Future therapy of portal hypertension in liver cirrhosis – a guess
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Abstract

In patients with chronic liver disease, portal hypertension is driven by progressive fibrosis and intrahepatic vasoconstriction. Interruption of the initiating and perpetuating etiology—mostly leading to necroinflammation—is possible for several underlying causes, such as autoimmune hepatitis, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, and most recently hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Thus, in the long run, lifestyle-related liver damage due to chronic alcoholism or morbid obesity will remain the main factor leading to portal hypertension. Both causes are probably more easily countered by socioeconomic measures than by individual approaches. If chronic liver injury supporting fibrogenesis and portal hypertension cannot be interrupted, a wide variety of tools are available to modulate and reduce intrahepatic resistance and therewith portal hypertension. Many of these have been evaluated in animal models. Also, some well-established drugs, which are used in humans for other indications (for example, statins), are promising if applied early and concomitantly to standard therapy. In the future, more individually tailored strategies must also be considered in line with the spectrum of portal hypertensive complications and risk factors defined by high-throughput analysis of the patient’s genome, transcriptome, metabolome, or microbiome.

Introduction

Portal hypertension is not a disease in itself. Rather, it is an indication of an illness, caused mostly by chronic lesions of the liver because of distinct causes, such as viral infection, chronic alcoholism, or metabolic disorders. Other reasons include splanchnic vascular diseases (for example, obstruction of the portal or the hepatic veins). This article focuses on liver disease leading to portal hypertension defined as a pressure in the portal vein exceeding the vena cava pressure by more than 5 mm Hg [1].

The primary cause is an increase in the resistance to drainage of portal venous blood through the liver to the right atrium. This leads to a vasodilation of splanchnic veins, which—with increasing portal pressure—results in de novo formation of collaterals to bypass the liver. Major consequences of this are hyperdynamic circulatory changes, not only in the splanchnic vascular bed but also in the lungs and in the arterial cardiovascular compartment with counteractions comprising the kidneys, the heart, and the endocrine system [2,3]. Sequelae are complications such as ascites, intestinal bleeding, or hepatic encephalopathy. In the past, most efforts concentrated on treatment and prevention of these complications. We believe that, in the future, research will lead to a change of paradigms—at least in Western countries—since the infectious causes of chronic liver disease will fade while metabolic and toxic causes will remain. It can be assumed that, with increased life expectancy, adults in Western Europe will be more burdened by cardiovascular disease, cancer, or dementia syndromes than by complications of portal hypertension. However, in the ranking of leading causes of years of life lost, these complications range between fourth place (Central Europe) and ninth place (in other high-income countries) at the moment [4]. Knowledge of individual reactions to exogenous exposure, toxins, environmental challenges, drugs, or inflammatory stimuli will increase. This will result in improved prevention and in definition of at-risk patients and of those who will benefit from specific measures. Thus, better knowledge of the pathophysiology of the mechanisms which augment intrahepatic resistance.
may allow the development of methods to specifically target cells within the liver which are the active players in fibrogenesis, cell contraction, and vascular remodeling. In this situation, it must always be kept in mind that drugs or modulators, acting within the liver, may have contrary effects on the splanchnic and systemic vascular compartment outside the liver. This review attempts to make some predictions regarding future therapy of portal hypertension with particular emphasis on the catalyst, namely an increase in intrahepatic resistance.

**Decrease of viral associated liver cirrhosis**

Worldwide, 350 to 400 million people are assumed to suffer from chronic HCV infection and 160 million from HCV infection [5,6]. Although the possibilities of vaccination against HBV and effective drugs to suppress HBV replication have existed for decades, the development of treatment of chronic HCV infection, especially for patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis, has remained stagnant for some time. However, the design of direct-acting antiviral agents, including inhibitors of the formation of viral structural proteins, such as NS3/4A protease, NS5B polymerase, and non-structural protein NS5A, with minimal side effects and high efficacy [7], has recently initiated extremely promising trials showing that, even in patients with liver cirrhosis, viral replication can be interrupted in more than 9 out of 10 patients with negligible side effects and a recurrence rate of below 3% [8–10], and it has been shown that interruption (HCV) or suppression (HBV) of viremia prevents complications caused by portal hypertension, even in cases of stage 3 or 4 fibrosis [11–13]. Future therapy thus will no longer have to concentrate on viral-associated portal hypertension, at least in the long term. For example, calculations based on the current prevalence of HCV infection and presumptions of an optimal future treatment and screening allow the conclusion that HCV-associated cirrhosis, as a cause of portal hypertension, will decrease from around 35,000 to 5500 (2030) in Germany, from 4500 to 500 in Sweden, from 35,000 to 1000 in France, and from 11,000 to 2000 in England [14]. The prevention of portal hypertension in these situations is challenged mostly by economics (costs of screening and new drugs), education, and adherence (willingness to get vaccinated, screened, and treated) [10].

