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Abstract

The genus *Anthemis* has a circum-Mediterranean distribution and comprises c. 175 annual, biennial, and perennial species with polyploid species and species complexes found in its section *A.* sect. *Hiorthia*. In Sicily, the genus is represented by 13 species, one of these being the tetraploid *A. cupaniana*, which is endemic to the island and is distributed throughout the limestone mountains at elevations between 500 and 1800 m a.s.l. Discordant positions in phylogenetic trees based on two plastid regions (*psbA-trnH* and *trnC-petN*) and on one nuclear marker (*nrDNA ITS1 + 5.8S + ITS2*) reveal that the species is of allopolyploid origin, with the maternal parent from the species group around *A. cretica* and the paternal one from a monophyletic group comprising mostly N African representatives around *A. pedunculata*. Owing to the fact that the latter group also comprises the Sicilian perennial and diploid *A. ismelia* and that *A. cretica* has been found with diploid populations in the C Sicilian Madonie Mts, these two species are considered being the most probable parental diploids of *A. cupaniana*. The close relationship of *A. ismelia* with the N African *A. pedunculata* group supports the biogeographical interpretation of *A. cupaniana* being the result of a ‘collision’ between diploids from the southern (African) and northern (Eurasian) platforms after dispersal along a Pleistocene corridor in the Sicilian channel.
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Introduction

Despite some controversy concerning the evolutionary significance of polyploidisation for the longer-term diversity of higher plants (Fawcett and Van der Peer 2010; Arrigo and Barker 2012; Mayrose et al. 2011; but see Soltis et al. 2014 for a completely opposite view), polyploid speciation plays a paramount role in phytodiversity respects on smaller time-scales. The study by Wood et al. (2009) showed that about 35% of vascular plant species are recent polyploids (“neopolyploids”, having formed since their genus arose). As a consequence, polyploid species and species-rich polyploidy complexes are undeniable building blocks of the actual plant biodiversity and, therefore, highly important drivers of ecological processes at the community and global levels. For example, evidence is amassing in the last years for the fact that polyploidisation has a crucial influence on the invasiveness of plant species by changing the morphology, physiology, ecological tolerance, phenotypic plasticity, and competition capabilities of newly formed polyploids (e.g. teBeest et al. 2012, Hahn et al. 2013, Linder and Barker 2013).

On the other hand, however, polyploid species formation creates considerable challenges for the taxonomical assessment and documentation of biodiversity. Autopolyploidy may lead to reproductively isolated evolutionary units (biological species) that go unnoticed when a morphology-based taxonomic (or phenetic) species concept is applied. This may cause the presence of ‘cryptic species’ and may lead to a gross underestimation of the number of species (Soltis et al. 2007). Allopolyploid populations could be
formed repeatedly based on the hybridisation of the same two diploid ancestral species and may evolve into evolutionary independent and morphological distinct lineages, while in other cases multiple formation of polyploids (often under reciprocal parentage) may result in a single polyploid evolutionary entity with unrestricted gene-flow among its populations (Soltis and Soltis 1999).

Molecular techniques of the last decades not only helped to corroborate hypotheses of allopolyploid species formation in textbook examples like *Tragopogon* L. (Owenby 1950; Soltis et al. 2004) and *Galeopsis* L. (Bendiksby et al. 2011), but also in many non-model angiosperm and fern groups (e.g. *Cerastium* L., Brysting et al. 2007; *Melampodium* L., Weiss-Schneeweiss et al. 2011; *Viola* L., Marcussen et al. 2012; *Fumaria* L., Bertrand et al. 2015; *Silene* L., Frajman et al. 2018; *Cystopteris* Bernh., *Gymnocarpium* Newman, Rothfels et al. 2017). Despite considerable progress in polyploidy phylogenetics (Oxelman et al. 2017; Rothfels 2021), discordance between gene trees based on nuclear ribosomal genes and spacer regions (nrDNA ITS and/or ETS) and those based on spacer/intron sequence information from the chloroplast genome (cpDNA), along with the additivity of ribotype polymorphisms inferred by amplicon cloning or scrutinising electropherograms of the ribosomal multi-copy marker, is still used in an overwhelming majority of contributions aiming at the inference of the ancestry of polyploid lineages and species.

