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ABSTRACT

It has long been unclear if the small-scale magnetic structures on the neutron star (NS) surface could survive the fall-back episode. The study of the Hall cascade (Cumming, Arras & Zweibel 2004; Wareing & Hollerbach 2009) hinted that energy in small scales structures should dissipate on short timescales. Our new 2D magneto-thermal simulations suggest the opposite. For the first \( \sim 10 \) kyrs after the fall-back episode with accreted mass \( 10^{-3} M_\odot \), the observed NS magnetic field appears dipolar, which is insensitive to the initial magnetic topology. In framework of the Ruderman & Sutherland (1975) vacuum gap model during this interval, non-thermal radiation is strongly suppressed. After this time the initial (i.e. multipolar) structure begins to re-emerge through the NS crust. We distinguish three evolutionary epochs for the re-emergence process: the growth of internal toroidal field, the advection of buried poloidal field, and slow Ohmic diffusion. The efficiency of the first two stages can be enhanced when small-scale magnetic structure is present. The efficient re-emergence of high order harmonics might significantly affect the curvature of the magnetospheric field lines in the emission zone. So, only after few \( 10^4 \) yrs would the NS starts shining as a pulsar again, which is in correspondence with radio silence of central compact objects (CCOs). In addition, these results can explain the absence of good candidates for thermally emitting NSs with freshly re-emerged field among radio pulsars (Bogdanov, Ng & Kaspi 2014), as NSs have time to cool down, and supernova remnants can already dissipate.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Young neutron stars (NSs) are sources with wide ranges of characteristic observables, inferred fundamental parameters, and different energy supplies. The timing, rotational, cooling, and magnetic properties all provide hints to the coupled evolution (see Harding 2013 and references therein). The main classes of NSs include standard radio pulsars (PSRs), the soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) and anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs), the nearby cooling NSs called the “Magnificent Seven” (M7), the rotating radio transients (RRATs), and the central compact objects (CCOs) in supernova remnants (SNRs). The evolutionary scenario called the “grand unification for NSs” (GUNS) (Kaspi 2010; Igoshev, Popov & Turolla 2014), attempts to explain this variety of sources. Population synthesis studies have meanwhile yielded fruitful results. Popov et al. (2010) described PSRs, magnetars, and M7 in a unified picture, and these results were later extended and improved by Viganò et al. (2013) and Gullón et al. (2014, 2015) with models covering larger ranges of parameter space, although CCOs were not included in these studies. The inclusion of CCOs have been discussed in a qualitative manner by Pons, Viganò & Geppert (2012), but unification within the NS zoo remains problematic. A detailed population synthesis study of all known sub-populations of young NSs has not yet been successful, primarily because the birth process and subsequent evolution of CCO magnetic fields and observed emission remains an open question.

CCOs are young objects with typical ages of the order \( 10^4 \) yrs (de Luca 2008). These sources are characterized by relatively high surface temperatures and low dipolar (poloidal) fields (inferred from the spin-down period and period derivative). If these observable properties remain roughly unchanged on longer time scales, then we expect to see a significant population of low-field NSs in high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs), which have typical ages of \( \sim 10^6 \) up to a few \( 10^7 \) yrs. However as it was demonstrated in a detailed study by Chashkina & Popov (2012) no such sys-
tems had been observed. On the other hand, isolated CCOs could remain relatively hot until they have ages on the order 10^5 yrs, but such sources are not observed among nearby cooling NSs (Turolla 2009), which implies that CCOs might “disappear” after ~ 10^5 yrs. The explanation for this disappearance was found in the scenario of field re-emergence after fall-back. Soon after a SN explosion, a significant amount of infalling material can blanket the NS surface due to the reverse shock (Chevalier 1989), which can bury the NS magnetic field if the amount of material in the fall-back episode exceeds ~10^{-4} - 10^{-3} M_{\odot} (which is a function of the magnetic field; see e.g. Bernal, Lee & Page 2010). Following this process, the magnetic field then diffuses slowly back to the NS surface on a time scale ~10^4 - 10^5 yrs (Ho 2011; Viganò & Pons 2012; Bernal, Page & Lee 2013). This screening can also be effective for large surface magnetic fields, leading to the so-called “hidden magnetar” scenario (Geppert, Page & Zanni 2010). Several examples of such objects have been proposed, such as the NS in SNR Kes 79 (Shabaltas & Lai 2012) and the NS in RCW103 (Popov, Kaurov & Kaminker 2015).

As the buried field diffuses through the crust towards the NS surface, one would expect to see an active magnetar or a PSR (Pons et al. 2012, Viganò & Pons 2012). This process is also known as magnetic field re-emergence. In this case, such PSRs would be observed to have a growing dipolar field component. Bogdanov et al. (2014) and Luo et al. (2015) conducted searches for evolved CCOs which would be observed as PSRs, but no such sources have been detected. It is critical to explain the null results of these searches for evolved CCOs among radio pulsars.

In an earlier study, Viganò & Pons (2012) focused mostly on re-emergence of the dipole component. It is an oversimplification to think that the growth of dipolar component alone is enough for activation of pulsar emission. On the contrary, the weak dipolar magnetic field does not generally prevent NS from emitting non-thermal radiation (millisecond pulsars). Therefore it is essential to choose a condition which allows us to distinguish between active and dormant PSRs. The usual criterion for pulsar activity is the proximity of a source to the death line in the period – period derivative diagram. Studies of the pulsar ensemble consider three different aspects of the death line: observational – absence of known pulsars in the right lower corner of the period – period derivative diagram; theoretical – a drop in electric potential at the magnetic polar cap (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975); and populational – pile-up of pulsars near the death line (Bhattacharya et al. 1992; Gonthier et al. 2002). The general consensus is that all three of these aspects correspond to the same physical mechanism, but this conclusion is not final yet.

From the ATNF catalogue\(^1\) (Manchester et al. 2005), the observed ensemble of normal radio pulsars is not strictly bounded to the right in the \(P – P_0\) diagram. A few pulsars lie below this line (see Fig. 1). One such source, J2144-3933, has a spin period 8.5 s (Young, Manchester & Johnston 1999). Although spin-down magnetic fields for CCOs measured by period \(P\) and period derivative \(P_0\) are small, these objects are still well above the observed death line and should therefore shine as radio pulsars. The theoretical death line based on a pure dipolar magnetic field crosses the centre of the pulsar distribution (Medin & Lai 2007b) and places some of the CCOs to the pulsar graveyard. To move the death line to its usual location, it is necessary to assume either a misalignment between the rotational and magnetic axes, or the presence of small-scale magnetic fields (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975). In pulsar population studies the pile up appears when neither luminosity nor magnetic field decay are assumed, and pulsars spend most of their lives in the region with characteristic ages ~10^5 yrs with nearly constant \(P\) and small \(P_0\), thereafter observing the paucity of these sources. Szary et al. (2014) suggested to consider a limit on radio efficiency which helps to avoid pile-up as well.

