Subcellular Profiling Reveals Distinct and Developmentally Regulated Repertoire of Growth Cone mRNAs
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Cue-directed axon guidance depends partly on local translation in growth cones. Many mRNA transcripts are known to reside in developing axons, yet little is known about their subcellular distribution or, specifically, which transcripts are in growth cones. Here laser capture microdissection (LCM) was used to isolate the growth cones of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons of two vertebrate species, mouse and Xenopus, coupled with unbiased genomewide microarray profiling. An unexpectedly large pool of mRNAs defined predominant pathways in protein synthesis, oxidative phosphorylation, cancer, neurological disease, and signaling. Comparative profiling of “young” (pathfinding) versus “old” (target-arriving) Xenopus growth cones revealed that the number and complexity of transcripts increases dramatically with age. Many presynaptic protein mRNAs are present exclusively in old growth cones, suggesting that functionally related sets of mRNAs are targeted to growth cones in a developmentally regulated way. Remarkably, a subset of mRNAs was significantly enriched in the growth cone compared with the axon compartment, indicating that mechanisms exist to localize mRNAs selectively to the growth cone. Furthermore, some receptor transcripts (e.g., EphB4), present exclusively in old growth cones, were equally abundant in young and old cell bodies, indicating that RNA trafficking from the soma is developmentally regulated. Our findings show that the mRNA repertoire in growth cones is regulated dynamically with age and suggest that mRNA localization is tailored to match the functional demands of the growing axon tip as it transforms into the presynaptic terminal.

Introduction

Many polarized and migrating cell types show subcellular mRNA localization (Martin and Ephrussi, 2009). mRNA localization confers precise spatial control over where new proteins are synthesized and contributes to the specialization of axonal and dendritic compartments in neurons (Job and Eberwine, 2001; Bramham and Wells, 2007; Lin and Holt, 2008). As neurons differentiate, they send out axons tipped with growth cones that show a remarkably autonomous ability to respond directionally to extrinsic signals. This behavior is controlled partly by the polarized transport and translation of specific mRNAs that regulate the cytoskeleton: attractive cues, such as netrin-1 and BDNF, regulate the localized translation of mRNAs, such as β-actin, to build up the growth cone cytoskeleton (Leung et al., 2006; Yao et al., 2006), whereas repellent cues elicit de novo synthesis of proteins, such as RhoA and cofilin for cytoskeletal disassembly (Wu et al., 2005; Piper et al., 2006). Growth cone adaptation, an important gradient sensing mechanism, also involves local protein synthesis (Ming et al., 2002; Piper et al., 2005). Growing axons contain a diverse population of mRNAs (Koenig and Giuditta, 1999; Taylor et al., 2009; Andreassi et al., 2010), and the composition can be altered rapidly by factors, such as neurotrophins (Willis et al., 2005, 2007), including an increase of β-actin transcripts in growth cones (Bassell et al., 1998). However, it is not known whether the transcriptome of the growth cone is a faithful copy of that of the axon or whether this subcellular compartment has a distinct pool of mRNAs.

Growth cone responsiveness to guidance cues changes with time. Retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and spinal cord commissural inter-neurons are initially attracted to netrin-1 but are repelled by it just hours later (Shirasaki et al., 1996; Stein et al., 2001; Shewan et al., 2002). Commissural neurons change their responsiveness to netrin-1 attributable to netrin-1 exposure itself, but in RGCs, this change is cue independent because it is preserved in naïve axons grown in vitro without pathway experience. Furthermore, RGC axons older than 10 h gain responsiveness to cues, such as SemA3A, Slit2, and LPA (Campbell et al., 2001; Piper et al., 2006), that they do not respond to initially. These developmental changes involve receptor regulation, but the mechanisms are poorly understood.
One possibility is that the developmental changes are driven by RNA localization. By changing mRNA content over time, growth cones could alter their local proteome. Here, we used laser capture microdissection (LCM) of nonstimulated RGC growth cones coupled with an unbiased genomewide microarray technology to address three key questions. What is the full repertoire of retinal growth cone mRNAs? Does mRNA composition in growth cones change dynamically over a 24 h period? Do mRNA pools differ between growth cones and axons? We found that RGC growth cones in mouse and *Xenopus* have a surprisingly large number of mRNAs belonging to similar functional categories. Some are specifically targeted to the growth cone itself. Moreover, the growth cone transcriptome becomes increasingly complex with time as it advances along the retinotectal pathway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Retinal cell culture.** *Xenopus laevis* embryos were obtained by *in vitro* fertilization and raised in 0.1× Barth’s saline between 14 and 20°C. Embryos were staged according to the tables of Nieuwkoop and Faber (1967). Whole-eye primordia from stage 24 and 32 embryos were cultured on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) membrane slides (Leica Microsystems) coated with poly-l-lysine (10 μg/ml; Sigma) and laminin (10 μg/ml; Sigma) for 17 and 24 h, respectively, at 20°C. For fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH), stage 32 eyes were covered on coverslips with poly-l-lysine and laminin for 24 h unless otherwise stated. For mouse retinal explants, retina from embryonic day 15 (E15) mouse embryos were cultured for 24 h as reported previously (Cheung et al., 2005).

- Laser-capture microdissection of RGC growth cones, axon segments, and cell bodies. Retinal cultures were stained with fluorescent lipophilic dye FM1-43 [N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(4-(dibutylamino)styryl)] pyridinium dibromide] (Invitrogen) (Brumbach et al., 2004) for 20 min to aid visualization and fixed with freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 4% sucrose in PBS for 10 min. Cultures were dehydrated through an ethanol series and air dried. Dye-labeled growth cones/axons were selected for capture at 40× magnification, and samples were dissected and collected by microscopic laser-based dissection using the Leica laser microdissection system LMD6000 (Leica Microsystems). For growth cone laser capture, circles of 15 μm diameter were drawn around individual growth cones at 40×. RGC cell bodies from stage 32 and 40 X. laevis retinal cryosections were collected on PET membrane slides. The sections were stained with nuclear labeling dye cresyl-violet blue for 1 min and dehydrated through an ethanol series. The RGC layer was isolated by laser capture at 20× magnification.

- RNA extraction and amplification. RNA was extracted immediately with RNAqueous Microkit (Ambion). Typically, ~1000 growth cones, 250 axon segments, and 30 cell bodies were collected for each experimental round. The yield of total RNA from 1000 growth cones was undetectable with current available quantification methods but was estimated to be ~3 pg, based on the known power of the linear amplification. Extracted RNA was linearly amplified using the two-cycle TargetAmp kit ( Epicenter), and a third round incorporating a biotin tag into the resulting antisense RNA (aRNA) was done using the GeneChip IVT labeling kit (Affymetrix). The final yield of aRNA was ~16 μg of aRNA. This type of amplification introduces a 3′ bias (Phillips and Eberwine, 1996), and, hence, RNA quality was assessed both after two- and three-cycle amplification using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). aRNA from growth cones and axons with a broad range of fragment sizes (50–3000 bp) and on average >200 bp in length (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) were used for microarray analysis, thus ensuring a relatively good linear amplification (Patel et al., 2005) but minimizing variability attributable to different aRNA size.

**Microarray hybridization and data analysis.** Fifteen micrograms of biotin-labeled aRNA was hybridized following the protocol of the manufacturer to Affymetrix arrays (*X. laevis* genome arrays or Murine 430 2.0 whole-genome arrays) containing oligonucleotide probe sets complimentary to 3′ ends of the mRNA transcripts. Each independent experiment consisted of at least four biological replicates hybridized onto four arrays. Raw imaging data were converted to CEL files using Affymetrix GeneChip Operating Software. All downstream analysis of microarray data was performed using GeneSpring GX 7.3 (Agilent). Analysis of probe signal intensity levels across arrays was done using robust multiple averaging (RMA) and its variant guanine cytosine (GC)-RMA (Cope et al., 2006). The RMA and GC-RMA calculated expression values were normalized to the 50th percentile of the chip. Genes were considered “present” with an intensity >30 using RMA or >10 using GC-RMA. Functional categories of all X. laevis genes were manually curated using National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene tool.

