Normative measurements of the superior oblique and inferior oblique muscles by magnetic resonance imaging
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Abstract
Purpose Normative oblique muscle data may help to diagnose pathological enlargement of the oblique muscles. We aim to describe the normative values of the superior and inferior oblique muscles in an Australian cohort on T1-weighted MRI and fat suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted MRI.

Methods A retrospective review of patients who underwent 3 T orbital MRI. The healthy orbits were used to conduct measurements in patients with a unilateral orbital lesion. The maximum diameters of the superior and inferior oblique muscles were measured on coronal planes. The diameter was measured perpendicular to the long axis of the muscles.

Results The normal measurements (mean ± SD) on fat suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted MRI: superior oblique, 3.0 ± 0.5 mm and inferior oblique, 2.7 ± 0.5 mm. On T1-weighted MRI: superior oblique, 2.8 ± 0.5 mm and inferior oblique, 2.5 ± 0.4 mm. In patients who had both sequences performed, the superior and inferior oblique diameters were significantly higher on the fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI than the T1-weighted MRI sequence (p < 0.01).

Conclusion Oblique muscle enlargement may be seen in a range of orbital diseases. These data may help in diagnosing oblique muscle enlargement. In addition, variations in the measured muscle diameters can be seen according to the scan sequence that is used.
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Introduction
The oblique muscles may be enlarged in a range of inflammatory, neoplastic, and infective conditions. These include orbital lymphoma; inflammatory conditions, such as thyroid eye disease (TED), myositis, Sarcoidosis, and IgG4-related ophthalmic disease; and infective conditions including pyomyositis and cysticercosis [1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 17, 20].

Studies reporting normative data on the oblique muscles are limited. Previous studies have conducted oblique measurements on standard T1-weighted MRI [16, 18]. Fat-suppressed contrast enhanced imaging is the preferred imaging modality for evaluating inflammatory and neoplastic conditions affecting the extraocular muscles. It is likely that the measurements vary according to scan sequence that is used.

We describe and compare the normative values of the superior and inferior oblique muscles in an Australian cohort on T1-weighted MRI and fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI.

Methods
The study was approved by the Central Adelaide Local Health Network ethics committee and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

This was a retrospective review of patients who underwent high-field (3 Tesla; 3 T) magnetic resonance imaging of the orbits. Patients with conditions known to affect bilateral orbits (e.g., TED, trauma), high myopia, prior orbital surgery or poor scan quality were excluded. The
normal, healthy orbits were used to conduct measurements in patients with unilateral orbital disease.

Patients were evaluated using Magnetom 3 T Skyra scanner (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) with a conventional turbo spin-echo sequence (TR/TE, 500/15; field of view, 200 × 200 mm; matrix, 512 × 512; slice thickness 3 mm). Contrast enhanced images were obtained after intravenous administration of a standard weight-based dose of gadolinium. The maximum diameters of the superior and inferior oblique muscles were taken on coronal planes (Figs. 1, 2). The diameter was taken perpendicular to the long axis of the muscles. All measurements were performed on high resolution picture archiving and communication system (PACS).

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). In patients who had both normal orbits, only the right orbit was used to avoid violating the assumption of independence. Shapiro–Wilk tests for the both the SO (W = 1.00, p = 0.988) and IO (W = 0.99, p = 0.208) did not show evidence of non-normality. The mean values of the muscle thickness were calculated and presented as mean ± standard deviation. The independent samples t test was used to compare the data from male and female patients. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation between age and muscle thickness. The paired t test was used to compare the diameters from the two different MRI sequences. Bland–Altman analysis was used to assess for interobserver and intraobserver reliability [3]. Thirty scans were assessed by a second reviewer (AR) and fifteen scan measurements were repeated by the first reviewer (KR). The reviewers were blinded to the original results. The criteria for significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI

141 orbits from 141 patients (64 male, 77 female) had fat suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted MRI. The mean age of these participants was 58 ± 18 years (20–94 years). The mean diameters of the superior and inferior oblique muscles for all participants are given in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the size of muscles between sexes. No significant correlation was seen between age and superior oblique (r = −0.13, p = 0.12) or inferior oblique thickness (r = −0.09, p = 0.28).

T1-weighted MRI

There were 84 orbits from 84 patients (40 male, 44 female) with a mean age of 56 ± 18 years (20–87 years) who had T1-weighted MRI scans. The mean diameters of the superior and inferior oblique muscles for all participants are given in Table 2. No significant difference was observed for the superior or inferior oblique thickness between males and females. No significant correlation was seen between age and muscle thickness.

| Table 1 | Normative orbital measurements on fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in millimetres |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Muscle | Total | Male | Female | p value |
| Superior oblique | 3.00 ± 0.52 | 3.04 ± 0.52 | 2.97 ± 0.51 | 0.43 |
| Inferior oblique | 2.69 ± 0.48 | 2.76 ± 0.53 | 2.63 ± 0.44 | 0.11 |
and superior oblique (r = −0.11, p = 0.34) or inferior oblique thickness (r = −0.09, p = 0.40).

Fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI vs T1-weighted MRI

There were 71 orbits from 71 patients (34 male, 37 female) who had both scan sequences performed. The mean age of these participants was 58 ± 17 years (20–87 years). The muscle diameters for the two sequences are presented in Table 3. The superior and inferior oblique diameters were significantly higher on the fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI than the T1-weighted MRI (p < 0.01).

The normal ranges of the oblique muscles on T1-weighted MRI across different studies are given in Table 4. Bland–Altman analysis showed agreement for the intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities with 90–100% of points lying within the limits of agreement.

Discussion

We present the normative data on the oblique muscles in an Australian cohort on two different MRI sequences. Amongst patients who had both scans, we found that the diameters from the fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI were significantly higher than the T1-weighted MRI diameters. This is likely due to partial volume averaging at the muscle–fat interface. On a fat-suppressed contrast enhanced study, the muscle signal dominates at the muscle–fat interface, whereas the opposite can be observed in a standard T1-weighted MRI.

The upper range of the normal muscle diameters, two standard deviations above the mean, may be a suitable cutoff point to help diagnose muscle enlargement on MRI (Table 4). A diameter of greater than 4.0 mm can be considered enlarged for the superior and inferior oblique muscles. Superior oblique atrophy may be diagnosed with a diameter of less than 2.0 mm and inferior oblique atrophy with a size of less than 1.5 mm. The diameter ranges reported by Shen et al. [18] are lower than our values and those reported by Ozgen, Aydingöz [15]. This is likely because they did not measure the maximum muscle diameters and instead measured the diameters at two preselected planes 0 mm and 7 mm behind the globe.

Primary neoplasms such as orbital lymphoma or metastases from distant sites may cause oblique muscle enlargement [8, 20]. Oblique muscle enlargement has also been recognised in TED [4]. Oblique muscle enlargement may be seen without involvement of the inferior and medial recti muscles typically involved in TED [2]. Further research is required into whether oblique muscle enlargement may be a prognostic marker for disease severity in TED.

Extraocular muscle sizes may change with increasing age. Previous reports have shown a statistically significant positive correlation between age and the diameters of the inferior and lateral rectus muscles [14, 15]. However, others have failed to show any such changes [13, 16, 18]. In our study, the oblique muscle diameters were inversely correlated with age on both scan sequences; however, this did not reach statistical significance. Similarly, sex differences have been reported with males generally having larger extraocular muscle diameters than females [10, 14, 15]. Our study did not show any significant sex differences in the oblique muscle diameters.

We used a readily available and easy to perform technique to measure the diameter of the oblique muscles. The calibre tool that was used is available on the hospital’s picture

### Table 2
| Muscle          | Total     | Male       | Female     | p value |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Superior oblique| 2.80 ± 0.52| 2.83 ± 0.54| 2.78 ± 0.51| 0.69    |
| Inferior oblique| 2.47 ± 0.42| 2.50 ± 0.42| 2.43 ± 0.42| 0.46    |

| Measurement | T1w-MRI | T1 FS CE | p value |
|-------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Superior oblique | 2.82 ± 0.52 | 3.02 ± 0.55 | <0.01  |
| Inferior oblique  | 2.47 ± 0.39  | 2.64 ± 0.41  | <0.01  |

T1 FS CE T1-weighted fat suppressed contrast enhanced MRI

### Table 4
| Study                  | Superior oblique | Inferior oblique |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                       | Mean (mm)        | Range (± 2 SD)   | Mean (mm)        | Range (± 2 SD)   |
| Our study             | 2.8              | 1.8–3.8          | 2.5              | 1.6–3.3          |
| Ozgen et al. [12]     | 3.2              | 2.4–4.1          | NR               | NR               |
| Shen et al. [10]      | 2.2              | 0.8–3.6          | NR               | NR               |
| Sabundayo et al. [11] | 3.0              | NR               | NR               | 1.9–4.1          |

NR not reported
archiving and communication system. The oblique muscles’ cross-sectional areas and volumes can also be measured and have been previously reported; however, these measurements require more time and expertise to perform [5, 19].

