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Abstract

Interfacial interactions between matrix and reinforcement of composites influences greatly in final properties of the material. Carbon Fibers are characterized for to have low interactions with resins when forming a composite material. In the present study, 0.3 wt% of GO/rGO were incorporated in three systems of epoxy resin/carbon fiber as reinforcing fillers, trying to profit the chemical affinity between aromatics structures of GO/rGO and polar interactions with epoxy resin. GO/rGO were characterized by XPS, TGA was performed on carbon fiber, epoxy resins and composites obtained and SEM was utilized to observe composite samples in detail once mechanical tests were conducted. Composites experienced noticeable enhancements by employing Bisphenol Epoxy (BP) cured with methyl cyclohexane-1,2-dicarboxylic anhydride (MCHDA) as matrix and carbon fiber of 300 g/cm² as reinforcement; Youngs modulus, rupture stress and elongation to failure increased almost twofold compared to non-modified composites by adding GO in the system and even superior boosts can be appreciated with rGO, which additionally improves the flexural stress from 14.6 to 30.1 GPa.
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Introduction

Epoxy polymer composites with carbon fiber as reinforcement have an extensive range of applications in many industrial fields as: wind turbines [1], construction [2], aeronautics [3] and aerospace [4] due to their favorable strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight ratios [5], as well as their high thermal stability and excellent corrosion resistance [6]. The performance of fiber-reinforced composites is affected by the properties of the constituent materials and the load transfer capacity from the matrix to the reinforcement, this latter feature determined by the interfacial chemical interactions between fiber and matrix [5]. However, since the present interactions are poor due to the surface inertness of carbon fiber [7], the efficiency of composite is limited.

In order to achieve a greater performance, there are different approaches to modify the surface of the fiber such as polymeric coating [8], thermal treatment [9], chemical partial oxidation [10], plasma treatment [11], increase of the surface roughness of carbon fibers [12], or integration of nanoparticles as fillers into the surface of the reinforcement [13]. Besides the mentioned approaches, an alternative technique that has recently emerged is the dispersion of nanofillers into the polymer matrix [5]. Graphene is a candidate to be applied as nanofiller because of its superior electrical, thermal and mechanical properties [14]. However, the use of graphene is limited by the lack of an effective method for large-scale production [15], by its high tendency to agglomerate via Van der Waals interactions and by its flammability [16,17]. Hence, Graphene Oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) are used instead of pristine graphene because both (of them) contain functional groups such as carboxylic and hydroxyl ones that facilitate their dispersion in epoxy matrix [18]. Functional groups, can interact with some molecular structures inside resins' composition, and hence, improving its cohesion. The main difference between GO and rGO is that, while the former has more functional groups, the latter is more similar to pristine graphene [9]. Several studies have been carried out to determine effects of applying GO or rGO as nanofiller [19] achieved improvements in flexural strength by 66 %, flexural modulus by 72 %, and inter laminar shear strength by 25% at 0.3 wt % of GO included in the carbon fiber/epoxy composite [5] employed electrospinning for the deposition of 0.05 wt % graphene sheets onto carbon fiber and attained enhancements in the flexural strength and modulus by about 64 % and 85 %, respectively [14].

Dispersed 0.2 wt % rGO to epoxy resin and attained an increase of glass transition temperature by nearly 11 C, and enhanced its quasi-static fracture toughness by about 52% [20] added 1.5 vol % GO to the epoxy matrix and increased its tensile strength from 7 MPa to 13 MPa.
the Youngs modulus improved from 115 MPa to 206 MPa, the maximum applied load from 126 N to 234 N and the elongation at break value jumped from 38 % to 55 %. Noticeably the number of cycles to failure for the case of GO, directly spray-coated onto the glass fibers, was about 8 times greater than when the GO was uniformly dispersed in the resin (21,22) introduced 5 wt % of GO sheets dispersed in the fiber sizing onto the surface of carbon fibers and achieved significant enhancement of Interfacial Shear Strength (IFSS), Inter laminar Shear Strength (ILSS) and tensile properties (23) combined the graphene nanopowder with a dispersing agent before mixing it with the epoxy resin to maximize GO dispersion, and the fracture toughness of the carbon fiber/GO/epoxy resin composite improved an 11.4 % in comparison to conventional composite without GO.

