Stathmin1 Plays Oncogenic Role and Is a Target of MicroRNA-223 in Gastric Cancer

Wei Kang1,2,*, Joanna H. M. Tong1,2,9, Anthony W. H. Chan1, Raymond W. M. Lung1,2, Shuk Ling Chau1,2, Queenie W. L. Wong1, Nathalie Wong1, Jun Yu2,3, Alfred S. L. Cheng2,3, Ka Fai To1,2,3

1 Department of Anatomical and Cellular Pathology, State Key Laboratory in Oncology in South China, Sir Y.K. Pao Center for Cancer, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, 2 Institute of Digestive Disease, Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, 3 Department of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Abstract

Stathmin1 (STMN1) is a candidate oncoprotein and prognosis marker in several kinds of cancers. This study was aimed to analyze its expression and biological functions in gastric cancer. The expression of STMN1 was evaluated by qRT-PCR, western blot and immunohistochemistry. The biological function of STMN1 was determined by MTT proliferation assays, monolayer colony formation and cell invasion assays using small interference RNA technique in gastric cancer cell lines. We also explored the regulation of STMN1 expression by microRNA-223. STMN1 was upregulated in gastric cancer cell lines and primary gastric adenocarcinomas. STMN1-positive tumors were more likely to be found in old age group and associated with p53 nuclear expression. In diffuse type gastric adenocarcinomas, STMN1 expression was correlated with age (p = 0.043), T stage (p = 0.004) and lymph node metastasis (p = 0.046). Expression of STMN1 in diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma was associated with poor disease specific survival by univariate analysis (p = 0.01). STMN1 knockdown in AGS and MKN7 cell lines suppressed proliferation (p<0.001), reduced monolayer colony formation (p<0.001), induced cell invasion and migration ability (p<0.001) and induced G1 phase arrest. siSTMN1 could also suppress cell growth in vivo (p<0.01). We finally confirmed that STMN1 is a putative downstream target of miR-223 in gastric cancer. Our findings supported an oncogenic role of STMN1 in gastric cancer. STMN1 might serve as a prognostic marker and a potential therapeutic target for gastric cancer.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignancies and the second most frequent cause of cancer-related death worldwide. It has the highest incidence in China, Japan, Korea and eastern Asia. The overall prognosis is poor with a 5-year survival rate below 30% in most countries [1]. Several potential risk factors include high salt diet, smoking, low intake of fruits and vegetables, chronic gastritis with glandularatrophy and intestinal metaplasia, and Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection. The clinical outcome of H. pylori infection has been shown to be influenced by various genetic factors, particularly H. pylori-virulence associated genes such as cagA, vacA, iceA and babA [2]. H. pylori infection is also known to induce the expression of pro-inflammatory cyclooxygenase enzyme (COX-2) which shows upregulated expression in gastric cancer [3]. Previous studies have documented the importance of genetic and epigenetic alterations of oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes and mismatch repair genes in the development of gastric cancer. Protocadherin 10 [4], death-associated protein kinase [5], secreted frizzled-related protein [6] and peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma [7] have been shown to have reduced expression and tumor suppressor function in gastric carcinogenesis. On the other hand, retinoic acid-regulated nuclear matrix-associated protein [8] and yes-associated protein 1 [9] were both upregulated and exert oncogenic function in tumor development.

Stathmin1 (STMN1), also known as oncoprotein 18, is an important cytosolic microtubule-destabilizing protein which plays critical role in the process of mitosis through regulation of microtubule dynamics, and a variety of other biological processes [10]. High level of STMN1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in various malignancies including breast cancer [11,12], prostate cancer [13], malignant mesothelioma [14], cervical cancer [15], and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [16]. In 2010, Jeon et al. first reported that STMN1 over-expression was positively correlated with lymph node metastasis and advanced staging and vascular invasion, and negatively with recurrence-free survival in diffuse type gastric carcinoma [17]. The same group demonstrated the oncogenic role of STMN1 in gastric cancer by in vitro inhibition of proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cell lines by knocking STMN1 down using siRNA, and in vivo inhibition of xenograft tumor growth in nude mice by siRNA transfection.

Regulation of STMN1 expression by miR-223 has been demonstrated in hepatocellular carcinoma by our previous study.
Micro-RNAs are a class of single-stranded RNA molecules of 21–23 base pair in length and regulate target genes expression through specific base-pairing interactions between miRNA and untranslated regions of targeted mRNAs [19]. MiRNAs would function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors in human cancers and are potentially used as novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, and therapeutic targets. In gastric cancer, several miRNAs including miR-143 and -145 [20], miR-141 [21], miR-31 [22] and miR-106a [23] are downregulated, whereas some oncogenic miRNAs such as miR-21 and miR-27a [24] are upregulated.

This study is aimed to investigate the functional role of STMN1 in gastric cancer development and mechanisms of regulation of STMN1 in gastric cancer.

