A unified approach to the large-signal and high-frequency theory of p–n-junctions
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Abstract
A spectral approach to the charge carrier transport in p–n-junctions has allowed us to revise the theoretical results relating to large signal operation of the junction to make them valid for both low- and high-frequency ranges. The spectral composition of the external circuit current includes both dc and ac components. The former produces the static current–voltage characteristic with the modified Bessel function of zeroth order, \(I_0(\beta V_\sim)\), while the latter yields the dynamic admittance with the modified Bessel function of first order, \(I_1(\beta V_\sim)\); both of them depend on the signal amplitude. Our experimental results are consistent with the theoretical ones if a fitting parameter allowing for recombination processes in the depletion layer is taken into account.

1. Introduction
The conventional theory of p–n-junctions is usually studied in two limiting cases: small signal/high frequency and large signal/low frequency [1–6]. We have found out that it is possible to unify these two cases by applying the spectral analysis to calculating the external circuit current for a p–n-diode. A general scheme for finding the spectral solution to the diffusion equations for the p–n-junction under arbitrary signal action is developed in section 2 and then applied in section 3 to calculate the external circuit current. For this work, it is assumed that the relaxation times and the diffusion constants do not change with the injection level, or constant effective values are used. The nonlinearity considered in this work is related to the doping profile, as it yields a semiconducting p–n-junction. Sections 4 and 5 deal with the static current–voltage and dynamic characteristics of the diode. The first measurements to validate the experimental setup are set forth in section 6. The appendix examines the conventional approach (based on applying the customary current–voltage characteristic expression to time-varying conditions) to be compared with our results obtained below in terms of the spectral approach.

2. Spectral solution of diffusion equations
The theoretical basis of the charge carrier transport in p–n-junctions is the diffusion–drift equations for minority carriers in the n- and p-regions. These equations are derived from the continuity equations together with the current density expressions for holes and electrons. Such diffusion–drift equations have the following one-dimensional form [4, 5]:

(a) for holes (with equilibrium and nonequilibrium densities \(p_n\) and \(p(\zeta, t)\)) injected into the n-region

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (p\mu_p E)}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial^2 (D_p p)}{\partial \zeta^2} + p - p_n = 0,
\]

(b) for electrons (with equilibrium and nonequilibrium densities \(n_p\) and \(n(\zeta, t)\)) injected into the p-region

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial (n\mu_n E)}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial^2 (D_n n)}{\partial \zeta^2} + n - n_p = 0.
\]

We shall apply equations (1) and (2) to study the simplest Shockley model of the ideal diode [1], but operating at the nonlinear and multifrequency regime under action of a harmonic signal of arbitrary amplitude. In the case of low-level injection in p–n-diodes, one conventionally assumes \(E = 0\) for the neutral parts of the p- and n-regions [4, 5]. Then
equations (1) and (2) turn into the diffusion equations for the excess concentrations (over their equilibrium values \( p_n \) and \( n_p \)) for holes, \( \Delta p(z, t) = p(z, t) - p_n \), and for electrons, \( \Delta n(z, t) = n(z, t) - n_p \), of the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \tau_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \Delta p - L_p \frac{\partial^2 \Delta p}{\partial z^2} &= 0, \\
(1 + \tau_n \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \Delta n - L_n \frac{\partial^2 \Delta n}{\partial z^2} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \( L_p = \sqrt{D_p \tau_p} \) and \( L_n = \sqrt{D_n \tau_n} \) are the diffusion lengths for holes and electrons, respectively.

For the resonant circuit connection, the total voltage applied to the p–n-diode consists of the dc bias voltage \( V_0 \) and the harmonic signal voltage \( V_\text{applied} \) applied to the p–n-diode consists of the dc bias voltage \( V_0 \) and the harmonic signal voltage \( V_\text{applied} \).