The synthesis of the catalyst for the dehydrogenation of DMAB in ambient temperature, prepared from three monodispersed metallic nano compound (Pd, Ru, Pt) in GO. (PdRuNi@GO). The GO allows the catalyst to be chemically stable, to be a conductor and to have a high catalytic activity, thus obtaining a great efficiency in TOF for the dehydrogenation catalyzers. Functionalized GO with thiocarbamide as a Rh-Pt monodispersed NPs support. (RhPt/TC@GO NPs) for the Krovenagel condensation of amil aldehyde with malononitril. Very good catalytic activity for the reactions is obtained. This can be used in different organic reactions, making them faster. Compound formed by polyaniline-RGO with Pt (Pt@rGO-PANI) to improve the oxidation reaction of the alcohol (catalyzer).

Material formed by monodispersed Pt and GO NPs synthesized with microwave method (MW-PtNP@GO). To be used as counter electrode in dye sensitized solar cells, which produce electricity via photo-electrochemistry. It changes light energy into electricity with better conversion and efficiency ~7.96%. They have a high electro-catalytic activity.

In the present work, Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and tensile and flexural tests are utilized to study the influence of GO/rGO as reinforcing fillers in mechanical performance of nano-modified carbon fiber/epoxy resin composites.

Carbon Fiber has demonstrated in the majority of cases of its application as composites base, a lot of difficulties to reach the close contact with resins used. The general approach offered by the majority of works [20] never states this fact. The use of nano-particles is the most promising technique to try to improve its physical final properties, as can be seen in our experimental results. The strength remains, or indeed increases lightly, but flexibility is really improved. The use of these kinds of nano-fillers, due to its three-dimension capability to, chemically, interact with resin, allows getting closer the "tailor-made" approach. Just depending on the chemical constitution of the resin, different amounts of different nano-fillers, with different chemical groups available can be used to modify only the desired characteristics of the final product, without altering its principal mechanical behavior.

Materials

Epoxy resins

Epoxy resins are compounds containing several cyclic ethers with three-atom rings per molecule; the backbones of commercial resins are usually aliphatic, cycloaliphatic or aromatic. The preparation process of epoxy resins has a great influence on their functionalities, and treatment with crosslinking agents produces three-dimensional insoluble thermoset polymers. These curing agents can be Lewis acids/bases, amines or anhydrides. Three commercial epoxy resins with their correspondent hardeners denominated as systems X, Y and Z were employed as the matrix of the composites. To identify the characterization of cross-linked resins, thermogravimetric analysis was utilized; matrix samples were put through thermal decomposition under nitrogen atmosphere from 25-900 °C with a heating rate of 20 °C/min. TGA and DTG plots (Figures 1a and 1b) obtained hint that systems X and Y are quite similar since their resins are Biphenol Epoxy (BP) and Tetramethyl Biphenyl Epoxy (TMBP), respectively, and both of them share Methyl Cyclohexane-1,2-Dicarboxylic Anhydride (MCHDA) as the crosslinking agent [24]. In the particular case of matrix system Z, it is composed by bisphenol epoxy (DGEBA) and polyoxypropylene diamine (D230) [25]. The commercial form of the mentioned epoxy resins may include rheology modifiers; as a reagent of adding these additives, BP, TMBP and DEGBA viscosity at 20 °C are 1950 ± 200, 3240 ± 560 and 9800 ± 1000 cps, accordingly. The main and immediately noticeable difference between the systems mentioned is the curing process; whereas resins X and Y require 8 h at 80 °C after sitting for 24 h at room temperature to complete their crosslinking reaction, resin Z needs 16 h at 60 °C after the same precuring conditions.

![Figure 1: Thermogravimetric analysis of matrix systems: (a) and (c) under nitrogen atmosphere; (b) and (d) under air atmosphere.](image-url)
Figures 1c and 1d present the TGA of the matrix systems performed under air atmosphere between the same range of temperatures and heating rate as the method described above. This analysis was carried out to get an insight into the oxidation behavior of cross-linked resins. Two common thermal degradation stages can be distinguished in all systems, albeit they manifest different inflection points and intensities. In the particular case of system Z, there is an additional stage of weak intensity indicating once again that this matrix is the most distinctive one.

Carbon fiber
Carbon fibers are well known for having a high superficial inertness; this feature is due to their scarce functional groups, as illustrated in the Figure 2. The carbon fiber selected as reinforcement of the composite is characterized by its twill style weave and an area weight of 300 g/cm².

