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ABSTRACT

We present the analysis of four NuSTAR observations of the luminous infrared galaxy merger NGC 6240, hosting a close pair of highly obscured active galactic nuclei (AGN). Over a period of about two years, the source exhibits hard X-ray variability of the order of 20 per cent, peaking around 20 keV. When the two AGN are resolved with Chandra, column densities in the range $N_H \sim 1-2 \times 10^{24} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ are estimated for both of them. The exact values are hard to determine, as they appear to depend on aspects that are sometimes overlooked in Compton-thick objects, such as the covering factor of the absorber, iron abundance, and the contamination in the Fe K band from foreground hot-gas emission. Nearly spherical covering and slightly subsolar iron abundance are preferred in this case. While the southern nucleus is suggested to be intrinsically more powerful, as also implied by the mid-IR and 2–10 keV brightness ratios, solutions involving a similar X-ray luminosity of the two AGN cannot be ruled out. The observed variability is rather limited compared to the one revealed by the Swift/BAT light curve, and it can be fully explained by changes in the continuum flux from the two AGN, without requiring significant column density variations. NGC 6240 is hereby confirmed to represent a unique opportunity to investigate the X-ray (and broad-band) properties of massive galaxy mergers, which were much more frequent in the early Universe.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the framework of hierarchical structure assembly over cosmic time (e.g. Springel et al. 2005), major mergers are a key phase of galaxy evolution. The existence of close supermassive black hole (SMBH) pairs is therefore inevitable. As the merger advances, the loss of angular momentum from the gas and the subsequent inflows towards the nuclear regions trigger a violent burst of star formation and efficient accretion onto the SMBHs, which are then transformed into active galactic nuclei (AGN). In the local Universe, one of the most impressive objects of this kind is NGC 6240 ($z \approx 0.0245$; Downes, Solomon & Radford 1993). On its way to entering the ultimate stage of coalescence between two gas-rich spirals (Fosbury & Wall 1979; Fried & Schulz 1983; Wright, Joseph & Meikle 1984), becoming an ultra-luminous infrared galaxy ($L_{IR} > 10^{12}L_\odot$) and eventually a passive elliptical (Tacconi et al. 1999; Bush et al. 2008), NGC 6240 is an ideal target to look into many aspects of galaxy evolution at once, including merger dynamics, AGN/starburst interplay, winds and feedback, chemical enrichment. In fact, NGC 6240 has it all: a distorted optical morphology with long tidal tails (Gerssen et al. 2004) and two nuclei separated by 0.7–0.8 kpc (Max, Canalizo & de Vries 2007), which are likely the remnants of the original galactic bulges (Engel et al. 2010a); a huge ($\sim 10^{10}M_\odot$) molecular gas content in the central kpc, peaking between the nuclei and pervaded by turbulence and shocks with different velocity (Iono et al. 2007; Meijerink et al. 2013; Tunnard et al. 2015); a star formation rate of several tens of solar masses per year (Yun & Carilli 2002), thought to drive a starburst superwind that expands into the Hα and soft X-ray emitting circumnuclear nebula (Heckman, Armus & Miley 1987; Lira et al. 2002), possibly extending out to galactic scales (Nardini et al. 2013; Yoshida et al. 2016); and, finally, a pair of buried AGN, one in each nucleus, which can be clearly identified only in the hard X-ray band (2.5–8 keV; Komossa et al. 2003).

As both AGN in NGC 6240 are extremely obscured, only circumstantial evidence of their presence is found at other wavelengths (Gallimore & Beswick 2004; Risaliti et al. 2006; Armus et al. 2006; Hagiyara, Baan & Klöckner 2011). Hence our knowledge of their energy input and of their impact on the system is still disappointingly scant. This largely relies upon the X-ray study, since Iwasawa &...
Comastri (1998) detected a prominent Fe K complex on top of a flat 3–10 keV continuum, resembling the spectrum of a typical obscured Seyfert galaxy like NGC 1068, but nearly ten times more luminous. The footprint of the direct AGN emission was revealed soon afterwards by BeppoSAX above 10 keV (Vignati et al. 1999). In this work we present the results of four NuSTAR observations of NGC 6240 taken over a period of about two years, and discuss their implications on the intrinsic properties of the two AGN and on the nature of their obscuration.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

NGC 6240 was first observed by NuSTAR on 2014 March 30, as part of the satellite’s Extragalactic Survey. The results of this observation have been discussed in detail by Puccetti et al. (2016). The source was subsequently targeted on three further occasions during the present monitoring programme, on 2015 April 17, 2015 September 6, and 2016 February 20. All the four data sets were reprocessed with the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software\(^1\) v1.7.1 included in the HEASOFT v6.20 release, using the 20170120 version of the calibration files. Cleaned events were obtained with the nupipeline task, applying the usual filtering criteria except for the more conservative (optimized) treatment of the background in correspondence with the spacecraft passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly. This reduced the good-time interval by about 1–3 ks per Focal Plane Module (A and B) over each of the 2015–2016 observations. Accordingly, the cumulative net exposures are 92.2 ks for FPMA and 91.9 for FPMB (Table 1). Source light curves and spectra were extracted from circular regions with radius of 75 arcsec centred on the target, while the associated background data products were obtained from adjacent regions with radius of 90 arcsec on the same detector.\(^2\) The source counts typically exceed 90 per cent of the total counts in the 3–78 keV band, dominating up to 50–60 keV. The spectra were rebinned in order to oversample the intrinsic energy resolution (400 eV below 40 keV; Harrison et al. 2013) by a factor of 2.5, and further grouped to ensure a significance of at least 5\(\sigma\) per spectral channel.