Results

Up-regulation of STMN1 in gastric cancer cell lines and primary gastric cancer samples

The expression of STMN1 mRNA was higher in all 9 gastric cancer cell lines than the normal gastric tissue as shown in Fig. 1A. Western blot analysis confirmed the up-regulation of STMN1 protein in 11 gastric cancer cell lines (Fig. 1B). Up-regulated STMN1 protein expression was observed in 4 out of 5 primary gastric adenocarcinomas comparing with the corresponding non-tumorous gastric mucosa (Fig. 1C). QRT-PCR was conducted to investigate the STMN1 mRNA expression level. In primary gastric adenocarcinoma, 28 of 50 cases (56%) showed more than 1.5-fold up-regulation of STMN1 mRNA expression in tumor tissue compared with the corresponding non-cancerous counterparts ($p = 0.040$, Fig. 1D).

STMN1 expression correlates with poor prognosis in diffuse type gastric cancer

Immunohistochemistry was performed to assess the STMN1 protein expression in 111 primary gastric adenocarcinoma samples. The STMN1 protein expression was mainly localized in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells (Fig. 2A). Positive immuno-reactivity was observed in 96 gastric adenocarcinomas (86.5%). Among those STMN1-positive tumors, 40 showed strong (3+) and 37 showed intermediate (2+) and 19 showed weak (1+) STMN1 staining. Previous study has demonstrated that the STMN1 expression was negatively regulated by tumor suppressor gene TP53 [25]. We therefore assessed the expression of p53 protein by immunohistochemistry and explored its correlation with STMN1 expression level. Aberrant nuclear p53 expression was found in 51 (45.9%) of gastric adenocarcinomas and more frequently in STMN1-positive tumor (50.0%) than STMN1-negative tumor (20.0%) ($p = 0.03$). The clinicopathologic characteristics of 111 patients with gastric adenocarcinoma and the association with STMN1 expression were shown in Table 1. STMN1-positive tumors were more likely to be found in old age group ($p = 0.07$) and associated with p53 nuclear expression ($p = 0.03$). Univariate analysis indicated that old age ($p<0.036$), histology with diffuse component ($p=0.012$), stage ($p<0.0001$), T stage ($p = 0.012$), N stage ($p<0.0001$), M stage ($p<0.0001$) and the presence of lymph node metastasis ($p<0.0001$) correlated with poor disease-specific survival. By multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, only age ($p<0.0001$) and stage ($p<0.0001$) were independently associated with disease-specific survival (Table 2). In diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma, STMN1 expression was associated with old age ($p = 0.043$), T stage ($p = 0.004$) and the presence of lymph node metastasis ($p = 0.046$). Expression of STMN1 in diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma was associated with poorer disease-specific survival by univariate analysis ($p = 0.01$, Fig. 2B).

Silencing of STMN1 inhibits the aggressive phenotype in vitro

Frequent upregulation of STMN1 mRNA and protein in gastric tumors suggested a potential oncogenic role of this gene. Knockdown of STMN1 by small RNA interference (siRNA) markedly lowered mRNA and protein level (Fig. 3A) and
significantly reduced cell proliferation in AGS and MKN7 cells as demonstrated by MTT assays ($p<0.001$, Fig. 3B). STMN1 siRNA-mediated growth suppressive effect was further confirmed by anchorage-dependent monolayer colony formation assay. A significant reduction of colony numbers was observed in cells transfected with STMN1 siRNA, compared with scramble controls in monolayer culture (reduced to 49.2% and 68.4% of scramble controls in AGS and MKN7 respectively; $p<0.001$, Fig. 3C).

In cell motility assays, a significant reduction in the invasive phenotype through the Matrigel-coated Boyden chamber ($p<0.001$, Fig. 3D) was demonstrated in STMN1 siRNA-transfected AGS and MKN7 cells (reduced to 51.6% and 46.8% of scramble controls in AGS and MKN7 respectively). In cell migration assays using Transwell Permeable Supports, a significant decrease in the number of cells migrating through the microporous membrane ($p<0.001$, Figure S1) was found in STMN1 siRNA transfected AGS and MKN7 cells (reduced to

Figure 2. Clinical significance of STMN1 overexpression in gastric adenocarcinoma. (A) Representative photos of STMN1 immunohistochemistry in gastric cancer, case 37, intestinal type and case 112, diffuse type (original magnification $\times 100$, insertion $\times 400$). (B) Kaplan-Meier plot of disease-specific survival according to STMN1 expression status in diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033919.g002
65.9% and 42.7% of the scramble controls in AGS and MKN7, respectively), suggesting siSTMN1 could inhibit the migration ability of gastric cancer cells.