Functional analyses were performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Ingenuity Systems Inc.). The “functions” analysis identified the biological functions and diseases that were most significant to the dataset. A right-tailed Fisher’s exact test was used to calculate a p value determining the probability that each biological function and disease assigned to that dataset is attributable to chance alone.

The “pathways” analysis identified canonical pathways from the IPA library that were most significant to the dataset. The significance of the association between the dataset and the pathway was measured in two ways: (1) a ratio of the number of molecules from the dataset that map to the pathway divided by the total number of molecules that map to the canonical pathway is displayed; (2) Fisher’s exact test was used to calculate a p value determining the probability that the association between the genes in the dataset and the pathway is explained by chance alone.

To determine gene enrichment in the different subcellular compartments (growth cone vs axon), signal intensities of genes considered to be present in the growth cone compartment were compared with their corresponding signal intensity in the axonal compartment.

**Real-time PCR.** We validated our array data with quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (Q-PCR) analysis on 40 genes using independent biological replicates. Q-PCR was performed using SYBRGreen Expression assays on an ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). Primer pairs designed against X. laevis sequence were tested for the PCR amplification efficiency (supplemental List S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) on whole-eye total RNA, and standard curves were constructed from eight twofold serial dilutions ranging from 6 to 800 ng. Only primers with amplification efficiency above 80% were used for additional analysis on growth cones. For each primer pair, dissociation curve analysis was also performed immediately after the amplification to ensure the presence of a single amplicon. mRNA from growth cones was amplified as before for microarrays but without biotin label in the final round of amplification. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of the amplified RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase primed by random primer. Real-time PCR was performed using SYBRGreen PCR master mix with 900 nM of the tested primers and reactions run in a total volume of 12 μl. The reaction was initiated by enzyme activation at 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C. Only those transcripts showing a cycle threshold (CT) value of <38 cycles with good amplification plot were considered as present. The use of dilution series samples (six twofold serial dilutions from 12.5 to 400 ng input) demonstrate a dose-dependent relationship between RNA input and PCR amplification. This allowed for greater assurance that all amplifications measured were specific, consistent, and within the appropriate linear range. For EphB4 Q-PCR analysis (n = 4), aRNA from isolated cell bodies was used to compare expression in old versus young RGC cell bodies (see Fig. 5H).

“No template” control wells were used for each transcript.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization.** RGC cultures were fixed for 15 min at room temperature in freshly prepared 4% PFA and 4% sucrose in PBS and permeabilized for 5 min in 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS. IMAGE clones corresponding to the selected genes were used to transcribe riboprobes (supplemental List S2B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Riboprobe preparation and in situ
hybridization was performed following the procedure described previously (Blichenberg et al., 1999) with the following modifications. After probe hybridization, the digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probe was detected immunocytochemically by incubation with mouse monoclonal cyanine-3 (Cy3)-coupled digoxigenin antibody (Roche Diagnostics) in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Cy3-coupled goat anti-mouse antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was used to visualize the DIG-labeled RNA. Samples were mounted in Permafluor (Beckman Coulter).

Image acquisition, quantitative immunofluorescence, and statistical analysis. For quantification of the fluorescence in situ signal (FISH), growth cones free of adjacent cells were selected at random with phase optics at 100× on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope, and a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera was used to capture a phase image immediately followed by a fluorescence image. Care was taken to avoid pixel saturation and to use the same gain and exposure settings for fluorescence image capture for each experiment. For quantification of fluorescence intensity, the growth cone outline was traced with a drawing pad on the phase image and superimposed on the fluorescent image. Openlab software (Improvision) was used to calculate fluorescent intensity within the growth cone, giving a measurement of pixel intensity per unit area. The background fluorescence in the adjacent area void of biological material was similarly calculated to record the background pixel intensity per unit area. This reading was then subtracted from the growth cone reading, resulting in the background-corrected intensity. The fluorescent intensities of at least 20 growth cones per experiment were collected. The data represent three independent experiments for each mRNA transcript, and the data were normalized against the corresponding sense controls. For mRNA granule density measurement in growth cone and axonal compartments, a set area of 1000 pixels was selected in (1) the central domain of the growth cone and (2) the axonal segment at least 50 μm away from the growth cone with Openlab software. The number of puncta present within the selected area was counted for each compartment. The data represent two independent experiments for each mRNA transcript, and each experiment comprised 20 growth cones. Statistical analyses were done with InStat3 (GraphPad Software) using two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test for the FISH (see Fig. 4) and for mean granule density (MGD) measurement and Kruskal–Wallis test (see Fig. 5G).

Results
Isolation and microarray analysis of growth cone mRNAs
To characterize the full repertoire of mRNAs that reside in retinal growth cones, we used LCM combined with microarray analysis. RGC axons from stage 32 Xenopus eyes were cultured for 24 h, a duration that corresponds approximately to the arrival of axons in the optic tectum in vivo (Holt, 1984; Dingwell et al., 2000). For each experiment, ~1000 growth cones were laser captured individually, avoiding contamination from other cellular sources (Fig. 1). Total RNA was extracted, amplified, and hybridized onto Affymetrix X. laevis genome arrays that contain ~14,400 transcripts providing comprehensive coverage of the Xenopus genome. Two different algorithms, RMA (Cope et al., 2006) and GC-RMA (Wu et al., 2004), were used to analyze the data obtained from four biological replicates (see Materials and Methods). In contrast to standard microarray experiments performed with nanogram to microgram amounts of starting material, our growth cone aRNA samples were derived from extremely minute quantities of total RNA after three rounds of linear amplification (~3 pg of total RNA from 1000 growth cones; see Materials and Methods). RMA reduces the variance of low abundance transcripts to better distinguish differentially expressed transcripts from those that are unchanging, whereas GC-RMA uses intensity summaries generated across sets of probes to estimate nonspecific binding, thereby improving overall detection sensitivity. Thus, to get full coverage of the growth cone transcriptome including low expressing genes, we combined the lists of genes detected using both algorithms. The RMA analysis identified 399 genes as present, and the GC-RMA analysis identified 768 genes (supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). There was an overlap of 209 genes between the two types of analyses and a total of 958 different transcripts when both sets of analysis were combined (supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These 958 transcripts represented ~6% of the total number of transcripts on the array. Whereas RMA analysis identified the relatively high abundance transcripts (probe intensities >30), GC-RMA enabled the identification of low abundance transcripts (probe intensities between 10 and 30). For instance, Septin 1 (signal intensity of 10.03) was identified by GC-RMA but not by RMA analysis (supplemental List S4A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and was validated as present in growth cones by Q-PCR (see Fig. 3). This underscores the value of using both algorithms for profiling growth cone mRNAs. We have included these 958 transcripts, 444 of which currently have a known function, as present in stage 32 growth cones (supplemental List S4A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The remaining 514 genes have as yet no ascribed function primarily because the X. laevis genome is not completely sequenced. The analysis, nevertheless, revealed a surprising complexity in the population of growth cone mRNAs.

