The Exon Junction Complex Core Represses Cancer-Specific Mature mRNA Re-splicing: A Potential Key Role in Terminating Splicing
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Abstract: Using TSG101 pre-mRNA, we previously discovered cancer-specific re-splicing of mature mRNA that generates aberrant transcripts/proteins. The fact that mRNA is aberrantly re-spliced in various cancer cells implies there must be an important mechanism to prevent deleterious re-splicing on the spliced mRNA in normal cells. We thus postulated that mRNA re-splicing is controlled by specific repressors, and we searched for repressor candidates by siRNA-based screening for mRNA re-splicing activity. We found that knock-down of EIF4A3, which is a core component of the exon junction complex (EJC), significantly promoted mRNA re-splicing. Remarkably, we could recapitulate cancer-specific mRNA re-splicing in normal cells by knock-down of any of the core EJC proteins, EIF4A3, MAGOH, or RBM8A (Y14), implicating the EJC core as the repressor of mRNA re-splicing often observed in cancer cells. We propose that the EJC core is a critical mRNA quality control factor to prevent over-splicing of mature mRNA.
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1. Introduction

Although the basic mechanism of how pre-mRNA splicing is initiated and proceeded has been characterized in detail, it is less well known how splicing is terminated. Cis-acting splicing signals, such as 5′/3′ splice sites and branch sites followed by a poly-pyrimidine tract, are not highly conserved; thus, they are necessary but not sufficient to be utilized (reviewed in [1]). Because of this redundancy, there remain many splice site-like sequences in fully spliced mRNA. However, these spurious splice sites are usually never used, and the mature mRNA is safely exported to the cytoplasm for translation. These processes are definitely critical to maintain the integrity of coding mRNA. Whereas in vertebrate multi-step splicing pathways, such as recursive splicing [2,3], intrasplicing [4], and nested splicing [5,6], it is evident that the initially spliced intermediates can serve to be spliced again, indicating that the splicing process is not terminated when certain conditions are met. One component in the termination process could be the DEAH-box family RNA helicases involved in the dissociation of the spliceosome, such as DHX8 (also known as HRH1, PRP22) and DHX15 (PRP43) (reviewed in [7]). However, the exact mechanism to control splicing termination is poorly understood. We address this thorny problem by taking advantage of aberrant multi-step splicing. In this event, a normally spliced mRNA can also be a substrate for further splicing, often in cancer cells, which is termed cancer-specific mRNA re-splicing.

Aberrant splicing that occurs over very long distances (skipping many exons with huge flanking introns) to generate annotated truncated mRNAs, are often observed in
cancer cells (reviewed in [8]). TSG101 and FHIT pre-mRNAs, for instance, were often reported as typical cases. Using these two substrates, we discovered that the mRNA re-splicing event occurs on mature spliced mRNA, in which the normal splicing removes all authentic splice sites and brings the weak re-splice sites into close proximity [9]. The re-spliced TSG101 mRNA, TSG101Δ154-1054 mRNA (Figure 1a), produces an aberrant protein product that up-regulates the full-size TSG101 by interfering with the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of TSG101 protein [10]. Importantly, overexpression of the TSG101 protein enhances cell proliferation and promotes malignant tumor formation in nude mice [10,11]. TSG101 is essential for cell proliferation and survival, while its overexpression is closely associated with aggressive metastasis and progression in various cancers (reviewed in [12]). It is thus critical to control this kind of aberrant mRNA re-splicing pathway in normal cells to prevent the production of potentially deleterious protein products.

![Schematic of normal and re-spliced TSG101 mRNA](image)

**Figure 1.** Identification of EJC core factors as repressor candidates of cancer-specific mRNA re-splicing: (a) The schematic structure of normally spliced TSG101 mRNA and cancer-specific re-spliced TSG101Δ154-1054 mRNA. The primer sets for the detection are indicated with arrows. (b) To measure the generated normal TSG101 and re-spliced TSG101Δ154-1054 mRNAs, the extracted total RNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR. The depletion of the targeted proteins was verified by immunoblotting (Figure S2).