The genus *Anthemis* L. (Compositae, Anthemideae) comprises around 175 species in the Mediterranean region and adjacent areas (Oberprieler et al. 2009, 2022) and harbours polyploid species and complexes in its section *A. sect. Hiorthia* (DC.) R.Fern. that comprises the perennial representatives of the genus (Oberprieler 1998). In Italy, the genus is represented by 16 species, of which 13 are also found in Sicily (Lo Presti et al. 2018). When studying the phylogeny of this extremely species-rich genus based on nuclear and plastidic markers sampled for nearly all of its species, Lo Presti et al. (2018) noticed the obvious discordant position of the Sicilian endemic tetraploid *A. cupaniana* Nyman in the two gene trees reconstructed, with a close relationship to a clade of perennial and often polyploid species around the S European-SW Asian *A. cretica* L. in terms of cpDNA sequence variation and a contradicting position of the species among annuals and perennials from N Africa in nrDNA respects. Owing to the fact that *A. cupaniana* was included in the mentioned study with only two accessions and sequence information for other taxa of the genus endemic to Sicily [i.e. *A. aetnensis* Spreng., *A. cretica* subsp. messanensis (Brullo) Giardina and Raimondo, *A. ismela* Lojac., and *A. pignatiorum* Guarino, Raimondo and Domina] were not or only incompletely and geographically underrepresentatively sampled, the present contribution aims at a more extensive analysis on the origin of this tetraploid.

### Materials and methods

### Plant material, DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing

The present study comprised 70 herbarium accessions of 26 *Anthemis* species from the central and western Mediterranean region (Online Resource 1). DNA sequences have been either gained in previous studies [Oberprieler and Vogt (2000), Oberprieler (2001), Lo Presti and Oberprieler (2009), Lo Presti et al. (2010)] or were newly produced in the present study. In the latter case, genomic DNA was extracted according to the CTAB DNA extraction protocol of Doyle and Dickson (1987) and Doyle and Doyle (1987). PCR amplification of the markers followed protocols given by Oberprieler et al. (2007) and Lo Presti and Oberprieler (2009) for nrDNA ITS1 and ITS2 and Lo Presti et al. (2010) for the two intergenic spacer regions *psbA-trnH* and *trnC-petN* on the chloroplast genome. After purification of PCR amplicons with AmpliClean (Nimag, Nijmegen, The Netherlands) magnetic beads, Sanger sequencing was carried out by a contract sequencing company (Macrogen Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

For some ITS amplicons that were not readable due to ambiguous electropherograms caused by polymorphisms, we were able to pinpoint indels for sequence shifts and split reads into the two overlying sequences (a and b in labels of Online Resource 3 and Fig. 2), which were subsequently used in the phylogenetic analysis. In cases of ITS amplicons that were not editable at all (i.e. those of accessions A1206 of *A. aetnensis* and A0689, A0728, A1189, and A1208 of *A. cupaniana*), purified PCR products were cloned into NEB Turbo *E. coli* (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) with the CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany). All reactions were conducted following the manufacturer’s protocols. After 12 h of incubation at 37 °C, 11–17 clones of each transformation were picked, dissolved in water, and used as templates in a second PCR reaction. The primers and temperature profile were identical to the initial PCR. Following another purification step, the cloned amplicons were sequenced.

