies \( (E(\text{lm})) \) that agree within a few percent. Based on the one physical requirement of the solution above (long-term bilateral energy ejection symmetry), the minimum energy solution is significant. The minimum energy of the western (eastern) lobe is \( E(\text{lm}) = 8.69 \times 10^{59} \) erg \( (8.44 \times 10^{59} \) erg). We believe this agreement to be significant and not a coincidence of the mathematics for two reasons. First, this assertion is strongly favored based on the finding of Punsly (2012) that ejections from the X-ray binary GRS 1915 + 105 evolve toward the minimum energy configuration as they expand away from the source. Second, and more on point, the detailed X-ray studies of FR II radio sources indicate that the lobes are generally near minimum energy or perhaps slightly dominated by the particle energy (Ineson et al. 2017).

### 5.1. Converting Stored Lobe Energy into Jet Power

There is a direct physical connection between \( E(\text{lm}) \) and the long-term time-averaged power delivered to the radio lobes, \( \bar{Q} \). If the time for the lobes to expand to their current separation is \( T \), in the frame of reference of the quasar, then the intrinsic jet power is approximately

\[
\bar{Q} \approx \frac{E(\text{lm})}{T}.
\]

This expression ignores the work done by the inflating radio source as it displaces the galactic medium. For powerful sources like 3C 298 and 3C 82, the lobes are overpressurized relative to an elliptical galaxy environment, and the work of expansion is negligible compared to \( E(\text{lm}) \) (Mathews & Brighenti 2003; Punsly et al. 2020).

The mean lobe advance speed, \( v_{\text{adv}} \), can be found from the arm length asymmetry in Figure 1, if one assumes intrinsic bilateral symmetry and there is inconsequential disruption of jet propagation from interactions with the enveloping medium. The system appears very linear in Figure 1 and in the MERLIN observations at 1.66 and 5 GHz (Spencer et al. 1989; Ludke et al. 1998). Thus, this might be a system where arm length ratio is a valid method of estimating \( v_{\text{adv}} \). The arm length ratio of approaching lobe, \( L_{\text{app}} \), to receding lobe, \( L_{\text{rec}} \) (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1969; Scheuer 1995), is

\[
R = \frac{L_{\text{app}}}{L_{\text{rec}}} = \frac{1 + (v_{\text{adv}}/c) \cos \theta}{1 - (v_{\text{adv}}/c) \cos \theta},
\]

where

\[
L_{\text{app}} = \frac{(v_{\text{adv}} T) \sin \theta}{1 - (v_{\text{adv}}/c) \cos \theta}; \quad L_{\text{rec}} = \frac{(v_{\text{adv}} T) \sin \theta}{1 + (v_{\text{adv}}/c) \cos \theta},
\]

where \( T \) is the time measured in the quasar rest frame and the projected length on the sky plane of an Earth observer (corrected for cosmological effects) is \( \mathcal{L} \equiv L_{\text{app}} + L_{\text{rec}} \). The arm length ratios were computed in Scheuer (1995) using the lowest contour level in the images. We choose the second-lowest contour in Figure 1, for the reasons given below, and find \( R \approx 1.35 \):

1. the outer contour has an exaggerated distortion not seen in the more robust higher contour levels;
2. the second-lowest contour is about one-half of the synthesized beamwidth (the resolution limit of the image) from the lowest contour; and
3. this method applied to the MERLIN 1.66 GHz image in Spencer et al. (1989) also yields \( R \approx 1.4 \).

Using the second-lowest contour, the linear size of the source on the sky plane is 2°8, using the lowest contour in Figure 1, the linear size is 3°71. This angle is slightly larger than the 2°5 found by Spencer et al. (1989); however, even with the best efforts to correct for ionospheric scattering, we expect some blurring in the image. We assume that the modest blurring is fairly uniform over the small image and does not significantly affect the \( R \) estimate.

For a quasar, we can eliminate the possibility of a highly oblique line of sight (LOS) to the jet. The LOS to the jet in quasars is believed to be \(<45^\circ\) with an average of \( \approx 30^\circ \) (Barthel 1989). Since 3C 298 is very lobe dominated, one does not expect an LOS near the low end of this quasar range (Wills & Browne 1986). In accord with this conclusion, a variability study consisting of 38 observations at 408 MHz spread out over 19 yr found no evidence of variability, which strongly disfavors a blazar-like LOS, \(<10^\circ \) (Bondi et al. 1996). In our adopted cosmology, at the distance of 3C 298 the scale is 8.58 kpc per arcsecond. From Equation (4), with \( R = 1.35 \), and assuming \( 20^\circ < \text{LOS} < 40^\circ \),

\[
v_{\text{adv}} \approx 0.18c \pm 0.02c \text{ and } 9.59 \times 10^{12} \text{ s} < T < 2.22 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}.
\]

The value of \( v_{\text{adv}} \) in Equation (5) agrees with the mean value of \( v_{\text{adv}} \) estimated for a sample of relatively straight 3C CSS quasars (Punsly et al. 2020). The large spread in \( T \) in Equation (5) arises from the uncertainty in the LOS. Inserting Equation (5) into Equation (3) with \( E(\text{lm}) = 1.71 \times 10^{60} \) erg from the minimum energy solutions in Figure 3 yields

\[
7.73 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} < \bar{Q} < 1.79 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
\]