Composition of mRNA pool in growth cones based on gene function
All 444 known genes were classified based on potential function (Fig. 2A) (supplemental List S5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The category with the largest number of genes represented was protein synthesis and translation (31%), followed by metabolic/glycolytic (14%) (Fig. 2A). Of particular note, transcripts encoding the majority (69 of 80) of the small and large subunit ribosomal proteins...
Figure 2. Microarray and IPA of growth cone transcripts. A, Xenopus stage 52 growth cone mRNAs identified by microarray analysis were manually classified into different functional categories based on NCBI Gene tool. In total, 958 transcripts were identified, of which 444 have a known function. The pie chart represents the classification of these 444 mRNAs.
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Validation of microarray data using Q-PCR and FISH

To validate the microarray data, we used two approaches: Q-PCR and FISH. A panel of 40 transcripts was selected for validation by Q-PCR. These transcripts were identified as present from the microarray screen and were selected to represent mRNAs broadly across the different functional categories, with different array intensities and with a partial focus on genes with known axon guidance function. For both biological and technical confirmation, RNA samples from independent batches of laser-captured growth cones (~1000 growth cones per sample, n = 3) were used as templates for Q-PCR. Of the 40 genes analyzed, 38 were Q-PCR positive, and the results showed good inter-platform correlation: genes with high intensity signals on the microarray (GC-RMA), indicative of high expression levels, exhibited low CT values, whereas those with low array intensity showed high CT values (Fig. 3A). For example, ribosomal proteins such as RPL35a and RPS13 had high signal intensities on the array (Fig. 3B) (supplemental List S4A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and low CT values, and these were linearly input into the RT-qPCR with input amplification consistency for both biological and technical confirmation. The remaining 18 transcripts (e.g., Fucolectin) with high CT values were identified as present from the microarray screen and were selected to represent mRNAs broadly across the different functional categories, with different array intensities and with a partial focus on genes with known axon guidance function. For both biological and technical confirmation, RNA samples from independent batches of laser-captured growth cones (~1000 growth cones per sample, n = 3) were used as templates for Q-PCR. Of the 40 genes analyzed, 38 were Q-PCR positive, and the results showed good inter-platform correlation: genes with high intensity signals on the microarray (GC-RMA), indicative of high expression levels, exhibited low CT values, whereas those with low array intensity showed high CT values (Fig. 3A). For example, ribosomal proteins such as RPL35a and RPS13 had high signal intensities on the array (Fig. 3B) (supplemental List S4A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and low CT values, and these were linearly input dose dependent in all three biological replicates. Of the 38 genes, the CT values of 20 transcripts from different functional categories showed tight correlation between input and PCR amplification (supplemental List S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and low CT values, and these were linearly input dose dependent in all three biological replicates. Of the 38 genes, the CT values of 20 transcripts from different functional categories showed tight correlation between input and PCR amplification (supplemental List S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and low CT values, and these were linearly input dose dependent in all three biological replicates. Of the 38 genes, the CT values of 20 transcripts from different functional categories showed tight correlation between input and PCR amplification (supplemental List S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and low CT values, and these were linearly input dose dependent in all three biological replicates.
failed to produce a single amplicon from whole-eye total RNA (see Materials and Methods) and were not analyzed further (data not shown). Overall, the Q-PCR results validated 38 of 40 transcripts, confirming the reproducibility of the microarray data.

Next we performed FISH on growth cones combined with quantitative fluorescence intensity analysis on a subset of the transcripts that had been validated by Q-PCR (21 of 38). We selected 21 mRNAs to represent a range of signal intensity values in the array and to sample the various functional categories. The mean fluorescence (pixel) intensity per unit area was calculated for each growth cone (20–30 probe condition and three experimental replicates), and statistical comparison of values obtained with the antisense versus sense probes was used to evaluate specificity and determine whether the transcript was present (Fig. 4A). In growth cones with a positive hybridization signal, the antisense probe showed a bright punctate signal (Fig. 4C–O), whereas the sense controls had very little or no signal (data not shown). Overall, 62% (13 of 21) of the Q-PCR-validated mRNAs were validated as present in growth cones by FISH (Fig. 4). The remaining eight mRNAs mostly had low array intensity values and, although validated by Q-PCR, did not give a detectable hybridization signal by FISH (data not shown). The lower sensitivity of FISH is expected given the lower amount of amplification used with this method. No signal was detected for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA in growth cones (Fig. 4), which is in good agreement with the Q-PCR results (supplemental List S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

FISH not only provides a validation of the array data but also gives spatial information about the form and distribution of the transcripts. For all mRNAs examined, individual puncta were located primarily in the central domain of the growth cone and occasionally more peripherally in the filopodia, usually in the proximal portion. The signal for some mRNAs consisted of large-sized puncta that were sparsely distributed such as Thymosin β4, Ribosomal protein S13 (RP S13), Ferritin, Ubiquitin C, and Histone H3 [Fig. 4, see insets for RP S13 (E) and Ferritin (K) mRNAs]. In contrast, the signal for other mRNAs, such as ephrinA3 and α-tubulin (Fig. 4C,M, respectively), was represented by a larger number of smaller granules (see insets). The punctate nature of the FISH signal and the variable granule sizes suggest that different mRNAs may be associated with different types of ribonucleoprotein particles (Kiebler and Bassell, 2006).

### Table 1. Key examples of transcripts of diverse biological functions identified in Xenopus stage 24 and stage 24 growth cones and mouse E16 growth cones

| Protein synthesis and translation |
|----------------------------------|
| RPs                              |
| Large RPs: L5, L7-15, L17-19, L21-24, L27-28, L30-31, L35-38, P0, P1, and P2 |
| Small RPs: S1-6, S8-21, S23, S25-29 |
| Translation regulation mRNA processing |
| EF1, elf-5A, IF3 |
| Snr2, Snr15, Selia2, MLNS1 |
| Nascent protein processing/folding |
| CypA, Hsp70, Fkhbp10 |
| Cytoskeletal/motor Cytoskeletal interacting proteins |
| cx-Tubulin, β-tubulin 2 |
| TMBS4X, Ptn2, Coro1c, Stmn2, Sdcnb, GAP43 |
| Motors |
| Dna4, Mhc-A, Myh4 |
| Protein degradation and apoptosis |
| Ubc, Tpt1, Proteosomes subunits, Teg |
| Signaling |
| 14-3-3, Ckzb, Phospholipase A2-activating protein, Kras-A, PP2A |
| Metabolic |
| Ftn, ATPase synthase subunits, CS, Nduf subunits |
| Membrane trafficking |
| Rtn1, Ykt6b, Adaptor related protein complex 2 |
| Transmembrane/receptors |
| Ig rearranged heavy and light chains, Aquaporin, MHC II, VDAC2, Lamr1 |
| Secreted and ECM |
| BMP/XId, FSTL1, TIMP3, Shc1, growth factor Livetine |
| Transcription factors |
| Xnot, TAF1-β2, Hsb1a |
| Nuclear |
| H3, Snog, SEBb1, maternal G10 transcript |

| Protein synthesis and translation |
|----------------------------------|
| RPs                              |
| Large RPs: L4-5, L7-15, L17-19, L21-24, L27-31, L34-38, L41, P0, P1, and P2 |
| Small RPs: S1-6, S8-21, S23, S25-29, RM size subunit (8.1 kDa) (rps-6) |
| Mitochondrial RPs: L41 |
| Translation regulation mRNA processing |
| EF1, EF2, elf-5A, IF3 |
| Small RPs: S2-21, S23-29 |
| Mitochondrial RPs: L11, L13, L23, L33, L34, L50, L51, L52, L54, S18C |
| Nascent protein processing/folding |
| CypA, Hsp70, SSB1, Fkhbp10 |
| Cytoskeletal/motor Cytoskeletal interacting proteins |
| β-Actin, α-tubulin, β-tubulin 2, 4 |
| TMBS4X, ARPP, Arpca1, Stmn3, Sdcnb, GAP43 |
| Motors |
| KIF1A, dclta2, Myl2a, Mhc-A, Myh10 |
| Protein degradation and apoptosis |
| Ubc, Ube2n, Ube2e1, Ube2c, Ube2f, Proteosomes subunits, Smurf2 |
| Signaling |
| 14-3-3, Kras-A, Ck1e, CK-2, PKC-delta2, Map2k1ip1, Rhoa, Septin 1 |
| Metabolic |
| Ftn, ATPase synthase subunits, COX, Nduf subunits |
| Membrane trafficking |
| Rtn1, Rtn3, TAP binding protein, Vacular Protein sorting 4A, Fucolactin, Sf1 |
| Transmembrane/receptors |
| Ig rearranged heavy and light chains, Tcra-A, Lamr1, MHC class II, Dag1, CD81 antigen, EphB4, Porin, Na+, Ca++, K+ channels, VDAC2 |
| Secreted and ECM |
| BMP/XId, FSTL1, TIMP3, efn3a, efnh2a, Shc1, Colta1 |
| Transcription factors |
| TAF1-β3, Tnot, Pax 2, Rx1a, Myc II |
| Nuclear |
| H3a, H2, NO38, Snog |
| Cell cycle |
| Cyclin B1, L2, E1, F, Mad3 |
Together, the microarray profiling with two different validation methodologies revealed a broad spectrum of functional mRNAs in growth cones. However, we cannot completely rule out the possibility of missing some important transcripts by our analysis. Moreover, the results broadly confirmed the specificity and sensitivity of the unbiased screen in identifying growth cone transcripts especially of low abundance that would go undetected by classical candidate approaches, such as FISH.