**Alcohol and portal hypertension**

Per-capita alcohol consumption is strongly correlated with liver cirrhosis [15]. According to older trials, alcoholic liver disease as the cause of portal hypertension shows a very strong East-to-West gradient with increasing incidence in the eastern parts of Europe [16].

It can be assumed that the relative proportion will increase. However, it is difficult to speculate whether the absolute number of patients with chronic alcoholic liver disease will rise or decline. A very recent analysis from Denmark clearly shows that increasing the tax on alcoholic beverages, raising the minimum legal drinking age, and banning advertisements are more cost-effective measures than individual interventions [17,18]. Obviously, the introduction of such measures is a political issue. As there is no reliable evidence at the moment that chronic alcohol intake will decrease comprehensively, further information and research are required to demonstrate how alcohol intake, in particular, leads to an increase in intrahepatic resistance. To date, acetaldehyde-induced toxic effects, generation of reactive oxygen species, direct or indirect stimulation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) by metabolites, or inflammatory pathways are debated. Also, changes in the microbiota together with a leaky intestinal barrier are increasingly discussed as initiators of hepatic inflammation in patients with alcohol abuse [19].

In the absence of good animal models to recapitulate the human course of alcoholic liver disease [20,21], it is of utmost importance to improve the understanding of the pathogenesis directly in humans, including the individual response to the toxins, personal traits at risk, and respective pathways involved. Although this knowledge may lead to a slightly better treatment of portal hypertension, it appears a rather complex, if not impossible, approach for primary prevention of portal hypertension in a patient unable to abstain from alcohol abuse.

**Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and portal hypertension**

The prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), defined as more than 5% hepatocytes with fatty infiltration, is believed to range between 10% and 20% in Western Europe [22-24]. NAFLD carries a 5% risk for development of cirrhosis within a mean period of 8 years. Probably less than 1% of the patients die because of portal hypertension (variceal hemorrhage) [25]. However, in the US, one quarter of the patients with NAFLD show signs of portal hypertension as assessed by varices, encephalopathy, splenomegaly, or ascites [26]. Approximately 5% to 15% of patients have inflammatory changes together with hepatic steatosis (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, or NASH), which are strongly linked to insulin resistance and type II diabetes mellitus [22,23]. In these patients, the risk of developing progressive fibrosis and cirrhosis may increase to 40% over a period of nearly 5 years, and around 5% will develop complications of end-stage liver disease [27–30]. Of the patients
with NASH-associated cirrhosis, one third may develop bleeding or ascites (or both) during the following 10 to 15 years [27–30]. However, in patients with fatty liver disease on the whole, morbidity and mortality are determined more by cardiovascular complications and malignancy than by hepatic decompensation [31]. Thus, it is important to identify patients in whom pre-primary or primary prophylaxis of portal hypertension may be justified. Patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3 (PNPLA3) polymorphisms may be used as a marker of more rapid fibrogenesis [32] with or without concomitant necroinflammation of the liver. For these high-risk patients, interruption or modulation of this process leading to portal hypertension and its complications may provide a potential approach. A high risk of developing liver disease is also present in patients who have central obesity and insulin resistance [33], ultrasound signs of high fat content in the liver, increased NAFLD/fibrosis scores (Fibrotest, NashTest, SteatoTest, and so on), already increased fibroscan values, and/or histological signs of steatohepatitis [34]. In these patients, dietary and physical activity interventions together with behavioral strategies may decrease the NASH activity score if weight loss surpasses 10% [35]. Presumably, this can be achieved in only a limited number of patients, whereas bariatric surgery is significantly more effective with respect to weight loss and improvement of hepatic steatosis [36]. However, surgical treatment has to be performed prior to occurrence of portal hypertension since otherwise surgery increases postoperative mortality up to 20-fold [37]. Furthermore, alcohol abuse, with its potential for liver damage, may increase after gastric bypass surgery [38]. More recent findings show that bariatric surgery impact is based on considerable changes of gut hormones and adipokines, which shift from a pro-inflammatory to an anti-inflammatory state [39,40]. These alterations have beneficial effects on hepatic necroinflammation and reduce appetite. Thus, bariatric surgery will play a role in only the very early pre-primary prophylaxis of portal hypertension as it is much too dangerous at a later stage. In this situation, prevention of hepatic disease with portal hypertension may be more easily achieved by a less invasive modulation of adipokines and gut hormones, if available. Since many of these patients present with hypertension and other signs of cardiovascular disease, the concomitant effects of angiotensin II type I receptor (AT1R) blockade or statins on the liver should also be further investigated to prevent fibrosis leading to portal hypertension and its complications, as suggested by animal models [41–47]. Here, controlled human studies with clear hepatic endpoints are required in the future.

