Intraperitoneal Administration of a Tumor-Associated Antigen SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF Gene-Loaded Polyplex Micelle Elicits a Vaccine Effect in Mouse Tumor Models
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Abstract

Polyplex micelles have demonstrated biocompatibility and achieve efficient gene transfection in vivo. Here, we investigated a polyplex micelle encapsulating genes encoding the tumor-associated antigen squamous cell carcinoma antigen recognized by T cells-3 (SART3), adjuvant CD40L, and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) as a DNA vaccine platform in mouse tumor models with different types of major histocompatibility antigen complex (MHC). Intraperitoneally administrated polyplex micelles were predominantly found in the lymph nodes, spleen, and liver. Compared with mock controls, the triple gene vaccine significantly prolonged the survival of mice harboring peritoneal dissemination of CT26 colorectal cancer cells, of which long-term surviving mice showed complete rejection when re-challenged with CT26 tumors. Moreover, the DNA vaccine inhibited the growth and metastasis of subcutaneous CT26 and Lewis lung tumors in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice, respectively, which represent different MHC haplotypes. The DNA vaccine highly stimulated both cytotoxic T lymphocyte and natural killer cell activities, and increased the infiltration of CD11c+DCs and CD4+CD8α+ T cells into tumors. Depletion of CD4+ or CD8α+ T cells by neutralizing antibodies deteriorated the anti-tumor efficacy of the DNA vaccine. In conclusion, a SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelle can be applied as a novel vaccine platform to elicit tumor rejection immunity regardless of the recipient MHC haplotype.

Introduction

Cancer vaccines have attracted attention as a promising modality to treat patients with malignancies, because they elicit specific rejection immunity against tumor-associated antigens (TAA) with minimal invasiveness to normal tissues in contrast to chemotherapy, irradiation, and surgery. During vaccination, fragmented TAA peptides bound to the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) expressed by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells (DCs), [1] stimulate naive T lymphocytes to mature into helper and cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) in concert with co-stimulatory signals via the B7/CD28 interaction [2]. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) mobilizes DCs and upregulates the expression of MHC and B7 on DCs [3]. The CD40L interaction with CD40 on DCs is known to further mature DCs, resulting in an enhanced vaccination effect [4,5].

Peptide-, cell- and gene-based vaccines have been applied for treatment of cancer and infection diseases in animal models and human clinical trials. Peptide vaccines have the advantages of low production costs, high safety, and good compliance for clinical application. However, it is difficult to identify which TAA-epitope peptides elicit strong vaccination effects against tumors with low immunogenicity [6,7]. It is also necessary to match the epitope-peptide and MHC haplotype, resulting in limited eligibility of patients receiving the vaccination [6,7]. For cell-based vaccines, TAA genes are transduced into DCs or autologous tumor cells in vivo using viral vectors. Accordingly, production of cell-based vaccines is time consuming, less versatile for target modification, and highly costly because of biomaterial handling [8]. However, cell-based vaccines allow co-expression of TAA and adjuvant genes to induce more efficient rejection of weakly immunogenic TAAs. For example, GM-CSF- and CD40L-expressing DC vaccines have been evaluated in clinical trials [9]. Furthermore, a recent study has shown that tumor cell vaccines with CD40L and GM-CSF gene transduction have a higher therapeutic efficacy than that of tumor cell vaccines with transduction of each single gene [10]. However, whether direct transduction of these adjuvant
genes affects the immunological response and contributes to TAA-specific tumor rejection in vivo is unknown.