**Growth cone mRNAs increase in number and complexity in 24 h of development**

Growth cones of RGCs show marked changes that follow a stereotyped sequence in their responsiveness to guidance cues during the 24 h period after axon initiation (Campbell et al., 2001; Shewan et al., 2002). One way to generate different growth cone responses is to alter the composition of the local proteome through dynamic changes in mRNA localization. Therefore, we asked whether the pool of growth cone mRNAs is dynamically regulated over time. We performed a genomewide screen on young growth cones, similar to that performed on old stage 32 growth cones, followed by comparative profiling. Young growth cones were collected by LCM from stage 24 eye primordia (pre-axonogenesis) cultured for 17 h, which correlates with the time when pioneer growth cones reach the optic chiasm/ventral optic tract in vivo (Holt, 1984). These growth cones were younger than those classified as old collected from stage 32 eyes in the above analysis (Fig. 5A). Microarray profiling of mRNA was done in the same way for both ages. Compared with the 958 genes identified in old growth cones, far fewer transcripts were identified in young growth cones: RMA detected 63 mRNAs (all of which were also detected by GC-RMA), and GC-RMA detected an additional 223 mRNAs, resulting in a total of 286 genes (supplemental Fig. S3B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), 171 of which have known function (supplemental List S4B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Young growth cones, therefore, contain only 30% of the number of mRNAs found in old growth cones, suggesting that an increasing number of mRNAs are trafficked into growth cones as they advance away from the cell body. It is of interest to note that this finding argues against the possibility that growth cone mRNAs are simply a “spillover” from the cell body because, if this was the case, more transcripts would be predicted to reside in young growth cones, which are closer to the soma.

Functional analyses (IPA and manual) showed that the majority of the mRNAs present in young stage 24 growth cones belonged to the protein synthesis category (Fig. 5B) (supplemental Lists S6C,D, S7, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) because most transcripts encoded ribosomal proteins (62 of 80) (Table 1). Other functional categories were similar to what was found in old growth cones, including cancer, respiratory disease, and neurological disease (supplemental List S6C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). However, only four significant biological pathways were represented in the young growth cone mRNAs, of which oxidative phosphorylation is the most enriched pathway (supplemental List S6D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), in contrast to the old growth cone repertoire that is represented by 22 biological pathways (Fig. 2C) (supplemental List 6B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Manual categorization further revealed that the protein synthesis category comprised a significantly larger proportion of the total mRNA repertoire of young versus old growth cone (53 vs 35%) (Fig. 5C) (supplemental Lists S5, S7, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Because the genes represented in this category (e.g., ribosomes, translation initiation factors, and chaperones) are similar in the two ages, this reflects the upregulation of new classes of mRNAs in old growth cones, such as signaling, metabolic, transmembrane and cell surface receptors, and transcription and nuclear factors (Fig. 5C, indicated by arrows).

The majority (66%) of the mRNAs present in young growth cones were also present in old growth cones as indicated by the overlap of 226 mRNAs in the Venn diagram representation (Fig. 5D). Of the 60 transcripts that appear exclusively in young
growth cones, 28 have a known function (Fig. 5B) (supplemental List S7, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). For example, the actin-regulating proteins profilin II and Coronin were detected in young but not in old growth cones. Both molecules are important for cell motility and crosstalk between the actin and microtubule cytoskeleton (Goode et al., 2000) Thus, young growth cones en route may need a local source of these proteins to modulate their motility. Notably, young growth cones lack mRNAs encoding transmembrane and secreted proteins associated with synapse formation (see Table 3). Thus, within 24 h of development, the mRNA composition in growth cones is altered dynamically from an initial relatively simple set of mRNAs predominantly encoding protein synthesis machinery during pathfinding to a more complex repertoire that includes, for example, mRNAs encoding proteins important for synapse formation.

Ephrin signaling pathway exclusively in old growth cones

Ephrin receptor signaling was identified as one of the most upregulated signaling pathways in stage 32 growth cones (p = 2.19e-03) (Fig. 5E) but was absent from young growth cones (supplemental List 6D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Ephrin signaling is an important pathway expected for old growth cones because it occurs during target innervation and is important for topographic mapping (Drescher et al., 1997; Flanagan and Vanderhaeghen, 1998; Hindges et al., 2002; Mann et al., 2002, 2003; Flanagan, 2006) and synapse formation (Lim et al., 2008; Lai and Ip, 2009).

This Xenopus microarray screen identified EphB4, ephrinB2, and ephrinA3 mRNAs exclusively in old (stage 32) but not in young (stage 24) growth cones. To validate the age-specific localization, we performed FISH of EphB4 mRNA in old and young growth cones. Old stage 32 growth cones showed a clear signal for EphB4 mRNA that occurred in distinct granules, whereas no signal was detected in young stage 24 growth cones (Fig. 5F, G).

The upregulation of ephrin receptor signaling in old growth cones could be attributable to a time-dependent switch in either transcription or mRNA localization. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we reasoned that mRNA would be absent in young RGC somas if a transcriptional mechanism was responsible (i.e., late switch-on of ephrin genes) but present if developmentally regulated mRNA localization was the dominant mechanism. Therefore, we asked whether EphB4 mRNA is expressed in the cell bodies of RGCs at both stages of development by performing Q-PCR on mRNA from LCM-isolated RGC cell bodies of young and old retina (see RGC cell body isolation in Materials and Methods). The Q-PCR data showed that EphB4 mRNA is expressed at similar levels at both stages (Fig. 5H). This indicates that the EphB4 gene is transcribed in both young and old RGCs, but the transcripts remain confined to young somas and do not localize to the growth cone until later. This suggests that at least some of the differences in the repertoire of old and young growth cones may be attributable to a developmentally regulated mechanism of transcript transport and localization.
Subcellular profiling reveals significant differences in axon versus growth cone compartments and identifies a subset of mRNAs enriched in the growth cone

Because the growth cone is functionally distinct from the axon, we asked whether the mRNA populations differ between the two cellular compartments. To test this, stage 32 *Xenopus* RGC axon shafts, without growth cones, were laser captured by LCM, and the mRNA was extracted and subjected to microarray analysis in the same way as growth cone mRNA (Fig. 6A). RMA and GC-RMA analysis together identified 5105 transcripts present in axons (supplemental List S8A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This number is consistent with the number of transcripts found in unbiased screens of other types of axons (e.g., 3000–11,000 transcripts) (Andreassi et al., 2010; Gumy et al., 2010) and is significantly more (81%) than the number of transcripts found in growth cones. IPA showed that protein synthesis, cancer, respiratory disease, and nervous system development and function constituted the major functional categories of axonal mRNAs, which were also seen for the growth cone mRNA pool (supplemental List S8B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Oxidative phosphorylation, ephrin receptor, and actin cytoskeleton signaling among others were the major biological pathways (supplemental List S8C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), yet there were some interesting differences between axon and growth cone mRNA pools. For example, categories, such as protein trafficking, protein folding, and cell-mediated immune response, were significantly enriched in axons but not in growth cones (supplemental List S8B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) (Fig. 2B).

