Predictive value of uterine artery: peak systolic velocity on the day of trigger for clinical pregnancy rate in infertile women
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INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that approximately 8%-10% of couples experience infertility problem due to various explained and unexplained reasons. In vitro fertilization and embryo transfer (IVF-ET) is endorsed and is selectively and readily available for the management of infertility. The most exasperating problem with the IVF-ET is implantation failure, which decreases the treatment success rate to 15-45%. The pregnancy outcome depends upon various aspects related to IVF cycles. 30% of infertile partners in the world have been diagnosed as unexplained / idiopathic infertility and this is defined as “the lack of an obvious cause for a couple's infertility and the females’ inability to get pregnant after at least 12 cycles of unprotected intercourse or after six cycles in women above 35 years of age for whom all the standard evaluations are normal”. A receptive endometrium is necessary for successful implantation. Endometrial blood flow reflects the receptivity of endometrium since implantation takes place in endometrium. Uterine perfusion and its impedance have been found to be an indicator for the likelihood of subsequent implantation. Uterine artery Doppler has been used as marker to predict chances of pregnancy. Impaired perfusion of uterine artery can lead to unsuccessful IVF treatment therefore probably a cause of infertility. Colour Doppler can be used to assess uterine artery blood flow velocimetry. The advent of transvaginal ultrasound with 2D and 3D power Doppler...
has provided a perfect non-invasive tool to assess endometrial receptivity. Measurement of endometrial blood flow using 2D power Doppler in ART treatment and their role in predicting outcome has attracted a lot of attention across the world in recent years.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of uterine artery blood flow parameter measured by 2D power Doppler ultrasound as the predictive factors for pregnancy during ART treatment.

METHODS

It was a prospective non-randomized observational study conducted in the Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology in JNMC Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha from September 2017 to September 2018. A total 60 patients of receiving infertility treatment in the age group 20 to 40 years were included. 20 patients with timed intercourse were selected. 20 patients receiving IUI were selected. 20 patient receiving IVF treatment were selected. Transvaginal sonography will be done on trigger day. Endometrial thickness on trigger day was measured.

Inclusion criteria

- Women with primary or secondary infertility.
- Patient with unexplained infertility.
- Age<40 years.
- Ovaries with normal morphology i.e. non-polycystic
- Basal FSH level should be less then <10 IU/L on day 2 OF cycle were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

- H/o any of uterine surgery
- Any apparent pathology of endometrial
- Systemic diseases like ulcerative colitis, diabetes, Crohn's disease, connective tissue diseases or hypertension
- Women with other endocrinal problem like Thyroid, Prolactin, Adrenal disorders.

Women fulfilling the inclusion criteria written informed consent was taken. Day 2 FSH/LH/serum E2 and AMH in all women was done. Selected women were undergoing infertility treatment, taking ovulation induction and trigger with timed intercourse, IUI or IVF procedure using a standard ovarian stimulation protocol.

The Transvaginal ultrasound examination performed in lithotomy position after passing urine to empty bladder. Follicular scan was performed on alternate days from day 7 onwards till Dominant Follicular Size Reach 18-20MM. The peak systolic velocity (PSV) of the uterine artery will be measured. using transvaginal colour Doppler measurements on trigger day in selected women. Trigger was given with human chorionic gonadotrophin injection. These women received 10,000 IU hCG as a trigger in single dose.

The primary endpoint of fertility treatment was followed, which was taken as successful pregnancy in terms of value of beta hCG after 1 week of trigger, urine pregnancy test after 2 weeks of expected menses and visible gestational sac on trans vaginal sonography after 12 weeks of last menstrual period. Ongoing pregnancy will be defined as “the presence of fetal cardiac activity at 12 weeks’ gestation” was taken as clinical pregnancy.

On the sagittal view of uterus, by using colour Doppler uterine artery flow was measured at the level of internal cervical os. The angle between the vessels the Doppler wave was kept close to zero.