In the recent study by Szary, Melikidze & Gil (2015), the small scale magnetic field is modelled with additional dipoles which allow to vary the curvature radius for the open field lines in large range ~10^5 – 10^8 cm. Subject to strong fall-back, the magnetic pole may be shifted to a new orientation. Therefore, we consider not just an additional small dipole at the polar cap region as it was suggested by Szary et al. (2015), but rather harmonics of high order which can provide the necessary radius of curvature in the NS emission zone.

There is an important theoretical uncertainty concerning evolution of small-scale magnetic structures in NS after a fall-back episode. The analysis of the Hall cascade properties (Cumming et al. 2004; Wareing & Hollerbach 2009) provided arguments that energy in small scales structures should dissipate rapidly. Here we address this question with numerical modelling. In this paper we extend the first analysis performed by Viganò & Pons (2012) in two important aspects: we analyze and present evolution of high-order multipoles and study the non-thermal emission criterion to obtain an answer to the question if NSs with freshly re-emerged magnetic fields can be observed as radio pulsars or not.

In §2 we briefly describe the numerical model used to conduct our simulations of magnetic field burial and evolution. In §3 we discuss simulation results employing a set of different initial conditions which vary in their prescribed multipolar structure. §4 contains an explanation of the numerical results in the context of the Hall cascade given our choice of initial conditions. Consequences for observable NS emission are presented in §5. Limitations of both the emission model and the numerical code are discussed in §6, along with applicability to pulsar searches. We present our conclusions in §7.

\(^1\) http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat
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2 METHOD

We perform our numerical experiments using two dimensional (2D) magneto-thermal simulations which self-consistently evolve the coupled magnetic field and the temperature throughout the NS interior. The numerical model is the popular code developed in Viganò, Pons & Miralles (2012), which is based on the earlier model of Pons, Miralles & Geppert (2009). The magnetic induction equation and the thermal evolution equation are coupled in non-trivial ways via the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity (Aguilera, Pons & Miralles 2008), and it is necessary to evolve these equations as a system in order to correctly
reproduce observed NS emission (Pons et al. 2009; Rea et al. 2012; Viganò et al. 2013). A brief overview of our numerical model in Eq. (2) is valid if we assume the hyper-critical accretion phase has already passed, the NS crust has formed, and thus the internal NS structure has been fixed. Thus we consider our Eq. (2) sufficient to capture the essential secular signatures of the magnetic re-emergence process. We choose the total accreted mass to be $10^{-7} M_\odot$. Larger total accreted mass can bury the field completely (see e.g. fig. 2 in Geppert et al. (1999) for 0.01 $M_\odot$), while a smaller total accreted mass can lead to very fast reemergence, which is inconsistent with current CCO observations.

We employ a Skyrme type equation of state (EOS) with SLy nuclear interactions (Douchin & Haensel 2001). For densities below neutron drip point we also use the BPS relation (Baym, Bethe & Pethick 1971). We assume a nominal NS mass of 1.40 $M_\odot$, and our EOS provides a star with radius $R_{NS} = 11.503$ km and a core radius of $R_c = 10.799$ km. We restrict our study to fields confined to the NS crust, and consider the NS core to be an ideal superconductor, thus we enforce as inner boundary conditions that tangential electric fields vanish at the crust-core interface ($E_\theta = E_\phi = 0$); Ohmic dissipation timescales are $\sim 10^5$ yrs in the core, and dynamics in the NS core are effectively decoupled from fundamental observables driven in NS crust (Elffritz et al. 2016), so it is reasonable to ignore the NS core in this study. At the $r = R_{NS}$ outer boundary we decompose the radial component of the surface field to construct a multipole spectrum, consistent with a potential solution, and valid for both vacuum and force-free magnetospheres (Gralla, Lupsasca & Phillips 2016).

The specific determination of our initial conditions is discussed separately in Appendix A, but simply put, we prescribe superpositions of multipolar magnetic fields at $t = 0$. We impose a background purely poloidal dipole, and superpose additional multipoles of order $l$. The initial toroidal field component is taken to be zero. The total magnetic field intensity at the north pole is normalized to $B_{pol} = 1.5 \times 10^{12}$ G, with the dipolar component contributing $0.5 \times 10^{12}$ G.

The thermal evolution is computed by the thermal transport equation for local temperature $T_c$,

$$c_v \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot [\hat{\kappa} \cdot \nabla T] = \sum_i Q_i,$$

where $c_v$ is the local specific heat capacity and $\hat{\kappa}$ is the process possible while keeping the total amount of accreted matter low enough to neglect its influence on the crustal composition.

---
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thermal conductivity tensor. In the right side of Eq. (3) we assume no additional sources of heat during the accretion phase for two reasons: first, the accretion process is very short (duration of 1 yr in our simulations) and second, the accretion stage is at the very beginning of the NS evolution when the star is still extremely hot (∼10^{10} K), and thus any accretion-induced heating due to infalling material is quickly quenched by neutrino emission. Therefore the only non-zero \( Q_l \) that we must include are the Joule heating and usual neutrino cooling terms. Our code traces the thermal and magnetic field evolution up to magnetar field strengths, therefore all relevant energy sinks via neutrino cooling are included (see Table 4.3 of Viganò 2013).

In order to focus on the field evolution during the burial and re-emergence phases, we reduce the inner crust impurity factor to 0.1, such that associated dissipation is suppressed. We use a numerical grid with \( n_r = 30 \) radial cells through the NS crust, and adjust the number of cells in polar angle to suit the angular variation of the imposed \( l \)-pole (varies from 50 - 300 cells). 1 Myr is chosen as the simulation time in each case.

3 RESULTS: THE RE-EMERGENCE PROCESS

In this section we describe the results from our magneto-thermal simulations for a variety of initial conditions. We compare re-emergence of the imposed multipolar fields, shown alongside identical simulation results without the initial accretion phase (Fig. 2).

We start the discussion of the magneto-thermal evolution from a case with no fall-back which was already presented multiple times in the literature, to verify that our results are consistent. The low order harmonics \( (l = 1, 2) \) decay on the Ohmic timescale, about 1 Myr, see right panel of Fig. 2 (Cumming et al. 2004; Pons & Geppert 2007). Even though we assume no toroidal magnetic field in our initial conditions, this component is generated and typically saturates at values comparable to the initial poloidal field strength; that is, \( B_{\text{pol}}^{\text{init}} \approx 0.5 - 2.0 B_{\text{pol}}^{\text{tot}} \). The NS cools undisturbed and reaches a temperature around \( 6.9 \times 10^5 \) K at \( t = 10^7 \) years, see temperature labels above the magnetic field curves at Fig. 2. This result is in agreement with Aguilera et al. (2008) for low-mass weakly magnetized NS. The high order harmonic \( (l = 6) \) decays slightly faster than the dipole and quadrupole.