Seven XMM–Newton observations of NGC 6240 were carried out between 2000 September 22 and 2003 August 29, during revolutions 144, 413, 597, 599, 673, 677, and 681 (Boller et al. 2003; Netzer et al. 2005). All of these visits were plagued by strong background flares, so that only a small fraction of the potential exposure is left available after taking into account the standard background cuts (28.0 ks for pn, 41.9 ks for MOS1, and 43.2 ks for MOS2; Table 1). The data were reprocessed with the Science Analysis System (SAS) v16.0 and the latest calibration files. Source and background spectra were extracted from circular regions with radii of 30 and 60 arcsec, respectively. Response files were generated with the SAS tasks rmfgen and arfgen, then the spectra from each detector were combined over all the epochs with epicspeccombine, and grouped to a 5\(\sigma\) significance per bin. After verifying their consistency, the two MOS spectra were further combined into a single one.

NGC 6240 was also observed by Chandra with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) on four times. The observations of 2006 May 11 and 16, however, were taken with the High Energy Transmission Grating (HETG), thus significantly reducing the effective area of ACIS-S. The zeroth-order images were considered here, but they were eventually discarded as their quality is not sufficient to improve the results of the broad-band spectral analysis.\(^3\) The data from the other two Chandra observations (performed in 2001 July 29 and 2011 May 31) were reprocessed by running the chandra_repro script within the CIAO v4.9 software package, using the Calibration Database (CALDB) version 4.7.3 and following the steps described in Nardini et al. (2013). With respect to the whole galaxy, the size of the regions employed for the spectral extraction is the same as for XMM–Newton. Thanks to the exceptional angular resolution afforded by Chandra, spectra were also extracted separately for each nucleus and for the central (3-arcsec radius) region that encompasses, besides the nuclei, most of the diffuse emission in the Fe K band (Wang et al. 2014). In the

\(^{1}\) \url{https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/nustar_swguide.pdf}

\(^{2}\) The differences between source and background extraction areas were properly taken into account at each step and for each satellite through the BACKSCAL keyword in the file headers.

\(^{3}\) The total number of net counts in the 0.5–8 keV band collected in the two HETG observations is about one fourth (10500 versus 41300) of those collected without the gratings.

Figure 1. Chandra image of the central (10\times10\textasciitilde arcsec\(^2\)) region of NGC 6240 in the 5.7 keV band (rest frame), obtained by combining the ACIS-S observations of 2001 July (ObsID 1590) and 2011 May (ObsID 12713). Subpixel resolution by a factor two is adopted, so one pixel in this figure has a physical size of 126 pc. The regions employed in the spatially resolved spectral analysis are also shown: northern nucleus (red), southern nucleus (green), and diffuse Fe K emission (blue).
former case, two circles with radius of 1 arcsec were chosen (Fig. 1), with a slight offset from the corresponding peaks of the 5–7.5 keV surface brightness in order to minimize the contamination from the nearby companion. Given the relative positions, count rates, and encircled energy fractions at the 58-month confidence level for count rates and fluxes, and at the 90 per cent level ($\Delta \chi^2 = 2.71$) for the single parameter of interest in the spectral models. The latest values of the concordance cosmological parameters ($H_0 = 67.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.31$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.69$; Planck Collaboration XIII 2016) are assumed throughout, based on which the luminosity distance and angular scale of NGC 6240 are 111 Mpc and 512 pc arcsec$^{-1}$.

### 3 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Hard X-ray light curves

Above 10 keV, NGC 6240 is characterized by remarkable variability, as revealed by the *Swift*/BAT hard X-ray survey (Baumgartner et al. 2013). The 70-month 14–195 keV light curve, binned to periods of five months to match the time span between the 2015–2016 *NuSTAR* observations, is shown in Fig. 2. The flux of the source is highly erratic, as it can either remain stable or vary by up to a factor of two over about a year. A fit with a constant returns a statistic of $\chi^2/\nu = 37.8/13$, and can be rejected with a significance of 3.6$\sigma$. The long-term hard X-ray variability and its dependence on energy of more than one hundred AGN selected from the 58-month *Swift*/BAT catalogue have been recently studied by Soldi et al. (2014). In that work, NGC 6240 stands out as one of the most intriguing objects. While the amplitude of the variability in the 14–24 and 35–100 keV bands is strongly correlated over the entire sample, in NGC 6240 the overall intensity changes (e.g. Fig. 2) appear to be almost exclusively due to the lower energy band.

Since the column density towards the nuclear regions of NGC 6240 lies most likely in the range 1–2 × 10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Vignati et al. 1999; Ikebe et al. 2000; Puccetti et al. 2016), that is, around or just above the conventional threshold for Compton thick,$^6$ any change in $N_H$ of the order of a few ×10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ could be readily detectable at 5–10 keV. The historical spectra, however, are virtually consistent with each other over this range, within the cross calibration uncertainties of the various X-ray observatories (although it should be noted that the quality of the individual spectra, other than the 2011 *Chandra* ACIS-S one, is generally too low to derive robust constraints in this sense). The peak of the variability around 20 keV therefore remains somewhat puzzling. With its imaging capabilities that allow for the first time an accurate subtraction of the hard X-ray background, *NuSTAR* can finally shed new light on the striking behaviour of NGC 6240 at high energies.

The 3–78 keV light curves from the four *NuSTAR* observations, normalized to the average count rate, are plotted in Fig. 3 (top panel). Absolute values are provided in Table 2, together with the results obtained by fitting each sequence and the overall trend with a constant. A time bin of 5800 s has been adopted, roughly corresponding to the satellite’s orbital period. The final bin of the first sequence was discarded because of its low (~10 per cent) fractional exposure. Over the entire *NuSTAR* band, the source is clearly variable between the different epochs. In the brightest state (2015 April) the count rate is about 15 per cent higher than in the faintest one (2016 February). Even though this range is not as large as that exhibited in the whole *Swift*/BAT survey, the statistical significance of the variability increases to the 7.5$\sigma$ level. Conversely, the short-term fluctuations noticed within the single observations can be in the first instance

### Table 1. Observation log of the X-ray spectra analysed in this work. Net exposures and count rates are listed. $F_{S/T}$ is the fraction of source to total counts in the reference band. Fluxes are derived from the best-fitting models in Section 3, and are not corrected for cross calibration.

