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Insights into Hydrocarbon Formation by Nitrogenase Cofactor Homologs
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ABSTRACT The L-cluster is an all-iron homolog of nitrogenase cofactors. Driven by europium(II) diethylenetriaminepentaaetate [Eu(II)-DTPA], the isolated L-cluster is capable of ATP-independent reduction of CO and CN⁻ to C₁ to C₆ hydrocarbons, respectively. Compared to its cofactor homologs, the L-cluster generates considerably more CH₄ from the reduction of CO and CN⁻, which could be explained by the presence of a “free” Fe atom that is “unmasked” by homocitrate as an additional site for methanation. Moreover, the elevated CH₄ formation is accompanied by a decrease in the amount of longer hydrocarbons and/or the lengths of the hydrocarbon products, illustrating a competition between CH₄ formation/release and C–C coupling/chain extension. These observations suggest the possibility of designing simpler synthetic clusters for hydrocarbon formation while establishing the L-cluster as a platform for mechanistic investigations of CO and CN⁻ reduction without complications originating from the heterometal and homocitrate components.

IMPORTANCE Nitrogenase is a metalloenzyme that is highly complex in structure and uniquely versatile in function. It catalyzes two reactions that parallel two important industrial processes: the reduction of nitrogen to ammonia, which parallels the Haber-Bosch process in ammonia production, and the reduction of carbon monoxide to hydrocarbons, which parallels the Fischer-Tropsch process in fuel production. Thus, the significance of nitrogenase can be appreciated from the perspective of the useful products it generates: (i) ammonia, the “fixed” nitrogen that is essential for the existence of the entire human population; and (ii) hydrocarbons, the “recycled” carbon fuel that could be used to directly address the worldwide energy shortage. This article provides initial insights into the catalytic characteristics of various nitrogenase cofactors in hydrocarbon formation. The reported assay system provides a useful tool for mechanistic investigations of this reaction while suggesting the possibility of designing bioinspired catalysts based on nitrogenase cofactors.
reduction of CN\(^{-}\), CO, and CO\(_2\) to hydrocarbons (alkanes and alkenes; up to C\(_4\) in length) in an ATP-independent, solvent-based assay, where samarium(II) iodide (SmI\(_2\)) and 2,6-lutidinium triflate (Lut-H) are used as a reductant (\(E^0 = 1.55\) V in tetrahydrofuran [THF]) and a proton source, respectively (16). When a weaker reductant, europium(II) diethylenetriaminepentaacetate [Eu(II)-DTPA] (\(E^0 = -1.14\) V at pH 8) is used in place of SmI\(_2\) in an ATP-independent, buffer-based assay, no hydrocarbon products can be detected in the reactions of CO\(_2\) reduction by the M- and V-clusters, and the turnover numbers (TON) of CO reduction by the two cofactors are reduced to 1/10. However, the efficiencies of both cofactors in the reaction of CN\(^{-}\) reduction remain largely unaffected, and perhaps more interestingly, both cofactors are capable of reducing CO and CN\(^{-}\) to longer hydrocarbons (alkanes and alkenes; up to C\(_7\) in length) (17). This observation prompts the question of whether the L-cluster—an all-iron homolog of the two cofactors—displays the same catalytic behavior in the Eu(II)-DTPA-driven reactions of CO and CN\(^{-}\) reduction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Indeed, like the M- and V-clusters (17), the NMF-extracted L-cluster can reduce CO and CN\(^{-}\) to hydrocarbons in the same ATP-free buffer system under ambient conditions. The L-cluster forms methane (CH\(_4\)), ethene (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), ethane (C\(_2\)H\(_6\)), propene (C\(_3\)H\(_6\)), propane (C\(_3\)H\(_8\)), 1-butene (C\(_4\)H\(_8\)), and n-butane (C\(_4\)H\(_{10}\)) as products of CO reduction (Fig. 2A). The L-cluster generates methane (CH\(_4\)), ethene (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), ethane (C\(_2\)H\(_6\)), propene (C\(_3\)H\(_6\)), propane (C\(_3\)H\(_8\)), 1-butene (C\(_4\)H\(_8\)), n-butane (C\(_4\)H\(_{10}\)), 1-pentene (C\(_5\)H\(_{10}\)), n-pentane (C\(_5\)H\(_{12}\)), 1-hexene (C\(_6\)H\(_{12}\)), n-hexane

