The hypothetical role of phosphatidic acid in subverting ER membranes during SARS-CoV infection
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Abstract
Positive sense (+) RNA viruses exploit membranes from a variety of cellular organelles to support the amplification of their genomes. This association concurs with the formation of vesicles whose main morphological feature is that of being wrapped by a double membrane. In the case of the SARS-CoV virus, the outer membrane is not discrete for each vesicle, but seems to be continuous and shared between many individual vesicles, a difference with other +RNA viruses whose nature has remained elusive. I present morphological, biochemical and pharmacological arguments defending the striking analogy of this arrangement and that of entangled, nascent Lipid Droplets whose birth has been aborted by an excess of Phosphatidic Acid. Since Phosphatidic Acid can be targeted with therapeutical purposes, considering this working hypothesis may prove important in tackling SARS-CoV infection.
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Coronaviruses (CoVs), pathogenic in both men and animals, are enveloped positive sense RNA (+RNA) viruses whose genomes, of up to 32 kb, are considered the largest of their type. Among them, some are classified as emergent, meaning that they are able to cross the species barrier to reach a new target species. SARS-CoV emerged toward the end of 2002 in Southern China and became a human threat. At present, SARS-CoV-2 is responsible for an unprecedented world-wide sanitary crisis. Initial infection concurs with binding to and fusion with the host membranes through the spike protein. Subsequently, a major path for viral internalization relies on the endocytic pathway and culminates with the release of the viral RNA genome into the cytoplasm of the infected cell (reviewed in Reference 3). After this, +RNA viruses need to establish a complex capable of amplifying and further expressing their genome, the "replication/transcription complex", as well as additional (termed "non-structural") proteins capable of coordinating accessory functions. All studied +RNA viruses hijack cytoplasmic cellular membrane compartments in order to achieve this step. Although poorly characterized, this association presumably enhances RNA synthesis by concentrating viral macromolecules on a confined space and shields RNA molecules from nucleases and from the host cell's innate immune system. For different +RNA viruses, the targeted membrane source varies, including Golgi apparatus, lysosomes, late endosomes, autophagosomes, Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) or mitochondria. Even within the Coronaviridae family, where different studies have highlighted a better-defined link with the ER, there is substantial morphological and probably etiological diversity in the sequestered membrane assemblies. For example, gammacoronaviruses induce long stretches of parallel membranes that adopt round shapes at specific sites, while betacoronaviruses as MERS- and SARS-CoV concur with bona-fide circular vesicles. In any case, the exact nature of the membranes that SARS-CoV sequesters in order to promote its multiplication remains enigmatic.

Nevertheless, efforts invested on performing careful electronic microscopy analyses at different times post-SARS-CoV-infection have revealed recurrent, well-confirmed features: the membranes emerge from or are closely apposed to the perinuclear ER, they are in tight and frequent contact with mitochondria and the infection-specific vesicles that derive measure from 100 to 300 nm in diameter. Since early stages of the infection (ie, 6 hours), some of these vesicles...
bear a single lipid layer and seem to be embedded in the ER. This description fully fits with that of nascent Lipid Droplets (LD). LD are membrane-enclosed organelles that form by progressive nucleation of non-polar lipids, mainly triacylglycerols and sterol esters, within the hydrophobic core of the ER bilayer. Sufficient nucleation drives the reorganization of an ER-derived phospholipids monolayer around the neutral lipid core, progressive budding and final excision of the formed droplet into the cytoplasm. Along with a canonical role as a fat reservoir, the precedent years have highlighted many relevant roles for LD, including protection of lipids from oxidation, depot of proteins with special regulation needs, signaling nodes and, as discussed later on, platforms for pathogens. Of note, inspection of immunofluorescence against SARS-CoV non-structural proteins recurrently yields a pattern fully reminiscent of LD. Later during infection (already at 9 hours post-infection), the interior of the SARS-CoV-induced vesicles becomes denser, filled with spider web-like contents, and they appear as delimited by two membranes. In fact, during “classical” subversion of the membrane system upon infection by multiple + RNA viruses, the associated replication-transcription vesicles are double-membraned (DMV) (Figure 1A, left panel). Since LD are by definition
