A novel polysaccharide from *Rhizoma panacis japonica* exerts anti-inflammatory effects via STAT3 signal pathway
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We report a novel water-soluble polysaccharide from *Rhizoma panacis japonica*, namely, PJ-1 with anti-inflammatory activity. We first obtained a polysaccharide from this herb by eluting with water. After fully characterizing PJ-1 with a series of chromatographic technologies, we evaluated its biological activity by stimulating Raw 264.7 cells to express inflammatory cytokines and found that PJ-1 effectively enhanced the production of anti-inflammatory factors such as IL-10. We further identified its anti-inflammatory effect in a mouse model of sepsis induced by a lipopolysaccharide. The treatment of PJ-1 evidently suppressed the secretion of major inflammatory cytokines and increased the survival rate of mice. Particularly, we verified the STAT3 signal pathway responsible for the anti-inflammatory activity. Thus, PJ-1, which has remarkable anti-inflammatory effect and mechanism of action, may be a promising candidate as an anti-inflammatory agent.

Rhizoma panacis japonica*, a common herbal medicine, has attracted much attention due to its various pharmacological activities recorded by traditional oriental medicine. It can ‘stop bleeding’, ‘spread stasis’, ‘reduce swelling’, ‘relieve pain’, ‘stop expectorant’ and ‘relieve cough’ in clinic. Furthermore, its versatile biological activities including anti-tumor, anti-inflammatory, anti-viral, immunoregulatory and anti-fatigue activities are certified by modern pharmacology. Studies indicate that its polysaccharides may possess diverse biological activities such as anti-tumor effect and anti-oxidant activity. However, it remains unclear whether the polysaccharides can interact with macrophages to modulate their action. Studies on polysaccharides against macrophages illustrate clear immunoregulatory behavior and mechanism of action.

Therefore, in this study, we isolated a water-soluble polysaccharide via ion-exchange chromatography and gel column. Then, we evaluated the macrophage-modulating activities by stimulating secretion of the inflammatory cytokine. Furthermore, we observed the relative signal pathways and verified the biological activities of the polysaccharide in vitro and in vivo. Our findings may provide a promising prospect for developing new therapeutic agents based on this active polysaccharide.

1 Introduction

Polysaccharides derived from natural herbs are commonly considered immunomodulatory, and they exert immunoregulatory effects *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Diverse functions of natural polysaccharides such as promoting macrophage phagocytosis, enhancing lymphocyte functions and boosting anti-tumor effects have been demonstrated. Among them, the interaction with receptors expressed by macrophages is a key molecular basis, which can evidently affect the functions of macrophages. For instance, dectin-1, mannose receptors (MR), and macrophage galactose-type lectin (MGL) specifically interplay with polysaccharides composed of mannose, glucose, and galactose, which triggers downstream signals and induces dynamic changes in cellular action. Inspired by these interactions, numerous researches have been carried out for developing polysaccharide-based macrophage-affinity therapeutic tools aimed at a series of therapeutic applications. As such, the screening of polysaccharides from herbs and inspection of their influences on macrophages are critical for the discovery of therapeutic agents in clinic.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and reagents

*Rhizoma panacis japonica* was purchased from China Pharmaceutical Corporation-Canton (Guangzhou, China), and third-party verification was performed at Anhui Medical University. DEAE-52Cellulose and Sephadex G-100 were purchased from GE
Healthcare (Fairfield, Connecticut, USA). Standard monosaccharides including d-glucose, d-arabinose, d-mannose d-galactose, l-rhamnose, d-glucuronic acid and d-galacturonic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Phenol, sulfuric acid, TFA and PMP were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Polytron Technologies Inc. (Shanghai, China). Acetonitrile for HPLC was purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). All other chemicals and reagents used in this study were of analytical grade from Xi’an Chemical Co. (Xi’an, China). The water used was distilled water.

Murine Raw 264.7 cells, purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\) penicillin and 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\) streptomycin in 5% CO\(_2\) at 37 °C. All cell-culture reagents were purchased from Gibco (USA). ELISA kits used for measurements of murine TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-10 were purchased from 4A Biotech Co., Ltd (Beijing, China).