Although, as expected, many of the growth cone transcripts were also present in axons, we wondered whether we could also detect mRNAs that were specifically enriched in growth cones. Comparative profiling revealed that of the 958 growth cone genes in stage 32 growth cones (RMA plus GC-RMA analysis) (supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), 58 were at least 1.5-fold enriched in growth cones compared with axons. Conversely, 523 genes were at least 1.5-fold enriched in axons versus growth cones, and 377 genes have similar expression profiles in both axons and growth cones (supplemental List S8D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Of the 58 growth cone-enriched mRNAs, 28 have a known function, with the cytoskeletal-associated mRNAs comprising the largest functional category (23%), up from 9% representation in the total population of growth cone mRNAs (compare Fig. 6B with Fig. 2A). Protein synthesis and translation is still richly represented (15%), although this is significantly lower than in the total growth cone mRNA population (31%). Other functional categories that show increased representation in enriched versus total growth cone mRNAs are transmembrane/cell surface receptors (15 vs 9%), secreted (12 vs 4%), signaling (12 vs 9%), and nuclear (15 vs 5%) mRNAs. In contrast, functional categories

**Figure 5.** Growth cone mRNA repertoire is developmentally regulated: transcript number and complexity increases in 24 h. **A,** Schematic showing LCM collection of young stage 24 and old stage 32 growth cones. **B,** mRNAs identified in stage 24 growth cones were manually classified into different functional categories using NCBI Gene tool. In total, 286 mRNAs were identified of which 171 transcripts have a known function. The pie chart represents the functional classification of these 171 mRNAs. **C,** Comparison of functional classes of mRNAs between old (Fig. 2A) and young (B) growth cones shows that most categories of mRNAs are upregulated with age (upward arrow), except for transcripts involved in protein synthesis and translation, demonstrating the increasing complexity of the growth cone mRNA pool with development. **D,** Comparative profiling shows that young growth cones have far fewer mRNAs than old growth cones (286 vs 958) and that the degree of overlap is ~80%. **E,** IPA identified pathways that are specifically upregulated in stage 32 old versus stage 24 young growth cones. **F,** EphB4 mRNA localization in stage 32 growth cones was confirmed by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. The specificity of the fluorescence signal was calculated by the mean pixel (fluorescence) intensity/unit area of antisense signal compared with its corresponding sense signal (*n* = 3 for each group). Statistical analysis performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Scale bar, 5 μm. **H,** Q-PCR results for EphB4 mRNA in LCM-isolated RGC cell bodies of old (stage 40) and young (stage 32) retina indicating similar expression levels at both developmental stages (*n* = 4 for each stage).
with decreased representation within growth cone-enriched mRNAs compared with the total growth cone mRNA repertoire include metabolic/glycolytic (8 vs 14%), transcription factors (0 vs 9%), degradation (0 vs 5%), cell cycle (0 vs 4%), and membrane trafficking (0 vs 3%) categories.

In terms of specific mRNAs, the most highly growth cone-enriched mRNA (6.9×) encodes Ribonucleotide reductase M2 (RRM2), a molecule shown recently to promote the in vivo growth of tumor cells (Zhang et al., 2009) (Fig. 6C). Also of particular interest among the growth cone-enriched mRNAs are two microtubule end-binding proteins, EB2 (3.8×) and EB1 (1.6×) (Fig. 6C). Other interesting examples of growth cone-enriched mRNAs include Fascin (actin bundling protein), the small GTPase RhoA, Activin receptor, Septin 1, MAPK8, ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase (autotaxin), and the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 3 (TIMP3). Thymosin β4 peptide, an actin-binding protein involved in axon outgrowth (van Kesteren et al., 2006), conversely, is 3.86-fold enriched in the axonal compartment (supplemental List S8D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). To further validate these findings, we used FISH to compare signal enrichment in the growth cone relative to the axon proper. We selected two transcripts from the growth cone-enriched list (EB1 and EB2) and one from the axon-enriched list (Thymosin β4 peptide) (supplemental List S8D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and determined the MGD ratio in the growth cone versus the axon [GC/axon (see Materials and Methods)]. For both EB1 and EB2, the MGD was significantly higher in the growth cone than the axon (EB1, GC/axon = 1.2, p = 0.0336; EB2, GC/axon = 1.3, p = 0.0414), whereas for Thymosin β4 peptide, the reverse was found, with MGD significantly higher in the axon than the growth cone (GC/axon = 0.8, p = 0.0342).

Intriguingly, of the enriched transcripts present in old stage 32 growth cones, TIMP3 and MAPK8 transcripts are the only two known transcripts present in stage 24 or young growth cones (supplemental List S4B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This suggests that old stage 32 growth cones are enriched in mRNAs that are distinct from its axonal compartment and from younger (stage 24) growth cones. Thus, subcellular enrichment in growth cones may be developmental stage specific, with old growth cones enriched with transcripts distinct from young ones reflecting changes in growth cone function from pathfinding to synaptogenesis. However, three of the four genes showing the highest levels of enrichment in the growth cone (6–15×) are unknown (absent from the X. laevis database), and there are some puzzling mRNAs, such as Ig rearranged H chain V-region mRNA and proliferating cell nuclear antigen, that will require validation. Nonetheless, the finding that at least some mRNAs show significant enrichment in the growth cone over the axon indicates that some mRNAs are localized to subcellular compartments in growing axons.

Comparison of mouse and Xenopus growth cone mRNA populations

To investigate whether mRNAs localize to the growth cones of RGC axons in mammals and to compare with the profiles obtained in Xenopus growth cones, we conducted a similar LCM/microarray analysis on E16 mouse retinal growth cones. Growth cones
in E16 mouse have reached the superior colliculus (Godement et al., 1984) and are therefore comparable with Xenopus stage 32 growth cones cultured for 24 h in vivo. Mouse RGC axonal growth cones were laser captured after 24 h in culture, and the isolated RNA was amplified similar to Xenopus growth cones (version 1). Data analysis revealed that 2162 mRNAs identified play a physiological role in the growth cone. The growth cone plays a primary role in axon pathfinding and synaptic function: therefore, we next focused our analysis on mRNAs encoding molecules associated with these specific functions. A significant number of mRNAs encoding proteins important for axon guidance are, indeed, present in both species (Fig. 7C) (supplemental List S9C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Thus, although Xenopus ribosomal mRNAs were highly abundant, with 79 of the 80 eukaryotic ribosomal proteins of the small and large ribosomal subunits detected in mouse growth cones (Table 1) (supplemental List S4C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Interestingly, although Xenopus stage 32 growth cones contained only one mitochondrial ribosomal protein (mRP) namely mRPL41, several mRPs of the large ribosomal subunit (mRPL11, mRPL13, mRPL30, etc.) and one mitochondrial small ribosomal subunit (mS18C) were identified in mouse growth cones (Table 1). It is known that several mRP mRNAs are localized to the mitochondria via their 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) and are associated with the cytoskeleton (Russo et al., 2008). This mRNA localization is important for rapid ribosome assembly in the mitochondria in vivo (Corral-Debrinski, 2007). Also of note is the presence of mRNAs involved in cancer, further indicating a shared role of these molecules in early developmental processes, as neuronal migration and axon guidance, as well as in the onset of pathological conditions such as angiogenesis and cancer (Chérotal et al., 2005). These pools of mRNAs with common function across species suggest that the mRNAs identified play a physiological role in the growth cone.

**Growth cone mRNAs involved in axon guidance and synaptic function: Xenopus and mouse**

The growth cone plays a primary role in axon pathfinding and synaptogenesis; therefore, we next focused our analysis on mRNAs encoding molecules associated with these specific functions. A significant number of mRNAs encoding proteins important for axon guidance are, indeed, present in both Xenopus and mouse growth cones (Table 2). Interestingly, growth cones contain mRNAs for extracellular and cell-attached guidance cues, such as BMP1, semaphorins, ephrins, and Wnts, and for secreted ECM molecules, such as Laminin, and ECM-modifying metalloproteases, such as TIMP3, ADAM1a, and ADAM25. Transcripts for guidance receptors, such as Neuropilin2, Activin, and Eph receptors, and other substrate and cell adhesion molecules, such...
as integrins (α and β subunits), proteocadherins, and L1, are also present. There are also mRNAs for cytoskeletal proteins, such as β-actin and α- and β-tubulin, and cytoskeletal regulatory proteins, such as β-Thymosin, Thathmins, Paxillin, adenomatosis polyposis coli, and Rho A (Table 2). This is consistent with previous reports showing a role for local β-actin synthesis in growth cone turning responses (Leung et al., 2006; Yao et al., 2006) and β-Thymosin synthesis for neurite outgrowth (van Kesteren et al., 2006).