Since a growth inhibitory effect was observed in siSTMN1 transfected cells, we analyzed the transfectants for cell cycle parameters using flow cytometry. Twenty-four hours after transfection, accumulation of G1 phase cells was observed in siSTMN1 transfectants compared with the scramble siRNA controls (Fig. 4A). Cells in the G1 phase were increased from 47.5% to 53.7% in AGS, 38.0% to 43.4% in MKN7, and 30.1% to 40.1% in SGC7901 cells. And this was accompanied with a decrease of S phase cells. In keeping with the cell cycle arrest found in flow cytometric analysis, we observed a significant reduction of phospho-Rb (S807/811) in siSTMN1 transfectants (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, siSTMN1 could induce late apoptosis in four gastric cancer cell lines tested, AGS, MKN1, BGC823 and SGC7901, which was represented by an increase of cleaved-PARP (Fig. 4B).

However, no significant difference was found in the level of p-AKT (S473) and p-Stat3 (T705) between siSTMN1 and negative control transfected.

### siSTMN1 inhibits the growth of gastric tumor in vivo

To further investigate the effect of STMN1 on in vivo growth of gastric tumor, siSTMN1 and scramble-transfected gastric cancer cells were injected subcutaneously to the right and left dorsal flank of nude mice, respectively. Since AGS and MKN7 cells do not form xenografts in nude mice, we used SGC7901 cells for in vivo study. siSTMN1-transfectant formed smaller tumors on the right dorsal flank than scramble controls on the left dorsal flank 3 weeks after injection ($p<0.01$, Fig. 4C).

### STMN1 is a downstream target of miR-223 in gastric cancer

As predicted by Targetscan, potential miR-223 binding site was found in the STMN1 3'UTR (position 12–18 of STMN1 3'UTR). Expression of miR-223 was downregulated in 9 gastric cancer cell lines tested, AGS, MKN1, BGC823 and SGC7901, which was represented by an increase of cleaved-PARP (Fig. 4B).

### Table 1. Correlation of STMN1 expression with other clinicopathologic features.

|                      | All cases (n = 111) | Diffuse type (n = 54) |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                      | STMN1 expression    |                      |
|                      | Negative | Positive | $p$-Value | Negative | Positive | $p$-Value |
| Sex                  | M        | 10       | 67       | 4        | 34       |
|                      | F        | 5        | 29       | NS       | 3        | 13       | NS       |
| Age                  | $\leq 60$ | 10       | 40       | 6        | 21       |
|                      | $> 60$   | 5        | 56       | 0.07     | 1        | 26       | 0.043    |
| Type                 | Intestinal | 8        | 48       |
|                      | Diffuse    | 7        | 47       | NS       |
| Grade                | 1        | 0        | 2        |
|                      | 2        | 7        | 32       |
|                      | 3        | 8        | 61       | NS       | 7        | 47       | NA       |
| Stage                | I        | 4        | 29       | 3        | 6        |
|                      | II       | 1        | 9        | 0        | 2        |
|                      | III      | 3        | 30       | 0        | 20       |
|                      | IV       | 7        | 27       | NS       | 4        | 19       | NS       |
| Stage (T)            | 1        | 4        | 19       | 3        | 2        |
|                      | 2        | 3        | 32       | 1        | 17       |
|                      | 3        | 6        | 43       | 3        | 28       |
|                      | 4        | 2        | 1        | NS       | 0        | 0        | 0.004    |
| Stage (N)            | 0        | 4        | 26       | 3        | 6        |
|                      | 1        | 6        | 22       | 2        | 8        |
|                      | 2        | 2        | 29       | 0        | 20       |
|                      | 3        | 3        | 18       | NS       | 2        | 13       | NS       |
| Stage (M)            | 0        | 11       | 82       | 4        | 38       |
|                      | 1        | 4        | 13       | NS       | 3        | 9        | NS       |
| Lymph Node           | 0        | 4        | 26       | 3        | 6        |
|                      | 1        | 11       | 69       | NS       | 4        | 41       | 0.046    |
| H. pylori            | Absence  | 8        | 58       | 4        | 31       |
|                      | Presence  | 7        | 37       | NS       | 3        | 16       | NS       |
| p53                  | 0        | 12       | 48       | 5        | 22       |
|                      | 1        | 3        | 48       | 0.03     | 2        | 25       | NS       |

(NS, not significant; NA, not available).
protein expression in both cell lines (AGS and MKN7. MiR-223 suppressed STMN1 mRNA and showed a strong inhibitory effect on STMN1 3’ UTR (49.7%, p<0.001). Adding miR-223 blocker rescued the STMN1 protein expression (p=0.05). We further assessed the STMN1 protein expression by immunohistochemistry and found that aberrant p53 immunoreactivity (score 2+ and 3+) showed a non-significant trend towards a lower miR-223 expression level (p=0.137, Fig. 5B).