Gene-based vaccines to induce anti-tumor immunity using non-viral vectors may resolve these issues and the safety concern of viral vectors. For in vivo gene transfection without severe tissue injury, polyplex micelles are an intriguing system [11–13], which are constructed by the self-assembly of poly(ethylene glycol)/PEG-polycation block copolymers and plasmid DNA (pDNA). Because of the characteristic core-shell compartmentalized architecture, in which pDNA is packaged within the core and surrounded by PEG as the shell, the functional genes are protected from interactions with biological components, resulting in substantial stability within the physiological environment. Recently, we found that intraperitoneally administrated polyplex micelles are preferentially distributed at tumors sites and in immune organs of mice harboring peritoneally disseminated cancer cells [14,15]. This study prompted us to examine the vaccine effect and adjuvant mechanism for anti-cancer immunity in situ by transfection of a TAA gene and adjuvant GM-CSF/CD40L genes. In this study, we used the homo-catiomer-integrated polyplex micelle system formulated by a multibiofunctional catiomer, poly{N-[Asp(DET)]} (H, degree of polymerization (DP): 55] and block-catiomer of PEG-b-P[Asp(DET)] (B, Mw of PEG: 12000; DP: 65) were kindly provided by NOF Corp. (Kawasaki, Japan). The BH polyplex micelle was prepared as described elsewhere [16]. Briefly, polymer solutions of B and H, which were dissolved in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.3), were mixed at a B/H ratio of 70/30 at their residual molar ratio of amino groups. Then, the mixed polymer solution was added to a solution of pDNA in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.3) for complexation at an N/P ratio (residual molar ratio of total amino groups in B and H to phosphate groups in pDNA) of 10 to obtain the BH polyplex micelle.

The potential of the BH polyplex micelle was measured by an ELISZ-2 (Otsuka Electronics, Osaka, Japan) at 25°C. The size and polydispersity index (PDI) of the polyplex micelle were evaluated by measurement of the dynamic light scattering (DLS) at 25°C using the ELISZ-2 equipped with a He-Ne ion laser (633 nm) with the incident beam at a detection angle of 160° as reported previously [14].

**Cell lines**

Mouse colorectal carcinoma (CT26), lymphoma (YAC-1), Lewis lung carcinoma (3LL/LLC), and human pancreatic cancer SUIT2 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2.

**Animals**

BALB/c AnNCrlCrj and C57BL/6j mice (female, 6 weeks old) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Yokohama, Japan). Animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room under a 12/12 hour light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. All animal procedures were approved and carried out in accordance with the Institutional Guidelines for Animal Experiments of the Animal Care and Use Committee at Kyushu University.

**Polyplex micelle distribution after i.p. administration**

PEG-b-P[Asp(DET)] was labeled with Fluorol-orange fluorescence (kindly provided by Dr. Takaaki Kanemaru, Kyushu University) as reported previously [14]. Fluorescence-labeled PEG-b-P[Asp(DET)]/P[Asp(DET)] mixed micelles with pVIVO1-mock were injected into the peritoneal cavity of mice. After 24 hours, tissue samples were obtained from the liver, spleen, lymph nodes, lung, and kidney. The localization of polyplex micelles was then examined under a laser scanning confocal microscope (A1+, Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan).

**Validation of transgene expression after administration of polyplex micelles**

For in vitro experiments, human SUIT2 cancer cells were treated with PEG-b-P[Asp(DET)]/P[Asp(DET)] mixed micelles encapsulating SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes for 48 hours. For in vivo experiments, PEG-b-P[Asp(DET)]/P[Asp(DET)] mixed micelles encapsulating the GM-CSF gene were injected into the peritoneal cavity of mice. The liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and lymph nodes of the mice were obtained after 24 hours. The expression levels of the transgenes were determined by real-time RT-PCR.

**Real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using an Illustra RNAspin Mini RNA Isolation Kit (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). cDNA was synthesized using a Transcription First Strand cDNA synthesis Kit (Roche Applied Science). Real-time RT-PCR was performed with...
a LightCycler480 II (Roche Diagnostics) (n = 4) using the fluorescence-labeled locked nucleic acid-probe and primer sets as follows: FAM-tctggg-quencher; 5′-CTGAGCCTCGAGCT-3′ and 5′-GGCCCTGAGCATGCAGTGA-3′ for mouse SART3; FAM-cctggg-quencher; 5′-GGCCCTGAGCATGCAGTGA-3′ and 5′-CTGAGCCTCGAGCT-3′ for mouse GM-CSF; FAM-cctggg-quencher; 5′-ACGGTGTAAGCGAAGCCAAC-3′ and 5′-TATCCCTTTCTTGCCCACTG-3′ for mouse CD40L.

