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ABSTRACT
Layered materials enable the assembly of a new class of heterostructures where lattice-matching is no longer a requirement. Interfaces in these heterostructures therefore become a fertile ground for unexplored physics as dissimilar phenomena can be coupled via proximity effects. In this article, we identify an unexpected photoluminescence (PL) peak when MoSe$_2$ interacts with TiSe$_2$. A series of temperature-dependent and spatially resolved PL measurements reveal that this peak is unique to the TiSe$_2$–MoSe$_2$ interface, is higher in energy compared to the neutral exciton, and exhibits exciton-like characteristics. The feature disappears at the TiSe$_2$ charge density wave transition, suggesting that the density wave plays an important role in the formation of this new exciton. We present several plausible scenarios regarding the origin of this peak that individually capture some aspects of our observations but cannot fully explain this feature. These results therefore represent a fresh challenge for the theoretical community and provide a fascinating way to engineer excitons through interactions with charge density waves.

I. INTRODUCTION
Two-dimensional (2D) material interfaces in van der Waals (vdW) heterostructures provide a fascinating playground to explore proximity effects.1 The relaxation of lattice constraints on heterostructure assembly allows for the arbitrary stacking of 2D materials.2 These interfaces may, in some cases, support emergent states absent from the parent compounds, with superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene and moiré excitons in transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) serving as remarkable examples.3–6 Many studies of vdW heterostructures incorporate semiconducting TMDs as an active component. This commonality is due to the availability of high-quality samples, well-established exfoliation procedures,7,8 and the existence of tightly bound 2D excitons.9

The zoology of excitons in monolayer (ML) semiconductors is vast: Neutral (X$^0$) and charged excitons or trions (X$^-$ or X$^+$),9–12 neutral and charged biexcitons,13–16 and dark exciton states17–20 have all been observed and exhaustively studied in semiconducting TMDs. The 2D nature of TMD excitons also renders them highly sensitive to the local dielectric environment,11–12 allowing for a remote, contact-free probe of interface characteristics in vdW
heterostructures. For instance, semiconductor hetero-bilayer and homo-bilayer heterostructures exhibit new photoluminescence (PL) emission peaks from interlayer excitons and splitting of exciton peaks due to the moiré potential. Proximity effects between 2D magnets and semiconductors lead to large valley splittings, and magnetic manipulation of exciton PL energy, intensity, and selection rules. Exotic correlated insulating states such as Wigner crystals and Mott insulators in twisted TMD semiconductor heterostructures are also observable in PL spectra. However, there have been no studies exploring the impact of similar electron correlated phases such as charge density waves (CDWs) on PL in vdW heterostructures.

Here, we investigate optical signatures of interlayer coupling between the semiconductor MoSe$_2$ and the putative excitonic insulator 1T-TiSe$_2$, which hosts a commensurate $2 \times 2 \times 2$ CDW state below 200 K. We find that the CDW alters the manifold of optically active excitons at the TiSe$_2$–MoSe$_2$ interface, which results in a new PL peak above $X^0$. This feature, referred to as H1, appears in the MoSe$_2$ PL spectrum with a linewidth comparable to $X^0$. While lower-energy PL sidebands are relatively common in TMDs due to phonon replicas and exciton localization, these observations are the first such detection of a higher-energy PL sideband. Detailed temperature-dependent, power-dependent, and spatially resolved PL measurements on multiple heterostructures demonstrate that H1 has an origin consistent with a native exciton state rather than a localized exciton or defect state. However, H1 disappears at the TiSe$_2$ CDW temperature, which suggests that these two phenomena are closely linked. We have identified multiple plausible scenarios and discuss them in detail, although none are able to explain all aspects of our observations. Interactions between excitons and CDWs provide a fresh challenge to the theoretical community and a novel method for engineering excitons in 2D materials.

II. RESULTS

A. Optical characterization of vdW heterostructures

The optical microscope images of two TiSe$_2$–MoSe$_2$ vdW heterostructures termed sample 1 and sample 2, respectively, are presented in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). These samples are assembled by a modified viscoelastic method that incorporates atomic force microscope (AFM) cleaning. The black dashed line outlines the ML–MoSe$_2$ layer in each sample. Representative low-temperature (5 K) PL spectra taken on (black) and off (red) the interface are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d) for the two samples. Emission from the MoSe$_2$ $X^-$ ($\approx 1.62$ eV) and $X^0$ ($\approx 1.65$ eV) states agrees with prior observations in both energy and linewidth. The interface PL
spectra contain a previously unobserved feature, referred to as H1, at ≈1.68 eV. H1 is comparable to \( X^0 \) in both intensity and linewidth for sample 1, while being weaker and broader in sample 2.