FIG 2  Formation of hydrocarbons from CO (A to C) and CN\(^{-}\) (D to F) reduction by L-cluster (A and D), M-cluster (B and E), and V-cluster (C and F). The specific activities are shown in the bar graphs, and the percent activities are shown in the pie charts in each panel. The specific activities of ammonia formation from CN\(^{-}\) were 23,494, 18,312, and 17,219 nmol NH\(_3\)/μmol cluster/h for L-cluster, M-cluster, and V-cluster, respectively.
(C₆H₁₄), and ammonia (NH₃) as products of CN⁻/H₁₁O₂ reduction (Fig. 2D). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis further confirms CO and CN⁻ as the carbon sources for the hydrocarbons generated in these reactions, showing the mass shifts expected for all hydrocarbon products when ¹²CO and ¹³CN⁻/H₁₁O₂ are replaced by ¹³CO (Fig. 3A) and ¹³CN⁻/H₁₁O₂ (Fig. 3B), respectively. Compared to the M-cluster (Fig. 2B and E) and V-cluster (Fig. 2C and F), the L-cluster shows the same tendency to form longer hydrocarbons from CO and CN⁻ reduction in reactions driven by Eu(II)-DTPA (up to C₆) than hydrocarbons formed in reactions driven by SmI₂ (up to C₄), and it displays the same preference for CN⁻ over CO as a substrate for hydrocarbon formation (i.e., it reduces CN⁻/H₁₁O₂ to longer hydrocarbons and at higher rates than CO [18]).

On the other hand, the all-iron L-cluster does differ from its Mo/homocitrate- and V/homocitrate-containing homologs in product distribution and deuterium effect. Compared to the M-cluster (Fig. 2B and E) and V-cluster (Fig. 2C and F), the L-cluster shows the same tendency to form longer hydrocarbons from CO and CN⁻ reduction in reactions driven by Eu(II)-DTPA (up to C₆) than hydrocarbons formed in reactions driven by SmI₂ (up to C₄), and it displays the same preference for CN⁻ over longer hydrocarbons and at higher rates than CO [18]). Together, these observations not only highlight the structural-functional similarities of these cluster species but also suggest a nonessential impact of heterometal and/or homocitrate on their abilities to reduce CO and CN⁻ to hydrocarbons.

The disparate CH₄ formation by the three clusters from CO and CN⁻ reduction can be further examined by the Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) plots (19–21). The ASF plots are often used to predict the distribution of hydrocarbon products in the Fischer-Tropsch (FT) synthesis, an industrial process that parallels the reactions catalyzed by the nitrogenase cofactors in reducing CO to hydrocarbons (3, 4). These plots reveal a linear correlation between the probability of chain growth and the number of carbons for =C₂ products in the reactions of CO (Fig. 5A to C, black circles) and CN⁻ (Fig. 5D to F, black circles) reduction by all three clusters. However, except for the reduction of CO by the L-cluster (Fig. 5A, red circle), formation of the C₁ product (CH₄) in all other reactions deviates from the linear plot (Fig. 5B to F, red circles), suggesting a difference between the formation of the C₁ product and that of the =C₂ products in reaction site and/or pathway.