### Phylogenetic analyses

Electropherograms were edited with CHROMAS v2.6.6 (Technelysium Pty Ltd 1998–2018) with usage of the IUPAC code for polymorphisms, and marker-wise alignments were done with BioEDIT v7.2.5 (Hall 1999). As outgroups for the subsequent phylogenetic analyses, sequences from *A. scariosa* Banks and Sol. and from *Cota*...
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A. thia A. Barratte Pomel, A. fusa & Pitard, A. clade). While all members of the former have been assigned series 1998), the latter clade comprises species from the annual treatments of the genus (e.g. Fernandes 1976; Oberprieler clade) and all other species on the other (the Maire and Sennen, A. respectively (Online Resource 2). The phylogenetic tree
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Results

Chloroplast haplotype phylogeny

The alignments of the two intergenic spacer regions of the chloroplast genome trnC-petN and psbA-trnH were 566 bp and 418 bp long and comprised 18 and 22 coded indels, respectively (Online Resource 2). The phylogenetic tree resulting from the Bayesian analysis is depicted in Fig. 1. It provides a well-supported bipartition of taxa, with representatives of A. aetnensis, A. alpestris, A. cretica, A. cupaniana, and A. hydruntina H.Groves on the one hand (the A. cretica clade) and all other species on the other (the A. pedunculata clade). While all members of the former have been assigned to A. sect. Hiorthia (DC.) R.Fernandes in former taxonomic treatments of the genus (e.g. Fernandes 1976; Oberprieler 1998), the latter clade comprises species from the annual series A. sect. Anthemis ser. Bourgaeiinianae (A. mauritiana Maire and Sennen, A. stiparum Pomel, A. zaianica Oberpr.), ser. Chrysantheae (A. gharbensis Oberpr., A. maroccana Batt. & Pitard, A. tenuisecta Ball), ser. Secundirameae [A. confusa Pomel, A. cyrenaica Coss., A. glareosa E.A.Durand & Barratte, A. muricata (DC.) Guss., A. secundiramea Biv., A. ibensis Pomel] together with perennials of A. sect. Hiorthia [A. abylaea (Font Quer & Maire) Oberpr., A. ismelia, A. maritima L., A. pedunculata, A. pignattiorum, A. punctata Vahl]. In both clades, accessions and/or infraspecific taxa of the species surveyed do not form well-supported monophyletic groups.

Nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS phylogeny

The nrDNA ITS alignment comprised 158 accessions or cloned sequences and was 743 bp long, with 34 coded indels (Online Resource 3). The phylogenetic tree resulting from the Bayesian analysis is depicted in Fig. 2. Again, with the exception of clone C7 from accession A0689 (A. cupaniana) and accession A0286 (A. hydruntina), two well-supported monophyletic groups can be distinguished that correspond largely to the aforementioned A. cretica and A. pedunculata clades. However, this comes with a very important difference: all accessions and cloned sequences of A. cupaniana (with the exception of clone C7 from accession A0689 and clone C7 from accession A1189) are now found being members of the A. pedunculata clade, while all other taxa of the cpDNA-based A. cretica clade remained in the monophyletic group around A. cretica. As in the phylogenetic tree based on cpDNA sequence variation, monophyletic groups comprising all accessions of a species are not found; with the exception of all accessions and nearly all clones representing A. cupaniana (supported by a posterior probability of 0.97 within the A. pedunculata clade).

Discussion

Despite the lack of sufficient resolution in both phylogenetic trees resulting in the non-monophyly of the majority of the species included, the unique position of the focal species of the present study, the Sicilian endemic A. cupaniana, is unequivocal. While all other taxa are found being member of either the A. cretica or the A. pedunculata clade in the cpDNA and the nrDNA phylogenies, A. cupaniana combines its position in the former clade in respects to its chloroplast genome with membership in the latter one in terms of its sequences from the internal transcribed spacer regions of the ribosomal repeat. This pattern is interpreted best by assuming that this tetrploid species is of allopolyploid origin, with the maternal, plastid-inheriting parent being a diploid from the A. cretica group and the paternal one being a diploid from the A. pedunculata group. An alternative explanation to this could be a chloroplast-capture event via hybridisation between a diploid or tetraploid member of the A. cretica group (as maternal parent) and an autotetraploid of the A. pedunculata group.
Diploid candidate species for this assumed allopolyploidisation leading to *A. cupaniana* are present in Sicily: from the *A. cretica* group, diploid chromosome numbers were reported by Brullo and Pavone (1978) for a collection of *A. cretica* (subsp. *cretica*) from Piano Zucchi (Madonie Mts), which is close to the sampling localities of the two
A. cretica accesses A0729 and A0731 of the present study, while A. ismelia is a diploid (Bartolo et al. 1981) endemic to Monte Gallo and Monte Pecoraro close to Palermo (Cusimano et al. 2017) and belongs to the A. pedunculata group. The tetraploid A. cupaniana shares its ribbed, but smooth achenes with A. cretica (tuberculate in A. ismelia), while large capitula and a trend towards branched capitulences is shared with A. ismelia (smaller capitula and unbranched stems in A. cretica). Additionally, the elevational distribution of A. cupaniana (500–1800 m a.s.l.) is more similar to A. cretica than to A. ismelia (10–650 m a.s.l.). The nearly identical chloroplast sequences of A. cupaniana accesses with accession A0731 of A. cretica from Mte Quacella (Madonie Mts) and the strongly supported clade of the majority of nrDNA clones from A. cupaniana formed with accession A0733 of A. ismelia from Mte Gallo (Palermo) and north African annuals and perennials (i.e. A. confusa, A. glareosa, A. pedunculata subsp. atlantica, A. pedunculata subsp. pedunculata, A. secundiramea var. cossyrensis Guss., A. scundiramea subsp. secundiramea, and A. ubensis) support this interpretation.