When the fall-back is introduced the evolution of low order multipoles \((l = 1, 2)\) does not differ from the earlier published results (Viganò & Pons 2012): we found very similar reemergence time scales \( \sim 10^7 \) yrs, caused by diffusion of the poloidal magnetic field toward the surface. The surface magnetic field is reduced after the fall-back and the internal magnetic field is amplified because of compression by accreted matter (Bernal et al. 2013). The surface temperature is not sensitive to the short fall-back episode. In simulations where high order harmonics \((l = 6, 10 \text{ or } 15)\) are present with a background dipole, we find the shorter re-emergence time scale of \( \sim 10^4 \) years. Moreover, the re-emerged field intensity of these higher order harmonics appears to be larger than what was imposed in the initial conditions. This result has important implication for the theory of pulsar emission and is discussed in details in §5. During the first few hundred years a strong toroidal magnetic field is formed, up to \( B_{\text{tor}} \approx 7 \times 10^{12} \) G; see Figs. (3, 4). This toroidal magnetic field has a large multipole number and survives during \( 10^6 \) years, showing slow migration toward the crust-core interface. At the surface of the neutron star, additional harmonics are formed on the Hall time scale, see Fig. 5, their intensity
though does not reach a significant value during the course of our simulations. The presence of high order multipole structure does not affect the bolometric temperature of the NS.

If we choose initial conditions consisting of a dipole and \( l = 10 \) harmonic with a higher magnetic field, \( B = 3 \times 10^{13} \) G, we see very similar behaviour during the toroidal growth stage (compare blue and black dotted lines at left panel of Fig. 2), but the stronger Hall drift accelerates the re-emergence process somewhat. The surface multipole expansion Fig. 5 shows that more harmonics are generated, due to the Hall cascade, but their intensity is still small compared to the \( l = 1 \) and \( l = 10 \) field components.

We have also performed simulations which include non-zero toroidal field components at \( t = 0 \), prior to the short fall-back, with the form

\[
B_\phi = B_0 \frac{R_0}{r} \left( \frac{2}{\Delta \gamma_{\text{run}}} \right)^4 (r - R_{\text{NS}})^2 (r - R_0^2) \sin \theta, \tag{4}
\]

and found no significant difference in the surface multipole expansion in the first \( 10^5 \) yrs. Here \( R_0 \) and \( \Delta \gamma_{\text{run}} \) denote the radial center of the crust and the crustal thickness, respectively. \( B_0 \) normalizes the peak field strength. Our series of experiments have shown that with or without the inclusion of an initial toroidal component, the system will in general relax to the configurations shown in Figs. (3,4) (Gourgouliatos & Cumming 2014).

4 THEORETICAL EXPLANATION

NS magnetic field evolution in the presence of high-order multipolar structure occurs in three distinct stages, the time scales of which are functions of both the Ohmic diffusion and Hall time scales; these are given by \( \tau_{\text{D},0} = 4 \pi \sigma L^2/c^2 \) and \( \tau_{\text{Hall}} = 4 \pi \sigma n_e L^2/eB \), respectively, where \( L \approx 1 \) km is the characteristic length scale of field variation. The first evolutionary stage is the growth of toroidal field from the standard forward Hall cascade (starting from fall-back until \( \sim 1 \) \( \tau_{\text{Hall}} \)), then advection of the growth of toroidal field towards the surface of the neutron star caused by the poloidal-toroidal coupling in the Hall drift (typically up to \( \sim 10^3 \tau_{\text{Hall}} \)), and finally the diffusion of poloidal field to the surface on the Ohmic time scale. The diffusive epoch depends primarily on the electrical conductivity \( \sigma \) in the NS crust, and typically dominates the evolution beyond \( \sim 10^5 \) yrs for our chosen EOS. This final stage weakly depends on the angular structure of the field, but not the field intensity; this evolutionary stage is well-studied in the literature (Cumming et al. 2004; Urpin et al. 1994), and thus we treat only the first two epochs in this section.

4.1 The linear toroidal field growth stage.

The early evolution is dominated by the induction of a toroidal magnetic field component, because we supply only poloidal components for the initial field. While an arbitrary toroidal component can easily be imposed (see Viganò & Pons 2012), the long-term NS evolution is not particularly sensitive to its inclusion. We specifically do not prescribe the \( B_\phi \) components from Eq. (A22) because this simply delays activation of the Hall cascade.

The non-dipolar components of the initial field, from Eqs. (A20, A21) are

\[
B_{r\ell}(r, \theta, \phi) = -\frac{\mu_0^2}{2} \left( l(l + 1)C_\ell \Gamma_\ell(x)P_l(\cos \theta) \right), \tag{5}
\]

\[
B_{\theta\ell}(r, \theta, \phi) = -\frac{\mu_0^2}{2} C_{\ell} \Gamma_\ell(x)P_l(\cos \theta), \tag{6}
\]

where \( x = \mu_\ell r \) is a normalized radial coordinate. To satisfy boundary conditions we must take \( \mu_1 = 2.29, \mu_2 = 0.628, \mu_3 = 0.313 \) and all other \( \mu_l \approx 0.273 \text{ km}^{-1} \) (see Appendix A).

In this early stage of the evolution, the toroidal field evolves according to the non-diffusive Hall induction equation

\[
\partial_t B_\phi = -\nabla \times \left[ f_h (\nabla \times B_{\text{pol}}) \times B_{\text{pol}} \right], \tag{7}
\]

For each multipole \( l \) in the poloidal initial conditions, it can be shown that the corresponding azimuthal field grows according to

\[
\partial_t B_\phi = -C_\ell^2 \mu_\ell^6 \frac{f_h}{2\pi} \left[ \psi(x) P_l P'_l + \chi(x) P'_l P''_l \right], \tag{8}
\]

where we write the two radial functions \( \chi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) using the Bessel-Riccati differential equation and its derivative as

\[
\chi(x) = 2x^3 \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1, \tag{9}
\]

\[
\psi(x) = 2x(l + 1) \Gamma_1 [x \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1 - l(l + 1) \Gamma''_1]. \tag{10}
\]