Mouse tumor models and vaccination protocols

In preliminary experiments, we confirmed overexpression of SART3 protein in CT26 and 3LL/LLC lung cancer cells but weak protein expression of SART3 in normal organ tissues (spleen, lymph node, liver, kidney, and lung) by western blot analyses (Fig. S1), and conducted vaccinations of two mouse strains and various tumor models. At the time of organ/tissue sampling and termination of survival monitoring, the mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation under anesthesia induced by isoflurane inhalation.

Peritoneal dissemination model of cancer: Syngeneic CT26 colon cancer cells were injected into the periportal cavity of BALB/c mice (1 × 10^5 cells/mouse; day 0). The tumor weight was measured and then polyplex micelles with therapeutic genes were intraperitoneally administered four times at 1 week intervals (days 1, 8, 15, and 22). Survival was monitored every day until day 80 after the first challenge with CT26 cells. Mice that survived for more than 80 days were subcutaneously injected with CT26 cells (1 × 10^6 cells/mouse) in the flank region (re-challenge experiment). The formation of subcutaneous tumors was monitored for the following 60 days. In some experiments, splenocytes were isolated from long-term surviving mice and subjected to the CTL and natural killer (NK) cell assays.

Subcutaneous tumor model: Syngeneic CT26 colon cancer cells were subcutaneously injected into the flank region of BALB/c mice (1 × 10^6 cells/mouse; day 0). Then, polyplex micelles encapsulating the indicated genes (Table 1) were intraperitoneally administered four times at 1 week intervals (days 1, 8, 15, and 22). Survival was monitored every day until day 80 after the first challenge with CT26 cells. Mice that survived for more than 80 days were subcutaneously injected with CT26 cells (1 × 10^6 cells/mouse) in the flank region (re-challenge experiment). The formation of subcutaneous tumors was monitored for the following 60 days. In some experiments, splenocytes were isolated from long-term surviving mice and subjected to the CTL and natural killer (NK) cell assays.

Table 1. Therapeutic genes encapsulated by polyplex micelles and the survival periods of mice with peritoneal dissemination of CT26 tumors.

| Treatment | Median survival (days) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| Mock (50 µg) (n = 19) | 32.0 |
| SART3 (25 µg) + Mock (25 µg) (n = 6) | 37.0 |
| CD40L (25 µg) + Mock (25 µg) (n = 8) | 38.5 |
| GM-CSF (25 µg) + Mock (25 µg) (n = 10) | 46.0** |
| SART3 (25 µg) + CD40L (25 µg) (n = 10) | 34.5 |
| SART3 (25 µg) + GM-CSF (25 µg) (n = 7) | 47.0* |
| SART3/CD40L (25 µg) + GM-CSF (25 µg) (n = 18) | 77.0 |
Figure 1. Polyplex micelle distribution and transgene expression in vivo. Fluorol orange-labeled polyplex micelles with the GM-CSF gene (50 μg; N/P ratio = 10) were administered to the peritoneal cavity of mice. (A) Polyplex micelles were mainly localized in the spleen, lymph nodes, and liver. The merged image (yellow) shows co-localization of polyplex micelles (orange) and CD11c+ DCs (green). DAPI nuclear staining (blue). RP: red pulp of spleen; WP: white pulp of spleen. (B) Total RNA was extracted from frozen tissues after i.p. administration, followed by real-time RT-PCR analysis of GM-CSF gene expression. The gene expression of GM-CSF was up-regulated by several-hundred fold compared with that in the mock control (relative expression = 1) in lymph nodes, spleen, and liver, and minimally in the lungs and kidney (n = 4 each). *P<0.05; †P<0.001. Scale Bar = 200 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101854.g001

ments) using the NIS-Elements D 3.2 quantitative analysis program.

Flow cytometric analysis
Splenocytes were isolated at 48 hours after the last administration of polyplex micelles to subcutaneous tumor models. The cells were then subjected to flow cytometric analysis using PE-conjugated anti-CD11c (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), FITC-conjugated anti-CD11b (Abcam), anti-CD80, anti-CD86 (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA), and anti-MHC-Class II (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH) monoclonal antibodies.