### 2.2 Preparation of PJ-1 polysaccharide

We obtained PJ-1 polysaccharide according to our in-house protocol.\(^{28}\) Air-dried *Rhizoma panaxis japonica* (500 g) was first extracted thrice with 95% ethanol for 2 h every time to remove fat-soluble components and organic molecules. Then, the dried residue was boiled with distilled water thrice for 3 h every time. The supernatant was collected, and a four-fold volume of ethanol was added and precipitated overnight at 4 °C. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 20 min) at room temperature and re-dissolved in distilled water. Proteins were removed by the Savage method (chloroform/n-butanol, 4 : 1, v/v). The collected solution was subsequently dialyzed in a dialysis bag (MW 8000–14000 Da) and lyophilized to obtain crude polysaccharide. The crude polysaccharide was then applied to a DEAE-52 column (5 cm × 30 cm) eluted with distilled water (1000 mL) at a flow rate of 25 mL h\(^{-1}\). The fraction was collected and detected by the phenol-sulfuric acid method.\(^{29}\) This water fraction was further purified using a sephadex G-100 column (2 cm × 100 cm) eluted with distilled water at a flow rate of 12 mL h\(^{-1}\) to obtain water-soluble polysaccharide PJ-1.

### 2.3 Measurement of molecular weight

Each polysaccharide (2.0 mg) of PJ-1 was dissolved in water for homogeneity and molecular weight analysis using HPLC technology.\(^{22}\) The chromatographic conditions were as follows: Shimadzu LC20 with an RID-20 detector (Shimadzu, Japan) and with TSK-GEL G4000PWXL (TOSHO, Japan, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, i.d.) at 35 °C; 0.1 N NaNO\(_3\) and 0.06% NaN\(_3\) aqueous solutions were used as the mobile phase, and the flow rate was 0.6 mL min\(^{-1}\). Polyethylene glycol standards with different molecular weights were used for the calibration curve.

### 2.4 IR spectrum assay

FT-IR spectrum was obtained using a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer with KBr pellets (PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA). PJ-1 (3 mg) was mixed with KBr powder, and the IR spectrum was recorded in the frequency range of 4000–450 cm\(^{-1}\).

### 2.5 Monosaccharide composition of PJ-1

The monosaccharide composition of PJ-1 was analyzed using the PMP pre-column derivatization method.\(^{29}\) Briefly, PJ-1 (4 mg) was completely hydrolyzed using 2 M 2 M TFA in a sealed tube for 4 h at 110 °C. Then, the products were evaporated and washed with methanol twice and dried with nitrogen to remove TFA. Hydrolysate was dissolved in water and mixed with the same volume of sodium hydroxide. Then, 100 μL of 0.5 M methanolic solution of PMP was added to the mixture and incubated for 120 min at 70 °C. Afterwards, the solution was neutralized with 0.5 M hydrochloric acid, and chloroform (1 mL) was added; the solution was vortexed fully to generate layers. The organic layer was then removed. Finally, the solution was passed through a 0.45 μm membrane and analyzed by HPLC with a UV detector. It was eluted with a mixture of ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) and acetonitrile (82 : 18 v/v for 0–25 min and 78 : 22 v/v for 25–50 min), and then the results were obtained at 245 nm. A standard solution containing seven types of monosaccharides (mannose, rhamnose, glucuronic acid, galacturonic acid, glucose, galactose and arabinose) underwent the same protocol as described above.\(^{23}\)

### 2.6 Cell viability

RAW 264.7 cells were cultured in 96-well plates (10\(^4\) per well) in DMEM medium with 10% (v/v) FBS at 37 °C. After incubation for 24 h, the cells were treated with PJ-1 polysaccharide (50–200 μg mL\(^{-1}\)) for 24, 48 and 72 h. Cell viability was measured by CCK-8 assay at different time points.

### 2.7 Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR)

Total RNA was obtained from the cells using TRizol® Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quantity and quality of total RNA were certified using Eppendorf Biophotometer Plus (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). Q-PCR was carried out using LightCycler FastStar DNA Master SYBR Green I (Roche Diagnostics) following the protocol from the manufacturer. The fold change of each gene was normalized to that of β-actin.\(^{24}\) The primer sequences in this experiment are listed below (F: forward; R: reverse):

- **iNOS:** 5’-CCAAGCCCTCCTACCTACTCC-3’ (F) 5’-CTCTGAGGCTGACACAAGG-3’ (R);
- **Arginase-1:** 5’-CCAGAAGAATGGAGATGCTGTT-3’ (F) 5’-GCGATATGCGAGGGTCGACC-3’ (R);
- **β-Actin:** 5’-TTGCTGTGCTCTGTATGCTTCT-3’ (F) and 5’-TTTGAATGTCACCGCAAGATT-3’ (R).

