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The impact of sarcopenia on the outcome of patients with cirrhosis with and without hepatocellular carcinoma who undergo liver transplantation
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Abstract

Background: The impact of sarcopenia on the outcome of patients with cirrhosis who undergo liver transplantation (LT) has been analysed in heterogeneous cohorts with mixed results. We sought to determine the prevalence and the impact of pre-LT sarcopenia on morbidity and mortality after LT in a cohort of patients with cirrhosis with and without hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: Patients with cirrhosis who underwent LT between 2010 and 2016 at Padua University Hospital were retrospectively evaluated. Using image software analysis, cross-sectional area of skeletal muscle at 3rd lumbar vertebra was measured and skeletal muscle index (SMI) was calculated. Sarcopenia was defined by SMI < 50 cm²/m² in males and < 39 cm²/m² in females, respectively. Primary outcome was post-LT survival. Secondary outcomes included hospitalization length and post-LT complications.
Results: 197 patients were included, of whom, 122 (62%) had sarcopenia. Demographics and severity of cirrhosis were comparable in patients with vs. without sarcopenia. Overall survival was similar between the groups. When survival analysis was adjusted for severity of liver disease, sarcopenia was associated with a significantly reduced survival in decompensated (80% vs. 91%, 1-year post-LT; \(P = 0.04\)) but not in compensated (93% vs. 90%, 1-year post-LT; \(P = 0.7\)) patients. In patients with HCC, sarcopenia was associated with a trend towards lower survival but only in those with HCC beyond Milan criteria. Among secondary outcomes, bacterial infections were more frequent in patients with vs. without sarcopenia (50% vs. 35%; \(P = 0.02\)), whereas hospitalization length and other complications were comparable between the groups.

Conclusion: Sarcopenia is a common finding in patients awaiting LT and, in those with decompensated cirrhosis, it is associated with reduced survival after transplantation.
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INTRODUCTION

Sarcopenia is defined as the generalized loss of skeletal muscles mass, strength, and function\(^1\). It is reported in approximately 50% of patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation (LT), with a relatively higher prevalence in male compared to female candidates\(^2-9\).

Recent evidence suggests that, in patients with cirrhosis, sarcopenia is independently correlated with increased risks of liver decompensation and mortality, both before and after transplantation\(^10-12\). On the other hand, most studies looking at the effects of sarcopenia on post-transplant outcomes have included heterogeneous cohorts of patients with no adjustments for severity of cirrhosis (compensated vs. decompensated) and/or indication for transplantation\(^8,13-20\). This led to rather controversial results with some studies that found sarcopenia to be associated with increased risk of post-transplant mortality and others that did not\(^8,13-20\). Therefore, whether and how sarcopenia impacts post-LT survival remains unclear.

Understanding the effect of sarcopenia on morbidity and mortality after liver transplantation would have important implications for the management of liver transplant candidates and recipients\(^11,21\). The goals of our retrospective study were (1) to evaluate prevalence and characteristics of sarcopenia in a large cohort of patients with cirrhosis with and without hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) awaiting LT at a first level center; and (2) to determine the impact of pre-transplant sarcopenia on morbidity and mortality after LT in these patients.

METHODS

Patient selection

Adult (> 18 years) patients with cirrhosis who underwent LT between 2010 and 2016 at Padua University Hospital were retrospectively evaluated to determine eligibility to be included. The diagnosis of cirrhosis was confirmed with available data including histology, radiology, laboratory, and clinical assessment. Decompensation was defined by the presence or history of clinically evident decompensating events (i.e., ascites, variceal haemorrhage, and hepatic encephalopathy)\(^23,24\). Diagnosis of HCC was based on guidelines from the European Association for the Study of Liver\(^25\). Patients with HCC were further divided into patients with HCC within Milan criteria (MC) and patients with HCC beyond MC\(^26\). Milan criteria were defined as follows: single nodule with diameter < 5 cm or no more than 3 nodules with each nodule < 3 cm, without angioinvasion and with no extrahepatic metastasis\(^26\).
At screening, patient’s medical records, past medical history, and laboratory data were reviewed for the following exclusion criteria: absence of abdominal computed tomography scan (CT) within the 6 months prior to LT, more than one LT, and combined liver-kidney transplantation. Upon having determined eligibility to be included, patients were categorized into cases (with sarcopenia) and controls (without sarcopenia).