Nonlinearity of electronic processes in the p–n-junction produces frequency harmonics \( k \omega \) so that the required solutions of equations (3) and (4) can be represented in the complex form of a Fourier series:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p(z, t) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta p_k(z) e^{ik\omega t}, \\
\Delta n(z, t) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta n_k(z) e^{ik\omega t}.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

Real values of \( \Delta p(z, t) \) and \( \Delta n(z, t) \) are provided with the following relations for the complex amplitudes: \( \Delta p_k = \Delta p_{k-}, \) and \( \Delta n_k = \Delta n_{k+} \).

Substitution of the required solutions (6) and (7) into equations (3) and (4) by taking into account the orthogonality of harmonics reduces to the following equations for the desired complex amplitudes of harmonics:

\[
\frac{d^2 \Delta p_k}{dz^2} - \frac{\Delta p_k}{L_{pk}^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2 \Delta n_k}{dz^2} - \frac{\Delta n_k}{L_{nk}^2} = 0
\]

(8)

with \( L_{pk} = \frac{L_p}{\sqrt{1 + ik\omega \tau_p}} \) and \( L_{nk} = \frac{L_n}{\sqrt{1 + ik\omega \tau_n}} \).

General solutions of equation (8) for the p–n-diode with the junction region \( -W_p < z < W_n \), have the following form:

(a) for holes injected into the n-region (\( z \geq W_n \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p_k(z) &= C_{pk}^+ \exp \left( -\Lambda_{pk} \frac{z - W_n}{L_p} \right) \\
&\quad + C_{pk}^- \exp \left( \Lambda_{pk} \frac{z - W_n}{L_p} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

where \( \Lambda_{pk} = a_{pk} + ib_{pk} \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{pk} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{1 + (k\omega \tau_p)^2}}, \\
b_{pk} &= \frac{k\omega \tau_p}{2a_{pk}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

(b) for electrons injected into the p-region (\( z \leq -W_p \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta n_k(z) &= C_{nk}^+ \exp \left( -\Lambda_{nk} \frac{z + W_p}{L_n} \right) \\
&\quad + C_{nk}^- \exp \left( \Lambda_{nk} \frac{z + W_p}{L_n} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

where \( \Lambda_{nk} = a_{nk} + ib_{nk} \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{nk} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{1 + (k\omega \tau_n)^2}}, \\
b_{nk} &= \frac{k\omega \tau_n}{2a_{nk}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

According to equations (10) and (12), always \( \text{Re} \Lambda_{pk} = a_{pk} > 0 \) and \( \text{Re} \Lambda_{nk} = a_{nk} > 0 \). So for the p–n-diodes with a thick base (when \( d_n \gg L_p \) and \( d_p \gg L_n \), where \( d_n \) and \( d_p \) are thicknesses of the neutral parts), we can take \( C_{pk}^+ = 0 \) and \( C_{nk}^+ = 0 \). This eliminates the necessity for boundary conditions on ohmic contacts. Taking into account (9) and (11) with \( C_{pk}^- = C_{nk}^- = 0 \), the general solutions (6) and (7) of equations (3) and (4) assume the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p(z, t) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{pk}^+ \exp \left( -\Lambda_{pk} z \right) e^{ik\omega t}, \\
\Delta n(z, t) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{nk}^- \exp \left( \Lambda_{nk} z + \frac{W_p}{L_n} \right) e^{ik\omega t}.
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

(14)

These expressions provide for \( \Delta p(z, t) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( z \rightarrow \infty \) and \( \Delta n(z, t) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( z \rightarrow -\infty \) because of \( \text{Re} \Lambda_{pk} > 0 \) and \( \text{Re} \Lambda_{nk} > 0 \) respectively.

The constants \( C_{pk}^+ \) and \( C_{nk}^- \) in equations (13) and (14) can be found from the conventional injection boundary conditions [4, 5]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p(W_n, t) &= p_n f(t) \quad \text{for} \quad z = W_n, \\
\Delta n(-W_p, t) &= n_p f(t) \quad \text{for} \quad z = -W_p,
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

(16)

where for the applied voltage \( v(t) \) of the form (5), we have introduced the function

\[
f(t) = \exp \left( \frac{qv(t)}{\kappa T} \right) - 1.
\]

(17)

where \( \kappa = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1} \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin.