We compare our estimate with the well-known estimates of \( \bar{Q} \) that is based on the spectral luminosity at 151 MHz per steradian, \( L_{151} \). This method is more suited to a relaxed classical double radio source and might not be applicable to CSS sources in general (Willott et al. 1999). \( \bar{Q} \) is given as a function of \( f \) and \( L_{151} \) in Figure 7 of Willott et al. (1999),

\[
\bar{Q} \approx 3.8 \times 10^{45} L_{151}^{0.67} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
\]

The parameter \( f \) was introduced to account for deviations from 100% filling factor, minimum energy, a low-frequency cutoff at 10 MHz, the jet axis at 60° to the LOS, and no protonic contribution, as well as energy lost expanding the lobe into the

\[16\text{ Note that in Section 4 we remarked on possible modest variability above 8 GHz. Even though this is a tentative finding that is not verified, it does not indicate that a hidden blazar is necessarily present (as would be the case if a factor of a few variability were detected). In view of the 38 observations at 408 MHz with the same telescope noted here, the modest variability at higher frequency requires rigorous verification to be considered more than tentative.} \]
external medium, back flow from the head of the lobe, and kinetic turbulence (Willott et al. 1999). The exponent on $f$ in Equation (7) is 1, not $3/2$ as was previously reported (Punsly et al. 2018). The quantity $f$ has been estimated to lie in the range of $10 < f < 20$ for most FR II radio sources (Blundell & Rawlings 2000). Using $L_{151}$ from Table 1 and $10 < f < 20$,

$$\bar{Q} = 2.18 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

The uncertainty in Equation (8) corresponds to the range of $10 < f < 20$. The marginal agreement between Equations (6) and (8) is reasonable considering all the unknown dynamics. Deprojecting a linear size of $2^\circ.5$ with $20^\circ < \text{LOS} < 40^\circ$ yields $30 - 60$ kpc. The larger value of Equation (8) compared to Equation (6) does support the notion that compact sources will tend to be overluminous relative to their jet power owing to interactions with the galactic environment (Barthel & Arnaud 1996;
Willott et al. (1999). Formally, there is an overlap in the values of $Q$ if the uncertainty is considered, which is remarkable considering that the two methods are independent and are based on different assumptions.

The result is not strongly dependent on the choice of the second-lowest contour of Figure 1 for the computation of $R$ as opposed to the lowest contour in Scheuer (1995). Using the lowest contour in Figure 1, $R = 1.38$ and Equation (5) becomes

$$v_{\text{adv}} \approx 0.19c \pm 0.02c \text{ and } 1.02 \times 10^{13} \text{ s} < T < 2.35 \times 10^{13} \text{ s.} \quad (9)$$

5.2. Assessing the $E_{\text{min}} \approx m_c c^2$ Assumption and Protonic Content

We started the analysis of the solution space with two basic assumptions:

1. $E_{\text{min}} \text{ (West Lobe)} = E_{\text{min}} \text{ (East Lobe)} = m_c c^2$; and
2. the plasma is predominantly positronic, not protonic.

In this section, we examine the solutions when these assumptions are violated.

We explored the possibility of altering the low-energy cutoff, by arbitrarily setting $E_{\text{min}} \text{ (West Lobe)} = E_{\text{min}} \text{ (East Lobe)} = 5m_c c^2$. In this case $E_{\text{min}} \text{ (East Lobe)} = 1.45E_{\text{min}} \text{ (West Lobe)}$ in their minimum energy states. This ratio continues to increase as one raises $E_{\text{min}}$. For a system that requires long-term bilateral energy flux symmetry, raising $E_{\text{min}}$ arbitrarily, at least the west lobe must start deviating significantly from its minimum energy state. The solution that we have explored in Figure 3 has $E_{\text{min}} \text{ (West Lobe)} = E_{\text{min}} \text{ (East Lobe)} = m_c c^2$. The solution has bilateral symmetry in energy content, $E_{\text{min}} \text{ (West Lobe)} = E_{\text{min}} \text{ (East Lobe)}$, if both lobes are near their minimum energy state in Figure 3. This is a natural state of a relaxed plasmoid and radio lobes in particular (Punsly 2012; Ineson et al. 2017). There are no unexplained spectral breaks or low-energy cutoffs in the lepton spectra. Thus, we consider this the most plausible solution, but we cannot rule out other configurations.

If we assume protonic matter instead of positronic matter, the spectral fit in Figure 2 remains unchanged and is created by electrons in the magnetic field. A significant thermal proton population in FR II radio lobes has been argued to be implausible based on pressure balance with the enveloping medium (Croston et al. 2018). These are therefore cold protons. In this case, consider the mass stored in the lobes based on Figure 3 for the minimum-energy, minimum-$E(\text{Im})$ solution, $M_{\text{lobe}} = 1.38 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. For the average $T$ in Equation (5), this mass corresponds to $274 M_\odot$, on average that must be ejected a year in order to fill the lobes with protonic matter. For the black hole mass $M_{\text{bh}} \approx 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ from Vayner et al. (2017), the lobes would need to be fed at $>4$ times the Eddington rate in order to be populated with protonic matter. In Section 9, we find a viral $M_{\text{bh}}$ even smaller than this value. As with $3C 82$, the baryon ejection rate must greatly exceed the accretion rate for protonic lobes to exist. We come to the conclusion that the protonic lobe solutions are not highly plausible.