The microarray data also reveal a surprising number of mRNAs associated with presynaptic function and neurotransmission, particularly in the mouse growth cones, such as synaptic vesicle components [syntaxins, Synaptosomal-associated protein 29 (SNAP29), Synaptotagamin-like 4, etc.] and synaptic cleft molecules, such as Neurologin 1 (Table 3). Xenopus stage 32 growth cones contain several voltage-gated ion channels, as well as glutamate-like receptor and enzymes, such as glutamate dehydrogenase 1. In addition to the voltage-gated ion channels, the mouse growth cones also harbor transcripts for adrenergic, glutamate (NMDA2D), and glycine receptors, as well as glutamate receptor-associated molecules, such as GRIP2, are also present in mouse growth cones. These findings suggest that distinct sets of mRNAs encoding functionally related proteins, such as those involved in axon guidance and synaptogenesis, are trafficked to the growth cone during the late pathfinding and/or synaptic targeting phases of guidance.

### Discussion

Although previous studies have used compartmentalized chambers to isolate axons (Hengst et al., 2006; Willis et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2009; Vogelaar et al., 2009; Andreassi et al., 2010) or fibroblast pseudopodia (Mili et al., 2008) for profiling and laser capture microdissection has been used previously to isolate specific subpopulations of neurons for profiling (Tung et al., 2008), this is the first study to apply unbiased microdissection methods for mRNA profiling of two separate extrasomal compartments. The subaxonal profiling data of developing RGCs revealed a spectrum of growth cone mRNAs. This is in line with findings in Drosophila embryos showing that 71% of the Drosophila genome is expressed in distinct subcellular patterns (Lécuyer et al., 2007) and studies in Xenopus oocytes, migrating fibroblasts, mammalian neurons and astrocytes showing hundreds of mRNAs with distinct localization patterns (Poon et al., 2006; Zhong et al., 2006; Blower et al., 2007; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Cahoy et al., 2008; Mili et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2009). Our results also complement the recent proteomic screen of growth cone marker proteins identifying almost 1000 proteins present in growth cones (Nozumi et al., 2009).

The majority of studies on axon translation have focused on a small number of mRNAs such as cytoskeletal mRNAs identified by a candidate approach. The present study reveals that, in addition to cytoskeletal mRNAs, both Xenopus and mouse growth cones contain a large and diverse range of mRNAs. Although the
Table 3. Key examples of mRNAs encoding synapse-associated molecules identified in either Xenopus stage 32 or mouse E16 growth cones

| Adapter protein complex 3* | Syntaxin 14*, 18 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Synaptophysin-like protein* | Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2a* |
| Synaptotagmin-like 4*, 16 | Synaptosomal-associated protein 29* |
| Cornichon homologue* | Clathrin light polypeptide* |
| Complexin 2* | Neurotrophin* |
| Voltage-dependent anion channel 1*, 2* | Ca2+:channel, voltage-dependent, γ2* |
| K+ channel tetramerization domain containing 2* | K+ voltage-gated channel, subfamily H, 3 and 6, shaker-related 4* |
| Na+ voltage-gated, type II β* | Putative glutamate receptor subunit U1a* |
| Melatonin receptor* | Dopamine receptor D2*, 6 | Adrenergic receptors α2A*, β1* |
| Somatostatin receptor 3* | Calmodulin 1* and 2* |
| Dopamine β-hydroxylase (DBH)* | Ca2+−dependent activator protein for secretion* |
| Superoxide dismutase 1* | Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, Δ* |
| Anaphase promoting complex subunits 11*, 5*, 13* | Synuclein β, γ* |
| Neurophin 1* | Neurophin 3 |
| Glutamate receptors NM142D, GRIN2D | Glycine receptors α, β |
| Glutamate receptor interacting protein 2 (GRIP2) | GABA receptor-associated protein (GABARAP) |
| Discs large homolog-associated protein 1 | Synaptotagmin 2 |
| Spectrin α2 | Gene names are coded based on the species and the developmental stage in which they were identified: bold font, Xenopus stage 32; roman font, mouse E16; italic font, Xenopus stage 24 and stage 32. * indicate molecules with known presynaptic function.

A degree of conservation is apparently modest between the two species, it should be noted that more than half of Xenopus transcripts (54%) are also present in mouse growth cones. The highest functional category identified in both species was that of protein synthesis. Microarray analysis similarly identified protein synthesis as one of the highest functional categories in cortical axons (Taylor et al., 2009). Indeed, mRNAs for most of the 80 eukaryotic ribosomal subunits are present in both mouse and Xenopus growth cones. This is similar to findings in mammalian cortical axons (Taylor et al., 2009), dorsal root and superior cervical ganglion axons (Andreassi et al., 2010; Gumi et al., 2010), rat hippocampal dendrites (Poon et al., 2006; Zhong et al., 2006), and Aphylia neurites (Mocci et al., 2003). Because ribosome biogenesis occurs in the nucleolus, it is puzzling to find so many ribosomal mRNAs in axons and growth cones. This peripheral localization could simply be attributable to a “spillover” of transcripts from the soma rather than specific targeting. An alternative intriguing possibility is that ribosomal mRNAs are locally synthesized in axons/growth cones to provide a local “on demand” source of nascent subunits that contribute to the maintenance and repair of soma—remote translation machinery. This possibility resonates with the finding that young growth cones, nearest the cell soma, have far fewer such mRNAs compared with old growth cones that are a long distance away. Several other protein synthesis machinery-associated molecules are also present (Table 1) (supplemental List S4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), suggesting that growth cones may maintain or augment their protein synthetic capacity by synthesizing translation machinery locally. Such a mechanism could help to circumvent problems associated with the short half-lives of some essential components when the ribosomal machinery is located at a long distance from the soma. Additional studies are needed to determine whether ribosomal proteins are made or repaired locally in the growth cone.

One of the highest functional categories identified included proteins involved in cancer, which points to similar molecular pathways underlying growth cone migration and tumor cell biology. Perhaps this is not unexpected given the common need of growth cones and tumor cells to escape local growth control and become migratory. Genetic and neurological diseases were also significant in our list, indicating that dysregulation of growth cone/axonal mRNAs may underlie developmental neurological disorders (Bear et al., 2004). Among the diverse functional mRNAs, a significant number of transcripts encode molecules involved in axon guidance in both Xenopus and mouse growth cones. These include guidance cues themselves as well as molecules involved in cue-induced signal transduction (Table 2).

Interestingly, TFs comprise a significant subset of retinal growth cone and axonal mRNAs, and this is in agreement with previous studies (Piper et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2009). A novel role for TF mRNA was demonstrated recently by the finding that axonally synthesized cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) is retrogradely trafficked to the soma to regulate transcription and promote neuronal survival (Cox et al., 2008). Although CREB mRNA is absent in retinal axons and growth cones, a similar mechanism involving cue-induced translation of TFs in growth cones could provide a link between the experience of the axon tip and gene-regulated cellular responses. Cell cycle molecules comprise another unexpected class of mRNAs, perhaps relating to their recently described roles in postmitotic neuronal microtubule dynamics (Frank and Tsai, 2009; Schmetsdorf et al., 2009).

As growth cones advance through the optic pathway in vivo, their experience, responsiveness, and functions change (Campbell et al., 2001; Shewan et al., 2002). At early stages, axons must advance rapidly and select the correct pathway, whereas later they must recognize their target, slow their extension, and form synapses with the correct postsynaptic cells. Thus, it might be expected that growth cones exhibit a changing complement of mRNAs to help tailor their “readiness” to changing demands. In line with this view, the old versus young growth cone profiling results showed that the number and diversity of mRNAs increases significantly over a 24 h period. Also prevalent at both ages are transcripts encoding proteins involved in protein synthesis and degradation, metabolism, and the cytoskeleton. Young growth cones, however, have surprisingly few receptor mRNAs, indicating that local synthesis of receptors is unlikely to play a major role in the early stages of axon pathfinding. An exception is Laminin receptor 1. This receptor was shown previously to mediate the conversion of netrin-1-induced attraction to repulsion by laminin-1 in RGC growth cones (Höpker et al., 1999), and its known dual function as a receptor and ribosomal protein (Nelson et al., 2008) may link laminin-stimulated responses directly to translation. Although the old growth cones have an increased number of transmembrane and receptor transcripts, we
did not find many of the classic axon guidance receptors, such as Deleted in colorectal cancer, plexins, or Roundabout, except for neurelin2. This suggests that developmental changes in the growth cone responses to netrin-1 and Slit are not regulated at the level of local translation of receptor mRNAs. Alternatively, mRNA localization may modulate the downstream signaling cascades of different receptor–cue interactions, because several signaling pathways are upregulated in older growth cones compared with the young ones. Likewise, mRNAs encoding cell adhesion molecules and secreted/ECM molecules are enriched in older growth cones. Of particular interest are the ephrins and their receptors, because they play roles in axon guidance and synaptogenesis (Nakagawa et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2003; Lemke and Reber, 2005; Lim et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2009). Previous studies have shown that B-type Eph/ephrin mRNAs are present in RGC axons (Brittis et al., 2002; Mann et al., 2002), and evidence using a EphA2–green fluorescent protein reporter indicates that local synthesis of EphA in commissural spinal cord axons underlies a switch in axon responsiveness after midline crossing (Brittis et al., 2002). Our results indicate that EphB4 receptor mRNA localization is regulated posttranscriptionally because the level of EphB4 transcripts in the RGC soma is similar at both young and old ages yet they are detected exclusively in old growth cones.

