A comparison of reactivation experiences following vaporization and intramuscular injection (IM) of synthetic 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT) in a naturalistic setting
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ABSTRACT

Background: Previous research suggests a therapeutic potential of 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT). However, online anecdotal reports have described a phenomenon following cessation of the acute effects of 5-MeO-DMT use which has been termed reactivation (i.e., re-experiencing ['flashback']). To date, no research has investigated whether different routes of administration may confer different reactivation rates, effects and experiences.

Aims: We aimed to assess whether intramuscular injection (IM) and vaporization of 5-MeO-DMT conferred different reactivation rates, changes in satisfaction with life as well as ratings of the experience with ego dissolution and the mystical.

Methods: Using internet-based advertisements, 27 respondents (M_age = 32, SE = 1.43; males = 18; North America = 19) completed an online-based survey.

Results: Of the 14 participants in the IM group, 3 (21%) reported reactivations; in contrast, of the 13 participants in the vaporization group, 9 (69%) reported reactivations. Redosing (more than 1 dose) occurred more frequently in the vaporization group (N = 8) (1–6 times with 3–35 mg of 5-MeO-DMT), relative to the IM group (N = 2) (1–5 times with 5–10 mg of 5-MeO-DMT). All participants in the IM group experienced release of physical tension, compared to 8 participants in the vaporization group. Participants in the IM group reported longer time of onset of acute effects (between 1 and 3 [N = 6] and 4–6 min [N = 6]), relative to the vaporization group where the majority (N = 11) reported a rapid onset of 1–50 s.

Conclusion: Findings suggest that compared to vaporization, the IM route of administering 5-MeO-DMT is associated with lower and less doses, lower frequencies of reporting reactivation, a higher frequency of physical tension release, and a slower onset of acute effects.
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INTRODUCTION

The serotonin 5-HT₁A/5-HT₂A receptor agonist 5-methoxy-
N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT) is a lesser known
psychedelic substance (Hoshino & Shimodaira, 1936; Shen,
Jiang, Winter, & Yu, 2010; Szabo, 2015), and can be found
naturally in plants and the secretion (i.e., bufotoxin) from the
Incilius alvarius toads skin and parotid glands (Pachter,
Zacharias, & Ribeiro, 1959; Weil & Davis, 1994). 5-MeO-
DMT is known to be orally inactive as it is metabolized by
monoamine oxidase (MAO) enzymes in the gut and liver
(Shen et al., 2010). Therefore, 5-MeO-DMT is usually
administered parenterally, most commonly via inhalation of
smoke or vapor, and less commonly via intravenous, intra-
muscular, rectal, sublingual, or intranasal application (Davis,
Barsuglia, Lancelotta, Grant, & Renn, 2018; Weil & Davis,
1994). Interestingly, previous research demonstrate that
inhalation of 5-MeO-DMT is known to evoke a rapid onset of
psychedelics effects within seconds which can last for 15–20 min (Weil & Davis, 1994), with subjective effects
described as ‘being shot out of a cannon’ (Oroc, 2009). This
contrasts with intramuscular injection (IM) which is anec-
dotally reported to be more subtle, with a slower onset and a
more gradual return from the psychedelic experience (5-Hive,
2017; InnerExplorer, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). It is
worth highlighting that although IM is known to place an
additional burden on manufacturing a sterile product, Sherwood et al. (2019) identified IM as the most favorable
route of administration of 5-MeO-DMT for the following
reasons; it avoids first pass metabolism, has high bioavail-
bility, precise control of dosage, and evokes a gentle onset
with slightly longer duration of effects. This contrasts with
vaporization which, although avoids first pass metabolism,
and is a more accepted and easier route of administration,
induces a rapid onset of effects through a much more
complex drug delivery and finally makes it challenging to
control dose.

Recently, use of 5-MeO-DMT has become increasingly
popular in naturalistic settings as a means for spiritual
exploration and is associated with enhanced well-being
(Davis et al., 2018; Davis, So, Lancelotta, Barsuglia, & Grif-
iths, 2019; Uthaug, Lancelotta, Szabo, Riba, & Ramaekers,
2019; Uthaug et al., 2019). Although many people claim
benefits from the use of 5-MeO-DMT, there are some who
report experiencing “after-effects” following 5-MeO-DMT
ingestion. In fact, anecdotal reports on online forums and
Facebook support groups indicate that in certain cases,
especially with vaporization (5-Hive, 2018a, 2018b), natu-
ralistic use of 5-MeO-DMT is associated with the incidence
of a complex and not well understood phenomenon that has
been termed reactivation, referred to as the re-experiencing
of some of the effects induced by 5-MeO-DMT intake at
some point after the drug’s acute effects have worn off. From
that description, reactivations could be analogous to the LSD
flashback phenomenon referred to as “a re-experiencing of
certain elements of the drug induced state after the drug’s
effects have worn off and a relative period of normalcy has
been experienced” (Heaton, 1975; Heaton & Victor, 1976;
Matefy & Krall, 1974; Matefy, Hayes, & Hirsch, 1978).
Nevertheless, it is worthwhile highlighting that at the current
time no scientific research can explain why reactivations (or LSD
flashbacks) occur.