| Satellite | Date       | Detector | Exposure (s) | Band (keV) | Count Rate (s$^{-1}$) | $F_{S/T}$ (%) | Flux (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) |
|----------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| *NuSTAR* (1) | 2014 Mar 30 | FPMA     | 30,860       | 3–78       | 0.180±0.003           | 92.0          | 5.08 × 10$^{-11}$          |
|          |            | FPMB     | 30,783       | 3–78       | 0.166±0.003           | 89.2          | 5.02 × 10$^{-11}$          |
| *NuSTAR* (2) | 2015 Apr 17 | FMMA     | 20,494       | 3–78       | 0.207±0.003           | 93.5          | 5.63 × 10$^{-11}$          |
|          |            | FMMB     | 20,508       | 3–78       | 0.193±0.003           | 91.0          | 5.75 × 10$^{-11}$          |
| *NuSTAR* (3) | 2015 Sep 06 | FMMA     | 20,411       | 3–78       | 0.202±0.003           | 93.7          | 5.47 × 10$^{-11}$          |
|          |            | FMMB     | 20,203       | 3–78       | 0.189±0.003           | 91.0          | 5.47 × 10$^{-11}$          |
| *NuSTAR* (4) | 2016 Feb 20 | FMMA     | 20,421       | 3–78       | 0.180±0.003           | 92.0          | 5.467 × 10$^{-11}$         |
|          |            | FMMB     | 20,433       | 3–78       | 0.168±0.003           | 90.2          | 4.74 × 10$^{-11}$          |
| XMM–Newton [2000–2003] |          | pn       | 27,983       | 0.5–8     | 0.484±0.004           | 97.5          | 1.98 × 10$^{-12}$          |
|          |            | MOS      | 85,040       | 0.5–8     | 0.153±0.001           | 98.1          | 2.08 × 10$^{-12}$          |
| *Chandra* [2001–2011] |       | ACIS-S   | 182,053      | 0.5–8     | 0.227±0.001           | 97.1          | 2.19 × 10$^{-12}$          |

---

$^4$ http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/

$^5$ http://cxc.harvard.edu/sherpa4.9/

$^6$ This is defined as $N_H > (x\sigma_T)^{-1} \sim 1.23 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, where $x$ is the mean number of electrons per hydrogen atom and $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson cross section (e.g. Murphy & Yaqoob 2009).
neglected, as the null-hypothesis probability of the constant fits is always $>0.05$ (Table 2).

Light curves were also extracted separately in the soft (3–10 keV) and hard (10–40 keV) NuSTAR bands, and the hardness ratio of the latter over the former has been computed (Fig. 3). This brings out the energy dependence of the above variability, which is again confirmed to be more pronounced around 20 keV. Indeed, the 3–10 keV count rate is broadly consistent with being constant ($\chi^2/\nu = 41.7/33$), and the global intensity changes between the observations are mostly driven by the 10–40 keV band ($\chi^2/\nu = 138/33$). In turn, this implies that some spectral variations are in place, most likely associated with the direct continuum level (see below). The 10–40/3–10 keV hardness ratio bears some interesting indications as well. In spite of 3–78 keV count rates that differ by up to $\sim 10$ per cent, the first three sequences have almost identical hardness ratios, while the last sequence is not only the faintest, but also the softest (Table 2). The occurrence of states with similar (different) flux but different (similar) spectral shape suggests that the high-energy variability of NGC 6240 might have a complex origin, possibly involving a contribution from both nuclei.

### 3.2 Average NuSTAR spectrum

While there is tentative evidence that the fourth observation caught NGC 6240 in a ‘softer’ state, the hardness ratio is formally consistent with a constant value ($\langle HR \rangle \approx 2.05$; $\chi^2/\nu = 37.8/33$), i.e. with no evolution with time. The limited extent of any spectral variability then allows us to combine all the data from the four epochs, and to take advantage of the very high quality of the resultant NuSTAR spectrum (adding up to a total exposure of $\sim 184$ ks between FPMA and FPMB) to derive some preliminary information on the properties of the obscuring medium. The soft X-ray emission is probed through the XMM–Newton (pn and MOS) and Chandra (ACIS-S) spectra, which also provide a better energy resolution in the Fe K band and a benchmark against variability below 10 keV. For ease of comparison, the XMM–Newton and Chandra spectra were fitted over the common range of 0.5–8 keV, outside of which the effective area of the MOS and ACIS-S detectors rapidly drops. The individual NuSTAR spectra from FPMA and FPMB were analysed in the 3–78 keV band.

Thanks to the vast knowledge on this system accumulated with the previous X-ray studies, here we can directly move to a physically motivated picture of the thermal, diffuse emission and of the obscured, nuclear compo-

![Figure 2](image_url) Hard X-ray (14–195 keV) light curve of NGC 6240 from the Swift/BAT 70-month survey (2004 December to 2010 September) in time bins of five months, commensurate with the separation between the last three NuSTAR observations. The source is clearly variable around the average (red dashed line), and significant flux changes can occur on time-scales of about one year.

![Figure 3](image_url) From top to bottom: normalized count rates in the (a) 3–78, (b) 3–10, (c) 10–40 keV bands, and (d) normalized 10–40/3–10 keV hardness ratio for the four NuSTAR observations. The background-subtracted light curves from both modules were added together, after the standard corrections for dead time, PSF, and vignetting losses. The horizontal scale portrays the total elapsed time, with the gaps between the observations removed.
nents. The contribution from collisionally ionized gas with at least three different temperatures has been identified in NGC 6240 (Boller et al. 2003). The gas becomes gradually hotter (and more obscure) as one moves towards the centre, from the cold ($kT \sim 0.6$–$0.7$ keV) halo detected out to scales of about 100 kpc (Nardini et al. 2013), through the warm ($kT \sim 1$–$1.5$ keV) butterfly-shaped circumnuclear nebula (Lira et al. 2002), to the shock-heated ($kT \sim 5$–$6$ keV) environment around and between the nuclei (Wang et al. 2014). With this in mind, the initial spectral model has been defined as follows within XSPEC:

$$\text{Model } A = \text{constant}^{[1]} \times \text{phabs}^{[2]} \times (\text{vmekal}^{[3]} + \text{zphabs}^{[4]} \times \text{mekal}^{[5]} + \text{zphabs}^{[6]} \times \text{pshock}^{[7]} + \text{MYTS}^{[8]} \times \text{zpowerlw}^{[9]} + \text{constant}^{[10]} \times \text{MYTS}^{[11]} + \text{constant}^{[12]} \times \text{MYTL}^{[13]} + \text{zphabs}^{[14]} \times \text{zpowerlw}^{[15]}),$$

where $\text{vmekal}^{[3]}$, $\text{mekal}^{[5]}$, and $\text{pshock}^{[7]}$ represent the thermal emission from the cold, warm, and hot/shocked plasma, respectively. Absurdities of iron and $\alpha$-elements were allowed to vary in the first component, while solar values (from Anders & Grevesse 1989) were assumed in the other two, which are also affected by foreground absorption ($\text{zphabs}^{[4, 6]}$), presumably associated with the cold halo itself and/or with the prominent galactic dust lanes (e.g. Max et al. 2005). Compton-thick obscuration and reprocessing of the intrinsic nuclear continuum ($\text{zpowerlw}^{[9]}$) were implemented with the $\text{MYTorus}$ model (Murphy & Yaqoob 2009), which self-consistently computes the line-of-sight attenuation ($\text{MYTS}^{[8]}$), the scattered continuum ($\text{MYTS}^{[11]}$), and the fluorescent emission lines ($\text{MYTL}^{[13]}$) from a toroidal absorber with circular cross section and half-opening angle of $60^\circ$. The $\text{constant}^{[10, 12]}$ factors adjust the relative weights of the three components, and were initially fixed to 1 (as per the standard ‘coupled’ configuration; Yaqoob 2012). Given the geometry of $\text{MYTorus}$, a fraction of the direct continuum ($\text{zpowerlw}^{[15]}$) can be scattered into the line of sight by optically thin ionized gas in the polar regions, before being possibly absorbed as well ($\text{zphabs}^{[14]}$).

Galactic column density ($\text{phabs}^{[2]}$) was set to $4.87 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005), while $\text{constant}^{[1]}$ accounts for the cross normalization between the spectra from different detectors, compared to FPMA.

When applied to the XMM-Newton, Chandra, and average NuSTAR spectra, Model $A$ gives a fairly good fit statistic ($\chi^2/\nu \approx 1482/1310$). The equatorial column density of the torus is $1.3 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, and its inclination angle is $87^\circ$. The direct continuum dominates at all energies over the scattered one. Yet this model is not acceptable, due to the presence of clear residuals in the 6–10 keV range. These take the shape of a modest excess, to compensate for which the shock component tends to a much higher temperature than previously reported, $kT = 9.1^{+3.7}_{-2.2}$ keV. Moreover, the strength of the Fe $L$ and Fe $XXV$ lines is not perfectly reproduced. Such a defective outcome is not particularly surprising, given the rigidity of the basic $\text{MYTorus}$ configuration as adopted in Model $A$. These assumptions can be relaxed by ‘decoupling’ the three $\text{MYTorus}$ tables to various degrees (see Yaqoob 2012 for an extensive review). For instance, the relative weights $[10, 12]$ can be allowed to vary to introduce the effects of time delays between the direct and the reprocessed emission, and the column densities along the line of sight and outside of it can be different. More complex set-ups mimic a clumpy medium. However, none of these solutions works here. Nor does the inclusion in the model of a second nucleus, by doubling the components $[8]$ to $[13]$. The improvement is always marginal, leaving a $\chi^2 > 1.12$. The actual shortcomings with Model $A$ must then reside in one of the features of $\text{MYTorus}$ that cannot be circumvented through an ad-hoc decoupling, and specifically the fixed abundances and the global covering factor. Within $\text{MYTorus}$, it is not trivial to obtain a covering factor much larger than the native $\Omega/4\pi = 0.5$, and also the assumption of solar abundances (especially for iron) can have a substantial impact when the data quality is very high.

Thanks to the growing interest in the properties of the Compton-thick AGN population, in the last years several other models have been presented that accurately describe absorption, scattering, and fluorescence in an $N_H > 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ medium (e.g. Ikeda, Awaki & Terashima 2009; Brightman & Nandra 2011; Liu & Li 2014). Among these, the only

---

**Table 2.** Average values of the 3–78, 3–10, 10–40 keV net count rates (from both FPMA and FPMB) and of the 10–40/3–10 keV hardness ratio for each NuSTAR observation, with fit statistic and null-hypothesis probability relative to a constant model.

| Observation | 2014 Mar | 2015 Apr | 2015 Sep | 2016 Feb | All |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| (CR$_{3-78}$ keV) (s$^{-1}$) | 0.543±0.005 | 0.604±0.007 | 0.578±0.007 | 0.521±0.006 | 0.558±0.003 |
| $\chi^2/\nu$ | 8.2/9 | 11.5/6 | 6.2/8 | 12.3/7 | 132/33 |
| Probability | 0.512 | 0.074 | 0.029 | 0.001 | 8.7×10$^{-14}$ |
| (CR$_{3-10}$ keV) (s$^{-1}$) | 0.175±0.003 | 0.193±0.004 | 0.185±0.004 | 0.176±0.004 | 0.181±0.002 |
| $\chi^2/\nu$ | 5.2/9 | 5.8/6 | 4.9/8 | 10.9/7 | 41.7/33 |
| Probability | 0.817 | 0.450 | 0.853 | 0.143 | 0.142 |
| (CR$_{10-40}$ keV) (s$^{-1}$) | 0.368±0.005 | 0.412±0.006 | 0.389±0.005 | 0.340±0.005 | 0.375±0.003 |
| $\chi^2/\nu$ | 13.3/9 | 8.7/6 | 6.7/8 | 12.3/7 | 138/33 |
| Probability | 0.150 | 0.190 | 0.566 | 0.091 | 8.0×10$^{-15}$ |
| (HR 10–40 keV/3–10 keV) | 2.087±0.046 | 2.129±0.053 | 2.096±0.053 | 2.108±0.050 | 2.054±0.025 |
| $\chi^2/\nu$ | 10.5/9 | 1.4/6 | 5.3/8 | 9.0/7 | 37.8/33 |
| Probability | 0.308 | 0.967 | 0.722 | 0.254 | 0.258 |