From consideration of our boundary conditions, it is clear that \( \Gamma_1(x) \) is a similar monotonic function for all multipoles, and is thus effectively independent of \( l \). We then Taylor expand \( \Gamma \) about the crust-core interface, keeping up to the linear term. It follows that for typical values, \( \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1 \approx 2 \) for high \( l \). The second term in Eq. (8) is the dominant contribution for the \( l = 1 \) dipole case, whereas the first term dominates for all higher multipoles, due to the quartic dependence on harmonic number \( l \). Thus for a given \( l \), the fastest corresponding toroidal growth obeys

\[
\partial_t B_\phi \approx 4C_\ell^2 \mu_\ell^6 \frac{f_h}{2\pi} \left[ P_l P'_l \right], \tag{11}
\]

illustrating that the toroidal field grows as the odd harmonics \( (2l - 1, 2l - 3, 2l - 5, ...) \), due to the \( P_l P'_l \) dependence. It makes sense that the expected growth rate scales as \( \sim 1/l^2(l+1)^2 \), since we impose angular structures of order \( l \) as initial conditions, i.e., this is the scale size of our current sheets. The local timescale for large multipoles is therefore

\[
\tau_{\text{Hall},l} \approx \frac{4 \pi \sigma n_e}{c B} L_0^2 \frac{L_0^2}{l(l + 1)^2} \tag{12}
\]

where \( L_0 \) is the length scale for the background, dipolar field. This analytic approximation is in good agreement with the growth shown in our numerical simulations. In the left panels of Figs. (3, 4) we see the toroidal field during this linear growth phase for two large-\( l \) cases. When the toroidal and poloidal field intensities become comparable, the toroidal growth saturates, and the next stage of evolution is controlled by the toroidal-poloidal coupling.
Figure 3. The crustal magnetic field configuration at two different times, for initial conditions consisting of dipole and $l = 10$ harmonic. The crust is artificially enlarged in the left halves for clarity. White lines indicate field lines projected into the poloidal plane, and the background color indicates the toroidal field strength.

Figure 4. The crustal magnetic field configuration at two different times, for initial conditions consisting of dipole and $l = 15$. The crust is artificially enlarged in the left halves for clarity. White lines indicate field lines projected into the poloidal plane, and the background color indicates the toroidal field strength.

4.2 The toroidal-poloidal coupling.

The toroidal magnetic field component is well developed after a few Hall time scales, which accelerates the evolution of the poloidal field from the coupling equation

$$\partial_t \vec{B}_{pol} = -\nabla \times \left[ f_H \left( \nabla \times \vec{B}_{tor} \right) \times \vec{B}_{pol} \right].$$

As already shown by Viganò (2013), the poloidal equations resemble standard advection equations which contain source terms quadratic in $\vec{B}$. We can write the relation in standard form, as

$$\left( \partial_t + \vec{v}_e \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{B}_{pol} = \left( \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{v}_{e, pol}$$

where $\vec{v}_e = -f_H \nabla \times \vec{B}$ is the electron velocity. Clearly the left-hand side of Eq. (14) is an advective derivative on the
poloidal field, while the right-hand side provides nonlinear feedback on the field depending on the configuration of the current system. The result is that the buried magnetic field advects towards the NS surface, and does so on faster time scales than for pure Ohmic diffusion.

We can exploit the ordered multipolar structure in \( \hat{\theta} \) to estimate the re-emergence time scale. There is no such periodicity in the radial direction, so we Fourier transform Eq. (13) in \( \hat{\theta} \) in the limit \( \nabla \rightarrow i \vec{k} \), where \( \vec{k} \) is the usual wave vector. We also Fourier transform in time such that \( \partial_t \rightarrow -i \omega \), and search for exponentially growing solutions. After some algebra, one may compute the re-emergence speed \( v_{re-em} \) via the group velocity, as

\[
v_{re-em} = \frac{d\omega}{d\vec{k}_0} \approx v_0 \cdot l \cdot B_0 \tag{15}\]

with \( v_0 = 2 f_H / R_{NS} \). This estimate shows that higher-order multipoles re-emerge at the NS surface earlier than e.g. a buried dipole component. There are important observational implications to this. The re-emergence time is then easily inferred based on the burial depth \( \Delta \) burial:

\[
\Delta t_{re-em} \approx \frac{\Delta t_{burial}}{v_0 \cdot l \cdot B_0}. \tag{16}\]

In general the peak \( B_0 \) value at saturation—which is responsible for advecting the poloidal field to the surface—is comparable to the initial field strength \( B_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ G} \). Using \( R_{NS} = 11.5 \text{ km} \) and \( f_H \approx 2 \text{ km Myr}^{-1} \times 10^{12} \text{ G}^{-1} \), with a 0.35 km burial depth (half the crust thickness), it follows that the re-emergence time scale is roughly

\[
\Delta t_{re-em} \approx \frac{670}{l} \text{ kyrs}. \tag{17}\]

This approximation agrees well with the re-emergence time scales found in Fig. 2, within a factor of \( \sim 5 \).

It is important to note that our choice of simulation inputs constrains the physics. The simulated re-emergence process is elastic, in the sense that the parity of re-emergent poloidal field is determined by the imposed initial conditions. In our experiments we have tested various combinations of odd-even and odd-odd harmonics (see §3), and for \( t > \Delta t_{re-em} \) the spectral decomposition at the NS surface strongly resembles the supplied initial conditions (Fig. 5). The reason is that we are concerned with relatively weak field intensity at birth, \( \sim 10^{12} \text{ G} \), and thus the nonlinear Hall drift is also somewhat weak. Therefore the Hall drift cannot efficiently accelerate Ohmic dissipation of the high-\( l \) structure on sub-Myr time scales.

5 CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-THERMAL EMISSION

Our objects have \( B \sim 10^{12} \text{ G} \) and periods \( P \sim 0.1-0.3 \text{ sec} \), in correspondence with recent studies of initial periods (Popov & Turolla 2012; Igoshev & Popov 2013). In this region of the \( P - \dot{P} \) plane the efficiency of non-thermal emission is strongly controlled by the curvature radius of open field lines (see figs. 8, 9 in Medin & Lai 2007b) for the position of the death line depending on the curvature radius. Curvature radiation is a dominant process which causes the cascade development and determines the emitted power, while the resonant Compton scattering does not play an appreciable role (Timokhin & Harding 2015).

Our results for field re-emergence, summarized in Fig. 2, have immediate consequences for non-thermal emission of NSs. Sources subject to fall-back, shows primarily dipolar magnetic fields and ages up to \( 10^9 \text{ yrs} \), have large curvature radii in the open field line region (\( \sim 100 R_{NS} \)), and thus produce negligible non-thermal radiation. After \( \sim 10^9 \text{ yrs} \), the large multipolar components dominate close to the surface and decrease the curvature radius, thus triggering non-thermal emission. This causes the neutron star to shine as a pulsar again.

We briefly describe the emission of pulsars (the interested reader is referred to Timokhin 2010; Timokhin & Arons 2013; Timokhin & Harding 2015 for details) in the following steps: electrons or ions (depending on the sign of \( \Omega \vec{B} \)) are efficiently stripped from the NS surface due to negligible cohesive energy and large temperature (Medin & Lai 2007b), and are accelerated in the strong electric field (see §5.2.1). The particles gain energy \( \gamma e \) and emit curvature radiation photons with energy \( E_{\text{CR}} \). If \( E_{\text{CR}} > E_{\text{crit}} = 10^{14} \text{ eV} \), then they can produce electron-positron pairs in the magnetic field. These first generation particles (we use the notation of Timokhin & Harding 2015) are once again accelerated, and the electrons or positrons return to bombard the NS surface.