The 5 K PL spectra also show the evidence of an anticorrelation between H1 and \( X^- \). Spatially resolved PL maps of the interface allow us to explore this behavior further and connect it to interface quality by examining the integrated intensity ratios \( X^-/X^0 \) [Figs. 1(e) and 1(f)] and \( H1/X^0 \) [Figs. 1(g) and 1(h)]. H1 and \( X^0 + X^- \) integrated intensities are plotted separately in Fig. S1 of the supplementary material. For sample 1, the ratio of \( X^-/X^0 \) [Fig. 1(e)] varies between 1 and 2 over most of the MoSe\(_2\) flake with a notable jump at the crack on the bottom left quadrant of the map. On the heterostructure itself, this ratio plummets to well below 1, indicating the absence of free charges that can participate in trion formation. The connection of the \( X^-/X^0 \) ratio to charge transfer is well established in numerous reports\(^{11-13,54}\) and, since the transfer efficiency is exponentially dependent on distance, can be used as a proxy for interlayer spacing. MoSe\(_2\) tends to be \( n^-\) type as-exfoliated, and TiSe\(_2\) band alignment suggests that it will act as an electron acceptor.\(^{55}\) Therefore, the near absence of \( X^- \) emission on the sample 1 overlap region suggests good coupling between the TiSe\(_2\)–MoSe\(_2\) flakes. For sample 2, the \( X^-/X^0 \) ratio [Fig. 1(f)] is larger on the MoSe\(_2\) flake, varying between 3 and 6, which may originate from unintentional doping during the heterostructure fabrication process. On the TiSe\(_2\)–MoSe\(_2\) overlap, we observe a reduction in the \( X^- \) intensity co-localized with H1 but smaller than in sample 1. From this, we suggest that interlayer coupling is weaker in sample 2, which could be due to contaminants or partial oxidation of the TiSe\(_2\) flake. The remaining analyses will therefore focus on sample 1, unless otherwise noted.

B. Temperature and power dependence of H1

In this section, we discuss temperature- and power-dependent PL measurements on and off the TiSe\(_2\)–MoSe\(_2\) interface. Figure 2(a) shows a temperature-dependent PL intensity map from 5 to 265 K taken on the interface in sample 1. A similar dataset for sample 2 is included in the supplementary material (Fig. S2). Here, the 5 K PL emission spectrum is the same as in Fig. 1(c) with prominent, sharp emission from \( X^0 \) and H1, heavily reduced \( X^- \) emission, and a broad feature originating from defects. With increasing temperature, PL from defect excitons and \( X^- \) decreases and becomes unobservable.
for $T > 70 \text{ K}$ in agreement with prior studies.\textsuperscript{56-59} Both $X^0$ and $H1$ are visible at elevated temperatures but are difficult to distinguish above $\approx 190 \text{ K}$. We obtain a better understanding through the analysis of the PL line shape for ML-MoSe$_2$ and TiSe$_2$-MoSe$_2$. Figure 2(b) compares PL spectra at selected temperatures taken on (red curve) and off (black curve) the TiSe$_2$-MoSe$_2$ interface. The presence of the TiSe$_2$ capping layer causes $X^0$ to blueshift due to the different dielectric constant.\textsuperscript{60} To facilitate comparison, we eliminate this shift by adjusting the energy axis of the TiSe$_2$-MoSe$_2$ spectrum so that the $X^0$ PL peaks overlap. The energy shift amounts to $\sim 1–3 \text{ meV}$ across the entire temperature range. $H1$ is visible as a weak shoulder of $X^0$ between 190 and 220 K. Above these temperatures, the PL line shape on and off the interface is identical, indicating that the driving mechanism behind $H1$ has dissipated. We also fit each PL spectrum to a sum of Lorentzian functions and extract the temperature-dependent peak parameters for $H1$, $X^0$, and $X^\text{‘}$. The energy splitting, $H1$–$X^0$, is shown in Fig. 2(c) over two cooling and warming runs that extend up to 200 K. In both cases, the energy separation between $H1$ and $X^0$ decreases with temperature until 200 K after which it is difficult to obtain a reliable fit.