---

$^7$ This is referred to as ‘reflected continuum’ in the following, in order to avoid confusion with the scattered component from the cold, Compton-thick material.
As a further step, iron abundance was allowed to vary. This eventually delivers a very good fit ($\chi^2/\nu \simeq 1358/1310$) with no significant residuals (Fig. 4). A sensible temperature of $kT \sim 5\pm1$ keV is recovered for the shocked plasma, while the properties of the cold gas phase are in good agreement with those of the extended soft X-ray halo (Nardini et al. 2013). Temperature and obscuration ($N_H \sim 10^{22}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$) of the warm component are consistent with those found by Puccetti et al. (2016), who used a similar model for the diffuse thermal emission. The best-fitting sphere parameters, however, are somewhat unexpected. The column density and the power-law photon index (see Table 3) are the same previously obtained with Model $A$, but the intensity of the primary continuum is nearly twice as low. A higher covering factor naturally implies a larger contribution from scattering, hence the same hard X-ray flux can be produced by a fainter source. The direct and scattered components cannot be distinguished from each other within sphere, so their relative importance cannot be immediately quantified. This notwithstanding, the drop in the continuum intensity is possibly too large, also given the subsolar iron abundance ($Z_{Fe} \simeq 0.7$) required to achieve a good fit.

The toroidal version of the Brightman & Nandra (2011) models has been claimed to overestimate the strength of the reprocessed (scattered plus fluorescent) emission (see Liu & Li 2015). To verify if this is could be the case also for the sphere component employed in Model $B$, we switched to plcabs (Yaqoob 1997), which is based on the same assumptions (an isotropic source located at the centre of a uniform, spherical distribution of matter) and still allows for variable iron abundance. As plcabs does not account for line fluorescence, two zgauss profiles were added in the new Model $C$ for the Fe $\text{K}\alpha$ and K$\beta$. Moreover, the non-relativistic approximations become less accurate above 20 keV (see Yaqoob 1997 for details). Keeping in mind that the

\[ \chi^2/\nu \simeq 1352/1306 \]

is fully equivalent to that of sphere with $\chi^2/\nu \simeq 1352/1306$ and all the parameters of the thermal emission that are perfectly matched between the two models (Table 3). Also the properties of the reflected continuum are in excellent agreement. In both cases the scattering fraction is $\sim 2-3$ per cent, as usually found in obscured AGN (Noguchi et al. 2010), and the foreground absorption is of the order of $10^{23}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$, a typical obscuration for the central regions of NGC 6240 (Iono et al. 2007).

All of the other quantities associated with the nuclear component are moderately different. The continuum intensity is increased (close to and consistent with the one inferred from MYTorus), although the photon index is now steeper ($\Gamma \simeq 1.8$ versus 1.7) and the column density is larger by about 20 per cent. Subsolar iron abundance ($Z_{Fe} \simeq 0.8$) is preferred in Model $C$ too, but a solar value cannot be conclusively rejected ($\chi^2/\nu \simeq 1360/1307$ for $Z_{Fe} \equiv 1$). Based

---

\footnote{It does include, instead, continuum scattering into the line of sight, so that any additional such component is not required.}
Different from other bright, heavily obscured AGN with very high-quality NuSTAR spectra that show significant hard X-ray variability (e.g. NGC 4945, Puccetti et al. 2014; NGC 1068, Marinucci et al. 2016; Mrk 3, Guainazzi et al. 2016), the interpretation of NGC 6240 is further complicated by its ‘double-nucleus’ nature. None of the previous models can be regarded as an adequate representation of the actual X-ray illumination and reprocessing pattern in NGC 6240, although the inclusion of a second source is not statistically required. A first attempt to assess the contribution to the hard X-ray emission from each nucleus was made by Puccetti et al. (2016), by fitting the spatially resolved Chandra spectra and extrapolating to higher energies. Here we revisit this approach in the light of the indications of the time-averaged analysis above. The spectra of the two AGN are shown in Fig. 5, and their visual inspection is already highly informative. The northern nucleus is known to be fainter, not only in the X-rays but also in the near- and mid-infrared (Risaliti et al. 2006; Asmus et al. 2014; Mori et al. 2014). The north/south brightness ratio is highest at 2–10 keV (about 0.6), and it can be still higher when referred to the AGN only. Indeed, the contamination from the hot plasma is much heavier in the southern nucleus, as revealed by the strength of the Fe xiv emission line, while there is no compelling evidence for such a prominent feature in the northern nucleus. The two spectra are intriguing also at lower energies. Using Fig. 4 as a guidance, a major contribution to the Si XII-XIV and S XV-XVI complexes at 1.8–2.6 keV is expected from the shocked gas. Their nearly identical intensity might be accidental though, since the presumed dearth of hot matter around the northern nucleus would entail enhanced emission.