6. The Ultraviolet Spectrum

Our motivating interest in the UV spectrum is to characterize the possibility of a strong blue wing in CIV that might be indicative of a high-ionization wind. An exaggerated blue wing in CIV is evident in the high signal-to-noise ratio spectrum of Anderson et al. (1987). Unfortunately, these data are not flux-calibrated. Two spectrographs were employed on the upgraded 10 m HET (Hill et al. 2021) in order to capture a spectrum from the blue wing of CIV (at 3500 Å) to [O II] (at 1100 Å). The short-wavelength portion of the spectrum is covered by the Visible Integral-Field Replicable Unit Spectrograph (VIRUS; Hill et al. 2021). The long-wavelength portion of the spectrum is covered by an observation with the new Low Resolution Spectrograph 2 (LRS2; Chonis et al. 2016; G. Hill et al. 2022, in preparation) to obtain spectra on April 28, UT. We used both units of the integral field spectrograph, LRS2-B and LRS2-R, with the target switched between them on consecutive exposures. Each unit is fed by an integral field unit (IFU) with $6 \times 12$ arcsec$^2$ field of view, 0.6 spatial elements, and full fill factor and has two spectral channels. LRS2-B has two channels, UV (3700–4700 Å) and Orange (4600–7000 Å), observed simultaneously. LRS2-R also has two channels, Red (6500–8470 Å) and Far-red (8230–10500 Å). The observations were split into two 1200 s exposures, one for LRS2-B and one for LRS2-R. The image size was 1.38 FWHM. The spectra from each of the four channels were processed independently using the HET LRS2 pipeline, Panacea 17 (Z. Zeimann et al. 2022, in preparation). The LRS2 data processing followed that for 3C 82, and details can be found in Punsly et al. (2020).

VIRUS is a highly replicated, fiber-fed integral field spectrograph. The entire VIRUS instrument consists of 156 integral field low-resolution (resolving power $R \sim 800$) spectrographs, arrayed in 78 pairs. Each of the 78 VIRUS units is fed by an IFU with 448 1.55 fibers covering 51$''$ × 51$''$ area. The spectrographs have a fixed wavelength coverage of 3500 Å < $\lambda$ < 5500 Å. The IFUs are arrayed in a grid pattern in the 18’’ field of view of HET with a 1/4.5 fill factor, and VIRUS captures 34,944 spectra for each exposure at full compliment. An observation consists of three dithered exposures with small position offsets to fill in the gaps between the fibers in the IFUs. 3C 298 was observed on one of the VIRUS IFUs on 2019 June 21 UT for $3 \times 360$ s total exposure time. Image quality was 1.55 FWHM. The VIRUS data were reduced with the pipeline Remedy 18 (Zeiman et al. 2022, in preparation). There are multiple field stars in the VIRUS field of view with $g$-band calibrations from Pan-STARRS (Chambers et al. 2019) Data Release 2 Stacked Object Catalog (PS1 DR2; Flewelling et al. 2020) that provide direct flux calibration of the VIRUS data; since there is overlap between the spectra from the two spectrographs, the VIRUS observation anchors the flux density calibration for the long-wavelength portion of the spectrum from LRS2. With a 10% adjustment in the flux density of the LRS2 spectra, the independently calibrated spectra from VIRUS and LRS2-B agree to 1% in the overlap region (3700 Å < $\lambda$ < 5500 Å).

The spectrum is presented in the form of an SED in Figure 4, which is corrected for Galactic extinction. The extinction values in the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) were used in a Cardelli et al. (1989) model: $A_V = 0.08$ and $R_V = 3.1$. Figure 4 also includes the HST Faint Object Spectrograph G270H spectral data (from 1996 August 15) downloaded from NED (Bechtold et al. 2002).
Table 2 provides standard three Gaussian component decompositions of the BELs: a broad component, “BC” (also called the intermediate broad line or IBL; Brotherton et al. 1994); an often redshifted, “VBC” (very broad component following Sulentic et al. 2000); and “BLUE,” a blueshifted excess (Brotherton 1996; Marziani et al. 1996; Sulentic et al. 2000). The table is organized as follows. The line designation is defined in the first column. The next three columns define the properties of the Gaussian fit to the VBC, the shift of the Gaussian peak relative to the vacuum wavelength in km s\(^{-1}\), followed by the FWHM and line luminosity. Columns (5)–(7) are the same for the BLUE. The BC FWHM and luminosity are given in Columns (8) and (9). The last column gives the total luminosity of the BEL.

The decompositions are shown in Appendix B, after continuum subtraction. The line fitting is challenged by various issues.

1. The Ly\(\alpha\), N\(\ V\) blend has very strong narrow absorption features throughout. As in Bechtold et al. (2002), we incorporated significant spectral smoothing to accentuate the line profiles. The strong absorption near zero velocity
Table 3
Emission-line Strengths

| Line      | Total Luminosity (erg s⁻¹) | Total Luminosity Relative to Lyα | HST Composite Luminosity (erg s⁻¹) | EW (Å) | HST Composite EW (Å) | EW Ratio |
|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------|----------|
| Lyα λ1216 | 2.40 × 10⁸                  | 1                               | 1                                 | 59.3   | 91.8                 | 0.65     |
| N V λ1240 | 2.97 × 10⁸                  | 0.12                            | 0.20                              | 7.3    | 18.5                 | 0.39     |
| C IV λ1549| 9.20 × 10⁷                  | 0.38                            | 0.48                              | 29.0   | 58.0                 | 0.50     |
| He II λ1640| 2.57 × 10⁷                 | 0.11                            | 0.01                              | 7.9    | 1.5                  | 5.7      |
| Al III    | 2.03 × 10⁶                  | 0.009                           | 0.015                             | 0.8    | 2.2                  | 0.36     |
| Si III+ C III| 3.00 × 10⁶            | 0.13                            | 0.13                              | 12.1   | 19.7                 | 0.62     |
| Fe II λ2235–2670| 8.58 × 10⁷ | 0.36                            | b                               | ~43    | b                    | b        |
| Mg II λ2799| 4.86 × 10⁶                 | 0.20                            | 0.23                              | 25.6   | 51.7                 | 0.49     |
| H α + N II| 1.86 × 10⁶                 | 0.78                            | 0.77                 |        | ...                  | ...      |
| Sum       | 7.40 × 10⁸                  | 3.10                            | N/A                               | N/A    | N/A                  | N/A      |