The temperature window of 190–220 K is apparently crucial to $H1$ and is known to be important for TiSe$_2$. Bulk 1T-TiSe$_2$ undergoes a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ commensurate-CDW transition in the range of $T_{\text{CDW}} \approx 200–210 \text{ K}$, as observed in a variety of optical and electronic measurements.\textsuperscript{39,60-62} The CDW transition opens a bandgap at the TiSe$_2$ M point in the Brillouin zone (BZ) with an associated order parameter well described by the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) model.\textsuperscript{62} The observed correlation of $H1$ with $T_{\text{CDW}}$ suggests a close relationship between $H1$ in MoSe$_2$ and the CDW in TiSe$_2$.

Power-dependent PL measurements provide further insight into the nature of $H1$, as presented for sample 1 in Fig. 2(d) and for sample 2 in the supplementary material (Fig. S2). The PL intensity generally scales with power as $I_{\text{PL}} \propto P^\alpha$, where $I_{\text{PL}}$ is the integrated PL intensity and $P$ is the excitation power. The exponent $\alpha \approx 1$ for free excitons and $\approx 1.5$ for multie excitons.\textsuperscript{16} Localized exciton states exhibit a more complicated behavior. At low powers, $\alpha \approx 1$ but then becomes sublinear as the localized states are saturated.\textsuperscript{16} These behaviors are observed in Fig. 2(d) where we plot the natural logarithms of $I_{\text{PL}}$ and $P$. The linear fits to these data allow for the extraction of $\alpha$. We find that both $X^0$ and $H1$ have an $\alpha \approx 1$, with the value for $H1$ being somewhat lower, suggesting free exciton characteristics. As expected, the defect band first exhibits $\alpha \approx 1$ at low powers and then shows signs of saturation with $\alpha \approx 0.7$. While $\alpha$ for $H1$ is lower than would be expected for a free exciton, the absence of saturation is more consistent with this interpretation.

C. CDW phase at the TiSe$_2$-MoSe$_2$ interface

Raman spectroscopy can probe the square of the CDW order parameter directly since the intensity of the symmetry-forbidden modes appears in the second order of the ionic displacements of the high-symmetry positions. Raman measurements performed at the TiSe$_2$-MoSe$_2$ interface in the range of 5–265 K are shown in Fig. 3(a). The 5 K Raman spectra show the CDW modes at $E_g^{\text{CDW}}$ (70 cm$^{-1}$) and $A_g^{\text{CDW}}$ (108 cm$^{-1}$), as well as normal TiSe$_2$ lattice modes at 132 and 200 cm$^{-1}$ of $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ symmetry, respectively.\textsuperscript{63,65} The MoSe$_2$ $A_{1g}$ mode is also visible at 245 cm$^{-1}$ and is related to out-of-plane vibrations.\textsuperscript{63} Figure 3(b) plots the temperature-dependent shifts of all TiSe$_2$ modes relative to their frequency at 5 K. The 135 cm$^{-1}$ mode is largely unaffected by temperature changes, blueshifting slightly as temperature is lowered and then stabilizing. The 200 cm$^{-1}$ mode is insensitive to the CDW transition, and its anharmonicity can be understood by a combination of optical phonon decay and temperature-dependent changes in the lattice constants.\textsuperscript{65} This is described by the following equation [solid black line in Fig. 3(b)]:

$$\Delta(\omega(0), T) = \omega_0 + A\left(1 + \frac{2}{e^{\frac{T}{T_0}}-1}\right).$$

Here, $x = \hbar \omega_0 / 2 k_B T$, $\omega_0$ is the 0 K harmonic frequency, and $A$ represents the anharmonic contributions to the frequency of the 200 cm$^{-1}$ optical mode as it decays into two acoustic phonons. The obtained value for $A \approx -1.85 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is within the ballpark of similar phonon anharmonicity studies done on TMDs.\textsuperscript{65,66}

The CDW modes at 70 and 109 cm$^{-1}$ redshift and broaden with increasing temperature. These modes are unresolved above $T = 100 \text{ K}$, which is well below $T_{\text{CDW}}$. This behavior is commonly attributed to quantum fluctuations of the density wave.\textsuperscript{69} Integrating the Raman intensity over the spectral range encompassing the CDW modes allows us to monitor the CDW up to the transition temperature [Fig. 3(c)]. Thermal melting of the CDW is expected to
follow a temperature dependence consistent with the BCS treatment where the order parameter \( \Delta(T) \) can be given as \(^9\)

\[
\frac{\Delta^2(T)}{\Delta^2(0)} = \tanh^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{BCS}}}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_{\text{CDW}}}} \right),
\]

(2)

where \( \alpha_{\text{BCS}} \) is a constant determined by BCS theory but in practice can vary between materials. This model fits the integrated intensity data well up to \( T_{\text{CDW}} \), as shown in Fig. 3(c).