Table 3. Best-fitting parameters for Model B and Model C (see text for details), applied to the average XMM–Newton, Chandra, and NuSTAR spectra. Galactic absorption is frozen at 4.87 × 10²⁰ cm⁻². Abundances are taken to be solar when not specified. Continuum normalizations (K) are computed at 1 keV. EM = \int_0^n\nu dV \text{ (where } n_e \text{ and } n_H \text{ are the electron and hydrogen densities)} \text{ is the emission measure of the gas. } \tau_{\text{ion}} \text{ is the ionization time-scale (see Smith & Hughes 2010). (f) and (t) denote frozen and tied parameters, respectively. The following cross-normalization factors were obtained with respect to FPMA: } 0.85 \pm 0.03 \text{ (pn)}, 0.89 \pm 0.03 \text{ (MOS), } 0.94 \pm 0.03 \text{ (ACIS-S), and } 1.01 \pm 0.02 \text{ (FPMB).}

| Component/Parameter | Model B | Model C |
|---------------------|---------|---------|
| vnekal (cold thermal emission) | | |
| kT (keV) | 0.56±0.02 | 0.56±0.02 |
| Z_e (solar) | 0.40±0.13 | 0.40±0.10 |
| Z_Fe (solar) | 0.19±0.04 | 0.19±0.03 |
| EM (10⁶³ cm⁻³) | 54±5 | 53±6 |
| nekal (warm thermal emission) | | |
| N_H (10²² cm⁻²) | 0.95±0.04 | 0.95±0.04 |
| kT (keV) | 0.84±0.05 | 0.84±0.04 |
| EM (10⁶³ cm⁻³) | 119±16 | 119±17 |
| pshock (hot thermal emission) | | |
| N_H (10²² cm⁻²) | 0.95(t) | 0.95(t) |
| kT (keV) | 5.1±1.1 | 5.0±1.1 |
| EM (10⁶³ cm⁻³) | 108±59 | 108±59 |
| sphere/plcabs (nuclear emission) | | |
| \Gamma | 1.72±0.04 | 1.82±0.05 |
| N_H (10²² cm⁻²) | 129±5 | 156±9 |
| Z_Fe (solar) | 0.72±0.03 | 0.79±0.10 |
| K (10⁻² s⁻¹ cm⁻² keV⁻¹) | 0.68±0.12 | 0.70±0.22 |
| 2gauss (fluorescent lines) | | |
| E₁ (keV) | 6.391±0.006 |
| A₁ (10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | 1.46±0.11 |
| E₂ (keV) | 7.017±0.017 |
| A₂ (10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | 0.22±0.08 |
| zpowerlaw (reflected continuum) | | |
| N_H (10²² cm⁻²) | 12±4 | 15±4 |
| \Gamma | 1.72±0.1 | 1.82±0.1 |
| K (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ cm⁻² keV⁻¹) | 1.05±0.1 | 2.52±0.17 |
| \chi²/\nu | 135.5/1310 | 1352.0/1306 |
| Probability | 0.176 | 0.183 |

on these results, it seems plausible that the amount of scattering in sphere is indeed overestimated. The discrepancies, however, are not severe. Taking the intrinsic 2–10 keV flux as a reference, sphere yields a continuum fainter by 25–30 per cent with respect to plcabs, and a smaller difference applies to the column densities. It is not the scope of this work to further investigate the performances of the various models, also because NGC 6240 is not the ideal target for such a study. In the following we thus stick to the use of Model B, as plcabs is rather time-consuming with the adopted computational settings and does not treat fluorescence in a self-consistent way.
Table 4. Best-fitting parameters for the spatially-resolved Chandra spectra of the two nuclei, supplemented by the average 15–78 keV NuSTAR spectra. The definitions are the same of Table 3.

| Component Parameter | North | South |
|---------------------|-------|-------|
| vmekal (cold thermal emission) | 0.56(f) | 0.56(f) |
| $kT$ (keV) | 0.40(f) | 0.40(f) |
| $Z_{\alpha}$ (solar) | 0.19(f) | 0.19(f) |
| EM (10$^{63}$ cm$^{-3}$) | <0.29 | 0.43±0.15 |
| nmekal (warm thermal emission) | 1.2±0.2 | 1.47±0.36 |
| $kT$ (keV) | 0.70(f) | 0.56(f) |
| EM (10$^{63}$ cm$^{-3}$) | 37±13 | 18±7 |
| pshock (hot thermal emission) | 0.8±0.4 | 0.5±0.4 |
| $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 153±18 | 143±12 |
| $kT$ (keV) | 0.70±0.10 | 0.70±0.10 |
| EM (10$^{63}$ cm$^{-3}$) | 3.6±5.5 | 58±22 |
| sphere (nuclear emission) | 1.78±0.09 | 1.78±0.09 |
| $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 14+13 | 3.0±2.0 |
| $\Gamma$ | 1.78±0.09 | 1.78±0.09 |
| EM (10$^{63}$ cm$^{-3}$) | 0.71±0.52 | 0.59±0.33 |
| $\chi^2/\nu$ | 414.9/432 | 30+20 |
| Probability | 0.715 | 0.15 |

Figure 6. Confidence contours at the 68, 90, and 99 per cent levels in the primary continuum normalization versus column density plane for both nuclei. Despite the mild degeneracy between these quantities, the southern nucleus is generally confirmed to be the brighter and less obscured one. For larger differences between the column density values, however, solutions of equal intrinsic luminosity are still completely allowed.

from the warm component. The spectra depart again from each other below 1.5 keV, hinting at differential obscuration and/or inhomogeneity in the cold gas phase.

For these reasons, simply rescaling the emission measures of the thermal components and the normalization of the reflected continuum with respect to the fit of the whole galaxy with Model $B$ results in a poor outcome ($\chi^2/N = 1.7$). The column densities of the reflected continuum and of the shocked and warm gas were then allowed to vary independently for each nucleus, according to the temperature and ionization time-scale of the shock. The emission from the shocked gas is about ten times more intense near the southern nucleus, and it also more absorbed ($N_H \sim 2 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$). In both cases, the strong features from He- and H-like silicon and sulphur are mostly produced in the warm gas phase, whose temperature and obscuration are subject to local variations. The reflected continuum from the northern nucleus is attenuated by a factor of about ten due to the presence of clumps or filaments with column density $N_H \sim 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which are still allowed.

The fit returns $kT \sim 5.5$ keV and $\tau_{ion} \sim 9 \times 10^{11}$ s cm$^{-3}$, but no useful confidence range can be derived for either quantity.