The angle of measurement was less then 40°, and in all cases angle correction was performed. PSV was recorded. Indices values for uterine artery vessel was calculated electronically after wave forms in three consecutive cardiac cycles were obtained.

RESULTS

Comparison of different hormonal levels of the patients of the three types of intervention

One-way ANOVA showed that there were significant differences in mean Level of Follicle Stimulating Hormone (µ/ml) at 2nd day, level of Estradiol (pg/ml) at 2nd day and Level of luteinizing hormone at 2nd day (µ/ml) of the patients of the three groups (p>0.05) (Table 1).

Comparison of BHCG of the patients of the three types of intervention

One-way ANOVA showed that there was no significant difference in BHCG of the patients of the three groups (p=0.50) (Table 2).

Comparison of PSV trigger [PSV (peak systolic velocity (cm/sec)] of the pregnant and non-pregnant women

t-test showed that there was no significant difference in the mean Peak systolic velocity of the women who were became pregnant during treatment and the women who were not became pregnant during treatment (t58 = 1.95; p=0.064) Table 3.

Pregnancy rate and type of intervention of the patients

Chi-square test showed that there was significant association between status of pregnancy and type of intervention of the patients (p<0.001).

Proportion of pregnant women was higher for IVF (47.1%) higher than that for intrauterine insemination (29.4%) and timed intercourse (23.5%) (Z=2.62; p=0.008) (Table 4).
Table 1: Comparison of different hormonal levels of the patients of the three types of intervention.

| Parameters                        | IVF (n=20)     | Intrauterine insemination (n=20) | Timed intercourse (n=20) | F2,57 | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| **Level of follicle stimulating hormone (µ/ml) at 2nd day** |                |                                  |                          |       |         |
| Mean±SD                           | 4.34±2.92      | 3.51±2.05                        | 3.52±2.43                | 0.742 | 0.481 NS|
| Median                            | 3.88           | 3.35                             | 2.91                     |       |         |
| Range                             | 1.00-9.23      | 0.96-9.33                        | 0.90-9.80                |       |         |
| **Level of estradiol (pg/ml) at 2nd day** |                |                                  |                          |       |         |
| Mean±SD                           | 72.31±55.28    | 59.38±48.57                      | 54.39±44.24              | 0.696 | 0.503 NS|
| Median                            | 45.82          | 44.775                           | 39.56                    |       |         |
| Range                             | 22.65 - 220.10 | 16.07-233.00                     | 17.66-193.51             |       |         |
| **Level of luteinizing hormone at 2nd day (µ/ml)** |                |                                  |                          |       |         |
| Mean±SD                           | 4.18±2.69      | 3.85±2.75                        | 4.34±2.61                | 0.172 | 0.842 NS|
| Median                            | 3.31           | 3.46                             | 3.80                     |       |         |
| Range                             | 0.52 - 10.86   | 1.00-11.39                       | 1.40-11.80               |       |         |

Table 2: Comparison of BHCG of the patients of the three types of intervention.

| BHCG                              | IVF (n=20)     | Intrauterine insemination (n=20) | Timed Intercourse (n=20) | F2,57 | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| Mean±SD                           | 416.55±544.39  | 436.35±538.09                    | 605.80±582.29            | 0.702 | 0.50 NS |
| Median                            | 53.00          | 71.5                             | 780.00                   |       |         |
| Range                             | 0-1,466        | 1 - 1,420                        | 1-1,490                  |       |         |

NS- Statistically not significant

Table 3: Comparison of PSV trigger [PSV (peak systolic velocity (cm/sec)] of the pregnant and non-pregnant women.

| Peak systolic velocity (cm/sec) | Pregnant (n=18) | Non-pregnant (n=42) | t58   | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| Mean±sd                         | 23.08±3.39      | 20.37±5.43          | 1.95  | 0.064 NS|
| Median                          | 20.75           | 19.95               |       |         |
| Range                           | 14-40           | 11-32               |       |         |

NS- Statistically not significant

Table 4: Pregnancy rate and type of intervention of the patients.