Notes.

a Telfer et al. (2002).
b Not computed in Telfer et al. (2002).
c Hirst et al. (2003).
d Not an HST composite result. Value used in Celotti et al. (1997) for reference purposes.
e Telfer et al. (2002) did not deblend the red wing of C IV and the He II profile, attributing a large fraction of the flux between the two lines to a λ1600 feature. The resulting He II EW is therefore most likely severely underestimated.

makes segregating the narrow-line component impossible. Thus, the line decomposition is not exact, but we do not require precise knowledge of the flux associated with each component.

2. The C IV, He II blend also has strong zero velocity absorption. Again, no narrow C IV line can be decomposed from the complex. However, there are mitigating factors. The absorption is relatively narrow (FWHM ∼ 1000 km s⁻¹) so that only the central part of the profile is affected. Most of the C IV core and the wings are free from contaminants, so that the broad component profile appears well-defined. To take into account the deep absorption and the blending of He II, we resort to the above-mentioned, multicomponent fitting that has proven to be appropriate in the case of prominent C IV without strong absorption. This permits us to extrapolate the observed profile across the absorption seen in 3C 298. The line flux uncertainty is also well constrained, since the narrow component of C IV is weak, accounting for 2%–20% of the total C IV flux in quasars (Marziani et al. 1996; Sulentic & Marziani 1996).

3. The Mg II background is highly complex, and determining the continuum level is not trivial. There is a rather typical, strong Fe II λ2235–2670 feature and a strong Balmer continuum. The high spectral resolution, high signal-to-noise ratio, LRS2 spectrum was used for fitting these features that mask the continuum level.

In spite of these limitations to the fitting process, a few general conditions are evident. There is a significant blue excess in the Lyα, N V, C IV, and He II BELs; no such excess appears in Si III, C III, and Mg II. In radio-loud quasars, even though BLUE of C IV is often detectable, it is usually significantly weaker than the red VBC (Punsly 2010). Yet the BLUE of the C IV broad line in 3C 298 is nearly as luminous as the red VBC, which is extreme for a radio-loud quasar.

Table 3 lists the emission-line strengths. We compare these results to the line strengths from an HST composite based on 184 quasars (Telfer et al. 2002). In the second column, the line luminosity is slightly larger for some lines (Si III+ C III, Mg II and He II) than in Table 2 because narrow-line contributions were added (since the Telfer et al. 2002 fits were total line luminosity and we want to compare similar quantities). In addition, some lines are blended (Telfer et al. 2002). These changes are for the purpose of comparison to Table 2 of Telfer et al. (2002). A comparison of the line luminosity ratios in Columns (3) and (4) reveals that the line strength ratios are similar to the HST composite values, which have estimated uncertainties in Table 2 of Telfer et al. (2002) of less than 10%.

The last three columns compare the rest-frame equivalent widths (EWs) of 3C 298 and the HST composite; no uncertainties were given in Telfer et al. (2002). The last column indicates that most of the EWs are 40%–60% of the HST composite EWs. The broad-line region is much smaller than can be resolved with existing telescopes, so this is an intrinsic difference between 3C 298 and most other quasars.

The physical origin of the weak BELs is discussed in Section 8. Fe II λ2235–2670 is quite prominent in the SED of Figure 3. From Table 3, the line strength ratio L(Fe II λ2235–2670)/L(Mg II) ≈ 1.8, close to the value of 2.2 determined from a Large Bright Quasar Survey composite (Baldwin et al. 2004).

Table 3 indicates that the line ratios of 3C 298 are typical of most quasars, but the line strengths are weak compared to the strength of the UV continuum (the big blue bump; Zheng et al. 1997; Telfer et al. 2002). This result is consistent with a disproportionately weak ionizing continuum relative to the UV continuum. We explore this issue in Section 8.

Finally, in spite of the small EWs for most BELs, He II is quite strong compared to a typical quasar. A similar powerful CSS quasar, 3C 82, also has overluminous He II emission (Punsly et al. 2020).

7. The Bolometric Luminosity of the Accretion Flow

We have sufficient information to construct an accurate SED for the accretion flow, and we have numerous broad-line estimates, so we directly compute the bolometric luminosity of the accretion flow, L_bol. We do not include reprocessed
radiation in the infrared from molecular clouds located far from the active nucleus since doing so would be double-counting the thermal accretion emission that is reprocessed at midlatitudes (Davis & Laor 2011). There are three major contributors to $L_{bol}$:

1. the thermal emission from the accretion flow, which has a broad peak in the optical and UV;
2. the BELs; and
3. the X-ray power law (corona) produced by the accretion flow.