A remarkable number of presynaptic protein mRNAs reside in old Xenopus and mouse growth cones but are absent in young growth cones. This suggests that a functionally related set of presynaptic mRNAs is trafficked out to the growth cone to help meet the demands of synaptogenesis, similar to mRNA splicing of a functionally coherent set of mRNAs involved in synaptic plasticity by Nova, a neuron-specific RNA-binding protein (Ule et al., 2005). Moreover, we found transcripts with known function in synaptogenesis and maturation also present in growth cones (Table 3).

mRNAs are trafficked selectively into axons or dendrites depending on specific sequences in their UTRs and the RNA secondary structure (Kislauskis et al., 1994; Bassil and Kelic, 2004; Andreassi et al., 2010; Vuppalanchi et al., 2010). Several of the mRNAs identified in growth cones (e.g., β-actin, Ferritin, Cyclin B1, ribosomal proteins) contain cis-acting sequences in their 3′ UTR and 5′ UTR such as iron response element, cytoplasmic polyadenylation element, and TOP sequences that mediate mRNA targeting via specific RNA binding proteins such as ZBP1/H9252 and iron regulatory proteins (IRP1 and IRP2) in neurons and other systems (Hentze and Kuhn, 1996; Meyuhas, 2000; Richter, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001). It is not known whether specific sequences exist to target mRNAs to the growth cone, but the finding that some mRNAs are enriched here compared with the axon is highly suggestive.

Our results support the idea that subcellular mRNA localization contributes to the sensory and migratory functions of the growth cone, which comprises a functionally specialized subcompartment of the axon. Although most of the mRNAs are enriched in the axonal compartment, certain mRNAs are enriched specifically in growth cones. Similarly, the growing tips of dendrites (Crino and Eberwine, 1996) and the leading pseudopods of migrating fibroblasts (Kislauskis et al., 1994; Mili et al., 2008) show the localization of specific RNA. This suggests that an RNA localization-based mechanism might commonly underlie the plastic growth processes associated with signal-induced responses at localized subcellular sites.

References
Andreadis C, Zimmermann C, Mitter R, Fusco S, Devita S, Saiardi A, Riccio A (2010) An NGF-responsive element targets myo-inositol monophosphate-1 mRNA to sympathetic neuron axons. Nat Neurosci [Erratum (2010) 13:1033; Devita, Serena (corrected to De Vita, Serena)] 13:291–301.

Bassell GJ, Kedik S (2004) Binding proteins for mRNA localization and local translation, and their dysfunction in genetic neurological disease. Curr Opin Neurobiol 14:574–581.

Bassell GJ, Zhang H, Byrd AL, Femino AM, Singer RH, Taneja KL, Lifshitz LM, Herman IM, Kosik KS (1998) Sorting of beta-actin mRNA and protein to neurites and growth cones in culture. J Neurosci 18:251–265.

Bear MF, Huber KM, Warren ST (2004) The mGlur theory of fragile X mental retardation. Trends Neurosci 27:370–377.

Blichenberg A, Schwabe B, Rehbein M, Garner CC, Richter D, Kindler S (1999) Identification of a cis-acting dendritic targeting element in MAP2 mRNAs. J Neurosci 19:8818–8829.

Blower MD, Feric E, Weis K, Heald R (2007) Genome-wide analysis demonstrates conserved localization of messenger RNAs to mitotic microtubules. J Cell Biol 179:1365–1373.

Bramham CR, Wells DG (2007) Dendritic mRNA: transport, translation and function. Nat Rev Neurosci 8:776–789.

Brittis PA, Lu Q, Flanagan JG (2002) Axonal protein synthesis provides a mechanism for localized regulation at an intermediate target. Cell 110:223–235.

Brumback AG, Lieber JL, Anglesea JK, Betz WJ (2004) Using FM1-43 to study neuropeptide granule dynamics and exocytosis. Methods 33:287–294.

Cahoy JD, Emery B, Kaushal A, Foo LC, Zamanian JL, Christopherson KS, Xing Y, Lusher JC, Krieger PA, Krupeenko SA, Thompson WJ, Barres BA (2008) A transcriptome database for astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes: a new resource for understanding brain development and function. J Neurosci 28:264–278.

Campbell DS, Regan AG, Lopez JS, Tannahill D, Harris WA, Holt CE (2001) Semaphorin 3A elicits stage-dependent collapse, turning, and branching in Xenopus retinal growth cones. J Neurosci 21:6538–6547.

Chédotal A, Kerjan G, Moreau-Fauvarque C (2005) The brain within the tumor: new roles for axon guidance molecules in cancers. Cell Death Differ 12:1044–1056.

Cheung AW, Lam JS, Chan SO (2005) Selective inhibition of ventral temporal but not dorsal nasal neurites from mouse retinal explants during contact with chondroitin sulphate. Cell Tissue Res 321:9–19.

Cope L, Hartman SM, Gohilman HW, Tiesman JP, Irizarry RA (2006) Analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip data using amplified RNA. Biotechniques 40:165–166, 168, 170.

Corral-Debrinski M (2007) mRNA specific subcellular localization represents a crucial step for fine-tuning of gene expression in mammalian cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1773:473–475.

Cox BJ, Hengst U, Gunter G, Lukyanov KA, Jaffrey SR (2008) Intraaxonal translation and retrograde trafficking of CREB promotes neuronal survival. Nat Cell Biol 10:149–159.

Crino PB, Eberwine J (1996) Molecular characterization of the dendritic growth cone: regulated mRNA transport and local protein synthesis. Neuron 17:1173–1187.

Dingwall KS, Holt CE, Harris WA (2000) The multiple decisions made by growth cones of RGCs as they navigate from the retina to the tectum in Xenopus embryos. J Neurobiol 44:246–259.

Drescher U, Bonhoeffer F, Müller BK (1997) The Eph family in retinal axon guidance. Curr Opin Neurobiol 7:75–80.

Flanagan JG (2006) Neural map specification by gradients. Curr Opin Neurobiol 16:59–66.

Flanagan JG, Vanderhaeghen P (1998) The ephrins and Eph receptors in neural development. Annu Rev Neurosci 21:309–345.

Frank CL, Tsai LH (2009) Alternative functions of core cell cycle regulators in neuronal migration, neuronal maturation, and synaptic plasticity. Neuron 62:312–326.

Goode BL, Drubin DG, Barnes G (2000) Functional cooperation between the microtubule and actin cytoskeletons. Curr Opin Cell Biol 12:63–71.

Gumy LF, Yeo GS, Tung YC, Zivraj KH, Willis D, Coppola G, Lam BY, Twiss
JL, Holt CE, Fawcett JW (2010) Transcriptome analysis of embryonic and adult sensory axons reveals changes in mRNA repertoire localisation. J Neurosci. 26:5727–5732.

Hengst U, Cox JJ, Macosko EZ, Jaffrey SR (2006) Functional and selective RNA interference in developing axons and growth cones. J Neurosci 4:1130–1132.