Recent unpublished reports from an online survey on the
patterns of use of 5-MeO-DMT indicate that prevalence
rates of reactivations (which lasts for 1–2 weeks) are as high
as 72% in a subset of respondents using 5-MeO-DMT in a
structured ritualized setting (Davis et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal,
2019). However, up to 96% of these users report their
reactivation as being a positive or neutral experience, and
only 3% report their reactivation experiences as being
negative (Davis et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal, 2019). With regard
to reactivation following 5-MeO-DMT, participants of the
recent survey study reported that common precipitating
triggers of reactivations tend to be smoking marijuana,
falling asleep, states of deep relaxation, deep meditation and
certain smells and sounds that remind individuals of their
experience (Davis et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal, 2019).

Although both reactivations and flashbacks are a recur-
cence of the users’ acute psychedelic experience(s) and
trigged by similar factors (i.e., relaxation), LSD flashbacks
can occur for up to several years (Abraham, 1983), and more
often are rated as a negative experience (Naditch & Fenwick,
1977). This is in contrast to 5-MeO-DMT reactivations
which are reported to occur for 1–2 weeks, and more
frequently rated to have a positive or neutral valence (Davis
et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal, 2019). Nevertheless, one’s experi-
ence of a reactivation is likely dependent on the context
within which it occurs (Hartogsohn, 2014; Hartogsohn,
2016; Hartogsohn, 2017), and it is likely that the reactivation
data from the ceremonial group is influenced by the fact that
the group provides robust support for preparation and
integration of 5-MeO-DMT experiences which could explain
the positive valence of these retrospective reports.

To date, although reactivation is commonly reported
following use of 5-MeO-DMT through vaporization (5-Hive,
2018a, 2018b), no research has investigated whether different
routes of administration may confer different reactivation
rates, effects and experiences. Thus, the present study
employed an internet-based survey to investigate and compare
the phenomenon of reactivation, as well as other effects and
experiences of synthetic 5-MeO-DMT after vaporization and
IM. Specifically, the first objective of the present study was to
assess the prevalence of reactivations (i.e., “(flashbacks/re-
experiencing [parts of the experience]) following vaporization
and IM administration of 5-MeO-DMT in the natural envi-
ronment. The second objective was to examine whether there
were differences in the following characteristics of one’s syn-
thetic 5-MeO-DMT experience as a function of the route of
administration: redosing, time of onset of acute effects, release
of physical tension, satisfaction with life, and ratings of the
experience with the mystical and ego dissolution. We expected
as per anecdotal reports, that reactivations following the
vaporization route would occur more frequently than
following use of IM route of administration (5-Hive, 2018a,
2018b). Moreover, as IM is anecdotally known to induce a
similar 5-MeO-DMT experience to vaporization, but with a
slower onset and a more gradual return from the psychedelic experience (SHiVE, 2017; InnerExplorer, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c), we expected that ratings of satisfaction with life as well as the ratings of the experience with the mystical and ego dissolution would not differ between the two routes of administration.

**METHODS**

**Study procedure**

From November 2018 through June 2019 we posted written recruitment advertisements on different Facebook group pages related to 5-MeO-DMT, in addition to using snowball recruiting via messages and other websites. All recruitment advertisements contained information regarding the purpose of the study, the estimated amount of time required to complete the survey (approximately 10–15 min), and the anonymity of completing the survey. Upon clicking on any of our links to the survey in the advertisements, potential respondents were sent to the secure survey site (hosted by Qualtrics), where they viewed the informed consent document which repeated the purpose of the study and described eligibility criteria (being at least 18 years old, able to read and understand English, and having used synthetic 5-MeO-DMT at least once in their lifetime through either administration routes). No personal identifying information was collected in the survey.

A total of 50 participants began the survey. Of these, twenty-two participants were excluded because they did not complete the entire survey, and one participant was excluded due to reporting having used non-synthetic 5-MeO-DMT. Thus, the final sample was comprised of 27 participants, 14 participants in the IM group and 13 participants in the vaporization group. Participation was voluntary, and no incentives to participate were provided. All study procedures were approved by the Ethical Review Committee Psychology and Neuroscience (ERCPN), in Maastricht, the Netherlands.

**Measures**

**5-MeO-DMT survey.** We used a prior published 5-MeO-DMT survey (Davis et al., 2018), and former naturalistic observational research on the effects of psilocybin, ayahuasca and 5-MeO-DMT (Mason, Mischler, Uthaug, & Kuypers, 2019; Uthaug et al., 2018; Uthaug et al., 2019a, 2019b) as basis to inform the current survey. We began the survey by describing the two common types of administration routes of 5-MeO-DMT, namely: vaporization and IM. Then we asked the participants to write about their experience in a way that did not reveal their identity. Other questions asked about demographics (age, gender, origin, previous experience with psychedelics, and 5-MeO-DMT), redose, onset of experience, and finally release of physical tension during and after the session. Finally, to get a better understanding of the subjective experiences between the two administration routes, we encouraged those who had experience with both administration routes to write a comparison of the two.

**Reactivation.** The survey also included items that asked whether participants had had a reactivation which we defined as “(flashbacks/re-experiencing [parts of] the experience)”, and if so, when and during what activity did the reactivation occur.

**Subjective measures.** The survey also included three validated measures assessing the experience with ego dissolution, mystical experience, and satisfaction with life;

**Ego Dissolution Inventory (EDI).** EDI is an 8-item self-report scale that assesses the participant’s experience of ego dissolution, (Nour, Evans, Nutt, & Carhart-Harris, 2016). The participants answered the scale with making a mark on a line from either “No, not more than usually” (0%) to “Yes I experience this completely/entirely” (100%). The total EDI is scored by calculating the mean percentage of all the eight items, and ranges from 0 to 100%. The higher the total score, the stronger the experience of ego dissolution. The scale has demonstrated sensitivity in assessing the experience of ego dissolution following ingestion of ayahuasca (Uthaug et al., 2018), and 5-MeO-DMT (Uthaug et al., 2019a, 2019b) in a naturalistic setting. The internal consistency of the total scale in the current sample was good (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.87).