Participation of A. cretica in the polyploid formation of A. cupaniana is not only supported by the possession of A. cretica-type chloroplasts alone; the finding of nrDNA ITS clones that completely (accession A1189, clone C7) or partly (accessions A0689, clones C7 and C14; accession A0728, clone C2) are identical with ITS sequences from A. cretica (Table 1) support this conclusion impressively. Especially convincing are the two clones of accesses A0728 (clone C2) and A0689 (clone C7), where in the former, ITS1 typical for A. ismelia is combined with ITS2 typical for A. cretica, while in the latter, ITS2 contains a mixture of nucleotide positions typical for both parental species. These deviating ITS clone sequences are best explained by assuming recombination between the two parental nrDNA ITS arrays (cassettes) in the tetraploid. This could be expected either (a) when a tetraploid exhibits a tetrasomic inheritance and recombination between homeologous chromosomes of the two parental genomes is realised, or (b) when illegitimate recombination events between parental genomes happen as exception to a regular disomic behaviour of parental chromosome sets, or (c) when hybridisation of the tetraploid with one or both parental diploids leads to the formation of early recombinants of parental ribotypes. Owing to the fact that the two parental lineages (i.e. the A. cretica- and the A. pedunculata group) diverge from each other already around 9 Ma ago (Lo Presti and Oberprieler 2009), tetrasomic inheritance appears less reasonable than the two other hypotheses. The explanation of the observed pattern caused by recent hybridisation between the tetraploid A. cupaniana and the diploid A. cretica, however, receives plausibility due to the sympatric and even syntopic (Rivas 1964) distribution of the two taxa in the Madonie Mts. of central Sicily. Recent hybridisation may also explain why after homogenisation of ITS repeats towards the A. ismelia-side in the allopolyploid A. cupaniana (as seen in accesses A0732, A1208, and A1219) as a consequence of concerted evolutionary mechanisms (unequal crossing-over, gene conversion; Álvarez and Wendel 2003) patterns of incomplete homogenisation are observed in some individuals (A0689, A0728, and A1189). Detailed cytological and molecular studies in the mixed stands of A. cretica and A. cupaniana in the Madonie Mts. may reveal signatures of inter-ploidy hybridisation (presence of triploids, additional individuals with recombinant nrDNA ITS arrays) that would support the latter scenario.