Here, we postulated that the control of the aberrant mRNA re-splicing is promoted by a specific repressor in normal cells. To test this hypothesis, we performed siRNA screening of repressor candidates based on cancer-specific mRNA re-splicing activity of the TSG101 pre-mRNA. This approach identified EIF4A3, whose knock-down significantly stimulated re-splicing of the TSG101 mRNA. EIF4A3 is one of three essential core components of the exon junction complex (EJC), along with MAGOH and RBM8A (Y14). The EJC is deposited upstream of the spliced exon–exon junctions as a consequence of pre-mRNA splicing; and the EJC, together with the recruited peripheral factors, play important roles in multiple post-splicing events, such as mRNA export, nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), and translation (reviewed in [13,14]). Here, we demonstrate that the EJC core per se, but not the EJC peripheral factors, is necessary to repress mRNA re-splicing in normal cells.
2. Results

2.1. Re-Splicing of mRNA Is Enhanced by EIF4A3 Knock-Down

Previously, it was demonstrated that the induction and overexpression of the tumor suppressor gene TP53 (p53) prevent cancer-specific aberrant splicing of TSG101 pre-mRNA \[10,15\], i.e., generation of the re-spliced TSG101\(\Delta 154-1054\) mRNA \[9\]. Therefore, this mRNA re-splicing event is under the control of TP53, presumably indirectly through other regulators, i.e., up-regulation of an mRNA re-splicing repressor and/or down-regulation of an mRNA re-splicing activator. To identify such repressor or activator candidates, we activated TP53 using the highly selective MDM2 inhibitor RG7388 \[16\] to repress aberrant re-splicing and searched for up- or down-regulated genes on a microarray (Table S1). We confirmed that the activation of TP53 by RG7388 indeed prevents the re-splicing activity of TSG101 pre-mRNA (Figure S1).

We selected 48 candidate proteins, including hnRNP proteins, RNA helicases, a splicing-related kinase, and other RNA-binding proteins (Table S2), from the up- and downregulated genes upon activation of TP53. Then, we performed siRNA-mediated knock-down of each protein (Table S2 for the siRNA sequences) in the human metastatic mammary carcinoma cell line MCF-7, in which mRNA re-splicing was previously demonstrated \[9\]. We found that the knocking down of EIF4A3 markedly increased the aberrant re-spliced product of TSG101 mRNA (TSG101\(\Delta 154-1054\)) over twenty times more than in the control (Figure 1b). Our results indicate that EIF4A3 is a potent repressor of mRNA re-splicing. Since EIF4A3 expression was not up-regulated, but rather down-regulated, under the RG7388-mediated activation of TP53 (Table S1), EIF4A3 was evidently not a TP53-dependent repressor of mRNA re-splicing (see Discussion).

2.2. The EJC Core Factors Were Identified as Repressors of mRNA Re-splicing

Remarkably, the EIF4A3 protein, which appears to repress mRNA re-splicing, is one of the core components of the EJC that is deposited onto mature mRNA (reviewed in \[13,14\]). Next, we tested whether EIF4A3 functions solely or as a core component in the EJC. We thus knocked-down the other EJC core components, MAGOH, RBM8A, and CASC3 (MLN51), using siRNAs in MCF-7 cells.

Similar to EIF4A3, we found that knock-down of both MAGOH and RBM8A markedly activated the aberrant re-splicing of TSG101 mRNA, whereas the CASC3 knock-down had no effect (Figure 1c). Since EIF4A3, MAGOH, and RBM8A are essential, but CASC3 is dispensable, for the assembly of a stable EJC core \[17\], it is reasonable to assume that an intact EJC core assembly is necessary to repress mRNA re-splicing. Further, we confirmed the actual binding of the EJC core onto TSG101 mRNA by an RNA immunoprecipitation assay using an anti-RBM8A antibody (Figure S3). Together, we conclude that the formation of the EJC core per se is a substantial repressor of mRNA re-splicing.