Substitution of (13) and (14) into the boundary conditions (15) and (16) yields

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{pk}^+ e^{ik\omega t} = p_n f(t),
\]

(18)

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{nk}^- e^{ik\omega t} = n_p f(t).
\]

(19)

By using the orthogonality property of harmonics in expansions (18) and (19), it is easy to obtain the desired constants:

\[
C_{pk}^+ = p_n F_k \quad \text{and} \quad C_{nk}^- = n_p F_k,
\]

(20)

where \( F_k \) is the Fourier amplitude of the \( k \)th harmonic for the function \( f(t) \) given by equation (17), that is

\[
F_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-ik\omega t} dt.
\]

(21)

Substitution of function (17) into formula (21) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
F_0 &= I_0(\beta V_-) \exp(\beta V_0) - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, \\
F_k &= F_{-k} = I_k(\beta V_-) \exp(\beta V_0) \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

(23)

Here we have introduced the modified Bessel functions of the first kind of order \( k (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots) \), which have the following integral representation (see, for example, formula 8.431.5 in [7]):

\[
I_k(\beta V_-) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{\beta V_- \cos \varphi} \cos k \varphi \ d\varphi.
\]

(24)
These functions depend on $\beta V_-$, where $V_-$ is the amplitude of the signal applied to the p–n-junction and $\beta = q/\kappa T$.

Consequently, by making allowance for (20) the general solutions (13) and (14) of the diffusion equations (3) and (4) take the final form of spectral expansions:

$$\Delta p(z, t) = p_p \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k \exp\left(-\Lambda_{pk} - \frac{W_p}{L_p}\right) e^{i\omega z t},$$

(25)

$$\Delta n(z, t) = n_n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k \exp\left(\Lambda_{nk} - \frac{W_n}{L_n}\right) e^{i\omega z t}.$$  

(26)

These expressions allow us to obtain the spectral composition of the current flowing through an external circuit connected to the p–n-diode.

### 3. External circuit current for p–n-diodes

The initial equation to derive an expression for the diode current is the total current conservation law of the general form $V \cdot (j_p + j_n + e \partial E/\partial t) = 0$, following from Maxwell’s equations. Its one-dimensional form (along the z-direction) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( j_{pc} + j_{nc} + \epsilon \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} \right) = 0. $$

(27)

The quantity in parentheses of equation (27), being independent of $z$, defines the external circuit current (for the cross-section area $S$ of the diode) as a function of time:

$$J(t) = j_{pc}(z, t)S + j_{nc}(z, t)S + \epsilon \frac{\partial E_z(z, t)}{\partial t} S.$$  

(28)

We have used the usual expressions for the hole and electron current densities [5] involving the drift and diffusion components which underlie the initial diffusion–drift equations (1) and (2). All the terms on the right of equation (28) depend on both $z$ and $t$, but taken together in any cross section they yield the external circuit current as a function of time only.

Restricting our consideration to the p–n-diodes with low injection ($p \ll p_n$ but $p > p_n$ so that the excess hole density is $\Delta p = p - p_n > 0$, for n-type, similar conditions hold for p-type), as was noted above, we can assume $E_z = 0$ in neutral parts of the p- and n-regions [5]. Then the external circuit current (28) is determined only by the diffusion currents taken at any cross section, for example, at $z = W_n$:

$$J(t) = -qD_p \frac{\partial \Delta p(z, t)}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=W_n} S + qD_n \frac{\partial \Delta n(z, t)}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=W_n} S.$$  

(29)

Neglecting recombination processes inside the p–n-junction (which is true if $W_n \ll L_p$ and $W_p \ll L_n$), we can write [5]

$$j_{nc}(W_n, t) = j_{nc}(-W_p, t). $$

(30)

