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Potential ecophysiological responses to nitrogen deposition, which is considered to be one of the leading causes for global biodiversity loss, were studied for the endangered endemic Mexican epiphytic orchid, *Laelia speciosa*, via a shadehouse dose-response experiment (doses were 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) in order to assess the potential risk facing this orchid given impending scenarios of nitrogen deposition. Lower doses of nitrogen of up to 20 kg N ha yr$^{-1}$, the dose that led to optimal plant performance, acted as fertilizer. For instance, the production of leaves and pseudobulbs were respectively 35% and 36% greater for plants receiving 20 kg N ha yr$^{-1}$ than under any other dose. Also, the chlorophyll content and quantum yield peaked at $0.66 \pm 0.03$ g m$^{-2}$ and $0.85 \pm 0.01$, respectively, for plants growing under the optimum dose. In contrast, toxic effects were observed at the higher doses of 40 and 80 kg N ha yr$^{-1}$. The $\delta^{13}$C for leaves averaged $-14.7 \pm 0.2\%o$ regardless of the nitrogen dose. In turn, $\delta^{15}$N decreased as the nitrogen dose increased from $0.9 \pm 0.1\%o$ under 2.5 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ to $-3.1 \pm 0.2 \%o$ under 80 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, indicating that orchids preferentially assimilate NH$_4^+$ rather than NO$_3^-$ of the solution under higher doses of nitrogen. *Laelia speciosa* showed a clear response to inputs of nitrogen, thus, increasing rates of atmospheric nitrogen deposition can pose an important threat for this species.
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ABSTRACT

Potential ecophysiological responses to nitrogen deposition, which is considered to be one of the leading causes for global biodiversity loss, were studied for the endangered endemic Mexican epiphytic orchid, *Laelia speciosa*, via a shadehouse dose-response experiment (doses were 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) in order to assess the potential risk facing this orchid given impending scenarios of nitrogen deposition. Lower doses of nitrogen of up to 20 kg N ha yr\(^{-1}\), the dose that led to optimal plant performance, acted as fertilizer. For instance, the production of leaves and pseudobulbs were respectively 35% and 36% greater for plants receiving 20 kg N ha yr\(^{-1}\) than under any other dose. Also, the chlorophyll content and quantum yield peaked at 0.66 ± 0.03 g m\(^{-2}\) and 0.85 ± 0.01, respectively, for plants growing under the optimum dose. In contrast, toxic effects were observed at the higher doses of 40 and 80 kg N ha yr\(^{-1}\). The δ\(^{13}\)C for leaves averaged –14.7 ± 0.2‰ regardless of the nitrogen dose.

In turn, δ\(^{15}\)N decreased as the nitrogen dose increased from 0.9 ±0.1‰ under 2.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) to – 3.1 ± 0.2 ‰ under 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), indicating that orchids preferentially assimilate NH\(_4^+\) rather than NO\(_3^-\) of the solution under higher doses of nitrogen. *Laelia speciosa* showed a clear response to inputs of nitrogen, thus, increasing rates of atmospheric nitrogen deposition can pose an important threat for this species.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen deposition is considered among the leading global causes of biodiversity loss (Vitousek, 1994; Chapin et al., 2000; Sala et al., 2000). While nitrogen is an essential nutrient for all living organisms, its accelerated release to the atmosphere and ultimate deposition has caused saturation of various ecosystems around the world, leading to significant biodiversity loss by direct toxicity, acidification, and nutrient imbalances between nitrogen and other major nutrients (Aber et al., 1989; Bauer et al., 2004; Le Bauer and Treseder, 2008; Bobbink et al., 2010; Templer et al., 2012). Most studies regarding the effects of nitrogen deposition on biodiversity have been conducted in the USA and Europe, while studies from megadiverse countries are scant (Bobbink et al., 2010).

Considering that the latter countries tend to have developing economies and accelerated industrialization processes, it is urgent to determine the effects that current and future nitrogen deposition rates may have on their local biodiversities (Austin et al., 2013).

