Equation of state in a small system: Violation of an assumption of Maxwell’s demon
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Abstract – An equation of state for an ideal gas with a small number of particles is studied. The resulting equation is found to differ from that expected in conventional thermodynamics, which is strikingly illustrated when considering the traditional thermodynamic problem of Maxwell’s demon. We clarify the mechanism of this different feature of thermodynamics arising in small systems.

The thought experiment by Maxwell [1] known as Maxwell’s demon has attracted the attention of physicists for more than a century [2]. Maxwell’s demon is a well-known paradox based on the fundamentals of thermodynamics, which seemingly permits a violation of the second law of thermodynamics. In the original thought experiment, we are asked to imagine a demon that controls a gate between two compartments. Being able to determine the speed of the particles in each compartment, the demon opens the gate in such a way as to collect more high-speed particles in one compartment, thus decreasing the entropy of the system, in seeming violation of the second law. About half a century after Maxwell, Szilard extended Maxwell’s demon, devising a model which allowed quantitative description on the extracted work [3].

The framework of Szilard’s formulation is depicted in fig. 1. One thermal molecule is in an isothermal system and the cycle of Maxwell’s demon is considered to be composed of two processes: 1) measurement (observation) of the molecule position and 2) isothermal expansion in the process from state B to C. By measurement, the demon determines whether the molecule is on the left or right side and inserts a wall to create a piston, which is accompanied by a mechanical load (weight) for extraction of work. In this way, work of an amount \( W = k_B T \ln 2 \) can be extracted from the system by isothermal expansion. Through cyclic operation, i.e. following the process from states A → B → C → A, work is perpetually extracted out of the isothermal system. The operation transfers ambient thermal energy into work through the isothermal expansion of the “piston”, resulting in a decrease in entropy, \( k_B \ln 2 \). In order to save the second law, Szilard described how the entropy decrease would be compensated “... if the execution of such a measurement were, for

(a) E-mail: hondou@cmpt.phys.tohoku.ac.jp

Fig. 1: Conventional scheme of Maxwell’s demon, after Szilard (1929) [3].
instance, always accompanied by production of \( k \ln 2 \) units of entropy" [3].

As has recently been pointed out [4], Szilard did not prove his compensation theory, but assumed that his heat engine would always preserve the second law. Most studies of Maxwell’s demon have followed the theoretical framework of Szilard [2], and have focused on the energy cost of observation [5]. In such studies, it is generally assumed that, for ideal gases, one can extract work, \( W \), even for a one-molecule isothermal piston, of an amount given by the relation \( W = \int_{V_i}^{V_f} P(V) dV = nRT \ln(V_f/V_i) \), where \( P \) is the pressure, \( n \) is the number of molecules in a mole, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) is the temperature, and \( V_i \) and \( V_f \) are the initial and final volumes of the piston, respectively [6]. Thus, the conventional equation of state for an ideal gas has been implicitly assumed: \( PV = nRT \). In other words, studies of Maxwell’s demon have generally been performed within the framework of conventional macroscopic thermodynamics, regardless of the small number of molecules [7].

On the other hand, recent experimental developments have enabled experimental observation of even a single molecule in some situations. In molecular motors [8–10] the value of the work extracted in an elementary process fluctuates over repeated experiments, which is in contrast to the thought experiment of Maxwell’s demon. This suggests that there may be an essential fault in the basic assumption of Maxwell’s demon on this scale, because an essential experimentally observed feature of a small-scale system, thermal fluctuation, has not been properly accounted for. In addition, recent studies that describe the energetics in thermally fluctuating systems have shown that there is another way of describing the thermodynamics of small systems kinetically without employing entropy [11]. From this background, a question was recently raised by Hatano and Sasa [12] as to the validity of the assumption of the work extracted in Szilard’s model. The study was an attempt at quantitative estimation of the work extracted in an operational manner, which is in contrast to conventional thought experiments. Although their study was a pioneering one, the model resulted in broken detailed balance even in the equilibrium state.