To demonstrate the potential suppressive effect of miR-223 on STMN1 expression, we transfected miR-223 to gastric cancer cell lines AGS and MKN7. MiR-223 suppressed STMN1 mRNA and protein expression in both cell lines (p<0.001, Fig. 5C). Adding miR-223 blocker rescued the STMN1 protein expression in MKN7 cells, suggesting the suppressive effect was specifically induced by miR-223 (Fig. 5D). Dual luciferase reporter assays were performed to study the interaction between miR-223 and STMN1 3’UTR (Fig. 5E). The reporter constructs containing predicted or mutated binding sites were co-transfected with miR-223 mimic to MKN28 cells, a gastric cancer cell line with relatively low endogenous STMN1 expression. MiR-223 exerted strong inhibitory effect on STMN1 3’UTR (49.7%, p<0.001). The inhibitory effect was eliminated when the seed region was deleted (Mutant 1), or alleviated when 4 nucleotides on the seed region were mutated (67.6%, p<0.001, Mutant 2).

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated the up-regulation of STMN1 expression in both mRNA and protein levels in gastric adenocarcinomas compared with normal gastric epithelium. The result suggested that STMN1 might have oncogenic function in gastric tumorigenesis. STMN1 has been reported to be a prognostic biomarker in several cancers including colorectal cancer [26], esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [16], hepatocellular carcinoma [27,28,29,30] and oral squamous-cell carcinoma [31]. We demonstrated that STMN1 expression associated with old age group, advanced T stage, the presence of lymph node metastasis, and a shorter disease-specific survival time in diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma. In keeping with this finding, Jeon et al. reported that STMN1 could predict poor prognosis in the diffuse type of gastric cancer and correlate with vascular invasion [17].

STMN1 regulates microtubule dynamics by promoting depolymerization of microtubules and preventing polymerization of tubulin heterodimers. Inhibition of STMN1 expression leads to accumulation of cells in the G2/M phases and is associated with severe mitotic spindle abnormalities and difficulty in the exit from mitosis [10]. STMN1 also mediates the effects of p27(Kip1) on cell motility [32]. In sarcoma cells [33] and non-small cell lung cancer [34], STMN1 stimulated cell motility in and through the extracellular matrix in vivo and increased the metastatic potential in vivo. In poorly differentiated gastric cancer cell lines SNU638 and SNU16, siRNA-induced STMN1 repression could suppress cell proliferation in vivo and in vitro [17]. In this study, we showed that siRNA knockdown of STMN1 inhibited cell proliferation and anchorage-dependent colony formation, impaired invasion and migration ability, induced G1 arrest and late apoptosis in gastric cancer cell lines. We further demonstrated that siSTMN1 inhibited in vivo growth of gastric cancer cell line SGC7901. Functional inhibition of STMN1 readily decreased cell proliferation and invasive phenotype, suggesting a protumorigenic role of STMN1 in gastric cancer.

MiR-223 is an evolutionarily conserved miRNA which was initially reported in granuloapoiesis and myeloid differentiation [35]. The expression of miR-233 might be driven by the myeloid transcription factors, PU.1 and C/EBPs [36]. It could regulate several target genes such as Mef2c [37], a transcriptional factor that promotes myeloid progenitor proliferation. It also plays an essential role during osteoclast differentiation [38], and could be served as a potential biomarker for recurrent ovarian cancer [39] and sepsis [40]. We reported previously that STMN1 is a putative downstream target of miR-223 in hepatocellular carcinoma [18]. In this study, we observed a low miR-223 expression level in gastric cancer cell lines and an inverse relationship between miR-223 and STMN1 protein expression. By luciferase reporter assays, we confirmed the specific interaction between miR-223 and STMN1 3’UTR in gastric cancer cells. The negative modulation effect by miR-223 was further substantiated by a significantly reduced STMN1 protein level in gastric cancer cell lines after miR-223 re-expression. The results supported that STMN1 is a putative target of miR-223 in gastric cancer cells. Intriguingly, overexpression of miR-223 did not alter cell proliferation and apoptosis (Figure S2A & 2B) but significantly induced cell motility in gastric cancer cells (Figure S2C). In keeping with this finding, a recent study demonstrated that miR-223 promoted cell motility through post-transcriptional downregulation of tumor suppressor EPB41L3 in gastric cancer cells [41]. While a single miRNA can target multiple genes, multiple miRNA can regulate a single gene. The exact molecular mechanistic identification of how a given miRNA contributes to the phenotypic changes remains elusive.

Inactivation of tumor suppressor gene TP53 is the most common and most frequently studied molecular events in human cancer. It has been reported that p53 mediated the repression of STMN1 promoter activity, resulting in negative regulation of STMN1 expression and G2/M arrest in the cell cycle [25,42]. It has been generally accepted that wild type p53 protein is not detectable by immunohistochemistry because it is unstable and has a relatively shorter half-life. Mutant p53 protein accumulated in the nucleus is relatively stable and has a longer half-life, which makes it detectable by immunohistochemistry. Therefore, a strong and diffuse immunoreactivity is generally indicative of mutant p53 [43]. We assessed the p53 status in gastric adenocarcinoma by immunohistochemistry and found that aberrant p53 immunoreactivity associated with higher STMN1 expression. It is therefore
plausible that overexpression of STMN1 might in part due to inactivation of tumor suppressor gene p53 in gastric cancers.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the up-regulation of STMN1 in gastric adenocarcinoma and the expression was correlated with poor disease-specific survival in diffuse type gastric cancer. The oncogenic property of STMN1 in gastric tumorigenesis was confirmed by functional studies. We further demonstrated that the expression of STMN1 was negatively regulated by miR-223 in gastric cancer cells. Our finding suggested that STMN1 might serve as a prognostic marker and a potential therapeutic target for diffuse type gastric adenocarcinoma.