We want to investigate in detail the bottleneck of this process, which are as follows: (a) the energy gained by electrons in the acceleration potential might not be enough to produce photons capable of electron-positron pair creation (see Section 5.2.1) and (b) the photon mean free path in the gap region may be much larger than the physical size of the gap itself (see Section 5.2.2).

On one hand we have a model of magnetic field evolution in the crust, which predicts the maximum curvature radius of open field lines (see §5.1); on the other hand we have a constraint on this curvature radius for producing non-thermal emission (see §5.2). We assume that a NS efficiently emits non-thermal radiation only if the actual curvature radius is smaller than required for pair formation.

5.1 Actual curvature radius of open field lines

Since the configuration of the poloidal magnetic field is described completely, we can integrate along the field lines. Close to the NS surface, the assumption of a vacuum magnetosphere is valid, see Gralla et al. (2016): in the open field line region the plasma density is small when the acceleration potential is not screened, and the assumption of vacuum also works here.

We trace magnetic field lines by numerically solving the usual system of differential equations:

\[
\frac{dr}{ds} = \frac{B_r}{|B|} \tag{18}
\]

\[
\frac{d\theta}{ds} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{B_\theta}{|B|} \tag{19}
\]

with the footpoints selected uniformly on the surface of neutron star given by \( v_0 = R_{NS} \), \( \theta_{in} = \pi i / 500 \), for the \( i^{th} \) field line. We then select those which reach the light cylinder distance \( R_{LC} = cP / (2\pi) \), and we choose \( P = 0.1 \text{ s} \). To compute
the curvature radius $\rho$, we follow the prescription from Asseo & Khechinashvili (2002) assuming flat space, since the GR corrections are small. Then

$$\rho(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{|\hat{b} \cdot \nabla| \hat{b}|},$$

(20)

where $\hat{b}(r, \theta)$ is the unit vector in the direction of the local magnetic field.

5.2 Required curvature radius for open field lines

5.2.1 Electron acceleration

We start from equation (8) from Ruderman & Sutherland (1975) for the potential difference $\Delta V$ between the centre of the polar cap and the edge of the negative current emission region,

$$\Delta V \approx \Omega \left(\frac{r_{p\ast}}{2c}\right)^2 B_d^2$$

(21)

where $\Omega$ is the angular speed of the neutron star, $B_d^2$ is the dipole component of the magnetic field at the surface and $r_{p\ast}$ is the size of the polar cap. Although the gap appears to be unstable (Timokhin 2010), this vacuum acceleration potential can still be used in such studies (Timokhin & Harding 2015; Philippov et al. 2015). In our case the size of the polar cap is determined by the opening angle $\theta_{\text{max}}$ for the last open field line at the light cylinder, from

$$r_{p\ast} = \theta_{\text{max}} R_{\text{NS}}.$$

(22)

Since the light cylinder radius is $R_{\text{LC}} = c/\Omega$, we obtain the potential drop

$$\Delta V \approx \frac{R_{\text{NS}}^2 \theta_{\text{max}}^2 B_d^4}{2R_{\text{LC}}},$$

(23)

which gives the electron Lorentz factor

$$\gamma = \frac{e\Delta V}{mc^2} = \frac{eR_{\text{NS}}^2}{2R_{\text{LC}}mc^2} (\theta_{\text{max}}^2 B_d^4) \approx 0.586 \cdot (\theta_{\text{max}}^2 B_d^4).$$

(24)

where $B_d^2$ has dimensions of G, and we have taken the canonical $R_{\text{NS}} = 10\,\text{km}$. The value for $\gamma$ should exceed $\gamma_{\text{crit}} \approx 2 \times 10^5$ for electrons to activate the cascade (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975). In this model, the acceleration potential does not depend on curvature of open magnetic field lines, and sets no conditions for the NS to exhibit pulsed emission.

The particle accelerated in the electric potential emits
curvature radiation photon which can produce new electron-positron pairs in magnetic field. We consider critical values for this process in following section.

5.2.2 Required curvature radius based on the mean free path of photons

The curvature radiation photon has energy (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975)
\[ E_{\text{CR}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma^3}{\rho} \frac{hc}{\rho}, \]  
(25)
where \( \gamma \) is the Lorentz factor of the electrons from Eq. (24).
The photon effectively produce pairs only if its mean free path in the magnetic field is smaller than the size of the acceleration gap itself. The initial propagation direction aligns with the local magnetic field, but at some distance it starts to deviate since the magnetic field is curved. The mean free path is determined by the strength of the orthogonal to propagation direction component of the magnetic field (\( B_\perp \)). We assume that the radius of curvature exceeds the size of the emission zone (\( h \approx 0.01 R_{\text{NS}} - 0.1 R_{\text{NS}} \)) and a linear approximation is valid, such that
\[ B_\perp \sim \frac{h B}{\rho}, \]  
(26)
where \( B \) is the strength of the total magnetic field in the emission region.\(^3\) We start from equation (3.1) in Erber (1966) and find the exponential parameter \( \chi \) from
\[ \chi = \frac{1}{2 \gamma_e} \frac{B_\perp}{B_y} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{h}{\gamma_e} \frac{\gamma^3}{\rho^2}. \]  
(27)
Here \( B_y = 4.414 \times 10^{13} \) G is the Schwinger critical magnetic field. Then, the mean free path is
\[ l_{\text{CR}} = \frac{2 \gamma_e^2 B_\perp}{m_e c^2} \frac{\rho}{h B} \frac{1}{\gamma_e T(\chi)}. \]  
(28)
where we have used the approximate form for \( T(\chi) \) as in Erber (1966), since in our simulations the parameter \( \chi \) is far from the asymptotic cases:
\[ T(\chi) \approx 0.16 \chi^{-1} K_{1/3}^2 (2/3 \chi). \]  
(29)
Upon substituting numerical values we obtain
\[ \chi = 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \frac{h B}{\rho^2} (g_{\text{max}}^2 B_\perp)^{3/2}, \]  
(30)
and the mean free path is
\[ l_{\text{CR}} \approx 2.8 \times 10^6 \frac{\rho}{h B} \frac{1}{\gamma_e T_{1/3}^2 (2/3 \chi)}. \]  
(31)
We want \( l_{\text{CR}} < h \), otherwise photons freely leave the emission region. This condition sets an upper limit for the curvature radius of the open field lines. In §5.3, we solve this equation numerically and find the maximum curvature radius required for an NS to emit as a pulsar.

\(^3\) We repeatedly call the region from the surface to 1.01\( R_{\text{NS}} \) at the magnetic pole as the emission region even though there might be no emission from there.