A life-form particularly susceptible to the noxious effects of nitrogen deposition are epiphytic plants, such as certain species of orchids and bromeliads, given their reliance on atmospheric sources for nutrients and water (Zotz and Asshoff, 2010; Zotz et al., 2010; Mondragón et al., 2015). In this respect, *Laelia speciosa* (Kunth.) Shltr. (Orchidaceae) is an endemic, endangered orchid from central Mexico that has a cultural importance in Michoacán. Not only the plant is collected for its attractive flowers, but juice is extracted from its pseudobulbs and mixed with maize cane pith to produce a paste that is used for the production of sacred art in West Central Mexico (Soto-Arenas and Solano-Gómez, 2007). In addition to extractive pressure, this species faces environmental challenges considering that oak forests, to which this species is restricted, are likely to be severely reduced during the
present century (Villers-Ruiz and Trejo-Vázquez, 2000; Rehfeldt et al., 2012). This study assessed whether nitrogen deposition can also pose a threat to this species. However, because current rates of nitrogen deposition are rather low within the area of distribution for *L. speciosa* (Díaz-Álvarez et al., 2014), it was deemed necessary to conduct a shadehouse dose-response experiment to determine the effects of potential future nitrogen deposition on this plant.

Indeed, the purpose of this study was to determine some ecophysiological responses of the endangered neotropical epiphytic orchid *Laelia speciosa* by means of a dose-response shadehouse experiment, in which, the organ production, chlorophyll content, chlorophyll fluorescence, carbon and nitrogen content and isotopic signatures were evaluated for assessing the potential risk that increasing rates of nitrogen deposition pose for this species.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Plant material*

*Laelia speciosa* is a sympodial epiphytic orchid with big and showy flowers that have pink to lilac-purple petals and a white lip. Flowers are produced during the spring, while an annually produced carbon-storing pseudobulb develops during the summer. *Laelia speciosa* grows in sub-humid temperate climates of central Mexico, between 1250 and 2500 m where its predominant phorophyte *Quercus deserticola* is also found (Soto-Arenas and Solano-Gómez, 2007).

Two-year old plants of *Laelia speciosa* obtained by *in vitro* propagation were transferred into 2L plastic pots containing tezontle (particles were 2-5 cm in diameter), a very porous volcanic rock that is extensively utilized for gardening and hydroponic horticulture given its
suitable physicochemical properties (Vargas-Tapia et al., 2008; Yáñez-Ocampo et al., 2009).

Organic matter was removed from the tezontle by submersion in a \( \text{SO}_4 \text{H}_2 \) aqueous solution (50% v/v) followed by a double rinse with deionized, distilled water. The procedure was repeated thrice. The pots were placed in a shadehouse for 2 years at Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelia (19° 38’ 55.9” N; 101° 13’ 45” W; 1967m, mean annual temperature of 18.3 °C, annual precipitation 773 mm; Servicio Meteorológico Nacional, 2011), where they were watered every other week until the start of the experiment.

A total of 120 plants were selected at random and assigned to one of six groups, each with 20 plants, which received different doses of nitrogen. At the start of the experiment, the plants had 4 pseudobulbs and one leaf (15 cm in length).

**Nitrogen deposition scenarios**

Starting on 1 October 2011, the plants were watered weekly over two months with 50 ml of a modified Hoagland No. 2 solution from which the nitrogen was omitted to be able to simultaneously supply suitable amounts of nutrients and manipulate the dose of nitrogen dispensed to plants (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950; Nobel and de la Barrera, 2002). At the end of this period, six simulated atmospheric deposition scenarios were applied by adding 1, 4, 8, 16, 32 mM of \( \text{NH}_4 \text{NO}_3 \) to the watering solution, equivalent to 2.5, 10, 20, 40, or 80 kg of N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) respectively. In this respect, a threshold for plant physiological damage has been observed at 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), while rates of 40 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) are common in certain parts of Mexico City (Britto and Kronzucker 2002; Secretaría del Medio Ambiente del Gobierno del Distrito Federal 2012). The range of doses considered was chosen to establish the threshold for physiological damage for *L. speciosa*, and to determine the effects of deposition rates that are
likely to occur during the present century. All amounts were calculated according to the area of the pot of 201 cm$^2$. Weekly applications of 50 ml of the experimental solutions were conducted over 26 weeks, from December 2011 to June 2012. This period corresponds to the growth season and reproductive development of *Laelia speciosa* (Halbinger and Soto-Arenas, 1997; Soto-Arenas, 1994; Soto-Arenas and Solano-Gómez, 2007). Irrigation was carried out on the whole surface of the pot, the coarse substrate allowed the complete drainage of the nutrient solution, simulating what occurs in the canopy.

**Physiological responses**

**Organ production**

The emergence of flowers, which are displayed for a few weeks, was recorded weekly. In turn, the total production of new leaves and pseudobulbs, which are persistent, was recorded at the end of the experiment.