In biogeographical respects, the allopolyploid formation of A. cupaniana in Sicily is an impressive example for the important role of the Sicilian Channel as a barrier and corridor for the floristic exchange between the African and the Eurasian plate during the Quaternary as reviewed by Nieto (2014). A dated phylogenetic and biogeographical reconstruction for Anthemis s.l. provided by Lo Presti and Oberprieler (2009) shows that the most recent common ancestor of the A. cretica and A. pedunculata groups lived in the Miocene (Tortonian-Messinian, 8.8 ± 1.9 Ma ago), with the Balkan Peninsula and Asia Minor being the most probable ancestral area of the former and north-west Africa being the ancestral area of the latter species group. While the A. cretica group subsequently diversified on the northern platform into a species group of perennials distributed throughout the southern European and southwest Asian mountain ranges from the Iberian Peninsula in the west to the Caucasus and northern Iran in the east, the common ancestor of the A. pedunculata group evolved into an array of annuals and perennials in northern Africa (Lo Presti and Oberprieler 2009: Fig. 3). The onset of the glaciation cycles of the Pleistocene c. 2.5 Ma ago triggered numerous speciation events in the former group and led to dispersal events of the latter group onto the Eurasian platform (e.g. A. muricata or A. secundiramea to Sicily, A. pedunculata to the Iberian Peninsula). The role of the Sicilian Channel as a corridor for floristic exchange caused by eustatic sea-level shifts during the Pleistocene has been demonstrated for a number of plant (e.g. Silene, Naciri et al. 2010; Anacampsis, Zitari et al. 2011; Anthemis secundiramea, Lo Presti and Oberprieler 2011; Linaria, Fernández-Mazuecos and Vargas 2011) and animal groups (e.g. Bufo, Stöck et al. 2008). The presence of a member of the A. cretica group in North Africa [i.e. A. cretica subsp. columnae (Ten.) R.Franzén in the Kabylie Mts of Algeria; Oberprieler 1998] and of species of the A. pedunculata
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**Fig. 2** Bayesian inference (majority-rule consensus) tree of western and central Mediterranean *Anthemis* representatives based on sequence variation for the nuclear ribosomal repeat comprising ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2, with information on branch support (posterior probabilities), accession numbers (with “C” denoting sequences from cloned PCR products), ploidy level (2x, 4x, 6x, when inferred for accessions), and accessions from Sicily in bold.

Table 1 Comparison of polymorphic sequence positions in the intergenic spacer regions ITS1 and ITS2 of the nuclear ribosomal repeat (nrDNA) of accessions of *Anthemis cretica*, *A. ismelia*, and *A. cupaniana*. Positions typical for *A. cretica* in bold, those of *A. ismelia* in italics. Accession numbers with “C” denote sequences from cloned PCR products.

| Taxon                | Accession   | ITS 1 | ITS 2 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| *A. cretica* L       | 0           | 0     | 1     |
| 2                    | 9           | 3     | 4     |
| 8                    | 1           | 7     | 8     |
| A0729                | T           | C     | C     |
| 1                    | 1           | 4     | 5     |
| 3                    | 4           | 6     | 7     |
| 9                    | 8           | 2     | 2     |
| 2                    | 9           | 9     | 2     |
| 1                    | 2           | 2     | 2     |
| *A. cupaniana* Nyman | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 9           | 3     | 4     |
| 1                    | 1           | 4     | 5     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| A1189-C7             | T           | C     | G     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| 8                    | 9           | 1     | 1     |
| A0689-C7             | T           | C     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 1                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| A0731                | T           | C     | G     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| 8                    | 9           | 1     | 1     |
| A0689-C14            | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| A0728-C2             | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| A0732                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 4           | 5     | 6     |
| A0689-C14            | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A0728-C2             | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A0732                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A1208                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A1219                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A1073                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A1208                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A1219                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |
| A0733                | C           | T     | T     |
| 0                    | 0           | 1     | 1     |
| 2                    | 0           | 0     | 0     |

**Fig. 3** Distribution map of the species involved in the present study, with members of the *Anthemis cretica* clade in blue, those of the *A. pedunculata* clade in red, and the focal species *A. cupaniana* in black. Black lines illustrate the approximated paleo-coastline during the Last Glacial Maximum (after Thiede 1978).
Information on Electronic Supplementary Material

Online Resource 1. List of taxa and sources of plant material, and voucher specimens used for the present molecular study. The two accession numbers for nrDNA ITS of some taxa represent ITS1 and ITS2 regions, respectively. Bold type denotes sequences new to science; other sequences were published in (a) Oberprieler and Vogt (2000), (b) Oberprieler (2001), (c) Lo Presti and Oberprieler (2009), (d) Lo Presti et al. (2010). Information on ploidy is based on the very same individuals published in Oberprieler (1998) or (in brackets) came from literature sources based on other plants/populations. Ploidies in bold (and with an asterisk) are reported here for the first time for the surveyed populations.