### 2.8 Western blotting

For Western blotting assay, cellular proteins were obtained by lysing cells in RIPA buffer containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Equal amounts of samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and then transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad, USA). After blocking with skimmed milk or bovine serum albumin (5%) for 1.5 h at room temperature with gentle shaking, the membranes wereblotted
with primary antibodies (1:1000) overnight at 4 °C and then exposed to secondary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature. The bands were visualized with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific, USA). The corresponding antibodies including phosphor-STAT3, Total-STAT3 and GAPDH were obtained from cell signaling technology (Danvers, MA, USA).

2.9 The effect of PJ-1 on macrophages

Raw 264.7 cells were cultured and pre-treated with PJ-1 at different concentrations (25–100 μg mL⁻¹) for 1 h; then, LPS (200 ng mL⁻¹) was added to different groups and incubated for 20 h at 37 °C. Afterwards, the concentrations of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-10 in cell-culture supernatant were measured using the corresponding ELISA kits (4A Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). The operation was strictly performed according to the instructions, and the plates were read at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific). Furthermore, total RNA was extracted, and the level of RNA including Arg1 and iNOS genes was measured according to the above-mentioned procedure. Afterwards, under the same conditions, the cells were treated in the same manner with 10 μM Static (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) as the inhibitor of the STAT3 signal pathway.

2.10 The evaluation of PJ-1 in vivo

Male C57BL/6 mice (18–24 g) were supplied by the Experimental Animal Centre of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, China) and randomly divided into three groups (n = 10 per group) including control group (saline), LPS group (10 mg kg⁻¹), and PJ-1 (20–40 mg kg⁻¹) plus LPS group (10 mg kg⁻¹). Particularly, PJ-1 polysaccharide was first executed by intraperitoneal injection and after 1 h, LPS injection was administered. After eight hours, the mice with different treatments were sacrificed, and blood serum was collected. The levels of TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-10 were quantified with commercial ELISA kits. The amounts and weights of surviving mice were counted at different time points. Meanwhile, the same operation was performed after inhibiting STAT3 activity with Static (1 mg kg⁻¹), and the dose of PJ-1 was 20 mg kg⁻¹ per mouse. Animal care and all experiments were performed according to the ‘Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals’ of Anhui Medical University.

2.11 Statistics

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA (GraphPad Prism 6), with * and ** standing for P<0.05 and <0.01, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Purification of crude polysaccharide

Crude polysaccharide was isolated, followed by removal of proteins using the Sevag method. A water-soluble fraction was obtained with a DEAE-52 column and elution using distilled water. The fraction was further purified using a Sephadex G-100 column with distilled water as the eluent. Finally, the polysaccharide, namely, PJ-1 was obtained (Fig. 1A and B).

3.2 Chemical analysis of PJ-1

3.2.1 The molecular weight and FT-IR analysis of PJ-1. The homogeneity of PJ-1 was analyzed using the HPLC-RID method. After purification, PJ-1 exhibited a single peak, and its molecular weight was calculated to be about 13.6 kDa (Fig. 2A). Meanwhile, the IR spectrum of PJ-1 showed (Fig. 2B) strong peaks at 3395 cm⁻¹, 2925 cm⁻¹ and 1012 cm⁻¹, which were separately assigned to the stretching vibrations of O–H, C–H and C–O bonds, respectively.