7.1. The Thermal Continuum

Figure 5 includes data from the continuum of the SED in Figure 4. To construct the BEL fits in Table 2, we determined the continuum levels at 1300, 1700, 1970, and 3000 Å. These SED points, plus two others estimated from Figure 4 at 1100 and 3700 Å, appear in the continuum SED in Figure 5. These six points accurately define the peak of the SED. To estimate the red end of the thermal SED, we added three photometric measurements from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) that clearly delineate the 1 μm dip that is characteristic of quasar spectra (Skrutskie et al. 2006). The J- and H-band points are a perfect extrapolation of the power law from the UV found from our HET observations. We extrapolate the power-law plunge into the 1 μm dip with a dashed red line.

There are two Galaxy Evolutionary Explorer (GALEX) far-UV (FUV) photometry points at 1539 Å (631 Å in the quasar rest frame) in the GALEX GR6/7 Data Release. The All Sky Imaging Survey reported a FUV AB magnitude of $m_{AB}(FUV) = 19.39 \pm 0.12$, and the Medium Imaging Survey found $m_{AB}(FUV) = 19.17 \pm 0.04$ (Morrissey et al. 2007). There are no flags on these data, the object is detected at high signal-to-noise ratio in both images (as reflected in the uncertainties in the magnitudes), there is no source confusion in the images, and the source is not near the edge of the field of view. These $m_{AB}(FUV)$ values are converted to flux densities with the formula from the GALEX Guest Investigator Web Site:

$$m_{AB}(FUV) = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{F_\lambda(1539 \text{ Å})}{1.40 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1}} \right) + 18.82.$$  

We correct this value for Ly$\alpha$ forest absorption and the BELs in the wide photometric window. The FWHM of the FUV band is 228 Å according to the GALEX Guest Investigator Web Site. In the quasar rest frame the half-maxima points are at 631 ± 47 Å. In a study of HST quasar spectra, Stevans et al. (2014) reported the presence of strong O IV and O V BELs in this region. In their composite spectra, these BELs compose about 12% of the flux in this band. This effect is much larger than absorption by the Ly$\alpha$ forest, since an observed

$$F_\lambda(1539 \text{ Å}) = 1.12 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1}.$$  

Figure 5. The continuum SED of 3C 298 obtained from the SED in Figure 4, after the emission lines (fits given in Tables 2 and 3) were removed. We also include archival 2MASS and GALEX data. The SED contains a well-defined thermal component with steep declines in the IR and EUV. These steep declines are extrapolated by the dashed red lines. The Chandra luminosity is much higher than that expected from an accretion disk corona. This favors a powerful jet as the primary source of the emission.

---

19 https://galex.stsci.edu/GR6

20 https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/galex
wavelength of 1539 Å corresponds to an Lyα photon at a redshift of only $z \approx 0.25$. The absorption is estimated to typically be $<2\%$ at $z = 0.25$ (Zheng et al. 1997). Combining the two effects, we estimate that the GALEX FUV photometry will overestimate the flux in the passband by $\approx 10\%$. After applying this correction to Equation (11), we estimate a luminosity (in terms of the spectral luminosity $L_\lambda(\lambda)$)

$$\lambda L_\lambda(631 \text{ Å}) = 2.05 \pm 0.21 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

This point was added to the SED in Figure 5. The EUV spectral index from 1100 Å (HST) to 631 Å (GALEX), $\alpha_{\text{EUV}}$, is defined in frequency space, $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha_{\text{EUV}}}$ (from frequency $2.73 \times 10^{15}$ Hz to $4.75 \times 10^{15}$ Hz). In Figure 5,

$$\alpha_{\text{EUV}} = 2.64 \pm 0.18. \quad (13)$$

The red dashed line in Figure 5 extrapolates this power law to higher frequency.

7.2. The X-ray Data

The SED in Figure 5 includes the Chandra X-ray data (Siemiginowska et al. 2008). The X-ray power law can arise from either a jet or the corona of an accretion disk in a powerful radio-loud quasar. For perspective, if the jet were weak (a radio-quiet quasar), based on the 1100 Å edge of the big blue bump, one expects a corona level approximately where the light-blue line is plotted in the lower right (Laor et al. 1997; Shang et al. 2011), i.e., the Chandra flux levels are far in excess of what is expected of a corona. The X-ray luminosity in 3C 298 is large even compared to 5.17, given the Chandra X-ray data. For perspective, if the jet were weak, i.e., the EUV-emitting gas is not that unexpected based on the ratio of $\Omega / L_{\text{bol}}$ derived from Equations (6) and (14). We have added 3C 298 to the second component is the broad emission luminosity. The broad-

$$L_{\text{bol}} = L(\text{thermal from accretion}) + L(\text{BELs}) + L(\text{corona}) \approx 1.29 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} + 1.34 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$

$$+ 1.29 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} = 1.55 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

One can try to model the accretion disk SED with a mixture of thin disks and winds. For example, Laor & Davis (2014) were able to find an explanation of the SED turnover at 1100 Å in quasars. There are numerical attempts to model quasar disks with slim-disk models (Sadowski et al. 2011). All of these models can be used to estimate accretion rates and central black hole masses. However, none of them can explain the steep EUV continuum in powerful radio-loud quasars (Punsly 2015). Thus, we are motivated to explore this in Section 8.

8. The EUV Deficit and the Weak Emission Lines

In this section, we synthesize three findings of this paper:

1. the enormous $\Omega$ in Equation (6);
2. the steep EUV continuum in Equation (13) and Figure 5; and
3. the small BEL EWs in Table 3.