![Size distribution of particles of the fillers: (a) GO particles; (b) rGO particles](image)

**Figure 2**: Size distribution of particles of the fillers: (a) GO particles; (b) rGO particles

GO/rGO
Reinforcing fillers employed to achieve modification of interfacial interactions between carbon fiber and epoxy resins are 99% pure flake-shaped GO/rGO nanopowder. Particle size distribution of GO/rGO is shown in Figure 3. GO particles are primarily distributed between 3 - 4 µm and a remarkable quantity of particles is of 1 µm, while the number of particles with other sizes is considerably lower. A similar tendency is present in rGO size distribution, which has a high scope including sizes of 1 µm and between 2.5-4.5 µm.

XPS was the characterization technique employed by the GO/rGO supplier to identify carbon and oxygen functional groups present on the surface of the particles. The C 1s scan applied involves the electron transition from carbon-oxygen atoms of different atomic configurations and their shape relies on their atomic densities. The deconvolution of GO C 1s spectra shown in Figure 3a is splitting into 6 peaks: C-C sp2 at 283.9 eV, C-C in graphitic type at 284.7 eV, C-O single bond at 286.5 eV, C=C double bond at 287.7 eV, O-C=O at 288.9 eV and the shake-up satellite at 291 eV. The deconvolution of rGO C 1s spectra shown in Figure 3b is split into 4 peaks: C-C in graphitic type at 284.6 eV, C-O single bond at 286.4 eV, C≡O double bond at 287.7 eV and O-C=O at 288.7 eV.

**Figure 3**: XPS characterization of fillers: (a) GO; (b) rGO

**Equipment**
CY-500 Sonicator from Optic Ivenm System and T-10 basic ULTRAPRAX from IKA were employed to homogenize the epoxy resin-GO/rGO systems. An Olympus microscope model BX43 and Advanced Image Analysis and Nanoparticles Size Identification (APS) software were utilized to check the particle size of GO/rGO once dispersed into the matrix. Model JSM-5610 by JEOL scanning Electron Microscope was employed to obtain high-resolution images of prepared sample surfaces. Thermogravimetric Analysis was performed with a TGA/SDTA851e Analyzer from Mettler Toledo, an instrument run by STARe Software. An electro-mechanical material testing machine fitted with a ball-screw drive from E series by Zwick Roell group was employed to determine the mechanical performance of the composites.

**Experimental method**
**Preparation of GO/rGO modified carbon fiber/epoxy composites**
To modify the epoxy resins, 0.3 wt% of GO was dispersed in the matrix by ultrasonication for 30 min achieving a homogenous system and then was mixed with the crosslinking agent. The mixture was
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applied to the fiber by manual impregnation using the wet lay-up technique. A similar process was followed to disperse rGO in the resin, but an additional homogenizing process at a speed of about 20500 rpm with Ultra Turrax was required to get a nano heterogeneous system before incorporating the crosslinking agent. The curing conditions of each epoxy resin/hardener systems are specified in the materials section above and the preparation process is depicted schematically in the Figure 4, where the homogenizing process refers to ultrasonication plus Ultra Turrax to disperse rGO, or only the first procedures when incorporating GO in the matrix.

![Figure 4: Preparation process scheme.](image)

**Determination of mechanical properties of the composites**

Tensile and bending tests were performed on the obtained composites to determine changes in their mechanical properties. Tensile tests were performed in accordance with specifications designated in ISO 527-1:2012. Test specimens taken from flat areas of composites had a width of 15 ± 5 mm, an overall length of 250 mm and a thickness less than or equal to 1 mm. The tests were conducted at a speed of 2 mm/min. Three-point bending tests were conducted at a speed of 1 mm/min on specimens which dimensions were 100 × 15 × 2 mm, according to ISO 14125:1998.

**TGA Characterization**

Thermogravimetric analysis was applied to characterize raw carbon fiber, epoxy resins and elaborated composites. Samples of about 10 mg were placed in aluminum oxide crucibles and then placed on the microbalance of the analyzer. Thermal degradation experiments were performed from 25 °C to 1025 °C under air atmosphere at a heating rate of 20 °C/min [26-27].

**SEM samples preparation**

Test samples utilized in tensile tests were analyzed afterwards with SEM. Specimens were taken as close as possible to the fracture zone. Clean ruptures were vertically placed in the sample holder while transverse cracks were horizontally disposed. A thin film of gold was deposited via 30 min sputtering process onto the specimen before inserting the sample holder into the chamber of the microscope.