**Mystical Experience Questionnaire 30 items (MEQ-30).** MEQ is a 30 item self-report scale of mystical experience (Barrett, Johnson, & Griffiths, 2015; MacLean, Leoutsakos, Johnson, & Griffiths, 2012). The scale has four factors (mystical [which includes unitive experiences, noetic quality, and sacredness], positive mood, transcendence of time/space, and ineffability) described in (Barrett et al., 2015). Each item was rated on a six-point scale (0 = none, not at all; 1 = so slight cannot decide; 2 = slight; 3 = moderate; 4 = strong [equivalent in degree to any previous strong experience]; and 5 = extreme [more than ever before in my life and stronger than four]). Scores ranged from 0 to 100%. Higher scores indicate stronger mystical experiences. A “complete mystical experience” is counted when ≥60% of the max possible score is endorsed on all four MEQ subscales. The MEQ-30 has demonstrated sensitivity in assessing the effects of a range of psychedelic compounds, including LSD (Schmid & Liechti, 2018), MDMA (Lyvers & Meester, 2012), psilocybin (Barrett et al., 2015), ayahuasca (Schenberg, Tofoli, Rezinovsky, & Silveira, 2017), and 5-MeO-DMT (Barsuglia, Davis, & Palmer, 2017; Barsuglia et al., 2018; Davis et al., 2018). The internal consistency of the total scale in the current sample was excellent (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.96).

**Satisfaction with Life (SWL).** SWL is a 5-item self-report scale, assessing someone’s subjective satisfaction with life (Diener, Emmons Larsen, & Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener, 2009). The SWL has possible score-range of 5–35, with 5–9 indicating an extreme dissatisfaction with life, while scores between 31 and 35 indicating the respondent is extremely satisfied. The items are answered on a Likert-scale ranging from 1 “Strongly disagree” to 7 “Strongly agree”. The total
score is obtained by adding points on each item. The scale has demonstrated sensitivity to assess satisfaction with life in participants who consumed ayahuasca (Uthaug et al., 2018), psilocybin (Mason et al., 2019) and 5-MeO-DMT (Uthaug et al., 2019a, 2019b). The internal consistency of the total scale in the current sample was excellent (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.91).

Statistical analysis

First, frequency counts and a descriptive analysis were performed. Then, a Pearson’s Chi-Square and t-test analysis was conducted to assess the similarities and/or differences between the reported demographics and subjective experiences using either administration routes. The alpha level of significance was set at 0.05. Phi was calculated to estimate effect sizes of significant frequency differences in outcome measures between administration routes. The data was analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 24.0 (SPSS) (SPSS, 2016).

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

There were no significant group differences concerning their reported demographics (age, gender, origin, previous experience with psychedelics). Across both groups, the mean age of the 27 participants was 32 years of age (SE = 1.43). Out of those 18 were males, seven females. Furthermore, one participant preferred not to report their gender, and one participant reported that his/her gender was not listed. Most participants were from North America (N = 19), while the rest was from Europe (N = 7), and Australia (N = 1). Many participants had previous experience with psychedelics; i.e. psilocybin (N = 25), LSD (N = 22), ayahuasca (N = 16), mescaline (N = 16), DMT (N = 14), 2C-B (N = 14), ketamine (N = 12), others (N = 12), and iboga (N = 2), while all (N = 27) had previous experience with 5-MeO-DMT through either toad secretion from I. alvarius, synthetic- or plant form. While most participants (N = 7) in the IM group had experience with both administration routes, only one in the vaporization group had experience with IM administration, see Table 1 for their individual comparisons of each administration route.

Redosing

It should be noted that five participants from the vaporization group reported they were unsure or did not know the weight of the dose they were administered during their session. For an overview of dosing schedules as reported by the participants, see Table 2. Moreover, redosing occurred significantly more frequently in the vaporization group (eight out of 13 participants), who overall received from 1 to 6 doses ranging from 3 to 35 mg, compared to the participants in the IM group (two out of 14 participants) who received 1–5 doses ranging from 5 to 10 mg, (Pearson’s Chi-Square = 6.454, Phi = 0.489, P = 0.018), see Table 3.

Acute effects of 5-MeO-DMT

All participants in the IM group reported that they experienced a relief of physical tension (N = 14). This was significantly different from the vaporization group where only eight out of 13 reported a relief of physical tension, (Pearson’s Chi-Square = 6.608, Phi = 0.489, P = 0.016), see Table 3.