9 The fit returns $kT \sim 5.5$ keV and $\tau_{ion} \sim 9 \times 10^{11}$ s cm$^{-3}$, but no useful confidence range can be derived for either quantity.

10 The same cross normalization factors reported in Table 3 were assumed here between ACIS-S, FPMA, and FPMB.
pair, but by 30 per cent only, i.e. much less than what would be inferred from the mid-IR flux ratios. These are deeply affected by the fierce star formation activity taking place around the southern nucleus (Egami et al. 2006), which is also thought to drive the starburst wind (van der Werf et al. 1993; Ohyama, Yoshida & Takata 2003; Feruglio et al. 2013) that shocks the Fe XXV-emitting gas. Even if the exact X-ray flux and obscuration of the two AGN likely depend upon several factors, among which the local properties of the foreground emitting/absorbing gas, the present results demonstrate that their having the same intrinsic luminosity is a viable solution. Fig. 6 shows the continuum intensity versus column density confidence contours. These are rather elongated in both cases, implying that the two quantities are not entirely independent of one another. In general, however, the greater the column density towards the northern nucleus, the smaller the difference in luminosity between the two AGN.

3.4 Origin of the variability

While the resort to the average *NuSTAR* spectrum has provided new insights into the properties of the single nuclei, the nature of the observed hard X-ray variability remains unclear. Before analysing the *NuSTAR* spectra from the four different epochs, we compared the best-fitting Model $B'$ for the AGN pair to the broad-band X-ray emission from the whole galaxy. The nuclear contribution inferred in the previous section is consistent with the *NuSTAR* data (extracted over a 75-arcsec radius) down to $\sim 12$ keV, where a smooth excess (with marginal structures at 6–7 keV) starts rising. Above 3 keV, an excess with the same shape and slightly smaller intensity is found for the *Chandra* spectrum extracted from the central 3-arcsec region, suggesting that this is mostly due to the extended shock component, a significant fraction of which is detected between the nuclei and around them out to several arcsec (Fig. 1; Wang et al. 2014). While the nuclei are responsible for the observed variability, it is impossible to spectrally resolve them within the spatially unresolved spectra, also because of the local differences in the foreground thermal components.

To overcome these limitations and explore how the changes in the nuclear properties (fluxes and/or column densities) affect the overall variability of NGC 6240, we thus made use of the total *Chandra* spectrum, freezing all the parameters of the cold and warm gas phases to the best-fitting values of Table 3. The emission measure and obscuration of the shock component in the neighbourhood of each nucleus, as well as the respective reflected continua, were instead fixed following Table 4. This model is expected to miss a substantial fraction of the shock emission. Indeed, the residuals (shown in Fig. 7) are strongly reminiscent of an absorbed, hot thermal component. On these grounds, we introduced an additional pshabs × pahock contribution with the same temperature and ionization time-scale, while emission measure and obscuration were left free to vary. The model so defined was applied to the *Chandra* and the four pairs of *NuSTAR* spectra. After verifying that the results are not affected and that the cross calibration between FPMA and FPMB is always within 2 per cent (see Table 1), the merged spectra from both modules were used for ease of data handling, and the *Chandra* data were tied to those from the first *NuSTAR* observation.

Despite the small number of variables (also photon index and iron abundance are fixed at this stage), the fit is remarkably good, returning a diffuse shock emission with EM = 95 ($\pm 3$) $\times 10^{63}$ cm$^{-2}$ absorbed by a screen with $N_{\text{H}} \approx 9 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (compare with Table 3). As the behaviour of the two AGN cannot be distinguished, we assumed in turn that one of them remains constant, anchoring its flux and column density to the time-averaged values of Table 4. All of the variability is therefore entirely attributed to the other nucleus. In either case, the fit is fully consistent, with $\chi^2/\nu \approx 664/919$ when the northern nucleus varies, and $\chi^2/\nu \approx 963/948$ when the southern one does. Spectra and residuals of the latter fit are plotted in Fig. 8, while the continuum intensity versus column density contours for both nuclei are shown in Fig. 9. Statistically, $N_{\text{H}}$ is not required to vary ($\Delta \chi^2/\Delta \nu \approx 4/3$ in both cases when it is forced to be constant). Quite unpredictably, marginally lower (yet fully consistent) $N_{\text{H}}$ values than the ones indicated by the time-averaged analysis seem to be involved. This might be due to a systematic effect hidden in our simplified assumptions. The choice of common parameters (such as the photon index) between the nuclei, for instance, is instrumental in mitigating some degeneracies, but also introduces some complex cross dependencies. Moreover, given the mild spectral variability (Fig. 3, bottom panel), the average *NuSTAR* spectrum might not strictly represent any real state of the source, so the derived quantities should not be taken at face value.

Even considering the uncertainties above, the nuclear columns are very similar (they could differ by as low as $\sim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$; Table 4), hence the contour patterns of the

| Rest-frame energy (keV) | Residuals |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.5                     | 15        |
| 1.0                     | 10        |
| 1.5                     | 5         |
| 2.0                     | 2         |
| 2.5                     | 0         |
| 3.0                     | -2        |
| 3.5                     | -5        |