3.2.2 Monosaccharide composition of PJ-1. The monosaccharide composition of PJ-1 is critical for elucidating its biological activity. After confirming the molecular weight of PJ-1, we further illustrated the monosaccharide content of PJ-1 using the PMP-derivative method. After the hydrolysis and derivatization of PJ-1, the sample was subjected to HPLC analysis; standard monosaccharides were also subjected to the same method (Fig. 2C and D). The results suggested that PJ-1 consisted of glucose, galactose and arabinose with a molar ratio of 1 : 2.4 : 1.6.
composition of PJ-1 polysaccharide, we further inspected its biological activity. First, cell viability assay was performed, and the results showed that PJ-1 polysaccharide had no significant toxicity to macrophages (Fig. 3A). Subsequently, the effect of PJ-1 on macrophages was evaluated, and the secretion of cytokines and the level of RNA were measured. The results suggested that PJ-1 significantly decreased the level of iNOS and increased the level of Arg-1 when the concentration of PJ-1 was increased (Fig. 3B and C). Meanwhile, PJ-1 could inhibit the secretion of inflammatory factors including TNF-α and IL-1β while promoting the secretion of IL-10 with the increasing dose of PJ-1 (Fig. 3D–F). In addition, we noticed that PJ-1 without the stimulation of LPS could not induce any marked change in cytokines and related gene expressions (data not shown). Together, it was confirmed that PJ-1 exhibited efficient anti-inflammatory effect in vitro.

3.3.2 PJ-1 affects macrophage via STAT-3 signal pathway.
To explore the underlying mechanism of PJ-1’s anti-inflammatory activity, we tested the effects of PJ-1 on the activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathways. STAT3, a member of the STAT family of transcription factors, possesses key functions in development and tissue homeostasis. It has been reported that activated STAT3 can promote macrophage to M2 phenotype differentiation. Western blotting analysis was performed in PJ-1-treated Raw 264.7. The results indicated that PJ-1 could promote STAT3 phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner in Raw 264.7 (Fig. 4A). Subsequently, we used an STAT3 inhibitor (Stattic) to assess the role of STAT3 in the anti-inflammatory activity of PJ-1. The data showed no marked change in the levels of cytokines (TNF-α, IL-10 and IL-1β) (Fig. 4B, D and E), and related gene mRNA expressions (iNOS and Arg1) (Fig. 4C and F) were observed in the PJ-1 + Stattic group with LPS stimulation compared with the observations for the Stattic + LPS group. Analogously, the results of Western blotting showed that under

---

**Fig. 3** Effects of PJ-1 on macrophage viability and phenotype. (A) Viability of Raw 264.7 cells treated with different doses of PJ-1 (50–200 μg mL⁻¹) for 24, 48 and 72 h. The data were normalized to PBS-treated cells. Raw 264.7 cells were pre-incubated with different doses of PJ-1 for 1 h and treated with LPS (200 ng mL⁻¹) for 20 h. The mRNA levels of iNOS and Arg1 were examined by Q-PCR (B and C) and the protein levels of TNF-α, IL-10 and IL-1β in the supernatants (D–F) were tested by ELISA. The results represent 3 independent experiments and are expressed as mean ± SEM. *, *0.05 and **, *0.01 compared with the LPS group.

**Fig. 4** PJ-1 regulated macrophage phenotype by enhancing STAT3 activation. (A) Expressions of phosphorylated-STAT3 (P-STAT3) and total-STAT3 (T-STAT3) evaluated by Western blotting. (B–F) Macrophages were pre-incubated with 10 μM Stattic for 30 min, followed by co-treatment with PJ-1 and Stattic for 1 h and then, they were cultured with LPS (200 ng mL⁻¹) for 24 h. The supernatants were collected to determine TNF-α, IL-10 and IL-1β, and gene iNOS and Arg1 expressions were tested by Q-PCR. (G) Macrophages were pre-incubated in 2 μM Stattic for 30 min, followed by co-treatment with PJ-1 and Stattic for 1 h and then, they were cultured with LPS (200 ng mL⁻¹) for 30 min; the expressions of P-STAT3 and T-STAT3 were evaluated by Western blotting analysis. The results represent 3 independent experiments and are expressed as mean ± SEM. *, *0.05 compared with LPS group or LPS + PJ-1 group and NS compared with LPS + Stattic group.
treatment of LPS, PJ-1 and Stattic co-treatment decreased the phosphorylation level of STAT3 relative to PJ-1 treatment alone (Fig. 4G). These results suggested that the anti-inflammatory effects of PJ-1 in macrophages depended on the activation of STAT3.