Substitution of (30) into equation (29) gives the external circuit current:

$$J(t) = j_{pc}(W_n, t)S + j_{nc}(-W_p, t)S $$

$$= -qD_p \left. \frac{\partial \Delta p(z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=W_n} S + qD_n \left. \frac{\partial \Delta n(z, t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=-W_p} S,$$

(31)

where $\Delta p = p - p_n$ and $\Delta n = n - n_p$ are the excess concentrations of injected carriers given by equations (25) and (26) respectively. Inserting these formulae into expression (31), we finally obtain the spectral representation for the external circuit current:

$$J(t) = J_{1,p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k \Lambda_{pk} e^{i\omega z t} + J_{1,n} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k \Lambda_{nk} e^{i\omega z t}.$$  

(32)

Here, the hole and electron contributions to the saturation current of the thick p–n-diode are defined, as is generally accepted [4, 5], in the following form:

$$J_{1,p} = \frac{q p_p D_p}{L_p} S \quad \text{and} \quad J_{1,n} = \frac{q n_p D_n}{L_n} S.$$  

(33)

Expression (32) contains all the spectral components of the external circuit current, among which the terms with numbers $k = 0$ (the dc current) and $k = \pm 1$ (the ac current) are of most interest for our subsequent consideration.

### 4. Static current–voltage characteristic of p–n-diodes

The term in series (32) numbered by $k = 0$ corresponds to the dc current $I_0$, which by using expression (22) for $F_0$ and $\Lambda_{p0} = \Lambda_{n0} = 1$ can be written in the form of the static current–voltage characteristic:

$$I_0(V_0, V_-) = J_0[I_0(\beta V_-) e^{\beta V_0} - 1]. $$

(34)

Here, $I_0(\beta V_-)$ is the modified Bessel function of the zeroth order depending on the signal amplitude $V_-$. The saturation current $I_s$ has the customary form [4, 5]

$$I_s = J_{1,p} + J_{1,n} = \frac{q p_p D_p}{L_p} S + \frac{q n_p D_n}{L_n} S.$$  

(35)

Expression (34) differs in the appearance of the Bessel function $I_0(\beta V_-)$ from the customary current–voltage characteristic given in such well-known books as, for example, [4, 5]. Coincidence between them takes place only when $I_0(\beta V_-) \simeq 1$, which is true for small signals with $V_- \ll \kappa T/q$. However, an expression similar to our equation (34) was found in a less-known textbook [6] written in German, whose derivation is adduced for comparison in appendix (see formula (A.4)).

The modified Bessel function $I_0(\beta V_-) \gg 1$ as a function of the signal amplitude $V_-$ mathematically reflects the so-called effect of signal rectification. This effect provides a contribution to the dc current $I_0$ from the signal and results in up-shifts of the static current–voltage characteristic $I_0(V_0)$ with increasing $V_-$, as shown in figure 1.
where the quantities \( J_{p1}, J_{n1}, F_1 \) are respectively given by equations (10), (12), (23) for \( k = 1 \).

Expressions (5) with \( V_s = 2V_t \) and (36) allow one to introduce for the p–n diode its dynamic admittance, defined as

\[
Y = \frac{J_1}{V_1} \equiv G_d + \text{i} \omega C_d. \tag{37}
\]

Here, the dynamic conductance \( G_d \) and the dynamic (diffusion) capacitance \( C_d \) are introduced in the customary way [5]. After substituting (36) into (37) and some transformations, we obtain these quantities as functions of frequency:

\[
G_d(\omega) = g G_0 \left( a_{p1}(\omega) \frac{J_{p1}}{J_s} + a_{n1}(\omega) \frac{J_{n1}}{J_s} \right), \tag{38}
\]

\[
C_d(\omega) = g G_0 \left( b_{p1}(\omega) \frac{J_{p1}}{J_s} + b_{n1}(\omega) \frac{J_{n1}}{J_s} \right), \tag{39}
\]

where the quantities \( a_{p1}, b_{p1} \) and \( a_{n1}, b_{n1} \) follow from equations (10) and (12) with \( k = 1 \).