Materials and Methods

Cell line and cell culture

Eleven gastric cancer cell lines, MKN28, KATO-III, MKN45, SNU16, SNU1, MKN7, MKN1, NCI-N87, AGS, BGC823, SGC7901, were obtained from either the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA), RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan) or as a gift from Institute Digestive Disease (IDD) of Prince Wales Hospital. These cell lines are grown in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, EU GIBCO), 100 U/ml penicillin and 10 μg/ml streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C.

Clinical gastric adenocarcinoma samples

A total of 111 gastric adenocarcinoma samples were retrieved from the tissue bank of Anatomical and Cellular Pathology, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong. Another 5 pairs of primary tumors and adjacent non-tumorous tissues were collected during surgery from patients without any neoadjuvant therapy. The specimens were frozen immediately in −80°C for further molecular analysis. Biopsy specimens from 50 pairs of gastric cancer and the corresponding non-cancerous mucosa were kindly provided by IDD of Prince Wales Hospital. The study is approved by Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong–New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee, Hong Kong (CREC...
Ref. No. 2009.521) and all participants provided written informed consent for the collection of samples and subsequent analysis.

RNA extraction, qRT-PCR and microRNA qRT-PCR
Total RNA extraction was performed using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instruction. RNA concentration was measured by NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits (Applied Biosystems) were used for cDNA synthesis. For quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR), Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was applied for STMN1 (Sense: GAGGTCACGTGCCTCTGTTTG; Antisense: CTGACCAACACTTGAGCCACAAC; Probe: Applied Biosystems, 185528556-1, FAM-CGCTTTTGTGCGCGC). The relative expression level was normalized with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and calculated using the 2^(-Delta Delta Ct) method. Taqman miRNA assays (Applied Biosystems) were used to quantify the expression levels of mature miR-223.

Western blot and immunohistochemistry
Protein was extracted from gastric cancer cell lines and paired primary tissues using RIPA lysis buffer with proteinase inhibitor. Protein concentration was measured by the method of Bradford (Bod-Rad) and 20 μg of protein mixed with 2×SDS loading buffer was loaded per lane, separated by 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. STMN1 protein was detected with a polyclonal anti-STMN1 antibody (Cell Signaling, #3352, 1:1000). Other antibodies are from Cell Signaling commercially, cleaved PARP (Asp214) (#9541, 1:1000), phospho-Rb (Ser807/811) (#9308, 1:1000), phospho-AKT (S473) (#4458, 1:1000) and phospho-Stat3 (T705) (#9145, 1:2000).

Immunohistochemistry was performed in 4 μm-thick sections from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded specimens. After de-waxing in xylene and graded ethanol, sections were incubated in 3% H2O2 solution for 25 minutes to block endogenous peroxidase activities and subsequently underwent microwaving in citrate buffer for antigen retrieval. The primary antibodies (1:25 for STMN1 from Cell Signaling and 1:100 for p53 from DAKO) were incubated at 4°C overnight and chromagen development was performed using the EnVision system (DAKO). The cytoplasmic expression of STMN1 was assessed by assigning a proportion score and an intensity score. The proportion score was according to
proportion of tumor cells with positive cytoplasmic staining (0; none; 1, \( \leq 10\% \); 2, \( 10 \% \) to \( 25\% \); 3, \( 25 \% \) to \( 50\% \); 4, \( >50\% \)). The intensity score was assigned for the average intensity of positive tumor cells (0, none; 1, weak; 2, intermediate; 3, strong). The cytoplasmic score of STMN1 was the product of proportion and intensity scores, ranging from 0 to 12. The cytoplasmic expression was categorized into negative (score 0), 1+ (score 1 to 3), 2+ (score 4–6), and 3+ (score 7–12). Nuclear p53 expression in \( \geq10\% \) of tumor cells was scored as aberrant overexpression.

**STMN1 functional assays**

Transfection of STMN1 siRNA and scramble control (QIAGEN) was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). Cell proliferation was assessed using CellTiter 96 Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega) according to manufacturer’s instruction. For colony formation assays in monolayer cultures, cells transfected with STMN1 siRNA or scramble control were cultured for 10 days. Cells were fixed with 70% ethanol for 15 minutes and stained with 2% crystal violet. Colonies with more than 50 cells per colony were counted. The experiments were repeated in triplicate.