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**FIGURE 1** Schematics of double-membraned vesicles origins. A, Left: Canonical shape of DMVs induced by +RNA viruses (as described in Reference 19). Vesicles are formed by two concentric bilayers. Right: Morphology for DMVs-like during SARS-CoV infection (as adapted from Reference 11). The inner membrane of the vesicles is closed and appears as thinner, suggestive of a monolayer. The outer membrane is a bilayer common to all vesicles and corresponds to the endoplasmic reticulum membrane itself. B, Illustration of the ER displaying a canonical lamellar-state bilayer (the inner nuclear membrane) and a cubic-transitioned bilayer (the outer nuclear membrane). Each ER-conformed cavity contains a filled, yet still anchored LD (in yellow). Both the ER cubic junctions and LD birth (and anchorage) are assisted and maintained thanks to PA moieties (in red). For clarity, all the three-way junctions of the cubic structure have been drawn in the same plane, giving rise to three fully round DMVs-like structures. The interior or the cavities has been colored as the cytoplasm, from which it derives. Yet, in a dense, 3D, cubic ER network, this space would remain substantially shielded from the cytoplasmic environment. C, Proposed kinetics of SARS-CoV-driven membrane subversion. Step 1: by interacting with each other through their cytosolic domains, coronaviral nsp3, nsp4 and/or nsp6 exploit their transmembrane segments to tether together and appose ER membranes. Step 2: repetitive tethering initiates the lamellar-to-cubic ER transition, which gives rise to the smaller, bilayered vesicles seen early upon infection. Step 3: viral subversion of PA metabolism may provide an increased pool of this lipid that supports size expansion of the cubic ER cavities and primes their filling with sequestered LD. Step 4: mature DMVs-like structures are finally supportive for viral amplification complexes.
monolayer organelles, LD have been repeatedly dropped from consideration as the central structure being subverted by the virus during infection.

Phosphatidic Acid (PA) is a central lipid with many assigned and interchangeable functions within the cell. First, it is a backbone for the subsequent creation of most phospholipid species. Second, it can act as a signaling messenger. Third, given its conical shape (meaning that its tail is wider than its headgroup) as well as its negatively charged head, it bears a fusogenic potential that translates into its ability to induce negative curvature on membranes. In this context, deep characterizations combining cell imaging, biochemistry and genetics in the model organism S. cerevisiae have uncovered pathological situations in which an increase in the local concentration of PA provoke aberrant transitions at the perinuclear ER. Of relevance, these alterations are directly linked with the capacity of LD to correctly bud out from the ER. In more detail, when the PA local concentration is elevated because of genetic deficiencies (such as lack of seipin) or by exposure to the combined presence of oleate and inositol, LD can form inside the leaflet of the ER outer membrane, but upon completion and acquisition of their own monolayer, are unable to emancipate into the cytoplasm. As a consequence, they remain anchored to the ER. Independent biochemical and biophysical experiments in other model systems, including human cells, have validated the necessity of carefully modulating the surface tension at the ER by specific lipids and by specific proteins in order to permit correct LD budding. A relevant point when analyzing the morphological particularities of DMVs induced during SARS-CoV infection is that, in contrast to those triggered by other +RNA viruses, the inner layer indeed constitutes a closed circle, but the outer one is continuous all along with that of the other DMVs, giving the impression that the whole is disconnected from the cytoplasm (Figure 1A, right panel). This arrangement is strikingly similar to entangled LD, trapped within the ER as a consequence of a local excess in PA. Indeed, the sole expression of non-SARS-CoVs have been shown to promote the passage from lamellar to cubic bilayers in the ER. Yet, while structurally reminiscent, these bodies are smaller and less developed than the entities formed during a real infection. I propose that nsp3-4-6 viral proteins serve to instruct the dimerization of membranes as to initiate the lamellar-to-cubic bilayer transition (Figure 1C, step 1). Subsequently, viral manipulation of host metabolism may support an increase in PA, whose ability to induce negative curvature in membranes would be crucial to permit the magnification of the cubic structure toward mature, bigger cavities (Figure 1C, step 2 to 3; Figure 1B). Last, PA would further sustain the growth yet the embedded state of nascent LD, whose presence inside the niches of the cubic bilayer would provide the final aspect of DMVs (Figure 1C, step 4; Figure 1B). This architecture explains how, despite not showing visible openings to the cytoplasm, the space between the outer and the inner membranes of the DMVs permits, at the same time, a shielded environment for amplification while easiness for viral genome release (Figure 1B and Figure 1C, step 4). Indeed, a solidly sealed DMV would represent a dead-end for any RNA synthesized within, while by turning the ER into a maze whose alleys are cytoplasmic by definition, yet kept apart from the cytoplasm, the problem is solved. I therefore propose that the membrane subversion step allowing SARS-CoV replication may concur with the domestication of the LD birth process, by entangling them within cubic ER membranes and preventing their correct budding into the cytoplasm.