The oblique muscles may atrophy and decrease in size in certain neuro-ophthalmological conditions. The cross-sectional areas and volumes of the superior or inferior oblique muscles are smaller in cases of clinically diagnosed superior or inferior oblique palsies [5, 19]. These normative data may also help to diagnose oblique muscle atrophy using simple muscle diameters.

This study has some limitations inherent to the study design. Patients were asked to maintain forward gaze; however, the direction of gaze could not be controlled for in this study. In addition, this was a retrospective study in an Australian cohort and our data may not be applicable to other cohorts.

In conclusion, we have presented the normative data on the thickness of the superior and inferior oblique muscles in an Australian cohort. We have found significantly larger oblique muscle diameters to be reported from fat suppressed contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI as compared to standard T1-weighted MRI. The technique used is easily accessible and may be easily translated to a clinical setting to diagnose oblique muscle enlargement or atrophy.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions. None.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Acharya IG, Jethani J (2010) Pyomyositis of extraocular muscle: Case series and review of the literature. Indian J Ophthalmol 58:532–535. https://doi.org/10.4103/0301-4738.71712
2. Aomatsu K, Kusaka S (2020) Isolated superior oblique muscle swelling causing acute vertical strabismus in graves’ disease. Case Rep Ophthalmol Med 2020:8829655. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8829655
3. Bland JM, Altman DG (1986) Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet 1:307–310
4. Del Porto L, Hinds AM, Raof N, Barras C, Davagnanam I, Hancock J et al (2019) Superior oblique enlargement in thyroid eye disease. J AAPOS 23:252.e251-252.e254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaapos.2019.04.010
5. Ela-Dalman N, Velez FG, Demer JL, Rosenbaum AL (2008) High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging demonstrates reduced inferior oblique muscle size in isolated inferior oblique palsy. J AAPOS 12:602–607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaapos.2008.06.012
6. Frank KW, Weiss H (1983) Unusual clinical and histopathological findings in ocular sarcoidosis. Br J Ophthalmol 67:8–16. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.67.1.8
7. Guo PD, Xian JF, Man FY, Liu ZH, Yan F, Zhao J et al (2016) Magnetic resonance imaging features of extraocular muscle lymphoma in five cases. Chin Med J 129:2384–2385. https://doi.org/10.4103/0366-6999.190679
8. Gupta A, Chazen JL, Phillips CD (2011) Carcinoid tumor metastases to the extraocular muscles: Mr imaging and ct findings and review of the literature. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 32:1208–1211. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2470
9. Ishihara R, Jain SF, Ferry D, Reinhardt A, Suh D, Legge R (2020) Orbital pseudotumor as the presenting symptom of crohn’s disease in a male child. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajoc.2020.100669
10. Ji Y, Lai C, Gu L, Fan X (2018) Measurement of intra-orbital structures in normal chinese adults based on a three-dimensional coordinate system. Curr Eye Res 43:1477–1483. https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2018.1510013
11. Kakizaki H, Zako M, Iwaki M (2007) Thyroid-associated inferior oblique myopathy. Ophthalmology 114:2106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.04.034
12. Kim N, Yang HK, Kim JH, Hwang J-M (2018) Igg4-related ophthalmic disease involving extraocular muscles: case series. BMC Ophthalmol 18:162. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-018-0819-x
13. Lee JS, Lim DW, Lee SH, Oum BS, Kim HJ, Lee HJ (2001) Normative measurements of korean orbital structures revealed by computerized tomography. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 79:197–200. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0420.2001.079002197.x
14. Ozgen A, Ariyurek M (1998) Normative measurements of orbital structures using computerized tomography. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 57:5535–5540. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2470
15. Ozgen A, Aydingoz U (2000) Normative measurements of orbital structures using mri. J Comput Assist Tomogr 24:493–496. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004728-200005000-00025
16. Sabundayo MS, Kakizaki H, Takahashi Y (2018) Normative measurements of inferior oblique muscle thickness in japanese by magnetic resonance imaging using a new technique. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 256:839–844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-017-3871-y
17. Shashni A, Pujari A, Bajaj MS, Kumar P (2018) Superior oblique muscle cysticercosis: Importance of long-term assessment by a single observer. Can J Ophthalmol 53:e193–e195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjo.2017.11.007
18. Shen S, Fong KS, Wong HB, Looi A, Chan LL, Rootman J et al (2010) Normative measurements of the chinese extraocular musculature by high-field magnetic resonance imaging. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51:631–636. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.09-3614
19. Suh SY, Clark RA, Le A, Demer JL (2016) Extraocular muscle compartments in superior oblique palsy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 57:5535–5540. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.16-20172
20. Truong T, Char DH, Dillon WP (1988) Superior oblique muscle neoplasms. Orbit 7:249–253

© Springer
Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.