Assessment of abdominal muscle mass and definition of sarcopenia
Pre-transplant abdominal skeletal muscle mass was assessed by evaluating the last available CT scan within 6 months prior to LT [Figure 1]. Using image software analysis (Fujifilm Synapse 3D™), one expert operator calculated the total cross-sectional muscle area (CSMA, cm²) at level of the 3rd lumbar vertebra (L3) [Figure 1]. CSMA was then divided per patient’s height squared to calculate the skeletal muscle index (SMI) (cm²/m²).

Per previously established cut-offs in patients with cirrhosis awaiting LT [3], sarcopenia was defined by SMI < 50 cm²/m² in male patients and < 39 cm²/m² in female patients, respectively.

Study design and data collection
This was a single-center, retrospective, case-control study approved by the Padua University Hospital Ethical Committee (#AOP/0564). The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and a waiver for informed consent was obtained for this retrospective chart review.

Pre-transplant variables collected from the medical records included demographics and body mass index, diabetes mellitus, aetiology of cirrhosis, duration of wait list time, presence of portal vein thrombosis, Child class, and MELD (Model for End-Stage Liver Disease) score at time of LT. In patients with HCC, the number and size of nodules at the last CT scan prior to LT were also collected.

The following post-transplant outcomes were evaluated: length of hospitalization (both in the intensive care unit and in total), rates of primary non-function (PNF), acute and chronic rejection, early (within 30-day) infections (i.e., viral, bacterial, and fungal), any biliary complications, and de novo malignancy. Patient’s survival was recorded at the last available follow-up.

Study objectives
Primary objectives of this study were (1) to determine the prevalence of sarcopenia in a large cohort of
patients with and without HCC awaiting LT at a first-level center; and (2) to assess the impact of sarcopenia on patient survival after LT in these patients.

Secondary objective of this study was to assess the impact of sarcopenia on post-LT length of hospitalization and risk of short- and medium-term complications.

Because severity of cirrhosis may influence prevalence and impact of sarcopenia, we performed a post-hoc analysis in compensated (Child A) and decompensated (Child B/C) patients separately (with vs. without sarcopenia).

Because the muscle mass may vary over a 6 months period in patients with cirrhosis, we performed a post-hoc analysis in patients who had CT scan within 3 months prior to LT and in patients who had CT scan between 3 months and 6 months prior to LT, separately.

In the subgroup of patients with HCC, we hypothesized that pre-LT tumour burden might influence the impact of sarcopenia on post-LT outcome. Hence, we analysed survival in patients with HCC beyond Milan criteria and in patients with HCC within Milan criteria separately (with vs. without sarcopenia).

Statistical analysis
Values for continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical-nominal variables are presented as frequencies. For subgroup comparisons, quantitative variables were compared using Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical variables using χ² or Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate. Survival curves were estimated with Kaplan-Meier method and compared with log rank test. All tests were 2-tailed, and P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 25.0).

RESULTS
Demographics and prevalence of sarcopenia
Of 475 patients who were screened for eligibility, 197 were included (male/female 153/44; mean age 57 years). Reason for exclusion were as follows: no CT scan in the 6 months prior to LT (n = 235), more than one LT (n = 40), and combined liver-kidney transplantation (n = 3).

Overall, the most common aetiology of cirrhosis was hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (42%), followed by alcoholic (19%), and combined HCV + alcoholic (12%) liver disease. In approximately 70% of these patients, HCC was the indication for LT. In patients with HCC, MELD score was comparable between those with HCC within compared to those with HCC beyond MC (12 vs. 11, respectively). On the other hand, patients with HCC beyond MC tended to be more compensated compared with patients with HCC within MC (Child A: 58% vs. 39% and Child B/C 42% vs. 61% in patients with HCC beyond vs. within MC, respectively; P = 0.05).

