Enhanced lithium storage performance of porous exfoliated carbon fibers via anchored nickel nanoparticles†
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Herein, flexible carbon fiber cloth (CFC) is modified by embedding Ni nanoparticles via a thermal reduction strategy, and it is used as a suitable anode material for lithium-ion batteries. Benefiting from the elemental interaction between Ni and carbon, the Ni-embedded CFC displayed higher lithium storage properties than pristine CFC and Ni-free porous CFC.

The rapid development of flexible energy storage devices, especially flexible lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), requires urgent development of flexible electrodes. The most common flexible electrodes require the growth of active anode materials, such as carbon cloth, nickel foam, titanium mesh, etc., on flexible current collectors. This strategy is very effective in achieving high capacity flexible anode but still exhibits relatively low volumetric capacity due to the thickness of current collectors. Another interesting strategy used to develop flexible anode materials is the utilization of different physical and chemical methods to synthesize flexible graphene and carbon nanotubes, which can be used directly as anode materials for LIBs. With substantial improvement and lightweight properties, such flexible electrodes with poor mechanical strength, low flexibility, poor cyclic stability and low rate capability greatly limit the practical applications. Hence, it is imperative to develop flexible anode materials with good mechanical strength, high flexibility and enhanced lithium storage properties.
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† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: SEM images, TEM images, pore-width distribution, XPS survey spectra, coulombic efficiency and post storage characterization images. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02529k
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Ni NPs (Fig. 2a, the enlarged image can be found in Fig. S4†). Ni–PCFC was formed (Fig. 1-IV) according to eqn (3).

\[
\text{Ni}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Ni(OH)}_2
\]  

(1)

\[
\text{Ni(OH)}_2 + x\text{C} + N_2(g) \rightarrow \text{NiO} + \text{Ni} + x\text{C} + \text{H}_2\text{O}^\dagger
\]  

(2)

\[
\text{NiO} + \text{Ni} + x\text{C} + N_2(g) \rightarrow \text{Ni} + x\text{CO}^\dagger
\]  

(3)

In addition, Ni–PCFC could be etched with concentrated HCl overnight to remove Ni NPs.

\[
\text{Ni} + x\text{C} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{C}
\]  

(4)

Hence, the Ni–free porous carbon fiber (PCFC) was formed (Fig. 1-V, 2b and S5†). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis confirmed that Ni NPs were embedded in CFC (Fig. 2b). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of Ni–PCFC displayed that CFC was porous and exfoliated (Fig. 2c). The energy spectrum (EDS) from Fig. 2c also revealed the presence of both Ni and C, confirming the successful formation of Ni–PCFC. The Ni and carbon contents of Ni–PCFC composite were determined using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) and elemental analyzer, respectively. The results showed that the content of Ni was 6.0% in Ni–PCFC, whereas that of carbon was 93.86% in 10 mg cm\(^{-2}\) Ni–PCFC sample. Additionally, XPS analysis also showed that the atomic percentage of carbon was 89.78% and that of Ni was 10.22%.

It has been previously reported that the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area measurement of pristine CFC is within 5–10 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\).\(^{19,20}\) Compared to the BET surface area of pristine CFC, the BET surface area of Ni–PCFC reaches 109 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3a) with a pore volume of 0.21 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S6†), and the values are even higher than the results of our previously reported study (97 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) and 0.13 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)). This result further suggests that Ni–PCFC can exhibit better electrochemical properties than CFC. According to Raman spectra analysis, the D : G peak of Ni–PCFC (2.34) is also higher than that of CFC (1.59). This indicates that the surface modification and deficiency in Ni–PCFC is a result of Ni, and this can be beneficial for improving storage performance.\(^{1,2,28}\) Additionally, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis confirms the presence of Ni in Ni–PCFC and absence of Ni in CFC (Fig. S7† and 3c). After exfoliation of CFC, the intensity of C–C peak at 284.8 eV in the C 1s XPS spectra of Ni–PCFC is higher than that of CFC. Moreover, Ni–PCFC is characterized with additional HO–C=O peak at 291.0 eV,\(^{19,20,25}\) further confirming that Ni–PCFC with suitable features can improve the electrochemical properties.