Table 1. Overview of subjective evaluation of administration routes of participants who experienced both IM and vaporization

| Vaporization (N = 1) | Intramuscular injection (N = 7) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| PPT                  | Did not experience any difference |
| 21                   |                                |
| 14                   | IM felt more relaxing and immersive. Vaporized felt more "powerful", quick, and disorienting. |
| 16                   | The 5-MeO-DMT experience IM felt like a vaporized experience in slow motion that is more gentle and richer in content. |
| 17                   | IM injection come on was very gradual in comparison the almost immediate blast off of vaporization. Experiences of fear, overwhelm, dread, and confusion were greatly reduced, allowing for enhanced presence during the experience. Preferred route of administration. |
| 18                   | Physical distress in IM injection was subtle, experience felt deeper and had time to appreciate the whole momentum. |
| 19                   | Vaporized was like jumping into the deep end of the mystical pool head first. IM was like slowly stepping into a pool down the steps. Vaporized I experienced completely ego-death and then a reconstitution. IM was an approach of ego-death, where I hovered at the precipice. Because it was so gradual there was no confusion. |
| 31                   | Physical distress in IM injection was subtle, experience felt deeper and had time to appreciate the whole momentum. |
| 49                   | Vaporization is a lot of a faster come up - which feels a bit scary and like a bit of a shock. Also, the time in the "space" is much shorter, and in an unconscious way - which doesn’t really give a lot of release of trauma, but feels more like an experience. IM injection on the other hand had a smoother come up which allowed me to comfortably enter the "space", have a longer time there while simultaneously stay connected to this world and my guide through talking about what was coming up for me and be guided and cheered for in my experience. |
Onset of effects of 5-MeO-DMT

The majority of the vaporization group reported that it took about 1–50 s (N = 11) for the acute effect to start after vaporizing the substance. This was significantly different from the time of onset of acute effects reported in the IM group where participants reported that it took 1–3 min (six out of 14 participants) and 4–6 min (six out of 14 participants) for the acute effects to start after IM administration (Pearson’s Chi-Square = 13.305, Phi = 0.703, P = 0.001), see Table 3.

Reactivation

Participants in the vaporization group reported significantly more frequent occurrences of reactivation (N = 9) following ingestion compared to participants in the intramuscular injection group where 3 out of 14 participants reported having a reactivation, (Pearson’s Chi-Square = 13.305, Phi = 0.703, P = 0.001), see Table 3. In the vaporization group 1 (7.7%) participant reported that reactivations occurred “during the day”, 1 (7.7%) “during the afternoon”, 2 (15.4%) “during the night”, 4 (30.8%) “other times”. Additionally, 1 (7.7%) participant reported that the reactivation occurred “during meditation”, 1 (7.7%) “when trying to sleep”, 1 (7.7%) “during sleep” and 5 (38.5%) participants reported “other activities”, see Table 3.

Concerning the IM group, 1 (7.1%) participant reported that reactivations occurred “during the morning”, and 2 (14%) “other times”. Furthermore, 3 (21.4%) reported “other activities”, see Table 4.

Subjective measures of (acute) effects of 5-MeO-DMT

It should be noted that there was no significant difference between the groups concerning the scores of SWL, as well as EDI, and MEQ.

Satisfaction with life. The mean (SE) ratings of the satisfaction with life scale, ranging from 0 to 35 was 25.35 (1.57) for the IM group, and 23.23 (2.34) for vaporization group.

EDI. The mean (SE) ratings of EDI, ranging from 0% to 100%, was 53.16% (6.68) for IM group, and 52.09% (7.94) for vaporization group.

MEQ-30. The mean (SE) ratings of the total score of the MEQ-30, ranging from 0% to 100%, was 65.47% (4.70) for the IM group, and 57.43% (6.95) for vaporization group. Moreover, the mean (SE) ratings of the different sub-scales of the MEQ-30 (i.e. transcendence, positive mood, ineffability, and mystical), also ranging from 0 to 100% was 41.90% (4.40), 74.28% (4.90), 43.57% (1.99), and 67.04%
DISCUSSION

This study sought to investigate the prevalence of reactivations (i.e., "(flashbacks/re-experiencing [parts of] the experience") following vaporization and IM administration of synthetic 5-MeO-DMT in a naturalistic environment. The second objective was to examine whether there were differences in the following characteristics of participants’ synthetic 5-MeO-DMT experience as a function of the route of administration: redosing, time of onset of acute effects, release of physical tension, satisfaction with life, and ratings of the experience with the mystical and ego dissolution.

This study yielded some important findings. Firstly, participants in the vaporization group reported significantly more occurrences of reactivation compared to participants in the IM group. As previously stated, reactivations are said to be analogous to LSD, but are different in the sense that they are not long-lasting, and mostly rated as a positive or neutral experience (Davis et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal, 2019). Although there is currently no scientific explanation as to why reactivations occur, nor why they are triggered, there are reasons to suspect that they are triggered by different activities of relaxation (Davis et al., 2018; Ortiz Bernal, 2019). Additionally, non-pharmacological factors such as expectation, preparation and intention (set) are known to shape the response to psychedelics (Hartogsohn, 2014; Hartogsohn, 2016; Hartogsohn, 2017). Specifically expectations are built from previous experience with the psychedelic substance, and on general knowledge of its effects on affect and well-being (Metzner, Litwin, & Weil, 1965; Haijen et al., 2018), and modeled through verbal suggestions and instructions (Bartels et al., 2014; Kirsch, 1985; Kirsch, 2004; Martin-Pichora, Mankovsky-Arnold, & Katz, 2011; Van Oorsouw & Merckelbach, 2007). With this in mind, and the fact that all participants had previous experience with 5-MeO-DMT, together with the many anecdotal reports available online of 5-MeO-DMT experiences, it is plausible