Figure 7. Residuals (in units of $\sigma$) in the *Chandra* spectrum of the whole galaxy (rebinned by a factor of two for clarity) with respect to a model consisting of the spatially resolved shock, reflected continuum, and nuclear components plus the large-scale emission from the cold and warm gas phases. The shape closely resembles a thermal spectrum with an absorption cut-off at lower energies. Three major features can be clearly identified from Mg XII, Si XIV, and Fe XXV, which mainly originate from the shock-heated gas (compare with Fig. 4).
two AGN in the X-ray luminosity versus obscuration plane are virtually the same. Then the flux range in Fig. 9 should only set a lower limit to the variability amplitude of each nucleus, which is roughly 40 (south) and 60 per cent (north). Indeed, for similar luminosities a single AGN can dominate the variability only if its central black hole is appreciably less massive than the other, thus accreting at a proportionally larger rate (e.g. Ponti et al. 2012, and references therein). The masses of the two black holes in NGC 6240 are highly uncertain, but they are estimated to have the same order of magnitude, $10^6$ to $10^8 M_\odot$, and to lie within a factor of two from each other (Tecza et al. 2000; Engel et al. 2010a; Medling et al. 2015). Both AGN thus likely contribute to the variability. In this view, the tips and dips of the Swift/BAT light curve could correspond to periods of simultaneously high and low states of the two AGN, as the relative flux changes would be generally non coherent. Continuum intensity variations as those suggested by Fig. 9 would give rise to visible effects even at 5–10 keV. Hints of this can be tentatively seen in the second NuSTAR observation (Figs. 3 and 8). For $N_H < 2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, in fact, the direct component is expected to compare with or exceed the scattered one, whose fluctuations are reduced by the superposition of different reprocessing time lags. Chandra and XMM–Newton might have sampled up to seven states of NGC 6240 (neglecting the observations separated by just a few days), but for various reasons most of them are of little use. Multi-epoch broad-band observations affording high quality data below 10 keV, possibly at high spatial resolution, and catching more extreme spectral states above 10 keV than the ones probed with this campaign, would be therefore needed to achieve a complete X-ray characterization of the two AGN.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have reported on the analysis of four NuSTAR observations of the galaxy merger NGC 6240, known for hosting a pair of Compton-thick AGN at a separation of less than 1 kpc and a powerful circumnuclear starburst. In the soft X-rays, the spectrum of NGC 6240 is due to gas at different temperatures and physical scales. The highly obscured nuclei emerge above 4 keV, but the emission from shock-heated gas in the starburst superwind is still significant in the Fe K band. Although no clear variability has ever been noticed up to 10 keV, the Swift/BAT light curve shows changes by a factor of two, mostly associated with the 14–24 keV energy range (Soldi et al. 2014). The present NuSTAR campaign does not probe any extreme flux states, thus providing a combined average spectrum of very good quality. This is best fitted allowing for a nearly spherical covering of the X-ray source and slightly subsolar iron abundance ($Z_{Fe} \sim 0.7–0.8$) in the absorber.

These results have some important implications for the X-ray study of the local Compton-thick AGN population. Indeed, the most sophisticated and widely used codes of reprocessing by Compton-thick material (e.g. Murphy & Yaqoob 2009; Brightman & Nandra 2011), based on Monte Carlo simulations, were developed before the advent of NuSTAR. Now that hard X-ray spectra of unprecedented quality have become available for tens of highly obscured AGN, the theoretical effort is perhaps lagging behind the observations. Especially for the brightest objects, the models might lack some flexibility to explore a broader region of the parameter space. It would be useful, for instance, to understand the impact of the global covering factor and of iron abundance on the measure of the column density, and hence on the estimate of the intrinsic AGN luminosity. This is a crucial step to determine the average properties of the fainter sources.
and to assess the contribution of Compton-thick AGN to the cosmic X-ray background (e.g. Gilli, Comastri & Hasinger 2007; Harrison et al. 2016), as metallicity was lower at higher redshift.

The 20 per cent variability observed between the four NuSTAR observations can be entirely explained by changes in the direct continuum flux of the two AGN. When their spectra are disentangled below 10 keV thanks to the spatial resolution of Chandra, the column densities are quite similar, $N_{\text{HI}} \sim 1.2 - 1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, with the northern nucleus that is generally implied to be slightly fainter and more obscured. The larger the difference between the actual foreground columns, the smaller the difference in terms of intrinsic AGN luminosity. The average absorption-corrected 2–10 keV luminosities are about 1.7 and $2.3 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ for the northern and the southern nucleus, respectively. We note, however, that the best-fitting values for both $N_{\text{HI}}$ and the primary X-ray continuum intensity could be somewhat underestimated due to possible systematics in the adopted reprocessing model. The column densities are then likely to fall in the range $1.5 - 1.9 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, for a cumulative 2–10 keV luminosity from the two nuclei of $\sim 6 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. 

Assuming the standard bolometric corrections for obscured AGN (e.g. Lusso et al. 2012; Brightman et al. 2017), this would suggest that the AGN pair contributes to at least 30 per cent of the total energy output of the system.

Our analysis also provides interesting clues on the nature of X-ray absorption in NGC 6240. The comparable column densities towards the two nuclei, the tentative indication of large covering factors, and the lack of evidence for $N_{\text{HI}}$ changes jointly point to a nuclear obscuration originated by the large amount of gas that is funnelled into the central regions following the tidal perturbations at work during the merger (Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005). The same gas also serves as a reservoir to efficiently fuel the starburst activity and the enhanced SMBH accretion. Variations of $N_{\text{HI}}$ are ubiquitous in isolated AGN, but they are typical of a circumnuclear medium that might be clumpy at all scales, like in the revised AGN unification scheme (e.g. Netzer 2015), but preserves an ordered structure overall. The nuclear environment of NGC 6240 is instead highly disturbed and chaotic, and it is reasonable that both AGN are almost completely enshrouded by a nearly uniform shock of dust and gas. Indeed, the incidence of fully covered and Compton-thick AGN is known to increase dramatically among late-stage mergers (Nardini & Risaliti 2011; Ricci et al. 2017). If the bulk of the obscuring matter is supplied by the merger-driven instabilities, column densities of the same order of magnitude for the two nuclei would not be surprising, given the similar gas content and bulge mass of the progenitors.

On the other hand, X-ray absorption might be connected to the excited and high-velocity gas in the nuclear starburst wind, and inflows/outflows in proximity of the two SMBHs could be the cause of the apparent Compton-thickness of the AGN pair. In any case, being one of the most spectacular and complex nearby mergers, caught in a relatively rare evolutionary stage, NGC 6240 stands out as a unique laboratory to study in detail the physics of a prevalent phenomenon of the early Universe, which is the trigger to the most luminous episodes of star formation and nuclear activity (e.g. Engel et al. 2010b; Treister et al. 2012).
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