Prevalence of sarcopenia was 62%, being relatively higher in male compared to female patients (65% vs. 50%, respectively). Overall, prevalence of sarcopenia increased in parallel with severity of liver dysfunction (55% in Child A, 63% in Child B, and 72% in Child C patients). However, in sex-stratified analysis, this association was significant in male (55% in Child A, 65% in Child B, and 80% in Child C patients; P = 0.03) but not in female (56% in Child A, 56% in Child B, and 39% in Child C patients; P = 0.5) candidates. No association was found between prevalence of sarcopenia and aetiology of cirrhosis (60% vs. 63% vs. 65% in alcoholic, HCV, and metabolic patients, respectively), nor between sarcopenia and presence of HCC (55% vs. 65% in patients with and without HCC, respectively). In the subgroup of patients with HCC, there was a trend towards a higher prevalence of sarcopenia in those with HCC beyond Milan criteria compared to
those with HCC within Milan criteria; however the difference was not statistically significant (66% vs. 49%; \(P=0.1\)).

As shown in Table 1, demographics, indications for LT, history of decompensation, and Child class were comparable between patients with and without sarcopenia [Table 1]. On the other hand, MELD score at LT was significantly higher in patients with compared to those without sarcopenia (18 vs. 15, respectively; \(P=0.04\)).

**Patient survival and post-transplant complications in patients with and without sarcopenia**

Mean duration of follow up was 48 months and 46 months in patients with and without sarcopenia, respectively (\(P=0.7\)).

The most commonly used immunosuppressive regimen was tacrolimus \(\pm\) steroids (45% in patients with vs. 54% in patients without sarcopenia), followed by tacrolimus + everolimus \(\pm\) steroids (22% in patients with vs. 29% in patients without sarcopenia), and tacrolimus + mycophenolate \(\pm\) steroids (11% in patients with vs. 12% in patients without sarcopenia), respectively (\(P=0.8\); \(P=0.6\); and \(P=0.5\), respectively).

Overall, patient's survival at 1, 3, and 5 years after LT was comparable between the study groups [Figure 2]. However, when the analysis was adjusted for the severity of underlying liver disease, those with decompensated cirrhosis (Child B/C) and sarcopenia \((n=85)\) showed a significantly reduced survival compared with those without sarcopenia \((n=47)\), whereas in compensated patients (Child A) no difference was found between sarcopenic \((n=36)\) and non-sarcopenic \((n=29)\) recipients [Figure 3].
Figure 2. Post-transplant survival after transplantation was comparable in patients with (green line) vs. without (blue line) sarcopenia.

Figure 3. Post-transplant survival in patients with (green line) vs. without (blue line) sarcopenia according to severity of cirrhosis. In compensated patients (Child A), sarcopenia was not associated with reduced survival after transplantation (A); on the other hand, in decompensated patients (Child B/C), sarcopenia was associated with a significantly reduced short- and medium-term survival (B).
The analysis was then adjusted per the timing of CT scan prior to LT; and patients with CT scan within 3 months prior to LT ($n = 125$) and those with CT scan between 3 months and 6 months prior to LT ($n = 72$) were analysed separately. Interestingly, we found that sarcopenia was associated with lower survival in decompensated but not in compensated patients in both groups; however in patients with CT scan between 3 months and 6 months, the difference was not as significant ($P = 0.05$) as in those with CT within 3 months prior to LT ($P < 0.001$).

In the subgroup of patients with HCC ($n = 142$), no difference in survival was found between patients with ($n = 83$) and without ($n = 59$) sarcopenia. When the analysis was adjusted for tumour status at the time of transplantation, sarcopenia was associated with a trend towards reduced survival in patients with HCC beyond Milan criteria (28 patients with sarcopenia vs. 14 patients without sarcopenia) but not in patients with HCC within Milan criteria (48 patients with sarcopenia vs. 44 patients without sarcopenia) in whom survival was comparable between the two subgroups [Figure 4]. In patients with HCC beyond Milan criteria, rate of HCC recurrence was slightly higher in patients with HCC beyond Milan criteria with sarcopenia compared to patients without sarcopenia (33% vs. 22%, respectively); however, the difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.4$).

Table 2 shows secondary outcomes in patients with vs. without sarcopenia. Length of hospitalization, rates of PNF, acute and chronic cellular rejection, biliary complications, and de novo malignancy were not different between patients with and without sarcopenia [Table 2]. On the other hand, bacterial infections were more frequent in patients with compared to those without sarcopenia (50% vs. 35%; $P = 0.02$) [Table 2].
DISCUSSION

Sarcopenia is a common finding in patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation; however, its impact on post-transplant outcomes remains unclear.