### Modulation of pathways that increase intrahepatic resistance

Chronic injury of the liver—whether due to infections, toxins, cholestasis, or metabolic or autoimmune disease—induces an inflammatory cascade leading to activation of HSCs, which reside in the space of Disse, to hepatic myofibroblasts, the key cells in the process of fibrogenesis. These cells also have contractile properties; they proliferate and are involved in a complex crosstalk with immune cells [48–50]. When activated, they express receptors which lead to an enhanced response to transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), angiotensin II, or chemokines. These phenomena together with extracellular matrix proteins and integrins are involved in the modulation of the profibrotic activity of the myofibroblasts [51–53]. In these cells, receptor-mediated intracellular signaling involves small GTPases (for example, RhoA), intracellular kinases (Rho-kinase system, Erk, and JAK 2), and Ca-dependent pathways [41,42,54–58]. Activation of different nuclear receptors is also involved in the process of HSC activation [59]. Macrophages, resident (Kupffer cells) or recruited from monocytes, are important players, which initiate and perpetuate fibrogenesis, cell contraction, and angiogenesis [60,61].

Many approaches to modulate or interrupt these different processes have been investigated. Blockade of AT1R and the induced intracellular pathways with a special focus on myofibroblasts reduces intrahepatic resistance and portal pressure as shown by systemic application of Rho-kinase inhibitors or other approaches targeting Rho-kinase activity [41,57,62–65]. The problem of systemic side effects can be solved, at least in the animal model, by specifically targeting the intrahepatic non-parenchymal cells using carriers, which aim at activated HSCs and deliver AT1R blockers, Rho-kinase inhibitors, or interferon-gamma specifically to these cells [66–70]. Furthermore, macrophages are pivotal for HSC activation and upregulation of intrahepatic vasoconstrictors; therefore, they represent a suitable target to blunt progression of fibrosis and portal hypertension [71,72]. Several research teams have attempted to paralyze recruitment, activation, or effector secretion of these cells. Thus, inhibitors of leukotriene (montelukast), Na+/H+-channel blockers (amiloride), and cannabinoi receptors (CBRs) targeting the intrahepatic macrophages attenuate portal pressure by the reduction of hepatic resistance [73–76].

Interestingly, macrophage activation within the liver persists after reduction of intrahepatic resistance by using transjugular intrahepatic porto-systemic shunt (TIPS).
[77–79], suggesting that the inflammatory component should be considered in the treatment of portal hypertension in cirrhotic patients as well, even after successful decrease of portal pressure.

It has been known for quite some time that there is an imbalance in favor of vasoconstrictors versus vasodilators within the liver. Thus, increased levels of endothelin, angiotensin II, norepinephrine, and other vasoconstrictors cannot be compensated by vasodilators such as nitric oxide (NO), the formation of which is reduced in the cirrhotic liver [48,80]. Increase of intrahepatic NO formation clearly decreases intrahepatic resistance and portal hypertension. This can be achieved by delivering NO to the liver [81,82] (even though disappointing in humans), by modulating NO synthase [83], and interestingly by increasing the NO availability by using statins or obeticholic acid [41,57,84–86].