Table 3. An overview of the differences between the two administration routes with regard to redosing, time of onset, reactivation and relief of physical tension

|                           | Intramuscular injection (N = 14) | Vaporization (N = 13) | Pearson’s Chi-Square | P       | Phi    |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| **Redosing**              |                                  |                       |                      |         |        |
| Yes                       | 2                                | 8                     | 6.45                 | 0.018   | 0.489  |
| No                        | 12                               | 5                     |                      |         |        |
| **Total**                 | 14                               | 13                    |                      |         |        |
| **Time of onset**         |                                  |                       |                      |         |        |
| 1–50 s                    | 2                                | 11                    | 13.35                | 0.001   | 0.703  |
| 1–3 min                   | 6                                | 1                     |                      |         |        |
| 4–6 min                   | 6                                | 1                     |                      |         |        |
| **Total**                 | 14                               | 13                    |                      |         |        |
| **Reactivation**          |                                  |                       |                      |         |        |
| Yes                       | 3                                | 9                     | 6.23                 | 0.021   | 0.481  |
| No                        | 11                               | 4                     |                      |         |        |
| **Total**                 | 14                               | 13                    |                      |         |        |
| **Relief of physical tension** |                                  |                       |                      |         |        |
| Yes                       | 14                               | 8                     | 6.60                 | 0.016   | 0.495  |
| No                        | –                                | 5                     |                      |         |        |
| **Total**                 | 14                               | 13                    |                      |         |        |

Table 4. An overview of when and during what activity the reactivation occurred, from each group

|                            | N (%)           | Activity                        | N (%)           |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Vaporization (N = 13)** |                 |                                 |                 |
| During the morning        | –               | During meditation               | 1 (7.7%)        |
| During the day            | 1 (7.7%)        | When trying to sleep            | 1 (7.7%)        |
| During the afternoon      | 1 (7.7%)        | During sleep                    | 1 (7.7%)        |
| During the night          | 2 (15.4%)       | Other activity                  | 5 (38.5%)       |
| Other times               | 4 (30.8%)       |                                 |                 |
| **Intramuscular injection (N = 14)** |             |                                 |                 |
| During the morning        | 1 (7.1%)        | During meditation               | –               |
| During the day            | –               | When trying to sleep            | –               |
| During the afternoon      | –               | During sleep                    | –               |
| During the night          | –               | Other activity                  | 3 (21.4%)       |
| Other times               | 2 (14%)         |                                 |                 |

(6.16) for the IM group, and 50.25% (4.42), 59.23% (7.61), 35.64% (3.81) and 56.82% (9.25) for vaporization group.
that participants from either group formed different expectations of the reactivation phenomenon based on anecdotal reports online (5-Hive, 2017; InnerExplorer, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; 5-Hive, 2018a, 2018b). All in all, the present findings demonstrate that reactivations occur more frequently following use of 5-MeO-DMT through means of vaporization.

Secondly, relief of physical tension occurred significantly more often in the IM group (14/14 participants) relative to the vaporization group (8/13 participants). A possible explanation for this is the context in which the 5-MeO-DMT was taken (Hartogsohn, 2016; Carhart-Harris et al., 2018). One can speculate whether the facilitator who guided the session was doing so in a way that allowed for, and encouraged the participant to connect with their body, and so too their emotions. Perhaps, some of the facilitators have focused more on the physical experience of 5-MeO-DMT whereas other may have focussed on the mental experience. Unfortunately, we do not know the settings in which both formulations of 5-MeO-DMT were taken or whether and how these may differ between both formulations, if at all. It follows that further research of the specific setting around naturalistic use of 5-MeO-DMT, in addition to instructions and guidelines from the facilitator is warranted. Another possibility is that relief of physical tension is simply related to the route of administration. IM administration may evoke more muscle activation than vaporization, which could produce a larger relief of physical tension when the drug is cleared from the body (Legrand et al., 2019). Either way, relief of physical tension following 5-MeO-DMT through IM can be relevant to further investigate. Especially as Somatic Experiencing (SE), an experimental therapy approach developed by Dr. Peter Levine (2012), aimed at focusing on the client’s perceived body sensations to bring about resolution of symptoms of mood related disorders is gaining scientific attention, and shows to positively impact people with mood disorders, especially Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (Andersen, Lahav, Elleegaard, & Manniche, et al., 2017; Brom et al., 2017; Gupta, 2013; Heller & Heller, 2004; Levine, 1976; Levine & Frederick, 1997; Levine, 2010; Parker, Doctor, & Selvam, 2008; Payne, Levine, & Crane-Godreau, 2015; Whitehouse & Heller, 2008; Winblad, Changarlis, & Stein, 2018). Notably, SE is also an essential part of the current protocol of MDMA in treatment for PTSD run by the The Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies (MAPS) (Mithoefer, Desigeene, Dublin, & Emerson, 2008). Taken together, the result of the present study suggests that release of physical tension felt therapeutic (analogous to somatic release) occurred more frequently following IM compared to vaporization.

The reported time of onset of the acute effects was significantly slower in the IM group (i.e., 1–3 and 4–6 min) compared to the vaporization group (1–50 s). This is consistent with previous research, demonstrating that onset of effects depend on administration route of 5-MeO-DMT, and occur more rapidly following vaporization (Shen et al., 2010; Weil & Davis, 1994). Generally, the present study demonstrates that participants who had 5-MeO-DMT through IM administration experienced that the time of onset of effects was much slower than those in the vaporization group.