Figure 6 reveals that the steepness of the SED in the EUV is not that unexpected based on the ratio of $\Omega / L_{\text{bol}}$ derived from Equations (6) and (14). We have added 3C 298 to the figure that appeared in Punsly et al. (2021). The correlated trend of $\alpha_{\text{EUV}}$ with $\Omega / L_{\text{bol}}$ is known as the “EUV deficit” of powerful radio-loud quasars relative to radio-quiet quasars. In the lower left corner, the objects with a “small” value of $\Omega / L_{\text{bol}}$ have a quasar central engine. 3C 273 is an example of such a quasar. The other points in Figure 6 are spectral indices from 1100 to 700 Å (quasar rest frame) derived from spectral data. For 3C 298 we computed $\alpha_{\text{EUV}}$ from 1100 to 631 Å (the center frequency of the GALEX FUV band in the quasar rest frame) and augmented the HST data with the GALEX data point after vetting its integrity in Section 7.1. The physical origin of the trend was explained by the quantitative consistency of the trend in Section 6 with a scenario in which the jet is launched by large-scale vertical magnetic flux in the inner region of the accretion flow, thereby displacing a proportionate fraction of the highest-temperature thermal gas in the innermost accretion flow, i.e., the EUV-emitting gas (Punsly 2015).

We proceed to explore the role of the weaker EUV continuum in producing the depressed EWs for the BELs. First, consider the average $\alpha_{\text{EUV}} = 1.76$ for the HST-observed quasars in the comparison sample of Table 3 (Telfer et al. 2002). If we compute the ionizing luminosity, $L_{\text{ion}}$(HST), from 1 to 10 ryd for the HST sample and calculate the same measure of the ionizing luminosity for 3C 298 based on Equation (13), $L_{\text{ion}}$(3C 298)/$L_{\text{ion}}$(HST) = 0.47. The BEL flux, $F_{\text{BEL}}$, does not necessarily scale linearly with the ionizing continuum, but
it has been described by a “responsivity,” \( \eta \) (Korista & Goad 2004):

\[
F(\text{BEL}) \propto \Phi_H, \quad \Phi_H \approx \int_{10 \text{rd}}^{10 \text{yd}} \left[ F_\nu(\text{EUV})/h\nu \right] d\nu,
\]

where \( \Phi_H \) is the flux of hydrogen ionizing photons and \( F_\nu(\text{EUV}) \) is the EUV flux power law. We estimate that

\[
\frac{\Phi_H(3C298)}{\Phi_H(\text{HST})} = 0.58.
\]

However, we do not have a robust estimate for \( \eta \) for each BEL in a general circumstance. This issue is magnified in 3C 298 and other powerful radio-loud quasars owing to the large uncertainty in the coronal X-ray luminosity.

### 9. Virial Black Hole Mass Estimates

We start by choosing two virial black hole mass estimators that are based on \( \text{Mg} \, \text{II} \) and \( L(3000) \) from the literature. The formula from Shen & Liu (2012), using the \( \text{Mg} \, \text{II} \) BEL, \( L(3000) = 5.34 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), and the FWHM of the total line profile (not tabulated in Table 2) of 3595 km s\(^{-1} \), yields

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} \right) = 1.815 + 0.584 \log \left( \frac{L(3000)}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right) + 1.712 \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right),
\]

or

\[
\frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} = 3.13 \times 10^9.
\]

Alternatively, the formula of Trakhtenbrot & Netzer (2012) yields a different estimate,

\[
\frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} = 5.6 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{L(3000)}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.5} \times \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 = 3.55 \times 10^9.
\]

These equations are predicated on the assumption that in general \( L(3000) \) is a uniform surrogate for the ionizing continuum, \( L_{\text{ion}} \). From Figure 5 and Section 8, however, we know that \( L(3000) \) is much larger than expected by direct comparison with the ionizing continuum and also indirectly from the EWs of the BELs in Table 3, suggesting that Equations (17) and (18) should overestimate \( M_{\text{bh}} \). It is therefore probably more reasonable for this particular SED to use the line luminosity \( L(\text{Mg} \, \text{II}) \) as a surrogate for \( L_{\text{ion}} \). We choose two estimators based on \( L(\text{Mg} \, \text{II}) \) from the same two references as more accurate for this circumstance. The expression from Shen & Liu (2012) is

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} \right) = 3.979 + 0.698 \log \left( \frac{L(\text{Mg} \, \text{II})}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right) + 1.382 \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right),
\]

or

\[
\frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} = 2.36 \times 10^9.
\]
The analogous formula of Trakhtenbrot & Netzer (2012) yields a different estimate

\[
\frac{M_{\text{bh}}}{M_\odot} = 6.79 \times 10^9 \left( \frac{L(\text{Mg} \, II)}{10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.5} \times \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}}{1000 \text{km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 = 1.93 \times 10^9. \tag{20}
\]

Based on our analysis of the continuum near 3000 Å (see Appendix B), the SED in Figure 5, and the EUV deficit described in Section 8, the best virial estimate of the central black hole mass is \( M_{\text{bh}} = 2.14 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot \) based on averaging Equations (19) and (20). The Eddington luminosity of such objects is \( L_{\text{Edd}} = 2.7 \times 10^{47} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \). Using the \( L_{\text{bol}} \) that was calculated in Section 7.3 produces a high Eddington ratio of 0.57. Alternatively, if we average Equations (17)–(20), \( M_{\text{bh}} = 2.74 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot \) and \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 0.45 \). Both estimates are consistent with the rather large BLUE components found in C IV and Lyα in Table 2. Such components are thought to arise from radiation-driven winds (Murray et al. 1995; Fine et al. 2010).