This scenario would represent an ideal niche for viral amplification and assembly. First of all, the LD represent a substrate for providing lipids that can fulfill structural, energetic, signaling and chemical chaperone functions. This is the case during Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) and Dengue virus infections. Yet, mechanistically, while the biogenesis of LD is supported by the HCV itself as to keep on assisting the infection, in the case of the Dengue virus the LD are exploited as a source of materials which lead to their eventual consumption. Another example of RNA viruses whose success depends on LD include rotaviruses and Bovine Viral Diarrhea virus. Next, the LD harbor a very rich and dynamic proteome sitting on their surface, to a big extent inherited from ER membranes, that evolves depending on the metabolic and stress cues. This makes of them a versatile platform for manipulation of the enzymatic and structural requirements the virus may have. Third, yet less well characterized, the LD represent an emerging substrate to assist nucleic acid-related transactions. In this regard, elegant evidence comes from simplified models such as bacteria, where the genetic material is by definition harbored in the same compartment as the LD. In Rhodococcus jostii, DNA and LD interact through specialized proteins, and this contact is necessary to protect the DNA during harsh growing conditions, as well as to launch transcription factors that assist this growth. In S. cerevisiae, LD forming inside the nucleus anchor factors, enriching them locally, thus regulating transcription. In humans, mast cells have been found to bear RNA molecules both on top of and inside LD. In the specific case of
SARS-CoV, only one explicit reference to LD has been made in the literature: the tyrosine-based motif YXXΦ found in the viral protein 3a (where X can be any residue and Φ is a residue with a bulky hydrophobic side chain) is necessary for final targeting of the protein to the plasma membrane. Mutation in this motif maintains the protein in LD, and eventually may target it to lysosomes for destruction. While authors interpret that the presence of viral proteins in LD is unscheduled and provoked by the mutation, it could also be that its presence in this organelle is part of normal viral physiology and that the mutation has just increased its residence time. In any case, the potential of LD to support nucleic acids metabolism makes of them an interesting viral assembly place in view of their multiplication requirements.

To reinforce the proposal, evidence that the virus alters local PA metabolism should be provided. For the time being, the only available data helping challenge that possibility come from a very recent work in which the viral proteins were tagged, expressed and their interacting proteome retrieved, identifying more than 300 high-confidence SARS-CoV-2 interactors implicated in translation, transcription, ubiquitination and trafficking. Different enzymes give rise to PA out of different initial substrates (Figure 2A), and whether an interaction is aimed at stimulating or at sequestering a protein cannot be said from such data. I searched for enzymes whose activity increases PA, namely Glycerol-3-phosphate-acyltransferase (GPAT, four isoforms); acylglycerol-3-phosphate-acyltransferase (AGPAT, five isoforms); phospholipase D (PLD, two isoforms); and
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**FIGURE 2** Metabolism of phosphatidylic acid (PA) and its potential relationship with SARS-CoV. A, Pathways yielding PA. PA acid is represented in the center of the scheme by a reddish conical structure. Enzymes and arrows of reactions favoring its accumulation are indicated in blue (or gray for those operating in mitochondria). Those leading to its consumption are indicated in orange. Enzymes emerging as strong interactors of SARS-CoV-2 proteins are highlighted in bold. B, Two-entry table illustrating the positive interactions between host PA-yielding enzymes and viral proteins. The numbers indicate the fold-enrichment compared to controls as reported by Reference 55. "-" indicates lack of interaction.