The cell invasion assays were performed using BD Biocoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD Biosciences). Transfected cells were seeded on the top chamber in culture medium containing 1% FBS, with complete medium (containing 10% FBS) added to the bottom chamber. After 24 hours incubation at 37°C, cells that

**Figure 5.** STMN1 is a putative downstream target of miR-223 in gastric cancer. (A) MiR-223 expression level in 9 gastric cancer cell lines as determined by qRT-PCR. A borderline correlation was observed between STMN1 protein level and reduced miR-223 expression (\( p = 0.05 \)). (B) MiR-223 expression in 31 primary gastric adenocarcinomas stratified by STMN1 protein level. (C) MiR-223 down-regulated endogenous STMN1 mRNA and protein expression (**, \( p < 0.001 \)) in AGS and MKN7 cells. (D) Down-regulation of STMN1 protein expression by miR-223 was alleviated by miR-223 blocker in MKN7. (E) Luciferase reporter assays suggested STMN1 was a putative target of miR-223 (**, \( p < 0.001 \)). Wildtype: Luciferase construct containing wild type STMN1 3’UTR seed sequence; Mutant 1: the seed sequence was deleted; Mutant 2: 4-nucleotide mutations were introduced to the seed sequence.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033919.g005
invaded through the matrigel membrane were fixed with 100% methanol for 2 minutes and stained with 1% Toluidine blue for another 2 minutes. For statistics analysis, cells on the underside of the membrane were counted from 5 random microscopic fields (original magnification ×400). Each experiment was repeated for two separate times.

The culture dishes 24 hours after transfection, were harvested using cold PBS and fixed in 70% cold ethanol for overnight in 4°C and treated with 1 ng/ml RNase A for 10 minutes at 37°C. Cellular DNA was stained with 15 ng/ml propidium iodide (PI) for 30 minutes at 37°C in the dark. The cells then were sorted by FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson, CA) and cell-cycle profiles were determined using the ModFitLT software (Becton Dickinson, San Diego, CA). The experiments were repeated for two separate times.

Flow cytometry analysis for cell cycle arrest

For cell cycle analysis, AGS, MKN7 and SGC7901 cells were collecting at the time 24 hours after transfection in 6 cm plates. Before transfection, the cells underwent starvation for 12 hours for synchronization. Cells were harvested using cold PBS and fixed in 70% cold ethanol for overnight in 4°C and treated with 1 ng/ml RNase A for 10 minutes at 37°C. Cellular DNA was stained with 15 ng/ml propidium iodide (PI) for 30 minutes at 37°C in the dark. The cells then were sorted by FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson, CA) and cell-cycle profiles were determined using the ModFitLT software (Becton Dickinson, San Diego, CA). The experiments were repeated for two separate times.

In vivo tumorigenicity study

SGC7901 cells (2 × 10^5 cells suspended in 0.1 ml PBS) transiently transfected with siScramble and siSTMN1 were injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flank of nine 4-week-old male Balb/c nude mice (siSTMN1 on the right side and the negative control cells on the left). The xenografts were taken out and tumor diameter was measured and documented at the end of week 3. Tumor volume (mm³) was estimated by measuring the longest and shortest diameter of the tumor and calculating as follows: Volume = (shortest diameter)² × (longest diameter) × 0.5. The animal handling and all experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Luciferase reporter assays and miR-223 transfection

The putative miR-223 binding site at the 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) of STMN1 was cloned into pMIR-REPORT vector (Ambion Inc.). Two mutant constructs were generated by either deletion or mutations of the complementary seed sequence to the miR-223 binding region, as described previously [10]. The firefly luciferase construct was co-transfected with Renilla luciferase vector control into MKN28 cells in the presence of either synthetic miR-223 molecules or scramble miRNA control. Dual luciferase reporter assays (Promega) were performed 36 hours after transfection. Lipofectamine 2000 was used for the transfection of miR-223 into AGS and MKN7 cells.

Statistical analysis

The Student T test was used to compare the difference in biological behavior between STMN1 knockdown cells and scramble siRNA-transfected cells, and between miR-223-transfected and scramble miRNA transected cells. Correlation between STMN1 expression and clinicopathologic parameters were assessed by nonparametric Spearman’s rho rank test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the survival rates for each variable. The equivalences of the survival curves were tested by log-rank statistics. For those variables being statistically significant found in the univariate survival analysis (p<0.05), the Cox proportional hazards model with the likelihood ratio statistics was employed to further evaluate them for multivariate survival analysis. All statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software (version 16.0; SPSS Inc). A two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant and the p-value less than 0.001 was considered highly significant.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 siSTMN1 inhibits cell migration in gastric cancer. Representative pictures of AGS and MKN7 cells, which were transfected with siSTMN1 and siScramble then migrated through a microporous membrane (**, p<0.001). (TIF)