5.3 When does the pulsar shine again?

For all simulations with fall-back, we show in Figs. (6, 7, 8) the actual (black lines) and required (blue and red lines) curvature radius of open field lines. Initially the required maximum curvature radius is larger than the actual one, which means that the NS emits as a pulsar. After the fall-back episode large harmonics are strongly suppressed and the actual curvature radius reached typical for a pure dipole value (~100 \( R_{\text{NS}} \)). The required curvature radius for effective pairs creation decreases to extremely small values around 0.1\( R_{\text{NS}} \) since the magnetic field is strongly suppressed and \( B_\perp \) is not developed enough. During this period the NS does not emit non-thermal radiation. It is still visible as a source of pure thermal radiation with temperatures around 10^6 K (see temperature labels at Fig. 2).

Depending on the initial field configuration the actual curvature radius of open field lines starts to decrease at \( \approx 10^5 \) yrs for initial dipole and \( l = 6 \) harmonic and \( \approx 100 \) yrs for initial dipole and \( l = 15 \) harmonic. The actual and required curvatures of open field lines intersects at around a few times \( 10^4 \) yrs which means that the NS starts efficiently emitting non-thermal radiation.

6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Some properties of the external magnetic field

The accuracy of our numerical solutions for the crustal magnetic field is restricted by the number of bins in the angular direction. From the standpoint of numerical stability, the required angular resolution must be calculated from consideration of the initial conditions. For the \( l = 6 \) and the \( l = 15 \) case, we use 150 and 300 angular cells, respectively. This gives a corresponding angular resolution of 1.2° and 0.6°. We must also consider whether the angular resolution is sufficient from the standpoint of tracing field lines in the emission zone. For real NSs in nature, the size of the polar cap is unknown and undoubtedly varies among sources. We conclude that our chosen resolution is indeed sufficient for the following reason: the magnetospheric field satisfies our outer boundary condition, the Legendre expansion. Technically at the NS surface we perform the expansion up to order \( l = 200 \), far higher than any order we are interested in modelling. If we were to increase the angular resolution, the dominant coefficients in the multipole expansion stay the same – i.e. the imposed initial conditions – and only the multipole coefficients corresponding to the Nyquist multipole should fluctuate. In addition, since we are not performing detailed simulations of the emission processes, but instead are only considering the magnetic topology in the region, we note that our angular resolution is at worst comparable to the polar cap size \( r_p \). Since our surface expansion conserves \( \nabla \cdot B = 0 \) everywhere, we are free to trace the field lines with sub-grid scale resolution if desired.

Another particularly interesting case study is the shifted dipole, which was long-discussed as a plausible explanation for the small curvature radius of open field lines in pulsars (Ruderman et al. 1998). While our numerical model would require significant modifications in order to model mis-aligned rotational and magnetic axes (see Viganò 2013), we could approximate such a configuration by imposing a
smooth spectrum of harmonics as our initial conditions. However, looking at Fig. 2, we can immediately conclude that the shifted dipole case would probably not survive the fall-back episode. The low-order multipoles \( l = 2, 3, ..., 6 \) re-emerge less efficiently than the dipole component, while higher-order multipoles of \( l = 10, ..., 15 \) re-emerge more efficiently than the dipole component. This could lead to the destruction of the shifted dipole and would result in growth of the leading multipole, most likely the highly-structured field components.

### 6.2 Limitations of Ruderman and Sutherland emission model

We are aware that the vacuum gap model does not describe all emission process entirely. The electron work function and the ionic cohesive energy appears to be much smaller that it was expected in the time of Ruderman & Sutherland (1975).

As Medin & Lai (2006a,b) have shown for the case of condensed surfaces, the work function for electrons is roughly 100 eV, and the cohesive energy is \( \sim 500-700 \) eV for different atmospheric compositions, in the weak magnetic field limit

\(^4\) Then for typical surface temperatures of post-CCO NSs

\[^4\] If a condensate is not formed, which is possible in the case of post-CCO NSs, then the situation is uncertain. However we can assume that the cohesive energy in this case is not exceedingly large. In addition, we want to note that accretion in a fall-back episode of a significant amount of light elements can result in changes in the surface properties, which can suppress opening of the gap. If the magnetosphere is positive above the poles, then for relatively low fields and high temperatures it is much more
The structure of magnetic field lines above the NS surface (left panel; video is available at http://pulsars.info/videos/115.mp4) for the initial conditions consisting of dipole and \( l = 15 \) harmonic; north and south poles both shown. The dashed red lines show purely dipolar magnetic field lines at the pole, dotted are for closed and solid are for open field lines. The right panel shows the maximum curvature radius for open field lines for the same initial configuration (black line). Other lines show theoretical predictions: blue dashed line for typical height of the emission zone \( h = 0.01R_{NS} \); red dashed line is for larger height of the emission zone \( h = 0.1R_{NS} \).

6.3 Searches for pulsars with re-emerging magnetic fields

Original models of magnetic re-emergence (Bernal et al. 2010; Ho 2011; Vigano & Pons 2012) predicted that for ages \( \gtrsim 10^4 \) yrs, the NS magnetic field would return to its initial value due to diffusion through the accreted envelope. The main observational feature of an NS at this stage should be its anomalous braking which does not correspond to the standard dipolar radiative braking. This difference can be quantified by means of the braking index, commonly written as

\[
n = 2 - \frac{\dot{P}}{P^2}. \tag{32}
\]

In the recent work by Ho (2015) the field re-emergence scenario was studied in detail, with the braking index formula written as

\[
n = 3 - 2 \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \frac{\dot{P}}{P} = 3 - \frac{4}{\gamma_{ne}} \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \frac{\dot{P}}{P^2}. \tag{33}
\]

The \( \gamma_{ne} \) in Eq. (33) is given by \( \gamma_{ne} \approx 4\pi^2 R_{NS}^6/(3e^2 I) \), with \( I \) being the NS moment of inertia the NS. For typical NS parameters, we can estimate this factor as \( \gamma_{ne} \approx 10^{-30} \) G\(^{-2}\) s, and plot the braking index from the dipolar component of the total magnetic field. The results are shown in Fig. 9. During the re-emergence epoch, shortly after the pulsar begins emitting non thermal radiation, the braking index has extremely negative values. Such extreme values of the braking index can be impossible to measure since impulsive changes in the magnetic field could cause glitch activity according to Ho (2015). The NS crust easily lose its torque because of coupling with crust-confined magnetic field, whereas the NS core does not. Timing noise of glitches with different magnitudes makes the second period derivative \( \dot{P} \) extremely difficult to measure.

An alternative observational feature is that kinematically old pulsars with relatively weak fields and with braking indices \( n < 1 \) can be associated with SNRs, and can show significant thermal emission from the NS surface. Indeed, the
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Figure 9. Evolution of braking indices based on the dipolar magnetic field component. Curves for different initial periods converge to $n = 3$.

The lifetime of a SNR can be up to $10^7$ yrs. According to standard cooling models (see, for example, Yakovlev & Pethick 2004) a CCO-like NS stays hot at least for $10^6$ yrs, which typically corresponds to the case of light element envelopes. Light elements enhance heat transfer to the stellar surface, and so make such sources hotter and brighter at young ages (Viganò et al. 2013).