It is difficult to predict which of these approaches, if any, will reach the stage of evidence-based clinical routine in humans, since any such treatment is incomplete and much more complex than interruption of the main cause which initiates and perpetuates liver disease. Established substances, proven in the treatment of other indications for many years, are the primary candidates and include statins, AT-1 receptor blockers, and farnesoid-X receptor (FXR) agonists [41,57,84–86]. These substances not only reduce intrahepatic resistance to a certain, albeit minor, degree (around 10% to 20%) but—at least in the animal model—also blunt fibrogenesis. Additionally, statins improve endothelial dysfunction and blunt a general inflammatory response, both present in patients with liver cirrhosis [84,86]. Therefore, early administration of these substances should be tested mainly in those patients in whom interruption of liver injury leading to liver fibrosis and portal hypertension has failed. This could apply to patients with autoimmune chronic liver disease who respond incompletely to ursodesoxycholic acid (UDC) in primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC), patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), patients unable to abstain from alcohol, and patients with late-stage NAFLD or genetic liver disease.

**Renin-angiotensin system and portal hypertension**

The systemic renin-angiotensin system (RAS) plays a major role in the regulation of the blood pressure and aldosterone secretion, both of which are deranged in patients with liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension. High renin levels in serum can be found in patients with compensated liver cirrhosis [87] and increase considerably with decompensation of liver cirrhosis [88]. In addition, local RAS activation in different tissues, especially the liver and kidney, occurs [89,90]. One major reason for systemic activation of RAS (and secretion of other vasoconstrictors) is the response to a decrease of the intrathoracic effective arterial blood volume caused by splanchnic pooling [2,91]. Formation of the main effectors (angiotensin II and aldosterone) leads to ascites via renal sodium retention on the one hand and portal hypertension via increase of intrahepatic resistance following activation of vascular smooth muscle cells and HSCs/myofibroblasts on the other. It has been repeatedly shown that myofibroblasts express AT1 receptors [58,92,93]. This explains why blockade of AT1 receptors decreases intrahepatic resistance and blunts fibrogenesis, especially in animal models [93,94]. This is in agreement with AT1 receptor-induced intracellular downstream signaling [58]. Accordingly, AT1 knockout mice show less fibrosis, whereas genetic overexpression of RAS or long-term angiotensin II infusion enhances fibrosis and portal pressure (Research group J Trebicka and T Sauerbruch, unpublished data) in rodents [94–96]. Although upregulation of intrahepatic RAS has also been demonstrated in human cirrhotic tissue, clinical trials showed only a trend toward reduction of portal pressure and fibrosis compared with placebo [97,98] after earlier very promising reports [99]. In some trials, systemic application was burdened by hemodynamic side effects [100]. This can be explained by deleterious effects of AT1 receptor blockade in patients with decompensated cirrhosis in whom high activation of RAS is necessary to maintain adequate blood pressure [3]. Thus, RAS blockade to modulate fibrosis and lower portal hypertension probably requires early and long-term treatment with low dosages [63,101], at a time when patients have compensated disease, or require specific targeting of intrahepatic myofibroblasts. However, the necessity for further drug development and subsequent phase I/II trials hampers application of the latter approach in the near future. Systemic application of low doses may be the most promising [63,101,102]. There are indications that—at least in patients with low renin values—sodium retention of the kidney may also be positively influenced by AT1 receptor blockade [63,101,102]. A future therapy of portal hypertension by chronic application of AT1 receptor blockers is reasonable only in patients in whom interruption of the baseline cause of chronic liver cirrhosis cannot be achieved or only partially. Treatment may be combined with propranolol [103] or statins as in cardiovascular disease [86].

Blockade of the AT1 receptor leads to a rebound increase of renin [100] and angiotensin II, which is not only the substrate for cleavage to angiotensin I via angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) but also the precursor for
the formation of angiotensin (1–7) via ACE2 and ACE [97,104]. More recent findings show that angiotensin (1–7) induces vasodilation and blunts fibrosis via stimulation of the Mas-receptor, counteracting deleterious intrahepatic effects in chronic liver disease [97]. At the same time, Mas-receptor stimulation augments extrahepatic splanchnic vasodilation [104], which may increase portal tributary blood flow and portal pressure. This underlines how complex and double-edged manipulation of the RAS in chronic liver cirrhosis can be.