2.3. The EJC Core Represses mRNA Re-splicing in Normal Cells

If the EJC core alone is necessary to repress mRNA re-splicing in normal cells, the knock-down of each EJC core factor in normal cells should activate mRNA re-splicing, as observed in cancer cells.

We tested this assumption using MCF-10A cells, which are a non-cancerous human mammary epithelial cell line. We could not detect the re-spliced mRNA product in MCF-10A cells (Figure 2a), just as we had observed in normal mammary epithelial cells \[9\]. Remarkably, the mRNA re-spliced product was generated under efficient siRNA-mediated knock-down of each EJC core factor, EIF4A3, MAGOH, or RBM8A (Figure 2b). Thus, we conclude that the knock-down of a single EJC core factor is sufficient to activate mRNA re-splicing in normal cells.
Therefore, ions of three replicates (* p < 0.05, n.s. = not statistically significant p > 0.05).

2.4. mRNA Re-Splicing Is Not Due to Repression of EJC-mediated NMD

The EJC contains peripheral factors responsible for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) such as the UPF (UP-Frameshift) proteins (UPF1, 2, 3) (reviewed in [13,14]). Since the re-spliced product, TSG101∆154-1054 mRNA, contains a premature termination codon (PTC) downstream of the re-spliced junction, it could be a target of NMD [9]. Therefore, knock-down of EJC core factors could also dissociate the UPF proteins so that it potentially represses the NMD of re-spliced mRNA, leading to its eventual increase.

Mature mRNA re-splicing, generating TSG101∆154-1054 mRNA, is a cancer-specific event that is barely detectable in normal cells ([9] and references therein). First, it is critical to determine in normal cells whether the re-spliced mRNA is indeed not generated or is the result of the degradation of the generated mRNA by NMD. We thus performed an siRNA-mediated knock-down of essential NMD factor UPF1 in normal MCF-10A cells. This knock-down effectively depleted UPF1 but did not generate the re-spliced TSG101∆154-1054 product (Figure 3a), confirming that the re-spliced TSG101∆154-1054 product barely exists in normal cells, and indicating that this is not due to NMD.

Figure 2. Depletion of EJC core factors can induce cancer-specific mRNA re-splicing in non-cancerous MCF-10A cells: (a) Total RNAs extracted from MCF-10A or MCF-7 cells were analyzed by RT–PCR using specific primer sets. The histograms represent the means ± standard deviations of three replicates (* p < 0.05, n.s. = not statistically significant p > 0.05). (b) To knock-down the expression of endogenous EJC core factor genes, MCF-10A cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. To observe knocked-down efficiency and TSG101 re-splicing activity, the extracted total RNAs were analyzed by RT–PCR. The depletion of the targeted proteins was verified by immunoblotting (Figure S2).

Figure 3. Activation of mRNA re-splicing by the knock-down of EJC core factors is not due to the repression of EJC-mediated NMD: (a) To knock-down the expression of endogenous NMD factor UPF1, MCF-10A cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. To observe the knocked-down mRNA and TSG101 re-splicing activity, the extracted total RNAs were analyzed by RT–PCR. MCF-7 cells (control) show the re-spliced mRNA that lacks in other lanes using MCF-10A cells. (b) HeLa cells were transfected with EIF4A3 or UPF1 siRNAs (or control siRNA). To evaluate the re-splicing activity with TSG101 mRNA and the NMD efficiency with GAS5 mRNA, the extracted total RNAs were analyzed by RT–qPCR using specific primer sets. The histograms represent the means ± standard deviations of three replicates (* p < 0.05, n.s. = not statistically significant p > 0.05).
We therefore quantified the mRNA re-splicing activity under the knock-down of UPF1 and EIF4A3 using HeLa cells (in which siRNA-mediated interference is more efficient than that in MCF-10A cells). The mRNA re-spliced product was markedly increased by the depletion of EIF4A3 but not at all by the depletion of UPF1 (Figure 3b, left graph). In this assay, the level of GAS5 mRNA, a well-known endogenous NMD target [18,19], was significantly increased in both EIF4A3 and UPF1-depleted cells (Figure 3b, right graph), supporting that NMD is inhibited almost equally by the knocking down of either EIF4A3 or UPF1. Together, we conclude that the observed increase of the mRNA re-spliced product by the knock-down of the EJC core factor is attributed to the intrinsic function of EJC as a repressor of mRNA re-splicing, but is not due to the repression of EJC-mediated NMD.