Our study shows, in a large retrospective cohort of patients with cirrhosis who underwent liver transplantation, that in the general cohort, sarcopenia is not associated with reduced post-transplant survival. On the other hand, when the analysis was adjusted for severity of cirrhosis (i.e., compensated and decompensated patients analysed separately), we found that patients with decompensated cirrhosis with sarcopenia had a significantly lower survival than controls with decompensated cirrhosis without sarcopenia. By contrast, this effect was not observed in patients with compensated cirrhosis, in whom survival rates were similar between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic patients. It may be that in sarcopenic patients who undergo liver transplant with compensated liver disease, the negative effect of sarcopenia is relatively less important compared to other factors such as recurrence of primary liver disease. An alternative explanation could be that in compensated patients after LT, there is a more rapid or more significant improvement in muscle mass after LT compared with those who undergo transplantation with decompensated cirrhosis, which prevents the negative sequelae associated with sarcopenia. Further studies that look at the changes of muscle mass after transplantation in compensated vs decompensated patients at the time of transplantation are required to test this hypothesis.

These findings suggest that the impact of sarcopenia on post-transplant survival may vary significantly according to the severity of liver dysfunction at time of transplantation and that proactive treatment of pre-transplant sarcopenia should be especially considered in decompensated candidates in whom improvement of muscle mass could potentially translate into improvement in post-transplant survival.

Some studies have previously assessed the effect of pre-transplant sarcopenia on the risk of complications and survival after transplantation, and have reported conflicting results with sarcopenia being associated with increased risk of death in some studies but not in others. In fact, in a very recent meta-analysis, van Vugt et al. suggested that the current evidence is not robust enough to support the association between sarcopenia and increased risk of death after transplantation. While awaiting large prospective studies to evaluate the impact of pre-transplant sarcopenia on post-transplant mortality, our findings suggest that the severity of liver dysfunction at the time of transplantation is a key factor in this analysis and it should be taken into consideration when assessing the clinical impact of sarcopenia in this patient population.

Table 2. Secondary outcomes in patients with vs. without sarcopenia

| Outcome                          | Patients with sarcopenia (n = 122) | Patients without sarcopenia (n = 75) | P values |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Hospitalization (days)           | ICU 6 (6)                         | 6.2 (6.1)                          | 0.5     |
|                                  | Total 23 (19)                     | 24 (16)                            | 0.7     |
| PNF, n (%)                       | 4 (3%)                            | 4 (5%)                             | 0.4     |
| Bacterial infections, n (%)      | 63 (50%)                          | 26 (35%)                           | 0.02    |
| Fungal infections, n (%)         | 13 (10%)                          | 5 (7%)                             | 0.3     |
| Viral infections, n (%)          | 11 (9%)                           | 4 (5%)                             | 0.3     |
| Biliary stenosis, n (%)          | 23 (21%)                          | 22 (30%)                           | 0.1     |
| Acute rejection, n (%)           | 15 (12%)                          | 8 (10%)                            | 0.7     |
| Chronic rejection, n (%)         | 1 (1.4%)                          | 1 (2.1%)                           | 0.2     |
| De novo malignancy, n (%)        | 4 (5.7%)                          | 3 (6.5%)                           | 0.3     |

Continuous variable expressed as mean (SD), categorical data expressed as frequency and percentage. ICU: intensive care units; PNF: primary non-function.
There is a lack of agreement on how to assess skeletal muscle abnormalities in patients with cirrhosis\(^\text{[24]}\). Per our protocol, sarcopenia was defined by direct quantification of skeletal muscle mass at cross-sectional imaging (CT scan), which is currently considered the gold standard in patients with cirrhosis due to its objective and reproducible measurements. In addition, among the different CT scores that can be used to assess sarcopenia, we specifically choose L3 SMI because of its good correlation with whole body skeletal muscle mass\(^\text{[3,30]}\).