Formulae (38) and (39) have been derived by inserting the differential conductance introduced from the static current–voltage characteristic \( I_0(V_0, V_s) \) defined as

\[
G_0 = \frac{\partial I_0(V_0, V_s)}{\partial V_0}. \tag{40}
\]

The static current–voltage characteristic, equation (34), gives the differential conductance (40) which depends on both the bias voltage \( V_0 \) and the signal amplitude \( V_s \):

\[
G_0(V_0, V_s) = \frac{I_0 + J_s}{\kappa T/q} = \frac{J_s \exp(\beta V_s)}{\kappa T/q} I_0(\beta V_s). \tag{41}
\]

Formulae (38) and (39) contain a combination of the modified Bessel functions \( I_0(\beta V_s) \) and \( I_1(\beta V_s) \), respectively, in the form of a factor:

\[
g(V_s) = \frac{2}{\beta V_s} \frac{I_1(\beta V_s)}{I_0(\beta V_s)} \geq 1 \quad (42)
\]

with

\[
g_1(V_s) = \frac{I_1(\beta V_s)}{I_0(\beta V_s)} \approx \frac{\kappa T}{q} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta V_s} \tag{43}
\]

These quantities depend on the signal amplitude \( V_s \), so that \( g(V_s) \sim g_1(V_s) \approx 1 \) for small signals when \( V_s \ll \kappa T/q \) and \( g(V_s) \approx 2/(\beta V_s) \to 0 \) as \( V_s \to \infty \), whereas here \( g_1(V_s) \) is approximated by an exponentially growing function.

After inserting (41) and (42) into equations (38) and (39), they take the following form:

\[
G_d(\omega) = g I_0(\beta V_s) \left( a_{p1}(\omega) \frac{J_{p1}}{J_s} + a_{n1}(\omega) \frac{J_{n1}}{J_s} \right), \tag{44}
\]

\[
C_d(\omega) = g \left( b_{p1}(\omega) \frac{J_{p1}}{J_s} + b_{n1}(\omega) \frac{J_{n1}}{J_s} \right), \tag{45}
\]

where \( Q = Q_p + Q_n \) with \( Q_p = J_{p1} \tau_p = q n_p L_p \) and \( Q_n = J_{n1} \tau_n = q n_p L_n \). The quantities \( G_{d0} \) and \( C_{d0} \) appearing in equations (44) and (45) as functions of \( V_0 \) are equal to

\[
G_{d0}(V_0) = \frac{J_s \exp(\beta V_0)}{\kappa T/q} \frac{q S}{L_p} \frac{D_p}{L_n} \frac{q S}{2}, \tag{46}
\]

\[
C_{d0}(V_0) = \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\kappa T/q} \frac{q S}{L_p} \frac{D_p}{L_n} \frac{q S}{2}, \tag{47}
\]

Expressions (46) and (47), respectively, correspond to the diffusion conductance and capacitance at low frequencies (when \( \sigma_T \ll 1 \) and \( a_{p1}(\omega) = a_{n1}(\omega) 
\lesssim 1 \) for small signals when \( V_s \ll \kappa T/q \) and \( g_1(V_s) \approx 1 \)). Our expressions for \( G_{d0} \) and \( C_{d0} \) fully coincide with those given in a book [5] by Sze (see there formulae (65) and (66) of chapter 2).

As seen from (44) and (45), the frequency dependence of the dynamic conductance \( G_d(\omega) \) and the diffusion capacitance \( C_d(\omega) \) is determined by the functions \( a_{p1}(\omega) \) and \( a_{n1}(\omega) \) given by formulae (10) and (12) with \( k = 1 \), whereas their dependence on the signal amplitude \( V_s \) is described by the function \( g_1(V_s) \) introduced in the form of expression (43).