**Chlorophyll content**

Leaf discs were obtained with a cork borer (12-mm in diameter) from 5 plants per nitrogen deposition scenario to determine the concentration of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll in the plant tissue. The photosynthetic pigments were extracted by macerating leaf tissue with a chilled (3 °C) mortar and pestle in an aqueous solution of acetone (80% v/v) and brought to a final volume of 20 ml. Absorbance was measured at 663 and 646 nm with an EZ 301 spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Chlorophyll concentration was calculated following Lichtenthaler (1987).
Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm)

The maximum yield of the photosystem II (the ratio of variable to maximum fluorescence; Fv/Fm) was measured with an Opti-Science 05-30p Fluorometer (Hudson, New Hampshire, USA). Measurements were carried out before dawn, a common practice in plant ecophysiology (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000), for the leaves of five individuals per dose of nitrogen on 29 June and 2 July 2012.

Carbon and nitrogen content and isotopic composition

The leaves of plants growing under different nitrogen doses were collected on 4 July 2012 and dried at 80 °C in a gravity convection oven until reaching constant weight. This temperature has been found to be adequate for tropical succulents, whose membrane proteins can withstand temperatures that are substantially higher than for non-succulent species without incurring in damage (Nobel and de la Barrera, 2002; Drennan, 2009). The dried leaves were ground to a fine powder in a ball mill (Retsch MM300; Retsch, Vienna, Austria), wrapped into tin capsules (Costech Analytical, Inc. Valencia, California, USA), and weighed with a microbalance (0.01 mg, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). For each sample, the carbon and nitrogen content, as well as their isotopic proportions, were determined at the Stable Isotope Facility, University of Wyoming (Laramie, Wyoming, USA), with a Carlo Erba EA 1110 elemental analyzer (Costech Analytical Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) attached to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Finnigan Delta Plus XP, Thermo Electron Corp, Waltham, MA). Carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios, reported in parts per thousand, were calculated relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) or atmospheric air.
standards, respectively. The analytical precision for $\delta^{13}C$ was ±0.03‰ (SD) and ±0.06‰ (SD) for $\delta^{15}N$. The natural abundances of $^{13}C$ and $^{15}N$ were calculated as:

$$\delta^{13}C \, (\% \text{ versus } V-PDB) = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000$$

$$\delta^{15}N \, (\% \text{ versus at-air}) = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000$$

where, $R$ is the ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ for carbon and $^{15}N/^{14}N$ for nitrogen isotope abundance for a given sample (Ehleringer and Osmond, 1989; Evans et al., 1996).

**Statistical analyses**

The effect of the simulated nitrogen deposition on organ production for *Laelia speciosa* was evaluated by means of a Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA, because normality of data was not satisfied, followed by post-hoc Tukey tests ($P \leq 0.05$). In turn, differences in the response of chlorophyll content, chlorophyll fluorescence, carbon and nitrogen content, and $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$, which achieved normality, were evaluated with a one-way ANOVA followed by the Holm-Sidak post-hoc test ($P \leq 0.05$). All analyses were conducted on SigmaPlot 12 (Systat Software Inc. USA).

**3. RESULTS**

**Organ production**

After 26 weeks of watering the plants with different doses of nitrogen, the production of new organs was greater for those individuals that received 20 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ than for those individuals receiving other nitrogen doses (Table 1; Fig. 1). In particular, $1.0 \pm 0.1$ leaves were produced per plant over the course of the experiment under most doses, except for the plants that received 20 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, which produced 35% more leaves ($P \leq 0.001$). Similar
was the case for the 0.9 ± 0.1 pseudobulbs produced per plant under most doses, except for the plants that received 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), which produced 36% more pseudobulbs (\(P \leq 0.001\)). In contrast, flowering was not significantly influenced by nitrogen dose (\(P = 0.077\)), with a production of 0.3 ± 0.04 flowers per plant over the course of the experiment (Table 1; Fig. 1).

**Chlorophyll fluorescence**

The quantum efficiency of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) was similar among the groups of orchids that received up to 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) amounting to 0.8, while a significant decrease of 23% was observed for plants irrigated with higher concentrations of nitrogen (\(P \leq 0.001\); Table 1; Fig 2A).

**Chlorophyll content**

Total chlorophyll content for the leaf tissue of *Laelia speciosa* increased as the nitrogen dose increased, peaking at 0.7 ± 0.0 g m\(^{-2}\) for plants irrigated with 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (\(P \leq 0.001\); Table 1; Fig 2B), while the higher doses of nitrogen resulted in a 38% reduction of the pigment. Similarly, the chlorophyll a concentration of 0.5 ± 0.4 g m\(^{-2}\) was the maximum for plants growing under 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), and it was 30% lower under all other nitrogen doses (\(P \leq 0.001\)). In turn, chlorophyll b did not respond to nitrogen, averaging 0.1 ± 0.0 g m\(^{-2}\) regardless of the dose under which plants grew (Table 1; Fig 2B).