2.5. mRNA Re-Splicing Is Not Due to Repression of EJC-Mediated mRNA Export

As well as several NMD factors, the EJC also interacts peripherally with several mRNA export factors and splicing regulators (reviewed in [13,14]). It is conceivable that knock-down of the EJC core factor could dissociate the mRNA export factor such as NXF1 (TAP), which might lead to the nuclear retention of mature mRNA. Then, the nuclear-retained mRNA could be a target of post-transcriptional re-splicing. It is also possible that the dissociation of other EJC-associated peripheral factors such as ACIN1 (ACINUS), PNN (Pinin), RNPS1, and SAP18, known as potential splicing regulators, could affect the efficiency of mRNA re-splicing.

We thus tested these EJC peripheral factors by siRNA-mediated knock-down in MCF-7 cells (Figure 4a). The mRNA re-splicing was not significantly activated (Figure 4b), as observed in the depletion of EJC core factors (Figure 1b,c). The knock-down of other EJC-associated mRNA export factors, UAP56 and URH49, also had no significant effects on mRNA re-splicing activity (unpublished results). Our results clearly implicate that the mRNA re-splicing event is not due to the over-splicing on the nuclear-retained mRNA caused by the inhibition of mRNA export. We conclude that the formed EJC core itself, but not the associated EJC peripheral factors, is involved in the prevention of mRNA re-splicing.

**Figure 4.** Depletion of EJC peripheral factors, including an mRNA export factor, have no significant effects on mRNA re-splicing in MCF-7 cells: (a,b) To knock-down the expression of endogenous EJC peripheral factors (NXF1, ACIN1, PNN, RNPS1, SAP18), MCF-7 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. To observe the knocked-down efficiency and TSG101 re-splicing activity, the extracted total RNAs were analyzed by RT–PCR.

| Targeted Protein | siRNA |
|------------------|-------|
| cont.            |       |
| #1               |       |
| #2               |       |
| cont. ACIN1      |       |
| cont. PNN        |       |
| cont. RNPS1      |       |
| cont. SAP18      |       |

| Re-spliced       | siRNA |
|------------------|-------|
| cont.            |       |
| #1               |       |
| #2               |       |
| cont. ACIN1      |       |
| cont. PNN        |       |
| cont. RNPS1      |       |
| cont. SAP18      |       |

| Normal           | siRNA |
|------------------|-------|
| cont.            |       |
| #1               |       |
| #2               |       |
| cont. ACIN1      |       |
| cont. PNN        |       |
| cont. RNPS1      |       |
| cont. SAP18      |       |
2.6. RBM8A Expression Is Relevant to Cancer-specific mRNA Re-splicing Activity

TSG101 mRNA is frequently re-spliced in various cancer tissues for unknown reasons. Since we have identified the EJC core factor as a repressor of mRNA re-splicing, it is reasonable to predict the reduced expression of an EJC core factor in cancer cells. We indeed observed a modest decrease in RBM8A protein in cancer MCF-7 cells compared to non-cancerous MCF-10A cells (Figure 5a,b). Remarkably, overexpression of RBM8A in the stable cell line significantly restored the repression of mRNA re-splicing (Figure 5c,d), indicating that the observed repression in MCF-7 cells is partly due to down-regulation of RBM8A.