The present findings indicate that dose-ranges were larger in the group that used 5-MeO-DMT through vaporization (3–35 mg), compared to the IM group (5–10 mg), and that redosing occurred significantly more frequently in people that vaporized 5-MeO-DMT (N = 8) than in people that used 5-MeO-DMT through IM administration (N = 2). A possible explanation for this may be related to the fact that the vaporization route of administration engenders an experience that lasts, on the average, 15–20 min (Weil & Davis, 1994), whereas the IM produces an experience that can extend well past 40–60 min (5-Hive, 2017; InnerExplorer, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). One could speculate that individuals who are having the extended experience afforded by IM may feel a stronger sense of completion, or therapeutic closure at the end of the session that leaves them feeling a re-dose is not necessary, whereas those partaking of the substance via the vaporization route are left feeling their process is inconclusive, leading them to re-dose more often. However, this warrants further research. In sum, these results demonstrate that doses are larger, and that redosing occurs more often when people vaporize 5-MeO-DMT, compared to those who received 5-MeO-DMT through IM administration.

There was no significant difference between the ratings of the psychedelic experience (as assessed by EDI and MEQ-30) or satisfaction with life in retrospect of the experience between the two groups. As per previous research, 5-MeO-DMT through either administration route generated an experience of ego dissolution, mystical experience and satisfaction with life like that of previous (naturalistic) research (Barsuglia et al., 2017; Davis et al., 2018; Uthaug et al., 2019a, 2019b). Specifically, EDI scores as well as total of MEQ-30 for both groups was moderately high, and in line with previous research assessing the psychedelic experience following use of ayahuasca (Uthaug et al., 2018) and 5-MeO-DMT (Barsuglia et al., 2017; Barsuglia et al., 2018), and has been shown to be an important factor for therapeutic effect (Roseman, Nutt, & Carhart-Harris, 2018). As previously stated, both groups reported similar ratings of satisfaction with life (as assessed by SWL). The findings of average to high scores of SWL are consistent with previous studies reporting psychological changes after psychedelic use (Garcia-Romeu, Griffiths, & Johnson, 2014; Lawn et al., 2017; Mason et al., 2019; Uthaug et al., 2018; Uthaug et al., 2019a, 2019b). Taken together, the present findings suggest that 5-MeO-DMT, through either administration route, evoked similar ratings of experiences of ego dissolution and mystical experiences as well scores of satisfaction with life.

This study is not without limitations. Limitations include the lack of a placebo-control, and control for non-pharmacological factors such as for example ‘set’ and ‘setting’. Furthermore, the respondents may have been inclined to report positive associations due to having a favorable view on the substance which in turn could have biased the results. The use of self-report measures is another limitation as
participants are subject to retrospective recall bias (Coughlin, 1990). Moreover, there is no assurance that 5-MeO-DMT was consumed by the participants as no samples were collected for confirmation. Finally, this substance is illegal in most countries, thus participants who chose to fill out the online survey may not be representative of the overall group of users.

Though the findings of the present study are not conclusive, they add to the current clinical considerations of 5-MeO-DMT through IM by Sherwood et al., (2019). In sum, the present findings suggest there are no significant differences in subjective experiences of ego dissolution, mystical experience and satisfaction with life between groups that used 5-MeO-DMT through vaporization or IM. However, it was found that IM may not require a high dose, or many re-doses, compared to vaporization. Additionally, IM evoked the phenomenon of reactivals less frequently, had a slower onset of acute effects, and had a strong potential to bring about release of physical tension (analogous to somatic release). Further research is warranted on the therapeutic effects of 5-MeO-DMT, especially through IM administration, as well as the topic of reactivation and SE.

REFERENCES

5-Hive. (2017). So you want to intramuscularly administer 5-MeO-DMT HCl (or other salt). Retrieved from 15.11.2019, https://forums.5meodmt.org/index.php?topic=50533.0.

5-Hive. (2018a). Integration. from https://forums.5meodmt.org/index.php?topic=50714.msg5384.

5-Hive. (2018b). Post-experience struggles/issues. from https://forums.5meodmt.org/index.php?topic=50754.0.

Abraham, H. D. (1983). Visual phenomenology of the LSD flashback. Archives of General Psychiatry, 40(8), 884–889.

Andersen, T. E., Lahav, Y., Ellegaard, H., & Manniche, C. (2017). A randomized controlled trial of brief somatic experiencing for chronic low back pain and comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 8(1), 1331108.

Annie Mithoefer, B., Jerome, L., Ruse, J., Doblin, R., Gibson, E., & Marcela Ot’alora, G. (2013). A manual for MDMA-assisted psychotherapy in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. Barrett, F. S., Johnson, M. W., & Griffiths, R. R. (2015). Validation of the revised mystical experience questionnaire in experimental sessions with psilocybin. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 29(11), 1182–1190.

Barsuglia, J., Davis, A., & Palmer, R. (2017). Characterization of mystical experiences occasioned by 5-MeO-DMT-containing toad bufotoxin and comparison with prior psilocybin studies. PsycHedelic Science, 23.

Barsuglia, J. P., Davis, A. K., Palmer, R., Lancelotta, R., Windham-Herman, A. M., Peterson, K., et al. (2018). Intensity of mystical experiences occasioned by 5-MeO-DMT and comparison with a prior psilocybin study. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 2459.

Bartels, D. J., van Laarhoven, A. L., Haverkamp, E. A., Wilder-Smith, O. H., Donders, A. R. T., van Middendorp, H., et al. (2014). Role of conditioning and verbal suggestion in placebo and nocebo effects on itch. PloS One, 9(3), e91727.