**Results and Discussion**

**Mechanical properties**

| Composite  | Young’s Modulus (GPa) | Rupture Stress (MPa) | Elongation to failure (%) | Bending Stress (GPa) |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| X-CF       | 13.3                  | 135                  | 0.74                     | 14.6                |
| X-GO-CF    | 32.6                  | 424                  | 1.4                      | 18.5                |
| X-rGO-CF   | 41.6                  | 496                  | 1.5                      | 30.1                |
| Y-CF       | 38.4                  | 299                  | 1.3                      | 28.4                |
| Y-GO-CF    | 43                    | 500                  | 1.1                      | 25.2                |
| Y-rGO-CF   | 36                    | 520                  | 1.4                      | 19.9                |
| Z-CF       | 37.9                  | 451                  | 1.5                      | 24.3                |
| Z-GO-CF    | 42.9                  | 587                  | 1.4                      | 18.5                |
| Z-rGO-CF   | 31.5                  | 435                  | 1.4                      | 35.3                |

The observations made above suggest that the dispersion of 0.3 wt % of GO in the epoxy resin before the impregnation process certainly enhances the mechanical properties of the composites, probably due to the existence of molecular interactions reacted during the dispersion. Adding the same percentage of rGO in the system generally results in performance deterioration caused by such factors as poor dispersion of rGO into epoxy, agglomeration and undesired interaction of GO with a sizing agent [28]. In the particular case of X-CF composites, rGO also improves the mechanical performance, which suggests that resin X admits a higher quantity of nanoparticles than resins Y and Z before reaching the saturation point [19], where rGO no longer acts as reinforcing filler.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Fracture surface of samples observed by SEM are shown in Figure 5. Whereas non-modified composites Figure 5a crack transversely leaving some fibers damaged and some others untouched, test samples of GO modified composites Figure 5b break into two halves with a clean rupture. Moreover, Figure 5b shows interlaminar distribution coinciding with the impregnation method.

Nanoparticles present are shown in Figure 6. GO and rGO modified resins show a higher density of particles compared to those without reinforcing fillers. Changes in mechanical properties can be observed in the SEM images, where non-modified specimens Figure 6 and modified composites without enhancement of mechanical properties.

Figure 6 manifest a bad adhesion (weak or null interaction) between fibers and epoxy matrix, which causes the occurrence of the rupture in the interfacial zone. In regard to the composites that experienced a remarkable boost in their mechanical performance Figure 6, the epoxy matrix remains attached to the fibers when breaking, what hints which at a notable adhesion between components.

![Figure 5: SEM images of fractures caused by applying tensile tests on Z-CF composites.](image)

![Figure 6: SEM images of fractures caused by applying tensile tests on CF composites.](image)
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With the aim to getting more detailed information about the effects of reinforced composite with GO/rGO particles, first derivative of the TGA curves was applied to obtain DTG plots, the results of which are compiled in Figure 8. The graph allows comparing the variation of the thermal degradation phases selected, reflecting the level of order that interactions should create between fiber and resin, thanks to the existence of new interfaces promoted by GO/rGO presence in the previous dispersion and posterior cooling process. In Figure 7, peaks are described with the parameters below:

**Width (W):** horizontal distance from one maximum to the next one which indicates the variety of interactions ruptured in a degradation stage. **Height (H):** also known as intensity is the vertical distance from the highest maximum to the minimum and expresses the quantity of chemical bonds decomposed.

**Area (A) and Mean Width (MW):** represent the relation between variety and quantity of interactions. Additionally, the Advanced Analysis TGA (AATGA) software was developed to get a precise analysis of DTG plots. Data obtained from the program a non-modified composite are gathered in the Table 2 and those GO/rGO composites are collected in Table 3.

**Thermogravimetric Analysis**

![Figure 7: Representation of selected parameters in DTG of X-CF composite.](image)

**Figure 6:** SEM images of composites obtained: (a) Z-CF; (b) Z-GO-CF; (c) Z-rGO-CF; (d) X-CF; (e) X-GO-CF; (f) X-rGO-CF

---
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The first remarkable difference when comparing the tables above is that the area increases of modified X-CF systems are considerably greater than to those of modified Y-CF and Z-CF composites. More specifically, whereas that the mean width of the partial areas have broadened in modified X-CF and Y-CF composites, no relevant variation is observed in Z-CF composites with fillers.

TGA and DTG curves of the carbon fiber are shown in the Figure 9. Graphic interpretation indicates that the onset and offset temperatures of main phase of the thermal degradation are approximately 572 and 874 °C, respectively; moreover, the first derivative of the TGA curve determines 815 °C as the temperature where the maximum rate of mass loss takes place.

Figure 8: DTG of non-modified and reinforced composites: (a) X-CF and GO/rGO modified X-CF composites; (b) Y-CF and GO/rGO modified Y-CF composites; (c) Z-CF and GO/rGO modified Z-CF composites.