![Figure 5. RBM8A protein expression is lower in cancer cells compared with that in non-cancerous cells, and RBM8A overexpression represses mRNA re-splicing in cancer cells: (a) Whole-cell extracts from MCF-10A and MCF-7 cells were analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated specific antibody. GAPDH was used as an endogenous control. (b) RBM8A protein expression was normalized to that of GAPDH and quantified by densitometry. The histograms represent the means ± standard deviations of three replicates (* p < 0.05). (c) The stable MCF-7 cell lines expressing control and RBM8A proteins (pSB-DsRed and pSB-RBM8A) were cultured with or without doxycycline (DOX) for 48 h. The total RNAs were analyzed by RT–PCR using the indicated primer sets. (d) To evaluate the re-splicing activity with TSG101 mRNA, the total RNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR using specific primer sets. The histograms represent the means ± standard deviations of three replicates (* p < 0.05, n.s. p > 0.05).](image)

3. Discussion

Here, we demonstrate that a minimum of three core EJC factors, without any EJC peripheral factors, are responsible for repressing deleterious re-splicing on mature mRNA in normal cells, implicating the novel role of the EJC core on mature mRNA in terminating constitutive splicing (Figure 6). On the other hand, two intriguing questions remain; namely, why mRNA re-splicing often occurs in cancer cells but not in normal cells, and what is the exact mechanism underlying the EJC-triggered repression of mRNA re-splicing. The main limitations of this study are that further work is necessary to answer the open questions, but they are worthwhile discussing in this communication.
Using MCF-7 breast cancer cells as a model, we showed that the down-regulation of the EJC core factor, RBM8A, is one of the causes of mRNA re-splicing. We need extensive investigations to examine whether the repression of mRNA re-splicing is due to a scarcity of viable EJC cores using different kinds of cancer cells. Since EIF4A3 was not a TP53-dependent re-splicing repressor, there could be another unidentified regulator of mRNA re-splicing, which is under the control of the tumor suppressor gene TP53. All these repressors of mRNA re-splicing, together with conceivable cancer-specific re-splicing activators, should be comprehensively investigated to elucidate the cause of mRNA re-splicing frequently observed in various cancer cells/tissues (Figure S4).

Regarding the involved mechanism in the EJC-triggered repression of mRNA re-splicing, we assume the following two scenarios. Hypothesis 1: The non-canonical binding of the EJC core to the corresponding alternative splice sites on the spliced mRNA causes steric hindrance to prevent mRNA re-splicing. Hypothesis 2: The EJC promotes spliced mRNA into the highly compacted structure of mRNA particles (mRNPs) with other proteins that interfere with reassembly of the early spliceosome to initiate mRNA re-splicing (Figure 6).

Considering the ‘Hypothesis 1’, recent studies have uncovered EJC-triggered PSAP (complex with PNN, SAP18, and RNPS1)-dependent and PSAP-independent mechanisms that repress the use of spurious and recursive splice sites (Figure S5) [22,23]. According to this PSAP-independent mechanism, which is our case in the repression of mRNA re-splicing (Figure 4), the EJC core must directly bind upstream of the 3′ re-splice sites to prevent re-splicing [22]. However, reported data of cross-linking and immunoprecipitation, coupled with high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-Seq) in TSG101 mRNA, indicate that the significant binding sites of the EJC core factor, EIF4A3, were located more than 18 nucleotides downstream from the 3′ re-splice sites [24]. Therefore, the possibility of masking the re-spliced 3′ splice sites by the EJC core is unlikely (Figure S5).

The ‘Hypothesis 2’ is more promising. After the release of the spliced mRNA from the spliceosome by the helicase DHX8, bare mature mRNA has a risk of nuclease digestion. Biochemical analysis of the components of mature mRNPs revealed that EJCs multimerize together with other RNA-binding proteins, including SR proteins, to form mega-Dalton-sized higher-order complexes that protect spliced mRNA from endonuclease...
digestion [20,21]. This fact prompted us to assume that EJC core depletion could prevent the formation of such highly compacted structures on spliced mRNA so that it allows reassembly of the dissociated spliceosome to initiate mRNA re-splicing (Figure 6). Further work is underway to address this attractive hypothesis.