Brom, D., Stokar, Y., Lawi, C., Nuriel-Porat, V., Ziv, Y., Lerner, K., et al. (2017). Somatic experiencing for posttraumatic stress disorder: A randomized controlled outcome study. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 30(3), 304–312.

Carhart-Harris, R. L., Roseman, L., Hajen, E., Erritzoe, D., Watts, R., Branchi, I., et al. (2018). Psychedelics and the essential importance of context. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 32(7), 725–731.

Coughlin, S. S. (1990). Recall bias in epidemiologic studies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 43(1), 87–91.

Davis, A. K., Barsuglia, J. P., Lancelotta, R., Grant, R. M., & Renn, E. (2018). The epidemiology of 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT) use: Benefits, consequences, patterns of use, subjective effects, and reasons for consumption. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 32(7), 779–792.

Davis, A. K., So, S., Lancelotta, R., Barsuglia, J. P., & Griffiths, R. R. (2019). 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT) used in a naturalistic group setting is associated with unintended improvements in depression and anxiety. The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 45(2), 161–169.

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. Journal of Personality Assessment, 49(1), 71–75.

Garcia-Romeu, A., Griffiths, R. R., & Johnson, M. W. (2014). Psilocybin-occasioned mystical experiences in the treatment of tobacco addiction. Current Drug Abuse Reviews, 7(3), 157–164.

Gupta, M. A. (2013). Review of somatic symptoms in post-traumatic stress disorder. International Review of Psychiatry, 25(1), 86–99.

Hajen, E. C., Kaelen, M., Roseman, L., Timmermann, C., Kettner, H., Russ, S., et al. (2018). Predicting responses to psychedelics: A prospective study. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 9, 897.

Hartogsohn, I. (2014). The psycho-social construction of LSD: How set and setting shaped the American psychedelic experience 1950–1970. Bar Ilan University.

Hartogsohn, I. (2016). Set and setting, psychedelics and the placebo response: An extra-pharmacological perspective on psychopharmacology. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 30(12), 1259–1267.

Hartogsohn, I. (2017). Constructing drug effects: A history of set and setting. Drug Science, Policy and Law, 3, 2050324516683252.

Heaton, R. K. (1975). Subject expectancy and environmental factors as determinants of psychedelic flashback experiences. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 161(3), 157–165.

Heaton, R. K., & Victor, R. G. (1976). Personality characteristics associated with psychedelic flashbacks in natural and experimental settings. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 85(1), 83.

Heller, D. P., & Heller, L. (2004). Somatic experiencing in the treatment of automobile accident trauma. US Association for Body Psycho-Therapy Journal, 3(2), 42–52.

Hoshino, T., & Shimodaira, K. (1936). Über die synthese des bufotenin-methyl-äthers (5-methoxy-n-dimethyl-tryptamin) und bufotenins (synthesen in der indol-gruppe. XV). Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 11(3), 221–224.

InnerExplorer. (2017a). Defining intramuscular dosage range: An experience with 5-MeO-DMT (exp109250). Retrieved from 15.11.2019, https://erowid.org/experiences/exp.php?ID=109250.
InnerExplorer. (2017b). The drop rejoining the ocean: An experience with 5-MeO-DMT (exp109223). Retrieved from 15.11.2019, https://erowid.org/experiences/exp.php?ID=109223.

InnerExplorer. (2017c). Intramuscular dosage range follow-up: An experience with 5-MeO-DMT (exp109810). Retrieved from 15.11.2019, https://erowid.org/experiences/exp.php?ID=109810.

Kirsch, I. (1985). Response expectancy as a determinant of experience and behavior. American Psychologist, 40(11), 1189.

Kirsch, I. (2004). Conditioning, expectancy, and the placebo effect: Comment on Stewart-Williams and Podd (2004).

Lawn, W., Hallak, J. E., Crippa, J. A., Dos Santos, R., Porfyy, L., Barratt, M. J., et al. (2017). Well-being, problematic alcohol consumption and acute subjective drug effects in past-year ayahuasca users: A large, international, self-selecting online survey. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 15201.

Legrand, G., Guiquet-Auclair, C., Viennet, H., Aumeran, C., Reynaud, D., Badrikian, L., et al. (2019). Nurses’ practices in the preparation and administration of intramuscular injections in mental health: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 28(17–18).

Levine, P. (2012). Somatic experiencing.

Levine, P. A. (1976). Accumulated stress, reserve capacity, and disease. Berkeley: University of California.

Levine, P. A. (2010). In an unspoken voice: How the body releases trauma and restores goodness. North Atlantic Books.

Levine, P. A., & Frederick, A. (1997). Waking the tiger: Healing trauma: The innate capacity to transform overwhelming experience. North Atlantic Books.

Lyvers, M., & Meester, M. (2012). Illicit use of LSD or psilocybin, but not MDMA or nonpsychedelic drugs, is associated with mystical experiences in a dose-dependent manner. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 44(5), 410–417.

MacLean, K. A., Leoutsakos, J. M. S., Johnson, M. W., & Griffiths, R. R. (2012). Factor analysis of the mystical experience questionnaire: A study of experiences occasioned by the hallucinogen psilocybin. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 51(4), 721–737.

Martin-Pichora, A. L., Mankovsky-Arnold, T. D., & Katz, J. (2011). Implicit versus explicit associative learning and experimentally induced placebo hypoalgesia. Journal of Pain Research, 4, 67.