Online Resource 2. Alignment in Nexus format for the concatenated plastidic intergenic spacer regions trnC-psbA-trnH (positions 567-984), with information on 40 indels coded in addition to the sequence information.

Online Resource 3. Alignment in Nexus format for the nrDNA ITS1+5.8S+ITS2 marker, with information on 34 indels coded in addition to the sequence information.

Supplementary Information. The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-022-01823-1.

Acknowledgements. We thank the herbarium of the Botanical Museum Berlin and its curator Dr. Robert Vogt for providing plant material for the present study. Additionally, Dr. Rosa Maria Lo Presti, Dr. Salvatore Tomasello, Dr. Božo Frajman, Dr. Silvio Fici, and Dr. Fabio Conti are gratefully acknowledged for sampling further accesses used. We thank Dr. Christian Parisod and an anonymous reviewer for their comments on a first version of the manuscript which improved the present contribution considerably.

Author contributions. CO planned and conveyed the study. Gianniantonio Domina provided plant material from Sicily. EV did the laboratory work and the phylogenetic analyses in the course of her Bachelor thesis in the Evolutionary and Systematic Botany Group of CO at Regensburg University. The latter wrote a first draft of the manuscript, to which GD contributed further improvements.

Funding. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Declarations. Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References. Álvarez I, Wendel JF (2003) Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. Molec. Phylogen Evol 29:417–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00208-2
Arrigo N, Barker M (2012) Rarely successful polyploids and their legacy in plant genomes. Curr Opin Biol 15:140–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.010
Bartolo G, Brullo S, Pavone (1981) Números cromosomáticos de plantas occidentales, 138–156. Anales Jard Bot Madrid 38:289–299
Bendiksys M, Tribsch A, Borgen L, Trávníček P, Brysting AK (2011) Allopolyploid origins of the Galeopsis tetraploids – revisiting Münzing’s classical textbook example using molecular tools. New Phytol 191:1150–1167. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03753.x
Bertrand YJ, Scheen AC, Marcussen T, Pfeil BE, de Sousa F, Oelxman B (2015) Assignment of homoeologs to parental genomes in allopolyploids for species tree inference, with an example from Fumaria (Papaveraceae). Syst Biol 64:448–471. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syv004
Brullo S, Pavone P (1978) Numeri cromosomici per la Flora Italiana: 464–483. Inform Bot Ital 10:248–264
Brysting AK, Oxelman B, Huber KT, Moulton V, Brochmann C (2007) Untangling complex histories of genome mergings in high polyploids. Syst Biol 56:467–476. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701424553
Cusimano D, Guarino R, Ildari V (2017) Discovery of a second locality for the narrow endemic Anthemis ismelia (Asteraceae) in NW Sicily. Fl Medit 27:151–158
Doyle J, Dickson E (1987) Preservation of plant samples for DNA restriction endonuclease analysis. Taxon 36:715–722
Doyle J, Doyle J (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull Bot Soc Amer 19:11–15. https://doi.org/10.2307/1221122
Fawcett JA, Van der Peer Y (2010) Angiosperm polyploids and their road to evolutionary success. Trends Evol Biol 2:23. https://doi.org/10.4081/ebi.2010.e3
Fernandes RB (1976) Anthemis L. In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (eds) Flora Europaea, vol 4. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 145–159
Fernández-Mazuecos M, Vargas P (2011) Historical isolation versus recent long-distance connections between Europe and Africa in hibid toadflaxes (Linaria sect. Versicolores). PLoS ONE 6:e22234. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022234
Frajman B, Schönswetter W, Weiss-Schneweiss H, Oxelman B (2018) Origin and diversification of South American Polyploid Silene sect. Physolychnis (Caryophyllaceae) in the Andes and Patagonia. Frontiers Genet 9:639. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00639
Hahn MA, Lanz T, Fasel D, Müller-Schärer H (2013) Increased seed survival and seedling emergence in a polyploid plant invader. Amer J Bot 100:1555–1561. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1200540
Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acids Symp Ser 41:95–98
Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Bioinformatics 17:754–755
Linder HP, Berkner NP (2013) Does polyploidy facilitate long-distance dispersal? Ann Bot (Oxford) 113:1175–1183. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu047
Lo Presti RM, Oppolzer S, Oberprieler C. 2010. A molecular phylogeny and a revised classification of the Mediterranean genus Anthemis s.l. (Compositae, Anthemideae) based on three molecular markers and micromorphological characters. Taxon 59:1441–1456. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.595010
The origin of *Anthemis cupaniana* in Sicily