3.4 Anti-inflammatory activity of PJ-1 in vivo

3.4.1 The survival rate and body weight of mice. To further evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of PJ-1, we constructed a sepsis model in mice during which macrophages played vital roles in inducing inflammation. As such, we established the sepsis model in mice using LPS injection and tested the anti-inflammatory effect of PJ-1. After the injection of LPS, all mice had septic shock within 60 h and the group with PJ-1 treatment showed higher survival rate than the other groups. When the mice with LPS treatment died, the PJ-1 group (40 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) exhibited survival rate of approximately 60% (Fig. 5A). Meanwhile, the body weight of the PJ-1 group (40 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) first decreased after LPS injection and then increased slowly (Fig. 5B). The results suggested that PJ-1 showed prominent anti-inflammatory effect.

3.4.2 Measurement of cytokines in serum. To further assess whether PJ-1 can alleviate inflammatory response, cytokines including TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\) and IL-10 of different groups in serum were measured at 8 h. The results showed that two major inflammatory cytokines TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-1\(\beta\) evidently decreased and IL-10, an anti-inflammatory factor, markedly increased in mice pre-treated with PJ-1 at each concentration, compared to the group treated with LPS only (Fig. 5C–E).

3.5 The anti-inflammatory effect of PJ-1 in vivo depends on STAT3 activation

We pre-treated LPS-challenged mice with Stattic to further investigate the role of STAT3 activation on the effect of PJ-1 on sepsis in vivo. Co-treatment with Stattic and PJ-1 could eliminate the therapeutic effect of PJ-1 including recovery of losses in body weight and enhancement of survival rates (Fig. 6A and B).

Meanwhile, co-treatment with Stattic and PJ-1 eliminated the anti-inflammatory effect of PJ-1 on mice with sepsis (Fig. 6C–E). These results suggested that the anti-inflammatory effect of PJ-1 in vivo may be mediated by STAT3 activation.

4 Conclusions

In this study, we obtained a water-soluble polysaccharide, PJ-1, which can modulate the function of macrophages. We characterized its molecular weight and monosaccharide composition and further validated the anti-inflammatory effect of this polysaccharide in vitro and in vivo. The results obtained indicated that PJ-1 could effectively suppress the secretion of major inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, we certified its mechanism of action with multiple experiments and confirmed that it exerted anti-inflammatory effect via the STAT3 signal pathway. Taken together, PJ-1, examined by a series of evaluations, has remarkable anti-inflammatory effect in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, we assume that PJ-1 has a promising prospect of becoming an outstanding candidate as an anti-inflammatory agent.
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Abbreviations

PJ \textit{Rhizoma panacis japonica}
TFA Trifluoroacetic acid
PMP 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone
UV Ultraviolet
IR Infrared

Fig. 5 PJ-1-protected mice against LPS-induced sepsis. The survival rate (A) and body mass of mice (B). The effect of PJ-1 on serum cytokine levels of TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\) and IL-10 in LPS-challenged mice (C–E). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (\(n=10\) mice per group). * \(<0.05\) and ** \(<0.01\) compared with LPS group.

Fig. 6 The therapeutic effect of PJ-1 was eliminated by the STAT3 inhibitor Stattic. The effect of Stattic on PJ-1-treated mice with sepsis was assessed based on the survival rate (A) and body weight changes (B). The effect of Stattic on the serum cytokine levels of TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\) and IL-10 in PJ-1–treated mice with sepsis (C–E). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (\(n=10\) mice per group). ** \(<0.01\) compared with LPS group; NS compared with LPS + Stattic + PJ-1 group.
Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Scientific Research of BSKY (XJ201726) from Anhui Medical University, Anhui Institute of Translational Medicine Research Fund (2017zhyx10), and funding from the Qingdao Science and Technology Program (15-10-3-15-(41)-zch). All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Anhui Medical University and experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University.