Mason, N. L., Mischler, E., Uthaug, M. V., & Kuypers, K. P. (2019). Sub-acute effects of psilocybin on empathy, creative thinking, and subjective well-being. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 51(2), 123–134.

Matefy, R. E., Hayes, C., & Hirsch, J. (1978). Psychedelic drug flashbacks: Subjective reports and biographical data. Addictive Behaviors, 3(3–4), 165–178.

Matefy, R. E., & Krall, R. G. (1974). An initial investigation of the psychedelic drug flashback phenomena. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 42(6), 854.

Metzner, R., Litwin, G., & Weil, G. (1965). The relation of expectation and mood to psilocybin reactions: A questionnaire study. Psychedelic Review, 1, 3–39.

Mittheier, M. C., Designee, S., Dobrin, R., & Emerson, A. (2008). A manual for MDMA-assisted psychotherapy in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder.

Naditch, M. P., & Fenwick, S. (1977). LSD flashbacks and ego functioning. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 86(4), 352.

Nour, M. M., Evans, L., Nunn, D., & Carhart-Harris, R. L. (2016). Ego-dissolution and psychedelics: Validation of the ego-dissolution inventory (EDI). Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 10, 269.

Oroc, J. (2009). Tryptamine Palace: 5-MeO-DMT and the Sonoran desert toad. Simon and Schuster.

Ortiz Bernal, A. M. (2019). Reactivations after 5-MeO-DMT use in naturalistic settings: An initial exploratory analysis of the phenomenon’s predictors and its emotional valence.

Pachter, I. J., Zacharias, D. E., & Ribeiro, O. (1959). Indole alkaloids of Acer saccharinum (the Silver Maple). Dicytolyoma inca-necesss, Piptadenia colubrina, and Mimosa hostilis. The Journal of Organic Chemistry, 24(9), 1285–1287.

Parker, C., Doctor, R. M., & Selvam, R. (2008). Somatic therapy treatment effects with tsunami survivors. Traumatology, 14(3), 103–109.

Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (2009). Review of the satisfaction with life scale. Assessing well-being (pp. 101–117). Springer.

Payne, P., Levine, P. A., & Crane-Godreau, M. A. (2015). Somatic experiencing: Using interoception and proprioception as core elements of trauma therapy. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 93.

Roseman, L., Nutt, D. J., & Carhart-Harris, R. L. (2018). Quality of acute psychedelic experience predicts therapeutic efficacy of psilocybin for treatment-resistant depression. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 8(974).

Schenberg, E. E., Tofoli, L. F., Rezinovsky, D., & Silveira, D. X. D. (2017). Translation and cultural adaptation of the states of consciousness questionnaire (SOCQ) and statistical validation of the mystical experience questionnaire (MEQ30) in Brazilian Portuguese. Archives of Clinical Psychiatry (São Paulo), 44(1), 1–5.

Schmid, Y., & Liechti, M. E. (2018). Long-lasting subjective effects of LSD in normal subjects. Psychopharmacology, 235(2), 535–545.

Shen, H.-W., Jiang, X.-L., Winter, J. C., & Yu, A.-M. (2010). Psychedelic 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine: metabolism, pharmacokinetics, drug interactions, and pharmacological actions. Current Drug Metabolism, 11(8), 659–666.

Sherwood, A., Kylo, K., Kargbo, R. B., Davis, A. K., Lancelotta, R., Uthaug, M. V., et al. (2019). Clinical consideration of 5-meodmt. Madison, Wisconsin: International Forum on Consciousness.

SPSS, I. (2016). IBM SPSS statistics for windows. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

Szabo, A. (2015). Psychedelics and immunomodulation: Novel approaches and therapeutic opportunities. Frontiers in Immunology, 6, 358.

Uthaug, M., Lancelotta, R., Szabo, A., Riba, J., & Ramaekers, J. (2019a). Prospective examination of synthetic 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine inhalation: Effects on salivary IL-6, cortisol levels, affect, and non-judgement. Psychopharmacology, 1–13.

Uthaug, M., Lancelotta, R., Van Oorsouw, K., Kuypers, K., Mason, N., Rak, J., et al. (2019b). A single inhalation of vapor from 5-MeO-DMT (exp109223) in a naturalistic setting is related to sustained enhancement of satisfaction with life, mindfulness-related capacities, and a decrement of psychopathological symptoms. Psychopharmacology, 1–14.

Uthaug, M., Van Oorsouw, K., Kuypers, K., Van Boxtel, M., Broers, N., Mason, N., et al. (2018). Sub-acute and long-term effects of...
ayahuasca on affect and cognitive thinking style and their association with ego dissolution. *Psychopharmacology, 235*(10), 2979–2989.

Van Oorsouw, K., & Merckelbach, H. (2007). Expectancies and memory for an emotional film fragment: A placebo study. *The American Journal of Psychology, 287–301.*

Weil, A. T., & Davis, W. (1994). Bufo alvarius: A potent hallucinogen of animal origin. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 41*(1–2), 1–8.

Whitehouse, B., & Heller, D. P. (2008). Heart rate in trauma: Patterns found in somatic experiencing and trauma resolution. *Biofeedback, 36*(1).

Winblad, N. E., Changaris, M., & Stein, P. K. (2018). Effect of somatic experiencing resiliency-based trauma treatment training on quality of life and psychological health as potential markers of resilience in treating professionals. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 12*(70).