Bogdanov et al. (2014) and Luo et al. (2015) used two different approaches to search for such PSRs with re-emerged magnetic field. In the first paper the authors selected a sample of 8 objects with associated SNRs within the distance $d = 6$ kpc. Kinematic ages of these NSs were estimated to be $\sim 20 - 30$ kyrs, based on their positions relative to the SNRs (and based on velocities, if available). Chandra and XMM-Newton observations put upper limits on (or directly detected) their thermal X-ray emission. The authors concluded that the selected sources do not look like evolved CCOs, and therefore their associations with SNRs might be due to chance. In Luo et al. (2015) a different approach was used. Twelve PSRs were selected according to the following conditions: $B < 10^{11}$ G, $P > 0.05$ s, $z < 100$ pc, where $z$ is distance from the Galactic plane. None of them appeared to be associated with a SNR. Also, none of the sources appeared to have large thermal X-ray luminosity. Typical upper limits for temperature are $\sim 50 - 100$ eV. Thus, Bogdanov et al. (2014) and Luo et al. (2015) concluded that the proposed scenario of field re-emergence, and the corresponding appearance of PSRs is not correct. Our study demonstrates that it remains possible to bring the scenario of field evolution after fall-back into correspondence with the results of X-ray searches.

According to the results presented above, it takes $\geq 30$ kyrs for small scale fields to re-emerge. Only after this time a radio pulsar can begin emitting non-thermal radiation. By this point in time, a NS can cool down below the limits obtained by Bogdanov et al. (2014); Luo et al. (2015), and the SNR can become too faint to detect. We thus propose that it is necessary to extend the approaches used by Bogdanov et al. (2014) and Luo et al. (2015) to look for colder (and older) NSs which appear as PSRs with recently re-emerged field. Correspondingly, for the 12 sources discussed by Luo et al. (2015), it is necessary to put more stringent limits on ages and temperatures.

For distant sources it can be difficult to probe lower temperatures due to significant interstellar absorption in the soft X-ray band. In this case, a searching strategy based on identification of a NS with a SNR is not very promising. Among the sample studied by Luo et al. (2015), more than one half are farther than 2.5 kpc, making it difficult to detect NSs with such low temperatures. Still, for nearby sources with distances $\lesssim 1 - 2$ kpc it is possible to improve limits on the surface temperatures down to 30-40 eV with longer Chandra exposures (several tens of ks), or even to detect thermal emission from them according to expectations presented in Fig. 2. Correspondingly, with lower temperature limits, the limits on the ages will shift towards larger values, up to $\sim 10^8$ yrs. To increase the size of the sample of objects at distances $\lesssim 1 - 2$ kpc we can slightly relax ranges of magnetic field and distance from the Galactic plane, in comparison with those used by Luo et al. (2015) This can be done, especially if for particular PSRs there are arguments in favour of their youth (proximity to one of OB associations, etc.).

We have selected radio pulsars from the ATNF catalogue v1.54 (Manchester et al. 2005) and cross-correlated their positions with known OB associations at distances less than 3 kpc from the Sun. The pulsars have been chosen according to criteria similar to those in Luo et al. (2015), but we relax the criteria somewhat. We select only pulsars within 3 kpc from the Sun with heights above the Galactic plane of less than 200 pc, with periods $P > 0.1$ s, and magnetic fields of $< 5 \times 10^{11}$ G. Our sample contains 37 pulsars. Note that in the ATNF, distances to most of these pulsars are estimated from the dispersion measure according to Taylor & Cordes (1993). We have used several approaches to cross-correlate the pulsar sample with known OB associations, because distances to these agglomerations of stars are not very certain. At first, we use the catalogue of Blaha & Humphreys (1989) (but also see Mel’nik & Efremov 1995). No significant coincidences between the list of pulsars and OB associations have been found, i.e. no pulsar detections within 100 pc from the centre of OB associations. We then take into account that distances to OB associations might be 20% smaller (Dambis, Mel’nik & Rastorguev 2001, Mel’nik & Dambis 2009). Taking this into account, we find two pairs of pulsar-OB associations: J1107-5907 and J1154-6250.

The first pulsar J1107-5907 is close to the Car OB2 association with an age of $\sim 4$ Myr (Tetzlaff et al. 2010). The characteristic age of the pulsar is very large, $\sim 4.5 \times 10^6$ yrs. The young age of the association and that the pulsar is nearby the association indicates that the pulsar might itself be very young, as only the most massive NS progenitors are expected to explode. Note that this object has also been studied by Luo et al. (2015). However, they assumed a distance of 1.3 kpc, estimated according to Cordes & Lazio (2002). With this distance the source does not fall close to the Car OB2. But new estimates based on Schnitzeler (2012) show that the distance is about 1.94 kpc, which is in good correspondence with the ATNF value (1.81 kpc). This improved distance estimate, the pulsar is close to the OB association, and could thus be related to it when taking into account the uncertainties in distance. The temperature limit given by Luo et al. (2015) is therefore modified, as the source
is further away by factor ~1.5. Since Luo et al. (2015) already estimated the column density \( n_\text{H} \) from DM using the standard relation from He, Ng & Kaspi (2013), we must only take into account changes in distance. Then the updated temperature limit is ~67 eV. This is not strict enough to draw clear conclusions, but is notably higher than in Luo et al. (2015). This temperature of 7.8 \( \times 10^5 \) K corresponds to the rising part of the curve in Fig. 2. However, 55 eV – 6.4 \( \times 10^5 \) K – is already behind the rising part. We suggest that deeper observations of this source are necessary.

The second pulsar is J1154-6250, which can be related to the Cru OB1 association with the age 5-7 Myrs (Tetzlaff et al. 2010). There are no available temperature estimates for this object. With the distance calculated according to Schnitzeler (2012), the source still remains close to the association. The characteristic age of this pulsar is ~8 \( \times 10^5 \) yrs, inconsistent with the age of the association. Thus this source was possibly born with a spin period close to the present day value.

We have also used the list of 25 OB association from Dambis et al. (2001) for which parallax distances are estimated. These distances are not considered to be precise enough (Mel’nik & Dambis 2009; Dambis et al. 2001), and in addition we have not found any pulsar from our list within 100 pc of any of these associations, so we do not comment on it further.

Potentially, a full account of the uncertainties in pulsar distances and OB associations, of different combinations of selection parameters of pulsars, and usage of larger lists of associations could result in new cases of pulsar-association pairs. Such cases must be studied in detail, although that analysis is beyond the scope of this paper. For the purposes of this work, we simply point out examples of pulsars which could in fact be objects with re-emerging magnetic field.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have studied, for the first time, the evolution of high-order multipolar fields \((l > 10)\) in a self-consistent, 2D magneto-thermal framework, while imposing a short accretion epoch as an initial condition. We confirm re-emergence time scales of \(~10^5\) yrs for magnetic field buried by fall-back with accreted mass \(10^{-3}M_\odot\) just after NS birth (Viganò & Pons 2012). For our relatively weak field strengths, we find that harmonics up to \(l = 15\) efficiently re-emerge on time scales comparable to – or shorter than – the corresponding time scale for the dipolar magnetic field component. We also report that for high-order multipoles the toroidal-poloidal interaction plays an essential role in accelerating magnetic re-emergence.