A certain simplification of expressions (44) and (45) occurs in the case of the one-sided p–n junction with a highly doped emitter when \( p_n \gg n_p, J_{p1} \gg J_{n1}, Q_p \gg Q_n \) so that \( J_s \gg J_{p1} \) and \( Q \gg Q_n \). Then

\[
G_{d0}(V_0) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \omega^2 a_{n1}^2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{I_1(\beta V_s)}{I_0(\beta V_s)} I_0(\beta V_s), \tag{48}
\]

\[
C_{d0}(V_0) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \omega^2 a_{n1}^2}}{\sqrt{2}} I_1(\beta V_s)/I_0(\beta V_s), \tag{49}
\]

where from equations (46) and (47) it follows that

\[
G_{d0}(V_0) = \frac{2}{\tau_p} \frac{C_{d0}(V_0)}{\kappa T/q}. \tag{50}
\]
**Unified approach to the large-signal and high-frequency theory of p–n-junctions**

6. **Experimental validation**

The above theoretical results have been derived from the ideal Shockley model which has a few simplifying assumptions. Among them, the most essential ones are (i) the large thickness of the neutral regions as compared with the minority-carrier diffusion lengths, i.e. \( d_n \gg L_p \) and \( d_p \gg L_n \) and (ii) the neglect of recombination effects in the depletion layers owing to inequalities \( W_n \ll L_p \) and \( W_p \ll L_n \). Yet such assumptions are rarely verified under practical conditions and should be taken into account, as applied to real devices used in our experiments.

The practically used p+–n-diode with one-sided injection has a thin n-base of thickness \( d_n \) compared to \( L_p \). In this case, it is necessary to apply the boundary condition taking into account the surface recombination on a metallic contact of the base located at \( z = W_n + d_n \) (see equation (108) of chapter 1 in [5]):

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta p(z, t)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=W_n+d_n} = - \frac{s_p}{D_p} \Delta p(W_n + d_n, t),
\]

where \( s_p \) is the speed of surface recombination of holes (for ohmic contacts \( s_p \to \infty \)). The additional boundary condition changes only the saturation current by the factor \( A_p \):

\[
J_s = \frac{q n_s D_p}{L_p} A_p e^{\beta \Delta p(W_n + d_n, t)},
\]

As follows from equation (50), the measurement of the small-signal low-frequency conductance \( G_{d0} = \beta J_s \exp(\beta V_0) \) will give us the saturation current (52) with allowance for the surface recombination on metallic contact of the base.

To take into account the bulk recombination processes in the depletion layer, let us follow a phenomenological approach suggested by Sze [5] and introduce the empirical nonideality factor \( n \) so as to provide the following replacement:

\[
\beta \equiv \frac{q}{\kappa T} \to \frac{q}{n \kappa T} \equiv \beta_n.
\]

Values of the factor \( n \) lie between 1 and 2 [5]: (a) \( n = 1 \) when the contribution of the bulk recombination processes is negligibly small, (b) \( n = 2 \) when the recombination current dominates over the diffusion one.

By using the replacement (53), expression (51) for the low-frequency dynamic conductance can be rewritten in the following corrected form:

\[
G_d(0, V_-) = G_{d0}(V_0) g_n(V_-),
\]

where the correcting function \( g_n(V_-) \) is defined as

\[
g_n(V_-) = \frac{\beta_n V_-}{\beta_n V_- / 2} = \frac{\beta n V_-}{2 \beta V_- / n}.
\]

In general, the modified quantity \( \beta_n \) in expression (55) takes into account not only a contribution from the bulk recombination processes but means of the nonideality factor \( n \), but also that from the \textit{a priori} unknown temperature \( T \) of the p–n-junction under experimental investigation. Hence, the product \( nT \) can be used as a fitting parameter to adjust the theoretical relations (54) and (55) with experimental results obtained below.
To verify our theory, we built a simple apparatus using the dual-phase DSP lock-in amplifier, Stanford Research Systems model SR830. For the low-frequency measurement, the low noise electrometer grade operational amplifier, Burr-Brown OPA 128JM, is used. For the high-frequency measurements, the same lock-in amplifier, combined with a mixer, and high-frequency op-amps can be used, see figure 3. The ac voltage is applied to the diode with no bias \( V_0 = 0 \). The lock-in amplifier has two displays—\( X \) and \( Y \), which give the root-mean-square (rms) value of output signal at the excitation frequency \( \omega \). It is easy to show that the lock-in output in the \( X \) and \( Y \) displays is equal to