In this paper, we analyze the equation of state in an extremely small system, within the boundary of kinetics. We discuss an equilibrium process here; however, an interesting aspect of relaxation into equilibrium is discussed by Crosignani and Porto [13]. We show that the equation of state that Szilard and others have assumed for isothermal expansion is not appropriate. We clarify the theoretical origin of the difference between an extremely small system and conventional macroscopic systems towards the construction of a system of thermodynamics for small systems [7].

We begin with an estimation of the equation of state of one molecule because typical features of small systems are expected at this limit. In order to derive the equation, we must confine ourselves to a concrete model that permits us to treat the system only within the framework of kinetics. As in the study by Hatano and Sasa [12], we consider a one-dimensional piston in thermal equilibrium (fig. 2), where the dynamical variables are \( N \) “thermal particles” and a movable piston on one side. By replacing the volume \( V \) by the distance between the wall and the movable piston \( X \), \( n \) by \( N/n_a \), and \( R \) by \( n_a k_B \), we obtain the equation of state for the system, \( P X = N k_B T \), where \( n_a \) and \( k_B \) are the Avogadro constant and the Boltzmann constant, respectively.

Hatano and Sasa claimed that for ideal gases the equation of state depends on the masses of the thermal particles and the piston and that the conventional equation of state is valid if the ratio of the mass of the piston to that of the particle is sufficiently large. However, we found, by the method of stochastic energetics [11], that in the study by Hatano and Sasa, there is a finite current between two thermal baths, one of which is in contact with a thermal wall and the other of which is in contact with a movable piston, resulting in the broken detailed balance. We can learn from this that we cannot naively utilize a “hybrid” model, for example, Langevin dynamics (for a piston) and Hamiltonian dynamics (for a thermal particle) simultaneously, because Langevin dynamics is a coarse-grained description of Hamiltonian dynamics [14]. In order to maintain self-consistency in a class of description, we should return to the framework of elementary mechanics.

In most literature on Maxwell’s demon, it is assumed that we can fix a movable piston by applying an external force of the same value as the conventional (internal) pressure. This assumption fails in a small system, as discussed later. Thus, we replace the coarse-grained quantity “pressure” \( P \) by the mechanical force \( f \) externally.

Fig. 2: One-dimension system composed of thermal particles and a movable piston. The force of a constant load, \( f \), on the movable piston competes with the “pressure” of thermal particles.
exerted on the piston. This yields a reference equation, \( fX = Nk_BT \), which we will use to discuss the validity of the conventional equation of state, and hence the validity of the frameworks of the previous studies. We assume that collisions between the variables (particle(s) and a piston) are perfectly elastic and that the movable piston is frictionless, since “friction” is also a coarse-grained quantity which must be excluded for consistency. Then, the total energy of the system of one thermal particle \((N = 1)\) is written as

\[
E = \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2M} + fX, \tag{1}
\]

where \(m(p_i)\) and \(M(p_i)\) are the masses (momenta) of the thermal particle and the piston, respectively, and \(f\) is a force applied to the movable piston. To keep the system isothermal, a thermal wall [15] is introduced. The particle is reflected with a positive random velocity according to Maxwell’s velocity distribution at the boundary of the system, \(x = 0\), where \(x\) is the position of a thermal particle. The thermal wall realizes thermal equilibrium of the system. Because the system is one-dimensional, the thermal particle is always lower than the piston, \(x \leq X\).

One naively expects that the equation of state for one molecule should be obtained by replacing \(N\) by 1 in the reference equation \( fX = Nk_BT \); namely \( fX = k_BT \). The extension of conventional thermodynamics into those of one molecule has been performed since Szilard [3]. In the following, we will investigate the validity of this extension in order to uncover the nature of thermodynamics for a few molecules. Three methods of analyses are performed independently: 1) numerical simulation, 2) Master equation analysis, and 3) Gibbs’ statistical mechanics.