**Intestine and portal hypertension**

A complex interaction exists in humans and other mammals between microbes that colonize different organs by a factor of 10 compared with the eukaryotic cells of their own body [105]. The intestinal tract is by far the most heavily colonized compartment. If the composition of the intestinal microorganisms or the intestinal barrier together with its immune system or both are deranged, the liver is the first organ to encounter microbial products in the sinusoidal delta entered via the portal vein. Such pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or other small molecules may liberate inflammatory cytokines or reactive oxygen species (ROS) via sensing proteins such as inflammasomes [106,107] or Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and elicit intrahepatic vasoconstriction as well as HSC activation [108]. Changes of the intestinal microbiome are believed to contribute decisively to the generation of hepatic inflammation and fibrogenesis [109], especially in overweight patients and patients with alcohol abuse and hepatic steatosis. Currently, more statistical, rather than functional, associations have been generated with the help of the rapidly growing options of high-throughput techniques [110]. With better understanding of how and why gut microbiota change and which key molecules perpetuate intrahepatic cell activation and vasoconstriction, specific interventions in the gut-liver axis may become a future tool for modulation of portal pressure. Thus, it has been shown that intake of rifaximin, a poorly absorbed antibiotic with broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity, reduces systemic lipopolysaccharide (LPS) levels (LPS leads to induction of tumor necrosis factor production via TLR4), decreases portal pressure, and improves systemic hemodynamics [111–113]. However, the other way around, portal decompression using TIPS does not impede the inflammatory influx and its effect on mortality [78,79]. This may be one explanation for the minor impact of shunt procedures on survival [114,115].

The enterohepatic circulation of the bile acids and its influence on portal pressure, the gut, and the respective immune system have been underestimated for a long time. However, now the potential therapeutic role of FXR agonists in blunting bacterial translocation has been demonstrated in recent experimental studies [116–118].

**Shunts**

Shunts were introduced for prevention of variceal bleeding in the 1960s through open surgery [119,120] and since the early 1990s through a TIPS, creating a direct bridge between an intrahepatic branch of the portal vein and the hepatic vein [121,122]. It has been shown in numerous controlled trials that shunts decrease portal hypertension more effectively than any other method and that they guarantee the best prophylaxis from variceal bleeding. Furthermore, excellent studies have shown that TIPS is the optimum approach to blunt RAS activation and treat refractory ascites by improving renal sodium excretion [122,123]. Yet despite these beneficial effects, it is still doubtful whether elective TIPS prolongs survival [114,115]. In fact, reduction of portal venous perfusion of the liver may even deteriorate liver function and shorten time to liver failure in patients with marginal liver function. Interestingly, signs of intrahepatic inflammatory response persist after TIPS as mentioned above [77–79], suggesting that the mere interruption of portal hypertension improves disease-associated cardiovascular changes but not the chronic inflammatory response found in liver cirrhosis. Although elective or rescue shunts for variceal bleeding have not been shown to convincingly improve survival, there is new evidence from small controlled trials that very early shunting—within less than 2 days after a variceal bleeding episode—using TIPS [124–126] prolongs long-term survival compared with standard non-shunt therapy in high-risk patients. Although they lack some basal requirements for clinical trials, data from one very experienced center suggest that an immediate open surgical side-to-side shunt is superior to endoscopic hemostasis and even to TIPS with respect to long-term survival and rebleeding [127]. One may hypothesize from these few studies that there is a subgroup of patients with portal hypertension in whom bleeding is the prime problem and who are therefore candidates for early shunt treatment. Future management will need more input to define these patients.

**Infection and portal hypertension**

Acute infections worsen portal hypertension in patients with liver cirrhosis and may induce bleeding [128,129]. In contrast, variceal hemorrhage itself is less often a precipitating event for acute-on-chronic liver failure [130]. Nevertheless, if patients bleed because of portal hypertension, antibiotic therapy is paramount and one of the most important steps to prevent death [131,132].

In regard to primary prophylaxis of complications due to portal hypertension, more information is required on
the individual response to infections [133–135], especially to stimuli coming from the gut in order to investigate whether antibiotics can reduce portal pressure and prevent bleeding in selected patients [113].