Figure S2 The functional study of miR-223 in gastric cancer cell lines. (A) MTT proliferative assays of AGS, MKN7 and SGC7901 after miR-223 transfection (4 days after transfection). (B) Western blot analysis of cleaved-PARP in AGS and MKN7 cells on 24 hours after miR-223 transfection. (C) Representative Matrigel invasion images of AGS, MKN7 and SGC7901 after miR-223 transfection (4 days after transfection). The Student T test was used to compare the difference in biological behavior between STMN1 knockdown cells and scramble siRNA-transfected cells, and between miR-223-transfected and scramble miRNA transected cells. Correlation between STMN1 expression and clinicopathologic parameters were assessed by nonparametric Spearman’s rho rank test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the survival rates for each variable. The equivalences of the survival curves were tested by log-rank statistics. For those variables being statistically significant found in the univariate survival analysis (p<0.05), the Cox proportional hazards model with the likelihood ratio statistics was employed to further evaluate them for multivariate survival analysis. All statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software (version 16.0; SPSS Inc). A two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant and the p-value less than 0.001 was considered highly significant.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KFT. Performed the experiments: WK, JHMT, RWML, SLC. Analyzed the data: JHMT, AWHC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: QWLW, NW, JY, ASLC. Wrote the paper: WK, JHMT, AWHC.

References

1. Brenner H, Rothenbacher D, Arndt V (2009) Epidemiology of stomach cancer. Methods Mol Biol 472: 467–477.
2. Smith MG, Hold GL, Tahara E, El-Omar EM (2006) Cellular and molecular aspects of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 12: 2979–2990.
3. Leung WK, Wu KC, Wong CY, Cheng AS, Ching AK, et al. (2006) Transgenic cyclooxygenase-2 expression and high salt enhanced susceptibility to chemical-induced gastric cancer development in mice. Carcinogenesis 27: 1648–1654.
4. Yu J, Cheng YY, Tao Q, Cheung KS, Lam CN, et al. (2009) Methylation of Stathmin1 in Gastric Cancer. Gastroenterology 136: 640–651 e641.
5. Chan AW, Chan MW, Lee TL, Ng EK, Leung WK, et al. (2005) Promoter hypermethylation of Death-associated protein-kinase gene associated with advanced stage gastric cancer. Oncol Rep 13: 937–941.
6. Cheng YY, Yu J, Wong YP, Man EP, To KF, et al. (2007) Frequent epigenetic inactivation of secreted frizzled-related protein 2 (SFRP2) by promoter methylation in human gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 97: 895–901.
7. Leung WK, Bai AH, Chan YY, Yu J, Chan MW, et al. (2004) Effect of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma ligands on growth and gene expression profiles of gastric cancer cells. Gut 53: 331–338.
8. Li J, Ng EK, Ng YP, Wong CY, Yu J, et al. (2009) Identification of retinoic acid-regulated nuclear matrix-associated protein as a novel regulator of gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 101: 691–698.
Stathmin1 in Gastric Cancer

9. Kang W, Tong JH, Chan AW, Lee TL, Lung RW, et al. (2011) Yes-associated protein 1 exhibits oncogenic property in gastric cancer and its nuclear accumulation associates with poor prognosis. Clin Cancer Res 17: 2130–2139.

10. Rubin CI, Atweh GF (2006) The role of stathmin in the regulation of the cell cycle. J Cell Biochem 93: 242–250.

11. Alli E, Yang JM, Hai WN (2007) Silencing of stathmin induces tumor-suppressor function in breast cancer cell lines harboring mutant p53. Oncogene 26: 1003–1012.

12. Golouh R, Cufer T, Suhlov A, Nussdorfer G, Usher PA, et al. (2008) The prognostic value of Stathmin1. S100A2, and SYK proteins in ER-positive primary breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant tamoxifen monotherapy: an immunohistochemical study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 110: 317–326.

13. Ghosh R, Gu G, Tillman E, Yuan J, Wang Y, et al. (2007) Increased expression and differential phosphorylation of stathmin may promote prostate cancer progression. Prostate 67: 1038–1052.

14. Kim JY, Harvard C, You I, Xu Z, Kuchenbecker K, et al. (2007) Stathmin is overexpressed in malignant mesothelioma. Anticancer Res 27: 39–44.

15. Xi W, Rui W, Feng L, Ke D, Ping G, et al. (2009) Expression of stathmin/op18 as a significant prognostic factor for cervical carcinoma patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 135: 837–846.

16. Wang F, Wang LX, He W, Zhu LN, Zhao PR, et al. (2010) Expression of stathmin in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and its biological significance. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 30: 1552–1557.

17. Jeon TY, Han ME, Lee YW, Lee YS, Kim GH, et al. (2010) Overexpression of stathmin in the diffuse type of gastric cancer and its roles in proliferation and migration of gastric cancer cells. Br J Cancer 102: 718–718.

18. Wong QW, Lung RW, Law PT, Lai PB, Chan KY, et al. (2008) MicroRNA-223 is commonly repressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and potentiates expression of Stathmin1. Gastroenterology 135: 257–269.

19. Farazi TA, Spitzer JJ, Morozov P, Tusche T (2011) miRNAs in human cancer. J Pathol 223: 102–115.

20. Takagi T, Iso A, Nakagawa Y, Nase T, Tanigawa N, et al. (2009) Decreased expression of microRNA-145 and -145 in human gastric cancers. Oncology 77: 12–21.