III. DISCUSSION

Investigations into the properties of 2D excitons in TMDs have covered remarkable ground over the past decade.\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^10\),\(^71\)–\(^73\) Despite these remarkably comprehensive studies, no observations of vdW heterostructures have shown PL satellites above \( X^0 \). Therefore, we explore here three possible mechanisms that could be responsible for H1.

Before proceeding with the analysis of potential microscopic interpretations of the new peak, we summarize key experimental observations. First, H1 lies 25–32 meV above \( X^0 \) with an intensity that differs between samples (Fig. 1). Second, within a single sample, the relative intensity of H1 to \( X^0 \) is temperature independent [Fig. 4(a)]. Third, the energy difference between H1 and \( X^0 \) follows the CDW order parameter \( \Delta^2 \). We illustrate this in Fig. 4(c) by overlaying neutron scattering data from Ref. 61, the energy separation H1–\( X^0 \), and the integrated intensity of the TiSe\(_2\)-CDW Raman features. Fourth, the intensity ratio H1/\( X^0 \) decreases with increasing laser power [Fig. 4(b)]. Finally, H1 and \( X^- \) are spatially anti-correlated (Fig. 1).

A. Mechanism I: Activation of forbidden/dark excitons

Semiconducting TMDs host numerous dark exciton states where optical recombination is forbidden by momentum conservation or symmetry. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations of MoSe\(_2\) suggest that a finite-momentum dark exciton lies 30 meV above \( X^0 \).\(^74\) This indirect exciton is formed from an electron residing at the \( Q \) \((Q')\) valley and a hole at the \( K \) \((K')\) valley [Fig. 4(e)]. The indirect exciton has not been observed experimentally in PL, but resonant Raman measurements offer some evidence for dark excitons above \( X^0 \).\(^75\) While the energy of this exciton matches the energy of H1, a viable mechanism is required to provide the missing momentum needed for optical recombination of this dark state. Mechanism I explores how the interface between TiSe\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\)
could potentially activate dark states by introducing a new spatial periodicity that violates quasimomentum conservation.

MoSe₂ and TiSe₂ form an incommensurate superstructure for most stacking configurations, which, formally, implies a full relaxation of quasimomentum conservation. In order to illustrate this, consider the MoSe₂ Bloch wave functions at the TiSe₂–MoSe₂ overlap. We assume that there is no hybridization between MoSe₂ and TiSe₂ wave functions, which is reasonable due to their large spatial separation. The quasimomentum can then be defined by the MoSe₂ lattice alone, and the Bloch functions are $ψ_κ(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_\mathbf{g} \mathbf{a}_β \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{r})]$, where $\mathbf{g}$ is a MoSe₂ reciprocal lattice (r.l) vector and $\mathbf{a}_β$ are the Bloch coefficients. The presence of the TiSe₂ lattice and CDW can be thought of as a periodic “defect” for MoSe₂ that enables scattering between the $K$ and $Q$ points. The matrix element of the associated potential for Bloch states is $(\langle ψ_Q | V(\mathbf{r}) | ψ_K \rangle)$, where $\mathbf{K}$ is a wavevector for any of the $K$-equivalent points and $\mathbf{Q}$ is a wavevector for any of the $Q$-equivalent ones [Fig. 4(e)]. $V(\mathbf{r})$ is the effective TiSe₂ defect potential, which can be expanded in the r.l vectors $\mathbf{t}$. Note that the lattice parameter for TiSe₂ is 7.8% larger than MoSe₂ so that if we measure the reciprocal space in units of 2$π$/MoSe₂, then for Mo, the first r.l. vectors will be $\mathbf{g}_{1,2} = (\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$; $\mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2$. For TiSe₂, the equivalent r.l. vectors $\mathbf{t}_{1,2,3}$ will be shorter by 7.8%.