**Carbon and nitrogen content and isotopic composition**
The carbon content of *Laelia speciosa* increased with the nitrogen dose peaking at 46.1 ± 0.3% (dry mass basis) at 20 and 40 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) and decreased to 45.2 ± 0.3% at 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (\(P \leq 0.001\); Table 1; Fig. 2C).

The nitrogen content for *Laelia speciosa* also increased with the nitrogen dose. For the plants that received up to 10 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) the nitrogen content averaged 1.2 ± 0.0% (dry mass basis), reaching 2.4 ± 0.0% at 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (\(P \leq 0.001\); Table 1; Fig. 2D).

The δ\(^{13}\)C for leaves of *Laelia speciosa* averaged –14.7 ± 0.2‰ and did not change with the nitrogen dose (\(P = 0.057\); Table 1). In contrast, the leaf δ\(^{15}\)N significantly decreased at higher nitrogen doses. The δ\(^{15}\)N averaged 0.9 ±0.1‰ for plants that received up to 10 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), a δ\(^{15}\)N similar to the δ\(^{15}\)N of 1.1 ± 0.1‰ measured for the NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) utilized for the nutrient solution. The higher doses of nitrogen led to significant decreases of δ\(^{15}\)N, reaching the minimum of –3.1 ± 0.2 ‰ for plants growing under 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (\(P \leq 0.001\); Table 1; Fig. 2E).

**4. DISCUSSION**

An intermediate nitrogen dose of 20 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) was the most favorable for the production of new organs by *Laelia speciosa*. Lower doses did not improve plant development substantially but higher doses where inhibiting. In this respect, while nitrogen availability may increase leaf production and growth, large quantities of nitrogen limit the availability of other nutrients, restricting the plant’s ability to produce foliar mass (Evans, 1989; Asner et al., 1997; Aber et al., 1998; Sánchez et al., 2000; Zotz and Asshoff, 2010; Díaz-Álvarez et al., 2014). Such behavior was observed for *Laelia speciosa* that showed a substantial reduction in the production of new organs, suggesting noxious effects of the simulated nitrogen deposition. The effect of nitrogen fertilization on *Cymbidium* hybrids is an
increased pseudobulb production (Barman et al., 2004). In turn, pseudobulb growth for
Dendrobium nobile peaks at nitrogen doses of 1.9 mM (Bichsel et al., 2008).

Total chlorophyll content is proportional to the content of nitrogen in leaves, which
typically ranges between 0.4 and 0.5 g m\(^{-2}\) (Evans, 1989; Nobel, 1999; Nobel and de la
Barrera, 2002). Indeed, for Laelia speciosa, chlorophyll content increased with the dose of
nitrogen, suggesting that this plant was able to assimilate and utilize the supplied nitrogen for
the production of photosynthetic pigments. However, the higher doses also resulted in a
drastic decrease of the chlorophyll content, as has been documented for other plant species
(Baxter et al., 1992; Majerowicz et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2007; Arróniz-Crespo et al., 2008;
Ying-Chun et al., 2010). Such a decrease in the chlorophyll content can be explained by the
resulting imbalance of the nitrogen to magnesium ratio in the leaf (Nakaji et al., 2001;
Wortman et al., 2012). Excessive nitrogen in the cell promotes release of protons (H\(^+\)) and
accumulation of phenolic compounds and hydrogen peroxide, as a result, the pH can be
altered impeding chlorophyll production and loss of Mg\(^{2+}\) (Mangosá and Berger, 1997;
Sánchez et al., 2000; Britto and Konzucker, 2002). Changes in chlorophyll content for Laelia
speciosa were accompanied by changes in the efficiency of photosystem II, which can be
attributed to oxidative stress in the thylakoids that results in the blockage of electron transport
to the oxidation site, as a consequence of low available energy for photosynthesis (Maxwell
and Johnson, 2000; Poorter, 2000; Hogewoning and Harbinson, 2007; Lichtenhaler et al.,
2007; Baker, 2008; Calatayud et al., 2008; Guidi and Degl’Innocenti, 2008; Massacci et al.,
2008).