Hopter VH, Shewan D, Tessier-Lavigne M, Poo M, Holt C (1999) Growth cone attraction to netrin-1 is converted to repulsion by laminin-1. Nature 401:69–73.

Job C, Eberwine J (2001) Localization and translation of mRNA in dendrites and axons. Nat Rev Neurosci 2:889–898.

Kiebler MA, Bassell GI (2006) Neuronal RNA granules: movers and makers. Neuron 51:685–690.

Kislauskis EH, Zhu X, Singer RH (1994) Sequences responsible for intracellular localization of beta-actin messenger RNA also affect cell phenotype. J Cell Biol 127:41–51.

Koenig E, Giuditta A (1999) Protein-synthesizing machinery in the axon compartment. Neuroscience 89:9–15.

Lai KO, Ip NY (2009) Synapse development and plasticity: roles of ephrin/Eph receptor signaling. Curr Opin Neurobiol 19:275–283.

Lécuyer E, Yoshida H, Parthasarathy N, Alm C, Babak T, Cerovina T, Hughes JL, Holt CE, Fawcett JW (2010) Transcriptome analysis of embryonic and adult sensory axons reveals changes in mRNA repertoire localisation. J Neurosci 4:1130–1132.

Lim BK, Matsuda N, Poo MM (2008) Ephrin-B reverse signaling promotes initial retinotectal mapping in Xenopus. J Neurosci 4:1130–1132.

Mancilla R, Chen D, Lyles V, Kapuya E, Y, Kalachikov S, Spahn CM, Frank J, Kandel ER, Barad M, Martin KC (2003) An unbiased cDNA library prepared from isolated Aplysia sensory neuron processes is enriched for cytoskeletal and translational mRNAs. J Neurosci 23:9409–9417.

Nakagawa S, Brennan C, Johnson KG, Shewan D, Harris WA, Holt CE (2000) Ephrin-B regulates the Ipsilateral routing of retinal axons at the optic chiasm. Neuron 25:599–610.

Nelson J, McCarron NV, Privato G, Chambers E, Doherty C, Steele D, Timson DJ (2008) The 67 kDa laminin receptor: structure, function and role in disease. Biosci Rep 28:33–48.

Nieuwkoop PD, Faber J (1967) Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin). Ed 2. Amsterdam: North Holland.

Nozumi M, Tagano T, Takahashi-Niki K, Lu J, Honda A, Taoka M, Shinkawa T, Koga H, Takeuchi K, Isobe T, Igarashi M (2009) Identification of functional marker proteins in the mammalian growth cone. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:17211–17216.

Patel OV, Suchyta SP, Sipkovsky SS, Yao J, Ireland JJ, Cossens PM, Smith GW (2005) Validation and application of a high fidelity mRNA linear amplification procedure to produce profiling gene expression. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 105:331–342.

Phillips J, Eberwine JH (1996) Antisense RNA amplification: a linear amplification method for analyzing the mRNA population from single living cells. Methods 10:283–288.

Piper M, Salih S, Weinl C, Holt CE, Harris WA (2005) Endocytosis-dependent desensitization and protein synthesis-dependent resensitization in retinal growth cone adaptation. Nat Neurosci 8:179–186.

Piper M, Anderson R, Dwivedy A, Weinl C, van Horck F, Leung KM, Cogill E, Holt C (2006) Signaling mechanisms underlying Slit2-induced collapse of Xenopus retinal growth cones. Neuron 49:215–228.

Piper M, Dwivedy A, Leung L, Bradley RS, Holt CE (2008) NF-protocadherin and TAF1 regulate retinal axon initiation and elongation in vivo. J Neurosci 28:100–105.

Poon MM, Choi SH, Jamieson CA, Geschwind DH, Martin KC (2006) Identification of process-localized mRNAs from cultured rodent hippocampal neurons. J Neurosci 26:13390–13399.

Richter JD (2001) Think globally, translate locally: what mitotic spindles and neuronal synapses have in common. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:7069–7071.

Russo A, Cirilli C, Amoresano A, Pucci P, Pietropaolo C, Russo G (2008) cis-acting sequences and trans-acting factors in the localization of mRNA for mitochondrial ribosomal proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1779:820–829.

Schmetsdorf S, Arnold E, Holzer M, Arendt T, Gärtner U (2009) Phosphorylation of a retinal growth cone. Mol Cell Neurosci 42:102–115.

Taylor AM, Berchtold NC, Perreau VM, Tu CH, Li Jeon N, Cotman CW (2009) Axonal mRNA in uninjured and regenerating cortical mammalian axons. J Neurosci 29:4097–4070.

Tung YC, Ma M, Piper S, Coll A, O’Rahilly S, Yeow GS (2008) Novel leptin-regulated genes revealed by transcriptional profiling of the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. J Neurosci 28:12419–12426.

Ule J, Ule A, Spencer J, Williams B, Au HS, Cline M, Wang H, Clark T, Fraser C, Buggiu M, Zeeberg BR, Kane D, Weinstein JN, Blume J, Darnell RB (2005) Nova regulates brain-specific splicing to shape the synapse. Nat Genet 37:844–852.

van Kesteren RE, Carter C, Dissel HM, van Minnen J, Gowenbury Y, Syed NI, Spencer GE, Smit AB (2006) Local synthesis of actin-binding protein-β-thymosin regulates neurite outgrowth. J Neurosci 26:152–157.

Vogelhart CF, Gervasi NM, Gump LF, Story DJ, Raha-Chowdhury R, Leung KM, Holt CE, Fawcett JW (2009) Axonal mRNAs: characterisation and role in the growth and regeneration of dorsal root ganglion axons and growth cones. Mol Cell Neurosci 40:102–115.

Vuppalanchi D, Coleman J, Yoo S, Merianda TT, Yadathi AG, Hossain J, Blech A, Willis DE, Twiss JH (2010) Conserved 3’UTR sequences directs subcellular localization of chaperone protein mRNAs in neurons. J Biol Chem 285:18025–18038.
Williams SE, Mann F, Erskine L, Sakurai T, Wei S, Rossi DJ, Gale NW, Holt CE, Mason CA, Henkemeyer M (2003) Ephrin-B2 and EphB1 mediate retinal axon divergence at the optic chiasm. Neuron 39:919–935.
Willis DE, van Niekerk EA, Sasaki Y, Mesngon M, Merianda TT, Williams GG, Kendall M, Smith DS, Bassell GJ, Twiss JL (2007) Extracellular stimuli specifically regulate localized levels of individual neuronal mRNAs. J Cell Biol 178:965–980.
Willis D, Li KW, Zheng JQ, Chang JH, Smit A, Kelly T, Merianda TT, Sylvester J, van Minnen J, Twiss JL (2005) Differential transport and local translation of cytoskeletal, injury-response, and neurodegeneration protein mRNAs in axons. J Neurosci 25:778–791.
Wy KY, Hengst U, Cox LJ, Macosko EZ, Urquhart ER, Jaffrey SR (2005) Local translation of RhoA regulates growth cone collapse. Nature 436:1020–1024.
Wu Z, Irizarry RA, Gentleman R, Murillo FM, Spencer F (2004) A model based background adjustment for oligonucleotide expression arrays. Working papers, Department of Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins University. Yao J, Sasaki Y, Wen Z, Bassell GJ, Zheng JQ (2006) An essential role for beta-actin mRNA localization and translation in Ca2+–dependent growth cone guidance. Nat Neurosci 9:1265–1273.
Zhang HL, Eom T, Oleynikov Y, Shenoy SM, Liebelt DA, Dictenberg JB, Singer RH, Bassell GJ (2001) Neurotrophin-induced transport of a beta-actin mRNP complex increases beta-actin levels and stimulates growth cone motility. Neuron 31:261–275.
Zhang K, Hu S, Wu J, Chen L, Lu J, Wang X, Liu X, Zhou B, Yen Y (2009) Overexpression of RRM2 decreases thrombospondin-1 and increases VEGF production in human cancer cells in vitro and in vivo: implication of RRM2 in angiogenesis. Mol Cancer 8:11.
Zhong J, Zhang T, Bloch LM (2006) Dendritic mRNAs encode diversified functionalities in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. BMC Neurosci 7:17.