Using the expansion of $V(\mathbf{r})$, we obtain

$$
(\langle ψ_Q | V(\mathbf{r}) | ψ_K \rangle) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}} \langle ψ_Q | e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} | ψ_K \rangle \exp[i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{r})]
= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{a}_κ \cdot \mathbf{g}_β \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{r})]
= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{a}_κ \cdot \mathbf{g}_β \exp[i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{r})])
= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{a}_κ \cdot \mathbf{g}_β \exp[i(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{r})])
.$$ 

In the last line, the summation goes over all equivalent $K$ and $Q$ points. While, in principle, in an infinite lattice, one can always find a triad $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{t}$ wavevectors that closely satisfies the condition $|\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{t}| = 0$, the coefficients $\mathbf{a}_κ$ and, to lesser extent, $\mathbf{a}_g$ rapidly decay (the form factor effect), and so this scattering process can only be efficient if $|\mathbf{t}|$ is small.

Determining if the TiSe₂ “defect” potential can enable $K \rightarrow Q$ scattering requires matching $|\mathbf{t}|$ and $|\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{Q}|$. We have carried out DFT calculations of the TiSe₂–MoSe₂ heterostructure to determine how this position is altered by interlayer coupling. The location of the $Q$ valley is $κ = (Q - 1)/|K - L|$, which are clustered around 0.55 ± 0.05 for multiple DFT runs. The magnitude of the smallest scattering vectors $|K - Q| = (1 - κ)/\sqrt{3}, (1 + κ)/\sqrt{3}, (\sqrt{1 - κ + κ^2})/\sqrt{3}, (\sqrt{1 + κ + κ^2})/\sqrt{3}, (2 - κ)/\sqrt{3}$, and $(\sqrt{4 - 2κ + κ^2})/\sqrt{3}$, where the first two values correspond to scattering from $K$ to $K′$ or $Q′$, while the next two values correspond to scattering from $Q′$ to $K$ or $K′$, and the last two to scattering into the next BZ. For $κ = 0.55$, in units of $2π$/MoSe₂, these are 0.260, 0.895, 0.501, 0.785, 0.832, and 1.033, respectively. At the same time, the smallest vector of the r.l. of TiSe₂ without the CDW is $t_1 = 0.928(2π/$MoSe₂$)$.

In Fig. 4(e), we present the magnitude of the scattering wavevector $|K - Q|$ between different $K$ and $Q$ points vs $κ$ in MoSe₂. These are compared to the r.l. vectors of TiSe₂ in the normal (blue dots) and CDW (red dot) phases. The smallest TiSe₂ r.l. vector can only match one of the $|K - Q|$ values if $κ = 0.607$, which is far outside our DFT predictions. In the 2 × 2 × 2 CDW phase of TiSe₂, the magnitude of the r.l. vectors ($t_j$) are shortened to $t_1 = t_2/2, t_2 = t_3 = 1/2, t_3 = \sqrt{7}/2t_1$. We find that particularly $t_2 = 0.804$ is close to the maximum of one of the $K - Q$ vectors. This is shown by the red dot in Fig. 4(e). The mismatch is less than 0.019$2(\pi/d_{MoSe₂})$ and is reduced to zero for $κ = 0.59$, a value within the range of the DFT calculations.

Therefore, we conclude that the CDW potential opens a new $K \rightarrow Q$ scattering channel. This would enable optical recombination of an indirect, finite-momentum exciton, resulting in the appearance of a new PL line at the same energy as $H_1$. Future calculations taking into account the effect of the CDW–exciton coupling may be able to assess this scenario quantitatively, but such calculations are outside our current capabilities. This result explains the emission energy of $H_1$, its disappearance at $T_{CDW}$, and its anticorrelation with $X''$. However, this mechanism would also imply $H_1$–$X''$ (barring unrelated phenomena) to be $T$-independent and the $H_1/X''$ intensity ratio to follow $Δ²$. Our observations indicate just the opposite [Figs. 4(a) and 4(c)]. Furthermore, this mechanism cannot explain the decrease in the $H_1/X''$ intensity ratio with excitation power in Fig. 4(b).