10. Summary and Conclusion

In a previous study it was noted that the quasars 3C 82 and 3C 298, both members of the CSS class of radio sources, were candidates to have the largest known \( \mathcal{Q} \) for a quasar (Punsly et al. 2020). Hence, we initiated an equally detailed study of 3C 298 in this paper. In Section 2, we utilized the impressive new LOFAR observations of the radio source at 29–78 MHz. In Sections 2–5, we developed physical models of the lobe plasmas that indicate a long-term time-averaged jet power of \( \mathcal{Q} \approx (1.28 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{47} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \) in Equation (6). This result is quantitatively similar to but smaller than the cruder standard estimate that is computed using only the 151 MHz flux density, \( \mathcal{Q} \approx (2.18 \pm 0.71) \times 10^{47} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \) in Equation (8), justifying the need for a more detailed analysis for CSS sources.

Section 6 presented the rest-frame SED of 3C 298 from 1100 to 4300 Å using two spectra from HET and an archival HST spectrum. Three component fits to the BELs are given in Tables 2 and 3. The line strength ratios were typical of quasars, but the lines were relatively weak compared to the local continuum. To investigate further, we constructed an SED of just the continuum in Section 7 that was augmented with 2MASS and GALEX photometric points and Chandra data. We deduced three extreme circumstances:

1. 3C 298 potentially belongs to the rare class of kinetically dominated quasar jets, \( \mathcal{Q}/L_{\text{bol}} \approx 0.87 \pm 0.41 \) (see Figure 6), similar to the other potentially kinetically dominated sources tabulated in Punsly et al. (2020), \( \mathcal{Q}/L_{\text{bol}} \approx 1 \).

2. The EUV continuum was extremely steep, \( \alpha_{\text{EUV}} = 2.64 \pm 0.18 \) compared to \( \alpha_{\text{EUV}} = 1.76 \pm 0.12 \) for the HST-observed quasars of comparable luminosity (Telfer et al. 2002).

3. The cosmological rest-frame 1.2–24 keV, X-ray luminosity of \( 5.17 \times 10^{48} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \) is extremely large for a lobe-dominated steep-spectrum radio source (a nonblazar).

In Section 8, we tried to reconcile the small BEL EWs with the steep EUV continuum. It is highly plausible that the weak ionizing EUV continuum is the reason for the depressed lines strengths. Even though there is poor coverage of the EUV spectrum in Figure 5, Figure 6 demonstrates that our data place 3C 298 in good agreement with the EUV deficit trend that is seen in powerful lobe-dominated quasars. The interpretation of the weak BELs and the EUV deficit seems reasonable.

Section 9 considers the relatively weak EUV in the context of virial mass estimates that utilize near-UV luminosity. We argue that in this case it is more consistent to use the BELs themselves as a surrogate for \( L_{\text{bol}} \), and we determined that the Eddington rate of accretion is \( \approx 0.5 \). This high Eddington rate is an indication of a powerful source of radiation pressure. This large accretion is imprinted in the BELs as strong BLUE components in Table 2 and Figure 7 (Appendix B) in Lyα and C IV. Evidence of powerful baryonic outflows is commonly seen in both UV absorption and UV emission in high Eddington rate radio-quiet quasars, but it is rare in radio-loud quasars with an FR II morphology (Becker et al. 2000a, 2000b; Richards et al. 2002; Punsly 2010). Other 3C CSS quasars, such as 3C 82 and 3C 286, also have this unusual property, for a radio-loud quasar, of excess blueshifted C IV emission (Punsly et al. 2020). We have obtained deep HET optical spectra of 3C CSS quasars to augment the HST archives, and we plan to perform a complete spectral analysis and report our findings in a future work.

This work motivates further investigations of the powerful X-ray jet in this mildly Doppler-enhanced source. Figure 2 and Table 1 suggest the possibility of some modest variability of the nuclear region above 5 GHz (as discussed in Sections 4 and 5), so there might be some modest relativistic behavior. In spite of point 3 above, 3C 298 is not a gamma-ray source. It is, however, quite bright and would be an excellent target for NuSTAR to determine whether there is a turnover above 100 keV in the cosmological rest frame.
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Appendix A
The Underlying Physical Equations

To allow this article to be self-contained, this brief appendix repeats the mathematical formalism associated with spherical plasmoids previously described in detail in Punsly et al. (2020). First, one must differentiate between quantities measured in the plasmoid frame of reference and

Figure 7. Line fits from Table 2 for the four regions of the spectrum with strong UV emission lines. The flux density is in relative units, with a scaling of 1 being near the continuum level. The (black) broad line in the blue wing of C IV is N IV λ1486. The Mg II continuum is masked by a strong Balmer continuum, the brown curve, and Fe II in green. The BLUE component is in blue, the VBC is in red, and the BC is in black. He II and N IV are entirely black. In the lower right set of panels that highlight the Mg II region, the Fe II complex is in green and the Balmer continuum is in brown.
those measured in the observer’s frame of reference. The physics is evaluated in the plasma rest frame. The results are then transformed to the observer’s frame. The underlying power law for the flux density is defined as $S_\nu (\nu_{\text{obs}}) = S_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}^{\alpha}$, where $S$ is a constant. Observed quantities will be designated with a subscript “obs” in the following expressions. The observed frequency is related to the emitted frequency, $\nu_e$, by $\nu_{\text{obs}} = \delta \nu_e / (1 + z)$, where $\delta$ is the bulk flow Doppler factor, $\delta \approx 1$. The SSA attenuation coefficient is computed in the plasma rest frame (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1969),

$$
\mu(\nu_e) = \frac{g(n)}{2 \pi mc^2} N_l \left( mc^2 \right)^{2\alpha} \left( \frac{3e}{2 \pi mc^2} \right)^{1.2n} \frac{1.2n}{2} \right)^{1.5 + \alpha) / (\nu_e)^{-2.5 + \alpha)},
$$