In vivo CD4+ and CD8+ T cell depletion
To examine the role of CD4+ and CD8α+ T cells in antitumor immunity against CT26 tumors, BALB/c mice were depleted of either CD4+ or CD8α+ T cells. At 3 days before DNA vaccinations, mice were injected four times with anti-CD4ε or -CD8αε subset-specific monoclonal antibodies (GK1.5 and 53–6.7, respectively; BioLegend), or isotype rat IgG (RTK4530; BioLegend) as a control (150 μg per mouse). Survival rates were then compared among the groups.

Statistical analysis
Results are represented as means ± standard deviation. The differences between two or more than three groups were statistically analyzed using the Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunn’s test, respectively. Survival curves were evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier method and analyzed with the log-rank test. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Preparation of polyplex micelles
The BH polyplex micelles were prepared according to the procedure determined previously [16]. In brief, the polymer solution including B and H at the previously optimized B/H composition of 70/30 was mixed with pDNA solution to form polyplex micelles. The polyplex micelles were characterized to have a neutral ζ-potential of 1.55±1.16 mV (n = 3) and cumulative diameter of 91.3±3.2 nm with a unimodal size distribution (PDI: 0.16±0.02, n = 3) from DLS measurement (Fig. S2), which agreed well to our previous report [16].

Tissue localization of polyplex micelles and transgene expression
At 24 hours after i.p. administration, fluorol orange-labeled polyplex micelles containing GM-CSF pDNA (50 μg) (N/P ratio of 10) were mainly localized in the spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes, and liver (Fig. 1A). To analyze sub-localization of polyplex micelles among the spleen cells, frozen spleen sections were immunostained for CD11c. Fluorol signals (orange) were well co-localized with FITC-CD11c signals (green) (Fig. 1A, left panel, yellow). Flow cytometric analysis showed that the percentages of Fluorol+/CD11c+ and Fluorol+/CD11b+ cells among Fluorol+ splenocytes were 44.4% and 3.5%, respectively, suggesting that polyplex micelles were predominantly distributed in DCs and to a lesser extent in macrophages.

In a preliminary experiment, we confirmed that incubation of human SUTT2 cancer cells with mouse SART3/CD40L/GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles resulted in expression of all transgenes in vivo (Fig. S3). At 24 hours after i.p. administration of polyplex micelles, in vivo gene expression levels of GM-CSF in lymph nodes, spleen, and liver (n = 4; Fig. 1B) were several hundred-fold higher than those in mock controls. GM-CSF expression was sustained at high levels for 1 week post-administration of polyplex micelles. In contrast, upregulation of GM-CSF expression was much less evident in the kidney and lung (n = 4 each) compared with that in the lymphatic tissues.

Polyplex micelle-based DNA vaccination with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes prolongs the survival of mice harboring peritoneal dissemination of cancer cells
Following the protocol described in Figure 2A, polyplex micelles were administered to mice harboring peritoneal dissemination of CT26 cells. The survival periods for groups that received the indicated transgenes are summarized in Table 1 (n = 6–19 per group). Polyplex micelles encapsulating genes encoding SART3, CD40L, CD40L+GM-CSF, or SART3+CD40L did not significantly prolong survival compared with that in the mock control (median survival: 37, 38.5, 32, and 34.5 days vs. 32 days, respectively). On the other hand, polyplex micelles encapsulating genes encoding GM-CSF or SART3+GM-CSF improved survival (46 and 47 days, respectively) compared with that in the control. Polyplex micelles with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes resulted in the longest survival at 77 days. The survival rates (Fig. 2B, left panel) of SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF, GM-CSF, and SART3+GM-CSF groups (P<0.0001, P<0.01, and P<0.05, respectively) were higher than those of the control. On the other hand, survival rates were not improved by polyplex micelles with SART3, CD40L, GM-CSF, or SART3+CD40L genes (Fig. 2B, right panel), or naked plasmids of SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF without polyplex micelles (data not shown). Notably, only SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles resulted in long-term survival (macroscopic cure) of 40% of the vaccine-recipient mice.