B. Mechanism II: Interlayer 2D TiSe₂–MoSe₂ exciton

Another intriguing possibility is the formation of an interlayer exciton by an electron in MoSe₂ and a hole in the TiSe₂ layer, as shown in Fig. 4(d). This is only possible due to the opening of the CDW bandgap in the low-temperature regime but is inconceivable in the normal metallic phase. The intensity of such an exciton will be defined by ($T$-independent) interlayer tunneling, and the position will be, roughly, given by $E_c(TiSe₂) - E_f(TiSe₂) - E_{CDW}(TiSe₂)/2$. The energy gap, $E_{CDW}$, is proportional to the order parameter $Δ$, and its value is unclear: Experiments cite different numbers, and most angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy studies find the top of the valence band to be separated from the Fermi level (i.e., half the bandgap) by 50–75 meV, qualitatively consistent with the $H_1$–$X''$ separation, and roughly following $Δ²$.

For this scenario to be realized, the top of the Mo valence band at $K$ should fall inside the CDW gap in TiSe₂ (or, equivalently, within a few tens of meV from the TiSe₂ Fermi energy, $E_f$, in the metallic phase). Our standard DFT calculations using a supercell with 2 × 2 periodicity in TiSe₂ and $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{3}/2$ in MoSe₂ (Ti₁₆Mo₉₆) show that $E_f$ is about 230 meV above the MoSe₂ valence band. This is illustrated in Fig. 4(d) and detailed in Sec. S3 of the supplementary material. Hence, while this naturally explains all five experimental observations it also requires an assumption that the DFT calculations of the band alignment are off by 100–150 meV, which may be reasonable once the electronic correlations that drive the CDW formation and the details of the vdW interface are properly included.

C. Mechanism III: Exciton–phonon and exciton–plasmon interaction

Exciton formation and recombination can, in principle, be assisted through coupling to a variety of bosonic excitations. Again focusing on the activation of a dark finite-momentum exciton, the
lowest energy phonon with the appropriate momentum has an energy of ~10 meV so that the corresponding PL energy should be shifted down (phonon-assisted emission) from the momentum dark exciton energy. This would suggest that H1 is a phonon replica of a higher-energy exciton, either symmetry forbidden or momentum-indirect. However, this mechanism is unlikely considering that it is intrinsic to MoSe₂ and cannot explain the role of the TiSe₂ CDW. In principle, interlayer coupling could allow TiSe₂ phonons to create exciton–phonon replicas in MoSe₂. H1 should in this case emerge at higher temperature if TiSe₂ phonons are involved, and the replica would show additional temperature dependence compared to X₀, in contradiction with our observation. An additional possibility regarding the activation of a finite-momentum exciton by coupling to TiSe₂ is the presence of a distinct low-energy plasmon. A curious aspect of the TiSe₂–CDW transition is the presence of a low-energy plasmon, which has been claimed as evidence of the excitonic insulator mechanism, although this claim was later disputed. This plasmon was measured to have an energy of \( h \omega_{pl} (q) \approx 50 \text{ meV} \) at \( T \approx 17 \text{ K} \) with \( q = 0 \). This excitation was found to soften with temperature, reaching \( h \omega_{pl} \approx 35 \text{ meV} \) at \( T \approx 185 \text{ K} \). The plasmon is only present in the CDW phase, so it is tempting to associate it with the H1 PL line.

Signatures of exciton–plasmon interaction in PL have attracted considerable attention recently. While these papers consider excitons and plasmons spatially coexisting in the same material, the theory is equally applicable to spatially separated MoSe₂ excitons and TiSe₂ plasmons, as long as they are coupled by the Coulomb interaction. In principle, two mechanisms are possible (see Sec. S4 of the supplementary material for a detailed discussion): The exciton Green function can be renormalized by a virtual process of emission and absorption of a plasmon or a process with either emission or absorption of a real plasmon. The former process shifts the exciton line \( E_\text{X} \) up by a fixed amount, which in the first approximation can be expressed as \( E_\text{X} - E_\text{X,0} = 4|M|^2 h \omega_{pl} / (E_\text{X,0} - (h \omega_{pl})^2) \approx 4|M|^2 h \omega_{pl} / E_\text{X,0} \), where \( E_\text{X,0} \) is the position of \( X_0 \) in the absence of exciton–plasmon coupling and \( M \) is the exciton–plasmon coupling constant. However, one would expect the coupling constant to vary spatially, so instead of two lines one would observe a broad manifold starting at \( E_{\text{X,0}} \) and ending at \( E_\text{X,0} + 2|M|^2 h \omega_{pl} / E_\text{X,0} \), in contradiction with the experiment. The other mechanism preserves the main line and adds two satellites, shifted down and up by around \( \omega_{pl} \). The intensity of the upper peak is roughly temperature independent, whereas the intensity of the lower peak is proportional to the population of thermally or extrinsically excited plasmons. We do not observe the lower satellite at all, and the upper satellite, H1, only loses its intensity with temperature [Fig. 2(b)] and with the laser power [Fig. 4(b)], in obvious contradiction with the assumed physics.