(A1)

$$
\frac{g(n)}{\nu_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi}}{8} \frac{\Gamma[(3n + 22)/12]\Gamma[(3n + 2)/12]^{(a + 6)/4]}{\Gamma[(a + 8)/4]},
$$

(A2)

$$
N = \int_{\nu_{\text{min}}}^{\nu_{\text{max}}} N_l \Gamma^{-n} d\Gamma, \quad n = 2\alpha + 1, \quad (A3)
$$

where $\mu$ is the ratio of lepton energy to rest-mass energy, $m_e c^2$, $g(n)$ is the Gaunt factor averaged over angle, $\Gamma$ is the gamma function, and $B$ is the magnitude of the total magnetic field. The low-energy cutoff is $\nu_{\text{min}} = \Gamma \alpha \nu_{\text{obs}}$.

A simple solution to the radiative transfer equation occurs in the homogeneous approximation (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1965; van der Laan 1966)

$$
S_{\nu_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{S_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}^{\alpha}}{\nu_{\text{obs}}} \times (1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}^{\nu_{e}}}), \quad \tau_{\nu_{\text{obs}}} = \tau_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}^{\nu_{e}} - 2.5 + \alpha), (A4)
$$

where $\tau(\nu)$ is the SSA opacity, $L$ is the path length in the rest frame of the plasma, $\alpha$ is a normalization factor, and $\tau$ is a constant.

Connecting the parametric spectrum given by Equation (A4) to a physical model requires an expression for the synchrotron emissivity (Tucker 1975):

$$
\dot{j}_e = 1.7 \times 10^{-21} [4\pi N_l] a(n) B^{1+\alpha} \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{6}}{\nu_e} \right)^{\alpha}, (A5)
$$

$$
a(n) = \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \Gamma \left( \frac{3n - 1}{12} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{3n + 19}{12} \right)}{8 \sqrt{\pi} (n + 1) \Gamma \left( \frac{n + 5}{4} \right)}, (A6)
$$

where the coefficient $a(n)$ separates the pure dependence on $n$ (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1965). One can transform this result to the observed flux density, $S_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}$, in the optically thin region of the spectrum using the relativistic transformation relations from Lind & Blandford (1985),

$$
S_{\nu_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{\delta^{3+\alpha)}{4\pi D_L^2} \int_{\nu_{\text{obs}}}^{\nu} j_e' dV', (A7)
$$

where $D_L$ is the luminosity distance and in this expression the primed frame is the rest frame of the plasma.

A.I. Mechanical Quantities That Characterize the Lobes

First, define the kinetic energy of the protons, $KE_{\text{proton}}$, where $M$ is the mass of the plasmoid. Second, define the lepton-magnetic energy, $E(\text{lm})$, which creates the synchrotron emission. It is the volume integral of the leptonic internal energy density, $U_e$, and the magnetic field energy density, $U_B$, $E(\text{lm})$ in a uniform spherical volume is

$$
E(\text{lm}) = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \left[ \frac{B^2}{8\pi} + \int_{\nu_{\text{min}}}^{\nu_{\text{max}}} (mc^2)^2 (N_l \Gamma^{-n+1}) d\nu \right]. (A9)
$$

The lepton also have a kinetic energy analogous to Equation (11).

$$
KE_{\text{leptonic}} = (\gamma - 1) N_e m_e c^2, \quad (A10)
$$

where $N_e$ is the total number of leptons in the plasmoid.

Appendix B

Fits to the Broad-line Profiles

The BEL components are identified in Figure 7 as follows: BC is the black Gaussian profile, VBC is the red curve, and BLUE is the blue curve. Only the sum of the three components is shown for both N V λ1240 and He II λ1640 (in black). For more details of the fitting process see Punsly et al. (2020).

Modeling of the Balmer continuum in the Mg II region, in the lower right panel of Figure 7, is nontrivial. The model spectrum depends on numerous free parameters, an intensity factor, electron temperature $T_e$, and the optical depth (Grandi 1982; Jin et al. 2012). Since we lack adequate spectral coverage of the longer-wavelength discrete Balmer lines (longward of 4000 Å), there is little information to constrain the Balmer continuum. We simply assume an optically thin continuum and $T_e = 17,500$ K (Kwan & Krolik 1981; Jin et al. 2012). Our primary interest is to derive the Mg II broad-line parameters and define the SED continuum level. In order to assess whether our modeling of the small blue bump at 3000 Å is reasonable, we compare our results to the Shen et al. (2011) fit of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey spectrum. Our (their) $L(3000 \text{ Å}) = 5.36 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ($L(3000 \text{ Å}) = 4.60 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$), total BEL FWHM is 3595 km s$^{-1}$ (3908 km s$^{-1}$), and the broad-line luminosity is $L$(Mg II) = 4.60 $\times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (3.72 $\times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$). The small differences between the two fits are likely attributable to a larger flux allocation to the UV Fe II and the Balmer continuum than our fit. There was no effort in Shen et al. (2011) in their UV FeII excision to assign an explicit contribution to a Balmer pseudo-continuum. Even though ours was a dedicated fit of an individual source, we cannot claim that our fit is better; the two are similar and produce bolometric luminosities that agree to within $\sim 1\%$.
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