Polyplex micelle-based DNA vaccination with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes inhibits the growth of subcutaneous tumors
After i.p. administration of the polyplex micelles according to the protocol in Figure 2C, the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded DNA vaccine significantly decreased the growth of subcutaneous CT26 tumors compared with that in the mock control (0.22±0.17 g, n = 7 vs. 1.12±0.39 g, n = 6; P<0.01). Tumor growth inhibition in groups (n = 5–7 in each group) treated with CD40L (0.92±0.28 g), SART3 (0.72±0.27 g), GM-CSF (0.60±0.40 g), CD40L+GM-CSF (0.69±0.49 g), SART3+ CD40L, SART3+GM-CSF, or SART3+CD40L+GM-CSF revealed mean tumor weights of 0.49±0.27 g, 466±0.49 g, and 0.49±0.27 g, respectively (P<0.05).
GM-CSF (0.74 ± 0.13 g), or SART3+CD40L (0.69 ± 0.44 g) did not reach statistical significance compared with that in the mock control (Fig. 2D: left panel).

To validate the efficacy of the DNA vaccine for different MHC haplotypes and tumor types, we used subcutaneous LLC/3LL tumors in CB57/BL6 mice, which have a different MHC class 1
Figure 4. Polyplex micelle-based DNA vaccine induces CTL activation and memory immunity. (A) Splenocytes (effector cells) were isolated from mice bearing CT26 and LLC subcutaneous tumors, and then co-cultured with irradiated CSFE-labeled CT26 or YAC-1 target cells at the indicated E/T cell ratios. NK cell activity (upper panel) was increased in all treatment groups with the GM-CSF transgene. In contrast, CTL activity (lower panel) was remarkably elevated by the polyplex micelle encapsulating SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF genes (DNA vaccine group) in an E/T cell ratio-dependent manner. (B) CT26 cells were re-challenged in the flank region of mice that survived for more than 80 days. The formation of subcutaneous tumors was monitored for a further 60 days. Complete rejection of re-challenged tumor cells was detected in the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine group, but not in the control. (C) Splenocytes isolated from mice with re-challenge of CT26 cells were subjected to the CTL assay. CTL activity was increased in long-term surviving mice that received the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine, but not in the control. (D) CTL activity against CT26 target cells with anti-MHC class 1 (H-2L and -2D) antibodies in mice that were administered the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine was reduced to the almost same level as that in the no vaccination control (left panel; n=2). The CTL activity against SART3-knockdown CT26 cells in mice that were administered the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine was reduced compared with that against control CT26 cells, but the knockdown efficiency of SART3 siRNA at the protein level was only 50% (right panel; n=2).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101854.g004

Polyplex micelle-based DNA vaccination with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes inhibits lung metastasis of subcutaneous LLC tumors

Because LLC/3LL cancer is known to exhibit a highly metastatic potential, we monitored the occurrence of lung metastasis for 28 days in the aforementioned mice harboring subcutaneous LLC tumors. Histological examination detected lung metastases in 100% (4/4 cases) of mice in the mock control (Fig. 3A, left panel). In contrast, mice administrated the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded DNA vaccine showed no development of lung metastasis (0/4 cases; Fig. 3A, right panel) and greater regression of tumor sizes (Fig. 2D, right panel). Many immune cells had infiltrated into the lung beds of mice that received the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded vaccine. Therefore, we examined these lung tissues by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3A), and found that the number of infiltrated CD4^+ and CD8^+ immune cells was increased by two-fold compared with that in the mock control (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively, n=4 each; Fig. 3B). Bronchial epithelia that immunoreacted with the anti-CD4 and CD8a antibodies were excluded from the quantification.

CTL and NK cell cytotoxicities are enhanced by the polyplex micelle-based DNA vaccine with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes

First, we examined cytotoxic NK cell activity because activation of innate immunity is a prerequisite for induction of acquired immunity. None of the polyplex micelles encapsulating Mock, SART3, or CD40L pDNA increased NK cell activity (Fig. 4A, upper panel). However, administration of polyplex micelles containing the GM-CSF transgene, including GM-CSF, SART3+GM-CSF, and SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF, upregulated NK cell activity in both CT26 and LLC subcutaneous tumor models.