**Adrenergic system and nitric oxide**

There exists the paradox of an enhanced vasoconstrictive response within the liver and a decrease outside the liver. Both phenomena contribute to the pathogenesis of portal hypertension and the generalized vascular dysfunction in patients with liver cirrhosis [136,137]. The interconnection between β-adrenoceptors and NO, which is of particular importance for the alterations outside the liver, is beyond the scope of this review. Within the liver the progression of disease upregulates β3-adrenoceptors, which mediate relaxation of contractile cells, and therefore might offer a suitable target to decrease resistance using selective agonists [138]—similarly to the above-mentioned enhancement of intrahepatic NO formation.

Outside the liver, non-selective β-blockers, introduced more than three decades ago for prevention of variceal rebleeding, have held a paramount role in the prophylaxis of variceal bleeding [139–141]. According to current knowledge, they reduce portal pressure by lowering portal tributary blood flow [140,141]. Carvedilol, a non-selective β-blocker with intrinsic α1-adrenergic activity, may replace propranolol in the future as suggested by randomized trials on prophylaxis of first bleeding and one trial [142], which compared the hemodynamic response of the two substances [143].

**Personalized treatment of portal hypertension**

A common catchword for future treatment approaches in medicine is personalized treatment. In regard to portal hypertension and liver cirrhosis, several aspects have to be taken into consideration: etiology of liver disease and different natural outcomes, which determine time to liver failure or a variety of complications (for example, primary bleeding, ascites, or hepatocellular carcinoma) or both. All of these require different interventions. Thus, patients presenting with high renal sodium retention, ascites, and bleeding may profit more from TIPS than patients presenting only with bleeding, whereas patients who do not show adequate reduction of hepatic venous pressure gradient to β-blockers or who have severe ascites or spontaneous bacterial peritonitis may be poor candidates for primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding with propranolol [144–146].

In the future, genetic, metabolomics, or proteomic information, as well as an integration of all of these, may help to better assign patients to the right therapies. The genetic information may include genes that make patients prone to alcoholism as well as genes involved in alcohol metabolism, the natural immune response, the steatotic reaction of the liver, fibrogenesis, or drug metabolism [134,147–150]. However, it may also include genes which do not fall into biological pathways known to be involved in liver disease, identified through systematic genome-wide approaches such as genome-wide association studies or, ultimately, genome sequencing. In any case, before such information can be used in a clinical setting, its clinical value has to be demonstrated in adequate clinical studies. To date, only genetic defects that are of prime importance for initiation of disease (for example, in single-gene disorders such as hemochromatosis or Wilson’s disease) allow timely intervention and thus prevention of portal hypertension. However, first studies have suggested that common genetic variation in NOD2 (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2) or TLR2 may predispose cirrhotic patients to infectious complications and that patients with certain PNPLA3 variants may be more prone to rapid fibrosis [134,148–150].

It remains to be seen whether decoding of the genome as well as of the microbiome or metabolome with respect to pathways leading to end-stage liver disease will actually enable the definition of targets for timely intervention. This may not work for the treatment of multiple interacting mechanisms. Here, the question arises how this complex information can be integrated in a meaningful way. The major challenge in the realization of the concept of systems biology will require the development of complex computational models. The hope is that by these means disease complications, which in our case is portal hypertension, can be prevented in the future. If this becomes true, there will be a change of paradigms in medicine because judgment of physicians and clinical research will be replaced by computer algorithms.

**Other approaches**

It has been shown that inflammation and fibrosis of the liver are influenced by the endocannabinoid system [151,152]. CBR2 has protective properties, whereas in different models of liver injury in rodents, upregulation of the CBR1 has been shown to enhance fibrogenesis and steatosis [151,152]. Thus, the respective specific ligands might be suitable for targeting portal hypertension in chronic liver disease as shown in animal studies [153,154], provided that these drugs do not enter the central nervous system where they can cause psychotropic side effects.

Portal hypertension together with liver inflammation induces angiogenesis [155,156], which supports
splanchnic hyperemia and formation of collaterals [157–159]. Antiangiogenetic therapy (for example, with multikinase inhibitors), already established for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma [160], reduced portal hypertension in animal models [157,159,161] and in very preliminary pilot studies in humans [162]. However, side effects have to be considered [155,156,158,161]. Yet according to animal studies, doses lower than those applied in cancer treatment may effectively blunt portal hypertension in the future.