Table 2: DTG data on carbon fiber, epoxy resins and non-modified composites.*although the intensity of the stage in the system is not as significant as in other composites, slight shoulder can be observed.

Table 3: DTG data on GO/rGO modified composites. Although the intensity of the stage in the system is not as significant as in other composites, a slight shoulder can be observed.
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DTG plots of matrix systems are shown in Figure 1d with their parameters collected in Table 2. Whereas matrices X and Y present two main degradation stages assigned as partial areas 2 and 3, an additional phase known as partial area 1 can be identified in system Z. With regard to DTG curves of obtained composites, four zones that correspond to different rupture of interactions or chemical bonds of the structure formed can be distinguished independently of the matrix system applied:

- Partial Area 1, around 250°C: the decomposition intensity is quite weak, which indicates the presence of a few interactions between matrix and reinforcement. Whereas this area is noticeable in composites with resins X and Z, Y-CF, Y-GO/rGO-CF only exhibits a slight shoulder. The incorporation of fillers also modifies the stage slightly.
- Partial Area 2, around 380-400°C: the high intensity of this stage suggests rupture of chemical bonds that belongs to the cross-linked resin, since this signal is not present in the DTG of carbon fiber. The inclusion of GO/rGO has no noticeable influence in this decomposition zone.
- Partial Area 3, around 500°C: the low intensity and the absence of this signal in carbon fiber suggest that it corresponds to the rupture of interactions between the residual structure of resin and carbon fiber. Although a slight shift can be observed in the temperature where maximum rate of weight loss occurs, this stage is scarcely modified by the presence of GO/rGO.
- Partial Area 4, around 800°C: this phase corresponds to the thermal degradation of the carbon fiber. The increase of the offset temperature of the decomposition indicates existence of intra fiber interactions due to the presence of fillers.

The use of "active fillers" (chemical structures that allow the creation of transversal or lateral interactions with the resin and core substrate) is more important when high performance materials are to be obtained.

Mechanical performance enhancements are directly linked to the variations of DTG; a variation of about 11% in the main area involves an increase in the properties, in the case where there is no change in the main area, a secondary area greater than half of a main one experiencing the same modification it is also translated into a boost of properties. Another pattern related to bending stress was that modified composites are stiffer than non-modified ones if there is a decrease greater or equal to 10% in the main area; otherwise, the composites are more flexible.

Conclusion

Throughout the article, several facts have been stated clearly from the experimental results obtained:

When working with composite materials compressed of carbon fiber and epoxy resins, the structure of hardening agent's results in three levels of chemical interactions shown in TGA and DTG graphs. Fillers effect on the final characteristics of the composite obtained depends strongly on the level of dispersion obtained. This fact is also dependent on the size and chemical characteristics of them.

The predicted interactions do not always occur during the cross-linking process. Also DTG analysis shows the real effect has two possible mechanisms. One of them of weak character and the other that shows a more intense level of interactions between matrix, reinforcement and fillers. Although the existence of these interactions better mechanical behavior cannot always be achieved. When achieved however, Young Modulus increases enormously which is interesting for specific final uses. Flexural behavior is affected by the presence of GO or rGO, but due to the 3D configuration achieved it is difficult to observe a clear influence of the chemical groups in filler particles.

Figure 9: Thermogravimetric analysis of the carbon fiber: (a) TGA curve; (b) DTG plots.

The role of interfaces is clearly shown in SEM pictures. The chemical groups of the GO particles do not show a “coupling agent” effect on the system. And results show that the interfaces development during the curing process is one of the most important facts in improving mechanical properties.

The four levels of chemical interactions that exist in the system formed by GO and rGO when incorporated into a composite material based on carbon fiber and different epoxy resins. Chemical character of systems X/Y/Z-GO/rGO-CF does not strongly affect the final interactions formed in the composite material. The hardening agent has a great influence than the chemical character of GO and rGO.

Improving mechanical properties is just a matter of finding particles with functional groups designed specifically to the corresponding resin and/or the substrate used. Carbon fiber has no possibilities of dealing with this approach due to its chemical inertness. The resonance between the aromatic rings is not the most appropriate way to try to improve the interactions in a composite material. The use of larger sized particles faces with the inert interface of the resin because of its very poor chemical interactions. Therefore, just in this specific case, and probably for the first time, chemical composition of the epoxy resins used has been reported. The main idea is to detect with are the active chemical groups on the resin structure and, from the information offered by XPS, try to "include" GO and rGO nanoparticles into the main structure of the resin, using chemical interactions between chemical groups.
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