To evaluate CTL activity, we employed a CFSE-based cytotoxicity assay using CT26 or LLC cells as target cells because of its high sensitivity [25]. In the CT26 subcutaneous tumor model (Fig. 4A, left bottom panel), the number of viable CT26 target cells was decreased by SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles, but not mock control, GM-CSF- or SART3+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles. In the LLC subcutaneous tumor model (Fig. 4A, right bottom panel), the number of viable LLC target cells was also decreased by the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine in an E/T cell ratio-dependent manner (Fig. 4A, right bottom panel). BALB/c mice have MHC haplotype “d”, whereas C57BL/6 mice have haplotype “b”. These results indicate an advantage of our DNA vaccine is that identification of effective epitopes of the TAA protein for matching with MHC haplotypes is unnecessary.

Tumor re-challenge verifies acquired rejection immunity in mice treated with the DNA vaccine

Among mice with peritoneal dissemination of CT26 cells, long-term surviving mice were observed only in the group that received the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF DNA vaccine. To elucidate whether the DNA vaccine elicited memory immunity with CT26 cell-specific rejection, re-challenge with 1×10^6 CT26 cells was performed in long-term survivors in comparison with non-vaccinated controls. As shown in Figure 4B, the re-challenged CT26 tumor was completely rejected in the DNA vaccine group (100%, 8/8), but subcutaneous tumors had developed in control mice. The NK cell activity (data not shown) and CTL activity (Fig. 4C) were increased in mice that received the DNA vaccine regimen in an E/T cell ratio-dependent manner. In contrast, CTL and NK cell activity was not elevated in control mice (Fig. 4C).

MHC- and SART3-specific CTL killing activity

We verified the MHC restriction of CTL activity using MHC (H-2L and H-2D)-blocking antibodies (Fig. 4D, left panel). The CTL activity of splenocytes from mice that received the DNA vaccine with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes under MHC-blocking conditions was remarkably reduced to one-third of the control treated with the DNA vaccine and isotype control antibody. Although we tried to knock down SART3 expression in CT26 cells using SART3-targeted siRNA, the level of protein expression was 50% of that in the control. The CTL activity against the SART3-knockdown CT26 cells was reduced, but the degree was not as high as that obtained by treatment with MHC-blocking antibodies (Fig. 4D, right panel).

Infiltrated CD11c^+ cells in lymph nodes, spleen, and tumors are increased and activated by DNA vaccine treatment

Immunohistochemical analysis revealed an increased number of CD11c^+ cells in the lymph nodes and spleen (Fig. 5A, left and middle panels) of mice administered polyplex micelles with GM-CSF or SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF genes compared with that in the control (P<0.05, n=4; Fig. 5B). However, the number of CD11c^+ cells in the lymph nodes and spleen was not remarkably
changed in mice administered polyplex micelles with CD40L or SART3 transgenes. In tumor tissues, CD11c+ cell infiltration was significantly increased by administration of SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles (Fig. 5A, right panel; \(P<0.05\) vs. mock control, \(n=4\); Fig. 4B), but no infiltration of CD11c+ cells was detected in the GM-CSF transgene group.

To examine the maturation of CD11c+ DCs, we analyzed the expression levels of CD80, CD86, and MHC class II on isolated DC11c+ splenocytes by flow cytometry. Polyplex micelles with GM-CSF or CD40L/GM-CSF genes slightly increased the number of CD11c+/CD80+ and CD11c+/CD86+ double positive cells \((P<0.05)\) compared with that in the mock control. On the other hand, polyplex micelles with SART3/CD40L/GM-CSF genes increased the numbers of CD11c+/MHC class II+ cells \((P<0.05)\) and CD11c+/CD80+ and CD11c+/CD86+ double positive cells more significantly \((P<0.01)\) (Fig. S4).

**Infiltration of CD4+ and CD8a+ T cells into tumors is increased by DNA vaccine treatment**

We examined the infiltration of CD4+/CD8a+ T cells into tumor tissues after administration of SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles by immunohistochemical analysis (Fig. 6A). The number of CD4+/CD8a+ T cells was significantly increased by the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine compared with that in the mock control on days 14 and 21 \((P<0.05\) on day 21, \(n=4\)).