D. Summary of possible mechanisms

We have presented here three speculative scenarios by which a new feature may appear in the PL of a TiSe₂–MoSe₂ heterostructure. While none can fully capture the entire scope of our observations, we conclude that the dark exciton and interlayer exciton interpretations are the most likely explanations for H1. While the plasmon model is exciting due to its connection to TiSe₂ excitonic-insulator physics, it appears less likely to be important for this particular heterostructure.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have discovered the presence of a new exciton-like peak in TiSe₂–MoSe₂ heterostructures using temperature-dependent PL spectroscopy. The H1 emission feature is localized to the heterostructure interface and correlated with \( T_{\text{CDW}} \) in TiSe₂. We have presented multiple scenarios that could explain the origin of this feature. The most plausible explanations of H1 are, presently, (1) an interlayer TiSe₂–MoSe₂ exciton and (2) the brightening of momentum dark excitons by the CDW potential. These results are the first demonstration of exciton engineering via proximitized CDWs and provide the 2D theoretical community with a fresh challenge to understand the microscopic mechanisms underlying CDW–exciton interactions.

V. GROWTH OF TMD MATERIALS

MoSe₂ crystals were grown by the chemical vapor transport (CVT) method using polycrystalline MoSe₂ powder (\( \approx 1 \text{ g} \)) and SeBr₄ transport agent (\( \approx 0.1 \text{ g} \)). The source and growth zones in a vacuum-sealed 20 cm long quartz ampoule were kept at 980 and 890 °C, respectively, for seven days. The procedure for CVT-grown TiSe₂ crystals is outlined in Ref. 39.

VI. SAMPLE PREPARATION, ASSEMBLY, AND AFM "NANO-SQUEEgee"

Bulk-hBN flakes were mechanically exfoliated and transferred on O₂ plasma cleaned Si/SiO₂ substrates. MLs of 2H–MoSe₂ obtained through mechanical exfoliation were subsequently transferred on an identified hBN flake. For both materials, the transfer process was done using the PDMS-based dry viscoelastic stamping method. This method has been known to leave polymer residues between the interface of two TMDs deposited during the transfer process but has proven to be optimal for fabricating heterostructures. To create clean interfaces, an AFM-based "nano-squeegee" procedure was employed. This involves the use of a standard AFM tip to push out polymer residues deposited between the two TMDs in a vertical heterostructure. We were able to use the same method to also remove surface residues present on the ML–MoSe₂ flake, using a 7 N/m spring constant tip and a contact force of 140 nN. Bulk TiSe₂ is then brought into contact with the sample using the same dry-transfer technique as done for ML–MoSe₂. The sample was additionally vacuum annealed at 200 °C for 5 h to improve coupling.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Low-temperature PL and Raman measurements were carried out on a confocal microscope setup with 532 nm laser excitation focused through a 0.42 NA, 50× long working-distance objective to achieve a spot diameter of 2.4 μm. The light is collected in a back-scattering geometry, with the collection fiber-coupled to a 500 mm focal length single spectrometer integrated with a liquid-N₂ cooled charge-coupled device detector. The samples were placed under vacuum and cooled in a closed cycle He-cooled cryostat (Montana Instruments Corporation) with a variable temperature range from 4 to 300 K. Raman measurements on the TiSe₂–MoSe₂ interface were carried out using the same setup; however, the excitation path included a collection of Bragg grating
notch filters enabling the acquisition of data within 15 cm$^{-1}$ of the laser line. The excitation wavelength used for Raman measurements was 532 nm, and the laser power was kept at 300 μW pre-objective. Spatially resolved and temperature-dependent PL measurements were done using the same laser wavelength, while the power was kept within 150 μW pre-objective.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Additional spatially resolved PL measurements of samples 1 and 2, temperature and power-dependent measurements of sample 2, DFT calculations of the TiSe$_2$–MoSe$_2$ heterostructure, and a theoretical model of exciton–plasmon coupling are included in the supplementary material.
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