Lo Presti RM, Oberprieler C, Vogt R (2018) Tribus VI - Anthemideae (gen. 56–79). In: Pignatti S (ed) Flora d’Italia, 2nd edn. Edagricole, Milan, pp 815–881

Lo Presti RM, Oberprieler C (2009) Evolutionary history, biogeography and eco- climatological differentiation of the genus *Anthemis* L. (Compositae, Anthemideae) in the Circum-Mediterranean area. J Biogeogr 36:1313–1332. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02121.x

Lo Presti RM, Oberprieler C (2011) The central Mediterranean as a phydodiversity hotchpotch: phylogeographical patterns of the *Anthemis secundiramea* group (Compositae, Anthemideae) across the Sicilian Channel. J Biogeogr 38:1109–1124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02464.x

Marcussen T, Jakobsen KS, Ballard HE, Blaxland K, Mayrose I, Zhan SH, Rothfels CJ, Magnuson-Ford K, Barker MS, Müller K (2008)

Marcussen T, Jakobsen KS, Ballard HE, Blaxland K, Brysting AK, Oxelman B (2012) Inference species networks from gene trees in high-polyploid North American and Hawaiian violets (Viola, Violaceae). Syst Biol 61:107–126. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syr096

Mayrose I, Zhan SH, Rothfels CJ, Magnuson-Ford K, Barker MS, Rieseberg LH, Otto SP (2011) Recently formed polyploid plants diversify at lower rates. Science 333:1257. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207205

Müller K (2008) *SeqState* – primer design and sequence statistics for phylogenetic DNA sets. Appl Bioinf 4:65–69

Naciri Y, Cavat F, Jeanmonod D (2010) *Silene patula* (Siphonmorph, Caryophyllaceae) in North Africa: A test of colonisation routes using chloroplast markers. Molec Phylogen Evol 54:922–932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.11.015

Nieto FG (2014) Patterns and processes in plant phylogeography in the Mediterranean Basin. A review. Perspect Pl Ecol Evol Syst 16:265–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peeps.2014.07.002

Oberprieler C, Himmelreich S, Vogt R (2007) A new subtribal classification of the tribe Anthemideae (Compositae). Willdenowia 37:89–114. https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.37.37104

Oberprieler C, Töpfer A, Dorfner M, Stock M, Vogt R (2022) An updated subtribal classification of compositae tribe anthemideae based on extended phylogenetic reconstructions. Willdenowia 52:117–149. https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.52.52108

Oberprieler C, Himmelreich S, Källersjö M, Vallès J, Watson LE, Vogt R (2009) Tribe Anthemideae cass. In: Funk VA et al (eds) Systematics, evolution, and biogeography of the compositae. IAPT, Washington, pp 631–666

Oberprieler C, Vogt R (2000) The position of *Castrilanthemum* Vogt & Oberprieler and the phylogeny of Mediterranean Anthemideae (Compositae) as inferred from nrDNA ITS and cpDNA *trn*L/*trn*F IGS sequence variation. Pl Syst Evol 225:145–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00985465

Oberprieler C (1998) The systematics of *Anthemis L.* (Compositae, Anthemideae) in W and C North Africa. Bocconea 9:1–328