Plants tend to increase their rates of carbon fixation when nitrogen is added (Brown et al.,
1996; Bauer et al., 2004; Le Bauer and Treseder, 2008). However, under conditions of chronic
nitrogen additions the photosynthetic capacity is inhibited because most of the excess nitrogen is not invested into the primary processes of carboxylation (Brown et al., 1996; Bauer et al., 2004). This also causes an increase and later reduction in carbon content for plants subjected to increasing doses of nitrogen, as was observed here for *Laelia speciosa*. However, the observed $\delta^{13}$C values for *Laelia speciosa* which were within the range for CAM plants, did not change under the different nitrogen doses utilized, contrasting with $\delta^{13}$C measured for $C_3$ plants subjected to supplementary nitrogen that became increasingly negative (Raven and Farquhar, 1990; Magalhaes et al., 1992).

Isotopic discrimination against $^{15}$N increases in plants as the nitrogen availability increases because its assimilation is more energetically costly than for the more abundant $^{14}$N. This so called isotopic effect results in $\delta^{15}$N values of the product that are lower than those of the substrate (Evans, 2001; Kolb and Evans, 2003; Ariz et al., 2011). The observed discrimination against $^{15}$N for *Laelia speciosa* leaves has also been observed for various species, such as *Oryza sativa*, *Pinus sylvestris*, and *Trapa japonica*, species that discriminate between 0.9 and 13‰ when supplied with increasing doses of nitrogen in form of NH$_4^+$ (Yoneyama et al., 1991; Högberg et al., 1999; Yoneyama et al., 2001; Maniruzzaman and Asaeda, 2012). When the nitrogen source is NH$_4^+$, this compound is directly assimilated by the plant cell as amino acids and the involved enzyme, glutamine-synthetase, can discriminate up to 17‰. On the contrary, plants watered with NO$_3^-$ have positive $\delta^{15}$N values that have been associated with nitrogen lost via root efflux and exudates or loss of NH$_3$ through the stomata, processes that favor the lighter isotope (O’Deen 1989; Yoneyama et al., 2001; Ariz et al., 2011).
Laelia speciosa showed a clear response to increasing doses of nitrogen. Doses of up to 20 Kg N ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) enhanced its physiological performance, while higher doses were toxic. The rates of nitrogen deposition in México, where Laelia speciosa is endemic, could exceed 25 Kg N ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) by mid-century (Galloway et al., 2004; Phoenix et al., 2006; Galloway et al., 2008). As a result, nitrogen deposition poses an actual threat for the persistence of this endangered species as other components of global change represent for many other epiphytic vascular plants (Zotz et al., 2010b; Mondragón et al., 2015). Future works should consider the effects of nitrogen deposition on wild populations of this and other tropical epiphytic plants. A better understanding of the effects of increasing nitrogen deposition from human activities is of urgent importance, as species ecophysiological response, as those studied here, may be affected, with potentially negative consequences in ecosystem biodiversity and function.
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Statistical analyses

Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA and parametric one-way ANOVA for the responses of *Laelia speciosa* individuals growing in a shadehouse under various rates of simulated nitrogen deposition.
Table 1. Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA and parametric one-way ANOVA for the responses of *Laelia speciosa* individuals growing in a shadehouse under various rates of simulated nitrogen deposition.

| Response to nitrogen dose | d.f. | F    | P    |
|---------------------------|------|------|------|
| Leaves                    | 5    | 8.47 | 0.001|
| Pseudobulbs               | 5    | 7.04 | 0.001|
| Flowers                   | 5    | 1.94 | 0.077|
| Total chlorophyll         | 5    | 15.68| 0.001|
| Chla                      | 5    | 6.67 | 0.001|
| Chlb                      | 5    | 10.47| 0.001|
| Fv/Fm                     | 5    | 82.5 | 0.001|
| Carbon content            | 5    | 6.44 | 0.001|
| Nitrogen content          | 5    | 177.5| 0.001|
| δ^{15}N                   | 5    | 15.68| 0.001|
| δ^{13}C                   | 5    | 2.65 | 0.057|
Organ production

Number of new leaves (open bars), pseudobulbs (right hatched bars) and flowers (left hatched bars) that developed on plants of *Laelia speciosa* that were watered with different doses of nitrogen. Data are shown as mean ± S.E (n = 20 plants per dose of nitrogen). Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) for organs.
Ecophysiological responses for leaves of *L. speciosa* to simulated nitrogen deposition.

Number of new leaves (open bars), pseudobulbs (right hatched bars) and flowers (left hatched bars) that developed on plants of *Laelia speciosa* that were watered with different doses of nitrogen. Data are shown as mean ± S.E (n = 20 plants per dose of nitrogen). Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) for organs.