At the cirrhosis stage, the liver has obviously lost its enormous ability of proper and differentiated renewal. It has been shown in rodent models that mesenchymal or epithelial stem/progenitor cells restore injured parenchyma in fibrotic livers [163,164], and a pilot report on the application of autologous bone marrow-derived stem cells into the hepatic artery in patients with cirrhosis showed subsequent improvement of liver function [165]. Yet hemodynamic studies were not included in these studies, and infarction of liver areas by infused cells might even augment portal hypertension.

**Regression of fibrosis/cirrhosis and portal hypertension**

As delineated above, fibrogenesis is a complex process driven by necroinflammation caused by different noxa [49,166]. Considerable progress has been made in the characterization of cells, which activate HSCs and stimulate the formation of myofibroblasts [49,61,167]. The resulting deposition of collagen is the fixed structural cause of portal hypertension. However, fibrosis (generally divided into a perisinusoidal and septal form) is not synonymous with cirrhosis, the definition of which comprises not only an increase in collagen tissue but also abnormal nodules, neovascularization, and intrahepatic vascular shunts [166], leading to hemodynamic alterations, especially portal hypertension. The possibility of whether full-blown cirrhosis is reversible, which would be the optimal treatment of portal hypertension, has been questioned. However, a few decades ago [168,169], anecdotal reports were published on the disappearance of varices in patients with liver cirrhosis after longer periods of abstinence and on continuous phlebotomy in patients with hemochromatosis or loss of hepatitis B surface antigen. Further reports showed that correction of mechanical cholestasis in patients with chronic pancreatitis [170] or bariatric surgery in patients with NAFLD [171], venesection therapy in genetically proven hemochromatosis [172], or treatment of autoimmune hepatitis [173] led to reduction of different fibrosis scores as assessed by follow-up biopsies. In most of these studies, a change in portal pressure was not systematically determined. One study on a limited number of patients with compensated hepatitis C-related cirrhosis [174], in which interruption of the underlying cause decreased portal hypertension within 12 months after interruption of viremia (even without a change in the histological stage), showed that portal pressure reacts early to reduced inflammation but not necessarily combined with regression of the fibrotic stage.

More recent systematic reviews [175–178] on patients with viral cirrhosis clearly show that suppression or interruption of the underlying cause (viremia) led to histologically proven reversal of liver cirrhosis in around 60% of patients with a rather broad range (30% to 100%) in the individual studies. Non-invasive techniques, such as elastography, demonstrated even higher response rates [175]. However, it has to be kept in mind that the vast majority of these patients had compensated cirrhosis and that the observed reversal required many years. Furthermore, cirrhosis may even progress in a small number of patients [179] despite a lack of viremia. Interestingly, portal inflammation as well as sinusoidal capillarization persisted even 5 years after interruption of hepatitis C viremia [180].

Better understanding of the process of regression could be a key focus to develop future treatment of portal hypertension. Most of the as-yet-incomplete knowledge derives from studies in rodents. Regeneration of hepatocytes, matrix degradation together with apoptosis, reversal to a quiescent state, or senescence of HSCs is critical [167,181]. These events are prevented by certain components of the extracellular matrix (collagen I) with activation of HSCs and induction of an imbalance toward inhibition of extracellular matrix-degrading matrix metalloproteinases [167,182]. Macrophages appear to hold a pivotal function in this process since they not only secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines leading to fibrosis but also have a restorative phenotype in the process of degradation of extracellular matrix [61]. In rodents [181], but also in humans [183], remodeling with regression of fibrosis is accompanied by a change from micronodular to macronodular cirrhosis with nodules expanding against fibrotic septa, which are more or less degraded during this process.

**Conclusions**

Interruption of mechanisms that initiate and perpetuate portal hypertension in chronic liver disease will remain the ideal approach to counter associated complications. If this is not possible, decrease of intrahepatic resistance by other means is a major goal. Thus, deactivation of pathways that stimulate the main players (HSCs and myofibroblasts) is effective but complex as shown in numerous animal models (Figure 1). Large studies of
Humans are still lacking. Shunt procedures are the most rapid and effective measure to decrease portal venous outflow resistance and reduce portal pressure. Yet they can improve survival only to a minor degree if at all. Hence, the increase in portal pressure is a side effect rather than the main cause of the disease. Reduction of the concomitant pro-inflammatory state of patients with liver cirrhosis could provide a further avenue for future investigations. Individualized and personalized treatment and definition of specific risks will become more important. However, it will be difficult to prove all of this by randomized trials.
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