The lithium storage properties of Ni–PCFC were tested in a coin cell and compared with those of pristine CFC. The calculated charge/discharge capacities were based on the areas of the electrodes. The charge/discharge capacities were calculated in current \(\times\) hour per area (i.e., mA h cm\(^{-2}\)). Ni–free porous CFC (denoted as PCFC) was also tested for comparison.
to show the contribution of Ni NPs. Detailed results can be found in Fig. 4. The 1st cyclic voltammetry (CV) curve of the electrodes showed that the Ni–PCFC curve area below 1.25 V was much larger than those of PCFC and CFC (Fig. S8a‡), indicating the higher electrochemical surface area of Ni–PCFC than those of other electrodes. Additionally, Ni–PCFC was characterized with a cathodic peak at 1.06 V. Such a peak is assigned to the lithiation of Ni with porous carbon and can lead to additional capacity. During the 2nd cycle (Fig. 4a) and 3rd cycle (Fig. S8b‡), larger curve areas and an additional cathodic peak (shifted to 1.31 V) could be observed for the Ni–PCFC electrode.

These results indicated the superior storage properties of Ni–PCFC when compared with those of the other electrodes. Such superior capacities could be observed in the charge/discharge profiles of the electrodes. The Ni–PCFC electrode achieved a 2nd cycle discharge capacity of 1.98 mA h cm⁻², which was higher than those of PCFC (1.48 mA h cm⁻²) and CFC (0.74 mA h cm⁻²) electrodes at a current rate of 0.5C (Fig. 4b). The discharge capacity of Ni–PCFC remained at 1.93 mA h cm⁻² (Fig. 4c) with 99% coulombic efficiency after 100 electrochemical cycles (Fig. S9†), this value was also higher than those of PCFC (1.34 mA h cm⁻²) and CFC (0.36 mA h cm⁻²). Moreover, the rate capability performance of Ni–PCFC up to 10C was also better than those of PCFC, CFC, (Fig. 4d) and previously reported porous CC. The outstanding performance can be attributed to the electronic interactions between Ni NPs and exfoliated CF, leading to rapid diffusion of Li ions and electrons, which enhanced the electrode kinetics. This can be confirmed by the smaller charge transfer resistance ($R_c$) in the Nyquist plot of Ni–PCFC when compared with those of CFC and PCFC (Fig. 4e). Due to the excellent kinetics of the Ni–PCFC electrode, a higher cyclic performance up to 150 cycles at a current of 5C was obtained, and the Ni–PCFC electrode could deliver a discharge capacity of 1.1 mA h cm⁻², which was 55% higher than that of PCFC (0.71 mA h cm⁻²) and 99% higher than that of CFC (0.01 mA h cm⁻²). Notably, the XRD spectra of Ni–PCFC before and after electrochemical reactions remained the same, showing excellent phase stability (Fig. S10a†). Moreover, Ni NPs were well anchored on the porous exfoliated surface of CF, further indicating excellent morphological stability of Ni–PCFC (Fig. S10b–d†). Ni and PCFC both contributed towards lithium capacity. To confirm this phenomenon, Ni was dissolved to obtain bare PCFC. The lithium storage performance of PCFC was compared with those of Ni–PCFC and CFC. In Fig. 4a, Ni–PCFC is characterized with a cathodic peak as a result of the anchored Ni NPs, which was totally absent in pristine CFC as well as PCFC. Additionally, according to Fig. 4b–d of our manuscript, it could be observed that Ni–PCFC displayed superior storage performance owing to the anchored nickel. The anchoring of Ni on PCFC created strong electronic interactions between Ni NPs and PCFC, leading to rapid diffusion of Li ions and electrons, which enhanced the electrode kinetics. This indicated that both Ni and PCFC exhibited synergistic effect for enhancing the lithium storage capacity of the Ni–PCFC electrode. Ni–PCFC can be directly used as a flexible anode material for the fabrication of flexible LIBs.

In conclusion, lithium storage properties of carbon fiber cloth (CFC) can be improved by embedding Ni NPs in porous exfoliated CFC (Ni–PCFC) via high temperature thermal reduction. Ni–PCFC displays better electrochemical properties than pristine CFC and Ni–free porous CFC (PCFC), due to electronic interactions between Ni NPs and PCFC, additional capacities and higher surface area. This study can create an opportunity for the development of high-capacity carbon-based electrode materials for flexible LIBs.
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