Overall, the data representing the formation of CH₄ fall below the linear ASF plots (Fig. 5, red circles), indicating that the amounts of CH₄ generated in these reactions are less than those predicted by the ASF equation. However, the fact that all three clusters generate larger quantities of CH₄ from CO than from CN⁻ (Fig. 2) is reflected by a notably lower degree of deviation of
CH$_4$ formation from the linear ASF plots (Fig. 5A versus D, B versus E, and C versus F, red circles). Furthermore, compared to M- and V-clusters, the L-cluster displays no deviation (Fig. 5A versus B and C, red circles) or little deviation (Fig. 5D versus E and F, red circles) of CH$_4$ formation from the linear ASF plots, which is consistent with the formation of a higher percentage of CH$_4$ by the L-cluster from the reduction of both CO and CN$^-$(Fig. 2).

One plausible explanation for the elevated level of CH$_4$ formation by the L-cluster is that this cluster contains a “free” Fe atom as an additional site for methanation in place of the heterometal sites that are covered up by homocitrate in the two cofactors. This theory would be consistent with the previously hypothesized heterogeneity of active sites in FT synthesis, which results in the deviation of product formation from ASF plots (22).

Interestingly, an increase in reaction rate seems to be always accompanied by an increase in CH$_4$ formation and a concomitant decrease in the amount of longer hydrocarbons and/or the lengths of the hydrocarbon products formed by the three clusters. Such a trend can be observed when the “faster” SmI$_2$-driven reaction is compared with the “slower” Eu(II)-DTPA-driven reaction catalyzed by the same cluster (Fig. 6). This observation could reflect a competition between CH$_4$ formation/release and C–H coupling/chain extension, with the former favored by fast e$^-$/H$^+$ delivery and the latter facilitated by the opposite.

FIG 4 Ratios between alkanes (excluding CH$_4$) and alkenes generated from CO (A) and CN$^-$ (C) reduction in the presence of H$_2$O and D$_2$O and ratios between products generated in D$_2$O and H$_2$O ($F_{CH4}/F_{OH}$) from CO (B) and CN$^-$ (D) reduction versus the number of carbons in the product. All ratios were calculated based on the percentage activities shown in the pie charts in Fig. 2.

FIG 5 The Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) plots of hydrocarbon formation from CO (A to C) and CN$^-$ (D to F) reduction by L-cluster (A and D), M-cluster (B and E), and V-cluster (C and F). The plots were generated based on the logarithmic treatment of the ASF equation: $W_n = na^n\ln(\alpha)$, where $W_n$ is the weight fraction of each product, $n$ is the number of carbons in the product, and $\alpha$ is a constant that is referred to as the chain growth probability. This equation is used to describe the product distribution of the Fischer-Tropsch (FT) synthesis, the industrial process that combines CO and H$_2$ into hydrocarbons. The linear regression lines were generated by omitting the data of the C$_1$ product (i.e., CH$_4$), which is highlighted separately in red in each plot.
While the details of the multiple events that occur during the processes of CO and CN− reduction require further investigation, the observation of differential CH4 formation by the three clusters provides new insights into the mechanistic differences between these cofactor variants in catalyzing the reduction of carbonaceous compounds to hydrocarbons. The ability of the homocitrate-free, all-iron L-cluster to catalyze ATP/protein-independent hydrocarbon formation suggests the possibility of designing simpler synthetic clusters for industrial hydrocarbon production in the future. Additionally, it provides a unique platform for the investigation of the kinetic effects of deuterium without the interference of the protein environment and the hetero-metal/homocitrate components of the cluster. Systematic studies need to be conducted to understand these multifaceted kinetic effects, which may lead to significant insights into the mechanism of hydrocarbon formation by nitrogenase cofactors and homologs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Natural abundance 12CO (99.5% purity) was purchased from Airgas (Lakewood, CA). All isotope-labeled compounds (≥98% isotopic purity) were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes (Andover, MA).