In the simulation, the system obeys Hamiltonian dynamics with the exception of the thermal wall, in which the thermal particle is reflected with a random velocity within the velocity distribution \( \frac{m}{2k_BT} e^{-mv^2/2k_BT} (v > 0) \) when the particle arrives at the boundary \(x = 0\). The condition of the thermal wall is known to direct the target system into thermal equilibrium [15]. The numerical simulation revealed that the equation of state for one molecule is in fact

\[
f(X) = 2k_BT, \tag{2}
\]

where the angular brackets indicate an average value. This result is obviously different from that which is conventionally assumed.

We obtained the same analytical result using the Master equation of the distribution function in a phase space, which consists of Liouville terms and collision terms. Let \(\rho(x, v, X, v_p)\) be the probability density of the particles (a thermal particle and a movable piston), and \(v\) and \(v_p\) the velocities of the thermal particle and movable particle, respectively. Here we use velocities instead of momenta for simplicity. The density function obeys the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho(x, v, X, v_p)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X} + f \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial v} + \theta(v - v_p)(v - v_p)\delta(x - X) + \theta(v_p - v)(v_p - v)
\]

\[
\times \rho(x, m - M, m + m, v, v_p, M, v) + M - m\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \ln \frac{2\pi^\frac{k_B}{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(2m + p_y^2/2M) + fX}(X - x), \tag{3}
\]

The first three terms of the R.H.S. of this equation come from the Liouville equation and the last two terms come from collision effects. A stochastic boundary condition is applied to the system at one end, that is, \(\rho(x = +0, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k_BT}} \exp[-mv^2/2k_BT]\) for \(v > 0\). The stochastic condition corresponds to the thermal wall in the numerical simulation. From straightforward calculation, one obtains a stationary solution, \(\rho(x, p_x, X, p_y) = \frac{f^2}{2\pi(k_BT)^{\frac{3}{2}} m} \exp\left(-\frac{(p_x^2/2m + p_y^2/2M + fX)}{k_BT}\right)\delta(X - x)\), where \(\theta\) is a Heaviside step function. From this equation, we again obtain eq. (2). Note that the result is independent of the masses of both the thermal particle and the movable piston, which is in contrast to the result by Hatano-Sasa [12].

Although we introduced a thermal wall and, correspondingly, a stochastic boundary condition, one may obtain the same generalized result without applying such boundary conditions, but instead using conventional Gibbs’ statistical mechanics. Since the present system has two constant parameters, temperature and force (applied to the piston), the system can be described by a pressure ensemble (\(P-T\) ensemble) of Gibbs’ statistical mechanics [16]. The quantity “pressure” in a conventional pressure ensemble is replaced here by a force. This replacement does not alter any general result of the pressure ensemble, because one can reprove any derivation in the pressure ensemble with this replacement. The Laplace transformation between a partition function of the canonical ensemble \(Z\) and that of the pressure ensemble \(Y\) is given as \(Y = \int_0^\infty dV \exp[-PV/(k_BT)]/Z\) [16], from which we obtain the partition function of the pressure ensemble of the system of \(N\) particles, \(Y = F^N \sum_Y \left(-\frac{f}{k_BT} \sum X = \frac{1}{k_BT} \frac{\partial \ln Y}{\partial f}\right) = (N + 1)k_BT/f\). Thus, we have \(f(X) = (N + 1)k_BT\). The previous result, \(f(X) = 2k_BT\), is again obtained by replacing \(N\) by 1. One finds that in a sufficiently large system, \(N \gg 1\) (thermodynamic limit), \(N + 1\) may be replaced by \(N\). However, in the small system, the difference between \(N + 1\) and \(N\) is crucial, as shown in fig. 3.
This result is apparently in contrast to the basic assumption made by Szilard and others, in which the macroscopic thermodynamic relation is assumed to hold even in a small system, namely, $fX = k_BT$ for one molecule. In such studies, we find that it has been assumed that one can “fix” or quasi-statistically “control” the position of the piston, where the existence of the working bath (or “working reservoir”) behind the piston is implicitly assumed. However, for a small system, the question as to how one can fix or control the piston in an operational or experimental manner should be carefully examined [18]. The validity of the concept of the working bath is not evident in small systems [11]. If one fixes the position of the piston, that is, if one eliminates the variability of the piston, work cannot be extracted out of the system because the “fixed” piston cannot transfer thermal energy into work. Such a framework is in contradiction to, and thus is no longer physically valid in, studies of Maxwell’s demon. This is precisely the reason why we introduced an external load to the piston instead of using the concepts of “pressure” or a “working bath”. It should also be noted that the movable piston satisfies the thermal property (Maxwell’s velocity distribution) merely through kinetic collision with a thermal particle. The evidence supports the physical consistency of the present description.