| Type of intervention                     | Pregnant (n=18) | Non-pregnant (n=42) | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| IVF                                     | 8               | 12                  | 20    |
| Row %                                   | 40.0            | 60.0                | 100.0 |
| Col %                                   | 47.1            | 28.6                | 33.3  |
| Intrauterine Insemination               | 5               | 15                  | 20    |
| Row %                                   | 25.0            | 75.0                | 100.0 |
| Col %                                   | 29.4            | 35.7                | 33.3  |
| Timed Intercourse                       | 4               | 15                  | 20    |
| Row %                                   | 20.0            | 80.0                | 100.0 |
| Col %                                   | 23.5            | 35.7                | 33.4  |
| TOTAL                                   | 17              | 42                  | 60    |
| Row %                                   | 30.0            | 70.0                | 100.0 |
| Col %                                   | 100.0           | 100.0               | 100.0 |

DISCUSSION

In this study, authors determined the value of Doppler ultrasonographic indice PSV of uterine and arcuate arteries for predicting IVF outcome among infertile women in Wardha. In this study hormonal levels and BhCG did not differ significantly in different type of intervention of the patients. The pregnancy rate in IVF-ET cycles depends on a wide array of factors associated with different aspects of assisted reproduction. Uterine blood flow parameters might be one of these factors affecting implantation. Steer et al suggested that poor uterine perfusion determined by uterine artery color doppler could be a cause for implantation failure among unsuccessful IVF patients. This assessment prompted the present study where the quantitative measurement of PSV...
of uterine artery was conducted and the correlation between the same and the pregnancy rates was sought in ART cycles. Puerto et al in Spain have indicated that ultrasonographic markers as the predictors of implantation after IVF have a limited value when measured during embryo transfer.8

NG et al. evaluate the role of endometrial blood flows in the prediction of pregnancy during IVF treatment. They concluded that endometrial blood flows measured by three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound were not good predictors of pregnancy during IVF treatment, if they were measured once.9

In the study of Sudha Prasad et al, It was found that in pregnant and non-pregnant group (group A 26.17±8.94 group B 24.63±1.126) There was no significant changes between the mean PSV of uterine artery.10 In the study of Guzel AI et al reported that in non-pregnant and Pregnant group Doppler velocimetry did not differ significantly.11 In similar study, Ivanovski M in uterine artery and arcuate artery , in the pregnant group mean Peak systolic velocity were higher than in the non-PN pregnant group; but difference noted was not significant.12 In study of Ursula Zollner concluded that in non-pregnant and pregnant group the uterine artery peak systolic velocity (60 vs. 63) did not differ significantly.13 In the study of Engmann LI et al reported that not differs significantly in the mean PSV values (uterine artery) between conception cycles and non-conception cycles.14

In the study of Atoosa Adibi concluded that in women with successful IVF cycle ,Mean PSV(uterine artery) was significantly higher than in those with unsuccessful IVF outcome (P < 0.05).15 In another contrast study NG et al concluded that during IVF treatment endometrial blood flow velocimetry measured by Doppler ultrasound were not actually good predictors for prediction of conception , when measured only single time.9 In addition, further investigations are required on the associations of different uterine factors having higher impacts on successful pregnancy outcome in women undergoing IVF cycles.

Good endometrium triple line pattern, oocyte quality, and numerous autocrine, paracrine and endocrine factors inexorably influence the embryo endometrium cross talk that assessment of low or high uterine artery perfusion alone fails to correlate significantly with implantation rates. Thus, uterine artery 2D-PCD indices may be used with some of these factors to form a predictive algorithm for IVF pregnancy rates but independently is not a predictor for IVF implantation or pregnancy rates.

It seems that the controversial results which have reported in different studies are due to the differences in patients’ characteristics, the protocol of ovarian stimulation, the day of ultrasound evaluation and the methods of ultrasonographic assessments.

The limitation of this study was the small sample size of the studied population and for obtaining more conclusive results especially for determining the diagnostic value of studied ultrasonographic indices further studies with larger sample size is recommended.
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