It is conceivable that the EJC core is a common key factor controlling different types of the multi-step splicing process [22,23]. Using TSG101 pre-mRNA as a model substrate of cancer-specific aberrant mRNA re-splicing, we demonstrate that the deposition of the EJC safeguards against the deleterious over-splicing event. We propose that EJC functions as a key signal to terminate pre-mRNA splicing and, thus, plays a further role in maintaining the quality of the protein-coding transcriptome (Figure S4).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Cell Culture and siRNA-Mediated Knock-Down

MCF-7 cells, obtained from the Cell Resource Center (http://www2.idac.tohoku.ac.jp/dep/ccr/index_en.html-5/17/2021, accessed on 17 May 2021), were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Fujifilm Wako Chemicals, Kyoto, Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). MCF-10A cells, obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), were cultured in a special medium (MEGM with MEGM SingleQuots; Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA).

MCF-7 and MCF-10A cells were transiently transfected with the indicated siRNAs (Table S3) using Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, total RNA was isolated from siRNA-treated cells using an RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Venlo, Nederland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Degradation of the targeted mRNAs was analyzed by RT–PCR (see Section 4.3), and depletion of the protein products was verified by immunoblotting (see Section 4.4).

4.2. Establishment of Stable MCF-7 Cell Lines and Induction of RBM8A

All the synthetic oligonucleotides used as primers were purchased (Integrated DNA Technologies, Tokyo, Japan). The coding-fragment of the RBM8A protein was amplified by PCR on cDNA prepared from MCF-7 cells using KOD-Plus-Neo polymerase (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) with the specific primer set (Table S3). The amplified DNA was subcloned into a TA cloning vector pGEM-T (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) using TaKaRa Ex Taq polymerase (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan). The obtained pGEM-T-RBM8A plasmid was cleaved with Sfi I and subcloned into the Sfi I site of the pSBtet-GP vector (Addgene, Watertown, MA, USA) [25].

In order to establish stable cell lines, the pSBtet-GP constructs (pSB-DsRed and pSB-RBM8A) were co-transfected with the Sleeping Beauty transposon vector pCMV(CAT)T7-SB100X (Addgene) [26] using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were selected with 1 µg/mL puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for ~10 days and terminated when most cells showed GFP-derived green fluorescence. To induce RBM8A and the control DsRed proteins, 50 ng/mL of doxycycline (Takara Bio USA, Mountain View, CA, USA) was added to each cell line and cultured for 48 h.

4.3. RT–PCR and RT–qPCR Analyses

The cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Oligo(dT)18 primer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and analyzed by PCR using TaKaRa Ex Taq polymerase (Takara Bio) with target-specific primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA; Table S3). The PCR products were visualized by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR products were further quantified by Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo).
4.4. Immunoblotting Analysis

Cells were lysed with an RIPA buffer supplemented with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, the cell lysates were separated by 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electroblotted onto an Immun-Blot PVDF Membrane using a Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 2% skimmed milk and probed with antibodies, essentially as described [27]. The primary antibodies against GAPDH (1:2000 dilution; Medical & Biological Laboratories, Nagoya, Japan), EIF4A3 (1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), RBM8A (1:1000; GeneTex, Irvine, CA, USA), and MAGOH (1:1000; Medical & Biological Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) were used. The secondary antibodies were the anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (1:2500; Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-mouse IgG-HRP (1:2500; Sigma-Aldrich). Immuno-reactive proteins were visualized using the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). The detected bands on the scanned blots were quantitated with ImageJ freeware.

4.5. RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) Assay

The RIP assay with MCF-7 cells was performed using the RIP-Assay Kit (Medical & Biological Laboratories) with anti-RBM8A antibody (Medical & Biological Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The immunoprecipitated RNAs were amplified by RT–PCR using the primers targeting TSG101 mRNA (Table S3) and visualized by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

4.6. Statistical Analysis

Analyzed expression levels from two groups were compared using Welch's t-test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc tests were used to analyze results among multiple groups with a normal distribution and equal variance. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviations.
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