In the following paragraphs, we will present the role of thermal fluctuation as it essentially relates to the equation of state [19]. We have the distribution function in phase space, $\rho = P'e^{-fX/k_BT}\theta(X-x_N)\theta(x_N-x_{N-1})\cdots\theta(x_2-x_1)$, where $P'$ and $x_i$ are a factor and the position of the $i$-th thermal particle $(X > x_N > \ldots > x_2 > x_1)$, respectively. By integrating all the spatial coordinates, except for that of the movable piston, $X$, we obtain the distribution function of the movable piston:

$$\rho(X) = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{k_BT}{f} \right)^{N+1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{fX - Nk_BT \ln X}{k_BT} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Now, we define the coordinate $X = X^*$ where the distribution function $\rho(X)$ is maximum. From eq. (4), we get the relation $X^* = Nk_BT/f$. Thus, the conventional thermodynamic relation $fX = Nk_BT$ still remains for the most probable position of the piston, $X^*$, although it does not hold for the average position. The reason why the average position of the piston deviates from the most probable position, $X^*$, is that thermal fluctuation of the piston is substantial for small systems, as seen by the relation $\sqrt{\langle(X - \langle X\rangle)^2\rangle}/\langle X \rangle = 1/\sqrt{N+1}$. Figure 4 shows the distribution function of the movable piston for three different quantities of thermal particles ($N = 1, 10$, and $100$), where the horizontal axis is normalized by $N$. As the number of particles decreases, the fluctuation from the most probable position, $X = X^*$, and simultaneously the asymmetry of the distribution function, increases, while the most probable position of the piston continues to obey the conventional equation of state. Thus, the average equation of state deviates from the conventional one as the number of particles decreases. An interesting question relevant to any technique that reduces the thermal fluctuation of one molecule, as applied in probe microscopy [20], may arise here: Which position does the frozen position of a molecule correspond to, the most probable position or the average position obtained before the reduction in thermal fluctuation?

We can learn here the features of the concept of a “quasi-static process” in extremely small systems. Szilard and others implicitly assumed that the expansion process could be described in the same quasi-static framework as its macroscopic counterpart. However, the condition of a quasi-static process requires a completely different framework at the microscopic level from that at the macroscopic level [18]. A quasi-static condition always requires that a system should itinerate all the possible phase space sufficiently under the current parameters of
the system. For this requirement, the system parameters should vary slowly enough during a quasi-static thermodynamic process. In our case, what should vary slowly is not the position of the piston but the force, $f$. In the expansion process, the piston moves rapidly following a slow change in the force. Thus, the conventional picture of a gradually expanding piston does not correspond to quasi-static expansion in small systems. Szilard and others had not anticipated this fact.

In this paper, we showed in the framework of Szilard’s model that the equation of state for a few molecules is different from that previously assumed by Szilard and others. In the thermodynamic limit, the equation of state is found to coincide with the conventional equation of state. The result reveals that the macroscopic framework of thermodynamics has been incorrectly extended into the one molecule world, which has been assumed in the literature of Maxwell’s demon.

This counterexample to the basic assumption of Maxwell’s demon brings to light the need to develop the thermodynamics of small systems. This is important not only for Maxwell’s demon but also for proper interpretation and modeling of one-molecule experiments, in which the experimental data vary among observations. One-molecule thermodynamics is not a rescaled version of its macroscopic counterpart. Filling the gap between one-molecule thermodynamics and macroscopic thermodynamics should be an interesting challenge from both philosophical and practical viewpoints of physics.
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