In addition, we used sex-specific cut-offs previously proposed for the diagnosis of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis awaiting LT\(^\text{[3]}\), and we included only patients with a radiological assessment within the 6 months prior to transplantation. Because the muscle mass in patients with cirrhosis may significantly change over a 6 months period, we have assessed the impact of sarcopenia in decompensated patients with CT scan within 3 months prior to LT and in patients with CT scan between 3 months and 6 months prior to LT separately. Interestingly, we found that sarcopenia was associated with lower survival in decompensated but not in compensated patients in both groups; however, the difference in patients with CT scan between 3 months and 6 months was not as evident as in those with CT scan within 3 months, which would suggest that to evaluate the impact of sarcopenia on post-transplant outcomes the evaluation of muscle mass should be performed as close as possible to transplantation.

In line with previous data\(^\text{[3]}\), we confirm the high prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis awaiting LT as well as the positive correlation between sarcopenia and increasing severity of liver dysfunction (Child C > Child A), particularly in males\(^\text{[6]}\). Interestingly enough, however, approximately 50% of patients with Child A included in our study were sarcopenic, which indicates that sarcopenia should be actively screened in all patients with cirrhosis evaluated for transplantation, independent of liver dysfunction severity. On the same note, we found no association between prevalence of sarcopenia and patient sex (60% in male and 50% in female patients), aetiology of liver disease (i.e., patients with HCV, alcoholic, and metabolic-related liver disease), or presence of HCC. This further suggests that the assessment of sarcopenia should be performed in any patient evaluated for LT, independent of sex, aetiology, and indication for transplant\(^\text{[31-33]}\); however this would need confirmation due to the relatively small sample size in our study.

Since the majority of patients included in our analysis had HCC, we also sought to determine the role of sarcopenia in this patient population. In our center, evaluation of transplantability and wait-list priority in candidates with HCC is not based on morphological criteria, such as Milan criteria, but on other factors that would reflect tumour behaviour and aggressiveness, including response to downstaging/bridging treatments and characteristics and timing of HCC recurrence after treatment\(^\text{[34]}\). Thus, we were able to include patients transplanted with HCC beyond MC and to evaluate in these patients the impact of sarcopenia.

We found a weak association between tumour size, as defined by Milan criteria, and prevalence of sarcopenia (being higher in patients with HCC beyond vs. within Milan criteria).

On the same note, we noticed that sarcopenia was associated with worse post-LT survival only in patients who underwent transplantation with HCC beyond Milan criteria. It has been suggested that sarcopenia and alterations of body composition may be associated with increased risks of HCC recurrence and death after transplantation\(^\text{[28,35,36]}\). Our data would further suggest that the impact of sarcopenia in this patient population may vary according to the tumour size, and that patients with more advanced HCC are probably the most at risk.

Among secondary outcomes, we found that sarcopenia was associated with a higher rate of early bacterial infection after transplantation, with as many as 50% of sarcopenic recipients having at least one infection.
in the early post-transplantation period. In agreement with previous findings\cite{19}, these findings suggest that patients with sarcopenia are particularly vulnerable to bacterial infections\cite{19,37,38} and that specific antibiotic prophylaxis may be considered in these patients during the early period post-transplantation.

Our study has some limitations. Firstly, due to the retrospective design, only association and not causation could be determined. Furthermore, some important variables such as donor and graft characteristics, surgery-related factors, causes of death, and specific data on food intake or nutritional intervention before or after LT were lacking. Thus, our findings require validation by large prospective cohorts. Secondly, the most common indication for LT in our cohort was HCV-related cirrhosis and most patients were transplanted before the introduction of direct acting antivirals\cite{39}. Now that the widespread adoption of interferon-free antiviral treatments has significantly changed the composition of the wait-list as well as post-transplant outcomes in HCV recipients\cite{40-42}, new studies looking at the effect of sarcopenia are needed.

In conclusion, we show that sarcopenia is a common finding in patients with cirrhosis awaiting transplantation, independent of sex, indication for LT, aetiology, and severity of underlying liver disease. In those who undergo transplantation with decompensated cirrhosis, sarcopenia is associated with a significantly reduced post-transplant survival.

The assessment of sarcopenia in liver transplant candidates as well as its proactive treatment may improve the recipient’s outcome and should be considered in all patients with cirrhosis awaiting transplantation, particularly those who are decompensated.
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