**Depletion of CD4+ and CD8a+ T cells in vivo reduces the anti-tumor effect of the DNA vaccine in mice with peritoneal dissemination of CT26 cells**

Flow cytometric analysis (Fig. 6B) showed that administration of anti-CD4 and -CD8a antibodies depleted almost all CD4+ and CD8a+ cells in blood samples of mice, after which they received the DNA vaccine containing SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes. CD4- or CD8a-depleting antibodies shortened the median survival of the vaccinated mice compared with that of the isotype IgG control (29 and 26 days vs. 60 days, \(n=7-8\); \(P=0.084\) and \(P<0.01\), respectively; Fig. 6C). Compared with CD4+ cell depletion, double depletion of CD4+/CD8a+ cells further shortened the survival (23 days, \(n=7\) with a marginal significance \((P<0.05)\), but there was no difference in CD8a- and CD4+/CD8a- cell depletion groups \((P=0.31)\).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we developed a novel DNA vaccine platform using a non-viral synthetic gene carrier, block/homo-mixed polyplex micelles. In peritoneal dissemination and subcutaneous tumor models, the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF-loaded polyplex micelles were predominantly distributed to DCs in the lymph nodes, spleen, and liver after i.p. administration, inhibited the growth and metastasis of subcutaneous tumors, and prolonged the survival of mice with peritoneal dissemination of cancer cells. The anti-tumor effect was elicited by DC activation and then the infiltration of CD4+ (helper) and CD8a+ (cytotoxic) T cells into tumors. This mechanism was supported by the fact that in vivo CD4+ or CD8a+ cell depletion reversed the anti-tumor efficacy of the vaccine. This is the first report of a vaccination effect in which DC as well as helper and cytotoxic T cell activation is achieved by i.p. administration of polyplex micelles with SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes.

Nano-sized gene carriers have the characteristics to be absorbed into lymphatic drainage routes following i.p. administration [26]. For example, gene delivery in mannose-modified ultrasound-responsive bubble liposomes promotes high expression of transgenes in lymphatic organs by ultrasound exposure, suggesting their potential application in DNA vaccination [27]. Our block/homo polyplex micelles were also predominantly distributed in lymphatic organs (lymph nodes and spleen) by i.p. administration and delivered genes without additional induction methods. The distribution of polyplex micelles in our mouse model without large tumors is consistent with our previous study in mice harboring macroscopic dissemination of cancer cells [14,15]. Furthermore, we analyzed the sub-localization in these organs and found that polyplex micelles delivered the transgenes to APCs, predominantly DCs, and macrophages to a lesser extent and induced their activation. Polyplex micelles of around 100 nm have appropriate characteristics as a gene delivery platform for DNA vaccination by i.p. administration.

SART3 was identified as a TAA by a cDNA expression cloning method using cancer-reactive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes [20]. Here, we tested SART3 as a model TAA gene because SART3 is an autologous TAA for mice [23], and several sequences of peptides can be presented by different human and mouse haplotypes of HLA/MHC molecules [21,22]. To induce immune responses against weakly immunogenic TAs, complete and/or incomplete Freund’s adjuvants are co-injected with peptide vaccines [28]. For cell vaccines, viral and bacterial pCpG motifs may work as adjuvants [29], and DCs have a high potential for antigen presentation [1]. For genetic vaccines, adjuvant molecules, such as polyubiquitin-fusion sequences [30] and heat-shock proteins for a scavenger [31], have been studied to resolve the issues of weak immunogenicity. In this study, we tried a simple and versatile approach by co-expression of CD40L and GM-CSF together with a TAA gene using non-viral polyplex micelle-based gene carriers, because tumor cell/DC-based vaccines with GM-CSF or CD40L work as a high potential vaccine [32,33]. Transfection of the SART3 gene alone neither upregulated CTL activity nor induced complete tumor rejection. In contrast, the combination of SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes resulted in a macroscopic cure (40% of all recipients) and protected against distant metastasis in all mice through high CTL activity. These results suggest that TAA gene transfection is insufficient and simultaneous expression of CD40L and GM-CSF is necessary to elicit a strong vaccine effect against weakly immunogenic tumors.