\[
V_{\text{out}}^X = -RV_{\text{in}}[G_d(\omega) \cos(\phi - \phi_0) + \omega C_d(\omega) \sin(\phi - \phi_0)],
\]

\[
V_{\text{out}}^Y = -RV_{\text{in}}[G_d(\omega) \sin(\phi - \phi_0) + \omega C_d(\omega) \cos(\phi - \phi_0)].
\]

Here \( R \) is a feedback resistor, \( V_{\text{in}} \) is the rms value of the lock-in oscillator voltage \( (V_{\text{in}} = V_\omega/\sqrt{2}) \), \( \phi \) is an internal phase of the lock-in oscillator signal connected to the phase detector and \( \phi_0 \) is the phase of the signal after passing through an external circuit. From (56) and (57), it follows that the two displays are \( \pi/2 \) out of phase from each other.

To get correct experimental values, it is necessary to adjust the phase \( \phi \) of the lock-in local oscillator so that the \( X \)-display would be used for the conductance voltage \( |V_{\text{out}}^X| = RV_{\text{in}}G_d \) and the \( Y \)-display for the capacitance voltage \( |V_{\text{out}}^Y| = RV_{\text{in}}\omega C_d \). As follows from expressions (56) and (57), it can be realized only if \( \phi = \phi_0 \). The phase \( \phi \) is adjusted with the reference capacitor \( C \) which is placed between the local oscillator and the minus input of the operational amplifier instead of diode \( D \), as shown in figure 3. The phase adjustment is carried out until zero voltage is observed in the conductance \( X \)-display, i.e. when \( \phi = \phi_0 \). Then equations (56) and (57) yield the required results:

\[
G_d(\omega, V_\omega) = \frac{1}{|V_{\text{out}}^X|} \bigg|_{\phi = \phi_0},
\]

\[
\omega C_d(\omega, V_\omega) = \frac{1}{|V_{\text{out}}^Y|} \bigg|_{\phi = \phi_0}.
\]

After the adjustment, the reference capacitor \( C \) is replaced by the measured diode \( D \) and the measurements are performed by varying the applied ac voltage \( V_\omega \) with \( V_0 = 0 \). The operating frequency of the lock-in internal oscillator was chosen as 1 kHz to surely provide the relation \( \omega R C \ll 1 \) underlying the initial theoretical expression (51). To extract the conductance, one must normalize the data.

For our experiments, we have employed a common high-frequency diode 1N914B. The experimental results for the above-mentioned diode are presented in figure 4 which demonstrates quite satisfactory agreement between the experimental data and our theoretical results, in the low-frequency range. All resistors and capacitors employed in the experiment were measured with the Stanford Research Systems model SR720 LCR meter. The measurement error is found to be below 1%. In an additional paper, the detailed experimental results will be presented.

As seen from equations (54) and (55), the small voltage measurement (when \( g_e = 1 \)) gives the value of the low-frequency dynamic conductance \( G_{\omega 0} \) defined by formula (50). This value has proved to be equal to \( G_{\omega 0} \approx 6.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mho} \). From here it follows that \( J_\infty = G_{\omega 0}/\beta_\infty \approx 31 \text{ nA} \), which is in agreement with the reverse current for the 1N914B diode given by manufacturers.

From the experimental curve plotted in figure 4, it follows that the modified factor \( \beta_\infty \equiv q/\kappa T \) should be equal to 20.6 for the 1N914B diode in order to fit the theoretical expression (54). Hence, the fitting parameter \( nT \) is equal to 560 K, which for the operating temperature \( T = 400 \text{ K} \) provides the nonideality factor \( n = 1.4 \). Therefore, the measured diode operates in a regime when the diffusion current slightly dominates the recombination current.