We have implemented the full Ruderman & Sutherland (1975) formalism for strongly non-dipolar surface fields, and have confirmed that the observable field following the fall-back episode (first \(~10^4\) yrs) is extremely weak and also purely dipolar. Such conditions prevent effective conversion of photons into electron-positron pairs. The re-emergence of large multipoles at \(3 \times 10^4\) – \(5 \times 10^4\) yrs decreases the curvature radius in the emission zone. This activates non-thermal emission, and thus the neutron star manifests as a pulsar. The surface temperature at these times is about \(7 \times 10^5\) K which prevents effective detection.

Although earlier searches for pulsars with re-emerging fields have revealed no plausible candidates (Bogdanov et al. 2014; Luo et al. 2015) we argue that our scenario is still valid, especially because the distances to the pulsars are highly uncertain and some candidates might be hotter than that predicted by Luo et al. (2015). We develop a new criterion for such searches, namely the small projected distance from an OB association. We have found two candidates, J1107-5907 and J1154-6250, which based on our simulations could be young pulsars currently experiencing magnetic re-emergence.
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To determine the radial eigenmodes, we choose so-called vortex dipole solutions, denoted by $\vec{B}_{\text{pol}}(r, \phi)$, for the poloidal components of the magnetic field, and $\vec{B}_{\text{tor}}(\phi)$ for the toroidal components. Each magnetic field component can be decomposed into poloidal and toroidal components:

$$\vec{B} = \vec{B}_{\text{pol}}(r, \theta) + \vec{B}_{\text{tor}}(\phi).$$  \hfill (A1)

Each can then be written in terms of stream functions $S(r, \theta, t)$ and $T(r, \theta, t)$:

$$\vec{B}_{\text{pol}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \left( \vec{r} \times \vec{\nabla} S \right).$$  \hfill (A2)

$$\vec{B}_{\text{tor}} = -\vec{r} \times \vec{\nabla} T.$$  \hfill (A3)

We are free to decompose $S$ and $T$ in terms of Legendre polynomials, and search for stationary solutions such that

$$S = \sum_{l} C_{l} P_{l}(\cos \theta) S_{l}(r),$$  \hfill (A4)

where $C_{l}$ are normalization constants ($T$ has an identical form). We can then write each magnetic field component as

$$B_r = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_{l} C_{l} S_{l}(l + 1) P_{l},$$  \hfill (A5)

$$B_{\theta} = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_{l} C_{l} P'_{l} \frac{dS_{l}}{dr},$$  \hfill (A6)

$$B_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_{l} C_{l} T_{l} P'_{l}.$$  \hfill (A7)

To determine the radial eigenmodes, we choose so-called force-free initial conditions ($J \times \vec{B} = 0$), such that the magnetic field components obey

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \vec{\mu} \vec{B},$$  \hfill (A8)

at $t = 0$, where $\vec{\mu}$ is the scale length for the radial Stokes functions of order $l$. The choice of force free condition is simply a convenient method for imposing multipolar structure, but the NS will immediately drift away from such a configuration due to e.g. the presence of density and conductivity gradients in the induction equation. From the radial component of Eq. (A8), one obtains

$$\mu_{l} S_{l}(l + 1) P_{l} = T_{l} P'_{l} \cot \theta + T_{l} P''_{l},$$  \hfill (A9)

and it is immediately evident that

$$\mu_{l} S_{l}(r) = -T_{l}(r).$$  \hfill (A10)

Now considering the azimuthal component of Eq. (A8) we obtain the Bessel-Riccati differential equation for the poloidal Stokes function

$$x^2 \frac{d^2 S_{l}}{dx^2} + (x^2 - l(l + 1)) S_{l} = 0$$  \hfill (A11)
where \( x = \mu r \) is a scaled radial coordinate. The general solutions are well-known, and for a given \( l \) have the form

\[
\Gamma_l(x) = a_l x \cdot j_l(x) + b_l x \cdot n_l(x)
\]  
(A12)

where \( a_l, b_l \) are normalization coefficients, and the functions \( j_l(x) \) and \( n_l(x) \) can be written using Rayleigh’s formula:

\[
j_l(x) = (-x)^l \left( \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^l \left[ \frac{\sin x}{x} \right]
\]  
(A13)

\[
n_l(x) = -(-x)^l \left( \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^l \left[ \frac{\cos x}{x} \right]
\]  
(A14)

We can write the spherical Bessel functions in a more useful form:

\[
j_l(x) = A_l(x) \sin(x) + \beta_l(x) \cos(x)
\]  
(A15)

\[
n_l(x) = -A_l(x) \cos(x) + \beta_l(x) \sin(x)
\]  
(A16)

where \( A_l(x) \) and \( \beta_l(x) \) are polynomials in \( x \), which we extract from a Fortran library.

The field must satisfy both the vacuum outer boundary condition and the inner superconducting boundary condition. The simplest choice for the surface boundary condition is that \( S_l(\mu_r r_{NS}) = 1 \), which is satisfied if we choose \( a_l \) and \( b_l \) as

\[
a_l = \frac{\cos(\mu_r r_{NS})}{\mu_r r_{NS} \cdot \beta_l(\mu_r r_{NS})}
\]  
(A17)

\[
b_l = \frac{\sin(\mu_r r_{NS})}{\mu_r r_{NS} \cdot \beta_l(\mu_r r_{NS})}.
\]  
(A18)

The inner boundary condition requires \( S_l(\mu_r r_c) = 0 \), where \( r_c \) is the NS core radius. Satisfying this boundary condition then requires that

\[
\tan[\mu_l(r_c - r_{NS})] = -\frac{\beta_l(\mu_r r_c)}{A_l(\mu_r r_c)}.
\]  
(A19)

We employ a modified Newton’s method to solve Eq. (A19) numerically to obtain \( \mu_l \), and then compute the coefficients \( a_l \) and \( b_l \) from equations Eqs. (A17, A18). Finally, we construct the solution \( S_l(x) \), and the magnetic field components have the following final forms:

\[
B_r = -\frac{1}{r^2} \sum_l l(l+1)C_l S_l(x)P_l(\cos \theta)
\]  
(A20)

\[
B_\theta = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_l \mu_l C_l \frac{dS_l(x)}{dx} P'_l(\cos \theta)
\]  
(A21)

\[
B_\phi = +\frac{1}{r} \sum_l \mu_l C_l S_l(x)P'_l(\cos \theta).
\]  
(A22)