Oberprieler C (2001) Phylogenetic relationships in *Anthemis* (Compositae, Anthemideae) based on nrDNA ITS sequence variation. Taxon 50:745–762. https://doi.org/10.2307/1223705

Owney M (1950) Natural hybridization and amphiploidy in the genus *Tragopogon*. Amer J Bot 37:487–499

Oxelman B, Brysting AK, Jones GR, Marcussen T, Oberprieler C, Pfeil BE (2017) Phylogenetics of allopolyploids. Annual Rev Ecol Evol Syst 48:543–557. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022729

Rivas LR (1964) A reinterpretation of the concepts ‘sympatric’ and ‘allopatric’ with proposal of the additional terms ‘syntopic’ and ‘alloctopic’. Syst Zool 13:42–43

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model selection across a large model space. Syst Biol 61:539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029

Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

Rothfels CJ, Pryer K, Li FW (2017) Next-generation polyploid phylogenetics: rapid resolution of hybrid polyploid complexes using PacBio single-molecule sequencing. New Phytol 213:413–429. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14111

Rothfels CJ (2021) Polyploid phylogenetics. New Phytol 230:66–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17105

Simmons MP, Ochoterena H (2000) Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analysis. Syst Biol 49:369–381

Soltis DE, Soltis PS (1999) Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 9:348–352. https://doi.org/10.1010/S0169-5347(99)01638-9

Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Pires JC, Kovarik A, Tata JA, Mavrodiev E (2004) Recent and recurrent polyploidy in *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae): cytogenetic, genomic and genetic comparisons. Biol J Linn Soc 82:485–501. https://doi.org/10.1011/j.1095-8312.2004.00335.x

Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Schemske DW, Hancock JF, Thompson JN, Husband BC, Judd WS. 2007. Autopolyploidy in angiosperms: have we grossly underestimated the number of species? Taxon 56:13–30. https://doi.org/10.2307/25065732

Soltis DE, Segovia-Salcedo MC, Jordan-Thaden I, Majure L, Miles NM, Mavrodiev EV, Mei W, Cortez MB, Soltis PS, Gritzendarner MA (2014) Are polyploids really evolutionary dead-ends (again)? A critical reappraisal of Mayrose et al. (2011). New Phytol 202:1105–1117

Stöck M, Sicilia A, Belfiore NM, Buckley D, Lo Brutto S, Lo Valvo M, Arculeo M (2008) Post-Messinian evolutionary relationships across the Sicilian channel: mitochondrial and nuclear markers link a new green toad from Sicily to African relatives. BMC Evol Biol 8:56. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-56

Swoford DL (2021) PAUP* (Version PAUP* v.4.0a169), Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Available at: http://phylosolutions.com/paup-test

te Beest M, Le Roux JJ, Richardson DM, Brysting AK, Suda J, Kubešová M, Pyšek P (2012) The more the better? The role of polyploidy in facilitating plant invasions. Ann Bot (Oxford) 109:19–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcr277

Technelysium Pty Ltd (1998–2018) Chromas (Version 2.6.6). Available at: http://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/. Accessed 26 Jul 2016

Thier J (1978) A glacial Mediterranean. Nature 276:680–683. https://doi.org/10.1038/276680a0

Weiss-Schniewind BL, Hlöch C, Turner B, Villaseñor JL, Stuessy TF, Schnieweiss GM (2011) The promiscuous and the chaste: frequent allopolyploid speciation and its genomic consequences in American daisies (*Melampodium* sect. *Melampodium*; Asteraceae). Evolution 66:211–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01424.x

Wood TE, Takebayashi N, Barker MS, Mayrose I, Greenspoon PB, Rieseberg LH (2009) The frequency of polyploid speciation in vascular plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:13875–13879. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811575106

Zitari A, Tranchida-Lombardo V, Cañoso D, Helal AN, Scopese G, Cozzolino S (2011) The disjointed distribution of *Anacamptis longicornis* in the West-Mediterranean: the role of vicariance versus long-distance seed dispersal. Taxon 60:1041–1049. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.604008

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.