21. Du Y, Xu Y, Ding L, Yao H, Yu H, et al. (2009) Down-regulation of miR-141 in gastric cancer and its involvement in cell growth. J Gastroenterol 44: 556–561.

22. Zhang Y, Guo J, Li D, Xiao B, Xiao Y, et al. (2009) Down-regulation of miR-31 expression in gastric cancer tissues and its clinical significance. Med Oncol.

23. Xiao B, Guo J, Xiao Y, Jiang Z, Huan R, et al. (2009) Detection of miR-106a in gastric carcinoma and its clinical significance. Clin Chim Acta 400: 97–102.

24. Liu T, Tang H, Lang Y, Liu M, Li X (2009) MicroRNA-27a functions as an oncogene in gastric adenocarcinoma by targeting prohibitin. Cancer Lett 273: 233–242.

25. Johnson JB, Auerlio GN, Koja Z, Jorgensen GE, Pellegata NS, et al. (2000) p53-mediated negative regulation of stathmin/Op18 expression is associated with G2/M cell-cycle arrest. Int J Cancer 88: 685–691.

26. Zheng P, Liu YX, Chen L, Liu XI, Xiao QZ, et al. (2010) Stathmin, a new target of PRL-5 identified by proteomic methods, plays a key role in progression and metastasis of colorectal cancer. J Proteome Res 9: 4897–4905.

27. Huie SY, Huang SF, Yu MC, Yeh TS, Chen TG, et al. (2010) Stathmin1 overexpression associated with polyplody, tumor-cell invasion, early recurrence, and poor prognosis in human hepatoma. Mol Carcinog 49: 476–487.

28. Gan L, Guo K, Li Y, Kang X, Sun L, et al. (2010) Up-regulated expression of stathmin may be associated with hepatocarcinogenesis. Oncol Rep 23: 1037–1043.

29. Yuan RH, Jeng YM, Chen HL, Lai PL, Pan HW, et al. (2006) Stathmin overexpression cooperates with p53 mutation and osteopontin overexpression, and is associated with tumour progression, early recurrence, and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Pathol 209: 549–558.

30. Wang X, Chen Y, Han QB, Chan CY, Wang H, et al. (2009) Proteomic identification of molecular targets of gambogenic acid: role of stathmin in hepatocellular carcinoma. Proteomics 9: 242–253.

31. Kouzu Y, Uzawa K, Koke H, Saito K, Nakashima D, et al. (2006) Overexpression of stathmin in oral squamous-cell carcinoma: correlation with tumour progression and poor prognosis. Br J Cancer 94: 717–723.

32. Luciu-Rabin C, Asfeh GF (2005) p62(Kp1) and stathmin share the stage for the first time. Trends Cell Biol 15: 346–348.

33. Belletti B, Nicoloso MS, Schiappacassi M, Bertron S, Lovat F, et al. (2008) Stathmin activity influences sarcoma cell shape, motility, and metastatic potential. Mol Biol Cell 19: 2003–2013.

34. Singer S, Malo M, Herpel E, Warth A, Bisisinger M, et al. (2009) Coordinated expression of stathmin family members by far upstream sequence element-binding protein-1 increases motility in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 69: 2234–2243.

35. Sun W, Shen W, Yang S, Hu F, Li H, et al. (2010) miR-223 and miR-142 attenuate hematopoietic cell proliferation, and miR-223 positively regulates miR-142 through LMO2 isoforms and CEBP-beta. Cell Res 20: 1150–1169.

36. Fukao T, Fukuda Y, Kiyo K, Sharif J, Hino K, et al. (2007) An evolutionarily conserved mechanism for microRNA-223 expression revealed by microRNA gene profiling. Cell 129: 617–631.

37. Johnnidis JB, Harris MH, Wheeler RT, Stehling-Sun S, Lam MH, et al. (2008) Regulation of progenitor cell proliferation and granulocyte function by microRNA-223. Nature 451: 1125–1129.

38. Sugatani T, Hruska KA (2007) MicroRNA-223 is a key factor in osteoclast differentiation. J Cell Biochem 101: 596–599.

39. Liao A, O’Toole S, Flavin R, Marini C, Kelly L, et al. (2008) Potential role of miR-9 and miR-223 in recurrent ovarian cancer. Mol Cancer 7: 35.

40. Wang JF, Yu ML, Yu G, Buan JJ, Deng XM, et al. (2010) Serum miR-146a and miR-223 as potential new biomarkers for sepsis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 394: 184–188.

41. Li X, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Liu X, Gong T, et al. (2011) miRNA-223 promotes gastric cancer invasion and metastasis by targeting tumor suppressor EPB41L3. Mol Cancer Res 9: 424–433.

42. Ahn J, Murphy M, Kratowicz S, Wang A, Levine AJ, et al. (1999) Down-regulation of the stathmin family members by far upstream sequence element-binding protein-1 increases motility in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 69: 2234–2243.