Notes and references

1 Q. Li, Y. Feng, W. He, L. Wang, R. Wang, L. Dong and C. Wang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2017, 169, 304–314.
2 Y.-Q. Du, Y. Liu and J.-H. Wang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, 72, 1272–1276.
3 Q. Fang, J.-F. Wang, X.-Q. Zha, S.-H. Cui, L. Cao and J.-P. Luo, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, 134, 66–73.
4 F. Kallel, D. Driss, F. Bouaziz, L. Belghith, S. Zouari-Ellouzi, A. Haddar, S. E. Chaabouni and R. Ghorbel, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 6728–6741.
5 X. Chu, X.-J. Liu, J.-M. Qiu, X.-L. Zeng, H.-R. Bao and J. Shu, *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2016, 48, 76–84.
6 Q.-D. Xiang, Q. Yu, H. Wang, M.-M. Zhao, S.-Y. Liu, S.-P. Nie and M.-Y. Xie, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2017, 65, 5306–5315.
7 A. Zong, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Song, Y. Shi, H. Cao, C. Liu, Y. Cheng, W. Jiang and F. Du, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, 129, 50–54.
8 S. S. Ferreira, C. P. Passos, P. Madureira, M. Vilanova and M. A. Coimbra, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, 132, 378–396.
9 S.-Z. Xie, R. Hao, X.-Q. Zha, L.-H. Pan, J. Liu and J.-P. Luo, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2016, 146, 292–300.
10 C. Deng, H. Fu, J. Shang, J. Chen and X. Xu, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, 101, 369–374.
11 W.-J. Li, X.-F. Tang, X.-X. Shuai, C.-J. Jiang, X. Liu, L.-F. Wang, Y.-F. Yao, S.-P. Nie and M.-Y. Xie, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2017, 65, 348–357.
12 I. G. Zizzari, P. Martufi, F. Battisti, H. Rahimi, S. Caponnetto, F. Bellati, M. Nuti, A. Rughetti and C. Napoletano, *PLoS One*, 2015, 10, e0132617.
13 D. Xukun, M. Xue, C. Jian, Y. Wenzhong, L. You, Z. Biqun, J. Jie, C. Shuang and W. Fei, *J. South-Cent. Univ. Natl., Nat. Sci. Ed.*, 2013, 1, 011.
14 T. Wang, Y. Bai, Y. Dun, C. Zhang, J. Wan, L. Deng, Z. Zhou, C. Liu and D. Yuan, *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.*, 2014, 36, 404–411.
15 X. Yang, R. Wang, C. Zhang, W. Zhu, J. Tang, J. Liu, P. Chen, D. Zhang, W. Ye and Y. Zheng, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, 101, 386–391.
16 B. Klimova and K. Kuca, *Curr. Alzheimer Res.*, 2017, 14, 680–685.
17 C. Chen, W. Wu, X. Xu, L. Zhang, Y. Liu and K. Wang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, 105, 308–316.
18 X. Yang, R. Wang, C. Zhang, W. Zhu, J. Tang, J. Liu, P. Chen, D. Zhang, W. Ye and Y. Zheng, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, 101, 386–391.
19 H. Zhang, H. Zhao, X. Zhou, Y. Zhang, S. Shen, J. Wang, Z. Wang and L. Geng, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 110706–110721.
20 Q. Li, G. Guo, F. Meng, H. H. Wang, Y. Niu, Q. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Dong and C. Wang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2016, 5, 617–621.
21 M. Dubios, K. A. Gilles, J. K. Hamilton, P. A. Rebers and F. Smith, *Anal. Chem.*, 1956, 28, 350–356.
22 K. L. Cheong, D. T. Wu, J. Zhao and S. P. Li, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2015, 1400, 98–106.
23 F. C. Meng, C. Yuan, X.-J. Huang, W.-J. Wang, L.-G. Lin, X.-T. Zhang, H.-Y. Jiao and Q.-W. Zhang, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 2016, 15, 108–112.
24 W. He, Y. Zhu, R. Mu, J. Xu, X. Zhang, C. Wang, Q. Li, Z. Huang, J. Zhang and Y. Pan, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2017, 145, 132–146.
25 H. F. Zhang and R. Lai, *Cancers*, 2014, 6, 1408–1440.
26 D. E. Levy and C. K. Lee, *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2002, 109, 1143–1148.
27 C. Qin, W. H. Fan, Q. Liu, K. Shang, M. Murugan, L. J. Wu, W. Wang and D. S. Tian, *Stroke*, 2017, 48, 3363–3346.
28 H. S. Chung, B. S. Lee and J. Y. Ma, *J. Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.*, 2017, 2017, 4218468.
29 H. Zhao, Q. Zhang, Z. Pan, Y. Bai, Z. Li, H. Zhang, Q. Zhang, C. Guo, L. Zhang and Q. Wang, *Diabetes*, 2018, 67, 235–247.