diacylglycerolkinase (DGK, ten isoforms) (Figure 2A), as well as for Seipin, whose absence greatly promotes PA accumulation.56 The results implicate five viral proteins, among which two of them interacting with PA-yielding enzymes have a high fold-enrichment in comparison to control (Figure 2B). These hits are the structural M protein, and the Orf9c protein, initially discarded as a false ORF57 and as of today with unknown function. Importantly, regarding the host interactors, the pathway Glycerol-3-P > LysoPA > PA, whose consecutive steps are handled by GPATs and AGPATs, respectively, robustly emerged. The first step, conversion of 3-Glycerol-P to LysoPA, is catalyzed by GPATs, and one of the ER-based isoforms, GPAT4, is a strong hit. Next, conversion of LysoPA to PA can be catalyzed by five different isoforms, two of which (AGPAT4 and AGPAT5) also solidly pop out in the analysis. AGPAT4, the best hit, exhibits a strong specificity for LysoPA58 and has been found expressed in six tissues, among which, the lung.58,59 At the subcellular level, and in agreement with the context of this proposal, it mainly localizes to the ER, followed by the mitochondria and the Golgi.58,60 Each AGPAT isoform is responsible for generating a very specific pool of PA and, again of relevance for this proposal, the AGPAT4-derived PA is reported to specifically mediate membrane remodeling.60 Neither proteins related to the biology of LD, nor Seipin, were retrieved. Altogether, data reinforce the idea that, contrary to HCV or Dengue virus, it is not colonizing or hijacking the LD themselves, but to subvert the LD birth environment as a protective niche, that could benefit SARS-CoVs replication.

Experimental testing of the PA hypothesis would request visualization of the presumed entangled LD upon infection using appropriate LD and perinuclear ER lumen markers, such as for example BODIPY and Torsin A, respectively. Further, biosensor-driven PA detection61 should yield positive signals at perinuclear ER sites in the early hours after infection. Next, genetic manipulation of PA levels by ablation of the strongest hits, namely GPAT4 and AGPAT4, should both decrease LD entangling and, crucially, decrease the infection. Last, drugs lowering PA levels should provide similar results. In this sense, chloroquine, regularly used in the field of malaria, has shown antiviral efficiency against SARS-CoV in vitro.62 The strict mechanism by which chloroquine acts is not well-defined and most probably implies different molecular activities, but it is since long established that chloroquine is a specific inhibitor of phospholipase D whose use decreases PA levels.63 In addition, other phospholipase D inhibitors are recognized as powerful antiviral compounds.64 These notions reinforce the possibility that a raise in PA is being exploited by the virus. Moreover, and in striking line with the inhibition of PA generation as a therapeutic strategy during SARS-CoVs infection, an US patent deposited in 2015 claims the targeting of PA-yielding enzymes as a therapy approach in a wide spectrum of viral infections, among which +RNA viruses.65

While the authors argue that the rationale for inhibiting this pathway relies on the sole fact that PA is a basal phospholipid precursor, the patent provides evidence for the effectiveness of the treatment, thus indirectly supporting my proposal that PA may be key in membrane reorganization during infection. Last, it is worth mentioning that LD entangling as a consequence of excessive PA is exquisitely sensitive
to diet, with high nutrient conditions, known to activate mTOR, favoring LD trapping.26,27 This further agrees with the recent suggestion that mTOR inhibitors may be beneficial in treating this disease.55 While the spectrum of consequences of using such drugs cannot be simplified to the only fact of imitating low nutrient intake, the effect on limiting PA accumulation would be straightforward. Last, targeting the coronaviral nsp6 protein, which permits the passage from lamellar to cubic ER bilayers presumably in synergy with PA (Figure 1C), fully abolishes viral replication.66 Yet, this approach gave rise to resistant viral variants,66 making of host PA levels modulation a preferred antiviral strategy in order to avoid resistance. Most of the data we rely on nowadays have been obtained by studying the original SARS-CoV, yet it is reasonable to expect a strong mechanistic conservation as to better understand and counteract SARS-CoV-2. In conclusion, I propose that a local increase in PA at perinuclear locations of LD birth and the cubic conversion of the ER may be considered as the membrane-subverting mechanism exploited by SARS-CoVs during their amplification. The morphological, biochemical and genetic available data make of it a plausible possibility. The metabolism of PA in the lung needs to be carefully regulated67 and LD are key in this organ for the correct synthesis and secretion of lung surfactant.68 While awaiting direct experimental validation, the hypothesis is testable and the therapeutical perspective readily exploitable.
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