We found differences in the number and distribution of CD11c+ DCs by gene transfection of SART3, CD40L, or GM-CSF genes alone and their combination in immunohistochemistry of lymphatic organs and tumor tissues. Transfection of the GM-CSF gene increased the number of DCs in the lymph nodes and spleen, but did not stimulate the infiltration of DCs into tumor tissues. In contrast, SART3 gene transfection did not increase the number of DCs in lymphatic organs, while a small number of DCs...
Polyplex Micelle-Carried DNA Vaccine

A

Mock

SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF

CD4

CD8a

Scale Bar=200µm

B

CD8a

IgG2 isotype ctrl

CD4 mAb

CD8a mAb

CD4 mAb+CD8a mAb

C

Survival rate

Survival period (day)

CD4-depletion
CD8a-depletion
CD4+CD8a-depletion
Isotype IgG control
had infiltrated into tumor tissues. On the other hand, transfection of the CD40L gene stimulated the infiltration of DCs into lymphatic organs to a much lesser degree than that by GM-CSF gene transfection. In tumor tissues, DC infiltration was slightly induced by CD40L gene transfection, although the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Because CD40L is known to enhance DC maturation [33], the increase and activation of DCs with upregulation of CD80, CD86, and MHC class II may only occur when both GM-CSF and CD40L stimulate DCs together with TAA gene vaccination.

Transfection of the GM-CSF gene may activate not only DCs but also NK cells, because treatment with GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles increased NK cell activity. The therapeutic efficacy of GM-CSF gene transfection alone was different in the two types of tumor models. The survival period was slightly extended for mice harboring peritoneal dissemination of cancer cells, but there was no growth inhibition of subcutaneous tumors. Small-sized disseminated nodules may be directly contacted and suppressed by activated NK cells in the peritoneal cavity, whereas NK cell activity is ineffective against large tumors at distant sites. Although activated NK cells might not kill large tumors, NK cells activated by GM-CSF might secrete Th1 type cytokines such as interferon-γ, followed by activation of T cells and macrophages, and upregulation of MHC expression. Moreover, DCs might be fully matured by simultaneous CD40L signals, resulting in the induction of cytotoxic CD8α+ and helper CD4+ T cells and their infiltration into tumor tissues. In our DNA vaccine system, CTLs are a major effector that inhibits tumor progression, because the survival benefit was completely abrogated by anti-CD8α antibodies. CD4+ and/or CD8α+ T cells by i.p. administration of their neutralizing antibodies was confirmed by flow cytometric analysis of blood samples. (C) Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that depletion of CD4+ or CD8α+ T cells decreased the survival of the SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF vaccine group compared with that of the isotype IgG2 control (P = 0.084 and 0.003, respectively, n = 7−8) in mice with peritoneal dissemination of CT26 cells.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** Western blotting of SART3 in LLC and CT26 cancer cells and normal mouse organ tissues. Protein samples were extracted from the indicated cancer cells and normal organ tissues of BALB/c mice and subjected to western blot analysis of SART3. The expression level of SART3 was remarkably increased in LLC and CT26 cancer cells but not in normal tissues. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Complex formation after mixing block/homo polymers with expression plasmids for SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF genes. The ~100 nm-sized particles were validated by measurement of the DLS (mean diameter = 91.3±3.2 nm; PDI = 0.16±0.02; n = 5). (TIF)

**Figure S3** Validation of transgene expression by administration of pDNA-loaded polyplex micelles. SUIT2 human pancreatic cancer cells were treated with mouse SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles for 48 hours. RNA samples were extracted and mouse SART3, CD40L, and GM-CSF gene expression was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR. *P<0.01 vs. mock control (n = 4). (TIF)

**Figure S4** Flow cytometric analysis of maturation markers of CD11c-positive cells in the spleen. Splenocytes were isolated at 48 hours after the second i.p. administration of SART3/CD40L+GM-CSF gene-loaded polyplex micelles to BALB/c mice. Flow cytometry showed that the expression of maturation markers (CD80, CD86, and MHC class II) of CD11c-positive cells was significantly increased in the DNA vaccine group compared with
that in the mock control. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs. the mock control (n = 3). (TH)
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