7. Conclusion

The spectral approach to the theory of p–n-junctions has allowed us to take into account the effect of a large signal on both the low and high frequencies as compared to \( \tau_{p,n}^{-1} \).
This approach is based on the known diffusion equations for injected minority carriers, which is standard practice for semiconductor electronics.

The only specific feature distinguishing our approach from the conventional one (given for comparison in the appendix) is related to the initial representation of the desired carrier concentrations in the form of Fourier expansion over frequency harmonics. Such harmonics are produced by nonlinear processes in the p–n-junction when sufficiently large ac voltage $V_1 = V_- / 2$ is applied to the junction together with the dc bias voltage $V_0$. As a result, the spectra of both the excess concentration of injected carriers and the external circuit current have been derived (see formulae (25), (26) and (32)). The use of appropriate terms in the Fourier series has given rise to expressions for the dc component $J_0$ and ac component $J_1$ of the external circuit current. The former determines the static current–voltage characteristic $J_0(V_0)$ (formula (34)) and from the latter follows the dynamic admittance $Y(\omega) = J_1/V_1$ (formulae (37)–(39)). These expressions have proved to be dependent not only on $V_0$ but also on $V_1$, in the case of the nonlinear (in signal) regime of operation of the p–n-junction.

The results are corroborated by experimental verification, as follows from figure 4. Detailed experimental results will be presented in a separate paper.
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Appendix. Conventional approach to the analysis of the current–voltage characteristic for p–n-diodes

The conventional theory of p–n-diode operation under an ac signal of arbitrary amplitude is based on generalizing the known expression for the static current–voltage characteristic to time-varying conditions:

$$ J(t) = J_o(e^{\beta V_o} - 1). \quad (A.1) $$

Here the total voltage $v(t)$ applied to the diode includes the dc bias voltage $V_0$ and the harmonic signal voltage $V_- \cos \omega t$ (see equation (5)). After substituting (5) into equation (A.1), it is necessary to use the following Fourier series [6] (see also formula 8.511.4 in [7]):

$$ e^{\beta V_- \cos \omega t} = I_0(\beta V_-) + 2I_1(\beta V_-) \cos \omega t + 2I_2(\beta V_-) \cos 2\omega t + \cdots, \quad (A.2) $$

where $I_0, I_1, I_2, \ldots$ are the modified Bessel functions of first kind. Insertion of the Fourier series (A.2) into expression (A.1) yields

$$ J(t) = J_o([I_0(\beta V_-) e^{\beta V_0} - 1] + 2I_1(\beta V_-) e^{\beta V_0} \cos \omega t + 2I_2(\beta V_-) e^{\beta V_0} \cos 2\omega t + \cdots]. \quad (A.3) $$

Expression (A.3) is the Fourier expansion of the diode current whose dc component gives the static current–voltage characteristic

$$ J_0(V_0, V_-) = J_o[I_0(\beta V_-) e^{\beta V_0} - 1]. \quad (A.4) $$

which is valid for the harmonic signal of arbitrary amplitude $V_-$. The first harmonic of the current (A.3) allows one to obtain the dynamic conductance

$$ G_d(V_0, V_-) = \frac{J_o \exp(\beta V_0) I_1(\beta V_-)}{kT/q} \beta V_- / 2 \equiv G_{d0}(V_0) g_1(V_-), \quad (A.5) $$

where $G_{d0}(V_0)$ and $g_1(V_-)$ are given by formulae (46) and (43) respectively.

Expression (A.5) resulting from the conventional approach describes solely the low-frequency dynamic conductance $G_d$ (cf equation (51)), whose frequency dependence can be obtained only by using the spectral approach, as is done in section 5. Moreover, our spectral approach yields not only $G_d(\omega)$, but also $C_d(\omega)$ for arbitrary signals. In the case of small signals, similar frequency dependences are derived in the literature (for example, see [5]), but they never follow from the current–voltage characteristic in the form of equation (A.1) taken as an initial point for derivation.
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