Protein purification and cofactor extraction. Azotobacter vinelandii strains expressing His-tagged NifEN, MoFe protein, and VFe protein were grown as described elsewhere (5, 11). Published methods were used for the purification of these nitrogenase proteins (5, 11). The L-, M-, and V-clusters were extracted into N-methylformamide (NMF) from 1.0 g NifEN, MoFe protein, and VFe protein, respectively, using a previously described method (6, 13).

CN− and CO reduction by isolated clusters. A europium(II) diethylentriamine pentaacetic [Eu(II)-DPTA] stock solution was prepared by dissolving equal molar amounts of europium(II) chloride and diethylentriaminepentaacetic acid at a final concentration of 200 mM in 1 M Tris (pH 8.0) buffer. The reaction of CN− reduction contained, in a total volume of 25 ml, 25 mM Tris (pH 7.8), 5 mM Eu(II)-DPTA (23), 0.45 μmol of isolate L-, M-, or V-cluster, and 100 mM NaCN. The reaction of CO reduction was of the same composition, except that 100% CO was used instead of NaCN. Both reactions were run at ambient temperature and pressure for different lengths of time. For gas chromatography (GC)-mass spectrometry (MS) experiments with isotope labels, natural abundance Na12CN and 12CO were replaced by Na13CN and 13CO, respectively.

Activity analysis of CN− and CO reduction. Formation of products CH4, C2H4, C2H6, C3H6, C3H8, 1-C4H8, n-C4H10, 1-C5H10, n-C5H12, 1-C6H12, n-C6H14, and n-C8H16 was determined by gas chromatography on an activated alumina column (Grace, Deerfield, IL), which was held at 40°C for 2 min, heated to 200°C at 10°C/min, and held at 200°C for another 2 min. The 11 hydrocarbon products were quantified as described previously (3, 4), and their detection thresholds (in nanomoles per milligram protein) were 1.1 (CH4), 1.3 (C2H4), 1.3 (C2H6), 1.5 (C3H6), 1.5 (C5H10), 1.4 (C5H12), 1.4 (n-C6H14), 3.1 (1-C4H10), 3.7 (n-C7H16), 6.1 (1-C6H12), and 5.8 (n-C8H16).

Determination of cluster stability. The stability of the isolated L-cluster was determined by its ability to reconstitute the apo form of the NifEN protein after incubation with the buffer system used for Eu(II)-DPTA-driven reactions of CO and CN− reduction (Fig. 7). Specifically, the isolated L-cluster was incubated with the buffer system for various lengths of time before addition of the apo form of NifEN to the mixture, resulting in an L-cluster-reconstituted, holo form of NifEN. Subsequently, the holo form of NifEN was incubated with MgATP, dithionite, MoO42−, homocitrate, NiH2, and apo form of MoFe protein, during which process the L-cluster was matured to an M-cluster on NifEN and transferred to the apo form of the MoFe protein, resulting in an M-cluster reconstituted, holo form of MoFe protein that could then be assayed for activity (24).

GC-MS. The hydrocarbon products were identified by GC-MS using Hewlett-Packard 5890 GC and 5972 mass selective detector (MSD). The identities of CH4, C2H4, C2H6, C3H6, C3H8, 1-C4H8, n-C4H10, 1-C5H10, n-C5H12, 1-C6H12, n-C6H14, and n-C8H16 were confirmed by comparing their...
masses and retention times with those of the Scott standard n-alkane and 1-alkene gas mixture (Scott Specialty Gases, Inc., Plumsteadville, PA). A total of 50 \( \mu l \) gas was injected into a split/splitless injector operated at 125°C in splitless mode. A 1-mm-inner-diameter (i.d.) liner was used to optimize sensitivity. Gas separation was achieved on a Restek (Bellafonte, PA) PLOT-QS capillary column (0.320 mm [i.d.] by 30 m [length]), which was held at 40°C for 1 min, heated to 220°C at 10°C/min, and held at 220°C for another 3 min. The carrier gas, helium, was passed through the column at 1.0 ml/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact (EI) ionization and selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode.
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